Manipulation and removal of defects in spontaneous optical patterns
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Defects play an important role in a number of fields dealing with ordered structures. They are often described in terms of their topology, mutual interaction and their statistical characteristics. We demonstrate theoretically and experimentally the possibility of an active manipulation and removal of defects. We focus on the spontaneous formation of two-dimensional spatial structures in a nonlinear optical system, a liquid crystal light valve under single optical feedback. With increasing distance from threshold, the spontaneously formed hexagonal pattern becomes disordered and contains several defects. A scheme based on Fourier filtering allows us to remove defects and to restore spatial order. Starting without control, the controlled area is progressively expanded, such that defects are swept out of the active area.

Defects are local deviations from a given ordered structure and have attracted a large interest in many areas of physics. The most prominent example is condensed matter physics. This extends to adjacent areas, like spin lattices, liquid crystals, but also to spontaneous structure formation, e.g. convection patterns.

Defects have been catalogued in different classes depending on their topology. Here we focus our attention on point-defects in two dimensions, known as dislocations. These break discrete symmetry of the periodic structure locally. Dislocations are robust entities which cannot be removed by smooth local alterations of the pattern. They can only be created or annihilated in pairs and correspondingly they are assigned a topological charge.

The spatial order that we consider here develops spontaneously through self-organisation and is studied in many branches of science. Spontaneous optical pattern formation is observed in numerous nonlinear media and optical architectures. For low input energy and low external stress, spatial structures evolve to ordered patterns while under increase of the stress parameter, spatial and/or spatio-temporal disorder (optical turbulence) sets in. In certain instances such transitions have been shown to be mediated by the appearance and annihilation of defects.

In this communication, we deal with spatial disorder due to the presence of stable defects of the dislocation type. These configurations are often undesired since order maximises spatial correlations. We then describe a technique to progressively remove stable optical defects for a Kerr-Type nonlinearity in a so-called single-feedback setup. Our approach should be realizable in other kinds of nonlinear optical devices and experiments, as well as in chemistry or in fluid convection, where symmetry-broken patterns are also observed.

In our feedback system the uniform state becomes modulationally unstable at a given threshold of the laser (pump) intensity, leading to the formation of stationary hexagonal patterns. Above a second threshold of the pump intensity, patterns break up and become increasingly disordered, with defects appearing at random locations of the active area. The presence of spatial inhomogeneities can lower this second instability threshold.

The aim of this communication is to demonstrate the possibility to actively (re)move and manipulate these defects. Since removing defects is equivalent to the restoration of the ordered state, we need to apply a technique to control spatiotemporal disorder. In the regime of spontaneous disorder, the hexagonal state survives, but is unstable or marginally stable. Our scheme of control allows us to select this particular solution out of the infinite set of coexisting (dynamical) solutions and stabilize it.

Generalizing the control scheme introduced in, we discourage the growth of structures which do not belong to the desired, well-ordered target state. This is achieved by adding a feedback (control) loop, realized in an all-optical manner. In this control loop, a spatial Fourier filter blocks the discrete number of modes which constitute the spatially periodic target pattern. The remaining control signal is fed back negatively into the system. Consequently, the system is driven towards the target state and the control signal vanishes when the ordered state is reached. This scheme has first been proposed and been tested numerically, but has also been proven to work.
in several experiments [13, 14].

To manipulate defects in patterns, the control scheme described above is modified. The intention is not to entirely replace a disordered pattern by a regular one at once. Instead, the control is applied to a gradually increasing part of the spontaneous structure. Since defects are topologically robust objects, they do not vanish instantaneously. Instead, they can annihilate with corresponding partners or move towards regions where the control signal is not operating. Consequently, defects should be swept out by the front separating the controlled, ordered part of the pattern to the uncontrolled and disordered spatial region.

In our single-feedback experiment, the optical Kerr-type nonlinearity is provided by a so-called Liquid Crystal Light Valve (LCLV). This device has an intensity-sensitive write side (photoconductor layer) and a reflective read side with variable refractive index (liquid crystal layer). Both are coupled by an external electric ac field, applied by transparent electrodes. An intensity profile at the write side is transformed into a corresponding phase profile of a light wave, which is reflected by the LCLV read side [15].

The LCLV is put into a feedback loop: a uniform laser beam is phase modulated and reflected by the LCLV read side. The modulated beam is then fed back to the LCLV write side. It thereby propagates freely over a given distance, which causes the spatial phase modulations to be transformed into an intensity modulation. In this way the feedback is closed and the beam inside the loop modulates itself. LCLV feedback systems in different configurations are successfully used for the investigation of spontaneous optical patterns [10, 11, 15, 16]. In our particular realization, pattern formation is based solely on diffractive coupling.

The experimental setup is presented in Fig. 1. A cw-Nd:YAG laser ($\lambda = 532$ nm) acts as light source (not shown in the scheme). The laser beam is phase modulated and reflected by the LCLV, and then guided to the write side by means of beam splitters (BS), mirrors (M) and lenses. The lenses L1, L2 and the aperture P form a spatial low pass filter. The dove prism D accounts for the correction of residual misalignments. The transverse intensity distribution is recorded with a camera (CCD).

The shaded box in Fig. 1 contains the control loop. A fraction of the light wave is coupled out by beam splitter BS2. It passes the Fourier filter (4f-arrangement of the lenses L3, L4), containing a mask FM in the Fourier plane, which blocks the target modes. The remaining wave is reflected by mirror M4 and, after passing the filter a second time, is reinjected into the system. The phase of this control wave is adjusted by the phase shifter PS in order to achieve destructive interference, i.e. negative feedback of the control signal. The space mask S allows the selection of the area to which this control is applied. It is located in a plane, which is imaged onto the LCLV write side.

For the experiment described here, the spatial low pass filter (L1,L2,P) is used to block all wave numbers above the first critical band. This renders the transition to spatial disorder smoother and makes it easier to choose the amount of disorder contained in the spontaneous structure [10]. The pump intensity was set to about six times the pattern threshold intensity. In the uncontrolled system, a distorted hexagonal pattern is observed (see Fig. 2, first image at $t = 0$), showing a slow dynamics on a time scale of approximately some hundred milliseconds. The structure consists of several ordered domains, between which single or strings of defects are located. Care was taken to match the orientation of the target pattern with the spontaneous pattern in the region where the control is first applied.

After the system has reached an asymptotic disordered state, the space mask S is opened gradually from the right to the left side. Snapshots of the recorded sequence are shown in Fig. 2 where the front between controlled and uncontrolled regions is indicated by a gray line. Eye inspection of Fig. 2 already shows how hexagonal order is restored.
The effect of the control appears not to be strictly limited to the r.h.s. part of the pattern that is directly exposed to the control signal, and are finally pushed out of the active area. During this motion, mutual annihilation of pairs of defects takes place as well as the temporary creation of few new defect pairs.

The effect of the control appears not to be strictly limited to the r.h.s. part of the pattern that is directly exposed to the control signal. The number of defects in the uncontrolled area significantly decreases even when the control front is relatively far away. This can be associated to the long range spatial coupling: the hexagonal pattern is self sustained by diffractional coupling throughout its extent. The restored order in the controlled part now promotes order even in the uncontrolled, disordered part, causing a reduction of the number of defects there.

We also observe that defects belonging to different modes of the hexagonal pattern appear to stay close to each other. This becomes evident by plotting all detected defect locations of the sequence into a single plot. Fig. 3 illustrates that the defects have moved on definite paths. In agreement with the experimental results, we observe in the numerics progressive sweeping of the defects while the domain which corresponds to the target state grows to finally occupy the entire area. In spite of the presence of pronounced local inhomogeneities, an ordered hexagonal structure is restored and stabilised at the end of the procedure (see Fig. 4(d)).

In conclusion, we have shown how defects can be swept out of spontaneous optical patterns by using a control technique based on Fourier filtering. The control is applied to a varying part of the spontaneously formed, numerically in a way that the area where control acts on the spontaneous structure can be chosen. Fig. 4(b) and (c) show the progressive sweeping of the defects while the domain which corresponds to the target state grows to finally occupy the entire area. In spite of the presence of pronounced local inhomogeneities, an ordered hexagonal structure is restored and stabilised at the end of the procedure (see Fig. 4(d)).

In the investigation of model systems, Pismen, Tsimring et al. [17] have shown that two dislocations of different modes attract each other and have been implemented by using the LCLV model originally introduced in [16] and used later in [14]. All parameters of the fully quantitative model have been chosen in accordance to the experiment. Simulations above the pattern forming threshold, however, did not reach stable spatially disordered configurations.

The Fourier control scheme was implemented numerically in a way that the area where control acts on the spontaneous structure can be chosen. Fig. 4(b) and (c) show the progressive sweeping of the defects while the domain which corresponds to the target state grows to finally occupy the entire area. In spite of the presence of pronounced local inhomogeneities, an ordered hexagonal structure is restored and stabilised at the end of the procedure (see Fig. 4(d)).

In agreement with the experimental results, we observe in the numerics progressive sweeping of the defects while the domain which corresponds to the target state grows to finally occupy the entire area. In spite of the presence of pronounced local inhomogeneities, an ordered hexagonal structure is restored and stabilised at the end of the procedure (see Fig. 4(d)).
slightly disordered hexagons. Agreement is found between experiments on a LCLV single-feedback and numerical simulations on the full system model. We emphasized the important role played by local inhomogeneities in stabilizing spatial disorder by pinning the defects. This disorganising effect of inhomogeneities, which are difficult to eliminate in real experiments, can be overcome by our control technique.

Our control technique is independent of the nature of the nonlinearity and has been applied successfully to stabilise unstable patterns in models of saturable absorbers, lasers\[12\] and optical parametric oscillators (OPO)\[13\]. Presently, we are applying and modifying our elimination technique to systems with defects other than pattern dislocations. These include, for example, domain walls and vectorial defects in models of type-I and type-II optical parametric oscillators\[14\].

We expect our control scheme to work on a variety of experiments in optics and other physical systems such as extended chemical reactions and fluids, where stable defects have been observed. Candidates for an all-optical implementation are photo-sensitive chemical systems, which can be detected optically and have already successfully been manipulated by imposed, spatially varying optical signals\[15\]. Otherwise, a digital realization with Fast Fourier transforms may well be fast enough to allow to control systems with time constants in the range of milliseconds.
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