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Abstract

Steam injection is an effective technique for the in situ remediation of volatile and semi-volatile organic contaminants. In this study, the influence of temperature and media on the removal of organic pollutants, such as naphthalene and chlorobenzene, was investigated in the remediation process through batch and remediation experiments, and the solid, liquid, and gaseous phase migration and transformation of organic pollutants during remediation were evaluated. The results demonstrated that the temperature significantly influenced the removal of organic pollutants. It was found that the critical temperatures for naphthalene and chlorobenzene were 50°C and 30°C, respectively. When the temperature was higher than the critical temperature, the final removal rates of naphthalene and chlorobenzene reached over 94% and 96%, respectively. The remediation area of chlorobenzene determined according to the critical temperature has a good result; the error compared with the actual remediation area in both coarse sand and fine sand was approximately 8.7%. In the simulation tank, the temperature changes can be divided into three stages: the ambient temperature stage, the temperature rapid rise stage, and the stable stage. Moreover, we found that the remediation effect of aquifers is not only related to temperature, but also to the location of the SVE well (soil vapour extraction). These findings reveal the main factors affecting the application of hot steam technology and the relationship between the temperature field and contamination field in the remediation process.

Introduction

Steam injection was originally developed for the petroleum industry to increase oil recovery from ground repositories (Abd Rahman et al., 2016; Davis, 1997; Hadim, Shah, & Korfiatis, 1993). This technique is now used for in situ remediation of sites contaminated with dense non-aqueous phase liquid (DNAPL) and is one of the most promising technologies for DNAPL remediation (Allawzi & Al-Jarrah, 2008; REINHARD SCHMIDT, 1998; Udell, 1998; Zuhair Al Yousef1, 2014). However, owing to the uncertainty of many influencing factors, the repair effect cannot reach the expectation when the technique is applied to the contaminated site. Hence, it is necessary to investigate the factors influencing the application of steam injection.

Several studies have described the factors influencing steam injection, including DNAPL properties, such as volatility (Udell & Stewart, 1992). The volatility of DNAPLs is an important factor affecting the application of steam injection; hence, chlorobenzene (with high volatility) and naphthalene (with relatively low volatility) were selected as the target pollutants in this study (Shen et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2020). Other factors influencing the remediation of contaminated sites by steam injection include properties related to the porosity of the media (Nilsson et al., 2011); the DNAPL removal rate is higher in media with high permeability than in those with low permeability (Tsakiroglou, 2011; Tzovolou, Aggelopoulos, Theodoropoulou, & Tsakiroglou, 2010), which have a great influence on the repair effect of steam. Therefore, it is necessary to clarify the effects of the medium on steam repair and their mechanisms. It remains unclear whether the influence of the medium arises due to the mass transfer of steam. To address this, the removal rates of different media at the same temperatures were studied. The heterogeneity of a medium affects the removal rate and remediation period for DNAPLs (H. Class 2002; Tzovolou et al., 2010). The most important factor affecting the efficiency of steam-enhanced extraction is the location of the steam injection wells (Abd Rahman et al., 2016). It is important to determine the range of influence of steam-enhanced extraction. However, limited research has
been conducted on this topic, and no definite method to determine this has been suggested. Therefore, quantifying the influencing factors is of great significance for remediation purposes.

The solubility of organic pollutants changes with temperature, and previous research has reported that the solubility of some organic pollutants such as Trichloroethylene (TCE) and dichloroethylene (DCE) first decreased and then increased with the increase in temperature, and the solubility was the lowest at 40°C. The solubility of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PACS) increases gradually as temperature increases (Koproch, Dahmke, & Kober, 2019). The volatilization of organic pollutants in the aqueous phase is also affected by temperature. However, the effect of temperature on dissolved organic matter remains unclear. When steam is injected, the temperature is highest around the injection well and decreases gradually as the distance from the injection well increases, forming a temperature gradient (Gudbjerg, Sonnenborg, & Jensen, 2004; Gudbjerg, Trötschler, Färber, Sonnenborg, & Jensen, 2004; H. Class 2002; Jacob Gudbjerg, 2005; Ochs, Class, Färber, & Helmig, 2010). A similar finding was observed in this study; however, it is unclear which temperature is optimal for complete pollutant removal. Identifying this temperature will elucidate the optimal areas of steam injection. Therefore, to determine the spacing of steam injection wells, it is necessary to study the critical temperature of pollutants. When hot steam is used to repair a contaminated site, the medium greatly affects the repair effect. Therefore, it is necessary to study the influence of the medium on steam migration.

Therefore, determining and quantifying the influencing factors is necessary. This study aimed to (1) quantitatively characterise the influencing factors; (2) clarify the effect of temperature on the three phase conversion of gaseous/liquid/solid phases of organic contaminants; (3) determine the temperature-field distribution and coupling relationship between the temperature field and pollution field for steam injection; and (4) predict and calculate the area of influence for steam injection.

Materials And Methods

2.1. Materials

AR-grade naphthalene was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., AR-grade chlorobenzene and n-hexane (≥ 97%) were purchased from Guangfu Chemical Co. Ltd., and AR-grade methyl alcohol and ethyl alcohol were purchased from Beijing Chemical Plant Co. Ltd. All materials were used as received without further purification, and all aqueous solutions were prepared with deionised water.

2.2. Experimental methods

2.2.1 Influence of temperature and media

Batch experiments were conducted to determine the effect of temperature and various media on DNAPL removal. Crystalline naphthalene and non-aqueous phase chlorobenzene were homogeneously dissolved prior to use in methanol. For these tests, 500 g of sand (coarse, medium, and fine and silt) were added to 500 mL headspace bottles before the mixed solution (200 mL) was added, with initial chlorobenzene and naphthalene concentrations of 100 and 20 mg·L⁻¹. The headspace bottle was connected to a bottle of activated carbon. The physical properties of the river sand samples used in the tests are listed in Table 1. A series of
experiments were carried out at ambient temperatures of 10, 30, 50, 70, and 90°C. Each set of experiments ensured that the temperature of the entire system was maintained at the set temperature and shaken at a constant speed on a constant temperature shaker. Aqueous samples collected using disposable syringes at selected time intervals (0–270 min) were analysed for naphthalene and chlorobenzene concentrations. At the end of the experiment, the final soil samples and activated carbon samples were collected, and the concentrations of naphthalene and chlorobenzene in soil and air were analysed.

| Soil type     | Particle size (mm) | Permeability coefficient (cm/s) |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Coarse sand   | 0.5–1              | $7.9 \times 10^{-2}$            |
| Medium sand   | 0.25–0.5           | $4.2 \times 10^{-2}$            |
| Fine sand     | 0.1–0.25           | $3.54 \times 10^{-3}$           |
| Silt          | 0.05–0.1           | $7.4 \times 10^{-4}$            |

2.2.2 2D tank experiments

2D tank experiments were conducted in a glass sandbox (60 cm [length], 50 cm [height], and 4 cm [width]) (Fig. 1). We conducted two sets of experiments; each group of experiments with coarse sand and fine sand was used as the main packing medium. The injection well installed at the bottom centre of the tank for stem injection was composed of a cylinder and many tiny pores at the top (diameter, 4 cm; height, 7.5 cm). The tiny pores were covered with gauze to prevent sand from entering the injection well. An air outlet was arranged on the upper right of the tank, and the air outlet was connected to a bottle containing activated carbon.

Before the experiment, tap water was continuously injected at a rate of 0.1 m·d$^{-1}$ by a peristaltic pump to ensure uniformity of the porous media and prevent the presence of entrapped air. Subsequently, chlorobenzene with a concentration of 200 mg/L was injected into the lower left water inlet until the concentration detected at the upper right water outlet was the same as the water inlet, which simulated the formation and stabilisation of the chlorobenzene contamination plume. There were 24 sampling ports, represented as holes 1–24 in Fig. 1, at the front of the tank to monitor the temperature using a multi-channel temperature patrol instrument (Shen Zhen Hua Xin Measuring Instrument Company). Aqueous samples were collected using disposable syringes at selected time intervals (0–270 min). Connections between parts of the apparatus were carefully sealed and tests for air-tightness were performed before the experiment to ensure minimal leakage. Steam was generated at a constant rate by a 3 kW steam generator (Norbest Machinery Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Wuhan, China), supplied by a constant flux of water to generate steam at a rate of 1 kg·h$^{-1}$. The steam generator produced saturated steam at a temperature of 120°C and a saturated steam pressure of 200 kPa. The temperatures were continuously measured in the sandbox.

2.2.3 Analytical methods

The naphthalene concentration was analysed using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Evolution 220) at a wavelength of 220 nm. Chlorobenzene samples were automatically injected with a purge
and trap concentrator (Teledyne Tekmar, USA), and the concentration of chlorobenzene in the aqueous phase was measured using a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer (GC-MS, 7890A-7000B, Agilent Technologies, USA). The separation was performed on an Agilent HP-5MS capillary column (length: 30 m, inner diameter: 0.250 mm, film thickness: 0.25 mm) with a split ratio of 50:1. The temperature setting for chlorobenzene was changed as follows: initially held at 100°C for 1 min, then increased to 200°C at 20°C/min, and held for 5 min. The temperature program of naphthalene was as follows: initially held at 80°C for 2 min, then increased to 220°C at 20°C/min, and held for 10 min, and the split ratio was 25:1. The concentrations of CB and naphthalene in the solid phase were also measured using the same gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer.

Results And Discussion

3.1 Influencing Factors

3.1.1 Effect of temperature

Figure 2 shows the changes in chlorobenzene concentrations at different temperatures in different media. With an increase in temperature, the concentration of naphthalene decreased gradually, while the removal efficiency increased. However, at temperatures ≥ 30°C, chlorobenzene removal was greater. At chlorobenzene 30°C, the removal rate of the four media was > 96%; therefore, this temperature could be used as an index temperature for removing chlorobenzene. We define 30 °C as the critical temperature of chlorobenzene, that is, when the temperature is higher than the critical temperature, chlorobenzene has a good removal effect. Determining the critical temperature can allow for an improved design that reduces energy consumption, thereby making this approach more economical. This may be because the increase in temperature enhances the volatilization of pollutants, and pollutants can form azeotropes with other substances such as water, which reduces the boiling point (Maalem, Zarfa, Tamene, Fedali, & Madani, 2020). This discovery could be combined with numerical simulations or an experimental study of the temperature-field distribution to determine the injection well spacing and remediation-area. These results indicate that temperature has a great influence on the removal of volatile pollutants; hence, it is necessary to define the threshold temperature of various pollutants.

Figure 3 describes the changes in naphthalene concentrations at different temperatures in different media. The removal rate of naphthalene was similar to that of chlorobenzene. However, the boiling point of naphthalene is higher than that of chlorobenzene, and the removal of naphthalene can be improved when the temperature exceeds 50 °C, that is, the removal rate is higher than 94.5%. Therefore, the critical temperature for removal naphthalene was 50°C. There can be a rebound in contaminant concentrations during their removal. This mainly occurs because with the removal of naphthalene in water, it gradually desorbs from the medium and enters the aqueous phase, resulting in an increase in its concentration in the aqueous phase. Therefore, the pollutants were verified to be removed in the order of liquid-gas, solid-liquid, and liquid-gas. This phenomenon was more noticeable at low-temperatures and in media with low-permeability. Thermal desorption can occur with a rise in temperature, which not only slows the rebound phenomenon generated through pollutant removal, but also removes naphthalene in the solid phase more effectively; however, thermal decomposition of naphthalene was not observed in this experiment.
3.1.2 Influence of medium

Figure 4 shows the changes in naphthalene concentrations in various media at 10, 30, 50, 70, and 90°C. The results suggest that the medium had little effect on the removal of naphthalene at different temperatures, and the removal rate of naphthalene in various media exceeded 94% at temperatures ≥ 50°C. That is, the main reason that the medium affects the removal effect is the propagation of steam in the medium. A medium with lower permeability resulted in a lower concentration of naphthalene in the aqueous phase during the reaction. This is because naphthalene is easily adsorbed onto media with low permeability, which lowers the naphthalene content in water. This phenomenon was more obvious below 50°C. A rebound in naphthalene concentrations was obvious at ambient temperatures of 10 and 30°C. An increase in temperature reduced the difference in removal-efficiency between the four media but had little effect on the final removal rate.

Changes in chlorobenzene concentration in different media at 10, 30, 50, 70, and 90°C are shown in Fig. 5. The results suggested that the media had little effect on CB removal and only affected the chlorobenzene removal efficiency. However, the chlorobenzene removal rate of different media was over 97% after 150 min at temperatures ≥ 30°C. These results indicate that heat transport is the main factor that affects the site remediation effect.

3.2 Migration and transformation of pollutants

Figure 6 depicts the percentage of naphthalene (a–d) and chlorobenzene (e–h) in a solid/liquid/gaseous phase at different temperatures in coarse, medium, and fine sand and silt. At the end of the reaction, naphthalene mostly existed in a gaseous form, while a small amount remained in liquid and solid phases. The mass of naphthalene in the gaseous phase increased with increasing temperature. According to the conservation of mass in multiphase system, the mass of naphthalene in the liquid and solid phases gradually decreased. At temperatures > 50 °C, > 93% of naphthalene converted into gas in all media. Therefore, naphthalene could be more effectively removed at temperatures > 50 °C. Being highly volatile, chlorobenzene easily converted to gaseous chlorobenzene; only at low temperatures would small portions of chlorobenzene exist in the liquid phase, whereas the solid chlorobenzene content is considerably low and can be ignored. Moreover, the results suggest that a medium has little influence on the amount of naphthalene converted into a gaseous state, i.e., it has little influence on the final removal rate of naphthalene (Fig. S1). The permeability of a medium affected the naphthalene content in the liquid/solid phase, which was clear from the observations of silt. The content of naphthalene in silt at 90 °C is 2.8 times of that in coarse sand, while the content of naphthalene in silt at 10 °C is 10 times of that in coarse sand. Therefore, temperature has an effect on the content of naphthalene in the soil. At temperatures higher than 30°C, almost all of it converted to gaseous chlorobenzene, and chlorobenzene in the liquid and solid phases was almost completely removed (Fig. S2). These results show that the content of pollutants in sand is not only related to medium but also to temperature and pollutant volatility. However, the pollutants in the gas phase are only related to the temperature and volatility of the pollutants but not to the particle size of the medium.

3.3 Henry’s constant

The dimensionless H described as the volatility constant is defined as the relationship between concentration of the compound in the gaseous (Cg) and the aqueous phase (Cw) (Chen, Freedman, Falta, & Murdoch, 2012;
The other commonly used expression of $H$ has units of $[\text{atm} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}]$ and is usually expressed as $k_H$ via the vapour pressure $(P_V)$ (Chen et al., 2012; GORM HERON, 1998; Heron, Christensen, & Enfield, 1998; Schwardt et al., 2021), as follows:

$$k_H = \frac{P_V}{C_W}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$H$ and $k_H$ are related to the temperature ($T$) and ideal gas constant ($R$) (Chen et al., 2012; GORM HERON, 1998; Heron et al., 1998; Schwardt et al., 2021):

$$k_H = H \cdot R \cdot T$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this study, because of the presence of medium, we define the relationship between the concentration of organic matter in the water phase ($C_{W'}$) and the gas phase ($C_{G'}$) as $H'$:

$$H' = \frac{C_{G'}}{C_{W'}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

According to Formula (4), $H$ was calculated under different experimental conditions, as shown in Table 1. According to the calculation, the results show that the Henry constant increases with the increase in temperature in the same medium, which conforms to the general law of Henry constant changing with temperature. For example, in coarse sand, $H'$ at 90°C is 32.4 higher than that at 10°C (chlorobenzene). Different media also affect Henry’s constant $H'$. Because the medium has a certain adsorption and other characteristics, $H'$ will increase with a decrease in the medium particle size. Under the same experimental conditions, the Henry constant $H'$ of chlorobenzene was more than ten times that of naphthalene. This is because CB has a lower boiling point and stronger volatility than naphthalene. These results show that $H'$ has a strong correlation with temperature; therefore, it is very important to determine the temperature distribution of hot steam.

### 3.4 Temperature field distribution and remediation effect of chlorobenzene in a 2D sandbox

#### 3.4.1 Temperature-field distribution in a 2D sandbox

The distribution of the temperature field at different times is shown in Fig. 7. In the figure, the blue square represents the steam injection point, and the blue solid line represents the centre line of the tank. The temperature above the steam injection well was the highest, and it decreased gradually with increasing distance from the steam injection well. The high-temperature zone gradually migrates upward with the injection of steam. This is mainly because condensation occurs during the upward migration of steam, and the condensed water migrates downward, resulting in a decrease in temperature or heating rate, which causes the high-temperature zone to migrate upwards. In the case of different times and different media, the temperature is basically symmetrical on both sides of the steam injection well. The heat transfer in coarse
sand was faster than that in fine sand, but the final heating area showed little difference (Fig. 8). In this experiment, the heat transfer in the sandbox mainly depended on the heat conduction and heat convection. Heat transfer is mainly affected by the thermal conductivity of sand, specific heat capacity, porosity, and other factors, while the thermal conductivity of coarse sand is greater than that of fine sand, and the porosity is less than that of fine sand, making heat transfer in coarse sand faster. Owing to the existence of the heat pipe effect in fine sand, the final affected area of heat in fine sand is not different from that of coarse sand (Udell, 1983; UDELL, 1985).

Figure 9 depicts the temperature changes at different positions in the third row above the steam injection point in the coarse sand (holes 13–18 in Fig. 1), with the injection point as the centre, the distance from the centre to the left is negative, and the right is positive. At the same distance from the injection well, there is little difference in the temperature variation, which basically presents a symmetrical distribution. Figure 10 shows the temperature changes at the same position in coarse and fine sand. The first row (holes 1–6 in Fig. 1) and the fourth row (holes 19–24 in Fig. 1) above the steam injection point are selected. The first row (holes 1–6) close to the steam injection point had a higher temperature for fine sand than coarse sand. In the fourth row, the temperature of fine sand in holes 21 and 22 is higher than that of coarse sand, whereas in the other four points, the temperature of coarse sand is higher than that of fine sand. Moreover, the heating rate of coarse sand in the same location was higher than that of fine sand. Hot steam migrates more easily in coarse sand than in fine sand, and hot steam migrates upward more easily, so the hot steam in coarse sand migrates faster, resulting in a higher temperature in the upper part of the tank. However, in fine sand, the vertical migration of hot steam is inhibited, which causes it to migrate in the transverse direction, leading to an increase in temperature in the transverse direction at the lower part of the tank. There are similar heating laws in different locations and different media; that is, the temperature changes in the media with time can be divided into three stages: (1) the ambient temperature stage, (2) the rapid temperature rise stage, and (3) the stable temperature stage. The closer to the steam injection well, the shorter the ambient temperature stage and the shorter the time required to reach the stable temperature stage.

3.4.2 Remediation effect of chlorobenzene in a 2D sandbox

The chlorobenzene concentrations at different times are shown in Fig. 11. During the experiment, the outlet was arranged at the top right of the tank, resulting in the removal process of CB on the left and right sides of the injection well did not show a symmetrical distribution. The removal effect of chlorobenzene was significantly better on the right side of the injection well than on the left side, indicating that the location of the SVE is very important.

The remediation area was calculated according to the critical temperature of the CB in the batch experiment (Table 2). Comparing the remediation area with the actual remediation area of CB, it was found that there is not much difference between the two, and the actual remediation area is larger than the remediation area according to the critical temperature. Therefore, the critical temperature of pollutants can be used to determine the remediation area and radius to a certain extent.
Table 2
Calculation of Henry's law constants under different experimental conditions

| Contaminant | Temperature (°C) | Medium     | H   | Contaminant | Temperature (°C) | Medium     | H   |
|-------------|------------------|------------|-----|-------------|------------------|------------|-----|
| Naphthalene | 10               | Coarse sand| 7.18| Chlorobenzene| 10              | Coarse sand| 60.01|
|             | 30               |            | 7.96|              | 30              |            | 439.8|
|             | 50               |            | 54.72|              | 50              |            | 568.6|
|             | 70               |            | 92.4 |              | 70              |            | 861.9|
|             | 90               |            |      |              | 90              |            | 1584 |
| Naphthalene | 10               | Medium sand| 6.12| Chlorobenzene| 10              | Medium sand| 25.88|
|             | 30               |            | 7.15 |              | 30              |            | 89.4 |
|             | 50               |            | 16.3 |              | 50              |            | 125.9|
|             | 70               |            | 45.1 |              | 70              |            | 531.6|
|             | 90               |            | 72.7 |              | 90              |            | 557.6|
| Naphthalene | 10               | Fine sand  | 6.19| Chlorobenzene| 10              | Fine sand  | 29.8 |
|             | 30               |            | 7.48 |              | 30              |            | 67.1 |
|             | 50               |            | 16.8 |              | 50              |            | 97.5 |
|             | 70               |            | 63.4 |              | 70              |            | 294.2|
|             | 90               |            | 120.8|              | 90              |            | 860.9|
| Naphthalene | 10               | Silt       | 9.76| Chlorobenzene| 10              | Silt       | 51.4 |
|             | 30               |            | 13  |              | 30              |            | 73.1 |
|             | 50               |            | 30.8|              | 50              |            | 454.8|
|             | 70               |            | 37.6|              | 70              |            | 786.3|
|             | 90               |            | 72.3 |              | 90              |            | 1163.3|

Table 3
Total heating zone area, heating zone area above 30 °C, and removal area of chlorobenzene

| Medium     | Heating zone (above ambient temperature) (cm²) | Heating zone (above 30 °C) | Chlorobenzene removal area (cm²) |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Coarse sand| 3000                                          | 2543.73                    | 2787.93                          |
| Fine sand  | 2905.26                                       | 2442.65                    | 2675.88                          |
Chlorobenzene exists in three forms, in the gas phase or adsorbed on the solid and liquid phases. The final mass balance of each component was determined, and the percentage of each component is shown in Fig. 12. The results indicate that 95% of the CB in the coarse sand is converted into the gas phase, and 96% of the CB in the fine sand is finally converted into the gas phase. Chlorobenzene (600 mg) completely entered the tank, and the quality of chlorobenzene in the aqueous phase was 21 mg and 15 mg in coarse sand and fine sand at 270 min, respectively. However, because the increase in temperature promotes the desorption of CB from the medium, the final solid phase adsorption of chlorobenzene only accounted for 0.5% and 1% in the coarse sand and fine sand, respectively. This illustrates that hot steam can achieve a good removal effect in both coarse sand and fine sand. Moreover, incomplete removal caused by the trailing phenomenon produced by AS technology was not observed, and it can enhance the removal of pollutants from the solid phase.

Conclusion

In this study, it was found that the critical temperatures of naphthalene and chlorobenzene were 50°C and 30°C, respectively. When the temperature exceeded the critical temperature, both naphthalene and chlorobenzene exhibited better removal effects, and the medium had a minimal impact on removal. Therefore, the main factor influencing the effect of the medium on pollutant removal at the site was heat transfer. The results of this study indicate a strong effect of temperature on Henry's law constants $H'$, with increases between 10-fold (naphthalene) and 30-fold (chlorobenzene) as the temperature increased from 10 to 90°C. In the two-dimensional simulation tank, it was found that the temperature variation in the medium can be divided into three stages: ambient temperature, rapid heating, and stable stages. Moreover, it was found that temperature and the location of the SVE well were the most influential on the remediation effect of the aquifer. Additionally, the reliability of the critical temperature was demonstrated by two-dimensional simulation tank experiments. The error between the remediation area according to the critical temperature and the actual remediation area of chlorobenzene was 8.7% in coarse sand and fine sand, which proved that the critical temperature could determine the remediation area.
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**Figure 1**

Schematic diagram of the experimental setup
Figure 2

Changes in chlorobenzene concentration in the aqueous phase at different temperatures in (a) coarse, (b) medium, and (c) fine sand and (d) silt.
Figure 3

Changes in naphthalene concentration in the aqueous phase at different temperatures in (a) coarse, (b) medium, and (c) fine sand and (d) silt.
Figure 4

Changes in naphthalene concentration in different media at (a) 10, (b) 30, (c) 50, (d) 70, and (e) 90 °C.

Figure 5

Changes in chlorobenzene concentration in different media at (a) 10, (b) 30, (c) 50, (d) 70, and (e) 90 °C.
Figure 6

Percentage of naphthalene as a solid/liquid/gas in (a) coarse, (b) medium, and (c) fine sand and (d) silt and percentage of chlorobenzene as a solid/liquid/gas in (e) coarse, (f) medium, and (g) fine sand and (h) silt.

Figure 7

Distribution of the temperature field in coarse sand (a-b) and fine sand (c-d)

Figure 8
Figure 9
Temperature changes at different locations in coarse sand at 270 min.

Figure 10
Temperature changes at the same location in coarse sand and fine sand at 270 min. (a) First row and (b) fourth row above the steam injection point.
**Figure 11**

Isoline of chlorobenzene concentration in (a) coarse and (b) fine sand.

**Figure 12**

Proportion of chlorobenzene in different phases

**Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterial.docx](#)