How to Perform Biofeedback for Constipation and Fecal Incontinence
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Biofeedback therapy is an instrument-based learning process centered on operant conditioning. The goal of biofeedback therapy in defecatory disorders is to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles, retrain rectal sensation and coordinate pelvic floor muscles during evacuation. Biofeedback therapy, in a broader sense, includes education, counseling, and diaphragmatic muscle training as well as exercise, sensory, and coordination training. For dyssynergic defecation, biofeedback therapy is a well-known and useful treatment option that had response rates of approximately 70-80% in randomized controlled trials. Biofeedback therapy for dyssynergic defecation consists of improving the abdominal push effort together with biofeedback technique-guided pelvic floor relaxation followed by simulated defecation and/or sensory training. For fecal incontinence, the results of a randomized controlled trial, which had a response rate of 76%, indicated that biofeedback therapy is useful in selected patients who fail to respond to conservative treatment and that training to enhance rectal discrimination of sensation may be helpful in reducing fecal incontinence. The focus of biofeedback therapy for fecal incontinence is on exercising external sphincter contractions under instant feedback, either alone or synchronously with rectal distension and/or sensory training. Biofeedback therapy is a safe treatment that may produce durable improvement beyond the active treatment period; however, a well-designed study to establish a standard protocol for biofeedback therapy is needed. This review discusses the technique of biofeedback therapy to achieve the goal and clinical outcomes for constipation and fecal incontinence.
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Introduction

Biofeedback makes physiologic change possible by means of operant conditioning or trial-and-error learning. In this process a response is learned and performed depending on whether that response is followed by reinforcement.1 Biofeedback therapy (BFT) is an instrument-based learning process; it uses equipment to record or amplify a patient's bodily activities and then provides feedback to the patient as visual, auditory, or verbal...
The study using biofeedback in the field of gastroenterology was first reported in 1974 and focused on BFT in patients with fecal incontinence (FI).\textsuperscript{2} The first application of BFT for the treatment of chronic constipation due to dyssynergic defecation (DD) was in 1987.\textsuperscript{3} Since then, BFT has been attempted in the treatment of various gastrointestinal disorders, such as functional anorectal pain, irritable bowel syndrome and aerophagia,\textsuperscript{4} but the efficacy of BFT is relatively well-established in the treatment of DD and FI.

DD is characterized by paradoxical anal contraction, inadequate anal relaxation and/or impaired push effort caused by incoordination of abdominal, rectal and anal muscles.\textsuperscript{5-7} It affects about 40\% of patients with chronic constipation.\textsuperscript{5} FI is the involuntary loss of liquid or solid stool that is a social and hygienic problem. It affects between 1\% and 15\% of adults depending on the definition used.\textsuperscript{8}

The goal of BFT in a defecatory disorder is to strengthen the pelvic floor muscles, retrain rectal sensation, and coordinate pelvic floor muscles during evacuation.\textsuperscript{1,6,9,10} This review discusses the technique of performing BFT to achieve the goal and the clinical outcomes of BFT for constipation and FI.

### Techniques and Equipment

BFT equipment consists of a “sensor” to detect the patient’s bodily activities (biological signal), an “amplifier” to amplify the detected biological signal by the sensor, and a “conversion device” to transform the biological signal into visual or auditory signals that patients use to gauge their response. Visual feedback is provided by observing the changes in pressure or electrical activity on a monitor screen.\textsuperscript{11} Auditory feedback is an audible signal when the biological signal reaches the treatment threshold. Verbal feedback is provided by the therapist by complimenting the patient for performing a correct maneuver or rectifying any errors.\textsuperscript{11}

Biofeedback techniques vary considerably among researchers. The most common techniques used to retrain pelvic floor muscles include anorectal manometry to display sphincter pressures or electromyography (EMG) to display electrical muscular activity.\textsuperscript{6,12} In manometry-guided BFT, the manometry probe and a balloon located in the rectum and anal canal provide a visual display of pressure activity throughout the anorectum.\textsuperscript{6} In EMG-guided BFT, surface electrodes are either placed on the external sphincter and buttocks or, more commonly, mounted on an intra-anal sensor. A second EMG channel is used to record electrodes applied to the skin overlying the rectus abdominis muscles. Two-channel EMG provides a visual display of electrical activity of rectus abdominis and external anal sphincter muscles simultaneously.\textsuperscript{6,13} Home training devices use an EMG home trainer or silicon probe device attached to a hand-held monitor with a liquid crystal display (LCD), which provides visual feedback to the subjects regarding their sphincter response.\textsuperscript{6,14}

BFT requires a closed and quiet space and a specialized therapist who offers biofeedback training and education. In addition, a thin catheter with a balloon attached to its tip for balloon expulsion training along with ancillary equipment, i.e., commode, monitor, gloves, lubricating jelly and waterproof paper, are needed.

### How to Perform Biofeedback Therapy

There is no uniform treatment protocol that has been established because a wide variety of biofeedback techniques have been employed with insufficient data to determine the most effective modality.\textsuperscript{6,9,11,12,15-21} BFT is, in a narrow sense, pelvic floor muscle training based on operant conditioning. However, in a broader sense, BFT includes education, counseling, and diaphragmatic muscle training as well as exercise, sensory, and coordination training. We introduce 3 phases of BFT.

#### Phase 1: Assessment and Education

Patients are examined by anorectal and colonic function tests before referral for biofeedback. For constipated patients, BFT is applied primarily to patients with DD but it is not helpful in patients with only slow transit constipation.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, the selection of patients with adequate indications for BFT is important. A therapist checks the gastrointestinal symptom questionnaire, including the stool diary, and reassesses the symptoms of the patient. In the initial phase, the defecation behavior of the patient is evaluated. The patient is asked to sit on a commode with a manometry or EMG probe. Thereafter, the patient is asked to bear down as if to defecate as usual and to contract the external anal sphincter. The EMG pattern during attempted defecation in a patient with dyssynergic defecation is presented in Figure A. The therapist monitors the external appearance of the patient (e.g., breathing pattern, posture and change of abdomen) as well as pressure or electrical activity provided by biofeedback equipment. The therapist discusses the problems and solutions with the patient and prepares treatment plans.
Education and counseling should be performed during the entire BFT period. The objective of BFT and the basic concepts of the anatomy and physiology of the pelvic floor are explained to the patient during the initial session. In addition, the therapist provides lifestyle advice (e.g., dietary habit change and bowel habit information) and basic information about defecatory disorder (e.g., causes, treatment options and use of medication). This can lead to increasing compliance by patients for BFT. Therapist plays an important role; they must communicate and interact well with the patient to establish a supportive relationship.16

Phase 2: Active Exercise

Biofeedback therapy for constipation6,11

BFT for DD consists of improving the abdominal push effort together with biofeedback-guided pelvic floor relaxation followed by simulated defecation training and/or sensory training.

Abdominal push effort (diaphragmatic muscle training)

First, the patient’s posture and breathing technique are corrected. When the patient sits on a commode, the patient should lean forward slightly and keep the legs apart as opposed to keeping them together. The patient is then asked to take a deep diaphragmatic breath to induce proper abdominal pressure for defecation. The patient should repeat exhaling slowly through the mouth and then consciously expand the abdomen while inhaling deeply with the nose.

Rectoanal coordination

The purpose of this training is to produce a coordinated defecatory movement that consists of an adequate abdominal push effort as reflected by a rise in intrarectal pressure synchronized with anal relaxation. The patient should be seated on a commode with the sensor probe in front of the BFT equipment. The patient is asked to attempt defecation. Instant feedback is provided to the patient regarding the pressure changes in the rectum/anus or abdomen/anus and helps the patient understand and learn quickly. The EMG pattern changes after BFT in a patient with dysynergic defecation are presented in Figure B. The therapist encourages the patient to pay attention to the pattern of defecation and explains the changes of the pattern to help the patient understand. Approximately 10-15 maneuvers are usually attempted. During the repeated trial, the patient is instructed to change the degree of abdominal push and anal relaxation effort gradually.

Simulated defecation training

The patient starts to be aware of coordinated defecation through rectoanal coordination training, thereafter, simulated defecation training is performed. The goal of this training is to teach the patient to expel an artificial stool using the correct

![Figure. Electromyography (EMG) pattern changes in a patient with dysynergic defecation. (A) Before and (B) after biofeedback.](image-url)
technique. A flexible tube with a 50 mL water-filled balloon attached to its tip is placed in the rectum in the left lateral position. The patient is asked to sit on a commode and attempt defecation. The patient is taught to relax the pelvic floor muscles and to adopt correct posture and use appropriate breathing techniques. If the patient is unable to expel the balloon, gentle traction is applied to the balloon to supplement the patient’s efforts. The maneuver is repeated several times for the patient to learn how to coordinate the defecation maneuver and to expel the balloon.

**Rectal sensory training**

Sensory training is applied to constipation patients with rectal hyposensitivity. The goal of this training is to decrease the thresholds of rectal sensory perception by intermittently inflating a balloon in the rectum. A rectal balloon is gradually distended with water, and the patient is asked to report the urge to defecate. Once this threshold volume is determined, the balloon is inflated to the volume that induces an urge to defecate. Thereafter, for each successive inflation, the balloon volume is decreased in a stepwise manner by about 10%. In manometry-guided BFT, the patient is instructed to observe the monitor and to associate the visual signal provided by the rise in intrarectal pressure with that of any possible rectal sensation during each inflation. Through a process of trial and error, newer thresholds for rectal perception are established.

**Biofeedback therapy for fecal incontinence**

The focus of BFT for FI is on exercising external sphincter contractions under instant feedback, either alone or synchronously with rectal distension and/or sensory training. The patient is first asked to contract the sphincter and is then provided with the information on the degree of external sphincter contraction. To properly contract and relax the external anal sphincter, the patient is instructed to focus on isolation of the muscle.

**Strength training**

The goal of this training is to maximize anal sphincter strength and improve speed, duration, and timing of voluntary contractions of the external anal sphincter. The patient should be seated on a commode with the sensor probe and is asked to slowly contract and relax the external anal sphincter. Biofeedback techniques provide information to the patient on anal sphincter pressure or activity regarding the patient’s performance. The patient is encouraged to relax abdominal muscles while maximally squeezing the muscles surrounding the anal canal. This maneuver should be performed repeatedly.

**Rectal sensory training**

The goal of this training is to achieve a normal level of sensory threshold. A flexible tube with a 50 mL water-filled balloon attached to its tip is placed in the rectum in the left lateral position. Sensory threshold, urge sensation, and maximum tolerated volume are assessed. Patients with rectal hyposensitivity are trained to distinguish and respond to smaller rectal volumes of distension until a normal level of sensory threshold is achieved. Patients with rectal hypersensitivity are trained to tolerate larger volumes by means of progressive distension and urge resistance until a normal level of urge sensation is achieved.

**Coordination training**

The goal of this training is to enhance voluntary anal contractions in response to rectal filling, thereby counteracting the rectoanal inhibitory reflex. This is combined with reinforcement of rectal sensitivity and sustained external anal sphincter contractions to improve sphincter strength. The patient is trained to recognize smaller distension volumes and to synchronize and increase external sphincter contractions.

**Phase 3: Weaning and Reinforcement**

As the patient starts to confidently control the muscles related to defecation, the frequency of BFT is gradually reduced. There has been considerable variation in BFT protocols, regarding the number, frequency, intensity, and duration of sessions. BFT should be customized for each patient depending on their individual needs. Typically, 1 hour per session, bi-weekly training, and a total of four to six training sessions are performed in several randomized controlled trials. After completion of intensive training, periodic reinforcements at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months may provide additional benefit and also improve the long-term outcome in patients with DD.

---

**Utility of Biofeedback Therapy**

**Efficacy of Biofeedback Therapy for Constipation**

BFT is the most effective treatment for DD. The symptomatic improvement rate has varied between 44% and 100% in randomized controlled trials of adults with DD. All of these studies have concluded that BFT is superior to controlled treatment approaches, such as diet, exercise, laxatives, sham feedback, use of polyethylene glycol, and placebo or diazepam in the management of patients with DD. A meta-analysis revealed that BFT is more than three times as effective as non-BFT, but equal efficacy of EMG-guided BFT to other applications was al-
so shown. BFT for DD also improves global symptoms and normalizes colon transit time as well as the dyssynergic pattern of defecation and constipation symptoms. However, the impact of BFT on quality of life or psychological state had not been clearly assessed. BFT has been shown to provide sustained improvement of bowel symptoms and anorectal function for up to 2 years. The American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) and the Asian Neurogastroenterology and Motility Association guidelines strongly recommend BFT for the management of DD.

Efficacy of Biofeedback Therapy for Fecal Incontinence

BFT for FI was an established method for treating FI patients in the era prior to randomized controlled trials. However, a randomized controlled trial of BFT for FI in 2003 suggested that there was no difference between different conservative interventions and that adding exercises or biofeedback or both did not enhance the outcomes of conservative management. Moreover, a meta-analysis indicated that BFT in FI was equally as effective as non-BFT. The role and proper indication of BFT in the management of FI have not been established. However, a recent randomized control study that enrolled only those who failed to respond to conservative treatment showed that BFT had a 76% response rate and was more effective than exercise alone. In addition, rectal balloon training to enhance rectal discrimination of sensation may be helpful in reducing FI. The benefits of BFT last at least 12 months. Guidelines issued by AGA recommend BFT for patients with weak sphincters and/or impaired rectal sensation. One small study reported that BFT was ineffective in neurogenic FI. Several recent studies demonstrated that the combination of electrical stimulation with BFT is superior to BFT alone.

In summary, although the evidence for BFT in the management of FI is still lacking, BFT for FI may be useful in selected patients who fail to respond to conservative treatment. Additionally, training to enhance rectal discrimination of sensation may be helpful in reducing FI. Further well-designed, randomized, controlled trials are required to validate outcome measures and long-term follow-up.

Limitations of Biofeedback Therapy

BFT requires proper equipment, a private and quiet space, and a well-trained therapist. In clinical practice, it may be difficult for a patient to obtain BFT because of logistical (no facility) or financial reasons. A recent study indicated that only 44–48% of patients who were recommended BFT actually underwent BFT because of the lack of insurance coverage, distance to local treatment facilities, and acute medical issues taking precedence. Moreover, BFT is time-consuming, and repeated training is required; hence, a willingness to participate is important for successful BFT. Physicians and therapists need to continuously motivate patients through education and counseling.

Another limitation is that there is no established standard protocol for BFT. Further studies should assess the most effective training program to be applied to each component of BFT, e.g., education, life style advice, diaphragmatic muscle training, exercise, sensory and coordination training. An assessment of the impact of BFT on quality of life, psychological state, or economic analyses is also needed.

Conclusions

BFT is an established treatment for DD and is useful in FI patients who fail to respond to conservative treatment. Moreover, BFT is a safe treatment that may produce durable improvement beyond the active treatment period. There is a need for a well-designed study to establish a standard protocol for BFT.
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