Grand Challenges in Computational Catalysis
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Catalysis is a cornerstone of modern societies as over 90% of processes in the chemical industry are facilitated by catalysts, with the majority requiring a homogeneous or heterogeneous catalyst (Hagen, 2015). Future renewable energy scenarios also rely heavily on the utilization of electro-catalysts, e.g., for the production of clean hydrogen. This shift towards new feedstocks and benign processes entails the development of new generations of catalysts. While the discovery of catalysts has often relied on trial and error in the first half of the last century, the establishment of (design) rules has significantly improved the speed with which new catalysts are being discovered.

To this end, the knowledge-based improvement and design of new catalysts is increasingly supported by quantum chemical calculations of reaction mechanisms and kinetic modeling of corresponding reaction rates. In fact, first examples of catalyst design by means of computational screening have already emerged (Nørskov et al., 2009; Medford et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2019). The extent to which computational modeling becomes a dominant factor in the catalysis community in the 21st century depends crucially on the accuracy with which predictions can be made, but also on the development of a reductionist approach, where the main contributing factors to the performance of a given class of catalysts are reduced to a few selected key parameters that can be used efficiently for computational screening.

Perhaps the most challenging issue in computational catalysis is the fact that the rate constant of a reaction step changes drastically with minor changes of the reaction barrier (e.g., for a reaction occurring at 500 K by a factor of about 120 for typical errors of ±20 kJ/mol, or a factor of approximately 3 for an error ±5 kJ/mol, that is commonly referred to as chemical accuracy) and that only approximate methods are computationally feasible for the calculation of enthalpy and entropy contributions of a transition states free energy as the catalytic systems are often large and complex.

In all fields discussed here (homogeneous, heterogeneous and electro-catalysis) density functional theory (DFT) has become the workhorse of computational studies as it exhibits the best compromise between accuracy and computational cost. In homogeneous catalysis for example, the enthalpy related to the active site of a transition-metal complex can be determined quite accurately with advanced hybrid functionals (Jiang et al., 2012). However, homogeneous catalysts often exhibit large ligands raising the issue of conformational complexity that is difficult to model. This is often getting even more problematic with solvation and leads to difficulties in determining the active conformational space and corresponding enthalpy and entropy contributions to the free energy (Harvey et al., 2019). A balanced description of inter- and intramolecular interactions during solvation is similarly challenging (Schmidt et al., 2013).

In large parts of heterogeneous catalysis (e.g., supported transition metals) one needs to approximate the active site with a simple extended periodic surface model, that usually omits the effect of particle size and shape as well as particle-support interactions. Moreover, functionals using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) are typically the only applicable choice, with the best functionals having errors of ±20 kJ/mol for adsorption energies (Wellendorff et al., 2015).
and reaction barriers (Sharada et al., 2017). While this approach has been shown to be sufficient to describe trends observed in heterogeneous catalysis (Honkala et al., 2005; Medford et al., 2015), there is an ongoing challenge to include support effects and to accurately model the interface of a (nanometer sized) particle with the support (Zhao et al., 2017; Whittaker et al., 2018). All this means that approximate active site motifs need to be invoked and calculations of reaction barriers are time-consuming even at the GGA level of theory.

Arguably the most difficult field for computational studies is electro-catalysis. Not only does one have to deal with the complexity of a homogeneous or heterogeneous catalytic system, there is also the additional intricacy of the reaction being divided into electron and proton transfer steps. In heterogeneous electro-catalysis, for example, the reaction is taking place at the charged solid-liquid interface that is extremely difficult to model. Furthermore, the kinetics become a function of the applied electrochemical potential. Moreover, the calculation of reaction barriers starts with a separated proton/electron pair that leads to unnatural changes of the surface dipole and electric fields along the coordinate of the transition state such that reaction barriers need to be extrapolated. It is therefore not surprising that modeling efforts in heterogeneous electro-catalysis did first emerge after introducing the concept of the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) model (Nørskov et al., 2004) that elegantly circumvents the necessity of treating reaction barriers explicitly. Many challenges remain, however, such as fast accurate calculations of reaction barriers, a detailed view on the diffusion through the Helmholtz layer and corresponding explicit modeling of the solvent and solid-liquid interface, to name a few (Gauthier et al., 2019; Chan, 2020; Li et al., 2020).

While calculations of accurate enthalpies are thus subject to many obstacles (from the quantum chemical method to the approximation of the active site), this might even be more problematic for the entropy associated with a catalytic reaction step. Commonly, the entropy contribution is derived from the vibrational part of the partition function. In heterogeneous catalysis, for example, both, the adsorbates and transition states are rather confined and due to its simplicity this is typically calculated using the harmonic oscillator approximation. More accurate methods are emerging (Kundu et al., 2016; Sprowl et al., 2016; Jørgensen and Grönbeck, 2017; Li et al., 2018; Amsler et al., 2021) but are often computationally demanding and imply a trade-off with respect to the accuracy of the corresponding enthalpy (e.g., molecular dynamics (MD) simulations yield more reliable entropies, but are typically only feasible at the GGA level of DFT). This is where developments of faster algorithms and methodologies such as machine learning (Bučko et al., 2020) are expected to move the frontiers of what is possible nowadays.

Importantly, accurate free energy data for adsorption energies and transition states is only one part of the equation. How the calculated reaction mechanisms translate into simulated conversion and hence reaction rates and selectivities depends crucially on the (micro-)kinetic model invoked to describe the reaction and whether this model is able to capture the important features of the real technical catalytic system. There is a broad range of approaches to deal with kinetics ranging from the simple mean-field, steady-state approximation to simulations using reactor models that include heat and mass transfer (Schlögl, 2017). Linking these various time and length scales with accurate free energy calculations in a multi-scale approach is still presenting a major challenge (Bruix et al., 2019; Plessow et al., 2019). There is thus ample room to improve the theoretical description of the kinetic model underlying a catalytic process and the 21st century will likely see that the improvement of kinetic models goes along with more accurate (free energy) data, keeping in mind the problem of still fairly large errors of rate constants (see above) even for extremely well described reaction steps.

On top of all of this, the vast majority of modeling efforts is focused on static active site motifs of a catalytic system. Catalysts, however, are often rather complex arrangements of atoms and can possess fairly dynamic behavior (Hansen et al., 2002; Kalz et al., 2017; Paolucci et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2019; Maurer et al., 2020), e.g., by switching between metallic and oxidic states, as evidenced for supported transition metal heterogeneous catalysts in oxidation reactions (Hellman et al., 2012). There may thus be several active sites with different reaction steps and rate constants, the ratio of which changes as a function of time, present in one catalytic system that all need to be modeled to mimic the experimentally observed properties of catalysts.

The extend to which computational catalysis will be successful in advancing the atomic-scale understanding of catalytic processes will also heavily rely on the collaboration with experimentalists, as this will help to obtain a better understanding of the exact nature of the active site and the dependence of reaction rates on factors such as temperature, pressure and applied potential. Likewise, computational studies can guide experimentalists to interpret their spectroscopic data, narrowing the search for active sites and helping to understand their dynamics.

While more realistic simulations will only be possible by including a greater complexity of the active sites as well as more sophisticated kinetic modeling, deriving a detailed mechanistic understanding of working catalysts is only one part of future research directions. The other grand challenge lies in the development of a knowledge-based approach enabling predictive modeling and thus fast computational screening for new catalyst leads. This means that one needs to reduce the complexity used to understand the functioning of a catalytic system in excruciating detail, to a few selected key parameters that grasp the entirety of what determines the properties of a catalyst such that changes from one catalyst to another are well described by them. This complexity reduction is the core of catalyst design strategies.

There are ample examples in homogeneous, heterogeneous and electro-catalysis where this has been achieved through so-called scaling relations that are able to predict reaction energies and their corresponding reaction barriers using simple descriptors (Nørskov et al., 2002; Michaelides et al., 2003; Bligaard et al., 2004; Abild-Pedersen et al., 2007; Greeley et al., 2009; Man et al., 2011; Busch et al., 2015; Medford et al., 2015; Wodrich et al., 2016; Zagal and Koper, 2016; Latimer et al., 2017; Pegis et al., 2017; Craig et al.,
In conjunction with microkinetic models, volcano relationships can be derived, allowing for the fast computational screening of a large number of catalysts. Importantly, the same limitations in terms of accuracy of the calculated rate constant and complexity of the kinetic model and thus activity of a catalyst (see above) apply when searching for new catalyst leads—i.e., how can one discover new catalytic materials if the predictability of DFT has an uncertainty of two orders of magnitude with respect to calculated rate constants? Fortunately, DFT seems to be much better when describing trends from one catalyst to another, compared to its precision for single reaction steps, e.g., recent work has shown that errors for predictions of differences of the same reaction barriers between different catalysts are only \( \pm 5 \) kJ/mol for functionals that have intrinsic errors of 50 kJ/mol (Plessow and Studt, 2020). While catalyst screening needs to include measurements of the stability of potential catalysts, future studies might also include their feasibility in industrial applications (e.g., manufacturing costs, recyclability, associated environmental issues).

This is where new methodologies such as machine learning have the potential to play an important role in the future (Meyer et al., 2018), e.g., in correlating and identifying key parameters of interest. The main problem to date, however, is that these approaches will only work sufficiently, if large, well-defined amounts of theoretical (and/or experimental) data are available.

The future will show how new techniques shape computational catalysis from the acceleration of quantum chemical calculations and the possibility to include the entire complexity of the catalytic system to new ways of searching for correlations among classes of catalytic systems that translate to the knowledge-based design of catalytic materials for the chemical and energy industry of the future.
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