Revisiting the Role of β-Tubulin in Drosophila Development: β-tubulin60D is not an Essential Gene, and its Novel Pin Allele has a Tissue-Specific Dominant-Negative Impact
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Diversity in cytoskeleton organization and function may be achieved through alternative tubulin isotypes and by a variety of post-translational modifications. The Drosophila genome contains five different β-tubulin paralogs, which may play an isotype tissue-specific function in vivo. One of these genes, the β-tubulin60D gene, which is expressed in a tissue-specific manner, was found to be essential for fly viability and fertility. To further understand the role of the β-tubulin60D gene, we generated new β-tubulin60D null alleles (β-tubulin60DM) using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and found that the homozygous flies were viable and fertile. Moreover, using a combination of genetic complementation tests, rescue experiments, and cell biology analyses, we identified Pin1, an unknown dominant mutant with bristle developmental defects, as a dominant-negative allele of β-tubulin60D. We also found a missense mutation in the Pin1 mutant that results in an amino acid replacement from the highly conserved glutamate at position 75 to lysine (E75K). Analyzing the β-tubulin structure suggests that this E75K alteration destabilizes the alpha-helix structure and may also alter the GTP-Mg2+ complex binding capabilities. Our results revisited the credence that β-tubulin60D is required for fly viability and revealed for the first time in Drosophila, a novel dominant-negative function of missense β-tubulin60D mutation in bristle morphogenesis.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Microtubules are polymers of α/β tubulin subunits, and they carry out a wide range of functions in eukaryotes (Desai and Mitchison 1997; Mogulescu and Jonasson 2018). The expression of different tubulin isotypes can vary according to cell type and stage of development (Ludueña 2013). The Drosophila β-tubulin gene family includes five members, each expressed in a unique pattern based on developmental timing and tissue-type specificity (Fyrberg and Goldstein 1990).
most divergent β-tubulin paralogs (β-tubulin 85D and β-tubulin 65B) are expressed exclusively in testis. β-tubulin 85D, but not β- tubulin 65B, has been characterized in considerable detail; it is required in the germline for male meiotic divisions and sperm axoneme formation (Kemphues et al., 1982; Fuller et al., 1988). β-tubulin56D is maternally supplied to the embryo and zygotically expressed during neurogenesis and in muscle attachment sites shortly after the insertion of muscles into the epidermis (Kemphues et al., 1982; Bialojan et al., 1984; Buttgereit et al., 1991; Buttgereit et al., 1996). It was shown that β-tubulin 56D is required for myoblast fusion, myotube elongation, and sarcomere formation during Drosophila embryogenesis (Rudolf et al., 2012). Recently, it was shown that the function of β-tubulin 97EF is dispensable for viability and fertility, but it has a tissue-specific requirement for regulation of MT stability in a temperature-dependent manner (Myachina et al., 2017). The expression of β-tubulin60D is also tissue-specific during embryogenesis, β-tubulin60D expression starts in differentiating mesodermal cell types and occurs in chordotonal organs, imaginal discs, and somatic cells of the adult gonads Halachmi et al. is (Dettman et al., 2001). An extensive study on the role of β-tubulin60D led to the identification of multiple alleles of β-tubulin60D, which showed lethality at different stages of development, from embryogenesis to larval stages (Kimble et al., 1990; Dettman et al., 1996; Dettman et al., 2001).

Microtubules serve both as a scaffold for intracellular transport and contribute to cell polarity (Meiring et al., 2020). The elongated Drosophila bristle is a single polyploid, highly polarized cell with a distinct direction of growth and a cone-like shape (Lees and Waddington 1942; Tinley et al., 2004). The polarized Drosophila mechanosensory bristle cytoplasm is filled with short MTs that constitute a significant component of the shaft cytoplasm. These MTs appear to be stable during development and shorter in length than the mature bristle shaft (Tinley et al., 2000). MT organization in bristles revealed two populations of MTs: one population is stable and uni-polarized, organized with their minus-end toward the bristle tip (Bian et al., 2010), and believed to serve as polarized tracks for proper organelle and protein distribution (Tilney et al., 2000). The second MT population is dynamic with mixed polarity and contributes to proper axial growth (Fei et al., 2002; Bian et al., 2010), probably establishing bristle polarity (Bian et al., 2012).

This study reveals that β-tubulin60D is not an essential gene, as was described before, and elucidates a tissue-specific role of one of the β-tubulin paralogs, β-tubulin60D, in bristle MT assembly. We identified Pin as a dominant-negative allele of β-tubulin60D, which explicitly affects bristle development. Using sequencing and structural analysis, we demonstrated that the Pin mutation is caused by a single amino acid substitution, which affects the GTP-Mg complex binding and interferes with the alpha helix’s stability.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Drosophila Stocks
Oregon-R was used as the wild-type control. The following mutant and transgenic flies were used: Suppressor of Hairless, Su(H) (Nagel et al., 2017), Df(2R)Exel6082 (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center #7561), nery P[NSGDR] and nery P[DFGR3] (Terman and Kolodkin 2004), and Pin (Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center). For the rescue experiment, M(UAS-βTub60D,ZRF/JH-86Fb was used (Myachina et al., 2017). Bristle-specific expression was induced under the control of the nes-Gal4 driver (for the rescue experiment) or sca-Gal4 driver. All of the Gal4 lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center.

2.2 Developmental Staging and Pupal Dissection
Stages of all flies were determined from puparium formation (Bainbridge and Bownes, 1981). White prepupae were collected and placed on double-sided scotch tape in a petri dish placed in a 25°C incubator, as previously described (Tilney et al., 2000). At the appropriate time of incubation (36–44 h APF, unless indicated otherwise), the pupae were dissected for live imaging, fixation, and proteomic screening. The pupal case was removed as described in (Fukutomi et al., 2018). After removing the pupal case, the pupae were dissected as described elsewhere in detail (Tilney et al., 2000).

2.3 Bristle Phalloidin and Antibody Staining
Bristle fixation and staining were performed as previously described (Guild et al., 2003; Melkov et al., 2015). Confocal images were taken using an Olympus FV1000 laser scanning confocal microscope and are shown here as z-projections in a few optical frames that covered the bristle cell. Primary antibodies used were anti-a-actetylated tubulin mouse monoclonal antibodies (1:250; Sigma, T7451) and anti-β-tubulin mouse monoclonal antibodies (1:250; Sigma T5201). Bristles from CRISPR KO flies were stained with anti-βTub (called in this paper- β-tubulin60D) polyclonal rabbit (1:1,000) (Leiss et al., 1988). Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse (1:100; Jackson ImmunoResearch) secondary antibody was used. For actin staining, Oregon Green 488- or Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated phalloidin (1:250; Molecular Probes) was used.

2.4 Scanning Electron Microscopy
Adult Drosophila flies were fixed and dehydrated by immersing them in increasing concentrations of ethanol (25, 50, 75%, and twice in 100%; 10 min each). The flies were then completely dehydrated using increasing concentrations of hexamethyldisilazene (HMDS) in ethanol (50%, 75%, and twice in 100%; 2 h each). The samples were air-dried overnight, placed on stubs, and coated with gold. The specimens were examined with a scanning electron microscope (SEM; JEOL model JSM-5610LV). Length measurements of adult bristles were performed using Image J (version 1.52t) software with the straight-line tool. This tool allows the creation of line selections and then the calculation of the length of these lines. To test for differences in bristle length and width between the wild-type and the different mutants, we used a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a Tukey analysis.
2.5 Sample Preparation for Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The pupal case was removed as described in (Fukutomi et al., 2018). Then the pupae were dissected as described elsewhere in detail (Tilney et al., 2000). The dissection procedure resulted in the isolation of thorax dorsal side tissue, which was then cleaned of interior organs and fat particles as described in (Tilney et al., 2000). All procedures were conducted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The head and abdomen parts of the tissue were cut, leaving only the thorax intact, which was then put in a vial of PBS with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Each group consisted of triplicates of 20 thoracic tissues.

2.6 Proteolysis and Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The samples were ground in 10 mM DTT 100 mM Tris and 5% SDS, sonicated, and boiled at 95°C for 5 min. They were then precipitated in 80% acetone. The protein pellets were dissolved in 9 M Urea and 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and reduced with 3 mM DTT (60°C for 30 min), modified with 10 mM iodoacetamide in 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (room temperature for 30 min in the dark), and digested in 2 M Urea25 mM ammonium bicarbonate with modified trypsin (Promega), overnight at 37°C in a 1:50 (M/M) enzyme-to-substrate ratio. The resulting tryptic peptides were desalted using C18 tips (Harvard), dried, and re-suspended in 0.1% formic acid. They were analyzed by LC-MS/MS using a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo) fitted with a capillary HPLC (easy nLC 1000, Thermo). The peptides were loaded onto a homemade capillary column (20 cm, 75 micron ID) packed with Reprosil C18-Aqua (Dr. Maisch GmbH, Germany) in solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water). The peptide mixture was resolved with a (5–28%) linear gradient of solvent B (95% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid) for 180 min, followed by a 15-min gradient of 28–95% and 15 min at 95% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid in water at flow rates of 0.15 μl/min. Mass spectrometry was performed in a positive mode using repetitively full MS scanning followed by high collision-induced dissociation (HCD, at 25 normalized collision energy) of the ten most dominant ions (>1 charges) selected from the first MS scan. The mass spectrometry data were analyzed using the MaxQuant software 1.5.2.8. (www.maxquant.org), using the Andromeda search engine, searching against the Drosophila UniProt database with a mass tolerance of 6 ppm for the precursor masses and 6 ppm for the fragment ions. Peptide- and protein-level false discovery rates (FDRs) were filtered to 1% using the target-decoy strategy. Protein tables were filtered to eliminate the identifications from the reverse database and common contaminants and single peptide identifications. The data were quantified by label-free analysis using the same software, based on extracted ion currents (XICs) of peptides, enabling quantitation from each LC/MS run for each peptide identified in the experiments. Statistical analysis of the identification and quantization results was done using Perseus 1.6.7.0 software.

2.7 Generation of β-tubulin60D Knockout Flies by CRISPR Cas-9-Mediated Genome Editing

To generate knockout flies, two guide RNA sequences were identified (sgRNA1 - GCGCGGCCGTCGCTCTAGGGGGG & sgRNA2 - GGAGCCGGAAACCATGGAGCTCGG) at http:// targetfinder. flycrispr. neuro. brown. edu/ and cloned into plasmid pU6-BbsI-chiRNA. Then 1 Kb sequence stretches upstream and downstream of β-tubulin60D were cloned into the donor pH-DsRed-attP vector. Finally, injection of both vectors and fly screening was carried out by BestGene. To molecularly verify that our Knock in construct replaced the exon, WT and CRISPR mutant Drosophila genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted using PureLink™ Genomic DNA Mini Kit following manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). PCR amplifications were performed using the following forward primer: 5’-GTGCTGAAGGGCGAGATCC-3’ and reverse primer 5’-CCACCAAGCTCGGCGCCCTC-3’. The PCR amplification was as follows: 95°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 s, 65°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 30 s, with a final extension step of 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis and sequenced.

2.8 Fertility Assay

Three virgin β-tubulin60D M females were mated with two wild-type (WT) males, and two β-tubulin60D M males were mated with three virgin WT females in a vial containing yeast for 2 days. Matings were performed in triplicate for each genotype. The flies were transferred to new vials containing fresh yeast and were let to lay eggs for 1 day. The flies were then discarded, and the adult progeny were collected and counted after 10 days at 25°C. The progeny per female and the average number and standard deviation of progeny per genotype were calculated from each vial. Finally, a percentage of relative fertility was calculated (Spracklen et al., 2014).

2.9 Chordotonal Organ Staining/Cuticle Preparation

Seven larvae from each genotype were dissected and immune-stained as previously described (Halachmi et al., 2012). Mouse anti-α-tubulin-85E (1:20) (Nachman et al., 2015) was used for visualizing the LCh5 accessory cells and rabbit anti-βTub60D (1:1,000) (Leiss et al., 1988) for verifying the loss of βTub60D in the mutant larvae. Secondary antibodies were Cy3-conjugated anti-rabbit and Cy5-conjugated anti-mouse (1:100, Jackson Laboratories, Bar-Harbor, Maine, United States). Stained larvae were mounted in DAKO mounting medium (DAKO Cytomation, Denmark) and viewed using confocal microscopy (Axioskop and LSM 510, Zeiss). To guarantee similar age of all tested larvae, flies of all genotypes were let to lay eggs for 3 h, and the progeny were aged for 118–121 h at 24°C. Each chordotonal organ, we measured the length of the cap plus cap-attachment cells, the ligament plus ligament-attachment cells, and the space between the cap and ligament cells, which...
represents the scolopale cells. The length of each cell was normalized to the total length of the organ.

2.10 Data Analyses
Quantitative data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). All the statistical analyses were performed using a one-way ANOVA, and p-values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant for all analyses. Statistical significance was checked with a pairwise post-hoc Tukey HSD. All the statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA, version 10.

3 RESULTS
3.1 Proteomic Analysis Led to Identification of β-tubulin60D as Bristle-Specific Gene
To identify genes that may be involved in bristle development, we compared the repertoire of proteins of Su(H); sca-Gal4 flies, which lack all their bristles, versus wild-type flies (Figure 1A). This comparative proteomic analysis/approach allowed us to identify bristle-specific proteins. We generated flies lacking bristles on their thorax by upregulating Su(H) expression specifically in the bristle lineage. Su(H) overexpression resulted in a complete loss of bristle cells (both microchaeta and macrochaeta) and the formation of extra socket cells (Schweisguth and Posakony 1994) (Figure 1B). Proteins were extracted from thoraces of wild-type flies and Su (H) overexpressing, digested by trypsin, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS on Q Exactive Plus (Thermo). Samples were prepared/analyzed in triplicates for statistical significance. The complete list of differentially expressed proteins is presented in Supplementary File 1. Specifically, our proteomic analysis identified 27 proteins that were significantly downregulated in the flies expressing Su(H) as compared to wild type (Figures 1C,D). Among these differentially expressed proteins, β-tubulin60D showed the most significant (p-value < 3.18E-05) fold change. We, therefore, decided to study the role of β-tubulin60D in bristle development.
3.2 Loss of β-tubulin60D Does Not Lead to Lethality or Sterility and Does not Impair Bristle Development and ChO Morphogenesis

To analyze the distribution/expression pattern of β-tubulin60D protein within the bristle lineage, we immunostained pupal thoraces using β-tubulin60D-specific antibodies. This staining revealed that β-tubulin60D protein is distributed along the entire bristle shaft but is excluded from the other lineage-related cells, namely, the socket, neuron, and sheath cells (Figures 2A–C).

To test whether β-tubulin60D is involved in bristle development, we generated a β-tubulin60D null allele using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing. For our CRISPR experiment, we designed two sgRNAs to replace the second exon by inserting the visible marker 3 X-P3-dsRed (Supplementary Figure S1A). Five independent mutated knock-in insertion Drosophila lines were generated and named: β-tubulin60D<sup>M1</sup> to β-tubulin60D<sup>M5</sup>. First, we confirmed that all the five mutated lines contained the 3 X-P3-dsRed, which replaced the entire second exon (Supplementary Figure S1B). To verify that indeed β-tubulin60D<sup>M</sup> is a protein null allele, we stained homozygous larvae and pupae and examined β-tubulin60D expression in the chordotonal organ and bristle. As described above, usually, β-tubulin60D protein is expressed both in the bristle shaft (Figures 3A–C) and in the cap cell of the ChO (Figures 3G–I). However, in the β-tubulin60D<sup>M</sup> mutant, no expression was detected in the bristle (Figures 3D–F) or in the ChO cap cells (Figures 3J–L), confirming that we had generated a complete loss of function allele of the β-tubulin60D gene. Previously, it was published that the β-tubulin60D gene is essential for viability and fertility (Kimble et al., 1990). In contrast to this published data, we found that all our β-tubulin60D<sup>M</sup> alleles were viable, and both males and females were fully fertile (Supplementary Table S1).

The specific expression of β-tubulin60D in the bristle shaft cells and its dramatic down-regulation protein in bristle-less flies could point to a possible role of this gene in bristle development. To address this issue, we examined bristle morphology in β-tubulin60D<sup>M1</sup> flies by scanning electron microscopy. Surprisingly, no apparent defects were detected in the bristles of the β-tubulin60D<sup>M</sup> mutant/homozygous flies (Figures 3M,N).

In the ChO, β-tubulin60D is expressed solely in the cap cell—the only cell type within the ChO lineage that elongates dramatically during larval growth. Moreover, based on the similarity between the lineages of ChOs and the external sensory organs/bristles, the cap cell parallels the shaft cell (Lai and Orgogozo 2004). The cap cell transmits muscle-generates forces from the cuticle to the sensory neuron (Hassan et al., 2019). We investigated the possible role of β-tubulin60D in cap cell elongation by measuring the length of the different ChO cells in wild-type versus mutant third instar larvae. We found that, on average, the length of cap plus cap-attachment cells in wild-type larvae was 280 ± 38.9 µm (n = 42), constituting 68.5% of the organ’s total length. In β-tubulin60D<sup>M1</sup> homozygous larvae, no significant change in the length of the cap plus cap-attachment cells was noticed; 265.5 ± 39.9 µm (n = 59), constituting 68.9% of the organ’s total length (Figures 3O–P). These results suggest that β-tubulin60D does not play a significant role in cap cell elongation and ChO morphogenesis.

3.3 Pin<sup>+</sup> Is a Dominant-Negative Allele of β-tubulin60D, Which Affects Bristle but not ChO Development

In parallel to the generation of the β-tubulin60D null allele, we searched known but uncharacterized mutations that cause abnormal bristle phenotypes for mutations that map to the genomic region in the vicinity of the β-tubulin60D gene (thus representing candidate alleles of β-tubulin60D). One such bristle defective mutant is called Pin. In the heterozygous Pin<sup>+</sup> allele, macrochaeta, but not microchaeta, are shortened and sharply tapered at the tip (Figures 4B,B’) compared to wild-type bristles (Figures 4A,A’). The length of macrochaeta from heterozygous...
Pin1 mutant (Figure 4B) measured 224.87 ± 16.9 μm, which was significantly shorter (p < 0.01) as opposed to the wild-type, which had a bristle length of 395.79 ± 1.9 μm (Table 1). Homozygous Pin1 mutants (Figures 4C,C’) die as pharate adults, and the average length of their bristles is significantly shorter (40.73 ± 4.2 μm) than the heterozygous Pin1 mutant.

To map the Pin1 allele, we first used deficiency mapping and found that Df(2R)Exel6082, which lacks the genomic region 60C4 to 60C7, fails to complement the Pin1 allele, as demonstrated by the effect on bristle development where the length of the hemizygous allele was 57.57 ± 5.6 μm (Figures 4D,D’), similar in their length to the Pin1 homozygous flies. Also, we found that the hemizygous flies, Pin1/Df, are viable, which means that the lethality of Pin1 homozygotes is probably due to other mutations in the background of the stock. Next, in order to find a smaller genomic region that will fail to complement the bristle phenotype of Pin1, we used two nervy alleles, nervyPDFKG1 and nervyPDFKG38, that remove the genomic region between the following...
FIGURE 4 | Gene mapping of Pin1 bristle phenotype. Scanning electron micrograph of adult bristles from wild-type flies (A), Pin1/CyO (B), Pin1/Pin1 (C), Pin1/Df (D), Pin1/β-tubulin60D (E), and Pin1/β-tubulin60D; neur>β-tubulin60D. The Pin1 bristle (B) is shorter compared to wild-type bristles (A). Also, Pin1/Df bristle (D) and Pin1/β-tubulin60D (E) bristles are comparatively smaller than Pin1 and wild-type bristles. Pin1 and Pin1/Df have abnormally organized surface grooves. Pin1/β-tubulin60D bristles show smoother surfaces. β-tubulin60D rescues the bristle phenotype of Pin1. (F) shows the rescued bristle phenotype by β-tubulin60D, resulting in longer bristles with properly tapered tips (F) just like the wild-type bristles. Thus, the expression of β-tubulin60D using neur-Gal4 rescues the Pin1 bristle phenotype. Arrowheads point to the bristle, which is shown as a higher magnification image. The length of the different ChO cells of LCh5 organs of Pin1/β-tubulin60D transheterozygous third instar larvae was measured and compared to the wild-type larvae. (G) The graph shows the average length (in µm) of the cap (C) + cap-attachment cells (CA), ligament (L) + ligament-attachment cells (LA), and space (S) between the cap cells and ligament cells (this space corresponds to the scolopale cell). (H) The length of each cell was normalized to the total length of the organ. No significant difference is seen in both homozygous and heterozygous β-tubulin60D compared to the wild-type larvae.

TABLE 1 | Table showing the length, base width, and tip width of wild-type along with the homozygous, heterozygous, hemizygous, and transheterozygous Pin1 mutants.

| S.No | Genotype          | Length (µm) | Base width (µm) | Tip width (µm) |
|------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1    | WT                 | 395.79 ± 1.9a | 9.90 ± 0.9a     | 3.87 ± 0.2a    |
| 2    | Pin1/Pin1          | 40.73 ± 4.2b | 6.99 ± 0.4b     | 1.90 ± 0.2b    |
| 3    | Pin1/CyO           | 224.87 ± 16.9c | 11.20 ± 0.5c   | 4.29 ± 0.2c    |
| 4    | Pin1/Df            | 57.57 ± 5.6d | 9.75 ± 0.5d     | 2.77 ± 0.1e    |
| 5    | Pin1; β-tubulin60D | 76.57 ± 6.3e | 8.53 ± 0.40a    | 2.11 ± 0.21c   |
| 6    | Pin1; β-tubulin60D; neur>β-tubulin60D | 328.17 ± 8.6f | 8.75 ± 0.11a | 3.19 ± 0.2a |

Tukey’s test for post-hoc analysis shows that bristle length is statistically significant to each other (p < 0.01) compared to WT. When the transheterozygous mutant is rescued with a wild-type β-tubulin60D, the bristle length equals almost that of the WT bristles. Tukey’s test for post-hoc analysis shows that bristle length is the same statistically, thereby showing that β-tubulin60D rescues the bristle phenotype in the mutants. Ten SEM micrographs of the thoracic tissue were taken for analysis, and a minimum of five bristles was taken for measurement. a-d Different letters in the same column show that they are statistically significant compared to the wild-type, whereas the same letter in the column shows no significance.
P-elements: KG(2)06386 and KG(2)04837 (Terman and Kolodkin 2004). Since these deficiencies fail to complement the Pin\(^{-}\) allele bristle defects, it suggests that Pin could be an allele of one of 11 genes, among them \(\beta\)-tubulin60D. To test whether Pin\(^{-}\) is a dominant allele of \(\beta\)-tubulin60D, we crossed Pin\(^{-}\) with our \(\beta\)-tubulin60D\(^{MT1}\) allele and found that trans-heterozygous flies had shorter bristles (76.57 ± 6.3 \(\mu\)m) similar in their length to both hemi- and homozygous Pin\(^{-}\) mutants (Figures 4E,F\(^{-}\)). These results suggest that Pin\(^{-}\) could be a dominant allele of the \(\beta\)-tubulin60D gene. To further characterize the nature of the Pin\(^{-}\) allele and to verify whether it is a dominant-negative or a neomorphic allele of the \(\beta\)-tubulin60D gene, a rescue experiment was conducted. We generated transgenic flies that over-express the \(\beta\)-tubulin60D protein in the bristle using the neur-Gal4 driver in a trans-heterozygous mutant background (Pin\(^{-}\)/\(\beta\)-tubulin60D\(^{MT1}\)). The rescue experiment demonstrated that over-expression of \(\beta\)-tubulin60D completely rescued the short-bristle phenotype detected in Pin\(^{-}\)/\(\beta\)-tubulin60D\(^{MT1}\) flies (Table 1) (Figures 4F,F\(^{-}\)), suggesting that indeed Pin\(^{-}\) is a dominant-negative allele of \(\beta\)-tubulin60D.

Since the phenotypical analysis of the Pin\(^{-}\) allele implicates \(\beta\)-tubulin60D as required for bristle development, we tested whether this allele affects ChO morphogenesis. To test whether the Pin\(^{-}\) mutation also affects ChO development, we characterized the ChOs of third instar larvae of Pin\(^{-}\)/\(\beta\)-tubulin60D\(^{MT1}\) larvae and compared them to WT larvae. This analysis showed that in Pin\(^{-}\)/\(\beta\)-tubulin60D\(^{MT1}\) larvae, the length of the cap plus cap-attachment cells was 260.4 ± 29.4 \(\mu\)m (n = 44), constituting 66.6% of the organ’s total length, which is not significantly different from WT larvae (Figures 4G,H). This observation suggests that the Pin\(^{-}\) allele has a tissue-specific effect impairing only macrochaeta development. To get better insight into the molecular nature of the Pin\(^{-}\) allele, we sequenced the \(\beta\)-tubulin60D coding region from the genomic DNA of Pin\(^{-}\)/Df at base pair 223 of its mRNA resulting in a glutamate-to-lysine replacement at position 75 (E75K). Alignment of the Drosophila \(\beta\)-tubulin60D protein across organisms from humans to yeast revealed that E75 is highly evolutionarily conserved (Figure 5A). Moreover, the alignment of Drosophila \(\beta\)-tubulin60D protein with the other four Drosophila \(\beta\)-tubulin paralogs (\(\beta\)-tubulin56D, \(\beta\)-tubulin65B, \(\beta\)-tubulin85D, and \(\beta\)-tubulin97EF) also revealed higher conservation of this specific glutamate (Figure 5B). The Drosophila \(\beta\)-tubulin60D protein contains the highly conserved N-terminal guanine nucleotide-binding region, intermediate domain (paclitaxel binding site), and C-terminal domains that constitute the binding surface for MAPs and molecular motors such as kinesins and dynein. By analyzing the \(\beta\)-tubulin structure (Drosophila melanogaster, Tubulin beta-1 chain, PDB: 6TIY), the newly identified \(\beta\)-tubulin60D mutation (E75K) lies at the guanine nucleotide-binding region. In this position, E75 acts as an alpha helix N-cap stabilizing residue via
its hydrogen bond to the alpha-helix backbone (Figure 5C). Additionally, E75 creates hydrogen bonds with two water molecules which are part of the Mg$^{2+}$ hydration shell. This magnesium is critical for the GTP-Mg$^{2+}$ complex binding at the tubulin nucleotide-binding site (Figure 5D).

3.4 Microtubule Network is Mis-Organized in Pin$^1$ Mutant

To further examine the effects of Pin$^1$ on bristle shaft development, we characterized the organization of MTs in the bristle using antibodies against β-tubulin60D (Leiss et al., 1988) and against acetylated tubulin (Figures 6G–L), which recognize stable MT network in the bristle (Bitan et al., 2012). Anti-β-tubulin60D staining revealed that the β-tubulin60D protein is present in Pin$^1$ hemizygous pupae (Figures 6D–F). This observation suggests that the E75K alteration found in Pin$^1$ does not significantly affect the stability of the β-tubulin60D protein; still, this staining showed that MTs are extremely disorganized (Compare Figures 6A–C to Figures 6D–F). Whereas in WT pupae, MTs are found throughout the bristle shaft, in hemizygous Pin$^1$ mutants, they are not evenly distributed and often appear as aggregates found at various locations along the bristle shaft. Disorganization of the stable α-tubulin MT network was also evident in the hemizygous Pin$^1$ mutants (Compare Figures 6G–I to Figures 6J–L).

4 DISCUSSION
4.1 β-tubulin60D is not an Essential Gene

This is the first study where a well molecularly defined protein null allele of βTub60D was generated and characterized. This well-characterized βTub60D allele demonstrated unambiguously that βTub60D is not an essential gene. These results disagree with previous studies in which multiple alleles of βTub60 were generated, which showed lethality at different stages of development, from embryogenesis to larval stages (Kimble et al., 1990; Dettman et al., 1996; Dettman et al., 2001). Using an ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) or diepoxybutane (DEB) mutagen screen led to the identification of one larval lethal complementation group of five alleles of βTub60, called β3t1–β3t5, and some, but not all, of these alleles, could be rescued by a β-tubulin60D transgene. Examination of the homozygous and transheterozygous phenotype suggested that β-tubulin60D is required for viability and fertility (Kimble et al., 1990). In the second screen, eight new alleles of β-tubulin60D were identified; six were induced by EMS, one by gamma radiation, and one by
P-element mutagenesis. All alleles were recessive lethal in the larval stages, except for two semi-lethal but sterile alleles (Dettman et al., 1996). Some of the combinations of the transheterozygous alleles also exhibited bristle and flight defects. All of the β-tubulin60D alleles that were generated by EMS were not protein null (Dettman et al., 1996). Sequencing one of the β-tubulin60D allele, β3t2, which belongs to the class I severe alleles, revealed no lesion in the coding region of the gene (Dettman et al., 2001). To this end, the β3t2 allele of β-tubulin60D is the only available allele, but we found that it is no longer recessive lethal, and it complements Pin1 allele bristle defects (data not shown), suggesting that this stock is no longer a βTub60 allele. To conclude, the facts that all other lethal alleles are not well molecularly characterized and also not available for further characterization, together with the fact that our molecularly defined protein null allele of β-tubulin60D revealed that β-tubulin60D is not an essential gene, led us to conclude that β-tubulin60D is not required for Drosophila viability.

4.2 Pin1 Encodes a Novel Dominant-Negative Allele of the β-tubulin60D Gene

To further characterize the βTub60D gene, we found that Pin1, an uncharacterized mutation with a dominant bristle defects, is a novel dominant negative allele of the β-tubulin60D gene. First, our protein-null βTub60D alleles fail to complement the bristle defect found in hemizygous Pin1 mutants. Second, the expression of βTub60D protein specifically in the bristle completely rescues the bristle defect found in hemizygous Pin1 mutants. Third, as expected from the gene part of the MT lattice, the MT network in bristles from transheterozygous and hemizygous Pin1 mutants is severely affected. Fourth, our genetic analysis showed a missense mutation in Pin1/Df at base pair 223 of its mRNA, resulting in an amino acid replacement from glutamate at position 75 to lysine (E75K). Bioinformatic analysis suggests that replacing the glutamate with lysine residue destabilizes the alpha helix since lysine is an alpha helix N-cap destabilizing residue. In addition, lysine’s positive charge will be located near the Mg2+ which might prevent its binding or, in general, alter the GTP-Mg2+ complex binding capabilities.

In humans, mutations in β-tubulin genes are associated with defects in neuronal development (Jaglin et al., 2009; Poirier et al., 2010; Tischfeld et al., 2010; Breuss et al., 2012; Poirier et al., 2013; Simons et al., 2013; Cushion et al., 2014), oocyte meiosis (Feng et al., 2016; Sha et al., 2020), thrombocytopenia (Fiore et al., 2017), and macrothrombocytopenia (Davis et al., 2008; Kunishima et al., 2009). All these human mutations are found as heterozygous missense mutations, suggesting that either haploinsufficiency or a dominant-negative mechanism cause these diseases. Study on the disease-associated mutations in TUBB3 showed that R62Q, A302T, R380C, or R262C mutations impair tubulin heterodimer formation in vitro. The R62Q, R262H, R262C, A302T, and E410K mutations also disrupted microtubule dynamics in yeast. The E410, D417, and R262 mutations affect Kinesin binding to MT (Tischfeld et al., 2010). These results suggest that these missense mutations do not affect TUBB3 protein stability, but affect the cellular function of the MT network, maybe due to the “toxic” effect of the mutant tubulin isotype. However, the debate on the potential mechanisms for the disease-causing heterozygous tubulin mutants is still open. Our study showed that a complete loss of function of βTub60D does not affect fly viability, with no other obvious defects. The fact that the βTub60D null allele had no defects in Drosophila development, although it has tissue-specific expression, suggests that other βTub paralogues may compensate for the loss of the βTub60D gene. On the other hand, we demonstrated that Pin1 is a heterozygous missense allele of the βTub60D gene with a tissue-specific requirement. Thus, the fact that a complete loss of βTub60D had no apparent defects in Drosophila development and that Pin1 is a heterozygous missense allele of the βTub60D supports the idea that a dominant-negative rather than a haploinsufficient mechanism underlies the function of the Pin1 allele.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE [1] partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD030317.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

UA conceived and designed this study. RK, NH, and RB analyzed the data. RK, NH, AB, RZ, and RB performed the experiments. UA and AS supervised the project. UA, RK, NH, and AS designed and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

Israel Science Foundation (ISF) grants 278/16 to U.A. and 674/17 to A.S.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Bloomington Stock Center, Christian Lehner, and Anette Preiss for generously providing fly strains.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2021.787976/full#supplementary-material
REFERENCES

Bainbridge, S. P., and Bowes, M. (1981). Staging the Metamorphosis of Drosophila melanogaster. J. Embryol. Exp. Morph. 66, 57–80. doi:10.1242/dev.66.1.57
Bialojan, S., Falkenburg, D., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (1984). Characterization and Developmental Expression of Beta Tubulin Genes in Drosophila melanogaster. EMBO J. 3 (11), 2543–2548. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1984.tb02170.x
Bitan, A., Guild, G., and Abdou, U. (2010). The Highly Elongated Drosophila Mechano-sensory Bristle - a New Model for Studying Polarized Microtubule Function. Fly 4 (3), 246–248. doi:10.4161/fly.4.3.12174
Bitan, A., Rosenbaum, I., and Abdou, U. (2012). Stable and Dynamic Microtubules Coordinateley Determine and Maintain drosophila Bristle Shape. Development 139 (11), 1987–1996. doi:10.1242/dev.076893
Breuss, M., Heng, J. I.-T., Poier, K., Tian, G., Jaglin, X. H., Qu, Z., et al. (2012). Mutations in the β-Tubulin Gene TUBB5 Cause Microcephaly with Structural Brain Abnormalities. Cell Rep. 2 (6), 1554–1562. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2012.11.017
Buttgereit, D., Paululat, A., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (1996). Muscle Development and Attachment to the Epidermis Is Accompanied by Expression of Beta 3 and Beta 1 Tubulin Isotypes, Respectively. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 40 (1), 189–196.
Buttgereit, D., Leiss, D., Michels, F., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (1991). During Drosophila Embryogenesis the β-Tubulin Gene Is Specifically Expressed in the Nervous System and the Apodemes. Mech. Dev. 33 (2), 107–118. doi:10.1016/0925-4773(91)90077-j
Cushion, T. D., Paciorkowski, A. R., Pilz, D. T., Mullins, J. G. L., Seltzer, L. E., Dettman, R. W., Turner, F. R., and Raff, E. C. (1996). Genetic Analysis of Drosophila melanogaster. EMBO J. 3 (11), 2543–2548. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1994.tb02170.x

Fei, X., He, B., and Adler, P. N. (2002). The Growth of Drosophila Bristles and β-Tubulin Correlates with Macrothrombocytopenia in Cavalier Background. Blood 113 (2), 458–461. doi:10.1182/blood-2008-06-162610
Lai, E. C., and Orgogozo, V. (2004). A Hidden Program in Drosophila Peripheral Neuropathies Revealed: Fundamental Principles Underlying Sensory Organ Diversity. Dev. Biol. 269 (1), 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.01.032
Leeds, A. D., and Waddington, C. (1942). The Development of the Bristles in normal and Mutant Types of Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser B-Biol. Sci. 131 (862), 86–110.
Leiss, D., Gasch, A., Mertz, R., Renkawitz-pohl, R., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (1988). β3 Tubulin Expression Characterizes the Differentiating Mesodermal Germ Layer during Drosophila Embryogenesis. Development 104 (4), 525–531. doi:10.1242/dev.104.4.525
Ludueña, R. F. (2013). A Hypothesis on the Origin and Evolution of Tubulin. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 302, 41–185. doi:10.1016/bib.2015.05.009
Meiring, J. C. M., Shneyer, B. I., and Akhmanova, A. (2020). Generation and Regulation of Microtubule Network Asymmetry to Drive Cell Polarity. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 62, 86–95. doi:10.1016/j.celbi.2019.10.004
Mellkov, A., Simchoni, Y., Alcalay, Y., and Abdou, U. (2015). Dynamic Microtubule Organization and Mitochondrial Transport Are Regulated by Distinct Kinesin-1 Pathways. Biol. Open 4 (12), 1696–1706. doi:10.1242/bio.015206
Myachina, F., Bosshardt, F., Bischof, J., Kirschmann, M., and Lehner, C. F. (2017). Drosophila β-Tubulin 9EF1 Is Upregulated at Low Temperature and Stabilizes Microtubules. Development 144 (24), 4573–4587. doi:10.1242/dev.156109
Nakamura, A., Hakumi, N., Matia, N., Manzur, D., and Salzberg, A. (2015). Deconstructing the Complexity of Regulating Common Properties in Different Cell Types: Lessons from the Delilah Gene. Dev. Biol. 403 (2), 180–191. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.05.009
Nagel, A. C., Auer, J. S., Schulz, A., Pfannstiel, J., Yuan, Z., Collins, C. E., et al. (2017). Phosphorylation of Suppressor of Hairless Impedes its DNA-Binding Activity. Sci. Rep. 7 (1), 1–12. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11952-0
Nogales, E. (2001). Structural Insights into Microtubule Function. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 30, 397–420. doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.30.1.397
Poirier, K., Lebrun, N., Broix, L., Tian, G., Saillour, Y., Boscheron, C., et al. (2013). Mutations in TUBG1, DYNCH1, KIFSC and KIF2A Cause Malformations of Cortical Development and Microcephaly. Nat. Genet. 45 (6), 639–647. doi:10.1038/ng.2613
Poier, K., Saillour, Y., Bahi-Buisson, N., Jaglin, X. H., Fallet-Bianco, C., Nabbout, R., et al. (2010). Mutations in the Neurological β-Tubulin Subunit TUBB3 Result in Malformation of Cortical Development and Neuronal Migration Defects. Hum. Mol. Genet. 19 (22), 4462–4473. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddq377
Rudolf, A., Buttgereit, D., Reker, K.-H., and Renkawitz-Pohl, R. (2012). The C-Terminal Part of β-Tubulin Is Stable until the Early Steps of Myoblast Fusion. Int. J. Dev. Biol. 56, 141–152. doi:10.1085/jcb.107.1.141
Syntectical Visceral and Somatic Muscle Development Independently of β3-Tubulin during Drosophila Embryogenesis, while Maternally Supplied β1-Tubulin Is Stable until the Early Steps of Myoblast Fusion. Eur. J. Cell Biol. 91 (3), 192–203. doi:10.1007/s10045-011-0022-0
Schweisguth, F., and Posakony, J. W. (1994). Antagonistic Activities of Suppressor of Hairless and Hairless Control Alternative Cell Fates in the Drosophila Adult Epidermis. Development 120 (6), 1433–1441. doi:10.1242/dev.120.6.1433

Overlapping Short Filaments. J. Cell Biol 162 (6), 1069–1077. doi:10.1083/jcb.200305143

Halachmi, N., Nachman, A., and Salzberg, A. (2012). Visualization of Proprioceptors in Drosophila Larvae and Pupae. J. Vis. Exp. 13 (64), e3846–5. doi:10.3791/3846

Hassan, A., Sapir, L., Nitson, I., Greenblatt Ben-El, R. T., Halachmi, N., Salzberg, A., et al. (2019). A Change in ECM Composition Affects Sensory Organ Mechanisms and Function. Cell Rep. 27, 2227–2280.e4. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.04.092
Jaglin, X. H., Poier, K., Saillour, Y., Buhler, E., Tian, G., Bahi-Buisson, N., et al. (2019). Mutations in the β-Tubulin Gene TUBB2B Result in Asymmetrical Polymicrogyria. Nat. Genet. 41 (6), 746–752. doi:10.1038/ng.380

Kemphues, K. J., Kaufman, T. C., Raff, R. A., and Raff, E. C. (1982). The Testis-specific Beta-Tubulin Subunit in Drosophila melanogaster Has Multiple Functions in Spermatogenesis. Cell 31 (3 PART 2), 655–670. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(82)90321-x

Kimble, M., Dettman, R. W., and Raff, E. C. (1990). The Beta 3-tubulin Gene of Drosophila melanogaster Is Essential for Viability and Fertility. Genetics 126 (4), 991–1005. doi:10.1093/genetics/126.4.991

Krishnan et al. Beta Tubulin in Drosophila Development

Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology | www.frontiersin.org 11 January 2022 | Volume 9 | Article 787976
Sha, Q., Zheng, W., Feng, X., Yuan, R., Hu, H., Gong, F., et al. (2020). Novel Mutations in TUBB8 Expand the Mutational and Phenotypic Spectrum of Patients with Zygotes Containing Multiple Pronuclei. Gene 769, 145227. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2020.145227

Simons, C., Wolf, N. L., McNeil, N., Caldovic, L., Devaney, J. M., Takanohashi, A., et al. (2013). A De Novo Mutation in the β-Tubulin Gene TUBB4A Results in the Leukoencephalopathy Hypomyelination with Atrophy of the Basal Ganglia and Cerebellum. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 92 (5), 767–773. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.03.018

Spracklen, A. J., Fagan, T. N., Lovander, K. E., and Tootle, T. L. (2014). The Pros and Cons of Common Actin Labeling Tools for Visualizing Actin Dynamics during Drosophila Oogenesis. Dev. Biol. 393 (2), 209–226. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2014.06.022

Terman, J. R., and Kolodkin, A. L. (2004). Nervy Links Protein Kinase A to Plexin-Mediated Semaphorin Repulsion. Science 303 (5661), 1204–1207. doi:10.1126/science.1092121

Tilney, L. G., Connelly, P. S., and Guild, G. M. (2004). Microvilli Appear to Represent the First Step in Actin Bundle Formation in Drosophilabristles. J. Cell Sci 117 (16), 3531–3538. doi:10.1242/jcs.012115

Tilney, L. G., Connelly, P. S., Vranich, K. A., Shaw, M. K., and Guild, G. M. (2000). Actin Filaments and Microtubules Play Different Roles during Bristle Elongation in Drosophila. J. Cell Sci 113 (7), 1255–1265. doi:10.1242/jcs.113.7.1255

Tischfield, M. A., Baris, H. N., Wu, C., Rudolph, G., Van Maldergem, L., He, W., et al. (2010). Human TUBB3 Mutations Perturb Microtubule Dynamics, Kinesin Interactions, and Axon Guidance. Cell 140 (1), 74–87. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.011

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Krishnan, Halachmi, Baskar, Bakhrat, Zarivach, Salzberg and Abd. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.