A Century of Observed Temperature Change in the Indian Ocean
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Abstract The Indian Ocean is warming rapidly, with widespread effects on regional weather and global climate. Sea-surface temperature records indicate this warming trend extends back to the beginning of the 20th century, however the lack of a similarly long instrumental record of interior ocean temperatures leaves uncertainty around the subsurface trends. Here we utilize unique temperature observations from three historical German oceanographic expeditions of the late 19th and early 20th centuries: SMS Gazelle (1874–1876), Valdivia (1898–1899), and SMS Planet (1906–1907). These observations reveal a mean 20th century ocean warming that extends over the upper 750 m, and a spatial pattern of subsurface warming and cooling consistent with a 1°–2° southward shift of the southern subtropical gyre. These interior changes occurred largely over the last half of the 20th century, providing observational evidence for the acceleration of a multidecadal trend in subsurface Indian Ocean temperature.

Plain Language Summary The Indian Ocean is warming rapidly, with far reaching effects on weather and climate. Sea-surface temperature records suggest this warming trend extends over the 20th century, however, similar long records of subsurface temperatures have not been available. Here we extend the observational record back more than a century using data from 3 historical oceanographic expeditions. These observations reveal a mean 20th century Indian Ocean warming that extends down to 750 m depth, as well as deep cooling in the subtropics. This provides evidence for the existence of a multidecadal trend in subsurface Indian Ocean temperatures that has accelerated over the last half of the 20th century.

1. Introduction

Sea-surface temperature (SST) in the Indian Ocean has warmed by approximately 1°C since 1950, among the fastest rate of increase in the global oceans (Beal et al., 2019; Fox-Kemper et al., 2021; Roxy et al., 2014). Ocean heat content also increased during this period at an accelerating rate, such that the Indian Ocean absorbed more than one-quarter of the total global ocean heat gain since 1990 (Cheng et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2015; Levitus et al., 2012), and close to half of the early 21st century heat increase in the upper 700 m (Desbruyères et al., 2017). This ocean heat uptake is believed to have modulated the rate of global surface air temperature increase (Lee et al., 2015; Nieves et al., 2015), underscoring the need for improved understanding of long-term heat storage in this region (Vialard, 2015). Ocean warming is also of particular consequence here—home to approximately one-third of the world’s population—as many of the countries surrounding the Indian Ocean basin are vulnerable to sea-level rise and have high reliance on fisheries and rain-fed agriculture for food-security (Beal et al., 2020).

A challenge for understanding decadal to century timescale variability and change in the Indian Ocean is the lack of a long instrumental record of subsurface ocean temperatures. The modern observational record over the period spanning approximately 1960 to the present reveals that the rapid surface warming overlies a more heterogeneous pattern of warming and cooling below the thermocline (Alory et al., 2007). Disentangling long-term temperature trends using these modern observations is made more challenging by strong interannual and decadal variability, which is affected both by internal modes of variability such as the Indian Ocean Dipole, and remotely forced variability transmitted through both atmospheric teleconnections and heat transport through the Indonesian Throughflow (Han et al., 2014; Ummenhofer et al., 2017, 2021; Zhang et al., 2018). Thus, while reanalyses and proxy records indicate that SST warming occurred over the entire 20th century (Roxy et al., 2014; Tierney et al., 2015), it is currently unclear whether similar changes occurred in the subsurface ocean.
A unique opportunity for extending the instrumental record in time is revisiting the observations of early oceanographic expeditions of the 19th century, some of which took extensive subsurface temperature measurements. Comparison of the historical cruise data with modern observations can then be used to constrain changes in the interior ocean temperature over the last century. This approach has been used successfully for the Atlantic and Pacific oceans, where temperature records from the circumnavigation of the HMS *Challenger* (1872–1875) reveal warming that extends to below 1000 m depth (Roemmich et al., 2012), and mid-depth cooling in the Pacific attributable to the ongoing slow abyssal adjustment to the Little Ice Age (Gebbie & Huybers, 2019). The *Challenger* however did not sample extensively in the Indian Ocean during its circumnavigation, taking instead a southerly route crossing the Antarctic circle, leaving open the question of how the interior temperature in the Indian Ocean has changed over the 20th century.

Here we identify three German deep-sea expeditions of the late 19th and early 20th century that recorded temperature profiles in the Indian Ocean. These temperature measurements are digitized from the original cruise reports (Brennecke, 1909; Hydrographischen Amt des Reichs-Marine-Amts., 1889; Schott, 1902), and compared to modern temperature observations to provide a view into how the interior temperature structure of the Indian Ocean has changed over the last century.

Here we identify three German deep-sea expeditions of the late 19th and early 20th century that recorded temperature profiles in the Indian Ocean. These temperature measurements are digitized from the original cruise reports (Brennecke, 1909; Hydrographischen Amt des Reichs-Marine-Amts., 1889; Schott, 1902), and compared to modern temperature observations to provide a view into how the interior temperature structure of the Indian Ocean has changed over the last century. The earliest of the three cruises is the SMS *Gazelle*, a German corvette which undertook an eastabout scientific circumnavigation from 1874 to 1876, overlapping in time with the *Challenger* expedition, but with a route that transited the southern Indian Ocean (Figure 1). This cruise was followed in 1898–1899 by the research vessel *Valdivia* which went deep into the Southern Ocean before returning north through the tropical Indian Ocean. The final cruise we consider is that of the SMS *Planet*, a survey ship which transited from Germany to Hong Kong in 1906–1907, with a route from the Cape of Good Hope to Madagascar and on to Indonesia. Together these cruises provide reasonable spatial coverage of the Indian Ocean south of 10°N—with more than 500 temperature observations at depths spanning from the surface to the bottom (Figure 1e)—extending the available observational record back more than a century.

2. Data and Methods

2.1. Historical Data

Historical observations from the *Gazelle*, *Valdivia*, and *Planet* were digitized from the original cruise reports (Brennecke, 1909; Hydrographischen Amt des Reichs-Marine-Amts., 1889; Schott, 1902). Data were double-entered independently and then checked for consistency. The historical data have a variety of unique quality control concerns relevant to calculating temperature changes, including issues related both to the accuracy
of the temperature measurements themselves, and the positions at which they are reported. We document these below.

The \textit{Gazelle} used mercury-column Miller-Casella thermometers for subsurface observations, as were used by the \textit{Challenger} (Roemmich et al., 2012). These thermometers were of the “min-max” type, using a sliding index to record the minimum and maximum water temperature encountered, and hence are inappropriate for use in regions with temperature inversions. Three stations with temperature inversions in the modern climatology, and several historical measurements with apparent spurious reported temperature inversions, were removed from the analysis. The \textit{Valdivia} and \textit{Planet} also used min-max thermometers, however these were supplemented by Umkipp and Negretti-Zambra reversing thermometers (Wüst & Olson, 1933), and early Siemens deep-sea electric thermometers—which can all properly resolve non-monotonic temperature profiles. The reported temperature measurements do not clearly indicate which thermometer types were used for each observation, however visual inspection of the \textit{Valdivia} and \textit{Planet} observations, along with collocated modern data, did not indicate errors due to temperature inversions.

Mercury thermometers of both the min-max and reversing type are subject to errors from compression of the mercury at depth, which will tend to introduce a cold bias in the calculated difference between modern and historical records. G. Schott suggested a calibration formula for the \textit{Valdivia} observations of \( T(z) = T_m(z) - 0.011(T_m(0) - T_m(z)) \), where \( T(z) \) is the corrected temperature at a depth \( z \), and \( T_m \) is the instrument measured temperature, such that the actual temperature at depth is adjusted to be colder depending on the difference between the measured temperature and the surface temperature (Wüst & Olson, 1933). This correction is however unlikely to be general, as the temperature-pressure relationship will vary across different temperature stratification profiles. An alternate, simpler, correction of 0.04°C km\(^{-1}\) was suggested by P. Tait for the \textit{Challenger} instruments (Tait, 1882), which were similar in design to those used on the \textit{Gazelle} and \textit{Valdivia}. For the analysis here, which is generally limited to the upper 2 km, these corrections lead to only minor quantitative differences, and hence are not applied unless noted.

An additional source of uncertainty in the historical records—which cannot generally be quantified from the available cruise information—is the accuracy of the reported measurement positions, both in terms of the latitude and longitude of the station, and the depth of measurement. Positions estimated from celestial navigation and dead reckoning may include both systematic and random error of uncertain magnitude, but which are most likely to be important in regions of strong horizontal temperature gradients. Prior global analyses of high-temporal resolution (2-hr) historical surface data suggest the combined effect of uncertainty due to celestial navigation and dead-reckoning may introduce uncertainty in SST of order 0.1°C, increasing to 0.3°C in frontal regions (Dai et al., 2021). Systematic errors are estimated to be an order of magnitude smaller. It is unclear whether these estimates apply here as: (a) horizontal gradients of temperature are generally enhanced at the surface, suggesting SST-based estimates will overestimate the interior uncertainty, and (b) estimated uncertainties depend on the time-elapsed between the observations and the last position fix by celestial navigation—information not clearly available for the stations used here. Given these uncertainties, and the coherent spatial patterns evident in the analysis of observations shown below, we do not attempt to explicitly account for errors in horizontal position.

Errors can also be introduced from the reported depths of the measurements, which were inferred based on the amount of line-out at the time of observation, rather than the modern approach of calculating measurement depth from the observed pressure at the instrument. This can lead to several, possibly competing, sources of bias. First, in the presence of strong currents the line can be deflected from the vertical, such that the actual measurement depth is shallower than reported (Wüst, 1933). This is most likely to be significant in regions of strong currents—we exclude one station from the \textit{Valdivia} in the Agulhas where line deflections of 30° were noted—and will tend to introduce a warm bias in the historical observations, such that there will be a cold bias in the modern minus historical temperature differences. Second, although the \textit{Valdivia} and \textit{Planet} used wire for their measurements, the \textit{Gazelle} used hemp line, which can stretch under the weight of the instruments and bottom weight. This might lead to shallow biases in the reported \textit{Gazelle} measurement depths relative to the true depth of measurement, possibly introducing a warm bias in the modern minus \textit{Gazelle} temperature differences. The errors in the basin-wide mean temperature change due to line stretch are identically zero at the surface, and are estimated to increase approximately linearly to a maximum of 0.17°C at 750 m depth (Supporting Information S1), below which they again decrease due to the weak interior temperature gradients. Errors of this magnitude are similar to the measurement uncertainty of the thermometers (Roemmich et al., 2012), and do not qualitatively affect our findings.
2.2. Comparison With Modern Data

We compare the historical observations to modern climatological values from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA) 2018 (Boyer et al., 2018). WOA incorporates extensive shipboard and profiling float measurements in a quality controlled and objectively analyzed climatology spanning the period of 2005–2017 at 0.25° horizontal resolution. The monthly 1° climatology for the period 1955–1964 is also used to isolate changes over the first half of the 20th century (Section 3). In both cases, monthly temperature values are interpolated to the depth and horizontal position of the historical observations, and the difference between the modern and historical data is calculated. Below 1500 m depth monthly climatologies are unavailable and we instead use WOA seasonal climatologies. This approach limits the effect of seasonal variability on our calculated temperature differences, however clearly other timescales of variability may still be aliased into the Gazelle, Valdivia, and Planet observations, as discussed further below and in the Supporting Information S1.

The mean historical-to-WOA temperature change is computed by a least squares method that accounts for measurement error and signals that are not representative of the decadal-mean temperature over the sampled region (Figure 1). Full details of the method are provided in the Supporting Information S1 (and Gebbie & Huybers, 2019). Briefly, the contamination of the temperature observations is assumed to have three parts: (a) transient effects such as isopycnal heave due to internal waves or mesoscale eddies, (b) irregular spatial sampling of the basin, and (c) measurement or calibration error of the thermometers. The expected size of (a) varies spatially, with estimates taken from the WOCE Global Hydrographic Climatology (Gouretski & Koltermann, 2004), and corrected for the approximately 30 years time-interval of the historical observations. Following Gebbie and Huybers (2019) the variance due to (b) is assumed to be 20% that of transient motions (Huang, 2015), and the standard error due to (c) is assumed equal to 0.14°C (Roemmich et al., 2012). Results were tested and found to be qualitatively robust to parameter choices for the least squares method, and similar to results using a simple arithmetic mean.

3. Results

Temperature differences between modern and historical data are calculated and a profile of the mean observed change over the last century in the Indian Ocean is shown in Figure 2. SST has warmed by 0.87 (±0.22) °C between the modern and historical observations (all uncertainties in this manuscript are reported as 2 standard deviations). This estimate is consistent with basin-averaged estimates from SST reanalyses (orange diamond and red square markers in Figure 2). Near-surface warming decays away from the surface until a zero crossing near 750 m depth, somewhat shallower than what is observed from the Challenger observations in the Pacific where the warming signal reaches depths greater than a kilometer (Gebbie & Huybers, 2019). Weak cooling near 1500 m depth is also apparent in the mean profile, however the magnitude of the cooling is reduced if the Tait pressure correction is applied (dash-dot line in Figure 2), suggesting this feature is at the detection limit of the observations.

These observations imply that ocean heat content over the upper 700 m increased by 4.8 (±2.2) × 10^{22} J over the 20th century (a rate of 0.40 [±0.18] × 10^{22} J/decade, see Supporting Information S1). We show below that this increase in heat content occurred largely post-1955, implying a faster rate of change over the second half of the century. Direct comparison with prior estimates of heat content change in this region is confounded by differences in spatial coverage, as here we span the extent of the historical observations from 50°S to 9°N (Figure 1). However for comparison, Levitus et al. (2012) estimated an increase of 0–700 m heat content of 3 × 10^{22} J for the Indian Ocean region (including the complete Indian sector of the Southern Ocean) over the period 1955–2010 (a...
linear trend of $0.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ J/decade}$). This estimate is within the lower bound of our uncertainty range, and notably did not include the significant increase in heat content between 2010 and 2017 which is included in our analysis (Cheng et al., 2017; Ummenhofer et al., 2020).

The basinwide average profile obscures significant horizontal spatial variability that is evident in depth-averaged maps (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1), and a meridional section formed by averaging observations in latitude and depth bins (Figure 3, and Supporting Information S1). The strongest warming in the latitude-depth slice is along the ACC subtropical front near 45°S, with an average near-surface value of approximately 1.5°C. Weaker warming of about 0.5°C also extends deeper than 600 m through much of the subtropical gyre, and above the thermocline in the tropics. A strip of near-surface cooling at 10°S extends down immediately below the thermocline, and along the poleward flank of the thermocline dome, with interior warming on the equatorward flank reaching deeper than 1000 m.

This pattern of temperature change over the last century is remarkably similar in structure to the temperature change noted in the modern observational record of the latter half of the 20th century (Figure 4c, and Alory et al., 2007; L. Yang et al., 2020). It can largely be interpreted as resulting from a southward shift of the interior isotherms by approximately 1°–2° latitude, consistent with the latitudinal displacement of surface isotherms evident in SST reanalyses (Figure 4a). This shift occurs in the second half of the century, and we note a recent analysis of Gazelle data found a similar temporal pattern for the increase of surface salinity in the Indian Ocean (Gould & Cunningham, 2021). Changes in surface values conflate both adiabatic and diabatic effects due to surface fluxes, however the implied shift of isotherms is sufficient in magnitude to explain many of the observed features in the interior temperature change, as is shown in Figure 4d where an example zonally averaged temperature difference is created by shifting the modern temperature climatology by 1°latitude and differencing. Other features in the observed meridional structure of 20th century temperature change (Figure 3) such as near-surface warming and cooling directly below the thermocline are not as well explained by shifting of the gyre position—but are again present in the recent observations (Figure 4c)—and have been attributed to anthropogenic warming (Dong et al., 2014; Du & Xie, 2008; Swart et al., 2018), changes in heat advection from the Pacific through the Indonesian Throughflow (Alory et al., 2007; Ummenhofer et al., 2017), and Southern Ocean ventilation (L. Yang et al., 2020).
The similarity of the structure of the total 20th century temperature change to that observed over only the period 1955–2017 suggests that interior temperature changes before mid-century may have been limited. We show the mean temperature change at 250 m depth from the ECMWF Ensemble of Ocean Reanalyses of the 20th century (ORA-20C, de Boisséson et al., 2018)—a 10-member ensemble of data assimilating global simulations that span the period 1900-2009—in Figure 4b. Reanalyses can be biased by changing data availability over time (de Boisseson & Balmaseda, 2016), however comparisons to the observations are informative. In the reanalysis the first-half of the century is characterized by weak interior warming, relative to the 1900–1910 mean. However, beginning around 1970 there is a transition to a meridional dipole pattern of warming and cooling, indicating that the mid-century acceleration of surface warming (Roxy et al., 2014), and the southward shift of surface isotherms, extended into the subsurface ocean.

To confirm this interpretation, we calculate the temperature difference over just the first half of the 20th century by subtracting the historical measurements from the WOA 1955–1964 observational climatology. This shows limited evidence of interior temperature change over this period (Figure 5 and S2 in Supporting Information S1), with a statistically insignificant change in estimated ocean heat content over the upper 700 m ($-0.7 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{22}$ J, a rate of $-0.10 \pm 0.30 \times 10^{22}$ J/decade). This suggests that surface warming beginning around 1900 or earlier—

---

**Figure 4.** Indian Ocean temperature change has accelerated over the last half of the 20th century. (a) Time series of the change in mean latitude of surface isotherms (colored lines) in the ERSST reanalysis (zonally averaged and smoothed with a 3 years running mean), referenced relative to the 1860–1870 average position. Mean surface isotherm displacement is shown by the heavy black line, the thin dashed gray lines indicate the time of the 3 historical cruises, and the climatological periods of 1955–1964 and 2005–2017 are indicated by light blue shading. (b) Ensemble mean temperature at 250 m depth from the ORA-20C reanalysis (de Boisséson et al., 2018), referenced relative to the 1900–1910 mean at each latitude. (c) Climatological change in temperature between 1955 and 2017 from observations (WOA). (d) Temperature change inferred by shifting the modern climatological values by 1° south, consistent with the surface isotherm displacement. In panels (b–d) the temperature is zonally averaged over 60°E – 100°E, and in (c and d) the black contours indicate the modern average temperature field while the dashed gray line indicates 250 m depth for comparison with panel (b).
evident in SST reanalyses and paleoreconstructions (Figure 5 and Abram et al., 2016; Tierney et al., 2015)—may not have extended into the interior until after mid-century. Mean subsurface cooling below 500 m depth originates in these observations from apparent cooling along the ACC and the poleward flank of the thermocline dome (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1), and may contribute to the observed cooling near 1500 m in Figure 2. Most of the observed changes in subsurface temperature above the thermocline between 1874 and 2017 (e.g., Figure 2) thus appear to have occurred in the last half of the 20th century.

4. Summary

The Indian Ocean is recognized to play a major role in both regional and global climate, with SST and ocean heat content increasing at a rate exceeding many other parts of the global oceans. Despite this, quantifying long-term subsurface temperature trends has been made difficult by the relatively short period (~60 years) of available interior ocean temperature measurements. Here we have utilized a unique data set of late 19th and early 20th century oceanographic expeditions to extend the observational record back to the period spanning 1874--1906. Results of this suggest a pattern of mean 20th century warming in the Indian Ocean that extends to 750 m depth, similar to what was observed from the Challenger expedition in the Pacific (Gebbie & Huybers, 2019; Roemmich et al., 2012).

The interior temperature changes in the Indian Ocean appear to have occurred predominantly in the last half of the 20th century, with only limited change in temperature between the historical measurements and the 1955–1964 climatological values. This is true both for the mean warming profile (cf. Figures 2 and 5), and the latitude-depth pattern of 20th century temperature change (Figure 3), which is closely similar to the pattern of change seen in just the modern observational record post-1960 (Alory et al., 2007). These observations thus suggest that increases in SST over the first half of the 20th century—also evident in this data—were not necessarily associated with significant interior warming. This finding is consistent with recent results showing that, despite the long-term warming trend in SST, ocean heat content in the Indian Ocean was relatively stable until the 1990s, after which the Indian Ocean began to play a major role in global ocean heat uptake (Cheng et al., 2017; Desbruyères et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2015).

Long-term warming trends in the Indian Ocean have been shown in modeling studies to be the result of anthropogenic forcing (Dong et al., 2014; Du & Xie, 2008). The ocean response is however mediated through a variety of mechanisms that include changes in heat advection through the Indonesian throughflow (Alory et al., 2007; Schwarzkopf & Böning, 2011; Ummenhofer et al., 2017), ventilation from the southern ocean (Jayasankar et al., 2019; L. Yang et al., 2020), and the coupled atmosphere-ocean circulation (Xie et al., 2010; H. Yang et al., 2020). Significant uncertainty thus persists in the understanding of regional and subsurface trends, further confounded by the relative scarcity of available long-term subsurface temperature measurements (Beal et al., 2020; Gopika et al., 2020; Ummenhofer et al., 2021). Here we have utilized unique historical observations to extend the available observations back more than a century, providing an independent line of evidence for multidecadal temperature change in the Indian Ocean, that extends into the subsurface interior, and that has largely occurred over the last half of the 20th century.

Data Availability Statement

Data from the Gazelle, Valdivia, and Planet used in this analysis is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6646659. Analysis code is available at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6646645 and https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6689976. World Ocean Atlas data is available at: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/world-ocean-atlas. ERSST v5 reanalysis output (Huang et al., 2017) from: https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/products/extended-reconstructed-sst. HadSST v4.0.1 reanalysis output (Kennedy et al., 2019) from:
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