Lactic acidosis is an important metabolic disorder associated with a poor outcome [1,2]. It is not surprising, therefore, that its pathogenesis has been, and continues to be, a great source of interest to critical care physicians [3]. All the information available from animal and human investigations indicates that lactate production and metabolism are two extraordinarily complex processes found in almost every organ, and that are perhaps as fundamental to intermediate metabolism as the generation and consumption of glucose. It is little surprise that the kidney should play a pivotal role in such processes, as it does in many other aspects of metabolism.

In the present review, the role of the native kidney as well as that of the artificial kidney in lactate production, lactate release, lactate uptake, lactate metabolism and lactate balance will be explored. There will be a strong focus on the clinical implications of such a role, with the aim of helping clinicians better understand the possible pathogenesis of the changes in blood lactate levels that they see in the intensive care unit every day.

**The native kidney and lactate**

There is strong evidence that, under normal physiological conditions, the native kidney is second only to the liver in removing lactate from the circulation and metabolizing it [1,4–6]. Such evidence is based on exogenous lactate infusion studies and nephrectomy studies in the rat, the dog and the sheep. These studies suggest that the native kidney's
contribution to the removal of lactate is substantial, with the organ being responsible for the removal of approximately 20–30% of an exogenous load [1,5]. Such removal is mostly due to uptake and metabolism rather than urinary excretion. Indeed, even when the lactate level is artificially kept at approximately 10 mmol/l to maximize urinary excretion, such excretion only accounts for 10–12% of the total removal of lactate achieved by the kidney [5]. When nephrectomy is performed, the half-life for lactate elimination is increased from 5.3 to 7.1 min and clearance is decreased from 45.3 to 32 ml/kg/min [5].

Various pathological conditions can be expected to influence the normal physiological role of the native kidney in lactate disposal. In studies of graded hemorrhage in the dog, for example, renal lactate uptake, which remains stable even with a blood loss close to 30% of the total volume, decreases sharply once blood loss reaches the 40% mark. Once such blood loss reaches 50% of the total blood volume (mean blood pressure of 38 mmHg with a 90% reduction in renal blood flow), renal lactate production occurs [7]. Reinfusion of shed blood does not restore renal lactate uptake to normal.

Acidosis also affects renal lactate uptake [1]. However, while acidosis significantly depresses hepatic uptake of lactate, acidosis enhances renal lactate metabolism [8–10]. Such adaption takes place over 2–4 hours and occurs despite a reduction in renal blood flow. The renal contribution to lactate removal thus increases from 16% at a pH of 7.45 to 44% at a pH of 6.75 [4]. These changes will probably be important in human acidosis, and they compensate for approximately 50% of the hepatic loss of lactate metabolism.

The effect of endotoxemia on renal lactate uptake has been studied in the dog. Bellomo et al. [11] have shown that, even during advanced endotoxemia and a reduction in renal blood flow of close to 30%, the kidney continues to remove lactate from the circulation (Fig. 1).

The fate of lactate within the kidney

It is clear from the evidence presented that the kidney is a major organ for lactate disposal and that such disposal only ceases under conditions of extreme (90%) decreases in renal perfusion. This information treats the kidney like a ‘black box’, however, and does not tell us whether there is uniformity within the kidney in terms of lactate metabolism and what the fate of lactate is within the organ. In this regard, it is important to appreciate that the fate of lactate within the kidney is complex, that it depends on a variety of hormonal and physiological stimuli, and that it differs from the medulla to the cortex.

The first observation concerning intrarenal lactate handling, as already highlighted, is that lactate is fully filtered by the glomerulus [12]. However, it is also almost completely reabsorbed in the proximal tubule [12]. Only a marked rise in blood lactate levels results in an increase in urinary excretion. Even then, lactate urinary losses are small in comparison with overall renal lactate metabolism, with only 2% of total lactate removal during exercise (plasma lactate >20 mmol/l) being achieved by urinary excretion [13]. Lactate uptake is the major mechanism of renal lactate removal and appears to be essentially confined to the cortex [5,14], as shown by radioisotopic methods in isolated, perfused rat kidney [14]. These studies also show that, in the absence of glucose and in the presence of starvation, the cortex produces negligible amounts of lactate. Once glucose is administered, the cortex continues to produce little, if any, lactate. The medulla, on the contrary, uses radiolabeled glucose and generates lactate from its glycolysis. The cortex simultaneously takes up the lactate released by the medulla and uses it for oxidation and gluconeogenesis. The cortex does not oxidize glucose directly.

These findings suggest the presence of a cortico-medullary glucose–lactate recycling system. The medulla consumes glucose (glycolysis) and generates lactate. The cortex takes up lactate to oxidize it for energy production and to generate glucose for release back to the medulla for medullary glycolysis and energy production. A similar recycling system may operate in the brain between neurons and astrocytes, and in the testis between Sertoli cells and spermatozoa.

To understand the pivotal role of lactate in intrarenal bioenergetics, it is important to note that lactate production from glucose correlates with the glomerular filtration rate (even though there is basal lactate production at zero glomerular filtration rate). The lactate production also correlates with the urine flow rate and sodium resorption. Lactate con-
sumption, on the contrary, shows no correlation with any renal function [14].

When sodium reabsorption was inhibited with a loop diuretic [14], lactate production decreased by approximately 40%. When filtration was prevented, lactate production decreased by 50%. Prevention of filtration also inhibited consumption of lactate. These findings strongly suggest that glycolysis is needed for sodium reabsorption but that other renal transport functions exist that require lactate oxidation. These observations highlight the complexity of lactate metabolism within the kidney and challenge any naïve notion of lactate being a reliable marker of ‘cell hypoxia’ or ‘anaerobic metabolism’. Indeed, the medulla has been shown by other investigators to produce lactate in spite of adequate substrate and oxygen supply [15].

If the medulla produces lactate through glycolysis, such a metabolic pathway appears a straightforward and ‘natural’ way to provide energy for the medulla’s tasks. If the cortex does not produce anything but minute quantities of lactate and rather takes up this substrate, however, what is then the fate of lactate within the cortex? The answer to this question is predictably complex, and depends on the pathophysiological state of the organism, on the hormonal milieu, on the demands imposed on the organ and on the nutrients available.

For example, some investigators [5] have found that 22.4% of total renal CO₂ production in chronic acidosis is derived from lactate oxidation, while 47.4% is so derived in alkalosis. At the same time, conversion of lactate to glucose (gluconeogenesis in the cortex) during acidosis accounted for the addition of 6.7 µmol/min glucose to the renal vein, while it only accounted for the addition of 2 µmol/min glucose in alkalosis [5]. When stoichiometric calculations are performed, it can be shown that these two pathways of lactate metabolism (oxidation and gluconeogenesis) account for 100% of radiolabeled lactate utilization [5]. These findings are supported by other studies [16].

The importance of renal gluconeogenesis to the overall balance of glucose and to the maintenance of glucose homeostasis has been studied in detail under normal physiological circumstances and during insulin-induced hypoglycemia in the awake dog, and indeed in humans by cannulation of the renal vein [17,18]. The findings of these investigations indicate that renal lactate uptake could account for approximately 40% of postabsorptive renal glucose production and for 60% of renal glucose production during hypoglycemia. Such glucose production results in a fivefold to 10-fold increase in glucose release into the renal vein after insulin-induced hypoglycemia, which adds a further 4 g glucose to the systemic circulation every hour. Lactate may thus be the major gluconeogenetic precursor in the kidney under some conditions, and contributes significantly to the glycemic impact of other renal-specific precursors of gluconeogenesis such as glycerol [17], alanine and glutamine [19].

The artificial kidney and lactate

The use of the artificial kidney has a clinically significant impact on lactate balance and on plasma lactate concentrations. This impact may derive from lactate removal as well as from lactate administration. Lactate clearance during intermittent hemodialysis or intermittent hemofiltration has not been formally studied, but is probably similar to that of other small molecules given the molecular weight of lactate. Assuming a small molecular clearance of 200 ml/min, lactate clearance during dialysis would reach approximately 20% of endogenous clearance. The impact of such clearance on lactate levels, however, has not been studied. Lactate has not been traditionally used as a buffer for intermittent hemodialysis. There is therefore little specific information on the use of lactate-buffered dialysate on lactate levels and on acid–base balance in dialysis patients [20].

When intermittent hemofiltration is used and lactate-based replacement fluid is administered at high rates (approximately 200 mmol/hour), however, a significant increase in plasma lactate levels can be easily demonstrated [21] (Fig. 2). Although the clinical significance of such increases in lactate levels is unknown, this iatrogenic phenomenon needs to be appreciated to avoid misdiagnosis. The magnitude of this phenomenon (average peak increase of 3 mmol/l at 3 hours) also needs to be understood to separate it from other factors, which may simultaneously be operative in determining the patient’s lactate levels.

A similar phenomenon has been described during continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT), but the increment in lactate levels was less due to the lower rate of lactate administration [22]. It is important to note, however, that increments in lactate levels in patients on CRRT are not simply dependent on the rate of lactate administration, but also on the body’s ability to handle a given lactate load. The administration of up to 200 mmol/hour lactate may thus lead to modest changes in lactate levels and the pH. However, the administration of the same amount or even less in a patient with pre-treatment lactate intolerance (liver failure, severe septic shock) will induce a dramatic increase in lactate concentration and a profound acidosis. Under such circumstances, lactate-buffered solutions should be avoided [23]. Furthermore, in patients with lactic acidosis and acute renal failure receiving CRRT, the administration of bicarbonate-based replacement fluids is an effective way of avoiding any exacerbation of hyperlactatemia and of restoring acid–base homeostasis [24].

Some investigators have suggested that lactate removal during CRRT may lower plasma lactate levels and may participate in the correction of acidosis seen during bicarbonate-based CRRT. In response to this hypothesis, Levraut et al. conducted a careful analysis of lactate clearance during CRRT and compared it with endogenous clearance [25]. They found that the median endogenous lactate clearance
was 1379 ml/min, while the median filter lactate clearance was 24.2 ml/min. CRRT-based lactate clearance thus accounted for <3% of total lactate removal (Fig. 3).

Finally, it may appear surprising that increases in plasma lactate concentration of up to 8 mmol/l would not induce a pronounced degree of acidification. These increases should do so by increasing the concentration of anions in plasma, and thus decreasing the strong ion difference and its effect on the dissociation of plasma water into hydrogen ions [26].

Some preliminary observations in fact suggest that several complex events may occur during the onset of such rapid iatrogenic hyperlactatemia. In particular, a marked decrease in chloride appears to occur despite the administration of chloride-rich replacement fluid (Fig. 4). This change in chloride is probably secondary to a shift into cells similar to that seen in venous blood when the CO₂ increases (Hamburger shift). Such a shift in chloride rapidly attenuates the impact of hyperlactatemia on the pH and prevents the development of a progressive and sustained acidemia.

**Conclusions**

The native kidney profoundly affects lactate metabolism by its uptake and utilization in the cortex. Its cortex uses lactate from medullary and systemic sources to obtain energy through oxidation and to form glucose for systemic and medullary use. Such metabolic pathways account for about 30% of total lactate disposal, and lactate-based gluconeogenesis contributes to systemic glucose homeostasis. These pathways are increased by acidosis and hypoglycemia, they continue to function during endotoxemia and they only fail during gross reductions in renal blood flow.

If renal replacement becomes necessary because of renal failure, lactate clearance is probably limited and contributes little to lactate removal. If large amounts of lactate-based dialysate or replacement fluids are administered, however, iatrogenic hyperlactatemia occurs, which can significantly contribute to the aggravation of metabolic acidosis. No complete understanding of the pathogenesis of hyperlactatemia can be achieved without a full appreciation of the 'renal' side of the lactate balance equation.
Competing interests
None declared.

Acknowledgement
This work was supported by the Austin Hospital Anaesthesia and Intensive Care Trust Fund.

References
1. Mizock BA, Falk JL: Lactic acidosis in critical illness. Crit Care Med 1992, 20:80-83.
2. Madias NE: Lactic acidosis. Kidney Int 1986, 28:752-774.
3. Gutierrez G, Wulf ME: Lactic acidosis in sepsis: a commentary. Intensive Care Med 1996, 22:2-16.
4. Yudkin J, Cohen RD: The contribution of the kidney to the removal of lactic acid load under normal and acidic conditions in the conscious rat. Clin Sci Mol Med 1975, 48:121-131.
5. Leaf-Pinto E, Park HC, King F, MacLeod M, Pitts RF: Metabolism of lactate by the intact functioning kidney of the dog. Am J Physiol 1973, 224:1463-1467.
6. Brand PH, Cohen JJ, Bignall MC: Independence of lactate oxidation from net Na+ reabsorption in dog kidney in vivo. Am J Physiol 1981, 240:F343-F351.
7. Nelmarka O, Halkola L, Ninikoski J: Renal hypoxia and lactate metabolism in hemorrhagic shock in dogs. Crit Care Med 1984, 12:656-660.
8. Dawson AG: Contribution of pH sensitive metabolic processes to pH homeostasis in isolated rat kidney tubules. Biochim Biophys Acta 1977, 499:89-96.
9. Yudkin J, Cohen RD: The effect of acidosis on lactate removal by the perfused rat kidney. Clin Sci Mol Med 1976, 50:185-194.
10. Alleyne GAO, Flores H, Robool A: The interrelationship of the concentration of hydrogen ions, bicarbonate ions, carbon dioxide and calcium ions in the regulation of renal gluconeogenesis in the rat. Biochem J 1973, 136:445-453.
11. Bellomo R, Kellum JA, Pinsky MR: Transvisceral lactate uptake fluxes during early endotoxemia. Chest 1996, 110:198-204.
12. Hohmann B, Frohnert PP, Kinne R, Baumann K: Proximal tubular lactate transport in rat kidney: a micropuncture study. Kidney Int 1974, 261:5-11.
13. McKelvie RS, Lindiger MI, Heigenhauser GJ, Sutton JR, Jones NL: Am J Physiol 1989, 257:R102-R108.
14. Bartlett S, Espinal J, Janssens P, Ross BD: The influence of renal function on lactate and glucose metabolism. Biochem J 1984, 219:73-78.
15. Cohen JJ: Is the function of the renal papilla coupled exclusively to an anaerobic pattern of metabolism? Am J Physiol 1979, 236:F423-F428.
16. Levy MN: Uptake of lactate and pyruvate by intact kidney of the dog. Am J Physiol 1962, 202:302-308.
17. Cerosimo E, Molina PE, Abumrad NN: Renal lactate metabolism and gluconeogenesis during insulin-induced hypoglycemia. Diabetes 1998, 47:1101-1106.
18. Cerosimo E, Garlick P, Ferretti J: Renal substrate metabolism and gluconeogenesis during hypoglycemia in humans. Diabetes 2000, 49:1191-1193.
19. Stumvoll M, Meyer C, Periello G, Kreider M, Welle S, Gerich J: Human kidney and liver gluconeogenesis: evidence for organ subsselectivity. Am J Physiol 1998, 274:EB17-EB26.
20. Ferrani M, Ronco C, Biasioli S, Bragantini L, La Grecia G: Effect of dicylsate and substitution fluid buffer on buffer flux in hemodiafiltration. Kidney Int 1991, 39:711-717.
21. Davenport A, Will EJ, Davison AM: Hyperlactatemia and metabolic acidosis during hemofiltration using lactate-buffered fluids. Nephron 1991, 59:461-465.
22. Thomas AN, Guy JM, Kishen R, Geraghy IF, Bowles BJM, Vadgama P: Comparison of lactate and bicarbonate buffered haemofiltration fluids: use in critically ill patients. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1997, 12:1212-1217.
23. Davenport A, Will EJ, Davison AM: The effect of lactate-buffered solution on the acid-base status of patients with renal failure. Nephrol Dial Transplant 1989, 4:800-804.
24. Hilton PJ, Taylor J, Forni LG, Treacher DF: Bicarbonate-based haemofiltration in the management of acute renal failure with lactic acidosis. Q J Med 1996, 91:279-283.
25. Levraut J, Ciebiera J-P, Jambou P, Ichai C, Labib Y, Grimaud D: Effect of continuous veno-venous hemofiltration with dialysis on lactate clearance in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 1997, 25:85-86.
26. Stewart PA: Modern quantitative acid-base chemistry. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 1983, 61:1444-1461.