DETECTION AND MEASUREMENT OF PARSEC-SCALE CIRCULAR POLARIZATION IN FOUR AGNs
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ABSTRACT

We present five epochs of 15 GHz VLBA observations of 13 AGNs. These observations were specially calibrated to detect parsec-scale circular polarization and our calibration techniques are discussed and analyzed in detail. We obtained reliable detections of parsec-scale circular polarization in the radio jets of four AGNs: 3C 84, PKS 0528 + 134, 3C 273, and 3C 279. For each of these objects our detections are at the level of approximately 0.3%–1% local fractional circular polarization. Each individual detection has a significance in the range of 3 to 10 σ. Our observations are consistent across multiple epochs (and different calibration techniques) in the sign and magnitude of the circular polarization observed. 3C 273 and 3C 279 both undergo core outbursts during our observations and changes in the circular polarization of both sources are correlated with these outbursts. In general, we observe the circular polarization to be nearly coincident with the strong VLBI cores of these objects; however, in 3C 84 the circular polarization is located a full milliarcsecond south of the source peak, and in the 1996.73 epoch of 3C 273 the circular polarization is predominately associated with the newly emerging jet component. Our observations support the theoretical conclusion that emission of circular polarization is a sensitive function of opacity, being strongest when the optical depth is near unity. Circular polarization may be produced as an intrinsic component of synchrotron radiation or by the Faraday conversion of linear to circular polarization. Our single-frequency observations do not easily distinguish between these possible mechanisms, but independent of mechanism, the remarkable consistency across epoch of the sign of the observed circular polarization suggests the existence of a long-term, stable, unidirectional magnetic field. Single-dish observations of 3C 273 and 3C 279 at 8 GHz by Hodge and Aller suggest that this stability may persist for decades in our frame of observation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The detection and measurement of circular polarization in compact, extragalactic radio sources has long been a difficult observational challenge. The first reliable observations of integrated circular polarization were made by Gilbert & Conway (1970) at 49 cm. Weiler & de Pater (1983) cataloged and reviewed integrated measurements of circular polarization in a large number of extragalactic radio sources. They found no reliable measurements above 0.5% with the majority of the observations ≤ 0.1%. Jones (1988) used numerical simulations to model relativistic jets in compact radio sources and found that the local fractional circular polarization could be much higher than 0.5%.

Circular polarization may be produced either as an intrinsic component of synchrotron radiation or by Faraday conversion of linear to circular polarization (e.g., Jones & O’Dell 1977). Intrinsic circular polarization is produced directly by the radiating particles and serves as a probe of the magnetic field structure along the line of sight. Faraday conversion, however, is a propagation effect, dominated by the lower energy relativistic particles in the jet, and therefore serves as a probe of the low-energy end of the relativistic particle distribution.

We present observations of parsec-scale circular polarization in four compact, extragalactic radio sources. These observations were part of an ongoing program to monitor the structure and polarization of 12 rapidly variable compact radio sources, using the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) at λ1.3 cm (22 GHz) and λ2 cm (15 GHz). The circular polarization observations presented in this paper were made at 15 GHz. Observations were made at intervals of 2 months, and the consistency between images made at different epochs is an important check on our results.

We have detected circular polarization in 3C 84, PKS 0528 + 134, 3C 273, and 3C 279 at 15 GHz. We find each of these sources to have local circular polarization at levels of 0.3% to 1%. These observations are consistent across multiple epochs, and they display changes linked with core outbursts in 3C 273 and 3C 279.

To make these observations we have devised three separate calibration and imaging techniques, described in brief in § 3. Detailed discussion and analysis of these techniques and other issues important to the measurement of circular polarization is postponed to the appendix. The details of our experiments and a brief description of our calibration procedures are provided in § 2. Section 4 presents results on all the sources in our sample and images of four of them. We discuss the reliability of our calibration in § 5 and the physical interpretation of our observations in § 6. Our conclusions are presented in § 7.

2. OBSERVATIONAL DETAILS

The observations presented here were part of a project to monitor closely a sample of 13 AGNs at 15 and 22 GHz with the VLBA.3 The sources were observed at two month...
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intervals from January 1996 to December 1996, for a total of six epochs. We present the 15 GHz circular polarization observations from the first five epochs. (Recalibration for circular polarization of the 22 GHz companion observations is currently in progress.) The overall data quality of the sixth epoch is much poorer than the previous five, making reliable detection of circular polarization difficult. Table 1 lists the five epochs for which we present data in this paper. Table 2 is a list of the 13 sources monitored with these observations.

The observations were scheduled to maximize coverage in the $(u, v)$-plane. To accomplish this goal, scan lengths were kept short (6.5 minutes per scan for the first two epochs and 5.5 minutes per scan for the remaining epochs) and frequencies were switched following every scan. In addition, scans of neighboring sources were heavily interleaved at the expense of some additional slew time. Each source received approximately 45 minutes per frequency of observation time at each epoch.

The data were recorded at each antenna using 1-bit sampling and were correlated at the VLBA correlator in Socorro, NM. The correlator output contained 2 s integrations for all four cross-correlations (RR, RL, LR, LL), each with four intermediate frequencies (IFs) and 16 channels per IF. The data were distributed on DAT tape to Brandeis University where they were loaded into NRAO's Astronomical Imaging Processing System (AIPS).

The antenna D-terms were determined from observations of the strong, compact source PKS 0528 + 134, using the program LPCAL\(^6\) in AIPS (Leppänen, Zensus, & Diamond 1995). The amplitudes of the D-terms ranged from approximately 1% to 5% with values close to 2% being most typical. As described in § A1, our D-term calibration is accurate enough to limit D-term related errors in our circular polarization images to less than 0.2% of the corresponding linear polarization.

Following a careful inspection of the data and supplied tables, the task UVFLG read in the supplied flagging information and applied the initial edits. The parallactic angles were then removed from the data with the task CLCOR. Prior to fringe fitting, the supplied pulse calibration information was applied with the task PCCOR.\(^4\) Global fringe fitting was performed with the AIPS task FRING, choosing a stable, central reference antenna such as Los Alamos (LA) or Pie Town (PT). The AIPS procedure CROSSPOL then removed the multiband delay difference between the right- and left-hand systems of the array. Initial amplitude calibration was from measured system temperatures and atmospheric opacity (using the tasks ANTAB and APCAL). A bandpass correction was then determined with the task BPASS before averaging across the channels within each IF.

Following a short-timescale point-source\(^5\) phase calibration to increase coherence, the data were averaged in time to 20 s and written out to the Caltech VLBI program, DIFMAP. The data were then edited in a station-based manner. At this point, a copy of the data was written out to disk; this data will be referred to as the edited, unself-calibrated data. Within DIFMAP, standard imaging and self-calibration techniques were applied to obtain the best possible total intensity model. This model was then written out to AIPS and used to self-calibrate the edited, unself-calibrated data (using first a 20 s solution interval for phase calibration followed by a 10 minute solution interval for amplitude calibration).

Following additional phase self-calibration passes in AIPS, the effects of feed leakage (D-terms) were removed from the parallel- and cross-hand data. This is crucial since the D-terms induce nonclosing errors in the RR and LL data that are not removed by self-calibration and could mimic a circularly polarized signal. On a single-baseline scan these errors are of order $D^2$ for an unpolarized source and $m_1 D$ for a polarized source, where $m_1$ is the fractional linear polarization. (See § A1 for a detailed analysis.)

The antenna D-terms were from observations of the strong, compact source PKS 0528 + 134, using the program LPCAL\(^6\) in AIPS (Leppänen, Zensus, & Diamond 1995). The amplitudes of the D-terms ranged from approximately 1% to 5% with values close to 2% being most typical. As described in § A1, our D-term calibration is accurate enough to limit D-term related errors in our circular polarization images to less than 0.2% of the corresponding linear polarization.

After D-term removal, a further amplitude self-calibration was applied using the initial total intensity model from DIFMAP. This is important to remove any amplitude gain errors made by the amplitude self-calibration prior to removal of the D-terms. A final round of phase self-calibration was performed before imaging in all four Stokes parameters. These images were made in the usual way and are presented in § 4.

---

### Table 1

**EPOCHS OF OBSERVATION**

| Date       | Epoch | Notes |
|------------|-------|-------|
| 19 Jan     | 1996.05 | a     |
| 22 Mar     | 1996.23 | b     |
| 27 May     | 1996.41 | c     |
| 27 Jul     | 1996.57 |       |
| 27 Sep     | 1996.74 | d, e  |

- a: North Liberty antenna off-line for the entire observation.
- b: Owens Valley antenna off-line for the first half of the observation.
- c: No fringes found to the Kitt Peak antenna.
- d: North Liberty antenna off-line for the second half of the observation.
- e: Some data loss from the Owens Valley antenna.

---

### Table 2

**SOURCE NAMES AND REDSHIFTS**

| J2000.0 | B1950.0 | Other | Redshift |
|---------|---------|-------|----------|
| J0319 + 41 | 0316 + 413 | 3C 84 | 0.018    |
| J0433 + 05 | 0430 + 052 | 3C 120 | 0.033    |
| J0530 + 13 | 0528 + 134 | PKS 0528 + 134 | 2.070 |
| J0738 + 17 | 0735 + 178 | PKS 0735 + 178 | 0.424a |
| J0854 + 20 | 0851 + 202 | OJ 287 | 0.306    |
| J1224 + 21 | 1222 + 216 |       | 0.435    |
| J1229 + 02 | 1226 + 023 | 3C 273 | 0.158    |
| J1256 + 05 | 1253 + 055 | 3C 279 | 0.536    |
| J1310 + 32 | 1308 + 326 |       | 0.996    |
| J1512 + 09 | 1510 + 089 |       | 0.360    |
| J1751 + 09 | 1749 + 096 | 4C 09.56 | 0.322   |
| J1927 + 73 | 1928 + 738 | 4C 73.18 | 0.302   |
| J2005 + 77 | 2007 + 776 |       | 0.342    |

- a: Absorption-line redshift.

---

\(^4\) The only exception is epoch 1996.05, where a manual phase calibration was used to align the phases across IF.

\(^5\) After epoch A, the source model from a previous epoch was used in place of a point source model for the initial phase coherence calibration.

\(^6\) The D-terms found by LPCAL are in the linear feed model. Versions of AIPS prior to the 1997 April 15 release require D-terms to be in the ellipticity-orientation model if they are to be applied to all four complex correlations. For this reason, it was necessary to translate the D-terms to the ellipticity-orientation model prior to their application.
3. CIRCULAR POLARIZATION CALIBRATION

The calibration steps described above are similar to those used for any VLBA observation designed to measure linear polarization (Cotton 1993; Roberts, Wardle, & Brown 1994). For circular polarization, we must also carefully consider the relative calibration of the right (R) and left (L) complex antenna gains. Section A.2 explores this issue in depth; here we briefly summarize our three separate techniques for calibrating the R and L complex antenna gains.

3.1. Gain Transfer

In this technique, we initially make no assumption about the presence or absence of circular polarization in our sources. All self-calibration rounds are performed with the rigorous assumption \((\text{RR} + \text{LL})/2 = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\). This assumption will calibrate only the average of R and L at a given antenna, leaving the relative R/L antenna gain ratio completely uncorrected.

At the end of the calibration only the R/L gain ratios remain to be calibrated before we can make circular polarization images. If we know that some of our sources have no circular polarization, we can calibrate this ratio on these sources by doing a final self-calibration with the assumption: \(\text{RR} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\) and \(\text{LL} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\).

We found these corrections (one per scan in amplitude and phase) on the subset of sources which appeared to have no circular polarization (see § A2.2 for discussion of how these sources were determined). The corrections were then averaged and smoothed on a 4 hr timescale before application to all sources in the sample. The errors in this technique are dominated by the short-term (scan-to-scan) R/L gain fluctuations. Analyzed in § A2.2, these fluctuations can limit the sensitivity of this technique to \(\lesssim 0.15\%\) of the local total intensity. The errors listed in the tables of results were derived using equation (A20) and we believe they provide a conservative estimate of the 1σ uncertainty.

Circular polarization images produced from data calibrated with this technique are referred to in the text as gain transfer images. Making images in this way depends critically on the stability of the antenna gains. It is a tribute to the outstanding performance of the VLBA that such images are now possible.

3.2. Zero-V Self-Calibration

Another technique is to perform all self-calibration rounds with the assumption that there is no real circular polarization (Stokes \(V\)) in the data. In this calibration scheme, separate complex gain corrections are found for the R and L hands at each antenna by assuming \(\text{RR} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\) and \(\text{LL} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\). This assumption will try to reduce any circular polarization (real or spurious) as much as possible by adjusting the complex antenna gains. We will refer to this calibration technique as zero-V self-calibration.

Real circular polarization is additive in the RR and LL correlations while the complex gains are multiplicative. Therefore, if a source has significant extended structure, zero-V self-cal will not be able to completely remove real circular polarization from the source. In general, if the strong core of a source has real circular polarization, this procedure will remove the circular polarization from the strong core and transfer it (with reverse sign but the same local fractional level) to the weaker extended structure. If the circular polarization is originally on the weaker extended structure (rather than the core), zero-V self-cal cannot modify it much without inducing significant circular polarization on the core.

3.3. Phase-Only Mapping

The previous two calibration techniques, gain transfer and zero-V self-cal, are subject to errors in the antenna amplitude gains. The idea behind phase-only mapping is to demonstrate that any circular polarization detected by these techniques is also present (in a consistent manner) in the phases of the data alone. If a source has real circular polarization, then the RR and LL closure phases will be slightly different, and this difference is preserved throughout the calibration process. For simple sources, we can even use the phase-only image to predict the amplitudes of circular polarization measured by the other techniques.

To construct a phase-only image, we set the amplitudes of the RR and LL correlations to unity and construct the V visibilities from the resulting “phase-only” correlations. Naturally, if the source is a simple point source or has no real circular polarization, this technique will not detect any circular polarization. For simple sources with extended structure and real circular polarization, phase-only mapping produces circular polarization images that are anti-symmetric (to first order) at the jet position around the location of the core.

To construct phase-only images that are not dominated by short-term phase noise fluctuations (\(\sim 0.2\)) between the R and L hands at each antenna, we found that the phases must be self-calibrated with the assumption \(\text{RR} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\) and \(\text{LL} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\). However, as should be expected, the phase-only images did not at all depend on how the amplitudes were corrected: calibrating the amplitudes by assuming either \((\text{RR} + \text{LL})/2 = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\) or \(\text{RR} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\), \(\text{LL} = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}\) produces identical results.

4. THE OBSERVATIONS

Of the 13 observed sources, we have reliable detections of circular polarization on four: 3C 84 (J0319+41), PKS 0528+134 (J0530+13), 3C 273 (J1229+02), and 3C 279 (J1256−05). The 15 GHz observations of these four sources are presented individually. The 15 GHz observations of the remaining nine sources are presented as a group.

4.1. 3C 279 (J1256−05)

Images for the 1996.05 epoch of 3C 279 in total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization are presented in Figure 1. The circular polarization image was produced with the gain transfer calibration technique (§ 3.1). The images are very similar to those from the later epochs (see Wardle et al. 1998 for images from the 1996.57 epoch), although the core increases in strength (as does the circular polarization) in the later epochs. The images display a strong, compact core with a jet extending to the southwest at position angle \(-113°\). There is a bright knot in the jet at approximately 3 mas from the core.

The core has modest fractional linear polarization (\(m_L = 4.1\%\)). The observed integrated circular polarization on the core is 36 mJy, corresponding to \(+0.3\%\) local fractional circular polarization. While this measurement superficially constitutes a 30 σ detection when compared with the rms noise on the map, analysis of the gain transfer technique in § A2.2 shows that such measurements are limited by short-
timescale gain fluctuations. The associated errors in the gain transfer circular polarization maps may be as large as 0.15% of the corresponding total intensity. Values obtained by the other calibration techniques (described below), however, increase our confidence that our circular polarization measurement for epoch 1996.05 is accurate to a few millijanskys.

Detailed \((u, v)\)-plane model-fitting of the core region in total intensity and linear polarization (at both 15 and 22 GHz), reveals that the core consists of two closely spaced (~0.1 mas) components. In Wardle et al. (1998), we argue that circular polarization is associated with the western core component (CW) in the 1996.57 epoch. Assuming this association holds for the other epochs, CW is +1.2% circularly polarized in epoch 1996.05. The core fluxes and polarizations for all five epochs are given in Table 3.

3C 279 provides our most robust circular polarization observations. As a result of its relatively simple, (unequal) point double morphology on milliarcsecond scales, all three of our calibration techniques give readily interpretable and consistent results. Figure 2 displays the circular polarization images as produced by our three calibration techniques in epoch 1996.05.

Figure 2a displays the image produced by our gain transfer calibration procedure. The image is the same as in Figure 1 except that it has a different boundary for easy comparison with Figures 2b and 2c, produced by our other calibration procedures. In epoch 1996.05, the integrated
The results from the three calibration techniques. The extrapolations are compared to the results from applying a simple point double model to the source if it is well separated from the main peak in total intensity. Here the linear polarization structure is located just north and west of the positive peak, and we believe the negative component is an artifact resulting from the zero-V self-calibration technique. The off-peak rms noise in the circular polarization images was typically 1–2 mJy beam\(^{-1}\); however, the errors in our measurements are limited by the short-timescale R/L gain fluctuations to \(\leq 0.15\%\) of the total I of the core.

It is important to note that the errors associated with the zero-V self-cal and phase-only measurements of circular polarization reflect not only random noise but also the possible systematic loss of signal, described in § A2.1, associated with assuming no circular polarization at one or more steps in the self-calibration process.

The gain transfer, zero-V self-cal, and phase-only maps from the later epochs are very similar to those displayed in Figure 2 for epoch 1996.05. Table 4 displays the raw measurements of circular polarization from the zero-V self-cal and phase-only calibration techniques. Table 5 summarizes the results from applying a simple point double model to these raw measurements and extrapolating the circular polarization of the core. The extrapolations are compared with the more direct gain transfer results. Figure 3 compares the results from the three calibration techniques.

### Table 3

| Epoch      | Component | R (mas) | \(\Theta\) (deg) | I (Jy) | \(\lambda\) (Jy) | \(\gamma\) (deg) | \(m_c\) (percent) | \(V\) (Jy) | \(m_v\) (percent) |
|------------|-----------|---------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1996.05    | CE        | ...     | ...             | 8.39  | 0.283           | 91              | 3.4             | ...      | ...             |
|            | CW        | 0.15    | -117            | 3.12  | 0.672           | 19              | 21.5            | +0.036   | (+0.018)        |
|            |           |         |                 |       |                 |                 |                 | +1.2     |                 |
| 1996.23    | CE        | ...     | ...             | 8.53  | 0.379           | -80             | 4.4             | ...      | ...             |
|            | CW        | 0.11    | -126            | 5.33  | 0.591           | 24              | 11.1            | +0.099   | (+0.018)        |
|            |           |         |                 |       |                 |                 |                 | +1.9     |                 |
| 1996.41    | CE        | ...     | ...             | 9.23  | 1.013           | -78             | 11.0            | ...      | ...             |
|            | CW        | 0.10    | -115            | 6.80  | 0.944           | -167            | 13.9            | +0.092   | (+0.020)        |
|            |           |         |                 |       |                 |                 |                 | +1.4     |                 |
| 1996.57    | CE        | ...     | ...             | 7.58  | 0.814           | -83             | 10.7            | ...      | ...             |
|            | CW        | 0.09    | -115            | 9.19  | 0.914           | -161            | 9.9             | +0.089   | (+0.020)        |
|            |           |         |                 |       |                 |                 |                 | +1.0     |                 |
| 1996.74    | CE        | ...     | ...             | 7.08  | 0.825           | -106            | 11.6            | ...      | ...             |
|            | CW        | 0.12    | -125            | 9.90  | 0.986           | 6               | 10.0            | +0.070   | (+0.020)        |

Note.—Circular polarization is assumed to be associated with the CW component of the core. The circular polarization measurements are from the gain transfer calibration technique. The off-peak rms noise in the circular polarization images was typically 1–2 mJy beam\(^{-1}\); however, the errors in our measurements are limited by the short-timescale R/L gain fluctuations to \(\leq 0.15\%\) of the total I of the core.

#### Table 4

| Epoch      | Zero-V Self-Cal | Phase-Only Map |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|
|            | \(V_{\text{jet}}\) (mJy) | \(V_{\text{jet}}\) (mJy) | \(V_{\text{off}}\) (mJy) |
| 1996.05    | -5.6            | -0.23          | 0.20               |
| 1996.23    | -7.6            | -0.34          | 0.32               |
| 1996.41    | -5.4            | -0.20          | 0.19               |
| 1996.57    | -11.3           | -0.37          | 0.34               |
| 1996.74    | -11.6           | -0.36          | 0.35               |

Note.—The values were obtained from model fitting with point sources in the (\(u, v\))-plane. The off-peak rms noise in the zero-V self-cal images was typically 0.5 mJy beam\(^{-1}\). The off-peak rms noise in the phase-only images was typically 0.02 mJy beam\(^{-1}\).
signal by zero-V self-cal in simulated data sets of 3C 84. The images produced by zero-V self-cal in the other epochs are essentially the same as Figure 4b, each revealing about +1% (±0.1%) local circular polarization in the same location.

The gain transfer and phase-only images are presented in Figure 5. They are both very consistent with the zero-V self-cal result. The gain transfer image (Figure 5a) shows the positive circular polarization to be stronger (28 versus 18 mJy beam⁻¹) than the zero-V self-cal image. The smaller, negative circular polarization is also shown by the gain transfer image, although it is much weaker and located more to the west rather than to the northwest as indicated by the zero-V self-cal image.

The other epochs show generally consistent gain transfer results, although the quality of the gain transfer results vary from epoch to epoch. The circular polarization signals measured by gain transfer are always in the same location (south and east of the map peak) and are usually within a couple of millijanskys of the zero-V self-cal result. The worst gain transfer result is the 1996.57 epoch which has large pits and valleys in the circular polarization image, masking the circular polarization signal clearly detected by the other two techniques.

Figure 5b is the phase-only circular polarization image of 3C 84 in the 1995.74 epoch. The result is difficult to interpret directly because of the extreme complexity of the source. However it is clear that this image is consistent with the results produced by the other two techniques. The phase-only results from the other epochs are equally consistent.

4.3. PKS 0528 + 134 (J0530 + 13)

The total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization images of PKS 0528 + 134 from our 1996.57 epoch are presented in Figure 6. The total intensity and circular polarization observations from the other epochs are very similar to those presented. The linear polarization, however, changes considerably over the course of our observations. PKS 0528 + 134 is a barely resolved point source with an extension to the north-northeast. In tapered images we observe extended flux approximately 3 mas to the north-northeast, suggesting that the parsec-scale jet
Table 5
Extrapolated CP Using a Simplified Point Double Model for 3C 279

| EPOCH   | \( I_{\text{core}} \) (Jy) | \( I_{\text{jet}} \) (Jy) | Zero-V Self-cal (mJy) | Phase-Only Map (mJy) | Gain Transfer \( V_{\text{core}} \) (mJy) |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1996.05 | 11.40                       | 2.02                      | 32±3                | 27±2                | 36±18                         |
| 1996.23 | 13.14                       | 2.04                      | 49±14               | 65±29               | 99±18                         |
| 1996.41 | 15.32                       | 1.84                      | 45±14               | 49±17               | 92±20                         |
| 1996.57 | 15.58                       | 2.14                      | 82±20               | 81±20               | 89±20                         |
| 1996.74 | 15.57                       | 2.32                      | 78±20               | 75±19               | 70±20                         |

Note: Errors on these extrapolations include a positive offset for the possibility that self-calibration assuming no circular polarization may incur an overall signal loss of up to about 20% (see §A2.1). Measurements of the circular polarization from gain transfer are included for comparison.

The circular polarization (as revealed by the gain transfer calibration technique) lies directly on the core with an integrated intensity of \(-45 \text{ mJy} \pm 10 \text{ mJy} \) \((m_c = +0.6\%)\). The circular polarization maps for the other epochs are very similar, each revealing strong positive circular polarization: +40 to +50 mJy in three of the other epochs and +100 mJy in one epoch.

Our model-fitting of the total intensity data reveals the core to consist of two closely spaced components; however, the linear polarization structure is fit quite poorly by this model. We cannot associate the circular polarization with either of these total intensity model components with any confidence. As a result, we will treat the core as a single component with the associated linear and circular polarization. Table 6 contains the integrated measurements of total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization for the core of PKS 0528 + 134 for each of the five epochs presented in this paper.

Zero-V self-cal and phase-only imaging both revealed no detectable circular polarization on PKS 0528 + 134. As explained in §A2, neither of these techniques would detect circular polarization on what is essentially a point source. However, we are confident that the circular polarization revealed in the gain transfer images is real.

4.4. 3C 273 (J1229 + 02)

Figure 7 displays the total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization images of 3C 273 for the 1996.41 epoch. In these images 3C 273 has a strong core with a bright jet extending at a position angle of approximately \(-120^\circ\). The core has weak linear polarization at the 0.3% level, and the jet is more strongly polarized at the \(\approx10\%\) level. The observed circular polarization lies directly on the core with an integrated intensity of \(-74 \text{ mJy} \pm 15 \text{ mJy} \) \((m_c = -0.6\%)\).

The total intensity and linear polarization structure of the jet are very similar in the other epochs. The integrated flux density of the core, however, increases in strength from epoch 1996.05, where it is 2.8 Jy, to epoch 1996.57, where it is 13.4 Jy. By epoch 1996.74, the core is clearly comprised of two components (denoted CE and CW in Table 7). We report the results of \((\mu, \nu)\)-plane model-fitting of the core region for each epoch in Table 7.

The circular polarization structure of the core also changes over the course of the epochs in a manner consistent with the total intensity and linear polarization changes. In epoch 1996.05, the integrated circular polarization is \(-10 \text{ mJy} \pm 10 \text{ mJy} \) \((m_c = \sim -0.4\%)\) but consistent with zero in a very noisy gain transfer image. At epoch 1996.23, the total intensity of the core is much higher, but we detect no circular polarization with a limit of less than 0.2%. In 1996.41 (Fig. 7), the circular polarization is \(-0.6\%)\) and the core also shows the first signs of linear polarization. In 1996.57, the circular polarization is \(-0.5\%)\) and the linear polarization has increased further. By 1996.74 the core has split in total intensity and linear polarization; the circular polarization also splits and is predominately associated with the western core (CW) component. Figure 8 displays uniformly weighted total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization images from the 1996.74 epoch.

Figure 9 displays the circular polarization images produced by the gain transfer, zero-V self-cal, and phase-only calibration procedures for epoch 1996.41. Figure 9a is the gain transfer image presented in Figure 7c, framed differently for ease of comparison to the other images. Figure 9b is the zero-V self-cal image. It is clear that the circular polarization extends in that direction. The circular polarization (as revealed by the gain transfer calibration technique) lies directly on the core with an integrated intensity of \(+45 \text{ mJy} \pm 10 \text{ mJy} \) \((m_c = +0.6\%)\). The circular polarization maps for the other epochs are very similar, each revealing strong positive circular polarization: +40 to +50 mJy in three of the other epochs and +100 mJy in one epoch.

Our model-fitting of the total intensity data reveals the core to consist of two closely spaced components; however, the linear polarization structure is fit quite poorly by this model. We cannot associate the circular polarization with either of these total intensity model components with any confidence. As a result, we will treat the core as a single component with the associated linear and circular polarization. Table 6 contains the integrated measurements of total intensity, linear polarization, and circular polarization for the core of PKS 0528 + 134 for each of the five epochs presented in this paper.

Zero-V self-cal and phase-only imaging both revealed no detectable circular polarization on PKS 0528 + 134. As explained in §A2, neither of these techniques would detect circular polarization on what is essentially a point source. However, we are confident that the circular polarization revealed in the gain transfer images is real.

4.4. 3C 273 (J1229 + 02)

Table 6
Core Flux and Polarization Measurements for PKS 0528 + 134

| EPOCH   | Component | \( R \) (mas) | \( \Theta \) (deg) | \( I \) (Jy) | \( P \) (Jy) | \( \chi \) (deg) | \( m_l \) (percent) | \( V \) (Jy) | \( m_c \) (percent) |
|---------|-----------|--------------|------------------|------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1996.05 | C         | ...          | ...              | 8.65       | 0.207   | 100         | 2.4             | +0.044 | (+0.014)        |
| 1996.23 | C         | ...          | ...              | 9.19       | 0.053   | 52          | 0.6             | +0.107 | (+0.012)        |
| 1996.41 | C         | ...          | ...              | 7.96       | 0.072   | \(-42\)     | 0.9             | +0.040 | (+0.010)        |
| 1996.57 | C         | ...          | ...              | 7.76       | 0.137   | \(-90\)     | 1.8             | +0.045 | (+0.010)        |
| 1996.74 | C         | ...          | ...              | 8.19       | 0.246   | \(-97\)     | 2.7             | +0.047 | (+0.011)        |

Note: The circular polarization measurements are from the gain transfer calibration technique. The off-peak rms noise in the circular polarization images was typically 1 mJy beam \(^{-1}\); however, the errors in our measurements are limited by the short-timescale R/L gain fluctuations to \(<0.15\%)\) of the \(I\) peak.
polarization from the core has been transferred (by self-calibration) to the jet with reversed sign and much reduced (absolute) level. Figure 9c is the phase-only image. As expected, the signal in the phase-only map is antisymmetric about the core with respect to the jet location.

Because of the complexity of 3C 273, it is difficult to use the zero-V self-cal and phase-only calibration techniques to derive firm numbers for the true circular polarization of 3C 273. The best numbers are derived from the gain transfer calibration procedure, but it is clear that the zero-V self-cal and phase-only images are consistent with the gain transfer results. The 1996.57 and 1996.74 epochs also produce zero-V self-cal and phase-only images consistent with the results from gain transfer calibration.

4.5. Other Sources

Circular polarization observations (using gain transfer calibration) from our remaining nine sources are summarized in Table 8. In most cases, these sources show no circular polarization from any of our calibration techniques. The upper limits and errors reported in Table 8 are estimated to be roughly $\sqrt{2}$ times the noise peaks on the
Fig. 5.—Circular polarization images of 3C 84 (1996 May). (a) Gain transfer calibration. \( \sqrt{2} \) contours begin at \( \pm 5.67 \) mJy beam\(^{-1} \). The map peak is \( 28.3 \) mJy beam\(^{-1} \). (b) Result of phase-only mapping. \( \sqrt{2} \) contours begin at \( \pm 2 \) mJy beam\(^{-1} \). The map peak is \( 6.4 \) mJy beam\(^{-1} \).

circular polarization images. It is interesting to note that, in general, the upper limits estimated from noise peaks are consistent with the estimate of expected error of \( \lesssim 0.15\% \) due to short-term gain fluctuations (derived in § A2.2).

In isolated epochs, a few of the sources (J0738 + 17, J1512 - 09, J1751 + 09, J1927 + 73, and J2005 + 77) appear to exhibit some core circular polarization. In all of these sources, except J1927 + 73, the detected core circular polarization is comparable to the peak noise in the image. In the three epochs in which it is detected, the circular polarization signal for core of J1927 + 73 is significantly larger than the peak noise.

5. RELIABILITY OF CALIBRATION AND DETECTION

In the appendix, we analyze in detail the calibration for circular polarization. Here we provide a more general discussion of the reliability of our calibration and claims of detection of circular polarization.

Chief among the sources of spurious circular polarization are antenna feed leakage (D-terms) and improper gain calibration. Our analysis in § A1 demonstrates that the D-terms, even if completely uncorrected, cannot be the source of the circular polarization we observe. Our correction of the D-terms is accurate enough to limit feed leakage.
Fig. 6.—Naturally weighted images of PKS 0528+134 (1996 July). (a) Total intensity \( \sqrt{3} \) contours beginning at 0.015 Jy beam\(^{-1} \). The map peak is 6.78 Jy beam\(^{-1} \). (b) Linear polarization E-vectors superposed on polarization intensity with \( \sqrt{2} \) contours beginning at 0.010 Jy beam\(^{-1} \). The map peak is 0.135 Jy beam\(^{-1} \). (c) Circular polarization intensity \( \sqrt{2} \) contours beginning at 5 mJy beam\(^{-1} \). The map peak is 45 mJy beam\(^{-1} \).

| Epoch       | Component | \( R \) (mas) | \( \Theta \) (deg) | \( I \) (Jy) | \( P \) (Jy) | \( \chi \) (deg) | \( m_L \) (%) | \( V \) (Jy) | \( m_c \) (%) |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1996.05……  | C         | ……            | 2.81              | <0.010     | ……        | <0.3          | –0.010 (±0.010) | –0.4        |
| 1996.23……  | C         | ……            | 6.42              | <0.010     | ……        | <0.2          | <0.010 (…)   | <0.2        |
| 1996.41……  | C         | ……            | 11.55             | 0.034      | –178      | 0.3           | –0.074 (±0.015) | –0.6        |
| 1996.57……  | C         | ……            | 13.38             | 0.076      | –149      | 0.6           | –0.072 (±0.017) | –0.5        |
| 1996.74……  | CE        | ……            | 6.51              | 0.035      | –78       | 0.5           | –0.019 (±0.008) | –0.3        |
|             | CW        | 0.48          | –120              | 7.81       | 0.039     | 0             | –0.037 (±0.010) | –0.5        |

Note.—The circular polarization measurements are from the \textit{gain transfer} calibration technique. The off-peak rms noise in the circular polarization images was typically 1–2 mJy beam\(^{-1} \); however, the errors in our measurements are limited by the short-timescale R/L gain fluctuations to \( \leq 0.15\% \) of the \( I \) peak. The \textit{gain transfer} circular polarization result from the 1995.05 epoch is particularly noisy and has a larger estimated error.
Fig. 7.—Naturally weighted images of 3C 273 (1996 May). The images show only the inner 5–6 mas of the jet. (a) Total intensity $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at 0.030 Jy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is 11.03 Jy beam$^{-1}$. (b) Linear polarization E-vectors superposed on polarization intensity with $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at 0.015 Jy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is 0.133 Jy beam$^{-1}$. (c) Circular polarization intensity $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at $-5$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is $-60$ mJy beam$^{-1}$.

Fig. 8.—Uniformly weighted images of 3C 273 (1996 September). The images show only the inner 5–6 mas of the jet. (a) Total intensity $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at 0.030 Jy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is 7.59 Jy beam$^{-1}$. (b) Linear polarization E-vectors superposed on polarization intensity with $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at 0.015 Jy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is 0.184 Jy beam$^{-1}$. (c) Circular polarization intensity $\sqrt{2}$ contours beginning at $-10$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. The map peak is $-34$ mJy beam$^{-1}$. Solid points on the images represent the locations of the eastern and western components of the core.
errors in of circular polarization images to 0.2% of the linear polarization (which itself is typically only a few percent of the corresponding total intensity). The antenna D-terms are not a factor in the reliability or quality of our results.

In § A2, we describe the three gain calibration techniques we have used to detect and measure circular polarization. Two of these techniques, gain transfer and zero-V self-cal, derive independent measures of the R versus L antenna gains. The third technique, phase-only imaging, is independent of the antenna amplitude gains and is used to demonstrate that a circular polarization signal is also present in the phases of the data.

The zero-V self-cal technique can reduce or relocate existing circular polarization. This technique assumes that there is no true circular polarization on the source in question, i.e., that \( \text{RR} = \text{I}_{\text{mod}} \) and \( \text{LL} = \text{I}_{\text{mod}} \). As a result, true circular polarization can be modeled in part or in whole as a gain error and removed from the data. On a point source any true circular polarization will be completely removed by this technique; however, the same is not true for more complicated sources. Because true circular polarization is additive in the RR and LL correlations, it cannot be completely “corrected” by multiplicative antenna gains.

For a typical source with a strong core and a much weaker jet, circular polarization from the core of the source will be transferred by the derived antennas gains (which try to minimize the overall circular polarization) to the weaker parts of the source, with reversed sign, and at (roughly) the same local fractional level. With the notable exception of 3C 279, most sources are too complicated to directly disentangle this effect and derive the true circular polarization of the source. (Extended trial and error simulations could, in principle, reconstruct the original circular polarization distribution.) Also, if the true circular polarization is located in the weaker jet (as in 3C 84), then zero-V self-cal does much less to modify it.

The gain transfer technique provides our most direct measurement of circular polarization. The R/L gain ratios applied to 3C 84, J0530+13, 3C 273, and 3C 279 were derived from the remaining nine sources. As a result, no assumption was made about the presence of circular polarization on these four sources. However, in this procedure, the antenna gains applied to the other nine sources are not really source independent. To what extent can we trust the results of the gain transfer on these sources?

The sources in our observation were highly interleaved to maximize (\( u, v \)) coverage. This fact, coupled with our 4 hr averaging interval for the derived gain table, helps to make the gain table largely independent of individual sources. As described in § A2.2, we tested this assumption and found very nearly the same results when the sources which have circular polarization are allowed to contribute their gain corrections. We conclude that the results on these other sources, which were allowed to contribute to the gain table, can be trusted within the quoted errors.

One danger in the gain transfer technique is that the R/L antenna gains may not be stable on timescales long enough to allow their transfer. Our results demonstrate that the gains are indeed stable enough to produce reliable results. The observed circular polarizations are consistent in terms of sign, location, and amplitude from epoch to epoch. (See Fig. 10.) We would not expect this consistency if the R/L antenna gains were not stable on timescales of at least several hours. It is important to note that the consistency is not perfect. This is partly due to source variability, but may also reveal limits to the reliability of this technique. In fact, our main source of error in this technique is short-timescale gain fluctuations which go uncorrected. Section A2.2 provides an estimate of the errors from these fluctuations.

An important point is that the strong negative circular polarization observed on 3C 273 increases our confidence in the gain transfer calibration technique. 3C 273 and 3C 279 are neighbors in the sky and interleaved in our observation schedule. Due to the 4 hr averaging time, they will receive essentially the same set of R/L antenna gains. Any bias in the antenna gains would be expected to have the same effect on both sources; however, they have circular polarizations of opposite sign.
The consistency between all of our techniques is best evaluated with 3C 279, where we can use a simple point double model to directly compare the results of all three approaches. As demonstrated by our results in Table 5 (graphically displayed in Fig. 3), these techniques always agree both that a signal was detected and on the sign of that signal. Furthermore, the techniques agree in amplitude to within a factor of 2 (and often much better than that).

6. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

Circular polarization may be produced as an intrinsic component of synchrotron radiation or by Faraday conversion of linear to circular polarization (e.g., Jones & O’Dell 1977). Determining which mechanism produces the circular polarization we observe is crucial to using the observations as a physical probe of parsec-scale radio jets. Intrinsic circular polarization demands a significant unidirectional component of magnetic field while Faraday conversion requires a significant population of low-energy relativistic particles in the jet (Wardle et al. 1998).

In Wardle et al. (1998) we analyze the 1996.57 epoch of 3C 279 in detail and use limits on the circular polarization present in the 22 GHz companion observations to show that Faraday conversion is the origin of the circular polarization observed in that source. Our results set an upper limit on the low-energy cutoff to the relativistic particle distribution of $\gamma_{\text{min}} \leq 20$ with likely values for $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ on the order of a few. Using the results of Celotti & Fabian (1993), who showed that jets comprised mainly of an electron-proton plasma must have $\gamma_{\text{min}} \geq 100$ to avoid carrying too

### TABLE 8

| Source       | Epoch  | $m_c$ (percent) | $I$ (percent) | $m_c$ (percent) | $\chi$ (deg) |
|--------------|--------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| 3C 120 ...... | 1996.05 | <0.3          | 1.20         | 0.2            | 9            |
|              | 1996.23 | <0.2          | 1.39         | 0.4            | 88           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.3          | 1.22         | 0.3            | 70           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.2          | 0.85         | 0.0            |              |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.2          | 1.47         | 0.1            | 83           |
| J0738+17..... | 1996.05 | ~ +0.4        | 0.62         | 3.1            | 61           |
|              | 1996.23 | <0.4          | 0.52         | 1.3            | 54           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.3          | 0.52         | 1.1            | 70           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.4          | 0.46         | 1.0            | 77           |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.4          | 0.49         | 1.7            | 45           |
| OJ 287 ...... | 1996.05 | <0.1          | 2.11         | 3.9            | 8            |
|              | 1996.23 | <0.2          | 1.51         | 2.8            | 8            |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.2          | 1.45         | 2.8            | 2            |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.2          | 1.06         | 2.8            | 15           |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.2          | 1.49         | 1.9            | 55           |
| J1224+21..... | 1996.23 | <0.2          | 1.42         | 5.0            | 49           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.1          | 1.16         | 5.5            | 61           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.2          | 1.16         | 3.9            | 52           |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.1          | 1.34         | 2.5            | 49           |
| J1310+32..... | 1996.05 | <0.1          | 2.81         | 3.0            | 26           |
|              | 1996.23 | <0.1          | 2.68         | 1.2            | 29           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.1          | 2.42         | 0.3            | 43           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.1          | 2.30         | 2.6            | 6            |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.2          | 2.33         | 2.2            | 4            |
| J1512—09..... | 1996.05 | <0.2          | 0.96         | 1.1            | 7            |
|              | 1996.23 | ~ +0.2        | 0.32         | 1.6            | 10           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.2          | 1.54         | 1.7            | 86           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.1          | 1.49         | 2.3            | 30           |
|              | 1996.74 | ~ +0.2        | 0.2          | 1.18           | 22           |
| J1751+09..... | 1996.05 | ~ —0.1        | 2.73         | 1.6            | 71           |
|              | 1996.23 | <0.2          | 1.04         | 3.8            | 71           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.2          | 0.78         | 0.6            | 74           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.2          | 0.79         | 1.4            | 74           |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.2          | 0.86         | 1.1            | 47           |
| J1927+73..... | 1996.05 | ~ +0.3        | 0.1          | 2.07           | 5            |
|              | 1996.23 | ~ +0.2        | 0.1          | 2.17           | 6            |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.1          | 2.25         | 1.2            | 56           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.2          | 2.31         | 0.6            | 38           |
|              | 1996.74 | ~ +0.3        | 0.1          | 2.52           | 0.6           |
| J2005+77..... | 1996.05 | <0.4          | 0.70         | 8.4            | 79           |
|              | 1996.23 | ~ —0.4        | 0.4          | 6.3            | 79           |
|              | 1996.41 | <0.2          | 0.55         | 4.1            | 93           |
|              | 1996.57 | <0.4          | 0.57         | 3.8            | 84           |
|              | 1996.74 | <0.3          | 0.65         | 4.3            | 80           |

Note:—Measurements were made from gain-transfer images. The upper limits and errors are estimated to be roughly $\sqrt{2}$ times the peak noise in the images.
much kinetic energy, we suggested that 3C 279 has a primarily electron-positron plasma.

We cannot make similar arguments for the other sources until we have their circular polarization results at 22 GHz. However, we can make several observations about the presence of circular polarization in the sources we observe.

In each of the sources where we have detected circular polarization, we find it either in or very near the core. This is perhaps not too surprising given that the signals we detect are a very small fraction of the total intensity and the core is the brightest part of the jet in these sources. However, in 3C 84 we detect circular polarization not on the brightest part of the core, but rather at the base of the southern jet, which is locally a factor of 2–3 less bright than the map peak. In 3C 273 we do not detect significant circular polarization until epoch 1996.41, although the core is already very strong by epoch 1996.23. By epoch 1996.74, the circular polarization of 3C 273 is predominately associated with the emerging core component, rather than split evenly between both core components. These results can be understood from the work of Jones & O'Dell (1977), who find that circular polarization, however it is produced, is a strong function of opacity and is strongest near the $\tau = 1$ surface.

The circular polarization we observe is linked to other properties of the sources. The core of 3C 273 undergoes an outburst over the course of our observations in 1996. The May epoch observations of 3C 273 are the first in which we see significant circular polarization in this source. May is also the first epoch in which we observe linear polarization in the core of 3C 273. The simultaneous appearance of linear and circular polarization in the core of 3C 273 most likely results from the changing opacity in the core region. Figure 11 shows the spectral index of the core of 3C 273 over time $S \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. $\alpha$ is measured between 15 and 22 GHz. In the fifth epoch, the core has separated into two pieces and the spectral index is plotted for both. “Core West” is the emerging component in that epoch.

Future multifrequency VLBI observations of 3C 84 will be important to determine if this sign difference is an opacity effect or reflects slow changes in the source. Their observations of 3C 279 and 3C 273 suggest that the unidirectional component of magnetic field in these sources may be stable on a timescale of decades in our frame of observation.

Single-dish circular polarization observations at 8 GHz by Hodge & Aller (1977) detected about $+0.1\%$ integrated circular polarization on 3C 279, consistent in sign with our observations. They detected about $-0.1\%$ integrated circular polarization on 3C 273, also consistent with our observations. For 3C 84, they measured about $-0.1\%$ circular polarization which is opposite in sign to our observations. Future multifrequency VLBI observations of 3C 84 will be important to determine if this sign difference is an opacity effect or reflects slow changes in the source. Their observations of 3C 279 and 3C 273 suggest that the unidirectional component of magnetic field in these sources may be stable on a timescale of decades in our frame of observation.

It is possible that this is directly related to the magnetic field at the central engine (e.g., Begelman, Blandford, & Rees 1984).

It is also interesting to examine the relation of circular polarization to linear polarization. In the cores of 3C 84
and 3C 273 we observe equal or less linear polarization than circular polarization. The linear polarization observed, if taken as a direct measure of field order, is not enough to produce the observed circular polarization by Faraday conversion. Lack of observed linear polarization also presents a problem if the circular polarization is produced by the intrinsic mechanism which requires a significant uniform field. The most likely explanation is that external Faraday depolarization in the nuclear region of the AGN has significantly reduced the degree of linear polarization without affecting the circular polarization. This seems to be the case for 3C 84 (Wardle 1971), and we note that Taylor (1998) reports a large rotation measure of \(1900 \text{ rad m}^{-2}\) for the core of 3C 273.

### 7. CONCLUSIONS

We have observed parsec-scale circular polarization in the radio jets of 3C 84, PKS 0528 + 134, 3C 273, and 3C 279 with the VLBA at 15 GHz. For each source our detections are at the level of approximately 0.3%–1% local fractional circular polarization. Each individual detection has a significance in the range of 3 to 10 \(\sigma\). The circular polarizations we observe are consistent across epoch with changes in structure clearly linked to physical changes within the sources. To confirm our detections, we have devised three calibration techniques to help mitigate our sensitivity to gain errors. We find that all three techniques give consistent and reproducible results.

Our observations of circular polarization are closely tied to the physical conditions within the radio jets. We always detect circular polarization near the region of unit optical depth. In two sources, 3C 273 and 3C 279, we observe core outbursts during 1996 and find that the circular polarization is closely tied to these events. In 3C 273 we first observe significant circular polarization in the core as the outburst is reaching its maximum, simultaneous with our first observations of linear polarization from the same region. When a new component emerges from the core of 3C 273, the circular polarization is predominately associated with it.

Circular polarization may be produced as an intrinsic component of synchrotron radiation or by the Faraday conversion of linear to circular polarization. Our observations, at a single frequency, do not distinguish between these possible mechanisms, but, independent of the mechanism, the remarkable consistency across epoch of the sign of the observed circular polarization suggests the existence of a long-term, stable, unidirectional component of the magnetic field. Single-dish observations of 3C 273 and 3C 279 at 8 GHz by Hodge & Aller (1977) suggest that this stability in these sources may span decades in our frame of observation.

Direct imaging of circular polarization with the VLBA provides an entirely new probe of the magnetic field structure and particle spectrum of the parsec-scale radio jets of AGNs. Multifrequency observations of circular polarization will be able to distinguish between the intrinsic and Faraday conversion mechanisms for producing circular polarization. By imaging all four Stokes parameters at multiple frequencies, we can construct detailed models of the entire radiative emission and transfer through the source and begin to determine the composition and energy spectrum of the relativistic plasma within the jet.
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### APPENDIX A

#### CALIBRATION FOR CIRCULAR POLARIZATION

The VLBA is equipped with circularly polarized feeds, right (R) and left (L) at each antenna. In the absence of instrumental effects, the parallel-hand correlations are linear combinations of the total intensity \(I\) and circular polarization \(V\):

\[
R_i R_j = I_{ij} + V_{ij}
\]

(A1)

![Fig. 12.—\(V/I\) vs. fractional linear polarization for a point source with no intrinsic circular polarization. Spurious circular polarization results from leakage of uncorrected 2.5% D-terms.](image-url)
As $\tilde{P}$ is typically $\leq 0.01\tilde{I}$, to detect circular polarization we must measure the small difference between two large quantities and are therefore very sensitive to calibration errors. It is critical to understand and remove effects which may corrupt a circularly polarized signal or may produce spurious circular polarization. These effects include instrumental polarization, gain errors, beam squint, and baseline-based effects.

A1. INSTRUMENTAL POLARIZATION

In the leakage feed model (e.g. Roberts et al. 1994) the output antenna voltages for nominally right and left circularly polarized feeds are given by

$$V_R = G_R(E_R e^{-i\phi} + D_R E_L e^{+i\phi})$$

and

$$V_L = G_L(E_L e^{+i\phi} + D_L E_R e^{-i\phi}),$$

where $G_R$ and $G_L$ are complex gains, $D_R$ and $D_L$ are the complex, fractional response of each feed to the orthogonal polarization, and $\phi$ is the parallactic angle.

The time-averaged, parallel-hand complex correlations of these voltages detected at two antennas can be expressed (Roberts et al. 1994) in terms of the stokes parameters as

$$R_i^j R_i^k = G_{ik} G_{jk}^*[\tilde{I}_{ij} + \tilde{P}_{ij}] e^{i(-\delta_i + \phi_i)} + D_{ik} D_{jk}^*[\tilde{I}_{ij} - \tilde{P}_{ij}] e^{i(\delta_i - \phi_i)} + D_{ik}^* D_{jk} \tilde{P}_{ij} e^{-i(\delta_i - \phi_i)} + D_{ik} D_{jk}^* \tilde{P}_{ij} e^{-i(\delta_i + \phi_i)},$$

$$L_i^j L_i^k = G_{ik} G_{jk}^*[\tilde{I}_{ij} - \tilde{P}_{ij}] e^{i(\delta_i + \phi_i)} + D_{ik} D_{jk}^*[\tilde{I}_{ij} + \tilde{P}_{ij}] e^{i(-\delta_i + \phi_i)} + D_{ik}^* D_{jk} \tilde{P}_{ij} e^{-i(-\delta_i + \phi_i)} + D_{ik} D_{jk}^* \tilde{P}_{ij} e^{-i(\delta_i + \phi_i)},$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is the linear polarization.

To detect circular polarization it is necessary to correct the parallel-hand correlations for the D-term leakage. This is particularly important for sources with high degrees of linear polarization. Suppose the measured D-terms are related to the true D-terms in the following way:

$$D_{\text{true}} = D_{\text{meas}} + \Delta.$$

The leakage of D-term errors into the circular polarization image can be approximated by

$$V_{\text{leak}} \sim \frac{I}{\sqrt{N_a N_d (N_a - 1)}} (2 \Delta D_{\text{meas}} + \sqrt{2(N_a - 1)} m_l D + D^2),$$

where $\Delta$ and $D_{\text{meas}}$ are rms values, $m_l$ is the fractional linear polarization, $N_a$ is the number of antennas, and $N_d$ is the number of scans which are separated in parallactic angle. A factor for the number of IFs is omitted because the D-terms are strongly correlated between IFs. In the worse case scenario of no D-term correction

$$V_{\text{leak}} \sim \frac{I}{\sqrt{N_a N_d (N_a - 1)}} (\sqrt{2(N_a - 1)} m_l D + D^2).$$

Figure 12 is a plot of $V/I$ versus fractional polarization, $m_l$, for a point source with no intrinsic circular polarization. The D-terms have an rms of 2.5% and were added to an originally D-term–free point model created by the AIPS task UVMOD. The model was built on a five scan, 10 antenna observation. The D-terms were added by “applying” the D-term solutions found for a recent 15 GHz VLBA observation. The ratio $V/I$ was measured at the location of the I peak in the cleaned images. The slope and intercept of this plot agree well with the expected relationship.

For the observations presented in § 4, the measured D-terms are typically 1%–5% with approximately 2% being most common. We estimate their errors to be about 15% of the measured D-terms, so $\Delta \sim 0.2$ to 0.8%. These errors were estimated by comparing the D-term solutions from PKS 0528 + 134 (which were applied to all sources) to D-term solutions found independently on other sources in the same experiment. With the D-terms corrected to this level of accuracy, $V_{\text{leak}}/I < 0.002 m_l + 3 \times 10^{-5}$ in our observations.

A2. GAIN CALIBRATION

Correct gain calibration of the R and L complex gains at each antenna is critical to the detection and measurement of circular polarization. In particular, it is the calibration of the gain difference between the R and L feeds, best represented by the complex gain ratio R/L, that presents the largest problem for circular polarization observations using circular antenna feeds.

Self-calibration attempts to correct the complex antenna gains by comparing the (u, v) data to the transform of an idealized model of the source. These solutions are found in a least-square, antenna-based manner with all of the baselines to a particular antenna used to constrain the solution. Ideally, the solutions for all antennas are found simultaneously.

For simplicity, assume that we have the correct total intensity model for a source, $I_{\text{mod}}$. The corresponding data may then be self-calibrated using $I_{\text{mod}}$ in one of two ways:

1. Assuming $RR = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}$ and $LL = \tilde{I}_{\text{mod}}$. This method derives a separate correction for the right- and left-hand systems at each antenna.
2. Assuming \((RR + LL)/2 = I_{\text{mod}}\) and thus deriving a single correction to be applied to both the right- and left-hand systems at each antenna.

### A2.1. Self-Calibration Assuming Zero Circular Polarization

The first method derives a separate correction for the right- and left-hand systems at each antenna but assumes no circular polarization in the source. In principle this method can correct the R/L complex antenna gain ratios in the absence of real circular polarization. In the presence of real circular polarization the complex correlations are

\[
R_i R_j^* = G_{iR} G_{jR}^* (\bar{I}_{ij} + \bar{V}_{ij})
\]

and

\[
L_i L_j^* = G_{iL} G_{jL}^* (\bar{I}_{ij} - \bar{V}_{ij})
\]

The measured gains that the second technique finds and removes from the data will be related to the true complex gains by

\[
G_{iR}^{\text{true}} = G_{iR}^{\text{meas}} g_{iR},
\]

where the \(g\)'s are residual gains that satisfy the conditions

\[
g_{iR} g_{jR}^* (\bar{I}_{ij} + \bar{V}_{ij}) \approx \bar{I}_{ij}
\]

and

\[
g_{iL} g_{jL}^* (\bar{I}_{ij} - \bar{V}_{ij}) \approx \bar{I}_{ij}
\]

as well as possible across the array. Real circular polarization is additive in the RR and LL correlations, so the multiplicative gains applied by self-calibration cannot completely remove real circular polarization except when the source is a simple point in total intensity. In fact, this kind of gain calibration may reduce and relocate real circular polarization to weaker components but cannot create it.

Self-calibration with the assumption of zero circular polarization can seriously modify any circularly polarized signal present in a data set. Circular polarization originating on weak components seems to be largely unmodified, while circular polarization originating on strong components is shifted (with reverse sign but the same fractional level) to the weaker components in the I image. Figure 13 shows a point double source with real circular polarization before and after self-calibration.

For point sources, such as PKS 0528 + 134, real circular polarization will look simply like a difference in amplitude gain between RR and LL and will be completely removed by this procedure.

For an unequal point double, such as 3C 279, there is an inherent ambiguity about the true location of any circular polarization detected with zero-\(V\) self-cal. Circular polarization may have originated on either the weak or the strong components at each antenna.

---

**Figure 13.**—Simple graphical model of the effect of self-calibration assuming no circular polarization. The amplitudes of RR, LL, and I before and after self-calibration are illustrated. \(V = (RR - LL)/2\). Before self-calibration, there is significant circular polarization on the strong I component. Self-calibration assuming no \(V\) will force RR and LL to agree with I as closely as possible by adjusting the antenna gains. Circular polarization, however, is not multiplicative but additive in the RR and LL correlations. Adjusting the antenna gains to remove the circular polarization on the strong component will induce circular polarization with the opposite sign on the weak component. So, after self-calibration, the weak component has negative circular polarization at roughly the same fractional level as the original positive circular polarization on the strong component.
component but will show up only at the location of the weak component in the zero-V self-cal image. Assuming the circular polarization originated on the stronger core component, it is possible to extrapolate the true circular polarization distribution. For a strongly unequal point double:

\[ V_1 \approx -V_2(I_1/I_2), \]  

(A15)

where \( V_2 \) is the circular polarization on the weak component after self-calibration assuming zero circular polarization and \( V_1 \) is the extrapolated circular polarization of the core.

The fractional error in this extrapolated measurement of \( V_1 \) is then the same as the fractional error in \( V_2 \), which is best determined by examination of the off-peak noise in the image. In addition to this noise, our creation of model sources similar to 3C 279 showed there is a systematic loss of signal on the order of 10%–20% caused by the assumption of zero circular polarization. As a result, equation (A15) will extrapolate values for the core of 3C 279 that are typically 10%–20% low.

For complicated sources, such as 3C 84 and 3C 273, it is much more difficult to predict the effects of assuming no circular polarization during self-calibration. In the case of 3C 84, we were fortunate because the real circular polarization appeared in the jet, off the peak in the image. As a result, zero-V self-cal could not seriously reduce or relocate the circular polarization without inducing larger amounts of (opposite sign) circular polarization on the map peak. We verified this by carefully creating detailed models of 3C 84. In doing so, we found that only the small negative component of circular polarization in the zero-V self-cal image is (in part or in whole) an artifact of assuming no circular polarization during self-calibration.

### A2.2. A Hybrid Technique: R/L Gain Transfer

The second self-calibration assumption \((RR + LL)/2 = \bar{I}\) makes no assumption about circular polarization in the source and accurately calibrates the average of R and L at each antenna; however, it cannot correct the R/L antenna gains crucial for detecting circular polarization. The first self-calibration assumption \((RR = I, LL = \bar{I})\) can calibrate the R/L antenna gains but may reduce or relocate real circular polarization in the process.

A natural compromise is to solve for the R/L antenna gains only on objects that are believed not to have circular polarization, using the assumption of zero circular polarization in self-calibration, and to transfer these measurements to the other sources in the observations.

In practice, this is accomplished by first doing the complete calibration\(^7\) of the experiment with no assumption made about the presence or absence of circular polarization. That is, the rigorous assumption \((RR + LL)/2 = \bar{I}\) is made for all self-calibration steps. At the end of this calibration, only the R/L antenna gain ratio remains to be calibrated for each antenna. Selected sources (which are assumed to contain no circular polarization) may then be self-calibrated with the assumption \(RR = I\) and \(LL = \bar{I}\) to find the R/L antenna gain ratios that will be applied (in some averaged, smoothed form) to the remaining sources.

Initially, one does not know which sources have circular polarization and which do not. For experiments with a large number of sources that have well interleaved observations, it is possible to initially assume that all sources have no circular polarization. Self-calibration on each source will then produce a series of R/L gain corrections that are not directly applied to the sources but are merged, averaged, and smoothed on some time interval long enough to span several source changes. The resulting composite correction table can then be applied directly to the data with little fear that real circular polarization on any individual sources has strongly corrupted the results. At this point, all the sources may be imaged in circular polarization. If some sources appear to have significant circular polarization, we may go back a step and construct a new gain table that omits the influence of those sources.

The technique described here was used to produce the gain transfer images presented in \(\S\ 4\). In the process of developing this technique we tried a range of averaging times for the R/L correction table from 4 to 24 hr. We found that, although the 4 hr averaging time produced images with the least noise, our main results were essentially independent of averaging time over this range. We also found (with this range of averaging times) that we obtained essentially the same results when the circularly polarized sources were allowed to contribute to the gain table, confirming that individual source effects do not strongly influence the R/L correction table if the averaging time spans several source changes.

Figure 14 shows the R/L amplitude gain ratios for IF 2 of the 1996.74 epoch. The raw and averaged corrections are both presented. The R/L gain ratios have two timescales for variation. The first is a long-timescale offset from unity on the order of a couple of percent. This offset varies slowly over the course of several hours up to 24 hr. The second timescale for variation is very short, on the order of a single scan. These short-timescale variations typically have an rms deviation from the 24 hr mean of \(\leq 2\%\). Our procedure for calibrating the R/L gain ratios corrects the long-timescale offset but cannot correct the very short-timescale fluctuations about this offset.

We can estimate the effect of these short-timescale variations by assuming that the longer timescale offset has been correctly removed. The R/L antenna gain ratios can then be parameterized by a small offset \(\delta\) from unity:

\[ (R/L)_i = 1 + \delta_i \approx 1 + \frac{\delta_i}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_i^2}}. \]  

(A16)

So the RR and LL correlations are

\[ R_i R^*_i \approx (1 + \delta_i/2)(1 + \delta_i/2)(\bar{I}_{ij} + \bar{V}_{ij}) \]  

(A17)

\(^7\) Including removal of any feed leakage terms.
and

\[ L_i L_j^* \approx (1 - \delta_i/2)(1 - \delta_j/2)\overline{I}_{ij} - \overline{V}_{ij}. \]  

(A18)

Then the measured \( V \) visibilities become

\[ \overline{V}_{\text{meas}} \approx \overline{V}_{ij} + (\delta_i/2 + \delta_j/2)\overline{I}_{ij}. \]  

(A19)

Because the \( \delta \) variations are essentially uncorrelated between antennas, scans, and IFs, we can estimate the errors in our fractional circular polarization, \( m_c \), measurements:

\[ \Delta m_c \approx \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{N_a N_s N_{\text{IF}}}}, \]  

(A20)

where \( N_a \) is the number of antennas, \( N_s \) is the number of scans, and \( N_{\text{IF}} \) is the number of IFs. For our experiments \( \delta \approx 0.02 \), \( N_a = 10, N_s = 6 \) to 10, and \( N_{\text{IF}} = 4 \), so we expect errors \( \lesssim 0.15\% \) of the local total intensity in our circular polarization measurements by gain transfer. This is consistent with the limits we see on sources that do not appear to have circular polarization.

A2.3. Phase-Only Mapping

Wardle & Roberts (1994) suggested mapping the closure phases of a VLBI data set to detect a circularly polarized signal. A very analogous (but simpler to implement) idea is to map only the phases of the parallel-hand correlations. Phase-only mapping involves setting all of the amplitudes of the parallel-hand correlations to unity and using only the phase information to construct a circular polarization map. This method is useful because errors in the antenna amplitude gains dominate the error in the other techniques for making circular polarization images. By ignoring the amplitudes, we look for the signal directly in the phases of the data.

For simple sources, phase-only images will be antisymmetric to first order and the true location of the circular polarization signal is ambiguous. For example, consider the case of a point double (like 3C 279) with the stronger peak \( I_1 \) taken to be at the map center and the weaker peak \( I_2 \) at position \((x_2, y_2)\). A small amount of circular polarization \( V_0 \) is located on either of the two peaks.

If \( V_0 \) coincides with the position of \( I_2 \) (the weaker component), the phase-only map is given by

\[ V_p(x, y) \approx \frac{V_0}{2I_1} \left[ \delta(x - x_2, y - y_2) - \delta(x + x_2, y + y_2) \right]. \]  

(A21)

If \( V_0 \) coincides with the position of \( I_1 \) (the stronger component), the phase-only map is given by

\[ V_p(x, y) \approx -\frac{V_0 I_2}{2I_1} \left[ \delta(x - x_2, y - y_2) - \delta(x + x_2, y + y_2) \right]. \]  

(A22)
These two phase-only maps differ only in the strength of the components. Without a priori knowledge of the strength or true location of $V_0$, it is not possible to distinguish between them. However, if we do know the true location of $V_0$, its strength can be deduced using these expressions.

It is interesting to note that relative amplitude information is preserved in phase-only mapping, although the phase-only images are independent of the antenna amplitude gains. This seeming contradiction is due to the fact that while we have forced the RR and LL correlations to have unit amplitude (thus eliminating any dependence on antenna amplitude gains), it is the total amplitude of these correlations which have been set to unity. The amplitudes of individual $I$ and $V$ components which conspire to form RR and LL remain in the form of ratios if the source structure is more complicated than a point.

A3. BEAM SQUINT

Beam squint is a problem for any antenna with off-axis feed elements. The left- and right-hand feeds have slightly displaced primary beams. Any pointing error at the telescope will cause one feed to receive a larger signal than the other, resulting in a small, artificial amplitude difference between the RCP and LCP signals at that antenna.

For the VLBA at 22 GHz, a $7''$ pointing error (typical for the VLBA, Napier 1995) will result in a $1\%$ amplitude difference between RCP and LCP signals at an antenna (Walker 1998, private communication). The observations presented in this paper are at 15 GHz, where the problem is $(1.5)^2$ smaller because of the larger primary beams of each feed. The placement of the 15 GHz feed in VLBA antennas is also better than the 22 GHz feed as it is not parallel to the azimuthal axis where the largest pointing errors occur (Walker 1998, private communication).

Fortunately beam squint will not be correlated between antennas and should vary with azimuthal antenna rotation during the observations. Any beam squint should be a pure amplitude gain error at each antenna and will be completely removed by the zero-$V$ self-cal technique discussed in § A2.1. In the gain transfer technique, beam squint effects should show up as short-term R/L amplitude variations and, as such, are already included in our error estimates for those images. Beam squint will not affect the phases of the data, and therefore images produced by phase-only mapping will be independent of beam squint effects.

A4. BASELINE-BASED ERRORS

Real circular polarization would be completely calibrated out of a data set by baseline-based self-calibration which assumes $RR = I_{\text{mod}}$ and $LL = I_{\text{mod}}$. This makes it difficult to remove any residual baseline-based errors from the data if we wish to detect circular polarization.

However, there is excellent evidence that the baseline-based errors on the VLBA are extraordinarily small. Imaging of the nearly unresolved point source DA 193 at 5 GHz with a dynamic range of better than 100,000 to 1 indicates that the baseline-based errors are no larger than 0.1% at that frequency (Walker 1995). In our simulations we added baseline-based errors much larger than this to our model data sets and found that, while they increased the noise in the final circular polarization images, they did not generate a spurious circularly polarized signal.

A5. ADDITIONAL CALIBRATION TESTS

The calibration checks described in this section were conducted early on in our investigation. The results increased our confidence in our ability to calibrate for circular polarization and served as a basis for the more systematic tests and investigations described in the previous sections. We briefly present these initial tests and results here for completeness and to provide other observers with suggestions for other “common sense” checks when calibrating for circular polarization.

For epoch 1996.57, we repeated the entire calibration procedure (post fringe-fit) on the source 3C 84 for each IF channel separately, then omitting each antenna in turn from the array. In no case did the apparent circularly polarized signal change significantly. It was present in every IF channel, and it could not be attributed to the behavior of any one antenna.

We also checked the effect of incorrect subtraction of antenna feed leakage (D-terms) from the parallel-hand data for the 1996.57 epoch of 3C 279. This was done by simply omitting the correction altogether and also by applying a completely incorrect set of D-terms. Both procedures increased the noise on the zero-$V$ self-cal image enough that one might misinterpret the real circular polarization of the source as noise. Correct application of the D-term solutions, however, revealed a clean (real) circular polarization signal in the zero-$V$ self-cal image.

We conducted a similar test on 3C 84. In this case, the zero-$V$ self-cal image created from data without the D-terms removed was nearly the same as the zero-$V$ self-cal image created from data where we performed the proper D-term corrections. This is essentially what we expected for 3C 84, which has no linear polarization to leak into the circular polarization. The total intensity can still leak into the circular polarization image, but it is a much smaller effect.

Finally, we created three model sources, similar to 3C 279 in total intensity and linear polarization structure, with either no initial $V$, $V$ on the core, or $V$ on the jet component. These models contained thermal noise, D-term leakage, time-dependent complex gains, and baseline-based errors. We carried these models through our standard calibration procedures and found that we were able to detect a circularly polarized signal placed in the data. Equally important, we were unable to detect a circularly polarized signal when the model contained none.
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