Article

Physiological Activity, Nutritional Composition, and Gene Expression in Apple (Malus domestica Borkh) Influenced by Different ETc Levels of Irrigation at Distinct Development Stages
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Abstract: Managing irrigation efficiently is paramount given the uncertainty in the future availability of water and rising demand for this resource. Scheduled irrigation significantly influences vegetative growth through improving crop physiology and nutrient uptake and use efficiency. Influence of different irrigation treatments (100%, 75%, and 50% volume of Class A pan evapotranspiration) applied at four different phenological stages (flowering and fruit set (C1), fruit growth stage (C2), pre-harvest stage (C3), and throughout the growing season (C4)) through drip along with a control (rainfed) on leaf physiology, nutrient content, and uptake through gene expression was studied on Super Chief Sandidge variety raised on M9T337 (5 and 6 years old) grown at a spacing of 1.5 × 3 m (2222 plants/ha) under high density condition of Kashmir Himalayan range of India. A comparison of data reveals that drip irrigation at 100% Crop evapotranspiration (ETc) increased leaf area by 60% compared to rainfed conditions. Leaf area significantly increased in plants irrigated throughout the growing season (C4) and during flowering and fruit set stage (C1). Irrigation amount likely does not have any influence on leaf development after the fruit growth stage. Stomatal opening and their size greatly vary from no irrigation to optimum irrigation in these plants. High density apple trees exposed to optimum irrigation levels (100% and 75% ET) had significantly higher concentrations of nutrients (N, P, and K) in their leaf tissues. The concentration of Ca and Mg content in leaf tissues are greatly influenced by the optimum supply of water during the early growth stages of apple growth. The availability of water significantly influences nutrient transporter gene expression and thus nutrient uptake by regulating such transporter genes. It is therefore observed that proper irrigation during C1 and stage C2 stage are the critical growth stages of apple for optimum leaf physiological activity and proper nutrient uptake.
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1. Introduction

Apple, Malus domestica Borkh, is the commercially grown fruit crop across globe and third-largest produced fruit in India. The cultivation of this crop has seen a drift from
commercial cultivation to high-density plantation in recent decades. The orchardists across the globe are shifting to high-density plantations due to high returns and better quality produce. However, these high-density orchards require better cultural practices and are more susceptible to water stress conditions. Such orchards cannot be irrigated with conventional flood irrigation and require scheduled irrigation through the drip. Furthermore, the current situation of water scarcity requires more efficient use of land and water resources for horticulture growth as estimates International Water Management Institute in their report, that 25 percent of the world population would experience severe water scarcity by the year 2025 [1]. Several research studies conducted worldwide show that irrigation water plays a critical role in the overall growth of crops and shows a significant influence on various tree characteristics like plant growth, rootstock function, and quality and disease-free fruit production [2,3]. In the long run, it helps in overall rural development, enhancing farmer’s income through a reduction in the input cost of water, fertilizer, insecticide, herbicide, etc., and increases income through higher yields and better quality fruits [4]. Irrigation scheduling in high-density apple plantations has been reported to be the most significant factor affecting uptake of mineral nutrients, and thus tree growth [5], and as such, nutrient deficiency and water stress in these orchards are increasingly challenging their success at large scale. Scheduled irrigation is therefore a useful way to maintain a crop’s physiological state and nutrient balance within the crop [6]. The researchers report that different irrigation methods had different effects on the uptake of nutrient elements [7]. Many researchers have, however, reported that any reduction in irrigation amount does not hold any significant influence on the concentration of major nutrients like N, P, and K in leaves [8,9]. However, it is also seen that the literature on the influence of various irrigation regimes on leaf nutrients mainly focuses on calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) because the moment of these nutrients in plants is primarily by mass flow [10] and therefore are most affected by irrigation.

As leaves are the primary source of photosynthesis and other physiological activities, it is important to understand the influence of different levels of irrigation on these conditions. Chlorophyll content in leaves has been considered an important trait for crop production. Likewise, leaf relative water content significantly influences photosynthesis and is the appropriate measure of plant water status. As most of the water is lost by evaporation, stomas hold significant importance for monitoring plant–water balance [11], and therefore it is important to understand how irrigation levels influence stomatal density and structures in plants.

Potassium (K\textsuperscript{+}) contributes to many physiological and metabolic activities, like maintenance of cellular osmolarity, neutralization of anions, and control of stomatal opening, etc., [12]. The deficiency of this nutrient significantly reduces photosynthesis resulting in poor growth and development of crops [13,14]. For optimal growth, this nutrient must be effectively absorbed by plants from the soil via roots. K\textsuperscript{+} transporter genes like KT/HAK/KUP groups are ubiquitously present in plants, which implies their significant role in plant tolerance under water stress conditions [15,16]. However, the molecular basis of how different irrigation levels influence the expression of these genes is largely rare. Understanding the importance of these facts and the necessity to observe critical stages of irrigation depending on its influence on various crop phenological stages, which has not been addressed much in earlier studies, this work was undertaken to study the influence of different levels of irrigation on leaf physiological characteristics, nutrient concentration, and expression of transporter genes and to determine critical stages of irrigation for apple under high density plantation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Material

The field experiment was executed at the experimental farm of SKUAST-K, Main campus Shalimar, J&K, India on Super Chief Sandidge variety planted in 2013 on M9T337 dwarfing rootstock during 2017 and 2018 (Figure 1). Soil composition of the experimental farm is presented in Table 1 [17]. Irrigation was given through drip irrigation system with...
a discharge capacity of 4 l/hour in one dripper during the morning hours for the whole period of the experiment. The drip line was installed 60 cm above ground with 40 cm distance between two drippers. Irrigation water used for the experiment was fresh water obtained from canal and collected in irrigation pump system. Three irrigation levels at 100% ETc, 75% ETc, and 50% ETc were given at various crop phenological stages along with a control (rainfed) which resulted in a total of 13 different treatment combinations (Table 2, Figure 2). The daily water requirement for each treatment was calculated using the following FAO methodology:

\[
ETc = \frac{Epa \times Kp \times Kc \times AA \times AC}{IE}
\]

where
- \(ETc\) = Crop evapotranspiration
- \(Epa\) = Daily pan evaporation (mm)
- \(Kc\) = Crop coefficient
- \(Kp\) = Pan coefficient (taken as 0.75)
- \(AA\) = Area allotted per plant (m²)
- \(AC\) = Area shaded by canopy at noon (%)
- \(IE\) = Irrigation efficiency of the system (90%) taken as decimal

The total irrigation amount (liters/plant/day) that was applied was calculated using formula

\[
\text{Irrigation to be applied (liters/plant/day)) = \left[ETc - \text{Effective rainfall}\right]
\]

where Effective rainfall was calculated based on FAO guidelines

Monthly irrigation water applied at different ETc is given in Table 3.
Table 2. Treatment combinations used in the experiment.

| Treatment | Treatment Combination | Irrigation Applied (Litre/Plant) |
|-----------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
|           |                        | 2017  | 2018  |
| T1        | Rainfed                | I₀    | -     |
| T2        | Drip Irrigation at 100% ETc during flowering and fruit set | I₁ C₁ | 95.80 | 87.95 |
| T3        | Drip Irrigation at 75% ETc during flowering and fruit set | I₂ C₁ | 72.30 | 65.75 |
| T4        | Drip Irrigation at 50% ETc during flowering and fruit set | I₃ C₁ | 47.60 | 43.78 |
| T5        | Drip Irrigation at 100% ETc during fruit growth stage | I₁ C₂ | 320.61 | 350.25 |
| T6        | Drip Irrigation at 75% ETc during fruit growth stage | I₂ C₂ | 240.08 | 262.10 |
| T7        | Drip Irrigation at 50% ETc during fruit growth stage | I₃ C₂ | 158.90 | 174.36 |
| T8        | Drip Irrigation at 100% ETc during pre-harvest stage | I₁ C₃ | 214.35 | 182.18 |
| T9        | Drip Irrigation at 75% ETc during pre-harvest stage | I₂ C₃ | 160.78 | 136.23 |
| T10       | Drip Irrigation at 50% ETc during pre-harvest stage | I₃ C₃ | 106.80 | 89.90 |
| T11       | Drip Irrigation at 100% ETc throughout the growing season | I₁ C₄ | 631.30 | 638.20 |
| T12       | Drip Irrigation at 75% ETc throughout the growing season | I₂ C₄ | 472.80 | 464.00 |
| T13       | Drip Irrigation at 50% ETc throughout the growing season | I₃ C₄ | 306.70 | 307.90 |

Figure 2. Average daily irrigation requirement (liter/plant/day) and pan evaporation for apple during the growing period.

2.2. Location and Weather

The experimental farms of the SKUAST-K campus are at an elevation of 1605 m above mean sea level with latitude and longitude of 34°05′ N and 74°50′ E, respectively. During winter the average day temperature is ~2.5 °C, and night temperatures are below freezing. Summers are usually warm. The annual rainfall during 2017 and 2018 was 1229.9 and 790.04 mm, respectively, with annual evaporation of 998.98 mm and 1798.9 mm during the respective years. The maximum and minimum temperature and relative humidity during the experimental period are shown in Figure 3. The daily and monthly weather data and pan evaporation data were recorded from the Agrometological station of SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Srinagar, during experimentation.
Figure 3. Daily meteorological data during experimental period (2017 and 2018).

2.3. Leaf Physiological Characteristics

The leaf area of each sample comprising of 30 leaves was collected at random from different directions of each experimental tree and measured with the help of a leaf area meter (221 Systronics) and expressed in square centimeters. Furthermore, leaf area at the end of C1 stage, C2 stage, and harvest were recorded to observe the change in leaf area during different growth stages. Chlorophyll content in leaf samples (mg/g fresh weight) was estimated by Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) method given by Arnon [18]. Chlorophyll
content was calculated using the formula given by Richardson et al. [19] and expressed in terms of mg/g fresh weight.

\[
\text{Total chlorophyll } (a + b) = \frac{20.2 \times (A_{645}) + 8.02 \times (A_{663}) \times V}{1000 \times W}
\]

\( W = \text{Weight of plant tissue (g)} \)
\( A = \text{Absorbance at specific wavelength (645 and 663)} \)
\( V = \text{final volume of DMSO (mL)} \)

The leaf relative water content was determined as described by Slavik [20]. The relative water content (RWC) was then calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{RWC} = \frac{\text{Fresh Weight (FW)} - \text{Dry Weight (DW)}}{\text{Turgid Weight (TW)} - \text{Dry Weight (DW)}} \times 100
\]

Stomatal studies. The number of stomata in the leaves of treated plants was measured with the help of ocular and stage micrometer (ERMA, Tokyo, Japan). A thin layer of a quick fix was applied on the undersurface of tagged leaves. After drying the peels were separated and put on slides to be observed under the microscope as suggested by Beakbane and Majumdar [21] using 4××100× magnification (Figure 4).

\[
\text{Number of stomata per microscopic field} = \frac{\text{Stomata number/mm}^2}{\text{Area of microscopic field (mm}^2)}
\]

### Table 3. Monthly water requirement at three ETc levels (100%, 75%, and 50%) for high density apple plantation (2017 and 2018).

| Month   | ETc  | Total Irrigation (Liter) 2017 | 100% ETc | 75% ETc | 50% ETc |
|---------|------|-------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| April   | 44.2 | 17.4                          | 13.2     | 8.6     |
| May     | 103.2| 78.4                          | 59.1     | 32.4    |
| June    | 152.0| 133.8                         | 100.3    | 66.1    |
| July    | 201.2| 186.8                         | 139.7    | 92.8    |
| August  | 167.0| 159.4                         | 119.1    | 79.5    |
| September | 62.5 | 55.5                          | 41.4     | 27.3    |
| Total   | 730.3| 631.3                         | 472.8    | 306.7   |

| Month   | ETc  | Total Irrigation (Liter) 2018 | 100% ETc | 75% ETc | 50% ETc |
|---------|------|-------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| April   | 37.6 | 13.4                          | 10       | 6.7     |
| May     | 90.8 | 74.5                          | 55.7     | 37      |
| June    | 181.3| 170.5                         | 127.6    | 84.8    |
| July    | 219.6| 197.7                         | 134.5    | 89.5    |
| August  | 169.0| 109.7                         | 82.3     | 54.1    |
| September | 72.6 | 72.4                          | 53.9     | 35.8    |
| Total   | 770.9| 638.2                         | 464.0    | 307.9   |

#### 2.4. Leaf Nutrient Status

Fresh leaf samples from all the treatments were collected and processed for analysis in mid-august as per the protocol given by [22]. Processed leaf samples were digested for nitrogen estimation following protocol as suggested by Jackson [23]. Digestion of leaf samples for P, K, Ca, and Mg nutrient estimation was done using diacid mixture of nitric acid and perchloric acid in the ratio of 4:1.
Table 4. Primer sequences for amplification of nutrient transporter genes in apple with expected amplicons lengths.

| Primer | Description                  | Forward Primer (5’–3’)                  | Reverse Primer 3’–5’ | Amplicon Size (bp) |
|--------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| MdNFPr | Nitrogen transporter gene    | GCCAACCAGGTTCCTCAAA                    | ACCCACCAGGAAGACTGTG  | 170                |
| POTT   | Potassium transporter gene   | CAGAGTTCCATTCCGTCAGA                  | CTTGCTTCATCATGCTCT   | 277                |

Real-time PCR was performed in a LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument (Roche Diagnostics (Basel, Switzerland)) using the SYBR Green I Master kit (LightCycler 480). Reactions were performed in triplicate and contained 5 μL SYBR Green I Master, 2 μL PCR-grade water, 1.5 μL cDNA, and 0.5 μL of each of the 10 μM forward and reverse gene-
specific primers in a final volume of 10 μL. Tubulin gene was taken as a reference gene and POTT gene expression during drip irrigation at 100% ETc throughout the growing season as a positive control. Fluorescence data was collected using LightCycler 480 software (version 1.5; Roche Diagnostics) [24].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The results obtained were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan’s Multiple range test. All statistical tests were done using SAS software.

3. Results

3.1. Leaf Physiological Characteristics

The highest leaf area of 25.60 cm² was recorded in 100% ETc which was 24 percent higher in comparison to rainfed conditions (Table 5; Figure 5). The leaf area under different interactions ranged between 19.85 cm² to 31.85 cm². The highest leaf area was observed in I₁C₄ interaction (31.85 cm²) which was 40 percent higher compared to rainfed conditions followed by I₂C₄ (31.06 cm²) (Figure 5). Leaf chlorophyll content varied between 1.53 and 2.23 mg/g FW based on two years pooled data under present investigation and was significantly higher under different levels of irrigation compared to rainfed conditions (Table 5; Figure 6). The leaf chlorophyll content was noted to be ~21 percent higher under I₁ level of irrigation (100% ETc) as compared to rainfed plants.

Table 5. Effect of different irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages on leaf area and leaf chlorophyll content (mg/g fresh weight) of apple (cv. Super Chief Sandidge).

| Irrigation Level (I) | Leaf Area (cm²) | Leaf Chlorophyll (mg/g) |
|----------------------|----------------|------------------------|
|                      | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled |
| I₀                   | 19.12 b | 19.58 c | 18.85 c | 1.59 b | 1.48 b | 1.53 b |
| I₁                   | 25.45 a | 25.75 a | 25.60 a | 2.00 a | 1.96 a | 1.96 a |
| I₂                   | 25.02 a | 25.16 a | 25.09 a | 1.97 a | 1.94 a | 1.94 a |
| I₃                   | 23.78 b | 22.37 b | 23.57 b | 1.95 a | 1.85 ab | 1.90 a |
| Pr > F               | 0.0182 | 0.0184 | 0.013 | 0.041 | 0.002 | <0.0001 |

Crop Phenological Stage (C)

|                      | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled |
|----------------------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|
| C₁                   | 23.67 b | 26.83 b | 24.99 b | 1.74 b | 1.71 c | 1.71 c |
| C₂                   | 23.15 b | 22.03 c | 23.03 c | 1.97 ab | 1.93 b | 1.95 b |
| C₃                   | 21.00 c | 20.30 d | 20.65 d | 1.97 ab | 1.91 b | 1.94 b |
| C₄                   | 30.52 a | 30.84 a | 30.68 a | 2.21 a | 2.10 a | 2.16 a |
| Pr > F               | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.035 | 0.002 | <0.0001 |

Irrigation Level × Crop Phenological Stage (IC)

|                      | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled | 2017 | 2018 | Pooled |
|----------------------|------|------|--------|------|------|--------|
| I₀                   | 19.12 b | 19.58 b | 18.85 b | 1.59 c | 1.48 e | 1.53 d |
| I₁ C₁                | 25.64 d | 27.40 c | 26.04 c | 1.78 abc | 1.74 bcd | 1.76 cd |
| I₂ C₁                | 23.84 ef | 26.95 ed | 25.19 cd | 1.73 abc | 1.72 cd | 1.72 cd |
| I₃ C₁                | 20.61 hi | 26.14 d | 23.73 de | 1.71 bc | 1.69 d | 1.70 d |
| I₁ C₂                | 24.68 de | 23.14 c | 24.39 cde | 1.98 abc | 1.94 bc | 1.96 ab |
| I₂ C₂                | 23.44 f | 22.08 f | 22.96 ef | 1.97 abc | 1.93 bc | 1.95 ab |
| I₃ C₂                | 21.33 gh | 21.99 f | 21.30 f | 1.97 abc | 1.91 bc | 1.94 ab |
| I₁ C₃                | 20.88 ghi | 20.75 f | 21.82 g | 1.96 abc | 1.82 bc | 1.90 bc |
| I₂ C₃                | 21.86 f | 20.19 gh | 20.02 g | 1.99 abc | 1.97 bc | 1.97 c |
| I₃ C₃                | 20.27 hi | 19.96 gh | 20.11 g | 1.97 abc | 1.95 bc | 1.96 c |
| I₁ C₄                | 31.96 a | 31.70 a | 31.85 a | 2.26 a | 2.22 a | 2.23 a |
| I₂ C₄                | 30.70 b | 31.43 a | 31.06 a | 2.21 a | 2.19 a | 2.18 a |
| I₃ C₄                | 28.91 c | 29.40 b | 29.15 b | 1.97 abc | 1.99 b | 1.98 ab |
| Pr > F               | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | 0.008 | <0.0001 | <0.0001 |

Means followed by the same letter within the columns are not significantly different (p = 0.05) using Duncan’s multiple range test. Means followed by the same letter within the columns are not significantly different (p = 0.05) using Duncan’s multiple range test. I₀—No irrigation, I₁—100% ETc, I₂—75% ETc, I₃—50% ETc, C₁—Flowering and fruit set (April–May), C₂—Fruit growth stage (June–July), C₃—Pre-harvest stage (August–15 September), C₄—Throughout the growing season (April–September).
Figure 5. Change in leaf area during various crop phenological stages in response to different levels of irrigation.

Figure 6. Influence of different irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages on (a) leaf chlorophyll content, (b) relative water content, and (c) stomatal density.

The results of the present study revealed that leaf relative water content ranged between 69.91 to 81.04 percent during the two years of study (Table 6; Figure 6). The highest leaf relative water content (76.29%) was noticed in plants irrigated at 100% ETc which significantly decreased with lower levels of ETc and was found lowest under rainfed conditions. Plants irrigated throughout the growing season (C4 stage) registered the highest leaf relative water content followed by plants irrigated during the fruit growth stage. Further, it was observed from the interaction effect that increases in leaf relative water content varied in the range of 3 to 9 percent as compared to rainfed conditions. Leaf relative water content decreased by 16 percent under rainfed conditions when compared to leaf relative water content from plants irrigated at 100% ETc throughout the growing season.
100% ETc was 12.2 percent higher compared to control (rainfed conditions). Similarly, (Table 6; Figures 6 and 7). Stomatal density recorded in leaves from plants irrigated at various crop phenological stages ranged between 2 to 18 percent compared to rainfed conditions. Similarly, significant variations were observed with respect to stomatal density under various combinations ranged between 2 to 18 percent compared to rainfed conditions. The pooled two-year data indicated that stomatal density ranged between 16.8 \text{\mu m} to 203 \text{mm}^{-2} in response to different levels of irrigation at various crop phenological stages (Table 6; Figures 6 and 7). Stomatal density recorded in leaves from plants irrigated at 100% ETc was 12.2 percent higher compared to control (rainfed conditions). Similarly, stomatal density was observed highest in leaves from plants irrigated throughout the growing season which had no statistical significance with trees irrigated during the fruit growth stage. Among various combinations, I1C4 showed the highest stomatal density of 203.00 mm^{-2} which was 18 percent higher than rainfed conditions, and an increase in stomatal density under various combinations ranged between 2 to 18 percent compared to rainfed conditions. Similarly, significant variations were observed with respect to stomatal size. Plants irrigated at 100% ETc registered the highest stomatal size (37.8 \times 29.4 \mu m), followed by plants irrigated at lower levels of ETc while the lowest stomata size was observed in plants from rainfed conditions (21.0 \times 16.8 \mu m) (Table 7).
3.2. Leaf Nutrient Status

From the results, it is pertinent that plants exposed to the 100% and 75% ETc treatments had significantly higher concentrations of nutrients (N, P, and K) in their leaf tissue compared to all other treatments (Table 8). The highest leaf N content (2.03%), P content (0.28%), and K content (1.35%) were recorded at 100 percent ETc (I1) level of irrigation which was significantly at par with leaf N and P content at 75 percent ETc level of irrigation. Leaf N, P, and K were 15, 28, and 10 percent higher, respectively, in plants irrigated at 100% ETc compared to rainfed conditions. Similarly, plants irrigated throughout the growing season (C4 stage) registered the highest leaf nutrient content. Irrigating plants during the flowering and fruit set stage and fruit growth stage produced similar results with respect to the leaf nutrient content. The Ca and Mg concentrations in leaf tissue were also higher under 100% ET and 75% ET treatments compared to rainfed treatment (Table 8). Ca and Mg content in leaves from fully irrigated plants was 11 and 15 percent higher compared to rainfed conditions and was 12 and 20 percent higher in plants irrigated throughout the growing season (C4 stage) compared to plants irrigated only during the pre-harvest stage.

Table 8. Effect of different irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages on leaf nutrient content.

| Treatment | Length (L) \times Width (W) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
|           | 2017                        | 2018                        |
| I0        | 25.2 f × 16.8 de            | 21.0 g × 16.8 f             |
| I1C1      | 29.4 e × 15.6 de            | 29.4 e × 17.8 3             |
| I2C1      | 29.4 e × 16.8 f             | 28.2 e × 16.8 f             |
| I3C1      | 28.4 e × 16.7 e             | 25.2 f × 20.0 c             |
| I1C2      | 33.6 c × 16.8 e             | 33.8 c × 21.7 c             |
| I2C2      | 32.8 c × 19.8 e             | 31.5 d × 21.7 d             |
| I3C2      | 30.8 d × 17.8 d             | 30.8 d × 16.8 d             |
| I1C3      | 35.2 b × 21.7 b             | 35.7 b × 25.2 b             |
| I2C3      | 35.2 b × 19.3 c             | 33.8 c × 21.0 c             |
| I3C3      | 33.8 c × 19.2 c             | 33.8 c × 19.2 c             |
| I1C4      | 37.8 a × 25.20 a            | 37.8 a × 29.4 a             |
| I2C4      | 37.1 a × 24.7 a             | 35.7 a × 25.2 a             |
| I3C4      | 35.4 a × 24.2 a             | 35.7 a × 21.7 a             |
| Pr > F    | <0.0001 (L)                 | <0.0001 (L)                 |
|           | <0.0001 (W)                 | <0.0001 (W)                 |

I0-No irrigation, I1—100% ETc, I2—75% ETc, I3—50% ETc, C1—Flowering and fruit set (April–May), C2—Fruit growth stage (June–July), C3—Pre-harvest stage (August–15 September), C4—throughout the growing season (April–September).

3.2. Leaf Nutrient Status

From the results, it is pertinent that plants exposed to the 100% and 75% ETc treatments had significantly higher concentrations of nutrients (N, P, and K) in their leaf tissue.
compared to all other treatments (Table 8). The highest leaf N content (2.03%), P content (0.28%), and K content (1.35%) were recorded at 100 percent ETc ($I_1$) level of irrigation which was significantly at par with leaf N and P content at 75 percent ETc level of irrigation. Leaf N, P, and K were 15, 28, and 10 percent higher, respectively, in plants irrigated at 100% ETc compared to rainfed conditions. Similarly, plants irrigated throughout the growing season ($C_4$) stage registered the highest leaf nutrient content. Irrigating plants during the flowering and fruit set stage and fruit growth stage produced similar results with respect to the leaf nutrient content. The Ca and Mg concentrations in leaf tissue were also higher under 100% ET and 75% ET treatments compared to rainfed treatment (Table 8). Ca and Mg content in leaves from fully irrigated plants was 11 and 15 percent higher compared to rainfed conditions and was 12 and 20 percent higher in plants irrigated throughout the growing season ($C_4$ stage) compared to plants irrigated only during the pre-harvest stage.

Table 8. Effect of different irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages on leaf nutrient content.

| Irrigation Level (I) | N   | P   | K   | Ca   | Mg   |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| $I_0$               | 1.71b | 0.20b | 1.21b | 1.28b | 0.28b |
| $I_1$               | 2.03a | 0.28a | 1.35a | 1.45a | 0.33a |
| $I_2$               | 1.94a | 0.26a | 1.33ab| 1.41a | 0.31a |
| $I_3$               | 1.87ab| 0.26a | 1.31ab| 1.40a | 0.30a |
| Pr > F              | 0.012b| 0.004  | 0.0011<0.0001 | 0.0003  |

Crop Phenological Stage (C)

| C1       | 1.81ab | 0.25b  | 1.30b  | 1.40c  | 0.32b  |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| C2       | 1.85ab | 0.27b  | 1.32b  | 1.44b  | 0.32b  |
| C3       | 1.76b  | 0.23c  | 1.26c  | 1.33d  | 0.27b  |
| C4       | 2.34a  | 0.31a  | 1.45a  | 1.51a  | 0.34a  |
| Pr > F   | <0.0001  <0.0001 | <0.0001  <0.0001 | 0.0002  |

Irrigation Level × Crop Phenological Stage (IC)

| $I_0$   | 1.71c  | 0.20c  | 1.21c  | 1.28f  | 0.25d  |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| $I_1$   | 1.92b  | 0.26abcd| 1.32cd| 1.43cd| 0.34bc |
| $I_2$   | 1.88b  | 0.25bcde| 1.30cde| 1.40cde| 0.32cd |
| $I_3$   | 1.77bc | 0.25bcde| 1.29de| 1.38de| 0.32cd |
| $I_1$   | 1.87b  | 0.28abcd| 1.36cd| 1.46ef| 0.33bc |
| $I_2$   | 1.81bc | 0.27abcd| 1.33cd| 1.43ef| 0.32bc |
| $I_3$   | 1.75c  | 0.24cde| 1.27de| 1.43f  | 0.30cd |
| $I_1$   | 1.78bc | 0.24cde| 1.27de| 1.34bc| 0.29bc |
| $I_2$   | 1.76bc | 0.23de| 1.26de| 1.34cd| 0.28bc |
| $I_3$   | 1.75bc | 0.23de| 1.26de| 1.31cd| 0.27bc |
| $I_1$   | 2.48a  | 0.31a  | 1.48a  | 1.58a  | 0.36a  |
| $I_2$   | 2.35a  | 0.31ab | 1.46b  | 1.51b  | 0.34ab |
| $I_3$   | 2.19ab | 0.30abc| 1.42bc| 1.45bc| 0.33abc |
| Pr > F  | <0.0001| <0.0001| <0.0001| <0.0001| 0.008  |

3.3. Expression of Nutrient Uptake Genes

Relative expression studies for POTT (potassium transporter) gene to different levels of irrigation at various crop phenological stages showed significant variability. The expression level ranged from 60 to 100% (where 100% was kept for positive calibrator) (Figures 8 and 9).
Figure 8. Semiquantitative gene expression through Rt-PCR of gene POTT and MdNPFr6.5.

Figure 9. Relative quantification of gene POTT and tubulin in different irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages in apple (cv. Super Chief Sandidge).

4. Discussion

The rate of leaf development plays a significant role in fruit productivity of the crop as absorption of photosynthetically active radiations (PAR) and dry matter accumulation primarily depends on the area of leaf and therefore it is imperative to study the influence of irrigation levels on leaf development. The larger the leaf area, the more the PAR is absorbed by the plant and, thus, more accumulation of dry matter. The leaf area under the present
investigation significantly increased with increasing irrigation, which may be attributed to more frequent callus tissue formation on the well-watered plants. Our findings are similar to those reported by Gigova et al. [25] who advocated that water is the most limiting factor affecting proper leaf area development. Kucukyumuk and Kacal [26] also documented that different irrigation regimes had a significant influence on the leaf area of apples and recorded the highest leaf area in plants frequently irrigated than those irrigated at longer intervals. Similarly, Yuste et al. [27] in grapes, Klamkowski and Treder [28] in strawberry, and Eid et al. [29] in apricot obtained results of a similar trend.

Chlorophyll content in leaves is an important trait for crop production. The corresponding increase in leaf chlorophyll content in plants irrigated at different levels of irrigation at various crop phenological stages was noted to vary between 10 and 31 percent compared to rainfed conditions. Low chlorophyll content in leaves from plants supplied with no irrigation might be due to inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis or disorganization of chloroplasts in the leaves which resulted from water restriction conditions. It might also be due to the significant decrease in mineral contents of leaves, particularly Mg, as Mg is an important constituent of chlorophyll. In the study, leaves from fully irrigated trees had a higher level of Mg content that might have accounted for the higher accumulation of chlorophyll content in leaves from fully irrigated plants. Similar observations were made by Trigo-Córdoba et al. [30] who reported low chlorophyll content in grape cultivars under rainfed conditions and 50% ETc irrigation. Javadi et al. [31] in pear, Haifeng et al. [32] on citrus, and Gholami et al. [33] in fig also documented higher chlorophyll content under irrigated conditions compared to no irrigation.

Leaf relative water content that signifies the metabolic activity in tissues [34] indicating the balance between water absorbed and transpired by leaves, declined significantly due to water restriction conditions under lower levels of ETc and rainfed conditions. This decrease in leaf relative water content could have been due to the unavailability of water in the soil and the leaves could not compensate for water lost through transpiration resulting in low water content [35]. Lower relative water content in plants irrigated only during the flowering and fruit set stage might be due to the reason that leaves during the early season are thin and transpire more water than later in the season. Romero et al. [36] in almond, Satisha et al. [37] in grapes, and Alejandro et al. [38] in apricot also achieved comparable findings in this concern. Stomatal features are known to affect transpiration and, thus, play a vital role in maintaining the water status of plants. The stomata studied were hypostomatic in apple leaves. Kucukyumuk and Kacal [26] also found that stomas are on the lower epidermis of the apple leaves. Reduction in stomatal density and size of leaves under rainfed conditions might be a response to reduced water loss and cell division under water stress conditions. Carbon assimilation of plants relies on the absorption of CO₂ by stomata, which partially closes to respond to water deficits. Our findings were again in uniformity with Elias [39] who recorded stomatal closure at periods of strong evaporative demand, which suggested that stomatal density appeared to be primarily influenced by tree water status during the vegetative period in apples. Kour and Bakshi [40] observed that stomatal aperture and density in leaves from peach seedlings significantly change in response to changing water conditions. Previous reports by Basiouny [41] in peach, Misirli and Aksoy [42] in figs, and Klamkowski and Treder [43] and Kawchaya [44] in strawberry also illustrated a decrease in stomatal density and size due to water stress.

Plant nutrient uptake and subsequent concentrations in various plant parts are influenced by numerous factors including the water status of soil and plant. Water is essential for nutrient uptake by root interception, mass flow, and diffusion. The possible reason for higher nutrient content in leaves of plants subjected to continuous irrigation with drip method may be due to high soil moisture, resulting in the transfer of mineral nutrients and the mass flow of soil solution powered by water absorption and plant root diffusion. The further movement between the soil particles and the rise in mass flow due to a higher transpiration rate as a result of stomata opening improves the transport of nutrients under high soil moisture. Another potential reason for a higher content of leaf nutrients at 100%
ETc irrigation levels may be attributed to an extended, more fibrous, and more productive root system, influenced by comparatively improved moisture and thermal regimes, which increased root growth and thus increased the capacity for higher absorption of the nutrient. Less water availability under water stress conditions generally results in a reduction in total nutrient uptake and subsequently reduces the concentration of mineral nutrients in plants [45].

Ca and Mg uptake is primarily through mass flow [10], therefore the plants irrigated at 100% and 75% ETc had the highest Ca and Mg concentrations in their leaves. It is because roots absorb more nutrients, especially calcium and magnesium, from the moisture-rich soil compared to dry soil as a result of more extensive root growth [46]. Furthermore, transpiration rate has important significance for the transport of nutrients from the soil to the top of the plant, and this rate has particular significance for xylem mobile nutrients such as Ca; the decrease in transpiration rate as a consequence of water stress under rainfed conditions might have decreased the uptake of these mobile nutrients. Kacar and Katkat [47] also have stated that uptake of nutrients increased with transpiration increasing factors. Thaur et al. [48] reported that drip irrigation at 100% ETc significantly increased leaf nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) in apple trees planted under high density plantation. In general, Shirgure et al. [49] in acid lime, Chauhan and Chandel [50] in kiwifruit, Küçükyumuk et al. [51] in cherry, and Zhong et al. [52] in apple also documented similar results. The variation in gene expression of potassium transporter gene was found to be due to variation in irrigation levels at various crop phenological stages. Variation in transporter genes was also found in accordance with the change in fruit size. Therefore, the availability of water significantly influences gene expression. Similar kinds of variation in nutrient transporter genes have been reported by Dechorgnat et al. [53], who found that expression of nutrient transporter genes was regulated by nutrient availability and which in turn depends on the availability of water. Therefore, water availability significantly influences nutrient uptake by regulating transporter genes. Similarly, nitrogen inducible transporter showed a strong expression in guard cells and supports the stomatal function in the presence of available forms of nitrogen [54]. Song et al. [55] also found that in response to potassium deficiency expression of the potassium transporter gene, PpeKUP6 was dramatically reduced in peach leaves.

5. Conclusions

Leaf physiological characteristics like leaf area, leaf relative water content, and leaf chlorophyll content in apples under high-density plantation grown in medium loam soils under temperate conditions are highly influenced by the proper supply of irrigation using a drip irrigation system, significantly during the early growth stages like flowering and fruit set stage. Irrigation amount likely does not have any influence on leaf development after the fruit growth stage. Stomatal opening and stroma size greatly vary from no irrigation to optimum irrigation in these plants. High density apple trees exposed to optimum irrigation levels (100% and 75% ET) had significantly higher concentrations of nutrients (N, P, and K) in their leaf tissues. The concentration of Ca and Mg nutrients in leaf tissues are greatly influenced by the optimum supply of water during the early stages of apple growth. It is therefore observed that stage C1 and stage C2 are the critical growth stages of apple for optimum leaf development and proper nutrient uptake. Since irrigation regimes were found to have a differential influence on the expression of different genes/pathways and hence can affect the metabolism of apple plants. Therefore, it is confirmed that genes influenced under various irrigation levels are proportionally responsive and effective concerning survival and performance. As potassium is important in the photosynthesis and defense of plants, the expression of the potassium transporter gene under higher levels of irrigation will have a positive influence upon the plant survival under both biotic and abiotic stress. This study can pave way for further research in the expression of transport genes since not many advanced findings have been performed in this field.
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