Living and working environments are important determinants of glycemic control in patients with diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic: A retrospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To investigate (1) the association of lifestyle changes and living and working conditions with glycemic control and (2) whether treatment was intensified appropriately in patients with diabetes under the first COVID-19 state of emergency in Japan.

Materials and Methods: A total of 321 participants were included. Participants completed a questionnaire regarding lifestyle changes, including diet, physical activity, and living and working conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic. The change in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels was estimated before (June 1, 2019 to August 31, 2019) and during (June 1, 2020 to August 31, 2020) the pandemic. Factors associated with changes in HbA1c levels were examined by multiple linear regression analysis. The proportion of patients who received treatment intensification for diabetes was compared between before and during the pandemic.

Results: There was no significant change in HbA1c levels before the pandemic and during the pandemic (7.13 ± 0.98% vs 7.18 ± 1.01%, P = 0.186). Teleworking (estimate 0.206, P = 0.004) and living with a dog (estimate -0.149, P = 0.038) were significantly associated with changes in HbA1c levels after adjusting for covariates. There was no significant difference in the proportion of patients who received treatment intensification for diabetes during the pandemic and before the pandemic in either the elderly or non-elderly patients.

Conclusions: Overall glycemic control did not worsen during the pandemic. Nonetheless, environmental factors, including telework, were found to influence glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Further studies are needed to clarify whether the COVID-19 pandemic could affect treatment intensification for diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)1 has spread worldwide from December 2019, leading to an ongoing pandemic. Diabetes has been reported to be independently associated with an increased risk of morbidity and mortality, and poor glycemic control is a predictor of severity and mortality in patients with diabetes2-5. With the spread of COVID-19, each country went into lockdown to prevent further spread of the disease. Since the first case of COVID-19 was reported in January 2020 in Japan, the Japanese government ordered all schools closed and introduced telework in March 20206. The government first
declared a state of emergency for seven prefectures on April 7 and expanded it to the whole nation on April 16. It asked citizens to stay at home and to avoid closed spaces, crowded places, and close-contact settings. This was without coercion or lockdown, which was different from the response in other countries. The state of emergency was then lifted on May 25, 2020.

Under lockdown or the state of emergency, people’s lifestyles, including eating habits and physical activity, were changed. There is concern that non-communicable diseases, such as diabetes, are worsened by lifestyle changes, including the introduction of telework. Previous studies have shown that teleworking increases sedentary time. However, evidence regarding the relationship between working conditions and glycemic control is scarce. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has affected the frequency of medical visits and the quality of medical care; thus, treatment intensification may not have been properly implemented in diabetes patients. Therefore, we performed a retrospective observational study to investigate (1) the association of lifestyle changes and living and working conditions with glycemic control and (2) whether treatment was intensified appropriately in patients with diabetes under the first COVID-19 state of emergency in Japan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participant selection

In this retrospective observational study, patients with diabetes, aged ≥20 years, who had been treated regularly at the National Center for Global Health and Medicine (NCGM) Hospital prior to January 1, 2019, were considered eligible. The number of diabetic patients whose HbA1c was available from June 1, 2020, to August 31, 2020, was 1,600, using the Japan Diabetes comprehensive database project based on an Advanced electronic Medical record System (IDREAMS). Four hundred sixteen patients were screened from November 1, 2020, to March 31, 2021. Patients with a history of admission from April 1 to May 31, 2019, those with severe cognitive impairment, those with cancer with a performance status of 2 or higher, those with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <30 mL/min/1.73 m², those with severe liver cirrhosis, and those who were excluded (Figure 1). Patients without laboratory data from June 1, 2019, to August 31, 2019, and those who declined to participate after enrollment were also excluded.

Clinical and laboratory data

Clinical and laboratory data were obtained from medical records between June 1, 2019, and August 31, 2019, and between June 1, 2020, and August 31, 2020. Data included age, sex, type of diabetes, duration of diabetes, diabetic retinopathy, history of cardiovascular disease (CVD), height, weight, body mass index (BMI), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP, respectively), hemoglobin, plasma glucose, HbA1c, triglycerides, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (γ-GTP), creatinine, uric acid, urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio (ACR), and information on medication. The HbA1c concentration was measured using high-performance liquid chromatography. eGFR was calculated using the equation for the Japanese. After enrollment in the study, participants completed a questionnaire regarding changes in their lifestyles during the COVID-19 pandemic. These included smoking and drinking status, sleep duration, number of cohabitants, living with or without a dog, working environment (work with commuting, telework, or unemployed), household income, type of insurance, dietary intake, physical activity, and exercise. The patients answered the questionnaire from November 12, 2020, to March 19, 2021.

Outcomes

The primary outcome was the change in HbA1c levels before (June 1, 2019 to August 31, 2019) and during (June 1, 2020 to August 31, 2020) the COVID-19 pandemic. Changes in laboratory and anthropometric data were also determined. Data on events of acute metabolic emergencies, including diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA), hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state (HHS), and severe hypoglycemia, from April 1, 2020, to August 31, 2020, and the use of telemedicine that started during the COVID-19 pandemic were obtained. The diagnoses of DKA and HHS were based on medical records. In this study, severe hypoglycemia was defined as an event requiring the assistance of another person to actively administer carbohydrates, glucagon, or take other corrective actions.

We further investigated whether treatment for diabetes was intensified appropriately before and during the pandemic. The HbA1c target in Japan is basically set at ≤53.0 mmol/mol (7.0%) for preventing diabetic complications, while that for the elderly is set individually according to age, activities of daily living, cognitive function, comorbidities, and the use of drugs potentially associated with severe hypoglycemia. Accordingly, we first calculated the proportion of patients who received treatment intensification in patients aged <65 years old. Then, among those aged 65 years or older, patients with drugs associated with a risk for severe hypoglycemia and those aged 85 years or older who were at an extremely high risk for both physical disability and dementia were excluded and the same calculation was done.

We defined patients who required treatment intensification (1) before and (2) during the pandemic as (1) those who did not change diabetes treatment between December 2018 and May 2019 and kept their HbA1c levels ≤53.0 mmol/mol or higher between March 2019 and August 2019 and (2) those who did not change diabetes treatment between December 2019 and May 2020 and kept their HbA1c levels ≤53.0 mmol/mol or higher between March 2020 and August 2020, respectively. Among these patients, we calculated the proportion of patients who received treatment intensification between June...
2019 and August 2019 (before the pandemic) and between June 2020 and August 2020 (during the pandemic).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± SD, median with interquartile range (IQR), or percentage according to data distribution. First, for the descriptive analysis, data before (June 1, 2019 to August 31, 2019) and during (June 1, 2020 to August 31, 2020) the COVID-19 pandemic were compared by paired-t test, Wilcoxon signed-rank test, McNemar’s test, or McNemar-Bowker test. Second, to determine the association between the change in HbA1c and variables, the $t$-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used. The Tukey-Kramer method was used for post hoc analysis after ANOVA. Two-way ANOVA was used to determine the interaction between age and telework. Multiple linear regression analysis with forward stepwise entry was performed to clarify the factors associated with the change in HbA1c and other laboratory and anthropometric data. The variables used in the analysis were age, sex, type of diabetes, duration of diabetes, history of CVD, presence of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR), variables listed above before the COVID-19 pandemic, medications before the COVID-19 pandemic, smoking and drinking status, living environment (with or without cohabitants and with or without a dog), working environment (telework vs others), household income, changes in dietary intake, physical activity, and exercise during the pandemic. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS, version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A $P$ value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 321 participants (72.5% male) were included. The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. There was no significant change in HbA1c levels before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (54.4 ± 10.7 vs 55.0 ± 11.1 mmol/mol, $P = 0.186$). As shown in Figure S1a, there were very few patients whose HbA1c levels were markedly changed during the pandemic (median with interquartile range; 0.1 [−0.30 to 0.37]). Also, the distribution of change in HbA1c levels was almost comparable between patients aged <65 years and those aged 65 years or older (Figure S1b,c) without a significant difference between the groups (0.5 ± 9.3 vs 0.6 ± 7.0 mmol/mol, $P = 0.872$). SBP significantly increased as weight, BMI, and uric acid decreased during the pandemic. Regarding medication, the prescription of sodium-glucose transport protein 2 inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and statins were significantly increased during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Before the pandemic, no episodes of acute metabolic emergencies were observed among the patients. During the pandemic, one patient (0.3%) experienced DKA, and no episode of HHS or severe hypoglycemia was observed. Twenty-five patients (7.8%) started using telemedicine in 2020.

Lifestyle changes during the pandemic are presented in Table 2. The number of cigarettes did not change and the percentage of drinkers was significantly decreased, however,
Table 1 | Patient characteristics before and during the COVID-19 pandemic

| Medication                  | Percentage | Percentage | P value‡ |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Sulfonylureas               | 20.0       | 18.1       | 0.070    |
| Biguanides                  | 54.4       | 56.3       | 0.019    |
| Thiazolidines               | 7.5        | 6.3        | 0.289    |
| α-Glucosidase inhibitors    | 19.7       | 19.4       | 1.000    |
| Glinides                    | 7.5        | 8.1        | 0.774    |
| DPP4 inhibitors             | 53.8       | 55.9       | 0.248    |
| SGLT2 inhibitors            | 30.0       | 38.4       | <0.001   |
| GLP-1 receptor agonists     | 13.4       | 15.3       | 0.4146   |
| Insulin                     | 30.6       | 28.7       | 0.070    |
| Calcium channel blockers    | 39.7       | 41.3       | 0.227    |
| ARBs                        | 40.9       | 43.8       | 0.035    |
| ACE inhibitors              | 84.4       | 78.8       | 0.625    |

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DPP4, dipeptidyl peptidase 4; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GTP, glutamyl transpeptidase; Hb, hemoglobin; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MR, mineral-corticoid receptor; NDR, non-diabetic retinopathy; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy; SD, standard deviation; SDR, simple diabetic retinopathy; SGLT2, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2; UA, uric acid. ‡Paired t-test. †McNemar’s test.

ethanol consumption by the drinkers was significantly increased. During the pandemic, 29.2% of the patients were living alone, 9.6% lived with a dog, 11.7% were introduced to telework, and 11.2% were welfare recipients. As shown in Figure 2, there was a significant difference in the change in HbA1c levels among workers who commuted, teleworkers, and unemployed patients (P = 0.038 by ANOVA). Furthermore, post-hoc analysis revealed that teleworkers had significantly increased HbA1c levels compared with workers who commuted (Figure 2a). The change in HbA1c levels in patients living with a dog tended to be slightly lower than that in patients without a dog (Figure 2b). There was no significant difference in changes in HbA1c levels during the pandemic among household income categories (Figure 2c). As age may have affected the impact of teleworking on the change in HbA1c, a sensitivity analysis was done according to the age (<65 years old vs ≥65 years old) (Figure S2). Twenty-four out of 108 and six out of 213 were teleworking during the pandemic in the non-elderly and in the elderly group. The change in HbA1c was significantly higher in patients with teleworking than that in patients without (P = 0.014) and no significant interaction was observed between age and telework (P = 0.916 for interaction by two-way ANOVA).

Regarding dietary intake (Table 3), the frequency of eating out/banquets decreased in more than 70% of the patients. Conversely, the intake of fruits, sweets, and snacks increased in 20–30% of the patients. Both physical activity and exercise decreased in more than 40% of the patients. Patients with decreased snacks had significantly decreased HbA1c levels. Adding milk/sugar to coffee/tea significantly contributed to an increase in HbA1c levels during the pandemic (Figure 3). As
In this study, it was demonstrated that HbA1c levels were not significantly changed during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japanese patients with diabetes. Teleworking and living with a dog considered the possibility that living with a dog and teleworking could affect physical activity and exercise. Thus, we calculated the proportions of categories (decreased, stable, or increased) of physical activity and exercise between patients living with and without a dog (Table S1) and among those commuting to work, teleworkers, and unemployed patients (Table S2). Patients living with a dog tended to have low proportions of decreased physical activity and exercise (P = 0.149 for physical activity and P = 0.133 for exercise) compared with those living without a dog. Unexpectedly, teleworkers showed high proportions of both decreased and increased physical activity and exercise compared with those commuting to work or unemployed (P = 0.046 for physical activity and P = 0.002 for exercise). There was no significant difference in the change in dietary intake between living with/without a dog or working condition (data not shown).

Next, we investigated factors associated with changes in weight, BMI, SBP, and uric acid levels (Table S3). These were significantly changed during the pandemic (Table 1). We also investigated factors associated with changes in lipid metabolism, liver enzyme, and renal function variables (Table S4). In terms of exercise-related behavioral changes, decreased exercise was associated with increased weight and SBP. Furthermore, decreased physical activity was associated with an increased BMI and albuminuria. Regarding dietary-related changes, fish intake was associated with increased uric acid levels; vegetable intake with increased HDL cholesterol levels; and convenience store lunch/home delivery meal and carbohydrate intake were positively and negatively, respectively, associated with LDL cholesterol levels. Regarding the working environment, teleworking was associated with increased ALT and γ-GTP levels. Furthermore, living with a dog was associated with decreased LDL cholesterol levels.

Finally, we investigated the proportion of patients who required treatment intensification for diabetes and those who received treatment intensification before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the non-elderly patients (aged <65 years) (Figure S3). The proportion of patients who required treatment intensification were 31.4% and 39.1% before and during the pandemic (P = 0.258). Among these, 18.8% and 8.3% were intensified diabetes treatment before and during the pandemic (P = 0.206), respectively. Among the elderly patients (aged ≥65 years) except those who aged 85 years or older and those with drugs potentially associated with severe hypoglycemia (Figure S4), the proportion of patients who required treatment intensification for diabetes were 9.3% and 12.4% before and during the pandemic (P = 0.344). Among these, 11.1% and 25.0% were intensified diabetes treatment before and during the pandemic (P = 0.270), respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, it was demonstrated that HbA1c levels were not significantly changed during the COVID-19 pandemic in Japanese patients with diabetes. Teleworking and living with a dog...
were positively and negatively, respectively, associated with worsening diabetes. Lastly, the proportion of patients who received treatment intensification for diabetes during the pandemic was slightly lower than that before the pandemic, but the difference did not reach statistical significance.

Diabetes and hyperglycemia are reported to be associated with morbidity and mortality in patients with COVID-19.2–7 Therefore, it is important to identify diabetic patients whose HbA1c levels are increased during the pandemic. The change in HbA1c levels during the COVID-19 pandemic in patients with diabetes reported from Japan is controversial; some reports show an increase in HbA1c,8–10 while others show a decrease.11–13 The differences in these results may be due to regional differences in COVID-19 prevalence and socioeconomic status, as well as differences in the definition of HbA1c change in each study. Lifestyle factors associated with an increased HbA1c in these studies were reduced exercise, increased calorie intake, and snacking. In this study, patients with reduced physical activity or exercise had increased HbA1c levels compared with those with unchanged or increased physical activity or exercise. Further large-scale nationwide studies are warranted.

As elderly patients with diabetes are considered to have HbA1c targets and therapeutic approaches for diabetes management tailored to each individual and there may be differences in working status between the elderly and non-elderly patients during the pandemic, we focused on the analysis separately for patients aged <65 years old and those over the age of 65 years old. The distribution of change in HbA1c levels was almost comparable between patients aged <65 years old and those aged 65 years or older, and was consistent with the result of a previous study.12

This study is unique in investigating the association of living and working environments, in addition to changes in dietary intake, physical activity, and exercise, with changes in glycemic control in patients with diabetes during the COVID-19 pandemic. Telework is excellent in terms of infection control,14 thus, it is recommended by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)15 and the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare in Japan. This study revealed that telework was positively and living with a dog was negatively associated with worsening glycemic control during the pandemic. The impact of telework was not affected by age. Besides our report, there is

![Table 3](http://wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/jdi)

| Table 3 | Changes in eating and exercise behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic among patients with diabetes |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dietary intake (n = 314)         | Decreased (%)                  | Unchanged (%)                   | Increased (%)                   |
| Carbohydrates                   | 12.7                           | 72.0                            | 15.3                            |
| Sodium                           | 9.2                            | 83.4                            | 7.3                             |
| Meat                             | 9.9                            | 80.5                            | 9.6                             |
| Fish                             | 10.5                           | 79.9                            | 9.6                             |
| Vegetables                       | 6.4                            | 77.0                            | 16.6                            |
| Fruits                           | 9.3                            | 69.6                            | 21.2                            |
| Sweets                           | 15.9                           | 56.4                            | 27.7                            |
| Snacks                           | 17.5                           | 57.6                            | 24.8                            |
| Eating out/banquets             | 71.1                           | 26.3                            | 2.6                             |
| Cooking for myself              | 4.9                            | 73.4                            | 21.7                            |
| Convenience store               | 17.8                           | 60.9                            | 21.2                            |
| Lunch/home delivery meal        | 17.8                           | 60.9                            | 21.2                            |
| Adding milk/sugar to coffee/tea | 15.3                           | 77.6                            | 7.1                             |
| Physical activity (n = 303)     | 42.1                           | 51.6                            | 6.3                             |
| Exercise (n = 288)              | 42.4                           | 47.2                            | 10.3                            |

Figure 2 | Change in HbA1c levels according to working condition (a), living with or without a dog (b), and household income (c). C1, welfare recipients; C2, household income <200,000 Yen/month; C3, household income 200,000–399,999 Yen/month; C4, household income 400,000–599,999 Yen/month; C5, household income 600,000–799,999 Yen/month; C6, household income ≥800,000 Yen/month.
only one study evaluating working status among patients with diabetes\textsuperscript{32}, consistent with our findings, they also showed that telework was associated with a deterioration of glycemic control. Initially we thought that glycemic control in teleworkers with diabetes might have worsened due to decreased physical activity and changes in eating habits; however, this was not the case. Rather, the proportion of patients who increased physical activity and exercise during the pandemic was higher among teleworkers than that among patients who commuted to work or those unemployed. It is possible that the amount of physical activity accompanied by commuting was lost due to the introduction of telework, leading to impaired glycemic control. In contrast, as expected, the proportion of patients with decreased physical activity and exercise tended to be low among patients living with a dog compared with that among those living without a dog. This suggests that the dog owners continued to walk with their dogs during the pandemic. Therefore, their physical activity and exercise did not drop, and their glycemic control remained good.

Aside from glycemic control, decreased physical activity was associated with an increased BMI and albuminuria. Moreover, exercise was associated with increased weight and SBP. These findings are consistent with those of previous observational and interventional studies\textsuperscript{33–37} suggesting that the COVID-19 pandemic could increase the risk of obesity, hypertension, and chronic kidney disease through lifestyle changes related to physical activity/exercise. Monitoring and intervention of physical activity is necessary to improve the quality of care in patients

\begin{table}[h!]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline
 & Estimate & Standard error & $t$ value & $P$ value \\
\hline
Intercept & 7.555 & 2.325 & 3.250 & 0.001 \\
Telework (ref: work with commuting or unemployed) & 4.242 & 1.459 & 2.908 & 0.004 \\
Living with a dog (ref: living without a dog) & -3.153 & 1.588 & -1.985 & 0.048 \\
HbA1c prior to the COVID-19 pandemic (mmol/mol) & -0.133 & 0.042 & -3.140 & 0.002 \\
Presence of proliferative diabetic retinopathy & -2.690 & 1.603 & -1.678 & 0.095 \\
History of cardiovascular disease & 2.112 & 1.177 & 1.794 & 0.074 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Multiple linear regression analysis to investigate factors associated with changes in HbA1c (mmol/mol) levels during the COVID-19 pandemic}
\end{table}
with diabetes during the pandemic. We also found that telework was associated with elevated liver enzymes. The reason for this is unclear; however, there was no significant difference in the change in alcohol consumption with working condition (data not shown). This suggests that teleworkers are more likely to develop non-alcoholic fatty liver disease than other groups and to have elevated liver enzyme levels during the pandemic.

Fish and vegetable intake were positively associated with changes in uric acid and HDL cholesterol levels, respectively. A previous report showed that fish meat such as bonito contains large amounts of purines and that seafood intake contributed to hyperuricemia. These findings are similar to those of the current study. Since a previous meta-analysis showed a negative association between vegetable intake and HDL cholesterol levels, some confounding factors may exist in our study. In the same study, the reduction in LDL cholesterol levels through a vegetarian diet was much greater than that in HDL cholesterol levels. Therefore, eating sufficient vegetables to control lipid metabolism during the COVID-19 pandemic is a reasonable strategy. As for eating habits, convenience store lunch/home delivery meals were positively associated with LDL cholesterol levels. Convenience foods and deliveries may contain high levels of cholesterol. The inverse association between carbohydrate consumption and LDL cholesterol levels was consistent with the results of previous studies. In some clinical trials, LDL cholesterol levels increased significantly when carbohydrate intake was decreased by a simple exchange for fat, especially saturated fatty acids, or when fiber intake was decreased. Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism by which dietary intake and eating habits exacerbate lipid metabolism during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Although the proportion of patients who received treatment intensification for diabetes both before and during the pandemic seems to be low in this study, it is compatible with a previous systematic review. The proportion of treatment intensification for diabetes within 3 months in patients with HbA1c levels of 53.0 mmol/mol or higher was shown to be 5–60%. The probability of receiving treatment intensification was decreased with increased age and the number of oral antidiabetic agents. In this study, more than half of the patients were aged 65 years or older and more than 60% of the patients received three or more oral antidiabetic agents and/or GLP-1RA and/or insulin both before and during the pandemic (data not shown). These results may have affected the proportion of treatment intensification for diabetes. Under the first COVID-19 state of emergency in Tokyo, medical services were disrupted. Consequently, the number of hospital visits and medical care were decreased, similar to other countries with lockdowns. Thus, we initially hypothesized that the pandemic may have reduced the opportunity for patients with diabetes to receive a treatment intensification, but the proportion of intensified treatment was not decreased during the pandemic. As the study included patients whose laboratory data were available before and during the pandemic, it is possible that some patients who discontinued or delayed regular visits during the pandemic were excluded, presumably attenuating the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on treatment intensification for diabetes. Given these, compared with the pre-pandemic period, it would be necessary to carefully monitor whether diabetes treatment was intensified appropriately during the pandemic.

In this study, 7.8% of the patients received telemedicine during the pandemic to reduce the risk of contracting COVID-19. Telemedicine is useful in keeping patients on track with their diabetes treatment and has been reported to improve glycemic control in a retrospective study from Japan. However, in Japan, both self-monitoring of blood glucose and continuous glucose monitoring are covered by insurance almost exclusively for patients receiving insulin or glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist (GLP-1RA). Therefore, it is impossible to monitor glycemic control without blood sampling at clinics/hospitals in patients without insulin/GLP-1RA treatment. This may lead to an inadequate intensification of diabetes treatment when telemedicine is introduced. In situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic where access to medical care is limited, a system that allows all patients with diabetes to monitor their glycemic control remotely would be desirable.

This study has some limitations. First, this was a single-center retrospective study conducted at a National Center Hospital and with a relatively small sample size; thus, the generalizability of the results is limited. Second, this study used a brief questionnaire to assess the dietary and exercise-related behavioral changes; therefore, it was impossible to quantify dietary intake nor physical activity. Third, to date (until July 2021), the Japanese government has already declared a state of emergency four times; therefore, it will be necessary to verify whether the findings in this study can be replicated. Fourth, the COVID-19 pandemic may have affected the frequency of medical visits and some patients may have dropped out from regular visits; however, we were unable to include these patients in this study because only patients whose HbA1c levels were available prior to and during the pandemic were included. Finally, as information on physical activity and cognitive function was unavailable, precise categorization of health status in the elderly to set a HbA1c target was impossible in this study.

In conclusion, overall glycemic control did not worsen during the pandemic. Nonetheless, environmental factors, including teleworking, were found to influence glycemic control in patients with diabetes. Further studies are needed to clarify whether the COVID-19 pandemic could affect treatment intensification for diabetes.
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