Cluster observations of particle acceleration up to supra-thermal energies in the cusp region related to low-frequency wave activity – possible implications for the substorm initiation process
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Abstract. The purpose of our study is to investigate the way particles are accelerated up to supra-thermal energies in the cusp diamagnetic cavities. For this reason we have examined a number of Cluster cusp crossings, originally identified by Zhang et al. (2005), for the years 2001 and 2002 using data from RAPID, STAFF, EFW, CIS, PEACE, and FGM experiments. In the present study we focus on two particular cusp crossings on 25 March 2002 and on 10 April 2002 which demonstrate in a clear way the general characteristics of the events in our survey. Both events exhibit very sharp spatial boundaries seen both in CNO (primarily single-charged oxygen of ionospheric origin based on CIS observations) and H+ flux increases within the RAPID energy range with the magnetic field intensity being anti-correlated. Unlike the first event, the second one shows also a moderate electron flux increase. The fact that the duskward electric field $E_y$ has relatively low values $<5\text{ mV/m}$ while the local wave activity is very intense provides a strong indication that particle energization is caused primarily by wave-particle interactions. The wave power spectra and propagation parameters during these cusp events are examined in detail. It is concluded that the high ion fluxes and at the same time the presence or absence of any sign of energization in the electrons clearly shows that the particle acceleration depends on the wave power near the local particle gyrofrequency and on the persistence of the wave-particle interaction process before particles escape from cusp region. Furthermore, the continuous existence of energetic O+ ions suggests that energetic O+ populations are of spatial nature at least for the eight events that we have studied so far.
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1 Introduction

By definition, the polar cusps are near zero magnetic field magnitude and funnel-shaped areas between field lines that map to the dayside and nightside of the magnetopause surface. They are the primary regions for direct entry of magnetosheath/solar wind plasma into the magnetosphere (Russell, 2000). Yet, they are very dynamic with variable plasma structure (Haerendel and Paschmann, 1975). Cusps are also associated with strong plasma wave activity ranging from very low frequencies (Le et al., 2001; Savin et al., 2004) up to electron cyclotron and plasma frequencies (Pickett et al., 2001; Khotyaintsev et al., 2004). In these regions fluxes of accelerated magnetosheath-like plasma particles are observed. Electron and ion populations which in our case have temperatures higher than the ambient plasma adjacent to the cusps together with very strong wave activity, particularly at
the low frequencies, suggest the existence of wave-particle interaction processes in these regions.

It is well known that O\(^+\) ions of ionospheric origin are an important and occasionally dominant part of the plasma sheet population (Daglis and Axford, 1996; Sauvaud et al., 2004; Kistler et al., 2005, 2006). Since O\(^+\) ions are rather cold, the question of efficient acceleration of these ionospheric ions and their associated escape into the magnetosphere is of vital importance. Perhaps a variety of acceleration mechanisms acting successively on the O\(^+\) ions are responsible for raising the particle energy from about several eV to tens of keV.

A number of studies have investigated the energization and outflow of ions of ionospheric origin up to \(~\sim 40\) keV. For example, several satellite observations have reported upward acceleration of ionospheric ions in auroral region in the energy range 0.1–10 keV (Gorney et al., 1981; Peterson et al., 1988). Observations of the Swedish satellite Viking provided important information on ion acceleration at the topside ionosphere, both in auroral regions and in the cusp region (Lundin et al., 1987; Thelin et al., 1990). Viking data also revealed ionospheric O\(^+\) ion outflows with energies up to 40 keV (Lundin and Eliasson, 1991).

Cusp originating O\(^+\) ion outflow is one of the major sources of the magnetospheric heavy ion populations (for recent reviews, see Yau and André, 1997; André and Yau, 1997; Moore et al., 1999). For example, Nilsson et al. (2004) analyzing in detail a particular case of high-altitude oxygen ion energization and outflow were led to the conclusion that the observed O\(^+\) ion outflow emanates from the cusp region. Also a number of studies (Dubouloz et al., 2001; Bouhram et al., 2003, 2004) had the same inference from the investigation of O\(^+\) outflow over the polar cap region. Arvelius et al. (2005) further investigated the possible mechanisms causing the acceleration of O\(^+\) at altitudes above \(7 R_E\). These included centrifugal acceleration, parallel electric fields (potential drops) and wave-particle interactions. Based on their estimates they argued that acceleration due to centrifugal force and parallel electric fields is inadequate of explaining their observations. Rather, they supported the idea that low-frequency wave activity close to oxygen gyrofrequency could be the responsible mechanism for accelerating the O\(^+\) ions. The previous idea was also the main inference made by André et al. (1990); Bogdanova et al. (2004) who concluded that O\(^+\) ion heating and outflow is caused by resonant interaction at the local ion gyrofrequency with broadband, low-frequency waves. Although all the above O\(^+\) related studies provide important insights regarding O\(^+\) ion energization and outflow they have the disadvantage that they are limited to energies below \(~\sim 40\) keV (upper energy limit of Cluster/CIS instrument).

On the other hand, Chen et al. (1997, 1998); Chen and Fritz (1998a,b) using observations from the Polar satellite have provided many evidences that energetic particles of solar wind/magnetosheath origin are energized in situ in the high-altitude polar cusp reaching energies up to several MeV and called these events cusp energetic particle (CEP) events. Additional evidence supporting the previous idea has been provided by Sheldon et al. (1998). Based on data acquired from Polar/CEPPAD these authors reported the discovery of a trapped energetic electron population in the outer cusp (7–9 \(R_E\)) with energies extending from below 30 keV to \(~\sim 2\) MeV. According to their analysis, the peak in the observed phase space density (or flux) at that location suggested both that the particles were being accelerated in situ and that they were a potential source population for diffusion into the radiation belts. Chen et al. (1998); Chen and Fritz (1998a) also showed that the intensity of energetic particle flux was correlated with enhancement in low-frequency wave turbulence something which was also reported by Pissarenko et al. (2001). Additionally, Fritz et al. (2003) by comparing the time-intensity profiles of O\(^{\pm+2}\) and He\(^{++}\) ions pointed out that since the seed population of the cusp energetic O\(^{\pm+2}\) is of ionospheric origin, and since the seed population of the cusp energetic He\(^{++}\) is solar wind plasma, the similarity of their profiles suggests that both seed populations are energized by a common acceleration mechanism active in the polar cusp. Although there are many indications of local particle acceleration in the cusp this view has been questioned.

### Table 1. Eight Cluster cusp crossings that have been investigated and which show similar features. The shaded areas denote the events selected and presented in this study along with some of their characteristics.

| Date             | Time             | Duration in the cusp | \(|E_y|\) (mV/m) | Magnetic field | Energetic particles |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 21 April 2001    | 21:47–22:53 UT   | 66 min               | \(<9\)          | turbulent depressed | ions+electrons       |
| 24 March 2001    | 09:36–09:56 UT   | 20 min               | \(<1\)          | turbulent depressed | ions                |
| 4 March 2002     | 08:50–09:48 UT   | 58 min               | \(<10\)         | turbulent depressed | ions+electrons       |
| 11 March 2002    | 13:24–13:38 UT   | 14 min               | N/A             | turbulent depressed | ions+electrons       |
| 16 March 2002    | 06:11–08:11 UT   | 120 min              | \(<4\)          | turbulent depressed | ions                |
| 25 March 2002    | 07:28–07:48 UT   | 20 min               | \(<4\)          | turbulent depressed | ions                |
| 30 March 2002    | 13:29–14:42 UT   | 73 min               | \(<8\)          | turbulent depressed | ions+electrons       |
| 10 April 2002    | 22:54–23:11 UT   | 17 min               | \(<3\)          | turbulent depressed | ions+electrons       |
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by Trattner et al. (2001) who provided an alternative explanation for the cusp energetic particles, suggesting that they are accelerated at the quasi-parallel bow shock, then being transported downstream and entering the cusp along newly reconnected field lines or some other solar wind entry mechanism.

So far there have not been systematic studies in the region of the polar cusp concerning the possible connection between supra-thermal singly ionized oxygen ions above $\sim 40$ keV with low-frequency waves. In the present study observations are extended to O$^+$ ion energies above $\sim 90$ keV, examining the possible role of wave-particle interactions in producing these supra-thermal ions and discussing the possible contribution of these extremely non-adiabatic particles in the substorm initiation process. To our knowledge, these are the first direct observations of such kind of ions associated with intense low-frequency electromagnetic wave activity.

Our analysis focuses on two representative Cluster cusp crossings among the eight we have investigated during the years 2001 and 2002 (Table 1). All the crossings exhibit several common features: (1) very well-defined spatial boundaries in CNO flux enhancements linked to singly ionized oxygen heating; (2) turbulent and depressed magnetic field coinciding with intense low-frequency electric and magnetic wave activity; (3) weak DC electric field activity. The concurrence of the supra-thermal particles (both ions and electrons) with the broadband electromagnetic emissions below the electron cyclotron frequency suggests that these particles are generated locally in the cusp region through a local resonant process with the waves.

2 Observations

2.1 Instrumentation

This study is based on data acquired from RAPID (Research with Adaptive Particle Imaging Detectors) experiment on board Cluster (Wilken et al., 1997). The time resolution of the energetic proton and electron data is $4$ s (1 spin) while the oxygen (CNO) has a $16$ s time resolution. RAPID ion instrument consists of 3 identical heads – 60 degrees opening angle for each head, in total of 180 degrees in the polar direction. As satellite rotates, RAPID instrument is able to construct the whole unit sphere ion distribution in 4 s. Unfortunately, the middle head (in the ecliptic plane) is desensitive with the time. Nevertheless, we still have the other two full functional heads, with total opening angle of 120 degrees, larger than most energetic particle flight sensors on board other satellites. By using the time-of-flight technique (Wilken et al., 1997), oxygen ions are well separated from hydrogen ions giving us the confidence that the ion data is reliable without any doubt. The wave data we used come from STAFF (Spatio Temporal Analysis of Field Fluctuations) experiment (Cornilleau-Wehrlin et al., 1997). STAFF consists of a three-axis search coil magnetometer linked to a processing unit. The processing unit has two parts, the waveform analyser which measures the waveform of each magnetic field component at frequencies up to $10$ Hz ($180$ Hz in burst mode), and the Spectrum Analyser which combines the 3 magnetic components of the waves with the two electric components measured by the Electric Fields and Waves experiment (EFW) (Gustafsson et al., 1997) to calculate in real time the $5 \times 5$ Hermitian cross-spectral matrix at $27$ frequencies distributed logarithmically in the frequency range $8$ Hz to $4$ kHz. The plasma data are obtained from the CIS (Cluster Ion Spectrometer) experiment (Rème et al., 1997). CIS experiment consists of two different instruments, a Hot Ion Analyser (HIA) and a time-of-flight ion Composition Distribution Function (CODIF). The CODIF instrument is a high-sensitivity mass-resolving spectrometer with an instantaneous $360^\circ \times 8^\circ$ field-of-view to measure full three-dimensional distribution functions of the major ion species (in as much as they contribute significantly to the total mass density of the plasma), within one spin period of the spacecraft. Typically these include H$^+$, He$^+$, He$^{++}$ and O$^+$, with energies between $\sim 0$–$40$ keV/e. The Hot Ion Analyser (HIA) instrument does not offer mass resolution but has two $180^\circ$ field-of-view sections with two different sensitivities. For each sensitivity section a full $4\pi$ steradian scan is completed every spin of the spacecraft giving a full three-dimensional distribution of ions in the energy range $\sim 5$ eV/e–$32$ keV/e. Provider of the electron plasma data is the PEACE (Plasma Electron and Current Experiment) experiment (Johnstone et al., 1997) which consists of two sensors, HEEA (High Energy Electron Analyser) and LEEA (Low Energy Electron Analyser), mounted on diametrically opposite sides of the spacecraft. They are designed to measure the three-dimensional velocity distributions of electrons in the range of $0.6$ eV to $\sim 26$ keV during a spacecraft spin. In standard mode, HEEA measures the range of $35$ eV to $26$ keV and LEEA measures the range of $0.6$ eV to $1$ keV, although either can be set to cover any subset of the energy range. The DC magnetic field data are provided from the FGM (Flux/Gate Magnetometer) instrument (Balogh et al., 1997) with a time resolution of $4$ s.

2.2 25 March 2002 cusp crossing

On 25 March 2002 the Cluster spacecraft entered the south polar cusp region at $\sim 07$:28 UT from the magnetosheath on their way to the plasma mantle. The trajectory of the Cluster spacecraft and the magnetospheric field model (T89 model) are shown in Fig. 1. The Cluster constellation was located in the high-altitude cusp region ($\sim 10.1$ $R_E$ geocentric distance) with the average spatial coordinates having values $X=\pm2.89 R_E$, $Y=\pm2.20 R_E$ and $Z=\sim 9.52 R_E$, in GSM coordinates taking as a reference SC1. The event took place during relatively low geomagnetic activity ($Dst$ of $\sim 45$ nT at 07:30 UT) with the IMF $B_\parallel$ and $B_z$ having values $\sim 12$ nT.
and \( \sim 9 \) nT, respectively. Our primary interest is the time interval 07:28–07:48 UT during which Cluster was inside the polar cusp region observing intense ion acceleration together with strong broadband electromagnetic wave emissions.

Figure 2 shows an overview of the observations made by Cluster 1 during the inbound crossing of the exterior cusp region by Cluster spacecraft. Panels from top to bottom show: (a), (b), and (c) differential fluxes of energetic CNO group species, protons, and electrons, respectively, measured by RAPID, (d), (e) \( \text{H}^+ \), \( \text{O}^+ \) spectrograms up to 40 keV, (f) pitch-angle spectrogram of high-energy \( \text{O}^+ \) ions, (g) ion density measured by CIS/HIA, (h) duskward DC electric field measured by EFW, and (i) magnetic field intensity obtained from FGM instrument.

The most prominent feature in Fig. 2 is the heavy ion (predominantly energetic oxygen) and proton flux (with no observable energy dispersion) increases (panels a and b) which last for about 20 min. Unlike ions, electrons do not show any activity with their fluxes remaining at a background level (panel c). While Cluster spacecraft are entering the cusp region they observe intense plasma energization up to energies of 40 keV (panel d). Panel (e) demonstrates a very interesting feature of the particular event where singly ionized oxygen of ionospheric origin is energized up to at least 40 keV of energy where there is not any observable energy dispersion between the different energy levels providing a hint of local simultaneous energization. Since RAPID instrument is not capable of resolving mass per charge of the CNO group, this observation provides us a strong evidence that CIS/CODIF measurements are linked to RAPID measurements with singly ionized oxygen energization extending from thermal up to supra-thermal energies comprising the low energy band (92.2–309.6 keV) of the CNO group. Of course, the presence of energetic oxygen population other than \( \text{O}^+ \) cannot be ruled out since once we are inside the cusp region ionospheric and solar wind plasmas mix. However, our observations demonstrate that \( \text{O}^+ \) is the major constituent of the hypothetically mixed oxygen population and for this reason in the rest of this paper the CNO group will be referred to as singly ionized oxygen. In order to prove our point that the local heating and energization of these ions are being observed, in panel (f) we show pitch-angle information for high-energy \( \text{O}^+ \) ions (10–40 keV) where a 90 deg pitch-angle distribution is obvious. In addition to the 90 deg pitch-angle distribution we observe also a parallel (0 deg) component, which is originating closer to the ionosphere. As shown in panel (g), there is no plasma density enhancement, indicative that cusp is populated by injections of magnetosheath plasma when Cluster moves from the magnetosheath into the cusp. Panel (i) demonstrates a common feature of cusp diamagnetic cavities where magnetic field is highly depressed and turbulent. The relatively low electric field activity (\( \left| E_y \right| < 4 \text{ mV/m} \), panel h) implies that the ion flux enhancements are not related to some kind of large-scale inductive effects taking place at least locally. As a matter of fact, this electric field is related to the plasma convection through the cusp (convexion electric field) where plasma velocity exhibits relatively low values (not shown).

The first two panels in Fig. 3 show CNO and \( \text{H}^+ \) intensities obtained from RAPID instrument. The main feature here is the prominent flux enhancement in both ion species coincided with the time that Cluster spacecraft spent inside the cusp region. Panel (c) presents dynamic spectra obtained from the low-frequency waveform data. What is plotted in panels (d) and (e) is the integrated power of the turbulent spectra in the frequency bands 0.1–2.0 Hz and 2.0–12.0 Hz,
Fig. 2. An overview of ion and electron flux measurements (panels a–c) obtained from Cluster/RAPID between 06:30–09:00 UT on 25 March 2002. The H\(^+\) and O\(^+\) CODIF energy-time spectrograms integrated over 4\(\pi\) are plotted in panels (d) and (e). Panel (f) presents pitch-angle information for high-energy O\(^+\) ions. The HIA ion density is plotted in panel (g). Panel (h) shows the duskward DC electric field while in the last panel what is plotted is the magnetic field intensity where it is clear its turbulent and depressed character during the crossing of the cusp diamagnetic cavity. Panel (a) has a 16 s time resolution while the data in the rest of the panels are spin (4 s) averaged.
Fig. 3. Overview of RAPID and STAFF-SC power spectra from Cluster 1 for the 25 March 2002 cusp event. The first two panels are CNO and proton differential fluxes for the first energy channel obtained from RAPID spectrometer. The third panel shows the dynamic spectra of the magnetic waveform data in the 0–12 Hz frequency range. The last two panels represent the integrated power in the 0.1–2.0 Hz and 2.0–12.0 Hz frequency ranges with 0.1 Hz being the lowest frequency that can be resolved from the STAFF waveform data.

respectively. One can see the bursty nature of the magnetic fluctuations as Cluster enters the cusp region. By comparing the last two panels it is easy to notice that the integrated power in the band 0.1–2.0 Hz is almost two orders of magnitude higher than the power in the 2.0–12.0 Hz frequency band. The latter implies that the power spectral density is increasing as we proceed to lower frequencies approaching the local \( H^+ \) and \( O^+ \) cyclotron frequencies which, during the time we are examining, were fluctuating between 0.1–1 Hz and 0.01–0.1 Hz, respectively. Unfortunately, STAFF wave
Fig. 4. Color-coded spectrograms of wave propagation parameters for the cusp event recorded on 25 March 2002 for the time interval 07:28–07:48 UT. The first two panels are the dynamic spectra obtained from the Spectrum Analyser (SA) in the frequency range 8 Hz–4 kHz. Panel (c) presents the planarity $F$ of the polarization, panel (d) the polarization ellipticity, and panel (e) the parallel component of the Poynting vector normalized by its standard deviation. See text for detailed explanation. The white/black line shown in the panels denotes the local electron gyrofrequency.

form data cannot go as low as 0.01 Hz; the lowest frequency that can be attained is 0.1 Hz. From panels (a) and (b) we can see that the ion flux spikes are closely related to the magnetic power fluctuations $\Delta B^2$ seen in panels (d) and (e) (blue arrows). The correlation between the ion differential flux increases and the $\Delta B^2$ may be interpreted as a conversion of the turbulent magnetic field energy density into ion’s kinetic energy providing a strong evidence for resonant interaction
between the waves and the particles. Also, the increase in the power spectral density as we approach the $O^+$ gyrofrequency is of particular importance if we consider a resonant ion acceleration.

Figure 4 presents polarization characteristics of the electromagnetic waves observed. The first and second panel show the magnetic and electric power spectral densities which respectively are the sum of the three magnetic components obtained from STAFF instrument and the sum of the two electric components obtained from EFW instrument. The thin white line in both spectrograms is the local electron cyclotron frequency based on FGM magnetic field data. The main feature here is a broadband electromagnetic emission at low frequencies between 07:28 and 07:48 UT coinciding with the time that Cluster spacecraft spends inside the cusp region. The wave magnetic field component appears to have a upper frequency cutoff at $\sim 500$ Hz, which is about one half of the electron cyclotron frequency, $f_{ce}$. The next two panels present different aspects of the polarization of the wave magnetic field. The planarity $F$ of the polarization, as graphed in panel (c), is obtained from the singular-value decomposition (SVD) of the magnetic spectral matrix (Santolík et al., 2003). The planarity of the polarization is defined as

$$F = 1 - \sqrt{w_1/w_3},$$

where $w_1$ is the smallest singular value, and $w_3$ is the largest one. For $F=0$ the three axes have equal lengths and the ellipsoid of the polarization becomes a sphere, so there is no preferred plane of polarization for field fluctuations. If $F=1$ the ellipsoid degenerates into an ellipse. Ratio of the two axes of the polarization ellipse is defined as

$$L_p = w_2/w_3.$$  

(2)

If $L_p=0$, meaning that the first two singular values are zero, then the polarization is linear and the remaining non-zero singular value $w_3$ defines the direction of the fluctuations. If $0<L_p<1$ the polarization is elliptic and if $L_p=1$ the polarization is circular. For the latter general case, a value of $F$ close to 1.0 corresponds to the presence of a single plane wave.

Since $L_p$ is positive it is convenient to combine its value with the sense of elliptic or circular polarization (that is, the sense of rotation of the magnetic vector around $B_0$). Thus, we define the ellipticity of the polarization to be $E_B = L_sL_p$ (panel d), $L_s = -1$ when the polarization is left-handed with respect to $B_0$ (sense of the ion cyclotron motion), and $L_s = +1$ for right-handed polarization (sense of the electron cyclotron motion). For linear polarization, $L_s$ is undefined, but both $E_B$ and $L_p$ are zero (for a detailed description of the SVD method see Santolík et al., 2002, 2003, and references therein).

The properties of the waves are highly variable. As can be easily seen in panel (c), the broadband emission has a low planarity with $F$ being mostly between 0.3 and 0.7 corresponding to a mixture of a large number of different plane waves simultaneously present in the $k$ spectrum. This relatively low value does not permit us to have clear results of the wave polarization and propagation parameters given in panels (d) and (e) since they are based on the assumption of a single plane wave. Hence, the fourth panel, which represents the ellipticity of polarization, indicates, with a high level of uncertainty, the sense of polarization with respect to the local magnetic field. Values below $-0.5$ mean left-hand polarized wave, values above $+0.5$ correspond to right-hand polarized waves, while values between $-0.5$ and $+0.5$ indicate a high level of uncertainty in determining the polarization sense. Thus, there is not a clear result on a predominant sense of polarization (from nearly linear to nearly circular polarization), something that has been noted before (André et al., 1990; Le et al., 2001), and this might be similar to the case discussed in Santolík et al. (2002) where there is a superposition of linearly polarized waves.

Having full vector measurements for magnetic field and the two components of the electric field in the spin plane, the vector of the mean Poynting flux can be calculated as the average of $S=E\times B/2\mu_0$ (Santolík et al., 2001), where $\mu_0$ is the vacuum permeability. Panel (e) presents a parameter which is related to the sign of the $z$-component of the Poynting vector. Its absolute value indicates the reliability of the result. Red color indicates that the wave vector is in the direction of the Earth’s magnetic field $B_0$, while blue color indicates the opposite. As can be seen, during the time that Cluster is inside the cusp region the value of the Poynting $z$-component is around zero implying a high level of uncertainty in determining the direction of the emission relative to the Earth’s magnetic field. However, for some times and frequencies (for example around 07:28 UT, $\sim 100$ Hz) it is possible to estimate the predominant sign of the parallel component of the wave Poynting flux which is positive in that case; thus pointing in the direction of the geomagnetic field.

2.3 10 April 2002 cusp crossing

Figures 5–8 refer to the second event recorded while Cluster spacecraft were traversing the south polar cusp region travelling inbound on their way to the tail lobe (Fig. 5). According to Fig. 6, Cluster constellation remained inside the cusp for about 17 min from 22:54 UT until 23:11 UT where magnetic field appeared highly turbulent and depressed (panel i). Soon after, the satellites entered the tail lobe region where plasma density showed a clear dropout (panel g). Similar to the first event, Cluster during its stay in the cusp region recorded an injection of energetic ions (panels a and b) coincided with an acceleration up to 40 keV of both protons and singly ionized oxygens (panels d and e). Panel (f) substantiates our aforementioned point that local heating and energization of high-energy $O^+$ ions is being observed by showing a dominant trapped particle population (90 deg-pitch-angle particles are
obvious). Because the CODIF instrument has a 40 keV upper limit, the heating of O\(^+\) is, if anything, underestimated, as there may be a significant energization of these ions to energies greater than 40 keV. In antithesis to the first event, we now have also an energetic electron energization with no observable time dispersion seen in the low energy channels of RAPID instrument (panel c). It is interesting to note that all the particle energization that took place inside the cusp was accompanied by low electric field activity (|\(E_y|<3\) mV/m, panel h) similar to the first event.

At this point we would like to stress that the green pattern seen below about 300 eV in panel (e), while being in the magnetosheath, is due to contamination by the very high H\(^+\) fluxes there. The reason for that is the fact that occasionally there are two uncorrelated H\(^+\) ions, one generating only a “start” time-of-flight signal and the other generating only a “stop” time-of-flight signal, and if the time difference between the two signals coincides with that of an O\(^+\) ion this gives a H\(^+\) “ghost” in the O\(^+\) spectrogram. There are not O\(^+\) ions, or if there are O\(^+\) ions there their fluxes are overestimated due to this contamination by the H\(^+\) ions. The same is true for the yellow-green pattern at about 1 keV in the cusp (around 22:56 UT). However, the higher-energy O\(^+\) ions (above \(\sim15\) keV) observed in the cusp, for which we are interested in, are “real” O\(^+\); there is no contamination by H\(^+\) at these energies, where counting rates are much lower.

In Fig. 7 we demonstrate the direct relation between the energetic particles and the electromagnetic waves present in the cusp region. Similarly to the first event, note the very good correlation between the CNO/O\(^+\) flux and the integrated power increases (spikes denoted by blue arrows). Additionally, we have an electron energization which correlates very well with the intense broadband electromagnetic emissions mainly below \(\sim300\) Hz (panels b, c, and d) which occasionally reach the local electron cyclotron frequency. Figure 8 has the same format as to Fig. 4. For these waves we cannot infer any conclusions about the wave polarization and propagation characteristics since there is again a mixture of a large number of different plane waves simultaneously present in the \(k\) spectrum. Before \(\sim22:45\) UT we can notice a clearly right-hand polarized emission at a few hundreds of hertz. These waves, showing similarity to the lion roar emissions (Maksimovic et al., 2001), propagate in the whistler mode, with a significant parallel component of the Poynting flux.

3 Discussion

We have presented observations of low-frequency electromagnetic waves that are present in the high-altitude polar cusp region in close relation with supra-thermal particle energization. In the following we make an attempt to shed some light on aspects pertaining to the present study and discuss any implications that our results may have on magnetospheric dynamics.
Fig. 6. Same format as Fig. 2 but for April 10, 2002. Note that in this case, as opposed to Fig. 2, there is an electron energization as well.
to MeV) mirror around the minimum magnetic field near the cusp center and drift in closed drift shells around it in agreement with observations of trapped energetic electrons with energies >50 keV made by Zong et al. (2005). Similarly, modeling particles trajectories in the cusp region, Zong et al. (2005) have shown that protons with energies 1, 10, and 100 keV can be stably trapped with the drifting orbits encircling the outer cusp region. The above process is more efficient during quiet times (northward IMF, like in our events) where magnetospheric convection is reduced and the convection electric field may be only 5% of its value during active times. In fact, based on energetic particles distributions (Chen et al., 1997; Chen and Fritz, 2000), the high-altitude cusp is not a stable trapping region but rather a dynamic trapping region that can only temporarily confine charged particles (Chen et al., 1997). In this way the Earth’s outer cusp

Fig. 7. Similar to Fig. 3 for the event recorded on 10 April 2002.
is capable of both trapping and accelerating charged particles in situ providing a source population for diffusion into the radiation belts and geostationary orbit region (Fritz et al., 2000).

3.2 Energization of O\(^+\) ions

According to the previous section it is evident that outer cusp is a region capable of trapping and accelerating outgoing O\(^+\) from the ionosphere. This upward moving of low-energy O\(^+\) ions with energies typically below 10 keV originates from a heating region whose altitude location extends up to 6 \(R_E\) (Bogdanova et al., 2004), traditionally called the cleft ion.

Fig. 8. Same format as Fig. 4 but for 10 April 2002.
fountain. Therefore it is legitimate to conclude that the cleft ion fountain is the source which provides the outer cusp region with low-energy O\textsuperscript{+} ions which undergo further acceleration up to supra-thermal energies while being trapped. The fact that there is a co-existence of two oxygen populations inside the cusp region can be attributed to the fact that lower energy population registered by CIS instrument is a newly arrived population in the outer cusp region accelerated perpendicular to the magnetic field (Dubouloz et al., 1998; Bouhram et al., 2002, 2004) in accordance to our observations. On the other hand, the higher energy O\textsuperscript{+} ions registered by RAPID, although having the same origin with those of lower energy, is a population that has undergone a continuous energization due to its confinement in the outer cusp trapping region. Thus, assuming that wave-particle interactions are the responsible mechanism for accelerating O\textsuperscript{+} ions, then it is easy to understand that the energies that RAPID measures is more likely to be produced locally in the high-altitude polar cusp. The above idea is also supported by our observations where the wave power variations near the local ion gyrofrequency match very well with the ion’s differential flux spikes providing a convincing evidence that there is a strong coupling between ions and waves with their spectral power being the cause of the ion’s flux modulation.

### 3.3 Energization of electrons

As stated by Zong et al. (2005); Asikainen and Mursula (2006), energetic electrons above \( \sim 25 \) keV in the exterior cusp cannot be the product of the reconnection process in the adjacent magnetopause. For typical values of plasma density, velocity, and magnetic field change across the magnetopause, the estimated maximum energy gain per particle remains below 1 keV which means that particles cannot be energized to tens or hundreds of keV in the reconnection process by bulk acceleration. Another possible mechanism for electron acceleration is the Fermi mechanism in the quasi-parallel bow shock region. Although this mechanism is considered to be capable of accelerating incident solar wind ions to energies up to about 200 keV, it cannot accelerate electrons efficiently (Lee, 1982). Hence, the acceleration mechanism involved with the electron flux enhancement during the 10 April 2002 cusp crossing does not have to do neither with a reconnection process nor with a bow shock related process. Thus, based on our observations it would be legitimate to conclude that energetic electron acceleration is driven by resonant wave-particle interactions taking place locally.

The fact that the high-altitude cusp behaves as a dynamic trapping region that can only temporarily confine charged particles (Chen et al., 1997) forcing them to execute drift motion due to the magnetic field gradient may provide the connective link that is missing for explaining how particles are accelerated to supra-thermal energies before escaping from the cusp region. As Pickett et al. (2001) showed for the case of electrons, during a cusp crossing event the parallel kinetic energy of an electron undergoing normal cyclotron resonance when travelling in the opposite and same direction with whistler waves having frequencies \( \sim 1/3 f_{ce} \) was \( \sim 0.45 \) keV and \( \sim 0.1 \) keV, respectively (Table 1 in Pickett et al., 2001). The fact that RAPID instrument registers energetic electrons above \( \sim 25 \) keV implies that if this mechanism is responsible for the acceleration of these particles then the electrons should undergo multiple resonances before escaping from the cusp region.

#### 3.4 Origin of kinetic Alfvén and whistler mode waves observed in the cusp

Many possibilities have been discussed in the literature regarding the origin of the broadband low-frequency electromagnetic emissions in the cusp turbulent region. A reasonable expectation is that the whistler mode waves just below \( f_{ce} \) could possibly be auroral hiss that has propagated to the cusp region, since their frequencies around 1 kHz are typical of hiss (Pickett et al., 2001). However, the most likely mechanism for producing whistler mode waves is the magnetic reconnection process active adjacent to the exterior cusp region (Pickett et al., 2001). The latter process can be identified by the observation of FTEs where recently reconnected flux tubes filled with magnetosheath-like plasma are being dragged around the magnetosphere after reconnection (e.g. Khotyaintsev et al., 2004). Similarly, Błękci et al. (2003) has suggested that kinetic Alfvén waves at frequencies below the ion cyclotron frequency could be the result of plasma acceleration at a reconnection site. In particular, the Alfvenic perturbations that originate from the reconnection site (Haerendel et al., 1978) could propagate along the newly-reconnected flux tubes into the high-altitude cusp region. On the other hand, in a recent study Grison et al. (2005) have shown that there are evidences of kinetic Alfvén waves being generated locally in the high-altitude cusp region. They based their inference on the fact that proton flows due to the outflow from a reconnection site are closely associated with a strong ULF electromagnetic activity. They argued that a plausible mechanism for the local generation of kinetic Alfvén waves could be a current instability where parallel currents provide the “free” energy for the wave excitation.

A remarkable thing that has been noted before (Pickett et al., 2001) and we see it also in our observations is the fact that at frequencies above the local proton cyclotron frequency both left-handed and right-handed waves are observed. In the plasma dispersion relation the left-handed/Alfvén waves cannot propagate either in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field or at frequencies above the ion cyclotron frequency. Consequently, one should expect the waves observed above the proton cyclotron frequency to be in the right-handed/whistler mode. The fact that some of the waves exhibit left-handed polarization above the ion cyclotron frequency could be possibly attributed to a random superposition of linearly polarized waves (Santolík et al.,

---

www.ann-geophys.net/26/653/2008/  
Ann. Geophys., 26, 653–669, 2008
Fig. 9. Electron anisotropy data from PEACE experiment for the 10 April 2002 cusp crossing. What shown is the ratio of the parallel electron temperature to the perpendicular electron temperature for the time period that Cluster 1 was inside the cusp region.

In our case, however, this is still an open question which merits attention but is beyond the scope of the present study.

Following the same procedure as Pickett et al. (2001) we examine if the waves in the 10 April 2002 event could be generated locally by the whistler-mode cyclotron instability at the exact time they are observed. Figure 9 is a plot of electron temperature anisotropy from Cluster 1, $T_{e/}/T_{e/}$, which covers the time period during which the Cluster spacecraft were inside the cusp region observing the low-frequency waves. In this figure we clearly see that during most of this time the ratio is above 1. Thus, we can rule out that these waves were generated locally by the cyclotron instability at the exact time they were observed, since this instability requires $T_{e/}/T_{e/} < 1$ to grow. However, as shown in Fig. 9, there are still some time periods of short duration where the ratio $T_{e/}/T_{e/} < 1$, allowing for the possibility that these waves were generated elsewhere in the cusp region but observed by the spacecraft as they propagated away from the generation site (Pickett et al., 2001). Even in the case where cyclotron instability is generated locally the electron energies that could cause the instability to take place range from a few eV up to several keV (Pickett et al., 2001), far below the energies that RAPID measures. Consequently, it is reasonable to conclude that the supra-thermal electrons above $\sim 25$ keV are the effect and not the cause of the observed waves.

3.5 Supra-thermal ionospheric oxygen ions in the magnetotail and their relation to substorm initiation process

During the course of auroral expansion toward the poles we expect the ionosphere to supply ions to the plasma sheet from the substorm initiation region in the inner magnetotail up to very distant locations. Based on a simulation work by Winglee (2003) who modeled single particle trajectories, heavy ions from both the cusp and the nightside aurora have preferential access to the nightside near-Earth plasma sheet. Complementaly to the above simulations, our observations suggest further that the turbulent cusp region is an energetic $O^+$ ion reservoir which could continuously supply the magnetotail with energetic oxygen ions whose behavior is intimately related to the magnetospheric substorm evolution, as discussed below.

The role of $B_z$ for the initiation of substorm process transported from a reconnection site was first realized by Vogiatzis et al. (2005). As Vogiatzis et al. (2005) have proposed and then observationally confirmed by Shioikawa et al. (2005), supply of the inner edge of the plasma sheet (the transition region between bipolar and stretched magnetic field configuration) with $B_z < 0$ would excite kinetic instabilities in the vicinity of the current sheet due to the increased relative drift velocity between non-adiabatic ions and adiabatic electrons. This enhanced wave turbulence would have the effect of disrupting the cross-tail current, forming the substorm current wedge and thus signaling the onset of a substorm. Due to the fact that this velocity is also proportional to the non-adiabaticity of the ions and since non-adiabaticity is being enhanced with increasing particle’s gyroradius, one would expect that supra-thermal singly ionized oxygens in the energy range of RAPID would be the ideal candidate for maximum increase of this relative drift velocity. The critical question now is: What region of geospace could provide such a highly non-adiabatic population? Based on our observations we could say that the polar cusp might be one of the source regions which could provide supra-thermal $O^+$ to the nightside equatorial plasma sheet through the Shabansky orbits along closed field lines (Fritz et al., 2000, 2003). Consequently, in the course of a substorm and under the appropriate conditions set up by reconnection back in the magnetotail (earthward transportation of $B_z < 0$) supra-thermal highly non-adiabatic $O^+$ ions could be an effective neutral sheet population participating to the substorm initiation process presuming, of course, that polar cusp continuously feeds the inner portion of the plasma sheet with such particles.
4 Synopsis and conclusions

This paper presents a study of the CNO accelerated ions (predominantly singly ionized oxygen ions) observed by the Cluster/RAPID instrument in the exterior cusp region during spring 2001 and 2002. Our investigation shows that all the events in the cusp region are of spatial nature with energetic particle and wave activity enhancements being registered when Cluster spacecraft enter the cusp diamagnetic cavities. The simultaneous observations of sharp enhancements in the low-frequency electromagnetic wave fields provide strong evidence that heating/acceleration of ions/electrons is caused by resonant interactions with the broadband low-frequency electromagnetic waves. Furthermore, the particle acceleration efficiency seems to depend both on the wave power near the local particle gyrofrequency and on the type and number of cyclotron resonances that particles undergo during their stay in the trapping region. The fact that in every cusp crossing we observe outflowing O$^+$ ions in the supra-thermal energy range has important implications on the substorm initiation process since these particles have larger Larmor radius than protons of the same energy and thus are much more non-adiabatic. Consequently, energetic O$^+$, under the appropriate conditions set up by reconnection process back in the magnetotail (Vogiatzis et al., 2005), could be a potential seed population for exciting kinetic instabilities which trigger substorm onset in the non-adiabatic and sufficiently thin neutral sheet region.

Although we have presented here only two of the events listed in Table 1, the general features occurring in these two cusp crossings are typical and commonly seen in the rest of the events, as well. Thus, observations of supra-thermal particles in the cusp regions for the eight events examined so far, is indicative that these areas of geospace, through the presence of intense low-frequency electromagnetic turbulence, are capable of accelerating and continuously supplying the magnetosphere with energetic particles with all the implications that this phenomenon may have in magnetospheric dynamics.
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