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Abstract

The autophagy-lysosomal degradation system has an important role in maintaining liver homeostasis by removing unnecessary intracellular components. Impaired autophagy has been linked to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), which includes hepatitis, steatosis, fibrosis, and cirrhosis. Thus, gaining an understanding of the mechanisms that regulate autophagy and how autophagy contributes to the development and progression of NAFLD has become the focus of recent studies. Autophagy regulation has been thought to be primarily regulated by cytoplasmic processes; however, recent studies have shown that microRNAs (miRNAs) and transcription factors (TFs) also act as key regulators of autophagy by targeting autophagy-related genes. In this review, we summarize the miRNAs and TFs that regulate the autophagy pathway in NAFLD. We further focus on the transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation of autophagy and discuss the complex regulatory networks involving these regulators in autophagy. Finally, we highlight the potential of targeting miRNAs and TFs involved in the regulation of autophagy for the treatment of NAFLD.

Introduction

Autophagy is important for maintaining intracellular protein homeostasis and for organelle quality control. In the liver, autophagy helps maintain metabolic homeostasis and, consequently, lipid balance, insulin sensitivity, and hepatocyte resistance to injuries, such as oxidative stress and inflammation¹⁻⁵. Accumulating evidence indicates that autophagy is highly relevant to the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), including hepatitis and fibrosis, suggesting that modulating autophagy is a potential strategy for the treatment of NAFLD. However, the exact pathophysiological role of and the regulatory mechanisms underlying autophagy in NAFLD remain to be elucidated.

The regulatory network of transcriptional and posttranscriptional factors has recently attracted attention because of its roles in biological processes and in the development of various diseases⁶⁻⁸. Autophagy was initially considered a pathway exclusively regulated by cytoplasmic processes; however, over the past decade, a number of microRNAs (miRNAs) and transcription factors (TFs) have been found to control autophagy through the regulation of autophagy-related genes⁹,¹⁰. Several miRNAs and TFs that regulate autophagy pathways have been reported to play roles in NAFLD, but the interplay between miRNAs and TFs for the regulation of autophagy is not fully understood. Here, we review the interplay between miRNAs and TFs in regulating autophagy and suggest potential target networks for treating NAFLD.

The autophagy process

As shown in Fig. 1, the induction of autophagy begins with theUnc-51-like autophagy activating kinase 1 (ULK1) complex formed by the interaction of ULK1 with...
focal adhesion kinase family-interacting protein of 200 kDa (FIP200), autophagy-related protein (ATG)13, and ATG10111–13. Under starved conditions, ULK1 is autophosphorylated and activated; then, ULK1 phosphorylates ATG13 and FIP200 to induce autophagy14,15. In contrast, under nutrient-rich conditions, the activated mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) inactivates ULK1 and ATG13 through phosphorylation16. AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) has also been reported to activate autophagy through the inhibition of mTORC1 and to directly phosphorylate several ATG proteins, including ULK1, Beclin1 (BECN1), and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase complex III (VPS34)17–19. In the early stages of autophagy, activating molecule in Beclin1-regulated autophagy protein 1 (AMBRA1), an autophagy regulatory protein, interacts with the E3 ubiquitinase tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 and mediates K63-linked polyubiquitination of ULK1, which enhances ULK1 kinase activity20,21.

Phagophores (i.e., isolation membranes) are nucleated at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)–mitochondria or the ER–plasma membrane contact site. The ATG14L complex is a key component for the nucleation stage. The ATG14L complex consists of VPS34, VPS15, and BECN1, and it is regulated by the kinase activities of ULK1 and 5′ adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)19,22–25. Activated VPS34 generates phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate and initiates the formation of the preautophagosomal phagophore26–28. Another key protein, involved in the elongation of the phagophore, is ATG9A/B, which is the only known autophagy-related transmembrane protein that circulates between the trans-Golgi network and the late endosome through vesicular trafficking29. Upon autophagy induction, a portion of the ATG9A pool transiently localizes to autophagic membranes, and its intracellular cycling is positively regulated by both ULK1 and VPS3430–32.

Two ubiquitin-like conjugation systems, ATG12 and C-type lectin 3/gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor-associated protein (LC3/GABARAP; ATG8 in yeast), are involved in phagophore expansion33. In the first system, ATG12 is covalently conjugated to ATG5, depending on the E1-like enzyme ATG7 and the E2-like enzyme ATG10. The second system involves the conjugation of C-type lectin 3 (LC3) to phosphatidylethanolamine (PE). The mature autophagosome or amphisome binds a lysosome, and the autophagic cargo is degraded by acidic hydrolases in the lysosome and is recycled in the cell as nutrients.
conjugates with ATG16L1 to form the ATG12-ATG5-ATG16L1 complex, which localizes to the outer membrane of the forming autophagosome. The second system involves the conjugation of the LC3/GABARAP family proteins to a lipid molecule. LC3/GABARAP is processed at the C-terminal glycine by the cysteine protease ATG4. Then, LC3/GABARAP is activated by ATG7 and is conjugated by ATG3 to the amino group of phosphatidylethanolamine. Finally, the ATG16L1-ATG5-ATG12 complex functions as a scaffold for LC3/GABARAP lipiddiation.

One of the most important molecules in the maturation of the autophagosome and in the fusion with lysosomes is the small GTPase protein RAB7. Fusion with lysosomes is facilitated by membrane tethering factors such as the homotypic fusion and protein sorting (HOPS) complex and soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor-activating protein (SNAP) receptor (SNARE) proteins. The HOPS complex interacts with syntaxin17 (STX17), which interacts with SNAP29 and vesicle-associated membrane protein 8 (VAMP8) to promote autophagosome–lysosome fusion. UV resistance-associated gene (UVRAG) also promotes the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes by binding to VPS16, which is a subunit of the HOPS complex. ATG14 also directly binds to STX17-SNAP29 to form a complex with VAMP8 on lysosomes, which further promotes autophagosome–lysosome fusion.

**Roles of autophagy in NAFLD**

NAFLD is caused by excessive hepatic fat accumulation that is not caused by significant alcohol consumption. NAFLD is an umbrella term for diseases that includes simple hepatic steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), and cirrhosis. Other than weight loss, there is currently no effective therapy for NAFLD. Studies are currently focused on understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying NAFLD to identify new therapeutic targets, and these studies have revealed a diverse repertoire of potential targets.

In obesity, the disruption of autophagy in the liver and in extrahepatic organs contributes to excessive lipid accumulation in the liver. Singh et al. were the first to suggest that autophagy might be a potential target for the treatment of NAFLD. In their study, ATG5 knockdown or pharmacological autophagy inhibition significantly increased the cellular triglyceride content. This group suggested that autophagy has essential roles in lipolysis (lipophagy), wherein intracellular lipid droplets are degraded through a self-degradative pathway to alleviate hepatic inflammation and injury. In mouse livers lacking rubicon-like autophagy enhancer (RUBCNL)/Pacer, which promotes autolysosome formation in the late stages of autophagy, autophagic flux is impaired, resulting in lipid accumulation and liver fibrosis. In contrast, enhancing autophagy by overexpressing Atg7 alleviated hepatic steatosis in ob/ob mice and in high-fat diet (HFD)-fed mice.

Hepatic lipotoxicity is a result of excess accumulation of harmful lipids through the dysregulation of the lipid milieu or intracellular lipid composition. Importantly, lipotoxicity is intimately associated with chronic inflammation and hepatocyte death, which are characteristics of NAFLD/NASH. Lipotoxicity can also contribute to ER stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and impaired autophagic flux (Fig. 2). For example, lipotoxicity-associated elevation in cytosolic calcium levels interferes with the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes, and treatment with calcium channel blockers restores autophagic flux and suppresses obesity-induced accumulation of protein aggregates. Intake of a HFD stimulates ER stress, which can induce an inflammatory response. Suppressed autophagy in the liver can further worsen ER stress and inflammation. These findings indicate that a decrease in hepatic autophagy correlates with hepatic inflammation in NAFLD. In line with this finding, autophagy can protect the liver from lipotoxicity in NAFLD. Sequestosome 1 (SQSTM1 or p62)-mediated ULK1 activation induces autophagy and plays a hepatoprotective role through the degradation of Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 and the activation of nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 under saturated fatty acid-induced lipotoxic conditions.

Various studies have revealed some of the molecular mechanisms involved in the regulation of autophagy in NAFLD. Autophagy activity has been seen to decrease as an effect of the reduced expression of autophagy-related genes such as Atg7, Ulk1, and Atg5. Impaired autophagic flux caused by a decrease in lysosomal activity has been shown to be critical for the degradation of autophagosomes. Autophagic degradation is impaired owing to reduced lysosomal acidification and lysosomal proteolytic activity, such as those facilitated by hepatic cathepsin B and L. Defects in autophagosome–lysosome fusion also cause autophagy disruption in NAFLD. Changes in intracellular lipid content can affect the overall activity of intracellular proteolytic pathways by inhibiting autophagosome–lysosome fusion. Rubicon is a Beclin1-binding negative regulator of autophagosome–lysosome fusion. Rubicon is posttranscriptionally upregulated in HepG2 cells treated with saturated fatty acids and in the liver of HFD-fed mice. In a recent chemokine study, osteopontin, a chemokine-like phosphorylated glycoprotein, promoted NAFLD progression by impairing autophagy. Osteopontin secreted from fatty liver inhibits autophagosome–lysosome fusion by binding the integrins αVβ3 and αVβ5, and autophagic flux is recovered when αVβ3 and αVβ5 are blocked using specific antibodies. Another mechanism of autophagy regulation involves the deregulation of nutrient sensing. A representative
example is the regulation of autophagy by mTORC1 through the downregulation of the ULK1-ATG13-FIP200 and VPS34-ATG14-BECN1 complexes. In fatty liver disease, mTORC1 is aberrantly activated and inhibits autophagy; it also contributes to the regulation of de novo lipogenesis through the upregulation of TFs such as sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1 (SREBP-1). In contrast, AMPK negatively regulates mTORC1 and induces autophagy through ULK1 or BECN1 phosphorylation. Most studies show that AMPK activity is reduced in the fatty liver and that the activation of AMPK using genetic or pharmacological approaches reprograms lipid metabolism, thereby reducing hepatic steatosis. The regulation of autophagy through AMPK also contributes to a reduction in hepatic steatosis to some extent.

**MiRNAs that target autophagy-related genes in NAFLD**

miRNAs are noncoding RNAs consisting of approximately 22 nucleotides. They play roles in the posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression. Approximately 60% of mammalian genes are known to be regulated by miRNA. Recent studies have reported that miRNAs also play roles in the regulation of autophagy; specifically, they regulate the expression of several key proteins in the various stages of the autophagy pathway. Therefore, we summarize representative miRNAs involved in the regulation of each stage of the autophagy pathway and discuss these mechanisms in the context of NAFLD (Fig. 3a).

In the initial stages of autophagy, the ULK1/2 complex is directly controlled by mTORC1. A number of miRNAs targeting mTORC1 have been reported in various cell and tissue types. miR-7 induces autophagy through the negative regulation of mTORC1. In contrast, miR-199a, miR-338, miR-96, miR-100, miR-101, miR-128, miR-144, miR-99, and miR-211 have been suggested as potential positive regulators of autophagy through their direct inhibition of mTORC1. Several miRNAs have been reported to directly target the ULK1/2 complex. miR-885-3p was found to directly target ULK2, whereas the activation of miR-106a/b and miR-20a was found to inhibit autophagy through the downregulation of ULK1 expression. In glucose-deficient melanoma cells, the miR-290/295 cluster code inhibited ULK1 expression, thereby inhibiting autophagy and endowing tumor cells with a survival advantage. miR-25 in breast cancer cells also targets ULK1 and inhibits autophagy. miRNAs also regulate autophagosome nucleation stage autophagy genes, such as VPS34, BECN1, and ATG14L. miR-30a/d, miR-376a/b, miR-519a, miR-17-5p, miR-129-5p, miR-
199a-5p, and miR-216a inhibit BECN1 expression.\textsuperscript{76–81} miR-338 inhibits the expression of VPS34\textsuperscript{82}, and miR-195 inhibits ATG14-mediated autophagy\textsuperscript{83}. Therefore, the suppression of these miRNAs promotes autophagy. Atg9 is the only transmembrane protein associated with the Golgi complex in the autophagy pathway, and it is targeted by miR-34a in the negative regulation of autophagy.\textsuperscript{84} In addition, miR-130a, miR-30d, and miR-143 suppress ATG2 expression.\textsuperscript{85–87}

The ubiquitin-like conjugation system for vesicle expansion is composed of several proteins, such as ATG7, ATG10A, ATG3, ATG12, ATG5, ATG16L1, and LC3. miR-375, miR-17, and miR-290/295 target ATG7, resulting in decreased ATG7 expression, thereby attenuating autophagy.\textsuperscript{74,88,89} miR-30a, miR-181a, miR-374a, and miR-30d are direct regulators of ATG5.\textsuperscript{82,85,90,91} Moreover, miR-30d, miR-23b, and miR-630 are potential regulators of ATG5.\textsuperscript{78,85,92} miR-106b and 519a target ATG16L1 expression in intestinal epithelial cells.\textsuperscript{78,93} LC3B levels and autophagy are diminished by miR-204.\textsuperscript{94,95} The miR-101 and miR376 family members miR-376a and miR-376b can negatively control ATG4.
expression. miR-519a has been shown to modulate E2-like enzyme ATG10A levels; however, the role of this interaction on autophagy has not yet been investigated. Several autophagy receptors have been discovered, including SQSTM1/p62, neighbor of breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein gene 1 protein (NBR1), calcium-binding and coiled-coil domain-containing protein 2 (NDP52), optineurin (OPTN), and B-cell lymphoma 2/adenovirus E1B 19 kDa protein-interacting protein 3-like (NIX). Because these receptors are delivered to the autophagosome along with cellular cargo, autophagy receptor degradation is commonly used as a marker for autophagic degradation. Members of a family of miRNAs that share a common seed sequence, including miR-17, miR-20, miR-93, and miR-106, were identified as direct regulators of SQSTM1/p62. Several studies have shown that changes in miRNA expression profiles are associated with a number of liver diseases. The expression profiles and signatures of these miRNAs can be used to distinguish between liver diseases with different etiologies and stages, from NAFLD to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Recently, autophagy-related miRNAs were found to play important roles in NAFLD. We present a summary of the current knowledge on the regulation of autophagy by miRNAs and how miRNAs modify major autophagic effector proteins in NAFLD in Fig. 3b. Several studies have shown that autophagy regulation-related miRNAs, such as miR-214-3p, miR-188, and miR-34a, are expressed at high levels in NAFLD patients or in mouse models. In our previous study, we observed that miR-214-3p has an inhibitory role in autophagy and is upregulated in HFD-induced fatty liver. Therefore, an anti-mimic of miR-214-3p alleviated NAFLD by activating autophagy and depended on the upregulation of Ulk1. miR-188, known to target and suppress Atg12, was reported to be upregulated in the liver of obese mice. According to Liu et al., the negative effects of miR-188 on the lipid and glucose metabolism-mediated autophagy pathway can be reversed by targeting Atg12. miR-34a is significantly upregulated in the liver of mice with NAFLD. miR-34a, a direct inhibitor of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent protein deacetylase sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), is a potential biomarker of NAFLD. Although the role of this interaction in the regulation of autophagy has not been observed, SIRT1 can have a direct role in autophagy regulation and affect the expression of autophagy-associated genes, including MAP1LC3A (LC3II), ATG5, and ATG7. Stacchiotti et al. showed that therapeutic melatonin plays a role in NAFLD through the downregulation of miR-34a-5p and the activation of autophagy; however, they did not investigate the specific autophagy-related genes that were directly regulated by miR-34a. miRNAs can also directly or indirectly activate autophagy. miR-33 and miR-451 can activate autophagy, and these miRNAs are expressed at low levels in NAFLD. The specific role of miR-33 in direct autophagy regulation in NAFLD remains unknown. However, Gharaghani et al. found that miR-33a and miR-33b activated autophagy by enhancing the activation of AMPK in HFD-fed mice. miR-451 levels were reduced in the liver tissue of the obese mice, and miR-451 was found to be a negative regulator of migration inhibitory factor (MIF). Thus, Tang et al. found that MIF was upregulated in mice fed an HFD because the miR-451 level was reduced. Their results also indicated that MIF was reduced by miR-451 mimic transfection, which induced the inactivation of protein kinase B (Akt) and activation of LC3II. The same results were indicated upon MIF knockout. In contrast, increased Akt and reduced LC3II expression were induced by miR-451 silencing. In addition, Hur et al. showed that the downregulation of miR-451 in a NAFLD mouse model inhibited the production of fatty acid-induced proinflammatory cytokines through the AMPK/AKT pathway. Important miRNAs that can prevent or alleviate NAFLD can be modulated through autophagy activation.

**TFs that target autophagy-related genes in NAFLD**

Currently, approximately 32 TFs involved in the regulation of autophagy-related genes have been reported; the most representative TFs are transcription factor EB (TFEB), cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB), forkbox O proteins (FOXOs), farnesoid X receptor (FXR), and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα) (Fig. 4a). We present a summary of the most representative TFs associated with autophagy-related genes in NAFLD in Fig. 4b. TFEB acts as a master regulator of lysosomal biogenesis, autophagy, lysosomal exocytosis, lipid catabolism, energy metabolism, and the immune response. TFEB is a member of the microphthalmia/transcription factor E (MIT/TFE) family of TFs, and it recognizes and binds to the E-box sequence. For efficient DNA binding, TFEB dimerizes with itself or with other MIT/TFE family members, such as IGHM Enhancer 3 (TFE3), transcription factor EC (TFEC), and microphthalmia-associated TF (MITF). TFEB regulates the expression of key genes in autophagy, such as BECN1, WIPI1, which encodes WD repeat domain phosphoinositide-interacting protein 1), GABARAP, ATG16L, ATG5, and UVRAG. TFEB activity is regulated by phosphorylation at S122, S142, and S211 by mTORC1; phosphorylated TFEB is sequestered into the cytoplasm, and the induction of transcription of the target gene is suppressed. In contrast, when mTORC1 activity is inhibited during nutrient deficiency, TFEB is dephosphorylated and rapidly migrates to the nucleus, where it binds the promoter of the target gene. Similarly, mTORC1 also inhibits the nuclear localization of TFE3 and MITF, effectively
inhibiting the transcriptional induction of autophagy genes. In HFD-fed mice that overexpress TFEB, lipid accumulation in the liver was reduced, and metabolic syndrome was attenuated during a 24-hour starvation period. In line with the role of TFEB in energy control, modest restriction of calorie consumption and regular exercise may be promising approaches for treating early-stage NAFLD, whereas therapeutic agents that target TFEB may be promising for preventing the development of NAFLD into NASH.

FOXO1, which has an important role in both glucose and lipid metabolism, promotes lipid droplet breakdown through transcriptional activation of hepatic autophagy by inducing a number of autophagy-related genes, including \( \text{Atg5} \), \( \text{Atg14} \), \( \text{Vps34} \), \( \text{Atg12} \), and \( \text{Gabarap1} \). Under nutrient starvation conditions, AMPK phosphorylates FOXO3 in the nucleus, and FOXO3 inhibits the transcription of S-phase kinase-associated protein 2 (SKP2), which is an SKP1, Cul-1, F-box protein (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex critical for the degradation of coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1 (CARM1). Stabilized CARM1 promotes histone H3 Arg17 demethylation and binds TFEB as a coactivator, thereby promoting the expression of autophagy-related genes. In addition, spliced X-box binding protein 1 (sXBP1), the key TF that promotes the adaptive unfolded protein response, regulates autophagy-related genes by occupying the −743 to −523 site of the TFEB promoter in the liver under fasting conditions. However, evidence showing that these mechanisms can be targeted for NAFLD therapy is still insufficient.

The fasting transcriptional activator CREB upregulates autophagy genes, including \( \text{ATG7} \) and \( \text{ULK1} \), by recruiting...
CREB-regulated transcription coactivator 2 (CRTC2) in hepatocytes. On the other hand, FXR transrepresses autophagy-related genes by disrupting the CREP-CRTC2 complex, suggesting that the FXR-CRE axis is a key physiological switch for regulating autophagy\textsuperscript{128}. The CREB–CRTC2 complex upregulates the expression of TFE3, in addition to autophagy-related genes. Similar to the way CRTC2 competes with FXR for binding CRED in proximal autophagy-related genes, PPAR\(\alpha\) competes with FXR for binding the DR1 response element in the promoters of these genes, and thus, PPAR\(\alpha\) acts as a ligand-dependent transactivator\textsuperscript{129,130}.

SREBP-2, a major transcriptional regulator of cholesterol metabolism, targets lipid metabolic processes, and it also directly activates autophagy-related genes during cellular sterol depletion in the liver\textsuperscript{131}. Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) also regulates autophagy-related genes. Interleukin (IL)-17A inhibits autophagy by activating STAT3 in hepatic fibrosis; in turn, STAT3 downregulates the expression of BECN1 and VPS34, which are involved in the development of hepatic fibrosis\textsuperscript{132}. On the other hand, in methionine/choline-deficient diet-induced NASH, autophagy-related proteins such as BECN1 and SQSTM1/p62 are upregulated, but autophagic flux is impaired by hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1\(\alpha\)), which induces liver steatosis and inflammation\textsuperscript{133}. It has recently been reported that hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4\(\alpha\)), which is essential for hepatocyte differentiation and has an important role in liver function, also has an important role in autophagy. HNF4\(\alpha\) expression was reduced in the fatty liver of mice fed an HFD for a prolonged period and activated autophagy by directly regulating Ulk1 expression in the liver\textsuperscript{52}.

**The interplay of miRNAs and TFs in autophagy regulation in NASH**

miRNAs and TFs often play coordinating roles in the regulation of various cellular processes via a complex signal transduction network in the liver\textsuperscript{134,135}. For example, in human HCC cells, miR-223 and FOXO3a modulate doxorubicin-induced cytoprotective autophagy, contributing to chemoresistance. However, miR-223 overexpression suppresses Foxo3a-modulated autophagy, which enhances doxorubicin sensitivity in a mouse xenograft model of HCC, suggesting that this miRNA/TF axis is an important mechanism for drug resistance development in HCC\textsuperscript{136,137}. TFE3-mediated transactivation is also regulated by miR-30-5p, which suppresses TFE3-dependent downstream gene expression by binding to coordinated lysosomal expression and regulation element, leading to the inhibition of lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy in mouse liver\textsuperscript{138}.

Accumulating evidence shows that miR-34a is involved in NASH patients and in obese or diabetic mice\textsuperscript{108,139,140}. miR-34a promotes hepatic steatosis through the suppression of various TFs, such as HNF4\(\alpha\)\textsuperscript{141}, PPAR\(\alpha\), and SIRT1, which promote the expression of autophagy-related genes\textsuperscript{142–144}. These observations suggest that the miR-34a/TF axis may inhibit NAFLD progression through transcriptional regulation of autophagy\textsuperscript{52,109,130,145}. Interestingly, miR-34a is directly activated by nuclear receptor liver X receptor-\(\alpha\), a ligand-dependent TF involved in hepatic cholesterol metabolism. miR-34a also inhibits Atd4B and Rab8b, which regulate autophagic flux, leading to the progression of hepatic steatosis\textsuperscript{146,147}. Considering the role of LXR in cholesterol homeostasis and that increased hepatic free cholesterol is associated with the development of NASH from NAFL in obese mice, cross-talk between TFs, miR-34a, and autophagy may be important for controlling NASH development.

Recently, we reported certain miRNAs and TFs that regulate autophagy in the development of HFD-induced fatty liver. As shown in Fig. 5, we found that miR-214-3p and HNF4\(\alpha\) modulated Ulk1 expression and autophagy in hepatocytes\textsuperscript{52}. Our results indicate that autophagy in the fatty liver was attenuated only when mice were fed a 45% HFD for a prolonged period, which led to a significant reduction in the expression of autophagy-related genes, such as Ulk1. This downregulation of autophagy was caused by increased miR-214-3p and decreased HNF4\(\alpha\) levels in hepatocytes. miR-214-3p negatively regulates Ulk1 expression through direct binding of the 3’-UTR sequence of Ulk1, and HNF4\(\alpha\) induces autophagy by directly binding Ulk1, promoting its transcription. Thus, both miR-214-3p and HNF4\(\alpha\) act as regulatory factors of Ulk1 expression. Although the inhibition of miR-214-3p in the fatty liver appears to restore HNF4\(\alpha\) expression, miR-214-3p does not directly regulate HNF4\(\alpha\), suggesting that miR-214-3p and HNF4\(\alpha\) independently regulate Ulk1 expression. The interplay between miR-214-3p and HNF4\(\alpha\) and their involvement in the regulation of autophagy in the fatty liver are summarized in Fig. 5. Taken together, we propose that miR-214-3p and HNF4\(\alpha\) are potential targets for NAFLD therapy.

**Concluding remarks**

Studies on the molecular regulation of autophagy mainly focus on how autophagy-related proteins bind and function in the cytoplasm. However, as described in this review, there has been growing interest in understanding the roles of miRNAs and TFs that influence the autophagy pathway. Transcriptional regulation of autophagy may be associated with posttranslational regulation to coordinate the fine-tuning of autophagic flux, especially in cells under chronic stress. In addition, the transcriptional induction of autophagy-related genes may prevent the depletion of the corresponding proteins under stress conditions, as the
autophagy will be a useful therapeutic strategy for NAFLD. However, we believe that selective induction of currently available NAFLD drugs on autophagy are not yet fully understood. The regulation of autophagy is expected to have similar benefits of getting autophagy for treating NAFLD, transcriptional regulation of the miRNAs and TFs should be further studied.

Given the studies that demonstrate the benefits of targeting autophagy for treating NAFLD, transcriptional regulation of autophagy is expected to have similar benefits for the treatment of NAFLD. The effects of the currently available NAFLD drugs on autophagy are not yet known; however, we believe that selective induction of autophagy will be a useful therapeutic strategy for NAFLD.
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Fig. 5 The interplay of miRNAs and transcription factors (TFs) in regulating autophagy in the fatty liver. miR-30b-5p and miR-34a downregulate autophagy-related gene expression by directly inhibiting TFs, such as transcription factor EB (TFEB), Hnf4α, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα), and NAD-dependent protein deacetylase sirtuin 1 (SIRT1). Liver X receptor-α (LXRα) transcriptionally activates miR-34a and let-7a, which directly target the 3’UTR of Atg4B and Rab8b. miR-214-3p and HNF4α reciprocally regulate ULK1 expression.
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