Second order finite difference approximations for the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation
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Abstract
In this paper, we discuss the time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation with variable coefficients on a finite domain. The finite difference schemes for this equation are provided. We theoretically prove and numerically verify that the implicit finite difference scheme is unconditionally stable (the explicit scheme is conditionally stable with the stability condition \( \frac{\gamma}{(\Delta x)^\alpha} + \frac{\gamma}{(\Delta y)^\beta} < C \)) and 2nd order convergent in space direction, and \((2 - \gamma)\)-th order convergent in time direction, where \( \gamma \in (0, 1] \).
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1. Introduction
Nowadays, fractional calculus has become popular in both the science and engineering societies. There are several nonequivalent definitions of fractional derivatives [8, 9, 10]. The Caputo derivative is most often used for time fractional derivative, and the Riemann-Liouville derivative and Grünwald-Letnikov derivative, the two fractional derivatives being equivalent if the functions performed are regular enough, are most frequently used for space fractional derivative. Some important progress has been made for numerically solving this kind of fractional PDEs by finite difference methods, e.g., see [1, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 16].

Another space fractional derivative having a vast majority of applications is the symmetric fractional derivative, namely the Riesz fractional derivative, e.g., see [7, 15]. Jiang et al analytically discuss the time-space fractional advection-diffusion equation with Riesz fractional derivative as space fractional derivative [3]. Based on the shifted Grünwald approximation strategy and the method of lines, Yang, Liu, and Turner numerically study the Riesz space fractional PDEs with two different fractional orders \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \) and
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0 < \beta < 1$. The explicit finite difference scheme for fractional Fokker-Planck equation with Riesz fractional derivative is discussed in [2]. With the desire of obtaining 2nd order convergence in the space discretization, here we further discuss the finite difference approximations for two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation with variable coefficients in a finite domain, namely,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}u(x, y, t) &= c(x, y, t) \frac{\partial \rho u(x, y, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} + d(x, y, t) \frac{\partial \beta u(x, y, t)}{\partial |y|^\beta} + f(x, y, t), \\
u(x, y) &= u_0(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \\
u(x, y, t) &= B(x, y, t) \quad \text{for} \quad (x, y, t) \in \partial \Omega \times [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1)
in the domain \(\Omega = (x_L, x_R) \times (y_L, y_R), 0 < t \leq T\), with the orders of the Riesz fractional derivative \(1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2\) and the order of the Caputo fractional operator \(0 < \gamma \leq 1\); the function \(f(x, y, t)\) is a source term; and the variable coefficients \(c(x, y, t) \geq 0, d(x, y, t) \geq 0\).

The Riesz fractional derivative for \(n \in \mathbb{N}, n - 1 < \nu < n\), in a finite interval \(x_L \leq x \leq x_R\) is defined as [10]

\[
\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial |x|}u(x, y, t) = -\kappa_\nu (x_L D^n_x + x R^n D^n x_R) u(x, y, t),
\]

(1.2)
where the coefficient \(\kappa_\nu = \frac{1}{2 \cos(\nu \pi/2)}, \) and

\[
x_L D^n_x u(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \nu)} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x^n} \int_{x_L}^{x} (x - \xi)^{n-\nu-1} u(\xi, y, t) d\xi,
\]

(1.3)
and

\[
x R D^n x_R u(x, y, t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \nu)} \frac{\partial n}{\partial x^n} \int_{x}^{x_R} (\xi - x)^{n-\nu-1} u(\xi, y, t) d\xi,
\]

(1.4)
are the left and right Riemann-Liouville space fractional derivatives, respectively. The Caputo fractional derivative of order \(\gamma \in (0, 1]\) is defined by [8, 9]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x, y, t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \gamma)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial u(x, y, \eta)}{\partial \eta} (t - \eta)^{\gamma - 1} d\eta, & 0 < \gamma < 1, \\
\frac{\partial u(x, y, t)}{\partial t}, & \gamma = 1.
\end{array} \right.
\]

(1.5)

For the 2nd order discretization of the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives (1.3) and (1.4), it has been detailedly discussed in [1] being the sequel of [11]. This paper applies the discretization scheme to Riesz fractional derivative. The implicit and explicit finite difference schemes are designed. We theoretically prove that the implicit finite difference scheme is unconditionally stable and the stability condition of the explicit scheme is

\[
\frac{\tau_\gamma}{(\Delta x)^\alpha} + \frac{\tau_\gamma}{(\Delta y)^\beta} < C,
\]

confirming the general conclusions on the stability conditions of the explicit schemes for fractional PDEs [2]. The desired 2nd order convergence in space and...
(2−γ)-th order convergence in time of both implicit and explicit schemes are theoretically proved and numerically verified.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the approximation of the Caputo fractional derivative and the 2nd order finite difference discretizations for the Riesz fractional derivatives, and derive the full discretization schemes of (1.1). In Sections 3 and 4, the stability and convergence of the provided implicit and explicit finite difference schemes are analyzed, respectively. To show the effectiveness of the schemes, we perform the numerical experiments to verify the theoretical results in Section 5. Finally, we conclude the paper with some remarks in the last section.

2. Derivation of the finite difference scheme

We use two subsections to derive the full discretization schemes of (1.1). The first subsection introduces the approximation of the Caputo fractional derivative and the second order finite difference discretizations for the Riesz fractional derivatives in a finite domain. The second subsection gives the full discretization scheme (implicit scheme and explicit schemes) to the one-dimensional case of (1.1) and (1.1) itself, respectively.

2.1. Discretizations for the Caputo and Riesz fractional derivatives

Take the mesh points 

\[ x_i = x_L + i\Delta x, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x, \quad y_j = y_L + j\Delta y, \quad j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y \]

and 

\[ t_k = k\tau, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t, \]

where \( \Delta x = (x_R - x_L)/N_x, \Delta y = (y_R - y_L)/N_y, \tau = T/N_t, \)

i.e., \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are the uniform space stepsizes in the corresponding directions, \( \tau \) the time stepsize. For \( \nu \in (1, 2) \), the left and right Riemann-Liouville space fractional derivatives (1.3) and (1.4) have the second order approximation operators \( \delta_{\nu,+} u_{i,j}^k \) and \( \delta_{\nu,-} u_{i,j}^k \), respectively, given in a finite domain [1], where \( u_{i,j}^k \) denotes the approximated value of \( u(x_i, y_j, t_k) \).

The approximation operator of (1.3) is defined by [1]

\[
\delta_{\nu,+} u_{i,j}^k := \frac{1}{\Gamma(4 - \nu)(\Delta x)^\nu} \sum_{m=0}^{i+1} u_{m,j}^k p_{i,m}^\nu,
\]

and there exists

\[
x_L D_x^\nu u(x,y,t) = \delta_{\nu,+} u_{i,j}^k + O(\Delta x)^2,
\]

where

\[
p_{i,m}^\nu = \begin{cases} 
  a_{i-1,m} - 2a_{i,m} + a_{i+1,m}, & m \leq i - 1, \\
  -2a_{i,i} + a_{i+1,i}, & m = i, \\
  a_{i+1,i+1}, & m = i + 1, \\
  0, & m > i + 1,
\end{cases}
\]
and

\[
a_{j,m} = \begin{cases} 
(j - 1)^{3-\nu} - j^{2-\nu}(j - 3 + \nu), & m = 0, \\
(j - m + 1)^{3-\nu} - 2(j - m)^{3-\nu} + (j - m - 1)^{3-\nu}, & 1 \leq m \leq j - 1, \\
1, & m = j,
\end{cases}
\]

with \(j = i - 1, i, i + 1\).

Analogously, the approximation operator of \((1.4)\) is described as

\[
\delta_{\nu,x} u_{i,j}^k := \frac{1}{\Gamma(4 - \nu) (\Delta x)^\nu} \sum_{m=i-1}^{N_x} u_{m,j}^k q_{i,m}^\nu, \tag{2.4}
\]

and it holds that

\[
x D_{x_R}^{\nu} u(x, y, t) = \delta_{\nu,x} u_{i,j}^k + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2, \tag{2.5}
\]

with

\[
q_{i,m}^\nu = \begin{cases} 
0, & m < i - 1, \\
b_{i-1,i-1}, & m = i - 1, \\
-2b_{i,i} + b_{i-1,i}, & m = i, \\
b_{i-1,m} - 2b_{i,m} + b_{i+1,m}, & i + 1 \leq m \leq N_x,
\end{cases} \tag{2.6}
\]

and

\[
b_{i,m} = \begin{cases} 
1, & m = j, \\
(m - j + 1)^{3-\alpha} - 2(m - j)^{3-\alpha} + (m - j - 1)^{3-\alpha}, & j + 1 \leq m \leq N_x - 1, \\
(3 - \alpha - N_x + j)(N_x - j)^{2-\alpha} + (N_x - j - 1)^{3-\alpha}, & m = N_x,
\end{cases}
\]

with \(j = i - 1, i, i + 1\).

Combining \((2.2)\) and \((2.5)\), we obtain the approximation operator of the Riesz fractional derivative

\[
\frac{\partial^\nu u(x, y_j, t_k)}{\partial |x|^\nu} \bigg|_{x=x_i} = -\kappa_\nu \left( x_i D_x^{\nu} + x D_{x_R}^{\nu} \right) u(x, y_j, t_k) \bigg|_{x=x_i} \\
= -\kappa_\nu \left( \delta_{\nu,x} + \delta_{\nu,x} \right) u_{i,j}^k + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2 \\
= \frac{-\kappa_\nu}{\Gamma(4 - \nu) (\Delta x)^\nu} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} (p_{i,m}^\nu + q_{i,m}^\nu) u_{m,j}^k + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)^2, \tag{2.7}
\]

where

\[
g_{i,m}^\nu = \begin{cases} 
p_{i,m}^\nu, & m < i - 1, \\
p_{i-1,i-1}^\nu + q_{i-1,i-1}^\nu, & m = i - 1, \\
p_{i,i}^\nu + q_{i,i}^\nu, & m = i \\
p_{i,i+1}^\nu + q_{i,i+1}^\nu, & m = i + 1 \\
q_{i,m}^\nu, & m > i + 1.
\end{cases} \tag{2.8}
\]
Taking $\nu = 2$, both Eq. (2.2) and (2.5) reduce to the following form

$$\frac{\partial^2 u(x_i, y, t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{u(x_{i+1}, y, t) - 2u(x_i, y, t) + u(x_{i-1}, y, t)}{(\Delta x)^2} + O(\Delta x)^2.$$ 

The Caputo derivative in the time direction is discretized as

$$\left. C_0^\gamma D_t^\gamma u(x_i, y_j, t) \right|_{t=t_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{\partial u(x_i, y_j, \eta)}{\partial \eta} \frac{d \eta}{(t_{k+1} - \eta)^\gamma}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\gamma)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \frac{u(x_i, y_j, t_{s+1}) - u(x_i, y_j, t_s)}{\tau} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} \frac{d \eta}{(t_{k+1} - \eta)^\gamma} + O(\tau^{2-\gamma})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} l_s [u(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1-s}) - u(x_i, y_j, t_{k-s})] + O(\tau^{2-\gamma}),$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $l_s = (s+1)^{1-\gamma} - s^{1-\gamma}$.

When $0 < \gamma < 1$, the time Caputo fractional derivative uses the information of the classical derivatives at all previous time levels (non-Markovian process). If $\gamma = 1$, then $l_0 = 1, l_s = 0, s > 0$, it can be seen that by taking the limit $\gamma \to 1$ in (2.9), which gives the following equation

$$\frac{\partial u(x, y, t_{k+1})}{\partial t} = \frac{u(x, y, t_{k+1}) - u(x, y, t_k)}{\tau} + O(\tau).$$

Similarly, it is easy to get the one-dimensional case of (2.1)-(2.9).

**Remark 2.1**. Denoting $\tilde{U}^n = [u_{1,j}^n, u_{2,j}^n, \ldots, u_{N_x,j}^n]^T$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y$ and rewriting (2.1) and (2.4) as matrix forms $\delta_{\alpha,x} \tilde{U}^n = \tilde{A} \tilde{U}^n + b_1$ and $\delta_{\alpha,-x} \tilde{U}^n = \tilde{B} \tilde{U}^n + b_2$, respectively, then there exists $\tilde{A} = \tilde{B}^T$.

**2.2. Implicit and explicit difference schemes**

Let us first consider the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation

$$C_0^\gamma D_t^\gamma u(x, t) = c(x, t)\frac{\partial^\alpha u(x, t)}{\partial |x|^\alpha} + f(x, t).$$

(2.10)

Using the one-dimensional case of (2.1)-(2.9), we can write (2.10) as

$$\frac{\tau^{-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} l_s [u(x_i, t_{k+1-s}) - u(x_i, t_{k-s})]$$

$$= -\frac{\kappa_{\alpha} c(x_i, t_{k+1})}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} \varphi_{\alpha,m} u(x_m, t_{k+1}) + f(x_i, t_{k+1}) + O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2),$$
where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x, k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t \). Assuming that \( c_i^k = c(x_i, t_k), f_i^k = f(x_i, t_k), \omega_{i,k+1} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\tau^{\gamma}c_i^{k+1}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^{\alpha}} \) and \( \mu = \Gamma(2-\gamma)\tau^{\gamma} \), we have

\[
    u(x_i, t_{k+1}) = u(x_i, t_k) - \sum_{s=1}^{k} l_s [u(x_i, t_{k+1-s}) - u(x_i, t_{k-s})]
\]

(2.11)

\[
    + \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u(x_m, t_{k+1}) + \mu f(x_i, t_{k+1}) + R_i^{k+1},
\]

where \( |R_i^{k+1}| \leq C\tau^\gamma(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2) \).

Therefore, the implicit difference scheme of (2.10) has the following form

\[
    u_i^{k+1} = u_i^k - \sum_{s=1}^{k} l_s (u_i^{k+1-s} - u_i^{k-s}) + \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_{m}^{k+1} + \mu f_i^{k+1},
\]

(2.12)

and it can be rewrote as

\[
    (1 - \omega_{i,1}g_{i,i})u_i^1 - \omega_{i,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_m^1 = u_i^0 + \mu f_i^1, \quad k = 0,
\]

\[
    (1 - \omega_{i,k+1}g_{i,i})u_i^{k+1} - \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_m^{k+1} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})u_i^{k-s} + l_k u_i^0 + \mu f_i^{k+1}, \quad k > 0.
\]

(2.13)

Taking \( \gamma = 1 \), thus the implicit difference scheme (2.13) reduces to the following equation

\[
    (1 - \omega_{i,k+1}g_{i,i})u_i^{k+1} - \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_m^{k+1} = u_i^k + \mu f_i^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0.
\]

Next, we examine the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (1.1). According to (2.4)–(2.9), then (1.1) can be recast as

\[
    \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)} \sum_{s=0}^{k} l_s [u(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1-s}) - u(x_i, y_j, t_{k-s})] = -\frac{\kappa_\alpha c(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1})}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^{\alpha}} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{i,m}^\alpha u(x_m, y_j, t_{k+1+1})
\]

\[
    - \frac{\kappa_\beta d(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1})}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^{\beta}} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u(x_i, y_m, t_{k+1+1}) + f(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1+1}) + O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2),
\]

where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x, j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y, k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t \). Denoting \( c_{i,j}^k = c(x_i, y_j, t_k), \)

\[
    d_{i,j}^k = d(x_i, y_j, t_k), f_{i,j}^k = f(x_i, y_j, t_k) \]

and

\[
    \omega'_{i,j,k+1} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\tau^{\gamma}c_{i,j}^{k+1}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^{\alpha}}; \quad \omega''_{i,j,k+1} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\tau^{\gamma}c_{i,j}^{k+1}}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^{\beta}};
\]
and \( \mu = \Gamma(2 - \gamma)\tau^\gamma \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    &u(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1}) = u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - \sum_{s=1}^{k} l_s[ u(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1-s}) - u(x_i, y_j, t_{k-s}) ] \\
    &\quad + \omega'_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha u(x_m, y_j, t_{k+1}) + \omega''_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u(x_i, y_m, t_{k+1}) \\
    &\quad + \mu f(x_i, y_j, t_{k+1}) + R_{i,j}^{k+1},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( |R_{i,j}^{k+1}| \leq C\tau^\gamma (\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2) \).

Then we obtain the full discretization implicit difference scheme of (2.11) as

\[
\begin{align*}
    &u_{i,j}^{k+1} = u_{i,j}^k - \sum_{s=1}^{k} l_s (u_{i,j}^{k+1-s} - u_{i,j}^{k-s}) + \omega'_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_{m,j}^{k+1} + \omega''_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^{k+1} + \mu f_{i,j}^{k+1},
\end{align*}
\]

and Eq. (2.15) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
    & (1 - \omega'_{i,j,1} g_{i,j}^\alpha - \omega''_{i,j,1} g_{j,j}^\beta) u_{i,j}^1 - \omega'_{i,j,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{m,j}^\alpha u_{m,j}^1 - \omega''_{i,j,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^1 \\
    &\quad = u_{i,j}^0 + \mu f_{i,j}^1, \quad k = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    & (1 - \omega'_{i,j,k+1} g_{i,j}^\alpha - \omega''_{i,j,k+1} g_{j,j}^\beta) u_{i,j}^{k+1} - \omega'_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{m,j}^\alpha u_{m,j}^{k+1} - \omega''_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^{k+1} \\
    &\quad = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) u_{i,j}^{k-s} + l_k u_{i,j}^0 + \mu f_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

When \( \gamma = 1 \), (2.16) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
    & (1 - \omega'_{i,j,k+1} g_{i,j}^\alpha - \omega''_{i,j,k+1} g_{j,j}^\beta) u_{i,j}^{k+1} - \omega'_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{m,j}^\alpha u_{m,j}^{k+1} - \omega''_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^{k+1} \\
    &\quad = u_{i,j}^k + \mu f_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( u^k = [u^k_{0,i}, u^k_{1,i}, \ldots, u^k_{N_y,i}]^T \), \( f^k = [f^k_{0,i}, f^k_{1,i}, \ldots, f^k_{N_y,i}]^T \), where \( u^k_{i} = [u^k_{i,0}, u^k_{i,1}, \ldots, u^k_{i,N_y}]^T \) and \( f^k_{i} = [f^k_{0,i}, f^k_{1,i}, \ldots, f^k_{N_y,i}]^T \). Then (2.16) can be written in the matrix form

\[
\begin{align*}
    &Au^1 = u^0 + \mu f^1, \quad k = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
    &Au^{k+1} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) u^{k-s} + l_k u^0 + \mu f^{k+1}, \quad k > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A \) is a \((N_x N_y) \times (N_x N_y)\) coefficient matrix.
Analogously, the explicit difference scheme of (2.10) is

\[ u_i^1 = (1 + \sigma_{i,0} g_{i,i}^\alpha) u_i^0 + \sigma_{i,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_m^0 + \mu f_i^0, \quad k = 0, \]

\[ u_i^{k+1} = (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha) u_i^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) u_i^{k-s} + l_k u_i^0 + \sigma_{i,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha u_m^k + \mu f_i^k, \quad k > 0, \]

where \( \sigma_{i,k} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma) \tau^\gamma \kappa_{x,i}^k}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)(\Delta x)^\alpha} > 0 \). And the explicit difference scheme of (1.1) can be expressed as

\[ u_{i,j}^1 = (1 + \sigma'_{i,j,0} g_{i,i}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,j,0} g_{j,j}^\beta) u_{i,j}^0 + \sigma'_{i,j,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^0 + \mu f_{i,j}^0, \quad k = 0, \]

\[ u_{i,j}^{k+1} = (1 - l_1 + \sigma'_{i,j,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,j,k} g_{j,j}^\beta) u_{i,j}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) u_{i,j}^{k-s} + l_k u_{i,j}^0 + \sigma'_{i,j,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta u_{i,m}^k + \mu f_{i,j}^k, \quad k > 0, \]

where \( \sigma'_{i,j,k} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma) \tau^\gamma \kappa_{x,i,j}^k}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} \), \( \sigma''_{i,j,k} = -\frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma) \tau^\gamma \kappa_{y,i,j}^k}{\Gamma(4-\beta)(\Delta y)^\beta} \).

3. Stability analysis

Now we perform the detailed stability analysis for the implicit and explicit schemes (2.13) and (2.18) of the one dimensional case (2.10), and the implicit and explicit schemes (2.16) and (2.19) of the two dimensional case (1.1). First we introduce two lemmas on the properties of the coefficients of the discretized fractional operators.

**Lemma 3.1.** [4, 5]. Let \( \gamma \in (0, 1) \), then coefficients \( l_s \) defined in (2.9) satisfy

1. \( l_s > 0, s = 0, 1, \ldots, k \).
2. \( 1 = l_0 > l_1 \cdots > l_k, \quad l_k \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty \).
3. \( C_1 k^\gamma \leq (l_k)^{-1} \leq C_2 k^{-\gamma}, \) where \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are constants.
4. \( \sum_{s=0}^{k} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_{k+1} = (1 - l_1) + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k = 1. \)

**Lemma 3.2.** The coefficients \( g_{s,m}^{\nu} \), \( \nu \in (1, 2) \) defined in (2.8) satisfy
(1) $g_{i,i}^{\nu} < 0$, $g_{i,m}^{\nu} > 0$ ($m \neq i$);
(2) $\sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\nu} < 0$ and $-g_{i,i}^{\nu} > \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\nu}$.

Proof. According to Theorem B in the Appendix of [1], and formulas (2.3) and (2.6), we obtain $q_{i,m}^{\nu} > 0$ for $m > i + 1$, $p_{i,m}^{\nu} > 0$ for $m < i - 1$, and $p_{i,i+1}^{\nu} = q_{i,i-1}^{\nu} = 1$, $p_{i,i}^{\nu} = q_{i,i}^{\nu} = -4 + 2^{3-\nu}$. From the definition of $g_{i,m}^{\nu}$ (2.8), it is easy to verify that $g_{i,i+1}^{\nu} = g_{i,i-1}^{\nu} = 7 - 2^{5-\nu} + 3^{3-\nu} > 0$, $g_{i,i}^{\nu} = -8 + 2^{4-\nu} < 0$, and other $g_{i,m}^{\nu}$ are positive. And letting $u$ be a constant in (2.7), we can easily derive that $\sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\nu} < 0$, then $-g_{i,i}^{\nu} > \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\nu}$. \[\square\]

Next, we use four subsections to strictly prove that both the implicit schemes (2.13) and (2.16) are unconditionally stable; the explicit scheme (2.18) is stable under the condition \(\frac{\tau^{\gamma}}{(\Delta x)^{\nu}} < C\) and the explicit scheme (2.19) is stable under the condition \(\frac{\tau^{\gamma}}{(\Delta x)^{\nu}} + \frac{\tau^{\gamma}}{(\Delta y)^{\nu}} < C\).

3.1. Stability for one-dimensional implicit difference scheme

**Theorem 3.3.** The implicit difference scheme (2.13) of the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (2.10) with $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ is unconditionally stable.

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}_i^k$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x$; $k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t$) be the approximate solution of $u_i^k$, which is the exact solution of the implicit scheme (2.13). Putting $\epsilon_i^k = \tilde{u}_i^k - u_i^k$, then from (2.13) we obtain the following perturbation equation:

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,1} g_{i,i}^{\alpha}) \epsilon_i^1 - \omega_{i,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} \epsilon_m^1 = \epsilon_i^0, \quad k = 0, \tag{3.1}
\]

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,k+1} g_{i,i}^{\alpha}) \epsilon_i^{k+1} - \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} \epsilon_m^{k+1} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \epsilon_i^{k-s} + l_k \epsilon_i^0, \quad k > 0.
\]

When $\gamma = 1$, Eq. (3.1) can be written as

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,k+1} g_{i,i}^{\alpha}) \epsilon_i^{k+1} - \omega_{i,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} \epsilon_m^{k+1} = \epsilon_i^k, \quad k \geq 0.
\]

Denoting $E^k = [\epsilon_0^1, \epsilon_1^1, \ldots, \epsilon_{N^x}^1]$ and $||E^k||_{\infty} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^k|$, then we use the mathematical induction to prove the unconditional stability. For $k = 0$, supposing $|\epsilon_i^1| = ||E^1||_{\infty} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^1|$, according to Lemma 3.2, we get

\[
||E^1||_{\infty} = |\epsilon_i^1| \leq |\epsilon_i^0| - \omega_{i,0} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} |\epsilon_m^1| = |\epsilon_i^1| - \omega_{i,0} g_{i,0,i}^{\alpha} |\epsilon_{i,0}^1| - \omega_{i,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} |\epsilon_{i,m}^1|.
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \omega_{i_0,1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^1 - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^1 \leq |(1 - \omega_{i_0,1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^1 - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^1| \\
= |\epsilon_{i_0}^0| \leq ||E^0||_\infty.
\]

Assuming \(||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty\), \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, k\), and \(|\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| = ||E^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^{k+1}|\), there exists
\[
||E^{k+1}||_\infty = |\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| = |\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^{k+1}|
\leq (1 - \omega_{i_0,k+1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)|\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^{k+1}|
\leq \left| (1 - \omega_{i_0,k+1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^k - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^{k+1} \right|
\leq \left| \sum_{s=0}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})\epsilon_{i_0}^{k-s} + l_k\epsilon_{i_0}^0 \right|
\leq \left| (1 - l_1)\epsilon_{i_0}^k + l_k\epsilon_{i_0}^0 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})\epsilon_{i_0}^{k-s} \right|.
\]

From Lemma 3.1, we obtain
\[
||E^{k+1}||_\infty \leq (1 - l_1)||E^k||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})||E^{k-s}||_\infty
\leq (1 - l_1)||E^0||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})||E^0||_\infty
\leq ||E^0||_\infty.
\]

When \(\gamma = 1\), using similar idea, we can prove
\[
||E^{k+1}||_\infty \leq |(1 - \omega_{i_0,k+1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^k - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^{k+1}| = |\epsilon_{i_0}^k| \leq ||E^0||_\infty.
\]

\[\square\]

3.2. Stability for one-dimensional explicit difference scheme

**Theorem 3.4.** If
\[
0 < \frac{\tau^\gamma}{(\Delta x)^\alpha} \leq \frac{\Gamma(4 - \alpha)(1 - 2^{-\gamma})}{4\kappa C_{\max}\Gamma(2 - \gamma)(1 - 2^{1-\alpha})}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_{\max} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} c(x_i, t_k),
\]

where \(c(x_i, t_k)\) is the maximum value of the coefficients defined in the one-dimensional explicit difference scheme.
then the explicit difference scheme (2.18) of the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (2.10) with $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ is stable.

**Proof.** Under the above conditions, we obtain $0 < -\sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha \leq 2 - 2^{1-\gamma}$ and $1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha \geq 0$. Assuming that $u_k^i$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t$) be the approximate solution of $u_k^i$, which is the exact solution of the explicit scheme (2.18). Therefore the error $\epsilon_k^i = u_k^i - u_k^i$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1^i &= (1 + \sigma_{i,0} g_{i,i}^\alpha)\epsilon_0^i + \sigma_{i,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^0, \quad k = 0, \\
\epsilon_{k+1}^i &= (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha)\epsilon_k^i + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\epsilon_{i-s}^k + l_k\epsilon_0^i + \sigma_{i,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^k, \quad k > 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(3.2)

When $\gamma = 1$, (3.2) becomes

$$
\epsilon_{k+1}^i = (1 + \sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^\alpha)\epsilon_k^i + \sigma_{i,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^k, \quad k \geq 0.
$$

Denoting $E^k = [\epsilon_0^k, \epsilon_1^k, \ldots, \epsilon_{N_x}^k]$ and $||E^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^k|$, we use mathematical induction to prove the conditional stability. For $k = 0$, supposing $|\epsilon_{i_0}^1| = ||E^1||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^1|$, according to Lemma 3.2, we get

$$
||E^1||_\infty = |\epsilon_{i_0}^1| = \left|(1 + \sigma_{i_0,0} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^0 + \sigma_{i_0,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^0\right|
\leq (1 + \sigma_{i_0,0} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha) |\epsilon_{i_0}^0| + \sigma_{i_0,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\epsilon_m^0|
\leq (1 + \sigma_{i_0,0} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha) ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i_0,0} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha ||E^0||_\infty
= ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i_0,0} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha ||E^0||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty.
$$

Assuming $||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and denoting $|\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| = ||E^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\epsilon_i^{k+1}|$, from Lemma 3.1, there exists

$$
||E^{k+1}||_\infty = |\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| = \left|(1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i_0,k} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\epsilon_{i_0}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\epsilon_{i-s}^{k-s} + l_k\epsilon_0^k + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^k\right|
\leq (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i_0,k} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha) |\epsilon_{i_0}^k| + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) |\epsilon_{i-s}^{k-s}| + l_k |\epsilon_0^k| + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\epsilon_m^k|.
$$
\[
\begin{align*}
&\leq (1 - l_1)||E^k||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})||E^{k-s}||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha ||E^k||_\infty \\
&\leq (1 - l_1)||E^0||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})||E^0||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty \\
&= (1 - l_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k)||E^0||_\infty = ||E^0||_\infty.
\end{align*}
\]

If \(\gamma = 1\), using similar method, we can prove
\[
||E^{k+1}||_\infty = |\tilde\epsilon_{i_0}^{k+1}| = \left| (1 + \sigma_{i_0,k} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha) \epsilon_{i_0}^k + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \epsilon_m^k \right|
\]
\[
\leq (1 + \sigma_{i_0,k} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)|\epsilon_{i_0}^k| + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\epsilon_m^k|
\]
\[
\leq (1 + \sigma_{i_0,k} g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)||E^k||_\infty + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha ||E^k||_\infty
\]
\[
= ||E^k||_\infty + \sigma_{i_0,k} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha ||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty.
\]

\[\square\]

3.3. Stability for two-dimensional implicit difference scheme

**Theorem 3.5.** The implicit difference scheme (2.16) of the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (1.1) with \(0 < \gamma \leq 1, 1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2\) is unconditionally stable.

**Proof.** Let \(\tilde{u}_{i,j}^k (i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y; k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t)\) be the approximate solution of \(u_{i,j}^k\), which is the exact solution of the implicit scheme (2.16). Denoting that \(\tilde{\epsilon}_{i,j}^k = \tilde{u}_{i,j}^k - u_{i,j}^k\), from (2.16) we get the following perturbation equation

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 g_{i,i}^\alpha - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^{\beta} - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_{i,m}^1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,m}^\beta \epsilon_{j,m}^1) \epsilon_{i,j}^1 &- \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_{i,m}^1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \epsilon_{j,m}^1 = \epsilon_{i,j}^0, \quad k = 0,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 g_{i,i}^\alpha - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^{\beta} + \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_{i,m}^{k+1} - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,m}^\beta \epsilon_{j,m}^{k+1}) \epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} &- \omega_{i,j,k+1}^1 \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \epsilon_{i,m}^{k+1} - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \epsilon_{j,m}^{k+1} = \epsilon_{i,j}^0, \quad k > 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.3)
When $\gamma = 1$, (3.3) becomes
\[
(1 - \omega'_{i,j,k+1}g_{i,i}^{\alpha} - \omega''_{i,j,k+1}g_{j,j}^{\beta})\epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} - \omega'_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} g_{m,j}^{\beta} - \omega''_{i,j,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^{\beta} \epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = \epsilon_{i,j}^{k}, \quad k \geq 0.
\]

Denote $\mathbf{u}^k = [u_1^k, u_2^k, \ldots, u_{N_y}^k]^T$, $\mathbf{e}^k = [e_1^k, e_2^k, \ldots, e_{i,N_y}^k]^T$ and $||\mathbf{E}^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |e_{i,j}^k|$. We prove the results by mathematical induction. For $k = 0$, supposing $|e_{i_0,j_0}^0| = ||\mathbf{E}^0||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |e_{i,j}^0|$, there exists
\[
||\mathbf{E}^1||_\infty = |e_{i_0,j_0}^1| \leq |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1| - \omega'_{i_0,j_0,1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^{\alpha} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1|
\]
\[
= |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,1} g_{j_0,j_0}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j_0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1|
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,1} g_{j_0,j_0}^{\beta}) |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j_0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1|
\]
\[
= |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^0| \leq ||\mathbf{E}^0||_\infty.
\]

Supposing $||\mathbf{E}^k||_\infty \leq ||\mathbf{E}^0||_\infty$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and $|e_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| = ||\mathbf{E}^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |e_{i,j}^{k+1}|$, then
\[
||\mathbf{E}^{k+1}||_\infty = |e_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| \leq |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| - \omega'_{i_0,j_0,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^{\alpha} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,k+1} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|
\]
\[
= |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,k+1} g_{j_0,j_0}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j_0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,k+1} g_{j_0,j_0}^{\beta}) |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}| - \omega''_{i_0,j_0,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j_0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^{\beta} |\epsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|
\]
\[\left| (1 - \omega'_i,\omega''_i, k+1 g_i, j=0,0+1 g_j, j=0) e^{k+1}_{i, j=0} - \omega'_i,\omega''_i, k+1 g_i, j=0, j=0+1 g_j, j=0 \right| = (1 - l_1) e^k_{i, j=0} + l_k e^0_{i, j=0} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) e^{k-s}_{i, j=0},\]

By Lemma 3.1, we get
\[
\|E^{k+1}\|_\infty \leq (1 - l_1) \|E^k\|_\infty + l_k \|E^0\|_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \|E^{k-s}\|_\infty
\]
\[
\leq (1 - l_1) \|E^0\|_\infty + l_k \|E^0\|_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \|E^{0}\|_\infty
\]
\[
\leq \|E^0\|_\infty.
\]

If \(\gamma = 1\), we obtain
\[
\|E^{k+1}\|_\infty \leq \left| (1 - \omega'_i,\omega''_i, k+1 g_i, j=0,0+1 g_j, j=0) e^{k+1}_{i, j=0} - \omega'_i,\omega''_i, k+1 g_i, j=0, j=0+1 g_j, j=0 \right| = (1 - l_1) e^k_{i, j=0}
\]
\[
\leq \|E^0\|_\infty.
\]

\[\] 3.4. Stability for two-dimensional explicit difference scheme

**Theorem 3.6.** If \(0 < \frac{\tau}{(\Delta x)^\beta} + \frac{\tau}{(\Delta y)^\beta} \leq \frac{1-2^{-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma) \Gamma(4-\beta) \Gamma(4-\beta) \Gamma(4-\beta)}, \) where
\[
C_{max} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq Nx, 0 \leq j \leq Ny, 0 \leq k \leq Nt} \left\{ \frac{-\kappa_\alpha (4 - 2^{3-\alpha}) c^i_{i,j} - \kappa_\beta (4 - 2^{3-\beta}) d^i_{i,j}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}, \frac{-\kappa_\beta (4 - 2^{3-\beta}) d^i_{i,j}}{\Gamma(4-\beta)} \right\},
\]
then the explicit difference scheme \(2.19\) of the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation \(1.1\) with \(0 < \gamma \leq 1, 1 < \alpha, \beta \leq 2\) is stable.

**Proof.** Let \(\tilde{u}^k_{i,j} (i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y; k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t)\) be the approximate solution of \(u^k_{i,j}\), which is the exact solution of the explicit scheme \(2.19\). Denoting \(\epsilon^k_{i,j} = \)
\[ u_{i,j}^{k+1} - u_{i,j}^k \] and using (2.19), we obtain the following perturbation form

\[ \epsilon_{i,j}^1 = (1 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta) \epsilon_{i,j}^0 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^0 \epsilon_{m,j}^0 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^0 \epsilon_{i,m}^0, \quad k = 0, \]

\[ \epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\beta) \epsilon_{i,j}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \epsilon_{i,j}^{k-s} + l_k \epsilon_{i,j}^0 \]

\[ + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^k \epsilon_{m,j}^k + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^k \epsilon_{i,m}^k, \quad k > 0. \]

When \( \gamma = 1 \), (3.4) can be rewritten as

\[ \epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = (1 + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,k}^\beta) \epsilon_{i,j}^k + \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^k \epsilon_{m,j}^k + \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^k \epsilon_{i,m}^k, \quad k \geq 0. \]

Using the mathematical induction, we can prove the desired result. Under the conditions of the theorem, there exists \( 1 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta > 0. \) Let \( \mathbf{u}^k = [u_{i,0}^k, u_{i,1}^k, \ldots, u_{i,N_x}^k]^T \), where \( u_{i,k}^k = [e_{i,0,k}^0, e_{i,1,k}^0, \ldots, e_{i,N_y,k}^0]^T \) and \( ||E^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\epsilon_{i,j}^k| \). For \( k = 0 \), supposing \( |\epsilon_{i,j,0}^1| = ||E^1||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\epsilon_{i,j}^1| \), we obtain

\[ ||E^1||_\infty = |\epsilon_{i,j,0}^1|, \]

\[ = |(1 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta) \epsilon_{i,j,0}^0 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^0 \epsilon_{m,j}^0 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^0 \epsilon_{i,m}^0| \]

\[ \leq (1 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta) |\epsilon_{i,j,0}^0| + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^0 |\epsilon_{m,j}^0| + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^0 |\epsilon_{i,m}^0| \]

\[ \leq (1 + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta) ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^0 ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^0 ||E^0||_\infty \]

\[ = ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^0 ||E^0||_\infty + \sigma_{i,j,0}^\beta \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^0 ||E^0||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty. \]

Assuming \( ||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), and \( |\epsilon_{i,j,0}^k| = ||E^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\epsilon_{i,j}^{k+1}| \), we get

\[ ||E^{k+1}||_\infty = |\epsilon_{i,j,0}^{k+1}|, \]

\[ = |(1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,j,0,k}^\alpha + \sigma_{i,j,0,k}^\beta) \epsilon_{i,j,0}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \epsilon_{i,j,0}^{k-s} + l_k \epsilon_{i,j,0}^0 \]

\[ + \sigma_{i,j,0,k}^\alpha \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^k \epsilon_{m,j}^k + \sigma_{i,j,0,k}^\beta \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^k \epsilon_{i,m}^k| \]
Theorem 4.1. Convergence for one-dimensional implicit difference scheme (2.13), then there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\leq (1 - l_1 + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0} + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0})|e^{k}_{i_0,j_0}| + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})|e^{k-s}_{i_0,j_0}| + l_k|e^0_{i_0,j_0}|
\leq (1 - l_1)||E^k||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})||E^{k-s}||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty
\leq (1 - l_1)||E^0||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1})||E^0||_\infty + l_k||E^0||_\infty
= \left(1 - l_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1}(l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k\right)||E^0||_\infty
= ||E^0||_\infty.$$

When $\gamma = 1$, it is easy to check that

$$
||E^{k+1}||_\infty = |e^{k+1}_{i_0,j_0}|
= \left|1 + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0} + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0}\right|e^{k}_{i_0,j_0} + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0}e^{k}_{i_0,j_0} + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0}e^{k}_{j_0,j_0}
\leq (1 + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0} + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0})|e^{k}_{i_0,j_0}| + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0}|e^{k}_{i_0,j_0}| + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0}|e^{k}_{j_0,j_0}|
\leq (1 + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0} + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0})||E^k||_\infty + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0}||E^k||_\infty + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0}||E^k||_\infty
= ||E^k||_\infty + \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\alpha}_{i_0,i_0}||E^k||_\infty + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k}g^{\beta}_{j_0,j_0}||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^k||_\infty \leq ||E^0||_\infty.
$$

4. Convergence analysis

We use four subsections to prove that the global truncation error of the schemes (2.13) and (2.18) used to solve (2.10) is $O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2)$, and the global truncation error of the schemes (2.16) and (2.19) used to solve (1.1) is $O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2)$.

4.1. Convergence for one-dimensional implicit difference scheme

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $u^i_k$ be the approximation solution of $u(x_i, t_k)$ computed by use of the implicit difference scheme (2.13), then there is a positive constant $C$ such that

$$|u(x_i, t_k) - u^i_k| \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t.$$
Proof. Let \( u(x_i, t_k) \) be the exact solution of (2.10) at the mesh point \((x_i, t_k)\). Define \( \varepsilon^k_i = u(x_i, t_k) - u^k_i \), and \( e^k = [\varepsilon^k_0, \varepsilon^k_1, \ldots, \varepsilon^k_{N_x}] \). Subtracting (2.11) from (2.12) and using \( \varepsilon^0 = 0 \), we obtain

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,1}g_{i,i}^\alpha)\varepsilon^1_i - \omega_{i,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon^1_m = R^1_i, \quad k = 0,
\]

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,k+1}g_{i,i}^\alpha)\varepsilon^{k+1}_i - \omega_{i,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon^{k+1}_m = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\varepsilon^{k-s}_i + R^{k+1}_i, \quad k > 0.
\]

When \( \gamma = 1 \), (4.1) can be written as

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,1}g_{i,i}^\alpha)\varepsilon^1_i - \omega_{i,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon^1_m = R^1_i, \quad k = 0,
\]

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,k+1}g_{i,i}^\alpha)\varepsilon^{k+1}_i - \omega_{i,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon^{k+1}_m = \varepsilon^k_i + R^{k+1}_i, \quad k > 0.
\]

Denoting that \( ||e^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon^k_i| \) and \( R_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} |R^k_i| \), the desired result can be proved by using mathematical induction.

(1) Case \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \): For \( k = 0 \), supposing \( ||\varepsilon^1_{i_0}|| = ||e^1|| = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon^1_i| \), according to Lemma 3.2, we get

\[
||e^1||_\infty = ||\varepsilon^1_{i_0}|| \leq ||\varepsilon^1_{i_0}|| - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^1_{i_0}|| - \omega_{i_0,1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha |\varepsilon^1_{i_0}|| - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^1_m||
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \omega_{i_0,1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\varepsilon^1_{i_0} - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^1_m|| \leq \left(1 - \omega_{i_0,1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha\right)\varepsilon^1_{i_0} - \omega_{i_0,1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^1_m||
\]

\[
= |R^1_{i_0}| \leq R_{\text{max}} = l_0^{-1}R_{\text{max}}.
\]

Supposing \( ||e^{k-1}||_\infty \leq l_{k-1}^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \tilde{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \) and \( |\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}| = ||e^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon^{k+1}_i| \), according to the result of Lemma 3.1, \( l_k^{-1} \leq l_{k-1}, \tilde{k} = 0, 1, \ldots, k, \) therefore, \( ||e^{k}||_\infty \leq l_{\tilde{k}}^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \tilde{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). Then we have

\[
||e^{k+1}||_\infty = ||\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}| = ||\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}|| - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}||
\]

\[
\leq |\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}| - \omega_{i_0,k+1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha |\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0}| - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^{k+1}_m||
\]

\[
\leq (1 - \omega_{i_0,k+1}g_{i_0,i_0}^\alpha)\varepsilon^{k+1}_{i_0} - \omega_{i_0,k+1}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon^{k+1}_m||
\]
4.2. Convergence for one-dimensional explicit difference scheme

Let Theorem 4.2.

Proof. From Lemma 3.1, we obtain

\[ \|e^{k+1}\|_\infty \leq (1 - l_1)\|e^k\|_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\|e^{k-s}\|_\infty + |R_{i_0}^{k+1}| \]
\[ \leq l_k^{-1} \left( 1 - l_1 \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k \right) R_{\text{max}} \]
\[ = l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}} \leq C k^\gamma R_{\text{max}} = C(k + 1)(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2) \]
\[ \leq C T^{\gamma}(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2). \]

(2) Case $\gamma = 1$: Using similar idea leads to

\[ \|e^{k+1}\|_\infty \leq \|e^k\|_\infty + \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{k+1}^{x_0} - \omega_{i_0,k+1} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i_0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_{m} \]
\[ \leq \|e^k\|_\infty + |R_{i_0}^{k+1}| \leq (k + 1) R_{\text{max}} \leq C(k + 1)(\tau + (\Delta x)^2) \]
\[ \leq C'(\tau + (\Delta x)^2) = C'(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2). \]

\square

4.2. Convergence for one-dimensional explicit difference scheme

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $u_i^k$ be the approximation solution of $u(x_i, t_k)$ computed by use of the explicit difference scheme (2.19). If $0 < \frac{\tau^\gamma}{(\Delta x)^\alpha} \leq -\frac{\Gamma(4-\alpha)}{4\alpha C_{\text{max}} \Gamma(2-\gamma)(2-2\alpha)}$, where $C_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} c(x_i, t_k)$, then there is a positive constant $C$ such that

\[ |u(x_i, t_k) - u_i^k| \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t. \]

**Proof.** Define $\varepsilon_i^k = u(x_i, t_k) - u_i^k$ and $R_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} |R_i^k|$. Analogously, we have

\[ \varepsilon_i^1 = R_i^1, \quad k = 0, \]
\[ \varepsilon_i^{k+1} = (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i,k} g_{i,i}^{\alpha}) \varepsilon_i^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) \varepsilon_i^{k-s} + \sigma_{i,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^{\alpha} \varepsilon_m^k + R_i^{k+1}, \quad k > 0. \]
When \( \gamma = 1 \), \([4.3]\) can be rewritten as
\[
\varepsilon_i^1 = R_i^1, \quad k = 0,
\]
\[
\varepsilon_i^{k+1} = (1 + \sigma_{i,k}g_{i,0}^\alpha)\varepsilon_i^k + \sigma_{i,k}\sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_m^k + R_i^{k+1}, \quad k > 0. \tag{4.4}
\]
Denoting that \( e^k = [\varepsilon_i^k, \varepsilon_{i+1}^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{N_x}^k] \) and \( ||e^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon_i^k| \), we use mathematical induction to prove the desired result.

(1) Case \( 0 < \gamma < 1 \): For \( k = 0 \), supposing \( |\varepsilon_{i0}^1| = ||e^1||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon_i^1| \), we have
\[
||e^1||_\infty = |\varepsilon_{i0}^1| = |R_{i0}^1| \leq R_{\max} = l_0^{-1} R_{\max}.
\]
Assuming \( ||e^{k'}||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\max} \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), and \( |\varepsilon_{i0}^{k+1}| = ||e^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x} |\varepsilon_i^{k+1}| \), using \( l_k^{-1} \leq l_k^{-1}, k = 0, 1, \ldots, k \), therefore, \( ||e^{k'}||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\max} \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). Then we get
\[
||e^{k+1}||_\infty = |\varepsilon_{i0}^{k+1}| = |(1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i0,k}g_{i,0}^\alpha)\varepsilon_{i0}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\varepsilon_{i0}^{k-s} + \sigma_{i0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_m^k + R_{i0}^{k+1}|
\]
\[
\leq (1 - l_1 + \sigma_{i0,k}g_{i,0}^\alpha)|\varepsilon_{i0}^k| + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})|\varepsilon_{i0}^{k-s}| + \sigma_{i0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon_m^k| + R_{\max}
\]
\[
\leq (1 - l_1)||e^k||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})||e^{k-s}||_\infty + \sigma_{i0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha ||e^k||_\infty + R_{\max}
\]
\[
\leq l_k^{-1} \left( 1 - l_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k \right) R_{\max}
\]
\[
= l_k^{-1} R_{\max} \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2).
\]

(2) Case \( \gamma = 1 \): Analogously, we have
\[
||e^{k+1}||_\infty = |\varepsilon_{i0}^{k+1}| \leq (1 + \sigma_{i0,k}g_{i,0}^\alpha)|\varepsilon_{i0}^k| + \sigma_{i0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha |\varepsilon_m^k| + R_{\max}
\]
\[
\leq ||e^k||_\infty + \sigma_{i0,k} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha ||e^k||_\infty + R_{\max}
\]
\[
\leq ||e^k||_\infty + R_{\max} \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2).
\]

4.3. Convergence for two-dimensional implicit difference scheme

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( u_{i,j}^k \) be the approximation solution of \( u(x_i, y_j, t_k) \) computed by use of the implicit difference scheme \([2.16]\), then there is a positive constant \( C \) such that
\[
|u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - u_{i,j}^k| \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2),
\]

\]
where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y; \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t. \)

**Proof.** Defining \( \varepsilon_{i,j}^k = u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - u_{i,j}^k, \) \( R_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} |R_{ij}^k|, \) and subtracting (2.14) from (2.15), we obtain

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\alpha g_{i,i}^\alpha - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^\beta)\varepsilon_{i,j}^k = R_{i,j}^k, \quad k = 0, \]

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\alpha g_{i,i}^\alpha - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^\beta)\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = \varepsilon_{i,j}^k + R_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0.
\]

When \( \gamma = 1, \) (4.5) can be written as

\[
(1 - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\alpha g_{i,i}^\alpha - \omega_{i,j,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^\beta)\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = \varepsilon_{i,j}^k + R_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0.
\]

Denoting \( u^k = [u_{0}^{k}, u_{1}^{k}, \ldots, u_{N_j}^{k}]^T, \) \( u_{ij}^k = [\varepsilon_{i,0}^k, \varepsilon_{i,1}^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,N_j}^k]^T \) and \( ||e^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^k|, \) we prove the desired result by mathematical induction.

(1) Case \( 0 < \gamma < 1: \) For \( k = 0, \) supposing \( ||e_{i_0,j_0}^1|| = ||e^1||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^1|, \) we have

\[
||e^1||_\infty = ||e_{i_0,j_0}^1|| \leq ||e^1||_{i_0,j_0} + \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1 + \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^1,
\]

\[
\leq |R_{i_0,j_0}^1| \leq R_{\text{max}} = l_0^{-1} R_{\text{max}}.
\]

Assuming \( ||e^k||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \) \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \) and \( ||e_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|| = ||e^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1}|, \) using \( l_k^{-1} \leq l_k^{-1}, \) \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, k, \) therefore, \( ||e^k||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \) \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k. \) Then we have

\[
||e^{k+1}||_\infty = ||e_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|| \leq ||e_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}|| + \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1} + \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1},
\]

\[
- \omega_{i_0,j_0,k+1}^\alpha g_{i,i}^\alpha \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1} - \omega_{i_0,j_0,k+1}^\beta g_{j,j}^\beta \varepsilon_{i_0,j_0}^{k+1}.
\]
the implicit difference scheme (2.19). If 
\[ \text{then there is a positive constant } C \text{ such that} \]
\[ \| e \|^k \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2). \]

(2) Case \( \gamma = 1 \): Using similar idea leads to

\[ \| e \|^k \leq \| e \|^k + R_{\text{max}} \]
\[ \leq l_k^{-1} \left( 1 - l_k^{-1} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1}) + l_k \right) R_{\text{max}} \]
\[ = l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}} \leq C(\tau^{2-1} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2). \]

4.4. Convergence for two-dimensional explicit difference scheme

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( u_{i,j}^k \) be the approximation solution of \( u(x_i, y_j, t_k) \) computed by use of the implicit difference scheme (2.19). If \( 0 < \frac{\tau^{\gamma}}{(\Delta x)^\alpha} + \frac{\tau^{\gamma}}{(\Delta y)^\alpha} \leq \frac{1-2^{-\gamma}}{\Gamma(2-\gamma)C_{\text{max}}} \), where

\[ C_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\kappa_\alpha (4 - 2^{3-\alpha}) c_{i,j}^k}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha)} , \quad \frac{\kappa_\beta (4 - 2^{3-\beta}) d_{i,j}^k}{\Gamma(4 - \beta)} \end{array} \right\} , \]

then there is a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[ |u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - u_{i,j}^k| \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2), \]

where \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N_x; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N_y; k = 0, 1, \ldots, N_t. \)
Proof. Define $\varepsilon_{i,j}^k = u(x_i, y_j, t_k) - u_{i,j}^k$ and $R_{\text{max}} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y, 0 \leq k \leq N_t} |R_{i,j}^k|$. Similarly, we obtain the following form

$$
\varepsilon_{i,j}^1 = R_{i,j}^1, \quad k = 0,
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = \left(1 - l_1 + \sigma'_{i,j,k}g_{i,i}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,j,k}g_{j,j}^\beta\right)\varepsilon_{i,j}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \left(l_s - l_{s+1}\right)\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k-s} + \sigma'_{i,j,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{m,j}^k + \sigma''_{i,j,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i,m}^k + R_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k > 0.
$$

(4.6)

When $\gamma = 1$, (4.6) becomes

$$
\varepsilon_{i,j}^1 = R_{i,j}^1, \quad k = 0,
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1} = \left(1 + \sigma'_{i,j,k}g_{i,i}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,j,k}g_{j,j}^\beta\right)\varepsilon_{i,j}^k + \sigma'_{i,j,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq i}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{m,j}^k + \sigma''_{i,j,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i,m}^k + R_{i,j}^{k+1}, \quad k > 0.
$$

Using mathematical induction, we can obtain the desired result. Let $\mathbf{u}^k = [u^k_0, u^k_1, \ldots, u^k_{N_x}]^T$, where $u_{i,0}^k = [\varepsilon_{i,0}^k, \varepsilon_{i,1}^k, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i,N_y}^k]^T$ and $||\mathbf{e}^k||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^k|$.

1. Case $0 < \gamma < 1$: For $k = 0$, supposing $||\varepsilon_{i,0}^1||_\infty = ||\varepsilon^1||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^1|$, we obtain

$$
||\varepsilon^1||_\infty = ||\varepsilon_{i,0}^1||_\infty = |R_{i,0}^1| \leq R_{\text{max}} = l_0^{-1} R_{\text{max}}.
$$

Assuming $||\check{\varepsilon}^k||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \check{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and $||\varepsilon_{i,0}^{k+1}||_\infty = ||\varepsilon^{k+1}||_\infty = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N_x, 0 \leq j \leq N_y} |\varepsilon_{i,j}^{k+1}|$, using $l_k^{-1} \leq l_{k-1}^{-1}, \check{k} = 0, 1, \ldots, k$, therefore, $||\check{\varepsilon}^k||_\infty \leq l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}}, \check{k} = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Then we have

$$
||\varepsilon^{k+1}||_\infty = ||\varepsilon_{i,0}^{k+1}||_\infty = \left|\begin{array}{c}
(1 - l_1 + \sigma'_{i,0,0,k}g_{i,0,0}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,0,0,k}g_{j,0,0}^\beta)\varepsilon_{i,0}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\varepsilon_{i,0}^{k-s} \\
+ \sigma'_{i,0,0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq 0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{m,j,0}^k + \sigma''_{i,0,0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i,0,m}^k + R_{i,j}^{k+1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\leq (1 - l_1 + \sigma'_{i,0,0,k}g_{i,0,0}^\alpha + \sigma''_{i,0,0,k}g_{j,0,0}^\beta)\varepsilon_{i,0}^k + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})\varepsilon_{i,0}^{k-s} \\
+ \sigma'_{i,0,0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq 0}^{N_x} g_{i,m}^\alpha \varepsilon_{m,j,0}^k + \sigma''_{i,0,0,k} \sum_{m=0, m \neq j}^{N_y} g_{j,m}^\beta \varepsilon_{i,0,m}^k + R_{\text{max}}
$$

$$
\leq (1 - l_1)||\mathbf{e}^k||_\infty + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (l_s - l_{s+1})||\mathbf{e}^{k-s}||_\infty +
$$
5. Numerical results

Given in Table 2. Some of the numerical results are also

5.1. Numerical results for the implicit scheme for the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-

Remark 4.4. Let \( \nu \in (0, 1) \), there exist \( x_L D_x^\nu u = D_{x_L} D_x^{(1-\nu)} u = D^2_{x_L} D_x^{(2-\nu)} u \), and \( x_R D_x^\nu u = -D_{x_R} D_x^{(1-\nu)} u = D^2_{x_R} D_x^{(2-\nu)} u \). So after carefully dealing with the boundary conditions, all the above presented numerical schemes still work well when the order of space fractional derivatives \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and/or \( \beta \in (0, 1) \). And the convergence rates remain, and all the theoretical analyses are valid. Some of the numerical results are also given in Table 2.

5. Numerical results

In this section, we numerically verify the above theoretical results including convergence rates and numerical stability. And the \( l_\infty \) norm is used to measure the numerical errors.

5.1. Numerical results for the implicit scheme for the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-

Riesz fractional diffusion equation

Consider the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation [2,10], on a finite domain \( 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < t \leq 1/2 \), with the coefficient \( c(x, t) = x^\alpha t^{1-\gamma}, \)

\[
+ \sigma'_{i_0,j_0,k} \sum_{m=0}^{N_x} g_{i_0,m}^\alpha |e^k|_\infty + \sigma''_{i_0,j_0,k} \sum_{m=0}^{N_y} g_{j_0,m}^\beta |e^k|_\infty + R_{\text{max}} \\
\leq l_k^{-1} \left( 1 - l_1 + \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (s_l - s_{l+1}) + l_k \right) R_{\text{max}} \\
= l_k^{-1} R_{\text{max}} \leq C(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2).
\]
the forcing function
\[ f(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(3 + \gamma) t^2 x^2 (x - 1)^2 + \frac{t^3 x^\alpha}{\cos(\alpha \pi/2)} \left[ \frac{x^{2-\alpha} + (1 - x)^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3 - \alpha)} - 6 \frac{x^{3-\alpha} + (1 - x)^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4 - \alpha)} + 12 \frac{x^{4-\alpha} + (1 - x)^{4-\alpha}}{\Gamma(5 - \alpha)} \right], \]
the initial condition \( u(x, 0) = 0 \), and the boundary conditions \( u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0 \). This fractional diffusion equation has the exact value
\[ u(x, t) = t^{2+\gamma} x^2 (1 - x)^2, \]
which may be confirmed by applying the fractional differential equations
\[ x_L D_x^\nu (x - x_L)^\nu = \frac{\Gamma(v + 1)}{\Gamma(v + 1 - \nu)} (x - x_L)^{\nu - \nu}, \]
\[ x D_x^\nu (x_R - x)^\nu = \frac{\Gamma(v + 1)}{\Gamma(v + 1 - \nu)} (x_R - x)^{\nu - \nu}. \]

Table 1: The maximum errors and convergence rates for the implicit scheme (2.13) of the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (2.10) with variable coefficient \( c(x, t) = x^\alpha t^{1-\gamma} \) at \( t = 1/2 \), and the time and space stepsizes are equal, i.e, \( \tau = \Delta x \).

| \( \tau, \Delta x \) | \( \alpha = 1.2, \gamma = 0.9 \) Rate | \( \alpha = 1.2, \gamma = 0.5 \) Rate | \( \alpha = 1.2, \gamma = 0.1 \) Rate |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1/40 | 3.1438e-004 | 6.3187e-005 | 2.7395e-005 |
| 1/80 | 1.4713e-004 | 1.0954 | 2.2183e-005 | 1.0954 | 2.0179e-006 | 1.9933 |
| 1/160 | 6.8748e-005 | 1.0977 | 7.7888e-006 | 1.5100 | 5.3217e-006 | 1.9166 |
| 1/320 | 3.2097e-005 | 1.0989 | 2.7378e-006 | 1.5084 | 5.2912e-007 | 1.9410 |
| \( \alpha = 1.9, \gamma = 0.9 \) Rate | \( \alpha = 1.9, \gamma = 0.5 \) Rate | \( \alpha = 1.9, \gamma = 0.1 \) Rate |
| 1/40 | 2.7655e-004 | 5.6774e-005 | 2.1114e-005 |
| 1/80 | 1.2919e-004 | 1.0981 | 1.9669e-005 | 1.5293 | 5.4717e-006 | 1.9482 |
| 1/160 | 6.0294e-005 | 1.0994 | 6.8351e-006 | 1.5249 | 1.4145e-006 | 1.9517 |
| 1/320 | 2.8133e-005 | 1.0997 | 2.3841e-006 | 1.5195 | 3.6518e-007 | 1.9536 |

Table 1 shows the maximum errors, at time \( t = 1/2 \) with \( \tau = \Delta x \), between the exact analytical values and the numerical values obtained by applying the implicit scheme (2.13). Since the scheme has the global truncation error \( O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2) \), the convergent rate should be \( 2 - \gamma \) being confirmed by the numerical results.

Table 2 shows the maximum errors at time \( t = 1/2 \), and the time and space stepsizes are taken as \( \tau = (\Delta x)^{1/2} \). The numerical results confirm the 2nd order convergence in space directions. In particular, the numerical results when \( \alpha = 0.3 \in (0, 1) \) are also presented, which confirm the statement of Remark 4.4.
Table 2: The maximum errors and convergent rates for the implicit scheme (2.13) of the one-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (2.10) at \( t = 1/2 \) with variable coefficient \( c(x, t) = x^\alpha t^{1-\gamma} \), and \( \tau = (\Delta x)^{2-\gamma} \), where \( \gamma = 0.9 \).

| \( \tau, \Delta x \) | \( \alpha = 1.9 \) | Rate | \( \alpha = 1.5 \) | Rate | \( \alpha = 1.2 \) | Rate | \( \alpha = 0.3 \) | Rate |
|---------------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|
| 1/10                | 2.4699e-004     |      | 2.5801e-004     |      | 2.5510e-004     |      | 2.4135e-004     |      |
| 1/20                | 6.2966e-005     | 1.9718 | 6.5569e-005     | 1.9763 | 6.4560e-005     | 1.9823 | 6.1043e-005     | 1.9832 |
| 1/40                | 1.5697e-005     | 2.0041 | 1.6226e-005     | 2.0148 | 1.5934e-005     | 2.0185 | 1.5085e-005     | 2.0167 |
| 1/80                | 3.9368e-006     | 1.9954 | 4.0475e-006     | 2.0032 | 4.0433e-006     | 1.9785 | 3.7583e-006     | 2.0050 |

5.2. Implicit schemes results for two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation

We further examine the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (1.1), on a finite domain \( 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 < t \leq 1/2 \), with the variable coefficients

\[
c(x, y, t) = 2x^\alpha y^\beta t^{1-\gamma},
\]

\[
d(x, y, t) = 2x^\beta y^\alpha t^{1-\gamma},
\]

the forcing function

\[
f(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(3 + \gamma) t^2 x^2 (x - 1)^2 y^2 (y - 1)^2
\]

\[
+ \frac{t^3 x^\alpha y^{2+\beta} (y - 1)^2}{\cos(\alpha \pi/2)} \left[ \frac{x^{2-\alpha} + (1-x)^{2-\alpha}}{\Gamma(3-\alpha)} - \frac{x^{3-\alpha} + (1-x)^{3-\alpha}}{\Gamma(4-\alpha)} + 12 \frac{x^{4-\alpha} + (1-x)^{4-\alpha}}{\Gamma(5-\alpha)} \right]
\]

\[
+ \frac{t^3 x^{2+\beta} (x - 1)^2 y^\alpha}{\cos(\beta \pi/2)} \left[ \frac{y^{2-\beta} + (1-y)^{2-\beta}}{\Gamma(3-\beta)} - \frac{y^{3-\beta} + (1-y)^{3-\beta}}{\Gamma(4-\beta)} + 12 \frac{y^{4-\beta} + (1-y)^{4-\beta}}{\Gamma(5-\beta)} \right],
\]

the initial condition \( u_0(x, y) = 0 \), and the boundary conditions \( B(x, y, t) = 0 \). It has the exact solution

\[
u(x, y, t) = t^{2+\gamma} x^2 (1-x)^2 y^2 (1-y)^2.
\]

Table 3 shows the maximum errors of the implicit scheme (2.16) at time \( t = 1/2 \), and \( \tau = \Delta x = \Delta y \). Since the implicit scheme (2.16) has the global truncation error \( O(\tau^{2-\gamma} + (\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2) \), the convergence rate should be \( 2 - \gamma \) being confirmed by the numerical results.

6. Conclusions

This paper discusses the finite difference schemes for the time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional PDEs with variable coefficients, and the order of time fractional derivative belongs to \((0, 1)\) and the order of space fractional derivatives locate in \((1, 2)\). The obtained schemes have \((2 - \gamma)\)-th order convergence rate in time and 2nd order convergent rate...
Table 3: The maximum errors and convergence rates for the implicit scheme (2.16) of the two-dimensional time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional diffusion equation (1.1) at $t = 1/2$ and $\tau = \Delta x = \Delta y$, with variable coefficients $c(x, y, t) = 2x^\alpha y^\beta t^{1-\gamma}$ and $d(x, y, t) = 2x^\beta y^\alpha t^{1-\gamma}$.

| $\tau, \Delta x, \Delta y$ | $\gamma = 0.9$ | Rate | $\gamma = 0.5$ | Rate | $\gamma = 0.1$ | Rate |
|------------------------|----------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|------|
| $\alpha = 1.2, \beta = 1.3$ | 7.7867E-005 | 3.1936E-005 | 2.2077E-005 | 2.0743E-005 | 1.9552 |
| 1/20                   | 3.6381E-005  | 1.0978 | 1.0357E-005 | 1.6246 | 5.6507E-006 | 1.9660 |
| 1/30                   | 2.3084E-005  | 1.1220 | 5.4951E-006 | 1.5632 | 2.5497E-006 | 1.9627 |
| 1/40                   | 1.6839E-005  | 1.0965 | 3.4925E-006 | 1.5755 | 1.4509E-006 | 1.9598 |
| $\alpha = 1.8, \beta = 1.7$ | 7.8209E-005 | 3.1251E-005 | 2.0743E-005 | 1.9002 |
| 1/20                   | 3.6912E-005  | 1.0832 | 1.0369E-005 | 1.5917 | 5.5573E-006 | 1.9002 |
| 1/30                   | 2.3535E-005  | 1.1099 | 5.5386E-006 | 1.5465 | 2.5115E-006 | 1.9588 |
| 1/40                   | 1.7122E-005  | 1.1060 | 3.5433E-006 | 1.5527 | 1.4310E-006 | 1.9552 |

in space. The detailed numerical stability analysis and error estimates are presented, and the extensive numerical experiments are performed, which confirm the theoretical results. In particular, the numerical schemes still work well for the time-space Caputo-Riesz fractional PDEs with the order of its space derivatives belongs to $(0, 1)$, and all the theoretical analyses are still valid.
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