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Abstract: The quality of water is crucial for the qualification of river beaches. The Cávado River watershed (Northern Portugal) contains five river beaches with a regular and specific mandatory monitoring. The main subject of this research is the evaluation of spatial and temporal water microbiological and physicochemical parameters to assess the water quality improvement and consequently watershed management. The results of monitoring surface water, considering microbiological parameters from the five river beaches (2015/19), and physicochemical parameters from three water points along the Cávado River (2018/19) were considered. The river beaches located upstream of the town of Braga has an “excellent” and “good” quality, while the river beach located downstream shows a lower water quality. The physicochemical water results indicated that there is a progressive degradation of water quality from upstream to downstream of the river, which is associated with the influence of domestic and industrial activities. To improve water quality, continuous monitoring will be necessary, with the implementation of adequate awareness-raising programs and strategic water quality management by the population and local agents.
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1. Introduction

Tourism development is extremely important for the economy of local and regional municipalities. Especially in the coastal areas and river environments, tourism is highly dependent on the bathing water quality (BWQ) and the management of bathing waters in the European Union (EU) that is currently legislated under the EU Bathing Water Directive (BWD) [1].

Portugal is especially recognized by recreational tourism, particularly bathing tourism [2]. In the 1990, different investments were made, including the implementation of wastewater treatment plants and domestic infrastructures, resulting in an improvement of watercourses quality [2,3]. Inland river beaches are an opportunity for bathing tourism [3,4].

Bathing waters are surface waters, whether inland, coastal, or transitional, where many people are expected to bathe and where bathing has not been permanently prohibited or advised against [5]. The management of water quality from river beaches is a crucial factor for public health, environmental quality, and tourism development [2,3,5].

The evaluation of water quality of recreational beaches is currently based on the abundance of Faecal Indicator Bacteria (FIB) [6]. Elevated levels of FIB indicate possible faecal contamination of the water [7]. *Escherichia coli* and *intestinal Enterococci* are used to evaluate the level of faecal contamination in surface waters [6].

Rivers are widely receiving waters for wastewater treatment plant effluents, the direct inflow of untreated wastewater, and diffuse pollution, and, consequently, the water is severely impaired and contaminated [8–12]. Intensive land use, agriculture and livestock, industrial activities, and urbanization are also sources of contamination and become a serious problem for the quality of bathing water located downstream [8,9,13–16]. Extreme rainfall events increase the level of faecal contamination, which, consequently, affects the
quality of bathing water [7,15,17]. Finding point and diffuse pollution sources of beaches and the measure of water quality is extremely important for ensuring that recreational beaches stay healthy and to prevent microbial contamination of these beaches [18,19].

The qualification of river beaches is defined by the Portuguese Environment Agency concerning reference values of physicochemical and microbiological parameters [5]. The bathing water is classified as “excellent”, “good”, “acceptable” and “poor”, according to the percentile value (95th for excellent and good, and 90th for acceptable and poor) for *Escherichia coli* and *intestinal Enterococci* obtained on the water in the last three bathing annual seasons. According to the percentile values for microbiological parameters, the water quality could be classified as: poor (*Escherichia coli* > 900 ufc/100 mL, *intestinal enterococci* > 330 ufc/100 mL); acceptable (*Escherichia coli* ≤ 900 ufc/100 mL, *intestinal enterococci* ≤ 330); good (*Escherichia coli* ≤ 1000 ufc/100 mL, *intestinal enterococci* ≤ 400 ufc/100 mL); and excellent (*Escherichia coli* ≤ 500 ufc/100 mL, *intestinal enterococci* ≤ 200 ufc/100 mL) [5]. During the bathing season, microbiological parameters must be monitored according to the parametric values of Portuguese legislation for Bathing Water (*Escherichia coli* = 1800 ufc/100 mL, *intestinal Enterococci* = 660 ufc/100 mL) [20,21].

The Bathing Water Quality (BWQ) requires a regular river water assessment before the beginning of the Portuguese bathing period (Spring season). The classification and qualification of the batching river water are supported by water monitorization in previous years. The Portuguese Intermunicipal Community of Cávado (CIM Cávado) promotes regular temporal and spatial campaigns to monitor surface water quality from Cávado River concerning the river beaches qualification [22].

The main subject of this research is the spatial and temporal water quality assessment from the river beaches included in the Cávado River watershed. There is no significant research available on the water quality from the watershed. This research is novel and will be crucial in allowing and recognizing possible contaminant sources and consequent definition of prevention and monitoring procedures.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cávado River Watershed

The Cávado River watershed is located in the north of Portugal (Figure 1) with an area of 1699 km² and a maximum length of 129 km, mainly orientated NE-SW, and flowing into the Atlantic Ocean. The Cávado River has two important affluents: Homem River and Rabagão River [23]. The watershed area covers 14 local municipalities, which six of them are included on the Intermunicipal Community of Cávado.

![Figure 1](image-url) (a) Geographical setting of the Cávado River watershed. (b) Cávado River watershed with principal affluents and municipalities.
The Cávado River watershed registered total annual precipitation of 900–4200 mm/year with an average of 1998 mm/year and a hypsometry of 0–1600 m [23], with a decrease from upstream to downstream values in the river course (Figure 2a).

The soil occupation is represented by 67% forest and seminatural areas, 26% agriculture, urbanization 5% and 1% water bodies (Figure 2b) [24]. Agricultural and urban areas, including industry, occur distributed on the banks of the Cávado River. The upstream area of the Cávado River watershed has lower population density and industrial activity, with different agricultural areas. In the central area of the watershed, the population density and industrial activity is higher than in the previous one. However, agricultural activity is predominant. The downstream area has high levels of urban and industrial density [25].

The occurrence of industry like the manufacture of metallic and non-metallic products, the manufacturing of machines and equipment, and the textile industry is higher in Braga and Barcelos’s municipalities [25]. There are two industrial parks in Braga, nearly the Cávado River, and Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP) in Vila Verde (Cávado-Homem Wastewater Treatment Plant) and Braga (Frossos Wastewater Treatment Plant). The WWTP of Amares has been worked in a lagoon system, considered to be an environmental concern due to the incapacity of the regional requirements and associated discharges to Cávado River. This WWTP was deactivated at the end of 2015, which influenced the water quality of the Cávado River [23].

The highest populated municipalities, Braga (182,299 inhabitants) and Barcelos (116,359 inhabitants), are located further downstream and near the banks of the Cávado River due to the urbanization and industry, which promote a concentration of anthropogenic pressure [26].
In the Cávado River watershed, there are five qualified river beaches, namely: Alqueirão (Terras de Bouro Municipality), Adaúfe, Cavadinco, Navarra (Braga Municipality), and Prado Faial (Vila Verde Municipality) (Figure 3).

2.2. Water Characterization

The microbiological parameters - *Escherichia coli* and intestinal *Enterococci* - obtained in the four months (June, July, August, and September), between 2015–2019 [27], were used to assess water quality on the five river beaches. A total of 298 values of microbiological parameters were collected from the site of the National Water Resources Information System [27]. For the statistics analysed, the maximum values obtained in each beach per month and year were considered (n = 20 per beach).

Three sampling points from Cávado River, located between the river beaches, were selected, and analysed twice in the hydrological year. The two sampling campaigns were collected in November 2018 (n = 3), corresponding to the rainy season, and April 2019 (n = 3), corresponding to the dry season (Figure 3). Temperature, pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC), total dissolved solids (TDS), and Eh were measured in situ using two multiparameter portable meters (HANNA Instruments Model HI 98129 and HI 98120). The six water samples were collected and stored in polyethylene bottles, which were correctly conditioned and transported to the laboratories. In the laboratory, the water samples were preserved to a temperature of 4 °C and filtered with a 0.45 μm Millipore filter. Fluoride, chloride, nitrite, bromide, nitrate, phosphate, and sulphate results on water samples were analyzed by ion chromatography with an ionic chromatography Metrohm 761 Compact IC, and alkalinity by automatic titration Orion—Model 950 with 0.01M HCl [28], in the University of Minho (Braga, Portugal). Cadmium, Cu, Cr, Fe, Mn, Zn, P, and K results on water samples were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS), As, Ba, and Li by ICP-MS, turbidity by turbidimetry, Mg, Ca, and bicarbonates by volumetry, and NH₄⁺ and Al by Molecular Absorption Spectrophotometry (MAS).
All these elements and parameters were obtained in a certified laboratory—Microchem Laboratory (Matosinhos, Portugal).

Microbiological results from the water river beaches were compared with Portuguese legislation for Bathing Water parametric values (Escherichia coli = 1800 ufc/100 mL; intestinal Enterococci = 660 ufc/100 mL) [20,21] while, for water chemical composition was used and the water quality parametric values from Portuguese legislation were used [29,30].

3. Results

The maximum values for microbiological parameters of water river beaches from the Câvado river watershed were presented in Figure 4. The water from Alqueirão river beach did not show a significant temporal variation, between 2015 to 2019, relatively to Escherichia coli (Figure 4a) and intestinal Enterococci microbiological parameters (15 ufc/100 mL–110 ufc/100 mL, Figure 4b). There is a decrease in Escherichia coli values from the water from Navarra river beach during 2019 (Figure 4e), while the maximum value of intestinal Enterococci is low, except in June 2017 (maximum value: 400 ufc/100 mL, Figure 4f), but without a significant variation.

The water from Adãafe and Cavadinho river beaches present the lowest values of Escherichia coli and intestinal Enterococci. However, there is an increase in the intestinal Enterococci maximum values in Adãafe river beach water in June 2016 (890 ufc/mL), July (1300 ufc/100 mL) and august 2017 (1400 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4h). The Escherichia coli maximum values occurred during July 2017 (4005 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4g). The water river beach from Cavadinho shows a maximum value of 820 ufc/100 mL for intestinal Enterococci in July 2018 (Figure 4d), while Escherichia coli maximum values range from 15 ufc/mL to 465 ufc/mL, during the last five years (Figure 4c).

The water from Prado Faial contains the highest Escherichia coli maximum values with a decrease between 2018 and 2019. However, in August 2019, a significant increase in the Escherichia coli parameter occurred (1884 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4i). Prado Faial presents the lowest values of intestinal Enterococci, ranging between 15 ufc/100 mL and 77 ufc/mL (Figure 4j).

The water chemical contents of Câvado River sampling points are presented in Table 1, while seasonal variations of water pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, Ca, Mg, NO$_3^-$, Cl$^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$ contents, and turbidity values are represented in Figures 5 and 6.

### Table 1. Results of the chemical analysis on water samples from Câvado River.

| Parameters        | A1 November 2018 | A1 April 2019 | A2 November 2018 | A2 April 2019 | A3 November 2018 | A3 April 2019 | Parametric Value [29,30] |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Al (µg/L)         | <200             | 55            | <200             | 83            | <200             | 103           | 200                      |
| Alkalinity $^1$ (mg/L) | 4.52            | 3.93          | 4.75             | 33.39         | 8.68             | 16.92         | -                        |
| Bicarbonates $^2$ (mg/L) | 7.32            | 6.59          | 8.05             | 6.83          | 23.7             | 21.0          | -                        |
| Br (mg/L)         | <0.01            | 0.017         | <0.01            | 0.121         | <0.01            | 0.07          | -                        |
| Cd (µg/L)         | <50              | 1.08          | <50              | 19.1          | <50              | 3.73          | 5                        |
| Cl (µg/L)         | <500             | <0.05         | <500             | <0.05         | <500             | 0.45          | 50                       |
| Fe (µg/L)         | <300             | 45            | <300             | 57.0          | <300             | 126           | 200                      |
| F$^-$ (mg/L)      | <0.01            | <0.01         | 0.082            | <0.01         | <0.01            | 0.043         | <0.01                    |
| PO$_4^{3-}$ (mg/L) | <0.03            | <0.03         | <0.03            | <0.03         | 0.356            | 0.126         | -                        |
| Li (µg/L)         | 0.0011           | <1.00         | 0.0012           | 1.1           | 0.0016           | 1.1           | 5.8                      |
| Mn (µg/L)         | <100             | <10           | <100             | 11.0          | <100             | 26.0          | 50                       |
| NH$_4^+$ (mg/L)   | <0.20            | <0.20         | <0.20            | <0.20         | 1.47             | 2.06          | 0.5                      |
| NO$_3^-$ (mg/L)   | 0.083            | 0.081         | 0.089            | <0.01         | 2.217            | <0.01         | 0.5                      |
| K (mg/L)          | 0.6              | <0.5          | 0.6              | 3.0           | 1.9              | 12            |                          |
| Na (mg/L)         | <5               | 2             | <5               | 2.0           | 6.0              | 200           |                          |

$^1$ mg/L CaCO$_3$; $^2$ mg/L HCO$_3^-$ Water samples references are the same as in Figure 3. Results of the chemical analysis on water samples higher than the parametric value are given in bold.
Figure 4. Cont.
Figure 4. Temporal variation of maximum values for microbiological parameters from water river beaches. The water from Adaúfe and Cavadinho river beaches present the lowest values of *Escherichia coli* and *intestinal Enterococci*. However, there is an increase in the *intestinal Enterococci* maximum values in Adaúfe river beach water in June 2016 (890 ufc/mL), July (1300 ufc/100 mL) and August 2017 (1400 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4h). The *Escherichia coli* maximum values occurred during July 2017 (4005 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4g). The water river beach from Cavadinho shows a maximum value of 820 ufc/100 mL for *intestinal Enterococci* in July 2018 (Figure 4d), while *Escherichia coli* maximum values range from 15 ufc/mL to 465 ufc/mL, during the last five years (Figure 4c).

The water from Prado Faial contains the highest *Escherichia coli* maximum values with a decrease between 2018 and 2019. However, in August 2019, a significant increase in the *Escherichia coli* parameter occurred (1884 ufc/100 mL) (Figure 4i). Prado Faial presents the lowest values of *intestinal Enterococci*, ranging between 15 ufc/100 mL and 77 ufc/mL (Figure 4j).

The water chemical contents of Cávado River sampling points are presented in Table 1, while seasonal variations of water pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, Ca, Mg, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, and turbidity values are represented in Figures 5 and 6.

Table 1. Results of the chemical analysis on water samples from Cávado River.

| Parameters            | A1 November 2018 | A1 April 2019 | A2 November 2018 | A2 April 2019 | A3 November 2018 | A3 April 2019 |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Al (µg/L)             | <200             | 55            | <200             | 83            | <200             | 103           |
| Alkalinity 1 (mg/L)   | 4.52             | 3.93          | 4.75             | 33.39         | 8.68             | 16.92         |
| Bicarbonates 2 (mg/L) | 7.32             | 6.59          | 8.05             | 6.83          | 23.7             | 21.0          |
| Br (mg/L)             | <0.01            | 0.017         | <0.01            | 0.121         | <0.01            | 0.07          |
| Cd (µg/L)             | <50              | 1.08          | <50              | 19.1          | <50              | 3.73          |
| Cr (µg/L)             | <500             | <0.05         | <500             | <0.05         | <500             | 0.45          |
| Fe (µg/L)             | <300             | 45            | <300             | 57.0          | <300             | 126           |
| F⁻ (mg/L)             | <0.01            | <0.01         | 0.082            | <0.01         | 0.043            | <0.01         |
| PO₄³⁻ (mg/L)          | <0.03            | <0.03         | <0.03            | <0.03         | 0.356            | 0.126         |
| Li (µg/L)             | 0.0011           | <1.00         | 0.0012           | 1.1           | 0.0016           | 1.1           |
| Mn (µg/L)             | <100             | <10           | <100             | 11.0          | <100             | 26.0          |
| NH₄⁺ (mg/L)           | <0.20            | <0.20         | <0.20            | <0.20         | 1.47             | 2.06          |
| NO₂⁻ (mg/L)           | 0.083            | 0.081         | 0.089            | <0.01         | 2.217            | <0.01         |
| K (mg/L)              | 0.6              | <0.5          | 0.6              | 0.6           | 3.0              | 1.9           |
| Na (mg/L)             | <5               | 2             | <5               | 2.0           | 14               | 6.0           |
| CaCO₃ 1 mg/L; HCO₃⁻ 2 mg/L. Water samples references are the same as in Figure 3. Results of the chemical analysis on water samples higher than the parametric value are given in bold.

Figure 5. Water seasonal variation from the Cávado River. Water samples reference the same as in Figure 3.
Figure 6. Physicochemical parameters of the water from the Cávado River. Water samples reference the same as in Figure 3.

The water pH and temperature values did not show a regular spatial and temporal variation. The water for the sampling point A3 presents the highest EC and total dissolved solids values in both campaigns (Figure 5). The water Eh ranges between 126mV to 185mV without a significant variation.
The sampling point A2 shows the highest value of alkalinity (33 mg/L), Ca (10.2 mg/L), Mg (17.7 mg/L), Br (0.121 mg/L), F⁻ (0.082 mg/L) and Cd (19.1 µg/L) (Figure 6 and Table 1). Otherwise, the water from A3 sampling point contains higher Al (103 µg/L), NH₄⁺ (2.06 mg/L), Fe (126 µg/L), PO₄³⁻ (0.356 mg/L), K (3 mg/L), Na (14 mg/L), Cr (0.45 µg/L), Li (1.1 µg/L), NO₂⁻ (2.217 mg/L), bicarbonates (23 mg/L), and turbidity (4.76 NTU) values than the other water sampling points (Figure 6 and Table 1). The water from sampling points A2 and A3 are contaminated in Cd and turbidity, and in NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and turbidity, respectively.

4. Discussion

The water from river beaches located upstream of the town of Braga—Alqueirão, Cavadinho, Navarra, and Adaúfe—shows lower microbiological parameter values, indicating that the water has a “good quality”. However, the maximum water microbiological contents are higher than the corresponding parametric value in the water of river beach from Adaúfe (June 2016, July and August 2017), and in the water of river beach from Cavadinho (July 2018). The water contamination could be related to effluent discharges or to agricultural activities located around the area, which caused faecal contamination.

The occurrence of extreme rainfalls could also be a cause of contamination because of runoff transport microorganisms [15,21,31]. The water from the river beach of Prado Faial registered the highest *Escherichia coli* values in the last five years, which could be explained by the proximity of Homem River, a tributary to Câvado River, and associated agricultural areas and non-regular water discharges [31]. The beach of Prado Faial is also influenced by the wastewater treatment plants of Braga (Figure 3).

However, during 2018, a water quality improvement was registered, and, consequently, the river beach from Prado Faial obtained the classification of “good quality” [25]. In August 2019, there is a punctual increase in *Escherichia coli* content. The water from river beaches located upstream of the Prado Faial river beach had a better water quality.

The values of physicochemical parameters were not enough to make conclusions about temporal water quality in the Câvado River. However, the results showed that there are some places in the river with different values. The water from sampling point A1 has an excellent quality, justified by the reduced urban and industrial associated pressure. The water from sampling points A2 and A3 are contaminated relatively to some parameters. The water from sampling point A2 is contaminated in Cd and has a high turbidity value, which could be associated with the occurrence of accidental discharges, representing local contamination episodes. This water sampling point also registers the highest calcium, magnesium, fluoride, bromide, and alkalinity values. However, generally, the results of the chemical analysis on water sampling from point A3 are higher than the results on water from sampling point A2.

Some water parameter values show a progressive increase from water sampling point A1 to A3. Water chromium, phosphate, and ammonium contents were only detected in water sampling point A3 and the values of turbidity, ammonium, and nitrates contents are higher than the corresponding legislated parametric values. The occurrence of industry is higher in Braga and Barcelos municipalities. One of the two industrial parks in Braga (Industrial park of Padim da Graça) is near the water sampling point A3 [23,31]. The increase in the results of the chemical analysis on water is associated with the presence of industrial and agricultural activities in the area [23,31] and/or the occurrence of possible accidental discharges.

5. Conclusions

The main conclusions relative to the water quality management of the river beaches from the Câvado River watershed considers that:

1. Generally, the river beach water quality has been significantly improved over the last five years, mainly justified by the deactivation of Amares Wastewater Treat-
ement Plants, considered as one of the main sources of contamination in the Câvado River [31].
2. The river beach from Alqueirão, Cavadinho, Navarra and Adaúfe obtained the classification of “good and excellent” water quality, in 2019.
3. Water quality of river beaches is influenced by land use and water management within the watershed.
4. The water quality of the Câvado River shows a gradual deterioration of the flow river from upstream to downstream mainly due to population agglomerations, especially in Braga municipality as well as industrial and agricultural activities.
5. The improvement of water river quality will allow the potential to recognize other inland beaches and a local/regional increase in tourism development. For mitigation, the following methods are proposed.
   • Implementation of water quality monitoring of River Câvado and its main affluents, using physicochemical and microbiological parameters in the regular and continuous period.
   • Recognition of possible contamination sources nearly from river beaches of River Câvado.
   • Development and application of predictive models to provide faster information about microbiological contamination in inland beaches [18,32].
   • Development of awareness-raising programs for the population to promote sensibilization about water sustainability, and for the local agents to promote the use of better practices in the management of Wastewater Treatment Plants infrastructures and the minimization of the use of pesticides and herbicides in agriculture.
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