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Abstract. The meningeal lymphatic vessels were discovered 2 years ago as the drainage system involved in the mechanisms underlying the clearance of waste products from the brain. The blood–brain barrier (BBB) is a gatekeeper that strongly controls the movement of different molecules from the blood into the brain. We know the scenarios during the opening of the BBB, but there is extremely limited information on how the brain clears the substances that cross the BBB. Here, using the model of sound-induced opening of the BBB, we clearly show how the brain clears dextran after it crosses the BBB via the meningeal lymphatic vessels. We first demonstrate successful application of optical coherence tomography (OCT) for imaging of the lymphatic vessels in the meninges after opening of the BBB, which might be a new useful strategy for noninvasive analysis of lymphatic drainage in daily clinical practice. Also, we give information about the depth and size of the meningeal lymphatic vessels in mice. These new fundamental data with the applied focus on the OCT shed light on the mechanisms of brain clearance and the role of lymphatic drainage in these processes that could serve as an informative platform for a development of therapy and diagnostics of diseases associated with injuries of the BBB such as stroke, brain trauma, glioma, depression, or Alzheimer disease. © 2017 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.22.12.121719]
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1 Introduction

The meningeal lymphatic system that was recently discovered is a network of optically transparent vessels that play a vital role in the health of the central nervous system (CNS) in protecting the brain against pathogens.1–3 In 2015, Louveau et al.1 and Aspelund et al.2 clearly showed that the meningeal lymphatic system is involved in the clearing of waste products from the brain.

There is the hypothesis that the clearing function of the lymphatic system is one of the crucial mechanisms responsible for the CNS diseases related to injuries of the blood–brain barrier (BBB), which controls the transport of metabolic products to the brain. During the opening of the BBB, the metabolic and waste products, especially proteins, can pathologically accumulate in the brain and cause toxic effects on the brain tissues. For example, after stroke, which is strongly associated with the opening of the BBB, the Evans Blue dye (EBd) complex with albumins (68 kDa) penetrates through the BBB, which is impossible in the normal state.4,5

The understanding the interaction between the lymphatic system and BBB functioning will open the door to progress into the effective prevention of vascular catastrophes in the brain associated with the disorders of the BBB. For instance, it can give a new impulse for early prognosis of stroke because the prestroke is accompanied by the opening of the BBB.6

However, there are some challenges to studying noninvasively the meningeal lymphatic system in vivo due to the optical transparency of lymphatic vessels (low scattering and absorption) and the low speed and nonstationary flow of lymph. There are some technical solutions including digital microscopy,7,9 speckle and Doppler imaging,8,9 photoacoustic,8,10–12 optical coherence tomography (OCT),13,14 molecular fluorescent,8,15 and near-infrared spectroscopy imaging.16 Note that all these
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methods were used only for the analysis of the peripheral lymphatic vessels, but they are significantly larger and have a wider network than the meningeal lymphatic vasculature, which makes them more easily visible. The development of new strategies for noninvasive study of the meningeal lymphatic vessels can give impulse for the application of diagnostic methods in practical medicine, in particular, for the prognosis of subdural intracranial hemorrhages in newborns that are associated with the injuries of the brain drainage system.17

Here, for the first time, we show the successful application of OCT in noninvasive imaging of the meningeal lymphatic system in our study of lymphatic drainage after opening of the BBB.

2 Methods

2.1 Subjects

Male mice (20 to 25 g, n = 89) were used in all experiments. The animals were housed under standard laboratory conditions, with access to food and water, ad libitum. All procedures were performed in accordance with the “Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.” The experimental protocol was approved by the Committee for the Care and Use of the Laboratory Animals at the Saratov State University (Protocol H-147, 17.04.2001).

2.2 Assessment of the BBB Permeability

Four different techniques were used to evaluate the BBB disruption:

i. A spectrofluorometric assay of EBd extravasation: Polyethylene catheter (PE-10 tip, Scientific Commodities Inc., Lake Havasu City, Arizona) was inserted into the right femoral vein for EBd (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Michigan) intravenous injection in a single bolus dose (2 mg/25 g mouse, 1% solution in physiological 0.9% saline). The implantation of the catheter was performed under inhalation anesthesia (2% isoflurane, 70% \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), and 30% \( \text{O}_2 \)). The EBd circulated in the blood for 30 min in accordance with the recommended protocol.18 Then, the mice were decapitated, and their brains were quickly collected and placed on ice (no anticoagulation was used during blood collection). The detailed protocol of EBd extraction and visualization was published by Wang et al.18 Here is a brief description of this method. Prior to brain removal, the brain was perfused with 0.9% saline to rid the circulating remaining dye in the cerebral vessels. The isolated brains were cut into small pieces and incubated with 0.9% saline (1:3) for 60 min to enable the soluble substances to dissolve. Then the solutions were centrifuged at 10,000g for 10 min to sediment the nondissolved parts. The supernatants that contained the brain solutes were treated by 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA; 1:3) and centrifuged again at 10,000g for 20 min to remove precipitated molecules. The final supernatant was incubated in 95% ethanol to increase the optic signals for spectrofluorometry assay (620 nm/680 nm, Agilent Cary Eclipse, Agilent). The standard calibration curve was used to measure the EBd concentration (\( \mu \text{g/g of brain tissues} \)).

The analysis of EBd extravasation was performed in the groups (1) before sound (the control, \( n = 7 \)) and after sound: (2) 1 h \( (n = 7) \), 4 h \( (n = 7) \), and 24 h \( (n = 7) \).

ii. A confocal microscopy of FITC-dextran 70 kDa extravasation: The protocol for high weight fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-dextran extravasation to assess BBB disruption using a confocal microscopy is described in detail.18 Here is a brief description of the main steps of this protocol. FITC-dextran 70 kDa was injected intravenously (4 mg/25 g mouse, 0.5% solution in 0.9% physiological saline, Sigma) and circulated for 20 min. Afterward, the mice were decapitated, and their brains were quickly removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 24 h, cut into 50-μm thick slices on vibratome (Leica VT 1000S Microsystems, Germany) and analyzed on a confocal microscope (Olympus FV10i-W, Olympus, Japan). Approximately 8 to 12 slices per animal from the cortical and subcortical (excepting the hypothalamus and choroid plexus, where the BBB is leaky) regions were imaged. The confocal microscopy of the BBB permeability was performed in the groups (1) before sound (the control, \( n = 7 \)) and after sound: (2) 1 h \( (n = 7) \), 4 h \( (n = 7) \), and 24 h \( (n = 7) \).

iii. A histological analysis of BBB permeability to solutes: This experiment was performed in the same groups that we used for confocal imaging with dextran. All mice were decapitated after the performed experiments. The samples were fixed in 10% buffered neutral formalin. The formalin fixed specimens were embedded in paraffin, sectioned (4 μm), and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The histological sections were evaluated by light microscopy using the digital image analysis system Mikrovizor medical μVizo-103 (LOMO, Russia).

iv. In vivo real-time two-photon laser scanning microscopy (2PLSM): The BBB permeability was continuously monitored by measuring the perivascular tissue fluorescence in seven mice at different time points: before and 1 to 4 to 24 h after sound exposure as described previously with some modifications.20 Three days before imaging, chronic optical windows (φ 3 mm) were prepared in coordinates 1 to 4 mm caudal and 1 to 4 mm lateral to bregma as we previously described.20

During the imaging, mice were kept under inhalation anesthesia with 2% isoflurane at 1 L/min \( \text{N}_2\text{O} / \text{O}_2 \), 70:30. The body temperature was maintained at 37.5°C by a homoeothermic blanket system with rectal probe (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, Massachusetts). The brain temperature was kept at 37°C using an objective heating system with a temperature probe (Biophysics Inc., Butler, Pennsylvania). The fluorescein-labeled dextran (70 kDa, Sigma-Aldrich) in physiological saline (5% wt/vol) was injected through the tail vein (∼100 μl) at an estimated initial concentration in blood serum of 150 Mm.21

Imaging was done using Prairie View Ultima system with an Olympus BX51WI upright microscope and water-immersion LUMPlan FL/IR 20×/0.50 W objective. The excitation was provided by a Prairie View Ultima multiphoton laser scan unit powered by a Millennia Prime 10 W diode laser source pumping a Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics) tuned up to 810 nm center wavelength. Fluorescence was bandpass filtered at 510 to 550 nm. Real time images (512×512 pixels, 0.15 μm/ pixel in the x- and y-axes) were acquired using the time series mode of the Prairie View software (30-s intervals between images, 30 min sessions). Between the sessions (before and...
In offline analyses using ImageJ, microvascular BBB permeability was evaluated by measuring changes in perivascular tissue fluorescence in planar images of the cortex taken 50 and 150 μm depth 20 min after fluorescein dextran injection, as described previously. 24 On each image, fluorescence of 10 randomly chosen regions of interest over the vessel areas and 10 corresponding perivascular brain parenchyma areas were measured. The obtained measurements in the interstitial space were normalized to the maximal fluorescence intensity in the blood vessels and expressed as a percentage of fluorescence intensity (modified technique from Egawa et al. 24). The estimated quantity of fluorescein that leaked out of the vessel and left in the vessel 20 min after the injection was calculated from the initial vascular fluorescence equal to ~150 μM of fluorescein. The time courses of fluorescein leakage for each group were also plotted.

To image the meningeal lymphatic vessels, 2P LSM was performed as described above with some modifications. Just before 2PLSM imaging, mice were preanesthetized in a box with 5% isoflurane in N₂O/O₂ = 50:50, secured on stereotactic frame, and switched to inhalation anesthesia with 2% isoflurane at 1 L/min N₂O/O₂ = 70:30. To label the lymphatic vessels, mice were injected i.v. (into the cisterna magna) with 5 ml of Alexa 488-conjugated anti-Lyve-1 antibody (ALY7, eBioscience) as described in Ref. 1 and moved to the 2PLSM setup. After baseline imaging, mice underwent sound treatment, and imaging was repeated at 1 h after the end of sound treatment. In offline analysis, using a National Institutes of Health, diameters of the lymphatic vessels were quantified.

### 2.3 Optical Coherence Tomography

The OCT imaging of meningeal lymphatic vessels was performed with the commercial OCT system (Thorlabs Ganymede) providing lateral and transverse resolution of this system is 6.2 μm (on air) and 8 μm, respectively, with the corresponding 1.3 and 3 μm pixel size in the resulting image. The central wavelength of the OCT light source is 930 nm. Each B-scan consists of 768 A-lines. Contrast enhancement was achieved by a negative media (please see Video 1 for details). These two features enable to identify optically resolvable vessels inside the tissue. The OCT imaging was performed in the groups (1) before sound (the control, n = 5) and 1 h after sound (n = 7).

### 2.4 Immunohistochemical Analysis

For the visualization of the meningeal lymphatic vessels, we used the protocol for the immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis with specific marker LYVE1—the lymphatic vessel endothelial hyaluronan receptor 1. 25–28 Tissue samples were fixed with formaldehyde and, after routine processing, were embedded into a paraffin block. Then samples were sectioned into 3- to 5-μm sections; the sections were attached to poly-l-lysine-coated glass slides; they were dried at 37°C for 24 h; and they were sequentially incubated in xylene (three times, 3 min each), 96% ethanol (three times, 3 min each), 80% ethanol (two times, 3 min each), and distilled water (three times, 3 min each). The IHC reaction was visualized with a REVEAL—Biotin-Free Polyvalent dianaminobenzidine kit (Spring Bioscience). Endogenous peroxidases were blocked by adding 0.3% hydrogen peroxide to the sections for 10 min followed by washing the sections in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The antigen retrieval was conducted using a microwave oven in an ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid-buffer pH 9.0, and nonspecific background staining was blocked in PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin and 0.5% casein for 10 min, after which the sections were washed in PBS for 5 min. Further, the sections were incubated in a humid chamber with diluted anti-LYVE1 antibody (1:1000) for 1 h at room temperature. After that, the sections were washed in PBS, incubated with secondary horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat anti rabbit antibodies (15 min, again washed in PBS, counterstained with hematoxylin for 1 min, washed in water, dehydrated in graded alcohols (three times, 3 min each) and then in xylene (three times, 3 min each), and finally embedded into Canadian balm.

The ICH analysis was performed after the OCT imaging in the same groups.

#### 2.5 Confocal Imaging of Lymphatic Drainage after the Opening of BBB

To induce opening of the BBB, we used an audible sound (110 dB, 370 Hz): 60 s sound, then 60 s pause, and this cycle was repeated for 2 h. 29 This procedure was performed using a sound transducer in a Plexiglas® chamber (with volume of 2000 cm³) amplifying the effect of sound on the mice and not passing sound out.

To study the lymphatic drainage, we used the following experimental design: the mice underwent by sound during 2 h; then, 1 h after sound when the BBB opened, FITC-dextran 70 kDa was injected intravenously (1 mg/25 g mouse, 0.5% solution in 0.9% physiological saline, Sigma-Aldrich) and allowed to circulate for 20 min. Afterward mice were anesthetized by ketamine/xylazine injection i.p. and injected i.c.v. (into the cisterna magna) with 5 ml of Alexa488-conjugated anti-Lyve-1 antibody (ALY7, eBioscience). Ten minutes after this procedure, the mice were decapitated; their brains were quickly removed, fixed in 4% PFA for 24 h, cut into 50-μm thick slices on vibratome (Leica VT 1000S Microsystem, Germany), and analyzed on a confocal microscope (Olympus FV10i-W, Olympus, Japan). Approximately 8 to 12 slices per animal were imaged. The confocal imaging was performed in the groups (1) before sound (control, n = 7) and 1 h after sound (n = 7).

#### 2.6 Statistical Analysis

The results are presented as a mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Differences from the initial level in the same group were evaluated by the Wilcoxon test. Intergroup differences were evaluated using the Mann–Whitney test and two-way analysis of variance (post hoc analysis with the Duncan’s rank test). Significance levels were set at p < 0.05 for all analyses.
3 Results

3.1 Sound-Induced Opening of BBB

In the first step of our study, we analyzed the BBB permeability to EBd and FITC-dextran as a classical test for BBB integrity. Confocal microscopy revealed the accumulation of FITC-dextran outside of the brain capillaries at 1 h after sound exposure. Extravasation of FITC-dextran was determined as a clear fluorescence visualized outside of the vessel walls. Vascular leakage of FITC-dextran was defined as bright red clouds associated with a group of capillaries [Fig. 1(b)]. At 4 and 24 h after sound, the BBB rapidly recovered, which was accompanied by closing of the BBB to FITC-dextran. No extravasation of FITC-dextran was observed in the control group (no sound) [Fig. 1(a)].

2PLSM of FITC-dextran leakage, injected intravenously, confirmed the results obtained ex vivo using confocal microscopy imaging. The analysis of microvascular permeability to FITC-dextran using 2PLSM was performed on each of 7 mice before (no) and 1, 4, and 24 h after the sound exposure. Indeed, 2PLSM imaging showed an impaired BBB 1 h after the sound and the normalization of BBB permeability to FITC-dextran 4 and 24 h after the sound exposure [Fig. 1(c)].

Leakage of EBd also significantly increased 1 h after sound exposure [Fig. 1(d)]. Indeed, 1 h after the sound, a 19.7-fold increase in the leakage of EBd was observed (7.3 ± 0.09 versus 0.37 ± 0.02, μg/g of tissue, p < 0.05). Four hours and twenty-four hours after the sound, the BBB permeability to EBd was completely normalized and the level of dye in the brain tissues was similar to the normal state (0.54 ± 0.01, μg/g of tissue and 0.40 ± 0.03, μg/g of tissue, respectively). Thus, in the first series of experiments, we clearly show that sound causes a temporal opening of the BBB with maximal effects 1 h after sound exposure.

3.2 Visualization of the Meningeal Lymphatic Vessels before and after the Opening of BBB

In the second step, we analyzed the interaction between the meningeal lymphatic vessels and the increasing of BBB permeability. Following this task, we analyzed the changes in diameter of the meningeal lymphatic vessels in three independent groups using in vitro IHC analysis and in vivo OCT and 2PLSM. OCT and 2PLSM data show similar depths of the meningeal lymphatic vessels, which is 30 to 50 μm from the surface of the skull.

![Fig. 1 BBB permeability to FITC-dextran 70 kDa and EBd with albumin complex 68 kDa: (a) before sound exposure (no extravasation of FITC-dextran); (b) 1 h after sound exposure [strong extravasation of FITC-dextran (arrowed), which was defined as bright red clouds associated with a group of capillaries]; (c) the estimation of FITC-dextran concentration in brain parenchyma and microvessels 20 min after the injection. 2PLSM data are presented as mean ± SEM, n = 7, **p < 0.01; (d) EBd in μg/g of mouse brain tissue before and after sound. Spectrofluorometric assay of EBd extravasation into the brain parenchyma shows an increase in the BBB permeability to EBd 1 h after the sound with rapid restoring of the BBB afterward.]
brain, i.e., superficially in the meningeal layers of the brain
[Fig. 2(b) and 3(c)].

The IHC and 2PLSM analysis revealed that in the control group (before opening of the BBB) the average diameter of the meningeal lymphatic vessels is $3.00 \pm 0.01 \mu m$ (IHC data) and $12.00 \pm 0.03 \mu m$ (2PLSM data). When the BBB permeability increased (1 h after sound), the average diameter of some lymphatic vessels was $10.00 \pm 0.07 \mu m$; (c) and (d) the meningeal lymphatic vessels before and after opening of the BBB, respectively. 2PLSM data show the increase of diameters of the lymphatic vessels after opening of the BBB: $17.00 \pm 0.08 \mu m$ versus $12.00 \pm 0.03 \mu m$, $p < 0.05$).

Fig. 2 ICH (a and b) and in vivo two-photon laser scanning (c and d) visualization of the meningeal lymphatic vessels: (a) and (b) the meningeal lymphatic vessels stained with LYVE1 (specific marker of endothelial cells of lymphatic vessels, the brown color is positive staining); (a) before sound-induced opening of the BBB, the average diameter of lymphatic vessels is $3.00 \pm 0.01 \mu m$; (b) after opening of the BBB (1 h after sound), the average diameter of some lymphatic vessels is $10.00 \pm 0.07 \mu m$; (c) and (d) the meningeal lymphatic vessels before and after opening of the BBB, respectively. 2PLSM data show the increase of diameters of the lymphatic vessels after opening of the BBB: $(12.00 \pm 0.03 \mu m$ versus $17.00 \pm 0.08 \mu m$, $p < 0.05$).

The OCT can image the meningeal lymphatic vessels only after opening of the BBB but not in the normal state due to the very small size of the lymphatic vessels in the norm. Figures 3(a) and 3(c) show the OCT images of the meningeal lymphatic vessel (black empty spaces) surrounding the sagittal and sigmoid sinuses; the average diameter of the visible lymph vessels after the increase of BBB permeability is $19.00 \pm 0.11 \mu m$. Video 1 shows the decorrelation speckle beneath the blood vessels, which are close to the meningeal lymphatic vessels.

To be sure that we visualize the meningeal lymphatic vessels by the OCT, which look as a black empty space, we analyzed the size of the perivascular space (PVS), which also can potentially give a dark space on the OCT, especially after opening of the BBB when fluids move from the vessels into the PVS, Figure 4 shows that the PVS do not change significantly 1 h after the sound-induced opening of the BBB compared with the control. Due to this reason, we cannot detect the PVS in mice using 2PLSM in both the normal state and after opening of the BBB. The size of PVS is smaller than the black empty space on the OCT ($3.00 \pm 0.03 \mu m$ versus $19.00 \pm 0.11 \mu m$, respectively), which allows us to conclude that the black empty space is not the PVS or the PVS can be included only partly in the OCT imaging. Additionally, on the Video 1, we clearly show the differences between the OCT signals from the cerebral vein and the meningeal lymphatic vessels.

### 3.3 Lymphatic Drainage after the Opening of BBB

Since in our previous steps of study we observed increasing of diameter of the meningeal lymphatic vessels after opening of the
BBB, we hypothesized that it can be associated with the drainage and clearing function of lymphatics. To test our hypothesis, we tried to answer the question, “If we open the BBB for dextran, is it possible to see this fluorescent tracer in the meningeal lymphatic vessels as one of the possible ways for its clearing from the brain?”

To answer this question, mice underwent sound during 2 h. Then 1 h after sound when the BBB opened, FITC-dextran was injected intravenously and circulated for 20 min. Then, the mice were anaesthetized and injected into the cisterna magna with Alexa488-conjugated anti-Lyve-1 antibody for the labeling of the meningeal lymphatic vessels. Ten minutes after this procedure, the mice were decapitated, and their brains were quickly removed for the confocal microscopy protocol. Thus, 30 min was the time for FITC-dextran extravasation through BBB, its accumulation in PVS and parenchyma, and then for clearance.

Figure 5 shows representative confocal image of the meningeal lymphatic vessels after opening of the BBB. Our results clearly demonstrate the presence of FITC-dextran directly in the meningeal lymphatic vessels after opening of the BBB.

4 Discussion
The meningeal lymphatic system is an intriguing field in neuroscience. The lymphatic vessels in the meninges were clearly visualized only 2 years ago. Therefore, the information about
The role of this system in brain functioning is extremely limited. 2PLSM and confocal imaging are optical techniques that are successfully used for the experimental studies of the meningeal lymphatic system. For the effective application of new fundamental knowledge about the meningeal lymphatics, it is necessary to develop further tools that are suitable for clinical use.

One such optical tool is the OCT, which we successfully used here for visualization of the meningeal lymphatic vessels in the study of the lymphatic drainage after sound-induced opening of the BBB. The ICH and 2PLSM analysis revealed a small size of the meningeal lymphatic vessels in the normal state (3.00 ± 0.01 μm in the area of sigmoid sinus, IHC data, and 12.00 ± 0.03 μm in the area of sagittal sinus, 2PLSM data). This is the reason why we did not see these vessels in intact mice using OCT as it has lower spatial resolution. Note that OCT has been applied for the imaging of the cutaneous lymphatic vessels in human burn scars when the lymphatic vessels become enlarged due to accumulation of fluid in injured tissues.14

To be sure that we visualize exactly the meningeal lymphatic vessels by OCT, which look as black empty space, we analyzed the size of the PVS. The PVS can potentially give a dark space on OCT after opening of the BBB associated with the fluid movement from the cerebral vessels into the parenchyma. Our histological data clearly show that the size of PVS is smaller than the black empty space on OCT, which allows us to conclude that the black empty space is not the PVS or the PVS can be included only partly in OCT imaging.

Our OCT data presented on the depth of 30 to 50 μm, i.e., in the meninges, while the PVS surrounds the wall of arteries and arterioles (Vörch-Robin space), veins, and venules (perivenular space) from the subarachnoid space along their intraparenchymatous course.

According to the hypothesis of Alexander Monro and George Kellie, the cranium and its constituents (blood, cerebrospinal fluid, and brain tissue) create a state of volume equilibrium such that any increase in volume of one of the cranial constituents must be compensated by a decrease in volume of another.27 We suppose that after opening of the BBB the compensatory mechanisms of distribution of cerebral blood flow are involved; therefore, we cannot see the enlarged PVS.26

The opening of the BBB is associated with the increase of diameter of the meningeal lymphatic vessels (the IHC and 2PLSM data). Indeed, the IHC data suggest that the diameter of these vessels is a 3.3-fold larger 1 h after opening of the BBB compared with one in the normal state (3.00 ± 0.01 μm versus 10.00 ± 0.07 μm, p < 0.05), according to the 2PLSM data 1.5-fold (12.00 ± 0.03 μm versus 17.00 ± 0.03 μm, p < 0.05). We also observed the presence of dextran in the meningeal lymphatic vessels 30 min after its extravasation through the BBB. Our results are consistent with data of other researchers, who also showed the clearance of different dyes from the brain via a lymphatic pathway.28-30 However, in these classical works, the dyes were injected directly into the brain parenchyma and their clearance observed in the deep cervical lymph nodes apart to the site of injection. They explained these results as the movement of the cerebral spinal fluid from the subarachnoid space into the nasal lymphatics through the cribiform plate due to the absence of knowledge about lymphatics in the brain.28-31 Pioneer studies of Louveau et al.1 and Aspelund et al.3 changed this dogma and showed the direct role of the meningeal lymphatic system in the clearance of dyes from the brain.1,2

Here, using an original design of experiments, for the first time, we showed the role of the meningeal lymphatics in the clearance of substances that come into parenchyma during opening of the BBB from the brain. The IHC, 2PLSM, and OCT data clearly show the increasing of the meningeal lymphatic vessels after opening of the BBB, which may indicate the activation of fluid movement in the brain.

5 Conclusion
Here, for the first time, we show the lymphatic drainage of the brain after opening of the BBB and successful application of OCT in imaging of the meningeal lymphatic vessels in the course of drainage functioning. These completely new fundamental results shed light on the mechanisms underlying the interaction between the meningeal lymphatics and the BBB function. This knowledge about the lymphatic drainage and brain clearance of metabolic waste products, as well as from substances that come to the brain tissues during the opening of the BBB, might give strong impulse for further studies in the diagnostics and therapy of CNS diseases associated with injuries of the BBB such as Alzheimer’s disease, stroke, brain trauma, glioma, and depression.
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