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Abstract: Data flow in web is becoming high and vast, extracting useful and meaningful information from the same is especially significant. The extracted information can be utilized for enhanced decision making. The information provided by the end-users is normally in the form of comments with respect to different products and services. Sentiment analysis is effectively carried out in these kinds of compact review to give away the people’s opinion of any products. This analyzed data will be efficient to improve the business strategy. In our work the collected online movie reviews are analyzed by using machine learning sentiment classification models like Random Forest, Naive Bayes, KNN and SVM. The work has been extended with CNN and hybrid CNN-SVM deep learning models to achieve higher performance. Comparing the workings of all the above classification models for sentiment analysis based upon various performance metrics is the main objective of the paper.
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I INTRODUCTION

The process of analyzing end-user opinion or view as positive or negative or neutral via in the form of text with respect to any product or topic is sentiment analysis. Sentiment analysis is a highly focusing area in Natural Language Processing. In this field, machine learning technique is considered to be a dominating process. The basic task of machine learning is to extract complex features from the reviews which are in the form of text and additionally it figures out relevant features and selects a classification algorithm [4].

There are several drawbacks is seen while using traditional lexicon-based approaches: there is always dependency of lexicon which is reliable and consistent whenever there is unpredictability of opinion words, languages and contexts. Because of these dependencies maintaining domain independent lexicons is becoming tough. In contrast to this deep learning has an alternative potential to handle traditional methods. Deep learning has exposed performance of superiority in NLP tasks especially in sentiment analysis.

With minimal external contribution, learning complex features that has been extracted from the data is the core objective of deep learning techniques [8]. Yet another characteristic of deep learning is that they require a huge set of data for better performance.

Conversely, it is not understandable that whether the traditional approach’s domain specialization capacity be capable of surpassing deep learning’s generalization capacity with all task of NLP. But promising outcomes are thrown out in most of the applications when these two techniques are appropriately combined for sentiment classification [5].

In this paper higher performance rate has been produced when using hybrid CNN with SVM which has been derived from the combination of machine learning and deep learning-based sentiment classification techniques.

II METHODS

A. Random Forest:

This supervised learning algorithm is also called to be random decision forest and highly implemented for the purpose of classification, regression and many more tasks. A forest is meant to be collection of trees. It generally comprises of huge quantity of individual decision trees which usually perform as ensemble. A class prediction is thrown out from each tree in the random forest and model prediction is finalized from the class that has more number of votes [1].

With the concept of bagging and bootstrapping, random decision forest has been regarded with robust and accurate classifier.

B. Naive Bayes:

It is one amongst the simplest classifying algorithms for text document. This probabilistic method is developed upon Bayes’ theorem and independence assumption of Naive among given set of inputs. In Naive Bayes the basic assumption is to create an independent and equal contribution to the outcome from each feature.

$$P(I|J) = \frac{P(J|I)*P(I)}{P(J)}$$

With respect to machine learning, selecting the best hypothesis (I) with the given data (J) is often considered as potential interest. As per the theorem stated above, P(I|J) is the probability of hypothesis I with the given data J, which is called as posterior probability. When the hypothesis I was true, then the probability is P(J|I) with the data J. P(I) is the prior probability of I when hypothesis I is being true regardless of the data. P(J) is the probability of the data regardless of the hypothesis.
**SVM-Support Vector Machine:**

In machine learning, SVM algorithm is a supervised learning model used for both classification and regression analysis. Even though it is used in both analyses the main focus is for classification purpose. SVM is a discriminative classifier by which the output is thrown as optimal hyperplane that separates the two classes [10]. In general SVM training algorithm make a model which allot new examples for one category or to other, that make a non-probabilistic binary linear classifier.

I. Linear Kernel SVM

   Kernel is meant as dot-product and rephrased to:
   
   \[ K(x, xi) = \text{sum}(x^*x_i) \]
   
   In which distance measure or similarity between support vectors and new data is defined by the kernel K. As distance is a linear combination of inputs, this dot-product which is a measure of similarity is utilized for linear kernel.

II. Radial Kernel SVM

When compared with linear kernel, radial kernel is more complex. As an example, let us consider the below equation:

\[ K(x, xi) = \exp(-\text{gamma} \times \text{sum}((x - x_i)^2)) \]

Here SVM algorithm has to be intimated about the parameter gamma. If gamma is 0.1, then value is meant to be good in default with its range from 0 and 1. Within feature space, complex regions can be created by this kernel, which in turn have the transformation capacity of space dimension from low to high.

III. Polynomial Kernel SVM

This kernel can be utilized as an alternate to dot-product. Let us take the below equation:

\[ K(x, xi) = 1 + \text{sum}(x * xi)^d \]

Here the SVM learning algorithm should be specified about the degree of polynomial with hand written note. It will be same as linear kernel when d becomes 1. In the input space this kernel allows curved line.

C. KNN

K-nearest neighbours is a supervised machine learning algorithm which is simple and easy for solving problems in both classification and regression. But only in classification analysis it is used in a broader way. All available cases are stored in the KNN and a new case is classified with the major votes of the k neighbours. With the assistance of distance function the case is allocated with the classes which are usually common within their k-nearest neighbour [7].

The distance function may be Hamming, Manhattan, Minkowski and Euclidean. Hamming is used for categorical variables and the other three are utilized for continuous function. If 1 is the value of k, then the case will be assigned for the class with the nearest neighbour. Sometimes while performing KNN model, selecting K becomes a challenging task. For our real life scenarios KNN can be easily mapped.

D. CNN

CNN is mainly implemented in image processing and it is successfully applied in NLP task nowadays. It is a multilayer perceptrons, which means fully connected networks. Words in the CNN architecture are broken as features and then these features are sent to convolutional layer. The convolution result is aggregated or pooled as representative number. The represented number is sent to a fully connected neural structure. This in turn decides the classification on the basis of weights allotted for every feature in the text. Extracting the input feature is the main objective of convolution and sampling the convolution matrix is covered by pooling [6].
Input and Embedded layer:

Input layer is the first layer and it receives the input, followed by embedded layer in which data pre-processing is carried out. Embedded layer is a matrix where the word vectors analogous with the words within the sentence are arranged in order from top to bottom. This is performed with an assumption of words from the sentence as ‘m’, word vector dimension as ‘n’ and then forming m x n matrix.

Convolutional layer:

By convolutional operation numerous feature maps are obtained from the input layer, wherein k x n represents convolutional window. Here longitudinal words are represented by k and word vector dimension as n. Number of columned feature maps will be obtained from convolutional window.

Pooling layer:

Sub-sampling is another name of pooling layer which is used for reducing the input data size. CNN pooling can be done in many ways, but max-pooling is the most common one that is used. With respect to our model, we have five convolutional and pooling layers in varied sizes like 4 x 100, 5 x 100, 6 x 100, 7 x 100 and 8 x 100 for the feature extraction of text.

Fully connected layer:

This is the last layer that connects one or more fully connected layers. The ultimate aim of this layer is to make multi-dimensional inputs to one-dimensional. The resulted output from this layer is normalized and given to SVM classifier for final classification. The pseudocode for Hybrid CNN_SVM is as follows:

```plaintext
Pseudocode :
input: a data set of opinion sentences that is already represented by word vectors
output: class label of each data
window_size = {h1, h2, h3, ..., hn}, z = Ø
data = {X1, X2, ..., Xm}
for each h in window_size:n
w ← initializeFilter(h, m)
```

The dataset that are processed has been divided in two groups, one for training and the other for testing in different sizes. These sets are implemented using Random Forest, Naive Bayes algorithm, Support Vector Machine and K-Nearest Neighbour. By implementing the collected corpus in the above algorithms, the performance factors like precision, recall, F-score and accuracy were obtained.
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IV CONCLUSION

One of the important tasks that have been always focused in sentiment analysis is text classification. Sentiment classifiers are used widely in companies for many applications like brand monitoring, market research, product analytics, work force analytics and customer support. Machine learning and deep learning technologies are becoming one of the most focused areas of research for implementation of sentiment analysis. In this study we have tried in solving the classification of text in sentiment analysis with merging of deep learning and traditional machine learning algorithms. At the outset word vector that are pre trained where embedded into CNN model of improved category. Next, to improvise classification task we utilized our proposed Hybrid CNN with SVM algorithm. Experiments have proved in this paper that sentiment classification accuracy is high compared to other classification models. In future, our focus is to build a custom classifier for sentiment analysis, topic labelling, language detection and intent detection.
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 PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Fig.3 Performance measures of four algorithms

Performance measures of four machine learning algorithms are shown in fig-1. As per the observation, SVM produces peak precision whilst Naive Bayes produced least precision. Random forest and SVM produces peak recall whilst KNN produced least recall. Again random forest and SVM produces peak F-score and accuracy whilst KNN produced least F-score and accuracy.

Table – 1 Performance measures of all six algorithms

| Machine learning Algorithm | Precision | Recall | F-score | Accuracy |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|
| RF                         | 86        | 87     | 86      | 86       |
| NB                         | 76        | 73     | 75      | 74       |
| SVM                        | 87        | 81     | 84      | 83       |
| KNN                        | 71        | 55     | 62      | 64       |
| CNN                        | 91        | 90     | 89      | 90       |
| CNN_SVM                    | 94        | 92     | 90      | 92       |

Finally we observe that SVM model is suitable for the given online movie review dataset. For further improvisation in sentiment classification models, we introduced deep learning algorithms like CNN and hybrid CNN_SVM and compared the performance metrics with all the models. Based upon the performance factors, table-1 and fig-2 depict high performance of hybrid algorithm when compared with other algorithms.

Fig.4 Performance measures of all algorithms