Does sexual cannibalism secure genetic benefits of polyandry in a size-dimorphic spider?
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Abstract
Females mate multiply despite numerous costs. It is well established that polyandry can result in sexual conflict, favoring male adaptations that prevent sperm competition often to the disadvantage of the female. Such adaptations are extreme in spiders with one-shot genitalia of which parts break off and act as mating plugs, rendering them dysfunctional. In the spider *Argiope bruennichi*, mating plugs effectively prevent further males from inseminating and males that inseminate and plug both genital openings of a female secure exclusive paternity. However, females frequently prevent monopolization by attacking and cannibalizing males during their first copulation, leaving their second spermatheca free for another male. Here, we test whether the high frequency of sexual cannibalism evolved as a female adaptation to resist monopolization and secure indirect benefits of polyandry. To standardize conditions, we double-mated females either with the same or two different males and prevented male consumption. Using a split-brood design, we raised offspring to maturity under poor and rich food conditions and measured their survival, duration of juvenile phase, and adult body mass. Under low food, daughters of polyandrous mothers matured later but slightly heavier than daughters of monandrous females. Since the adaptive value of this combination is unclear, these findings lend no conclusive support to our hypothesis. We discuss the stereotypic nature of the female attack in the context of antagonistic co-evolution considering previous studies that found modest direct benefits of cannibalism as well as a potential for non-additive benefits.

Significance statement
Sexual conflict is extreme in spiders where sexual cannibalism impairs male mating rates. Males of the spider *Argiope bruennichi* possess one-shot genitalia which they break off to plug female genital openings. They gain exclusive paternity with a female if two copulations are achieved and both genital openings plugged. Females, however, stereotypically attack every male at the onset of copulation, limiting most males to single copulation but retaining the option to secure potential benefits of polyandry. Previous studies revealed weak direct and non-additive indirect benefits of multiple mating. In this study, we tested for the presence of additive genetic benefits but again found only inconclusive evidence for adaptive differences in offspring quality between monandrous and polyandrous females. All results combined, we here speculate that the stereotypic female attack might be a ghost of a past antagonistic co-evolution.
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Introduction
Polyandry, the phenomenon whereby females mate with more than one male during one reproductive cycle (Thornhill and Alcock 1983), is common and taxonomically widespread (Taylor et al. 2014). Early models of sexual selection assumed monandry as the prevalent mating pattern of females because of primary sexual differences due to anisogamy. Based on Bateman’s classical experiment on *Drosophila* flies (Bateman 1948), the reproductive success of males, producing
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numerous small gametes, was thought to be limited mainly by
the number of matings they can attain, while females are large-
ly limited by the number of costly, nutrient-rich gametes they
can produce and not by the number of matings (Bateman’s
principle). Often single copulation is enough to ensure fertili-
zation of all eggs of a female (Hayashi 1998; Schneider et al.
2005). Moreover, additional matings can entail costs regard-
ing the loss of time and energy (Watson et al. 1998), male
harassment (e.g., Sakurai and Kasuya 2008; den Hollander
and Gwynne 2009), genital damage (Crudgington and Siva-
Jothy 2000), higher predation and infection risk (Fedorka and
Zuk 2005; Han and Jablonski 2010), and reduced lifespan
(Arnqvist and Nilsson 2000; McNamara et al. 2008). Yet,
females are seldom monogamous.

Today, there is accumulating evidence that females can ben-
efit from polyandry in multiple ways. Direct fitness advantages
such as increased fertility or longevity are well documented
(e.g., Arnqvist and Nilsson 2000, Jennions and Petrie 2000,
Gershman 2010; but see, e.g., Brown et al. 2004). Such benefits
may result from the acquisition of additional nutrients through
nuptial gifts or energy-rich spermatophores (Lamunyon 1997;
Rooney and Lewis 2002; Engqvist 2007) or even the cannibal-
ization of males, at least in species with moderate sexual size
differences (e.g., Birkhead et al. 1988; Elgar and Nash 1988;
Fernández-Montraveta et al. 2014). In species in which males
provide no material benefits, however, females may still gain
indirect (“genetic”) benefits, i.e., increased genetic diversity of
clutches or offspring quality (Jennions and Petrie 2000; Zeh and
Zeh 2001). Yet, whether the gain of indirect benefits from poly-
andry is a general phenomenon is strongly debated and in ar-
thropods, empirical studies have yielded evidence both for (e.g.,
Fedorka and Mousseau 2002; Ivy and Sakaluk 2005; Simmons
2005; Maklakov and Lubin 2006; Tuni et al. 2013; Kawazu
et al. 2017) and against (e.g., Bilde et al. 2009; Maklakov and
Arnqvist 2009; Okada et al. 2017) the evolutionary significance
of additive genetic benefits.

From the male perspective, polyandry will favor adapta-
tions to win sperm competition (e.g., larger ejaculates) or to
avoid it, for example, by reducing a female’s mating rate,
which may lead to sexual conflict (Simmons 2001; Hosken
et al. 2009). In several entelegyne spiders, for instance, males
can effectively monopolize females by plugging their genital
openings with parts of their sperm-transferring organs, albeit
at the cost of very restricted mating rates (Uhl et al. 2010).
Female entelegyne spiders have complex reproductive organs
with two separate copulatory openings leading to two separate
spermathecae (Foelix 2011). Males can generally only use one
of their likewise paired secondary mating organs, the pedi-
palps, at a time to inseminate one of a female’s two spermathecae. During copulation, males of some species
break off fragments of the sperm-transferring part of the ped-
ipalp, the embolus, which remain inside the copulatory duct as
mating plugs and prevent further males from inseminating the
same spermatheca (Nessler et al. 2007; Uhl et al. 2010). This
break off renders the pedipalp dysfunctional and thus limits
males to a maximum of two copulations (Uhl et al. 2010).
Moreover, the mating system of many orb-web spiders is
characterized by female-biased sexual size dimorphism and
often high rates of post-copulatory sexual cannibalism, i.e.,
the consumption of a male by a female during or after mating
(Schneider and Fromhage 2010; Schneider 2014).

In the wasp spider Argiope bruennichi, females invariably try
to capture their mates during copulation, and about 80% of
males are actually cannibalized during their first mating
(Fromhage et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 2006). Of the surviving
males, about 33% follow a monogamous strategy and monop-
olize the first female (Welke et al. 2012). A mathematical model
by Fromhage et al. (2005) shows that a monogynous strategy
can evolve under a male-biased effective sex ratio because fer-
tilizing all eggs of a single female will return above-average
reproductive success. In A. bruennichi, males plug the genital
opening during mating and these plugs have been found to be
almost 100% effective (Nessler et al. 2007). However, since few
males survive to mate on both sides, the second genital opening
is often left available for another sire. This raises the question
of whether the aggressive attacks of females that prevent most
males from gaining exclusive paternity may have evolved to
defend the females’ own evolutionary interests if they benefit
from mating multiply. However, the existence of such benefits is
not entirely clear. Previous studies collected some evidence that
polyandry could mitigate the negative effects of inbreeding
(Zimmer et al. 2014; Zimmer and Schneider 2016). The only
previous study that investigated direct genetic benefits in
A. bruennichi, however, was unable to show benefits of poly-
andry for females (Welke and Schneider 2012). Yet, this study
only tested offspring quality by comparing spiderling survival
during winter, while nothing is known about possible fitness
advantages after this stage.

Here we fill this gap by testing whether polyandry will
increase offspring quality after emergence from the egg sac.
Therefore, we raised offspring from monandrous and polyan-
drous A. bruennichi females that were mated twice with the
same male or once each with two different males, respectively,
under poor and high-food conditions in a split-brood design.
We compared survival, the duration of the juvenile phase, and
adult body mass of F1 spiderlings to test whether offspring
from polyandrous mothers have fitness advantages over those
from monandrous mothers.

Material and methods

Study animals

Argiope bruennichi (Scopoli, 1772) (Araneae, Araneidae) is
an orb-web spider that is common in the Mediterranean area
where it inhabits dry meadows with low, patchy vegetation, and has expanded its range to Northern Europe during the last century (e.g., Kumschick et al. 2011). We collected subadult males and females of A. bruennichi from a meadow in Pevestorf (Lower Saxony, Germany) shortly before the start of the mating season in early July 2011. Spiders were brought to our laboratory at the University of Hamburg, Germany, where they were individually housed in upturned plastic cups until their adult molt (males, 250 ml; females, 330 ml). Spiders were kept under natural light conditions at a constant temperature of 25 °C and relative humidity of 45%. Twice per week, males were fed with approximately 15 Drosophila sp. and females with three Calliphora sp. houseflies. All spiders were provided with water from a sprayer 5 days per week. After their final molt, adult females were individually transferred into Perspex frames (36 × 36 and 5-cm depth) where they could build their typical orb webs.

**Experimental procedure**

**Mating treatment**

After their final molt, the field-caught females were assigned to one of two different mating treatments, monandrous or polyandrous, while controlling for mating rate. In the monandrous treatment, females were mated with the same male twice. To achieve second copulation with the same male, we prevented females from cannibalizing the male during the first copulation by gently placing a soft paintbrush on their chelicerae. In the polyandrous treatment, females were mated with two different males. To control for male mating status and to ensure that each male inseminated one of the two spermathecae, the 2nd male had mated once before and was picked to have an unused pedipalp that matched the virgin insemination duct of the female. Note that due to the fixed copulation scheme, each pedipalp can only be inserted into the matching duct. By documenting the pedipalp used by the first male, we can be sure about the used genital opening. To exclude possible direct benefits of cannibalism (Welke and Schneider 2012), all females were prevented from cannibalizing the males. Egg sacs built by mated females were assigned individual IDs and placed outside into a mesh wire enclosure to overwinter under semi-natural conditions.

**Food treatment**

In March 2012, the egg sacs were transferred into a climate chamber where they could stepwise adapt to conditions similar to the laboratory for 1 week before they were finally brought back to the laboratory. In the laboratory, the egg sacs were opened carefully and the emerging F1 spiderlings were kept in groups inside plastic containers (15 × 11.5 cm, height 7.5 cm) where they built their communal hunting web. The plastic containers were sprayed with water five times a week and spiderlings were provided with approximately 20 Drosophila flies per container twice a week. As soon as spiderlings started to build their own capture webs, they were separated into individual plastic cups and assigned individual IDs according to the egg sac they originated from and the mating history of their mother.

In total, we obtained 499 F1 spiders from 19 F0 females ($N_{\text{monandrous}} = 243, N_{\text{polyandrous}} = 256$). Of these, 153 individuals died during an early developmental phase, but all 19 families had between five and 23 members that survived. In a split-brood design, half of the surviving 346 spiderlings from monandrous and polyandrous mothers, respectively, were assigned to one of two different food treatments with either high or low-food availability. In the high-food availability treatment, females were fed with 2–3 Calliphora flies and males were fed with 15–20 Drosophila flies twice a week, so that they had uninterrupted food access (hereafter referred to as “high-food treatment”). In the low-food availability treatment, spiders were fed only once a week, and flies that had not been captured were removed on the fourth day after feeding (hereafter referred to as “low-food treatment”). The two different food treatments started with the separation of spiderlings into individual cups and were applied until they reached adulthood. All spiders were sprayed with water 6 days a week. Fifteen individuals died after their assignment to a food treatment; thus, 331 F1 spiders reached adulthood. Table 1 lists the numbers of F1 spiders that survived to adulthood in each of the four treatment groups based on their origin (monandrous or polyandrous mother) and the food treatment.

Spiders were monitored for molts daily. We noted the date of their adult molt, as well as the date of death if a spider did not survive until adulthood. On the day of the final molt, we determined adult body mass to the nearest 0.1 mg by weighing the spiders on an electronic precision balance (Mettler Toledo, Modell AB54 -S).

**Statistical analysis**

To analyze whether the two life-history measures “duration of juvenile phase” (i.e., days between separation and adult

| Origin       | Food treatment | $N$ Females | $N$ Males | $N$ total |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Monandrous   | High           | 59          | 27        | 86        |
| Monandrous   | Low            | 48          | 28        | 76        |
| Polyandrous  | High           | 55          | 36        | 91        |
| Polyandrous  | Low            | 51          | 27        | 78        |
molt) and “adult body mass” were predicted by the origin and food treatment, we fitted generalized estimating equations (GEE) for males and females separately using the package “geepack” (Halekoh et al. 2006) in R (Version 2.15.3; R Development Core Team 2013). We used GEE models, which are suitable for clustered data, rather than generalized linear models because we wanted to include family ID as a random term to control for potential family effects (see Pekár and Brabec 2018). We used the Gaussian error structure and exchangeable structure and included “origin” and “food treatment” as factors, as well as their interaction. Maximal models were simplified by stepwise elimination of the least significant variables (starting with the interaction term) and models were compared with Wald statistics to test whether the explanatory power of reduced models was significantly lowered. Seven females could not be weighed on the day of their adult molt; thus, they are only included in the model for the duration of the juvenile phase ($N = 213$) but not in that for body weight ($N = 206$).

Furthermore, we analyzed the effect of monandrous and polyandrous origin on mortality by a chi-square test using the statistical program JMP (Version 7.0). In this analysis, we included all 499 F1 spiderlings. Since 153 of the 168 spiderlings that did not survive until adulthood died before we could determine their sex and allocate them to food treatment, we were only able to analyze the effect of monandrous and polyandrous origin on mortality but not the effect of the feeding treatment. All values given in the results section are means ± standard deviation.

**Results**

**Duration of the juvenile phase**

In the high-food treatment, females generally grew faster ($45.4 \pm 5.8$ days, $N = 114$) than in the low-food treatment ($58.4 \pm 7.8$ days, $N = 99$, $t = 13.951$, $p < 0.0001$). The duration of the juvenile phase of females was predicted by an interaction of food treatment and origin (GEE; Wald statistics: $\chi^2 = 7.93$, $p = 0.0049$, $N_{\text{clusters}} = 19$, $N_{\text{individuals}} = 213$). The interaction describes that in the low-food treatment, female offspring from polyandrous mothers needed more time to reach adulthood ($61.2 \pm 7.0$ days, $N = 51$) compared with those from monandrous mothers ($55.4 \pm 7.4$ days, $N = 48$, $t = -3.904$, $p = 0.0002$), while there was no such difference in the high-food treatment ($t = -0.811$, $p = 0.4191$; Fig. 1a). In males, origin had no effect on the duration of the juvenile phase, which was predicted by food treatment only (GEE; Wald statistics: $\chi^2 = 53.3$, $p < 0.0001$, $N_{\text{clusters}} = 19$, $N_{\text{individuals}} = 118$). Males from the high-food treatment grew faster ($35.0 \pm 5.9$ days until adulthood, $N = 63$) than males from the low-food treatment ($43.4 \pm 8.6$ days until adulthood, $N = 55$; Fig. 1b).

**Adult body mass**

Female adult body mass was predicted by both origin and food treatment (but not their interaction; Fig. 2a). Females from the high-food treatment were significantly larger than females from the low-food treatment ($135.3 \pm 39.4$ mg and $69.3 \pm 29.9$ mg, respectively; GEE; Wald statistics: $\chi^2 = 149$, $p < 0.0001$, $N_{\text{clusters}} = 19$, $N_{\text{individuals}} = 206$) and females from polyandrous mothers were heavier than those from monandrous mothers ($110.8 \pm 46.9$ mg and $99.0 \pm 49.0$ mg, respectively; GEE; Wald statistics: $\chi^2 = 3.87$, $p = 0.049$, $N_{\text{clusters}} = 19$, $N_{\text{individuals}} = 206$). The significance derives from the low-food treatment where
daughters of polyandrous females (77.7 ± 32.2 mg, N = 49) matured significantly heavier than daughters of monandrous mothers (60.2 ± 24.1 mg, N = 46; t = −2.942, p = 0.004). In the high-food treatment, daughters of polyandrous mothers were slightly but not significantly heavier (140.8 ± 36.9 mg, N = 54) than daughters of monandrous (130.1 ± 41.0 mg, N = 57; t = −1.419, p = 0.159). Male adult body mass, however, was only predicted by food treatment (GEE: Wald statistics: χ² = 53.0, p < 0.0001, N = 19, N = 18) with males from the high-food treatment weighing 22. ± 8.3 mg (N = 63) as compared to males from the low-food treatment (13.0 ± 4.8 mg (N = 55) in males from the low-food treatment (Fig. 2).

Mortality
In total, 86 spiders from polyandrous mothers (33.6%) died 14.9 ± 11.6 days after their separation into individual cups. From monandrous mothers, 82 spiders (33.8%) died 17.1 ± 11.7 days after their separation. Thus, mortality was not affected by origin (likelihood ratio: N = 168, χ² = 0.007, p = 0.93).

Discussion
By raising offspring from polyandrous and monandrous mothers, we tested the hypothesis that *Argiope bruennichi* females aggressively attack and often cannibalize males during the first copulation because monopolization of paternity withholds genetic benefits of polyandry. Despite measures by females to pursue their presumed interests are necessary for this species, because males very effectively plug the genital opening of a female during mating and two copulations by the same male generally result in single paternity. Plugging by males irreversible damage to their paired genitalia, limiting high success rates of female sexual cannibalism despite high costs for mates may imply high gains for females. We raised offspring from 19 families to maturity and tested whether offspring from 19 families with two different females with two different females matured under high feeding conditions. daughters of polyandrous mothers (N = 54) were slightly but not significantly heavier (140.8 ± 36.9 mg, N = 54) than daughters of monandrous (130.1 ± 41.0 mg, N = 57; t = −1.419, p = 0.159). Male adult body mass, however, was only predicted by food treatment (GEE: Wald statistics: χ² = 53.0, p < 0.0001, N = 19, N = 18) with males from the high-food treatment weighing 22. ± 8.3 mg (N = 63) as compared to males from the low-food treatment (13.0 ± 4.8 mg (N = 55) in males from the low-food treatment (Fig. 2).

In spiders, as in other arthropods, body weight at maturation is a reliable predictor of female fecundity (Marshall and Gittleman 1994), this may constitute a pattern of female polyandry. However, daughters of polyandrous mothers also required more time to mature as compared to those of monandrous mothers, again only under low-food conditions. This prolonged juvenile phase is likely directly linked with their larger adult body weight. However, offspring from 19 families with two different females matured under high feeding conditions. daughters of polyandrous mothers (N = 54) were slightly but not significantly heavier (140.8 ± 36.9 mg, N = 54) than daughters of monandrous (130.1 ± 41.0 mg, N = 57; t = −1.419, p = 0.159). Male adult body mass, however, was only predicted by food treatment (GEE: Wald statistics: χ² = 53.0, p < 0.0001, N = 19, N = 18) with males from the high-food treatment weighing 22. ± 8.3 mg (N = 63) as compared to males from the low-food treatment (13.0 ± 4.8 mg (N = 55) in males from the low-food treatment (Fig. 2).

In total, 86 spiders from polyandrous mothers (33.6%) died 14.9 ± 11.6 days after their separation into individual cups. From monandrous mothers, 82 spiders (33.8%) died 17.1 ± 11.7 days after their separation. Thus, mortality was not predicted by food treatment (GEE: Wald statistics: χ² = 53.0, p < 0.0001, N = 19) with males from the high-food treatment weighing 22. ± 8.3 mg (N = 63) as compared to males from the low-food treatment (13.0 ± 4.8 mg (N = 55) in males from the low-food treatment (Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. Median adult body mass for a female and b male *Argiope bruennichi* in the four different treatment groups (numbers of individuals in each group are given in Table 1); horizontal line inside box, median; box, 25–75 percent quantiles; whiskers, extremes; open circles, outliers.
Northern Europe is very short (Zimmer et al. 2012). Moreover, a prolonged juvenile phase also increases the risk of dying before reaching the reproductive phase (Nylin and Gotthard 1998). Thus, especially when developmental conditions are not favorable, delaying maturation will unlikely constitute a general advantage. We therefore caution that reaching a slightly larger adult body mass by prolonging the juvenile phase cannot be interpreted as an advantage of polyandry. Moreover, since the variation in adult weight was large within all treatments and the differences related to mating rate were relatively small in females and absent in sons, we would not feel confident to conclude that our findings suggest that females gain genetic benefits from polyandry. In comparison, a study with the cellar spider, *Pholcus phalangioides* found that daughters of polyandrous mothers matured at a larger adult size than daughters of monandrous mothers but without a prolonged juvenile phase (Uhl et al. 2005). Thus, this effect can be interpreted as a fitness advantage of polyandry with some confidence. Unfortunately, except for a study by Maklakov and Lubin (2006) on *Stegodyphus lineatus*, we are not aware of another study that tested for benefits of polyandry and reported adult size or weight as well as the duration of the juvenile phase.

Polyandrous females may produce higher quality offspring due to additive genetic effects by increased chances that they are fathered by a male with a higher genetic quality or via non-additive effects due to reduced risk that offspring are sired by an incompatible male (Jennions and Petrie 2000). Our experimental design cannot distinguish between these two mechanisms. Ivy (2007) suggests that phenotypic variance in developmental times and adult body mass are more likely influenced by non-additive effects, while additive effects may find expression in offspring survival to adulthood. We found no differences in mortality across treatments and one possible explanation might be that our food treatments were insufficient to reveal enhanced offspring survival under adverse conditions (Uhl et al. 2005). Although we applied a split-brood design to account for possible genotype-by-environment interactions (Hunt et al. 2004), we only varied the overall quantity of food but still provided prey on a regular basis even in the low-food treatment. Moreover, we did not vary prey quality. Under natural conditions, prey likely not only varies in quantity but also in quality and especially in its availability over time. Even though our food regimes produced significant differences in body weights and timing of maturation in both sexes, it might have been too good still to reveal stronger evidence for the indirect benefits of polyandry.

Spiders are generally assumed to be food limited (Wise 1995) and in many species, males supplement the diet of their mates, e.g., through nuptial gifts or nutritional ejaculates (Vahed 1998). Females will then gain more nutrients by mating multiply. Such direct benefits of polyandry are reported from several spider studies, e.g., in *Pisaura mirabilis* (Toft and Albo 2015) where males offer females prey items wrapped in silk (Prokop and Maxwell 2012). Indeed, polyandry has positive direct effects on female fecundity and egg hatching (Tuni et al. 2013). In other spiders, particularly in species with modest sexual size dimorphism, females will gain significant nutritional benefits from consuming males (Wilder et al. 2009). In the present study, we controlled for direct benefits that females may gain through the number of copulations and particularly through the consumption of males. A previous study on *A. bruennichi* in our lab used a similar mating design and experimentally varied whether females actually consumed the males they had killed or not. The dependent variable, however, was not the full development of offspring but offspring survival during hibernation (Welke and Schneider 2012). Interestingly, the consumption of males enhanced offspring survival during winter, but these benefits were independent of the number of males consumed and independent of the number of mates of the mother. A follow-up experiment compared the cannibalism of a male to food supplements with a selection of essential nutrients (Wilder and Schneider 2017). However, while supplementing food for the mother with essential amino acids positively affected the survival of spiderlings over winter, the effect of consuming males could not be replicated. An explanation for this inconsistency could be that in Welke and Schneider (2012), males were collected from the wild whereas in Wilder and Schneider (2017) males were raised in the laboratory, under a less diverse diet. This study should be replicated again using males and females from the field and the lab and assess whether essential amino acids might be in shortage in the field (Wiggins et al. 2018). If so, the male body, despite being very small might indeed be a valuable addition for the female diet and this effect might explain selection on females to maximize their chances to consume at least one male. Even though all males sacrifice their body after their second copulation, particularly small females risk to miss out when a male survives the first copulation and then leaves to find another mate (Welke et al. 2012, Cory and Schneider 2020).

Next to direct benefits, the best-supported benefits of polyandry in arthropods are indeed non-additive effects that arise for instance in the context of inbreeding (e.g., Tregenza and Wedell 2002; Bretman et al. 2004). Tregenza and Wedell (2000) argue that non-additive benefits are likely important in explaining the prevalence of polyandry in this taxon. Spiders are susceptible to inbreeding depression, but this may only become apparent after several generations of inbreeding (e.g., Bilde et al. 2007). Mating experiments in *A. bruennichi*, however, showed highly reduced egg hatching rates in F1 offspring from sibling crosses, suggesting that the costs of inbreeding in *A. bruennichi* are very high (Zimmer et al. 2014). In *Argiope lobata*, a Mediterranean congener of our study species, double-mating experiments using sibling and non-sibling males showed that females exert cryptic
female choice and follow a trading up strategy in that they readily accepted siblings as mates but biased paternity in favor of unrelated males if they had the chance (Welke and Schneider 2009). While cryptic female choice has been demonstrated to occur in *A. bruennichi* favoring courting males (Schneider and Lesmono 2009), it remains to be tested for sibling matings. Yet, natural populations of *A. bruennichi* show high genetic diversity and no fine-scale genetic structure within populations indicating a rather modest risk of inbreeding under natural conditions (Zimmer et al. 2014; Zimmer and Schneider 2016). Thus, genetic diversity between F0 individuals in our study might have been too high to reveal inbreeding depression in monandrous females.

Besides inbreeding avoidance, another non-additive benefit of polyandry can be the mitigation of negative effects of selfish genetic elements (Wedell 2013) like bacterial endosymbionts that occur in a wide range of arthropods including spiders (e.g., Zhang et al. 2018) and can severely affect reproduction (Champion de Crespigny et al. 2008). For example, in the dwarf spider *Oedothorax gibbosus*, clutches of *Wolbachia*-infected females are significantly female biased (Vanthournout et al. 2011), and infection with *Rickettsia* lowers the tendency for long-distance dispersal behavior (“ballooning”) in another dwarf spider, *Erigone atra* (Goodacre et al. 2009). In *A. bruennichi*, no known endosymbionts have been found so far (Duron et al. 2008; Yun et al. 2011; Sheffer et al. 2020). Yet, a recent microbiome analysis detected a novel dominant bacterium that is related to the Mollicutes (Sheffer et al. 2020), a bacterial class containing many arthropod-associated endosymbionts (e.g., Meeus et al. 2012; Sapountzis et al. 2018). Given that this bacterium might actually constitute a novel endosymbiont of *A. bruennichi* (Sheffer et al. 2020), it is tempting to speculate whether it might have any influence on the mating system of *A. bruennichi* including selection for polyandry.

Taken together, our data currently provide ambiguous support for the hypothesis that the killing of a male by the female during the first copulation evolved to retain opportunities for beneficial polyandry in *A. bruennichi*. Evidence from several experiments testing direct and additive as well as non-additive indirect benefits of polyandry suggests that perhaps a mix of several small advantages is what matters in *A. bruennichi*. However, the question of why females behave so aggressively towards all their mates, depriving the majority of these males of half their maximal mating success, remains open. Interestingly, the female attack behavior is highly stereotyped usually occurring within a split second of genital contact. Indeed, there appears to exist no obvious variation among females so that survival of the first copulation depends entirely on the male’s ability to escape (Fromhage et al. 2003; Schneider et al. 2005; Welke and Schneider 2010). Assuming that the stereotypic behavior of the female is a product of sexually antagonistic co-evolution, the lack of variation suggests that this behavioral response is genetically fixed and has reached an extreme form on which selection can no longer act. Potential benefits of preventing low-quality or incompatible males from monopolizing paternity may have existed earlier in the evolutionary history of the species but are masked now that all females do the same. Similar experiments on related species with more flexible use of aggressive behavior during the first copulation may be revealing. Indeed, there is a large variation in the frequency of sexual cannibalism among species of the genus *Argiope* (Schneider et al. 2015), opening up the possibility of comparative studies on sexual antagonistic co-evolution in this spider genus. On the other hand, fitness costs for females of killing a male after a single mating might be comparatively small or even absent, given that females in natural population are normally visited by several males in the course of a mating season (Zimmer et al. 2012) and the fact that even one copulation suffices to fertilize several egg sacs (Schneider et al. 2005). Thus, considering selection for aggressiveness in different ecological contexts or under more severe experimental conditions, like prolonged periods of inbreeding or more natural food regimes, may ultimately explain the origin of female mating behavior and the high frequency of sexual cannibalism in *A. bruennichi*.
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