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Abstract

In this response, we try to give a repair to our previous proof given in Appendix of [9] by using orthogonal projection. Moreover, we answer the question raised in [16]: If a centered Gaussian process $G_t$ admits two series expansions on different Riesz bases, we may possibly study the asymptotic behavior of one eigenvalue sequence from the knowledge on the asymptotic behaviors of another.

1 The Backgrounds

Many thanks to the note of Prof. Zanten [16], a flaw was found to lie in Appendix of Li, et al. [9], which tries to give another proof for the asymptotics of the eigenvalues for Karhunen-Loève expansion of fBm process. Fortunately, all the theorems in the mainbody of [9] still holds, due to the nice proof of [7]-[8]. In the rest of this response, we will try to fix our uncompleted proof in [9] and answer a related question raised in [16].

Let us briefly recall some backgrounds of our discussions. Suppose $B = \{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a standard fBm process within a finite time interval $[0, 1]$ (can be scaled to $[0, T]$), but it does not matter our proof) and with Hurst exponent $H$ ($0 < H < 1$).

The autocorrelation function of $B_t$ can be written as [1]

$$R_b(s, t) = E[B_s B_t] = \frac{1}{2} \left( s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |s - t|^{2H} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

According to Mercer’s theorem [2]-[3], we have

$$R_b(s, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_n(s) \phi_n(t)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\int_0^1 R_b(s, t) \phi_n(t) dt = \lambda_n \phi_n(s)$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)
where \( \{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is a set of orthonormal functions in the interval \([0, 1]\), where \( \lambda_n \) are the corresponding eigenvalues of the \( n \)th orthonormal functions.

As shown in [4]-[9], Eq.(3) is the continuous Karhunen-Loève (K-L) expansion for fBm process and \( \lambda_n \) is the associated eigenvalues. Our main problem here is to discuss the asymptotics of \( \lambda_n \).

2 Prof. Bronski’s Proof

In [7]-[8], Prof. Bronski had showed that \( \lambda_n \sim n^{-2H-1} \) as follows.

Clearly, from Eq.(2)-(3), we get a integral kernel \([T_n\phi](x) = \int_0^1 R_b(x,y)\phi(y)dy \) on \( L_2([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \). Moreover, this operator \( T_n \) is a non-negative symmetric, Hilbert-Schmidt and compact.

We can then prove the rigorous estimates of the eigenvalues by considering the Nyström approximation of this kernel [10]-[12] on a special orthonormal basis \( \phi_n(x) = \{\sqrt{2}\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi x)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \). Particularly in [7]-[8], the operator \( T_n \) is approximated by an operator \( A \) from the sequence space \( l_2 \) to \( l_2 \), which has matrix elements

\[
A_{n,m} = \langle \phi_n(x)A\phi_m(y) \rangle = 2\int_0^1 \int_0^1 R_b(x,y)\sin((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi x)\sin((m + \frac{1}{2})\pi x)dxdy
\]  

We can also consider \( x^TAy \) with the kernel matrix \( A_{n,m} \) as a \( n \)-degenerate approximation of the Mercer kernel function \( R_b(x,y) \).

By examining the leading order diagonal piece \( D \) and the higher order off-diagonal piece \( OD \) of \( A (A = D + OD) \), Bronski proved that \( OD_{n,m} \) has higher order and can be neglected with respect to \( D_{n,m} \). Thus, the eigenvalues of \( A \) is mainly determined by \( D \).

In [7]-[8], Bronski further proved that

\[
\frac{\sin(\pi H)\Gamma(2H + 1)}{n^{2H+1}} + \epsilon_{left} \leq \lambda_n(A) \leq \frac{\sin(\pi H)\Gamma(2H + 1)}{n^{2H+1}} + \epsilon_{right}
\]  

where \( \epsilon_{left} \) and \( \epsilon_{right} \) are neglectable items.

Thus, we reach the conclusion we desired

\[
\lambda_n(T_n) \approx \lambda_n(A) \sim n^{-2H-1}
\]

3 Another Proof that Actually Detours

3.1 The Proof in Appendix of [9]

In [9], we consider the series expansion of the fBm process on a set of orthonormal basis functions \( \phi_n(t) \)

\[
B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n\phi_n(t)
\]
where $c_n$ is the corresponding coefficient satisfying

$$E\{c_n c_m\} = \lambda_n \delta[n - m]$$

(8)

If we can obtain the representation of $c_n$, we can directly get $\lambda_n$ via Eq. (5).

However, in [9] (or equivalently http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3002v1), we instead study another series expansion of the fBm process on a set of special basis functions $\psi_n(t)$ proposed in [13]-[15]

$$B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \psi_n(t)$$

(9)

where $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a set of linearly independent but not orthogonal basis functions. Thus, the expansion coefficients $b_n$ is not equivalent to the eigenvalues of the Karhunen-Lo`eve expansion.

The Appendix of [9] proves the $E\{b_n\} \sim n^{-2H-1}$. But as shown in [16], it is just a intermediate result for our final goal.

### 3.2 A Remedy

Because the problem lies in the orthogonality, we will give a remedy for our proof by orthogonal projection.

More precisely, we will project these functions $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to a set of orthonormal basis functions $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as

$$\psi_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} \phi_k(t)$$

(10)

where $\mu_{n,k} = \text{proj}_{\phi_k(t)}(\psi_n(t)) = \left( \int_0^1 \phi_n(t) \psi_k(t) dt \right)$.

Based on Eq.(7), (9)-(10), we have

$$B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \psi_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} b_k \right) \phi_n(x)$$

(11)

Thus, we can study the eigenvalue asymptotics of $c_n$ from

$$c_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_{n,k} b_k$$

(12)

This method is similar to what had been applied in [17]-[18]. We will discuss when such projection is valid at the end of this response.

The success of Prof. Bronski [7]-[8] inspired us to choose the orthonormal basis functions $\phi_n(t) = \{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin((n + \frac{1}{2})\pi t)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Because the Karhunen-Lo`eve expansion for brownian motion $H = \frac{1}{2}$ is well known [19], [13], we will focus on the cases that $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$. 

3
As pointed out in [9]-[15], we can expand a standard fBm process $B_t$ as
\[
B_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( z_n \frac{\sin(x_n t)}{x_n} + w_n \frac{1 - \cos(y_n t)}{y_n} \right)
\] (13)
where $x_n$ are the positive zeros of the Bessel function $J_{-H}$ of the first kind, $y_n$ are the positive zeros of the Bessel function $J_{1-H}$ of the first kind. As shown in [9], we have
\[
x_n = n\pi + h_1 + O(n^{-1}), \quad y_n = n\pi + h_2 + O(n^{-1}) \quad (14)
\]
where $h_1$ and $h_2$ are constants.

$z_n$ and $w_n$ are independent sequences of independent, centered Gaussian random variables on a common probability space, with
\[
E[z_n] = E[w_n] = 0 \quad (15)
\]
\[
E[z_n^2] = \frac{2\epsilon_H^2}{x_n^{2H} J_{1-H}^2(x_n)}, \quad E[w_n^2] = \frac{2\epsilon_H^2}{y_n^{2H} J_{1-H}^2(y_n)} \quad (16)
\]
where $\epsilon_H = \frac{\Gamma(1+2H) \sin(\pi H)}{\pi}$.

Let us first examine the projection of $\{\frac{\sin(x_n t)}{x_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Given $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can obtain the projection coefficients $\hat{\mu}_{n,k}$ as
\[
\hat{\mu}_{n,k} = \int_0^1 \frac{\sin(x_k t)}{x_k} \sqrt{2} \sin((n - \frac{1}{2})\pi t) dt
\]
\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2x_k} \left[ \frac{\sin(x_k - [n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi)}{x_k - [n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi} - \frac{\sin(x_k + [n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi)}{x_k + [n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi} \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\sqrt{2}d_1}{x_k} \left( \frac{(x_k + [n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi)}{x_k^2 - [n - \frac{1}{2}]^2 \pi^2} + O(n^{-2}) \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{2\sqrt{2}d_1}{x_k^2 - [n - \frac{1}{2}]^2 \pi^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}d_1}{x_k} O(n^{-2}) \quad (17)
\]
where $d_1$ is a constant.

As shown in [9], we have
\[
J_{1-H}(x_k) = d_2 x_k^{-1/2} + O(x_k^{-3/2}) \quad (18)
\]
where $d_2$ is a postiche constant.

Thus, based on Eq.(14) and (18), we have
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E[z_k^2] \hat{\mu}_{n,k}^2
\]
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{2H} J_1^2(x_k) \left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]}{x_k^{2H} + 1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{2H}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} + O(n^{-3}) \]

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{16c_k^2 d_1^2}{x_k^{2H} J_1^2(x_k) \left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} + O(n^{-3}) = d_3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{2H+1}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} + O(n^{-3}) \]

(19)

where \( d_3 \) is a positive constant.

It is easy to show that

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{-2H+1}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} > \frac{x_k^{-2H+1}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} \bigg|_{k=n} = d_3 n^{-2H-1} + O(n^{-3}) \]

(20)

and

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{-2H+1}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} < d_3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{-2H+1}}{(2k-1)n^2} + O(n^{-3}) = d_4 n^{-2H-1} + O(n^{-3}) \]

(21)

where \( d_3 \) and \( d_4 \) are positive constants.

Noticing that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_k^{-2H+1}}{\left[ x_k^2 - \left| n - \frac{1}{2} \right| \pi^2 \right]^2} \) converges, based on (13)-(15), we have

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ z_k^2 \right] \hat{\mu}_{n,k}^2 = d_5 n^{-2H-1} + O(n^{-3}) \sim n^{-2H-1} \]

(22)

where \( d_5 \) is a positive constant.

Similarly, we can prove that the projection coefficients of \( \{ \frac{1 - \cos(y_k t)}{y_k} \}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) satisfies

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ w_k^2 \right] \hat{\mu}_{n,k}^2 \sim n^{-2H-1} \]

(23)

where \( \hat{\mu}_{n,k} = \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \cos(y_k t)}{y_k} \sqrt{2} \sin([n - \frac{1}{2}]\pi t) dt. \)

Due to the independence of \( z_k \) and \( w_k \), we have

\[ \lambda_n = \mathbb{E} \left[ c_n^2 \right] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \mathbb{E} \left[ z_k^2 \right] \hat{\mu}_{n,k}^2 + \mathbb{E} \left[ w_k^2 \right] \hat{\mu}_{n,k}^2 \right) \sim n^{-2H-1} \]

(24)

Therefore, our proof in [9] is repaired.

In summary, the nice proof given by Bronski in [7]-[8] is a direct attack on the problem, and our proof detours. However, the appendix in [9] plus this response gives another view on the asymptotics of K-L expansion of fBm process and meanwhile shows how the important results obtained in [7]-[8] and [13]-[15] can be linked together.
4 Some Discussions

Finally, we would like to discuss the interesting question raised in [16]: If a centered Gaussian process $G_i$ admits two different series expansions, under what conditions do the two eigenvalue sequences have the same asymptotic behavior?

We think this question can be partly solved by evaluating the mapping operator $T$ between the two sets of basis functions. If the mapping $T$ consists of appropriate projection coefficients, the asymptotics of the eigenvalues can still be held.

The general cases are obviously too difficult to solve in this short response. In the follows, we will briefly discuss a special case: when one basis is a Riesz basis and the other is an orthonormal basis.

Suppose we have a expansion of the integral kernel $K$ in $L_2([0,1] \times [0,1])$ on a Riesz basis $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (no need to be orthogonal) as $K(s,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau_n(s) \psi_n(t)$; and meanwhile we have the K-L expansion of $K$ on an orthonormal basis $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the same space as $K(s,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(s) \phi_n(t)$.

Based on the property of Riesz basis [20]-[23], we can always find a linear bounded bijective operator $T$ satisfying $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{T\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

The basis function used in [13]-[15] can be viewed as a special Riesz basis, which satisfying the above requirement. Thus, we can study the asymptotics of K-L eigenvalues by using orthogonal projection.

Since $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Riesz basis, it will associate with a set of Riesz sequence. Hence, if $A$ is the infinite matrix representing this bounded linear operator $T$, the sequence $\{A_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ formed by the $n$th column of $A$ is a Bessel sequence in $l^2$.

Assume that $\lambda_n$ and $\tau_n$ have the same asymptotics. According to [24]-[29], the upper bound for the decaying rate of the eigenvalues for a smooth Mercer kernel is $O(n^{-1})$. Thus, we have $\lambda_n, \tau_n \sim n^{-p}$, $p > 1$.

Since $\{A_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Bessel sequence, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k,n}^2 \tau_k \leq C_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau_k = C_2$ when $\tau_n \sim n^{-p}$, $C_1$ and $C_2$ are constants. Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k,n}^2 \tau_k$ converges. Based on the mapping relation, we have

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k,n}^2 \tau_k$$

or equivalently

$$d_6 n^{-p} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k,n}^2 k^{-p} + O(n^{-p})$$

which indicates that given a $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the maximum value of $A_{k,n}$ in terms of $k$ locates at a point $k^*$ that is approximately proportional to $n$ (say, $k^* = \lfloor d_7 n \rfloor$). Here $d_6$ and $d_7$ are two positive constants.

Similarly, if $\{\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are two different Riesz bases, we can always find two linear bounded bijective operators $U$ and $V$ satisfying $\{U\psi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{V\phi_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Thus, if $G_i$ admits two series expansions on
different Riesz bases, we may possibly study the asymptotic behavior on one
basis from the knowledge on the asymptotic behaviors of another.

Besides, when \( H \to 0 \), the decaying rate of the eigenvalues will approach the
bound \( \lambda_n \sim O(n^{-1}) \) as \( \lambda_n \sim n^{-2H-1} \). This can be another example in practice
supporting Weyl’s conclusion: the rate \( O(n^{-1}) \) for the eigenvalues of a smooth
Mercer-like kernel cannot be improved in general [24]-[29].

References

[1] B. Mandelbrot, J. W. van Ness, “Fractional Brownian motions, fractional
noises and applications,” SIAM Review, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 422-437, 1968.

[2] J. Mercer, “Functions of positive and negative type and their connection
with the theory of integral equations,” Philosophical Transactions of the
Royal Society A, vol. 209, pp. 415-446, 1909.

[3] P. D. Hislop, I. M. Sigal, Introduction to Spectral Theory: With Applications
to Schrödinger Operators, Springer, New York, 1995.

[4] K. Karhunen, “Über lineare Methoden in der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung,”
Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Series A1, Mathematica-Physica,
no. 37, pp. 1-79, 1947.

[5] M. Loève, Probability Theory, Vol. II, 4th edition, Graduate Texts in Math-
ematics, Vol. 46, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

[6] D. G. Manolakis, V. K. Ingle, S. M. Kogon, Statistical and Adaptive Signal
Processing: Spectral Estimation, Signal Modeling, Adaptive Filtering and
Array Processing, McGrawHill, New York, 1999.

[7] J. C. Bronski, “Small ball constants and tight eigenvalue asymptotics for
fractional Brownian motions,” Journal of Theoretical Probability, vol. 16,
no. 1, pp. 87-100, 2003.

[8] J. C. Bronski, “Asymptotics of Karhunen-Loève eigenvalues and tight con-
stants for probability distributions of passive scalar transport,” Communications in Mathematical Physics, vol. 238, no. 3, pp. 563-582, 2003.

[9] L. Li, J. Hu, Y. Chen, Y. Zhang, “PCA based Hurst exponent estimator for
fBm signals under disturbances,” IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing,
vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 2840-2846, 2009.

[10] E. J. Nyström, “Über die praktische Auflösung von Integralgleichungen mit
Anwendung auf Randwertaufgaben,” Acta Mathematica, vol. 54, 185-204,
1930.

[11] H.-J. Reinhardt, Analysis of Approximation Methods for Differential and
Integral Equations, Springer, New York, 1985.
[12] D. Porter, D. S. G. Stirling, *Integral Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[13] K. Dzhaparidze, J. H. van Zanten, “A series expansion of fractional Brownian motion,” *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 39-55, 2004.

[14] K. Dzhaparidze, J. H. van Zanten, “Optimality of an explicit series expansion of the fractional Brownian sheet,” *Statistics & Probability Letters*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 295-301, 2005.

[15] K. Dzhaparidze, J. H. van Zanten, “Krein’s spectral theory and the Paley-Wiener expansion for fractional Brownian motion,” *Annals of Probability*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 620-644, 2005.

[16] H. van Zanten, “Comments on ‘PCA Based Hurst Exponent Estimator for fBm Signals Under Disturbances’,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 4466-4467, 2010.

[17] J. M. Angulo, M. D. Ruiz-Medina, “A series expansion approach to the inverse problem,” *Journal of Applied Probability*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 371-382, 1998.

[18] N. Gnaneshwar, “A degenerate kernel method for eigenvalue problems of compact integral operators,” *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 339-354, 2007.

[19] A. M. Yaglom, *Correlation Theory of Stationary and Related Random Functions*, Vol. I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[20] R. M. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New York, NY, 1980.

[21] E. M. Stein, R. Shakarchi, *Real Analysis, III: Measure Theory, Integration, and Hilbert Spaces*, Priceton Univerity Press, 2005.

[22] N. L. Carothers, *A Short Course on Banach Space Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[23] O. Christensen, *Frames and Bases: An Introductory Course*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2008.

[24] H. Weyl, “Das Asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 441-479, 1912.

[25] J. B. Reade, “On the sharpness of Weyl’s estimate for eigenvalues of smooth kernels,” *SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 548-550, 1985.
[26] J. B. Reade, “On the sharpness of Weyl’s estimate for eigenvalues of smooth kernels, II” *SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 627-631, 1988.

[27] J. Buescu, F. García, I. Lourtie, A. C. Paixão, “Positive definiteness, integral equations and Fourier transforms,” *Journal of Integral Equations and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 33-52, 2004.

[28] J. Buescu, A. C. Paixão, “Eigenvalue distribution of Mercer-like kernels,” *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 280, no. 9-10, pp. 984-995, 2007.

[29] J. C. Ferreira, V. A. Menegatto, “Eigenvalues of integral operators defined by smooth positive definite kernels,” *Integral Equation and Operator Theory*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 61-81, 2009.