Parthenogenesis as a Solution to Hybrid Sterility: The Mechanistic Basis of Meiotic Distortions in Clonal and Sterile Hybrids
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ABSTRACT Hybrid sterility is a hallmark of speciation, but the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly understood. Here, we report that speciation may regularly proceed through a stage at which gene flow is completely interrupted, but hybrid sterility occurs only in male hybrids whereas female hybrids reproduce asexually. We analyzed gametogenic pathways in hybrids between the fish species Cobitis elongatoides and C. taenia, and revealed that male hybrids were sterile owing to extensive asynapsis and crossover reduction among heterospecific chromosomal pairs in their gametes, which was subsequently followed by apoptosis. We found that polyploidization allowed pairing between homologous chromosomes and therefore partially rescued the bivalent formation and crossover rates in triploid hybrid males. However, it was not sufficient to overcome sterility. In contrast, both diploid and triploid hybrid females exhibited premeiotic genome endoreplication, thereby ensuring proper bivalent formation between identical chromosomal copies. This endoreplication ultimately restored female fertility but it simultaneously resulted in the obligate production of clonal gametes, preventing any interspecific gene flow. In conclusion, we demonstrate that the emergence of asexuality can remedy hybrid sterility in a sex-specific manner and contributes to the speciation process.
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Most multicellular organisms pass their genes to the next generation through specialized cells, the gametes. Although, the molecular machinery controlling the production of reduced gametes is highly conserved among Metazoans (Bernstein and Bernstein 2010), gametogenesis has been repeatedly modified over the course of evolution, giving rise to many clonal or asexual taxa. Such organisms are excellent models to address fundamental questions pertaining to persistence of sex. Nevertheless, surprisingly few answers have been posited for even the most basic questions. For instance, unreduced gametes may be produced by a wide range of cytogenetic mechanisms, ranging from completely ameiotic processes (apomixis) to those with meiosis and even recombination retained (automixis) [reviewed by Stenberg and Saura (2009) and Lenormand et al. (2016)]. However, it is unclear why some mechanisms differ even among closely related species while other gametogenic pathways have evolved more commonly across unrelated asexual taxa. A common way of achieving clonality is via the premeiotic endoreplication of chromosomes during the proliferation of germ cells, which allows the pairing of identical chromosomal copies during meiotic division and leads to the production of unreduced gametes and a lack of variability among offspring (Figure 1) (Macgregor and Uzzel 1964; Lutes et al. 2010).
It also remains unclear what mechanisms cause the switch from sexual to asexual reproduction. Since many asexual organisms are interspecific hybrids, it seems likely that a link between asexual reproduction and interspecific hybridization exists. To explain this link, Ernst (1918) proposed that the type of a hybrid’s reproduction depends on divergence between its parental species, following a continuum from sexually reproducing hybrids between closely related parents to obligate asexual hybrids between distant parental species. More recently, Moritz et al. (1989) formulated the “balance hypothesis,” which assumes that asexuality may arise when hybridizing species accumulate a sufficient number of incompatibilities between genes to disrupt meiosis in hybrids, yet not enough to seriously compromise their fertility. On the other hand, De Storme and Mason (2014) suggested that the production of unreduced gametes might be a consequence of decreased sequence homology preventing the pairing and segregation of orthologous chromosomes in a hybrid.

Carman (1997) suggested that hybrid asexuality results from asynchronous expression of genes brought together by hybridization between species with differently timed developmental programs.

Although empirical support for these hypotheses remains scarce, recent analysis of diversification and speciation in spined loaches (Cobitis, Teleostei) has brought direct evidence that emergence of asexuality is a direct consequence of interspecific hybridization and is also correlated with phylogenetic divergence of parental species (Choleva et al. 2012, Janko et al. 2018). Namely, we found that early stages of Cobitis speciation were accompanied by the production of sexually reproducing hybrids mediating interspecific gene flow. At later stages, hybridization between diverged species did not lead to sexually reproducing hybrids anymore, but instead gave rise to exclusively sterile males and clonal females. Since production of unrecombined gametes in hybrids efficiently restricts interspecific gene exchange (Lampert et al. 2007; Janko et al. 2018), these data demonstrate that the production of clonal gametes represents a special form of postzygotic barrier that tends to evolve at lower divergences than complete sterility or hybrid inviability (Janko et al. 2018). Comparative analysis of genetic divergences and reproductive modes across Actinopterygian fishes further showed that the “divergence window” allowing asexuality to arise is quite wide, ranging from closely related species pairs with <6% divergence in mitochondrial DNA to those with >15% divergence (Janko et al. 2018).

Thus, a century after Ernst (1918), it has become clear that theories relating the initiation of asexuality to hybridization have many analogies to speciation models that involve postzygotic reproductive incompatibilities. Indeed, both concepts emphasize the role of accumulating divergence between hybridizing species, and both seek the causal mechanisms underlying the emergence of asexuality as well as hybrid sterility. Namely, the balance hypothesis (Moritz et al. 1989) matches the Dobzhansky–Muller genic speciation models by postulating the prominent role of gene-to-gene interactions. On the other hand, the model of De Storme and Mason (2014) is similar to the original perspective of Bateson (1909) of nongenic residues as implemented in current chromosomal speciation models (Faria and Navarro 2010), and Carman (1997) envisaged the roles of diverged regulatory networks in the establishment of hybrid asexuality, which is similar to the perspective of Tulchinsky et al. (2014) regarding postzygotic trans-regulatory incompatibility.

An important feature common to both the accumulation of postzygotic reproductive incompatibilities and hybrid asexuality is their tendency to emerge in a sex-specific manner. Indeed, early stages of speciation are often characterized by a prominent decrease in fertility of only one hybrid sex, which may be related to the sex-determination system (Haldane 1922). Although in classical speciation cases both hybrid sexes ultimately achieve sterility at advanced stages of divergence, it remains unclear whether that proceeds by the same routes in males and females (e.g., Bhattacharyya et al. 2013; Torgasheva and Borodin 2016). Similarly, in the majority of asexually reproducing organisms, only the females are able to overcome the postzygotic barriers via alteration in gametogenesis. However, it is unclear whether female bias in asexual taxa results from true sex-specificity of clonal gametogenic pathways or from observational bias, as asexual males can escape researchers’ attention more easily than females because they usually cannot generate progeny on their own (for exceptions, see e.g., androgenetic taxa (Mantovani and Scali 1992)).

Therefore, current understanding of causal relationships between hybridization, asexuality, and speciation does not include mechanistic insight into similarities and differences between gametogenic pathways of the hybrid’s sexes. Interestingly, hybrid brothers of asexual females are usually sterile or absent (e.g., Park et al. 2011; Spangenberg et al. 2017; but see Graf and Polls-Pelaz 1989), which implies the existence of some sex-specific differences in chromosomal segregation pathways. In this respect, the most compelling information comes from the Misgurnus anguillicaudatus complex, which consists of several undescribed species, some of which produce hybrid males with gametogenesis failing due to the improper pairing of orthologous chromosomes, whereas hybrid females possess the ability to produce unreduced gametes due to premeiotic endoreplication (Kuroda et al. 2018, 2019). It is noteworthy that the production of clonal gametes is restricted to only some hybrid females, usually diploid. Other types produce reduced gametes or even several gamete types simultaneously (Zhang et al. 1998; Itono et al. 2006; Morishima et al. 2008).

In the present study, we analyzed the meiotic pathways of both hybrid sexes across two distinct ploidy levels. We investigated the mechanisms underlying asexuality and hybrid sterility using the freshwater fish of the Cobitis taenia hybrid species complex (Teleostei). This model taxon, which is distantly related to M. anguillicaudatus, is particularly advantageous for evolutionary studies owing to its well-resolved...
thereby contributes to speciation. Observations have shown that hybrid females (ET, 49 chromosomes) reproduce clonally and give rise to viable hybrids. Reproductive experiments and histological examinations have revealed that hybrid females are asexually propagating (Figure 1A). In contrast to their clonal sisters, the diploid (ET) and triploid (EET and ETT) male hybrids have drastically reduced reproductive capabilities, and appear to be incapable of either fertilizing normal haploid eggs or triggering the development of clonal eggs (Figure 1A) (Vasil’ev et al. 2003; Choleva et al. 2012; Juchno and Boroň 2018). The occasional fusion of sperm with hybrid egg pronuclei results in triploid progeny of both sexes with EET (74 chromosomes) or ETT (73 chromosomes) genomic constitutions, but only females are able to clonally propagate (Figure 1A). In contrast to their clonal sisters, the diploid (ET) and triploid (EET and ETT) male hybrids have drastically reduced reproductive capabilities, and appear to be incapable of either fertilizing normal haploid eggs or triggering the development of clonal eggs (Figure 1A) (Vasil’ev et al. 2003; Choleva et al. 2012; Juchno and Boroň 2018).

Our study resolves the link between asexuality and speciation by revealing the mechanistic basis of the sterility of hybrid males and the asexuality of hybrid females. It also elucidates the effects of genome duplications and polyploidy on hybrid gametogenesis. We show that a sex-specific cytogenetic mechanism underlying parthenogenetic reproduction may mitigate the effects of hybrid sterility in females, but provides an efficient barrier to interspecific gene flow and thereby contributes to speciation.

Materials and Methods

Samples

The identity, ploidy, and genome composition of every specimen in this study were evaluated using standard species-diagnostic markers (Janko et al. 2007b). In total, 15 males were analyzed: 3 C. taenia (TT), 3 C. elongatoides (EE), 5 diploid hybrid (ET), and 4 triploid hybrid (ETT) individuals. After genotype identification, the gonads of each male were inspected using classic histology examination, transmission electron microscopy, meiotic chromosome analyses, pachytene chromosome analyses, and DNA flow cytometry. As for females, we analyzed 12 individuals: 4 TT, 4 EE, 3 diploid hybrid ET, and 5 triploid hybrid ETT individuals. All females and the triploid ETT males were collected from the wild. Diploid ET males represent F1 generations from experimental crosses because hybrid males are not able to reproduce in nature. Thus, only triploid males are occasionally found as a result of ET females backcrossing to parental species and accidentally accepted sperm, while true diploid F1 males have never been observed in nature.

Histology and SEM

Fragments of testes for light and electron microscopy were fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde with phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide using the same buffer. After dehydration with an ethanol series, the tissues were embedded in Epon 812. Semithin sections were stained with methylene blue, while ultrathin sections of the selected areas were contrasted with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and analyzed using a JOELJEM-100Sx transmission electron microscope. Apoptotic cells were detected with the QIA33 DNA flow cytometry kit.

DNA flow cytometry

Genome sizes of cell populations from the testes were estimated by measurement of the cell nuclei using a BD FACS Aria II flow cytometer. A detailed description of the method is given in Supplemental Methods.

Mitotic and meiotic metaphase chromosomes

Mitotic and meiotic metaphase chromosome spreads were obtained from the kidneys and testes of sexual and hybrid males without colchicine treatment according to standard procedures (Macgregor and Varley 1983). To confirm the numbers and morphologies of chromosomes and bivalent formations, chromosome metaphases were initially stained with Giemsa staining buffer (5%, 10 min).

Pachytene chromosomes and immunofluorescent staining

Pachytene chromosome spreads were prepared from testes according to Moens (2006) and from ovaries following Araya-Jaime et al. (2015). Synaptonemal complexes (SCs) were visualized using immunofluorescent staining with antibodies against SYCP3 (ab14206; Abcam) and SYCP1 (a gift from Sean M. Burgess). The recombination sites were visualized by antibody staining against the MLH1 (ab15093; Abcam) proteins. Detailed descriptions of pachytene chromosome preparation and immunofluorescent staining are given in File S1.

FISH and comparative genomic hybridization

Telomeric FISH using a Cy3-labeled peptide nucleic acid (PNA) probe was performed on metaphase and pachytene chromosomes according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Telomere PNA FISH Kit/Cy3, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). After telomeric FISH, slides were destained and comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) was performed according to Majtánová et al. (2016). A detailed description of CGH methodology is given in File S1.
**Diplotene chromosomes**

Chromosomes during the diplotene stage (lampbrush chromosomes) were microsurgically isolated from growing oocytes of sexual and hybrid females according to Callan (1986) with modifications suggested by Gall et al. (1991). Descriptions of bivalent morphology and construction of lampbrush chromosome maps were performed according to Callan (1986) in CorelTM DRAW graphics suite X8 software. Details of diplotene chromosome preparation are given in File S1.

**Data availability**

The authors state that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions presented in the article are represented fully within the article. Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25386/genetics.11988192.

**Results**

**The female story**

**Analyses of chromosomal pairing:** To investigate the number of bivalents and chromosomal pairs during female meiotic division, we analyzed the chromosomal spreads from diplotene and pachytene oocytes. In both parental species, we observed, as expected, the same number of chromosomes as in their somatic cells (i.e., 48 in *C. taenia* and 50 in *C. elongatoides*), which paired into bivalents whose numbers equaled exactly one-half of these counts (i.e., 24 and 25 bivalents, respectively; Figure 2, A, B, and E). To confirm bivalent formation during the pachytene stage of the sexual species we stained the axial (SYCP1) and lateral (SYCP3) elements of the SCs. In contrast, the number of bivalents in diploid ET and triploid ETT diplotene and pachytene nuclei always equaled the total number of chromosomes in their somatic cells (i.e., 49 bivalents in ET and 73 bivalents in ETT; Figure 2, C, D, F, and G and Figure 3B), suggesting that the numbers of chromosomes in oocytes were doubled as compared with somatic cells. Since we only observed properly paired bivalents in oocytes of hybrid females with no univalents or multivalents, such an increase in chromosomal number indicates the presence of premeiotic genome doubling during gametogenesis.

To test homologous vs. orthologous pairing in hybrid females we focused on diplotene chromosomes (lampbrush chromosomes). Throughout this study, we will use the term “homologs” to define homologous chromosomes arisen from a single species and the term “orthologs” to define homologous chromosomes arisen from two species. We identified the ancestry of particular chromosomes through bivalent morphology. That was possible because each parental species possesses several bivalents that may be used as species-specific markers due to unique distributions of characteristic structures (e.g., loops with specific morphology, spheres, and nucleoli loci). In total, we recognized nine marker bivalents for *C. elongatoides* and eight for *C. taenia* (Figure 2, A and B and Figure S1,
In diploid ET hybrid females, we observed all 9 *C. elongatoides*-specific bivalents and 8 *C. taenia*-specific bivalents, whereas in triploid ETT females we observed 9 *C. elongatoides*-specific bivalents and 16 *C. taenia*-specific bivalents (Figure 2, C and D and Figure S1, lower panel). The similarity of hybrid female bivalents to those of parental species suggests that they are formed by homologous chromosomes, originating from the same species (i.e., E×E and T×T bivalents, respectively).

**Analysis of crossover rate:** We estimated the occurrence of crossovers (COs) in females using two methods. First, we used immunofluorescence staining of MLH1 sites and counted the foci in mid- and late-pachytene nuclei (DNA mismatch repair protein) (Baudat and de Massy 2007; Balcova et al. 2016). Second, we analyzed the occurrence of meiotic COs in diplo- tene oocyte nuclei by counting chiasmata between two bivalents (Callan 1986). Both methods appeared equally reliable as we observed equivalent numbers of CO sites using both chiasmata and MLH1 sites in the females analyzed.

We found clear interspecific differences between *C. taenia* and *C. elongatoides* sexual females. On average, *C. taenia* females had ~42.8 COs per cell and *C. elongatoides* females had ~38 COs per cell (Figure 4L). Female COs were evenly distributed throughout bivalent lengths. CO frequency in the ET hybrid females was significantly lower than predicted by
simple extrapolation of sexual counts to endoreplicated hybrid nuclei; we observed only ~71.3 COs against ~80.8 expected (one sample Student’s t-test $P < 10^{-5}$). ETT hybrid females possessed ~123.4 COs per cell, which almost exactly fits the expectation value (123.6).

**The male story**

**Histological examination of testes:** Histological examinations of diploid ET and triploid ETT males performed in this study, and on diploid ET males examined by Juchno and Boroh (2018), demonstrated the reproductive incapacity of hybrid males, reflected by defective development of hybrid testes. Testes of sexual as well as hybrid males contained properly developed Sertoli and Leydig cells; nevertheless, hybrid testes evidenced asynchronous development of germinal cells in cysts (Figure 5, A and A’ and in detail in Figure S2). First, at the beginning of prophase I (leptotene/pachytene), hybrid spermatogonia and spermatocytes displayed proper development with organelles typical for that stage, i.e., as mitochondria and the Golgi apparatus, “nuage,” nucleus, and nucleolus (Figure 5, B, B’, C, and C’). However, in metaphase I, the chromatin of all spermatocytes of sexual males was equatorially distributed, while the spermatocytes of hybrid males displayed irregular chromatid distribution (Figure 5, D and D’).

After metaphase I, hybrids usually displayed fragmented postmeiotic germ cells with numerous nuclear vesicles and multiple axonemes/flagella (Figure 5, E and E’). Rarely, they displayed asynchronously developing cysts with a few typical spermatooza, containing multiple axonemes or flagella. The nuclei of those spermatooza were generally larger (Figure 5, F and F’), which may indicate greater DNA content or abnormal chromatin packaging. Hybrid testes also contained many degenerating germinal cells, which were more often found among spermatocytes than among spermatagonia, and we documented frequent apoptotic processes there (for a detailed sexual hybrid comparison see Figure S3).

**Flow cytometric analysis:** The testes of hybrid males lacked 1C cells corresponding to haploid sperm nuclei, which are characteristic of sexual males (Figure 4I). Instead, ET hybrid males possessed diploid (2C) and double-diploid (4C) cell populations in their gonads [this situation was analogous in ETT males with prominent triploid (3C) and double-triploid (6C) cell populations] (Figure 4, J and K). These 2C and 3C cell populations in ET and ETT males, respectively, correspond to spermatogonia and somatic cells, while 4C and 6C cells, respectively, probably correspond to primary spermatocytes, which accumulated due to problems during chromosomal pairing. It is noteworthy that flow cytometry of hybrid
male gonads revealed a minor cell population (< 3%) with 8C and 12C DNA content in diploid and triploid hybrids, respectively (Figure 4, J and K).

**Analyses of chromosomal pairing:** To uncover the initial cause of spermatogenetic aberrations we focused on male meiosis. We analyzed ~250 meiotic metaphase I spreads in each male, and noticed the same number of chromosomes as in somatic tissues, i.e., no polyploid or aneuploid cells were observed. Using FISH with telomere-specific probes, we detected 25 and 24 bivalents in *C. elongatoides* and *C. taenia* males, respectively. However, in hybrids we observed aberrant pairing, with a majority of uni- and multivalents, and only a few bivalents, present (Figure 4, A, B, and D). On average we observed ~34 univalents, 5 bivalents, and 1 multivalent among the 49 chromosomes of diploid ET males. In ETT triploids, the proportion of bivalents was considerably higher as these males contained an average of ~23 univalents, 12 bivalents, and 8 multivalents among 73 chromosomes. Observed patterns suggest that premeiotic genome endoreplication of the germ cell genome is not a prevailing gametogenic alteration during hybrid male meiosis.

To determine the origin of paired chromosomes in hybrids we applied CGH on meiotic figures. We observed the pairing of orthologous chromosomes (E×T) in bivalents and multivalents in ET males (Figure 4G). We also noticed that both
gensomes contributed roughly equally to the formation of univalents (i.e., on average we observed ~16 T-like and ~18 E-like univalents). Metaphases of triploid ETT males possessed a higher proportion of bivalents that were formed by T×T chromosome pairing (probably homologs), but several instances of orthologous E×T chromosomal pairing were also observed in each metaphase plate. Some multivalents appeared to contain chromosomes of both species, and univalents were usually composed of E-like chromosomes (Figure 4E).

Immunostaining for lateral (SYCP3) and central (SYCP1) proteins of SCs during pachytene revealed normal pairing in C. taenia and C. elongatoides males (24 and 25 bivalents, respectively). However, in ET and ETT hybrid males, chromosomal pairing aberrations were initiated during the early stages of bivalent formation. Specifically, ET males generally contained only short and partially formed SCs, often branching and forming loops, indicating improper pairing of chromosomes. Rarely, we observed one or two standard SCs in these males (Figure 4G, Figure 3C, and Figure S4A). In triploid ETT males we also observed many malformed SCs but, contrary to ET diploids, between 8 and 15 properly developed SCs were usually present per cell (Figure 3D). This corroborates the hypothesis that certain T×T bivalents could be formed properly in ETT triploids (Figure 4H and Figure S4B). In abnormal bivalents, SYCP3 was localized to subtelomeric regions, while inner fragments of chromosomes usually lacked the SYCP3 signals (Figure S4). We conclude that hybrid males have aberrant pairing, with only a few chromosomes being able to form bivalents. In triploid ETT males, pairing is partly rescued but not all T×T chromosomes are paired, as we also detected E×T bivalent and multivalent formation.

Analysis of CO rate: In general, CO frequencies were lower in sexual males than in sexual females. We also found significant differences in CO frequencies between species with generally higher values in C. taenia. On average, C. taenia males had ~28.9 COs per cell while C. elongatoides males had ~27.7 per cell (Figure 4I). In contrast to females, male CO sites were mostly located close to the telomeric regions of bivalents, similar to studies on Danio rerio (Kochakpour and Moens 2008).

The decrease in CO frequency was more drastic in hybrid males, which possessed only ~6.2 (diploid ET) and ~17.2 (triploid ETT) MLH1 foci per cell (Figure 4I). Although the CO frequency was partially increased in triploids, it was still much lower than expected from T×T chromosome pairing in ETT hybrid males.

The differences between sexes and species/hybrids were corroborated by the mixed effect of the Generalized Linear
Model GLMpoisson, which showed that the model incorporating both such parameters fitted the data significantly better than single-parameter models [formula = CO~sex + species + (1 | individual_ID); P(test = \(\chi^2\)) = 0.04].

Discussion

Asexual reproduction in females and hybrid sterility in males are closely connected

Although the initial divergence of *C. elongatoides* and *C. tae-nia* was followed by intensive historical introgressions, both species are currently isolated (Janko et al. 2018), and the present study identified gametic aberrations that exist in both male and female hybrids, and represent efficient postzygotic barriers to gene flow. Interestingly, the cytogenetic background of such barriers radically differs between hybrid sexes (Figure 1, B and C) as females produce clonal gametes via premeiotic endoreplication, but males most likely lack this mechanism and are sterile. Flow cytometry indicated minor populations of octoploid or dodecaploid testicular cells in ET and ETT males, respectively (Figure 4, J and K), which may be theoretically interpreted as a result of the premeiotic endoreplication of spermatocytes (Yoshikawa et al. 2009).

However, given that we did not observe any testicular cells with a doubled number of chromosomes despite cytogenetic analysis of hundreds of metaphase I nuclei, this hypothesis seems unlikely. The occurrence of unusually high-ploidy cells in males may indicate that meiotic arrest occurred following chromosome replication (Li et al. 2015). Alternatively, these cells may represent fused or unseparated spermatogonia given their larger heads, and multiple axonemes or flagella.

Therefore, we conclude that premeiotic endoreplication, as observed in hybrid females, is absent or at least undetectable in male hybrids. Such results are in agreement with artificial crossing experiments of parental species, which showed that hybrid males are sterile and hybrid females produce clonal eggs (Choleva et al. 2012). The major aberration associated with male sterility results from a failure in chromosomal pairing and/or reduction in crossovers during meiotic division, which prevents the proper segregation of orthologs. These aberrations likely enforce the meiotic arrest at pachytene–metaphase I followed by apoptosis in the majority of spermatocytes. As hybrid males suffer from the improper formation of SCs and drastically decreased crossover rates, these aberrations likely take place during the early stages of homolog pairing. Such stages are characterized by preliminary double-strand break (DSB)-independent pairing that restricts the searching area for homologous recognition, and subsequent DSB formation and coalign (Zickler 2006). In cypriniform fishes, the homology search is initiated in subtelomeric regions, followed by SYCP3 upload and zipping toward the interstitial chromosomal segments (Blokhina et al. 2018). In the case of sufficient homology between two chromosomes, zipping is finalized by uploading the SYCP1 protein accomplishing bivalent formation (Blokhina et al. 2018). Therefore, it is possible that the low homology between the orthologous chromosomes of contemporary species prevented sufficient pre-DSB coalign in their hybrids.

Gametic aberrations in interspecific hybrids may take various forms spanning from mitotic arrest in germ cells before meiosis (Yoshikawa et al. 2018) to sperm production without meiotic division (Shimizu et al. 1997). However, several studies have reported patterns analogous to those of the *Cobitis* males (Balcova et al. 2016; Torgasheva and Borodin 2016; Spangenberg et al. 2017; Kuroda et al. 2019), suggesting that compromised pairing and a reduced CO frequency can be relatively common aberrations underlying hybrid sterility. Apart from few model taxa, the proximate molecular mechanisms underlying hybrid sterility remain unknown, but previous research has traditionally focused ongenic models (*i.e.*, Dobzhansky–Muller-type incompatibilities) rather than on the role of divergence in DNA base composition (Bateson’s model). Nevertheless, a recent study on house mouse hybrid males suggested that both aspects could operate together, owing to the complementary effects of the allelic form of the PRDM9 gene and the sequence nonhomology of its binding sites, resulting in asymmetrical DSBs (Gregorova et al. 2018). The importance of sequence homology in hybrid sterility is also highlighted by evidence indicating that the fertility of mouse hybrid males greatly increased when homology was restored to the segments of chromosomes that were mainly involved in mispairing (Gregorova et al. 2018).

Observations of *Cobitis* lead us to the same conclusion, since triploidization partially rescued bivalent formation and increased the CO rate owing to the proper pairing of *C. taenia*-like homologs. Similarly, in *Darevskia* lizards, the CO rate of triploid male hybrids matches the values typical for parental species contributing double chromosome sets into hybrids (Spangenberg et al. 2017). Kuroda et al. (2019) have also reported that the vast majority of bivalents in triploid hybrid *M. anguillicaudatus* males consist of homologs rather than orthologs, but as in the case of *Cobitis*, several orthologous bivalents are also present.

These findings support the importance of sequence homology in hybrid gametogenesis, but also indicate that the remedy of hybrid sterility may, at least partially, be delivered by polyploidization, because the addition of extra copies of genomes may facilitate the proper pairing of homologs. In this context, it is indeed noteworthy that many animal and plant hybrids are polyploids, and their bivalents are formed from conspecific rather than orthologous chromosomes during meiosis (Grandont et al. 2013; Stenberg and Saura 2013). This indicates that genome doubling may stabilize hybrid gametogenesis. Indeed, if sterility is largely caused by the problem of orthologous chromosome pairing, then ploidy elevation of germ cells owing to premeiotic endoreplication could provide an elegant solution by supplying each chromosome a peer to properly pair with. Such a mechanism should work even without whole-genome duplication in the entire organism. Asexuality may thus represent a surprisingly
efficient remedy for hybrid sterility that arises during species differentiation.

**Mechanisms of bivalent formation differ between males and females**

The improper pairing of homologs vs. orthologs may be detrimental to neopolyploid lineages (Lenormand et al. 2016), and organisms may employ various pathways to avoid the formation of multivalents and orthologous pairing. These range from the reduction of the CO rate between orthologs to reverted meiosis in some hybrids (Lukhtanov et al. 2018) and the evolution of genic control selectively preferring homologous pairing (Griffiths et al. 2006), or compensatory mechanisms increasing COs between properly paired chromosomes (Bombbies et al. 2016).

Our study demonstrates that pairing affinities of homologous and orthologous chromosomes may differ between sexes. In particular, hybrid females always formed perfect sets of E×E and T×T bivalents without any observed mismatches. In contrast, triploid ETT males always possessed some T-like univalents and E×T bivalents, even though each C. taenia-derived chromosome had a conspecific homolog. Similar observations come from *M. anguillicaudatus* (Kuroda et al. 2018, 2019). A possible explanation for such dissimilarity between hybrid males and females may stem from differences in localizations of CO sites. In males, the CO sites occur close to telomeric regions, while in females, the CO sites are more numerous and localized to interstitial segments of chromosomes. This may increase the incidence of high-homology interactions, and hence, unlike their brothers, females would potentially have more stringent disruption of low-homology or ectopic pairings, thereby avoiding any orthologous pairing.

**Premeiotic endoreplication as a widespread solution to females’ hybrid fertility**

The observation that endoreplication prevails in females but not in males is an important outcome of the present study, which has, to our knowledge, previously only been demonstrated in distantly related *Misgurnus* hybrids. In speciation research, the asymmetric accumulation of gametogenic aberrations is a well-known and intensively studied phenomenon (e.g., Haldane’s rule). Torgasheva and Borodin (2016) recently proposed that both hybrid sexes may follow the same route to sterility caused by incorrect homologous pairing, just at a different pace. Analysis of the *Cobitis* asexual complex somewhat challenges this view as it indicates that even when postzygotic barriers have been accomplished in both hybrid sexes, the underlying mechanisms may have very different backgrounds because hybrid females remain fertile but restrict gene flow by producing clonal gametes.

The mechanisms that control endoreplication and the reasons for the specificity to females remain unclear. Although we, just like in other previous studies, did not observe the particular moment of endoreplication nor reveal its causal stimulus, our comparison with the related genus *Misgurnus* leads us to propose that it is not determined by hormonal levels but more likely by genetic sex determination. Specifically, while Kuroda et al. (2019) reported the sterility of naturally occurring hybrid males, Yoshikawa et al. (2009) artificially reverted diploid hybrid *Misgurnus* females into males and reported the production of unreduced spermatozoa via endoreplication. These results suggest that diploid hybrids genically determined as females possess the endoreplication ability, even when expressing male phenotypes.

The sex-determination system in the *C. taenia* complex is unknown, but male heterogamy (X1X2Y or X0) has been proposed in congeneric species (Saitoh 1989; Vasil’eva and Vasil’ev 1998), making it tempting to speculate that intersexual differences in fertility and asexuality relate to the genetic sex-determination system. However, we stress that even if sterile *Cobitis* males are heterogamous, the analogy to Haldane’s famous rule is only superficial. This is because females should also face the improper pairing of orthologous chromosomes, but their fertility is restored by the gametogenic modification that complements hybrid sterility by pairing the endoreplicated sister chromosomes.

Besides the possible effects of the hybrid sex-determination system, the ploidy level and proper dosage of hybridizing genomes appear as other important parameters controlling the emergence of asexuality. Indeed, the emergence and stability of asexual lineages correlates with particular ploidy levels (Moritz et al. 1989), and the reproductive strategies of hybrids between the same species may differ drastically, depending on whether they are diploid or polyploid. For example, diploid *Poeciliopsis* hybrids are hybridogenetic, whereas their triploid counterparts are clonal gynogens. In contrast, diploid *C. hankugensis × Ikoskimia longicorpa* hybrids are gynogenetic, but their triploid counterparts reproduce via meiotic hybridogenesis (Vrijenhoek 1998; Saitoh et al. 2004). Interestingly, Morishima et al. (2012) reported that artificially induced tetraploid *Misgurnus* hybrids lost their capacity for endoreplication, which characterizes their diploid hybrid ancestors. In *Cobitis*, the effects of ploidy are not so strict since both diploid and triploid *C. elongatoides × C. taenia* females have similar gametogenic pathways, and may naturally establish successful clonal lineages (Janko et al. 2007b). Tetraploid *Cobitis* hybrids usually appear inviable (Janko et al. 2007a; Juchno et al. 2014), but Vasil’ev et al. (2003) reported the existence of stable tetraploid hybrid clones suggesting that clonality may also exist in the tetraploid state. Overall, these results suggest that the ability of endoreplication somehow depends on ploidy and may be under dosage-dependent control of some crucial transcripts. This hypothesis is in agreement with recent theoretical and empirical research showing that the efficiency of trans-regulatory cross talk between merged genomes is affected by the ploidy level (Bottani et al. 2018, Bartoš et al. 2019).
Conclusion

The mechanisms underlying the existence of biological species have been intensively studied since Darwin's time, as has the question of why sex is a dominant reproductive mechanism among Metazoa. Our study resolved the link between asexuality and speciation by revealing the mechanistic basis of the simultaneously arising sterility of hybrid males and asexuality of hybrid females.

Premeiotic endoreplication, as found in Cobitis hybrid females, is a relatively common pathway among asexual plant and animal hybrids (reviewed in Stenberg and Saura 2009; De Storme and Geelen 2013), but to date, its triggers have not been revealed, which arguably represents a considerable gap in our understanding of speciation and the evolution of sex. Asexuality has arisen independently upon hybridization of various sexual species and we documented that the same type of gametogenic mechanism occurs in C. elongatoides × C. taenia hybrid females as in hybrids of Misgurnus from Asia (Yoshikawa et al. 2009; Kuroda et al. 2018), whose evolutionary distance from European loaches is substantial, reaching ~30 MYA (Majtánová et al. 2016). Thus, it appears unlikely that these two distant lineages share the same predisposition. Our findings rather indicate that interspecific crosses may induce similar types of aberrances when parental species are sufficiently diverged to disrupt classical sexual development of their hybrids.

One reason that the majority of known asexual hybrids are formed from the parental species with a certain level of divergence may thus be that accumulated incompatibilities in key genetic pathways fail to suppress the replication of hybrid chromosomes before cytokinesis, ultimately resulting in endoreplication. An interesting alternative explanation may suggest that increased divergence is not necessary per se as a trigger for asexuality. Rather, the divergence may prevent the pairing of orthologous chromosomes during asexual meiosis. In other words, endoreplication may also appear in hybrids between closely related species, but decreased homology of orthologs is necessary to prevent the formation of spurious bivalents or multivalents following endoreplication, therefore ensuring proper gametogenesis. Thorough investigation of gametogenic aberrations in asexual organisms may thus considerably increase not only our understanding of speciation, but also of meiosis and chromosomal pairing.
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