Comparison of major nutritional constituents and genetic diversity analysis of five strains of oyster mushrooms
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ABSTRACT
Oyster mushroom is the second runner-up among commercially produced mushrooms due to its delicious taste, higher nutritional and medicinal properties. The objectives of this study were to determine the nutritional variation through the analysis of obtained nutritional values and to assess the genetic diversity through RAPD marker of five different strains of oyster mushrooms namely Pleurotus cystidiosus (strain: pcys2); Pleurotus djamor (pop1); Pleurotus ostreatus (ws); Pleurotus ostreatus (po3) and Pleurotus geesteranus (pg4). The strains showed variations in moisture, protein, fiber, lipid, ash and carbohydrate content ranged from 86.10-87.33%; 17.8-24.13 gm/100gm; 18.16-25.46 gm/100gm; 3.16-5.16 gm/100gm; 9.16-11.46 gm/100gm; and 35.4-45.33 gm/100gm respectively. In case of genetic diversity, the segregation of five strains of oyster mushrooms were grouped through un-weighted pair group method of arithmetic means average (UPGMA), where strains were grouped into two main clusters and the generated linkage distance was 48. The strains pop1 and po3 were aligned in cluster two (C2) due to their genetic similarity but showed dissimilarities with other strains. Though the strains pcys2, ws, and pg4 were aligned in the same cluster (C1), the strain ws was aligned in a different sub-cluster due to its few dissimilarities with the other two strains. The variation of nutritional values and genetic diversities among the mushroom strains indicates nutritional and genetic variabilities. The findings of current study indicate that, though these mushrooms were genetically dissimilar, all strains were nutritious with high protein and fiber contents with low fat. However, mushroom breeder can consider strain p03 for high protein content, strain ws for high fiber content and strain pop1 for low fat content.

INTRODUCTION
Mushroom is regarded as an ingredient of gourmet cuisine all over the world, particularly for its unique flavor and culinary wonder appreciated by humankind. Over 10,000 mushroom species exist in nature and around 200 mushroom species have served worldwide as functional foods for many years [1] while only 35 species have been cultivated commercially [2]. In recent decades, mushroom consumption has increased considerably due to scientific evidence that they help to fight and prevent a variety of diseases and helps the body to meet the nutritional requirements [3]. They are good source of non-starchy carbohydrates, high content of dietary fiber, moderate quantities of proteins and vitamins like ascorbic acid, niacin, riboflavin, and thiamine [4]; [5]. Mushrooms generate 20-35% of the protein dry weight, which is low in fats and contains all nine essential amino acids [6]. A number of secondary
metabolites are accumulated by mushrooms including phenolic substance, polyketides, terpene, diboviquinone and steroids [7]. Additionally, mushrooms contain a large variety of bioactive compounds and have been shown to be especially efficacious as an antioxidant, antifungal, anti-cancer, anti-tumor, immuno-stimulant, and antimicrobial agents, which is seeking great attention from food and pharmaceutical sectors [8], [3], [9].

Pleurotus species require a short growth time compared to other mushrooms and are one of the highest-produced mushrooms in the world [10]. The genus Pleurotus is distributed throughout the world with 200 saprophytic species based on their temperature and tropical climate [11]. It is an edible mushroom that contains essential bio-active molecules, nutritional ingredients like essential proteins, carbohydrates, vitamins, calcium, and iron and also has numerous biological effects [12], [13]. Its extract can reduce cholesterol in the same way as dietary supplements [14]. Due to their extraordinary ligninolytic properties, Pleurotus (also known as oyster mushroom) is one of the most widely studied White-Rot fungi [8]. Pleurotus cultivation has the potency to be a highly profitable agribusiness because of its significant nutritional and therapeutic value [15].

DNA fingerprinting has emerged as a critical resource in the characterization of mushrooms [16]. The rapid generation of reliably reproducible DNA fragments is possible by using RAPD markers to a wide variety of mushroom species. Ravash et al. (2009) stated that the genomic wide and random nature of the RAPD technique is best to specify overall genetic affinity than the morphological study [17]. Alam et al., (2010) reported that RAPD able to generate polymorphism successfully that helps to discriminate of closely connected genotypes [18]. The proper identification of the Pleurotus sp. is important to leverage their full potential in the food industry [19]. Selecting high yield strains is vital for efficient cultivation. But, due to consecutive sub-culturing and production, the efficiency of commercial mushroom strains continue to decrease [20]. As toxic elements may present, a few more information on oyster mushrooms is required to detect proper strains for commercial production. Hence, the objective of this study was to analyze the nutritional values and to determine the genetic diversity of five strains of oyster mushrooms. The findings would help mushroom breeders in designing and developing good quality strains.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of strains

Fruiting bodies of five different species of oyster mushrooms namely P. cystidiosus (pcys2), P. djamor (pop1), P. ostreatus (ws), P. ostreatus (p03), and P. geesteranus (pg4) were obtained from culture house of the National Mushroom Development and Extension Centre, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh where the standard growing condition was ensured properly. The five species of fresh mushrooms were collected in clean polyethylene and were sun-dried. Then the dried samples were ground to fine powder by using a blender and finally with mortar and pestle, preserved in a desiccator until analysis. These dried samples were further dried in an oven at 60°C until a constant weight was obtained for elemental analysis. Samples were triplicated for each experiment and the experiment was repeated three times to reduce experimental errors.

Nutritional quality analysis of oyster mushrooms

Determination of moisture content

For the determination of moisture content, 5g of fresh mushroom was taken into an automatic moisture analyzer (Weighed moisture box, A & D company ltd. N 92; P1011656; Japan). The moisture content of five mushroom strains was determined according to Raghuramulu et al. (2003) [21]. In short, fresh mushrooms were dried at 100-105°C in an oven and were cooled in a desiccator. The heating and cooling were performed repeatedly until getting moisture-free mushroom. The following formula was used to calculate the moisture content percentages:

\[
\text{Moisture content (\%) } = \left( \frac{\text{Initial weight-final weight}}{\text{weight}} \right) \times 100
\]

Determination of protein content

In order to determine protein content, five grams of grinded mushroom were mixed with 50 ml (1.25N) NaOH and allowed to boil for 30 minutes. The solution was left for cooling until the temperature reached 25°C and it was then centrifuged in a tabletop centrifuge machine at 1000 x g. The total protein content of the collected supernatant was measured according to the Biuret method [22]. Briefly, the peptide bonds inside the proteins react with \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) ions in alkaline solutions. Here the absorbance was
measured by spectrophotometer (at 540 nm) and it was directly proportional to protein content.

**Determination of lipid content**

Lipid content was determined according to the method described by Folch et al. (1957) with a few modifications [23]. Briefly, five grams of grinded mushroom was suspended and mixed thoroughly in 50 ml of chloroform-methanol mixture (2:1 v/v) and the solution was kept for 3 days. The solution was then filtrated and centrifuged at 1000 x g. The methanol was removed from the upper layer by Pasteur pipette while chloroform was evaporated by heating. The rest substance was crude lipid, which was determined by the following formula:

\[
\text{Lipid content (g/100g sample)} = \frac{\text{Weight of lipid} \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample taken}}
\]

**Determination of fiber content**

In the case of fiber content determination, five grams of moisture and the fat-free sample was taken into 200 ml of boiling H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} (0.255N) in a beaker and was mixed properly. The mixture was then boiled at a constant volume for 30 minutes by adding water continually. Therefore, the mixture was filtered through a muslin cloth, and the residue was washed with hot water until it was free from acid. The filtered material was transferred into the same beaker, adding 200 ml of boiling NaOH (0.313N). This boiling and washing step was repeated once again. The material was washed again with some alcohol and ether until it was free from alkali. It was then moved to a crucible and left dry overnight at 80-100°C in an electrical balance. The crucible was heated for 8 hours in a muffle furnace at 600°C, cooled, and weighed again (W2). The difference between initial and final weight (W1-W2) represents the weight of crude fiber.

\[
\text{Crude Fiber Content (g/100g sample)} = \frac{[100 - (\text{moisture} + \text{fat})] \times (W1-W2)}{\text{Weight of sample taken}}
\]

**Determination of ash content**

Ash content was determined through the traditionally used method. Briefly, one gram of the sample was taken in a crucible and was placed on a triangle of clay pipes. It was then heated over a low flame until all the material had been fully charred, followed by heating around 8 hours in a muffle furnace at 600°C temperature. It was then kept in a desiccator for cooling and measuring. The crucible was heated again in the muffle furnace for 1 hour, cooled and measured, to ensure the completion of ash. The procedure was repeated until two consecutive weights were the same. Total ash content was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Ash content (g/100g sample)} = \frac{\text{Weight of the ash} \times 100}{\text{Weight of sample taken}}
\]

**Molecular characterization of mushroom**

**DNA extraction**

Filamentous fungi have solid walls of the cells, which are tempting to rupture. The modified method of Aljanabi et al. (1999) was used to extract the genomic DNA from the mushroom [24]. DNA of five strains of oyster mushrooms was extracted from the 0.2-0.3 g fruiting body of each species. It was grinded with a mortar pestle in extraction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl, pH-8.5, 250 mM NaCl, 0.5% SDS, 25 mM EDTA). The lysates were incubated for 40 minutes at 65°C in a water bath then centrifuged for 30 minutes at 10,000 x g. After adding an equal amount of isopropanol, DNA was precipitated and the resulting pellet was washed with 70% ethanol. The DNA pellet was air-dried and dissolved in 50μl TE buffer for long time storage at -20°C.

**RAPD analysis**

The Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) technique was carried out to determine the genetic diversity of mushroom samples. Genomic DNA was amplified by the RAPD method described by Williams et al. (1990) [25], where six different types of unspecified oligonucleotide primers (Operon Technologies Inc.) were used to generate amplified fragments (Table 1). RAPD method was used in the study because of available resources and also in the light of existent pieces of literature [26,27]. Besides, a
recent study conducted by Familoni and colleagues used RAPD to determine the genetic diversity of wild type *Pleurotus* species in Nigeria [28]. The reaction mixture for each PCR reaction has consisted of 7.5 µl PCR master mix (containing Taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs, MgCl₂, and reaction buffers), 1.5 µl primer, 2 µl DNA template, 4 µl nuclease-free water in a final reaction volume of 15 µl. PCR amplification was done in an oil-free thermal cycler (Piko Tm PCR machine), following the thermal cycle of 95°C for 2 minutes (initial denaturation) followed by 40 cycles of 30 sec denaturation at 95°C, 30 sec annealing at 37°C, and elongation or extension at 72°C for 1 minute where the final extension was 5 minutes at 72 °C. Finally, the PCR product was held at 4°C. At gel electrophoresis, 100bp and 1 kb DNA ladder were used to measure the DNA bands.

**Table 1.** The name of the primers and their sequences are listed below.

| Primer Code | Sequence (5'-3') | G+C Content (%) |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| OPA-03      | 5'- AGTCAGCCAC-3 | 60              |
| OPF-01      | 5'-ACGGATCCTG-3  | 70              |
| OPA-09      | 5'-GGGTAACGCC-3  | 70              |
| OPAK-04     | 5'-AAGGTCCGTC-3  | 70              |
| OPG-05      | 5'-CTGAGACGGA-3  | 60              |
| OPG-04      | 5'-AGCGTGTCCTG-3 | 60              |

**RAPD data scoring**

Following electrophoresis, the size of amplification products was estimated by comparing the migration of each amplified fragment with that of a known size fragment of molecular marker 100bp to 1kb DNA ladder. To analyze the presence or absence of particular alleles, each amplification product produced by each RAPD primer was scored as ‘1’ or ‘0’, respectively. A cluster analysis UPGMA based on Nei’s and Li’s [29] was carried out using the ‘STATISTICA’ software [30]. The similarity and genetic distance between different species were estimated while a dendrogram was generated also.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean, standard deviation and ‘p’ value were calculated using standard statistical methods [31, 32].

**RESULTS**

**Nutritional quality analysis in different oyster mushrooms**

The present study was done to determine and compare nutrient contents of five strains of Oyster mushrooms such as *P. cystidiosus* (pcys2); *P. djamor* (pop1); *P. ostreatus* (ws); *P. ostreatus* (po3); *P. geesteranus* (pg4). The nutritional composition of different oyster mushrooms, including moisture, protein, lipid, fiber, ash, and carbohydrate was determined, shown in Table 2.

**Moisture content**

The moisture content of the five strains namely *P. cystidiosus* (pcys2); *P. djamor* (pop1); *P. ostreatus* (ws); *P. ostreatus* (po3); *P. geesteranus* (pg4) were 86.53%, 87.33%, 87.06%, 87.23%, 86.10% respectively. There was no significant difference (P > 0.05) among the values of moisture content of five strains of mushrooms.

**Protein content**

As mentioned in the Table 2, the protein contents (g/100g) determined in this study ranged from 17.76g to 24.13g. There was a significant difference (P < 0.05) among the protein content of the strains.

**Lipid content**

In this study, the lipid content (g/100g) of *P. cystidiosus* (pcys2); *P. djamor* (pop1); *P. ostreatus* (ws); *P. ostreatus* (po3); *P. geesteranus* (pg4) mushrooms were found 5.16g, 3.16g, 3.66g, 4.10g, and 4.10g, respectively. Among all strains, *P. cystidiosus* (pcys2) contains the highest lipid mass (5.16g). Overall, Lipid content was found statistically significant (P < 0.05).

**Fiber content**

In this research, an appreciable amount of fiber was present in the assessed mushrooms. The quantity of five different strains of oyster mushrooms (g/100g) fell within the range of 18.16g to 25.46g, which were also statistically significant (P < 0.05).
Table 2. Comparison of nutrient content among five strains of Oyster mushrooms.

| Strains | Moisture (%) | Protein (g/100g) | Lipid (g/100g) | Fiber (g/100g) | Ash (g/100g) | Carbohydrate (g/100g) |
|---------|--------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| pcys2   | 87±1         | 17.8±0.2         | 5.16±0.20      | 23.36±0.12    | 11.33±0.20   | 42.2±0.2               |
| pop1    | 87.33±0.20   | 21.90±0.26       | 3.16±0.20      | 18.16±0.15    | 10.66±0.15   | 45.3±0.2               |
| ws      | 87.06±0.15   | 23.20±0.43       | 3.66±0.15      | 25.46±0.05    | 11.46±0.40   | 35.4±0.2               |
| po3     | 87.23±1.05   | 24.13±0.32       | 4.10±0.26      | 22.10±0.26    | 9.66±0.15    | 40.0±0.2               |
| pg4     | 86.10±0.43   | 19.30±0.36       | 4.10±0.70      | 24.53±0.15    | 9.16±0.20    | 39.56±0.32             |
| Total   | 86.94±0.49   | 21.27±2.66       | 4.04±0.74      | 22.72±2.84    | 10.45±1.04   | 40.49±3.64             |

Data presented as mean value ± SD and the significance level was defined by Chi-Square test using P≤0.05.

Ash content

The Ash content of foods represents their mineral element composition. Mushrooms are strong bio accumulators, which is revealed by their medicinal attributes. The ash content of five different mushrooms was found 11.33g, 10.66g, 11.46g, 9.66g, 9.16g, respectively and statistically proven as significant (P < 0.05).

Carbohydrate content

In this study, the carbohydrate content of five different mushrooms was found 42.16g, 45.33g, 35.36g, 39.33g, and 39.56g, for P. cystidiosus (pcys2); P. djamor (pop1); P. ostreatus (ws); P. ostreatus (po3) and P. geesteranus (pg4) respectively.

DNA fingerprinting using RAPD markers

DNA fingerprinting of five different mushroom strains was performed using six RAPD markers (OPA-09, OPK-04, OPG-05, and OPG-04) developed by Operon Tech., Inc., Alameda, California, USA. Among the six RAPD primers tested, OPA-03, OPK-04, and OPG-05 primers provided a relatively maximum amount of low smearing and high-intensity bands.

All the six RAPD primers used a total of 173 amplified bands from the five different oyster mushrooms using the Thermal Cycler (Piko Tm PCR machine). Images of electrophoresis using the primers OPA-03, OPF-01, OPA-09, OPK-04, OPG-05, and OPG-04 were shown in Figure 1A, 1B, and 1C respectively. The primer, OPK-04 amplified the highest number of 33 bands; primer, OPA-03 amplified a total of 32 bands; primer, OPF-01 amplified a total of 29 bands; primer, OPA-09 amplified a total of 28 bands; primer, OPG-05 amplified a total of 32 bands and primer, OPK-04 amplified the lowest number of 19 bands (Table 3). Out of the 173 bands, 151 bands were polymorphic, 3 bands were monomorphic bands and 19 bands were unique bands. The primers OPA-03, OPF-04, OPA-09, OPK-04, OPG-05 and OPG-04 produced 30 (93.75%), 26 (89.65%), 23 (82.14%), 29 (87.87%), 28 (87.50%) and 15 (78.28%) polymorphic bands respectively (Table 3).

Linkage distances

The values of pair-wise comparisons of the genetic distances scrutinized using the software program ‘STATISTICA’. The linkage distance among the strains was calculated from the cumulative data for the six primers ranging from 9 to 53. The highest linkage distance 53 was recorded in two cases between two strain pairs P. djamor (pop1) and P. ostreatus (ws). The lowest linkage distance 9 was recorded between the strains pairs P. djamor (pop1) and P. ostreatus (po3). Details of linkage distance were shown in Table 4.
Figure 1. RAPD profiles of primers generated from 5 different Oyster mushrooms through 1.4% agarose gel electrophoresis. Herein, at figure (A), (B) and (C): M1 and M2 denotes DNA ladder (Marker); Lane 1(S1) = P. cystidiosus (pcys2), Lane 2(S2) = P. djamor (pop1), Lane 3(S3) = P. ostreatus (ws), Lane 4(S4) = P. ostreatus (po3), Lane 5(S5) = P. geesteranus (pg4).

Cluster analysis (Tree diagram)

The RAPD based dendrogram revealed two major clusters by using the UPGMA. UPGMA indicated the segregation of five strains of oyster mushrooms into two main clusters (C1 and C2) presented in Figure 2 were produced at the linkage distance 48. P. djamor (pop1) and P. ostreatus (po3) in cluster two (C2) showed genetic similarity but were quite different from other strains. Further, the Dendrogram demonstrated that cluster one (C1) divided into two sub-cluster, where sub-cluster (SC2) P. ostreatus (ws) was different from sub-cluster (SC1) containing the strains P. cystidiosus (pcys2) and P. geesteranus (pg4).

Figure 2. Cluster analysis of five oyster mushrooms based on six RAPD markers by UPGMA.
In two strains, lipid, carbohydrate content were observed in the strains highest moisture, protein, lipid, fiber, ash and carbohydrate content ranged from 35.4 gm/100g and 5.16 gm/100gm, ash content ranged from 18.16 gm/100gm, lipid content ranged from 9.16 gm/100gm to 51.93 (g/100g), quantity of varied species ranged from 30.78 to 51.93 (g/100g), which were quite similar to our present study [34,37,38]. Nevertheless, lower values were reported by some researchers as well [33,39,40], [41,42]. In case of fiber content , Ahmed et al. (2013) and Hoa et al. (2014) found (20.05-29.75)% dietary fiber in different oyster mushrooms, which were quite similar to our present study [37]; [41]. However, lower values are obtained by Patil et al. (2010) [39]. In case of ash content, Li et al. (2017), Patil et al. (2010), and Kortei et al. (2014) obtained the range of ash content from 5.90% to 9.9%, which is less than the range of our findings [12] [33,39]. In case of carbohydrate content, Dundar et al. (2008) and Hoa et al. (2015) evaluated the carbohydrate quantity of varied Pleurotus species ranged from 30.78 to 51.93 (g/100g), which were relevant to our findings [43], [41]. However, higher values were stated by several researchers as well [33,38,40].

**DISCUSSION**

Presence of different nutrient components in a standard level is a prerequisite of a healthy food. Hence, the nutrient content of five strains of oyster mushroom was observed and compared. The strains showed variations in moisture, protein, fiber, lipid, ash and carbohydrate content. The moisture content was ranged from 86.10-87.33% where the protein content ranged from 17.8-24.13 gm/100gm, fiber content ranged from 18.16-25.46 gm/100gm, lipid content ranged from 3.16-5.16 gm/100gm, ash content ranged from 9.16-11.46 gm/100gm and carbohydrate content ranged from 35.4-45.33 gm/100gm. The highest moisture, protein, lipid, fiber, ash and carbohydrate content were observed in the strains P. djamor (pop1), P. ostreatus (po3), P. cystidiosus (pcys2), P. ostreatus (ws), P. ostreatus (ws), and P. djamor (pop1) respectively while the lowest content were observed in the strains P. geesteranus (pg4), P. cystidiosus (pcys2), P. djamor(pop1), P. djamor (pop1), P. geesteranus (pg4), and P. ostreatus (ws) respectively. High moisture contents promote susceptibility to microbial growth and enzyme activity [33].The moisture content percentage of oyster mushrooms was determined by Moni et al. (2004) [34] and Alam et al. (2008) [35] where the percentage were 88.15-91.64, and 87-87.5 respectively. Kumela and Solomon (2017) also found the moisture content 88.75% in 100g fresh mushrooms. These findings were quite similar to present study [36]. In case of protein content, in two different studies, Li et al. (2017) and Toler and Abera (2017) reported the ranges of the protein of P. ostreatus mushroom was from 20.4g to 28.8g per 100g dried fruit bodies [12], [36], whereas Kortei et al. (2014) reported protein content ranging from 10.48% to 10.78% [33]. In another study, Ahmed et al. (2013) found lipid content ranged 3.5-4.7% in different oyster mushrooms [37]. The findings of other researches were also relevant to our findings [35,38].

### Table 3. RAPD primers with their corresponding DNA bands score in five different strains of oyster mushrooms.

| Primer  | Size (bp) | Ranges | Number of fragment amplified (bands) | Number of polymorphic bands | Number of monomorphic bands | Number of unique bands | Polymorphism (%) |
|---------|-----------|--------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| OPA-03  | 250-1300  | 32     | 30                                  | 0                           | 2                           | 93.75                 |                 |
| OPF-01  | 200-2500  | 29     | 26                                  | 0                           | 3                           | 89.65                 |                 |
| OPA-09  | 280-1100  | 28     | 25                                  | 1                           | 4                           | 82.14                 |                 |
| OPAK-04 | 375-2000  | 33     | 29                                  | 0                           | 4                           | 87.87                 |                 |
| OPG-05  | 200-1500  | 32     | 28                                  | 2                           | 2                           | 87.50                 |                 |
| OPG-04  | 300-2000  | 19     | 15                                  | 0                           | 4                           | 78.28                 |                 |
| Total   |           |        |                                     | 173                         | 151                         | 3                     | 19              |
| Average |           |        |                                     |                             |                             |                       | 87.28           |

### Table 4. Summary of linkage distances for different pairs of five different oyster mushrooms using RAPD markers.

| Strains | Pcys2 | Pop1 | Ws | Po3 | Pg4 |
|---------|-------|------|----|-----|-----|
| Pcys2   | 0.0   | 51   | 36 | 50  | 25  |
| Pop1    | 51    | 0.0  | 53 | 9.0 | 46  |
| Ws      | 36    | 53   | 0.0| 52  | 47  |
| Po3     | 50    | 9.0  | 52 | 0.0 | 43  |
| Pg4     | 25    | 46   | 47 | 43  | 0.0 |
Molecular markers, such as RFLP, RAPD, and genotyping have been used to discriminate mushroom species or strains of Agaricus, Auricularia, Ganoderma, Lentinula, Stropharia, Rugoso-annulata, and Volvariella. All of these technologies provided data for mushroom strain identification and protection [44]. Genetic diversity of mushrooms has been determined previously using molecular markers especially RAPD [28, 27, 45] and this technique is used to assess the genetic diversity among 37 Pleurotus species of mushrooms and found that it provides better discrimination than morphological analysis [46]. Besides, several published research used primers ranging from 3 to 8 to conclude their study [26,47], [48]. In this study, six RAPD primers were used and the highest linkage distance 53 was observed twice between the strain-pair P. djamor (pop1) and P. ostreatus (ws) while the lowest linkage distance 9 was found between the strain-pair P. djamor (pop1) and P. ostreatus (po3). The dissimilarity of the number of bands may be due to the primer’s sequence and availability of annealing sites in the genome [49] (Kernodle et al., 1993). Further, the Dendrogram demonstrated that cluster one (C1) divided into two sub-cluster, where sub-cluster (SC2) P. ostreatus (ws) was different from sub-cluster (SC1) containing the strains P. cystidiosus (pcys2) and P. geesteranus (pg4).

Khan et al. (2011) reported that the formation of subgroups in cluster A, by P-56 (Pleurotus sajor-caju) and P - 17 (Pleurotus florida), in cluster B, P-19 (Pleurotus ostreatus) and P-7 (Pleurotus flabelatus) and in cluster C, P-9 (Pleurotus warm-starm) and P-16 (Pleurotus eryngii) are because of genetic distance 0.86, 0.80 and 0.81 respectively. They concluded that, the species within a distinct subgroup might be due to their same genus Pleurotus and same ancestry [50].

CONCLUSION

The current study suggests that the strains of oyster mushrooms differ from each other considering their nutritional composition although they are of the same genus, each species are nutritious with high protein and fiber content with low fat. The statistical analysis revealed that the protein, lipid, fiber, ash, and carbohydrate content of five strains of oyster mushroom were highly significant except for moisture content. In particular, the low lipid and high fiber contents of the oyster mushrooms make them health beneficial food items, especially against heart diseases and diabetes. The use of oyster mushrooms as food or ingredient for processed food products are promising, because of their nutritional attributes and potential benefits for health. The six RAPD primers used in this study were able to identify the genetic diversity and to determine the phylogenetic relationship among the strains of Pleurotus species of oyster mushroom. This study further confirms that RAPD-PCR is a suitable tool for phylogenetic relationship determination and maintenance of good quality spawn of oyster mushrooms. All the strains used in this study were nutritious with high protein and fiber contents with low fat even though they were genetically dissimilar which were indicated through RAPD analysis. Hence, mushroom breeder can consider strain P. ostreatus (po3) for high protein content, strain P. ostreatus (ws) for high fibre content and strain P. djamor (pop1) for low fat content. In the future, this research work may help to determine the genetic relationship among other useful edible mushrooms as well.
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