Restricted diffusion of model sulfides over a NiMo/BK catalyst under hydrotreating reaction conditions†
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Micro–mesoporous composite Beta-KIT-6 (BK) material with cubic Ia3d mesoporous structure was synthesized, and then a NiMo/BK hydrotreating (HDS) catalyst was prepared using BK as a support. Four kinds of model sulfides with different structures and molecular sizes, including thiophene, benzothiophene, dibenzothiophene, and 4,6-dimethyl-Benzothiophene, were chosen as the reactant probe molecules. The intra-particle diffusion effects on HDS of these four different model sulfides were investigated systematically over the novel NiMo/BK micro–mesoporous-supported catalyst under HDS reaction conditions. The changing rules of the catalyst effective factor (η) and effective diffusion coefficient (Dη) of model sulfides were investigated. The results showed that the η values of NiMo/BK catalyst in the HDS of different reactant molecules are higher than those of the traditional NiMo/Al2O3 owing to excellent mass transfer ability of the well-ordered NiMo/BK catalyst, and the Dη values decreased with the increasing molecular sizes of model sulfur-containing compounds. The relationship of effective diffusion coefficient (Dη) with molecule diameter to pore diameter ratio (λ) was further determined. Restrictive factors were correlated by $F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{1.5}$ for NiMo/BK and $F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{1.67}$ for NiMo/Al2O3 catalyst, indicating that large pore size is beneficial to the diffusion of macromolecular reactants.

1. Introduction

In the past decades, an urgent problem facing up with the refineries is the production of low sulfur diesel to improve the fuel quality and minimize air pollution caused by combustion. Currently, hydrotreating (HDS) is widely used. For the purposes of meeting the requirement of ultra deep desulfurization, it is necessary to remove almost all of the sulfur-containing compounds, including 4,6-dimethyl-Benzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT) which is very difficult to be removed. Several approaches have been adopted to develop HDS catalysts and many researchers have focused on the design of novel HDS support materials.1,2

Mesoporous supports, like SBA-15 (ref. 3) and KIT-6,4 have been developed because of their wide pore channels which can reduce diffusion resistance for reactant molecules.5,6 Schacht7

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c7ra07833a

‡ This author has equal contribution as the first author.
reaction conditions. Furthermore, the intra-particle diffusion effect depends on operating conditions and the molecular sizes of sulfides. This issue is very important for deep understanding of HDS mechanism and process, but it has not been adequately and quantitatively illustrated in the open HDS literature. Although many HDS research works have been carried out separately with either full-size commercial catalysts or crushed catalyst particles, especially there is no open report on the intra-particle diffusion of novel micro–mesoporous material-supported catalysts to our best knowledge.

In this study, Beta/KIT-6 composite material was prepared based on our previous work, and NiMo/BK catalyst was prepared using BK as a support and NiMo as active metals. In order to further investigate the hindered diffusion process of macromolecular sulfides in the pore channels of NiMo/BK catalyst, the hydrodesulfurization performance of different model sulfides (including thiophene, benzo thiophene, dibenzothiophene, and 4,6-dimethyl dibenzothiophene) were studied. The relationship of the catalyst effective factor ($n$) and the effective diffusion coefficient ($D_e$) of the sulfur-containing model compounds with different structures and molecular sizes under different HDS reaction conditions were investigated systematically.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of Beta/KIT-6 and NiMo catalysts

Beta/KIT-6 (BK) was synthesized by a two-step crystallization method according to our previous work. A zeolite seed crystal was prepared through mixing 0.76 g NaAlO$_2$, 0.19 g NaOH, 21.43 g tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), and 29.45 g TEAOH aqueous solution with mass fraction of 25%. Then the obtained solution was stirred for 4 h and moved to a crystallization kettle for 20 h at 140 °C to obtain Beta zeolite seed crystals. 2 g Pluronic P123 (EO$_{20}$PO$_{70}$EO$_{20}$) was added in 49 g H$_2$O with 27 g HCl of 2 mol L$^{-1}$ at about 40 °C, after that, 2.92 g of n-butanol was added 1 h later. Finally, 4.8 g tetraethylorthosilicate and 7.9 g zeolite seed obtained before were added dropwise, the mixture solution was transferred to an crystallization kettle at 100 °C after stirred for 24 h. The BK micro–mesoporous material was obtained after the processes of filtration, drying, and calcination.

The preparation method of the NiMo/BK catalyst adopted an ultrasonic incipient-wetness impregnation technique. Subsequently, all the catalysts were dried and calcined at 550 °C for 6 h. MoO$_3$ and NiO loading amounts were 10 wt%, and 3.5 wt%, respectively.

2.2 Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were taken on a Rigaku RINT D/Max-2500 powder diffraction system (40 kV, 50 mA). FTIR spectra were recorded with a FTS-3000 spectrophotometer (American Digilab Company). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) photos were taken on a Philips Tecnai G2 F20 transmission electron microscope. $N_2$ adsorption–desorption analyzer was characterized using an automated gas adsorption–desorption analyzer (Quantachrome Autosorb-iQ, USA).

2.3 HDS reaction

A fixed-bed reactor was used to evaluate the HDS activities of different catalysts using thiophene, benzo thiophene, dibenzothiophene, and 4,6-DMDBT as reactants, loading 0.5 g catalyst mixed with 5 g silica sand in each HDS test. The concentration of liquid feed was 3310 ppm 4,6-DMDBT in cyclohexane. The HDS reaction parameters were 280–340 °C, 3.0–6.0 MPa, H$_2$/Oil ratio of 500–800 mL mL$^{-1}$, and liquid hourly space velocity (LHSV) of 2.0–200 h$^{-1}$. Sulfur contents were analyzed by a fluorescence sulfur analyzer.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of the supports and catalysts

3.1.1 XRD results of the BK support. XRD pattern of the BK material shows well-resolved peak at $2 \theta = 0.88^\circ$, a shoulder peak at $2 \theta = 0.88^\circ$ for (220) crystal plane, and a series peaks between 1.6$^\circ$ and 2$^\circ$ as same as that of pure KIT-6 silica (Fig. S1 in ESI†), implying that the synthesized BK support possesses a similar structure to the cubic $Ia\overline{3}d$ mesoporous material of KIT-6.

3.1.2 FTIR results of the supports. The FTIR spectra of BK support and Beta zeolite are shown in Fig. S2.† BK exhibits vibration bands at 520, 570, 980, 950, and 1030 cm$^{-1}$. The wave number at 810 cm$^{-1}$ is owing to symmetric vibration of Si–O–Si group, the wave number at 1030 cm$^{-1}$ is owing to Si–O–Si asymmetric vibration. The wave number at 950 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to defective Si–OH vibration. Two wave numbers at 520 and 570 cm$^{-1}$ are the typical vibration peaks of six- or five-member ring of Si–O–Si or Si–O–Al in primary building units of zeolite. The two bands existed in both BK and Beta, which indicates that primary building units of Beta zeolite exists in the BK material and the BK micro/mesoporous material is built by primary units of zeolite Beta.

3.1.3 TEM images of the BK material. The central domain are aligned along with the [111] and [100] directions of BK support as shown in Fig. S3(A) and S3(B),† respectively. The TEM images exhibit well-ordered arrangements of mesopore channels, which are similar to those of reported KIT-6 materials previously. In addition, large pore channels of BK are visible obviously in the TEM photos. The images further confirm that the BK composite possesses the $Ia\overline{3}d$ cubic structure. These observations are in accordance with the results of XRD and FTIR.

3.1.4 $N_2$ adsorption–desorption. As shown in Fig. 1A, the BK support and NiMo/BK catalyst exhibit type IV isotherms with a clear H1 type hysteresis loop, which is the representative curve characteristic of ordered mesoporous. Narrow mesoporous size distributions are obtained on them as presented in Fig. 1B. Moreover, a steep increase at $p/p_0 < 0.02$ of the BK support and NiMo/BK catalyst can be interpreted as microporous filling, the other steep increase at $0.5 < p/p_0 < 0.65$ is caused by capillary condensation on mesopores. The Al$_2$O$_3$ support and NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ show H4 hysteresis loop with a wide pore size distribution. The BK composite support exhibits higher surface areas (750 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) and larger pore volumes (0.84 m$^3$ g$^{-1}$) than the commercial Al$_2$O$_3$ support (Table 1). Moreover, BK shows a relatively larger micropore volume (0.15 m$^3$ g$^{-1}$) than Al$_2$O$_3$. 
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This is due to the assembly of six- or five-member rings of T–O–T (T = Si or Al) of zeolite Beta into the BK mesoporous framework, which is beneficial to improving the stability and increasing pore volume. After the impregnation of metals, the NiMo/BK shows higher surface area and pore volume than the traditional NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst.

3.2 Properties of the catalyst pellets

In order to investigate the pore size distribution of the catalyst pellets, the powder catalysts were squashed, then sieved to obtain a series of catalyst pellets with different particle sizes of 20–40, 40–60, 60–80, and 80–100 meshes. The pore size distribution becomes more concentrated with the decreasing of particle size as shown in Fig. 2. The average pore sizes and bulk densities change marginally after crushing. The pore size distribution becomes more concentrated with the decreasing of particle size. The average pore diameter of different NiMo/BK catalyst pellets ranges from 7.2 to 7.4 nm. The typical properties of the catalyst pellets are shown in Table 2, which exhibits that the bulk density of different NiMo/BK catalyst pellets ranges from 375 to 385 kg m⁻³. These pellets were used in the following experiments to eliminate the internal diffusion.

3.3 Effectiveness factor (η) and effective diffusion coefficient (Dₑ)

3.3.1 Elimination of external diffusion. External diffusion plays an important role in HDS results, Mears criterion is usually used to judge whether the external diffusion effects can be ignored.¹⁴

Mears criterion:

\[
\frac{-r_{\text{apparent}} \rho_b R n}{k_c \rho_B} < 0.15
\]

where \(\rho_b\) is catalyst bulk density (kg m⁻³), \(R\) represents catalyst particle radius (m), \(n\) means reaction order, and \(C_{Ab}\) is the bulk concentration (kmol m⁻³). \(k_c\) is mass transfer coefficient.¹⁵ Substituting these parameters into the above equation of Mears criterion, inequality (1) was satisfied in the experiments, indicating that the external diffusion was eliminated and can be neglected in this work.

3.3.2 Removal of internal diffusion. With the purpose of investigating the influence of internal diffusion on HDS process, NiMo/BK and NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalysts with different pellet sizes were evaluated in HDS under the conditions of 300 ºC, 4 MPa, 20 h⁻¹, and H₂/Oil ratio of 600 using 4,6-DMDBT as a model reactant. Fig. 3 demonstrates the desulfurization efficiencies over the two catalysts with different pellet sizes. The desulfurization efficiency decreases with the catalyst particle size increasing from 60 to 20 mesh, demonstrating that the internal diffusion effect in these catalyst pellets gradually decreased with the decreasing of pellet sizes. However, when pellet size is less than 60 meshes, there is no significant effect of the internal diffusion. Thus, it can be deduced from Fig. 3 that the effect of internal diffusion can be ignored if the pellet size is less than 60 meshes.¹⁶ Therefore, in the following experiments, the catalyst pellets were kept for 60–80 meshes to analyze the intrinsic kinetic data and avoid stacking in the reactor densely.

Hydrodesulfurization of BT and 4,6-DMDBT was tested over 60–80 meshes catalysts to investigate the intrinsic HDS reaction

| Catalysts | \(S_{\text{BET}}\) \(\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(V_t\) \(\text{m}^3\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(V_{\text{mes}}\) \(\text{m}^3\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(V_{\text{mic}}\) \(\text{m}^3\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(D_{\text{BJH}}\) \(\text{nm}\) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| BK        | 750             | 0.84            | 0.70            | 0.15            | 7.2             |
| Al₂O₃     | 232             | 0.56            | 0.55            | 0.02            | 7.8             |
| NiMo/BK   | 451             | 0.53            | 0.49            | 0.07            | 7.4             |
| NiMo/Al₂O₃ | 168             | 0.40            | 0.39            | 0.01            | 7.2             |

\(a\) Calculated by the BET method. \(b\) The total pore volume was obtained at a relative pressure of 0.98. \(c\) Calculated using the BJH method. \(d\) Calculated using the t-plot method.
order of model sulfides. The HDS results obtained at different LHSVs (300 °C, 4 MPa, and H₂/Oil ratio of 600) are shown in Fig. 4. The HDS conversion decreased dramatically with the increasing of LHSV over the two catalysts. The BT conversions were higher than those of 4,6-DMDBT at all LHSVs. The relevance between ln[1/(1 - X)] and 1/LHSV is shown in Fig. 5. Fitted straight lines were obtained based on the experimental data points in HDS of model sulfides of BT and 4,6-DMDBT, indicating that the HDS reaction of individual model sulfides follow pseudo-first order kinetic under the reaction conditions used in this research, which agrees with the literature.²⁷,²⁸

3.3.3 Factors that affect η and Dₑ in HDS reactions. Many researchers²⁷-²⁸ have recognized that HDS of a single reactant molecule follows first-order reaction model. The kinetic equation of a HDS reaction may be expressed as:

\[ \ln \frac{c_0}{c_1} = -\ln(1 - X) = \ln \frac{1}{1 - X} = \frac{k_1}{\text{LHSV}} \]  (2)

where \( c_0 \) is the sulphur content in feed, while \( c_1 \) is the sulphur content in product. \( X \) is the percentage of sulphur removal and \( k_1 \) is the reaction rate constant.

The catalyst effectiveness factors (\( \eta \)) with particle size larger than 60 meshes can be calculated based on the following equation:

\[ \eta = \frac{k_{\text{apparent}}}{k_{\text{intrinsic}}} \]

For spheres crushed catalysts,

\[ \eta = \frac{3}{\Phi} \left( \frac{1}{\tanh \Phi} - 1 \right) \]

\[ \Phi = \frac{V_o}{S_p} \sqrt{k_{\text{intrinsic}}/D_e} \]

where \( k_{\text{apparent}} \) is apparent reaction rate constant, while \( k_{\text{intrinsic}} \) represents the intrinsic reaction rate constant; \( \Phi \) is Thiele modulus; and \( V_o \) is the volume of the catalyst, \( S_p \) is the catalyst surface area. Based on the data obtained from different catalysts, the values of \( k_{\text{intrinsic}} \) and \( k_{\text{apparent}} \) could be calculated, and then the \( \eta \) and \( D_e \) could also be determined. Thus, \( \eta \) and \( D_e \) under different HDS reaction conditions could be calculated. Four typical sulfides, including thiophene, benzothiophene, dibenzothiophene, and 4,6-dimethylbenzothiophene, were chosen as probe molecules to study the hindered diffusion processes of different sulfides. The molecular structures and sizes of these sulfides are shown in Table 3.

3.3.3.1 Temperature. The temperature influence was investigated at 4 MPa, 20 h⁻¹, and H₂/Oil ratio of 600. As shown in Fig. 6, the \( \eta \) decreases notably and the \( D_e \) increases mildly along with the rising of reaction temperature. On the one hand, a significant increase in intrinsic reaction rate is achieved at higher temperature. On the other hand, mass transfer of
reactants in catalyst channel is improved at higher temperatures. Thus, smaller $\eta$ and larger $D_e$ are obtained at higher temperatures as shown in Fig. 6. Furthermore, in the HDS of the same probe molecules (BT or 4,6-DMDBT), the $\eta$ over NiMo/BK is higher than that over NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$, and the $\eta$ of the two catalysts in the HDS of BT are higher than those in the HDS of 4,6-DMDBT (Fig. 6A). Likewise, the $D_e$ in the HDS of BT is higher than that of 4,6-DMDBT (Fig. 6B), indicating that small reactant molecule (BT) suffered less restricted diffusion than 4,6-DMDBT with large molecule size in HDS reaction. The $D_e$ over NiMo/BK catalyst in the HDS reaction are higher than that of NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$, indicating that the NiMo/BK impose smaller diffusion resistance on the reactant molecules. This is attributed to the well-ordered mesostructure of BK with large pore channels, which is beneficial to diffusion of reactant.

3.3.3.2 Pressure. The pressure influence on HDS was investigated at 300 °C, 20 h$^{-1}$, and H$_2$/Oil of 600. Fig. 7 shows the changing tendency of $\eta$ and $D_e$ with the operation pressure. The $\eta$ decreases and $D_e$ increases slightly as the pressure increases. At high pressures, the reactants concentration and hydrogen solubility increases which can decrease the viscosity of the liquid. Moreover, the concentration of hydrogen on catalyst surface increases because of high pressure, which results in an increasing of the intrinsic rate in the catalyst channel. Therefore, the $\eta$ decreases slightly as the pressure increases. Similarly, the $D_e$ of BT and 4,6-DMDBT increases with the increasing of pressure. Most of all, the $\eta$ and $D_e$ over NiMo/BK are both higher than those over NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ in the HDS of two reactants (BT and 4,6-DMDBT) in the pressure range of 3–6 MPa, demonstrating the excellent diffusion ability of the well-ordered micro–mesoporous NiMo/BK catalyst.

3.3.3.3 H$_2$/Oil ratio. The influence of H$_2$/Oil ratio was studied in the range of 500–800 (v/v) at 300 °C, 4 MPa, 20 h$^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 8, the changes of H$_2$/Oil ratio result in the changes of hydrogen partial pressure when the total pressure remains constant. Thus, the intrinsic reaction rate was promoted and the $\eta$ decreases with the increasing of H$_2$/Oil ratio. Meanwhile, $D_e$ increases slightly since high hydrogen partial pressures could accelerate the diffusion behavior of the reactant in catalyst pore. Meanwhile, because of the superior mass transfer property of BK support, the $\eta$ and $D_e$ over NiMo/BK catalyst are all higher than those over NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst in the HDS of two probe reactants.

3.3.4 Effects of molecular sizes. The effect of molecular size on the HDS process were investigated by using different model sulfides with different mode sizes, such as 4,6-DMDBT, DBT, BT, and T. Under fixed reaction conditions of 300 °C, 4 MPa,
20 h⁻¹, and 600 v/v, η and De were obtained as shown in Fig. 9. As the molecular sizes increase, the η show an apparent decline tendency with the mode size increasing, while De decreased dramatically, indicating that the diffusion resistance becomes significant with the increasing of the reactant sizes. Moreover, η and De over NiMo/BK are higher than those over NiMo/Al₂O₃ when comparing all of these four sulphur compounds, confirming that the NiMo/BK catalyst possesses superior diffusion ability than the traditional NiMo/Al₂O₃ catalyst due to its well-ordered channels.

3.4 Restrictive factor \( F(\lambda) \)
As mentioned above, the diffusion processes of different kinds of sulfide model molecules are affected by various operation conditions, including temperature, pressure, \( \text{H}_2/\text{Oil} \) ratio, and molecular size. Based on the above HDS results, the reactant size and catalyst pore diameter are two significant critical factors for effective diffusivity.

For the purpose to obtain the relationship between effective diffusivity and the ratio of molecule size to pore size (\( \lambda \)), lots of restrictive diffusion processes have been researched. Thus, an empirical formula was proposed and widely used as shown below.¹¹

### Table 3 Molecular structures and sizes of different model sulfides

| Molecules                      | Structures       | Diameter/ nm |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Thiophene (T)                  | ![Thiophene](image) | 0.5          |
| Benzo thiophene (BT)           | ![Benzo thiophene](image) | 0.7          |
| Dibenzothiophene (DBT)         | ![Dibenzothiophene](image) | 1.0          |
| 4,6-Dimethyl dibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT) | ![4,6-Dimethyl dibenzothiophene](image) | 1.1          |

Fig. 6 Relationships between temperature and η (A) and De (B). (a) HDS of BT over NiMo/BK; (b) HDS of BT over NiMo/Al₂O₃; (c) HDS of 4,6-DMDBT over NiMo/BK; (d) HDS of 4,6-DMDBT over NiMo/Al₂O₃.

Fig. 7 Relationships between pressure and η (A) and De (B). (a) HDS of BT over NiMo/BK; (b) HDS of BT over NiMo/Al₂O₃; (c) HDS of 4,6-DMDBT over NiMo/BK; (d) HDS of 4,6-DMDBT over NiMo/Al₂O₃.
\[ F(\lambda) = \frac{D_e}{D_{ep}} = (1 - \lambda)^m \]

where \( m \) indicates the hindered magnitude of diffusion, \( D_e \) can be calculated as mentioned before. \( \epsilon_p \) is porosity of catalyst, the \( \lambda \) and \( \epsilon_p \) are calculated according to the reactant and catalyst properties. \( \tau \) represents tortuosity factor of catalyst, however, \( \tau \) is not easily measured. As such, the term \( \epsilon_p/\tau \) is viewed as a whole. The bulk diffusivity (\( D_b \)) is calculated from the Stokes–Einstein equation as shown below\(^\text{12}\):

\[ D_b = \frac{KT}{6\pi\mu r} \]

where \( K \) means the Boltzman constant (1.38 \( \times \) \( 10^{-23} \) J K\(^{-1}\)), \( \mu \) is solvent viscosity (Pa s). Pro/II software was used to calculate the \( \mu \) value under the reaction condition. Then substituting these values into the above equation, \( D_b \) was deduced.

Based on the obtained \( D_e \), a logarithmic figure of \( D_e/D_b \) versus \( 1 - \lambda \) was plotted (Fig. 10). In addition, the linear fitting curves were given for NiMo/BK and NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalysts using Origin 7.0 software. Based on the fitted regression line, the mathematical relationship for the restrictive diffusion is obtained by the equations:

For NiMo/BK catalyst:

\[ \frac{D_e}{D_b} = \frac{1}{3.81}(1 - \lambda)^{3.15}; \]

For NiMo/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst:

\[ \frac{D_e}{D_b} = \frac{1}{4.19}(1 - \lambda)^{1.69}. \]
Thus, the restrictive factor of $F(\lambda)$ can be described as follows:

For NiMo/BK catalyst:

$$F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{3.15};$$

For NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst:

$$F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{3.69}.$$

The values of $m$ for the HDS reaction are 3.15 and 3.67 for NiMo/BK and NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts, respectively, which indicates that the diffusion restriction effect over NiMo/BK is smaller than that over NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst.

4. Conclusions

BK composite micro-mesoporous material with cubic Ia3d mesoporous structure was synthesized. The synthesized BK material exhibits the similar mesoporous structure as KIT-6, which possesses a bicontinuous mesoporous structure with pore diameter of ~8 nm.

The changing tendencies in $\eta$ and $D_e$ of BT and 4,6-DMDBT molecules over NiMo/BK catalyst in HDS reactions is reported for the first time. The results demonstrated that the reactant restricted diffusion processes are influenced by reaction conditions and molecule sizes. The reaction temperature exhibits a significant effect on the restrictive diffusion compared with other operating conditions of pressure and H$_2$/Oil. Furthermore, owning to excellent transfer ability of the well-ordered micro-mesoporous NiMo/BK catalyst, the $\eta$ and $D_e$ over NiMo/BK catalyst in the HDS of different reactant molecules are all higher than those over NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst under the studied reaction conditions due to the excellent transfer property of the well-ordered channels of NiMo/BK catalyst.

The restrictive factors follow the correlations of $F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{3.15}$ for NiMo/BK catalyst and $F(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^{3.67}$ for NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst, respectively, which further confirm that the diffusion resistance exists in NiMo/BK catalyst is much smaller than that in NiMo/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalyst.
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