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Increased mobilisation of circulating endothelial progenitors in von Hippel-Lindau disease and renal cell carcinoma
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BACKGROUND: Circulating endothelial cells (CECs) are a candidate biomarker for monitoring angiogenesis in cancer. Circulating endothelial cell subsets are mobilised by angiogenic mediators. Because of the highly angiogenic phenotype of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), we sought to assess the potential of CECs as a marker of RCC in patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease and those with sporadic RCC.

METHODS: We performed multicolour flow cytometry to enumerate CECs in patients with RCC, patients with VHL disease with and without RCC, and normal subjects. Two subsets of CECs were evaluated: mature CECs (mCECs) and circulating endothelial progenitors (CEPs).

RESULTS: In patients with VHL disease and RCC and those with sporadic RCC (N = 10), CEPs and the CEP:mCEC ratio were higher than in normal subjects (N = 17) (median CEPs: 0.97 vs 0.19 cells µl−1, respectively, P < 0.01; median CEPs:mCEC: 0.92 vs 0.58, respectively, P = 0.04). However, in patients with VHL without RCC, CECs were not increased. In paired pre- and post-nephrectomy RCC patient samples (N = 20), CEPs decreased after surgery (median difference 0.02 cells µl−1, −0.06 to 1.2; P = 0.05).

CONCLUSION: Circulating endothelial progenitors were elevated in RCC, but not in patients with VHL without RCC. Circulating endothelial progenitor enumeration merits further investigation as a monitoring strategy for patients with VHL.
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Renal cell carcinomas (RCCs) are the most frequent malignant tumours to occur in patients with von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease, and VHL disease is the primary cause of inherited renal cancer. von Hippel-Lindau disease affected individuals are at risk for the development of up to 600 clear cell renal carcinomas per kidney (Kaelin, 2008). von Hippel-Lindau disease arises from heterozygous germline mutations in the VHL tumour suppressor gene, which resides on chromosome 3p25, and it is characterised by clear cell RCC, hemangiomas, pheochromocytomas, and other tumour types (Linehan et al, 2003). The development of tumours in VHL disease results from loss or inactivation of the remaining wild-type allele, leading to an absence of functional VHL protein. Somatic VHL mutations are also common in sporadic clear cell RCC and hemangioblastomas (Linehan et al, 2003). Restoration of VHL protein function is sufficient to suppress tumour formation in vivo in VHL-defective renal carcinoma cells (Linehan et al, 2003).

The VHL gene encodes an E3 ligase involved in the oxygen-dependent ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of the hypoxia-inducible factors HIF-1α and HIF-2α, subunits of transcriptional factors involved in the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and other hypoxia-driven genes. The loss of VHL protein results in the accumulation of HIF (even in normoxic conditions) and the overproduction of VEGF and other mediators of angiogenesis (Linehan et al, 2003). As a result, RCCs are highly vascular tumours and are responsive to therapy with agents that interfere with VEGF signalling.

RCCs often remain asymptomatic for long intervals before diagnosis. In patients with VHL, who also develop RCC, the frequent absence of clinical symptoms underscores the importance of early detection, as diagnosis during presymptomatic screening has the potential to enhance overall outcomes. Although serial imaging of the kidneys is useful in this regard, more effective strategies for monitoring patients with VHL disease are needed. Also, new biomarkers for disease recurrence after nephrectomy in patients with sporadic RCC could indicate the early need for intervention.

Circulating endothelial cells (CECs) are a candidate biomarker for monitoring angiogenesis in patients with VHL disease and in
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those with RCC. Circulating endothelial cells are known to express VEGF receptors and to be mobilised by VEGF in patients and murine models, and they have been found at increased levels in cancer patients (Asahara et al., 1997, 1999; Kalka et al., 2000; Peichev et al., 2000; Mancuso et al., 2001; Schuch et al., 2003; Ceradini et al., 2004) including RCC (Gruenwald et al., 2010). Two main types of CECs have been described: mature CECs (mCECs), which are thought to be shed from preexisting blood vessels, and bone marrow-derived circulating endothelial progenitors (CEPs). Circulating endothelial progenitor mobilisation has been shown to depend on HIF-regulated factors such as VEGF and SDF-1α. Furthermore, mCECs and CEPs may respond differently to angiogenic stimuli and provide distinct information regarding angiogenic drive and response to treatment (Bertolini et al., 2003; Beaudry et al., 2005; Shakel et al., 2005; Batchelor et al., 2007). Thus, because of the highly angiogenic phenotype of RCC and its dependence on HIF and HIF-regulated factors, we sought to assess the potential of using mCECs and CEPs as potential biomarkers of RCC in the VHL patient population and also in patients with sporadic RCC. We hypothesised that CEPs, and the ratio of CEPs to mCECs, would be altered in RCC patients but not in VHL patients without RCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population

Patients and healthy control subjects, whose disease status was assessed radiologically, were enrolled in IRB-approved protocols at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA, USA) and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, TX, USA). Patients with sporadic RCC and those with VHL–RCC were covered by a Dana-Farber – Harvard Cancer Center tissue-collection protocol and an MD Anderson laboratory-collection protocol that enabled blood sampling before and after nephrectomy.

For the purposes of this study, we divided those trial participants into various cohorts as follows: (a) Patients with VHL disease (N = 13), including those with no radiologic evidence of RCC but with benign tumours in the brain, eye, kidney, spinal cord, or pancreas, or a history of resected RCC (N = 7); and additional patients with VHL and active RCC (VHL–RCC; N = 6); (b) Patients with sporadic metastatic RCC (N = 4); (c) Individual patients with non-metastatic sporadic RCC (N = 20) who underwent nephrectomy; paired blood samples were obtained within 4 weeks before nephrectomy and 6 weeks to 6 months after nephrectomy; (d) Healthy control subjects (N = 17); and (e) Additional healthy control subjects (N = 18) and patients with sporadic metastatic RCC (N = 9) as an independent validation cohort for CEPs.

Blood collection

Peripheral blood of patients was collected in Vacutainer CPT tubes with citrate (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and spun to separate the PBMC layer as previously described (Kalka et al., 2000; Peichev et al., 2000; Norden-Zlonti et al., 2007). The PBMCs were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until the day of analysis.

Flow cytometry

Batch analysis of PBMCs was performed to minimise interassay variability. Circulating endothelial cells were enumerated by using four-colour flow cytometry as described previously (Beaudry et al., 2005; Norden-Zlonti et al., 2007; Mancuso et al., 2009). Briefly, cells were washed with PBS with 1% albumin and incubated with a panel of antibodies to establish CEC phenotype, including anti-CD45, -CD31, -CD146, and -CD133 (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). The mCECs were defined as staining negatively for the haematopoietic marker CD45, positively for the endothelial markers CD31 and CD146, and negatively for the progenitor marker CD133. Circulating endothelial progenitors had the same phenotype except they were positive for CD133. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (Cambrex, East Rutherford, NJ, USA) were used as positive controls for endothelial marker staining, and WERI cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were used as positive controls for CD133. CD146 was not available for the validation set, and only CEPs were determined. The percentages of stained cells were determined by comparison with the appropriate fluorescent isotype controls.

To establish absolute CEC counts, the volume of blood analyzed was determined by using the lymphocyte or monocyte counts obtained from the patients’ differential.

Statistical analysis

Exact Wilcoxon rank-sum testing was used to compare CEP and mCEC counts and mCEC:CEC ratios between groups. For the 20 patients who underwent nephrectomy, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to look at changes in those values in terms of absolute differences between the samples collected before and after nephrectomy.

RESULTS

CEP and mCEC counts are higher in RCC patients than in healthy control subjects

PBMC fractions from patients with RCC and healthy control subjects were analyzed for CEP and mCEC counts by using multiparametric flow cytometry (Norden-Zlonti et al., 2007; Mancuso et al., 2009). As shown in Figure 1, the absolute numbers of CEPs and mCECs for individual patients were higher in those with RCC (N = 10, four with sporadic RCC and six with VHL–RCC) than they were in the healthy control subjects (N = 17), with wide variability within the RCC cohort. In RCC patients vs healthy control subjects, the median numbers (range) of CEPs and mCECs were 0.97 cells μl⁻¹ (0.39–5.88) vs 0.19 cells μl⁻¹ (0.08–0.47; P < 0.01) and 0.93 cells μl⁻¹ (0.19–11.75) vs 0.33 cells μl⁻¹ (0.12–0.99; P = 0.05), respectively (Figure 2).

Similar differences were seen in the independent CEP validation cohort: the median number (range) of CEPs in RCC patients (N = 9) was 1.4 cells μl⁻¹ (0.09–11.99) vs 0.40 cells μl⁻¹ (0.17–1.89) in healthy control subjects (N = 18; P < 0.05).

CEP:mCEC ratios are higher in RCC patients than in healthy control subjects

Previous studies have shown that various anti-cancer therapies can have different effects on CEPs and mCECs. For example, some VEGF inhibitors have been shown to increase mCECs, whereas decreasing CEPs in preclinical models (Beaudry et al., 2005). To investigate this further, we evaluated the CEP:mCEC ratios in RCC patients and healthy control subjects. We found that RCC patients (N = 10) had a median CEP:mCEC ratio of 0.92 (range 0.39–7.52), which was greater than that in the control subjects (N = 17; median 0.58, range 0.10–1.70; P < 0.05; Figure 3).

CEP counts and CEP:mCEC ratios are higher in VHL–RCC patients than in VHL patients without RCC

When compared with the patients with sporadic RCC plus those with VHL–RCC (total N = 10), the patients with VHL but no RCC (N = 13) had fewer CEPs, with a median (range) of 0.15 cells μl⁻¹ (0.07–0.55) vs 0.97 cells μl⁻¹ (0.39–5.88) (P < 0.01) and also fewer mCECs, with 0.26 cells μl⁻¹ (0.11–2.11) vs 0.93 cells μl⁻¹ (0.19–11.75) (P = 0.02) (Figures 4A and B).
We additionally compared CEP and mCEC counts and CEP:mCEC ratios from the VHL–RCC patients (N = 6) with those from the patients with VHL and no RCC (N = 13). Patients with VHL–RCC had more CEPs and higher CEP:mCEC ratios than patients with VHL without RCC (CEPs, 2.96 cells μl⁻¹ vs 0.15 cells μl⁻¹, \( P < 0.01 \); CEP:mCEC, 1.36 vs 0.44, \( P = 0.02 \)) (Figures 5A and C). The VHL–RCC patients also had more mCECs than the VHL patients without RCC had, but the difference was not statistically significant (0.89 vs 0.26 cells μl⁻¹; \( P = 0.11 \)) (Figure 5B).

**CEPs and mCECs are comparable in VHL patients with no RCC and healthy control subjects**

No difference in CEPs and mCECs counts (Figures 4C and D) and CEP:mCEC ratios were found between the VHL patients with no RCC (N = 13) and the healthy control subjects (N = 17; all \( P \) values > 0.05).

**CEP counts decrease after nephrectomy in patients with non-metastatic sporadic RCC**

To further test whether CEP counts correlate with the presence of RCC, we studied paired PBMC specimens from a separate cohort of patients with sporadic RCC before and after nephrectomy (N = 20). These patients had RCC confined to the kidney and no evidence of metastatic disease. In this patient cohort, the CEP counts decreased after nephrectomy: the median change (range) between before and after nephrectomy was 0.02 cells μl⁻¹ (~0.06 to 1.2, \( P = 0.05 \)). In contrast, the mCEC counts showed large variability, and the CEP:mCEC ratio did not change significantly between before and after nephrectomy (Table 1).
DISCUSSION
von Hippel-Lindau disease predisposes patients to clear cell RCC, a highly vascular tumour type that frequently involves activation of the HIF pathway, an established regulator of VEGF and other angiogenic cytokines. Given that several of these factors are known to increase the numbers of mCECs and CEPs in the circulation of human subjects (Kalka et al., 2000; Beaudry et al., 2005; Farace et al., 2007), we compared the CEC counts in healthy control subjects with those in patients with VHL with and without RCC and in patients with sporadic RCC. We found in two independent cohorts that RCC patients have more CEPs (and higher CEP:mCEC ratios) than healthy control subjects do and that in RCC patients, the CEP count decreases after surgical removal of the primary tumour (i.e., nephrectomy). Although it was not the primary focus of this study, it is also worth noting that patients with VHL plus tumours (i.e., nephrectomy). Although it was not the primary focus of this study, it is also worth noting that patients with VHL plus tumours other than RCC have fewer CEPs and lower CEP:mCEC ratios than healthy control subjects (Kalka et al., 2000; Rini and Small, 2005). von Hippel-Lindau itself in the absence of RCC did not lead to significant differences in overall CEC numbers, suggesting that the development of renal cancer, and not merely the presence of VHL mutation, is associated with the increase in CEPs. Possibly, this observation reflects the greater production of VEGF or other factors known to promote the survival and/or mobilisation of CEPs and other bone marrow-derived cells by renal cancer tissue, although the specific factor(s) contributing to the relatively elevated levels of CEPs in this study are not known.

Thus, it is possible that counting the CEC subsets, particularly the CEPs, would be a means of surveillance of VHL patients at risk for developing RCC. Moreover, given that patients with stage IV RCC have more CECs than are present after nephrectomy for localised disease, CECs could also be useful as biomarkers for detecting early disease recurrence in patients who have undergone such nephrectomy. The results of more detailed studies to relate disease site and tumour burden with CEC counts may provide further support for the use of CEC analysis in RCC surveillance.

Patients with RCC also had a higher CEP:mCEC ratio than both the healthy control subjects and the VHL patients without RCC had. We propose that the CEP:mCEC ratio may serve as a novel biomarker reflecting the balance of angiogenic drive of the host as reflected in the blood. Indeed, in both preclinical models and phase I/II clinical trials, treatment with antiangiogenic agents has been shown to inhibit CEP mobilisation (Daenen et al., 2009) and exert different effects on CEPs and mCECs (Beaudry et al., 2005). Moreover, antiangiogenic therapy induces dynamic changes in CEPs and mCECs that may correlate with clinical benefit: earlier studies conducted by our group and others found CECs useful as a surrogate marker for antiangiogenic therapy (Beaudry et al., 2005; Shaked et al., 2005; Norden-Zioni et al., 2007; Calleri et al., 2009; Willett et al., 2009), as an aid in selecting optimal dosing (Shaked et al., 2005), and in assessing benefit to patients (Norden-Zioni et al., 2007; Vroling et al., 2009). For example, specific changes in CEC counts or CEP:mCEC ratio may be useful as a marker for predicting a patient’s prognosis or response to therapy with VEGF receptor inhibitors.

Figure 3 Box plot shows that RCC patients also have a higher CEP:mCEC ratio than healthy control subjects have. Plot is read as described in Figure 2. Observations more extreme than 2 were excluded from these figures.

Figure 4 Box plots demonstrate that the cohort of patients with sporadic RCC plus those with VHL–RCC (total N = 10) has more CEPs (A) and mCECs (B) than the VHL patients with no RCC (N = 13) have. As C and D show, no differences in CEP and mCEC counts were found between the cohort of patients with VHL without RCC (N = 13) and the cohort of healthy control subjects (N = 17). Plots are read as described in Figure 2.
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Figure 5  Box plots show that patients with VHL–RCC have more CEPs (A) and a higher CEP:mCEC ratio (C) than patients with VHL with no RCC have, but no different mCECs (B). Plots are read as described in Figure 2. Observations more extreme than 5 were excluded from these figures.

Table 1  Changes in CECs in paired blood specimens obtained before and after nephrectomy in 20 patients with non-metastatic sporadic RCC.

| CEC factor               | Median difference (range) from ‘before nephrectomy’ value | P*  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| CEP count (cells \(\mu l^{-1}\)) | 0.02 (−0.06 to 1.2)                                      | 0.05|
| mCEC count (cells \(\mu l^{-1}\)) | −0.08 (−52.56 to 32)                                   | 0.75|
| CEP:mCEC ratio           | 0.001 (−0.05 to 0.037)                                   | 0.20|

Abbreviations: CECs = circulating endothelial cells; RCC = renal cell carcinoma; CEP = circulating endothelial progenitor; mCEC = mature CEC. *Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

Studies to relate CEC counts with the effects of therapy with VEGF receptor inhibitors in RCC patients are under way, but common problems have been the different criteria used for defining CECs and the difficulty in accurately counting these rare cells (Bhatt et al, 2007; Calleri et al, 2009; Mancuso et al, 2009). As CEC counts vary widely within the population of patients with RCC, serial measurements in individual patients may prove especially interesting. Additionally, RCC patients with different cytokine profiles and CEC responses may require different management. Studies with larger numbers of RCC patients treated with angiogenesis inhibitors and other agents will be required to resolve these questions.
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