Factors Influencing Adherence to the New Intermittent Preventive Treatment of Malaria in Pregnancy Policy in Keta District of the Volta Region, Ghana
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Background

About 25% of pregnant women in malaria endemic areas are infected with malaria and this accounts for about 15% of maternal death globally. Intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (IPTp-SP) is one of the main strategies for prevention of malaria in pregnancy. A new recommendation was made by World Health Organization (WHO) that at least three doses of IPTp-SP should be administered before delivery. This study sought to determine the factors influencing adherence to the new IPTp-SP policy in Keta District, Volta region, Ghana.

Methods

A cross-sectional quantitative study among 375 nursing mothers at four selected health facilities in Keta district, Ghana was conducted using a structured questionnaire to interview participants. Data was analyzed using STATA 15. Chi-square was used to test bivariate association between categorical variables and adherence. Logistic regression analysis was used to examine sociodemographic, individual and institutional factors influencing adherence to IPTp-SP.

Result

About 82.1% of participants adhered to the WHO policy recommendations of at least three doses of IPTp-SP. However, only 17.1% received Ghana’s five dose coverage recommendation. The proportion of IPTp-SP coverage for IPTp1 was 98.9%; IPTp2 95.5%; IPTp3 80.8%; IPTp4 39.5%; IPTp5 17.1%.

Conclusion

Adherence to IPTp-SP was satisfactory according to WHO’s policy recommendation, however, majority of the participants had less than the five doses recommended in Ghana. Number of ANC visits and knowledge of malaria were the main determinants of adherence to IPTp-SP.

Background

Malaria is a life threatening disease that is caused by a parasitic protozoan, plasmodium. It is endemic in 91 countries and nearly 50% of the world’s population at the start of 2016 were susceptible to malaria. Majority of malaria reported deaths occurs in sub-Saharan Africa and about 212 million new cases of malaria and 429,000 deaths were reported globally (1).

Malaria is transmitted to humans by the bites of an infected female Anopheles mosquito. There are four species of Plasmodium that causes malaria in humans: Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium ovale,
Plasmodium malariae and Plasmodium falciparum. There are two categories of malaria: uncomplicated malaria and severe (complicated) malaria. The most severe form of malaria is caused by Plasmodium falciparum and is responsible for malaria mortality in pregnant women and children under five (5) years.

Malaria in pregnancy is a notable public health concern and accounts for about 15% of maternal deaths globally. It has risks for the mother, foetus and newborn and majority of malaria related deaths in the world is in Sub Saharan Africa with at least 25% of pregnant women infected with malaria in areas endemic for malaria (2).

About 25 million women in Sub Saharan Africa become pregnant each year and are at risk of malaria infection. Ten thousand of these pregnant women and 200,000 of newborns die due to malaria in pregnancy (3).

Vulnerability of pregnant women to malaria is associated with hormonal and immunological changes in pregnancy. First and second pregnancies are more susceptible to malaria and the level of parasitaemia decreases with increasing numbers of pregnancies (4).

Studies in Ghana have showed that malaria during pregnancy increases maternal anaemia and low birth weight especially in women living in rural communities (5).

Malaria in pregnancy has many complications for the mother and the foetus. Some of these complications are - maternal anaemia, preterm delivery and intrauterine growth retardation, low birth weight, congenital infection, spontaneous abortions and stillbirth. Severe complications of malaria in pregnancy is striking for women in their first and second pregnancies.

WHO recommends three main strategies for the treatment and prevention of malaria during pregnancy. These approaches are: intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy (IPTp) with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP), using long lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs), and early diagnosis and treatment of malaria cases (6).

To reduce the burden of malaria, prevention is of utmost importance especially in high risk groups. The high risk groups include children under 5 years of age, immunocompromised individuals, non-immune travelers and pregnant women. Despite this, a lot of malaria endemic countries are still struggling in implementing these preventive strategies and lack access to the required preventive tools.

Prevention of malaria in pregnancy is as important as treatment. It prevents complications of severe malaria and reduces the risk to both pregnant women and their unborn child.

Chemoprophylaxis with IPTp-SP improves the maternal and neonatal outcomes and is recommended in regions where there is moderate to high transmission of malaria (7).

In 2007, The first WHO recommendation policy on IPTp-SP was made and it recommended that all pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) services should be given two doses of SP during
pregnancy. The first dose of SP should be given at the start of the second trimester and second dose at the start of the third trimester. It should be given as directly observed treatment (DOT) during antenatal visits(7). In 2012, WHO Evidence Review Group reviewed new evidence from published and unpublished studies on IPTp with SP and agreed that more than two doses would be more effective in preventing malaria in pregnancy. A new recommendation was made that the first dose of IPTp-SP should be administered as early as possible in the second trimester and each dose of SP should be given at least one month apart up until delivery (8). This update was done to maximize the number of SP doses given.

According to WHO, about 69% of pregnant women in sub Saharan Africa do not have access to the recommended three or more doses of IPTp-SP (6).

Malaria is endemic in Ghana and an estimated 382,862 pregnant women suffered from malaria in 2016 (9). The Volta region in Ghana has been known to be one of the highest malaria prevalence regions in Ghana. In 2017, the Volta region recorded the lowest score for 2016 Ghana Health Service National Health League Table and performed poorly in maternal health service delivery.

To date, only few have focused on the new IPTp-SP policy that was adopted in Ghana.

This study aims to assess the level and the parameters influencing adherence to the new IPTp-SP policy using the WHO criteria in four selected health facilities in the Keta district of the Volta region, Ghana.

Methods

Study Design, Population and Setting

A facility-based cross-sectional quantitative study was conducted in May-June, 2018 to examine the factors influencing adherence to the new IPTp-SP policy. Participants were nursing mothers who had delivered within three months and attending the Child Welfare Clinics (CWC) and Postnatal Clinic at four health facilities in the Keta district of the Volta region, Ghana.

Sample size calculation and sampling procedures

A minimum sample size of 360 was computed with the Cochran (1977) formula, using an IPTp3 prevalence of 37.5% in the Volta region (NMCP 2017); 95% confidence interval; a margin of error of 5%.

Four health facilities with the highest volume of antenatal attendees and nursing mothers were purposively selected as recruitment sites out of twenty-eight facilities.

A sampling proportional to the size of facility was used to determine the number of nursing mothers to be recruited from each of the four facilities based on the case load. All consenting nursing mothers who had delivered within three months prior to data collection and visiting postnatal clinic or CWC at each selected health facilities were interviewed. Systematic random sampling was used for the selection of nursing mothers at the CWC who met the criteria.
Data Collection
An interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect information on socio demographic characteristics of the nursing mothers, knowledge on malaria in pregnancy, knowledge on IPTp and SP doses, gestational age at first ANC visit, number of ANC visits and number of SP doses before delivery. Information on health system/institutional factors was also elicited using a questionnaire administered to staff of antenatal clinic.

Measures
Table 1: List of Study Variables
| Variables                          | Indicators               | Operational definition                                                                 | Scale of Measurement |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Adherence to IPTp                | Adherence                | 3 or more doses of IPTp-SP                                                             | Binary               |
| Sociodemographic                  | Age                      | Age of respondents in years                                                            | Continuous           |
|                                  | Marital Status           | Marital status of respondents                                                          | Categorical          |
|                                  | Religion                 | Religion of respondents                                                                | Categorical          |
|                                  | Educational Level        | Educational level attained                                                              | Categorical          |
|                                  | Employment status        | Unemployed, Employed or Self-employed                                                   | Categorical          |
|                                  | Occupation               | Current occupation of respondents                                                      | Categorical          |
|                                  | Parity                   | Number of live births                                                                  | Continuous           |
| ANC Attendance and Obstetric Characteristics | Number of ANC Visits | Number of ANC visits during most recent pregnancy                                      | Binary               |
|                                  | Gestational age at first ANC visit | The age of pregnancy(fetus) in weeks at which first ANC visit was made                  | Continuous           |
|                                  | Gestational age at first IPTp-SP dose | The age of pregnancy(fetus) in weeks at which first IPTp-SP dose was received         | Continuous           |
| Individual factors               | Knowledge level on Malaria, MiP and IPTp | Knowledge measured by answers to questions                                             | Categorical          |
| Health System / Institutional factors | SP availability   | Availability of SP at time of data collection                                          | Binary               |
|                                  | Access to IPTp Services  | Provision of IPTp-SP services at health facility                                        | Binary               |
|                                  | Knowledge level of ANC Health Workers on new IPTp-SP policy recommendation | Knowledge measured by answers to questions.                                             | Categorical          |
|                                  | Training of ANC Healthcare Workers | ANC Healthcare workers who had formal training on IPTp.                                 | Binary               |
|                                  | DOT practices            | Practice of DOT for IPTp delivery.                                                     | Binary               |

Outcome Variables
Primary outcome measure is the adherence to the new IPTp-SP policy in the district. Secondary outcome measures include knowledge level of IPTp-Sp policy among pregnant women.

Data management and analysis

Data were entered and cleaned in Microsoft Excel 2016, and exported to Stata 15 for analysis. Descriptive statistics using frequencies and percentage were used to describe the background characteristics the respondents. Variables were considered for inclusion in the multiple logistic regression model if their p-values were 0.05 in the bivariate analyses to control for the confounding and to determine factors independently associated with adherence to IPTp-SP. Adjusted odd ratios (AOR) and their 95% confidence intervals were used to assess the strength of association. In all analyses, a p-value of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. All analyses were performed in Stata 15 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas).

Results

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants

A total of 375 nursing mothers within the reproductive age group (15 – 49 years) in the 4 selected health facilities were interviewed for the study. Table 2 summarizes the socio-demographic characteristics of the participants.

In all, 53.1% (199/375) of the participants were between the ages of 26 – 35 years and the majority (91.7%, 344/375) were married with 41.3% (15/375) having three or more children. Nearly half of them had secondary level education 45.1%, (169/375) and most of the participants (62.9%, 236/375) were self-employed as traders and artisans.

Majority of the participants 88.1% (331/375) were Christians followed by traditionalist (8.0%, 30/375) and then Muslims (3.7%,14/375) (Table 2).

Table 2: Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

| Variable         | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| **Age grouping** |               |                |
| < 18             | 9             | 2.4            |
| 18 - 25 years    | 132           | 35.2           |
| 26 -35 years     | 199           | 53.1           |
| 36 -45 years     | 35            | 9.3            |
| Total            | 375           | 100            |
| **Marital Status** |             |                |
| Single           | 24            | 6.4            |
| Status          | Count | Percentage |
|-----------------|-------|------------|
| Married         | 344   | 91.7       |
| Divorce/Separated | 3     | 0.8        |
| Widowed         | 4     | 1.1        |
| Total           | 375   | 100        |

| Educational Level | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| No formal education | 61    | 16.3       |
| Primary           | 119   | 31.7       |
| Secondary         | 169   | 45.1       |
| Bachelor's degree | 18    | 4.8        |
| Post graduate     | 2     | 0.5        |
| Others            | 6     | 1.6        |
| Total             | 375   | 100        |

| Employment Status | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Employed          | 40    | 10.7       |
| Self-employed     | 236   | 62.9       |
| Unemployed        | 99    | 26.4       |
| Total             | 375   | 100        |

| Occupation        | Count | Percentage |
|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Trader            | 111   | 40.1       |
| Teacher           | 22    | 7.9        |
| Artisan           | 94    | 33.9       |
| Farmers/Fishmongers | 23   | 8.3        |
| Healthcare Workers| 5     | 1.8        |
| Others            | 22    | 7.9        |
| Total             | 277   | 100        |

| Religion         | Count | Percentage |
|------------------|-------|------------|
| Christians       | 331   | 88.3       |
| Muslims          | 14    | 3.7        |
| Traditionalist   | 30    | 8.0        |
| Total            | 375   | 100        |

| Parity | Count | Percentage |
|--------|-------|------------|
| 1      | 101   | 26.9       |
| 2      | 118   | 31.5       |
ANC Attendance and Obstetric Characteristics of Participants

Table 3 presents descriptive information on ANC attendance and obstetrics characteristics of the nursing mothers. About two-thirds of the nursing mothers (66.1%, 248/375) had less than the eight WHO recommended ANC visits/contacts during pregnancy, 33.9% (127/375) had eight or more ANC visits during the period of pregnancy. The mean number of ANC was 6.5 ± 2.6 visits.

More than half (53.1%, 199/375) of the participants had their first ANC visit during the second trimester (13-26 weeks), 44.0% (165/375) during the first trimester and only 2.9% (11/375) had their first ANC visit in third trimester. The mean gestational age at first ANC visit was 14.4 ± 6.5 weeks.

In all, 90.4% (339/375) of the respondents had their first dose of IPTp-Sp during the second trimester between 13 – 26 weeks of gestation and 21 (5.7%) respondents had theirs in the third trimester of pregnancy.

Thirteen respondents (3.5%; 13/375) had one dose of IPTp-SP with majority (42.7%, 160/375) having three doses of IPTp-SP before delivery. About 17.1% (64/375) had five doses or more of IPTp-SP before delivery. Only four respondents (1.1%) did not take SP during their pregnancy.

When asked the number of SP tablets taken per dose, (88.0%, 330/375) reported of receiving three tablets of SP per dose, 29 (7.7%) reported of receiving less than three SP tablets and 12 (3.2%) mentioned more than three SP tablets per dose (Table 3).

Table 3: ANC and Obstetric Characteristics of participants

| ≥ 3 | 155 | 41.3 |
|---|---|---|
| Missing data | 1 | 0.3 |
| Total | 375 | 100 |
| Variable                                      | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| **Gestational age at first ANC**             |               |                |
| ≤ 13 weeks                                   | 165           | 44.0           |
| 13-26 weeks                                  | 199           | 53.1           |
| ≥ 26 weeks                                   | 11            | 2.9            |
| Total                                        | 375           | 100            |
| **Number of ANC visits**                     |               |                |
| <8                                           | 248           | 66.1           |
| ≥8                                           | 127           | 33.9           |
| Total                                        | 375           | 100            |
| **Gestational age at first IPTp-SP**          |               |                |
| ≤ 13 weeks                                   | 11            | 3.0            |
| 13-26 weeks                                  | 339           | 90.4           |
| ≥ 26 weeks                                   | 21            | 5.6            |
| Don’t know                                   | 4             | 1.1            |
| Total                                        | 375           | 100            |
| **Number of IPTp-SP doses**                  |               |                |
| 0                                            | 4             | 1.1            |
| 1                                            | 13            | 3.5            |
| 2                                            | 50            | 13.3           |
| 3                                            | 160           | 42.7           |
| 4                                            | 84            | 22.4           |
| ≥ 5                                          | 64            | 17.1           |
| Total                                        | 375           | 100            |
| **Number of SP tablet given per dose**       |               |                |
| ≤ 2                                          | 29            | 7.7            |
| 3                                            | 330           | 88.0           |
| >3                                           | 12            | 3.2            |
| Don’t know                                   | 4             | 1.1            |
| Total                                        | 375           | 100            |

*n* number of respondents, *ANC* antenatal care, *IPTp-SP* Intermittent Preventive Treatment in
Pregnancy with sulphadoxine pyrimethamine, SP- sulphadoxine pyrimethamine

Adherence to New IPTp-SP Policy Recommendations and Proportion Of IPTp-SP Coverage
Majority of the participants (82.1%, 308/375) had three or more doses of IPTp-SP during their pregnancy as recommended by WHO and only 17.9% (67/375) had less than three doses. However, when using Ghana’s five dose IPTp-SP coverage recommendation, only 64 (17.1%) participants adhered.

The proportion of IPTp-SP coverage for IPTp1 was 98.9%; IPTp2 95.5%; IPTp3 82.1% IPTp4 39.5%; IPTp5 17.1% (Figure 1).

Fig. 1 Coverage of IPTp-SP

Individual factors
Over half of the respondents (52.0%) had fair/average knowledge about malaria and Malaria in Pregnancy (MiP), 42.8% had poor knowledge and only 5.2% had good knowledge about malaria and MiP. Majority (83.5%, 308/375) of respondents had knowledge about the IPTp-SP and the benefits of it. The main source of information on malaria was from ANC/Health facility followed by the media.

Healthcare System/Institutional Factors
All the selected health facilities offered IPTp services (both static and outreach services). SP was the drug of choice at these facilities but however, one out of the four facilities did not have SP at the time the study was conducted.

SP was given as directly observed therapy (DOT) at all the health facilities where the study was conducted and clean drinking water (sachets) was available for pregnant women. Of the 11 staff interviewed, six (54.6%) of respondents have had training on IPT-SP. Assessment of Knowledge of ANC staff on IPTp showed that, 90.9% of the ANC workers knew the correct definition of IPTp. All respondents (100.0%) knew the recommended drug, the dose and the correct interval for IPTp. However, only 45.5% knew when to start IPTp and 81.8% knew when it was prohibited to give IPTp during pregnancy.

Table 4 Knowledge of ANC Workers on IPTp-SP

| Knowledge                                      | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Correct definition of IPTp                    | 10        | 90.9       |
| Recommended drug for IPTp in Ghana            | 11        | 100.0      |
| When to start IPTp                            | 5         | 45.5       |
| When not to give IPTp                         | 9         | 81.8       |
| Recommended dose for IPTp in Ghana            | 11        | 100.0      |
| Correct interval for IPTp                     | 11        | 100.0      |

Factors associated with adherence to IPTp-SP

In the multivariate logistic regression model, after adjusting for characteristics of participants, having ≥ 8 ANC visits (AOR=4.51, 95% CI 1.76-11.57, p<0.05) and knowledge of IPTp-SP (AOR=2.74, 95% CI 1.29-5.82, p<0.05) were significantly associated to adherence to IPTp-SP. This is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Multivariate analysis of factors associated with adherence
|                        | AOR   | 95% CI         | p     |
|------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| **Age grouping**       |       |                |       |
| <18                    |       | Ref            |       |
| 18-25                  | 2.46  | 0.43 - 14.13   | 0.311 |
| 26-35                  | 5.50  | 0.94 - 32.08   | 0.058 |
| 36-45                  | 4.49  | 0.58 - 34.81   | 0.151 |
| **Religion**           |       |                |       |
| Christian              |       | Ref            |       |
| Muslim                 | 1.00  |                |       |
| Traditionalist         | 0.52  | 0.19 - 1.42    | 0.201 |
| **Educational level**  |       |                |       |
| No formal education    |       | Ref            |       |
| Primary                | 0.86  | 0.36 - 2.05    | 0.734 |
| Secondary and above    | 2.21  | 0.84 - 5.84    | 0.109 |
| **Gestational age at first ANC** | | | |
| ≤13 weeks              |       | Ref            |       |
| 13-26 weeks            | 1.22  | 0.60 - 2.49    | 0.589 |
| >26 weeks              | 0.68  | 0.09 - 4.93    | 0.705 |
| **Gestational age at first IPTp-SP** | | | |
| ≤13 weeks              |       | Ref            |       |
| 13-26                  | 0.94  | 0.17 - 5.35    | 0.946 |
| >26                    | 0.15  | 0.02 - 1.37    | 0.093 |
| **Number of ANC visits** | | | |
| < 8                    |       | Ref            |       |
| ≥8                     | 4.51  | 1.76 - 11.57   | 0.002*|
| **Knowledge on Malaria** | | | |
| Poor                   |       | Ref            |       |
| Average                | 1.34  | 0.67 - 2.67    | 0.402 |
| Good                   | 3.26  | 0.30 - 35.21   | 0.33  |
| **Knowledge of IPTp-SP** | | | |
| No knowledge           |       | Ref            |       |
| Knowledge              | 2.74  | 1.29 - 5.82    | 0.009 |

AOR adjusted odds ratio, 95% CI 95% confidence interval, Ref reference
Discussion
According to National Malaria Control Programme, IPTp-SP coverage for Volta region in 2017 was IPTp 1- 63.7%, IPTp 2 – 53.0%, IPTp 3 – 37.5%, IPTp 4 – 18.8%, IPT 5 – 5.9% which appears to be lower than those found in the present study (10). However, in the same year, a study conducted in the Accra Metropolitan area in Ghana, had similar findings to the current study. It was reported that IPTp1 to be 98.8%, IPTp 2-94.9%, IPTp3 – 87.5%, IPTp 4 – 55.7%, IPTp 5 – 14.5% (11).

In a study by Sikambale, Halwindi, & Baboo (2013) in Zambia, IPTp3 coverage was found to be much lower (30%). About a third (28.8%) had no IPTp-SP dose taken during their most recent pregnancy. In our study, only 1.1% of the respondents did not have any dose of IPTp-SP and IPTp 3 coverage was much higher.

Several studies have shown an increase in adherence to IPTp-SP due to ongoing campaigns and increase in coverage. According to WHO (2016), there is an increase in adherence to the IPTp-SP policy in malaria endemic regions and at least 50% of women reported to have received one or more doses. Also, only 19% of eligible pregnant women had three or more doses of IPTp-SP compared to 18% in the previous year (1).

A study conducted in Mali on uptake of IPTp-SP found a higher uptake of SP of three doses more than the Demographic and Health Survey reported data (13). Nonetheless, Mpogoro et al. (2014) in Tanzania identified a much lower proportion of pregnant women adhering to IPTp-SP compared to the national survey report(14).

The number of ANC visits was the main determinant of adherence to IPTp-SP in the current study though gestational age at first ANC and dose was significant. Most of the previous studies focused on the focused antenatal care model of four ANC visits during pregnancy which also showed significant association with adherence to IPTp-SP.

ANC visits promotes uptake of IPTp-SP which prevents malaria in pregnancy, protects mother and the unborn baby from complications of malaria in pregnancy and improves perinatal outcome.

Early detection of pregnancy is important for early commencement of ANC. In Ghana, there is government policy of free maternal care and most ANC services are covered by the national health insurance scheme. A study in Cameroon showed that there was a significant association between amount of SP doses taken and early first ANC attendance (at an early gestation age). Pregnant women who had early first ANC attendance were more likely to receive the recommended doses of IPTp-SP.
Various studies have been conducted to assess the relationship between knowledge and IPTp-SP use. Studies in Zambia and Nigeria have shown that knowledge level of pregnant women on IPTp-SP strongly influences their adherence to the IPTp-SP recommendations and women were 2.6 times more likely to complete IPTp-SP doses (12,16). The studies also support the finding from the current study that knowledge on malaria and IPTp-SP use is average among pregnant women. This can be associated with the level of education of the respondents from the study.

To reiterate, education empowers women with knowledge which in turn enables women to make informed choices and in this case, choice of ANC and IPTp-SP use.

Institutional factors such as knowledge of the health care workers and their capacity is essential to operationalize the policy direction into public health practice. Whilst the knowledge on IPTp was generally good, most of the healthcare workers had difficulties on when to start prophylaxis. This is particularly important as any uncertainties on when to start is likely to delay the initiation of IPTp and limit the ability of delivering five doses before delivery. Enhancing staff capacity is important element to improve uptake and early initiation of IPTp.

Conclusions
Adherence to IPTp-SP in the study was determined to be 82.1% with WHO’s recommendation of 3 or more doses of IPTp and 17.1% with Ghana’s 5 dose policy recommendation.

Sociodemographic factors (age and educational level) were found to be significantly associated with adherence to IPTp-SP.

Number of ANC visits during pregnancy was one of the main determinants of adherence to IPTp-SP followed by knowledge level of malaria and MiP and also of benefits of IPTp. Majority (66.1%) of the participants had less than the recommended 8 ANC visit during pregnancy. About half of the nursing mothers had average level knowledge on malaria, MiP and IPTp.

Obstetrics characteristics such as gestational age at first ANC and gestational age at first IPTp were both significantly associated with adherence to IPTp.

Health care workers had a good knowledge of IPTp but were less certain on when to start the prophylaxis.
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