Pharmacophore Identification and QSAR Studies on Substituted Benzoxazinone as Antiplatelet Agents: kNN-MFA Approach
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Introduction
Cardiovascular and other vascular diseases like cerebrovascular diseases attract much attention in the realm of medical and drug research due to their threat as a main cause of morbidity and mortality. The platelet aggregation is an important process in healing and is also an important pathogenetic factor in the CVS diseases. The rapid occlusion of an arterial vessel by formation of a thrombotic plug is the crucial event leading to hypoxia in the brain. Platelets play a major role in hemostasis but also in arterial thrombosis. Because of the limited effectivity of currently used antiplatelet drugs like aspirin and ticlopidine, serious thromboembolic complications are occurring, so the designing of new and novel antiplatelet agents is becoming the area of choice for various researchers. QSAR approach [1–10] is certainly useful for drug design for both known and unknown targets. The molecular descriptors are calculated from the chemical structures of the molecules so that these can be utilised for deriving the relationships between the activity and molecular properties. QSAR substantially increases the potential of work, avoiding time and resource consuming experiments. The improvement in three-dimensional structural information (3D) of bioorganic molecules with fast alignment has led to the development of 3D descriptors which are associated with 3D-QSAR methods. Moreover, QSAR approaches that employ 3D descriptors have been developed to address the problems of 2D-QSAR techniques, such as their inability to distinguish stereoisomers. The present article is an attempt to develop QSAR models based on three-dimensional quantitative structure–activity relationship (3D-QSAR) methods for benzoxazinone compounds.

Results and Discussion
In the present study 3D QSAR models by kNN-MFA [2–4] are developed coupled with stepwise variable selection method, and Multiple linear regression (MLR) are developed for benzoxazinone derivatives based on steric, electrostatic and hydrophobic fields. The descriptors that get selected in a given model are the field points either of steric, electrostatic and hydrophilic nature at particular locations in a common grid around a reported set of molecules. The field values of compounds in the cluster of most active compounds decide the range of field values which is preferred and recommended for new compound design.

Interpretation of 3QSAR Model (MLR) [5–10]
The structural requirement of the benzoxazinone analogs to show anti-platelet activity is elaborated by the MLR studies. The two different 3D QSAR models from the MLR studies that are obtained are model A and B. The model A is selected on the basis of statistical significance. The model A has correlation coefficient ($r^2$) 0.9435 (Table 1), as compared to that of model B (0.8780). In model A $S_{123}$, $E_{407}$, $E_{311}$, $H_{605}$ (Figures 1, 2 and 3) which are the steric, electrostatic and hydrophilic field energies of interactions between probe (CH$_3$) with charge +1 and compounds at their corresponding spatial grid points of 123, 407, 311 and 605. The steric and electrostatic grind point at 407 and steric grid point at 123 have positive contributions of 47% and 2%, respectively, while electrostatic and hydrophilic grind point at 311 and 605 have negative contributions of 30% and 21%, respectively. The electrostatic interaction at lattice point E$_{311}$, H$_{605}$ are negatively contributing, which means substitution of electron withdrawing groups on the aryl ring of benzoxazinone can increase the antiplatelet activity. Furthermore, the hydrophobic
interaction at the lattice point 605 is also negatively contributing, which means the substitution at the R1 should be less hydrophobic, and the decrease in chain length could increase the activity. The Electrostatic interaction at the lattice point 407 and steric interaction at lattice point 123 are positively contributing so the mono substitution of on electron releasing groups at the ortho position (R2) can increase the activity (Table 2). Also, the substitution of more bulky groups or larger groups such as methoxy and benzoyl can increase the activity by keeping the benzoxazinone ring in perpendicular plane to the other aryl ring.

Tab. 1. Selected MLR QSAR equations along with statistical parameters employed for model selection.

| Model No. | QSAR model                     | N   | r²  | q²   | F value | Pred r² |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|------|---------|---------|
| A         | $pIC_{50} = 0.0036 + 11.7432(\pm 5.4497)$ | 28  | 0.9435 | 0.8784 | 64.2607 | 0.7663  |
|           | $S_{123} + 11.7432(\pm 5.4497)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $E_{407} - 1.3306(\pm 0.2655)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $E_{311} - 2.0181(\pm 0.6882)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $H_{605}$ |     |       |       |         |         |
| B         | $pIC_{50} = 0.0014 + 1.9224(\pm 0.6960)$ | 28  | 0.8780 | 0.7365 | 32.3774 | 0.7489  |
|           | $E_{735} - 4.3727(\pm 0.1702)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $H_{305} + 0.8246(\pm 0.1221)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $E_{708} + 1.0651(\pm 0.2229)$ |     |       |       |         |         |
|           | $S_{794}$ |     |       |       |         |         |

Fig. 1. Field point for selected QSAR model A
| Sr no | Observed activity | Predicted activity | Residuals |
|-------|------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1     | -4.796           | -5.009             | 0.213     |
| 2     | -3.951           | -4.048             | 0.097     |
| 3     | -5.222           | -4.957             | -0.264    |
| 4     | -4.721           | -4.729             | 0.008     |
| 5     | -3.76            | -4.395             | 0.635     |
| 6     | -3.813           | -3.939             | 0.126     |
| 7     | -4.456           | -4.052             | -0.403    |
| 8     | -3.813           | -3.761             | -0.051    |
| 9     | -3.951           | -3.859             | -0.091    |
| 10    | -4.051           | -4.193             | 0.142     |
| 11    | -3.86            | -4.348             | 0.488     |
| 12    | -4.097           | -3.875             | -0.221    |
| 13    | -5               | -4.422             | -0.577    |
| 14    | -4.824           | -4.827             | 0.003     |
| 15    | -4.201           | -4.496             | 0.295     |
| 16    | -5.237           | -4.707             | -0.529    |
| 17    | -4.523           | -4.430             | -0.092    |
| 18    | -4.585           | -4.822             | 0.237     |
| 19    | -1.31            | -1.275             | -0.034    |
| 20    | -4.432           | -4.701             | 0.269     |
| 21    | -5.222           | -5.018             | -0.203    |
| 22    | -3.745           | -4.297             | 0.552     |
| 23    | -3.86            | -4.581             | 0.721     |
| 24*   | -4.585           | -4.471             | -0.113    |
| 25*   | -5.31            | -5.240             | -0.069    |
| 26*   | -5.201           | -4.957             | -0.243    |
| 27*   | -5.201           | -4.778             | -0.422    |
| 28*   | -4.658           | -4.435             | -0.222    |

*…Test set molecules.
Interpretation of 3QSAR Model (kNN-MFA)

Model C is the second model which is selected on the basis of statistical coefficient like $q^2$ (0.9739) and Pred $r^2$ (0.8217)(Table 3). The contributing descriptors for this model are $E_{746} (-0.1143...-0.0560)$, $E_{748} (-0.3085...-0.2716)$ (Figure 4) which indicates that substitution involving electron deficient group is preferred for substitution at R1, the nitro substituted compound can show potent activity. That the range at the lattice point $E_{262} (-0.0241...0.0202)$ is positive indicates substitution with more electron density could yield more active molecules (Table 4). The results of kNN-MFA methods show similar results to the MLR studies which indicates that these two methods can be utilized to validate each other (Figure no 5).
Tab. 3. Selected kNNMFA QSAR equations along with statistical parameters employed for model selection.

| Model No. | Selected Descriptors | N  | Descriptor Range            | q2   | Pred r² | Degree of freedom |
|-----------|----------------------|----|-----------------------------|------|---------|-------------------|
| C         | E_746                | 28 | E_746 (−0.1143...−0.0560)   | 0.9739 | 0.8217  | 19                |
|           | E_262                |    | E_262 (−0.0241...0.0202)    |       |         |                   |
|           | E_748                |    | E_748 (−0.3085...−0.2716)   |       |         |                   |
|           | E_295                |    | E_295 (2.7514...5.7547)     |       |         |                   |
| D         | E_235                | 28 | E_235 (−0.6487...0.1711)    | 0.7425 | 0.6427  | 20                |

Fig. 4. Field point for selected QSAR model C
Tab. 4. Observed and predicted activity for Model C

| Sr no | Observed activity | Predicted activity | Residuals |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1     | −4.796           | −4.654            | −0.141    |
| 2     | −3.951           | −3.853            | −0.097    |
| 3     | −5.222           | −5.253            | 0.031     |
| 4     | −4.721           | −4.691            | −0.029    |
| 5     | −3.76            | −3.954            | 0.194     |
| 6     | −3.813           | −3.909            | 0.096     |
| 7     | −4.456           | −4.476            | 0.020     |
| 8     | −3.813           | −3.802            | −0.010    |
| 9     | −3.951           | −4.076            | 0.125     |
| 10    | −4.051           | −4.008            | −0.042    |
| 11    | −3.86            | −4.123            | 0.263     |
| 12    | −4.097           | −3.856            | −0.240    |
| 13    | −5               | −3.955            | −1.044    |
| 14    | −4.824           | −4.832            | 0.008     |
| 15    | −4.201           | −3.885            | −0.315    |
| 16    | −5.237           | −4.633            | −0.603    |
| 17    | −4.523           | −4.444            | −0.078    |
| 18    | −4.585           | −4.521            | −0.063    |
| 19    | −1.31            | −1.491            | 0.181     |
| 20    | −4.432           | −4.345            | −0.086    |
| 21    | −5.222           | −5.029            | −0.192    |
| 22    | −3.745           | −3.835            | 0.090     |
| 23    | −3.86            | −3.884            | 0.024     |
| 24*   | −4.585           | −4.758            | 0.173     |
| 25*   | −5.31            | −5.010            | −0.299    |
| 26*   | −5.201           | −5.262            | 0.061     |
| 27*   | −5.201           | −5.054            | −0.146    |
| 28*   | −4.658           | −3.955            | −0.702    |

*…Test set molecules.

Fig. 5. Correlation plot for selected QSAR model C
Pharmacophore identification studies using Vlife MDS 3.5 [10]

The pharmacophore identification studies are carried out in Mol sign module of Vlife MDS 3.5. Pharmacophore is a three-dimensional description of the features needed for activity. These features include hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, aromatic groups, bulky hydrophobic groups, positively ionisable and negatively ionisable. The pharmacophoric features important for antiplatelet activity are hydrogen bond acceptors, hydrophobic groups and hydrophilic groups (Figure 6). The three hydrogen bond acceptors must be at least 2.27 Å and 3.984 Å apart from each other. The hydrophobic and hydrogen bond acceptors are 4.050 Å. The compounds to show the anti-platelet activity must have these features in their structures.

![Selected pharmacophore model](image)

**Fig. 6.** Selected pharmacophore model

Conclusion

In this work we indentified structural requirements of benzoxazinones to act as antiplatelet agents. The QSAR models generated by MLR and kNN-MFA show similar results. Thus, kNN-MFA technique can be utilized as a tool for drug design.

Experimental

Computational details

Dataset

A dataset of 28 compounds was taken from the published antiplatelet derivatives by Katritzky et.al [11]. The structures and their inhibitory activities in logIC50 are listed in Table 5.
Tab. 5. Structure of studied molecules

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sr} & \text{R}^1 & \text{R}^2 & \text{Observed activity (logIC50)} \\
\text{No} & & & \\
1 & 6-\text{CF}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.796 \\
2 & 7-\text{NO}_2 & 2,6-\text{F} & -3.951 \\
3 & 5-\text{F} & 2,6-\text{F} & -5.222 \\
4 & 6-\text{NO}_2 & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.721 \\
5 & 7-\text{CF}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -3.76 \\
6 & 6-\text{OCH}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -3.813 \\
7 & 6-\text{NHAc} & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.456 \\
8 & 6-\text{NH}_2 & 2,6-\text{F} & -3.813 \\
9 & 5-\text{COOCH}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -3.951 \\
10 & 5-\text{CH}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.051 \\
11 & \text{H} & 2-\text{F} & -3.86 \\
12 & 8-\text{CF}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.097 \\
13 & 6-\text{CH}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -5 \\
14 & 6-\text{I} & 2-\text{Cl} & -4.824 \\
15 & 6-\text{CH}_3 & 2,6-\text{Cl} & -4.201 \\
16 & 5-\text{NO}_2 & 2-\text{OCH}_3 & -5.237 \\
17 & \text{H} & 2-\text{OCH}_3,5-\text{Cl} & -4.523 \\
18 & 5-\text{NO}_2 & 2-\text{COOMe} & -4.585 \\
19 & 6-\text{NO}_2 & 2-\text{COOMe} & -1.31 \\
20 & 6-\text{CF}_3 & 2-\text{F} & -4.432 \\
21 & 6-\text{Cl} & 2-\text{Br} & -5.222 \\
22 & 5,8-\text{Cl} & 2-\text{F} & -3.745 \\
23 & 5-\text{COOCH}_3 & 2-\text{F} & -3.86 \\
24 & 5-\text{NO}_2 & 2-\text{F} & -4.585 \\
25 & 5-\text{Cl} & 2,6-\text{F} & -5.31 \\
26 & 5-\text{NO}_2 & 2,6-\text{F} & -5.201 \\
27 & 5,8-\text{Cl} & 2,6-\text{F} & -5.201 \\
28 & 6-\text{CH}_3 & 2,6-\text{F} & -4.658 \\
\end{array}
\]

Materials and methods

**Ligand Preparation**

The structure of benzoxazinone was used as the template to build the molecules in the dataset in Vlife MDS 3.5. The structure was minimized using the standard Merck molecular force field (MMFF) with distance dependant dielectric function and energy gradient of 0.001 kcal/mol Å.
**Molecular alignment**

The molecules of the dataset were aligned by the template based technique, using the common structure of benzoxazinone. The most active molecule was selected as a template for alignment of the molecules. The alignment of all the molecules on the template is shown in (Figure 7)

![Alignment of the molecules](image)

**Fig. 7.** Alignment of the molecules

**Descriptor Calculation**

Like many 3D QSAR methods, a suitable alignment of a given set of molecules was performed using the Vlife MDS 3.5 Engine. This was followed by generation of a common rectangular grid around the molecules. The hydrophilic, steric and electrostatic interaction energies are computed at the lattice points of the grid using a methyl probe of charge +1. These interaction energy values are considered for relationship generation and utilized as descriptors to decide nearness between molecules. The term descriptor is utilized in the following discussion to indicate field values at the lattice points. The molecules under study were divided into test set and training set randomly.

**3D QSAR studies using multiple linear regression**

**Stepwise multiple regression (SMR)**

It is an approach to select a subset of variables when the numbers of independent variables (descriptors) are much more than the number of data points (molecules). SMR is a way of computing OLS regression in stages. It is also a procedure to examine the impact of each variable to the model step by step. Each variable is added to the equation and a new regression is performed. The variable that cannot contribute much to the variance
explained would not be added. As a result, SMR generates a single multiple regression equation.

**3D QSAR Studies using kNN MFA**

The calculated fields of the randomly selected 23 molecules used in the training set were considered as observations to generate QSAR equations using a stepwise variable selection (SW) kNN MFA method. Plot of the kNN MFA which shows the relative position and ranges of the corresponding important electrostatic/steric fields in the model provides the following guidelines for design of new molecules.

**Pharmacophore modeling**

Pharmacophore modeling was carried out using the mol sign module of Vlif e MDS 3.5 software. Series of platelet inhibitors were first aligned on the active molecule. A pharmacophore model is a set of three-dimensional features that are necessary for bioactive ligands. Thus, it makes logical sense to align molecules based on features that are responsible for bioactivity. The software was set to generate a minimum of 4 pharmacophoric features keeping the tolerance distance at 10 Å.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors are thankful to Dr. H. N. More, Principal, Bharati Vidyapeeth College of Pharmacy, Kolhapur, for providing facilities to carry out the work.

**Authors’ Statement**

**Competing Interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

[1] González-Díaz H, Prado-Prado FJ. Unified QSAR and network-based computational chemistry approach to antimicrobials, part 1: Multispecies activity models for antifungals. J Comput Chem. 2008; 29: 656–667. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20826

[2] Ajmani S, Jadhav K, Kulkarni SA. Three-dimensional QSAR using the k-nearest neighbor method and its interpretation. J Chem Inf Model. 2006; 46: 24–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ci0501286

[3] Hasegawa K, Matsuoka S, Arakawa M, Funatsu K. New molecular surface-based 3D-QSAR method using Kohonen neural network and 3-way PLS. Comput Chem. 2002; 26: 583–589. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0097-8485(02)00023-2

[4] Sharma MC, Kohli DV. QSAR analysis and 3D QSAR kNN-MFA approach on a series of substituted quinolines derivatives as angiotensin II receptor antagonists. Arab J Chem; in press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2011.07.008
[5] Bhatia MS, Choudhari PB, Ingale KB, Bhatia NM, Sangale DB, Sawant RL. Two and Three-Dimensional Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship analysis on a Series of Anthelmintics. Int J Drug Des Discov. 2010; 1: 325–330.

[6] Bhatia MS, Choudhari PB, Ingale KB, Bhatia NM, Zarekar BE. Pharmacophore Modelling, Docking and 3D-QSAR Study of Potential Inhibitors of Lumazine Synthase. Int J Drug Des Discov. 2010; 1: 216–220.

[7] Bhatia MS, Choudhari PB, Ingale KB, Bhatia NM, Zarekar BE, Sherikar AS. 3D QSAR: Exploring Influence of Parameters of Pyrazoline Analogues On Resistant Strains of Staphylococcus aureus. Int J Drug Des Discov. 2010; 1: 41–48.

[8] Bhatia MS, Ingale KB, Choudhari PB, Sawnat RL, Patil CR. Two- and Three-Dimensional Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships Studies on a Series, of diuretics. Latin Am J Pharm. 2009; 28: 927–931.

[9] Bhatia MS, Choudhari PB, Ingale KB, Bhatia NM, Zarekar BE, Sangale DB. 3D QSAR Analysis Of 2,4-Disubstituted 1,5-Benzodiazepine Derivatives As CNS Depressants. Dig J Nanomat Bios. 2009; 4: 579–585.

[10] Choudhari PB, Bhatia MS. 3D QSAR, Docking Studies and Pharmacophore Modeling of Selected Factor Xa Inhibitors. Med Chem Res. 2012; 21: 1427–1432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00044-011-9663-8

[11] Katritzky AR, Pacureanu LM, Slavov S, Dobcheva DA, Karelson M. QSAR study of antiplatelet agents. Bioorg Med Chem. 2006; 14: 7490–7500. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2006.07.022