Slim Disk Model for Soft X-Ray Excess and Variability of Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies
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Abstract

Narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s) exhibit extreme soft X-ray excess and large variability. We argue that both features can be basically accounted for by the slim disk model. We assume that a central black-hole mass in NLS1 is relatively small, \( M \sim 10^{5-7} M_\odot \), and that a disk shines nearly at the Eddington luminosity, \( L_E \). Then, the disk becomes a slim disk and exhibits the following distinctive signatures: (1) The disk luminosity (particularly of X-rays) is insensitive to mass-flow rates, \( \dot{M} \), since the generated energy is partly carried away to the black hole by trapped photons in accretion flow. (2) The spectra are multi-color blackbody. The maximum blackbody temperature is \( T_{bb} \sim 0.2 (M/10^5 M_\odot)^{-1/4} \) keV, and the size of the blackbody emitting region is small, \( r_{bb} \lesssim 3 r_S \) (with \( r_S \) being Schwarzschild radius) even for a Schwarzschild black hole. (3) All the ASCA observation data of NLS1s fall onto the region of \( \dot{M}/(L_E/c^2) > 10 \) (with \( L_E \) being the Eddington luminosity) on the \((r_{bb}, T_{bb})\) plane, supporting our view that a slim disk emits soft X-rays at \( \sim L_E \) in NLS1s. (4) Magnetic energy can be amplified, at most, up to the equipartition value with the trapped radiation energy which greatly exceeds radiation energy emitted from the disk. Hence, energy release by consecutive magnetic reconnection will give rise to substantial variability in soft X-ray emission.
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1. Introduction

The terminology of narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s) originates from their relatively narrow optical Balmer-line emission with \( v_{BLR} \lesssim 2000\text{km s}^{-1} \) compared with those of usual Seyfert 1 galaxies with broad-line emission, BLS1s (see a concise review by Brandt 1999 and references therein). Their optical line ratios and remarkable Feii lines (Osterbrock, Pogge 1985; Halpern, Oke 1987; Grupe et al. 1999) are also distinct from those of BLS1s. NLS1s exhibit unique X-ray properties (e.g., Grupe et al. 1998); they are characterized by large soft X-ray excess (Pounds et al. 1996; Otani et al. 1996; Leighly 1999b) and a good correlation is known to exist between the strength of soft excess and FWHM of optical Balmer lines (Boller et al. 1996; Laor et al. 1997). Rapid soft/hard X-ray variability is another signature characterizing NLS1s (Otani et al. 1996; Boller et al. 1997; Leighly 1999a). NLS1s are not rare but comprise a significant part (say, 20%) of the Seyfert 1 galaxies and it seems that a group of NLS1s is smoothly connected to the class of BLS1s (Brandt, Boller 1998).

It is often suggested that the strength of the soft X-ray excess relative to the hard X-ray power law appears to be directly related to the ‘primary eigenvector’ of Boroson and Green (1992), which represents the strongest set of optical emission line correlations (e.g., Brandt 1999). In this sense, NLS1s are located at the extreme end of the primary eigenvector. The UV line properties of NLS1s also fits this scheme (Wills et al. 1999). Then, what is the control parameter which drives the primary eigenvector? What physical factor distinguishes NLS1s from BLS1s?

There are several models proposed to account for the narrowness of otherwise broad-line emission (see Boller et al. 1996 for extensive discussion). Successful models should account for a smooth continuation between NLS1s and BLS1s. Among them, one fascinating and probably most promising explanation is that NLS1s contain relatively less massive black holes (with \( M \sim 10^{5-7} M_\odot \)). Moderate brightness of NLS1s, \( L \sim 10^{43-45}\text{erg s}^{-1} \), im-
plies similar mass-flow rates, $\dot{M}$, to those of BLS1s. It then follows that the ratio of $L/E$ (or $\dot{M}/M$) is relatively large for NLS1s. The control parameter which drives the eigen vector could be the fraction of the Eddington rate at which the supermassive black hole is accreting, $\dot{M}/(LE/c^2)$.

For small black-hole masses, narrow Balmer line emission of NLS1s can be understood, provided that the broad-line clouds (which emit broad-line emission in BLS1s) are bound in the potential by a central black hole (Laor et al. 1997). Since the radius of the broad-line clouds are roughly scaled as $r_{\text{BLR}} \propto L^{\frac{1}{2}}$ from the reverberation mapping (Kaspi et al. 1996), the circular velocity of broad-line clouds, $\sim \sqrt{GM/r_{\text{BLR}}}$, is systematically smaller for smaller $M$ at a constant $L$ (and thus constant $r_{\text{BLR}}$), yielding narrow Balmer emission.

For a luminosity close to the Eddington,

$$ L_E \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{44} M_5 \text{ erg s}^{-1}, \quad (1) $$

where $M_5 \equiv M/(10^5 M_\odot)$, what do we then expect theoretically for the disk structure and its spectra? It is known that for such a high luminosity advective energy transport dominates over radiative cooling (Abramowicz et al. 1988; section 10.3 of Kato et al. 1998). Such a disk is named as the slim disk, since it is moderately geometrically thick.

The slim disk is an optically thick version of ADAF (advection-dominated accretion flow) and should not be confused with optically thin ADAF proposed for low-luminosity AGNs (see section 10.2 of Kato et al. 1998 and references therein). Unlike the optically thin ADAF the observable features of the slim disk have been poorly investigated. Szuszkiewicz et al. (1996) was the first to start investigation along this line (see also Wang et al. 1999), and we give more detailed discussion on the observational consequences in the present study. Watarai et al. (2000a) studied the super-critical accretion flow in the pseudo-Newtonian potential by Paczyński and Witta (1980), $\psi = -GM/(r - r_S)$. For discussion of GR effects, see section 3.5. We use cylindrical coordinates, $(r, \varphi, z)$.

Throughout this paper, we used vertically integrated (or height-averaged) equations. In fact, we numerically confirmed that $H/r < 1$ for $\tilde{m} \lesssim 100$, where $H$ is the scale-height of the disk. Certainly, $H/r > 1$ for even higher mass accretion rate, but for such high $\tilde{m}$, photon diffusion time over $H$ becomes longer than accretion timescale so that the disk height should be significantly reduced (see section 5.1). The height-average approach may not be very precise and we eventually need fully 2-D models. The same problem arises in optically thin ADAF, for which Narayan & Yi (1995) found that the 2-D solutions of the exact non-height-integrated equations agree quite well with those of the simplified height-integrated equations, provided that ‘height-integration’ is done along $\theta$ at constant spherical radius, rather than along $z$ at constant cylindrical radius. The height-integrated equations therefore are a fairly accurate representation of quasi-spherical ADAFs.

We construct the vertically integrated equations, using integrated variables, such as surface density, $\Sigma \equiv \int p dz$, and integrated pressure, $\Pi \equiv \int p dz$. Vertically integrated mass, momentum, and angular-momentum conservations lead

$$ -2\pi r \Sigma v_r = \dot{M} = \text{const.}, \quad (3) $$

$$ \frac{dv_r}{dr} + \frac{1}{\Sigma} \frac{d\Pi}{dr} = \frac{\ell^2 - \ell_K^2}{r^3} - \frac{\Pi d\ln\Omega_K}{\Sigma} dr, \quad (4) $$

and

$$ \dot{M} (\ell - \ell_{\text{in}}) = -2\pi r^2 T_{r\varphi}, \quad (5) $$

respectively, where $\Omega(= v_r/r)$ and $\Omega_K(= (GM/r)^{1/2}/(r - r_S))$ are the angular frequency of the gas flow and the Keplerian angular frequency in the pseudo-Newtonian potential, $\ell (= rv_{r\varphi})$ is specific angular momentum, $\ell_K (= r^2 \Omega_K)$ is the Keplerian angular momentum, $\ell_{\text{in}}$ is the specific angular momentum finally swallowed by the black hole, and
where \( r_S \equiv 2GM/c^2 \approx 3 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \) cm is the Schwarzschild radius. As to the viscous stress tensor we adopt the prescription \( T_{\nu\nu} = -\alpha \Pi \) with the viscosity parameter, \( \alpha = 0.1 \). Note, however, that the resultant disk structure does not sensitively depend on \( \alpha \) (Watarai et al. 2000b).

The vertically integrated energy equation is symbolically written as

\[
Q_{\text{adv}} = Q_{\text{vis}} - Q_{\text{rad}},
\]

where \( Q_{\text{adv}}[\rho \Sigma v T (ds/dr)] \) is the advective cooling with \( s \) being specific entropy, and other two terms on the right-hand side represent viscous heating and radiative cooling, respectively.

The outer boundary conditions are imposed at \( r = 2.0 \times 10^3 r_S \), where each physical quantity is taken as that of the standard disk. We confirmed that the disk structure near the outer boundary can be very accurately described by the standard-disk relation. When calculating spectra (see below), we extrapolate the temperature distribution up to \( r = 2.0 \times 10^4 r_S \) using the standard-disk relation, \( T_{\text{eff}} \propto r^{-3/4} \). The basic equations are integrated by the semi-implicit method from the outer boundary to the inner one taken at \( r = 1.01 r_S \). The flow is subsonic far outside \( (r \gg r_S) \) but is supersonic just near the black hole. The solution should satisfy the regularity condition at the transonic radius, at about \( 2.7 r_S \), and we adopt the free boundary conditions at the inner edge.

3. Observational features of a slim disk

3.1. Unique temperature profile

How do structural changes occur from the standard to the slim disk when \( \dot{m} \) increases? The solid lines in the upper panels of figure 1 represent the temperature profiles of the disks for various values of \( \dot{m} \) for the black-hole mass of \( M = 10^6 M_\odot \). For comparison, we also plot with the dashed lines the temperatures expected from the standard-disk relation (Shakura, Sunyaev 1973),

\[
T_{\text{eff}} \approx 10^{6.5} M_5^{-1/2} \dot{m}^{1/2} \left( \frac{r}{r_S} \right)^{-3/4} \left( 1 - \frac{T_{\text{in}}}{T_{\text{eff}}} \right)^{1/2} \text{K}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( M_5 \equiv M/10^5 M_\odot \).

We note three characteristic:

1. In contrast with the standard disk having an effective temperature profile of \( T_{\text{eff}} \propto r^{-3/4} \), the slim disk exhibits flatter slopes, \( T_{\text{eff}} \propto r^{-1/2} \) (Watarai, Fukue 1999). As \( \dot{m} \) increases, the part with a flatter temperature profile expands outward; i.e., up to \( r \sim 100 r_S \) for \( \dot{m} \sim 100 \), and \( r \sim 1000 r_S \) for \( \dot{m} \sim 1000 \).

2. When the innermost parts become advection-dominated at \( \dot{m} \gtrsim 50 \), substantial amount of radiation is expected from inside the marginally stable last stable orbit, \( r_{\text{ms}} = 3 r_S \sim 10^{11} \text{cm} \). This can be understood as follows: For \( \dot{m} \ll 1 \), the flow is very subsonic far outside, while it should become supersonic near the black hole. A sudden jump in the radial accretion velocity across the transonic radius (at \( r \sim 2.7 r_S \)) results in an abrupt density drop inside the transonic radius (note \( M \propto v, \Sigma \) is kept constant). The innermost region become of low density, thus producing little emission (emissivity goes like \( r^2 \)). For \( \dot{m} \gtrsim 50 \), conversely, the flow velocity outside the transonic radius is already comparable to the sound speed, thus the velocity and density jump across the transonic radius being small. Therefore, huge, continuous mass supply makes it possible to keep the innermost region (at \( r < 3 r_S \)) optically thick and substantial amount of radiation can be produced there as a result.

3. Consequently, the inner disk temperature (which makes a hotter peak in the spectrum) gets systematically higher than the values expected by the standard relation. The size of the region emitting with the maximum energy becomes small, however.
3.2. Spectral features

Once temperature distributions are obtained, we can calculate emergent spectra by simply summing up a contribution from each tiny portion of the disk. Such scattering-dominated, hot disks as those considered here do not always emit blackbody radiation (Czerny, Elvis 1987; Beloborodov 1998). However, we simply assume blackbody radiation to see the effects of transonic flow (discussed later).

The results are plotted in the lower panels of figure 1. As \( m \) increases, the spectra, \( \nu S_{\nu} \), get flatter and flatter around the peak, \( \nu S_{\nu} \sim \nu^0 \). (Still, \( \nu S_{\nu} \sim \nu^{4/3} \) in the optical bands.) According to Grupe et al. (1998) soft X-ray selected AGNs (including a number of NLS1s) tend to exhibit bluer optical/UV (around 1400 Å) spectra when compared with those of hard X-ray selected ones and the maximum slope is \( S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{0.3} \). This is consistent with our calculations.

The most significant problem lies in \( a_{\text{os}} \), or the optical to soft X-ray flux ratio (say 3000A to 0.2 keV). High \( L/L_E \) models predict a very flat \( a_{\text{os}} \), –1 or flatter, as shown in figure 1, whereas the observed slope is more like –1.5 (see Table 1 of Brandt et al. 2000, which includes many NLS1s). Thus, the observed soft X-ray emission is a factor of 10, or more, weaker than high \( L/L_E \) disk models predict. In fact, this problem already exists for normal AGNs (Laor et al. 1997) and is actually more severe for NLS1s because of the flatter predicted \( a_{\text{os}} \). This does not necessarily rule out the disk model, but it does suggest a significantly reduced efficiency of the emission from the inner parts of the accretion disk (e.g. Laor 1998).

NLS1s have a steeper soft (0.1–2 keV) X-ray slope, but since they have a pretty normal \( a_{\text{os}} \), this slope is actually mostly because the hard X-ray component is weak and not because the soft component is strong (Laor et al. 1997). The slim disk model shows that exponential rollover extends over 1keV, thus producing large power-law indices in the ROSAT band. The inclusion of Compton scattering within the disk will increase the photon indices further (Ross et al. 1992) which will be discussed in section 3.5. Fairly strong correlation between the optical and soft X-ray emission is naturally explained since both are from the same optically thick disk.

If we include a hard power-law component in the calculation, we expect a larger hard power law index in the ASCA bands for a larger soft excess as a result of more efficient cooling of electrons via inverse Compton scattering. Since the hard power-law slope is determined by the Compton \( y \)-parameter which is related to the energy amplifications of soft photons (e.g. Rybicki, Lightman 1979), less (or more) energy input to high-energy electrons compared with that to soft photons leads to a larger (smaller) \( y \) and thus a larger (smaller) photon index in the hard X-ray bands; \( \alpha_{\text{e}}>2 \) (\( \alpha_{\text{e}}<2 \)) as is observed in the soft (hard) state of GBHC (Mineshige et al. 1995).

Systematically large \( \alpha_{\text{e}} \)'s in NLS1s in the ASCA bands (Pounds et al. 1996; Brandt et al. 1997) can be understood.

Further, Tanaka (1989) found highly variable hard emission relative to the soft one in GBHC. If the analogy holds, the relative strength of the hard component could take any values. This may explain a diversity in \( \alpha_{\text{e}} \)'s of NLS1s in the ASCA bands.

3.3. Evolution of the disk luminosity

It should be repeated that the disk luminosity, increases only moderately above \( L_E \) for steadily increasing \( M \). We calculate \( L \) by summing up the contribution from each concentric annulus and plot in figure 2 as a function of \( \dot{m} \). Asterisks are calculated values, while the solid line represents the fitting formula (see Watarai et al. 2000a for a derivation),

\[
L(\dot{m})/L_E \simeq \begin{cases} 2[1 + \ln(\dot{m}/50)] & \text{for } \dot{m} \geq 50 \\ \dot{m}/25 & \text{for } \dot{m} < 50 \end{cases}
\]

The functional dependence of \( L/L_E \) on \( \dot{m} \) is basically the same as that for GBHC with smaller black-hole masses, thus being insensitive to \( M \).

Care must be taken when interpreting \( L \), since radiation field is likely to be anisotropic; i.e., radiation mostly goes out in the vertical direction to the disk plane, whereas matter approaches to the black hole along the disk plane. This is a way in which super-critical accretion is possible, but at the same time, we expect much diluted radiation going out in the direction of the disk plane. Blocking of the radiation by the outer disk rim provides an additional diluting effect (Fukue 2000). Thus, we will detect weaker flux (< \( L_E/4\pi d^2 \) with \( d \) being distance), even when the total \( L \) exceeds \( L_E \). We also expect strong radiation-accelerated wind in the central region (e.g., Watarai, Fukue 1999), which will give rise to blue-shifted UV lines (Leighly 1999b).
3.4. Fitting with the multi-color blackbody

Now we fit the calculated disk spectra by the extended disk blackbody model (Mineshige et al. 1994a), assuming the following $T_{\text{eff}}$ profile,

$$T_{\text{eff}} = T_{\text{in}}(r/r_{\text{in}})^{-p},$$

where $r_{\text{in}}$, $T_{\text{in}}$, and $p$ are fitting parameters.

Note that the fitting results may slightly depend on the X-ray energy ranges for which fitting is made, but in the present study we fix the energy bands to be either 0.1 – 0.5 keV (for $\dot{m} < 10$), 0.1 – 1.0 keV (for $10 \leq \dot{m} < 100$), or 0.1 – 3.0 keV (for $\dot{m} \geq 100$).

To have a good fitting result, especially in $p$, wider spectral ranges, especially a good coverage of soft X-ray bands, are certainly necessary. The small black hole mass is preferable for this sort of fitting, since then the disk temperature is roughly scaled as $\sim M^{-1/4}$, expected from the standard-disk relation (which is also roughly valid in the slim-disk regime). In the fitting, $T_{\text{in}}$ and $r_{\text{in}}$ are basically determined by the energy and the intensity of the exponential roll-over, while $p$ depends on the slope in lower energy bands. EUV to soft X-ray observations are crucial for discriminating the slim disk from the standard disk.

We examine how the derived fitting parameters of $r_{\text{in}}$, $T_{\text{in}}$, and $p$ change with an increase of a mass-flow rate ($\dot{m}$) and luminosity ($L$). The results of the fittings are summarized in table 1 and figure 3.

As $\dot{m}$ increases, so does $T_{\text{in}}$ more or less gradually, but at the same time $r_{\text{in}}$ shifts from $\sim 10r_{S}$ to $\sim 0.5r_{S}$ abruptly at $L/L_{E} \sim 2$ ($\dot{m} \sim 50$). We should recall that $r_{\text{in}}$ stands for the size of the area emitting with $B_{\nu}(T_{\text{in}})$ and not the radius of the physical inner boundary (which should be always at $r_{S}$). In fact, the upper part of figure 1 has already shown that the peak effective temperature shifts toward smaller radii as $\dot{m}$ increases.

The effective temperature of the slim disk has a weak luminosity dependence, $T_{\text{in}} \propto M^{-1/4} \propto L^{-1/4}$, however, $T_{\text{in}}$ also has some dependence on $M/M_{0}$ at $\dot{m} < 50$. We, hence, expect scatters in $T_{\text{in}}$ even for the same $M$ and $L$.

![Fig. 3. The results of the fitting, $r_{\text{in}}$ (by the solid lines) and $T_{\text{in}}$ (by the dotted lines) as functions of $\dot{m}$ (upper) and of $L/L_{E}$, respectively.](image)

### Table 1. Results of fitting.

| $\dot{m}$ | log $T$(K) | $T_{\text{in}}$(keV) | log $r_{\text{in}}$(cm) | $r_{\text{in}}/r_{S}$ | $p$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $10^{0}$ | 5.57 | 0.033 | 11.45 | 9.34 | 0.88 |
| $10^{0.5}$ | 5.71 | 0.044 | 11.39 | 8.20 | 0.78 |
| $10^{1.0}$ | 5.84 | 0.061 | 11.34 | 7.19 | 0.74 |
| $10^{1.5}$ | 6.06 | 0.098 | 11.05 | 3.74 | 0.62 |
| $10^{2.0}$ | 6.46 | 0.252 | 10.24 | 0.58 | 0.52 |
| $10^{2.5}$ | 6.53 | 0.294 | 10.12 | 0.44 | 0.50 |
| $10^{3.0}$ | 6.55 | 0.303 | 10.14 | 0.46 | 0.51 |
| $10^{3.5}$ | 6.56 | 0.310 | 10.13 | 0.45 | 0.51 |

3.5. Comparison with the observations

Hayashida (2000) analyzed the ASCA spectral data of 9 NLS1s and fitted them with a two spectral-component model: a hard power-law component and a soft blackbody one. We assume that the soft component represents the emission from the disk we are discussing. The fitting parameters of the soft component are blackbody temperature, $T_{\text{bb}}$, and the size of the blackbody emitting region, $r_{\text{bb}}$. The results of the fitting are summarized in figure 4 and in table 2.

Note that the redshift are small, $z < 0.1$, for all the sources. Among the nine sources in Hayashida (2000), we excluded Mrk766 because of its complex spectral features and their variability (Leighly et al. 1996). Even for the other eight sources, it must be kept in mind that there may be some model dependence in the data points of $T_{\text{bb}}$ and in $r_{\text{bb}}$. In particular, modeling of peculiar spectral features around 1.1 keV found for some sources [IRAS13224, H0707, and PG1404; see Hayashida (2000) and reference therein] affect the parameter $T_{\text{bb}}$ significantly, e.g., $T_{\text{bb}}$ for H0707 might be about 30% higher than the value listed in table 2, if we model the feature as absorption edges. On the other hand, sources of which soft component are not so prominent, e.g., I Zw1, the results will be affected, if we allow some deviation from the perfect power law model for the hard component. Calibration problem of ASCA SIS may add extra error on
the results, too. These points would be addressed in a separate paper.

The theoretical expectations based on the slim disk model are also plotted by the solid and dashed lines in figure 4. Since inclination angles are not known, we assume the inclination angle of $i = 60^\circ$ in the theoretical models; i.e., we set $r_{bb} = r_m \cos i$. Likewise, we set $T_{bb} = T_{in}$, although the former may be systematically higher than the latter, $T_{in} \simeq (1.1 - 1.3) T_{bb}$. Accordingly, the value of $r_{bb}$ should be smaller, for $L \propto r_{bb}^2 T_{bb}^4$, is kept roughly constant. It might also be noted that the combination of $r_{bb} T_{bb}^4$, which is proportional to $L / L_E$, is mass-independent, since $r_{bb}$ ($\propto r_m$) can be scaled with $r_S \propto M$ and $T_{bb} \sim T_{in} \propto M^{-1/4}$. Therefore, constant $\dot{m}$ lines give a relation, $T_{bb} \propto r_{bb}^{-1/4}$.

On the theoretical grounds, various kinds of GR effects and Compton scattering should affect the results. When scattering opacity dominates over absorption, the emergent spectra deviate from blackbody one (see Rybicki, Lightman 1979). In a hot disk with Compton $y > 1$, moreover, inverse Compton scattering should modify the disk spectra in the high-energy tail, thereby yielding, at most, a factor of 2 increase in $T_{bb}$, while the total flux ($\propto r_{bb}^2 T_{bb}^4$) is kept constant; i.e., a factor of 4 decrease in $r_{bb}$ (Ross et al. 1992; Shimura, Takahara 1995).

GR effects (such as gravitational redshift, Doppler boosting, gravitational focusing due to ray bending) produce complex effects. We calculate a slim disk model with face-on geometry, for which only gravitational redshift works, finding systematically larger $r_{bb}$ ($\sim 3 r_S$) by a factor of $\sim 6$ and thus lower $T_{bb}$ ($\sim 0.12$ keV) by a factor of $\sim 2.5$ even for $\dot{m} \gtrsim 10$. In other words, most of radiation from inside $3r_S$ vanishes for this case. [Although photon energy gets lost partly, the total flux does not drop much because of flatter $T_{eff}$ profile, $T_{eff}(r) \propto r^{-1/2}$.] Since Compton and GR effects work independently in opposite ways for a face-on disk, these effects cause decrease in $T_{bb}$ (by a factor of 2.5/2) and increase in $r_{bb}$. Corrections to remove Compton and GR effects from the data points are, hence, $\Delta \log T_{bb} \lesssim +0.1$ and $\Delta \log r_{bb} \lesssim -0.2$, which is indicated by the lower-rightward arrow in figure 4. (Since a model predicts lower $T_{bb}$ by these effects, we need higher $\dot{m}$ to reproduce the observed $T_{bb}$.)

For disks with non-zero inclination angles around a Schwarzschild black hole, Doppler boosting enhances radiation, yielding, at most, a factor of $\sim 1.4$ increase in $T_{bb}$ (by the solid lines) when the total flux kept roughly constant (Sun, Malkan 1989). We thus expect slight increase in $T_{bb}$ and decrease in $r_{bb}$ for a fixed $r_{bb}^2 T_{bb}^4$ compared with face-on disks. Required corrections are $\Delta \log T_{bb} \lesssim -0.2$ and $\Delta \log r_{bb} \lesssim +0.4$, which is indicated by the upper-leftward arrow in figure 4.

It is evident in figure 4 that all NLS1s have relatively large $\dot{m}$. In other words, NLS1s shine at luminosities close to the Eddington (Hayashida 2000). Especially, PG1404, H0707, and IRAS13224, all having large $\dot{m}$ in figure 4 and enhanced soft components, are known to exhibit giant amplitude variability. In fact, Hayashida (2000) found that the mass estimated by the variability (Hayashida et al. 1998) significantly deviate from that estimated by equating $r_{bb} = 0.5 r_S$ in those sources, suggesting anomalously large variability amplitudes compared with other NLS1s with a similar black-hole mass. This tendency indicates a correlation between $L / L_E$ and the variability amplitudes (and soft X-ray excess over hard X-rays, see below).

Despite ambiguities in data points in figure 4, we may conclude that all (or, at least, most of) the NLS1s fall on the region with $\dot{m} > 10$, supporting our view that slim disks emit substantial fraction of soft X-rays at $\sim L_E$ in NLS1s. The observed steep slopes, $a_{os}$ (see section 3.2), rather enhances our conclusion of high $\dot{m}$, since it means more optical radiation and thus higher $\dot{m}$ than that expected solely from X-rays.

![Figure 4](image-url)

Fig. 4. The $r_{bb} - T_{bb}$ diagram of the observational data of NLS1s. The loci of $m = 10$ and 100 (by the solid lines) and those of $m(M/M_\odot) = 10^2$ and $10^6 M_\odot$ (by the dotted lines) obtained by the slim disk are also shown ($i = 60^\circ$ is assumed). The arrow headed for upper-left (or lower-right) from the filled circle in the lower-left corner indicates the direction of the correction for that point to remove Compton and GR effects in the case of a face-on (nearly edge-on) disk.

| source | $\dot{m}$ | $T_{bb}$(keV) | $r_{bb}$(cm) | $\log(T_{bb}^4 r_{bb})$ |
|--------|----------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| I Zw1  |          | 0.100        | 9.75 x 10^{10} | 6.99          |
| REJ1034|          | 0.107        | 1.04 x 10^{11} | 7.14          |
| PG1440 |          | 0.097        | 2.08 x 10^{11} | 7.28          |
| IRAS13224|       | 0.098        | 2.55 x 10^{11} | 7.38          |
| H0707  |          | 0.091        | 3.97 x 10^{11} | 7.44          |
| PG1211 |          | 0.109        | 2.21 x 10^{11} | 7.50          |
| PG1244 |          | 0.191        | 2.39 x 10^{10} | 7.51          |
| PG1404 |          | 0.081        | 9.50 x 10^{11} | 7.62          |
4. Variability of NLS1s

The strength of the soft excess is correlated with the variability amplitudes; objects with strong soft excesses show higher amplitude variability (Leighly 1999b). Hence, successful models for NLS1s should account for the presence of soft X-ray variability. Leighly (1999a) summarize the ASCA observations of NLS1s, finding systematically large excess variance in NLS1s. This fact can be, in principle, explained in terms of small black-hole masses, however, origin of giant-amplitude variations observed in some NLS1s, including IRAS 13224-3809 (Boller et al. 1997, see also Otani et al. 1996) and PHL 1092 (Brandt et al. 1999), seems to require additional explanation (see section 3.5). The lack of optical variability in IRAS 13224-3809 poses a problem (Young et al. 1999), although both of the soft and hard components (in the ASCA band) are known to vary nearly simultaneously in the same source (Otani et al. 1996). Relativistic boosting of otherwise modest variations may produce extreme variability of this source (Boller et al. 1997).

The light curves of NLS1s, especially those exhibiting extreme variations, have the following characteristics:

1. The light curves seem to be composed of numerous flares (or shots).
2. The flares do not have an identical time profile but show a variety of flare amplitudes and durations.
3. Temporal distribution of each flare is apparently random.

Interestingly, these features are the same as those found in GBHC during the low (hard) and very high states (see, e.g., van der Klis 1995). Fluctuations in the soft X-ray component (of a disk origin) is observed only in the very high state, while the low-state disk has no soft component. In this sense, NLS1s are more like GBHC during the very high state.

To reproduce these characteristics of the light curves, Kawaguchi et al. (2000) proposed that fractal magnetic-field structure spontaneously arises in an optically thin, advection-dominated flow and produces sporadic magnetic flare events, like solar flares, via magnetic reconnection. In fact, dynamics of magnetic fields in ADAFs is known to be well represented by a cellular-automaton model based on the notion of the Self-Organized Criticality (Mineshige et al. 1994b, Kawaguchi et al. 1998). It is of great importance to note that both of optically thick and thin ADAFs may share common time-dependent properties, since they are both dynamical systems in the sense that radial accretion velocity, $v_r$, is comparable to the free-fall velocity and sound speed, as long as $\alpha$ is not small. We, here, argue that the same MHD model can be relevant to the slim disk, thus explaining the variability of NLS1s, as well.

If fluctuations are of magnetic origin, large-amplitude fluctuations indicate relatively large field energy compared to the radiation energy. Suppose that gas cloud of a unit mass falls onto a black hole. Since radiative cooling is efficient in the standard-type disk, energy that is emitted away is comparable to the release of the gravitational energy, $E_{\text{rad}} \sim E_{\text{grav}}(\sim GM/r)$. As a result, the internal energy of gas should be much lower than the gravitational energy, $E_{\text{gas}} \ll E_{\text{grav}}$. Since magnetic field energy will be, at most, the same order of the gas energy, $E_{\text{mag}} \approx E_{\text{gas}}$, we have $E_{\text{mag}} \ll E_{\text{grav}} \sim E_{\text{rad}}$. If fluctuations occur due to sporadic release of magnetic energy via magnetic reconnection, this inequality explains small fluctuations in the standard disk.

In the ADAF state, both optically thick and thin ones, radiative cooling is inefficient. Suppose again that gas cloud of a unit mass falls onto a black hole. A distinction exists in what carries energy to a hole; it is internal energy of gas for the case of the optically thin ADAF, whereas it is trapped photons for the slim disks. In any cases, internal energy of gas or of trapped photons is of the order of gravitational energy which much exceeds radiation energy which is emitted away, $(E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{trapped}} > (E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{out}}$. Since again $E_{\text{mag}} \ll (E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{trapped}}$, moderately large magnetic energy compared with the energy radiated away is expected, $E_{\text{mag}} \gtrsim (E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{out}}$. The more becomes the ratio of $(E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{trapped}}/(E_{\text{rad}})_{\text{out}}$. Namely, large fluctuations in soft X-ray emission are inevitable at high $\dot{m}$, consistent with the observations of NLS1s.

In contrast, the standard-type disk (which may exist in BLS1s) is stable and, hence, is not variable. Fluctuations from BLS1s are thus due to variation of incident power-law radiation from disk coronae, which are presumably filled with magnetic fields and thus variable for the same reason mentioned above. In terms of variability, therefore, the disk main body is passive in BLS1s while it is active in NLS1s. To elucidate the theory distinguishing these two, however, realistic modeling of the disk-corona structure is needed as future work.

5. Outstanding issues

5.1. Radiation transfer

In a hot and dense layer with a very large Thomson depth ($\tau$), there are several important effects to be considered in addition to Compton scattering effects. First, the photon diffusion time ($\sim H\tau/c$) may exceed the accretion time.

$$\tau_{\text{diff}} \approx 4 \times 10^4 \Sigma_{4}(H/r)(r/10r_S)M_5s$$

and

$$\tau_{\text{acc}} \approx 5 \times 10^2(v_r/0.1v_{\text{crit}})^{-1}(r/10r_S)^{3/2}M_5s,$$

where $\Sigma_4 \equiv \Sigma/10^4\text{g cm}^{-2}$ and $v_r$ is the accretion (radial) velocity. Then, the amount of photons which can
be emitted to space is limited so that the disk luminosity gets even lower, say below \( L_{\text{E}} \), even for large \( \dot{m} \). Such effects as those arising due to a finite diffusion time are omitted in the present study but this treatment is reasonable if efficient convective mixing of gas and radiation promotes rapid thermalization between them. Or alternatively, the viscosity parameter could be small, \( \alpha < 0.03 \), for which thermalization occurs within accretion time.

Second, thermalization timescales may exceed the accretion time for \( \alpha > 0.03 \) (Beloborodov 1998). Then, disk temperature gets remarkably high, \( \sim 10^8 \text{K} \) even for the parameters of AGN, producing much higher-energy radiation. However, such high-energy emission spectra do not reconcile with the observed soft spectra of NLS1s with soft excess of \( \sim 10^7 \text{K} \). Probably, again convective mixing of gas and radiation promotes rapid thermalization between them. Or alternatively, the viscosity parameter could be small, \( \alpha < 0.03 \), for which thermalization occurs within accretion time.

Finally, radiation field is more likely to be anisotropic; i.e., radiation mostly goes out in the vertical direction to the disk plane, whereas matter approaches to the black hole along the disk plane. This much reduces flux to an observer viewed from the direction with large inclination angles. Sub-Eddington luminosity is expected even when the total \( L \) exceeds \( L_{\text{E}} \).

5.2. Limit-cycle oscillations

Since the standard and slim-disk branches form an S-shape in the thermal equilibrium curves (Abramowicz et al. 1988), there is a possibility of limit-cycle oscillations between the two branches (Honma et al. 1991; Szuszkiewicz, Miller 1998). Such oscillations, if occurs, will possibly explain quasi-periodic outburst behavior, which may explain transient AGN phenomena in otherwise normal (inactive) galactic nuclei (see, e.g., Koss, Bade 1999; Koss, Greiner 1999), although repeated outbursts will produce permanent AGN-typical NLR emission lines, which are not observed in some sources. Alternatively, the S-shaped curve may produce soft-hard transitions; i.e., transitions from the slim disk to the optically thin ADAF (Takeuchi, Mineshige 1998). These possibilities should be elucidated in future.

5.3. Implications on the cosmological evolution

It is often argued that for a BH to increase its mass by a factor of \( \sim e \) it takes \( t_{\text{E}} \equiv M/M_{\text{E}} \sim 10^8 \text{yr} \), since the maximum accretion rate onto a BH is regulated by the Eddington rate, \( M_{\text{E}} \sim 10L_{\text{E}}/c^2 \). Then, it takes quite a long time, \( \sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{yr} \), to make a \( 10^8 M_\odot \) BH out of \( \sim 10M_\odot \) BH. Much shorter time is enough, however, if supercritical accretion is allowed. Indeed, supercritical accretion is unavoidable to account for the present quasar population (e.g. Kauffmann, Haehnelt 1999). It might be that there used to be numerous NLS1s in the past. The observational consequences need to be investigated.

6. Conclusions

To summarize, we discussed various aspects of the slim disk in the context of NLS1s in comparison with the other disk models (see table 3). The followings are the main conclusions.

1. The disk begins to exhibit the slim-disk properties, when the luminosity moderately exceeds \( L_{\text{E}} \). Then, substantial amount of radiation is expected to originate from inside the marginally stable last circular orbit at \( 3r_\text{S} \). Therefore, a small \( r_{\text{in}} < 3r_\text{S} \) does not necessarily mean the presence of a Kerr hole.

2. If we fit the spectrum of the slim disk with the disk blackbody model, the derived size of the X-ray emitting region will be \( r_\text{in} < 3r_\text{S} \) and the maximum temperature will be \( kT_\text{in} < 0.2M_5^{-1/4} \text{keV} \). Further, \( p \equiv d\ln T_{\text{eff}}/d\ln r \) decreases from 0.75 to \( 0.5 \) as \( M \) increases.

Table 3. Basic disk models and their properties.

| energy balance      | standard disk (\( \tau > 1 \)) | ADAF (\( \tau < 1 \)) | ADAF (\( \tau > 1 \)) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| disk models         | \( Q_{\text{vis}} = Q_{\text{rad}} \gg Q_{\text{adv}} \) | \( Q_{\text{vis}} = Q_{\text{adv}} \gg Q_{\text{rad}} \) | \( Q_{\text{vis}} = Q_{\text{adv}} \gg Q_{\text{rad}} \) |
| disk height         | \( H \ll r \)                   | \( H \ll r \)          | \( H \ll r \)          |
| electron temperature| \( \sim 10^6 M_5^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r/r_\text{S})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{K} \) | \( \lesssim 10^8 \text{K} \) | \( \sim 2 \times 10^6 M_5^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r/r_\text{S})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{K} \) |
| ion temperature     | \( \sim 10^6 M_5^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r/r_\text{S})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{K} \) | \( \sim 10^{12}(r/r_\text{S})^{-1} \text{K} \) | \( \sim 2 \times 10^6 M_5^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r/r_\text{S})^{-\frac{2}{3}} \text{K} \) |
| luminosity          | \( L \propto M \)               | \( L \propto (M)^2 \)  | \( L \propto \ln M \)  |
| spectrum            | blackbody                       | power-law              | blackbody              |
| final energy form   | emitted radiation               | internal energy of gas | trapped radiation      |
| magnetic energy     | \( E_{\text{mag}} \ll E_{\text{grav}} \sim E_{\text{rad}} \) | \( E_{\text{mag}} \sim E_{\text{grav}} \gg E_{\text{rad}} \) | \( E_{\text{mag}} \sim E_{\text{grav}} > E_{\text{rad}} \) |
| fluctuation         | small                           | large                  | large                  |
3. All the ASCA data of NLS1s fall on the region with supercritical accretion, $\dot{m} > 10$, supporting that the disks in NLS1s are likely to be slim disks.

4. The slim disk can also produce large fluctuations because release of magnetic-field energy (which will produce fluctuations via magnetic flares) dominates over the energy release due to persistent emission.
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