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Background. Morphology of eggs and embryonic development of the genus Coryphopterus are documented for the first time. The species C. venezuelae, C. dicrus, C. eidolon, and C. thrix have been found to have a similar ecology, but details about their reproduction are still unclear. The aim of the present study was to investigate if egg morphology, mode of attachment, and development differ between the species; which could be attributed to use of different microhabitats and/or resources.

Materials and methods. Fish were kept in aquaria and their eggs were collected every 6 h. Embryonic development in the eggs was documented under a dissecting microscope. Additionally egg morphology and mode of egg attachment were studied by a scanning electron microscope.

Results. The time of embryonic development was 66 h in all species. Egg dimensions were 0.7–1.1 mm in length and 0.3–0.5 mm in width. The eggs were attached to the substrate by an adhesive apparatus typical for gobids, a net like structure around the micropylar pole, and composed of filaments, 1.5–2.2 µm in diameter. The zona radiata was of 0.5–0.8-µm thick.

Conclusion. No differences in the period of embryonic development, egg shape or means of attachment could be found between the species studied, but variations in egg dimensions and their attaching structures were noted. The zona radiata is very thin in comparison to other fish eggs, though comparative data of fish with a similar ecology are needed.
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INTRODUCTION

Currently, the gobiid genus Coryphopterus Gill, 1863 includes 13 western Atlantic species (Victor 2008). The sand dwelling species have been reported to live in similar habitats and therefore the question arises in how far they differ in ecological aspects such as their reproductive biology. No information has been presented on that topic, in particular their egg morphology, mode of attachment and development of eggs. This study investigates the morphology of eggs as well as aspects of their development and compares the results within the genus and to other gobids. Eggs of Coryphopterus venezuelae Cervigón, 1966; Coryphopterus dicrus Böhlke et Robins, 1960; Coryphopterus eidolon Böhlke et Robins, 1960; and Coryphopterus thrix Böhlke et Robins, 1960 were collected under laboratory conditions and studied under a scanning electron microscope (SEM). These species are of similar size, with a maximum length of 5–7 cm and live associated with coral reefs. Eggs are deposited under stones or rocks and guarded by the male till hatching (Thresher 1984).

The eggshell is called zona radiata or chorion and has been reported to vary in thickness, surface structure, and adhesive filaments. In many cases the zona radiata can be used to identify species (Riehl 1995, Riehl and Patzner 1998, Giulianini and Ferrero 2000). Its main function is to protect the embryo from mechanical forces. Normally it measures between 5 and 15 µm in thickness, but may reach up to 100 µm in species like Agonus cataphractus (L.) (Actinopterygii: Agonidae), which deposit their eggs in tidal wave zones (Riehl 1995). Typically gobiid fishes lay their eggs in a dense patch of single layers on the underside of a solid structure e.g., stones, rocks or shells and the male guards them until they hatch (Miller 1984, Thresher 1984). A characteristic feature of gobid eggs is the formation of a layer of filaments between the follicle granulosa and the zona radiata. These filaments are arranged around the micropylar pole to provide a mode of attachment for these demersal eggs (Miller 1984). Giulianini et al. (2001) have proved that spermatozoa can pass through
these nets of filaments to reach the micropyle and fertilize the egg, even after being attached to the substrate.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Eggs were obtained from fish under laboratory conditions. Female fish were in the range (standard length) of 2.8–5.2 cm for *C. venezuelae* (*n* = 9), 3.2–4.5 cm for *C. eidolon* (*n* = 13), 2.4–4.2 cm for *C. dicus* (*n* = 7) and 2.1–3.2 cm for *C. thrix* (*n* = 8). Gobies were kept in aquaria which were permanently supplied with fresh seawater at a temperature between 28°C and 32°C (mean 30 ± 1) with the natural cycle of daylight. The bottom of the aquarium was supplied with sand and segments of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes of different diameters and lengths. Each PVC pipe was lined with an acetate sheet to enable the collection of deposited eggs (Privitera 2001).

All eggs were sampled with the acetate sheet and preserved in 1%–2% formaldehyde for 2 days, then stored in 70% ethanol. After fertilization samples of the developing eggs were taken every 6 h, photographed under a dissecting microscope after preservation. Length was measured as the longest distance between the animal and vegetal pole and width as widest part of the egg; 20 eggs of each species were measured.

For the SEM study, eggs were fixed in osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in alcohol (80%, 90%, 96%, and 100%) and critical-point-dried (Baltec CPD30 critical point dryer), fixed on aluminium stubs, coated with gold (Agar sputter coater), and studied under a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips XL–30), where they were photographed and measured. Surface structure and thickness of the zona radiata of eggs, which have previously been cut into halves as well as mode of attachment to the substrate were documented. Measurements of the zona radiata were taken on several locations (*n*) for *C. venezuelae* on two eggs (*n* = 10), for *C. eidolon* on four eggs (*n* = 14) and for *C. dicus* on six eggs (*n* = 17). The diameter of the eggs adhesive filaments was measured on 11 eggs (*n* = 89), 9 eggs (*n* = 91), 1 egg (*n* = 8), and 8 eggs (*n* = 78) from *C. venezuelae*, *C. eidolon*, *C. dicus*, and *C. thrix*, respectively. Statistical analyses were performed using SigmaStat® 2.03 (SPSS Inc., Chicago).

RESULTS

Egg measurements are summarized in Table 1. A Student’s *t*-test was used to compare mean values of different measurements and the results are presented as mean ± standard deviation in the table. The thickness of the zona radiata showed a statistically significant difference between *C. venezuelae* and *C. eidolon* (*P* = 0.011). Diameters in egg filaments had a highly significant difference (*P* < 0.001) between *C. venezuelae* and *C. thrix*, *C. eidolon* and *C. thrix*, as well as *C. thrix* and *C. dicus*; a significant difference (*P* = 0.044) between *C. venezuelae* and *C. dicro*.

The eggs were ellipsoidal or oval in shape (Fig. 1A). The mode of attachment of the adhesive filaments to the substrate was studied and compared between the *Coryphopterus* species (Figs. 1A–C). In all four species a very similar pattern in egg attachment was observed. The attaching structure formed a circular, net-like pattern around the micropylar pole, which divides distally into single filaments approximately 2 mm in diameter (Figs. 1A–C, Table 1). The net-like structure, which attaches the eggs to the substrate, was small in *C. thrix* and *C. dicus* (Fig. 1B), but large and well developed in *C. venezuelae* and *C. eidolon* (Figs. 1A, 1C). Under the SEM eggs of all four species had smooth surfaces and did not show any structures or specific patterns other than the adhesive filaments (Figs. 1A–D). At the animal pole the closed micropyle was visible; for *C. venezuelae* it measured 15 µm in diameter. Around the micropyle the attaching filaments originate from a circular area (Fig. 1E).

The embryonic development for all four *Coryphopterus* species is documented below.

- **0 h** Balloon-shaped eggs were attached to the substrate with adherent filaments (Fig. 2A).
- **12 h** The embryo becomes visible (Fig. 2B).
- **24 h** The head could be distinguished from the body. Faint pigmentation is visible along the ventral surface.

| Table 1 |

| Zona radiata thickness | Filaments diameter | Egg length | Egg width | Total length of larvae |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| [µm]                   | [µm]               | [mm]       | [mm]      | [mm]                  |
| *C. venezuelae*        | 0.6 ± 0.1          | 2.2 ± 0.5  | 1.1 ± 0.0 | 0.4 ± 0.0             | 1.8 ± 0.1               |
| *C. eidolon*           | 0.5 ± 0.0          | 2.0 ± 0.5  | 1.1 ± 1   | 0.4 ± 0.0             | 1.7 ± 0.1               |
| *C. dicus*             | 7 ± 0.1            | 2.1 ± 0.3  | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.4 ± 0.0             | —                      |
| *C. thrix*             | —                  | 1.5 ± 0.4  | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 0.4 ± 0.0             | 1.7 ± 0.1               |

Thickness of the zona radiata and diameter of the adhesive filaments of *Coryphopterus* eggs as measured under the scanning electron microscope as well as egg dimensions and total length of larvae of *Coryphopterus* measurements taken under a dissection microscope (mean ± standard deviation; values are rounded).
Egg structure of four *Coryphopterus* species

and on the yolk sac; the eye pigmentation and body segmentation appear; and the embryo exhibits movement. The yolk sac occupies approximately 25%–33% of the eggs volume.

- **36 h** The yolk sac decreases in size, it has approximately the same size as the embryos head. Pigments become darker and are more numerous on the ventral side of the body (Fig. 2C).
- **42 h** The eyes are not yet completely developed.
- **48 h** The body segmentation of the embryo is clearly visible, as well as the pigmentation on the ventral side; eyes are not completely pigmented; and the yolk sac has shrunk to approximately the size of the head of the embryo (Fig. 2D).
- **60 h** The eyes of the embryo are completely developed. Dark pigmentation is visible along the ventral side of the body. The yolk sac is smaller than the head of the embryo. Small, transparent pectoral fins become visible (Fig. 2E).
- **66 h** Larvae hatch. On their ventral side, pigmentation is visible all over the body, without showing a specific pattern. The body is transparent and the muscles and guts could be seen (Fig. 2F).

In all 4 *Coryphopterus* species, hatching occurred at night, after 66 h (46 observations). In some cases, larvae started to hatch earlier, when handled for photographing or sampling. In a few occasions, larvae with a yolk sac were observed. All larvae showed a positive phototactic reaction. After preservation with formaldehyde (2%) and alcohol larvae were measured under a stereoscope. Their sizes ranged from 1.5 mm for *C. dierol* to 2.0 mm for *C. venezue-

**DISCUSSION**

Gobies have a wide range of egg size; small eggs are present not only in many freshwater species but also in a number of small marine species with a planktonic phase. However without this planktonic phase present, gobies in slow rivers, lagoons, and brackish waters, which often lack such a phase, have larger eggs (Miller 1984). The sizes of eggs and larvae as well as the shape of the eggs observed in the present study are within the range of 15 other species of subtropical to tropical marine gobies: Egg size has been reported to vary from 0.65–1.93 mm in length and 0.47–0.60 mm in width (Miller 1984, Privitera 2001). Newly hatched larvae of subtropical and tropical marine gobies had a body length of 1.56–3.07 mm in total length, whereas species from temperate waters have larger eggs and larvae (Privitera 2001). Egg size can be species specific and correlated with body size of fish and/or environ-

Statistical analyses on a larger set of data may show different results; when looking at the strength of adhesive filaments and thickness of the zona radiata, it must be kept in mind that they vary depending on the location where the measurement was taken (Giulianini and Ferrero 2000).

The thickness and structure of the zona radiata often reflect adaptations to the ecological environment of the fish; previous studies have come to the conclusion that fish, which do not need protection of their eggs from mechanical forces, have a thinner zona radiata (Riehl and Greven 1993, Riehl 1995). Eggs of *Coryphopterus* species in the present study had zona radiata between 0.5 and 0.8 mm. Goodeid fish, which are viviparous freshwater species, have been reported to have eggshells between 0.5 and 1.5 µm (Riehl and Greven 1992). The studied *Coryphopterus* eggs are well protected from mechanical forces inside the burrow, where they are fixed to the substrate and fanned by the male to supply them with oxygen. A thin zona radiata favours the exchange of gases and metabolic waste substances of the embryo (Rombough 1988, Riehl 1995). No comparative data are available on the characteristics of marine tropical gobiid eggs, or species with a similar reproduction mode or ecology.

Other SEM studies on gobid eggs noted similar modes of attachment to the substrate (Herler et al. 2006). The attaching apparatus, which consists of filaments around the micropylar region, is also observed in other teleost families such as Blenniidae, Gobiosocidae, Opistognathidae, Grammatidae, and Plesiopidae (cf. Takahashi 1978, Patzner 1984, Mool 1990, Breining and Britz 2000).

In the presently described study the eggs hatched after 66 h or on their third day of development at a water temperature of 28–32°C. Sponaugle and Cowen (1994) report that eggs of *Coryphopterus glaucofraenum* Gill, 1863 hatch after 4 days. Additionally in some laboratory studies eggs of *Coryphopterus personatus* (Jordan et Thompson, 1905) hatch after 5 to 6 days at a water temperature of 27–29°C, and eggs of another tropical marine gobid, *Asterropteryx semipunctata* Rüppell, 1830, hatch after 4 to 5 days at 23–24°C (Cole and Robertson 1988, Privitera 2001).

Baldwin and Smith (2003) describe specific melanophore patterns for *Coryphopterus* spp. In the present study no species-specific patterns were found, pigmentation differed between individual fish, which means that those specific patterns are developed after larvae have hatched.

The present study gives an overview on the embryonic development and egg morphology of four sand dwelling gobids of the genus *Coryphopterus*. Ecological explanations and physiological details on the egg morphology remain a subject for future studies. It will be of interest to study details on fish with similar ecology—gobids and other bottom spawners—to get comparative data.
Fig. 1. Eggs of Coryphopterus by scanning electron microscope; A. Egg of C. venezuelae with attaching structure at the bottom; B. Egg of C. dicrus; animal pole with attaching filaments; C. Egg of C. venezuelae (detail of Fig. 1A); animal pole with a net like attaching structure; D. Egg of C. dicrus; zona radiata; left = outside of egg; E. Egg of C. venezuelae; view at closed micropyle and attaching filaments at micropylar pole, on the undersurface of the egg.
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