Activation and Stiffness of the Inhibited States of F1-ATPase Probed by Single-molecule Manipulation
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F1-ATPase (F1), a soluble portion of FoF1-ATP synthase (FoF1), is an ATP-driven motor in which γε subunits rotate in the αβ3 cylinder. Activity of F1 and FoF1 from Bacillus PS3 is attenuated by the ε subunit in an inhibitory extended form. In this study we observed ATP-dependent transition of ε in single F1 molecules from extended form to hairpin form by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. The results justify the previous bulk experiments and ensure that fraction of F1 with hairpin ε directly determines the fraction of active F1 at any ATP concentration. Next, mechanical activation and stiffness of ε-inhibited F1 were examined by the forced rotation of magnetic beads attached to γ. Compared with ADP inhibition, which is another manner of inhibition, rotation by a larger angle was required for the activation from ε inhibition when the beads were forced to rotate to ATP hydrolysis direction, and more torque was required to reach the same rotation angle when beads were forced to rotate to ATP synthesis direction. The results imply that if F0F1 is resting in the ε-inhibited state, F0 motor must transmit to γ a torque larger than expected from thermodynamic equilibrium to initiate ATP synthesis.

FoF1-ATP synthase (FoF1) is a ubiquitous enzyme located in bacterial plasma membranes, mitochondrial inner membranes, and chloroplast thylakoid membranes. FoF1 consists of two major portions, water-soluble F1 and membrane-embedded Fo. In the simplest version of bacterial FoF1 such as FoF1 from thermophilic Bacillus PS3 and Escherichia coli, subunit composition of F1 and F0 is αβγδε and ab2c10, respectively. Downward proton flow across membrane through F0 along proton motive force (pmf) drives the rotation of the rotor ring of oligomer c subunits (c-ring) in Fo that induces rotation of the rotor shaft of F1 consisting of the γ and ε subunits in the surrounding αβ3 cylinder. This rotation causes cyclic conformational changes in the catalytic sites in β subunits that result in ATP synthesis (1–5). FoF1 can catalyze a back reaction, ATP hydrolysis-driven proton pumping, when ATP hydrolysis is thermodynamically more favorable than pmf-driven proton flow. The isolated F1 has ATPase activity, often called F1-ATPase, and is itself an ATP-driven rotary motor (6–8). Starting from the orientation angle of the γ subunit at 0° (ATP-waiting dwell), ATP binding induces the 80° substep rotation of γ, the ATP previously bound is hydrolyzed (catalytic dwell), and Pγ-release induces the 40° substep rotation (9–11). Duration of ATP-waiting dwell is inversely proportional to the concentration of ATP ([ATP]), but that of the catalytic dwell is independent from ATP, always a few milliseconds.

ATPase activity of F1 as well as FoF1 is attenuated by several mechanisms. In bacteria, two mechanisms are common; they are inhibition by MgADP (ADP inhibition) and inhibition by ε subunit (ε inhibition). ADP inhibition is caused by the persistent occupation of a high affinity catalytic site by MgADP (without Pγ) (12–18). Using Bacillus PS3 F1, Hirono-Hara et al. revealed that ADP inhibition accompanied the stall of rotary catalysis at the catalytic dwell angle (19) and that activation required rotation of γ by ~40° in ATP hydrolysis direction by mechanical manipulation (20) or by thermal fluctuation without manipulation. In the case of ATP synthesis, high pmf can expel the inhibitory ADP from FoF1, and once activated, turnover of ATP synthesis is not interrupted by ADP inhibition (for details, see review Ref 21 and references therein). The ε subunit is part of a rotor (22, 23) and has been known as an intrinsic inhibitor of ATPase activity (24, 25) as well as a subunit that improves coupling efficiency (26, 27). The ε subunit consists of two domains (28, 29); that is, an N-terminal β-sandwich domain, by which ε binds to globular part of γ and ε-ring, and a C-terminal domain with two α-helices, which undergoes conformational transition between hairpin and extended forms (30–34). ATPase activity is inhibited only when the two α-helices are extended. In ε inhibition, rotation is slowed down (35) or paused at the position of the catalytic dwell, the same position as observed for ADP inhibition (36, 37), and it has been proposed that ε inhibition involves strengthened ADP inhibition (15, 37). The manner of ε inhibition varies among species. In the case of Bacillus PS3 F1, the inhibition is observed only at low [ATP] and ATP can bind to and stabilize the non-inhibitory hairpin form of ε. ATP synthesis reaction appears to be affected by C-terminal domain of ε as a mutant F0F1(εC) that lacked this domain had a higher rate of ATP synthesis (38, 39).

In this study, a population of hairpin ε in Bacillus PS3 F1 immobilized on the glass surface was directly estimated at various [ATP] by single-pair fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). The results confirmed the previous bulk FRET experiments and correspondence of the fraction of F1 contain-
ing the hairpin $e$ to the fraction of active $F_1$ at any [$ATP$]. Next, magnetic beads attached to $\gamma$ of $e$-inhibited and ADP-inhibited $F_1$ were forced to rotate by a external magnetic field, and mechanical activation and stiffness of the inhibited forms were examined. The results indicate that the $e$-inhibited $F_1$ is more resistant against mechanical perturbation than ADP-inhibited $F_1$, and more torque is required for activation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Sample Preparation—The $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma$ and $\alpha_3\beta_3\epsilon\gamma$ complexes of $F_1$ and $\epsilon$ subunit derived from thermophilic *Bacillus* PS3 were used for all experiments in this work. The expression plasmids were prepared by the overlap extension PCR or mega-primer PCR methods. $\alpha$(His-6 at the N terminus/C193S),$\beta$(His-10 at the N terminus),$\gamma$(S107C/E165C) complex for single molecule manipulation (both were termed as $F_1(\epsilon(-\epsilon))$) were expressed and purified as described previously (40). The wild-type $\epsilon$, $\epsilon$(E31C), and $\epsilon$(E31C/134C) were prepared as described previously (41). Cy3-labeled $\epsilon$ (termed as $\epsilon$Cy3) was prepared as follows. $\epsilon$(E31C) was incubated with 10 mM dithiothreitol for 30 min at room temperature. Dithiothreitol was removed by repeated passage through a PD-10 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0) and 1 mM EDTA. Cy3-maleimide (GE Healthcare) (final concentration, 100 $\mu$m) was added to the eluted solution, and the mixture was incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature. Free fluorescent reagents were removed on a PD-10 column equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) and 100 mM KCl. In the case of $\epsilon$(E31C/134C) labeled with Cy3 and Cy5 (termed as $\epsilon$Cy3,5), the 1:2 mixture of Cy3- and Cy5-maleimide was added instead of Cy3-maleimide.

FRET Assay—For reconstitution, $F_1(\epsilon(-\epsilon))$ and $\epsilon$Cy3,5, were mixed at a molar ratio of 1:3 and incubated for more than 30 min. The mixture was passed through a size exclusion column (Superdex 75, Amersham Biosciences) equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, and 0.5 mM EDTA to remove free $\epsilon$Cy3,5. The obtained $F_1(\epsilon$Cy3,5) at 1 nm was incubated at room temperature in Tris(2-ATP) buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, and 2 mM MgCl₂), and indicated concentrations of ATP, and an ATP-regenerating system (100 $\mu$g/ml pyruvate kinase, 2 mM phosphoenolpyruvate). Incubation time was 30 min in 1 mM ATP and 1 h in other ATP concentrations. $F_1(\epsilon$Cy3,5) was further diluted to ~50 pm with Tris(2-ATP) buffer and infused into an observation chamber constructed from a nickel-nitritolitreric acid-coated glass slide and a non-coated slide, separated by ~50 $\mu$m spacers, which had been blocked with 20 mg/ml bovine serum albumin for more than 1 h. After a 30-s incubation, unbound $F_1$ was washed out with ~10 volumes of Tris(2-ATP) buffer containing the oxygen scavenging system (8 mg/ml d-glucose, 1 mg/ml glucose oxidase, 0.04 mg/ml catalase, and 1% 2-mercaptoethanol).

Single-pair FRET was measured at 25 °C under an objective-type total internal reflection fluorescence microscope built on an inverted microscope (IX70, Olympus). Donor dye (Cy3) was excited at 532 nm by laser (MG-532C-500, Spectra-Physics). Fluorescence from the donor and the acceptor were divided and filtered by dichroic mirrors and bandpass filters and captured with an intensified (VS4-1845D, VideoScope) CCD camera (CCD-300T-RC, Dage-MTI). Due to random labeling, non-FRET signals from $e$ labeled with only donor dyes were contained in the obtained fluorescent signals. Observation fields were also illuminated at 594 nm (LHYP-0201, Research Electro-Optics) to check the existence of the acceptor, and the non-FRET signals were omitted. Images recorded on a videotape were analyzed on PC with software (Celery, LIBRARY). FRET efficiency was defined as $I_{Cy3}/(I_{Cy3} + I_{Cy5})$, where $I_{Cy3}$ and $I_{Cy5}$ are the fluorescence intensities from a fluorescent spot after background intensities near the pair were subtracted.

ATPase Assay—Wild-type $\epsilon$, $\epsilon$Cy3,5, and $\epsilon$Cy3,5, were incubated with $F_1(\epsilon(-\epsilon))$ by mixing at a molar ratio of 2:1, and the $\alpha_3\beta_3\gamma$ complexes (termed as $F_1(\epsilon), F_1(\epsilon$Cy3) and $F_1(\epsilon$Cy3,5), respectively) were reconstituted. Excess free $\epsilon$ was not removed. ATPase activity was measured with an NADH-coupled ATP-regenerating system at 25 °C. The assay mixture consisted of Tris(2-ATP) buffer supplemented by 0.2 mM NADH and 100 $\mu$g/ml lactate dehydrogenase. The reaction was initiated by the addition of $F_1$ to the assay mixture, and changes in absorbance at 340 nm were monitored in a spectrophotometer (V-550, Jasco). ATP hydrolysis rate was taken at 3550–3600 s (1750–1800 s in the case of 1 mM ATP) after initiation of the reaction.

Rotation Assay—For the rotation assay, $F_1(\epsilon(-\epsilon))$ was biotinylated as follows. Precipitated sample in 70% ammonium sulfate was dissolved in 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), 2 mM EDTA, and 10 mM dithiothreitol and passed through a Superdex 200 HR column equilibrated with 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.0) and 2 mM EDTA. A 5–10 mM excess of biotinmaleimide (Sigma) was added to the elution, and the mixture was incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature. Free reagent was removed by passing through a Superdex 200 column equilibrated with 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, and 0.5 mM EDTA. $\epsilon$Cy3 was added to the biotinylated $F_1(\epsilon(-\epsilon))$ at a molar ratio of 2:1 for reconstitution. The procedure for fixing $F_1$ to glass slides was almost the same as those for FRET assay. The solution containing magnetic beads (MG-SA, Seradyn) was infused into the flow chamber. After 20 min, free magnetic beads were washed out with 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, and 2 mM MgCl₂ containing the desired concentration of ATP. Bright field images of rotating beads were captured with a CCD camera (CCD-300T-RC, Dage-MTI) at 30 frames/s. The recorded images were analyzed on a PC with image analysis software (Celery) and a custom-made program (R. Yasuda, Duke University).

Magnetic Tweezers—Magnetic tweezers consisted of two opposing pairs of electromagnets and were positioned ~10 mm above the microscope stage (20). Direction and strength of magnetic field were controlled by changing the electric voltage applied to the pairs of electromagnets, which was accomplished with software (Celery) from the PC. Strength of magnetic fields was proportional to the applied voltage, and the maximum magnetic field was ~100 gauss at the observation area. The strength of the magnetic tweezers was stable during experimental times and constant during the 360° rotation (data not shown). The formulation and properties of the tweezers are described in the supplemental material.
Mechanical Activation of ε-Inhibited F₁

RESULTS

ATP-dependent Transition of ε in F₁ Probed by Single-pair FRET—To detect conformational transition, fluorescent dyes Cy3 and Cy5 were introduced into β-sandwich portion (E31C) and C terminus (134C) of the isolated ε subunit of F₁. This double-labeled ε (termed as ε(Cy3,Cy5)) was reconstituted with α₁β₂γε (Cy3,Cy5) and the resultant α₁β₂γε(Cy3,Cy5) complex (termed as F₁(e(Cy3,Cy5))) was purified. After a 1-h incubation of F₁(e(Cy3,Cy5)) at the indicated [ATP] in the presence of an ATP-regenerating system, F₁(e(Cy3,Cy5)) molecules were immobilized, and single-pair FRET was observed for the Cy3, Cy5 doubly-labeled molecules that showed fluorescence of both Cy3 and Cy5 when excited individually. Histograms of FRET efficiency distributed in two major peaks, ~0.3 (low FRET) and ~0.95 (high FRET) (Fig. 1A, a–g), representing an extended and hairpin form of ε(Cy3,Cy5), respectively. At 0.1 μM ATP, most molecules (90%) were included in the low FRET fraction (Fig. 1Aa). Molecules in the high FRET fraction increased as [ATP] increased, and most molecules (81%) were found in the high FRET fraction at 1 mM ATP. ATP-dependent conformational transition of ε was reversible as the histogram of the sample preincubated in 1 mM ATP and transferred in 0.1 μM ATP showed the same pattern (Fig. 1Ah) as that of the sample incubated at 0.1 μM ATP (Fig. 1Aa).

Fractions of molecules that showed high FRET (FRET efficiency between 0.8–1.2) in the histograms of Fig. 1A were plotted to [ATP] (Fig. 1B, cyan bars). Simulation of the data by a simple binding function gave the apparent Kᵦ of 34 μM (Fig. 1B, orange line). The molecules that did not respond to the changes in [ATP] occupied 29% of total Cy3, Cy5 double-labeled molecules, and they were omitted from simulation. Thus, it is clear that partition of the extended and hairpin ε in F₁ is determined by [ATP].

ATPase-active Fraction of F₁ and the Hairpin Fraction of ε—We previously reported that ε inhibition was not observed at micromolar ATP (37). In that case, however, ATPase was measured immediately after dilution of F₁ with hairpin ε in high ATP into micromolar ATP. As the transition of ε from hairpin to extended form is very slow (41, 42), we actually measured the activity of F₁ with hairpin ε in these experiments. To attain equilibrium between the active and ε-inhibited state, ATPase activities of F₁(e), F₁(e(Cy3)), and F₁(e(Cy3,Cy5)) were measured after a 1-h incubation at the indicated ATP concentration (Fig. 1C). These ε-containing F₁s exhibited similar activities at all [ATP] ranges, indicating that fluorescent labels Cy3 and Cy5 did not have a significant artificial effect on the ATPase activity. The activity of the α₁β₂γε complex (termed as F₁(ε−)) was measured as a control activity free from ε inhibition. Comparison of activities of ε-containing F₁s and F₁(ε−) showed that ε inhibition became weak as [ATP] increased (25, 43, 44) and finally disappeared at 1 mM ATP. Thus, activities of ε-containing F₁s showed that those of F₁(ε−) at each ATP were plotted as a function of [ATP] (Fig. 1B). As seen, half of the ε-containing F₁ population became active at 20–40 μM ATP. Comparison of these plots with the high FRET fraction of F₁(Cy3,Cy5) (Fig. 1B) showed clearly that
Mechanical Activation of \( \epsilon \)-Inhibited \( F_1 \) and ADP-inhibited \( F_1 \)

To know how the native enzyme can escape from \( \epsilon \) inhibition, we examined the artificial activation from \( \epsilon \)-inhibited state by single-molecule manipulation (Fig. 2A). \( F_1(\epsilon^{Cy3}) \) molecules that showed active rotation of a magnetic bead attached to \( \gamma \) in 1 mM ATP were marked at first, and then the solution containing 0.1 \( \mu M \) ATP was infused into the observation chamber. After this exchange of the solution, most of the marked molecules fell into the \( \epsilon \) inhibition and stopped rotation. Next, the solution containing 100 \( \mu M \) ATP was infused into the chamber. No rotation was observed within several min after the buffer exchange. The magnetic bead was forced to rotate to the desired angle by magnetic tweezers, and then the tweezers were turned off. After this manipulation, \( F_1(\epsilon^{Cy3}) \) behaved in three ways; (i) it resumed rotation, (ii) it did not resume rotation, and the bead returned to the original inhibitory angle, or (iii) it did not resume rotation, and the bead stayed at the position other than the original inhibitory position. We defined case (i) as “activation.” In the case of (iii) (~3% of the total manipulations), the molecules might be broken and were not analyzed further. Most activations observed in this experiment should be caused by the forced rotation but not by spontaneous activation, because the time constant for the spontaneous activation from the \( \epsilon \)-inhibited state was about 10 min at 100 \( \mu M \) ATP (data not shown) (42), much longer than the infusion time (~2 min) and manipulation time (within 20 s). Once \( F_1(\epsilon^{Cy3}) \) was activated from \( \epsilon \) inhibition at 100 \( \mu M \) ATP, it hardly fell into \( \epsilon \) inhibition again (Fig. 1C). We also tested the mechanical activation of ADP-inhibited \( F_1(\neg \epsilon) \) as a reference. Because \( F_1(\neg \epsilon) \) lapses into ADP inhibition spontaneously at any [ATP] (19), exchange of the solution was not necessary, and [ATP] was fixed to 100 \( \mu M \).

In the mechanical activation experiments, we defined 0° as the angle of \( \epsilon \) and ADP inhibitions, “plus” as the ATP hydrolysis direction, and “minus” as the opposite direction. It should be noted that in the following experiments one can compare the observed angle of bead but cannot argue the absolute angle of \( \gamma \) rotation, because the bead angle contains torsional movement of elastic components of the system such as His-tag linkers (between glass surface and \( \alpha_2\beta_3 \)) and biotin linkers (between \( \gamma \) and bead). In a typical experiment of the manipulation of \( \epsilon \)-inhibited \( F_1 \) with extended \( \epsilon \) with the high FRET fraction. This means that at any given [ATP], \( F_1 \) with extended \( \epsilon \) has no ATPase activity, whereas \( F_1 \) with hairpin \( \epsilon \) has full ATPase activity under the experimental conditions used. Concerning this, two comments should be added. We previously reported that \( E. \ coli \) \( F_o F_1 \) and \( Bacillus \) PS3 \( F_o F_1 \) with \( \epsilon \) fixed to an extended form by cross-linking lost ATPase activity but exhibited ATP synthesis activity (34, 45). Because experimental conditions, such as temperature, nucleotide, and pmf, to measure ATP synthesis and hydrolysis, were very different, tests under the strictly same conditions with defined \( \epsilon \) form have to be done. Another point concerns the site of ATP binding that is responsible for \( \epsilon \) transition. The isolated \( \epsilon \) (46) in hairpin form (47) can bind ATP with an apparent \( K_M \) 4.3 \( \mu M \) (41), much lower than an apparent \( K_M \) 34 \( \mu M \) for the transition. Therefore, ATP binding to \( \beta \), rather than \( \epsilon \), might induce conformational transition of \( \epsilon \) in \( F_1 \) complex as proposed previously (41, 42).
Mechanical Activation of ε-Inhibited F1

Mechanical Stiffness of ε-Inhibited F1 and ADP-inhibited F1—Mechanical stiffness of F1 in the ε-inhibited state and ADP-inhibited state was examined by applying rotary torque by magnetic tweezers. Because magnetic beads in asymmetric shapes were suitable for observation, such magnetic beads made from broken pieces were chosen among the beads attached to F1 on the glass surface. To know actual trapping force applied to each of beads with different sizes and shapes, the torque of F1 during ATP-driven rotation at 1 mM ATP was used as a calibration standard. In accordance with theory (see the supplemental text), it was confirmed that the power (stiffness) of the magnetic trap was proportional to the square of the voltage (V) applied to the magnetic tweezers (supplemental Fig. S1) and that the magnetic torque exerted on a bead was proportional to V² sin 2θ (θ, the angle between long axis of a bead and the applied magnetic field) (supplemental Fig. S3). The minimum clamping voltage (MCV), defined as the voltage necessary to clamp the bead for 3 s on average, was obtained for each bead. In a typical rotation trajectory (Fig. 3A), as voltage increased, the stall time prolonged; a bead spent ~2 s on average at each stall position when 1.0 V was applied and ~10 s on average when 1.1 V was applied. Therefore, MCV in this case was 1.1 V. As expected from the fact that F1 exerts nearly constant torque at any angle during rotation at saturating (>0.5 mM) ATP (48), similar results were obtained at several arbitrarily chosen magnet trapping angles (data not shown).

After the MCV value was obtained, the inhibited state was induced by buffer exchange (ε inhibition) or was attained spontaneously (ADP inhibition), and the inhibited molecules were forced to rotate slowly (0.05 Hz) to the minus direction by magnetic tweezers at various voltages (Fig. 3B). As the bead was rotated, the backward resilience torque of the twisted molecule increased. Finally, the beads could no longer follow the rotation that is, almost no activation was observed up to −120°, and another −120° rotation was necessary for full activation. The above observations imply that if the enzyme falls into ε inhibition, a large and, hence, a very rare thermal angular fluctuation of ε is necessary for spontaneous activation.

Mechanical stiffness of ε-inhibited F1 and ADP-inhibited F1 (Fig. 2B), forced rotations (green traces) to +120° and to −120° did not induce activation (case (iii)), but forced rotation to −240° resulted in resumption of rotation (case (i)). Similar experiments were repeated at each manipulated angle for ε-inhibited F1 (ε-inhibition) and ADP-inhibited F1 (−ε), and the probability of mechanical activation was obtained (Fig. 2C). As reported (20), the probability of activation from ADP inhibition increased steeply in the plus direction and reached 100% at +80°. On the contrary, ε inhibition resisted strongly against activation; most attempts of forced rotations to +80° and +120° failed, and forced rotation as much as +240° was necessary for efficient activation. In minus directions, there was no striking difference between ε inhibition and ADP inhibition;
of magnetic field and detached from magnet trapping. This maximum angular deviation of beads from the inhibitory position just before detaching was defined as $\Delta \theta$. It was expected that at the position of $\Delta \theta$ the resilience torque generated by the twisted $F_1$ in the inhibited state was equal to the torque applied by magnetic tweezers. Values of $\Delta \theta$ of $e$ inhibition (blue open circle) and ADP inhibition (red circle) were plotted against the torque of the trap expressed by square of magnet voltage normalized by MCV (Fig. 3C). The cases where the inhibited molecules were activated during manipulation were excluded from analysis.

As mentioned above, the torque exerted by magnetic tweezers depends on the angle between the bead long axis and the magnetic field direction. In the case of the inhibited $F_1$, this angle ($\Delta \theta_{\text{inh}}$), measured at the moment when the beads detached from magnetic trap, was $\sim 36^\circ$ (supplemental Fig. S2). In the case of the active $F_1$, this angle ($\Delta \theta_{\text{ATP}}$), measured when the rotation at 1 nM ATP, was blocked by magnetic tweezers at MCV, was $\sim 22^\circ$ (supplemental Fig. S3). From these angles we were able to calculate that the torque of ATP-driven rotation of $F_1$ corresponded to $\sim 0.73$ units of the $y$ axis (dotted line) in Fig. 3C (see the supplemental material).

The data points in Fig. 3C were scattered probably because of fluctuation of the magnetic trap system, difference of immobilization tightness of individual molecules, and error of MCV calibration. Nonetheless, the tendency is obvious; $e$ inhibition is mechanically stiffer than ADP inhibition. Rough fitting lines of $e$ inhibition (cyan) and ADP inhibition (orange) show that, compared with $F_1$ in ADP inhibition, about 1.5-fold higher torque is required for $F_1$ in $e$ inhibition to twist the same angle in the range $-40^\circ \sim -90^\circ$.

**DISCUSSION**

$e$ Inhibition Is More Resistant Than ADP Inhibition to Rotational Displacement—This study reveals that, when $e$-inhibited $F_1$ and ADP-inhibited $F_1$ are activated by forced rotation to the direction of ATP hydrolysis, the former requires larger rotation angles than the latter (Fig. 2C, plus $\Delta \theta$). The forced rotation to the same angular positions in the direction of ATP synthesis gives apparently the same activation chances to both $e$-inhibited $F_1$ and ADP-inhibited $F_1$ (Fig. 2C, minus $\Delta \theta$). However, to attain the same rotation angle to ATP synthesis direction, $e$-inhibited $F_1$ requires an $\sim 1.5$-fold larger torque of the magnetic trap than ADP-inhibited $F_1$ (Fig. 3C). Thus, $e$-inhibited $F_1$ is structurally more resistant than ADP-inhibited $F_1$ against rotational displacement of $\gamma$. Without forced rotation, occasional large rotational displacement of $\gamma$ in $F_1$ is brought about by thermal angular fluctuation and gives the inhibited $F_1$ a chance of spontaneous activation. The above contention predicts that spontaneous activation from $e$ inhibition will take a much longer time compared with ADP inhibition. Indeed, at 100 $\mu$M ATP, the time constant for activation of ATPase from $e$ inhibition, $\sim 10$ min, is 20 times larger than that of ADP inhibition, $\sim 30$ s (19).

Activation of $F_0F_1$ Resting in the Inhibited State May Need Extra pmf—Similar to $F_1$, $e$ in $F_0F_1$ can adopt either an extended or a hairpin form, and ATPase activity is inhibited when $e$ is extended (34). Activation of the inhibited $F_0F_1$ in native membranes can be achieved by the rotation of $\gamma$ to the ATP synthesis direction that is forced by the torque transmitted from a rotating $\varepsilon$-ring, which is powered by pmf-driven proton flow. When $F_0F_1$ synthesizes ATP, the energy of pmf-driven proton flow is converted to the energy of rotation and then to the energy of ATP synthesis. Because pmf-dependent ATP synthesis is highly efficient, as measured under conditions close to equilibrium (49, 50), these conversions must also be highly efficient; the energy of rotation (torque $\times 2\pi$) would be close to the energy of proton flow (proton charge $\times$ number of transported protons per revolution $\times$ pmf). Such a linear pmf-torque relationship was well proved for another proton-driven motor, bacterial flagella (51, 52).

Assuming that the pmf-torque relationship abovementioned is the case and that mechanical properties of $F_1$ are not largely changed by interactions with $F_0$ (53), we made a rough estimation of the pmf value necessary for the activation of $F_0F_1$ in ADP- and $e$-inhibited states from the data in Fig. 3C. The unit of measurement in the $y$ axis in Fig. 3C corresponds to $\sim 50$ pN-nm that is equivalent to the torque generated by flow of 10 protons per one revolution with an $\sim 190$-mV pmf. The plots suggest that a rotation of $\gamma > -120^\circ$, necessary for activation, requires the torque equivalent to pmf of at least 280 and 400 mV for ADP-inhibited and $e$-inhibited $F_1$, respectively (Figs. 3C and Fig. 4). As pmf for ATP synthesis is usually in the range of 160–220 mV, the above values are more than $\sim 1.3$-fold (ADP inhibition) and $\sim 1.8$-fold ($e$ inhibition) larger than pmf necessary for ATP synthesis. Although these quantitative estimates are rough, it is likely that the pmf required for the activation of
e-inhibited $F_0F_1$ is significantly higher than the thermodynamic pmf threshold for ATP synthesis (green band in Fig. 4). Activation of ADP-inhibited $F_0F_1$ also seems to require marginal extra pmf. Such a higher pmf requirement for activation was proposed earlier from the experiments with chloroplast $F_0F_1$ (54).

The above contention implies that in experiments where a pulse of pmf is generated to start ATP synthesis by $F_0F_1$, in the inhibited state (e.g. acid-base transition of proteoliposomes or illumination of photosynthetic membranes by flashes), the minimal pmf required for detection of ATP synthesis might reflect the threshold for the activation rather than that for ATP synthesis itself. The role of C-terminal helices of $e$ in increasing the activation threshold pmf might be responsible for the apparently lowered pmf threshold for ATP synthesis of $E. coli$ $F_0F_1(e^{AC})$ (39).

Activation from the inhibited state by pmf was also suggested from the initial ATPase activity of $F_0F_1$ (55, 56). In a previous study we found that pmf levels sufficient to activate the mutant Bacillus $F_0F_1(e^{AC})$ in the presence of ADP was not sufficient for activation of wild-type $F_0F_1$ (15). This finding also confirms that inhibition by e elevates the pmf level necessary for the enzyme activation.
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