Empirical Analysis of Policy Gradient Algorithms where Starting States are Sampled accordingly to Most Frequently Visited States
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In reinforcement learning, the agent learns how to take actions that maximise rewards based on its observations and its past experience.
Reinforcement Learning: Achievements

Recent developments have shown impressive results in complex games.

Further research effort is required to handle industrial applications, such as autonomous driving and power system related tasks, though.
A Markov Decision Process (MDP) is a sequential task defined by:
- A state space $S$.
- We assume that what the agent observes the environment state.
- An action space $U$.
- An initial state distribution $p_0 : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$.
- A conditional probability distribution - called the *transition function* - which specifies the probability of reaching the next state from a state-action pair $P : S \times U \times S$ denoted as $P(\cdot|\cdot, \cdot)$.
- A real-valued function $\rho : S \times A \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which specifies the reward collected from reaching a given state from a state-action pair.
- A discount factor $\gamma$ which specifies the importance of future rewards.

A policy is defined as a density-based probabilistic mapping $S \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$. 
Reinforcement Learning Background

Reinforcement Learning: Policy Performance

Policy performance:

\[
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t \rho(s_t, u_t, s_{t+1}) = R_{s_0}^\pi
\]

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ R_{s_0}^\pi \right\} = J(\pi)
\]

\[
s_0 \sim p_0(\cdot), u_t \sim \pi(\cdot|s_t), \forall t \in \mathbb{N}
\]

\[
s_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot|s_t, u_t), \forall t \in \mathbb{N}
\]
Direct Policy Search Techniques for Finding an Optimal Parametrised Policy

The goal is to find an optimal policy \( \arg \max_\pi J(\pi) \).

Approach - Search optimal policies that are parametrised by vectors \( \theta \in \Theta \).

Policy search techniques -
Navigate in the space \( \Theta \) towards \( \theta^* \).

Gradient-free policy search techniques:
Monte-Carlo Tree Search techniques [3], Covariance Matrix Adaptation ([2]), Cross-Entropy Methods [4].

Gradient-based policy search techniques (our scope): Policy Gradient algorithms [6]
Under mild assumptions on the environment components, the Policy Gradient Theorem [6] states that the gradient of $J(\pi_\theta)$ is

$$\nabla_\theta J(\pi_\theta) = \int_S \int_U p_{\pi_\theta}(s) Q_{\pi_\theta}(s, u) \nabla_{\pi_\theta}(u|s) duds,$$

Discounted State Visitation Measure
$$\int_S p_0(s') \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k Pr(s' \rightarrow s, k, \pi_\theta) ds'.$$

$Pr$ is a $k$-step state-to-state transition density-based distribution.

Cost-to-go from applying action $u$ to state $s$ following $\pi_\theta$ afterwards
$$\mathbb{E}_{u \sim \pi(\cdot|s), \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_+} \{\rho(s, u, s') + \gamma R^\pi_{s'}\}$$

where $s' \sim P(\cdot|s, u), \forall t \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $R_{s'}^\pi$. 

$R^\pi_{s'}$
Iterate over Policy Gradient Estimates

Since the environment is unknown, $\nabla_\theta J(\pi_\theta)$ cannot be computed. Approach - Estimate the gradient of the policy $\pi_\theta$ from the trajectories generated by this policy using the REINFORCE [8] estimator:

$$\hat{\nabla}_\theta J(\pi_\theta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \nabla_\theta \pi_\theta(u_t|s_t) \sum_{k=0}^{T} \gamma^k \rho_k$$

Use the REINFORCE estimator to compute a well-performing $\theta$ as follows:

1. Define a learning rate $\alpha$ and a prior policy parametrised with $\theta$.
2. Collect trajectories by sampling actions with the policy $\pi_\theta$ from initial states sampled with $p_0$.
3. Compute $\hat{\nabla}_\theta J(\pi_\theta)$ by aggregating REINFORCE estimates across the trajectories.
4. Set $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \hat{\nabla}_\theta J(\pi_\theta)$ and go to Step 2 or stop here.
The Large Variance Issue over Finite Trajectories

Consequence - Mismatch between the state distribution of the finite trajectories and the discounted state visitation measure $p^{\pi, \theta} \rightarrow$ Unstable policy updates.

Assumption - The agent is able to sample starting states with a probability distribution that differs from $p_0$.

Our proposition - Sample starting states from $p^{\pi, \theta}$.
Policy Gradient Estimates by Sampling over Frequently Visited Starting States

Unfortunately, the agent has not access to $p^{\pi_{\theta}}$.

Proposition - Approximate $p^{\pi_{\theta}}$ by iteratively updating the parametric probability density function to fit the state distribution of the episodes generated by the current policy.

1. Define a learning rate $\alpha$ and a prior policy parametrised with $\theta$.
2. Define the prior starting state distribution $p'_0 \leftarrow p_0$.
3. Trajectory sampling sub-routine:
   1. Sample the initial state from $p'_0$.
   2. Generate a trajectory by sampling actions from the policy $\pi_{\theta}$
   3. Compute the parametric distribution $f(\cdot)$ to fit the trajectories generated by $\pi_{\theta}$ so far.
   4. Set $p'_0 \leftarrow f$ and go back to Step 3.1 or stop here.
4. Compute $\hat{\nabla}_\theta J(\pi_{\theta})$ by aggregating REINFORCE estimates across the trajectories.
5. Set $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \alpha \hat{\nabla}_\theta J(\pi_{\theta})$ and go to Step 3 or stop here.
Sampling starting states from a surrogate initial state distribution has been considered in the literature.

Uniform sampling of initial state from the goal state(s) neighborhood with progressive widening [1].

Sampling starting states from expert trajectories to solve hard-to-explore games [5].

To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing approach where starting states are sampled accordingly to their future discounted visitation measures.
Experimental protocol

To assess the benefits of our approach, we have designed three policy search cases:

1. The starting state is always sampled from the original initial state distribution.
2. The starting state is always sampled from an uniform distribution over the state space (bounded support).
3. The very first starting state is sampled from the original initial state distribution. Then, at the end of each episode, the parameters of a multivariate Gaussian mixture are computed to fit the state distribution of the trajectories generated by the policy, as explained in our modified policy gradient algorithm.

For each case, at each policy update, the new policy is tested by simulating trajectories for which starting states are sampled from the original initial state distribution.
Result samples (1/3)

Figure: Mass Spring Damper System - Performance report in terms of mean cumulative reward at each policy update (shaded area is std). Green, blue and red curve correspond to the first, the second and the third case, respectively.
**Result samples (2/3)**

**Figure:** Catcher - Performance report in terms of mean cumulative reward at each policy update (shaded area is std). Green, blue and red curve correspond to the first, the second and the third case, respectively.
Result samples (3/3)

**Figure:** Ship Steering - Performance report in terms of mean cumulative reward at each policy update (shaded area is std). Green, blue and red curve correspond to the first, the second and the third case, respectively.
Observations

- Mean cumulative rewards are slightly higher in the third case compared to others. The policy updates are also more stable for the third case.
  - Though, during the first policy updates in Ship steering, performances are better in the first and the second case.
- Sensitivity analyses (reported in the paper) over the hyper-parameters have shown that our approach is rather sensitive to the choice of both the learning rate and the number of components of the multivariate Gaussian mixture, with no clear pattern.
  - This might explains the performance issue of the third case for the first policy updates in the Ship steering environment.
Limitations and Future Research Directions

- While improvements have been observed in our approach, a theoretical bias-variance analysis should be carried out as future work.

- Further empirical analysis suggests that the hyperparameters specific to the third case should be optimized over the policy updates course.
  - Suggestion: Multi-armed bandits where each arm is associated to an hyper-parameter value and its reward to the associated policy performance.

- Finally, our approach could be applied and studied in other gradient-free reinforcement learning algorithms.
Limitations and Future Research Directions (cont’d)

- Our approach is limited to simulator-based environments where the agent has access to the state.
- What if the agent has only access to partial information about the state?
- Suggestion #1: Introduce the assumption that the agent can restart from a state it has already encountered in the past. Fit parametric distribution $f(\cdot)$ from the partial information and use it to restart from a given state in the past trajectories.
  - Quite simple approach, but may be memory consuming in practice (e.g., when dealing with complex video game).
  - Neural network-based density functions could be exploited for complex signals [7].
- Suggestion #2: Train in parallel an additional policy to reject actions, based on the information collected throughout the trajectory, that might lead to state regions that are likely to be less visited by the policy in expectation.
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