Motor fault detection using sound signature and wavelet transform
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ABSTRACT

The use of induction machines has gained fast popularity in many aspects of today’s energy applications and industrial productions. However, just as with any other machine, failure is expected due to a variety of faults in component and system levels. Therefore, it is necessary to improve machine reliability by performing preventive maintenance and exploring faulty indications in advance to avoid future failures. In normal operation, a distinct machine sound signature can be identified. Therefore, at any faulty operation, diagnosis of potential error can be defined based on output signature sound data analysis. Yet, this process of monitoring induction machine sounds and vibration can be hectic and extensive in terms of collecting data and compiling analysis. That is, a huge number of data samples need to be collected and stored in order to define abnormality operation. Therefore, in this work, wavelet-based algorithms were developed as an analysis process to analyze collected data and identify abnormality, with much fewer data samples and compiling process, as special prosperity of wavelet transform. As a result, MATLAB codes were implemented to analyze data based on sound signature technique and wavelet transform algorithms to show a significant improvement in identifying potential error and abnormality conditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In today’s large and heavy industries revolution, induction motors play an important role as driver machinery due to their affordable cost, easy maintenance, high reliability, and ease of operations. However, this is not the case all the time, since many faults may occur causing failures or even breakdown with potentially catastrophic results. Many of these faults are indistinguishable, can’t be detected, and could inherent into the machine main core causing bigger issues. Therefore, faults such as broken rotor bars, winding faults, bearing failure, stator, and rotor unbalanced, and many more other faults needs to be detected and identified [1], [2]. Meanwhile, as an economic value, voltage distortion and phase imbalance costs the US somewhere between 1 to 2 billion dollars a year in failures [3].

Many researches have been done to develop a processing system of monitoring, collecting, and analyzing data required to prevent major failures. In fact, undetected small failures could potentially lead to catastrophic failures with consequences of extreme vibrations, poor performances, and high thermal stress [4], [5]. A variety of machine faults detection applications were studied by many researchers [4]–[9] to determine and diagnose faulty incidents through waveform spectral components such as voltage, current, power,
temperature, vibrations, and sound captured during the brief operation. In most researches, digital signal processing (DSP) has been used as primary tools in the area of electrical machines faults detection analysis and advanced over the recent decades [8]–[11]. However, such detection is based on conventional DSP analysis of Fourier transform [7].

Although Fourier transform has wide usage in DSP applications, Fourier transform analysis has some shortcomings comparing to other analysis algorithms [12]. For example, in Fourier, a transformed signal from time to frequency domain may loss some critical data information. In addition, Fourier has shown a lack of ability for non-stationary signals analyzing [12], [13], as Fourier transform assumes individuality of each frequency component, gives a limitation approach.

Other researches, as in [14]–[17], have been invested in presenting their tested waveform data in methods of time-varying or nonstationary based on dilation and translation of a signal to provide dynamic time and frequency localization adjustable windows (scaling concept to fit multi-frequency components). However, in this work, a unique special feature of the wavelet transform algorithm will be used to deliver a combined framework for advanced signals processing analysis application with much fewer data samples and shorter testing time [18], [19] for stationary and non-stationary motor output sounds waveform.

As a result, discrete wavelet transform (DWT) will be presented as a new method of detecting machine failure based on faulty noise indication to identify fault potential that may lead to machine malfunctions. The distinctive of this new proposed DWT algorithm will allow analyzing machine output sounds with fewer data samples as compared to fast Fourier transform (FFT) by the unique property of decomposition and de-noising filters to isolate faulty frequencies and locate abnormality faster and in early stages.

2. SIGNAL TO NOISE RATIO

The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is a regularly used process to evaluate the quality of a signal and estimate the influence of noise on a signal. In this process, the power ratio of the signal power to the total noise is estimated by the spectral data [20], [21]. In fast Fourier transform (FFT), the captured waveform data samples will be transformed into the frequency domain where the captured signal will be in the form as shown in (1).

$$x_{\text{out}}(n) = s(n) + y(n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $s(n)$ is the signal and $y(n)$ is the noise.

For optimal accuracy, $x_{\text{out}}(n)$ will consist of $\mu$ number of samples and an integer number sine-wave whole cycles [6]. Therefore compute SNR, $x_{\text{out}}(h)$, with $\mu$-point FFT of $x_{\text{out}}(n)$, will be calculated as given by (2).

$$x_{\text{out}}(h) = \sum_{n=-\mu}^{\mu} x_{\text{out}}(n) e^{-j(2\pi / \mu)n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

With frequency component $\omega$ in the $j$-th element of $x_{\text{out}}(h)$. Parseval’s theorem for FFT [19], the estimation of the variance of the signal $s(n)$ (which is also the signal power $\hat{p}_s$) as given in (3).

$$\hat{p}_s = \frac{2}{(\mu - 1)\mu} |x(j)|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

However, unbiased noise power $\hat{p}_n$ can be given by (4).

$$\hat{p}_n = \frac{2}{(\mu - 1)\mu} \sum_{h=1}^{(\mu-1)/2} |x(h)|^2 \text{ and } h \neq j$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As a result, the combination of both (3) and (4) yields SNR for frequency $\omega$ as in (5).

$$\text{SNR} = 10\log_{10} \frac{|x(j)|^2}{\sum_{h=1}^{(\mu-1)/2} |x(h)|^2} \text{ and } h \neq j$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

3. WAVELET TRANSFORM

While Fourier transform signal analysis is done based on one window analysis fit all frequencies, wavelet transforms provide an adjustable window analysis for different frequencies to provide good resolution in the time domain for a high-frequency component of the signal and good resolution in frequency for
low-frequency component of the signal [22], [23]. As a result, an automatic analysis window of wavelet transform is done through the shifting and scaling process based on mother wavelet form [5]. In continuous wavelet transform, the original signal multiplied by scaling and shifting algorithm of a wavelet to be summed over time and produces low-pass and hi-pass coefficients as in (6).

\[
\Psi_{a,b}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \phi_{a,b}(t)dt
\]  

(6)

Where

\[
\phi_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \phi\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \text{ and } a > 0
\]

(a and b are dilation and translation parameters and \(\sqrt{a}\) normalization factor).

Meanwhile, in DWT, convolutions with a quadratic mirror filter are performed for the decomposition process of the original signal. As a result, a predetermined filters bank of low and high-passes used to transfer raw data of the original signal into orthonormal wavelet basis or decomposing the signal by a set of independent frequency bands to remove half of the frequency spectrum at each decomposition levels without risking the signal information components [24]–[26]. DWT would have the advantage of processing and analyzing stationary signals and non-stationary signals over the FFT [27]. That is, a discrete signal \(X[n]\) decomposition can be presented as in (7).

\[
x[n] = \sum_b a_{j_0,k} \phi_{j_0,k}[n] + \sum_{j=1}^{-1} \sum d_{j,k} \psi_{j,k}[n]
\]

(7)

Where:

- \(x[n]\) is discrete signal
- \(\phi_{j_0,k}[n]\) is scaling function
- \(\psi_{j,k}[n]\) is mother wavelet at scaling function
- \(a_{j_0,k}\) is approximation coefficients at scaling function
- \(d_{j,k}\) is detail coefficients at scaling function

By applying DWT filter bank of high-pass and low-pass algorithms, detail coefficients are passed through the high-pass filter \(h[n]\) and approximation coefficients are transferred through a low-pass filter \(g[n]\) followed by a down-sampling by two driven by mother wavelet and the scaling function [20], [21], [28], as shown in Figure 1, which makes DWT suitable for signal analysis with fewer data samples and particularly for transient signals. However, in this work, instantaneous amplitude measurements and waveform dynamic range will be based on the low-pass approximation coefficients to eliminate noises and obtain an accurate reading.

4. MOTOR FAULTS TYPES AND FAULT DIAGNOSIS

Faults in induction motors can be classified based on fault location. With three major parts of induction motors (stator, rotor, and shaft bearing) as in Figure 2, the stator of an induction motor may cause some problems due to internal wiring and shielding problems such as open or short winding, abnormal winding connection, or ground faults. Rotor problems can be referred to as rotor internal winding and shielding (open or short winding), or mechanical bearing faults [9], [29]. In addition, induction motor failure can be caused by other mechanical parts such as bearing and an imbalanced shaft. Therefore, it is necessary to identify any potential failure.
Fault detection and condition monitoring of induction motor can be performed in many techniques such as sound signature analysis, vibration analysis, acoustic emission analysis, motor current signature analysis, temperature monitoring, and many other techniques [9], [17], [30]. In this work, sound signature analysis will be used to define any potential failure or potential error that may lead to a failure. As it’s known, the sound is mechanical wave vibration of a medium (solid, gas, liquid) that propagate and transfer at pressure rate change known as frequency, and the differences among the level of pressure characterize amplitude.

By converting such pressure and amplitude into electrical signals, a discrete wavelet decomposition process can be applied in two levels to obtain approximation coefficients data. For example, using Haar wavelet decomposition process for the first level as in (8) if the digitized signal assumed to be $z(n)$.

$$
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(8)

For the second level decomposition, waveform will be filtered as in (9).
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(9)

As a result, detection of sound changes can be determined through waveform amplitude voltage change and dynamic range increases (ratio of the largest and smallest component of a signal that can be measured expressed in dB [21]). DR can be given by (10).

$$
Dynamic\ Range\ in\ dB = 20 \times \log_{10}(V_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{min}})
$$

(10)

As mechanical faults such as bearing faults or unbalance faults, or electrical faults such as stator or rotor winding faults may occur, unique sound changes in frequency amplitude can be defined by the frequency spectrum, which indicates a faulty or potential fault operation. However, in this work, due to the large number of samples collected and processed by FFT to obtain frequency spectrum, wavelet decomposition will be used to decrease the number of processed and stored data samples [12], [18], [24]. As shown in Figure 3 (flowchart), a continuous monitoring sequence and data acquisition as iterative MATLAB code will be performed on induction motor to collect sounds waveform data samples, apply DWT filtration and amplification to eliminate noise and analyze for abnormality.
5. SIMULATION and RESULTS DISCUSSION

Sounds analysis of rotating machines can give a major indication of motor condition. By constantly monitoring and analyzing the sound behavior of a machine, as shown in Figure 4, the decision can be made on the status of the machine. For example, distress of machinery may very often reveal itself in sounds and vibration outside the normal pattern and the dynamic range of the expected waveform. In addition, shortage between rotor or stator winding due to insulation damages may lead to current flow between shorted winding and sparks based on the amount of current flow, and the ionization may result in sparks. Therefore sounds analysis of motor output sound could be a powerful tool for monitoring, detecting, comparing, and diagnosis faults due to added failure noises and allow troubleshooting in most machines.

In this work, a clean consistent sinusoidal waveform has been simulated as motor sound without additive error is ordered to implement a conventional testing analysis of FFT and new wavelet transform analysis. The test was intended to show the abilities of both FFT and DWT testing analysis in processing, de-noising, and explores waveform data. As noticed in Figure 5, the FFT power spectrum has specified the fundamental frequency without data decomposition or showing any other critical waveform components. While, in Figure 6, DWT has performed a de-noising and decomposition process to reveal data components of waveform characteristics in terms of amplitude and dynamic range changes.

Meanwhile, by adding abnormality to the fundamental signal as a source of potential motor failure, the new output sound waveform was analyzed and the process by both testing algorithms to define the amplitude and standpoint of the potential failure. In Figures 7 and 8, as it shows the noisy output motor sound, the FFT algorithm was used to obtain the power spectrum and define unwanted data attached to the waveform.

However, it was noticed that the noises component was closer to the noise floor and the amplitude of fundamental frequency was very high to define the magnitude of amplitude changes or any significant dynamic
range alteration. Meanwhile, in Figure 9, the same output waveform was analyzed by DWT to perform a de-noising and decomposition process. In this algorithm, DWT was able to pinpoint and represent changes (distortion) that occur within the waveform with one-fourth number of data samples. That is, the amplitude change was clearly defined and dynamic range alteration was determined based on the changes of the highest and lowest waveform amplitude of the approximation decomposition cA with much fewer data samples and time to compile.

Figure 5. Original clean waveform and power representation of frequency domain

Figure 6. Original clean waveform and discrete wavelet analysis

Figure 7. Faulty waveform and power spectrum representation of frequency domain

Figure 8. Original faulty waveform and power spectrum representation of frequency domain

Figure 9. Waveform distortion based on wavelet analysis

By obtaining the maximum and the minimum deconstruct waveform amplitude, the dynamic range was computed based on different types of mother wavelet. For a clean motor waveform with no failure, Tables 1 and 2 show the instantaneous dynamic range of normal operation for both no-load and with load
status. Meanwhile, Tables 3 and 4 show an instantaneous dynamic range for faulty waveform for both no-load and with load respectively.

This test was based on two different algorithms. For FFT, signal to noise ratio was used to determine the level of noise due to failure occurrence measured in dB. Meanwhile, wavelet transforms algorithms were used based on waveform dynamic range calculation to determine failure occurrence. Based on results shown in Tables 1 to 4 and Figures 10 (a) and 10 (b), it was clear that wavelet transforms algorithms significant results by using Daubechies (dbn) wavelet at the second level of waveform decomposition.

| Wavelet | db4 | db12 | Haar | FFT/dB |
|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
| cA1     | 0.63| 0.68 | 0.42 | 0.97   |
| cA2     | 0.25| 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.97   |

Table 1. No load no error

| Wavelet | db4 | db12 | Haar | FFT/dB |
|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
| cA1     | 0.55| 0.58 | 0.30 | 0.95   |
| cA2     | 0.16| 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.95   |

Table 2. With load no error

| Wavelet | db4 | db12 | Haar | FFT/dB |
|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
| cA1     | 0.77| 0.73 | 0.52 | 0.82   |
| cA2     | 0.51| 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.82   |

Table 3. No load with error

| Wavelet | db4 | db12 | Haar | FFT/dB |
|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
| cA1     | 0.86| 0.81 | 0.62 | 0.89   |
| cA2     | 0.65| 0.62 | 0.53 | 0.89   |

Table 4. With load and error

| Wavelet | db4 | db12 | Haar | FFT/dB |
|---------|-----|------|------|--------|
| cA1     | 0.77| 0.73 | 0.52 | 0.82   |
| cA2     | 0.51| 0.59 | 0.44 | 0.82   |

6. CONCLUSION

In this work, the properties of DWT decomposition and de-noising were implemented as advanced signal processing techniques to monitor the behavior of electrical motor. Fault diagnosis of induction motors was based on the algorithms of Fourier transformations for SNR fault indication technique and wavelet transform for DR indications where used. Hence, even though the two techniques were different in compiling samples method and testing result, DWT has shown promising results in detecting faulty sounds with much less data to compile. That is, by comparing results in terms of number of collected data samples, Fourier transformation did not show sufficient results in term of SNR (due to large number of collected data sample in power spectrum), while, wavelet transform had shown promising results in terms of computing DR and spotting deviation of motor error. In addition, based on wavelet decomposition coefficients, Daubechies wavelet has shown superior results in term of motor error detection in both 1st and 2nd level decomposition.
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