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Simple Summary: New risks to plant health are constantly emerging. Such is the case of the rice root knot nematode Meloidogyne graminicola, adapted to flooded conditions and representing a risk to all types of rice agro-systems. It has been recently detected in Italy and added to the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) Alert List. The presence of this nematode in Europe poses a threat to rice production, as there is a high probability to spread, due to trade activities and climate changes. In view of its importance, an extensive updated review was carried out.

Abstract: Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the main cultivated crops worldwide and represents a staple food for more than half of the world population. Root-knot nematodes (RKNs), Meloidogyne spp., and particularly M. graminicola, are serious pests of rice, being, probably, the most economically important plant-parasitic nematode in this crop. M. graminicola is an obligate sedentary endoparasite adapted to flooded conditions. Until recently, M. graminicola was present mainly in irrigated rice fields in Asia, parts of the Americas, and South Africa. However, in July 2016, it was found in northern Italy in the Piedmont region and in May 2018 in the Lombardy region in the province of Pavia. Following the first detection in the EPPO region, this pest was included in the EPPO Alert List as its wide host range and ability to survive during long periods in environments with low oxygen content, represent a threat for rice production in the European Union. Considering the impact of this nematode on agriculture, a literature review focusing on M. graminicola distribution, biology, identification, and management was conducted.
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1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the third most important cereal crop in the world, just behind wheat and maize, playing a strategic role in solving food security issues. New risks to plant health are constantly emerging. Many nematodes in rice have been detected and described, but only a few have harmful effects on rice production, such is the case of the rice root-knot nematode (RKN) Meloidogyne graminicola Golden and Birchfield, 1965 (Mg) [1], recently detected in Italy and added to the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization (EPPO) Alert List [2]. Mg is considered a major threat to rice production, particularly in Asia. Projections by the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change indicate that there will be an increase in mean annual temperature and rainfall...
in South Asia, West Africa, and Europe. The elevated temperature and moisture may result in an increasing rate of infection, development, and reproduction, causing shifts in Mg abundance and geographic distribution. Such effects may have a detrimental impact on rice in temperate regions. Furthermore, Mg is a clear example of how alterations in rice production (shortage of water due to socioeconomic pressure and climate change) contributed to changes in its status as the major plant-parasitic nematode (PPN) in rice. An effort has been made to gather all the information regarding several aspects of Mg to present it as a comprehensive review on rice RKN.

2. Meloidogyne graminicola—Origin and Distribution

The rice RKN, Mg, was first isolated in India by Israel et al. [3], but it was only described in 1965 when it was found on the roots of barnyard grass (Echinochloa colonum) in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA [4]. Since then, this nematode has been reported from the USA on rice and weeds in Louisiana, on grass in Georgia and Mississippi, and on sandbur (Cenchrus spp.) in Florida [5–8]. Its occurrence has been widely accounted in rice fields in several Asian countries [9–11] and also in South Africa, Colombia, Brazil, and Italy [12–14].

Mg has been reported to parasitize primarily in irrigated and rainfed rice in South and Southeast Asian countries, such as China, India, the Philippines, Burma (Myanmar), Bangladesh, Pakistan, Laos, Thailand, Vietnam, and Nepal [15–17]. In China, it was first found on Allium tisculosum in the Hainan province by Zhao et al. [18]. More than a decade later, it was detected associated with rice and other hosts including weeds in the provinces of Anhui, Fujian, Hainan, Hunan, Hubei, Zhejiang, Jiangxi, and Sichuan, causing a severe incidence in the Hunan province [19–22].

In India, this nematode was first isolated in the county of Orissa from upland rice soils by Israel et al. [3]. Since then, it has been found infecting rice in the provinces of Andaman and Nicobar Islands, Assam, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Manipur, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, Tripura, and West Bengal [23,24]. In 1971, its presence was referred in Thailand, causing typical root galls in entire rice-growing areas and in nursery seedbeds [25], and in Bangladesh, where it has been often associated with deepwater and pre-monsoon upland rice systems [26–28]. Minor infestations were reported in lowland rainfed rice areas [28]. Nonetheless, in the northwest of Bangladesh, where the dominant cropping system is lowland rainfed alternated with wheat, severe infestations of Mg were observed [29].

Later, in the 1990s, Mg was reported infesting rice fields in Sri Lanka, where it is now dispersed into major rice-growing areas of the country [30–32]. In a study performed in Vietnam, in 1992, to determine the PPN in deepwater rice systems, Mg was identified for the first time as one of the main causes of high yield losses of rice [33]. In Pakistan, during a survey in rice fields of Sheikhpura (Punjab), Munir and Bridge [34] reported its presence for the first time in the country and in 2007, Mg was detected in Nepal [35].

The occurrence of Mg in Africa was recorded on grass roots of Paspalum sp. in the South East region of Antsirabe, and its identification was based on morphological traits [36]. Later, in 2014, during a survey carried out in 14 sites distributed along a NW/SE axis between the towns of Marovovay and Manakara, Mg was found [37].

The first report of Mg in South America was by Monteiro et al. [38] in cyperaceas collected in Presidente Prudente, São Paulo, Brazil. However, only in 1991, Sperandio and Monteiro [39] first reported and described the species in the municipality of Palmares do Sul (Rio Grande do Sul) and, in 1994, Sperandio and Amaral [40] found Mg in other municipalities in the south of Rio Grande do Sul. The latest reports confirm the presence of the rice RKN in the region [41,42].

In Ecuador, Mg was first identified in 1987, in the “Sausalito” village located in the corner of Puerto Inca, province of Guayas, in a field planted with the cultivar Oryzica 1. In surveys conducted in the Provinces of Manabi, Guayas, and Los Ríos, Mg was not found in any other field planted with rice. Nevertheless, by 2000, it had already been disseminated to all rice fields of the Province of Guayas and, in 2002, it was present in the Province of
Los Ríos [43]. In a new survey conducted in 2015 in the provinces of Guayas and Los Ríos, the rice RKN was found to be the most widespread, occurring in both rainfed lowland and irrigated areas in high densities [13].

In Colombia, Gomé et al. [44] reported the presence of galls in the roots of rice plants in the county of Tolima, Ibague. Thirteen years later, in a survey programme established by the Colombian rice federation “FEDEARROZ”, Bastidas and Montealegre [45] described the symptoms of a new rice disease denominated as “Entorchamiento” and concluded that it was caused by nematodes of the *Meloidogyne* genus. The species *Mg* was later identified, on the basis of morphological and biometrical characters, in other counties and its presence confirmed in other rice production zones, corroborating its spread throughout the country [46,47].

In Europe, *Mg* was detected, in July 2016, in several rice fields of northern Italy in the Piedmont region, being the first report of its presence in the EPPO region [14]. Due to this detection, the EPPO decided to include *Mg* in the Alert List A2 in 2017. Following the first report, it was detected in the Lombardy region, province of Pavia [2].

This *Meloidogyne* species is present almost in every continent (Table 1. Figure 1). Such occurrence and increase detection draws attention to its potential to affect temperate rice agro-systems adversely.

### Table 1. Distribution of *Meloidogyne graminicola* in Africa, America, Asia, and Europe.

| Distribution Year References |
|-----------------------------|
| Madagascar 2014 | [37] |
| South Africa 1991 | [36] |
| America (North-USA) 2003 | [8] |
| Georgia 1984 | [6] |
| Louisiana 1965 | [4] |
| Mississippi 1990 | [7] |
| Brazil 1988, 1991, 1994, 2017, 2019 | [38–42,48] |
| Colombia 1994, 2001, 2010 | [45–47] |
| Ecuador 1987, 2002, 2016 | [13,43] |
| Bangladesh 1971, 1978, 1979, 1983, 1990 | [49–52] |
| China 2001, 2015, 2017, 2019, 2020, 2021 | [18–22,53] |
| Indonesia 1993, 2015, 2018 | [54–56] |
| 1963, 1979, 1985, 1987, 1989, 1993, 1994 | |
| India 2000, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2010, 2011, 2017 | [3,23,57–69] |
| Laos 1968 | [70,71] |
| Malaysia 1994 | [72] |
| Myanmar 1981, 2011 | [73,74] |
| Nepal 2007, 2009 | [16,35] |
| Pakistan 2003 | [34] |
| Philippines 1994, 2001 | [75,76] |
| Singapore 2001 | [77] |
| Sri-Lanka 1997, 2001 | [30,31] |
| Thailand 1971 | [25] |
| Vietnam 1992, 1994 | [33,78] |
| Europe Italy 2016, 2018 | [2,14] |
3. Life Cycle and Symptoms

*Mg* is a facultative meiotic parthenogenetic species with the probability of occurring amphimixis being very low [79,80]. The infective second-stage juvenile (J2) move through the soil to find a suitable host and penetrate the root near the tip. They migrate intercellularly towards the region of cell differentiation, close to the root meristem, inducing a permanent feeding site in the stele [81,82]. Once established in the roots, J2 become sedentary and flask-shaped and undergoes three molts to become third (J3) and fourth stages (J4) and adult stage. Hyperplasy and hypertrophy of surrounding cells cause the formation of macroscopically visible galls on the root system [1,83,84]. These galls with a characteristic hook shape are located mostly at the root tips, affecting root development and physiology, and a profuse proliferation of very slender and fluffy roots that lead to substantial yield losses [12,85,86]. Females remain within the galled roots, and eggs are deposited in a gelatinous matrix (egg mass) inside the root cortex. The first-stage juveniles (J1) develop inside the egg and molt to become J2. After hatching, the J2 can be released into the soil or remain within the gall to migrate and establish new feeding sites, inducing the formation of new galls [27,87–89]. This unusual way of laying eggs is an advantage as it allows *Mg* to complete its life cycle without leaving the host. Up to 50 egg-laying females can be found in a single gall, indicating that infection can be extremely high [12]. As *Mg* is unable to penetrate rice roots in flooded soils, it has been reported that under continuously flooded conditions, egg masses remain viable for as long as 14 months and J2 for at least five months, resuming their activity by attacking the root tips when fields are drained [27,90].

The most common underground symptom is the characteristic hook shape of the galls, as referred before. Additionally to the consumption of cytoplasmic content of giant cells by the nematode, the galling produced by *Mg* provokes an alteration of the root vascular system by disrupting water and nutrient transport from the roots to the aboveground parts, resulting in loss of plant vigor, poor growth, and yield reduction [91]. To maintain a compatible host–parasite relationship, *Mg* meddles and manipulates the defense mechanism of the plant, making it unable to prevent the nematode penetration and development [80]. Infestations of *Mg* cause a reduction in phenols and changes in plant immunity gene expression in the shoots and roots, causing greater susceptibility to the rice blast pathogen, *Pyricularia oryzae*, and fungus from soil, such as *Fusarium moniliforme* [3,92,93].

Aboveground symptoms due to *Mg* infection include patches in rice fields, stunted appearance, chlorotic leaves, early flowering and maturation, and few chaffy grains on
the panicles on heavily affected root systems [80,94,95]. These symptoms are similar to that attributed to nutritional and water-associated disorders or to secondary diseases. The degree of symptom manifestation differs with time of infection, age of the plants, and climatic conditions [17]. A reduction in chlorophyll content and changes in photosynthetic rates were also reported by Swain and Prasad [96,97]. Losses in flooded rice fields occur when infected seedlings fail to develop, leaving patches of open water in the fields [27]. Overall, symptoms observed in infested upland and lowland rice fields from different geographical locations reported by several researchers match among them. For instance, in Italy, the fields showed patches, with plants exhibiting poor growth and stunting and roots having galls of different shapes and sizes [14]. In India, surveys carried out in rice fields, from different districts, a loss of vigor, reduced tillering, poor growth, and galls were detected [24,98,99].

Khan et al. [100] observed that in some species of weeds, the egg masses were found within the galls, while others had small galls with egg masses on the root surface or heavy root galling and large egg masses. In Bangladesh, Mg was associated with yellowing and stunting of deep-water rice and drowning of plants when they remain submerged and die after rapid and deep flooding [50,101]. In China, the symptoms included chlorotic leaves on heavily affected root systems, while root tips become swollen and hooked [102,103]. In South America, newly emerged leaves appear distorted and crinkled along the margins and roots show the characteristic hook-like galls [41,42,46,104].

Mg reproduces relatively fast on rice, depending on temperature and climatic conditions, when compared with other RKN species. Several authors reported that the Mg life cycle varies considerably, ranging from a very short life cycle of only 15 days at 27–37 °C [105,106] to a rather long life cycle of up to 51 days in some regions of India [107,108]. On average, Mg can complete its life cycle within 19 to 27 days during the early summer, but the period can extend by 5 to 12 days [27,105,108–110]. For instance, isolates from Bangladesh had a very short life cycle on rice of <19 days at temperatures of 22–29 °C [27] and an isolate from the USA completed its life cycle in 23–27 days at 26 °C [105]. Due to the short life cycle, the presence of even a small number of Mg J2 at planting can lead to an increase of the population density during a single crop cycle [111].

4. Damage/Crop Losses in Rice

Mg is the most prevalent PPN on rice and considered a major threat to rice as yield losses can reach up to 70% [12,94,112]. Mg densities of 120, 250, and 600 eggs/plant in seedlings 10, 30, and 60 days after planting were reported by Rao et al. [110], causing 10% losses. In a later study, Cuc and Prot [78] stated that a density of 100 J2/g root could be considered as high infestation. Most recently, Win et al. [74] found that population densities could exceed 1000 J2/g root with 12–16 galls/plant, contributing to a 65% yield reduction. It has also been found that there is a decline in yield when more than 75% of the roots are affected by nematodes [32]. Additionally, the water regime is an important environmental factor that influences the development and population dynamics of Mg, and the damage and yield loss that it can cause to rice. Soriano et al. [91] showed that rice cultivar tolerance to Mg varies with the water regime and that yield losses may be prevented or minimized when the rice crop is flooded early and maintain inundated until harvesting. For example, losses in lowland rainfed rice in Bangladesh can range between 16 and 20%, while in India, losses range between 16 and 32% under irrigated conditions and between 11 and 73% under flooded conditions [102,111]. In China, the highest incidence of the disease is in the Hunan provinces, exceeding 85% in infested paddy fields [19]. Furthermore, reports of Mg infestations in rice–wheat agroecosystem of India, Nepal, and Pakistan suggest that the damage caused by the rice RKN may be responsible for the poor productivity in this cropping system [10,11,35,114].

Changes in agricultural policy and adoption of new rice production technologies in South East Asian countries have influenced the status of the rice RKN problem [75]. For instance, in the Philippines, Mg became a major constrain due to the intensification of rice
cropping and shortage of water supply. This situation forced the farmers to grow direct wet seeding, and intermittent irrigation, providing favorable conditions for Mg infestation and increasing the economic losses [9,75]. In India, the system of rice cultivation shifted to the so-called “system of rice intensification practice”, where a new ecological condition is being developed through modification of rice cultivation practices that includes planting younger and tender seedlings, the creation of greater aeration in soil, and regulation in irrigation. All these conditions provide a suitable environment to increase the infestation levels of the rice RKN [112,114,115].

Spatio-temporal studies have also demonstrated that densities of Mg J2 in the soil fluctuate throughout the year [116]. Moreover, Mg’s ability to survive and reproduce in off-seasons on weeds and forage crops contributes to increase the population levels in the soil, and rice infection in the next season [35]. Besides alternative hosts and irrigation, the soil type influenced the tolerance of plants to Mg and showed differences in the multiplication of the nematode [91]. Studies have also revealed that infestation levels depend on the rice cultivar [117,118], and the aggressiveness differs between populations, suggesting intraspecific variability [35,119]. It was also found that Mg consists of more than one race. In fact, populations from Florida have shown less aggressiveness and difference on the host infection and reproduction patterns than the Asian populations, and populations from Vietnam are not able to reproduce on tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), soy (Glycine max), or green beans (Phaseolus vulgaris), despite these species being reported as a host of Mg [16,119,120].

5. Host Plants

In addition to the main host, rice, Mg has a wide range of alternative hosts, including cereals and grasses, as well as dicotyledonous plants [15,120,121] (Table 2). Forty-six weeds commonly growing in or around rice fields were assessed for host suitability and were found to be moderate to good hosts of Mg [122]. Khan et al. [100] reported 17 weed species and, in 2009, Rich et al. [15} reported 24, which supported the survival and multiplication of Mg in the field, acting as a reservoir of nematodes when rice is not present during crop rotations [15] (Table 3). Furthermore, it was believed that Mg caused yield losses only in rice; however, a reduction of the root length of onion (Allium cepa) was observed, with yield losses of 16–35% in the Philippines [76]. In Nepal, India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh, it is considered a threat to wheat crops and to vegetables, such as aubergine (S. melongena), tomato, and okra (Abelmoschus esculentus) [10,122–125].

| Family          | Species (Common Name) | Reference | Family          | Species (Common Name) | Reference |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Amaranthaceae   | Beta vulgaris (Beetroot) | [126]     | Malvaceae       | Abelmoschus esculentus (Okra) | [124]     |
|                 | Spinacia oleracea (Spinach) | [12]      | Musaceae        | Musa sp. (Banana) | [127] |
|                 | Allium cepa (Onion) | [76]      | Avena sativa (Oat) | [5]       |
| Amaryllidaceae  | A. tuberosum (Chive) | [129]     | Hordeum vulgare (Barley) | [23]     |
|                 | A. fistulosum (welsh onion) | [129]  |
| Apiaceae        | Coriandrum sativum (Coriander) | [126]   | Oryza sativa (Rice) | [5,4]     |
| Asteraceae      | Lactuca sativa (Lettuce) | [12]     | Saccharum officinarum (Sugarcane) | [12] |
| Brassicaceae    | Brassica oleracea (Cabbage) | [12]     | Sorghum bicolor (Sorghum) | [12] |
|                 | B. oleracea var. botrytis (Cauliflower) | [128] | Triticum aestivum (Wheat) | [10,123] |
| Cucurbitaceae   | Cucumis sativus (Cucumber) | [12]     | Zea mays (Maize) | [12]     |
| Fabaceae        | Glycine max (Soybean) | [122]    | Capsicum frutescens (Chilli) | [130]   |
|                 | Phaseolus vulgaris (Common bean) | [5]    | C. annuum (Pepper) | [124] |
|                 | Vigna adiate (Green gram) | [12]    | Solanum lycopersicum (Tomato) | [124] |
|                 | V. unguiculata (Cowpea) | [12]    | S. melongena (Aubergine) | [124] |
Table 3. Weeds hosts of Meloidogyne graminicola.

| Family             | Species (Common Name)                      | Reference |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Alismataceae       | *Alisma plantago* (Common water-plantain)  | [14]      |
|                    | *Alternanthera sessilis* (Sessile joy weed) | [100]     |
| Amaranthaceae      | *Amaranthus spinosus* (Spiny amaranth)     | [40]      |
|                    | *A. viridis* (Slender amaranth)            | [122]     |
| Acanthaceae        | *Rungia pariciflora*                      | [128]     |
| Apiaceae           | *Centella asiatica* (Spade leaf)          | [128]     |
| Apocynaceae        | *Catharanthus roseus* (Periwinkle)         | [12]      |
|                    | *D. sanguinalis* (Dewgrass)               | [100]     |
| Brassicaceae       | *Brassica juncea* (Brown mustard)         | [12]      |
| Caryophyllaceae    | *Spergula arvensis* (Corn spurry)         | [23]      |
| Commelinaceae      | *Cygnos tus cucullata* (Roth)              | [132]     |
|                    | *Commelina benghalensis*                   | [132]     |
|                    | *Murdannia keisak* (Marsh dew flower)     | [126]     |
| Compositae         | *Gnaphalium coarctatum*                   | [133]     |
|                    | *Cyperus brevifolius* (Kyllinga)           | [126]     |
|                    | *C. compressus* (Annual sedge)            | [105]     |
|                    | *C. difformis* (Variable Flatsedge)        | [135]     |
|                    | *C. imbricatus*                           | [126]     |
|                    | *C. odoratus* (Flats edge)                | [136]     |
|                    | *C. pilosus* (Fuzzy flats edge)           | [128]     |
|                    | *C. punctatus*                            | [132]     |
|                    | *C. roxburghii*                           | [126]     |
| Cyperaceae         | *Fimbristylis complanata*                 | [126]     |
|                    | *F. dichotoma*                            | [126]     |
|                    | *F. littoralis* (Lesser fimbristylis)      | [126]     |
|                    | *F. micrantha*                            | [130]     |
|                    | *F. parvispathus*                         | [126]     |
|                    | *F. glomeratia*                           | [126]     |
|                    | *Schoenoplectus articulatus*              | [128]     |
| Euphorbiaceae      | *Chamaesyce hirta* (Asthma herb)          | [136]     |
|                    | *Phyllanthus urinaria*                    | [130]     |
| Fabaceae           | *Desmodium triflorum*                     | [122]     |
|                    | *Pisum sativum* (Glass pea)               | [12]      |
|                    | *Trifolium repens* (White clover)         | [12]      |
|                    | *Trigonella polyceratia*                  | [23]      |
| Hydrocharitaceae   | *Hydrilla sp.*                            | [132]     |
| Juncaceae          | *Juncus microcephalus*                    | [137]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *Leucas lavandulifolia*                   | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *Lythrum salicaria*                       | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *Potentilla erecta*                       | [12]      |
|                    | *Silene vulgaris*                         | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *Sanguisorba officinalis*                 | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *Stachys byzantina*                       | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *S. capensis*                             | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *S. hircina*                              | [128]     |
| Lamiaceae          | *S. serpyllifolia*                        | [128]     |
Table 3. Cont.

| Family          | Species (Common Name)          | Reference | Family          | Species (Common Name)          | Reference |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Linderniaceae    | *Bonnaya brachiata*            | [122,126] | Sphenocleaceae  | *Sphenoclea zeylanica*         | [126]     |
|                 | *Lindernia sp.*                | [134]     | Ranunculaceae   | *Ranunculus sp.* *(Buttercup)* | [105]     |
|                 | *Vandellia sp.*                | [130]     | Rubiaceae       | *Borreira articularis*         | [138]     |
| Lythraceae      | *Ammannia pentandra*           | [126]     |                 | *Hedyotis diffusa*             | [128]     |
| Onagraceae      | *Jussiaea repens*              | [130]     |                 |                                |           |
|                 | *Ludwigia adscendens* *(Primrose)* | [130]    |                 |                                |           |

6. Identification Approaches: From Classical to Molecular Methods

The identification of *Mg* is complex and crucial to understand the host–parasite relationships and to implement appropriate management strategies. Similar to the identification of other *Meloidogyne* species, the classical methods are based on the symptoms (root galls), morphology, biometrics, and differential host range tests [139–143]. The *Meloidogyne* ‘graminis-group’, the most defined group within the genus, with some species being morphologically extremely similar, including *M. graminicola*, *M. graminis*, *M. hainanensis*, *M. lini*, *M. oryzae*, *M. salasi*, and *M. triticoryzae* [48,144]. In studies performed by Pokharel et al. [16] and Luo et al. [103], morphometrics among and within populations did not correlate with the geographic origin. Pokharel et al. [35] mentioned that J2 from Bangladesh and the United States were significantly longer and smaller than the Nepalese, and presented minor variability among them. These morphometrical differences might be due to different geographical origin and intraspecific variability, or phenotypic plasticity commonly exhibited by nematodes [16,69,145]. Morphological features, such as the female’s perineal patterns, female excretory pore position in comparison to stylet length, the position of hemizonid and tail shape in J2, as well as body, stylet, and tail measurements, are considered valuable tools for *Mg* identification due to their low cost, but they need specialized technicians to identify and measure these characters.

Other identification methods include enzymatic studies [146]. Isozyme phenotyping has demonstrated that the major species of *Meloidogyne* (*M. incognita*, *M. javanica*, *M. arenaria*, and *M. hapla*) can be differentiated by species-specific enzyme phenotypes, esterases (EST), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and glutamate-oxaloacetate transaminase (GOT), which can be revealed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and a specific staining technique [147]. Esterase activity has demonstrated to be highly polymorphic and the most useful in the identification of the species. Furthermore, progresses in electrophoretic procedures have made possible and practical the detection of different EST phenotypes of a single female [148]. The main drawback of this method is that it requires adult females at a specific developmental stage for accurate diagnosis, which hinders its use in routine examination of soil samples that often contain only J2 or males.

Esbenshade and Triantaphyllou [146] described, in 1985, in one population of *M. oryzae*, an esterase phenotype designated as VS1 (very slow with one band), as having a large drawn-out band of high enzymatic activity. The same phenotype with a slightly slower band (Est VS1) was also detected in a population of *Mg* and two undescribed populations isolated from rice, which were later described as *M. salasi*. Since the VS1 phenotype did not characterize a single species, it remained the EST phenotype of these species. This fact shows the inaccuracy of this technique when identifying closely related species with similar phenotypes, such as *M. salasi*, *M. graminicola*, and *M. graminis*. Populations of *M. oryzae* showed a pattern O1 in an integrative taxonomy study performed by Mattos et al. [149]. Other studies have shown a high variability on *Mg* populations [48,149,150], which poses a risk of misidentification. Moreover, MDH enzymatic phenotype N1 is shared among *Meloidogyne* species, i.e., *M. chitwoodi* and *M. salasi* [48,146].

In order to assist Mg identification, the application of molecular methods has been used with partial success; in particular, sequences of nuclear ribosomal (rDNA) and mito-
chondrial DNA (mtDNA) as molecular markers for sequence comparison \[16,35,151,152\]. In 2017, Salalia et al. \[69\] and Fanelli et al. \[14\] found high variability within isolates of \(Mg\) from India and Italy, the USA, and China and, based on cytochrome oxidase subunit II and 16S ribosomal RNA (COXII-16S rRNA) genetic analysis, considered the existence of two groups of \(Mg\): group A, which clusters the populations from the USA and Italy, and group B with those from China. According to Pokharel et al. \[35\], the analysis of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences as genetic markers allowed the detection of two groups in \(Mg\) Nepalese populations: group I, clustering with \(M. trifoliophila\), and group II with \(Mg\) from the USA. A new race of \(Mg\) from Florida, USA, which did not parasitize rice was also identified by Pokharel et al. \[16\], based on the ITS region and morphological and morphometric characters that are not species specific. Furthermore, Bellafiore et al. \[119\] and Salalia et al. \[69\] detected great morphological variability among populations of \(Mg\) from India and Vietnam, and using an ITS marker, concluded that all the isolates belonged to \(Mg\). Salalia et al. \[69\] even suggested the presence of cryptic species among Indian populations. On the other hand, Htay et al. \[152\], when analyzing ITS-rDNA sequences, from the same individual or from different nematodes from the same sample noted that there was nucleotide variability. These differences could be attributed to variations among copies of the ITS within an individual, or to errors arising through PCR amplification, cloning, or sequencing \[35\].

Several molecular methods have been developed to detect \(Mg\): (1) ITS-PCR-RFLP \[14\]; and (2) diagnostic SCAR marker \[119,149,152\] for rapid and reproducible identification of \(Mg\). However, Negretti et al. \[48\] and Soares et al. \[150\] showed inespecificity associated with \(M. oryzae\) and \(M. ottersoni\); (3) real-time PCR primers for the quantification of \(Mg\) in soil \[153,154\], with the drawback that some primers amplifying DNA of the closest non-target species (\(M. incognita\) and \(M. hapla\)) or not widely tested against other species; and (4) mediated isothermal amplification \[154\].

7. Genomic and Transcriptomics

The mitochondrial genome of three \(Mg\) isolates from the Philippines, China, and India has been sequenced \[155–157\]. Somvanshi et al. \[157\] included the first genome draft from India, but, recently, Phan et al. \[158\] generated a highly contiguous reference genome (283 scaffolds with an N50 length of 294 kb, totaling 41.5 Mb), with the highest completeness scores currently published for \(Meloidogyne\) genomes. This genome assembly constitutes a great improvement and represents a valuable molecular resource for future phylogenomic studies and evolutionary history reconstruction. Somvanshi et al. \[159\] improved the genome assembly of the Indian isolate IARI using long-read sequencing. Comparison of both genomes displayed a high correlation between them, 35.9 Mb of 36.86 Mb assembly in the IARI isolate anchored onto the 41.5 Mb of the Mg VN18 assembly \[159\]. However, there are important differences in the protein-coding genes between both genome assemblies (14,602 (IARI) vs. 10,284 (VN18)), suggesting that the different sequencing platforms used in both assemblies have captured unique features of the \(Mg\) genome.

Genomic tools have been developed to help understand the molecular responses of plants to nematode infection. Therefore, transcriptome analyses have become a useful tool to profile the expression of several key genes throughout the infection process in the feeding site, and systemically in the plant and nematode \[82\]. Previous research evidenced that plant–nematode interactions affect the expression of genes associated with plant immune response \[80,89\]. Differential expression of plant defense genes and other related changes in host plants are mainly modulated by phytohormones, such as salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and ethylene. Research demonstrated that RKN represses the jasmonic acid pathway and a few phenylpropanoid pathway genes during the establishment in the rice plants \[160–162\].

PPN can secrete effector T-proteins into the host tissue to facilitate their infection by reprogramming the host metabolism, or by preventing the plant defense responses. These effectors also have a role on nematode migration inside the plant roots and are required
to initiate and maintain the feeding sites [163,164]. Haegeman et al. [165] and Petitot et al. [166] analyzed the transcriptome of Mg J2 to identify genes and its pattern of expression during infection of rice plants, leading to the identification of new candidate effector genes: Mg40980 gene encoding a metallothionein; Mg12322 and Mg28330, encoding Cys-rich proteins; and Mg11937 gene, encoding a venom allergen-like protein, among others. Over the past years, novel Mg effectors playing a role in nematode parasitism were functionally characterized, including pioneer genes [167,168], a C-type lectin [169], and a protein disulfide isomerase [170]. In 2020, Petitot et al. [171] analyzed mRNA-seq data derived from nematode-infected rice tissue to identify nematode transcripts specifically expressed when the nematode resides inside the plant, through a comprehensive transcriptome analyses of J2 and rice infected tissues until the development of young adult females. Dash et al. [172] delivered a transcriptome comparison of nematode-resistant and susceptible rice plants in the same genetic background. Through RNA-seq, the molecular mechanisms that confer resistance to Mg during early infection were identified. These findings provide a global view of the genes expressed in the rice–Mg interaction, highlighting that Mg adapts its gene expression depending on the plant genotype. It may also suggest that the initial resistance to nematode infection is mediated by nematode recognition followed by the expression of plant defense genes and secondary metabolites.

Nevertheless, additional efforts are required to identify the underlying pathways and mechanisms responsible for the resistance of rice to Mg, as well as important genes for successful infection of the plant by Mg.

8. Management

The best strategy for management of Mg is to prevent the movement of plant and soil that in some cases may adhere to machinery or tools. In a recent pest risk analysis for Mg in Italy, it was concluded that the main ways of dispersion of this nematode are likely to be through the movement of infected plants and infested soil, non-host plants that may have grown near areas infested with Mg, and floating roots or plant material in the water [121]. Migrant waterbirds, machinery, and travelers were considered a secondary source of entrance. On the other hand, changes in the water regime (intermittent irrigation or water shortages) in many parts of the world are also contributing to the spread and infectivity of the nematode.

To minimize the losses resulting from Mg, management strategies are of extreme importance, and studies have shown that a combination of methods is the best approach to control this nematode in rice fields. The methods that have been applied to control Mg include the use of synthetic nematicides, known as the most efficient strategy, cultural methods, biological agents, and natural nematicides.

Some synthetic nematicides were, recently, strictly regulated or banned from the market, due to the adverse impacts on the environment and human health, reducing the alternatives for RKN control. Cultural methods (fallowing, soil solarization, crop diversification and rotation, etc.) also appeared to have some efficacy. For instance, crop rotation studies with non-host crops, like sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata), sesame (Sesamum indicum), castor (Ricinus communis), sunflower (Helianthus annuus), soybean (Glycine max), turnip (Brassica rapa subsp. rapa), and cauliflower (Brassica oleracea var. botrytis), showed to prevent Mg development [110,132,173]. Nonetheless, none of these practices have gained importance among farmers, because of the high cost and unsatisfactory results. Furthermore, as many weeds found in rice fields are hosts for Mg, serving as nematode reservoirs for the next crops, a weed management programme must be implemented to maintain a low nematode population in infested fields.

Alternative strategies, such as the “rice field flooding technique”, used by the Italian National Plant Protection Organization (Ministerial Decree of 6 July 2017) to control Mg, had some effect on the nematode population densities. Mg can still propagate under flooding conditions, but the damage induced by this nematode is lower than in shallow intermittently flooded fields [80,174]. Nevertheless, this method of control also has some
limitations, as there are areas where this practice is not applicable due to the soil structure, characterized by a low water retention capacity, or restriction in water use. Another approach explored by Sacchi et al. [174] was the use of rice plants as trap crops. Preliminary results indicate that trap cropping for the management of the rice RKN is efficient in most rice-growing areas, especially those with water shortages. However, additional studies are required to establish the most effective number of trap crop cycles that are necessary to reduce Mg population density. Additionally, this technique, in our opinion, could be highly influenced by climate in northern latitudes in order to sow rice in advance and the cost of machinery and water.

The use of biological control agents, such as the fungi Paecilomyces lilacinus, Trichoderma harzianum, T. viride, and other Trichoderma spp.; the bacteria Bacillus subtilis; and the rhizobacterium Pseudomonas fluorescens, have shown promising results against Mg [175–178]. Studies by Amarasinghe and Hemachandra [178], in Sri Lanka, revealed that T. viride reduces gall formation and production of egg masses, which represents a potential strategy to be included in integrated pest management programs.

Similarly, the use of essential oils (EOs) has been explored to control RKN, as an alternative to the synthetic nematicides. The nematicidal effects of EO from spices and medicinal plants on RKN have been widely reported. The high effect of Cymbopogon spp. (C. martini motia, C. flexuosus, and C. winterianus) on J2 mortality has been described [179–181]. Chavan et al. [182] stated that basil (Ocimum basilicum), peppermint (Mentha × piperita), and lemongrass (Cymbopogon citratus) EOs have nematicidal properties against Mg. In order to confirm the efficacy of these EOs, the in vitro tests must be complemented by in vivo soil-based experiments.

Host plant resistance is an environmentally friendly and cost-effective strategy to mitigate damage caused by Mg. A promising alternative for the control of Mg is the screening of germplasm for genotypes that are resistant/tolerant and the development of resistant/tolerant cultivars [80,108,183]. Resistance sources against Mg have been identified in African wild accessions of rice (O. glaberrima and O. longistaminata and O. rufipogon) [184], and variability to a certain extent has been perceived [162]. Wild accessions that are partially or fully resistant to Mg can therefore act as resistant donors for interspecific crosses with Asian cultivars of rice [184,185]. Introgression of O. glaberrima into O. sativa has led, for example, to the new rice for Africa, NERICA cultivars [186], but the introgression has not been very successful [187]. Therefore, natural resistance in O. sativa cultivars is potentially very important. In Asian rice, using the Bala and Azucena mapping population, chromosomes 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, and 11 have been reported as having quantitative trait loci (QTL) for partial resistance to Mg [111]. Mapping of Mg resistance on chromosome 10 in Asian rice (cv. Abhishek), using bulk segregant analysis, was reported by Mhatre et al. [188]. A hypersensitivity-like reaction to Mg infection found in the Asian rice cv. Zhonghua 11 suggests that resistance to Mg was qualitative rather than quantitative, involving (a) major gene(s) [189]. Galeng-Lawilao et al. [190] reported the main effect QTL for field resistance in Asian rice on chromosomes 4, 7, and 9 plus two epistatic interactions (between loci on chromosome 3 and 11, and between 4 and 8).

Few studies have used genome-wide association studies (GWASs) as a viable strategy to identify novel QTLs for PPN resistance or susceptibility in different plants [191,192]. For example, Dimkpa et al. [191] confirmed the robustness of GWAS to screen for rice–nematode interactions and identified two resistant accessions (Khao Pahk Maw and LD 24). Studies carried out, in India, by Hada et al. [193] allowed the identification of 40 highly resistant accessions. Alternatively, the profiling of the defense response of 36 rice cultivars to Mg infection revealed a variation in the expression of plant defense genes [194]. Among all the selected plant defense genes, the expression of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK20), isochorismate synthase genes (ICS1), nonexpressor of pathogenicity expression genes1 (NPR1), phytoalexin-deficient 4 (PAD4), allene oxidase synthase (AOS2), jasmonic acid-inducible rice myb gene (JAMYB), and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (ACO7) was upregulated, possibly providing resistance against Mg. This observation
matches the insignificant expression in the susceptible genotypes. These outcomes are significant and can be exploited for breeding purposes.

9. Conclusions

Climate changes and the trade activity are supporting the northerly movement of pests, which means temperate agro-systems are likely to be affected. Higher temperatures and moisture may result in an increasing rate of infection, development, and reproduction, causing shifts in abundance and geographic distribution. Such is the case of Mg that has recently been detected in Italy, posing a threat to EU rice production and other economically important crops. Its adaptability to flooded conditions means that Mg can be found in both upland (rainfed) and lowland (irrigated) rice, and in deep-water ecosystems. This rice RKN is capable of completing several generations within a single growing rice season, promoting the rapid build-up of damaging population densities and infection of more than 150 plants. Besides, there are no effective and sustainable management strategies available. Therefore, future research should be focused on the Mg distribution, biology, and on new approaches for the identification and management of this RKN species, which can be considered a threat to rice production.
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