Plug-and-play microvalve and micropump for rapid integration with microfluidic chips
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Abstract This paper reports design, fabrication, and characterization of an air-actuated microvalve and a micropump made of thermoplastic materials. The bonding process was carried out by thermal fusion process with no particular surface treatment. The developed microvalve was used as a reversible switch for controlling both liquid flow and electrical field. Bonding strength of the fabricated microvalves could withstand liquid and air pressures of up to 600 kPa with no burst failure. The micropump made of three connected microvalves, actuated by compressed air, could generate a liquid flow rate of up to 85 \( \mu \text{l/min} \). The proposed microvalve and micropump can be used as pre-fabricated off-the-shelf microfluidic functional elements for easy and rapid integration with thermoplastic microfluidic circuitries in a plug-and-play arrangement.

1 Introduction

Because of essential role of flow control and manipulation for microfluidic applications, many investigations have been carried out to develop various designs of microvalves (Oh and Ahn 2006; Zhu et al. 2012; Jiang and Erickson 2013; Kang et al. 2013; Shiraki et al. 2015) and micropumps (Nguyen et al. 2002; Yobas et al. 2008; Qin et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2015). Examples of deploying microvalves can be found for cell culture assays (Gómez-Sjöberg et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2008; Frimat et al. 2011), microfluidic drug screening (Ma et al. 2009; Nguyen et al. 2013), single-cell analysis (Irinia 2010), and droplet microfluidics (Zeng et al. 2009). Silicon-based microvalves and micropumps were developed at the early stages of microfluidic progress using surface and bulk micromachining technologies (Oh and Ahn 2006). But for the last 15 years, different polymers have been adopted for the development of microvalves and micropumps taking advantage of cheaper materials and easier fabrication methods.

Generally, a microvalve is made of a flexible diaphragm sandwiched between a control chamber and a liquid chamber (control chamber/diaphragm/liquid chamber). Upon the deformation of the diaphragm by an external means, flow inside the fluidic chamber can be manipulated. In terms of fabrication process, microvalves are generally categorized into (1) built-in microvalves and (2) pre-fabricated microvalves. For built-in microvalves, diaphragm is generally made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). Control chambers and the liquid chambers can be made of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). Control chambers and the liquid chambers can be made of PDMS, polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and cyclic olefin copolymer (COC) during the course of chip fabrication in the following arrangements: (PDMS/PDMS/PDMS) (Unger et al. 2000; Gómez-Sjöberg et al. 2007; Gu et al. 2010), (PMMA/PDMS/PMMA) (Zhang et al. 2009), and (COC/PDMS/COC) (Gu et al. 2010). Because of small footprint of such microvalves, e.g., 100 \( \mu \text{m} \times 100 \mu \text{m} \) (Unger et al. 2000), built-in microvalve concept enables microfluidic large-scale integration (Melin and Quake 2007) with pneumatic actuation, suitable for high-throughput cell culture and single-cell analysis (Wu et al. 2004; Gómez-Sjöberg et al. 2007; Lii et al. 2008).
It has been reported that PDMS with no surface treatment can absorb some small hydrophobic molecules, i.e., estrogen, during microfluidic drug screening (Regehr et al. 2009; Berthier et al. 2012). In addition, some uncured oligomer compounds from the polymeric network of PDMS can leach into the microchannel media affecting cell membrane during cell culture (Regehr et al. 2009; Berthier et al. 2012). Also, some organic solvents swell PDMS or dissolve PDMS compounds (Lee et al. 2003). Such features of PDMS can hinder some applications, particularly microfluidic organ-on-chip devices for drug screening. In order to mitigate the mentioned problems of using PDMS for microvalve fabrication, other elastomers including Teflon (Teflon/Teflon/Teflon) (Grover et al. 2008), Viton® (PMMA/Viton®/PMMA) or (COC/Viton®/COC) (Ogilvie et al. 2011) have been explored for built-in microvalves.

Pre-fabricated microvalves (Elizabeth Hulme et al. 2009) can be made in advance and then integrated with a pre-fabricated microfluidic chip. In contrast to built-in microvalves, these microvalves have larger footprints at millimeter scale. Therefore, because of ease of incorporation, such microvalves are suitable for plug-and-play applications where low-density integration of microfluidic components is required. Some explored applications are gradient generators (Elizabeth Hulme et al. 2009), immunoassay (Weibel et al. 2005) and on-chip lifelong observation of *C. elegans* (Hulme et al. 2010).

Depending on the microvalve design, a pre-fabricated microvalve can be actuated manually by a screw (Weibel et al. 2005; Hulme et al. 2010), electrically by a solenoid actuator (Weibel et al. 2005), or pneumatically (Elizabeth Hulme et al. 2009). Pre-fabricated valves are mainly made of PDMS in large quantities. They are embedded into microfluidic chips during the casting of the master-made PDMS (Hulme et al. 2010). Pre-fabricated valves made from PDMS are suitable for PDMS-based microfluidic devices. Therefore, there is a lack of microvalves for user-friendly integration with microfluidic chips made of thermoplastic materials.

In recent years, thermoplastic materials have gained significant popularity for microfluidic applications (Tsao and DeVoe 2009) suitable for high volume, low-cost production (Chin et al. 2012). Also, they have lower oxygen permeability compared to PDMS (Ochs et al. 2014). Materials with low oxygen permeability are required for making devices to create oxygen-controlled conditions on a microfluidic chip for tumor microenvironment and hypoxia (Byrne et al. 2014).

In this paper, we reported a systematic approach for design, fabrication, and characterization of a plug-and-play pre-fabricated microvalve and a micropump for easy integration with microfluidic chips made of thermoplastic materials. As shown in Fig. 1, the normally open microvalve was made of a flexible diaphragm, thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU), sandwiched between a liquid chamber and an air chamber both made of PMMA. Upon increasing air pressure inside the control chamber, the diaphragm was deformed downward at the liquid chamber (displacement chamber) to stop the liquid passing through the microvalve. Different layers of microvalve were bonded together through thermal fusion process with no particular surface treatment.

2 Valve design

Single microvalve module was designed based on using five components, Fig. 1b–d. Components numbers (1), (3), and (5) were fabricated using micromilling process out of PMMA. Both liquid and air chambers were designed to have a diameter of 4 mm. Upon applying pressure, the diaphragm sandwiched between the bottom and the intermediate components was deformed downward at the liquid chamber (displacement chamber) to block the inlet port, which was located at the center of the liquid chamber. Spacing between the inlet port and the outlet port was...
set to be 1.0 mm. Such design enables the microvalve to be integrated with a microfluidic chip easily using different bonding techniques including thermal bonding, ultrasonic welding or adhesive bonding. The microvalve can be implemented on a microfluidic chip in a plug-and-play manner by linking its inlet and outlet ports to the corresponding ports of a fluidic channel embedded in the microfluidic chip, Fig. 1. For an easy chip-to-world connection, a connector made of silicone rubber was made and embedded within the microvalve structure. The connector was used to connect the microvalve to a compressed air regulator for valve actuation.

3 Material selection

Micromilling process was used to make the circular control and liquid chambers from Poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA. PMMA has been widely used for rapid prototyping of microfluidic chips by micromilling method and laser ablation techniques (Waldbaur et al. 2011). Also, it can be injection molded (Becker and Gärtner 2008) for the mass production of commercialized chips. An off-the-shelf thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) film with a thickness of 150 ± 15 µm, Bothane 85A (Texit®) from BAYER®, was selected for making the flexible diaphragm. Polyurethane elastomers have gained significant attentions for microfluidic chip fabrication (Piccin et al. 2007; Wu et al. 2012; Gu et al. 2013). They have high mechanical strength, resiliency, and good resistance to abrasion. (Gu et al. 2013). Also, glass transition temperature ($T_g$) of TPU film was measured. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis upon heating from −120 to 140 °C revealed that TPU has a low $T_g$ of −50 °C while $T_g$ of PMMA is generally reported around 110 °C. In addition, TPU film was optically characterized. High light absorbance at infrared wavelengths of 6–11 µm in transmittance measurement was observed. This optical property of TPU film makes it a suitable material for ablation and cutting using CO₂ laser beam which has an inherent wavelength of 10.6 µm (Hong et al. 2010).

4 Numerical simulation and experimental validation of diaphragm deflection

Finite element method (FEM) using ANSYS 11.0 software was used to simulate the deflection of the circular diaphragm under different air pressures. All geometries, forces, and boundary conditions were axisymmetric, therefore a two-dimensional (2D) and 8-node element axisymmetric model was established in ANSYS to mesh the computational domain.

In order to establish the FEM model, we performed a uniaxial tension test on the TPU film to understand its strain–stress characteristics. TPU film showed a nonlinear hyperelastic property, and Mooney–Rivlin (nine parameters) constitutive model was exploited to fit the data. Diameter of liquid and control chambers was set at 4 mm. The following boundary conditions and assumptions were considered to simulate the diaphragm deformation at the computational domain:

- Axisymmetric setup with respect to the center of the valve geometry
- Displacement in the horizontal direction constrained along the axis of symmetry
- All degrees of freedom constrained on the bottom surface of the microvalve seat
- Load applied as a uniformly distributed pressure on the top surface of the diaphragm
- Contact elements condition used to simulate the contact conditions at the diaphragm–valve seat interface

For contact elements condition, a friction coefficient of 0.1 was considered for diaphragm–valve seat interface. Large displacement static option was used to solve the model.

In order to evaluate the predications for diaphragm deformation obtained from FEM model, a test chip was fabricated where the diameter of the test chip diaphragm was the same as diaphragm diameter of the FEM model (4 mm), Fig. 2a,b. The test chip was made of the same TPU film sandwiched between two PMMA slabs through thermal bonding process. As shown in Fig. 2c, the test chip enabled the measurement of diaphragm deflection under different air pressures for comparison with the results obtained from the FEM analysis. Three diaphragms were tested. In the experiment, the vertical deflection was measured optically at the central point of the diaphragm using a ZETA-20 3D Imaging & Metrology System. In general, the FEM predictions have similar trends with the experimental results. Both FEM and experimental results showed that the diaphragm deflected more as the air pressure increased. Experimental results showed higher deflections than the FEM model predictions and they are characterized by offsets in the vertical direction. Upon examination of the diaphragms, it was noticed that there were initial warpage of the diaphragm introduced by the process of bonding the TPU diaphragm to the PMMA layers. This initial warpage may lead to a higher deflection compared to a flat diaphragm. Figure 2c shows a displacement result obtained from FEM model when the diaphragm was subjected to a pressure of 35 kPa. The periphery of the diaphragm was constrained from displacing downward. But the central region showed the largest displacement of ~300 µm causing it to just come
into contact with the edge of the inlet hole. The inlet was located at the center of the microvalve seat inside the liquid chamber.

Figure 3 shows FEM prediction of contact pressure between the diaphragm and the valve seat at pressures of 40 and 100 kPa. At 40 kPa, a contact region around the periphery of the inlet hole was formed as the diaphragm pressed against it. The width of the contact ring was about 50 µm with a peak in the contact pressure distribution at the edge of the hole. When the pressure was increased to 100 kPa, the width of the contact ring increased to about 450 µm. The peak due to the sharp edge of the hole was still there. Most of the contact region maintained a contact pressure of over 100 kPa which was essential for leakage-free sealing.

5 Valve fabrication

Since both PMMA and TPU were thermoplastic, the fabrication of the whole valve module took advantage of direct thermal bonding technique with no intermediate adhesive. PMMA components were fabricated using micromilling process, while TPU films were cut using either CO₂ laser beam or a blade cutter at circular shapes.
The thermal bonding process was comprised of two major steps: thermal pre-treatment step and low-pressure bonding step where components were bonded together using a metallic jig. During the thermal pre-treatment process, surface of PMMA components and TPU films were cleaned by isopropanol alcohol (IPA) and then blown by filtered air. They were subsequently kept in a vacuum oven at 80 °C for 24 h to facilitate the removal of any volatile residuals from the components. After 24 h, TPU film was removed from the vacuum oven and its surface was cleaned thoroughly again using cleanroom wipe and IPA and DI water followed by air blow to remove any residuals and debris. Then it was returned back to the vacuum oven and kept for at least 6 h. This thermal pre-treatment can enhance the strength and quality of the bonding at the low-pressure bonding step. The thermally treated PMMA components and the TPU film were removed from the oven and then aligned on top of each other accordingly. The assembled components were sandwiched in a metallic jig for thermal bonding process. Subsequently, the whole assembly was put in the oven (Memmert, model UFE600). It was heated and then kept at temperature of 115 °C for 60 min. Then the assembly was cooled down to 60 °C within 60 min. The metallic jig had adjustable screws to control the bonding pressure. The applied torque to adjust the screws was 1 Nm. Inspection after bonding process was carried out for any visible distortion, crack, or delamination of multiple layers of the fabricated valves.

6 Valve characterization

As shown in Fig. 4, fabricated valves were tested on a test chip to visualize the diagram deformation upon applying pressurized air to the control chamber. Also, a pressure test setup was designed and fabricated to examine microvalve operation and mechanical strength of thermal bonding of TPU to PMMA under different operating pressures. It was observed that the bonding strength of PMMA/TPU/PMMA was sufficient to withstand liquid and air pressures of up to 600 kPa with no burst failure. As shown in Fig. 5, characterization experiments were carried out for control air pressures of 100, 200, and 300 kPa. Leakage-free operations at liquid pressures lower than the air pressure were realized. It was observed that when the pressure of liquid approached to the pressure of actuating air, liquid started to leak through the diaphragm–valve seat interface.

The function of the microvalve was also demonstrated in an electrical isolation test as a reversible electrical switch. As shown in Fig. 6, a microvalve was integrated onto an electrophoresis chip. To start with the characterization test, channel was filled up with TAE buffer solution (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.3). Then the whole channel between the inlet and the outlet was filled with a 0.5 % agarose gel. After gel solidified, a 1-kb DNA ladder, from 250 to 10000 base pairs, mixed SYBR Green I (100×) was deposited on top of the inlet. A potential of 110 V was applied to electrodes and DNA band travelled 6.5 mm toward the positive electrode in 18 min. Then the microvalve was kept closed for 6 min by applying an air pressure of 250 kPa. During this period, it was observed that the leading edge of the DNA band showed a minor move of less than 0.1 mm, which can be attributed to diffusion phenomenon. After that, pressurized air was cut off to make the valve open and a movement of 2.4 mm was observed within the next 6 min. This observation indicated that the air-actuated microvalve was able to isolate two adjacent fluidic chambers as a reversible electrical switch.

7 Plug-and-play peristaltic micropump

Making use of the microvalve design, a peristaltic pumping scheme was achieved by consecutive integration and
operation of three interconnected liquid chambers integrated on a substrate. The working principle of the micropump was based on the deflection of three TPU diaphragms actuated by three air entries on top of the diaphragms to generate a peristaltic-like effect for liquid pumping. The fabrication process was similar to microvalve fabrication as mentioned earlier.

In order to investigate the frequency response time of the micropump, the effect of two actuation frequencies of 3.3 and 5 Hz on pumping flow rate was investigated. An in-house-developed air pump with adjustable actuation frequency was used to actuate the diaphragms. Pumping flow rate decreased from 89 ± 6 to 65 ± 5 µl/min as the actuation frequency dropped from 5 to 3.3 Hz using 20 kPa air pressure between suction and discharge ports of the micropump, frequency of diaphragm actuation was 5 Hz with an actuation air pressure of 20 kPa.
pressure for actuation. This decline in the flow rate can be associated with the longer residence time of the flow in the pumping chambers at lower frequency of actuation.

Also, the impact of downstream pressure at the discharge port on the overall pumping rate was investigated. The test setup had one liquid column at the suction port and one liquid column at the discharge port. By changing the difference between the heights of the two liquid columns, the pressure at the discharge port was controlled. In order to measure the flow rate, the liquid pumped to the discharge column was collected by a 1-ml syringe from the highest point of the discharge column in a given time. As the downstream pressure at the discharge port was increased from 12 to 42 mm, pumping flow rate was decreased from 82 to 55 µl/min, Fig. 7d.

8 Conclusions

This paper reported the fabrication and characterization of an air-actuated normally open microvalve and a micropump made of thermoplastics. Microvalves could withstand liquid pressures of up to 600 kPa with no burst failure. Also, leakage-free operation at liquid pressures lower than the air pressure was realized. Characterization results proved that the microvalve can be used for controlling both liquid flows and electrical fields. No particular surface treatment was used for bonding process. The plug-and-play microvalves and micropumps can be easily sterilized and autoclaved for cell-based microfluidic devices and microfluidic organ-on-chip platforms. Multiple valves can be integrated into one microfluidic device and provide complex flow manipulation functions. Fabricated microvalves with the embedded chip-to-world connectors are simple to operate. Such design features make them as off-the-shelf functional elements with easy integration onto thermoplastic microfluidic chips. Exploited materials and the proposed fabrication process are appropriate for mass production of microfluidic components and circuitries using thermoforming process, particularly injection molding method. In addition, other thermoplastic materials including COC and PC with respective $T_g$ of 80 and 148 °C (Gärtner 2008) can be explored for bonding to TPU film to make the proposed functional elements.
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