Recovery and Purification of Glycerine as By-product from Philippine Coconut Methyl Ester
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ABSTRACT
The study is about the recovery and purification of glycerine as a by-product from coconut methyl ester production in the Philippines. The aqueous layer produced from settling or phase splitting of the methyl ester after the transesterification process was subjected to various treatments like acidification, neutralization, concentration in vacuo in order to get back the crude glycerine. The crude glycerine obtained from the laboratory and scale-up process conformed with the specification set by the British standard for crude glycerine. The recovered glycerine is composed of: 84.92%, glycerine; 8.03%, ash; 4.72%, H2O; 2.32%, MONG. Further distillation yielded a refined glycerine that meets with the specification set by USP. The average glycerine content of refined glycerine is 96.86%; ash, 0.06%; water, 1.10%; refractive index @ 20°C, 1.4696; specific gravity at 25°C, 1.296 g.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Glycerol is the main by-product of biodiesel production; about 10% of the weight of biodiesel is generated in glycerol. A large amount of glycerol generated may become an environmental problem since it cannot be disposed of in the environment (Quispe et al. 2013). In the Philippines, Coconut methyl ester (CME) is the main Philippine biodiesel feedstock and is an oleochemical derived from Coconut Oil (CNO), which is a product from crushing copra, the dried meat of the coconut (Purdy and Corpuz 2019). Due to the emergence of biofuels and the use of alternative energy, the supply of glycerine also increases. It is therefore imperative that a glycerine recovery and purification technology be developed and viable to small and medium scale processors. The objectives of the study were: 1. To optimize processing conditions to produce the crude glycerine by various treatments; 2. Purify the recovered crude glycerine as a by-product in the production of methyl ester; 3. To analyze and evaluate the physicochemical properties of the crude glycerine and refined glycerine.

According to the Department of Energy of the Philippines, there are 11 operational biodiesel refineries as of June 30, 2017 (registered with the Philippine Board of Investment) with an aggregate annual capacity of approximately 575 Million Liters. The need to recover and process the byproducts of coconut methyl ester (CME) is deemed necessary since this will help in increasing the profit of CME manufacturers and indirectly reduce the operating/production cost of CME. Aside from this aspect, it is also important to recycle these by-products considering that these will generate a voluminous amount of wastes and pose a bigger problem for disposal. Recycling of by-products also creates jobs to millions of unemployed Filipinos.

Glycerol is an important by-product of many important oleochemical processes and its value is a significant contributor to the economic viability of the oleochemical industry and its products (Gunstone and Heming 2004). The growing demand for fatty acids, fatty alcohols, and of esters for biodiesel is leading to increased production of glycerol (Gunstone and Heming 2004). The balance between glycerol supply versus demand and of the consequences of this on the oleochemical industry is a great concern (Gunstone and Heming 2004).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The aqueous layer containing a mixture of glycerine, free fatty acids, water, inorganic salts, methyl esters, unreacted mono-, di-, and triglycerides and a variety of other matters organic non-glycerol (MONG) was collected from one of the biodiesel refineries located in Rombon, Philippines and from the pilot production of coconut methyl ester using the Chemicals and Energy Division of the Industrial Technology Development Institute facilities. All chemicals used were of technical grade except for the chemicals used for analysis of samples which are of analytical grade.

2.1 Crude glycerine

The aqueous layer was treated with 6% sulfuric acid to pH 3-4. This is to separate the soap and neutralize the remaining sodium hydroxide in the mixture while the charred substances produced during acidification were removed by filtration. The acidified mixture was stabilized for a few minutes to allow settling of aqueous glycerine. The fatty acid found in the upper layer was decanted and stored for re-processing into a methyl ester. The acidic aqueous glycery-
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The crystallized salt which was formed during concentration treatment.

**TABLE 1**

| Fraction (%) | Laboratory scale (1 Kg) | Scale-up (10 Kgs) |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Crude glycerine | 54.54 ± 4.51 | 65.88 ± 1.96 |
| Crude fatty acids | 32.37 ± 2.35 | 31.80 ± 2.76 |
| Salt | 12.47 ± 4.27 | 2.05 ± 1.76 |
| Charred substances | 0.52 ± 0.18 | 0.28 ± 0.09 |

**TABLE 2**

| Characteristic | Laboratory scale (1 Kg) | Scale-up (10 Kgs) | British Standard 2621:1979 for crude glycerine |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Glycerine content (%) | 81.20 ± 0.80 | 84.92 ± 4.87 | 80.0 |
| Water | 8.54 ± 0.85 | 4.72 ± 3.45 | 10.0 |
| Ash | 8.08 ± 0.65 | 8.03 ± 2.20 | 2.5 |
| MONG | 2.17 ± 0.23 | 2.32 ± 0.18 | 10.0 |

2.2 Refining of crude glycerine

The crude glycerine was vacuum distilled with operating conditions: Distillation temperature (top), 120–126°C; Bottom temperature, 165–190°C; Pressure, 0.006–0.0006 psi.

2.3 Characterization of crude and refined glycerine

Crude and refined glycerine were analyzed according to the A.O.C.S official method for glycerine (AOCs and Firestone 1989). The samples were analyzed using an RX-1 Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrophotometer prepared as a thin layer between highly polished KBr plate.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sulfuric acid and sodium hydroxide were used as the reagents for the acidification and neutralization treatment since the aqueous glycerine layer contained a large amount of ash, mainly sodium chloride (Yong et al. 2001) which will form into sodium sulfate, during the reaction. Sodium sulfate is not dissolvable in the hydrous solution of glycerine previously neutralized and drenched with sodium chloride (Helmold 1993; Thomas 1983). Sodium sulfate is easy to form crystals out for easy retrieval by succeeding vaporization and decantation which will reduce the presence of salt in the crude glycerine. The pH during acidification was maintained at 3–4 to reduce the dissolved salt. The formation of salt in the crude glycerine increased at high pH while at low pH the salt formation is reduced (Ooi et al. 2001).

Table 1 shows the components of the aqueous layer after chemical treatment (laboratory scale). The average refined glycerine content from both processes was within the British standard for crude glycerine (Sinaga et al. 2019) as shown in Table 2. The ash content was 8.08% (lab. scale) and 8.03% (scale-up process) while the water content was 8.54% (lab.scale) and 4.72% (scale-up process).

The recovered crude glycerine was further distilled using a fractional distillation apparatus. The average refined glycerine content is shown in Table 3. On average, 73.78% refined glycerine was recovered from the crude glycerine with 10.92% distilled bottom and 15.30% distilled water. The reduced content of glycerine in the refined glycerine may be due to three possible reactions. These are: polymerization of the glycerol at high pH (in excess alkali and high temperature, > 200°C) to form polyglycerol (Jeromin et al. 1998; Matsushita et al. 1990; Sinaga et al. 2019), which accumulates in the distilled bottom. Dehydration of the glycerol at low pH (Hedtke 1996) to form acrolein (bp. 52°C), which is highly dependent on the pH during chemical treatment. At low pH, acrolein is formed that further increased the content of MONG (Garti et al. 1981), due to the oxidation of glycerol to glyceraldehyde and dihydroxycetone.

Other methods of recovering the crude glycerine without the use of a rotary evaporator under vacuum were also employed. Simple heating of the neutralized aqueous glycerine in an open container at low temperature is possible but the glycerine content was only 50%–70% which was below from the 80% standardized glycerine content for crude glycerine (Sinaga et al. 2019).

The crude glycerine was analyzed for its physicochemical properties and the results are shown in Table 2. The average glycerine content (lab. scale) was 81.20% and 85.0% for the scale-up process. The glycerine content from both processes was within the British standard for crude glycerine (Sinaga et al. 2019) as shown in Table 2. The ash content was 8.08% (lab. scale) and 8.03% (scale-up process) while the water content was 8.54% (lab.scale) and 4.72% (scale-up process). The Matter organic non-glycerol (MONG) of both samples as reflected in Table 2 meets the British standard for crude glycerine (Sinaga et al. 2019)). MONG formation is highly dependent on the pH during chemical treatment. At low pH, acrolein is formed that further increased the content of MONG (Garti et al. 1981), due to the oxidation of glycerol to glyceraldehyde and dihydroxycetone.

The increased recovery of crude glycerine showed the viability of the laboratory process for scaling it up.

Other methods of recovering the crude glycerine without the use of a rotary evaporator under vacuum were also employed. Simple heating of the neutralized aqueous glycerine in an open container at low temperature is possible but the glycerine content was only 50%–70% which was below from the 80% standardized glycerine content for crude glycerine (Sinaga et al. 2019).

| TABLE 3 | The composition of distilled fractions recovered from crude glycerine. |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| No. of Trials | Refined glycerine | Distilled bottom | Distilled water |
| 1 | 70.90 | 13.00 | 16.10 |
| 2 | 73.40 | 10.32 | 16.28 |
| 3 | 77.04 | 9.43 | 13.53 |
| Average | 73.78 ± 3.10 | 10.92 ± 1.86 | 15.30 ± 1.54 |

| TABLE 4 | The physicochemical properties of refined glycerine. |
|----------|---------------------------------------------|
| Scale-up (10 Kgs) | USP standard for refined glycerine |
| Glycerol, % | 96.86 ± 1.370 | 95-101 |
| Water, % (max) | 1.10 ± 0.320 | 5.0 |
| Residue on ignition, % (max) | 0.009 ± 0.001 | 0.01 |
| Refractive index at 20°C | 1.4696 ± 0.002 | - |
| Specific gravity, 25°C (min) | 1.296 ± 0.062 | 1.249 |
There was O-H stretching at 3294.12 cm⁻¹, C-H stretching at 2879 – 2929 cm⁻¹, C-O-H bending at 1417 to 1454 cm⁻¹, C-O stretching from 1042 to 1107 cm⁻¹ and O-H bending at 922 cm⁻¹. Similar spectra with the commercial refined glycerine was observed. The IR spectrum of the refined glycerine is similar to that of commercial glycerin but with a broader absorption band at 3292 cm⁻¹. This might be due to the hydroxyl groups of residual water of the distilled glycerine and the hydroxyl groups of the glycerol molecules.

4. CONCLUSION

Crude glycerine can be recovered from the aqueous glycerine layer, a by-product in the production of methyl ester. This is done by subjecting the aqueous glycerine layer with physical and chemical treatment followed by evaporation and concentration. In the chemical treatment of aqueous glycerine layer, three main factors were involved: a. sulfuric acid decreased the solubility of the sodium chloride in the solution, crystallizing it out; b. sodium hydroxide neutralized sulfuric acid to lessen its effect on the solubility of sodium chloride and c. formation of excess salt. Excess sulfuric acid was preferred for the recovery as it reduced salt in the crude glycerine. Fractional distillation under vacuum is a simple and efficient process to refine crude glycerine. Using a 4.0 x 10⁻¹ to 4.0 x 10⁻² mbar pressure at 120–126°C produced a glycerine with 96.86% purity. The optimum pH for distillation was <5 to minimize the formation of foam. This study has established operating parameters that will serve as a guide in the recovery of crude glycerine from the aqueous layer and commercial production of refined glycerine in Philippine setting. The process also showed the technical and economic viability of putting-up a commercial plant for crude and refined glycerine in the Philippines.
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