Aflatoxin B₁ Degradation and Detoxification by Escherichia coli CG1061 Isolated From Chicken Cecum
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Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is one of the most hazardous mycotoxins contamination in food and feed products, which leads to hepatocellular carcinoma in humans and animals. In the present study, we isolated and characterized an AFB₁-degrading bacteria CG1061 from chicken cecum, exhibited an 93.7% AFB₁ degradation rate by HPLC. 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis and a multiplex PCR experiment demonstrated that CG1061 was a non-pathogenic Escherichia coli. The culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061 showed an 61.8% degradation rate, whereas the degradation rates produced by the intracellular extracts was only 17.6%, indicating that the active component was constitutively secreted into the extracellular space. The degradation rate decreased from 61.8 to 37.5% when the culture supernatant was treated with 1 mg/mL proteinase K, and remained 51.3% when that treated with 100°C for 20 min. We postulated that AFB₁ degradation was mediated by heat-resistant proteins. The content of AFB₁ decreased rapidly when it was incubated with the culture supernatant during the first 24 h. The optimal incubation pH and temperature were pH 8.5 and 55°C respectively. According to the UPLC Q-TOF MS analysis, AFB₁ was bio-transformed to the product C₁₆H₁₄O₅ and other metabolites. Based on the results of in vitro experiments on chicken hepatocellular carcinoma (LMH) cells and in vivo experiments on mice, we confirmed that CG1061-degraded AFB₁ are less toxic than the standard AFB₁. E. coli CG1061 isolated from healthy chicken cerum is more likely to colonize the animal gut, which might be an excellent candidate for the detoxification of AFB₁ in food and feed industry.
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INTRODUCTION

Microbiotas presenting in human and animal intestinal tracts with a high population density, and great diversity, play a crucial role in the growth and health of the hosts. In poultry, 1 g wet weight of cecal content may contain 10¹¹ bacteria, which contribute to enhanced nutrient absorption, xenobiotic compounds transformation, and improvements in immune
function (Worrell et al., 1989; Kollarzick et al., 1994; Hooper et al., 2001). Based on accumulating evidence, gut microbes efficiently transform mycotoxins into less toxic compounds. Bacteria have been isolated from animal intestines and confirmed to detoxify deoxynivalenol and zearalenone (Guan et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2010; Gao et al., 2018). Aflatoxins (AFs) are a group of toxic and carcinogenic fungal metabolites produced mainly by the fungi Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus. AFs contamination in cereal grains is a serious problem worldwide, particularly in sub-tropical and tropical areas (Guan et al., 2011). Of the AFs, aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is the most hazardous member and has been classified as a Group I naturally occurring carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (1993). In this study, we intend to isolate AFB1-degrading bacteria from chicken cecum for the development of microbial or enzyme products for applications in the food and feed industries.

Several strategies have been reported to detoxify AFs, including physical, chemical, and biological methods. Physical methods include absorption, heating and irradiation (Aziz and Moussa, 2002; Arzandeh and Jinap, 2011; Di Gregorio et al., 2014). Chemical methods include ammonization, solvent extraction and oxidation (Lee and Cucullu, 1978; Norred and Morrissey, 1983; Akbas and Ozdemir, 2006; Zhu et al., 2016). These physical and chemical detoxification methods have many limitations, such as nutritional losses, expensive equipment and time consuming. In comparison, biological methods was found to be most efficient and showed the greatest specificity and environmental soundness. Nocardia corynebacterioides was the first reported AFB1-detoxifying microorganism (Ciegler et al., 1966). Bacterial and fungal AFB1 degradation strains have been continuously to be reported in the subsequent decades. The AFB1-degrading fungi Pleurotus ostreatus, Armilleriella tabescens, and Trametes versicolor have been proved to possess a detoxification function (Liu et al., 1998; Motomura et al., 2003; Alberts et al., 2009; Das et al., 2014). Some bacteria isolated from soil, feces, nuts and other environments effectively degrade AFB1, such as Rhodococcus erythropolis, Mycobacterium fluoranthenvorans, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Enterobacteriaceae sp., Myxococcus fulvus, Bacillus subtilis, and Pseudomonas putida (Alberts et al., 2006; Guan et al., 2008; El-Deeb et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2014; Juri et al., 2015). Although many microorganisms have been reported as degraders of AFB1, there was little information about the structure of the degraded products, few studies confirmed the toxicity of the degradation products by cytotoxicity assessment on hepatocellular or animal toxicity test. The currently known microbial degradation metabolites of AFB1 and their levels of toxicity were summarized by Verheecke et al. (2016).

The objectives of this study were: (1) to isolate new AFB1-degrading bacteria from chicken cecum; (2) to investigate the optimal degradation conditions; and (3) to analysis the degraded AFB1 products and confirm the toxicity of the degraded AFB1 products.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics Statement**

The protocol of the study was approved by Laboratory Animal Ethics committee of South China Agricultural University in China (Permit No. 00181878). All the animal experiments in this research were performed according to the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals of Guangdong province, China. The date of approval by the ethics committee was September 9, 2017.

**Chicken Cecal Samples Collection and AFB1 Preparation**

Eight healthy broiler chickens (about 12 months old) were purchased for cecal collection in markets near South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China. The chickens were treated with cervical dislocation for euthanasia. Approximately 5 g of the cecal contents were mixed with 20 mL of sterile Luria-Bertani (LB) medium (10 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, 10 g of NaCl, in 1 L of distilled water, pH 7.0). The mixture remained static for 10 min and the supernatant were preserved in glycerol at a final concentration of 15% v/v at −80°C until further analysis. AFB1 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States). A stock solution of 250 µg/mL AFB1 was dissolved in DMSO and stored at −20°C.

**Analysis of AFB1 Degradation by the Cecal Samples**

Eight cecal samples were incubated in LB medium containing 2.5 µg/mL AFB1 at 37°C for 72 h shaken at 180 rpm in the dark. AFB1 was added to sterile LB medium at a final concentration of 2.5 µg/mL and incubated under the same conditions as the control. Cultures were centrifuge at 1.2 × 10^4 rpm for 5 min. The amount of AFB1 in the supernatant was detected using a previously published method with slight modifications as mentioned subsequently (Guan et al., 2008). AFB1 was extracted three times with chloroform (1:2, v/v). The chloroform extracts were evaporated, and the residue was dissolved in methanol, filtered (Merck Millipore, Germany, 0.22 µm) and then analyzed by high-performance-liquid chromatography (HPLC) using an Agilent 1260 Infinity liquid chromatography system (Agilent Technologies, Germany) equipped with a G1321B FLD detector and ZORBAX SB-C18 column. The mobile phase was composed of a mixture of solvent A (water/acetonitrile/formic acid, 92/5/0.8, v/v/v) and solvent B (methanol/acetoniitrile/formic acid, 92/5/0.8, v/v/v), and separation was performed at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The time of analysis was 35 min. The volume of injection was 10 µL. The retention time of AFB1 was 27.6 min. The experiments were conducted with three repeats. The AFB1 degradation rate was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{Degradation rate} = \left( 1 - \frac{\text{AFB1 peak area of treatment}}{\text{AFB1 peak area of control}} \right) \times 100\% \]

All the AFB1 degradation rate mentioned in this article were calculated using this formula.
Isolation and Identification of AFB₁ Degrading Bacteria From the Cecal Samples

Ten microliters of sample with the highest AFB₁ degradation were serially diluted with sterilized distilled water, spread on an LB plate, and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Fifty colonies on the plate were picked and inoculated to sterile LB medium at a final concentration of 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁, and incubated at 37°C for 72 h with 180 rpm in the dark. Sterile LB medium was incubated at same condition as the control. AFB₁ degradation rate was determined by HPLC which performed as mentioned above. AFB₁ degrading isolates were selected and purified for further study. Genomic DNA was extracted using agenomic DNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen, China). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified by PCR using universal primers (27F, 5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’ and 1492R, 5’-GGTTACCTTGTTCAGACTT-3’). The sequences were BLAST on the National Center for Biotechnology Information website and the phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 7.0.

Detection of the E. coli CG1061 Virulence Gene

In an attempt to identify the virulence gene of our isolate E. coli CG1061, a multiplex PCR was developed to detect 10 virulence genes (ipaH, aapA, eltA, vtx2, eae, aggR, vtx1, aaIC, estA-porcine, and estA-human) with a Diarrhoeagenic E. coli PCR Kit (Statens Serum Institut, Denmark) which were widely used for detection the virulence of E. coli. The details description of these virulence genes has been reported (Persson et al., 2007). Amplification conditions were 94°C for 6 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 50 s, 57°C for 40 s and 72°C for 50 s, and finally 72°C for 3 min. Amplicons were analyzed by electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels under standard conditions.

AFB₁ Degradation by Intracellular Extracts and Culture Supernatant of E. coli CG1061

Escherichia coli CG1061 was cultivated in LB liquid medium for 48 h at 37°C with shaking at 180 rpm. After centrifugation at 1.2 × 10⁴ rpm for 5 min at 4°C, supernatant and pellets of cultures were collected respectively.

Degradation by Intracellular Extracts

The pellets of cultures were washed three times with PBS, resuspended and lysed on ice using an ultrasonic cell disintegrator (JY92-2D, Xinzhi Instruments, Ningbo, China). The disintegrated cell suspension was centrifuged at 8.0 × 10³ rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was filtered and incubated with 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ at 37°C for 24 h, with shaking at 180 rpm in the dark. PBS containing 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ was used as the control.

Degradation by Culture Supernatant

The supernatant of cultures were filtered (Merck Millipore, Germany, 0.22 μm) and prepared for next experiments. For the heat treatment, the culture supernatant was dipped into boiling water bath for 20 min. For the proteinase K treatments, culture supernatant was treated with 1 mg/mL proteinase K, incubated at 58°C for 3 h. Then all samples were incubated with 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ at 37°C for 24 h, with shaking at 180 rpm in the dark. Sterile LB medium containing 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ was used as the control. The experiments were conducted with three repeats.

Effect of Incubation Time, pH and Temperature on Degradation Rate by the E. coli CG1061 Culture Supernatant

The Dynamics of AFB₁ Degradation by the Culture Supernatant Change With Incubation Times

Culture supernatant was incubated for 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h at 37°C and a pH of 7.0. Sterile LB medium was incubated in same length of time as their control respectively. The assays were performed in the presence of at 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁, with shaking at 180 rpm in the dark. The experiments were conducted with three repeats.

Effects of pH on the Degradation of AFB₁ by E. coli CG1061 Culture Supernatant

The effects of pH on AFB₁ degradation were studied by adjusting the pH of the culture supernatant to a pH of 4.3, 4.9, 6.5, 7.4, 8.5, and 8.9 with Na₂HPO₄ and KH₂PO₄ buffer solutions and then incubating the samples at 37°C for 24 h. Sterile LB medium was incubated in same pH value as their control respectively. All assays were performed at 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ in the dark without shaking. The experiments were conducted with three repeats.

Effects of Temperature on the Degradation of AFB₁ by E. coli CG1061 Culture Supernatant

The culture supernatant was incubated at 4, 25, 37, 55, and 70°C in a pH 7.0 solution for 24 h to confirm the effects of temperature on AFB₁ degradation. Sterile LB medium was incubated in same temperature condition as their control respectively. All assays were performed at 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ in the dark without shaking. The experiments were conducted with three repeats.

UPLC Q-TOF MS Analysis of AFB₁ Degradation Products

Escherichia coli CG1061 was cultured in LB medium containing AFB₁ at a final concentration of 12.5 μg/mL for 72 h at 37°C, with shaking at 180 rpm in the dark. The AFB₁ degradation products were extracted three times with chloroform (1/2, v/v). The chloroform extracts were evaporated, the residue was dissolved in methanol. After filtered (Merck Millipore, Germany, 0.22 μm), the AFB₁ degradation products were separated using an Agilent 1290 series ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system. An Agilent Eclipse Plus C18 column was used for separation (50 × 2.1 mm, 1.8 μm). The inject volume was 2 μL. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and water with 0.2% formic acid (B) and 10 mM ammonium formate at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Mass spectrometry analyses were performed using a quadrupole tandem time-of-flight (Q-TOF) G6450B mass spectrometer system in the positive ion mode. Instrument Parameters: gas temperature...
300°C, gas flow 8 l/min, Ion spray voltage 4000 V. Mass spectra were acquired in a full-scan analysis in the range of m/z 50–1000.

Cytotoxicity Determination of AFB₁ Degradation Products

The toxicity of CG1061-degraded AFB₁ and standard AFB₁ was examined in chicken hepatocellular carcinoma LMH cells using the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazole-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) method. LMH cells obtained from the ATCC (Catalog No. CRL-2117). E. coli CG1061 was cultured in LB medium containing AFB₁ at a final concentration of 12.5 µg/mL for 72 h at 37°C, with shaking at 180 r/min in the dark. The supernatant of cultures were filtered and prepared as CG1061-degraded AFB₁ samples. Sterile LB medium containing 12.5 µg/mL AFB₁ was incubated under the same conditions to prepare standard AFB₁ samples. Both samples were diluted with William’s E medium containing 10% (w/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) at final concentrations of 3.75, 2.00, 1.00, 0.50, and 0.25 µg/mL. Sterile LB medium was diluted with William’s E medium containing 10% (w/v) FBS as the control. LMH cells were incubated with diluted samples in 96-well plates at 37°C for 48 h in a 5% CO₂ incubator (Thermo, Forma 370), followed by the addition of MTT and an additional incubation for 3 h. Then the formed crystals were dissolved in DMSO for 30 min. The absorption at 490 nm was recorded using a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Model-680). The experiments were conducted with five repeats. The percentage of cell viability was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\frac{(\text{OD}_{490} \text{ of sample} - \text{OD}_{490} \text{ of Blank})}{(\text{OD}_{490} \text{ of control} - \text{OD}_{490} \text{ of Blank})} \times 100\% 
\]

Toxicity Determination of AFB₁ Degradation Products in vivo Experiment

Fifteen 1-week-old male nude mice were purchased from Guangdong Medical Experimental Center (China) and were randomly allocated to three groups (n = 5). Group I, the control only treated with saline; Group II, treated with 100 µg/kg standard AFB₁ of body weight (BW); Group III, treated with 100 µg/kg CG1061-degraded AFB₁ of BW. The chloroform extracts and the residue were dissolved in methanol, then diluted in saline and administrated to mice though intraperitoneal injection every alternative day for 5 weeks. After that, all animals were anesthetized by exposure to 10% chloral hydrate. The liver tissue was separated and homogenized in PBS buffer, then centrifuged at 2.5 × 10³ rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected for measurement of alkaline phosphate (ALP) activities, superoxide dismutase (SOD) activities and reduced glutathione (GSH), which are considered as common biochemical markers (Wei et al., 1993; Vipin et al., 2017). These indices were determined by a microplate reader (Bio-Rad, Model-680) using assay kits provided by Jianchen (Nanjing, China). All the animals were maintained at a temperature of 25°C with a 12 h light/dark cycle and were provided with a standard diet and purified drinking water.

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were conducted with at least three repeats and the data are presented as the means ± standard deviation (SD). One-Way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for the data of degradation and toxicity experiments using the
software package SPSS version 16.0, and minimum significant differences were calculated using Duncan’s multiple range test.

RESULTS
Isolation and Identification of AFB₁-Degrading Bacteria
The results showed that three out of all eight cecal samples were detected for more than 80% degradation rate. Sample No.8 showed the highest AFB₁ degradation rate for 93.9%. Fifty colonies picked up from Sample No.8 were detected of AFB₁ degradation activity by HPLC. The results demonstrated that twelve out of all 50 colonies were detected for more than 80% degradation rate. The left strains had less or no degradation activity at all. Among the isolates, the degradation rate of CG1061 was highest for 93.7% at a final concentration of 2.5 μg/mL AFB₁ when the cell counts was 10⁹ cfu/mL. It is a gram-negative bacterium, presenting white colonies of approximately 2 mm in diameter on LB plates. The sequence of its 16S rDNA gene (accession number, MG786579 in GenBank) had a 97% similarity with that of Escherichia coli. Phylogenetic tree constructed from analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences showed the relationships of CG1061 and some strains within Escherichia, Salmonellae, and Shigellae (Figure 1). The phylogenetic position of strain CG1061 was nearest the type strain of Escherichia coli ATCC 11775. E. coli is the predominant facultative anaerobe in human and animal colonic flora, and covers some pathogenic strains contributing to diarrheal disease (Nataro and Kaper, 1998). In the multiplex PCR (Figure 2), E. coli CG1061 produced only the 16S rDNA control band, but not the reported virulence genes. So, CG1061 is a non-pathogenic E. coli.

![FIGURE 2] Electrophoretic patterns of simultaneous multi-PCR showing the absence of virulence gene in strain E. coli CG1061. Lane 1: 100 bp DNA marker, 1500, 1000, 900, 800, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 200, 100 bp (from top to bottom); lane 2 (control 1): 16S rDNA, ipaH, vtx2, eae, vtx1 and estA-porcine (from top to bottom); lane 3 (control 2): 16S rDNA, aatA, ealA, aggR, aacI, and estA- human (from top to bottom); lane 4: strain CG1061.

TABLE 1 | Degradation rates of E. coli CG1061 intracellular extracts and culture supernatant.

| Component                  | Initial concentration (µg/mL) | Degradation rates (%) |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Intracellular extracts     | 2.5                          | 17.56 ± 6.21          |
| Culture supernatant        | 2.5                          | 61.82 ± 0.23          |
| Culture supernatant with heat-treated | 2.5                          | 51.31 ± 6.00          |
| Culture supernatant with proteinase K | 2.5                          | 37.52 ± 4.92          |

*Different letters among groups means significant different by Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05).

Degradation Activity of Intracellular Extracts and Culture Supernatant of E. coli CG1061
The culture supernatant of the 48 h culture of E. coli CG1061 showed an 61.8% degradation rate for AFB₁, whereas only 17.6% (Table 1) degradation rate was detected for the intracellular extracts, demonstrating that the active component was constitutively secreted into the extracellular space. When the culture supernatant was treated with proteinase K, a significant decrease in degradation rate from 61.8 to 37.5% occurred. After heating of 100°C for 20 min, the degradation rate was 51.3%, indicating that the active components maybe heat-resistant proteins.

Effect of Incubation Time, pH and Temperature on Degradation Rate by the Culture Supernatant
Culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061 was incubated with AFB₁ for 72 h, and AFB₁ degradation rates were determined every 12 h by HPLC (Figure 3A). In the first 12 h, AFB₁ degradation rates increased rapidly from 0 to 63.5%, then reach to 79.0% at 24 h, 86.3% at 48 h, and 91.9% at 72 h. The results demonstrated that culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061 could significantly reduce AFB₁ content in first 24 h (reduced nearly 80%). The impact of the incubation pH on the AFB₁ degradation by the E. coli CG1061 culture supernatant was investigated and is shown in Figure 3B. The degradation rates of alkaline conditions were higher than that of acid conditions. The highest degradation rate was 44.8% at pH 8.5. Figure 3C shows the effect of the incubation temperature on AFB₁ degradation. Over a broad temperature range from 37 to 70°C, the degradation rates were more than 50%. The highest degradation activity appeared at 55°C (degradation rate, 63.5%). The culture supernatant did not lose its degradation activity at 70°C, indicating that the active components involved were thermostable.

Analysis of Degraded AFB₁ Products
The degraded products were analyzed by UPLC Q-TOF MS to identify the possible degradation products. The products of AFB₁ appeared at retention times of 4.965 min and showed the m/z ion peaks at 287.09 for the protonated adduct [M+H]⁺. It was further analyzed by MS-MS to determine the exact masses of
Degradation by Escherichia coli

FIGURE 3 | Effect of incubation time, pH and temperature on degradation rate by the culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061. (A) The dynamics of AFB1 degradation by the culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061. The experiments were performed in the presence of 2.5 µg/mL AFB1 at 37°C, pH 7.0. (B) Effects of pH on the degradation of E. coli CG1061 culture supernatant. The experiments were performed in the presence of 2.5 µg/mL AFB1 at 37°C for 24 h. (C) Effects of incubation temperature on the degradation of E. coli CG1061 culture supernatant. The experiments were performed in the presence of 2.5 µg/mL AFB1 at pH 7.0 for 24 h. Different letters among groups means significant different by Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05).

Toxicity of AFB1 Degradation Products

In the in vitro experiment, CG1061-degraded AFB1 and standard AFB1 were diluted to final concentrations of 3.75, 2.00, 1.00, 0.50, and 0.25 µg/mL. The viability of LMH cells treated with standard AFB1 was from 79.2% (0.25 µg/mL) to 20.1% (3.75 µg/mL), and the cell viability treated with CG1061-degraded AFB1 was from 93.4% (0.25 µg/mL) to 70.5% (3.75 µg/mL) (Figure 5). The viability of cells treated with CG1061-degraded AFB1 was obviously higher than that of standard AFB1 at the same concentration, implying that the cytotoxicity of CG1061-degraded AFB1 was much lower than that of standard AFB1 on LMH cells.

In the in vivo experiment, after animals were administrated with standard AFB1 or CG1061-degraded AFB1, the activity of hepatic ALP, SOD, GSH were measured respectively. As shown in Table 2, the activity of hepatic ALP treated with standard AFB1 was significant increased (P < 0.05) to 148% compared with the control. ALP was an important disease biomarker (Wei et al., 1993), and its significant increase indicated that the administration of AFB1 (100 µg/kg of BW) caused liver damage. The activity of ALP did not exhibit any significant differences between that treated with CG1061-degraded AFB1 and the control. For the level of SOD and GSH, there is no significant change among all the groups. Based on the results of in vitro and in vivo experiments, we concluded that AFB1 has been transformed into lower toxicity products by E. coli CG1061.

DISCUSSION

The present study we successfully isolated an AFB1 detoxifying bacteria, Escherichia coli CG1061 from chicken cecum. E. coli CG1061 could eliminate AFs effectively, which reduced AFB1 by 93.7% after aerobically cultured for 72 h. The further studies indicated that the active component maybe kinds of heat-resistant compounds, and it is secreted into the extracellular space. In many cases, the supernatant of culture is identified as the degrading matrix and the active component was proved to be heat-resistant. Wang et al. (2017) reported AFB1 degradation by the cell-free supernatant of TADC7 microbial consortium was stable up to 90°C. Xia et al. (2017) reported the AFB1-degrading activity of isolate Bacillus subtilis JSW-1 was predominantly attributed to the cell-free supernatant and this activity was found to be heat stable but sensitive to proteinase K treatment. However, the enzymes responsible for AFB1 degradation was not purified and identified, the mechanisms involved are still unknown.

In our research, culture supernatant of CG1061 degraded AFB1 for nearly 80% in first 24 h. The results were similar to those reported for Bacillus subtilis UTBSP1 (Farzaneh et al., 2012) and Bacillus licheniformis CFRI (Rao et al., 2017). Alberts...
observed 33.2% of residual AFB₁ after an incubation in the presence of Rhodococcus erythropolis extracellular extracts for 72 h at 30°C (Alberts et al., 2006). Mycobacterium DSM44556 degraded more than 90% of AFB₁ within 4 h at 30°C (Teniola et al., 2005). Wang et al., 2017 reported the degradation rate of a Fusarium sp. WCQ3361 cell-free culture in 1 min at 30°C was 70.2%. There were great differences in degradation efficiency from strains to strains. Next, we explored the optimal degradation conditions, the highest degradation activities of culture supernatant of E. coli CG1061 appeared at pH 8.5 and 55°C. Xu et al. (2017) purified AF-degrading enzyme from Bacillus shackletonii L7, which exhibited the highest activity at pH 8.0 and 70°C. The degradation rate of a Fusarium sp. supernatant at 90°C was greater than 73% (Wang et al., 2017), and its optimal reaction pH value was 7.0. Motomura et al., 2003 reported optimum activities of an extracellular enzyme from fungi Pleurotus ostreatus at pH values ranging from 4.0 to 5.0 and at the temperature of 25°C. These reports showed the optimal degradation conditions varied with difference microorganisms, suggesting that there may be multiple AFB₁ metabolic types in microorganisms. Some strains showed high degradation activity at high temperature (more than 70°C), the mechanism of AFB₁ degradation requires further study.

The enzymes responsible for degradation may have different target sites on AFB₁ molecule. They were reported for catalyzing the bisfuran ring or coumarin group of AFB₁. The different action sites lead to different AFB₁ degradation products. In this study the metabolite C₁₆H₁₄O₅ degraded by E. coli CG1061 was determined by UPLC Q-TOF MS. Among of reported degradation products of AFB₁, the molecular formula of AFD₁ is C₁₆H₁₄O₅. AFD₁ was chemically-transformed from AFB₁ during the ammonization process (Grove et al., 1984). AFD₁ is produced by the opening of the lactone ring of AFB₁. The lactone ring is the actual group of AFB₁ responsible for its toxic effects (Vanhoutte et al., 2016). Samuel et al. (2014) and Eshelli et al. (2015) reported the bio-transformation of AFB₁ to AFD₁ by Pseudomonas putida and Rhodococcus erythropolis.

* Different letters among groups means significant different by Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05).
respectively. In this research, whether the product $C_{16}H_{14}O_{5}$ was AFD$_1$ or its isomer remain to be further studied. Liu et al. (1998) reported that *Armillariella tabescense* multienzyme exhibits detoxifying activity by opening the difuran ring of AFB$_1$. Besides these, aflatoxicol, AFD$_2$ and AFB$_2$, have been reported as AFB$_1$ degradation products (Nakazato et al., 1990; Samuel et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015). In some cases, no degradation products were detected (Alberts et al., 2006; Farzaneh et al., 2012).

AFB$_1$ degradation is not always link to less toxic product. So, it is crucial to confirm their toxicity using reliable methods. Approaches of toxicity research of the AFB$_1$ metabolites mainly included Ames assay (mutagenicity assessment), SOS-chromotest (mutagenicity assessment), MTT test (cytotoxicity assessment) at present (Alberts et al., 2009; Samuel et al., 2014; Risa et al., 2018). AFB$_1$ exposure always leads to liver damage. To determine the toxicity of AFB$_1$ degradation products of *E. coli* CG1061, we performed the MTT test on chicken hepatocellular carcinoma cells and the animal toxicity test conducted with mice in this study, which demonstrated the degradation metabolites less toxic than parent AFB$_1$. In the animal test, the activity of hepatic ALP was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) when treated with standard AFB$_1$, but for the level of SOD and GSH there was no significant change under same treatment. The results indicated that the activity of hepatic ALP was more sensitive to AFB$_1$ than SOD and GSH which were connected with oxidative stress and the antioxidant activity. Kojima and Sakurada (1976) reported ALP activity increased more significantly than that of the other enzymes in the liver of metabolic abnormalities mice.

In summary, non-pathogenic *E. coli* 1061 was reported for effectively removing AFB$_1$, its degradation active component was constitutively produced and thermo-stability. The optimal incubation time, pH and temperature were 24 h, pH 8.5 and 55°C respectively. AFB$_1$ was bio-transformed to new compounds, which were proved less toxicity by toxicity assessment. From the finding of this investigation, Inoculants of *E. coli* 1061 or enzymes prepared from culture supernatant can be used for detoxification of AFB$_1$-contaminated food and feed raw materials.
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