First report regarding molecular epidemiology and novel variant identification of *Anaplasma centrale* in cattle from Pakistan
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A B S T R A C T

*Anaplasma centrale* (A. centrale) is an obligate red blood cell residing tick transmitted rickettsiae that has not been studied extensively for its prevalence in cattle along with its epidemiology. Aim of this investigation was to report the seasonal prevalence, phylogeny and epidemiological parameters associated with the prevalence of *A. centrale* in cattle breeds enrolled from District Layyah in Punjab, Pakistan. A total of 844 blood samples [Cross breed = 300, Holstein Friesian = 244, Sahiwal breed = 300] were collected from apparently healthy cattle along with epidemiological data during 2017–18. PCR amplified 426 base pair fragment from 16S rRNA gene of *A. centrale* in 14.4% (122/844) of cattle. Amplified 16S rRNA partial gene sequence of *A. centrale* were confirmed by DNA sequencing and deposited to GenBank. Highest *A. centrale* prevalence was observed in spring (24%) followed by autumn (12.4%) summer (10%) and winter (7.1%) seasons. Sahiwal breed (18.3%) was most susceptible to *A. centrale* infection followed by cross (12.3%) and Holstein Friesian breed (12.3%). 69/844 (8.2%) of Giemsa stained cattle blood smears were also found positive for *Anaplasma* spp. Farms where animal use to drink pool water and farms where dogs and other dairy animals were living with cattle had higher *A. centrale* prevalence. Female cattle and dogs having tick burden were found associated with *A. centrale* infection. Hematological profile was severely disturbed in *A. centrale* positive cattle. It is recommended that *A. centrale* should be screened in cattle, in addition to *A. marginale*, for the effective control of tick born diseases in Pakistan.

1. Introduction

Majority of Pakistani population, around 62%, is resident of rural areas and agriculture and livestock is their main source of earning (Rehman et al., 2017). That is why livestock sector is a major contributor in gross domestic product (GDP) of Pakistan. Contribution of this sector was 11.8% in GDP during financial year 2013/2014 (Pakistan Economic Survey 2013–14). During financial year 2014–15, a further 4.1% growth was recorded in livestock sector indicating its major contribution in national economy (Rehman et al., 2017). In Pakistan, the estimated population of dairy cattle is around 35–40 million heads yielding approximately 18,000–31,000 million tons of milk making Pakistan 4th leading milk producer worldwide after China, India and USA (Pakistan Economic Survey 2013–14).

Diseases in cattle are major constrain as they cause financial losses to livestock owners. Most common diseases in cattle are caused by ectoparasites and ticks are the most frequent vectors for pathogens (Srikant and Gaurav, 2014). Anaplasmosis is a common pathological condition in tropical and subtropical parts of the world.
world and it has been reported in domestic as well as wild ruminants. Anaplasmosis is caused by obligate rickettsiae that belong to the genus *Anaplasma* (*Rickettsiales: Anaplasmataceae*) and infect red blood cells of their host. *A. marginale* is the usual causative agent of bovine anaplasmosis and *A. centrale* is not commonly known to cause this disease (Ashraf et al., 2013). *A. centrale* is usually transmitted by ticks (*Ixodes* spp., *Dermacentor* spp., *Rhipicephalus* spp. and *Haemaphysalis* spp.) (Jabbar et al., 2015; Battilani et al., 2017). *A. centrale* is generally considered to be less pathogenic for cattle when compared with the infection caused by *A. marginale* (Rar and Golovljova, 2011). *A. centrale* infection results in progressive anemia in host (Battilani et al., 2017). It has been documented that cattle infected with *A. centrale* develops an immunity against *A. marginale* (Kocan et al., 2010). Due to the high economic impact, in several countries of South America, Africa and in Australia, *A. centrale* is used as a live vaccine (Bock et al., 2003) and in these countries whenever *A. centrale* is detected in cattle, it considered as a result of vaccination (Khumalo et al., 2016). Pakistan is a developing country with limited health resources available for livestock and vaccination of cattle for *A. centrale* is not a usual practice here. Hence, there is no information available about the prevalence of this parasite in local cattle population. Also, very few studies have been cited in literature from worldwide regarding the molecular detection of *A. centrale* in cattle (Belkahia et al., 2015; Aktas et al., 2011). Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, present investigation was designed to report the seasonal prevalence of *A. centrale* in three breed of cattle from District Layyah by conventional (blood smear screening) as well as modern (Polymerase chain reaction) tools. We are also documenting the epidemiology of *A. centrale* and its effects on complete blood count of infected cattle, if any.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample and data collection

Apparently healthy cattle [*N* = 844] were enrolled from various dairy farms in District Layyah of Punjab (Pakistan) during 2017 and 2018. Enrolled cattle included Holstein Friesian (*N* = 244), Sahiwal (*N* = 300) and cross breed (*N* = 300). Following the informed consent from livestock owners, animals were inspected by the veterinary doctor and blood sample was collected from Jugular vein (approximately 10 ml) in EDTA containing tubes on seasonal basis. May to July was summer, August till October was autumn, November to January was winter and February till April was considered as spring season. Blood samples were used for DNA extraction and to analyze complete blood count parameters. Epidemiological parameters (gender, tick load on cattle, water supply source at farm, other dairy animals associated with herd, dog associated with farm and tick load on dog) were recorded for each enrolled animal at the time of blood sampling. All the experimental procedures and lab protocols were reviewed and approved by the ethical review board to January was winter and February till April was considered as winter season. Blood samples were used for DNA extraction and to check the presence of *Anaplasma* spp.

2.2. Blood smear screening

For each enrolled cattle, blood smear was prepared, air dried and fixed in ethanol on sampling site. Later on slides were stained with Giemsa (Takihi and Sandes 2013) and examined under the microscope with oil immersion lens for the presence of *Anaplasma* spp.

2.3. Tick collection and identification

Bodies of animals were thoroughly inspected for the presence of ticks at the time of blood sample collection and when ticks were observed they were carefully collected and preserved in 70% ethanol and later on identified following Madder et al. (2014).

2.4. DNA extraction from blood and ticks

An inorganic DNA extraction protocol was followed to extract DNA from cattle blood as described by Saeed et al. (2016). Following their identification, DNA from ticks was extracted following the protocol as described by Ammazzalorso et al. (2015).

2.5. PCR amplification

Primers, FF 5’CTGCTTTTAATACTGCAAGGACTA 3’ and R 5’ATGCAACCTGTGTGAGGT 3’ and protocol of Kawahara et al. (2006) was used to amplify 426 base pairs of 16S rRNA gene of *A. centrale* in blood and tick samples. *Anaplasma centrale* positive samples that we had detected from large ruminants during previous investigations by Dr. Munir Aktas at University of Firat Turkey were used as positive control during PCR. For negative control, double distilled water was used instead of negative cattle DNA during each PCR.

2.6. DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Five PCR were selected randomly for DNA sequencing at First base Sequencing Service (Malaysia) in order to confirm the presence of *A. centrale* in cattle blood samples. BLAST analysis was performed on resultant sequences and they were submitted to NCBI GenBank.

Maximum Likelihood method was used to report the evolutionary history of *A. centrale*. Method was based on the Kimura 2-parameter model as reported by Kimura (1980). Phylogenetic analyses were done by MEGA7 (Kumar et al., 2016).

2.7. Complete blood count analysis

All collected cattle blood samples were analyzed for blood cell count and for parameters associated with blood cells by using hematology analyzer.

2.8. Statistical data analysis

Data were presented as Mean ± Standard error of mean And were analyzed by using Minitab (version 16, USA). Association between the presence of *A. centrale* and studied epidemiological parameters was assessed through Fisher’s exact test. Complete blood count parameters were compared between *A. centrale* positive and negative blood samples by using two sample t-test.

3. Results

3.1. Tick identification

Only small number of ticks (*N* = 38) were randomly collected from the enrolled cattle for identification during summer season. *Rhipicephalus microplus* and *Haemaphysalis punctata* were the ticks that were identified during present study.
3.2. Prevalence of Anaplasma spp. In blood smears

Microscopic examination of blood smears revealed that 69 (8.2%) cattle were found infected with Anaplasma spp. When data was analyzed on the basis of cattle breed, it was observed that highest Anaplasma spp. infection was observed in Sahiwal (12.3%), followed by cross breed (6.3%) and Holstein Friesian breed (5.3%) (data not shown here). When seasonal prevalence of Anaplasma spp. was analyzed blood smears prepared during spring were found to be most infected (10.5%) followed by blood smears prepared during autumn (7.3%), summer (5.2%) and winter (4.3%) seasons (data not shown here).

3.3. PCR based prevalence of A. Centrale

PCR detected A. centrale in 14.4% of enrolled cattle (122/844). Maximum A. centrale infection was observed in those cattle blood samples that were collected in spring (24%) and it was followed by blood samples collected in autumn (16.6%), summer (10%) and winter (7.6%) seasons (Table 1).

Among the breeds, prevalence of A. centrale was highest in Sahiwal breed (18.3%) followed by cross breed (12.3%) and Holstein Friesian breed (12.3%) (Table 1). When seasonal prevalence of A. centrale was analyzed in three cattle breeds that were enrolled in present study, it was observed that highest A. centrale infection in Sahiwal breed was found in blood samples that were collected in spring (53.3%) and it was followed by blood samples collected in autumn (16.2%) and winter (4.2%). No parasite positive sample was found during summer season. For Cross breed, the highest infection rate for A. centrale was detected in blood samples that were collected in autumn (22.2%) and this was followed by 20.1% in summer, 5.3% in winter and 2.6% in spring season. For Holstein Friesian breed, maximum prevalence of A. centrale was detected in blood samples collected during spring (16.2%) followed by 13.1% in winter and 13.3% in summer season. None of the Holstein Friesian breed blood sampled in autumn was found infected with A. centrale (Table 1).

None of the collected tick was found infected by A. centrale during PCR based screening.

3.4. DNA sequencing and Phylogenetic analysis

DNA sequences from 16S rRNA gene of A. centrale revealed high genetic similarity so only a single sequence was submitted to the GenBank (Accession number MN653235). Amplified DNA sequence of A. centrale was placed in stable monophyletic cluster along with 16S rRNA gene sequences of A. centrale deposited from Australia, Thailand, Uruguay, Algeria, Italy and Israel (Fig. 1).

3.5. Risk factor analysis

It was observed that prevalence of A. centrale in blood samples of Sahiwal breed was significantly higher in farms having dogs (P = 0.01) during spring season. During autumn in farms where cattle had tick load (P = 0.001), other animals were kept with cattle (P = 0.04), dogs were present at farms (P = 0.01) and dogs having tick load (P = 0.01) were found more infected with A. centrale. During winter, farms where animals used to drink water from pools (P = 0.003) had higher A. centrale prevalence (Table 2).

For cross breed cattle, during summer season, prevalence of A. centrale was higher in cattle with tick burden (P = 0.03). In autumn season, female cattle (P = 0.05) and farms supplied with water pool (P = 0.01) where other animals (P = 0.05) and dog (P = 0.04) with tick load (P = 0.01) were reared along with cattle were more infected with A. centrale. For Holstein Friesian breed, tick burden on cattle (P = 0.006) and dogs associated with farm (P = 0.0001) were correlated with prevalence of A. centrale during spring season. In summer season A. centrale infection was significantly higher among female cattle (P = 0.0001) and at farms where cattle and other dairy animals (P = 0.0001) as well as dogs (P = 0.0004) were living together. Dogs with tick load (P = 0.0004) were also found associated with A. centrale infection during this season. While in winter season, farms where other animals were also reared with cattle (P = 0.02) were more infected with A. centrale (Data not shown here).

3.6. Complete blood count analysis

Sahiwal breed cattle that were found positive for A. centrale infection during autumn had reduced red blood cell (P = 0.025), haemoglobin (P = 0.011), hematocrit (P = 0.017) and mean cell hemoglobin (P = 0.011). In winter season, lymphocytes % (P = 0.002) and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (P = 0.03) remained significantly lower in cattle positive for A. centrale. All other parameters remained unaffected (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

For cross breed, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (P < 0.001) in spring and platelet count in autumn (P = 0.05) was increased in cattle infected with A. centrale (Data not shown here). For Holstein Friesian breed, A. centrale positive animals had significantly lower white blood cells (P = 0.01) but had enhanced lymphocyte count (P = 0.03) during winter. All other parameters remained unaffected (P > 0.05) for the three cattle breeds (data not shown here).

Table 1

| Season (Number of collected samples) | A. centrale positive Cross Breed | A. centrale positive Holstein Friesian Breed | A. centrale positive Sahiwal Breed | Over all A. centrale positive samples (% Prevalence) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Spring (N = 225)                    | 2                               | 12                                        | 40                              | 54 (24.0%)                                         |
| Summer (N = 225)                    | 15                              | 8                                         | 0                               | 23 (10.2%)                                         |
| Autumn (N = 169)                    | 16                              | 0                                         | 12                              | 28 (16.6%)                                         |
| Winter (N = 225)                    | 4                               | 10                                        | 3                               | 17 (7.6%)                                          |
| Total A. centrale positive samples (%) | 37/300 (12.3%)                   |                                           | 30/244 (12.3%)                  | 55/300 (18.3%)                                     |
|                                     |                                 |                                           |                                 | 122/844 (14.4%)                                    |

6490
4. Discussion

Anaplasmosis in cattle is caused by *Anaplasma marginale* and *Anaplasma centrale* and this disease is also known as yellow-bag or yellow-fever (Ashraf et al., 2013). *A. marginale* is commonly screened in cattle as part of tick borne disease control program but there are very few reports around the globe regarding the prevalence of *A. centrale* in cattle. Present study is a pioneer study from Pakistan regarding the prevalence of *A. centrale* in three cattle breeds from Punjab, Pakistan.

Tropical countries have elevated temperatures and favorable climatic conditions for tick growth and that is the reason that tick borne diseases are more prevalent in these countries (Sen et al., 2010). *Rhipicephalus* spp. and *Haemaphysalis* spp. can transmit *A. centrale* to a variety of vertebrate hosts (Leger et al., 2013). Our results also confirm these findings as we had also collected ticks belonging to *Rhipicephalus* spp. and *Haemaphysalis* spp. from the enrolled cattle during present study although we were unable to detect *A. centrale* in these ticks (data not shown here).

During present study, we used both modern (PCR) and conventional method (blood smear screening) for *A. centrale* detection in cattle blood. The conventional blood smear microscopy is considered as less sensitive and less reliable techniques as it can only detect the parasite if they infect $10^6$ erythrocytes per ml during acute infections. It has been reported that animals recovered from acute anaplasmosis sustain a persistent infection characterized by $10^{2.5}-10^7$ infected erythrocytes. This level of rickettsiemia cannot be detected during microscopic examination (Selcuk et al., 2015). PCR is a sensitive and reliable tool for the detection of tick borne parasites in blood (Ashraf et al., 2013). Our results from present study are also in agreement with these established facts as PCR picked *A. centrale* (14.4%) in more blood samples as compared to samples that were found *Anaplasma* spp. during blood smears screening (8.2%).

Belkahia et al. (2015) had enrolled 232 cattle from three areas in Tunisia. They had detected Anaplasma spp. in enrolled cattle blood by real-time PCR and/or nested PCR. They found that 34.9% were infected with *Anaplasma* spp., 25.4%, were positive for *A. marginale*, 15.1% for *A. centrale* and 3.9% cattle were infected with *A. bovis*. Prevalence of *A. centrale* reported by Belkahia et al. (2015) is closer to the *A. centrale* prevalence reported in present study (14.4%).

Aktas et al. (2011) used reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization assay to screen 389 cattle blood samples collected from six provinces in Turkey. 9% of enrolled cattle were found infected with *Anaplasma* spp. Highest prevalence was observed by *A. marginale* (2.8%), followed by *A. centrale* (1.0%) and *A. phagocytophilum* (1.0%). Ceci et al. (2014) had reported 13.8% prevalence of *A. centrale* in cattle samples enrolled from Southern Italy. While Mamoudou et al. (2017) had reported 53.5% present prevalence of *A. centrale* through blood smear screening in cattle from Vina division in Cameroon. The different prevalence rates of *A. centrale* in cattle reported from various parts of the world are probably due to different environmental and geographical conditions, tick control programmes, farm management strategies and husbandry practices in these areas (Magona et al. 2011; Belkahia et al. 2015).

There is no data available in literature regarding the genetic diversity of *A. centrale* from any animal species in Pakistan. Phylogenetic analysis that was based on a 426 base pair fragment of 16S rRNA gene of *A. centrale* was performed to investigate the genetic diversity.
Our results are contradictory to Khan et al. (2019) who had reported favorable conditions for tick’s development and infestation. The cattle sample collected during the month of February, March in infection during the present study (Table 1). There is no trend centrally followed by samples collected during spring season. This proves that prevalence of A. centrale with highest during current investigation and spring was found to be the season.

Results are in line with those of Rajpoot et al. (2005) who had reported the prevalence of A. centrale in cattle in Pakistan. Results indicated that our amplified sequence was similar to 16S rRNA gene background of A. centrale. The sequences are closely related (Fig. 1).

We observed a seasonal variation in the prevalence of A. centrale during current investigation and spring was found to be the season with highest A. centrale prevalence in cattle blood (Table 1). Our results are in line with those of Rajpoot et al. (2005) who had reported the prevalence of Anaplasma sp. was highest in buffalo and cattle sample collected during the month of February, March and April (spring season) and they assumed that this time period had favorable conditions for tick’s development and infestation. Our result are contradictory to Khan et al. (2019) who had reported high prevalence of Anaplasma sp. in cattle samples collected during summer from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province in Pakistan followed by samples collected during spring season.

We found that Sahiwal breed was most susceptible breed for A. centrale infection during present study (Table 1). There is no trend in Pakistan regarding vaccination of cattle with A. centrale. Hence, this proves that A. centrale is present in local cattle as a parasite and should be diagnosed and treated in addition to A. marginale. Prevalence of A. centrale was lower in Holstein Friesian breed, an exotic breed, and this due to the fact that the exotic cattle breed are vaccination with A. centrale that provide them immunity against this parasite (Hove et al., 2018). Similarly, cross breed cattle in Pakistan are produced by crossing exotic breeds with local giving them characteristics of both (Saed et al., 2016) that is the probable reason that cross breed were found less infected with A. centrale than Sahiwal breed during present study. Our result is in line with result of Belkiah et al. (2015) who had showed Holstein cattle were significantly less infected by A. centrale than Sahiwal breed during present study. Our result is in line with result of Vetrivel et al. (2017) who had reported higher prevalence of Anaplasma spp. infection rate in Holstein Friesian breed than in any other breeds under investigation. Our result is also contradictory to the findings of Rehman et al. (2015) who had reported that crossbred cattle were affected more with anaplasmosis than indigenous cattle.

Analysis of the epidemiological data revealed that female cross breed cattle samples collected during autumn season had higher A. centrale infection than male (P < 0.05). This is in accordance with Vetrivel et al. (2017) who had reported higher prevalence of anaplasmosis in female cattle. Alim et al. (2012) had documented that prevalence of Anaplasma spp. varies with the sex of host animal. Females have higher parasite prevalence as they have lower immunity due to pregnancy associated stress and disturbed hormonal levels (Kamani et al., 2010). Another reason for higher parasite prevalence in females is the fact that they are more exposed to contaminated needles that are used to inject hormones for letdown

# Statistical analysis was not possible

**Table 2**

Association of studied epidemiological parameters of Sahiwal breed cattle collected from District Layyah with the prevalence of Anaplasma centrale. Prevalence (%) of Anaplasma centrale is given in parenthesis. P-values indicate the results of Fischer test calculated for each studied parameter.

| Parameters            | A. centrale +ive samples collected during spring | P value | A. centrale +ive samples collected during summer | P value | A. centrale +ive samples collected during autumn | P value | A. centrale +ive samples collected during winter | P value |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Sex                   | Male                                            | 0.2     | #                                               | #       | 1/14 (7%)                                        | 0.4     | 0/19 (0%)                                        | 0.5     |
|                       | Female                                          |         | #                                               | #       | 11/61 (18%)                                     | 3/56 (5%) | 0/63 (0%)                                       | 0.003*  |
| Water resource         | Pump                                            | 0.4     | #                                               | #       | 9/57 (16%)                                      | 1       | 0/12 (0%)                                       | 3/12 (25%) |
|                       | Pool                                            | 0.2     | #                                               | #       | 3/18 (17%)                                      | 1       | 0/12 (0%)                                       | 3/12 (25%) |
| Tick load             | Yes                                             | 0.3     | #                                               | #       | 8/70 (11%)                                      | 0.001** | 3/70 (4%)                                       | 1       |
|                       | Female                                          | 32/63 (51%) | #             | #                                               | #       | 8/70 (11%)                                      | 0.001** | 3/70 (4%)                                       | 1       |
| Other dairy animals at farm | Yes                                             | 1        | #                                               | #       | 11/61 (18%)                                     | 0.4     | 3/48 (6%)                                       | 0.5     |
|                       | No                                              | 4/7 (57%) | #                                               | #       | 1/14 (7%)                                       | 0.027 (0%) | 3/48 (6%)                                       | 0.5     |
| Water resource         | Pump                                            | 0.4     | #                                               | #       | 8/70 (11%)                                      | 0.001** | 3/70 (4%)                                       | 1       |
|                       | Pool                                            | 0.2     | #                                               | #       | 3/18 (17%)                                      | 1       | 0/12 (0%)                                       | 3/12 (25%) |
| Tick load on dogs      | Yes                                             | 0.01*   | #                                               | #       | 8/68 (12%)                                      | 0.01*   | 3/50 (6%)                                       | 0.5     |
|                       | Male                                            | 29/44 (66%) | 1             | #                                               | 29/44 (66%) | 1       | 8/68 (12%)                                      | 0.01*   |
|                       | Female                                          | 11/31 (35%) | 1             | #                                               | 11/31 (35%) | 1       | 8/68 (12%)                                      | 0.01*   |

P > 0.05 = Non significant; P < 0.05 = Least significant (*); P < 0.01 = Significant (**); P < 0.001 = Highly significant (***)

# Statistical analysis was not possible

**Table 3**

Comparison of complete blood count parameters between Anaplasma centrale positive and negative blood samples of Sahiwal breed cattle collected from District Layyah during 2017–2018. Data is expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). P-value indicates the result of two sample t–test calculated for each studied parameter when compared between parasite positive and negative blood samples collected during a particular season.

| Parameters                           | Spring | Autum | Winter |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                                     | A. centrale (+ive) | A. centrale (-ive) | A. centrale (+ive) | A. centrale (-ive) | A. centrale (+ive) | A. centrale (-ive) |
| N = 40                               | 8.5 ± 0.35 | 8.6 ± 0.31 | 15.3 ± 6.5 | 9.7 ± 0.3 | 7.8 ± 0.5 | 8.1 ± 0.1 |
| Lymphocytes (%)                      | 37 ± 2.3 | 38 ± 2.7 | 27 ± 3.6 | 26 ± 1.6 | 31 ± 1.7 | 43 ± 1.4 |
| Monocytes (%)                        | 8.86 ± 0.33 | 8.84 ± 0.32 | 3.1 ± 0.1 | 3 ± 0.03 | 43 ± 0.6 | 3.4 ± 0.2 |
| Red blood cells (x 10^6/L)           | 6.5 ± 0.17 | 5.8 ± 0.1 | 3.7 ± 0.1* | 4.2 ± 0.07 | 5.2 ± 1.1 | 4.4 ± 0.1 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dL)                    | 9 ± 0.16 | 9.2 ± 0.17 | 9.1 ± 0.5* | 10.8 ± 0.2 | 9.2 ± 0.7 | 9 ± 0.09 |
| Mean cell volume (fl)                | 44 ± 1.3 | 42 ± 0.7 | 81 ± 1.7 | 80 ± 0.9 | 79 ± 0.7 | 80 ± 0.6 |
| Hematocrit (%)                       | 26 ± 0.69 | 25 ± 0.66 | 28 ± 1.9* | 33 ± 0.8 | 40 ± 5 | 41 ± 0.6 |
| Mean cell hemoglobin (pg)            | 14.9 ± 0.2 | 20.3 ± 3 | 25 ± 0.7* | 27 ± 0.4 | 30 ± 0.5 | 31 ± 0.6 |
| Mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (g/dL) | 8.5 ± 0.35 | 8.6 ± 0.31 | 15.3 ± 6.5 | 9.7 ± 0.3 | 7.8 ± 0.5 | 8.1 ± 0.1 |
| Platelets (x 10^9/L)                 | 272 ± 21 | 249 ± 16 | 245 ± 20 | 245 ± 11 | 264 ± 52 | 287 ± 7 |

No parasite was detected in samples collected during summer season

P > 0.05 = Non significant; P < 0.01 = Significant (**); P < 0.001 = Significant (***)

background of A. centrale in cattle from Pakistan. Results indicated that our amplified sequence was similar to 16S rRNA gene sequences of A. centrale documented from Philippines, Australia, Thailand, Italy, Algeria and Israel indicating that all these sequences are closely related (Fig. 1).
of milk (Atif et al., 2012). The dog associated with herd of cattle and tick load on dog were the parameters that had shown significant association with the A. centrale infection during present study confirming that ticks can be transported to cattle herd via dogs. Contrary to our observation, Ashraf et al. (2013) had found higher prevalence of Anaplasma spp. in buffalo herds that do not had dogs associated with them. During present study, we observed that prevalence of A. centrale was significantly higher in cattle having tick burden. Our results are consistent with Ashuma et al. (2013) who had also reported higher prevalence of Anaplasma species in tick infested cattle. We observed that farms that had traditional management practices (like no trend for vaccination and no proper water supply for animals) had higher prevalence of A. centrale. Swai et al. (2005) and Atif et al. (2012b) had also reported higher prevalence of Anaplasma spp. in traditionally managed farms.

Complete blood count analysis indicated that A. centrale infection disturb the red blood cell and associated parameters of cattle Gangguly et al. (2017) had also documented that Anaplasma spp. infection in cattle results to extremely low hemoglobin and packed cell volumes. Our result also coincide with those of Khan et al. (2016) who had reported anemia as the major clinical finding in Anaplasma spp. infected cattle along with decreased red blood cell associated hematological parameters. We observed that white blood cells and platelet count were significantly increased, while lymphocytes % was decreased in A. centrale positive cattle blood samples during present study. Our result are contradictory to the finding of Khan et al. (2016) who had recorded significant decrease in white blood cells in pre patent phase and a non-significant decrease during anaplasmosis in cows and buffaloes of District Charsadda in Pakistan.

In conclusion, we are reporting that Sahiwal breed was most susceptible to A. centrale infection A. centrale prevalence varied significantly with the sampling season. A. centrale infection also disturbs the complete blood count of cattle. This is a pioneer study regarding prevalence of A. centrale in cattle from Pakistan and this data will pave the way for the control of A. centrale in Pakistan.
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