Gene Expression Analysis of the 26S Proteasome Subunit PSMB4 Reveals Significant Upregulation, Different Expression and Association with Proliferation in Human Pulmonary Neuroendocrine Tumours
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Abstract

Background: Proteasomal subunit PSMB4 was suggested to be a survival gene in an animal model of hepatocellular carcinoma and in glioblastoma cell lines. In pulmonary adenocarcinoma, a high expression of these genes was found to be associated with poor differentiation and survival. This study investigates the gene expression levels of 26S proteasome subunits in human pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours including typical (TC) and atypical (AC) carcinoid tumours as well as small cell (SCLC) and large cell (LCNEC) neuroendocrine carcinomas.

Material and methods: Gene expression levels of proteasomal subunits (PSMA1, PSMA5, PSMB4, PSMB5 and PSMD1) were investigated in 80 neuroendocrine pulmonary tumours (each 20 TC, AC, LCNL and SCLC and SCLC) and compared to controls. mRNA levels were determined by using TaqMan assays. Immunohistochemistry on tissue microarrays (TMA) was performed to determine the expression of Ki67, cleaved caspase 3 and PSMB4.

Results: All proteasomal subunit gene expressions were significantly upregulated in TC, AC, SCLC and LCNEC compared to controls. PSMB4 mRNA is differently expressed between all neuroendocrine tumour subtypes demonstrating the highest expression and greatest range in LCNEC (p=0.043), and is significantly associated with proliferative activity (p=0.039).

Conclusion: In line with other 26S proteasomal subunits PSMB4 is significantly increased, but differently expressed between pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours and is associated with the proliferative activity. Unlike in pulmonary adenocarcinomas, no association with biological be-
Background

Neuroendocrine tumours (NET) of the lung include the low grade typical carcinoid (TC), the intermediate grade atypical carcinoid (AC) and the two high grade tumours large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (LCNEC) and small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (SCLC). Whereas TC and AC typically occur in younger patients, are not related to cigarette smoking and have a favourable prognosis, LCNEC and SCLC are mainly observed in older individuals with a history of smoking and are associated with poor survival. Whereas TC and SCLC usually occur centrally in the lung, AC and LCNEC more often show a peripheral localization. Until now, NET grading is based on morphological parameters reflecting the proliferative activity of a given tumour: TC is defined as a neuroendocrine tumour greater than 5 mm which lacks necrosis, with not more than one mitosis per 10 HPF. AC is diagnosed when a NET shows between two and ten mitoses per 10 HPF and/or comedo-like necrosis, while SCLC and LCNEC are characterized by a high degree of cellular polymorphism and more than 10 mitoses per HPF [1]. While traditionally the carcinoid tumours have been thought to represent a continuum with the high grade tumours (LCNEC and SCLC), carcinoid tumours differ significantly from the high grade tumours on clinical, immunohistochemical and molecular grounds, thus challenging the notion that these tumours originate from a common precursor cell type [2-4]. Moreover, gene expression profiling studies using genome-wide approaches reported that high grade neuroendocrine carcinomas clustered in different groups than carcinoid tumours [4].

Proteasomes are large multicatalytic proteases located in the cytosol, both free and attached to the endoplasmic reticulum, and in the nucleus that recognize, unfold and digest protein substrates that have been marked for degradation by an ubiquitin moiety. The most common form is the 26S proteasome [5]. This enzyme complex is composed of the 20S proteolytic core particle (CP) capped on one or both ends with a 19S regulatory particle (RP) [6]. The 20S proteasome is the central proteolytic structure consisting of two pairs of rings each containing seven subunits.

Three of these subunits are crucial for this activity, the β1 subunit with "caspase-like" activity, the β2 subunit with trypsin-like activity, and the β5 subunit with chymotrypsin-like activity [7]. As proteins play crucial roles in virtually all biological processes in eukaryotic cells, an intact and properly regulated ubiquitin-proteasome-system (UPS) is important for cellular homeostasis [8-13]. The UPS degrades and thereby regulates numerous short-lived and functionally different proteins involved in crucial cellular processes encompassing the modulation of cell surface and nuclear receptors, antigen presentation, signal transduction [14-17], and importantly, cellular proliferation by controlling the cell cycle and transcriptional activity [8,18-20], as well as apoptosis [21]. In particular, proteasomes are engaged in the proteolysis of many tumour suppressor proteins related to cell division [22,23], as well as of proteins involved in the NF-κB- [24], the mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPK)- [25], the P53/21- [26,27] and the retinoblastoma protein (Rb)/E2F [28-30] pathways, resulting in increased proliferation and invasive and metastasizing properties of somatic cells. Consequently, dysfunction or defects of the UPS have been implicated in numerous human diseases including malignancy [25,31-33]. In this context, overexpression of PSMD2, a subunit of the 19S regulatory complex, was shown to be associated with a metastatic phenotype and poor prognosis in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients. Whereas there are reports on the effects of proteasome inhibition by Bortezomib in SCLC [34] and MG-132 in human pulmonary (NCI-H727) and gastrointestinal (BON) carcinoid cell lines [35], in general little is known about the role of the 26S Proteasome in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours, in particular about a potential different expression pattern in TC, AC and LCNEC/SCLC with respect to the above mentioned changes in signal transduction, cell cycle regulation or cancer-associated epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [36]. Against this background, we sought to determine the mRNA expression of specific proteasomal subunits of the 26S proteasome in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours of varying differentiation.

Methods

Patient data

80 paraffin-embedded tissue samples of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours with histopathologi-
cally confirmed diagnosis were retrieved from the archives of the Institute of Pathology and Neuropathology of the University Hospital Essen (Germany), including 20 cases of each typical and atypical carcinoid tumours (TC/AC) as well as small and large cell neuroendocrine carcinomas (SCLC/LCNEC) resected between 2005 and 2012 at the Department of Thoracic Surgery (Ruhrlandklinik Essen, Germany). The tumours were classified according to the WHO diagnostic criteria outlined in the 2004 classification of tumours of the lung and pleura. Further requirements encompassed sufficient amount of viable tumour tissue and a low content of both necrosis and non-neoplastic (inflammatory or desmoplastic) cells contaminating the sample. All cases were reviewed by two experienced pathologists (JW, TH) to re-evaluate the initial diagnosis. Additionally, 20 non-tumorous lung tissues obtained from resections in patients with pneumothorax were used as benign tissue controls. These 20 samples were recruited from the Department of Pathology, Helios Klinikum Emil von Behring, Berlin (Berlin, Germany), and were evaluated by an experienced pathologist (PD Dr. T. Mairinger). Inclusion criterion was no noticeable inflammatory reaction. Of these patients, no further clinical and personal information could be evaluated due to anonymisation for ethical aspects.

The patients’ mean age of all investigated cohorts was 58.98 years (median 59.58, maximum 84.07, minimum 19.51). In the carcinoid cohort, the mean age was 54 years (median 54, maximum 88, minimum 19), and in the carcinoma cohort 62 years (median 63, maximum 84, minimum 55). 37 patients were males and 43 were females (overall cohort: 43 f, 37 m; carcinoid cohort: 14 f, 26 m; carcinoma cohort: 29 f, 11 m). The investigations conformed to the principles outlined in the declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the ethical committee of the University Hospital of Essen (ID: 13-5382-BO).

**Real-time TaqMan qPCR**

In this study, the subunits α1 and α5, β4 and β5 as well as δ1, also known as RPN2, which is part of the proteasome capping structure, were investigated. To evaluate the expression levels of the subunits of the 26S proteasome in the pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours, TaqMan real-time qPCR was applied. For RNA isolation formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue was used. Tumour tissue was retrieved from the paraffin blocks with a hollow needle. 3 to 5 µm thick sections were cut from the blocks using a microtome (Leica, SM 2000 R, Wetzlar, Germany). Tumour tissue was stored at -20°C until used for RNA-isolation. RNA-isolation was performed with the “miRNeasy® FFPE kit” from Qiagen® according to the manufacturers’ protocol, using adapted times and volumes (e.g. overnight digestion). The extracted RNA was stored at -80°C until used. For cDNA synthesis the “iScript® Select cDNA Synthesis Kit” from BioRad® was used. cDNA synthesis was done with the whole RNA-samples. cDNA not immediately used was stored at -20°C. Ct-values were normalized to the mean of two different endogenous reference genes, namely β-actin (ACTB) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH).

Relative cDNA quantification of PSMA1, PSMA5, PSMB4, PSMB5 and PSMD1 and two reference genes (GAPDH and ACTB) as internal reference genes for normalization were measured. For evaluation, commercial TaqMan® Gene Expression assays (Applied Biosystems®, AoD, Assay-ID: HS 03023943-g1, HS 01027362-g1, HS 09932059-m1, HS 01123843-g1, 01002826-g1, HS 00160631-m1) with optimized primer and probe concentrations were used. 10µl of the sample was sufficient as a reaction volume. For gene expression analysis in formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue, a set of primers with low amplicon size was used to avoid the limitations of RNA degradation. qPCR and data analysis was performed on a Roche® LightCycler® 480 II. qPCR analysis was performed in concordance to the MIQE-guidelines [37].

**Immunohistochemistry**

For immunohistochemical characterization staining of whole tissue slides with antibodies directed against Pan-Cytokeratin (DAKO, Clone MNF116, Hamburg, Germany), CD56 (Zytomed, clone RCD56, Berlin, Germany), Chromogranin A (Novocastra, clone 5H7, Wetzlar, Germany) and TTF-1 (DAKO, Clone 8G7G3/1, Hamburg, Germany) was performed. The proliferation index was determined by staining with antibodies directed against the proliferation associated marker ki67 (Zytomed, clone K-2, Berlin, Germany) using a fully automated stainer (Dako AutostainerPlus). Apoptosis was determined by immunohistochemistry against cleaved caspase 3 (Cell Signalling, Beverly, MA, USA). The immunorepression of ki67 was quantified by applying a four stage score (0= 0%, 1= <10%, 2=11 -50%, 3=> 50%), whereas cleaved caspase was scored by a different system (0= 0%, 1= <5%, 2=5-10%, 3=> 10%). Because PSMB4 was differentially expressed in any pulmonary NET subtype, the protein expression was assessed by immunohistochemistry (Sigma, polyclonal antibody, Taufkirchen, Germany).

**Statistical analysis**

For assay validation, standard curves were calculated using six different concentrations of a pool out
of all isolated RNAs. Calculation was performed automatically with the Roche® LightCycler® 480 II data analysis program.

PCR was analysed by the 2^{ΔΔCt} method. Correlation analysis was performed with a custom-programmed algorithm for the R software. The exact Wilcoxon Mann-Witney Rank Sum test was used to test associations between gene or protein expression and dichotomous variables (gender). To rule out a possible association between gene or protein expression and clinical variables (age, age of blocks, etc.), a Spearman’s rank correlation test was performed. The Spearman’s rank correlation test was done to test associations between gene expression and IHC staining score. For correlation analysis between the expression statuses of the different investigated genes/proteins Spearman’s rank correlation test was applied. Calculation of the overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) was performed by Kaplan-Meier curves. Survival analysis for overall and progression-free survival was done by Cox-regression (COXPH-model), statistical significance was determined using Likelihood ratio test and Score (logrank) test. A p-value below 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

**TaqMan real-time qPCR**

Five genes of the 26S proteasome complex coding for proteins of the catalytic domain and two additional reference genes as endogenous controls were tested using the TaqMan AqD for their mRNA expression levels. Efficacies calculated from the standard curves lay between 1.89 and 2.01. The standard deviation was lower than 0.4 Ct in any cases and assays. None of the non-template controls (NTCs) generated a detectable signal. 2^{ΔCT} values for the 26S proteasome subunits were as follows: PSMA1 (3.5E-07 to 53.69; median: 1.35; mean: 2.70), PSMA5 (7.7E-06 to 901.20; median: 2.27; mean: 136.12), PSMB4 (1.3E-05 to 77.36; median: 1.61; mean: 3.54) and PSMD1 (9.9E -07 to 40.38; median: 1.32; mean: 3.78). GAPDH was between 0.58 and 1.96 (median: 0.97; mean: 1.31) and ACTB was used for normalization (min: 1; median: 1, mean: 1, max: 1).

**Overall cohort of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours**

The mRNA expression of the 26S proteasome subunits demonstrated significant correlations to each other (p=0.003 and lower; rho between 0.338 and 0.598), except PSMA5/PSMB5 (p=0.69; rho=0.047); indicating that any 26S proteasome subunits are equally expressed in these tumours and mRNA of each subunit was reliably detected.

**26S Proteasome subunit mRNA expressions are significantly upregulated in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours**

Compared to non-tumorous lung tissue, the gene expression of all 26S Proteasome subunits was significantly increased in any subtypes of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours including TC, AC, SCLC and LCNEC (PSMA1: p > 0.0001; PSMA5: p = 0.0002; PSMB4: p> 0.0001; PSMB5: p> 0.0001; PSMD1: p> 0.0001). Except for PSMB4, no significant differences between gene expressions of PSMA1, PSMA5, PSMB5 and PSMD1 could be observed among TC, AC, LCNEC and SCLC (Figure 1).

**PSMB4 mRNA gene expression is significantly upregulated and differently expressed between the subtypes of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours**

PSMB4 gene expression is significantly different between the different neuroendocrine tumour subtypes (p=0.043), showing both the highest mRNA levels and the greatest range in LCNEC (Figure 1). Immunohistochemically, strong reactivity with antibodies directed against PSMB4 was observed in any of the subtypes of pulmonary NET (Figure 2).

**mRNA level of PSMB4 is significantly associated with proliferation in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours**

The proliferation index of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours measured by nuclear expression of Ki67 showed a significant positive correlation to the mRNA level of PSMB4 (p=0.0039, rho= 0.301). None of the other proteasomal subunits were associated with the proliferation (ki67) or apoptosis (cleaved caspase 3), respectively.

**No significant correlation between mRNA levels of 26S proteasome subunits with a metastatic phenotype in pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours**

No significant correlation between the mRNA levels of the proteasome subunits and the occurrence of metastases to either lymph nodes or distant locations could be observed. However, there was a statistical trend for PSMB4 (p=0.076, rho=0.241) and PSMB5 (p=0.054; rho=0.262) mRNA expression and tumour size.

No significant correlations between the mRNA levels of the Proteasome subunits and the immunexpression of Pan-Cytokeratin (MNF116), TTF-1, CD56, Synaptophysin or Chromogranin A immunoexpression was noted. Neither the expression of the
immunohistochemical markers mentioned above, nor the proliferation index or the mRNA levels of the proteasome subunits demonstrated any significant association with the patients’ gender and age at time of diagnosis. Also the sample age, measured as the time period between surgical excision of the tissue until the isolation RNA and immunohistochemistry did not exert any effect on the analyses performed.

### Pulmonary neuroendocrine carcinomas (SCLC/LCNEC)

As observed in the overall cohort any measured mRNA expression levels of the 26S-proteasome were significantly correlated to each other (p=0.010 and lower) except PSMA5/PSMB5 (p=0.332; rho=0.159).

Figure 1. Box plots illustrating significantly upregulated mRNA expression of PSMA1 (A), PSMA5 (B), PSMB4 (C), PSMB5 (D) and PSMD1 (E) in the different subtypes of pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours (NET; TC = typical carcinoid tumour, AC = atypical carcinoid tumour, LCNEC = large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma and SCLC = small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma). The gene expression is shown using log(2^(-dCt)) of each target. Horizontal bars indicate significant differences. Note that any proteasomal subunit mRNA expression is significantly upregulated compared to controls obtained from non-tumourous lung tissue of patients with pneumothorax. Especially PSMB4 and PSMD1 show the strongest upregulation compared to benign lung. LCNEC show the broadest range of proteasomal expression pattern, maybe due to the heterogeneity of this entity. Remarkable is the relatively low PSMA5 expression level when compared to the control.

Figure 2. Figure 2 illustrates the results of the immunohistochemical staining of the proteasomal subunit PSMB4 in both, low-grade (A+B) and high-grade (C+D) pulmonary NET. Immunohistochemically, PSMB4 reactivity is strong in any subtypes of pulmonary NET. All NET are silhouetted against the encircling stroma. In particular, the PSMB4 mRNA expression is upregulated in pulmonary NET compared to controls and differs significantly among the subtypes of pulmonary NET. PSMB4 mRNA expression, which has been suggested to be a survival gene in cancer, is higher in LCNEC/SCLC than in TC/AC. Nevertheless, immunohistochemically no differences between the four tumor entities could be detected.

http://www.jcancer.org
Figure 3. Among others, the gene expressions of A) PSMA1 (p= 0.030) and B) PSMB5 (p= 0.035) are significantly higher in TC compared to AC. This suggests that upregulated gene expressions of proteasomal subunits do not simply reflect the grade or the malignant potential, but might influence a wide spectrum of crucial cellular processes including signal transduction, cell cycle, survival and differentiation.

**Significantly increased PSMB4 mRNA expression in LCNEC compared to SCLC**

PSMB4 gene expression level is significantly higher in LCNEC compared to SCLC (p=0.036).

**Pulmonary carcinoids tumours (TC/AC)**

mRNA levels of several Proteasome subunits significantly differ between TC and AC

PSMA1 (p=0.030), PSMB5 (p=0.035) (Figure 3) as well as PSMA5 (p=0.029) and PSMB4 (p=0.050) were significantly increased in TC compared to AC.

**Discussion**

NET are a heterogeneous group of tumours and show considerable differences on immunohistochemical and molecular, and in particular on clinical grounds with decreasing survival and increasing metastatic phenotype from TC over AC to SCLC/LCNEC. Gene expression profiling studies using genome-wide approaches revealed significant differences between neuroendocrine carcinomas and carcinoid tumours [4]. Thus, it is doubtful, whether the pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours originate from an identical precursor cell or represent biologically different entities [2, 3, 29, 30]. In general, there is increasing evidence that dysfunction of the UPS is associated with the development of malignant tumours in humans.

The 20S-proteasome subunit forms a cylinder containing the inner β-units and the outer α-subunits. Only the β-subunits show catalytical activity, namely β1 (caspase-like activity), β2 (trypsin-like activity) and β5 (chymotrypsin-like activity). The 19S-proteasome forms the cap and is built of Rpn- and Rpt-proteins. An increased proteasome expression was observed in leukaemia [38], renal carcinoma [39] and human breast cancer cells [40]. Upregulation of the proteasomal subunits PSMA6, PSMB4, PSMC2 and PSMD12 was demonstrated in hepatocellular carcinomas of p21-HBx transgenic mice by using MALDI-TOF analysis [41]. By application of small interfering (si) RNA screening in human glioblastoma cells, Thaker and co-workers were able to identify 55 survival genes encoding proteases, kinases and transferases out of which 12 were subunits of the 20S Proteasome, including PSMB4 [42], suggesting a role of different gene expression of proteasomal subunits in the development of various malignant tumours. Matsuyama and co-workers were able to show that pulmonary adenocarcinoma patients can be clearly divided in two groups; those with and without a general high expression of genes involved in proteasome-mediated protein degradation: a high expression of these genes was associated with higher tumour grade, non-terminal respiratory unit (non-TRU) histology, history of smoking and decreased survival. Of note, treatment of pulmonary adenocarcinoma cell lines with increased PSMD2 expression by siRNA was associated with decreased proteasomal activity, induction of apoptosis and increased numbers of cells in the G1 phase. Moreover, a decrease in phosphorylated AKT and increase of phosphorylated p38 and induction p21 appeared to be consistent with the treatment effects. This study also demonstrated that genes encoding components of the 26S proteasome and those involved in proteasome assembly are co-regulated in lung adenocarcinomas.
and a high expression of these genes is associated with shortened survival. The authors conclude that such co-regulated upregulation may confer greater advantage to cancer cell growth during cancer development [43].

In contrast to the investigation by Matsuyama and co-workers on pulmonary adenocarcinomas, this study demonstrates that gene expression of proteasomal subunits is generally increased in all subtypes of human pulmonary neuroendocrine tumours regardless of the biological behaviour and tumour grading compared to non-tumorous controls. The strong staining intensity of tumour cell in comparison to benign tissue can be helpful in the case of diagnosing this tumour entity also in more ambitious cases or e.g. biopsic material. However, PSMB4 expression shows a significant association with the degree of proliferation in these neoplasias. This could be explained by the effects of the proteasome engaged in the proteolysis of many tumour suppressor proteins related to cell division [22, 23], as well as of proteins involved in the NF-kB [24], the mitogen activated protein kinases (MAPK)- [25], the P53/21- [26, 27] and the retinoblastoma protein (Rb)/E2F [28-30] pathways, resulting in increased proliferation of tumour cells. Of note, Wang and co-workers were able to show that under hypoxic conditions, PSMB6 was up-regulated and associated with proliferation of smooth muscle cells of the pulmonary artery in an animal model of pulmonary hypertension [44], since hypoxia is also an important factor in carcinogenesis. Despite of a general and nearly equal upregulation of any proteasomal subunits investigated, PSMB4 mRNA expression differs significantly between the different subgroups of pulmonary NET being highest and showing the greatest range in LCNEC. The mRNA expression of PSMB4 is significantly lower in carcinoid tumours and higher in neuroendocrine carcinomas, but is significantly increased in TC compared to AC, and in LCNEC compared to SCLC. These findings suggest (1) in line with the study of Thaker and co-workers that PSMB4 may act as a survival gene in malignant neuroendocrine tumours of varying differentiation [42], and (2) that an increased gene expression and proteasomal enzymatic activity might be a crucial and probably early event in the development of neuroendocrine tumours of the lung, that interferes with numerous cellular processes involved in malignant transformations such as cell cycle regulation, proliferation and apoptosis promoting further malignant transformation. In contrast to the findings of Matsuyama and co-workers, the increased mRNA expression of proteasomal subunits does not necessarily reflect the proliferative activity, biological behaviour or clinical course of pulmonary NET. In particular, the UPS has been shown to play a complex role in the regulation of apoptosis, in which inhibition of the UPS has pro- as well as anti-apoptotic effects [45]. The activation of NFκB by proteasomes through degradation of IkB induces the expression of anti-apoptotic members of the bcl-2 family [46]. Additionally, proteasomes degrade pro-apoptotic proteins including Bax and Bid [47, 48]. Although the 26S Proteasome is involved in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) associated with infiltrative growth and metastatic property, no association between the mRNA expressions of any proteasomal subunit investigated (PSMA1, PSMA5, PSMB4, PSMB5 and PSMD1) with apoptosis and the occurrence of a metastatic phenotype could be outlined. This could probably be explained by the relatively small number of cases investigated per group (TC, AC and LCNEC/SCLC). The issue of metastatic phenotype of pulmonary NET and proteasomal gene expression has to be addressed in greater number of cases in the future.

The strong expression of all subunits of the 26S-proteasome, especially in comparison to benign lung tissue, suggests the conclusion that neuroendocrine tumours of the lung strongly depend on their proteasomal expression level. This hypothesis leads the door wide open for potential therapeutic approaches regarding a potential anti-proteasome therapeutic concept. In particular, a therapy with bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor approved by the FDA for the treatment of relapsed multiple myeloma and mantle-cell lymphoma [49], chances to be a promising approach for the treatment of generally less chemosensitive carcinoid tumours of the lung with syndrome or even high-grade pulmonary NETs. Even when the most trials using bortezomib up to now focused on lymphoma and leukaemia [50-55], also in different entities of solid tumours an inhibitory and apoptotic effect could be shown [55-63]. Also in SCLC [34] and carcinoid cell lines [35], a beneficial effect of bortezomib in a therapeutic concept was found. The question, whether proteasomal inhibition in this class of tumours should find ones way into standard therapy, must be addressed in further studies.

**Conclusion**

In summary, these data show that proteasomal subunits are upregulated in pulmonary neuroendocrine neoplasms.

Although it is very likely from clinical and molecular grounds, that pulmonary carcinoid tumours and SCLC/LCNEC are different entities that probably arise from different cells of origin; all subtypes show an almost equal upregulation of proteasomal subunit mRNA expression. This suggests that proteasomal
overexpression is a common and sustained phenomenon occurring in pulmonary NET regardless of their differentiation and biological behaviour, which might provide the biochemical milieu for proliferative growth for these neoplasms. In contrast to pulmonary adenocarcinomas, the gene expression of proteasomal subunits does not seem to be able to discriminate between different biological behaviours of pulmonary NET. The interplay between increased proteasomal activity and different cellular processes in the different subtypes of pulmonary NET such as cell cycle regulation and apoptosis has to be investigated in more detail in the future. Nevertheless, these data might prompt further investigation of proteasome inhibitors in animal models of pulmonary NET.
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