Targeted deletion of miR-132/-212 impairs memory and alters the hippocampal transcriptome
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miR-132 and miR-212 are structurally related microRNAs that have been found to exert powerful modulatory effects within the central nervous system (CNS). Notably, these microRNAs are randomly processed from the same noncoding transcript, and share a common seed sequence; thus it has been difficult to assess the distinct contribution of each microRNA to gene expression within the CNS. Here, we employed a combination of conditional knockout and transgenic mouse models to examine the contribution of the miR-132/-212 gene locus to learning and memory, and then to assess the distinct effects that each microRNA has on hippocampal gene expression. Using a conditional deletion approach, we show that miR-132/-212 double-knockout mice exhibit significant cognitive deficits in spatial memory, recognition memory, and in tests of novel object recognition. Next, we utilized transgenic miR-132 and miR-212 overexpression mouse lines and the miR-132/-212 double-knockout line to explore the distinct effects of these two miRNAs on the transcriptional profile of the hippocampus. Illumina sequencing revealed that miR-132/-212 deletion increased the expression of 1138 genes; Venn analysis showed that 96 of these genes were also downregulated in mice overexpressing miR-132. Of the 58 genes that were decreased in animals overexpressing miR-212, only four of them were also increased in the knockout line. Functional gene ontology analysis of downregulated genes revealed significant enrichment of genes related to synaptic transmission, neuronal proliferation, and morphogenesis, processes known for their roles in learning, and memory formation. These data, coupled with previous studies, firmly establish a role for the miR-132/-212 gene locus as a key regulator of cognitive capacity. Further, although miR-132 and miR-212 share a seed sequence, these data indicate that these miRNAs do not exhibit strongly overlapping mRNA targeting profiles, thus indicating that these two genes may function in a complex, nonredundant manner to shape the transcriptional profile of the CNS. The dysregulation of miR-132/-212 expression could contribute to signaling mechanisms that are involved in an array of cognitive disorders.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
of stimuli while increasing the paired-pulse ratio (Lambert et al. 2010; Remenyi et al. 2013).

Given these observations, there is keen interest in furthering our understanding the role of miR-132/-212 in activity-dependent synaptic plasticity and hippocampal-dependent learning and memory. To date, a number of studies have shown that both miRNAs are associated with impaired cognition when their expression levels are not maintained within a tightly regulated range (Scott et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013; Kempf et al. 2014). Moreover, while moderate overexpression (approximately five-fold increase) of miR-132 impairs learning and memory, small deviations from this range impair neuronal function (Mellios et al. 2011; Tognini et al. 2011; Tognini and Pizzorusso 2012; Hansen et al. 2013). This suggests that there exists an optimized level of miR-132 expression that can facilitate cognition, but that deviation from this range impairs neuronal function (Mellios et al. 2011; Tognini et al. 2011; Tognini and Pizzorusso 2012; Hansen et al. 2013).

Here, we use a conditional knockout system to selectively delete the miR-132/-212 locus from excitatory forebrain neurons to identify the cognitive deficits associated with miR-132/-212 deletion. In addition, we employ high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) to profile the hippocampal transcriptomes of animals overexpressing miR-132 and miR-212, as well as of those with the conditionally deleted locus. By comparing these datasets we were able to narrow the pool of predicted miR-132/-212 targets and to identify a number of promising putative targets for screening. These data also provide insight into the overlapping and differential contributions of these miRNAs in the cluster, suggesting that each plays a specific and distinct functional role within the CNS, even while regulating some complementary targets. These data suggest that while miR-132 and miR-212 share identical seed regions, their divergence from one another across the rest of their sequence has a significant effect on mRNA targeting, further honing the regulatory capacity of this gene cluster.

**Results**

**Conditional deletion of miR-132/-212**

To explore the functional effects of miR-132/-212 deletion within the adult hippocampus, we employed a cre/lox strategy to target the miR-132/-212 locus for excision. The design of the targeting vector and the validation of homologous recombination were recently described (Remenyi et al. 2013). To delete the miR-132/-212 locus specifically in excitatory cell populations of the forebrain, the conditional miR-132/-212 mouse line was crossed with a well-characterized Cre recombinase line driven by the CamKII promoter (Fig. 1A, B; Tsien et al. 1996). To validate the effective targeting of CRE recombinase to forebrain neurons, the CaMKII-Cre mice were crossed to a ROSA 26 β-galactosidase reporter line (Soriano 1999) and tissue was immunolabeled for the expression of the β-galactosidase reporter. As expected, β-galactosidase expression was detected in forebrain regions, including the cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala (Fig. 1C, and data not shown). Next, tissue from the CaMKII-Cre::miR-132/-2122/2 (conditional knockout: cKO) mice was probed in situ hybridization against miR-132. Representative data in Figure 1D reveals a loss of miR-132 expression in excitatory neurons within the CA1 cell layer of the hippocampus. Together these data indicate that the conditional deletion approach is leading to a loss of miR-132 within excitatory pyramidal neurons. Finally, our conditional miR-132/-212 mice were generated in the expected Mendelian ratios; developmental deficits were not detected and the gross morphology of the brain did not appear to be affected (unpublished observations; Remenyi et al. 2013).

**Cognitive impairment following targeted deletion of miR-132/-212**

Having previously demonstrated learning and memory deficits following transgenic over expression of miR-132, we next sought...
High-throughput RNA-sequencing of the hippocampal transcriptome after altered miR-132/-212 expression

One of the challenges of miRNA research is in identifying novel bone fide miRNA targets of miRNA regulation. Current informatic approaches rely on algorithmic prediction of targets based on seed-region base paring, melting temperatures, and other related parameters. While these approaches go a long way in predicting miRNA targets, they nevertheless yield a cumbersome number of false-positives. In an attempt to narrow the pool of putative mRNA targets that may be responsible for the cognitive deficits described here, we employed a high-throughput RNA-sequencing strategy to identify how the disruption of miR-132/-212 expression affected gene expression within the hippocampus (Fig. 3A).

In addition, to gain an even greater level of insight into the roles of these miRNAs in sculpting the gene expression in the hippocampus, we also performed high-throughput RNA-seq in mice that transgenically overexpress either miR-132 (Described in Hansen et al. 2010, 2013) or a newly generated miR-212 transgenic mouse line (Figs. 3B, 4). For both the miR-132 and miR-212 transgenic mouse lines, expression of the miR construct was driven by a tetracycline response element (TRE), and forebrain, neuronal-specific, targeting was driven by crossing the responder lines to a CaMKII-tTA driver line (Mayford et al. 1996). Given that the TRE line used to generate the cKO mice and the miRNA over-expressor transgenic lines were driven via the CaMKII promoter, we were able to achieve selective loss and gain of miR-132 and-212 expression specifically in excitatory cell populations. By employing a combination of miR-132/-212 conditional knockout mice, and miR-132 and miR-212 transgenic mouse lines, our goal was to provide an intersectional validation of the miR-132 and miR-212 targeted genes. We predicted that mRNA targets of each of these miRNAs would be upregulated in the hippocampi of cKO animals and downregulated in animals overexpressing their respective miRNA (Lanford et al. 2010; Yang and Qu 2013; Oulas et al. 2015). Further, if one assumes that the two miRNAs do not exhibit functional redundancy, one would predict that a subset of the upregulated genes in the miR-132/-212 knockout should be downregulated in the miR-132 and another set would be downregulated in the miR-212 transgenic mouse lines. Thus, by inversely correlating the hippocampal transcriptomes, a narrower pool of putative targets emerge for each miRNA (Fig. 3A).

The CaMKII-tTA:miR-132 animals exhibit an approximate fivefold increase in miR-132 over endogenous levels in the hippocampus (Hansen et al. 2010, 2013), and the CaMKII-tTA:miR-212 animals exhibit an approximate twofold increase in miR-212 over endogenous levels in the hippocampus (Fig. 4B). As an initial screen for a behavioral effect of the transgene, we employed the novel object recognition test outlined above. Interestingly, CaMKII-tTA:miR-212 exhibited a significant deficit in recognition memory, compared to control littersmates without the CaMKII-tTA driver (Fig. 4C), thus indicating that, as with miR-132, miR-212 can also affect cognitive capacity.

To profile gene expression via RNA-seq, we isolated RNA from the hippocampi of CaMKII-Cre:miR-132/-212f, CaMKII-tTA:miR-132, and CaMKII-tTA:miR-212 animals, as well as their respective nontransgenic controls. Control mice were transgenic for the driver (i.e., CaMKII-Cre or CaMKII-tTA), but lacked the responder transgene target. All three mouse lines showed marked changes in mRNA expression (Supplemental Table 1). The CaMKII-Cre:miR-132/-212f hippocampal transcriptome had 1138 significantly upregulated transcripts, while 886 were significantly downregulated. Transgenic overexpression of miR-132 yielded 1266 upregulated and 928 downregulated genes within the hippocampus, whereas overexpression of miR-212 only resulted in 78 unregulated and 58 downregulated genes. Of those transcripts that were
upregulated in the cKO animals, 96 and 4 were also downregulated in the tTA::miR-132 and tTA::miR-212 lines, respectively.

To begin to identify mRNA targets that may mediate the learning and memory phenotypes associated with miR-132/-212, we performed ontological analysis of those mRNAs that were altered in our transgenic lines. With regard to miR-132, we found an enrichment of genes related to neurogenesis and proliferation, as well as those involved in the regulation of morphological projection (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Table 2A). mRNAs that were dysregulated in the miR-212 transgenic animals were also associated with neuronal projection, in addition to the regulation of synaptic transmission and transcriptional regulation (Fig. 5B; Supplemental Table 2B). To further narrow the pool of predicted miRNA targets and to differentiate direct targets from those mRNAs that may be dysregulated as downstream effects of this regulation, we included an intersection of our data with informatically predicted, and evolutionarily conserved miR-132 and miR-212 targets with good mirSVR scores (Betel et al. 2008; Szeto et al. 2014). Next, we compared those transcripts that were significantly upregulated in the cKO animals with those downregulated in each tTA transgenic line. Together, these intersections yielded 18 mRNAs that fit the qualifications...
for all three miR-132 datasets (Table 1; Fig. 5C), and only one mRNA that overlapped with the miR-212 datasets (Fig. 5D).

**Altered STX1A and MASH1 expression in CaMKII-Cre::miR-132/-212/f animals**

The intersected miR-132 datasets yielded a number of miRNAs of potential neurophysiological importance. Among these, the basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) transcription factor Mash1 was of particular interest because of its role in progenitor cell development and cell fate determination within the subgranular zone (SGZ) of the adult dentate gyrus (Kim et al. 2007, 2011). We examined levels of MASH1 expression within the SGZ in cKO animals via immunohistochemical labeling. This analysis revealed a significant increase in MASH1-positive cells within the SGZ relative to controls (Fig. 6A,B), paralleling our RNA-seq data indicating increases in Mash1 mRNA following miR-132/-212 deletion.

In contrast to miR-132, the miR-212 intersection yielded only one mRNA common to all three datasets: Stx1a. Nevertheless, as a critical protein involved in the docking of synaptic vesicles and the regulation of Ca²⁺-dependent neurotransmitter release (Söllner et al. 1993a,b), Stx1a has potential as a mediator of miR-132/-212’s effects on cognition. Indeed, Stx1a is strongly implicated in the autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) and other cognitive disorders (Gao et al. 2010b; Drudiakova et al. 2014). An immunohistochemical analysis of STX1A expression within stratum oriens and stratum radiatum (two regions that exhibit robust levels of STX1A; Ruiz-Montassell et al. 1996) revealed a marked increase in STX1A in cKO mice relative to control animals (Fig. 6B,D). Together, these data strongly support the idea that endogenous miR-212 targets STX1A in the hippocampus.

**Discussions**

Here, we employed a conditional knock out approach to delete the miR-132/-212 gene cluster from excitatory forebrain neurons. We observed behavioral impairment in spatial and recognition memory in these animals, as demonstrated by novel object recognition, contextual fear conditioning, and Barnes maze paradigms. miR-132 and miR-212 are known to target a range of neuronal mRNAs that may contribute to these effects, including MeCP2, p250GAP, AChE, and Kα2.1 (Klein et al. 2007; Wayman et al. 2008; Goldoni et al. 2012; Shaltiel et al. 2013). The cognitive defects presented here are also in line with the altered expression of miR-132/-212 observed in a variety of neurocognitive disorders (Lukiew 2007; Johnson et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2012).

Further, consistent with the work reported here, a recent paper by Hernandez-Rapp et al. (2015) reported that germline miR132 KO mice exhibit novel object recognition and spatial memory deficits. Collectively, these data position miR-212/132 among a number of other CREB-regulated genes that are required for learning and memory formation (Hall et al. 2001; Colombo et al. 2003). Indeed, CREB-dependent transcription is induced following an array of learning paradigms and is required for normal cognitive function (Impey et al. 1998; Mizuno et al. 2002; Porte et al. 2008). Nevertheless, while moderate increases in CREB activity facilitate learning, chronic enhancement of CREB-dependent transcription impairs cognitive capacity, paralleling the learning phenotypes observed in tTA::miR-132 animals (Viosca et al. 2009; Hansen et al. 2013).

**Table 1. Genes appearing in all three datasets for predicting miR-132 targets**

| Genes | cKO FC | tTA::miR-132 FC |
|-------|--------|----------------|
| Pbx4  | 18.94  | -3.86          |
| Mash1 | 11.37  | -2.99          |
| Cln1  | 3.65   | -4.32          |
| Rps6kc1| 3.65   | -1.72          |
| Z310022A10Rik| 3.06 | -1.22     |
| Rb1   | 2.55   | -1.45          |
| Mapkapk5| 2.23  | -1.78          |
| Enpp2 | 1.91   | -1.52          |
| Eps1  | 1.76   | -1.51          |
| Mtrk3 | 1.57   | -1.65          |
| Bnip2 | 1.55   | -1.58          |
| Fam3c | 1.52   | -1.89          |
| Eti4  | 1.40   | -1.44          |
| Gdap1 | 1.35   | -1.59          |
| Tusc3 | 1.26   | -1.20          |
| Pnma2 | 1.22   | -1.38          |
| Rbm18 | 1.18   | -1.87          |
| Atp2b1| 1.10   | -1.26          |

Here, we present the 18 genes that are significantly increased in CaMKII-Cre::miR-132/-212/f animals, decreased in tTA::miR-132 animals, and that appear as informatically predicted targets of miR-132. Fold changes in expression for each animal line is also included. (FC = fold change).

[Figure 5. Enriched ontological clusters of altered mRNA in miR-132 and miR-212 transgenic mice. (A,B) Using a ranked list of genes downregulated following miRNA overexpression, the top 10 clusters of DAVID categories were sorted by enrichment score and presented for each of the transgenic animal lines (tTA::miR-132 and tTA::miR-212). The number of genes within each cluster is reported as a bar corresponding to the lower axis. In addition, EASE scores (DAVID’s modified Fischer exact P-value) for each cluster are depicted as a measure of the association strength of genes within each cluster with a line graph corresponding to the upper axis. (C,D) Upregulated genes from CaMKII-Cre::miR-132/-212/f animals were compared with genes downregulated in tTA::miR-132 and tTA::miR-212 animals, respectively, as well as with algorithmic target predictions from microRNA.org. This intersection yielded a limited pool of potential targets for each miRNA.]
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To identify potentially new mechanisms of miR-132/212 regulation with regard to learning and memory, we sequenced the transcriptomes of the hippocampi of CaMKII-Cre::miR-132/-212f animals, as well as those of the CaMKII-tTA::miR-132 and CaMKII-tTA::miR-212 animals. As a result, we were able to identify a pool of mRNA transcripts with altered expression following conditional deletion and/or transgenic increases in miR-132 and miR-212 expression. Among these, the transcript encoding the bHLH transcription factor MASH1 was identified as a hippocampal mRNA that showed increased expression in cKO animals and decreased expression in the tTA::miR-132 line. Consistent with our RNA-seq data, we also observed increases in MASH1 protein expression by immunolabeling within the SGZ of cKO animals. Within the adult hippocampus, MASH1-positive cells have the potential to develop into either oligodendrocytes or neurons, with higher levels of MASH1 indicating a neuronal fate (Aguirre et al. 2004; Parras et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2011). MASH1 primarily defines type-2a progenitor cells and some late stage type-1 stem cells that eventually mature into granule neurons, though some MASH1-positive cells remain as progenitors within the SGZ for extended periods of time, up to 6 mo (Kim et al. 2007; Vasconcelos and Castro 2014).

Here, it is important to note that CaMKII-driven transgene expression occurs in developing doublecortin-positive neurons of the SGZ (Chan et al. 2008; Choi et al. 2009; our unpublished observations). This parallels the time course of expression of WT miR-132, which increases in expression within doublecortin- and NeuN-positive cells, but is absent in nestin-positive precursors (Luikart et al. 2011). Collectively, these observations suggest that the miR-132/-212 locus is excised by the Cre driver in maturing granule cells in our cKO animals. Hence, at this point it is not clear whether the effects of miR-132 on MASH1 expression are cell autonomous or are the result of the indirect action of miR-132 functioning in post-mitotic adult neurons. However, it is apparent that the upregulation of miR-132 in developing SGZ-derived neurons occurs during or after MASH1 has been downregulated (Kim et al. 2011). Within the context of a cell autonomous model, there may be several possible mechanisms to explain the temporal discord between the expression of miR-132 and its putative target, MASH1. While a combination of transcriptional mechanisms are likely used to repress MASH1, the rapid upregulation of miR-132 that coincides with neurogenesis may function as a critical downregulation mechanism for MASH1 translation in the SGZ. As a result of this, the increased number of MASH1-positive cells in the cKO animals may have resulted in an accumulation of late stage progenitor cells that have yet to transition into an immature neuronal phenotype. Thus, in this model, ectopic MASH1 expression may inhibit the proper exiting of progenitors from the SGZ and delay development into mature neurons. Clearly, the increased expression of MASH1 observed in cKO animals has the potential to yield a range of deficits within the SGZ and therefore affect neuronal development, integration, and hippocampal function. Additional experiments will be necessary to determine the precise mechanism of miR-132 action on MASH1 and how this interaction may mediate any subsequent cognitive impairment.

Of those mRNA that were downregulated in animals overexpressing miR-212, only one, Stx1a, showed a significant increase in expression following miR-132/-212 conditional deletion. Using immunohistochemical labeling, we also showed a concordant increase in STX1A protein expression within the hippocampus of cKO animals. STX1A plays a central role in the docking of presynaptic vesicles via the formation of the SNARE complex and is required for normal hippocampal LTP (Bennett et al. 1992; Mishima et al. 2012). While STX1A is essential for voltage-dependent calcium and potassium signaling (and thus for synaptic transmission), its overexpression inhibits exocytosis and blocking STX1A enhances Ca$^{2+}$ influx into cells via N-type calcium channels (Mitchell and Ryan 2005; Swayne et al. 2005). Given that both deletion and overexpression of miR-132 lead
to cognitive defect (Hansen et al. 2013), it would not be surprising if miR-212 functioned in a similar manner, requiring a tightly regulated, narrow range of expression to properly regulate its mRNA targets in facilitating normal learning and memory formation. Of note, while miR-212 is increased following seizure activity, STX1A is decreased after kainic acid administration along a similar time course as miR-212 expression, further strengthening the evidence for inhibitory regulation of Stx1a by miR-212 (Fujino et al. 1997; Nudelman et al. 2010). Taken together, these data raise the prospect that aberrant miR-212 expression could contribute to several neurological disorders associated with STX1A and cognitive impairment (Nakamura et al. 2008; Sánchez-Mora et al. 2013).

While there are some prominent miRNAs that are targeted by both miR-132 and miR-212 (e.g., McCP2; Klein et al. 2007; Im et al. 2010; Wada et al. 2010), these miRNAs have also been shown to target distinct populations of mRNAs as well (Kumarswamy et al. 2014). Though miR-132 and miR-212 share the same seed sequence, the rest of their nucleotide sequences differ from one another. These non-seed regions play an important role in directing mRNA targeting, and therefore potentially have a dramatic effect on the regulatory capacity of each miRNA (Breving and Esquela-Kerscher 2010; Sun et al. 2010). Furthermore, while their transcriptional expression may be quite similar, miR-132 and miR-212 may undergo substantially different posttranscriptional regulation. Indeed, differences in expression or degradation with regard to time of day, brain region, and cell type may further differentiate the regulatory functions of these miRNAs. In addition, other factors such as miRNA subcellular localization, turnover rates, and mRNA 3′UTR binding site abundance may also result in divergent functions for these miRNAs (Kumarswamy et al. 2014). Here, our RNA-seq data suggest somewhat distinct roles for miR-132 and miR-212. While there is known overlap in some of their targets and functions, in our hands few of the mRNA alterations in their respective overexpression transcripts coincided. In addition, our results revealed substantially more downregulated mRNA transcripts in tTA::miR-132 animals than in tTA::miR-212 animals. While this may be a function of the higher transgenic expression of miR-132 than miR-212 in the respective animal models employed here, there is evidence to suggest that miR-132 is the predominant miRNA of the miR-132/-212 locus expressed in the WT hippocampus (Magill et al. 2010). In demonstrating their relative expression, Magill et al. used ratiometric sensors that incorporated complementary miRNA recognition elements (MREs) into the 3′UTR of a GRP reporter, which was transcribed using a bidirectional promoter to additionally express a stable DsRED reporter lacking any MREs. Thus, by transfecting cultured hippocampal neurons with these sensors and measuring relative fluorescence by flow cytometry, they were able to assess the comparative abundance of each miRNA in the miR-132/-212 cluster, revealing the predominance of miR-132 expression within the hippocampus. Nevertheless, the relative expression of the miR-132/-212 miRNAs may vary significantly depending on the brain region or cell type that is profiled. Indeed, within the context of striatum-dependent regulation of addiction, miR-212 may be the more dominant miRNA (Hollander et al. 2010; Im et al. 2010). Hence, while these miRNAs may have emerged out of an evolutionary duplication early in the vertebrate lineage, they have since diverged into two functionally distinct miRNAs.

Thus, miR-132 and miR-212 may have both distinct as well as overlapping roles within the CNS. The means of precise coordination between these miRNAs in the regulation of hippocampal-dependent learning merits additional study. Indeed, given their dysregulation within several neurocognitive disorders, miR-132/-212 may eventually serve as reliable biomarkers for cognitive dysfunction, or ultimately even as potential therapeutic targets for such disorders (Klein et al. 2007; Cogswell et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2011; Sheinerman et al. 2013; Burgos et al. 2014).

Materials and Methods

Conditional deletion and transgenic expression of miR-132 and miR-212

We employed a Cre-lox system to selectively excise the miR-132/-212 locus from excitatory forebrain neurons (animals are denoted: CamKII-Cre::miR-132−/−, miR-132−/−/−212−/−/−/− animals were provided by Dr. Simon Arthur at the University of Dundee, Dundee, United Kingdom, and have been described previously (Remenyi et al. 2013). These animals, which were generated in ES cells derived from C57Bl/6N mice, were crossed to a CaMKII-driven Cre recombinase line (Tsien et al. 1996; acquired from Jackson Labs; stock #: 005359) to target deletion of the miR-132/-212 locus. Animals without the CaMKII-Cre driver served as controls. The efficacy of the CaMKII-Cre deleter line was validated by crossing it to the ROSA 26 β-galactosidase CRE reporter line (Soriano 1999; acquired from Jackson labs; stock #: 00474-E). In addition, we used a CaMKII Tet-off (tTA) mouse line (Mayford et al. 1996; acquired from Jackson Labs; stock #: 003010) to overexpress miR-212 (denoted as the tTA::miR-212 animal line) in excitatory forebrain neurons in conjunction with a DsRED reporter. To generate this miR-212 transgenic line, 199 bp of mouse genomic DNA flanking pre miR-212 was PCR-amplified from a C57Bl/B6 mouse tail DNA (Primer F: GACGGGCTTGGACATGACGACG; Primer R: AACTGCAGGCGACGGGATATCCCCG; The fragment was cloned into the pTRE-Tight-biDsRed-Express Vector and the mature miR-212-3p and miR-212-5p expression was confirmed by co-transfection with tTA expression vector in HEK 293 cells followed by RT-PCR. The production of the transgenic mice was conducted by pronuclear injection of ~1.1 kb long Xhai-HinII-digested DsRed-biTRE-miR-212 DNA into fertilized mouse eggs (FVB strain). Two founder lines were crossed with CamKII-driven-tTA expressing mice (C57/B16 strain), and the bi-transgenic line was bred into the C57/B16 background strain at least five additional generations. Transgenic miR-212 expression was profiled using standardized Code VILOmiRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen), SYBR green reporter-based qPCR (Applied Biosystems) and miScript Primers (Qiagen), following manufacturer guidelines. We also employed the CamKII tTA::miR-132 transgenic animal line, which was developed as previously described (Hansen et al. 2010). Of note, the miR-132 transgenic mouse line was generated in an FVB background and crossed into a C57Bl/6J background 5 times. All animal breeding and experimental procedures were approved by the Ohio State University Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol number: 2008A0227).

Behavioral analysis

Mature (6–8 wk), sex-matched mice with the noted transgenes were used. Control mice were transgenic for the driver (i.e., CaMKII-Cre or CaMKII-1TA), but lacked the responder transgene target. All of these transgenes were maintained in C57Bl/B6 line. All animals were screened for vision by suspending the animal by the tail and slowly lowering it toward a sold dark surface (a table) for three successive trials. Visual acuity was considered intact if animals reached for the surface before vibrissae made physical contact with it. Auditory acuity was established by freezing behavior in response to three successive, loud claps emitted out of visible range of the animal. Hearing capacity was indicated by a positive freeze response from each animal. All mice demonstrated full visual and auditory capability by these criteria.

The novel object recognition assay was adapted from procedures described by Bevins and Besheer (2006). In brief, animals were allowed to explore an arena containing two identical objects.
for 10 min, followed by a 30-min retention interval once returned to their home cage. Animals were then returned to the exploration arena in which one object was replaced with a novel, unexplored object. Animals were allowed 5 min to explore, during which the time spent exploring each object was recorded. Exploration of an object was defined as the animal's nose being within 2 cm of, and pointed toward, the object. Results were reported as a discrimination ration, which was calculated as [exploitation time with the novel object]/[total exploration time].

The Barnes maze assay was adapted from Sunyer et al. (2007). Animals were placed at the center of an elevated circular elevated maze with 20 equally spaced holes around the perimeter. An escape box was provided under one of these holes and animals were given 5 d (three trials per day) to learn to escape to this target. Each trial consisted of 5-min of free exploration followed by a 10-min inter-trial interval. Bright light (580 lux) and an electronic metronome (Boss DB-66, 74 dB, 120 beats per minute [bpm]) were used as mildly aversive stimuli to motivate escape to the target hole. Visual cues were placed on the walls surrounding the Barnes maze platform. All surfaces were cleaned with 70% ethanol following each trial.

Contextual and tone fear conditioning were performed according to procedures described by Wehner and Radcliffe (2004). Animals were allowed to explore a novel arena for 3 min, followed by a tone (85 dB, 2400 Hz) emitted for 30 sec. Following a 28-sec delay, animals received a mild (0.6 mA) shock stimulus for 2 sec. Animals underwent two subsequent shock and delay periods before being returned to their home cage. The following day, animals were returned to the shock context, but no shock was administered. Animals were given 4 min to explore the context while being observed over 8-sec intervals for freezing behavior. Subsequently, animals were also placed in a novel context. After 2 min of exploration time, animals were presented with the tone stimulus for 3 min while being observed for freezing behavior over 8-sec intervals.

Behavioral statistics

Unless otherwise noted, values are presented as means ± SEM and were performed by SPSS 19.0. Comparisons between two groups were made by Student’s t-test, whereas a repeated-measures mixed model ANOVA was used for multiday Barnes maze training comparison. N values are provided in the figure legends.

Fluorescent in situ hybridization

All tissue isolation and preparation was carried out under RNase-free conditions, using of DEPC-treated solutions. Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. Brain tissue was removed, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 6 h at 4°C, and cryoprotected with 30% sucrose in phosphate-buffered saline. Sections were then thin cut to 40 μm on a freezing microtome. Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) against miR-132 was performed following the guidelines of Nuovo (2010). Mounted tissue was treated with a brief (20 min) pepsin digestion, and hybridized with a fluorescein-conjugated locked nucleic acid (LNA) probe against miR-132 (Acquired from Exiqon Inc.; Obernosterer et al. 2007) overnight. The signal was amplified using an anti-fluorescein Alexa 488 signal detection kit (Invitrogen). Images were acquired using Zeiss 510 confocal microscope and LSM Software, Zen.

DAB immunolabeling

Brain tissue was fixed by transcardial perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde, under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia.Brains were then isolated and post-fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 6 h at 4°C. Tissue was then cryoprotected and sliced as described above. Thin sections were subsequently washed and permeabilized in 1% Triton X-100 in PBS (3x, 10 min each). Sections incubated in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide/PBS for 20 min to eliminate endogenous peroxidase activity and subsequently blocked in 10% normal goat serum or normal horse serum in PBS for 1 h. Sections were then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C using mouse polyclonal anti-MASH1 (1:50 dilution; catalog 556604; BD Pharmingen) or rabbit polyclonal anti-Syntaxin 1a (1:500; dilution; catalog 41753; Abcam). The following day, sections were washed and incubated with biotin-conjugated horse anti-rabbit IgG or goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (1:500 dilutions; Vector Laboratories) for 2 h. The ABC labeling (Vector Labs) followed by DAB development (Vector Labs) was used to visualize the signal. Sections were mounted on gelatin-coated slides with Permount Mounting Medium (Fisher Chemical). Images were captured using a 16 bit digital camera (Micromax YHS 1300; Princeton Instruments) mounted on an inverted Leica microscope (DM IRB). Single cell counting at 20× magnification was used to quantify MASH1-positive cells. Initially, the SGZ zone was defined as a 30-μm-thick wide dorso-ventral region between the hilus and granule cell layers. Immunopositive cells were then counted bilaterally in four coronal sections starting at Bregma −1.70 mm. Data were then averaged and presented as the average cells per hemisphere. For Syntaxin 1A labeling, densitometric analysis of the stratum oriens, stratum radiatum, and upper molecular layer of the dentate gyrus was performed in four coronal sections per animal starting at Bregma −1.70 mm. For this analysis, Metamorph software (Universal Imaging, West Chester, PA) was used to place digital rectangles (40 μm dorso/ventral) over the 80-μm-wide sections (10× magnification) and the average intensity values (4096 scale) were captured and background subtracted using nonlabeled images of the lateral ventricles. Data were captured by centering the rectangles on the dorsal apex of each region. The corrected values were averaged for each animal and data were presented as the mean ± the SEM for each region and significant differences were assessed via the Student’s t-test. All data collection and analysis were performed by an individual “blinded” to experimental groups.

Immunofluorescent staining

Tissue was isolated, fixed, sectioned, and permeabilized as described above. Tissue was blocked in 10% normal goat serum in PBS for 1 h, followed by an overnight incubation at 4°C with chicken polyclonal anti-GFP (1:2500 dilution; catalog 13970; Abcam). Following washes, sections were incubated with Alexa Fluor-488-conjugated goat anti-chicken IgG antibody (1:2000 dilution) (Invitrogen) for 4 h. No antibody amplification was necessary for the tTA::miR-212 dsRED signal. Sections were also incubated for 10 min with the nuclear stain DRAQ5 (1:10,000 dilution; BioStatus Limited, UK and Hoechst 33258 (1:1000 dilution: Sigma), followed by an additional three 10-min washes. Sections were mounted on slides with Fluoromount-G (SouthernBiotech) and images captured using a 16-bit digital camera (Micromax YHS 1300; Princeton Instruments) mounted on an inverted Leica microscope (DM IRB).

Illumina sequencing and analysis

For a detailed outline of the RNA isolation, library preparation and sequencing please refer to our recent paper (Hansen et al. 2014). Briefly, hippocampal mRNA was isolated from CaMKII-Cre::mcr-132/-212f, tTA::miR-132, and tTA::miR-212 animals, as well as their respective nontransgenic controls. Next, polyadenylated RNA was purified from 1 μg of total RNA per animal via the Dynabeads mRNA purification kit (Life Technologies #61006). cDNA from six animals was pooled into two or three independent biological replicates for each condition. Libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Library Preparation Kit v2 per the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using an Illumina Genome Analyzer IIX; Samples were sequenced at a concentration of 10 pM per condition. Of note, library preparation, and the RNA sequencing for each of the three mouse lines (and their nontransgenic controls) were performed on separate occasions over a 6 mo period. Base-calling was conducted with the standard Illumina Analysis Pipeline 1.0 (Firescrest-Bustard)
sequences were aligned to the UCSC mm9 reference genome using Bowtie v0.12.7. Custom R scripts were used to quantify the number of uniquely mapped reads within exons of UCSC mm9 RefSeq genes; Sequence data have been submitted to the NCBI Short Read Archive with accession number GSE73413.

The Bioconductor DESeq2 package (v1.4.0, http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/DESeq2.html: Anders and Huber, 2010) was applied to generate normalized read counts and ratio test for differential expression. The Storey Q-test (Bioconductor qvalue package) was used to correct for multiple comparisons as well as to generate a standard false-discovery rate correction. Relative abundance was measured in fragments per kilobase of exon per million fragments mapped using Cufflinks v1.2. It differentially regulated RefSeq genes with a \( q < 0.05 \) and fold change absolute value > 1.2 were considered significant.

The web-based annotation tool DAVID v 6.7 (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) was used to cluster differentially expressed genes by their enriched ontologies (Huang et al. 2009).
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