Lessons from Influenza Outbreaks for Potential Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak on Hospitalizations, Ventilator Use, and Mortality Among Homeless Persons in New York State

INTRODUCTION

Increasing numbers of patients infected by COVID-19 is raising serious concerns about the potential shortage of hospital beds and ventilators in cities such as New York City. The homeless population is particularly large in urban areas and will become larger given the economic crisis. They are high-risk of contagious diseases (as shelters and drop-in centers are crowded with an active turnover of people), and the outbreak among this population would negatively impact the health care system’s ability to respond to this crisis. However, little is understood how the outbreak of infectious diseases among the homeless population affects health systems’ resources (e.g., hospital beds, ventilators) and availability of resources for non-homeless population. To address this knowledge gap, using influenza outbreaks as an example, we investigated health care use among the homeless population hospitalized in New York State.

METHODS

We used the 2007–2012 New York State Inpatient Database that includes all inpatient discharge records from acute care hospitals. We identified all hospitalizations with primary or secondary diagnosis of influenza (ICD-9-CM code 487.xx or 488.xx) from July 2007 to June 2012 (median age 40 years [IQR 6–66]). Homeless patients were identified from the homeless status indicator, which was directly reported by hospitals.

We calculated monthly trends of hospitalization rates (/1000 person-months) in the homeless and non-homeless populations. Population estimates (denominator) were derived from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care data for the homeless population, and the US Census Bureau data for the non-homeless population. Next, we compared the utilization of care and patient outcomes (hospitalization through emergency department [ED], mechanical ventilation use [non-invasive or invasive], and in-hospital death) between homeless versus non-homeless patients using multivariable modified Poisson regression models. We adjusted for patient characteristics and year-month fixed effects. The study was approved by the UCLA Institutional Review Board.

RESULTS

Of the 20,078 patients hospitalized for influenza across 214 hospitals in New York, 1295 (6.4%) were homeless patients. Most hospitalizations (99.9%) among homeless individuals were concentrated in ten hospitals. Homeless patients experienced a higher rate of hospitalization for influenza than non-homeless persons throughout the observation period (Fig. 1). The difference was particularly salient for the pandemic of H1N1 influenza in 2009: hospitalization rates were 2.9 per 1000 for homeless versus 0.1 per 1000 for non-homeless populations. After adjusting for potential confounders, homeless patients were more likely to be hospitalized from ED (adjusted rate ratio [aRR], 1.09; 95% CI 1.04–1.14; \( P < 0.001 \)) and receive mechanical ventilation (aRR, 1.58; 95% CI 1.03–2.43; \( P = 0.04 \)), compared with non-homeless patients (Table 1). We found no evidence that the in-hospital mortality rate differed between homeless and non-homeless populations.

DISCUSSION

Using the population-based data, including all hospitalizations for influenza in New York, we found that homeless persons had higher utilization of care compared with non-homeless persons. Homeless people experienced a higher hospitalization rate, particularly during a pandemic period and, once hospitalized, a higher rate of mechanical ventilation use. In the midst of COVID-19 outbreak, these findings underscore the importance of infection control and prevention of COVID-19 among the homeless population (e.g., lower threshold of virus testing, providing temporary housing to improve sanitation, and social/physical distancing), which will, in turn, save resources of the US health care system for larger populations.
Our study has limitations. First, it is possible that homeless indicators were under-coded; therefore, their hospitalization rates might have been underestimated. Second, our findings may not be generalizable to other states than New York. However, New York is currently struck by the outbreak of COVID-19 infections. Furthermore, our data represented 16% of the entire US homeless population, and the underlying patterns may be similar across states.

![Graph showing monthly trend in influenza hospitalizations per 1000 homeless and non-homeless individuals in New York state from July 2007 to June 2012. The arrows indicate the 2009–2010 pandemic of H1N1 influenza. Population estimates for homeless persons were derived from the US Department of Housing and Urban Development 2007–2012 Continuum of Care: Homeless Populations and Subpopulations reports, which provide summary information on point-in-time unduplicated counts of homeless individuals. Corresponding population estimates for non-homeless persons were derived from using 2007–2012 census data from the US Census Bureau.]

Table 1: Association Between Homelessness and Health Care Indicators in Patients Hospitalized for Influenza

| Outcome                                              | n   | Crude rate (%) | Adjusted rate ratio | 95% CI          | P values |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Hospitalization through ED                           |     |                |                     |                 |          |
| Homeless                                             | 1218| 94.2           | 1.09                | 1.04, 1.14      | < 0.001  |
| Non-homeless                                         | 16,162| 86.6          | Reference           |                 |          |
| Mechanical ventilation use, including non-invasive and invasive |     |                |                     |                 |          |
| Homeless                                             | 172 | 13.3           | 1.58                | 1.03, 2.43      | 0.04     |
| Non-homeless                                         | 1884| 10.1           | Reference           |                 |          |
| In-hospital death                                    |     |                |                     |                 |          |
| Homeless                                             | 18  | 1.4            | 1.03                | 0.63, 1.69      | 0.91     |
| Non-homeless                                         | 465 | 2.5            | Reference           |                 |          |

CI confidence interval. A Poisson regression was applied with the hospital-level clustered standard errors. Adjusted models included age [5-year intervals], sex, race/ethnicity [non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and others], primary payer [Medicare, Medicaid, private insurance, self-pay, and others], and indicator variables for 29 comorbidities included in Elixhauser Comorbidity Index. Of the total 20,078 inpatients, we analyzed 19,931 (99.4%) inpatients without missing key variables. Two-tailed P values below 0.05 were interpreted as statistically significant. We used Stata version 15 (StataCorp., 2017)
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