Continuous-time limit of topological quantum walks
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We derive the continuous-time limit of discrete quantum walks with topological phases. We show the existence of a continuous-time limit that preserves their topological phases. We consider both simple-step and split-step walks, and derive analytically equations of motion governing their behavior. We obtain simple analytical solutions showing the existence of bound states at the boundary of two phases, and solve the equations of motion numerically in the bulk.

I. INTRODUCTION

A quantum walk[1, 2] is the quantum mechanical version of the classical walk and can either be discrete-time or continuous-time[3]. Quantum walks provide a fascinating and versatile framework for studying a myriad of physical processes ranging from biological systems[4] to satisfiability problems in computer science[5] to universal quantum computation[6]. A variety of experimental substrates have been used to implement proof-of-principle demonstrations of quantum walks; these include, single photons[8, 9], trapped ions[10, 11], and atoms in optical lattices[12, 13].

One of the most fascinating aspects of quantum walks is related to the studying of topological phases in solid state physics[18, 19]. It was shown[20, 21] that the discrete version of quantum walks can be used to model and understand topological phases. This initial work has been theoretically extended[22–28] and also experimentally demonstrated[29].

In this work, we consider the continuous-time limit of discrete topological quantum walks. This is investigated with simple and split-step evolutions in which we identify their phases and boundaries. We confine ourselves to space-discrete and time-continuous walks which become relativistic in space limits[30]. One way to create non-trivial topological phases is to fashion the quantum walks in split steps using two coins with a requirement that the second coin’s rotation is inhomogeneous[21].

We show that even with simple evolution one can have topologically bound states by considering an equivalent representation that splits the single coin’s rotation in two steps. This is then generalized to split step with an additional coin providing a rich structure to the quantum walks adding new phases and boundaries. A key consideration in formulating continuous-time quantum walks from their discrete counterparts is to take the limit in the product topology of the spaces θ ∈ R × R ⊑ ω, where, θ represents the rotation angle and ω enters the limiting process as we study the systems in momentum space.

Our discussion is organized as follows. In Section II, we consider the case of split-step walk again with both a discrete-time walk and a continuous-time walk. We offer conclusions in Section IV.

II. SIMPLE-STEP WALK

Before we introduce the continuous-time limit for the simple-step walk, we rederive the discrete case. These discrete results were originally presented in[21, 22, 31, 32]. We do this for completeness for the reader and also to show that our results match, so we have a good limit in the continuum.

A. Discrete-time walk

Consider a system consisting of a quantum coin and a quantum walker. The quantum coin is a qubit in Hc, whereas the Hilbert space of the quantum walker Hw is infinite-dimensional. Let { |0⟩c, |1⟩c } and { |x⟩w, x ∈ Z } be orthonormal bases in Hc and Hw, respectively. The integer x can be thought of as the position of the (classical) walker. A general state can be written as

| Ψ ⟩ = ∑ x [ Ψ 0 ( x ) | 0 ⟩ c + Ψ 1 ( x ) | 1 ⟩ c ] | x ⟩ w (1)

In momentum space, we define

| k ⟩ w = 1 √ 2 π ∑ x e − i k x | x ⟩ w , k ∈ ( − π , π ) (2)

We deduce

⟨ k | k ′ ⟩ = δ ( k − k ′ ) , | x ⟩ = 1 √ 2 π ∫ − π π d k e i k x | k ⟩ (3)

Introduce operators which shift the position of the walker,

L ± | x ⟩ w = | x ± 1 ⟩ w (4)

and a unitary representing the flipping of the coin,

T ( θ ) = ( cos θ sin θ ) ( sin θ − cos θ ) = e − i θ Y Z . (5)
Subsequently to coin flipping, the walker moves forward if the coin is in $|0\rangle$, and backwards if the coin is in $|1\rangle$. This is represented by

$$S = \Pi_0 \otimes L^+ + \Pi_1 \otimes L^-,$$  

where $\Pi_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$ ($i = 0, 1$) (6)

Thus a step of the walker is represented by

$$U = ST(\theta) = S e^{-i\theta Y} Z.$$

After $n$ steps, the initial state evolves with $U^n$. In this chain, it is convenient to switch to the frame in which $X$ acts on the coin. We obtain

$$U' = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} Z e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$$

The advantage of working with $U'$ instead of $U$ is that $U'$ is of the form

$$U' = i F X F^{-1} X$$

where

$$F = e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Z} S_+$$

and

$$S_+ = \Pi_0 \otimes L^+ + \Pi_1 \otimes I,$$  

is diagonal. This can be accomplished with $e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$, since we have $e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} X e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} = Z$. We will therefore work with

$$W = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} U' e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}, \quad G = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} F e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$$

To find the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenstates, it is convenient to switch to the frame in which $X$ is diagonal. This can be accomplished with $e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$, since we have $e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} X e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} = Z$. We will therefore work with

$$W = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} U' e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}, \quad G = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} F e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$$

To solve the eigenvalue problem, notice that the momentum eigenstates are eigenstates of the shift operators,

$$L_{\pm}|k\rangle_w = e^{\pm ik}|k\rangle_w$$

Therefore,

$$W|k\rangle_w = W_c|k\rangle_w, \quad G|k\rangle_w = G_c|k\rangle_w$$

where the matrices $W_c$ and $G_c$ act on the coin. We obtain

$$W_c = \begin{pmatrix} i \sin k \cos \theta & -\cos k - i \sin k \sin \theta \\ -\cos k + i \sin k \sin \theta & i \sin k \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_c = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & -e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} e^{-i k} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} e^{i k} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & e^{i\frac{\pi}{4} Y} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4} Y}$$

The eigenvalues of $W_c$ are

$$e^{-i\omega_{\pm}(k)} = i \cos \theta \sin k \mp \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 k}$$

Note that $\sin \omega_\pm = \mp \cos \theta \sin k$.

We introduce two topological invariants,

$$\nu_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{dk} \ln c(\alpha G_c|0\rangle_c), \quad \alpha = 0, 1$$

Explicitly,

$$\nu_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

We obtain

$$\nu_0 = \begin{cases} 1, & \theta > 0 \\ 0, & \theta < 0 \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\nu_1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 + \tan^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}$$

Thus, we have two distinct topological phases with $\nu_0 = 1$, $\nu_1 = 0$ ($\nu_0 = 0$, $\nu_1 = 1$) for $\theta > 0$ ($\theta < 0$).

In position space, the action of $W$ can be deduced directly from (8) and (13). We obtain

$$\Psi_0(x) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} [\Psi_0(x - 1) - \Psi_0(x + 1)]$$

$$-\frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2} \Psi_1(x - 1) - \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2} \Psi_1(x + 1)$$

$$\Psi_1(x) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} [\Psi_1(x - 1) - \Psi_1(x + 1)]$$

$$-\frac{1 - \sin \theta}{2} \Psi_0(x - 1) - \frac{1 + \sin \theta}{2} \Psi_0(x + 1)$$

FIG. 1. Trapped state at the boundary of two topological phases of a discrete simple-step walk.

In Fig. 1, we see that a discrete-time simple-step topological walk gives rise to bound states at $x = 0$ and $x = -1$, which is chosen to be the boundary of two distinct topological phases. The initial state was centered around

This is represented by

$$U = ST(\theta) = S e^{-i\theta Y} Z.$$
In the limit $\Delta t \to 0$, from which we deduce the continuous-time quantum walk

Applying (23) twice, we obtain

which is not the case for $W_\omega$, the limit of an even number of steps.

In this case, we see that the quantum walk diffuses in both directions ballistically. The part of the walk that reaches the boundary of the other phase is reflected back and continues to diffuse ballistically away from the boundary without entering the region of the other topological phase.

**B. Continuous-time limit**

To go over to the continuous-time limit, we set $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon$, and consider a scaling limit in which $\epsilon \to 0$ and $n \to \infty$, so that the product $n\epsilon$ remains finite. In this limit, $\omega_+ \to \pi$, and $\omega_- \to 0$. Notice that $W^2 = I + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, which is not the case for $W$. We will therefore consider the limit of an even number of steps.

Setting

$$\epsilon = \gamma \Delta t, \quad t = 2n\Delta t \quad (24)$$

Applying (23) twice, we obtain

$$\Psi_0(x, n+2) - \Psi_0(x, n) = \gamma \Delta t [\Psi_1(x, n) - \Psi_1(x-2, n)]$$
$$\Psi_1(x, n+2) - \Psi_1(x, n) = -\gamma \Delta t [\Psi_0(x, n) - \Psi_0(x+2, n)] \quad (25)$$

from which we deduce the continuous-time quantum walk in the limit $\Delta t \to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_0(x, t)}{\partial t} = \gamma [\Psi_1(x, t) - \Psi_1(x-2, t)]$$
$$\frac{\partial \Psi_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = \gamma [-\Psi_0(x, t) + \Psi_0(x+2, t)] \quad (26)$$

Defining

$$\Phi_{+}(x) = \pm \Psi_0(x) + \Psi_1(x-1) \quad (27)$$

we obtain the decoupled equations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{+}(x, t)}{\partial t} = \pm \gamma [\Phi_{+}(x+1, t) - \Phi_{+}(x-1, t)] \quad (28)$$

related to each other by time reversal.

Working similarly in the other phase (with $\nu_0 = 0$), we obtain in the continuous-time limit,

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_0(x, t)}{\partial t} = \gamma [\Psi_1(x, t) - \Psi_1(x+2, t)]$$
$$\frac{\partial \Psi_1(x, t)}{\partial t} = \gamma [-\Psi_0(x, t) + \Psi_0(x-2, t)] \quad (29)$$

It is easy to see that these are equivalent to the decoupled Eqs. (28) under the definition $\Phi_{+}(x) = \pm \Psi_0(x) + \Psi_1(x+1)$ (cf. with Eq. (27)).

The above results are no longer valid if the coin parameters are spatially dependent. In particular, we are interested in the case in which there are two regions in space which have different topological numbers. This can be achieved by having $\theta > 0$ and $\theta < 0$ in the respective regions. Then the above results are valid in the bulk of each region, but not along their boundaries.

For the continuous-time limit, we need to consider the limit of $4n$ steps as $n \to \infty$. This is because $W^2 \neq I + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, due to an obstruction at the boundary of the two regions, but we still have $W^4 = I + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$.

In the continuous-time limit, away from the boundary the results match those of single topological phases. More precisely, for $x \geq 2$, we recover Eq. (29) whereas for $x \leq -3$, we recover Eq. (26). Near the boundary (for
III. SPLIT-STEP WALK

As with the previous section we rederive the discrete-time case here for completeness. The original results can be found in [21, 31, 32] along with our new results in the continuous-time limit following thereafter.

A. Discrete-time walk

For the split-step walk, we flip two coins, \( T(\theta_1) \) and \( T(\theta_2) \), where \( T(\theta) \) is defined in [5]. A step of the walker is represented by

\[
U = S_- T(\theta_2) S_+ T(\theta_1)
\]

It is convenient to define the repeated block

\[
U' = e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}Y} Z S_- e^{-i\theta_2 Y} Z S_+ e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}Y}
\]

The advantage of working with \( U' \) instead of \( U \) is that \( U' \) is of the form

\[
U' = -FXF^{-1}X
\]

where

\[
F = e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}Y} Z S_- e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}Y}
\]

After switching to a frame in which \( X \) is diagonal, we arrive at \( W \) given by (13), and \( W_c \) acting on a coin (Eq. (15)) as

\[
W_c = \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_0 & \beta_1 \\
-\beta_1^* & \beta_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\beta_0 = \cos k \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \\
\beta_1 = -i \sin k + \cos k \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 + \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2
\]

The eigenvalues are

\[
e^{-i\omega z} = \beta_0 \mp i \sqrt{1 - \beta_0^2}
\]

Similarly, for \( G_c \) defined by (13) and (15) with \( F \) given by (35), we obtain

\[
G_c = e^{-ik/2} \begin{pmatrix}
\gamma_0 & \gamma_1 \\
\gamma_1^* & -\gamma_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[
\gamma_0 = \frac{k}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} + i \sin \frac{k}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} \\
\gamma_1 = \frac{k}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} - i \sin \frac{k}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2}
\]

and we defined \( \theta_\pm = \theta_1 \pm \theta_2 \).
For the two topological invariants, we obtain, respectively,
\[
\nu_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 - z_0 e^{ik}}, \quad z_0 = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{41}
\]
and
\[
\nu_1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1 + z_1 e^{ik}}, \quad z_1 = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{42}
\]
It is easy to see that
\[
\nu_\alpha = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } |z_\alpha| < 1 \\
0 & \text{if } |z_\alpha| > 1 
\end{cases} \quad \alpha = 0, 1 \tag{43}
\]
Thus we obtain four different topological phases,
\[
I(\nu_0, \nu_1) = (1, 1) \tag{44}
\]
\[
II(\nu_0, \nu_1) = (0, 0) \tag{45}
\]
\[
III(\nu_0, \nu_1) = (0, 1) \tag{46}
\]
\[
IV(\nu_0, \nu_1) = (1, 0) \tag{47}
\]
In position space, the action of $W$ yields
\[
\Psi_0(x) \rightarrow \frac{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2}{2} \left[ \Psi_0(x-1) + \Psi_0(x+1) \right] + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \Psi_0(x) - \frac{1 + \sin \theta_1}{2} \cos \theta_2 \Psi_1(x-1) + \frac{1 - \sin \theta_1}{2} \cos \theta_2 \Psi_1(x+1) + \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \Psi_1(x) \\
\Psi_1(x) \rightarrow \frac{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2}{2} \left[ \Psi_1(x-1) + \Psi_1(x+1) \right] + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \Psi_1(x) - \frac{1 - \sin \theta_1}{2} \cos \theta_2 \Psi_0(x-1) + \frac{1 + \sin \theta_1}{2} \cos \theta_2 \Psi_0(x+1) - \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \Psi_0(x) \tag{48}
\]

**FIG. 5.** Trapped state at the boundary of topological phases $I$ and $II$ of a continuous simple-step walk.

**FIG. 6.** State being reflected at the boundary of topological phases $I$ and $II$ of a continuous simple-step walk.

Figures 5 and 6 show the behavior of a discrete split-step quantum walk with topological phases $III$ for $x \geq 0$ and $IV$ for $x < 0$. In Fig. 5, we see the same two bound states near the boundary of the two phases as in the case of the simple-step walk. This is expected, because the boundary between phases $III$ and $IV$ is equivalent to the boundary between two topologically distinct phases of a simple-step quantum walk. This behavior has been demonstrated experimentally by Kitagawa, et al. [20]. Figure 6 shows the behavior of the split-step quantum walk away from the boundary. In particular, a system whose initial state is entirely within a single topological phase will never leave the region of that phase. When such a state comes in contact with the boundary between two phases, it is reflected back.

Figures 7 and 8 show the behavior of a discrete split-step quantum walk with topological phases $I$ for $x \geq 0$ and $III$ for $x < 0$. Unlike in the previous case, there is a single bound state at the boundary between phases $I$ and $III$, at $x = -1$. This has also been observed experimentally [20]. Away from the boundary, we obtain the same qualitative behavior as in the previous case, including reflection of the state at the boundary.
B. Continuous-time limit

The continuous-time limit is obtained as \( \theta_1 \to \pm \pi \), \( \theta_2 \to 0 \), or \( \theta_2 \to \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \), \( \theta_1 \to 0 \). They correspond to the four distinct topological phases listed above, respectively,

\[
I(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \tag{49}
\]
\[
II(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (0, -\frac{\pi}{2}) \tag{50}
\]
\[
III(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \tag{51}
\]
\[
IV(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0) \tag{52}
\]

In phase I, we set \( \theta_1 = \epsilon_1 \), \( \theta_2 = \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon_2 \), and consider the scaling limit in which \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \to 0 \), \( n \to \infty \), so that the products \( n\epsilon_{1,2} \) remain finite. In this limit, \( \omega_\pm \to \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \).

We have \( \hat{W}^2 = -\hat{h} + O(\epsilon_{1,2}) \). We will therefore multiply the wavefunction by a phase \( i \), and consider the limit of

\[
\epsilon_{1,2} = \gamma_{1,2} \Delta t, \quad t = 2n\Delta t \tag{53}
\]

we obtain from \( \Delta_t \to 0 \), the equations of motion in the limit.

\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(x)}{\partial t} = -2\gamma_1 \Psi_1(x) - \gamma_2 \left[ \Psi_1(x-1) + \Psi_1(x+1) \right] \tag{54}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(x)}{\partial t} = 2\gamma_1 \Psi_0(x) + \gamma_2 \left[ \Psi_0(x-1) + \Psi_0(x+1) \right] \tag{54}
\]

Working similarly, we obtain the same equations of motion \( \Delta t \to 0 \) in the continuous-time limit in phase II.

Defining

\[
\Phi_\pm(x) = \pm \Psi_0(x) + \Psi_1(x-1) \tag{55}
\]

we obtain the decoupled equations of motion,

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi_\pm(x)}{\partial t} = \pm 2i\gamma_2 \Phi_\pm(x) \pm i\gamma_1 \left[ \Phi_\pm(x-1) + \Phi_\pm(x+1) \right] \tag{56}
\]
In phase III, we obtain the equations of motion
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(x)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 [\Psi_1(x) + \Psi_1(x - 2)] + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(x - 1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(x)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 [\Psi_0(x) + \Psi_0(x + 2)] - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(x + 1) \tag{57}
\]
and in phase IV,
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(x)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 [\Psi_1(x) + \Psi_1(x + 2)] + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(x + 1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(x)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 [\Psi_0(x) + \Psi_0(x - 2)] - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(x - 1) \tag{58}
\]
They can be put into the decoupled form \(\tag{56}\), if we define \(\Phi_\pm(x) = \pm i \Psi_0(x) + \Psi_1(x + 1)\) in phase IV.

There are six different boundaries, but only two are qualitatively different. We proceed to consider a representative from each type.

For a system in phase III for \(x \geq 0\), and phase IV for \(x < 0\), working as before, we obtain for \(x \leq 3\) the equations of motion \(\tag{57}\), and for \(x \geq 1\), the equations of motion \(\tag{58}\). Near the boundary of the two phases, we have
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(-2)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 \Psi_1(-2) + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(-1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(-2)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 (\Psi_0(-2) + \Psi_0(-4)) - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(-3)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(-1)}{\partial t} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(-1)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 \Psi_0(-3) - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(-2)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(0)}{\partial t} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(0)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 \Psi_0(2) - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(1)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 \Psi_1(1) + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(0)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(1)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 (\Psi_0(1) + \Psi_0(3)) - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(2) \tag{59}
\]
Similarly, for a system in phase I for \(x \geq 0\), and phase III for \(x < 0\), we obtain for \(x \geq 1\), the equations of motion \(\tag{54}\), and for \(x \leq 3\), the equations of motion \(\tag{57}\). Near the boundary, we have
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(-2)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 [\Psi_1(-4) + \Psi_1(-2)] + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(-3)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(-2)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_1 \Psi_0(-2) - 2\gamma_2 \Psi_0(-1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(-1)}{\partial t} = \gamma_1 \Psi_1(-3) + 2\gamma_2 \Psi_1(-2)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(-1)}{\partial t} = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_0(0)}{\partial t} = -\gamma_2 \Psi_1(1)
\]
\[
\frac{\partial \Psi_1(0)}{\partial t} = \gamma_2 \Psi_0(1) \tag{60}
\]

Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12 depict the continuous-time limit of the discrete quantum walks shown in Figs. 3, 7, 6, and 8, respectively. As expected, the observed behavior matches the asymptotic behavior at and away from the boundary in the discrete case. It should be noted that in the continuous-time limit, the bound states can be found analytically from (59) for the boundary between III and IV, and (60) for the boundary between phases I and III. These bound states are all in agreement with the asymptotic results obtained above in the corresponding discrete cases, as well as experimental results [20].
FIG. 13. Bound states near the boundary between two phases. From left to right, the distributions of $|\Psi_0(x)|^2$, $|\Psi_1(x)|^2$, $|\Psi_0(x)|^2 + |\Psi_1(x)|^2$ after a short time $t = 25$. In each plot, the value $R$ corresponds to the ratio between walk parameters $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$. (a) At the boundary between phases I and II with the initial state centered at $\Psi_0(-1)$ and $\Psi_0(0)$. (b) At the boundary between phases I and III with the initial state centered at $\Psi_1(-1)$.

As discussed above, the split-step quantum walk with boundary between the topological phases III and IV gives rise to two topologically protected bound states at the boundary. In Fig. 13, we show that these bound states are robust against small changes in the quantum walk parameters.

Figure 14 shows that in the case of a single topological phase, the state ballistically diffuses away from its initial position. In topological phase III, one can choose the initial state in such a way that the diffusion only occurs in a single direction. Due to the linearity of the system, it follows that the initial state can be chosen so that the diffusion occurs in both directions. However, in phase I, regardless of the choice of initial state, the diffusion invariably occurs in both directions ballistically.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have investigated the continuous-time limit of discrete quantum walks with topological phases. In quantum walks, it is common to consider both discrete and continuous-times. In recent years much interest has been devoted to understanding how discrete-time quantum walks can simulator topological insulators. Here we have shown the existence of a continuous-time limit that preserves their topological phases. We considered both simple-step and split-step walks and derived analytically the equations of motion governing their behaviors. Through our analytical solutions we showed the existence of bound states at the boundary of two phases. We also solved the equations of motion numerically in the bulk. In terms of future work it would be interesting to consider the alternative continuous-limit approach given in [33] to study topological properties of quantum walks.
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