Education level impact on cardiometabolic risk factors: a brief report
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Abstract

Objective: To determine the association between education level and cardiometabolic risk factors in Mexican adults.

Design: Case-control study.

Setting and participants: Previously recollected database.

Main measures: Overweight or obesity, hypertension (SAH), hyperglycemia, and hypercholesterolemia were considered as cardiometabolic risk factors. These were further associated with education levels: low, basic, middle, and superior.

Analysis: Descriptive statistics, chi-square tests with subsequent odds ratio calculation for each cardiometabolic abnormality, considering the basic education level as reference (i.e., OR=1).

Results: Prevalence for overweight and obesity was ≈70%, hypertension 28.1%, hypercholesterolemia 18.2%, and hyperglycemia 9.4%. SAH and hyperglycemia were inversely associated with educational level, whilst hypercholesterolemia presented the same trend up to high education but then increased when reaching a superior education level. Obesity was less prevalent in more educated subjects; but no clear-cut trend was identified for overweight. Odds ratio showed that low educational level doubles the risk of presenting all cardiometabolic abnormalities with the exception of hypercholesterolemia.

Conclusions and implications: Education level plays an important role in the presence of cardiometabolic risks, therefore it is mandatory to extend health-education policies nationwide.

Background and objective

In Mexico, the education system scheme is divided in three broad categories: basic (preescolar, primaria (elementary), secundaria (junior high)), mid-superior (profesional técnico (technical), preparatoria (high school)), and superior (licenciatura (college), posgrado (postgraduate)) levels. Although in 2012, almost 35 million students were enrolled nationwide, completion rates (i.e., terminal efficiency) dramatically decrease as education grades increase; thus, just 24 out of 100 children who started basic education level, complete the mid superior stage; and only 13 of them get the academic superior degree [1]. Finally, barely 16 of every 10,000 Mexicans undergo graduate school.

Recent national surveys have reported a combined prevalence of ≈70% of adult overweight and obesity. Such abnormal nutritional statuses are major determinants for the development of diabetes mellitus (DM), systemic arterial hypertension (SAH) and cardiovascular disease. Although these cardiometabolic ailments, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), are associated to ≈30% of the worldwide deaths, the obesity-related epidemics has affected certain social groups more than others; particularly those with a lower level of education [2].

Although the association between education level and overweight/obesity and its associated cardiometabolic consequences has been widely described [3,4], their cause-effect link is rather complex, hence the full understanding of the nature of the precise mechanisms affecting health are yet obscure. In this matter, several studies have found strong associations among social factors (amidst them, education) and the development of such diseases [5,6]. Cohort studies have reported an inverse relationship between the social gradient (term implying that the lower socioeconomic and education strata of a society is associated, at the same time, with the worst health conditions) and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS). Moreover, subjects with low-grade education, exhibit a three-fold increase in mortality rates when compared to those with higher educational grades [6-10]. As example, Andreenko et al demonstrated that men with university education have lower mean values of body mass index (BMI) than men with just primary and secondary education [11].

As previously mentioned, these phenomena have not been fully elucidated, but some studies have reported that the higher the education
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level, the more probability of adequate nutrition—and hence, less chance for presenting obesity and its comorbidities—due to the fact that these individuals are often more aware of the importance of nutritional habits and the practice of regular exercise [5,6]. On this regard, it has been established [12-14] that those with more years of education are less likely to smoke, drink heavily, or to be overweight or obese. Similarly, the better educated subjects are more likely to exercise and use preventive care procedures such as vaccines, mammograms, Papanicolau tests and colonoscopies.

Due to the aforementioned, the objective of the present study were to determine the association between education level and the risk of presenting cardiometabolic risk factors such as overweight/obesity, SAH, hyperglycemia, and hypercholesterolemia in otherwise healthy Mexican adults.

**Setting, participants, and main measurements**

The study protocol was approved by institutional ethics and research committees. Individuals signed an informed consent. We conducted a case-control study in a non-probabilistic sample comprised of 300,000 individuals. The sample population was obtained by the surveying of consenting individuals of any gender, aged 18 years or more, recruited in public sites in six of the more populated cities in Mexico, two of them in Northern Mexico (Monterrey and Tijuana), one in the Occidental region (Guadalajara), and three in Central Mexico (Mexico City, Puebla and León).

BMI was calculated from the reported height (m) and weight (kg) and was further classified into normal (< 25 Kg/m²), overweight (≥25-29.9 Kg/m²) and obesity (≥30 Kg/m²).

Blood pressure (BP) was measured following international recommendations. SAH was considered with systolic blood pressure ≥140 and/or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg).

We measured glucose (with at least 5 h of fasting) in capillary blood using an Accutrends® apparatus. Fasting blood glucose was considered abnormal with values >100 mg/dL. Total cholesterol was measured also with an Accutrends® apparatus and a total cholesterol level >240 mg/dL determined the presence of hypercholesterolemia.

Four levels of education were considered: low (total illiteracy or basic reading/writing but with no formal elementary education), basic (either complete elementary or middle school), middle (high school), and superior education (college or more).

Further, data was separated by gender.

**Statistical analysis.** Descriptive statistics yielded overall and intragroupal frequencies. Chi-square test were then performed with subsequent odds ratio (OR) calculation for each cardiometabolic abnormality (e.g., hyperglycemia), considering the basic education level as reference (i.e., OR=1).

Prism GraphPad® (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) was used as statistical software and a p-value <0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

Overall, we found a combined prevalence for overweight and obesity ≈70% (41.6% and 27.5%, respectively). SAH was found in 28.1% of the subjects, whilst hypercholesterolemia in 18.2%, and hyperglycemia, in 9.4% of the studied population. Table 1 details the corresponding values according to gender.

Analysis according to educational level, as shown in Figure 1, clearly demonstrates that the frequency of SAH and hyperglycemia are inversely associated with educational level. Interestingly, hypercholesterolemia presented the same trend up to high education but then increased when reaching a superior education level.
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**Figure 1.** Overall frequencies of cardiometabolic risk factors according to educational level.
Regarding BMI status, the analysis (Figure 2) shows that the prevalence of obesity was lower among the more educated subjects; but in terms of overweight, there appears that there is no clear-cut trend as all educational levels presented, essentially, the same frequency. Moreover, regression analysis revealed that as education level increases, the proportion of subjects with a normal BMI does so ($r^2=0.9836$, $p<0.05$); whilst a significant and equally strong inverse correlation ($r^2=0.9771$, $p<0.05$) was found between education level and the frequency of obesity.

Chi square-tests for association between cardiometabolic risk factors yielded a $p<0.05$, hence OR values were calculated. In brief, Table 2 shows that a low educational level significantly increases the risk –more than 2-fold- of presenting all cardiometabolic abnormalities (irrespectively of gender) and, moreover, such risk is attenuated with higher education. The exception was hypercholesterolemia, in which superior education showed an increased risk, although interestingly, this was only seen in men, but not among women.

**Discussion**

The proportions herein found were similar to those revealed in the National Survey on Health and Nutrition (ENSANUT, 2012), i.e., ≈70% of overweight and obesity, 9% of previously diagnosed diabetes, and ≈30% of SAH. However, the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in this study was significantly higher than the reported in the ENSANUT (≈12%) [15]. This finding may be explained by the fact our sample was predominantly urban. It has been extensively documented that the morbidity rates.

Going back to the ORs at the superior level of education should theoretically be the lowest but, unexpectedly, trends showed that the prevalence of risk factors is either equal (≈) or higher than that on the preceding education stratum. This phenomenon may imply that the fact that a person “can read”, does not necessarily mean that he/she is “well informed” about the cardiometabolic consequences of overweight and obesity or, worse, they simply do not care or are not willing to make therapeutic lifestyle changes.

But more importantly, the above-presented results show that education plays a determining role in cardiometabolic risk. In this regard, several rationalizations may be considered. It has been proposed that people who have little or no education are not well aware about the importance of healthy eating (e.g., they cannot read public health campaigns or have deficient information about the components of a healthy diet), or have less access to fitter food (e.g., they cannot read or clearly understand labels and therefore are not able to make an informed decision on what to eat) [18-20]. Complementary, subjects with high education level are considered to achieve a better understanding of the impact of diet in cardiometabolic risk and overall health, hence exhibit healthier eating patterns [21,22]. But the more important issue in this regard is the fact that low education is –almost always– associated with lower income, and “eating healthily” now costs three times as much as 10 years ago; hence, this may also contribute to the fact that low education/income grades persistently show higher morbidity rates.

As a document published by the WHO states, one of the main social factors that contribute to the development of SAH, hypercholesterolemia and its complications, is low level of education combined with an unhealthy diet, both associated with low incomes [23,24].

Finally, the ORs at the superior level of education should be lower but, unexpectedly, trends showed that the prevalence of risk factors is either equal (≈) or higher than that on the preceding education stratum. This phenomenon may imply that the fact that a person “can read”, does not necessarily mean that he/she is “well informed” about the cardiometabolic consequences of overweight and obesity or, worse, they simply do not care or are not willing to make therapeutic lifestyle changes.

The individual development of a preventive consciousness is the consequence of many personal and social intertwined factors. It is supposed that a higher level of education allows a wider and deeper comprehension of health and disease, thus making easy the adoption of healthier lifestyles. But this is not entirely true in all cases. One thing is the knowledge and information, and other the introjection of those learnings and its conversion in specific positive behavioral acts. Many very well-informed individuals, including physicians and researchers do not adopt on purpose a healthy life, for rather complex mental processes. Therefore, alongside with raising the general educational level of a society, and incorporating since the elementary school, the concepts and foundations of medical prevention, it is mandatory that the state (not only the executive branch, but the legislative and judiciary chambers as well) could enact strong public policies addressed to promote a healthy nutrition, the adoption of physical exercise and tobacco restriction. The state public actions in this regard encompass specific legislation, rewarding or punishing tax strategies, powerful and long-lasting campaigns in mass media and setting up compromises with the alimentary industry to market healthier products with fewer allowable contaminants, less calories from sweeteners, saturated, trans fat and lower salt content.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study show that education plays an important role in cardiometabolic risk development or status. The correlation between education and health may reflect three possible types of relationships:

a) a causal link running from increased education to improved health,

b) a reverse causal link, indicating that better health leads to greater education; or

c) an absence of a causal relationship between education and health, which appear to be correlated because of possible unobserved factors affecting both health (or obesity) and education in the same direction. The three pathways are not mutually exclusive, of course, and some combination of the three is likely to provide the most

---

**Table 1. Frequency of cardiometabolic risk factors**

| Risk Factor          | Total n (%) | Men n (%) | Women n (%) |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| **BMI (normal)**     | 94859 (30.9)| 40440 (13.06)| 54419 (17.82)|
| **BMI (overweight)** | 127669 (41.6)| 67040 (21.83)| 60629 (19.74)|
| **BMI (obesity)**    | 84529 (27.5)| 34089 (11.10)| 50440 (16.42)|
| Hypercholesterolemia | 55733 (18.2)| 24316 (7.91)| 31417 (10.23)|
| Hyperglycemia        | 28983 (9.4)| 11243 (3.66)| 17740 (5.77)|
| SAH                  | 86196 (28.1)| 37072 (12.07)| 49124 (15.99)|

N=307060 subjects; BMI: Body mass index; SAH: Systemic arterial hypertension

**Table 2. ORs comparing level of education on its impact for cardiometabolic risk factors**

| Risk Factor          | Level | Total | Men | Women |
|----------------------|-------|-------|-----|-------|
| SAH                  | Low   | 2.63  | 2.57| 2.49  |
|                      | Middle| 0.62  | 0.79| 0.59  |
|                      | Superior| 0.62 | 0.86| 0.44  |
|                      | Low   | 1.38  | 1.16| 1.35  |
|                      | Middle| 0.93  | 1   | 0.87  |
|                      | Superior| 1.22 | 1.6 | 1.00  |
| Hypercholesterolemia | Low   | 1.36  | 1.11| 1.29  |
|                      | Middle| 0.63  | 0.85| 0.53  |
|                      | Superior| 0.5  | 0.76| 0.52  |
|                      | Low   | 2.84  | 2.71| 2.84  |
|                      | Middle| 0.43  | 0.31| 0.47  |
|                      | Superior| 0.39 | 0.23| 0.47  |

Basic educational level was considered as the reference group thus OR=1
plausible explanation of the strong correlations consistently found across countries between education and health, or obesity.

Implications for research and practice

As it is herein shown, education level plays an important role regarding the presence of cardiometabolic risk factors. Although its participation is rather complex, governmental projects and policies ought to be developed and applied nationwide in aims of containing– ideally, reversing– the current epidemic of obesity and its associated comorbidities.
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