THE CURIOUS MORPHOLOGY AND ORIENTATION OF ORION PROPLYD HST-10
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ABSTRACT

HST-10 is one of the largest proplyds in the Orion Nebula and is located approximately 1° SE of the Trapezium. Unlike other proplyds in Orion, however, the long-axis of HST-10 does not align with θ1 C, but is instead aligned with the rotational axis of the HST-10 disk. This cannot be easily explained using current photoevaporation models. In this Letter, we present high spatial resolution near-infrared images of the Orion proplyd HST-10 using Keck/NIRC2 with the Laser Guide Star Adaptive Optics system, along with multi-epoch analysis of HH objects near HST-10 using Hubble Space Telescope (HST) WFPC2 and Advanced Camera for Surveys cameras. Our narrowband near-IR images resolve the proplyd ionization front (IF) and circumstellar disk down to 23 AU at the distance to Orion in Brγ, HeI, H2, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emission. Brγ and HeI emission primarily trace the IF (with the disk showing prominently in silhouette), while the H2 and PAH emission trace the surface of the disk itself. PAH emission also traces small dust grains within the proplyd envelope which is asymmetric and does not coincide with the IF. The curious morphology of the PAH emission may be due to UV heating by both θ1 C Ori and θ2 AOri. Multi-epoch HST images of the HST-10 field show proper motion of three knots associated with HH 517, clearly indicating that HST-10 has a jet. We postulate that the orientation of HST-10 is determined by the combined ram pressure of this jet and the FUV-powered photo-ablation flow from the disk surface.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Disks surrounding young stellar objects (YSOs) are critical to our understanding of star formation. Not only do circumstellar disks provide a mechanism for angular momentum transport and accretion onto the central star during formation, but they also serve as the birthplace of planets. The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has produced dramatic images of protoplanetary disks (“proplyds”) surrounding young (<106 yr old) stars in the Orion Nebula (O’Dell et al. 1993; Bally et al. 1998, 2000). The intense ultraviolet (UV) radiation field of the high-mass Trapezium stars heats the disk surfaces to a few thousand degrees, which drives a photo-ablation flow, and produces bright ionization fronts (IFs; Johnstone et al. 1998).

Disk mass-loss rates in YSOs due to external photoevaporation place strong temporal constraints on planet formation mechanisms in irradiated environments near O-type stars, which is important since a significant fraction of stars form in clusters with high-mass stars (Adams et al. 2010). Furthermore, mounting evidence—from solar system size to meteoritics—now strongly suggests that our own solar system in fact formed in a large stellar cluster, perhaps similar to the Orion Nebula Cluster (Gounelle & Meynet 2012; Gritschneder et al. 2012; Adams 2010; Young et al. 2011, and references therein). So it is clearly important to gain an understanding of how efficient planet formation is for low-mass stars forming in clusters with high-mass members, both to predict the frequency of planetary systems and to better understand the evolution of our own solar system.

The formation and evolution of dust grains in proplyd systems is key to understanding overall system evolution and whether or not the disks might produce planets. Like other circumstellar disks around young stars, it is thought that dust grains will grow via accretion and agglomeration. Dust grains are also thought to be entrained in the photoevaporative flow off the disk, effectively removing material from the grain-growth process. If the photoevaporative entrainment is very efficient, then we would not expect to see evidence for larger grain sizes.

Mid-IR observations of the Orion proplyds closest to θ1 C clearly show strong emission both from the proplyd itself, and from the large wind–wind shock fronts where the photoevaporation flow rams into the stellar wind from θ1 C (Smith et al. 2005; Robberto et al. 2005), suggesting that the grains are indeed entrained in the photoevaporative flow. At the same time, in the case of proplyd 114-426, measurements of the extinction curve through the outer parts of the disk indicate grain growth to sizes larger than typical in the interstellar medium (ISM; Throop et al. 2001; Shuping et al. 2003; Miotello et al. 2012). Furthermore, silicate emission profiles observed for a number of proplyds are similar to other low-mass YSOs and reveal that the grains are both larger than normal ISM sizes and chemically processed (Shuping et al. 2006). Though it appears that dust is entrained in the photoevaporative flow, it is still not clear whether this has a significant effect on the growth of grains in the circumstellar disk.

HST-10 (182-413) is one of the largest proplyds in Orion: the outer cometary-shaped IF is roughly 1″ × 2″.5 in size—corresponding to approximately 420 AU × 1000 AU at the distance of Orion6—making HST-10 one of the few proplyds that can be resolved from the ground. Unlike the proplyds near θ1 C, HST-10 has no bright stand-off shock due to wind–wind collisions.
collision. At the center of the cometary envelope is an edge-on silhouette disk with a radius of 0.18 (Bally et al. 1998), corresponding to a radius of 75 AU, with a disk mass of \((7.4 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3} M_\odot\) (Mann & Williams 2009). Though dark in continuum and Hz images, the disk glows in both OI and H2 (Chen et al. 1998; Bally et al. 2000), indicating that the photoevaporative flow off the disk is driven by the far-UV (FUV) rather than the extreme-UV (EUV) radiation field (Johnstone et al. 1998). One of the most notable features of HST-10 is that—unlike other proplyds in Orion—the long-axis of the envelope does not point toward \(\theta^1\) C but instead appears to be aligned with the rotation axis of the disk.

In this Letter, we present high spatial resolution ground-based near-infrared images of the Orion proplyd HST-10 using Keck/NIRC2 with the Laser Guide Star Adaptive Optics system that resolves the IF and disks down to size scales of 23 AU. We also present multi-epoch analysis of HH objects near HST-10 that are aligned with the rotational axis of the silhouette disk—clearly indicating that HST-10 has a strong outflow component. These observations indicate that the orientation and morphology of HST-10 is somewhat more complex than previously thought.

2. NEAR-IR NARROWBAND IMAGING

Narrowband imaging observations of HST-10 were acquired with NIRC2-Keck II in LGS-AO mode on 2006 November 17 using Keck Observatory director’s discretionary time. Data were acquired in the NIRC2 narrow camera providing a scale of 10 mas pixel\(^{-1}\) (field of view: 10'). Observations were carried out using a three-point dither pattern with a total integration time of 15 minutes for each filter. Natural seeing at the beginning of the night was 0.85 in the K band. FWHM of the AO-corrected point-spread function was 80 mas (34 AU at Orion) with a 10%–15% Strehl ratio. Spatial resolution in our images of HST-10 are the among the highest available from the ground and are comparable to optical images achieved by HST. Flat-fielding, sky subtraction, and bad-pixel replacement were handled using standard near-IR techniques. The final images for each filter are shown in Figure 1.

Both Br \(\gamma\) and He \(\iota\) emission are brightest in the northern “head” of the HST-10 envelope and exhibit the same morphology as seen in HST images of similar spatial resolution (Bally et al. 2000). Emission from the ionized gas appears to peak on the side of the envelope facing \(\theta^1\) C, the dominant source of EUV radiation. The HST-10 envelope shows a slight limb brightening in Br \(\gamma\) that is not apparent in the He \(\iota\) emission, as expected for an “inside-out” photon-dominated region (PDR) structure. The 0.4 diameter circular structure apparent at the head of the proplyd is also apparent in H\(\alpha\) and especially O [I] HST images (Bally et al. 2000). This circular structure may be due to the interaction between a jet (also apparent in O [II]) and the edge of the proplyd envelope (e.g., Bally et al. 2005).

The HST-10 proto-planetary disk is resolved at all observed wavelengths (Figure 1). While the edge-on disk remains dark in images of the ionized gas, it is bright in the H2 and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH, 3.3 \(\mu\)m) filters. Furthermore, the H2 and PAH emission appear to come from a thin “skin” on the surface of the disk which is spatially resolved at these wavelengths for the first time. The intensity of both the H2 and PAH emission is clearly brighter on the south and east edges of the disk, which could simply be an inclination effect. However, the discrepancy may also be due to differences in physical conditions at the surface of the disk, which will be discussed further below. H2 and OI emission from the disk are nearly identical in morphology, including a significant “knot” of emission in the center of the southern edge thought to be associated with shock emission from a neutral jet (Bally et al. 2000).

The PAH emission from the disk is similar to that seen in OI and H2 except that no knot is seen on the south side, presumably because PAHs cannot survive in the shock-excited...
3. DUST IN THE HST-10 PHOTOEVAPORATIVE FLOW

Observed proplyd morphology is a consequence of photoevaporation of the circumstellar disk by UV radiation from one side ($\theta^1$ C in this case) in addition to isotropic nebular UV radiation. The combination of these effects produces the familiar IF head–tail morphology aligned with $\theta^1$ C. The photoevaporative flow from a disk subjected to both strong FUV and EUV radiation can be modeled as two coupled Parker winds: a supersonic neutral wind off the disk and a second supersonic ionized wind off the IF (Clarke 2011, and references therein). If the IF is beyond the sonic point for the neutral wind (as is the case for HST-10), then a “shocked neutral shell” is formed just inside of the IF where the gas is down-shocked to subsonic velocities before passing through the IF. Dust grains can be entrained in the photoevaporative flow only if the drag force on the grain (due to the gas) is greater than the gravitational force, and the grain has sufficient time to reach escape velocity from the disk (see Adams et al. 2004). Hence, entrainment is much more likely for small grains. PAH emission from within the proplyd envelope of HST-10 indicates that small grains are indeed entrained in the flow off the disk (Vicente et al. 2013, this work).

The morphology of the PAH emission in HST-10, however, is surprising. If we adopt the model above, we would expect a symmetric distribution of PAH emission tracing the neutral shell just inside the IF—but this is not observed. Instead, the PAH emission is just inside the IF on the east side of the envelope, and non-existent on the west side (this is also evident in the dark extinction lanes visible in the Hα HST image, see Figure 1). The asymmetry is unlikely to be caused by PAH ionization since the 8.6 $\mu$m ionized PAH emission reported by Vicente et al. (2013) also appears primarily on east side of the envelope.

If we assume that PAHs are indeed entrained in the flow from the northern face (and edges) of the disk, then the lack of PAH emission from the NW quadrant of the proplyd “head” (toward $\theta^1$ C) could be due to the “hard” FUV radiation that can penetrate the IF into the neutral PDR, causing either PAH destruction (via two-photon UV absorption) or dissociation of the outer C–H bond that is responsible for 3.3 $\mu$m emission. The PAH emission in the southern “tail,” however, is more problematic: if we assume that the flow off the south side of the disk is driven by the isotropic nebular UV radiation, then it is hard to explain why the PAH emission is only apparent on the east side of the envelope.

An alternative explanation is that HST-10 is subjected to an additional source of FUV radiation from the nearby early-B star $\theta^2$ A (82° to the SE) which drives a photoevaporative flow off both the southern face and eastern edge that is ionized by the diffuse nebular radiation a few disk radii away. PAHs are entrained in the flow off the east edge—creating a “tail” of PAH emission in the SE quadrant of the neutral envelope—but are not entrained in the flow off the south face of the disk, perhaps because gas drag forces cannot overcome gravity. Hence, there is little or no PAH emission from the SW quadrant of the neutral envelope. Clearly, additional observations are needed to better understand PAH emission in photo-evaporating disk systems, but it seems plausible that additional sources of UV radiation may need to be invoked when modeling photo-ablation flows in proplyd systems.

4. PROPER MOTIONS OF HH 517

Using observations obtained with HST WFPC2 in 1998, Bally et al. (2000) reported the presence of a 6300 Å O i jet emerging orthogonal to the HST-10 disk on its south side that was designated HH 517, as well as a pair of compact Hα knots located roughly 7" and 10" due south. Knot s1 has a faint tail extending back toward HST-10. In addition to these features, the northern side of HST-10 exhibits a ring of emission in the IF along the expected jet axis. As shown in Figure 1, faint spikes of Hα emission extend above the proplyd IF at the eastern and western ends of this ring. These features may mark the walls of an outflow cavity protruding beyond the HST-10 IF.

Subsequent HST observations in Cycles 12 and 13 using the ACS/WFC (see Table 1) show that the knots have large proper motions, indicating movement directly away from HST-10. Observed proper motion of the knots is clearly seen in a difference image between Cycles 13 and 6 (Figure 2). Table 2 gives the J2000 coordinates of the photocenters of the knots as measured on the 2004 Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) Hα images along with the measured proper motions based on the three epochs of observations. Inferred proper motion vectors are shown in Figure 2. The uncertainties in the velocities and vector orientations are ~10%.

5. THE ORIENTATION OF HST-10

As noted in the introduction, the (projected) major axis of the HST-10 envelope points about 20° E of $\theta^1$ Ori C, and is aligned
517 s1, s2, and s3 are clearly indicated by the black-white “dipoles.” Right: HST/ACS Hα image of the HST-10 region obtained in Cycle 12. Arrows are inferred proper motion vectors showing expected distance traveled in a time interval of 100 yr. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Left: intensity matched difference of registered ACS Hα images taken in 2005 (Cycle 13) and 1998 (Cycle 6). The observed proper motions of knots HH 517 s1, s2, and s3 are clearly indicated by the black-white “dipoles.” Right: HST/ACS Hα image of the HST-10 region obtained in Cycle 12. Arrows are inferred proper motion vectors showing expected distance traveled in a time interval of 100 yr. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

with the rotation axis of the disk to within a few degrees. This strongly suggests that the proplyd orientation is dictated by outflows associated with the star/disk system rather than the ionizing radiation from θ1 C. Hence, we postulate that the jet and photo-ablation wind from the disk are responsible for the orientation of the HST-10 envelope. If this is the case, then the combined ram pressure of the jet and disk wind should be larger than the ram pressure of the ionized flow off the IF: $P_{\text{jet}} + P_{\text{wind}} > P_{\text{IF}}$. Since ram pressure scales as $n v^2$, the condition can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{n_{\text{jet}} v_{\text{jet}}^2 + n_{\text{II}} v_{\text{II}}^2}{n_{\text{II}} v_{\text{II}}^2} > 1,$$

where $n$ and $v$ are the density and velocity for the jet, neutral flow (‘I’), and IF (‘II’). $v_{\text{I}}$ and $v_{\text{II}}$ can be approximated by the sound speed in each region: $v_{\text{I}} \approx c_{\text{I}} \approx 3$ km s$^{-1}$ and $v_{\text{II}} \approx c_{\text{I}} \approx 11$ km s$^{-1}$. From our proper motion analysis of HH 517 we estimate $v_{\text{jet}}$ to be $>50$ km s$^{-1}$, since the actual jet velocity will be higher than the observed proper motion of the shock front.

The Hα surface brightness of the HST-10 IF can be used to estimate the electron density at the IF using the emission measure and path length (Bally et al. 2000). Using the Cycle 12 ACS WFC F658N images, we find a peak value of about $2 \times 10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ along the northern rim of the proplyd where the HH 517 counter-jet forms a ring-shaped breakout. From the observed projected thickness of the HST-10 IF (~0.1") the LOS path length along the limb-brightened edge of the proplyds is about 200 AU, consistent with the apparent thickness of ~40 AU for the Hα bright layer.

Hence the peak density along the northwestern IF of HST-10 is $n_e \approx 7 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$.

Since the flow off the disk must also pass (eventually) through the IF, we can calculate $n_I$ from $n_{\text{II}}$ using simple mass conservation: $M_{\text{I}} = M_{\text{IF}}$ assuming spherical geometry and our adopted velocities. Assuming spherical geometry, a disk radius of 75 AU, IF distance from the disk of 250 AU, $v_{\text{I}} \approx c_{\text{I}} \approx 3$ km s$^{-1}$ and $v_{\text{II}} \approx c_{\text{I}} \approx 11$ km s$^{-1}$, we estimate a molecular hydrogen density at approximately the disk surface of $n_{\text{I}} \approx 2 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$.

The Hα surface brightness from the HST images can also be used to estimate the electron density in the HH 517 knots. Using the F658N image, we find $I(H\alpha) = 9 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ arcsec$^{-2}$ and hence EM $= 4.4 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-6}$ pc for HH 517 knot s2. Assuming spherical symmetry, $L(s2) \approx 124$ AU, hence $n_{\text{I}}(s2) \approx 9 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$. This can also be considered an upper bound for the overall jet density, $n_{\text{jet}}$, since the HH regions are compressed, post-shock gas.

Inserting the estimated velocities and densities into Equation (1), we find:

$$\frac{n_{\text{jet}} v_{\text{jet}}^2 + n_{\text{II}} v_{\text{II}}^2}{n_{\text{II}} v_{\text{II}}^2} \approx 5$$

suggesting that the jet and neutral wind from HST-10 are indeed powerful enough to re-orient the envelope along the disk axis rather than the axis toward θ1 C. Note, however, that the adopted $n_{\text{jet}}$ is an upper bound on the jet density, which drives the ram pressure ratio lower; conversely, $v_{\text{jet}}$ is a lower bound on the jet velocity since it is based on the observed HH object proper motions, which drives the pressure ratio higher.
(much higher, in fact, since the pressure increases with $v^2$). Our comparison of the ram pressures shows that a jet and outflow-dominated orientation (as observed) are plausible, though a more detailed analysis and modeling are required to understand how the interaction between the jet and the photo-ablation flow produces the observed IF.

This result suggests that for protostars far from the ionizing source, envelope orientation may be dominated by ram pressure associated with star/disk outflows, rather than the pressure exerted by the IF. A review of protostar orientations in Robberto et al. (2013) shows, however, that nearly all protostar orientations are in fact aligned with the ionizing source regardless of projected distance, making HST-10 unique. HST-10 also has one of the largest silhouette disks among protostars with bright IFs (the largest silhouette disk in Orion, d114-426, has no obvious IF and is thought to reside in the foreground of the nebula). If the photo-ablation wind and jet scale up with the disk size, then this suggests that most of the protostars have disks that are simply too small to re-orient the protostar envelope and that HST-10 is unique in that it still has a large disk and is close enough to both $\theta^1$ C and $\theta^2$ A to produce a bright IF.
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