Light-responsive metal–organic framework sheets constructed smart membranes with tunable transport channels for efficient gas separation
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Exploring a new type of smart membrane with tunable separation performance is a promising area of research. In this study, new light-responsive metal–organic framework [Co(azpy)] sheets were prepared by a facile microwave method for the first time, and were then incorporated into a polymer matrix to fabricate smart mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) applied for flue gas desulfurization and decarbonization. The smart MMMs exhibited significantly elevated SO2/CO2/N2 selectivity by 184(166)% in comparison with an unfilled polymer membrane. The light-responsive characteristic of the smart MMMs was investigated, and the permeability and selectivity of the Co(azpy) sheets-loaded smart MMMs were able to respond to external light stimuli. In particular, the selectivity of the smart MMM at the Co(azpy) content of 20% for the SO2/N2 system could be switched between 341 and 211 in situ irradiated with Vis and UV light, while the SO2 permeability switched between 58 Barrer and 36 Barrer, respectively. This switching influence was mainly ascribed to the increased SO2 adsorption capacity in the visible light condition, as verified by adsorption test. The CO2 permeability and CO2/N2 selectivity of MMMs in the humidified state could achieve 248 Barrer and 103.2, surpassing the Robeson’s upper bound reported in 2019.

1. Introduction

With the development of economy, energy consumption increases, and thus leads to new environmental burdens and hazards for human health.\textsuperscript{1–4} Hence, the exploration of new ways to separate air pollutants such as CO2 and SO2 is a challenging scientific and technical issue.\textsuperscript{5–7} The emissions of CO2 and SO2 are mainly from the combustion of coal caused by industrial processes and heavy oil used by vehicles, respectively.\textsuperscript{5–10} Membrane technology as one method for gas separation is promising, and has attracted the attention of researchers.\textsuperscript{11–14} Mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) prepared by incorporating the filler phase into the polymer phase have been deemed as potential membrane materials for overcoming the trade-off between gas permeability and selectivity.\textsuperscript{15–16} The integration of the merits for the filler phase and polymer matrix is deemed to be a promising way to surpass the trade-off limit, achieving enhanced permeability and selectivity simultaneously.\textsuperscript{17–19} The rational design of advanced two-dimensional (2D) fillers was conducted to reach predominant permeability and selectivity of MMM.\textsuperscript{20–22} The 2D fillers, including graphene oxide,\textsuperscript{20,21–26} metal–organic framework (MOF) sheets,\textsuperscript{22,27} and MXene sheets,\textsuperscript{28–31} were introduced into the polymer to fabricate MMMs. Among them, MOF sheets have attracted the attention of researchers due to the merits of structural characteristics, which consist of ultrathin thickness in nanoscale, porous channels in micropores, and rich interaction sites. MOF sheets are promising and alternative candidate fillers for preparing MMMs to further elevate the gas separation performance.

Recently, several CO2 separation and MOF gas separation methods were investigated.\textsuperscript{32,33} Peng et al. prepared a light-responsive metal–organic framework hybrid membrane with high on/off photo switchable proton conductivity.\textsuperscript{34} They also investigated gas transport through two-dimensional nanoslits, and the construction of 2D nanoslits and mechanisms for gas transport were summarized.\textsuperscript{35} Helms et al. prepared diamine-appended Mg2(dobpdc) nanorods as phase-change fillers in mixed matrix membranes for efficient CO2/N2 separations.\textsuperscript{36} Chen et al. explored porous metal–organic frameworks for gas separation and purification, and concluded that several approaches were developed to systematically tune the pores and to immobilize the functional sites.\textsuperscript{37}

A majority of research studies of sheet-doped MOFs MMMs have been conducted for gas separation.\textsuperscript{38} Yang et al. prepared CuBDC nanosheets-doped MMMs, and the CO2/CH4 selectivity of MMMs significantly improved.\textsuperscript{39} Rodenas et al. explored MMMs loaded with CuBDC nanosheets with pore diameters of ~0.52 nm, which also significantly increased the CO2
permeability. This result concluded that MOF sheets were beneficial for enhancing the CO₂ permeability, exhibiting promising candidates to surpass the trade-off effect of membranes. A novel oriented and penetrating ZIF-7@PI mixed matrix membrane (MMM) with ZIF-7 loading 50 wt% was developed by Huang, and the ZIF-7@PI MMM displayed high selectivity for H₂/O₂ and H₂/CH₄ systems with 91.5 and 128.4, respectively.

Apart from the MMM itself, the gas separation performance can be further improved by adopting an external stimulus. Recently, external stimuli, such as temperature, light, and electric field, have been used to elevate the gas separation performances. Among these external stimuli, light is thought to be the most promising one because of its ease of control, fast-responsiveness, and non-contact characteristics. Generally, light-responsive fillers have been introduced into polymers to tune the gas permeability through structure transformation simulated by light. Peng et al. prepared Zr-Fc MOF nanosheets, which exhibited the potential for gas separation. The MOFs (Zr-Fc MOF)-based nanosheets are synthesized as porous supports to fabricate a Zr-Fc MOF-supported ionic liquid membrane (Zr-Fc-SILM) for highly efficient CO₂ separation. The micropores of the Zr-Fc MOF nanosheets not only provide extra paths for CO₂ transport with CO₂ permeability up to 145.15 GPU, but also endow the Zr-Fc-SILM with high selectivity (216.9) of CO₂/N₂. Ladewig et al. prepared light-responsive MOF (Azo UiO-66) for post combustion CO₂ capture. Azo-Uio-66 was incorporated into the polymer to form mixed matrix membranes (MMMs), and the results showed significantly increased CO₂ permeability and CO₂/N₂ selectivity. Huang et al. developed a new kind of light-induced smart MOF membrane, and the selectivity of the H₂/CO₂ system can be switched reversibly between 21.3 and 43.7 under in situ irradiation with UV and Vis light, respectively. This switching effect is mainly caused by regulated CO₂ adsorption sites in the UV-Vis state, as proven by independent adsorption studies.

Herein, light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)] sheets were synthesized for the fabrication of a light-responsive membrane for efficient gas separation. The light-responsive sheets are 2D porous materials with a rich pore structure, which are considered to offer extra pathways for gas transport, and thus elevate gas permeability. Meanwhile, the microporous channels of Co(azpy) endow the MMMs with high CO₂(SO₂)/N₂ selectivity by tuning the pore size. As a consequence, the Co(azpy) shows excellent gas separation performance, which solves the trade-off effect. In addition, the Co(azpy) is a photo agent capable of converting the structure under visible light and ultraviolet light illumination, which is also being investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1 Chemicals and materials

Co(NO₃)₃·6H₂O and L-malic acid (Scheme 1a) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Co., Ltd. 4,4'-Bisazobipyridine (azpy, Scheme 1b) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich Chemical Co. N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and N,N-dimethylacetamide were obtained from Kemiou Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). Matrimid® 5218 (PI, Scheme 1c) was obtained from Alfa Aesar China Co., Ltd. SO₂, CO₂ and N₂ were purchased from Tianjin Huanyu Co., Ltd.

2.2 Preparation process of light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)] sheets

The light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)] was prepared by two methods, as follows (Scheme 2). Taking the microwave method for an example, Co(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.58 g) and 4,4'-bisazobipyridine (0.18 g) were separately dispersed in 16 ml DMF and 8 ml N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) respectively. L-Malic acid (0.268 g) was dissolved in water for 12 h and 12 h in succession. The mixture was then transferred to a microwave oven to heat at 120 °C for 60 min. The as-prepared MOF [Co(azpy)] was centrifuged and further washed, obtaining the sheets products (~3 μm). The preparation process of the hydrothermal method was almost similar, but the mixed solution was placed in a muffle furnace at 120 °C for 8 h, obtaining the bulk products (10–30 μm).

2.3 Fabrication of membranes

Four copies of PI (0.6 g) were dissolved in DMAC to let a 5 wt% PI solution, respectively. Co(azpy) fillers with predetermined amounts (0.06 g, 0.09 g, and 0.12 g) were separately sonicated in DMAC for 6 h to obtain the filler suspension. Subsequently, the Co(azpy) suspension was added into the PI-DMAC solution to form the MMMs casting solution. The casting solution was poured into a glass plate after stirring for 12 h, and then was processed at 50 °C and 80 °C for 12 h and 12 h in succession.

Scheme 1 Chemical structure of (a) l-malic acid, (b) 4,4'-bisazobipyridine (azpy), and (c) Matrimid® 5218 (PI).

Scheme 2 Synthesis process of the light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)].
The as-prepared membranes were peeled from the glass plate, and placed in the vacuum oven at 120 °C. The unfilled PI membrane was also prepared using the same method. The as-prepared MMMs loaded with Co(azpy) were referred to as PI/Co(azpy)-X, where X (X = 10, 15, 20) represented the weight percentage of Co(azpy) relative to the PI polymer. All membrane thicknesses were in the range of 30–70 μm.

2.4 Characterization

The morphologies of Co(azpy) and MMMs were observed by field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM, S-4800). The crystalline property and chemical structure of Co(azpy) and MMMs were measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD, D8 Advance) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR, BRUKER Vertex 70), respectively. The thermal stability of Co(azpy) and MMMs was explored by thermal gravimmetrical analysis (TGA, STA409PC). N₂ adsorption–desorption and CO₂ adsorption isotherm measurements of Co(azpy) were tested at 298 K (ASAP 2020). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, D8-DISCOVER) was conducted to measure the glass transition temperatures (T_g) of the membranes. The SO₂ adsorption capacity of Co(azpy) was measured by gravimetric method under visible light and ultraviolet light, respectively. The light-responsive characteristic was investigated by TU-1901 ultraviolet-visible absorption spectrometry.

2.5 Gas permeation tests of Co(azpy)-loaded MMMs

Gas separation performances of the Co(azpy)-loaded membranes were measured by a constant pressure/variable volume method, as reported. The CO₂, SO₂ and N₂ permeabilities were conducted. The gas membrane separation apparatus is shown in Scheme 3, which displayed the gas separation process. The CO₂/N₂ pure gas system and SO₂/N₂ (1:9 in vol) mixed gas were tested as feeding gases. The permeability (P_i, Barrer, and 1 Barrer = 10^-10 cm³ (STP) cm (cm² s⁻¹ cmHg⁻¹) could be calculated by eqn (1),

\[ P_i = \frac{Q_i}{\Delta P_i A} \]  

The selectivity of the CO₂(SO₂)/N₂ (α_ij) system was calculated by eqn (2),

\[ \alpha = \frac{P_i}{P_j} \]  

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of the nanosheets

The morphology of Co(azpy) was characterized by scanning electron microscopy, as shown in Fig. 1. The particle size of Co(azpy) synthesized by hydrothermal reaction method is large.
(about 10–30 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and is not uniform. However, Co(azpy) particles synthesized by microwave method have regular morphology and uniform size, and the particle size of about 3 \( \mu \text{m} \) is much smaller than those of the Co(azpy) particles synthesized by hydrothermal method. From Fig. 1(c), we could obtain the sheet-shaped morphology of Co(azpy) and the particle size. From Fig. 1(d), we could obtain the thickness of the Co(azpy) sheet at about 400 nm. The Co(azpy) synthesized by microwave method with small particle size may possess a large specific surface area, which is beneficial to adsorbing SO\(_2\).

As shown in Fig. 2, the XRD patterns of the hydrothermal and microwave synthesis methods of Co(azpy) exhibit basically the same peak positions. The peak positions of Co(azpy) prepared by the two methods are identical to those of the simulated Co(azpy), indicating that the crystal structure of Co(azpy) synthesized by these two methods has no significant variation in comparison with the simulated Co(azpy). The peak position is identical to the data, as reported in the literature,\(^4\) which indicates that Co(azpy) was successfully synthesized by both hydrothermal and microwave reaction methods.

The sheet-shaped Co(AzDC) of about 3 \( \mu \text{m} \) prepared by microwave method displays relatively uniform and small particle size, which was chosen for further investigation. The N\(_2\) adsorption–desorption isotherm of Co(azpy) at 77 K is shown in Fig. 3(a). Co(azpy) exhibits the microporous structure, which is in accordance with the result (pore size \( \sim 7.0 \times 6.2 \ \text{\AA}^2 \)), as reported in the literature.\(^4\) The adsorption isotherm of Co(azpy) for N\(_2\) and CO\(_2\) at 273 K is displayed in Fig. 3(b). It can be seen that the adsorption selectivity of CO\(_2\)/N\(_2\) is high at low pressure below 400 mmHg pressure, and the adsorption selectivity descends as the pressure ascends. However, the Co(azpy) retains high adsorption selectivity of about 10 at 760 mmHg pressure. In order to investigate the thermal stability of

Fig. 3 (a) N\(_2\) adsorption–desorption isotherms; (b) CO\(_2\) and N\(_2\) adsorption capacities; and (c) TGA plot of Co(azpy) prepared by microwave method.

Fig. 4 The ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectrum of (a) the azobenzene-4,4-dicarboxylic acid ligand (azpy) solution and (b) Co(azpy); the infrared spectra of the (c) Co(azpy) particles.
Co(azpy) synthesized by microwave method, a TGA test was conducted. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the degradation process of Co(azpy) is mainly divided into two stages. The first stage is the release of solvent molecules in the range of 50–150 °C, and the second stage is the degradation of azpy in the range of 270–500 °C. The relatively high stable temperature of Co(azpy) is up to 270 °C.

Fig. 4 displays the ultraviolet-visible spectra of the ligand (azpy) and light-responsive MOFs [Co(azpy)]. From Fig. 4(a), it can be seen that the ligand azpy displays a strong absorption peak at 290 nm, which corresponded to the absorption peak of the π–π* transition of the anti-formula structure. Obviously, azpy exhibits a certain red shift after ultraviolet stimulation. This may be attributed to the nitrogen atom on the pyridine group, which possesses a lone pair of electrons and participates in the conjugation of the benzene ring, influenced by the ultraviolet light to the transition state. Under ultraviolet light, the intensity of the maximum absorption peak of the ligand azpy at 290 nm decreases, and the absorbance of the n–π* transition at 460 nm is almost not changed. Obviously, the decrease in the intensity of the maximum absorption peak of the ligand azpy is caused by the structural transformation of azpy from trans to cis. Fig. 4(b) displays the UV-Vis spectrum of the Co(azpy) powder. It can be seen that Co(azpy) exhibits strong broad peaks around 295 nm and 480 nm, respectively, and the intensity of the absorption peak at 295 nm descends as the time of the ultraviolet light stimulation increases. This indicates that in Co(azpy), the ligand azpy already has undergone structural transformation from trans to cis.

The adsorption processes of SO2 for the light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)] synthesized by hydrothermal method tested at 295 K and 273 K, respectively, are displayed in Fig. 5(a). At 273 K, the Co(azpy) dynamic adsorption reaches equilibrium at 4 h, and the adsorption capacity is 285 mg g\(^{-1}\). At 295 K, the maximum adsorption capacity of Co(azpy) to SO2 is 140 mg g\(^{-1}\), and the adsorption process is slow, mainly due to the large particle size of Co(azpy) synthesized by hydrothermal method. It can be seen from Fig. 5(b) that at 295 K and 273 K, the Co(azpy) synthesized by the microwave method almost reaches adsorption equilibrium within 10 min. The equilibrium adsorption capacities at 273 and 295 K are up to 300 and 220 mg g\(^{-1}\) within 10 min, respectively. The main factors for the high adsorption capacities of Co(azpy) are attributed to the following aspects. On the one hand, Co(azpy) synthesized by the microwave method possesses small particle size and large specific surface area, which is beneficial to the enhancement of SO2 adsorption capacity. On the other hand, the –N==N– group in the Co(azpy) channel, which can be regarded as a Lewis base, has a strong interaction with SO2, thereby increasing the adsorption capacity of Co(azpy) to SO2. Moreover, the pyridine
group in Co(azpy) acts as a basic group and also exhibits a strong interaction with SO₂, which promotes the improvement of the adsorption capacity of SO₂. The advantage of the Co(azpy) particles prepared by microwave method compared with synthesis by hydrothermal method is that the adsorption process is fast and less time-consuming.

The SO₂ adsorption capacities of Co(azpy) prepared by hydrothermal method is displayed in Fig. 6(a) at 295 K tested under UV and visible light conditions, respectively. The adsorption capacity under visible light is significantly higher than that under ultraviolet light after 2 h of adsorption test, and the difference in adsorption capacity reaches about 60 mg g⁻¹ after 6 h. The SO₂ adsorption process of Co(azpy) synthesized by microwave method at 295 K is exhibited in Fig. 6(b), and the SO₂ adsorption capacities are measured both under UV and visible light conditions, respectively. The SO₂ adsorption capacity of Co(azpy) was increased by ~31% under visible light irradiation comparison with under ultraviolet light irradiation at 6 h. The increased adsorption of SO₂ by Co(azpy) under visible light conditions, in comparison with under ultraviolet light, is attributed to the configuration variation. The configuration of Co(azpy) under visible light is trans, and it transforms into the cis configuration under ultraviolet light. This trans-cis transition causes variations in the channel structure of Co(azpy) and changes the adsorption capacities of the gas.

3.2 Characterization of the membranes

The cross-sectional morphologies of the un-filled membrane and the MMMs were tested by scanning electron microscope (SEM). The introduction of the light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)] affects the cross-sectional morphology of the membrane, as shown in Fig. 7. Co(azpy) is gradually deposited in the membrane as the Co(azpy) content increases, causing a large amount of Co(azpy) sheets to accumulate on one side of the membrane. The MMMs with deposition of Co(azpy) still maintain good compactness, and the deposition side of Co(azpy) may accelerate the gas transport in the membrane, including the dissolution and diffusion processes. Generally speaking, the filler begins to coagulate due to the action of gravity as the particle size of the filler is on the micron scale, and the sedimentation process follows Stokes’ law. The particle size of the Co(azpy) sheets filler is 3 μm. Thus, the Co(azpy) sheets in MMMs begin to coagulate as the content exceeds 15%.

Fig. 8(a) displays the XRD patterns of the un-filled membrane and Co(azpy)-incorporated MMMs, which has a characteristic peak of Co(azpy) at 2θ = 7°, and the intensity of the characteristic peak increases with increasing Co(azpy) content. The result indicates that the structure of Co(azpy) remains intact after being doped into the PI matrix. The T_g of the un-filled PI membrane and MMMs is exhibited in Fig. 8(b). The T_g of the un-filled PI membrane is about 321.5 °C, and the T_g of the MMMs is slightly higher than that of the un-filled PI membrane,
except for PI/Co(azpy)-25 MMM. This is ascribed to the doping of the Co(azpy) fillers, which causes the PI polymer chain to become rigid to a certain extent, restraining the chain mobility of the polymer. However, the $T_g$ variations of MMMs are minor, and all within $3^\circ$. The highest $T_g$ of PI/Co(azpy)-10 is $324.5^\circ C$, beneficial to the enhancement of the gas diffusion selectivity, which is attributed to the relatively uniform dispersion of the filler in the membrane.

3.3 Gas separation performance

3.3.1 Pure gas separation performance. The results of the CO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance test for the Co(azpy)-filled MMMs both in the dry state and humidified state are shown in Fig. 9. The CO$_2$ permeability of the light-responsive MOF [Co(azpy)]-doped MMMs in the dry state increases with the increasing Co(azpy) content, from 6.62 Barrer for the unfilled membrane to 14.4 Barrer for the MMMs loaded with Co(azpy) at a content of 20%. The CO$_2$ permeability and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of MMMs are 8.79 Barrer and 88, respectively, at the Co(azpy) loading of 10%. The CO$_2$ permeability increases to 14.4 Barrer as the Co(azpy) loading ascends to 20%, while the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity remains basically unchanged in the dry state. The increase in the CO$_2$ permeability of MMMs is mainly ascribed to the following factors. Firstly, the presence of pores in Co(azpy) elevates the free volume fraction of the membrane, which is beneficial to the gas diffusion. Secondly, the –N=N– group in the channel of Co(azpy) may promote CO$_2$ transport. Thirdly, the pyridine group in Co(azpy) may act as a CO$_2$ transport site to accelerate the dissolution and diffusion of CO$_2$ in the membrane. Finally, the enhanced CO$_2$ permeability is attributed to the junction between Co(azpy) and the PI matrix, which can be used as an extra CO$_2$ transport channel. Co(azpy) deposits more obviously in the MMMs as the Co(azpy) content exceeds 20%, resulting in the separation of the two phases, producing non-selective defects, and thus reduces the selectivity to some extent. Before the humidified test, the membranes are pretreated by immersion into water for two weeks. The gas permeabilities of both CO$_2$ and N$_2$ are significantly enhanced, while the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity is slightly improved, as shown in Fig. 9(c) and (d), in comparison with MMMs in the dry state. The CO$_2$ permeability and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity reach 293 Barrer and 103.2, respectively. The enhanced gas permeability is ascribed to the enlarged interchain space due to the swollen polymer matrix in the humidified state, which allows for more gas molecules to transport. The slightly enhanced CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity is ascribed to the presence of a basic group from the pyridine ring, which facilitates the CO$_2$ transport in the humidified state. The CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity is notably improved, and the remarkably enhanced CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity is attributed to the following aspects. On the one hand, the enhanced CO$_2$/N$_2$ adsorption selectivity of ~10 at 1 bar (Fig. 3) is ascribed to the excellent affinity due to the presence of the –N=N– group and pyridine group, which results

![Fig. 9](https://example.com/fig9.png)  The influence of the Co(azpy) content on the CO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance.
in the improvement of the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity. On the other hand, the sheet structure of Co(azpy) provides more gas transport channels for the small molecule CO$_2$ rather than the large molecule N$_2$, which elevates the CO$_2$/N$_2$ diffusivity selectivity. Consequently, the interface structure constructed by PI and the Co(azpy) sheet generates more CO$_2$ gas transport channels and CO$_2$-philic sites, which improves both the CO$_2$ permeability and CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity.

### 3.3.2 Mixed gas separation performance.

The SO$_2$/N$_2$ separation performance test of the unfilled membrane and MMMs is also shown in Fig. 10. The SO$_2$ permeability and SO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of the unfilled membrane and MMMs are higher than that of the CO$_2$/N$_2$ system. This is because compared with other gases, the permeation process of SO$_2$ in the polymer membranes tends to be mainly determined by solubility, not by diffusion rate. The high solubility of SO$_2$ is attributed to the high condensability of SO$_2$, such as its high critical temperature of 430.8 K, while the critical temperature of CO$_2$ is merely 304.2 K. Moreover, the –N=N– group in the channel of the Co(azpy) filler in the MMMs can be regarded as a Lewis base, which has an affinity to SO$_2$ and elevates the solubility characteristic of SO$_2$. Besides, the pyridine group in Co(azpy) may be used as the facilitated transport site of SO$_2$, which accelerates the dissolution and diffusion of SO$_2$ in MMMs.

### 3.3.3 Effect of visible light and ultraviolet light irradiation.

According to the pure gas separation performance test of the membrane, it is concluded that the gas separation performance of the PI/Co(azpy)-20 membrane is the optimum. Thus, the PI/Co(azpy)-20 membrane is used for the photosensitive test. As shown in Fig. 11, under ultraviolet light, the SO$_2$ permeability and the SO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of the PI/Co(azpy)-20 membrane is 36 Barrer and 211, respectively. Meanwhile, under visible light, the SO$_2$ permeability and the SO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity of the PI/Co(azpy)-20 membrane are increased to 58 Barrer and 341, respectively. Under visible light irradiation, the SO$_2$ permeability of the PI/Co(azpy)-20 membrane is 61% higher than that under UV light condition, while the change in the N$_2$ permeability is basically negligible. This can be attributed to the following aspects due to the incorporation of light-responsive MOF [(Co(azpy) into the MMMs. Co(azpy) may play a role in regulating the size of the channels in MMMs, which is very beneficial to the transport of SO$_2$. Co(azpy) possesses a photoactive azo group, which can undergo photo isomerization, as shown in Scheme 4, and change the channel structure of the Co(azpy). Moreover, the –N=N– group and the pyridine group in the channels of Co(azpy) as basic sites can promote the transport of SO$_2$. It can be inferred that under ultraviolet light, Co(azpy) is in cis configuration and the channel becomes narrow. Meanwhile, under visible light conditions, Co(azpy) is in the trans configuration and the channel becomes wide. Consequently, photo isomerization of the light-responsive MOFs simulated by ultraviolet and visible light changes the permeability of the SO$_2$.
gas in MMMs, which constructs a smart membrane for efficient gas separation.

**3.3.4 Comparison of the gas separation performance with the reported literature studies.** The comparison of the gas separation performance in this study with results reported in the literature is shown in Fig. 12 and Table 1. It has been obviously observed that incorporation of light-responsive MOFs into the Matrimid® 5218 matrix may improve both CO₂ permeability and the CO₂/N₂ selectivity, which may be barely reached by other series of Matrimid® 5218-based MMMs. The CO₂/N₂ gas separation performance of light-responsive MOFs sheets-loaded MMMs surpasses the 2019 upper bound redefined by McKeown.

### 4. Conclusion

In conclusion, one new kind of light-responsive metal–organic frameworks (MOFs)-incorporated smart membrane has been designed and fabricated. The light-responsive metal–organic framework is first synthetized by the microwave method, and the morphology of the sheet can be obtained. The CO₂ permeability and CO₂/N₂ selectivity of the humidified MMMs reach 293 Barrer and 103.2, respectively, which were significantly increased by 3.47-fold and 2.11-fold, respectively, both surpassing the upper bound reported in 2008 and 2019. The light-responsive MOFs sheets are incorporated into commercial polymer materials to fabricate MMMs. In particular, under irradiation with visible light, the azo group in the ligand can be switched from the cis to trans configuration, contributing to introducing more adsorbed SO₂ molecules. Moreover, the N₂ permeability only exhibits slight variation under visible light illumination, while the SO₂ permeability ascends notably due to the enhanced interaction of the SO₂ multipole moments with the trans light-responsive MOFs, thus resulting in a significant increment of the SO₂/N₂ selectivity from 211 to 341. The as-prepared light-responsive N₂ selectivity MMM is a promising candidate for highly selective and low energy SO₂ capture application, which also provides a potential pathway for remote control of the membrane permeability and selectivity by external stimuli.

**Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

**Acknowledgements**

This study is financially supported from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21706189, 21978217, 21676201), Tianjin Municipal Education Commission Scientific Research Project (2017KJ074), Science and Technology Plans of Tianjin (18JQNJ06800), Tianjin Natural Science Foundation (No. 18JCYBJC89400), and the University Students’ innovation and entrepreneurship training program (202010058050, 202110058127).

**Notes and references**

1. P. Brandt, A. Nuhnen, M. Lange, J. Möllmer, O. Weingart and C. Janiak, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 17350–17358.
2. L. Ye, L. Wang, X. Jie, C. Yu, G. Kang and Y. Cao, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2019, 573, 21–35.
3. L. X. Zhu, M. T. Swihart and H. Q. Lin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, 11, 94–100.
4. J. J. Zhang, J. G. Shao, D. R. Huang, Y. Feng, X. Zhang, S. H. Zhang and H. P. Chen, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, 385, 1–10.
5. C. H. Park, J. H. Lee, J. P. Jung, W. Lee, D. Y. Ryu and J. H. Kim, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 1143–1147.
© 2022 The Author(s). Published by the Royal Society of Chemistry
55 X. Li, L. Ma, H. Zhang, S. Wang, Z. Jiang, R. Guo, H. Wu, X. Cao, J. Yang and B. Wang, J. Membr. Sci., 2015, 479, 1–10.
56 F. Moghadam, M. R. Omidkhah, E. Vasheghani-Farahani, M. Z. Pedram and F. Dorosti, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2011, 77, 128–136.
57 N. Prasetya and B. P. Ladewig, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 34291–34301.
58 X. Jiang, S. S. He, G. Han, J. Long, S. W. Li, C. H. Lau, S. Zhang and L. Shao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021, 13, 11296–11305.
59 S. Shahid, G. V. Baron, J. F. M. Denayer, J. A. Martens, L. H. Wee and I. F. J. Vankelecom, J. Membr. Sci., 2021, 620, 1–12.
60 A. M. Marti, S. R. Venna, E. A. Roth, J. T. Culp and D. P. Hopkinson, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, 10, 24784–24790.
61 M. van Essen, L. van den Akker, R. Thur, M. Houben, I. F. J. Vankelecom, Z. Borneman and K. Nijmeijer, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2021, 260, 1–11.
62 S. Wu, J. Liang, Y. Shi, M. Huang, X. Bi, Z. Wang and J. Jin, J. Membr. Sci., 2021, 618, 1–9.
63 B. B. Zhang, Q. J. Yan, G. Chen, C. H. Yi, S. T. Qi and B. L. Yang, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2021, 254, 1–10.