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ABSTRACT

The response of many organisms to the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM; ~56 Ma) has been documented, but marine mollusks are not known from any deposits of that age. For the first time, we describe a PETM assemblage of pteropods (planktic mollusks), consisting of six species representing three genera (*Altaspiratella*, *Heliconoides* and *Limacina*). Four species could be identified to species level, and one of these, *Limacina novacaesarea* sp. nov., is described as new. Only the genus *Heliconoides* was previously known from pre-Eocene sediments, with a single Campanian specimen and one latest Paleocene species. We recovered pteropods from the Marlboro Clay (United States Atlantic Coastal Plain), deposited at paleodepths from inner shelf (southern Salisbury Embayment) to middle-outer shelf (New Jersey Coastal Plain). Most living pteropod assemblages inhabit water depths of 200 m or more, so their occurrence at shelf depths may reflect transport from more open waters. During the PETM, pH in the upper waters of the ocean may have declined, but this did not cause dissolution of pteropods before they reached the seafloor, possibly due to buffering in coastal waters. The apparently sudden appearance of three genera could reflect better preservation due to high sedimentation rates, since the underlying and overlying formations show poor preservation of calcareous microfossils. Potential ancestors, however, have not been found anywhere, so we consider it more likely that the rapid environmental changes during the PETM, such as temperature, runoff and nutrient fluxes, and ocean water chemistry, may have triggered pteropod diversification.
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INTRODUCTION

The early Paleogene was a climatically dynamic period, with relatively rapid global warming events, called hyperthermals, superimposed on a warm, greenhouse climate-state background (Zachos et al., 2001, 2008). The most extreme hyperthermal is the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) (Kennett and Stott, 1991; Thomas and Shackleton, 1996; Zachos et al., 2008), marked by a globally recorded negative carbon isotope excursion (CIE; Thomas and Shackleton, 1996; McInerney and Wing, 2011), the base of which marks the Paleocene-Eocene (P-E) boundary (Dupuis et al., 2003; Aubry et al., 2007). The PETM is characterized by worldwide 5–8° C warming of the Earth’s surface and deep oceans (McInerney and Wing, 2011; Dunkley Jones et al., 2013). Sea surface temperature records from the New Jersey Coastal Plain, USA, indicate up to 8° C local warming during the early stages of the PETM, with peak temperatures in excess of 33° C (Zachos et al., 2006, 2007; John et al., 2008). During the PETM, severe carbonate dissolution was widespread in the deep sea (Thomas, 1998; Zachos et al., 2005), and pH values declined in the upper waters of the oceans (the mixed layer) globally (Penman et al., 2014), and in the New Jersey Coastal Plain (Babila et al., 2016). Bottom waters in many coastal and marginal basins became anoxic or hypoxic (McInerney and Wing, 2011; Sluijs et al., 2014), including hypoxia in the New Jersey Coastal Plain (Gibson et al., 1993; Kopp et al., 2009; Stassen et al., 2015), regions along the Tethyan margins (Schulte et al., 2011; Dickson et al., 2014), and the Gulf Coastal Plain (Alabama, Mississippi, Louisiana, and Texas), USA (Sluijs et al., 2014). Oxygen Minimum Zones in open oceans may have expanded (Zhou et al., 2014).

Environmental changes during the PETM thus include abrupt warming, ocean acidification, deoxygenation, and repartitioning of nutrients in the oceans, with increased coastal nutrient fluxes and declining nutrient fluxes in open ocean due to increased stratification (Thomas, 1998; Gibbons et al., 2006; Winguth et al., 2012). These changes were associated with major evolutionary changes in the marine biosphere (Speijer et al., 2012), including the extinction of common, cosmopolitan deep-sea benthic foraminifera (e.g., Thomas, 1989, 1998, 2007), whereas the deep-sea metazoan ostracoda were less affected (e.g., Webb et al., 2009). The effect of the PETM on benthic foraminiferal communities was much less severe in shelf settings, including the New Jersey Coastal Plain (Gibson et al., 1993; Stassen et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2012c, 2015), but carbonate platform communities saw a turnover of larger foraminifera (e.g., Scheibner et al., 2005; Scheibner and Speijer, 2008). Planktic communities show latitudinal migrations and rapid evolutionary turnover (e.g., Kelly et al., 1996; Bralower, 2002; Gibbs et al., 2006a, 2006b; Schneider et al., 2013), with an acme of the dinoflagellate Apectodinium at middle and high latitudes (e.g., Crouch et al., 2001; Sluijs et al., 2007; Sluijs and Brinkhuis, 2009). A prominent increase in the number of marine vertebrate families resulted in the appearance of earliest representatives of several extant lineages of marine fish, e.g., Gymnodonta (Bannikov et al., 2016).

The PETM therefore had profound effects on terrestrial and open ocean ecosystems, but the response of marine mollusk communities is not as well understood, in large part because no assemblages are currently known from the PETM itself. Turnover between Gulf Coastal Plain late Paleocene (NP9) and early Eocene (NP10) benthic mollusks (i.e., bivalves and benthic gastropods) is unexceptional compared to other boundaries in the Paleogene (Dockery, 1998), and richness and a variety of ecologic parameters of these assemblages are similar, with no net changes evident across the PETM (see Paleogene time bins in Sessa et al., 2012).

Pteropods are marine holoplanktic gastropods that are systematically subdivided into two major groups (orders), the Thecosomata and Gymnosomata, of which only the former, with c. 80–85 modern species, have thin-walled aragonitic shells in the adult stage of most species. Thecosomatous pteropods are found in all the world’s oceans, but are much more diverse in tropical than in polar waters, although in the latter their biomass is larger (Bednaršek et al., 2012a). Along with temperature, productivity is a strong control on their distribution, and pteropod oozes are generated from highly productive surface waters. Their thin, fragile shells might be protected against dissolution by the organic outer layer (Peck et al., 2016), but they have been argued to rapidly dissolve in waters not supersaturated with aragonite, and they play an important role in current studies of ocean acidification (e.g., Bednaršek and Ohman, 2015 and references therein).

Thecosomatous pteropods generally have a wide geographic, but restricted stratigraphic distribution, making them useful in biostratigraphy (Janssen and King, 1988; Gürs and Janssen, 2004; Janssen, 2012), including long-distance cor-
relations (Janssen, 1990; King, 2016). Their fossil record is well documented from the Eocene on, although little is known about their possibly Cretaceous origins.

The fossilization of pteropods requires specific conditions. Sediments in the Mediterranean and Red Seas preserve pteropods due to a combination of warm bottom waters (which enhance precipitation and preservation of aragonite in the sediments) and high sedimentation rates (Herman, 1978). Paleogene pteropods are typically preserved as internal molds, although in some cases original shells have been recovered (e.g., Collins, 1934; Curry, 1982; Hodgkinson et al., 1992; Cauzac and Janssen, 2010). Nearly 50 species of Eocene pteropods are known from the Gulf Coastal Plain (Collins, 1934; Palmer and Brann, 1965; Hodgkinson et al., 1992). Prior to this study, only one Paleocene pteropod species was known from the Gulf Coastal Plain (Janssen, 2010); none were known from Paleocene or Eocene of the contiguous Atlantic Coastal Plain, which contains the New Jersey Coastal Plain. Here, we document that pteropods along the Atlantic Coastal Plain were quite diverse during the PETM, and we describe their assemblages.

**Geological Setting**

The Atlantic Coastal Plain is a region of low physiographic relief along the eastern coast of the USA, spanning from the state of Massachusetts in the north to the state of Florida in the south. It is composed of a series of embayments and arches, including the Salisbury Embayment between the Norfolk Arch and the South Jersey high (Gibson and Bybell, 1994; Kopp et al., 2009). The Salisbury Embayment is divided into a southern domain (Maryland, Virginia, and Delaware) and the northern domain of the New Jersey Coastal Plain (Figure 1).

The Salisbury Embayment was the site of intermittent marine onlap and deposition in a primarily terrestrial setting from the Early Cretaceous through most of the Paleogene. Beds of fluvial, deltaic, and open-shelf origin were deposited in a wedge-like, thickening seaward configuration. The most proximal core studied, the Mattawoman Creek-Billingsley Road core 2 (MCBR2; Self-Trail et al., 2013) is located near the western edge of the Embayment; the Cambridge-Dorchester core is more centrally located (Figure 1). The Paleocene upper Aquia Formation in the Salisbury Embayment consists of greenish black, clayey, and silty glauconitic quartzose sands, and is interpreted to represent inner and middle neritic environments (e.g., Nogan, 1964; Self-Trail et al., 2012, and references therein). The overlying, lowermost Eocene Marlboro Clay is composed of light gray to pinkish grey and reddish brown silty clays dominated by kaolinite, indicative of high fluvial influx (e.g., Gibson and Bybell, 1994; Gibson et al., 2000; Self-Trail et al., 2012). In the Cambridge-Dorchester core, the PETM has been identified by the negative shift in carbon isotopes and the presence of excursion planktic foraminifera (Livsey, 2015). In MCBR2, the PETM is identified by the negative shift in carbon isotopes, excursion dinoflagellates and calcareous nannoplankton, and an increase in the percent abundance of fern spores (Willard et al., 2009; Self-Trail et al., 2013).

The Clayton, Wilson Lake, and Bass River drill sites are located in the New Jersey Coastal Plain, which contains one of the most complete North Atlantic records of shallow marine Paleocene-Eocene boundary deposition (Olsson and...
Bass River is approximately 35 km down-dip along the basin gradient (Olsson and Wise, 1987), in waters estimated to have been about 35 m deeper than at Wilson Lake and Clayton (e.g., Harris et al., 2010; Figure 1). Benthic assemblages indicate a late Paleocene paleodepth for Wilson Lake and Clayton of around 100-110 m, for Bass River of ~140-150 m, with sea level rising by about 20-30 m during the transition into the PETM (Stuiver et al., 2008; Stassen et al., 2012c, 2015). Others consider that the paleodepths were considerably less, i.e., 39 m for Clayton and Wilson Lake during the PETM, 73 m for Bass River (Wright and Schaller, 2013; see also Harris et al., 2010).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wilson Lake (Gloucester County, New Jersey, USA), cored borehole of United States Geological Survey (USGS), coordinates N39°39′21″, W75°02′31″, drilled 2000. Samples from the Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian), as well as underlying and overlying glauconitic sands and silts, were analyzed for microfossils in the interval of 91.74 to 112.78 m. Samples yielding pteropods are specified in Table 1.

Bass River (Burlington County, New Jersey, USA), cored borehole drilled 1996, Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) leg 174X, in Bass River State Forest, 39°36′42″N, 74°26′12″W; elevation 28 ft (8.53 m; New Gretna, NJ, 7.5-min quadrangle); drilling operations were supervised by the Scientific Drilling Office of Texas A&M University (Miller et al., 1998). Samples from the Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian), as well as the underlying and overlying glauconitic sands and silts, were analyzed for microfossils in the interval of 347.50 to 365.64 m. Samples yielding pteropods are specified in Table 2.

Clayton (Gloucester County, New Jersey, USA), cored borehole drilled 1988 by the USGS, Pitman East 7.5′ quadrangle at 39°39′N, 75°6′W. Samples from the Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian), as well as the underlying and overlying glauconitic sands and silts, were analyzed for microfossils in the interval of 89.00 to 107.32 m. Samples yielding pteropods are specified in Table 3.

Samples were taken from the Wilson Lake, Clayton and Bass River cores in 2007, and processed for benthic foraminiferal studies (Stassen et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2012c, 2015); all cores were dry at the time of sampling. About 20-40 g of dry sediment was washed over a 63-µm sieve until complete disaggregation. The complete coarse fraction...
was checked for the presence of pteropods, and abundance of pteropods shown in Tables 1-3.

Sample spacing was 30 cm for most of the studied interval, up to 5-10 cm around the P-E boundary. Additional pteropods from two boreholes in Maryland are included in this study. Formal age models have not yet been established for these two cores. Cambridge-Dorchester regional airport (Dorchester County, Maryland, USA) cored bore-

| Sample number | Sample depth (m) | Age after CIE onset (ky) | Altaspiretella elongatoidea | Halicocnides mercenaris | Limacina aegiss | Limacina novacaesarea | Limacina sp.1 | Nannoplankton zone |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 19             | 97.23-97.29      | 66.58                    | -                           | 1                       | -              | -                   | -            | NP10a            |
| 20             | 97.54-97.60      | 65.20                    | 7                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 21             | 97.84-97.90      | 63.81                    | 1                           | 3                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 22             | 98.15-98.21      | 62.43                    | 2                           | 2                       | -              | 2                   | -            |                  |
| 23             | 98.45-98.51      | 61.05                    | 1                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 24             | 98.76-98.82      | 59.14                    | 3                           | 1                       | 1              | 1                   | -            |                  |
| 25             | 99.06-99.12      | 58.28                    | 6                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 26             | 99.36-999.43     | 56.90                    | 1                           | 3                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 27             | 99.67-99.73      | 55.51                    | 8                           | 1                       | 1              | 1                   | -            |                  |
| 28             | 99.97-100.04     | 54.13                    | 1                           | 1                       | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 29             | 100.28-100.34    | 52.74                    | 4                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 30             | 100.58-100.64    | 51.36                    | 7                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 31             | 100.89-100.95    | 49.98                    | 1                           | 6                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 32             | 101.19-101.25    | 48.57                    | 4                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 33             | 101.50-101.56    | 47.21                    | 6                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 34             | 101.80-101.86    | 45.83                    | 1                           | 15                      | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 36             | 102.41-102.47    | 43.06                    | 1                           | 1                       | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 37             | 102.72-102.78    | 41.68                    | 1                           | 2                       | -              | 1                   | -            |                  |
| 39             | 103.33-103.37    | 38.91                    | 1                           | 8                       | 1              | -                   | 1            |                  |
| 40             | 103.63-103.69    | 37.51                    | 5                           | 1                       | 1              | -                   | 1            |                  |
| 41             | 103.94-104.00    | 35.70                    | 3                           | 1                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 42             | 104.24-104.30    | 33.89                    | 3                           | 1                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 43             | 104.55-104.61    | 32.09                    | 3                           | -                       | 3              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 44             | 105.16-105.22    | 28.47                    | -                           | 3                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 45             | 106.07-106.13    | 23.05                    | -                           | 2                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 48             | 106.38-106.44    | 21.25                    | 1                           | 11                      | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 49             | 106.68-106.74    | 19.43                    | 2                           | 13                      | 1?             | -                   | -            |                  |
| 50             | 106.98-107.05    | 17.63                    | 1                           | 13                      | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 51             | 107.08-107.11    | 17.18                    | -                           | -                       | 12             | -                   | -            |                  |
| 52             | 107.17-107.20    | 15.83                    | 1                           | -                       | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 53             | 107.29-107.35    | 17.18                    | -                           | 4                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 54             | 107.47-107.50    | 14.76                    | -                           | 1                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 56             | 107.59-107.66    | 14.02                    | -                           | 11                      | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 57             | 107.69-107.72    | 13.57                    | 2                           | 3                       | 1              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 58             | 107.78-107.81    | 13.03                    | 5                           | 9                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 59             | 107.90-107.96    | 12.21                    | 4                           | 2                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 60             | 107.99-108.02    | 11.76                    | 2                           | 1                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 61             | 108.08-108.11    | 11.22                    | -                           | 2                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 62             | 108.20-108.26    | 10.41                    | 3                           | 12                      | 2              | -                   | 1            |                  |
| 63             | 108.39-108.42    | 9.41                     | 7                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 65             | 108.60-108.63    | 8.15                     | 1                           | -                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |
| 67             | 108.69-108.72    | 1                        | 3                           | 3                       | -              | -                   | -            |                  |

7.61
hole by the USGS in 2009, coordinates 38°32'4"N, 76°1'44"W. Samples from the upper Aquia Formation (late Paleocene, Thanetian) and the Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian) were analyzed for microfossils in the interval of 204.2 to 229.7 m. Samples were treated with a sodium hexametaphosphate solution for two hours, washed with buffered water through a 63-μm sieve, filtered through grade P5 filter paper, and oven dried at 40° C overnight (Livsey, 2015). Samples yielding pteropods are specified in Table 4.

Mattawoman Creek-Billingsley Road (MCBR2; Charles County, Maryland, USA) cored by the USGS in 2011, coordinates 38° 36’ 54.53"N, 77° 02’ 52.12"W. Samples from the upper Aquia Formation (Late Paleocene, Thanetian) and the Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian) were analyzed for microfossils in the interval of 3.94 to 12.72 m. Samples were treated with a sodium hexametaphosphate solution, washed through a 63-μm sieve, and oven dried at <50° C overnight. Samples yielding pteropods are specified in Table 5.

Samples recorded herein are housed in the fossil holoplanktic mollusk collection of the Naturalis Biodiversity Center (Leiden, The Netherlands; RGM registration numbers). For all cores except MCBR2, sampling took place many years after the cores had been collected, so that most specimens (nearly all preserved as internal pyritic molds) have been oxidized, and therefore deteriorated to various degrees. To prevent further damage, all pteropods are now stored in Naturalis turntop slides (Figure 2) and covered in synthetic resin (Glyptal). For further study, specimens can easily be isolated with acetone, by which the material of the slides is not affected.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy**

SEM micrographs were made by Renate Helwerda from uncoated specimens at 1.000 kv with a Jeol Field emission scanning electron microscope type: JSM-7600F of Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.

**Computed Tomography**

Specimens were scanned using a GE PHOENIX v|tome|x s240 computed tomographic (CT) system with a 180 kV nano tube in the Microscopy and Imaging Facility at the American Museum of Natural History, under the direction of Morgan Hill and Henry Towbin. Scan parameters ranged from 1.6 to 4.9 micrometer/voxel, and 165 to 145 kV, and the detector exposure timing was 750 ms for all specimens, with the specific parameters provided in the figure captions of the scans. The CT data were processed and smoothed using the software program VGStudioMax 2.2. Once the surface stereo lithography mesh file was extracted from VGStudioMax, it was converted into a universal 3D object (i.e., a .u3d file) using the software Meshlab.

**SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY**

Systematics follow Bouchet and Rocroi (2005). Symbols used in the synonymy lists anticipating the year of publication are those of Richter (1948) and Matthews (1973):

* first valid introduction of a taxon;
. responsibility for the identification is accepted by the present authors;
(no symbol) responsibility for the identification is not accepted by the present authors, but there is no reason for doubt;
? in the opinion of the present authors there is reason to doubt the identification;
v the original material of this reference was studied by the present authors;
[] (date between brackets) the year of publication is uncertain (or the paper has not been published officially, e.g., thesis);
non erroneous identification, in the opinion of the present authors.

Phylum MOLLUSCA Linnaeus, 1758
Class GASTROPODA Cuvier, 1795
Subclass HETEROBRANCHIA Burmeister, 1837
Order THECOSOMATA de Blainville, 1824
Suborder EUTHECOSOMATA Meisenheimer, 1905
Superfamily LIMACINOIDEA Gray, 1847
Family LIMACINIDAE Gray, 1847
Genus ALTASPIRATELLA Korobkov, 1966 (= PLOTOPHYSOPS Curry, 1982)

Type species. ‘Limacina elongatoides’[sic] (Aldrich), by original designation of Korobkov (1966, p. 74) = Physa elongatoida Aldrich, 1887 (Eocene, early Ypresian, Wilcox Group, Hatchetigbee Formation, Bashi Member; zone NP 10).

Type species of Plophysops is P. bearnensis Curry, 1982, by original designation (Eocene, middle to late Ypresian, Marnes de Gan Formation; top NP12 to base NP13).

Altaspiratella elongatoida (Aldrich, 1887) Figures 3.1-4, 4
v°1887 Physa elongatoida Aldrich, p. 83.
v. 1895 Spiralis elongatoida (Aldrich); Aldrich, p. 5, pl. 2, fig. 9.
TABLE 2. Distribution of pteropod species in the Bass River section.

| Sample number | Sample depth (m) | Age after CIE onset (ky) | Altaspiratella elongatoidea | Helicoconoides mercenensis | Limacina aegis | Limacina novacaesarea | Limacina sp. 1 | Nannoplankton zone |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 9             | 349.94-349.97    | 87.85                    | -                           | 2                         | -              | -                   | -             | NP10a            |
| 13            | 351.16-351.19    | 80.76                    | 1                           | 1                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 14            | 351.46-351.50    | 78.99                    | -                           | 1                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 16            | 352.04-352.07    | 75.62                    | 1                           | 7                         | 3              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 18            | 352.65-352.68    | 72.07                    | -                           | -                         | 1?             | -                   | -             |                  |
| 20            | 353.26-353.29    | 67.05                    | -                           | 1?                        | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 24            | 354.48-354.51    | 54.97                    | 1                           | -                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 25            | 354.79-354.82    | 52.03                    | 3                           | 2                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 26            | 355.09-355.12    | 49.08                    | 1                           | -                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 28            | 355.70-355.73    | 43.34                    | -                           | 3                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 29            | 355.98-356.01    | 40.27                    | -                           | 9                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 30            | 356.10-356.13    | 39.37                    | -                           | 1?                        | -              | -                   | -             |                  |

TABLE 3. Distribution of pteropod species in the Clayton section.

| Sample number | Sample depth (m) | Age after CIE onset (ky) | Altaspiratella elongatoidea | Helicoconoides mercenensis | Limacina aegis | Limacina novacaesarea | Limacina sp. 1 | Nannoplankton zone |
|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 9             | 91.44-91.47      | 37.51                    | 4                           | 5                         | 2              | -                   | 1             | NP10a            |
| 10            | 91.74-91.78      | 35.71                    | -                           | -                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 11            | 92.05-92.08      | 33.90                    | -                           | 6                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 12            | 92.35-92.38      | 32.10                    | 1                           | -                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 14            | 92.96-92.99      | 28.49                    | -                           | -                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 15            | 93.27-93.30      | 26.69                    | -                           | -                         | -              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 17            | 94.03-94.06      | 22.18                    | -                           | -                         | 4              | -                   | -             | NP9b             |
| 18            | 94.21-94.24      | 21.10                    | -                           | 1                         | 2              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 19            | 94.55-94.58      | 19.12                    | -                           | 3                         | 4              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 20            | 94.79-94.82      | 17.67                    | 1                           | 1                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 21            | 95.07-95.10      | 16.05                    | -                           | 1                         | 1              | -                   | -             |                  |
| 22            | 95.40-95.43      | 14.07                    | 3                           | 4                         | 2              | -                   | -             |                  |

v. 1899  *Spiralis elongatoidea* (Aldrich); Harris, p. 103, pl. 12, fig. 25.

v. 1934  *Limacina elongatoides* [sic] (Aldrich); Collins, p. 177, pl. 7, fig. 1.

v. 1965  *Limacina elongatoides* (Aldrich); Palmer and Brann, p. 358.

v. 1966  *Spiratella (Altaspiratella) elongatoides* [sic] (Aldrich); Korobkov, p. 74.

v. ? 1982  *Plotophysops bearnensis* Curry, p. 40, pl. 1, fig. 9a-c.

v. 1990  *Altaspiratella bearnensis* (Curry, 1981) [sic]; Janssen, p. 68.

v. 1992  *Altaspiratella bearnensis* (Curry); Hodgkinson, Garvie and Bé, p. 13, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2.

v. 1996  *Altaspiratella bearnensis* (Aldrich); Hodgkinson, Garvie and Bé, p. 14, pl. 1, fig. 3.

v. 1986  *Spiratella tutelina* Curr.; Merle, p. 43 (non Curry).
**TABLE 4.** Distribution of pteropod species in the Cambridge-Dorchester section.

| Sample number | Sample depth (m) | Altaspiratella elongatoidea | Heliconoides mercinensis | Limacina aegira | Limacina sp. 1 | Limacina sp. 2 |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 8             | 214.01-214.03   | 1                           | 3                        | 1              | -              | -              |
| 10            | 215.80-215.83   | -                           | 3                        | -              | -              | -              |
| 12            | 217.41-217.44   | -                           | 1                        | 1              | -              | -              |
| 13            | 218.50-218.51   | -                           | 1?                       | -              | -              | -              |
| 14            | 219.30-219.33   | 1                           | 4                        | -              | -              | -              |
| 15            | 220.22-220.28   | 3                           | 6                        | 1              | -              | -              |
| 16            | 221.19-221.21   | 1                           | -                        | -              | -              | -              |
| 17            | 221.47-221.48   | 1                           | 5                        | 1              | 2              | -              |
| 23            | 222.09-222.14   | -                           | -                        | -              | 1              | -              |
| 26            | 222.61-222.62   | -                           | -                        | -              | -              | 1              |
| 33            | 223.60-223.61   | -                           | -                        | -              | -              | -              |

**TABLE 5.** Distribution of *Heliconoides mercinensis* in the Mattawoman Creek-Billingsley Road core 2 section.

| Sample number | Sample depth (m) | *Heliconoides mercinensis* |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 14            | 5.15-5.20        | 1?                         |
| 20            | 5.74-5.80        | 2                          |
| 28            | 6.55-6.60        | 1                          |
| 29            | 6.65-6.70        | 1                          |
| 41            | 7.85-7.90        | 3?                         |
| 49            | 8.65-8.70        | 2                          |
| 64            | 10.15-10.20      | 1?                         |
| 72            | 10.94-11.00      | 1?                         |
| 84            | 12.17-12.22      | 1                          |
| 85            | 12.27-12.32      | 4, 1?                      |

v. ? 2010 *Altaspiratella bearnensis* (Curry, 1982); Cahuzac and Janssen, p. 24, pl. 2, figs. 1-4; pl. 3, fig. 1.

**Type material.** To date exclusively known by the holotype, USNM 638862.

**FIGURE 2.** Specimen storage in the Naturalis (Leiden, NL) fossil holoplanktic mollusk collection.

**Type locality.** Choctaw Corner, Clarke Co., Alabama, USA (Eocene, early Ypresian, NP 10).

**Material examined.** Wilson Lake section, NP 9 and NP 10a (Table 1); Bass River section, NP 10a (Table 2); Clayton section, NP 9b, 10a (Table 3); Cambridge-Dorchester section (Table 4).

**Description.** Shell sinistral, conical, oblong, about twice as high as wide, with up to six slightly convex, comparatively high whorls that gradually increase in diameter, separated by an incised, oblique suture. Apical angle c. 35-40°. The whorls attach below the periphery of the preceding whorl, where the shell may be slightly angular, especially in immature individuals. All specimens are preserved as pyritic internal molds, frequently compressed or otherwise crumpled, and no adult shell parts with developed apertural features are preserved.

**Discussion.** Two very similar species in this genus are *Altaspiratella elongatoidea* (Aldrich, 1887) and *A. bearnensis* (Curry, 1982). The former was intro-
FIGURE 3. *Altaspiratella elongatoidea* (Aldrich, 1887). 1, Wilson Lake section, sample 31, depth 100.89-100.95 m, RGM 777 230; apertural view. 2, Clayton section, sample 9, depth 91.44-91.4 m; RGM 777 308a; apertural view. 3-4, Wilson Lake section, sample 42, depth 104.24-104.30 m; RGM 777 251a, 3: apertural view, 4: apical view.
duced from the Ypresian of Alabama (Bashi Member of the Hatchetigbee Formation, NP 10; the marine portion of the Bashi was constrained by Slujs et al. (2014) to lie above the CIE, and is thus younger than our samples, which are in the peak PETM interval) and was with certainty only known by its holotype. _Altaspiratella bearnensis_ was first described from the Marnes de Gan, at Gan (Pyrenees-Atlantiques, France; Ypresian, NP 12/13), from where extensive material of this species is available in the Naturalis collection. Holo- and paratypes are in the Natural History Museum, London, UK (nr BMNH CG. 21255).

Hodgkinson et al. (1992, p. 13) discussed these two forms, together with another Ypresian, relatively high-spired species, _Limacina tutelina_ (Curry, 1965), but decided to maintain them as separate taxa, stating that there is little resemblance between complete specimens, as neither of these two species has the well-developed anterior indenture so prominent in _A. bearnensis_. However, the single specimen of _A. elongatoidea_ is incomplete, lacking its apertural parts, so a comparison of these structures with those in _A. bearnensis_ is impossible.

Cahuzac and Janssen (2010, p. 24, pl. 2, figures 3c and 5a) also discussed both _Altaspiratella_ species and found a small difference between the type specimens. In the holotype of _A. elongatoidea_ the first apical whorl is markedly wider than in the type of _A. bearnensis_, as well as in all additional specimens of that species. It was not decided whether these two taxa are synonyms, because of _A. elongatoidea_, only the holotype specimen was available. Comparison of figures 2 and 3 on plate 1 of Hodgkinson et al. (1992), respectively, identified as _Altaspiratella bearnensis_ and _A. elongatoidea_ (holotype), however, demonstrates hardly any differences.

Similarly, all specimens recorded herein are incomplete and do not preserve adult apertural features. The small difference noted by Cahuzac and Janssen (2010), however, seems to be present in a single sufficiently well preserved immature specimen (Figure 3.4), which makes a synonym of these two taxa less likely. Therefore, and in the absence of completely developed specimens of _A. elongatoidea_, we hesitate to consider these species identical, even if their stratigraphical ranges overlap (see below).

**Distribution.** _Altaspiratella elongatoidea_ to date was only known from its type locality (NP 10). The material recorded herein demonstrates it presence immediately above the Paleocene/Eocene boundary (NP 9), continuing into zone NP 10a.

Apart from its type locality as specified above, _Altaspiratella bearnensis_ was subsequently recorded from the ‘Middle Blue Marls Formation’ of Pradelle-en-Val (France, Aude department; Ypresian NP 10; Pirkenseer et al., 2013). From the North Sea Basin, the species is known from Ypresian localities in Belgium (Mont Panisel Formation, Knocke borehole), and several localities in the London and Hampshire basins (England, London Clay Formation, divisions D and E, NP 10-11; King, 2016). Additional, more remote occurrences are in Egypt (Thebe Formation, Luxor, Valley of the Kings; probably NP 13; Naturalis collections) and Kazakhstan (Aktulagay; NP 12; King et al., 2013). Hodgkinson et al. (1992, text-figure 3) recorded _Altaspiratella bearnensis_ from the Weches Formation of Texas (zone NP 15, mid-Lutetian).

**Genus HELICONOIDES** d’Orbigny, 1835

**Type species.** _Atlanta inflata_ d’Orbigny, 1834, by subsequent designation of Herrmannsen (1846, p. 514) = _Heliconoides inflatus_ (d’Orbigny, 1834) (Recent).

_Heliconoides mercinensis_ (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1885)

Figures 5.1-3, 6

1874  Planorbis ikke ulig Pl. vortex men maaske en _Valvatina_; Mörch, p. 279.

*1885  _Spira_ mercinensis Watelet and Lefèvre, p. 102, pl. 5 fig. 2a c.

1900  _Valvatina raphistoma_; Stolley, p. 12, figs. 1a-c, 2.

1907  _Valvatina raphistoma_; Ravn, p. 368.

1913  _Valvatina mercinensis_ [sic] (Watelet and Lefèvre); Cossmann and Pissarro, caption of plate; pl. 60, Pteropodes 2-2.

v. 1965  _Spiratella mercinensis_ (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1880) – Curry, p. 366, figs. 15a-b, 16.

1966  _Spiralis mercinensis_ Watelet and Lefèvre, 1885 – Korobkov, pp. 73, 77, 78.

1967  _Valvatina raphistoma_ – Hucke and Voigt, pp. 99, 104, pl. 45 fig. 1.

1980  _Spiratella mercinensis_ (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1880) – Bristow, Ellison and Wood, p. 266, fig. 3.

1981  _Spiratella mercinensis_ (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1880) – King, pp. 124, 125, 131, figs. 44, 45.

non 1982  _Spiratella mercinensis_ (Watelet and Lefèvre) – Curry, 1982: 36, pl. 1 fig. 1a-b (= _Heliconoides pyrenaica_ Cahuzac and Janssen, 2010).
Spiratella mercinensis (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1880) – King, pp. 142, 143, fig. 10.

Limacina planidorsalis Hodgkinson – Hodgkinson et al., p. 19, pl. 3 figs. 11-13.

Heliconoides mercinensis (Watelet and Lefèvre) – Janssen et al., p. 163, figs. 7-8 (with extensive synonymy).

Heliconoides mercinensis (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1885) – Janssen, p. 165, fig. 2.

Heliconoides mercinensis (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1885) – Janssen et al., p. 76, figs. 13-16.

Heliconoides mercinensis (Watelet and Lefèvre, 1885) – Janssen et al., p. 29, fig. 9.

Type material. Six syntypes should be present in the Watelet collection, housed in the Musée de Soissons (France), but could not be traced, according to Curry (1965, p. 366).

Type locality. Mercin (Aisne department, France), 'Sables inférieurs' = Sables de Cuise = Montagne de Laon Group, Cuise Formation (Eocene, Ypresian, zone NP 10) (King, 2016, figure 51).

Material examined. Wilson Lake section, NP 9 and NP 10a (Table 1). Bass River section, NP 10a (Table 2), Clayton section, NP 9b and 10a (Table 3), Cambridge-Dorchester section, (Table 4). Mattawoman Creek-Billingsley Road section (Table 5).

Description. Shell sinistral, almost planispiral, c. two times wider than high when adult, with approximately 3⅓-3⅔ rounded, but in larger specimens laterally somewhat flattened whorls in a regular spiral. Initial whorl hardly or not protruding, apical plane slightly concave or flat. Aperture rounded, with upper margin at the same height or only very slightly lower or higher than penultimate whorl.
Basal part of the aperture lowered beyond base of foregoing whorl. Umbilicus wide and deep, occupying c. four tenths of total diameter.

**Discussion.** The largest available and best preserved specimen, illustrated Figure 5.1 (H 0.84, W 1.60 mm) deviates from the typical form of *H. merce nensis* as described from the Paris Basin ‘Cuisian’ by a slightly flattened side of the whorls, giving the shell a somewhat rounded trapezoidal shape in frontal view (Figure 5.2). Also, the aperture of this specimen is less circular and more elliptical than in the type, and situated obliquely with respect to the shell’s axis. This feature, however, might have been caused by damage on the most abaxial part of the apertural margin. In the same specimen, a further difference is present in a faint indication of a preapertural reinforcement, visible as a weak furrow on the mold just anticipating the margin (Figure 5.1), the result of a preapertural fold or internal thickening. This differs from typical *H. merce nensis*, in which the extreme apertural margin is just slightly widened and v-shaped laterally (see Curry, 1965, figure 16). In spite of these differences, observed in a single specimen only, we consider this to be intraspecific variability for the time being, and final conclusions on identification depend on well-preserved specimens. The same is true for *Limacina planidorsalis* Hodgkinson in Hodgkinson et al. (1992, p. 18, pl. 3, figure 11-13), the holotype of which has a diameter of 1.0 mm), and closely resembles immature *H. merce nensis*. Its indicated stratigraphical range (‘early to middle Eocene’) coincides with that of *H. merce nensis*.

Although a single, yet unnamed *Heliconoides* species is currently described (Janssen and Goedert, 2016) from Cretaceous rocks (Campanian), *H. merce nensis* was long considered to be the oldest known pteropod species, with a stratigraphical range starting in the latest Paleocene (Tuscahoma Sand Formation, Bear Creek Marls of Alabama, USA, Zone NP 9; Janssen, 2010) and continuing until the earliest Lutetian. The upper Vincentown Formation in New Jersey is the age equivalent of the Tuscahoma Formation in Alabama (Gibson et al., 1993, 2000). In the southern Salisbury Embayment, the upper portion of the Aquia Formation is age equivalent to the Tuscahoma Formation (Gibson et al., 1993, 2000). In the sections studied here, *H. merce nensis* is the most commonly occurring pteropod species in both NP Zones 9 and 10a, starting immediately above the Paleocene/Eocene boundary, thus slightly younger than the Alabama occurrences.

**Distribution.** The oldest known occurrence of this species is from the uppermost Paleocene (Tuscahoma Sand Formation, Bear Creek Marls (NP 9 according to Siesser, 1983) of Alabama (USA). This species likely was recorded as *Limacina planidorsalis* by Hodgkinson et al. (1992) in lower and middle Eocene cuttings of boreholes offshore eastern Canada. Apart from several occurrences in the Cuise Formation of the Paris Basin (see Curry, 1965) and the Ypresian Middle Blue Marl Formation of Pradelle-en-Val (Aude, France; Pirkenseer et al., 2013), this species is known in Europe from the Ypresian of Denmark (Fur Formation, Mo Clay Member and Lillebælt Formation), from the Ypresian (London Clay Formation) in southern England, the Ypresian (Panisel and Flanders formations) in Belgium, and from contemporaneous rocks in the Netherlands (Opende borehole, Rotterdam E55 borehole). Finally, the species was recorded from the Alai Formation, Uzbekistan (Ypresian, Eocene, NP 13; Janssen et al., 2011) and an unnamed formation at the Ypresian/Lutetian transition in the Soh Area (Isfahan, Iran; Janssen et al., 2013). Most of these occurrences are documented in the Naturalis collection with c. 175 specimen lots. Curiously, this species is absent from the very rich pteropod assemblage of Gan, SW France; Ypresian, NP 12-13.
Genus LIMACINA Bosc, 1817

Type species. Clio helicina Phipps, 1774 by monotypy (Recent) = Limacina helicina (Phipps, 1774).

Limacina aegis Hodgkinson in Hodgkinson, Garvie and Bé, 1992

Figures 7.1-11, 8

*1992 Limacina aegis Hodgkinson, in Hodgkinson et al., p. 15, pl. 1, figs. 10-15.

2013 Limacina aegis Hodgkinson; Janssen et al., p. 31.

Type material. Holotype USNM 180485, paratype USNM 180486 and 5 additional specimens.

Type locality. Amoco-Imperialis #A-1 Gannet O-54 well, 2,940 ft; 45°10'N 52°30'W, Nova Scotian shelf, offshore eastern Canada (early Eocene).

Description. See Hodgkinson, in Hodgkinson et al. (1992).

Discussion. Limacina aegis, characterized by its depressed, lenticular shell (apical angle c. 133°) with a distinctly carinated periphery, seems to be related to Limacina yasdii Janssen, in Janssen et al., 2013 (p. 31, figures 13-14), introduced from an unnamed unit of latest Ypresian to earliest Lutetian age in the Soh area, Isfahan province, Iran. That species differs from the present one in being relative higher with somewhat more convex, less strongly carinated whorls and a much narrower umbilicus.

Material examined. Wilson Lake section, NP 9 and 10a (Table 1), Bass River section, NP 10a (Table 2), Clayton section, NP 9b and 10a (Table 3), Cambridge-Dorchester section (Table 4).

Distribution. Limacina aegis thus far was only known by its type material, the age of which could only roughly be indicated as ‘early Eocene’ NP10-13’ because of downhole contamination (Hodgkinson et al., 1992). In the present material, this species is already present in the lowermost sample (NP 9) of the cored (and therefore contamination-free) Wilson Lake section, and continues through zones NP 9 and NP 10a. Together with Altaspiratella elongatoida, Limacina aegis appears 7.61 ky (Table 1) after the onset of the CIE, and therefore is one of the oldest known pteropods, with the exception of a single Campanian unnamed Heliconoides specimen (Janssen and Goedert, 2016) and Heliconoides mercinensis, which first appeared in the late Paleocene (Janssen, 2010).

The equally related species L. helicos Hodgkinson, in Hodgkinson et al. (1992, p. 17, pl. 3, figures 1-5), differing from L. aegis by a much higher shell with an apical angle of just 63° and completely flat whors, is only known by its type specimens and was said to occur during the NP 10 and NP 11 zones.

Limacina novacaesarea Janssen and Sessa sp. nov.

Figures 9.1-7, 10

zoobank.org/A893D78B-B268-443C-8585-A9189A97997F

Holotype. RGM 777 219, Figure 9.1-3 (H 1.10, W 1.32 mm). A CT-scan of this specimen is available in Figure 10.

Type locality. Wilson Lake (Gloucester County, New Jersey, U.S.A.), cored borehole of United States Geological Survey (USGS), coordinates N39°39′21″, W75°02′31″, drilled 2000, sample 24, depth 98.76-98.82 m.

Stratigraphy. Marlboro Clay (Eocene, Ypresian), 59.14 ky after PETM, nannoplankton zone 10a.

Paratypes. Wilson Lake sample 22 (1 specimen, Figure 9.4-6; RGM 777 215a and 1 juvenile specimen, RGM 777 215; and sample 27 (1 specimen; Figure 9.7, RGM 777 225) (Table 1).

Additional specimens. Bass River section sample 18 (1 specimen ?), RGM 777 297 (specimen lost); Clayton section sample 14 (1 specimen, RGM 777 314 (Table 2).

Etymology. Nova Caesarea is the Latin name for New Jersey. Limacina gender feminine.

Diagnosis. Limacinid with conical shell, slightly wider than high, of 3¾ rounded whors, initial whorl planispiral and slightly sunken, umbilicus very wide and deep, occupying almost four tenths of shell diameter.

Description. Shell of rounded conical shape, 1.2 times wider than high, of 3¾ convex whors, gradually increasing in diameter, attaching at mid-height of preceding whorl. Initial whorl planispiral and somewhat lowered (Figure 9.6), giving the shell a flattened apex in frontal view. Last whorl large, occupying 9/10th of total shell height, regularly rounded at periphery. Aperture large, occupying three quarters of total shell height, elliptical, pointed above, regularly rounded below and with a straight columellar part. Apertural margin simple in available specimens. Base of shell with very large and deep umbilicus occupying 38% of shell diameter (holotype).

Discussion. Limacina novacaesarea resembles L. perforata Janssen, in Janssen et al. (2013, p. 30, figure 12) from unnamed rocks of latest Ypresian to earliest Lutetian age in the Soh Area, Iran. That species reaches considerably larger dimensions (W = 2.4 mm) but has the same number of whors, has a lower apical shell part the apex of which is not planispiral, its whors attach much higher on the preceding one, and its umbilicus is narrower (about
20% of shell diameter) with a faint ridge bordering the shell’s basal plane. Finally, in *L. perforata* the columellar part of the apertural margin has a clear abaxial curvature, not seen in *L. novacaesarea*.

**Distribution.** The new species is only known from the localities specified above.

*Limacina* sp. 1
Figures 11.1-6, 12
Description. The few available specimens differ from *Heliconoides mercinensis*, as described above, by their planorboid shape with a somewhat lower height/width-ratio and a completely flat apical plane. Their whorls increase somewhat slower in diameter, but specimens of the same size as in *H. mercinensis* have the same number of whorls. The lower margin of the aperture reaches barely beyond the base of the penultimate whorl and the umbilicus is wider. In front view, the shape is similarly trapezoidal, but more depressed.

Material examined. Wilson Lake section, NP 9 and 10a (Table 1); Cambridge-Dorchester section (Table 4).

Discussion. We are hesitant to describe the present specimens as representing a new species, considering the close resemblance to *H. mercinensis* and its observed variability, as well as the poor preservation of all specimens, and thus we think it better to record this form in open nomenclature. As no indication of a reinforced apertural margin is present in these specimens, we include this species in the genus *Limacina*. These points of view may be reconsidered when better-preserved material becomes available.

Distribution. Only known from the specimens here recorded.

**Limacina sp. 2**
Figure 13.1-2

Description. A single specimen (H 0.54, W 0.90 mm) in poor preservation of c. three whorls, resembles juvenile *Heliconoides mercinensis*, but is relatively higher and has a perfectly rounded whorl shape. It has a slightly raised apical shell part. Its umbilicus is very small, occupying only one tenth of the shell diameter.

Material examined. Cambridge-Dorchester section (Table 4) (1 specimen, Figure 13.1-2; RGM 777 345).

Discussion. This specimen does not align with any of the known limacinid species, and there is no sign of apertural reinforcements. The species *Heliconoides texana* (Garvie and Hodgkinson, in Hodgkinson et al., 1992, p. 20, pl. 4, figures 3-6) and *Limacina wechesensis* Hodgkinson, in Hodgkinson et al., 1992, p. 21, pl. 5, figures 1-7, pl. 6, figures 1-4) roughly have a similar shape but seem to differ in proportions. Considering the poor condition of our specimen we prefer to only identify it in open nomenclature. More and better-preserved specimens are needed for a final interpretation. Because of its fragility, this specimen was not subjected to CT scanning.

Distribution. Currently only known by the specimen described here.

**DISCUSSION**

Pteropods from the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum interval (earliest Ypresian) in five boreholes in the northeastern USA Salisbury Embayment are represented by six species, four of which were identified to species level, and two that have to remain in open nomenclature because of poor preservation. One species is described as new. These six species represent three genera, *Altaspiratella*, *Heliconoides* and *Limacina*. Only the genus *Heliconoides* was previously known from strata of this age and older. A single unnamed specimen of *Heliconoides* was recently discovered from the Campanian of the San Juan Islands, Washington, USA (Janssen and Goedert, 2016), and the species *H. mercinensis* was recorded from the uppermost Paleocene of Alabama (Janssen, 2010). In our studied assemblages from the Atlantic Coastal Plain, *H. mercinensis* is the most common species from the earliest Eocene (Ypresian) onward. Although some morphological features of these specimens seem to differ from the typical form of *H. mercinensis*, as described from the Ypresian of the Paris Basin, their generally poor preservation prevents the sufficient evaluation of these differences, and thus they currently are considered intraspecific variability. Abundantly occur-
ring specimens from the Danish Fur Formation (Janssen and Peijnenburg, 2013, figure 19.7) or the London Clay Formation in England, which may be coeval to, or slightly younger than, our samples, usually are preserved as internal calcitic molds that cannot be isolated from very hard concretions and are therefore difficult to compare.

The studied pteropods were recovered from sediments probably deposited at depths ranging from inner shelf in the southern Salisbury Embayment through middle-outer shelf depths in the

FIGURE 9. Limacina novacaesarea Janssen and Sessa sp. nov. 1-3, Holotype, Wilson Lake section, sample 24, depth 98.76-98.82 m, RGM 777 219: 1: apical view, 2: apertural view, 3: umbilical view. 4-6, Paratype 1, Wilson Lake section, sample 22. Depth 98.15-98.21 m, RGM 777 215a: 4: apical view, 5: apertural view, 6: oblique apical view to show lowering of initial whorl. 7, Paratype 2, Wilson Lake section, sample 27, depth 99.67-99.73 m, RGM 777 225; apertural view of poorly preserved, slightly depressed specimen.
northern Salisbury Embayment - New Jersey Coastal Plain (~100-150 m; Stassen et al., 2014, 2015). At the deepest New Jersey site, Bass River, the pH in surface waters (as indicated by B/Ca measured on several species of planktic foraminifera, which overlap in habitat depth with pteropods) may have declined by 0.3-0.4 units during the PETM (Babila et al., 2016), in the same sediments where the pteropods are preserved (Figure 14). The pH declined to a similar extent in open ocean locations in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans (Penman et al., 2014).

Experiments with wild and incubated, and living and dead, pteropods indicate that shell dissolution occurs near the level of aragonite saturation, and that the effects of dissolution are evident in as little as 4 to 14 days (see discussion in Bednaršek et al., 2012b). Therefore, lower carbonate saturation would be expected to prevent the thin-walled pteropod shells from being preserved, although there is some disagreement on the ease of dissolution (Peck et al., 2016). Pteropod specimens in the studied samples are nearly all preserved as pyritic internal molds, which indicate that the shells reached the sea bottom, became buried in the sediment, and subsequently fossilized. Apparently, the drop in surface water pH during the PETM in the Salisbury Embayment (or outside the embayment in open ocean) was not enough to dissolve pteropod shells before or directly after they reached the seafloor, because dissolution of the shells must have taken place following pyritization. Babila et al. (2016) argue that local coastal waters were rapidly buffered (with a slight increase in B/Ca at about the level where pteropods first appear; Figure 14), in agreement with the observation that shelf calcareous benthic foraminifera did not suffer severe extinction (Stassen et al., 2012a, 2014). This less severe extinction in shelf waters contrasts with that of deeper water benthic species (Thomas, 1998, 2007). The MCBR2 core is the most proximal (Figure 1) of the studied cores, and the only one to preserve shell material, in support of the buffering argument (Babila et al., 2016), especially when considering that this core is the least likely to contain pteropods because they are farthest from their living habitat. Living pteropod assemblages predominantly inhabit water depths of 200 m or more, and there is no reason to suppose that this was different during the early Eocene. Their occurrence in shallower environments, such as those represented by the New Jersey core sections, may be explained through transport from more open waters by coastal currents, as has been documented for both modern and fossil pteropod deposits (e.g., Herman and Rosenberg, 1969; Singh and Singh, 2010). Mass strandings of recent pteropods on beaches are known from the Mediterranean and the Red Sea. A well-known fossil example is the frequent occurrence of Vaginella depressa Daudin, 1800, in shallow water sediments of the lower Miocene in the Aquitaine Basin, SW France (Cahuzac and Janssen, 2010). Garvie (1996) recorded common pteropods from the Ypresian Reklaw Formation of Texas, with an estimated paleo-depth of 30-90 m. All of these may be explained by transport by coastal currents.

We do not know whether the apparently sudden appearance of three pteropod genera in the sediment record indicates evolution, a change in the coastal current systems, or better preservation. The underlying Vincentown Formation, as well as the overlying parts of the Manasquan Formation, show much worse preservation of benthic foraminifera than the Marlboro Clay, and planktic foraminifera (which are more sensitive to dissolution than benthic foraminifera) are rare or absent in most of the Vincentown Formation (e.g., Gibson et al., 1993, 2000; Stassen et al., 2012c; 2014). The sedimentation rates for the Marlboro Clay, deposited during the PETM, were much higher than for the underlying and overlying formations (Olsson and Wise, 1987; Gibson et al., 2000; John et al., 2008; Stassen et al., 2012c), possibly due to an intensifi-
cation of the hydrological cycle during the warm PETM, with increased transport of silts and clays to the coastal regions (Gibson et al., 1994; Kopp et al., 2009). The rapid burial of the pteropod shells in the clayey material undoubtedly facilitated their preservation. Warm bottom waters during the PETM could have further enhanced their preservation. It therefore is possible that pteropods existed during the time of deposition of the Vincentown and Aquia Formations (late Paleocene), but were not preserved. Such potentially existing ancestors, however, have not been found anywhere else, and an alternate hypothesis is that pteropods, like gymnodont fish, planktic foraminifera, and land vertebrates, diversified during the Paleocene Eocene Thermal Maximum (Kelly et al., 1996; Bralower, 2002; Gibbs et al., 2006a, 2006b; Schneider et al., 2013; Bannikov et al., 2016). Changes in environmental conditions that may have influenced this diversification include increased seawater temperature, decreased oxygenation, changes in nutrient partitioning resulting in more eutrophic shelf and more oligotrophic open ocean conditions, and carbonate undersaturation (e.g., Sluijs et al., 2007;
McInerney and Wing, 2011; Winguth et al., 2012; Sluijs et al., 2014; Penman et al., 2014). The diversification of the aragonitic pteropods at this time might be seen as surprising because of the documented ocean acidification of upper ocean waters, but possibly the increased nutrient levels (Sluijs and Brinkhuis, 2009; Stassen et al., 2015) and/or higher temperatures may have been a causal factor, in view of the observation that there is a positive correlation between pteropod species numbers and paleotemperature for the Mediterranean Basin (Janssen and Peijnenburg, 2013; p. 350, figures 19-10).

CONCLUSIONS

We document for the first time that pteropods, like other pelagic organisms, were affected by the environmental changes during the PETM (earliest Ypresian), and that global warming and its associated environmental effects may have influenced speciation in pteropods. Six species belonging to three genera are present within 10,000 years after the onset of the PETM in the Salisbury Embayment.
of the Atlantic Coastal Plain (in our age model), whereas just one genus, with a single described species, was known previously, from the uppermost Paleocene of Alabama and Denmark. Hodgkinson et al. (1992, text-figure 3) listed six pteropod species for Zone NP 10, only two of which are represented in our material, so we conclude that the tendency of increasing species numbers with time continued during the later Ypresian. Curry (1982) and Cahuzac and Janssen (2010) listed 14 pteropod species from latest NP 12 to earliest NP 13, most of which belong to the Limacinioidea, but also include some Cavolinioidea (Camptoceratops, Euchilotheca), a group not represented in the present material. Cavolinioidea species are supposed to have developed from early limacinids (Janssen and Peijnenburg, 2013, figure 19.8) by despiralisation, of which the here recorded species Altaspiratella elongatoidea may represent the earliest indication. In terms of pteropod biostratigraphy, the assemblages described herein belong to Pteropod Zone 6 (Janssen and King, 1988), from which previously only the species Heliconoides mercinensis was known.
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