Identification of a β-Secretase Activity, Which Truncates Amyloid β-Peptide after Its Presenilin-dependent Generation*
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The β-amylloid precursor protein (βAPP) is proteolytically processed by two secretase activities to produce the pathogenic amyloid β-peptide (Aβ). N-terminal cleavage is mediated by β-secretase (BACE) whereas C-terminal intramembrane cleavage is exerted by the presenilin (PS) γ-secretase complex. The Aβ-generating γ-secretase cleavage principally occurs after amino acid 40 or 42 and results in secretion of Aβ-(1–40) or Aβ-(1–42). Upon overexpression of BACE in cultured cells we unexpectedly noticed a reduction of secreted Aβ-(1–40/42). However, mass spectrometry revealed a truncated Aβ species, which terminates at amino acid 34 (Aβ-(1–34)) suggesting an alternative γ-secretase cut. Indeed, expression of a loss-of-function variant of PS1 inhibited not only the production of Aβ-(1–40) and Aβ-(1–42) but also that of Aβ-(1–34). However, expression levels of BACE correlate with the amount of Aβ-(1–34), and Aβ-(1–34) is produced at the expense of Aβ-(1–40) and Aβ-(1–42). Since this suggested that BACE is involved in a C-terminal truncation of Aβ, we incubated purified BACE with Aβ-(1–40) in vitro. Under these conditions Aβ-(1–34) was generated. Moreover, when conditioned media containing Aβ-(1–40) and Aβ-(1–42) were incubated with cells expressing a loss-of-function PS1 variant together with BACE, Aβ-(1–34) was efficiently produced in vitro. These data demonstrate that an apparently γ-secretase-dependent Aβ derivative is produced after the generation of the non-truncated Aβ via an additional and unexpected activity of BACE.

Because of the increasing mean life expectancy, there is considerable interest in the understanding of the molecular and biochemical mechanisms of age-related diseases. By far the most frequent age-related neurological disorder is Alzheimer’s disease (AD).1 During the aging process the patients accumulate insoluble amyloid β-peptide (Aβ), which is deposited in senile plaques and microvessels in the brain. Aβ is generated by endoproteolytic processing of the β-amyloid precursor protein (βAPP), involving β- and γ-secretase (1). β-secretase (also called BACE; β-site APP-cleaving enzyme) was identified as a membrane-associated aspartyl protease (2–6). BACE mediates the primary amyloidogenic cleavage of βAPP and generates a membrane-bound βAPP C-terminal fragment (APP CTFβ), which is the immediate precursor for the intramembranous γ-secretase cleavage (1). BACE also generates N-terminally truncated Aβ species starting with amino acid 11 of the Aβ domain (2, 7–9). A close homologue (BACE-2) (6, 10–12) can also mediate the typical β-secretase cut although with much lower efficiency (13). BACE-2 rather exhibits an α-secretase-like activity, which cleaves in the middle of the Aβ domain at amino acid 19 and 20 (9, 13, 14). Apparently BACE-2 does not contribute to the amyloidogenic processing of Aβ, since the deletion of BACE fully abrogates Aβ generation (15–17).

γ-Secretase activity is associated with a protein complex, composed of presenilins (PS1 or PS2), Nicastrin (Net), PEN-2, APH-1a, and APH-1b (18–25). The expression of these complex components is coordinatedly regulated, and γ-secretase activity is only detected in the presence of all subunits (21, 23–25). Removing a single subunit results in the destabilization or reduced maturation of the remaining components (23–26). The catalytic activity is most likely contributed by the PSs (1, 27). PSs are polytopic transmembrane proteins, which together with the signal peptide peptidases and the type-4 prepeptide peptidases may belong to a novel family of aspartyl proteases of the GXGD type (for review see Ref. 1). The cleavage of BACE-generated CTFβ by γ-secretase results in the secretion of Aβ into biological fluids (1). This cleavage principally occurs after amino acid 40 and 42, the latter being enhanced by numerous familial AD-associated mutations in the PS genes and βAPP itself (28). Beside the predominant cleavage after amino acid 40 and 42 slightly shorter peptides have been observed as well, suggesting that the γ-secretase has loose sequence specificity (29). This includes peptides terminating after amino acid 34,
FIG. 1. Production of Aβ-(1–34) correlates with BACE expression. A, HEK 293 cells stably overexpressing βAPPsw (control; lane 1) or βAPPsw sw and BACE (lanes 2 and 3) were labeled with [35S]methionine. BACE was immunoprecipitated from cell lysates with antibody 7520. B, conditioned media were analyzed for Aβ accumulation by immunoprecipitation with antibody 3926. C, MALDI-TOF MS of Aβ peptides immunoprecipitated from conditioned media of the three cell lines with antibody 3926. Arbitrary intensities are given on the y-axis (a.i.). The tables below the spectra indicate the peak masses obtained by mass spectrometry (mass) and the respective calculated masses (mass calc.). Note that the relative levels of Aβ-(1–34) correlate with increasing amounts of BACE expression while other Aβ species are reduced.

37, 38, and 39 (29). In addition or in parallel to these cleavages, γ-secretase also cleaves within the transmembrane domain shortly before the cytoplasmic border after amino acid 49 to liberate the βAPP intracellular domain (AICD) (30–33), which may be involved in nuclear signaling (34, 35). The biological function of γ-secretase is related to the very similar intramembranous processing of Notch. Indeed, a deletion of PS1 leads to a very severe Notch phenotype (summarized in Ref. 36).

BACE and γ-secretase are obvious targets for therapeutic strategies aimed to inhibit Aβ generation. Unfortunately γ-secretase inhibitors not only block Aβ generation but also interfere with Notch signaling (37–39). Therefore treatment of patients with such inhibitors remains problematic. On the other side it has been shown that the gene encoding BACE can be removed without any deleterious effects (15–17). Therefore inhibition of BACE with small chemical compounds seems to be a safer approach for long term treatment. However, a detailed understanding of the cleavage specificity and the substrate specificity of BACE is required for the generation of selective drugs. Surprisingly, overexpression of BACE in cell culture models leads to reduced Aβ secretion (2). In order to investigate this paradox we analyzed Aβ peptides secreted from cells stably expressing various levels of BACE and made the surprising observation that BACE can also cleave 34 amino acids C-terminal from its primary cleavage site, thus mimicking a PS-like cleavage specificity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture, cDNAs, and Transfection—HEK293 were cultured as described (9). The cell lines stably overexpressing wild type βAPPsw (40) or βAPPsw containing the Swedish double mutation (βAPPsw) (41) and cell lines co-expressing either PS1 wt or PS1 D385N have been described (42). To transf ect BACE into these cell lines, the BACE cDNA was cloned into the EcoRI/Xhol sites of pcDNA3.1 hygro (+) expression vector (Invitrogen). Transfection was carried out using FuGENE 6 reagent (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Pooled stable cell clones were selected in 150 μg/ml hygromycin (Invitrogen). The cell lines expressing BACE-2 have been described previously (9).

Antibodies, Metabolic Labeling, Immunoprecipitation, and Immunoblotting—Antibodies 7520 (43, 44) and 7524 (9) directed against the N terminus of BACE or BACE-2 and antibody 3926 (45) directed against the Aβ domain of PS1 have been described previously (9). The monoclonal antibody 6E10 directed against amino acids 1–17 of the Aβ domain was obtained from Senetek Inc. For immunodetection of PS1 the polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies against the large hydrophilic loop of PS1 (3027 and BI.3D7) were used (47, 48). Metabolic labeling, immunoprecipitations, and Western blotting were carried out as described previously (9).

BACE Activity Assay—The fluorometric BACE activity assay was carried out as described previously (46). To selectively inhibit BACE activity GL189 was used as described previously (46). Soluble BACE (sBACE) was isolated and incubated with synthetic Aβ as follows: HEK 293 cells expressing sBACE were incubated with Optimem 1 containing Glutamax (Invitrogen) for 24 h. 400 ml of the conditioned medium were purified using a mono Q-Sepharose column (Amersham Biosciences). 20 μl of the fraction derived from cells expressing sBACE or from control fractions not containing sBACE were incubated with 50 μg of synthetic Aβ(1–40) for MALDI-TOF MS and with 6 μg of synthetic Aβ(1–40) for gel analysis at 37 °C for the indicated time points. The pH was adjusted to 4.5 with acetic acid. Samples were dried in a Speed Vac and resuspended in acetic acid. Subsequently samples were purified by using a Zip-Tip column and were then subjected to MALDI-TOF MS.

Mass Spectrometry/MALDI-TOF—Cells were grown on 10-cm
dishes and incubated with 4 ml of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium high glucose (DMEM; PAA Laboratories) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (PAA Laboratories) and penicillin/streptomycin for 24 h. Subsequently the samples were prepared for mass spectrometry as described previously (49–51). Samples were analyzed on MALDI-target plates by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (Bruker Reflex III).

RESULTS

In order to analyze the Aβ species secreted by BACE-expressing cells we collected conditioned media from HEK 293 cells stably transfected with Swedish mutant βAPPsw (βAPPsw) and BACE. Cells expressing either endogenous levels of BACE or moderate or high levels of transfected BACE were investigated (Fig. 1A). To prove the catalytic activity of BACE in these cell lines we performed in vitro activity assays using solubilized membranes of the respective cell lines (46). As expected we found substantially increased β-secretase activity in the cell line expressing high levels of BACE as compared with non-transfected cells or cells expressing low levels of BACE (data not shown). These cell lines were labeled with [35S]methionine and conditioned media were immunoprecipitated with the anti-Aβ antibody 3926. Surprisingly, increasing BACE expression negatively correlated with Aβ production (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with previous findings by Vassar et al. (2) who observed reduced Aβ production despite increased BACE activity in cells transfected with βAPPsw (2). This paradoxical finding raised the possibility that Aβ species produced under these conditions could either not be metabolically labeled or not detected by conventional gel electrophoresis. We thus used an independent method and attempted to identify secreted Aβ species by a combined immunoprecipitation/MALDI-TOF MS method. As expected cells expressing endogenous BACE secreted predominantly Aβ(1–40) (Fig. 1C). In addition we also obtained small amounts of Aβ(1–42) and Aβ(1–37/38/39) (Fig. 1C). Upon expression of moderate levels of BACE we observed an additional Aβ species (Aβ(1–34); Fig. 1C). In order to analyze if the production of this truncated species is related to BACE expression levels, we next investigated Aβ species secreted from cells expressing higher levels of BACE (Fig. 1A). This revealed robust amounts of Aβ(1–34), which was accompanied by reduced levels of Aβ(1–40), Aβ(1–42), and Aβ(1–37/38/39) (Fig. 1C). Similar results were obtained using cell lines co-expressing wtAPP and BACE (data not shown). The detection of robust levels of Aβ(1–34) upon expression of BACE explains the lack of its detection upon metabolic labeling, since the single radioactively labeled Met residue at position 35 of the Aβ peptide has been removed by the additional cleavage.

Although the above described results suggest that BACE is directly involved in the enhanced production of Aβ(1–34), previous observations indicated that C-terminally truncated Aβ species including Aβ(1–34) are generated by the γ-secretase complex in a PS-dependent manner (52, 53). In order to analyze if a PS-dependent γ-secretase activity is required for Aβ(1–34) generation, we co-expressed BACE with either PS1 wt or the non-functional PS1 D385N mutant (Fig. 2A). As shown previously (42), PS1 wt undergoes endoproteolysis whereas no endoproteolysis was obtained in cells expressing PS1 D385N (Fig. 2A, right panel; Ref. 27). The non-functional PS1 D385N fully replaced biologically active endogenous PS (Fig. 2A, right panel). Whereas robust levels of Aβ(1–34) and Aβ(1–40) (and all other minor Aβ species) were produced from cells co-expressing PS1 wt and BACE, Aβ(1–34) generation as well as generation of all other Aβ species was almost completely inhibited in the presence of the non-functional PS1 D385N (Fig. 2B, right panel). This clearly demonstrates that a PS-dependent γ-secretase activity is involved directly or indirectly in the production of Aβ(1–34). However, the results described in Fig. 1, demonstrated that upon BACE
expression Aβ-(1–34) generation occurs to the expense of the production of all other Aβ variants and thus suggests a direct involvement of BACE in the cleavage of Aβ at position 34. This apparent paradox may indicate that γ-secretase activity is required first to produce secreted Aβ species, which are then trimmed at their C termini by a so far unknown BACE trimming enzyme.

In order to prove this hypothesis, synthetic Aβ-(1–40) was incubated with purified BACE isolated from conditioned media of cells secreting a soluble version of BACE lacking the transmembrane domain and the C terminus (43). To prove the catalytic activity of secreted BACE we carried out in vitro assays (46). Soluble BACE was fully active in the in vitro assay, whereas no activity was obtained in control media (Fig. 3A). This activity was fully blocked by the BACE-specific inhibitor GL189 (Fig. 3A). Upon incubation of synthetic Aβ-(1–40) with soluble BACE an additional peptide, which co-migrated with synthetic Aβ-(1–34) was detected on a gel system capable to separate low molecular weight peptides (Fig. 3B; Ref. 54). In vitro generation of Aβ-(1–34) was completely inhibited upon addition of the specific BACE inhibitor GL189 (Fig. 3B). Using MALDI-TOF MS we confirmed a time-dependent generation of Aβ-(1–34) during incubation of soluble BACE with Aβ-(1–40) (Fig. 3C). In contrast incubation of synthetic Aβ-(1–40) with conditioned media, not containing soluble BACE does not reveal truncated Aβ species (Fig. 3C). This finding demonstrates that BACE has the ability to cleave Aβ after amino acid 34 and, together with the results shown in Fig. 1, excludes artificial trimming by exopeptidases.

Because in vitro, only very minor amounts of BACE are secreted (data not shown and Ref. 55) we next investigated if membrane-bound BACE can convert secreted Aβ-(1–40) to Aβ-(1–34) in living cells. To do so we collected conditioned media (Fig. 4A) from cells expressing βAPPsw and endogenous BACE. These media were then added either to cells expressing PS1 D385N alone or to cells co-expressing PS1 D385N and BACE. Because of the lack of γ-secretase activity in the latter cell line almost no de novo synthesis of any Aβ species occurs (Ref. 42; compare also Fig. 2B). Therefore any truncation of Aβ should occur independent of γ-secretase activity. In conditioned media incubated with cells expressing PS1 D385N no
detectable conversion of Aβ-(1–40) to Aβ-(1–34) was observed (Fig. 4B). However, upon addition of the conditioned media to cells expressing both PS1 D385N and BACE, Aβ-(1–34) was readily produced (Fig. 4C). Taken together these results demonstrate that BACE can proteolytically modify Aβ species, which were originally produced by a γ-secretase-dependent pathway.

While BACE is the pro tease with the major β-secretase activity (15–17), the homologous BACE-2 can also proteolytically process βAPP to some extent (9, 13, 14, 56). To investigate if the BACE homologue BACE-2 can also be a low level cleavage of BACE-2 (Fig. 5A). Low level expression of BACE-2 allowed the recovery of very small amounts of Aβ-(1–34), which were not detectable in cells expressing no exogenous BACE-2 (Fig. 5B). However, high level expression of BACE-2 allowed the generation of increased amounts of Aβ-(1–34) thus demonstrating a BACE-2-dependent generation of Aβ-(1–34). These data demonstrate that both BACE and BACE-2 have the unexpected ability to generate C-terminally truncated Aβ species.

**DISCUSSION**

BACE plays a central role in the pathogenesis of AD and appears to be the sole β-secretase, since its knock-out in mice fully abolishes Aβ generation (15–17). In addition and in contrast to the loss of γ-secretase activity the knock out of BACE has no obvious phenotype (15–17). Thus BACE became a primary target for the development of therapeutic strategies. However, very little is known about the biological function of BACE. In addition we do not yet know precisely where the major proteolytic activity of BACE is localized within the cell. BACE is co-translated into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) as a pro-enzyme (43, 57). During its trafficking through the secretory pathway the pro-domain is removed, and complex glycosylation occurs (43, 57–61). Upon reaching the plasma membrane BACE is reinternalized and targeted to endosomes (44, 62). From endosomes BACE is retrieved in a phosphorylation-dependent manner and transported back to the trans-Golgi network (44). Although BACE has an acidic pH optimum it is apparently active in early compartments such as the ER, since small amounts of Aβ can accumulate in pre-Golgi compartments (45). Work on the Swedish βAPP mutation also demonstrated β-secretase activity within the trans-Golgi network (64). In contrast wild type βAPP is apparently processed by BACE within early endosomes after reinternalization from the plasma membrane (65). So far no proteolytic activity of BACE was demonstrated on the cell surface. Here we show that Aβ can be truncated by BACE at its C terminus after its generation by γ-secretase (Fig. 6). Thus it appears likely that secreted Aβ is further processed by BACE at or close to the plasma membrane although we cannot exclude uptake of Aβ prior to its processing by BACE.

Our data demonstrate a novel cleavage site at position 34 of the Aβ domain. This was unexpected since full-length βAPP is cleaved by BACE in a highly sequence-specific manner (66). Moreover, previous work demonstrated that in vivo a membrane-bound substrate is required for recognition by BACE (66). Obviously, soluble Aβ escapes these requirements and is cleaved by BACE after amino acid 34 of the Aβ domain. This suggests that the initial cleavage of BACE at the Met-Asp bond of the Aβ domain occurs in a different structural context than the secondary cut at position 34. This latter cleavage occurs only after Aβ-(1–40/42) generation, whereas the first cleavage requires the membrane-bound precursor with a specific recognition sequence at the Met-Asp bond. Furthermore, BACE-2, which differs in its cleavage specificity of βAPP (Fig. 6) by predominantly generating γ-secretase-like cleavage after amino acid 19 of the Aβ domain (9, 13, 14) is also able to generate Aβ-(1–34) from Aβ-(1–40/42). Both enzymes apparently share similar sequence requirements for recognition and cleavage of soluble Aβ but different preferences for cleavage of full-length βAPP. This may also have implications for the search for physiological substrates of BACE. So far only a sialyltransferase (ST6 Gal 1) has been identified as a putative
BACE substrate beside βAPP (67). Our results suggest that substrate-mimicking peptides have to be considered as natural BACE substrates in vivo.

Aβ(1–34) has been shown to exist in vivo (29). The novel cleavage activity of BACE and BACE-2 removes the most hydrophobic sequences of the Aβ domain. This may inhibit aggregation and thus facilitate proteolytic clearance by the insulin-degrading enzyme (68) or neprilysin (63, 69). Thus BACE may play an unexpected role in Aβ clearance.

Finally, our data also demonstrate that an apparently PS-FIG. 5. Aβ-(1–34) generation correlates with BACE-2 expression. A, membranes of HEK 293 cells stably overexpressing either βAPPwt (control; lane 1) or additionally BACE-2 (lanes 2 and 3) were probed with antibody 7524. Note the increasing amounts of BACE-2 expression in the three cell lines. Longer exposure reveals endogenous BACE-2 (data not shown). B, MALDI-TOF MS of Aβ peptides immunocaptured directly from conditioned media of the cell lines shown in A, using antibody 3926. Arbitrary intensities are given on the y-axis (a.i.). The tables below the spectra indicate the peak masses measured (mass) and the respective masses calculated (mass calc.). Note that Aβ(1–34) levels correlate with BACE-2 expression.

FIG. 6. βAPP processing by BACE, BACE-2, and γ-secretase. A, BACE cleaves βAPP at Asp-1 of the Aβ domain (black and light gray) to liberate a soluble part of the ectodomain (APPsβ; large white box). The C-terminal stub remains within the membrane (APPCTF). Subsequently PS-dependent γ-secretase cleavages occur, which then release AICD (small white box) or Aβ. Only upon γ-secretase cleavage BACE further processes Aβ at position 34 of the Aβ domain, which results in the generation of Aβ(1–34) (black box) and a small hydrophobic peptide (light gray box), which may be quickly degraded. B, enlargement of the Aβ domain. The known BACE cleavage sites are indicated, and the respective BACE-2 cleavage sites are indicated. The Aβ domain is marked by the black and light grey, with the blue box indicating Aβ(1–34).
and γ-secretase-dependent cut is in fact mediated by BACE. Since the cleavage after amino acid 34 is fully dependent on the previous PS/γ-secretase cleavage, the involvement of proteases in the generation of C-terminally truncated Aβ peptides may have been misinterpreted (52, 53). Moreover, our results also resolve the apparent paradox that increased BACE expression results in reduced Aβ production (2), since the truncated Aβ(1–34) species cannot be detected by autoradiography due to the loss of the Met residue at position 35 of the Aβ domain. Furthermore, using the electrophoretic conditions used (54), the peptide aberrantly migrates at a higher molecular weight as expected, again confirming the analysis of Aβ produced in BACE-expressing cells. Finally our findings may also suggest that substrate-mimetic peptides could represent a novel therapeutice approach in vivo.
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