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Abstract

Background: Physical activity (PA) is critical to preventing childhood obesity and contributes to children’s overall physical and cognitive health, yet fewer than half of all children achieve the recommended 60 min per day of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA). Schools are an ideal setting to meeting PA guidelines, but competing demands and limited resources have impacted PA opportunities. The Fueling Learning through Exercise (FLEX) Study is a randomized controlled trial that will evaluate the impact of two innovative school-based PA programs on children’s MVPA, cognitive function, and academic outcomes.

Methods: Twenty-four public elementary schools from low-income, ethnically diverse communities around Massachusetts were recruited and randomized to receive either 100 Mile Club® (walking/running program) or Just Move™ (classroom-based PA program) intervention, or control. Schoolchildren (grades 3–4, approximately 50 per school) were recruited to participate in evaluation. Primary outcome measures include PA via 7-day accelerometry (Actigraph GT3X+ and wGT3X-BT), cognitive assessments, and academic achievement via state standardized test scores. Additional measures include height and weight, surveys assessing psycho-social factors related to PA, and dietary intake. School-level surveys assess PA infrastructure and resources and intervention implementation. Data are collected at baseline, mid-point (5–6 months post-baseline), and post-intervention (approximately 1.5 years post-baseline). Demographic data were collected by parents/caregivers at baseline. Mixed-effect models will test the short- and long-term effects of both programs on minutes spent in MVPA, as well as secondary outcomes including cognitive and academic outcomes.

(Continued on next page)
Discussion: The FLEX study will evaluate strategies for increasing children’s MVPA through two innovative, low-cost, school-based PA programs as well as their impact on children’s cognitive functioning and academic success. Demonstration of a relationship between school-based MVPA with neutral or improved, rather than diminished, academic outcomes in a naturalistic environment has the potential to positively influence investment in school PA programs and initiatives.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02810834. Registered May 11, 2015. (Retrospectively registered)
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Background
Physical activity (PA) plays a key role in childhood obesity prevention, in addition to conferring a number of other health benefits [1–4]. Yet fewer than half of all children in the U.S. meet the recommended 60 min of daily moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) [5]. Schools are an ideal setting to achieve maximum impact with respect to improving PA levels, given the significant amount of time children spend in school over the course of their childhood [6]. Yet competing demands on teachers’ time, a crowded school curriculum, increased focus on standardized tests, and constrained school budgets have limited PA programming in schools [7, 8]. However, a growing body of evidence demonstrates that school-time PA is positively associated with academic achievement [9–13].

Novel strategies are needed to increase PA opportunities for children during school time. Recently, experts have called for a “whole school” approach to increasing children’s PA levels [14] in which recess, in-class PA breaks, before- and after-school programs, and integration of PA with academic curricula combine to create healthy school environments. Taken together, this comprehensive approach can increase time children spend engaging in MVPA to meet the recommendation of 60 min per day, 30 of which should be accrued during school hours.

Emerging evidence suggests that this “whole school” approach may be even more critical for underserved children. Compared to their higher-socio-economic status (SES) peers, children from low-income communities get a greater proportion of their total daily PA in school [15]. However, environmental barriers, such as limited PA-supporting policies, activities, and infrastructure, have been observed in lower-SES schools [16–18]. Under-resourced schools may face significant constraints to implementing school-based PA programs to supplement physical education (PE), thereby exacerbating disparities in PA, obesity, and academic achievement. Even short bouts of activity may predict determinants of future engagement in PA, suggesting that small increases in school-time MVPA could lead to additional increases in total daily MVPA and concomitant improvements in physical health and academic outcomes in underserved children [14, 19, 20].

Longitudinal evidence of the effects of school-based PA programs on physiological, behavioral, and academic outcomes is limited for racially diverse school-children [14], and few studies have evaluated the impact of changes in MVPA on standardized test scores in this population in the context of a randomized school-based PA intervention study. The Fueling Learning through Exercise (FLEX) Study is a randomized controlled trial that seeks to evaluate the impact of two innovative school-based PA programs not only on MVPA, but also cognitive and academic outcomes over time among 3rd-5th grade children in underserved schools in northeastern United States. The primary aim of this paper is to describe the design and study protocol of the FLEX Study.

Methods/Design
Aims
The primary aim of the FLEX Study is to evaluate the impact of two school-based PA programs, 100 Mile Club® and Just Move™, on children’s school-time MVPA and total daily MVPA, compared to a control group. In addition, the RCT will evaluate the effects of these innovative, school-based PA programs on children’s cognitive performance and academic achievement. The study will also evaluate the reach of the programs by examining factors that influence participation among different demographic, weight status, and fitness groups.

Study design - overview
The FLEX Study is a cluster randomized controlled trial. Participating schools were clustered by location within the state and school district. Schools within each district were randomly assigned to receive a school-based PA intervention (100 Mile Club or Just Move) or assigned to be a control school. Third and fourth grade students were enrolled and are being followed for two school years (Fig. 1). Data are collected at three time points: baseline (fall, school year 1), short-term (spring, school
year 1; 5–6 months post-baseline) and long-term post-intervention (spring, school year 2; ≈1.5 years post-baseline). The study occurred in two waves, the first beginning during the 2014–2015 school year (n = 6 schools) and the second wave during the 2015–2016 (n = 18 schools) school-year. Due to unforeseen weather circumstances and school cancellations in Winter 2015, additional student participant recruitment beyond the initial six schools was put on hold until the following school year. The investigative team determined that these schools would be designated as Wave 1. Approval for the study was obtained from the Tufts University IRB (Medford, MA) and additionally from individual school districts where required.

### Setting & participants

#### Schools

Identification of eligible schools began at the district level. The FLEX study is designed to examine health disparities among children associated with income and race/ethnicity. Therefore we targeted lower income and racially/ethnically diverse public school districts in Massachusetts. Initially, school districts were approached for participation if they had greater than 40 % of students qualifying for free or reduced price lunch and/or had greater than 40 % non-Caucasian students. In addition, districts were only approached if they had at least four elementary schools serving 3rd-5th graders.

Overall, twenty school districts were approached. Twelve of these districts declined to participate, citing changes in administration, ongoing participation in similar programs, and/or competing priorities. From the remaining eight districts, 24 schools agreed to participate and have at least one school enrolled. Six schools were included in Wave 1 and 18 schools in Wave 2. All districts serve a low-income and diverse population and are located in urban, suburban, and peri-urban areas. One exception to the initial inclusion criteria was made, such that one school from a district with 34 % low-income students was included.

#### Students

All third and fourth grade students at participating schools were eligible to enroll. Third and fourth grade students were recruited in year one and followed in year two into fourth and fifth grade, respectively.

#### Recruitment

##### School recruitment & randomization

Schools were initially recruited by email and phone, followed with in-person meetings. Initial contact was made at the district level to superintendents and district wellness/health/PE coordinators, with secondary outreach at the individual school level (principals/assistant principals). Where districts have research boards/IRBs, contact was simultaneously made to those entities, and the study protocol and recruitment materials were submitted for approval. Once enrolled, schools were randomized to receive one of two school-based PA programs – 100 Mile Club or Just Move – or to the control group. Schools were block randomized in groups of three, stratified by district, to ensure comparable numbers of schools in each arm. Once a school agreed to participate, the study statistician informed recruiting staff of the school’s group assignment. Throughout the school recruitment process, the statistician and recruitment staff worked...
independently. Figure 2 outlines the flow of district, school, and participant recruitment.

Interventions
The 100 Mile Club is a school-based program that encourages children to walk, run, or wheel 100 miles over the course of the school year (approximately 3 miles per week). The program can be implemented before, during, and/or after school depending on the school schedule and is led by one or two champions (e.g., PE teachers), identified by school administration, who log student miles. Champions are encouraged to tally participants’
miles each week and display participant and school progress in a prominent location. The goal is to encourage participants and provide positive feedback and reinforcement. Champions are trained by study staff and are provided materials, resources, and ongoing support for implementation throughout the duration of the intervention.

Just Move is a program of structured classroom-based, PA breaks that integrates both high- and low-intensity movements (ex. jumping jacks, squats, stretches, yoga) with academic material to provide children with opportunities for engaging in PA while learning. Breaks are designed to be short (5–15 min), and teachers are encouraged to incorporate at least one break per day. Just Move activities are presented on cards with a picture of the movement and suggestions for connecting the moves with academic subjects like math, language arts, and science. Study staff train teachers to implement the activity breaks and provide a set of cards along with strategies and ideas for making the breaks fun and engaging for their students and low-burden for the teachers themselves. Study staff provide ongoing support to classroom teachers throughout the intervention to help ensure that teachers continue to implement Just Move breaks in their classrooms.

Both the 100 Mile Club and Just Move program originated in schools and were identified by the Active Schools Acceleration Project (ASAP), a nationwide initiative dedicated to increasing the PA of U.S. schoolchildren [21, 22]. The 100 Mile Club and Just Move were selected through a nationwide contest for school-based PA innovation in 2012, assessed for scalability and implementation potential, and currently take place in schools around the U.S. Both programs are low-cost, require minimal resources to implement, and are flexible and adaptable to a range of school environments. Teacher-developed and championed school-based PA programs may have unique advantages with respect to feasibility and sustainability, as opposed to programs developed by researchers outside of the school environment [23].

Control schools will receive a delayed intervention of either the 100 Mile Club or Just Move after completion of the study (Wave 1 in Fall 2016; Wave 2 in Fall 2017).

Data collection methods
Overview
Detailed information about study measures and time points is presented in Table 1.

Briefly, child-level data collection takes place at each participating school during regular school hours at each of the three time points (baseline, midpoint, and post-intervention). Exceptions are demographic data, which are collected once at baseline, and fitness measurements, which are conducted at a separate time once per school year. Child-level standardized test scores for Math and English Language Arts (ELA) are collected from the Massachusetts Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) after testing is completed each spring. School-level data is collected once per year (physical activity environment and food environment surveys, along with attendance data). Trained research assistants (RAs) and study staff administer all instruments and assessments according to the written study protocol. At baseline, there were 174 participants enrolled from Wave 1 schools and 1008 from Wave 2 schools. Baseline measurements were conducted in the fall/early winter of Year 1 (Wave 1 – February-March, 2015; Wave 2 – September 2015-February 2016). Short-term measurements were conducted at the end of the first school year (Wave 1 – May-June 2015; Wave 2 – April-June 2016) and post-intervention measurements will be conducted approximately 18 months after baseline at the end of the second school year (Wave 1 – March-April 2016; Wave 2 – April-June 2017). At time of enrollment, participants are assigned a unique 5-digit code which is used in place of name or other identifiers to link participant to all their study data. The study project manager maintains an electronic, password-protected list linking participant with their unique ID.

Demographics
Demographic information was collected at baseline by paper-and-pencil questionnaire included with the recruitment packet and returned with informed consent documents. The 10-item questionnaire included questions on child’s date of birth, grade and age at time of enrollment, sex, race/ethnicity, maternal and paternal education levels, and free- or reduced-price lunch status. Parents/guardians were also asked to report whether or not their child has behavioral difficulties, such as learning, understanding or paying attention, or communicating [24] and
whether their child was on an individualized education program (IEP). English language learner status will be obtained at the child-level from the Massachusetts DESE.

**Anthropometrics**

Height and weight are measured in light clothing with shoes removed using a portable stadiometer (Model 213, Seca Weighing and Measuring Systems, Hanover, MD) and portable digital scale (Model 803, Seca Weighing and Measuring Systems, Hanover, MD). Height and weight are measured in triplicate to the nearest 1/8 in. and 0.1 kg, respectively. Body mass index (BMI) will be calculated (kg/m²) and converted into z-score using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) age- and sex-specific growth charts [25]. BMI percentiles are classified accordingly as: <5th percentile as underweight,
5th-85th percentile as normal weight, 85th-95th percentile as overweight, and ≥95th percentile as obese.

Primary outcomes
Physical activity
Waist-worn tri-axial accelerometers are used to objectively measure participants’ physical activity (Actigraph accelerometers, models GT3X+ and wGT3X-BT, Actigraph, LLC, Pensacola, FL) and have been validated and calibrated for use among children [26]. Study staff instruct each participant how to wear the accelerometer. Participants are asked to wear accelerometers during all waking hours, except when bathing or swimming, for 7 consecutive days. Accelerometers are initialized to store activity counts beginning at 00:00:01 on the first day the child will wear the device; data will be processed using a 15-s epoch. To support wear-time compliance, participants are also given a paper calendar-style tracking log on which they are instructed to write down the time they put the accelerometer on each morning and the time they remove it before bed each night. The log also asks participants to self-report any days they were ill during the wear week, as well as any sports or physical activities they played during the wear week and their favorite sports/physical activities. In addition, weather data are collected from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) [27], and the high temperature (continuous) and precipitation (binary: yes/no) are recorded for each day accelerometers are worn. Data are collected from the weather station nearest to the participating school.

Accelerometer data will be categorized into minutes of sedentary, light, moderate and vigorous activity using thresholds developed specifically for children [28]. In-school and out-of-school time MVPA will be extracted. In-school hours will be calculated for each participant based on specific start and end times of the school day for each day the accelerometer was worn. Weekday out-of-school time will be calculated as the sum of before school time and after school time, accounting for school hours and average awake time.

Cognitive performance
Two cognitive assessments are administered one-on-one in a quiet area. A digit span test, with forward and backward subtests, is used to measure short-term memory, attention and concentration [29]. Digit span tests have been positively linked to PA [29, 30]. In the test, the administrator orally presents number lists of increasing length to the participant, and the participant is asked to repeat these digit spans back in the same order either forward or backward (for forward and backward subtests, respectively.)

A Stroop Color-Word test [31] is administered to assess inhibitory control and selective attention, aspects of cognitive function that have been positively linked with PA and fitness in previous studies [30, 32]. The test includes both a congruent task and an incongruent task, each lasting 45 s. Participants are first given the congruent task in which they are presented with a set of 100 color words printed in the same color ink as each word (ex. the word “red” is printed in red ink). They are asked to read these words aloud and complete as many as possible in 45 s. After completing this task, they are given the incongruent task, in which they are provided another set of 100 color-words printed in a different color ink (ex. the word “red” is printed in green ink). Participants are asked to identify and say aloud the color of the ink rather than the word, completing as many as possible in 45 s. The test is scored by calculating a ratio of items completed correctly to total number of items completed in 45 s.

Academic outcomes
Individual child-level standardized test scores will be collected from the Massachusetts DESE and used as an indicator of academic achievement. PA in children has been shown to be positively associated with scores on both Math and ELA [33, 34]. The Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System (MCAS) test has been used in Massachusetts annually for all students in grades 3–10. During the 2014–2015 academic year, the DESE began the process of transitioning to a different standardized test, the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College or Careers (PARCC). The MCAS/PARCC tests are administered annually in the spring and coincide with both mid- and post-intervention measurements. Baseline standardized test scores (year prior to enrollment) will be obtained retrospectively and for each year of participation for all participants. For both tests, raw scores are converted to scaled scores, which are linked to four levels that describe the performance levels of individual students, schools, and districts: Advanced, Proficient, Needs Improvement, and Warning. Additionally, grade-level standardized test scores will be collected across grades 3–5, and will be aggregated to analyze school-level impact of 100 Mile Club and Just Move.

School attendance will be collected and examined as an exploratory outcome at the individual and school level. Attendance will be assessed as the number of days present per academic school year and converted to a percentage.

Potential individual-level covariates
Physical activity social support and self-efficacy
A PA social support questionnaire is administered to participants in small groups, with individuals completing
their own questionnaire. The 10-item questionnaire, developed by Trost and colleagues [35], is modified from the Social Influences Scale developed and validated by Saunders et al. [36]. The modified questionnaire assesses the participant’s self-reported social support for engaging in physical activity by family, friends, classmates and teachers. Questions are answered on a three-point scale (“yes,” “no,” or “don’t know”). Example scale items include “My mother/father/caretaker thinks I should be physically active,” “The students in my class think I should be physically active,” and “My teacher has encouraged me to be physically active in the last two weeks.”

Participants also complete the “What Kind of Kid Are You?” 18-item questionnaire which is designed to assess how children evaluate themselves in various domains including athletic competence, behavioral conduct, and global self-worth. Questions are taken from the Harter Self-Perception Profile for Children [37]. Participants are asked which of two opposing statements best describes them and then whether it is “sort of true” or “really true” for them. This structured alternative format is designed to minimize socially desirable responses and ensure internal consistency and reliability [38].

Dietary intake
Dietary patterns are collected by child self-report using two instruments, both administered in small groups. One questionnaire focuses specifically on weekday breakfast consumption, and the other is a 7-day recall focused on diet quality. The 6-item breakfast questionnaire developed for this study asks about typical weekday breakfast consumption, including whether or not the participant usually eats breakfast on school days, source of breakfast (school, home, restaurant), and foods and beverages typically consumed for breakfast. The same questions are asked about “today” (the day of data collection). Breakfast consumption has been linked with stronger cognitive functioning in children [39] and data on breakfast consumption will be explored as a potential covariate or moderator of intervention effects on cognition.

Diet quality is assessed through a 39-question 7-day recall. Research assistants explain to participants how to complete the questionnaire. The FLEX Dietary Questionnaire, administered in Wave 2, reflect the foods and beverages frequently consumed by children in this age group as well as foods and beverages to limit (sugar-sweetened beverages, salty snacks, desserts) and those to promote (fruits, vegetables, water) [44]. The portion size for each item is matched to a standard serving size to represent “a little” (1/2 standard serving), “some” (1 standard serving) and “a lot” (1.5 times standard serving). A final question asks how many days the respondent has eaten out (including restaurants, fast-food, or take-out) in the last 7 days. Each questionnaire receives an overall score for diet quality and will be used as a covariate in analyses.

Fitness assessment
Cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is measured as a covariate using the FITNESSGRAM’s Progressive Aerobic Cardiorespiratory Endurance Run (PACER) test, which is a 20-meter maximal-effort shuttle-run. The 20-meter shuttle-run has been validated with young populations [45] and shown to be highly correlated with VO₂max in children [45, 46]. The test is administered once per school year (for 2 school/study years) in the study schools by trained research staff who follow a standard protocol based on the Cooper Institute’s published guidelines [47]. Aerobic capacity as measured by the PACER will be analyzed using standards described by FITNESSGRAM [47].

School level data
The study champion/liaison at each school (ex. principal or other administrator, PE teacher, health coordinator) is asked to complete a short, 14-item online survey, which assesses the PA environment (PAE, including practices and policies). Questions from the PAE were adapted from the School Physical Activity Policy Assessment (S-PAPA) [16, 48]. The survey is divided into sections to assess PA-supporting policies and practices in four areas relevant to the school environment: PE, recess, classroom-based PA, and before- and after-school PA opportunities. Scores on the PAE scan will be tabulated based on policies and practices identified as being related to children’s MVPA during school [16, 49]. Total point scores will also be either median-split into high- and low-PAE or stratified by percentile (low = 10th percentile, medium = 50th percentile, high = 90th percentile) for analysis.

The champion/liaison at each school is also asked to complete an online, 14-question survey about the school
food environment, policies, and practices. Questions are divided into categories including breakfast, snacks, competitive foods, and other nutrition or healthy eating programming that the school is participating in and were drawn from categories included in school wellness assessment tools [50]. Both the school PAE scan and the school food environment survey are completed once per school year.

Other school-level data collected will include PE schedules and lunch and recess times. These data will be linked to individual participants and potentially included in analyses to explore impact on cognitive outcomes (i.e. whether timing of lunch/recess/PE relative to participants’ completion of cognitive tests relates to performance).

Process evaluation
To better understand implementation of the intervention programs (100 Mile Club and Just Move), several process measures are being collected in the intervention schools. Children are asked to complete a short questionnaire at both midpoint and post-intervention to assess program participation, acceptance, and sustainability.

School champions, in both 100 Mile Club and Just Move schools, and classroom teachers implementing the Just Move program, are asked to complete brief surveys at baseline, midpoint, and post-intervention. Questions are designed to gather information on frequency and dose of the programming. In addition, questions assess factors related to implementation including facilities and resources used; leadership and modeling of PA behaviors; strategies for engagement; and perceptions of the program by school administration, other staff, parents, and children.

Direct observations of the programming are conducted in each of the intervention schools, once per school year. Using a standard rubric for the observation, study staff will document numbers of children participating, engagement of participants, and resources being used. Communication with champions and classroom teachers about program implementation will be documented systematically.

Sample size calculation and data analyses
A priori sample size calculations for the FLEX study were based on several recent school-based physical activity interventions. Power calculations for our analysis with respect to school-time MVPA were based on the findings of Verstraete et al. [51]. In this study, the intervention group showed a greater percentage of recess time spent in MVPA, compared to controls (mean ± SD: 53.4 ± 25.6 vs. 43.5 ± 27.6). While we expect a larger difference from our more intensive intervention, we used this conservative estimate to calculate our sample size, resulting in an estimated sample size of 115 participants per arm (total n = 345) with power of 80%. For total daily MVPA, we referred to the findings from a RCT to increase PA through curriculum modification by Donnelly et. al [52]. In this study, the intervention group received 90-min/week of physically active classroom lessons (=10 min/lesson) which resulted in an increase of 26 min of weekly MVPA in the intervention group compared to controls (within group SDs = 40). We estimated that a sample size of 39 per arm (117 total) is needed to detect differences of this magnitude. Thus, the sample size of 345 will be sufficient. Because the number of clusters is fixed at 21 schools, 7 per arm, we used a protocol suggested by Hemming et al. [53] to adjust for the clustering. Assuming an ICC of 0.03 and attrition rate of 25 % per year, we arrived at a final sample size of 903 students, 43 per school.

During the 2014–2015 study roll out, Boston experienced a severe snow season which impacted the school recruitment process. After consulting with the funding agency we subsequently extended school recruitment and intervention implementation into the next year, creating the two-wave structure described previously.

For statistical analyses, descriptive statistics for variables of interest will be compiled and tabulated. To test the effect of school-based PA programs on minutes spent in MVPA, we will compare 100 Mile Club vs. control and Just Move vs. control as separate tests using mixed effects models. Outcomes of interest are changes in minutes of MVPA between midpoint vs. baseline and post-intervention vs. baseline. The key regression coefficient of interest is the intervention by time interaction term, which will indicate if the intervention is associated with a significant higher/lower change in MVPA across time compared to the control. The unique school identification number will be modeled as random intercepts to adjust for clustering. We will examine both unadjusted and adjusted (including covariates such as sex, race/ethnicity, weight status) regression coefficients of the respective program and conclude if the difference in MVPA minutes is statistically significant. A similar approach will be used to examine the impact of PA programming on academic/cognitive outcomes. All analyses will be performed using SAS 9.3 (Cary, NC, USA) and results with p-values less than 0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

Discussion
The Fueling Learning through Exercise (FLEX) Study will contribute to the evidence base on strategies for increasing children’s engagement in PA at school by using objective measures of physical activity to evaluate the impact of two innovative, low-cost, school-based PA programs on children’s PA as well as on their cognitive functioning and academic success. Demonstration of a
relationship between school-based PA with neutral or improved, rather than diminished, academic outcomes has the potential to positively influence school administrators’ investment in PA programs and initiatives. The participants in the FLEX Study are from racially-and ethnically-diverse, primarily low-income communities. Rising inequalities in the prevalence of childhood obesity [54] and lack of demonstrated success to increase PA in high-risk children further underscore the need to identify PA interventions with equitable reach [55]. PA programs should reach all children as opposed to making the “fit kids fitter” or failing to impact MVPA in those who are overweight or obese. A recent evaluation of MVPA among inner-city elementary schoolchildren based on accelerometry found substantial disparities, such that MVPA was lower among females, Hispanics, and overweight and obese children [35]. Accelerometry studies based on nationally-representative samples such as NHANES indicate an even more complex relationship between PA and gender, weight status, and race/ethnicity [56]. Belcher et al. found that while obese youth were generally less active, this did not hold true across all racial/ethnic groups. These findings, and others, suggest that social and environmental supports may be critical ecologic contributors to reducing disparities observed in MVPA among underserved youth [57, 58].

However, disparities in PA-supporting policies and practices, such as lower-SES schools being less likely to have PE teachers and fewer supporting PE practices compared to higher-SES schools, may further undermine the ability of underserved youth to achieve recommended PA levels [17]. These findings indicate an even greater need for structured recess as well as before- and/or after-school and classroom-based PA opportunities to supplement PE time in these environments, and further suggest that school-based PA programs have the potential to attenuate disparities. Understanding the effects of such programs over time and correlates of participation in them among diverse schoolchildren at high risk of obesity is essential to the design and expansion of effective interventions with the potential to achieve a broad reach and attenuation of health disparities, and support children in developing healthy lifestyle habits.
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