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Abstract

A summary critique is presented of the topics discussed at a workshop entitled “Probing the Physics of Active Galactic Nuclei by Multi-wavelength Monitoring” held at Goddard Space Flight Center 21-23 June 2000. Particular emphasis is placed on the larger astronomical context of research on active galactic nuclei. An important goal is to use multi-wavelength monitoring to produce “engineering drawings” of how gas flows in the vicinity of a black hole, as well as in the associated accretion disk inflows and jet outflows. Some of the clear choices that have to be made before formulating a model of a particular class of source are outlined. Future observational possibilities are briefly summarized.

1 Introduction

There is an analogy, which is sometimes drawn, between the unfolding of our understanding of the structure of atoms and of galaxies. In 1909, Geiger & Marsden, working under Rutherford’s direction, discovered that atoms contain compact, positively charged nuclei occupying $\sim 10^{-15}$ of their volume. The path through Bohr theory, non-relativistic quantum mechanics, spin, the exclusion principle, relativistic quantum mechanics and quantum electrodynamics is well-trodden by physics and astronomy students. In less than two decades physicists had assembled an elegant and precise set of rules for
describing atoms that has only changed by quantitatively tiny (though still immensely important) corrections. One year prior to the work of Geiger & Marsden, Edward Fath, then working at the Lick observatory, obtained the first evidence that galaxies too contain compact nuclei, in this case, as we now know, occupying $\sim 10^{-30}$ of their volume. However, it took astronomers over fifty years to associate these nuclei with black holes and another twenty years to assemble the evidence that convinced doubters that black holes were generically present in most normal galaxies.

My reason for bringing this up is to take issue with the title. The understanding of atoms required new and fundamental physical principles. It is probably the case that no such principles are needed to describe AGN. The main ingredients, Newtonian physics, electromagnetic theory, atomic astrophysics, hydrodynamics, radiative transfer, QED etc are so well tested that it is hard to imagine that AGN observations could cause any of them to be cast into doubt. Even general relativity, although only tested in the weak field regime, is so strongly ensconced in our discipline that the Kerr metric will continue to be used to set the stage for black hole astrophysics unless some truly compelling, quantitative discrepancy is reported. The one subject where we really are quite ignorant of many relevant, fundamental principles is magnetohydrodynamics, but this is probably not what the organisers had in mind! (I would comment that, with the advent of high dynamical range 3D MHD simulations and with the availability of superb observations of the solar corona and terrestrial magnetosphere, the prospect for understand how magnetic reconnection, strong hydromagnetic shocks and so on actually operate are pretty good and AGN studies ought to be the grateful beneficiary.)

In fact, I really think what we need to be discussing is the engineering of AGN. Quasars, Seyfert galaxies and so on are sleek machines. They take gaseous fuel, organize it into a disk and, when conditions are right, convert its mass into radiant energy and its exhaust into jets with an efficiency that would win accolades in the automotive industry. However, despite the growth in our observational understanding over the past decade, that has made AGN studies much more quantitative, we still have not had a good look “under the hood”. We really do not have a common, agreed description of how AGN function – what roles are played by the hole, the disk, the stars and so on and how the different elements, emission line regions, narrow line regions, jets, winds, disk coronae are geometrically arranged. This is where the techniques that have been discussed over the past three days come
What they promise is to answer some of these outstanding questions by direct measurement.

What I have been asked to do is to provide a critique of the field as it has been described as opposed to a traditional conference summary. I have tried to be provocative and raise, in advance, some of the questions that will be asked of the large observing and mission proposals on which the future of this field depends. I hope I can be excused preparing a bibliography, referring instead by name to the accompanying articles where contemporary references can be found.

2 The Bigger Picture

Most of this meeting has been concerned with attempts to understand AGN variability in the optical and X-ray emission lines and in relativistic jets (Peterson). In practice, these are three rather distinct research communities, at least observationally and I am not sure how much they have to learn from each other in terms of technique. Where there is much common ground, and where the discussion has been relatively parochial, is in understanding how AGN engineering fits into a much larger astronomical enterprise. I believe that the context under which AGN are studied is changing quite rapidly in several different ways.

2.1 Galaxy Formation

This is an exciting time in the study of galaxy formation. Although theory plays a crucial role here, this is fundamentally an empirical business. HST and 10m class telescopes, used in conjunction, are enabling detailed observations of galaxies that were formed when the universe was less than three billion years old and finding galaxies out to $z > 5$. Quasar observers, not to be outdone, are matching them in redshift. This is significant. As far as we can tell, the formation of a central nuclear black hole is an essential concomitant of galaxy formation. There is a growing acceptance of the notion that active nuclei could have provided a crucial feedback that limited the growth of galaxies, long before most of their stars were born. We do not know if galaxies form from the inside out or the outside in. Furthermore, as I have speculated elsewhere, it is possible that massive black holes make
elliptical galaxies (through preventing disk formation) rather than the other way around as is generally supposed. Clearly, such speculations cannot be addressed until we have a better understanding of how black holes grow and this will require being able to mass them at large redshift from their spectra and luminosities. This, in turn, requires that we understand how they work.

To be more specific, most effort has been concentrated on studying local AGN, where our diagnostics are the best and where some promising correlations are emerging between the mass of the hole and the properties of the surrounding galaxy [Wandel]. What we really need to do now is to focus on some attainable goals like measuring the mass accretion rate (in units of the Eddington rate), the spin angular frequency of the hole (in units of the inverse mass) and relating these to the character of the immediate environment (both stellar and gaseous). We then need to understand the associated, radiative efficiencies and the strength and type of outflow. If techniques like reverberation mapping can supply the rules for measuring these quantities [Wandel, Netzer], then we would be in a very good position to apply them to distant galaxies, where we cannot make dynamical measurements of the hole mass. This allows us to undertake quantitative, demographic studies of young galaxies and their nuclei to replace the guesswork with which we have to make do at the moment.

2.2 Genesis of Black Holes

Just as it has proven far more difficult to explain how stars form as opposed to how they function, so we must expect discussions of the origin of black holes to be relatively speculative. Are black holes formed on a dynamical timescale with masses larger than \(\sim 10^6 \, M_\odot\) or do they grow critically from much smaller masses \textit{in situ}? Alternatively, are they formed as an end product of intergalactic “Population III” stars at even earlier epochs? Again, understanding the rules which determine how fast black holes grow as a function of their mass and mass supply and environment will be necessary to rule out false accounts.

2.3 Ionization of the Intergalactic Medium

A crucial question is “When and how was the intergalactic medium ionized?” The answer almost surely involves both hot young stars and quasars, but it is
proving hard to be quantitative. The particular importance of quasars is that they produce hard, penetrating photons. In order to test this quantitatively, we need to know how to interpret the observations of high redshift quasars, take out the beaming effects and estimate their average ionizing flux, when we cannot determine this directly on account of the very absorption that we are trying to explain.

2.4 Scale-Free Accretion

This raises the question of the scaling of black hole properties with mass; in particular the comparison of stellar mass black hole accretors with massive black holes in AGN. On the one hand, the dynamics and two body processes associated with optically thin accretion are essentially scale free (Quataert, Narayan). However, what is not scale-free is the effective temperature of the radiation when it is absorbed and re-radiated. We do not really have a good understanding of how much this influences the dynamics of accretion. Studying matched Galactic and AGN systems (Livio) should be very helpful. In addition, if there is a large population of intermediate mass black holes as suggested by recent Chandra observations then we shall have another important point of comparison.

2.5 The Advantage of Monitoring X-ray Binaries

This leads us naturally to the question raised by a couple of speakers. “Why put so much effort into monitoring AGN when you can get so much better sampling of Galactic black hole transients?” In the most naive interpretation, all timescales should scale with mass and a year in the life of a quasar is lived in a second by an X-ray binary. Isn’t this the best way to find out how AGN work? The RXTE observations of GRS 1915+105 provide graphic illustration of the quality of the data that can be procured in a short time.

The best answer that I can give to this question, and the best defense of a major effort in AGN monitoring is that, despite the appeal of the scaling laws, X-ray binaries and AGN exhibit important differences. The former do not exhibit ultrarelativistic jets or prominent broad emission (or absorption) lines. In addition, X-ray binaries do not, as yet, display broad iron lines in the X-ray part of the spectrum. Making the inverse comparison, we haven’t
really found a massive counterpart to SS433. The environments are also quite different - a single, binary companion versus a star cluster.

Comparison of the two types of black hole will probably turn out to be pretty important as we sort out the details.

2.6 $\gamma$-ray Bursts

Another under-represented point of comparison is $\gamma$-ray bursts. After thirty years hard work, radio astronomers have assiduously built up a picture of relativistic jets and tentatively pushed up the Lorentz factors to 15. More recently, the gamma ray burst community has recapitulated much of the history of the study of compact radio sources and added several new ingredients, the most important of which is the deduction that the Lorentz factors initially exceed several hundred. They too have found some evidence that bursts may be beamed. To me, the similarities between these two classes of object are more impressive than the differences. The mysteries of putative $\gamma$-ray jets provide a larger justification for the study of their counterparts in AGN.

2.7 The Intergalactic Infrared Background

My last example of the wider importance of AGN monitoring concerns TeV observations of blazars. As is well known TeV $\gamma$-rays are susceptible to absorption by infrared photons with the production of electron-positron pairs. As such, they provide a splendid probe of intergalactic infrared emission and, by extension the rate of star formation in the Universe. Observations with decreasing energy can probe to greater redshifts. However, to make these measurements, usefully quantitative, we have to understand what is happening in the sources.

3 How does the Gas Flow, Blow and Glow?

As a pragmatic matter, it is sensible to accept “old” physics uncritically and just focus on trying to understand the dynamics of the various AGN components - disks, jets, clouds and so on. Impressive progress was reported here.
3.1 Round the Clock Surveillance

It requires very little thought to write a proposal to monitor the flux from a set of variable sources with the hope that something useful will be learned as a consequence. Even if this is ever a sound strategy, it is certainly not acceptable for AGN where we know far too much to be excused from devising an optimal strategy for using the considerable amounts of telescope time that are needed. Sampling is crucial. Early variability studies, based on overestimates of the size of the emission line region, were often seriously undersampled. (Some contemporary studies, despite heroic efforts, remain so [Balonek].) In addition, it is necessary to observe for long enough that the characteristic variation and light crossing timescales are well covered. It was therefore a welcome surprise that a study of quasars [Kaspi] should have yielded such promising results. The far more analytic approach, advocated here by Horne, Peterson and others, will surely be necessary to justify a large space mission.

In a quite different approach, recent ideas in describing self-organized criticality were applied to AGN light curves (Mineshige, Kawaguchi). From a physics perspective, these ideas are very attractive, but as an astronomer, I personally hope that their application here turns out to be unsuccessful because if they are right, they give us little hope for using variability for understanding how black holes accrete.

3.2 Microvariability

We heard impressive reports of high precision photometry at the 0.05" level [Miller, Ferrara]. This is apparently detectable in 85 percent of the radio-loud quasars but a smaller fraction of their radio-quiet counterparts. There seem to be associated color changes. Although several models involving disks and outflows, were discussed, it will be quite hard to decide between them unless the variation can be tied to similar changes in other parts of the electromagnetic spectrum.

3.3 In, Out, Round and About

The first task in reverberation mapping is surely kinematic - to determine the state of motion of the emission line gas. Four general types of flow have
generally been distinguished and advocated.

- We may be witnessing gas as it flows onto the hole [Welsh]. This is surely the most natural expectation.

- Most of the illuminated gas may be in a wind, which is surely present in the case of the broad absorption line quasars [Everett].

- The emission line clouds may be part of the disk. However, this can be quite complicated. The disk may be shadowed by an inner torus or warped so that illumination can be quite irregular [Shields].

- The motion may be so chaotic that it is most useful to describe as locally isotropic at all points around the continuum source [Fromerth, Collier].

Distinguishing these four generic possibilities unambiguously has not, been easy, although considerable progress has been reported here. I think it safe to conclude that the accretion flow is not simple!

3.4 Some Systematics

Enough AGN have been monitored that patterns are starting to emerge. Some of these were expected; others are more of a surprise [Alexander, Sun, Mathur, Korista, de Salamanca].

- Certain optical emission lines are proving to exhibit far stronger echo responses than others. There does not appear to be a very good understanding of why this is so.

- The ionization parameters that are measured, particularly in objects like NGC 5548, turned out to be nearly an order of magnitude larger than anticipated [Netzer]. This makes life more interesting for the observer.

- There is clear evidence for ionization stratification with the higher ionization states being located closer to the continuum source. This is even reported in the UV with the OVI being co-spatial with the HeII [Kollatschny].

- The ionization parameter seems to decrease with increasing luminosity.
3.5 Narrow Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies

There has been much attention paid lately and at this meeting to the so-called Narrow Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies [Leighly, Turner, Edelson]. In addition to narrow emission lines these also exhibit warm absorbers with high ionization states and steep X-ray spectra. It is tempting to suggest that these may be the low luminosity counterparts of broad absorption line quasars.

3.6 Dynamics of the Emission Line Gas

Frequently this gas is characterized as being in the form of tiny clouds moving hypersonically ($M > 1000$) through a dense, confining medium. This is absurd. Nonetheless this model provides a fair representation of the observed emission line strengths. From a dynamical perspective, it makes much more sense to regard the gas as part of a flow, possibly driven by radiative or magnetic stresses (Bottorff). The challenge is to reconcile the radiative description with the dynamical.

3.7 The Inner Disk

One of the most impressive astronomical results over the past few years was the measurement of broadened iron lines from the several low power Seyfert galaxies and LINERs [Nandra, Reynolds]. These have been interpreted as arising through fluorescence from thin accretion disk photospheres illuminated by an X-ray continuum source at high latitude. Alternative explanations have been patiently explored, but seem generally unconvincing, although the influence of Compton scattering may yet turn out to be unimportant. This is all fitting in well with an encouragingly ordered view of X-ray emission from this type of AGN. Specifically, this model requires there to be an extended, active corona maintained at a temperature $\sim 100$ keV, presumably by magnetic activity derived from the underlying accretion disk. The hot electrons scatter soft photons from the disk producing a power law X-ray spectrum, half of which irradiates the disk producing the characteristic reflection spectrum as well as the iron lines. This X-ray emission exhibits fast and slow variation. It is tempting to attribute the former to magnetic activity and the latter to variations in the soft photon flux. If this model is correct, then the observed X-ray spectrum ought to turn over above $\sim 100$ keV as
now appears to be the case.

There were some serious concerns raised here about the reliability of the observed line profiles and their apparent lack of variation (Nandra, Reynolds, Edelson). However if we look on the positive side then these observations have opened the door to a much richer spectroscopy of accretion disk surfaces and to reverberation mapping which offers the promise of filling in many of the details of gas flow around black holes.

3.8 The Outer Disk

There were also encouraging reports of patterns in the behavior of gas in the outer disk with variability timescales varying with wavelength $\propto \lambda^{4/3}$ (Peterson) as well as slower variation attributable to disk dynamics [Maoz, McHardy]. Fairall 9 and Mrk 744 exhibit infrared reverberation presumably associated with hot dust located decently outside the sublimation radius [Nelson,Oknyanski]. Probing the radial variation of the dust temperature is becoming increasingly promising.

3.9 What Happens to Binding Energy?

Narayan and Quataert presented two very clear summaries of the prodigious number of papers written on ADAF models of slow accretion onto black holes. I cannot pass up the opportunity to present, once gain, a contrary view. The simple ADAF models are predicated on three dynamical assumptions, that gas cannot radiate, that the flow is mass-conservative and that the flow is stationary. On this basis it is concluded that the gas supplied crosses the event horizon advecting the released binding energy with it. The first hypothesis depends upon microphysical considerations that have always been a bit problematic but it has certainly become more plausible recently that it is correct and is definitely worth entertaining. The second hypothesis is the one with which I have the greatest difficulty. Put simply, when gas accretes rotationally onto a black hole, there must either be a torque that transports angular momentum out through the disk or one that carries it up to the disk surface and off in a hydromagnetic wind. In the former case there is an unavoidable transport of energy out through the disk from small radius to large radius. (It is this effect that is responsible for accreting gas in a radiative disk emitting three times the binding energy that it releases.) The
transported energy must go somewhere and if the disk cannot radiate, the energy must be carried off in a wind. I do not believe that it is possible for it all to cross the event horizon. Under most circumstances, we expect that there is a powerful wind although this is not necessary. The energy may be extracted by magnetic torques. Either way, the flow does not look like the original ADAF proposal.

To go beyond these general principles requires understanding the microphysical details. One longstanding possibility, borne out by recent numerical simulation, is that the flow becomes convective and establishes a net mass inflow that increases with radius. However, this does not solve the problem. Most of the mass supplied must still be lost. The differences are not small. In the case of the Galactic center, we might estimate that the rate of gas supply is $\sim 10^{22} \text{ g s}^{-1}$. In the ADAF model, almost all of this goes down the hole with a radiative efficiency $\sim 10^{-7}$. By contrast, if there is a wind, simple mass loss models suggest that the rate of gas accretion is only $\sim 10^{18} \text{ g s}^{-1}$ - one proton out of ten thousand altruistically sacrifices itself to enable the remainder to escape - and the radiative efficiency is $\sim 10^{-3}$.

An alternative possibility is that the third assumption is incorrect and the flow is cyclical, building up a reservoir until the gas can accrete radiatively. If this is happening, then we should see evidence for past radiative phases, a possibility that can be dismissed in the case of the Galactic center.

There is an additional concern. The basic ADAF models suppose that the electrons maintain a Maxwellian distribution function with the minimum temperature permitted by by Coulomb interactions. However, we are probably dealing here with a trans-relativistic, trans-sonic, trans-Alfvénic rapidly shearing, hydromagnetic flow. Whenever we observe mildly shearing hydromagnetic flows in the heliosphere, they are accompanied by particle acceleration. In the case of accretion onto a black hole, a comparatively small admixture of MeV electrons can boost the radiative efficiency by orders of magnitude. Furthermore, in the case of the Galactic center, the X-ray spectrum changes from flat (free-free) to steep (nonthermal). Another way of expressing this puzzle is to observe that then the assumption that the energy dissipated is channelled into the ions, is in stark contrast with the assumption made in discussing X-ray emitting coronae where we require that electrons are efficiently heated. It is possible that the character of the dissipation depends upon the $\beta$ of the plasma, being low in a corona and high in a disk. This deserves further study.
4 Jet Lag

4.1 The Diversity of Jets

This meeting has also been concerned with the behavior of jets. We still lack a comprehensive and generally accepted theory of their formation, collimation and radiative properties. What is increasingly apparent is that bipolar outflow is a very common accompaniment of accretion (Livio). Indeed, it may even be necessary to carry off surplus angular momentum. Having said this, I am somewhat mistrustful of assertions that one mechanism will fit all jets. This is not the case for stars, for supernovae, or for X-ray or γ-ray bursts, although all mechanisms have to satisfy fundamental conservation laws for mass, momentum, angular momentum and, as I have just emphasized, energy. Blazar etc jets are ultrarelativistic, Seyfert jets are not yet both originate from accretion disks around black holes. Some outflows escape from radiation-dominated environments where the magnetic stresses might be comparatively weak; others probably emerge from hot, optically thin plasmas which may be strongly magnetized. The former may well be poorly collimated and subrelativistic, like the Seyfert outflows and perhaps some of the Galactic sources. The latter may be the ultrarelativistic “superluminal sources” and subject to quite different dynamical rules.

4.2 X-ray Jets

Chandra, with its arcsecond angular resolution is proving to be a boon to this subject. The first X-ray jets to be reported, Cen A, 3C 273 and 3C371 etc, are surprisingly intense and well-collimated. They show X-ray all along their lengths, strongly suggesting that particle acceleration can proceed efficiently without relying on occasional strong shocks.

Even more surprising are the jets that we did not expect to find, specifically those associated with the Crab and Vela pulsars. These are presumably formed without the assistance of accretion disks. Perhaps the common element is not a disk, but a spinning source of organized, poloidal field.
4.3 Blazars

Although high frequency VLBI can probe the jets in a few selected sources, like M87 down to size scales $\sim 100m$, most blazars cannot be so well-probed, especially after de-project. We must therefore rely on indirect methods including, especially, multi-wavelength monitoring to understand their structure. It is to be hoped that these will ultimately lead to a correct identification of the source of the high frequency emission.

We have learned a lot observationally [Sambruna, Marscher, Steinle, Marchenko-Jorstad]. We are mostly confident that these source are embodiments of the traditional “inverse Compton catastrophe” within a relativistic outflow. A broad low frequency hump is apparent associated with synchrotron radiation, at high frequencies, there is a larger, more luminous hump, peaking in the $\gamma$-rays. There are several proposals as to how these humps are produced. The first question is the origin of the soft photons that are scattered.

The traditional answer is that they are the synchrotron photons from the low frequency hump, presumably emitted at the same radius in the jet outflow as the $\gamma$-rays with both components being Doppler-beamed by the relativistic outflow. However, an alternative possibility is that the soft photons have an external origin. Specifically, we expect that the black hole will have a luminous accretion disk and that a fraction, perhaps ten percent, of these photons will be scattered by the outer disk, emission line clouds or the general intercloud medium at the emission radius. As the inverse Compton power of an ultrarelativistic electron of energy $\gamma m_e c^2$, measured in the blazar frame, is $\propto \gamma^2 <(1-\cos \theta)^2>$, where $\theta$ is the angle between the direction of the electron motion and the photons. There is clearly a radiative advantage in external scattering models. Correlating the variability in the synchrotron bands is probably the best way to decide between these two general models. We will probably have to wait for GLAST to be sure, (although I think that current indications favor the self-Compton model, in most cases).

This is not the only issue. We also need to understand where and how the relativistic electrons are accelerated. There is good circumstantial evidence, and even stronger theoretical expectation that the jet power derives from close to the black hole. If so, we must reject what is perhaps the most natural possibility – that it be released as a pair plasma. This is because the radiative (and momentum) losses close to the hole are inevitably catastrophic and the outflows could never maintain the ultrarelativistic speeds.
that are directly observed by radio astronomers at much greater radii, where there is no objection to the plasma being pair-dominated and where there is some indication that it actually does have this character. There must be an alternative carrier of momentum close to the black hole. The two candidates are relativistic protons and Poynting flux. (In the case of relativistic protons, though, it is hard to see how tightly collimated beams could be formed without strong magnetic field being present and magnetic energy transport being at least competitive with particle transport.) If the energy flux is electromagnetic from the start, organized, rotational kinetic energy is tapped by electromagnetic field and transported to large distance where it is used up in electron (and positron) acceleration. This is what happens in the case of pulsars and, arguably, $\gamma$-ray bursts.

It is not clear if all this conversion of Poynting flux to particle energy happens at a single location – the one zone model – or if the dissipation occurs continuously along the jet. What is clear is that the highest energy $\gamma$-rays must be created outside an energy-dependent “gammasphere” where the optical depth to pair production on the ambient soft UV/X-ray background falls to unity. This suggested the possibility that the emission always originates from the gammasphere. On this basis, it was predicted that the higher energy $\gamma$-ray emission would vary more slowly than lower energy emission and might exhibit lags, in contrast to what is expected from the one zone model. (One problem with this explanation is that the cooling pairs, both primary and secondary, will emit more X-rays than are observed.) There is as yet not much evidence for these effects, but again, the data are sparse. Sorting all of this out is an excellent goal for future multi-wavelength monitoring.

Another key question is how to model the outbursts. Are they best described as essentially stationary jets perturbed by traveling shock fronts that increase the emissivity, or should we think of them more as independent explosions occurring in a previously evacuated channel? Tracing circuits in the $S - \alpha$ plane looks like a particularly useful way to address the geometrical relationships indirectly [Sambruna, Marscher]. The difference is not just important dynamically but also affects the radiative properties.

### 4.4 Jet Velocity

What is the jet velocity? The reason why this is so crucial is that relativistic beaming is such a powerful amplifier. The volume emissivity of a stationary
flow is $\propto D^{2+\alpha}$, where $D$ is the Doppler factor and $\alpha$ is the spectral index. (In computing the flux from a moving component, an extra power of $\text{cal}D$ must be included.) This means that relatively insignificant parts of the source that are directed towards us may dominate the observed intensity.

Now it is quite unlikely that the jet is characterized by a single speed. There are good reasons for it to accelerate and decelerate along its length and even more reasons for it to establish a transverse velocity profile. Furthermore, the parts that we see are probably shock waves and this introduces additional kinematic complexity. In particular, the direction and the speed of the emitting plasma behind a shock front must differ from the kinematic speed of the shock. Higher angular resolution VLBI observations will be necessary to sort all this out in individual sources.

Most models have assumed, adopted or argued for jet Lorentz factors $\gamma \sim 10$. However the observation of intraday variability, and especially the direct observations of the source sizes are suggesting that the Lorentz factors could be much larger. (If we can measure the source size, then we have a direct determination of the brightness temperature which is boosted by a factor $2\gamma$ from its value in the comoving frame which, in turn, cannot be much larger than the traditional inverse Compton value of $\sim 10^{12}$ K; hence the minimum Lorentz factor.) Now, it might be thought that the solution is just to keep increasing the Lorentz factor. After all values of $\gamma \sim 300$ are routinely invoked in $\gamma$-ray burst models. However, this causes a problem with blazars because when the Lorentz factor becomes too large, typically larger than $\sim 30$, the radiative efficiency becomes unreasonably small. The observations have not yet driven us to this conclusion, but they may soon do so. If so, the best outcome may be that we should abandon synchrotron radiation and turn to some coherent process like a cyclotron maser, that might be pumped behind a shock front. We are, however, not allowed to adopt unlimited brightness temperature in the emission region because the radio waves have to escape and a relatively small quantity of plasma renders the emission susceptible to nonlinear effects like induced Compton scattering and stimulated Raman scattering. I suspect that VLBI circular polarization observations may be crucial in determining what is happening.
4.5 TeV Emission

One of the most pleasing developments in high energy astrophysics in recent years is that rapidly (as short as half an hour) variable TeV emission is detectable from closeby blazars. This places a lower bound on the emission radius in order that the optical depth to pair production be less than unity. If there is a component of infrared/optical emission at wavelength $\sim 2\theta^2\mu$ with luminosity $L = 10^{43}L_{43}\text{ergs}^{-1}$, making an angle $\theta$ with the jet, then $\theta < 3^\circ (L_{43}/t_{hr})^{-1/4}$. This is an important constraint which limits the amount of external irradiation and places a lower bound on the jet Lorentz factor. Future TeV variability studies, coupled with studies of the unbeamed parent population – source like M87 – should be very interesting.

4.6 Spin and Jet Formation

As I emphasized, there is as yet no widely accepted theory of jet formation. However, there is much more interest now in this question, both observationally and theoretically. It seems to be generally acknowledged on observational grounds that AGN jets arise fairly close to the central black hole and that there are some sources (eg M87) where they cannot be confined and collimated by gas pressure alone and where the jet luminosity almost certainly exceeds the bolometric luminosity of the nucleus and the Eddington luminosity by several orders of magnitude so that radiation pressure is also irrelevant. (This may not be true of all jets, but it at least defines a class of sources that we can hope to understand.) This leaves magnetic field as the most likely agency for launching and sustaining jets, a notion which is very welcome theoretically because of the discovery of powerful, dynamical MHD instabilities that rapidly build strong field in accretion disks. However, there are interesting alternatives including proton cascades [Falcke] that have been proposed.

There are several choices that have to be made before making a model. Firstly there is the choice of the prime mover. Does jet power originate from the released binding energy of gas as it accretes through a disk [Falcke] or does it come from the spin of a black hole? The former is sometimes argued to produce more power than the latter, which is probably the case for a thin disk, though not necessarily for a thick one. The latter, however, is more likely to lead to an ultrarelativistic outflow as the ratio of the electromagnetic
energy density to the baryon density is likely to be maximized above the event horizon. There is also probably a region between the inner disk and the event horizon in the equatorial plane where accreting gas falls supersonically onto the hole. This is likely to lie within the ergosphere where there exist orbits of total negative energy. In principle, magnetic field can drag matter onto these orbits and consequently extract spin energy from the hole without the magnetic field threading the event horizon although it is not clear if there is enough time for this to happen in practice. (This is especially important if holes spin at nearly their maximal rates as they will then diamagnetically exclude the flux.)

Independent of the source of the power for ultrarelativistic jets, it is generally envisaged that jets are surrounded and collimated by a magnetic sheath derived from an orbiting accretion disk. Here the debate concerns whether the collimating magnetic field is basically large scale and organized, like that measured in the solar wind, or if it comprises disorganised loops that achieve the same effect. This hinges in turn on whether disks can maintain a large scale polarity in the open field lines that leave their surfaces, as happens with the sun (and I believe to be the case), or whether there is no large scale poloidal field beyond that created stochastically by local, small scale instability. Theoretically, I expect that numerical simulation will be of considerable interest. Observationally multiwavelength radio and mm monitoring is the key to understanding the jet composition and drawing strong inferences concerning the jet origin.

5 Telescopes and Space Missions

I will conclude with a few remarks on the observational prospects.

5.1 Small Colleges

Many of the most variable AGN are quite bright and accessible to small telescopes at good sites equipped with CCDs [Balonek, Nelson]. It is gratifying that this has enabled faculty at small colleges to involve enthusiastic undergraduates in invaluable monitoring efforts. I wonder if there is an opportunity to widen this approach to include selected, and strategically located international institutions. This could be an important educational outreach
component of a space facility proposal.

5.2 XMM-Newton

It was encouraging to hear that XMM-Newton is performing so well on orbit [O'Brien]. With its large effective area, this should complement Chandra splendidly. It’s optical monitor is ideal for AGN monitoring studies. Of course, its major contribution will be to the unexpected, but we can anticipate even more accurate iron (and associated line) profiles from nearby Seyferts and LINERs that will, I hope, assuage some of the doubts that have been cast upon the ASCA results. I hope that it will also feasible to demonstrate the feasibility of X-ray reverberation studies. At the same time, it should produce higher dispersion spectra, with superior signal to noise of the so-called warm absorption component which appears to be a large component of an AGN gas flow. In addition, it should contribute to X-ray blazar studies, not just by monitoring the continuum, but also by seeking broadened, high ionization state lines associated with outflowing gas, just like SS433.

5.3 FUSE

The hopes for FUSE are no less [Kriss]. The major goal is surely to provide more accurate measurements of the UV fluxes and resolve the arithmetical discrepancy in the ratio of the photoionizing input to the emission line output. Clearly absorption, beaming and aspect are playing a role here, but it may be possible to remove these effects statistically by averaging over a large sample. I hope it will also contributed to the larger goals listed above by producing more accurate measurements of the quasar contribution to the metagalactic ionizing radiation field. FUSE is also proving to be effective at measuring the high ionization UV lines that originate from closest to the continuum source. In combination with X-ray observations, it should help interpret the somewhat puzzling features of the so-called warm absorber region. Finally, it promises to address the ionization structure of the intergalactic medium, at least those phases with temperature of a few times $10^5$ K, as discussed above.
5.4 KRONOS

A major reason for holding this meeting was to assess the scientific prospects and, implicitly, the design criteria for KRONOS (Peterson, Horne, Collier). This is conceived as an explorer class space mission specialized to obtaining well sampled, multiwavelength continuum and line emission from the near infrared to the soft X-ray bands from the brighter objects. The sampling of the response functions that it promises will be far superior to anything that currently exists and the mission seems ideally suited to making “engineering drawings” of AGN.

5.5 γ-rays

The contribution of γ-ray observations over recent years to our understanding of AGN has been much greater than most of us anticipated. With the unfortunate, though understandable, demise of Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, it is important that AGILE (due for launch in 2003) and GLAST (2006) will continue the excellent discoveries of EGRET [Hartmann] in the GeV energy range [Vercellone]. INTEGRAL due for launch in 2001, will tackle the instrumentally challenging MeV part of the spectrum (Paltarni). It should be able to measure the temperatures of Comptonizing coronae as well as search for annihilation lines. Turning to even higher energies, VERITAS and its associated projects should be able to monitor hundreds of nearby BL Lacs with their reported half hour variation and probe the innermost regions of relativistic jets. They will also be able to perform an invaluable service for a larger community by measuring indirectly the intergalactic infrared flux, a key tracer of star formation.

5.6 Constellation-X and XEUS

Perhaps the greatest long term hope for this field lies with the next generation of X-ray observatories [Mushotzky]. One of the major goals of Constellation-X is to carry out the reverberation mapping program of KRONOS at X-ray wavelengths. In this way it aspires to inspect the architecture of accretion disks as they approach the black hole ergosphere and, most importantly, to produce indirect images that include the warped Kerr spacetime (Reynolds).

We are entering a new phase of our quest to make AGN as commonplace
a part of astronomy as stars are today. What is clear is that, unlike stars, black holes need and use the total electromagnetic spectrum to get rid of the radiative by products of their nourishment. It is good that this spectral breadth is matched by the range of observational proposals that we have heard about here and, consequently, we must work collectively to achieve our underlying goal of understanding how black holes accrete. This was the rationale for and is the principal message of this meeting.
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