A Case Report on Recurrent Merkel Cell Carcinoma with Metastasis to Colon Treated with Pembrolizumab
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Introduction

Merkel Cell Carcinoma (MCC) is rare and clinically aggressive skin cancer, with five years survival of nearly 18% for advanced-stage MCC [1]. MCC’s annual incidence is about 3 per 100000 worldwide [2] and 0.79 per 100,000 in the United States [3]. It presents as a rapidly growing, firm, red to violaceous nodule [4]. Common risk factors associated with MCC are old age, fair-skin, immunosuppression, ultraviolet light exposure, polyomavirus infection [5,6]. It has a high propensity for recurrence because of metastases to distant sites. It rarely metastasizes to the gastrointestinal tract. Recently Immunotherapy like pembrolizumab has shown promising effects in the treatment of MCC. We report a 71-year-old male diagnosed with MCC of skin and was initially treated with surgical removal and radiation therapy. Two years later, the follow-up visit restaging PET CT scan was done and was found to have a new intense uptake in the soft tissue nodule adjacent to the proximal sigmoid colon. Biopsy confirmed the metastasis of MCC to the colon. We are reporting an uncommon location of MCC metastasis to the intestine for which he got treated with immunotherapy.
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Case Presentation

A 71-year-old white American male with a past medical history of hypertension, atrial fibrillation, cerebrovascular accident, coronary artery disease, and oxygen-dependent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease presented to the primary care physician with a painless mass in the right axilla three years ago. The mass was gradually increasing in size over one year and was non-tender without any pus or redness. He denied any surgery, trauma, insect bite, fever, or any history of any neoplastic process in the past. Family history was positive for some unknown cancer in grandfather. On exam, 1x1 cm mass was present in the right axillary region. On a detailed skin exam, there was no evidence of any sun-induced skin lesion or lymphadenopathy. Laboratories workup was within normal limits. Right axillary ultrasound showed an irregular hypoechoic mass measuring 4.7 x 5.9 cm (Figure 1).

Positron Emission, Tomography/CT scan, showed a right upper lobe 8mm nodule, the focus of conglomerate right axillary lymph node with SUV of 14, 1.6 cm enlarged left parotid gland (Figure 2).

He underwent a biopsy of the mass positive for high-grade neuroendocrine carcinoma with morphological findings of Merkel cell carcinoma (Figure 2-7).

Immunohistochemistry was positive for CK20, CD56, Synaptophysin, and Chromogranin but was negative for CK7, S100, SOX10.

He underwent surgical removal of the axillary mass with wide...
excision of the skin and got treated with radiation therapy for one dose. On the follow-up visit one year later, he denied any active complaint. He underwent a restaging PET CT scan that did not show abnormal FDG uptake in the right axilla, with no new FDG avid disease sites. Two years later, a repeat PET scan showed a slight interval increase in the degree of uptake in the subpleural nodule in the lateral right upper lobe measuring 1.4 x 0.8 cm, a newly intense uptake soft tissue nodule situated adjacent to the proximal sigmoid colon (Figure 8).

On the same visit, he complained of an isolated episode of rectal bleeding a few days, denied any other complaint. Laboratory work showed low hemoglobin of 9.7mg/dl, and other labs were stable. He underwent colonoscopy, which showed a partially obstructing tumor in the proximal ascending colon. Upper endoscopy showed mildly erythematous mucosa without bleeding in the gastric antrum. Biopsy of the ascending colon tumor was positive for small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma with a high KI–67 proliferation index of 50–60%.
As the patient had a recurrence of a small cell neuroendocrine tumor, he started treatment with Pembrolizumab 200mg every three weeks. He tolerated the therapy well and was monitored with restaging computed tomography chest abdomen and pelvis every six months. He successfully completed 22 cycles of Pembrolizumab. He complained of a new-onset sob recently on his follow-up visit, was requiring 3 liters of oxygen. CT of the chest showed enlarging bilateral pleural effusions. He underwent a thoracentesis with the removal of approximately 1L of transudative fluid. Pleural fluid analysis was negative for any malignant cell. He reported improvement in his symptoms of shortness of breath. The radiation oncologist recommended no need for radiation therapy and continued the scheduled pembrolizumab and laboratory workup monitoring.

**Discussion**

Merkel cell carcinoma is a rare, clinically aggressive malignant neuroendocrine neoplasm of the skin. It most commonly arises from the skin’s dermis but rarely can appear outside the skin [7]. It is most of the time locally invasive but can frequently metastasize to lymph nodes, liver, lungs, bone, and brain. MCC was first described as trabecular cell carcinoma by Toker in 1972 [8]. MCC most commonly affects the older white male population, but females can also be affected. The most affected areas are head and neck (50%), upper and lower limbs (35%-40%), <10% in the trunk but can rarely involve the vulva, penis, pharynx, oral and nasal mucosa [9]. MCC’s risk factors are old age, Ultraviolet (UV) radiation, immunocompromised state, history of prior psoralen and PUVA treatment, HIV infection, organ transplant, and hematological malignancies. The size of the tumor can be variable with local deep tissue invasion. It can also metastasize to regional lymph nodes or distant organ structures like the colon [10,11].

Patients may present with complaints of rapidly growing, firm, non-tender, and red to violaceous nodules localized on the sun-exposed skin, most commonly the head and neck region. It can be red, blue, purple, or skin-colored and sometimes presents with ulceration and crusting [12]. The diagnosis of MCC is based on findings on light microscopy, electron microscopy, and immunohistochemistry. Microscopically, the tumor is mainly centered in the dermis or sometimes in the subcutaneous tissue, without the involvement of the overlying epidermis. The tumor cells are composed of strands or nests of round, blue cells containing large basophilic nuclei [13]. MCC can be distinguished from other skin malignancies by immunostaining on immunohistochemistry. Mainly, it stains positive for epithelial markers like CK20 in a peculiar “dot-like” fashion and stains negative for epithelial markers like LCA, S-100, CK7, and TTF-1 [14] but it can be positive for various neuroendocrine markers like chromogranin, synaptophysin, calcitonin, vasoactive intestinal peptide, substance P, ACTH, pancreatic polypeptide, somatostatin receptor, NSE, neurofilament, and CD 56 [15,16].

Because of the clinical similarity on the initial presentations, MCC can be confused as benign lesions of skin like lipoma, nodule, cysts, pyogenic granuloma, and misdiagnosed and missed until the advanced stage. Any patient with suspicious lesions should be appropriately evaluated with a thorough history, proper skin examination, biopsy with immunohistochemistry of the affected areas to rule out MCC. The patient must undergo scanning of the body for the staging of cancer [17,18].

Treatment of the MCC depends upon the stage of cancer. Any localized disease can be treated with wide local excision of the tumor and adjuvant local radiation therapy. In patients with lymph node involvement, excision of the adjacent lymph nodes can be done by radiation therapy [19]. Still, in those in whom lymph nodes cannot be resected, treatment with neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy followed by lymph node dissection can be considered [20]. MCC is highly radiosensitive; hence any patient with metastatic MCC should be treated with a combination of palliative chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In patients with cutaneous deposits or bone and brain metastases radiotherapy, about 50 Gy can be used as palliative therapy [21]. Despite treatment, any patient with MCC is at high risk of recurrence of disease, and the overall prognosis is generally less than 36 months. Recently treatment with Immunotherapy (antibodies targeting the programmed cell death protein 1/programmed cell death ligand 1) has shown a promising effect in patients with Stage III or IV MCC like in our patient [22]. Our patient was treated with pembrolizumab, an immune checkpoint inhibitor, every three weeks and completed 22 cycles of treatment without any complication.

Pembrolizumab, an anti-programmed death receptor-1 monoclonal antibody, and avelumab, an anti-programmed death ligand-1 monoclonal antibody, are currently approved for treating metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma. These agents allow T-cell activation and induce an antitumor response [22]. Patients should be followed up every 3–4 months for complete examination for 2–3 years as MCC has a very high chance of recurrence despite adequate treatment. MCC is found to have a poor prognosis because of the aggressive nature and late diagnosis. Patients of MCC with lymph node involvement, tumor size >2cm, age >60 years, immunosuppression, the involvement of lower extremities, and metastasis to gastrointestinal organs and pleura are considered to have a poor prognosis [22].

**Conclusion**

In this case report, we report Merkle cell carcinoma’s metastasis.
to the intestine, which is a very rare presentation. It is well known that MCC is aggressive cancer with a poor prognosis, but the addition of immunotherapy has shown better survival and quality of life like in our patient.
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