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Abstract

Objectives: Based on the ellipse trial, liraglutide was recently approved for use in pediatric patients with type 2 diabetes. We report the comparative exposure of liraglutide in pediatric vs. adult patients.

Methods: In this pharmacokinetic (PK) and exposure-response meta-analysis, data from two pediatric trials (including ellipse) and two adult trials of liraglutide were compiled (1,137 PK observations from 116 patients) to determine the impact of body weight, age and sex on liraglutide exposure. The exposure-response relationship for glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and body weight was compared between pediatric and adult patients. Additionally, the relationships between exposure and change from baseline in body mass index (BMI) and BMI standard deviation score (SDS) were assessed.

Results: The same liraglutide dose showed comparable exposure levels in pediatric and adult patients. Body weight and sex were the most important covariates for liraglutide exposure. There was an increasing response with higher liraglutide concentrations, and greater reductions were observed from baseline in HbA1c at 26 weeks vs. placebo. A trend toward lower body weight, BMI and BMI SDS was observed at 26 weeks.

Conclusions: These results support use of the same liraglutide dosing regimen in children and adolescents, aged ≥10 years, as that used in adults.
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Introduction

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) in children and adolescents is increasing, and until recently, the only approved treatments in this population were insulin and metformin. The glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide was recently approved for pediatric patients with T2D aged ≥10 years, based on the phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled ellipse trial (NCT01541215) [1] results, which demonstrated that liraglutide improved glycemic control (both glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] and fasting plasma glucose) in pediatric patients with T2D [2]. Thus, based on improvement in glycemic control, liraglutide may help address the unmet need for treatment options in pediatric patients with T2D.

In adults, the liraglutide pharmacokinetics display dose-exposure proportionality, with body weight and sex being the most important covariates for liraglutide exposure [3]. A previous pharmacokinetic (PK) analysis of liraglutide comparing data from a pediatric T2D trial to data from two clinical pharmacology adult T2D trials showed that the clinically effective liraglutide dose in adults achieved the same range of exposure in pediatric patients aged 10 to <17 years [4]. Consequently, the adult dose was used in ellipse, and the population PK and exposure-response analysis for liraglutide (dosed to a maximum of 1.8 mg once daily) are reported here.

Data from the previous combined PK analysis of liraglutide were analyzed together with the ellipse PK data set [4]. The historical data are from the following prespecified, randomized, liraglutide trials: Trial 1 (NCT00943501), double-blind trial assessing safety/tolerability and PK in pediatrics; Trial 2 (NCT00993304), double-blind PK adult trial; Trial 3 (NCT00873223), PK open-label adult trial (see Supplementary material for trial designs). The adult trials were chosen based on relevant populations and availability of rich PK data to support the population PK model. The meta-analysis undertaken allowed a covariate analysis including the age-group (pediatric/adult).

Data from LEAD-3 (NCT00294723) were used for external validation, allowing comparison of ellipse with a larger phase 3 trial of adults with T2D [5].
Materials and methods

A first-order conditional estimation method was used to develop a base population PK model drawing on prior knowledge. A full model approach was used [6], and the final covariate model included effects of sex, age group (pediatric/adult) and body weight on apparent clearance (CL/F) and apparent volume of distribution (V/F). Observations below the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) were excluded. The reference patient profile was an adult female (body weight: 90 kg). For model qualification and possible limitations of the PK model, see Supplementary material.

The final model was used to estimate CL/F, area under the plasma concentration-time curve from time 0–24 h (AUC₀₋₂₄) and average concentrations (C_avg) at the steady state in the pediatric population. Simulations of liraglutide concentrations included between-patient variability for pediatric and adult patients of the same body weight. Original C_avg estimates were used for LEAD-3, including adults randomized to 1.2 or 1.8 mg of liraglutide [3].

An exposure-response analysis for HbA₁c, with baseline HbA₁c, body weight and sex as covariates, was conducted for liraglutide. The analysis comprised exposure data regarding individual C_avg values obtained from the population PK analysis and response (HbA₁c change from baseline to week 26). C_avg was set to LLOQ/2 (0.015 nmol/L) for liraglutide-treated patients with samples below the LLOQ at week 26. C_avg was set to zero for placebo-treated patients. Patients on active treatment were divided into quantiles based on their C_avg. For each exposure quantile and placebo group, the mean (95% confidence interval [CI]) response was plotted against median liraglutide concentration.

NONMEM, version 7.3.0, was used for the population PK analysis. R, version 3.2.3, was used for compiling data sets, plotting data and exposure-response analysis. The trial was approved by local institutional review boards, and all patients (or their legally authorized representative) provided written informed consent; the trial was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.

Results

PK data sets

The PK data set comprised data from ellipse and the three historical trials and contained 1,137 PK observations from 116 patients with T2D (Supplementary Table 1). The ellipse data set comprised 251 PK observations from 59 patients. In total, 326 PK observations were recorded from Trial 1, 144 were recorded from Trial 2 and 416 were recorded from Trial 3. Overall, baseline characteristics and demographics were broadly comparable across all trials. Differences included greater racial diversity in ellipse vs. the other trials and different mean baseline body weights, varying from the lowest in Trial 2 (82.4 kg) to the highest in Trial 1 (114.7 kg), with overlap between the body weight ranges of the trials (Supplementary Table 2).

Evaluation of covariate effects on liraglutide exposure

Body weight was the most important covariate for liraglutide exposure (Figure 1), with increasing body weight associated with lower exposure (C_avg) (Supplementary Figure 1). Relative exposure was lower in males than in females (ratio: 0.72 [95% CI: 0.62; 0.85]). Age was included as a categorical covariate (adult vs. pediatric), but the effect on exposure was not found to be clinically relevant.

Liraglutide exposure

Simulation with the final model showed overlapping concentration-time profiles for an adult reference patient and a comparable pediatric patient (both females with a baseline body weight of 90 kg). The C_avg for liraglutide overlapped substantially between pediatric and adult patients (Figure 2). External validation was provided by observing that C_avg for liraglutide overlapped substantially between pediatric and adult patients for all liraglutide doses in ellipse and LEAD-3 (Figure 3). Both the individual CL/F and exposure estimates (C_avg and AUC₀₋₂₄) for
liraglutide were comparable between pediatric and adult patients (Supplementary Table 3).

**Results from the exposure-response analysis**

Higher liraglutide exposure was associated with greater HbA1c reductions (Figure 4). The exposure-response relationships for body weight, body mass index (BMI) and BMI standard deviation score (SDS) did not differ significantly from baseline to 26 weeks in pediatric patients in ellipse (Supplementary Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Overall, the results from this population PK and exposure-response meta-analysis are comparable to previous results from pediatric and adult clinical trials of liraglutide [4, 6, 7].

Comparable exposure levels of liraglutide were observed between pediatric and adult patients. Consistent with previous reports, body weight was the most important covariate for exposure [4, 6, 7]. The lower exposure in males has also previously been found in adults [4, 6, 7]. Body weight ranges for pediatric patients in ellipse were similar to those in adults in LEAD-3 and in line with results reported across several liraglutide adult phase 3 trials [4, 8].
In the exposure-response analysis, a higher liraglutide exposure was associated with greater HbA1c reductions at 26 weeks. A trend toward lower body weight, BMI and BMI SDS at 26 weeks was also observed with increasing liraglutide exposure. Change from baseline in body weight at week 26 was not significantly different between patients receiving liraglutide or placebo in ellipse, although the mean difference in weight loss between the treatment groups was similar to that observed in adult trials [2]. This analysis confirms previous findings, wherein the population PK model has been shown to describe PK profiles well [4, 6], and supports that the adult liraglutide doses (up to 1.8 mg) are appropriate for pediatric patients aged ≥10 years.

Conclusions

Similar liraglutide exposure-responses were observed in the pediatric ellipse population and adult patients. Based on the overlapping body weight ranges expected for pediatric and adult patients with T2D, and similar liraglutide exposure, our results support use of the same liraglutide doses in children/adolescents as in adults. The same starting dose as used in adults can be escalated to improve glycemic control, based on individual responses in pediatric patients, up to 1.8 mg or the maximally tolerated dose. The recently approved indication of liraglutide in pediatrics (age 10–17 years and T2D) provides an additional treatment option for this population.
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