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Abstract

B lymphocytes are the source of humoral immunity and are thus a critical component of the adaptive immune system. However, B cells can also be pathogenic and the origin of disease. Deregulated B-cell function has been implicated in several autoimmune diseases, including systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, and multiple sclerosis. B cells contribute to pathological immune responses through the secretion of cytokines, co-stimulation of T cells, antigen presentation, and the production of autoantibodies. DNA- and RNA-containing immune complexes can also induce the production of type I interferons, which further promotes the inflammatory response. B-cell depletion with the CD20 antibody rituximab has provided clinical proof of concept that targeting B cells and the humoral response can result in significant benefit to patients. Consequently, the interest in B-cell targeted therapies has greatly increased in recent years and a number of new biologics exploiting various mechanisms are now in clinical development. This review provides an overview on current developments in the area of B-cell targeted therapies by describing molecules and subpopulations that currently offer themselves as therapeutic targets, the different strategies to target B cells currently under investigation as well as an update on the status of novel therapeutics in clinical development. Emerging data from clinical trials are providing critical insight regarding the role of B cells and autoantibodies in various autoimmune conditions and will guide the development of more efficacious therapeutics and better patient selection.

Introduction

B cells play a central role in the adaptive immune response and protection against pathogens. However, it is now evident that B cells also contribute to the pathobiology of many autoimmune diseases. B cells are not a homogeneous population of lymphocytes, but rather are a mixture of cells at different stages of maturation along the lineage (Figure 1) and with unique functional properties. In healthy individuals, B-cell homeostasis and the representation of different B-cell subsets in peripheral blood and lymphoid organs is finely balanced. In autoimmune diseases, however, B-cell homeostasis and activation state can be significantly altered and self-tolerance lost.

The demonstration that B-cell depletion with the CD20 antibody rituximab can lead to significant benefit to patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) has provided the original proof of concept for the targeting of B cells in autoimmune diseases. Although we still do not yet fully understand all aspects of B-cell contribution to disease and the mechanisms that can lead to the loss of B-cell tolerance, the pioneering studies with rituximab have led to a great variety of new approaches to target B cells with mAbs and other biologics, and many of these new molecules are currently undergoing testing in the clinic.

The following sections provide an overview of the current status of B-cell targeting biologics in the clinic. Importantly, one has to appreciate the large variety of B-cell subpopulations in the course of B-cell differentiation, activation, regulation, and function, as well as respectively characteristic molecules. This is particularly pertinent for the understanding and interpretation of data from clinical trials in different autoimmune diseases. While one can make various assumptions on the importance of certain targets from the physiological perspective and/or information obtained from studies in experimental models, it is the results of clinical trials that will provide the ultimate evidence for or against the efficacy and safety of a specific targeted therapy and, consequently, also insight into the true pathogenetic involvement of the respective pathway.

B cells can contribute to autoimmune disease through a variety of different mechanisms, including autoantibody
production, antigen presentation, and cytokine production. Therapies focusing on B cells may thus have a variety and varying effects depending on the molecule or subpopulation targeted. To this end, it is essential to briefly highlight the rationale of these therapies in light of the diversity of the function of B cells and their subpopulations as well as addressing consequences of such therapeutics that may be of a more general nature and not necessarily related to a specific target.

B cells are the unique cell family capable of producing immunoglobulins (Figure 1). Once activated by antigens via the B-cell receptor (BCR), B cells also express other immunoglobulin isotypes as BCRs, dependent on their respective commitment. Immunoglobulin secretion then becomes a quality of plasma cells (PCs), but B1 and MZ B cells can also secrete IgM (Figure 1). Immunoglobulins are a central element in host defense. However, many autoimmune diseases are characterized by the production of autoantibodies that may be of a more general nature and not necessarily related to a specific target.

Importantly, the frequency of plasmablasts/PCs in peripheral blood has been linked to the response of patients to B-cell targeted therapies in several studies (see below), and the various therapeutics in development may differ with regard to their impact on PCs and autoantibody levels.

Some controversy exists regarding the existence of yet another antibody-producing B-cell population related to MZ B lymphocytes – B1 B cells, which at least in mice are a major subset [3,4]. B1 B cells, which can be subdivided into CD5+ B1a and CD5− B1b cells (B1 cells not expressing CD5), mainly respond to antigens and
produce antibodies that are independent of T-cell help (T1 antigens, as opposed to T-dependent antigens) [5]. These B1 B cells deliver so-called natural antibodies that are frequently self-reactive, and therefore have been implicated in the secretion of various autoantibodies characteristic of autoimmune diseases [5-9]. While the majority of data concerning B1 B cells have been obtained in mice, a number of autoimmune phenomena in humans, including the production of autoantibodies such as rheumatoid factor, have been associated with CD5+ B cells. However, the overall role of B1 B cells in human immunology and contribution to disease is still a matter of debate [8-10]. B2 B cells (also termed follicular B cells) are the classical B cells and can be found in all secondary lymphoid organs and in the blood [3].

Antigen presentation is a fundamental activity in the generation of the adaptive immune response [11,12]. Antigens can be presented by a variety of cell populations, be it cells that become antigen-presenting cells as a secondary or bystander effect or professional antigen-presenting cells, such as B lymphocytes.

To execute their primary function in the immune response – the production of high-affinity antibodies – B cells need the help of antigen-specific T cells, which is MHC class II restricted and involves multiple co-stimulatory signals such as CD40/CD40 ligand (CD40L) and ICOS/ICOS ligand [11-13]. The main route for antigen acquisition by B cells is via the BCR. This BCR signaling can be enhanced by antigens that have cleaved C3 complement attached to them via binding to CD21 and co-recruitment of CD19 [14], or can be inhibited by the inhibitory receptor CD32B (Fcγ receptor (FcγR) IIb) [15]. Secondly, B cells can acquire antigen via immunoglobulin-independent mechanisms such as pinocytosis [16].

The interaction of B cells with MHC class II restricted CD4+ antigen-specific T-helper cells renders them capable of class switch recombination and affinity maturation. These processes activate B cells, leading to upregulation of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD80 and CD86. Consequently, B cells become fully equipped antigen-presenting cells with the capacity to activate T cells [17-19].

B cells appear to have an essential role for antigen presentation in the context of antibody generation, but not for primary T-cell activation. Furthermore, in murine models of human RA, B cells have been shown to be involved in the generation of (auto)antigen-specific T-cell responses and were necessary for priming of arthritogenic T cells [20-22].

B cells are also a source of cytokines that shape the immune response. After activation, B cells produce pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, granulocyte–macrophage cerebrospinal fluid and TNF, but also immunosuppressive ones such as transforming growth factor beta and, importantly, IL-10 [23,24]. Studies in human and mice suggest that B-cell-derived cytokines are able to shape polarization of T cells. B-cell-derived IL-12 has been shown to augment IFNγ production by human T cells. Of note, this effect was independent of BCR crosslinking, but dependent on T-cell-derived CD40L and microbial stimuli (activators of Toll-like receptor 9), suggesting that antigen-unspecific bystander activation can influence T-cell polarization [25].

Lymphotoxin and TNF expressed by B cells are required for the maintenance of lymphoid follicles in Peyers patches of adult mice [26,27]. B cells are also a source of receptor activator of NF-κB ligand, an essential regulator of osteoclastogenesis [28]. Increased osteoclastogenesis leading to local bone destruction is an important pathogenic aspect in multiple myeloma, but also in inflammatory arthritis [29-31].

Apart from these proinflammatory and immunostimulating roles of B-cell-derived cytokines, abundant data exist on the role of B cells in inhibiting or dampening an immune response. B cells that inhibit various (immune) pathologies have been termed regulatory B cells (Bregs) in analogy to regulatory T cells (see article by Kalampokis and colleagues, this issue) [32-34]. The most important mediator of the effects of Bregs is IL-10, but transforming growth factor beta might also be involved [35,36]. There is thus ample evidence that the role of B cells in immunity as well as autoimmunity is not confined to the production of (auto)antibodies, but that this cell type plays important roles in shaping the outcome of physiological as well as pathological immune responses (Figure 1). Therapeutic approaches currently pursued in the clinic are not yet geared towards targeting specific B-cell subsets, but have nonetheless already generated some very promising results in a variety of autoimmune indications.

**Specific therapeutic approaches to target B cells**

**B-cell depletion**

*Mechanisms of antibody-mediated B-cell depletion: antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity and complement-dependent cytotoxicity*

The depleting activity of rituximab, and several other B-cell-targeted mAbs (see below), largely rely on two mAb Fc-dependent mechanisms: antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC). The engagement of effector cells by therapeutic mAbs requires the interaction of the mAb Fc with FcγRs on the surface of natural killer cells, monocytes/macrophages, or neutrophils. The most relevant activating FcγRs in human are FcγRIIA and FcγRIIB [37,38]. Importantly, there is a clear relationship between polymorphisms in FcγRIIA and FcγRIIB and the clinical response to rituximab-based therapy [39,40]. Consistent with the findings in patients with hematologic
malignancies, B-cell depletion in systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients homozygous for the low-affinity allele was much less efficient as compared with heterozygous patients or patients homozygous for the high-affinity allotype. B-cell depletion in homozygous F158/F158 patients, however, did improve with increasing doses of rituximab [41]. These findings further emphasize ADCC as an important factor for mAb activity, providing the rationale to apply protein engineering technology to generate new molecules with significantly improved potency.

The ADCC activity of therapeutic mAbs can be enhanced by increasing the affinity of the mAb Fc for activating FcγRs, in particular FcγRIIIA [42]. This can be achieved by the introduction of point mutations in the Fc by or modification of the Fc carbohydrate, specifically eliminating the fucose moiety [37,43,44]. Both approaches significantly increase the affinity of human IgG to the low-affinity allotype of FcγRIIIA and thus have the potential to further improve the efficacy of therapeutic mAbs [45-47]. ADCC enhancement technology has now been applied to a variety of mAbs, and several of the engineered molecules are at various stages of clinical development (see below).

In addition to ADCC, CDC is another mechanism by which therapeutic mAbs can mediate target cell killing. Activation of the classical complement pathway requires binding of the complement component C1q to the mAb Fc. Opsonization of the target cell then results in recruitment of additional complement components and formation of the membrane attack complex, which generates a pore in the plasma membrane, leading to nonapoptotic cell death [48].

**B-cell depletion by targeting CD20 with rituximab**

Rituximab, a mouse/human chimeric IgG1 mAb, was the first B-cell targeting therapeutic antibody approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. Originally developed for the treatment of B-cell malignancies [49,50], rituximab demonstrated clinical activity in RA, leading to its subsequent approval in moderate to severe RA with inadequate response to TNF antagonists. In 2011 rituximab was also approved for the treatment of anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitides, such as granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener’s syndrome) and microscopic polyangiitis [51,52]. Rituximab targets the B-cell-restricted surface antigen CD20 and leads to rapid and profound B-cell depletion (Figure 2) [53,54]. Much of what we have learned about B-cell depletion over the past decade is based on preclinical and clinical data generated with rituximab.

CD20 is expressed on the majority of B cells in circulation and lymphoid tissues, including immature, mature, and memory B cells (Figure 1). CD20, however, is not expressed on lymphoid progenitors in the bone marrow, which allows for repopulation of B cells following rituximab therapy. The repopulation of B cells following rituximab therapy has been investigated in the context of several clinical studies and has provided significant insight into the ontogeny of human B-cell development [55-58]. In RA patients treated with rituximab, newly emerging B cells are of an immature and naïve phenotype [55,59]. Similar observations have been made in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome, SLE, and B-cell lymphoma [56,57,60]. Interestingly, at repopulation the transitional B cells dominate and are increased in number over normal or pretreatment levels. Furthermore, the results consistently show a delayed recovery of CD27+ memory B cells, with numbers below normal for up to 2 years following treatment with rituximab. Roll and colleagues also reported the recirculation of CD20− PCs in parallel with the accumulation of transitional cells in the periphery [59].

Importantly, the results from these and other studies also provide critical insight into how the clinical response to rituximab treatment may be linked to the pattern of B-cell depletion and repopulation. Leandro and colleagues observed that RA patients experiencing disease relapse at the time of B-cell repopulation had a higher frequency of blood memory B cells as compared with patients without relapse [55]. Similar observations were made by Roll and colleagues, who also noted that a high frequency of memory B cells at baseline is associated with earlier relapse [59]. Consistent with this observation, another RA study showed a better clinical response to rituximab in patients with low CD27+ memory B-cell numbers at baseline [61]. Also in patients with SLE the presence of memory cells as well as plasmablasts at the time of repopulation after rituximab therapy was associated with early relapse [62]. Collectively, the available data emphasize that the efficiency of depletion – in particular, of memory B cells from blood and lymphoid organs – is an important factor determining the quality of response to rituximab-mediated B-cell depletion.

B-cell depletion with rituximab is relatively well tolerated, even though some patients have sustained blood B-cell depletion for more than 6 months. As with any immunosuppressive therapy, an increased risk for severe or opportunistic infections is a major concern. Surprisingly, the overall risk for severe infections appears to be comparable with the placebo population in several clinical trials, although in some studies the risk of serious infection was about 50% higher than in the placebo group [63,64]. The analysis of data from 1,303 patients in the French Autoimmunity and Rituximab (AIR) registry, which comprises patients in clinical practice rather than clinical trials, however, identified low serum IgG levels at baseline as a risk factor for the development of severe infections following rituximab therapy [65].
Patients can also develop secondary hypogammaglobulinemia during the course of repeat cycles of rituximab treatment, which may also increase infection risk in these patients [63]. Hypogammaglobulinemia is perhaps caused by the inability to generate new memory B cells and PCs, which probably leads to a depletion of the pool of short-lived PCs over time. In addition to bacterial infections, very rare cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML) have been reported with rituximab use [63,66,67]. PML is a demyelinating infection caused by reactivation of the endemic John Cunningham virus and is fatal in many cases. PML is most often seen in patients that are severely immunosuppressed, either as a consequence of disease (AIDS) or strong immunosuppressive drugs. Apart from rituximab, PML has also been observed in autoimmune patients treated with anti-TNF, natalizumab, disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, and alkylating agents [68]. While rare, PML is a devastating disease and thus requires continued vigilance and awareness. Case reports have suggested that rapidly instituted plasmapheresis to eliminate mAb in natalizumab-associated PML can lead to recovery from the disease [69,70].

Another potential safety concern of B-cell depletion with rituximab is an impairment in vaccine responses, which has been explored in several recent studies. The available data clearly demonstrate that rituximab impairs the humoral response to influenza and other vaccines. Further, it appears that the impact is dependent on the level of B-cell depletion or repopulation at the time of immunization [71-73]. Pre-existing antibody titers were not affected, however, again reflecting the lack of
depletion of CD20+ PCs with rituximab. Prophylactic vaccination against pathogens should thus be considered before initiation of B-cell depletion therapy. Important to keep in mind is that potential safety concerns with rituximab probably also apply to other therapeutics that broadly deplete B cells (see below).

In addition to RA, numerous small clinical studies have also demonstrated benefit of rituximab in patients with SLE [74-81]. Similarly, a prospective analysis of patient data from the French AutoImmunity and Rituximab (AIR) registry demonstrated clinical efficacy in SLE [82]. Promising results were also obtained in patients with lupus nephritis [82-86]. Two large randomized controlled clinical trials, one in SLE (the EXPLORER trial) and one in lupus nephritis (the LUNAR trial), not reaching their primary endpoints therefore came as a surprise [87-89]. The outcome of these trials stimulated intense discussion in the field. The use of B-cell-depleting mAbs in lupus nephritis and in the EXPLORER and LUNAR trials is discussed in great detail in the article by Reddy and colleagues (this issue). In brief, several factors may have influenced the outcome of these trials, and the same factors may also influence the success of other therapeutics currently in clinical development for the treatment of SLE. One factor is clearly the heterogeneity of the disease with its many different manifestations (see American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for diagnosis of SLE) and varying organ involvement. Better patient selection (inclusion or exclusion of certain organ involvements) with consideration of response to prior immunosuppressive therapy and autoantibody as well as complement status will be important in future trials.

One should also note that, in the context of clinical trials, B-cell depletion is typically only monitored in peripheral blood. We therefore do not know to what extent B cells were depleted in secondary lymphoid organs. Complete B-cell depletion in blood and tissues may, however, be required to achieve the best possible clinical response. Another factor may be the inability of rituximab to deplete autoantibody-producing CD20-CD19+ PCs (see also sections ‘Targeting CD19 for B-cell depletion’ and ‘Effects beyond B cells’).

Another major factor is also the use of active therapy, such as corticosteroids, in the placebo arm, which may mask, at least in part, the activity of the investigational drug. For example, the LUNAR trial explored the activity of rituximab plus mycophenolate (cellcept) versus mycophenolate alone. A drug that interferes with lymphocyte function, mycophenolate does have a profound effect on B-cell activation and PC differentiation, which would make it difficult to see effects of B-cell targeting agents such as rituximab [90]. Another important issue is the available tools for outcome measurements. The standard disease activity indices, such as those of the SLE Disease Activity Index and the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG), were not designed for the measurement of improvements as a response to therapy in clinical trials and are thus far from optimal. The SLE Response Index used in the belimumab trials (see below) is also based on these disease activity instruments. Of major concern, therefore, is the development of new and improved tools for the measurement of SLE disease activity and response to treatment. Patient management and drug development in SLE could also be facilitated by validated biomarkers to monitor specific organ involvement and drug response. While significant progress has been made in this area, candidate biomarkers still need validation in prospective clinical studies [91].

Rituximab did generate promising data in several small open-label and controlled trials in primary Sjögren’s syndrome, an autoimmune disease characterized by inflammation of the exocrine glands. The salivary and lacrimal glands are the ones most affected, but Sjögren’s syndrome patients often also present with extraglandular manifestations and multiorgan involvement. Sjögren’s syndrome is further characterized by several autoantibodies – such as anti-SSA (anti-Ro), anti-SSB (anti-La) and rheumatoid factor – and B-cell hyperactivity [92]. B cells as well as T cells are present in the affected salivary glands and are often found in aggregates or ectopic lymphoid structures. In addition, the presence of PCs, which may produce autoantibodies locally, in the diseased tissue has been demonstrated. The early studies with rituximab demonstrated that B-cell depletion can improve salivary gland function, as well as extraglandular symptoms, including fatigue and arthralgia [93]. Improvement in salivary flow, however, was dependent on residual gland function. Unfortunately, these encouraging results could not be confirmed in a randomized and placebo-controlled trial (the TEARS study), the results of which were recently reported at the Annual European Congress of Rheumatology (EULAR) [94]. In contrast to the rituximab trials in SLE, the placebo arm in the TEARS study did not include active therapy. More research is clearly needed to better understand the underlying pathobiology and the potential for B-cell targeted therapies in primary Sjögren’s syndrome.

Rituximab has also been evaluated in multiple sclerosis (MS) with promising results. While rituximab is not being pursued further in this indication, the data provided the rationale for testing other CD20 mAbs in MS. The MS data obtained with rituximab are briefly summarized in the section on ocrelizumab (see below).

Ongoing clinical studies with rituximab

Rituximab is currently being evaluated in several additional autoimmune indications, with phase 3 trials ongoing in pemphigus (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00784589),...
autoimmune hemolytic anemia (AIHA) (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01181154), and immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00344149) (Table 1).

Pemphigus is a rare autoimmune blistering (or bullous) disease with several subtypes and is characterized by the presence of specific autoantibodies [95]. The two main disease subtypes are pemphigus vulgaris, which can be fatal and where sores often occur in the mouth, and pemphigus foliaceus, characterized by crusty skin sores. In all forms of pemphigus, autoantibodies form against desmogleins (Dsgs), which belong to the cadherin superfamily of adhesion molecules. Dsgs are components of desmosomes, which form intercellular junctions and are important for the structural integrity of tissues [96]. In pemphigus foliaceus, autoantibodies form against Dsg1, which is expressed in the epidermis; while pemphigus vulgaris is characterized by autoantibodies against Dsg3, present in skin and mucous membranes, and in some subtypes also by anti-Dsg1 [97,98].

Several small uncontrolled trials have evaluated rituximab in patients with pemphigus vulgaris and pemphigus foliaceus, and the published results on 103 patients with pemphigus vulgaris and 20 patients with pemphigus foliaceus have recently been summarized by Schmidt and colleagues [99]. The overall results are quite encouraging and most patients experienced a clinical benefit from rituximab-mediated B-cell depletion. Healing of all lesions was observed in 77% of pemphigus vulgaris patients and 40% of patients were eventually taken off immunosuppressive drugs [99]. Encouraging were also the responses in pemphigus foliaceus, where skin lesions healed completely in 85% of patients treated with rituximab [99]. Rituximab did not have a significant effect on total serum IgG but did lower IgM. More importantly, complete responses were associated with reductions in anti-Dsg1 autoantibodies, while anti-Dsg1 titers did not change or increase in patients relapsing after rituximab treatment [100]. Results from the ongoing phase 3 trial will hopefully confirm these early data and demonstrate the benefit of B-cell depletion in pemphigus.

AIHA and ITP (formerly idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura) are two conditions in which blood cells are destroyed by autoantibodies. AIHA is a relatively rare condition in which the patient develops autoantibodies against red blood cells, leading to their destruction via complement activation or phagocytosis of the reticuloendothelial system [101]. Similarly, autoantibodies against surface antigens, most commonly against the fibrinogen receptor glycoprotein IIb/IIIa, lead to the destruction of platelets in ITP [102,103].

Given the low incidence of AIHA, only limited data from small patient cohorts are available for the use of rituximab in this indication [104]. Collectively, however, the results from these studies are encouraging, with reported overall response rates ranging from 40 to 100%. Rituximab also appeared effective in a more recent Belgian retrospective multicenter study that included 53 AIHA patients, consistent with previous reports [105]. Together, the available data provide a strong rationale for the ongoing phase 3 clinical trial.

As for AIHA, corticosteroids are the standard initial treatment for patients with ITP. For ITP patients, intravenous immunoglobulin or anti-D therapy is also used to maintain safe platelet counts. For many years, splenectomy has been the main option for patients who are refractory to or relapsing from first-line therapy [106]. While splenectomy is highly effective, more and more patients appear to seek alternative options. Auger and colleagues recently conducted a meta-analysis of the results from 19 retrospective or prospective observational studies of rituximab in nonsplenectomized ITP patients [107]. Overall, rituximab appeared to be well tolerated and effective, with an overall response rate of 57%, complete response of 41%, and median duration of response of 49 weeks. Rituximab does not appear to be curative, but relapsing patients generally respond well to retreatment with the CD20 mAb [108]. Interestingly, a fairly rapid response has been observed in some patients following rituximab administration, with platelet counts rebounding within <4 weeks [107]. This has led Stasi and colleagues to hypothesize that in early responders B cells opsonized with rituximab block the macrophage system, allowing platelets to recover during the mAb infusion phase [109]. The reduction of autoantibodies as a consequence of B-cell depletion would then account for the late response to treatment. Why the opsonization effect and early response is seen in only some patients and not in all patients is currently unknown [109]. The available data indicate that B-cell depletion therapy may be a viable option to delay (or avoid) splenectomy for ITP and AIHA patients.

**B-cell depletion with the CD20 mAb ocrelizumab**

Ocrelizumab (rhumAb 2H7v.16) is a humanized CD20 mAb, which binds a different but overlapping epitope from rituximab. Ocrelizumab has similar CDC but two-fold to fivefold increased ADCC compared with rituximab [110]. Ocrelizumab appears to elicit relatively fewer anti-drug antibody responses as compared with the chimeric CD20 mAb rituximab [111,112]. This second-generation CD20 mAb has been tested in patients with RA.

In a first randomized placebo-controlled phase 1/2 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00077870) in patients receiving concomitant methotrexate, ocrelizumab was well tolerated and effective [111]. Clinical responses according to the ACR criteria were noted for all doses. The highest response rate of 50% ACR20 at week 24, relative to 22% in the placebo group, was observed with the highest
| Target | Therapeutic Format | Mechanism | Indication | Clinical stage |
|--------|------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| CD20   | Rituximab        | Chimeric  | Depletion  | RA Approved    |
|        | IgG              | ADCC, CDC | Pemphigus  | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | AIHA       | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | ITP        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | ITP        | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           | Acute IPF  | Phase 1/2      |
|        |                  |           | AIR        | Phase 1        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | Ofatumumab       | Humanized | Depletion  | RA Phase 3     |
|        | IgG              | ADCC, CDC | RRMS       | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           | RRMS       | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           | RRMS       | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           | SLE        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | Ocrelizumab      | Humanized | Depletion  | RRMS Phase 3   |
|        | IgG              | ADCC, CDC | PPMS       | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | SLE        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | Veltuzumab       | Humanized | Depletion  | RA Phase 2     |
|        | IgG              | ADCC, CDC | ITP        | Phase 1/2      |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | CD19             | MEDI-551  | Humanized  | SSc Phase 1    |
|        | IgG              | ADCC, CDC | RRMS       | Phase 1        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | CD22             | Epratuzumab| Humanized  | Partial depletion | SLE Phase 3 |
|        | IgG              |            |            |                |
|        |                  |           | B-cell activation |            |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | BAFF             | Belimumab | Human      | Ligand neutral | SLE Approved |
|        | IgG              | Survival  | SLE        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | ITP        | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           | MG         | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | Tabalumab        | Human     | Ligand neutral | SLE Phase 3    |
|        | IgG              | Survival  | SLE        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | ITP        | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | IgG4             | Blisibimod| Peptibody  | Ligand neutral | SLE Phase 3  |
|        |                  | Survival  | SLE        | Phase 3        |
|        |                  |           | ITP        | Phase 2        |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | BAFF/APRIL       | Atacicept | Receptor   | Ligand neutral | SLE Phase 2/3|
|        |                  | Fc fusion | Survival   |                |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | CD40L            | CDP7657   | Pegylated  | Co-stimulation | SLE Phase 1  |
|        |                  | Fab       | Blockade   |                |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | B7RP1            | AMG-557   | Human      | Co-stimulation | SCLE Phase 1 |
|        |                  | IgG       | Blockade   | Psoriasis      | Phase 1      |
|        |                  |           |            | SLE            | Phase 1      |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | ICOS             | MEDI-570  | Human      | Depletion      | SLE Phase 1  |
|        |                  | IgG       | ADCC       |                |
|        |                  |           |            |                |
|        | IL-21            | NN8828    | Humanized  | Ligand neutral | RA Phase 1   |
|        |                  | IgG       |            |                |

ADCC, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity; AIHA, autoimmune hemolytic anemia; AIR, autoimmune retinopathy; APRIL, a proliferation-inducing ligand; BAFF, B-cell activating factor; CD40L, CD40 ligand; CDC, complement-dependent cytotoxicity; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; ITP, idiopathic thrombocytopenia purpura; MG, myasthenia gravis; PPMS, primary progressive multiple sclerosis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; RRMS, relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc, systemic sclerosis; SSLE, subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus. *Source for clinical trial status: www.clinicaltrials.gov (as of February 2013).
doses of 500 mg and 1,000 mg. All doses resulted in rapid and profound B-cell depletion in peripheral blood, but the re-emergence of B cells was dose dependent up to a dose of 200 mg. At doses ≥200 mg, no differences were observed with regard to the kinetics of B-cell recovery. Ocrelizumab treatment resulted in a minor reduction of serum IgM levels but had no obvious effect on IgA and IgG. Doses ≥200 mg also resulted in a notable reduction of serum C-reactive protein levels [111].

Following these encouraging data, several phase 3 RA trials have been initiated: two in patients with inadequate response to methotrexate (STAGE, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00406419; FEATURE, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00673920), one testing ocrelizumab in conjunction with methotrexate in methotrexate-naive patients (FILM, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00485589), and one testing ocrelizumab in patients with an inadequate response to at least one TNF inhibitor (SCRIPT, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00476996) [113-115]. The STAGE trial achieved its primary and secondary endpoints of ACR20 improvement and reduction in joint damage, respectively. However, an increased rate of serious infections relative to placebo was observed in these trials [113-115]. Given the unfavorable benefit–risk profile, the development of ocrelizumab in RA has been terminated. A phase 3 study of ocrelizumab in lupus nephritis patients, the BELONG study (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00626197), is still ongoing. No safety or efficacy data from this trial have yet been published.

Although safety concerns have halted the development of ocrelizumab in RA, clinical trials in relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) and the primary progressive form of MS are ongoing. The development of ocrelizumab in this indication builds on the promising data that have been generated earlier with rituximab and have generated significant excitement in the field [116,117] (Table 1). MS is an inflammatory demyelinating disease of the central nervous system and can lead to progressive and long-term disability [118]. In most patients the disease begins with a relapsing course with complete or partial recovery (remission). Patients eventually enter a progressive phase with continuous degradation (secondary progressive MS), but in about 15% of patients the disease is of progressive nature from the beginning (primary progressive MS) [118]. While the cause of the disease is unknown, inflammation is prominent – especially in RRMS. While earlier concepts attributed a major role to T cells in disease pathogenesis, it has become evident that B cells and humoral responses also play an important role in MS [116,117,119-121].

Importantly, the results obtained with rituximab further support the notion that B cells do play a critical role in RRMS. In the HERMES trial, rituximab significantly reduced total gadolinium-enhancing lesions at all time points for primary analysis (weeks 12, 16, 20, and 24) and the reduction was sustained for 48 weeks. In addition, the frequency of relapses was significantly improved with rituximab relative to placebo [122]. Interestingly, reductions in inflammatory lesions were observed as early as 4 weeks after treatment. While peripheral B cells were completely depleted, serum immunoglobulin levels were not affected [122]. How longer term depletion with anti-CD20 affects antibody titers and patient outcome in RRMS remains to be seen. In the OLYMPUS trial that tested rituximab in primary progressive MS, however, the results were less clear cut [123]. The primary endpoint, time to disease progression over a 96-week treatment period, was not met. Subgroup analysis, however, demonstrated a significant effect of rituximab in younger patients (<51 years of age), especially those with more prominent inflammation based on gadolinium-enhancing lesions [123].

The results from a phase 2, randomized placebo-controlled trial of ocrelizumab in patients with RRMS were recently published [124]. This trial compared two doses of ocrelizumab, a total dose of 600 mg and of 2,000 mg given in two infusions on days 1 and 15, versus IFNβ1a, given once per week by intramuscular injection, and placebo. In ocrelizumab-treated patients, the number of lesions was lower by 89% and 96% for the 600 mg and 2,000 mg groups, respectively [124]. Both doses of ocrelizumab were also better in reducing gadolinium-enhancing lesions than IFNβ1a. The frequency of serious infections was similar for all cohorts in the trial, which did not use background immunosuppressive drugs as was the case in the RA trials. A caveat of this study is the lack of dose response with regard to the reduction of gadolinium-enhancing lesions (see also ofatumumab in RRMS in the following section). Based on this parameter, the activity of ocrelizumab appeared to be maximal at the lower 600 mg dose, so it is still unclear what the optimal dose might be.

Currently, two phase 3 trials in RRMS (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01247324, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01412333) and one phase 3 trial in primary progressive MS (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01194570) are ongoing, all of these with IFNβ1a, given once per week by intramuscular injection, and placebo. In ocrelizumab-treated patients, the number of lesions was lower by 89% and 96% for the 600 mg and 2,000 mg groups, respectively [124]. Both doses of ocrelizumab were also better in reducing gadolinium-enhancing lesions than IFNβ1a. The frequency of serious infections was similar for all cohorts in the trial, which did not use background immunosuppressive drugs as was the case in the RA trials. A caveat of this study is the lack of dose response with regard to the reduction of gadolinium-enhancing lesions (see also ofatumumab in RRMS in the following section). Based on this parameter, the activity of ocrelizumab appeared to be maximal at the lower 600 mg dose, so it is still unclear what the optimal dose might be.

Depletion with the CD20 mAb ofatumumab

Ofatumumab (2F8, HuMax-CD20) is a fully humanized IgG1 mAb, which binds an epitope on CD20 that is
distinct from the rituximab binding site [125]. Binding of this unique epitope appears to influence the functional characteristics and is probably responsible for the enhanced CDC activity of ofatumumab [126-128]. Preclinical studies demonstrated that ofatumumab can mediate CDC with rituximab-resistant cell lines and with cells expressing low levels of CD20 [127]. Ofatumumab has been evaluated in several clinical trials in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, where the mAb demonstrated safety and activity, leading to its approval by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia in 2009.

In a phase 1/2 study of ofatumumab in RA patients with an inadequate response to disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs, the mAb appeared safe and effective [129]. All treated groups had a significantly higher ACR20 response compared with placebo, but there was no clear dose response for ACR criteria between the 300 mg, 700 mg and 1000 mg doses tested. B cells were depleted within 1 week with depletion lasting >24 weeks. B-cell depletion did not result in changes of serum IgG and IgA, but a minor reduction in serum IgM was noted [129]. In a follow-up phase 3 study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00291928), 700 mg ofatumumab was tested in biologic-naive RA patients with an inadequate response to methotrexate [130]. By week 24 the ACR20 response was 50% versus 27% in the placebo group. No unexpected safety findings were observed in this study [130] (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00655824). A separate phase 3 trial is investigating the efficacy of ofatumumab in RA patients who had an inadequate response to anti-TNF therapy (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00603525) (Table 1).

A phase 2 dose-finding study of ofatumumab in RRMS has been completed (OMS115102, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01526993). The trial evaluated 100 mg, 300 mg and 700 mg mAb administered by intravenous infusion. Despite the low patient numbers in each cohort, ofatumumab showed a substantial reduction in new as well as total lesions relative to placebo at week 24 [131].

The lack of a clear dose response, also noted above for ocrelizumab, is probably a result of the dose range tested, the number of patients per cohort, together with the increased potency of ocrelizumab and ofatumumab over rituximab. All dose levels of ofatumumab tested in the phase 2 RRMS study resulted in complete depletion of B cells from peripheral blood, although a dose-dependent recovery was noted, with more blood B cells detectable in the 100 mg dose cohort at week 24 as compared with the higher dose levels [131]. The dose cohorts in the ofatumumab phase 2 trial were small (7 to 11 patients per arm), however, and a dose-dependent effect could have been more obvious with greater sample size and/or with lower doses. In the case of ocrelizumab, doses ≥200 mg (given twice 2 weeks apart) in the RA phase 2 trial had similar kinetics of B-cell depletion and recovery, indicating that depletion of B cells from the blood and secondary lymphoid organs is already maximal at the 200 mg dose [111]. The kinetics of B-cell depletion and recovery could be different in MS patients, but depletion data were not discussed in detail in the report by Kappos and colleagues [124]. Also, neither study reported the impact on B cells in the central nervous system, such as in the cerebrospinal fluid, or effects on autoantibodies or oligoclonal bands within the cerebrospinal fluid, which could have provided further insight with regard to mechanism and efficacy. For ofatumumab a second phase 2 study (the MIRROR study) testing a subcutaneous formulation of ofatumumab is currently ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01457924). Importantly, this trial may also help to better define the dose range for ofatumumab as doses are much lower (3 mg, 30 mg, and 60 mg) than in the previous trial.

**Targeting CD19 for B-cell depletion**

In contrast to CD20, expression of CD19 is maintained on plasmablasts and subsets of PCs [54,132]. CD19, a member of the immunoglobulin domain-containing superfamily of transmembrane receptors, regulates the threshold for B-cell activation [133,134]. Relatively small changes in CD19 surface expression can thus lead to loss of tolerance and autoantibody production, which has been explored in transgenic (TG) mice that overexpress CD19. Furthermore, B-cell depletion in huCD19 TG mice with anti-CD19 reduced primary as well as secondary immune responses. Two weeks after treatment, IgM autoantibody levels significantly dropped below baseline values and the generation of IgG autoantibodies was almost completely inhibited [135]. These results suggest that targeting CD19 for B-cell depletion could indeed have a more pronounced effect on autoantibody production as compared with anti-CD20 mAbs.

MEDI-551 is an affinity-optimized and afucosylated mAb targeting CD19 (Table 1). MEDI-551 is specific for human CD19 and does not bind to mouse CD19 or CD19 from cynomolgous monkeys. The lack of fucose results in approximately 10-fold increased affinity to FcγRIIIA and enhanced ADCC effector function [44]. In preclinical studies with huCD19 TG mice, MEDI-551 efficiently depleted blood and tissue B cells in a dose-dependent manner. In huCD19/huCD20 TG mice, MEDI-551 depleted B cells from blood and lymphoid organs at lower doses than rituximab, probably a result of the enhanced ADCC activity of the mAb [44]. MEDI-551 is currently undergoing evaluation in clinical trials (Table 1). A phase 1 safety and dose-finding study in patients with systemic sclerosis (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00946699) is still ongoing, and more recently a phase 1 trial in RRMS...
patients (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01585766) has been initiated. The hypothesis that targeting the CD19 antigen will have a greater impact on autoantibodies and that this could provide additional benefit to patients with autoimmune diseases will have to be tested in the current and future clinical trials.

**Targeting the B-cell antigen CD22**

CD22 belongs to the sialoadhesin (SIGLEC) subfamily of the immunoglobulin superfamily of cell surface receptors and specifically recognizes α-2,6-linked sialic acid on N-linked glycans. Some, but not all, functions of CD22 are controlled by ligand binding on the same cell surface (cis) or via cell–cell interactions (trans) [136,137]. CD22 is expressed on the majority of IgM⁺IgD⁺ B cells, but expression is weak on germinal center B cells and absent on PCs [136,137]. While considered a B-cell antigen, CD22 has also been detected on human basophils as well as on conventional and plasmacytoid dendritic cells, although there appear to be clone-specific differences with regard to antibody reactivity [138-140]. CD22 plays an important regulatory role and is involved in the control of B-cell activation, peripheral B-cell homeostasis, survival, and cell cycle progression following activation [136].

Epratuzumab is the humanized IgG₁ form of the murine CD22 mAb LL2, and exhibits modest ADCC but no CDC activity [141,142]. The relatively poor ADCC activity of epratuzumab is probably a result of the rapid internalization of CD22 following mAb binding [141,143]. While epratuzumab is able to trigger signaling events in B cells, as measured by tyrosine phosphorylation on the intracellular domain, the soluble form of the mAb has no profound impact on B-cell proliferation or apoptosis. When immobilized, however, epratuzumab does interfere with anti-IgM stimulated cell proliferation [142,143].

An early open-label phase 1/2 study tested the safety and potential for activity of epratuzumab in a small cohort of patients with primary Sjögren’s syndrome [144]. In this trial, four infusions of 360 mg/m², given every 2 weeks, appeared to be well tolerated and achieved clinical responses measured by a composite endpoint consisting of the Schirmer-I test, salivary flow, fatigue, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and IgG. Of the treated patients, 53% achieved a 20% or greater improvement level at 6 weeks. Peripheral B-cell levels were reduced by 54% and 39% at 6 weeks and 18 weeks, respectively, while T cells and serum immunoglobulins did not change significantly [144]. An initial, open-label, phase 2 study in 14 patients with moderately active SLE (BILAG score 6 to 12) also produced promising results [145]. Patients again received four doses of 360 mg/m² epratuzumab every other week, together with acetaminophen and antihistamine as premedication, resulting in a significant improvement in the total BILAG score. However, while 77% of patients had a 50% or better improvement at week 6, this level of improvement was maintained in only 15% of patients by week 32. Similar to the primary Sjögren’s syndrome study, peripheral blood B cells decreased by 35%, and this effect was maintained for the duration of the 6-month follow-up [145].

This initial study of epratuzumab in SLE was followed by two randomized controlled phase 3 trials – SL0003 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00111306) and SL0004 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00383214) – in moderate and severe SLE patients. Unfortunately both trials were interrupted due to limitations in drug supply. The available data from these trials were combined and presented at the EULAR in 2008. The combined results showed clinical meaningful efficacy, based on greater reduction in BILAG score and global disease assessment versus placebo. Also noted were improvements in health-related quality-of-life measures and clinically meaningful steroid sparing with both doses of epratuzumab tested [146-148].

Results from the EMBLEM phase 2b study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00624351) were reported at the EULAR conference in 2010. Treatment with 600 mg epratuzumab weekly for 4 weeks resulted in greater BILAG improvements from BILAG A/B to BILAG D, compared with placebo. Overall, a cumulative epratuzumab dose of 2,400 mg resulted in clinically meaningful improvement with responder rates twice as high as in the placebo groups [149].

Two new phase 3 trials – EMBODY 1 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01262365) and EMBODY 2 (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01261793) – were initiated in 2010 to confirm the activity of epratuzumab in patients with moderate to severe SLE (Table 1).

**Targeting B-cell survival factors and key cytokines**

B-cell survival, differentiation, and functional properties are tightly regulated by a variety of cytokines and chemokines. Targeting survival and differentiation factors with specific mAbs or fusion proteins is an alternative approach to targeting B-cell surface antigens for active cell depletion.

In the mouse, B-cell activating factor (BAFF; also termed Blys), a TNF family member, has been shown to be an important survival signal for transitional B cells, but BAFF alone is not a survival signal for human transitional B cells in *in vitro* culture [150,151]. In clinical trials with Belimumab, however, *in vivo* BAFF blockade predominantly resulted in loss of transitional and naïve B cells, but not of memory B cells [152].

BAFF, as well as its close family member APRIL, have also been implicated in class switch recombination. Of note, APRIL appears to be a major driving source for IgA
production and may play an important role in mucosal immunity [153]. Several other cytokines such as IL-10 and type I interferon have been reported to stimulate PC differentiation [154,155], but in humans IL-21 is the major cytokine responsible for maintaining the humoral immune response by induction of PC differentiation from both naive and memory B cells [156,157].

Splenic memory and germinal center B cells can be driven to differentiation by IL-10 and CD40L [154]. However, IL-21 is the most potent inducer of PC differentiation in the spleen [158-161]. BAFF also has the unique ability to substitute for CD40L in driving PC differentiation of human MZ B cells when co-stimulated with IL-21 [162]. BAFF has also been reported to co-stimulate PC differentiation of naive blood B cells in combination with IL-17, anti-CD40, and anti-IgM [163]. Although BAFF has been shown to be an important survival signal to PCs, it appears that April is one of the key survival factors for long-lived PCs in the bone marrow [164]. Of the many soluble factors involved in B-cell function and regulation, therapeutic approaches thus far have largely focused on the TNF family members targeting B-cell activating factor (BlyS) with belimumab

**Targeting B-cell activating factor (BlyS) with belimumab**

The anti-BAFF mAb belimumab (HGS1006, LymphoStat-B) is the first biologic therapeutic to be approved for SLE, with approval in the United States, Canada, and Europe in 2011 [165]. Belimumab is a fully human IgG1 mAb that selectively inhibits BAFF, resulting in apoptosis of B cells [166]. The pivotal phase III trials with belimumab (BLISS-52 and BLISS-76) demonstrated a significant clinical response in patients with active, autoantibody-positive SLE who were receiving standard therapy [167-169]. In the BLISS-52 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT-00424476), a 52-week study, the rates of response (reduction ≥24 points on the SLE Response Index) at week 52 were 51% and 58% with belimumab 1 and 10 mg/kg/dose, respectively, versus 44% with placebo (P = 0.0129 and P = 0.006, respectively). In the BLISS-76 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00410384), the rates of responses at week 52 were 40.6% and 43.2% with belimumab 1 and 10 mg/kg/dose versus 33.5% with placebo (P = NS and P = 0.02); at 76 weeks, however, response rates were 39.1% and 38.5% with belimumab 1 and 10 mg/kg/dose versus 32.4% with placebo, thus not reaching statistical significance (P = 0.11 and P = 0.13). The tolerability data from these studies did not suggest any overall differences between belimumab and placebo [170].

In a long-term phase II study, circulating total B cells were significantly decreased at 52 weeks of therapy. Newly activated B cells were reduced at 12 to 24 weeks, and plasmablasts and pre-switched memory cells at 76 weeks. Post-switched memory cells were not significantly decreased [170]. Thus, belimumab appears to affect newly activated B lymphocytes more than memory B lymphocytes or PCs. Belimumab use was also associated with decreases in total IgM concentrations (P <0.05) but was not associated with significant changes in total IgG, anti-DNA IgM, anti-DNA IgG, or T lymphocytes.

Additional large phase III trials to evaluate long-term safety are currently active, and a phase III trial has been initiated to further investigate and validate the subcutaneous route of administration. A phase III multicenter, open-label, parallel study (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00712933) to assess the safety and efficacy (SLICC/ACR damage index) is expected to be completed by March 2015. Another phase III trial (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01345253) is in progress to evaluate the efficacy and safety of belimumab in a Northeast Asian population.

Additional trials will evaluate vaccine responses post belimumab (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01597492) and assess activity specifically in black race patients (EMBRACE, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01632241).

Additional indications are under investigation for belimumab either through investigator-sponsored or company-sponsored trials (Table 1). These indications include symptomatic Waldenstrom’s macroglobulinemia (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01142011), idiopathic membranous glomerulonephropathy (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01610492), SJögren’s syndrome (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT0160666, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01008982), prevention of kidney transplant rejection (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01536379), chronic immune thrombocytopenia (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01440361), and myasthenia gravis (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01480596). These phase II trials are all expected to report data in the next 1 to 2 years.

Prior to approval in SLE, the inventor company also conducted a phase II trial in RA patients. In this trial the ACR20 response was significantly higher with 1 mg/kg versus placebo (35% vs. 16%), but ACR20 responses achieved with the 4 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg doses (25% and 28%) did not reach statistical significance and the extension trial was subsequently terminated.

**Tabalumab anti-B-cell activating factor**

Another mAb targeting BAFF is being pursued for the treatment of SLE and RA. Tabalumab (LY-2127399) is a humanized IgG1 antibody designed to neutralize soluble and membrane-bound BAFF. Given the similar mechanism of action and the proven clinical and regulatory success of belimumab, it was decided to skip phase II trials and move directly towards phase III trials in SLE (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01196091, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01205438) [171]. Tabalumab is also being developed
for RA, with multiple phase 3 trials ongoing (FLEX-M, FLEX-O, and FLEX-V), as well as an open-label phase 3b trial for evaluation of long-term safety. The proof-of-concept phase 2 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01576549) is also still ongoing, although the primary endpoint at 24 weeks provided sufficient evidence to move into the phase 3 trials mentioned above. Additionally, a phase 2 trial in RMS was conducted recently (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00882999), although it does not appear that this indication is currently being pursued.

**Blockade of B-cell activating factor and April with atacicept**

An alternative approach for targeting BAFF has been pursued. Atacicept (TACI-Ig) is a fusion protein comprised of the extracellular domain of transmembrane activator and calcium-modulating ligand interactor fused to the Fc of human IgG1, and binds and blocks both BAFF and APRIL to inhibit B-cell maturation [172]. Atacicept has been studied in phase 1b trials in SLE patients, using both the intravenous and subcutaneous route of administration [173-175]. Dose-dependent reductions in B lymphocytes and immunoglobulin levels were observed. More recently, atacicept is being evaluated in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter phase 2/3 trial to determine the most effective dose of atacicept for reducing flares (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00624338), although it appears that the higher dose of 150 mg subcutaneously was deemed to have an unfavorable risk/benefit profile and is no longer enrolling patients (Table 1).

Previously, trials were conducted in lupus nephritis patients; however, these trials were terminated due to safety concerns. The use of atacicept in RA patients has also been investigated in several phase 2 trials (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00595413, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT-00430495, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCD00664521). Published results indicate that atacicept was no better than placebo in the treatment of RA, although a dose-dependent reduction in serum rheumatoid factor levels as well as reductions in circulating B cells and PCs were noted [176,177]. Moreover, clinical trials of atacicept in MS patients (phase 2, ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT00642902) and optic neuritis patients (phase 2, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00624468) were terminated because atacicept worsened disease activity for MS patients. The results in the MS trial and the disconnect between the biological and clinical response in RA clearly came as a surprise, given that B-cell depletion with CD20 mAbs is effective in both of these indications. One has to keep in mind, however, that atacicept and rituximab target different B-cell populations, with the latter having a broad depletion pattern. One possible explanation could be that atacicept has a significant impact on Bregs without sufficiently depleting pathogenic subsets. Bregs have been implicated in modulating the response to B-cell depletion in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis and other models, and are discussed in detail in the accompanying article by Tedder and colleagues (this issue).

Interestingly, Fernandez and colleagues recently found that overexpression of APRIL in a mouse model of collagen-induced arthritis ameliorates rather than promotes disease [178]. This effect was correlated with decreases in collagen-specific autoantibody titers and immune complex deposition, suggesting that ectopic April expression negatively regulates antibody responses. Further, April TG mice had increased frequencies of IL-10-producing B cells along with decreased numbers of memory B cells in the spleen and PCs in the bone marrow. One should note that transmembrane activator and calcium-modulating ligand interactor (TACI) is also expressed on monocytes and dendritic cells, and BAFF receptor is expressed on activated T cells and regulatory T cells. T-cell responses and polarization towards Th1, Th2, or Th17 cells, however, were not noticeably affected in APRIL TG mice [178]. Clearly, the requirement of APRIL for the generation and/or maintenance of IL-10-producing B cells as well as the effect of BAFF/APRIL neutralization on non-B cells require further investigation.

**Targeting IL-21 with mAb NNC114-0005**

As outlined above, IL-21, a member of the gamma chain receptor cytokine family, plays a central role in the humoral immune response and in the production of auto-antibodies. In addition, IL-21 is involved in the regulation of other immune functions, including CD4 T-cell differentiation and expansion, CD8 T-cell and natural killer cell expansion and cytolytic activity, as well as others [179]. IL-21 has now been linked to several human autoimmune pathologies including SLE, psoriasis, Sjögren’s syndrome, MS and RA, making this cytokine an attractive target for therapeutic intervention [160,180-186].

A panel of high-affinity (sub pM Kd) fully human anti-IL21 mAbs was recently described in the literature [187]. The therapeutic candidate mAb NNC114-0005 (NN8828), derived from this panel, is currently undergoing early clinical testing. A first phase 1 study testing safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of various doses after intravenous or subcutaneous administration to healthy volunteers and RA patients has been completed (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01208506). A second phase 1 RA trial testing repeat administration of the mAb via subcutaneous injection is ongoing (Table 1).

**Blockade of co-stimulatory pathways**

Adaptive immune responses are shaped and controlled by co-stimulatory receptor–ligand pairs, which are classified into several families. Co-stimulatory molecules of the immunoglobulin superfamily include the CD28/CD80/CD86 (B7.1/B7.2), CTLA4/CD80/CD86 (B7.1/
B7.2), PD-1/PDL, and ICOS/B7RP-1 (ICOS-L) pathways. The co-stimulatory CD40/CD40L (CD154), OX40/OX40 ligand, and GITR/GITR ligand receptor/ligand pairs belong to the TNF/TNF receptor superfamily [188]. Co-stimulatory signals triggered by interaction with antigen-presenting cells are critical for an effective T-cell response. B cells can also present antigen to T cells and receive co-stimulatory signals in return. These signals are important for humoral immune responses by promoting germinal center reaction and PC differentiation. Therapeutic approaches aimed at interfering with B-cell responses by blocking co-stimulatory molecules have largely focused on two pathways, ICOS/B7RP-1 and CD40/CD40L.

ICOS-deficient patients are characterized by a severe reduction in the number of B lymphocytes and absence of memory switched B cells and PCs [189]. Defects in germinal center formation and class switch recombination have also been reported in ICOS and ICOS ligand (B7RP-1) deficient mice, underscoring the importance of this signaling axis [190].

CD40 (TNFRSF5) is a cell surface receptor on B cells, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, eosinophils, and activated CD8 T cells [191]. The ligand for CD40, CD40L (CD154, TNFSF5), is transiently expressed on activated CD4, CD8, and γδ T cells, as well as on activated B cells, activated platelets, dendritic cells, smooth muscle cells, vascular endothelial cells, and epithelial cells. The broad expression of both receptor and ligand emphasize the important but also complex role this pathway plays in the regulation of immune responses. Mutations in the CD40L gene are the cause of the X-linked hyper-IgM syndrome, a disease characterized by an overabundance of IgM in the serum and a lack of IgG, IgE, and IgA [192]. In addition, mutations in CD40L have been identified in a subset of patients with common variable immunodeficiency, which is characterized by a defect in B-cell differentiation and hypogammaglobulinemia [193]. These observations as well as an abundance of data from mouse models clearly establish CD40/CD40L as central pathway required for humoral immune responses. Further, serum levels of soluble CD40L have been found to be elevated in a variety of autoimmune conditions, including SLE, RA, Sjögren's syndrome, and inflammatory bowel disease, and were correlated to proteinuria, and significantly increased survival [196]. Similarly, treatment with anti-B7RP-1 mAb reduced disease activity in the collagen-induced arthritis model. Blockade of the ICOS/B7RP-1 pathway with anti-B7RP-1 also inhibited T-cell activation and reduced the numbers of T-follicular helper cells as well as germinal center B cells [196]. The anti-human-B7RP-1 mAb AMG-557 is in early stage clinical testing. The first study initiated was a dose escalation trial in SLE patients, positive for antinuclear antigen autoantibodies (ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00774943). In addition, phase 1 trials in patients with subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus and psoriasis are ongoing. Results from these clinical studies are not yet available (Table 1).

Targeting ICOS-expressing T cells with MEDI-570

MEDI-570 is a human IgG, mAb directed against ICOS and was generated from the human anti-human-ICOS IgGκ mAb JTA-009 [197]. Similar to MEDI-551, MEDI-570 has enhanced ADCC effector function, due to the lack of fucose from the Fc carbohydrate. In cynomologus monkeys, MEDI-570 resulted in a dose-dependent and reversible depletion of ICOS+ T cells in blood and secondary lymphoid organs. Consistent with the depletion of T-follicular helper, splenic germinal centers were reduced in size and frequency, which was accompanied by a significant reduction of germinal center B cells. This effect was reversible, with new germinal centers forming 30 days after MEDI-570 administration, indicative of follicular reconstitution [188]. MEDI-570 is being evaluated as a treatment for SLE, based on depletion of ICOS+ T cells, with loss of the effector cytokines and loss of support for germinal center formation and reduction in autoantibody production. A phase 1 single ascending dose trial in patients with moderate to severe SLE is ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01127321) (Table 1).

Blockade of CD40 ligand with CDP7657

Several blocking mAbs targeting CD40L were developed, and two of these – ruplizumab (BG9588, hu5c8) and toralizumab (IDEC-131, hu24-31) – were evaluated in phase 1 and phase 2 clinical trials. Some of these trials, such as the phase 2 trial of ruplizumab in lupus nephritis and a phase 1 trial of toralizumab in ITP, showed some early but promising signs of clinical activity [191]. Further clinical development of these mAbs, however, was suspended due to thromboembolic events observed in some of the treated patients. Similarly, development of the anti-CD40L mAb AB1793 was stopped after thromboembolism were observed in preclinical studies in nonhuman primates. A probable explanation for this complication, which appears to be a class effect, is the formation of platelet aggregates by binding of the IgG, mAbs to CD40L on the platelet surface and simultaneous
engagement of the FcyR CD32A, which is also expressed on platelets, by the mAb Fc. This hypothesis is supported by recent findings with anti-CD40L mAbs in a human CD32A TG mouse model [199]. New approaches are being developed to target and neutralize CD40L, while avoiding platelet-dependent adverse effects. One such approach has already entered the clinic.

CDP7657 is an anti-CD40L Fab fragment under development for the treatment of SLE [200]. The monovalent nature of the molecule and lack of the mAb Fc are designed to minimize or eliminate the risk for platelet aggregation and thromboembolisms observed with the anti-CD40L mAbs in IgG1 format. CDP7657 is pegylated to increase the half-life of the low molecular weight molecule. In a preclinical humanized SCID mouse model, CDP7657 demonstrated dose-dependent inhibition of antibody responses to tetanus toxoid. CDP7657 also inhibited primary and secondary immune responses to tetanus toxoid in a nonhuman primate model [200]. CDP7657 is currently being evaluated in a single-dose phase 1 study conducted in healthy volunteers and SLE patients (ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT01093911) (Table 1).

**Effects beyond B cells**

The success of rituximab has resulted in significant interest in targeting CD20, leading to this variety of anti-CD20 mAbs with different functional properties. However, based on CD20 expression along the B-cell lineage, all of these mAbs are expected to deplete B-cell subsets in blood and tissues similar to rituximab. Importantly, antibody-secreting PCs do not express CD20 and are therefore not directly targeted for depletion by rituximab and other CD20 mAbs [54,132]. The lack of a direct impact on PCs may explain the inconsistent effects of rituximab on various autoantibodies [54,201]. While rheumatoid factor levels in RA and anti-dsDNA autoantibodies have dropped significantly after B-cell depletion by rituximab, based on results from a study conducted in a human CD20 TG mouse model [202]. Whether this latter mechanism is indeed effective in human patients remains unclear. In either case, autoantibody-secreting PCs will still persist in survival niches in the bone marrow or inflamed tissues, contributing to disease flares or symptoms [203].

The relevance of PCs in disease is further emphasized by the finding that elevated PC numbers at baseline predict poor or nonresponse to rituximab and ocrelizumab in RA [62,204]. The concept of targeting PCs in autoimmune diseases is beginning to be explored in the clinic. The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, which eliminates PCs and is approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma, has proven efficacious in an experimental model of SLE clinically and by significantly decreasing autoantibody titers in preventive and therapeutic approaches [205]. At the 2012 EULAR conference, Hiepe and colleagues reported on a small study with bortezomib in treatment refractory SLE patients. Bortezomib significantly decreased disease activity scores and serum anti-dsDNA autoantibody titers. Patients with lupus nephritis also experienced a decline in proteinuria [206]. These results further support the rationale for direct or indirect targeting of PCs, which provide additional benefit to patients, provided that any such approach is not associated with an unacceptable safety profile.

Autoimmune diseases are complex in their origin and pathobiology, however, and several other cell types – such as CD4 and CD8 T cells, macrophages, dendritic cells as well as others – have been implicated. The relative contribution of B cells and PCs versus other cell types, and the relevance of regulatory cells such as regulatory T cells and Bregs, may also vary between different indications. In addition, as outlined above, B cells may influence other inflammatory cells through the production of cytokines, antigen presentation and other mechanisms. Interestingly, a variety of diseases that have traditionally been considered to be T-cell-mediated, such as RRMS, do respond well to B-cell depletion therapy. Several clinical studies have investigated the impact of B-cell depletion on some of these key cell populations. For example, B-cell depletion with rituximab resulted not only in near-complete depletion of B cells from the cerebrospinal fluid of MS patients, but also in an approximately 50% reduction of T cells [207,208]. Further, in RA patients, rituximab reduced Th17 cells from synovial tissue, which was correlated with better clinical outcome [209]. This study, however, did not find significant effects of rituximab on regulatory T cells, Th1 cells, or TNFα responses. The effect of B-cell depletion on T cells was also investigated in SLE patients treated with rituximab. Here, rituximab resulted in depletion of naive and memory B cells from blood, along with substantial reductions in CD40L+ and ICOS+ CD4 T cells [58]. One should note, however, that other B-cell targeted therapies have more restricted (or selective) effects on B cells, and may therefore differ significantly with regard to their effects on other inflammatory cells – but this has not yet been studied in detail in patients. How varying effects on non-B-cell populations will influence efficacy of different B-cell targeted therapeutics in various indications will have to be determined in ongoing and future clinical trials.
The various strategies pursued to target B cells are clearly not interchangeable and we have to learn how to best tailor the therapy to the condition. Results from the ongoing clinical trials with B-cell targeted biologics spanning a wide array of mechanisms will greatly increase our understanding of autoimmune diseases and will provide the basis for optimally matching the therapeutic approach to a given patient population to improve clinical efficacy while minimizing potential side effects.
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