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Background: Increased drug overdose deaths have become a serious public health problem. Primary care providers prescribe about 50% all opioid medications. This study examined opioids prescribing during primary visits to patients with a pain-depression dyad and patient demographic characteristics associated with opioids prescribing.

Method: This study analyzed data from the 2014 to 2015 National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey and included primary care visits by adult patients (aged 18 years or older) with noncancer pain.

Results: An opioid medication was prescribed in 26.4% (95% CI, 22.6% to 30.6%) of the primary care visits by patients with noncancer pain. Opioid medications were more likely to be prescribed during visits by patients with a pain and depression dyad (adjusted odds ratios [AOR] = 1.8; 95% CI, 1.4 to 2.4). Narcotic analgesics were more likely to be prescribed during visits by patients aged 46 to 64 years; by male patients or non-Hispanic white patients.

Discussion/Conclusions: Pain-depression dyad is associated with higher odds of opioids prescribing during primary care visits. Future studies are needed to understand the complexity of these factors and identify effective strategies to prevent opioids addiction and overdose among patients with pain and depression. (J Am Board Fam Med 2019;32:614–618.)
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Increased opioid overdose deaths have become a serious public health problem in the United States.1–3 It is disconcerting that many opioid overdose deaths are directly related to taking opioids as prescribed.4 Overprescription, long durations of therapy, and high doses of opioid medications have been considered factors driving the epidemic.5,6 Opioid analgesic medications are often prescribed to treat both acute and chronic pain7,8 and if prescribed to patients with comorbidities, patients can have a higher risk for opioid addiction and overdose. Studies have reported that patients with pain and mental health problems are more likely to use opioids for pain treatment.9,10 Other studies have found that patients with pain and depression more frequently receive opioid prescriptions at higher doses5,11,12 and have longer durations of use.13 Moreover, people with depression comorbid condition without substance use history are more likely to use opioids for other conditions and increase dosages.14

Patients with pain and depression are often treated in primary care settings. An estimated half of dispensed opioid prescriptions originate from primary care providers in America; therefore, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines target primary care providers as...
a strategy to curb opioid prescriptions. There are both clinical and policy implications to understand the dynamics of opioids prescribing during primary care visits. Aside from a few studies that examined opioid medication prescribing in ambulatory care settings, there is a lack of large empirical data to examine the frequency of opioid prescribing during primary visits to patients with noncancer pain and depression in primary care. This study examined the demographic characteristics of primary care visits by patients with a pain-depression dyad, and the association between opioid prescribing and primary care visits with a pain-depression dyad.

Methods
We analyzed data from the 2014 to 2015 National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), a national probability sample survey of ambulatory medical visits to office-based physicians conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics of the CDC. Detailed information about the survey can be accessed on the CDC Web site. The NAMCS data are publicly accessible. This study included visits of adult patients (aged 18 years or older) with noncancer pain to primary care providers (general/family medicine, internal medicine, pediatrics, and OB/GYN). The 2014 to 2015 NAMCS includes up to 5 reasons for visit and 3 ICD9 codes. Noncancer pain was determined by any reason for visit that involved pain (lower back, musculoskeletal, headache, abdominal, or generalized pain disorders) or ICD9 codes for pain. In this article, the pain-depression dyad is defined as patients with a diagnosis code for depression and pain as described above.

Opioid medications, the outcome variable, were identified using Multum drug classification category 060 (narcotic analgesics) or 191 (narcotic analgesic combinations) from up to 30 medications recorded during a visit. A diagnosis of depression was based on ICD9 codes (296.2, 296.3, 309.0, 309.1, 311). Logistic regression was used to characterize the demographic feature of visits of patients with a pain-depression dyad and examine the association of opioid prescribing with the pain-depression dyad. To account for the complex sampling design of the NAMCS, we used IBM SPSS 24 software complex sample module for analysis. Weighted data were used in the analysis. Estimates and standard error or 95% CI of the estimates were calculated.

Results
An estimated 715 million visits were made to primary care providers in 2014 and 2015 by adult patients without malignancy; 32.8% (95% CI, 30.7% to 35%) visits made by patients with noncancer pain. Of all visits by patients with noncancer pain, 12.3% (95% CI, 10.4% to 14.4%) had comorbidity of depression.

Visits by patients with a pain-depression dyad (Table 1) were more likely to occur in patients aged 46 to 64 years compared with those 18 to 34 years old (14.45% vs 9.5%; adjusted odds ratios [AOR] = 1.7; 95% CI, 1.2 to 2.4); among female patients compared with male patients (14.3% vs 8.6%) (AOR = 2; 95% CI, 1.6 to 2.4); and less likely to occur among minority patients (5.8% among non-Hispanic Black patients, 8% among Hispanic patients) compared with non-Hispanic white patients (15.7%).

Overall, opioid medications were prescribed in 26.4% (95% CI, 22.6% to 30.6%) of the total weighted primary care visits by patients with noncancer pain. Narcotic analgesics were almost twice more likely to be prescribed during visits by patients with a pain-depression dyad than by those without these comorbidities (AOR = 1.8; 95% CI, 1.4 to 2.4; Table 2). Narcotic analgesics were also more likely to be prescribed during visits by patients aged 46 to 64 years compared with those aged 18 to 34 years; by male patients (AOR = 1.5; 95% CI, 1.2 to 1.8); by non-Hispanic white patients when compared with minority groups.

Discussion
Patient primary care visits for noncancer pain are common and about a quarter of these visits involved opioid medication prescribing. It is beyond the scope of this study to identify specific reasons for the association of a pain-depression dyad with higher odds of opioid medication prescribing during primary care visits. Given the reciprocal and bidirectional association between pain and depression, it is possible that patients with chronic severe pain can become depressed and have increased need for opioids therapy. Depression can complicate the pain treatment which may result in more pain. As efficacy studies of opioids to treat pain normally exclude patients with depression and other comorbidities, more research evidence is needed to describe the benefits, safety and risk associated with opioid med-
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indications to treat patients with a pain-depression dyad. As depression is a marker for increased risk for opioid addiction and overdose, the treatment of depression plays an important role in addressing the disparate use of opioids within this subgroup. In addition, assessment of addiction risks before opi-

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Primary Care Visits by Patients With Pain-Depression Dyad

|                   | Yes (N = 975), Weighted % | No (N = 5532), Weighted % | AOR (95% CI) | P-Value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| All visits        | 12.3                      | 81.7                      |              |         |
| Age, years (%)    |                           |                           |              | .004    |
| 17 to 34          | 9.5                       | 90.5                      | Reference    |         |
| 35 to 45          | 12.4                      | 87.6                      | 1.4 (0.9 to 2.1) |         |
| 46 to 64          | 14.4                      | 85.6                      | 1.7 (1.2 to 2.4) |         |
| 65 to 74          | 12.0                      | 88                        | 1.3 (0.9 to 1.9) | <.0001  |
| 75+               | 9.4                       | 90.6                      | 0.96 (0.6 to 1.6) |         |
| Sex               |                           |                           |              | <.0001  |
| Male              | 8.6                       | 91.4                      | Reference    | <.0001  |
| Female            | 14.3                      | 85.7                      | 2.0 (1.6–2.4) |         |
| Race/ethnicity    |                           |                           |              | <.0001  |
| Non-Hispanic white| 15.7                      | 84.3                      | Reference    |         |
| Non-Hispanic black| 5.8                       | 94.2                      | 0.30 (0.21 to 0.44) |         |
| Hispanic          | 8.0                       | 92                        | 0.45 (0.27 to 0.75) |         |
| Other             | 4.5                       | 95.5                      | 0.25 (0.13 to 0.50) |         |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidential interval.

Our study findings highlight the importance of closely monitoring opioids prescribing for patients with a pain-depression dyad in primary care setting.

### Table 2. Opioid Medication Prescribing During Primary Care Visits Associated With Pain-Depression Dyad

|                   | Yes (N = 1748), Weighted % | No (N = 4759), Weighted % | AOR (95% CI) | P-Value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| All visits        | 26.4                      | 72.6                      |              | <.0001  |
| Pain-depression dyad |                           |                           |              |         |
| Yes               | 39.1                      | 60.9                      | 1.8 (1.4 to 2.4) |         |
| No                | 24.6                      | 75.4                      | Reference    |         |
| Age, years (%)    |                           |                           |              | .003    |
| 17 to 34          | 18.5                      | 81.5                      | Reference    |         |
| 35 to 45          | 26.6                      | 73.4                      | 1.6 (1.04 to 2.4) |         |
| 46 to 64          | 31.2                      | 68.8                      | 1.9 (1.3 to 2.9) |         |
| 65 to 74          | 24.1                      | 75.9                      | 1.4 (0.8 to 2.3) |         |
| 75+               | 23.8                      | 76.2                      | 1.3 (0.8 to 2.3) |         |
| Sex               |                           |                           |              | .001    |
| Male              | 31.2                      | 68.8                      | 1.5 (1.2 to 1.8) |         |
| Female            | 23.7                      | 76.3                      | Reference    |         |
| Race/ethnicity    |                           |                           |              | <.0001  |
| Non-Hispanic white| 30.8                      | 69.2                      | Reference    |         |
| Non-Hispanic black| 20.9                      | 79.1                      | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.9) |         |
| Hispanic          | 20.2                      | 79.8                      | 0.6 (0.4 to 0.9) |         |
| Other             | 12.1                      | 87.9                      | 0.3 (0.2 to 0.6) |         |

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidential interval.
Opioids initiation is recommended, and repeat screening for depression after opioid initiation may help to identify patients at risk for unintentional overdose.

This study also found some demographic characteristics were associated with the pain-depression dyad and opioids prescriptions. Visits by patients between 45 and 65 years old are more likely to involve pain and depression compared with visits by younger patients (17 to 34 years old). Middle age is a risk factor for opioid overdose death. The pain-depression dyad was less common in male patients, but men were more likely to be prescribed opioids compared with visits by female patients. It is not clear whether these differences are accounted for by gender differences in pain severity, level of pain tolerance, or affective processing of pain that require opioids medication more among male patients than among female patients. White patients were more likely than minority patients to have a pain-depression dyad and have a higher odds of getting opioid medication during their primary care visits. These findings may suggest under treatment of pain among Black and Hispanic Americans as documented in the literature. Further studies are warranted to understand the implications of gender and racial/ethnic differences in pain and pain treatment.

There are some limitations to this study. The collected data are based on visit encounters, so the percentage of patients who obtained an opioid after receiving a prescription is unknown. It is not possible to examine a pre-existing dyad or whether opioids induced depression. No information is available to describe the initiation or duration of opioid medications or pain severity and pain duration among patients.

In summary, our study found opioid prescriptions were more likely to occur during primary care visits made by patients with the pain-depression dyad. Further investigation is needed to understand the complex factors that influence misuse, addiction, and opioid-involved overdose deaths among at risk patients in primary care.
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