MpWIP regulates air pore complex development in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha
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ABSTRACT

The colonisation of the land by plants was accompanied by the evolution of complex tissues and multicellular structures comprising different cell types as morphological adaptations to the terrestrial environment. Here, we show that the single WIP protein in the early-diverging land plant Marchantia polymorpha L. is required for the development of the multicellular gas exchange structure: the air pore complex. This 16-cell barrel-shaped structure surrounds an opening between epidermal cells that facilitates the exchange of gases between the chamber containing the photosynthetic cells inside the plant and the air outside. MpWIP is expressed in cells of the developing air pore complex and the morphogenesis of the complex is defective in plants with reduced MpWIP function. The role of WIP proteins in the control of different multicellular structures in M. polymorpha and the flowering plant Arabidopsis thaliana suggests that these proteins controlled the development of multicellular structures in the common ancestor of land plants. We hypothesise that WIP genes were subsequently co-opted in the control of morphogenesis of novel multicellular structures that evolved during the diversification of land plants.
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INTRODUCTION
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consistent with the hypothesis that a gain of MpWIP function causes the development of ectopic rhizoids in mutant vj7. We conclude that vj7 is a gain-of-function mutant of MpWIP and designated itMpwp1-GOF.

The MpWIP promoter is active in developing air pores

To investigate where the MpWIP promoter is active in the wild type, we expressed 3xYFP-NLS under the control of a 4.7 kb fragment of genomic DNA upstream of the coding DNA sequence (CDS) of
MpWIP (proMpWIP:YFP-NLS). In plants transformed with proMpWIP:YFP-NLS, fluorescent protein was detected in cells in the apical region of both the ventral and dorsal sides of the thallus (Fig. 2A). The activity of the promoter in the ventral apical region, where rhizoids initiate, is consistent with a possible role for MpWIP in promoting rhizoid development. On the dorsal side of the thallus, the MpWIP promoter was most active in cells of developing air pore complexes (Fig. 2A), with lower activity in the surrounding epidermal cells. Air pores initiate as schizogenous openings that form in the epidermis at points where four cells meet (Apostolakos and Galatis, 1985a). The four cells surrounding each opening divide periclinally and differentiate to form the multiple tiers of the barrel-shaped air pore (Fig. 2B) (Apostolakos and Galatis, 1985a). Air chambers form below the air pores and consist of schizogenous intercellular cavities in which filaments of photosynthetic cells develop (Apostolakos and Galatis, 1985b; Ishizaki et al., 2013; Mirbel, 1835). Low levels of proMpWIP activity were detected in all cells near the apex before air pore differentiation is visible, and this activity increased in the dividing cells of the developing air pore complex. The strong promoter activity in cells of the air pore complexes compared with surrounding cells is first apparent at the four-cell stage, when the cells surrounding the schizogenous opening first enlarge relative to the surrounding epidermal cells (Fig. 2A,B). Strong expression continues during the periclinal divisions that generate the tiered 16-cell air pore complex (Fig. 2A, B). The activity of the MpWIP promoter during the formation of air pore complexes suggested that MpWIP could be involved in their development.

**MpWIP is required for air pore development**

To determine whether MpWIP is required for rhizoid or air pore complex development, we generated plants with decreased MpWIP function. We expressed two different artificial microRNAs based on Mpmir160 (Flores-Sandoval et al., 2016) that target either the 3’ UTR (amiR-MpWIP-3’ UTRMpmir160) or CDS (amiR-MpWIP-CDSMpmir160) of MpWIP under the control of proOsACT. Steady-state levels of MpWIP transcript are reduced to approximately half wild-type levels in plants transformed with proOsACT:amiR-MpWIP-3’ UTRMpmir160 or proOsACT:amiR-MpWIP-CDSMpmir160 (Fig. 3A,B). The formation of the air chambers is delayed or abolished in the MpWIP knockdown lines, and consequently the reticulated pattern of dark-green air chambers characteristic of the wild type is absent (Fig. 3A); this is in contrast to the Mpwip-1GOF mutant, in which the density of air pore production is similar to the wild type (Fig. S3). Furthermore, the regular 16-cell structure of the wild-type air pore complex does not develop (Fig. 3C). Air pore development begins with the formation of schizogenous openings at the point where four cells meet, exactly as it does in the wild type (Fig. S4A). However, the periclinal divisions that form the tiers of the air pore complex in wild type mostly fail to occur in the knockdown lines. Instead, cells divide anticlinally, forming a single tier of more than four cells surrounding the pore (Fig. S4B). This indicates that reducing the level of MpWIP transcript disrupts air pore morphogenesis after the four-cell stage, consistent with a role for MpWIP in air pore complex and air chamber development suggested by the activity of proMpWIP during air pore development (Fig. 2A). We were unable to quantify rhizoid density, but rhizoid development was indistinguishable from the wild type. Together, these data indicate that MpWIP activity is required for the differentiation of air pore complexes, but do not provide evidence that it is necessary for rhizoid development.

**MpWIP may act as a transcriptional repressor**

At least one WIP protein, AtNOT TRANSMITTING TRACT (AtNTT), binds DNA (Marsch-Martinez et al., 2014). To determine whether MpWIP promotes rhizoid identity and air pore complex development through transcriptional activation or repression, we expressed chimeric dominant repressor and activator versions of MpWIP separately in transgenic plants. To make the dominant activator, we fused a VP16 activator binding domain to MpWIP (MpWIP-VP16). To make the dominant repressor, we fused an SRDX repression domain to MpWIP (MpWIP-SRDX). To determine whether MpWIP binds DNA (Marsch-Martinez et al., 2014). To determine whether MpWIP promotes rhizoid identity and air pore complex development through transcriptional activation or repression, we expressed chimeric dominant repressor and activator versions of MpWIP separately in transgenic plants. To make the dominant activator, we fused a VP16 activator binding domain to MpWIP (MpWIP-VP16). To make the dominant repressor, we fused an SRDX repression domain to MpWIP (MpWIP-SRDX). If MpWIP promotes rhizoid and air pore differentiation via transcriptional repression, we predicted that: (1) supernumerary rhizoids would develop on plants that express MpWIP-SRDX, as observed in plants overexpressing MpWIP function (Fig. 1F,H,I); and (2) plants expressing MpWIP-VP16 would develop a defective air pore phenotype similar to that caused by a loss of MpWIP function in proOsACT:amiR-MpWIP-3’ UTRMpmir160 and proOsACT:amiR-MpWIP-CDSMpmir160 lines (Fig. 3A-C).

Plants transformed with pro35S: MpWIP-SRDX that expressed the transgene (Fig. 4A) developed a dense growth of ectopic rhizoids on...
The dorsal surface of the thallus, while air pore development was similar to wild type (Fig. 4C). This is similar to the phenotype of the MpWIP-GFP mutant and proOsaACT::MpWIP line (Fig. 1E, F, I). The expression of a repressive form of MpWIP therefore results in the development of plants that are morphologically similar to plants that overexpress native MpWIP, consistent with the hypothesis that MpWIP is a transcriptional repressor. Plants that express the MpWIP-VP16 transgene (Fig. 4C) developed phenotypic defects comparable with those in lines with reduced MpWIP function, where air chamber (Figs 4D and 3A) and air pore complex development are defective (Figs 4E and 3C). This suggests that expression of a form of MpWIP that promotes transcriptional activation has developmental effects similar to a loss of MpWIP function. Therefore, the phenotypes of both MpWIP:SRDX and MpWIP:VP16 lines are consistent with the hypothesis that MpWIP promotes the morphogenesis of air pore complexes through transcriptional repression.

We conclude that MpWIP is necessary for the morphogenesis of the multicellular air pore complex in the dorsal epidermis of *M. polymorpha*; air pore morphology is defective in plants with reduced WIP protein activity. *WIP* genes are also required for the development of various multicellular structures in the angiosperm *A. thaliana*. For example, AtNTT is a WIP protein required for the development of the replum, a structure that facilitates dehiscence and seed dispersal from *A. thaliana* fruits (Marsch-Martinez et al., 2014) – cell number is reduced in the repla of Atntt mutant fruits compared with wild type. Roots do not form in Atnt Atwip4 Atwip5 triple mutants, demonstrating a requirement for these three related WIP proteins in the development of the distal stem cells of the root during embryogenesis (Petricka et al., 2008). The demonstration that WIP proteins control the development of different multicellular structures in both early-diverging land plants and angiosperms (the latest-derived land plants) leads us to propose that WIP proteins control the development of multicellular structures in the common ancestor of *M. polymorpha* and *A. thaliana*, a close relative of the earliest land plants. We hypothesise that the subsequent duplication of *WIP* genes and neofunctionalisation of WIP proteins promoted the development of novel multicellular structures that evolved as the morphologies of land plants diversified.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plasmid construction**

The generation of vectors for the constitutive expression of MpWIP, fusion proteins and artificial microRNAs, and of the MpWIP promoter reporter construct, is described in the supplementary Materials and Methods. See Table S1 for oligonucleotide sequences.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

MpWIP was aligned with WIP proteins from other land plants and the most similar non-WIP proteins from *M. polymorpha* and *A. thaliana*. This alignment was manually trimmed and used to infer a maximum-likelihood phylogeny. For further details, see the supplementary Materials and Methods.

**Plant material and growth**

Tak-1 male and Tak-2 female wild-type accessions (Ishizaki et al., 2008) were used in this study. Mutant *vij7* was isolated in a mutant screen of spores from a cross between Tak-1 and Tak-2 transformed with the T-DNA vector pCambia1300 (Honkanen et al., 2016). Plants were grown as previously described (Honkanen et al., 2016).

**Microscopy**

Images were obtained using a Leica M165FC stereomicroscope, Leica M series Plan APO 1.0× objective and Leica DFC310 FX camera. For confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM), plants were stained with 15 μM propidium iodide for 15 min, then submerged in water. Images were acquired with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope using a Leica HCX APO 40×/0.80 W U-V1 dipping lens with sequential scans. YFP fluorescence was detected using excitation at 514 nm with an argon laser and emission was measured between 524 and 568 nm using an Acousto-Optic Tunable Filter. PI was excited at 543 nm using a helium-neon laser and emission
measured between 568 and 659 nm. Images were processed using FIJI to create brightest-point 3d projections (Schindelin et al., 2012).

For scanning electron microscopy, samples were fixed in dry methanol, critical point dried using a Tousimis Autosamdri-815, mounted on aluminium stubs and coated with a gold/palladium mixture using a Quorum Technologies SC7640 sputter coater. Samples were imaged immediately with a JEOL JSM-5510 SEM.

**Molecular analysis of mutant vj7 and gene expression analysis**

Genomic sequences flanking T-DNA insertions were isolated by TAIL-PCR as previously described (Proust et al., 2016). Genes near the site of the insertion linked to the mutant phenotype in line vj7 were identified using the blastn algorithm, with 5 kb of genomic sequence 3’ and 5’ to the insertion site as the template, to query an *M. polymorpha* transcriptome (Honkanen et al., 2016). RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCRs (qPCRs) were carried out as previously described (Breuninger et al., 2016). *Mpc.4CT* and *Mpc.APT* were used as reference genes (Saint-Marcoux et al., 2015).
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