Tarsometatarsal (TMT) joint injuries, also referred to as Lisfranc joint injuries, are fairly uncommon, occurring in only 1 of 55,000 individuals annually in the United States; however, few injuries hold such an elevated potential for devastating chronic secondary pain and disability. It is imperative when evaluating an injury to the ankle or foot to have a high clinical index of suspicion for Lisfranc injury, as physical examination findings are often subtle.

Approximately 20% of Lisfranc injuries are misdiagnosed or overlooked on initial examination, leading to improper initial management and an eventual poor functional outcome. The TMT joint complex comprises the 3 cuneiforms, the cuboid, and the articulations with the 5 metatarsal bases. The Lisfranc joint consists of several dorsal, interosseous, and plantar ligaments. The interosseous ligaments are the strongest of the Lisfranc complex and join the second-through-fifth metatarsals. The Lisfranc ligament is the strongest and largest interosseous attachment connecting the first cuneiform and second metatarsal base. The intrinsic stability of the TMT joint is primarily based on the stable bony and capsuloligamentous relationships around the second metatarsal base, which resembles a Roman arch. The proximal end of the second metatarsal is securely recessed between the first and third cuneiforms, while the second cuneiform and second metatarsal articulation form the keystone of the arch, which prevents medial and lateral translation (Figures 1-3). Because of this inherent structural stability, the precise anatomic restoration of the joint and its maintenance should be the primary management goals for those unfortunate enough to sustain injury to the TMT joint. The following case outlines the injury mechanism, presentation, and treatment of a patient who sustained a Lisfranc joint injury.

**CASE REPORT**

An 18-year-old military cadet presented to physical therapy with acute foot pain 1 day after being tackled during a full-contact football game. The injury mechanism was described as a direct vertical force onto the calcaneus of a planted and plantarflexed foot (Figure 4). He walked into the physical therapy clinic without an assistive device but with a significant limp. He was unable to bear full weight through the foot without significant pain. Physical examination revealed midfoot edema and tenderness to palpation at the dorsal midfoot, with exquisite focal tenderness present at the base of the first, second, and third metatarsals and the first and second cuneiforms. The patient had increased pain in the TMT area with passive pronation and abduction stress to the forefoot.

**Keywords:** Lisfranc; foot sprain; tarsometatarsal joint injury
Standard radiographs and weightbearing images demonstrated normal results; a computed tomography (CT) scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was indicated on the basis of continued clinical suspicion of Lisfranc injury (Figures 5 and 6). CT is more useful for preoperative planning and for detecting small bony avulsions, while MRI is useful in assessing the Lisfranc ligament. This patient’s CT scan showed an oblique fracture through the base of the third metatarsal, a small marginal fracture at the plantar base of the second metatarsal, and a subtle diastasis (Figure 7). To restore and maintain structural integrity of the TMT joint, a TMT open reduction internal fixation was performed 2 days later (Figure 8).

His postoperative management consisted of strict immobilization (cast) and crutch ambulation (nonweightbearing) for 6 weeks, followed by immobilization in a protective boot and crutch ambulation (progressive weightbearing) for 4 weeks. The patient then transitioned to full weightbearing using carbon fiber footplate inserts for 2 weeks. Twelve weeks following stabilization, the surgical hardware was removed. The patient then performed ankle/
foot range of motion and strengthening exercises and was allowed to progress with biking, swimming (following wound closure), and the elliptical machine. At approximately 6 months postsurgery, he was able to run, and by 8 months, he ran 3 miles with minimal pain complaints. He returned to limited sports participation (lacrosse) by 1 year and full activity 15 months postsurgery.

**DISCUSSION**

Lisfranc joint injuries occur from 2 basic mechanisms. Direct force injuries occur from a crushing mechanism (ie, crushed by a vehicle or a weight dropped onto the dorsum of the foot). Indirect injuries are most common and occur from forefoot abduction and plantarflexion forces to the TMT joint. This may occur if an athlete plants the cleated foot and turns quickly or if an equinus force is placed through the TMT joint of the fixed foot. The plantarflexion injury mechanism occurs as a result of an axial load through the hindfoot in a fixed foot, which may occur when a football player lands on the heel of another player whose foot is planted and plantarflexed. Lisfranc injuries occur in a spectrum of anatomic variations, and in an effort to properly capture the injury possibilities, classification systems were developed (Table 1).

Diagnosis of a Lisfranc injury is made by a combination of history, physical, and radiographic examinations. The most common findings/symptoms are pain, edema, tenderness over the TMT joint, and ecchymosis at the plantar aspect of the midfoot. Plantar ecchymosis denotes substantial soft tissue disruption and is suggestive of a Lisfranc injury until proven otherwise. Increased pain with passive abduction and pronation of the forefoot is specific for TMT injury. Toe gait is impossible, and the patient is typically unable to bear weight secondary to significant pain.

When clinical suspicion exists, immediate use of imaging is warranted since delayed diagnosis is associated with increased morbidity. Routine radiographs of the foot...
are often insufficient to detect a Lisfranc injury and may be read as normal in up to 20% to 50% of cases. Standing weightbearing radiographs, if tolerated, are strongly recommended since they will help emphasize deformities, especially a subtle Lisfranc diastasis. Weightbearing images with both feet on a single cassette or a stress view with the forefoot in abduction and pronation may improve sensitivity. CT is superior to MRI for diagnosis and making clinical decisions. MRI can be used to assess the Lisfranc ligament and evaluate midfoot soft tissues, especially in low-impact Lisfranc injuries.

If not treated properly and swiftly, midfoot injuries have numerous complications: vascular impairment, skin necrosis, osteoarthritis, nonunion, chronic pain, and chronic instability. Kuo et al evaluated patient outcome at an average of 52 weeks—status post–open reduction, internal fixation—and found that the main determinant of a good outcome was anatomic reduction.

**CONCLUSION**

Early diagnosis of Lisfranc injuries is imperative for proper management and prevention of a poor functional outcome. These injuries range from a simple sprain to a complete fracture/dislocation through the TMT region and are commonly caused by an axial load though the calcaneus or a twisting/hyperplantarflexion stress applied to the midfoot. If a strong clinical suspicion exists, advanced imaging (ie, CT scan or MRI) may be needed.
Table 1. Classification of Lisfranc injuries

| Year | Authors            | Classification System                                                                 |
|------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1909 | Quenu and Kuss*    | Homolateral Isolated Divergent                                                        |
| 1982 | Hardcastle et al** | Type A: Total incongruity of the tarsometatarsal joint—either lateral or dorsoplantar  |
|      |                    | Type B: Partial incongruity—medial or lateral dislocation                              |
|      |                    | Type C: Divergent—total or partial displacement                                         |
| 1986 | Myerson et al**    | Type A: Total incongruity of the tarsometatarsal joint—lateral or dorsoplantar         |
|      |                    | Type B1: Medial dislocations—first ray in isolation with or without medial cuneiform displacement |
|      |                    | Type B2: Lateral dislocations—involving any of the 4 lateral metatarsals                |
|      |                    | Type C1: Partial displacement—medial and middle columns                                |
|      |                    | Type C2: Total displacement—involving all metatarsals with the medial column           |
|      |                    | dislocated medially and the middle and lateral columns displaced laterally             |
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