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In this paper, we define and study the $k$–Fibonacci sequence matrix for $k \geq 3$. By these obtained results, we introduce some new codes on $k$–Fibonacci sequence.

1. Introduction

The Fibonacci sequence $F^2_n$ is defined by the recurrence relation $F^2_0 = 0$, $F^2_1 = 1$, and $F^2_n = F^2_{n-1} + F^2_{n-2}$, $n \geq 2$. The Fibonacci sequence and its generalization sequence ($k$–Fibonacci sequence number and $k$–Pell sequence) are famous sequences in mathematics. For information about these sequences, see [1–4].

Definition 1. For $k \geq 3$, the $k$–Fibonacci sequence, denoted by $\{F^n_k\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
F^n_k &= F^n_{k-1} + F^n_{k-2} + \cdots + F^n_0, & n \geq 0, \\
F^n_{k-1} &= F^n_{k-1} - (F^n_{k-2} + \cdots + F^n_0), & n < 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(1)

with initial conditions $F^n_k = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq k - 2$, and $F^n_{k-1} = 1$ (see [5]).

For example, let $k = 3$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F^3_n &= F^3_{n-1} + F^3_{n-2} + F^3_{n-3}, & n \geq 0, \\
F^3_{n-1} &= F^3_{n-1} - (F^3_{n-2} + F^3_{n-3}), & n < 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(2)

So, $\{F^3_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\cdots, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 4, 7, \cdots\}$.

By the definition of the $k$–Fibonacci sequence, the proof of the following lemma is trivial.

Lemma 1. For $k \geq 3$, the following relations are satisfied about the $k$–Fibonacci sequence:

(i) $F^k_i = 0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $-k \leq i \leq -2$

(ii) $F^k_{k-1} = 1$

(iii) $F^k_{-k-1} = -1$

Apostolic and Fraenkle introduced the Fibonacci code which is used in source coding as well as in cryptography (see [6]). For information on the Fibonacci code, see in [7, 8]. The Fibonacci $Q^2_p$–matrices were introduced (see [9]), and in [10], for $p = 1$, a coding theory is obtained on the Fibonacci $Q^2_p$–matrices. Also, a Fibonacci coding method is introduced by using Fibonacci polynomials and Fibonacci sequence (see [8, 11–14]). Here, we introduce some coding methods on $k$–Fibonacci sequence.

In Section 2, we define the $k$–Fibonacci sequence matrix and calculate the determinant of it. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to obtain some codes on $k$–Fibonacci sequence.

2. $k$–Fibonacci Sequence Matrix

The 2–Fibonacci sequence matrix (the Fibonacci $Q^2_1$–matrices) is defined in [15]. The aim of this section is to define the $k$–Fibonacci sequence matrix and get to the determinant of this matrix. First, we define the $k$–Fibonacci sequence matrix for $k = 3$ and obtain the determinant of it.

Definition 2. The 3–Fibonacci sequence matrix, denoted by $Q_{(3,3)}$, is defined:
Lemma 1, we obtain

\[
Q_{(n,3)} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{n+2}^3 & F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 \\
F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 \\
F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 & F_{n-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)
\]

where \( F_n^3 \) is the element of the 3-Fibonacci sequence. For example, \( n = 10 \), and we have

\[
Q_{(10,3)} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{12}^3 & F_{11}^3 & F_{10}^3 \\
F_{11}^3 & F_{10}^3 & F_9^3 \\
F_{10}^3 & F_9^3 & F_8^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
274 & 149 & 81 \\
149 & 81 & 44 \\
81 & 44 & 24 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (4)
\]

Now, we get the determinant of the 3–Fibonacci sequence matrix. By the definition of \( F_n^3 \), we have

\[
F_{n-2}^3 = F_n^3 - (F_{n-1}^3 + F_{n-2}^3). \quad (5)
\]

**Theorem 1.** The determinant of \( Q_{(n,3)} \) is equal to \(-1\).

**Proof.** For \( n = 3m + i \) where \( 0 \leq i \leq 2 \), we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3m+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)
\]

(i) Add column 2 and column 3, then subtract this summation from column 1, and replace it to the result column 1 (\( c_1 - (c_2 + c_3) \rightarrow c_1 \)). So, by relation (5), we have

\[
Q_{(3m+i,3)} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3m+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (7)
\]

(ii) Add column 1 and column 2, then subtract it from column 2, and replace to the result column 2. Then, by relation (5), we get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)
\]

(iii) Add column 1 and column 2, then subtract this summation from column 3, and replace to it. Thus, by relation (5), we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3m+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 & F_{3m+i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix}
F_{3(m-1)+i+2}^3 & F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i+1}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 \\
F_{3(m-1)+i}^3 & F_{3m+i}^3 & F_{3m+i-1}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)
\]

Then, we have

\[
Q_{(3m+i,3)} \rightarrow Q_{(3(m-1)+i,3)} \quad (10)
\]

Continuing this process \( m - 1 \) steps on \( Q_{(3(m-1)+i,3)} \), we obtain the following:

\[
Q_{(3(m-1)+i,3)} \rightarrow Q_{(i,3)} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{i+2}^3 & F_{i+1}^3 & F_i^3 \\
F_{i+1}^3 & F_i^3 & F_{i-1}^3 \\
F_i^3 & F_{i-1}^3 & F_{i-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)
\]

Therefore, \( \det Q_{(n,3)} = \det Q_{(i,3)} \). It is sufficient that we get the determinant of \( Q_{(i,3)} \) where \( 0 \leq i \leq 2 \). If \( i = 0 \), then by Lemma 1, we obtain

\[
Q_{(0,3)} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_2^3 & F_1^3 & F_0^3 \\
F_1^3 & F_0^3 & F_{-1}^3 \\
F_0^3 & F_{-1}^3 & F_{-2}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)
\]

So, \( \det Q_{(0,3)} = -1 \). If \( i = 1 \), then by Lemma 1, we have

\[
Q_{(1,3)} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_3^3 & F_2^3 & F_1^3 \\
F_2^3 & F_1^3 & F_0^3 \\
F_1^3 & F_0^3 & F_{-1}^3 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (13)
\]

Therefore, \( \det Q_{(1,3)} = -1 \). Similarly, for \( i = 2 \), we get \( \det Q_{(2,3)} = -1 \). Consequently, the theorem is proven.

**Example 1.** \( \det Q_{(10,3)} = -1 \). Since \( n = 3 \times 3 + 1 \), we have
\[ Q_{(10,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{10}^3 & F_{10}^2 & F_{10}^3 \\ F_{11}^3 & F_{11}^2 & F_{11}^3 \\ F_{12}^3 & F_{12}^2 & F_{12}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 274 & 149 & 81 \\ 149 & 81 & 44 \\ 81 & 44 & 24 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (14) \]

We get the following:

**Step 1:**
\[ Q_{(10,3)} \rightarrow Q_{(7,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{7}^3 & F_{7}^2 & F_{7}^3 \\ F_{8}^3 & F_{8}^2 & F_{8}^3 \\ F_{9}^3 & F_{9}^2 & F_{9}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 44 & 24 & 13 \\ 24 & 13 & 7 \\ 13 & 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15) \]

**Step 2:**
\[ Q_{(7,3)} \rightarrow Q_{(4,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{4}^3 & F_{4}^2 & F_{4}^3 \\ F_{5}^3 & F_{5}^2 & F_{5}^3 \\ F_{6}^3 & F_{6}^2 & F_{6}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (16) \]

**Step 3:**
\[ Q_{(4,3)} \rightarrow Q_{(1,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{1}^3 & F_{1}^2 & F_{1}^3 \\ F_{2}^3 & F_{2}^2 & F_{2}^3 \\ F_{3}^3 & F_{3}^2 & F_{3}^3 \\ F_{4}^3 & F_{4}^2 & F_{4}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (17) \]

Therefore, \( \det Q_{(10,3)} = \det Q_{(7,3)} = -1. \)

Now, we are ready to generalize the idea of the 3–Fibonacci sequence matrix to the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence matrices \((k > 3)\).

**Definition 3.** The \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence matrices of size \( k \times k \) \((k \geq 4)\), denoted by \( Q_{(n,k)} \), are defined as follows:

\[
Q_{(n,k)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n}^k & F_{n}^k & \cdots & F_{n}^k \\ F_{n-1}^k & F_{n-1}^k & \cdots & F_{n-1}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{2}^k & F_{2}^k & \cdots & F_{2}^k \\ F_{1}^k & F_{1}^k & \cdots & F_{1}^k \\ F_{0}^k & F_{0}^k & \cdots & F_{0}^k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (18)
\]

where \( F_{i}^k \) is the element of the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence.

**Example 3.** By the definition of \( Q_{(n,k)} \), we have

\[
Q_{(8,4)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{10}^4 & F_{9}^4 & F_{8}^4 & F_{7}^4 \\ F_{10}^4 & F_{9}^4 & F_{8}^4 & F_{7}^4 \\ F_{9}^4 & F_{8}^4 & F_{7}^4 & F_{6}^4 \\ F_{8}^4 & F_{7}^4 & F_{6}^4 & F_{5}^4 \\ F_{7}^4 & F_{6}^4 & F_{5}^4 & F_{4}^4 \\ F_{6}^4 & F_{5}^4 & F_{4}^4 & F_{3}^4 \\ F_{5}^4 & F_{4}^4 & F_{3}^4 & F_{2}^4 \\ F_{4}^4 & F_{3}^4 & F_{2}^4 & F_{1}^4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 108 & 56 & 29 & 15 \\ 56 & 29 & 15 & 8 \\ 29 & 15 & 8 & 4 \\ 15 & 8 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)\]

In Theorem 1, we get the determinant of the 3–Fibonacci sequence matrix. Now, we obtain the determinant of the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence matrices for \( k \geq 4 \). By the definition of \( F_{n}^k \), we have

\[
F_{n-k}^k = F_{n}^k - (F_{n-1}^k + F_{n-2}^k + \cdots + F_{n-k}^k). \quad (20)
\]

**Theorem 2.** \( \det Q_{(n,k)} \) is equal to \(-1\).

**Proof.** For \( n = mk + i, 0 \leq i \leq k - 1 \), we have

\[
Q_{(mk+i,k)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{mk+i(k-1)}^k & F_{mk+i(k-2)}^k & \cdots & F_{mk+i}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{mk+i}^k & F_{mk+i(k-2)}^k & \cdots & F_{mk+i(k-1)}^k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)
\]

We can perform specific column operations to reduce \( Q_{(mk+i,k)} \) to \( Q_{(i,k)} \) where \( 0 \leq i \leq k - 1 \). The operations that we use in this process are as follows.

Add column 2 until column \( k \), then subtract this summation from column 1, and replace it to the result column \( (c_1 - (c_2 + c_3 + \cdots + c_k)) \rightarrow c_1) \). Then, add column 1 until column \( k \) except column 2, then subtract it from column 2, and replace it to \((c_2 - (c_3 + c_4 + \cdots + c_k)) \rightarrow c_2) \). Continuing the process until column \( k \) and by relation (20), we have

\[
Q_{(mk+i,k)} \rightarrow Q_{((m-1)k+i,k)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{(m-1)k+i(k-1)}^k & F_{(m-1)k+i(k-2)}^k & \cdots & F_{(m-1)k+i}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ F_{(m-1)k+i}^k & F_{(m-1)k+i(k-2)}^k & \cdots & F_{(m-1)k+i(k-1)}^k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (22)
\]

Thus, performing above the operations until \( m - 1 \) steps, we get
3. A Code on the \( k \)-Fibonacci Sequence

In this section, we introduce a coding method by using the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence and obtain error detection and correction of it. First, we need the following definition.

**Definition 4.** For \( k = 2, n \geq 4 \) or \( k \geq 3, n \geq k + 1 \), let \( F_n \) and \( F_{n+1} \) be two consecutive elements of the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence. The sequence \( \{T_s\}_{1}^{P_{n+1}} \) is defined as follows:

\[
T_s = s(t_m^{k+1} + 1)(\mod F_{n+1}^k)
\]

For \( u = F_{m+2}^k \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq u \), we define the matrix \( T^u = (t_{ij})_{u \times u} \) as follows:

\[
t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } (i, j) = (5, 1), (3, 2), (1, 3), (6, 4), (4, 5), (2, 6), (7, 7). \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

That is,

\[
T^u = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Now, by using above notations, we can explain a method coding, which is named \( T^u \)-code. For \( u = F_{m+2}^k, 1 \leq i \leq u^2 \) and a message matrix \( M \) of size \( u \times u \),

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
m_1 & m_2 & \cdots & m_u \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m_{u^2-uv+1} & m_{u^2-uv+2} & \cdots & m_{u^2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( m_i \geq 0 \). We consider a transformation \( E = M \times T^u \) as the \( T^u \)-coding method. Then, \( E = (T^u)^{-1} \) is the \( T^u \)-decoding method.

**Example 4.** Suppose \( k = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \), we have \( F_4^2 = 3, F_5^2 = 5 \) and \( T_1 \equiv 4 \mod 5, T_2 \equiv 3 \mod 5, T_3 \equiv 2 \mod 5, T_4 \equiv 1 \mod 5, \) and \( T_5 \equiv 5 \mod 5 \). Then,

\[
T^5 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Let “mathematics is beautiful” be a message. Then, by Table 1 and 0 := blank space, we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
m & a & t & h & e \\
m & a & t & i & c \\
s & o & i & s & 0 \\
b & e & a & u & t \\
i & f & u & l & 0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
F_{17}^2 & F_5^2 & F_{24}^2 & F_{12}^2 & F_9^2 \\
F_{17}^2 & F_5^2 & F_{24}^2 & F_{13}^2 & F_7^2 \\
F_{23}^2 & F_{31}^2 & F_{13}^2 & F_{25}^2 & F_{31}^2 \\
F_6^2 & F_9^2 & F_5^2 & F_{25}^2 & F_{24}^2 \\
F_{13}^2 & F_{24}^2 & F_{25}^2 & F_{16}^2 & F_{31}^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Hence, we get

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1597 & 5 & 46368 & 144 & 34 \\
1597 & 5 & 46368 & 233 & 13 \\
28657 & 1346269 & 233 & 28657 & 1346269 \\
8 & 34 & 5 & 75025 & 46368 \\
233 & 46368 & 75025 & 987 & 1346269
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
So, “htameiamcsi s uaebtluti” is the code matrix $E$.
Note that $M \times E \times (T^5)^{-1}$ is the $T^5$-decoding method.
By using the elementary operations on matrix $T^u$, one can prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** For $u \geq 4$, $\det T^u = \pm 1$. In particular, $\det T^5 = 1$ and $\det T^4 = \det T^7 = -1$.

**Note 1.** This lemma follows that for $E = M \times T^u$, we have

$$\det E = \det (M \times T^u) = \det M \times \det T^u = \pm \det M.$$ (34)

In particular, when $u = 4, 7$, we get $\det E = -\det M$, and for $u = 5$, we have $\det E = \det M$.

By using the above facts, we find the error detection and correction for $T^u$-code where $u = 4, 5, 7$, the code matrix $E$ may contain “single,” “double,” . . . , $u^2$-fold” errors. Thus, we get

$$1C_{u^2} + 2C_{u^3} + \cdots + u^2C_{u^2} = 2u^2 - 1.$$ (35)

where $rC_s = s!/(r!) \times (s - r)!$.
It is clear that the “single” errors in the code matrix $E$ are as follows:

$$x_1 e_{12} \cdots e_{1u}, \quad e_{21} x_{22} \cdots e_{2u}, \cdots, (u^2) e_{u1} e_{u2} \cdots x_{uu},$$

where $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq u^2$ are possible “destroyed” elements. In this case, there are $u^2$ errors in the matrix code $E$.

Suppose $u = 4$ and 7. For correction, by using the algebraic equations and the relation $\det E = -\det M$, we have
| a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | l | m | n | o | p | q | r | s | t | u | v | w | x | y | z | 0 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $p_{n_1}$ | $p_{n_2}$ | $p_{n_3}$ | $p_{n_4}$ | $p_{n_5}$ | $p_{n_6}$ | $p_{n_7}$ | $p_{n_8}$ | $p_{n_9}$ | $p_{n_{10}}$ | $p_{n_{11}}$ | $p_{n_{12}}$ | $p_{n_{13}}$ | $p_{n_{14}}$ | $p_{n_{15}}$ | $p_{n_{16}}$ | $p_{n_{17}}$ | $p_{n_{18}}$ | $p_{n_{19}}$ | $p_{n_{20}}$ | $p_{n_{21}}$ | $p_{n_{22}}$ | $p_{n_{23}}$ | $p_{n_{24}}$ | $p_{n_{25}}$ | $p_{n_{26}}$ | $p_{n_{27}}$ |
Using an analogous argument, we can find the error correction. Here, we find coding and decoding on the sequence matrix. For $k \geq 2$, we will name a transformation $E = M \times Q(k)$ as the $k$–Fibonacci coding and a transformation $M = E \times Q^{-1}(k)$ as the $k$–Fibonacci decoding. Also, the matrix $E$ is as a code matrix. Now, we explain the above method by an example.

**Example 4.** Suppose $k = 4$, $n = 5$, and

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(38)

By above relations, we can obtain “single” correction. Using an analogous argument, we can find the error correction for $T^5$–code.

### 4. Coding and Decoding on the $k$–Fibonacci Sequence Matrix

Here, we find coding and decoding on the $k$–Fibonacci sequence matrix $Q(n,k)$ and get its error detection and correction. For $k \geq 3$ and an initial message $M_{1:k}$, we will name a transformation $E = M \times Q(n,k)$ as the $k$–Fibonacci coding and a transformation $M = E \times Q^{-1}(n,k)$ as the $k$–Fibonacci decoding. Also, the matrix $E$ is as a code matrix. Now, we explain the above method by an example.

We have

$$Q_{(5,4)} = \begin{bmatrix} F_8 & F_7 & F_6 & F_5 \\ F_7 & F_6 & F_5 & F_4 \\ F_6 & F_5 & F_4 & F_3 \\ F_5 & F_4 & F_3 & F_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 8 & 4 & 2 \\ 8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. 

\tag{39}$$

By the above notations, we have

$$E = M \times Q_{(5,4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 57 & 29 & 17 & 8 \\ 76 & 38 & 21 & 9 \\ 25 & 13 & 7 & 4 \\ 111 & 57 & 32 & 15 \end{bmatrix}. 

\tag{40}$$

Also, we obtain

$$M = E \times Q^{-1}_{(5,4)} = \begin{bmatrix} 57 & 29 & 17 & 8 \\ 76 & 38 & 21 & 9 \\ 25 & 13 & 7 & 4 \\ 111 & 57 & 32 & 15 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}. 

\tag{41}$$
The error-correction algorithm for the Fibonacci \( Q_2 \)-matrices is described in [16]. Now, we explain error detection and correction for the 3–Fibonacci coding.

\[
E = M \times Q_{(n,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & F_{n+2}^3 & F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 \\ m_4 & m_5 & m_6 & F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 \\ m_7 & m_8 & m_9 & F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 & F_{n-2}^3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \\ e_7 & e_8 & e_9 & e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \end{bmatrix},
\]

(42)

\[
M = E \times Q_{(n,3)}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & F_{n+2}^3 & F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 \\ e_4 & e_5 & e_6 & F_{n+1}^3 & F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 \\ e_7 & e_8 & e_9 & F_n^3 & F_{n-1}^3 & F_{n-2}^3 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 & m_4 & m_5 & m_6 \\ m_7 & m_8 & m_9 & m_4 & m_5 & m_6 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Also,

\[
\det Q_{(n,3)} = F_n^3 \left[ F_{n+3}^3 - (F_{n+1}^3)^2 \right] - F_{n+1}^3 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n-1}^3 F_n^3 \right] + F_n^3 \left[ F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - (F_n^3)^2 \right] = -1,
\]

(43)

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\ e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \\ e_7 & e_8 & e_9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 & F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 & (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \\ (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 & F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 & (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Since \( m_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq 9 \), we have

\[
m_1 = e_1 \left( (F_{n-1}^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 \right) + e_2 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_3 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) > 0,
\]

(44)

\[
m_2 = e_1 \left[ F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_2 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) + e_3 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] > 0,
\]

(45)

\[
m_3 = e_1 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) + e_2 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_3 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) > 0,
\]

(46)

\[
m_4 = e_1 \left( (F_{n+1}^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 \right) + e_2 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_3 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) > 0,
\]

(47)

\[
m_5 = e_1 \left[ F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_2 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) + e_3 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] > 0,
\]

\[
m_6 = e_1 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) + e_2 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_3 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) > 0,
\]

\[
m_7 = e_1 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) + e_2 \left[ F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right] + e_3 \left( (F_n^3)^2 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 \right) > 0.
\]

From (44), we get

\[
e_1 (F_{n-1}^3)^2 + e_2 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_3 (F_n^3)^2 > e_1 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_2 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_3 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_4 F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_5 F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_6 F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_7 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_8 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_9 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3.
\]

(48)

By using (45), we have

\[
e_1 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_2 (F_n^3)^2 + e_3 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_4 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_5 F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_6 F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-2}^3 + e_7 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_8 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 + e_9 F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3.
\]

(49)
by using relation (43), we have

\[ e_1(F_n)^2 + e_2 F_{n+2} F_{n-1} + e_3 F_{n+1} F_n > e_1 F_n F_{n+1} - e_2 F_{n+2} F_{n-1} + e_3 F_{n+1} F_n. \]

(50)

From (46), we get

\[ e_2 \left[ F_n F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right] + \left[ F_n^2 F_{n-1} - (F_n^2) \right] < e_3 \left[ (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right], \]

(51)

\[ e_2 \left[ (F_{n+2} F_{n-2} - F_n^2) \right] + \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right] < e_3 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_n \right], \]

(52)

\[ e_2 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n+2} \right] + \left[ (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right] > e_3 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n} - (F_{n+1}^2) \right], \]

(53)

Let \( A_1 = (F_n^2)^2 - F_n F_{n-1}, A_2 = F_{n+2} F_{n-2} - F_{n+1} F_{n-1}, \) and \( A_3 = F_{n+1} F_{n} - (F_{n+2} F_{n-2}) \). Now, there exist 31 = 27 cases for \( A_1 > 0, A_2 > 0, A_3 > 0. \) We calculate two cases and the rest of the cases get in similar ways.

**Case 1.** Let \( A_1 > 0, A_2 > 0, \) and \( A_3 > 0. \) By using (51) and (53), we have

\[ \frac{e_2 \left[ F_n F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right]}{e_1 A_1} + \left[ F_n^2 F_{n-1} - (F_n^2) \right] < \frac{e_3 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right]}{e_1 A_1} \]

(54)

Then, we get

\[ \frac{e_2 \left[ F_n F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right]}{e_1 A_3} + \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - (F_{n+1} F_n) \right] > \frac{e_3 \left[ F_n F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right]}{e_1 A_3} \]

(55)

So,

\[ A_1 \left( F_n^2 F_{n-1} - (F_n^2) \right) - A_1 \left( (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right) < e_2 \left( A_1 \left( F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right) \right) - A_3 \left( F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right)), \]

(56)

Since \( A_1 = (F_n^2)^2 - F_n F_{n-1}, A_2 = F_{n+2} F_{n-2} - (F_{n+1} F_{n-1})^2 \) and by using relation (43), we have

\[ A_1 \left( F_n^2 F_{n-1} - (F_n^2) \right) - A_1 \left( (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right) = F_n^2 \text{det} Q_{(n,3)}, \]

\[ A_1 \left( (F_{n+2} F_{n-2} - (F_{n+1} F_{n-1})^2 \right) = F_{n+2} \text{det} Q_{(n,3)}, \]

(57)

Therefore,

\[ \frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_{n+1}}{F_n}. \]

(58)

By relations (48)–(50), we have

\[ e_2 \left[ (F_{n+2} F_{n-2} - F_n^2) \right] + \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - (F_{n+1} F_n) \right] < \frac{e_3 \left[ (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right]}{e_1 A_2} \]

(59)

Now, we consider (52) and (53). Then,

\[ e_2 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_{n-2} \right] + \left[ (F_n^2) - F_{n+1} F_{n-1} \right] > \frac{e_3 \left[ F_{n+2} F_{n-1} - F_{n+1} F_n \right]}{e_1 A_3} \]

Hence, we have
By using (43), we have

\[
A_1 \left( F_n^3 - F_{n-1}^3 \right) - A_2 \left( F_n^3 - F_{n+1}^3 \right) - A_3 \left( F_{n+2}^3 - F_{n-1}^3 \right) = F_n^3 \det Q_{(n,3)}.
\]

Similarly, one can prove that

\[
\frac{F_{n+2}^3}{F_{n+1}^3} < \frac{e_2}{e_1} < \frac{F_{n-1}^3}{F_n^3}.
\]

From (58) and (62), we have

\[
\frac{F_{n+2}^3}{F_{n+1}^3} < \frac{e_2}{e_1} < \frac{F_{n-1}^3}{F_n^3}.
\]

Then, we get

\[
\frac{F_{n+2}^3}{F_{n+1}^3} < \frac{e_2}{e_1} < \frac{F_{n-1}^3}{F_n^3}.
\]

Finally, we get

\[
A_3 \left( F_n^3 F_{n-2}^3 - F_{n-1}^3 F_{n-2}^3 \right) - A_2 \left( F_{n+1}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n-1}^3 F_{n+1}^3 \right) < e_2 (A_1 \left( F_{n+2}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n+1}^3 \right) - A_3 \left( F_{n+3}^3 F_{n-1}^3 - F_{n+1}^3 F_{n+2}^3 \right)).
\]
Therefore, we have

\[
\frac{e_1}{e_2} > \frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}}.
\]  

(69)

In the following, by relations (52) and (53), we get

\[
e_2 \left[ \left( \frac{F_{n+2}^{3n+2} - F_n^{3n}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{A_2} > \frac{e_3}{e_1},
\]

(70)

\[
e_2 \left[ \left( \frac{F_{n+2}^{3n+2} - F_n^{3n}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 \right] + \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{A_3} > \frac{e_3}{e_1}.
\]

\[
A_2 \left[ \left( \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 \right] - A_3 \left( \left( \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 \right) > \frac{e_3}{e_1} A_2 A_3.
\]

(71)

We get

\[
A_2 \left( \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 - A_3 \left( \frac{F_n^{3n+1} - F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}} \right)^2 = -F_n^{3n+1} \det Q_{(n,3)},
\]

(72)

So,

\[
\frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}}.
\]  

(73)

By using relations (69) and (73), we have

\[
\frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}} < \frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}}.
\]  

(74)

Similarly, we get

\[
\frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}} < \frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}}.
\]  

(75)

Similarly, by the above argument, we get

\[
\frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}} < \frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}}.
\]  

(76)

We get

\[
\frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}} < \frac{e_1}{e_2} < \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}}.
\]  

(77)

So, by above facts, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F_n^{3n+1}}{F_n^{3n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F_n^{3n+2}}{F_n^{3n+1}} = \lambda,
\]

(79)
where \( \lambda = 1.839 \) is the golden ratio of the 3–Fibonacci sequence.

Hence, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
e_4 & \approx \lambda, \\
e_5 & \approx \lambda, \\
e_6 & \approx \lambda^2, \\
e_7 & \approx \lambda, \\
e_8 & \approx \lambda, \\
e_9 & \approx \lambda, \\
e_{10} & \approx \lambda^2.
\end{align*}
\] (80)

Now, we are in position that the above results are generalized to the \( k \)–Fibonacci coding.

Here, for \( k > 3 \), we get relations among entries the code matrix \( E \). Similar to \( k = 3 \), we can obtain the following relations among the first-row entries code matrix \( E \).

\[
\begin{align*}
e_1 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_2 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_3 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_4 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_5 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_6 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_7 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_8 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_9 & \approx \mu_k, \\
e_{10} & \approx \mu_k
\end{align*}
\] (81)

where \( \mu_k \) is the golden ratio of the \( k \)–Fibonacci sequence.

In general, the following relations among the entries of each row of the code matrix \( E = (e_{ij})_{n \times k} \) are obtained by

\[
\frac{F^{k}_{nk-2}}{F^{k}_{nk-2}} \leq \frac{e_{ij}}{e_{ij}} \leq \frac{F^{k}_{nk-1}}{F^{k}_{nk-1}}
\] (82)

where \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1 \) and \( 2 \leq j + s \leq k, n > k \).

Now, we calculate the determinant of the code matrix \( E \).

For the coding matrix \( Q_{(nk)} \), \( E = M \times Q_{(nk)} \) and \( \det Q_{(nk)} = -1 \), and we have

\[
\det E = -\det M.
\] (83)

Here, we calculate the error detection and correction for the \( k \)–Fibonacci coding.

Let \( k = 3 \). According to the matrix \( E \) of the order \( 3 \times 3 \), we have "single," "double," . . . , "nine-fold" errors. The first assumption is that there exists only one error in the matrix \( E \) received from the communication channel. It is clear that there are nine different cases for it, as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a & b & e_3 \\
e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
e_4 & e_5 & e_6
\end{bmatrix}
\] (86)

where \( a, b, \ldots, i \) are possible "destroyed" entries.

From \( \det (E) = -\det (M) \), we have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(1) \ a(e_1 e_6 e_7) - e_2(e_1 e_6 e_7) + e_3(e_1 e_6 e_7) = -\det M, \\
(2) \ e_1(e_5 e_6 e_7) - e_2(e_5 e_6 e_7) + e_3(e_5 e_6 e_7) = -\det M, \\
& \vdots \\
(9) \ e_1(e_5 e_6 e_7) - e_2(e_5 e_6 e_7) + e_3(e_5 e_6 e_7) = -\det M.
\end{array}
\] (85)

In a similar way, we will obtain a double error for the matrix \( E \). For example, we consider a bivariate case for matrix \( E \) as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a & b & e_3 \\
e_1 & e_2 & e_3 \\
e_4 & e_5 & e_6
\end{bmatrix}
\] (86)

in which possible cases are \( 2C_9 = 36 \). Similarly, we obtain "triple," "four-fold," . . . , "nine-fold" errors, which the total number of cases is \( 1C_9 + 2C_9 + \cdots + 9C_9 = 2^9 - 1 = 511 \)
errors. By using \( \det E = -\det M \) and the relations (76)–(78), we can correct up to "single," "double," \ldots , "eight" errors except "nine" errors. Therefore, we get that the correctable possibility of the method is equal to \( 510/511 \approx 99.80 \% \).

In general, for \( k > 3 \), by the above method, the code matrix \( E \) may contain "single," "double," \ldots , "\( k^2 \) – fold" errors. Thus, \[
1C_k^2 + 2C_k^2 + \cdots + k^2C_k^2 = 2^{k^2} - 1.
\] (87)

Therefore, there are \( 2^{k^2} - 1 \) errors. By \( \det E = -\det M \) and relation (82), we can give that the correct ability of the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence matrix coding is equal to \[
\frac{2^{(k^2)} - 2}{2^{(k^2)} - 1}.
\] (88)

Then, for large value of \( k \), the correct possibility of this method is \[
\frac{2^{(k^2)} - 2}{2^{(k^2)} - 1} \approx 1 = \% 100.
\] (89)

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we give some codes on the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence. These coding methods are the applications of this sequence. Also, we obtain the following results:

1. For \( u = 4, 5 \) and 7, we get error detection and correction.

2. For \( k > 3 \), the correct ability of the \( k \)-Fibonacci sequence matrix coding is \( 2^{(k^2)} - 2/2^{(k^2)} - 1 = \% 100 \). In particular, for \( k = 3 \), the correct ability of this method is equal to \( 510/511 = \% 99.80 \).
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