Environmental conditioning in the control of macrophage thrombospondin-1 production
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Macrophages act as a bridge between innate and adaptive immunity, by responding to signals from pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-Like Receptors (TLRs) and modulating T helper cell stimulation. Extracellular cues drive a wide range of different but overlapping gene programs that are commonly used to define phenotype1,2. Resident macrophages, present in virtually all tissues of the body, play a sentinel role in the surveillance, compartmentalisation and presentation of pathogens3. Pathogen-associated danger signals stimulate and recruit macrophages, leading to the release of pro-inflammatory molecules, chemo-attractants, and extracellular matrix-altering molecules that initiate and regulate inflammation and immune infiltrate4. One important effector molecule is thrombospondin-1, a protein that plays a role in a number of different organ specific autoimmune diseases5-6. Thrombospondin-1 (TSP-1) is a multifunctional extracellular matrix protein. In adults its expression is restricted, but at sites of inflammation it modulates several components of the immune response. The effects of TSP-1 include, but are not limited to, neutrophil and monocyte chemotaxis7,8, phagocytosis of neutrophils9, regulation of T cell function via receptor ligation10, activation of latent TGF-β11, and the inhibition of angiogenesis12. The phenotype of the TSP-1 KO mouse has been well described, presenting in a way that is similar to, albeit less severe than TGF-β1 and TGF-βR KO mice13. Despite this chronic inflammatory phenotype characterised by systemic leukocytosis14, raised levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and impaired wound healing15, and a Sjogren’s syndrome like ocular surface disease16 the TSP-1 KO mouse is resistant to some models of autoimmunity, such as Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis (EAE)5, while remaining susceptible to others, such as Experimental Autoimmune Uveoretinitis (EAU)5. The phenotype of the T cell response in both these models is the same (S.R. Morwood and L.B. Nicholson; unpublished data), which makes it difficult to understand how the outcomes of the diseases are divergent. Local factors in the eye may be important to the differences in outcome because of the critical role of TSP-1 in the regulation of angiogenesis17, since in chronic uveitis, TSP-1 KO animals develop significantly more retinal angiogenesis than WT controls and this is associated with alterations in the phenotype of the infiltrating macrophages18. It is therefore important to understand the regulation of TSP-1 by recruited macrophages, which are the dominant cell population during the early stages of uveitis and remain present throughout the course of disease.

In this paper we have characterised signals that induce TSP-1 production by macrophages. We demonstrate that the local environment sets a threshold for TSP-1 production with the highest levels of protein elicited by...
stimuli that favour a regulatory phenotype, consistent with the reported role of TSP-1 in tolerance\(^2\). Importantly we further show that the generation of TSP-1 is inhibited by Th1 and Th2, but not Th17 cytokines. The rapid down-regulation of TSP-1 production, in the presence of activated T cells is confirmed by in vivo observations, using the acute endotoxin induced uveitis model, in which the early production of TSP-1 in response to TLR4 ligation is reduced as T cells accumulate at the site of inflammation and by studies of acute inflammation in EAU.

**Results**

**TLR4 dependent TSP-1 production by BM-MΦ is inhibited by Th1 and Th2 cytokines.** Under innate inflammatory conditions, macrophages upregulate the production of TSP-1\(^2\) a response that can be induced by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)\(^2\). This can be detected at the protein level by intracytoplasmic staining of TSP-1. To recapitulate this observation in our system, we compared LPS with other ligands that engage pattern recognition receptors expressed on BM-MΦ, to confirm this effect on TSP-1 expression. Stimulation for 24 hours with, BLP a TLR2 ligand\(^2\) or CpG a TLR9 ligand did not increase TSP-1 protein production. In comparison, treatment with the LPS, a TLR4 ligand, increased expression of TSP-1 approximately 6 fold after 24 hours of treatment (Fig 1A). When cells were cultured for longer periods, up to 72 hours, TSP-1 expression was maintained and increased slightly until approximately 40% of cells produced TSP-1 (data not shown). To establish the requirement for TLR4 signalling more precisely, we then tested BM-MΦ from wild type or TLR4 knockout mice stimulated with LPS or ultrapure LPS and measured nitrite (NO) or TSP-1 production (Fig 1B). In this assay TLR4 knockout BM-MΦ were unable to upregulate TSP-1 in the presence of LPS.

Having confirmed that LPS stimulated BM-MΦ TSP-1 production in a TLR4 dependent fashion, we wished to consider the control of TSP-1 expression by a more complex inflammatory microenvironment. During an adaptive immune response, activated T cells producing effector cytokines are present and central to the disease process\(^2\). Currently the three best characterised subsets of effector T cells, namely Th1, Th2 and Th17, are defined respectively by the production of IFN-\(\gamma\), IL-4 and IL-17 cytokines. To address the TSP-1 response of macrophages encountering innate and cytokine signals together, we cultured BM-MΦ with LPS for 24 hours, before adding different effector T cell cytokines for a further 24 hours. The addition of Th1 or Th2 cytokines reduced TSP-1 production to that level of unstimulated cells. In comparison IL-17 had no effect on TSP production, but when added in combination with IFN-\(\gamma\), the effect of IFN-\(\gamma\) was dominant and TSP-1 production was suppressed (Fig. 2).

**Environmental regulation of TSP-1 production.** The effect of increasing the concentration of LPS to elicit TSP-1 production demonstrates a conventional dose response with a maximum of about 40% of the cells expressing protein. TSP-1 engages many cell surface ligands\(^2\), therefore we wished to determine whether this limit was reached because of TSP-1 dependent signals inhibited neighbouring cells from initiating TSP-1 production. To test this we stimulated mixed cultures of WT and TSP-1 KO BM-MΦ in different ratios, reasoning that if TSP-1 was necessary for inhibition to occur, then cultures in total numbers of WT BM-MΦ would lower would have a higher frequency of TSP-1 production i.e. more of the WT BM-MΦ cells would produce TSP-1 in compensation for the reduced total production in the culture. Using WT and TSP-1 KO cells that express different CD45 allelic markers, the individual cell populations and their TSP-1 production could be distinguished by flow cytometry.

The results from these mixed macrophage cultures demonstrated that the level of TSP-1 production is a property of individual cells and not related to environmental TSP-1 levels (Fig 3). When WT cell number is diluted by TSP-1 KO macrophages, the overall production of TSP-1 in the culture reduced to baseline levels, but within the WT population of macrophages, about 40% of the cells continue to produce TSP-1. We concluded that TSP-1 in the environment is not influencing the threshold at which macrophages can produce TSP-1.

Although the fraction of cells producing TSP-1 is not affected by the presence of TSP-1 itself, the threshold of the macrophage TSP-1 response to LPS can be modified. External stimuli such as Th1 and
First we investigated whether the different frequencies of TSP-1 expression in a greater proportion of cells (Fig 4A). Baseline TSP-1 expression was higher in WT BM-MΦ than BM-MΦ KO cells only, but when added in combination with LPS they enhanced TSP-1 production from BM-MΦ (Fig 5A). The addition PGE2 could also potentiate TSP-1 production by LPS stimulated macrophages (Fig 5B) an effect that could be inhibited by indomethacin (data not shown). To assess the role of IL-10 in this process, we performed parallel experiments using WT and IL-10-deficient BM-MΦ. The absence of IL-10 had no impact on the enhanced TSP-1 production, indicating that this was not an IL-10 dependent response (Fig 5B). We also considered the impact of TNFα signalling. LPS induces TNFα that signals through TNFR127, and we therefore tested the production of TSP-1 following LPS stimulation of TNFR1KO BM-MΦ. There was no deficit in the ability of these cells to produce TSP-1 in response LPS (Fig 5C).

Figure 2 | Effect of IFN-γ, IL-4 and IL-17 cytokines on BM-MΦ TSP-1 expression. WT BM-MΦs were stimulated with LPS for 24 hrs followed by the addition of IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-17, or IFN-γ combined with IL-17 for a further 24 hrs. Cells stimulated with LPS alone for 48 hrs were used as controls. IFN-γ and IL-4 inhibited TSP-1 expression while IL-17 had no effect. Results are the averages from two independent experiments. Plots were gated on live F4/80+ cells. * p = <0.05 Mann-Whitney U test.

Th2 cytokines inhibit TSP-1 expression (Fig. 2), furthermore, peritoneal macrophages (P-MΦ), obtained from normal mice and compared with BM-MΦ respond to LPS stimulation by up-regulating TSP-1 expression in a greater proportion of cells (Fig 4A). Baseline and stimulated levels of TSP-1 production from P-MΦ was higher than BM-MΦ, as estimated by measuring frequency and mean fluorescence intensity of TSP-1 staining of cells (Fig 4B). Therefore factors that are independent of the level of TSP-1 protein regulate its production.

Figure 3 | TSP-1 secretion is independent of the response of neighbouring cells. WT and TSP-1 KO BM-MΦ’s were cultured together at different ratios and stimulated with LPS for 48 hrs. Expression of TSP-1 by WT (CD45.1 positive) cells was independent of the proportion of TSP-1 KO cells present. Results are the averages for two independent experiments. TSP-1 gates are based on the positivity of TSP-1 KO cells being less than 1%.

TSP-1 production in vivo. Inflammation precipitated by autoimmune disease involves the close collaboration of many cell types, including macrophages and T cells, that interact to establish a microenvironment that determines the fate of the organ in response to the pathological insult28. Investigating the source of TSP-1 mRNA up-regulation we found that this segregated to the macrophage compartment and not to T cells (Fig. 6A). This result is in conflict with data derived in human T cells, which are reported to produce TSP-129,30. We therefore addressed whether murine naive or effector T cells produce TSP-1 directly, using TCR transgenic T cells from OT-II mice, either immediately ex vivo (‘naïve’) or differentiated to a Th1 or Th17 phenotype. When these cells were stimulated, either with an optimal concentration of anti-CD3 plus anti-CD28 (Fig 6B) or PMA Ionomycin (data not shown) and compared with TSP-1 KO T cells, they did not produce detectable levels of TSP-1 protein. We also examined in vitro generated dendritic cells (DCs), comparing the production of TSP-1 by from these different environments, or alternatively could be modified by environmental cues, WT BM-MΦ and TSP-1 KO P-MΦ or BM-MΦ were then combined and stimulated as mixed cultures with LPS. TSP-1 production was measured in the WT macrophage population. The results demonstrate that approximately 28% of the WT BM-MΦ produced TSP-1 when they were co-cultured with TSP-1 KO BM-MΦ, but when WT BM-MΦ were cultured with TSP-1 KO P-MΦ, the fraction of TSP-1 producing cells increased 2-fold (Fig 4C). We conclude that macrophages obtained from the peritoneum can interact with WT BM-MΦ and rapidly modify their threshold for TSP-1 secretion by TSP-1 in vivo.

It is well recognised that macrophages respond to a wide array of exogenous signals, and a number of different stimuli have been described that modify macrophage activation29. For example, the ability of different signals to switch macrophages from a classically activated to a regulatory phenotype, has been intensively investigated30. In these experiments, it is often an innate signal in combination with a number of different second signals that alters the production of effector molecules, particularly IL-10. Because this response has been carefully documented we wished to investigate how the production of TSP-1 related to this paradigm. Because we have found that P-MΦ but not BM-MΦ include a population of cells competent to induce adenosine (JBF and LBN unpublished data) we tested the role of this mediator. In these experiments and congruent with this two stage signal model, the addition of adenosine or the selective A2A adenosine receptor agonist CGS, did not stimulate TSP-1 when administered alone, but when added in combination with LPS they enhanced TSP-1 production from BM-MΦ (Fig. 5A). The addition PGE2 could also potentiate TSP-1 production by LPS stimulated macrophages (Fig 5B) an effect that could be inhibited by indomethacin (data not shown). To assess the role of IL-10 in this process, we performed parallel experiments using WT and IL-10-deficient BM-MΦ. The absence of IL-10 had no impact on the enhanced TSP-1 production, indicating that this was not an IL-10 dependent response (Fig 5B). We also considered the impact of TNFα signalling. LPS induces TNFα that signals through TNFR127, and we therefore tested the production of TSP-1 following LPS stimulation of TNFR1KO BM-MΦ. There was no deficit in the ability of these cells to produce TSP-1 in response LPS (Fig. 5C).
Figure 4 | Peritoneal macrophages produce a soluble mediator that increases TSP-1 expression. BM-M\(\Phi\) or Peritoneal M\(\Phi\)s (P-M\(\Phi\)) were cultured in media alone or stimulated with LPS. (A) P-M\(\Phi\) produced greater amounts of TSP-1 as indicated by increased TSP-1\* percentage (B) TSP-1 protein levels were higher in P-M\(\Phi\). (C) About 28\% of WT BM-M\(\Phi\)s, co-cultured with TSP-1 KO BM-M\(\Phi\) (ratio 1:1) produced TSP-1. This increased to 48\% when WT BM-M\(\Phi\) were co-cultured with TSP-1 KOP-M\(\Phi\).

Immature and mature DCs with a BM-M\(\Phi\) population and found that only the BM-M\(\Phi\) upregulated their production of TSP-1 (Fig 6C). This raised the important question of under what circumstances would the balance of inflammatory signals lead in vivo inflammatory macrophages to produce TSP-1. To study this in ocular inflammation, we first assessed the retinas of young unimmunised TSP-1 KO mice and found that they were broadly normal, both clinically and histologically. There was no significant difference in apoptosis in the retina between WT and TSP-1 KO animals, as assessed by counting cleaved Caspase-3 positive cells in TSP-1 KO mice and their age matched controls up to 2 years of age. The only deficit we identified in non-manipulated TSP-1 KO mice was a slight thinning of nuclear layers at 2 years of age. (Fig 6D).

To investigate the response to inflammation we then studied two models of uveitis. Endotoxin-induced uveitis (EIU), which results from the injection of LPS, provides a strong TLR-4 dependent inflammatory response in which retinal antigen specific T cells traffic to the eye and produce inflammation in the retina\(^{37}\). This threshold is not directly regulated by the local concentration of TLR-4 signals are present at the site of immunisation, but not in the target organ.

When EIU was induced, WT and TSP-1 KO mice both developed an anterior uveitis, and the infiltrating cell population in both mouse strains was dominated in the early phase by neutrophils (Ly6G\(^{+}\) cells), which do not express TSP-1 (data not shown). The other cell populations present in the early phase, 12 hours following LPS administration included both macrophages (CD11b\(^{+}\) populations present in the early phase, 12 hours following LPS cells), which do not express TSP-1 (data not shown). The other cell only the BM-M\(\Phi\) immature and mature DCs with a BM-M\(\Phi\) that only the BM-M\(\Phi\) upregulated their production of TSP-1 (Fig 6C). Over the same period there was a reciprocal increase in the fraction of CD3\(^{+}\) T cells present (Fig 7D).

When EAU was induced in WT and TSP-1 KO mice, clinical disease developed a little more rapidly in the TSP-1 KO animals compared with WT controls, but followed similar kinetics and reached a similar maximum disease score (Fig 8A). We analysed CD11b\(^{+}\) TSP-1 production (Fig 8B) which is much lower than seen at early time points in EIU. T cell cytokine production tested at day 26 was similar in PMA/Ionomycin stimulated from T cells obtained from both WT and TSP-1 KO animals and included about 12\% IFN\(\gamma\) positive cells (Fig. 8C). The low level of TSP-1 (Fig 8B) expression was comparable to that we detected in the EIU model following the maximum influx of CD3\(^{+}\) T cells at 60 hours and also the background levels of production that we have shown in vitro. Therefore we conclude that in acute inflammation, TSP-1 can be produced by inflammatory macrophages in vivo in response to LPS, but that this synthesis is relatively short lived and sub-order to regulation that is associated with the local accumulation of Th1 lymphocytes.

Discussion

Macrophages that have been exposed to a variety of pro-inflammatory stimuli are known as a source of induced TSP-1\(^{23,29}\). In this paper we have elucidated the mechanisms by which the level of TSP-1 protein production is regulated, and shown that in an acute inflammatory environment, this production is inversely correlated with the accumulation of CD3\(^{+}\) T cells. Our results demonstrate that BM-M\(\Phi\) can integrate a number of different environmental cues to establish a threshold for TSP-1 production, which is revealed should they also encounter a TLR4 mediated signal. Th1 and Th2 cytokines raise the threshold for TSP-1 production, and inhibit ongoing protein synthesis, even from cells that have already encountered LPS (Fig. 2). Importantly, and in contrast with Th1 and Th2 environments, in the presence of IL-17 alone, macrophages can continue to produce TSP-1. Since one function of TSP-1 is the activation of TGF\(\beta\), a cytokine known to play a role in Th17 cell differentiation\(^{38}\) continued TSP-1 secretion and/or the presence of TSP-1 closely associated with the differentiating T cell may favour Th17 cell development.

However, other signals can lower the threshold for TSP-1 secretion, and this indicates that different populations of macrophages can respond to the same TLR4 stimulus with altered levels of TSP-1 production, defined as either the frequency or amount of protein (Fig. 4). Differences in local cues are present in the healthy normal environment, as shown by the different responses of BM-M\(\Phi\) versus P-M\(\Phi\). This threshold is not directly regulated by the local concentration of TSP-1 (Fig. 3), which means that the TSP-1 response by macrophages is independent of local TSP-1 accumulation. TSP-1 has a relatively long half-life (540 minutes when measured in the circulation\(^{39}\).
Figure 5 | LPS in combination with adenosine or PGE2 increase TSP-1 expression. (A) BM-MΦs were cultured in media alone or stimulated with adenosine or CGS (a selective A2A agonist) alone or in combination with LPS. Adenosine and CGS alone did not up-regulate TSP-1 expression. The addition of Adenosine to LPS-stimulated cultures increased TSP-1 expression by about 1.5 fold, while the addition of CGS to LPS-stimulated culture increased TSP-1 expression 2 fold. (B) WT and IL-10 KO BM-MΦs were cultured in media alone or stimulated with LPS or LPS & PGE2 for 48 hrs. The addition of PGE2 increased TSP-1 expression 2-fold. (C) WT and TNFR1 KO BM-MΦs were cultured in media alone or stimulated with LPS for 48 hrs. The TSP-1 response is independent of the presence of TNFR1. Results are the averages from two independent experiments. * p < 0.05 Mann-Whitney U test. (D) WT BM-MΦs were stimulated with LPS + CGS for 24 hrs followed by the addition of IFN-γ, IL-4, IL-17, or IFN-γ & IL-17 for a further 24 hrs. IFN-γ & IL-4 reduced TSP-1 expression by 30-50%. IL-17 had no effect. Results are the averages from two independent experiments. * p < 0.05 Mann-Whitney U test.

which means it has the potential to shape the responses of cells entering the inflammatory microenvironment over relatively long periods of time, compared with short acting effects of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α.

The signals that lower the threshold for TSP-1 production (e.g. LPS plus PGE2) are the same as those often associated with the generation of regulatory macrophages36. Macrophages derived from the peritoneal cavity, which have blunted nitric oxide responses to LPS stimulation, produce elevated amounts of TSP-1 (Fig. 4). Furthermore, in a corneal transplantation model the absence of TSP-1 potentiated graft rejection35. This presents a consistent picture, indicating that TSP-1 is induced in circumstances where it plays an anti-inflammatory role. However this interpretation cannot completely explain findings in some autoimmune disease models.

Organ specific autoimmune disease is the product of a CD4+ T cell immune response, directed at self-proteins within the target organ and recruiting a complex mixture of leukocytes37. Many targeted mutations modify the expression of disease and these effects are often consistent across a number of different models, for example IFN-γ knockout mice develop more severe EAE and EAU36,37. The TSP-1 knockout mouse is an exception to this, as it is resistant to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) but susceptible to experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis (EAU)36. Since we have shown that IL-17, but not IFNγ or IL-4, is permissive for the continued production of TSP-1, we propose that this allows Th17 cells to maintain a microenvironment that favours their differentiation. These cells would in turn limit the expansion of Th1 cells. This hypothesis is supported by the finding that in the corneal transplantation model discussed above, there is an expansion of Th1 cells associated with the TSP-1 knockout phenotype. The ability of Th17 cells to solicit TSP-1 from antigen presenting cells may be critical in the early expansion of this cell population, especially in the EAE model when it is induced under sub-optimal conditions. The critical importance of Th17 cells in the development of EAE has been highlighted in recent studies of IL-17 reporter mice38, while for EAU both Th1 and Th17 cell populations can induce disease independently of the presence of the reciprocal cytokine39,40. Therefore EAE in the C57BL/6 model may be a more Th17 dependent disease than EAU in the same strain.

The interaction between lymphocytes and macrophages in the regulation of TSP-1 production is clearly seen in another model of inflammation in vivo, in which LPS is used to induce a model of acute uveitis. Here, there is an inverse correlation between the production of TSP-1 and the accumulation of CD3⁺ T cells (Fig 7). Because this occurs relatively quickly, it likely reflects an active process rather than the exhaustion of the macrophages. Taking our data together with other studies41,42 we conclude that the major effects of TSP-1 in acute inflammation occur early and in response to innate stimuli that
Figure 6 | TSP-1 production and retinal architecture in TSP-1 KO animals. (A) RNA was extracted from bone-marrow derived macrophages from WT mice stimulated as shown. (B) OT-II CD4+ T cells isolated from WT splenocytes were co-cultured with WT or TSP-1 KO KO macrophages, stimulated with peptide and/or LPS. TSP-1 mRNA is expressed by un-activated macrophages and is upregulated by LPS stimulation. IFN-γ down-regulates TSP-1 mRNA expression. In co-culture experiments TSP-1 mRNA was only detectable when WT (and not TSP-1 KO) macrophages were present. Furthermore TSP-1 mRNA was not detectable with the inclusion of WT T cells. Results are representative of >3 independent experiments. (B) Th1 and Th17 cells were generated from OT-II CD4+ T cells cultured in Th1- or Th17-polarising conditions. Th1 and Th17 cells were stimulated with CD3/28 or PMA + Ionomycin. Neither naive nor Th1 or Th17 differentiated T cells produced detectable TSP-1, despite the Th1 and Th17 cells producing significant amounts of their signature cytokines (Th1 = ~40% IFN-γ, Th17 = ~60% IL-17a) upon stimulation. LPS-stimulated TSP-1 null and WT BM-MΦs were stained as a positive control (data not shown). (C) Dendritic cells were prepared from WT or TSP-1 KO mice by culture in GM-CSF and some were matured by the inclusion of LPS (1 μg/ml) for 18–20 hours. TSP-1 production in response to LPS was assessed in WT cells in comparison to TSP-1 KO DCs and BM-MΦs. Data is representative of 2 independent experiments. (D) The retinal thickness in 24-month-old TSP-1 KO mice was compared to their aged matched genetic background control animals. The average retinal thickness at the region 500 μm from the optic disc margin is 199.0 ± 20.3 μm in WT mice (n=10) and 168.7 ± 19.1 μm in TSP-1 KO mice (n=9, **p<0.002, Students-T test). The thickness includes the retinal ganglia cell layer to the outer nuclear layer. The photoreceptor layer was excluded to avoid artefact.
Figure 7 | TSP-1 expression during acute inflammation segregates to the macrophage population and is reduced in the presence of activated T cells. Animals with Endotoxin-Induced Uveitis were sacrificed at different time points after disease induction. Cells released from the eyes were analysed by flow cytometry. (A) TSP-1 expression in macrophages (CD45+, CD11b+, IAb(Ly6G) cells) at 12 hours after treatment. (B) CD45+ cell infiltrate was detectable throughout the course of the experiment and peaked at 36 hours. WT and TSP−/− animals had similar levels of infiltrate. (C) The level of TSP-1 production fell rapidly and significantly over the first 60 hours of the disease course (D) The T cell content of the infiltrate increased significantly with time to 60 hours after the induction of disease. Results are representative of two individual experiments, n = 3 mice. *p < 0.05 Mann-Whitney U test.

act via TLR4. In the presence of an adaptive immune response, that is associated with the induction of Th1 and/or Th2 cytokines, infiltrating macrophages will no longer synthesise TSP-1, even with continued TLR4 ligation. Only in a strongly Th17 biased environment will TSP-1 be produced.

In chronic EAU the presence of TSP-1 plays a role in controlling the development of angiogenesis. When the retina is examined in animals four months after the induction of EAU, retinal neovascular membranes can be detected. These are markedly increased in the absence of TSP-118. Therefore conditioning of the macrophages to produce TSP-1 is likely to be more significant late in the disease process and acutely a deficit of macrophage TSP-1 production does not lead to a loss of other functional outcome measures of macrophage conditioning, implying that TSP-1 expression per se does not directly signal a switch in macrophage phenotype. TSP-1 production by macrophages is therefore subject to both acute and chronic conditioning that has a significant impact on the outcome of organ specific autoimmunity.

Methods

Animals. C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Harlan UK Limited (Oxford, UK), and thrombospondin-1 deficient (TSP-1 KO) mice (C57BL/6 background) were originally from Jack Lawler14 and maintained in our facility at BC10. Toll-like receptor 4 deficient (TLR4 KO) mice (C57BL/6 background) were originally obtained from the Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University (Osaka, Japan), and generously donated by Dr. Clare Bryant (University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK). B10.PL wild-type and IL-10 KO mice were a kind gift of Prof. David C. Wraith (Cellular & Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, UK). All breeding colonies were established within the Animal Service Unit at Bristol University (Bristol, UK). Animals were housed in specific pathogen free conditions. Experiments were carried out in compliance with University of Bristol institutional guidelines and under the authority of a project licence from the UK Home Office.

Generation of Bone Marrow Derived Macrophages and Dendritic cells. Bone marrow cells were isolated from the femurs and tibia of mice by flushing with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM). Single-cell suspensions were cultured for 8 days in hydrophobic Teflon bags (supplied by Dr. M. Munder, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany15) with DMEM containing 10% foetal calf serum (FCS), 5% normal horse serum, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μM penicillin-streptomycin (all obtained from PAA Laboratories, Somerst, UK), 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) and 50 pg/ml macrophage-stimulating factor (M-CSF) generated from L929 fibroblast-conditioned media. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BM-MΦs) were then harvested and washed in DMEM prior to plating. Greater than 95% of cells were positive for the macrophage markers F4/80 and CD11b.

Monocyte-derived Dendritic Cells were prepared from bone marrow cells. To deplete macrophages, the cells were centrifuged at 500 g for 10 minutes, re-suspended in 20 ml complete media with GM-CSF and then transferred into tissue culture flask (Corning, NY, USA) through 40 μm cell strainer (BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK) before cultured in a 5% vol./vol. CO2 incubator at 37°C for 2 hours. Adherent cells were left in the flask whereas non-adherent cells were removed and centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes. Cells were then re-suspended in GM-CSF medium (4 ng/ml) and plated in 6-well tissue culture plates (Corning). Each well contained 2x105 cells per 3 ml GM-CSF medium. The plates were then incubated in a 5% vol./vol. CO2 incubator at 37°C and 2 ml of the media was changed with fresh GM-CSF medium on days 3, 6 and 8.

On day 9, 1 μg/ml of LPS (from E.coli 026:B6 (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK) was added to some wells in order to generate matured DCs and left for 18–20 hours in the 5% vol./vol. CO2 incubator. On day 10, cells were harvested and washed with DMEM.

Isolation of Resident Peritoneal Macrophages. Mice were sacrificed and the peritoneal cavity washed with 5 mls of ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5% FCS. The peritoneal cavity was gently agitated for 3 minutes before retrieval of peritoneal fluid. Peritoneal cells were washed in DMEM and then plated in DMEM containing 1% FCS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 μM penicillin-streptomycin for 2 hrs at 37°C. Non-adherent cells were removed by gentle washing with media. Approximately 70% of adherent cells were positive for the macrophage markers F4/80 and CD11b.

Stimulation of Macrophages. BM-MΦs were cultured at a concentration of 2x106/ml in DMEM containing 1% FCS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 μM penicillin-streptomycin. Resident peritoneal macrophages (P-MΦs) were cultured at a concentration of 2.6x106/ml in DMEM containing 1% FCS, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 2 mM L-glutamine and 100 μM penicillin-streptomycin. In co-culture experiments, wild-type BM-MΦs were cultured with TSP-1 KO P-MΦs or the equivalent number of TSP-1 KO BM-MΦs as controls. Both BM-MΦ and P-MΦ were plated 2 hours prior to stimulation, ensuring that both populations were activated simultaneously. LPS or combinations of LPS and adenosine, the adenosine receptor
agonist CGS21680 or prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) were used to stimulate macrophage TSP-1 production. Cell suspensions of 500 µl were applied to 24-well flat bottom culture plates and harvested after 24 or 48 hours of culture. LPS (100 ng/ml), Adenosine (1µM), CGS21680 (1µM), IFN-γ (100 U/ml), IL-17 (200 ng/ml) and PGE2 (1 ng/ml) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. IL-4 (25 U/ml) was purchased from Peprotech.

Quantification of nitric oxide (NO) production. NO generation was measured in culture supernatants after 24 hours of culture under varying experimental conditions. NO was assayed by detection of the stable reaction product nitrite quantified using a sodium nitrite standard in a Griess assay27.

Staining of cells for analysis by flow cytometry. All staining protocols were performed on ice, in staining buffer (PBS containing 1% FCS and 0.1% sodium azide). Macrophages were harvested and pre-incubated with 24G2 cell supernatant for 10 min before incubation with primary mAb at 4°C for 20 min. Intracellular staining, brefeldin A was added for the final 3 hours of cell culture. For TSP-1 staining, a biotinylated anti-TSP-1 mAb (Clone:D4.6; Thermoscientific, USA) was stained, a biotinylated anti-TSP-1 mAb was added for 30 minutes, followed by a secondary streptavidin-fluorochrome conjugate staining, a biotinylated anti-TSP-1 mAb (Clone:D4.6; Thermoscientific, USA) was followed by staining, brefeldin A was added for the final 3 hours of cell culture. For TSP-1 message detection, RNA was isolated from macrophages using Trizol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesised using the imrov II Reverse transcription system with random primers (Promega). TSP-1 message was detected using intron spanning primers, designed using Primer3 and purchased from Sigma: TSP- Forward: 5'-GAG TTC AA-3', TSP- Reverse: 5'-TGC ACC TCC AAT GAG TCG TTC AA-3'.

Induction of Endotoxin-induced Uveoretinitis (EU) and Experimental autoimmune uveoretinitis (EAU). Local administration of LPS was performed by intravitreal injection in adult mice. Mice were anesthetized by i.p. injection of 150 ul of Vetelar (ketamine hydrochloride 100 mg/ml; Pfizer, Sandwich, UK) and Rompun (xylazine hydrochloride 20 mg/ml; Bayer, Newbury, UK) mixed with sterile water in the ratio 0.6:1:84. The pupils of all animals were dilated using topical 1% tropicamide and 2.5% phenylephrine (Chauvin Pharmaceuticals, Kingston-Upon-Thaness, Surrey, UK). Intravitreal injection of 100 ng LPS in 4 ul of PBS was performed under direct control of a surgical microscope with the tip of a 12 mm 33-gauge hypodermic needle. The injection site was treated once with chloramphenicol ointment. To induce EAU, mice were immunised subcutaneously with peptide (RBP-3-1-20 (GPTHLQPSLVLDMAKVLDD) 500 µg) emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant.
that was supplemented with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTb) H37Ra (75 µg BD Biosciences). Mice were coinjected with Bordetella pertussis D (Roche, Lewes, UK) and 750 Unit R. Photorealistic (Sigma) for 15 min at 37°C. After this time, any remaining tissue was disrupted through a 70-µm cell strainer (BD Falcon), and the resulting single cell suspension washed and resuspended in staining buffer.
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