Introduction

Food losses refer to the decrease in edible food mass throughout the part of the supply chain that specifically leads to edible food for human consumption. Food losses take place at production, post-harvest and processing stages in food supply chain. Food losses occurring at the end of the food chain (retail and final consumption) are rather called “food waste”, which related to retailers’ and consumers’ behaviour (FAO, 2011). Working against food losses is essential to reduce hunger and to improve food sustainability among the most vulnerable populations. Food losses lead to important economic and environmental consequences.

Some of the most relevant data show that about one third of edible foods for human consumption are wasted, representing 1.300 millions of tonnes per year worldwide. From these, 88 million tonnes of food being in good conditions come from the European Union (EU) (FAO, 2012). Household (47 million tones ± 4 million tons) and processing (17 million tones ± 13 million tons) are the sectors with the biggest contribution to food waste. These two sectors account for the 72% of EU food waste. Of the remaining 28 percent of food waste 11 million tons (12%) comes from food service, 9 million tons (10%) comes from primary production and 5 million tons (5%) comes from wholesale and retail. The mean food waste per inhabitant is of 173 kg in EU (Stenmark & Jensen, 2016).
Spain, with 7.7 million tonnes per year, is the seventh country with the highest food waste rate in EU. The mean food waste among Spanish households (2.7 people per household) is 1.3 kilograms per week and 76 kilograms per year, representing half kilogram of food waste per person per week. Hence, more than 1.5 million tonnes of food are wasted among all the Spanish households (Ministerio de Agricultura Alimentación y Medio Ambiente, 2012). In Catalonia, 262.00 tonnes of food are wasted yearly, representing 35 kilograms of food per person per year (ARC i UAB, 2012).

According to the Save Food worldwide initiative, about food losses and food waste, action should be taken based in the following bases: increase the collaboration and coordination among worldwide initiatives; increase the amount of awareness programmes regarding impact and possible solutions; invest in research on policies, strategies and programmes; and support projects that implement food waste reduction strategies. Furthermore, public administrations should be involved in these actions (Save Food Initiative, 2016).

In order to improve this situation, the aim of “BCN Comparteix el menjar” project is to reduce food waste among HORECA sector in Barcelona.

Methodology

Design and participants

This is a longitudinal study initiated in September 2012, still working nowadays.

The main participants of the project were the food donor centres. They were composed by 204 and 5 star hotels, 8 caterings and 10 food companies, which had food surplus.

Project participants were eligible if they met the following criteria: located in Barcelona or Area Metropolitana de Barcelona; having surplus of food cooked but not served which they are willing to donate; having the facilities, equipment and utensils appropriated to carryout restoration activities; having enough kitchen staff who respects good hygiene practices and food manipulation and can work for the project; having enough storage capacity in freezers (-18°C).

Once the food donor centres eligible for the project accept to participate, a collaboration agreement between the donor centre and NSF was signed. Within this agreement, it became official that NSF took the responsibility of the state and quality of the foods, from the donation until the distribution moment.

Food storage protocol

In the food donor centres, the food surplus was collected in plastic containers of 1.5 litres capacity purchased by the donor centre. They must allow being frozen and later on to defreeze in a microwave. There were specific kitchen workers trained by NSF team, who transferred the surplus food in the container. Then, they attached a project sticker per container with the following information: type of food; amount of food (kg); temperature of the food during the donation; possible allergens. Once tagged, the food was kept in a freezer at -18°C. When the donor centre reached their maximum storage capacity for the project, they contacted the logistics responsible of the NGO to collect the food.

Data collection

All the methods used in this study were based on an internal protocol created by NSF, which involved: temperature monitoring during the transport, traceability and quality control of the foods.

The surplus of prepared frozen foods was collected from the donor centres participating in the project, once they reach them maximum storage capacity. The logistics responsible of NSF, organized the collection of food from the different donor centres every week. Depending on the food donations, the most efficient routes were established daily to collect and distribute all the food within the shortest period of time. Transportation of food was performed on an isothermal van. This way, the cold chain was kept during all the process (recuperation, storage, collection, transport and distribution) to assure the best food quality and conditions. All the food collected was distributed within the same day. Regarding the traceability of the food, two delivery notes were filled in: one during the food collection and the other one during food distribution. Both delivery notes contained information on: date of collection or distribution respectively; name of the donor centre; name of the distribution centre; temperature of the foods during collection or distribution respectively; list of the foods donated or distributed respectively with their corresponding amounts (kilograms (kg)). NSF kept a copy of each delivery note. Information contained in the delivery notes was entered in a database. It allowed knowing the nutritional profile and amounts of food in kg and rations collected and distributed. Within the database, the foods were classified according to: food donor centres; food distribution centres; and 6 food groups designed by NSF according to its nutritional characteristics (fruits; vegetables; dairy products; meal containing warm meal, fish, eggs or legumes; warm meal containing pasta, rice, potatoes or bread; biscuits and pastry; water). Moreover, a laboratory collaborating in the project weekly performed quality controls of donated foods from 3 different donor centres. This way, good quality and management of the foods during the transportation was assured. In case the analyses detected something wrong with one of the foods, both the donor and the distribution centre would have been informed.

Data analysis

Means and total Kg of food were used to provide an indication of average week food recovered. ANOVA test were used to compare food groups according by year and type of food donor. A 0.05 level of significance was considered. The statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics version 21.0 was used for data analysis.

Results

“BCN Comparteix el menjar” project recovered and distributed a total of 80524 kg of food and 47519 litres of water from January 2013 until December 2015. These were delivered among 17 social centres that benefited people living at risk of poverty and social exclusion. From the total amount of food and water mentioned, 31128 kg of food and 2879 litres of water were recovered and distributed 2013, 25691 kg of food and 28007 litres of water in 2014, and 23705 kg of food and 16633 litres of water in 2015.

During 2013, 2014 and 2015, median weekly recovered
amounts per food group were: 497.93 kg of cereals/legumes/potatoes; 331.38 kg/week of fruits; 327.98 kg/week of sandwiches; 288.68 kg/week of pastry; 284.8 kg/week of meat/eggs; 162.45 kg/week of vegetables; 62.89 kg/week of fish and 57 kg/week of dairy products.

Regarding the amount of food groups recovered per year, it was shown that significantly more fruits were recovered in 2014, compared to 2013 and 2015 (5150 kg vs. 3850 kg and 4255 kg; p = 0.07). The same was observed for vegetables: 3736 kg in 2014; 958 kg in 2013 and 1805 kg in 2014 (p < 0.005). Regarding meat/eggs, in 2013 the project recovered significantly more meat/eggs (4922 kg), in comparison to 2014 (2324 kg) and 2015 (4146 kg) (p = 0.005). Also, the amount cereals/legumes/potatoes recovered in 2013 (8349 kg), was significantly higher than in 2014 (5894 kg) and 2015 (5674 kg); (p < 0.005). No significant differences between the amounts of other food groups recovered per year were observed (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Kilograms of food recovered and distributed according to food groups per year by BCN Comparteix el Menjar.](image)

Comparing the amount of each food groups recovered between donor centre types, it was observed that food companies donated significantly more fruits (1240 kg) compared to caterings (1871 kg) and hotels (1124 kg); (p < 0.005). While for meat/eggs, hotels donated a significantly higher amount (8364 kg) in comparison to caterings (3880 kg) and food companies (3052 kg); (p < 0.005). The same was observed with fish: hotels donated 1754 kg; caterings donated 1061 kg; and food companies donated 189 kg (p < 0.005). Regarding cereals/legumes/potatoes, hotels also donated a significantly higher amount (14466 kg) compared to caterings (3428 kg) and food companies (1812 kg); (p < 0.005). While for pastry, caterings donated a significantly higher amount (13582 kg) compared to hotels (1853 kg) and food companies (242 kg). Finally, caterings also donated a significantly higher amount of sandwiches (14489 kg), compared to food companies (216 kg) and hotels (78 kg); (p < 0.005). No significant differences between the amounts of the rest of food groups recovered between food donor centre types.

Discussion

“BCN Comparteix el menjar” project has recovered and distributed a total of 80524 kg of food and 47519 litres of water from January 2013 until December 2015. The amount of food recovered by the project decreased with the years (31128 kg in 2013, 25691 kg in 2014 and 23705 kg in 2015). The main reason for the decreased amount of food recovered per year might be that food donors (hotels, food companies and caterings) are every time more aware of their food waste, and its economical costs, so they improved their food waste management to reduce it. For instance, many hotels collaborating in the project removed the buffets for lunch and dinner because of the high amount of food wasted, and changed it to menu. Other food donor centres, have started giving their food surplus to the workers, for lunch and dinner. Therefore, more food donor organizations are needed to increase the amount of food recovered within the project. However, the increasing awareness about food waste is everyday more common, so more hotels and food companies willing to participate in the project. A possible reason why the biggest amount of food recovered in the project were cereals/legumes/potatoes and fruits, could be due to their higher frequency of consumption and their more economical costs, compared to the other food groups. The following most recovered food groups were sandwich and pastry, which are mostly offered during events and breakfast buffets. These offer bigger amounts of foods, and have a high food waste rate.

Regarding the differences on the amount of food groups donated concerning food donor centre type, hotels donated significantly higher amounts of meat/eggs, fish and cereals/legumes and potatoes. This might be because these are luxury hotels that offer a high diversity of foods to their clients, and might have food surplus of more expensive, fresh and nutritious kind of foods such as meat and fish. Nevertheless, hotels are the big majority of the food donor centres in the study, fact that might influence the proportions of the food donated in comparison to food companies and caterings. Instead, food companies donate significantly higher amount of foods than hotels and food companies. A reason for it could be the type of company, since one collaborating in the project is specialized in vegetables and fruits. Another reason might be that donated fruits were not frozen. Therefore, it might be a reason for the companies to throw it away rather than storing them to be recovered and distributed by the project. Finally, caterings donate significantly higher amounts of pastry and sandwich. These food groups are common in buffets and events organized by companies in special occasions. Moreover, they are also economical foods that can be well preserved at room temperature, so caterings offer them in higher amounts compared to the main dishes. Therefore, these practices might give room to food surplus.

Finally, the present project presented a high efficiency in recovering food surplus of the HORECA sector in Barcelona. It has recovered and distributed more than 8 tonnes of food that would have been wasted, and has distributed it to 17 social centres benefiting people living at risk of poverty or social exclusion. The capacity of this methodology is very high, taking in to account its simple methodology and few infrastructures and “equipment” needed. Nevertheless, due to the increasing awareness that HORECA sector companies have about food waste, more food donor centres will be needed to collaborate in the project in order to maintain or increase the total amount of food recovered.
Conclusion

The project “BCN Comparteix el menjar” is a very innovative and efficient project reducing food waste among the HORECA sector in Barcelona. Awareness against food waste among HORECA sector is increasing in Barcelona, and consequently food waste is being reduced. Therefore, action against food waste should be taken in other sectors of the food chain, such as households and processing, should be taken to reduce and eradicate food waste in Barcelona.
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