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Abstract: Existing studies on reducing urban heat island phenomenon and building temperature have been actively conducted; however, studies on investigating the warm roof phenomenon to increase the temperature of buildings are insufficient. A cool roof is required in a high-temperature region, while a warm roof is needed in a low-temperature or cold region. Therefore, a warm roof evaluation was conducted in this study using the roof color (black, blue, green, gray, and white), which is relatively easier to install and maintain compared to conventional insulation materials and double walls. A remote sensing method via an unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV)-mounted thermal infrared (TIR) camera was employed. For warm roof evaluation, the accuracy of the TIR camera was verified by comparing it with a laser thermometer, and the correlation between the surface temperature and the room temperature was also confirmed using Pearson correlation. The results showed significant surface temperature differences ranging from 8 °C to 28 °C between the black-colored roof and the other colored roofs and indoor temperature differences from 1 °C to 7 °C. Through this study, it was possible to know the most effective color for a warm roof according to the color differences. This study gave us an idea of which color would work best for a warm roof, as well as the temperature differences from other colors. We believe that the results of this study will be helpful in heating load research, providing an objective basis for determining whether a warm roof is applied.
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1. Introduction

Reducing energy consumption is important in energy systems, experts point out [1]. The largest energy consumption in a country is observed in three sectors: buildings, industry, and transport [2,3]. At the 25th United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, the Conference of the Parties announced that the global construction sector accounts for about 40% of total carbon emissions and 36% of the energy use [4]. A key factor in future building development is to build buildings that consume less energy, are comfortable, and have low carbon emissions [5]. With the global economic development, the urbanization rate is gradually increasing, and the urban temperature continues to rise due to the global warming effect and abnormal country temperature due to the increase in the urbanization rate. Due to these abnormal temperatures in cities, interest in the urban heat island phenomenon is increasing. The main causes of the urban heat island phenomenon are the heating and cooling of buildings, operation of factories, driving of automobiles, and artificial structures of asphalt and concrete [6,7]. This phenomenon causes a rise in the temperature of the building and is accompanied by housing problems such as heating and cooling loads. For this reason, in hot summer and cold winter regions, people’s demands for indoor heating environments are increasing [8]. Various studies have
been conducted to reduce the temperatures of structures [9–11]. In particular, evaluating cool roofs, which is the most convenient method of lowering the temperature of a building and the easiest to install and maintain, has been actively investigated [12,13]. An unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) was recently employed to evaluate the existing cool and green roofs [14,15].

As mentioned above, studies on urban heat islands and the lowering of building temperatures have been actively evaluated, but no significant reports were found on the evaluation of warm roofs that increase the temperature of buildings. In regions with a severe urban heat island effect, the temperature difference in the winter is greater than in the summer, because the use of heating in the winter increases rapidly, resulting in a higher temperature in the city center. For this reason, it is necessary to study to increase the temperature of the building through a warm roof using the roof color in order to absorb more solar energy, which is natural energy [16]. The energy performance of a building is complex, because it is affected by a variety of factors, such as external temperature, insolation, exterior cladding, windows, and activities inside the building. Of these, heat loss inside the building is caused by the walls (35%), the roof (25%), the number of floors (15%), and the drafts of (15%) windows [17]. Among the five reasons for heat loss, the walls, number of floors, drafts, and windows are already determined factors when building a building, so it is not easy to change them later. In the case of the roof, it may be difficult to change later, but heat loss can be prevented more easily than other elements through color application. In the case of the roof, a warm roof evaluation is considered to be important, because it is the second-highest among the five heat loss reasons. Additionally, a cool roof is needed in tropical or high-temperature regions, while a warm roof is required in cold or low-temperature regions. As a method of raising the temperature of a building, many studies have been conducted on the development of insulation materials, reinforcement of insulation materials, and double walls [18–21].

The aforementioned methods pose structural difficulties in certain installation scenarios and other disadvantages, such as high installation and maintenance costs. However, a warm roof with black or dark paint can use the color difference to effectively absorb heat from the natural energy of the sun, increasing the heat accumulation of the roof. Therefore, this technique can be easily applied to existing buildings where other methods fail or are difficult to install. In addition, it is an excellent alternative in terms of cost, because it is easier to install even after the initial design, construction, and completion of a building.

The thermal physics mechanism for the roof is as follows [22]:

- The sun’s radiation hits the roof surface.
- Solar Reflectance: the fraction of solar emergence that is reflected by the roof (some heat is absorbed by the roof and transferred to the building below).
- Thermal Emittance: the relative ability of the roof surface to radiate absorbed heat.

In previous studies, rather than a study on evaluating a warm roof in the winter, the cool roof effect in the summer was conducted [23,24]. Another disadvantage of the existing studies is that they evaluated the model building rather than the actual building, thereby eliminating the impact of the real physical environment on the building. In addition, cold- or low-temperature regions were not considered. In the previous study, it was difficult to obtain the overall temperature of the roof surface in the case of a nonreduced model building, because satellite images, handle-type thermal infrared (TIR) images, and laser thermometers were used to evaluate the cool winter roof. In addition, the use of oblique images may result in distortion [25,26].

Since the previous studies were limited to the summer effect of the cool roof, in this study, a warm roof was evaluated by considering a cold temperature region. In the existing cool roof summer evaluation, the building surface temperature was directly measured using a model building, TIR camera, or a handheld laser thermometer. Since the scale model building may differ from the real environment, in this study, it was applied to the
real building, not the scale model building. The actual building has the same indoor area, and the roof surface is divided equally with the indoor area, and color is applied. In addition, previous studies recorded the temperature directly with a handheld TIR camera or remote-based oblique TIR image from a tall building to a low building. However, in this study, TIR images were acquired perpendicularly (90°) from a height of 50 m to the roof surface by remote sensing using a TIR camera mounted on a UAV.

2. Materials and Methods

In Section 2, Materials and Methods, as shown in Figure 1, the selection of the research site, the selection of the warm roof color, the acquisition of surface temperature and indoor temperature data, and the analysis of the correlation between the surface temperature and the indoor temperature are performed.

Figure 1. Research flow chart.

2.1. Study Method and Equipment

In this study, the cool roof performance was evaluated according to the color through a remote sensing system using Inspire 1, a rotorcraft UAV manufactured by DJI (Shenzhen, Guangdong, China), and Zenmuse XT630, a TIR camera for drones from Flir (Wilsonville, Oregon, U.S., Table 1). The XT630 is an image-based system where the difference in infrared radiation emitted by an object is displayed as a temperature value [27]. The Zenmuse XT630 can be mounted with various lens models: 6.8 mm, 7.5 mm, 9 mm, 13 mm, and 19 mm. A 13-mm lens model was used in this study, with a 45° × 37° and field of view (FOV) of 1.308 mrad. In conjunction with the TIR camera, an uncooled VOx microbolometer sensor was used, which provided a resolution of 640 × 512 pixels. The sensor offered a 17-μm pixel pitch size, with a spectral band ranging from 7.5 to 13.5 μm. The scene range of a TIR camera consists of a range of −25 °C to 135 °C (High Gain) or −40 °C to 550 °C (Low Gain) [28]. Additionally, in the UAV, the angle may change due to vibrations, but in the Zenmuse XT630, the range of the vibration angle had a precision of ±0.03 °C, and the temperature accuracy also had a high accuracy of ±5% [29]. The Zenmuse XT630 showed a precise temperature; however, since it is necessary to evaluate the accuracy of the recorded temperature, the surface temperature was also obtained through a laser thermometer for 4 out of 16 weeks (Table 1).
Table 1. UAV, TIR camera, laser thermometer, and digital thermometer specifications.

| UAV          | TIR Camera                | Laser Thermometer | Digital Thermometer |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Inspire 1    | Zenmuse XT630             | DT-8868H          | Xiaomi             |
| Weight       | 2,935 g                   |                   |                    |
| Flight altitude | Max: 4,500 m             |                   |                    |
| Flight time  | Max: 18 min               |                   |                    |
| Speed        | Max: 22 m/s               |                   |                    |
| Maximum wind resistance | 10 m/s         |                   |                    |
| Temperature range | −50 °C–1650 °C | −58°F–3002°F | 0.1 °C             |
| Temperature accuracy | ±1.0% of reading | ±0.3 °C         |
| Pixel size   | 17 μm                     |                   |                    |
| FOV          | 45° × 37°                 |                   |                    |
| Focal length | 13 mm                     |                   |                    |
| Scene range  | −25 °C ~ + 135 °C         |                   |                    |
|             | (High gain)               |                   |                    |
|             | −40 °C ~ + 550 °C         |                   |                    |
|             | (Low gain)                |                   |                    |
| Scene range  | −25 °C ~ + 135 °C         |                   |                    |
|             | (High gain)               |                   |                    |
|             | −40 °C ~ + 550 °C         |                   |                    |

The following colors were investigated for evaluating the cool roof performance: white, the most effective in the cool roof study; gray, similar to cement color; green, the existing roof color; blue, often used in factories; and black, which absorbs the most sunlight. A total of five colors were applied (Figure 2). The surface and indoor temperatures were measured using a UAV equipped with a TIR camera and a digital thermometer installed in each room (Table 1), respectively. For the digital thermometer, Xiaomi’s ultraprecision thermometer was used.

Figure 2. Study area. The red border represents the color for the warm roof performance evaluation. The five colors are presented as capital letters: A: black, B: blue, C: gray, D: green, and E: white.

2.2. Study Area

The east building of the Kyungpook National University Sangju Campus, building 9, located in Sangju-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do (Figure 2), was designated as the study site. The building on the left of building 9 was designated, because the indoor area on the 4th floor was equally divided, as shown in Figure 3, so experiments could be conducted under the same conditions. Additionally, in the case of the study site building, there were few permanent personnel, and there were few factors affecting the surface temperature, because there were no other equipment on the rooftop except for the outdoor unit of the air conditioner and heater. The outdoor unit of the air conditioner and heater was far away from the rooftop surface to which the color was applied, so it did not affect the surface temperature. Building 9 was at the highest position among the 10 buildings on the Kyungpook National University Sangju Campus (only the laboratory and lecture building) and was the closest to the sun among the buildings, because there was a difference of about 20 m compared to the lowest building (based on the height of the surface land on
which the building is built, not the height of the building). The exterior walls of the building were all covered with brown bricks, and the middle part of the building was made of all-glass windows, but the study site did not affect the temperature, because it was the building on the left with the all-glass windows. In the case of black color, it was applied, because it was considered to be effective for warm roofs, because it absorbs a lot of light. In the case of white, it was applied, because it was evaluated as the most effective color for cool roofs in many previous studies. Blue and green are mainly waterproof paints used in Korea, and gray was selected, because it was the color before applying colors such as waterproof paint at the initial stage of the building.

Figure 3. Floor plan of the study site (Photos courtesy of the KNU Facility Space Comprehensive Management System, which is available at http://ufis.knu.ac.kr (accessed on 10 July 2021)).

2.3. Data Acquisition

The surface temperature of each color of building 9 was acquired using Inspire 1, a UAV, Zenmuse XT630, a TIR camera dedicated to Flir’s UAV, and the DJI GO application that can take images and check the temperature. The data acquisition process is shown in Figure 4.

- UAV shooting altitude: 50m
- UAV camera angle: 90°
- 2 to 3 days a week, from 10:00 – 16:00 (2-hours interval)

Figure 4. Surface temperature and indoor temperature data acquisition process.
The image acquisition date (based on Korean standards) was measured for a total of 16 weeks from the third week after 7 November 2018 (the onset of winter, one of the 24 seasonal divisions) to the fifth week of February, before 6 March 2019, when winter ends (the day on which insects appear from their hideouts in the earth). During the measurements, photos were taken 2 to 3 days a week on a sunny day with little wind and clouds, considering the altitude interval of the sun from 10 o’clock to 16 hundred hours at 2-h intervals. The reason why the photo was taken using a UAV in the atmospheric state of a clear sky was to minimize the difference in solar heat intensity due to cloud cover. Wind and clouds are one of the factors that affect the temperature, but factors such as wind and clouds were excluded, because the effect on the indoor temperature was evaluated by measuring the surface temperature value according to the roof color. The data were acquired at a vertical angle (90°) from a height of about 50 m so that the surface temperature of each color could be seen at a glance (Figure 5). In the case of Inspire 1, hovering of the aircraft was very accurate when the GPS was connected. Even if the GPS connection was disconnected, the hovering accuracy was accurate vertically: 0.5m and horizontally: 2.5m through vision positioning, so it is possible to shoot stably at a vertical (90°) camera angle. The indoor temperature was acquired at the same time as the surface temperature.

TIR images acquired by UAVs were in 8-bit JPEG format and represented DN values, not temperatures. The TIR images consisted of a JPEG image with radiation data and metadata. EXIF contained the information needed to calculate the temperature with the addition of certain metadata values [30]. The temperature value can be checked only with the Flir tools and software provided by Flir in the single infrared image acquired. However, in this study, the surface temperature was obtained by converting the DN value into a temperature value through Matlab 2021a without using the commercial version of the
software. First, to convert the DN value to a temperature value, a process for converting the 8-bit JPEG format into a 16-bit TIFF image was required. The conversion was carried out using exiftool software. The 8-bit JPEG image was converted into a 16-bit TIFF image using the \textit{rawthermalimage}–\textit{b} command and the metadata stored in the jpeg image obtained after executing exiftool in the cmd window [29].

\[ H_2O = \text{Hum} \times \text{EXP}(1.5587 + 0.06939 \times \text{AirT} - 0.00027816 \times \text{AirT} + 0.00000068455 \times \text{AirT}) \]  

\[ \text{Rawrefl} = \frac{\text{PlanckR1}}{\text{PlanckR2} \times (\text{EXP}(\text{PlanckB} / (\text{AirT} + 273.15)) - \text{PlanckO})} - \text{PlanckO} \]  

\[ 3193K = X \times \text{EXP}
\left( -\sqrt{\text{Dist}} \times (\text{Alpha} 1 + \text{Beta} 1) \times \sqrt{H_2O} \right) + (1 - X) \times \text{EXP}(\sqrt{\text{Dist}} \times ((\text{Alpha} 2 + \text{Beta} 2) \times \sqrt{H_2O}) \)  

\[ \text{RawAtmosrefl} = \frac{\text{PlanckR1}}{\text{PlanckR2} \times (\text{EXP}(\text{PlanckB} / (\text{AirT} + 273.15)) - \text{PlanckO})} - \text{PlanckO} \]  

\[ \text{Rawobject} = \frac{\text{DN} - (1 - 3193K) - \text{RawAtmosrefl}}{(1 - \text{Rawrefl}) \times E} \]  

\[ T_{\text{object}} = \frac{\text{PlanckB}}{\text{LN}(\text{PlanckR1} \times (\text{Rawobject} + \text{PlanckO}) + \text{PlanckF})} - 273.15 \]  

Next was to convert the DN value into a temperature value using the converted TIFF file. This was accomplished using Equations (1)–(6), with different parameters depending on the TIR camera and the environment at the time of shooting [31]. The parameter information for the TIR camera requires PlanckR1, PlanckR2, PlanckB, PlanckF, PlanckO, Alpha1, Alpha2, Beta1, Beta2, and X [32]. These parameters are unique values stored for each sensor to calculate the attenuation by the atmosphere (Table 2). This information is stored as metadata in the TIR image at the time of the shooting. To acquire the metadata, ExifToolGUI software used to extract EXIF information was employed. Entering TIR images into the ExifToolGUI software allows viewing the overall parameter information for TIR images [33,34].

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| PlanckR1  | 17096.453 |
| PlanckR2  | 0.046642166 |
| PlanckB   | 1428 |
| PlanckF   | 1 |
| PlanckO   | −342 |
| Alpha1    | 0.006569 |
| Alpha2    | 0.012620 |
| Beta1     | −0.002276 |
| Beta2     | −0.006670 |
| X         | 1.9 |
| Dist      | 50 m |
| RAT       | 22 °C |
| Hum       | 50% |
| AirT      | 22 °C |
| E         | 0.95 |

Where Dist is the distance to the target, RAT is the reflected apparent temperature (depending on the sky conditions and humidity), Hum is the humidity, AirT is the air...
temperature, and $E$ is the emissivity. According to the shooting environment, the parameters $D$, $R$, $H$, $A$, and $E$ for the shooting environment can be set by the user. The shooting environment refers to the external factors at the time of shooting using UAV and TIR cameras (height between UAV and target, external temperature, humidity, etc.). The emissivity of the surface is generally set to 0.95 or more in the absence of snow and water; hence, this value was adopted in this study [35,36]. In addition, to ascertain the accuracy of the TIR camera, the surface temperature was acquired with a laser thermometer for four weeks when recording the surface temperature (Table 3), with the emissivity of the laser thermometer set to 0.95. Table 3 shows the difference between the surface temperature of the TIR image and the laser thermometer to confirm the temperature accuracy of the TIR image. The same temperature accuracies ($\pm 5\%$) were obtained for the Zenmuse XT630 and the laser thermometer. Tables 4 and 5 summarize the average weekly values for the TIR images' surface temperatures acquired by UAV and the indoor temperatures obtained by a digital thermometer.

Table 3. Comparison between measured temperatures using a laser thermometer and a UAV-mounted TIR sensor ($^\circ$C).

| TIR camera | White | Green | Gray | Blue | Black |
|------------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| Laser thermometer | 19.35 | 26.81 | 24.18 | 34.95 | 44.56 |
| Temperature difference | 0.11 | -0.23 | 0.17 | 0.10 | -0.19 |

Table 4. Weekly rooftop surface average temperatures at different times ($^\circ$C).

| Time | Color | 3rd Week of Nov. | 4th Week of Nov. | 5th Week of Nov. | 1st Week of Dec. | 2nd Week of Dec. | 3rd Week of Dec. | 1st Week of Jan. | 2nd Week of Jan. | 3rd Week of Jan. | 4th Week of Jan. | 1st Week of Feb. | 2nd Week of Feb. | 3rd Week of Feb. |
|------|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 10h  | White | 17.10            | 17.40            | 16.35            | 15.05            | 15.15            | 15.35            | 15.25            | 15.25            | 14.35            | 14.15            | 11.95            | 10.65            | 7.00             | 6.15             |
|      | Green | 28.20            | 29.15            | 29.85            | 28.85            | 27.55            | 29.65            | 27.85            | 26.45            | 28.15            | 25.85            | 23.25            | 21.95            | 19.85            | 18.15            |
|      | Gray  | 19.50            | 19.75            | 18.15            | 19.75            | 19.40            | 20.15            | 19.50            | 18.00            | 16.85            | 15.50            | 11.60            | 10.75            | 8.35             |                  |
|      | Blue  | 31.90            | 32.10            | 29.55            | 30.75            | 29.95            | 31.15            | 29.70            | 28.85            | 29.85            | 27.80            | 24.25            | 22.15            | 19.95            | 18.55            |
|      | Black | 41.45            | 41.30            | 39.80            | 38.25            | 38.10            | 38.65            | 38.20            | 36.30            | 37.45            | 35.25            | 33.95            | 30.10            | 29.10            | 26.20            |
|      | White | 19.80            | 19.85            | 18.35            | 17.50            | 17.15            | 17.90            | 20.15            | 15.75            | 16.60            | 14.40            | 13.10            | 9.30             | 8.05             | 5.60             |
|      | Green | 32.65            | 32.55            | 30.40            | 30.95            | 29.75            | 30.15            | 29.45            | 28.35            | 30.95            | 28.85            | 26.80            | 22.45            | 20.25            | 19.85            |
|      | Gray  | 23.95            | 23.95            | 23.30            | 22.55            | 22.45            | 22.85            | 25.45            | 20.65            | 21.55            | 19.75            | 18.40            | 15.00            | 13.80            | 11.30            |
|      | Blue  | 35.10            | 35.10            | 32.60            | 33.15            | 32.70            | 33.45            | 32.80            | 31.30            | 32.25            | 30.05            | 28.70            | 24.75            | 23.40            | 21.30            |
|      | Black | 44.20            | 44.55            | 42.55            | 44.10            | 43.30            | 44.40            | 43.40            | 41.50            | 42.90            | 40.70            | 39.55            | 35.60            | 33.85            | 32.00            |
|      | White | 22.00            | 21.90            | 20.65            | 19.70            | 19.30            | 20.00            | 19.40            | 17.90            | 18.50            | 15.40            | 11.10            | 9.20             | 7.85             |                  |
|      | Green | 34.55            | 33.95            | 32.15            | 33.45            | 34.65            | 34.75            | 35.95            | 32.15            | 33.85            | 31.25            | 30.15            | 27.05            | 25.85            | 23.90            |
|      | Gray  | 26.85            | 26.95            | 25.95            | 26.15            | 25.90            | 26.45            | 26.00            | 24.10            | 25.15            | 22.95            | 21.85            | 17.95            | 17.10            | 14.40            |
|      | Blue  | 37.15            | 36.00            | 34.70            | 36.00            | 36.25            | 36.75            | 38.90            | 34.85            | 35.45            | 33.85            | 32.50            | 28.75            | 27.75            | 25.30            |
|      | Black | 50.05            | 47.05            | 46.20            | 47.15            | 46.65            | 47.55            | 49.65            | 46.25            | 46.35            | 44.55            | 43.20            | 39.40            | 37.50            | 35.15            |
|      | White | 23.30            | 22.15            | 22.45            | 20.45            | 20.10            | 20.85            | 23.10            | 18.70            | 19.65            | 17.45            | 16.15            | 12.30            | 10.80            | 8.60             |
|      | Green | 36.55            | 37.95            | 36.45            | 38.15            | 35.15            | 36.80            | 38.45            | 34.25            | 36.10            | 35.70            | 32.65            | 28.75            | 29.40            | 17.80            |
| 14h  | Gray  | 28.30            | 28.25            | 28.05            | 28.20            | 26.05            | 27.45            | 29.05            | 24.40            | 24.85            | 25.95            | 22.25            | 20.10            | 19.00            | 15.25            |
|      | Blue  | 37.50            | 38.15            | 38.75            | 39.00            | 37.80            | 38.95            | 40.80            | 36.40            | 38.10            | 36.50            | 34.60            | 31.25            | 30.45            | 27.10            |
|      | Black | 49.55            | 49.05            | 48.25            | 47.85            | 47.65            | 48.05            | 49.85            | 48.55            | 48.25            | 46.05            | 46.85            | 41.45            | 40.85            | 38.45            |
Table 5. Weekly indoor average temperatures acquired by a thermometer at different times (°C).

| Time  | Color | 10h | 12h | 14h | 16h |
|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|       | Week  |     |     |     |     |
|       | 3rd of Nov. | 4th of Nov. | 5th of Nov. | 1st of Dec. | 2nd of Dec. | 3rd of Dec. | 4th of Dec. | 1st of Jan. | 2nd of Jan. | 3rd of Jan. | 4th of Jan. | 1st of Feb. | 2nd of Feb. | 3rd of Feb. |
| White | 16.15 | 14.40 | 9.70 | 8.40 | 7.10 | 7.70 | 7.40 | 6.30 | 4.80 | 5.20 | 2.80 | 2.50 | 2.05 |
| Green | 17.40 | 18.25 | 13.55 | 10.60 | 8.50 | 9.60 | 8.20 | 8.40 | 6.35 | 7.30 | 6.00 | 4.35 | 1.95 | 1.75 |
| Gray  | 17.15 | 16.45 | 11.90 | 9.60 | 8.40 | 8.50 | 8.05 | 7.40 | 6.25 | 6.40 | 5.85 | 4.35 | 2.15 | 1.95 |
| Blue  | 18.00 | 18.00 | 13.55 | 11.30 | 10.25 | 10.45 | 10.00 | 9.10 | 8.20 | 8.05 | 7.50 | 6.00 | 2.85 | 2.65 |
| Black | 19.40 | 19.15 | 15.30 | 12.80 | 11.25 | 11.65 | 11.15 | 10.60 | 9.40 | 9.75 | 8.95 | 7.40 | 4.85 | 3.90 |
| White | 15.80 | 14.10 | 9.45 | 8.45 | 7.25 | 7.30 | 7.35 | 6.25 | 5.10 | 5.10 | 4.85 | 3.15 | 2.35 | 2.20 |
| Green | 17.35 | 18.25 | 13.55 | 10.80 | 8.75 | 9.70 | 8.25 | 8.60 | 6.60 | 7.50 | 6.15 | 5.15 | 2.35 | 2.10 |
| Gray  | 17.45 | 16.80 | 12.00 | 9.90 | 8.50 | 9.15 | 7.85 | 7.70 | 6.15 | 6.65 | 5.75 | 4.10 | 2.25 | 2.10 |
| Blue  | 17.95 | 18.15 | 12.90 | 11.10 | 10.50 | 10.10 | 9.80 | 8.80 | 8.10 | 7.70 | 7.60 | 5.45 | 2.85 | 2.65 |
| Black | 19.80 | 19.90 | 17.25 | 13.30 | 12.35 | 12.30 | 11.75 | 11.00 | 9.95 | 9.90 | 9.55 | 8.05 | 4.25 | 4.05 |
| White | 15.90 | 13.60 | 8.90 | 8.20 | 6.75 | 5.70 | 6.60 | 5.90 | 4.35 | 3.30 | 4.40 | 2.25 | 2.70 | 2.35 |
| Green | 18.05 | 18.45 | 13.85 | 11.30 | 9.25 | 9.15 | 10.15 | 8.90 | 7.25 | 8.55 | 6.80 | 5.50 | 2.90 | 2.70 |
| Gray  | 17.35 | 16.60 | 12.00 | 9.80 | 7.85 | 7.30 | 8.10 | 7.40 | 5.85 | 4.80 | 5.70 | 3.70 | 4.20 | 2.20 |
| Blue  | 18.15 | 18.55 | 13.50 | 11.65 | 11.05 | 10.25 | 10.10 | 9.25 | 8.35 | 8.15 | 8.10 | 6.20 | 4.80 | 2.85 |
| Black | 20.75 | 20.55 | 18.55 | 15.25 | 14.25 | 14.55 | 14.10 | 10.65 | 9.55 | 7.80 | 8.50 | 6.95 | 6.15 | 5.85 |
| White | 15.70 | 13.75 | 9.10 | 7.75 | 6.30 | 5.20 | 6.45 | 5.35 | 4.10 | 3.15 | 4.05 | 2.15 | 1.75 | 1.95 |
| Green | 18.45 | 18.60 | 14.45 | 11.65 | 9.65 | 9.45 | 10.25 | 9.25 | 7.40 | 8.80 | 7.20 | 5.60 | 4.15 | 2.85 |
| Gray  | 18.85 | 17.10 | 12.45 | 10.15 | 8.35 | 7.55 | 7.90 | 7.40 | 6.15 | 4.95 | 5.95 | 3.80 | 4.45 | 2.95 |
| Blue  | 18.30 | 18.25 | 13.60 | 11.80 | 11.50 | 10.15 | 10.55 | 9.40 | 8.65 | 8.35 | 8.40 | 6.55 | 5.00 | 3.20 |
| Black | 20.95 | 20.85 | 18.95 | 16.45 | 14.65 | 14.80 | 14.30 | 11.35 | 10.65 | 10.65 | 8.90 | 7.60 | 7.15 | 6.35 |

The Pearson correlation index was obtained to confirm the correlation between the surface temperature and the indoor temperature for each color at each time zone (Table 6).

The Pearson’s correlation was between −1 and +1. The closer the value to −1, the higher the negative correlation. The closer the value to +1, the higher the positive correlation. A value of 0 indicates the absence of a correlation.

In the positive correlation, 0.3 or more and less than 0.7 means a strong correlation, and 0.7 or more means a very strong correlation [37]. The correlation between the surface temperature and the indoor temperature showed a strong correlation by color for all time periods. It can be seen that the correlation of all colors was slightly lowered after 12 o’clock, which is thought to be because the sun’s light transmitted to the roof becomes weaker as the sun’s elevation angle decreases over time.

Table 6. Correlation index between the surface temperature and room temperature through the Pearson’s correlation coefficients.

| Time  | White | Green | Gray | Blue | Black |
|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|
| 10    | 0.82  | 0.78  | 0.73 | 0.82 | 0.90  |
| 12    | 0.80  | 0.83  | 0.78 | 0.87 | 0.82  |
| 14    | 0.76  | 0.70  | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.78  |
| 16    | 0.75  | 0.69  | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.76  |

3. Results and Discussion

Section 3, Results and Discussion, compares the differences between the temperature values of the surface temperature and the indoor temperature obtained for each color. Through comparisons, a quantitative analysis was performed on the differences in temperature for each color, and an effective color was selected for a warm roof (Figure 1).

In this study, the overall average surface and indoor temperatures for each color and period were ob-
tained in 4 months (16 weeks). Figures 6 and 7 show weekly graphs for the surface temperatures and indoor temperatures. Before comparing the surface temperature and the indoor temperature between the colored roofs, it is necessary to verify whether the average difference between them is significant. Before comparing the surface temperature and the indoor temperature between the colored roofs, it is necessary to verify whether the average difference between them is significant. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for validation. ANOVA is based on the law of overall variance, where the observed variance for a given variable is split into components attributable to different sources of variation. ANOVA in its simplest form provides a statistical test for whether two or more population means are equal, so we generalize the $t$-test to more than two means. In the ANOVA, if the $p$-value is less than 0.05, it can be considered that there is a significant difference [38].

Figure 6. Weekly rooftop surface average temperatures acquired by a UAV-mounted TIR camera at different times (The vertical axis is the temperature (°C), and the horizontal axis is the weeks): (a) 10h, (b) 12h, (c) 14h, and (d) 16h.
Tables 7 and 8 are tables showing the significant results between the surface temperature of the colored roof and the indoor temperature through ANOVA. When looking at the p-values of the surface temperature and the indoor temperature, values smaller than 0.05 can be confirmed. Through this, it can be seen that there is a statistically significant difference between the surface temperature and the indoor temperature between colored roofs.

The average surface temperatures for each colored roof were: 15.85 °C for white, 29.94 °C for green, 21.35 °C for gray, 32.12 °C for blue, and 42.04 °C for black. The average indoor temperature was 6.71 °C for white, 9.25 °C for green, 8.24 °C for gray, 9.90 °C for blue, and 12.08 °C for black. In all the dates and time zones, the black-colored roof was observed to have the highest temperature, and the white-colored roof was observed to have the lowest temperature. As in previous studies, depending on the roof color and its closeness to white, the lower the temperature, and the closer it is to black, the higher the temperature [14]. Light gray was used to express the color of cement in the early stages of construction and showed a tendency toward low temperatures similar to white. In blue and green-
colored roofs, a high temperature was recorded, identical to the black-colored roof. If a good maintenance culture is not observed after construction, the color may change to dark gray or black, approaching the black-colored roof temperature value. Conversely, as the color fades over time, blue and green colors may change to white-like colors. In Figure 8, the differences between the black-colored roof temperatures and the others, which were observed to be effective on the warm roof instead of the existing cool roof, were compared. An ANOVA test was also conducted on the temperature differences, and as a result, the \( p \)-values of the surface and indoor temperatures were \( 1.66 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( 9.25 \times 10^{-4} \). It can be seen that there is also a statistically significant difference in the temperature differences between the black-colored roof and other colored roofs. The black-colored roof, which was hypothesized to be the most effective in the warm roof phenomenon, was found to have the highest surface and indoor temperatures. For the surface temperature, the black-colored roof appeared to be 8–28 °C higher than other colors, and for the indoor temperature, it was about 1–7 °C higher. Comparing the surface temperatures, the difference between the white-colored roof, reported to be effective in the existing cool roof phenomenon, and the black-colored roof, observed in this study to be effective in the warm roof phenomenon, was 28.2 °C. The differences between the green and blue-colored roofs, which are often used as the existing roof colors, were 13.1 °C and 10.9 °C. On average, the temperature differences are in the order of white > gray > green > blue (Figure 8a). In the indoor temperature comparison, the highest difference was observed between the white- and black-colored roofs, similar to the surface temperatures. The differences between green and blue, often used as the existing roof colors, were 2.8 °C and 2.2 °C. As for the indoor temperature, on average, the temperature differences were observed in the order of white > gray > green > blue, just like the surface temperatures (Figure 8b).

Table 7. ANOVA test for the comparison of surface temperature differences between colored roofs.

| Groups | Count | Sum     | Average | Variance |
|--------|-------|---------|---------|----------|
| White  | 56    | 887.45  | 15.85   | 24.31    |
| Green  | 166.65| 1195.75 | 21.35   | 25.40    |
| Gray   | 1798.90 | 42.03   | 25.02   |
| Blue   | 2354.10 | -       | -       |
| Black  | 27.10 | 27.10   | -       | -       |

Table 8. ANOVA test for the comparison of indoor temperature differences between colored roofs.

| Groups | Count | Sum     | Average | Variance |
|--------|-------|---------|---------|----------|
| White  | 56    | 375.70  | 6.71    | 16.19    |
| Green  | 161.60 | 9.24    | 22.21   |
| Gray   | 554.20 | 9.90    | 19.34   |
| Blue   | 676.30 | 12.08   | 23.16   |
| Black  | 222.30 | 10.99   | 2.77E-08| 2.40     |

Source of Variation: Sum of squares, Degrees of freedom, Mean of squares, F-value, p-value, F-critical value.
Figure 8. Overall average surface and indoor temperatures by colors (°C): (a) surface temperature and (b) indoor temperature

4. Conclusions

In this study, a warm roof was evaluated by remote sensing using a TIR camera mounted on a UAV. There are various methods for reducing heat loss, but these methods are costly and time-consuming and are difficult to apply to existing buildings and various buildings. It can be one of the more eco-friendly methods by using a warm roof and using color to prevent heat loss and absorb natural sunlight. It is considered to be the cheapest and most efficient method to increase the efficiency of building energy through the warm roof evaluation using UAVs conducted in this study. In general, white is known as a color
that reflects a lot of light, and black is a color that absorbs a lot of light. The reason why white was applied in the cool roof evaluation was because we knew that white reflects a lot of light. As a result of the evaluation in the previous study, it was confirmed that the surface temperature was lower than that of other colors, and as the surface temperature decreased, the indoor temperature also decreased.

In previous studies, there has been no study to evaluate the color of warm roof roofs to meet the heating load in the winter and the alleviation of the urban heat island phenomenon in cold areas using the black properties that absorb a lot of light. In this study, since we know that black absorbs a lot of light, we applied a remote sensing technique using a UAV and TIR camera to a real building, not a model building, by applying a color different from black. For the warm roof evaluation, the accuracy of the TIR camera was verified by comparing it with a laser thermometer, and the correlation between the surface temperature and the indoor temperature was also confirmed using Pearson’s correlation. As a result, in comparing a black-colored roof to other colored roofs, surface temperature differences from 8°C to 28°C were observed, and for indoor temperatures, differences of about 1–7°C were obtained. Comparing the average surface and indoor temperatures for each colored roof and period, the relative differences between the black-colored roof and the others were seen in the order of white > gray > green > blue. The white-colored roof, which has been effective in existing cool roofs, showed the lowest surface and indoor temperatures, because it reflects a lot of light. On the other hand, the black-colored roof, which absorbs a lot of light, showed the highest surface and indoor temperatures. In addition, the closer the roof colors are to black, the higher their surface and indoor temperatures, and the closer they are to white, the lower their surface and indoor temperatures. As a result, it was confirmed that the black color absorbs more light than other colors, and thus, the surface temperature is high, and it is confirmed that the indoor temperature increases as the surface temperature increases.

Since heating costs and carbon dioxide can be reduced for every 1°C increase in the indoor temperature [39], it is recommended to consider black-colored roofs in cold regions. In addition, it is thought that the urban heat island phenomenon can be reduced by lowering the heating load by absorbing solar energy by applying a black roof color to lower the heating load, which is the cause of the urban heat island phenomenon. In the past, it was necessary to measure the surface temperature directly with a laser thermometer, but in our study, it was confirmed that the warm roof evaluation could be easily performed using a UAV and a TIR camera. We believe that the results of this study will be helpful in heating load research.

In this study, the surface temperature and indoor temperature were acquired using a UAV, a TIR camera for a UAV, and an indoor thermometer. A warm roof evaluation was performed by comparing the correlation and temperature values of the acquired surface temperature and indoor temperature. This study gave us an idea of which colors would work best for a warm roof and what the temperature values for each color would be.

Based on the results of this study, in future research, the evaluation of warm roofs will be conducted through multiple regression analyses and temperature comparisons, considering the material, thickness, and shape of the roofs. In addition, it is necessary to quantitatively predict the effect of reducing the heating energy consumption due to the application of a warm roof and to analyze whether an increase in solar radiation flowing into a building affects the heating energy consumption. Through this analysis, it is thought that it will be possible to provide objective evidence for judging whether a warm roof should be applied.
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