Late diagnosis of posterior urethral valves: a case report and the literature review
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Abstract

Posterior urethral valves (PUV) are the most common cause of lower urinary tract obstruction in boys. They are usually suspected antenatally or soon after the birth as the cause of severe hydronephrosis, oligohydramnios, renal hypoplasia, and kidney failure. In milder forms of PUV, the uncharacteristic symptoms occur later in childhood, adolescence, or even adulthood and cause diagnostic problems. The final diagnosis and causative treatment of PUV are possible due to cystourethroscopy. Nevertheless, as a consequence of the defect, valve bladder syndrome (VBS) occurs and requires long-term treatment.

The authors present a case of a 14-year-old boy with a late diagnosis of PUV at the age of 7. Recurrent pyelonephritis and nonspecific voiding dysfunction were the first symptoms. Ultrasounds(US) were initially normal while videocystometry(VCM) showed the 3rd-grade right-sided vesicoureteral reflux (VUR), bladder diverticulum as well as discrete detrusor overactivity, and reduced bladder capacity without any signs of bladder obstruction. Bilateral post-inflammatory nephropathy completed the clinical picture. In urethrocystoscopy surprisingly PUV were found and incised. Due to recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs) one year later the STING procedure was performed which resulted in the resolution of VUR. Despite this, the features of bladder dysfunction, requiring regular urodynamic evaluation and pharmacotherapy, persisted.

Recurrent UTIs in boys may suggest urinary tract obstruction and PUV should be considered regardless of the patient's age. Early diagnosis and appropriate management may improve the prognosis.
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Introduction
Posterior urethral valves (PUV) are congenital obstructing membranous folds within the lumen of the posterior urethra. They are the prevailing cause of lower urinary tract obstruction in boys with incidence ranging from 1:5000 to 1:8000 males [1].
PUV etiology is currently unknown however some authors suggest that they are the result of the abnormal insertion of the mesonephric duct into the urogenital sinus [2]. A possibility of genetic predisposition is considered. Talabi and Burtett reported cases of PUV at non-twin siblings [3, 4].
The main consequences of urethra obstruction caused by PUV are detrusor hypertrophy, urinary bladder wall trabeculation, and ureterohydronephrosis. All of these problems may lead to VUR, obstructive nephropathy, chronic pyelonephritis, and chronic kidney disease (CKD) [5] in 20 – 65% of all cases of which 8-21% will progress to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) [6, 7].
PUV are usually suspected on the routine prenatal US showing dilation of the posterior urethra, thickening of the urinary bladder wall (“keyhole” sign), and upper urinary tract dilation (megareters and hydronephrosis). Every male child with such findings should be considered to have PUV until proven otherwise [8].
In cases of PUV with manifestation in infancy and early childhood, the symptoms may vary depending on the severity of the obstruction they cause. Patients often have UTIs, poor urine stream, voiding problems, or even acute kidney injury [9].
The only causative treatment is urethrocystoscopy which confirms the presence of the urethral valves, identifies their type, and enables ablation[8].
Case history
We present a 14-year-old boy with a delayed diagnosis of PUV at the age of 7. The boy was born at 38 week of pregnancy from gravida 3 para 2 with a weight of 4700 g (99th percentile), length of 53 cm (95th percentile), and 10 points on Apgar scale. Cesarean section was performed due to high maternal fever and premature rupture of membranes with unknown origin at 31st week. The prenatal US were normal.
At the age of 3, the boy showed a reluctance to use the toilet and still preferred diapers. He also presented daytime urinary incontinence during playtime possibly connected with holding off the urine. Parents and a general practitioner (GP) considered it normal for the boy's age. When the boy was 6 he suffered from a prolonged fever diagnosed by GP as an upper respiratory tract infection and treated with amoxicillin for about 3 months. Finally, the patient was admitted to our Department of Pediatric Nephrology, where acute pyelonephritis was diagnosed and successfully cured with cefuroxime axetil.
Subsequently, nephrological diagnostics were carried out. Uroflowmetry was irrelevant while VCM showed the 3rd-grade right-sided VUR, bladder diverticulum, and posterior urethral dilatation(Fig. 1) as well as reduction of bladder capacity in a sitting position+(70 ml), and hyperreactivity of the detrusor.
Due to those findings, furazidin prophylaxis (2 mg/kg in the evening) and oxybutynin (3 x 2.5 mg) treatment were started.

In renal scintigraphy, bilateral post-inflammatory nephropathy signs with mild defects in radiotracer uptake in the renal parenchyma were found, more severe in the right kidney. Relative renal function was 61.8% and 38.2% in the left and right kidney respectively.

On the follow-up VCM, reduction of VUR to 2nd-grade and persistence of bladder dysfunction were found. Consequently, the patient was referred to the urologist, and the proper diagnosis was made only during urethrocytoscop where quite surprisingly the 1st type of PUV were found and incised. Uroflowmetry revealed the improvement in urinary flow, nevertheless, UTIs still occurred.

One year later the bladder capacity was still below the norm with the presence of involuntary detrusor contractions however there was no urine retention after micturition. 2nd-grade right-sided VUR persisted but there was no visible bladder diverticulum. Second scintigraphy showed progression of renal scarring and urine retention in the enlarged pelvicalyceal system of the right kidney. Later TENS (transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation) was introduced and oxybutynin was replaced with doxazosin at a daily dose of 2 x 1 mg. Due to unsatisfactory improvement, a decision to perform the right side STING (subureteric transurethral injection) procedure was made.

Afterward resolution of VUR (Fig. 2) and bladder overactivity were achieved.
There were neither incidences of bedwetting nor daytime urinary incontinence unless the patient was holding the urine. In the following years, US and uroflowmetry were systematically performed and pharmacological treatment was continued. The patient constantly showed a tendency to hold the urine, which resulted in daytime urine incontinence, although UTIs occurred significantly less often. Interestingly, the bladder capacity considerably increased and after night the patient urinated large portions of even up to 700 ml.

At the age of 11 renal US showed right kidney pelvis dilation (AP 22 mm) and micro-deposits in the bladder. Consequently, the assessment of metabolic risk factors of urolithiasis was performed and revealed hypercalciuria (4.61 mg/kg/24 hours) without any other abnormalities. Family history for urolithiasis was positive (mother and grandmother on the mother side). Preventive fluid and dietary therapies were introduced. In subsequent US pelvicalyceal system of the right kidney was enlarged and deformed without visible concerns neither in the kidneys nor in the bladder (Fig. 3).

Actually, at the age of 14, the patient has a normal renal function (e-GFR 131.5 ml/min./1.73 m²), hypercalciuria (5 mg/kg/24 hours), and the features of bladder dysfunction requiring regular uroflowmetry and pharmacotherapy with doxazosin in a daily dose of 2 mg.
although significant improvement in the bladder emptying is noticeable (bladder capacity 320 ml) and UTIs occur only occasionally.

Discussion
PUV are an important reason of bladder obstruction in males and manifest along a wide spectrum of age and severity, ranging from lethal disease to milder conditions revealed later in life. The most typical presentation for PUV is the detection of hydronephrosis in routine prenatal US, with subsequent definitive diagnosis after the birth. In the presented patient fetal US showed nothing significant and premature rupture of the membranes did not seem to be related to PUV. While 50–70% of PUV are diagnosed in the first year of life, almost 25–50% can be seen neonatally [10]. According to the literature PUV diagnosis, made like in our patient after the 7th year of life, is quite unusual. In an Australian retrospective study, 80% of postnatally diagnosed cases were detected by infancy due to UTIs or other genitourinary symptoms. Only 3 of 71 patients were diagnosed after the age of 5 years [11]. Talabi et al. reported only 24% cases of PUV diagnosed after infancy and only one after the age of 5 years, with a median age of 5 months [1]. Tambo et al. reported the median age of diagnosis 22 months [12] whereas Petersen et al. found only 12 cases (6.6%) of patients with PUV diagnosed over the 5th year of life throughout 28 years [13]. Reports of later diagnosis of PUV are rare and in adulthood, PUV are reported sporadically [14, 15].

UTIs are very common in PUV patients with an incidence of 50-60% [9,11, 13,16,17]. In the presented patient it was the reason for hospitalization in our Department. Pre-existing febrile conditions diagnosed as respiratory tract infections could be a symptom of undiagnosed UTIs. Diagnosis of UTI in the boy was the cause of further diagnostics including VCM, which revealed the presence of the unilateral 3rd-grade of VUR and dilated urethra. VUR as PUV complication is present in about half of all diagnosed cases and mostly, like in our patient, occurs unilaterally [18]. Dilated urethra might suggest PUV although performed in our patient urodynamic studies did not suggest the presence of obstruction but overactivity and reduction of bladder capacity. Consequently, anticholinergics (oxybutynin) and furazidine prophylaxis were introduced. Anticholinergics can improve bladder compliance and decrease voiding pressure [19]. Interestingly unilateral VUR by pressure pop-off mechanism helps in maintaining the function of the non-refluxing kidney by lowering the pressure in the bladder[20]. In the presented patient, that compensation mechanism was probably responsible for the lack of bladder obstruction features in urodynamic studies.

Unfortunately, the treatment did not bring a satisfactory improvement, and a subsequent VCM showed the persistence of VUR, although of a lower (2nd) degree, resolution of bladder diverticulum, and the persistence of the bladder dysfunction. Second scintigraphy showed progression of renal scarring and urine retention in the enlarged pelvicalyceal system. Due to ineffective treatment doxazosin was included. Alpha-adrenergic blockade can be used to relieve secondary bladder neck obstruction before and after PUV ablation [21]. Additionally, there were no reports of serious side effects associated with α-blockers treatment in children [22].

On the follow-up colonization with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and relapses of UTIs occurred. Only 7-10% of all UTIs in children are caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa [23], which often occurs in patients with CACUT (congenital malformations of the kidneys and urinary tract) including PUV [24]. In this contexts, it is not surprising that it was found in the presented patient. Additionally, previous long-term treatment with amoxicillin with subsequent cefuroxime axetil and prophylaxis with furazidine in our Department could have eradicated other bacteria, including the most common Escherichia coli. In the presented patient, 7 hectic episodes of UTIs, initially caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and later, after anti-pseudomonas vaccination by Escherichia coli, were diagnosed and treated. Permanent renal
scarring is an obvious consequence of recurrent febrile UTIs, so signs of bilateral post-inflammatory nephropathy in renal scintigraphy of our patient may indicate a previous history of UTIs that were not properly diagnosed. Renal scarring is observed as a result of febrile UTIs in 15-60% of affected children [25] and it is significantly higher in children with high-grade VUR (3rd-5th) similar to that in our patient case[26].

Although US, voiding cystography, and urodynamic studies are valuable diagnostic procedures the final diagnosis and causal treatment of PUV is possible due to endoscopy. In the presented patient during urethrocystoscopy, performed at the age of 7, PUV were revealed and incised. PUV were categorized by Young in 1919 into 3 types: the most common type I (95%), where folds originate from the distal edge of colliculus, type II of only historical significance, and type III (5%) with the worst prognosis, where membrane with a central hole is not linked with colliculus [5]. In the presented patient the most common type I of PUV was diagnosed, which is not surprising in the context of the relatively mild clinical presentation. Unfortunately, cutting the valves does not cure the patient. After PUV ablation voiding patterns are similar to these before removal of an anatomical obstruction[20]. Permanent bladder dysfunction is reported in about 70-75% of affected boys [27] and was described by Mitchell in 1982 as valve bladder syndrome (VBS). It is a combination of persistent dilatation of the upper urinary tract, hypertrophy of the bladder walls, VUR, and hydronephrosis. The bladder changes go through three sequential stages: hypertrophy, compensation, and decompensation [28]. In the first one, due to the problems with bladder contraction and generation of the increased pressure, mechanical stress activates signals that mediate hypertrophy. This rapid increase of bladder mass and capacity helps with bladder emptying but voiding pressure is higher. In the second compensated phase, due to the changes in the smooth muscle and collagen distribution, the bladder demonstrates lower contractile capacity. One of the therapeutic options may be partial bladder denervation, reversible after obstruction removal. The last - decompensation phase is a progressive increase in bladder capacity with decreased incompliance, contractility, and ability to empty[29]. Such changes are the risk factors of dilatation of the upper urinary tract and kidney failure. VBS in urodynamic studies presents as bladder overactivity, poor compliance, and myogenic failure[5]. All of these symptoms may be found in subsequent urodynamic studies in the presented patient consequently leading to dilatation and deformation of the pelvicalyceal system of the right kidney in the last US.

Incidences of each dysfunction of the bladder are various in different authors’ reports, which is probably connected with the patient’s age at the time of evaluation [27]. Similarly in experimental models duration of every phase varies in different studies and is unpredictable[28]. Finding the right pattern of constantly changing bladder dysfunction is important to introduce the proper treatment so regular follow-up is essential. Pharmacological treatment, like in our patient, can be supported by TENS, which also may improve the outcome and enables to reduce the pharmacological treatment [30].

As VUR is one of the predisposition factors for infection [31], recurrent UTIs in the presented patient, prompted us to perform, a year after PUV ablation, the STING procedure, which resulted in the resolution of VUR and relief of UTIs.

The prognosis in patients with late diagnosis of PUV is uncertain. Bladder myogenic failure causes incomplete emptying and overflow urine incontinence. Then clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) may be recommended. However, boys with PUV meet a compliance problem secondary to a preserved sensitivity of the urethra [32]. The Mitrofanoff procedure (appendicovesicostomy) can be useful and leads to the improvement in the patient’s compliance, reduction of hydronephrosis, bladder dysfunction, and capacity. However, despite the treatment, about 35% of patients will develop ESRD [33].
To date, only a few retrospective studies were performed where bladder stones were found as PUV complication [7,16,24]. However, according to the European Association of Urology guidelines VUR and ureteral stricture are high-risk factors for stone formation[34]. There is also a possible association between urolithiasis and recurrent infections caused by E.coli which can stimulate the growth of calcium oxalate - predominant component of kidney stones [35,36]. Additionally, the presented patient has a metabolic predisposition for urolithiasis, as hypercalciuria was diagnosed due to suspicion of bladder deposits in US. Actually, supportive treatment is sufficient and follow-up US did not reveal any signs of urolithiasis nevertheless regular evaluation is necessary.

Summary
The presented patient is an example of a late diagnosis of PUV. In cases of fever of unknown origin, urine analysis should be considered. Recurrent UTIs, especially in boys, may suggest urinary tract obstruction and PUV should be taken into account regardless of the patient's age. Although the US, uroflowmetry, VCM, and voiding cystography are valuable diagnostic procedures the final diagnosis and causative treatment of PUV are possible due to cystourethroscopy. Diagnosis of PUV means the necessity of long-term follow-up and the high risk of chronic kidney disease.
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