Evidence for Transmission of *Taenia solium* Taeniasis/Cysticercosis in a Rural Area of Northern Rwanda
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Cysticercosis is a parasitic infection caused by the metacestode larval stage (cysticercus) of *Taenia solium*. In humans, cysticercosis may infect the central nervous system and cause neurocysticercosis, which is responsible for over 50,000 deaths per year worldwide and is the major cause of preventable epilepsy cases, especially in low-income countries. Cysticercosis infection is endemic in many less developed countries where poor hygiene conditions and free-range pig management favor their transmission. A cross-sectional study was conducted in 680 children from a rural primary school in Gakenke district (Northern province of Rwanda). Stool samples were collected from participants and analyzed using the Kato-Katz method (KK), formol-ether concentration (FEC), and/or copro-antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (CoAg-ELISA) to detect taeniasis. Blood samples were collected and analyzed using enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (EITB) and antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Ag-ELISA) to detect human cysticercosis. The overall proportion of taeniasis positivity was 0.3% (2/680), and both cases were also confirmed by CoAg-ELISA. A total of 13.3% (76/572) of the children studied were positive to cysticercosis (*T. solium*-specific serum antibodies detected by EITB), of whom 38.0% (27/71) had viable cysticercus (*T. solium* antigens by Ag-ELISA). This study provides evidence of the highest cysticercosis prevalence reported in Rwanda in children to date. Systematic investigations into porcine and human cysticercosis as well as health education and hygiene measures for *T. solium* control are needed in Gakenke district.
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INTRODUCTION

In *Taenia solium* parasitic infections, humans are the only natural definitive host (taeniasis) while pigs are the intermediate hosts of larval stage (swine cysticercosis) (1). Human cysticercosis results when people become intermediate hosts after ingestion of microscopic viable eggs *via* the fecal–oral route from *T. solium* tapeworm carriers (2). The embryo is released (oncosphere), and it traverses the intestinal mucosa after ingestion. Later, it is transported by the circulatory system and dispersed by the organism producing cysts (cysticerci). The most common locations of cysts are the striated muscle, eyes, or heart tissue and central nervous system (3, 4). The clinical manifestations of cysticercosis are dependent on the number and location of cysticerci within the body (4). Some individuals with cysticercosis will exhibit or develop no symptoms (asymptomatic) or very mild symptoms. Many individuals with cysticercosis have central nervous system involvement (neurocysticercosis) resulting in headache, epileptic seizures, blindness, mental disturbance, and even death (3, 5). Neurocysticercosis (NCC) is the most common parasitic disease in the human nervous system and the most common cause of epilepsy in low-income countries (6).

Currently, cysticercosis is one of the 17 major Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) identified by the WHO as a focus for research and control (7). It is widely prevalent where humans and domestic pig raising coexist. In many developing countries in Central and South America, Africa, and Asia, cysticercosis has major public health implications in humans and pigs (5, 8–11). It is in these places where poverty, poor education, lack of access to diagnosis, and limited management capacity, together with the absence of appropriate prevention measures and control strategies, make it highly endemic (11–13).

The distribution of *T. solium* taeniosis/cysticercosis in Africa is unclear but porcine and human cysticercosis are considered (hyper)-endemic in Central Africa (Rwanda, Burundi, the Democratic Republic of Congo, and Cameroon) (14, 15). In the last 20 years, pig production has increased significantly in the Eastern and Southern Africa (ESA) region, especially in rural, resource-poor, smallholder communities (11, 14). Several studies show a high prevalence of porcine cysticercosis in countries bordering Rwanda. In Uganda, prevalences ranging from 7.1 to 45% have been observed in urban areas in contrast to low percentages of 0.12–10.8% found in rural areas with an observed increment in recent years (9, 16–18). A prevalence of 41.2% has recently been reported in the Democratic Republic of Congo, where the overall prevalence of pigs with active cysticercosis did not significantly differ between the market and the village study sites but was much higher than previously observed by Chartier et al., in 1990 (19, 20). There are no current data for Burundi, but prevalence ranges from 2 to 39% were observed 20 years ago (21). In Tanzania, farm prevalence of porcine cysticercosis was between 17.4 and 18.2% in lingual examination or slaughter-slab prevalence by routine meat inspection respectively, while a maximum of 33.3% has been reported by cysticercal antigens by ELISA (Ag-ELISA) (22–29).

In contrast, Taeniasis has been poorly studied in humans from these countries (30). Eggs of *Taenia* sp. in feces have been reported in Uganda (31). Taeniasis prevalence ranges between 0 and 1.0% have been observed in schoolchildren of Burundi (21). However, prevalence of taeniasis ranging from 0.4 to 5.2% by the Kato-Katz technique or 2.3–5.2% by copro-Ag-ELISA has been estimated in Tanzania (32, 33).

Regarding human cysticercosis, one study estimated 21.6% prevalence of circulating antigen in the Democratic Republic of Congo (34). Several studies in human cysticercosis reveal the strong association between neurocysticercosis and epilepsy in these countries (35, 36). In Burundi, cysticercosis has been observed in 4.9–31.5% of epileptic patients, compared to 4.2% in controls (21, 37–39). On the other hand, a seroprevalence of up to 11.7% has been observed in epileptic patients and in 2.8% of controls (21). Cysticercosis was the cause of seizures in 25% of epileptic patients (38, 40). In Tanzania, cysticercosis prevalence of 16–17% was estimated and it was demonstrated that NCC contributed significantly to epilepsy in adults (32, 41–43). Given these data, it is likely that the situation in Rwanda is similar.

Rwanda has long since been known as a hyperendemic country for Taeniasis/cysticercosis. However, there are few research studies carried out in this country. Already in 1959, 20% of pigs were found to be infected with cysticercosis (44). From 2000 to 2011, pork production in Rwanda increased by 7.8% (45); however, an overall swine cysticercosis prevalence of 3.9% was found in farms, 9.2% in markets, and 4% in butchers (46). In 1956, the first case of human cysticercosis was reported in Rwanda (47). Since then, initial reports have reported isolated cases of disseminated ocular (48) and cutaneous cysticercosis (49, 50). In 1964, the presence of eggs of *Taenia* spp. in populations of the Batwa and Hutu tribes in the Northern and Southern regions of the country was detected (51). Later, *T. solium* cysticerci was detected in 7% of 300 autopsies in Butare (52). In Kigali and Butare, 21 and 21.8% of people with epilepsy are estimated to be seropositive for cysticercosis, respectively (53, 54). To date, there have been no studies in children.

During a coproparasitological study in the school population of Northern Rwanda, eggs from tapeworms were detected in two schoolchildren. After collecting the strobila, the morphology of mature proglottids allowed us to identify the species as *T. solium* in both cases. This observation motivated us to investigate the presence of cysticercosis in the school population of Nemba, with the prospect of introducing control activities.

METHODS

Study Area

Rwanda is a small landlocked country in Central Africa, bordering Uganda to the North, Burundi to the South, Democratic Republic of the Congo to the West, and Tanzania to the East. The estimated population in 2012 was 10.4 million people, thus supporting the densest population in continental Africa, with most of the population engaged in subsistence agriculture (55). A verdant country of fertile and hilly terrain with altitudes varying from 950 m to 4,519 m, the small republic bears the title “Land of a Thousand Hills.” The District of Gakenke is one of the five districts of the Northern Province of Rwanda. This
district is divided into 19 administrative sectors made of 97 cells, 617 villages, and 345,487 inhabitants living in a total area of 104 km$^2$ with a population density of 473/km$^2$ (56). Figure 1 shows a schematic map of the study area.

Gakenke district is characterized, in general, by high inclined hills separated by rivers and marshlands. The climate in Gakenke district is generally the type of humid climate with the average annual temperature varying between 16.0 and 29.0°C. The rainfalls are relatively abundant with a scale between 1,100 and 1,500 mm per year (56).

**Population**

Between July and September 2011, the Parasitology Area of University Miguel Hernández de Elche (UMH; Spain) conducted a cross-sectional study in Nemba school 1, Gakenke district of Rwanda, as part of an initial phase of a school health program. A total of 771 schoolchildren (371 girls and 400 boys) attended primary education (2nd to 6th grade) and were eligible for inclusion in the study. A total of 708 students (357 boys and 351 girls aged between 6 and 18 years of age; mean $\pm$ SD = 11.00 ± 2.33 years) were eligible for the study after their parents or guardians provided informed consent. A total of 680 answered the questionnaire and provided stool sample, while 572 provided blood sample (see Figure 2).

**Sampling and Parasitological Tests**

After collection, fresh feces samples were processed and quantified in situ (UMH headquarters in Nemb, Rwanda) by the method described by Kato-Katz following WHO recommendations (57). A 41.7-mg sample of fresh feces was used as template according to the Helm test® manufacturer’s recommendations (Bio-Manguinhos/Fiocruz, Brazil). If taenid eggs were observed, 2 g of Niclosamide and 1 ml of Duphalac® oral solution (667 mg/ml of lactulose) was administered as a laxative to recover the adult where possible.

Additionally, part of each stool sample was preserved in 10% buffered formalin (1:3) (40-ml Falcon tube) and another part was preserved in ethanol 70% (2-ml tube). Sera samples were obtained from whole blood collected of every child by centrifugation and stored at 4°C in Nemba’s Hospital until sent to UMH, Spain. Later, all samples were sent to UMH and stored at −20°C until processing. Formalin-embedded samples were sent to the Department of Parasitology of University of Valencia (Spain) where a formol-ether concentration was realized (58). Finally, tree drops of sediment with Lugol's iodine solution were analyzed under a microscope. Sera and fecal samples preserved in ethanol 70% were subsequently sent to the diagnostic laboratory of the Center for Global Health of the Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia (UPCH, Lima, Peru) for processing by *T. solium*-specific tests [Coproantigen ELISA, serum antigen detection (Ag-ELISA), and serum antibody detection by enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot (EITB) assay using lentil lectin-purified parasitic glycoprotein antigens].

**Taeniasis: Coproantigen Detection by ELISA (CoAg-ELISA)**

In an attempt to find additional carriers of adult *T. solium* worms, a subgroup of 144 fecal samples (~21% of the total) were also screened by coproantigen ELISA (59, 60). Aliquots
(1.5 ml) of the stool supernatant were used for CoAg-ELISA after centrifugation at room temperature at 3,200 g for 10 min. The CoAg-ELISA technique was performed as described by Allan et al., by using hyperimmune rabbit anti-

*T. solium* IgG as the capture antibody and peroxidase-labeled goat anti-*T. solium* IgG as a conjugate. Processed samples were read with a spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) at 650 nm. Using a known positive pool (P1), we calculated the percentage of positivity (PP) as [optical density (OD) of the sample]/[OD of P1] 100, in order to increase the comparability of the results between plates. A cutoff was determined using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (61).

**Cysticercosis-Specific Tests**

**Anti-*T. solium* Antibody Detection**

A total of 572 sera samples were processed by EITB. The methodology used to perform the test was the same described by Tsang et al. (62) and González et al. (63). An immunoblot of seven cysticercus glycoproteins (GP50, GP42–39, GP24, GP21, GP18, GP14, and GP13), purified by lentil lectin-purified chromatography, gives close to 100% specificity and a sensitivity varying from 70 to 90% (62). This EITB has been widely used for the diagnosis of cysticercosis in human and pig serum samples (64).

**T. solium Antigen Detection by ELISA**

In order to demonstrate the presence of active infections, an anti-*T. solium* antibody-positive subgroup of children (71 EITB positive samples) were processed in quest by ELISA, to detect circulating cysticercal antigen in the serum (65, 66). A mAb-based ELISA for the detection of circulating antigens was used to detect circulating parasite antigen as described by Brandt et al. in 1992 (67) and later adapted by Van Kerckhoven et al. and Dorny et al. (68, 69). The assay uses Nunc MaxiSorp plates sensitized with a trapping mAb (B158C11A10) in bicarbonate buffer at 5 µg/ml. After blocking, serum samples...
(pretreated with 5% trichloroacetic acid to break existing immune complexes) are added, followed by the second mAb (B60H8A4-BIOT), streptavidin, o-phenylenediamine (OPD/H2O2) as substrate/chromogen, and incubated in the dark for 15 min. The reaction is stopped with H2SO4 and plates are read at 490/650 nm. To minimize inter-plate variation, we used antigen ratio instead of a raw value of optic density (OD). The antigen ratio is estimated by dividing the OD of the tested sample with the mean of eight negative samples plus three standard deviations (70).

Questionnaire and Anthropometric Measurements
A questionnaire was concurrently conducted while blood and stool samples were taken. The children were interviewed, and basic socio-demographic data (age, sex, residence) were recorded as well as specific questions about their living conditions and behaviors. Each child was assigned a unique ID code and removed their shoes so that we could measure their height (in cm) and weight (in kg).

Statistical Analyses
The data were identified and entered into a Microsoft Office Excel 2010 spreadsheet (Microsoft). Statistical analysis was performed using Stata SE 15.0 (StataCorp LLC, USA). We calculated the frequencies of categorical variables and made comparisons using Pearson's Chi-squared-test. Missing values were excluded for the comparisons. Continuous variables were described with means and standard deviations.

RESULTS
Taeniasis Intestinal and Species Identification
The coproparasitological analysis revealed an overall parasitism of 94.9% (639/673) by formol-ether concentration and a helminth parasitism percentage of 31.2% (211/675) by the Kato-Katz technique. In two children [2/680 (0.3%)], eggs of taeniid tapeworm were identified by both methods: Kato-Katz technique [2/675 (0.3%)] and formol-ether concentration [2/673 (0.31%)].

After parental consent, 2 g of Niclosamide and 1 ml of Duphalac® oral solution (667 mg/ml of lactulose) as a laxative were administered and the feces were collected. Two strobiles were recovered from both children and the morphologic study under a magnifying glass after injection with India ink revealed 12 uterine branches compatible with T. solium-proglottid demonstrating current tapeworm infection in both children.

Moreover, 144 samples were tested by coproantigen ELISA detection and 2/144 (1.4%) samples had positive results. The positive samples obtained matched the positive samples obtained by Kato-Katz and formol-ether concentration techniques.

Cysticercosis
EITB analysis of a total of 572 sera samples revealed that 13.3% of the students (76/572) presented reactivity to one or more of the specific glycoproteins of T. solium, of whom 46.0% (35/76) showed reactivity to three or more bands. A total of 7 of the 76 positive samples showed a single GP 50 band reaction, whereas in 9 of the positive samples, no GP 50 band was observed.

Exposure to T. solium was more frequent among girls than boys (p = 0.014, Table 1) and was especially prevalent in the Mucaca area of Nemba where 21.3% of the children had anti-T. solium antibodies (p < 0.001). Children of all ages and in all classes had positive EITB results, and there was no obvious relationship with domestic animal rearing. The majority of the children came from homes that used a water pump as their main water source and had a latrine. Consumption of meat was significantly associated with T. solium exposure. The highest levels of exposure were among children that reported consuming meat once a month (15.6%) or once a year (19.2%); 13.1% of children who reported that they always washed their hands after going to the toilet had anti-T. solium antibodies, and this was not statistically different from children that reported they sometimes or never washed their hands (12.8 and 14.3%, respectively).

Taenia solium antigens were found in 38% (27/71) of children with anti-T. solium antibodies suggesting active infection. In addition, 14 of the 27 positive samples for antigen presented more than three bands by EIBT-test. None of the variables considered were associated with antigen presence among seropositive children (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
The present study demonstrates a substantial seroprevalence of cysticercosis in children of the Northern Province of Rwanda. The results suggest that more than of 13% of this group of children had been exposed to T solium. In addition, among the reactive individuals, 46% had three or more reactive bands by EITB, which is highly suggestive of established cysticercosis infection. Furthermore, of the children with antibodies to cysticercosis, 38% were reactive to the detection of circulating antigen by ELISA, indicating the presence of active cases of neurocysticercosis, as previously demonstrated (65).

In 1982, Fain et al. said that T. solium was the most prevalent tapeworm in Rwanda (47). In previous studies in Rwanda, soil-transmitted helminths and schistosomiasis but no tapeworms were found. Since 1964, human taeniosis has not been detected in the country (51). However, analysis based on both microscopy or coproantigen detection of human fecal material cannot identify the species level, and the possibility of T. saginata presence cannot be ruled out. Other studies showed high parasitic burden strongly associated with drinking any kind of water (71–73).

The finding of eggs of Taenia spp. in two schoolchildren motivated us to deepen the search for associated human cysticercosis. Both children were positive based on microscopy (KK and FEC) and coproantigen detection (copro-Ag-ELISA).

In spite of false positives reported by coproantigen test (74), a high positivity value is highly predictive of a true positive (61). No cross-reactions were observed with other intestinal parasites (protozoa and helminths) present in the children. In this study, the microscopy and coproantigen detection assays had the same sensitivity as has been reported by other authors (59, 75). Our work showed some limitations because we were
| Characteristics            | EITB | 3 or more bands | Ag-ELISA |
|----------------------------|------|-----------------|----------|
|                            | n/total (%) | p-value<sup>a</sup> | n/positive EITB (%) | p-value<sup>a</sup> | n/positive EITB (%) | p-value<sup>a</sup> |
| Sex                        |      |                 |          |                     |                      |                      |
| Female                     | 48/289 (16.6) | 0.019 | 21/48 (43.7) | 0.598 | 18/47 (38.3) | 0.948 |
| Male                       | 28/282 (9.9)  |      | 14/28 (50.0) |      | 9/24 (37.5)  |      |
| No data                    | 0/1 (0.0)     |      | 0/1 (0.0)     |      | 0/0 (0.0)     |      |
| Age                        |      | 0.509 | 0.606 | 0.674 |
| 6–9 years                  | 20/155 (13.0) |     | 11/20 (55.0) |     | 8/19 (42.1)  |     |
| 10–14 years                | 46/363 (12.7) |     | 20/46 (43.5) |     | 15/44 (34.1) |     |
| 15–18 years                | 8/42 (19.0)   |     | 3/8 (37.5)    |     | 3/6 (50.0)   |     |
| No data                    | 2/12 (16.7)   |     | 1/2 (50.0)    |     | 0/2          |     |
| Sector                     |      | <0.001 | 0.711 | 0.401 |
| Nemba                      |      |                 |          |                     |                      |                      |
| Buranga                    | 3/46 (6.5)    |     | 2/3 (66.7)    |     | 0/3 (0.0)    |     |
| Gahinga                    | 7/70 (10.0)   |     | 3/7 (42.8)    |     | 3/6 (50.0)   |     |
| Gisozi                     | 13/202 (6.4)  |     | 5/13 (38.5)   |     | 3/12 (25.0)  |     |
| Mucaca                     | 46/216 (21.3) |     | 24/46 (52.2)  |     | 19/43 (45.2) |     |
| Gakenke                    |      |                 |          |                     |                      |                      |
| Rusagara                   | 4/25 (16.0)   |     | 1/4 (25.0)    |     | 11/4 (27.5)  |     |
| No data                    | 3/13 (23.1)   |     | 0/3 (0.0)     |     | 1/3 (33.3)   |     |
| School class               |      | 0.204 | 0.545 | 0.650 |
| P2                         | 15/150 (10.0) |     | 7/15 (46.7)   |     | 7/14 (50.0)  |     |
| P3                         | 17/114 (14.9) |     | 10/17 (58.8)  |     | 6/16 (37.5)  |     |
| P4                         | 24/131 (18.2) |     | 8/24 (33.3)   |     | 7/23 (30.4)  |     |
| P5                         | 15/116 (12.9) |     | 7/15 (46.7)   |     | 6/13 (46.1)  |     |
| P6                         | 5/61 (8.2)    |     | 3/5 (60.0)    |     | 1/5 (20.0)   |     |
| Domestic animals           |      | 0.270 | 0.299 | 0.415 |
| Pigs                       | 1/28 (3.6)    |     | 0/1 (0.0)     |     | 1/1 (100.0)  |     |
| Other animals              | 64/459 (13.9)|     | 31/64 (48.4)  |     | 21/59 (35.6) |     |
| None                       | 8/66 (11.8)   |     | 2/8 (25.0)    |     | 3/8 (37.5)   |     |
| Don’t know/no answer provided | 1/5 (20.0) |     | 1/1 (100.0)   |     | 1/1 (100.0)  |     |
| No data                    | 2/12 (16.7)   |     | 1/2 (50.0)    |     | 1/2 (50.0)   |     |
| Water source               |      | 0.515 | 0.809 | 0.077 |
| Piped water                | 5/58 (8.6)    |     | 2/5 (40.0)    |     | 0/5 (0.0)    |     |
| Water pump/fountain        | 68/496 (13.7)|     | 31/68 (45.6)  |     | 25/63 (39.7) |     |
| Rivers/puddles             | 0/1 (0.0)     |     | 0/0 (0.0)     |     | 0/0 (0.0)    |     |
| Don’t know/no answer provided | 1/5 (20.0) |     | 1/1 (100.0)   |     | 1/1 (100.0)  |     |
| No data                    | 2/12 (16.7)   |     | 1/2 (50.0)    |     | 1/2 (50.0)   |     |
| Toilet                     |      | 0.432 | NA  | NA  |
| WC                         | 0/10 (0.0)    |     | 0/0 (0.0)     |     | 0/0 (0.0)    |     |
| Private latrine            | 72/542 (13.3)|     | 32/72 (44.4)  |     | 25/67 (37.3) |     |
| Shared latrine             | 0/1 (0.0)     |     | 0/1 (0.0)     |     | 0/0 (0.0)    |     |
| Don’t know/no answer provided | 2/7 (28.6) |     | 2/2 (100.0)   |     | 1/2 (50.0)   |     |
| No data                    | 2/12 (16.7)   |     | 1/2 (50.0)    |     | 1/2 (50.0)   |     |
| How often do you eat meat? |      | 0.003 | 0.070 | 0.818 |
| Once a week                | 10/159 (6.3)  |     | 7/10 (70.0)   |     | 2/9 (22.2)   |     |
| Once a month               | 32/205 (15.6) |     | 12/32 (37.5)  |     | 11/30 (36.7) |     |
| Once a year                | 27/141 (19.2) |     | 11/27 (40.7)  |     | 10/25 (40.0) |     |
| Never                      | 3/44 (6.8)    |     | 3/3 (100.0)   |     | 1/3 (33.3)   |     |
| Don’t know/no answer provided | 2/11 (18.2) |     | 1/2 (50.0)    |     | 2/2 (100.0)  |     |

(Continued)
unable to carry out the coproantigen study on all samples, and the sample of children included came from a single school in the Gakenke district. However, participation was high, and with this study, we can confirm the presence of tapeworm carriers in this area. Additionally, we identified morphologically both adult specimens. Identification to species level in this country or bordering countries except in Tanzania has never been done (33).

To our knowledge, this is the first report of cysticercosis in schoolchildren in Rwanda. In cysticercosis surveys and immunodiagnostic tools applied on humans, serum samples are useful in estimating the prevalence and identifying of *T. solium* infection in the field. *T. solium* antigen in serum could predict the presence of viable brain parasites in patients with apparently calcified cysticercosis only (70). Antibody response in *T. solium* infection in field conditions was found to be a major contributor to the overestimation of the prevalence of cysticercosis in endemic areas (4, 76). The frequency found in these children was higher than prior literature reports in Butare, where 7% of autopsies were positive for cysticercosis (52). Furthermore, 21 and 21.8% of patients with epilepsy in Kigali and southern Rwanda, respectively, are positive for cysticercosis (50, 53, 54). None of the previous studies were performed in children. In our study, it is important to highlight the early age of the population studied, since it is known that the prevalence of cysticercosis in a region increases with the age range studied. We can deduce that the seroprevalence in the adult population is likely to be higher than observed here.

The highest proportion of positive schoolchildren was observed in the same sector where tapeworm carriers were located. We observed small-scale swine production system in Mucaca (personal observation).

The financial losses due to human cysticercosis are very difficult to estimate but are certainly exceeded by the social impact of the disease, especially because of the particular perception of epilepsy in many African communities (14). Conditions like neurocysticercosis are better prevented than treated, because it has the potential to make a healthy person invalid. If the treatment is delayed, there can be irreversible brain damage.

In our study, no association was observed in frequency of hand washing after going to the toilet. However, in our study, close contact with pigs and use of latrines as possible risk factors for cysticercosis were not significantly associated with positive cases as observed in Tanzania (43). The absence of association may be explained by the level of widespread poverty in this area. Interestingly, meat consumption showed a significant association with cysticercosis exposure, but it was not a dose response. It is possible that people with limited resources cannot afford quality meat in these rural areas. Children who reported consuming meat once a month or once a year had higher exposure rates than those who reported consuming meat weekly, but it is possible that here we are seeing the impact of socio-economic status of the children rather than meat consumption itself. It has been shown that vegetarians and other people who do not eat pork can acquire cysticercosis through fecal–oral contamination with *T. solium* eggs from tapeworm carriers (77). Unhygienic sanitary conditions such as limited use or absence of latrines are prevalent in rural areas of Africa where pigs are raised (14, 78, 79). Informally marketed foods, clandestine slaughtering of pigs, lack of trained and qualified meat inspectors, lack of detection and treatment of *T. solium* carriers, and consumption of undercooked or insufficiently cooked pork could be risk factors for taeniosis/cysticercosis among consumers (22, 26, 35).

A nutritional survey and coproparasitological examination was being carried out at the Nemba I School, Gakenke district, Rwanda, when two samples harboring *Taenia* spp. eggs were found (unpublished results). It was impossible to obtain more samples or additional information that would allow the analysis of other risk factors or possible variables related to clinical manifestations of the infection. For the reasons stated, we decided to carry out the present study with the sole objective of investigating the presence of infection and transmission of

| Characteristics | EITB | Ag-ELISA |
|-----------------|------|----------|
|                 | Positive | 3 or more bands | Positive | p-valuea | Positive | p-valuea |
| No data         | n/total (%) | p-valuea | n/positive EITB (%) | p-valuea | n/positive EITB (%) | p-valuea |
| How often do you wash your hands after going to the toilet? | | | | | | |
| Always          | 2/12 (16.7) | 0.935 | 1/2 (50.0) | 0.367 | 1/2 (50.0) | 0.788 |
| Sometimes       | 26/198 (13.1) | 9/26 (34.6) | 10/24 (41.7) |
| Never           | 34/266 (12.8) | 18/34 (52.9) | 12/34 (35.3) |
| Don’t know/no answer provided | 13/91 (14.3) | 6/13 (46.1) | 3/10 (30.0) |
| No data         | 1/5 (20.0) | 1/1 (100.0) | 1/1 (100.0) |
| Total           | 76/572 (13.3) | 35/76 (46.0) | 27/71 (38.0) |

*EITB, Anti-*T. solium* antibodies detection by enzyme-linked immunoelectrotransfer blot; Ag-ELISA, *T. solium* antigen detection by ELISA.*

aP-value from a Chi squared-test comparing answer categories, excluding missing or unknown values.

bOther animals: Cows, sheep, goats, rabbits, and chicken.
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