Cooking quality, nutritional composition and consumer acceptance of functional jackfruit pasta enriched with red amaranthus
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Abstract
Jackfruit is an important tropical fruit grown extensively in homesteads of Kerala. Even though jackfruit is a pack house of nutritional components, it remains underexploited and facing huge postharvest loss. Jackfruit bulb and seed are rich in carbohydrates, proteins, fibre and other bioactive compounds and can be utilised for the development of value added products with health benefits and jackfruit pasta is promising as demand for nutritious pasta is increasing domestically as well as internationally. The present study aimed to develop red amaranthus enriched functional jackfruit pasta with natural red colour, nutritional qualities and consumer acceptability. The red amaranthus paste was added in two different proportions (5% and 10%) to different formulations of jackfruit pasta comprising of jackfruit bulb flour, seed flour and cassava flour replacing a portion of refined flour. The enrichment with 10% of red amaranthus as paste to jackfruit pasta formulations reduced cooking loss, improved the cooking quality characters, nutritional quality, and sensory attributes and produced naturally coloured pasta with higher consumer acceptability.
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Introduction
Jackfruit (Artocarpus heterophyllus Lam.), the largest fruit commonly grown in homesteads of Kerala, is rich in nutrients with enormous health benefits. But the fruit is still considered as underutilized and the high productivity often leads to huge postharvest loss. Jackfruit is not considered as a commercial fruit crop due to its lower shelf life and inadequate postharvest handling facilities in the regions they are grown (Ranasinghe et al., 2019). The fruit is rich in carbohydrates, dietary fibre, proteins, vitamins, minerals and many classes of bioactive phytochemicals viz., polyphenols, carotenoids, flavanoids, volatile compounds which are known to have beneficial effects in healthy diet to prevent degenerative diseases (Chandrika et al., 2004, Arung et al., 2007, and Amit and Ambarish 2010). Pasta is traditionally an Italian food, an excellent source of complex carbohydrates, which provide a slow release of energy made of refined wheat flour which has crossed international borders. Convenience and palatability make pasta more popular among the common man in India too (Gull et al., 2015). The demand for pasta enriched with functional ingredients and nutrients increased with increase in income, change in life style, and increased concern towards safe and nutrient rich foods which led to the development of pasta enriched with cereal bran, vegetable puree, fruits, minor cereals and pseudo cereals for people suffering from celiac disease (Meena et al., 2019). As jackfruit bulb and seed contain starch, protein, fibre, calcium, and other bioactive compounds, it can be used for the preparation of healthy pasta. Red amaranthus (Amaranthus tricolor L.) is one of the largely consumed leafy vegetables of Kerala and is well known for its high nutritional quality. It is called as 'poor man’s spinach’ which is less expensive and easily available source of protective nutrients. Nowadays there is a growing demand for wholesome, nutritional, and convenience food products. Pastas enriched with plant derived bioactive compounds may confer additional health benefits to consumers. Hence the present study was conducted with the objective to develop amaranthus incorporated jackfruit based pasta by adding red amaranthus leaves to make functional pasta with consumer acceptability.
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Materials and Method

**Raw Materials**

Fully matured varikka jackfruit (90-110 days after fruit set) of good quality were taken for the study. The bulbs and seeds were separated, blanched, dried and powdered to get jackfruit bulb flour and seed flour. Cassava tubers harvested were cleaned, outer and inner skin removed, washed and grated using ‘Tapioca french fry cutter’. The grated cassava was sun dried and pulverized into fine powder in cassava grinding machine. Red amaranthus (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) variety Arun of good quality was procured from the field of progressive farmers.

**Pasta preparation**

Jackfruit pasta was developed with jackfruit bulb flour and seed flour along with cassava flour replacing a portion of refined flour contributing to 65% of total ingredients. The remaining 35% of total ingredients was kept as constant with refined wheat flour, soy flour and cassava starch procured from supermarket. To the jackfruit pasta combinations, red amaranthus leaves and tender stem in paste form was added at 5 and 10% to the pasta dough for the development of amaranthus incorporated jackfruit pasta. The treatment combinations are

- **F1** - Jackfruit bulb flour (20%) + Jackfruit seed flour (20%) + cassava flour (25%)
- **F2** - Jackfruit bulb flour (25%) + Jackfruit seed flour (25%) + cassava flour (15%)
- **F3** - Jackfruit bulb flour (10%) + Jackfruit seed flour (30%) + cassava flour (25%)
- **F4** - F1 + 5% amaranthus
- **F5** - F1 + 10% amaranthus
- **F6** - F2 + 5% amaranthus
- **F7** - F2 + 10% amaranthus
- **F8** - F3 + 5% amaranthus
- **F9** - F3 + 10% amaranthus

The kneaded pasta dough was extruded using single screw extruder La Monferrina s.r.l. Costell’Alfero (AT) Italy with die for tubular pasta and cut into 2.5 cm length. The pasta were dried at 50°C in hot air oven (Kemi Hot Air Oven, India) till the moisture content reached to 7 to 8%.

**Cooking quality of pasta**

Cooking quality of pasta was determined based on cooking loss, water absorption, swelling index and cooking time.

**Cooking loss (%)**

Quantification of cooking loss is important to assess the quality of pasta and was determined by the method of Debbouz and Doetkott (1996) as described by Padmaja (2015). The water drained after cooking is separately dried in pre-weighed petri dishes and kept in an oven at 105°C for overnight drying. The weight of the dry residue is quantified ($W_2$ g).

$$\text{Cooking loss} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \times 100$$

where $W_1$ is the initial weight of the pasta.

**Water absorption (g g-1)**

Water absorption of pasta was analysed according to Cleary and Brennan (2006). Water absorption is the difference in weight of cooked pasta and uncooked pasta, expressed as the percentage of weight of uncooked pasta. Cooked pasta were rinsed with water and drained, then weighed to determine the gain in weight.

$$\text{Water absorption} = \frac{\text{Final weight of cooked pasta} - \text{Weight of raw pasta}}{\text{Weight of raw pasta}}$$

**Swelling index (%)**

Swelling index of pasta was determined by the method described by Cleary and Brennan (2006). Approximately 50g of the dried pasta ($W_1$) was cooked and the water is drained to a pre-weighed beaker. The cooked pasta is surface dried over a cloth and weight of the cooked pasta ($W_2$) is taken.

$$\text{Swelling index} = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \times 100$$

**Cooking time (minutes)**

Cooking quality of pasta were analysed according to method of Ojure and Quadri (2012). Ten gram of pasta was cooked in 300 ml of boiling water in a covered beaker. Cooking time was determined by removing a piece of pasta and pressing them between two pieces of glass slides. Optimum cooking was achieved when the centre of pasta became transparent or when the pasta were fully hydrated.

**Biochemical analysis**

The biochemical parameters viz., starch, total sugar, reducing sugar, protein, carotenoids, crude fibre, and antioxidant activity were determined for raw as well as cooked pasta.
The starch content was expressed as per cent in terms of invert sugar according to the following formula (Ranganna, 1986).

\[
\text{Starch} \% = \frac{\text{Glucose Eq. (0.05) x Total volume made up (mL) x amount of starch in 1g solution (g) x 100}}{\text{Titre value (V) x Weight of sample taken (g) x Aliquot taken for inversion (mL)}}
\]

The total sugar content was expressed as per cent in terms of invert sugar according to the following formula (Ranganna, 1986).

\[
\text{Total sugar} \% = \frac{\text{Glucose Eq. (0.05) x Total volume made up (mL) x Volume made up after inversion (mL) x 100}}{\text{Titre value (mL) x Weight of sample taken (g) x Aliquot taken for inversion (mL)}}
\]

The titrimetric method of Lane and Eynon as described by Ranganna (1986) was adopted for the estimation of reducing sugar.

\[
\text{Reducing sugar} \% = \frac{\text{Glucose Eq. (0.05) x Total volume made up (mL) x Titre value (mL) x Weight of the sample (g) x Aliquot taken for inversion (mL)}}{\text{Volume made up after inversion (mL)}}
\]

Protein content of the pasta was estimated using the method described by Bradford (1976). Amount of protein present in the sample was calculated by plotting a standard curve using the standard protein absorbance against the concentration obtained. From the graph the amount of protein present in the sample can be calculated.

Carotenoids were estimated as per the procedures of Saini et al. (2015) and expressed as µg 100g⁻¹ of treated fruit.

Crude fibre content of developed pasta was estimated using the method described by Sadasivam and Manickam (1992). Percentage of crude fibre in the sample was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ of Crude fibre} = \frac{\text{Loss in weight in ignition } (W_2 - W_1) - (W_1 - W_3)) x 100}{\text{Weight of the sample}}
\]

Where:
- \( W_1 \) - Weight of crucible
- \( W_2 \) - Weight of crucible and sample after two hours
- \( W_3 \) - Final weight of crucible
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Total antioxidant activity of developed pasta was determined using 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging assay. The scavenging effect on DPPH free radical was measured according to the procedure described by Sharma and Bhat (2009).

Pasta sample (1 g) was added to 2.0 mL 0.1 mM DPPH solution, mixed thoroughly and left for 30 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance was read at 517 nm. Scavenging effect was expressed as percent inhibition of DPPH as shown in the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition of DPPH} = \frac{(A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}) x 100}{A_{\text{blank}}}
\]

Where,
- \( A_{\text{blank}} \) – Absorbance of DPPH solution without sample, read against ethanol blank.
- \( A_{\text{sample}} \) – Absorbance of the test sample after 30 min.

Amaranthus incorporated jackfruit pasta developed by different treatments were evaluated for sensory characteristics viz., appearance, taste, colour, flavour, elasticity, adhesiveness, and overall acceptability by 30 member semi trained panel. The panel was asked to score for the sensory attributes of the samples using 9-point hedonic scale (Ranganna, 1986) in the order of preference as shown below.

Like extremely -9 , Like very much -8, Like moderately -7, Like slightly -6, Neither like nor dislike -5, Dislike slightly -4, Dislike moderately -3, Dislike very much -2, Dislike extremely -1

The data generated from the experiment were statistically analysed using Completely Randomised Design (CRD). The sensory scores were statistically analysed using Kruskall-Wallis test (chi-square value) to find out whether treatments differed significantly (Shamrez et al., 2013).

Results and Discussion

Pasta developed from different combinations was subjected to analysis for cooking quality, textural, nutritional and sensory parameters.
Table 1. Evaluation of cooking quality characters of amaranthus incorporated functional

| Treatments                                                                 | Cooking loss (%) | Water absorption (g g⁻¹) | Swelling index (%) | Cooking time (minutes) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| F₁ [JBF (20%) + JSF (20%) + CF (25%)]                                     | 16.09            | 1.19                     | 1.78               | 6.56                  |
| F₂ [JBF (25%) + JSF (25%) + CF (15%)]                                     | 16.50            | 1.19                     | 2.18               | 6.57                  |
| F₃ [JBF (10%) + JSF (30%) + CF (25%)]                                     | 18.89            | 1.25                     | 2.58               | 6.60                  |
| F₄ [F₁+5% Amaranthus]                                                     | 6.84             | 1.03                     | 1.06               | 5.23                  |
| F₅ [F₁+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 7.46             | 1.19                     | 1.55               | 5.49                  |
| F₆ [F₂+5% Amaranthus]                                                    | 7.69             | 1.09                     | 1.45               | 6.00                  |
| F₇ [F₂+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 7.81             | 1.34                     | 1.66               | 6.12                  |
| F₈ [F₃+5% Amaranthus]                                                    | 7.55             | 1.17                     | 1.66               | 6.20                  |
| F₉ [F₃+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 8.93             | 1.49                     | 2.16               | 6.22                  |
| CD                                                                        | 2.135            | 0.212                    | 0.551              | NS                    |

JBF- Jackfruit Bulb Flour, JSF- Jackfruit Seed Flour, CF- Cassava Flour

Table 2. Evaluation of nutritional parameters of amaranthus incorporated raw functional jackfruit pasta

| Treatments                                                                 | Starch (%) | Total sugar (%) | Reducing sugar (%) | Protein (%) | Carotenoids (µg 100g⁻¹) | Crude fibre (%) | Antioxidant activity (%) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| F₁ [JBF (20%) + JSF (20%) + CF (25%)]                                     | 67.32      | 6.58            | 4.77              | 12.68      | 6.12                    | 2.57            | 90.47                    |
| F₂ [JBF (25%) + JSF (25%) + CF (15%)]                                     | 68.06      | 6.86            | 4.91              | 12.45      | 6.64                    | 3.56            | 90.64                    |
| F₃ [JBF (10%) + JSF (30%) + CF (25%)]                                     | 69.38      | 5.71            | 3.97              | 13.86      | 5.73                    | 1.83            | 90.81                    |
| F₄ [F₁+5% Amaranthus]                                                     | 65.06      | 6.88            | 4.95              | 13.41      | 6.25                    | 4.07            | 93.94                    |
| F₅ [F₁+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 64.53      | 7.07            | 5.05              | 13.58      | 6.34                    | 4.66            | 94.35                    |
| F₆ [F₂+5% Amaranthus]                                                    | 66.19      | 7.18            | 5.16              | 13.54      | 6.54                    | 4.53            | 94.74                    |
| F₇ [F₂+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 66.66      | 7.28            | 5.24              | 13.69      | 6.83                    | 4.82            | 94.85                    |
| F₈ [F₃+5% Amaranthus]                                                    | 68.51      | 6.19            | 4.77              | 14.97      | 5.87                    | 2.40            | 94.95                    |
| F₉ [F₃+10% Amaranthus]                                                   | 68.71      | 6.22            | 4.83              | 15.06      | 5.92                    | 2.89            | 95.21                    |
| CD                                                                        | NS         | NS              | 1.157             | NS         | NS                      | 1.469           | 3.41                     |
Table 3. Evaluation of nutritional parameters of cooked amaranthus incorporated functional jackfruit pasta

| Treatments                      | Starch (%) | Total sugar (%) | Reducing sugar (%) | Protein (%) | Carotenoids (µg 100g⁻¹) | Crude fibre (%) | Antioxidant activity (%) |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| F₁ [JBF (20%) + JSF (20%) + CF (25%)] | 45.61      | 4.52           | 1.55              | 5.87        | 1.57                   | 0.12           | 61.35                   |
| F₂ [JBF (25%) + JSF (25%) + CF (15%)] | 47.73      | 4.78           | 1.69              | 6.12        | 1.74                   | 0.20           | 64.37                   |
| F₃ [JBF (10%) + JSF (30%) + CF (25%)] | 53.28      | 3.75           | 1.15              | 6.56        | 1.34                   | 0.10           | 68.56                   |
| F₄ [F₁+5% Amaranthus]           | 42.72      | 4.56           | 1.69              | 6.43        | 2.00                   | 2.31           | 66.58                   |
| F₅ [F₁+10% Amaranthus]          | 42.95      | 4.79           | 1.73              | 6.54        | 2.14                   | 2.49           | 67.98                   |
| F₆ [F₂+5% Amaranthus]           | 45.21      | 4.86           | 1.85              | 7.26        | 2.07                   | 2.54           | 69.33                   |
| F₇ [F₂+10% Amaranthus]          | 46.05      | 4.94           | 1.96              | 7.31        | 2.29                   | 2.80           | 70.23                   |
| F₈ [F₃+5% Amaranthus]           | 46.88      | 4.41           | 1.48              | 7.42        | 1.96                   | 1.49           | 70.04                   |
| F₉ [F₃+10% Amaranthus]          | 46.07      | 4.53           | 1.55              | 7.57        | 2.11                   | 2.19           | 71.32                   |
| CD                              | 7.946      | 0.716          | 0.488             | 0.737       | 0.778                  | 0.441          | 3.809                   |

Fig 1. Mean sensory score for colour of amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta
Cooking quality characteristics

Cooking quality of jackfruit pasta were analyzed based on the parameters; cooking loss (%), water absorption (g g⁻¹), swelling index (%) and cooking time (minutes) and are depicted in Table 1. Cooking loss of developed amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta ranged from 18.89% to 6.84%. On comparing the treatments, $F_4$ : Jackfruit bulb flour (20%) + Jackfruit seed flour (20%) + cassava flour (25%) + 5% amaranthus, showed the lowest cooking loss of 6.84% and the highest cooking loss (18.89%) was observed for the treatment $F_3$ [JBF (10%) + JSF (30%) + CF (25%)]. Cooking loss of jackfruit pasta decreased with incorporation of amaranthus puree in the pasta dough. Similar result was reported by Rekha et al., (2013) that cooking loss of semolina pasta decreased (7.1 to 8.4g kg⁻¹) with the addition of vegetable purees like carrot,
tomato, beetroot and amaranthus. Increase in cooking loss with increase in concentration of amaranth leaf in pasta dough was observed by Cardinas-Hernandez et al. (2016). Pasta enriched with tomato peel showed a slight increase cooking loss ranging from 7.6 to 7.76% as compared to the control wheat pasta (Padalino et al., 2017). Water absorption of jackfruit pasta ranged from 1.03g g\(^{-1}\) to 1.49g g\(^{-1}\) and the treatment combination with 10% jackfruit bulb flour, 30% jackfruit seed flour, 25% cassava flour and 10% amaranthus (F\(_9\)) showed the highest water absorption of 1.49 g g\(^{-1}\) and the lowest water absorption of 1.03 g g\(^{-1}\) was observed for the treatment with 20% jackfruit bulb flour, 20% jackfruit seed flour, 25% cassava flour and 5% amaranthus (F\(_4\)). Significant difference in water absorption was noticed with jackfruit pasta combinations F\(_5\) and F\(_9\) with the addition of 10% amaranthus in pasta formulation. Pasta enriched with carrot leaf meal showed an increase in water absorption with increase in leaf meal concentration in pasta (Boroski et al., 2011). Decrease in water absorption (131%) of spaghetti enriched with yellow pepper flour compared to the control spaghetti (141%) was observed by (Padalino et al., 2013).

Sun-Waterhouse et al. (2013), explained that the amount of water absorbed by pasta is determined by the openness in the gluten structure of pasta and increase in elderberry juice concentrate in the wheat pasta led to increase in water absorption of the developed pasta. Swelling index of jackfruit pasta ranged from 1.06% to 2.58% and developed pasta with 10% jackfruit bulb flour, 30% jackfruit seed flour and 25% cassava flour (F\(_5\)) recorded the highest swelling index of 2.58%. The lowest swelling index of 1.06% was noticed for the treatment with 20% jackfruit bulb flour, 20% jackfruit seed flour, 25% cassava flour and 5% amaranthus. From the study it was observed that with the incorporation of 5% amaranthus puree, swelling index decreased and it again increased when the concentration of amaranthus increased. Incorporating vegetable purees in pasta decreased the swelling index of developed pasta and it ranged from 1.24 to 1.53% was reported by Rekha et al. (2013). Padalino et al. (2017) stated that enriched pasta with tomato peel decreased swelling index which might be due to the increase in fibre content in pasta which led to decreased swelling of starch and thereby decreasing the swelling index of pasta.
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Cooking time for amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta ranged from 5.23 min to 6.22 min and amaranthus enrichment resulted in lower cooking time even though no statistically significant difference was observed. Pasta enriched with vegetables exhibit a lesser cooking time due to the quicker reconstitution of pasta matrix by the fine vegetable matter (Rekha et al., 2013). Padalino et al. (2017) reported decrease in cooking time with the increase in concentration of tomato peel in pasta and it ranged from 9 to 9.3 min as compared to 10.2 min for control spaghetti.

**Nutritional parameters**

Starch content of raw jackfruit pasta ranged from 64.53% to 69.38% and the treatment combinations did not show significant difference statistically (Table 2). The starch content of all the developed pasta decreased on cooking and it ranged from 45.61% to 53.28% with the highest for F\(_3\) – jackfruit bulb flour (10%) + jackfruit seed flour (30%) + cassava flour (25%) and the amaranthus incorporation marginally reduced the starch content with its corresponding jackfruit combinations without significant difference statistically. A decrease in starch content with addition of broccoli leaves was observed by da Silva (2013). Total sugar content of developed raw pasta (uncooked) ranged from 5.71% to 7.28% without significant difference among the combinations and the cooked pasta F\(_7\) [F2+10% Amaranthus] recorded the highest starch content of 4.95%. Cardinas-Hernandez et al. (2016), observed a decrease in carbohydrate content with the addition of amaranthus leaf flour in pasta ranging from 72.74 to 65.85% compared to the control pasta (75.88%). Reducing sugar content of uncooked amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta ranged from 3.97% to 5.24% which decreased on cooking and it ranged from 1.15% to 1.96%. Protein content of raw pasta ranged from 12.45% to 15.06% and it decreased on cooking and ranged from 5.87% to 7.57% and the highest was for the combination of 10% jackfruit bulb flour, 30% jackfruit seed flour, 25% cassava flour and 10% amaranthus (F\(_9\)). Rekha et al. (2013) stated that there was no difference in the protein content of pasta enriched with different vegetable purees like carrot, beetroot, tomato and amaranthus. According to Cardinas-Hernandez et al. (2016) the protein content of the pasta enriched with amaranth flour and amaranth leaves were higher as compared to
the semolina pasta. Carotenoid content of developed pasta varied from 5.73 µg 100g⁻¹ to 6.83 µg 100g⁻¹ in uncooked pasta and amaranthus addition increased the carotenoid content marginally and it ranged from 1.34 100g⁻¹ to 2.29µg 100g⁻¹ for cooked pasta and the highest was recorded for the combination with 25% jackfruit bulb flour, 25% jackfruit seed flour, 15% cassava flour and 10% amaranthus (F7). Rekha et al. (2013) reported an increase in carotenoid content with addition of vegetable in pasta making. For raw pasta, fibre content varied with the combinations and ranged from 1.83% to 4.82% and enrichment with amaranthus increased the fibre content of the developed pasta. On cooking, fibre content decreased in all the combinations where as the percentage decrease was less for amaranthus incorporated pasta. Fibre content of the pasta incorporated with amaranth flour and amaranth leaves (Cardinas-Hernandez et al., 2016) and tomato peel enriched spaghetti (Padalino et al., 2017) were reported as higher than conventional pasta. Antioxidant activity in terms of radical scavenging power (DPPH assay) ranged from 90.47% to 95.21% for raw pasta and addition of amaranthus increased the antioxidant activity. For cooked enriched pasta it ranged from from 61.35% to 71.32% and the highest was reported for the treatment combination with 10% jackfruit bulb flour, 30% jackfruit seed flour, 25% cassava flour and 10% amaranthus (F9) and all combinations with amaranthus recorded increased antioxidant activity. Sečzyk et al. (2016) reported that addition of parsley leaves in wheat pasta increased the antioxidant potential of the pasta and Armellini et al. (2018) reported increased activity with saffron enrichment.

Sensory qualities
Cooked jackfruit pasta was analyzed for various sensory attributes viz., appearance, taste, colour, flavour, elasticity, adhesiveness, overall acceptability and Kruskal Wallis test confirmed difference between the treatment combinations for appearance, taste, colour and overall acceptability (Fig. 1 to Fig. 4). All the amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta recorded acceptable sensory scores and among the treatment combinations, the highest mean score for appearance (7.82), colour (8.27), taste (7.54), flavour (7.89), elasticity (8.60), overall acceptability (8.96) and the lowest adhesiveness (6.12) was recorded for the treatment combination jackfruit bulb flour (10%) + jackfruit seed flour (30%) + cassava flour (25%) + 10% amaranthus.

Conclusion
In the present study red amaranthus paste was added at 5% and 10% level to the jackfruit pasta dough which contains different proportions of jackfruit bulb flour, jackfruit seed flour and cassava flour replacing a portion of refined flour for the development of healthy functional jackfruit pasta enriched with amaranthus. The study revealed that incorporation of red amaranthus to jackfruit pasta combinations influenced the cooking quality characters and significantly reduced the cooking loss. Water absorption as well as swelling index also varied with percentage of jackfruit bulb, seed and cassava flour and incorporation of amaranthus. Addition of red amaranthus puree marginally reduced the cooking time. The amaranthus enriched jackfruit pasta recorded higher protein, fibre, and carotenoids with higher antioxidant activity and improved nutritional qualities of jackfruit pasta. The natural colour of red amaranthus influenced the pasta colour and recorded the highest sensory attributes. Thus it may be concluded that addition of red amaranthus significantly improved the nutritional and sensory qualities of jackfruit pasta which can be used for the development of healthy nutritious pasta. More work on other vegetables can also be done for the development of nutritious pasta.
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