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Abstract

Controlling the flow rate of precursors is essential for the growth of high quality monolayer single crystals of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) by chemical vapor deposition. Thus, introduction of an excess amount of the precursors affects reproducibility of the growth process and results in the formation of TMD multilayers and other unwanted deposits. Here we present a simple method for controlling the precursor flow rates using the Knudsen-type effusion cells. This method results in a highly reproducible growth of large area and high density TMD monolayers. The size of the grown crystals can be adjusted between 10 and 200 μm. We characterized the grown MoS2 and WS2 monolayers by optical, atomic force and transmission electron microscopies as well as by x-ray photoelectron, Raman and photoluminescence spectroscopies, and by electrical transport measurements showing their high optical and electronic quality based on the single crystalline nature.

Introduction

In recent years, semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) monolayers such as MoS2, WS2, WSe2, MoSe2, etc have emerged as highly promising materials for ultrathin device technology [1–3]. The unique physical properties of these two-dimensional materials including their optical response [1, 4, 5], electrical field-effect [1, 6, 7], gate induced superconductivity [8], photoluminescence (PL) [9, 10] and valley polarization [11, 12] identify them as candidates for next generation electronic, optoelectronic, sensing and energy conversion devices [1]. For these applications, it is necessary to develop reproducible and economic synthetic routes with a high degree of control over the crystal quality and size. A variety of synthetic approaches have been developed for the growth of TMD monolayers including chemical vapor deposition (CVD) [13–15], physical vapor deposition [16, 17], sulfurization of precursor films [18–21], metal organic chemical vapor deposition [22, 23], etc. Among these approaches, the CVD based synthesis is the most simple and cost effective one for the growth of single crystalline TMD monolayers. In a typical CVD process, powders of metal oxides (e.g. MoO3, WO3) and chalcogens (e.g. S, Se) are used as precursors [1, 2]. These precursors are placed inside a reaction tube in open crucibles, heated to appropriate temperatures to be evaporated in a presence of an inert carrier gas transporting them to the target substrate (e.g. SiO2, sapphire), where they are thermally activated to react and to form the desired TMD monolayers. One of the key parameters for an efficient nucleation and growth of these monolayers are the precursor flow rates in the reaction zone. However, the described above approach provides only a little control over these parameters [1, 2] because the surface area of the precursor powders varies after each loading of the crucibles, which consequently results in different evaporation and flow rates of the materials.
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Thus, for chalcogens, which possess a higher vapor pressure in comparison to transition metal oxides, typically a significantly larger amount of the material is provided to a reactor than required for the monolayer growth. The excess chalcogens are then condensed on the colder regions of a CVD reactor. Furthermore, excess supply of the chalcogen precursor results in a reduced crystal size and formation of the multilayer films [24]. In this technical note, we present an approach to achieve a higher degree of control over the flow rate of sulfur to the reaction zone of a CVD reactor by using a Knudsen-type effusion cell for this chalcogen precursor instead of an open crucible employed in previous reports. By this simple improvement, we achieve a highly reproducible growth of MoS₂ and WS₂ monolayer single crystals over large surface area on SiO₂ and sapphire substrates. The grown TMD monolayer single crystals have a high surface density and sizes of up to 200 μm. We employ complementary characterization techniques including optical microscopy (OM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), Raman spectroscopy, PL spectroscopy, and electrical field-effect measurements to study the structural, optical and electronic properties of the grown TMD monolayers. The obtained results clearly demonstrate a good electrical and optical quality of the grown monolayers based on their single crystalline nature.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis of TMDs**

**MoS₂ growth**

Silicon substrates with 300 nm of dry thermal oxide were used as the growth substrate (Sil’tronix, root mean square (rms) roughness < 0.2 nm). A schematic representation of the modified CVD setup is shown in figure 1(a). The growth was carried out in a two-zone split tube furnace with a tube diameter of 55 mm (Carbolite Gero). The two-zone configuration allowed us to heat the precursors individually. The substrates were cleaned initially by ultrasonication in acetone for 5 min followed by washing in isopropanol and blowing dry with argon. Within the outer tube, a smaller inner quartz tube of diameter 15 mm was used to place the growth substrates and precursors as shown in figure 1(a). By employing the smaller inner tube the reactants are concentrated to a smaller volume promoting the efficient mixing of precursors. This setup also helps to minimize the amount of precursors required for the growth of TMD monolayers. The quartz Knudsen cell loaded with sulfur powder (99.98%, Sigma Aldrich) was placed in the inner tube and positioned in the center of the first zone of the tube furnace. A detailed description of the Knudsen effusion cell including its dimensions and a photograph are provided in the supporting information (SI) which is available online at stacks.iop.org/JPMATER/2/016001/mmedia, figure S1. Approximately 1–5 μg of MoO₃ powder (99.97%, Sigma Aldrich) were sprinkled on a piece of SiO₂/Si wafer and placed within the inner quartz tube. The MoO₃ precursor and the growth substrates were positioned in the middle of the second zone of the furnace. The growth substrates were
placed next to this at the downstream side. Next, the quartz tube was evacuated to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ mbar pressure and refilled with argon (5.0, Linde). The growth was carried out at atmospheric pressure under an argon flow of 100 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The argon gas flow was used to carry the sulfur species to the high temperature reaction area where the MoO$_3$ precursor and substrates were located. The temperature profile of the MoS$_2$ growth procedure is shown in figure 1(b). The second zone containing the MoO$_3$ and the substrates is heated to the growth temperature of 770 °C at a rate of 40 °C min$^{-1}$ and held at that temperature for 20 min. The temperature of the first zone with sulfur was adjusted to reach 200 °C when the second zone reaches 750 °C. When the temperature of the second zone reached 750 °C, we introduced hydrogen (5.0, Linde) at a flow rate of 10 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The hydrogen flow and the furnace were turned off after the growth time of 20 min and the furnace was allowed to cool down to 350 °C under an argon flow of 100 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. Then the body of the split furnace was opened to rapidly cool down the sample to room temperature (RT). This procedures result in the growth of mainly monolayer MoS$_2$ crystals of triangular shape with a typical size ranging from 5 to 200 μm depending on the position of the substrate from the wafer containing MoO$_3$ powder.

**WS$_2$ growth**

For the growth of WS$_2$ monolayer crystals, 5 mg of WO$_{2.9}$ (99.99%, Alfa Aesar) powder mixed with 250 μg of NaCl (99.5%, Carl Roth) were used as precursor. NaCl was used as a growth promoter as previously reported by Eda et al [25]. The precursors and growth substrates are positioned inside the growth chamber in a similar manner as explained in the experimental procedure for MoS$_2$ growth and schematically shown in figure 1(a). In addition to SiO$_2$/Si wafers we have also tested the growth WS$_2$ monolayers on c-plane oriented single crystal sapphire substrates (CrysTech GmbH). Then the quartz tube was evacuated to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ mbar pressure and refilled with argon. The growth was carried out at atmospheric pressure under an argon flow of 100 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The temperature profile of the WS$_2$ growth procedure is shown in figure S2. The second zone containing the WO$_{2.9}$ and the substrates were heated to the growth temperature of 860 °C at a rate of 40 °C min$^{-1}$ and held at that temperature for 20 min. The sulfur temperature was adjusted to reach 200 °C when the second zone reaches 800 °C. When the temperature of the second zone reached 800 °C, we introduced H$_2$ gas at a flow rate of 10 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The H$_2$ gas flow and the furnace were turned off after the growth time of 20 min and allowed to cool down to 350 °C under an argon flow of 100 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$. Then the body of the split furnace was opened to rapidly cool down the sample to RT. This procedures result in the growth of mainly monolayer WS$_2$ crystals of triangular shape with a typical size ranging from 5 to 70 μm.

**Optical microscopy**

The OM images were taken with a Zeiss Axio Imager Z1.m microscope equipped with a 5 megapixel CCD camera (AxioCam ICc5) in bright field operation.

**Atomic force microscopy**

The AFM measurements were performed with an Ntegra (NT-MDT) system in contact mode at ambient conditions using n-doped silicon cantilevers (CSG01, NT-MDT) with a typical tip radius of 6 nm and a typical force constant of 0.03 N m$^{-1}$.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

High-resolution TEM measurements were performed using the SALVE (sub-Ångström low-voltage electron microscopy) instrument consisting of a FEI Titan Themis3 column with a CEOS aberration corrector. The corrector is a quadrupole-octupole corrector of modified Rose–Kuhn design that corrects for first order chromatic aberrations, fifth order axial geometric aberrations, and third order off-axial geometric aberrations [26]. The values for the chromatic aberration Cc and the spherical aberration Cs were between -10 and -20 μm. The electron source is an FEI X-FEG Schottky type and the camera used is an FEI CETA 16M fiber coupled CMOS camera. Images were acquired at an electron accelerating voltage of 60 kV with bright atom contrast using exposure times of 1 s at electron dose rates of about $10^5$ e$^-\text{nm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$.

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy**

XPS was performed in a ultra-high vacuum (base pressure $2 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar) Multiprobe system (Scienta Omicron) using a monochromatized x-ray source (Al Kα) and an electron analyzer (Argus) with a spectral energy resolution of 0.6 eV. The spectra were calibrated using the Si2p peak (SiO$_2$, 103.6 eV) and fitted using Voigt functions (30:70) after a linear background subtraction.
Raman spectroscopy
The Raman mapping and spectra were acquired using a Bruker Senterra Raman microscope operated in backscattering mode. Measurements at 532 nm were obtained with a frequency-doubled Nd:YAG Laser, a 50× objective and a thermoelectrically cooled CCD detector. The spectral resolution of the system is 2–3 cm⁻¹. For all spectra the Si peak at 520.7 cm⁻¹ was used as a reference for peak shift calibration. The Raman spectroscopy maps were obtained using a motorized XY stage. For analysis of the characteristic MoS₂ and WSe₂ peaks the background was subtracted and the data were fitted with Lorentzian functions using a LabVIEW script to determine the peak position, full width at half maximum (FWHM) and maximum intensity of the peaks.

PL spectroscopy
PL from MoS₂ and WSe₂ monolayer flakes was characterized with MicroTime 200 laser-scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy from PicoQuant GmbH. A pulsed laser of wavelength 532 nm and repetition rate 80 Hz is used to excite the TMD flakes and measure their PL emission with a single-photon avalanche diode detector. A microscope objective of 40× magnification and numerical aperture 0.65 is used to focus the laser onto the flakes, forming a spot of diameter ~1 μm, and to collect the PL emission from the same objective. PL maps were acquired by raster scanning the microscope objective and collecting the PL emission in the spectra range of 650–720 nm using a band pass filter, essentially to collect A-exciton and trion emissions. PL spectra on the TMD flakes were acquired with a spectrometer (Horiba iHR320) coupled with the fiber from the Picoquant confocal microscope setup. Care was taken in all the measurements to block the excitation light reaching the detector using dichroic mirror and notch filter for wavelength 532 nm, in addition to band pass and long pass filters.

Transfer of MoS₂ and WS₂
For device fabrication the MoS₂ and WS₂ crystals were transferred onto Si wafers with 300 nm of SiO₂ (Sil’tronix, rms roughness <0.2 nm) with e-beam lithography (EBL) markers (see below). The transfer step from the growth substrates onto a new Si wafer is essential, as during the growth at elevated temperatures the insulating quality of the SiO₂ layer deteriorates, which results in a significant leakage current by applying a gate voltage. We have employed a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) assisted transfer protocol for MoS₂ and WSe₂ crystals [27]. A PMMA layer of 200 nm (950 kDa, AlResist GmbH, AR-P 679.04) was spin coated onto the SiO₂/Si substrate with CVD grown TMD crystals. Then the substrate was kept floating on top of a bath of KOH (85%, Carl Roth) solution to etch away the SiO₂ layer and to release the TMD crystals supported by PMMA. The PMMA was removed by washing several times with ultrapure water (18.2 MΩ cm, Membrapure) to remove any residual KOH. Then the PMMA supported MoS₂ was placed on the target substrate and baked at 90 °C for 10 min, followed by immersion in acetone for 2 h to remove the PMMA support and afterwards dried with nitrogen. For preparation of TEM samples the PMMA layer was removed in a critical point dryer (AutoSamosri 815, Tousimis) to avoid damage of the freestanding areas.

Device fabrication
Heavily p-doped silicon substrates with a thermally grown SiO₂ layer of 300 nm and the transferred TMD monolayers (see above) were processed EBL to fabricate the field-effect transistor (FET) devices. The p-doped silicon base wafer was used as a gate electrode with the 300 nm SiO₂ functioning as a gate dielectric. To define source and drain electrodes a two layer e-beam resist system (AR-P6200 on AR-P617, both from AlResist GmbH Berlin) was spin coated on top of the samples, patterned by EBL (Vistec LION LV1) and subsequently developed (2 min in AR600-546 and 1 min in AR600-50 developer). Then the Au/Ti (50 nm/3 nm) electrodes were deposited by e-beam evaporation process followed by the dissolution of the e-beam resist in AR600-71 overnight.

Electrical measurements
The electrical characterization was carried out with two Keithley 2634B source measure units (SMUs). One SMU was used to change the voltage of the gate with respect to the source/drain in the range between −60 and 60 V for the back-gated devices in vacuum. The other SMU was used to apply the source–drain voltage and measure source–drain current. A Lakeshore vacuum needle probe station TTPX was used to measure the devices in vacuum at a residual pressure about 10⁻⁸ mbar.

Results and discussion
In comparison to previous reports [1, 2], in our CVD setup we mainly employ two modifications. Firstly and most importantly, we use a Knudsen effusion cell to deliver sulfur precursors to the reaction zone in a controllable rate. Secondly, we apply a small inner quartz tube with diameter 15 mm to confine the reaction
The growth of MoS2 from solid-state precursors of MoO3 and S follows the following reaction steps. Initially, a volatile MoO3-sx species are generated by the high temperature reduction of the MoO3 powder [37]. The MoO3-sx is transferred to the growth substrate by the carrier gas, adsorbed on the surface and acts as the nucleation sites for MoS2 crystal growth. The nuclei react with the incoming S forming MoS2 crystallites. Further MoO3-sx absorption takes place at the MoS2 edges and the growth continues forming single crystal domains. The possible reaction involves the following steps [37]

\[
\text{MoO}_3 + \frac{x}{2} \text{S} \rightarrow \text{MoO}_{3-x} + \frac{x}{2} \text{SO}_2, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{MoO}_{3-x} + \frac{7 - x}{2} \text{S} \rightarrow \text{MoS}_2 + \frac{(3 - x)}{2} \text{SO}_2. \quad (2)
\]

In figure 2(a), we show the schematic of a typical substrate after the growth of MoS2 crystals. Typically, a substrate with approximate size of 1 cm × 3 cm was used for the growth. The crystals grow in the form of monolayer triangular domains with a side length ranging from 200 to 5 μm depending on the distance to the MoO3 source. The larger crystals grow in the areas closer to the source and the crystal size decreases as the distance increases. The flux of MoO3-sx species is higher in the regions of the substrate located closer to the MoO3 source, resulting in the growth of large area high-density monolayer crystals. As the distance increases, the flux reduces which results in smaller crystals. In figures 2(b), (c), we show the OM images recorded from three different regions of the growth substrate showing the varying size distribution of the crystals. A stitched optical microscope image of a typical complete sample is presented in figure S3 confirming the large area and high-density growth of MoS2 crystals by our approach. A quantitative analysis of figure S3 shows that MoS2 monolayer crystals cover 21% ± 1% of the total substrate area, whereas the area with multilayer regions corresponds to 0.6% ± 0.1% (see SI for details). We conducted the growth experiments more than 30 times obtaining similar results, which confirms a high reproducibility of the developed method. We also tested the possibility to employ a Knudsen cell for the MoO3 precursor. These experiments resulted in the growth of smaller monolayer crystals with side lengths in the range of 1–25 μm, possibly due to a lower flow of MoO3-sx propagating through the opening in comparison to the MoO3 powder directly places on a substrate (see experimental for details). Despite of the smaller crystal size, this method also yielded a very good reproducibility of the results. It is worth noting that an approach to control the delivery of MoO3 precursor by employing a predisposed liquid exfoliated/sputtered MoO3 film on SiO2/Si substrate as the Mo source in the close proximity of the growth substrate was reported by O’Brien et al [38]. Despite this approach resulting in the growth of good quality monolayer MoS2 films their crystal size was small, which is similar to the results obtained in our experiments where the flow rate of MoO3 was adjusted by employing a Knudsen effusion cell. We tested the usual method of placing the sulfur powder in an open ceramic crucible for comparison with the improved method using a Knudsen cell. In figures S4(a)–(b) typical samples imaged with an optical microscope are shown. In these particular data and in
The typical size distribution of the grown crystals are in the range of 5–70 \( \mu \)m. The size distribution is observed to depend on the distance to the MoO\(_3\) source. Bigger crystals grow in the area closer to the MoO\(_3\) source. As the distance increases the crystal size decreases. Figure 2(a) shows the optical microscopy images recorded from different areas of a typical sample. A stitched image of the complete sample is presented in figure S3.

Approximately the following problems are observed: (i) formation of thicker layers and other intermediate compounds along with monolayer crystals, (ii) lower crystal size, (iii) lower reproducibility of the results and (iv) accumulation of excess sulfur at colder regions of the reaction tube. By employing a Knudsen cell in combination with a small inner reaction tube, such problems can be avoided as well as the efficiency and reproducibility of the growth procedure is improved significantly.

We studied the chemical composition of the as grown MoS\(_2\) samples using XPS. Figure 3(a) presents the Mo3d signal showing a single species (green) accompanied by the S2s signal (red) consisting also only of one species, which confirm a high chemical quality and homogeneity of the samples. The binding energy (BE) of the Mo3d\(_{5/2}\) signal at 230.2 eV is in a good agreement with the literature data [38]. Similarly, the S2p signal also consists of a single species with a BE of S2p\(_{3/2}\) at 163.1 eV. As expected from the spin–orbit coupling, the intensity ratios \( I(\text{Mo3d}_{5/2})/I(\text{Mo3d}_{3/2}) \) and \( I(\text{S2p}_{3/2})/I(\text{S2p}_{1/2}) \) perfectly correspond to \( 3/2 \) and \( 2/1 \), which additionally confirms that only single species of molybdenum and sulfur are present in the grown monolayers. The elemental ratio of the sulfur to molybdenum was found to be 1.84:1, which corresponds well to the expected ratio of 2:1 within the accuracy of our measurements. The overview spectrum in figure S5(a) reveals only the expected elements: molybdenum, sulfur, oxygen, silicon as well as a small amount of carbon. The latter is due to the airborne carbon contaminations typical for samples transferred at ambient conditions to the UHV system for XPS measurements (figure S5(b)).

For the growth of WS\(_2\) monolayer single crystals, WO\(_{2.9}\) (5 mg) mixed with NaCl (250 \( \mu \)g) was used as the source material as reported previously by Eda et al [25]. NaCl acts as a growth promoter which helps the quick evaporation of WO\(_{2.9}\) by forming a volatile tungsten oxychloride species, which reacts with incoming S to form WS\(_2\) crystals [25]. Typically, a substrate with approximate size of 1 cm \( \times \) 1 cm was used for the growth of WS\(_2\) crystals. The typical size distribution of the grown crystals are in the range of 5–70 \( \mu \)m. OM images of typical as grown WS\(_2\) crystals grown on SiO\(_2\)/Si and c-plane oriented sapphire substrates are presented in figures S6(a), (b) and S6(c), (d), respectively. It is worth noting that the yield and reproducibility of growth experiments were very low without employing NaCl as a growth promoter, possibly due to a low rate of evaporation of WO\(_{2.9}\). The possible chemical reaction resulting in the formation of WS\(_2\) monolayer crystals is given below [25]

\[
\text{WO}_{2.9} + \text{NaCl} \rightarrow \text{NaWO}_3 + \text{WOCl}_4 + \text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2 + \text{WO}_2 + \text{W},
\]

\[
\text{WOCl}_4 + \text{WO}_2\text{Cl}_2 + \text{S} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{WS}_2 + \text{HCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}.
\]

Similar to the grown MoS\(_2\) monolayers, we also analyzed the WS\(_2\) samples using XPS. Figure 3(b) shows the W4f spectrum demonstrating two different tungsten species with the BEs of W4f\(_{7/2}\) at 33.2 eV (blue) and 36.2 eV (light blue), respectively [25]. The main component at the BE of 33.2 eV is attributed to the monolayer of WS\(_2\) [25], whereas the second component is assigned to WO\(_{3-x}\) [39]. This assignment is confirmed further by...
presence of the O1s signal at a BE of 530.3 eV (see figure S7(b)). The intensity ratio of this O1s signal to the W4f$_{7/2}$ signal at 36.2 eV is $\sim 3.6:1$, which corresponds well to the stoichiometry of tungsten oxide. The S2p$_{3/2}$ signal shows only a single species with a BE of S2p$_{3/2}$ at 162.9 eV due to the formation of WS$_2$. An in detail analysis of the intensity ratios of the W4f$_{7/2}$ at 33.2 eV and S2p$_{3/2}$ at 162.9 eV signals as well as the spin–orbit coupling (see SI table S1 and S2) confirms that the samples consist of WS$_2$ monolayers with some precipitation of WO$_3^{-x}$ (see also AFM results below). The overview spectrum of the sample is shown in figure S7(a), which shows that besides the expected components also a small amount of carbon airborne contaminations (similar to MoS$_2$, see above) as well as sodium (growth promoter, see above) are detected.

The thickness and surface morphology of the grown crystals were investigated by contact mode AFM height and lateral force (LF) imaging. In figures 4(a) and (b) we present the contact mode AFM topography and LF images recorded on MoS$_2$ crystals, respectively. A height profile over the edge of a crystal is shown in figure 4(c). The apparent thickness and the rms roughness of the MoS$_2$ crystals are found to be 0.9 $\pm$ 0.2 nm and 0.2 $\pm$ 0.1 nm, respectively. In figures 4(d)–(f) we present the respective data for a WS$_2$ crystal. The thickness of the grown WS$_2$ crystals is found to be 1.1 $\pm$ 0.3 nm and the rms roughness has a value of 0.3 $\pm$ 0.1 nm. Some precipitates can be recognized in the AFM images of WS$_2$ monolayers, which we attribute based on the XPS data to the particles of WO$_3^{-x}$. Both the topography and the LF AFM studies demonstrate a very uniform and flat surface of the grown TMD crystals.

To evaluate the crystallinity and defect structure of the MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ layers on the level of the single atoms and at the same time over a wide field of view, we performed spherical and chromatic aberration-corrected low-voltage TEM and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) [26, 40]. We chose an electron acceleration voltage of 60 kV to reduce the effect of direct collision of the imaging electron with the atomic nucleus [41]. As can be seen from the HRTEM images presented in figure 5, both MoS$_2$ (a)–(c) and WS$_2$ (d)–(f) are single crystalline and only point defects were imaged. Figure 5(a) shows MoS$_2$ in an approximately 50 nm $\times$ 50 nm field of view and the
corresponding FFT (inverted) in the left lower inset clearly demonstrating the single-domain hexagonal crystal structure. The red square in (a) in magnified in (b). Here, in the lower left corner, S\(_2\) atoms are colored orange and Mo atoms turquoise. Sulfur point defects, with two missing S atoms above each other (S\(_2\)) are marked with white dashed circles; their presence allows unambiguously identifying the film’s monolayer nature. From the SAED pattern in (c), as representative for any position chosen on the TEM grid, demonstrates the overall specimen’s single-domain crystalline nature. Figures 5(d)–(f) shows very similar high structural quality for the case of the WS\(_2\) layers. The overview image in (d) shows that the WS\(_2\) monolayer covers a much larger area than the \(\sim 2 \mu m\) hole in the TEM grid. Furthermore, triangular-shaped holes in WS\(_2\) are seen. The dark features in the image originate from contamination residuals. The diffraction pattern in (e) obtained from the red square marked area in (d) shows again the single-domain crystalline nature of the material, confirmed by the atomically resolved HRTEM image in (f). It should be noticed that in the case of WS\(_2\) the sulfur point defects were seen occasionally only.

Complementary to AFM and HRTEM, we applied Raman spectroscopy to analyze the structural quality of the grown TMD monolayers. In figure 6(a) we show the Raman spectrum obtained from a MoS\(_2\) crystal on the growth substrate. The spectrum reveals the characteristic peak for a MoS\(_2\) monolayer, which are E\(_1\) at 384 cm\(^{-1}\) and A\(_{1}\)' at 405 cm\(^{-1}\) originating from the in-plane vibrations of Mo–S bonds (E\(_1\)-peak) and out-of-plane vibrations of S atoms (A\(_{1}\)-peak) [42–45], respectively. The notations E\(_1\) and A\(_{1}\)' is used for the monolayer TMDs as suggested by Terrones et al to differentiate from the Raman modes of bulk TMDs [44]. A difference between the peak positions (A\(_{1}\)' - E\(_1\)) is 21 cm\(^{-1}\) confirming that the MoS\(_2\) crystals are monolayers [38]. The FWHM of the E\(_1\)-peak is found to have a narrow value of 4.5 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating a good crystallinity of the grown MoS\(_2\) crystals without the presence of the amorphous phase [43]. A map of the E\(_1\)- and A\(_{1}\)-peak intensities recorded on MoS\(_2\) crystals are shown in figure 6(b) and figure S8 respectively. The Raman maps reveal a uniform intensity distribution in the entire area of grown crystals indicating a good crystallinity. In figure 6(c), we show the Raman spectrum obtained from a WS\(_2\) crystal on the growth substrate. The spectrum reveals the characteristic first-order and second-order peaks such as LA(M) at 176 cm\(^{-1}\) (longitudinal acoustic mode), E\(_1\) at 356 cm\(^{-1}\), A\(_{1}\)' at 419 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2LA(M) at 352 cm\(^{-1}\) [43, 44, 46]. The LA (M) and 2LA (M) peaks are originating from the longitudinal acoustic phonons, which are in-plane collective periodic compressions and expansions of atoms in the lattice. For monolayer WS\(_2\) crystals, the second order 2LA(M) peak shows a very strong intensity when using an excitation wavelength of 532 nm [43, 46]. The E\(_1\)- and A\(_{1}\)-peaks are originating from the in-plane vibrations of W–S bonds and out-of-plane vibration of S atoms in the WS\(_2\) lattice, respectively. A map of the 2LA(M) and A\(_{1}\)-peak intensities are shown in figure 6(d) and figure S9, respectively. Similar to MoS\(_2\), the Raman maps reveal a uniform intensity distribution in the entire area of the grown WS\(_2\) crystals indicating their uniform structural quality. In table S3 and S4, we present a statistical analysis of the Raman data obtained from the maps.
The optical quality of the grown TMD crystals was further analyzed using PL spectroscopy and imaging. A PL spectrum obtained on a MoS$_2$ crystal, figure 6(e), reveals the excitonic transition peaks corresponding to A-exciton at 681 nm, and B-exciton at 626 nm, which are in agreement with the literature data [47]. Similarly, a PL spectrum obtained on a WS$_2$ crystal, figure 6(g), displays the excitonic peak at 643 nm [9]. A smaller peak at 665 nm is seen as a shoulder of the A-exciton peak is due to trion excitation [48]. The PL maps obtained from both MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ crystals are presented in figures 6(f) and (h), respectively. The maps show a very uniform intensity of the PL emission throughout the crystals area demonstrating their good optical quality.

The electronic performance of the CVD grown TMD monolayers was tested by their implementation in FET devices. In figure 7(a) a typical transfer curve (the enhancement in drain current with applied gate voltage) of a MoS$_2$ FET is shown. From the transfer characteristics, we have estimated the field effect mobility using

$$\mu = \frac{dI_d}{dV_g} \left( \frac{L}{W C_{ox} V_d} \right),$$

where $L$ is the channel length, $W$ is the channel width, $C_{ox}$ is the capacitance of the 300 nm gate oxide and $V_d$ is the source–drain voltage. The device shows a mobility of $5.4 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and an on–off ratio in the order of $10^7$. The inset of figure 7(a) shows an OM image of MoS$_2$ devices. The average mobility value obtained from 8 devices is $4.0 \pm 1.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In figure 7(b), a typical transfer curve of a WS$_2$
Figure 6. Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy characterization of the MoS₂ and WS₂ monolayer crystals grown on SiO₂. 
(a) A Raman spectrum of MoS₂. (b) The intensity map of E' band of MoS₂. The map shows a uniform intensity distribution indicating a uniform structural quality of the crystal. An intensity map of the A'₁-band is presented in figure S8. (c) A Raman spectrum of WS₂. (d) An intensity map of the 2LA band shows a uniform intensity distribution and therefore a uniform structural quality. An intensity map of the A'₁-band of WS₂ is presented in figure S9. (e) PL emission spectrum recorded from a monolayer of MoS₂. (f) A PL intensity map of MoS₂ crystals showing a uniform emission. (g) A PL emission spectrum recorded from a monolayer WS₂.
FET is shown. The device shows a mobility of 0.4 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and an on–off ratio in the order of $10^7$. The average mobility value estimated from 8 devices is 0.2 ± 0.1. The mobility and on–off ratios are in the range of typical values reported for devices made of CVD grown samples on SiO$_2$/Si substrate [49–51] showing the applicability of the grown crystals for electronic and optoelectronic devices. Despite a lower density of the point defects in the grown WS$_2$ in comparison to MoS$_2$, as shown by HRTEM (see figure 5), the field effect mobility in WS$_2$ is lower than MoS$_2$ suggesting that this difference is due to the intrinsic electronic properties of both materials on SiO$_2$ substrate in back-gated device configuration. Furthermore, the mobility of TMD monolayer crystals greatly depends on the substrate and encapsulation, and therefore may be improved by, e.g. fabricating encapsulated devices in the top-gated configuration as shown by Duesberg et al [52].

Summary

We have demonstrated a modified approach for the CVD growth of MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ monolayers by employing Knudsen effusion cells for the sulfur precursor. Because of a better control over the flow rate of sulfur to the reaction zone, our approach results in a reproducible growth of large area and high density single crystals of the TMD monolayers. The size of the grown crystals is in the range of 10–200 μm, which is adjusted by a distance between the growth substrate and the transition metal source. Our complementary microscopic, spectroscopic, photonic and electrical transport characterization shows that the grown MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ monolayers possess high structural, chemical, electronic and optical quality enabling their implementation in electronic, photonic and optoelectronic devices. We expect that the use of Knudsen cells for solid-state precursors can significantly improve also the growth of other TMD monolayers such as WSe$_2$, MoSe$_2$, TaS$_2$, NbSe$_2$, etc.
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