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Abstract

In this paper we show a structural stability result for water waves. The main motivation for this result is that we would like to exhibit a water wave whose interface starts as a graph and ends in a splash. Numerical simulations lead to an approximate solution with the desired behaviour. The stability result will conclude that near the approximate solution to water waves there is an exact solution.

1 Introduction

The water waves problem models the motion of an incompressible fluid with constant density $\rho$ in a domain $\Omega(t)$ with a free boundary $\partial \Omega(t)$, which satisfies the Euler equation with the presence of gravity and whose flow in potential. The system, in $\mathbb{R}^2$, can be written, after some computations, as an equation for the free boundary,

$$\partial \Omega(t) = \{z(\alpha, t) = (z_1(\alpha, t), z_2(\alpha, t)) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

and an equation for the amplitude of the vorticity, $\omega(\alpha, t)$, in the following way

$$z_t(\alpha, t) = BR(z, \omega)(\alpha, t) + c(\alpha, t)z_\alpha(\alpha, t),$$

$$\omega_t(\alpha, t) = -2BR_t(z, \omega)(\alpha, t) \cdot z_\alpha(\alpha, t) - \left(\frac{\omega^2}{4|\partial_\alpha z|^2}\right)_{\alpha} (\alpha, t) + (c\omega)_\alpha(\alpha, t) + 2c(\alpha, t)BR_\alpha(z, \omega)(\alpha, t) \cdot z_\alpha(\alpha, t) - 2(z_2)_\alpha(\alpha, t),$$

where $BR(z, \omega)$ is the classical Birkhoff-Rott integral

$$BR(z, \omega)(\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(z(\alpha, t) - z(\beta, t))^\perp}{|z(\alpha, t) - z(\beta, t)|^2} \omega(\beta, t)d\beta.$$

The function $c(\alpha, t)$ is arbitrary since the boundary is convected by the normal component of the velocity of the fluid. Also, we notice that, in order to get an explicit equation for $\partial_t \omega$, we need to invert the operator

$$I + T = I + 2\langle BR(z, \cdot), z_\alpha \rangle$$
and we have taken the acceleration due to gravity and the density \( \rho \) equal to one.

Once one has solved this system for \( (z, \omega) \) the velocity of the fluid and the pressure in the domain \( \Omega(t) \) can be recovered by using Biot-Savart and Bernoulli laws. For details see [3].

In the last two decades these equations have been intensively studied. For an extensive survey about analytical results on water waves see the monograph [9].

In this paper we are concerned with the problem of the existence of water waves which start as a graph and become a splash curve in finite time. Roughly speaking, a splash curve is a smooth curve that collapses with itself in a single point such as the curve of fig. 1. A rigorous definition can be found in [3] where the existence of splash singularities has been shown. Coutand and Shkoller [5] have proven the existence of splash singularities in presence of vorticity. Fefferman, Ionescu and Lie [6] have proven the non existence of splash singularities for internal waves, i.e. for an interface between two incompressible fluids.

We are interested in the following statement:

**Conjecture 1.1** There exist initial data \( z_0(\alpha), \omega_0(\alpha) \) of solutions of the water wave equations such that at time 0 the curve \( z_0(\alpha) \) can be parameterized as a graph, the interface then turns over at a finite time \( T_1 > 0 \), and finally produces a splash at a finite time \( T_2 > T_1 \).

We should remark that this conjecture is a combination of the scenarios in theorems [3] Theorem I.1] and [4] Theorem 7.1] and is supported by numerical evidence that we can see in Fig. 2. This numerical simulation was carried out using the method of Beale, Hou and Lowengrub [1].

The proof of this conjecture could follow along these lines. First of all, we will move backwards in time, 0 being the time of the splash, \( T_2 - T_1 \) the time of the turning and \( T_2 \) the time in which the solution can be parameterized as a graph. Also we write the water waves...
equation in a new domain given by the projection of Ω(t) by the conformal map
\[ P(w) = \left(\tan\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}, \quad w \in \mathbb{C}, \]
whose intention is to keep apart the self-intersecting point by taking the branch of the square root above passing through this crucial point. The equation in this new domain can be written as follows:

\[ \ddot{z} = Q^2(t)BR(\ddot{z}, \dot{\omega})(\alpha, t) + \dot{c}(t)\dot{z}(\alpha, t), \]

\[ \dot{\omega} = -2BR_t(\ddot{z}, \dot{\omega})(\alpha, t) \cdot \dot{z}(\alpha, t) - (Q^2)\dot{z}(\alpha, t)|BR(\ddot{z}, \dot{\omega})|^2(\alpha, t) - \left(\frac{Q^2(\alpha, t)\dot{\omega}(\alpha, t)^2}{4|\ddot{z}(\alpha, t)|^2}\right)_{\alpha} \]

where
\[ \ddot{z}(\alpha, t) = P(\dot{z}(\alpha, t)), \quad Q^2(\alpha, t) = \left|\frac{dP}{dw}(P^{-1}(\ddot{z}(\alpha, t)))\right|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{T}. \]

(From now on we will omit the superscript tilde in the notation).

We start computing a numerical approximation of a solution to the water waves equation that starts as a splash, turns over and finally is a graph. Such a candidate is depicted
in Fig. With this approximation we can construct explicit functions \((x, \gamma)\) that solve the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x_t &= Q^2(x)BR(x, \gamma) + bx_\alpha + f \\
b &\equiv \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi (Q^2 BR(x, \gamma)|x_\alpha|^2 d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} (Q^2 BR(x, \gamma)|x_\alpha|^2 d\beta \\
+ \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\alpha |x_\alpha|^2 d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} f_\beta |x_\beta|^2 d\beta \\
\gamma_t &= -2BR_t(x, \gamma) \cdot x_\alpha - (Q^2(x))_\alpha BR(x, \gamma)|^2 - \left(\frac{Q^2(x)g^2}{4|x_\alpha|^2}\right)_{\alpha} \\
+ 2bBR_{\alpha}(x, \gamma) \cdot x_\alpha + (b\gamma)_\alpha - 2(P_{2}^{-1}(x)|x_\alpha|^2 \right)_{\alpha} + g \\
\psi(\alpha, t) &= \frac{Q^2(\alpha, t)\gamma(\alpha, t)}{2|\alpha, t|} - b_s(\alpha, t)|x_\alpha(\alpha, t)|, \\
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(f, g\) are errors that we hope are small. By using the computer we are able to give rigorous bounds for these errors. The question we want to answer is if there exists an exact solution \((z, \omega)\) of the water waves equation close to these functions \((x, \gamma)\). That means we need to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.2** Let

\[
D(\alpha, t) \equiv z(\alpha, t) - x(\alpha, t), \quad d(\alpha, t) \equiv \omega(\alpha, t) - \gamma(\alpha, t), \quad D(\alpha, t) \equiv \varphi(\alpha, t) - \psi(\alpha, t)
\]

where \((x, \gamma, \psi)\) are the solutions of

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
x_t &= Q^2(x)BR(x, \gamma) + bx_\alpha + f \\
b &\equiv \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi (Q^2 BR(x, \gamma)|x_\alpha|^2 d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} (Q^2 BR(x, \gamma)|x_\alpha|^2 d\beta \\
+ \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\alpha |x_\alpha|^2 d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} f_\beta |x_\beta|^2 d\beta \\
\gamma_t &= -2BR_t(x, \gamma) \cdot x_\alpha - (Q^2(x))_\alpha BR(x, \gamma)|^2 - \left(\frac{Q^2(x)g^2}{4|x_\alpha|^2}\right)_{\alpha} \\
+ 2bBR_{\alpha}(x, \gamma) \cdot x_\alpha + (b\gamma)_\alpha - 2(P_{2}^{-1}(x)|x_\alpha|^2 \right)_{\alpha} + g \\
\psi(\alpha, t) &= \frac{Q^2(\alpha, t)\gamma(\alpha, t)}{2|\alpha, t|} - b_s(\alpha, t)|x_\alpha(\alpha, t)|,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where \((z, \omega)\) are the solutions of \((7)\) with \(f \equiv g \equiv 0\), \(\varphi\) is the function

\[
\varphi = \frac{Q^2\alpha, t)\omega(\alpha, t)}{2|\alpha, t|} - b(\alpha, t)|z_\alpha(\alpha, t)|,
\]

and \(E\) is the following norm for the difference

\[
E(t) \equiv \left(\|D\|_{H^3}^2 + \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{Q^2}{\sigma x^2_{\alpha}} |\gamma|^2 d\alpha D^2 + \|d\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{D}\|_{H^3}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\right).
\]

Then we have that

\[
\left|\frac{d}{dt} E(t)\right| \leq C(t)(E(t) + E^k(t)) + c\delta(t)
\]

where

\[
C(t) = C(e^t, \|x\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t), \|\gamma\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t), \|\zeta\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t), \|F(x)\|_{L^\infty}(t))
\]

and

\[
\delta(t) = (\|f\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t) + \|g\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t))k + (\|f\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t) + \|g\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}}(t))^2, \text{  } k \text{  big enough}
\]
depends on the norms of \( f \) and \( g \), and \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) is given by
\[
\mathcal{E}(t) = \|z\|_{H^3}^2(t) + \int_T \frac{Q^2 \sigma_z}{|z_\alpha|^2} |\partial_\alpha z|^2 d\alpha + \|F(z)\|_{L^\infty}(t)
+ \|\omega\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{H^{4\frac{1}{2}}}^2(t) + \frac{|z_\alpha|^2}{m(Q^2 \sigma_z)(t)} + \sum_{l=0}^{4} \frac{1}{m(q^l)(t)}
\]
where the \( L^\infty \) norm of the function
\[
F(z) \equiv \frac{|\beta|}{|z(\alpha, t) - z(\alpha - \beta, t)|}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}
\]
measures the arc-chord condition,
\[
\sigma_z \equiv \left( BR_t(z, \omega) + \frac{\varphi}{|z_\alpha|} BR_\alpha(z, \omega) \right) \cdot z^\perp_\alpha + \frac{\omega}{2|z_\alpha|^2} \left( z_\alpha \varphi + \frac{\varphi}{|z_\alpha|} z_\alpha \right) \cdot z^\perp_\alpha
+ Q \left[ BR(z, \omega) + \frac{\omega}{2|z_\alpha|^2} z_\alpha \right]^2 \left( \nabla Q \right)(z) \cdot z^\perp_\alpha + (\nabla P^{-1}_2)(z) \cdot z^\perp_\alpha
\]
is the Rayleigh-Taylor function,
\[
m(Q^2 \sigma_z)(t) \equiv \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} Q^2(\alpha, t) \sigma_z(\alpha, t),
\]
and finally
\[
m(q^l)(t) \equiv \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} |z(\alpha, t) - q^l|
\]
for \( l = 0, \ldots, 4 \), with
\[
q^0 = (0, 0), \quad q^1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad q^2 = \left( \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad q^3 = \left( \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad q^4 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)
\]
which are the singular points of the transformation \( P \).

**Remark 1.3** We can absorb the terms in \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) by \( E(t) \) raised to an appropriate power and terms in \( \alpha, \gamma \) by performing the splitting \( \|z\| = \|z - x\| + \|x\| \) (or the analogous one for a different variable) for any norm or any quantity that appears in \( \mathcal{E}(t) \).

Theorem 1.2 was announced in [2].

If we knew \( C(t), f(t), g(t), k \) or bounds on them, a priori, then we could provide bounds on \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) at any time \( T \). We point out here that \( E(t) \) controls the norm \( \|\partial_\alpha z^1(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x^1(\alpha)\|_{L^\infty} \).

Let \( T_g \) be a time in which the approximate solution is a graph, i.e. \( \partial_\alpha x^1(\alpha, T_g) > 0 \) \( \forall \alpha \). Now, if \( E(T_g) < \partial_\alpha x^1(\alpha, T_g) \) then
\[
\partial_\alpha z^1(\alpha, T_g) > -\|\partial_\alpha z^1(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x^1(\alpha)\|_{L^\infty} + \partial_\alpha x^1(\alpha, T_g) > 0,
\]
and this shows that \( z \) is a graph. In other words, the possible set of solutions of the water waves equation is a ball centered at \( (x, \gamma, \zeta) \) with the topology given by \( E \). All of the elements
of this ball are graphs, therefore the solution is necessarily a graph. Thus, the problem is reduced to study and find bounds for \( C(t) \), \( f(t) \), \( g(t) \), \( k \).

The recent developments of computer architecture have boosted their use in mathematics, giving birth to a full set of new results only achievable by this enormous power. However, it has the drawback that floating-point operations can not be performed exactly, resulting in numerical errors. In order to overcome this difficulty and be able to prove rigorous results, we use the so-called interval arithmetics, in which instead of working with arbitrary real numbers, we perform computations over intervals which have representable numbers as endpoints. On these objects, an arithmetic is defined in such a way that we are guaranteed that for every \( x \in X, y \in Y \)

\[
x \star y \in X \star Y,
\]

for any operation \( \star \). For example,

\[
[x, x] + [y, y] = [x + y, x + y]
\]

\[
[x, x] \times [y, y] = [\min\{xy, xy, x\bar{y}, x\bar{y}\}, \max\{xy, xy, x\bar{y}, x\bar{y}\}]
\]

We can also define the interval version of a function \( f(X) \) as an interval \( I \) that satisfies that for every \( x \in X \) we have \( f(x) \in I \).

The article is organized as follows: in sections 2 and 3 we give some details about how to control the errors \( f \), \( g \) and the constants that arise in Theorem 1.2 by using the computer. Finally, in section 4 we give a complete proof of Theorem 1.2.

### 2 Bounds for \( f(t) \) and \( g(t) \)

#### 2.1 Representation of the functions and Interpolation

The first thing one has to decide is how to represent the data and how to pass from the cloud of points in space-time obtained by non-rigorous simulation to a function defined everywhere in \([-\pi, \pi] \times [0, T]\). We need to interpolate in some way.

In our case, we chose to represent the functions \( x \) and \( \gamma \) by piecewise polynomials (splines) of high degree (10) in space, and low degree (3) in time. To do so, we first interpolate in space for every node in the time mesh. The interpolation is made via B-Splines. Since the interpolation is reduced to solve a linear (interval) system \( Ac = y \), where \( A \) is constant in time and space and \( y \) depends on the values of the function at time \( t \) since the mesh in space is constant, we precondition by multiplying by the non-rigorous inverse of the midpoints of the entries of \( A \). We remark that the system is interval-based because we need to produce a curve that is a splash (i.e. there have to be two points \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) such that we can guarantee \( x_0(\alpha_1) = x_0(\alpha_2) \)). Finally, the system is solved using a rigorous Gauss-Seidel iterative method. We also remark that the need for interval-based calculations is only strictly necessary at time \( t = 0 \) since it is the only point in which we have to guarantee some equality. By working with multiprecision (1024 bits) we can get widths in the coefficients of the order of \( 10^{-300} \).

In order to perform interpolation in time, we fix the values of the function and its time derivative at the mesh points. This gives us lots of systems of 4 equations (the values of
the function and its derivative at both endpoints) and 4 unknowns (the 4 coefficients of
the degree 3 polynomial) but with an explicit formula for each of them. With this method, our
spline will be $C^1$ in time but it might not be $C^2$.

2.2 Rigorous bounds for Singular integrals

In this section we will discuss the computational details of the rigorous calculation of
some singular integrals. In particular we will focus on the Hilbert transform, but the methods
apply to any integral kernel whose main singularity is homogeneous of degree -1. Parts of the
computation (the $N$ part) are slightly related to the Taylor models with relative remainder
presented in M. Joldes’ thesis [8].

Let us suppose that we have a function $f$ given explicitly by a spline (piecewise polyno-
mial) which is $C_{k-1}$ everywhere and $C_k$ except at finitely many points (the points in which
the different pieces of the spline are glued together). We need to calculate rigorously the
Hilbert Transform of $f$, that is

$$Hf(x) = \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_T \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy,$$

and we want to approximate it by a piecewise polynomial function with less regularity,
plus an error that can be bounded in $H^q$, $0 \leq q \leq c < k$ and in $L^\infty$. Let us assume that the
knots of the spline are $\alpha_i$, $i = 0, \ldots, N-1$ and that we fix $x \in [\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]$ where the indices
are taken modulo $N$ and the distance between the indices is taken over $\mathbb{Z}_N$. We can split our
integral in

$$Hf(x) = \frac{PV}{\pi} \int_T \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy = \frac{PV}{\pi} \sum_j \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy$$

$$= \frac{PV}{\pi} \sum_{|j-i| > K} \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy + \frac{PV}{\pi} \sum_{|j-i| \leq K} \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy$$

$$\equiv Hf^F(x) + Hf^N(x).$$

Now, if we want to express $Hf^F(x)$ as a polynomial, it is easy since the integrand does
not have a singularity. Hence

$$Hf^F(x) = \frac{PV}{\pi} \sum_{|j-i| > K} \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{x-y}{2} \right)} dy = \frac{PV}{\pi} \sum_{|j-i| > K} \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} F^j(x, y) dy$$

$$= \sum_{|j-i| > K} \int_{\alpha_j}^{\alpha_{j+1}} \sum_{n,m} c_{nm}(x - x^*(i))^m(y - y^*(j))^n + E(x, y) dy \equiv P(x) + E(x),$$

where $E$ accounts for the error and is a polynomial with interval coefficients. Typically,
we will use as the points for the Taylor expansions $x^*(i) = \alpha_i$ since we will compare the
resulting polynomial with another one of the form $\sum_j b_j (x - x_i)^j$ and we will also choose
This choice is useful for two reasons: first, we will only have to integrate half of the terms since the rest will integrate to zero; and second, the error estimates will be better for this choice of \( y^*(j) \) in the sense that the coefficients will be smaller. All the computations will be carried out using automatic differentiation. We should remark that we can get estimates for the error \( E \) in any of the above mentioned norms without having to recompute it since the relation

\[
\partial_q^0 H f^F(x) - \partial_q^0 P(x) = \partial_q^0 E(x)
\]

holds for every \( q < k \).

Now, we move on to the term \( H f^N(x) \). In this case, we perform a Taylor expansion in both the denominator

\[
2 \tan \left( \frac{x - y}{2} \right) = (x - y) + c(x - y)^3, \quad c = \text{small (interval) constant}
\]

and the numerator

\[
f(x) = f(y) + (x - y)f'(y) + \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2 f''(y) + \ldots + \frac{1}{n!}(x - y)^{k-1}f^{(k-1)}(\eta),
\]

where \( \eta \) belongs to an intermediate point between \( x \) and \( y \), which we can enclose in the convex hull of \([\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}]\) and \([\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}]\) where the convex hull is understood in the torus. Since typically \( K \) will be very small (compared to \( N \)) there is no ambiguity in the definition. Finally, we can factor out \( (x - y) \) and divide both in the numerator and the denominator. Since we know \( f(y) \) explicitly, we can perform the explicit integration and get a piecewise polynomial as a result.

### 2.3 Estimates of the norm of the Operator \( I + T \)

In this subsection we will outline how to compute the norm of the operator \( I + T = I + 2(BR(z, \cdot), z_o) \). Since the operator \( T \) behaves like a Hilbert Transform plus smoothing terms, we will describe how to calculate rigorously with the help of a computer an estimate for the norm of its inverse. The procedure is more general and can be applied to a bigger family of kernels. Let \( T = \mathbb{R}/2\pi \mathbb{Z} \), and let \( A(x), B(x) \) be real-valued functions on \( T \). Also, let \( E(x, y) \) be a real-valued function on \( T \times T \). We assume \( A, B \) and \( E \) are given by explicit formulas such as perhaps piecewise trigonometric polynomials or splines, and \( E(x, y) \) is a trigonometric polynomial on each rectangle \( I \times J \) of some partition of \( T \times T \). We suppose \( A, B, E \) are smooth enough.

Let \( H \) be the Hilbert transform acting on functions on \( T \), i.e.

\[
H f(x) = \frac{PV}{2\pi} \int_T \cot \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) f(x - y)dy.
\]

Assume that \( A \) and \( B \) have no common zeros on \( T \).

Let

\[
S f(x) = A(x)f(x) + B(x)H f(x) + \int_T E(x, y)f(y)dy, \quad f \in L^2(T).
\]
Thus, \( S \) is a singular integral operator.

We hope that \( S^{-1} \) exists and has a not-so-big norm on \( L^2 \), but we don’t know this yet.

Our goal here is to find approximate solutions \( F \) of the equation \( SF = f \) for suitable given \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) \), and to check that \( \|SF - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} < \delta \) for suitable \( \delta \). Our computation of \( F \) will be based on heuristic ideas, but the computation of an upper bound for \( \|SF - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \) will be rigorous. In our case, \( A(x) = 1, B(x) = 1 \).

To carry this out, let \( H_0 \subset H_1 \subset L^2(\mathbb{T}) \) be finite-dimensional subspaces, e.g. with \( H_i \) consisting of the span of wavelets (from a wavelet bases) having lengthscale \( \geq 2^{-N_i} \). Here \( N_1 \geq N_0 + 3 \) (say). Let \( \pi_i \) be the orthogonal projection from \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \) to \( H_i \), and let us solve the equation

\[
\pi_1 S \pi_1 F = \pi_0 f. \tag{10}
\]

If \( f \) is given explicitly in a wavelet bases, then (10) is a linear algebra problem, since \( \pi_1 S \pi_1 \) is of finite rank, and its matrix (in terms of some given basis for \( H_1 \)) can be computed explicitly.

- If \( \pi_0 f \notin \text{Range}(\pi_1 S \pi_1) \), then our heuristic procedure fails.
- If \( \pi_0 f \in \text{Range}(\pi_1 S \pi_1) \), then we find \( F \in H_1 \) such that \( \pi_1 S \pi_1 F = \pi_0 f \), i.e. \( \pi_1 SF = \pi_0 f \).

We then have

\[
\|SF - f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} \leq \|(I - \pi_1)SF\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} + \|(I - \pi_0)F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})},
\]

and both norms on the right-hand side may be estimated explicitly.

Now, our goal is to make a heuristic computation of an operator of the form

\[
\tilde{S}f(x) = \tilde{A}(x)f(x) + \tilde{B}(x)Hf(x) + \int_T \tilde{E}(x,y)f(y)dy
\]

such that \( S\tilde{S} - I \) has small norm on \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \).

Here, we will make a heuristic computation of \( \tilde{S} \); later we will give a rigorous upper bound for the norm of \( S\tilde{S} - I \) on \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \). By a heuristic computation of \( \tilde{S} \) we mean a heuristic computation of \( \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \) and \( \tilde{E} \).

We first find \( \tilde{A} \) and \( \tilde{B} \) by setting

\[
(A + iB)(\tilde{A} + i\tilde{B}) = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A\tilde{A} - B\tilde{B} = 1 \\ A\tilde{B} + B\tilde{A} = 0 \end{cases}
\]

Then, this means that

\[
S\tilde{S} = (A\tilde{A} - B\tilde{B}) + (A\tilde{B} + B\tilde{A})H + \text{Smoothing terms} = I + \text{Smoothing terms}
\]

So, from now on, we suppose that \( \tilde{A} \) and \( \tilde{B} \) are known. For the operator \( I + T \), this means \( \tilde{A} = 1/2, \tilde{B} = -1/2 \). We want to compute \( \tilde{E} \). Now, let \( \{\phi_\nu\} \) be some orthonormal basis for
\( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \), for example a wavelet basis. By the previous methods, we can try to find functions \( \psi_\nu \in L^2(\mathbb{T}) \) such that \( S\psi_\nu - \phi_\nu \) has small norm. We carry this for \( \nu = 1, \ldots, N \) for a large \( N \). We now try to make \( \tilde{E} \) satisfy

\[
\tilde{A}(x)\phi_\nu(x) + \tilde{B}(x)H\phi_\nu(x) + \int_\mathbb{T} \tilde{E}(x,y)\phi_\nu(y) = \psi_\nu(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{11}
\]

Thus, we want

\[
\int_\mathbb{T} \tilde{E}(x,y)\phi_\nu(y)\,dy = \left( \psi_\nu(x) - \tilde{A}(x)\phi_\nu(x) - \tilde{B}(x)H\phi_\nu(x) \right) \equiv \psi_\nu^\#(x), \quad \nu = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{12}
\]

Note that \( \psi_\nu^\# \) can be computed explicitly.

Since the \( \phi_\nu \) (all \( \nu \)) form an orthonormal basis for \( L^2(\mathbb{T}) \), it is natural to define

\[
\tilde{E}(x,y) = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \psi_\nu^\# \phi_\nu(y).
\]

This can be computed explicitly, and it satisfies \( \psi_\nu^\# \). Thus, we can compute

\[
SS^\# = (A + BH + E)(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}H + \tilde{E})
\]

\[
= A\tilde{A} + A\tilde{B}H + A\tilde{E} + BH\tilde{A} + BH\tilde{B}H + BH\tilde{E} + E\tilde{A} + E\tilde{B}H + E\tilde{E}
\]

\[
= A\tilde{A} + A\tilde{B}H + A\tilde{E} + BAH + B[H,\tilde{A}] - B\tilde{B} + B[H,\tilde{B}]H
\]

\[
+ BH\tilde{E} + E\tilde{A} + E\tilde{B}H + E\tilde{E}
\]

\[
= (A\tilde{A} - B\tilde{B}) + (A\tilde{B} + B\tilde{A})H + \{A\tilde{E} + B[H,\tilde{A}] + B[H,\tilde{B}]\}H
\]

\[
+ BH\tilde{E} + E\tilde{A} + E\tilde{B}H + E\tilde{E} \tag{13}
\]

We claim that all terms enclosed in curly brackets are integral operators of the form

\[
S^\# f(x) = \int_\mathbb{T} E^\#(x,y)f(y)\,dy,
\]

for an \( E^\# \) that we can calculate. Let us go term by term

- \( A\tilde{E} \) has the form \( S^\# \), with \( E^\#(x,y) = A(x)\tilde{E}(x,y) \).
- \( B[H,\tilde{A}] \) has the form \( S^\# \), with \( E^\#(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi}B(x)\cot\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)(\tilde{A}(x) - \tilde{A}(y)) \).
  
  Note that if \( \tilde{A} \) is a piecewise trigonometric polynomial and \( C^k \), then \( E^\# \) can easily be computed modulo a small error in \( C^{k-1} \).
- \( B[H,\tilde{B}]H \) has the form \( S^\# \), with
  \[
  E^\#(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}B(x)PV\int \cot\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)(\tilde{B}(x) - \tilde{B}(z))\cot\left(\frac{z-y}{2}\right)\,dz.
  \]
  \[
  = \frac{1}{4\pi^2}B(x)PV\int \left\{ \cot\left(\frac{x-z}{2}\right)(\tilde{B}(x) - \tilde{B}(z)) - 2\tilde{B}'(x) \right\} \cot\left(\frac{z-y}{2}\right)\,dz.
  \]
• $BH\tilde{E}$ has the form $S^\#$, with

$$E^\#(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} B(x) PV \int \cot\left(\frac{x - z}{2}\right) \tilde{E}(z, y) dz.$$  

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} B(x) PV \int \cot\left(\frac{x - z}{2}\right) \left(\tilde{E}(z, y) - \tilde{E}(x, y)\right) dz.$$  

• $E\tilde{A}$ has the form $S^\#$, with $E^\#(x, y) = \tilde{E}(x, y)\tilde{A}(y)$.

• $E\tilde{B}H$ has the form $S^\#$, with

$$E^\#(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int E(x, z)\tilde{B}(z) \cot\left(\frac{z - y}{2}\right) dz.$$  

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int \left\{E(x, z)\tilde{B}(z) - E(x, y)\tilde{B}(y)\right\} \cot\left(\frac{z - y}{2}\right) dz.$$  

• $E\tilde{E}$ has the form $S^\#$, with $E^\#(x, y) = \int E(x, z)\tilde{E}(z, y) dz$.

This proves the claim.

Letting $E^\# f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} E^\#(x, y) f(y) dy$ be the operator in curly brackets in (14), we see that

$$S\tilde{S} = (A\tilde{A} - B\tilde{B}) + (A\tilde{B} + B\tilde{A}) H + E^\#,$$

and that the function $E^\#(x, y)$ can be computed modulo a small error in $C^0(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$. Therefore, we obtain an upper bound for the norm of $S\tilde{S} - I$, namely

$$\max |A\tilde{A} - B\tilde{B} - 1| + \max |A\tilde{B} + B\tilde{A}| + \max \left\{\max_x \int |E^\#(x, y)| dy, \max_y \int |E^\#(x, y)| dx\right\}.$$  

Defining $S_{err} := S\tilde{S} - I$, we obtain an explicit upper bound $\delta$ for the norm of $S_{err}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. We hope that $\delta < 1$. If not, then we fail.

Suppose $\delta < 1$. Then

$$S\tilde{S} = I + S_{err} \Rightarrow S\tilde{S}(I + S_{err})^{-1} = I,$$  

so we obtain a right inverse for $S$, namely $\tilde{S}(I + S_{err})^{-1}$, which has norm at most

$$\|\tilde{S}\|(1 - \delta)^{-1},$$  

where $\|\tilde{S}\|$ denotes the norm of $\tilde{S}$ as an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. Recall

$$\tilde{S} f(x) = \tilde{A}(x) f(x) + \tilde{B}(x) H f(x) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tilde{E}(x, y) f(y) dy.$$  

Therefore,

$$\|\tilde{S}\| \leq \max |\tilde{A}(x)| + \max |\tilde{B}(x)| + \max \left\{\max_x \int |\tilde{E}(x, y)| dy, \max_y \int |\tilde{E}(x, y)| dx\right\}.$$  

Plugging that bound into (14), we obtain an explicit upper bound for the norm on $L^2$ of a right inverse for $S$. Similarly (by looking at $S\tilde{S}$ instead of $S\tilde{S}$), we obtain an upper bound for the norm on $L^2$ of a left inverse for $S$.  
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Remark 2.1 To estimate e.g. \( \max_{x} \int_{T} |E^\#(x,y)| dy \) it may be enough just to use the trivial estimate

\[
\max_{x} \int_{T} |E^\#(x,y)| dy \leq 2\pi \max_{x,y} |E^\#(x,y)|
\]

Remark 2.2 (Time dependent solutions) For \( t \in [t_0, t_1] \) (a small time interval), let

\[
S_t f(x) = A(x,t)f(x) + B(x,t)Hf(x) + \int_{T} E(x,y,t)f(y)dy,
\]

where (for each \( t \)), \( A(\cdot, t), B(\cdot, t), E(\cdot, \cdot, t) \) are as assumed above.

If \( A, B, E \) depend in a reasonable way on \( t \), then one shows easily that

\[
\|S_t - S_{t_0}\| < \eta \text{ for all } t \in [t_0, t_1].
\]

We can make \( \eta \) small by taking \( t_1 \) close enough to \( t_0 \). Suppose we prove that \( \|S_{t_0}^{-1}\| \leq C_0 \) by the previous methods. Then, of course we obtain an upper bound for \( \|S_{t}^{-1}\| \) valid for all \( t \in [t_0, t_1] \).

3 Bounds for \( C(t) \) and \( k \)

3.1 Writing the differential inequality as a differential system of equations

The calculation of a bound for \( C(t) \) requires more effort than the previous one since one needs to calculate the terms one by one and add all their contributions to \( C(t) \). For example, in order to calculate the evolution of the norm \( \|D\|_{H^k}(t) \) a systematic approach is to take \( k \) derivatives (\( k \) ranging from 0 to 4) in the equation for the evolution of \( z \) (with \( f = g = 0 \)), take another \( k \) derivatives in the equation for \( x \) (with arbitrary \( f, g \)) and subtract them. Let us focus from now on in the term \( Q(z)^2BR(z,\omega) - Q(x)^2BR(x,\gamma) \) and its derivatives.

One notices that in order to write a term in the variables \((z, \omega, \varphi)\) composed of \( a \) factors minus its counterpart in the variables \((x, \gamma, \psi)\) in a suitable way (i.e. as a sum of terms that only have factors \(x, \gamma, \psi, D, d, D\)) then the number of terms is \( 2^a - 1 \). The way of writing it is the classical way of adding and subtracting the same term with the purpose of creating differences of terms and eliminate all the occurrences of the variables \((z, \omega, \varphi)\). An example for the Birkhoff-Rott operator (with \( Q = 1 \)) is given next. We should remark that the computation and bounding of the Birkhoff-Rott is the most expensive one, the rest of the
terms being easier.

\[ BR(z, \omega) - BR(x, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^\perp}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} (\omega(\beta) - \gamma(\beta)) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\beta))^\perp - (x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^\perp}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} (\gamma(\beta)) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\beta))^\perp - (x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^\perp}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} (\omega(\beta) - \gamma(\beta)) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left( \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} \right) (x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^\perp \gamma(\beta) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left( \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} \right) (x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^\perp (\omega(\beta) - \gamma(\beta)) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left( \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} \right) (z(\alpha) - z(\beta) - (x(\alpha) - x(\beta)))^\perp \gamma(\beta) \, d\beta \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \left( \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|^2} \right) (z(\alpha) - z(\beta) - (x(\alpha) - x(\beta)))^\perp (\omega(\beta) - \gamma(\beta)) \, d\beta \]

After having seen this, it is clear that a tool that can perform symbolic calculations (derivation and basic arithmetic at least) and the correct grouping of the factors is required since the performance at this task by a human is not satisfactory. We developed a tool in 900 lines of C++ code that could do all this and output the collection of terms in Tex. We show an excerpt of the terms concerning the fourth derivative of \( BR(z, \omega) - BR(x, \gamma) \). The total number of terms in that case is 2841.

\[ 2\pi \left( \partial_\alpha^4 BR(x, \gamma) - \partial_\alpha^4 BR(z, \omega) \right) = \]

\[ + \int \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp d(\alpha - \beta) \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \alpha \]

\[ + \int \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp d(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right) \alpha \]

\[ + \int \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right) \alpha \]

\[ + 4 \int \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp d(\alpha - \beta) \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \alpha \]

\[ + 4 \int \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp \partial_\alpha d(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right) \alpha \]

\[ - 8 \int \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp d(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right)^2 \]

\[ \times (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (D(\alpha) - D(\alpha - \beta)) \alpha \]

\[ - 8 \int \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha - \beta) \right)^\perp d(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right)^2 \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 8 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right)^2 \, d(\alpha - \beta) \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (D(\alpha) - D(\alpha - \beta)) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 8 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right)^2 \, d(\alpha - \beta) \]
\[ \times (x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 8 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right)^2 \, d(\alpha - \beta) \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \cdot (D(\alpha) - D(\alpha - \beta)) \, d\alpha \]
\[ + 2831 \text{ more terms...} \]

However, there is a significant way to reduce the number of terms in the estimates: writing the equation in complex form instead of vector form. Thus, we can write the evolution for \( z \) in the following way:

\[ \partial_t z^* (\alpha, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T \frac{1}{z(\alpha, t) - z(\beta, t)} \omega(\beta, t) \, d\beta + c(\alpha, t) \partial_\alpha z^* (\alpha, t) \]

In this formulation, the fourth derivative accounts for only 140 terms. We present the first 10 below.

\[ 2\pi (\partial_\alpha^4 BR(x, \gamma) - \partial_\alpha^4 BR(z, \omega)) \]
\[ = -72 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \, d(\alpha - \beta) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 72 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 72 \int (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \, d(\alpha - \beta) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 72 \int (\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha - \beta)) \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \]
\[ \times (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \, d\alpha \]
\[ - 36 \int (\partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))^2 \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \, d(\alpha - \beta) \, d\alpha \]
\[-36 \int (\partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) (\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))^2 \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^4 \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\alpha \]

\[+ 8 \int \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^3 (\partial^3_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial^3_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))(\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) d(\alpha - \beta) d\alpha \]

\[+ 8 \int \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^3 (\partial^3_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial^3_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))(\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\alpha \]

\[+ 24 \int \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^3 (\partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))(\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \partial_\alpha d(\alpha - \beta) d\alpha \]

\[+ 24 \int \left( \frac{1}{x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)} \right)^3 (\partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial^2_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta))(\partial_\alpha D(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha - \beta)) \partial_\alpha \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\alpha \]

+ 130 more terms...

The final observation is that if we consider \( E(t) \) as a scalar, we might not get suitable estimates. In order to get better estimates, we will modify the energy into a “vectorized” version \( E_v(t) \), which we will also denote by \( E(t) \) by abuse of notation. This new vectorized energy will be as follows

\[
E(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\|D\|_{L^2} \\
\|D\|_{\hat{H}^1} \\
\|D\|_{\hat{H}^2} \\
\|D\|_{\hat{H}^3} \\
\|d\|_{L^2} \\
\|d\|_{\hat{H}^1} \\
\|d\|_{\hat{H}^2} \\
\vdots
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where the homogeneous spaces \( \hat{H}^k \) have their norm defined by \( \|f\|_{\hat{H}^k} = \|\partial^k f\|_{L^2} \). With this vectorized system, we avoid both the bounding of any given norm by the full energy and any constant factor arising from interpolation between two Sobolev spaces. Thus, our constant \( C(t) \) will roughly be of a size comparable to the largest eigenvalue of the linearized system.

### 3.2 Estimates for the linear terms with \( Q = 1 \)

Since we expect \( E(t) \) to be small, the terms that affect more to the evolution of \( E(t) \) are the linear ones. We now report on the non-rigorous experiments over the linear terms to obtain an approximate bound of the behavior of the full system (i.e., an approximation to the largest eigenvalue of the linearized system). We remark that a multiplication of the estimates by a constant, even a small factor 2 for example, has a big impact on the system, rendering the estimates useless and the estimations not tight enough, because the type of estimates we are going to get are exponential in the product of the time elapsed between the splash and the graph and the constant. Therefore, we should be very careful and fine estimates have to be developed.
First of all, we will work with $Q = 1$ and later move on to the case $Q \neq 1$. We will adopt the following convention to denote the different Kernels (integral operators) that appear:

\[
\Theta_{b_1, b_2}^{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^{b_1}}(\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\beta))^{a_1}(\partial_\beta^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\beta^2 x(\beta))^{a_2} \\
\times (\partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\beta))^{a_3}(\partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^4 x(\beta))^{a_4} \partial_\alpha^2 \gamma(\beta) \\
\Theta_{b_1, -1}^{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^{b_1}}(\partial_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha x(\beta))^{a_1}(\partial_\alpha^2 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^2 x(\beta))^{a_2} \\
\times (\partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^3 x(\beta))^{a_3}(\partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha^4 x(\beta))^{a_4}.
\]

The operators for which $b_2 \neq -1$ will act on $D$ or its derivatives whereas the operators for which $b_2 = -1$ will act on $d$ or its derivatives. We now describe how to split the Kernels in such a way that they can be computed. For the case where $b_2 \neq -1$ we illustrate this by splitting $\Theta_{0, 0, 0, 0}^{0, 0, 0, 0}$, but the technique can be applied to any Kernel.

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \Theta_{0, 0, 0, 0}^{0, 0, 0, 0}(D(\alpha) - D(\beta))d\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi} D(\alpha) \int K(\alpha, \beta) \gamma(\beta)d\beta - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int K(\alpha, \beta) \gamma(\beta) D(\beta)d\beta \\
+ \frac{1}{2\pi} c_1(\alpha) \int \frac{D(\alpha) - D(\beta)}{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right)} \gamma(\beta)d\beta + \frac{1}{2\pi} c_2(\alpha) \int \frac{D(\alpha) - D(\beta)}{2 \tan \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right)} \gamma(\beta)d\beta,
\]

where

\[
K(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(x(\alpha) - x(\beta))^2} - \frac{c_1(\alpha)}{4 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right)} - \frac{c_2(\alpha)}{2 \tan \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}\right)}
\]

\[
c_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{x_2(\alpha)}
\]

\[
c_2(\alpha) = \frac{x_{a4}(\alpha)}{x_3(\alpha)}.
\]

We can think of $c_1(\alpha)$ and $c_2(\alpha)$ as the Taylor coefficients of $\Theta(\alpha, \beta)$ around $\beta = \alpha$. We can bound the terms in (15) in the following way:

\[
T_4(\alpha) = c_2(\alpha) [H(D\gamma)(\alpha) - DH(\gamma)(\alpha)]
\]

\[
T_3(\alpha) = c_1(\alpha) [\Lambda(D\gamma)(\alpha) - D\Lambda(\gamma)(\alpha)]
\]

We have then the estimates.
\[ \|T_3\|_{L^2} \leq \|c_2\|_{L^\infty} (\|D\|_{L^2}\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} + \|D\|_{L^2}\|H\gamma\|_{L^\infty}) \]
\[ \|T_3\|_{L^2} \leq \|c_1\|_{L^\infty} (\|D\|_{L^2}\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} + \|D\|_{L^2}\|\gamma\|_{L^\infty} + \|D\|_{L^2}\|A(\gamma)\|_{L^\infty}). \]

We now move on to \(T_1\). We will estimate it in the following way:

\[ \int T_1 D(\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |D(\alpha)|^2 \int K(\alpha, \beta) \gamma(\beta) d\beta d\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|D\|^2_{L^2} \left\| \int K(\cdot, \beta) \gamma(\beta) d\beta \right\|_{L^\infty}. \]

To estimate the kernel \(T_2\) we will use the Generalized Young’s inequality [7]:

\[ \|T_2(D)\|^2_{L^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int \int K(\alpha, \beta) \gamma(\beta) D(\beta) \overline{K(\alpha, \sigma)} \gamma(\sigma) D(\sigma) d\beta d\sigma d\alpha. \]

Defining \(\tilde{K}(\beta, \sigma) = \int K(\alpha, \beta) \gamma(\beta) \overline{K(\alpha, \sigma)} \gamma(\sigma) d\alpha\), we have that

\[ \|T_2(D)\|^2_{L^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int \int \tilde{K}(\beta, \sigma) D(\beta) \overline{D(\sigma)} d\beta d\sigma \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int D(\beta) \left( \int \tilde{K}(\beta, \sigma) \overline{D(\sigma)} d\sigma \right) d\beta \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \|D\|^2_{L^2} \left\| \int \tilde{K}(\cdot, \sigma) d\sigma \right\|_{L^2} \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} C \|D\|^2_{L^2}, \quad C = \max \left\{ \max_{\beta} \int |\tilde{K}(\beta, \sigma)| d\sigma, \max_{\sigma} \int |\tilde{K}(\beta, \sigma)| d\beta \right\} \]

We finally show how to estimate the Kernels with \(b_2 = -1\). We will do this by showing how to estimate \(\Theta_{1,-1}^{0,0,0,0}\) but the technique can be applied to any Kernel.

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \Theta_{1,-1}^{0,0,0,0}(d(\beta)) d\beta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int K(\alpha, \beta) d(\beta) d\beta \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2\pi} c_1(\alpha) \int \frac{1}{2 \tan \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right)} d(\beta) d\beta, \]

where

\[ K(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{(x(\alpha) - x(\beta))} - \frac{c_1(\alpha)}{2 \tan \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \right)} \]
\[ c_1(\alpha) = \frac{1}{x_\alpha(\alpha)}. \]

We can easily estimate these two terms applying to \(T_1\) the same estimates (Young’s inequality) as for \(T_2\) in the previous case and by noting that \(T_2\) is \(\frac{1}{2} c_1(\alpha) H(d)\).
3.3 Estimates for the linear terms with $Q \neq 1$

To perform the real estimates, where $Q \neq 1$ we will use the estimates from the previous sections. We will explain how to pass from the former ones to the latter ones. We will illustrate this by computing the linear terms of the Birkhoff-Rott operator.

First of all, the total number of terms will increase by a factor 2, since we will have

$$Q^2(z)BR(z,\omega) - Q^2(x)BR(x,\gamma) = (Q^2(z) - Q^2(x))(BR(z,\omega) - BR(x,\gamma))$$

nonlinear calculated before

$$+ Q^2(x)(BR(z,\omega) - BR(x,\gamma))$$

new terms

In order to calculate the old terms with $Q \neq 1$, the only thing we have to do is to incorporate a factor of $\partial_\alpha Q^2(x)(\alpha)$ in the estimates. The new terms can easily be calculated using that, up to linear order

$$Q^2(z) - Q^2(x) = 1 + \frac{x^2}{x} \left( \frac{1}{2} x^2 - 1 \right) D + O(D^2).$$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will prove the stability Theorem 1.2.

The equations are:

**SPLASH**

$$\begin{aligned}
  z_t &= Q^2_{z} BR + cz_{\alpha} \\
  c &= \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Q^2 BR)_{\alpha} \frac{z_{\alpha}}{|z_{\alpha}|^2} d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} (Q^2 BR)_{\beta} \frac{z_{\beta}}{|z_{\beta}|^2} d\beta \\
  \omega_t &= BR_z \cdot z_{\alpha} = -(Q^2_{z})_{\alpha} |BR|^2 + 2c BR_{\alpha} \cdot z_{\alpha} + (c\omega)_{\alpha} \\
  &= - \left( \frac{Q^2 x^2}{4|z_{\alpha}|^2} \right)_{\alpha} - 2(P_2^{-1}(z))_{\alpha} \\
  x_t &= Q^2(x)BR(x,\gamma) + bx_{\alpha} + f \\
  b &= \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Q^2 BR)_{\alpha} \frac{x_{\alpha}}{|x_{\alpha}|^2} d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} (Q^2 BR)_{\beta} \frac{x_{\beta}}{|x_{\beta}|^2} d\beta \\
  &\qquad + \frac{\alpha + \pi}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_{\alpha} \frac{x_{\alpha}}{|x_{\alpha}|^2} d\alpha - \int_{-\pi}^{\alpha} f_{\beta} \frac{x_{\beta}}{|x_{\beta}|^2} d\beta
\end{aligned}$$

**APPROX**

$$\begin{aligned}
  \gamma_t &= 2BR_t(x,\gamma) \cdot x_{\alpha} = - (Q^2(x))_{\alpha} |BR(x,\gamma)|^2 + 2bBR_{\alpha}(x,\gamma) \cdot x_{\alpha} + (b\gamma)_{\alpha} \\
  &= - \left( \frac{Q^2(x)\gamma^2}{4|x_{\alpha}|^2} \right)_{\alpha} - 2(P_2^{-1}(x))_{\alpha} + g
\end{aligned}$$
where
\[ BR(z, \omega)(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} PV \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta, \]

\( f \) will be the error for \( z \) and \( g \) will be the error for \( \omega \).

### 4.1 Computing the difference \( z - x \) and \( \omega - \gamma \)

We define now:
\[ D \equiv z - x, \quad d \equiv \omega - \gamma, \quad D \equiv \varphi - \psi \]

The energy
\[ E(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \|D\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2}{\|z\|_2^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \right)^2 + \|d\|_{H^2}^2 + \|D\|_{H^3+\frac{1}{2}}^2 \]

and the Rayleigh-Taylor condition
\[ \sigma_z \equiv \left( \frac{\varphi}{|z_\alpha|} BR + \frac{\omega}{2|z_\alpha|^2} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{z_\alpha t + \varphi}{|z_\alpha|} \right) \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} + Q \left( \frac{\omega}{2|z_\alpha|^2} \right)^2 \nabla Q \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} - (\nabla P_{2}^{-1})(z) \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} \]

Note that \( \sigma_z > 0 \). We shall show that
\[ \left| \frac{d}{dt} E(t) \right| \leq C(t)(E(t) + E^k(t)) + c\delta(t) \]

where
\[ C(t) = C(\|x\|_{H^{5+\frac{1}{2}}(t)}, \|\gamma\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}(t)}, \|\psi\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}(t)}, \|F(x)\|_{L^\infty}(t)) \]

and
\[ \delta(t) = (\|f\|_{H^{5+\frac{1}{2}}(t)} + \|g\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}(t)})^k + (\|f\|_{H^{5+\frac{1}{2}}(t)} + \|g\|_{H^{3+\frac{1}{2}}(t)})^2, \quad k \text{ big enough} \]

depend on the norms of \( f \) and \( g \).

**Remark 4.1** From now on, we will denote \( E(t) + E^k(t) \) by \( P(E(t)) \).

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|D\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CP(E(t)) + \delta(t) \]

is left to the reader. We compute
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2}{\|z\|_2^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(Q^2 \sigma_z)_t}{\|z\|_2^2} \partial^4_\alpha D \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2}{\|z\|_2^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha D_t \]

The first integral is easy to bound by \( CP(E(t)) \), we proceed as in the local existence Theorem I.7 in [3]. We split
\[ I = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2}{\|z\|_2^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha D_t = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \]
where

\[
I_1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha (Q^2_z BR(z, \omega) - Q^2_x BR(x, \gamma))d\alpha
\]

\[
I_2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha (cz_\alpha - bx_\alpha)d\alpha
\]

\[
I_3 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha f d\alpha
\]

We have:

\[
I_3 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z |\partial^4_\alpha D|^2 d\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z |\partial^4 f|^2 d\alpha \leq CP(E(t)) + \frac{\|Q^2 \sigma_z\|_{L^\infty}}{2} \delta(t)
\]

Thus, we are done with $I_3$. We now split

\[
I_1 = \text{l.o.t} + I_{1,1} + I_{1,2} + I_{1,3} + I_{1,4}
\]

\[
I_{1,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D (\partial^4_\alpha (Q^2_z) BR(z, \omega) - \partial^4_\alpha (Q^2_x) BR(x, \gamma))d\alpha
\]

\[
I_{1,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \left( Q^2_z \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial^4_\alpha z(\alpha) - \partial^4_\alpha z(\alpha - \beta)}{z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)^2} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \right. \\
\left. - Q^2_x \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial^4_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial^4_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \right) d\alpha
\]

\[
I_{1,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \\
\times \left( Q^2_z \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta))^\perp}{|z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \\
+ Q^2_x \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta))^\perp}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \right)
\]

\[
I_{1,4} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \partial^4_\alpha (Q^2_z BR(z, \partial^4_\alpha \omega) - Q^2_x BR(x, \partial^4_\alpha \gamma))d\alpha
\]

where l.o.t stands for low order terms, nice terms easier to deal with.

\[
I_{1,1} = \text{l.o.t} + I_{1,1,1}
\]

\[
I_{1,1,1} = 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D (\nabla Q(z) \cdot \partial^4_\alpha z BR(z, \omega) - \nabla Q(x) \cdot \partial^4_\alpha x BR(x, \gamma))d\alpha
\]

\[
= 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \nabla Q(z) \cdot \partial^4_\alpha DBR(z, \omega)d\alpha
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q^2_z}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D (\nabla Q(z) \cdot \partial^4_\alpha x BR(z, \omega) - \nabla Q(x) \cdot \partial^4_\alpha x BR(x, \gamma))d\alpha
\]
\[ \leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D|^{2} d\alpha \| \nabla Q(z) BR(z, \omega) \|_{L^{\infty}} \]

bounded as for local existence

\[ + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D|^{2} + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\nabla Q(z) \cdot \partial_{x}^{4} x BR(z, \omega) - \nabla Q(x) \cdot \partial_{x}^{4} x BR(x, \gamma)|^{2} d\alpha \]

\[ \leq CP(E(t)) \]

which means \( I_{1,1} \) is done.

From now on we will denote

\[ \Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha) = z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta) \]

\( I_{1,2} = I_{1,2,1} + I_{1,2,2} + I_{1,2,3} + I_{1,2,4} \)

where

\[ I_{1,2,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D|^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} D (\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^{2}} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,2,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D|^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} x (\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^{2}} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,2,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D|^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} x (\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^{2}} d(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,2,4} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{2}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D| (Q_{x}^{2} - Q_{x}^{2}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} x (\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^{2}} - \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,2,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_{x}^{4}}{|z_{\alpha}|^{2}} \sigma_{z} |\partial_{z}^{4} D(\alpha)|^{2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} D(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^{2}} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{z}^{4} D \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} D(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^{2}} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ + \frac{Q_{x}^{4}(\alpha) \sigma_{z}(\alpha) \omega(\beta) + Q_{x}^{4}(\beta) \sigma_{z}(\beta) \omega(\alpha)}{2} \]

\[ \text{this is zero as in local existence } (\partial_{z}^{4} D \cdot \partial_{z}^{4} D = 0) \]

\[ \Rightarrow I_{1,2,1} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \partial_{z}^{4} D \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{4} D(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^{2}} \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ \text{Hilbert transform applied to } \partial_{z}^{4} D(\alpha) \]

\[ \Rightarrow I_{1,2,1} \leq CP(E(t)) \]
For $I_{1,2,2}$ we can make a trick to get less derivatives in $x$.

$\quad I_{1,2,2} = I_{1,2,2}^1 + I_{1,2,2}^2 + I_{1,2,2}^3$

$I_{1,2,2}^3 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_{\alpha}^3 D \omega(\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{|z_\alpha|^2} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta \partial_{\alpha} x^+ (\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta d\alpha$

$I_{1,2,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_{\alpha}^4 D \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta \partial_{\alpha} x^+ (\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z_\alpha(\alpha)|^2 \beta^2} + \frac{|z_\alpha \cdot z_{\alpha \alpha}|}{|z_\alpha|^4 \beta} \right)$

$\quad = \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha(\alpha)|^2 \beta^2} + \frac{x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha \alpha}}{|x_\alpha|^4 \beta} \right) \omega(\alpha) d\beta d\alpha$

$I_{1,2,2}^1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_{\alpha}^4 D \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta \partial_{\alpha} x^+ (\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|\Delta x(\alpha)|^2} \right) (\omega(\alpha - \beta) - \omega(\alpha)) d\beta d\alpha$

We use that $\left| \frac{1}{|z_\alpha|^2} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right| \leq |x_\alpha| + \frac{|z_\alpha|}{|z_\alpha|^2 |x_\alpha|^2} |D_\alpha|$ to find that

$I_{1,2,2}^3 \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_{\alpha} D^2 + \|Q_z\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|D_\alpha\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta \partial_{\alpha} x^+ \|^2_{L^2}$

$\quad \leq CP(E(t))$

We can use that

$\left| \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z_\alpha(\alpha)|^2 \beta^2} + \frac{z_\alpha \cdot z_{\alpha \alpha}}{|z_\alpha|^4 \beta} \right) \right| \leq \|z\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/2}} \|z\|_{C^{2+\frac{1}{2}}} \|F(z)\|_{L^\infty}$

and that

$\left| \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|z_\alpha(\alpha)|^2 \beta^2} + \frac{z_\alpha \cdot z_{\alpha \alpha}}{|z_\alpha|^4 \beta} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta x(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha(\alpha)|^2 \beta^2} + \frac{x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha \alpha}}{|x_\alpha|^4 \beta} \right) \right|$

$\quad \leq \|z\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|x\|_{C^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{\beta^{1/2}} \|D\|_{C^{2+\frac{1}{2}}} \|F(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|F(x)\|_{L^\infty}$

to find

$I_{1,2,2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_{\alpha}^4 D^2$

$\quad + C||Q_z||_{L^\infty} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \|z\|_{C^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|x\|_{C^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|D\|_{C^{2+\frac{1}{2}}} \|\partial_{\alpha} x^+ \|^2_{L^2} \|F(z)\|_{L^\infty} \|F(x)\|_{L^\infty}$

We’ve used that

$\left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\alpha \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial_{\alpha} x^+ (\alpha - \beta)}{|\beta|^{1/2}} d\beta \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C\|\partial_{\alpha} x^+ \|_{L^2}$. 

22
We split further in $I_{1,2,2}^1 = I_{1,2,2}^1 + I_{1,2,2}^2$:

$$I_{1,2,2}^1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x^\perp(\alpha) \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta_\beta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} \right)$$

$$\times (\omega(\alpha - \beta) - \omega(\alpha) + \omega_\alpha(\alpha)\beta) d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,2,2}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha D \omega_\alpha(\alpha) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x^\perp(\alpha) \left( \frac{\beta}{|\Delta_\beta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{\beta}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} \right) d\beta d\alpha$$

Inside of the $\beta$ integral in $I_{1,2,2}^1$ there is no principal value, so the appropriate estimate follows:

$$I_{1,2,2}^1 \leq CP(E(t))$$

For $I_{1,2,2}^2$ we proceed as for $I_{1,2,2}^2$. We decompose adding and subtracting $\frac{1}{|z_\alpha|^2\beta} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha|^2\beta}$.

Thus, we are done with $I_{1,2,2}$. We decompose $I_{1,2,3} = I_{1,2,3}^1 + I_{1,2,3}^2 + I_{1,2,3}^3$.

$$I_{1,2,3}^1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha DQ_z^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x^\perp(\alpha)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|x_\alpha|^2\beta} + \frac{x_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^4\beta} \right) d\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,2,3}^2 = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha DQ_z^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x^\perp(\alpha) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,2,3}^3 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial^4_\alpha DQ_z^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta (\partial^4_\alpha x^\perp)(\alpha) d\alpha$$

It’s easy to obtain:

$$I_{1,2,3}^1 \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z |\partial^4_\alpha D|^2 d\alpha + C\|Q_z\|_{L^\infty} \|\sigma_z\|_{L^\infty} \|d\|_{L^\infty} \|x\|_{C^2} \|F(x)\|_{L^\infty} \|x\|_{C^2,\delta} \|\partial^4_\alpha x\|^2_{L^2}$$

$$\leq CP(E(t))$$

$$I_{1,2,3}^2 \leq CP(E(t))$$

$$I_{1,2,3}^3 \leq CP(E(t))$$

We are done with $I_{1,2,3}$. To deal with $I_{1,2,4}$ se use that

$$Q_z^2 - Q_x^2 = 2Q((1 - t)z + tx) \nabla Q((1 - t)z + tx) \cdot D(\alpha) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1).$$

Then it is easy to find

$$I_{1,2,4} \leq CP(E(t)).$$
and we are done with $I_{1,2}$. We decompose $I_{1,3}$ as

$$I_{1,3} = I_{1,3,1} + I_{1,3,2} + I_{1,3,3} + I_{1,3,4} + I_{1,3,5} + I_{1,3,6}$$

$$I_{1,3,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z \omega(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z \omega(\alpha - \beta) D\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z x(\alpha) d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,4} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z x(\alpha) D\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,5} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z x(\alpha) \Delta_z \partial_a^4 x(\alpha) d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,6} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z x(\alpha) \Delta_z \partial_a^4 x(\alpha) d\beta d\alpha$$

$I_{1,3,j}, j = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ are easier to deal with (It can be done as before). Therefore we focus on $I_{1,3,1}$.

$$I_{1,3,1} = I_{1,3,1}^1 + I_{1,3,1}^2 + I_{1,3,1}^3$$

$$I_{1,3,1}^1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_z^{1+}(\alpha)}{|\Delta z(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_z \omega(\alpha - \beta)$$

$$\cdot \frac{\partial_a \omega(\alpha - \beta)}{|\partial_a \omega(\alpha)|^4} D\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,1}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial_a \omega(\alpha - \beta)}{|\partial_a \omega(\alpha)|^4} D\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,3,1}^3 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|z|} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 DQ_z^2 \frac{-1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial_a \omega(\alpha - \beta)}{|\partial_a \omega(\alpha)|^4} D\alpha d\beta d\alpha$$

In $I_{1,3,1}^1$ we find a commutator, which can be handled as before. It is also easy to estimate $I_{1,3,1}^2$.

To deal with $I_{1,3,1}^3$ we remember that

$$\partial_a \omega(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^4 D(\alpha) = \partial_a \omega(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^3 z(\alpha) - \partial_a x(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^4 x(\alpha) - \partial_a D(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^4 x(\alpha)$$

$$= -3 \partial_a^2 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^3 z(\alpha) + 5 \partial_a^2 x(\alpha) \partial_a^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_a D(\alpha) \cdot \partial_a^4 x(\alpha)$$
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That allows us to decompose further

\[ \partial_\alpha z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha^4 D(\alpha) = -3\partial_\alpha^2 z(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 D(\alpha) - 3\partial_\alpha^2 D(\alpha) \partial_\alpha^3 x(\alpha) - \partial_\alpha D(\alpha) \cdot \partial_\alpha^4 x(\alpha) \]

which yields

\[ I_{3,1,1}^3 = I_{1,3,1}^3 + I_{3,2,1}^3 + I_{3,3,1}^3 \]

\[ I_{1,3,1}^3 = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} \omega(\alpha) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta (\partial_\alpha^2 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 D)(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,3,1}^3 = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} \omega(\alpha) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta (\partial_\alpha^2 D \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 x)(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,3,1}^3 = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^4}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)}{|\partial_\alpha z(\alpha)|^4} \omega(\alpha) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta (\partial_\alpha D \cdot \partial_\alpha^4 x)(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta d\alpha \]

We use that

\[ \left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta (\partial_\alpha^2 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 D)(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left\| \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha^2 z \cdot \partial_\alpha^3 D) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CP(E(t)) \]

to control \( I_{1,3,1}^3 \). \( I_{3,2,1}^3 \) follows similarly. We control \( I_{3,3,1}^3 \) using that

\[ \left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta (\partial_\alpha D \cdot \partial_\alpha^4 x)(\alpha)}{\beta^2} d\beta \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \left\| \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha D \cdot \partial_\alpha^4 x) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \]

\[ \leq \left\| \partial_\alpha D \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \partial_\alpha^5 x \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \partial_\alpha^2 D \right\|_{L^\infty}^2 \left\| \partial_\alpha^4 x \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq CP(E(t)) \]

This allows us to finish the estimates for \( I_{3,3,1}^3 \) and \( I_{1,3,1}^3 \). We are done with \( I_{1,3,1} \) and \( I_{1,3} \). We now decompose \( I_{1,4} \).

\[ I_{1,4} = I_{1,4,1} + I_{1,4,2} + I_{1,4,3} + I_{1,4,4} \]

\[ I_{1,4,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot Q_z^2 BR(z, \partial_\alpha^4 d) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,4,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot Q_z^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta D(\alpha)}{|\Delta_\beta z(\alpha)|^2} \partial_\alpha^4 \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,4,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot Q_z^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)}{|\Delta_\beta z(\alpha)|^2} \partial_\alpha^4 \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \left( \frac{1}{|\Delta_\beta z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{1}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} \right) d\beta d\alpha \]

\[ I_{1,4,4} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_z^2}{|\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot (Q_z^2 - Q_x^2) BR(x, \partial_\alpha^4 \gamma) d\alpha \]
We control $I_{1,4,2}$, $I_{1,4,3}$ and $I_{1,4,4}$ as before. We further split

$$I_{1,4,1} = I_{1,4,1}^1 + I_{1,4,1}^2 + I_{1,4,3} + I_{1,4,4}$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha| \frac{1}{|z\alpha|} H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha| \frac{1}{|z\alpha|} H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^3 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha| \frac{1}{|z\alpha|} H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^4 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} |\alpha| \frac{1}{|z\alpha|} H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

There are commutators in $I_{1,4,1}^1$ and $I_{1,4,1}^2$ so they are easy to estimate. To get the estimate for $I_{1,4,1}^3$ we bound

$$\left\| H \left( \left( \frac{Q_x^2}{2|z\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x\alpha|} \right) \alpha \right) \right\|_{L^2} \leq \left\| \frac{Q_x^2}{2|z\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x\alpha|} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \alpha \right\|_{L^2} \leq CE^2(t)$$

at the level of $D(\alpha)$

We now remember the following formulas:

$$\varphi = \frac{Q_x^2}{2|z\alpha|} - c|z\alpha|$$

$$\psi = \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x\alpha|} - b_s|x\alpha|$$

These yield

$$I_{1,4,1}^4 = S + I_{1,4,1}^{4,1} + I_{1,4,1}^{4,2} + \text{l.o.t.}$$

where

$$S = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^{4,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$

$$I_{1,4,1}^{4,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z\alpha|^2} \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( H(\alpha) \right) d\alpha$$
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S is going to appear later with a negative sign and therefore cancel out. \( I_{1.4,1}^{4} \) can be bounded as before since it is low order.

We show how to deal with \( I_{1.4,1}^{4,2} \). We compute

\[
\partial^4_a(c|z|) = -\partial^3_a \left( \frac{Q_x^2 BR}{|z|} \right) \quad ; \quad \partial^4_a(b|x|) = 0 \quad \frac{Q_x^2 BR}{|x|}
\]

Then, in \( \partial^4_a(c|z|) - \partial^4_a(b|x|) \) we consider the most singular terms

\[
\partial^4_a(c|z|) - \partial^4_a(b|x|) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 + \ldots
\]

\[
J_1 = 2Q_x\nabla Q(z) \cdot \partial^4_a z BR(z,\omega) \cdot \frac{z_a}{|z|} + 2Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot \partial^4_a x BR(x,\gamma) \cdot \frac{x_a}{|x|}
\]

\[
J_2 = -(Q_x^2 BR) \partial^4_x \frac{1}{|z_a|} + (Q_x^2 BR) \frac{1}{|x_a|}
\]

\[
J_3 = \frac{Q_x^2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} z^\perp(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^2} \frac{z_a(\alpha)}{|z_a|} \Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha) \cdot \Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a z(\alpha) \omega(\alpha - \beta) \mathrm{d}\beta
\]

\[
- \frac{Q_x}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} x^\perp(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^2} \frac{x_a(\alpha)}{|x_a|} \Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha) \cdot \Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a x(\alpha) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \mathrm{d}\beta
\]

\[
J_4 = -Q_x^2 BR(z, \partial^4_a \omega) \cdot \frac{z_a}{|z_a|} + Q_x^2 BR(x, \partial^4_a \gamma) \cdot \frac{x_a}{|x_a|}
\]

\[
J_5 \text{ will be given later. In } J_1 \text{ and } J_2 \text{ we find 4th order terms in derivatives in } z \text{ and } x \text{ so they are fine. In } J_3 \text{ we find inside the integrals}
\]

\[
\Delta_{\beta} z^\perp(\alpha) \cdot z_a(\alpha) = (z(\alpha) - z(\alpha - \beta) - \beta z_a(\alpha))^\perp \cdot z_a(\alpha)
\] (16)

\[
\Delta_{\beta} x^\perp(\alpha) \cdot x_a(\alpha) = (x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta) - \beta x_a(\alpha))^\perp \cdot x_a(\alpha)
\] (17)

This implies that we find ”Hilbert” transforms applied to four derivatives of \( x \) and \( z \). We are done with \( J_3 \).

In \( J_4 \) we also find them inside the integrals [16] and [17] so it is easy to check that we have kernels whose main singularity is homogenous of degree 0 applied to four derivatives of \( \partial^4_a \omega \) and \( \partial^4_a \gamma \). This implies that we have a Hilbert transform applied to \( \partial^3_a \omega \) and \( \partial^3_a \gamma \) so we are done with \( J_4 \). The most dangerous term is \( J_5 \) which is given by

\[
J_5 = -Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a \zeta(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^2} \cdot \frac{z_a(\alpha)}{|z_a|} \omega(\alpha - \beta) \mathrm{d}\beta + Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a x(\alpha)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^2} \cdot \frac{x_a(\alpha)}{|x_a|} \gamma(\alpha - \beta) \mathrm{d}\beta
\]

We split further

\[
J_5 = J_{5,1} + J_{5,2}
\]

\[
J_{5,1} = -Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{z_a(\alpha)}{|z_a|} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{\omega(\alpha - \beta)}{|\Delta_{\beta} z(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{\omega(\alpha)}{|z_a|^2 4 \sin^2(\beta/2)} \right) \Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a z^\perp(\alpha) \mathrm{d}\beta
\]

\[
+ Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{x_a(\alpha)}{|x_a|} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{\gamma(\alpha - \beta)}{|\Delta_{\beta} x(\alpha)|^2} - \frac{\gamma(\alpha)}{|x_a|^2 4 \sin^2(\beta/2)} \right) \Delta_{\beta} \partial^4_a x^\perp(\alpha) \mathrm{d}\beta
\]

\[
J_{5,2} = -Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{z_a(\alpha)}{|z_a|^2} \omega(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial^4_a z^\perp(\alpha)) + Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{x_a(\alpha)}{|x_a|^2} \gamma(\alpha) \cdot \Lambda(\partial^4_a x^\perp(\alpha))
\]
In $J_{5,1}$ we find a Hilbert transform applied to $\partial^4_\alpha z^\perp$ and $\partial^4_\alpha x^\perp$ so it is fine. We split further:

$$J_{5,2} = J_{5,2,1} + J_{5,2,2} + J_{5,2,3}$$

$$J_{5,2,1} = \left( Q^2 x \frac{1}{2} x_\alpha (\alpha) \right) \left( Q^2 \frac{1}{2} z_\alpha (\alpha) \right) \cdot \Lambda (\partial^4_\alpha z^\perp)(\alpha)$$

$$J_{5,2,2} = \Lambda \left( Q^2 \frac{1}{2} z_\alpha (\alpha) \partial^4_\alpha D^\perp \right) - Q^2 \frac{1}{2} z_\alpha (\alpha) \Lambda (\partial^4_\alpha D^\perp)$$

$$J_{5,2,3} = -\Lambda \left( Q^2 \frac{1}{2} z_\alpha (\alpha) \partial^4_\alpha D^\perp \right)$$

$J_{5,2,1}$ can be estimated as before (there are more derivatives: 5 in total, but they are in $x$). In $J_{5,2,2}$ we find a commutator. Finally:

$$I_{1,4,1}^{4,2} \leq CP(E(t)) - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} H \left( \Lambda Q^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{z_\alpha (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|^2} \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} \right) d\alpha$$

We use that $H(\Lambda) = -\partial_\alpha$ and $z_\alpha \cdot \partial^4_\alpha D^\perp = -z^\perp \cdot \partial^4_\alpha D$ to obtain:

$$I_{1,4,1}^{4,2} \leq CP(E(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( Q^2 \frac{\omega}{|z_\alpha|^2} \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} \right) d\alpha$$

$$\leq CP(E(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|} \right) d\alpha$$

Easy to estimate by $CP(E(t))$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha D \cdot \frac{z^\perp (\alpha)}{|z_\alpha|^2} \right) d\alpha$$

Integration by parts

Then we are done with $I_{1,4,1}^{4,2}, I_{1,4}^{4,1}, I_{1,4,1}, I_{1,4}$ and $I_1$.

To finish with $I$ it remains to control $I_2$. We split it as:

$$I_2 = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2} + \text{l.o.t}$$

$$I_{2,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D (c \partial^5_\alpha z - b \partial^5_\alpha x) d\alpha$$

$$I_{2,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q^2 \sigma \partial^4_\alpha D (\partial^4_\alpha cz_\alpha - \partial^4_\alpha bx_\alpha) d\alpha$$
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The low order terms are easier to deal with. We further split \( I_{2,1} \).

\[
I_{2,1} = I_{2,1,1} + I_{2,1,2} + I_{2,1,3}
\]

\[
I_{2,1,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z c \sigma_a^2 D \partial_a^4 D \partial_a^5 D \ d\alpha
\]

Integration by parts

\[
I_{2,1,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 D (c - b_s) \partial_a^5 x \ d\alpha
\]

5 derivatives, but in \( x \)

\[
I_{2,1,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 D b_a \partial_a^5 x \ d\alpha
\]

Error term

We find \( I_{2,1} \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t) \). We decompose \( I_{2,2} \).

\[
I_{2,2} = I_{2,2,1} + I_{2,2,2} + I_{2,2,3}
\]

\[
I_{2,2,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 D (\partial_a^4 c - \partial_a^4 b_s) \cdot z_\alpha \ d\alpha
\]

\[
I_{2,2,2} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 D \partial_a^4 b_s \partial_a^4 D \ d\alpha
\]

5 derivatives in \( x \)

\[
I_{2,2,3} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 D b_a \partial_a^4 x_\alpha \ d\alpha
\]

Error term

We deal with \( I_{2,2,1} \) more carefully. We use that

\[
\partial_a^4 D \cdot z_\alpha = \partial_a^4 c \cdot z_\alpha - \partial_a^4 x \cdot x_\alpha - \partial_a^4 x \cdot D_\alpha
\]

\[
= -3 \partial_a^3 z \cdot \partial_a^3 z + 3 \partial_a^3 x \cdot \partial_a^3 x - \partial_a^3 x \cdot D_\alpha
\]

\[
= -3 \partial_a^3 D \cdot \partial_a^3 z - \partial_a^3 x \cdot \partial_a^3 D - \partial_a^3 x \cdot D_\alpha
\]

to obtain

\[
I_{2,2,1} = I_{2,2,1}^1 + I_{2,2,1}^2 + I_{2,2,1}^3
\]

\[
I_{2,2,1}^1 = -3 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^3 D \cdot \partial_a^3 z \partial_a^4 (c - b_s) \ d\alpha
\]

\[
I_{2,2,1}^2 = -3 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^3 D \partial_a^4 x (c - b_s) \ d\alpha
\]

\[
I_{2,2,1}^3 = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{Q_x^2}{|z_\alpha|^2} \sigma_z \partial_a^4 x \cdot \partial_a^4 D \partial_a^4 (c - b_s) \ d\alpha
\]
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We can integrate by parts in all of the above terms to get low order terms. We are finally done with $I$.

### 4.2 Computing the difference $\varphi - \psi$

From the local existence proof we find the equation for $\varphi_t$:

\[
\begin{cases}
\varphi_t &= -\varphi B_z(t) - \frac{Q_2^2}{2|z_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\varphi^2}{Q_2^2} \right) - Q_2^2 \left( B_{Rt} \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} + \frac{(P_2^{-1}(z))_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} \right) \\
+ Q_2 Q_t \omega - 2cBR_t \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} Q_2 Q_\gamma - c^2 |z_\alpha| Q_\gamma^2 - \frac{Q_2^3}{|z_\alpha|} |BR|^2 Q_\alpha^2 - (c|z_\alpha|)_t \\
B_z(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Q_2^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|} d\alpha
\end{cases}
\]

(18)

We will show how to find the equation for $\psi_t$. We start from

\[
\psi = \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} - b_s |x_\alpha|
\]

and therefore

\[
\frac{\psi^2}{Q_2^2} = \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma^2}{4|x_\alpha|} + \frac{b_s^2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_2^2} - \gamma b_s,
\]

that yields

\[-\partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_2^2} \right) = -\partial_\alpha \left( \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma^2}{4|x_\alpha|} \right) - \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{b_s^2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_2^2} \right) + \partial_\alpha (\gamma b_s)\]

The equation for $\gamma_t$ reads:

\[
\gamma_t = -2BR_t \cdot x_\alpha - (Q_2^2)_{|\alpha|} |BR|^2 + 2b_s BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \\
- \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_2^2} \right) + \left( \frac{b_s^2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_2^2} \right)_\alpha - 2(P_2^{-1}(z))_\alpha + 2b_s BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha + (b_\gamma)_{\alpha} + g
\]

Then

\[
\omega_t = Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha t} + \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma t}{2|x_\alpha|} - (b_s|x_\alpha|)_t \right) \\
= Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} B_x(t) - \frac{Q_2^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha \right. \\
+ \frac{Q_2^2}{2|x_\alpha|} \left( -2BR_t \cdot x_\alpha - (Q_2^2)_{|\alpha|} |BR|^2 + 2b_s BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_2^2} \right) + \left( \frac{b_s^2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_2^2} \right)_{\alpha} \\
- 2(P_2^{-1}(z))_\alpha + 2b_s BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha + (b_\gamma)_{\alpha} + g \right) - (b_s|x_\alpha|)_t
\]

We should remark that we have used that

\[
x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha t} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Q_x BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha d\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha d\alpha
\]
and

\[ B_x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (Q_x^2 BR)_{\alpha} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha \]

Computing, we find that

\[
\psi_t = Q_x(Q_x)_{t} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} B_x(t) - Q_x^2 BR_t \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} |BR|^2 Q^x_{\alpha} + Q_x^2 b_s BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^2} \right) - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} (P_{2^{-1}}(z))_{t} \alpha - (b_s |x_\alpha|) t + \epsilon^1
\]

where

\[
\epsilon^1 = \frac{Q_x^2}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha b_e + \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} (b_\gamma)_{t} \alpha + \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} g - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha
\]

are error terms. We consider

\[
(1) = - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} B_x(t) + \frac{Q_x^2}{2} \left( \frac{b^2_\alpha |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x^2} \right)_{\alpha} - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} B_x(t) + b_s |x_\alpha| (b_\alpha)_{t} - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} b_s^2 |x_\alpha|
\]

It yields

\[
\psi_t = Q_x(Q_x)_{t} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - B_x(t) \psi - b_s (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{Q_x} b_s^2 |x_\alpha|
\]

\[
\psi_t = Q_x(Q_x)_{t} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - B_x(t) \psi - b_s (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \frac{Q_x^2}{Q_x} b_s^2 |x_\alpha| - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^2} \right) - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} (P_{2^{-1}}(z))_{t} \alpha - (b_s |x_\alpha|) t + \epsilon^1
\]

It is easy to check that

\[
(2) = - b_s (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} + Q_x^2 b_s BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} = -2b_s BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_{t},
\]
We use that $(\psi)_{\text{CP}}$ estimate in terms of $\phi$ terms. If we find a term of low order, we will denote it by NICE. Since the equations for $(\dot{\alpha} t)$ we take a derivative in $\omega$ and $\psi$ to reorganize the most dangerous terms. If we find a term of low order, we will denote it by NICE. Since the equations for $\varphi_t$ and $\psi_t$ are analogous except for the $E^1$ term, the NICE terms are going to be easier to estimate in terms of $CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t)$.

$$
\psi_t = -B_x(t)\psi - \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^2} \right) - Q_x^2 \left( BR_t \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} + \frac{P_2^{-1}(z)}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \\
+ Q_x(Q_x)_t \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} - 2b_x BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha - \frac{Q_x^2}{Q_x} b_x^2 |x_\alpha| - \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} |BR|^2 Q_x^2 \\
- (b_x |x_\alpha|)_t + \mathcal{E}^1
$$

With this formula it is easy to find that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\mathcal{D}|^2 dx \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t)$$

In order to deal with $II$

$$II = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \delta^3 \mathcal{D} \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{D}}{\partial t} d\alpha$$

we take a derivative in $\omega$ in the equation for $\omega$ and $\psi$ to reorganize the most dangerous terms. If we find a term of low order, we will denote it by NICE. Since the equations for $\varphi_t$ and $\psi_t$ are analogous except for the $E^1$ term, the NICE terms are going to be easier to estimate in terms of $CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t)$.

$$\psi_{\alpha t} = -B_x(t)\psi_\alpha - \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{Q_x^2}{2|x_\alpha|} \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^2} \right) \right) - \left( \frac{Q_x^2}{Q_x} \right) \left( BR_t \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} + \frac{P_2^{-1}(z)}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \right) \right) \alpha \\
+ \left( Q_x(Q_x)_t \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \alpha - \left( 2b_x BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha \right) \alpha - \left( \frac{Q_x^2}{Q_x} b_x^2 |x_\alpha| \right) \alpha - \left( \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} |BR|^2 Q_x^2 \right) \alpha \\
- (b_x |x_\alpha|)\alpha + \mathcal{E}^1$

Expanding (3):

$$\frac{3}{3} = - \left( \frac{Q_x^2 BR_t}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \alpha - (b_x |x_\alpha|)\alpha \\
- \left( Q_x^2 BR_t \alpha \right) \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - Q_x^2 BR_t \left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \alpha - \left( |x_\alpha| B_x(t) - (Q_x^2 BR_t) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \\
= - (|x_\alpha| B_x(t))_t + (Q_x^2 BR_t) \cdot \left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \alpha + 2(Q_x(Q_x)_t BR_t) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - Q_x^2 BR_t \cdot \left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \alpha
$$

We use that

$$\left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha = \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}; \quad \left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_t = \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}$$
to find

\[
\psi_{t\alpha} = -B_x(t)\psi_\alpha - \frac{\partial^2 \psi^2}{2|x_\alpha|^3} + \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{(Q_x)_\alpha \psi^2}{|x_\alpha|Q_x} \right) - Q_x^2 BR_t \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} - \frac{|x_\alpha|B_x(t)}{t}
\]

\[\text{(4)}\]

\[\begin{align*}
+ (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + 2(Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha BR_x) \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - \left( \frac{Q_x^2 (P_2^{-1}(z))_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \\
\end{align*}\]

\[\text{(5)}\]

\[\begin{align*}
\partial_\alpha \left( (Q_x)_\alpha \psi^2 \right) = -\frac{(Q_x)_\alpha^2}{|x_\alpha|(Q_x)^2} + \frac{2(Q_x)_\alpha \psi \psi_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|Q_x} + \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x} \left( \frac{(Q_x)_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha
\end{align*}\]

\[\text{(6)}\]

\[\begin{align*}
The first term is at the level of \partial_\alpha x so it is NICE. The second term is at the level of \partial_\alpha x or \psi_\alpha so it is NICE. We write the last one as
\end{align*}\]

\[\psi^2 \left( \frac{(Q_x)_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha = \psi^2 \frac{x_\alpha}{Q_x} \cdot \left( \nabla^2 Q(x) \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) + \psi^2 \frac{x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}}{Q_x}
\]

\[\text{The first term is at the level of } x_\alpha \text{ or } \psi \text{ so it is NICE. For the second term we have used that}
\]

\[\left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha = \frac{x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2}}{|x_\alpha|^2}
\]

\[\text{Finally:}
\]

\[\psi^2 \frac{x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}}{Q_x} \]

\[\text{(6)} = \text{NICE} + \psi^2 \frac{x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}}{Q_x}
\]
\( (7) = 2(Q_x(Q_x)_t BR)_\alpha \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} = 2(Q_x)_\alpha (Q_x)_t BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} + 2Q_x \left( \frac{(Q_x)_t}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha BR \cdot x_\alpha \\
+ 2Q_x (Q_x)_t BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \)

The first term is at the level of \( x_\alpha, x_t, BR \sim x_\alpha \) so it is NICE. We use that

\[
\frac{(Q_x)_t}{|x_\alpha|} = \frac{(Q_x)_at}{|x_\alpha|} = \frac{(\nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha)_t}{|x_\alpha|} = \left( \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_t - \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_t
\]

Using that

\[
\frac{x_\alpha \cdot x_at}{|x_\alpha|^2} = B_x(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha
\]

and

\[
\left( \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_t = \frac{x_at \cdot x_\alpha^T}{|x_\alpha|^2} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}
\]

we find that

\[
\frac{(Q_x)_t}{|x_\alpha|} = x_t \cdot \left( \nabla^2 Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) + \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_at \cdot x_\alpha^T}{|x_\alpha|^3} \\
+ \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} B_x(t) + \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha
\]

That yields

\[
(7) = 2(Q_x(Q_x)_t BR)_\alpha \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} = \underbrace{\text{NICE} + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha x_t \cdot \left( \nabla^2 Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)}_{\text{NICE (at the level of } x_\alpha, x_t, BR)} \\
\underbrace{+ 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_at \cdot x_\alpha^T}{|x_\alpha|^3} + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} B_x(t)}_{\text{NICE (at the level of } x_\alpha, x_t, BR)} \\
\underbrace{+ 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} d\alpha + 2Q_x (Q_x)_t BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}}_{\text{part of error terms}}
\]

Finally:

\[
(7) = 2(Q_x(Q_x)_t BR)_\alpha \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} = \text{NICE} + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_at \cdot x_\alpha^T}{|x_\alpha|^3} + 2Q_x (Q_x)_t BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}
\]
(8) = − \left( \frac{P_2^{-1}(z)\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) = - \left( \frac{Q_x \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}}{|x_\alpha|} \right) = -2Q_x \nabla Q_x \cdot x_\alpha \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \\
\text{NICE (at the level of } x_\alpha) \\
\text{NICE (at the level of } x_\alpha) \\
\text{which means} \\
(8) = - \left( \frac{Q_x \nabla P_2^{-1}(z)\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) = \text{NICE} - \frac{Q_x \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}} \\
(9) = \left( \frac{Q_x(Q_x)_t\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) = \left( \frac{(Q_x)\alpha(Q_x)_t\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) + Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \\
\text{NICE (at the level of } x_\alpha,x_t) \\
\text{We use (19) to deal with } \frac{(Q_x)\alpha}{|x_\alpha|}. \text{ We find that} \\
(9) = \left( \frac{Q_x(Q_x)_t\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) = \text{NICE} + Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) \\
(10) = - \left( 2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha \right) = -2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} (Q_x)^2 \cdot \left( 2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha \right) \\
\text{NICE as before} \\
-2b_3BR \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q_x(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} - 2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x x_\alpha (\nabla^2 Q_x(x)) \cdot x_\alpha \\
\text{NICE as before} \\
\text{Therefore} \\
(10) = - \left( 2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha \right) = \text{NICE} - \left( 2b_3BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha \right) \\
-2b_3BR \cdot x_\alpha Q_x \nabla Q_x(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + \left( \frac{Q_x)^2}{(Q_x)^2} b_3^2 |x_\alpha| \right) \\
(11) = - \left( \frac{(Q_x)\alpha b_3^2 |x_\alpha|}{Q_x} \right) = - \left( \frac{(Q_x)\alpha}{Q_x} \right) b_3^2 |x_\alpha| - \frac{b_3^2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x} \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + \left( \frac{Q_x)^2}{(Q_x)^2} b_3^2 |x_\alpha| \right) \\
\text{Therefore}
The fact that the last two terms are NICE, allows us to find that

$$(11) = -\left( \frac{(Q_x)_\alpha b_s^2|x_\alpha|}{Q_x} \right)_\alpha = \text{NICE} - \left( \frac{b_s^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x} \right)_\alpha (Q_x)_\alpha - \frac{b_s^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x} \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

Finally:

$$(12) = -\left( \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot |BR|^2(Q_x)_\alpha \right)_\alpha = \text{NICE} - \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} (|BR|^2)_\alpha(Q_x)_\alpha - Q_x^3|BR|^2 \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

which implies that

$$(12) = -\left( \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot |BR|^2(Q_x)_\alpha \right)_\alpha = \text{NICE} - \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|} (|BR|^2)_\alpha(Q_x)_\alpha - Q_x^3|BR|^2 \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

We gather all the formulas from (4) to (12) absorbing the error terms by $\tilde{E}_\alpha$ whenever we encounter them.

It yields:

$$\psi_{\alpha t} = \text{NICE} + \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x} \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} - \frac{Q_x^2}{|x_\alpha|^3} |BR| \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} - \frac{Q_x^2}{|x_\alpha|^3} \nabla P^{-1}(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

$$+ \frac{Q_x \gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \cdot x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} + Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha + 2Q_x |BR| \cdot x_\alpha \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

$$+ Q_x(Q_x)_t 2 |BR| \cdot x_\alpha + \left( \frac{2b_s |BR| \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right)_\alpha Q_x(Q_x)_\alpha - 2b_s |BR| \cdot x_\alpha Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp}$$

$$- \left( \frac{b_s^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x} \right)_\alpha (Q_x)_\alpha - \frac{b_s^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x} \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} - \frac{Q_x^3}{|x_\alpha|^3} (|BR|^2)_\alpha(Q_x)_\alpha$$

$$- Q_x^3 |BR|^2 \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} + \left( Q_x^2 |BR| \right)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} x_{\alpha^\perp} + \tilde{E}_\alpha$$
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We compute

\[(14) = Q_x(Q_x)_t \left( \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|} \right) + Q_x(Q_x)_t 2BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \]

\[= 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} (Q_x)^2 \left( \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} \right) + 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} (Q_x)^2 BR_\alpha \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \]

\[= 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} \psi_\alpha - 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} (Q_x)_\alpha \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} - 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} (Q_x)_\alpha BR_\alpha \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} - 2 \frac{(Q_x)_t}{Q_x} (|x_\alpha| P_2(t)) \]

The last formula allows us to conclude that (14)=NICE. We reorganize using (15), (16), (17) and (18).

\[
\psi_{\alpha t} = \text{NICE} - Q_x^2 (BR_t \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]

\[- Q_3 \left( |BR|^2 + \frac{b_2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x^2} + 2b_3 BR \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_{aa}}{|x_\alpha|^3} \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^4} \right) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]

\[+ (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + (Q_x \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]

\[- \left( \frac{Q_2^2 (|BR|^2)^2}{|x_\alpha|} + \frac{b_2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x^2} + \left( 2b_3 BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} \right) Q_x \right) (Q_x)_\alpha + \tilde{e}_\alpha \]

We add and subtract terms in order to find the R-T condition. We remember here that

\[
\sigma_z = \left( BR_t + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \right) \cdot z_\alpha^\perp + \frac{\omega}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \left( z_{\alpha t} + \frac{\psi}{|z_\alpha|} z_{aa} \right) \cdot z_\alpha
\]

\[+ Q_x |BR + \frac{\omega}{2|x_\alpha|^2} z_\alpha|^2 \nabla Q(z) \cdot z_\alpha^\perp + \nabla P_2^{-1}(z) \cdot z_\alpha^\perp
\]

\[
\sigma_x = \left( BR_t + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \right) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \left( x_{\alpha t} + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{aa} \right) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]

\[+ Q_x |BR + \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} x_\alpha|^2 \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]

In \(\sigma_x\) there are error terms but they are not dangerous. Then, we find

\[
\psi_{\alpha t} = \text{NICE}
\]

\[- Q_x^2 \left( \left( BR_t + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \right) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \left( x_{\alpha t} + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{aa} \right) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \nabla P_2^{-1}(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp \right) \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp}{|x_\alpha|^3}
\]

\[+ (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + Q_x \left( \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \left( x_{\alpha t} + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{aa} \right) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp \right) \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp}{|x_\alpha|^3}
\]

\[- Q^3 \left( |BR|^2 + \frac{b_2 |x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x^4} + 2b_3 BR \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_{aa}}{|x_\alpha|^3} - \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^4} \right) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp x_{aa} \cdot x_\alpha^\perp
\]
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\[ + (Q_x \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_{\alpha}) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \\
- \left( \frac{Q_x^3 (|BR|^2)_\alpha}{|x_{\alpha}|} + \frac{b_z^2 |x_{\alpha}|_\alpha}{Q_x} + \left( 2b_x BR \cdot x_{\alpha} \right)_\alpha Q_x \right) (Q_x)_\alpha + \hat{\epsilon}_3^{1} \]

Line (19) can be written as

\[(19) = (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} + Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{\psi \cdot x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \\
+ \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_{\alpha}|^2} \left( x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{\psi}{|x_{\alpha}|} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \right) \\
= (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{1}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \left( x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{\psi}{x_{\alpha}} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \right) \\
+ \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_{\alpha}|^2} \frac{1}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \left( x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{\psi}{|x_{\alpha}|} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \right) \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} - 2Q_x (Q_x)_\alpha BR \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{\psi \cdot x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \\
- 2Q_x (Q_x)_\alpha BR \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{\psi}{|x_{\alpha}|} x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \\
\]

We expand \( x_{\alpha} \) to find

\[(19) = \frac{1}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_{\alpha}|^2} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \right)^2 \\
+ \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_{\alpha}|^2} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \right) b_e - 2Q_x (Q_x)_\alpha BR \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{\psi}{|x_{\alpha}|} x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \]

error term: we incorporate it as \( \hat{\epsilon}_3^{2} \)

We denote

\[ G_x(\alpha) = (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_{\alpha}|^2} x_{\alpha}^\perp \frac{x_{\alpha} \cdot x_{\alpha}^\perp}{|x_{\alpha}|^3} \]

(21)

We claim that

\[ G_x(\alpha) = \text{NICE} + |x_{\alpha}| H(\partial_{\psi}) \]

that becomes

\[ (G_x(\alpha))^2 = \text{NICE} \]
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This means that

\[
(Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha = \text{NICE} + \frac{1}{2} H \left( Q_x^2 \partial^2_{\alpha} x^\perp \cdot x_{\alpha} \right)
\]
Taking Hilbert transforms:

\[-H \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \right) = \text{NICE} - \frac{1}{2} H^2 \left( Q_x^2 \frac{\partial^2_{x^\perp} x_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} \gamma \right) = \text{NICE} + \frac{1}{2} Q_x^2 \frac{\partial^2 x_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} \gamma\]

Using that \( \partial^2_{x^\perp} x_\alpha = -\partial^2_x x_\alpha \) we are done. Thus (19) yields

\[\psi_{\alpha t} = \text{NICE} - Q_x^2 \left( \left( BR_t + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \right) \cdot x_\alpha \right) \]

\[+ \frac{\gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \left( x_{\alpha t} + \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|^2} x_{\alpha a} \right) \cdot x_\alpha + x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_\alpha \]

\[-Q_x^3 \left( |BR|^2 + \frac{b_2^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x^4} + 2b_e \frac{BR \cdot x_\alpha}{Q_x^2} - \frac{\psi^2}{Q_x^4} \right) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \]

\[+ (Q_x \gamma + 2Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \frac{x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \]

\[-\left( \frac{Q_x^2 (|BR|^2)_{\alpha a}}{|x_\alpha|^2} + (\frac{b_2^2|x_\alpha|^2}{Q_x})_{\alpha a} + (2b_e BR \cdot x_\alpha |x_\alpha|_{\alpha}) \right)_{\alpha} (Q_x)_{\alpha} \]

\[\text{(20)}\]

\[\text{(21)}\]

For (20) we write

\[|x_{\alpha t}|^2 = Q_x^2 |BR|^2 + b_2^2|x_\alpha|^2 + 2Q_x^2 b_e BR \cdot x_\alpha \]

\[+ b_2^2|x_\alpha|^2 + f^2 + 2Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_{\alpha b_e} + 2b_e b_e |x_\alpha|^2 + 2Q_x^2 BR \cdot f + 2b_e x_\alpha \cdot f + 2b_e x_\alpha \cdot f\]

\[\Rightarrow \frac{|x_{\alpha t}|^2}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} = \frac{Q_x^2 |BR|^2}{|x_\alpha|} + \frac{b_2^2|x_\alpha|}{Q_x} + 2Q_x b_e BR \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_3^a}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} \]

Now

\[(20) = \text{NICE} - \frac{(|x_{\alpha t}|^2)_{\alpha}}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} (Q_x)_{\alpha} + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_3^a}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} (Q_x)_{\alpha}\]

which means

\[(20) + (21) = \text{NICE} - \frac{(|x_{\alpha t}|^2)_{\alpha}}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} (Q_x)_{\alpha} - 2Q_x (Q_x)_{\alpha} BR \cdot x_\alpha \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} \frac{x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + \frac{\tilde{\xi}_3^a}{Q_x |x_\alpha|} (Q_x)_{\alpha}\]

40
We write

\[ x_{at} = (x_{at} \cdot x_a) \frac{x_a}{|x_a|^2} + (x_{at} \cdot x_a^\perp) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

only depends on \( t \)

\[ = \left( B_x(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) x_a + \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp + b_{x\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp + f_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

\[ = \left( B_x(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) x_a + \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp + b_{x\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} + \left( b_e x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp + f_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

\[ = \left( B_x(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) x_a + \left( (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

\[ - \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \left( b_e x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp + f_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

Writing \( x_t = (Q_x^2 BR) + b_{x\alpha} x_a + b_e x_{\alpha\alpha} + f_\alpha \) we compute

\[ x_{at} \cdot x_a = \frac{Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_a}{\text{NICE}} \left( B_x(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) + \frac{G_x(\alpha) Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \text{ NICE because } G_x \text{ is nice} \]

\[ - \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_a^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \left( b_e x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp + f_\alpha \cdot x_a^\perp \right) \frac{x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^2} \]

\[ + b_e \left( B_{\alpha}(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) |x_a|^2 + b_e \left( B_{\alpha}(t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^\pi f_\beta \cdot \frac{x_\beta}{|x_\beta|^2} d\beta \right) |x_a|^2 + \hat{\mathcal{E}} \]

where \( \hat{\mathcal{E}} \) is an error term. To simplify we write

\[ x_{at} \cdot x_a = \text{NICE} - \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|} x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot x_a^\perp Q_x^2 BR \cdot x_a^\perp + \text{errors} \]

Setting the above formula in the expression of (20)+(21) allows us to find

\[ (20) + (21) = \text{NICE} + \text{errors} \]
This yields

$$\psi_{at} = \text{NICE} - Q^2_x \left( \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|^2} \left( BR + \frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right) \frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right) \cdot \nabla P^{-1}_2(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

$$- Q^3_x \left( |BR|^2 + \frac{b^2_x |x_\alpha|^2}{Q^2_x} + 2 \frac{b^2_x |x_\alpha|^2}{Q^2_x} - \frac{\psi^2}{Q^4_x} \right) \cdot \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

$$+ (Q \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) + \mathcal{E}^3$$

being $\mathcal{E}^3$ a new error term. We now complete the formula for $\sigma_x$ in (20) to find

$$\psi_{at} = \text{NICE} - Q^2_x \left( \frac{\psi}{|x_\alpha|^2} \left( BR + \frac{\gamma}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right) \cdot \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

$$+ Q_x \left( |BR|^2 - \frac{b^2_x |x_\alpha|^2}{Q^2_x} - 2b_s \frac{BR \cdot x_\alpha}{Q^2_x} \right) \cdot \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

$$+ (Q \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) + \mathcal{E}^3$$

Expanding

$$\frac{\psi^2}{Q^4_x} = \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} + \frac{b^2_x |x_\alpha|^2}{Q^4_x} - \frac{\gamma b_s}{Q^2_x}$$

we find

$$(22) + (23) = Q^2_x \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \frac{\gamma^2}{|x_\alpha|^2} + BR \cdot x_\alpha \frac{\gamma}{|x_\alpha|^2} - 2b_s \frac{BR \cdot x_\alpha}{Q^2_x} - \frac{\gamma b_s}{Q^2_x} \right) \cdot \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

Writing

$$x_{at} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ = (Q^2_x BR)_\alpha x_{\alpha}^+ + b_s x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ + \text{errors}$$

we obtain that

$$(24) = (Q \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ (Q^2_x BR)_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3}$$

$$+ (Q \gamma + 2Q_x BR \cdot x_\alpha) \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ b_s x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \cdot x_{\alpha}^+ \right) \cdot \frac{x_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} + \text{errors}$$
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Thus

\[(22) + (23) + (24) = Q_x^3 \left( \frac{\gamma^2}{2|x_a|^2} + BR \cdot x_a \frac{\gamma}{|x_a|^2} \right) \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^3} + \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_a^\perp (Q_x^2 BR)_{a \cdot x_{aa}^\perp}}{|x_a|^3} + \text{errors} + \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_a^\perp (Q_x^2 BR)_{a \cdot x_{aa}^\perp}}{|x_a|^3} + \text{errors} + \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_a^\perp (Q_x^2 BR)_{a \cdot x_{aa}^\perp}}{|x_a|^3} + \text{errors} + \nabla Q(x) \cdot \frac{x_a^\perp (Q_x^2 BR)_{a \cdot x_{aa}^\perp}}{|x_a|^3} + \text{errors} \]

\[= Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_a^\perp (\gamma + 2BR \cdot x_a) \left( \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_a|^2} \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^3} + \frac{(Q_x^2 BR)_{a \cdot x_{aa}^\perp}}{|x_a|^3} \right) + \text{errors} \]

\[= Q_x \nabla Q(x) \cdot x_a^\perp (\gamma + 2BR \cdot x_a) \frac{1}{|x_a|^3} D_x(\alpha) + \text{errors} \]

\[= \text{NICE} + \text{errors} \]

Finally, we obtain

\[\psi_{at} = \text{NICE}(x, \gamma, \psi) - Q_x^2 \sigma_x \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_a^\perp}{|x_a|^3} + \mathcal{E}_a^4 \]

For \(\varphi_{at}\) we find

\[\varphi_{at} = \text{NICE}(z, \omega, \varphi) - Q_x^2 \sigma_z \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_{a}^\perp}{|z_a|^3}, \]

since we can apply the same methods as before to the equations with \(f = g = 0\), which are satisfied by \((z, \omega, \varphi)\). Then:

\[II = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \cdot \hat{\partial}_a^3 D t = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D (\text{NICE}(z, \omega, \varphi) - \text{NICE}(x, \gamma, \psi)) d\alpha \]

\[\quad - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \left( \hat{\partial}_a^2 \left( \frac{Q_x^2 \sigma_z}{|z_a|^3} z_{aa} \cdot z_{a}^\perp - \frac{Q_x^2 \sigma_x}{|x_a|^3} x_{aa} \cdot x_{a}^\perp \right) \right) - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \mathcal{E}_a^4 d\alpha \equiv II_1 + II_2 + II_3 \]

\[II_1 \leq CP(E(t)) \quad \text{because we are dealing with the NICE term} \]
\[II_3 \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t) \quad \text{because of the errors} \]

It remains to estimate \(II_2\). We consider the most singular terms

\[II_2 = II_{2,1} + II_{2,2} + II_{2,3} + \text{l.o.t} \]

\[II_{2,1} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \left( Q_x^2 \sigma_z \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_{a}^\perp}{|z_a|^3} - Q_x^2 \sigma_x \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_{a}^\perp}{|x_a|^3} \right) d\alpha \]

\[II_{2,2} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \left( Q_x^2 \sigma_z \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_{a}^\perp}{|z_a|^3} - Q_x^2 \sigma_x \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_{a}^\perp}{|x_a|^3} \right) d\alpha \]

\[II_{2,3} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda \hat{\partial}_a^3 D \left( Q_x^2 \sigma_z \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_{a}^\perp}{|z_a|^3} - Q_x^2 \sigma_x \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_{a}^\perp}{|x_a|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ II_{2,1} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( (Q_2^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \sigma_z \frac{z_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - (Q_2^2)_{\alpha\alpha} \sigma_x \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t) \] as before

For \( II_{2,2} \) we decompose further

\[ II_{2,2} = -S + \tilde{II}_{2,2}, \quad \text{where} \]
\[ \tilde{II}_{2,2} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( Q_2^2 \sigma_x \frac{\partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - Q_2^2 \sigma_x \frac{\partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ S = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} Q_2^2 \sigma_x \partial_\alpha^4 D \cdot \frac{\partial_\alpha z_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} H(\partial_\alpha^4 D)(\alpha) d\alpha \]

We find that

\[ \tilde{II}_{2,2} \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t) \]

and \(-S\) cancels out with \(S\). We are done with \( II_{2,2} \). We write

\[ II_{2,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( Q_2^2 \partial_\alpha^3 \sigma_z \frac{z_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - Q_2^2 \partial_\alpha^3 \sigma_x \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha + \text{l.o.t} \]

We claim that

\[ Q_2^2 \partial_\alpha^3 \sigma_x = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \varphi_\tau) - b_s |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 \psi) + \text{errors} + \text{NICE}(x, \gamma, \psi) \quad (22) \]

In the local existence we get

\[ Q_2^2 \partial_\alpha^3 \sigma_z = |z_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \varphi_\tau) - c |z_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 \varphi) + \text{NICE}(z, \omega, \varphi) \]

This implies

\[ II_{2,3} = II_{2,3,1} + II_{2,3,2} + II_{2,3,3} + II_{2,3,4} \]
\[ II_{2,3,1} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( |z_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \varphi_\tau) \frac{z_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_\tau) \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ II_{2,3,2} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( c |z_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 \varphi) \frac{z_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - b_s |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 \psi) \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ II_{2,3,3} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \left( \text{NICE}(z, \omega, \varphi) \frac{z_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{z}_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - \text{NICE}(x, \gamma, \psi) \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \]
\[ II_{2,3,4} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_\alpha^3 D) \frac{x_{\alpha\alpha} \cdot \bar{x}_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} d\alpha + \text{errors} \]

It is easy to find

\[ II_{2,3,4} \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t), \quad \text{error terms} \]
In $II_{2,3,2}$ we split further:

$$II_{2,3,2} = II_{2,3,2}^1 + II_{2,3,2}^2$$

$$II_{2,3,2}^1 = - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_0^3 D)|z_\alpha|H(\partial_0^4 D)\frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} d\alpha$$

$$II_{2,3,2}^2 = - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_0^3 D)H(\partial_1^3 \psi) \left( c|z_\alpha| \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - b_s |x_\alpha| \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_\perp_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha$$

Then

$$II_{2,3,2}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |H(\partial_0^3 D)|^2 \left( |z_\alpha| \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \leq CP(E(t))$$

For $II_{2,3,2}^2$:

$$II_{2,3,2}^2 = - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda_1^{1/2}(\partial_0^3 \psi)\Lambda_1^{1/2}(H(\partial_0^3 D)) \left( c|z_\alpha| \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^3} - b_s |x_\alpha| \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_\perp_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \right) d\alpha \leq CP(E(t))$$

It remains

$$II_{2,3,1} = II_{2,3,1}^1 + II_{2,3,1}^2$$

$$II_{2,3,1}^1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_0^3 D)H(\partial_0^4 D_t)\frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^2} d\alpha$$

$$II_{2,3,1}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\partial_0^3 D)H(\partial_0^4 \psi_t) \left( \frac{z_{aa} \cdot z_\perp_\alpha}{|z_\alpha|^2} - \frac{x_{aa} \cdot x_\perp_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} \right) d\alpha$$

approx. sol.

Then

$$II_{2,3,1}^1 \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t)$$

At this point we remember that we had to deal with

$$II = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Lambda(\partial_0^3 D)\partial_0^3 D_t d\alpha$$

so in $II_{2,3,1}^1$ we find one derivative less (or 1/2 derivatives less) and this shows that we can bound

$$II_{2,3,1}^1 \leq CP(E(t)) + c\delta(t)$$

by brute force. It remains to show claim [22]. We remember
Finally, the most singular terms in $Q_x^2 \sigma_x$ are
\[ L = Q_x^2 BR_t \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha^\perp \]

We take 3 derivatives and consider the most dangerous characters:
\[ L = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + \text{l.o.t} \]

\[ M_1 = Q_x^2 BR(x, \partial^3_\alpha \gamma_t) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{Q_x^2 \psi}{|x_\alpha|} BR(x, \partial^3_\alpha \gamma) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp \]

\[ M_2 = Q_x^2 \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{\partial^3_\alpha x_t(\alpha) - \partial^3_\alpha x_t(\alpha - \beta)}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \]
\[ + \frac{Q_x^2 \psi}{|x_\alpha|} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \frac{\partial^3_\alpha x(\alpha) - \partial^3_\alpha x(\alpha - \beta)}{|x(\alpha) - x(\alpha - \beta)|^2} \right) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \]

\[ M_3 = -\frac{Q_x^2}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta x(\alpha) \cdot x_\alpha(\alpha) \frac{\Delta_\beta x(\alpha) \cdot \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x_t(\alpha) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^4} \]
\[ - \frac{\psi Q_x^2}{|x_\alpha|^3} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Delta_\beta x(\alpha) \cdot x_\alpha(\alpha) \frac{\Delta_\beta x(\alpha) \cdot \Delta_\beta \partial^4_\alpha x(\alpha) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^4} \]

In $M_2$ we find
\[ M_2 = Q_x^2 \frac{\gamma^2}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \Lambda(\partial^3_\alpha x_t \cdot x_\alpha) + \frac{Q_x^2 \psi^2}{|x_\alpha|^3} \Lambda(\partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha) + \text{l.o.t} \]
For the second term we use the usual trick
\[ \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha = -3 \partial^3_\alpha x \cdot x_{\alpha\alpha} \]

For the first term we remember that
\[ |x_\alpha|^2 = A(t) \Rightarrow x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha t} = \frac{1}{2} A'(t) \Rightarrow (x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha t})_\alpha = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha t} + x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a t} = 0 \Rightarrow x_{\alpha a a} \cdot x_{\alpha t} + 2 x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha a t} + x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a a t} = 0 \]
\[ \Rightarrow x_\alpha \cdot x_{\alpha a a t} = -2 x_{\alpha a} \cdot x_{\alpha a t} - x_{\alpha a a t} \cdot x_\alpha \]

This allows us to control \( M_2 \). For \( M_3 \) we find
\[ M_3 = -\frac{Q^2_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^2} \Lambda(x_\alpha \cdot \partial^3_\alpha x_t) - \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|^3} \Lambda(x_\alpha \cdot \partial^4_\alpha x) + \text{1.o.t} \]
so it can be estimated in the same way as \( M_2 \). There remains \( M_1 \).
\[ M_1 = Q^2_\alpha BR(x, \partial^3_\alpha x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp + \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{|x_\alpha|} BR(x, \partial^4_\alpha x) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp \]

Using that \( \Delta_\beta x^\perp(\alpha) \cdot x_\alpha^\perp(\alpha) = \Delta_\beta x(\alpha) \cdot x_\alpha(\alpha) \) we find
\[ M_1 = \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{2} H(\partial^3_\alpha x_t) + \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{2|x_\alpha|} H(\partial^4_\alpha x) + \text{1.o.t} \quad (23) \]

We compute
\[ \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{2} H(\partial^3_\alpha x_t) = H \left( \partial^3_\alpha \left( \frac{Q^2_\gamma}{2} \right)_t \right) + \text{NICE} \]
\[ = H(\partial^3_\alpha(|x_\alpha| \psi)_t) + H(\partial^3_\alpha(|x_\alpha| b_s)_t) + \text{NICE} \]
\[ = |x_\alpha| H(\partial^3_\alpha \psi_t) + H(\partial^3_\alpha \partial_t (-Q^2_\alpha BR) x_\alpha) + \text{NICE} \quad (24) \]

We compute the most singular term in
\[ \partial^2_\alpha \partial_t (-Q^2_\alpha BR) x_\alpha = -\frac{Q^2_\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(\partial^3_\alpha x_t(\alpha) - \partial^3_\alpha x_t(\alpha - \beta))^\perp \cdot x_\alpha(\alpha)}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \]
\[ + \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(\Delta_\beta x(\alpha))^\perp \cdot x_\alpha}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^4} \Delta_\beta \partial_\alpha^3 x_t(\alpha) \gamma(\alpha - \beta) d\beta \]
\[ - \frac{Q^2_\alpha}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(\Delta_\beta x(\alpha))^\perp \cdot x_\alpha}{|\Delta_\beta x(\alpha)|^2} \partial_\alpha^3 \gamma_t(\alpha - \beta) d\beta + \text{l.o.t. + NICE} \]

This shows that
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\[ \partial_\alpha^2 \partial_t \left( - (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \right) = - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \Lambda (\partial_\alpha^3 x_\perp \cdot x_\alpha) + \text{l.o.t. + NICE} \]

That gives
\[ \partial_\alpha^2 \partial_t \left( - (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \right) = - \Lambda \left( \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha^3 x_\perp \cdot x_\alpha \right) + \text{l.o.t. + NICE} \]

which implies
\[ H(\partial_\alpha^2 \partial_t \left( - (Q_x^2 BR)_\alpha \cdot x_\alpha \right)) = \partial_\alpha \left( \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha^3 x_\perp \cdot x_\alpha \right) + \text{l.o.t. + NICE} \]
\[ = - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x_\perp \cdot x_\alpha \right) + \text{NICE} \]

Plugging the above formula in \ref{eq:24} we find that
\[ \frac{Q_x^2}{2} H(\partial_\alpha^3 \gamma_t) = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^3 x_\alpha \cdot x_\perp \right) + \text{NICE} \]
\[ = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^3 (Q_x^2 BR) \cdot x_\perp \right) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( b_s \partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot x_\perp \right) + \text{l.o.t} \]
\[ + \text{NICE + errors} \]

As we did before, in \( \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha^3 (Q_x^2 BR) \cdot x_\perp) \), the most dangerous term is given by \( \frac{Q_x^2}{2} H(\partial_\alpha^4 \gamma) \), the tangential terms appear, which implies
\[ \partial_\alpha (\partial_\alpha^3 (Q_x^2 BR) \cdot x_\perp) = \frac{Q_x^2}{2} H(\partial_\alpha^4 \gamma) + \text{NICE} \]

and therefore
\[ \frac{Q_x^2}{2} H(\partial_\alpha^3 \gamma_t) = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot x_\perp \right) + \text{NICE + errors} \]

We use \ref{eq:23} to find
\[ \frac{Q_x^2}{2} H(\partial_\alpha^3 \gamma_t) + \frac{Q_x^2 \psi}{2|x_\alpha|} H(\partial_\alpha^4 \gamma) \]
\[ = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - \frac{Q_x^2}{2} b_s H(\partial_\alpha^4 \gamma) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot x_\perp \right) + \text{NICE + errors} \]
\[ = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - b_s |x_\alpha| H \left( \partial_\alpha^4 \left( \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|} \right) \right) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot x_\perp \right) + \text{NICE + errors} \]
\[ = |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^3 \psi_t) - b_s |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 \psi) - b_s |x_\alpha| H(\partial_\alpha^4 (b_s |x_\alpha|)) - \frac{Q_x^2 \gamma}{2|x_\alpha|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha^4 x \cdot x_\perp \right) \]
\[ + \text{NICE + errors} \]
We will show that
\[-b_s |x| H (\partial^4_\alpha (b_s |x|)) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) \]
is NICE and then we are done.

\[-b_s |x| H (\partial^4_\alpha (b_s |x|)) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) = -b_s H (\partial^4_\alpha (b_s |x|^2)) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) \]

We repeat the calculation for dealing with the most dangerous terms in
\[\partial^3_\alpha ((Q^2 BR) \cdot x_\alpha) = \Lambda \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha \frac{\gamma Q^2_x}{2|x|} \right) + \text{l.o.t} \]

In the l.o.t we use that \(\Delta x^\perp(\alpha) \cdot x(\alpha)\) gives an extra cancellation. We find that

\[b_s H (\partial^3_\alpha ((Q^2 BR) \cdot x_\alpha)) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) \]

\[= b_s H (\Lambda \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha \frac{\gamma Q^2_x}{2|x|^2} \right)) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) + \text{NICE} \]

\[= -b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha \frac{\gamma Q^2_x}{2|x|^2} \right) - \frac{Q^2 \gamma}{2|x|^2} b_s \partial_\alpha \left( \partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha \right) + \text{l.o.t} + \text{NICE} \]

Using that \(\partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x_\alpha = -\partial^4_\alpha x \cdot x^\perp_\alpha\) we are done.
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