Promoting austenite formation in laser welding of duplex stainless steel—impact of shielding gas and laser reheating
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Abstract
Avoiding low austenite fractions and nitride formation are major challenges in laser welding of duplex stainless steels (DSS). The present research aims at investigating efficient means of promoting austenite formation during autogenous laser welding of DSS without sacrificing productivity. In this study, effects of shielding gas and laser reheating were investigated in welding of 1.5-mm-thick FDX 27 (UNS S82031) DSS. Four conditions were investigated: Ar-shielded welding, N2-shielded welding, Ar-shielded welding followed by Ar-shielded laser reheating, and N2-shielded welding followed by N2-shielded laser reheating. Optical microscopy, thermodynamic calculations, and Gleeble heat treatment were performed to study the evolution of microstructure and chemical composition. The austenite fraction was 22% for Ar-shielded and 39% for N2-shielded as-welded conditions. Interestingly, laser reheating did not significantly affect the austenite fraction for Ar shielding, while the austenite fraction increased to 57% for N2-shielding. The amount of nitrides was lower in N2-shielded samples compared to in Ar-shielded samples. The same trends were also observed in the heat-affected zone. The nitrogen content of weld metals, evaluated from calculated equilibrium phase diagrams and austenite fractions after Gleeble equilibrating heat treatments at 1100 °C, was 0.16% for N2-shielded and 0.11% for Ar-shielded welds, confirming the importance of nitrogen for promoting the austenite formation during welding and especially reheating. Finally, it is recommended that combining welding with pure nitrogen as shielding gas and a laser reheating pass can significantly improve austenite formation and reduce nitride formation in DSS laser welds.
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1 Introduction
Duplex stainless steels (DSS), with a nearly equal amount of ferrite and austenite, have received much attention in recent years, thanks to offering both high corrosion resistance and superior mechanical properties [1]. In DSS, a balanced phase fraction is of vital importance as the best combination of mechanical properties and corrosion resistance comes by approximately equal fractions of ferrite and austenite [2].

Laser welding has the potential to accelerate the fabrication of components [3, 4]; however, some metallurgical challenges limit its applications in DSS. Solidification of DSS is fully ferritic and followed by the diffusion-controlled solid-state ferrite to austenite transformation. Rapid cooling, when using low-energy input processes such as laser welding, restricts the austenite formation and disturbs the optimum phase balance in DSS. This condition also increases the risk of nitride formation due to the supersaturation of nitrogen in ferrite. It may, therefore, deteriorate the corrosion properties and toughness of DSS laser welds [5, 6]. Nitrogen content is of great importance in promoting austenite formation, especially during autogenous welding [7, 8], as it has a high diffusion rate and is a strong austenite former [9, 10]. Nitrogen loss will, therefore, limit the austenite formation during welding of DSS [11]. The decrease of nitrogen content is not restricted to the weld metal (WM); the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is also influenced by nitrogen diffusion from the HAZ to the WM [12–14].

In recent years, the effect of shielding gas on welding of DSS, as one of the most important factors of controlling weld properties, has been studied [15–17]. Table 1 presents laser welding parameters, information about base material,
shielding gas, and the resulting austenite fraction in laser welding of DSS in different studies. Salminen et al. [23] argued that a higher content of nitrogen in the shielding gas leads to an increase in austenite fraction of 2205 DSS laser welds. Keskitalo et al. [20], during laser welding of LDX 2101 DSS, observed that by replacing argon with nitrogen as shielding gas, the austenite fraction increased from 17 to 29%, thanks to a higher nitrogen content of the WM with nitrogen shielding. Lai et al. [21] also reported an increment of austenite fraction from 25 to 41% by changing from argon to nitrogen shielding gas in laser welding of 2205 DSS. It should be noted that other laser welding parameters such as laser type, power, speed, and focus spot size as well as the DSS grade and its thickness are as important as the choice of shielding gas for the final austenite fraction of the weld metal. The highest austenite fraction reported in Table 1 was, for example, reported for CO2 laser welding of thin LDX 2101 with He as shielding gas which cannot directly be explained by nitrogen promoting austenite formation.

Reheating or heat treatment is another approach employed to promote austenite formation in DSS laser welds. Heat treatment after welding has been employed in several studies to increase the time available for ferrite to austenite transformation [18, 22, 24–26]. Saravanan et al. [24] improved the austenite fraction of 2507 DSS laser welds about 12% by postweld heat treatment (PWHT) at 1050 °C for 2 h in a furnace. Young et al. [25] also reported that 60-min furnace PWHT at 1050 °C increased austenite fraction from 25 in as-welded condition to 55%. In another study, Yang et al. [18] showed that furnace PWHT at 1080 °C for 3 min increased austenite formation from 7 in as-welded condition to 54%. Despite that furnace PWHT promotes the austenite formation, it may not be feasible for large and/or complex geometries, and it may significantly increase the production time. Capello et al. [22] used laser heat treatment, as an alternative method to furnace heat treatment, to promote austenite formation in DSS welds. They successfully showed the possibility of laser reheating to increase the austenite fraction in DSS welds. However, they found a heterogeneous microstructure in the weld with a high fraction of secondary austenite in the top with no significant increase of austenite content in the bottom. Kolenic et al. [27], moreover, increased the austenite fraction of 2507 SDSS laser welds using defocused laser beam reheating. However, a lower amount of austenite was achieved in the root compared to the surface and center of the welds due to relatively low peak temperatures and the use of argon shielding gas.

Despite the need from the industry, no special guidelines are available to advise on the combined effect of the choice of shielding gas and laser reheating when aiming at maximizing the austenite formation with a minimum delay in the production time of autogenous DSS laser welding. In practice, it is often challenging to reach an acceptable phase balance with less than 70 vol.% of ferrite during autogenous laser welding.

Table 1 Laser welding parameters, base material characteristics, shielding gas, and austenite fraction in laser welding of DSS

| Base material | Laser method | Power (W) | Welding speed (mm/s) | Energy input (J/mm) | Shielding gas | Backing gas | Austenite Fraction (%) | Steel Thickness (mm) | Nitrogen content | Room temperature (°C) |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 2205          | Fiber        | 1500      | 45                  | 33                 | Ar            | Ar          | 7                       | Not reported         | 0.17             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Fiber        | 5000      | 50                  | 2000               | Ar            | Ar          | 13                      | Not reported         | 0.21             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Disc         | 2000      | 80                  | 3000               | Ar            | Ar          | 17                      | Not reported         | 0.22             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Fiber        | 4000      | 25                  | 2000               | Ar            | Ar          | 22                      | Not reported         | 0.26             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Fiber        | 3000      | 10                  | 1000               | Ar            | Ar          | 25                      | Not reported         | 0.16             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Disc         | 5000      | 100                 | 3000               | Ar            | Ar          | 29                      | Not reported         | 0.17             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | CO2          | 5600      | 16                  | 5600               | N2            | N2          | 21                      | Not reported         | 0.17             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | Fiber        | 5600      | 16                  | 5600               | N2            | N2          | 24                      | Not reported         | 0.16             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | CO2          | 5600      | 16                  | 5600               | N2            | N2          | 22                      | Not reported         | 0.25             | Not reported         |
| LDX 2101      | CO2          | 5600      | 16                  | 5600               | N2            | N2          | 24                      | Not reported         | 0.17             | Not reported         |
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of DSS. Therefore, the present study aims at finding practical ways of improving austenite formation through the selection of a suitable shielding gas and if needed combined with laser reheating. The influence of shielding gas, pure argon and pure nitrogen, and laser reheating was investigated in laser welding of 1.5-mm thick FDX 27 DSS using light optical microscopy complemented with thermodynamic calculations. The FDX 27 DSS is a relatively new alloy designed to improve the formability of DSS, where the influence of welding on microstructure and properties has been little studied. The specific characteristics of FDX 27 DSS such as high ductility and formability rely on the transformation-induced plasticity effect [28, 29], requiring a sufficient austenite fraction. Hence, ensuring sufficient formation of austenite during laser welding is of great importance to expand the range of possible applications of this grade.

2 Material and method

2.1 Material and welding/reheating

In this study, 1.5-mm-thick FDX 27 (UNS S82031) lean DSS sheets were welded and reheated by autogenous laser welding. The chemical composition of the base material is given in Table 2.

A schematic illustration of the welding and reheating passes is shown in Fig. 1. An IPG Photonics YLR-6000 fiber laser was used for both welding and reheating. The welding was carried out with 2700-W laser power and a welding speed of 30 mm/s. The focal lengths of the collimating lens and focusing lens were 120 mm and 200 mm, respectively. The fiber diameter was 600 μm, which produced a spot size of 1 mm on the plate surface. In reheating, while the optics were the same as for welding, the power and the welding speed were 550 W and 9 mm/s, respectively. In addition, the laser beam focus was positioned 50 mm above the surface for reheating passes. To investigate the effect of shielding gas on both welding and reheating, either pure argon (100%) or pure nitrogen (100%) was used as both shielding and backing gas for welding and reheating. In the trailing shielding, the shielding gas was maintained behind the laser and protected the WM until it cooled down below approximately 100 °C. The thermal cycles of welding and reheating passes were recorded by a thermocouple located on the backside of the sheet.

Table 2 Chemical composition of the base material

|     | C     | Si    | Mn    | P     | S     | Cr    | Ni    | Mo    | Cu    | N    |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| FDX | 0.03  | 0.42  | 1.09  | 0.024 | 0.001 | 20.0  | 2.8   | 1.20  | 0.33  | 0.186 |

2.2 Microstructure analysis

Cross-sections of the as-welded and reheated samples were ground, polished, and etched with modified Beraha reagent (60 ml water, 30 ml HCl, 0.6–0.7 g potassium bisulfite) for 12–15 s [30]. A Zeiss Axio Imager.M2m optical microscope was used to study the microstructures in both WM and HAZ. The phase balance was measured by image analysis (IA) using Image-Pro-9.2 software.

2.3 Estimation of nitrogen content

Measuring the nitrogen content of the WM with direct methods was not feasible in this study. The extraction of samples with a sufficient volume for LECO analysis by drilling was not suitable due to the very narrow weld zone. Nitrogen measurement using wavelength dispersive x-ray spectrometry (WDS) was not expected to be accurate with the available methodology, as in a recent study, Hosseini et al. [12] obtained very scattered data with significantly lower values for nitrogen content (0.08–0.20% N) compared to that in the material certificate (0.27% N) for 2507 super DSS base metal. The nitrogen content of the WM was, therefore, estimated with an indirect method. In this technique, the 1.5-mm-thick and 30-mm-wide as-welded samples were subjected to a 2-min heat treatment
in vacuum at 1100 °C in a Gleeble 3800 thermo-
mechanical simulator, to reach a near-equilibrium condi-
tion. In the following quenching, the water-cooled grips
reduced the temperature from 1100 to 800 °C in less than
4 s which is judged to be sufficiently fast to avoid signif-
icant changes in the phase fraction. In the next step, the
austenite fractions of the heat-treated samples were mea-
sured by image analysis. Then, the ferrite and austenite
fractions were calculated by the Thermo-Calc version
8.5.1.0017 software with the TCFE10 database at
1100 °C for nitrogen contents from 0 to 0.2 wt.%. In the
final step, WM nitrogen content was estimated by compar-
ing the obtained phase fraction after Gleeble heat treatment
and the phase diagram calculated with Thermo-Calc.

3 Results

3.1 Weld profile and thermal cycles

The thermal cycles recorded by the thermocouple and its sche-
matic location are shown in Fig. 2. There are two peak tem-
peratures, where the first one is for the welding pass and the
second one for the reheating pass. The diagram illustrates that
the first peak did not heat the location of the thermocouple as
much as the second one. Although the thermocouple recorded
higher temperatures in the second pass, no melting occurred
during reheating. It should also be mentioned that the welds
were cooled down below 50 °C before applying the laser
reheating.

Cross-sections of the four welds are shown in Fig. 3. The laser welding was done with full penetration in conduction
mode. Both argon and nitrogen-shielded welds showed the
same weld geometry and appearance as the same laser param-
eters were used. Reheating altered the microstructure of the
WM and HAZ but did not affect the weld appearances as no
additional melting occurred.

3.2 Base metal microstructure

The microstructure of the wrought FDX 27 DSS consisted of
ferrite, austenite, and martensite as illustrated in Fig. 4. Measuring the phase fractions by IA gives 36% ferrite. This
means it originally contained 64% austenite of which some
transformed to martensite either as a result of fabrication or
due to surface deformation during sample preparation [31]. It
can be noticed that this martensite has an etching response
similar to the ferrite when using the Beraha etchant.

3.3 Weld metal microstructure

According to the overall view of the weld in all samples
(Fig. 3), epitaxial growth from the fusion line towards the
center of the WM can be observed. The austenite fraction
increased by using pure nitrogen as shielding and backing
gas.

Figure 5 shows the microstructure of the WM at the center
of the fusion zone for the two welds in as-welded and reheated
conditions. The austenite fractions of the four welds are pre-
sented in Fig. 6. As may be seen, by changing the shielding
gas from argon to nitrogen, the austenite fraction of the weld
increased from 22 to 39% in the as-welded condition.
According to Fig. 6, reheating did not affect the austenite
fraction in the argon-shielded sample noticeably, but it
boosted the austenite fraction to 57% in the N2-shielded sam-
ple. It should be noted that the measured amounts include
martensite that has formed either as a result of welding/-
reheating-induced stresses or specimen preparation and are
therefore representative of the austenite formed at elevated
temperatures. The reheated microstructure in nitrogen-
shielded condition also underwent growth of primary austen-
ite such as intergranular (grain boundary), Widmannstätten,
and intragranular austenite. However, there was no evidence
of secondary austenite clusters. Measurement of austenite
fractions at the bottom, middle, and top locations of the WM

Fig. 2  Thermal cycle recorded by
the thermocouple located on the
backside of the plate
approximately 1.5 mm from the
fusion boundary. The welding
pass only heated the
thermocouple to 600 °C, while
the defocused beam used for
reheating heated the same
location to above 800 °C. The
weld was cooled down to below
50 °C before reheating
confirmed the relatively homogeneous bulk distribution of austenite in the weld zone.

Figure 7 illustrates the microstructure of the as-welded and reheated samples close to the surface. Although the Ar-shielded samples had almost no austenite at the surface, the N2-shielded sample showed the same level of austenite at the surface as in the bulk. The subsequent reheating also promoted surface austenite formation which was not seen in the Ar-shielded sample.

Higher magnification weld metal micrographs in Fig. 8a and c show nitrides formed during welding. The Ar-shielded weld had larger amounts of nitrides, and using nitrogen as shielding and backing gas mitigated nitride formation. Nitrides were still present in the Ar-reheated sample (Fig. 8b) but were dissolved everywhere in the (surface, center, and root) N2-reheated sample (Fig. 8d). As discussed earlier, there are also some martensite grains inside the austenite with a similar etching response as the ferrite.

3.4 Heat-affected zone microstructure

The heat-affected zone (HAZ) was also investigated in all four conditions. Figure 9a shows the entire through-thickness HAZ of the Ar-as-welded sample. It is difficult to precisely identify the position of the fusion line in DSS laser welds. However, as the purpose was comparing the effects of argon and nitrogen shielding gas on the HAZ after the laser welding and reheating, the same pattern was used to determine the position of the HAZ in all four samples. The HAZ microstructure can be seen in Fig. 9b at a higher magnification. The HAZ underwent grain growth as a result of the high peak temperature near the fusion boundary. The austenite fractions were measured in the entire HAZ as shown in Fig. 9a, and the results are presented in Fig. 10. The austenite fraction in the
HAZ had a similar behavior as in the WM, such that reheating and changing shielding gas from argon to nitrogen increased the austenite fraction from 33 in the argon-as-welded condition to 59% in the nitrogen-reheated sample.

3.5 Estimation of nitrogen content

As explained in 2.3, a 2-min Gleeble heat treatment at 1100 °C was applied to the WM to provide time for austenite formation reaching a near-equilibrium phase fraction. Fick’s second law was employed to compute the expected degree of nitrogen diffusion due to the gradient between the WM and the HAZ.

\[
\frac{C(x,t)-C_0}{C_s-C_0} = 1-\text{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{D_t}}\right) \quad \text{(Fick’s second law)}
\]

Here \( t \) is the diffusion time (2 min), \( C(x,t) \) is the nitrogen content at location \( x \) and time \( t \), \( C_s \) is the nitrogen content at the fusion boundary \( (x=0) \), and \( C_0 \) is the initial WM nitrogen content before diffusion. Some assumptions, based on the approach presented in [12], were made such as ferrite being...
Fig. 7 Microstructure of the weld metal close to the surface showing an almost fully ferritic (dark etching phase) surface region microstructure in (a) Ar-as-welded and (b) Ar-reheated samples. The austenite (white phase) surface fraction in (c) N₂-as-welded and (d) N₂-reheated conditions was very similar to the bulk fraction.

Fig. 8 High magnification light optical micrographs of weld metal microstructures showing nitrides in ferrite and some martensite (red broken arrows) formed in austenite as a result of welding-induced stresses and/or specimen preparation. (a) Ar-as-welded, (b) Ar-reheated, (c) N₂-as-welded, and (d) N₂-reheated. Nitrogen shielding and laser reheating suppressed nitride formation.
the only diffusion path. The BM nitrogen content of 0.186 wt.% was used for \( C_s \) and a low WM nitrogen content of 0.1 wt.% for \( C_0 \). The diffusion coefficient \( (D) \) was computed as 
\[
D = D_0 \times \exp \left( \frac{Q}{RT} \right)
\]
where \( D_0 \), \( Q \), \( R \), and \( T \) were 0.47 mm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\), 18,300 cal·mol\(^{-1}\) (for ferrite), 1.987 cal·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\), and 1373 K (1100 °C), respectively [32]. The resulting nitrogen concentration profile is shown in Fig. 11a. By relating this diagram to the weld width at plate mid thickness (Fig. 11b), it can be seen that the center of the WM (red broken square) was largely unaffected by nitrogen diffusion. The austenite content in this region can therefore be used for estimation of nitrogen content.

The microstructures at the center of the argon- and nitrogen-shielded WM after Gleeble heat treatment are illustrated in Figs. 11c, d. The nitrogen contents estimated by comparing the calculated equilibrium phase fractions and phase balance obtained after Gleeble heat treatment at 1100 °C are shown in Fig. 12.

The dark blue dashed line represents the nitrogen content of the BM as given in the material producers certificate of 0.186% and the equilibrium austenite fraction computed by Thermo-Calc of around 64%. This is in good agreement with the approximately 64% austenite measured by IA for the BM (see 3.2 and Fig. 4) and illustrates the accuracy of the technique for estimation of nitrogen content. The nitrogen contents of the samples were estimated to be 0.11 ± 0.01 wt.% for Ar-as-welded and 0.16 ± 0.01 wt.% for N\(_2\)-as-welded. This indicated a nitrogen loss of around 0.03% in N\(_2\)-shielded and 0.08% in Ar-shielded welds as compared to the BM.

### 4 Discussion

In this section, welding and laser reheating thermal cycles and their effects on the nitrogen content and microstructure of FDX 27 DSS laser welds are discussed. The main focus is to explain the importance of shielding gas and reheating on the formation of the austenite in the WM and HAZ.

#### 4.1 Thermal cycle and weld profile

The thermal cycles recorded by the thermocouple, located as shown in Fig. 2, demonstrate that the welding cycle did not heat the HAZ as much as the reheating cycle. As the purpose...
of the first pass was welding, the laser beam was focused on the surface of the samples, and consequently, it produced a high-energy density to melt and weld the BM. The aim of the second pass was, on the other hand, reheating without melting. Therefore, the laser was defocused to heat a wider area including the WM and the HAZ but without melting. Although the exact reheating temperature in the weld zone was not measured, it was more than 800 °C based on the recorded peak temperature in the HAZ. In DSS, the temperature range between approximately 800 to 1200 °C is where austenite formation is most rapid [11, 33, 34]. Therefore, the laser reheating produced the needed temperature cycle for austenite formation.

Investigation of the weld profiles also showed that the weld geometry was dictated by the laser welding parameters, which were the same for argon- and nitrogen-shielded samples. The choice of shielding gas, therefore, did not affect the geometry. Lai et al. [21] investigated the effect of pure argon and nitrogen as well as their mixtures as shielding gas in laser welding of 2205 DSS and observed the same weld geometry regardless of shielding gas. Bauer et al. [35] also indicated that there was no difference between cross-sections of laser-welded DSS shielded by argon and nitrogen with the same welding parameters. In arc welding, in contrast, shielding gas has a significant influence on the weld geometry, since the ionization potential and thermal conductivity of shielding gas can alter both arc shape and melt pool characteristics [36, 37].

4.2 Nitrogen loss

To evaluate nitrogen loss, as explained in 2.3 and 3.5, an indirect method was used to estimate the nitrogen content of the weld metal. Compared to the initial nitrogen content of the base metal, both Ar- and N₂-shielded welds showed some nitrogen loss, although significantly more pronounced for Ar-shielded welds. Keskitalo et al. [20] also reported that using nitrogen as shielding gas can to some extent limit the nitrogen loss during laser welding.

Although nitrogen loss affects the phase balance in the bulk of the weld, its influence is most obvious close to the surface...
(see Fig. 7). This is of great importance since this will largely dictate corrosion resistance properties [38, 39]. The absence of austenite at the surface in both Ar-as-welded and Ar-reheated samples is also a sign of severe nitrogen loss during laser welding with argon. Nitrogen, however, as the shielding gas, to some extent prevented nitrogen loss such that the surface regions in N2-as-welded sample had an almost equal amount of austenite as the bulk. As can be observed in Fig. 7, reheating in the presence of nitrogen shielding gas promoted even more austenite formation close to the surface.

### 4.3 As-welded microstructure

Rapid cooling combined with nitrogen loss can prevent sufficient austenite formation in laser welding of DSS. Nitrogen shielding, in the present study, significantly increased the austenite formation in the as-welded condition compared to argon shielding. As welding was done without a filler metal, nitrogen was the only element differing between the two as-welded conditions. Other studies have pointed out the importance of weld metal nitrogen content for austenite formation [17, 40, 41]. The equilibrium phase fraction diagrams of the two as-welded conditions, calculated by Thermo-Calc, are shown in Fig. 13. As may be seen, the larger nitrogen loss in the argon-shielded weld results in lower equilibrium austenite fractions and delays the beginning of the solid-state transformation of ferrite to austenite after solidification. In addition, faster diffusion at higher transformation temperatures increases growth rate. A higher nitrogen content has therefore, thermodynamically and kinetically, the potential to contribute to the formation of more austenite in the as-welded condition.

According to Fig. 8, by using nitrogen as shielding gas rather than argon, the amount of nitrides was noticeably reduced. The lower nitrogen content of the Ar-as-welded sample pushes the austenite formation start to lower temperatures where diffusion is slower. The lower diffusion rate combined with longer paths due to a lower austenite fraction causes some of the nitrogen to be trapped at some distances from austenite islands. As a result, ferrite becomes saturated in nitrogen which leads to the precipitation of nitrides. The addition of nitrogen via the shielding gas promotes the austenite formation, which can, therefore, significantly decrease the formation of nitrides.

Much research has in recent years focused on the effect of shielding gas on austenite formation during laser welding of DSS. Figure 14 displays a comparison between data from literature [20, 21] and this study. The final austenite fraction of the WM, as pointed out in the introduction, depends on several factors including laser type, laser parameters such as...
power, welding speed and spot size, grade of DSS, and its thickness. However, compared to argon, using nitrogen as shielding gas promotes the austenite formation (Table 1). It can also be seen that the same level of austenite fraction can be achieved in the laser-welded FDX 27 DSS as in the more common DSS grade 2205 [21].

4.4 Microstructure in reheated condition

Laser reheating did somewhat unexpectedly not produce any measurable increase of the austenite fraction for the argon-shielded weld. However, the austenite fraction of the nitrogen-shielded weld interestingly increased to 57% from 39%. This change could be attributed to the higher nitrogen content of the N2-shielded weld. As previously discussed, a low fraction of austenite causes more nitride precipitation, and according to Fig. 8, laser reheating noticeably reduced the fraction of nitrides in the nitrogen-shielded weld. Reheating apparently dissolved nitrides in the ferrite matrix, and the nitrogen from these helped to form more austenite and to approach the equilibrium phase fraction.

Comparing the austenite fractions of the as-welded, laser-reheated, and the Gleeble heat-treated samples demonstrated that for the nitrogen-shielded sample, laser reheating promoted austenite formation to the same level as the Gleeble heat treatment, i.e., 57%. However, laser reheating did not significantly increase the austenite fraction of the argon-shielded sample. The Gleeble heat treatment indicated that the Ar-as-welded sample had the potential to form about 52 ± 2% austenite at 1100 °C. One reason why the laser reheating did not enhance austenite formation might be that this weld had more or possibly larger nitride particles needing a longer time for dissolution. In addition, as a consequence of the lower austenite content, nitrogen had a longer diffusion path to the austenite (grain boundary, Widmanstätten, and intragranular), which would delay austenite growth. Further investigations should be performed to demonstrate the effect of these phenomena on the reheating behavior. As may be seen in Fig. 4, the reheating brings about the growth of primary austenite such as intergranular (grain boundary), Widmanstätten, and intragranular austenite. However, it did not create secondary austenite clusters, which are a typical constituent in the reheated pass of multipass arc-welded components. The reason could be that at high temperatures, the diffusion rate was relatively high, but undercooling promoting nucleation was low, making the growth of primary austenite easier than the nucleation and growth of secondary austenite clusters. Hosseini et al. [33] also observed that the growth of primary austenite was the prominent phenomenon at high temperatures, but the formation of secondary austenite clusters was more favored at lower reheating temperatures in super duplex stainless steel welds. In addition to that, at the reheating temperatures, secondary austenite precipitation may need a longer time than the reheating period.

4.5 Heat-affected zone microstructure

According to Fig. 7, the HAZ had lower austenite fractions in comparison with the BM. This is related to the rapid cooling and possible nitrogen loss experienced by the HAZ during laser welding. Varbai and Majlinger [42] considered the nitrogen diffusion from HAZ to the WM during welding of DSS. Hosseini et al. [12] also confirmed nitrogen depletion in the HAZ due to high-temperature diffusion and the presence of a nitrogen gradient between the BM and the WM. Similar to the WM, changing the shielding gas from argon to nitrogen increased the HAZ austenite content from 33 to 43%. This can be understood in terms of the higher nitrogen content in the N2-as-welded sample creating a smaller concentration gradient causing nitrogen diffusion from HAZ into the WM. Also similar to the weld metal behavior, reheating did not significantly affect the austenite content in the HAZ of the argon-shielded sample but increased HAZ austenite content of the nitrogen-shielded sample. As in the WM, laser reheating dissolved nitrides in the nitrogen-shielded sample HAZ and allowed nitrogen to diffuse and the system to move towards equilibrium.

5 Conclusions

The effects of using pure argon or nitrogen as shielding and backing gas and that of laser reheating were investigated in laser welding of 1.5-mm-thick FDX 27 DSS. Characterization of microstructures, measurements of austenite fractions, and thermodynamic calculations demonstrated how shielding gas and laser reheating contribute to austenite formation.

1- The as-welded austenite fraction increased from 22 to 39% when changing the shielding and backing gas from pure argon to pure nitrogen.
2- Laser reheating increased the austenite fraction from 39 to 57% for the N2-shielded weld but did not have any measurable effects when using Ar shielding.
3- The HAZ austenite fractions followed the WM trends with a maximum austenite fraction of 59% in the reheated nitrogen-shielded sample.
4- An almost fully ferritic microstructure was seen at the surface of Ar-shielded samples, while the N2-shielded samples had an acceptable distribution of austenite also at the surface.
5- Nitrides were observed in WM and HAZ for both Ar- and N2-shielding, but the amount was lower with N2-shielding. Laser reheating produced a largely nitride-free microstructure in the N2-shielding weld.
6- Nitrogen contents of weld metals were evaluated from calculated equilibrium phase diagrams and measured austenite fractions after equilibrating heat treatments at 1100 °C. The estimated contents were 0.16% for N2-shielded and 0.11% for Ar-shielded welds, as compared to 0.186% for the base metal.

7- Applying nitrogen as shielding gas in laser welding of DSS followed by subsequent laser reheating can notably promote austenite formation and suppress nitride precipitation.
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