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Abstract

Treacher Collins syndrome is a congenital disorder with bilaterally symmetric anomalies of the structures developing from the first and second branchial arches. The ocular and orbital features are an obligatory component for the diagnosis. We presented a case of typical, complete syndrome and also reviewed the varied ophthalmological manifestations of the disease in the literature. Antimongoloid slanting of palpebral fissures and lower lid colobomas are constant features of the syndrome. However, varied ocular and lacrimal drainage anomalies are also associated. TCS is a syndrome with multiple ocular and orbital features, a knowledge of which will help in the diagnosis of incomplete forms of the syndrome.
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1. Introduction

Treacher Collins syndrome (TCS), also known as Treacher Collins–Franceschetti syndrome,1 is a rare autosomal dominant congenital disorder characterized by multiple craniofacial deformities.2 There are bilaterally symmetric anomalies of the structures within the first and second branchial arches. The essential features of this syndrome were described by Treacher Collins, a British ophthalmologist, in the year 1900. It is a rare congenital anomaly occurring in one in 50,000 births.3

TCS exhibits autosomal dominant inheritance with variable penetrance. It is the result of mutations in the TCS gene (TCS1) at chromosome 5q32; 60% of cases being spontaneous and 40% familial.4 These mutations in the TCS1 gene reduce the amount of treacle, a nucleolar protein that is produced in cells and this results in development of a disorder with abnormal craniofacial development.5

Franceschetti and Klein6 described the syndrome in 1949, in which they coined the term mandibulofacial dysostosis. The clinical features of TCS are bilaterally symmetrical and include: (1) abnormalities of the external ears, atresia of external auditory canals, and malformation of the middle ear ossicles, which result in bilateral conductive hearing loss; (2) lateral downward sloping of the palpebral fissures (antimongoloid slant), frequently with coloboma of the lower eyelids and a paucity of lid lashes medial to the defect; (3) hypoplasia of the mandible and zygomatic complex; and (4) cleft palate.8,9 The patients are thus characterized by hypoplastic facial bones (mandibular and zygomatic), ear and eye anomalies, cleft palate, airway and dental anomalies.10–13

The literature has scarce information about the ophthalmological features of TCS. We report a case of the syndrome in a neonate, and also review the ophthalmological features of the syndrome.

2. Case Report

A 28-day-old male child was brought to the ophthalmology outpatient department with a complaint of redness in both eyes for the past 10 days. It was not associated with any discharge from either of the eyes.

The patient was the first child of a 22-year-old woman who delivered at the same hospital at term. There was a history of delayed crying at birth. He was born of a nonconsanguinous marriage and the pedigree analysis revealed no other affected member in the family. The developmental milestones were age appropriate except for hearing deficit as he did not turn his head to sound. He was breast feeding with no difficulty in swallowing.

The child was 37 cm long with a body weight of 3 kg. The skull was normal except for an open anterior fontanelle. The facial characteristics were bilaterally symmetrical but abnormal. There were multiple facial dysmorphic features including downward-sloating eyes, malar hypoplasia, mandibular hypoplasia and cleft palate.8,9 The patients are thus characterized by hypoplastic facial bones (mandibular and zygomatic), ear and eye anomalies, cleft palate, airway and dental anomalies.10–13

The literature has scarce information about the ophthalmological features of TCS. We report a case of the syndrome in a neonate, and also review the ophthalmological features of the syndrome.

Copyright © 2016, The Ophthalmologic Society of Taiwan. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

* Corresponding author. Department of Ophthalmology, UP Rural Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Saifai, Etawah, Uttar Pradesh, India.

E-mail address: drreenasharma98@gmail.com (R. Sharma).
(micrognathia), a large fishlike mouth (macrostomia) with a high arched palate (Figure 1). The tongue was repositioned but the child had no difficulty in feeding and swallowing. The child had malformed and crumpled bilateral pinnae. The right ear had external auditory canal stenosis and left ear had external auditory canal atresia (Figure 2). He also had pectus carinatum and chest indrawing (Figure 3). Auscultation revealed vesicular breath sounds with prolonged expiration. The abdomen was scaphoid, and there was no other abnormality in the limbs, back, and genitals.

The ophthalmological examination revealed antimongoloid slant of the palpebral fissures. The child had bilateral lower lid coloboma (lateral one third) with absence of eyelashes in the entire extent of the lower lids (Figure 4). The inferior conjunctiva was congested in both eyes with superficial haze of the inferior cornea (exposure keratopathy). The cornea of the right eye also had a 1 mm × 1 mm nebulomacular opacity at the 6 o’clock position. The

---

**Figure 1.** Facial dysmorphism with downslanting palpebral apertures, malar, and mandibular hypoplasia (micrognathia).

**Figure 2.** Lateral view of face demonstrating malformed and crumpled bilateral pinna, and retruded mandible.

**Figure 3.** Pectus carinatum and chest indrawing.
the orbital contents and the superolateral part of the orbit is displaced caudally, giving hypoplasia of the mandible. Our case had all these features.

3. Discussion

TCS is an autosomal dominant disorder of craniofacial development. As the tissues affected in TCS arise during early embryonic development from the first and second branchial arches, it is proposed that the condition may arise from abnormal neural crest cell migration, improper cellular differentiation during development, or an abnormality of the extracellular matrix.4-6

In 1963, Axelsson et al7 named the following features as obligatory for the diagnosis of TCS: (1) antimongoloid palpebral fissures;2 (2) anomaly of the lower lid: coloboma of the outer third, or deficient lashes, or both; (3) hypoplasia of the malar bones; and (4) hypoplasia of the mandible. Our case had all these features.

Franceschetti and Klein6 described five clinical forms: complete form, incomplete form, abortive form, unilateral form and atypical form. Our patient had the complete form of TCS with all known features of the disease. As the patient had no affected family member, he may be considered a case of de novo mutation. Therefore, it is unlikely that the siblings would be affected.

We reviewed the literature for the different ophthalmological presentations of the syndrome. The findings are summarized below.

3.1. Orbital features of TCS

TCS is characterised by hypoplasia and retraction of the malar region, obliteration of the frontonasal angle, and a receding chin. Protrusion of the nose and maxilla may produce an enophthalmic appearance.8-10 The inferior lateral angle of the orbit is defective and the superolateral part of the orbit is displaced caudally, giving the orbit an egg-shaped appearance.8-10 The orbital contents appear displaced into the deficiency created by the malar hypoplasia. Orbital hypoplasia, lipodermoids, and limbal dermoids have also been reported.10-12

3.2. Ocular features of TCS

Hertle et al13 described the ocular findings in 24 patients with TCS. All patients had some eye abnormality such as amblyopia, anisometropia, refractive errors, strabismus and vision loss.13-23 Vision loss was present in 37% of patients, amblyopia in 33% of patients, significant refractive errors in 58% of patients, anisometropia in 17% of patients, and strabismus in 37% of patients. Strabismus has been reported in several forms, including esotropia, exotropia, Duane’s syndrome, and cranial nerve palsies. Cataract, ectopic pupil, uveal coloboma, and occasional microphthalmals, and even anophthalmals, have also been reported.20,21,24 Holla et al25 reported a case with microcornea with retinal detachment in a case of TCS.

Thus, several ocular abnormalities are seen. Vision may still be normal in TCS because the retina does not develop from the affected branchial arches and many patients retain good vision in at least one eye.13

3.3. Eyelid and adnexal anomalies of TCS

Hertle et al13 also described lid and adnexal abnormalities in 96% of TCS patients. Significant reported abnormalities included canthal dystopia, dacryostenosis, blepharoptosis, lateral lower lid true coloboma, and pseudocolobomas.12,20,25 True colobomas are full-thickness absence of tissue of the lids, while pseudocolobomas have hypoplastic subcutaneous tissue and muscle. This may be associated with absence of the lateral canthal tendon, which requires reconstruction.27,28

Downward slanting of the palpebral fissures has been reported in 83% of cases of TCS, notching of the lower eyelids in 69% of cases, and a paucity of lid lashes medial to the defect in 69% of cases.12,13

Lacrimal duct atresia and distichiasis have also been reported.20,21,29 Inferior punctal atresia accompanying inferior canalicular atresia was identified in all seven patients in a study of associated lacrimal anomalies by Bartley.30 One patient had coexistent bilateral nasolacrimal duct obstruction and needed dacryocystorhinostomy surgery.

Various other skeletal and facial anomalies have been reported. The presence of an abnormally shaped skull is not distinctive for TCS, but brachycephaly with bitemporal narrowing is sometimes observed.31

Every patient with TCS is different and management depends on the primary complaints. Breathing problems may arise at birth as a consequence of micrognathia and tongue obstruction of the hypopharynx. These have to be treated as a priority and emergency surgery in the form of tracheostomy may be required to achieve an adequate airway.

Further management of the hard and soft tissue defects usually needs multiple operations. Depending on severity, eyelid coloboma is corrected in the earliest years of life. Others may prefer to perform only neonatal tarsorrhaphy to protect corneal exposure, and delay other periorbital correction. This is because the extent of the lower lid skin deficit can be best assessed after skeletal correction, which is zygoma and orbit reconstruction. Depending on the extent of the deformity, orbicularis transposition, skin grafting, Z-plasty, and/or canthopexy can be performed for correction of lid coloboma.31 A careful ocular assessment and timely management of refractive errors, strabismus, amblyopia, and lacrimal anomalies is also needed.

References

1. Rapini RP, Bolognia JL, Jorizzo JL. Dermatology: 2 Volume Set. St. Louis: Mosby; 2007.
2. James W, Berger T, Elston D. Andrews’ Diseases of the Skin: Clinical Dermatology. 10th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2005.
3. Edwards SJ, Gladwin AJ, Dixon MJ. The mutational spectrum in Treacher Collins syndrome reveals a predominance of mutations that create a premature termination codon. Am J Hum Genet. 1997;60:515–524.
4. Valdez BC, Henning D, So RB, Dixon J, Dixon MJ. The Treacher Collins syndrome (TCOF1) gene product is involved in ribosomal DNA gene transcription by...
interacting with upstream binding factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101:10709–10714.

5. Taber OA, Gillessen-Kaesbach G, Albert A. Genotyping in 46 patients with tentative diagnosis of Treacher Collins syndrome revealed unexpected phenotype variation. Eur J Hum Genet. 2004;12:879–890.

6. Franceschetti A, Klein D. The mandibulofacial dysostosis: a new hereditary syndrome. Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh). 1949;27:144–149.

7. Phelps PD, Poswillo D, Lloyd GA. The ear deformities in mandibulofacial dysostosis. Clin Otolaryngol. 1981;6:15–28.

8. Rovin S, Duchi SF, Borenenstein DB, Cotter WR. Mandibulofacial dysostosis, a familial study of five generations. J Pediatr. 1964;65:215–221.

9. Fazen LE, Elmore J, Nadler HL. Mandibulo-facial dysostosis (Treacher Collins syndrome). Am J Dis Child. 1967;113:406–410.

10. Katsanis SH, Jabs EW. Treacher Collins syndrome, 2004, revised 2012. GeneReviews.

11. Posnick JC. Treacher Collins syndrome: perspectives in evaluation and treatment. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 1997;55:1120–1133.

12. Trainer PA, Dixon J, Dixon MJ. Treacher Collins syndrome: etiology, pathogenesis and prevention. Eur J Hum Genet. 2008;16:279–283.

13. Hertle RW, Ziylan S, Katowitz JA. Ophthalmic features and visual prognosis in the Treacher-Collins syndrome. Br J Ophthalmol. 1993;77:642–645.

14. Poswillo D. The pathogenesis of the Treacher Collins syndrome (mandibulofacial dysostosis). Br J Oral Surg. 1975;13:1–26.

15. Wiley MJ, Cauwenbergs P, Taylor IM. Effects of retinoic acid on the development of the facial skeleton in hamsters; early changes involving neural crest cells. Acta Anat. 1983;116:180–192.

16. Herring SW, Rowlatt UF. Prukszansky S. Anatomical abnormalities in mandibulofacial dysostosis. Am J Med Genet. 1979;3:225–259.

17. Axellson A, Brolin I, Engstrom H, Liden G. Dysostosis mandibulo-facialis. J Laryngol Otol. 1963;77:575–592.

18. Rogers BO. Berry-Treacher-Collins’ syndrome: a review of 200 cases. Br J Ophthalmol. 1964;48:109–113.

19. Treacher Collins E. Case with symmetrical congenital notches in the outer part of each lower lid and defective development of the malar bone. Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK. 1900;20:190–192.

20. Hurwitz P. Mandibulofacial dysostosis. Arch Ophthalmol. 1954;51:69–74.

21. Miller MT. Ocular abnormalities in craniofacial malformations. Int Ophthalmol Clin. 1984;24:143–163.

22. Fries PD, Katowitz JA. Congenital craniofacial anomalies of ophthalmic importance. Surv Ophthalmol. 1990;35:87–119.

23. Tay MT, Quah BL. Mandibulo-facial dysostosis – the eye signs of a case study. Singapore Med J. 1991;32:365–367.

24. Hansen M, Lucarelli MJ, Whiteman DA, Mul liken JB. Treacher Collins syndrome: phenotypic variability in a family including an infant with arhinia and uveal colobomas. Am J Med Genet. 1996;61:71–74.

25. Holla A, Gonsalves SR, Lobo GJ. Treacher Collins syndrome with microcornea and retinal detachment. BMJ Case Rep. 2013. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2013-202425.

26. Shete P, Tulpari J, Benjamin T, Singh A. Treacher Collins syndrome. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2011;15:348–351.

27. Bachelor EP, Kaplan EN. Absence of the lateral canthal tendon in the Treacher-Collins syndrome. Br J Ophthalmol. 1981;65:162–164.

28. Jackson IT. Reconstruction of the lower eyelid defect in Treacher Collins syndrome. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1981;67:365–368.

29. Prasad VN, Ghosh A, Bist HK. Franceschetti’s syndrome with bilateral atresia of lower puncta and canaliculi. Indian J Ophthalmol. 1984;32:35–36.

30. Bartley GB. Lacrimal drainage anomalies in mandibulofacial dysostosis. Am J Ophthalmol. 1990;109:571–574.

31. Goodrich JT, Hall CD. Craniofacial Anomalies: Growth and Development from a Surgical Perspective. New York: Thieme Medical Publishers; 1995.