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Abstract

The article provides a reading of a twelfth-century inscription composed by a courtly poet in Karnataka. At its most rudimentary level, the inscription praises the king and glorifies his commander. However, a closer reading demonstrates the poet playing with the conventions of his time. One of the techniques used to enhance the power of the ruler was to represent the commanders as replicas of their king. The author turns this mechanism into the inscription’s poetic motif. He uses the very dynamic of reduplication to subtly show the limits in the construction of power.
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Strange that the ordinary, worn-out ways
Of every day encompass the imagined
And endless universe woven by reflections.

Borges, “Mirrors”
1 Introduction

Texts and symbols carved in stone are a near universal feature of human culture and are extraordinarily rich instances of early multi-mediality. While such inscriptions are fundamental especially for the study of ancient periods, they have been used as a form of communication throughout human history. For some historical phases, epigraphic material stands as the only source of textual information of that period, and are thus used, for instance, to partially reconstruct the economic or the religious system of certain civilizations. Moreover, these epigraphic materials often represent the first attestations of a language’s usage and have shown to withstand the vagaries of time better than many other media. These conditions have fostered a kind of functionalism towards inscriptions, as if they were only a repository of information to be investigated and deciphered. Rarely, however, have they been read in relation to their materiality. This article attempts to do just that.

As far as the Indian Subcontinent is concerned, inscriptions have been amply used as sources of data for the reconstruction of political, economic, and cultural history. Lately, increasingly specific documentation and analysis on local corpora have been attempted, for example on the Tamil epigraphic corpus (see, e.g., Murugaiyan 2012). At the same time, new approaches to the understanding of inscription and its materiality have been proposed, along with a range of methodological reflections: What is an inscription? Should we consider only the information it transmits? How do we deal with its materiality, including its relation to the space it occupies? These questions place us beyond the familiar landscape of our thoughts and practices.

1 According to the German Media Theory, media (as channel) is the materiality of the ontologising (see Siegert 2015). The “inscriptionality” of the inscription is the most central feature of the inscription. We should read its words as an act of encoding on stone a full set of meanings. Looking at inscriptions in this way, allows us to see them in their three-dimensionality. And to think of the intent of their composers differently. Studies have been done on epigraphy as media recently in the Greek and Roman traditions: see Meyer 2011, Roda 2012, Berti et al. (eds.) 2017.

2 For a crucial introductory overview on the scope and significance of Indian epigraphy, see Sircar 1965 and Salomon 1998.

3 For a selection of the collection of epigraphic corpora, apart from the basic collection of the Epigraphia Indica, see: Early Inscriptions of Andhradeśa: http://hisoma.huma-num.fr/exist/apps/ELAD/index2.html; South Asian Inscriptions Database: http://siddham.co.uk. More recently, see https://dharma.hypotheses.org/epigraphical-resources.

4 On such questions, see the inspiring idea of Panciera (2012) of inscriptions as loci of a deviant language. More specifically on the materiality of inscriptions with respect to Kannada inscription, see Settar 2014.
One important answer to the first question posed about epigraphic material is that inscriptions are to be understood as public narrative. And, as public narratives, inscriptions and their eulogistic sections (*praśasti*), particularly from the beginning of the first millennium CE, entailed epithets, genealogies of rulers, and foundation legends. Kings tried to historicize and enhance their rulership by tracing their dynasty back to a significant event, the foundation legend. Such events—often entailing divine intervention—display the origin of their power. At the same time, this narration stood as the starting point for the serialization process (genealogy), creating histories in which the rulers could place themselves. Thus, systematic analysis of this material has produced a better understanding of, for example, royal discourse, the construction of charisma, and religious patronage (see, among others, Francis 2013 and Schmidchen 2014). Moreover, as Pollock (2006) has pointed out, there are reasons to read inscriptions using the tools we use to read *kāvya*—the development of the Sanskrit language into a form of literary and literate artistic production.5 In their full-fledged form, they share some features of this literary modality. In this sense, the choice of language(s) of the inscriptions and the usage of specific stylistic constructions have been recently analyzed, generating important new understandings of the representation of modes of power in pre-modern India (Pollock 2013 and Cox 2016).

Taking into consideration precisely these new conceptualizations of the epigraphic material, especially that of Cox 2016, I suggest the following: if epigraphic production is not only a database of historical information or a token of culture-power formation, the inscriptions should be read and interpreted accordingly—in other words, as literary production comprising different levels of interpretations. Thus, my aim is to approach any text of epigraphic corpus in its entirety through the prism of literary critique and to locate such texts in their full historical context. Driving this approach is the notion that ancient epigraphic production operated as expressive media in the projection of power by rulers, all the while embedding individual voices. I am not arguing that each and every carved stone was a self-conscious poetic production, but rather that some of them were. Among these productions, some were beautifully composed, others were conventional, and some were quite insignificant—as is true for all literary corpora.

In this article, I provide a reading of a twelfth century inscription that represents a case in point. Its author, Janna, was one of medieval Karnataka’s most

---

5 The idea of inscription as literature has been suggested by Salomon (1998), though he limited his definition to the *praśasti* part (the eulogy) and considered it as “more a labor of daily bread than of love” (Salomon 1998: 236).
important poets. At its most rudimentary level, Janna’s inscription praises the king and glorifies his commander. However, a closer reading demonstrates how the poet built within the text a complex web of references to his other compositions and to shared literary topoi as well as to his court patrons. How should we read the message of Janna’s text made public by this inscription? Is he really celebrating the power of the king and his elite or is he playing with the conventions of the time that insisted on the mechanism of reduplication to enhance the image of the ruling elites? Arguably each and every inscription could be seen as an embodied replica of a text that has been already uttered or composed elsewhere. We might add that inscriptions are also the source of future replicas disseminated by those who will read them. Janna seems to take these features of epigraphic production and develop them throughout his composition. I would argue that Janna consciously turns the dynamic of reduplication into the underlying rationale of the inscription, by way of which we are exposed to the cracks in the construction of power.

2 Janna and Ballāḷa II: Setting the Scene

During the reign of Vīra Ballāḷa II Hoysaḷa (1173–1220), the territory of modern Karnataka, together with its neighboring regions, underwent continual political change. Under the king that patronized our poet, the Hoysaḷa lineage had obtained independence from their Cāḷukya overlord in the north, taking advantage of a power vacuum following the death of Tailapa III Cāḷukya in 1163. Around the years 1175–1180, Ballāḷa II managed to gain control over the Coorg area and subjugate the Caṅga ḷva. After securing the southern part of Arakalagud district, he prevailed over the Kongālva and aimed northwards, reconquering the territory of the Paṇḍya of Noḷambavāḍi. These victories paved the way to fighting against and partially winning the Kalacurya, the Cāḷukya, and the Yādava. Overall, Ballāḷa II massively expanded the borders of the Hoysaḷa kingdom: he controlled the Ganga territory in the south and south-east, central Karnataka up to the Western Ghats, the Tuḷunaḍu on the coastal Karnataka, and the north up to Banavase.

At the same time, Halebid, one of the two capitals, was being established as a center and as a court connected with a number of trading centers, which

---

6 For an overview of the period, see, among others, Kulke 1993 and 1995; Sastri 2005.
7 See Coelho 1950: 148–151.
8 See Kasdorf 2013.
acted as economic, administrative, and commercial hubs. Against this political and economic backdrop, there was a flourishing of the intellectual, literary, and artistic seeds that were implanted at the time of Viṣṇuvardhana (1104–1141), the grandfather of Ballāḷa II and the first to establish Hoysaḷa rule.

This floruit can be further traced to a religious shift that redefined the cultural landscape. The Śivabhakta movement challenged temple-based religious practices and ruptured Jaina intellectual hegemony (already partially shared with the Brahmins). The rich contributions of the Vacanakāras (vacana poets), such as Basavanna, as well as of authors like Harihara, awakened a new societal consciousness and changed the course of Kannada literature. In fact, the Śaivabhakta developed a form of literary production that was connected to the oral tradition and intended for a diverse audience.

Summing up this brief excursion, the court in which Janna lived enjoyed significant territorial expansion, a flourishing urban economy, vibrant religious movements, and a new literary vitality. At the same time, Ballāḷa II continued his grandfather’s strategic communicative practice, based on the use of a specific sandstone for the construction of temples. During Viṣṇuvardhana’s reign, between 1104 and 1141, a unique architectural style developed that displayed elaborated ornamentation and minute sculptural craft. The stone of the sacred areas demonstrate extraordinary architectural achievement. More than that, however, they convey a constitutive means of strategic communication, that of epigraphic production.
The intellectual blossoming of this period was signaled not only by the outstanding literary production, but also by the development of public narrative into a highly sophisticated form of expression. Already during Viṣṇuvardhana’s rule, inscriptions were a frequent feature of the cultural map, and by the time his nephew ascended the throne, the number of poets composing inscriptions, including Dēvappaya17 and Śrī Vikramapaṇḍita, had markedly increased. Moreover, while the inscriptions of the period were a means to present a strong image of the king, in doing so, they also became an arena for literary experimentation.

One exemplary case is that of Janna, one of the greatest Jaina poets of Kannada literature.18 Janna lived at the court of Ballāḷa II and then at that of Ballāḷa II’s son, Vīra Narasimha II (1217–1235), serving as minister, soldier and poet: “If I am inside the court of the king Narasimha, fighter of the Cōḷa clan, who knows all, I am a commander-in-chief; if I sit I am a minister, if I start to do my work [to write], I am the poet Janārdana”. Thusly Janna portrays himself in one of his religious texts, the Anantanātha Purāṇa (v. 56): a complex figure at once fulfilling different personas comprised of courtly obligations and desires toward literary experimentation.

Janna authored two “religious” texts, the Anantanātha Purāṇa (1230 AD) and the Yaśōdhara carite (1209 AD), breaking the tradition set by Pampa to write one āgamika (religious) and one laukika (worldly) composition. Apart from these, Janna also authored a treatise on erotics, the Anubhava mukura. In the Yaśōdhara carite, a short poetic work, Janna for the first time moved away from the campū genre (a mixture of verse and prose) that was the common practice in Kannada literature, and instead choose a meter adapted from the Prakrit tradition, the kanda.19 But the novelty of the poem is not on the metrical level alone. Although it presents itself as a re-adaptation of a well-known Jaina story with variants in Prakrit, Sanskrit20 and Tamil, it stands as a unique formula-

---

17 Dēvappaya composed, for instance, the inscription found near the basadi of Arsikere to celebrate the coronation of Ballāḷa in 1173.
18 For a general introduction to Janna, see Ramachandra & Rai 2015 and Krishnakumar (ed.) 2007, who collected all extant productions of Janna.
19 Only the last verse of each section (avatāra) is in vṛtta type meter. Yaśōdhara carite is perhaps the only extant melvādu, that is, according to the definition given by Nāgavarma in the Kāvyālōkanaṃ, a poem consisting of more pāḍu [15, 25 stanzas form a pāḍu]; it is composed in a single meter and is sung with or without instrumental accompaniment (see also Narasimhacarya 1988: 13).
20 Although he didn’t state it explicitly, Janna patently drew inspiration from the eleventh-century Sanskrit version by Vādirāja.
tion of the narrative, revealing much of Janna’s poetic flair. A brief look at the *Yaśōdhara carite* allows us to contextualize the analysis of the inscription within the broader landscape of Janna’s literary world.

The Jaina story, as we know it also from other sources, concerns a king and his mother who condemned themselves to a series of reincarnations. This represents in Janna a narrative frame that surrounds the core of his creation. The story opens with the king Māridatta, who is a devotee of the bloodthirsty goddess Māri. He is just about to embark on a yearly sacrifice of human victims to the goddess, but we see that among those to be killed are two children who appear to be smiling. Māridatta’s curiosity is piqued and he questions them. This is the departure point for an extended flashback narrated by one of the children, who is revealed as the reincarnation of the king Yaśodhara. The other child is Yaśodhara’s mother. They both underwent a series of reincarnations, as they were guilty of *saṃkalpahimśa*, or an imagined or intended act of violence. Māridatta, after hearing the story of all the incarnations that Yaśodhara and the queen went through, converts to the Jaina path of non-violence.

Janna’s version of the story deviates from the others by paying particular heed to two moments: the first is the preparation of the annual blood sacrifice to the goddess Māri or Māriyamma. The poet uses vivid images, which extend far beyond traumatic realism into a visionary hyperrealism. This initial scene sets up a graphic scenario in which the characters will act and narrate the vicissitudes of Yaśodhara. Second, Janna zeroes in on the root of the violence: the queen’s infatuation with the guardian of the elephants, the Mahout. This part exhibits, I suggest, an illuminating aspect of Janna’s worldview. Consumed by his wife’s betrayal, the king, at the urging of his mother, seeks consolation in the performance of a sacrifice.

In order to understand the *Yaśōdhara carite*, we have to look at the core of the text, where Janna puts his poetic efforts and where his peculiar imagery is given free rein. As it turns out, the topic of non-violence, which is central to the Jaina tradition, represents a narrative frame rather than the heart of the

---

21 A full-fledged analysis of the *Yaśōdhara carite* is part of a larger research project that goes beyond the scope of this article.

22 *Saṃkalpahimśa*, “violent (sacrifice) through imagination/intention,” refers to the concept that *saṃkalpa* (intention, imagination) is a fundamental constituent of ritual performance. *Saṃkalpa* represents the defining frame of ritual and therefore is believed to have an agency in itself (see Michaels 2015).

23 This story is used in a common Jaina ritual, the *jivadayāṣṭami nōmpi*, and was part of the common shared knowledge as it appears in a panel along with episodes of Rāmāyaṇa and Māhābhārata in the Mallikarjuna temple of Pattadakal (I thank Naresh Keerthi for
work. The kernel of the story operates as a source of questions that deals with two sets of problems on two different levels: one treats the possibility of perpetrating violence, the value of responsibility, and the capacity to control the subsequent course of events. Another set of questions deals with the mechanisms of love, of falling in love, of betrayal, and, more deeply, with the fine substance of reality. The betrayal of the princess that instigates the sacrificial situation is displayed with great delicacy, revealing to us one of the central motifs of Janna’s poetics. In the second chapter of the Yaśödhara carite, the poet describes the first encounter of the princess with the guardian of the elephant, one built entirely on the auditory sense. No glances are exchanged; it is sound that will immediately and powerfully reach out to the mind. What seems to capture the interest of Janna is the way in which love comes to us, even when nothing seems to leave room for it: the princess hears the voice of the mahout, to whom she will lose her heart, just as she is embracing her husband, whom she deeply loves.

 [...] while he was singing for pleasure; as if the soft melodious voice was a katakabīja (seeds used to clarify the water) for her sleep, she, with eyes of a deer, listened, clearing up the dark water of her sleep and immediately donated as a gift her fascinated mind.

Yaśödhara carite 2.28

The singing voice of the Mahout—the guardian of the elephants—manages to insinuate itself between the princess and the king, although they are so tightly united that drops of sweat are falling from their bodies clasped together. The royal couple is apparently indivisible, and yet the music of the mahout sneaks in the non-space between the two lovers.

If we think again of the purely aural, and yet deeply devastating, encounter with the guardian of the elephant in the Yaśödhara carite, the world the poet presents us with is that of physical experience. For Janna, reality is to be experienced through the senses. In the case of the princess, the wife of Yaśodhara, sound shapes her mind to the point that even when the maiden tells her that her beloved is actually an ugly—almost monstrous—kind of Hunchback of Notre Dame, the princess is absolutely steadfast. Indeed, she reproaches the
maiden: would she blame the rainbow for being crooked? The sensory experience has a high status in the *ordo cognoscendi*; reality is built upon it, and subsequent decisions are taken on this basis. The princess breaks her chastity and sets in motion a long chain of calamities for herself and for the king.

3 The Poet and the Stone: Janna’s Inscription in the Amṛteśvara Temple

Bearing in mind these aspects of Janna’s poetics, we shall now move to the epigraphic material that is the focus of this paper. One might wonder if Janna’s highly subjective and phenomenological attitude is also found in the way he deals with royal power. Such a question bears particular significance when analyzing the relation between expressive media as a form of strategic communication and the singularity of the poet’s voice. As already noted, Janna enjoyed an intimate association with his court of residence. More than once in *Yaśōdhara carite*, he refers to Ballāḷa II, properly praised in the first canto, as his master:

When Kṛṣṇa/Kanna gave with respect, Ponna received; when Tailapa gave with profound love, Ranna received; when Ballāḷa gave with consideration (*mannisi*), Janna received the title of “Kavicakravartin”.

*Yaśōdhara carite* 1.21

This distinctive proximity to the king is not shared, for instance, by another key figure of the intellectual scene, Rāghavāṅka, who also lived during the Hoysaḷa reign (probably under Narasiṃha I), but who was never a courtly poet.

---

25 *karidādoḍe katturiyaṃ / muruḍādoḍe malayajamaṇaṃ komkidoḍēṃ / smaracāpamanilīkayavare / murulē pollameye lēsu nallara meyyoḷ (Yaśōdhara carite 2.42)*

“Would people cast aside the *kasturi* musk because it is black? Or the sandalwood because it is pungent / because its branches are crooked? Or the rainbow because it is curved? O foolish! The very blemishes become enhancing in the body of the person we love”.

26 Kṛṣṇa II, emperor of the Raṣṭrakuta (939–968), granted the title Kavicakravarti to Ponna.

27 Cāḷukya king (973–997) who granted Ranna the title of Kavicakravarti.

28 *kannaranādaradīm kude / ponnam manamosedu tailapam kude rannam / mannisi ballālaṃ kude / jannam kavicakravartipesaraṃpade[daṃ] [dar]|| 1.21.*

29 Nephew and disciple of Harihara, author of a fundamental text of the Śaivabhakta tradition, the *Hariścandra caritra*. He contributed, together with Harihara, to the development of a new kind of poetry in *ragale* and *ṣatpadi* meters. On this figure, see the account from *Canna Basava Purāṇa*: 62,56–58.
In view of his link to the court, it is all the more interesting to examine how Janna engaged himself in the “public narrative” of the time.

Let’s then try to understand how the poets used the public narrative as a poetic, literary genre by focusing on an illuminating inscription composed by Janna in 1197, a few years before the *Yaśōdhara carite*. This inscription is found in the temple of Amṛteśvara in the village of Amṛtapura—in the northern part of the Hoysaḷa realm, at the border of the Cāḷukya realm, in the Tarikere area of the Chikmagalur district. Notably, the other inscription attributed to Janna is a copper plate located in the south of the region, in Cannarāya Paṭṭana (Anekere), somehow mapping the borders of the kingdom, and it is dated 1190. This earlier inscription also records a grant of a minister of Ballāḷa II, Māca. It is much shorter, though, and it is less experimental: it presents many of the stylistic features of Janna’s inscription in Amṛteśvara, as I will discuss during the following part, but the figure of the minister and his relation to the king is much more conventional.

The temple of Amṛteśvara was erected in 1197 by one of the commanders (daṇḍanāyaka) of Ballāḷa II, Amita, who is the central character of the inscription together with the Hoysaḷa kings. Amita belonged to a merchant community and was most likely in charge of this area. On the one hand, Amita could afford to build a temple as lavish as that of Amṛteśvara, rich in carvings and sophisticated architecture; this in itself indicates the growing power of the royal elite. On the other hand, as the inscription will show, we would deeply misunderstand the historical context and the relationship between the king and the elite if we were to read this temple as a sign of a loss of regal power. Ballāḷa II is represented as the higher instance from whom the power comes: It is indeed from Ballāḷa that Amita receives the land to grant as an *agrahāra*. Moreover, the sacred area with its fully developed Hoysaḷa-style architecture and novel narrative focus on the *Mahābhārata* and *Rāmāyaṇa,*

30 See Foekema 1994: 76–79. The name of the temple is chosen to echo that of the commander, Amita.
31 See Coelho 1988: 167.
32 Amita’s father was Hariyana Setti.
33 śrīmat-pratāpa-cakravartti vīra-ballāḷa-dēvā śrī-hastadīṃ dhārā-pūryvakaṃ paṭedu [...]
āsandi-nād-olagan-agrahāra-huṇaseyakattaṃ sarvvanamayav [em: sarvvamānya]
āgi koṭṭa datti: (ll. 102–106) “Having ritually received (the ritual pouring of water—
dhārā-pūryvakaṃ) from the hands of the valorous emperor Vīra Ballāḷa Deva, he donated,
exempted from taxes, the village of Huṇaseyakatta—which was part of an *agrahāra*—in
the district of Āsanadi.”
34 Sculptural adaptations of stories from the two Indian epics was already a practice at the
time of Viṣṇuvardhana, but such work increased exponentially during the reign of
Ballāḷa II (see Evans 1997).
whose stories decorate much of the outer wall of the temple, signals the presence of the Hoysala king and his elite.

It is in this context that Janna, court poet of Ballala II, who at this time was in the north fighting the Cāḷukya and the Paṇḍyas of Noḷambavāḍi, composed a one-hundred and twenty-line-long inscription to mark the erection of the Amṛteśvara temple in Amṛtapura and the donation of the land. If we look briefly at the structure of this inscription, catalogued in Rice’s Epigraphia Carnatica as Tk 45 (EC VI), we notice that it contains all the typical parts of a stone royal inscription (see Schmiedchen 2014):

1- maṅgalācaraṇa: invocation to Śiva in Sanskrit, as was common.35 (1 line, 1 l.)
2- Brief praise (praśasti) and invocation of protection for Amita, the commander and donor. (5 lines, 2–6 ll.)—Absent in the earlier inscription of Cannarāya Paṭṭana (EC V: Cn 179).
3- Genealogy of the Hoysala with an account of the foundation legend, and a long excursus on the figures of Ballala II (17 lines out of 43). (43 lines, 6–52 ll.)
4- Genealogy and praise of the brothers of the commander Amita and long praise of the commander himself. (47 lines, 52–99 ll.)
5- Account of the erection of the temple and donation of the land. (17 lines, 99–115 ll.)
6- Colophon, i.e. statement of the authors of the inscription. Final verse and further names of the recipients of the donation. (7 lines, 115–121 ll.)

35 For the choice of language in inscriptions, and the development of the division of labor involved, see Pollock 2006: 120–121 and cp. 10.1.
A similar structure is also found in the inscription Cn 179, but there is no initial reference to the minister, as is more common, and his genealogy is only briefly listed.

Yet, if we were to dismiss Tk 45 as one inscription among many others of this type, we would miss its significance in relation to the other compositions of Janna and to the questions we posed earlier as to the literary nature of the work and its relation to the representation of power structures. In the following section, I hope to show that this inscription is a poetic act in its etymological sense. It is an act of crafting reality. Not only the figure of the king, but the entire inscription is built around a series of figures that echo each other, resembling a game of mirrors. In fact, the whole inscription is infused with references to the idea of duplication and similarity, which further magnifies differences. At the end, the “replica” of the king as the commander is simultaneously an intensification and a diffusion of the image of the king. The inscription, moreover, acts as a mirror itself, subtending an everchanging gallery of images.

3.1 The Poetic Voice of Janna
I would argue that the text of the inscription is conceived and constructed as a poetic act (kavite), and that we can observe this through different layers. Let’s start from the end, where, surprisingly, we find a complete colophon stating all its artifices. Here, the inscription is clearly presented as a literary composition (racane) constituted by three components: “The Bhāḷanētra of great poets, the friend of good poets, Jannayya’s poetry. The coiled leaf in the ear of Sarasvatī, of pleasing form, Lokkiguṇḍi Mahadēvanṇa’s disciple Nākāṇṇa’s writing. The superlative leader of titled engravers: the sculptor Mallōja’s inscription.”

The declaration of the composer, however, is not the most defining element. To hear the voice of Janna, we have to scrutinize the text itself: Janna has carefully composed the inscription Tk 45 as a campū, arranging together gadya, kanda, vṛtta and vacana. He uses different meters (campakamāla, mattēbhavikrīḍita) that skillfully interchange in specific parts of the text. Janna alternates kanda and vṛtta meters when presenting the Hoysaḷa genealogy and that of the chief
commander, Amita. This rhythm pauses at precisely two moments, namely, for the two main characters of the poem: Ballāḷa II and Amita. Ballāḷa II is praised in *kanda* meter and Amita in *gadya* or better, *gadyakāvya*. Thus, already at the prosodic level, Janna makes use of sounds and rhythm to direct attention to the core of his story, the king and his commander. Yet, the intention of the poet to create a literary piece and to give voice to his own imagination goes far beyond the metrical level.

As is common in royal inscriptions, here, too, the life of the Hoysaḷa kings, their deeds and qualities, are represented as part of the eulogy. The poet, however, embeds it into a poetic frame. He abides by the compulsory metric conventions, such as the use of *dvitiyākṣara prāsa*, and he employs various stylistic devices such as onomatopoeia, anaphora, figura etymologica and other figures of speech and of thought.

This refined language is not just a display of poetic skills; it is used to paint a wider picture in which each scene morphs into a sculpture through Janna’s iconographic grit and adds up to a “triumphal arch” of the Hoysaḷa dynasty. The poet consistently portrays each Hoysaḷa king through the evocation of topical moments that are deftly molded in front of the eyes of the reader. Sometimes this is executed through a few strokes, as for Viṣṇuvardhana, Ballāḷa II’s grandfather, and the seven Konkan kings casting down their weapons and falling into the sea. Sometimes, it is shown through a brief dialogue, such as the one between Ballāḷa I and the Malava emperor:

\[
(vṛtta)|| kāḷegadoḷ taḍaṅgaḍidu mundaṇa thaṭṭin avuṅki pāydoḍ ā- \|
\māḷava-cakravartti Jagadēvane tanna madāndha-sindhuraṃ \|
\kīḷ iḍe pūtu rāvutene rāvūtan allen idirccu vīra-Ba- \|
llālan en endu meṭṭi tivid āḷtanav accariy āyu dhātriyoḷ || ll. 23–25
\]

When he (Ballāḷa I) struck the intoxicated elephant of Jagadeva while pushing back the army that was rushing to attack him, that Malava emperor said to him, “Well done, O horseman”. Ballāḷa answered, “I am not a horseman, I am Vīra Ballāḷa, fight me” and he trampled on him. The world wondered at his prowess.

---

38 *dvitiyākṣara prāsa*: a prosodic figure in which in the second position of each verse the same syllable (*akṣara*), more precisely the same consonant, is repeated. This stylistic device was commonly used also in Malayalam and Tamil poetry. See, for example, the first verse quoted below, ll. 23–25.

39 [...] *kayduvikki kaḍalof paḍalitṭudu sapta-koṅkaṇam* || l. 26.
In other cases, such as for Narasimha, Janna's taste for the sensorial, worldly existence emerges more strongly:

(vṛtta) || kedaṟitt aḷkittu baḷkitt agidud ugidud asmad-baḷaṃ nillad
inn ī- |
padadoḷ kaikoḷven end oḍḍ idiroḷ odavi nissāṇamaṃ tāne sūlai- |
sidan attal taipu māṇ mārmmaledoḍe taleгоṇd ettidaṃ tuṅgabhadrā- |
nadiyaṃ pāṇḍyāvanibhrd-baḷada peṇagaḷim kaṭṭidam nārasiṃham ||
ll. 31–33

“My army has dispersed, set back, frightened. I will not stay still. Now it is the time to intervene”. Thus, Narasimha himself prepared to fight in the front. He himself beat the drum. At that time when the army of the enemy attacked wildly opposing him, Narasimha confronted them and filled up the Tungabhadra river with the corpses of the Pāṇḍya's troop. The river rose.

Alongside Janna's poetic sensibility, the burning of the forest at the hands of Ballāḷa II becomes a cluster of onomatopoeic words, and the sounds of the conflagration are intertwined with the visual metaphor of blood coming out of the headless body of the army that is compared to a forest.

Blood was gushing as from a tree-trunk completely consumed by fire, and trees and creepers were falling on every side—dhaṃ dhaṃ dhagil bhur bhugil gham | gharīl end ēn aḷvitō sēvuṇa-baḷa-vanamaṃ vīra-ballāḷa-kōpō- | ddhura-dāvōgrānaḷaṃ śātrava-nṛpati-mṛga-brātam aḷkāḍuvannaṃ ||
ll. 42–44

40  The verb aḷvitō with -ō at the end expresses the surprise, the wonder in front of the wild uncontrollable fire that is the fury of Ballāḷa II.
41  Sēvuṇa or Sēvaṇa are the Yādavas of Dēvagiri, near Bankapur, in northern Karnataka.
The Śeṣuṇa's army are doomed to an analogous destiny in the other inscription composed by Janna in 1190 (EC V Cn 179).

\[
\text{innuṃ bīrada tōra-bittan agevoydant irppud ārūḍha-sam |}
\text{pannaṃ daḵśīna-caṇkira gelda soraṭūrin belvolaṃ mutte sam- |}
\text{channōdgṛṣṭa-krṣivalāvalī-haḷa-prāgbhāga-nihkiloṇ- |}
\text{tpannaṃ sēvuṇa-sainya-sad-bhaṭa-karōṭī-kōṭi-samghatṭanaṃ ||
}\]

(ll. 64–69)

When farmers worked their fields, the tips of their ploughs smashed into millions of skulls of warriors from the Śeṣuṇa army, the seeds sowed and left behind by the valiant emperor of the South as he conquered the land from Soṟatūr up to Bēḷvola.42

We find a similar set of images, a similar graphic scenario, in Janna's depiction of the festival for Mārī in the Yaśoḍhara carite (1.36–37). Here, the underlying upamā (simile) is between the spring and Mārī's festival. If “x” is the spring and “y” is the festival (mārijatre), in the first stanza there is a rhythmic alternation of the two elements along the cadence x-y y-x / x-y, a kind of complex chiastic structure that repeats itself. Moreover, Janna's method of adaptation is a sign of his conscious refashioning: he takes a single metaphor from Vādirāja's Sanskrit version of the story (1.20) and stretches it over two verses.43

\[
a \text{dēviya jātrege moḷevōḍedelē veṛe sirada-gālaṃ}
\text{uriuuyyle kai-vōdasuke kōkila-dhvanī}
\text{mūdaley-uliyāge baṃduḍamuṅ basaṃtamaṃ || 36}
\text{sisirā mane paḍedu parakege}
\text{basaṃtan alarvōda māvinadi maṛcikeyoḷ}
\text{kusuridāḍagamantevo}
\text{-l eseduvu tadvanadoḷ udirda muttada mugulgal || 37}
\]

For the festival of that Goddess, the young moon, newly sprouted, was like the hook nailed into a man's head;44 the fire swung on a swing was

42 A similar metaphoric link between war and agriculture is adopted to a broader extent by Ranna in his Gadā yuddha (10.5). I thank Naresh Kirti for pointing out this intertextual connection.

43 I thank Eric Gurevitch for pointing this out to me.

44 This description evokes some practices of Māriyamma ritual where a man is hanged on a hook that perforates his head.
like the spreading Aśoka branch;\textsuperscript{45} the call of the cuckoo was like mocking voices of the people—as spring arrived. (36)

The buds of the Flame of the Forest spread in the woods were like meat chopped in pieces under a flowering mango tree. Spring had slaughtered winter for the festival. (37)

Taking into account both the prosodic and the stylistic, semantic layers of what we have seen so far, we cannot but acknowledge that we are confronted with a conscious poetic creation. Packed with vivid images, it appeals intentionally and directly to the senses. As we have seen, in the \textit{Yaśōdhara carite} reality has to be transmitted through a physical experience. Here, again, Janna's phenomenological attitude emerges, shaping an apparently conventional royal encomium and widening its scope.

Janna manages to create a small poem that integrates different aspects of his society by diffusely using common epic imagery to represent the Hoysala lineage's power and authority. Yet, Janna does something more. Apart from the usual eulogy of his patrons, it seems that, intertwined in the unfolding of the inscription, there is an underlying process of what I call “mirroring.”

Let’s take a step further. As we will see, Janna enacts the mechanism of reflection on three levels: those of the gods, the immortal nectar, and, finally, the portrayal of the commander. The core of Janna's creation lies in this portrayal of Amita who becomes the mirror-image of the king.

### 3.2 \textit{A Spiral of Mirroring Images}

Looking at the whole text and its structure, what is the “deeper” story that Janna gives us in this poem? A strong indication appears at the very beginning, in the second stanza, where Hari and Hara are asked to be the protectors of Amita. They are depicted as held in the loving glances of their wives that make them look alike, and this resemblance confuses the devotees:

\begin{quote}
\texttt{siriy-alargaṇṇa beḷvaḷaginiṃ pudid ambujanābhān iṣan-ant-|}
\texttt{ire girijā-katākṣa-rucigaḷ pudid iṣanum abjanābha-ant-|}
\texttt{ire jana-saṃstavaṃ tamage pallaṭam appinam oppi tōruv ā-|}
\texttt{hari-hara-dēvar old amitanaṃ parirakṣisut irkke santatam || ll. 3–5}
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{45} The Aśoka branch evokes the color red, as the branches are full of red flowers at that moment.
Viṣṇu (the one with a lotus in his navel), through the bright rays of Sṛī’s\(^46\) lotus eyes falling on him, looks like Īśa; and Īśa, through Girijā’s glances of favour falling on him, looks like Viṣṇu; so that the devotion of their followers is confused between them. May these gods, Hari and Hara, protect Amita.

First and foremost, then, Janna portrays two gods whose similarity is so strong that it renders them as doubles—and this mirroring effect is produced by the loving glances of the wives. Moreover, these gods are placed in a central position from the start, since the beginning of each (good) story-telling foreshadows its core. One thing must be clear: the seed implanted from the beginning is not that of identity; rather, it is a mirroring effect where the two gods reflect one another but are not exactly the same. Moreover, it might be misleading to think here of the mirror as a metaphor of the tension between appearance and reality.\(^47\) We have no original and no copy, but rather two almost identical gods, reflecting each other.

Let’s move to a second instance of mirroring images: that of the immortal nectar, \textit{amṛta}. Janna integrates political motifs, merging local and translocal elements through a series of echoes. The poet embeds epic references throughout the composition, such as the common connection of the king with Rāma, the king par excellence. Viṣṇuvardhana is portrayed as “a Rāma” in one passage that mentions the performance of the Vedic rituals of \textit{hiraṇyagarbha} and \textit{tuḷāpuruṣa}:

\begin{quote}
\textit{dhuradoḷ viṣṇu-nṛpaṃge dig-vijayad udyōgaṃ baram ṇ nilva-bhū- | varar ār ā-nṛipa-rāman ettuvudu bēr-ondarkk ad ēk en tuḷā- | puruṣakk endu hiranyagarbham irai end uttumga-dēvālayō | tkaramaṃ māḍisal endu sanda pararāṣṭramgalge tān ettuvam || ll. 28–29
\end{quote}

While King Viṣṇu was on his expedition of victory, what kings could stand before him? In the same way that lord Rāma rose high, foreign kings turned to acts of charity and occupied themselves with the \textit{tuḷāpuruṣa}, \textit{hiraṇyagarbha}, and the building of temples.

A few lines later, Ballāḷa II is said to be a Rāma in vitality and a Bhīma in firmness (\textit{calad-aṅka-Rāmaṃ birud-aṅka-Bhīmaṃ}) juxtaposing Rāmāyaṇa and

\(^{46}\) Tadbhāva for Sṛī, i.e. Lakṣmi.

\(^{47}\) See Shulman (2006: 18) on mirrors as an interface for the different degrees of presence of the masked goddess who is seen through a mirror.
Mahābhārata, just as in the narrative panels on the outer walls of the temple both epics are fully represented.

But among the epic references, Janna foregrounds the immortal nectar, the *amṛta*, duplicating and localising it in the territory and the temple. At the beginning of the inscription, he inserts the *amṛta* that is in the name of the temple itself and that here becomes a mark of excellency, a measure of beauty, pleasure, and eloquent speech.

śrīmat-sēnādhināthōttamanan amitanaṃ rakṣisutt irkke tārā- | stōmam nilvannegaṃ nārada-māṣṇa-rasa-vyakta-gītāṃ amṛtam gau- | ri-mugdha-snigdha-maṃda-smīta-madhura-kaṭākṣāmṛtam dēva-raja- | prēma-stutyādi-sad-vāg-amṛtan amṛtanātham trilōkaika-nātham ||

ll. 2–3

May the lord of the three worlds, Amṛtanātha, who has the nectar of tender songs sung by Nārada, who has the nectar of sweet glances in the beautiful, lovely, indulgent smiles of Gaurī, who has the nectar of speech in the praises of Indra, preserve the chief of generals, Amita, as long as the constellations stand.

Shortly thereafter, the reference to the *amṛta* emerging from the ocean is used to recall the churning of the ocean and to establish the beginning of the long genealogy that connects the Hoysaḷa with the Lunar lineage. Janna depicts the classical Puraṇic genealogy starting from Brahma and passing through Purūravas and Yadu. After that, he includes Saḷa—the mythical founder of the dynasty—thus incorporating in the Soma vaṃśa, the local family goddess (the *kuladevatā*) and the founding legend, which is also sculpted on the superstructure (*vimāna*) of the *garbhagrha* of Amṛteśvara temple:

48 The same syntagma is used for Ballāḷa II in Cn 179. The association of kings and commanders with main characters from Mahābhārata and Rāmāyana is a trait of Kannada literature too. Suffice here to refer to the *Vikramārjunavijaya* by Pampa (also known as *Pampabhārata*) where the poet equates the figures of Arjuna and that of his patron, Arikesari II, throughout the poem.

49 It is worth noting that the name of the donor and central actor of this inscription, Amita, resonates with the noun *amṛta*, of which it could be a kind of *tadbhava*.

50 The same agenda is seen in the Cāḷukya inscriptions of the time of Vikramāditya VI (1076–1126) against whom Viṣṇuvardhana I waged war.

51 As for the founding legend and its local elements and its variations, see Coelho 1950: 12–24; Alí 1972: 39–43; Bignami 2015; Mucciarelli 2016.
(gadya) || ā-niśśāmka-pratāpa-cakravarttiy-anvayāvatāram ad entendoḍ amṛtad amṛtakarana kamaleya kaustubhada pārijatad airāvatad uccaiśravad accaraseyara sambhūtiyim khyātigākaram āda piyūṣa-ratnākarada naḍuve ||
(vṛtta) || siriyaṃ kaustubha-ratnamaṃ hariyan anṭ ā-śaṅkhamaṃ cakramāṃ |
bhāradīṃ pēṟi bahitrad-antir esed irddanm sēshan ā-kṣīra-sa- |
garadōl dēvana nābhi-padmanda mṛṇāḷam kūvakambambol a- |
ccarīy āg irddudu karṇṇadhāranavol irddanm puṭṭi padmōdbhavanm ||
ll. 6–8

How to describe the descent of that emperor whose valor was beyond doubt? In the middle of the ocean of milk which is celebrated as the birth of the immortal nectar (amṛta), the moon (whose rays are amṛta) Lakṣmi, the Kaustubha jewel, the coral jasmine tree (Pārijāta), the elephant Airāvata, the horse Uccaiśrava, and the Apsaras;52

Like a ship laden with prosperity (Siri), the Kaustubha jewel, Hari, the conch and the disk, lay the serpent Śeṣa; and in that milk ocean the stalk of the god’s navel-lotus rose up like a mast. And Brahma was born like a shipmaster.

The amṛta is, then, the god, the measure of excellence, and the origin of the Hoysaḷa genealogy, right from the beginning of the world. As in the echoing sound, the same word is repeated without being exactly the same. In this case, too, we find the underlying rationale of a mirror that gives us a manifold image of the object, and we begin to grasp the kind of mirror with which we are dealing.

First, Janna has suggested a mirroring effect in his description of Harihara: a process of reduplication that clearly produces two quite similar, and yet not identical gods. Through the word amṛta, Janna reaches a further level: the mechanism manifests fully its capacity to operate as a kaleidoscope and to generate a potentially infinite number of images. The mirror Janna implants beneath the surface of the text can project the object it reflects onto different spaces of meaning. We can now move to how he applies it to the core reflection, the one of the king and his commander, Amita. The second half of the inscription, which is entirely focused on Amita, is actually a mirror of the first.

52 This list recalls all the things that according to the Purānic myth were born out of the churning of the ocean.
3.3 Amita: The King’s Double

As we arrive full circle, to the final part of the inscription, we encounter the expected account of the consecration of the temple and the donation of the agrahāra by Amita. In this part, a largely straightforward record of facts, the relation between the god Amṛteśvara, Amita, and his king Ballāḷa II, is reasserted by making the king the one who gives the land with the proper ritual (dhārā-pūrvvakaṃ) to the commander who erects the temple.

This final part of the inscription accounts for the actual event that took place between Amita and Ballāḷa II. The long part that precedes it, however, is entirely about the creation of these two characters, who will fulfill the concrete “action” of the erection of the temple. This, I suggest, is the crafting of the king and his commander as mutual mirrors, balancing their power.

First, if we compare the structure of this inscription with those where the king is the main agent, the donor, we can see that the same elements used for the king are reduplicated for his commander, Amita. Moreover, Amita is in fact named at the beginning of the inscription as the only one who could conquer all the earth on behalf of the king. For the commander the protection of the gods is evoked. After the mention of Amita in the incipit, with his name present in each of the first three stanzas leading to the prose portion, there is a long digression on the Hoysaḷa lineage. When Amita returns to the tale, the same pattern as for the king is put in place. After the invocation of the protection of the gods on him, the full genealogy is accounted for, followed by the praise of Amita’s brothers, exactly as was done for the brothers of the king, Ballāḷa II.

Alongside the structural similarity, Janna had different topics reemerge in the second half of his inscription, where he focuses on Amita, the commander. As we have seen before for Viṣṇuvardhana and Ballāḷa II, the commander, too, is associated with characters from the epic lore, again bringing together Mahābhārata and Rāmāyaṇa:

kaḍu-valpim Bhiman embaṃ negaldaṇ eḍeyol and ātan ind itan ant or- |
nnuḍiyindaṃ Rāman embaṃ negaldaṇ eḍeyol and ātan ind ārggaṃ |
kuduv-ārppiṃ Karṇṇan embaṃ negaldaṇ eḍeyol and ātan ind itan |
im pēl- |
vaḍe matt ill ārum embant esedapan Amitaṇ daṇḍanātha-Triṇētraṃ ||
ll. 68–69

See above, fn.33: dhārā-pūrvvakaṃ padaedu (l. 103).
Just an inch of his firmness, and he is now famous on the earth as that Bhima was then; just one word from him,\textsuperscript{54} and he is now famous on the earth as that Rama was then; for his generosity towards everybody he is famous now on the earth as that Karna was then. If you ask, there is nobody like Amita, the Śiva of the commanders.

Moreover, the commander’s praise is elaborated and carefully carved like that of Ballāḷa II, as we read in this small portion from the long description of the army-chief’s merits:

\begin{verbatim}
vijaya-gōminī-vaṁgalaśayaṭana-ratna-tōraṇanum | caturtthaka-
kuḷa-kumudini-śarac-candra-candrikōpamāṇa-māṇānūna-sarvva-
lakṣṇa-sampūrṇa-suggāmbikā-garbha-duṅḍhāṁṇava-pārijātanum [...]
yādava-kāṭaka-ratnābharaṇanum | daṃḍanātha-mauḷi-
māṇikyanum | daṃḍanātha-kusumakōdaṃḍanum | daṃḍanātha-
gāmḍa-māṛtamāṇanum | daṃḍanātha-dik-kuṇjaranum | uddama-
daṃḍanātha-kōḷahaḷanum | vācāla-daṃḍanātha-hāḷahaḷanum ||
cōḷa-daṃḍanātha-kāḷa-rākṣasanum | māḷava-daṃḍanātha-madana-
triṇētranum [...]
\end{verbatim}  
ll. 90–91; 96–97

[Amita is] A jewelled portal for the auspicious home of the goddess of victory. [He is] A pāṛijāta tree from the ocean-like womb of Sugāmbikā; she had all the signs of full-fledged beauty and she is like the moonlight of the autumn moon for the waterlily\textsuperscript{55} of the Caturthakaṇḍa;\textsuperscript{56} [...][Amita is] a jewel ornament to the Yādava lineage; a crest gem among his generals; the god Kāma\textsuperscript{57} among his generals; a sun among his fiery generals; a direction-elephant\textsuperscript{58} among his generals; he is chaos for the hostile generals, poison for the boasting (rival) generals; the demon of death for the Cōḷa generals, he burns the Māḷava generals as the three-eyed Śiva burns Madana [...].

\textsuperscript{54} In the sense that he sticks to his word.

\textsuperscript{55} This very common image draws from the powerful effect of the moon on the waterlily that is said to open at the light of the moon, cf., e.g. \textit{kumudinikānta} that refers to the moon as the lover of the waterlily.

\textsuperscript{56} Epigraphists usually take this term as referring to the fourth jāti (śudra).

\textsuperscript{57} Kusumakōḍaṇḍa: “one who has a bow of flowers”. This is an epithet for Manmatha or Kāma, the god of love.

\textsuperscript{58} Reference to the elephants that stand in the four or eight quarters of the sky.
Amita seems to have everything it takes to be a king, including the beauty and charm that are *conditio sine qua non* of royal power:

kēraḷa-nitambinī-tāra-hāranuṃ | māḷava-māninī-manō-rañjananuṃ | karṇṇāṭa-kāmini-karṇābharanuṃ | pradhāna-jaṅgama-kēdāranuṃ | ll. 98–99

A shining garland for the Kerala women; exciting the love of the disdainful Māḷava women; an earring for the affectionate Karṇāṭaka women; a Kedāra59 for the chief of the Jangamas (Śiva devotees).

One might think that so many scattered projections of the king onto the commander, and vice versa, render the two so similar that their subjects—just as the devotees of Harihara—will be confused. Yet that does not happen. A small variation is going to impair the linearity of the symmetry. In fact, the dynamic of similarity reaches its apex when Janna establishes a direct link between the commander and the god. And at this very moment the similarity is shattered. The boon of the god is usually attributed to the king, and it is the sign of divine protection for the Hoysaḷa. It also represents a central component of the foundation legend: the family goddess Vasantikā gave the boon of land to the founder of the Hoysaḷa dynasty. In our inscription the boon of the god is attributed to Amita, the commander:

svasti samasta-bhuvana-rāja-rāji-virājita-cūḍāmaṇi-maṇi-maṇjarī- rañjita-caranā-nalīna śrīmad-amṛteśvara-dēva-labdha-vara-prasādanuṃ […] ll. 88–89

Hail! The clusters of rays from the shining crown-jewels of the array of the kings of the entire world light up your lotus-feet; you obtained the boon of the god Amṛteśvara.

A parallel expression is used for Ballāḷa II. In this way, the boon, the selected gift, is also duplicated; but it is slightly different one—like an image in a convex mirror—as here it is the god Amṛteśvara and not the goddess who gives the boon. This small difference that lies at the core of the description of Amita

---

59 Kedāra is one of the names for Śiva referring to the place in the Himalaya identified by the Śivapurāṇa tradition between the 10th and the 13th centuries as one of the twelve *jyotirlingas* (see Bisschop 2006 and Fleming 2009).
breaks up the similarity between him and his king. It shatters the continuum of correspondences.

Up to this point, Janna has conjured a growing accumulation of quasi-replicas: first through the two gods Hari and Hara, then through a polymorphic nectar of immortality (*amṛta*). Finally, the structure of the inscription is built upon symmetrically repeating patterns. The poet has hence constructed a veritable room of mirrors. This room is inhabited by the king and his commander, Amita, who seems to be the replica of the king. At this point, something decisive takes place. The invisible mirror again operates on the king and on Amita, his commander, projecting a core feature of the royal power: the boon from the divinity.

The reduplication becomes a source of power through its connection to the divine. This apical reflection, this echo, apparently destroys the uniqueness of the divine boon itself. Two boons emerge from the continuous spiral-like process of mirroring: one from the goddess and one from the gods. They are almost the same, and yet not exactly the same, as an object whose perimeter is blurred and thus seems to occupy at the same time different areas of space. By way of embedding, Janna has expanded the mechanism of mirroring that is inherent to inscriptions, and he uses it as a device to praise both the king and the commander. At the same time, he has inserted them in an asymmetric universe, full of projections, of quasi-replicas, of simulacra—that is, a world threatened by confusion. The power of the king as well as that of the elite are always on the verge of being overwhelmed by chaos.\(^{60}\) In this way, Janna added his personal, somewhat ironic, touch to the usage of inscriptions in the symbolic representation of royal power.\(^{61}\)

4 Conclusion: Projecting Mirrors

In this article, I examined an inscription that is an account of the donations accompanying the installation of the temple of Amṛteśvara by Amita, a chief commander of the Hoysaḷa king, Ballāḷa II, in 1197. The inscribed text was composed by one of the most influential court poets of medieval Kannaḍa literature, Janna, who, while adhering to the common structure of royal inscriptions, crafted a powerful piece of public narrative. Through the use of various

\(^{60}\) On the precarious and transient nature of kingship in South India there is a considerable scholarship. I mention here, by way of example, Stein 1977, Dirks 1979, and Shulman 1985.

\(^{61}\) On the symbolic representation of power, see, among others, Narayana Rao, Shulman, and Subrahmanyam 1992.
stylistic devices and imageries, he conjured a refined and articulated campū in which different elements are merged: the negotiation of power between the king and the elite, the localisation of pan-Indian elements, and the relation between the centre of the kingdom and its periphery, where the temple of Amṛteśvara is located.

Moreover, Janna placed his composition in ongoing dialogue with contemporary political developments. Increasingly in this period, the king was seen in the form of a god, an agent of the central cult. Thus, he had to be identified with the local god in order to approximate the sacred domain represented by the temple.62 In relation to the processes of negotiating power within the enlarged Hoysaḷa territory, the commander undergoes in this inscription a process similar to that of the king. In this sense, it is not just a matter of constructing kingship, but rather a case of negotiating elite identity, an essential aspect of the royal agenda that runs throughout the history of medieval South Indian Kingship.63 Our inscription can be understood as a political statement on the part of the king and of the ruling elite. The commander is not trying to present himself as a king; the hierarchic relation is clearly stated in the account of the foundation itself, where the king is the mediator between Amita and the land. Rather, the rulers had to mediate between the different groups that gained control over the territory.

These historical and political issues partially constitute creative core of the inscription. Yet, if left on its own, this analysis would fail to capture a constitutive component of what we have as documentation of the intellectual and cultural history of medieval Karnataka. The text of the inscription is also a personal testimony of poetic subjectivity as well as a depiction of the play between reality and appearance. Rulers used to build replicas of temples in the periphery of their reign. Similarly, the commanders being represented as projections of their kings were meant to enhance by means of resonance the power of the rulers. The poet took this mechanism and turned it into the dominating motif of his inscription by putting the motif itself in action in the poem.

62 The temple both represents the central cults and constitutes the locus of bhakti and the symbol in the material spaces of sacred domains. The king creates the link between these two through his identification with the local divinity and through surrendering the temporal power to the divinity. On the role and complex reality of the temple, see Chattopadyaya 1995: 209–211; and Appadurai 1976.

63 On the ongoing power negotiation between the king and the various elites and the complex dynamics connected with South Indian kingship, see, among others, Shulman 1985 and, especially on Cola’s lordship, Heitzman 1997.
A mirror is a visual statement. It can be tilted this way or that, showing different perspectives on the reflected object in it. Mirrors allow for angles and variations, for multiplicity. A mirror somehow broadens by way of inclusion. More precisely, it permits you to see what you cannot see. What is that we cannot see? I think that a possible answer lies in the type of mirror Janna deployed. As we have observed both for the immortal nectar and for the divine boon, the mirror operates as a kaleidoscope. It fragments reality but leaves all the pieces connected.

In this sense, if we think of the locality that connects in a wider network to the trans-local through replicas, a mirror allows for an altogether different type of linkage. Mirrors bring elements together dialogically, modelling reality while also impacting that reality. A kaleidoscope-like mirror creates the possibility for flexible relations, like a fourth dimension between the different geographical and cultural strata. By way of projection, the mirror permits different perspectives. We should bear in mind that the Amṛteśvara temple is in the northern part of the Hoysaḷa kingdom. In other words, the local power was trying to connect with a larger network and the same time hold within itself different social groups. Janna models this enterprise as the co-existence of multiple planes of reality affecting each other through a polymorphic projection.

In other words, Janna designed an inscription that acts as a mirror that reflects itself, in the multiple readings implied by its mediality. The dialogue between the king and the commander is the first mirror. All around this invisible mirror, a thousand mirrors will follow, reflections, echoes of those reading, repeating, copying the inscription, as we are doing now. But, more importantly, the king is reflected in the commander, who should act as an emanation of the king, expanding his control and, of course, immortalizing it. Amita becomes

---

64 As a topic, the mirror has engaged many thinkers. It will suffice to recall here Rilke's verse (Rilke 1923: II,3) "Spiegel: noch nie hat man wissend beschrieben, was ihr in eurem Wesen seid"; "Mirrors: never yet has anyone knowingly described, what you are in your essence".

65 On the inclusivity of the mirror, see, albeit the metaphysical implications, Plato, (Rep. X, 596 d–e) where the mirror is said to encompass all that exists: εἰ ἡμέρας λαβὼν κάτοπτρον περιφέρειν πανταχῷ ταχὺ μὲν ἥλιον ποιήσει καὶ τὰ ἐν τῷ οὐρανῷ, ταχὺ δὲ γῆν, ταχὺ δὲ σαυτόν τε καὶ τᾶλα ζώα καὶ σκεύη καὶ φυτὰ καὶ πάντα δασά νυνδή λέγετο. “You could do it most quickly if you should choose to take a mirror and carry it about everywhere. You will speedily produce the sun and all the things in the sky, and speedily the earth and yourself and the other animals and implements and plants and all the objects of which we just now spoke.” See also Borges 1999: 107.

66 Daṇḍin points out this quality of mirror (ādarśa) precisely in relation to kings: ādirājyaśobimbam ādarśaṃ prāpya vāmāyam | teṣām asaṃnidhāhe ‘pi na svayaṃ paśya naśyati // (Kavyādarśa 1.5) “Once it finds a mirror made of words [to be reflected in], the body/icon/form of the early kings’ fame, doesn’t vanish, see! Even after they [the kings] are gone.”
another form of Ballāla. And yet, not exactly the same—hinting at the very confusion we note at the beginning of the inscription with the gods Hari and Hara. By way of different imaginaries, Janna forges an escalating accumulation of mirroring effects and uses this motif to subtly crack the apparent linear enhancement of power. The unique voice of the poet hints at the instability of the political and social system by introducing a defiling non-symmetric element, the divine boon, right at apical moment of the mirroring process.

Janna integrates politics and poetics, and, if we follow him carefully, we also grasp the holistic nature of the network that is composed by local, trans-local, political, social, economic, and artistic features as non-discrete elements. Holism is a thread that runs through our inquiry. If we consider that inscriptions, together with temples and courtly literature, functioned as effective means of communication and at the same time powerful means of expression, it follows that their analysis calls for a holistic approach. In turn, such an approach may shed light on how expressive media worked in twelfth-century Karnataka and what type of knowledge they entailed. In this vein, epigraphic documentation is not just a source of data, but also a complex mechanism in which singularity and multiplicity—the singular authorial voice within its broader historical context—present themselves for scholarly scrutiny.
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