Packaging signal of influenza A virus
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Abstract
Influenza A virus (IAV) contains a genome with eight single-stranded, negative-sense RNA segments that encode 17 proteins. During its assembly, all eight separate viral RNA (vRNA) segments are incorporated into virions in a selective manner. Evidence suggested that the highly selective genome packaging mechanism relies on RNA-RNA or protein-RNA interactions. The specific structures of each vRNA that contribute to mediating the packaging of the vRNA into virions have been described and identified as packaging signals. Abundant research indicated that sequences required for genome incorporation are not series and are varied among virus genotypes. The packaging signals play important roles in determining the virus replication, genome incorporation and genetic reassortment of influenza A virus. In this review, we discuss recent studies on influenza A virus packaging signals to provide an overview of their characteristics and functions.
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Background
Influenza virus is a member of the Orthomyxoviridae family and comes in four types, A, B, C and D [1–3]. The virions are enveloped and usually spherical. The influenza virus particle is composed of a viral envelope, matrix proteins and viral ribonucleocapsids (vRNPs). The genome of influenza A virus (IAV) consists of eight single-stranded, negative-sense RNAs that are associated with multiple copies of nucleoprotein and three viral RNA polymerase subunits to form the viral ribonucleoprotein complexes (vRNPs) [4, 5]. Recent studies revealed that the RNP adopts a corkscrew-like morphology with the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) at one end and a loop at the other end [4, 6, 7]. The interaction between NP and the viral RNA was non-uniform and without apparent sequence specificity (Fig. 1) [6–8]. By transmission electron microscopy, the “7+1” vRNP organization of a central segment surrounded by seven other vRNPs was observed in influenza virions, including influenza C and D viruses whose genomes are segmented into seven segments [9–11].

After successfully infecting cells, the incoming vRNAs of IAV remain associated until they are imported into the nuclei of infected cells [12]. The vRNA replicates and transcribes in the nuclei of infected cells and are transported as vRNPs (Fig. 2) [13, 14]. De Castro Martin et al. suggested that the transport of vRNP from the nuclei to the plasma membrane primarily depends on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). When IAV infects the cells, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) extends throughout the cytoplasm [15]. After exporting from the nucleus, more than one vRNA segments (but not all eight vRNA species) assembled en route to the plasma membrane and exported from the nucleus as complex [12, 16]. Together with the Rab11 protein, the individual and/or sub-bundles vRNP are recruited to the tubulated endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that on irregularly coated vesicles (ICVs). The ICVs loaded with vRNP and Rab11 then bud from the ER and the vRNPs that released from ICVs possibly transferred to the plasma membrane in a touch-and-go process [15]. At the final stage, the vRNPs are interconnected at the budding tip of the virion and are oriented perpendicularly [9, 17], then the progeny virions are released by enzymatic cleavage of the viral receptor mediated by NA protein (Fig. 2) [13, 14].
Most influenza virions incorporate one copy of each segment

The fragmented nature of the IAV genome confers significant evolutionary advantages to the virus by allowing for easy exchange of gene segments when two or more influenza viruses infect the same cell. However, it undeniably complicates virion assembly, as a nascent influenza virus particle must incorporate at least one copy of each vRNA in order to become replication-competent and thus fully infectious. Inagaki et al. found that the two virus-like RNAs bearing the same terminal sequences competed for incorporation into virions [18]. Based on multicolor single-molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH), Chou et al. studied the composition of viral RNAs at single-virus particle resolution [19]. The results demonstrated that most of the virus particles package a full set of gene segments and only one copy of each RNA segment is packaged per virion [18, 19].

The genome of influenza C and D virus (ICV and IDV) consists of only seven vRNAs. However, most of the ICV and IDV packaged eight RNPs arranged in the “7+1” pattern [10]. The influenza A viruses (IAV) that fail to package the full genome and are noninfectious also exist [20]. Experiments of Nakatsu et al. demonstrated that some influenza A and B virion packaged vRNPs less than eight [21]. Su et al. found that destroying the ubiquitination in M2 of IAV resulted in the production of defective virion particles that lacked vRNPs [22]. These results suggested that the mechanism of influenza virus genome-packaging is flexible and the vRNP number that incorporated by influenza virions is variable [21, 23].

The random-incorporation and selective-incorporation model

Two different models have been proposed for packaging the RNPs into newly assembling virus particles: the random-incorporation model and the selective-incorporation model [24–26]. The random-incorporation model suggests that each viral RNA segment possesses a common structural feature that allows random incorporation of RNA segments into virions. The selective-incorporation model suggests that unique packaging signals present in vRNAs lead to the incorporation of a set of all eight RNA segments into a virion [13]. Modern day instrumentation as well as biochemical assays and viral assays have provided much evidence to support the selective-incorporation mechanism model of the influenza A genome [9, 26, 27].

For example, Yutaka Fujii et al. generated seven and six segment viruses in the background of A/WSN/33 (H1N1) virus and found that the efficiency of infectious virion production is proportional to the number of different vRNA segments, indicating that vRNA segments contribute individually to virion production [28]. Octavianii et al. coinfected MDCK cells with a swine-origin H1N1 and an avian H5N1 virus. Among 59 viral clones the author examined, there were only 33 different genotypes, much less than 254 in theory. And 15% of the viral clones obtained all of their genes from the H5N1 virus.
[29]. More recently, Cobbin et al. demonstrated that PB1 gene of A/Udorn/307/1972 (Udorn, H3N2) was preferentially co-packaged with NA gene of the same virus when two NA genes existed [30]. All these data suggested that the genome incorporation of IAV is selective (Fig. 1).

Packaging signals of different segments
The genome vRNAs of IAV share the same organization: a central open reading frame (in the antisense orientation) flanked at both ends by untranslated regions (UTRs) (19–58 nts) [4, 31, 32]. The UTRs of IAV genes consist of two parts: the motif sequences which are highly conserved among viral strains and among the eight segments themselves, located at the 3′ and 5′ termini of every segment; and the segment specific non-coding regions (ssNCRs), the length and sequences of which are specific to each vRNA and between species [26, 33, 34]. The earlier studies identified that the packaging signal consists of a stretch of noncoding regions and the adjacent coding regions at the 3′ and 5′ ends of each vRNA. Whereas, recent studies found that specific sequences within the internal coding regions also play

Fig. 2 A model for IAV life cycle. IAVs enter host cells by binding the cell surface receptors containing sialic acid. The vRNPs are released into the cytoplasm after the endocytosis and fusion of the viral and endosomal membranes. The incoming vRNAs of IAV remain associated until they are imported into the nuclei of infected cells. The vRNA replicates and transcribes in the nuclei of infected cells and are transported as vRNPs. After exporting from the nucleus, more than one vRNP assembled en route to the plasma membrane and exported from the nucleus as complex. That is, vRNPs together with the Rab11 protein are recruited to the tubulated endoplasmic reticulum (ER) that on irregularly coated vesicles (ICVs). The ICVs loaded with vRNP and Rab11 then bud from the ER and possibly transferred to the plasma membrane. At the final stage, the vRNPs are interconnected at the budding tip of the virion and are oriented perpendicularly. The progeny virions are then released by enzymatic cleavage of the viral receptor mediated by NA protein.
important roles in the genome packaging of influenza A virus (Fig. 3).

Packaging signals of the PB2, PB1, and PA genes

Both end coding regions of the WSN PB1 and PA genes are required for genome incorporation and virion formation [35]. Studies from Liang et al. showed that in addition to UTRs, 40-nt at the 5’ end and 66-nt at the 3’ end of the coding sequences of vRNA are minimum requirements for efficient packaging of the PA or PB1 vRNAs of WSN virus [34]. They also found that mutations within the 5’ end coding sequence of WSN PA or PB1 vRNA had more defective impact on packaging efficiency of corresponding vRNA than that within 3’ end coding sequences [36]. More recently, Cobbin et al. found that in competitive plasmid transfection experiment, the PB1 gene of A/Udorn/307/1972 (Udorn, H3N2) cosegregated with the NA gene of the same virus other than the NA gene of A/Puerto Rico/8/1934 (PR8, H1N1) [30]. Analysis revealed that the co-packaging was directed through the internal coding region of the PB1 gene, and the nucleotides 1776–2070 of the PB1 were recently defined as crucial for this preferential selection [30, 37]. With an avian H5N2 virus A/Finch/England/2051/91, Gavazzi et al. identified interaction regions that involved in genome packaging between PB1 and NS genes. They found that the interaction regions of PB1 positioned at 125–384 nt, and the region of NS located at 256–435 nt [38]. These results imply that packaging sequences are viral specific.

Besides the UTR regions, the packaging of the PB2 segment does not require 3’ end coding sequences, but any perturbation of the 5’ end 80-nt coding sequences substantially decreases packaging efficiency [36]. However, Muramoto et al. found that the 3’ end of the PB2 vRNA is crucial for efficient virion incorporation [35]. Afonso et al. revealed that both 3’ and 5’ terminal coding sequence of the WSN PB2 vRNA promoted the incorporation of the minigenomes [39]. This discrepancy may be due to their different assay systems: Yukiko Muramoto et al. replaced the PB2 segment with the GFP gene flanked by the UTR and portions of the coding regions derived from both termini of the PB2 vRNA, whereas Liang et al. generated viruses with GFP reporter genes in the presence of their corresponding authentic vRNA [35, 36].

Packaging signal of the NP gene

It has been reported that the packaging signal of NP segment of WSN includes the two terminal UTRs together with 3’ and 5’ terminal coding sequences of NP vRNA that are 60-nt and 120-nt, respectively [40]. Goto et al. demonstrated that in the absence of the other seven vRNAs, the 3’ and 5’ untranslated regions (UTRs) were sufficient for the packaging of NP vRNA. In the presence of the other seven vRNAs, the terminal coding sequences are necessary for both the incorporation efficiency of the recombinant NP vRNA and that of the other vRNAs [41]. The author identified the UTRs as the “incorporation signal” and the terminal coding sequences as the “bundling

**Fig. 3** Schematic diagram of the sequences involved in the packaging of influenza A viruses. All segments are shown in the negative-sense orientation and are numbered according to the conventional representation from 3’ to 5’. The sequences necessary for the incorporation of each segment are color-coded and the references plus the corresponding viral strains are listed on the right.
signal”. By introducing synonymous mutations into highly conserved codons and non-conserved codons in the terminal regions of PR8 NP segment, Hutchinson et al. found that most mutational disruption in NP packaging signals were well tolerated. However, the 5′-terminal codons 464–466 were identified to reduce the packaging level of both NP and PA genes without impacting their vRNA synthesis [42].

**Packaging signal of the M gene**

A 222-nt sequence in the 3′ end coding region and a 220-nt sequence in the 5′ end coding region plus UTR regions at both ends of the M vRNA support the most efficient packaging of this vRNA segment into WSN influenza virions [43]. Ozawa et al. demonstrated that coding region deletions in both ends of the M vRNA significantly decreased the incorporation efficiency of the M gene. Besides, the 27-nt sequence (at positions 979–1005) can be highly diverse without disrupting efficient virus replication [43]. Moreover, synonymous changes within the packaging signals of PR8 M gene showed that the highly conserved codons in this region were closely correlated with the virion assembly and genome packaging. However, similar alterations to nonconserved codons had little effect [44].

**Packaging signal of the NS gene**

Fujii et al. report that at least 150-nt coding regions at both ends of the NS vRNA together with noncoding sequences form a structure that is essential for efficient packaging of WSN vRNA [45]. And the 3′ end sequence had a more drastic effect on vRNA incorporation [45]. Among the regions, the first 30-nt of the 3′ end coding region is critical not only for efficient NS vRNA incorporation but also for virus replication [45]. More recently, Vasin et al. predicted the secondary structures of NS positive-sense RNA. They found that the secondary structure at 82–148 and 497–564 regions were some host and lineage-specific [46]. Further studies with the PR8 NS gene demonstrated that and the structure in 82–148 region would be affected by mutations G123A and A132G, which would influence the protein expression of NS1 [46]. The 82–148 nucleotides fall in the packaging signals mentioned above, whereas, there’s little data demonstrating the relationship between the 497-564nt region and the incorporation of vRNA. However, this region still deserves our attention.

**Packaging signals of the HA and NA genes**

The hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) segments are both subtype-determinant genes, the segment-specific NCRs of which are subtype specific in sequence and length [47]. Research from Lili Zhao et al. showed that segment specific noncoding regions play an important role in incorporation of HA (H1 to H7 and H9) vRNA. Importantly, noncoding sequences in the 3′ end of HA vRNA are more crucial than those in the 5′ end [47]. The minimal HA (A/WSN/33 (H1N1)) packaging regions identified by Watanable et al. included 9-nt in the 3′ end and 80-nt in the 5′ end coding sequence of the vRNA together with UTRs at both ends [48]. However, Marsh et al. failed to generate a virus with GFP flanked by the packaging region mentioned above. Only with either 45-nt or 60-nt derived from the 3′ end and 80-nt from the 5′ end coding sequence could the virus with the GFP reporter gene be successfully packaged [49]. It’s known that intertypic reassortment has never occurred between influenza A and B viruses. However, Baker et al. successfully obtained the recombinant PR8 virus that carrying HA and (or) NA genes of influenza B virus through appending the respective packaging signals of influenza A virus glycoproteins to influenza B virus HA or NA gene. These results suggested that packaging signals of HA gene are required for effective segment incorporation, and its incompatibilities partly accounted for the inhibition of the intertypic reassortment [50].

Both the 3′ and 5′ end coding regions of NA vRNA are crucial for efficient incorporation of the vRNA [28, 51]. Fujii et al. found that besides the two terminal UTR regions, at least 183-nt in the 3′ end and 157-nt in the 5′ end of the NA coding region are needed for maximal incorporation efficiency of vRNA into virions. Comparatively, the 3′ end coding region plays a more important role [28].

**The conservation of packaging signals**

Studies demonstrate that untranslated regions of the IAV genome vRNA are more conserved, having a lower evolutionary rate compared to coding regions [52, 53]. Marsh et al. identified the conserved regions in the polymerase gene segments by analyzing approximately 600 vRNA from avian IAV per segment. They found that the conserved nucleotides are located within the terminal packaging signals and the identified regions also extend to other subtypes of viruses, for example, human IAVs [54]. Gog et al. found that most codons showed very little synonymous variation within terminal packaging sequences among identical segments and among different influenza viruses [55]. But not all the codes in termini are conserved. Hutchinson et al. demonstrated that mutations of the highly conserved codons in packaging signals of M gene reduced virus growth and showed defects in virion assembly and genome packaging. However, mutation in codons that are nonconserved had little effect on the characteristics of the virus [44]. Moreover, there are some conserved codons formed clusters in the middle of
coding regions that unidentified functions, most notably in the PA gene [55]. Besides, Gavazzi et al. identified that some interactive regions between PB1 and NS genes is not widely conserved among IAVs [38].

Nevertheless, strain-specific differences exist in packaging signals. As studies have shown that the codons that appear to be important for vRNA packaging in WSN are not in PR8, suggesting that not all packaging signals are conserved [26, 54]. Fujii et al. showed that except the 16–20 nt, the packaging process of NS gene did not absolutely require specific sequences at positions 16–35 nt [56]. It has been reported that nucleotide length of the coding regions within packaging signals was as important as the nucleotide sequences [39, 43]. Zhao et al. observed that mutant viruses possessing similar lengths of the ssNCR to that of the wild-type virus replicated to a level close to that of the wild-type virus, while the other mutant viruses with either shorter or longer ssNCRs showed greater reductions in virus replication efficiency [47].

Together, these results suggest that the incorporation of vRNA is not critically dependent on the wild type nucleotide sequences, and the secondary structure may play a more important role in the process [57]. A conserved RNA pseudoknot structure, predicted in the 5′ end of the NP packaging signal region, was shown to affect virus replication [58]. Stem-loop and hairpin structures that are extremely conserved were predicted within the 3′ and 5′ ends of M packaging signal regions [59, 60]. The viruses with structure-disrupting mutations exhibited lower replication efficiencies and a significantly reduced median plaque size relative to the wild-type virus; when the structure was restored, the mutant virus could replicated at levels comparable to the wild-type virus [59].

Compatibility of packaging signals between the 3′ and 5′ ends

The motif sequences in the 3′ and 5′ ends of each IAV vRNA are partially complementary to each other. They can form a bulged duplex “corkscrew” structure which is essential for vRNA transcription and replication [61–63]. Therefore, is compatibility required between other parts of the packaging signals at the ends of vRNA? Zhao et al. generated a series of mutant HA plasmids in the context of the WSN virus, that is, one end of the H1-UTR was replaced with the corresponding UTR of other subtype-specific IAV (H2 to H7 and H9), while the other end was unchanged. The results showed that all of these single-end HA UTR substitution viruses were successfully rescued and could replicate efficiently [47]. Liang et al. constructed six hybrid GFP plasmids that carried mismatched 5′ and 3′ packaging signals that were derived from two different vRNAs of the polymerase gene segments (e.g. the 5′ packaging signal from PB2 and the 3′ packaging signal from PB1 or PA). Interestingly, all of these artificial reporter vRNAs were poorly packaged [34]. The different results found by Zhao and Liang may be due to the methods and segments difference.

**Packaging signals determine IAV replication and virion incorporation efficiency**

Replacing the wild-type ssNCRs at both ends of the WSN HA vRNA with the corresponding ssNCRs of the H2, H3, H5, and H9 subtypes resulted in lower replication efficiency of the mutant viruses than the wild-type virus, especially at earlier time points post infection. And the 3′ end ssNCR substitution viruses demonstrated a more drastic reduction [47]. Barman et al. successfully improved the replication of A/Anhui/1/2013(Anhui/1, H7N9) influenza virus in eggs by more than a twofold higher titer than that of wild-type by constructing a chimeric gene with the coding sequence of the A/Anhui/1/2013 (Anhui/1, H7N9) NA vRNA and the packaging signals from the PR8 NA vRNA [64]. Recently, stem-loop structures were found at the terminal packaging sequences in both M and PB2 vRNA. Disrupting the predicted secondary structures significantly attenuated the infectivity of the mutant virus and increased the production of defective virus particles [60, 65]. Spronken et al. obtained similar results by studying the conserved hairpin structure in the 967–994-nt of the M vRNA using a compensatory mutagenesis approach [59]. These data suggest that packaging signals of IAVs are very important for efficient viral replication and incorporation.

**Roles of packaging signals in genetic reassortment**

Extensive evidence indicates that protein incompatibility among both polymerase subunits and packaging signals of vRNAs are restricting factors for reassortment between two viruses [50, 66–68]. Several reports indicated that in natural or experimental competitive situations, the number of reassortant viruses was very low, and the reassortant genotypes were not random [26, 67, 69]. Essere et al. reported that an avian HA segment of H5N2 could not be incorporated alone into the H3N2 genetic background when competing with the HA segment of the H3N2 virus. However, introducing 5′ and 3′ packaging sequences of the H3N2 HA into an otherwise H5N2 HA backbone or introducing five silent mutations into the H3N2 M segment was sufficient to disrupt this limitation [69].

Recent studies found that the HA segment containing matched packaging signals relative to the background of the virus was packaged preferentially, but there was no preference for homologous packaging signals for the NA and NS segments [70]. These results indicate that the
NA and NS segments could move between human H3N2 and H1N1 lineages without restrictions of packaging signal mismatches, while the movement of the HA segment would be limited [70]. By swapping the packaging sequences of NS and HA gene and destroying the intrinsic packaging sequences of the two segments, Gao et al. created a chimeric HA and NS segment with packaging signals of the NS and HA gene. The rewired viruses could be rescued successfully and replicate efficiently, but they lost their ability to independently reassort their HA or NS gene [71].

All of these researches suggested that IAV packaging signals play a critical role in mediating the reassortment between different viruses, and the importance of packaging signals in the process may be segment dependent. Beyond IAV packaging signals, some amino acids may also be required for packaging of specific RNA segments. Moreira et al. demonstrated that the head and body domain of NP impair genome packaging of influenza viruses; thus, the author referred to this set of amino acids as the “NP packaging code” [72]. Bolte et al. showed that packaging sequence mutation of one vRNA won’t impact the genome packaging of an H7N7 virus, whereas combining the mutation with specific nucleoprotein amino acid will impair the process greatly [73].

Packaging signals are relative to Hierarchy and cooperation among vRNA segments

A series of studies demonstrated that not all vRNAs are equally important in their role in vRNA incorporation into influenza A virions [35, 49, 54]. A recent study showed that PB2, PA, NP and M play a more crucial role than other vRNAs in the packaging procedure of the PR8 virus [26, 35, 54, 74]. Moreover, reductions in the packaging of the PB2 vRNA reduced incorporation efficiency of PB1, PA, NP, M and NS vRNA in WSN virus significantly, but had little impact on the packaging level of HA or NA vRNA [54]. Nevertheless, another experiment showed that when lacking the HA segment, the growth of the virus was reduced and the packaging of other segments was impaired in WSN virus, particularly the PA, NP, NA, M and NS vRNAs [49].

For all IAV segments, deletions or mutations in their packaging regions affect vRNA incorporation efficiency, not only for the segment in which they reside, but also for other segments. For the three polymerase segments, this impact was most prominent for the PB2 gene [35]. A key region of the open reading frame (1659–1671-nt) in both the WSN and PR8 virus HA segments was identified [49]. Mutations in the region resulted in significant reductions in the packaging of the HA and other vRNAs. The most affected segments were PB1 vRNA in the WSN virus and NA and M vRNAs in the PR8 virus [49]. These results indicate that some cooperation exists between vRNAs and virus-specificity exists [54].

Actually, the favored hypothesis of the highly selective genome packaging mechanism relies on RNA-RNA or protein-RNA interactions (Fig. 1) [17, 34, 35]. As early as 2011, Fournier et al. found that the eight vRNPs of a human H3N2 IAV are interconnected in a “transition zone” at the budding tip of virions [17]. Further studies showed that the vRNAs formed a single network of intermolecular interactions in vitro and the known packaging signals are identified as the strongest interactions [17, 75]. Similarly, for the H1N1 WSN virus, most of the inter-segment interactions were mediated by their 3’ and 5’ terminal packaging signals [76]. Another study demonstrated that the interaction network also existed in an avian H5N2 IAV. However, both the interaction network and the involved sequences of each vRNA varied from those of the human H3N2 IAV mentioned above [77]. By studying interactions between PB1 and NS segments of the avian H5N2 IAV, they further proved that the interaction identified in vitro takes place in infected cells and was crucial for viral replication, and genome packaging and reassortment. Noticeable was that the sequences identified as interaction not located in the packaging signals [38].

Different opinions about IAV genome packaging

It’s not difficult to find that the identified sequences required for the genome packaging are varied from different research groups, and some relevant conclusions seem conflict. Moreover, other recently emerging ideas that are contrary to conventional wisdom are also notable. For example, experiment from Dadonaite et al. revealed that the RNA-RNA interactions are extensive, redundant and complex, rather than a finite set of discrete interactions between packaging signals [57]. Bolte et al. suggested that the packaging of IAV vRNA is governed by the redundant and plastic network of RNA-RNA and potentially RNA-nucleoprotein interactions [73]. Contrary to the classical model that nucleoprotein binds vRNA as a uniform pattern of “beads on a string” [78–80], the nucleoprotein binds vRNA non-uniformly and without apparent sequence specificity. For example, some sites are enriched and some sites are poor in nucleoprotein association [6, 7, 80]. The sequences with low-NP-binding, including both internal sequences and the traditional packaging signals of the segment, are necessary for virus propagation [6, 7]. Different from the RNA-RNA molecular recognition mechanism in genome packaging of IAV, Venev et al. proposed another hypothesis. They generated model 8-segment virions by using monte carlo simulations. The results demonstrated that selective packaging of the IAV genome can be achieved.
by self-repulsion of identical segments rather than by molecular recognition [81].

Conclusion
The mechanisms that govern IAV genome packaging have been extensively studied. However, the precise mechanisms of the IAV genome incorporation remain unclear. Some results from different research teams are varied and even “conflict”. For instance, the PB1 3′ packaging signals of PR8 play roles for the incorporation of PR8 PB1, whereas the central coding sequence is responsible for the coselection of a H3N2 IAV’s PB1 and NA gene [30, 37]. Some studies demonstrated that packaging signals play important roles in IAV genome incorporation, reassortment and viral replication [47, 64, 69–71, 82]. But some studies argue that interactions between RNA–RNA and RNA–nucleoprotein are key determinants of IAV genome packaging and the packaging signals are redundant [57, 73].

It’s notable that the many different results were based on different IAV genotypes or virus strains. And the genome packaging of IAV involves a series of complex steps. Thus, the studies are of reference value to study the precise mechanisms of the IAV genome incorporation. At present, the highly selective nature of the genome packaging is widely admitted though the exact mechanisms of the IAV genome incorporation need more extensive researches. However, the puzzle will be revealed as research progresses.

Abbreviations
IAV: Influenza A virus; vRNPs: Viral ribonucleocapsids; RdRP: RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; UTR: Untranslated region; ssNCRs: Segment specific noncoding regions; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; ICV: Irregularly coated vesicles; vRNA: Viral RNA.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
Xiuli Li: wrote the original draft; Min Gu & Xufan Liu: review & editing; Ruyi Gao & Qin mei Zheng: review. All authors are in agreement with the content of the manuscript. The work described has not been submitted elsewhere for publication, and all the authors have approved the manuscript that is enclosed. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by the Jiangsu Provincial Natural Science Foundation for Excellent Young Scholars (BK20170068), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31770245), the Special Financial Grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017T100410), the Earmarked Fund for China Agriculture Research System (CARS40), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institution (PAPD), the Jiangsu Qinglan Project and High-end talent support program of Yangzhou University.

Availability of data and materials
Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 24 June 2020   Accepted: 2 February 2021
Published online: 17 February 2021

References
1. Ducatez MF, Pelletier C, Meyer G. Influenza D virus in cattle, France, 2011–2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21:368–71.
2. Ferguson L, Eckard L, Epperson WB, et al. Influenza D virus infection in Mississippi beef cattle. Virolology. 2015;466:28–34.
3. Saumyadip Sarkar BPT. Covet Story: Influenza D - New Virus Classified. We The Microbiologist. 2016;3:24–6.
4. Lo CY, Tang YS, Shaw PC. Structure and Function of Influenza Virus Ribonucleoprotein. Subcell Biochem. 2018;86:95–128.
5. Arranz R, Coloma R, Chichon FJ, et al. The structure of native influenza virion ribonucleoproteins. Science. 2012;338:1634–7.
6. Williams GD, Townsend D, Wylie KM, et al. Nucleotide resolution mapping of influenza A virus nucleoprotein-RNA interactions reveals RNA features required for replication. Nat Commun. 2018;9:465.
7. Lee N, Le Sage V, Nanni AV, et al. Genome-wide analysis of influenza viral RNA and nucleoprotein association. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:8968–77.
8. Le Sage V, Nanni AV, Bhagwat AR, et al. (2018). Non-Uniform and Non-Random Binding of Nucleoprotein to Influenza A and B Viral RNA. Viruses 10.
9. Noda T, Sagara H, Yen A, et al. Architecture of ribonucleoprotein complexes in influenza A virus particles. Nature. 2006;439:490–2.
10. Nakatsu S, Murakami S, Shindo K, et al. (2018). Influenza C and D Viruses Package Eight Organized Ribonucleoprotein Complexes. J Virol 92.
11. Noda T, Murakami S, Nakatsu S, et al. Importance of the 1+1+7 configuration of ribonucleoprotein complexes for influenza A virus genome packaging. Nat Commun. 2018;9:54.
12. Chou YY, Heaton NS, Gao Q, et al. Colocalization of different influenza viral RNA segments in the cytoplasm before viral budding as shown by single-molecule sensitivity FISH analysis. PLoS Pathog. 2013;9:e1003358.
13. Hutchinson EC, von Kirchbach JC, Gog JR, et al. Genome packaging in Influenza A virus. J Gen Virol. 2010;91:313–28.
14. Rossman JS, Lamb RA. Influenza virus assembly and budding. Virolology. 2011;411:229–36.
15. de Castro Martin IF, Fournier G, Sachse M, et al. Influenza virus genome reaches the plasma membrane via a modified endoplasmic reticulum and Rab11-dependent vesicles. Nat Commun. 2017;8:1396.
16. Lakdawala SS, Wu Y, Wavrzyniak P, et al. Influenza virus assembly intermediates fuse in the cytoplasm. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10:e1003971.
17. Fournier E, Moules V, Essere B, et al. A supramolecular assembly formed by influenza A virus genomic RNA segments. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:2197–209.
18. Inagaki A, Goto H, Kakugawa S, et al. Competitive incorporation of homologous gene segments of influenza A virus into virions. J Virol. 2011;85:10200–2.
19. Chou YY, Vafabakhsh R, Doganay S, et al. One influenza virus particle packages eight unique viral RNAs as shown by FISH analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:9101–6.
20. Martin K, Helenuis A. Nuclear transport of influenza virus ribonucleoproteins: the viral matrix protein (M1) promotes export and inhibits import. Cell. 1991;67:117–30.
21. Nakatsu S, Sagara H, Sakai-Tagawa Y, et al. (2016). Complete and Incomplete Genome Packaging of Influenza A and B Viruses. mbio. 7
22. Su WC, Yu WY, Huang SH, et al. (2018). Ubiquitination of the Cytoplasmic Domain of Influenza A Virus M2 Protein Is Crucial for Production of Infectious Virus Particles. J Virol 92.
23. Haralampiev I, Prisner S, Nitzan M, et al. Selective flexible packaging pathways of the segmented genome of influenza A virus. Nat Commun. 2020;11:4355.
24. Bancroft CT, Parslow TG. Evidence for segment-nonspecific packaging of the influenza a virus genome. J Virol. 2002;76:7133–9.
25. Shafuddin M, Boon ACM. RNA sequence features are at the core of influenza A. J Mol Biol. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.03.018.
26. Gerber M, Isel C, Moules V, et al. Selective packaging of the influenza A genome and consequences for genetic reassortment. Trends Microbiol. 2014;22:446–55.
27. Harris A, Cardone G, Winkler DC, et al. Influenza virus pleiomorphy characterized by cytoelectrophoresis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;103:19123–7.
28. Fujii Y, Goto H, Watanabe T, et al. Selective incorporation of influenza virus RNA segments into virions. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:2002–7.
29. Octaviani CP, Ozawa M, Yamada S, et al. High level of genetic compatibility between swine-origin H1N1 and highly pathogenic avian H5N1 influenza viruses. J Virol. 2010;84:10918–22.
30. Cobbin JC, Ong C, Verity E, et al. Influenza virus PB1 and neuraminidase gene segments can cosegregate during vaccine reassortment driven by interactions in the PB1 coding region. J Virol. 2014;88:8971–80.
31. Giltayeva AP, Fouchier RA, Olsthoorn RC. Influenza virus RNA structure: unique and common features. Int Rev Immunol. 2010;29:533–56.
32. McAuley JW, Mahy BW. Structure and function of the influenza virus genome. Biochem J. 1983;211:281–94.
33. Octaviani CP, Li C, Noda T, et al. Reassortment between seasonal and swine-origin influenza A viruses expressing a PB2 fusion protein requires the conserved and show partial inverted complementarity. Gene. 1980;8:315–28.
34. Li C, Hatta M, Watanabe S, et al. Compatibility among polymerase subunit proteins is a restricting factor in reassortment between equine H7N7 and human H3N2 influenza viruses. J Virol. 2008;82:11880–8.
35. Vasin AV, Petrova AV, Egorov VV, et al. The influenza A virus NS genome segment displays lineage-specific patterns in predicted RNA secondary structure. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9:279.
36. Zhao L, Peng Y, Zhou K, et al. New insights into the nonconserved noncoding region of the subtype-determinant hemagglutinin and neuraminidase segments of influenza A viruses. J Virol. 2014;88:11493–503.
37. Marsh GA, Hatami R, Palese P. Specific residues of the influenza A virus hemagglutinin viral RNA are important for efficient packaging into budding virions. J Virol. 2007;81:9727–36.
38. Baker SF, Nogales A, Finch C, et al. Influenza A and B virus intertypic reassortment through compatible viral packaging signals. J Virol. 2014;88:10778–91.
39. Vieira Machado A, Naffakh N, Gerbaud P, et al. Recombinant influenza A viruses harboring optimized dicistronic NA segment with an extended native 5′terminal sequence: induction of heterospecific B and T cell responses in mice. Virology. 2006;345:73–87.
40. Suzuki Y, Kobayashi Y. Evolution of complementary nucleotides in 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions of influenza A virus genome segments. Infect Genet Evol. 2013;13:175–9.
41. Furuse Y, Oshitani H. Evolution of the influenza A virus untranslated regions. Infect Genet Evol. 2011;11:1150–4.
42. Marsh GA, Rabada R, Levine AJ, et al. Highly conserved regions of influenza A virus polymerase gene segments are critical for efficient viral RNA packaging. J Virol. 2008;82:2295–304.
43. Gog JR, Alonso Edos S, Dalton RM, et al. Codon conservation in the influenza A virus genome defines RNA packaging signals. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:1897–907.
44. Fujii K, Ozawa M, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, et al. Incorporation of influenza A virus genome segments does not absolutely require wild-type sequences. J Gen Virol. 2009;90:1734–40.
45. Dudadanie B, Gilbertson B, Knight ML, et al. The structure of the influenza A virus genome. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4:1781–9.
46. Gog J, Egorov VV, Tsyganov-Bodounov A, Sprokken MI, et al. RNA structural constraints in the evolution of the influenza A virus genome NP segment. RNA Biol. 2014;11:942–52.
47. Sprokken MI, van de Sandt CE, de Jongh EP, et al. A compensatory mutation study of a conserved hairpin in the M gene segment of influenza A virus shows its role in virus replication. RNA Biol. 2017;14:1606–16.
48. Kobayashi Y, Dudadanie B, van Doremalen N, et al. Computational and molecular analysis of conserved influenza A virus RNA secondary structures involved in infectious virus production. RNA Biol. 2016;13:883–94.
49. Desselberger U, Cacchiarelli VR, Zara JJ, et al. The 5′ and 3′ terminal regions at the 5′ end of the PB2 segment. Virology. 2005;341:34–46.
50. Ogawa OGP, Itou T, Suzuki Y. Conserved secondary structures and packaging signals and hemagglutinin residues improve the growth of A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) influenza vaccine virus yield in eggs. Vaccine. 2017;35:1424–30.
51. Vieira Machado A, Naffakh N, Gerbaud P, et al. Recombinant influenza A viruses at the level of the ribonucleoproteins. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2008;62:403–24.
52. Octaviani CP, Goto H, Kawaoka Y. Reassortment between seasonal H1N1 and pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza viruses is restricted by limited compatibility among polymerase subunits. J Virol. 2011;85:8449–52.
53. Li C, Hatta M, Watanabe S, et al. Compatibility among polymerase subunits is a restricting factor in reassortment between equine H7N7 and human H3N2 influenza viruses. J Virol. 2008;82:11880–8.
54. Essere B, Yver M, Gavazzi C, et al. Critical role of segment-specific packaging signals in genetic reassortment of influenza A viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:16604–9.
55. Barman S, Krylov PS, Turner JC, et al. Manipulation of neuraminidase packaging signals and hemagglutinin residues improves the growth of A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) influenza virus in eggs. Vaccine. 2017;35:1424–30.
56. Yuki Kobayashi OGP, Itou T, Suzuki Y. Conserved secondary structures predicted within the 5′ packaging signal region of influenza A virus PB2 segment. Meta Gene. 2017;1:575–9.
57. Bae SH, Cheong HK, Lee JH, et al. Structural features of an influenza virus promoter and their implications for viral RNA synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:10602–7.
58. Naffakh N, Tomoi A, Romew-Welsh MA, et al. Host restriction of avian influenza viruses at the level of the ribonucleoproteins. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2008;62:403–24.
59. Octaviani CP, Goto H, Kawaoka Y. Reassortment between seasonal H1N1 and pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza viruses is restricted by limited compatibility among polymerase subunits. J Virol. 2011;85:8449–52.
60. Li C, Hatta M, Watanabe S, et al. Compatibility among polymerase subunits is a restricting factor in reassortment between equine H7N7 and human H3N2 influenza viruses. J Virol. 2008;82:11880–8.
61. Dudadanie B, Gilbertson B, Knight ML, et al. The structure of the influenza A virus genome. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4:1781–9.
62. Gog J, Egorov VV, Tsyganov-Bodounov A, Sprokken MI, et al. RNA structural constraints in the evolution of the influenza A virus genome NP segment. RNA Biol. 2014;11:942–52.
63. Sprokken MI, van de Sandt CE, de Jongh EP, et al. A compensatory mutation study of a conserved hairpin in the M gene segment of influenza A virus shows its role in virus replication. RNA Biol. 2017;14:1606–16.
64. Octaviani CP, Goto H, Kawaoka Y. Reassortment between seasonal H1N1 and pandemic (H1N1) 2009 influenza viruses is restricted by limited compatibility among polymerase subunits. J Virol. 2011;85:8449–52.
74. Gao Q, Chou YY, Doganay S, et al. The influenza A virus PB2, PA, NP, and M segments play a pivotal role during genome packaging. J Virol. 2012;86:7043–51.
75. Fournier E, Moules V, Essere B, et al. Interaction network linking the human H3N2 influenza A virus genomic RNA segments. Vaccine. 2012;30:7359–67.
76. Miyamoto S, Noda T. In vitro vRNA-vRNA interactions in the H1N1 influenza A virus genome. Microbiol Immunol. 2020;64:202–9.
77. Gavazzi C, Isel C, Fournier E, et al. An in vitro network of intermolecular interactions between viral RNA segments of an avian H5N2 influenza A virus: comparison with a human H3N2 virus. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41:1241–54.
78. Eisfeld AJ, Neumann G, Kawaoka Y. At the centre: influenza A virus ribonucleoproteins. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2015;13:28–41.
79. Wu WW, Weaver LL, Pante N. Ultrastructural analysis of the nuclear localization sequences on influenza A ribonucleoprotein complexes. J Mol Biol. 2007;374:910–6.
80. Baudin F, Bach C, Cusack S, et al. Structure of influenza virus RNP I. Influenza virus nucleoprotein melts secondary structure in panhandle RNA and exposes the bases to the solvent. EMBO J. 1994;13:3158–65.
81. Venev SV, Zeldovich KB. Segment self-repulsion is the major driving force of influenza genome packaging. Phys Rev Lett. 2013;110:088104.
82. Shafiuddin M, Boon ACM. RNA Sequence Features Are at the Core of Influenza A Virus Genome Packaging. J Mol Biol. 2019;431:4217–28.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.