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KEY POINTS
- The morbidity and associated complications of respiratory virus infections are greater in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients and patients with hematologic malignancy than in immunocompetent individuals, with severity of illness related to the degree of immunosuppression.
- Molecular microbiologic testing is the gold standard for diagnosis, allowing differentiation of what are largely overlapping clinical syndromes.
- Most of the respiratory viruses, apart from influenza and in some circumstances respiratory syncytial virus and adenovirus, are managed supportively.
- Prevention is key and should focus on vaccination for influenza, avoidance of ill contacts, and compliance with principles of infection control.

Respiratory virus infections (RVIs) are increasingly recognized as a cause of significant morbidity and mortality in recipients of hematologic stem cell transplant (HCT) and patients with hematologic malignancy (HM).\textsuperscript{1,2} With now widespread use of molecular diagnostics, the epidemiology and spectrum of clinical disease of these infections can be better characterized. Apart from influenza, the currently available antivirals are limited in efficacy and/or associated with potential for toxicity, thus emphasizing the importance of prevention strategies. This article provides a review of the epidemiology, clinical characteristics, management, and prevention of RVIs in HCT recipients and HM patients.
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EPIDEMIOLOGY AND TRANSMISSION

The reported incidence of RVIs (Table 1) is wide ranging, a consequence of variation in screening parameters, study population, and testing methodology. Seasonal trends and peaks in patients with HM and HCT recipients mirror those of the community RVI activity, with sometimes significant year-to-year variability in disease incidence and severity (Fig. 1). Older studies relied on less sensitive methods, such as viral culture and direct fluorescent antigen, likely underestimating the incidence of RVI.

Although influenza and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) typically have a defined seasonality, many of the other viruses can occur throughout the year and in overlapping time frames (see Fig. 1). This makes use of broad-range diagnostic strategies like multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) critical, because it is often difficult to differentiate the virus type based on season or clinical presentation.

Respiratory viruses are transmitted by direct contact, fomite, or aerosolized droplet nuclei. Enveloped viruses, such as RSV, parainfluenza virus (PIV), coronavirus (CoV), rhinovirus, and influenza A/B, can remain viable on fomites from 2 hours to 72 hours. Transmission can occur through direct contact with infectious material and indirectly through fomites or inhalation of particles.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Clinical presentation is nonspecific and variable in severity, with the spectrum of illness ranging from pauci-symptomatic to respiratory failure. Clinical syndromes are categorized as upper respiratory tract infection (URTI), with rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, sinusitis, headache, otitis media, sore throat, malaise and/or fever, and lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI), with localized or diffuse pneumonia. Although RVI in the immunocompetent host typically is acute and self-limited, the presentation in HCT recipients in particular can be atypical, severe, and protracted. A majority present with fever and cough, but fever can be absent in approximately a third of the cases. Normal findings on chest auscultation with LRTI are present with greater frequency in the immunocompromised population.

### Table 1
Incidence of viral infections, rate of lower respiratory tract infection at diagnosis, and mortality rates for respiratory viral infections

| Respiratory Virus | Incidence (%) | Lower Respiratory Tract Infection at Diagnosis (%) | Mortality (%) | c |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Influenza A/B     | 1.3–40        | 7–44                                            | 8–28³, 5, 7, 8, 10, a |
| PIV               | 3–27          | 7–50                                            | 10–50³, 2, 6, 8, 12, 14, a |
| RSV               | 1–50          | 14–70                                           | 11–47³, 5, 6, 8, 17, 18, a |
| HMPV              | 2–11          | 5–41                                           | 6–40³, 19–21, b |
| Adenovirus        | 1–30          | 14–42                                           | 14–73³, 2, 8, 22, 23, a |
| Rhinovirus        | 2–34          | <5–27                                          | <5–41³, 2, 26, 27, a |
| CoV               | 3–23          | <5–27                                          | <5–54³, 2, 28, b |
| Bocavirus         | 1–3³          | 0–25                                           | Not reported 25, 29, 30, d |

a Studies are a combination of PCR and traditional laboratory methods (eg, culture, direct fluorescent antibody, and enzyme immunoassay).
b PCR-based studies.
c Includes all-cause and attributable mortality with variable timeframe to death.
d One mortality in a coinfected patient attributed to enterovirus/rhinovirus infection.
There is considerable overlap in radiographic findings for the RVIs and between RVIs and other infectious and noninfectious entities, on plain film and CT imaging of the chest. Radiographic abnormalities include diffuse bilateral ground-glass infiltrates, small multifocal nodules, bronchial vascular thickening, and/or airspace consolidation. A majority of immunocompromised patients have radiographic studies performed and a little more than half have a radiographic abnormality at the time of diagnosis. Several studies in the radiology literature have proposed specific imaging features typifying particular RVIs. For example, a retrospective study of CT findings in HCT recipients found that those infected with RSV were more likely to have an airway-centric pattern of disease with tree-in-bud opacities and bronchial wall thickening with or without consolidation. Adenovirus appeared as multifocal consolidation or ground-glass opacities without inflammatory airway findings. Although these features may be suggestive, they are not highly specific.

Progression from URTI to LRTI occurs with variable frequency (see Table 1), dependent on RVI type and host factors, and is associated with an increased likelihood of a fatal outcome. The viruses most frequently associated with LRTI include influenza virus, PIV, RSV, and human metapneumovirus (HMPV). Risk factors associated with the development of LRTI include older age, lymphopenia, early post-transplant infection, myeloablative conditioning regimen, unmatched donor status, and conditions, such as graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), necessitating increased immunosuppression. Variations in host immune status, through modulation of molecular and cellular response to infection, provide explanation for differences in clinical manifestation. For example, RSV elicits a strong neutrophilic response in the respiratory tract that corresponds with disease severity, whereas CD8+ T cells play a protective role in promoting viral clearance.

LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS

Given that the clinical presentations of the various RVIs are nonspecific, microbiologic testing is required, which in turn can inform patient-specific treatment decisions, isolation precautions, and epidemiologic investigation. Serologic testing has limited application given that reinfection is common over time and because humoral responses to viral infections are often not detectable or significantly impaired in the
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immunocompromised host. Reliance on viral culture is hampered by prolonged turn-
around time as well as the abandonment of cell vial culture techniques by clinical lab-
oratories, typically in favor of molecular techniques. That said, viral culture does allow
for strain typing and is relevant to allow for characterization of point mutations asso-
ciated with antiviral drug resistance. Antigen detection by enzyme-based immunoas-
says are available for some respiratory viruses but lack sufficient sensitivity,
particularly for influenza.39,40

In the current era, diagnosis of RVIs in stem cell transplant recipients and patients
with HM is often reliant on molecular diagnostic strategies. In comparison with other
methodologies, PCR offers more rapid turnaround time, higher sensitivity, and the
ability to test for multiple viruses in a single test with multiplex platforms.39,41,42 The
ability to detect multiple pathogens based on specific primers has revolutionized mo-
lecular diagnostics, allowing for panel-based testing driven by clinical syndrome.43 An
important caveat of the high sensitivity of PCR is the detection of virus in the asympto-
tatic patient, which can represent subclinical infection, asymptomatic shedding, or a
false-positive test result. PCR can be performed on various specimen types (eg, nasopharyngeal [NP] washes or swabs, oropharyngeal swab, and bronchoalveolar
lavage [BAL] samples). The positive and negative predictive values of NP specimens
were 86% and 94%, respectively, when compared with BAL specimens, in a study of
paired NP and BAL PCR testing in HCT and HM patients with LRTI.44 Various studies
have used quantitative viral load on respiratory and other specimens as a correlate of
disease severity to predict outcomes and to gauge response to treatment.45,46 That
said, the practical clinical applications of quantitative PCR are limited at this time.
One important exception to this is adenovirus infection, in which case viremia can pre-
dict risk for disseminated and end-organ disease as well as survival.47 Although not
yet the realm of routine clinical diagnostics, next-generation sequencing techniques,
such as whole-genome sequencing, hold potential for better informing RVI outbreak
investigations (for example, in the health care setting) than traditional PCR-based
diagnostic platforms.48

COMPLICATIONS AND OUTCOMES

Coinfection and alloimmune lung syndromes have been associated with RVIs in HCT
recipients and have additional impact on morbidity and mortality, beyond the direct
and attributable risk of respiratory tract infection. Coinfection with other viruses and
superinfection with bacteria or fungi are well described in HCT recipients with
RVI.3,11,13,18,49 RVI seems to be an important risk factor for the development of inva-
sive aspergillosis in allogeneic HCT, although it is difficult to parse out the contribution
of viral infection from the milieu of immunosuppression.50 Late airflow decline occurs
in a significant proportion of HCT recipients with RVI in the first 100 days after trans-
plant, particularly in those affected by RSV and PIV infection.51 There is a strong as-
sociation between RVI after HCT and the development of what are termed
alloimmune lung syndromes (eg, idiopathic pneumonia syndrome, bronchiolitis obliti-
erans syndrome, and bronchiolitis obliterans organizing pneumonia), which in turn are
associated with increased mortality.52 It is postulated that RVI in the early post-
transplant period makes the lungs a target for alloimmunity.

Outcome of RVI in HCT recipients is often multifactorial in nature, related to both the
direct respiratory impact of infection and the aforementioned complications that
contribute to morbidity and mortality risk. Mortality rates vary among the different res-
piratory viruses and dependent on clinical presentation as well as underlying host fac-
tors. Risk factors for increased mortality include LRTI, mismatched allogeneic HCT,
infection in the pre-engraftment phase (eg, health care–associated infection during the index transplant hospitalization), high-dose steroids at time of LRTI infection, oxygen requirement, and mechanical ventilation.4,53–55 Mortality has been demonstrated to be particularly high for RVI outbreaks in the health care setting, likely a consequence of the high-risk nature of this patient segment and perhaps due to delays in diagnosis in this setting.54,56,57 Detection of RVI in the immediate pretransplant period is associated with both LRTI and with decreased survival at 100 days, with mortality greatest in symptomatic patients.54,58

The 14-day overall mortality was noted to be 12% (6 of 49 with RVI) in a large contemporary multicenter prospective cohort study of allogeneic HCT recipients with diverse infections.59 Although this represents crude and not attributable mortality, short-term outcomes, as opposed to long-term outcomes, are more likely to be related to direct effects of viral infection. Attributable mortality for RVIs is highest in those with LRTI.2,60 PIV, RSV, adenovirus, HMPV, and influenza are the viruses that most often present with LRTI, and in turn have the highest mortality rate (see Table 1). There have been attempts to develop severity scoring systems to predict risk of LRTI, progression of URTI to LRTI, and mortality after RVI in HCT recipients.61

MANAGEMENT

Management of RVI consists of supportive care and, when available and applicable, prompt initiation of antiviral therapy. Although a significant need, demonstration of clinical benefit in relevant host populations has been a challenge to new antiviral drug development. The use of adjuvant steroids is not advised, because high-dose steroids generally are associated with increased risk of progression to LRTI, prolonged viral shedding, and increased mortality.9,21,45,53,62–64 As such, consideration should be given to decreasing the steroid dose whenever feasible to less than 1 mg per/kg/d.

The identification of RVIs in the immediate pretransplant setting raises difficult management questions. Given the risk for increased complications and mortality, conditioning and transplant ideally should be delayed until the RVI is treated and/or there is clinical improvement.58 That said, the decision to delay transplant requires careful consideration, acknowledging both risk for relapse or progression of underlying disease and/or issues related to donor availability.

PREVENTION

Transmission of RVIs in the health care setting is well documented.9,56,57,65,66 Health care–associated outbreaks highlight the importance of monitoring patients and visitors for signs and/or symptoms of RVI. Symptomatic patients should be isolated and tested and ill visitors and health care workers excluded from contact with vulnerable populations. Strict compliance with infection control procedures, including isolation precautions and use of relevant personal protective equipment and hand hygiene, is critical to preventing spread of RVI. There are several guidelines available (eg, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines) that outline specific recommendations regarding isolation strategies.67 Patients with suspected or documented RVI should be placed on droplet and contact precautions.62 Specific isolation protocols for patients with RVI vary from institution to institution. Patient education on avoiding ill contacts and on hand hygiene and influenza vaccination for patients and their close contacts are important interventions to decrease risk for acquisition of RVI.

Prolonged shedding of RVI occurs not infrequently in this host population. Shedding for more than 12 weeks for greater than 10% of allogeneic recipients with either
human rhinovirus (HRV) or human CoV (HCoV) infection was reported in 1 study.\textsuperscript{25} Prolonged asymptomatic shedding poses great challenges for infection prevention, with uncertainty regarding the degree of risk for transmission from asymptomatic shedders. Many centers opt to maintain isolation precautions until signs and symptoms have resolved and repeat respiratory virus testing is confirmed to be negative.

**VIRUS SPECIFICS: OUTCOMES AND TREATMENT**

**Influenza A/B**

Influenza is a single-stranded enveloped RNA virus belonging to the Orthomyxoviridae family. Influenza A is divided into subtypes based on surface proteins, hemagglutinins, and neuraminidases, with antigenic drifts and shifts resulting from minor and major changes in hemagglutinins and neuraminidases, respectively. Influenza B is less prone to antigenic variation.\textsuperscript{68}

The incidence of infection in HCT recipients and HM patients is driven by the activity of influenza in the community (see Table 1). The development of LRTI is associated with allogeneic HCT status, longer duration of symptoms prior to presentation, neutropenia, lymphopenia, and respiratory coinfection.\textsuperscript{3,5,61,69} Risk factors associated with increased mortality include older age, LRTI, hypoxemia, mechanical ventilation, lymphopenia, neutropenia, and delayed antiviral therapy.\textsuperscript{45,57,69,70} Disease severity and outcomes can vary based on the circulating strain, as exemplified by the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic, which resulted in greater illness severity and higher morality than seasonal influenza. LRTI occurred in 30\% to 40\% of patients, and the 30-day mortality rate for H1N1 among HCT recipients in 2009 was approximately 22\%.\textsuperscript{10}

Early recognition and treatment decreases the risk of progression to LRTI and improves survival.\textsuperscript{7,9,45} Antiviral treatment should be given as early as possible,\textsuperscript{7} regardless of the time from symptom onset to presentation in this patient population. Delayed administration has been shown to increase progression to LRTI and is associated with worse outcomes than early administration.\textsuperscript{45,71} Neuraminidase inhibitors (NAIs) are the first-line agents for treatment of influenza A or influenza B. The currently available NAIs in the United States include oral oseltamivir, inhaled zanamivir, and intravenous peramivir. The standard dose of oseltamivir for treatment of influenza is 75 mg twice daily for 5 days. There are no data to support the use of high-dose oseltamivir rather than standard dose.\textsuperscript{71} The optimal treatment duration in the HCT and HM population is not clear, and prospective trials to address this important question are needed. A longer duration of treatment (eg, 10 days) can be considered given the prolonged shedding and the risk for reactivation after remission, particularly with occurrence of infection in the pre-engraftment period.\textsuperscript{72} The potential benefit of a longer course of antiviral therapy must be balanced against the concern and risk for emergence of resistance in this population. From the era of pandemic H1N1 in 2009, the preponderance of patients with emergence of oseltamivir-resistant virus (H275Y mutation, conferring cross-resistance to peramivir but not to zanamivir) were severely immunocompromised, mainly in HM patients and HCT recipients.\textsuperscript{73–75}

There are several novel agents in development for treatment of influenza, although not much data is available in HCT recipients or HM patients. The NAI laninamivir octanoate is efficacious against oseltamivir-resistant strains and currently is used in Japan but is not yet Food and Drug Administration approved.\textsuperscript{76–78} S-033188, a selective inhibitor of influenza cap-dependent endonuclease, was recently Food and Drug Administration approved for uncomplicated influenza, including resistant strains, but has not yet been studied in complicated influenza or in this patient population.\textsuperscript{79}
DAS181, a recombinant sialidase fusion protein with demonstrated activity against both influenza\textsuperscript{80} and PIV,\textsuperscript{81} has completed phase II study in immunocompetent adults with influenza.\textsuperscript{82} DAS181 seems a potential alternative for NAI-resistant influenza.\textsuperscript{83} Favipiravir, a viral polymerase inhibitor, is approved in Japan for use against NAI-resistant influenza. Data from a phase II clinical trials of favipiravir for uncomplicated influenza in healthy adults in the United States are forthcoming (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02008344, https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02026349).

Adjunctive corticosteroids are generally not advised for the management of influenza. Data from a retrospective study of 143 HCT recipients with seasonal influenza on no, low-dose (<1 mg/kg/d), or high-dose (≥1 mg/kg/d) steroids, largely for management of GVHD, demonstrated no significant difference in development of LRTI, hypoxemia, need for mechanical ventilation, or death but a trend toward a lower risk of LRTI in the group on low-dose steroids.\textsuperscript{84} Although modest doses of steroids, if indicated for another cause, such as GVHD, may be continued after careful weighing of risks and benefits, steroids should not be started specifically for management of influenza infection.

Given the significant morbidity associated with influenza infection, oseltamivir chemoprophylaxis (75 mg daily for 10–14 days postexposure or for the duration of the exposure in an outbreak setting) should be strongly considered for high-risk patients in the context of a relevant exposure or an outbreak.\textsuperscript{71,85} A caution regarding the broad use of prophylaxis is the report of development of oseltamivir-resistant influenza infection in an HM patient after oseltamivir (75 mg twice per day for 7 days) for prophylaxis after an exposure and then subsequent induction chemotherapy, with confirmed transmission of oseltamivir-resistant virus to 3 other patients on the unit.\textsuperscript{66}

Although immune response to vaccination is suboptimal, particularly in HCT recipients and HM patients, vaccination is the cornerstone of prevention of influenza infection and its attendant complications. Receipt of influenza vaccine has been associated not only with a decreased risk for influenza infection in HCT recipients but also with a decrease in influenza severity and complications if infection occurs despite vaccination.\textsuperscript{7} In a 5-year prospective observational study, allogeneic HCT recipients who received trivalent inactivated influenza vaccination had a significantly lower prevalence of virologically confirmed influenza infection (36% of vaccinated compared with 51% of nonvaccinated recipients; odds ratio [OR] 0.39), a decreased risk for progression to LRTI (OR 0.12) and a lower likelihood of influenza-related hospitalization compared with the nonvaccinated state.\textsuperscript{29} All individuals greater than 6 months of age without contraindication, including HCT recipients and HM patients, should receive the influenza vaccination annually. Vaccination at less than 6 months post-transplant has been demonstrated to result in poor immune response,\textsuperscript{86} and 2 doses of the influenza vaccine does not confer superior immunity compared with 1 dose.\textsuperscript{87} As such, standard-dose inactivated influenza vaccination is strongly recommended for HCT recipients greater than or equal to 6 months post-transplant\textsuperscript{88} and as early as 3 months to 4 months after transplant in patients without GVHD or otherwise requiring immune suppression\textsuperscript{89} or during community outbreaks.\textsuperscript{90} Live attenuated influenza vaccine is not recommended for HCT recipients or other immunocompromised hosts or for their close contacts.\textsuperscript{90} Pretransplant vaccination (≥2 weeks prior to conditioning) is recommended largely as a strategy to provide early post-transplant protection, although acknowledging seroprotection declines rapidly in the post-transplant setting.\textsuperscript{91} Given the vulnerability of this population and the suboptimal response to vaccination in the setting of immune compromise, vaccination of close contacts and health care workers is a critical arm of
prevention.\textsuperscript{90,92} HM patients receiving intensive chemotherapy or who have received anti–B-cell antibodies (eg, rituximab) in the preceding 6 months are unlikely to respond to influenza vaccination.\textsuperscript{90}

Strategies to improve immune response to influenza vaccination include use of higher antigen dose and adjuvanted vaccines. In a large study of nonimmunocompromised adults greater than or equal to 65 years of age, high-dose trivalent vaccine resulted in significantly higher seroprotection rates and better protection against laboratory-confirmed influenza infection than standard-dose vaccine.\textsuperscript{93} A phase I study comparing high-dose to standard-dose trivalent inactivated vaccine in adult HCT recipients demonstrated a higher rate of seroprotective titers in the high-dose group.\textsuperscript{94} Small studies of adjuvanted vaccines and multidose vaccination have shown some promise, with improved immunogenicity to certain influenza strains, decreased hospital admission, and reduced mortality.\textsuperscript{95–97}

\textbf{Parainfluenza}

PIVs are negative-sense, single-stranded, enveloped RNA viruses in the Paramyxoviridae family. There are 4 distinct serotypes, which include serotypes 1 and 3 in the Respirovirus genus and serotypes 2 and 4 in the Rubulavirus genus.

Epidemiologic and clinical features for each of the PIV serotypes vary widely. Although PIV2 and PIV3 circulate yearly, PIV1 has a biennial pattern. The incidence of symptomatic PIV in adult and pediatric HCT recipients is 3\% to 27\% (see Table 1). The reported incidence of PIV infection in HCT recipients may be falsely low due a larger percentage of asymptomatic or subclinical infections compared with other RVIs.\textsuperscript{15,54} PIV3 is the predominant serotype associated with infection in HM patients and HCT recipients.\textsuperscript{11,14,54} Multiple studies have demonstrated PIV3, in comparison to the other serotypes, to be associated with more severe infection, increased risk for progression to LRTI, and higher mortality.\textsuperscript{12,54} PIV3 infection is associated with a 1.3-fold increase in mortality, after adjustment for age, CMV serostatus, donor type, and underlying disease.\textsuperscript{12}

In a large systematic review of 28 studies of PIV infection in HM patients and HCT recipients, LRTI occurred in 36\% and 39\% of patients, respectively. Risk factors for LRTI included allogeneic HCT, early post-transplant infection, lymphopenia, neutropenia, and use of steroids during the URTI phase.\textsuperscript{14} A retrospective study of 540 HCT recipients spanning 2 decades found an association of progression from URTI to LRTI with PIV3 serotype infection, presence of monocytopenia, greater than 1 mg/kg steroids prior to diagnosis, and copathogen detection. No patients who lacked all of these risk factors progressed to LRTI, whereas the progression risk increased to greater than 30\% if 3 or more risk factors were present.\textsuperscript{64} The need for mechanical ventilation in those with LRTI was 43\% and survival from onset of mechanical ventilation was 23\%. Although overall mortality with PIV LRTI is high after transplant, mortality decreases with time from transplant.\textsuperscript{11} PIV-associated mortality is widely variable but is reported to be as high as 50\% in those with copathogens (see Table 1).\textsuperscript{12}

There is currently no licensed antiviral agent for the treatment of PIV infection. Despite data supporting in vitro PIV activity, numerous retrospective studies have shown lack of benefit of aerosolized or systemic ribavirin, with or without intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), in improving outcomes from an established LRTI or in preventing progression from URTI to LRTI\textsuperscript{12,14,64} or in decreasing viral shedding.\textsuperscript{12} There are few reports of successful treatment of HCT recipients with serious PIV infection with the investigational agent DAS181,\textsuperscript{98–100} and data from a phase 2 study, including HCT recipients and HM patients, are forthcoming (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01644877?cond=das181&rank=9).
RSV is a single-stranded, enveloped, negative-sense RNA virus within the Pneumoviridae family, as is HMPV. Two antigenic subtypes (A and B) circulate seasonally, either simultaneously or alternately.

RSV arguably is one of the respiratory virus infections associated with the highest disease severity and mortality risk in HCT recipients. Age, lymphopenia, neutropenia, myeloablative conditioning regimens, pre-engraftment infection or recent HCT, use of marrow or cord blood as graft source, mismatched or unrelated donor, GVHD, high-dose steroids, delayed diagnosis, and detection of viral RNA in serum all have been associated with RSV LRTI or RSV-associated mortality. Attempts have been made to develop an immunodeficiency score index for RSV-infected HCT recipients to quantify the risk for LRTI and mortality and to assist in decision making for initiating antiviral therapy.

The treatment of RSV infection in HCT recipients remains an area of significant controversy. The absence of high-quality prospective data, the toxicity and cost of available therapies, and the heterogeneity of host factors all contribute to uncertainty regarding the best approach to RSV management. Ribavirin, a guanosine analog, is available in aerosolized, intravenous, and oral forms. Important toxicities include myelosuppression and, with the aerosolized form, bronchospasm. Aerosolized ribavirin is both extremely costly in light of its orphan drug status and complicated to administer given it is a potential teratogen, requiring use of personal protective equipment and a special air flow environment. Palivizumab, an RSV-specific recombinant monoclonal antibody, or polyclonal IVIG has been used in combination with ribavirin, although their contribution to RSV treatment is controversial and difficult to quantify and their cost substantial, particularly for palivizumab. RSV monotherapy with palivizumab is neither supported by the literature nor recommended. RSV-specific IVIG is no longer available.

Historically, numerous publications have demonstrated a benefit of aerosolized ribavirin (alone or with antibody-based therapy) for RSV, with a decrease rate of progression from URTI to LRTI and a decrease in mortality. These studies, however, are severely limited on the basis of their single-center retrospective study design. A randomized controlled multicenter trial of aerosolized ribavirin for RSV URTI in HCT recipients was discontinued because of slow accrual, with failure to meet statistical significance for the primary endpoint of progression to LRTI. A trend toward decreasing viral load over time was observed in the group assigned to ribavirin. Definitive prospective data regarding the use of aerosolized ribavirin for RSV infection in this population are lacking and unlikely to be forthcoming.

Systemic ribavirin, by oral or intravenous route and with or without antibody-based therapy, has been explored as a less toxic alternative to nebulized ribavirin for the treatment of RSV, with small case series demonstrating tolerability and suggesting potential efficacy. There are more recent retrospective data comparing oral ribavirin with aerosolized ribavirin, providing increasing evidence to support the use of oral ribavirin as a safe, cost-effective, and potentially efficacious alternative to aerosolized ribavirin for HCT recipients and other severely immunocompromised hosts with RSV infection. RSV treatment decisions are complicated, taking into account host factors that inform the risk of progression to LRTI, disease severity at presentation, drug toxicity and side-effect profile, and cost. Although the available literature supports the use
of ribavirin for high-risk patients with RSV infection, there are profound limitations in generalizing treatment algorithms from uncontrolled studies. To this end, a small case series described low morbidity (19% progression to LRTI) and no mortality in 32 pediatric HCT recipients with RSV infection who were managed without ribavirin and with maintenance IVIG with or without palivizumab.\textsuperscript{115}

Several small molecule antiviral agents are in early phase development or under study for RSV infection.\textsuperscript{116} Membrane fusion and RNA synthesis are important drug targets. GS-5806 (presatovir), a novel oral fusion protein inhibitor, showed promise in an early phase clinical trial but failed to demonstrate a reduction in nasal RSV viral load or symptom duration in lung transplant recipients.\textsuperscript{117} Similarly, ALN-RSV01 (asvasiran), a small interfering RNA targeting RSV replication, has not progressed due to limited impact on viral parameters and lack of improvement in symptomatic patients.\textsuperscript{118,119} ALS-8176 (lumicitabine), an RNA polymerase inhibitor, was associated with a reduction in viral load and clinical severity compared with placebo in a phase II RSV challenge study in healthy adults,\textsuperscript{120} although the role of this and other agents awaits clarification in target populations. There are a few investigational agents in phase II study in HCT recipients, namely ALX-0171, a nanobody fusion inhibitor (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03418571 and https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03468829), and PC786, a non-nucleoside RSV L protein polymerase inhibitor (https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT03715023). No RSV vaccine is currently available, although clinical trials are ongoing.

**Adenovirus**

Adenoviruses are a family of nonenveloped, double-stranded DNA viruses with more than 60 described serotypes categorized into 7 subgroups or species (A through G). Certain serotypes are associated with particular clinical syndromes, reflecting virus-specific tissue tropism for cellular receptors. Adenoviruses are nonenveloped viruses and can survive for extended periods on environmental surfaces and are resistant to killing by quaternary ammonium compounds, disinfectants widely used in the health care setting. Transmission can occur via aerosolized droplets or fomites as with other RVIs as well as by fecal-oral spread or through exposure to infected tissue or blood products.\textsuperscript{121} Infection in HCT recipients and other severely immunocompromised hosts can be a consequence of new acquisition or reactivation of endogenous infection.

The spectrum of clinical disease in HCT recipients is broad, including URTI and/or LRTI, enterocolitis, hepatitis, nephritis, hemorrhagic cystitis, and meningoencephalitis.\textsuperscript{22,121} Respiratory tract infection is caused most often by subgroups A, B, and C. The incidence is generally higher in the pediatric population.\textsuperscript{47,122,123} Disseminated disease occurs in up to 10% to 20% of patients and is associated with allogeneic HCT, receipt of a T-cell–depleted graft, infection in the early post-transplant period, presence of GVHD, use of systemic steroids, and lymphopenia.\textsuperscript{23,24,121,124} The presence of viremia as well as the height of the viral load is a meaningful predictor of risk for invasive and disseminated disease as well as mortality.\textsuperscript{40,47,122,125} Overall mortality for all syndromes can be high, especially so for patients with pneumonia or disseminated disease, particularly in recipients of T cell–depleted grafts.\textsuperscript{22,40,126}

Monitoring with PCR and preemptive antiviral treatment is advocated by some experts and supported by several studies in high-risk HCT recipients, notably in the pediatric population.\textsuperscript{47,126,127} That said, other studies, including a prospective study in adult and pediatric non–T-cell–depleted recipients and a retrospective study in pediatric recipients of various graft types and conditioning regimens, have failed to demonstrate a benefit of monitoring and preemptive treatment.\textsuperscript{128,129}
Currently, there are no antivirals that have a formal indication for adenovirus infection. Cidofovir, a nucleotide analog that inhibits DNA polymerase, is active against all serotypes of adenovirus, with both in vitro and animal data demonstrating virologic and clinical activity, respectively. The human data supporting cidofovir for treatment of adenovirus infection in HCT recipients draw largely on retrospective studies demonstrating lower mortality rates in treated compared with untreated patients. Pharmacologic disadvantages of cidofovir include active excretion of the unchanged drug in urine with resultant nephrotoxicity and low bioavailability, with limited concentration of the active phosphorylated compound in infected cells. Furthermore, toxicities of cidofovir are often dose limiting—mainly nephrotoxicity, myelosuppression, and ocular toxicity. Brincidofovir, an oral lipid ester of cidofovir with improved bioavailability and a better safety profile, is an investigational agent under study for the treatment of adenovirus infection in HCT recipients. Although uncontrolled series have suggested efficacy and tolerability of brincidofovir in treating adenovirus viremia and disease in HCT recipients, a randomized placebo-controlled phase II trial failed to meet the primary endpoint of reduction in treatment failure and demonstrated a signal for diarrhea in the group assigned to brincidofovir. Although there are in vitro data to suggest that ribavirin has activity against adenovirus, in vivo data have not borne out clinical utility. Clearance of viremia and survival are highly associated with lymphocyte reconstitution. With this in mind, adoptive T-cell transfer of adenovirus-specific donor T cells remains an area of active investigation. That said, despite well over a decade of work on adenovirus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes, this approach is far from routine for clinical application. In concert with consideration of antiviral treatment of serious adenovirus infection in HCT recipients, decrease in immune suppression as able also should be entertained.

**Human Metapneumovirus**

HMPV, a large enveloped negative-sense RNA virus, is within the Pneumoviridae family, as is RSV. HMPV is a recently described viral infection, identified in 2001 with aid of molecular techniques. The reported incidence of HMPV has increased over time, in concert with improved molecular diagnostic capabilities. Knowledge of the disease spectrum continues to evolve, with increasing recognition of HMPV as a cause of RVI in the immunocompromised host population. A prospective study of URTI and LRTI in HM patients and HCT recipients with retrospective analysis for HMPV demonstrated an overall incidence of 9% with LRTI in 41% and death in 14% overall and in one-third of those with LRTI. A retrospective study spanning a decade identified 118 cases of HMPV in HCT recipients. LRTI occurred in 25% overall, and progression to LRTI was as high as 60% in those with steroid dose greater than or equal to 1 mg/kg and lymphopenia at time of presentation with URTI. A recent systematic review of the literature similarly found a low overall virus-associated mortality rate (6%), but substantially higher mortality (27%) for those with LRTI.

Although there are in vitro data and a few case series or case reports describing use of ribavirin with or without IVIG, the preponderance of data, all retrospective in nature, fails to demonstrate a protective effect of ribavirin and/or IVIG in preventing HMPV LRTI and mortality. An early but perhaps promising area of HMPV drug discovery research is the novel use of small interfering RNAs.

**Bocavirus**

Human bocavirus (HBoV) is a small DNA virus within the Parvoviridae family. HBoV was first described in 2005 by molecular virus screening of NP aspirates from...
hospitalized children with LRTI.\textsuperscript{141} Although studies in immunocompetent children with LRTI have demonstrated an incidence as high as 19%, data from the HCT population indicate infrequent infection. A prospective single-center study from Spain spanning 30 months found HBoV in only 6 of 192 (3%) virologically documented RVI episodes in 79 consecutive allogeneic HCT recipients. Interestingly, 5 of the 6 had coinfection with another respiratory virus. Disease severity was not substantial, with only 1 case of LRTI, which was believed likely caused by enterovirus/rhinovirus and no deaths from respiratory failure in the 6 affected patients.\textsuperscript{28} Viral dissemination has been documented in transplant recipients, with detection of HBoV in blood and stool.\textsuperscript{142} Given the frequency of coinfection and the mild disease severity, many questions remain regarding the pathogenic potential of HBoV in HCT recipients and other immunocompromised hosts.\textsuperscript{143} Mode of transmission for HBoV is not well characterized. There is no specific antiviral treatment of HBoV infection.

**Coronaviruses**

CoVs are positive-sense, single-stranded, enveloped RNA viruses in the Coronaviridae family. CoVs are widespread among birds and animals. CoV in the alpha and beta genera have been associated with human infection: alpha-CoVs include HCoV-229E and HCoV-NL63, and beta-CoVs include HCoV-HKU1, HCoV-OC43, Middle East respiratory syndrome CoV, and severe acute respiratory syndrome CoV. HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-OC43 cocirculate during the nonsummer months.

A prospective surveillance study in HCT recipients detected HCoV in more than 10% of patients in the first year post-transplant, with approximately half of patients asymptomatic and with infrequent development of LRTI,\textsuperscript{25} suggesting infection is common but typically not severe. Not surprisingly, prospective and retrospective studies of symptomatic cohorts point to higher but variable morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{28,30,144} As with other RVIs, copathogen detection with HCoV is frequent,\textsuperscript{28,30} making interpretation of attributable mortality complicated. That said, there are well-documented cases of severe and even fatal HCoV LRTI in HM patients and HCT recipients.\textsuperscript{145,146} There is no specific antiviral treatment of CoV infection.

**Rhinovirus**

HRVs are small, single-stranded RNA viruses, members of the Picornaviridae family, genus Enterovirus. Rhinoviruses are classified into 3 species based on capsid features and sequencing: A, B, and C. Rhinoviruses circulate year-round, although with some seasonal clustering by species.\textsuperscript{27} In contemporary studies that use molecular diagnostic techniques, rhinovirus is the most frequently detected RVI in HM patients and HCT recipients.\textsuperscript{1,2,4,8,25,27} It is important to recognize the limitation of the current diagnostic platforms in the inability to differentiate between rhinovirus and enterovirus.

Clinical presentation is highly variable. In the reported literature, most patients have URTI, although a sizable minority have LRTI. In a large contemporary study of symptomatic HM patients with HRV infection, approximately 30% presented with LRTI.\textsuperscript{27} Only 5% of those who presented at the URTI stage progressed to LRTI within 30 days, suggesting that symptomatic LRTI is uncommon. As with all RVIs and for HRV especially, it is likely that many studies overestimate the LRTI rate because they fail to account for the greater tendency of patients to seek medical care in the setting of more severe illness. Although some studies have found an association between HRV severity and LRTI and species type,\textsuperscript{147} this is not a consistent finding.\textsuperscript{27} Coinfection is common, particularly with LRTI, which makes interpretation of attributable morbidity and mortality challenging.\textsuperscript{26,27,148} In a large retrospective study of
approximately 700 HCT recipients with HRV infection spanning more than 2 decades, the overall 90-day mortality in the 128 subjects with LRTI was 41% and was significantly associated with low monocyte count, oxygen requirement at diagnosis, and steroid dose greater than 1 mg/kg/d before diagnosis; survival was not affected by the presence of copathogens.26 As with other RVIs, early post-transplant infection is associated with greater disease severity.149 There is no specific antiviral treatment of HRV infection.

REFERENCES
1. Paulsen GC, Danziger-Isakov L. Respiratory viral infections in solid organ and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Chest Med 2017;38(4):707–26.
2. Renaud C, Campbell AP. Changing epidemiology of respiratory viral infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and solid organ transplant recipients. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2011;24(4):333–43.
3. Martino R, Porras RP, Rabella N, et al. Prospective study of the incidence, clinical features, and outcome of symptomatic upper and lower respiratory tract infections by respiratory viruses in adult recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplants for hematologic malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2005;11(10):781–96.
4. D’Angelo CR, Kocherginsky M, Pisano J, et al. Incidence and predictors of respiratory viral infections by multiplex PCR in allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients 50 years and older including geriatric assessment. Leuk Lymphoma 2016;57(8):1807–13.
5. Ljungman P, Ward KN, Crooks BN, et al. Respiratory virus infections after stem cell transplantation: a prospective study from the Infectious Diseases Working Party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2001;28(5):479–84.
6. Chemaly RF, Ghosh S, Bodey GP, et al. Respiratory viral infections in adults with hematologic malignancies and human stem cell transplantation recipients: a retrospective study at a major cancer center. Medicine (Baltimore) 2006;85(5):278–87.
7. Kumar D, Ferreira VH, Blumberg E, et al. A 5-year prospective multicenter evaluation of influenza infection in transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2018;67(9):1322–9.
8. Shah DP, Ghantoji SS, Mulanovich VE, et al. Management of respiratory viral infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Am J Blood Res 2012;2(4):203–18.
9. Chemaly RF, Shah DP, Boeckh MJ. Management of respiratory viral infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and patients with hematologic malignancies. Clin Infect Dis 2014;59(Suppl 5):S344–51.
10. Reid G, Huprikar S, Patel G, et al. A multicenter evaluation of pandemic influenza A/H1N1 in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2013;15(5):487–92.
11. Ustun C, Slaby J, Shanley RM, et al. Human parainfluenza virus infection after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: risk factors, management, mortality, and changes over time. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2012;18(10):1580–8.
12. Nichols WG, Corey L, Gooley T, et al. Parainfluenza virus infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: risk factors, response to antiviral therapy, and effect on transplant outcome. Blood 2001;98(3):573–8.
13. Nichols WG, Gooley T, Boeckh M. Community-acquired respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza virus infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center experience. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2001;7(Suppl):11S–5S.

14. Shah DP, Shah PK, Azzi JM, et al. Parainfluenza virus infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and hematologic malignancy patients: a systematic review. Cancer Lett 2016;370(2):358–64.

15. Peck AJ, Englund JA, Kuypers J, et al. Respiratory virus infection among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: evidence for asymptomatic parainfluenza virus infection. Blood 2007;110(5):1681–8.

16. Ljungman P. Respiratory virus infections in stem cell transplant patients: the European experience. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2001;7(Suppl):5S–7S.

17. Khanna N, Widmer AF, Decker M, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in patients with hematological diseases: single-center study and review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis 2008;46(3):402–12.

18. Campbell AP, Chien JW, Kuypers J, et al. Respiratory virus pneumonia after hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT): associations between viral load in bronchoalveolar lavage samples, viral RNA detection in serum samples, and clinical outcomes of HCT. J Infect Dis 2010;201(9):1404–13.

19. Shah DP, Shah PK, Azzi JM, et al. Human metapneumovirus infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and hematologic malignancy patients: a systematic review. Cancer Lett 2016;379(1):100–6.

20. Williams JV, Martino R, Rabella N, et al. A prospective study comparing human metapneumovirus with other respiratory viruses in adults with hematologic malignancies and respiratory tract infections. J Infect Dis 2005;192(6):1061–5.

21. Seo S, Gooley TA, Kuypers JM, et al. Human metapneumovirus infections following hematopoietic cell transplantation: factors associated with disease progression. Clin Infect Dis 2016;63(2):178–85.

22. La Rosa AM, Champlin RE, Mirza N, et al. Adenovirus infections in adult recipients of blood and marrow transplants. Clin Infect Dis 2001;32(6):871–6.

23. Yilmaz M, Chemaly RF, Han XY, et al. Adenoviral infections in adult allogeneic hematopoietic SCT recipients: a single center experience. Bone Marrow Transplant 2013;48(9):1218–23.

24. Ramsay ID, Attwood C, Irish D, et al. Disseminated adenovirus infection after allogeneic stem cell transplant and the potential role of brincidofovir - case series and 10 year experience of management in an adult transplant cohort. J Clin Virol 2017;96:73–9.

25. Milano F, Campbell AP, Guthrie KA, et al. Human rhinovirus and coronavirus detection among allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. Blood 2010;115(10):2088–94.

26. Seo S, Waghmare A, Scott EM, et al. Human rhinovirus detection in the lower respiratory tract of hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: association with mortality. Haematologica 2017;102(6):1120–30.

27. Jacobs SE, Lamson DM, Soave R, et al. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of human rhinovirus infections in patients with hematologic malignancy. J Clin Virol 2015;71:51–8.

28. Pinana JL, Madrid S, Perez A, et al. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of coronavirus and bocavirus respiratory infections after allogeneic stem cell transplantation: a prospective single-center study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2018;24(3):563–70.
29. Pinana JL, Perez A, Montoro J, et al. Clinical effectiveness of influenza vaccination after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a cross-sectional prospective observational study. Clin Infect Dis 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
30. Ogimi C, Waghmare AA, Kuypers JM, et al. Clinical significance of human coronavirus in bronchoalveolar lavage samples from hematopoietic cell transplant recipients and patients with hematologic malignancies. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 64(11):1532–9.
31. Boone SA, Gerba CP. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73(6):1687–96.
32. Memoli MJ, Athota R, Reed S, et al. The natural history of influenza infection in the severely immunocompromised vs nonimmunocompromised hosts. Clin Infect Dis 2014;58(2):214–24.
33. Franquet T, Rodriguez S, Martino R, et al. Thin-section CT findings in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients with respiratory virus pneumonia. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006;187(4):1085–90.
34. Minnema BJ, Husain S, Mazzulli T, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcome associated with pandemic (2009) H1N1 influenza infection in patients with hematologic malignancies: a retrospective cohort study. Leuk Lymphoma 2013; 54(6):1250–5.
35. Kanne JP, Godwin JD, Franquet T, et al. Viral pneumonia after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: high-resolution CT findings. J Thorac Imaging 2007; 22(3):292–9.
36. Shiley KT, Van Deerlin VM, Miller WT Jr. Chest CT features of community-acquired respiratory viral infections in adult inpatients with lower respiratory tract infections. J Thorac Imaging 2010;25(1):68–75.
37. Miller WT Jr, Mickus TJ, Barbosa E Jr, et al. CT of viral lower respiratory tract infections in adults: comparison among viral organisms and between viral and bacterial infections. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2011;197(5):1088–95.
38. Russell CD, Unger SA, Walton M, et al. The human immune response to respiratory syncytial virus infection. Clin Microbiol Rev 2017;30(2):481–502.
39. Kuypers J, Campbell AP, Cent A, et al. Comparison of conventional and molecular detection of respiratory viruses in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2009;11(4):298–303.
40. Ganzenmueller T, Buchholz S, Harste G, et al. High lethality of human adenovirus disease in adult allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients with high adenoviral blood load. J Clin Virol 2011;52(1):55–9.
41. Zitterkopf NL, Leekha S, Espy MJ, et al. Relevance of influenza a virus detection by PCR, shell vial assay, and tube cell culture to rapid reporting procedures. J Clin Microbiol 2006;44(9):3366–7.
42. Xu M, Qin X, Astion ML, et al. Implementation of filmarray respiratory viral panel in a core laboratory improves testing turnaround time and patient care. Am J Clin Pathol 2013;139(1):118–23.
43. Ramanan P, Bryson AL, Binnicker MJ, et al. Syndromic panel-based testing in clinical microbiology. Clin Microbiol Rev 2018;31(1) [pii: e00024-17].
44. Hakki M, Strasfeld LM, Townes JM. Predictive value of testing nasopharyngeal samples for respiratory viruses in the setting of lower respiratory tract disease. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52(11):4020–2.
45. Choi SM, Boudreauult AA, Xie H, et al. Differences in clinical outcomes after 2009 influenza A/H1N1 and seasonal influenza among hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Blood 2011;117(19):5050–6.
46. Boeckh M, Englund J, Li Y, et al. Randomized controlled multicenter trial of aerosolized ribavirin for respiratory syncytial virus upper respiratory tract infection in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44(2):245–9.

47. Lee YJ, Chung D, Xiao K, et al. Adenovirus viremia and disease: comparison of T cell-depleted and conventional hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients from a single institution. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2013;19(3):387–92.

48. Houlihan CF, Frampton D, Ferns RB, et al. Use of whole-genome sequencing in the investigation of a nosocomial influenza virus outbreak. J Infect Dis 2018;218(9):1485–9.

49. Hardak E, Avivi I, Berkun L, et al. Polymicrobial pulmonary infection in patients with hematological malignancies: prevalence, co-pathogens, course and outcome. Infection 2016;44(4):491–7.

50. Martino R, Pinana JL, Parody R, et al. Lower respiratory tract respiratory virus infections increase the risk of invasive aspergillosis after a reduced-intensity allogeneic hematopoietic SCT. Bone Marrow Transplant 2009;44(11):749–56.

51. Erard V, Chien JW, Kim HW, et al. Airflow decline after myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: the role of community respiratory viruses. J Infect Dis 2006;193(12):1619–25.

52. Versluys AB, Rossen JW, van Ewijk B, et al. Strong association between respiratory viral infection early after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and the development of life-threatening acute and chronic alloimmune lung syndromes. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2010;16(6):782–91.

53. Renaud C, Xie H, Seo S, et al. Mortality rates of human metapneumovirus and respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract infections in hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2013;19(8):1220–6.

54. Kakiuchi S, Tsuji M, Nishimura H, et al. Human parainfluenza virus type 3 infections in patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplants: the mode of nosocomial infections and prognosis. Jpn J Infect Dis 2018;71(2):109–15.

55. Fisher BT, Danziger-Isakov L, Sweet LR, et al. A multicenter consortium to define the epidemiology and outcomes of inpatient respiratory viral infections in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc 2017;7(4):275–82.

56. Maziarz RT, Sridharan P, Slater S, et al. Control of an outbreak of human parainfluenza virus 3 in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2010;16(2):192–8.

57. Espinosa-Aguilar L, Green JS, Forrest GN, et al. Novel H1N1 influenza in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: two centers’ experiences. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2011;17(4):566–73.

58. Campbell AP, Guthrie KA, Englund JA, et al. Clinical outcomes associated with respiratory virus detection before allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant. Clin Infect Dis 2015;61(2):192–202.

59. Schuster MG, Cleveland AA, Dubberke ER, et al. Infections in Hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: results from the organ transplant infection project, a multicenter, prospective, cohort study. Open Forum Infect Dis 2017;4(2):ofx050.

60. Shah JN, Chemaly RF. Management of RSV infections in adult recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Blood 2011;117(10):2755–63.

61. Kmeid J, Vanichanan J, Shah DP, et al. Outcomes of influenza infections in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: application of an immunodeficiency scoring index. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2016;22(3):542–8.
62. Waghmare A, Englund JA, Boeckh M. How I treat respiratory viral infections in the setting of intensive chemotherapy or hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood 2016;127(22):2682–92.

63. Damlaj M, Bartoo G, Cartin-Ceba R, et al. Corticosteroid use as adjunct therapy for respiratory syncytial virus infection in adult allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2016;18(2):216–26.

64. Seo S, Xie H, Leisenring WM, et al. Risk factors for parainfluenza virus lower respiratory tract disease after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2018;25(1):163–71.

65. Hoellein A, Hecker J, Hoffmann D, et al. Serious outbreak of human metapneumovirus in patients with hematologic malignancies. Leuk Lymphoma 2016;57(3):623–7.

66. Chen LF, Dailey NJ, Rao AK, et al. Cluster of oseltamivir-resistant 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) virus infections on a hospital ward among immunocompromised patients–North Carolina, 2009. J Infect Dis 2011;203(6):838–46.

67. Siegel JD, Rhinehart E, Jackson M, et al, Health Care Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. 2007 guideline for isolation precautions: preventing transmission of infectious agents in health care settings. Am J Infect Control 2007;35(10 Suppl 2):S65–164.

68. Ariza-Heredia EJ, Chemaly RF. Influenza and parainfluenza infection in hematopoietic stem cell and solid organ transplant recipients. In: Ljungman P, Snyderman D, Boeckh M, editors. Transplant infections. Fourth Edition. Cham (Switzerland): Springer International Publishing; 2016. p. 563–80.

69. Nichols WG, Guthrie KA, Corey L, et al. Influenza infections after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: risk factors, mortality, and the effect of antiviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39(9):1300–6.

70. Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Perez-Bercoff L, et al. Outcome of pandemic H1N1 infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Haematologica 2011;96(8):1231–5.

71. Uyeki TM, Bernstein HH, Bradley JS, et al. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases Society of America: 2018 update on diagnosis, treatment, chemoprophylaxis, and institutional outbreak management of seasonal influenza. Clin Infect Dis 2019;68(6):895–902.

72. Machado CM, Boas LS, Mendes AV, et al. Use of Oseltamivir to control influenza complications after bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2004;34(2):111–4.

73. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Oseltamivir-resistant novel influenza A (H1N1) virus infection in two immunosuppressed patients - Seattle, Washington, 2009. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2009;58(32):893–6.

74. Tramontana AR, George B, Hurt AC, et al. Oseltamivir resistance in adult oncology and hematology patients infected with pandemic (H1N1) 2009 virus, Australia. Emerg Infect Dis 2010;16(7):1068–75.

75. Graitcer SB, Gubareva L, Kamimoto L, et al. Characteristics of patients with oseltamivir-resistant pandemic (H1N1) 2009, United States. Emerg Infect Dis 2011;17(2):255–7.

76. Kashiwagi S, Watanabe A, Ikematsu H, et al. Long-acting neuraminidase inhibitor laninamivir octanoate as post-exposure prophylaxis for influenza. Clin Infect Dis 2016;63(3):330–7.
77. Watanabe A, Chang SC, Kim MJ, et al. Long-acting neuraminidase inhibitor laninamivir octanoate versus oseltamivir for treatment of influenza: a double-blind, randomized, noninferiority clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis 2010;51(10):1167–75.

78. Watanabe A. A randomized double-blind controlled study of laninamivir compared with oseltamivir for the treatment of influenza in patients with chronic respiratory diseases. J Infect Chemother 2013;19(1):89–97.

79. Hayden FG, Sugaya N, Hirotzu N, et al. Baloxavir marboxil for uncomplicated influenza in adults and adolescents. N Engl J Med 2018;379(10):913–23.

80. Belser JA, Lu X, Szretter KJ, et al. DAS181, a novel sialidase fusion protein, protects mice from lethal avian influenza H5N1 virus infection. J Infect Dis 2007;196(10):1493–9.

81. Moscona A, Porotto M, Palmer S, et al. A recombinant sialidase fusion protein effectively inhibits human parainfluenza viral infection in vitro and in vivo. J Infect Dis 2010;202(2):234–41.

82. Moss RB, Hansen C, Sanders RL, et al. A phase II study of DAS181, a novel host directed antiviral for the treatment of influenza infection. J Infect Dis 2012;206(12):1844–51.

83. Triana-Baltzer GB, Sanders RL, Hedlund M, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of influenza virus mutants selected with the sialidase fusion protein DAS181. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;66(1):15–28.

84. Boudreault AA, Xie H, Leisenring W, et al. Impact of corticosteroid treatment and antiviral therapy on clinical outcomes in hematopoietic cell transplant patients infected with influenza virus. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2011;17(7):979–86.

85. Vu D, Peck AJ, Nichols WG, et al. Safety and tolerability of oseltamivir prophylaxis in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: a retrospective case-control study. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45(2):187–93.

86. Machado CM, Cardoso MR, da Rocha IF, et al. The benefit of influenza vaccination after bone marrow transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005;36(10):897–900.

87. Karras NA, Weeres M, Sessions W, et al. A randomized trial of one versus two doses of influenza vaccine after allogeneic transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2013;19(1):109–16.

88. Ljungman P, Cordonnier C, Einsele H, et al. Vaccination of hematopoietic cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant 2009;44(8):521–6.

89. Avetisyan G, Aschan J, Hassan M, et al. Evaluation of immune responses to seasonal influenza vaccination in healthy volunteers and in patients after stem cell transplantation. Transplantation 2008;86(2):257–63.

90. Rubin LG, Levin MJ, Ljungman P, et al. 2013 IDSA clinical practice guideline for vaccination of the immunocompromised host. Clin Infect Dis 2014;58(3):e44–100.

91. Ambati A, Boas LS, Ljungman P, et al. Evaluation of pretransplant influenza vaccination in hematopoietic SCT: a randomized prospective study. Bone Marrow Transplant 2015;50(6):858–64.

92. Frenzel E, Chemaly RF, Ariza-Heredia E, et al. Association of increased influenza vaccination in health care workers with a reduction in nosocomial influenza infections in cancer patients. Am J Infect Control 2016;44(9):1016–21.

93. DiazGranados CA, Dunning AJ, Kimmel M, et al. Efficacy of high-dose versus standard-dose influenza vaccine in older adults. N Engl J Med 2014;371(7):635–45.

94. Halasa NB, Savani BN, Asokan I, et al. Randomized double-blind study of the safety and immunogenicity of standard-dose trivalent inactivated influenza
vaccine versus high-dose trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in adult hematopoietic stem cell transplantation patients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2016;22(3):528–35.

95. Ambati A, Einarsdottir S, Magalhaes I, et al. Immunogenicity of virosomal adjuvanted trivalent influenza vaccination in allogeneic stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2015;17(3):371–9.

96. Natori Y, Humar A, Lipton J, et al. A pilot randomized trial of adjuvanted influenza vaccine in adult allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant 2017;52(7):1016–21.

97. de Lavallade H, Garland P, Sekine T, et al. Repeated vaccination is required to optimize seroprotection against H1N1 in the immunocompromised host. Haematologica 2011;96(2):307–14.

98. Chalkias S, Mackenzie MR, Gay C, et al. DAS181 treatment of hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients with parainfluenza virus lung disease requiring mechanical ventilation. Transpl Infect Dis 2014;16(1):141–4.

99. Waghmare A, Wagner T, Andrews R, et al. Successful treatment of parainfluenza virus respiratory tract infection with DAS181 in 4 immunocompromised children. J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc 2015;4(2):114–8.

100. Salvatore M, Satlin MJ, Jacobs SE, et al. DAS181 for treatment of parainfluenza virus infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients at a single center. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2016;22(5):965–70.

101. Seo S, Campbell AP, Xie H, et al. Outcome of respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory tract disease in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients receiving aerosolized ribavirin: significance of stem cell source and oxygen requirement. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2013;19(4):589–96.

102. Kim YJ, Guthrie KA, Waghmare A, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: factors determining progression to lower respiratory tract disease. J Infect Dis 2014;209(8):1195–204.

103. Shah DP, Ghantoji SS, Shah JN, et al. Impact of aerosolized ribavirin on mortality in 280 allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with respiratory syncytial virus infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013;68(8):1872–80.

104. Waghmare A, Campbell AP, Xie H, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus lower respiratory disease in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: viral RNA detection in blood, antiviral treatment, and clinical outcomes. Clin Infect Dis 2013;57(12):1731–41.

105. Shah DP, Ghantoji SS, Ariza-Heredia EJ, et al. Immunodeficiency scoring index to predict poor outcomes in hematopoietic cell transplant recipients with RSV infections. Blood 2014;123(21):3263–8.

106. Griffiths C, Drews SJ, Marchant DJ. Respiratory syncytial virus: infection, detection, and new options for prevention and treatment. Clin Microbiol Rev 2017;30(1):277–319.

107. Whimbey E, Champlin RE, Englund JA, et al. Combination therapy with aerosolized ribavirin and intravenous immunoglobulin for respiratory syncytial virus disease in adult bone marrow transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant 1995;16(3):393–9.

108. Chemaly RF, Aitken SL, Wolfe CR, et al. Aerosolized ribavirin: the most expensive drug for pneumonia. Transpl Infect Dis 2016;18(4):634–6.

109. de Fontbrune FS, Robin M, Porcher R, et al. Palivizumab treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infection after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 2007;45(8):1019–24.
110. Gueller S, Duenzinger U, Wolf T, et al. Successful systemic high-dose ribavirin treatment of respiratory syncytial virus-induced infections occurring pre-engraftment in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Transpl Infect Dis 2013;15(4):435–40.

111. Marcelin JR, Wilson JW, Razonable RR, Mayo Clinic Hematology/Oncology and Transplant Infectious Diseases Services. Oral ribavirin therapy for respiratory syncytial virus infections in moderately to severely immunocompromised patients. Transpl Infect Dis 2014;16(2):242–50.

112. Gorcea CM, Tholouli E, Turner A, et al. Effective use of oral ribavirin for respiratory syncytial viral infections in allogeneic haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Hosp Infect 2017;95(2):214–7.

113. Foolad F, Aitken SL, Shigle TL, et al. Oral versus aerosolized ribavirin for the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infections in hematopoietic cell transplantation recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2018. [Epub ahead of print].

114. Trang TP, Whalen M, Hils-Horeczko A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of aerosolized versus oral ribavirin for the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus infections: a single-center retrospective cohort study and review of the literature. Transpl Infect Dis 2018;20(2):e12844.

115. El-Bietar J, Nelson A, Wallace G, et al. RSV infection without ribavirin treatment in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant 2016;51(10):1382–4.

116. Brendish NJ, Clark TW. Antiviral treatment of severe non-influenza respiratory virus infection. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2017;30(6):573–8.

117. Gottlieb JTF, Haddad T. A phase 2b randomized controlled trial of presatovir, an oral RSV fusion inhibitor, for the treatment of respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) in lung transplant (LT) recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant 2018;37(4):S155.

118. DeVincenzo J, Lambkin-Williams R, Wilkinson T, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of an RNAi-based therapy directed against respiratory syncytial virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107(19):8800–5.

119. Gottlieb J, Zamora MR, Hodges T, et al. ALN-RSV01 for prevention of bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome after respiratory syncytial virus infection in lung transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant 2016;35(2):213–21.

120. DeVincenzo JP, McClure MW, Symons JA, et al. Activity of oral ALS-008176 in a respiratory syncytial virus challenge study. N Engl J Med 2015;373(21):2048–58.

121. Ison MG. Adenovirus infections in transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2006;43(3):331–9.

122. Lee YJ, Prockop SE, Papanicolaou GA. Approach to adenovirus infections in the setting of hematopoietic cell transplantation. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2017;30(4):377–87.

123. Bruno B, Gooley T, Hackman RC, et al. Adenovirus infection in hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: effect of ganciclovir and impact on survival. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2003;9(5):341–52.

124. Chakrabarti S, Mautner V, Osman H, et al. Adenovirus infections following allogeneic stem cell transplantation: incidence and outcome in relation to graft manipulation, immunosuppression, and immune recovery. Blood 2002;100(5):1619–27.

125. Schilham MW, Claas EC, van Zaane W, et al. High levels of adenovirus DNA in serum correlate with fatal outcome of adenovirus infection in children after allogeneic stem-cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 2002;35(5):526–32.
126. Lindemans CA, Leen AM, Boelens JJ. How I treat adenovirus in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Blood 2010;116(25):5476–85.

127. Lion T, Kosulin K, Landlinger C, et al. Monitoring of adenovirus load in stool by real-time PCR permits early detection of impending invasive infection in patients after allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Leukemia 2010;24(4):706–14.

128. Ohrmalm L, Lindblom A, Omar H, et al. Evaluation of a surveillance strategy for early detection of adenovirus by PCR of peripheral blood in hematopoietic SCT recipients: incidence and outcome. Bone Marrow Transplant 2011;46(2):267–72.

129. Walls T, Hawrami K, Ushiro-Lumb I, et al. Adenovirus infection after pediatric bone marrow transplantation: is treatment always necessary? Clin Infect Dis 2005;40(9):1244–9.

130. Florescu DF, Pergam SA, Neely MN, et al. Safety and efficacy of CMX001 as salvage therapy for severe adenovirus infections in immunocompromised patients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2012;18(5):731–8.

131. Hiwarkar P, Amrolia P, Sivaprasakasam P, et al. Brincidofovir is highly efficacious in controlling adenoviremia in pediatric recipients of hematopoietic cell transplant. Blood 2017;129(14):2033–7.

132. Grimley MS, Chemaly RF, Englund JA, et al. Brincidofovir for asymptomatic adenovirus viremia in pediatric and adult allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplant recipients: a randomized placebo-controlled phase II trial. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2017;23(3):512–21.

133. Lankester AC, Heemskerk B, Claas EC, et al. Effect of ribavirin on the plasma viral DNA load in patients with disseminating adenovirus infection. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38(11):1521–5.

134. Heemskerk B, Lankester AC, van Vreeswijk T, et al. Immune reconstitution and clearance of human adenovirus viremia in pediatric stem-cell recipients. J Infect Dis 2005;191(4):520–30.

135. van den Hoogen BG, de Jong JC, Groen J, et al. A newly discovered human pneumovirus isolated from young children with respiratory tract disease. Nat Med 2001;7(6):719–24.

136. Wyde PR, Chetty SN, Jewell AM, et al. Comparison of the inhibition of human metapneumovirus and respiratory syncytial virus by ribavirin and immune serum globulin in vitro. Antiviral Res 2003;60(1):51–9.

137. Shahda S, Carlos WG, Kiel PJ, et al. The human metapneumovirus: a case series and review of the literature. Transpl Infect Dis 2011;13(3):324–8.

138. Hoppe BP, de Jongh E, Griffioen-Keijzer A, et al. Human metapneumovirus in haematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients: a case series and review of the diagnostic and therapeutic approach. Neth J Med 2016;74(8):336–41.

139. El Chaer F, Shah DP, Kmeid J, et al. Burden of human metapneumovirus infections in patients with cancer: Risk factors and outcomes. Cancer 2017;123(12):2329–37.

140. Nitschinsk KM, Clarke DT, Idris A, et al. RNAi targeting of human metapneumovirus P and N genes inhibits viral growth. Intervirology 2018;61(3):149–54.

141. Allander T, Tammi MT, Eriksson M, et al. Cloning of a human parvovirus by molecular screening of respiratory tract samples. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102(36):12891–6.

142. Schenk T, Strahm B, Kontny U, et al. Disseminated bocavirus infection after stem cell transplant. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13(9):1425–7.
143. Schildgen O, Muller A, Allander T, et al. Human bocavirus: passenger or pathogen in acute respiratory tract infections? Clin Microbiol Rev 2008;21(2):291–304.

144. Hakki M, Rattray RM, Press RD. The clinical impact of coronavirus infection in patients with hematologic malignancies and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. J Clin Virol 2015;68:1–5.

145. Pene F, Merlat A, Vabret A, et al. Coronavirus 229E-related pneumonia in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis 2003;37(7):929–32.

146. Oosterhof L, Christensen CB, Sengelov H. Fatal lower respiratory tract disease with human corona virus NL63 in an adult haematopoietic cell transplant recipient. Bone Marrow Transplant 2010;45(6):1115–6.

147. Ferguson PE, Gilroy NM, Faux CE, et al. Human rhinovirus C in adult haematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with respiratory illness. J Clin Virol 2013;56(3):255–9.

148. Ison MG, Hayden FG, Kaiser L, et al. Rhinovirus infections in hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients with pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2003;36(9):1139–43.

149. Ghosh S, Champlin R, Couch R, et al. Rhinovirus infections in myelosuppressed adult blood and marrow transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 1999;29(3):528–32.