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Abstract

Transit Timing Variation (TTV) of hot Jupiters provides direct observational evidence of planet tidal dissipation. Detecting tidal dissipation through TTV needs high precision transit timings and long timing baselines. In this work, we predict and discuss the potential scientific contribution of the SiTian Survey in detecting and analyzing exoplanet TTV. We develop a tidal dissipation detection pipeline for the SiTian Survey that aims at time-domain astronomy with 72 1 m optical telescopes. The pipeline includes the modules of light curve deblending, transit timing acquisition and TTV modeling. SiTian is capable of detecting more than 25,000 exoplanets among which we expect ∼50 sources to show evidence of tidal dissipation. We present detection and analysis of tidal dissipating targets, based on simulated SiTian light curves of XO-3b and WASP-161b. The transit light curve modeling gives consistent results within 1σ to input values of simulated light curves. Also, the parameter uncertainties predicted by Markov Chain Monte Carlo are consistent with the distribution obtained from simulating and modeling the light curve 1000 times. The timing precision of SiTian observations is ∼0.5 minutes with one transit visit. We show that differences between TTV origins, e.g., tidal dissipation, apsidal precession and multiple planets, would be significant, considering the timing precision and baseline. The detection rate of tidal dissipating hot Jupiters would answer a crucial question of whether the planet migrates at an early formation stage or random stages due to perturbations, e.g., planet scattering or secular interaction. SiTian identified targets would be constructive given that the sample would extend tenfold.
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1. Introduction

Tidal migration is one mechanism that may explain why hot Jupiters occur at such close orbits, though direct observational evidence of orbital decay has been only achieved for a single planet, namely WASP-12b, in the past decades (Patra et al. 2017; Dawson & Johnson 2018; Turner et al. 2021). The first data release of Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS; Ricker et al. 2015) in 2018 led to the identification of four new candidates through Transit Timing Variation (TTV) detection (Davoudi et al. 2021; Dong et al. 2021; Shan et al. 2021; Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). TTV monitoring depends on the timing precision and baseline length which are key technical specifications for time-domain facilities, e.g., Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Bellm et al. 2019), Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST; Ivezić et al. 2019), Tsinghua University-Ma Huateng Telescopes for Survey (TMTS; Zhang et al. 2020; Lin et al. 2022), Antarctic Survey Telescopes (AST3; Ma et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019) and SiTian (Liu et al. 2021; Zhu et al. 2021). The latter is aiming to tune down the false-positive probability of time-domain signals, by implementing a global network of three-color photometric monitoring of one-quarter of the sky at a cadence of 30 min, down to a detection limit of V ~ 21 mag (Liu et al. 2021).

An observation may suggest a TTV signal if any constant period ephemeris cannot fit the observed timings well. TTV could originate from multiple physical processes, e.g., tidal dissipation, apsidal precession, Rømer effect and mass loss (Ragozzine & Wolf 2009; Valsecchi et al. 2015; Patra et al. 2017; Ou et al. 2021). Another TTV generation process is the interaction between planets in a multi-planet system which should cause oscillation in transit timing residual in an observable timescale (Holman et al. 2010; Weiss et al. 2013). However, it has been reported that a planet companion should not be close or massive enough to induce observable TTVs for hot Jupiters (Huang et al. 2016). Distinguishing among the...
Combining observations from wide field transit surveys, e.g., Kepler, TESS (Borucki et al. 2010; Ricker et al. 2015) and follow-up observations using focused telescopes, e.g., Characterising Exoplanet Satellite (CHEOPS; Benz et al. 2021), have been proved to be feasible in TTV detection and related researches (Borsato et al. 2021). Sariya et al. (2021) report TTVs of HAT-P-12b in the baseline of ten years, using light curves from small ground-based telescopes. The transit timings of WASP-32b are monitored and no significant TTV is found with the available data (Sun et al. 2015). WASP-43b is reported as a candidate showing period decay (Jiang et al. 2016) which attracted wide attention and scientific discussions (Davoudi et al. 2021; Garai et al. 2021). WASP-4b presents a significant TTV and is furtherly explained by the Römer effect (Bouma et al. 2019, 2020). HAT-P-25 is reported with no significant TTV which sets a limit on possible planet–planet interactions (Wang et al. 2018). The success in observation in turn motivates the development of planet formation and evolution theory (Dawson & Johnson 2018; Wang et al. 2019; Liu & Ji 2020).

The sample for tidal dissipation studies would be significantly enlarged in the next decade, especially through a joint survey of ground-based telescopes, e.g., SiTian and the next generation exoplanet space telescopes, e.g., Habitable Exoplanet Survey (HEPS; Yu et al. 2019, 2020) and Earth 2.0 Transit Planet Survey, and shall be studied in detail by ARIEL (Tinetti et al. 2018) and HABitable Terrestrial planetary ATMospheric Surveyor (HABITATS; Wang et al. 2020).

In this work, we discuss the potential contribution of SiTian in detecting and investigating tidal heating hot Jupiters. We present general-purpose tools for tidal dissipation detection, using the simulated light curves of SiTian. The detection pipeline contains four major steps, i.e., light curve generation, contamination light deblending, transit timing detection and transit timing modeling. The latter three modules are described given that light curve generation would be integrated into the SiTian science processing pipeline (Liu et al. 2021). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the SiTian exoplanet observation strategy and the generation of simulated SiTian light curves. In Section 3, we present the tidal dissipation identification pipeline and apply it to the simulated light curves of WASP-161b and XO-3b. In Section 4, we discuss the potential contribution of SiTian in planet tidal dissipation. In Section 5, a summary is provided.

2. SiTian Exoplanet Observational Strategy and Simulated Transit Light Curve Generation

SiTian is an integrated network of 1 m telescopes, aiming at time-domain astronomy (Liu et al. 2021). It shall produce a huge amount of light curves, that would contribute to time-domain researches of targets with physical size ranging from galaxy clusters to planets (Liu et al. 2019, 2020; Yang et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2022; Lennon et al. 2021; Ngeow et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021; Yang et al. 2021c). Monitoring the same area of the sky simultaneously in three bands (\(u, g, i\)), SiTian will deliver high precision timing measurements, enabling detections of TTVs. The commissioning of SiTian is expected to be before around 2030, and the first three prototypes of equipment may start their operation in the middle of 2022.

2.1. SiTian Technical Specification for Exoplanet Research

SiTian will eventually operate with a worldwide network of 72 telescopes, monitoring a sky area of \(\sim 30,000 \text{deg}^2\) (Liu et al. 2021). The main monitoring sky coverage is \(\sim 10,000 \text{deg}^2\) which can be observed by telescopes located in China. The typical exposure time is 1 minute, resulting in a 5\(\sigma\) brightness limit of 21.1 mag in the \(g\)-band (Liu et al. 2021). The photometric precision is expected to reach 1\% for point sources with \(V = 16 \text{mag}\) (Liu et al. 2021), assuming the detector has a quantum efficiency (QE) of 70\%, read noise of 7 e\(^-\), an optical throughput of 70\%, filter transmission fraction of 70\%, seeing of 2\" and night-sky brightness of 21.1 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\). The applied CMOS detector GSENSE4040 has a readout noise of 3.7 e\(^-\) (Liu et al. 2021). A classic good observing site has an average night-sky brightness around or better than 22.0 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) and a median seeing size better than 1", for example, the Lenghu site (Deng et al. 2021), which is the most promising major Chinese site for SiTian. Using these parameters for calculation, the photometric precision is predicted as shown in Table 1. The photometric precision is \(\sim 700 \text{parts per million (ppm)}\) at \(g\)-band and 1075 ppm at \(i\)-band for 12 mag targets (as depicted in Figure 1). The photometric uncertainties of sources brighter than 12 mag are dominated by photon noise.

| Limiting magnitude\(^a\) | 20.2 | 21.1 | 20.4 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|
| Photometric Precision at Certain Magnitude | | | |
| Magnitude | \(\sigma(u)\) | \(\sigma(g)\) | \(\sigma(i)\) |
| 16 Mag | 0.85\% | 0.48\% | 0.76\% |
| 12 Mag\(^b\) | 1258 ppm | 708 ppm | 1075 ppm |

Notes.
\(^a\) 5\(\sigma\) limiting magnitude.
\(^b\) The photometric errors of brighter sources or longer exposure times are dominated by Poisson error.
addition, the SiTian mission would rely on at least three 4 m class spectroscopic telescopes for follow-up observation.

The data will be reduced by the SiTian collaboration in two modes, i.e., online and offline (Liu et al. 2021). The quick data reduction, light curve retrieval and classification will be performed in the online mode immediately after observation with a typical delay of 1 minute. In addition, alerts for transient events and other interesting targets will be triggered. Full data products, e.g., time-domain images, light curves and target catalogs, would be available and released to the public after reducing by the offline pipeline.

The capability of an observation to detect the transit signal in a planetary system can be represented by the signal to noise ratio \( S/R \) as

\[
S/R = \sqrt{t_{\text{obs}} R_p^2 / \sigma_{\text{total}}},
\]

where \( t_{\text{obs}} \) is the total integration time for the source, \( P \) the orbital period, and \( R_p \) and \( R_\star \) the radius of the planet and host star, respectively. \( \sigma_{\text{total}} \) is the total uncertainty in the duration of a transit event, taking into account Poisson photon noise, uncorrected stellar variability and equipment noise (Borucki et al. 2010). For example, a star with \( g = 12 \) observed by SiTian would have a \( \sigma_{\text{total}} \) of 36 ppm when the \( t_{\text{obs}} \) \( \sim \) 6.5 hr, a typical transit duration of hot Jupiters (Akeson et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2022, 2021b). A typical stellar variability level for a low variability star like the Sun is \( \sim \) 10 ppm on the timescale of a planetary transit (Jenkins 2002). This stellar variability is significantly smaller than Poisson photon noise. The \( \sigma_{\text{total}} \) is \( \sim \) 239 ppm for a 16 mag star in the same stacked time.

The average observation time \( t_{\text{obs}} \) can be estimated by multiplying the survey time and the ratio of exposure time to scanning cadence. The total field of view of SiTian is 600 deg^2. The cadence for the main sky coverage (10,000 deg^2) is 30 minutes. Assuming 8 hr observation time per night and 300 observing nights per year, the average annual \( t_{\text{obs}} \) is 3.3 days. For a Neptune-sized planet (3 \( R_\oplus \)) orbiting a \( g = 12 \) mag star with orbital period of 30 days, the average S/N is 8.2 in one year observation, superior to the empirical exoplanet detection threshold S/R = 7.1 (Borucki et al. 2010; Fressin et al. 2013; Christiansen et al. 2015 and references therein). For a \( g = 16 \) Sun-like star, the S/R for an orbiting Jupiter-sized planet is \( \sim \) 16 in one year of observation. The S/R is the same for a Neptune orbiting an M-type star. A more detailed discussion of planet detection rate shall be presented in the following work, describing the pipeline of exoplanet detection (Yang et al. in preparation). Here, we present a simple and general calculation.

The number of planets that can be detected by a survey depends on three factors, i.e., the planet occurrence rate, star counts and solid angle. The solid angle equals to \( R_p/\alpha \), where \( R_p \) is planet radius and \( \alpha \) is orbital semimajor axis (Borucki et al. 2010). The planet occurrence rate is estimated to be \( \sim 0.15 \) for SiTian’s capability, based on the knowledge and lessons gained from the Kepler mission (Howard et al. 2012; Fressin et al. 2013; Christiansen et al. 2015).

Taking advantage of the high spatial resolution of \( \sim 1'' \), and considering the number density of bright stars, SiTian plans to monitor the Galactic plane for exoplanet research. There are about 6.5 million stars brighter than 12 mag in the Galactic plane with Galactic longitude \( b \) between 0° and 20° (Robin et al. 2003). This leads to an expected planet detection of 25,000 if applying an average solid angle of 2.5% (Akeson et al. 2013). The expected detected planet rate would thereby be 250 per year, assuming 1% of SiTian time is allocated for bright sources. We note that the blending of nearby sources is correctable (see details in Section 2.2) for a bright target transit observation (Yang et al. 2021a, 2021b, 2022). Therefore, monitoring crowded stars in the Galactic plane is an efficient strategy for SiTian to detect transiting planets.

The expected number of stars with \( g \sim 15-17 \) mag and with absolute \( b \) between 20° and 40° is \( \sim \) 1 million (Robin et al. 2003). The occurrence of Jupiter-sized planets around Sun-like stars is about 0.06 (Fressin et al. 2013), which implies the detection of 1500 Jupiter-sized exoplanets orbiting Sun-like stars by SiTian. In addition, about 1400 Neptune-sized exoplanets orbiting M-type star are expected to be detected, considering the small size of M-type stars and their large abundance of \( \sim 70\% \) (Chabrier 2003).

The detections of these 2900 exoplanets around faint stars are byproducts of SiTian’s main scientific objectives. We emphasize that with only 1% of observing time devoted to bright stars, SiTian may discover 2500 additional exoplanets in ten years of observation and up to 25,000 planets with 10% of time.

2.2. SiTian Data for Transit Timing Analysis and Simulated Transit Light Curves

The proposed SiTian mission would significantly extend the sample size of exoplanets. The expected multiple timing measurements of these newly discovered targets from SiTian may already allow a transit timing analysis. Meanwhile, SiTian’s main survey or follow-up discretionary program of the known planets with reported timing observation and TTV evidence will provide opportunities for a full TTV study of these targets. The planet identification package will detect exoplanet candidates and provide their preliminary orbital and planetary parameters (Yang et al., in preparation). For research on TTV, it is necessary to build a specialized package to obtain more precise transit parameters, especially the transit timings. The potential targets for the pipeline include already-known hot Jupiters and new transiting hot Jupiters detected from the SiTian survey. Below we describe our simulation of SiTian’s capability on the study of TTV and tidal dissipation.
The deblending of the light curve is crucial for the transit planet survey programs with poor spatial resolution and is particularly important for studies relying on high precision transit depth measurements (Yang et al. 2021b, 2022). We note that the high spatial resolution and sampling are some of the major advantages of SiTian.

We model and remove blended light from unresolved sources in the vicinity of the target for the TESS image which has a pixel size of 21″ (Yang et al. 2022). First, the correlation between the fraction of blended light and the distance of the contaminating star to the target is built. The step-by-step description of blending-distance correlation is available in our previous work (Yang et al. 2022). With this relation, one can calculate the contamination fraction of every source and their sum is the total blending fraction. The calculation needs external information from the Gaia catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) on the flux and position of individual stars inside the aperture of the target star.

The deblending method that we have developed delivers TESS brightness highly consistent the Gaia brightness (Yang et al. 2022). The derived transit depths are consistent within 1σ with those given by the TESS Pre-Search Data Conditioning (PDC, Smith et al. 2022) modules for the comparison samples (Yang et al. 2021b, 2022). The deblending package has been provided as a general-purpose software.5

For this purpose, we first simulate the “observed” SiTian g-band light curves of two hot Jupiters with the host star of 12 mag. The sampling interval is set as 1 minute with the input observational uncertainty of 708 ppm (as shown in Table 1). The input parameters are set the same as those of WASP-161b and XO-3b, which shows evidence for TTV (Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). For each source, we simulate 1000 transit light curves. The light curves are all set with a total observation time of 8 hours. The simulated light curves are determined by a polynomial fit to the several-hour out-of-transit baseline data, which has been demonstrated to be a valid approach in Yang et al. (2021a, 2021b, 2022).

WASP-161b is a hot Jupiter orbiting an F6-type star every 5.41 days (Barkaoui et al. 2019) and is reported to bear significant TTV related to tidal dissipation (Yang & Chary 2021). The giant planet has a mass of $M_p = 2.49 \pm 0.21 M_J$ and a radius ($R_p$) of $1.14 \pm 0.06 R_J$. The host star has a mass of $1.39 \pm 0.14 M_\odot$ and a radius of $1.71 \pm 0.08 R_\odot$. Applying a combined-fit to both the radial velocity curve and the TESS 2-minute cadence light curve, Yang & Chary (2021) report an orbital eccentricity of $e = 0.34 \pm 0.03$, an inclination of $89.58 \pm 0.28$ and a semimajor axis in stellar radii ($a/R_\star$) of $6.57 \pm 0.45$. In addition, a significant TTV is reported by combining the TESS observation and the archival timing measurements.

5 https://github.com/sailoryf/TESS_Deblending/, and will be used for the deblending and correction of the SiTian light curves.

XO-3b is another hot Jupiter with significant TTVs (Shan et al. 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). The planet-star system has an orbital period ($P_{orb}$) of 3.19 days, an $a$ of $4.95 \pm 0.18$, an inclination of $84.20 \pm 0.54$ and an $e$ of $0.27587^{+0.00071}_{-0.00067}$ (Winn et al. 2008; Bonomo et al. 2017; Stassun et al. 2017). The planet has an $M_p$ of $11.70 \pm 0.42 M_J$ and an $R_p$ of $1.217 \pm 0.073 R_J$. The host star has an $M_\star$ of $1.213 \pm 0.066 M_\odot$ and an $R_\star$ of $1.377 \pm 0.083 R_\odot$.

When simulating the observed light curve, and performing light curve model fitting, we applied the classic planet transit model assuming a Keplerian orbit from Mandel & Agol (2002). The parameters include $R_p/R_\star$, $a/R_\star$, transit mid-point $T_C$, inclination, argument of periapsis, time of periapsis passage, longitude of the ascending node and quadratic limb darkening coefficients ($a_1$ and $a_2$). The limb darkening coefficients are inserted from limb darkening models, depending on the stellar type of the host star and observational band (Claret 2000; Claret & Bloemen 2011; Yang et al. 2021a, 2021c). We set the transit midpoints as the time zero reference for comparison purposes. Examples of synthetic light curves for WASP-161b and XO-3b are as featured in Figure 1. The blended light from sources nearby is negligible given that no comparable sources within $10''$ are detected and SiTian data have a pixel resolution of $1''$.

3. The Pipeline for Tidal Dissipation Candidates Identification

Our pipeline to search for the tidal dissipation candidates is first developed for TESS data, including four main modules: the light curve generation and detrending, the light curve deblending from contamination by additional stars inside the aperture, transit timing determinations, and multi-epoch timing analysis. The light curve generation and detrending will be performed by the SiTian data reduction pipeline (Liu et al. 2021) which should be more specialized for SiTian data. We have described light curve deblending in Section 2.2. Below, we describe timing acquisition, and timing modeling modules in detail. Our pipeline is based on the usage of functions from NumPy (Harris et al. 2020), Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), EXOFAST (Eastman et al. 2013) and PYMC (Patil et al. 2010). NumPy and Astropy provide basic statistics and astronomical calculations. EXOFAST utilizes the transit model from Mandel & Agol (2002). PYMC furnishes the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique.

3.1. Modeling Transit Light Curve and Obtaining Transit Timing

The MCMC technique (Patil et al. 2010; Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), or alternatively, the multimodal nested sampling algorithms (MULTINEST; Feroz et al. 2009) are widely utilized for fitting to transit light curves. These two methods have been compared in previous work and the results obtained...
Figure 1. The simulated and model-predicted light curves. The blue points are the simulated SiTian light curve and the red points are binned data for clarity. The black line shows the best-fit model light curves, while the yellow region presents the 1σ significance region. The fitting residuals are displayed below the light curves. The top panel refers to WASP-161b and the bottom panel refers to XO-3b.
are consistent (Yang et al. 2021a). In our pipeline, we currently implement the MCMC method and may add the MULTINEST method as an option in future versions.

The fitting procedure applies the Mandel & Agol (2002) model assuming a Keplerian orbit to generate theoretical transit light curves. All parameters involved in light curve generation are set as free parameters. Uniform priors ranging through the whole reasonable space are used for all these parameters, except for the limb darkening coefficients, which use Gaussian priors with a $\sigma$ of 0.05. The central priors of the limb darkening coefficients are dependent on stellar spectral types and can be inferred via interpolation of the classic limb darkening model (Claret 2000; Claret & Bloemen 2011; Yang et al. 2021a). The spectral types of the planet host stars can be found from Gaia catalogs. The induced uncertainties in our work can be up to a few percent in radius ratios and are not significant for the timing study (Yang et al. 2021a).

The MCMC algorithm omits some first steps as burn-in and applies a number of iterations for probability statistics. More iterations should more likely give an effective MCMC fitting. However, the numbers of omitted steps and the applicable steps linearly relate to the time consumed for MCMC fitting. In practice, we show that 30,000 as burn-in numbers and 50,000 as applicable steps are high enough for fitting the TESS light curve (Yang et al. 2021a, 2021c).

An investigation has been performed for the simulated SiTian light curves, to determine the threshold of the chain steps for securing stable MCMC results. For both WASP-161b and XO-3b, 100 light curves are generated using their reported parameters as input. We then fit the light curves and monitor the stability of the MCMC results for the 100 runs. The monitoring affirms that the MCMC fitting becomes stable when 10,000 steps are used as burn-in and 20,000 steps are applied as analysis. Considering possible extra fluctuation due to the diversity of planet parameters and light curve quality in real applications, we apply 30,000 as burn-in and 50,000 for a statistic in the pipeline. We note that a tenfold-length chain will be used for high priority sources which already manifest evidence for tidal dissipation, for example, WASP-161 b and XO-3 b. The probability statistics of their parameters are depicted in Figure 2.

The MCMC fitting obtains a $T_C$ of 0.00027 ± 0.00031 days (0.39 ± 0.44 minutes) for WASP-161b and a $T_C$ of 0.00010 ± 0.00022 days (0.14 ± 0.32 minutes) for XO-3b, consistent with the input $T_C$ of 0. We apply further simulations to investigate whether the MCMC fitting is robust. We generate another 1000 light curves for each planet and fit them individually. The resulting distributions are very consistent within 1$\sigma$ to the MCMC derived distributions in fitting one particular light curve. Taking $T_C$ as an example, the distributions are 0.00000 ± 0.00038 days (0 ± 0.54 minutes) for WASP-161b and 0.00001 ± 0.00027 days (0.01 ± 0.39 minutes) for XO-3b, as depicted in Figure 3. Therefore, we conclude that the MCMC fitting gives precise timing measurement and the timing precision obtained for the simulated SiTian light curve is ~0.5 minutes for a single transit observation.

### 3.2. Transit Timing Modeling and Tidal Dissipation Identification

For hot Jupiters, observed TTV signal can be accounted for by various mechanisms, e.g., tidal dissipation, apsidal precession, Romer effect, multi-planet interaction and mass loss. Exploring these physical processes is among the main scopes of SiTian for exoplanet research. The apsidal precession scenario can be identified or rejected by studying the shape of the light curve (Jordán & Bakos 2008; Antoniello et al. 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). For the mass-loss case, the TTV signal is dependent on the mass rate and amplitude, which can be constrained well by orbital parameters. The Romer effect can be tackled by long-term radial velocity monitoring of the host star, or high-resolution imaging search for a stellar-mass companion (Siverd et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2021c).

In principle, a TTV signal induced by tidal dissipation should exhibit a constant period derivative, which can be easily spotted from transit timing data using our developed pipeline (as depicted in Figure 4).

As predicted by the tidal dissipation model, timing variation follows a quadratic function of the transit epochs (Patra et al. 2017; Yang & Chary 2021)

$$t_{\text{trans}}(N) = t_0 + NP + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dP}{dN} N^2,$$

where $t_{\text{trans}}(N)$ is the timing of the $N$th transit and $t_0$ is the zeropoint.

It was found in previous works that timings of WASP-161b and XO-3b significantly favor a quadratic function model over linear models (Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). We present the predicted SiTian timing measurements of WASP-161b and XO-3b in 2022, 2027 and 2031 (as displayed in Figure 4). Transit midpoints are generated according to Equation (2). We recalculate Bayesian information criterion (BIC) values to estimate the evidence among different models. The $\Delta$BIC of WASP-161b and XO-3b is 8.8 and 383 respectively obtained from archival timings, favoring a quadratic model compared to a linear model. The $\Delta$BIC of WASP-161b and XO-3b between linear and quadratic models would be larger than $5.5 \times 10^5$ and $1.8 \times 10^4$ respectively, favoring quadratic models.

Adding the future SiTian measurements is shown to be highly advantageous for distinguishing the difference between the constant period model and the period decaying model. In our tidal dissipation detection module, a target with the BIC difference between the best fit quadratic model and the best fit linear model larger than 5 will be flagged as a candidate planet with tidal dissipation. These candidates shall be further studied.
Figure 2. The posterior distribution obtained from the MCMC fitting to the simulated light curves of WASP-161b (top) and XO-3b (bottom). The dashed lines in the diagonal histograms signify the 1σ regions. The corner plot uses the routine from Foreman-Mackey (2016).
and verified by follow-up observations including more transit epoch measurements and radial velocity monitoring.

We present timings and follow-up strategy of KELT-19Ab as an example. KELT-19Ab is reported to hold a maximum stellar acceleration of 4 m s$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ caused by the binarity of its host star (Siverd et al. 2018). Applying the relation between the stellar acceleration and transit period derivative from Bouma et al. (2020), the transit period would present a derivative of 5.32 ms yr$^{-1}$. Shan et al. (2021) report a possible but not significant period derivative of 112 ± 94 ms yr$^{-1}$.

Timings obtained from SiTian would potentially distinguish these different scenarios. SiTian timing predictions are obtained following the same process as described above with planet parameters taken from Siverd et al. (2018). The timing would present a difference at ~1.5 minutes in 2022 between a linear and quadratic model obtained from archival timings available (as shown in Figure 5). The difference would be significant when one has timings in 2027 and 2031. The ΔBIC obtained from archival timings between linear and quadratic models is 2.1, slightly favoring a quadratic model. It would derive a ΔBIC of 1963 until 2031 if the observational timings are as predicted by the quadratic model (as seen in Figure 5).

Moreover, the stellar acceleration would be detectable with SiTian observation in 2031. It would reveal a 1-minute difference between the constant period and the stellar acceleration models. The period derivative due to acceleration is taken from Shan et al. (2021).

Moreover, long-term transit observation can also help to discriminate between possible TTV origins. Planets in a multi-planet system are usually not massive and close enough to each other to cause TTVs with an amplitude larger than 10 minutes (Holman et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2016). Therefore, it may rule out the possibility of a multi-planet origin, if either a monotonic TTV or a large TTV amplitude is observed, as plotted in Figure 4. Another important point arguing for a possible origin, apsidal precession, appearing as transit duration variation (TTV), should be more significant than TTV (Pál & Kocsis 2008; Ragozzine & Wolf 2009). The TTV can be obtained by modeling the transit light curves as well. No evidence of TTV in the archival data is found for WASP-161b and XO-3b (Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). We expect that the SiTian project may provide additional observation of TTV.

4. Discussion

The observed TTV signal and its interpretation are highly dependent on the data quality of timing observation. Any unexpected factors in deriving the transit timing parameters may change the period derivative (a detailed example is provided in Shan et al. 2021; Yang & Chary 2021), and thus lead to different explanations. Therefore, long-baseline transit monitoring with high precision is crucial for enhancing understanding of the tidal dissipation process.

We have introduced the capacity of SiTian in modeling the tidal dissipation of WASP-161b and XO-3b (as shown in Figure 4). For them, we have scheduled multi-epoch transit observations from 2022 with the SiTian prototype telescopes. In addition, continuous observation of at least one transit per five years is proposed. This observation would improve the accuracy and robustness of the period derivative, which is important for modeling the dissipation process for these two benchmark planets.

The migration is believed to be the reason that gas giant planets can exist on such close orbits (Dawson & Johnson 2018). The migration is probably due to one of the two scenarios: tidal migration that loses angular momentum by, e.g., planet scattering, stellar companion scattering and secular interaction (Naoz et al. 2011; Wu & Lithwick 2011); or migration due to disk friction in the early stage of planet formation (Lin et al. 1996). A high eccentricity system showing period decaying favors the former model (Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). Also, the tidal dissipation occurrence rate should be higher for the former model. It is likely that both migration mechanisms may contribute separately to different...
sources, or even act in the same source while in different evolutionary stages.

Interestingly, the system parameters of the five reported tidal dissipation exoplanets show obvious diversity (Patra et al. 2017; Davoudi et al. 2021; Dong et al. 2021; Yang & Chary 2021; Yang & Wei 2021). Extending the available sample is crucial for further statistical investigations. Using the methods introduced by Dong et al. (2018), Zhu & Dong (2021), we are performing statistical analysis to find possible relationships between the eccentricity, orbital radius or semimajor axis, obliquity, metallicity, and planet-multiplicity with no conclusive results yet due to the limited sample size.

A large number of candidate systems with evidence of tidal dissipation may be discovered or identified by SiTian, which
will be of great importance for understanding tidal migration. The number of detections depends on the real occurrence rate of planets with tidal dissipation, which is one of the most important questions to answer by future observations, e.g., SiTian. The current fraction of such systems is 5 out of more than 4000. We note that planets other than hot Jupiters bearing tidal dissipation should be rarer. We expect to discover $\sim 50$ new tidal dissipation star-planet systems taking into account at least one order of magnitude larger sample size. Moreover, other targets showing TTV signals but caused by other mechanisms, such as planet–planet interactions and apsidal precession, will be identified by our pipeline as byproducts.

For targets with high scientific interest, follow-up observation would be promptly scheduled with the SiTian 4 m class spectroscopic follow-up telescopes (Liu et al. 2021). The follow-up observation would give us information about, e.g., host star properties, binarity and planet multiplicity. In addition, future advanced facilities will provide important opportunities for deep investigations, for example, HABITATS, which is a proposed mission for a 4–6 m space telescope aiming at modeling the atmospheric features of exoplanets down to Earth-like planets (Wang et al. 2020). The high-precision transmission spectra from HABITATS will help us understand the atmosphere properties of tidal dissipation targets, including the composition, thermal structures, inflation and escape. This information shall yield a comprehensive understanding of the physical processes happening in tidal dissipation sources.

5. Summary

We describe a pipeline for tidal dissipation detection as software preparation for the ongoing SiTian survey which is expected to have first light of the three prototype telescopes in the middle of 2022. The SiTian survey will have 72 telescopes in total with full installation continuing until 2030 (Liu et al. 2021). We have estimated SiTian’s capability for detecting exoplanets, based on its technical parameters. SiTian is expected to discover 5000 to 25,000 new exoplanets. Assuming a similar tidal dissipation occurrence, there would be $\sim 50$ hot Jupiters showing evidence for tidal dissipation.

The pipeline for tidal dissipation detection has modules of light curve deblending, transit light curve modeling and timing modeling for tidal dissipation detection. The light curve deblending is based on our developed algorithm for TESS light curve deblending (Yang et al. 2022). For each target, a relationship between the contamination fraction and the distance to the target will be built, based on the brightness and position measurements for individual stars from the Gaia catalog (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), and then corrected to obtain “uncontaminated” light curves for SiTian.

We set the reported period decaying candidates of WASP-161b and XO-3b as examples to describe our pipeline and the contribution of SiTian data. We simulate SiTian light curves for WASP-161b and XO-3b with a photometric precision of $\sim 700$ ppm with 1-minute cadence, estimated from SiTian’s technical
parameters. The MCMC technique is applied when modeling transit light curves. We show that our transit timing measurements have a precision of 0.5 minutes for a single transit observation.

We conclude that the inclusion of SiTian data shall provide key evidence to discriminate between various TTV origin models. For WASP-16b and XO-3b, a tidal dissipation origin seems to be the most likely explanation. The proposed future SiTian measurements shall significantly improve the model confidence and robustness.
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