PHYTOCHEMICAL, NUTRITIONAL AND ANTIOXIDANT CHARACTERISTICS OF WHITEBEAM (SORBUS ARIA) FRUITS
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ABSTRACT

Background. Common whitebeam, Sorbus aria (L.) Crantz is an European and Near-Eastern deciduous tree with small red fruits. However, the edible use of this forest fruit is currently not widespread. Also, its nutritional and antioxidative properties remained undiscovered. In this study, the chemical composition and antioxidative properties of common whitebeam fruit were investigated.

Materials and methods. The fruit were collected from Golo Bardo Mountain in Bulgaria. The phytochemical (carotenoids, phenolic compounds, flavonoids), nutritional (moisture, ash, titrable acidity, lipids, proteins, pectin, carbohydrates) and antioxidant activity were evaluated by four assays (DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, and CUPRAC).

Results. Sugar analysis demonstrated that only fructose and glucose were detected in the fruit. Pectin content did not exceed 1.30%. Moreover, the fruit had a low lipid content (0.80%). The whitebeam fruit were also characterized as a source of carotenoids (1.69 mg/100 g fresh weight, fw), phenolic compounds (32.42 mg GAE/100 g fw), and flavonoids (20.08 mg QE/100 g fw). Among phenolic acids, only 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic, caffeic, p-coumaric, and sinapic acid were detected. The antioxidant potential of the fruit was from 168.52 mM TE/100 g fw (FRAP) to 244.81 mM TE/100 g fw (CUPRAC assay).

Conclusion. The current research enriched the available information about the nutritional potential and chemical composition of common whitebeam fruit and their low sugar content. The absence of sucrose, together with its high phenolic content, demonstrated the potential of this fruit for future application in food products and supplements.
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INTRODUCTION

Sorbus aria (L.) Crantz, known as common whitebeam, is a member of the genus Sorbus, subfamily Maloideae, Rosaceae family (Olszewska, 2008). It is a slow-growing, small to medium-sized deciduous tree or shrub that can reach 20 m, but usually is up to 5–15 tall and 40 cm in diameter (Welk et al., 2016). It is characterized by a long lifespan (100–200 years) and starts fruiting from 10–20 years of age. Sorbus
**Sorbus aria** occurs in almost all mountainous and hilly regions of southern and central Europe, from the Iberian Peninsula and southern Italy eastwards to the Balkans and the Carpathian Mountains and northern parts of Africa as well (Olszewska and Michel, 2012; Welk et al., 2016). In Bulgaria, **Sorbus aria** is a widespread tree mainly found in the mountains (Rila, Pirin, Rhodopes, Vitosha, Lulin, and Stara Planina) between 800 and 1700 m altitude. It is not found in the eastern and southeastern parts of the country (Michev et al., 1983). The flowering period of common whitebeam is from May to June, and the fruit ripen from September to October (Michev et al., 1983; Welk et al., 2016). They are orange to scarlet globose pomes of 8–15 mm in diameter with 2 to 4 seeds and many lenticels on the skin. **S. aria**, together with fruits of several **Sorbus** species, is used as a food ingredient and also as traditional diuretic, anti-inflammatory, anti-diarrhoeal (dried fruit) and vasodilatory agents and for its vitamin content (Michev et al., 1983; Olszewska, 2008). Common whitebeam fruit can be eaten fresh, dried or processed as jams, jellies, marmalade, conserves, syrup, vinegar, brandy, liqueurs, and fruit wine (Michev et al., 1983; Olszewska and Michel, 2012; Šavikin et al., 2017) or added into a bread flour (German name “Mehlbeere = Flour Berry”). In frozen or cooked form, the fruit are edible, but not too tasty (Welk et al., 2016). The astringent taste of the fruit is due to phenolic compounds (Michev et al., 1983). The taste and quality of the fruit strongly depend on its phytochemical composition and therefore a determination of its qualitative and quantitative characteristics becomes of great importance.

The chemical composition and antioxidant activity of the **Sorbus aria** fruit have not been studied in detail. Some fragmentary data about carotenoids, phenolic acids, and flavonoids have been reported for fruit collected from Serbia and Montenegro (Šavikin et al., 2017). Flavonol aglycones in hydrolyzed extracts from Polish **Sorbus aria** (L.) Crantz fruit have been reported (Olszewska, 2008). The antioxidant potential of some **Sorbus** species such as **Sorbus aucuparia**, **Sorbus domestica**, **Sorbus aria**, etc. has been demonstrated (Hukkanen et al., 2006; Olszewska, 2008; Šavikin et al., 2017; Termentzi et al., 2006; Zymone et al., 2008). However, the qualitative and quantitative characteristics of phenolic compounds strongly depend on various genotypic and environmental factors. Information about the detailed chemical composition and antioxidant activity of **Sorbus aria** from the Balkan Peninsula, especially in Bulgaria, is very limited or lacking. Moreover, nutrients such as carbohydrates, including pectins and sugars, have not been studied. To the best of our knowledge, no detailed study has been carried out on the physicochemical, nutritional characterization, and antioxidant activity of **Sorbus aria** fruit up to now. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to carry out a detailed analysis of the content of major bioactive substances in these fruit grown in Bulgaria and the evaluation of their antioxidant potential.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

**Sorbus aria** fruit were harvested from a village called Kralev dol (Pernik, Bulgaria) on Golo Bardo mountain at an altitude of 796 m, latitude 42.5667°, and 23.08333° longitude during the end of September 2018 in the full ripening stage, when the skin obtained a deep red to wine red colour. The samples were collected by a botanist from the University of Forestry, Sofia, Bulgaria, and characterized by them. The plant material was deposited in the Herbarium of the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research at the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences with a voucher specimen number SOM 177019. The fruit were immediately transferred to the laboratory in a plastic bag. The collected raw material was cleaned, washed out, the seeds were removed from the pulp and the fruit mass was stored at –18°C until further analysis.

**Chemicals**

All the solvents and reagents were of analytical grade and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Fillab (Plovdiv, Bulgaria). The reagents were used directly without further pretreatment.

**Chemical composition.** Moisture content (%) was determined after drying at 105°C until constant weight (AOAC, 2007). Ash content (%) was determined by igniting the sample in a muffle furnace (MLW, Germany) at 550°C for 4 h (AOAC, 2007). pH was measured using a pH meter 7110 WTW (Weilheim, Germany). Titratable acidity (TA) was measured potentiometrically by titration with 0.1M NaOH to the pH value...
of 8.1 and the result was expressed as g of malic acid equivalent per 100 g fresh weight (fw). The crude protein content was calculated using the micro-Kjeldahl method (Bradstreet, 1965). The nitrogen content of the digested sample, expressed as ammonia, was determined by the acetylacetone-formaldehyde colorimetric method using ammonium sulfate as a standard (National Food Safety Standard of the People's Republic of China, 2010). The protein content was calculated using 6.25 as a conversion factor. Total lipid content (%) was determined by exhaustive Soxhlet extraction (AOAC methods, 2012). Total carbohydrate was evaluated using the difference: Total carbohydrates, % = 100 – (moisture, % + ash, % + protein, % + lipids, %). The nutritional value of Sorbus aria fruit was calculated (Petkova et al., 2020).

**Preparation of fruit extracts.** The extraction procedure was performed in a solid to liquid ratio 1:5 (w/v) with acetone (for pigments), 95% ethanol (for phenolic compound and antioxidant activity) and distilled water (for sugar analysis) in an ultrasonic bath (VWR, Malaysia) with frequency 45 kHz and 30W power at 45°C in triplicate (Petkova et al., 2020).

**HPLC-RID of sugars.** Sugar analysis was performed on an HPLC instrument Elite Chrome Hitachi with a refractive index detector (RID) Chromaster 5450 on a Shodex® Sugar SP0810 (300 mm × 8.0 mm) with Pb²⁺ and a guard column Shodex SP − G (5 μm, 6 × 50 mm) at 85°C, mobile phase distilled H₂O with a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min (Petkova et al., 2014).

**Sweetness.** Sweetness index (SI) and Total sweetness index (TSI) were calculated after HPLC analysis of individual sugars for determination of the fruit sweetness perception (Akšić et al., 2019). Sweetness Index – SI was calculated, based on the fact that fructose and sucrose are 2.30 and 1.35 times sweeter than glucose, respectively; SI = (1.00 × [glucose]) + (2.30 × [fructose]) + (1.35 × [sucrose]). Total sweetness index – TSI is expressed with the contribution of each sugar estimated relative to sucrose: TSI = (1.00 × [sucrose]) + (0.76 × [glucose]) + (1.50 × [fructose]).

**Pectin (uronic acids) content.** The uronic acid content of the fruit material was determined as described (Ahmed and Labavitch, 1978). Before analysis, the sample was solubilized with 72% (w/w) H₂SO₄ for 1 h at 30°C, followed by hydrolysis step with 1M H₂SO₄ for 3 h at 100°C. An aliquot of hydrolyzate was used for analysis by m-hydroxydiphenyl assay using galacturonic acid as a standard (Blumenkrantz and Asboe-Hansen, 1973).

**Pigments.** The total chlorophylls and carotenoids were extracted with acetone. The absorbance of the samples was measured at three wavelengths 662, 645, and 470 nm (Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983) using UV/Vis spectrophotometer Camspec M107 (Spectronic-Camspec Ltd., Leeds, UK). Lycopene and β-carotene were extracted in triplicate with 50, 30 and 30 ml acetone, respectively for 20 min in the dark, and the extract was filtered. The combined acetone extracts were added to a separating funnel, then petroleum ether (75 ml) was added, and the organic phase was washed three times with 50 ml water. The remaining water was removed with anhydrous sodium sulfate and the volume was made up to 100 ml with petroleum ether. The absorptions at 450 and 503 nm were measured and the concentrations of lycopene and β-carotene were calculated (Lime et al., 1957). Total anthocyanin content was determined according to the pH differential method (AOAC 2005.02). The samples (0.5 ml) were mixed with buffers at pH 1.0 and pH 4.5 (2.5 ml), and the absorbance was measured against a blank at λ = 510 and 700 nm. The results were expressed in mg cyanidin-3-glycoside equivalents / 100 g.

**Total phenols and flavonoids content.** The fruit extract (0.2 ml) was mixed with 1 ml of five-time diluted Folin-Ciocalteau reagent and 0.8 ml of 7.5% Na₂CO₃. After 20 min the absorbance was measured at 765 nm against a blank sample. The results were expressed as mg equivalent of gallic acid (GAE) per g fresh and dry weight. The total flavonoids content was analyzed colorimetrically using Al(NO₃)₃ reagents. The extract (0.5 mL) was added to plastic test tubes, then 0.1 mL 10% aluminum nitrate, 0.1 mL 1M potassium acetate and 3.8 mL 95% ethanol were added. After 40 min at an ambient temperature, the absorbance was measured at 415 nm against a control sample prepared using the above-mentioned procedure without the addition of 0.1 mL 10% aluminum nitrate. The absorbance was
measured at 415 nm against a blank. The results were expressed as mg equivalents quercetin (QE) per g dry and fresh weight (Ivanov et al., 2014).

**Phenolic acid content.** Phenolic acids were analyzed using an Elite LaChrome (Hitachi) HPLC system equipped with a diode array detector, ELITE LaChrome (Hitachi) software and a column Supelco Discovery HS C18 column (5 μm, 25 cm × 4.6 mm), operating at 30°C under gradient conditions with a mobile phase consisting of 2% (v/v) acetic acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B) with a flow rate 0.8 ml/min (Terzieva et al., 2017).

**Antioxidant activity**

**DPPH radical-scavenging ability.** The ethanolic extract (0.15 ml) was mixed with 2.85 ml fresh 0.1 mM methanol solution of DPPH. The sample was incubated at 37°C in darkness for 15 min. The reduction of absorbance was measured at 517 nm against a blank and % inhibition was calculated (Ivanov et al., 2014).

**ABTS+ radical scavenging ability.** The ABTS+ solution (2.85 ml) was mixed with 0.15 ml extracts. After 15 min at 37°C in darkness, the absorbance was measured at 734 nm against ethanol (Ivanov et al., 2014).

**FRAP assay.** The FRAP reagent was prepared before analysis by mixing 10 parts 0.3M acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 1 part 10 mM 2,4,6-tri(2-pyridyl)-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl and 1 part 20 mM FeCl3·6H2O in distilled water. FRAP reagent (3.0 ml) was mixed with 0.1 ml extract. After 10 min at 37°C in darkness, the absorbance of the sample was measured at 593 nm (Ivanov et al., 2014).

**CUPRAC assay.** Sample (0.1 ml) was mixed with 1 ml CuCl2 × 2H2O, 1 ml methanol solution of Neocuproine, 1 ml 0.1M ammonium acetate buffer and 1 ml distilled H2O. After 20 min at 50°C in darkness, the samples were cooled to room temperature and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm.

All the results from the determination of antioxidant activity were performed in triplicates and expressed as mM Trolox equivalents (mM TE) on fresh weight (fw) and dry weight (dw) (Ivanov et al., 2014).

**Statistical analysis.** All analyses were performed in triplicate (n = 3). The data were presented as mean values ±standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using MS Excel 2010.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Nutritional characteristics of common whitebeam (Sorbus aria) fruit**

The detailed phytochemical composition and nutritional properties of edible parts of common whitebeam fruit are summarized in Table 1.

The moisture content of *Sorbus aria* fruit was 54.50 ±0.15%, while the total dry weight was 45.50 ±0.15%, respectively. Its moisture content was close to the values (56–60%) of other *Sorbus aria* collected from Vitosha Mountain and was lower than samples from Rila and Rhodopi mountains (60–70%) (Michev et al., 1983). Ash content was 2.53 ±0.17% and it was near to values found in the samples from Rila Mountain (Michev et al., 1983). The protein content of *Sorbus aria* fruit was found in relatively low amounts (1.48 ±0.31%), which was comparable with other whitebeam fruit (Michev et al., 1983) – 0.6 to 1.8% fw. Moreover, protein content coincided with this in Sea-buckthorn fruit (Zenkovka and Pinchykova, 2019), peaches, and cherries – 1 g per 100 g (Sikora et al., 2013). The fruit were characterized by a low lipid content of 1.00 ±0.20 g/100 g (fresh weight) and 2.22 ±0.20% (dry weight), respectively. Michev et al. (1983) reported that total lipid content expressed as ether extract was in the range 0.5–1.85% for other *Sorbus aria* fruit. The lipid content of whitebeam fruit was lower than that of Sea-buckthorn fruit – 6.20–3.60% (Zenkovka and Pinchykova, 2019), but higher in *Malus baccata* – 0.55 ±0.05% dw (Petkova et al., 2020).

Carbohydrates were the main constituents of the common whitebeam fruit, reaching 38.54 g/100 g of fresh weight, as the soluble sugars represented 18% of them. Sucrose was not detected in the water extract, which was in agreement with the findings on 18 *Sorbus* cultivars (Zymone et al., 2018). Fructose and glucose were the only monosaccharides found in the extract (Fig. 1; 2.73 and 3.63 g/100 g). Interestingly, our results for glucose and fructose, as well as for total sugar content (6.36 g/100 g), were comparable to those in other studies on forest fruits,
such as bird cherry (*Padus avium* Mill.), mountain-ash (*Sorbus aucuparia* L.) (Sergunova and Bokov, 2019), blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa* L.) (Sikora et al., 2013), some *Sorbus* cultivar (Zymone et al., 2018), blueberry (Akišić et al., 2019), blackberry, strawberry, wild-grown elderberry and goji berry (Mikulic-Petkovsek et al., 2012). Sweetness Index – SI was 11.08, while TSI was 7.52 (Table 1). Our values for SI were comparable to those of strawberries – 10.13 ±0.63 (Keutgen and Pawelzik, 2007). The taste of *S. aria* depends on individual sugars – glucose and fructose. The highest concentration of fructose in common whitebeam fruit had the highest impact on the sweetness of the fruit. The absence of sucrose in ripe common whitebeam fruit makes them recommendable for use in dietetic nutrition. This fruit should be promoted in low carbohydrate diets.

Organic acids, sugars, and their ratio determine the organoleptic properties of the fruit. Titratable acidity expressed as malic acid did not exceed 0.9% (Table 1), which was comparable with results reported for common whitebeam and strawberry fruit (Keutgen and Pawelzik, 2007; Michev et al., 1983). Also, organic acids are crucial for the processing of fruit, due to their influence on the gelling properties of pectins. Sugar to acid ratio is defined as the total sugar content compared to the total acid level. This sugar/acid ratio is responsible for the taste and flavor of fruit. It is also an indicator of commercial and sensory ripeness. Sugar/organic acid ratios, which ranged from 3 to 9 in the fruit, were described as having a sweet-sour or sour-sweet taste (Mikulic-Petkovsek et al., 2012). In our study, whitebeam fruit had a high sugar/organic acid ratio – 14.54, which is above 5, and therefore its fruit might be considered sweet ones according to Zymone et al. (2018). The calculated ratio showed that *Sorbus aria* fruit were comparable with values reported for

| Characteristics                        | Fresh weight          | Dry weight       |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Moisture, %                            | 54.50 ±0.15           |                  |
| Dry matter, %                          | 45.50 ±0.15           |                  |
| Ash content, %                         | 2.53 ±0.17            | 5.61 ±0.17       |
| Titrable acidity (TA), %               | 0.44 ±0.02            | 0.98 ±0.02       |
| pH                                     | 4.22 ±0.05            | 4.32 ±0.05       |
| Lipids, g/100 g                        | 1.00 ±0.20            | 2.22 ±0.20       |
| Proteins, g/100 g                      | 1.48 ±0.31            | 3.29 ±0.31       |
| Carbohydrates, g/100 g                 | 38.54 ±2.05           | 85.56 ±2.05      |
| Glucose, g/100 g                       | 2.73 ±0.04            | 6.06 ±0.04       |
| Fructose, g/100 g                      | 3.63 ±0.02            | 8.06 ±0.02       |
| Sucrose, g/100 g                       | not detected          | not detected     |
| Total reducing sugars, g/100 g         | 6.36 ±0.02            | 14.12 ±0.02      |
| Sweetness index (SI)                   | 11.08 ±0.02           | 24.60 ±0.02      |
| Total sweetness index (TSI)             | 7.52 ±0.02            | 16.69 ±0.02      |
| Total sugars / TA ratio                | 14.54 ±0.02           | 14.54 ±0.02      |
| Pectin (expressed as uronic acids), g/100 g | 1.77 ±0.02            | 3.90 ±0.02       |
| Caloric value, kcal/100 g (kJ/100 g)   | 169 (707)             | 375 (1569)       |

Values are reported as mean ±SD from a triplicate determination.
other fruit like some *Sorbus aucuparia* cultivar, ‘Businka’, Eastern shadbush and black mulberry – with total sugars / TA ratio of 14.39, 13.56 and 12.91, respectively (Mikulic-Petkovsek et al., 2012; Żymone et al., 2018).

Another valuable component detected in *Sorbus aria* fruit was uronic acids, which represent the amount of an acidic polysaccharide such as pectin – 1.77 ±0.02 g/100 g fw. They accounted for 5% of the total carbohydrates in the fruit (Table 1). These values were higher than the results reported for other representatives from the Bulgarian mountains (Michev et al., 1983), and Sea buckthorn berry (Zenkova and Pinchykova, 2019). Pectin is a valuable soluble dietary fiber that is widely used in the food industry due to its thickening and gelling properties. Therefore, these fruit presented a low caloric natural source of dietary fiber and they could be successfully used for the preparation of jams, jelly, fillings, and marmalade.

Keeping in mind the energy factor for different constituents, the caloric value of *Sorbus aria* fruits was calculated (Table 1). It was 169 kcal/100 g in fresh fruit and 375 kcal/100 g in dried fruit, respectively. The energy values are 17 kJ/g (4.0 kcal/g) for protein, 37 kJ/g (9.0 kcal/g) for fat, and 17 kJ/g (4.0 kcal/g) for carbohydrates. Based on the calculation of the total energy intake, which is 375 kcal/100 g dw, it can be emphasized that carbohydrates give the highest percentage of energy (>90%), while lipids bring less than 10% of the total energy intake. Based on the energy factor for available carbohydrates expressed as monosaccharides, it was calculated that they do not account for more than 15% of the total caloric values (53 kcal/100 g). Therefore, a significant impact on caloric value is due to pectin, starch, and cellulose and other fermentable fibers (289 kcal/100 g dw). Furthermore, according to a report by FAO (2003), dietary fiber should be adopted in energy evaluation. Each dietary

![HPLC-RID chromatogram of the aqueous extract from Sorbus aria fruit: 1 – glucose, 2 – fructose](image.png)
fiber has an energy value which depends on its fermentability, so an energy conversion factor of 2 kcal/g should be used in our case. Of these, pectin accounts for no more than 3% of the total energy intake.

**Natural pigments**

Natural pigments detected in *Sorbus aria* fruit in the fresh state were presented. Total chlorophylls were 5.12 μg/g fw, while total carotenoids were 3 times more – 16.91 μg/g fw (Table 2). An additional comparison was made between Bulgarian and other *Sorbus aria* representatives from the Balkan Peninsula (Table 2).

Chlorophylls a and b were also detected in *S. aria* fruit as the mean values of 0.61 μg/g dw for chlorophyll a, and 0.43 μg/g dw for chlorophyll b (Šavikin et al., 2017). However, in *S. aria* fruit from Bulgaria, the values of these pigments were from 6 to 18 times higher than those in Serbian samples.

The total carotenoid content in common whitebeam fruit was higher than the chlorophyll content (16.91 ±0.68 μg/g fw and 37.17 ±0.68 μg/g dw). β-carotene and lycopene were the main carotenoids detected in fruit samples, as their total content (11.68 μg/g fw) presented 69% of the total carotenoids (Table 2). In a study carried out by O’Sullivan et al. (2011), it was reported that β-carotene was the predominant carotenoid in Irish whitebeam, rosehips, and rowanberries (52 to 73 μg/100 g sample). However, in our study, lycopene was found to be a major carotenoid constituent in *S. aria* fruit. The presence of lycopene in common whitebeam fruit was also reported by Šavikin et al. (2017). Moreover, its content in the Bulgarian representative was significantly higher in comparison with Serbian fruit. In addition, O’Sullivan et al. (2011) reported that whitebeam also contained β-cryptoxanthin (10 μg/100 g sample). The presence of lutein and a-carotene was found in fruits collected from Serbia and Montenegro (Šavikin et al., 2017). Interestingly, the total carotenoid content (16.91 μg/fw and 37.17 μg/dw) found in the current study was higher than the total carotenoid content in service tree (*Sorbus domestica*) – 0.29 mg/100 g fw (Egea et al., 2010) and more than 10 times lower in rowanberries (Zymone et al., 2018). We did not detect any monomeric anthocyanidins in the fruit of *S. aria* (Table 2). The absence of monomeric anthocyanidins was found for the fruit of crab apple (Petkova et al., 2020).

**Total phenol and total flavonoid content**

The phenolic content, flavonoid content, and the content of phenolic acids, which was determined individually, are summarized in Table 3. The phenolic content in common whitebeam berries was 32.42 ±2.72 and 71.25 ±2.72 mg GAE/100 g fresh and dry weight, respectively. The total flavonoids were twice lower than the content of total phenols.

| Pigments                        | In this study (in Bulgaria) | In Serbia | In Montenegro |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|
|                                | μg/g dw fw                  | μg/g dw   | μg/g dw       | μg/g dw       |
| Chlorophyll a                   | 3.58 ±0.12                  | 0.07–1.91 | 0.31–0.54     |
| Chlorophyll b                   | 7.65 ±0.18                  |           |               |
| Chlorophyll a/b ratio           | 0.56 ±0.16                  |           |               |
| Total chlorophyll (a + b)       | 11.25                       |           |               |
| Total carotenoids               | 37.17 ±0.68                 | 0.04–0.88 | 0.19–1.11     |
| β-carotene                      | 11.82 ±0.21                 |           |               |
| Lycopene                        | 13.85 ±0.11                 |           |               |
| Total monomeric anthocyanidins  | not detected                |           |               |

Values are reported as mean ±SD from a triplicate determination.

Table 2. Chlorophyll and carotenoid contents in common whitebeam fruit collected from the Balkan Peninsula
(44.13 ±0.42 mg QE/100 g dw). Comparable to our findings, O’Sullivan et al. (2011) reported a lower level of total phenols (20 mg GAE/100 ml) in Irish whitebeam fruit. Our values for the total phenols were lower compared with the reported values for other Sorbus spp. (American mountain-ash, Sorbus americana) 154.8 ±3.3 mg GAE/g dw and European rowanberry (Sorbus aucuparia) 39.6 ±1.4 mg GAE/g dw (Klensporf-Pawlik and Przybyslki, 2015), and Serbian common whitebeam berries – 3.91–10.81 mg GAE/g dw (Šavikin et al., 2017). The data for total flavonoid content in Sorbus aria in our study was comparable with the reported values of flavonoid aglycone content 20–31 mg/100 g dw (Olszewska, 2008).

The content of phenolic acids is presented in Table 3. Four phenolic acids were detected as their content decreased in the following order: 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid > sinapic acid > p-coumaric acid > caffeic acid. It was easy to recognize 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid as the main constituent in common whitebeam fruit with values of 108.01 ±0.05 µg/g fw and 237.38 ±0.05 µg/g dw, respectively. Moreover, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, cinnamic acid, and chicoric acid were not detected. However, in Sorbus aria fruit collected from Serbia and Montenegro, only two phenolic acids were detected, as chlorogenic acid ranged from 0.22–2.30 mg/g dw, while neochlorogenic acid varied from 0.18 to 4.00 mg/g dw (Šavikin et al., 2017). Contrary to Sorbus aucuparia, where chlorogenic (29–160 mg) and neochlorogenic (34–104 mg) acids constituted the major fraction in all rowanberries (Hukkanen et al., 2006), in the case of Sorbus aria, 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid, sinapic acid, and p-coumaric acid were the dominating phenolic acids in its fruit. Chlorogenic acid was absent from Sorbus aria fruit collected from Bulgaria. This is the first study that has examined the phenolic acid content and composition of Sorbus aria fruit collected from Bulgaria.

The difference in phenolic and natural pigment content of Sorbus aria can be explained with the different environmental and growing conditions. The samples collected at an altitude above 800 m were grown in severe conditions, which led to an increase in the production of more phenolic components. Michev et al. (1983) also mentioned that altitude influences the chemical composition of common whitebeam fruit from the Bulgarian mountains (Vitosha, Rila, and Rhodopi), especially moisture content.

### Table 3. Phenolic acid composition, total phenolic content and flavonoid content of common whitebeam fruit

| Characteristics          | Content for fresh weight | Content for dry weight |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid| 108.01 ±0.05              | 237.38 ±0.05          |
| Gallic acid              | n.d.                     | n.d.                  |
| Chlorogenic acid         | n.d.                     | n.d.                  |
| Caffeic acid             | 26.52 ±0.12              | 58.29 ±0.12           |
| Ferulic acid             | n.d.                     | n.d.                  |
| p-coumaric acid          | 66.27 ±0.20              | 145.65 ±0.20          |
| Sinapic acid             | 81.77 ±0.18              | 179.71 ±0.18          |
| Cinnamic acid            | n.d.                     | n.d.                  |
| Chicoric acid            | n.d.                     | n.d.                  |
| Total phenolic acids, µg/g| 282.57 ±0.32             | 621.03 ±0.32          |
| Total phenolic compounds, mg GAE/100 g | 32.42 ±2.72 | 71.25 ±2.72 |
| Total flavonoids, mg QE/100 g | 20.08 ±0.42 | 44.13 ±0.42 |

Values are reported as mean ±SD from a triplicate determination, n.d. – not detected.
Antioxidant activity
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first detailed study with an evaluation of the antioxidant potential of *Sorbus aria* fruit. Several methods have been developed to evaluate antioxidant activity, using the scavenging of synthetic or generated radicals. In our study, four methods, based on two different mechanisms – SET (single electron transfer) and HAT-type (hydrogen atom transfer) – were used to evaluate the antioxidant activity of *Sorbus aria* fruit collected from Bulgaria (Fig. 2).

The antioxidant potential of fresh fruit was in the range from 168.52 (FRAP) to 356.34 mM TE/100 g fw (CUPRAC) and from 370.70 to 783.17 mM TE/100 g dw. Therefore, the fruit demonstrated antioxidant activity by both SET and HAT mechanisms. It was found that the common whitebeam fruit exhibited the highest cupper reducing properties (CUPRAC assay), followed by radical scavenging properties, evaluated using DPPH and ABTS assays (Fig. 2). The obtained values for the antioxidant activity for common whitebeam fruit (*Sorbus aria*) were higher than reported in literature data for 20 *Sorbus* cultivar (Zymone et al., 2018), *Sorbus domestica* (Aksić et al., 2019), and blackthorn fruit (Sikora et al., 2013). The demonstrated antioxidant activity of *Sorbus aria* fruit revealed its application as a possible antioxidant ingredient for nutraceutical or functional food. In addition, the low sugar content and absence of sucrose together with the high phenolic content in *Sorbus aria* fruit revealed the potential of these fruit for application in diets. The presence of phytochemicals is important for controlling obesity and diabetes, as reported previously in detail (Mihaylova et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION
In the current study, fruits of the common whitebeam (*Sorbus aria*) were characterized as a natural source of phytonutrients such as pectin, carotenoids, phenolic acids, and flavonoids. The nutritional and antioxidant properties of the fruit demonstrated their potential for the preparation of foods with potential beneficial effects, as well as low caloric foods and dietary supplements. Due to a rich phenolic acid content, high antioxidant potential, and low sugar content the investigated fruit could be included in diets for healthy and dietetic nutrition.
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