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Pangolins Harbor SARS-CoV-2-Related Coronaviruses

Guan-Zhu Han1,*

The pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2 has posed a severe threat to global public health. Yet, the origin of SARS-CoV-2 remains mysterious. Several recent studies (e.g., Lam et al., Xiao et al.) identified SARS-CoV-2-related viruses in pangolins, providing novel insights into the evolution and diversity of SARS-CoV-2-related viruses.

The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has been spreading rapidly throughout the globe, resulting in ~0.8 million confirmed infections as of March 31, 2020. On March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared the COVID-19 outbreak a pandemic. COVID-19 is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a positive-sense single-stranded RNA virus that belongs to the genus Betacoronavirus within the family Coronaviridae [1,2]. Yet, the origin and evolution of SARS-CoV-2 remains largely unclear. Several recent studies identified SARS-CoV-2-related viruses in Malayan pangolins (Manis javanica), providing new insights into the host distribution and evolution of SARS-CoV-2-related viruses [3–7].

Sharing ~80% nucleotide identity, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are closely related to each other [1]. SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 have been taxonomically classified into a single viral species, Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus [8]. A large number of SARS-related coronaviruses (SARSr-CoV) have been isolated from bats. Therefore, it has been widely thought that bats are the natural reservoirs of SARSr-CoV. SARS-CoV-2 is closely related to multiple SARSr-CoVs of bats; for example, a bat CoV, BatCoV RaTG13, detected in Rhinolophus affinis from the Yunnan province of China, exhibits very high nucleotide identity (96.2% at the genome level) with SARS-CoV-2. These findings suggest a probable bat origin of SARS-CoV-2 [1,2]. Whereas SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), two highly contagious CoVs that emerged in humans during the past two decades, might ultimately have bat origins, both of them were introduced into human populations through intermediate hosts [9]. It is possible that SARS-CoV-2 entered human populations through intermediate host(s). However, few SARS-CoV-2-related viruses have been described in mammals other than bats and humans.

Several recent studies reported the identification of SARS-CoV-2-related viruses in Malayan pangolins, native in Southeast Asia, that were smuggled into Southern China [3–7]. Pangolins (or scaly anteaters) are mammals that belong to the order of Carnivora and three genera (Manis, Phataginus, and Smutsia) [10]. As a source of food and traditional Asian medicines, pangolins are among the most illegally traded mammals in the world [10]. A number of pangolin species have been assessed to be endangered or critically endangered by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species. Two distinct clusters of SARS-CoV-2-related viruses were identified in pangolin samples obtained by anti-smuggling operations in the Guangxi (GX) and Guangdong (GD) provinces of China (Figure 1A). Interestingly, the GD pangolin CoVs have a higher amino acid identity (97.4%) with SARS-CoV-2 than does the bat CoV RaTG13 (89.2%) in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) [3–7]. In the remainder of the genome, RaTG13 exhibits a higher sequence identity with SARS-CoV-2 than do the GD pangolin CoVs. This pattern can be explained by either recombination or convergent evolution [3–7]. Phylogenetic analysis based on the synonymous sites of RBD, whose evolution is less likely to be influenced by natural selection, shows that RaTG13 is more closely related to SARS-CoV-2 than are the GD pangolin CoVs (Figure 1B), indicating that the high amino acid similarity between the GD pangolin CoVs and SARS-CoV-2 in the RBD might be due to convergent evolution [3].

What is the relationship between the pangolin CoVs and SARS-CoV-2? It has been widely circulated that pangolins potentially serve as the intermediate host of SARS-CoV-2 (https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-020-00364-2). SARS-CoV-2 might be of ‘probable pangolin origin’ [4]. Alternatively, the pangolin CoVs might represent ‘trivial’ SARS-CoV-2-related viruses that are cryptically circulating in the wild and are not the direct source of SARS-CoV-2. Different scenarios of cross-species transmission will exhibit different phylogenetic patterns (Figure 1C). If pangolins act as the intermediate host (spillover to humans once [scenario I] or multiple times [scenario II]), SARS-CoV-2 should fall within the diversity of pangolin CoVs. If SARS-CoV-2-related viruses independently entered into humans and pangolins (scenario III), and pangolin CoVs are not the direct source of SARS-CoV-2, then SARS-CoV-2-related viruses in humans and pangolins should form distinct clusters that nest within the diversity of bat CoVs. Therefore, based on the analysis of the genome regions other than RBD, it can be concluded that these known pangolin CoVs are not the direct source of SARS-CoV-2. Based on the analysis of RBD, we cannot conclude that pangolins are the intermediate host of SARS-CoV-2. It is also possible that the GD pangolin CoVs derived from cross-species transmission from bats (or from other animals) [3]. Clearly, SARS-
CoV-2-related viruses are still poorly sampled in mammals (even in bats) to reach a conclusion. The identification of two distinct viral clusters in pangolins indicates that SARS-CoV-2-related viruses might be more widely distributed in wild mammals than expected. Further surveillance of SARS-CoV-2-related viruses in mammals might help to clarify the origin of SARS-CoV-2.

The high similarity between the Guangdong pangolin CoVs and SARS-CoV-2 in the RBD raises concern that these viruses might be readily transmitted to human populations. More work is needed to explore the pathogenicity and diversity of CoVs in pangolins. Nevertheless, due to their status of being endangered, and the risk of causing future CoV outbreaks, the hunting, handling, and trafficking of pangolins should be strictly prohibited.
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Parasexual and Sexual Reproduction in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi: Room for Both
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Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) harbor thousands of nuclei in a large syncytium at all times. Although mating processes have not been observed in AMF, their cells and genomes show many signatures of sexual reproduction. Here, we describe how some of these signatures could also arise from parasexual processes in these widespread plant symbionts. As such, parasexual and sexual evolution could both be at play in generating nuclear diversity in AMF.

Introduction

The notion that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are ancient asexual organisms has been challenged by recent genomic analyses [1]. Specifically, genomic regions and nuclear organizations linked with sexual reproduction in fungi are found in these organisms. These include the presence of meiosis-specific genes, putative mating-type loci, and homokaryotic-dikaryotic life stages. Here, we argue that various parasexual mechanisms can also generate significant genetic diversity in AMF.

Meiotic Genes and Parasexuality

Evidence that individual AMF strains can recombine genetic material with one another has been found using microsatellites and single-genome sequence data and through whole-genome analyses (e.g., [2−4]). This demonstrated that AMF have found ways to diversify their genomes in the absence of observable sex; yet, it is still unclear when recombination emerges by sexual or parasexual means.

One of the largest drivers of recombination in eukaryotic organisms is meiosis. This process defines sexual reproduction in eukaryotes, as it shuffles the genetic material between homologous chromosomes following nuclear fusion (karyogamy) [5]. AMF harbor a full set of meiosis-specific genes in their genomes [6], suggesting these fungi can theoretically undergo meiosis. To this day, however, key meiosis events such as karyogamy have not been observed, and genetically distinct strains created by meiotic divisions have never been identified. This raises the question: are meiosis-specific genes always involved in sexual reproduction in AMF?

For some time, the ascomycete fungus Candida albicans presented a similar dilemma. Like AMF, this species carries all proteins necessary for meiosis; yet, this cellular process has never been observed in this species. New findings are now revealing why; in C. albicans, key meiosis genes (e.g., Spol11, Rec8) are actually involved in previously unsuspected parasexual events [7]. This process, named ‘parameiosis’, produces high recombination rates without the need for sex. Although parameiosis is unlikely to occur in AMF (i.e., it requires karyogamy between diploid nuclei, and all nuclei analyzed to date in AMF are haploid), its discovery raises the intriguing possibility that AMF also use their meiotic machinery to recombine nuclei through parasexual means. In the arbuscular mycorrhiza dikaryons, such processes could generate some of the rare recombination events reported by many [2−4,8], similarly to what has been observed in distant dikaryotic fungi [9].

In summary, while meiotic genes are conserved in AMF, their function remains unclear at this time. It is thus possible that, in addition to meiosis, meiotic proteins also control parasexual/noncanonical genetic pathways to generate diversity and address environmental challenges.

How Could Meiosis Function in Dikaryotic AMF?

Recent work showed that AMF strains are either homokaryotic, where all nuclei contain one type of genome, or dikaryotic, where nuclei originating from two parental strains coexist in the cytoplasm [1]. A model explaining these findings based on current knowledge of sexual reproduction in other fungi was proposed. Briefly, the model hypothesizes that AM dikaryons are progenies of sexually compatible homokaryotic strains, which could undergo meiosis at some point to produce a recombinated homokaryotic spore progeny. This hypothesis is based on genomic data and knowledge of fungal sexual compatibility, but it has not yet been experimentally validated.

If sexual compatibility exists in AMF, it is unclear how meiosis can be regulated among the thousands of nuclei that flow simultaneously in their cells. In such a unique genetic system, meiosis either occurs independently at different locations within the mycelium or is somehow coordinated among all coexisting nuclei. Alternatively, meiosis can take place only in cases where plasmogamy produces spores with only two sexually compatible nuclei (i.e., like in conventional dikaryotic cells), but to date