On the degree two entry of a Gorenstein $h$-vector and a conjecture of Stanley
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ABSTRACT. In this short note we establish a (non-trivial) lower bound on the degree two entry $h_2$ of a Gorenstein $h$-vector of any given socle degree $e$ and any codimension $r$.

In particular, when $e = 4$, that is for Gorenstein $h$-vectors of the form $h = (1, r, h_2, r, 1)$, our lower bound allows us to prove a conjecture of Stanley on the order of magnitude of the minimum value, say $f(r)$, that $h_2$ may assume. In fact, we show that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{f(r)}{r^{2/3}} = 6^{2/3}.$$  

In general, we wonder whether our lower bound is sharp for all integers $e \geq 4$ and $r \geq 2$.

1. Introduction

Gorenstein rings arise in many areas of mathematics - including algebraic geometry, combinatorics, and complexity theory (see, e.g., [Hu], [Pa], [St4], [At], [St5], [KS]). Often these rings are standard graded algebras over a field $k$. Then it is a basic problem to understand the vector space dimensions of the graded components of such algebras, i.e. their Hilbert functions. While there is a complete classification of the Hilbert functions of Cohen-Macaulay algebras due to Macaulay, there is not even a conjecture about the possible Hilbert functions of Gorenstein algebras. Hence, it is a natural first step to ask for bounds on the Hilbert function in terms of some information on the given algebra. This note addresses some instances of this problem. Our results are strong enough to settle a conjecture of Stanley that will be discussed below.

The power series generated by the Hilbert function of a standard graded Gorenstein $k$-algebra $A$ provides the so-called $h$-vector $h = (h_0, \ldots, h_e)$ of $A$. This finite tuple of positive integers, together with the (Krull) dimension of $A$, completely describes the Hilbert function of $A$. Equivalently, we may assume without loss of generality that $A$ is artinian. Then the $h$-vector of $A$ consists of the positive integers $h_i := \dim_k A_i$. By

---
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2.1. The Lower Bound

We begin by recalling results of Macaulay, Green, and Stanley that we will need in this paper.

**Definition-Remark 1.** Let \( n \) and \( i \) be positive integers. The *\( i \)-binomial expansion of \( n \)* is

\[
  n_{(i)} = \binom{n_i}{i} + \binom{n_{i-1}}{i-1} + \ldots + \binom{n_j}{j},
\]

where \( n_i > n_{i-1} > \ldots > n_j \geq j \geq 1 \). Such an expansion always exists and it is unique (see, e.g., [BH], Lemma 4.2.6).

Following [BG], we define, for any integers \( a \) and \( b \),

\[
  (n_{(i)})^b_a = \binom{n_i + b}{i + a} + \binom{n_{i-1} + b}{i - 1 + a} + \ldots + \binom{n_j + b}{j + a},
\]

where we set \( \binom{m}{q} = 0 \) whenever \( m < q \) or \( q < 0 \).
Each standard graded $k$-algebra $A$ can be written as $A = R/I$ where $R = k[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ is some polynomial ring and $I \subset R$ is a homogeneous ideal. Since we are interested in the Hilbert function of $A$, we may and will assume that the field $k$ is infinite.

**Theorem 2** Let $L \in A$ be a general linear form. Denote by $h_d$ the degree $d$ entry of the Hilbert function of $A$ and by $h'_d$ the degree $d$ entry of the Hilbert function of $A/LA$. Then:

i) (Macaulay) 
\[ h_{d+1} \leq (h_d(\cdot))_1. \]

ii) (Green) 
\[ h'_d \leq (h_d(\cdot))_{0^1}. \]

**Proof.** i) See [BH], Theorem 4.2.10.
ii) See [Gr], Theorem 1. □

The following simple observation is not new (see for instance [St3], bottom of p. 67):

**Lemma 3** (Stanley). Let $A$ be an artinian Gorenstein algebra, and let $L \in A$ be any linear form. Then the $h$-vector of $A$ can be written as
\[ h := (h_0, \ldots, h_e) = (1, b_1 + c_1, \ldots, b_e + c_e = 1), \]
where 
\[ b = (b_1 = 1, b_2, \ldots, b_{e-1}, b_e = 1) \]
is the $h$-vector of $A/(0 : L)$ (with the indices shifted by 1 to the left), which is a Gorenstein algebra, and 
\[ c = (c_0 = 1, c_1, \ldots, c_e = 0) \]
is the $h$-vector of $A/LA$.

**Proof.** The fact that $A/(0 : L)$ is Gorenstein of socle degree $e - 1$ is well-known and follows, for example, by computing its socle. The decomposition of $h$ is an immediate consequence of the exact sequence 
\[ 0 \to A/(0 : L)(-1) \xrightarrow{L} A \to A/LA \to 0 \]
that is induced by the multiplication by $L$. □

For all integers $r \geq 2$ and $e \geq 4$, we define $f(r, e)$ as the least possible value of the degree two entry $h_2$ among the Gorenstein $h$-vectors $h = (1, r, h_2, \ldots, h_e = 1)$ of codimension $r$ and socle degree $e$. The first main result of this note is the following estimate:

**Theorem 4.** 
\[ f(r, e) \geq (r_{(e-1)})^{-1} + (r_{(e-1)})^{-2} \]

**Proof.** Let $h = (1, r, h_2, \ldots, h_e = 1)$ be a Gorenstein vector such that $h_2 = f(r, e)$. Let $L \in R$ be a general linear form. By Lemma 3, we can decompose $h$ as 
\[ b + c = (0, b_1 = 1, b_2, \ldots, b_{e-1}, b_e) + (1, c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{e-1}, c_e), \]
where \( b \) is a Gorenstein \( h \)-vector of socle degree \( e - 1 \) (shifted by 1 to the right), and \( c \) is the \( h \)-vector of some artinian algebra. In particular, \( b_2 = b_{e-1} \). By Green’s Theorem 2, ii), we have \( c_{e-1} \leq (r_{(e-1)})_0^{-1} \). It follows that 

\[
b_2 = b_{e-1} \geq r - (r_{(e-1)})_0^{-1} = (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1}.
\]

Hence we get \( c_2 \leq f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} \). Using repeatedly Macaulay’s Theorem 2, i) and the fact that \( (m_{(d)})_1^1 \) is an increasing function in \( m \), we can compare \( c_{e-1} \) and \( c_2 \), and we obtain

\[
(1) \quad c_{e-1} \leq ((f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1})_{(2)})^{e-3}.
\]

We now claim that \( g(r, e) := ((f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1})_{(2)})^{e-3} \geq (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} \). Assume the contrary, i.e. that \( g(r, e) < (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} \). Then, by (1), \( c_{e-1} \) is less than \( (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} \), say \( c_{e-1} = (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} - a \), for some integer \( a > 0 \). Hence,

\[
b_2 = b_{e-1} = r - c_{e-1} = r - (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} + a = (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} + a,
\]

and therefore \( c_2 = f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} - a \). Repeated use of Macaulay’s Theorem 2, i) provides

\[
((f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} - a)_{(2)})^{e-3} = ((c_2)_{(2)})^{e-3} \geq c_{e-1} = (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} - a.
\]

Since, for any given \( d \), \( (m_{(d)})_1^1 \) is a actually a strictly increasing function in \( m \), it follows that

\[
g(r, e) = ((f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1})_{(2)})^{e-3} \geq (f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} - a)_{(2)}^{e-3} + a \geq (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1},
\]

a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Using again monotonicity, our claim \( ((f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1})_{(2)})^{e-3} \geq (r_{(e-1)})_{0}^{-1} \) implies

\[
f(r, e) - (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-1} \geq (r_{(e-1)})_{-1}^{-(e-2)},
\]

and the theorem follows. \( \square \)

We now record some interesting consequences of Theorem 4.

**Example 5.** Consider the case \( r = 13 \) and \( e = 4 \). Our Theorem 4 yields \( f(13, 4) \geq 12 \). Hence, Stanley’s Example 4.3 in [St1], \( (1, 13, 12, 13, 1) \), shows that \( f(13, 4) = 12 \). In particular, our bound is sharp in this case.

Our lower bound allows us to show that certain Gorenstein \( h \)-vectors must be unimodal. Part i) below is a considerable extension of Fact (c) on page 67 in [St3].

**Corollary 6.** i). If \( r \leq 9 \), then, for all \( e \geq 4 \), \( f(r, e) = r \). In particular, every socle degree 4 Gorenstein \( h \)-vector of codimension \( r \leq 9 \) is unimodal.

ii). For all \( r \leq 13 \), \( f(r, 5) = r \). In particular, every socle degree 5 Gorenstein \( h \)-vector of codimension \( r \leq 13 \) is unimodal.

**Proof.** In both cases a standard computation shows that Theorem 4 provides \( f(r, e) \geq r \). Since \((1, r, r, \ldots, r, 1)\) is a Gorenstein \( h \)-vector whenever \( r \geq 1 \), we conclude \( f(r, e) = r \), as desired. \( \square \)

**Remark 7.** One might think that, fixing the codimension \( r \), it becomes easier to
find non-unimodal Gorenstein $h$-vectors, the larger the socle degree is. However, this is not always true (at least for short $h$-vectors). Indeed, Example 5 and Corollary 6, ii) show that in case $r = 13$, the existence of a non-unimodal Gorenstein $h$-vector of socle degree 4 does not imply the existence of non-unimodal Gorenstein $h$-vectors of socle degree 5.

However, fixing the socle degree, but allowing the codimension to increase, non-unimodality can be carried over. More precisely, we have:

**Proposition 8.** Given any integer $e \geq 4$, the function $r - f(r, e)$ is non-decreasing in $r$.

In particular, since $(1, 13, 12, 13, 1)$ is a non-unimodal Gorenstein $h$-vector, there exist non-unimodal Gorenstein $h$-vectors of codimension $r$ and socle degree 4 for all $r \geq 13$.

**Proof.** The result is a consequence of the following claim:

Claim. If $(1, r, h_2, \ldots, r, 1)$ is a Gorenstein $h$-vector, then $(1, r + 1, h_2 + 1, \ldots, r + 1, 1)$ is also a Gorenstein $h$-vector.

Indeed, assuming the claim, we immediately have that $f(r + 1, e) \leq f(r, e) + 1$, and therefore

$$r + 1 - f(r + 1, e) \geq r + 1 - [f(r, e) + 1] = r - f(r, e),$$

as desired.

**Proof of Claim.** Let $(1, r, h_2, \ldots, r, 1)$ be the $h$-vector of the Gorenstein algebra $A = R/I$. Using Macaulay’s inverse systems if the base field $k$ has characteristic 0, and divided powers if $k$ has positive characteristic (see, e.g., [Ge] or [IK]), it is well-known that $I$ is the annihilator of a homogeneous polynomial $F \in k[y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r]$ of degree $e$.

Now consider the form $F' := F + g_{r+1}^e$ in $r + 1$ variables, and let $I' \subset R' := k[x_1, \ldots, x_{r+1}]$ be the annihilator of $F'$. Note that a form $g \in R'$ annihilates $F'$ if and only if $g, x_{r+1}g \in I'$, unless $g = x_{r+1}^j$ for some $j \leq e$. It easily follows that the $h$-vector of $R'/I'$ is $(1, r + 1, h_2 + 1, \ldots, r + 1, 1)$, as claimed. □

### 3. Algebras of socle degree 4

We now turn to an application of Theorem 4 to Gorenstein algebras of socle degree 4, i.e. to Gorenstein $h$-vectors of the form $(1, r, h_2, r, 1)$. In this case, the lower bound of Theorem 4 becomes

$$h_2 \geq f(r) := f(r, 4) \geq (r(3))^{-1} + (r(3))^{-2}.$$

On page 79 in [St2], Stanley conjectured that for $r \to \infty$ the function $f(r)$ converges and that the limit $c$ is $6^{2/3}$. Assuming that the limit $c$ exists, Stanley himself showed that $\frac{1}{2}6^{2/3} \leq c \leq \frac{2}{3}6^{2/3}$ (see [St3], p. 68). The upper bound has been improved by Kleinschmidt who showed $c \leq 6^{2/3}$ (see [KL]), provided the limit $c$ exists.

The goal of this section is to show Stanley’s conjecture by using Theorem 4 for $e = 4$, along with a suitable refinement of Stanley’s use of trivial extensions:

**Theorem 9.**

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{f(r)}{r^{2/3}} = 6^{2/3}.$$

First we need two preparations. The first, once again due to Stanley, determines the $h$-vector of the trivial extension of a level algebra. Recall that an artinian $k$-algebra is called *level* if its socle is concentrated in one degree.
Lemma 10. (Stanley). Given a level algebra with $h$-vector $h = (1, h_1, \ldots, h_j)$, there exists a Gorenstein algebra (called its trivial extension) having the $h$-vector $H = (1, H_1, \ldots, H_j, H_{j+1} = 1)$, where, for $i = 1, \ldots, j$,

$$H_i = h_i + h_{j+1-i}.$$ 

Proof. See [St1], Example 4.3, and also [Re]. For a proof using inverse systems, see [BI], Lemma 1. □

Lemma 11. Every integer $r \geq 4$ can be written as

$$r = m + \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2} + b,$$

where $m, a, b$ are integers satisfying $1 \leq a \leq m - 1$, and $0 \leq b \leq a + 2$.

Proof. Let $m$ be the largest integer such that $m + \binom{m+1}{3} \leq r$. If $m + \binom{m+1}{3} < r$, then we read off $a$ and $b$ from the 2-binomial expansion of $r - m - \binom{m+1}{3}$. Otherwise, we get the claimed presentation from the identity

$$r = m + \binom{m+1}{3} = m - 1 + \binom{m}{3} + \binom{m-1}{2} + m.$$ □

We are ready to establish our second main result.

Proof of Theorem 9. We want to show that

$$F(r) := \frac{f(r)}{(6r)^{2/3}}$$

converges to 1 as $r$ goes to infinity. To this end we will exhibit functions $G$ and $H$ such that, for all $r$, $G(r) \leq F(r) \leq H(r)$ and both $G$ and $H$ converge to 1.

First, we use Theorem 4 to obtain the lower bound. The 3-binomial expansion of $r$ can be rewritten as $r = \binom{k}{3} + \binom{p}{2} + \binom{q}{1}$, where $k > p, q \geq 0$. In particular, we have $r \leq \binom{k}{3} + \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{k-1}{1}$. Furthermore, Theorem 4 with $e = 4$ provides

$$f(r) \geq (r_{(3)})^{-1} + (r_{(3)})^{-2} \geq \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{k-2}{2} = k^2 - 4k + 4.$$ 

It follows that

$$F(r) \geq G(r) := \frac{k^2 - 4k + 4}{6^{2/3} \cdot [(\binom{k}{3} + \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{k-1}{1})^{2/3}]}. $$

Since $k \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$, we conclude that $\lim_{r \to \infty} G(r) = 1$.

In order to establish the desired upper bound, we will construct suitable Gorenstein algebras. Let $r \geq 4$. By Lemma 11, there are integers $m, a, b$ such that $1 \leq a \leq m - 1$, $0 \leq b \leq a + 2$, and $r = m + \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2} + b$. By Macaulay’s Theorem 1, i), there is a $k$-algebra $A$ with $h$-vector

$$h = (1, m, \binom{m}{2} + a, \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2}).$$
Note that every such algebra $A$ must be a level algebra (see [Za2], Theorem 3.5, or [Na], Corollary 3.9). Hence, by Lemma 10, there exists a Gorenstein $h$-vector of the form:

$$(1, m + \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2}, 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2a, m + \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2}, 1).$$

Using the construction in the proof of Proposition 8, we see that there is a Gorenstein $h$-vector

$$(1, r = m + \binom{m+1}{3} + \binom{a+1}{2} + b, 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2a + b, r, 1).$$

It follows that

$$f(r) \leq 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2a + b \leq 2 \binom{m}{2} + 2(m - 1) + m + 1 = m^2 + 2m - 1.$$ 

Using $r \geq m + \binom{m}{3}$, we obtain

$$F(r) \leq H(r) := \frac{m^2 + 2m - 1}{6^{2/3} \binom{m}{3}^{2/3}}.$$

Since $m \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$, we get $\lim_{r \to \infty} H(r) = 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The proof of the above theorem shows that the lower bound in Theorem 4 in case $e = 4$ and $f(r) = f(r, 4)$ agree for $r \to \infty$ up to terms of lower order. Thus, we conclude this note by asking:

**Question 12.** For which values of $r$ and $e$ is the lower bound on $f(r, e)$ supplied by Theorem 4 sharp?

In particular, the first interesting case would be to determine if the sequence $h = (1, 10, 9, 10, 1)$ is a Gorenstein $h$-vector. Note that since 9 is odd, Stanley’s trivial extension method (cf. Lemma 10) does not work.

**Remark added after acceptance of paper.** In a work currently in progress, M. Boij and the third author have recently proved that several new $h$-vectors, including $(1, 10, 9, 10, 1)$, are not Gorenstein, and hence that the lower bound of Theorem 4 is in general not sharp.
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