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Abstract. We study a one-dimensional model of the dilute aqueous solution of KCl in the electric field. Our model is based on a set of Nernst-Planck-Poisson equations and includes the incompressible fluid velocity as a parameter. We demonstrate instability of the linear electric potential variation for the uniform ion distribution and compare analytical results with numerical solutions. The developed model successfully describes the stability loss of the steady state solution and demonstrates the emerging of spatially non-uniform distribution of the electric potential. However, this model should be generalized by accounting for the convective movement via the addition of the Navier-Stokes equations in order to substantially extend its application field.

1 Introduction

Electrically induced and controlled electrolyte flows are widely used in various microfluidic systems: pumps, mixers and analyzers. The phenomenon of electrokinetic flow instability, which is often observed in these devices, may limit their performance. But at the same time it can be used in them for mixing the solution components and providing the flow control. Thus understanding of the basic physical processes behind electrokinetic instability becomes very important for further development of microfluidics. But understanding is impossible without proper mathematical modeling. As a result, various boundary problems describing turbulence growth in the laminar electrolyte flow inside the external electric field have been proposed and studied by several research groups worldwide during the last fifteen years.

Zholkovskij, Vorotyntsev et al. consider the problem of modeling the flow in a plane-parallel cell [1] formed by two ion-selective membranes and binary electrolyte solution between them. They propose a system of two continuity equations with respect to the electrochemical potentials of cations and anions coupled with the equations for the stationary creeping incompressible flow. They seek for instability conditions to a certain steady state solution of their model and come to the conclusion that there is a possibility to observe hydrodynamic instability of electrokinetic origin.

Rubinstein, Zaltzman et al. [2-4] study equilibrium electroconvective instability near the charge-selective surfaces. They show that the conclusions from [1] are not quite correct because they imply infinite conductivity of the membranes, which is not the same as perfect charge selection. In [4] they study a 2D system of two Nernst-Planck equations with respect to concentrations of cations and anions, the Poisson equation for the electric field potential and the Stokes continuity equations for the velocity of the incompressible fluid. Numerical simulations take equilibrium as the initial condition, and static boundary conditions are applied, but the model's response is nonmonotonic both in voltage and in current.

Santiago, Chen et al., unlike previous authors, in a series of papers including [5-7], consider a very long flat channel and demonstrate that high electric field and conductivity gradients may lead to instability. For this setup they develop the Ohmic model of electrolyte solutions which includes the Navier-Stokes equations for incompressible flow. However, they use the Laplace equation for the electric potential, de-facto assuming not only the global electroneutrality of electrolyte, but the local one as well. Basing on it, the authors derive a single conductivity equation instead of the two Nernst-Planck equations for cation and anion concentrations. The authors solve this 2D non-stationary system numerically with various boundary conditions and observe the outbreak and development of oscillations. They study the steady state stability and compare the results of computations and physical experiments.

Demekhin, Shelestov et al. [8] also consider the 2D binary electrolyte flow inside a channel with the electric potential applied to its ends, but they do not assume electroneutrality. They use the Stokes equation for the creeping flow, the Poisson equation for the electric field potential and apply directly the Nernst-Planck equations for the cation and anion concentrations. The equations of the model from [8] practically coincide with those from [4], but the region is different and is much like in [5-7]. The onset of oscillations and the transfer to the chaotic regime is studied both numerically and analytically.

In this paper only the initial stage of the electrokinetic instability is investigated. Therefore, the model is simple and deals mainly with the electric field and the
charged particles distribution. The convection is practically ignored. But the proposed model successfully describes the stability loss of the steady state solution and demonstrates the emerging of spatially non-uniform distribution of the electric potential.

2 Mathematical model

Consider the incompressible viscous flow of electrolyte, which has the density \( \rho \), the velocity \( \mathbf{U} \) and includes \( N \) types of ions with charges \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_N \) and concentrations \( C_1, \ldots, C_N \). Suppose that the flow is driven by the gradient of pressure \( P \) and by the gradient of the electric field potential \( \Phi \). Then the flow is described by the Navier-Stokes system of equations combined with \( N \) transport equations for densities and the Poisson equation for the electric potential. This general model in whole looks as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{div} \mathbf{U} & = 0; \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{U}, \nabla) \mathbf{U} & = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \nabla P + \nu \Delta \mathbf{U} - \nabla \Phi \cdot F \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_j Z_j \right); \\
\frac{\partial C_j}{\partial t} & = D_j \left( \Delta C_j + \frac{Z_j F}{RT} (\nabla, (C_j \nabla \Phi)) \right) - (\mathbf{U}, \nabla \Phi) \quad (j=1,2); \\
\Delta \Phi & = -\frac{F}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{2} C_j Z_j. 
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( F \) is the Faraday constant, \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric constant, \( D_j \) are the diffusion coefficients of ions and \( T \) is the absolute temperature of electrolyte.

In this paper we regard a particular case of (1), which describes the dilute aquesous solution of KCl in the external electric field as a viscous binary electrolyte flow in a long channel with the electric voltage applied to its ends. We suppose that the velocity \( \mathbf{U} \) and the pressure \( P \) are constant. Then the model (1) becomes the Nernst-Planck-Poisson system of equations, which contains the liquid velocity as a parameter:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial C_j}{\partial t} & = D_j \left( \Delta C_j + \frac{Z_j F}{RT} (\nabla, (C_j \nabla \Phi)) \right) - (\mathbf{U}, \nabla \Phi) \quad (j=1,2); \\
\Delta \Phi & = -\frac{F}{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{2} C_j Z_j, \quad \mathbf{U} = \text{const}. 
\end{align*}
\]

In case of the stationary one-dimensional flow in the narrow pipe with the length \( L_0 \), from (2) we obtain the equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
C_{1x} + (C_1 U)_x = D_1 \left( C_{1x} + \frac{F}{RT} (C_1 \Phi)_x \right)_x; \\
C_{2x} + (C_2 U)_x = D_2 \left( C_{2x} - \frac{F}{RT} (C_2 \Phi)_x \right)_x; \\
\Phi_{xx} & = -\frac{F}{\varepsilon R} (C_1 - C_2); \\
U & = \text{const}; \quad 0 < X < L_0, \quad \tau > 0; \\
C_1(0, \tau) & = C_1(L_0, \tau), \\
C_2(0, \tau) & = C_2(L_0, \tau), \\
\Phi(0) & = \Phi_0, \quad \Phi(L_0) = 0; \quad (U(0, \tau) = U(L_0, \tau). 
\end{align*}
\]

We consider only the primary phase of instability right after applying the voltage, when the flow velocity may be still constant. But due to the small initial violation of electroneutrality the instability develops in form of the rapid growth of the electric potential gradient. This is the process we are going to study here.

Following [5], we denote the constants:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_0 & = \frac{F \Phi_0}{L_0}; \\
W_0 & = \frac{F}{\gamma} \frac{L_0^2}{l}; \\
\varepsilon & = \varepsilon_0 \cdot \varepsilon_0; \\
Q_1 & = \frac{F D_1 E_0}{R T W_0}; \\
Q_2 & = \frac{F D_2 E_0}{R T W_0}; \\
S_0 & = \frac{F \cdot C_0 L_0}{\varepsilon E_0 l}; \\
S_1 & = \frac{D_1}{W_0 L_0}; \\
S_2 & = \frac{D_2 l}{W_0 L_0}; \\
\end{align*}
\]

and introduce non-dimensional variables and parameters:

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= \frac{L_0}{l} \cdot x; \\
\tau &= \frac{L_0}{l} \cdot \frac{t}{W_0}; \\
U &= \frac{W_0}{l} \cdot u; \\
C_{12} &= C_1 \cdot c_{12}; \\
\Phi &= \Phi_0 \cdot \varphi. 
\end{align*}
\]

Here the constant \( l \) is the ratio of the longitudinal region size to the transversal one; in the considered one-dimensional problem it is just the scaling parameter taken equal to 80. The other values: \( L_0=4 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}, \ \Phi_0=1 \cdot 10^7 \text{V}, \ \varepsilon_0=78.3, \ D_1=1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) and \( D_2=2 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \), \( C_0=3 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ mol/m}^3 \) are taken from [5-7]. The problem (3)-(4) in the dimensionless variables (5)-(6) looks as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
&c_{1x} + (c_1 \cdot (u - Q_2 \varphi_x)) \cdot x = S_1 \cdot c_{1xx}; \\
&c_{2x} + (c_2 \cdot (u + Q_2 \varphi_x)) \cdot x = S_2 \cdot c_{2xx}; \\
&\varphi_{xx} = -S_0 \cdot (c_1 - c_2); \\
u_x = 0; \\
c_1(0, \tau) = c_1(l, \tau); \\
c_2(0, \tau) = c_2(l, \tau); \\
\varphi(0) = \varphi_0; \quad \varphi(l) = 0. 
\end{align*}
\]

We investigate the solutions to (7) both analytically and numerically.
3 Stability analysis

The problem (7) has the following steady state solution:
\[
c_1(x, t) = c_2(x, t) \equiv c = \text{const} ;
\]
\[
\varphi(x) = \varphi_0 \cdot (l - x) / l ;
\]
\[
u(x, t) \equiv u = \text{const}.
\]
To carry out its stability analysis, we linearize (7) in the vicinity of (8):
\[
c_1(x, t) = c + \tilde{c}_1(x, t);
\]
\[
c_2(x, t) = c + \tilde{c}_2(x, t);
\]
\[
\varphi(x) = \varphi_0 \cdot (l - x) / l + \tilde{\varphi}(x);
\]
After discarding nonlinear terms we obtain the linear system with respect to small perturbations of \(c_1, c_2\) and \(\varphi\):
\[
\tilde{c}_{1t} + (u + Q_1 \varphi_0 / l) \tilde{c}_{1x} + Q_1 S_0 c (\tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_2) = S_1 \tilde{c}_{1xx} ;
\]
\[
\tilde{c}_{2t} + (u - Q_2 \varphi_0 / l) \tilde{c}_{2x} - Q_2 S_0 c (\tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_2) = S_2 \tilde{c}_{2xx} ;
\]
\[
\tilde{\varphi}_{xx} = -S_0 \cdot (\tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_2) ;
\]
\[
\tilde{c}_1(0, t) = \tilde{c}_1(l, t) ;
\]
\[
\tilde{c}_2(0, t) = \tilde{c}_2(l, t) ;
\]
\[
\tilde{\varphi}(0) = \tilde{\varphi}(l) = 0 .
\]
The first two equations of (10) may be solved separately. We search for the concentration perturbations in form of the traveling wave:
\[
c_j(x, t) = \hat{c}_j \cdot \exp(i(kx - \omega t)), \ j = 1, 2; (11)
\]
where \(\omega\) is the complex frequency with the real part \(\xi\) and the imaginary part \(\eta\):
\[
\omega = \text{Re} \omega + i \cdot \text{Im} \omega = \xi + i \cdot \eta ;
\]
and \(k\) is the real wave number. Having found \(\hat{c}_1\) and \(\hat{c}_2\), we put (11) into the third equation of (10):
\[
\tilde{\varphi}_{xx} = -S_0 \cdot (\hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2) \cdot \exp(i(kx - \omega t)) ;
\]
and solve it with respect to \(\tilde{\varphi}\) .
In order to find \(\hat{c}_1\) and \(\hat{c}_2\) we substitute (11) into the first two equations of (10) and yield the system of linear algebraic equations with respect to the wave amplitudes:
\[
\left( (\alpha_1 + k^2 S_1 + \eta) + i(k \beta_1 - \xi) \right) \cdot \hat{c}_1 - \alpha_1 \cdot \hat{c}_2 = 0 ;
\]
\[
-\alpha_2 \hat{c}_1 + \left( (\alpha_2 + k^2 S_2 + \eta) + i(k \beta_2 - \xi) \right) \cdot \hat{c}_2 = 0 ;
\]
\[
\alpha_1 = Q_1 S_0 c ; \quad \beta_1 = u + Q_1 ;
\]
\[
\alpha_2 = Q_2 S_0 c ; \quad \beta_2 = u + Q_2 .
\]
Nontrivial solution \((\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2)\) to this system exists if and only if its determinant equals zero. From this existence condition for the nontrivial solution we get the equations for the real and imaginary parts of \(\omega\). We treat them as the functions of the wave number: \(\zeta = \zeta(k)\) and \(\eta = \eta(k)\).
From (11) it is easy to see: if \(\eta(k) > 0\) then the amplitude of the \(k\)-th spatial harmonic \(\exp(ikx)\) of perturbation grows in time, and thus the solution (8) becomes unstable.

The equations for \(\xi = \xi(k)\) and \(\eta = \eta(k)\), obtained from the solvability condition to (14), look as follows:
\[
\eta^2 - \xi^2 + k(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \xi + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + k^2(S_1 + S_2)) \eta
\]
\[
+ k^2(\alpha_2 S_2 + \alpha_2 S_1 - \beta_1 \beta_2) + k^4 S_1 S_2 = 0 ;
\]
\[
2\xi \eta + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + k^2(S_1 + S_2)) \xi - k(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \eta
\]
\[
- k^3(\beta_1 S_2 + \beta_2 S_1) = 0 .
\]
With \(k=0\) the system (15) becomes:
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\eta^2(0) - \xi^2(0) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot \eta(0) = 0 ,
2 \cdot \xi(0) \cdot \eta(0) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot \xi(0) = 0
\end{bmatrix} \tag{16}
\]
and its solutions are:
\[
A) \quad \xi(0) = \text{Re} \omega(0) = 0 ,
\eta(0) = \text{Im} \omega(0) = 0 ;
\]
\[
B) \quad \xi(0) = \text{Re} \omega(0) = 0 ,
\eta(0) = \text{Im} \omega(0) = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) < 0 .
\]
The values of \(\xi(0)\) and \(\eta(0)\) from (17) imply that the spatially uniform perturbation with \(k=0\) is neutrally stable: its amplitude remains constant both over \(t\) and over \(x\), and the corresponding solution to (14) satisfies:
\[
\hat{c}_2(0) = \hat{c}_1(0) ; \tag{18}
\]
For other values of \(k\) we use the parameter continuation method [9]. We suppose that \(k\) is continuous and start numerically construct two branches of the curves \(\xi = \xi(k)\) and \(\eta = \eta(k)\) from points A and B. The results obtained for the velocity values \(0 \leq u \leq 1\) are shown in Fig.1.
In Fig. 1 we show only the branch A of (17), because the branch B produces only negative values of \( \eta(k) \) for all \( k>0 \) with no regard to \( u \), thus it cannot cause instability. Meanwhile the branch A always starts from \( \eta(0)=0 \), and then \( \eta(k) \) either goes directly to negative values (with \( u=0.25 \), see curves 1-2 in Fig.1) or \( \eta(k) \) first reaches a positive value and only then goes down (with \( u>u^* \), see curves 3-6 in Fig.1).

In the former case the solution (7) is neutrally stable, because its perturbation \( \tilde{c}_1(t,x), \tilde{c}_2(t,x), \tilde{\phi}(x) \) has the spatially uniform harmonic with \( k=0 \), which neither increases nor decreases with time, while all other such harmonics with \( k>0 \) decrease. In the latter case the solution (7) is unstable, because its perturbation now contains the growing with time spatial harmonics for those values of \( k \) that yield \( \eta(k)>0 \).

### 4 Numerical results

We apply the results of the stability analysis to further computational investigation of our model. For solving (7) numerically we use the implicit 2-nd order approximation finite difference scheme and solve the resulting equations with the Thomas algorithm. We try different initial distributions of the ion densities and demonstrate that their most important feature is the local electroneutrality:

\[
c_1(t,x) = c_2(t,x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in [0,l] \text{ and } t>0. \quad (19)
\]

Small violation of (19) in the initial density distribution causes the development of the steady state instability.

The initial conditions for \( c_1, c_2, \phi \) are taken from (8) and are modified by adding small perturbation terms \( \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{\phi} \). Whatever \( \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2, \tilde{\phi} \) we take, the numerical solution remains constantly equal to (8) if the resulting initial distributions meet the demand (19). But if it is even slightly violated, then the numerical solution leaves the vicinity of (8): see the resulting final distributions of ion concentration and electric potential in Fig. 2 and 3.

Fig. 2. The final distribution of the cation K\(^+\) concentration for different types of perturbations with the flow velocity \( u=0.25 \). 1: Electroneutral perturbation; 2: Non-electroneutral constant perturbation; 3: Non-electroneutral sinusoidal perturbation.
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Fig. 3. The final distribution of the electric potential for different types of perturbations with the flow velocity \( u=0.25 \). 1: Electroneutral perturbation; 2: Non-electroneutral constant perturbation; 3: Non-electroneutral sinusoidal perturbation.

There we demonstrate the final states of solutions to (7) for the following three initial conditions:

1. \( c_1(0) = c_2(0) = 0.99999; \)
2. \( c_1(0) = 0.99999, c_2(0) = 1.00001; \)
3. \( c_1(0) = 1.0 + 10^{-5} \cdot \sin \frac{5\pi x}{l}, \quad (20) \)
\[
c_2(x,0) = 1.0 - 10^{-5} \cdot \sin \frac{5\pi x}{l}.
\]

In all these cases the initial electric potential satisfies:

\[
\phi(x) = \phi_0 \cdot (1 - x/l). \quad (21)
\]

The curves 1 in Fig.2-3 show that constant and electroneutral perturbations leave the steady state solution (8) without changes, both for the concentrations and for the potential. The curves 2 in Fig.2-3 show that constant, but not electroneutral perturbation of ion concentrations neither grows nor fades, and may cause increasing of the electric potential inside the flow. Finally, the curves 3 in Fig.2-3 show that the non-electroneutral perturbation of the unstable harmonic (see Fig.1 for \( k=3, u=0.25 \)) causes the growth of this harmonic both for the concentrations and the potential.

The process demonstrated in Fig.2-3 is not the only way of losing stability in the considered model. Let us study another prototypical transition: evolution in time of a rectangular concentration step. Let the initial data consist of three regions: the left and the right ones – with high ion concentration and the middle – with low ion concentration:

\[
c_1(x,0) = c_2(x,0) = 1.0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [0,l/4],
\]
\[
c_1(x,0) = c_2(x,0) = 0.5 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in (l/4,3l/4), \quad (22)
\]
\[
c_1(x,0) = c_2(x,0) = 1.0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in [3l/4,l].
\]

It should be mentioned that the local electroneutrality (19) is strictly observed in (22). Let the electric potential initially satisfy (21). The initial distribution (21)-(22) is shown as curve 1 in Fig.4 and 5.
The loss of monotony, cally a demonstrates monotonic potential.

the moving front electric potential in the left, right and middle regions in 1988 [10]. Constant solutions to (7).

process, which is successfully observed in numerical experiments. Small perturbations of ion concentrations make approximately the same effect on this traveling wave as they do on the steady state solution: concentration variations neither fade nor grow, while the electric potential looses monotony. But the model (7)-(8) doesn't take into account the effect of the electric potential on the flow velocity, which is supposed to be constant. For this reason the further development of instability doesn't occur.

5 Conclusion

We have studied a simple one-dimensional model of electrolyte ion movement in the external electric field. The model demonstrates the importance of the local electroneutrality violation for the steady state stability loss and its dependence on the flow velocity.

However, being basically a Nernst-Planck-Poisson system, this model is capable of describing only the first stage of electrokinetic instability: the loss of monotony of the electric potential. Thus for further investigations the model should be enhanced to include convective movement by adding the Navier-Stokes equations.
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