Population genomics reveals a mismatch between management and biological units in green abalone (*Haliotis fulgens*)
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**ABSTRACT**

Effective fishery management strategies should be based on stock delimitation and knowledge of the spatial scale at which species are distributed. However, a mismatch often occurs between biological and management units of fishery resources. The green abalone (*Haliotis fulgens*) supports an important artisanal fishery in the west coast of the Baja California Peninsula (BCP), Mexico, which has shown a declining tendency despite the several management measures. Thus, the aim of this study was to characterize the spatial patterns of neutral genomic variation of green abalone along the BCP to test whether the genomic structure patterns support the current green abalone management areas. To test this hypothesis, a set of 2,170 putative neutral single nucleotide polymorphisms discovered by a double digest restriction-site associated DNA approach was used on 10 locations along the BCP. The results revealed a population structure with three putative groups: Guadalupe Island and northern and southern BCP locations. The contemporary gene flow might be explained by local oceanographic features, where it is bidirectional within the southern region but with a predominant southward flow from the northern region. These findings indicated that the administrative areas did not match the biological units of *H. fulgens* fishery; hence, the stock assessment and management areas should be revised.

**INTRODUCTION**

Effective fishery management includes stock delimitation and requires knowledge of the spatial scale of those biological units (*Selkoe & Toonen, 2006; Hedgecock et al., 2007*). Nevertheless, management units are predominantly based on administrative units (*Bernatchez et al., 2017*), and mismatches frequently occur between biological and management units (*Reiss et al., 2009*). This discrepancy might lead to a reduced productivity or the overexploitation of discrete subpopulations (*Frank & Brickman, 2000; Kenchington, 2003; Hilborn et al., 2003*). In the marine environment, determining the
genetic structure and thus defining management units is challenging because marine organisms show both large effective population sizes and high gene flow. This situation results in a weak population differentiation where traditional genetic tools with a limited number of loci might have low power to define management units (DeWoody & Avise, 2000; Hauser & Carvalho, 2008; Allendorf, Hohenlohe & Luikart, 2010).

Novel genomic approaches enable discovering and genotyping a large number of loci in non-model species, which allow coping with previous technological limitations (Hammer-Hansen, Therkildsen & Pujolar, 2014) both increasing the power of determining accurate population differentiation (Luikart et al., 2003; Grewe et al., 2015) and improving the spatial resolution of population boundaries in several fisheries resources (e.g., Thunnus alalunga, Laconcha et al., 2015; Salmo salar L., Gilbey et al., 2016; Thunnus albacares, Pecoraro et al., 2018). Hence, incorporating genomic tools to fishery management will shed light to link biological and management units for long term fishery sustainability.

Most abalone fisheries have shown historical fluctuations in their biomass with a decreasing trend (Shepherd & Brown, 1993; Hobday, Tegner & Haaker, 2001; Dowling, Stephen & Mcgarvey, 2004; Appleyard, Carr & Elliott, 2009). Some of these fluctuations have been attributed to poor resource management, slow recovery potential of the species, overfishing, illegal fishing, diseases, and environmental factors (Hamm & Burton, 2000; Withler et al., 2001; Guzmán-del Prío et al., 2003; Der-Merwe et al., 2011). In Mexico, the green abalone (Haliotis fulgens) almost fully supports the artisanal abalone fishery along the Baja California Peninsula (BCP) (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 2018). Its distribution ranges from Point Conception, USA (34.5° N) to Bahia Magdalena, Baja California Sur, Mexico (25.5° N) (Guzmán-del Prío, 1992) and occurs from intertidal to ~24 m in depth. They are broadcast spawners that reach sexual maturity at a shell length of 6–12 cm (Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006). The reproductive season varies widely depending on the location since reproductive peaks have been reported in autumn–winter (Ortíz-Quintanilla & Leon-Carballo, 1988; Vélez-Arellano et al., 2017) and winter–spring (Vélez-Arellano et al., 2020); nevertheless, this aspect is not well understood since studies have focused mainly on a single location without considering all the distribution area. Larval development from laboratory is temperature-dependent, and the swimming phase may last from 4 to 15 days with an optimal temperature range from 20 °C to 23 °C (Leighton, 2000) that might limit long distance dispersal.

While the abalone fishing activity in the USA has been completely banned (California Department of Fish and Game Marine Region, 2005), in Mexico an extensive fishery occurs along the BCP. Although no management plan exists, the fishery has official regulations that considers four administrative zones (Fig. 1), which are established based on the latitudinal variation of life history (size at first maturity and reproductive season) (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 1993). Each zone has set a different legal minimum size and seasonal bans: zone I (150 mm, July 1–November 30); zone II (145 mm, August 1–December 31) and III (140 mm, August 1–December 31) and zone IV (120 mm, September 1–January 31) (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 1993, 1994, 2018). Additionally, a stock assessment has been performed by reef and cooperative concessions; since 2000 this regulation has mainly been focused on catch quotas assigned per fishing
Figure 1  Sample sites along the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico. GI, Guadalupe Island; SJI, San Jerónimo Island; FSJ, Faro San José; CI, Cedros Island; PE, Punta Eugenia; TN, Tortugas North; TS, Tortugas South; AN, Asunción North; AS, Asunción South; Bo, Bocana. Black lines and Roman numerals indicate the four administrative zones of abalone fishery.  
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cooperative considering its annual growth rate (Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006). Despite all the regulation measures and significant effort by local fishermen to rebuild the stock(s), the abalone fishery is still listed as deteriorated with their populations below the optimum recovery level (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 2018).

Administrative zones are extensive areas in agreement with the latitudinal decreasing pattern in maturity size length from north to south along the BCP (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 1993; Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006) where abalone reef banks have shown a complex heterogeneity that might be related to habitat hydrodynamics and ecological features; as an alternate proposal, the fishery should be managed by reef groups of similar productivity (Guzmán-del Próo & Del Monte-Luna, 2017). However, the spatial scale administration of either current or proposed strategies (administrative zones, cooperative concession, reef groups, and separate reefs), remains as an undefined scale problem and requires the definition of biological units to support fishery management. In fact, the fishery management authority recognizes the need to define stocks as population units in well-defined polygons, with management strategies depending on the population status of each reef (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 2012). Therefore, management strategies may benefit from a genomic structure analysis using modern genomic techniques to provide insights about abalone populations across various spatial scales to support future stock assessment spatial scale and management actions.

The distribution range of H. fulgens—spanning ~10° in latitude—belongs to the temperate-tropical transition zone, characterized by a significant seasonal gradient influenced by the California and the North Equatorial Currents, with heterogeneous oceanographic and oceanic circulation conditions (Chelton, 1982; Lluch-Belda, 1999; Durazo et al., 2010; Durazo, 2015). The BCP can be divided into two major regions, with the headland of Punta Eugenia at 27.8° N as the limit between them. Punta Eugenia has previously been considered as a biogeographic break (Briggs, 1974) and evidence has shown that it could function as a gene flow barrier for some marine organisms (Bernardi & Talley, 2000; Terry, Bucciarelli & Bernardi, 2000; Bernardi, 2000; Huang & Bernardi, 2001; Muñiz-Salazar et al., 2005). A previous study using four microsatellite markers evaluated the genetic structure from eight coastal locations of the central-south region of the BCP and the oceanic Guadalupe Island (~250 km away from the coast) to assess population structure and define fishery stocks. The results showed no evidence of genetic differentiation among central-south locations, and low but significant genetic divergence between coastal locations and Guadalupe Island (Gutiérrez-González et al., 2007).

This study expands the previous work by collecting individuals from northern sites of the administrative Zone I and the use of a higher DNA resolution method using several thousand single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) derived from double-digest RAD Sequencing (ddRAD-seq). Therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize the spatial patterns of neutral genomic variation among populations of the green abalone H. fulgens, following the hypothesis that population structure exists along the BCP, which does not match the current administrative fishery management areas. The use of more powerful neutral markers, such as SNPs and a wider range area should allow detecting a finer genetic structure than that previously reported. This study shows robust population structure
patterns that should be considered to delineate stock boundaries, stock assessment models, and management actions to support fishery resilience.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and study area

Collections were performed from July to August 2017 and May 2018 from 10 locations along the BCP, which belonged to three of four fishing administrative zones (Fig. 1). Abalones above the minimum legal size ($n = 185$) were collected under SAGARPA permits PRMN/DGOPA-011/2017 and PRMN/DGOPA/016-2018 by hookah diving during fishery evaluation surveys performed by the cooperative fishers. Epipodial tentacle fragments were taken without sacrificing the animals and preserved in 95% ethanol until DNA extraction (Table 1).

DNA extraction and library preparation

Genomic DNA was extracted using a salt-extraction protocol (Sambrook, Fritsch & Maniatis, 1989) with RNase treatment. Genomic DNA integrity was verified using 1% agarose gels and quantified with a Qubit fluorometer 2.0 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All samples were normalized to a final concentration of 50 ng µl$^{-1}$.

Double-digest restriction-associated DNA (ddRAD) libraries were prepared following Peterson et al. (2012) with some modifications; 500 ng of genomic DNA per sample was digested using the restriction enzymes EcoRI-HF (NEB®) and MspI (NEB®). A Pippin Prep device (Saga Science) was used to select fragment sizes from 376 bp–408 bp for all the

| Sample sites ID | Sample sites | Ns | Nsg | Ar  | Ho  | He  | $F_{IS}$* |
|-----------------|--------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| Administrative Zone I | | | | | | | |
| Guadalupe Island | GI | 20 | 20 | 1.850 | 0.272 | 0.282 | 0.020 |
| San Jerónimo Island | SJI | 13 | 13 | 1.847 | 0.274 | 0.278 | 0.003 |
| Faro San José | FSJ | 11 | 11 | 1.860 | 0.285 | 0.284 | 0.008 |
| Cedros Island | CI | 25 | 23 | 1.895 | 0.286 | 0.295 | 0.017 |
| Administrative Zone II | | | | | | | |
| Punta Eugenia | PE | 20 | 19 | 1.893 | 0.290 | 0.295 | 0.000 |
| Tortugas North | TN | 20 | 16 | 1.887 | 0.286 | 0.292 | −0.005 |
| Tortugas South | TS | 20 | 20 | 1.893 | 0.292 | 0.296 | 0.007 |
| Asunción North | AN | 17 | 15 | 1.882 | 0.287 | 0.292 | 0.001 |
| Administrative Zone III | | | | | | | |
| Asunción South | AS | 19 | 19 | 1.881 | 0.284 | 0.291 | 0.006 |
| Bocana | Bo | 20 | 19 | 1.880 | 0.286 | 0.289 | −0.019 |
| Global | | 185 | 175 | 1.877 | 0.284 | 0.300 | 0.004 |

Notes: Ns, Number of samples sequenced; Nsg, Number of samples successfully genotyped; Ar, Rarefied allelic richness; Ho, Observed heterozygosity; He, Expected heterozygosity; $F_{IS}$, Inbreeding coefficient.

* No $F_{IS}$ value was statistically significant.
libraries. Two ddRAD libraries were completed, each one with two pools; each pool comprised 48 individuals with a different barcode, and a unique index. A 4-index combinatorial and 48 barcodes described by Peterson et al. (2012) were used. To avoid batch effect, individuals from the same location were pooled in different pools and libraries in unequal proportions. Genotyping accuracy was validated using three replicates with different index-barcodes; then, the concordance genotype percentage between replicates of the same subject genotyped was assessed. The final libraries were sequenced on two lanes of an Illumina Hi-seq 4,000 platform at Novogene (Sacramento, CA, USA), using 150-bp pair-end reads.

**Raw data filtering and SNP calling**

De-multiplexing and quality filtering were undertaken using the process_radtags pipeline in the STACKS software v1.30 (Catchen et al., 2011). Raw reads were trimmed to 140 base pairs to reduce sequencing errors present at the tail of the sequences. Read-pairs with the expected restriction sites and full barcodes at both ends were identified, allowing up to one error in each barcode. To homogenize the number of reads of each individual sample, they were standardized to 1 million reads each.

Reads were assembled de novo using the denovo_map.pl pipeline in STACKS. After several combinations of the main parameter optimization, the parameter combination was evaluated assessing the parameter effect and yield loci number as recommended in the literature (Paris, Stevens & Catchen, 2017; Rochette & Catchen, 2017) (Supplemental S1). The final parameter combination to build loci was a minimum stack depth of five (−m = 5), and a maximum of three nucleotide mismatches among stacks within individuals (−M = 3). To build the catalog, a maximum of four mismatches between sample loci between individuals (−n = 4) were allowed.

Further data filtering was performed using the population pipeline in STACKS, retaining those loci present in at least 80% of the individuals at each sampling site (−r = 0.8) in all of the localities 100% (−p = 10) and with a minor allele frequency MAF > 0.05. To prevent physically linked loci for subsequent analyses, the write_single_SNPL option was used to retain only the first SNP from each RAD tag. Individual samples with >10% missing data across loci estimated with vcftools v.3.0 (Danecek et al., 2011), were excluded from subsequent analyses. The filtered vcf file was converted into the necessary file formats for downstream analyses using PGDSPIDER v.2.1.1.5 (Lischer & Excoffier, 2012). Linkage disequilibrium between all marker pairs was estimated using the function pair.ia of the package poppr v2.8.1 (Kamvar, Tabima & Grünwald, 2014) in R v.3.4.3 (R core Team, 2014) with 199 permutations; p-values were converted to false discovery rate (FDR) q-values (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) using the p.adjust function of the package stats v3.5.1 in R v.3.4.3 (R core Team, 2014) with a q-value of 0.05. Linked loci were discarded for further analysis.

**Outlier detection and Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium**

To identify and eliminate potential candidate loci under selection, two independent methods were used. The first one employed a Bayesian approach to estimate the population specific FST coefficients using the BayeScan v3 software (Foll & Gaggiotti,
which integrated population-specific (neutral genetic divergence) and locus-specific (selection) effects (Foll, 2012). The decision criterion to determine whether a locus was likely to be under a strong selection was the q-value (Foll, 2012) analogous to a FDR p-value that must be under 0.05. The BayeScan software was run with its default parameters set at a minimum number of 10,000 iterations, the length of 20 pilot runs to 5,000 iterations, and burn-in length to 50,000 iterations. For the second method, coalescent simulations were used to obtain a null distribution and confidence intervals around the observed values to see if the observed locus specific \(F_{ST}\) values could be considered as an outlier \(F_{ST}\), conditioned on the globally observed \(F_{ST}\) value. For this purpose, Arlequin v3.5.2.2 software (Excofier & Lischer, 2010) was used with 1,000 simulated demes and 100,000 coalescent simulations were run to detect outlier loci based on their \(F_{ST}\) and \(p\)-value (\(p < 0.01\)).

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was estimated with the software Arlequin v3.5.2.2 (Excofier & Lischer, 2010) by exact tests with 100,000 dememorization steps to remove those loci that were in disequilibrium in five (50%) or more locations (\(p < 2.3 \times 10^{-5}\) after Bonferroni correction).

**Summary statistics**

Genetic diversity estimates, such as allele frequencies, rarefied allelic richness (\(Ar\)), observed heterozygosity (\(H_o\)), expected heterozygosity (\(H_e\)) and Inbreeding coefficient (\(F_{IS}\)) were estimated with the package PopGenReport v.3.0.4 (Adamack & Gruber, 2014) in R v.3.4.3 (R core Team, 2014). Kruskal–Wallis test and a posteriori Gao test were conducted to examine the differences between genetic diversity values of localities with the packages stats and nparcomp v.3.0 (Konietschke, Noguchi & Rubarth, 2019) in R v.3.4.3 (R core Team, 2014).

**Population structure analysis**

The \(F_{ST}\) was calculated using the basic.stats function in the R package hierfstat v.0.04-22 (Goudet & Jombart, 2015). The differentiation degree between locality pairs was quantified using \(\theta\), an unbiased estimator of \(F_{ST}\) (Weir & Cockerham, 1984) in Arlequin v3.5.2.2 software (Excofier & Lischer, 2010). The significance level was assessed using 10,000 permutations and corrected for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction.

A discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) was performed in the R Package adegenet v.2.1.1 (Jombart, Devillard & Balloux, 2010). This method maximize the variance among groups while minimizing the variation within groups and does not make any assumptions about the underlying population genetic model. To assess the optimal group number the function find.clusters was used with the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) method. To estimate the number of principal components (PCs) to be retained, the function optim.a.score was used.

A Bayesian clustering method with the software STRUCTURE v.2.3 (Pitchard, Stephens & Donnelly, 2000) was used to infer population structure and identify the most likely value of \(K\) (groups or putative populations), based on the admixture ancestry model and correlated allele frequencies. Runs consisted of an initial burn-in of 10,000 Markov Chain Monte
Carlo (MCMC) iterations followed by 100,000 iterations for each inferred cluster (K 1 to 10 with five replicates) and the locprior model. The delta K method was used to determine an optimal K (Evanno, Regnaut & Goudet, 2005).

Analyses of molecular variance (AMOVA) using Arlequin v3.5.2.2 software (Excofier & Lischer, 2010) were performed with 10,000 permutations to evaluate the genetic variation within and between the different groups testing for several hierarchical hypothesized structures: (A) administrative zones as genetic groups; (B) two groups based on STRUCTURE results; (C) three groups based on $F_{ST}$ results; (D) three groups based on DAPC and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) results; and (E) four groups based on visual division on the DAPC results.

An UPGMA tree was constructed using the program Phylip (Felsenstein, 1989) based on genetic distance estimates among populations (Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards chord distance, Dc) with 3,000 bootstrap replicates. The consensus tree was visualized using the Treeview software v1.6.5 (Page, 1996).

To further investigate the directional relative migration rates between localities, migration networks were generated using divMigrate function (Sundqvist et al., 2016) of the R package diveRsity (Keenan et al., 2013) with $G_{ST}$ (Nei, 1973) as a measure of genetic distance with 1,000 bootstrap repetitions and an arbitrary filter threshold of 0.60. Gene flow patterns were visualized using network graphics produced with the R package qgraph (Epskamp et al., 2012). Putative source and sink populations were identified by divMigrate as it calculates relative levels of migration by assessing the genetic differentiation between two populations and a hypothetical pool of migrants.

Finally, isolation by distance (IBD) was tested in the R Package adegent v2.1.1 (Jombart, Devillard & Balloux, 2010) using a Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) by correlating Slatkin’s linearized $[F_{ST}/(1 – F_{ST})]$ (Rousset, 1997) vs. geographical distance (shortest waterway distance in kilometers between sampling location pairs) with the function mantel.randtest using a Monte Carlo simulation with 999 permutations. These tests were performed at three hierarchical levels (1) including all locations; (2) using only coastal locations (excluding Guadalupe Island); and (3) within the central-south locations (excluding Guadalupe and San Jeronimo islands and Faro San José). To test whether the correlations between genetic and geographic distances were the result of a continuous or distant patchy cline of genetic differentiation, a 2-dimensional Kernel density estimator was applied with the function kde2d using the MASS package (Venables & Ripley, 2002) in R v.3.6.2 (R core Team, 2014).

RESULTS

Individuals and single nucleotide polymorphism filtering

A total of 1,420,020,148 pair-end raw reads were obtained from two sequencing lanes. After applying the quality filters with the process_radtags program of STACKS (barcode or restriction enzyme site absence, low-quality reads), 96.8% of the reads were retained, resulting in an average of 7,458,559 reads per sample (range: 0.33 M–23.3 M). Individual samples with less than 1 million reads were discarded ($n = 8$). The remaining samples were standardized to 1 million reads and initial catalog of 116,443 RAD loci with 353,332
SNPs was built with the *denovo_map.pl* module in STACKS, from which the *populations* module extracted a dataset of 2,216 loci with an average read depth of 32X. Individuals with >10% of missing genotypes also were excluded (*n* = 2). After the FDR correction, no evidence was observed for significant linkage disequilibrium between loci (*p* > 0.05), which resulted in a global database of 175 individuals and 2,216 SNPs.

**Outlier detection and Hardy Weinberg equilibrium**

Forty-five loci outliers were identified by Arlequin and five by Bayescan (five were common in both methods), leaving a dataset of 2,171 SNPs (see Supplemental S2 for details on the number of SNPs removed after applying quality filters). One locus showed significant deviations from HWE (*p* < 0.05) in more than half of the locations and it was removed from the database, leaving a neutral data set of 2,170 SNPs. Genotyping accuracy was evaluated using individual replicates, and an average genotyping error rate of 0.04% was recorded.

**Summary statistics**

The estimates of global neutral genetic diversity were *H*₀ = 0.284; *H*ₑ = 0.300; *Ar* = 1.877. Samples from the northern region (Guadalupe and San Jerónimo islands, and Faro San José) were the least diverse, while Punta Eugenia, Cedros island, and Tortugas South were the sites with the highest diversity (Table 1). Significant differences in genetic diversity values were found among localities, of which Guadalupe and San Jerónimo islands those with more pairwise significant differences (Supplemental S3). The *F*ₛₐ was 0.004 (*p* = 0.38) and did not show significant values across locations (*F*ₛₐ = −0.019 to 0.020; Table 1).

**Population structure analysis**

The population substructure was significant (*F*ₜᵣ = 0.005, *p* = 0.006). Pairwise comparisons showed significant differences between the oceanic Guadalupe island (~250 km away from the coast) and the rest of the localities (*p* < 0.001). Additionally, the northern coastal San Jerónimo island had significant *F*ₜᵣ estimates with all locations (*p* < 0.001) with the exception of Faro San José. Sites within the southern region showed no significant genetic divergence values among localities except for Faro San José with Tortugas South (Supplemental S4; *p* < 0.001).

The DAPC analysis retained 28 principal components and identified three genetic clusters, one composed by the oceanic Guadalupe island, the second by the coastal northern locations (SJI and FSI), and the third by coastal central-southern localities (CI, PE, TN,TS, AN, AS, and Bo) (Fig. 2). The Bayesian cluster analysis in STRUCTURE revealed two genetic clusters (*K* = 2) based on the delta *K* statistic of Evanno, grouping all individuals from Guadalupe Island separately from the rest of the coastal locations (Fig. 3A). However, a visual inspection of STRUCTURE admixture plots for *K* = 3 (Fig. 3B) showed an additional population subdivision resulting in a grouping pattern similar to the DAPC (Fig. 2) and the *F*ₜᵣ results (Supplemental S4).

Hierarchical AMOVA supported a regional population structure where only the grouping C (GI, SJI, all other localities), D (GI, SJI + FSI, all other localities), and E (GI, SJI,
Figure 2  Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC) based on 2,170 neutral SNPs of the green abalone (*Haliotis fulgens*). (A) Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) values versus number of clusters (K). (B) Plots of population distributions based on the first discriminant function. (C) DAPC scatterplot: all DAPCs include a 95% inertia ellipsis for each population, with each dot representing a single individual. 28 PCs and three discriminant functions (dimensions) were retained during the analyses. Legends are ordered from north to south GI, Guadalupe Island; SJI, San Jerónimo Island; FSJ, Faro San José; CI, Cedros Island; PE, Punta Eugenia; TN, Tortugas North; TS, Tortugas South; AN, Asunción North; AS, Asunción South; Bo, Bocana.

Figure 3  Results of individual clustering analysis implemented with STRUCTURE software based on 2,170 neutral SNPs among 10 sample sites for the green abalone (*Haliotis fulgens*). (A) K = 2 and (B) K = 3. Each vertical bar represents an individual and the color of each bar correspond to individual’s estimated membership of each of the clusters. Legends are ordered from north to south GI, Guadalupe Island; SJI, San Jerónimo Island; FSJ, Faro San José; CI, Cedros Island; PE, Punta Eugenia; TN, Tortugas North; TS, Tortugas South; AN, Asunción North; AS, Asunción South; Bo, Bocana.
FSJ, all other localities) resulted in a significant variation among groups ($F_{CT} = 0.0104 - 0.0148; p < 0.05$). However, only the last grouping (E) that consider Guadalupe Island, San José Island, Faro San José and the rest of the localities as separated groups, the differentiation among populations within groups ($F_{SC} = 0.0005, p = 0.22$) was no longer significant (Supplemental S5). Neither did any of these regional groupings support the current administrative zones (A) which resulted in no significant variation among groups ($F_{CT} = 0.0003; p > 0.05$) (Supplemental S5).

The UPGMA tree identified two to three major groups with a high bootstrap value (100%). The most robust topology separated the northern sample sites (Guadalupe and San Jerónimo island and Faro San José) from the rest (Supplemental S6).

**Gene flow analysis**

The directional relative migration using **divMigrate** (Fig. 4A) showed a predominant gene flow from northern locations (Guadalupe and San Jerónimo Island and Faro San José) to...
the rest of the southern sites. Despite the geographic proximity of San Jerónimo Island from Faro San José, migration between these locations was lower compared to the rest of the localities. A close examination of the connectivity among localities in the southern region showed no apparent predominant directional flow (Fig. 4B).

Isolation by distance

The Mantel test showed a strong relationship between linearized $F_{ST}$ and geographical distances on the full data set (Mantel $r = 0.76$, $p = 0.001$) (Fig. 5). The regression analysis showed this relationship to be positive and linear ($R^2 = 0.57$, $p < 0.001$). This pattern of IBD remains significant without the most isolated location, Guadalupe Island (Mantel $r = 0.54$, $p < 0.017$; $R^2 = 0.44$, $p = 0.005$), but it was no longer significant when only the central-south locations were analyzed (Mantel $r = 0.34$, $p = 0.129$; $R^2 = 0.23$, $p = 0.996$). This pattern was further explored using 2-dimensional kernel density plots. The dataset—including all locations—showed a discontinuity indicating a patched pattern of genetic differentiation among populations (Supplemental S7A). However, the other scatterplots showed a single patch (Supplemental S7B and S7C). These results support the regional green abalone population structure along the BCP.

DISCUSSION

The population structure results of green abalone along the BCP supports three regional genetic groups showing a clear differentiation between the oceanic island (Guadalupe Island, ~250 km apart from the coast) and the rest of the coastal localities; the second group is formed by the northern coastal localities, which include San Jerónimo Island and Faro San José; and the third group includes the southern coastal localities (Cedros Island, Punta Eugenia, Tortugas North, Tortugas South, Asunción North, Asunción South, and Bocana).
Differentiation between coastal localities and Guadalupe Island and panmixia along the central west coast of the BCP had already been reported (Zúñiga et al., 2000; Gutiérrez-González et al., 2007) supporting the results. This study expanded upon these early works covering a larger spatial scale for H. fulgens and it was able to detect a significant population structure between northern and southern coastal locations.

Gruenthal et al. (2013) reported patterns of genetic homogeneity for H. fulgens along the northernmost part of the species distribution (south of the California Bight) using a panel of 1,209 putative neutral SNPs. However, this previous study was performed in a reduced area of the species distribution range and differences might exist between the USA and Mexican locations, as studies have reported in H. corrugata using microsatellites (Díaz-Viloria et al., 2009; Munguía-Vega et al., 2015). H. fulgens seems to have an extensive gene flow among coastal locations, and the spatial scale of previous studies has been a factor to conclude panmixia. Based on the results, a sample compilation of the full species distribution range is necessary to capture a broader genomic structure and understand the biocomplexity of this fishery resource.

Shepherd et al. (1991) reported that H. fulgens juveniles and adults have limited movements, an average of ~14 min in a year (Shepherd et al., 1991). The main dispersal mechanism takes place during their pelagic larval stage, which can last from 4 to 15 days with an optimal temperature range from 20 to 23 °C (Leighton, 2000). Information about larval connectivity among local H. fulgens populations is scarce. Based on a drift tube experiment in the southern California Bight, H. fulgens larvae may move ~46 km downcoast in spring and 12 km upcoast in autumn (Tegner & Butler, 1985). Based on the regional hydrodynamics on the BCP central coast, the dispersal was also hypothesized to be limited and restricted to short distances because of the weakening of the California Current caused by kelp beds and the geographical complex coastline (Guzmán-del Proo et al., 2000). The results in this study suggested that the dispersal potential of H. fulgens might not be as limited as it has been assumed (Leighton, 2000; Morgan & Shepherd, 2006; Guzmán-del Proo et al., 2000) particularly in the central BCP.

Isolation by distance often shows biased results for cases of hierarchical structure (Meirmans, 2012). Mantel tests resulted in a significant relationship when considering all locations, even when using only coastal locations, but it was not significant within the coastal southern locations. Considering the separate clusters identified by STRUCTURE and DAPC, IBD seemed to be biased by regional population structure. Hence, IBD only plays an important role at a broad spatial scale in the system but not at regional level. This situation suggests a restricted gene flow among green abalones between the northern and southern regions and high levels of gene flow among the central and southern localities rather than a step-stone-structure.

In addition to the geographical distance, coastal features, such as headlands, generate convergences, eddy systems with counter currents, and stagnation zones, which may concentrate or retain larvae (Morgan & Shepherd, 2006). Along the BCP, the headland of Punta Eugenia has been proposed as a transitional biogeographic zone for tropical and temperate species limiting larval dispersion (Briggs, 1974; Hewitt, 1981; Lavaniegos, 2014). Moreover, this region has been considered as a phylogeographic break for many marine
species (e.g., Fundulus parvipinnis, Bernardi & Talley, 2000; Girella nigricans, Terry, Bucciarelli & Bernardi, 2000; Embiotoca jacksoni, Bernardi, 2000; Gillichthys mirabilis, Huang & Bernardi, 2001). However, this genetic break is not limited to a narrow area and might cover a wider region northward (Haupt, Micheli & Palumbi, 2013). The regional partition detected for green abalone partially coincides with the region where those previous studies detected population divergence. Hence, the results suggest that the genetic differentiation between the north and south regions might be related to this biogeographic break. Nevertheless, further sampling is necessary to precisely determine the area where the coastal split of H. fulgens occurs along the coast. The underlying mechanism that maintains the regional partition might be related to the oceanographic features of the region and habitat availability that limits the connectivity among regions. Central-south BCP has a long continuum of rocky reefs; however, the region along the coast north of Punta Eugenia (Guerrero Negro to San Jeronimo) is an extensive region with sandy habitats (Terry, Bucciarelli & Bernardi, 2000) that are not suitable for green abalone. The asymmetrical contemporary flow showed a strong bidirectional gene flow within the southern region and a predominant southward flow from the northern-southern regions. These results are consistent with the local oceanographic features where the dynamics of the sea surface currents (<200 m) along the BCP are predominantly southward with a large influence of the California Current during the year in the northern region (Lynn & Simpson, 1987; Durazo, 2015). However, seasonal changes in the ocean circulation are favored in the southern region during summer and autumn (Lluch-Belda et al., 2000). A surface counter current has also been reported in shallow regions near the coast where these small-scale processes may have provided a physical mechanism that favored transport and larval recruitment (Durazo et al., 2010; Durazo, 2015). This mesoscale process in the southern region coincided with the spawning peaks (autumn and winter) reported for H. fulgens (Ortíz-Quintanilla & León-Carballo, 1996; Vélez-Arellano et al., 2017).

Therefore, larval transport (genetic flow) may have been promoted within the central and southern zones of the BCP. The seasonal oceanographic circulation also might enhance larval dispersal between regions during the spawning season when the California Current is more intense (winter) with a southward direction, but the large habitat discontinuity north of Punta Eugenia might have a crucial role limiting larval connectivity between regions. Nevertheless, a seascape approach is needed to test the effect of ocean currents in neutral green abalone population structure.

**Management implications**

An essential prerequisite of a sustainable fishery management is the match of biologically meaningful entities and management units. Assessing population status or developing a management strategy might not be possible without first knowing where a population begins and ends (Funk et al., 2012). Unfortunately, fish stocks do not often correspond to true biological populations (Reiss et al., 2009), which may lead to reduced productivity, genetic diversity loss, local population reduction, and in extreme cases, to a local population collapse. The risk for such loss depends both on the structure itself and the size
of the component populations (Laikre, Palm & Ryman, 2005). Hence, understanding biocomplexity within stocks is important to maintain their resilience to future environmental change (Hilborn et al., 2003).

The abalone fishery in the BCP has been regulated mainly by minimum legal size, closed seasons and zones, annual quotas, and internal controls of the cooperatives (Diario Oficial de la Federación (DOF), 1993, 1994). A contemporary stock assessment uses reefs as separate stocks, and since 2000, management has mainly used catch quotas, which are assigned by reefs considering an annual growth rate for each reef (Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006). Nevertheless, the separate management units that include only a portion of a larger population may lead to population stock dynamics bias (Mullins et al., 2018) and then of the reference points, as well as stock recovery times (Hilborn & Walters, 1992; Caddy & Mahon, 1995; Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006). This study addressed a need asked by the Mexican National Institute of Fisheries in assessing and characterizing the genetic composition of H. fulgens’ reefs within and between zones (Sierra-Rodríguez et al., 2006). The results suggest that H. fulgens biological units do not support the administrative areas (Fig. 1) of the abalone fishery, so stock assessment and management areas should be revised. For instance, Guadalupe Island should be considered as an independent stock, separate from any of the coastal locations (putative Zone I). Locations in the northern part of the BPC (north of Guerrero Negro, covering San Jerónimo Island, and Faro San José) would constitute a second subpopulation (putative Zone II). All locations from Cedros Island to La Bocana (and Punta Abreojos) would be a third group (putative Zone III). Locations south of San Ignacio Lagoon, which were not sampled in this study, would keep its present classification as Zone IV, until further sampling and analysis are performed.

Nevertheless, since differences are evident in some biological and morphological characteristics, as well as productivity of H. fulgens banks along the four administrative zones (Ortíz-Quintanilla & León-Carballo, 1996; Vélez-Arellano, 2016; Guzmán-del Próo & Del Monte-Luna, 2017), the genetic results should be complemented with other techniques (morphological, reproductive and recruitment rates, etc.) to improve management decisions about the resource and help to better delineate the stock units.

CONCLUSIONS
The results of neutral genomic structure do not support the current administrative management areas of green abalone fishery in the BCP. This study showed robust patterns of population structure that should be considered to delineate stock boundaries, assessment, and management actions to ensure fishery resilience. Nevertheless, additional sampling including sites along the whole species distribution range is required to have a better understanding of the spatial genomic diversity. Furthermore, future integration of adaptive variation and environmental and oceanographic modeling will improve the understanding of the biocomplexity of this fishery resource.
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