Targeted cellular metabolism for cancer chemotherapy with recombinant arginine-degrading enzymes
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ABSTRACT:

It has been shown that a subset of human cancers, notably, melanoma and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are auxotrophic for arginine (Arg), because they do not express argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS), the rate-limiting enzyme for the biosynthesis of arginine from citrulline. These ASS-negative cancer cells require Arg from extracellular sources for survival. When they are exposed to recombinant Arg-degrading enzymes, e.g. arginine deiminase (ADI) or arginase, they die because of Arg starvation; whereas normal cells which express ASS are able to survive. A pegylated ADI (ADI-PEG20) has been developed for clinical trials for advanced melanoma and HCC; and favorable results have been obtained. ADI-PEG20 treatment induces autophagy in auxotrophic cancer cells leading to cell death. Clinical studies in melanoma patients show that re-expression of ASS is associated with ADI-PEG20 resistance. ADI-PEG20 treatment down-regulates the expression of HIF-1α but up-regulates c-Myc in culture melanoma cells. Induction of ASS by ADI-PEG20 involves positive regulators c-Myc and Sp4 and negative regulator HIF1α. Since both HIF-1α and c-Myc play important roles in cancer cell energy metabolism, together these results suggest that targeted cancer cell metabolism through modulation of HIF-1α and c-Myc expression may improve the efficacy of ADI-PEG20 in treating Arg auxotrophic tumors.

Altered tumor metabolism as target for cancer chemotherapy

Dysregulation of cellular metabolism is a hallmark of many human malignancies. The metabolic differences between normal cells and tumor cells have provided opportunities for developing novel approaches for the diagnosis and treatment of cancer. The “Warburg effect” which describes the preferentially metabolizing glucose via anaerobic glycolysis instead of oxidative phosphorylation found in many cancers, led to the development of 2-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography [18FDG-PET] as a valuable oncology imaging tool [1]. Enhanced proliferative capacities found in tumor cells associated with aberrations of many signal transduction pathways resulting from genetic or epigenetic changes in oncogenes and/or tumor suppressor genes has led to the development of many targeted therapeutics for treating many types of malignancies. At the present time, most of our understanding about the dysregulated cancer cell metabolism remains at the “gross” physiological stages. As technological development advances, we may eventually be able to differentiate the metabolic differences between cancerous and normal cells at the single-tumor level, ultimately leading to the development of personalized cancer medicine. In this review, we focus on the recent development of targeted therapy of a subset of human malignancies with altered Arg metabolism.
Arginine-deficiency and auxotrophy in cancer cells

Arg is an intermediate metabolite in the urea cycle. De novo biosynthesis of Arg requires two sequential enzymatic steps: argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS) which catalyzes the synthesis of argininosuccinate from L-citrulline and aspartic acid, and argininosuccinate lyase (ASL) which converts argininosuccinate into L-Arg and fumaric acid (Fig. 1); of which, ASS is the rate-limiting enzyme. Fumarate is an important metabolite of tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, linking Arg metabolism to glucose-generated energy metabolism. Moreover, Arg is involved in many other important cellular metabolic pathways, including the biosyntheses of polyamine, creatine and nitric oxide, nucleotides, proline and glutamate biosyntheses [2-4].

In normal cells, ASS is a ubiquitous enzyme but its level of expression differs among different cell types and can be regulated by many extracellular factors. Expression of hepatic ASS can be transcriptionally regulated by cyclic AMP [5] and endothelial ASS expression is regulated by cytokines such as IL-1, TNF-α, and TGF-β1 and glutamate [6, 7]. Levels of ASS vary markedly in a wide spectrum of tumor tissues as compared with their corresponding normal counterparts. Elevated levels of ASS expression have been found in cancers of the ovary, stomach, and colon. By contrast, reduced or undetectable levels of ASS have been found in the majority of melanoma, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), mesotheliomas, renal cell carcinoma, and prostate cancers [8-11]. The mechanisms that control ASS expression in these tumor types remain elusive. The ASS-negative tumors are unable to survive if the systemic Arg supply is depleted. Therefore, they are auxotrophic for Arg.

Figure 1: Metabolic pathways relevant to Arg deprivation strategy using ADI-PEG20. Shown in the center are the coupled TCA and urea cycles. In the urea cycle, arginine is metabolized by arginase to citrulline and urea. Arginine can be metabolized into citrulline and nitric oxide (NO) by nitrooxide synthetase (NOS). Citrulline is converted into argininosuccinate (AS) by argininosuccinate synthetase (ASS). AS is recycled back to arginine by argininosuccinate lyase. In most melanoma cells, AS is not active, therefore, arginine must come from an external source. Treating melanoma cells with arginine deiminase (ADI), which converts arginine to citrulline and ammonia, results in arginine deprivation, leading to cell death in melanoma cells. We found that ASS expression can be induced in some melanoma cells involving the interplay of c-Myc/HIF-1α/Sp4. The relationships of this metabolic wiring and the function of HIF-1α and c-Myc are also indicated.
Targeted therapy of ASS-negative cancers with arginine-degrading enzymes

Two recombinant proteins that degrade Arg have been under development for treating Arg auxotrophic tumors: ADI and arginase. ADI catalyzes the conversion of arginine to citrulline and ammonia; and arginase degrades arginine to ornithine which is then converted to citrulline by ornithine carbamoyl transferase (OCT), an additional step for arginine-to-citrulline conversion (Fig. 1).

ADI is a bacterial enzyme secreted from Mycoplasma spp. into cultures. Sugimura et al [12] were the first to identify that ADI from M. arginini is a lymphoblastogenesis inhibitory factor. Miyazaki et al [13] reported that ADI purified from Mycoplasma-derived culture medium potently inhibits the growth of human tumor cells. Takaku et al [14] described the in vivo antitumor activity of ADI in melanoma animal models [15, 16]. However, because bacterial ADI has short half-life (~ 4 hr) in the circulation and is highly immunogenic, Holtsberg et al [17] formulated a pegADI with poly(ethylene glycol) of molecular mass of 20,000 Daltons for clinical use, termed ADI-PEG20. Phase I-II clinical trial in patients with advanced or metastatic melanoma showed antitumor activities, including partial and complete responses [18]. A 35% response rate has been seen with minimal toxicity [19]. Importantly, the response and stable diseases were only seen in patients with tumors that do not express ASS (L.F & NS, unpublished clinical data). Compared with the poor response rates (<20%) of malignant melanoma to current standard treatment options [20], ADI-PEG20 represents a novel approach for the targeted therapy of advanced melanoma. A phase I/IIa trial (n=19) [21] and a recent phase II trial [22] of ADI-PEG20 for human HCC showed the effectiveness of HCC treatment. In these studies, ADI-PEG20 treatment was well tolerated and effectively reduced serum Arg levels without adverse effects. However, antigenicity against ADI-PEG20 eventually arose about 50 days after the treatment [22].

The antigenicity associated with ADI-PEG20 treatment has motivated the development of recombinant human arginase (rhArg1) for targeting Arg auxotrophic tumors. While arginase is about 1000 times less potent as compared with ADI under physiologic condition [13], rhArg1 has also been under development for treating HCC [23]. Optimal activity for native arginase is at pH ~9.5, making it less active at physiological pH [24]. hArg1 contains two coordinated Mn$^{2+}$ ions at its active site. Replacing Mn$^{2+}$ with Co$^{2+}$ coordination in rhArg1 reduces its pH optimum to pH 7.4 and enhances its cytotoxic effects (~ 10-fold) to hepatoma cells in culture [25]. Thus, this bioengineered arginase warrants further clinical study.

Mechanisms of cell killing in targeted therapy of L-Arg auxotrophic cancer cells by arginine-depleting enzymes

While amino acid deprivation is known to induce nutritional starvation, how the depletion of a single amino acid such as Arg leads to cell death is not well-understood. ADI-PEG20- or arginase-induced cell death in cultured cells usually takes 3 to 6 days. However, early events such as induction of autophagy occurs can be detected 90 min. after the start of treatment [26, 27] and can last for over 72 hr [28]. Autophagy is a lysosomal degradation pathway which involves vesicular sequestration of proteins or organelles into autophagosomes which then fuse with lysosomes and become degraded [29, 30]. Autophagy occurs upon nutrition stress as a means to protect cells from apoptosis by re-cycle amino acid from protein degradation. In this regard, global metabolic stress could be detected in prostate cancer animal model 4 hr after ADI-PEG20 treatment as measured by $^{18}$FDG-PET [26]. Furthermore, activation of nutrient/energy-sensing pathway, which involves upregulation of AMPK/mTOR/S6K, is accompanied with the induction of autophagy in ASS-negative cells treated with ADI-PEG20. Several studies have shown that prolonged treatment with ADI-PEG20 in cultured cells induces apoptosis [27, 31, 32]. The exposure time that leads to apoptosis and the extent of apoptosis induced by ADI-PEG20 treatments are different among melanoma cell lines and may be related to the antiapoptotic machinery in the cells [27, 33].

Mechanisms of resistance to ADI-PEG20 mediated by the induction of argininosuccinate synthetase expression

One important finding in our phase I/II melanoma clinical trial with ADI-PEG20 was that patients who were initially ASS negative could become ASS positive upon relapse, suggesting that induction of ASS expression in tumors is likely to be a mechanism of ADI resistance. To investigate the induction mechanism of ASS expression by ADI-PEG20, we used three melanoma cell lines A2058, SK-MEL-2, and A375. These cell lines express undetectable levels of ASS. When grown in ADI-PEG20-containing medium or Arg-free medium, induction of ASS expression was seen in A2058 and SK-MEL-2 cells but not in A375 cells. Induction of ASS expression is associated with ADI-PEG20 resistance, which can be reversed when ASS expression is knocked down with siRNA, suggesting that ASS expression is correlated with ADI-PEG20 sensitivity [34]. Further investigation revealed that the positive transcriptional regulator c-Myc and the negative transcriptional regulator HIF-1α interacting with the E-box element located at the promoter of the ASS gene (34) controls the expression of ASS.
Before ADI-PEG20 treatment, HIF-1α binds to the E-box and silences ASS expression. Upon ADI treatment, HIF-1α level is rapidly downregulated (t½ ~ 45 min.) and c-Myc level is upregulated. c-Myc replaces HIF-1α binding to the promoter of ASS and transactivates the expression of ASS. No upregulation of c-Myc was found in ADI-PEG20-treated A375 cells and no switch between HIF-1α and c-Myc binding was found in A375 cells upon ADI-PEG20 treatment [34]. ADI-PEG20-resistant variants were found in A2058 cells and SK-MEL-2 cells but not in A375 cells. Thus, the inducibility of altered HIF-1α and c-Myc expression may be a predictive signal for the ADI-PEG20 sensitivity of cells as well as the ultimate development of drug resistance.

The observation that Arg deprivation induces up-regulation of c-Myc but down-regulation of HIF-1α in some melanoma cells but not in others is intriguing. c-Myc plays a central role in a transcriptional network that regulates cell growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and metabolic signaling [35, 36], and it has been demonstrated that c-Myc depletion inhibits cell proliferation in many types of human tumor cells [37]. In melanoma cells, c-Myc depletion induces senescence reminiscent of normal melanocytes [38]. Likewise, HIF-1α is also involved in the regulation of tumor growth, angiogenesis, and invasion [39]. Given the recent discoveries that c-Myc and HIF-1α are involved in the regulation of cancer energy metabolism [40, 41] (Fig. 1), our finding that c-Myc and HIF-1α have opposite responses to ADI-PEG20 treatment has important implications beyond the induction of ASS and ADI-PEG20 sensitivity, it underscores the global effects on cancer cell energy metabolism as well.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Targeted therapy of cancers auxotrophic for Arg in humans using Arg-degrading enzymes, e.g. ADI-PEG20, has been investigated at many cancer centers and promising results have been obtained. These types of cancers generally are difficult to treat with conventional chemotherapeutics. One important aspect of ADI-PEG20 treatment is that patients are well-tolerated because of low adverse side effects. This finding provides an opportunity to improve therapeutic efficacy by combining ADI-PEG20 with other antitumor agents. Previous studies have demonstrated that ADI-PEG20 treatment induces nutritional stress and activation of autophagy response, leading to cell death. Future development may combine the use of autophagy-targeting drugs to enhance the therapeutic effect of ADI-PEG20. Moreover, we have found that ADI-PEG20 treatment induces ASS re-expression which is associated with the emergence of ADI-PEG20 resistance. We have demonstrated the roles of c-Myc and HIF-1α in the regulation of ASS expression, providing a rationale for developing c-Myc- [42] and HIF-1α- targeting drugs [43] to combat the evolution of drug resistance. Finally, it remains important to elucidate the underlying mechanisms by which Arg deprivation regulates c-Myc and HIF-1α that may have global effect on cancer metabolism in the Arg auxotrophic cancer cells. This research may eventually lead to the development of effective therapeutics for targeting cancer cell metabolism in general and Arg auxotrophic cancer in particular.
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