Association between physical activity and change in renal function in patients after acute myocardial infarction
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Abstract

Background

Combined renal dysfunction worsens the subsequent prognosis in patients after acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Therefore, establishing a therapeutic modality to maintain or improve renal function in AMI patients is necessary. This study aimed to elucidate the association between physical activity level and change in renal function in such patients.

Design

Prospective and observational study.

Methods

We enrolled 41 patients (35 men; average age, 67.5 ± 12.6 years) after AMI onset. Blood biochemistry, urinalysis, and physical function tests were conducted at discharge and 3 months after discharge. Renal function was evaluated based on cystatin C based-estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFRcys). The number of steps was recorded for 3 months post-discharge. Generalized estimating equations (GEE) was used to test the association between physical activity level and within-patient changes in eGFRcys.

Results

Patients were stratified into low (n = 21; number of steps, 2335 ± 1219 steps/day) and high groups (n = 20; number of steps, 7102 ± 2365 steps/day). eGFRcys significantly increased from baseline to after 3 months in the high group (76.5 ± 13.8 to 83.2 ± 16.0 mL/min/1.73 m², q = 0.004), whereas no significant change was observed in the low group (65.1 ± 15.9 to 62.2 ± 20.2 mL/min/1.73 m², q = 0.125). Result of GEE adjusted for potential confounding variables showed a significant positive association between physical activity level and within-patient changes in eGFRcys (p = 0.003). Changes in eGFRcys was -2.9 mL/min/1.73 m² among low group versus +6.7 mL/min/1.73 m² among high group.
Conclusions
Physical activity level was positively associated with changes in renal function, demonstrating that high physical activity may suppress renal function decline in patients after AMI.

Introduction
Ischemic cardiac events, such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI), cause a decline in renal function [1]. Furthermore, in patients after AMI, combined renal dysfunction increases subsequent total mortality and cardiovascular death [2]. The progression of chronic kidney disease (CKD) worsens the success rate of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and prognosis [3,4]. Accordingly, establishing a therapeutic modality to maintain or improve renal function in patients after AMI is important. In recent years, renal function has been recognized as a new target for exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation [5], and we previously demonstrated the renal-protective effects of chronic exercise in an experimental animal model [6]. Additionally, cardiac rehabilitation focusing mainly on supervised exercise therapy for AMI patients [7], or a group of patients with heart disease including those with AMI [8,9], was reported to be associated with maintaining and improving renal function. These reports suggest the possibility of kidney protection through exercise in AMI patients. However, in Japan, the rate of cardiac rehabilitation implementation for outpatients after AMI is very low [10,11]. In many cases, patients select remote exercise management, such as maintaining physical activity level in daily life and walking based on education by medical staff, such as doctors or physiotherapists. Therefore, elucidating whether physical activity level in the daily life of AMI patients has an effect on the changes in renal function is necessary, but there are no previous reports. Furthermore, most previous studies that examined the effect of exercise on renal function in AMI patients estimated renal function with serum creatinine, which depends on skeletal muscle mass [12]. Physical activity, including exercise, can change serum creatinine levels via changes in skeletal muscle mass. Therefore, the use of cystatin C, which is independent of skeletal muscle mass, is recommended when examining the effects of physical activity on renal function [13]. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to elucidate the association between physical activity level and changes in renal function in patients after AMI using cystatin C.

Materials and methods
Study design and patients
This study was a prospective observational study, as shown in Fig 1. In this study, the follow-up period was decided to be 3 months with reference to a previous study [12] that examined the effect of exercise on renal function in AMI patients. Forty-one patients who were admitted to Southern Tohoku General Hospital from May 2017 to June 2018 due to AMI onset and who underwent PCI and cardiac rehabilitation during hospitalization were enrolled in the study. Exclusion criteria were as follows: refusal or inability to provide informed consent; follow-up not possible for 3 months after discharge; dependence on others for activities of daily living; receiving maintenance hemodialysis therapy; complicated by other acute diseases; underwent invasive treatments such as surgical operation during hospitalization or follow-up; and a diagnosis of dementia.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. It was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (Approval No. [424x746]...
2016-1-683) and the Ethics Committee of Southern Tohoku General Hospital (Approval No. D16-14). All patients provided written informed consent after receiving an explanation about the purpose and protocol of the study.

**Baseline characteristics**

Clinical information on age; sex; height; weight; body mass index; smoking history; employment status; comorbidities of CKD, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and dyslipidemia; use of medications such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, calcium-channel blockers, beta-blockers, and statins; Killip classification, peak value of serum creatine kinase; left ventricular ejection fraction on an echocardiogram; and Geriatric Depression Scale-15 score, in which a score of 5 or higher was defined as the presence of depressive symptoms [14], were collected from medical records or by interview at registration.

**Blood biochemistry and urinalysis**

Blood biochemistry and urinalysis were performed at discharge and at 3 months after discharge. For blood biochemistry, B-type natriuretic peptide, hemoglobin, glucose, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, LDL/
HDL ratio, cystatin C, creatinine, urea nitrogen, cystatin C-based estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFRcys), and creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFRcreat) were collected. For urinalysis, urine protein/creatinine ratio (UPCR) was collected. In this study, eGFRcys was used for the primary evaluation of renal function because serum creatinine levels, which depend on skeletal muscle mass [12,13], may be influenced by physical activity. GFR was estimated using the Japanese Society of Nephrology equation as follows:

\[
\text{eGFRcys (mL/min/1.73 m}^2\text{)} = 104 \times \text{Cystatin C}^{-1.019} \times 0.996^{\text{Age}} \times 0.929 \text{ (if female)} - 8 \quad [15]
\]

\[
\text{eGFRcreat (mL/min/1.73 m}^2\text{)} = 194 \times \text{Cre}^{-1.094} \times \text{age}^{-0.287} \times 0.739 \text{ (if female)} \quad [16]
\]

**Physical function tests and blood pressure measurement**

Physical function tests, including the 6-min walk test (6MWT), handgrip strength test, and 30-second chair-stand test (CS30), were conducted at discharge and at 3 months after discharge. The 6MWT was conducted according to the American Thoracic Society recommendations [17]. The patients had to walk as far as possible. If they experienced shortness of breath and fatigue, they were allowed to reduce their walking speed and take a break as needed. After the break, they had to resume walking as soon as possible. Patients were informed of the elapsed time every minute by a physiotherapist. The test was conducted after a 10-min rest. Handgrip strength test was measured using a digital dynamometer (TKK 5101 Grip-D; Takei, Tokyo, Japan). This test was conducted according to the methods of a previous study [18]. It was performed with the right and left hands in turns. The highest strength values on the right and left sides were averaged and expressed as the absolute value (kg). The CS30 consists of standing up and sitting down from a chair as many times as possible within 30 s. A standard chair (with a seat height of 40 cm) without a backrest was used. The patients were initially seated on the chair with their back in an upright position. They were instructed to look straight forward and to rise after the “1, 2, 3, go” command at their own preferred speed with their arms folded across their chest [19]. Blood pressure measurements were conducted at discharge and 3 months after discharge. All tests and measurements were performed after a 10-min rest.

**Physical activity**

To evaluate physical activity, we used an accelerometer with a tri-axial acceleration sensor (AM500N; Acos Co., Ltd, Nagano, Japan). The accelerometer was distributed to the patients at discharge. The patients were instructed to wear the accelerometer consistently for 3 months, except when bathing and sleeping. The patients were also instructed to record their daily number of steps in the recording notes for 3 months. The accelerometer can record the number of steps and duration of activity when the instrument detects acceleration greater than the preset threshold value of activity (metabolic equivalents [METs]). We set the value to 3.0 METs as the threshold of moderate intensity of physical activity [20]. Three months after discharge, the patients came to the hospital and physical activity data were transferred into a computer from the accelerometer via a reader (RC-S360/S; Acos Co., Ltd, Nagano, Japan). Subsequently, the average number of steps (steps/day) and duration of activity > 3.0 METs (min/day) for 7 consecutive days at 1 month after discharge and 3 months after discharge (total of 14 days) were calculated and recorded as the absolute values of both parameters. The 14 days were shown in the research manual and recording notes in advance, and patients were able to confirm the 14 days. When the patients performed activities that could not be measured by the accelerometer, such as cycling or swimming, or forgot to wear the accelerometer, these had to be indicated in the recording notes, and data on the relevant days had to be excluded from analysis. However, none of the patients fulfilled the exclusion provision during the 14 days. Then, we examined the maximum number of steps (steps/day), minimum number of steps (steps/day), and
coefficient of variation of number of steps for each patient to confirm variations in physical activity in 14 days. We also examined the adherence rate of monitoring physical activity in 3 months and the main seasons of the monitoring from the recording notes.

**Statistical analysis**

We calculated the median number of steps in all patients (4113 steps/day). Patients who walked >4113 steps/day and ≤4113 steps/day were classified into the high-activity group (high group) and low-activity groups (low group), respectively. Changes in eGFRcys (ΔeGFRcys) (mL/min/1.73 m²) were defined as the value obtained by subtracting the eGFRcys at discharge from the eGFRcys at 3 months after discharge and change in eGFRcreat (ΔeGFRcreat) was also calculated in the same manner [21,22]. Descriptive statistics were used to present baseline characteristics and several parameters related to physical activity in the high and low group. Clinical data including blood biochemistry, urinalysis, and physical function tests at baseline and both ΔeGFRcys and ΔeGFRcreat were compared between the two groups using an unpaired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Clinical data at baseline and at 3 months after were compared within each group using a paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

As a main analysis, generalized estimating equations (GEE), which account for within-participant clustering, was used to test the longitudinal association between physical activity level and within-patient changes in eGFRcys adjusting for potential confounding variables. An unstructured working correlation structure was applied to adjust for within-patient correlation. As age [23,24], renal function [24], diabetes mellitus [25,26], hypertension [24,26], and dyslipidemia [26,27] have been reported as factors that can affect the progression of kidney disease, age, glucose, triglyceride, and systolic blood pressure at baseline were determined as covariates to assess the association. Interaction terms between physical activity level (high group, low group) and time (baseline, 3 months after) were included in a model to investigate the effects of physical activity level on change in eGFRcys during follow-up.

As a secondary analysis, to verify the difference between ΔeGFRcys and ΔeGFRcreat when verifying the continuous association between physical activity and change in renal function, we analyzed the correlations between the number of steps and ΔeGFRcys, or ΔeGFRcreat in all patients using Pearson’s correlation, and compared their correlations.

All analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL). An alpha-level of 0.05 was considered for statistical significance. To reduce the risk of type I error in multiple comparisons in unpaired t-tests, paired t-tests, or Wilcoxon signed-rank tests on the clinical data at baseline and after 3 months in the low and high groups, we corrected the p-values to q-values for each of the tests, according to the false discovery rate (FDR) procedure [28]. The FDR threshold was set at q = 0.05.

**Results**

**Physical activity**

Forty-one patients were stratified as 21 patients in the low group (number of steps, 2335 ± 1219 steps/day; duration of activity >3.0 METs, 18.3 ± 11.0 min/day) and 20 patients in the high group (number of steps, 7102 ± 2365 steps/day; duration of activity >3.0 METs, 56.8 ± 24.1 min/day), as shown in Table 1. The adherence rate of monitoring physical activity in 3 months in the low group was 76.3% ± 31.7% and that in the high group was 91.3% ± 13.3%.

**Baseline characteristics**

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the low and high groups.
Table 1. Baseline characteristics and physical activity of low and high groups.

|                                | All patients (n = 41) | Low group (n = 21) | High group (n = 20) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Age (years)                    | 67.5 ± 12.6           | 72.0 ± 12.9        | 62.8 ± 10.8         |
| Men                            | 35 (85.4)             | 18 (85.7)          | 17 (85.0)           |
| Height (cm)                    | 163.4 ± 8.4           | 162.9 ± 8.0        | 164.0 ± 9.0         |
| Weight (kg)                    | 65.9 ± 12.5           | 64.4 ± 11.1        | 67.5 ± 13.9         |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 24.6 ± 3.7            | 24.2 ± 3.6         | 25.0 ± 3.8          |
| Smoking                        |                       |                    |                     |
| Current                        | 15 (36.6)             | 6 (28.6)           | 9 (45.0)            |
| Former                         | 15 (36.6)             | 8 (38.1)           | 7 (35.0)            |
| Never                          | 10 (24.4)             | 6 (28.6)           | 4 (20.0)            |
| Employment                     | 20 (48.0)             | 7 (33.3)           | 13 (65.0)           |
| Comorbidities                  |                       |                    |                     |
| Chronic kidney disease         | 9 (22.0)              | 7 (33.3)           | 2 (10.0)            |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 9 (22.0)              | 4 (19.0)           | 5 (25.0)            |
| Hypertension                   | 23 (56.1)             | 11 (52.4)          | 12 (60.0)           |
| Dyslipidemia                   | 23 (56.1)             | 7 (33.3)           | 16 (80.0)           |
| Peak creatine kinase (IU/l)    | 2070 ± 1475           | 2056 ± 1422        | 2084 ± 1566         |
| LVEF (%)                       | 55.8 ± 12.8           | 50.4 ± 13.6        | 61.4 ± 9.3          |
| Killip class                   |                       |                    |                     |
| Killip I                       | 37 (90.2)             | 17 (81.0)          | 20 (100.0)          |
| Killip II                      | 2 (4.9)               | 2 (9.5)            | 0 (0.0)             |
| Killip III                     | 2 (4.9)               | 2 (9.5)            | 0 (0.0)             |
| Killip IV                      | 0 (0.0)               | 0 (0.0)            | 0 (0.0)             |
| GDS-15 (points)                | 5 ± 3                 | 5 ± 3              | 4 ± 3               |
| GDS-15≥5 points                | 19 (46.3)             | 13 (61.9)          | 6 (30.0)            |
| Medications                    |                       |                    |                     |
| ACEI/ARB                       | 31 (75.6)             | 18 (85.7)          | 13 (65.0)           |
| Calcium-channel blocker        | 4 (9.8)               | 3 (14.3)           | 1 (5.0)             |
| Beta-blocker                   | 25 (61.0)             | 14 (66.7)          | 11 (55.0)           |
| Statin                         | 34 (82.9)             | 16 (76.2)          | 18 (90.0)           |
| Physical activity              |                       |                    |                     |
| Average of number of steps     | 4660 ± 3036           | 2335 ± 1219        | 7102 ± 2365         |
| Maximum number of steps        | 7828 ± 4880           | 4331 ± 2210        | 11499 ± 4159        |
| Minimum number of steps        | 1984 ± 1610           | 953 ± 712          | 3066 ± 1586         |
| Coefficient of variation of    | 0.4 ± 0.2             | 0.5 ± 0.3          | 0.3 ± 0.1           |
| number of steps                |                       |                    |                     |
| >3 METs (minutes/day)          | 37.9 ± 29.3           | 18.3 ± 11.0        | 56.8 ± 24.1         |
| Adherence rate (%)             | 83.6 ± 25.4           | 76.3 ± 31.7        | 91.3 ± 13.3         |
| Season of monitoring           |                       |                    |                     |
| Spring (Mar, Apr, May)         | 8 (19.5)              | 3 (14.3)           | 5 (25.0)            |
| Summer (Jun, Jul, Aug)         | 16 (39.0)             | 7 (33.3)           | 9 (45.0)            |
| Fall (Sep, Oct, Nov)           | 11 (26.8)             | 6 (28.6)           | 5 (25.0)            |
| Winter (Dec, Jan, Feb)         | 6 (14.6)              | 5 (23.8)           | 1 (5.0)             |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; Adherence rate, adherence rate of monitoring physical activity; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; GDS-15, Geriatric Depression Scale-15; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; >3 METs, the duration of activity >3 METs.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212100.t001
Table 2. Clinical data at baseline and after 3 months in the low and high groups.

|                              | Blood laboratory data | Urine laboratory data | Physical function | Resting blood pressure |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
|                              | Baseline              | 3 months after        | q-value           | Baseline               | 3 months after        | q-value |
|                              | Low group (n = 21)    |                       |                   | High group (n = 20)    |                       |         |
|                              |                       |                       |                   |                       |                       |         |
|                              |                       |                       |                   |                       |                       |         |
|                              | eGFRcys (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 65.1 ± 15.9           | 62.2 ± 20.2       | 0.200                  | 76.5 ± 13.8           | 83.2 ± 16.0 | 0.013 |
|                              | ΔeGFRcys (mL/min/1.73 m²) | -                     | -2.9 ± 8.2        | -                      | -                     | +6.7 ± 9.2 | -     |
|                              | eGFRcrea (mL/min/1.73 m²) | 66.1 ± 15.6           | 59.5 ± 17.6       | 0.397                  | 69.7 ± 12.8           | 74.4 ± 13.9 | 0.068 |
|                              | ΔeGFRcrea (mL/min/1.73 m²) | -                     | -1.7 ± 7.9        | -                      | -                     | +4.8 ± 10.0 | -     |
|                              | BNP (pg/mL)           | 120.5 (68.8–268.2)    | 59.7 (42.1–109.2) | <0.001                 | 45.2 (31.0–71.0)     | 26.2 (14.6–36.0) | <0.001 |
|                              | Hemoglobin (g/dl)     | 13.1 ± 1.7            | 13.8 ± 1.8        | 0.027                  | 14.3 ± 1.5            | 14.5 ± 1.2 | 0.525 |
|                              | Glucose (mg/dl)       | 104.2 ± 18.0          | 118.7 ± 28.9      | 0.041                  | 107.0 ± 22.1          | 129.6 ± 40.1 | 0.018 |
|                              | Triglyceride (mg/dl)  | 107.1 ± 49.4          | 142.6 ± 70.1      | 0.048                  | 133.7 ± 37.6          | 114.3 ± 40.4 | 0.1   |
|                              | HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 40.7 ± 10.5           | 50.7 ± 7.9        | 0.002                  | 40.4 ± 10.1           | 54.0 ± 13.2 | <0.001 |
|                              | LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 89.4 ± 19.6           | 84.1 ± 23.0       | 0.400                  | 105.8 ± 31.6          | 85.3 ± 21.3 | 0.013 |
|                              | LDL/HDL ratio         | 2.3 ± 0.6             | 1.7 ± 0.4         | 0.001                  | 2.7 ± 0.9             | 1.6 ± 0.5 | <0.001 |
|                              | Cystatin C (mg/l)     | 1.10 ± 0.23           | 1.17 ± 0.29       | 0.060                  | 0.97 ± 0.13           | 0.90 ± 0.14 | 0.012 |
|                              | Creatinine (mg/dl)    | 0.97 ± 0.20           | 1.00 ± 0.22       | 0.420                  | 0.87 ± 0.16           | 0.83 ± 0.14 | 0.056 |
|                              | Blood urea nitrogen (mg/dl) | 18.3 ± 5.7            | 17.5 ± 7.1        | 0.603                  | 15.5 ± 3.2            | 15.7 ± 3.4 | 0.814 |
|                              | UPCR (g/gCr)          | 0.06                   | 0.07              | 0.741                  | 0.05                  | 0.06       | 0.079 |
|                              | 0.05–0.13             | (0.05–0.10)           |                   | (0.04–0.07)           | (0.04–0.10)           |           |         |
|                              | Physical function     | 6-min walk distance (m) | 329.5 ± 121.0    | 371.1 ± 129.6         | 0.016                 | 473.1 ± 92.4 | 521.6 ± 82.3 | <0.001 |
|                              | Grip strength (kg)    | 26.5 ± 7.7            | 27.9 ± 8.4        | 0.250                  | 33.1 ± 7.7            | 33.8 ± 7.9 | 0.274 |
|                              | CS30 (counts)         | 10 ± 3                | 13 ± 4            | 0.011                  | 17 ± 5                | 18 ± 4     | 0.250 |
|                              | Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 118.2 ± 8.7           | 127.3 ± 13.4     | 0.013                  | 114.7 ± 16.3          | 122.3 ± 18.0 | 0.034 |
|                              | Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 74.6 ± 7.6            | 78.5 ± 12.0      | 0.379                  | 71.0 ± 9.1            | 77.6 ± 8.6 | 0.011 |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, except for BNP and UPCR, which are expressed as medians (25th to 75th percentiles). All p-values were corrected to q-values using the false discovery rate procedure [28].

* q < 0.05, vs. low group at baseline
** q < 0.01, vs. low group at baseline
*** q < 0.001, vs. low group at baseline
† q < 0.01, vs. low group

BNP, B-type natriuretic peptide; Cr, creatinine; CS30, 30-second chair-stand test; eGFRcrea, creatinine-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; eGFRcys, cystatin C-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; UPCR, urine protein/creatinine ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212100.t002

Clinical data at baseline and after 3 months

Table 2 shows the clinical data at baseline and after 3 months. At baseline, the high group had higher values of 6-min walk distance (6MWD), grip strength, and CS30, and lower value of BNP than the low group. In the high group, eGFRcys (76.5 ± 13.8 to 83.2 ± 16.0 mL/min/1.73 m², q = 0.013), glucose, HDL cholesterol, 6MWD, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure increased, and BNP, LDL cholesterol, LDL/HDL ratio, and cystatin C decreased from baseline to 3 months after. In contrast, in the low group, hemoglobin, glucose, triglyceride, HDL cholesterol, 6MWD, CS30, and systolic blood pressure increased, and BNP and LDL/HDL ratio decreased without significant changes in eGFRcys (65.1 ± 15.9 to 62.2 ± 20.2 mL/min/1.73 m², q = 0.200). Based on the results of the comparison of changes in eGFR between
the two groups, ΔeGFRcys in the high group was significantly higher than that in the low group (+6.7 ± 9.2 vs -2.9 ± 8.2, q = 0.009).

Associations between physical activity and changes in eGFR

Table 3 shows the results of the GEE analysis testing the longitudinal association between physical activity level and within-patient changes in eGFRcys. Both results of the crude model (p < 0.001) and adjusted model (p = 0.003) showed significant positive associations. Change in eGFRcys was -2.9 mL/min/1.73 m² in the low group versus +6.7 mL/min/1.73 m² in the high group. After adjustment for potential confounding variables, similar results were shown.

Fig 2 shows the associations between the number of steps and ΔeGFRcys or ΔeGFRcreat in all patients. The results of Pearson’s correlation analysis showed significant correlations between the number of steps and both parameters. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient between ΔeGFRcreat and the number of steps (r = 0.38, p = 0.015) was lower compared to the correlation coefficient between ΔeGFRcys (r = 0.55, p < 0.001) and the number of steps.

Discussion

The primary findings of this study are as follows: (1) physical activity level in daily life was positively associated with changes in renal function in patients after AMI, and (2) the number of steps correlated significantly and positively with both ΔeGFRcys and eGFRcreat in all patients, although the correlation coefficient using ΔeGFRcreat as an indicator for changes in renal function was lower than that using ΔeGFRcys.

Table 3. Longitudinal associations between physical activity levels and within-patient changes in eGFRcys.

| Physical activity level | Changes in eGFRcys (95% Confidence Interval) | Crude model | Adjusted model |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Low group (<4113 steps/day) | -2.9 (-6.3 to 0.6) | -2.9 (-7.0 to 1.3) |
| High group (>4113 steps/day) | +6.7 (1.6 to 16.7) | +6.7 (1.4 to 12.0) |
| Wald Chi-square | 19.2 | 13.9 |
| p-value | < 0.001 | 0.003 |

*, Model adjusted for age, glucose, triglyceride, and systolic blood pressure at baseline. eGFRcys, cystatin C-based estimated glomerular filtration rate.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212100.t003

Fig 2. Associations between the number of steps and ΔeGFRcys or ΔeGFRcreat. eGFRcys, cystatin C-based estimated glomerular filtration rate; eGFRcreat, creatinine-based estimate glomerular filtration rate.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212100.g002
In recent years, cardiac rehabilitation focusing mainly on supervised exercise therapy for patients with AMI [7] or a group of patients with cardiovascular disease [8,9,29,30] was found to maintain or improve renal function. According to Takaya et al., in a phase II cardiac rehabilitation program for 3 months for AMI patients, eGFRcreat improved significantly in active patients with a participation frequency of 1.1 times or more per week, but no significant improvement was observed in inactive patients with a participation frequency of less than 1.1 times per week [7]. There is a possibility that renal functions in AMI patients will improve with exercise therapy, and in such cases, maintaining the frequency and amount of exercise is especially important. However, most previous studies that examined the effect of exercise on renal function in AMI or CVD patients estimated renal function with serum creatinine, which depends on skeletal muscle mass [12]. Only one study [9] demonstrated that the renal function assessed by cystatin C improved in CVD patients with CKD after cardiac rehabilitation, but the study did not have a control group and was unable to verify the effect of amount of exercise on renal function.

In the present study, the physical activity of AMI patients was recorded from the time they woke up until bedtime for over 3 months using an accelerometer to verify the association with changes in the renal function over time. Additionally, we considered eGFRcys as a valid indicator of renal function in this study. The results indicated significant longitudinal associations between physical activity level and within-patient changes in eGFRcys, and in the analysis adjusted for potential confounding variables [23–27], similar significant association was confirmed. Additionally, exacerbation in the urine analysis data was not confirmed within each group as well. These results support and supplement prior studies [7–9] mentioned above that indicated the improving effect of exercise on renal function in AMI patients.

Significant correlations between changes in renal function and number of steps were found in all patients in the secondary analysis. However, the correlation coefficient using ΔeGFRcreat as an indicator for the changes in renal function was lower than that using ΔeGFRcys. Based on the scatter diagram (Fig 2), an increase in the variation of ΔeGFRcreat with the increase in the number of steps was confirmed. As previously pointed out, changes in the serum creatinine level through movement of the skeletal muscles [12,13] is one of the causes, and the significance of using eGFRcys as an indicator for renal function in this study was confirmed.

In recent years, a prior prospective study [31] verified the association between physical activity level and renal function in CKD patients. The results of this study are similar to our present findings and indicate that maintaining a high level of physical activity in daily life leads to suppression of renal function deterioration. In contrast, studies conducted on CKD patients have shown conflicting findings. A study [32] reported the same results as found in CKD patients, but a study also reported [33] that physical activity level does not affect renal function. Thus, the relationship between physical activity level and renal function is not clear for persons without CKD. Not being able to sufficiently grasp the level of physical activities using the previous methods may be one of the reasons. These reports were based on the evaluation of physical activity level, and measurements were conducted using a recollection type questionnaire to simplify the evaluation process. However, when elderly people are included in such studies, the evaluation results are possibly biased due to poor memory or inability to understand questions [34], and this may be one of the factors for conflicting results between studies.

In this study, reliability of the evaluation was ensured by measuring the amount of physical activity using an accelerometer. The adherence rate for the physical activity monitoring was 83.6% during the 3 months follow-up, and there were no missing data during the 14 days extracted for analysis. Additionally, the target patients of this study did not engage in exercises such as cycling or swimming, which cannot be measured using an accelerometer, during the
observation period. The physical activity level measured in this study was a better reflection of the actual physical activity level in daily life.

This study is the first to show the association between physical activity level and changes in renal function after the onset of AMI, and it demonstrated that maintaining a high physical activity level is essential for protecting the renal function of AMI patients. The strengths of this study include the reliability of evaluating renal function and physical activity. In this study, the primary evaluation for estimating renal function utilized the cystatin C level, which has been reported as a more reliable marker of renal function than creatinine [12,13]. Furthermore, we analyzed the actual amount of physical activity by measuring the daily number of steps for 3 months using an accelerometer rather than a recollection type questionnaire, which may be associated with recall and other biases. In the clinical setting, the therapeutic strategy of using the number of steps in daily life, which is simple, cost-effective, and has less time constraints, may be easily accepted as one of the strategies to manage renal disease in outpatients after AMI. On the contrary, in this study, the clinical data at baseline indicated that the low group had significantly lower physical function than the high group. Furthermore, the differences similar to those observed at baseline between groups were observed even after 3 months. These results indicate that deterioration in the physical activity level was likely to occur continuously in patients with low physical function at baseline in a non-interventional situation. To increase physical activity and function of such patients, further intervention such as increasing the frequency of periodic follow-up after completion of phase I cardiac rehabilitation, providing education and counseling for physical activity [35], or continuing guidance on self-exercise with motivational coaching strategies and objective feedback on the training data [36] will be necessary.

This study has several limitations. First, it was a small, prospective, observational study without a control group, and most samples (85.4%) were men. For those reasons, all confounding factors that may affect the changes in the renal function were not sufficiently adjusted. We examined the control status of age, blood glucose level, lipid level, and blood pressure as background factors that may affect renal function. We analyzed these factors as covariates using GEE but did not confirm dietary intake status that includes management of salt and water intake because detailed evaluation after discharge of patients was difficult. Second, the verification of this study was limited to a follow-up period of 3 months. Whether the same results will be obtained in an extended observation period is unknown. Verifying whether the changes in renal function can be corrected by improving physical activity and physical function during the study is important, but this was not investigated in this study. For this reason, future research should verify the long-term effect of physical activity level on renal function among AMI patients in a larger cohort.

Conclusion
The present study is the first to show the association between physical activity level and changes in renal function after the onset of AMI using an accelerometer and eGFRcys. High physical activity was suggested to suppress renal function decline in patients with AMI. Our findings support the importance of interventions to maintain high physical activity as a strategy for renal protection in AMI patients. Future research should verify the long-term effect of physical activity level on renal function among AMI patients.

Supporting information
S1 File. Data used for the analysis.
(XLSX)
Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. O. Ito from Tohoku Medical and Pharmaceutical University for his valuable comments. We would also like to thank all patients whose participation made this investigation possible and all colleagues in Southern Tohoku General Hospital for their contribution to the medical care of the patients.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Toshimi Sato.
Data curation: Toshimi Sato.
Formal analysis: Toshimi Sato.
Investigation: Toshimi Sato, Masahiro Ono, Mitsuru Muto, Taku Osugi, Keiichi Kawamura, Wakako Naganuma, Masayuki Sato, Namiko Shishito.
Methodology: Toshimi Sato, Masahiro Kohzuki.
Project administration: Masahiro Kohzuki.
Software: Masahiro Kohzuki.
Supervision: Masahiro Kohzuki.
Validation: Toshimi Sato, Masahiro Kohzuki.
Writing – original draft: Toshimi Sato.
Writing – review & editing: Masahiro Kohzuki.

References

1. Eijkelkamp WB, de Graeff PA, van Veldhuisen DJ, van Dokkum RP, Gansevoort RT, de Jong PE, et al. Prevention of Renal and Vascular End-Stage Disease (PREVEND) Study Group. Effect of first myocardial ischemic event on renal function. Am J Cardiol. 2007; 100: 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2007.02.047

2. Anavekar NS, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, Solomon SD, Kober L, Rouleau JL, et al. Relation between renal dysfunction and cardiovascular outcomes after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2004; 351: 1285–1295. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa041365 PMID: 15385655

3. Yamaguchi J, Kasanuki H, Ishii Y, Yagi M, Nagashima M, Fujii S, et al. Serum creatinine on admission predicts long-term mortality in acute myocardial infarction patients. Circ J. 2007; 71: 1354–1359. PMID: 17721010

4. Koganei H, Kasanuki H, Ogawa H, Tsurumi Y. Association of glomerular filtration rate with unsuccessful primary percutaneous coronary intervention and subsequent mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction from the HIJAMI Registry. Circ J. 2008; 72: 179–185. PMID: 18219150

5. Kohzuki M, Ito O. Chronic kidney disease is a new target of cardiac rehabilitation. Cardiovasc Innov Appl. 2017; 2: 387–393.

6. Kohzuki M, Kamimoto M, Wu XM, Xu HL, Kawamura T, Mori N, et al. Renal-protective effects of chronic exercise and antihypertensive therapy in hypertensive rats with renal failure. J Hypertens. 2001; 19: 1877–1882. PMID: 11593110

7. Takaya Y, Kumasaka R, Arakawa T, Ohara T, Nakanishi M, Noguchi T, et al. Impact of cardiac rehabilitation on renal function in patients with and without chronic kidney disease after acute myocardial infarction. Circ J. 2014; 78: 377–384. PMID: 24225305

8. Kimura S, Ueda Y, Ise T, Yagi S, Iwase T, Nishikawa K, et al. Impact of supervised cardiac rehabilitation on urinary albumin excretion in patients with cardiovascular disease. Int Heart J. 2015; 56: 105–109. https://doi.org/10.1536/ihj.14-161 PMID: 25742947

9. Hama T, Oikawa K, Ushijima A, Morita N, Matsukage T, Ikari YJ, et al. Effect of cardiac rehabilitation on the renal function in chronic kidney disease—Analysis using serum cystatin-C based glomerular filtration rate. Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc 2018; 19: 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2018.04.001 PMID: 29846560
10. Arakawa T, Kumasaka L, Nakanishi M, Nagayama M, Adachi H, Ikeda K, et al. Regional clinical alliance path and cardiac rehabilitation after hospital discharge for acute myocardial infarction patients in Japan—a nationwide survey. Circ J. 2016; 80: 1750–1755. https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-15-1392 PMID: 27357332

11. Kohzuki M. Late phase II cardiac rehabilitation, which is adding life to years and years to life, is still underused in Japan. Circ J. 2016; 80: 1697–1699. https://doi.org/10.1253/circj.CJ-16-0619 PMID: 27385282

12. Sérone-Vivien S, Delanaye P, Piéroni L, Mariat C, Froissart M, Cristol JP, et al. Cystatin C: current position and future prospects. Clin Chem LabMed. 2008; 46: 1664–1686.

13. Poortmans JR, Gulbis B, De Bruyn E, Baudry S, Carpentier A. Limitations of serum values to estimate glomerular filtration rate during exercise. Br J Sports Med. 2012; 47: 1166–1170. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2012-090976 PMID: 22773320

14. Yesavage JA. Geriatric depression scale. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1988; 24: 709–711. PMID: 3249773

15. Horio M, Imai E, Yasuda Y, Watanabe T, Matsuo S; Collaborators Developing the Japanese Equation for Estimated GFR. GFR estimation using standardized serum cystatin C in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis. 2013; 61: 197–203. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2012.07.007 PMID: 22892396

16. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, et al. Revised equation for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis. 2009; 53: 982–992. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.12.034 PMID: 19339088

17. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002; 166: 111–117. https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.166.1.11102 PMID: 12091180

18. Kamiya K, Masuda T, Matsue Y, Hamazaki N, Matsuzawa R, Tanaka S, et al. Prognostic usefulness of arm and calf circumference in patients >65 years of age with cardiovascular disease. Am J Cardiol. 2017;15; 119: 186–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.09.040 PMID: 27823596

19. Millor N, Lecumberri P, Gómez M, Martínez-Ramírez A, Izquierdo M. An evaluation of the 30-s chair stand test in older adults: frailty detection based on kinematic parameters from a single inertial unit. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2013; 10: 86. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-10-86 PMID: 24059755

20. Aoyagi Y, Park H, Watanabe E, Park S, Shephard RJ. Habitual physical activity and physical fitness in older Japanese adults: the Nakanojo study. Gerontology. 2009; 55: 523–531. https://doi.org/10.1159/000236326 PMID: 19776608

21. Shepherd J, Breazna A, Deedwania PC, LaRosa J, Wenger NK, Messig M, et al. Relation between change in renal function and cardiovascular outcomes in atorvastatin-treated patients (from the Treating to New Targets [TNT] Study). Am J Cardiol. 2016; 117: 1199–1205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.01.014 PMID: 26940556

22. Posma RA, Lexis CP, Lipsic E, Nijsten MW, Damman K, Touw DJ, et al. Effect of metformin on renal function after primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients without diabetes presenting with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: data from the GIPS-III trial. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 2015; 29: 451–459. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-015-6618-1 PMID: 2765713

23. Yamagata K, Takahashi H, Suzuki S, Mase K, Hagiwara M, Shimizu Y, et al. Age distribution and yearly changes in the incidence of ESRD in Japan. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004; 43: 433–443. PMID: 14981601

24. Imai E, Horio M, Yamagata K, Iseki K, Harab S, Ura N, et al. Slower decline of glomerular filtration rate in the Japanese general population: a longitudinal 10-year follow-up study. Hypertens Res. 2008; 31: 433–441. https://doi.org/10.1291/hypres.31.433 PMID: 18497462

25. Iseki K, Oshiro S, Tozawa M, Ikemiya Y, Fukuizawa K, Takishita S. Prevalence and correlates of diabetes mellitus in a screened cohort in Okinawa, Japan. Hypertens Res. 2002; 25: 185–190. PMID: 12047033

26. Ruggenenti P, Sceppati A and Remuzzi G. Progression, remission, regression of chronic renal diseases. Lancet. 2001; 357: 1601–1608. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)04728-0 PMID: 11377666

27. Muntner P, Coresh J, Smith JC, Eckfeldt J, Klag MJ. Plasma lipids and risk of developing renal dysfuncion: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. Kidney Int. 2000; 58: 293–301. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1755.2000.00165.x PMID: 10886574

28. Benjamin Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B. 1995; 57: 289–300.

29. Toyama K, Sugiyama S, Oka H, Sumida H, Ogawa H. Exercise therapy correlates with improving renal function through modifying lipid metabolism in patients with cardiovascular disease and chronic kidney disease. J Cardiol. 2010; 56: 142–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcc.2010.06.007 PMID: 20696551

30. Iso Y, Kitai H, Kowai H, Kyuno E, Maezawa H, Hashimoto T, et al. Association of aging with glomerular filtration changes in cardiac rehabilitation participants with chronic kidney disease. Int J Cardiol. 2015; 187: 283–285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.03.308 PMID: 25838232
31. Robinson-Cohen C, Litman AJ, Duncan GE, Weiss NS, Sachs MC, Ruzinski J, et al. Physical activity and change in estimated GFR among persons with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014; 25: 399–406. https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.2013040392 PMID: 24335971

32. Robinson-Cohen C, Katz R, Mozaffarian D, Dalrymple LS, de Boer I, Sarnak M, et al. Physical activity and rapid decline in kidney function among older adults. Arch Intern Med. 2009; 169: 2116–2123. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2009.438 PMID: 20008696

33. Herber-Gast GC, Hulsegge G, Hartman L, Verschuren WMM, Stehouwer CDA, Gansevoort RT, et al. Physical activity is not associated with estimated glomerular filtration rate among young and middle-aged adults: results from the population-based longitudinal Doetinchem Study. PLoS One. 2015; 10. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133864 PMID: 26465150

34. Ainsworth B, Cahalin L, Buman M, Ross R. The current state of physical activity assessment tools. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2015; 57: 387–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2014.10.005 PMID: 25446555

35. Oliveira J, Ribeiro F, Gomes H. Effects of a home-based cardiac rehabilitation program on the physical activity levels of patients with coronary artery disease. J Cardiol Rehabil Prev. 2008; 28: 392–396. https://doi.org/10.1097/HCR.0b013e31818c3b83 PMID: 19008694

36. Kraal Jos J, Akker-Van Marie M Elske Van den, Ameen Abu-Hanna, Wim Stut, Niels Peek, Kemps Harel MC. Clinical and cost-effectiveness of home-based cardiac rehabilitation compared to conventional, centre-based cardiac rehabilitation: Results of the FIT@Home study. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2017; 24: 1260–1273. https://doi.org/10.1177/2047487317710803 PMID: 28534417