Differential expression of gluconeogenic enzymes in early- and late-stage diabetes: the effect of *Citrullus colocynthis* (L.) Schrad. Seed extract on hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia in Wistar-Albino rats model
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Abstract

**Background:** The medicinal plant *Citrullus colocynthis* (L.) Schrad. (*C. colocynthis*) may benefit patients at different phases of diabetes by attuning to contrasting situations. Our primary objective was to find the mechanism(s) behind the antidiabetic/anti-hyperlipidemic effects of *C. colocynthis* seed aqueous extract (CCAE) in two different stages of type 2 diabetes (T2D) in rats.

**Methods:** Fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels, body weights, and the degree of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) were measured in healthy nondiabetic control rats (Con), as well as rats with early and late stages of T2D, denoted as ET2D and LT2D, respectively. CCAE was intraperitoneally (IP) injected for 28 days. In the end, the hepatic mRNA expression levels of the following genes were determined by RT-PCR: glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK), insulin-dependent sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), fatty acid synthase (FAS), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα), and carnitine palmitoyltransferase I (CPT1). The liver was examined by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and Oil-Red O staining. CCAE was partially analyzed by HPLC-DAD.

**Results:** ET2D and LT2D were characterized by differently elevated FBS, deteriorated bodyweight, and significant IGT compared to Con. Hepatosteatosis of varying morphologies and higher hepatic expression of G6Pase than PEPCK in ET2D versus the opposite in LT2D further confirmed the divergent nature of metabolic aberrations. At the end of 28 days, the high levels of FBS, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), triglyceride (TG), urea, hepatic protein carbonyl content (PCC), and alanine and aspartate aminotransferases (AST and ALT, respectively) persisted in untreated LT2D. CCAE ameliorated oxidative stress and upregulated PPARα expression in diabetic groups and Con; it downregulated CPT1 expression in the LT2D group. CCAE's ability to lower FBS and serum and hepatic TG in both ET2D and LT2D indicated its ability to act via different mechanisms. Ferulic acid (Fer A) and rutin hydrate (RH) were detected in CCAE.
Conclusion: CCAE lowered the FBS in ET2D via inhibiting the hepatic G6Pase expression (glycogenolysis). In LT2D, CCAE abated sugar levels by diverting PEPCK activity, preferably towards glyceroneogenesis than gluconeogenesis. The preserved triglyceride/fatty acid (TG/FA) cycle, the upregulated PPARα, and the downregulated CPT1 gene expressions reduced serum and hepatic TG.
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Introduction
Type 2 diabetes (T2D), the most prevalent diabetes mellitus, is globally on the rise. The causes and risk factors of T2D are not entirely understood. The upsurge in blood glucose levels after a meal or when hungry are the early signs of diabetes. Two subtypes of T2D can be called early-stage (or mild) (ET2D) and late-stage (or severe) (analogous to uncontrolled T2D or LT2D). These diabetes subtypes can be created in laboratory animals using streptozotocin (STZ) and niacinamide (NIA) [1, 2] to compare the metabolic aberrations and to test the efficacies of purified drugs and traditional remedies.

Citrullus colocynthis (L.) Schrad (C. colocynthis) is a member of the Cucurbitaceae family and grows in arid regions of Asia, North Africa, and the Middle East, including Iran. C. colocynthis is known as Abujahl melon in Iran [3], but bitter apple, bitter watermelon, fox melon, and colocynth are also used [4]. Throughout history, humans have used the fruits, seeds, leaves, and roots of C. colocynthis to prevent and treat various illnesses, including dermatological conditions as leprosy, digestive disorders as constipation and jaundice, respiratory diseases as asthma and bronchitis, and many other ailments such as rheumatism, hypertension, mastitis, and pain [4–7]. Other biomedical applications of C. colocynthis include anti-cancer [8–15], anti-diabetic [16–18], anti-lipepidemic [19–22], antimicrobial [23, 24] and insecticidal [25, 26]. Most recent investigations have further hinted at its preventive and healing effects on various complications of diabetes, including diabetic nephropathy [27–29], glycated hemoglobin [30], and deteriorated cognitive performance [31]. The seed is known to be less toxic [32] and more influential in lowering blood sugar levels [33, 34], and the aqueous extracts have better hypoglycemic effects than organic solvent extracts [35, 36]. Also, the aqueous extract would preserve the constituent phytochemicals in their most natural shapes since plants are 80% water by weight.

Many modern-day diseases are rooted in chronic oxidative stress [37, 38], which occurs when the sum of oxidants and prooxidants concentration exceeds endogenous and exogenous antioxidant concentrations [39]. The persistent overabundance of oxidants and prooxidants in the body due to a sedentary lifestyle, consumption of processed foods, overnutrition, and environmental pollution leads to their inefficient neutralization by the body's free radical scavenging mechanisms. Under such stressful conditions, plant antioxidants may protect the body from long-term harm [40]. Like most plants, C. colocynthis is rich in secondary metabolites and antioxidants [41, 42]. However, C. colocynthis may be more critical from a pharmacological viewpoint for treating diabetes because it possesses both acute [36] and long-term sugar-lowering effects [43], and its hypoglycemic potency is comparable to metformin [44].

In the present study, phytochemical analysis and HPLC-DAD were conducted to obtain a basic idea about the quality of extract ingredients and marker compounds. The levels of fasting blood sugar (FBS), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), c-peptide, body weights, impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), and other clinical chemistry parameters were measured to define the hallmark characteristics of each disease stage as much as possible. In addition, since T2D means concomitant carbohydrate and fatty acid (FA) metabolic disorders [45], the mRNA expression levels of the rate-limiting enzymes in hepatic carbohydrate and lipid metabolic pathways were determined. Glucose 6-phosphatase (G6Pase, involved in glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis pathways) and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK, regulator of gluconeogenesis) were selected to study carbohydrate metabolism. Insulin-dependent sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c (SREBP-1c, regulator of hepatic de novo lipogenesis or DNL), Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC, regulator of FA synthesis and degradation), fatty acid synthase (FAS, regulator of FA synthesis), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPARα, regulator of β-oxidation), and carnitine palmitoyltransferase I (CPT1, regulator of β-oxidation) genes were measured to examine the lipid metabolic pathway. The histological investigation provided insight into the morphology and structure of liver tissue, and protein carbonylation content (PCC) served as a measure of hepatic oxidative stress.

The present study aimed to find the phytochemical characteristics and marker compounds in CCAE, to see how diverse the metabolic aberrations in ET2D and LT2D can be concerning each other and concerning the non-diabetic state, and to see if CCAE has similar
mechanistic actions in ET2D and LT2D, or would it have differentiating impacts and benefit a particular stage of diabetes better than the other.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents

Standards and chemicals used in this study, including gallic acid (GA), cinnamic acid (Cin A), ferulic acid (Fer A), gentisic acid, p-coumaric acid, chicoric acid (Chi A), caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid (Chl A), quercetin, rutin hydrate (RH), catechin, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FGR), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical (DPPH•), aluminum chloride hexahydrate, sodium nitrite, ferric chloride, ketamine, xylazine, STZ, and NIA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). The ascorbic acid and vanillylic acid (Van A) were bought from Merck (Hohenbrunn, Germany), and analytical grade hydrochloric acid, sulfuric acid, and glacial acetic acid from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Gradient grade acetonitrile was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Dr. Mojallali Industrial Chemical Complex Co. (Tehran, Iran). Ultragradient methanol was obtained from Carlo Erba Reagents (Val de Reuil Cedex, Italy). All solvents were filtered through 0.45 filters before use.

Preparation of C. colocynthis seed extract (CCAE)

Bitter apple fruits were collected from the Salehabad District, in Mehran County, Ilam Province, Iran (latitude: 33°28'11"N, longitude: 46°11'17"E, Altitude: 136 m above sea level) and authenticated by Dr. Amin, a botanist of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS). A voucher sample of the seeds (PMP-648) was deposited in the herbarium of the Faculty of Pharmacy, TUMS. The seeds were washed and air-dried under shade before pulverization using an electric mill. CCAE was prepared by soaking 100 g of the seed powder in 1 L of distilled water (100 g/L) and refluxing for 20 min in a water bath (80 °C) [46]. The vacuum filtered extract was concentrated by a rotary evaporator at 80 °C to facilitate its freeze-drying process (EYELA Freeze dryer FD-1, Japan). Based on our definition of the average extract yield as the amount of freeze-dried extract (grams) obtained per 100 g of powdered seeds, the average yield of lyophilized powder from three separate batches was 2.06 ± 0.11 g/L, with a percent yield of about 2%.

Phytochemical analysis

Determination of total phenolic (TP) content

The TP content in CCAE was measured using FCR [47]. Briefly, 900 μL of FCR (diluted 1:10 with distilled water) was added to 100 μL from various concentrations of CCAE and shaken vigorously. To the mixture, 750 μL of 7% NaHCO3 solution was added, followed by mixing. After incubation at room temperature for 90 min, 200 μL aliquots were transferred to 96-well plates, and the absorbance was measured at 725 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). GA standard curve (0–0.125 mg/mL) was used to quantitate TP content which we defined as the amount of total phenolic compounds (milligram) in 1 g of freeze-dried extract and expressed using the following equation: GAE (mg/g Freeze-dried extract) = GA (mg/mL)/sample (g/mL).

Total flavonoid (TF) content

We determined the total flavonoid content of CCAE according to [48]. Briefly, 5 min after mixing 250 μL of the extract solution with 75 μL of 5% NaNO2, 150 μL of 10% AlCL3 solution, and then after another 5 min, 500 μL of 1 M NaOH were added, and the final volume was adjusted to 2.5 mL with deionized water. Absorbance was measured at 510 nm (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). Total flavonoid contents were calculated using a calibration curve for (+)-catechin (0–1.25 mg/mL). The amount of TF was reported as (+)-catechin equivalents (CE, mg (+)-catechin/1 g freeze-dried extract).

DPPH free radical scavenging activity

DPPH, which is a free radical, forms a purple solution whose color gradually disappears when exposed to free radical scavenging compounds such as plant extracts. This feature of a 0.4 mM DPPH solution in methanol was used to measure the free-radical scavenging activity of CCAE [49]. Different dilutions of GA (0–0.125 mg/mL) were prepared; 50 μL of CCAE and each standard was added to separate wells of a 96-well plate in quadruplicate, followed by the addition of 150 μL of DPPH solution. After one hour of incubation at room temperature in the dark, the absorbance was recorded at 515 nm. GA standard curve was used as a positive control to calculate the half-maximal (50%) inhibitory concentration (IC50) for GA using % inhibition values graphically. The percent scavenging effect of CCAE was calculated using the following equation: % scavenging effect = (A Blank - A CCAE)/A Blank × 100. “A Blank” implied the absorbance of the control (containing all reagents except the extract). IC50 for CCAE was compared to that of GA.

Frapp assay (ferric ion reducing antioxidant power)

Briefly, from the sample (5 mg/mL) and GA standard (0–2.5 mg/mL) solutions, 10 μL was added to a 96-well plate with subsequent addition of 190 μL of freshly prepared FRAP reagent (mixture of 10 mM TPTZ solution in 40 mM HCl, 300 mM acetate buffer, pH 3.6, and 20 mM FeCl3 solution, in 1:10:1 ratio). After 30-min incubation in the dark, the absorbance was recorded at 593
nm [47]. The Frap capacity of CCAE was determined according to the GA standard curve.

HPLC analysis of CCAE

Sample hydrolysis

A sample of CCAE (0.2 g) was dissolved in 10 mL of 50% aqueous methanol solution containing 1.2 M HCl and 0.04% (w/v) ascorbic acid. The hydrolysis was performed at 80 °C under reflux for two h. The supernatant obtained from the hydrolysis was cooled and stored at -20 °C [50].

HPLC-DAD

A sample (4 mg) of CCAE and each standard dissolved in DMSO and the supernatant obtained from the hydrolysis step above were filtered (0.45 μm, 13 mm syringe filter, Waters, USA). Five microliter samples were injected into a Knauer analytical HPLC (PLATIN blue, Knauer, Berlin, Germany) equipped with a Rheodyne injector system and a variable wavelength spectrometric detector (Knauer PDA-1). The separation was accomplished on a Nucleosil column (RP-C18, 25 × 0.46 cm; 5 μm) at 0.8 mL/min flow rate and 280 nm at room temperature (30 °C). The mobile phase comprising deionized water (with 0.5% glacial acetic acid, A) and HPLC grade acetonitrile/methanol (70:30, B) was applied as follows: 10–15% (0 to 5 min); 15–20% (5 to 18 min); 20–70% (18 to 40 min), kept at 70% (40 to 45 min); 70–10% (45 to 50 min), and kept at 10% (50 to 55 min) [50]. The PDA was operated to give the spectra from 200 to 500 nm. The standard compounds were used to build a homemade library. Data acquisition, processing, and control of chromatographs were performed using EZChrom Elite 3.3.2 software (Knauer, Berlin, Germany). GA, RH, Fer A, and Chl A linear range (LR) calibration curves were used to calculate their limit of detection (LOD), their limit of quantitation (LOQ), and their recoveries using quality control (QC) samples.

Animals

Seven-week-old male Wistar-Albino rats (average mass of 100 to 160 g) were purchased from the School of Public Health, TUMS. The animals were housed in standard and clean cages (2 per cage) under controlled environmental conditions at room temperature 22 ± 2 °C and 12-h light-dark cycle with access to standard rat chow and water ad libitum. Animal handling and treatment were performed at the Clinical Biochemistry Department of the School of Medicine of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) according to the guidelines for laboratory animals. The animal experiments were approved by the ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences under ethical code number IR.TUMS.MEDICINE.REC.1396.2639.3.

Induction of early- and late-stage diabetes in rats

Following several acclimatization days, we placed the rats with the closest body weights in each group to obtain low standard deviations for the average weights. As explained elsewhere, the rats with the lowest body weight were assigned to nondiabetic control rats (Con) [51]. After an overnight (8 h) fasting period, diabetes was induced following the procedure reported previously [46, 51]. Briefly, rats destined for LT2D were IP injected with STZ alone (55 mg/kg of body weight in experiment I and 65 mg/kg in experiment II, as explained below), and those destined for ET2D groups were injected with STZ (the same concentrations as above) and NIA (200 mg/kg, injected 15 min after STZ administration). STZ and NIA were freshly prepared in 0.3 mL cold normal saline as a vehicle; IP injections were delivered using insulin syringes. Diabetes was confirmed by measuring glucose levels in peripheral blood obtained from the tail vein on days 4 and 10 after diabetes induction (GlucoSure STAR, Apex-Bio, Taiwan). The rats in Con were injected with the vehicle. STZ-injected rats with fasting blood sugar (FBS) above 300 mg/dl on both occasions (day-4 and day-10) were classified as LT2D rats, and NIA/STZ-injected rats with stable FBS ranging between 140 and 220 mg/dl were regarded as ET2D, as explained elsewhere [51]. Rats were induced with diabetes for two purposes, as mentioned in the following.

Experiment I. To select CCAE dosage by intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT)

When LT2D and ET2D were induced in two groups of rats (n = 10; STZ, 55 mg/kg; NIA, same as above), 3 of the STZ-injected rats and 7 of the NIA/STZ-injected ones developed the corresponding types of diabetes, respectively. After measuring FBS in the fasting rats, IPGTT T was performed in two representative diabetic rats in each group as follows: glucose (2 g/kg dissolved in ~ 0.3 mL of normal saline) mixed with one of the two nontoxic doses of CCAE (90 mg/kg or 110 mg/kg) was IP injected [18, 34, 52] and blood sugar was recorded at 15 min intervals in the tail blood. This experiment aimed to select the CCAE dosage, but it also showed the acute or short-term hypoglycemic effect of CCAE. By using metformin instead of CCAE, we tried to compare the potency of CCAE versus one dose of metformin (100 mg/kg [53]) (Supplement 1, Fig. S1A).

Experiment II. To study subtle metabolic differences between ET2D and LT2D and to investigate the effects of daily usage of CCAE on sugar and fat metabolism during 28 days

A total of 68 rats were allocated into three groups of Con (n = 10), LT2D (n = 40), and ET2D (n = 20). After the induction of ET2D and LT2D (STZ, 65 mg/kg; NIA,
as above), 14 of 40 (35%) STZ-injected rats and 16 of 20 (80%) NIA/STZ-injected rats developed LT2D and ET2D, respectively. Rats that did not become diabetic \((n = 28)\) were injected with a second dose of STZ \((55 \text{ mg/kg})\), of which six died, eight did not develop diabetes, and the remaining fourteen that developed LT2D \((\text{FBS above 300 mg/dl on days 4 and 10})\) were distributed in the STZ \((6)\) and CCAE-STZ \((8)\) groups \((\text{Supplement 1, Fig. S1B, shows the study groups})\). The methods explained in the following paragraphs concern the groups of animals in this section \((\text{Experiment II})\).

**Evaluation of IGT by IPGTT**

IPGTT was performed similarly as above, using only glucose \((\text{without added drugs})\) to evaluate glucose tolerance status in LT2D and ET2D groups. As a significant degree of IGT is predictable in severe diabetes and to prevent the loss of rats from this group, IPGTT was conducted on three representative rats from the LT2D groups. However, all the rats from ET2D groups were subjected to IPGTT to see if modest FBS increases could lead to significant IGT. Four out of 20 NIA/STZ-injected \((\text{ET2D})\) rats that had normal blood sugar at the end of IPGTT were excluded from the study \((\text{Supplement 1, Fig. S1C})\). It took 5 days to perform IPGTT on all the ET2D rats since the procedure required overnight fasting. These 5 days plus the previous 10 days spent for the induction of diabetes and its approval added up to a total of 15 days. The area under the curve \((\text{AUC})\) was calculated using the GraphPad Prism software.

**Treatment with CCAE for 28 days (the ultimate study groups)**

Fifteen days after induction of diabetes, we started the CCAE treatment with the selected dose of CCAE for half of the rats in each of the main groups. The treatment proceeded for 28 days. At the end of the study, the six ultimate groups of rats were: Con \((n = 4)\), CCAE-Con \((n = 4)\), NIA/STZ \((n = 8)\), CCAE-NIA/STZ \((n = 8)\), STZ \((n = 12)\), CCAE-STZ \((n = 14, \text{alive on day 28})\) \((\text{Supplement 1, Fig. S1B})\).

**Measuring weekly FBS and bodyweights**

At the end of each week, we measured FBS and body weights. The amount \((\text{mg})\) of CCAE for the rats’ daily injections was determined according to their weekly body weights. Rats in Con were injected with an equal volume of the vehicle.

**Blood and liver tissue sample collection**

After 28 days of treatment, blood \((4–5 \text{ mL})\) was collected from the heart under anesthesia by ketamine \((87 \text{ mg ketamine/kg})\) and xylazine \((13 \text{ mg xylazine/kg})\). A sample \((2.5 \text{ mL})\) of the collected blood from each animal was transferred into tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid \((\text{EDTA, 3 mg/mL})\) for HbA1c determination, and sera were prepared from the remaining blood samples. After dissection, liver tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen. All samples were stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until analysis.

**Fasting blood chemistry**

C-peptide concentration and percent HbA1c were determined using Human/Rat/Mice c-peptide ELISA \((\text{BioVision, America})\) and hemoglobin A1C \((\text{Biosystem, Spain})\) kits. The concentrations of AST, ALT, ALP, urea, creatinine, TG, cholesterol, LDL, and HDL were measured at the Diabetes Center of Shariati Hospital, Tehran, Iran, using the respective kits \((\text{Human diagnostics})\).

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from frozen liver tissue and purified using Hybrid-R Blood RNA \((\text{GeneAll, South Korea})\) and RNA Riboclear \((\text{GeneAll, South Korea})\) kits. The quantity and quality of extracted RNA were inspected by spectroscopic absorption at 260 nm and electrophoresis. Reverse transcription \((\text{RT})\) was performed with the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit \((\text{Takara, Japan})\). Real-time PCR was performed with Rotor-Gene Q \((\text{Qiagen, Germany})\) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq \((\text{Takara, Japan})\). Primer sequences used in this study are listed in Table 1. The relative changes in gene expression were determined using the \(\Delta\text{Ct}\) method and normalized against the housekeeping \(\beta\)-actin expression.

**Protein and protein carbonyl content \((\text{PCC})\) determination**

Frozen liver samples \((300 \text{ mg})\) were homogenized in ice-cold PBS buffer \((3 \text{ mL}, \text{pH 7.4})\) containing phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride \((\text{PMSF, 5 mM})\) and an anti-protease cocktail. After centrifugation at 1000×\(g\) at 4°C to remove cell debris, the supernatants were collected, and aliquots were stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) until use to measure protein concentration by BCA Protein Quantification kit \((\text{Pars-Tous, Iran})\) \((\text{[54]})\) and PCC by 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine \((\text{DNPH})\) reagent \((\text{[55]})\).

**Hepatic TG measurement**

A small piece of each frozen liver \((\sim 150 \text{ mg})\) was homogenized in 3 mL of chloroform/methanol \((2:1)\). The hepatic lipids were extracted using the method suggested by Folch et al. \((\text{[56]})\). TG content was measured using a colorimetric-enzymatic kit \((\text{Parsazmun, Iran})\) and expressed as mg/g tissue.

**Liver histology**

For hematoxylin and eosin \((\text{H&E})\) staining, two representative samples from frozen liver tissues were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. After cutting
into 5 mm thickness, the samples were stained with H&E. Two other samples from each group were cryostat sectioned, and after immersing in 60% isopropanol for 5 min, stained with freshly filtered Oil Red O solution for 45 min at room temperature. After washing the sections with 60% isopropanol and then distilled water, the cryosections were stained with hematoxylin and examined under an optical microscope.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using ordinary one-way ANOVA or non-parametric test followed by the Kruskal-Wallis test in the GraphPad Prism software, version 8.0. Results are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise stated. A statistical significance was defined as \( p < 0.05 \).

Results
Phytochemical analysis of the extract (CCAE)
Representative HPLC chromatograms before and after the HCl-hydrolysis of CCAE, the PDA-UV spectra of the prominent peaks, the name(s) of the component(s) with the most analogous UV spectrum to every peak, and the degree of similarity (in brackets) are presented in Fig. 1A and B. According to a library search against UVTOX library (Knauer, Germany) and a homemade library, RH, Fer A, Chi A, GA, Cin A, and quercetin appeared to be the significant possible marker compounds in CCAE. Upon mild HCl-hydrolysis, as described in the Methods section, three new peaks (peaks 4, 5, and 6) emerged, displaying UV spectra similar to canrenoic acid (an aldosterone antagonist), formestane (a synthetic aromatase inhibitor), and Cin A. From among the compounds suggested by library search, the presence of only Fer A (peak 7) and RH (peak 10) could be confirmed via spiking, and the other suggested standard compounds were not present in CCAE (Supplement 2, Fig. S2–1 - Fig. S2–3).

The estimated concentrations of Fer A and RH and the results of the phytochemical analysis are summarized in Table 2. The scavenging effect of CCAE on the DPPH radical was 50% at a concentration of 4.36 mg/mL compared to the scavenging effects of GA at 0.016 mg/mL. Due to being at levels lower than its LOQs, the concentration of peak 7 assigned to Fer A was determined using the peak area of a single standard concentration at around the CCAE peak area for that compound using the formula: Marker compound content in mg = Mass in mg of the standard × Peak area of the sample (CCAE)/Peak area of the standard.

Experiment 1: selection of CCAE dosage using IPGTT
According to IPGTT results, CCAE had a prompt (short-term) hypoglycemic effect in ET2D but not in LT2D; and the hypoglycemic potency of 90 mg/kg of CCAE was comparable to 100 mg/kg of metformin consistent with Mojaz Dalfardi et al. [44] (Supplement 1, Fig. S1A). We chose this dosage (90 mg/kg of CCAE) for the daily treatment of rats during the 28-day experiment.

Experiment II. To show differences in metabolic disarray between ET2D and LT2D and to investigate the differential effects of daily usage of CCAE on sugar and fat metabolism after 28 days
Evaluation of IGT by IPGTT Both groups of rats, ET2D and LT2D, suffered significant IGT as indicated by their higher AUCs than Con; IGT was significantly higher in LT2D than ET2D as expected (Supplement 1, Fig. S1C, Table S1).

Weekly FBS and body weights The average FBS levels were increased in both diabetic models (NIA/STZ group, 133.8 ± 7.9 mg/dl, \( p = 0.004 \); STZ group, 453.0 ± 41.7 mg/dl, \( p = 0.001 \); compared to Con, 95 mg/dl ± 5.5). FBS levels were lowered by CCAE to near-control levels in both diabetic groups (\( p > 0.05 \) vs. Con) (Fig. 2), showing that CCAE exerted chronic (long-term) sugar-lowering effects in both ET2D and LT2D. CCAE did not change the FBS in the nondiabetic control group (CCAE-Con).

Table 1 Primer sequences

| Gene     | Forward | Reverse          |
|----------|---------|------------------|
| β-Actin  | 5′-GTCCACACCCGCCACC-3′ | 5′-ACCATAACCCACCATCACC-3′ |
| PPARα    | 5′-CCA GTA CTG CCG TTT CCA CA-3′ | 5′-TCCT GCC TTT ACT CAG GCT TC-3′ |
| SREBP-1c | 5′-AAG CGC TAC CGT TCC TCT ATC-3′ | 5′-TGAG CTA GCA AGC GGA TGC TCT-3′ |
| FAS      | 5′-AAG CAG GCA CAC ACA ATG GA-3′ | 5′-CTC GGA ACC ACT CAC ACC C-3′ |
| ACC      | 5′-GTT GGA CAA CGG TCT CAC AC-3′ | 5′-TCG CAG AAG CAG CCG ATT AC-3′ |
| CPTI     | 5′-ATC CAC CAT TCC ACT CTG CTC-3′ | 5′-ACC TCG AAG TAC CGC CCT CT-3′ |
| PEPCK    | 5′-GCG ACCGTCCTTTGACTCT-3′ | 5′-CCACCAAAGACTCCCTTCCT-3′ |
| G6Pase   | 5′-AAGT CTCACCCCTCCCAAAAG-3′ | 5′-AAGCAATGCTGCACCTTTCC-3′ |
Fig. 1 (See legend on next page.)
consistent with Lahfa et al. [36] but against Abdel-Hassan et al. [57].

Body weights of rats in the Con and CCAE-Con, as well as ET2D groups (NIA/STZ and CCAE-NIA/STZ), increased significantly during the study time (day 28 vs. day 0: Con, \( p = 0.027 \); CCAE-Con, \( p = 0.062 \); NIA/STZ, \( p = 0.005 \); and CCAE-NIA/STZ, \( p = 0.003 \)) due to normal growing up. The weight loss in LT2D rats after diabetes induction (\( p < 0.001 \), day 0 vs. day −15) continued further in the CCAE-treated groups (day 28 vs. day 0; CCAE-CTZ, \( p < 0.001 \) and STZ, \( p = 0.538 \)). Nevertheless, on day 28, the body weights of the treated groups (CCAET2D vs. ET2D and CCAELT2D vs. LT2D) were not significantly different from their corresponding controls (Fig. 2).

**Serum c-peptide levels on day 28** The lower-than-control levels of c-peptide in ET2D (NIA/STZ) and its complete depletion in LT2D (STZ) groups indicated limited and complete destruction, respectively, of pancreatic beta cells in these rats (Con vs. STZ, \( p = 0.047 \)). Treatment with 90 mg/kg CCAE for 28 days did not change the status of insulin concentration in the treated groups (Fig. 3).

**Blood Hba1c levels on day 28** The HbA1C levels in the STZ group were higher than those in the NIA/STZ group during the study time (day 28 vs. day 0: Con, \( p = 0.027 \); CCAE-Con, \( p = 0.062 \); NIA/STZ, \( p = 0.005 \); and CCAE-NIA/STZ, \( p = 0.003 \)) due to normal growing up. The weight loss in LT2D rats after diabetes induction (\( p < 0.001 \), day 0 vs. day −15) continued further in the CCAE-treated groups (day 28 vs. day 0; CCAE-CTZ, \( p < 0.001 \) and STZ, \( p = 0.538 \)). Nevertheless, on day 28, the body weights of the treated groups (CCAET2D vs. ET2D and CCAELT2D vs. LT2D) were not significantly different from their corresponding controls (Fig. 2).

**Fasting blood analysis on day 28** Lowering the serum TG levels was the most significant biochemical effect of CCAE in both diabetic models. TG levels rose in the sera of diabetic rats (NIA/STZ group vs. Con: \( p = 0.04 \)) in agreement with some reports [58, 59]; then significantly decreased towards normal in the CCAE-treated groups (\( p = 1.0 \), treated groups vs. Con) consistent with others [60]. Concerning cholesterol, our results showed no significant difference between all groups (\( p = 0.9 \)) in contrast to [61]. Other biochemical markers changed predominantly in the LT2D rats (STZ vs. Con: urea, \( p = 0.005 \); uric acid, \( p < 0.01 \); AST, \( p < 0.05 \); ALP, \( p < 0.01 \); ALT, \( p < 0.01 \) and CCAE treatment improved some of the biomarkers (Table 3).

**Hepatic PEPCK and G6Pase gene expression on day 28** ET2D induction led to a ~5-fold increase in the mRNA levels of G6Pase and a slight increase in mRNA levels of PEPCK in the NIA/STZ-group (Con vs. NIA/STZ, \( p = 0.005 \) for G6Pase and \( p = 0.987 \) for PEPCK). On the contrary, we noticed a ~12-fold increase in the mRNA levels of PEPCK in the STZ-group with only a slight rise in G6Pase mRNA levels (Con vs. STZ, \( p = 0.981 \) for G6Pase and \( p = 0.023 \) for PEPCK) (Fig. 4). Treatment with CCAE caused suppression of G6Pase expression in ET2D. However, the suppression of PEPCK expression by CCAE in LT2D was not statistically

---

**Table 2** Calibration curves, linear range, and detection and quantification limits of CCAE phytochemical analysis

| Phytochemical               | Peak number | Mg/g freeze-dried Extract | Correlation       | LR (µg/ml) | LOD (µg/ml) | LOQ (µg/ml) |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total Phenolic Content (GAE)| –           | 10.43 ± 3.09               | \( y = 0.0058x + 0.0329 \ R^2 = 0.9987 \) | 0–125      | 1.92        | 5.83        |
| Total Flavonoids (CE)       | –           | 14.65 ± 0.94               | \( y = 2.164x + 0.0994 \ R^2 = 0.9977 \) | 0–1250     | 58.56       | 177.47      |
| Free Radical Scavenging (GAE)| –           | 4.67 ± 0.55                | \( y = 2.4796x + 6.4069 \ R^2 = 0.9897 \) | 0–3125     | 2.04        | 6.20        |
| Total Antioxidant Capacity (GAE)| –       | 158.40 ± 15.7              | \( y = 0.1755x + 0.0345 \ R^2 = 0.9932 \) | 0–5000     | 405.51      | 1228.83     |
| Rutin hydrate (RH)          | 10          | 17.67 ± 1.66               | \( y = 24.133x - 55.464 \ R^2 = 0.9999 \) | 0.12–500   | 3.87        | 11.74       |
| Ferulic acid (Fer A)        | 7           | 0.81 ± 0.48                | \( y = 93.329x + 149.430 \ R^2 = 0.9999 \) | 0.12–500   | 3.45        | 10.46       |

GAE Gallic acid equivalent, CE Catechin equivalent. The concentrations of GA, RH, Fer A, and Chi A are the average of three readings and after adjusting for their recoveries at around the CCAE peak area for each compound and pertain to Fig. 1A.
significant. A previous study has reported similar results on the suppression of hepatic G6Pase, and fructose 1, 6-bisphosphatase enzymatic activities (not mRNA expressions) in alloxan-induced rats by a leaf suspension of *C. colocynthis* [62], but interestingly the inhibition of PEPCK activity or its mRNA expression by *C. colocynthis* has not been reported.

Hepatic mRNA expression of SREBP-1c, ACC, FAS, PPARα, and CPT1 on day 28 On day 28, the mRNA expression levels of SREBP-1c, ACC, FAS, and PPARα were significantly low in diabetic rats (STZ and NIA/STZ groups vs. Con, *p* < 0.05) (Fig. 5), consistent with previous reports [63, 64]. Treatment with CCAE normalized the mRNA levels of PPARα in both CCAE-STZ and CCAE-NIA/STZ groups (Fig. 5).

In line with our results, low ACC activity (not mRNA expression) was detected by Jeyanthi et al. [20] in the sera of alloxan-induced diabetes, which was found to rise, in contrast to our results, after treatment with *C. colocynthis*. The mRNA levels of CPT1 increased in the diabetic groups (1.5 and 2.0 folds in ET2D and LT2D, respectively). Treatment with CCAE lowered CPT1 mRNA levels back to near control values in LT2D rats (STZ group vs. CCAE-STZ, *p* < 0.001) (Fig. 5).

Hepatic PCC on day 28 PCC levels decreased significantly upon treatment with CCAE, although, contrary to Cumaoglu et al. [65], its increase due to diabetes was insignificant in ET2D and LT2D groups compared to Con (Fig. 6).

Hepatic TG concentration on day 28 The measurement of hepatic TG concentration (Fig. 6) as well as H&E and Oil-Red stained liver tissues (Fig. 7) showed high levels of fat (fatty liver) in the untreated diabetic
groups compared to Con parallel to TG levels in serum (Fig. 6). Unlike our results, some researchers noted a reduction of TG in the liver of STZ-induced rats, which they attributed to increased hepatic β-oxidation [66] or declined rates of lipogenesis [63, 67]; yet others reported no change in the hepatic lipid content [68]. There was a significant decrease in liver TG after treatment with CCAE (Fig. 6).

Liver histology on day 28. H&E staining showed large lipid droplets (LDs) in the liver tissues of NIA/STZ but not those of the STZ group (Fig. 7). Congested central

**Table 3** Fasting blood chemistry on day 28.

|                     | Control (not diabetic) | Early Diabetes (ET2D) | Late Diabetes (LT2D) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | Con | CCAE- Con | NIA/STZ | CCAE- NIA/STZ | STZ | CCAE -STZ |
| Urea                | 56.5 ± 5.48 | 49.33 ± 3.75 | 55.13 ± 2.92† | 62.14 ± 2.66 | 94.50 ± 4.88† | 95.42 ± 4.83 |
| Creatinine          | 0.47 ± 0.06 | 0.3 ± 0 | 0.33 ± 0.01 | 0.41 ± 0.03 | 0.39 ± 0.03 | 0.44 ± 0.02 |
| Uric acid           | 1.67 ± 0.02 | 1.83 ± 0.08 | 1.88 ± 0.45 | 3.31 ± 0.86 | 2.25 ± 0.23 | 2.36 ± 0.18 |
| TG                  | 123.0 ± 20.01 | 168.0 ± 30.61 | 232.8 ± 29.61 | 229.5 ± 24.99 | 90.71 ± 6.40 | 95.42 ± 4.83 |
| TC                  | 74.75 ± 11.28 | 89.33 ± 13.32 | 79.5 ± 4.77 | 79.14 ± 4.17 | 86.0 ± 4.63 | 79.08 ± 6.58 |
| HDL                 | 37.5 ± 5.29 | 35.66 ± 2.02 | 37.87 ± 2.99† | 38.85 ± 2.53 | 47.92 ± 1.79† | 35.08 ± 1.20 |
| LDL                 | 11.75 ± 1.60 | 26.67 ± 6.43 | 11.88 ± 0.66 | 19.71 ± 1.58 | 19.71 ± 1.58 | 16.84 ± 0.84 |
| AST                 | 163.75 ± 5.77 | 143.33 ± 36.44 | 152.12 ± 23.42 | 170.28 ± 17.38 | 320.61 ± 60.60 | 171.08 ± 13.99 |
| ALT                 | 62.25 ± 9.98 | 44.67 ± 2.33 | 50.0 ± 5.97† | 46.14 ± 3.13 | 213.30 ± 34.11† | 76.42 ± 76.23 |
| ALP                 | 750.25 ± 211.6 | 717.33 ± 41.39 | 691.25 ± 80.18† | 745 ± 80.18 | 3825.07 ± 337.2† | 2572.58 ± 273.5 |

We have presented the data as mean ± SEM. Bold means statistically significant difference versus Con. Bold and italic shows statistical significance versus untreated members of the same diabetic group. Statistical value, defined as $p < 0.05$, was determined by non-parametric test followed by Kruskal-Wallis test in GraphPad Prism. †Shows parameters significantly different in the blood of early and late diabetes groups concerning each other; i.e., STZ vs. NIA/STZ. All the variables are given in mg/dl except for enzyme activities that are reported in units/L.
vein, dilatation of hepatic sinusoids (Fig. 7), fibrosis (Supplement 3), and excessive glycogen accumulation (Supplement 4) were complications detected in livers of LT2D rats. Treatment with CCAE reduced hepatic lipid load in CCAE-NIA/STZ and CCAE-STZ groups (Fig. 7) in agreement with Khalil et al. [69]; but, in contrast to Oryan et al., the structural damages to the liver tissue did not improve by \textit{C. colocynthis} in the present study in CCAE-STZ [70].

**Discussion**

Due to the growing popularity of plant-based medicines and herbal treatments, various medicinal plants are under intense scientific investigation to confirm their efficacy in the treatment of diabetes and prevention of its complications, for elucidation of their constituent compounds and mechanism of action, and assessment of drug quality and standardization. To our knowledge, this is the first study that compares the hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic effects of \textit{C. colocynthis} in the early- and late- stages of diabetes. A concise list of experiments and their results are summarized in Table 4 to facilitate the perception of the pattern of the significant changes in one glance.

T2D is a baffling, gradually aggravating disease that is diagnosed many years after initiation. Our results in Fig. 2 and Supplement 1, Fig. S1C verify the existence of an undeniable load of IGT during the prediabetes period when patients and their physicians are unaware of the condition, which can explain the actual presence of complications at the time of diagnosis.

CCAE’s short-term hypoglycemic effect in ET2D (Supplement 1, Fig. S1A) was consistent with Lahfa et al. [36]. The long-term hypoglycemic effect of CCAE in LT2D was in line with Benariba et al. [71] with the FBS levels in CCAE-treated groups at the end of 28 days, almost fitting the time frame mentioned by Benmehdi et al. regarding the action of saponosides isolated from \textit{C. colocynthis} seeds in STZ-diabetic rats [43].

CCAE did not hinder an increase of body weight during growth in CCAE-Con and CCAE-ET2D. Nevertheless, the CCAE-STZ group experienced a more significant weight loss than the untreated STZ group in disagreement with Benariba et al., who observed prevention of weight loss by \textit{C. colocynthis} extract [72] and with Ahangarpour and Oroojan, who reported a weight increase in fructose-induced insulin-resistant rat models [32]. At the end of the study, the body weights of the treated groups were not significantly different from their
Fig. 5 Hepatic mRNA expression of SREBP-1c, ACC, FAS, PPARα, and CPT1 on day 28. Con: nondiabetic control, CCAE-Con: nondiabetic control treated with CCAE, NIA/STZ: early-stage diabetic group, CCAE-NIA/STZ: the early-stage diabetic group treated with CCAE, STZ: late-stage diabetic group, CCAE-STZ: the late-stage diabetic group treated with CCAE. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. We have presented the data as the mean ± SEM. #, p < 0.05 and ##, p < 0.01 show significant differences between the diabetic group (STZ or NIA/STZ, respectively) versus Con.
corresponding controls (Fig. 2), perhaps because the dosage of 90 mg/kg of CCAE was a sub-toxic dose. Various studies have suggested that high doses of *C. colocolythis* can lead to significant loss of weight despite or as a result of its toxic side effects [73].

T2D is commonly associated with elevated HbA1c, increased serum and hepatic TG levels, altered liver enzymes, and a progressive failure of pancreatic beta cells to produce insulin. Due to a longer half-life in blood than insulin, c-peptide may be a more reliable indicator of beta-cell status [74]. Although the correlation between c-peptide and insulin concentrations is not linear [75], the pattern of c-peptide levels in the untreated ET2D and LT2D groups closely matched that of insulin when measured directly [51]. The results suggested that treatment with 90 mg/kg of CCAE for 28 days was insufficient to approve the plant's previously reported insulinotropic effect [76–79] (Fig. 3); instead, an insulin-enhancing activity leading to a promotion of peripheral (adipose and muscle) tissue glucose uptake has been proposed recently [80].

---

**Fig. 6** Effect of CCAE on hepatic protein carbonylation and triglyceride levels. We observed increased levels of PCC (LT2D and ET2D vs. Con, \(p = 0.73\) and \(p = 0.19\), respectively) and TG in the liver tissue of both diabetic groups compared to Con. Treatment with CCAE led to a significant reduction of both variables in all treated groups compared to their untreated controls. Con: nondiabetic control, CCAE-Con: nondiabetic control treated with CCAE, NIA/STZ: early-stage diabetic group, CCAE-NIA/STZ: the early-stage diabetic group treated with CCAE, STZ: late-stage diabetic group, CCAE-STZ: the late-stage diabetic group treated with CCAE. We have expressed the values as mean ± SEM. *, \(p = 0.016\); **, \(p = 0.008\); †, \(p = 0.029\); #, \(p < 0.05\) and ##, \(p < 0.01\) show significant differences between the diabetic group (STZ or NIA/STZ, respectively) versus Con.
Fig. 7 (See legend on next page.)
In contrast to the reported potential of *C. colocynthis* extracts to diminish the formation of glycated hemoglobin [30, 81, 82], the decrease of HbA1c percentage in the CCAE-treated groups compared to their untreated counterparts was insignificant in the present study (Fig. 3).

Treatment with CCAE improved the biomarkers of liver function [83, 84] and significantly reduced serum and liver TG levels in both diabetic models, in agreement with several reports [20–22, 28, 60, 61, 85, 86] (Table 3).

Two of the three objectives of the present study were to investigate, in the liver tissue of ET2D and LT2D rats, 1) the stereotype sugar and fat metabolic disarrangements and 2) the mechanisms of hypoglycemic-hypolipidemic activities of CCAE. Concerning the first, we noted the differential expressions of gluconeogenic enzymes, G6Pase and PEPCK (Fig. 4), and the presence of architecturally distinctive fatty liver in ET2D and LT2D (Fig. 7).

Architectural differences in hepatic fat implied that ET2D rats had macrovesicular steatosis (large LDs that displace the nucleus), whereas LT2D rats suffered from microvesicular steatosis (small LDs with preserved cellular architecture) [87].

The buildup of large LDs in the ET2D liver is because the conditions required for some remarkable amount of DNL are available; i.e., insulin is present - even if its concentration is only 40% of Con, and the hepatic expression of SREBP-1c and FAS are less inhibited (ET2D compared to LT2D) (blue boxes in Fig. 8). It appears that macrovesicular LDs grow during enhanced local TG synthesis [89] because the increased glucose uptake into hepatocytes due to hyperglycemia-induced GLUT2 expression and the activation of SREBP-1c by insulin would give rise to DNL [90]. The newly synthesized hepatic fat and fat absorbed from food, called “new fat,” is expected to act as a PPARα agonist and promote FA β-oxidation leading to the prevention of fat accumulation [91]. Meanwhile, though, the ongoing production of malonyl CoA by the remaining ACC activity (Fig. 5) and its inhibition of CPT1 (Fig. 5) and, therefore, its prevention of FA entrance into the mitochondria for β-oxidation caused an accumulation of macrovesicular type LD in the liver of ET2D rats [92, 93]. Further activation of PPARα by CCAE without affecting CPT1

### Table 4 Summary of the study items and the results

| Measured parameters | ET2D Before CCAE | After CCAE | LT2D Before CCAE | After CCAE |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| CCAE effect on short-term blood sugar (IPGTT) | ↑ | ↓ | ↑↑ | – |
| Extent of impaired glucose tolerance (AUC in IPGTT) | ↑ | NA | ↑↑ | NA |

**Measured parameters on day 28**

|                  | ET2D Before CCAE | After CCAE | LT2D Before CCAE | After CCAE |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| FBS              | ↑               | ↓          | ↑↑              | ↓↓         |
| HbA1c            | –               | –e         | ↑               | –          |
| Hepatic PCC      | –               | ↓          | –               | ↓          |
| G6Pase mRNA      | ↑               | ↓          | –               | –          |
| PEPCK mRNA       | –               | –          | ↑               | –          |
| CPT1             | ↑               | –          | ↑↑              | ↓↓         |
| Hepatic TG       | ↑               | ↓          | ↑               | ↓          |
| Blood TG         | ↑               | ↓          | –               | ↓          |
| Body weight      | ↑               | –          | ↓               | –          |
| C-peptide        | ↓               | –          | ↓               | ↓          |
| SREBP-1c mRNA    | ↓               | –          | ↓               | –          |
| ACC mRNA         | ↓               | –          | ↓               | –          |
| FAS mRNA         | ↓               | –          | ↓               | –          |
| PPARα mRNA       | ↓               | ↑          | ↓               | ↑↑         |
expression can explain the anti-fatty liver activity of CCAE in ET2D (Fig. 7).

PPARα upregulates fatty acid oxidative enzymes in the liver and skeletal muscle [94]. The reduction in PPARα mRNA levels in the diabetic liver, in this study, was in contrast to some reports [95–97] but consistent with other reports that attributed its decrease to such circumstances as a mitochondrial failure and oxidative stress [64], hyperglycemia [98], and low lipoprotein lipase (LpL) activity in the skeletal muscle [66]. The discrepancy may be related to the primary root cause of diabetes and whether it is due to obesity (high-fat-diet-induced diabetes) [99] or beta-cell failure (STZ-induced diabetes) [98, 100, 101] at the onset. Nevertheless, activation of hepatic expression of PPARα by agonists as fenofibrate and berberine is commonly regarded as a remedy for hypertriglyceridemia and hyperglycemia and is known to ameliorate markers of diabetes, such as hepatic insulin resistance and steatosis [91, 94, 101–105].

The arrangement of microvesicular LD in the liver of LT2D rats may be the net effect of the high inflow of old fat and a disproportionate presence of oxidative stress [92, 93]. “Old fat” tends to accumulate in the liver as it is unable to activate PPARα and, therefore, mitochondrial β-oxidation (black boxes in Fig. 8) [66, 91]. Meanwhile, increased FA flow into the mitochondria of hepatocytes due to a more prominent up-regulation in LT2D of CPT1 expression (Fig. 5) overwhelms the mitochondrial β-oxidation; and activates the peroxisomal β-oxidation and cytochrome P450-dependent ω-oxidation in the ER leading to ROS formation, lipid peroxidation, oxidative stress, inflammation, fibroblast accumulation, fibrosis, and liver damage, as seen in the histology of liver tissue in LT2D rats (Fig. 7) [64, 106] and as evidenced
by the high levels of ROS (PCC) in LT2D liver (Fig. 6). The up-regulation of CPT1 expression in diabetes arises from the diminished malonyl-CoA concentration and elimination of its inhibitory effect on CPT1 activity [58, 67, 68]. The function of CPT1 is to mediate the transfer of FAs into mitochondria from the cytosol. The presence of higher levels of CPT1 in STZ-rats implied a greater level of hepatic β-oxidation compared to NIA/STZ-rats as manifested, in the present study, by a 2.5 times larger ratio of β-oxidation to lipogenesis (considered as CPT1: SREBP1c ratio) in LT2D (STZ group) compared to ET2D (NIA/STZ group) (Fig. 5). Therefore, the simultaneous presence of β-oxidation and ROS formation may lead to microvesicular LD in LT2D (Fig. 8). Accordingly, the activation of PPARα expression and the inhibition of the expression of CPT1 in the liver (Fig. 5) can explain CCAE’s protective action against hepatic lipid accumulation in LT2D.

Despite their reported parallel relationship [96, 97, 107], we noticed an inverse association between the hepatic PPARα and CPT1 mRNA expressions, both after diabetes induction and after treatment with CCAE. As inhibition of the CPT1 gene may present a way to improve T2D [108], the inconsistency may convey the presence of PPARα-independent pathways of CPT1 gene regulation [109].

Macroversicular steatosis may be more benign than microvesicular steatosis because large LDs can fuse with lysosomes into autophagosomes. After fusion, β-oxidation catabolizes and gets rid of the resulting FAs [110–112]. The insulin-resistant state greatly diminishes autophagy, but we could not comment further as we did not measure autophagy markers in the present study.

Further insight into the contrasting metabolic aberrations and the discriminating mechanisms of hypoglycemic actions of CCAE in ET2D and LT2D came from the differential expressions of gluconeogenic enzymes, G6Pase and PEPCK. The reaction catalyzed by G6Pase serves as the final rate-limiting step in both glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis pathways. However, the presence of low and high mRNA expressions for PEPCK and G6Pase, respectively, in the liver of ET2D rats (Fig. 4) along with relatively low glycogen levels in the liver of ET2D relative to LT2D rats (Supplement 4) suggested that mild diabetes (ET2D) was comparable to short-term fasting state with the enhanced glycogenolysis being the source of extra blood sugar in ET2D. Shafaei et al. detected low glycogen levels in alloxan-induced diabetic rabbits (without mentioning the stage of disease) [44], and Bandsma et al. observed higher glycogen levels in the liver during 15 or 24 h (long) fasting periods in mice with PPARα deficiency [113]. Meanwhile, the low and high mRNA expression of G6Pase and PEPCK, respectively, in the liver of LT2D rats (Fig. 4) implied that the advanced stage of diabetes was analogous to prolonged fasting, the enhanced gluconeogenesis being the source of extra sugar in the blood.

According to the results, CCAE’s sugar-lowering capacity in ET2D stemmed from its inhibitory action on G6Pase. In LT2D, however, CCAE bypassed a significant suppression of PEPCK mRNA expression to reroute its activity toward G3P production. This diversion of PEPCK activity would reduce glucose production via gluconeogenesis but allow the continuous operation of the TG/FA cycle, which could also partially account for the significant reduction of TG levels in the sera of LT2D rats (CCAE-STZ group) (Fig. 6). The purpose of the TG/FA cycle is to create a balance between lipolysis and re-esterification and to prevent an overt release of FA into the blood via increasing the uptake of TG into the adipose tissue [114–116].

The diminished levels of PCC in this study confirmed CCAE’s antioxidant and free radical scavenging potential in agreement with studies that have reported a reduction of other oxidative stress markers, such as Thiobarbituric acid reactive substance (TBARS), TNF-α, and IL-6, and an augmentation of antioxidant enzymes as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) in diabetic animals treated with various C. colocynthis extracts [117, 118].

Plant extracts’ antioxidant, free radical scavenging, and glucose-lowering properties stem from their constituent phytochemicals. Secondary metabolites such as phenolics, flavonoids, terpenes, and alkaloids are abundant in fruits and vegetables, but its encounter in the seeds are saponosides [43], and Shahin-Kaleybar et al. recently identified several cysteine-rich peptides in C. colocynthis [122].

Our HPLC-PDA library search suggested the presence of phenolics, such as Fer A, Chi A, GA, Van A, and Chi A (Fig. 1A and B), but CCAE appeared to be richer in flavonoids in agreement with Hussain et al. [50] because the UV spectra of the most prominent peaks contain two major absorption bands (λmax) in the UV region [123]. Quercetin, catechin, myricetin, and kaempferol are the major flavonoids in the roots, leaves, and fruits of C. colocynthis [50]. Rutin is also a flavonoid and a quercetin glycoside found in green tea, buckwheat seeds, and some fruits and vegetables, but its encounter in CCAE disagrees with previous reports [124]. We could not investigate the presence of myricetin and kaempferol in CCAE as we did not have the corresponding standards.

Also, it is worth mentioning that harsher methods of hydrolysis [125] resulted in a large (98.82% similar to Cin A) and a smaller peak (99.32% similar to formes-tane) with 1% H2SO4; and only one peak with 5% H2SO4 (99.48% similar to Cin A) (Supplement 5, Fig.
S5A and B). The similarity of this lone peak (tr = 11.8) to Cin A was despite tr = 47.90 for Cin A pure standard (Supplement 5); nevertheless, the finding of Cin A as the succinct elemental compound in CCAE may be valid as it is the primary organic chemical from which many phenolics and flavonoids are synthesized [126]. The results of an attempted HPLC-MS analysis on CCAE by the Medicinal Plants and Drugs Research Institute at Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences are presented in Supplement 6.

The common notion about secondary plant metabolites, phenolics, and flavonoids, is that they act as natural anti-inflammatory agents due to their innate free radical scavenging capacity. However, the antioxidant activity of plant phytochemicals could relate to their ability to act as PPARα ligands. PPARα has anti-inflammatory effects, and PPARα ligands are known to inhibit IL-1-induced IL-6 and prostaglandin production and cyclooxygenase-2 expression [45]. Future studies may show that due to containing a variety of phytochemicals that can act in concert, plant extracts, such as CCAE, may function as a dual PPARα/γ or even trial PPAR-α, PPAR-γ, and PPAR-δ agonists [127, 128]. The unexpected detection of formetanate, formestane, and canrenoic acid-like structures in CCAE (Fig. 1 A and B) further illustrates the versatility of natural remedies’ domain of action.

Conclusion
The present study showed a significant degree of glucose intolerance in both mild and advanced stages of diabetes. CCAE showed acute (in ET2D) and chronic (in both ET2D and LT2D) hypoglycemic effects. ET2D and LT2D seemed to be analogous to short- and long-term fasting states, respectively. The enhanced glycogenolysis appeared to be the source of extra blood sugar in ET2D, whereas enhanced gluconeogenesis seemed to be the major contributor to high blood sugar in LT2D. CCAE affected both the sugar and fat metabolic pathways. CCAE inhibited the expression of G6Pase, and therefore glycogenolysis, in the liver of ET2D rats. However, its glucose-lowering activity in LT2D was not due to a reduction of PEPCk gene expression; instead, it decreased the rate of gluconeogenesis pathway mainly by rerouting PEPCk activity toward glycogenolysis. Low blood and hepatic TG were the outcomes of the enhanced hepatic FA utilization due to an upregulated PPARα expression and down-regulated CPT1 expression and the improved intracellular (within WAT) and systemic (between WAT and liver) TG/FA recycling as a result of improved glycogenolysis. A deeper understanding of the effect of CCAE on the liver-adipose tissue axis can follow the three-dimensional co-culturing of different cell types and a more profound analysis of CCAE constituents. Finally, evaluating medicinal plants’ healing properties and therapeutic mechanisms at different stages of a disease can help provide more personalized drug recipes for patients.
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