ABSTRACT

Introduction Cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) is becoming the most commonly implemented and standard treatment for depression. Up to date, only a few numbers of studies have investigated the potential relationship between CBT and the change of inflammatory biomarkers in individuals of depression. And the results are inconsistent among studies. The current study aims to provide a comprehensive, systematic review of the association between CBT and changes of peripheral inflammation of individuals with depression, and clarify the alterations of inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment by meta-analysis, anti-inflammatory.

Methods and analysis This study will be conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. A systematic search of predetermined terms will be conducted with electronic databases of CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE and PsycINFO from inception to July 2021. Database searches will be supplemented by expert contact, reference and citation checking, and grey literature. Primary outcomes of interest will be validated measures for levels of inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment in individuals with depression. Hedges’ g will be used to represent the effect size.

Systematic review registration The protocol of current meta-analysis has been registered at the Open Science Framework (https://doi.org/10.17605/osf.io/tr9yh).

Ethics and dissemination Formal ethical approval is not required by the National Ethical Review Board in China as primary data will not be collected. The results alterations of peripheral inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment in individuals with depression will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication and inform the most up-to-date evidence of the roles of CBT treatment for depression.

INTRODUCTION

Depression is a severe and the most prevalent form of mental illness that characterised by neurocognitive deficits and disability. The disorder manifests in millions of individuals worldwide, and is a global health priority. The exploration of underlying mechanisms of depression are increasing over the past decades, none of them could clearly clarify the potential triggers of depression. In recent years, the inflammatory processes are considered to be important contributors to depression. A systematic review and meta-analysis confirmed that a high proportion of depressed individuals showed signs of inflammation. The potential bidirectional relationship of depression and inflammation were also clarified. For instance, early infection and autoimmune diseases are highly associated with high risk of depressive disorders in adulthood; evidence from preclinical and clinical researches reached a consistency that the concentrations of peripheral pro-inflammatory cytokines significantly increase in individuals or animal models of depression. The inflammatory cytokines are mediators of environmental and genetic factors that may contribute to the development of depression from a biological perspective. A previous study suggested that inflammation may be involved in some certain medical conditions, and it may activate the pathogenesis of depression by interfering with the monoamine, glutamate and neurotrophic system. Dowlati et al’s study reported that major depression leads to immune dysregulation and activation of the inflammatory system.
response system. In addition, a growing body of evidence indicated that inflammation is thought to be an active process. The inflammatory process can affect multiple aspects of central nervous system function, and leads to behavioural changes in individuals with depression. The results of several meta-analyses have proved that depression is related to chronic low-grade inflammation. It is manifested by higher concentrations of peripheral C reactive protein (CRP), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), compared with healthy controls. Above findings have facilitated the development of the inflammatory hypothesis of depression, and have also predicted that inflammation plays a role in the formation, progression and perpetuation of depression. Cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) is becoming the most commonly implemented and standard treatment for depression. Briefly, CBT is based on the premise that false beliefs and negative thoughts are the main causes of depression. The individuals develop strategies for managing and preventing depressive symptoms by monitoring mood symptoms and using a repertoire of coping skills to manage stress in CBT. A meta-analysis found that there is no difference in treatment effects of CBT and second-generation antidepressants, either alone or in combination. Recent published literatures illustrated that similar with antidepressant treatment, CBT may also contribute to reduction of chronic low-grade peripheral inflammation. However, the underlying biological processes of the CBT effects on depression are still very limited.

To date, only a few numbers of studies have investigated the potentially anti-inflammatory effects of CBT for depression. Results are inconsistent among studies. For example, some researches pointed out that peripheral IL-6 was decreased after CBT, while no significant changes were founded in other studies. Kéri et al reported that in adults with a first episode of depression, 16 weeks of CBT alone was associated with a reduction in TLR-4 signalling, but no change in TLR-2 signalling, IL-6, or CRP levels. This study suggested that it took longer time or other mechanisms for them to normalise. Additionally, whether the alterations of inflammatory cytokines associated with improvements in depression after CBT treatment is also not well established. So far it is worthy to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to summarise the most updated research results for the role of CBT treatment in inflammation of depression.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES
The current study aims to provide a comprehensive, systematic review of the association between CBT and inflammation of individuals with depression, and clarify the alterations of inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment by meta-analysis. From the previous evidence, we hypothesised that some inflammatory cytokines, such as CRP, IL-6, TNF-α, may decrease after the CBT intervention.

METHODS
Search strategy
The systematic review and meta-analysis will be conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement. Figure 1 summarises the study selection as a PRISMA flowchart. A systematic search of predetermined terms will be conducted with electronic databases of CENTRAL, MEDLINE, EMBASE and PsycINFO from inception to July 2021. The keywords of our search strategy will be the key terms mapped to subject headings for (1) depression (major depressive disorder, depression, mood disorder, dysthymic disorder); (2) CBT (psychotherapy, cognitive therapy, cognitive behavioural therapy); (3) inflammatory cytokines (cytokine, IL, chemokine, interferons, tumour necrosis factor, as well as the specific inflammatory biomarkers). The search strategy of PubMed is shown in table 1.

Selection criteria
The studies conducting the within-group comparisons of the peripheral levels of cytokines and chemokines in participants with depression at baseline and after CBT will be included in the current meta-analysis. Study eligibility for the inclusion criteria will be assessed by the approach of Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome and Study Design. Moreover, according to the quality assessment recommendation of Cochrane Collaboration, we will use Newcastle-Ottawa Scale to evaluate the quality of the included literatures. Population: adult subjects (≥18 years old) meeting the major depression diagnoses by the
Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (no restrictions on editions) or International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems criteria; Intervention and Comparison: assessed the results of peripheral inflammatory cytokines (eg, IL-6, CRP or IL-10) before and after CBT. Outcome: reported mean or median resting levels of inflammatory cytokines in saliva, blood or urine was reported before and at least once after starting CBT or the effect size (ES) could be calculated from the reported results. Study Design: randomised controlled trial, open-label study or longitudinal study with pretest-post-test design. Exclusion criteria of the studies will meet if they (1) did not focus on evaluating inflammatory cytokines levels on depression; (2) only reported stimulated levels of cytokines; (3) were repetitive publications from the same datasets by the same or different authors and (4) included participants who have been receiving pharmacological treatment in the past 1 month.

### Outcome measures and data extraction

The outcome for this meta-analysis will be the changes of inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment in individuals with depression, which were measured by the standard mean differences (SMDs) their concentrations. Paired investigators (JX and HM) will independently select the studies, reviewed the main reports and supplementary materials, extracted the relevant information. All reference lists of the retrieved articles will be reviewed to identify the potential studies. The following information will be extracted from each study: first author, publication year, study design, country, geographical location, age, sex, intervention, duration of intervention, whether it is a major depressive episode, inflammatory biomarkers measured, type of sample specimen required for test, sample detection method, sample size, the mean levels of subjects’ peripheral inflammatory cytokines and SDs before and after CBT treatment.

### Statistical analysis

Only the inflammatory cytokines with sufficient numbers of studies (≥3) will be performed the meta-analysis. Analyses will be performed for pretest and post-treatment of CBT. The main analysis will be conducted with a random effects model. Forest plots will be used to estimate the alteration of the levels of inflammatory cytokines pretreatment and post-treatment CBT, which will be evaluated by SMD with a 95% CI. The ES is represented as Hedges’ g in order to adjusted for a potential bias whenestimating the ES in small samples. According to the statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (second edition), the ES is judged using the values of 0.2, 0.5 and 0.8 for small, medium and large (Cohen, 1988). In addition, we
will conduct Bonferroni adjustment for multiple testing in meta-analysis, which means we will produce a rejection p value of 0.05 divided by the total number of outcomes. The heterogeneity across the studies will be evaluated by $\chi^2$ statistics and I^2 test. A value of p<0.10 or I^2 >50% indicated that the heterogeneity of effect estimates within each group of studies was statistically significant, and it also shows that the percentage of the variability in effect estimates owes to heterogeneity rather than chance. Furthermore, the subgroup analysis and meta-regression will also be performed to investigate the source of the heterogeneity, and the potential influence of included characteristics of the studies on the pooled ES. Sensitivity analysis was performed to strengthen the results and investigate whether any single study would have an effect on the heterogeneity of total measurements in each meta-analysis. Additionally, the positive and negative results may not be equally likely to get published, thus the funnel plot with Begg’s test and Egger’s test will be used for testing the publication bias. If publication bias will be found, then the trim and fill method would be used to both identify and correct the asymmetry of funnel plot. All two-tailed p<0.05 will be defined as statistical significance. All the data analyses will be conducted using Stata (V.15.0, StataCorp).

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients were not involved in the development of this systematic review protocol. The data for this systematic review will be collected from previously published studies.

**Ethics and dissemination**

Formal ethical approval is not required, as primary data will not be collected with the systematic review and meta-analysis. Data from previously published studies will be retrieved and analysed. This study including protocol development will run from October 2020 to October 2021. The results will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication and inform the most up-to-date evidence of the roles of CBT treatment for depression.

**DISCUSSION**

**Presentation of results and reporting**

Our current systematic review and meta-analysis will provide comprehensive evidence for the association between CBT and inflammation of individuals with depression. We will use the PRISMA guidelines and checklist in the publication process. The quantitative data will be summarised and presented in tables, forest plots and charts. The alterations of inflammatory cytokines pre-CBT and post-CBT treatment in individuals with depression will be presented.

**Potential resources of limitations**

The current study is anticipated to have some limitations. First, we might not find a sufficient number of original researches to perform the analyses. Second, the potential high heterogeneity between studies in the exposure of interest and restriction to studies in English language will lead to selection bias and also decrease the reliability of our results.

To the best of our knowledge, this will be the first meta-analysis exploring the association between CBT and peripheral inflammation of individuals with depression. From our findings herein, we can provide the most up-to-date evidence to assist in shared decision making between patients, caregivers, and clinicians in treating the individuals with depression by using CBT and provide a foundation for future studies in this area.
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