Multiple Integrated Antennas for Wearable Fifth-Generation Communication and Internet of Things Applications

CHIA-TE LIAO\(^{1}\), ZHE-KAI YANG\(^{2}\), AND HUA-MING CHEN\(^{2}\), (Senior Member, IEEE)

\(^{1}\)Department of Aviation Communication and Electronics, Air Force Institute of Technology, Kaohsiung 820, Taiwan
\(^{2}\)Institute of Photonics Engineering, National Kaohsiung University of Science and Technology, Kaohsiung 807, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Chia Te Liao (jiader0502@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT
This paper proposes integrating multiple antennas into wearable devices for application in fifth-generation (5G) communications and the Internet of Things (IoT). The frequency bands covered were wireless local area network 2.4-GHz/5-GHz channels (2410–2484 MHz), the global positioning system (1575 MHz), narrowband IoT band 8 (880–960 MHz), Global System for Mobile Communications 850/900, and the 5G frequency range 1 n77/78/79 band (3300–5000 MHz). The antennas were configured as a 2 × 2 multiple-input multiple-output system (MIMO). The antenna resonance contribution integrates the slot mode, monopole mode of the three-dimensional frame, and loop coupling monopole mode. The configuration of the independent matching circuits facilitated the effective control of the desired mode and the coverage of the desired bands. All integrated antennas were placed in a watch device (37 × 43 × 8 mm\(^3\)) with a metal body and a metal strap. An integrated miniaturized antenna was designed. The diversity gain and multiplexing efficiency between the MIMO antennas were 9.97 dB and above, respectively. Favorable diversity performance was confirmed. The channel capacity of the 2 × 2 MIMO array was determined to be 8.8 bps/Hz. Moreover, its performance was evaluated through the addition of a hand tissue module. The impact of metal straps on antenna radiation performance was discussed. The specific absorption rate (SAR) was examined, and the best results obtained under an SAR of 1 g and 10 g were 0.25 and 0.58 W/kg, respectively. The findings demonstrate the suitability of the antenna for practical applications in 5G communication systems and the IoT.
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I. INTRODUCTION
With the rapid development of mobile communications, the market for wearable devices is thriving. For example, use of smart watches as a consumer electronic is increasing rapidly with the development of low-power technology and the Internet of Things (IoT). Moreover, smart watch positioning can be used in data collection. Regarding the overall industry, wearable devices are part of the IoT. Real-time location systems (RTLS) can perform positioning based on radio signals. Global positioning system (GPS) technology can be used outdoors. Indoors, wireless local area network (WLAN) frequency bands can be used to obtain the received signal strength indicator. The current position can then be calculated to form an accurate positioning system. Regarding the communication interfaces of wearable technology, devices are connected through Bluetooth to a mobile phone and linked to a mobile application. A cloud platform examines which devices are connected and merges data streams across multiple communication protocols and standards. Networking between smart devices is the future of technology and enables the seamless collection of data through devices. Through an autonomous mobile communication network, commands can be issued to control IoT devices such as lights, televisions, and other home appliances, as well as vehicle networking systems. The principal challenge in smart watches involves considering consumer needs. Wearable electronic devices are typically made of metal, a material that blocks wireless signal transmission and reception through electromagnetic shielding. Consequently, the design...
of the antennas within such devices involves various bottlenecks.

Most smart watches with a metallic exterior have been designed to work only in the 2.4-GHz WLAN channel [1]–[5]. With the evolution of communication systems, 2.4-GHz 2 × 2 multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems have been developed [6], [7]; moreover, with advances in mobile communications technology, long-term evolution (LTE) frequency bands have been introduced [8]–[10]. Among mobile phones, the product category with the greatest competition, mobile communication devices with a metallic appearance [10]–[19] are the norm. Consumers also prefer tablet computers with a metallic exterior [20]–[23]. With the emergence of the fifth-generation (5G) mobile communication system, convenient wearable devices that have a metallic appearance and IoT functions are becoming increasingly popular. Energy consumption is a major concern in smart watches. In general, millimeter-wave frequency bands are not used in market production. The main advantages of dual-band Wi-Fi equipment are that the Wi-Fi signal is stronger and more stable and that the transmission speed is higher. Furthermore, the use of Wi-Fi can enhance the energy efficiency of wireless devices, thereby meeting requirements in high-definition and big data wireless transmission. The effects of the structure of human tissue on antenna performance in smart watches must be considered in antenna design and setup [24], as should the impacts of the metal strap. In this paper, four sets of antennas in smart watches are proposed for use in 5G communications technology. Experiments and simulations were conducted, and the results were analyzed. The frequency bands covered Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) 850/900 (850–960 MHz), narrowband IoT (NB-IoT) band 8 (880–915 MHz), GPS (1575 MHz), WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz bands, and 5G frequency range 1 (FR1) n77/n78/n79 band (3300–5000 MHz). The 5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 band was incorporated into a 2 × 2 MIMO array, which improves spectrum utilization.

II. ANTENNA CONFIGURATION AND MULTIPLE ANTENNA DESIGN

This section presents the structure of the wearable antenna system (2 × 2 MIMO for the 5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 band (Ant 1 and Ant 2), WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz dual-band antenna (Ant 3), and the antenna for IoT and RTLS-related applications (Ant 4). In the following subsections, the design of individual antennas is introduced.

A. ANTENNA SYSTEM STRUCTURE

The antenna system was designed using Ansys HFSS software. Fig. 1 presents the geometry of the proposed antenna system. The system circuit board was made of FR4 substrate with a dielectric constant of 4.4 and a loss tangent of 0.024. The edge of the circuit board was surrounded by a 0.8-mm-thick metal frame. The proposed smart watch measured 37 × 43 × 8 mm³, approximate to the dimensions of the 44-mm Apple Watch Series 6. Given that the frequency band covered 850–5850 MHz (with the center frequency band being equal to 3350 MHz as the benchmark; 1 λ₀ = 89.55 mm), the overall electrical dimensions of this calculation method were 0.41 × 0.48 × 0.08 λ³. Multiple integrated antenna systems suitable for wearable 5G communication applications, including Ant 1 and Ant 2 (5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 frequency bands), form a 2 × 2 MIMO configuration. Ant 3 covers the WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz dual band, and Ant 4 covers the GSM 850/900, NB-IoT band 8 (880–915 MHz), and the GPS (1575 MHz). Four sets of antennas, which can be used to meet future market needs, are arranged in the interior of the smart watch on the long side; the space on the short side is left clear. Considering that the Apple Watch Series 6 has a replaceable strap made of leather, nylon, stainless steel, or ceramic, leaving this space clear can prevent the strap material (with different dielectric coefficients) from affecting transmission. Table 1 details the operating frequency bands corresponding to the long side of the watch.
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TABLE 1. Operating frequency band of each antenna.

| Antenna | Operation Frequency Bands | Application Index |
|---------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Port 1  | 5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 band (3300MHz–5000 MHz) | 5G system (2 × 2 MIMO) |
| Port 2  | 5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 band (3300MHz–5000 MHz) | IoT and RTLS |
| Port 3  | WLAN 2.4 / 5G dual band (2400–2484MHz, 5150–5850MHz) | |
| Port 4  | GSM850/900 (850MHz–960 MHz), NB-IoT band 8 (880–915 MHz), GPS (1575 MHz) | |

**FIGURE 2.** Simulated vector current distributions of the antenna system. Port 1 is excited at (a) 3.6 GHz and (b) 5.5 GHz; port 2 is excited at (c) 3.6 GHz and (d) 5.95 GHz; port 3 is excited at (e) 2.4 GHz, (f) 4.5 GHz, and (g) 5.5 GHz; port 4 is excited at (h) 0.82 GHz, (i) 0.93 GHz, and (j) 1.575 GHz.

B. ANT 1 AND ANT 2 FOR MIMO CONFIGURATION

Fig. 1(a) displays the detailed structure of the antenna system. The red dot in the figure is the antenna feed point. The inner conductor of the 50-Ω coaxial cable is used for the connection. Ant 1 consists of a slot antenna and coupling line. The following is an explanation of each antenna. With Ant 1, the three-dimensional (3D) turning point in the wearable device is used to generate an L-shaped slot. The coupling line is used to excite the L-shaped slotted antenna, and the resonance path is from point A to point B. As indicated in Fig. 2(a), the resonance length is 15 mm, or approximately 0.14 wavelengths at 3600 MHz. The coupling line segment also forms a parasitic monopole antenna (strip EF). As displayed in Fig. 2(b), the resonance at 5550 MHz produces a double resonance mode that effectively covers the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band in a small space. Ant 2, which has the same structure as Ant 1, uses an L-shaped slotted antenna (slot CD). As shown in Fig. 2(c), the resonance length is 16 mm, which is approximately 0.15 wavelengths at 3600 MHz. The length of the coupling line (point G to point H) is also used for symbiosis with the metal frame. The same applies to the parasitic monopole antenna [Fig. 2(d)], which resonates at 5950 MHz. The coupling lines of Ant 1 (strip EF) and Ant 2 (strip GH) also contribute to the bandwidth ratio and the adjustment of impedance matching. Relevant details, including information on the parameters, are discussed in detail in Section III-B of this paper. The capacitance $C_a$ (1 pF) of Ant 1 was used to fine-tune the impedance matching of the double resonance mode in the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band.

C. ANT 3 FOR WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz BAND

Consider a scenario in which the $2 \times 2$ MIMO dual antennas (Ant 1 and Ant 2) are configured on one side of the metal frame. Ant 3 was originally a loop antenna (point I to point J) designed to have dual load characteristics, as shown in Fig. 2(e). The total length of the bending loop is 47 mm. Coupling with the metal frame was the objective. The frame resonated out of the loop coupling monopole mode (i.e., the fundamental mode) at 2450 MHz. The same curved metal loop (point I to point J) resonated out of the loop coupling monopole mode at 5650 MHz. The resonance path is indicated in Fig. 2(g). Because of the incomplete coverage of the WLAN 5G full band (5150–5850 MHz), for impedance matching, we further designed the bending loop (point I to point K) as a loop mode of one wavelength to resonate at 4500 MHz. This resonance mode was used to completely cover the bandwidth required in WLAN 5G applications. The resonant frequency is calculated as follows:

$$f = \frac{1}{(2\pi \sqrt{L_{dis}C_b})} \quad (1)$$

In the internal structure, the line segment of the coupled monopole is from point I to point J, which can be regarded as a distributed inductor. The lumped capacitance $C_b$ (0.5 pF) and the curved path, which can be calculated using equation (1), are used to form a distributed inductance (strip I→J) and a band-pass filter. This can be regarded as a distributed inductor. A dual-band band-pass filter can be used for analysis. Regarding series connection with a lumped capacitance $C_b$ of 0.5 pF, $L_{dis}$ is 8.1 nH at 2.5 GHz and 1.7 nH at 5.3 GHz. Thus, modal interference outside the WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz frequency band was filtered out.

D. ANT 4 FOR GSM BAND/GPS BAND

Smart watches such as the Apple Watch Series 6 are sold in GPS and GPS + cellular models. In the GPS + cellular version, the Apple Watch need not be in the vicinity of VOLUME 9, 2021
the iPhone to execute communication functions, such as making calls and sending messages. The fourth antenna is mainly designed to cover the GSM 850/900 frequency band and GPS (1575 MHz) for mobile communication and satellite positioning, respectively. The design of the GSM frequency band, which has been directly fed to the metal frame, corresponding to point L–point M in [Fig. 1(a)], is introduced as follows. The selection of the resonant mode is presented in Fig. 2(h). The vibration length is approximately 56 mm, or approximately 0.15 times the $\lambda_0$ value of 820 MHz. This monopole resonance mode coexists with dual open slots (point P to point Q). As shown in Fig. 2(f), the resonance length is 59 mm or 0.14 wavelengths at 920 MHz. A double resonance mode was formed, and the GSM 850/900 frequency band was covered. The lumped inductance $L_a$ (3.9 pF) in Ant 4 is used to adjust the impedance matching of the dual resonance mode generated in GSM 850/900. Adjustments can be made flexibly, according to actual applications. Regarding the resonance path in the GPS frequency band mode, from point L to point M, and through point O to point N, the lumped inductance $L_b$ was used to reach the extended current path. As presented in Fig. 2(j), the current flows along the edge of the slot to form a 3D curved unipolar mode in which the metal frame and the slot coexist. To form a band rejection filter function, a lumped capacitor $C_c$ in series is used together with a lumped inductor $L_b$ from point O to point N to ground.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiments were conducted on the integrated antennas; the result for independent parameters are presented and discussed herein. Fig. 3 depicts a photograph of multiple integrated antennas for use in wearable 5G communication applications. As displayed in Fig. 4, both the simulated and measured reflection coefficients of Ant 1 and Ant 2 covered the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band. Moreover, Fig. 5 demonstrates that the isolation between Ant 1 and Ant 2 in the design frequency band meets the commercial application standard of less than $-10$ dB. Figs. 6 and 7 present the simulated and measured reflection coefficients of Ant 3 and Ant 4, respectively. The slight difference in the simulation and measurement results is mainly due to small defects in the solder joints of the high-frequency substrate.
A. SIMULATION AND MEASURED RESULTS

Fig. 8 shows the simulated and measured antenna radiation efficiency of Ant 1, Ant 2, Ant 3, and Ant 4 in each design frequency band. Fig. 8(a) and Fig. 8(b), which correspond to Ant 1 and Ant 2, respectively, indicate that the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band helped achieve a favorable radiation efficiency of more than 45%, in line with commercial standards. The gain performance is between 1dBi ∼ 4.2dBi.

Regarding the results for Ant 3 in the WLAN 2.4 GHz band [Fig. 8(c)]. The radiation efficiency ranged from 41% to 66%, and the gain is between 0.7dBi ∼ 1.3dBi. The second contribution frequency band of the same antenna was the WLAN 5G band, which had an efficiency of 33% to 78%, and the gain is between 1.1dBi ∼ 3.7dBi. The results surpassed the commercial standard of >30%. As for Ant 4, efficiency in the GSM 850/900 band was between 54% and 66% [Fig. 8(d)], and the gain is between 0.8dBi ∼ 1.2dBi. Efficiency in the other contribution frequency band (GPS) was 42% to 46%, and the gain is between 0.75dBi ∼ 0.82dBi. Overall, the actual measured efficiency of each frequency band was relatively poor. Moreover, the trends in data changes were consistent, and the changes were ascribable to the differences in loss caused by welding during production.

B. PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS

We further examined the characteristics of the parasitic (Ant 1) monopole antenna with regard to the bandwidth ratio and impedance matching adjustment. We performed a parametric analysis of the end length of the parasitic monopole antenna. As displayed in Fig. 9(a), when the length S of the parasitic monopole antenna increased from 1.5 mm to 5.5 mm, the impedance matching and bandwidth ratio underwent varying changes. When S was 5.5 mm, because of the increase in the end path, the low-frequency L-slot mode had greater capacitance and the matching effect was poor. However, under the high-frequency mode, the shift in resonant frequency shift was reduced to 5100 MHz because of the increase in length. The gradual convergence of the parasitic monopole antenna mode and the slot mode produced an enhanced impedance matching effect. When S was 1.5 mm, the situation was reversed. Regarding the final parameter selection, an S of 3.5 mm was determined to yield the optimal impedance matching and bandwidth ratio. The antenna design principle of Ant 2 is the same as that of Ant 1; thus, the end length S of the parasitic monopole antenna of Ant 1 was used for parameter analysis. Furthermore, the characteristics of impedance matching adjustment and bandwidth ratio are discussed. The next step was to explore the effect of capacitance $C_a$ on Ant 1. As presented in Fig. 10, the capacitor $C_a$ was effective in adjusting the impedance matching of Ant 1 in the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 frequency band in the dual resonance mode. This explains the stable, favorable reflection coefficient of Ant 1 in this frequency band, as well as the satisfactory radiation efficiency. This design enabled Ant 1 to excite the 5G frequency band.
with considerable adjustability. The multiple structures of ANT 3 are explained with regard to four parameters as follows. First is the analysis of the bending loop (point I to point K). The structure was disassembled into Ant 3a (without the bending loop) and Ant 3 (for the case of the proposed antenna). The status of Ant 3b (with a shorter bending loop) was also examined. Fig. 11(a) clearly indicates that Ant 3a only generated a coupled monopole (high-order mode) at 5400 MHz without a bending loop. This is not sufficient to cover the WLAN 5G band (5150–5850 MHz). A relatively short bending loop was added to Ant 3b to enable resonance at 5000 MHz. However, the resonant frequency of the coupled monopole (high-order mode) tended to increase. Therefore, the resonance length of the bending loop in Ant 3 (for the case of the proposed antenna) was increased. The resonant frequency was further reduced to 4550 MHz. Under this mode, the impedance matching of the loop antenna of one wavelength was adjusted. Fig. 11(b) indicates that the frequency band covers the WLAN 5G band (5150–8900 MHz). Thus, the function of the structural part of the bending loop was defined to adjust the WLAN 5G band. At the same time, we also optimized the slotted hole length of Ant 3. As shown in Fig. 12(b), the resonant frequency at the slot was approximately 3100 MHz. Increasing the length of the slotted hole L led to a downward trend in the resonant frequency of the half-wavelength loop antenna. This is because the slotted hole mode (3100 MHz) is similar in frequency to the fundamental mode of the coupled monopole (2450 MHz). Therefore, we can conclude that changing the length of the slot also affects the coupled monopole (basic mode). The analysis of these two parameters confirmed that the slot length L can independently control the mode of the WLAN 2.4-GHz band but does not substantially affect the WLAN 5-GHz band [Fig. 12(a)]. The design of the bending loop also allows for adjustment in the degree of modal matching in the WLAN 5-GHz band. Furthermore, the same independent characteristic does not affect the resonance mode of the WLAN 2.4-GHz band. These results demonstrate that the design of the WLAN dual-band (2.4-GHz/5-GHz) antenna can be flexibly adjusted. Subsequently, the band-pass filter was designed and analyzed. In Ant 3, a curved metal line segment connects point I to point J in order to couple it to
the metal frame. The fundamental mode and higher-order modes of the coupled monopole were resonated. A longer path was used to form a distributed inductance; subsequently, the lumped capacitance $C_b$ was connected in series to form a band-pass filter. As presented in Fig. 13, the frequency bands that could be filtered from $S_3$ were either less than 2.3 GHz or ranged from 2.5 to 5.1 GHz. The filter function enabled the use of the WLAN 2.4-GHz and 5-GHz frequency bands. This design avoids the interference caused by other adjacent modal resonances. Part of the bending loop was used to adjust the impedance matching of the WLAN 5G band. For the sake of brevity, this is not discussed herein. Regarding Ant 4, we analyzed the dual resonance mode of the direct-fed metal frame and the dual open slot. Fig. 14 confirms that when the frame was coupled by the strip (corresponding to the Ant 4a state), resonance modes were generated at 820 MHz for the dual-slot mode and at 1900 MHz for the coupled metal frame mode. Regarding the direct-fed antenna in the metal frame (corresponding to the Ant 4b state), a usable resonance mode, namely the monopole mode, was generated at 930 MHz. The end inductor $L_a$ (corresponding to the Ant 4c state) was used to go to ground in order to form a high-pass filter matching circuit. Thus, the degree of impedance matching was successfully adjusted at 820 MHz for the dual-open-slot mode and at 930 MHz for the metal frame mode. The antenna with a dual-band band-pass filter design was further analyzed. The following three structures are discussed separately: Ant 4c (inductance $L_a$ on terminal only), Ant 4d (a strip with inductance $L_b$), and Ant 4 (for the case of the proposed antenna). Fig. 15 shows the dual resonance mode of Ant 4c. During the analysis, the surface current distribution was examined. As presented in Fig. 16(a), the outer metal frame was in the monopole mode. Fig. 16(b) demonstrates the resonance of the GSM frequency band under the dual-slot mode. Next, consider the Ant 4d state (a strip with inductance $L_b$) to which a ground inductance $L_b$ of 18 nH has been added. Part of the current on the metal frame was guided to the grounding surface [Fig. 16(c)]. The current flow on the metal frame thus formed a loop resonance path. The edge of the slot in the same area is shown in Fig. 16(d). From

![FIGURE 9. Comparison of (a) the reflection coefficient and (b) the input impedance of the parasitic monopole length S of Ant 1.](image-url)
another perspective, the dual-open-slot mode was resonanced at 820 MHz. On the metal frame, except for the coupling line segment, the surface current on the outer metal frame changes from M to O and then from point O to the ground inductance ($L_b = 18 \text{ nH}$). The current starts at point N and flows along the edge of the slot. Fig. 16(e) indicates the formation of the 3D bending unipolar mode in which the metal frame and the slot coexist. Resonance is observable at 1250 MHz. The capacitor $C_c$ was added to Ant 4 (the case of the proposed antenna). The frequency band impedance was adjusted; therefore, the original loop mode resonance path of Ant 4b, corresponding to Fig. 16(e), changed. The current path of the resonance mode is shown in Fig. 16(f), which corresponds to the monopole mode formed by the metal frame. Finally, the capacitor $C_c$ was added in series with the inductance $L_b$ to form a band rejection filter. The function enabled adjustments to impedance matching in the 3D bending monopole mode. Fig. 16(h) displays the current contributed to the GPS frequency band (1575 MHz). This concludes the discussion on the filter matching circuit. Fig. 17 indicates that when the capacitance $C_c$ was increased, the three resonant frequencies in the GSM and GPS modes uniformly shifted to a lower frequency. This has a considerable impact on the GSM dual.
resonance mode, mainly attributable to the increase in the capacity of the capacitive impedance at low frequencies—that is, the path of the resonance current to the ground plane. In Fig. 18, when \( L_b \) was increased, the GPS band resonance mode shifted to a low frequency, whereas the GSM dual resonance mode gradually converged. Notably, the changes in \( C_c \) and \( L_b \) were all due to the modalities of the three resonance paths (i.e., metal frame current, dual open-slot, and 3D bending monopole) with regard to the passage of currents from point O to point N. This further leads to changes in the resonant frequencies of the three modes. The band-stop filter in Ant 4 is discussed and analyzed as follows.

The frequency response in Fig. 19 was examined and \( S_{45} \) was analyzed. The frequency response exerted a dual-band (center frequencies of 0.68 and 1.22 GHz) band-stop filter effect, with the frequency range of GSM 850/900 filtered out. In the final state (Fig. 20), a lumped capacitor \( C_c \) was added and a lumped inductor \( L_b \) was connected in series to form a band-stop filter. The analysis of \( S_{45} \) in Fig. 20 revealed that all three frequency bands functioned as approximate band-stop filters (center frequencies of 0.9 and 1.575 GHz). In sum, this band-stop filter affected the resonance modes of the GSM 850/900 and GPS frequency bands (1575 GHz). At the same time, favorable antenna performance was achieved.

**IV. MIMO CHARACTERISTIC AND HANDTISSUE DISCUSSION**

The application of an 5G FR1 band in a 2 × 2 MIMO antenna setting is the future trend for 5G smart watches. The premise is to employ a 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band and a 2 × 2 antenna design in a small space within a smart watch. The impact of the metal body must be considered in the design process. Achieving favorable MIMO antenna performance is a major challenge in this regard.

**A. DIVERSITY PERFORMANCE AND CHANNEL CAPACITY**

The proposed antennas utilize the gaps of the metal frame. The function of the slot antenna (Ant 1) was realized through proper excitation. Field diversity with regard to the angle of the main beam direction was achieved in the proposed antenna because of the characteristics of the slot antenna on the frame (Ant 2). This is because of the physical direction of the opening of the slot antennas in Ant 1 and Ant 2. As shown in Fig. 21, the \( E_\theta \) (Phi) of Ant 1 on the XY plane was \(-80^\circ\), and the \( E_\theta \) (Phi) of Ant 2 was \(106^\circ\). Moreover, the distance between Ant 1 and Ant 2 was only 14.5 mm (0.13 \( \lambda_0 \)). Even without the addition of decoupling components to the design, the isolation performance still met the commercial application standard (less than \(-10 \text{ dB}\)).
In general, the diversity effect between antenna arrays yields lower envelope correlation coefficients [40], [41], as indicated in equation (2). Both the radiation field size and the spatial direction angle of the two antennas must be considered in the calculation.

$$\rho_e(\text{ECC}) = \left| \int_{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{E}_1(\theta, \phi) \cdot \mathbf{E}_2(\theta, \phi)}{d\Omega} \right|^2 d\Omega$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$DG = 10 \sqrt{1 - (\text{ECC})^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\eta_{\text{MUX}} = \sqrt{1 - |\rho|^2} \eta_1 \eta_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Another critical parameter for evaluating the degree of antenna diversity performance is diversity gain (DG) [42]. As indicated in equation (3), the ECC results directly affect the DG results. DG is in the optimal state when ECC = 0, indicating the absence of mutual interference between the antennas. This situation produces a DG of 10 dB. The interference between the corresponding antennas is the most severe when DG = 0 dB (ECC = 1). On this basis, the ECC and DG of the proposed antenna are combined in Fig. 22(a). Whether simulated or measured, the ECC performance of the proposed antenna is below 0.1, considerably lower than the commercial application standard (ECC = 0.5). In the 5G FR1 band, the DG is also above 9.97, confirming that the diversity effect between MIMO antennas is excellent.

Whether simulated or measured, the ECC performance of the proposed antenna is below 0.1, considerably lower than the commercial application standard (ECC = 0.5). In the 5G FR1 band, the DG is also above 9.97, confirming that the diversity effect between MIMO antennas is excellent. Furthermore, the peak gain and multiplexing efficiency in two scenarios (with and without metal straps) were evaluated. Multiplexing efficiency [43] is defined in equation (4), where the gains of the two MIMO antennas are expressed as $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$, respectively, and where $\rho$ represents the complex envelope correlation coefficient between the two antennas. Moreover, $\rho$ can be approximated to $|\text{ECC}|^2$. The optimal theoretical state is achieved when the efficiency of both antennas is 100% and they do not affect each other at all (ECC = 0). In this situation, $\eta_{\text{MUX}}$ equals 0 dB. However, achieving the ideal state in practical applications is impossible. In the design of wearable device antennas, the commercial application standard requires the antenna efficiency to exceed 30% and the ECC to be less than 0.5. This standard calculation corresponds to a $\eta_{\text{MUX}}$ of $-5.85$ dB. As presented in Fig. 22(b), in the scenario without a metal strap, the peak gain was approximately equal to 2−5 dBi. The peak gain of the proposed antenna in the scenario with a metal strap was higher: 3−5.8 dBi. This phenomenon indicates that the metal strap has a positive effect on the antenna gain. A detailed discussion on these results is presented in the following section. Data on the performance of the proposed antenna were comprehensively examined to obtain the multiplexing efficiency, the results of which were all above −2dB, higher than the commercial application standard. Finally, the ECC of the MIMO antenna was substituted into equation (5) to calculate the channel capacity. In the equation, $\rho_{12}$ was considered with regard to the radiation field of the two antennas on the basis of the spherical coordinate system.
Equation 6 contains the efficiency \((a\%, b\%)\) of Ant 1 and Ant 2. In the calculation, Rayleigh fading was used as the standard [43], and the reflection interference and influence of numerous of scattered signals in the space were taken into account, including the maximum and minimum attenuation. The channel capacity was computed by averaging 10,000 Rayleigh fading realizations, and the signal-to-noise ratio was 20 dB. As shown in Fig. 23, the channel capacity of the \(2 \times 2\) MIMO array was approximately 8.8 bps/Hz. According to the optimal theoretical rate (11.5 bps/Hz) [44], the transmission rate of channel capacity reached 76.5\%, in line with the requirements of 5G communications and IoT applications.

\[
C = \log_2 \left| 1 + \frac{SNR \cdot a\%}{SNR \cdot \rho_{12} \cdot b\%} \cdot \frac{SNR \cdot \rho_{21} \cdot a\%}{1 + SNR \cdot b\%} \right| \tag{5}
\]

In short, the proposed antenna performed well when used in a 5G FR1 band within a \(2 \times 2\) MIMO configuration. The radiation pattern is displayed in Fig. 24; the loop-shaped line segment in Ant 3 is coupled to the metal frame. The field pattern effectively radiates toward the exterior of the device (corresponding to the \(-X\) direction). This design helps reduce the specific absorption rate (SAR) and shortens the resonance path. By using the length of the L-shaped slot, the impedance matching of the WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz frequency band can be adjusted at the same time.

### B. HAND TISSUE AND SAR EVALUATION

Fig. 25(a) presents the scenario where the proposed antenna is placed on a simulated wrist model composed of skin, fat, muscle, and bone. The thicknesses of these components in human tissue structure are 0.65, 4.55, 1.3, and 26 mm, respectively, and the distance between the antenna and the wrist model is 2 mm. Fig. 25(b) displays the side view of the proposed antenna on the wrist model. The radiation efficiencies of the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band, WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz band, GSM 850/900, and GPS frequency bands in free space and in the hands-on state were compared. The center frequency of each frequency band was taken as the setting of the dielectric coefficient and the conductivity of the skin, fat, muscle, and bone [45], [46], as shown in Table 2. These subitem settings ensured the independent accuracy of the simulation of each resonant frequency band.

**TABLE 2. Relative permittivity and conductivity in wrist tissue.**

| Wrist tissue | \(\varepsilon_1\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_2\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_3\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_4\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_5\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_6\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_7\) GHz | \(\varepsilon_8\) GHz |
|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bone        | 2.02 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 | 2.01 2.18 2.65 2.15 2.15 |
| Fat         | 5.54 5.53 5.1 4.9 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 | 5.06 5.11 5.18 5.27 |
| Skin        | 2.60 1.98 3.63 3.53 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 | 2.96 1.96 1.96 3.46 |
| Muscle      | 3.54 3.52 3.50 3.48 3.88 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 | 3.10 3.14 3.30 4.6 |

We first introduced the design principle for combining the antenna with a metal strap. The metal strip can be regarded as an extension of the ground plane \((-Z\) direction), affecting...
the current direction of the ground plane. After the metal strap was added, the current phase of the ground plane was in the dipole mode direction (Table 3). The radiation pattern of Ant 1 in Fig. 26 shows that the radiation pattern is a null point in the $\pm Z$ direction. The L-slot resonance mode radiates in the $-Y$ direction, and the superposition of far-field energy increases the gain and directivity of the radiation field in this direction. In the condition without the metal strap, the vector current distribution on the grounding surface flowed in the form of a loop antenna mode, helping the field pattern more closely resemble omnidirectional radiation (Table 4). Tables 3 and 4 present the gain and directivity in the presence and absence, respectively, of metal strips. The radiation efficiency was almost the same in these two conditions. When a metal strap was considered in the proposed antenna system, gain and radiation were higher, and a zero point was generated in the $-Z$ direction (corresponding to the wrist position). The results indicate that this design can reduce the gradual decline over time in the radiation efficiency of the antenna when placed in a wearable device, a phenomenon attributable to the loss in electromagnetic energy caused by proximity to the human body. Part of the energy that the antenna radiates is absorbed by the human body and converted into heat. Therefore, the radiation efficiency of the antenna is reduced. After the optimization of the radiation field pattern, owing to the addition of metal strips, the radiation efficiency of the antenna can be enhanced. Table 5 presents the efficiency of the proposed antenna system in free space and on the wrist model. As mentioned, a favorable radiation efficiency of $>25\%$ in each working frequency band of Ant 1–Ant 4 was achieved. As shown in Fig. 27, the radiation efficiency met commercial standards. Although the radiation field pattern of Ant 2 was affected by the metal strap, directivity was retained.

### TABLE 3. Proposed antenna system with the addition of a metal strap.

| Proposed antenna with metal wristband | Gain (dBi) | Directivity (dBi) | Efficiency (%) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|
| Ant 1 | Open slot | 5G FR1 Band (n77/78/79) | 3.2 – 5.7 | 5.2 – 6.6 | 62 – 80 |
| Ant 2 | Open slot | 5G FR1 Band (n77/78/79) | 2.0 – 4.1 | 3.8 – 4.8 | 66 – 88 |

### TABLE 4. Proposed antenna system without the addition of a metal strap.

| Proposed antenna without metal wristband | Gain (dBi) | Directivity (dBi) | Efficiency (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------|
| Ant 1 | Open slot | 5G FR1 Band (n77/78/79) | 2.3 – 4.7 | 4.7 – 6.0 | 57 – 74 |
| Ant 2 | Open slot | 5G FR1 Band (n77/78/79) | 1.8 – 3.8 | 3.8 – 4.4 | 62 – 78 |

### Current phase of ground plane

| Phase | Phase |
|-------|-------|
| $0^\circ$ | $90^\circ$ |
| $180^\circ$ | $270^\circ$ |
TABLE 5. Simulated efficiency of the proposed antenna system in free space and on the wrist model.

| Proposed Antenna | Free space Efficiency (%) | On wrist Efficiency (%) |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ant 1 (3300 – 5000 MHz) | 63 – 79 | 47 – 62 |
| Ant 2 (3300 – 5000 MHz) | 61 – 87 | 25 – 39 |
| Ant 3 (2400 – 2500 MHz) | 61 – 68 | 35 – 41 |
| Ant 3 (5150 – 5850 MHz) | 48 – 80 | 39 – 62 |
| Ant 4 (820 – 960 MHz) | 71 – 83 | 27 – 30 |
| Ant 4 (1565 – 1585 MHz) | 48 – 62 | 28 – 36 |

However, this radiation was mainly concentrated in the −X direction (toward the fingers). Therefore, the radiation efficiency was reduced. By contrast, Ant 4 had a lower frequency band than the other antennas, and the radiation pattern was omnidirectional. Therefore, its performance on the wrist model was substantially poorer, resulting in a sharp decline in the radiation efficiency in the hands-on condition. Fig. 26 displays the radiation field pattern in the presence and absence of a metal strap. When a metal strap was added to the proposed antenna system, we observed that the radiation field pattern exhibited directivity and that the energy radiating in the direction of the wrist (−Z direction) was reduced. This design can be effective in improving both the radiation efficiency of hands-on use and the battery life of the smart watch. The SAR, a measure of the electromagnetic energy absorbed by the human body, is expressed as the unit mass of the dielectric and represents the relationships between electric field strength, conductivity, mass density, and absorption rate as follows:

\[
\text{SAR} = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}
\]

where \( E \) is the strength of the electric field in the tissue (V/m) and \( \sigma \) and \( \rho \) are the conductivity (S/m) and mass density (kg/m\(^3\)) in the tissue, respectively. To simulate the effect of the proposed antenna system on human wrist tissue, we imported the wrist model into simulation software (CST Studio Suite) to analyze the SAR value [47]. The 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band, 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band, WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz band, and GSM 850/900 plus GPS band corresponded to ports 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. To ensure the safety of the wearer of such devices, the US Federal Communications Commission—the relevant regulatory authority—has SAR standards. On this basis, the SAR was set according to the IEEE C95.1-2005 standard [2]. The SAR was assessed on a wrist manikin [6]. The SAR values corresponding to all resonance modes after antenna excitation are displayed in Table 6. Fig. 28 presents a scenario where the antenna system and the wrist tissue were 2 mm apart. The distribution of SAR values excited by Ant 1 at 3.6 GHz was mainly concentrated in the −Z and −Y directions, corresponding to the skin and fat layers of human wrist tissue. This is because the L-slot in the antenna design caused the current to be predominantly concentrated in the ground plane and the slotted hole. As indicated in Fig. 29, when Ant 2 was excited at 3.6 GHz, the SAR was concentrated on both sides of +y and −y. Ant 2, an L-slot antenna, was designed to be in the middle of the substrate. Therefore, the current excited by the antenna flowed in the +y and −y directions. The loop-shaped curved metal strips in the Ant 3 setup allowed for coupling with the metal frame during excitation. Radiation
was designed to follow the $-x$ direction. Fig. 30 displays the same result. When Ant 3 was excited at 2.45 and 5.65 GHz, the SAR values were all distributed in the $-x$ direction. In Ant 4, the current at the open slot (corresponding to the $-Y$ direction) was relatively strong. The SAR values (0.82, 0.93, and 1.575 GHz) were all concentrated in the $-y$ direction (Fig. 31). We confirmed that the SAR value of the proposed antenna system was less than the corresponding 10-g SAR limit value of 4 W and the 1-g SAR limit value of 1.6 W set by the US Federal Communications Commission. Our results confirm that the proposed antenna system was effective in making the radiation field directional and in reducing the radiation in the wrist direction ($-Z$) after the metal strap was added. In the wrist model, a favorable radiation efficiency exceeding 25% was maintained, effectively increasing the battery life of the wearable device and reducing the radiation impact of the antenna on human wrist tissue.

**TABLE 6.** Sar values when the antenna system and wrist tissue were 2 mm apart.

| Proposed Antenna Port | Operation Bands          | Operation Frequency (MHz) | 10-g SAR (W/kg) | 1-g SAR (W/kg) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Port 1 with wrist tissue | (1) 5G FRI Band ($\mu$T/78/79) | 3600                      | 0.67           | 1.08          |
| Port 1 with wrist tissue | (1) 5G FRI Band ($\mu$T/78/79) | 4400                      | 0.64           | 1.01          |
| Port 2 with wrist tissue | (1) 5G FRI Band ($\mu$T/78/79) | 3600                      | 0.98           | 1.21          |
| Port 2 with wrist tissue | (1) 5G FRI Band ($\mu$T/78/79) | 4400                      | 1.21           | 1.54          |
| Port 3 with wrist tissue | (2) WLAN 2.4G            | 2450                      | 0.49           | 0.93          |
| Port 3 with wrist tissue | (3) WLAN 5G              | 5500                      | 0.41           | 0.84          |
| Port 4 with wrist tissue | (4) GSM 850/900          | 820                       | 0.25           | 0.788         |
| Port 4 with wrist tissue | (4) GSM 850/900          | 930                       | 0.54           | 1.05          |
| Port 4 with wrist tissue | (5) GPS                  | 1575                      | 0.26           | 0.58          |

**Operation Frequency Bands:**
1. 5G FRI Band (77/78/79 MHz–500 MHz),
2. WLAN 2.4G (2400 MHz–2500 MHz),
3. WLAN 5G (5150 MHz–5850 MHz),
4. GSM 850/900 (824 MHz–960 MHz),
5. GPS (1575 MHz)

**FCC Limitation:**
10-g SAR 4.0 (W/kg), 1-g SAR 1.6 (W/kg)

**C. COMPARATIVE REVIEW OF ANTENNAS IN SMART DEVICES**

This section reviews studies on built-in antennas in smart wearable devices. Table 7 lists the types of wearable antennas using various frequency bands. One antenna design was effective in reducing radiation in the direction of the wrist, but the antenna system occupied the entire loop area of the watch device in a single frequency band [1]. In [2], after the metal appearance was examined, the overall frame was
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FIGURE 28. SAR distributions for Ant 1 excited at 3.6 GHz when the antenna system and wrist tissue were 2 mm apart visualized using CST software under the following conditions: (a) 10-g SAR and (b) 1-g SAR.

FIGURE 29. SAR distributions for Ant 2 excited at 3.6 GHz when the antenna system and wrist tissue were 2 mm apart visualized using CST software under the following conditions: (a) 10-g SAR and (b) 1-g SAR.

FIGURE 30. SAR distributions for Ant 3 excited at 2.45 and 5.5 GHz when the antenna system and wrist tissue were 2 mm apart visualized in CST software under the following conditions: (a) 10-g SAR and (b) 1-g SAR under excitation at 2.45 GHz and (c) 10-g SAR and (d) 1-g SAR under excitation at 5.65 GHz.

directly used to achieve loop-antenna-type resonance. A high-order resonance mode was also employed. Duan and Xu discussed an antenna with an umbrella-shaped monopole for device implantation in medicine and telemetry [3]. Wu et al. investigated an internal shorted monopole providing a wide bandwidth for Bluetooth operations in the 2.4-GHz band [4]. In one study, a scenario involving a completely metallic case and strap was considered. Designed with a single frequency band (2.4 GHz under Wi-Fi), the metal surface area of the watch was $\pi \times 23 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2$. A circular slot antenna with an arc length of 97 mm was used [5]. In another study, the antenna system was designed according to the diameter of the device, limiting flexibility in adjustment in commercial use [6]. In [7], the resonant frequency and matching were determined by the overall watch diameter, and the energy radiated by the antenna was focused in the direction of the wrist. A few investigations have employed MIMO arrays for BT/Wi-Fi bands and assessed pattern diversity performance [6], [7]. Multifrequency resonance was achieved after shared slots and shared radiators were used to resolve the problem of the metal appearance. However, the MIMO array and the SAR evaluation in the context of 5G applications were not considered [8]. In [9], the upper layer of the device had an LDS frame with a relative permittivity of 2.92, whereas the lower layer was an IFA antenna with a nonmetallic frame. In a study by Xu and Duan, resonance in the overall metal strap and ground plane was achieved in LTE (700 and 1.7–2.7 GHz) [10]. Since 2015, 4G frequency bands and LTE
C. T. Liao et al.: Multiple Integrated Antennas for Wearable Fifth-Generation Communication and IoT Applications

FIGURE 31. SAR distributions for Ant 4 excited at 0.82, 0.93, and 1.575 GHz when the antenna system and wrist tissue were 2 mm apart visualized using CST software under the following conditions: (a) 10-g SAR and (b) 1-g SAR under excitation at 0.82 GHz; (c) 10-g SAR and (d) 1-g SAR under excitation at 0.93 GHz; and (e) 10-g SAR and (f) 1-g SAR under excitation at 1.575 GHz.

frequency bands have gradually been adopted in wearable devices. In recent years, LTE, GPS, and Wi-Fi 2.4-GHz bands have also been integrated into smart devices [10]. A cuboid wrist model measuring 70 × 50 × 40 mm³ was used in another relevant investigation [24]. The design achieved bandwidth enhancement and was applied in biomedical monitoring [25]. Hamouda et al. reported on a spiral-shaped planar inverted-F antenna for use in a medical implant communication service [26]. Sojuyigbe and Daniel used a PCB-integrated antenna and evaluated the effect of metal shielding on antenna performance in smart watches [27]. A similar design concept involved the application of a loop antenna in a metal frame in smart watches employing GPS, Bluetooth, and Wi-Fi technology [16]. The millimeter-wave frequency band was designed for the configuration of 2 × 2 MIMO arrays and the evaluation of SAR [28]. Circularly polarized antennas [29] and semiflexible antennas [30] have been proposed for biomedical applications. Antennas using the Wi-Fi 2.4-GHz band or Wi-Fi 5-GHz band have been common in studies published over the past year [31], [32].

A textile antenna featuring a patch with a C-shape etching slot was proposed for Wi-MAX applications [33]. Moreover, IoT applications involving wireless charging have been proposed for integrated circuits [34]. Others have suggested designing a dual circular patch [35] or a wearable antenna with a resettable frequency band [36] for human tissue sensing and biomedical applications. Dang proposed employing shorting strategies in textile applications, specifically in buttons on clothing [37]. Recently, the incorporation of radiofrequency identification technology into wearable systems [38] was considered, as was the dual coverage of the Wi-Fi 2.4-GHz band and the 5G n77 band (3.4–3.6 GHz) for off-body communication [39]. Table 7 presents a comparison of studies with regard to several indicators. Numerous studies on wearable devices have investigated the influence of wrist models on antenna performance [1], [8], [25], [27]–[31], [35]. In most studies, designs were specific to a single frequency band [1]–[7], [24], [28]–[32], [34], [35], [38]. However, shortcomings remain in the comparison of various indicators. With the development of the IoT, connections through 5G communications technology are becoming increasingly ubiquitous. The design and performance of antennas in wearable devices, as well as the frequency bands used, must keep pace with these technological advances. The achievement of field diversity in a small space supports a multiantenna MIMO configuration. A critical consideration is whether each frequency band meets international standards under the addition of a wrist model. In this study, the low-attenuation-specific GSM 850/900 band and NB-IoT band 8 were employed. Furthermore, we designed an antenna utilizing the GPS (1575 MHz) band for IoT and RTLS scenarios. Notably, the 5G FR1 n77/n78/n79 band was configured as a 2 × 2 MIMO array, and a diversity function was realized. Examination of the results presented in the literature indicates that the wearable device antenna can be combined with a metal frame and a metal strap, covering not only the common WLAN 2.4/5G frequency band but also narrowband IoT band 8 and GSM, GPS, and 5G bands.
| Table 7: Performance comparison of Wearable antennas. |
|----------------------------------------------------|
| **Ref.** | **Antennas type** | **Operation frequency bands** | **Dimensions (mm²)** | **Pattern diversity** | **MIMO** | **Optimization design on hand** | **Scope of application** | **SAR evaluation** |
| [1] | Annular slot | 2.4G Wi-Fi | 21×10×10 | No | No | Yes | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [2] | Loop antenna into metal frame | 2.4G Wi-Fi | 50×40×5 | Yes | Yes | No | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [3] | Wristband antenna with umbrella-shaped monopole | 2.4G Wi-Fi | 60×30×60 | No | No | No | 4G LTE | No |
| [4] | Shorted monopole | 2.4G Wi-Fi | 37×27×10 | No | No | No | 4G LTE | No |
| [5] | Slot antenna | 2.4G Wi-Fi | 23×23×π | Yes | Yes | No | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [6] | Split ring resonator | 2.4G Wi-Fi 2x2 MIMO | 19×7.5 | No | No | No | BT/WiFi applications | Yes |
| [7] | Theory of Characteristic Modes | 2.4G Wi-Fi 2x2 MIMO | 18×7.5 | No | No | No | Biomedical healthcare monitoring | No |
| [8] | Shared-Slots, IFA, Loop, Shared Radiators | 2G GPS 1575, 2.4G Wi-Fi 850/900 | 45×6×5.8 | No | No | Yes | Medical and telemetry with implanted device | No |
| [9] | IFA antenna | 2G LTE B1/B2/B3/B4/B13 | 44×4×10 | No | No | No | Medical Implant Communication Service | No |
| [10] | Metal belt for monopole and dipole antenna | 700MHz–1.7GHz | 40×40×35 | No | No | No | BT/WiFi applications | Yes |
| [11] | Loop antenna | 2.4G Wi-Fi 2.4G/5G | 40×40×4 | No | No | No | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [12] | Differentially fed of loop antenna | 2G GPS 1575 | 19×39×2.6 | No | No | No | 4G LTE | No |
| [13] | Partial slotted ground | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 40×20×4 | No | No | No | 4G LTE | No |
| [14] | Spiral planar inverted-F antenna | 4G 402–405MHz | 25×25×π | No | No | No | GPS, BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [15] | Chip antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 35×25×10 | No | No | Yes | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [16] | Electromagnetic bands | 2G LTE | 19.04×15.06 | No | Yes | Yes | On-body applications | Yes |
| [17] | Circularly polarized (CP) MIMO antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 40×40×1.6 | No | Yes | No | Wearable biotelemetric devices | Yes |
| [18] | Semi- taxable antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 17×25×0.787 | No | No | No | Biomedical telemetry applications | Yes |
| [19] | Metasurface antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 42×28×4 | No | No | No | Textile material wearable application | Yes |
| [20] | Robust Flexible Wearable Antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 77×47×2.7 | No | No | No | BT/WiFi applications | No |
| [21] | C-shape etching slot and antenna | 3.3–3.8 GHz, 4.5–5.5 GHz | 18×19×1 | No | No | No | Various substrates for Wi-MAX applications | Yes |
| [22] | 180 mm CMOS Low-Power Transmitter | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 2.3×5×0.001 | No | No | No | Wireless charging of IoT | No |
| [23] | Dual circular patch | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 40×40×1.6 | No | No | Yes | Human tissue sensing | No |
| [24] | Reconfigurable wearable textile antenna | 1.82–3.79 GHz | 60×50×3.2 | No | No | No | Biomedical applications | No |
| [25] | Shorting strategies antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G | 60×40×3.2 | No | No | No | Buttons textile applications | No |
| [26] | Circularly Polarized Patch Antenna | 0.915 GHz | 50×50×4.5 | No | No | No | Wearable systems | No |
| [27] | Dual-band foldering antenna | 2G Wi-Fi 2.4G, 3.4–3.6 GHz | 60×60×4.2 | No | No | No | On-body communication | Yes |
| [28] | Integrated and Coupled Metal Frame, Slot, Loop, Short and Bandpass filter | 2G GSM 1800 MHz | 43×37×8 | Yes | Yes | Yes | 5G communication systems, the IoT, and Real-time location systems | Yes |
FR1 n77/78/79 bands. The device proposed in this study features a 2 × 2 MIMO array and coverage of the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band. Multiantenna pattern diversity and SAR were evaluated.

V. CONCLUSION
This paper presents an antenna system for wearable devices that has potential for use in 5G and IoT applications. The electromagnetic shielding problem caused by the metal frame and metal strap of the smart watch was resolved. The frequency bands covered were WLAN 2.4-GHz/5-GHz channels (2410–2484 MHz), GPS 1575 MHz, narrowband IoT band 8 (880–915 MHz), GSM 850/900, and the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 band (3300–5000 MHz). The mutually reinforcing performance of the metal strap and the antenna system was discussed. Notably, a diversity effect was achieved with regard to radiation pattern. For the installation of the 2 × 2 MIMO arrays in the narrow device, the envelope correlation coefficients were all less than 0.1. The SAR was examined in the context of wearable devices and hand tissue. Our results are in line with commercial standards and international norms. Regarding overall frequency band contributions, the coupled frame radiation, slot mode, loop mode, and matching network were integrated. The performance of the MIMO array was evaluated, and the DG and multiplexing efficiency were imported into the proposed antenna values; the results were excellent. Finally, the channel capacity of the 5G FR1 n77/78/79 frequency band was calculated, reaching 76.5% of the theoretical upper limit, in line with the requirements for improving the spectrum efficiency. Thus, our antenna system can be employed in applications involving 5G communication systems, the IoT, and RTLS.
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