NOD2 and reproduction-associated NOD-like receptors have been lost during the evolution of pangolins
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Abstract
NOD-like receptors (NLRs) are sensors of pathogen-associated molecular patterns with critical roles in the control of immune responses and programmed cell death. Recent studies have revealed inter-species differences in mammalian innate immune genes and a particular degeneration of nucleic acid sensing pathways in pangolins, which are currently investigated as potential hosts for zoonotic pathogens. Here, we used comparative genomics to determine which NLR genes are conserved or lost in pangolins and related mammals. We show that \textit{NOD2}, which is implicated in sensing bacterial muramyl dipeptide and viral RNA, is a pseudogene in pangolins, but not in any other mammalian species investigated. \textit{NLRC4} and \textit{NAIP} are absent in pangolins and canine carnivorans, suggesting convergent loss of cytoplasmic sensing of bacterial flagellin in these taxa. Among NLR family pyrin domain containing proteins (NLRPs), skin barrier-related \textit{NLRP10} has been lost in pangolins after the evolutionary divergence from Carnivora. Strikingly, pangolins lack all NLRPs associated with reproduction (germ cells and embryonic development) in other mammals, i.e., \textit{NLRP2}, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, and 14. Taken together, our study shows a massive degeneration of NLR genes in pangolins and suggests that these endangered mammals may have unique adaptations of innate immunity and reproductive cell biology.
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Introduction
Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs) are a family of proteins involved in the defense against pathogens and in reproduction (Fig. 1). NLRs are characterized by the presence of a NOD, also known as NACHT domain, which is followed by leucine-rich repeats (LRRs). The NOD/NACHT domain mediates self-oligomerization upon binding of a ligand to LRRs. In addition, NLRs contain other domains such as 1 or 2 caspase recruitment domains (CARDs) or a pyrin domain (PYD), which mediate interactions with other proteins to control the initiation of inflammation, programmed cell death and other processes (Inohara et al. 2005; Fritz et al. 2006; Ting et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2010; Elinav et al. 2011; Zhong et al. 2013; Geddes et al. 2009; Heim et al. 2019; Kienes et al. 2021; Danis et al. 2021). Regulators of immune defense have played critical roles in the evolution of host–pathogen interactions, leading to the diversification of defense strategies at the molecular level (Danilova 2006; Eckhart et al. 2005; Kunes et al. 2021; Danis et al. 2021). The main subfamilies of NLRs are the NLR family CARD domain containing (NLRC) proteins and the NLR family pyrin domain containing (NLRP) proteins. Humans have 5 NLRCs (NOD1, NOD2, NLRC3-5) and 14 NLRPs (NLRP1-14). The primordial function of NLRs is the detection of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which include bacterial cell wall components, such as fragments of peptidoglycan that are sensed by NOD1 and NOD2 (Philpott et al. 2014; Wolf and Underhill 2018), viral RNAs which are sensed by NOD2 and NLRP6 (Sabbah et al. 2009; Wang 2015; Liu and Gack 2020), and others (Kuss-Duerkop et al. 2020; Pei et al. 2021). NLRs were originally identified as activators of inflammation and immune responses, but later research has demonstrated anti-inflammatory roles of...
There are nine human NLRPs that appear to have functions related to reproduction: NLRP2, NLRP4, NLRP5, NLRP7, NLRP8, NLRP9, NLRP11, NLRP13, and NLRP14 (Tian et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2008; Abe 2017; Amoushahi et al. 2020). Gene knockout studies showed that these NLRP genes, which are phylogenetically distinct from other NLRs (Tian et al. 2009), are expressed in germ cells and pre-implantation embryos (Zhang et al. 2008). Gene knockout studies showed that NLRP2 controls age-associated maternal fertility (Kuchmiy et al. 2016), controls age-associated maternal fertility (Kuchmiy et al. 2016). Gene knockout studies showed that NLRP2 is required for early embryonic development (Tong et al. 2000), and NLRP14 is essential for differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells in mice (Yin et al. 2019). The mechanisms of action of reproduction-associated NLRPs are elusive.

Pangolins (order: Pholidota) are nocturnal mammals that feed on ants and termites. Phylogenetically, they are most closely related to carnivorans (order: Carnivora), a clade comprised of cat-like (Feliformia) and dog-like (Caniformia) mammals with the latter including canines, bears, procyonids (raccoons and relatives), mustelids (weasels and relatives), skunks (mephitids), red pandas (ailurids), and pinnipeds. The body of pangolins is covered by keratinous scales which serve as a protective armor (Choo et al. 2016; Li et al. 2020). Few comparative studies of the mammalian immune defense have included pangolins, but the interest in pangolins has increased recently due their possible role as intermediate hosts for the pandemic severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a betacoronavirus with a single-stranded RNA genome (Lam et al. 2020; Xiao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020; Andersen et al. 2020).

Recently, we have reported that interferon-induced with helicase C domain 1 (IFIH1)/MDA5, Z-DNA-binding protein (ZBP1), cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), and stimulator of interferon genes (STING), which initiate innate immune responses to intracellular nucleic acids, have been lost during the evolution of pangolins (Fischer et al. 2020a, b). Likewise, toll-like receptor (TLR) 5, an endosomal receptor of bacterial flagellin, is absent in pangolins (Sharma et al. 2020).

Here we investigated whether NLR genes are conserved in pangolins and found that NOD2 and several other NLRs have undergone pseudogenization or were entirely lost, indicating that immune responses to specific pathogens and NLR-dependent processes in the reproduction system are altered in pangolins.

### Materials and methods

Genes were identified in the genome sequences of the Malayan pangolin (Manis javanica), Assembly: ManJav1.0 (GCA_001685135.1), submitted by the International Pangolin Research Consortium (Choo et al. 2016); Chinese pangolin (M. pentadactyla), Assembly: M_pentadactyla-1.1.1 (GCA_000738955.1), submitted by Washington University; and tree pangolin (Phataginus tricuspis, previously named Manis tricuspidis), Assembly: ManTri_v1_BIUU (GCA_004765945.1), submitted by Broad Institute. At the time of this study (July 2021), GenBank gene annotations were available for M. javanica (NCBI Manis javanica Annotation Release 100) and M. pentadactyla (NCBI Manis pentadactyla Annotation Release 100) but not for the other species of pangolins. Other nucleotide sequences were downloaded from GenBank, and accession numbers are indicated in the text.

Sequence similarities were identified with the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (Altschul et al. 1990). Nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acid sequences using the Translate tool at the Expasy website of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (https://web.expasy.org/translate/). Sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/) and Multalin (http://multalin.toulouse.inra.fr/multalin/). Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times were obtained from the Timetree website (www.timetree.org) (Hedges et al. 2015).

### Results

**NOD2 is inactivated by gene mutations in pangolins**

We investigated foreign nucleic acid response genes in the Malayan pangolin, a species that is considered a potential...
intermediate host of the SARS-CoV-2 (Lam et al. 2020; Xiao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020; Niu et al. 2021). Comparative analysis of NOD2, which had been reported to be involved in sensing single-stranded RNA (Sabbah et al. 2009), showed that a NOD2 gene locus is present in pangolins, dog, cattle, and human (Fig. 2a). However, frame-shift and premature stop mutations were detected in exons 1, 3, 4, 5, and 8 of M. javanica NOD2 (Fig. 2b). Analysis of genome sequences of two other pangolin species, the Chinese and the tree pangolin, revealed that inactivating mutations were also present in those species, whereby a frame-shift mutation leading to a premature stop of the reading frame in exon 1 was identified in all three species of pangolins investigated (Fig. 2c). By contrast, NOD2 is intact in all other mammalian species investigated (Suppl. Fig. S1). These data suggest that NOD2 has been inactivated by a gene mutation in the last common ancestor of pangolins (Fig. 2d).

**NLRC4 and NAIP are inactivated by gene mutations in pangolins**

Next we investigated whether the inactivation of NOD2 is unique among NLR family genes in pangolins. We analyzed the loci of NLR genes and flanking genes in human, cattle, dog, and the Malayan pangolin. The nucleotide sequences of all NLR gene homologs were screened for the presence of mutations that would disrupt the coding sequences. NOD1, CIITA, NLRX1, NLRC3, and NLRC5, which is comprised of 47 exons in the pangolin and encodes the longest of all NLR proteins with 1859 amino acids, are free from inactivating mutations. By contrast, NLRC4 and NAIP are disrupted by inactivating mutations (Figs. 2c and 2d).
mutations in the four aforementioned species. By contrast, NLRC4 was entirely absent from the genomes of pangolins (M. javanica, M. pentadactyla, Phataginus tricuspis) although both genes flanking NLRC4 in the human genome are conserved (Fig. 3a). In line with the results of a previous study (Eckhart et al. 2009), NLRC4 contains inactivating mutations in the dog. NLRC4 is also a pseudogene in the ermine (Mustela erminea) but not in the cat. These data indicated that NLRC4 was inactivated in the last common ancestor of pangolins and, by parallel evolution, in the last common ancestor of Caniformia (“dog-like” carnivorans, including dogs, mustelids, bears, pinnipeds, and others) (Fig. 3a).

Strikingly, the second receptor of intracellular flagellin, i.e., NLR family apoptosis inhibitory protein (NAIP), is also absent from pangolins and caniforms (Fig. 3b). We conclude that parallel evolution has led to the loss of both NLR proteins involved in intracellular flagellin detection in pangolins and caniforms.

The majority of NLRP genes have been inactivated in pangolins

NLRP genes represent the largest group of NLR genes with 14 members in the human genome. Comparative genomics showed that NLRP1, NLRP3, NLRP6, and NLRP12 are conserved in pangolins, whereas NLRP2 and 7, which are neighbors in the human genome (Fig. 4b); NLRP4, 5, 8, 9, 11, and 13, which are clustered in the human genome (Fig. 4c); and NLRP10 (Fig. 4d) are absent from the genomes of pangolins. Absence was confirmed by BLAST searches against entire genome sequences and against the genome region between orthologs of genes that flank the aforementioned NLRP genes in other mammalian species (Fig. 4b–d). An ortholog of NLRP14 is present in pangolins, but its coding sequence is disrupted by inactivating mutations (Fig. 4e; Suppl. Fig. S2).

In total, there are only 8 intact NLR genes in the Malayan pangolin, as compared to 20 in human and 15 in both cattle and dog (Table 1). Remarkably, nine NLRPs that are predominantly or exclusively expressed in germ cells and early stages of embryonic development, i.e., NLRP2, NLRP4, NLRP5, NLRP7, NLRP8, NLRP9, NLRP11, NLRP13, and NLRP14, lack orthologs in pangolins (Table 1).

![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png) Absence of NLRC4 and NAIP in pangolins. a Gene locus of NLRC4. Genes are represented by arrows pointing into the direction of transcription. Intact NLRC4 genes are shown as blue arrows. Red broken arrows indicate inactivating mutations of NLRC4 in caniformia, represented by dog and ermine. NLRC4 is absent in pangolins. Loss of NAIP was mapped (red flash symbols) onto a simplified phylogenetic tree of mammals. b Gene locus of NAIP. Genes are represented by arrows pointing into the direction of transcription. Intact NAIP genes are shown as blue arrows. NAIP is absent in pangolins, dog, and ermine. Loss of NAIP was mapped (red flash symbols) onto a simplified phylogenetic tree of mammals. Species: Malayan pangolin (Manis javanica), Chinese pangolin (Manis pentadactyla), cat (Felis catus), ermine (Mustela erminea), dog (Canis lupus familiaris), cattle (Bos taurus), and human (Homo sapiens)

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/fig4.png) Absence of multiple NLRP genes in pangolins. Gene loci of NLRP1 a, NLRP2 and NLRP7 b, NLRP4, NLRP5, NLRP8, NLRP9, NLRP11, and NLRP13 e, NLRP10 d, and NLRP14 e of Malayan pangolin, dog, cattle, and human are schematically depicted. Intact NLRP genes are shown as blue arrows with white numbers indicating the number of the NLRP gene. Red broken arrows indicate NLRP genes that are inactivated by mutations. Grey arrows indicate evolutionarily conserved genes flanking NLRP genes. White arrows represent genes that are not conserved across species. Species: Pangolin (Manis javanica), dog (Canis lupus familiaris), cattle (Bos taurus), and human (Homo sapiens)
The results of this study show that pangolins lack numerous NLRs, suggesting that the evolution of pangolins was compatible with or even supported by the loss of these pattern recognition receptors and the associated defense processes. This study was focused on pangolins because (1) previous investigations had suggested a partial degeneration of anti-viral and antimicrobial defense in these peculiar mammals (Kotze et al. 2016; Fischer et al. 2020a, b; Sharma et al. 2020) and (2) a better understanding of pathogen-host interactions in pangolins might help to evaluate the potential role of pangolins in the origin of zoonoses such as Covid-19 (Lam et al. 2020; Xiao et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2020; Niu et al. 2021). Our findings lend support to the notion that the innate immune system of pangolins is unique among mammals and provide a basis for further investigations into the possible role of pangolins as reservoirs of pathogens that might benefit from the lack of NLR-dependent defense mechanisms.

**Discussion**

**Table 1 Presence and absence of NLR genes in pangolins and other mammals**

| Gene   | Ligand, function | Main expression site (adult) | Human | Cattle | Dog | Pangolin | Gene evolution |
|--------|------------------|------------------------------|-------|--------|-----|----------|----------------|
| NOD1   | DAP (peptidoglycan) | Lung and most other organs | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NOD2   | MDP (peptidoglycan) | Bone marrow, skin           | +     | +      | +   | -        | Loss in pangolins |
| NLR5   | Flagellin         | Appendix, bone marrow       | +     | -      | -   | -        | Loss in pangolins and Caniformia |
| NLR6   | MHC class I gene expression | Spleen, lymph node         | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NLR7   | Proteolysis, dsRNA | Skin, spleen, lymph node    | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NLR8   | Early embryonic development | Placenta, testis, bladder | +     | +      | +   | -        | Loss in pangolins |
| NLR9   | Stimulus: Potassium efflux | Bone marrow              | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NLR10  | Early embryonic development | Testis                   | +     | -      | -   | -        | Origin in Euarchontoglires |
| NLR11  | Anti-inflammatory | Testis                      | +     | -      | -   | -        | Origin in primates |
| NLR12  | Anti-inflammatory | Bone marrow                | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NLR13  | Unknown           | Testis                      | +     | +      | -   | -        | Loss in pangolins |
| NLR14  | Early embryonic development | Testis                  | +     | +      | +   | -        | Loss in pangolins |
| NLRX1  | Anti-inflammatory | Esophagus, skin            | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| CIITA  | MHC class II gene expression | Bone marrow             | +     | +      | +   | +        | Conserved      |
| NALP   | Flagellin         | Appendix, spleen           | +     | +      | -   | -        | Loss in pangolins and Caniformia |

DAP γ-D-glutamyl-meso-diaminopimelic acid, MDP muramyl dipeptide, ds double-stranded

1Ligands and functions of several NLRPs are uncertain. Only subsets of proposed functions are listed

NOD2 is inactivated by multiple mutations in pangolins, whereas it is conserved in all other mammalian species investigated (Suppl. Fig. S1) and human NOD2 loss-of-function mutations cause Crohn’s disease, a chronic inflammatory intestinal disease (Nayar et al. 2021). In non-mammalian vertebrates, NOD2 is evolutionarily conserved in fish (Nayar et al. 2021) but not in reptiles (Choo et al. 2019). NOD2 is primarily required for antibacterial defense but has also been implicated in antiviral defense and general sensing of perturbations of cellular homeostasis, in particular the formation of sphingosine-1-phosphate (Pei et al. 2021). Recently, a drug that targets NOD2 was shown to have antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 and other RNA viruses (Limonta et al. 2021). It is conceivable that NOD2-dependent responses to specific intracellular bacteria or viruses are not required or even detrimental for pangolins, possibly due to unfavorable reactions against commensal microorganisms. Furthermore, NOD2-independent defense mechanisms may have evolved in pangolins. Studies on tissues or cells of pangolins are required to test these hypotheses.

Both cytosolic sensors of bacterial flagellin, i.e., NLRC4 and NAIP, have been lost in pangolins, making them in this regard similar to species of the clade Caniformia (dog-like carnivorns) (Eckhart et al. 2009) and pigs (Dawson...
et al. 2017; Sakuma et al. 2017). Strikingly, the endosomal receptor of flagellin, TLR5, has also been lost in pangolins (Sharma et al. 2020), suggesting that the response of pangolins to flagellated bacteria is uniquely degenerated. It is conceivable that these gene losses are linked to the evolution of a special gut microbiome due to the solely insectivorous diet or to the evolution of tolerance to specific pathogen groups in pangolins. Thus, it remains to be elucidated whether the response to flagellated bacteria, such as Salmonella typhi,um, Legionella pneumophila, and Shigella flexneri, with pathogenic potential in other species is suppressed in pangolins.

The number of NLRP genes varies among mammalian species (Tian et al. 2009). Until recently, the limited availability and quality of genome sequences has hampered a comprehensive study of NLRP genes across mammals. Our data show that pangolins have fewer NLRPs than dog, cattle, and humans. NLRP4, NLRP11, and possibly also NLRP7 have originated after the divergence of the phylogenetic lineages leading to humans and pangolins, but the presence of NLRP2, NLRP10, and NLRP14 in a common ancestor of humans and pangolins can be inferred from their distribution in other mammals (Table 1). Therefore, at least NLRP2, NLRP10, and NLRP14 have been lost in pangolins. NLRP10 is expressed predominantly in the skin where it is transcriptionally upregulated during epidermal cornification (Lachner et al. 2017). Keratinocyte cell death by cornification, like apoptosis and unlike pyroptosis, does not induce pro-inflammatory signaling, and we have put forward the hypothesis that NLRP10 contributes to the suppression of inflammation during cornification (Eckhart and Tschachler 2018). NLRP10 may have been lost in the course of the evolutionary adaptation of the integument in pangolins, which is characterized by an almost complete replacement of hairy skin by keratinous scales.

Remarkably, all nine reproduction-associated NLRPs of humans, i.e., NLRP2, NLRP4, NLRP5, NLRP7, NLRP8, NLRP9, NLRP11, NLRP13, and NLRP14 (Tian et al. 2009), lack orthologs in pangolins. The loss of NLRPs that are associated with germ cell biology and embryonic development (Table 1) suggests that the reproduction of pangolins does not depend on NLRP-mediated processes, which are not understood at present but may include the control of inflammation (Amoushahi et al. 2019; Yin et al. 2020; Carriere et al. 2021). Deepening the knowledge on the physiology of reproduction and embryology of pangolins would be beneficial for conservation aspects of these highly endangered species as breeding efforts in zoos are scarce (Yang et al. 2007; Sipos and Lutonsky 2021).

In summary, the repertoire of NLRs is greatly reduced in pangolins as compared to other mammals, which indicates diversification of immune defense and reproduction-related processes during the evolution of different mammalian lineages. Pangolins, presumably aegric to a series of otherwise pathogenic agents, may carry distinct microbes and viruses that can be transmitted to other species and potentially give rise to as-yet-unknown zoonoses. Therefore, comparative studies of innate immunity in pangolins and other mammals are warranted.
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