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Abstract. Renewable energy sources need to be developed to maintain the electric energy availability by utilizing oceanic energy, namely solar pond energy. This energy is highly influenced by several factors including salinity, air temperature and solar radiation. This study was focused on finding the potential of solar pond in South Sulawesi, a region with fairly high solar radiation and abundant salt water raw materials availability. The method used in this study was analyzing the values from the mathematic models of daily horizontal solar radiation, air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity and atmospheric pressure for the last 22 years which were finalized using MATLAB. The findings of this study will show the areas with good potentials to apply solar pond in South Sulawesi that can be utilized in various fields including power generator, industrial heating process, desalination and heating for biomass conversion.

1. Introduction
One of the potentials from oceanic energy that is still underdeveloped in Indonesia is the energy source from solar pond. Compared to others, the method of using solar thermal energy for power generation is more efficient [1]. Solar pond is a salt water mining able to store solar radiation and heat energy for a long time [2]. Solar ponds have been suggested to be simple and economical in terms of collecting and storing energy on a large scale [3]. Generally solar pond has an area of 2000 m² to 250.000 m² with depth of 4 m.

When the solar heat energy get into water, the water become hot and the hot water part will decrease its density due to expansion in the water making the hot water move upward to the surface and the cooler part moves to the bottom. Due the presence of contact between hot water and surface air, there is a calorie release by conduction and convection in the hot water, wasting the calorie in the water. In order for the heat in the water is not wasted, salts are added into the water. When the salted water is heated, the salt can be easily soluble in the water, so that the formerly light hot water can gain more weight because the salt solution make the water density heavier and the cooler water move upward to the surface because it is lighter. Figure 1 represents the layer modeling in solar pond.

The heat produced in LCZ which later can be used for power generation, industrial heating process, desalination and heating for biomass conversion. The utilization of LCZ heat energy is realized after the temperature on LCZ has reached 70 ° C [4]. On the power generation, the growth of widely-opened transmission access has a significant side effect for modern utilities [5]. The planning development scheme to anticipate the distributed generation growth is a necessity for electrical network
operator. Thus, the planning will regulate the capacity, location and type of transmission and distributed generation [6].

The solar pond layer modeling is divided into three parts:

a. Upper Convective Zone (UCZ) / Upper Zone has a depth of 0.3 – 0.5 m, receives direct solar radiation, has a well-distributed low salinity and temperature along its entire depth.

b. Non-Convective Zone (NCZ) / Gradient Zone has a depth of 1 - 1.5 m, has varied salinity and temperature distribution as depth function. The higher the depth in NCZ the greater its salinity and temperature.

c. Lower Convective Zone (LCZ) / Storage Zone has a depth of 1.5 - 2 m, has constant salinity and temperature and well-distributed along its entire depth. This area functions as thermal storage of solar pond, where the LCZ temperature can be utilized based on its need.

2. Material and Method

2.1 Study Area

The selected study areas were located in South Sulawesi, namely Jeneponto at coordinates of 5°35‘17.5”S  119°33’53.9”E and Pangkep at coordinates of 4°43’50.6”S  119°31’42.8”E because these two areas are salt producer and have thermal potential to explore. Salinity is one of the important parameters to catch heat in solar pond. The higher the salinity in solar pond the greater the heat produced [7,8]. Figure 2 shows the maps of Jeneponto and Pangkep in South Sulawesi.
2.2 Data Source
Data were obtained from NASA website that records average data; daily solar radiation horizontal, air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity, and atmospheric pressure for the last 22 years [9,10]. The obtained data were then processed to obtain storage zone heat in solar pond.

| Month     | Daily Solar Radiation Horizontal (kWh/m²/day) | Air Temperature (°C) | Wind Speed (m/s) | Relative Humidity (%) | Atmospheric Pressure (kPa) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| January   | 4.57                                        | 26.4                  | 4.36              | 81.1                  | 100                       |
| February  | 4.84                                        | 26.3                  | 4.43              | 80.3                  | 100                       |
| March     | 5.75                                        | 26.4                  | 3.29              | 81.5                  | 100                       |
| April     | 5.91                                        | 26.6                  | 3.36              | 80.9                  | 100                       |
| May       | 5.97                                        | 26.6                  | 4.76              | 79.4                  | 100                       |
| June      | 5.67                                        | 26.1                  | 5.46              | 79.0                  | 100                       |
| July      | 5.95                                        | 25.7                  | 5.92              | 76.6                  | 100                       |
| August    | 6.70                                        | 25.8                  | 6.09              | 72.7                  | 100                       |
| September | 7.22                                        | 26.3                  | 5.59              | 71.5                  | 100                       |
| October   | 7.05                                        | 26.7                  | 4.25              | 73.7                  | 100                       |
| November  | 6.09                                        | 26.7                  | 3.09              | 78.6                  | 100                       |
| December  | 4.75                                        | 26.5                  | 3.46              | 80.4                  | 100                       |
| Annual    | 5.87                                        | 26.3                  | 4.50              | 78.0                  | 100                       |

*Data Source = NASA 2017 [9]

| Month     | Daily Solar Radiation Horizontal (kWh/m²/day) | Air Temperature (°C) | Wind Speed (m/s) | Relative Humidity (%) | Atmospheric Pressure (kPa) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| January   | 4.68                                        | 25.8                  | 3.62              | 81.5                  | 99.4                      |
| February  | 4.93                                        | 25.9                  | 3.65              | 79.7                  | 99.4                      |
| March     | 5.54                                        | 26.0                  | 2.70              | 81.2                  | 99.4                      |
| April     | 5.80                                        | 26.0                  | 2.57              | 81.9                  | 99.4                      |
| May       | 5.73                                        | 25.9                  | 3.85              | 80.9                  | 99.4                      |
| June      | 5.53                                        | 25.5                  | 4.42              | 80.1                  | 99.5                      |
| July      | 5.74                                        | 25.2                  | 4.83              | 76.7                  | 99.5                      |
| August    | 6.48                                        | 25.8                  | 5.01              | 69.8                  | 99.5                      |
| September | 6.83                                        | 26.6                  | 4.56              | 67.0                  | 99.5                      |
| October   | 6.64                                        | 26.8                  | 3.33              | 70.9                  | 99.4                      |
| November  | 5.63                                        | 26.2                  | 2.46              | 78.7                  | 99.4                      |
| December  | 4.46                                        | 25.9                  | 2.95              | 80.7                  | 99.4                      |
| Annual    | 5.67                                        | 25.9                  | 3.66              | 77.4                  | 99.4                      |

*Data Source = NASA 2017 [10]
2.3 Model Description

Heat losses is an important factor that affects solar pond performance. Heat losses can be convective loss, radiation loss, evaporation and side loss [4]. Figure 3 shows the heat balance in the Upper Zone.

![Figure 3. Heat Balance On Upper Zone](image)

The steady state of model of Upper Zone can be written as:

$$\rho_u C_{pu} A_u x_u \frac{dT_u}{dt} = Q_{ru} + Q_{ub} - Q_{uc} - Q_{ur} - Q_{ue} - Q_w$$

The left hand side of Equation (1) represents the useful heat accumulated in the upper convective zone. For the right hand side of the equation, $Q_w$ is the heat loss through walls of the pond. In this work $Q_w = 0$ (i.e. it is supposed that walls are well insulated) [4]. Equation (1) which represents energy conservation in the UCZ can therefore be rewritten as:

$$\rho_u C_{pu} A_u x_u \frac{dT_u}{dt} = A_u \left[ Q_{ru} + \frac{(T_u - T_a)}{\frac{x_{NEZ}}{x_{u}} + \frac{1}{\frac{x_{u}}{x_{NCZ}}}} - \left( \frac{5.7 + 3.8 v}{2} [T_u - T_a] \right) - 4.708 \times 10^{-8} \left( T_u^4 - 0.0552 (T_a)^{1.5} \right) \right]$$

Heat losses occurred in Storage Zone is derived from heat transfer by conduction. Appropriate isolation can be used for side edge and lower part of Storage Zone to improve the performance of Storage Zone. Gradient Zone can also influence the performance by inhibiting the ascending heat loss from Storage Zone to Upper Zone [3]. Figure 4 shows the heat balance in the Storage Zone.

![Figure 4. Heat Balance On Storage Zone](image)
The steady state of model of Storage Zone can be written as:

$$\rho_l C_p l x_l \frac{dT_s}{dt} = Q_{rs} - Q_{ub} - Q_{ground} - Q_{load} - Q_w$$

(3)

It is assumed to begin with that there is no load $Q_{load} = 0$. This corresponds to the initial warming period of the pond. In addition, it is assumed that $Q_w = 0$. Equation (3) which represents energy conservation in the LCZ can therefore be rewritten as:

$$\rho_l C_p l x_l \frac{dT_s}{dt} = A_l \left( Q_{rs} - \frac{[T_s-T_u]}{h_1+K_{NCZ}+h_2} - \frac{A_l[T_s-T_p]}{h_3+K_g+h_4} \right)$$

(4)

Further details on each parameter in Equation (2) and Equation (4) are provided by Jerome, Ahmed, Wongsakorn [3] and Assad, Hazim, Alasdir [4].

3. Results and Discussion

According to NASA data, Jeneponto and Pangkep have good radiation. Radiation has a direct impact on temperatures in storage zones in solar ponds subject to gradient thickness. The deeper the gradient layer, the less radiation that enters the pool. In the gradient layer with a depth of 1 m then 36% of the incoming radiation pools are available and at a depth of 2 m will be reduced by up to 30%. Increased radiation will increase the temperature as more heat will be absorbed as mentioned before depth of the pond is an important factor in that along with the evaporation losses which can be reduced by introducing a cover on the pond [3].

The comparison of irradiation data between Jeneponto and Pangkep can be seen from Table 3 as follow:

| Month      | Jeneponto Radiation (MJ/m$^2$/day) | Pangkep Radiation (MJ/m$^2$/day) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| January    | 16.452                            | 16.848                           |
| February   | 17.424                            | 17.748                           |
| March      | 20.700                            | 19.944                           |
| April      | 21.276                            | 20.880                           |
| May        | 21.492                            | 20.628                           |
| June       | 20.412                            | 19.908                           |
| July       | 21.420                            | 20.664                           |
| August     | 24.120                            | 23.328                           |
| September  | 25.992                            | 24.588                           |
| Oktober    | 25.380                            | 23.904                           |
| November   | 21.924                            | 20.268                           |
| December   | 17.100                            | 16.056                           |
| **Annual** | **21.132**                        | **20.412**                       |
Figure 5. The Plot of Jeneponto and Pangkep Irradiation data

Figure 5 shows that Jeneponto has the highest radiation value in September (25.992 MJ/m²/day) and lowest radiation value in January (16.452 MJ/m²/day). Whereas, Pangkep has the highest radiation in September (24.588 MJ/m²/day) and the lowest radiation value in December (16.056 MJ/m²/day).

Equations (2) and (4) have been solved by using MATLAB. By this method Equations (2) and (4) can be solved depending on the initial values of the unknown temperatures $T_u$ and $T_s$. These initial values vary with the location of the pond and the time of year when the pond starts working. The values of the constants which are used in the model are as follows $\rho_u = 1000$ kg/m³, $\rho_l = 1200$ kg/m³, $c_{pu} = 4180$ J/kg K, $c_{pl} = 3300$ J/kg K, $A_u = A_l = A_b = 1$ m², $h_1 = 56.58$, $h_2 = 48.279$, $h_3 = 78.12$, $h_4 = 185$ (all values in W/m² K) and $k_u = 0.596$ W/m K, $T_g = 23$ °C. The value of $x_g$ and $k_g$ depends on the soil properties under the pond [4].

Figure 6 and 7 shows the temperature of storage zone for one year in Jeneponto and Pangkep areas.
From figure 6 and 7 it can be seen that the maximum temperatures in Storage Zone (LCZ) in Jeneponto and Pangkep were observed in August to September ranging from 71 $^\circ$C to 74 $^\circ$C. Whereas, the minimum temperatures were observed in January to February, ranging from 23 $^\circ$C to 28 $^\circ$C.

With the Storage Zone (LCZ) temperature above 70 $^\circ$C, the solar pond has been able to be used as its intended purpose in various fields such as power generation, industrial heating process, desalination and heating for biomass conversion.

4. Conclusion
Solar Pond is a cheap and environment-friendly technology that can be applied in various fields including power generation, industrial heating process, desalination and heat for biomass conversion. This paper highlights two different locations in South Sulawesi, Jeneponto and Pangkep. From the study findings it can be concluded that the two areas have potential for solar pond assignment. Jeneponto has average maximum irradiance of 25.992 MJ/m$^2$/day and temperature at storage zone reached 74 $^\circ$C, whereas Pangkep has average irradiance of 24.588 MJ/m$^2$/day and temperature of storage zone reached 72 $^\circ$C.
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