Abstract

We present WebVectors, a toolkit that facilitates using distributional semantic models in everyday research. Our toolkit has two main features: it allows to build web interfaces to query models using a web browser, and it provides the API to query models automatically. Our system is easy to use and can be tuned according to individual demands. This software can be of use to those who need to work with vector semantic models but do not want to develop their own interfaces, or to those who need to deliver their trained models to a large audience. WebVectors features visualizations for various kinds of semantic queries. For the present moment, the web services with Russian, English and Norwegian models are available, built using WebVectors.

1 Introduction

In this demo we present WebVectors, a free and open-source toolkit\(^1\) helping to deploy web services which demonstrate and visualize distributional semantic models (widely known as word embeddings). We show its abilities on the example of the living web service featuring distributional models for English and Norwegian\(^2\).

Vector space models, popular in the field of distributional semantics, have recently become a buzzword in natural language processing. In fact, they were known for decades, and an extensive review of their development can be found in (Turney et al., 2010). Their increased popularity is mostly due to the new prediction-based approaches, which allowed to train distributional models with large amounts of raw linguistic data very fast. The most established word embedding algorithms in the field are highly efficient Continuous Skip-Gram and Continuous Bag-of-Words, implemented in the famous word2vec tool (Mikolov et al., 2013b; Baroni et al., 2014), and GloVe introduced in (Pennington et al., 2014).

Word embeddings represent the meaning of words with dense real-valued vectors derived from word co-occurrences in large text corpora. They can be of use in almost any linguistic task: named entity recognition (Siencnik, 2015), sentiment analysis (Maas et al., 2011), machine translation (Zou et al., 2013; Mikolov et al., 2013a), corpora comparison (Kutuzov and Kuzmenko, 2015), word sense frequency estimation for lexicographers (Iomdin et al., 2016), etc.

Unfortunately, the learning curve to master word embedding methods and how to present the results to general public may be steep, especially for people in (digital) humanities. Thus, it is important to facilitate research in this field and to provide access to relevant tools for various linguistic communities.

With this in mind, we are developing the WebVectors toolkit. It allows to quickly deploy a stable and robust web service for operations on word embedding models, including querying, visualization and comparison, all available even to users who are not computer-savvy.

WebVectors can be useful in a very common situation when one has trained a distributional semantics model for one’s particular corpus or language (tools for this are now widespread and simple to use), but then there is a need to demonstrate the results to the general public. The toolkit can be installed on any Linux server with a small set of standard tools as prerequisites, and generally works out-of-the-box. The administrator needs
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\(^1\)https://github.com/akutuzov/webvectors

\(^2\)http://ltr.uio.no/semvec
only to supply a trained model or models for one’s particular language or research goal. The toolkit can be easily adapted for specific needs.

2 Deployment

The toolkit serves as a web interface between distributional semantic models and users. Under the hood it uses the following software:

- *Gensim* library (Řehůrk and Sojka, 2010) which is responsible for actual interaction with models;

- Python *Flask* framework responsible for the user interface. It runs either on top of a regular *Apache* HTTP server or as a standalone service (using *Gunicorn* or other standalone WSGI server).

*Flask* communicates with *Gensim* (functioning as a daemon with our wrapper) via sockets, sending user queries and receiving answers from models.

This architecture allows fast simultaneous processing of multiple users querying multiple models over network. Models themselves are permanently stored in memory, eliminating time-consuming stage of loading them from permanent storage every time there is a need to process a query.

The setup process is extensively covered by the installation instructions available at https://github.com/akutuzov/webvectors.

3 Main features of WebVectors

Once *WebVectors* is installed, one can interact with the loaded model(s) via a web browser. Users are able to:

1. find semantic associates: words semantically closest to the query word (results are returned as lists of words with corresponding similarity values); an illustration of how it looks like in our demo web service is in Figure 1;

2. calculate exact semantic similarity between pairs of words (results are returned as cosine similarity values, in the range between -1 and 1);

3. apply algebraic operations to word vectors: addition, subtraction, finding average vector for a group of words (results are returned as lists of words nearest to the product of the operation and their corresponding similarity values); this can be used for analogical inference, widely known as one of the most interesting features of word embeddings (Mikolov et al., 2013b);

4. visualize semantic relations between words. As a user enters a set of words, the service builds a map of their inter-relations in the chosen model, and then returns a 2-dimensional version of this map, projected from the high-dimensional vector space, using *t-SNE* (Van der Maaten and Hinton, 2008). An example of such visualization is shown in Figure 2;

5. get the raw vector (array of real values) for the query word.

Figure 1: Computing associates for the word ‘linguistics’ based on the models trained on English Wikipedia and BNC.
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| Semantic associates for *linguistics* (All PoS) |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| British National Corpus                        |
| 1. sociology 0.649                            |
| 2. psychology 0.633                            |
| 3. anthropology 0.614                          |
| 4. stylistics 0.592                            |
| 5. linguist 0.552                              |
| 6. sociolinguistics 0.552                       |
| 7. semantics 0.544                             |
| 8. pragmatics 0.543                            |
| 9. science 0.543                               |
| 10. linguistic 0.543                           |

| English Wikipedia                             |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1. philology 0.750                            |
| 2. phonetics 0.733                            |
| 3. sociology 0.727                            |
| 4. anthropology 0.721                         |
| 5. dialectology 0.720                         |
| 6. lexico 0.698                               |
| 7. psycholinguistics 0.691                    |
| 8. sociolinguistics 0.682                     |
| 9. psychology 0.674                           |
| 10. musicology 0.672                          |

One can use part-of-speech filters in all of these operations. It is important to note that this is possible only if a model was trained on a PoS-tagged corpus and the tags were added to the resulting lemmas or tokens. Obviously, the tagger should
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3Can be any distributional model represented as a list of vectors for words: *word2vec*, *GloVe*, etc.
differentiate between homonyms belonging to different parts of speech. WebVectors can also use an external tagger to detect PoS for query words, if not stated explicitly by the user. By default, Stanford CoreNLP (Manning et al., 2014) is used for morphological processing and lemmatization, but one can easily adapt WebVectors to any other PoS-tagger.

In fact, one can use not only PoS tags, but any other set of labels relevant for a particular research project: time stamps, style markers, etc. WebVectors will provide the users with the possibility to filter the models’ output with respect to these tags.

Another feature of the toolkit is the possibility to display results from more than one model simultaneously. If several models are enumerated in the configuration file, the WebVectors daemon loads all of them. At the same time, the user interface allows to choose one of the featured models or several at once. The results (for example, lists of nearest semantic associates) for different models are then presented to the user side-by-side, as in the Figure 3. This can be convenient for research related to comparing several distributional semantic models (trained on different corpora or with different hyperparameters).

Last but not least, WebVectors features a simple API that allows to query the service automatically. It is possible to get the list of semantic associates for a given word in a given model or to compute semantic similarity for a word pair. The user performs GET requests to URLs following a specific pattern described in the documentation; in response, a file with the first 10 associates or the semantic similarity score is returned. There are two formats available at the present moment: json and tab-separated text files.

4 Live demos

The reference web service running on our codebase is at http://ltr.uio.no/semvec. It allows queries to 4 English models trained with the Continuous Skipgram algorithm (Mikolov et al., 2013b): the widely known Google News model published together with the word2vec tool, and the models we trained on Gigaword, British National Corpus (BNC) and English Wikipedia dump from September 2016 (we plan to regularly update this last one). Additionally, it features a model trained on the corpus of Norwegian news texts, Norsk aviskorpus (Hofland, 2000). To our knowledge, this is the first neural embedding model trained on the Norwegian news corpus made available online; (Al-Rfou et al., 2013) published distributional models for Norwegian, but they were trained on the Wikipedia only, and did not use the current state-of-the-art algorithms.

Prior to training, each word token in the training corpora was not only lemmatized, but also augmented with a Universal PoS tag (Petrov et al., 2012) (for example, boot_VERB). Also, some amount of strongly related bigram collocations like ‘Saudi::Arabia_PROPN’ was extracted, so that they receive their own embeddings after the training. The Google News model already features ngrams, but lacks PoS tags. To make it more comparable with other models, we assigned each word in this model a PoS tag with Stanford CoreNLP.

Another running installation of our toolkit is the RusVectores service available at http://
rusvectores.org (Kutuzov and Kuzmenko, 2016). It features 4 Continuous Skipgram and Continuous Bag-of-Words models for Russian trained on different corpora: the Russian National Corpus (RNC)\(^4\), the RNC concatenated with the Russian Wikipedia dump from November 2016, the corpus of 9 million random Russian web pages collected in 2015, and the Russian news corpus (spanning time period from September 2013 to November 2016). The corpora were linguistically pre-processed in the same way, lending the models the ability to better handle rich morphology of Russian. The RusVectors is already being employed in academic studies in computational linguistics and digital humanities (Kutuzov and Andreev, 2015; Kirillov and Krizhanovskij, 2016; Loukachevitch and Alekseev, 2016) (several other research projects are in progress as of now).

One can use the aforementioned services as live demos to evaluate the WebVectors toolkit before actually employing it in one’s own workflow.

5 Conclusion

The main aim of WebVectors is to quickly deploy web services processing queries to word embedding models, independently of the nature of the underlying training corpora. It allows to make complex linguistic resources available to wide audience in almost no time. We continue to add new features aiming at better understanding of embedding models, including sentence similarities, text classification and analysis of correlations between different models for different languages. We also plan to add models trained using other algorithms, like GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014) and fastText (Bojanowski et al., 2016).

We believe that the presented open source toolkit and the live demos can popularize distributional semantics and computational linguistics among general public. Services based on it can also promote interest among present and future students and help to make the field more compelling and attractive.
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