Identification and Functional Analysis of a Flavonol Synthase Gene from Grape Hyacinth
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Abstract: Flavonols are important copigments that affect flower petal coloration. Flavonol synthase (FLS) catalyzes the conversion of dihydroflavonols to flavonols. In this study, we identified a FLS gene, MaFLS, expressed in petals of the ornamental monocot Muscari aucheri (grape hyacinth) and analyzed its spatial and temporal expression patterns. qRT-PCR analysis showed that MaFLS was predominantly expressed in the early stages of flower development. We next analyzed the in planta functions of MaFLS. Heterologous expression of MaFLS in Nicotiana tabacum (tobacco) resulted in a reduction in pigmentation in the petals, substantially inhibiting the expression of endogenous tobacco genes involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis (i.e., NtDFR, NtANS, and NtAN2) and upregulating the expression of NtFLS. The total anthocyanin content in the petals of the transformed tobacco plants was dramatically reduced, whereas the total flavonol content was increased. Our study suggests that MaFLS plays a key role in flavonol biosynthesis and flower coloration in grape hyacinth. Moreover, MaFLS may represent a new potential gene for molecular breeding of flower color modification and provide a basis for analyzing the effects of copigmentation on flower coloration in grape hyacinth.
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1. Introduction

Flower color is a key characteristic of ornamental plants [1]. Anthocyanins are flavonoids that contribute to the pink, red, orange, scarlet, violet, blue, and yellow pigmentation of ornamental plant flowers [2]. Copigmentation with other flavonoids influences the hue and intensity of flower color. Copigmentation can stabilize colored pigments and induce a blue shift in the final visible color [3]. Flavonols are one group of flavonoid that can act as copigments sandwiched between anthocyanins, causing color shifts and an increased color variety [1]. Furthermore, flavonols can themselves impart pale yellow or yellow coloration [4].

Both anthocyanins and flavonols are produced by branches of the flavonoid pathway and are derived from dihydroflavonols (dihydrokaempferol: DHK, dihydroquercetin: DHQ, and dihydromyricetin: DHM). Anthocyanins are synthesized by the sequential reaction of dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR), anthocyanidin synthase (ANS), and glucosyltransferase (UFGT). In competition with DFR at a crucial branch point, individually acting FLS can catalyze the oxidation of dihydroflavonols to flavonols [4]. FLS is characterized as a soluble 2-ODD that requires cofactors 2-oxoglutarate, ferrous
iron (II), and ascorbate and introduces the double bond between C-2 and C-3 of the C-ring [5,6]. Besides FLS, other 2-ODD enzymes, namely, flavanone 3β-hydroxylase (F3H), flavone synthase I (FNS I), and ANS, also function in flavonoid biosynthesis [7].

The first FLS cDNA was cloned from petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) and was functionally expressed in yeast and plants [6]. Additional FLS genes have since been identified and characterized in various plant species, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* [8–10], *Eustoma grandiflorum* (lisianthus) [1], *Citrus unshiu* [11], *Glycine max* [12], *Gentiana triflora* [13], *Ginkgo biloba* [14], *Zea mays* (maize) [15], *Camellia nitidissima* [4], *Vaccinium corymbosum* [16], *Allium cepa* (onion) [17], *Cyclamen purpurascens* (cyclamen) [18], and *Litchi chinensis* [19]. There have been some reports of FLS genes in ornamental plants that function in flower coloration. Holton et al. demonstrated that antisense expression of a FLS gene in petunia reduced flavonol synthesis and changed the light pink coloration of petals and filaments to red. Similarly, constitutive overexpression of a FLS gene from lisianthus produced flowers with a deeper magenta coloration than the wild type (WT) plants [1]. Heterologous expression of *CnFLS1* in *Nicotiana tabacum* (tobacco) changed floral coloration, resulting in white flowers [4]. Akita et al. isolated two FLS genes (*CpurFLS1* and *CpurFLS2*) and discussed their involvement in flower coloration in cyclamen [18]. Furthermore, previous studies also showed that FLS can cooperate with DFR to control the metabolic balance between the anthocyanin and flavonol branches of the flavonoid pathway to ultimately determine the formation of flower color [20], or identified the transcription factor R2R3-MYB, which regulates FLS to affect spatial pattern variation of floral pigments [21].

Grape hyacinth (*Muscari* spp.), named for its unique grape-like color and shape, is a monocotyledonous ornamental bulbous plant that blossoms in mid-spring [22,23]. Generally, grape hyacinth varieties display a single color, such as white, pink, purple, azure, cobalt, violet, or lavender. Rarely, some species produce upper flowers that are a different color to the lower flowers [22]. Because of its variable flower coloration, grape hyacinth is a good model to study the secondary metabolism of flower coloration in monocots [22,24]. Previous studies of grape hyacinth flower coloration have focused on the chemical basis of anthocyanin-related pigmentation and on the functions of structural and regulatory proteins involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis [22,23,25]. Few studies have examined the compounds involved in copigmentation or analyzed the genes affecting copigmentation. It is valuable to elucidate the mechanism of flower color formation or create the novel color morphs of grape hyacinth via molecular regulation of the key gene (FLS) for flavonol synthesis.

In this study, we identified a FLS gene expressed in grape hyacinth petals, *MaFLS*, and analyzed its spatial and temporal expression patterns. Moreover, we measured flavonol contents at different floral developmental stages and in different organs. Via heterologous expression in tobacco, we examined the function of *MaFLS* in planta by examining the effect on phenotype, flavonoid content, and expression of endogenous genes in the petals of the transgenic tobacco lines. We discuss the potential role of *MaFLS* during floral coloration of grape hyacinth. Overall, our study provides further evidence to a growing literature on FLS–DFR competition and provides the basis for analyzing the effects of copigmentation on flower coloration in grape hyacinth.

2. Results

2.1. *MaFLS* Gene Cloning and Sequence Analysis

We searched for FLS homologs in the transcriptome of *M. armeniacum* flowers [24] by local BlastP querying with the characterized *A. thaliana* FLS1 [9,26,27]. One FLS homolog was identified and designated *MaFLS* (GenBank accession number MH636605) and its cDNA was isolated by RACE-PCR and PCR. *MaFLS* consisted of 1418 nucleotides with a poly(A) tail, of which 993 bp represented an ORF encoding 330 amino acid residues, with a molecular weight of 36.45 kDa and a theoretical pl of 6.33.

Comparison of the deduced *MaFLS* amino acid sequence and that of other FLS proteins with known functions revealed that it shared 72% and 71% identity with monocot *Allium cepa* AcFLS-HRB (AY647262) and AcFLS-H6 (AY221247), respectively; 67% identity with *Citrus unshiu* CuFLS (AB011796);
were identified as potential active DHQ binding site residues, highly conserved in FLSs of various species (AFS63900, His216, Asp218, and His272; marked by black arrows; Figure 1) and the 2-oxoglutarate binding residues (Arg282 and Ser284; marked by gray arrows; Figure 1) of FLS proteins. This clearly indicated that MaFLS belonged to the soluble Fe$^{2+}$/2-ODD protein family. Furthermore, MaFLS possessed five key amino acids (Tyr127, Phe129, Lys197, Phe288, and Ser290; marked by black dots; Figure 1) that were identified as potential active DHQ binding site residues, highly conserved in FLSs of various species [26]. In addition, MaFLS contained the FLS-specific motifs “PxxxIRxxxEQP” and “SxxTxLVP”, which can be used to distinguish FLSs from other plant 2-ODDs, like F3H, ANS, and FNS. MaFLS possessed the residues responsible for the proper folding of the FLS polypeptide (Gly65 and Gly256; marked by asterisks; Figure 1), which are conserved in all 2-ODD proteins [17].

Figure 1. Multiple alignment of the predicted amino acid sequence of MaFLS with that of other flavonol synthase (FLS) proteins. MaFLS is compared with FLS sequences from Eustoma grandiflorum (EgFLS), Gentiana triflora (GtFLS), Petunia hybrida (PhFLS), Nicotiana tabacum (NtFLS), Petroserinum crispum (PcFLS), Camellia nitidissima (CnFLS), Cyclamen purpurascens (CpurFLS), Citrus unshiu (CuFLS), Allium cepa (AcFLS-H6 and AcFLS-HRB), Arabidopsis thaliana (AtFLS1), Acacia confusa (AcFLS), and Ginkgo biloba (GbFLS). The alignment was generated using CLC sequence viewer 8.0. The FLS-specific motifs “PxxxIRxxxEQP” and “SxxTxLVP” are indicated. Black arrows indicate the ferrous iron-binding residues (His216, Asp218, and His272). Gray arrows represent the 2-oxoglutarate binding residues (Arg282 and Ser284). Black dots show the putative DHQ-binding residues (Tyr127, Phe129, Lys197, Phe288, and Ser290). Asterisks indicate the residues responsible for the proper folding of the FLS polypeptide (Gly65 and Gly256).

To determine the relationship between the putative MaFLS protein and other plant FLSs, we performed a phylogenetic analysis with the functionally characterized plant FLSs as well as various putative FLSs (Figure 2). MaFLS was grouped with fellow monocots close to Narcissus tazetta NtaFLS (AFS63900), Allium cepa AcFLS-H6 (AY221247), and AcFLS-HRB (AY647262) in the order Asparagales, and Lilium regale LrFLS (ASV46329) in the order Liliales (Figure 2). MaFLS showed a marked separation from enzymes of dicot plants and of monocot Poaceae grasses. These results indicate that the phylogenetic analysis fits well with the genetic relationships among the species.
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“White Beauty” [22]. Therefore, these results likely reflect relatively higher flavonol accumulation and extremely low anthocyanin accumulation during the formation of white flowers in “White Beauty”.

Figure 3. The flavonol content and expression profiles of MaFLS in M. aucheri “Dark eyes” and M. aucheri “White Beauty”. (A) The inflorescence and the petals at five flower developmental stages of M. aucheri “Dark eyes” and M. aucheri “White Beauty”. Bars, 5 mm. (B) The total flavonol content of petals. FW: Fresh weight. (C) The expression profile of MaFLS. Flavonol content and MaFLS expression were determined in roots (R), bulbs (B), leaves (L), and petals at five flower developmental stages (S1–S5). MaActin was used as the internal expression control. Each column represents means ± SD from three technical replicates. Different letters above the bars indicate significantly different values (P < 0.05) calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by a Duncan’s multiple range test.

Furthermore, we determined the expression levels of MaFLS in different organs and flower development stages by qRT-PCR analysis. MaFLS transcript levels were highest in S1 buds, whereas
MaFLS expression was undetectable in S2–5 petals and in the roots, bulbs, and leaves of M. aucheri “White Beauty” (Figure 3C). Similarly, in M. aucheri “Dark eyes”, MaFLS expression was extraordinarily high in S1 buds, markedly lower in S2 petals, and undetectable in S3–5 petals. Moreover, MaFLS expression was barely detectable in the roots and bulbs, and slightly detectable in the leaves of M. aucheri “Dark eyes” (Figure 3C). Thus, MaFLS is predominantly expressed in the early stages of flower development in grape hyacinth, which is consistent with reports in other ornamental plants, such as carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus), petunia, lisianthus, and cyclamen [1,6,18,28]. Together, our results show that MaFLS likely plays a key role in flower coloration, alongside other copigmentation-related FLS genes. Nevertheless, MaFLS expression is not concomitant with the accumulation of total flavonols in grape hyacinth.

2.3. In Vivo Localization of MaFLS

To detect the subcellular localization of MaFLS, the positive control 35S:GFP (pBI221) and the recombinant plasmid pBI221-MaFLS-GFP were transformed into A. thaliana mesophyll protoplasts. As shown in Figure 4, fluorescence from 35S:GFP was dispersed throughout A. thaliana mesophyll protoplasts, whereas fluorescence from the MaFLS:GFP fusion protein was mainly localized in the cytosol and cell periphery. Therefore, MaFLS is both a cytoplasmic and cell periphery protein.

![Subcellular localization of MaFLS proteins. Transient expression of the MaFLS-GFP fusion protein and the 35S:GFP control in A. thaliana mesophyll protoplasts. GFP, GFP fluorescence; autofluorescence, chloroplast autofluorescence; merge is an overlay of chloroplast autofluorescence, GFP fluorescence, and bright-field images. Bars, 25 mm.](image-url)

2.4. Heterologous Expression of MaFLS in Tobacco Alters Petal Color

To ascertain the function of MaFLS in flower coloration, MaFLS was transformed into tobacco (N. tabacum “NC89”) under the control of the 35S-CaMV promoter for heterologous expression experiments. We identified 11 transformant lines by PCR analysis, using the vector-specific primers 2300-F and 2300-R (Table S1). Compared with the deep pink flowers of wild-type tobacco, the transgenic tobacco lines heterologously expressing MaFLS showed reduced levels of petal pigmentation (Figure 5A). Five lines displayed a severe phenotype (S) of pale pink to completely white petals; three lines displayed a medium phenotype (M) of pink petals, whereas the remaining lines displayed a weak phenotype (W) of pale pink petals (Figure 5A).
Figure 5. Changes in tobacco flowers induced by heterologous expression of \( \text{MaFLS} \). (A) Heterologous expression of \( \text{MaFLS} \) in transgenic tobacco flowers resulted in a clear phenotypic change in petal coloration. Shown are non-transformed controls (WT) and three \( \text{MaFLS} \)-expressing lines exhibiting different phenotypic characteristics (S, strong; M, medium; W, weak). Bars, 5 cm. (B) qRT-PCR analysis of \( \text{MaFLS} \) relative expression levels in the petals of three independent transgenic tobacco lines. \( \text{NtTubA1} \) was used as the internal expression control. (C) The color of the extractions is shown in the centrifugal tubes. HPLC analysis of anthocyanin and flavonol levels in tobacco petals in mg/g fresh weight (FW) of the non-transformed control (wild type, WT) and the transgenic line with a strong phenotype (S). Each column represents means ± SD from three technical replicates. Different letters above the bars indicate significantly different values (\( P < 0.05 \)) calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test.

To further investigate \( \text{MaFLS} \) expression levels in the transgenic tobacco lines, we carried out qRT-PCR analysis using \( \text{MaFLS} \)-specific qRT-PCR primers (Table S1). We discovered that the extent of change in petal coloration was consistent with the relative expression levels of \( \text{MaFLS} \) in the transgenic tobacco lines, in that the lines with a severe and a weak phenotype had the highest and lowest \( \text{MaFLS} \) expression levels, respectively (Figure 5B). Therefore, the changes in petal color in the transgenic tobacco lines appear to be the result of heterologous \( \text{MaFLS} \) expression.
In addition, we further investigated the changes in anthocyanin and flavonol levels in petals from transgenic tobacco lines with a severe phenotype by HPLC. The color of the extractions indicated that the petals of lines with a severe phenotype contained less anthocyanins than the non-transformed control (Figure 5C). This was confirmed by HPLC analysis, which showed that the severe phenotype lines had a clear reduction in total anthocyanin levels; however, they accumulated higher levels of total flavonols, compared to the non-transformed control (Figure 5C). These results strongly suggest that high-level MaFLS expression in transgenic tobacco lines causes a remarkable decrease in anthocyanin content and an increase in flavonol accumulation in vivo, which results in a severe reduction in petal pigmentation.

2.5. Heterologous Expression of MaFLS in Tobacco Affects the Expression of Anthocyanin Pathway Genes

Subsequently, we conducted a qRT-PCR expression analysis of endogenous genes involved in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway in the petals of MaFLS-expressing transgenic tobacco (Figure 6A, primers are listed in Table S1). The analyzed genes included twelve structural genes (NtPAL, NtC4H, Nt4CL, NtCHS, NtCHI, NtF3H, NtF3'H, NtF3'S'H, NtFLS, NtDFR, NtANS, and NtUFGT) and three regulatory genes (NtAN2, NtAN1a, and NtAN1b), which are highlighted in red (Figure 6A).

Based on the one-way ANOVA statistical results presented in Figure 6, only the expression level of NtCHI was not significantly different between the MaFLS-expressing lines and the non-transformed control; all the other genes showed the decreased expressions. In particular, NtCHS, NtF3H, NtDFR, NtANS, and NtAN2 expression was remarkably lower in the MaFLS-expressing lines than in the non-transformed control (Figure 6B–D). Particularly, the transcript levels of two endogenous genes (NtDFR and NtANS) and one regulatory gene (NtAN2), closely related to anthocyanin biosynthesis, showed remarkable reductions in three MaFLS-expressing lines, compared with the non-transformed control (Figure 6C,D). Conversely, the expression of endogenous NtFLS was markedly upregulated in the MaFLS-expressing lines. These results suggest that heterologous expression of MaFLS in tobacco petals substantially inhibited expression of the key structural genes (NtDFR and NtANS) and the vital regulatory gene (NtAN2) that have been implicated in anthocyanin biosynthesis and upregulated the expression of endogenous NtFLS.
petals substantially inhibited expression of the key structural genes (MaFLS control (Figure 6C,D). Conversely, the expression of endogenous showed remarkable reductions in three non-transformed control (Figure 6B–D). Particularly, the transcript levels of two endogenous genes NtANS, NtCHS control; all the other genes showed the decreased expressions. In particular, NtCHI of MaFLS possesses the HxDx nH and RxS motifs for binding ferrous iron and 2-OG, respectively. Based on the one-way ANOVA statistical results presented in Figure 6, only the expression level of NtCHI was not significantly different between the MaFLS-expressing lines and the non-transformed control; all the other genes showed the decreased expressions. In particular, NtCHS, NtF3H, NtDFR, NtANS, and Nt4CL in petals. Data are for the non-transformed control (WT) and three MaFLS-expressing lines exhibiting different phenotypic characteristics (S, strong; M, medium; W, weak). Each column represents means ± SD from three technical replicates. Different letters above the bars indicate significantly different values (P < 0.05) calculated using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan’s multiple range test.

Based on the one-way ANOVA statistical results presented in Figure 6, only the expression level of NtCHI was not significantly different between the MaFLS-expressing lines and the non-transformed control; all the other genes showed the decreased expressions. In particular, NtCHS, NtF3H, NtDFR, NtANS, and NtAN2 expression was remarkably lower in the MaFLS-expressing lines than in the non-transformed control (Figure 6B–D). Particularly, the transcript levels of two endogenous genes (NtDFR and NtANS) and one regulatory gene (NtAN2), closely related to anthocyanin biosynthesis, showed remarkable reductions in three MaFLS-expressing lines, compared with the non-transformed control (Figure 6C,D). Conversely, the expression of endogenous NtFLS was markedly upregulated in the MaFLS-expressing lines. These results suggest that heterologous expression of MaFLS in tobacco petals substantially inhibited expression of the key structural genes (NtDFR and NtANS) and the vital anthocyanin phytochemicals.
3. Discussion

Anthocyanins and flavonols are important flavonoid phytochemicals that contribute to flower coloration. Understanding the molecular and biochemical controls of anthocyanin and flavonol pathways, or studying related genes, is an important focus in the ornamental plant industry, since novel color morphs can be profitable. Here, we describe the cloning and molecular characterization of MaFLS, the first FLS characterized from ornamental monocots. Sequence alignments revealed that MaFLS possesses the HxDxnH and RxS motifs for binding ferrous iron and 2-OG, respectively. Moreover, MaFLS has the five amino acid residues (Tyr127, Phe129, Lys197, Phe288, and Ser290; Figure 1) responsible for substrate binding [26]. It is noteworthy that Tyr127 and Ser290 are not conserved. Similar to Tyr132 in AcFLSs and Phe137 in GbFLS [14,17], the presence of Tyr127 in MaFLS (instead of the His, residue commonly present in other FLSs at this position) might impart improved enzyme catalytic activity for quercetin production [26]. Because the previous study showed that it is a potentially attractive substrate binding site for modification to engineer a FLS with improved activity [26].

Some progress has recently been made in analyzing the anthocyanin profiles of Muscari [22,24,29–31]. However, few reports have focused on the flavonol profiles in Muscari. Our previous work revealed that flavonols in grape hyacinth petals are mainly derivatives of kaempferol and quercetin, in accordance with flavonol accumulation in lisianthus petals [32]. Here, we determined the levels of total flavonols in two grape hyacinth cultivars, one with white flowers and the other with blue. We found that total flavonol content of the white-flower cultivar “White Beauty” was significantly higher than that of blue-flower cultivar “Dark Eyes”. How flavonols regulate flower color in these cultivars is unclear, but one possibility is that they act as copigments that participate in petal coloration.

In addition, we observed little correlation between the expression levels of MaFLS and the accumulation of total flavonols in grape hyacinth. Conversely, positive correlations between flavonoid concentration and mRNA levels of FLS genes have been reported in other plant species [19]. First, this correlation depends on the availability of primary substrate (dihydroflavonols) flux in the pathway, because only some of them can be catalyzed by MaFLS to the corresponding flavonols, while others may synthesize many other molecules that were not measured in this analysis. Second, this phenomenon perhaps indicates that other MaFLS isogenes may be expressed to produce the appropriate flavonols in grape hyacinth, as is the case in A. thaliana, lisianthus, and onion [1,9,17]. However, only one putative MaFLS sequence has been identified thus far. Due to a lack of sufficient genomic resources, we are unaware of other FLS genes that exist in grape hyacinth. Nevertheless, we speculate that the MaFLS characterized here promotes flavonol production to act as UV-B sunscreens and protect young developing floral tissue and that these colorless flavonols may act as copigments sandwiched between anthocyanin molecules to induce a blue shift [1,12]. Furthermore, these colorless flavonols might act as UV-spectrum flower pigments, contributing to the attractive and defensive functions for insects [33], or they might be important for male fertility and auxin metabolism and transport [34,35].

At the subcellular level, MaFLS appears to localize to the cytoplasm and cell periphery, but not to the nucleus, in accordance with the observation that flavonoids are synthesized and localized to the cytoplasm in Arabidopsis [36]. However, there are many flavonoid metabolic enzymes for which dual cytoplasmic/nuclear localization has been observed, such as CHS, CHI, and FLS [35].

We have shown that constitutive heterologous expression of MaFLS influenced flower color in transgenic tobacco lines. HPLC analysis showed a dramatic decrease in the levels of total anthocyanins and an increase in the levels of total flavonols in the resulting tobacco flowers. The severity of the flower color phenotype was highly consistent with the MaFLS transgene expression level. It is noteworthy that over-expression of MaFLS in tobacco inhibited expression of the genes involved in the early step of flavonoid biosynthesis, particularly dampening the expression of the key structural genes.
(NiDFR and NiANS) and the vital regulatory gene (NiAN2) in the anthocyanin synthesis pathway. This phenomenon may be explained as a feedback mechanism existing in the flavonoid pathway that affected the expression of genes in the early step of flavonoid biosynthesis [20]. The result also further demonstrated that the competition between FLS and DFR genes ultimately determines the flower coloration.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Grape hyacinth (M. aucheri “White Beauty” and M. aucheri “Dark Eyes”) plants were grown in the experimental field of the Northwest A&F University at Yangling Distruct in Shaanxi Province, China. The petals of grape hyacinth were divided into five floral development stages (S1–S5), according to the previous description (Figure 3A) [22]. To obtain RNA and metabolite samples, healthy tissues and flowers were collected, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. Tobacco plants (Nicotiana tabacum “NC89”) for transformation were grown aseptically from seed on Murashige and Skoog medium, supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose, and transgenic tobacco flowers were harvested at the full-bloom stage.

4.2. Cloning of Full-Length MaFLS cDNAs

A FLS homolog was identified in the transcriptome of grape hyacinth [24], designated MaFLS. To obtain the full-length cDNA sequence of MaFLS, we performed both 5′- and 3′-RACE experiments, using the flowers of M. aucheri “White Beauty”, as in the previous method [23]. The primers used for 501 and 3′-RACE PCR and full-length gene cloning are listed in Table S1. The obtained MaFLS cDNA sequence was submitted to the NCBI GenBank database (accession number MH636605).

4.3. Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

Full-length amino acid sequences of MaFLS and other FLS proteins were retrieved from the GenBank database. Multiple sequence alignments were performed with CLC sequence viewer 8.0 software. FLS-specific motifs and conserved amino acid residues were indicated by different colored symbols. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the maximum likelihood method with 1000 bootstrap replicates using MEGA 6.0.

4.4. RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from frozen tissue of the flowers, roots, bulbs, and leaves of grape hyacinth (M. aucheri “White Beauty” and M. aucheri “Dark eyes”) as well as flowers of tobacco (NC89), using the Omega Total RNA Kit (Omega, Norcross, GA, USA). Purified RNA was assessed using agarose electrophoresis and measured on a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific). Then, 1-µg RNA aliquots were used for reverse transcription to cDNA, using the PrimeScript™ RT Reagent Kit (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Dalian, China). The cDNA was diluted five-fold and used as the template for qRT-PCR. NovoStart®SYBR qPCR SuperMix Plus (Novoprotein, Shanghai, China) was used as the fluorochrome for the qRT-PCR assay. The assay was conducted using the iQ5 RT-PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Each reaction mixture consisted of NovoStart®SYBR qPCR SuperMix Plus with 0.8 µL of forward and reverse primers each, 1 µL of cDNA, and 7.4 µL of ddH2O in a final volume of 20 µL. The amplification protocol was 95 °C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 20 s, 58 to 62 °C for 20 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The qRT-PCR primers of grape hyacinth and tobacco are listed in Table S1. MaActin and NtTubA1 were used as the internal control genes in each grape hyacinth and tobacco sample, respectively. All analyses were conducted in technical triplicate.
4.5. Subcellular Localization of MaFLS

To investigate the subcellular localization of MaFLS, the ORF of MaFLS without a termination codon was inserted between the XbaI and KpnI sites of the pBl221-GFP vector, using the Seamless Cloning and Assembly Kit (Novoprotein, China; primers are listed in Table S1) to generate the recombinant plasmid pBl221-MaFLS-GFP. Using the PEG-calcium mediated transfection method [37], the recombinant plasmid pBl221-MaFLS-GFP was transformed into A. thaliana mesophyll protoplasts. After 16–18 h of cultivation, the transformed protoplasts were observed with a confocal laser scanning microscope (TCS SP8, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

4.6. Heterologous Expression Vector Construction and Stable Tobacco Transformation

For constructing the MaFLS heterologous expression vector, the MaFLS ORF sequence without a termination codon was inserted between KpnI and XbaI of the pCAMBIA2300 vector, using the Seamless Cloning and Assembly Kit (Novoprotein, China; primers are listed in Table S1) to produce the recombinant plasmid p2300-MaFLS. Then, the recombinant plasmid p2300-MaFLS was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens Gv3101 (MP) by electroporation. Tobacco leaf disk transformation was conducted using a previously described protocol [38]. T0 generation of transgenic tobacco lines heterologously expressing MaFLS were used for qPCR analysis, and the lines showing a severe phenotypic change in petal pigmentation were used for further HPLC analysis.

4.7. HPLC Analysis

Petals from the five floral developmental stages; roots, bulbs, and leaves of grape hyacinth; and the fresh petals of transgenic tobacco were ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and extracted with methanol to H2O to formic acid to trifluoroacetic acid (70:27:2:1, v/v/v/v) for analysis of total anthocyanins [39,40] or extracted with methanol for analysis of total flavonols [41]. The supernatant was filtered through 0.22-µm Millipore filters. Total anthocyanin content of tobacco flowers was measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer (UV2600, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and calculated according to the equation: QAnthocyanins = (A530 - 0.25 × A657) × M⁻¹.

Flavonol was detected at 360 nm using reverse HPLC analysis, as in the previous descriptions [22,23]. The results were expressed as milligrams of anthocyanins or flavonols per gram of fresh weight (mg/g FW). All samples were analyzed in three biological replicates.

4.8. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) was performed using the SAS program (version 8.0, SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). The statistical difference was compared by the Duncan’s multiple range test (P < 0.05 was considered significant).

5. Conclusions

In this study, we identified a FLS gene, MaFLS, which is predominantly expressed in the early stages of flower development in grape hyacinth. Heterologous expression of MaFLS in tobacco showed a reduction in pigmentation in the petals because of a remarkable decrease in anthocyanin content and an increase in flavonol accumulation. Moreover, our study demonstrates the vital role of MaFLS, to promote flavonol production in young developing floral tissue, and that these flavonols may play a key role in flower coloration in grape hyacinth. However, MaFLS-based regulation does not seem to fully explain the flower coloration of grape hyacinth. Future research should be conducted to determine the following: (1) How MaFLS and MaDFR gene products compete for common substrates to regulate flavonoid biosynthesis and coloration, (2) how flavonol and anthocyanin biosynthesis is regulated under the control of transcriptional regulators, and (3) how the precise ratio of flavonol and anthocyanin metabolites affects flower coloration.
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FLS flavonol synthase.
DFR dihydroflavonol 4-reductase
ANS anthocyanidin synthase
qRT-PCR quantitative real-time PCR
DHK dihydrokaempferol
DHQ dihydroquercetin
ORF open reading frame
PCR polymerase chain reaction
UFGT glucosyltransferase
2-ODD 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase
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