The role of chemokines in mediating graft versus host disease: opportunities for novel therapeutics
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Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is the current therapy of choice for several malignancies and severe autoimmune diseases. Graft versus host disease (GVHD) is the major complication associated with BMT. T lymphocytes and other leukocytes migrate into target organs during GVHD, become activated and mediate tissue damage. Chemokines are well known inducers of leukocyte trafficking and activation and contribute to the pathogenesis of GVHD. Here, we review the major animal models used to study GVHD and the role of chemokines in mediating tissue damage in these models. The role of these molecules in promoting potential beneficial effects of the graft, especially graft versus leukemia, is also discussed. Finally, the various pharmacological strategies to block the chemokine system or downstream signaling events in the context of GVHD are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a transplant between two genetically non-identical individuals (Ferrara et al., 2009). It is an important therapeutic option to treat various malignant and non-malignant diseases, including acute and chronic leukemias, myelomas, lymphomas, aplastic anemias, solid tumors, and severe immunodeficiency disorders (Goker et al., 2001). The number of allogeneic HCTs performed annually worldwide is estimated to be more than 20,000 (Choi et al., 2010). After allogeneic HCT, some patients present with remission of primary disease. In some cases, patients may develop a common secondary complication in which transplanted cells reject the host tissues, named graft versus host disease (GVHD). GVHD accounts for 15–30% of deaths following allogeneic HCT and is a major cause of morbidity in up to 50% of transplant recipients (Ferrara et al., 2009).

Graft versus host disease manifests in two different forms, acute (aGVHD) and chronic (cGVHD). Acute GVHD occurs within 100 days of allogeneic HCT and is a rapidly progressive syndrome that is characterized by profound wasting, immunosuppression, and tissue injury in a number of organs, including the intestine, spleen, skin, liver, and lung (Howard and Woodruff, 1961; Lapp et al., 1983; Cooke et al., 1998; Wysocki et al., 2005; Socié and Blazar, 2009). In aGVHD, cytokines stimulate donor T cells to recognize host antigens that are presented by antigen-presenting cells (APCs). These T cells become activated and migrate to target organs where they generate effector responses against the host (reviewed by Wysocki et al., 2005; Jaksch and Mattsson, 2005). Unlike aGVHD, cGVHD occurs usually 100 days after bone marrow transplantation (BMT) and resembles an autoimmune syndrome (Will and Wynn, 2006). In addition to the effects mediated by T cells, cGVHD involves B-cell stimulation, autoantibody production, and systemic fibrosis (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011). Although donor T cells may mount an effector response against the host cells, these cells also play a very important role in preventing the recurrence of the original malignant disease, especially when the HCT is given as a therapy for leukemia. These types of responses are referred to as graft versus leukemia (GVL; Johnson et al., 1996, 1999; Kolb, 2008). Thus, the inhibition of GVHD without interfering with GVL is of major interest therapeutically.

The management of GVHD is an old problem but is still unresolved. Standard therapy for GVHD includes high doses of corticosteroids, but the success of this therapy is not great, as mortality rates are more than 40% (Devetten and Vose, 2004; Ferrara and Reddy, 2006; Ferrara et al., 2009; Choi et al., 2010). In addition, patients that develop corticosteroid refractory GVHD have a high risk of death due either to GVHD itself or to secondary infections (Ferrara and Reddy, 2006). Although new therapies, including monoclonal antibodies against the IL-2 receptor (daclizumab), the TNF-α receptor (entanercept), or TNF-α (infliximab), and immunosuppressive drugs, such as mycophenolate mofetil, have been proposed to treat GVHD, these therapies are still not satisfactory (Choi et al., 2010). A better understanding of the mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of GVHD may yield novel therapeutic targets. The present review discusses the role of chemokines and their receptors during GVHD.

Chemokines are a family of small proteins (about 8–14 kDa) that are classified into four major groups based on the number and spacing of conserved cysteines; the groups include the CC group (CCL1–28), the CXC group (CXCL1–16), the C group...
Chemoattractant expression can be enhanced by inflammatory cytokines (Mackay, 2001), and chemokines have an important role in recruiting cells of the innate and adaptive immune system to sites of inflammation (Rollins, 1997; Moser et al., 2004). In addition, chemokines have been suggested to be important for leukocyte activation (Choi et al., 2007), angiogenesis (Addison et al., 2000; Strieter et al., 2005), haematopoiesis (Broxmeyer et al., 1996; Braun et al., 1996; Cooke et al., 1998; Schmaltz et al., 2001; Jaksch and Mattsson, 2005). Recruitment of other effector leukocytes, including macrophages, follows T cell migration, and this process is thought to be important for the perpetuation of inflammatory responses and the destruction of target organs (Socié and Blazar, 2009; Castor et al., 2011; Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011).

Although the migration of T cells into secondary lymphoid organs during GVHD has been well characterized (Wysocki et al., 2005), the migration of leukocytes into parenchymal organs is less well understood. The latter process depends on interactions between selectins (Ley and Kansas, 2004; Luster et al., 2005; Carlmark et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2010) and integrins (Hogg et al., 2002, 2003) and their ligands as well as on chemokine–chemokine receptor interactions (Wysocki et al., 2005; Castor et al., 2010; Kittan and Hildebrandt, 2010). Animal models of GVHD have provided important insights into the three characteristic phases of aGVHD.

### Animal Models of GVHD

Although there are clear differences between human and experimental GVHD, the latter models are useful for performing mechanistic and kinetic studies and investigating changes in tissues. Most of the knowledge of the role of the immune system in the pathogenesis of experimental GVHD comes from experiments in mice (Schleuning, 2000; Shlomchik, 2007; Welniak et al., 2007; Ferrara et al., 2009; Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011).

The most relevant murine models of aGVHD (summarized in Table 1) involve transplantation of splenocytes and/or bone marrow cells and can vary depending on the irradiation dose used to ablate host immune cells. Models using total body irradiation (TBI), which is also referred to as myeloablative conditioning, require reconstitution of the immune system with the infusion of myeloid precursor cells. Usually, a dose of 5–10^6 cells is enough to repopulate the bone marrow compartment and ensure the survival of mice (Choi et al., 2011). An insufficient or inadequate reconstitution of bone marrow can result in death due to severe immunosuppression. In the early days following transplantation, mice that had been subjected to TBI usually have chimerism in their peripheral blood (i.e., they have a mixed population of donor and host cells). However, from day 7 after BMT, the donor haematopoietic cells have completely replaced the host cells (Choi et al., 2011). Partial irradiation or non-myeloablative conditioning does not require total bone marrow reconstitution. After transplantation, recipient mice demonstrate mixed chimerism, and the majority of the cells come from the donor (Choi et al., 2011).

In models in which mice are transplanted with a mix of allogeneic bone marrow cells and splenocytes, the animals usually...
succumb to more severe disease than if they are only transplanted with bone marrow cells (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011). Splenocytes represent a population of mature immune cells that are prepared to react against antigens when stimulated, whereas the bone marrow contains many immature immune cells that are not able to develop an appropriate response against antigens. Therefore, the response against host antigens in recipient mice is decreased when bone marrow cells rather than splenocytes are given (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011). There is also a model of GVHD in which recipient mice are not irradiated. In this model, an infusion of 5 × 10^7 allogeneic cells is necessary to induce GVHD, and the disease is not lethal (Murai et al., 2003).

Another important consideration about the induction of GVHD in mice is the genetic origin of the donor cells. An allogeneic transplant is a transplant between MHC mismatched mice, such as C57/BL6 (H2^b) and Balb/c (H2^d), in which there are disparities in MHCI, MHCII, and miHAS (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011). The parental model of transplantation between C57/BL6 (H2^b) and B6D2F1 (H2^d) mice, which is a result of the crossing of C57/BL6 (H2^b) × DBA/2 (H2^d) mice, also shows mismatches in MHCI, MHCII, and miHAS (Asavaroengchai et al., 2007; Castor et al., 2010, 2011). Semiallogeneic transplantation represents the transplantation between mice that are mismatched for MHC I such as C57/BL6 (H2^b) and B6.C-H2^bm1 (bm1) mice, or between mice that are mismatched for MHCII, such as C57/BL6 (H2^b) and B6.C-H2^bm12 (bm12) mice (Rolink and Gleichmann, 1983; Sprent et al., 1986; Serody et al., 1999, 2000), or between mice that are mismatched for miHAS, such as C57/BL6 (H2^b) and Balb.b (H2^b) mice (Berger et al., 1994; Zhang et al., 2005).

Another important consideration for the induction of GVHD is the dose and type of donor cells. The severity of disease is dependent on the number of donor cells that are infused, and the disease becomes more severe as the number of transferred cells increases (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011). Finally, it is possible to inject different T cell subsets, such as CD4^+^, CD8^+^, and Treg cells, and NK cells, either separately or together. This strategy may be useful to dissect the differential role of these subsets during GVHD (Schroeder and DiPersio, 2011).

### CHEMOKINES IN GVHD AND POSSIBILITIES OF THERAPEUTIC APPROACHES

Several studies have now described there is increased expression of chemokines and chemokine receptors in GVHD (New et al., 2002; Jaksh and Mattsson, 2005; Murai et al., 2006; Bouazzougui et al., 2009; Castor et al., 2011). The profile of chemokine and chemokine receptor expression is different in different target organs of GVHD. Table 2 and Figure 1 summarize the expression of chemokines and chemokine receptors in GVHD in various target organs and during different temporal phases of the disease.

### LYMPHOID ORGANS

Soon after transplantation, donor cells migrate to secondary lymphoid organs and to lymphoid tissues associated with the mucosa, such as PP (New et al., 2002; Murai et al., 2003). CCR7, which is expressed on dendritic cells and naïve and central memory T cells, is responsible for the circulation of these cells between lymphoid organs in response to CCL19 and CCL21 and is therefore critical for the initiation of GVHD (Kittan and Hildebrandt, 2010). Three days after transplantation, CXCR3 ligands (CXC9–11) are upregulated in secondary lymphoid tissues, and this event is followed by the upregulation of CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5 (Wysocki et al., 2005). Upregulation of these ligands promotes the accumulation and activation of T cells in lymphoid tissue, but not in peripheral target organs, such as the liver and lung (Panoskaltsis-Mortari et al., 2000; Serody et al., 2000; Wysocki et al., 2004). CCR5 and CCR2 are also involved in the circulation of lymphocytes to lymphoid organs in GVHD. CCR5 expression in donor T cells plays a critical role in their accumulation in lymphoid tissues after allogeneic transplantation (Murai et al., 2003; Wysocki et al., 2004). In 2000, Serody et al. showed that eliminating the expression of a CCR5 ligand, CCL3, from donor T cells resulted in reduced CD8^+^ accumulation in the spleen. In contrast, we have recently shown...
Table 2 | Chemokine and chemokine receptor expression in acute GVHD.

| Chemokine | Expression in lymphoid tissues (weeks after transplant) | Expression in target organs (weeks after transplant) | References |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|
| CXCL1     | –                                                      | + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2) + (1, 2)                         | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CXCL2     | –                                                      | – + (1)                                             | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CXCL9     | + (1)                                                  | + (1–3) + (1–3) + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)                 | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CXCL10    | + (1)                                                  | + (1) + (1–3) + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)                   | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CXCL11    | + (1)                                                  | + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6) + (1–3) + (1, 2)              | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CXCL16    | + (1)                                                  | + (3, 6) + (1, 2, 3) –                              | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009) |
| CCL2      | + (1)                                                  | + (1–3) + (1–3) + (1, 2) + (1, 2)                   | Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005), Castor et al. (2011) |
| CCL3      | + (1)                                                  | + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6)                    | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Wysocki et al. (2005), Castor et al. (2011), Serody et al. (1999) |
| CCL4      | –                                                      | + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)            | Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CCL5      | + (1)                                                  | + (1, 2, 3) + (1–3, 6) + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)          | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Wysocki et al. (2005), Castor et al. (2011), Choi et al. (2007) |
| CCL6      | –                                                      | + (1, 2)                                            | Reiss et al. (2001) |
| CCL7      | –                                                      | + (1, 2)                                            | Reiss et al. (2001) |
| CCL8      | –                                                      | – + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)                               | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009) |
| CCL9      | –                                                      | + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)                                 | Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CCL11     | –                                                      | + (1, 2)                                            | Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CCL12     | + (1–3)                                                | + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2)                                 | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CCL17     | –                                                      | + (2)                                               | Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CCL19     | + (1)                                                  | + (1, 2)                                            | Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Yakoub-Agha et al. (2006) |
| CCL20     | + (1, 2, 3)                                            | + (1, 2, 3)                                        | Varona et al. (2006) |
| CCL21     | + (1)                                                  | + (1, 2, 3)                                        | Sasaki et al. (2003) |
| CCL27     | –                                                      | + (1)                                               | Reiss et al. (2001) |
| XCL1      | + (1–3, 6)                                            | + (1–3)                                            | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010) |
| CX3CL1    | + (1, 2)                                              | + (1, 2)                                            | Ueha et al. (2007) |

**CHEMOKINE RECEPTORS**

| Chemokine receptor | Expression in lymphoid tissues (weeks after transplant) | Expression in target organs (weeks after transplant) | References |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|
| CXCR2              | –                                                      | + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2) + (1, 2)                         | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009) |
| CXCR3              | + (1)                                                  | + (1, 2, 3) + (1) + (1–3) + (1, 2)                   | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005) |
| CXCR6              | + (1–3, 6)                                            | + (1–3) + (1–3) + (1–3, 6) + (1, 2) + (1, 2)         | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Choi et al. (2007), Ueha et al. (2007) |
| CCR1               | + (1–3, 6)                                            | + (1, 2, 3) + (1, 2, 3) + (1, 2)                    | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005), Ueha et al. (2007), Terwey et al. (2005) |
| CCR2               | + (1)                                                  | + (2, 3)                                            | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005), Ueha et al. (2007), Terwey et al. (2005) |
| CCR4               | –                                                      | + (2)                                               | Reiss et al. (2001) |
| CCR5               | + (1)                                                  | + (1, 2, 3) + (1, 2)                                | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Wysocki et al. (2005), Ueha et al. (2007) |
| CCR6               | + (1, 2, 3)                                           | + (1, 2)                                            | Varona et al. (2006) |
| CCR10              | –                                                      | + (2)                                               | Reiss et al. (2001) |
| XCR1               | –                                                      | + (2, 3)                                            | Bouazzaoui et al. (2009), Kittan and Hildebrandt (2010), Ueha et al. (2007) |
| CX3CR1             | + (1, 2)                                              | + (1, 2)                                            | Ueha et al. (2007) |
that CCL3 in donor cells is not important for CD8+ and CD4+ accumulation in the spleen, but it is important for their accumulation in the intestine (Castor et al., 2010). Additionally, others studies have shown that CCR5 expression or CCL3 production by T cells is not important for their accumulation in PP and spleen (Panoskaltsis-Mortari et al., 2000; Welniaik et al., 2004; Wysocki et al., 2004). CCR2 expression did not affect the accumulation of CD4+ cells in the spleen, but it increased their activation, changed the disease profile from chronic to acute GVHD and promoted the death of GVHD mice (Rao et al., 2003).

TARGET ORGANS

**CXC chemokine subfamily**

After the accumulation and activation of donor cells in secondary lymphoid organs, these cells migrate to target organs (Wysocki et al., 2005). CXCRI and its ligands, CXCL9–11, are expressed in the target organs of GVHD (liver, intestine, lung, and skin) and are associated with the migration and maintenance of CXCRI donor cells in these organs (Duffner et al., 2003; Mapara et al., 2006; Bouazzaoui et al., 2009). Elimination of CXCRI from donor cells or neutralization of its ligands reduces disease in the above organs (Flier et al., 2003; Mapara et al., 2005; Piper et al., 2007; Kittan and Hildebrandt, 2010). As a result of this, several patent applications for CXCRI antagonists have been made, but none have yet been approved for clinical use to treat GVHD and other diseases in which CXCRI participates (Pease and Horuk, 2009). Considering the high expression of CXCRI ligands in target organs of GVHD (Duffner et al., 2003; Mapara et al., 2006; Bouazzaoui et al., 2009), another novel therapeutic strategy is the use of CXCRI-transfected Treg cells, which function as modulators of GVHD development (Hasegawa et al., 2008). In this study, chemotactic signals for CXCRI attracted regulatory cells to target tissues, resulting in decreased GVHD severity (Hasegawa et al., 2008).

The role of CXCRI in GVHD is not completely understood, but CXCRI is a chemokine receptor that interacts with chemokine stromal-derived factor-1 (SDF-1/CXCL12) and regulates haematopoietic stem and progenitor cell (HSPC) trafficking (Moll and Ransohoff, 2010). Disruption of this interaction either through cleavage of SDF-1 and CXCRI or downregulation of SDF-1 expression results in the rapid egress of HSPCs from the bone marrow (Broxmeyer et al., 2005; Semerad et al., 2005; Moll and Ransohoff, 2010). Mobilization of HSPCs from the bone marrow to the peripheral blood has become the standard method to collect allografts from healthy related donors for transplantation into patients with haematologic malignancies (Greenbaum and Link, 2011). This procedure is associated with more rapid engraftment, shorter hospital stay, and in some circumstances, superior overall survival in comparison to unmanipulated bone marrow (Greenbaum and Link, 2011). AMD3100 (Plerixafor; Genzyme, Cambridge, MA, USA) is a small bicyclam molecule that functions as a reversible inhibitor of SDF-1 binding to CXCRI (Proudfoot et al., 2010). Studies in murine models, healthy human volunteers, and patients have demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in HSPC mobilization within a few hours of AMD3100 administration. Thus, AMD3100 is emerging as a new drug for the management of H SCT (Devine et al., 2008; Pusic and DiPersio, 2008).

No prophylactic effect of AMD3100 has been described in relation to GVHD, but based on the prophylactic results obtained with other agents, such as G-CSF, that mobilize HSPCs, this possibility should be investigated.

FIGURE 1 | Overview of chemokine and chemokine receptor expression in the major organs targeted by GVHD. Shown are the major target organs that are affected by GVHD, the intestine, liver, lung, and skin, and the major chemokines and chemokine receptors that are expressed during the course of the disease.
CXCR6 and CXCL16 are other CXC chemokines that are increased in the liver and intestine in GVHD. However, the role of these molecules in the pathophysiology of GVHD is not clear (Bouazzazoui et al., 2009). Some studies have shown an increased expression of CXCR6 on CD8+ T cells that contributed to the early recruitment of these cells to the liver (Sato et al., 2005; Ueha et al., 2007).

Elevated expression levels of CXCL1, CXCL2, and the CXCR2 receptor were also found in the liver, lung, and skin of mice subjected to GVHD. However, the role of these chemokines and chemokine receptor was not completely elucidated and should be explored in future studies.

**CC chemokine subfamily**

Chemokines of the CC subfamily, especially CCL2, CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, have been described to be important for the migration of donor cells to target organs during GVHD development.

Some studies have shown increased levels of CCL2 early on in the liver (Ichiba et al., 2003) and intestine (Castor et al., 2011) of mice subjected to GVHD, but the role of this chemokine is not clear. Increased levels of CCL2 contribute to the migration of donor monocytes and macrophages to the lung as shown by studies in which neutralization of CCL2 or absence of CCR2 on donor cells resulted in reduced inflammatory infiltrates in the lung and consequently, minor lung injury (Hildebrandt et al., 2004b). The CCL2 receptor, CCR2, has an important role in the activation and migration of CD8+ T cells in the intestine and liver during GVHD (Terwey et al., 2005). CCR2 is also involved in lung damage (Hildebrandt et al., 2003, 2004b,c, 2005). Chemokines produced by T cells, such as CCL3 and CCL5, and cytokines, such as TNF-α, enhance the recruitment of CCR2+ macrophages to the lung; macrophages produce more TNF-α and thus perpetuate the inflammatory response (Serody et al., 2000; Hildebrandt et al., 2003, 2004b,c, 2005).

Three days after transplantation, CCL3 levels are already high in the intestine of mice subjected to GVHD after sublethal conditioning. The initial production of CCL3 is mostly derived from host cells, but its production then switches to transplanted cells. Indeed, 10 days after transplantation, donor cells were the major source of CCL3 in the target organs of mice subjected to GVHD (Serody et al., 1999; Castor et al., 2010). In 2010, our group showed the effect of a chemokine binding protein, evasin-1, in a model of GVHD in mice. Evasin-1 bound with high affinity to CCL3 (Kd 0.16 nM) and prevented its association with CCR1 or CCR5 (Fraunschuh et al., 2007). Neutralization of CCL3 by evasin-1 decreased GVHD mortality and damage to the intestine and liver and reduced the infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ cells and macrophages in the intestine. There was also a reduction in CCL5 levels in the intestine after CCL3 neutralization, suggesting that CCL3 may upregulate CCL5 in this organ (Castor et al., 2010). The CCL5:CCR1 interaction also contributes to target organ injury, as blockade of this interaction resulted in suppression of alloreactive T cell activation, leading to decreased liver and intestinal injury (Choi et al., 2007).

As suggested by clinical and experimental studies, CCR5 is a critical receptor that is associated with GVHD development. After stimulation by donor cell CCL3, CCL4, and CCL5, CCR5 promote the recruitment of alloreactive T cells to the intestine, resulting in the perpetuation of the inflammatory response in this organ and increased GVHD mortality (Wysocki et al., 2005; Choi et al., 2007). Besides modulating mortality and the recruitment of donor T cells to target organs in experimental GVHD (Murai et al., 2003), CCR5 appears to be important in controlling skin injury in humans with GVHD by promoting the recruitment of T cells to this site (Palmer et al., 2010). CCR5 is a major receptor that recruits lymphocytes to the skin of humans with GVHD and contributes to the production of TNF-α, IL-2, and IFN-γ, which participate in the pathogenesis of human GVHD (Palmer et al., 2010). Studies have shown that loss of CCR5 function by a 32-nucleotide deletion (CCR5Δ32) in patients undergoing allogeneic BMT resulted in a decreased incidence of GVHD (Bogunia-Kubik et al., 2006). Furthermore, the presence of the CCR5Δ32 genotype in both recipient and donor cells displayed the highest protection (Bogunia-Kubik et al., 2006). Thus, CCR5 may be an interesting target in GVHD. Although maraviroc, which is an inhibitor of CCR5, has been approved by the FDA for clinical use, no study has validated its use in GVHD management.

CCL25 demonstrates protective properties in GVHD. Interaction of CCL25 with its receptor, CCR9, leads to the induction of regulatory T cells and suppresses antigen-specific immune responses that are associated with GVHD (Hadeiba et al., 2008). On the other hand, CCR9 has also been identified as a critical homing receptor for lymphocytes into inflamed intestine, a process that contributed to the development of intestinal diseases, such as colitis and Crohn’s disease (Saruta et al., 2007). Considering that CCR9 contributes to intestinal inflammatory diseases, an orally bioactive inhibitor of CCR9, CCX282, was developed. CCX282 is now in Phase III of clinical trials (Pease and Horuk, 2009; Proudfoot et al., 2010) and will be a promising approach for the treatment of intestinal GVHD.

CCL20:CCR6 interactions also appear to be relevant in GVHD. Interaction of CCL20 with its receptor, CCR6, induces the recruitment of alloreactive CD4+ cells to the intestine, liver, and skin of mice that had been subjected to allogeneic transplantation. Infusion of CCR6-deficient cells resulted in reduced tissue damage and disease severity (Varona et al., 2006). Alloreactive T cells can produce CCL20, which can interact with CCR6 expressed on the surface of Langerhans cells. Langerhans cells are the major APCs in the skin and are involved in the pathogenesis of cutaneous GVHD (Merad et al., 2002, 2004). Host Langerhans cells can persist for several months in the skin and are responsible for the onset of skin GVHD by interacting with donor T cells (Durakovic et al., 2006). In addition, alloreactive T cell production of CCL20 may attract donor Langerhans cells to the skin, leading to local presentation of host antigens and injury to the skin (Merad et al., 2002, 2004). Another mediator that has relevance to human cutaneous GVHD is CCL27 and its receptor, CCR10. Levels of CCL27 and CCR10 were increased in the skin of patients with GVHD and were associated with the migration of alloreactive T cells to this organ (Reiss et al., 2001; Faaji et al., 2006). CCL20:CCR6 and CCL27:CCR10 have been shown to play an important role in GVHD in target organs, mainly the skin. However, there have been no studies investigating therapeutic strategies to control the release or action of these molecules in GVHD.
In the CC chemokine subfamily, other members have been found to be increased in GVHD target organs, such as CCL7, CCL8, CCL9, CCL11, CCL12, CCL19, and their respective receptors; however, the exact role of these chemokines in the development of GVHD is not understood.

**XCL and CX3CL subfamily**

XCL1 is a chemokine whose expression is frequently increased in GVHD target organs, but its function has not yet been explored. Bouazzzaoui et al. (2009) showed increased levels of XCL1/XCR1 in the intestine, liver, lung, and skin during the course of GVHD. However, no information is available on the role of these molecules in GVHD development, which could be exciting for future studies.

Fractalkine, or CX3CL1, is the unique member of the CX3CL family and is also involved in GVHD. High levels of CX3CL1 were detected in the intestine of mice that had been subjected to GVHD. Increased levels of this chemokine were associated with the recruitment of CD8+ T cells to the intestine that contributed to intestinal damage (Ueha et al., 2007). Treatment with an anti-CX3CL1 antibody reduced the number of CD8+ T cells in the intestine of mice, resulting in improved survival and clinical disease (Ueha et al., 2007).

Considering the important role of many chemokines in facilitating GVHD development, Grainger and Reckless (2003) demonstrated an alternative way to control the action of chemokines in GVHD. The group used oligopeptides, which acted as functional chemokine inhibitors. One member of this group, NR58-3.14.3, suppressed both *in vivo* and *in vitro* migration of leukocytes to CCL2, CXCL8, CCL3, and CCL5 (Reckless et al., 2001). These oligopeptides were successfully tested in mouse models of GVHD, resulting in reduced clinical disease, decreased inflammatory infiltration, and less damage to the liver and lung (Miklos et al., 2009).

The data above suggest that chemokines and their receptors represent promising molecules to be explored as therapeutic targets to modulate GVHD. Future research will reveal additional details surrounding the efficiency of these therapeutic strategies in the control of the inflammatory responses that are associated with GVHD.

**DOWNSTREAM SIGNALING OF CHEMOTRACTANT RECEPTORS AND GVHD**

Signaling by chemokine receptors is mediated by heterotrimeric G-proteins (Horuk and Proudfoot, 2009). Activation of G-proteins leads to activation of protein and lipid kinases, including mitogen-activated protein (MAP), Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT), and phosphatidyl inositol-3-kinase (PI3K), which mediate actin cytoskeleton rearrangement, changes in integrin affinity and avidity, leukocyte migration and proliferation, and cellular differentiation and apoptosis (Ribas et al., 2007; Russo et al., 2010).

Recent studies have attempted to elucidate the role of molecules downstream of chemokine receptor signaling and to establish a functional hierarchy involved in the development of GVHD, represented in Figure 2 (Cetkovic-Cvrlje et al., 2001, 2002; Cetkovic-Cvrlje and Uckun, 2004; Sun et al., 2004, 2005; Hill et al., 2010; Park et al., 2010; Castor et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2011). Modulation of these downstream signaling molecules is an alternative way to interfere with the chemokine/chemokine receptor system.

We have recently evaluated the role of PI3Kγ in the development of GVHD (Castor et al., 2011). PI3Kγ in donor cells was relevant for the initial surge of chemokine production in the target organs of mice subjected to GVHD. In addition to production of pro-inflammatory mediators in target tissues, infiltration of CD4+, CD8+, and CD11c+ cells was decreased with the absence of PI3Kγ in donor cells, and pharmacological blockade of PI3Kγ was associated with decreased rolling and adhesion of leukocytes to target organs as assessed by intravital microscopy. These effects on cell recruitment were translated as overall clinical improvement and decreased lethality in the absence of PI3Kγ or its pharmacological inhibition in donor cells (Castor et al., 2011).

Phosphorylation of ERK-1/2 and STAT-3 are involved in important events during T cell (allo) activation in GVHD, and interference with STAT-3 phosphorylation can inhibit T cell activation and proliferation in GVHD both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Lu et al., 2008). Additionally, expansion of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells depends on the expression of phospho[p]-STAT-1 and p-STAT-3. GVHD-specific STAT-3/STAT-1 activation preceded the activation of nuclear factor-xB (NF-xB) and MAP kinases and was associated with the subsequent expression of interferon regulatory factor-1 (IRF-1), suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS-1) and IL-17 (Ma et al., 2011). STAT-1 expression in the spleen preceded its expression in target organs and was correlated with the chemokine storm in these organs. STAT-3 expression was similar to that of STAT-1 and was observed early in secondary lymphoid organs and later in target tissues. In the spleen, STAT-3 expression was correlated with high levels of IL-6 and IL-10. The marked change in the IL-6/IL-10 ratio during the development of GVHD suggests that STAT-3 may act as a promoter of inflammation during the early priming and induction phase of GVHD (high IL-6/IL-10 ratio) but may mediate anti-inflammatory signals at later time points (low IL-6/IL-10 ratio; Ma et al., 2011). By contrast, early inhibition of NF-xB may reduce GVHD by affecting primarily the haematopoietic compartment with inhibition of donor T cell expansion or host APC maturation. However, delayed inhibition of NF-xB may interfere with target tissue regeneration or promotion of inflammation, leading to worsening of GVHD (Sun et al., 2004, 2005). Interestingly, cytokine signaling through JAK-STAT-3 in GVHD was regulated by SOCS-3 (Hill et al., 2010). Transplantation of donor T cells into SOCS-3 deficient mice led to persistent phosphorylation of STAT-3, resulting in enhanced T cell proliferation, greater Th1 and Th17 differentiation, and production of IFN-γ and IL-17 (Hill et al., 2010). Thus, SOCS-3 has a regulatory effect and is an attractive target for GVHD therapeutic modulation; functional augmentation of SOCS-3 may preferentially inhibit alloreactive T cell proliferation and differentiate cells away from pathogenic Th17/Th1 pathways. Janus kinase signaling occurs downstream of chemokine receptor signaling, and there are molecules that inhibit this pathway. One such inhibitor, CP-690550, was found to decrease mortality and reduce target organ damage in mice subjected to GVHD by suppressing donor CD4+ T cell-mediated (IFN-γ) production and inhibition of Th1 differentiation (Park et al., 2010). Specific inhibitors of Janus kinase
Signaling by chemokine receptors is mediated by heterotrimeric G-proteins. Activation of G-proteins leads to activation of PI3 K, JAK, STAT, and MAPK. In GVHD, activation of PI3Kγ, JAK, STAT-1/3 leads to pro-inflammatory events that crucial to development of GVHD. STAT-3/STAT-1 activation preceded the activation of NF-κB and MAP kinases with the subsequent expression of IRF-1, SOCS-1, and IL-17. NF-κB has a dual role in development of GVHD, depending of phase of it expression. STAT-3 phosphorylation acts as a promoter of GVHD inflammation and is regulated by SOCS-3.

3 (JAK-3) have already been tested as a treatment for GVHD. The use of the JAK-3 inhibitor, WHI-P131 [4-(4′-hydroxyphenyl)-amino-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline], showed improved mortality rates and decreased liver and skin damage (Cetkovic-Cvrlje et al., 2001; Uckun et al., 2002). Another JAK-3 inhibitor, 4-(3′-hydroxyphenyl)-amino-6,7-dimethoxyquinazoline (JANEX-3), improved mortality rates and ameliorated the clinical symptoms of GVHD (Cetkovic-Cvrlje et al., 2002). A specific Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor (LFM-A13), was also tested as a treatment for GVHD; treated mice showed increased survival rates and had less clinical GVHD. The combined treatment of LFM-A13 with JANEX-3 was more effective than treatment with LFM-A13 or JANEX-3 alone (Cetkovic-Cvrlje and Uckun, 2004). Taken together, these results indicate that signaling molecules downstream of chemokine signaling may be useful targets for treating GVHD.

GRAFT VERSUS LEUKEMIA

In the context of the treatment of hematological malignances, such as leukemia, engraftment of donor cells is important to restore the immune system after ablative therapy (Kolb, 2008). In addition to reconstructing the immune system, the engrafted cells are thought to contribute to chemotherapy by inducing an anti-tumor effect, an effect that is known as (GVL; Rezvani and Barrett, 2008). Several therapies that decrease GVHD may decrease GVL, which is an undesirable outcome of such therapies (Rezvani and Barrett, 2008). Therefore, it is generally accepted that, in the context of haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, a therapy should decrease or prevent GVHD but ideally should not modify the associated GVL (Kolb, 2008; Rezvani and Barrett, 2008).

Although the chemokine system represents a promising system to target to develop new GVHD therapies, it is also important to understand the role of chemokines in GVL response. Evaluation of GVL has not been the major focus of studies involving chemokines and GVHD. However, we have found a few studies showing that, by interfering with the chemokine system, it is possible to decrease GVHD without interfering with GVL.

Our group (Castor et al., 2010) and Choi et al. (2007) demonstrated that, despite the important action of CCR1 and its ligands, CCL3, and CCL5, in the GVHD response, neutralization of CCL3, or the absence of CCR1 in donor cells did not interfere with GVL (Castor et al., 2010; Choi et al., 2007). The capacity of T cells to eliminate tumor cells remained unaltered upon neutralization of CCL3 by evasin-1 in mice subjected to GVHD (Castor et al., 2010). The absence of CCR1 in donor cells also maintained the GVL response in mice subjected to GVHD (Choi et al., 2007). Ueha et al. (2007) verified the GVL response in a study investigating the role of fractalkine (CX3CL1) in GVHD. In this study, CX3CL1 was important for GVHD development, but not for the GVL response, and treatment with anti-CX3CL1 decreased GVHD without modifying GVL. The same result was observed when a downstream chemokine receptor molecule, PI3Kγ, was absent in donor cells. Transplantation of PI3Kγ-deficient splenocytes reduced the ability of these cells to react against the host, but not against the tumor (Castor et al., 2011).
The results described above indicate that the clinical use of inhibitors of these molecules may decrease the GVHD reaction but not interfere with GVL responses.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The explicit participation of chemokines in the pathophysiology of different diseases has initiated the development of pharmacological strategies that can interfere with the chemokine system. Chemokines function by signaling through seven transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptors, which are one of the most druggable classes of receptors in the pharmaceutical industry (Horuk and Proudfoot, 2009).

Since 1996, interest in targeting the chemokine system has been growing, especially after demonstration of the participation of CCR5 as a co-receptor of HIV infection (Liu et al., 1996; Samson et al., 1996). After those studies, the pharmaceutical industry began investing in the development of molecules that could interfere with chemokine/chemokine receptor interaction. Examples of such molecules include chemokine receptor antagonists (Hesselgesser et al., 1998; White et al., 1998; Horuk and Proudfoot, 2009; Garin and Proudfoot, 2011), modified chemokines that act as antagonist molecules (Proudfoot et al., 1999, 2010), neutralizing antibodies to the chemokines or their receptors (Gonzalo et al., 1998) and chemokine binding proteins (Alcamí, 2003; Smith et al., 2005; Fraunschuh et al., 2007; Déruez et al., 2008; Russo et al., 2010). In 2007, the FDA approved maraviroc, an inhibitor of CCR5 for the prevention of HIV infection, which was the first triumph for a small-molecule drug acting on the chemokine system. A second small-molecule drug, a CXCR4 antagonist for haematopoietic stem cell mobilization, was approved by the FDA at the end of 2008. The results of a Phase III trial with a CCR9 inhibitor for Crohn’s disease are also promising. The latter drug could represent the first success for a chemokine receptor antagonist to be used as an anti-inflammatory therapeutic. Development of this small-molecule drug confirms the importance of chemokine receptors as a target class for anti-inflammatory and autoimmune diseases (Proudfoot et al., 2010; Garin and Proudfoot, 2011).

REFERENCES

Addison, C. L., Daniel, T. O., Burdick, M. D., Liu, H., Ehlinger, J. E., and Xor, Y. Y. (2000). The CXC chemokine receptor 2, CXCR2, is the putative receptor for ELR + CXC chemokine-induced angiogenic activity. J. Immunol. 165, 5269–5277.

Alcamí, A. (2003). Viral mimicry of cytokines, chemokines and their receptors. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 3, 36–50.

Asavaroengchai, W., Wang, H., Wang, S., Wang, L., Bronson, R., Sykes, M., and Yang, Y. (2007). An essential role for IFN-γ in regulation of allarotic CDB T cells following an allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant. 13, 46–55.

Baker, M. B., Altman, N. H., Podack, E. R., and Levy, R. B. (1996). The role of cell-mediated cytotoxicity in acute GVHD after MHC-matched allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in mice. J. Exp. Med. 183, 2645–2656.

Berger, M., Wettstein, P. J., and Korngold, R. (1994). T cell subsets involved in lethal graft-versus-host disease directed to immunodominant minor histocompatibility antigens. Transplantation 57, 1095–1102.

Bogunia-Kubik, K., Duda, D., Suchnicki, K., and Lange, A. (2006). CCR5 deletion mutation and its association with the risk of developing acute graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Haematologica 91, 1628–1634.

Bouazzaoui, A., Spacenko, E., Mueller, G., Miklos, S., Huber, E., and Holler, E. (2009). Chemokine and chemokine receptor expression analysis in target organs of acute graft-versus-host disease. Genes Immun. 10, 687–701.

Braun, M. Y., Lowin, B., French, L., Acha-Orbea, H., and Tschopp, J. (1996) Cytotoxic T cells deficient in both functional fas ligand and perforin show residual cytolytic activity yet lose their capacity to induce lethal acute graft-versus-host disease. J. Exp. Med. 183, 657–661.

Broxmeyer, H. E. (2008). Chemokines in hematopoiesis. Curr. Opin. HematoL. 15, 49–58.

Broxmeyer, H. E., Orschell, C. M., and Clapp, D. W. (2005). Rapid mobilization of murine and human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells with AMD3100, a CXCR4 antagonist. J. Exp. Med. 201, 1307–1318.

Calcaterra, C., Sfondrini, L., Rossini, A., Sommariva, M., Rumio, C., Menard, S., and Balsari, A. (2008). Critical role of TLR9 in acute graft-versus-host disease. J. Immunol. 181, 6132–6139.

Carlson, M. J., Fulton, L. M., Coghill, J. M., West, M. L., Burgents, J. E., Wan, Y., Panoskultais-Montari, A., Tedder, T. F., Blazar, B. R., and Serody, J. S. (2010). L-selectin is dispensable for T regulatory cell function postallogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Am. J. Transplant. 10, 2596–2603.

Castor, M. G. M., Rezende, B., Bernardez, P. T. T., Vieira, T. A., Arantes, R. E. M., Souza, D. G., Silva Teixeira, M. M., and Pinho,
Castor et al. | Chemicals and graft versus host disease

V. (2011). PISKy controls leukocyte recruitment, tissue injury and lethality in a model of graft versus host disease in mice. J. Leukoc. Biol. 89, 955–964.

Castor, M. G. M., Rezende, B., Resende, C. B., Alessandri, A. L., Fagundes, C. T., de Sousa, L. P., Arantes, R. M. E., Souza, D. G., Silva, T. A., Proudfoot, A. E., Teixeira, M. M., and Pinho, V. (2010). The CCL3/MIP-1α binding protein evasin-1 protects from graft-versus-host disease but does not modify graft-versus-leukemia in mice. J. Immunol. 184, 2646–2654.

Cetkovic-Cvrlje, M., Roers, A. E., Schonhoff, D., Wauryniak, B., Liu, X. P., and Uckun, F. M. (2002). Treatment of post-bone marrow transplant acute graft-versus-host disease with a rationally designed Jak3 inhibitor. Leuk. Lymphoma 43, 1447–1453.

Cetkovic-Cvrlje, M., Resende, B., Rezende, B. M. G. M., Teixeira, M. M., Power, C. A., and Pinho, M. (2006). The many roles of CXCR3-expressing regulatory T cells in secondary lymphoid organs. Science 286, 1502–1505.

Chaudhary, M. N., Silva, I. A., Proudfoot, A. E., Martín, T., Rokob, L., and Brewer, J. (1998). Host reactive donor T cells are associated with lung injury after experimental allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Blood 92, 2571–2580.

Cytog, J. (1999). Chemokines, and cell migration in secondary lymphoid organs. Science 286, 1502–1505.

Durner, M., Frausenschuh, A., Alessandri, A. L., Dias, J. M., Coelho, F. M., Russo, R. C., Ferreira, B. R., Graham, G. J., Shaw, J. P., Wells, T. N., Teixeira, M. M., Power, C. A., and Proudfoot, A. E. (2008). Ticks produce highly selective CBBPs with anti-inflammatory activity. J. Exp. Med. 205, 2019–2031.

Devetten, M. P., and Vose, J. M. (2004). Graft-versus-host disease: how to translate new insights into new therapeutic strategies. Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant. 10, 815–825.

Devine, S. M., Vij, R., Pettig, M., McLaughlan, K., Fisher, N., Devine, H., Link, D. C., Calandra, G., Bridger, G., Westervelt, P., and Dierup, J. E. (2008). Rapid mobilization of functional donor hematopoietic cells without G-CSF using AMD3100, an antagonist of the CXCR4/SDF-1 interaction. Blood 112, 990–998.

Duffner, U., Lu, B., Hildebrandt, G. C., Teshima, T., Williams, D. L., and Reddy, P. (2003). Broad-spectrum chemokine inhibitors prevents graft-versus-host disease. Blood 102, 2249–2257.

Durakovic, N., Bezak, K. B., Skarica, M., Radojcic, V., Fuchs, E. J., Murphy, G. F., and Luznik, L. (2006). Host-derived Langerhans cells persist after MHC-matched allografting independent of donor T cells and critically influence the atherosponded responses mediated by donor lymphocyte infusions. J. Immunol. 177, 4411–4425.

Fajah, C. M., Lankester, A. C., Sterpiers, E., Hoogeboom, M., Bowman, E. P., and Biering, M. (2006). A possible role for CCL27/CTACK–CCK10 interaction in recruiting CD4 T cells to skin in human graft-versus-host disease. Br. J. Haematol. 133, 538–549.

Ferrara, J. L. (1993). Cytokine dysregulation as a mechanism of graft-versus-host disease. Curr. Opin. Hematol. 1, 794–799.

Ferrara, J. L., Levine, J. E., Reddy, P., and Holler, E. (2009). Graft-versus-host disease. Lancet 373, 1550–1561.

Ferrara, J. L. M., and Reddy, P. (2006). Pathophysiology of graft-versus-host disease. Semin. Hematol. 43, 3–10.

Flier, J., Boorsma, D. M., van Beek, P. J., Nieboer, C., Stoof, T. I., and Willemsen, R. (2001). Differential expression of CXCR3 target chemokines CXCL10, CXCL9, and CXCL11 in different types of skin inflammation. J. Pathol. 194, 398–405.

Frausenschuh, A., Power, A. C., Dervaux, M., Souza, D. G., Silva, T. A., Proudfoot, A. E. (2007). Molecular cloning and characterization of a highly selective chemokine-binding protein from the tick Rhipicephalus sanguineus. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 27250–27258.

Garin, A., and Proudfoot, A. E. (2011). Chemokines as targets for therapy. Exp. Cell Res. 306, 602–612.

Goker, H., Hanzenderloo, I. C., and Chao, N. J. (2001). Acute graft-versus-host disease: pathobiology and management. Exp. Hematol. 29, 259–277.

Gonzalo, J. A., Lloyd, C. M., Wen, D., Albar, J. P., Wells, T. N., Proudfoot, A., Martinez-A, C., Dorf, M., Björke, T., Coyle, A. J., and Gutierrez-Ramos, J. C. (1998). The coordinated action of CC chemokines in the lung orchestrates allergic inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness. J. Exp. Med. 188, 157–167.

Granger, D. J., and Reckell, J. (2003). Broad-spectrum chemokine inhibitors (RSCs) and their anti-inflammatory effects in vivo. Biochem. Pharmacol. 65, 1027–1034.

Greenbaum, A. M., and Link, D. C. (2011). Mechanisms of G-CSF mediated hematopoietic stem and progenitor mobilization. Leukemia 25, 211–217.

Hadeiba, H., Sato, T., Habito, A., Olver, G., Pan, J., and Butcher, E. C. (2008). CCR9 expression defines tolerogenic plasmacytoid dendritic cells and progenitor mobilization. Leukemia 22, 1171–1179.

Hasegawa, H., Inoue, A., Kohno, M., Lei, J., Miyazaki, T., and Yoshie, O. (2008). Therapeutic effect of CXCR3-expressing regulatory T cells on liver, lung and intestinal damages in a murine acute GVHD model. Gene Ther. 15, 171–182.

Hesselsberger, J. G., Ng, H. P., Liang, M., Zheng, W., May, K., Baumgan, J. G., Monahan, S., Islam, I., Wei, G. P., Ghanam, A., Taub, D. D., Rossat, M., Snider, R. M., Morrissey, M. M., Perez, H. D., and Horuk, R. (1998). Identification and characterization of small molecule functional antagonists of the CCRI chemokine receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 13687–13692.

Hildebrandt, G. C., Corrion, L. A., Olikiewicz, K. M., Lu, B., Lowel, K., and Duffner, U. A. (2004a). Blockade of CXCR3 receptor ligand interactions reduces leukocyte recruitment to the lung and the severity of experimental idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J. Immunol. 173, 2050–2059.

Hildebrandt, G. C., Duffner, U. A., Britson, Y. S., Pan, C., Corrion, L. A., Willamarth, N. E., and Williams, D. L. (2004b). A critical role for CCR2/MCP-1 interactions in the development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Blood 103, 2417–2426.

Hildebrandt, G. C., Olikiewicz, K. M., Corrion, L. A., Chang, Y., Clouthier, S. G., and Liu, C. (2004c). Donor derived TNFR-α regulates pulmonary chemokine expression and the development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation. Blood 104, 586–593.

Hildebrandt, G. C., Olikiewicz, K. M., Corrion, L. A., Clouthier, S. G., Liu, C., Serody, J. S., and Cooke, K. R. (2005). Donor T-cell production of RANTES significantly contributes to the development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Blood 105, 2249–2257.

Hildebrandt, G. C., Olikiewicz, K. O., Corrion, L. A., Chang, Y., Liu, C., and Ferrara, J. L. M. (2003). Secretion of TNF-α by donor effector cells is critical in the development of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Blood 102, 3529.

Hill, G. R., Crawford, J. M., Cooke, K. R., Britson, Y. S., Pan, L., and Ferrara, J. L. (1997). Total body irradiation and acute graft-versus-host disease: the role of gastrointestinal damage and inflammatory cytokines. Blood 90, 3204–3213.

Hill, G. R., Rans, R. D., Raffel, N. C., Don, A. L., Oliver, S. D., Markey, K. A., Wilson, Y. A., Tocker, J., Alexander, W. S., Clouston, A. D., Roberts, A. W., and MacDonald, K. P. (2010). SOCS3 regulates graft-versus-host disease. Blood 116, 287–296.
Lapp, W. S., Ghayur, T., Mendes, K., Krenger, W., Hill, G. R., and Ferrara, H. J. (2008). Graft-versus-host disease. Transplant. 25, 123–132.

Horuk, R., and Proudfoot, A. E. (2009). Drug discovery targeting the chemokine system – where are we? Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed.) 3, 209–219.

Howard, J. G., and Woodruff, M. F. A. (1961). Effect of the graft-versus-host reaction on the immunological responsiveness on the mouse. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 154, 532–539.

Ichiba, T., Teshima, T., Kaick, R., Misek, D. E., Liu, C., and Takada, Y. (2003). CXCL12 and CXCR4 in bone marrow physiology. Expert Rev. Hematol. 3, 315–322.

Moller, B., Wolf, M., Walz, A., and Loetscher, P. (2004). Chemokines: new levels of leukocyte migration control. Trends Immunol. 25, 75–84.

Muller, G., Hopken, U. E., and Lipp, M. (2003). The impact of CCX7 and CXCR5 in lymphoid organ development and systemic immunity. Immunol. Rev. 195, 117–135.

Murai, M., Yoneyama, H., Harada, A., Yi, Z., Vestergaard, C., and Gao, B. (1999). Active participation of CXCR3(+CD8(+)) T lymphocytes in the pathogenesis of liver injury in graft-versus-host disease. J. Clin. Invest. 104, 49–57.

Murai, M., Yoneyama, H., Ezaki, T., Suematsu, M., Terashima, Y., and Harada, A. (2003). Peyer's patch is the essential site in initiating murine acute and lethal graft-versus-host reaction. Nat. Immunol. 4, 154–160.

Murphy, P. M. (2002). International Union of Pharmacology. XXX. Update on chemokine receptor nomenclature. Pharmacol. Rev. 54, 227–229.

Murphy, P. M., Baggioli, M., Charo, I., Ebert, C. A., Horuk, R., and Matsushima, K. (2000). International Union of Pharmacology. XXII. Nomenclature for chemokine receptors. Pharmacol. Rev. 52, 145–176.

New, J. Y., Li, D., Jones, D., Molldrem, J. J., Storb, R. F., and Ma, Q. (2010). Chemokine receptor CCR5 mediates alloimmune responses in graft-versus-host disease. Blood Marrow Transplant. 16, 311–319.

Panoskaltsis-Mortari, A., Striet, R. M., Hermanson, J. R., Fegeding, K. V., Murphy, A. M., and Farrell, C. L. (2000). Induction of monocyte- and T-cell-attracting chemokines in the lung during the generation of idiopathic pneumonia syndrome following allogeneic murine bone marrow transplantation. Blood 96, 834–839.

Park, H. B., Oh, K., Garma, N., Seo, M. W., Byoun, O. J., Lee, H. Y., and Lee, D. S. (2010). CP-690550, a Janus kinase inhibitor, suppresses CCR4 in graft-versus-host disease by inhibiting the interferon-γ pathway. Transplantation 90, 825–833.

Pease, J. E., and Horuk, R. (2009). Chemokine receptor antagonists: part 2. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 19, 199–221.

Piper, K. P., Horlock, C., Curnow, S. J., Attrazi, N., Nicholls, S., and Mahendra, P. (2007). CXCL10-CXCR3 interactions play an important role in the pathogenesis of acute graft-versus-host disease in the skin following allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. Blood 110, 5827–5832.

Proudfoot, A. E., Buser, R., Borlat, F., Alouani, S., Soler, D., Ollendorf, R. E., Schroder, J. M., Power, C. A., and Wells, T. N. (1999). Amino-terminally modified RANTES analogues demonstrate differential effects on RANTES receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 32478–32485.

Qiu, J., Lepage, R., Safar, M. P., Garavito, E., Kalkonde, Y., Jimenez, F., and Gibbons, C. (2003). CC chemokine receptor 2 expression in donor cells serves an essential role in graft-versus-host disease. J. Immunol. 171, 4875–4885.

Reckless, J., Tatalick, L. M., and Grainger, D. J. (2001). The pan-chemokine inhibitor NBS8-3.143 abolishes tumour necrosis factor-alpha accumulation and leucocyte recruitment induced by chemotherapy and tumour necrosis factor-alpha in murine tumours. J. Immunol. 167, 1199–1204.

Rattan, S. S., Wessels, L., Mathur, K., and Bhatia, P. (1999). CXCL12 and CXCR4 in bone marrow transplantation. Transpl. 114, 5018–5025.

Rasse, J. A., Sreenan, N., and Ziegler, J. (2004). Chemokine system – where are we? Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed.) 3, 209–219.

Ricciarelli, B., Fehling, M., Giorgi, A., and Mattsson, J. (2005). The pathophysiology of acute graft-versus-host disease. Scand. J. Immunol. 61, 398–409.

Ruscetti, F. W., Huston, H. C., Jr., and Lerner, G. A. (2000). Thymic atrophy is a consequence of immune activation in the transplanted host. J. Immunol. 164, 9677–9681.

Seddik, M., and Seemayer, T. A. (1995). The functional and histological basis for graft-versus-host induced immunosuppression. Immunol. Rev. 148, 107–133.

Seydes, L., and Saez, M. (2004). Expression of chemokines in GvHD target organs in influenced by conditioning and genetic factors and amplified by GVHR. Biol. Blood Marrow Transplant. 12, 623–634.

Castor et al. Chemokines and graft versus host disease

www.frontiersin.org

February 2012 | Volume 3 | Article 23 | 11
by lipopolysaccharide in vivo.

ImmunoL 203, 244–254.

Reiss, Y., Proudfoot, A. E., Power, C. A., Campbell, J. J., and Butcher, E. C. (2001). CC chemokine receptor (CCR4) and the CCR10 ligand cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine (CTACK) in lymphocyte trafficking to inflamed skin. J. Exp. Med. 194, 1541–1547.

Rezvani, K., and Barrett, J. (2008). Characterizing, and optimizing immune responses to leukemia antigens after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Best Pract. Res. Clin. Haematol. 21, 437–453.

Ribas, C., Penela, P., Murga, C., Salcedo, A., Garcia-Hoz, C., Jurado-Pueyo, M., Aymeric, I., and Mayor, F. J. (2007). The G protein-coupled receptor (GPR) in ascitic tumors: impact on molecular biology of women suffering from ovarian cancer. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1768, 913–922.

Rolink, A. G., and Gleichmann, H. (1996). Resistance to HIV-1 infection in caucasian individuals bearing mutant allelics of the CCR-5 chemokine receptor gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 13,414–13,419.

Rottenstein, L., Lee, J. H., Cho, M., Kim, T., Kim, D., Lee, J. S., Lee, S. H., and Lee, S. (2003). Identification of a potent, selective non-peptide CCR2 antagonist that inhibits interleukin-8–induced neutrophil chemotaxis. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 10,093–10,098.

Will, A., and Wyn, R. (2006). Diagnoses of acute graft-versus-host disease. Transplantation 81, 503–506.

Wysoki, C. A., Burkett, S. B., Panoskalsits-Mortari, A., Kirby, S. L., Luster, A. D., McKinnon, K., Blazar, B. R., and Sordy, S. J. (2004). Differential roles for CCR5 expression on donor T cells during graft-versus-host disease based on pretransplant conditioning. J. Immunol. 173, 845–854.

Wysoki, C. A., Panoskalsits-Mortari, A., Blazar, B. R., and Sordy, S. J. (2005). Leukocyte migration and graft-versus-host disease. Blood 105, 4191–4199.

Yakoub-Agha, I., Saule, P., Depil, S., Micol, J. B., Grutzmacher, C., and Boulanger-Villard, F. (2006). A high proportion of donor CD4+ T cells expressing the lymph node-homing chemokine receptor CCR7 increases incidence and severity of acute graft-versus-host disease in patients undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation for hematological malignancy. Leukemia 20, 1537–1565.

Yi, T., Chen, Y., Wang, L., Du, G., Huang, D., Zhao, D., Johnston, H., Young, J., Todorov, L., Umetsu, D. T., Chen, L., Iwakura, Y., Kan-Teil, F., Forman, S., and Zeng, D. (2009). Reciprocal differentiation and tissue-specific pathogenesis of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells in graft-versus-host disease. Blood 114, 3101–3112.

Zhang, Y., Joe, G., Hehner, E., Zhu, J., and Emerson, S. G. (2005). Alloreactive memory T cells are responsible for the persistence of graft-versus-host disease. J. Immunol. 174, 3051–3058.
Zlotnik, A., and Yoshie, O. (2000). Chemokines: a new classification system and their role in immunity. Immunity 12, 121–127.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 28 November 2011; paper pending published: 21 December 2011; accepted: 08 February 2012; published online: 24 February 2012.

Citation: Castor MGM, Pinho V and Teixeira MM (2012) The role of chemokines in mediating graft versus host disease: opportunities for novel therapeutics. Front. Pharmacol. 3:23. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2012.00023

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery, a specialty of Frontiers in Pharmacology.

Copyright © 2012 Castor, Pinho and Teixeira. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License, which permits noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited.