Ethnobotanical study on traditional use of local fruit varieties in Gargano National Park (Apulia, Italy).
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ABSTRACT. This study is a first attempt at documenting the ethnobotanical value of local fruit trees, the quantity of which has been decreasing along the past fifty years, while the cultivation has gradually been abandoned. The current study focuses on Gargano Promontory area, where some traditional agriculture practices are still in use. Many species and ethnobotanical categories are involved in a range of uses, such as domestic and craft products (22 species), agroforestry (16 species), and economic (13 species), not to say for food. In these cases, either fruits (62%), and other parts (leaves, flowers, seeds, remnants of pruning, lumber) of a plant are important (38%). 217 fruit trees are involved (local varieties, ancient cultivars, accessions) and they belong to 33 species, mainly Rosaceae’s (53%). Chestnut, pear, almond and walnut trees are most widely exploited, proving that an ethnobotanical heritage of great importance has developed thanks to the diversity of traditional fruit trees, whose relevance is far from being completely studied. In Gargano area case, the variety of traditional fruit trees has become a strong distinctive feature of the Mediterranean diet. This is in turn still the base of the nutritional regime of a community that developed a sound gastronomic knowledge based on fruits (salads, first courses, etc.). The socio-economic changes of the past fifty years have obviously led to abandoning most of said uses. The interest in foodstuff remains, but it is limited to few species, or to an even lower number of their morphotypes. In intercultural comparisons with other Italian regions (Campania, Basilicata) and other countries (Serbia), we have found common uses, but above all a great uniqueness. At the same time, there is evidence showing the close bound between the diversity of fruit trees in use, and the resulting biocultural abundance. The abandonment of traditional agricultural practices has also led to the loss of ethnobotanical values linked to local fruits. Hence, preserving the ethnobotanical knowledge is the only way of recovering and enhancing this precious heritage made of biological and cultural biodiversity.
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**INTRODUCTION**

For the first time in Italy, this ethnobotanical study focuses on the variety of local fruit trees (“ancient fruits”), and the area considered is Gargano Promontory in Apulia (Italy). Its biodiversity heritage has been affected for many years now by a relentless loss of consistency, due to the changes in agricultural production models that in Italy have involved the lowlands, and that have left abandoned hill and mountain agriculture (Piccinin, 2000). The mixed cultivation model (arable crop with trees, arboreal combinations) that used to characterize these cultivations had created an incredible variety of species and infraspecific types, each with a name, a flavour, a use of its own, locally known, and shared. According to the last FAO - Coldiretti report (2019), in the last century in Italy there were around 8,000 kinds of fruits, while today only about 2,000 remain, 1,500 of which are considered at risk of disappearing. The related ethnobotanical knowledge – never studied – linked to the survival of said traditional fruit trees has been lost in turn. This loss affects consumers too, since they base their diet on very few species (mainly apples and pears), and cultivars. In this regard, governmental organizations such as FAO are strongly committing to helping local communities (closely linked to the cultivation of ancient fruits) to prevent the loss of their autonomy, and to recognize the social importance of historical cultivations (EU’s rural development programmes).

In 2018 the first *Atlante dei fruttiferi autoctoni d’Italia* (Fideghelli, 2018) (Atlas of fruit trees indigenous to Italy) was published. It describes over 5,000 varieties and species of fruit native to the Italian peninsula: pear tree (*Pyrus communis* L.), apple tree (*Malus domestica* Borkh.), citrus and fig trees (*Ficus carica* L.), chestnut (*Castanea sativa* L.), walnut tree (*Juglans regia* L.), almond tree [*Prunus dulcis* (Mill.) D.A.Webb], hazel (*Corylus avellana* L.), pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.), cherry tree [*Prunus avium* (L.) L.], apricot [*Prunus armeniaca* (L.) Batsch], peach tree (*Prunus persica* L.), and plum (*Prunus domestica* L.). For Apulia, a census and recovery project for old cultivars and landraces was recently completed (ReGeFruP Project, National Research Council – Sinagri, Bari). A part of the material examined is in conservation (Istituto Basile Caramia of Locorotondo, Bari), while a voluminous publication (Palasciano et al., 2018) describes 500 “varieties” of local fruit trees (several of which have been found in Gargano area), belonging to 26 species and characteristic of the traditional fruit-growing of the region.

These first scientific attempts are undoubtedly important; nonetheless, they can only offer a partial representation of the ancient fruits that spread locally in Italy, their diffusion being at the same time the factor that provoked their decline. On the other hand, the ancient fruits are getting a clearly increasing interest from the social sphere: websites, Facebook pages, informal research, rediscovery of ‘lost flavours’, continuity of traditions (Angelini, 2005). Last,
ancient fruits have a place of their own in several market niches, such as among gastronauts and foodtrotters, in haute cuisine, etc.

There were so many ancient fruits that no one has ever been aware of the actual extent of their presence. With regards to the Gargano area, a rough figure can be obtained by examining the old agriculture censuses (ISTAT, 1971) still including the data about the mixed farming that used to focus mainly on the so-called “seminativi arborati” (tree crops). When in 1971 the abandonment phenomenon (rural exodus) was already significant, in Gargano area 1,855 hectares (3.4% of the cultivated land) were still fruit trees’ - more than half of them almond groves, and around 480 hectares were specialized arboriculture’s (citrus trees). If we consider just the tree crops and exclude the almond groves, there probably were around 120,000 trees (plant layout 8x8): fig trees, pear trees, Japanese medlar trees \( [Eriobotrya japonica \ (\text{Thunb.}) \ \text{Lindl.}] \), cherry, apple, plum, mulberry trees \( (Morus alba \ L.) \), pomegranate trees \( (Punica granatum \ L.) \), prickly pears \( [Opuntia ficus-indica \ (\text{L.}) \ \text{Mill.}] \), apricot trees; as for citruses, they must have been around 192,000 (70% orange trees, 20% lemon trees, 10% other). An unknown number of other fruit trees were probably used in typical mixed cultivation with vineyards, citrus groves and above all olive orchards. During the past fifty years every opportunity of evaluating the cultivation extent of these fruits has disappeared; we can only note its steady and relentless abandonment: based on our current observations, in Gargano area it is probably around 80%.

Nevertheless, even though today Gargano territory is characterized by a strong tourism development, some interesting experiences, and the knowledge of traditional uses of these fruits are surviving, and they remain linked to few residual mixed cultivations. These have in turn helped to preserve meaningful evidence of ancient fruits, though they very close to the point of extinction.

In many cases, they are old cultivars passed down to the present, with names that can be found in classical botanists and pomologists’ works (Mattioli, 1544; Le Lectier, 1628; Gallesio, 1811; Thomas, 1876; Leroy, 1873). Among such works there is \textit{Pomona Italiana} (a series of booklets issued between 1817 and 1839) by Giorgio Gallesio, the first and most important collection of images and descriptions of fruits and fruit trees published in Italy (Ferraro, 1996, 2003).

These many fruit trees’ varieties – only partially studied and described - are an ethnobotanical research field still to explore. The literature proposes several research studies on fruits in Italy and in Mediterranean area, but they just focus on few cultivated species, such as apple, pear, mulberry, chestnut (Signorini et al., 2007; Hadjichambis et al., 2008; Idolo et al., 2010; Saric’Kundalic, 2010; Motti, Motti, 2017; Mautone et al., 2019), without considering their infraspecific diversity, that must have been decisive in use traditions. A study conducted in Serbia analyzed the ethnobotanical uses (dietary, medicinal, domestic) of local pear trees’ cultivars (Savic’ et al. 2019); such study
showed a remarkable biocultural richness, that the local communities have developed precisely based on
intraspecific diversity. In the international scenario (Indonesia, Ethiopia, India, Namibia, Pakistan) the
ethnobotanical value – mainly for medicinal use – of locally grown indigenous fruits stands out (Suwardi et al., 2020;
Tallei et al., 2019; Cheikhyoussef and Embashu, 2013), even though the highest interest is for wild fruits
(Bošnjaković et al. 2012; Sharma et al., 2017; Kidane et al., 2014; Abbasi et al., 2013; Deshmukh et al., 2011).
Therefore, our study analyzes the ethnobotanical uses of fruits diversity (species, ancient cultivars, landraces) as
documented in Gargano Promontory (Apulia), where traditional fruit-growing has played a critical role in the
region’s economy, culture and of course diet, still strongly rooted in Mediterranean traditions. Our aim is to
understand patterns, differences, links, cultural guidelines of uses that have gone far beyond the mere nutritional
purpose. Within the ethnobotanical knowledge, there is a variety of traditional ecological know-hows too (Tek), that
can be used in sustainable agriculture models, hence preventing their possible loss (Salma et al., 2010).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The ethnobotanical data come from structured interviews with farmers (average age: 80 years), realized over the last
ten years (2010-2020). The research has covered the whole Gargano area and has been complemented by photos and
videos. The fruit trees studied are the same described in previous works, some already published (Angelicchio et al.,
1993; Biscotti, 2001, 2008, 2010, 2013); during the last years, most of the mother plants (44 accessions belonging to
10 species) have been geo-referenced and stored at Centro di Ricerca, Sperimentazione e Formazione in Agricoltura
“Basile Caramia” in Locorotondo (Ba).

Table 1 lists all documented ethnobotanical uses divided according to:

- Botanical species as described in ‘The Plant List’ (http://www.theplantlist.org/).
- Local name: in case of forgotten names, we have used a name attributed by us (e.g. “Mela di Valle
  Sgadea”) that links it to the toponym of the place where it has been found; the acronym ‘CRSFA’ shows an
  accession characterized and conserved in the above mentioned ‘Centro Ricerca e Sperimentazione di
  Locorotondo’ (Ba). In the same column, ‘photo’ means that in the additional file there is a photo (Fig.S1).
- Pomology/size/ripening period: these details are used for ancient cultivars, local varieties or accessions
  characterized and conserved; the same column also gives information about fruit size (little, medium,
  large), and ripening period.
- Discovery territory: this definition is used for the Gargano municipality to which the fruit tree is linked.
- Categories of use: listed according to the ethnobotanical methodology (Caneva et al., 2013) and distinguished in food (A), domestic/crafts (DART), medicinal (M), ritual/symbolic/religious (RSR), economic (E), and agroforestry (ASP).

- Parts used: fruits, seeds, flowers, plant, leaves, wood, pruning remnants.

- Methods of use/Uses: they are divided by category of use.

- Level of use (according to Biscotti and Pieroni, 2015)

- Taste appreciation: for food use.

Table 1’s dataset has been created using Windows Office programs, to realize histograms and pie charts.

The other four tables list the results of different processing carried out on the data: Table 2 collects the ethnobotanical categories of use, to check per species frequency for each of them; Table 3 records the results of cultural comparisons in ethnobotanical uses of fig in Gargano area, Basilicata, and Campania. Also, Table 4 reports the use levels divided into categories, and in Table 5 we can found the most frequent ethnobotanical uses of Gargano area’s traditional fruits.

Last, Figure 7 shows the results of a principal components analysis (PCA) realized with Vegan Package (Oksanen et al., 2015) in the open source software R (R Development Core Team, 2015), based on a dataset consisting of pear and fig’s morphotypes, with each use’ difference listed in Table 1.

RESULTS

The research gathered an amount of meaningful data under the botanical and pomological aspect. Figure 1 shows the charts identifying the species’ botanical family (A), genus (B), infraspecific diversity (C), and pomological value of all the fruits studied (D). The ethnobotanical uses documented concern mainly morphotypes (52%) of ancient cultivars belonging to 13 families (Rosaceae, 52%), 30 genera (Pyrus, 23%) and 33 species. The ‘Others’ category of the same chart collects other 15 species, among which there are orange [Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck], lemon [Citrus limon (L.) Burm.], cedar (Citrus medica L.), tangerine (Citrus reticulata Bianco), bergamot (Citrus × bergamia Risso and Poiteau), myrtle-leaved orange [Citrus × myrtifolia (Ker Gawl.) Raf.]. The infraspecific diversity is an important factor (Chart C) concerning many species, especially pear (23%), plum (16%), and fig (13).

Pear alone has 49 morphotypes – ancient cultivars, landraces, and accessions.

Overall, the documented uses can be divided in 7 ethnobotanical categories (listed per species in Table 2); they make clear that every fruit is used in a variety of ways apart from food: domestic/crafts (22 species), agroforestry (16
species), and economic (13 species). In fact, only very few species have limited uses (frequency 1, 2, 3). Chestnut, pear, almond and walnut are the species with more categories of use (frequency 5). Table 2 also reveals the significant economic role of these fruits’ many morphotypes for pear, pomegranate, cherry, orange, hazel, walnut, almond and above all chestnut.

Charts in Figure 2 show the fruits’ ripening period (Chart A), and their distribution in Gargano Promontory’s territories. In the first case, the fruits’ wide availability almost over the whole year (at its top in August – 27%) is clearly shown; though, spring and autumn months are important too, as local fruits are mainly available from May to November, while from January to April Gargano area’s communities enjoy citruses (Others, 7%). In the second case (Chart B), we can see that almost every Gargano municipality is involved in growing and using these fruits, even though said municipalities are mainly grouped in a northern zone of the Promontory whose borders are Vico del Gargano (23%), Ischitella (19%), and Rodi Garganico (11%).

As shown in Figure 3, the recorded ethnobotanical uses concern mainly fruits (62%), but the whole tree is particularly important, since every part is used, i.e., seeds, leaves (14%), pruning remnants (14%), and wood (3%).

Categories of use

Figure 4 shows in percentage in seven charts the processing as focused on use categories. In the food-related one (Chart A), the most meaningful use is about consumption in the fresh state (50%), while the remaining part undergoes different methods of use or processing (stored, dried, processed, cooked): some fruits (pears, apples) are stored (12%) in warehouses, in inserts (Photos 1, 2); “Mele rosa” and “Mele decia” used to be kept in the cupboards, to make the home more colourful, and add it some scent. Other fruits (figs, pears, plums) are sundried, then cooked in the oven, and eventually eaten as dried fruits (dried, 11%); also, others undergo more complex processing (processed, 14%), and are used in jams (apricots, cherries), or concentrated (‘Vincotto’ – cooked grapes’ must – made of figs, carobs), used in juices and homemade orangeade (citrus), drinks (almond milk), and Christmas cakes (almonds). A part is cooked (apples and pears) and used as food for the children and the sick. Finally, some fruits are used to prepare typical snacks (oranges rubbed on bread and seasoned with olive oil) and dishes (dish, 2%), like salads (with oil and salt dressing) made of oranges, cedars and lemons, or soups (“acquasale”) of oranges and fried olives, or pasta with walnuts. “Pane e arance” (bread and oranges) is a snack eaten during the work breaks in the countryside (bread or grilled bread rubbed with oranges and seasoned with olive oil), and it was once a typical children snack.
Other fruits with a high nutritional relevance are plums (Sino-Japanese plum), and damsons (European plum), respectively called “pironi” and “passole” (or “pernelle”). Also, the dietary value of dried fruits such as walnuts, hazels, and chestnuts (but above all of almonds) is high. Still now, many Christmas cakes contain almonds: they are used as ingredients of “cartellate”, “crustele” (fried pieces of dough), biscuits (“spumette”), “mandorle atterrate” (almonds dried in the oven, then knead with icing sugar and “vincotto” or chocolate). The “ostie chijene” (“mandorle atterrate” with “vincotto”, put between two thin wafers) are special: they are still prepared in Monte Sant’Angelo and sold in every shop of typical food. Created in that village, in the past they could be found everywhere in Gargano area, and the mould with Jesus Christ on the cross was the same used by the parishes to prepare the Host for the Holy Communion. Monte Sant’Angelo’s “ostie chijene” are included in the national list of traditional agri-food products, and in the list of products branded ‘TSG’ (Traditional Speciality Guaranteed) issued by Ministry of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Policies. Chestnut jam too is peculiar, and adults still remember that “cartellate” used to be spread of chestnut jam, while some ‘calzoni’ (fried dough pies) were stuffed with it.

Some wild pears (“Perazzi gentili”) too are used for food, same as some kinds of figs (Cagnano Varano’s so-called “fichi sordi”) with black, white, purplish skins, that are still eaten by itinerant shepherds.

Another species with several ethnobotanical qualities is citruses: bitter orange (Citrus x aurantium L.) is mainly used as sweet orange’s rootstock, but it also has relevance as food (for its quenching effect during summer), and medicinal (thanks to its low sugar content); bridal bouquets use sweet orange’s flowers. Vico del Gargano, Rodi Garganico, and Ischitella communities have a strong interest for citruses’ fruits, and there is even a very peculiar religious tradition: for centuries, oranges and lemons have been used in Vico del Gargano in place of flowers to adorn Saint Valentine’s statue (Photo 3) to show devotion to this saint, which from 17th century on has been considered the protector of Gargano’s citruses against frost. Citrus’ fruits are lovers’ symbol; they also have a low commercial value, and in the past the flowers used to be sold to cosmetics industries, while the zests were candied.

Some interesting ethnobotanical uses have been documented in the fig diversity, that offers fruits all along the year, ranging from the many biferous cultivars producing florons in June, to the uniferous ones, some of which ripen even in November. The biferous morphotypes are usually eaten in the fresh state, while the uniferous ones usually become ingredients of typical sweets: “fichi secchi” (dried figs) (“Dottato, Fico gentile, Fico Paradiso”), “fichi informati” (“Fico degli uccellini”), “fichi a croce” (“Fico degli uccellini, Fico pento”). The “fichi secchi” are sundried, then scalded in hot water and stored in canvas bags, to get the characteristic whitish coating. The “fichi informati” are sundried, then cooked in the oven to give them a longer shelf life and the typical gold or bronze colour; they can also be stuffed with almonds; among the “mandorlati” ones there are the “fichi a croce”, split in halves and coupled in
a cross form. Between the end of August and the beginning of September they prepare ‘vincotto’, a concentrated product made of several morphotypes, very thick and rich in sugar, got through a long boiling. The same processing is used for carobs too. Figs or carobs’ ‘vincotto’ is the irreplaceable ingredient of many Christmas sweets, such as ‘cartellate’ and ‘puperate’ (also called ‘poperate’), traditional Gargano area’s biscuits, donut-shaped and sweet, eaten to commemorate the dead; they need a long rising time and are made up of flour, ‘vincotto’, and spices (cinnamon, cloves). In Vico del Gargano, in the past they used to prepare the ‘gelateddé’, thin homemade spaghettis dipped into the freshly cooked ‘vincotto’, and eaten hot. Someone still prepares ‘vincotto con la neve’, a kind of granita or sorbet made of frozen snow flavoured with these sugary figs’ preparation.

The DART and ASP charts of Figure 4 show the processing of a tree’s other parts: in the first one we can observe the domestic/crafts uses, where almond, cherry, and apricot trees’ pruning remnants are particularly important (82%) as firewood, and to cook food (roasts and soups). The remaining part concerns some interesting uses of walnut trees’ wood (for carpentry), medlars and bitter orange trees’ (to realize work tools’ handles), olive trees’ suckers to make braided objects, mulberry trees to make swings, and finally vines of table grapes (“Uva pergola”) to make pergolas.

The second chart (ASP) lists the agroforestry uses, among which using leaves to feed the livestock (rabbits, goats, donkeys, mules) is the prevalent one, even though it is also meaningful the use of seeds of some fruit trees (peach, apricot, medlar trees) to reproduce plants and get rootstocks.

The uses of the other categories are displayed in charts E (economic), RSR (ritual-symbolic-religious), M (medicinal), and L (recreational). Under the economic aspect (Chart E), some morphotypes’ sales on local markets keep having a certain relevance, even though for some species (chestnut trees), the farmers depend on wholesalers’ purchases.

About the medicinal category (Chart M), in addition to the widespread awareness that fruits are a healthy food, the use of pears (and above all, of bitter oranges) for some conditions (diabetes), and of cooked apples and pears for the children and the sick is interesting; also, dried figs and carobs are used to prepare decoctions for cough and colds.

Very peculiar (Chart L) is the use even adults make of some kernels (walnuts and apricots’) to play (similarity balls) during the feast of St. Michael the Archangel; in summer, children used to keep the apricot kernels and to eat their seeds like almonds, while their mums used to prepare the ‘mandorle atterrate’.

The ritual value taken on by some fruits is especially interesting: the tradition of filling stockings (Photo 4) with dried pears and figs, walnuts, persimmons (Diospyros kaki L.), quinces (Cydonia oblonga Mill.), and late-ripening grapes (Photo 2) to commemorate the dead is still alive. In Manfredonia they still use to set the ‘tavola dei morti’ (table of the dead), to offer their beloved dead ones some refreshments (bread, wine, chestnuts, and pomegranates).
when they visit their relatives on 1st November’s night. This table is also set for Epiphany night, when the dead leaves the house and returns to the afterlife. In other villages (Vico del Gargano) there was the custom of preparing a dish of walnuts and dried figs, and to leave it on a windowsill, as a treat to the procession of dead passing in the streets on 1st November’s night.

Finally, the ethnolinguistic value of these fruits’ local names is not to be overlooked, since they show the deep links between communities and plants, and since such names relate to different aspects (morphological, ecological, agronomic): “Pero a campana” (bell-shaped pear), “Pera mezzorotolo” (wheel-shaped), “Pera a pudicin chjina” (pear with fleshy petiole), “Pera torsavolpe” (whose long shape would suffocate a fox), “Pera vennegghja” (harvest pear) “Pero pagghiònica” (arable crops’ pear), “Pero invernale” (winter pear), “Pera austino o ustinella” (August pear), “Pera di giugno” or “San Giovanni” (June or St. John’s pear). In other cases, some fruit takes the name of the farmer growing or spreading it (Pero Mastantonio, Pero Marcantonio), or its name relates to the taste (“Pera moscatiddone”, i.e., tasting like Moscato), or it can have a name showing its origin (“Pero marchisciano, Pero Gerusalemme, Pero d’Ischitella” ). The name “Gabbaladro” (duping the thieves) used for a plum’s valuable ancient cultivar is especially interesting: it means that once ripened, it keeps a greenish colour that makes the “thieves” think it is still unripe, so they do not pick it.

With the PCA displayed in Figure 7 we have a convincing order of the detected biocultural diversity; therefore, we can easily check the elements involved and the importance of each of them. Given the strong infraspecific diversity that is characteristic of pear and fig, we have only considered their morphotypes. By analyzing the graph, we can confirm the different roles played by the uses, and the most relevant in differentiating the morphotypes is the use for food, especially in the fresh state. The graph highlights the differences: in economic use (local markets), agroforestry use (animal feed), and domestic use (firewood), where only pear morphotypes are involved, while in case of processing, either pear and fig morphotypes are transformed, preserved, cooked for the sick, or dried. From this sorting we can understand that each morphotype’s group is characterized by its method of use, so we can see that the fruits’ ethnobotanical tradition is closely linked to a morphotype, that sets its use.

**Levels of use**

All these uses have gradually lost their importance in the past fifty years, and many have been completely abandoned. Table 4 collects all the elaborations focusing on level of use, and divided by category and modality. The last column lists the number of morphotypes involved in each modality; it distinguishes the use in the fresh state (183) for fruits; the use of leaves to feed the animals (102), and the remnants of the pruning for firewood and cooking (104) for
plants. Also, there are 44 morphotypes with an economic value. The most worrying percentage is about fresh consumption, with a value beyond 50% including ‘Abandoned’ (A) and ‘Rare’; then we have the animal feeding, that has been abandoned for the 92% of the morphotypes studied, while the use for firewood and cooking is ‘Common’ only for 1% of the morphotypes.

Table 4 clearly shows that the positive percentage of ‘Common level’ (C), and ‘Very Common Level’ (MC) involves the category for food (A), and partly the economic one (E). In the use for food, many modalities have been abandoned (cooked, dried), others have become extremely rare (Processing). As for the economic aspect, some fruits keep a certain value (local markets, Both).

The worst expected results concern all the remaining categories; playing (L) with fruits (walnuts) is a completely abandoned habit; oil for extreme unction, and brides bouquets too have been abandoned (ritual-symbolic-religious use - RSR). Almost every modality in domestic/crafts (DART) category, and medicinal (M) is close to be abandoned. Table 5 shows the remaining margin of relevance of the ethnobotanical heritage and the local fruits use’ tradition in Gargano area, by displaying only the ‘Very Common’ uses. Food and economic categories are clearly the most important categories, involving only the agroforestry and ritual-symbolic-religious modalities. The number of species involved (fig, pear, prickly pear, chestnut, olive), and of their morphotypes is low. In the ‘Food’ category, the fresh consumption is still ‘Very Common’ only for some morphotypes of fig (“Fico d’agosto, Fico pento, Columbre”), mulberry (“Gelso bianco piccolo”), prickly pear (“Fico d’India arancione”), pear (“Pero d’Ischitella, Spatone estivo”), and cherry (“Napoletana rossa”). In the “Cooked” modality, roasted chestnuts, hence chestnuts in general are still used (“Castagna tempestiva, Castagna rimminnevola, Castagna di Gagnoliddo, Castagna di San Michele, Castagna invernale, Castagna rigata”). Also, the tradition of using oranges (“Arancia squacciata, Arancia a pera, Arancio Padre Nostro”) to prepare salads continues. Thanks to their economic value, different chestnut morphotypes keep on being traded in local markets (18%), and by wholesalers. Another information of great ethnobotanical importance is the ancient religious use of oranges and lemons to adorn some statues (St. Valentine’s).

If we watch at the ‘Common’ group, the data we get are less worrying about the survival of the ethnobotanical tradition of local fruits. Though – and we can see it a first glance by observing Table 4, – they involve almost the same categories. Few other pear morphotypes (“Pero d’Ischitella, Pero spatone”) are involved in fresh consumption; also, these pears, some local varieties of cherry (“Ciliegia maiatica, Ciliegia San Michele”), and of fig (“Fico marinese, Fico gentile”) can sometimes be found in local markets. These fruits are used even now to prepare jams and preserves, meaning there is a strong interest for lost flavours, but at the same time the people interviewed are very aware that these fruits are not going to be recovered.
Intercultural comparisons

Due to the lack of ethnobotanical research on specific and infraspecific local fruits, and despite the large quantity of data collected, getting consistent intercultural comparisons with other areas is not possible. Literature only offers studied focusing on ethnobotanical uses of single species. One of those is fig, a typical fruit (syconia) of Southern Italy. In a study on Campania and Basilicata (Salerno et al., 2017), uses common to different categories (human nutrition, animal food, medicinal, domestic/crafts) have been detected. If we compare the botanical uses of fig between Gargano area and the mentioned regions (Table 5), we note not only surprising common elements, but also habits that have become characteristic of some regions or territories. Under the nutritional aspect, a common habit is stuffing dried figs with citrus peel. Even more common uses can be found among medicinal and domestic/crafts traditions: dried figs are used in every compared territory to prepare decoctions and treat inflammations of the respiratory tract, with some interesting differences like the addition of dried carobs (Gargano, Basilicata), or other plants (Campania, Gargano) such as mallow (Malva sylvestris L.), or chamomile (Matricaria chamomilla L.). Fig latex is used to treat insect bites, get vegetal rennet to produce cheese, or remove warts (Gargano, Campania). In Gargano area and in Campania, its leaves (they are surprisingly efficient in degreasing) are used to wash dishes. In Campania, fig leaves are stored and used to feed the livestock; in Gargano area, the leafy branches become forage for goats; in Basilicata, with the youngest branches’ wood they realize an irreplaceable component of flutes; both Campania and Basilicata treat gingivitis with dried figs.

Anyway, this comparison does not reveal the relationship between a species’ morphotypes and its methods of use, while this can be proved in Gargano area, where a higher ethnobotanical richness can be found at the infraspecific level. In fact, the processing a fruit undergoes prior to being eaten (dried figs, ‘fichi infornati, fichi a croce’) is closely linked to their morphotype. Such relationship can also be proved by the results got through a comparison about pear’s infraspecific diversity in food tradition in Gargano and Polimlje areas (Serbia), both with a high morphotypes’ diversity. Figure 5’s graph shows a comparison between ripening periods; in Polimlje area, pears are mainly available in spring/summer (May to July), and in autumn (October), while in Gargano area they are in summer (August/September). By comparing the ways of use, Figure 6 reveals that in Gargano the fresh consumption is strongly prevailing, while in Polimlje they prefer to process fruits (cakes, sweets, brandy, drinks, compotes, juices, jams, syrups…). This evidence can be explained by the diversity of morphotypes, that can be distinguished by the ripening period. Therefore, both these situations reveal a clear relationship with the seasons: the morphotypes that ripen in spring and at the beginning of summer are richer in water (hence not suited to be processed), and they are usually eaten as fresh fruits. But the morphotypes that ripen in summer and autumn (the greater part in Polimlje) are
most suited to be processed, hence the many kinds of cooking methods. On the other hand, in Gargano area, the higher availability of pears in spring/summer explains the lower need for processing, and the larger use of drying, to eat the fruits dried, or as special sweets (‘pere infornate’) that need to be sundried. The common elements – use as dried fruits, medicinal and therapeutic awareness - are important too.

It is nonetheless clear that the ethnobotanical value is issued from the intrinsic fruit’s diversity. If we study the most recent literature about a few close regions like Campania (Mautone et al, 2019), Molise and Abruzzo (Mattalia et al., 2021), we can record some very relevant ethnobotanical data on species such as walnut, chestnut, carob, mulberry, olive (Olea europea L.), cherry, plum, rowan (Sorbus domestica L.), lemon, grapevines, pomegranate, and pear. The parts used include not only the fruits, but also leaves and wood, so also in these cases the reasons behind their use involve several domains (domestic, crafts, medicinal) apart from the nutritional ones. In Campania they claim a decoction made of walnut leaves is good for treating hyperglycemia, and the seeds are used to prepare cakes and pasta. In Molise and Abruzzo, they make an infusion in wine with fruits, known by the local name ‘liquor”; in Campania, the dried fruits of carobs used to be a children’s food; in Gargano they were dried, then quickly cooked in the oven, and considered “chocolate for kids”. Again, in Campania mulberry leaves are used to prepare diuretic and antidiabetic decoctions. In Molise with pomegranate’s fruits and peel, dried figs, apples, sugar, bay leaves (Laurus nobilis L.) and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) they treat bronchitis. In the same region, pears are preserved with salt and vinegar, salt and water, wine and sugar, water and vinegar.

Coming back to fig, it is appropriate to make a comparison between the data about the Gargano area, and what we are documenting in the other parts of Apulia (Murgia, Salento), a region very rich in food, agronomic, and economic traditions based on this species, that is a symbol of the “dry” fruit-growing. Some recent works (Palasciano et al., 2018) describe 96 morphotypes (ancient cultivars and landraces), a number that cannot compare to a diversity that until the 1950s acknowledged that Apulia had the national record in fig-growing: around 1,000,000 quintals produced in 30,000 hectares out of the 50,000 cultivated in Italy (Minonne et al., 2011).

About Murgia - the central part of Apulia – the data collected (Sant’Eramo, Spinazzola, Gravina di Puglia) document an ethnobotanical tradition built on the diversity of several ancient cultivars and local varieties (“Ajjettate, Reggine nere e bianche, Musserosse, Chelubre, A vrazzole, Vernine, Acchjanute, Cipolotto, Fico dell’Unghia, Rosso di Trani, Trimone. Nero di Ruvo, Columbrario”). The early figs are eaten in the fresh state, while for the dried fig – as they do in Gargano area – only the “Ajjettate” fig (a “Dottato’s” local variety) is used: figs are left on the trees until they are almost completely dried and get their typical whitish patina. Afterwards, they are put in glass containers (or in canvas bags like in Gargano) where they are stored. Other local varieties – “Reggine a buccia nera, Columbraro” –
ripening in summer are preferred to prepare “fico mandorlato” (fig with almonds): the figs are split in halves and
sundried, then they are coupled with almond seeds; all the other morphotypes (“Ricotta, Cipollotto, Rosso di Trani,
Trimone, Nero di Ruvo”) become “cotto di fico” (a concentrate paste of cooked fig), the main seasoning of
Christmas cakes such as “cartellate” or “sasaneddé” (sasanelli), dry biscuits prepared with flour, “vincotto”,
almonds, cacao and several spices. Among other uses (and same as in Gargano territory), we find dried figs used for
decoctions together with mallow and bay leaves, to treat diseases caused by cold; for the cough, in Sant’Erasmo in
Colle they use hot “cotto di fico” diluted in white wine. The leaves too, they are traditionally used to feed livestock,
while latex has the same medicinal (warts) and domestic (rennet) applications documented in Gargano area and in
Campania.

In Salento area, traditions are based on another astonishing abundance of ancient cultivars and local varieties: “Fico
abate” (Lecce), “Fico a sangu, Abbondanza” (Brindisi, Taranto), “Cascitedda” (Lecce), “Fracassano rosso,
Marangiana, Rizzedduha” (Lecce), “Mattepinta, Ricotta” (Brindisi, Taranto, Bari). In this territory too, the favourite
sweets are dried figs (“fichi zuccarati”), and the morphotype is some local variety of “Dottato” cultivar (“Fico
secco di San Michele Salentino”). To dry the figs they use trellis (called ‘grate’ in Gargano, and ‘cannizzi’ in Salento)
made of reed (Arundo donax L.), or they prepare flat surfaces on the “trulli” (Cistermino in Valle d’Itria); apart from
trellis, they still use “spase” (stone slabs), and “littere” (stone shelves), where they arrange a layer of dried plants
(among which there is thyme - Thymbra capitata (L.) Cav.), putting on top the figs to dry (split in halves but not
separated, or left as they are in Gargano and Taranto area). On the “spase” and among the figs there are also pears
of the local “Giammaria” cultivar, as recorded in Ceglie Messapiche (Brindisi). Then, the figs are stuffed with
roasted almonds (Gargano, Murgia), while in Salento with walnuts and wild fennel (Foeniculum vulgare Mill.).
Once dried, the figs are stored in glass containers like they do in Murgia (“vasineddé” - clay containers in Gargano
area), arranged in layers and sprinkled with coffee powder (Gargano), or sugar decorations (in Manduria - Taranto
area). Dried figs shapes are typical, like in “crocette”, i.e., two figs coupled and bound with spiny rush (Juncus
acutus L.) in the form of a cross. As in Gargano and Murgia areas, in Salento too dried figs are a typical present: in
Maritima (a hamlet of Diso, Lecce) we can find the “iette” (diamond-shaped dried figs tucked on reeds), a typical
gift for Epiphany. Some ritual elements exist in Salento too (where dried figs are linked to the dead commemoration),
and in Gargano area. However, there (in Fasano) for the ‘table of the dead’, instead of the rosary they create a crown
of dried figs, ready since September.

In Salento too, most morphotypes are processed to get “miele di fichi” (fig honey – Gargano’s “vincotto”, or
Murgia’s “cotto di fico”), while the quintessential Christmas sweets are ‘cartellate’. In winter, either in Gargano
and in Salento, children and adults enjoy the preparation of ‘‘vincotto con la neve’’. Salento’s fig honey is also used
to cook, especially the ‘‘ndreme di viecchie’’ (Locorotondo), a plate close to be abandoned where homemade pasta
is cooked in fig honey (something close to Gargano’s ‘‘gelateddé’’).

Other experiences concern the domestic uses of fruits and parts of plants: in Gargano area, house painters used to
make their brushes out of fig wood; latex was used to curdle milk and soothe insect bites, while the leaves (original
use) were used to clean wounds and abscesses. Dried figs supplied some original medicinal remedies, such as
poultice of chopped figs that eradicated boils and abscesses, prepared by soaking figs in water at night; also, figs
used to be eaten in the morning for constipation relief. Including in Salento, dried figs were considered a panacea for
respiratory tract diseases: to treat cough, they cooked dried figs (like in Gargano and Murgia areas) together with
almond peels, bay leaves, mallow, pomegranate grains, and slices of quince. For colds, the dried figs needed to be
boiled in wine, added of walnuts, mallow, and honey. In Gargano zone, this ‘‘syrup’’ is used in case of cough and cold,
but it is mixed with dried carobs and bay leaves.

**DISCUSSION**

The abundance of data collected in Gargano area clearly shows that local fruits are proof of a wide ethnobotanical
tradition, developed from the importance they already had with regards to the nutritional aspect. Such tradition is
based on species’ diversity, and above all, on infraspecific diversity as a unique adaptation requirement to the
bioclimatic and morphological diversity of territories. Diversity represented a winning strategy to ensure availability
of fruits – as in Gargano case – almost all year long, given that fruits ripe in every season. Eating fruits (bread and
cherries, or bread and grapes) used to be a habit during breaks from work in the countryside, or a snack to enjoy at
any time. Nuts too (walnuts, hazels, almonds, chestnuts) used to enrich the festive tables. In winter, Gargano
communities also enjoyed citruses, a daily reserve of vitamin C available for many months. These fruits have had a
very wide consumption, so that their value has gone far beyond the common idea of “fruits”, since they have often
changed into true food, helpful in facing famine, therefore they were stored (dried, baked, preserved) during the long
and occasionally cold Mediterranean winter. Given what has been said so far, the nutritional use of local fruits shows
the knowledge local communities relied upon, hence acknowledging the ‘food supremacy’ (Nyélěni Forum, 2007)
that supports safe and fair local food of high quality, and that protects a community’s right to keep its own eating
habits.

The different characteristics under the pomological (colour, fruit structure, taste quality) and agronomic aspect
(resistance against pathologies, ripening period, durability) of local fruits have created the right conditions to
successfully experiment different processings, ranging from jam, to concentrate (‘‘vincotto’’). Above all, they have
boosted the creation of easy and efficient conservation techniques: inserts of winter cultivars (pears, persimmons,
pomegranates, prickly pears) hanging from walls or inside warehouses, while drying methods, oven cooking
(roasting) of figs, pears, and plums give the fruits’ a longer shelf-life. For pears and apples ripening in autumn,
storage in special wooden boxes kept in a warehouse was enough, but for other fruits (rowan, some pear’s cultivars,
persimmons) conservation was essential to get the fruits ripen and become edible. Then there were jams and
concentrates, prepared to keep most of the abundant harvest of figs, cherries, table grapes, plums, apricots.
Fruit diversity has also involved bio-nutritional differences – yet to be studied – that have been without any doubt
critical in influencing the value of the Mediterranean diet. Here we touch another relevant point related to such diet,
that is still typical of Gargano and Apulia communities, places rich in food excellences ranging from the widely used
olive oil, to fruits and vegetables (Biscotti et al., 2018; Biscotti et al., 2020). When we talk about Mediterranean diet
(Naska and Trichopoulou, 2014), we tend to underestimate the fact that it can have different ingredients, and – for
example – different kinds of fruits can make the difference in a diet’s value. Gargano area’s diet was characterized
by a steady consumption of pears, very different from each other for taste, colour and nutritional value; there is no
doubt such diversity played a preeminent role in this type of diet, where pears were considered very good for
stomach and intestine. In fact, in the use for food of this fruit, the awareness of their therapeutical/nutraceutical
properties has always been present: pears were good for diabetic patients, they were cooked and given to the sick,
and decoctions of dried pears, carobs and figs were the usual therapy for dealing with the frequent respiratory tract
diseases the farmers working in the countryside were especially prone to get affected by. Even cholera was dealt
with by using Gargano’s citruses (Biscotti, 2017). Many ‘‘varieties’’ of bitter orange are still consumed by diabetic
patients due to their very low sugar content, and lemon juice mixed to hot water is considered a panacea for every
abdominal pain (indigestion etc.).
The medicinal use of dried fruits (pears, figs, carobs) was peculiar, since they were thought of as essential
ingredients for decoctions to treat cough together with other plants, some always present (mallow and bay leaves,
orange and lemon zests). In addition, other plants used to mark the differences between municipalities: in
Manfredonia it was chamomile, in Mattinata almonds (in Vico del Gargano and San Giovanni Rotondo, their peels),
in Monte Sant’Angelo they were sage leaves (Salvia officinalis L.), in Vieste carobs, in San Giovanni Rotondo
licorice roots (Glycyrrhiza glabra L.), in Cagnano Varano barley seeds. It is important to remember that some fruits
have acquired a special relevance in the medicinal domain, contrary to many wild plants used for food and thought
of as medicine/food (Etkin, 1996; Pieroni, 2000). Once properly processed, fruits used to change into medical
preparations (decoctions), so there seems to be a clear distinction between food and medicine, unlike wild plants, where such distinction seems less clear or not easy to describe (Pieroni, Quave, 2006; Anywar et al., 2014, Benitez et al., 2017).

Thus, also about fruits there is an ethnobotanical knowledge that can stimulate the research to identify active ingredients to use in the medical/therapeutic (Salerno et al., 2017) and domestic domains, like in using fig leaves to degrease pans and dishes. For example, scientific data about pears are abundant: pears contain antioxidants (Kaur, Arya 2012) and can be used against hypertension, diabetes, high cholesterol, constipation, rheumatism, and even to prevent cancer (Savic et al., 2019). In addition, pears contain glycoside and phenolic compounds (arbutin, quercetin, kaempferol, freidielin, sterols, isoquercitrin, ursolic acid, sorbitol) that have anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, antibacterial, analgesic, astringent, spasmylytic effects (Kaur et al. 2012). Pears are especially recommended to diabetic patients, thanks to their typical low sucrose content.

Another significant aspect to think of is the economic value the local fruits used to have in Gargano area, that is, something that might ensure some opportunities for the future. Dried or quickly baked pears and figs used to have some value too. Several documents (Libetta, 1833; Nardini, 1914; Vocino, 1914) emphasize the large quantity of dried figs produced in Gargano, of which today we only find a marginal familiar use. Most pears in Apulia ripen in spring/summer; by studying old historical sources, it results that Apulia has a record of early varieties (Pantanelli, 1930): little in size and available in large quantities, they were ready to be consumed before those of any other part of Italy (Pantanelli, 1929), they were very juicy and free from the flesh’s ‘grains’ typical of the valuable varieties of Northern Italy. A monograph of the 1960s (Brazanti Sansavini, 1964) focuses on pear production in Apulia and estimates for Foggia province 170,000 quintals (76% regional production); 98% was of local varieties (82% on a regional level). The monograph mentions the local varieties called “Mela Rosa, Perelle di maggio (Puredde), Pero Marchese, Pero Ciccantonio, Mezzorotolo, Muzzaduro, Ustinella, Rignanese” – all of them rooted in Gargano area’s pear cultivation, and “whose very early production… is appreciated not only in local markets, but also in some national ones”. Many of the kinds of cultivated pears come from Ischitella zone. It is a rich germplasm issued from ‘semi-specialized’ models, so to say (arable land mixed with pears, pear/olive mixed cultivation), that the mixed farming pattern created with pear trees.

Nuts too (especially chestnuts - once they were sold even in Avellino general markets - and almonds) had a commercial/economic value. Until 1960s, almonds harvest was a big income source for farmers in Gargano (San Giovanni Rotondo, Monte Sant’Angelo), thanks to a heritage of 50 varieties (Fanelli, 1939). Since decades, such products have unfortunately lost every commercial value, and their harvest has been abandoned. The trade interests
have been changing, and the sweet industry (especially confectionery) looks for unique seed’s fruits, shelled, immediately available and low-cost, coming from intensive cultivation of the almonds (mechanization of the harvest and shelling). The uncountable Gargano almonds’ morphotypes have on the contrary hard shells, often containing two seeds (a characteristic farmers were interested in). On the other hand, softer, thinner shells expose almonds to fungi only phytopharmaceuticals can face, a problem many Gargano cultivars did not have.

The economic value of Gargano’s traditional fruit-growing reaches its peak thanks to the citruses (only 500 or 600 hectares) grown in ‘I Giardini’ that rely on a stream (Torrente Asciatizzo) fuelled by several sources (Angelicchio et al., 1993; Biscotti, 2017). We have evidence that starting from 1000 a.C., bitter oranges, lemons, sweet oranges were grown together with tangerines, cedars, and bergamots, and protected by windbreaks made of holm oaks (*Quercus ilex* L.) and laurel. Towards the end of the 19th century, they ranked third among the Italian cultivations: around 3% of national production - first in Italy, a country that over the whole century was first per citrus growing. In season, in the period 1870-1920 around 100,000 quintals of citruses left from Rodi and San Menaio for Trieste, Paris, Vienna, Moscow, Liverpool, New York; in a famous monograph about Gargano we read that in 1847 “the income coming from lemons has outpassed the value of the ground” (De Leonardis, 1870). Around 60% of the production usually left for the United States of America. Later, the US have imposed a duty increase, and since then Gargano’s citruses have gradually lost all their importance.

In this wide range of fruits and related uses, we can easily discover the value of the biodiversity expressed by local fruits. The agriculture methods capable of harvesting huge amounts of food, with a relevant economic aspect (despite being practiced in very different environment, and in difficult conditions) are rooted on species and infraspecific diversity. Thus, local fruits offer a significant ecologic and agronomic (growing and reproducing methods) knowledge. Basically, it is a biodiversity still far from being completely understood: some names of Gargano area’s pears (“Pero moscatello, Pero angelico”) can be found in Gallesio’s *Pomona* (1819-1839), and even before - in Matthioli’s work (1568) - we find “Moscadelle, Giugnole, Ciampoline, Ghiacciuole, Spinose, Campane”.

These are all names revealing something about what we can find today in Gargano, and currently they are known by the names “Pero ghiacciolo, Pero moscatello, Pero a spina, Pero campanone”.

The variety of citruses (whose centuries-old trees still can be seen) range from morphotypes of sweet orange, to lemon (the most cultivated ones), to bitter orange, cedar, bergamot, and chinotto, e.g., a valuable proof of rural biodiversity the historical Italian agriculture had developed upon. Also, there are orange’s morphotypes (“Arancia a pera, Arancia squacciata, Arancia tosta o Duretta del Gargano”), and ancient cultivars belonging to the type of Biondo comune, while lemon’s (“Limone fusillo, Limone lustrino”) are issued from the group of “Femminello
comune” (both are protected by PGI as ‘Arancia Bionda del Gargano’ and ‘Limone Femminello del Gargano’). This is all that is left of a diversity described for the first time by Giuseppe Del Viscio (1900), a very influential author that followed the taxonomic path of prominent scholars specializing in the difficult citrus classification, either French (Risso and Poiteau, 1818), and Italian (Giorgio Gallesio, 1818). Del Viscio described 28 ‘varieties’ of citrus that used to be grown in Gargano: oranges (6 varieties out of the 6 then known in Italy), “melangoli’’ (Citrus x aurantium L.), lemons and cedars (Citrus medica L.) among the 169 species or varieties then known in every farming area of Europe. For each, Del Viscio supplies common name, dialect name, uses and binomial nomenclature mentioned in the Histoire naturelle des orangers (1818) by Risso and Poiteau, and partly in Traité du citrus by Gallesio.

Eventually, the importance of biodiversity can be understood in choosing the many species suited to a particular environment’s features (soil, climate): almond, pear and fig trees are the only possible choices, since they can bear fruits in a dry climate, and on a rocky, poor soil. In addition, pear and fig trees respond to a practical knowledge that adapts to Gargano area vegetation’s potential. So, we find wild pear trees (Pyrus spinosa Forsk.) that are pear trees traditional rootstocks; the massive presence of wild fig trees (Ficus carica subsp. caprificus) ensures an abundant harvest of cultivated figs, thus preventing the use of caprification. The same principles can be observed in the widespread presence of almond trees, an aridophilic species that finds a favourable space in the steep and dry slopes of Monte Sant’Angelo and Mattinata, and on San Giovanni Rotondo plateaus, a dissemination probably encouraged also in this case by the presence of the wild almond trees [Prunus webbi (Spach) Vierh], an element that can be found in wild olive groves and that has often been exploited as a rootstock.

The use of these fruit trees portrays a very interesting anthropological dimension, since they are linked to single farmers that have learnt not only how to grow them, but also how to create a wider know-how all around them. They are all issued from the establishment of some characteristics following very long selection processes within every species. They exist because someone has chosen, grown, protected, and passed on them over the time, so the specific knowledge of every farmer is crucial.

The aspect offering conclusive elements for a discussion focuses on the social and cultural role of local fruit trees: they are the collective heritage of the communities, that preserve their memory and pass it on; starting from the plays made with fruits, they have marked the meeting point of a place and a culture. Besides, fruit trees have a long history in common with people, that through them preserve life witness, work, techniques - an authentic immaterial culture bond to food, to its production and processing. Every fruit has taken on a really important place in the intellectual, symbolic, magic, and social universe. Trees have offered shade and refreshment, they have marked borders,
sheltered birds, supported other plants, they keep on supplying firewood, and – until some decades ago – they were mainly used to have wood to cook food. Fruit trees wood is an excellent fuel, because - as ‘hard wood’ - it burns slowly, makes little smoke, and releases aromas that gives the food a unique taste. Thanks to the fruit trees’ pruning remnants, the peasants and their families had enough wood stocks to face the winter.

Fruit trees’ social value can be seen in pears, that in Gargano area have always been considered a water source. In summer in Gargano area, no other fruit can relieve thirst like the many pear varieties - everyone’s property - we can find along the roads and the paths, almost always born from grafts on wild pears from July to October. Same role have figs (extremely rich in sugar), available to everyone along the roads, ready to satisfy the hunger that some old people still remembers from the past. The poor and those who were hungry used to be given a handful of dried pears, or carobs, figs, plums or ‘informante’ - a present for children too. The rich enjoyed the fruits of ‘‘Pero dei signori’’ (the rich’s pear tree), or ‘‘Pero marchese’’, whose gradual ripening (July to October) made it a tree only the rich could afford to grow, enabling its owner to eat a fruit a day for a long period. Fruit is a child’s happiness, particularly when it comes to mulberries, cherries, medlars, apricots, grapes, strawberries: the harvest used to be a feast enjoyed by several generations (grandparents, relatives, children) that gathered and used to share tastes, colours, shapes and above all abundance of food.

The cultural diversity born around local fruit trees is further enhanced by the (though scarce) ethnobotanical literature about them. In intercultural comparisons made about fig in Gargano area, Basilicata and Campania, surprisingly some common uses have been found: awareness of medicinal value of dried fig (it heals cough and colds), ethnobotanical traditions focusing on leaves, wood, latex - especially the effectiveness of latex in soothing toothache. These experiences deserve to be studied in-depth, and they can prove to be interesting for other domains such as domestic – leaves used to ‘clean’ the dishes (Gargano area, Campania) - in a search for new useful molecules with a lower impact on the environment in comparison with the detergents we have been using for decades. They are peculiar uses, and they help to enrich the biocultural heritage of local fruit’s trees varieties, a heritage unfortunately still totally unexplored.

Compared to other Apulia’s areas (Murgia, Salento), some ethnobotanical uses characterize a whole territory: figs are food that need to be stored (dried or roasted), or changed into a concentrated product (fig honey, ‘‘cotto di fichi’’, ‘‘vincotto’’) to be used in winter; few figs were a tasty food for children’s breakfast, or food for the poor, or ‘bread’ for the whole day (Nardone et al. 2012).

It is from these traditions that some of the typical excellent Apulian sweets (dried fig, fig with almonds, ‘‘cartellate’’ and ‘‘sassanelli’’) were born.
In Gargano area, Basilicata and Campania, the ethnobotanical tradition about figs has several points in common, such as medicinal use and for food, with dried figs being the main ingredient of a ‘syrup’ that is like a true medicine for colds etc.

In comparison with other realities out of Italy, and focusing on few species, it results that - in Gargano area and in Polimlje region - the morphologic and pomological characteristics and the ripening period are crucial for use modalities, that can anyway change according to a territory, due to ethnic, cultural and environmental reasons. Certainly, species is per se a decisive element, and it determines if a certain wood can be used for crafts, or if certain leaves are suitable as food for livestock. However, it is the morphotypes diversity that - by ensuring a greater availability of fruits - allows the variety of uses that distinguish the territories. Infraspecific diversity’s role is clear in the PCA that ordered the pear and fig morphotypes recorded in Gargano: in drying figs, a certain accession of “Dottato” cultivar (Photo 5) is ideal, just like only some local varieties of pear are, while others are better in the fresh consumption. Morphotypes determine the processing to choose, and its economic value. The interesting fact is that every morphotype has played a role within a community’s social, economic, domestic, crafts’, and cultural space.

Given the requirements of modern agriculture (that basically aim to agronomic-commercial purposes, i.e., fruit aspect, resistance to handling and transport), out of 49 pear tree’s morphotypes no more than 2 or 3 would have a role today. PCA graph shows that diversity is the foundation of bio-cultural wealth, that has shaped the local economy’s socio-economic development.

In conclusion, crucial factors can be recognized in the low levels of use of this extraordinary fruit diversity. Those factors though are unfortunately harming the chances of surviving for said diversity: among the different categories of use, there is some interest (though more and more marginal) in the use for food, limited to a reduced number of morphotypes of few species (orange, pear, cherry, chestnut), in other words, the same fruits that still have an economic value. They are sold in local markets, where occasionally one can find (in winter) “Arancia Bionda del Gargano and Limone Femminello”; or “Pera d’Ischitella, Pera spatone, Ciliegia napolitana” (in summer). There is a new interest for chestnut morphotypes (“Castagna tempestiva, Castagna rimunnevola, Castagna di Gagnolido, Castagna di San Michele, Castagna invernale, Castagna rigata”), the only fruit that can offer the farmers a significant income. Unfortunately, chestnuts are mainly sold to brokers and wholesalers, which get most of the profits.

Finally, there are many abandoned uses in domestic, crafts’, medicinal fields, some of them already recorded some years ago (Bianchi, Gallifuoco, 2004), and that used to concern some fruit trees’ species; anyway, they are carved in the memory of elderly people: olive oil to treat every burn; olive leaves’ decoction (Manfredonia) used for its beneficial effects on liver, or to treat gallstones; olive bark to help healing wounds (San Giovanni Rotondo). They
used to eat prickly pear’s fruits to take advantage of their laxative effect, and in Mattinata hemorrhoids used to be treated with decoctions of the fruit’s peel and some bark’s parts. Last, the sap of the wild grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.) was once used to treat eye inflammations (Biscotti et al., 2015); the sap of the cultivated grapevine too was used to rinse eyes affected by inflammations, redness, and conjunctivitis. Now abandoned uses, fig’s latex and carob’s unripe fruits (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) were cut in pieces and rubbed on warts to eradicate them (Vico del Gargano, Monte Sant’Angelo), while in Manfredonia it was known the effectiveness of carobs’ unripe fruits crushed and applied on wounds. In Mattinata, bitter orange’s zests were used to prepare decoctions recommended to prevent heart attacks. In addition, there is a peculiar use found in Sannicandro and Vico del Gargano, where walnut leaves were boiled in decoctions and used to prepare an antiperspirant footbath. Even the use of cultivated dyeing plants has been abandoned since long: in Carpino the walnut fruit’s hulls were once used to dye blankets and clothes, while sour black cherries (*Prunus cerasus* L.) were macerated in alcohol to obtain a red dye they added salt to (to fix the colour). These last examples only supply a partial representation of what has been lost of the ethnobotanical heritage linked to local fruit trees, whose current knowledge is yet to be documented, and whose diffusion is very wide, since after all it overlaps with historical rural Italy’s.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The ethnobotanical heritage of Gargano area’s local fruits has proved to be especially rich, since it is based on the diversity of 207 morphotypes belonging to 33 species. Our research has found an abundance of ethnobotanical know-hows held by the last farmers, that still use traditional growing methods. They share their knowledge with modern biotechnology’s methods, thus supplying tools that can face either changing environmental conditions, and unforeseen human needs. They keep passing on habits - such as the nutritional ones - once based on specific and infraspecific diversity, now on just few varieties of figs, pears, citruses but that are still playing a role (though never studied) on the positive effects of the Mediterranean diet on Gargano communities. Some symbolic and religious values continue being pillars of the local culture; the old species and cultivars do not leave neither fossils nor remains, and the related ethnobotanical knowledge is particularly at risk, as the oral tradition that used to pass them on got interrupted since a long time. The risk is forgetting how to use fruits and plants of the historical Italian agriculture, and we mean nutritional, gastronomic, ecological know-hows the attempt of recovery of biodiversity heritage is based upon. Despite a long abandonment, the resilience of the plants and the bio-cultures linked to them is strong: chestnuts, citruses, pears keep their economic interest in local markets, so that local fruits still have an economic influence that might play an important role in restoring the local economy. The traditional growing
methods alone are not enough, and the only alternative is tourism (not enough either), hence the loss of what remains of rural Italy (villages and hamlets) seems unavoidable. The example of Gargano area highlights the potential of the traditional Italian farming’s resources, able to feed and shape a local economy devastated by the rural abandonment following the Northern Italy’s industrialization process.

Gargano area’s case therefore opens some interesting perspectives in the ethnobotanical research on local fruits; all the traditional fruit species are still to be studied, but first, the infraspecific diversity that best suits these territories is yet to be studied, to record traditions that in the current literature reveal astonishing experiences that can be exploited in nutritional, medicinal, nutraceutical and economic fields.
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Fig. 2. Breakdown (in percentage) of ripening period and distribution of traditional fruit trees (A) in Gargano area.
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Photo 1. Winter pear insert (“Pera Spatone d’inverno”) in front of a window. Photo by Biscotti, 2015.

Photo 2. Insert of a grape’s local cultivar (“Nardobello”). Photo by Biscotti, 2012.

Photo 3. Statue of Saint Valentine decorated with citrus. Chiesa Matrice, Vico del Gargano. Photo by Biscotti, 2018.

Photo 4. ‘Stocking of the dead’ filled with walnuts, dried figs, citrus, and pomegranate. Manfredonia. Photo by Sipontino.net.

Photo 5. Figs sundrying (“Dottato” cultivar’s accession) on “grate” (trellis). Carpino. Photo by Biscotti, 2018.