INTRODUCTION

Plants are in a constant battle against different kinds of parasites throughout their life cycles (e.g., viruses, bacteria, fungi, oomycetes, insects, and parasitic plants). To maintain surveillance, plants have evolved complex but fine-tuned defence mechanisms (Jones & Dangl, 2006; Wu et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2021). Small noncoding RNAs (sRNAs), as major modulators of gene expression, precisely regulate plant immunity. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNA) are two major classes of plant sRNAs. miRNAs, in particular, have well-documented roles in regulating plant immunity, including switching plant growth and immunity, regulating immune signal transduction, and buffering transcript dosage of immune receptors (Qiao, Xia, et al., 2021; Song et al., 2021; Weiberg & Jin, 2015). siRNAs, on the other hand, are primarily known for their roles in silencing viral RNAs. However, recent discoveries of trans-species RNA interference (RNAi) have uncovered the essential role of siRNAs in repressing cellular pathogens. Emerging evidence supports a novel mode of action for plant endogenous sRNAs in mediating plant defence against pathogen intruders including viruses, bacteria, fungi, oomycetes, and parasitic plants. Beyond that, we propose potential mechanisms behind the sorting of sRNAs moving between species and the idea that engineering siRNA-producing loci could be a useful strategy to improve disease resistance of crops.
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unclear. In this review, we summarize recent studies uncovering the roles of sRNAs in defence against various plant pathogens. We also discuss possible mechanisms of sRNA communication between species and the sorting of sRNAs in this process.

2 | CLASSIFICATION AND FUNCTION OF PLANT sRNAs

In plants, sRNAs are classified into two major types based on their precursors and biogenesis pathways: miRNAs and siRNAs (Axtell, 2013). microRNA (miR) genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II to produce long hairpin-structured pri-miRNAs that are subsequently processed primarily by DICER-LIKE1 (DCL1) into mature 21–22 nucleotides (nt) long miRNAs. Mature miRNAs are loaded into Argonaute (AGO) proteins to form RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs) that induce posttranscriptional gene silencing (PTGS) of endogenous target genes via sequence-complementarity by mRNA cleavage or translation repression (Axtell, 2013). Many mature miRNAs are evolutionarily conserved across different species along with their gene targets. For example, miR393, conserved among seed plants, targets TIR1-like F-box genes and modulates trade-off between plant growth and immunity (Navarro et al., 2006; Ruiz-Ferrer & Voinnet, 2009). miR482/2118, a conserved miRNA superfamily, is broadly present from gymnosperms to angiosperms and functions as essential suppressors of intracellular nucleotide-binding/leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) receptors (Zhang, Xia, et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2022). These studies show that interactions between miRNAs and their target genes were maintained over millions of years, providing strong selective forces to shape the conserved sequences and regulatory function of miRNAs (Zhang, Xia, et al., 2016).

siRNAs, by contrast, are generated from double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) precursors derived from noncoding RNAs, inverted repeats, aberrant transcripts, and exogenous RNAs. These dsRNA precursors are formed by hairpin-structured RNAs, antisense RNAs or through RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDRs). The dsRNAs are processed into mature 21–24 nt siRNAs by various DCLs and are loaded into AGOs to form RISCs. siRNAs can be subdivided into two major classes: RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs and RNA polymerase IV-dependent siRNAs (P4-siRNAs) (Hudzik et al., 2020). Secondary siRNAs derive from transcripts of noncoding genes, for example TAS (trans-acting siRNA) loci, and protein-coding genes within large gene families, for example NB-LRRs and pentatricopeptide repeats (PPRs). They are considered as amplifiers of the silencing effect triggered by primary siRNAs (usually 22nt in length). The dsRNA precursors of secondary siRNAs are usually processed by DCLs in a head-to-tail arrangement, producing phased siRNAs with diverse sequences. These siRNAs not only silence the same loci where they originate in cis but also spread the silencing signal in trans to homologues in the same gene family (Axtell, 2013). P4-siRNAs, predominantly 24 nt in length, are generated from heterochromatic regions and transposable elements. P4-siRNAs are associated with RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM), a process involving deposition of de novo chromatin modifications (e.g., cytosine DNA methylation and H3K9 histone methylation) at target loci to induce transcriptional gene silencing (Borges & Martienssen, 2015; Havecker et al., 2010; Mosher et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2012). DNA methylation and demethylation are also involved in fine-tuning the expression of defence genes (Deleris et al., 2016). Additionally, groups of plant siRNAs are generated from transcription of repeats (repeat-associated siRNAs) and convergent transcriptions (natural antisense transcripts siRNAs, nat-siRNAs) (Axtell, 2013; Mi et al., 2008; Rajagopalan et al., 2006). Owning to the rapid increase of intriguing studies about RDR6-dependent siRNAs in plant immunity, in this review, we mainly focus on secondary siRNAs.

It is well established that siRNA-induced RNAi contributes to resistance to pathogen infection in eukaryotes, including invertebrates and mammals (Guo et al., 2019). While the early observation of RNAi in plant immunity was associated with antiviral defence, numerous recent studies have uncovered the crucial roles of siRNAs in gene silencing and RNAi in broad classes of plant parasites.

3 | FUNCTION OF siRNAs IN PLANT IMMUNITY

3.1 | RNAi-based antiviral defence in plants

siRNA-induced PTGS was the earliest strategy investigated in antiviral immunity (Hamilton & Baulcombe, 1999; Wingard, 1928). In 1928, S. A. Wingard discovered that tobacco plants inoculated with tobacco ringspot virus acquired immunity in symptomless new leaves that were resistant to secondary infection (Wingard, 1928). However, the antiviral factor was not known at that time. In 1999, virus-derived siRNAs (vsiRNAs) were discovered in tobacco infected by potato virus X (Hamilton & Baulcombe, 1999). vsiRNAs are derived from dsDNA precursors produced as RNA virus replicative intermediates or from the bidirectional transcription of circular DNA viruses (Guo et al., 2019). Viral dsRNA can be directly recognized by plant DCLs to produce 21–24 nt primary vsiRNAs. To amplify the silencing signal, secondary vsiRNAs are generated by RDR6 from vsiRNAs synthesized by RDRs (Brodersen & Voinnet, 2006; Hamilton & Baulcombe, 1999). Secondary vsiRNAs can be loaded into AGOs to induce the degradation of single-stranded viral RNA. Studies have demonstrated that the 21-nt vsiRNAs, primarily produced by DCL4, are the major class of vsiRNA that specifically silence viral RNA through PTGS (Garcia-Ruiz et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010). DCL2, which was confirmed to have redundant roles as DCL4, can also produce vsiRNAs when DCL4 is inhibited by viruses (Bouché et al., 2006; Qin et al., 2017). In contrast, circular DNA viruses produce DCL3-dependent 24-nt vsiRNAs through bidirectional transcription to induce RdDM and transcriptional gene silencing (Aregger et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2011) (Figure 1a).

While vsiRNAs are important for plant cells to degrade the viral genome and enhance plant antiviral immunity, some vsiRNAs can also silence host gene expression or regulate host resistance towards viral infection. One example is from a recent study on tomato
yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) (Yang, Liu, et al., 2019). TYLCV, a geminivirus with a circular single-stranded DNA genome, produces vsiRNAs through bidirectionally transcribed RNA from a short intergenic region. These vsiRNAs are associated with Argonautes (AGOs) to cleave viral transcripts or mediate translational repression. AGO18 sequesters miR168 and miR528, resulting in derepression of AGO1 and the ɑ-l-ascorbate oxidase (AO) gene required for reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, respectively. In Arabidopsis, nat-siRNAATGB2, AtlsiRNA-1, and miRNA-RDR6 pathways modulate R gene-mediated resistance pathways. NB-LRR genes are suppressed by primary microRNA (miRNA) (miR472/482/2118) triggered- and RDR6-dependent siRNAs. In plant–virus interactions, both designed double-stranded (ds) RNAs and endogenous trans-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs) induce trans-species RNA interference of pathogen genes. miR9863 modulates MLA1-mediated resistance by triggering MLA-siRNAs. Both host-induced gene silencing (HIGS)-produced siRNAs and PPR gene-derived siRNAs induce the silencing of oomycete pathogenicity genes to confer resistance. As a counterdefence strategy, oomycete effectors suppress the plant RNA silencing pathway by interfering with key components in the pathway, such as DRB4. RNA translocation between parasitic plants and host. Parasitic plant-derived components are shaded blue, and host-derived components are shaded orange. The host genomic DNA is integrated into the genome of parasitic plants through horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and participates in 24-nt siRNA production. Host-produced siRNAs induce gene silencing in parasitic plants through HIGS. Endogenous 22-nt miRNAs of the parasitic plants can be transported to the host cells and target plant mRNAs to trigger secondary siRNA production. DCL, Dicer-like protein; RDR, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; RISC, RNA-induced silencing complex.
plant immunity by silencing the l-ascorbate oxidase (AO) gene required for ROS production. In rice, miR528 is sequestered by AGO18, which restricts its loading by AGO1, thereby reducing the silencing of AO and elevating ROS production. AGO18 also decoys miR168 to alleviate repression on rice AGO1 to confer broad-spectrum viral resistance (Wu et al., 2015). The crucial role of RNAi in antiviral defence is also demonstrated by the production of viral suppressors of RNA silencing (VSRs) during virus–host coevolution, as a major counterdefence mechanism of viruses. Since the discovery of the first VSR (CMV2b) (Ding et al., 1995), a large number of studies have revealed that VSRs targets components involved in almost every step of the RNAi pathway (Csorba et al., 2015; Derrien et al., 2018; Karner et al., 2018). Using VSRs to suppress host RNA silencing pathways has been well accepted as a common strategy to prevent viral genome silencing and promote viral infection.

3.2 | siRNAs in plant defence against bacterial pathogens

In antiviral defence, vsiRNAs originate from the viral genome and protect plants by directly degrading viral RNAs. However, in plant defence against nonviral pathogens, endogenous siRNA-mediated gene silencing is activated to reprogramme gene expression involved in plant immunity.

Components of the siRNA pathway have been identified to regulate plant immunity. For example, RDR6 is an essential factor for the activation of secondary siRNA production and amplification of silencing signals. shl2-rol, a rice mutant of OsRDR6, shows more severe necrotic spots after inoculation with Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae, supporting the positive role of RDR6-dependent siRNAs in the defence against bacteria (Wagh et al., 2016). NB-LRRs are intracellular immune receptors that recognize pathogen effectors and trigger immune responses. In the absence of pathogens, NB-LRR transcripts are suppressed by miRNA-triggered and RDR6-dependent secondary siRNAs. In tomato, the miR482/2118 family represses NB-LRRs. Sequestering miR482/2118 via short tandem target mimic RNAs conferred enhanced resistance against Pseudomonas syringae (Canto-Pastor et al., 2019). Similarly, a compromised miR472-RDR6 silencing pathway in Arabidopsis, which is required for the repression of NB-LRRs, enhanced plant defence against P. syringae by promoting the recognition of avirulence effector AvrPphB (recognized by the NB-LRR receptor RPS5) (Bocca et al., 2014). In the crpl aba1 Arabidopsis mutant, SNC1, an R protein, showed overaccumulation in the nucleus, leading to the global reduction of miRNAs and NB-LRR-derived secondary siRNAs. In turn, this resulted in enhanced resistance against P. syringae (Cai, Liang, et al., 2018). Another group of RDR6-dependent siRNAs are also involved in the modulation of plant defence against bacterial infection. In Arabidopsis, an endogenous siRNA, termed nat-siRNAATGB2, that derived from the natural antisense transcripts pair ATGB2-PPRL, was induced on infection by P. syringae carrying the avrRpt2 effector (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006). Consequently, these siRNAs attenuated PPRL mRNA and released the suppression of NB-LRR receptor RPS2 by PPRL to trigger disease resistance (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006) (Figure 1b). Similarly, P. syringae infection induced long siRNA AtlsiRNA-1, derived from the SRRLK-AtRAP natural antisense transcripts pair, to silence a negative regulator of defence responses, AtRAP, and enhance plant immunity against bacterial infection (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2007) (Figure 1b).

Collectively, these discoveries suggest that RDR6-dependent siRNAs are critical regulators of intracellular immune receptors. It is also intriguing to hypothesize that plant siRNAs are involved in modulating broad-spectrum resistance.

3.3 | siRNA-mediated host-induced gene silencing HIGS against filamentous pathogens

Filamentous eukaryotic pathogens, including fungi and oomycetes, are major threats to crops. Based on the discovery of RNAi in animal cells, an innovative RNAi-based approach was developed and has been applied in controlling filamentous pathogens. Host-induced gene silencing (HIGS) is used to produce artificial siRNAs in plants to silence pathogen genes that are required for infection (Figure 1c). Several studies have highlighted the role of HIGS in plant immunity against eukaryotic pathogens. Transgenic barley and wheat expressing artificial siRNAs targeting the fungal effector gene Avra10 showed enhanced resistance to Blumeria graminis, an obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen causing powdery mildew disease (Nowara et al., 2010). Engineered Arabidopsis and barley expressing dsRNAs that targeted the CYP51 gene family conferred resistance to the head blight-causing fungus Fusarium graminearum (Koch et al., 2013). Similar approaches have been used to treat wilt caused by Verticillium dahliae in crops such as tomato and cotton (Song & Thomma, 2018; Zhang, Jin, et al., 2016). HIGS has also proven to be effective against oomycete pathogens (Figure 1d). Stable transgenic lettuce expressing siRNAs targeting Bremia lactucae genes HAM34 or CES1 can inhibit B. lactucae growth and sporulation (Govindaraju et al., 2015). In another example, siRNAs that were generated from hairpin RNA expressed in transgenic potato can silence Blumeria graminis, a gene encoding β-1,3-glucanase (Bremia lactucae). As a result, sporangia formation of P. infestans was inhibited and its virulence was compromised (Jahan et al., 2015). However, HIGS has large variations in silencing efficiency, suggesting that successful HIGS is highly dependent on the target gene (Jahan et al., 2015).

From the successful application of engineered HIGS, it is intriguing to learn whether plant endogenous siRNAs also contribute to plant immunity against eukaryotic pathogens. As expected, plant endogenous siRNAs have been shown to be involved in the defence against filamentous pathogens. For instance, in barley inoculated with B. graminis, 22-nt miR9863 was found to trigger the production of 21-nt siRNAs from Mla alleles encoding NB-LRR receptors (Liu et al., 2014) (Figure 1c). Similarly, Arabidopsis mutants in the RNA silencing pathways, including rdr6, sgs3, ago7, and dcl4, all exhibited
enhanced susceptibility to V. dahliae (Ellendorff et al., 2009). In addition to defence against fungi, Arabidopsis RNAi mutants showed reduced resistance to the oomycete pathogen Phytophthora parasitica (Guo et al., 2018). Guo et al. (2018) examined the contribution of siRNAs in plant defence against oomycete pathogens) using an ectopic VSR expression strategy (Figure 1d). Specifically, transiently expressed p19 of tomato bushy stunt virus in tobacco and soybean hairy roots promoted infection of P. parasitica and Phytophthora sojae, respectively, by suppressing the plant siRNA pathway (Guo et al., 2018).

Multiple studies have suggested that plant endogenous sRNAs induce trans-species RNAi, a natural HIGS. In the first example, Zhang et al. uncovered that miR166 and miR159 were induced in cotton on infection by V. dahliae and were exported into fungal hyphae. These miRNAs targeted and suppressed virulence gene expression in V. dahliae to confer disease resistance (Zhang, Zhao, et al., 2016). This work shed light on HIGS induced by plant endogenous sRNAs. Studies in Arabidopsis showed that secondary siRNAs are also major components triggering trans-species RNAi against filamentous pathogens. In particular, two trans-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs; TAS1c-siR483 and TAS1c-siR453) were translocated into the fungus Botrytis cinerea during its infection and subsequently attenuated fungal pathogenicity by silencing virulence genes (Cai, Qiao, et al., 2018) (Figure 1c). In another study, an siRNA pool derived from a subset of PPR gene loci accumulated during natural infection of Phytophthora capsici and served as a major arsenal to silence virulence-related genes in Phytophthora pathogens. As an example, PPR-derived siR1310 potentially silenced Phyca_554980, a gene encoding U2-associated splicing factor in P. capsici, to weaken Phytophthora development and pathogenicity (Hou et al., 2019) (Figure 1d). Collectively, these data support the idea that trans-species RNAi between plant host and pathogen is a naturally occurring and widespread phenomenon.

It is noteworthy that both endogenous miRNAs and siRNAs contribute to HIGS. Generally, plant miRNAs harbour conserved and unique sequences, usually in high abundance, and confer RNA silencing efficiently, making them advantageous for silencing specific genes (Hou & Ma, 2020). By contrast, most siRNAs are generated from nonprotein-coding loci, which have fewer constraints on sequence diversification, and protein-coding genes, such as NB-LRR and PRR, which exhibit high rates of diversifying selection (Bergelson et al., 2001; Fuji et al., 2011). These siRNAs typically consist of a population of diverse sequences that, collectively, could silence multiple targets simultaneously (Hou et al., 2019; Hou & Ma, 2020). The relentless arms race between plants and pathogens drives constant dynamic variation in pathogen genes. However, miRNAs are under conserved constraints to ensure accurate regulation of plant endogenous genes and formation of the stem-loop structure of primary transcripts (Alonso-Peral et al., 2010; Hou & Ma, 2020; Yan et al., 2016). On the other hand, sequences of secondary siRNA loci or PHAS loci have diverged rapidly, except for the miRNA target site required for the initiation of the RDR6-dependent siRNA pathway, which is under biased selection for conservation (Fuji et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2021). Diversification of flanking sequences of miRNA target site makes them able to generate siRNAs to silence fast-evolving pathogen genes, undergoing coevolution with target sites in the pathogens. This shotgun approach confers efficient resistance by targeting multiple pathogen genes or even a broad-spectrum resistance by targeting diversified pathogens (Axtell, 2019). Thus, in this regard, siRNAs are beneficial for HIGS (Hou & Ma, 2020).

3.4 siRNAs play a critical role during plant-plant parasite interaction

Parasitic plants cause major problems and affect global crop yield. They lead a unique lifestyle, depending on stolen nutrients from their host plants. For example, Cuscuta campestris, an obligate parasite on a wide range of herbaceous plants, forms a direct connection with the host plant through a specialized feeding structure termed the haustorium, which is the channel for nutrients, water, metabolites, and biological molecules. As a result of parasitism, host growth is severely reduced.

Engineered dsRNA-mediated HIGS has been demonstrated to confer resistance to parasitic plants (Figure 1e). Transgenic tobacco expressing dsRNAs against STM-like, a transcription factor that controls haustorial development, showed decreased vigour of Cuscuta pentagona (Alakonya et al., 2012). A similar strategy has been used to control Orobanche aegyptiaca on tomatoes. Transgenic tomatoes producing dsRNA targeting M6PR, a key enzyme required for the accumulation of mannitol during parasitism, caused the death of O. aegyptiaca tubercles (Aly et al., 2009). These studies highlight the essential role of host-produced artificial siRNAs in defeating parasitic plants.

Recent research also verified trans-species sRNA transportation from parasites to host plants (Shahid et al., 2018) (Figure 1e). During the process of parasitism, a group of C. campestris-derived 22-nt miRNAs accumulated in the haustoria. These miRNAs were found to target specific Arabidopsis genes involved in plant defence, including TIR1, AFB2, AFB3, BIK1, SEOR1, and HSFB4. As a result, secondary siRNAs were triggered from these loci to silence defence genes and promote parasitism. These observations suggest that trans-species miRNA-triggered secondary siRNAs affect the outcome of parasitism.

In addition to sRNAs, both DNA and mRNAs are also cargos that are trafficked between host and parasites (LeBlanc et al., 2013). Such broad exchange of nucleic acids can lead to horizontal gene transfer (HGT), probably through reverse transcription and genomic integration (Yang et al., 2016). A recent study identified 108 HGT events between parasites and host plants (Yang, Wafula, et al., 2019). Interestingly, HGT sequences seemed to be the source of 24-nt siRNAs in Cuscuta, indicating a potential role of these siRNAs to silence host gene expression and thus facilitate parasitism. This study not only provided new insights into the origination of siRNA loci in parasites but highlighted the importance of siRNAs during plant-plant parasite interactions.
sRNAs are highly mobile small molecules that traffic intercellularly and systematically in a noncell-autonomous manner (Liu & Chen, 2018). The mobility of plant sRNAs is a prerequisite for carrying out their vital functions. How sRNAs move within and between organisms has been widely studied. Plants sRNAs, either naked, associated with RNA-binding proteins, or encased by vesicles, can travel for short distances via the plasmodesmata and for long distances through the phloem system and even between species (Wang & Dean, 2020). For example, primary siRNAs can move 10–15 cells without producing secondary siRNAs, while long-distance sRNA transport via the phloem involves the amplification of an RDR-mediated silencing signal (Kim, 2005). Moreover, plant sRNAs can be translocated to invading fungi, oomycetes, and parasitic plants and subsequently silence virulence genes in the invaders and thereby confer resistance. It is noteworthy that delivery of sRNAs between species is a frequent and bidirectional process (Wang & Dean, 2020; Weiberg & Jin, 2015).

These molecules can be transported through the symplasm or apoplastic pathway. In cell-to-cell movement, sRNAs can move through three mechanisms: (1) move through the smooth endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived desmotubule of two adjacent cells, (2) spread through the spaces between the plasma membrane and desmotubules, or (3) be secreted directly from the plasma membrane and cross the cell wall to the extracellular matrix, where they can be taken up and absorbed by adjacent cells (Wang & Dean, 2020). In systemic movement, sRNAs are trafficked from source cells to companion cells through the plasmodesmata and arrive at the sieve tube elements. From there, they travel over long distances through the sieve plates of the phloem or are secreted from the plasma membrane and cell wall into the extracellular matrix and are absorbed by other cells directly (Wang & Dean, 2020).

Trans-species sRNA movement has been demonstrated in several plant–pathogen interactions and been shown to participate in trans-species RNAi. Both necrotrophic and biotrophic pathogens have been found to absorb plant sRNAs (Cai et al., 2018b; Hou et al., 2019). Both dsRNAs and exosome-carried sRNAs could be detected in fungal hyphae, suggesting direct uptake of sRNAs by the hyphae (Cai, Qiao, et al., 2018; Qiao, Lan et al., 2021). Haustoria, the specialized intimate structures formed by biotrophic/hemibiotrophic filamentous eukaryotic pathogens such as Phytophthora that interact with plant cells, provide an integrated portal into plant cells for material exchanges, including nutrients, virulence effectors, and antimicrobial agents (Micali et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2017). Based on these observations, two potential secretion routes could be proposed. One is dependent on the conventional protein secretion pathway. siRNAs produced on rough ER are internalized into budding vesicles, probably associated with RNA-binding proteins, and then the cargoes are released to the extrahaustorial matrix through the ER–Golgi route and absorbed by the haustoria. Alternatively, plant sRNAs are encapsulated into intraluminal vesicles and multivesicular bodies, which migrate to and fuse with the plasmamembrane to unload extracellular vesicles (EVs) to the apoplast. Apoplastic EVs fuse with the haustorial or hyphal membrane through endocytosis and release functional sRNAs into cells of pathogenic organisms, which subsequently assemble the RISC complexes to silence target genes (Ding et al., 2014; Hou & Ma, 2020). Exosomes or EVs have been well documented as essential vehicles of extracellular sRNAs (Valadi et al., 2007). It has been reported that Arabidopsis cells send sRNAs into B. cinerea by secreting EVs and so silences pathogen genes (Cai, Qiao, et al., 2018). However, this study did not rule out other possibilities, such as involvement of a nonvesicle ribonucleoprotein complex (Rutter & Innes, 2020).

The efficiency of HIGS is determined by the potential sorting and transport mechanisms of sRNAs from the donor plant to the pathogen recipient. Several studies have shown that plants can release EVs containing defence proteins, RNA-binding proteins, and sRNA cargos in response to pathogen infection (Baldrich et al., 2019; Cai, Qiao, et al., 2018; Hou et al., 2019; Regente et al., 2017; Rutter & Innes, 2017). Given that some transferred sRNAs are low in abundance and that siRNAs originating from the same TAS loci have different fates in trans-species movement (Baldrich et al., 2019; Cai, Qiao, et al., 2018), it can be concluded that movement of plant endogenous sRNAs into pathogens is not a simple concentration-dependent diffusion process, but probably requires a selective sRNA sorting mechanism. Recent studies suggest that such a rigorous sorting mechanism might be dictated by sRNA biosynthetic pathways, sRNA sizes, sequence features such as 5’ nucleotide, or selective RNA-binding protein partners (Figure 2). Uncovering the mechanism of sRNA selection for trans-species transport will potentially enhance success in designing artificial sRNAs to control plant disease.

sRNAs (e.g., siRNAs, miRNAs) are synthesized through distinct biosynthetic pathways and their cytoplasmic partitioning may also determine their selective secretion (Figure 2a). siRNAs, as major players in trans-species RNAi, are enriched in the apoplastic (Baldrich et al., 2019), whereas miRNAs are preferentially retained in the cytoplasm to silence endogenous genes (Hou & Ma, 2020). Interestingly, high-frequency cleavage of secondary siRNA precursors, such as miR2118-triggered 21-nt phasiRNAs and miR2275-triggered 24-nt phasiRNAs, occurred on membrane-bound polysomes and rough ER (Li et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2021). Furthermore, cytoplasmic “siRNA body”, a phase-separated biomolecular condensate containing enzymes for siRNA biosynthesis, accumulates adjacent to cis-Golgi (Jouanneau et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2017). Therefore, although speculative, it is reasonable to suggest that the cytoplasmic partitioning pattern of siRNA biosynthesis may confer secretion selectivity to the extracellular space through the ER–Golgi pathway (Figure 2b).

In addition, sRNA sequence features could be another factor that underpins the difference in sRNA mobility between species. A recent study found that selective loading of animal miRNAs into exosomes...
can be determined by specific RNA motifs (Hobor et al., 2018). A secreted AGO protein (exWAGO), which is highly conserved and abundantly expressed in nematode parasites but not in the free-living genus Caenorhabditis, has been identified as a mediator that associated with specific sRNAs and was secreted into the host environment through nematode EVs (Chow et al., 2019). In Arabidopsis, sRNAs the assortment of rRNAs with AGOs is associated with the 5′ terminal nucleotide and origin loci (Havecker et al., 2010; Mi et al., 2008). Arabidopsis encodes 10 AGOs, with the major protein AGO1 preferentially harbouring sRNAs with 5′ terminal uridine. By contrast, the AGO2/3 and AGO4/6/9 clades and AGO5 preferentially recruit sRNAs with 5′ terminal adenosine and cytosine, respectively (Havecker et al., 2010; Mi et al., 2008; Zhang, Liu, et al., 2016) (Figure 2c). A study supporting this found that Arabidopsis AGO1 was secreted by exosome-like EVs and selectively bound EV-enriched sRNAs in tobacco (He et al., 2021). Therefore, 5′ terminal nucleotide selection by exAGOs could contribute to the sRNA sorting mechanism.

Several secreted RNA-binding proteins have been identified to be involved in sRNA selection, in addition to AGOs. In Arabidopsis, RNA helicases (RH11 and RH37) and annexins (ANN1 and ANN2) were identified in EVs during B. cinerea infection (Figure 2d). ago1, rh11thr37, and ann1 ann2 mutants showed reduced translocation of sEVs sRNAs and enhanced susceptibility to B. cinerea (He et al., 2021). This suggested that RNA-binding proteins may function in loading and/or stabilizing sRNAs in EVs for transportation to pathogens. It was noted that AGO1, RH11, and RH37 specifically associated with EV-enriched sRNAs, while ANN1 and ANN2 bound sRNAs nonspecifically (He et al., 2021).

Intriguingly, besides relatively low abundance of siRNAs and specific miRNA species, plant EVs preferentially loaded a novel class of “tiny RNAs” (10–17 nt) with broad and diverse genome origin (Baldrich et al., 2019) (Figure 2e). Tiny RNAs have been proposed to be RNA degradation products with minor function. However, a study in human AGOs revealed that human AGO3 was catalytically activated by 14-nt tiny guide RNAs, indicating the specific selection and biological function of tiny RNAs (Park et al., 2020) (Figure 2e). Further in-depth studies are required to determine whether these tiny RNAs are selectively loaded into EVs by their short sizes or through a specific RNA biosynthesis pathway. Note that EV content may change in response to pathogen infection, probably through the switch of RNA sorting mechanisms (Hou et al., 2019). Interestingly, Karimi et al. recently revealed that Arabidopsis apoplastic RNAs, including 21–22 nt sRNAs and IncRNAs, were mostly located outside of EVs and associated with RNA-binding proteins (Karimi et al., 2022) (Figure 2f). Glycine-rich RNA-binding protein 7 (GRP7) and AGO2 were identified in the apoplast independent of EVs. Given that apoplastic sRNAs and IncRNAs in grp7 and ago2 mutants show a remarkable reduction, it is reasonable to propose that RNA-binding proteins contribute to apoplastic RNA selection or stabilization. Collectively, partition of sRNAs in the apoplast might be determined by RNA length and associated RNA-binding proteins.

siRNA-induced RNA interference is a fundamental defence mechanism employed by plants. In addition to regulating cellular immunity against invading pathogens, siRNAs have been shown to act extracellularly to induce trans-species RNAi. How sRNAs are sorted for transportation into pathogens remains unknown. One feasible strategy to illuminate the mechanism would be to produce engineered sRNA populations in plants that share common basic sequences but are characterized by different lengths, various 5′
terminal nucleotides, or are generated from distinct biogenesis pathways, which would be followed by analysis of preferentially transferred sRNAs during plant-pathogen interaction. Uncovering this sRNA sorting mechanism could improve the engineering of plant siRNAs for efficient natural HIGS against crop pathogens and ultimately contribute to reducing crop loss by conferring broad-spectrum disease resistance.
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