An efficient and green one-pot three-component synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s catalyzed by 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate
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A simple and efficient one-pot synthetic protocol for the 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s was developed by condensation reaction of aromatic aldehydes, ethyl acetoacetate, and phenylhydrazine/ethyldrazine hydrate catalyzed by 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate under solvent-free conditions. The remarkable features of this new protocol are high yields, short reaction times, easy workup, reusability of inexpensive ionic liquid, and environmentally benignancity.
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Introduction

4,4′-(Arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s have been employed as fungicides (1), pesticides (2), and dye-stuffs (3). The condensation of aldehydes with two equivalents of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone is a known used route for synthesizing 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s. Xanthan sulfamic acid (4), phosphomolybdic acid (5), silica sulfuric acid (6), 3-amino propylated silica gel (7), sodium dodecyl sulfate (8), tetramethyl-tetra-3,4-pyridinoporphyrazinato copper(II) methyl sulfate (9), poly(ethylene glycol)-bound sulfonic acid (10), cellulose sulfuric acid (11), lithium hydroxide monohydrate (12), 1,3,5-tris(hydrogensulfato) benzene (13), sulfamic acid ([3-(3-silicapropyl)sulfanyl]propyl)ester (14), ethylenediammonium diacetate (15), 2-hydroxy ethylammonium acetate (16), N-(3-silicapropyl)-N-methyl imidazolium hydrogen sulfate (17), benzyltriethylammonium chloride (18), ceric ammonium nitrate (19), silica-bonded S-sulfonic acid (20), 1,3-disulfonic acid imidazolium tetrachloroaluminate (21), and 1-sulfo-pyridinium chloride (22) can be used as catalysts for this transformation. Hasaninejad et al. reported the synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s by the condensation of aldehydes with two equivalents of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone in the absence of catalyst (23–25). Elinson et al. applied the electrocatalytic procedure to this transformation (26). Niknam et al. reported the one-pot, multicomponent synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s by tandem Knoevenagel-Michael reaction of phenylhydrazine, ethyl acetoacetate, and aldehydes in the presence of silica-bonded N-propylpiperazine sulfamic acid (SBPPSA) as a recyclable solid acid catalyst (27). However, most of these methods suffer from limitations such as moderate yields, long reaction times, the requirement of special apparatus, use of organic solvents or expensive catalysts, and tedious work-up procedures. So there is still a need to develop a new and convenient method for the synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s.

Ionic liquids have been widely used as environmentally benign reaction media and catalysts in organic synthesis because of their unique properties of nonflammability, nonvolatility, and recyclability (28–32). In recent years, the usage of hydroxyl ammonium ionic liquids has drawn considerable attention as an inexpensive, nontoxic, readily available catalyst for various organic transformations to afford the corresponding products in high yields (33–35).

Multicomponent reactions are generally one-pot reactions, in which three or more starting materials react together to form a product (36). The one-pot character delivers fewer by-products compared to classical stepwise synthetic routes, with lower costs, time, and energy.

Recently, Sobhani et al. reported the synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s by the reaction of aldehydes with 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone in ethanol in the presence of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium acetate as a recyclable catalyst (16). Here we wish to report the one-pot synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s, starting directly...
from aldehydes, phenylhydrazine/hydrazine hydrate, and ethyl acetoacetate using 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate as an efficient, cost-effective, and recyclable catalyst under solvent-free conditions (Scheme 1).

**Results and discussion**

In order to optimize the reaction conditions, the reaction of phenylhydrazine, ethyl acetoacetate, and 2,4-dichlorobenzaldehyde in the presence of a catalytic amount of catalyst under solvent-free conditions at 50°C was investigated. As shown in Table 1, the products were obtained in 40, 41, and 69% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 1–3). It was obvious that 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate was an efficient catalyst for this transformation.

Furthermore, the effect of reaction temperature was examined. The mixture was stirred at different temperatures ranging from 50 to 100°C, with an increment of 10°C each time. The results show that the higher reaction temperature, the more efficiently the reaction could proceed, and 90°C was found to be the optimum temperature in terms of yield and reaction time. To determine the optimum concentration of catalyst, we have investigated the model reaction at 5, 10, and 20 mol% of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate under solvent-free conditions at 90°C. The product was obtained in 71, 87, and 87% yield, respectively. This indicates that the use of 10 mol% of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate is sufficient to promote the reaction forward. The catalyst plays a crucial role in the reaction. A blank experiment without catalyst gave very low yield after 210 min (Table 1, entry 7). While using 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (10 mol%) as catalyst, the reaction gives the product in 87% yield at the same temperature in 45 min.

To evaluate the effect of solvent, we examined different solvents for the synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s in the presence of catalyst (Table 1, entries 12–17). The various solvents screened were tetrahydrofuran, acetonitrile, chloroform, benzene, ethanol, and water. It is observed that

![Scheme 1. 2-Hydroxy ethylammonium propionate catalyzed synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s.](image)

**Table 1. Effect of different reaction conditions on ionic liquids catalyzed synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s.$^a$

| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst loading (mol%) | Time (min) | Yield b (%) |
|-------|----------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| 1     | 2-HEAF   | Solvent-free | 50           | 10                      | 180        | 40          |
| 2     | 2-HEAA   | Solvent-free | 50           | 10                      | 180        | 41          |
| 3     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 50           | 10                      | 180        | 69          |
| 4     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 60           | 10                      | 120        | 86          |
| 5     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 70           | 10                      | 80         | 86          |
| 6     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 80           | 10                      | 45         | 86          |
| 7     | No catalyst | Solvent-free | 90           | 0                       | 210        | 7           |
| 8     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 90           | 5                       | 25         | 71          |
| 9     | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 90           | 10                      | 25         | 87          |
| 10    | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 90           | 20                      | 25         | 87          |
| 11    | 2-HEAP   | Solvent-free | 100          | 10                      | 25         | 86          |
| 12    | 2-HEAP   | THF      | Reflux        | 10                      | 120        | trace       |
| 13    | 2-HEAP   | CH$_2$CN  | Reflux        | 10                      | 120        | trace       |
| 14    | 2-HEAP   | CHCl$_3$ | Reflux        | 10                      | 120        | 31          |
| 15    | 2-HEAP   | C$_6$H$_6$ | Reflux        | 10                      | 120        | 32          |
| 16    | 2-HEAP   | C$_2$H$_5$OH | Reflux      | 10                      | 120        | 6           |
| 17    | 2-HEAP   | H$_2$O | Reflux        | 10                      | 120        | 21          |

Notes: $^a$Reaction condition: 2, 4-dichlorobenzaldehyde (5 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (10 mmol), phenylhydrazine (10 mmol), solvent (10 mL). $^b$Isolated yield.
solvent-free conditions gave the best result for this transformation.

We further examined a wide variety of aromatic aldehydes with various substituents to establish the utility of this reaction. The results were summarized in Table 2. Ortho-, meta-, and para-substituted aromatic aldehydes containing electron-withdrawing groups (such as nitro group, halide) or electron-donating groups (such as hydroxyl group, alkoxyl group) undergo this one-pot multicomponent synthesis with phenylhydrazine and ethyl acetoacetate to afford 4,4'- (arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s in good yields. When changing phenylhydrazine into hydrazine hydrate, a similar result was given; the reaction gave the corresponding products in good yields.

Recovery and reusability of the catalyst are demanded for an eco-friendly catalytic process. In this protocol, we used 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate as a recyclable catalyst. Progress of the reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC). After completion of the reaction, as indicated by the TLC, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from ethanol (95%). The filtrate containing catalyst was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the catalyst which was directly used for the next run without further treatment under similar reaction conditions. As can be seen from Table 3, the catalyst was reused for successive reaction for at least five times without any loss of catalytic activity.

In order to show the merit of this method, we have compared our results with results obtained by other group. The data listed in Table 4 show that the 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (2-HEAP) was relatively a good catalyst for this reaction. It is also noteworthy that 2-HEAP, which can be easily prepared from cheaply available acid and ethanolamine by a simple acid-base neutralization reaction, is very inexpensive compared with SBPPSA.

Sobhani et al. reported that 2-hydroxy ethylammonium acetate exhibited a high catalytic activity in the synthesis of 4,4'- (arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s by the reaction of aldehydes with 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone (16). Herein we found that 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate exhibited a high catalytic activity not only in this transformation but also in the synthesis of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone by the condensation of phenylhydrazine with ethyl acetoacetate. Ethyl acetoacetate treated with phenylhydrazine under solvent-free conditions at 90°C for 50 min gave 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone in 88% yield in the presence of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (10 mol%). The same reaction, carried out for 270 min in the absence of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate, only gave 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone in 79% yield. 2,4-Dichlorobenzaldehyde and 3-methyl-1-phenyl-5-pyrazolone were obtained in 87% and 83% yield, respectively, respectively.

Table 2. 2-Hydroxy ethylammonium propionate catalyzed synthesis of 4,4'- (arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s.

| Entry | R1       | R2       | Product | Time (min) | Yield (%) | Found  | Mp. (°C) | Reported |
|-------|----------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|
| 1     | C6H5     | C6H5     | 4a      | 30         | 91        | 169–171| 169–171  | [13]     |
| 2     | 4-CH3C6H4| C6H5     | 4b      | 45         | 90        | 201–203| 201–203  | [13]     |
| 3     | 4-CH3OC6H4| C6H5    | 4c      | 50         | 93        | 173–175| 173–175  | [24]     |
| 4     | 4-FC6H4  | C6H5     | 4d      | 50         | 93        | 182–183| 182–184  | [13]     |
| 5     | 3-CH3OC6H4| C6H5    | 4e      | 40         | 93        | 183–185| 180–182  | [5]      |
| 6     | 3-NO2C6H4| C6H5     | 4f      | 40         | 79        | 148–150| 149–150  | [8]      |
| 7     | 4-HO-3-MeOC6H5| C6H5| 4g      | 45         | 89        | 198–200| 196–197  | [18]     |
| 8     | 2-CIC6H4 | C6H5     | 4h      | 30         | 91        | 237–239| 235–237  | [13]     |
| 9     | 4-CIC6H4 | C6H5     | 4i      | 40         | 89        | 210–212| 207–209  | [8]      |
| 10    | 4-BrC6H4 | C6H5     | 4j      | 35         | 90        | 202–204| 183–185  | [13]     |
| 11    | 2,4-C2C6H5| C6H5   | 4k      | 25         | 87        | 229–231| 228–230  | [8]      |
| 12    | 4-NO2C6H4| C6H5     | 4l      | 77         | 199–221  | 219–220| 219–220  | [9]      |
| 13    | 2-HOC6H4  | C6H5     | 4m      | 45         | 93        | 221–224| 218–220  | [5]      |
| 14    | 4-HOC6H4  | C6H5     | 4n      | 80         | 96        | 160–162| 154–157  | [13]     |
| 15    | 2-CH3OC6H4| C6H5    | 4o      | 50         | 94        | 210–212| 211–214  | [13]     |
| 16    | C6H5     | H        | 4p      | 20         | 92        | 233–235| 230–232  | [8]      |
| 17    | 3-NO2C6H4| H        | 4q      | 20         | 86        | 272–274| 271–272  | [8]      |
| 18    | 4-CIC6H4 | H        | 4r      | 15         | 91        | 223–225| 224–226  | [8]      |
| 19    | 4-HOC6H4  | H        | 4s      | 10         | 91        | 260–262| 262–264  | [8]      |

Notes: *Reaction condition: aldehyde (5 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (10 mmol), phenylhydrazine/hydrazine hydrate (10 mmol), 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (0.5 mmol) at 90°C under solvent-free conditions.

Isolated yield.
treated with 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (10 mol%) under solvent-free conditions at 90°C for 25 min provided 4,4′-[(2,4-dichlorophenyl)methylene] bis(3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) in 89% yield. The same reaction, carried out for 210 min in the absence of 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate, only gave the product in 9% yield. Based on our results and those reported in literature (16), we proposed a mechanism for the one-pot synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s from aldehydes, phenylhydrazine/hydrazine hydrate and ethyl acetoacetate (Scheme 2). The first step involves the formation of pyrazolone by the condensation of phenylhydrazine/hydrazine hydrate with ethyl acetoacetate. Then, aldehydes reacted with 2 equiv. of pyrazolone resulted in the formation of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ol)s via tandem Knoevenagel-Michael reaction.

Experimental

All chemicals were commercial products. 2-Hydroxy ethylammonium formate (2-HEAF), 2-hydroxy ethylammonium acetate (2-HEAA), and 2-hydroxy ethylammonium propionate (2-HEAP) were prepared according to the literature method (37). Melting points were determined on an X-4 micro melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. FT-IR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets on a Nexus 470 spectrophotometer. 1H NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated dimethylsulfoxide on a Bruker Avance III 400 with TMS as internal standard.

Representative spectral data

4,4′-(Phenylmethylene)bis(3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (4a)

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz): δ = 2.32 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 4.96 (s, 1H, CH), 7.17–7.30 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.44 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.71 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH) ppm. IR (KBr) νmax/cm⁻¹: 3358, 3061, 2965, 2582, 1596, 1495, 1414, 1272, 1074, 792, 734, 689.
4,4′-[(4-Bromophenyl)methylene]bis(3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (4j)

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz): δ = 2.32 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 4.95 (s, 1H, CH), 7.19–7.27 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.42–7.48 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH) ppm. IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>−1</sup>: 3422, 3066, 2922, 2546, 1598, 1484, 1407, 1293, 1013, 809, 747, 687.

4,4′-[(2,4-Dichlorophenyl)methylene]bis(3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (4k)

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz): δ = 2.28 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 5.09 (s, 1H, CH), 7.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.40–7.46 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.56 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.69 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH) ppm. IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>−1</sup>: 3425, 3060, 2919, 1595, 1573, 1498, 1471, 1380, 1295, 1105, 843, 754, 690.

4,4′-[(4-Hydroxyphenyl)methylene]bis(3-methyl-1-phenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (4n)

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz): δ = 2.30 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 4.84 (s, 1H, CH), 6.66 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.04 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.22–7.26 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, ArH), 7.71 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, ArH), 9.16 (s, 1H, OH) ppm. IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>−1</sup>: 3413, 3158, 2969, 1597, 1502, 1427, 1275, 819, 756, 692.

4,4′-(Phenylmethylene)bis(3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-ol) (4p)

1H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz): δ = 2.07 (s, 6H, 2CH3), 4.82 (s, 1H, CH), 7.09–7.14 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.19–7.22 (m, 2H, ArH) ppm. IR (KBr) v<sub>max</sub>/cm<sup>−1</sup>: 3296, 2971, 1612, 1522, 1494, 1380, 1049, 825, 778, 717.

Conclusion

In summary, a simple, efficient and green procedure for the synthesis of 4,4′-(arylmethylene)bis(1H-pyrazol-5-ols) using 2-hydroxy ethylanmonium propionate as a readily available, inexpensive, and recyclable catalyst under solvent-free conditions has been developed. The advantages offered by this one-pot protocol are short reaction times, high yields, easy workup, recyclability of catalyst, and environmentally benignancy.
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