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We present a systematic method to classify the four-level system using $SU(4)$ symmetry as the basis group. It is shown that this symmetry allows three dipole transitions which eventually leads to six possible configurations of the four-level system. Using a dressed atom approach, the semiclassical version of each configuration is exactly solved under rotating wave approximation and the symmetry of the Rabi oscillation among various models is studied and its implication is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Last year we observe the centenary of the epoch-making discovery of the ‘atomic orbit’ postulated by Bohr which is the central idea to understand the origin of the atomic spectra. These orbits are indeed stationary energy levels with well-defined quantum numbers and plays fundamental role in the development of modern quantum physics. In recent years, advent of laser technology and high-Q cavity leads to the experimental realization of so called Rydberg atom where the energy levels with longer lifetime and large dipole moment can be prepared. Because of the large value of the dipole moment, the allowed dipole transition between the levels can be maneuvered in a selective and controlled manner. The system with two well-defined levels interacting with the quantized cavity field is known as Jaynes-Cummings model which is exactly solvable under the rotating wave approximations. The pretext of this fully quantized version of two-level system was, however, set by the semiclassical two-level system originally proposed to formulate the theoretical aspect of the nuclear magnetic resonance. In the semiclassical two-level system the interacting oscillatory electromagnetic field is treated classically while the atom is treated quantum mechanically. An immediate extension of the two-level system is the three-level system which is associated with a rich class of coherent phenomena, namely, two photon coherence, double resonance process, three-level super-radiance, resonance Raman scattering, population trapping, tri-level echoes, STIRAP, quantum jump, quantum zeno effect, electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT), etc. It is therefore worth investigating the four-level system which may be associated more phenomena of light-matter interaction uncharted so far. Apart from that, there is another reason of studying such model. In recent times, the manipulation and control of quantum mechanical systems using multiple electromagnetic fields is an area of intense research in the parlance of quantized control theory. Thus developing a systematic and rigorous theory of a semiclassical and quantized four-level system may provide some new insights into the area of atomic, molecular and optical physics.

Although the four-level system is instrumental in understanding the population inversion scenario by the optical pumping method, its use in context with quantum optical models is, however, not very large. Some studies along this direction includes the four-level EIT effect, dynamics of the pulse propagation through coherently prepared four-level system, modeling the qubit-induced micro-switching, Rabi oscillation in cascade four-level system, etc. All these studies deal with the model Hamiltonians which are proposed phenomenologically and therefore lacks proper understanding of the selection rules of allowed transition among various levels. In a recent investigation we have shown that the three-level system can be successfully classified using $SU(3)$ as the basis group. It is therefore interesting to look for the straightforward but non-trivial extension of the treatment to study the four-level system where the $SU(4)$ group plays key role to identify the possible allowed dipole transitions. In particular we show that the appropriate choice of $SU(4)$ basis leads to a systematic classification of the four-level systems.

The primary objective of the paper is to discuss the possible classification of the four-level system using the $SU(4)$ group as the basis group and then to look for the semi-classical solution of the model Hamiltonians under rotating wave approximation. To achieve this goal, the remaining Sections of the paper are organized as follows; in Section-II we discuss the essential properties of $SU(4)$ group necessary to formulate the model Hamiltonians of all possible four-level configurations. In Section-III, we develop the methodology to solve these models using Bose-Pascos matrix, a generalized six-parameter Euler matrix in four dimension. The numerical studies are presented in Section IV to compare the Rabi oscillation of all semiclassical models. In the concluding Section we summarize the main results of the paper and discuss the outlook.

II. FOUR-LEVEL SYSTEM AND $SU(4)$ GROUP

The generic Hamiltonian of an arbitrary four-level system which allows all possible transitions is represented by
the hermitian matrix,

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44} & h_{43} & h_{42} & h_{41} \\
h_{43} & \Delta_{33} & h_{32} & h_{31} \\
h_{42} & h_{32} & \Delta_{22} & h_{21} \\
h_{41} & h_{31} & h_{21} & \Delta_{11}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(1)

where \(h_{ij} \ (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4)\) be the matrix element and \(\Delta_{ij}\) is the detuning of the applied tri-chromatic field which vanishes at resonance. Of these four levels, two levels are involved in each transition and we have \([4 \choose 2] = 6\) possible configurations of the four-level system with three possible dipole transitions shown in Fig.1-6. For Model-I we note that the non-vanishing terms \(h_{41} \neq 0 \), \(h_{32} \neq 0 \), \(h_{21} \neq 0 \) in Eq. (1) which correspond to the allowed dipole transitions \(4 \leftrightarrow 1, 3 \leftrightarrow 2\) and \(2 \leftrightarrow 1\), respectively, while the remaining three transitions are forbidden. Proceeding in the same way all six possible Hamiltonians of the four-level system can be built up and Table-I illustrates the requirement of their construction:

| Model | Forbidden transition | Allowed transition |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
| I     | \( h_{43} = 0, h_{42} = 0, h_{31} = 0 \) | \( h_{41} \neq 0, h_{32} \neq 0, h_{21} \neq 0 \) |
| II    | \( h_{43} = 0, h_{42} = 0, h_{32} = 0 \) | \( h_{41} \neq 0, h_{31} \neq 0, h_{21} \neq 0 \) |
| III   | \( h_{42} = 0, h_{31} = 0 \) | \( h_{43} \neq 0, h_{32} \neq 0, h_{21} \neq 0 \) |
| IV    | \( h_{41} = 0, h_{42} = 0, h_{31} = 0 \) | \( h_{43} \neq 0, h_{32} \neq 0, h_{21} \neq 0 \) |
| V     | \( h_{31} = 0, h_{42} = 0 \) | \( h_{43} \neq 0, h_{32} \neq 0, h_{21} \neq 0 \) |
| VI    | \( h_{41} = 0, h_{42} = 0, h_{31} = 0 \) | \( h_{43} \neq 0, h_{41} \neq 0, h_{32} \neq 0 \) |

In order to construct the Hamiltonians quantitatively using \( SU(4) \) as the basis group, we shall briefly recall its properties.\(^{17}\)

The \( SU(4) \) group is described by fifteen \( \lambda_i \ (i = 1, 2, ...15) \) matrices, which follow the following commutation, anti-commutation and normalization relations,

\[
|\lambda_i, \lambda_j\rangle = 2if_{ijk}\lambda_k, \quad \{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\} = 2d_{ijk}\lambda_k. \quad (2a)
\]

\[
T r[\lambda_i] = 0, \quad T r[\lambda_i\lambda_j] = 2\delta_{ij}. \quad (2b)
\]

respectively. Here, \( d_{ijk} \) and \( f_{ijk} \) \((i, j, k = 1, 2, ...15)\) are the completely symmetric and completely anti-symmetric structure functions which characterize the \( SU(4) \) group are defined as,

\[
f_{ijk} = \frac{1}{44} T r(\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k), \quad (3a)
\]

\[
d_{ijk} = \frac{1}{4} T r(\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}\lambda_k). \quad (3b)
\]

It is customary to define the \( SU(4) \) shift operators as the linear combination of the \( \lambda_i \) matrices,

\[
T_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 \pm i\lambda_2), \quad U_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_6 \pm i\lambda_7), \quad V_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_4 \pm i\lambda_5), \quad (4a)
\]

\[
W_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_9 \pm i\lambda_{10}), \quad X_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{11} \pm i\lambda_{12}), \quad Z_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{13} \pm i\lambda_{15}), \quad (4b)
\]

\[
T_3 = \lambda_3, \quad U_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_8 - \lambda_3), \quad V_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\lambda_8 + \lambda_3), \quad (4c)
\]

\[
X_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\lambda_{15}, \quad W_3 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\lambda_{15}, \quad (4d)
\]

\[
Z_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_8 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\lambda_{15}, \quad (4e)
\]

which follow the closed algebra of \( SU(4) \) group.\(^{13,17}\). Having defining the \( SU(4) \) shift vectors, we now proceed to develop the Hamiltonians of all four-level configurations.

### III. THE MODELS

To obtain the Hamiltonian of Model-I in the \( SU(4) \) basis, we write the non-vanishing terms in Eq.(1) in the following form,

\[
H_I(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
E_4 & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{41}e^{-i\omega_{41}t} \\
0 & E_3 & \kappa_{31}e^{-i\omega_{31}t} & 0 \\
0 & \kappa_{32}e^{-i\omega_{32}t} & E_2 & 0 \\
\kappa_{41}e^{i\omega_{41}t} & 0 & \kappa_{21}e^{i\omega_{21}t} & E_1
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(5)

where, \( \omega_{ab} \) and \( \kappa_{ab} \ (a, b = 1, 2, 3, 4, a \neq b) \) be the frequencies of the applied tri-chromatic field and the coupling parameters, respectively. If we define the energy levels to be, \( E_4 = \omega_1, E_3 = \omega_3, E_2 = \omega_2 - \omega_3 \) and \( E_1 = -\omega_1 - \omega_2 \), respectively, Eq.(5) can be equivalently
expressed as,

\[ H_I(t) = \omega_1 W_3 + \omega_2 Z_3 + \omega_3 U_3 + \kappa_{41} W_+ e^{-i\omega_{41}t} + \kappa_{21} Z_+ e^{-i\omega_{21}t} + \kappa_{32} U_+ e^{-i\omega_{32}t} + h.c. \]  

This is precisely the Hamiltonian of Model-I in terms of shift operators where only three operators are involved. The construction of the remaining Hamiltonians of other models involves judicious combination of the shift operators and we have the Hamiltonians,

\[ H_{11}(t) = \omega_1 Z_1 + \omega_2 T_3 + \omega_3 X_3 + \kappa_{21} Z_+ e^{-i\omega_{21}t} + \kappa_{43} T_+ e^{-i\omega_{43}t} + \kappa_{31} X_+ e^{-i\omega_{31}t} + h.c. \]  

for Model-II,

\[ H_{111}(t) = \omega_1 Z_1 + \omega_2 T_3 + \omega_3 U_3 + \kappa_{21} Z_+ e^{-i\omega_{21}t} + \kappa_{43} T_+ e^{-i\omega_{43}t} + \kappa_{32} U_+ e^{-i\omega_{32}t} + h.c. \]

for Model-III,

\[ H_{IV}(t) = \omega_1 Z_3 + \omega_2 T_3 + \omega_3 W_3 + \kappa_{41} W_+ e^{-i\omega_{41}t} + \kappa_{21} Z_+ e^{-i\omega_{21}t} + \kappa_{43} T_+ e^{-i\omega_{43}t} + \kappa_{31} X_+ e^{-i\omega_{31}t} + h.c. \]  

for Model-IV,

\[ H_{V}(t) = \omega_1 Z_3 + \omega_2 T_3 + \omega_3 V_3 + \kappa_{21} Z_+ e^{-i\omega_{21}t} + \kappa_{43} T_+ e^{-i\omega_{43}t} + \kappa_{31} X_+ e^{-i\omega_{31}t} + h.c. \]  

for Model-V,

\[ H_{VI}(t) = \omega_1 W_3 + \omega_2 T_3 + \omega_3 U_3 + \kappa_{41} W_+ e^{-i\omega_{41}t} + \kappa_{43} T_+ e^{-i\omega_{43}t} + \kappa_{32} U_+ e^{-i\omega_{32}t} + h.c. \]

for Model-VI, respectively.

Fig.1: The energies of the four levels of Model-I are $E_1 = -\omega_1 - \omega_2$, $E_2 = \omega_2 - \omega_3$, $E_3 = \omega_3$ and $E_4 = \omega_1$, respectively.

Fig.2: The energies of the four levels of Model-II are $E_1 = -\omega_1 - \omega_3$, $E_2 = \omega_1$, $E_3 = -\frac{\omega_2}{2} + \omega_3$, $E_4 = \frac{\omega_2}{2}$ and respectively.

Fig.3: The energies of the four levels of Model-III are $E_1 = -\omega_1$, $E_2 = \omega_1 - \omega_3$, $E_3 = -\omega_2 + \omega_3$ and $E_4 = \omega_2$, respectively.

Fig.4: The energies of the four levels of Model-IV are $E_1 = -\omega_1 - \omega_3$, $E_2 = \omega_1$, $E_3 = -\frac{\omega_2}{2}$, $E_4 = \frac{\omega_2}{2} + \omega_3$, respectively.

Fig.5: The energies of the four levels of Model-V are $E_1 = -\omega_1$, $E_2 = \omega_1 - \omega_3$, $E_3 = -\omega_2$ and $E_4 = \omega_2 + \omega_3$, respectively.

Fig.6: The energies of the four levels of Model-VI are $E_1 = -\omega_1$, $E_2 = -\omega_3$, $E_3 = -\frac{\omega_2}{2} + \omega_3$ and $E_4 = \frac{\omega_2}{2} + \omega_1$, respectively.

Fig.7: The energies of the four levels of Model-VII are $E_1 = -\omega_1$, $E_2 = \omega_1 - \omega_3$, $E_3 = -\omega_2$ and $E_4 = \omega_2 + \omega_3$, respectively.
In presence of interaction, let the solution of the four-
level system of Model-I in Eq. (9) is given by,

\[ \Psi_I(t) = C_1(t) |1\rangle + C_2(t) |2\rangle + C_3(t) |3\rangle + C_4(t) |4\rangle, \]

(12)

where \( C_1(t), C_2(t), C_3(t) \) and \( C_4(t) \) are the normalized time-independent amplitudes which are to be calculated with the basis states given by,

\[
|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},
|2\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
|3\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},
|4\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(13)

The wave function in Eq. (12) obeys the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi_I}{\partial t} = H_I(t) \Psi_I. \]

(14)

The Hamiltonian can be made time-independent by the transformation,

\[ \tilde{\Psi}_I(t) = U_I(t) \Psi_I(0). \]

(16)

For Model-I, the unitary operator is defined as,

\[ U_I(t) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{4}(2\omega_2 + \omega_3 - 3\omega_{41})t\right) W_3 \]

\[ + \frac{i}{2}(-2\omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_{41})Z_3 \]

\[ + \frac{i}{4}(-2\omega_2 - 3\omega_3 + \omega_{41})U_3 \]

(17a)

Using Eq. (17), the time-independent Hamiltonian in Eq. (15) takes the following form,

\[ \tilde{H}_I(0) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta_{44} & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{41} \\ 0 & \Delta_{33} & \kappa_{32} & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_{32} & \Delta_{22} & \kappa_{21} \\ \kappa_{41} & 0 & \kappa_{21} & \Delta_{11} \end{bmatrix}, \]

(18)

where, the diagonal terms are given by,

\[ \Delta_{44} = \frac{1}{4}(4\omega_1 + 2\omega_2 + \omega_3 - \omega_{41}), \]

(19a)

\[ \Delta_{33} = \frac{1}{4}(4\omega_3 - 2\omega_2 - 3\omega_3 + \omega_{41}), \]

(19b)

\[ \Delta_{22} = \frac{1}{4}(4\omega_2 - 2\omega_2 - 4\omega_3 + \omega_{41}), \]

(19c)

\[ \Delta_{11} = \frac{1}{4}(-4\omega_1 - 4\omega_2 + 2\omega_2 + \omega_{41}). \]

(19d)

In Eq. (19) the diagonal terms can be expressed in terms linear combination of the detuning,

\[ \Delta_{44} = \frac{1}{4}(-2\Delta_{21} - \Delta_{32} + 3\Delta_{41}), \]

(20a)

\[ \Delta_{33} = \frac{1}{4}(2\Delta_{21} + 3\Delta_{32} - \Delta_{41}), \]

(20b)

\[ \Delta_{22} = \frac{1}{4}(2\Delta_{21} - \Delta_{32} - \Delta_{41}), \]

(20c)

\[ \Delta_{11} = -\frac{1}{4}(2\Delta_{21} + \Delta_{32} + \Delta_{41}), \]

(20d)

respectively, where the detuning from the applied field \( \omega_{ab} \) are given by

\[ \Delta_{21} = (2\omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_1) - \omega_{21}, \]

(21a)

\[ \Delta_{32} = (-2\omega_2 + 2\omega_3) - \omega_{32}, \]

(21b)

\[ \Delta_{41} = (\omega_2 + 2\omega_1) - \omega_{41}, \]

(21c)

respectively, depicted if Fig.1. The derivation of the unitary operators and the time-independent Hamiltonians of the remaining models are similar and we quote the results in Appendix.

At resonance (\( \Delta_{44} = 0, \Delta_{33} = 0, \Delta_{22} = 0 \) and \( \Delta_{11} = 0 \)), the time-dependent probability amplitudes of the four-levels are given by,

\[ e^{-i\Delta_{44}t} \begin{bmatrix} C_4(0) \\ C_3(0) \\ C_2(0) \\ C_1(0) \end{bmatrix} \]

(22)

where \( \Lambda_i \) be the resonant eigenvalues of the time-independent Hamiltonian Eq. (18) and \( T_\alpha \) be the six para-

rameter Bose-Pascos orthogonal matrix given by \[ T_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} & \alpha_{14} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23} & \alpha_{24} \\ \alpha_{31} & \alpha_{32} & \alpha_{33} & \alpha_{34} \\ \alpha_{41} & \alpha_{42} & \alpha_{43} & \alpha_{44} \end{bmatrix} \]

(23)
The elements in Eq. (23) reads,

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{11} &= c_1 s_5 + s_1 s_3 s_4 s_5 \\
\alpha_{12} &= c_1 s_5 s_6 + s_1 c_3 c_6 + s_1 s_3 s_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{13} &= s_1 s_3 c_4 \\
\alpha_{14} &= -c_1 s_5 s_6 - s_1 c_3 s_6 + s_1 s_3 s_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{21} &= -s_1 c_2 c_5 + (c_1 c_2 s_3 - s_2 c_3) s_4 s_5 \\
\alpha_{22} &= s_1 c_2 s_5 s_6 + (c_1 c_2 c_3 + s_2 s_3) c_6 + (c_1 c_2 s_3 - s_2 c_3) s_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{23} &= (c_1 c_2 s_3 - s_2 c_3) c_4 \\
\alpha_{24} &= s_1 c_2 s_5 s_6 - (c_1 c_2 c_3 + s_2 s_3) c_6 + (c_1 c_2 s_3) s_4^2 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{31} &= -s_1 s_2 c_5 + (c_1 s_2 s_3 + c_2 c_3) s_4 \\
\alpha_{32} &= s_1 s_2 s_5 s_6 + (c_1 c_2 c_3 - c_2 s_3) c_6 + (c_1 s_2 s_3 + c_2 c_3) s_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{33} &= (c_1 s_2 s_3 + c_2 c_3) c_4 \\
\alpha_{34} &= s_1 s_2 s_5 c_6 - (c_1 s_2 c_3 - c_2 s_3) s_6 + (c_1 s_2 s_3 + c_2 c_3) s_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{41} &= c_4 s_5 \\
\alpha_{42} &= c_4 c_5 s_6 \\
\alpha_{43} &= -s_4 \\
\alpha_{44} &= c_4 c_5 c_6
\end{align*}
\]

(24)

where, \(s_i = \sin \theta_i\) and \(c_i = \cos \theta_i\) (\(i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\)). In the next Section we proceed to discuss the Rabi oscillation of various levels numerically for with specific initial conditions.

IV. NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

To find the amplitudes of a given model we consider four possible initial conditions; Case-I: \(C_1(0) = 1, C_2(0) = 0, C_3(0) = 0, C_4(0) = 0\), i.e., when the system is in the lowest state designated by level-1 (blue), Case-II: \(C_1(0) = 0, C_2(0) = 1, C_3(0) = 0, C_4(0) = 0\), i.e., when the system is in the level-2 (green), Case-III: \(C_1(0) = 0, C_2(0) = 0, C_3(0) = 1, C_4(0) = 0\), i.e., when the system is in the level-3 (red), and Case-IV: \(C_1(0) = 0, C_2(0) = 0, C_3(0) = 0, C_4(0) = 1\), i.e., when the system is in the uppermost state labeled as level-4 (magenta), respectively. To maximize the symmetry in the pattern of Rabi oscillation, we take the coupling parameters to be, \(\kappa_{11} = 7\), \(\kappa_{12} = \kappa_{31} = 4\) and \(\kappa_{21} = \kappa_{32} = \kappa_{43} = 24\), respectively. Apart from that, at resonance, the detuning are taken to be \(\Delta_{31} = 0\), \(\Delta_{32} = 0\), \(\Delta_{31}^{(i)} = 0\), \(\Delta_{32}^{(i)} = 0\) and \(\Delta_{42}^{(i)} = 0\) for all models and the and the Rabi oscillation of various levels for all four cases are shown in Fig.7-12. If we compare the Rabi oscillation of Model-I (Model-II) shown in Fig.7 (Fig.8) with that of Model-VI (Model-II) shown in Fig.12 (Fig.10), an inversion symmetry is clearly evident. The existence of the inversion symmetry is a unique feature of the multilevel system which was also observed in the three-level cascade system. Furthermore, we note that for Model-III, the Rabi oscillation of Fig.9a is similar to Fig.9d and Fig.9b is similar to Fig.9c, respectively. The existence of the inversion symmetry within same system has already been pointed out in the equidistant three-level cascade system. Finally, in Model-III, taking the coupling parameters \(\kappa_{43} \rightarrow \sqrt{3}\kappa_{43}, \kappa_{32} \rightarrow 2\kappa_{32}\) and \(\kappa_{21} \rightarrow \sqrt{5}\kappa_{21}\) to be in Eq. (9) (or equivalently, in Eq.(A.6)), and the interacting field mode as
monochromatic field, i.e., $\omega_{23} = \omega_{32} = \omega_{21}$, we recover the Hamiltonian as well as the Rabi oscillation of the equidistant four-level system of spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ representation of $SU(2)$ symmetry\(^{42}\) indicating the consistency of our approach.

V. CONCLUSION

The primary objective of the paper is to present a detailed and systematic classification of the four-level system. To achieve this goal, we have discussed the tenets of $SU(4)$ group necessary to formulate the model Hamiltonians in terms of the shift operator of that group. We emphasize here that the selection rule allowed by the phenomenological tripod or inverted Y-type model studied as a representative model of four-level system studied in different context\(^{14,15,18}\) are no longer an outcome of our classification. The exact solution of all six semi-classical models are obtained and the symmetry exists in the pattern of the Rabi oscillation of each model is illustrated.

In a recent work, we have reported that the symmetric pattern of Rabi oscillation is spontaneously broken on quantization of the cavity field not only for the cascade, lambda and vee systems\(^{14,15,18}\) but also for the equidistant cascade four-level system\(^{13}\). It is interesting to explore how the pattern of the symmetry is broken if we treat the triachromatic cavity field quantum mechanically. Finally we remark that it is reasonable to expect that above treatment can be generalized for $N$-level system which corresponds to $SU(N)$ group, however, we prefer to illustrate the exact solution of all possible configurations of the four-level system because it may form the basis of addressing a new class of coherent phenomena.
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Appendix

In this Appendix we shall give the unitary operators which yields the time-dependent Hamiltonians and detuning frequency of the remaining models.

The unitary operator which makes the Hamiltonian in Eq.(7) time-independent is given by,

$$U_{II}(t) = exp\left[\frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{32} + \omega_{41})X_3\right]$$  (A.1)

and the time-independent Hamiltonian for Model-II takes
the form,
\[
\hat{H}_{III}(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44}^{III} & \kappa_{43} & 0 & 0 \\
\kappa_{43} & \Delta_{33}^{III} & \kappa_{32} & 0 \\
0 & \kappa_{32} & \Delta_{22}^{III} & \kappa_{21} \\
0 & 0 & \kappa_{21} & \Delta_{11}^{III}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{A.2}
\]
where the diagonal elements are defined as,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(3\Delta_{33}^{III} + 2\Delta_{31}^{III} + \Delta_{22}^{III}),
\tag{A.3a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(2\Delta_{22}^{III} - \Delta_{21}^{III} - \Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.3b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(3\Delta_{11}^{III} - 2\Delta_{31}^{III} - \Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.3c}
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^{III} = -\frac{1}{4}(2\Delta_{44}^{III} + \Delta_{43}^{III} + \Delta_{21}^{III}),
\tag{A.3d}
\]
with the detuning frequencies given by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{III} = (\omega_2 - \omega_3) - \omega_{43},
\tag{A.4a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{III} = (2\omega_2 + \omega_1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_3) - \omega_{31},
\tag{A.4b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^{III} = (\omega_3 + 2\omega_1) - \omega_{21},
\tag{A.4c}
\]
respectively.

The unitary operator that makes the Hamiltonian in Eq.\((8)\) time-independent is given by,
\[
U_{III}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{32} - 3\omega_{43})Z_3\right]
\tag{A.5}
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{32} + 3\omega_{43})T_3
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{32} - \omega_{43})U_3|t]\right].
\tag{A.6}
\]

Thus the time-independent Hamiltonian for Model-III is given by,
\[
\hat{H}_{III}(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44}^{III} & \kappa_{43} & 0 & 0 \\
\kappa_{43} & \Delta_{33}^{III} & \kappa_{32} & 0 \\
0 & \kappa_{32} & \Delta_{22}^{III} & \kappa_{21} \\
0 & 0 & \kappa_{21} & \Delta_{11}^{III}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{A.6}
\]
where the diagonal elements are given by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{33}^{III} + 2\Delta_{31}^{III} + 3\Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.7a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{22}^{III} - 2\Delta_{32}^{III} - \Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.7b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^{III} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{11}^{III} - 2\Delta_{32}^{III} - \Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.7c}
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^{III} = -\frac{1}{4}(3\Delta_{21}^{III} + 2\Delta_{32}^{III} + \Delta_{44}^{III}),
\tag{A.7d}
\]
with the detuning three frequencies defined by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{III} = (2\omega_1 - \omega_3) - \omega_{21},
\tag{A.8a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{III} = (2\omega_3 - \omega_1 - \omega_2) - \omega_{32},
\tag{A.8b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{43}^{III} = (2\omega_2 - \omega_3) - \omega_{43},
\tag{A.8c}
\]
respectively.

The unitary operator for the Hamiltonian in Eq.\((9)\) is given by,
\[
U_{IV}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} + 2\omega_{41} - \omega_{43})Z_3
\tag{A.9}
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{21} + \omega_{41} - 3\omega_{43})T_3
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{41} - \omega_{43})W_3|t]\right],
\tag{A.10}
\]

and the time-independent Hamiltonian for Model-IV becomes,
\[
\hat{H}_{IV}(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44}^{IV} & \kappa_{43} & 0 & \kappa_{41} \\
\kappa_{43} & \Delta_{33}^{IV} & 0 & \kappa_{41} \\
0 & 0 & \Delta_{22}^{IV} & \kappa_{21} \\
\kappa_{41} & \kappa_{41} & \kappa_{21} & \Delta_{11}^{IV}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{A.10}
\]
where the diagonal elements are given by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{IV} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{22}^{IV} + 2\Delta_{44}^{IV} + \Delta_{44}^{IV}),
\tag{A.11a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{IV} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{22}^{IV} + 2\Delta_{44}^{IV} - 3\Delta_{44}^{IV}),
\tag{A.11b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^{IV} = \frac{1}{4}(3\Delta_{11}^{IV} - 2\Delta_{33}^{IV} + \Delta_{11}^{IV}),
\tag{A.11c}
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^{IV} = -\frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{22}^{IV} - 2\Delta_{11}^{IV} + \Delta_{11}^{IV}),
\tag{A.11d}
\]
with the detuning three frequencies defined by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{IV} = (2\omega_1 + \omega_2) - \omega_{21},
\tag{A.12a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{IV} = (\omega_1 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_2 + 2\omega_3) - \omega_{41},
\tag{A.12b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{43}^{IV} = (\omega_2 + \omega_3) - \omega_{43},
\tag{A.12c}
\]
respectively.

The unitary operator for Hamiltonian in Eq.\((10)\) is given by,
\[
U_{V}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} + 2\omega_{42} - \omega_{43})Z_3
\tag{A.13}
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{4}(\omega_{21} + 2\omega_{42} - 3\omega_{43})T_3
\]
\[
+ \frac{i}{2}(\omega_{21} - \omega_{42} + \omega_{43})V_3|t]\right],
\tag{A.14}
\]

and the time-independent Hamiltonian for Model-V is given by,
\[
\hat{H}_{V}(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44}^{V} & \kappa_{43} & \kappa_{42} & 0 \\
\kappa_{43} & \Delta_{33}^{V} & \kappa_{32} & 0 \\
\kappa_{42} & \kappa_{32} & \Delta_{22}^{V} & \kappa_{21} \\
0 & 0 & \kappa_{21} & \Delta_{11}^{V}
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{A.14}
\]
where the diagonal elements are given by,
\[
\Delta_{44}^{V} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{21}^{V} + 2\Delta_{42}^{V} + \Delta_{43}^{V}),
\tag{A.15a}
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^{V} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{21}^{V} + 2\Delta_{42}^{V} - 3\Delta_{43}^{V}),
\tag{A.15b}
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^{V} = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{21}^{V} - 2\Delta_{42}^{V} + \Delta_{43}^{V}),
\tag{A.15c}
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^{V} = -\frac{1}{4}(3\Delta_{21}^{V} - 2\Delta_{42}^{V} + \Delta_{43}^{V}),
\tag{A.15d}
\]
with the detuning frequencies defined by,

\[
\Delta_{21}^V = (-\omega_3 + \omega_1) - \omega_{21}, \quad (A.16a)
\]
\[
\Delta_{12}^V = (\omega_2 - \omega_1 + 2\omega_3) - \omega_{42}, \quad (A.16b)
\]
\[
\Delta_{43}^V = (\omega_3 + 2\omega_2) - \omega_{43}, \quad (A.16c)
\]

respectively.

The unitary operator that makes the Hamiltonian in Eq. (11) time-independent is given by,

\[
U_{VI}(t) = \exp\left[\frac{i}{4}(-\omega_{32} - 3\omega_{41} - 2\omega_{43})W_3 \right.
+ (-\omega_{32} + 2\omega_{41} - 2\omega_{43})T_3
+ \left. \frac{i}{4}(-3\omega_{32} + \omega_{41} - 2\omega_{43})U_3\right]t, \quad (A.17)
\]

and the time-independent Hamiltonian for Model-VI is given by,

\[
\tilde{H}_{VI}(0) = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta_{44}^V & \kappa_{43} & 0 & \kappa_{41} \\
\kappa_{43} & \Delta_{33}^V & \kappa_{32} & 0 \\
0 & \kappa_{32} & \Delta_{22}^V & 0 \\
\kappa_{41} & 0 & 0 & \Delta_{11}^V
\end{bmatrix} \quad (A.18)
\]

where the diagonal elements are given by,

\[
\Delta_{44}^V = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{32}^V + \Delta_{11}^V + 2\Delta_{43}^V), \quad (A.19a)
\]
\[
\Delta_{33}^V = \frac{1}{4}(\Delta_{32}^V + \Delta_{11}^V - 2\Delta_{43}^V), \quad (A.19b)
\]
\[
\Delta_{22}^V = \frac{1}{4}(-3\Delta_{32}^V + \Delta_{11}^V - 2\Delta_{43}^V), \quad (A.19c)
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^V = -\frac{1}{4}(-\Delta_{32}^V - 3\Delta_{11}^V + 2\Delta_{43}^V), \quad (A.19d)
\]

with the detuning frequencies defined by,

\[
\Delta_{43}^V = (\omega_2 - \omega_3 + \omega_1) - \omega_{43}, \quad (A.20a)
\]
\[
\Delta_{32}^V = (2\omega_3 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_2) - \omega_{32}, \quad (A.20b)
\]
\[
\Delta_{11}^V = (\frac{1}{2}\omega_2 - 2\omega_1) - \omega_{41}, \quad (A.20c)
\]

respectively.
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