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Abstract | This study investigated the effect of harvesting time on concentration and biological activity of tannin in
Andrographis paniculata (A. paniculata) leaves and determined the in vitro rumen fermentation and digestibility of diet
supplemented with A. paniculata leaves to evaluate its role as herbal supplement in ruminant’s feed. A. paniculata leaves
were harvested at two time points i.e., before and after flowering phase and the samples were dried to analyze concen-
tration and biological activity of tannin. Rice straw was used as basal diet to carry out in vitro digestibility trial. Four
treatments were used including B consisting basal diet only, BBF and BAF each consisting basal diet supplemented
with 1% A. paniculata leaves before and after flowering phases, respectively, and BBAF supplemented with 0.5% A.
paniculata leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase. Each treatment was carried out in five replications. Results
revealed that contents (mg/g) for total tannin (20.40±1.06 vs 19.33±1.19; P<0.05) and condensed tannin (8.44±0.17 vs
5.47±0.30; P<0.01) were greater in leaves harvested after flowering compared with before flowering phase, however, no
significant difference (P > 0.05) on biological activity of tannins was observed between the two flowering phases. The
in vitro gas production was not influenced (P > 0.05) by the supplementation of A. paniculata leaves before and after
flowering phase. A. paniculata supplementation also did not influence (P > 0.05) in vitro organic matter digestibility
(IVOMD) and total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) values. It was concluded that the supplementation of A. paniculata
leaves before and after flowering phase in the diet does not affect the digestibility.
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INTRODUCTION

A. paniculata or “King of Bitters” is an annual herbaceous plant that extensively cultivated in Asia and some
parts of Europe (Joselin and Jeeva, 2014). In Indonesia, A. paniculata is also known as Sambiloto, commonly used
as a medicinal plant by the rural communities (Roosita et al., 2008). The major phytochemical compounds in the plant
is andrographolide, however, there are many other active compounds in the form of alkaloids, phenols, tannins, fla-
vonoids and saponins (Nagajothi et al., 2018; Sithara et al., 2016). As a medicinal plant, it possesses antibacterial, anti-
oxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiprotozoal, nematocidal and immunomodulatory activities (Joselin and Jeeva, 2014). It
also has antiviral and immunostimulant activity in animals (Nagajothi et al., 2018). Therefore, it can be used as an ap-
propriate feed supplement to improve fermentation and ruminal diet digestibility in ruminants. It is
necessary to know the concentration of tannins at different harvesting times in *A. paniculata* and its effects on *in vitro* fermentation and digestibility of the diet. Low to moderate concentrate of tannins has beneficial effect include protection of dietary protein from ruminal degradation, modulate ruminal biohydrogenation and reduce enteric methane emission (Frutos et al., 2004).

The inclusion of *A. paniculata* in ruminant’s diet have been reported by previous studies. *Andrographis paniculata* supplementation in the diets reduced ruminal protein breakdown and improved *in vitro* digestibility (Yusuf et al., 2012). It has been shown that *A. paniculata* herb supplementation in diet based on urea-treated rice straw can be fed to ruminant without impairing their performance (Roslan et al., 2016). Herb supplementation of *A. paniculata* leaf powder improved nutrient digestibility in goats (Yusuf et al., 2017). Moreover, Yusuf et al. (2014) demonstrated that *A. paniculata* inclusion has significantly improved growth performance, carcass and meat yield of Boer goats.

The leaves of *A. paniculata* contains higher total polyphenols and total tannin than the stem and roots (Yusuf et al., 2012). We hypothesized that the effectiveness of *A. paniculata* leaves as herb supplement may be influenced by the harvesting time. However, information regarding the effects of harvesting time on tannin concentration in leaves of *A. paniculata* and its biological activity and its effectiveness in rumen fermentation is very scarce. Thus, the objective of present study was to investigate the effect of harvesting time (before or after flowering phase) on tannin concentration and biological activity of *A. paniculata* leaves. The second objective was to evaluate the *in vitro* digestibility of diet supplemented with *A. paniculata* leaves.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Raw Materials and Basal Diet Preparation

*Andrographis paniculata* was obtained from field laboratory, Center for Isotopes and Radiation Application (CIRA), National Nuclear Energy Agency of Indonesia (BATAN). *Andrographis paniculata* leaves were harvested before and after flowering phase. Leaves samples were placed in individual paper bags and dried at 60 °C for 72 h. Dry samples were ground with hammer mill into 1 mm particle size. Rice straw was obtained from rice field, CIRA, BATAN. The whole rice plant was harvested approximately 10 cm above the ground and straw was collected by removing the panicles. Rice straws were placed in paper bags and dried at 60°C for 72 h. Samples were ground into 1 mm particle size and used for chemical analysis and diet formulation. Pollard, bakery wastes, mineral mixture, urea and CaCO$_3$ were obtained from local market. Basal diet composition represent in Table 1.

#### Chemical Analysis

Total phenols, total tannins and condensed tannin contents in *A. paniculata* leaves were determined according to the method of Cindrič et al. (2011) and Makkar (2003). Ash, organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP) and ether extract (EE) contents were determined following the method of AOAC (2005). Fibrous components, such as neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) in raw materials were measured by the methods of Van Soest et al. (1991).

#### Experimental Design

Two trials were conducted in this study:

- **(I) Biological activity of tannin in *A. paniculata* leaves**
  - **AP BF -** : *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase
  - **AP BF +** : AP BF + 400 mg polyethylene glycol (PEG)
  - **AP AF -** : *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase

---

**Table 1. Feed composition of the experimental diets**

| Ingredient (% DM) | B       | BBF     | BAF     | BBAF    |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rice straw        | 60.00   | 60.00   | 60.00   | 60.00   |
| Pollard           | 16.50   | 16.50   | 16.50   | 16.50   |
| Bakery wastes     | 20.00   | 20.00   | 20.00   | 20.00   |
| Mineral mix       | 2.00    | 2.00    | 2.00    | 2.00    |
| Urea              | 1.00    | 1.00    | 1.00    | 1.00    |
| CaCO$_3$          | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    | 0.50    |
| APBF              | 0.00    | 1.00    | 0.00    | 0.50    |
| APAF              | 0.00    | 0.00    | 1.00    | 0.50    |

**Experimental diets**

APBF: *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; APAF: *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase; B: basal diet; BBF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; BAF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase; BBAF: basal diet + 0.5% *A. paniculata* leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase.
Polyethylene glycol (Sigma-Aldrich) 6000 was used as tannin-binding agents (Getachew et al., 2000).

(II) Effects of *A. paniculata* leaves supplementation on *in vitro* rumen fermentation of basal diets

B: basal diet
B BF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase
B AF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase
B BAF: basal diet + 0.5% *A. paniculata* leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase

*In vitro* evaluation was carried out in five replications.

**RESULTS**

**CONCENTRATION OF NUTRIENTS, TOTAL PHENOLS, TOTAL TANNINS AND CONDENSED TANNIN OF *A. PANICULATA* LEAVES**

The results of nutrient analysis of *A. paniculata* and experimental diets for Trial II were presented in Table 2. The contents of ash, CP, EE, NDF and ADF were higher in *A. paniculata* before flowering than *A. paniculata* after flowering. Conversely, the content of OM (%) in *A. paniculata* after flowering was slightly higher than before flowering. Total phenols, total tannins and condensed tannin of *A. paniculata* leaves were shown in Figure 1. The leaves of *A. paniculata* after flowering was slightly higher than before flowering. The biological activity of tannins in *A. paniculata* leaves was presented in Figure 2. The *in vitro* gas productions were greater (P<0.05) in the *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering than before flowering phase. The pattern of *in vitro* gas production is also increase after *A. paniculata* added with PEG (P<0.05). The treatment of APAF after PEG addition had greater (P<0.05) cumulative gas production than other treatments. However, after PEG addition, there was no significant differences on biological activity of tannins between two flowering phase treatments (Figure 3).
Table 2: The nutrient contents of the experimental diets and *A. paniculata* leaves

| Ingredient (g/kg) | OM     | Ash   | CP    | EE    | NDF   | ADF   | Hemicellulose |
|------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
| Rice straw       | 74.93  | 25.07 | 4.34  | 7.46  | 69.17 | 46.95 | 22.22         |
| Pollard          | 91.82  | 8.18  | 17.00 | 2.07  | 49.12 | 16.93 | 32.19         |
| Bakery wastes    | 77.39  | 22.61 | 28.40 | 0.85  | 67.09 | 43.79 | 23.30         |
| Mineral mix      | 2.43   | 97.57 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -             |
| Urea             | -      | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | -             |
| CaCO₃            | 2.02   | 97.98 | -     | -     | -     | -     | -             |
| APBF             | 85.68  | 14.32 | 24.63 | 0.85  | 67.09 | 43.79 | 23.30         |
| APAF             | 87.97  | 12.03 | 21.26 | 0.98  | 24.91 | 9.29  | 15.62         |

APBF: *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; APAF: *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ether extract; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber.

**Figure 1**: Concentrations (mg/g) of total phenols (gallic acid equivalent), total tannins (tannic acid equivalent) and condensed tannin (leucocyanidin equivalent) of *A. paniculata* leaves before and after flowering phase. *P<0.05; **P<0.01. APBF: *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; APAF: *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase.

In vitro gas characteristics of diets containing *A. paniculata* leaves

The *in vitro* gas production and characteristics of diet containing *A. paniculata* leaves as herbal supplementation were listed in Table 3. Supplementation of 1% *A. paniculata*, both before and after flowering phase, did not reduce the cumulative gas production. The *in vitro* gas production also not influenced by the supplementation of 0.5% *A. paniculata* leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase. The average optimum gas production (a+b) was significantly (P<0.05) higher in BBAF treatment than BAF and BBF groups. The mean rate of gas production (c) and GPSF values were not affected by *A. paniculata* supplementation. The BBAF treatment had higher GPNSF value than BAF group (P<0.05).

In vitro organic matter digestibility and rumen fermentation product of diets containing *A. paniculata* leaves

The *in vitro* organic matter digestibility and rumen fermentation characteristics of diets containing *A. paniculata* leaves as herbal supplement were presented in Table 4. Results demonstrated that the pH and NH₃ concentration did not differ significantly across the treatments. *A. paniculata* supplementation treatments also did not influence IVOMD, ME and TVFA values. However, BBAF treatment had greater IVOMD, ME and TVFA values than BBF and BAF groups (P<0.05), nevertheless, there was no significant difference with control group (B treatment).
Table 3: Differences in the in vitro gas characteristics of diets containing *A. paniculata* leaves

| Treatment | Cumulative gas production (ml/200 mg DM) | Gas characteristics |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|
|           | Incubation time (h)                     |                      |
|           | 3  6  9  12  24  48 a+b c GPSF GPNSF |
| B         |                                        |                      |
| BBF       |                                        |                      |
| BAF       |                                        |                      |
| BBAF      |                                        |                      |

B: basal diet; BBF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; BAF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase; BBAF: basal diet + 0.5% *A. paniculata* leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase; a+b: optimum gas production; c: the rate of gas production; GPSF: gas production from soluble fraction; GPNSF: gas production from non soluble fraction; means with the different superscripts in the same column are significantly different (P<0.05).

Table 4: Differences in the in vitro organic matter digestibility and rumen fermentation product of diets containing *A. paniculata* leaves

| Treatment | IVOMD (%) | ME (MJ/kg DM) | pH | NH₃ (mg/100 ml) | TVFA (mM) |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|----|----------------|-----------|
| B         | 47.89±1.08 bc | 7.67±0.13 ab | 6.59±0.13 | 5.53±0.16 | 19.45±0.56 ab |
| BBF       | 46.59±0.51 ab | 7.52±0.08 a | 6.68±0.08 | 5.49±0.43 | 18.78±0.34 a |
| BAF       | 46.38±1.47 a | 7.51±0.20 a | 6.51±0.14 | 5.17±0.15 | 18.75±0.87 b |
| BBAF      | 48.91±0.95 c | 7.85±0.14 a | 6.57±0.12 | 5.35±0.36 | 20.26±0.62 b |

B: basal diet; BBF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; BAF: basal diet + 1% *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase; BBAF: basal diet + 0.5% *A. paniculata* leaves before and 0.5% after flowering phase; IVOMD: in vitro organic matter digestibility; ME: metabolisable energy; NH₃: ammonia; TVFA: total volatile fatty acids; means with the different superscripts in the same column are significantly different (P<0.05).

Figure 3: Biological activity of tannins in *A. paniculata* leaves before and after flowering phase. APBF: *A. paniculata* leaves before flowering phase; APAF: *A. paniculata* leaves after flowering phase.

DISCUSSIONS

**Phenol characteristics and biological activity of tannin in *A. paniculata* leaves (before and after flowering phase)**

Phenol is one of the bioactive compounds contained in *A. paniculata* which has received considerable attention in pharmacological field (Prabha and Anil, 2019) due to their antioxidant attributes (Chang et al., 2019). *A. paniculata* has been widely studied for its use as a feed supplements for ruminants Yusuf et al. (2012); Yusuf et al. (2014); Roslan et al. (2016); Wang et al. (2018); Yusuf et al. (2017) and Yusuf et al. (2018), however, there is limited information on the different harvesting stages of leaves. Our study investigated the differences in phenolic compounds which might have influence on the digestibility of basal diet. Total phenol compounds concentration in present study ranged between 29.26 – 29.99 mg/g GAE which was lower than previous study (30.80 mg/g) reported by Yusuf et al. (2012), although they did not evaluate the effect of harvesting time. Similarly, the values for total phenols (11.62 – 12.45 mg/g) in *A. paniculata* leaves reported by Nagajothi et al. (2018) were lower compared to our study. Variations in phenolic concentrations depend on specific agro-ecosystem conditions such as temperature, altitude and precipitation (Dragović et al., 2020). Nau mann et al. (2018) also demonstrated that the relationship between phenolic activity and maturity of herbal plants is...
Our study demonstrated that there are no significant effect on total phenols concentration (mg/g) in *A. paniculata* leaves between two harvesting stages. The present results are in agreement with those presented by Engin and Mert (2020) where the changes in total phenols compounds of “Nero” aronia berry cultivar were not significant over the harvest period. Furthermore, it was explained that the phenolic compounds started to increase after the flowering phase. In contrast, Kamaruzaman et al. (2020) observed that accumulation of phenolic contents in *Piper crosatum* was affected by harvesting time. Besides, Ahmed et al. (2020) also showed that the content of phenolic compounds was associated with maturity stages in *Citrus paradisi* fruits. The difference in results may be due to the different climatic and environmental conditions, pre- and post-harvest factors such as species and cultivars and technical conditions (storage, drying process, transportation and extraction method) (Dragović et al., 2020; Kamaruzaman et al., 2020; Engin and Mert, 2020).

Tannins and condensed tannin compounds of *A. paniculata* leaves varied from 19.33 to 20.40 mg/g and 5.47 to 8.44 mg/g, respectively. The values for tannins were greater in the present study than that 5.71 to 6.37 mg/g reported by (Nagajothi et al., 2018). The values for condensed tannins also were greater in the present study than that 1.9 and 2.0 mg/g reported by Yusuf et al. (2012) and Yusuf et al. (2018), respectively. Dragovic et al. (2020) stated that plants constantly face environment stresses during their life cycle, thus it will be resulting in the differences of secondary metabolites biosynthesis. The increase in tannins and condensed tannin with growth stage especially after flowering phase are consistent with the results for sorghum grain and aronia berry (Engin and Mert, 2020; Wahyono et al., 2019). This may be due to the natural mechanisms of plant protection. In plant defense mechanism, the production of secondary metabolites such as phenolic and tannin increases to protect the maturation process of grain (Dragović et al., 2020; Wahyono, Astuti, et al., 2019). Phenolic contents (condensed tannin and phenolic acid) of persimmon leaves were significantly related to their antioxidant activities (Chang et al., 2019). Calabro et al. (2012) reported that condensed tannin compound in sainfoin was influenced by the phenological stage.

Biological activity of tannins are a measure of the tannin compounds produced by herbal plants that demonstrate binding and precipitating protein from plant itself. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) has a high affinity, bind tannins, and become unable to react with protein. An increase of *in vitro* gas production after PEG addition is a measure of the biological activity of tannins (Jayanegara et al., 2009; Kamaruzaman et al., 2017). Although, there was no significant differences seen in biological activity between two treatment groups, the increase in *in vitro* gas production shown by APBF was numerically higher compared to APAF. However, APBF had lower tannins and condensed tannin compounds (Figure 1). Rodriguez et al. (2015) demonstrated that the response to increasing values after PEG addition is not linear but exponential, this explains that it is not related with the amount of tannins but specifically with their reactivity. The effects of tannins on nutrient digestibility related to the complexes formation between tannins and other components on plants (Calabrò et al., 2012). The biological activity of tannins also depends on their structure and the nature of protein on diets (Muetzel and Becker 2006). The increase in gas production in presence of PEG could be due to an increase in the available nutrient from leaves after binding tannins activity (Calabrò et al., 2012).

Irrespective of the PEG addition, APBF treatment had lower *in vitro* gas production than APAF. The high fiber fraction (NDF and ADF) in *A. paniculata* before flowering phase (Table 2) may be the reason of low *in vitro* gas production. The variation in gas production characteristics was influenced by the differences of structural carbohydrates compounds (Wahyono et al., 2021; Wahyono et al., 2019). High soluble carbohydrate source and more amino acids are taken up into rumen microbial growth, thus increased fermentation activity with higher gas production parameters (Calabrò et al., 2012).

**Effects of *A. paniculata* leaves supplementation on *in vitro* rumen fermentation**

Gas production from fermentation process is the results of the degradability of carbohydrate compounds and the end products of microbial fermentation in the rumen (Kisworo et al., 2017). Although, there was no significant difference seen between basal diet and *A. paniculata* supplementation, the cumulative *in vitro* gas production shown by BBF and BAF was numerically lower compared to control (B). Apparently, this decrease was related to an inhibitory mechanism by secondary metabolites in *A. paniculata* leaves. Interaction between tannins and nutrient components such as protein and carbohydrates (non-structural and structural) could influence the decrease in gas production (Jayanegara et al., 2015). Kisworo et al. (2017) reported that secondary metabolites may inhibit fermentation of substrates due to its antimicrobial characteristics. Furthermore, the ability of rumen microbial to degrade the nutrient compounds decreased and led to the lower *in vitro* gas production (Kisworo et al., 2017). Previous study also reported that herbs (galangal) supplementation had positive effect in reducing *in vitro* gas production (Khattab et al., 2016). In contrary, Rahmy et al. (2019) demonstrated that supplementing 1-7% medicine herbs (Fennel, Melissa and Caraway) increased the *in vitro* gas production of
diet, however, they did not mention the characteristics of plant secondary metabolites. The differences in results may be due to differences in nutrient composition of the diets and the biological activity of secondary metabolites in the herbal supplements. Jayanegara et al. (2012) reported that low concentrations of tannins highly affect the gas production and rumen fermentation product.

The treatment of BAF tends to produce low GPNSF value. This may be due to the decreased performance of non-soluble fraction degrading bacteria, such as cellulolytic bacteria. *A. paniculata* after flowering phase had high contents of tannins and condensed tannin (Figure 1), thus there may be inhibition of bacterial performance. Plant secondary metabolites inhibit rumen microbial growth, reducing the digestibility of roughage due to inhibitory effect on proteolytic and fibrolytic bacteria (Kisworo et al., 2017). Phenolic compounds had negative effect for many rumen microbes, especially ciliate protozoa, fibrolytic bacteria and methanogens (Rira et al., 2015). Supplementing 0.5% before and 0.5% *A. paniculata* after flowering phase actually tends to increase optimum gas production (a+b) and IVOMD. The mechanism of the combination of this two harvesting phase of *A. paniculata* leaves needs further investigation. Szulc et al. (2020) reported that herbal mixtures influenced the cellulosylic bacterial activities (*F. succinogenes*, *R. albus* and *R. flavefaciens*) and increased digestibility. The improvement of IVOMD and gas production after supplementation by herbal commercial products in feed may be due to enhanced microbial activity after supplementation (Jain et al., 2017). Regardless of the type of herbs, the interaction between supplements and nutrient compounds in the basal diet also needs to be considered in the application of mixed herbs supplementation. Previous studies using high nutrient rations showed increasing tendency towards IVOMD, gas production and rumen fermentation products (Jain et al., 2017). Variation in the results of *in vitro* fermentation characteristics may be due to several factors such as difference in nutrient component, sample processing and handling of research equipment (Rahmy et al., 2019).

Overall, supplementing *A. paniculata* leaves showed no significant effect on gas production and IVOMD. This is in agreement with Yusuf et al. (2012) who reported similar results for *A. paniculata* addition in diet. Herbal (thyme + celery) plant supplementation (2.5 to 10 g/kg DM) had no significant effect on ruminal gas production (El Tawab et al., 2021). Wadhwa et al. (2020) demonstrated that the net gas production of total mixed ratio (TMR) diets did not influenced by *Acacia catechu*, *Acacia nilotica* and *Areca catechu* herbal inclusion. Supplementing herbs (galangan and lemongrass) on basal diets had no effect on dry matter and organic matter digestibility (Khattab et al., 2016).

The pH is an effective indicator for fermentation condition in the rumen. In present study, the ruminal pH values ranged from 6.51-6.68 and it is ideal for optimum rumen fermentation conditions (McDonald et al., 2010). The results of the present study was in agreement with Karnani et al. (2021) and El Tawab (2021) where the supplementation of herbal product did not influence the ruminal pH. In contrast, supplementing *A. paniculata* leaves could increase ruminal pH due to increasing of *Ruminococcus albus*, *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* and *Fibrobacter succinogenes* population (Yusuf et al., 2017). This differences might be due to variation in nutrient composition of basal diet. Ammonia, as a major source of nitrogen, is very important for rumen microbial protein synthesis (Kisworo et al., 2017). In the present study, there was no significant difference observed in NH3 concentration. The present results are in agreement with Kisworo et al. (2017) who reported that insignificant NH3 concentration among treatments may be caused by the indifferent protein content between treatments (Kisworo et al., 2017). In contrast, Yusuf et al. (2014) reported that the supplementation of both leaf and whole plant of *A. paniculata* reduced the concentration of NH3 in Boer diets. Karnani et al. (2021) also observed that the concentration of NH3 in herbal product supplemented group decreased significantly in rumen liquor. The use of lower dose of herbal supplement may result in variable values on NH3 concentration.

Total volatile fatty acids showed no significantly difference between *A. paniculata* treatments compared with control group. These results are in agreement with Wadhwa et al. (2020) who observed that at low level of *Areca catechu* or *Acacia nilotica* (2%) did not reduced TVFA. El Tawab et al. (2021) also demonstrated that there are no significantly effect between mixed herbal inclusion compared with basal diet on TVFAs values. Similar findings also noted by Yusuf et al. (2017) for *A. paniculata* supplementation, both in whole-plant and leaves application. This indicates that *A. paniculata* as herbal supplement has no negative effect on rumen fermentation. Using medical herbs in animal diets had no negative effect on major cellulolytic bacteria activity and ruminal fermentation (Naumann et al., 2018; El Tawab et al., 2021). The lower dose of herbal plants also influence the non-reactive effect in the ruminal ecosystem (Szulc et al., 2020).

**CONCLUSION**

Total tannins and condensed tannin contents of *A. paniculata* leaves were greater in plants after flowering than before flowering phase. However, there were no significant differences in biological activity of tannins between two harvesting times. The supplementation of *A. paniculata* leaves before and after flowering phase in the diet does not...
influence the digestibility. The implication of this study is that the combination of sambiloto before and after flowering phase can be used as an herbal supplement without reducing the rumen fermentation process. Further study to examine the effect of *A. paniculata* supplementation on the health of livestock is suggested.
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