Sex-specific selection on the human X chromosome?
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Summary
Genes involved in major biological functions, such as reproductive or cognitive functions, are choice targets for natural selection. However, the extent to which these genes are affected by selective pressures remains undefined. The apparent clustering of these genes on sex chromosomes makes this genomic region an attractive model system to study the effects of evolutionary forces. In the present study, we analysed the genetic diversity of a X-linked microsatellite in 1410 X-chromosomes from 10 different human populations. Allelic frequency distributions revealed an unexpected discrepancy between the sexes. By evaluating the different scenarios that could have led to this pattern, we show that sex-specific selection on the tightly linked VCX gene could be the most likely cause of such a distortion.

1. Introduction
Natural selection is one of the main forces shaping the patterns of genetic variability in the human genome, although its role has been often neglected in most population genetics studies. Indeed, most genetic polymorphisms used in population genetic studies are assumed to be neutral and affected mainly by both mutation and genetic drift. Interestingly, the recent results from human genome sequencing have revealed that each category of repeated sequences possesses a specific dynamics in space and time. This suggests a combined and complex action of different evolutionary forces. Furthermore, these results revealed that microsatellites, which are among the most used markers in population genetics studies, displayed a non-random distribution through the human genome: there are fewer polymorphic loci on the X-chromosome compared with autosomes (International Sequencing Human Genome Consortium (ISHGC), 2001). The X-chromosome, known to harbour a number of genes involved in human fertility (Wang et al., 2001; Saifi & Chandra, 1999) and in cognitive functions (Gécz & Mulley, 2000; Hurst & Randerson, 1999; Graves & Delbridge, 2001) may be potential target for natural selection. However, the precise extent to which these genes are affected by selective pressures, or a possible variation in selective pressures acting between both sexes, remains undefined. In addition, the precise extent to which these genes have an influence on surrounding sequences either through direct or background selection remains poorly studied. We investigated the allelic diversity of an X-linked dinucleotide microsatellite (DXS8175) located in the Xp22.3 region, a gene-rich region, in 10 human populations and focused on the allelic distributions. We found strikingly different allelic frequency distributions between males and females. In this study, we investigated the likely demographic and selective scenarios as the bases of these observations.

2. Materials and methods
(i) Samples and PCR amplification
We genotyped 951 unrelated subjects, for a total of 1410 chromosomes belonging to 10 different human populations from Africa (Akan and Yacouba from
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Ivory Coast, Amhara and Oromo from Ethiopia, Algerian Mozabites and Moroccan Berbers from North Africa), Europe (Sardinian, Corsican and Orcadian) and South-America (Bolivian) (see Table 1). DXS8175 microsatellite amplifications were performed according to Malaspina et al. (1997) and Scozzari et al. (1997). PCR primers were fluorescently labelled and the PCR products were run in a standard 6% denaturing gel and detected using an ABI 373A automated sequencer. GeneScan software (ABI) and Genotyper software package (ABI) were used to size the amplified alleles. In addition, we sequenced a total

### Table 1. Distribution of alleles frequencies in males (M) and females (F), $\Delta = p_f - p_m$, and statistical test of differentiation between the two sexes

| Populations                  | DXS 8175 alleles frequencies | Exact test differentiation (Raymond & Rousset, 1995) |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|                              | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  | 18  | 19  | 20  | $\chi^2$ | $P$ value |
| European                     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Corsican                     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M$^a$ 63$^b$                 | 6   | 10  | 46  | 37  | 2   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.75   | 0.417    |
| F 60                         | 3   | 13  | 32  | 48  | 3   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.75   | 0.417    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | −3  | 4   | −12 | 2   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Sardinian                    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 36                         | 11  | 11  | 47  | 22  | 8   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 10.65  | 0.005    |
| F 94                         | 1   | 18  | 51  | 30  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | −10 | 7   | 4   | 8   | −8  |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Orcadian                     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 32                         |     | 34  | 59  | 6   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 3.14   | 0.208    |
| F 76                         |     | 11  | 38  | 46  | 5   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 11  | 4   | −13 | −1  |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| African                      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Akan                         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 59                         | 5   | 8   | 36  | 17  | 17  | 2   | 2   |     |     |     |     | 15.05  | 0.000    |
| F 166                        | 5   | 3   | 18  | 16  | 45  | 11  | 1   | 1   |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 0   | −6  | −18 | −1  | 28  | −2  | −2  | −1  | 1   |     |     |      |          |
| Yacouba                      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 62                         | 3   | 19  | 27  | 37  | 6   | 3   | 3   |     |     |     |     | 1.21   | 0.546    |
| F 46                         | 2   | 2   | 28  | 13  | 39  | 11  | 2   | 2   |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 1   | −1  | 9   | −14 | 2   | 4   | −1  |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Amhara                       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 31                         |     | 7   | 26  | 19  | 32  | 13  | 3   |     |     |     |     | 0.35   | 0.838    |
| F 56                         |     | 5   | 5   | 5   | 20  | 14  | 36  | 13  | 2   |     |     | 1.97   | 0.373    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 5   | 5   | −1  | −6  | −5  | 3   | 0   | −1  |     |     |     |      |          |
| Oromo                        |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 31                         |     | 3   | 13  | 13  | 55  | 10  |     |     |     |     |     | 1.97   | 0.373    |
| F 80                         |     | 3   | 6   | 23  | 21  | 38  | 6   | 4   |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 3   | −0  | 10  | 8   | −17 | −4  | 4   |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Moroccan Berber              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 38                         |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| F 136                        | 2   | 1   | 4   | 14  | 13  | 33  | 5   | 1   |     |     |     | 0.63   | 0.728    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 2   | 1   | 2   | 4   | −4  | 2   | −0  | −4  |     |     |     |      |          |
| Mozabitt Berber              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 85                         |     | 1   | 1   | 5   | 34  | 48  | 9   | 1   |     |     |     | 2.25   | 0.324    |
| F 42                         | 2   | 2   | 14  | 24  | 50  | 7   |     |     |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 2   | −1  | 10  | −10 | 2   | −2  | −1  |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| South American               |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| Bolivian                     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |
| M 55                         |     | 9   | 60  | 29  | 2   |     |     |     |     |     |     | 0.24   | 0.889    |
| F 162                        |     | 1   | 7   | 57  | 33  | 1   |     |     |     |     |     | 1.00   | 0.250    |
| $\Delta = p_f - p_m$         | 1   | −2  | −3  | 4   | −1  |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |          |

$a$ Sexes.

$b$ Number of chromosomes analysed.

$c$ Alleles are named according to Scozzari et al. (1997).
of 50 microsatellites randomly chosen from the 10 populations to control for possible indel events in flanking sequences (Grimaldi & Crouau-Roy, 1997) and showed that no indels have caused length homoplasmy. Moreover, no null alleles have been detected as previously reported by Scozzari et al. (1997).

(ii) Statistical analysis

The distributions of allele frequencies in the two sexes were compared using the exact test of population differentiation, implemented in GENEPOP software (Raymond & Rousset, 1995), well adapted for allele frequencies comparisons (Goudet et al., 1996). The probability of type I error for the test was set at 0.05 and a Bonferroni correction for multiple tests used following the method of Dunn and Sidak (Ury, 1976). The analytical study of the evolution at X-linked loci under selection was performed with Mathematica 4.1 (Wolfram, 2001). Figures were obtained with R software (Ihaka & Gentleman, 1996).

3. Results and Discussion

The DXS8175 displays a total of 10 alleles, ranging from 10 to 20 repeats in all populations studied, a common feature for a dinucleotide microsatellite (Zhivotovsky et al., 2003; Renwick et al., 2001). Allelic frequencies in the 10 populations revealed similar distributions to those observed by Scozzari et al. (1997) in 30 populations from Europe, Africa, Asia and the Americas for the same marker. However, we observed a discrepancy in allele frequencies between males and females in all populations. We found that two populations (Akan and Sardinian) show a significant difference in allele frequencies (alleles 12, 14, 16, 17) between the sexes (Table 1). This difference remained significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

By evaluating the different scenarios that could have led to this pattern, it appeared that two main possible and testable hypotheses could explain the discrepancy in allele frequencies: an admixture event or a sex-specific selection acting on a gene located on the X chromosome affecting the DXS8175 marker by a hitch-hiking effect.

(i) Admixture

To test whether admixture is the putative cause for the observed differences, we need to take into consideration the parental populations. If a population results from the admixture of two different founder groups with significant differences in allele frequencies, discrepancies are expected in the offspring generation (F1). The sex difference in the F1 generation is equal to half the difference among parental generation (with an opposite sign). In the more extreme case, where all males and females come from two different single populations (corresponding to 100% admixture), differences in allele frequencies between sexes in the parental populations have to be twice that seen in the F1 generation. In a moderate case, and so more realistic for human populations, if admixture is less than 100%, the frequency difference between sexes in the parental populations has to be much higher than twice the F1 difference. The necessary frequencies under this hypothesis were not observed in our study.

For example, the highest discrepancy in allele frequencies was observed in the Akan population, in which allele 16 showed the highest male to female frequency divergence: \( \Delta = p_f - p_m = +0.28 \). In order to explain such a result, we could consider a fictitious case (100% admixture) where the most divergent populations in allele frequencies found in our sample would represent the parental populations. Considering males of the Orcadian and females of the Sardinian populations, the maximal value which could be reached in the F1 generation would be \( \Delta = p_f - p_m = 0.30/2 = 0.15 \) (allele 16\(_m\): 0.59 and allele 16\(_f\): 0.29), a value much lower than 0.28.

Others pairs of parental populations would result in lower expected divergence or in male frequencies being higher than female frequencies. Furthermore, the expected frequency patterns for others alleles would never match the observed Akan pattern. Using similar calculations, it can easily be shown that the discrepancy in sex frequency for the Sardinian population (for allele 12 or 17) cannot be explained by admixture. The admixture hypothesis seems not adapted to explain the sex frequency discrepancies in the Akan or the Sardinian population. The historical and demographic parameters necessary to achieve such a situation (100% admixture) from very distant populations are very unrealistic and an admixture event leading to the observed pattern of sex-allele discrepancies is unlikely. Such a difference in allele frequencies between males and females was never observed when we compared allele frequencies for other microsatellites on other parts of the genome (data not shown).

This argument can be extended to a multiple allele approach by noticing that the distance between sexes in the parental populations, as measured by \( F_{ST} \), has to be approximately 4 times greater than the distance between the two sexes in the F1 generation. Such a distance was never achieved (data not shown).

(ii) Selection

(a) Nearness of VCX/Y genes

If the DXS8175 microsatellite is in the vicinity of a gene under selective pressures, this could explain such
a discrepancy in allele frequencies. By performing \textit{in silico} investigation, we located the DXS8175 microsatellite $\sim 1$ kb upstream of the \textit{VCX10r} gene (Fukami \textit{et al}., 2000; Lahn \& Page, 2000; Balaresque \textit{et al}., 2003; Fig. 1) within a CRI-S232 duplicated element (Ballabio \textit{et al}., 1990; Li \textit{et al}., 1992), also called segmental duplications. The recombination fraction expected between the DXS8175 microsatellite and the \textit{VCX10r} gene is approximately 0.001\%, confirming the association between the microsatellite and the gene.

(b) \textit{Antagonistic allelic sex-specific selection on the X-chromosome}

Differential sex selection on the human X-chromosome is an alternative explanation for the discrepancy in allele frequencies distributions in males and females. Population genetic theory shows that stable polymorphisms can be maintained by selection at sex-linked loci under selection has been done by many authors (see for example Crow \& Kimura, 1970; Rice, 1984). The existence of a stable polymorphic equilibrium depended on the three parameters $(s, t, h)$ as shown in Fig. 2. Globally, the region for a stable polymorphism was reduced with increase in the dominance parameter $h$.

Using our fitness model, equilibrium A1 frequencies in females ($\hat{p}_f$) and in males ($\hat{p}_m$) were:

\begin{equation}
\hat{p}_f = \frac{t[2-h(2-s)]-s}{2t[1-h(2-s)]},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\hat{p}_m = \frac{(1-s)[t(2-h(2-s))-s]}{s^2+t[2(1-s)-h(2-s)^2]}.
\end{equation}

Combining these equations (which are equivalent to equations 7 and 8 of Rice, 1984), the difference in allelic frequencies, $\Delta = \hat{p}_f - \hat{p}_m$, was:

\begin{equation}
\Delta = \frac{s[t(2-h(2-s))-s][s-(2-s)ht]}{2t[1-h(2-s)][s^2+t(2(1-s)-h(2-s)^2)]}.
\end{equation}

This difference was strictly positive in the stable polymorphic equilibrium region, i.e. equilibrium A1 female frequency $\hat{p}_f$ was always higher than equilibrium A1 male frequency $\hat{p}_m$. The dominance parameter $h$ had little influence on the difference between $\hat{p}_f$ and $\hat{p}_m$. As a result, we restricted our analysis to the case of a complete dominance of A1 over A2 in females (i.e. $h=0$). In this situation, the discrepancy between female and male A1 frequencies at equilibrium reduced to:

\begin{equation}
\Delta = \frac{s^2(2-t-s)}{2t[s^2+2t(1-s)]}.
\end{equation}

The exact value of the excess of A1 allele in females (as measured by $\Delta$) obviously depended on the selective coefficients $s$ and $t$, and can be visualized in the $(s, t)$ parameters space as lines with equal $\Delta$ values.
Globally, $D$ increased with increasing $s$ and $t$ (i.e. under high selection) and tended to 0.5 with males being only YA2 and females being only A1A2 heterozygotes. For more realistic selective parameters (i.e. lower $s$ and $t$ values), $D$ was strongly decreased and would be impossible to detect for selective coefficients $s$ and $t$ lower than 0.2 ($\Delta < 0.05$).

With this model, we showed that sexual antagonism is sufficient to create differences in allele frequencies in males and females. The more extreme pattern we observed (Akan population) can be explained in this framework, although it requires very high selective coefficients both in males and in females ($s = 0.748$ and $t = 0.675$ for $\hat{p}_m = 0.169$ and $\Delta = 0.277$). We must, however, note (1) that the true $\Delta$ value in the Akan population might be lower than that observed in our sample, and (2) that the observed $\Delta$ value might not be the equilibrium one. Classical population genetics results show that an initial difference in allele frequencies on the X-chromosome needs some generations to disappear with fluctuations around 0 from generation to generation.
Fig. 3. Equilibrium $\Delta = \hat{p}_m - \hat{p}_f$ value in selective coefficients ($s, t$) space with complete dominance of $A_1$ in females ($h = 0$). The stable polymorphic equilibrium region is delimited by the thick plain line: $A_2$ gets fixed for parameters values below the line. Thin plain lines represent ($s, t$) values yielding a given $\Delta$ values (shown values from 0.05 to 0.45). The thin dashed line represents $\Delta = 0.277$. The thick dashed line represents an equilibrium $A_1$ frequency in males $\hat{p}_m=0.169$. The dashed line intersect at $s=0.748$ and $t=0.675$.

A similar sex-specific selective process has been observed in Drosophila for sexually antagonistic genes (Rice, 1992; Chippindale et al., 2001) in which some genes are advantageous in the heterogametic sex whereas they are disadvantageous in the homogametic sex. Genes located on the human X-chromosome constitute potential and interesting targets on which antagonistic selective pressures between both sexes could be acting (Gibson et al., 2002).

A second class of model could involve selection acting at the gametic level rather than at the zygotic level, resulting in similar sex frequency discrepancies provided that selection acts antagonistically in the two sexes (data not shown).

A third class of model with alleles acting as a segregation distorter in males but being deleterious in females would probably also result in a difference in allele frequency at equilibrium. As we have shown, the DXS8175 microsatellite is located near the VCX gene within the duplicated element CRI-S232. Interestingly, in a recent study Lahn & Page (2000) and Lahn et al. (2001) reported that the VCX/Y genes could act as meiotic distorters. Their statement is mainly based on two observations: (i) the molecular characteristics of VCX/Y genes that recalled those of the fruitfly X-linked Stellate (St) and Y-linked crystal, which are meiotic drive elements in Drosophila melanogaster (Belloni et al., 2002); (ii) recombination between CRI-S232 elements is known to cause frequent deletions in the X-chromosome short arm, resulting in steroid sulfatase deficiency (X-ichthyosis). This could be a satisfactory explanation for an old speculation of male bias among the offspring of ichthyosis-carrier females reported in some human populations (Filippi & Meera Khan, 1968; Gladstein et al., 1979).

(iii) Differences among populations

The observed differences in allele frequencies between sexes, dependent on the allele or the population, could be due to several causes including differential demographic histories of some populations associated with variation in linkage disequilibrium (LD) levels (Ardlie et al., 2002): if the mutation arrived more recently in one population, there is a higher LD between the gene under selection and the microsatellite, and therefore it can be detected through the microsatellite polymorphism in this population. In a population where the mutation arrived earlier, LD has been reduced through recombination between the selected gene.
and the microsatellite and it is very difficult to detect such an effect. This could explain why such an association is only detectable in 2 populations in 10. Among these, the Sardinian population is known to show high levels of linkage disequilibrium (Taillon-Miller et al., 2000; Angius et al., 2002).

Moreover, local selection on the VCX gene could also explain differences between populations. The instability of this region through misalignment between duplicated elements could lead to a copy number polymorphism of VCX genes: these differences among populations have been documented for other gene families (Trask et al., 1998), suggesting that variable selective patterns may be expected across populations.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

We have shown that discrepancies in allele frequencies between males and females are probably due to sex-specific selective pressures. The model of Rice (1984) seems well adapted to illustrate intra-locus antagonistic pressures acting on sex-specific-linked alleles. Although this model with two alleles is clearly an over-simplification of the reality, it provides an interesting framework to explain our data. The concept of antagonistic intra- or inter-locus selective pressures becomes especially relevant when the candidate loci are polymorphic and are part of a multigenic family in which different members act in synergy. Recent results on the human genome reported that segmental duplications constitute approximately 5% of the human genome and that all copies of each family share about 90–100% similarity (ISHGC, 2001; Samonte & Eichler, 2002). These large blocks of sequence similarity provide the substrate for aberrant recombination leading to variation in copy number among individuals or populations (Menashe et al., 2003). This observation underlines the fact that duplicated sequences are part of an ongoing process that results in a novel form of large-scale variation in the human genome (Eichler, 2001), which may be subject to complex selective patterns.
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