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Abstract

We analyze the features of strongly interacting matter in the presence of nonzero isospin chemical potential $\mu_I$, within a nonlocal two-flavor Polyakov-Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (PNJL) model. For a system at finite temperature $T$, we describe the behavior of various thermodynamic quantities and study the phase diagram in the $\mu_I - T$ plane. In particular, it is found that for values of $\mu_I$ larger than the pion mass and temperatures lower than a critical value of about 170 MeV the system lies in an isospin symmetry broken phase signaled by the presence of a nonzero pion condensate. Our results for the phase diagram are found to be in better agreement with those arising from lattice QCD calculations, as compared to the predictions from other theoretical approaches like the local PNJL model.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The phase diagram of strongly interacting matter at finite temperature and chemical potential has been extensively studied along the past decades. In the region of very high temperatures and low densities, it is well known that Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) predicts the formation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) \[1\]. Under these extreme conditions quark and gluons are expected to be weakly coupled, and the phase diagram can be explored by means of first-principle perturbative calculations based on expansions in powers of the QCD coupling constant. Moreover, lattice QCD (LQCD) calculations indicate that at vanishing chemical potential the transition from the hadronic phase to the QGP occurs in the form of a smooth crossover, at a pseudocritical temperature \(T_{pc} \sim 150 - 170\) MeV. On the other hand, at sufficiently high densities and low temperatures, one expects to find a “color-flavor locked” phase \[2\], in which quarks are bounded into color superconducting states analogous to the Cooper pairs formed by electrons in an ordinary superconductor. At moderate densities, however, the situation is much more uncertain. The main reason for this is that first-principle nonperturbative QCD calculations at finite baryon chemical potential \(\mu_B\) are not accessible by Monte Carlo simulations, due to the presence of a complex fermion determinant in the corresponding partition function (the so-called “sign problem”). In this region, which is not accessible through lattice techniques or first principles, most of the present theoretical knowledge on the phase transitions is obtained from the study of effective models for strong interactions.

Given the important role played by effective models in the understanding of the QCD phase diagram, it is important to test their reliability. This can be done by comparing the corresponding predictions with those obtained from first principle calculations, in situations where the latter are available. One obvious possibility is to consider the above mentioned case of strong-interaction matter at finite temperature and vanishing chemical potential. Another interesting situation is the one in which \(\mu_B = 0\), but one has a nonzero isospin chemical potential \(\mu_I\). In this case (both at zero and finite temperature) LQCD simulations are feasible, since the functional determinant turns out to be real \[3\]. Following the early work in Refs. \[4, 5\], several groups have performed LQCD calculations at \(\mu_I \neq 0\) using different techniques, see e.g. Refs. \[6–11\]. One important feature confirmed by these calculations is that at \(\mu_I \gtrsim m_\pi\) one finds the onset of a Bose-Einstein pion condensation phase, as
previously conjectured in Ref. [12]. For a recent review on meson condensation triggered by a large isospin imbalance see Ref. [13], where references to various theoretical approaches for the analysis of associated phase transitions can be found.

In this work we consider the properties of quark matter at finite isospin chemical potential using a particular class of effective theories, viz. the nonlocal Polyakov-Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (nlPNJL) models [14–19]. In the nlPNJL approach the quarks move in a background color field and interact through covariant nonlocal chirally symmetric four-point couplings, which are separable in momentum space. At vanishing $\mu_B$ and finite temperature these models provide a plausible description of chiral restoration and deconfinement transitions, in good agreement with LQCD results [20]. In general, it can be considered that they represent an improvement over the local Polyakov Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (PNJL) model [21–27]. In fact, nonlocal interactions arise naturally in the context of several successful approaches to low-energy quark dynamics, and lead to a momentum dependence in quark propagators that can be made consistent [28] with lattice results. Moreover, it can be seen that nonlocal extensions of the NJL model do not show some of the known inconveniences that are present in the local theory. Well-behaved nonlocal form factors can regularize the loop integrals in such a way that anomalies are preserved [29] and charges are properly quantized. In addition, one can avoid the introduction of various sharp cutoffs to deal with higher order loop integrals [30], improving in this way the predictive power of the models.

Within the above mentioned framework, the aim of the present work is to provide a comparison, both at zero and finite temperature, between the results obtained within the nlPNJL model and those arising from other theoretical approaches. In particular, we consider the results from the local NJL model [31, 32], its PNJL extension [33, 34], chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) [35] and recent LQCD calculations [10, 11].

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the general formalism to describe a two-flavor nonlocal PNJL model at finite temperature and nonvanishing isospin chemical potential. In Sec. III we quote and discuss our numerical results, including the comparison with the outcomes from alternative effective approaches and LQCD simulations. Finally, in Sec. IV we summarize our results and present our main conclusions.
II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

We start by considering the Euclidean action of a two-flavor quark model that includes nonlocal scalar and pseudoscalar quark-antiquark currents. One has

\[ S_E = \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\psi}(x)(-i\partial + \hat{m})\psi(x) - \frac{G}{2} j_a(x) j_a(x) \right], \tag{1} \]

where \( \psi = (\psi_u \psi_d)^T \) stands for the \( u, d \) quark field doublet, and \( \hat{m} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d) \) is the current quark mass matrix. In what follows we assume that the current masses of \( u \) and \( d \) quarks are equal, denoting \( m_c \equiv m_u = m_d \). The nonlocal currents \( j_a(x) \) in Eq. (1) are given by

\[ j_a(x) = \int d^4z \ G(z) \ \bar{\psi}(x + \frac{z}{2}) \Gamma_a \psi(x - \frac{z}{2}), \tag{2} \]

where we have defined \( \Gamma_a = (1, i\gamma_5\vec{\tau}) \), \( \tau_i \) being Pauli matrices that act on flavor space. The function \( G(z) \) is a form factor responsible for the nonlocal character of the four-point interactions.

To study strong-interaction matter at finite temperature and/or chemical potential we introduce the partition function of the system, given by \( Z = \int D\bar{\psi}D\psi \exp[-S_E] \). As stated, we are interested in dealing with isospin asymmetric matter. This is effectively implemented by introducing quark chemical potentials \( \mu_u \) and \( \mu_d \), which in principle can be different from each other. Thus, in the effective action we perform the replacement

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \partial_4 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_4 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \partial_4 - \mu_u & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_4 - \mu_d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3} \]

The quark chemical potentials can be written in terms of average and isospin chemical potentials \( \mu \) and \( \mu_I \) as

\[ \mu_u = \mu + \frac{\mu_I}{2}, \quad \mu_d = \mu - \frac{\mu_I}{2}, \tag{4} \]

where \( \mu = \mu_B/3 \), \( \mu_B \) being the baryon chemical potential. For the nonlocal model under consideration, to obtain the appropriated conserved currents the replacement in Eq. (3) has to be complemented with a modification of the nonlocal currents appearing in Eq. (2), namely [36, 37]

\[ \begin{align*}
\psi(x - z/2) &\rightarrow \mathcal{W}(x, x - z/2) \psi(x - z/2), \\
\bar{\psi}(x + z/2) &\rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x + z/2) \gamma_0 \mathcal{W}(x + z/2, x) \gamma_0.
\end{align*} \tag{5} \]
In the present case the transport functions \( W \) are simply given by

\[
W(x, x - z/2) = W(x + z/2, x) = \exp \left( \frac{z \mu}{2} \right),
\]

where \( \mu = \text{diag}(\mu_u, \mu_d) \).

It is convenient to perform a standard bosonization of the the fermionic action \([38]\), introducing auxiliary mesonic fields \( \sigma \) and \( \pi_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \), and integrating out the fermion fields. We consider here the mean field approximation (MFA), in which the bosonic fields are replaced by their vacuum expectation values (VEV) \( \bar{\sigma} \) and \( \bar{\pi}_i \). Let us recall that, for \( \mu_I = 0 \), in the chiral limit \( (m_c = 0) \) the action in Eq. (1) is invariant under global \( U(1)_B \otimes SU(2)_I \otimes SU(2)_{IA} \) transformations. The group \( U(1)_B \) is associated to baryon number conservation, while the chiral group \( SU(2)_I \otimes SU(2)_{IA} \) corresponds to the symmetries under isospin and axial-isospin transformations. At zero temperature the \( SU(2)_{IA} \) symmetry is expected to be spontaneously broken by a large value of \( \bar{\sigma} \) (which leads to large constituent quark masses), while at high temperatures one expects to have \( \bar{\sigma} = 0 \), which implies a restoration of the chiral symmetry. In the presence of finite quark masses one has an explicit breakdown of \( SU(2)_{IA} \) (and also of \( SU(2)_I \), if current \( u \) and \( d \) quark masses are different to each other), hence the chiral symmetry is expected to be only partially restored at high \( T \). Now, in the presence of a nonzero isospin chemical potential the full chiral symmetry group is explicitly broken down to the \( U(1)_{I_3} \otimes U(1)_{I_3A} \) subgroup. At \( T = 0 \) it might happen that, similarly to the \( \mu_I = 0 \) case, \( U(1)_{I_3A} \) is spontaneously broken by a large value of \( \bar{\sigma} \). Moreover, while for finite current quark masses one has \( \bar{\pi}_3 = 0 \) \([39]\), it can happen that nonvanishing VEVs for \( \pi_1 \) and \( \pi_2 \) be developed, leading to a spontaneous breakdown of the remaining \( U(1)_{I_3} \) symmetry. Since the action is still invariant under \( U(1)_{I_3} \) transformations, without loss of generality one can choose \( \bar{\pi}_i = \delta_{i1} \bar{\Delta} \).

We consider the above described general situation in which both \( \bar{\sigma} \) and \( \bar{\Delta} \) can be nonvanishing. At zero temperature, the mean field thermodynamic potential is found to be given by

\[
\Omega_{\text{MFA}}^{\text{MF A}}(T = 0) = \frac{\bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\Delta}^2}{2G} - \text{Tr} \ln \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\rho}_u + M(p_u) & i \gamma_5 \rho(\bar{\rho}) \\ i \gamma_5 \rho(\bar{\rho}) & -\bar{\rho}_d + M(p_d) \end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
M(p) = m_c + g(p) \bar{\sigma}, \quad \rho(p) = g(p) \bar{\Delta}.
\]
Here we have defined \( p_f' \equiv (\bar{p}, p_4 + i\mu_f) \), with \( f = u, d \), and \( \bar{p} = (p_u + p_d)/2 \). The function \( g(p) \) is the Fourier transform of the form factor \( G(z) \) in Eq. (2).

Let us consider the extension of the model to the case of finite temperature, which can be addressed by using the standard Matsubara formalism. In order to account for confinement effects, we also include the coupling of fermions to the Polyakov loop (PL), assuming that quarks move on a constant color background field \( \phi = ig G_{4a} \lambda_a/2 \), where \( G_{\mu a} \) are SU(3) color gauge fields. We work in the so-called Polyakov gauge, in which the matrix \( \phi \) is given a diagonal representation \( \phi = \text{diag}(\phi_r, \phi_g, \phi_b) = \phi_3 \lambda_3 + \phi_8 \lambda_8 \), taking the traced Polyakov loop \( \Phi = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr} \exp(i\phi/T) \) as an order parameter of the confinement/deconfinement transition. In addition, to account for effective gauge field self-interactions we introduce a mean field Polyakov-loop potential \( U \) that depends on the traced PL, its conjugate \( \overline{\Phi} \) and the temperature. The resulting scheme is usually referred to as a nonlocal Polyakov-Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (nlPNJL) model [14–19].

Concerning the PL potential, its functional form is usually based on properties of pure gauge QCD. In this work we consider a potential given by a polynomial function based on a Ginzburg-Landau ansatz [24, 40], namely

\[
\frac{U_{\text{poly}}(\Phi, \overline{\Phi}, T)}{T^4} = - \frac{b_2(T)}{2} \Phi \overline{\Phi} - \frac{b_3}{6} (\Phi^3 + \overline{\Phi}^3) + \frac{b_4}{4} (\Phi \overline{\Phi})^2 ,
\]

where

\[
b_2(T) = a_0 + a_1 \left( \frac{T_0}{T} \right) + a_2 \left( \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^2 + a_3 \left( \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^3.
\]

The parameters \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) can be fitted to pure gauge lattice QCD results imposing the presence of a first-order phase transition at the reference temperature \( T_0 \), which is a further parameter of the model. In the absence of dynamical quarks, \( T_0 \) is the critical temperature for deconfinement, and from lattice QCD calculations one expects it to be approximately equal to 270 MeV. However, it has been argued that in the presence of light dynamical quarks \( T_0 \) should be rescaled to about 210 and 190 MeV for the case of two and three flavors, respectively, with an uncertainty of about 30 MeV [41, 42]. The numerical values for the PL potential parameters are [24]

\[
a_0 = 6.75 \ , \ a_1 = -1.95 \ , \ a_2 = 2.625 \ , \ a_3 = -7.44 \ , \ b_3 = 0.75 \ , \ b_4 = 7.5.
\]
In this way, the grand canonical thermodynamic potential of the system is given by
\[
\Omega_{\text{MFA}} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}^2 + \bar{\Delta}^2}{2 G} - 2 T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{c=r,g,b} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left\{ E_{\text{nuc}}^2 E_{\text{ndc}}^2 \right\} - \rho(\bar{p}_{nc})^2 \left[ (M(p_{nuc}) - M(p_{ndc}))^2 - (\mu_u - \mu_d)^2 \right] + U_{\text{poly}}(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}, T), \tag{12}
\]
where we have introduced the definitions \(\bar{p}_{nc} = (p_{nuc} + p_{ndc})/2\) and \(E_{nfc}^2 = M(p_{nfc})^2 + p_{nfc}^2 + \rho(\bar{p}_{nc})^2\), with \(p_{nfc} \equiv (\vec{p}, (2n+1)\pi T + i\mu_f + \phi_c)\). As usual in this type of model, it is seen that \(\Omega_{\text{MFA}}\) turns out to be divergent, thus it has to be regularized. We adopt here a prescription similar as the one considered e.g. in Ref. [43], viz.
\[
\Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}} = \Omega_{\text{MFA}} - \Omega_{\text{q,free}} + \Omega_{\text{q,free,reg}} + \Omega_0. \tag{13}
\]
Here the “free” potential keeps the interaction with the PL, while \(\bar{\sigma}\) and \(\bar{\Delta}\) are set to zero. A constant term \(\Omega_0\) is also added so as to fix \(\Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}} = 0\) at \(\mu_B = \mu_I = T = 0\). For the regularized form of the free piece, the Matsubara sum can be performed analytically. One has
\[
\Omega_{\text{q,free,reg}} = -2T \sum_{f=u,d} \sum_{c=r,g,b} \sum_{s=\pm 1} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Re} \ln \left[ 1 + \exp \left( -\frac{\epsilon_f + s(\mu_f + i\phi_c)}{T} \right) \right], \tag{14}
\]
where \(\epsilon_f = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m_f^2}\).

The mean field values \(\bar{\sigma}\) and \(\bar{\Delta}\), as well as the values of \(\phi_3\) and \(\phi_8\), can now be obtained from a set of four coupled “gap equations” that follow from the minimization of the regularized thermodynamic potential, namely
\[
\frac{\partial \Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \bar{\sigma}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \bar{\Delta}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \phi_3} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \phi_8} = 0. \tag{15}
\]
In addition, it is interesting to study the behavior of quark condensates. As usual, we consider the scalar condensate \(\Sigma = \Sigma_u + \Sigma_d\), where \(\Sigma_f = \langle \bar{\psi}_f \psi_f \rangle\). The corresponding expressions can be obtained by differentiating \(\Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}\) with respect to the current up and down current quark masses, i.e.
\[
\Sigma_f = \frac{\partial \Omega_{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial m_f}. \tag{16}
\]
Another relevant quantity is the charged pion condensate \(\Pi\), which is expected to be non-vanishing for \(\mu_I \neq 0\). According to our choice \(\bar{\pi}_i = \delta_{i1} \bar{\Delta}\), we get
\[
\Pi = \langle \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_1 \psi \rangle. \tag{17}
\]
The analytical expression for this condensate can be obtained by taking the derivative of the regularized thermodynamic potential with respect to an auxiliary parameter added to $\rho(\bar{p})$ in Eq. (7), and then set to zero after the calculation.

To study the phase transitions, we also introduce the susceptibilities associated to the $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ condensates [44] and the Polyakov loop. These are given by

$$\chi_{\text{ch}} = -\frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial m_c}, \quad \chi_{\Pi} = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial m_c}, \quad \chi_{\Phi} = \frac{d\Phi}{dT}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Finally, from the regularized potential one can calculate various thermodynamic quantities, such as the energy and entropy densities $\varepsilon$ and $s$, and the particle number densities $n_I$ and $n_B$. The corresponding expressions are

$$\varepsilon = \Omega^{\text{MFA,reg}} + Ts + n_I \mu_I + n_B \mu_B,$$

$$s = -\frac{\partial \Omega^{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial T},$$

$$n_I = -\frac{\partial \Omega^{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \mu_I},$$

$$n_B = -\frac{\partial \Omega^{\text{MFA,reg}}}{\partial \mu_B}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

In this work we restrict to the case of $\mu_B = 0$, focusing on the effect of finite isospin chemical potential $\mu_I$. As stated in the Introduction, in this situation the results from effective models can be compared with existing lattice QCD calculations [10, 11, 45–47], which do not suffer from the sign problem. Since the thermodynamic potential turns out to be real, one gets $\Phi = \bar{\Phi}$, $\phi_8 = 0$, and the last of Eqs. (15) is trivially satisfied.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To fully define the model it is necessary to specify the form factor entering the nonlocal fermion current in Eq. (2). In this work we consider an exponential momentum dependence for the form factor in momentum space,

$$g(p) = \exp(-p^2/\Lambda^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

This form, which is widely used, guarantees a fast ultraviolet convergence of quark loop integrals. Notice that the energy scale $\Lambda$, which acts as an effective momentum cutoff, has to be taken as an additional parameter of the model. Other functional forms, e.g. Lorentzian
form factors with integer [37] or fractional [48] momentum dependences, have also been considered in the literature. In any case, it is seen that the form factor choice does not have in general major impact in the qualitative predictions for the relevant thermodynamic quantities [49].

Given the form factor shape, the model parameters $m_c$, $G$ and $\Lambda$ can be fixed by requiring that the model reproduce the phenomenological values of some selected physical quantities. If we take as inputs the pion mass $m_\pi = 138$ MeV, the pion weak decay constant $f_\pi = 92.4$ MeV and the quark condensates $\Sigma_u = \Sigma_d = -(240 \text{ MeV})^3$, one has $m_c = 5.67$ MeV, $\Lambda = 752$ MeV and $g = G\Lambda^2 = 20.67$ [36].

A. Zero temperature

At zero temperature the Polyakov loop decouples from the fermions, and the thermodynamic potential within the nonlocal NJL (nlNJL) model is given by the expression in Eq. (7), properly regularized. In Fig. 1 we show our numerical results for the normalized mean field condensates $\Sigma/\Sigma_0$ and $\Pi/\Sigma_0$, where $\Sigma_0 \equiv \Sigma(\mu_I = 0)$, as functions of the isospin chemical potential. The solid red lines correspond to the parametrization described above, which leads to $\Sigma_0 = -(240 \text{ MeV})^3$. To provide an estimation of the parametrization dependence, we show with a red shaded band the results covered by a parameter range such that $\Sigma_0$ lies between $-(230 \text{ MeV})^3$ and $-(250 \text{ MeV})^3$. The right panel of Fig. 1 just extends the results given in the left panel, covering a broader range of values of the scaled isospin chemical potential $\mu_I/m_\pi$. For comparison, in both panels we include the results obtained from several alternative approaches. The green band (partially hidden by the red one) corresponds to the results from the local NJL, for parametrizations leading to a quark condensate in the range between $-(240 \text{ MeV})^3$ and $-(250 \text{ MeV})^3$. The dashed (green) lines, the dotted (brown) lines and the dashed-dotted (blue) lines correspond to the results obtained within the linear sigma model (LSM) in Ref. [31], the NJL model in Ref. [32] (where a medium separation regularization scheme is used) and the Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) approach in Ref. [35], respectively. In addition, the fat dots denote the results from lattice QCD obtained in Ref. [11].

As expected, for $\mu_I < m_\pi$ one has $\Sigma = \Sigma_0$ and $\Pi = 0$. Indeed, for both local and nonlocal NJL models it can be analytically shown that the onset of the pion condensation
Figure 1: (Color online) Normalized $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ condensates as functions of the isospin chemical potential. The solid red line and the red band correspond to the numerical results obtained within the nlNJL model. Results from other theoretical approaches (see text) are included for comparison.

at $T = 0$ occurs at $\mu_I = m_\pi$. For larger isospin chemical potentials, as shown in Fig. 1, the chiral condensate decreases monotonically and the charged pion condensate gets strongly increased. In this way, for $\mu_I \geq m_\pi$ the isospin symmetry $U(1)_I$ gets spontaneously broken, while one finds a partial restoration of the $U(1)_{I_3}$ symmetry for large values of $\mu_I$. From the left panel of Fig. 1 it is also seen that there is an overall agreement between most theoretical approaches up to $\mu_I \simeq 2m_\pi$. On the other hand, as shown in the right panel of the figure, for larger values of $\mu_I$ there is some splitting between the predictions from different models.

The results for the chiral and pion condensate susceptibilities as functions of $\mu_I$ are displayed in Fig. 2. It can be seen that the chiral susceptibility $\chi_{ch}$ (solid line, left panel) is approximately zero for low values of $\mu_I$, showing a jump to a high value at $\mu_I = m_\pi$ and remaining relatively large for $\mu_I > m_\pi$. This signals that at $\mu_I = m_\pi$ one has the onset of a smooth transition from a phase in which the $U(1)_{I_3}$ symmetry is spontaneously broken to a region in which it becomes (partially) restored. It is found that the height of the jump at $\mu_I = m_\pi$ gets increased if the current quark mass $m_c$ is reduced. The pion condensate susceptibility is given by the solid line in the right panel of Fig. 2. It is seen that $\chi_{\Pi}$ is zero
for low values of $\mu_I$, and has a divergence at $\mu_I = m_\pi$. This is the signature of a second order phase transition leading to the appearance of the pion condensate, as shown in Fig. 1. The behavior of the susceptibilities is similar to the one found in the local NJL model, see Ref. [44].

![Figure 2: (Color online) Chiral and pion susceptibilities as functions of the isospin chemical potential. Solid and dashed lines correspond to the results from nlNJL model calculations and lowest order ChPT expressions, respectively.](image)

It is interesting to compare the above results with those arising from Chiral Perturbation Theory. At the lowest order in the chiral expansion, it is found that for $\mu_I \geq m_\pi$ the condensates satisfy the relations [50]

$$\frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_0} = \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu_I^2}, \quad \frac{\Pi}{\Sigma_0} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu_I^2}}. \tag{21}$$

In this way one has

$$\left(\frac{\Sigma}{\Sigma_0}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Pi}{\Sigma_0}\right)^2 = 1, \tag{22}$$

which defines the so-called “chiral circle”. The relation in Eq. (22) is approximately satisfied in local and nonlocal NJL models, as can be seen from Fig. 1. In fact, the agreement is very good up to $\mu_I \simeq 2m_\pi$, where the prediction from ChPT is trustable. Moreover, with the aid of the Gell-Mann-Oakes-Renner relation one can find simple analytical expressions for the susceptibilities, namely

$$\chi_{\text{ch}} = -\frac{\Sigma_0}{m_c} \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu_I^2}, \quad \chi_{\Pi} = -\frac{\Sigma_0}{m_c} \frac{m_\pi^4}{\mu_I^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{\mu_I^2}}}. \tag{23}$$
where it has been assumed that the ratio $\frac{\Sigma_0/f_\pi^2}{f_\pi}$ is approximately independent of $m_c$. In Eqs. (23), it can be seen that $\chi_{\Pi}$ diverges at $\mu_I = m_\pi$, while $\chi_{\text{ch}}$ is finite and only becomes divergent in the chiral limit. The behavior of the susceptibilities as functions of $\mu_I$ obtained from these equations are shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 2. It can be seen that they match nicely the results arising from the nlNJL model.

Next, in Fig. 3 we show the results obtained within the nlNJL model for the normalized pressure, energy density and isospin particle density as functions of $\mu_I/m_\pi$. Results from other theoretical approaches are also included for comparison (lines and bands for NJL and nlNJL models are defined in the same way as in Fig. 1). In the left panels we consider a range of $\mu_I$ from $m_\pi$ to $2m_\pi$, for which LQCD estimations have been obtained in Refs. [11, 32] (short-dashed black lines and fat dots in the figure). In the right panels we include the results for the same quantities using a different scale that covers values of the isospin chemical potential up to $5m_\pi$. Notice that all three quantities are zero for $0 \leq \mu_I \leq m_\pi$. From the left panels it can be seen that in general there is a good agreement between the predictions of effective models —which do not differ significantly from each other— and LQCD results. On the other hand, for larger values of $\mu_I$ the splitting between the results from various theoretical approaches becomes appreciably large. Unfortunately, no LQCD results are available up to now within this enlarged range. The behavior of the studied quantities for the nonlocal approach (solid red lines, red bands) is found to be qualitatively similar to the one obtained within the local NJL model (green bands), showing a monotonic growth when $\mu_I$ gets increased. Notice that the dependence on the parametrization turns out to be relatively low.

Another interesting magnitude to be analyzed is the interaction energy, or trace anomaly, $\epsilon - 3p$. The behavior of this quantity (normalized by $\mu_I^4$) as a function of $\mu_I/m_\pi$, is shown in Fig. 4. It is seen that the results obtained within the nlNJL model are similar to those found in other theoretical approaches. In particular, the so-called “conformal point”, for which $\epsilon = 3p$, is reached at a value of $\mu_I/m_\pi$ in the range between 1.75 and 1.77 (depending on the parametrization), in good agreement with the analytical result $\mu_I/m_\pi = \sqrt{3}$ arising from leading order ChPT [51].

To conclude this subsection, in Fig. 5 we plot the numerical results obtained for the equation of state, i.e. the behavior of the energy density as a function of the pressure (here the isospin chemical potential $\mu_I$ is an underlying parameter). The notation for the curves
Figure 3: (Color online) Numerical results for the normalized pressure, energy density and isospin particle density as functions of the isospin chemical potential. Besides the local and nonlocal NJL models, the graphs include the results obtained from the ChPT approach in Ref. [35], the linear sigma model in Ref. [31], and LQCD calculations in Ref. [11, 32].

obtained within the nonlocal NJL approach and other models, as well as those arising from lattice QCD calculations, are the same as in Figs. 3 and 4. Once again, the results from the nonlocal approach are qualitatively similar to those obtained in the local NJL model, and
Figure 4: (Color online) Numerical results for the interaction energy as function of the isospin chemical potential. The graphs include the values obtained from local and nonlocal NJL models, ChPT [35] and LQCD calculations [11, 32].

are consistent with LQCD results in the low energy region (where LQCD data are available).

Figure 5: (Color online) Numerical results for the equation of state. The graphs include the results obtained from local and nonlocal NJL models, the linear sigma model [31], ChPT [35] and LQCD calculations [11, 32].
B. Finite temperature

We present here our numerical results at finite temperature for the quantities defined in Sec. II. As discussed above, we include the interaction between the fermions and a background color field, considering the Polyakov loop potential in Eq. (9). The parameter $T_0$ entering this potential is taken to be 200 MeV, following the estimations carried out for the case of two dynamical quarks \[41, 42\].

Let us start by studying the thermal behavior of the normalized mean field condensates and the traced PL for some representative values of $\mu_I$ within the range $0 \leq \mu_I \leq 2m_\pi$. Our results are shown in Fig. 6. On the left panels we plot the condensates $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$, normalized by $\Sigma_0$ (solid and dashed lines, respectively), together with the traced PL $\Phi$ (dashed-dotted lines). The results are given as functions of the temperature, normalized to the critical temperature for $\mu_I = 0$, viz. $T^0_c = 174$ MeV. We also include the curves for the normalized combined quantity $R$, defined by

$$R = \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma^2 + \Pi^2}}{\Sigma_0}. \quad (24)$$

In the right panels of Fig. 6 we plot the susceptibilities associated to the chiral and pion condensates and the traced Polyakov loop (solid, dashed and dashed-dotted lines, respectively), defined in Eq. (18). As usual, the peaks of the curves for $\chi_{ch}$ and $\chi_{\Phi}$ are used in order to define the chiral restoration and deconfinement transition critical temperatures.

From the left panels of Fig. 6 it is seen that for $\mu_I = 0$ the chiral restoration and deconfinement transitions proceed as a smooth crossovers at temperatures $T \simeq T^0_c$, while the pion condensate vanishes for all $T$. The situation remains basically the same up to values of $\mu_I$ approaching $m_\pi$. Then, for a small region of values of $\mu_I$ just below $m_\pi$ (as shown explicitly for the case of $\mu_I/m_\pi = 0.99$) the pion condensate vanishes for all $T$ except for a short range of temperatures slightly below the critical value $T_c$ that characterizes the (almost simultaneous) chiral restoration and deconfinement crossover transitions. On the other hand, for $\mu_I > m_\pi$, at low temperatures the pion condensate gets nonzero values, showing the spontaneous breakdown of isospin symmetry. These values of $\Pi$ are approximately independent of the temperature up to $T \simeq T^0_c$, where one finds a second order transition to a U(1)$_{I_3}$ symmetry restored phase. In addition, it can be seen that these values of $\Pi$ get increased with $\mu_I$, while the values of the chiral condensate $\Sigma$ decrease, in such a way that
$R$ is approximately constant. We recall that, as discussed in the previous subsection, from lowest order ChPT one gets at $T = 0$ a constant value $R = 1$ for all values of $\mu_I$. Moreover, as noted in Ref. [31], the behavior of $R$ as a function of $T$ is very similar to that found for $\Sigma/\Sigma_0$ when pion condensation is not considered. Concerning the deconfinement transition, the graphs on the left panel of Fig. 6 show that it proceeds as a smooth crossover at an approximately constant temperature $T \lesssim T_c^0$ for the considered range of values of the isospin chemical potential.

Taking now into account the plots in the right panels of Fig. 6, it can be seen that the PL susceptibility (green dashed-dotted lines) shows clear peaks that indicate a crossover-like deconfinement transition at a temperature slightly lower than $T_c^0$ and approximately independent of $\mu_I$. In the case of the chiral susceptibility (red solid lines in the right panels of Fig. 6), for $\mu_I = 0$ one finds a peak that defines the critical temperature $T_c^0 = 174$ MeV. Notice that for $\mu_I$ larger than $m_\pi$ the susceptibility $\chi_{\text{ch}}$ is relatively large at low temperatures. This is in agreement with the behavior shown in Fig. 2, and it can be attributed to the presence of a nonzero pion condensate. The same effect occurs for values of $\mu_I$ slightly below $m_\pi$ and temperatures $T \lesssim T_c^0$, owing to the existence of the already mentioned nonzero value of $\Pi$ in this region (see panels of the second row in Fig. 6). Finally, the pion condensate susceptibility (dashed lines in the right panels of Fig. 6) is also found to be nonzero in the presence of the pion condensate. Moreover, as expected, it becomes divergent at the temperatures in which one finds the second order phase transition into the isospin symmetry restored phase. These temperatures are slightly lower than $T_c^0$ and basically coincide with the ones corresponding to the deconfinement transition. For completeness, we show in Fig. 7 the behavior of the $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ susceptibilities as functions of the isospin chemical potential, for $T = 0$ and temperatures slightly below and above $T_c^0$. In fact, it is seen that the behavior of $\chi_{\text{ch}}$ and $\chi_{\Pi}$ found for $T = 0$ (see Fig. 2) does not change qualitatively up to the critical isospin symmetry restoration temperature. Notice that for temperatures just below $T_c^0$ the position of the discontinuity is shifted to $\mu_I/m_\pi$ slightly smaller than 1. It is also worth noticing that the curves for $T \lesssim T_c^0$ are quite different from the ones obtained in the framework of the local PNJL model, for which the discontinuity is found to occur at significantly larger values of $\mu_I/m_\pi$ (see Fig. 3 of Ref. [44]).

Through the analysis of the quantities in Fig. 6 one can sketch the phase diagram in the $\mu_I - T$ plane. This is shown in Fig. 8, where the temperature and the isospin chemical
Figure 6: (Color online) Left: numerical results for the normalized $\Sigma$ and $\Pi$ condensates, the traced Polyakov loop $\Phi$ and the quantity $R$ as functions of the temperature, for some fixed values of $\mu_I/m_\pi$. Right: numerical results for the susceptibilities associated to the chiral and pion condensates and the Polyakov loop, as functions of $T/T_c^0$.

potential are normalized to $T_c^0$ and $m_\pi$, respectively. As expected, for low values of $T$ and $\mu_I$ the system lies in a “normal matter” (NM) phase, i.e. a U(1)$_I^3A$ symmetry broken phase in which the scalar quark-antiquark condensate $\Sigma$ is large and the pion condensate $\Pi$ is zero. By increasing the temperature one reaches a transition to a “quark gluon plasma” (QGP) phase,
Figure 7: (Color online) Chiral (left) and pion (right) condensate susceptibilities as functions of the isospin chemical potential, for some representative values of the temperature.

in which quarks deconfine and the chiral symmetry becomes partially restored. Both chiral restoration and deconfinement transitions occur as smooth crossovers, at approximately a common temperature that does not depend significantly on $\mu_I$. The corresponding curves, obtained from the peaks of $\chi_{ch}$ and $\chi_{\Phi}$ susceptibilities, are shown by the solid and dash-dotted lines in the figure, respectively. The results are found to be similar to those obtained from lattice QCD calculations in Ref. [10], shown by the gray and blue bands. On the other hand, for temperatures below the critical value $T_c^0$, by increasing the isospin chemical potential one reaches a second order phase transition to a pion-condensate ($\pi C$) region in which the condensate $\Pi$ is nonvanishing and therefore the U(1)$_I$ symmetry is broken. The onset of this phase, shown by the dashed line in Fig. 8, occurs approximately at $\mu_I = m_\pi$ for all temperature values up to $T_c^0$, in agreement with lattice QCD calculations (red band in the figure) [10]. Then, for $\mu_I > m_\pi$, at a given critical temperature there is a second order phase transition from the $\pi C$ phase to the QGP phase. As discussed above, this critical temperature is slightly lower than $T_c^0$ and remains approximately constant for all considered values of $\mu_I$ above the pion mass. The location of the pseudo-triple point where NM, QGP and $\pi C$ phases meet is found to be in good agreement with the result obtained in lattice QCD calculations, given by the black square. Concerning the U(1)$_{I_3-A}$ symmetry within the $\pi C$ phase, it is seen that for a given temperature $T$ lower than $T_c^0$ the values of the quark condensates decrease steadily if $\mu_I$ gets increased beyond $m_\pi$. This can be read from the
values of $\Sigma/\Sigma_0$ shown in the left panels of Fig. 6. Notice that for $\mu_I \simeq 1.4 \, m_\pi$ the value of $\Sigma$ is found to be reduced to approximately one half of the $\mu_I = 0$ value $\Sigma_0$. Finally, in Fig. 8 we also show for comparison the $\pi C$–NM transition curves corresponding to the local PNJL model and leading order chiral perturbation theory [52] (thin dashed and short-dashed lines, respectively). As anticipated in the discussion concerning Fig. 7, it is seen that there is a substantial difference between nonlocal and local PNJL-like approaches. This situation does not change significantly if one considers the NJL model omitting the interaction with the Polyakov loop.

Figure 8: (Color online) Phase diagram in the $\mu_I - T$ plane for the nonlocal PNJL model. NM, QGP and $\pi C$ stand for normal matter, quark gluon plasma and pion condensation phases, respectively. Solid (black), dashed (red) and dash-dotted (blue) lines correspond to chiral restoration, pion condensation and deconfinement transitions, while the shaded bands indicate the transition regions obtained from LQCD results in Ref. [10]. The thin dashed and short-dashed lines indicate the NQM-$\pi C$ transition curves arising from the local PNJL model and leading order ChPT, respectively.
IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter within a nonlocal two-flavor PNJL model, considering both zero and finite temperature and nonzero isospin chemical potential. In this context, we have studied the quark deconfinement and the breakdown/restoration of chiral and isospin symmetries, together with the corresponding footprints on various thermodynamic quantities.

At zero temperature, for $\mu_I = m_\pi$ one finds the onset of a phase in which isospin symmetry is broken by the presence of a nonzero pion condensate. Up to $\mu_I \simeq 2m_\pi$, one observes a rapid growth of this condensate, in overall agreement with the predictions from other effective model analyses and LQCD calculations. The agreement is also good for various thermodynamic quantities, as the pressure, energy density, isospin particle density and interaction energy. For larger values of $\mu_I$ (where no LQCD data are available up to now), although one finds some general agreement in the qualitative behavior of these quantities, there are significant quantitative discrepancies between the results from different theoretical approaches.

In the case of a system at finite temperature, for low values of $\mu_I$ the pion condensate is absent and one gets, as expected, a transition from the usual “normal matter” (NM) scenario into a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) phase in which chiral symmetry is restored and quarks are deconfined. This transition proceeds as a smooth crossover signaled by the behavior of chiral and Polyakov loop susceptibilities. The critical temperature $T_c^0 \simeq 174$ MeV is approximately the same for both chiral restoration and quark deconfinement. For $T \leq T_c^0$, by increasing the isospin chemical potential one finds a second order transition into a pion condensation ($\pi C$) phase, in which isospin symmetry is spontaneously broken. The corresponding critical line $\mu_I(T)$ is a primary result of our analysis. The critical value $\mu_I = m_\pi$ found at $T = 0$ remains approximately constant up to $T \simeq T_c^0$, reaching a pseudo-triple point in which NM, QGP and $\pi C$ phases coexist. It can be seen that there is a remarkable agreement between these results and those obtained from lattice QCD calculations. On the other hand, the $\pi C$-QGP transition occurs at a temperature of the order of $T_c^0$, which is approximately constant for $\mu_I > m_\pi$. It is worth noticing that our predictions for the border of the $\pi C$ phase region (and, in particular, for the location of the triple point) are in good agreement with the available results from lattice QCD, whereas they differ significantly from the predictions.
obtained in the framework of the local PNJL model.
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