Influence of Isostatic Pressure on the Elastic and Electronic Properties of K$_2$SiF$_6$:Mn$^{4+}$
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Abstract: Isostatic pressure effects on the elastic and electronic properties of non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ (KSF) have been investigated by first-principles calculations within density functional theory (DFT). Bulk modulus was obtained by the Murnaghan’s equation of states (EOS) using the relationship between volume and pressures at pressures between 0 and 40 GPa, and elastic constants were calculated by the stress–strain relationship giving small distortions at each pressure point. The other elastic parameters such as shear modulus, sound velocity and Debye temperature, which can be obtained from the elastic constants, were also estimated. The influence of external isostatic pressure on the electronic properties, such as crystal field strength $D_{q}$ and emission energy of $^2E \rightarrow ^4A^2$ transition ($E_{em}$), of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ was also studied. The results suggest that $10D_{q}$ and $E_{em}$ linearly increase and decrease, respectively, with increasing pressure.
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1. Introduction

Mn$^{4+}$-doped phosphors as red photon generating systems have been extensively studied over the past decades due to their great promise for use in LED devices [1–7]. Among a large number of Mn$^{4+}$ activated red-emitting phosphors, K$_2$SiF$_6$:Mn$^{4+}$ (KSF:Mn$^{4+}$) has been developed as generators of red photons in phosphor-converted white LEDs (pc-LEDs). The sharp-line emission of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ peaks at about 630 nm, where the human eye sensitivity to red light is still quite high. With minimum emission beyond 650 nm, the emission spectrum of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ is suitable for supplying the red photons necessary to produce white light with high efficacy (lumens per watt) and color rendering index (CRI) in pc-LEDs. Given its commercial importance, detailed analyses of the spectroscopic properties, such as absorption and emission spectra, electronic and geometric properties of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ were performed [8–10] and recently this red phosphor was commercialized [11].
The optical properties of the Mn$^{4+}$ ions (with the 3d$^3$ electron configuration) are strongly influenced by the composition and crystal structure of the host lattice. In the Tanabe–Sugano diagram for the d$^3$ electron configuration, the "Mn$^{4+}$-ligand" bonding covalence defines the emission energy of the $^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2$ spin-forbidden transition and the strength of the octahedral crystal field denoted as $10Dq$. It was determined by DFT calculations that weak Mn$^{4+}$–ligand hybridization generally leads to higher Mn$^{4+}$ emission energies [12]. The hybridization between the Mn$^{4+}$ ions and ligands is related to the Mn$^{4+}$–ligand distances. The application of pressure is one of the state parameters that can change the peak energy and $10Dq$ by reducing the inter-atomic distances. Studies of the Mn$^{4+}$ optical properties under external pressure have been reported in the archival literature [13–15]. The goal of this study was to quantitatively explore by first-principles calculations within density functional theory, the electronic, elastic and optical properties of K$_2$SiF$_6$ in the absence and presence of the activator ion (Mn$^{4+}$).

2. Computational Method

All the density functional calculations in this paper were performed by the plane-wave basis projector augmented wave package, VASP [16], using the generalized gradient approximation proposed by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) [17] to express electron–electron correlation. The space group of KSF is Fm-3m with a lattice constant of 8.134 Å [18], in which the Si$^{4+}$ ions are surrounded by six F$^-$ ions and the local site symmetry is described by the O$_h$ point group. The Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF models were constructed by replacing one Si$^{4+}$ ion by one Mn$^{4+}$ ion in the unit cell of KSF, which includes 36 atoms corresponding to four formula units. After careful convergence tests with respect to the plane wave cut-off energy, 900 eV was selected for the energy cut-off in all the calculations. The Monkhorst–Pack k-points grid sampling [19] was set as $4 \times 4 \times 4$. The structural parameters of non-doped KSF and KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ were optimized by allowing relaxations of the lattice constants and internal atomic positions under isostatic external pressures between 0 and 40 GPa by a 5 GPa step.

3. Results and Discussion

The calculated and experimental lattice constants of non-doped KSF and KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ are summarized in Table 1 together with the calculated Si–F and Mn–F bond lengths. The experimental lattice constant of non-doped KSF, $a = 8.134$ Å [18], is reproduced well by the current calculations, $a = 8.336$ Å, within a typical overestimation due to the GGA-PBE functional use. It is noted here that a slight volume expansion occurs after inclusion of the Mn$^{4+}$ ions into KSF host. The calculated lattice constant of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ is 8.357 Å, which is larger than that of KSF 8.336 Å. This expansion can be simply explained by the difference in ionic radii of Si$^{4+}$ (0.40 Å) and Mn$^{4+}$ (0.53 Å). The calculated Mn$^{4+}$–F$^-$ bond-length is also larger than the calculated Si$^{4+}$–F$^-$ bond-length by 6.69%, which supports the earlier experimental result of +7.43% [20].

Table 1. Comparison of lattice constants, $a$, and bond lengths of Si–F and Mn–F in the non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$, respectively, between experiments and calculations.

| System              | Calc. (Å) | Exp. (Å) |
|---------------------|-----------|----------|
| K$_2$SiF$_6$        | $a$       | 8.336    | 8.134$^a$ |
|                     | Si–F      | 1.720    | 1.683$^a$ |
| K$_2$SiF$_6$;Mn$^{4+}$ | $a$       | 8.357    |           |
|                     | Mn–F      | 1.835    | 1.807$^b$ |

$^a$ Ref. [18]. $^b$ Ref. [20].
The calculated pressure dependence of the relative volume change $V/V_0$ for non-doped KSF is plotted in Figure 1a, which is fitted to the Murnaghan’s equation of state (EOS) [21] expressed by:

$$V/V_0 = \left(1 + \frac{P B'}{B} \right)^{-\frac{1}{B'}}$$

where $V$ and $V_0$ are volumes at pressure $P$ and ambient pressure, respectively, and $B$ and $B'$ are bulk modulus and its pressure derivative, respectively. This fitting yields that $B$ and $B'$ are 20.01 GPa and 4.68, respectively. Our results agree well with the earlier study [22] using GGA-PBE, $B = 21.79$ GPa and $B' = 4.47$, although a slight difference appears between these two from a difference in calculating methods, i.e., projector augmented wave and pseudopotential methods in the current and earlier [22] studies, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, no experimental bulk modulus for KSF has been reported yet. For Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF, $V/V_0$ is also plotted in Figure 1b, which is also fitted to the Murnaghan’s EOS. The fitting yields $B = 19.84$ GPa and $B' = 4.68$, which implies that inclusion of Mn$^{4+}$ into KSF leads to a decrease of the bulk modulus.
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**Figure 1.** Calculated relative volume $V/V_0$ of (a) non-doped and (b) Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ as a function of pressure. Red solid curves denote fitting results to the Murnaghan’s equations of state.

The elastic constants of non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF were calculated using a stress-strain method [23] implemented in VASP, which are summarized in Table 2 and plotted in Figure 2 as a function of pressure. Here the optimized structure at each pressure was used to calculate the elastic constants. Three irreducible elastic constants for the cubic structure, i.e., $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$ and $C_{44}$, at zero-pressure were reported in the earlier study [22]; the values are 31.90, 9.28 and 15.10 GPa, respectively. Those in the current study show similar values of 28.2, 11.3 and 14.3 GPa for $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$ and $C_{44}$, respectively. The difference between these two studies is derived from the difference in calculating conditions as discussed above for the bulk modulus. The calculated $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ values for the non-doped KSF are larger than those of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ at all pressures calculated in this work. On the other hand, the calculated $C_{44}$ of non-doped KSF is larger than that of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ at zero pressure, the difference between these two becoming smaller as pressure increases, and finally $C_{44}$ of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ becomes larger than that of non-doped KSF between 20 and 25 GPa. It can be noted that all the elastic constants of both non-doped and KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ increase with increase of pressure, in which $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ increase more rapidly than $C_{44}$ as shown in Figure 3.
Table 2. Pressure dependence of the elastic constants $C_{ij}$ (all in GPa) for the non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$.

| System          | Pressure | $C_{11}$ | $C_{12}$ | $C_{44}$ |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| K$_2$SiF$_6$    | 0        | 28.2     | 11.3     | 14.3     |
|                 | 5        | 55.6     | 35.2     | 20.4     |
|                 | 10       | 77.1     | 52.7     | 30.5     |
|                 | 15       | 100.1    | 70.5     | 36.6     |
|                 | 20       | 119.0    | 84.9     | 46.0     |
|                 | 25       | 137.5    | 99.2     | 52.5     |
|                 | 30       | 157.4    | 113.3    | 60.1     |
|                 | 35       | 176.7    | 127.9    | 68.0     |
|                 | 40       | 195.7    | 141.5    | 75.8     |
| K$_2$SiF$_6$:Mn$^{4+}$ | 0        | 23.7     | 9.0      | 11.0     |
|                 | 5        | 45.8     | 28.1     | 20.1     |
|                 | 10       | 62.9     | 40.3     | 28.4     |
|                 | 15       | 90.3     | 62.5     | 36.4     |
|                 | 20       | 112.0    | 78.3     | 45.0     |
|                 | 25       | 133.0    | 93.9     | 53.5     |
|                 | 30       | 154.4    | 109.4    | 61.9     |
|                 | 35       | 175.0    | 123.7    | 70.5     |
|                 | 40       | 195.3    | 137.4    | 79.2     |

Figure 2. Calculated elastic constants $C_{ij}$ and bulk moduli B for (a) non-doped and (b) Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ as a function of pressure.
Figure 3. Cont.
Using these calculated elastic constants, sound velocities can be obtained. The mean sound velocity $v_m$ is expressed [24] in terms of the longitudinal sound velocity $v_l$ and the transverse one $v_t$ as:

$$v_m = \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{v_l^3} + \frac{1}{v_t^3} \right) \right]^{1/3}$$

(2)

in which $v_l$ and $v_t$ are calculated [25] by:

$$v_l = \sqrt{\frac{3B + 4G}{3\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_t = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}}$$

(3)

Here $G = (G_V + G_R)/2$ is the isotropic shear modulus, in which $G_V = (C_{11} - C_{12} + 3C_{44})/5$ is the Voight’s shear modulus (an upper limit for $G$), and $G_R = 5(C_{11} - C_{12})C_{44}/[4C_{44} + 3(C_{11} - C_{12})]$ is the Reuss’s shear modulus (a lower limit for $G$). Debye temperature, $\Theta_D$, is calculated using the following equation [25]:

$$\Theta_D = \frac{h}{k} \left[ \frac{3n}{4\pi} \left( \frac{N_A \rho}{M} \right) \right]^{1/3} v_m$$

(4)

where $h$ and $k$ are the Planck’s and Boltzmann’s constants, respectively, $N_A$ is the Avogadro’s number, $\rho$ is the density, $M$ is the molecular weight, and $n$ denotes the number of atoms per formula unit (here nine for KSF). The calculated shear modulus, $G$, sound velocities, $v_m$, $v_l$ and $v_t$, and Debye temperature, $\Theta_D$, of non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF are summarized in Table 3 and plotted in Figure 3a–c for shear moduli, sound velocities, and Debye temperature, respectively. Inclusion of Mn$^{4+}$ into KSF leads to a decrease of $G$, $v_m$, $v_l$, and $\Theta_D$ at zero pressure. Each of the differences in those parameters between non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF becomes smaller with increase of pressure. Calculated $G$ of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ becomes larger than that of non-doped KSF at pressures between 20 and 25 GPa as in the case of $C_{44}$, while $v_m$, $v_l$ and $\Theta_D$ of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ becomes larger than that of the non-doped one between 30 and 35 GPa.

Figure 3. Calculated (a) shear moduli, (b) sound velocities and (c) Debye temperatures for the non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ as a function of pressure.
### Table 3. Calculated shear moduli, sound velocities and Debye temperatures for the non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$.

| System        | $P$, GPa | $G$, GPa | $v_{1s}$, m/s | $v_{1l}$, m/s | $v_{ms}$, m/s | $\Theta_D$, K |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| K$_2$SiF$_6$  | 0       | 11.59   | 2146.70       | 3590.80       | 2375.61       | 280           |
|               | 5       | 15.44   | 2278.49       | 4589.10       | 2557.08       | 319           |
|               | 10      | 21.15   | 2547.46       | 5227.87       | 2861.95       | 368           |
|               | 15      | 25.48   | 2708.88       | 5738.69       | 3048.37       | 400           |
|               | 20      | 30.91   | 2909.98       | 6138.43       | 3273.96       | 437           |
|               | 25      | 35.06   | 3037.20       | 6463.19       | 3418.59       | 462           |
|               | 30      | 40.26   | 3199.14       | 6796.39       | 3600.57       | 493           |
|               | 35      | 45.13   | 3331.08       | 7089.15       | 3749.39       | 519           |
|               | 40      | 50.24   | 3467.45       | 7364.17       | 3920.49       | 545           |
| K$_2$SiF$_6$:Mn$^{4+}$ | 0       | 9.36    | 1900.48       | 3193.65       | 2104.06       | 248           |
|               | 5       | 14.49   | 2174.34       | 4174.21       | 2432.98       | 303           |
|               | 10      | 19.65   | 2419.06       | 4696.07       | 2708.78       | 348           |
|               | 15      | 24.72   | 2628.23       | 5410.22       | 2953.19       | 388           |
|               | 20      | 30.38   | 2842.32       | 5881.25       | 3194.64       | 426           |
|               | 25      | 35.74   | 3020.83       | 6283.09       | 3396.21       | 459           |
|               | 30      | 41.28   | 3191.09       | 6653.71       | 3588.09       | 491           |
|               | 35      | 47.08   | 3361.47       | 6990.49       | 3779.15       | 522           |
|               | 40      | 52.97   | 3518.48       | 7289.33       | 3954.87       | 551           |

Calculated electronic densities of states (DOSs) of non-doped and Mn$^{4+}$-doped KSF at 0 GPa are shown in Figure 4. As illustrated in this figure, new orbitals associated with the Mn$^{4+}$ 3d orbitals appear in the band gap due to a doping of Mn$^{4+}$ ion. Current results are consistent with our previous report [26], although the previous one was undertaken with larger super cells expanded by $2 \times 1 \times 1$. The Mn$^{4+}$ ion in KSF is surrounded by six F$^-$ ions in a crystal environment of cubic symmetry. Hence, the 3d ground-state splits into the triply and doubly degenerated $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ orbitals, respectively. The 3d states of Mn$^{4+}$ hybridize with the F$^-$ 2p states in both $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ orbitals as shown in Figure 4b. The Mn$^{4+}$ ion has three 3d electrons, which fully occupy $t_{2g}$ up-spin state.

To discuss the influence of pressure on the electronic structure, calculated DOSs of KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ are compared among those at different pressures between 0 and 40 GPa, which are shown in Figure 5. The energies of the top of each state originating from 3d orbital of Mn$^{4+}$ ion, i.e., up-spin and down-spin states of $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$, are plotted in Figure 6. As the top of the occupied band was set to zero in Figure 5, the tops of all $t_{2g}$ up-spin states were located at zero. On the other hand, down-spin $t_{2g}$ states shift to the lower energy side, while up- and down-spin $e_g$ states shift to the higher energy side with increasing pressure. Calculated 10$Dq$, which denotes the crystal field strength and is defined as a difference in energy between up-spin $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ states, at different pressures is summarized in Table 4 and plotted in Figure 7. Calculated 10$Dq$ value at zero pressure of 2.76 eV agrees well with the experimental value of 2.74 eV [27], although our current calculations were carried out by GGA-PBE without the effect of strong electron correlation for Mn 3d electrons. As shown in these tables and figures, 10$Dq$ increases linearly as pressure increases. Least square fitting to the pressure dependence of 10$Dq$ yields $10Dq = 0.00346 P + 2.734$. In addition, from a geometrical point of view, 10$Dq$ values are plotted in Figure 8 as a function of bond length between Mn$^{4+}$ and sounding F$^-$ ions. As shown in this figure, a very good linear relation can be found between 10$Dq$ and Mn-F bond length. The least-square fitting result is $10Dq = -6.37 d_{Mn-F} + 14.46$. This result implies that 10$Dq$ can be estimated by this...
equation for other Mn$^{4+}$-doped fluorides, in which the doped Mn$^{4+}$ ion is located at the $O_h$ symmetry point indicated by six F$^-$ ions. This result is consistent with our previous study on $A_2$SiF$_6$ [26], where $A = K$, Rb and Cs, in which $10Dq$ decreases with increasing Mn$^{4+}$–F$^-$ bond-length.

Figure 4. Calculated electronic density of states of (a) non-doped and (b) Mn$^{4+}$-doped $K_2$SiF$_6$.

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Calculated electronic density of states of (a) non-doped and (b) Mn$^{4+}$-doped $K_2$SiF$_6$.}
\end{figure}
Figure 5. Calculated densities of states (DOSs) of Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ at P = (a) 0, (b) 5, (c) 10, (d) 15, (e) 20, (f) 25, (g) 30, (h) 35 and (i) 40 GPa, respectively.
Figure 6. Calculated energy levels of the $t_{2g}$-up, $t_{2g}$-down, $e_g$-up and $e_g$-down states of Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ as a function of pressure.

Figure 7. Calculated emission energy, $E_{em}$, and 10$D_q$ for Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ as a function of pressure. The solid lines are the least-square linear fittings.

Table 4. Comparison of the experimental and calculated $^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2$ emission transition energy and 10$D_q$ for the Mn$^{4+}$-doped K$_2$SiF$_6$ at different pressures.

| Pressure (GPa) | Calc. (eV) | Exp. (eV) |
|---------------|------------|-----------|
| 0             | 2.76       | 2.74$^a$  |
| 5             | 2.83       |           |
| 10            | 2.87       |           |
| 15            | 2.90       |           |
| 20            | 2.93       |           |
| 25            | 2.96       |           |
| 30            | 3.00       |           |
| 35            | 3.03       |           |
| 40            | 3.06       |           |

| $10D_q$ |          |          |
|---------|----------|----------|
| 0       | 2.74     | 1.99$^b$ |
| 5       | 2.72     |          |
| 10      | 2.70     |          |
| 15      | 2.68     |          |
| 20      | 2.66     |          |
| 25      | 2.64     |          |
| 30      | 2.63     |          |
| 35      | 2.62     |          |
| 40      | 2.60     |          |

$^a$ Ref. [27]. $^b$ Ref. [9].
The red emission from Mn$^{4+}$ ion is assigned to the transition from the first excited state $^2E$ to the ground state $^4A_2$ of the Mn$^{4+}$ 3d state. Electron configuration of the $^4A_2$ state of the Mn$^{4+}$ ion is all three electrons on up-spin t$_{2g}$ while that of $^2E$ is two electrons on up-spin t$_{2g}$ and one on down-spin t$_{2g}$ states. Here, the transition energy from $^2E$ to $^4A_2$, $E_{em}$, is calculated by an energy gap between the up-spin and down-spin t$_{2g}$ states within a one electron approximation to avoid complicated calculations considering multielectron effects to obtain total electronic energy difference between the $^2E$ and $^4A_2$ states. The calculated $E_{em}$ value at zero pressure is 2.74 eV, which is larger than the experimental value of 1.99 eV [9]. This overestimation is derived from the method to obtain $E_{em}$. However, the change in $E_{em}$ can be discussed qualitatively as in our earlier report on KSF:Mn$^{4+}$ [26]. As
the d and f electrons are localized, consideration of a strong electron–electron correlation effect, such as the DFT + U method we have undertaken previously [26], may lead to a better reproduction of \( E_{\text{em}} \) than the current calculations with GGA-PBE. In addition, the calculated \( E_{\text{em}} \) at zero pressure, i.e., 2.74 eV, is larger than that in the previous study on KSF:Mn\( ^{4+} \) [26]. Here, a \( 1 \times 1 \times 1 \) unit cell was used, whereas a \( 2 \times 1 \times 1 \) super cell was employed in our previous study [26], which yield a difference in calculated \( E_{\text{em}} \) at zero pressure. \( E_{\text{em}} \) as a function of pressure is summarized in Table 4 and plotted in Figure 7. Contrary to the change in \( 10D_q \), \( E_{\text{em}} \) decreases almost linearly, \( E_{\text{em}} = -0.00346 P + 2.734 \), with increasing pressure. The calculated \( E_{\text{em}} \) as a function of Mn\( ^{4+} \)--F\( ^{-} \) bond length is also plotted in Figure 8 for KSF:Mn\( ^{4+} \). It can be seen that the \( ^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2 \) transition energy has very good linear relation with Mn–F bond length, \( E_{\text{em}} = 3.11 \, \text{d}_{\text{Mn-F}} - 2.97 \).

4. Conclusions

First-principles calculations have been carried out for the non-doped and Mn\( ^{4+} \)-doped \( \text{K}_2\text{SiF}_6 \) to study the influence of isostatic pressure on the geometric structure, elastic and electronic properties of \( \text{K}_2\text{SiF}_6:\text{Mn}^{4+} \) red phosphor. In particular, the pressure effect on the elastic properties such as elastic constants, shear modulus, sound velocity and Debye temperature between non-doped and Mn\( ^{4+} \)-doped systems was discussed. Pressure dependence of two important electronic parameters, i.e., \( 10D_q \) and the emission energy of the \( ^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2 \) transition, of KSF:Mn\( ^{4+} \) have been investigated, which yield good linear relationships both between \( 10D_q \) and external pressure and between emission energy of \( ^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2 \) and pressure. It can be noted that the current analysis of the pressure effect on \( 10D_q \) and emission energy of the \( ^2E \rightarrow ^4A_2 \) transition provided empirical formulae to obtain these values as a function of bond-length between Mn\( ^{4+} \) and surrounding F\( ^{-} \) ions, which can be used for the estimation of these important parameters for other Mn-doped fluorides.
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