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Abstract. Measurements of the inclusive and differential cross section for the production of top quark pairs in proton-(anti)proton collision at center-of-mass energies of 1.96, 7.0 and 8.0 TeV are presented and compared with the latest theory predictions and Monte-Carlo models. In addition, first measurements of the production of top quark pairs in association with additional jets or with a boson are highlighted. All measurements are in good agreement with the Standard Model.

1 Introduction

The top quark is the heaviest known fundamental particle. Due to its Yukawa coupling being close to unity, it is often believed to play a special role in the electroweak symmetry breaking. Its presence in virtual loops relates the top quark with the one of the Higgs boson. Many models for new physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) predict new particles which decay predominantly into top quarks (e.g. Super-Symmetry, SUSY), and new particles may also be produced in top decays. For these reasons, it is important to study the top quark in great detail, in order to check the consistency of the SM, and to look for contributions from new physics.

The production of top quarks in hadron collisions has been studied extensively at the p¯p collider Tevatron (CDF and D0 experiments) at a center-of-mass energy of √s ≤ 1.96 TeV (see e.g. [1]), and more recently at the LHC with √s = 7 and 8 TeV (see e.g. [2]), using the ATLAS and CMS detectors. The focus of this article lies with the latest experimental measurements of the inclusive and differential cross section for the production of t¯t pairs. Other properties of the top quark and its interactions are discussed in Refs. [3–7], while the theory status is presented in Refs. [8–9].

2 Total Cross Section

The total cross section for the production of top quark pairs, σt, has been calculated to approximate next-to-next-to-leading (NNLO) order by various groups. Recently, most of the contributions to the exact NNLO result have been calculated, notably the q̅q initial state [10], which is dominating at the Tevatron (see Ref. [8] for details). The gg initial state, which is dominant at LHC, remains the last missing ingredient.

Measurements of σt have been performed in essentially all decay modes, which are classified according to the decay of the W-bosons: lepton+jets (t¯t → W+bW−b → q̅q′ bν̄b′ + lν̄bq̅q′b′), di-lepton (t¯t → W+bW−b → lν̄bl′ν̄b′) and hadronic (t¯t → W+bW−b → q̅q′ bq′′q′′′b). The lepton+jets mode has a large branching fraction and moderate background (mostly W+jets), the di-lepton mode is very clean but has a small branching fraction, while the hadronic mode suffers from huge QCD multi-jet background.

The cross section measurements, which traditionally were performed by means of a simple counting experiment, today frequently employ sophisticated likelihood fits to one or more discriminating distributions, often incorporating the effects of systematic uncertainties via nuisance parameters to achieve ultimate precision. The dominating systematic uncertainties are typically due to the imperfect knowledge of the jet energy scale and b-tagging efficiency, as well as due to the modeling of signal and backgrounds in the Monte-Carlo (MC) simulation.

2.1 Tevatron

The most precise individual measurements of σt at the Tevatron have been performed in the lepton+jets channel. D0 has measured σt = 7.78±0.77 pb (precision 9.1%) [11], using a dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of L = 5.3 fb−1 and employing a profile likelihood fit to the two-dimensional distribution of jet and b-tag multiplicity. The best single channel result from CDF, σt = 7.70 ± 0.52 pb (precision 6.8%) [12], was obtained using L = 4.6 fb−1 of data and based on a Neural Network discriminant as well as combining measurements without and with the use of b-tagging.

Recent measurements have been extended to include the full Tevatron dataset. In particular, CDF has measured σt = 7.47±0.50 (stat.) ±0.53 (syst.) ±0.46 (lum.) pb in the di-lepton channel (L = 8.8 fb−1, precision 11.5%) [13]. Another new measurement by CDF in the low statistics τ plus e/µ plus jets channel reports σt = 8.2 ±
2.3 (stat.)^{+1.3}_{-1.1} (syst.) ± 0.5 (lum.) pb, based on 36 selected events in \( L = 9.0 \text{ fb}^{-1} \). CDF and D0 recently combined for the first time their \( \sigma_{tt} \) measurements, based on a careful treatment of correlated systematic uncertainties (see Fig. 1). The result is \( \sigma_{tt} = 7.65 ± 0.20 \) (stat.) ± 0.36 (syst.) pb (precision 5.5%) \(^{[15]} \), in good agreement with the theory prediction of \( 7.24^{+0.15}_{-0.24} \) (scale) \( ^{+0.18}_{-0.12} \) (PDF) (for \( m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV} \)) which includes the exact NNLO \( q\bar{q} \to t\bar{t} \) contribution \(^{[8]} \).

### 2.2 LHC at 7 TeV

Both ATLAS and CMS have performed a suite of measurements of \( \sigma_{tt} \) in many different channels at \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV} \), using the 2010-2011 dataset. In the lepton+jets channel, ATLAS reported \( \sigma_{tt} = 179.0 ± 9.8 \) (stat. + syst.) ± 6.6 (lum.) pb (precision 7%) \(^{[16]} \) using \( L = 0.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) of data and performing a likelihood fit based on various kinematic variables. Similarly, CMS obtained \( \sigma_{tt} = 158.1 ± 2.1 \) (stat.) ± 10.2 (syst.) ± 3.5 (lum.) pb (precision 7%, \( L = 2.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \)) \(^{[17]} \) from a fit to the secondary vertex mass distribution in bins of jet and b-tag multiplicity. In both cases, effects from systematic uncertainties were incorporated into the likelihood.

Recently, ATLAS has performed a measurement in the lepton+jets channel which is based on soft muon tagging to identify b-jets, which leads to corresponding systematic uncertainties which are largely orthogonal to the ones when using impact parameter based methods. The result is \( \sigma_{tt} = 165 ± 2 \) (stat.) ± 17 (syst.) ± 3 (lum.) pb (precision 11%, \( L = 4.7 \text{ fb}^{-1} \)) \(^{[18]} \).

CMS has presented a new measurement in the di-lepton channel based on \( L = 2.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \) of data and using a profile likelihood fit which incorporates systematic uncertainties. The result, \( \sigma_{tt} = 161.9 ± 2.5 \) (stat.)^{+5.1}_{-3.0} (syst.) ± 3.6 (lum.) pb (precision 5%) \(^{[19]} \) is the most precise measurement of this quantity to date.

Other, although less precise, measurements were performed by both collaborations in the tau+jets \(^{[20,21]} \) and hadronic \(^{[22,23]} \) channels.
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Figure 1. Individual measurements of \( \sigma_{tt} \) at the Tevatron, as well as their combination \(^{[15]} \).
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Figure 2. Measurements of \( \sigma_{tt} \) at the \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV} \) LHC, including their combination \(^{[24]} \).
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Figure 3. Determination of \( \alpha_s \) from the \( t\bar{t} \) cross section by CMS, for two theory calculations and four different PDF sets \(^{[24]} \).

Recently, ATLAS and CMS presented a first combined measurement of \( \sigma_{tt} \) using existing per-experiment combinations as inputs. The result, shown in Fig. 2 is \( \sigma_{tt} = 173.3 ± 2.3 \) (stat.) ± 9.8 (syst.) pb \(^{[24]} \). Given that the combination does not yet include the very latest measurements, e.g. the precise CMS di-lepton result \(^{[19]} \), the precision of the combined result is 5.8%. Again, the measurements are in very good agreement with most precise theory predictions.

For a given set of parton density functions (PDF), the theory value of \( \sigma_{tt} \) depends on the strong coupling \( \alpha_s \) and \( m_t \). This can be used to extract the value of \( \alpha_s \), given the experimental cross section and mass measurements. CMS has performed such a determination of \( \alpha_s \) using their di-lepton measurement \(^{[19]} \) and the world average \( m_t \) value, as well as for two different approximate NNLO theory calculations and four different sets of PDF. The result, shown in Fig. 3 corresponds to the first \( \alpha_s \) determination from top quark events. Its precision is already comparable to the one obtained using jet rates in hadron collisions. The lower \( \alpha_s \) values obtained with the theory calculation obtained with HATHOR \(^{[26,27]} \) compared with the one using TOP++ \(^{[10,28,29]} \) are due to the larger value of the predicted \( \sigma_{tt} \).
2.3 LHC at 8 TeV

The energy dependence of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ has been verified by measurements at the increased LHC center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV during the 2012 run. CMS performed first measurements in both the lepton+jets and di-lepton channels based on $L = 2.8$ fb$^{-1}$ of data, which yield a combined result of $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 227 \pm 3$ (stat.) $\pm (syst.) \pm 10$ (lum.) pb [30, 31]. Fig. 4 shows the CMS measurements at 7 and 8 TeV, compared with theory.

More recently, ATLAS presented a measurement in the lepton+jets channel, based on a data sample corresponding to $L = 5.8$ fb$^{-1}$. The result is $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 241 \pm 2$ (stat.) $\pm 31$ (syst.) $\pm 9$ (lum.) pb [32]. Both results are in good agreement with the theory value of $2.27 \pm 0.05$ (scale) $\pm 0.06$ (PDF) pb [33].

3 Differential Cross Sections

Besides measurements of the inclusive $t\bar{t}$ cross section, the abundance of $t\bar{t}$ pairs produced at LHC also allows for detailed studies of differential distributions. Besides comparisons with theory calculations and MC models (such as MC@NLO, POWHEG, MADGRAPH, ALPGEN or SHERPA), which allow to reduce current systematic uncertainties associated with the modeling of $t\bar{t}$ production, differential measurements are also vital for new physics searches where SM top quark production is a large background, in particular Higgs boson production and SUSY searches.

Experimentally, the measured differential distributions are unfolded to the level of stable hadrons or to the parton level, in order to facilitate comparisons with theory and across experiments. The unfolded cross sections are quoted either within the visible phase space corresponding to the kinematic selection applied for the measurement, or extrapolated to the full phase space.

CMS has measured the normalized transverse momentum ($p_T$) distribution of the top quark in the lepton+jets channel based on 5.0 fb$^{-1}$ of data [34], see Fig. 5. While the data exhibit a somewhat softer $p_T$-dependence compared with the MADGRAPH, POWHEG and MC@NLO models, the data are better reproduced by an approximate NNLO QCD calculation [35]. A similar trend was observed by an earlier D0 measurement [36].

Measurements of the normalized top quark rapidity, $y_t$, the $p_T$ and the rapidity of the $t\bar{t}$ system, as well as of the missing transverse energy distribution in the lepton+jets channel were all found to be in good agreement with current Monte-Carlo models [34, 37, 38].

The distribution of the top quark pair invariant mass, $M_{t\bar{t}}$, is sensitive to contributions due to the production of new, heavy particles which decay into $t\bar{t}$ pairs. While dedicated "bump-hunt" searches for resonances which decay into $t\bar{t}$ are not discussed here (see Ref. [6] instead), unfolded measurements of the normalized $M_{t\bar{t}}$ distribution have been performed by both ATLAS [39] (see Fig. 6) and CMS [40] covering the range up to $M_{t\bar{t}} \sim 2$ TeV. Again, good agreement is observed with current MC models as well as with theory calculations, in line with an earlier CDF result [39, 40].

The fraction of $t\bar{t}$ events with no additional jet above a given $p_T$ cut is an observable which is sensitive to differences in the modeling of QCD radiation / parton shower in the event. Both ATLAS [41] and CMS [42] have performed measurements of this quantity as function of the $p_T$ cut in different kinematic regimes. The results were compared with various LO or NLO MC generators, interfaced with parton showers (see Fig. 7). In the central rapidity region ($|y| < 0.8$), MC@NLO overestimates the gap fraction, corresponding to too few jets being produced. Other MC models such as POWHEG, SHERPA or ALPGEN are in better agreement with the data. Comparisons with models where parameters which control the QCD radiation, such as ISR/FSR settings, the $Q^2$ scale or the matching threshold between the matrix element and
Figure 6. Normalized cross section as a function of $M_{t\bar{t}}$ as measured by ATLAS, compared with theory calculations and MC models [38].

Figure 7. ATLAS measurement of the fraction of $t\bar{t}$ events with no extra jet with $p_T > Q_0$ in the central region $|y| < 0.8$, compared with various MC models [41].

The production of $t\bar{t}$ in association with two extra jets, in particular the case where they are b-jets, constitutes an important background to Higgs boson production in association with $t\bar{t}$, where the Higgs decays into $b\bar{b}$. CMS has performed the first measurement of the cross section ratio $\sigma_{t\bar{t}bb}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}jj}$ in the di-lepton channel [44] (Fig. 10). The measurement was performed by means of a fit to the b-jet multiplicity distribution and the result, quoted at particle level within the visible phase space, is $\sigma_{t\bar{t}bb}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}jj} = 3.6 \pm 1.1$ (stat.) $\pm 0.9$ (syst.)%, to be compared with the predictions from MADGRAPH of 1.2% and POWHEG of 1.3%. Comparisons with NLO QCD calculations are pending.

5 $t\bar{t}$+boson

The ultimate goal of measurements of the production of $t\bar{t}$ in association with a vector boson $V$ ($V = \gamma, W, Z$) is to
study the couplings of the top quark with bosons. Due to the small cross sections involved, these measurements are just at the beginning.

ATLAS has performed a measurement of the cross section for the production of $\bar{t}t + \gamma$ [45]. The result, corresponding to the requirement $p_{T,\gamma} > 8$ GeV, is $\sigma_{\bar{t}t\gamma}$, $\text{BR} = 2.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.7 \text{ (syst.)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (lum.)} \text{ pb}$, consistent with NLO QCD calculations.

ATLAS has also searched for events where $\bar{t}t$ is produced in association with a Z-boson [46]. In $L = 4.7$ fb$^{-1}$ of data, one candidate is observed with three leptons and two b-jets, consistent with expectations. An upper limit $\sigma_{\bar{t}tZ} < 0.71$ pb is derived, consistent with the signal cross section at NLO of 0.14 pb.

CMS has performed a search for the production of $\bar{t}t+W/Z$ in $L = 5.0$ fb$^{-1}$ of data, employing both the dilepton and tri-lepton final states [47]. The observed event numbers are consistent with a contribution from $\bar{t}t+W/Z$ production on top of the background and cross sections were measured (Fig. 11). Combining the two channels, a $4.7\sigma$ significance is obtained for the production of $\bar{t}t+V$ ($V=W$ or $Z$).

6 Conclusions

The era of precision top quark physics, which started at the Tevatron, is now continuing at the LHC. Experimental measurements of the total $\bar{t}t$ cross section have reached the precision of 5%, challenging the theory predictions, which are near-complete at NNLO. The first round of differential cross section measurements has been performed, which can be used not only to constrain the MC modeling of $\bar{t}t$ production, but also to understand this important background to Higgs production as well as in new physics searches.

The future will see even more precise total and differential cross section measurements, which can be compared with (N)NLO theory. Top quark cross-section measurements can also be used to place constraints on $m_t$, $\alpha_s$, or the gluon PDF. But besides precision QCD, the goal is to understand $\bar{t}t$ production as accurately as possible in order to look for deviations which may be due to contributions from new physics.
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