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Abstract—The detection of ship and estimation of its characteristics has its significance in tracking these sea vessels for surveillance. It also has its importance as an ancillary information for marine applications like oil spill detection and its source tracking. This paper is an aspiration towards exploring the strength of RISAT-1 data retrieved in circular transmit linear receive mode. We propose a method to select the detected ship and get the dimension estimation of the segmented ship for further decision making. The proposed method has been implemented in python and tested for various inputs. The verification of the same is done as a de-facto measure based on location or by supporting measurements obtained through Google Maps.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of vessel positions and types aid many applications like maritime traffic regulation, fisheries and surveillance. Ship detection can be considered as a primary problem for ocean surveillance or maritime traffic regulation. Maritime traffic monitoring also aids the fishing activities and any relevant emergency situations. It may also help as deciding factor for oil spill detection and classification and/or to identify illegally operating ships. Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) comes out as the best tool for the said purpose according to its capabilities of all weather, day and night sensing in broad expanses. The basic methodology for ship detection may involve speckle removal, polarimetric decomposition, RoI Selection, Ship Segmentation, and Classification.

SAR polarization can aid in improving the detection of ship targets especially when the cross-polarized channel (HV) is exploited. A ship generates a mix of all three types of scattering which causes superstructure of deck configuration. This is the reason why ships act as corner reflectors [1]. Moreover, double reflection is generated by if there is correct orientation with of ocean with the radar beam and the hull. This phenomena results in returning a significant energy towards SAR receiver[2]. The small-scale scattering over sea surface is modelled via Bragg Scattering.

Thus it is evident from the fundamentals that detection of targets that are ship, can be accomplished by verdict of double-bounced structures in the open sea [3]. Ship detection is efficient in compact polarization mode as compared to dual-pol mode [6]. The theory of compact polarimetry can be found well elaborated in [4].

Ship detection differs from buoy detection problem in lieu with the fact that buoys are comparatively smaller in size. The ships due to their bigger size may introduce a preferred direction and particular alignment of ship may increase its likelihood for detection. However the problem of ship detection is simplified with polarimetric SAR, there are certain factors that impact the ship detection process significantly. From the above discussion incident angle and polarization can be rationalized as these factors. Other factors include wind speed and ship size. Increase in wind speed implies decrease in detection rate. For ship having length less than 50m detection probability decreases. For larger ships with length greater than 100m, the detection rate does not depend on polarization. Ship detection through polarimetric SAR can be done using one of the two broad categories of detectors as shown in Fig.1. This research focuses establishing the capabilities of RISAT-1

Fig. 1. Ship Detectors

SAR data in context to ship target detection through experiential outcomes. In the course of doing so, we have come up with a novel proposal of fusing statistical detectors and processing the imagery of physical polarimetric outcomes.
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The research contributions of this study are as follows:
1) Empirical analysis of polarimetric decomposition/classification for ship detection.
2) Ship Detection and Size Estimation
3) Performance analysis of RISAT-1 SAR for ship detection

A. Organization of the Paper
The paper henceforth is organized as mentioned below followed by Acknowledgement and References:
• Section II - Related work in the said domain
• Section III - Proposed Approach for conduction of experiments and observation
• Section IV - Discussion of results
• Section V - Conclusion and Future Research

II. STATE OF THE ART
There is significant and generous research done for ship detection on polarimetric SAR images with different polariza- tion. Many algorithms are developed as discussed above. Each algorithms have pros and cons. Some are time consuming and some are complicated. This research focuses on dimension es- timation and leverages various decomposition outcomes from PolSAR pro. The automation of ship dimension estimation may aid the decision making of whether to consider a detected element a ship or not. As it becomes crucial to distinguish ships from the rest of the background where prominent look alikes like buoys, small rocks and cliffs etc are present. Major researchers uses CFAR (Constant False Alarm Rate) algorithm in this decision making, wherein the ship dimensions may be considered as an vital ancillary information.

A major contribution of ship detection research circumvents the use of CFAR and variants of the same especially when it comes to detection of ships surrounded by sea clutter. CFAR’s effectiveness relies on statistical model of clutter. [5] [6] [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12]. Thus, while using this scheme it is important to precisely design the statistical model. The research in the domain also expands towards various assumptions of distributions. Prominently assumed distributions in literature are Gaussian, K-Distribution[13], Weibull [14].

The popular ship detectors as per the literature and the frequently exploited data for this research domain are mentioned in TABLE I and TABLE II:

Table I. Popular data sources

| Data Source    | References Using the Data Source |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| AIRSAR         | [15], [16], [17], [18]          |
| ALOS           | [19], [20], [17], [21]          |
| SENTINEL-1     | [22], [15], [23], [24]          |
| RADARSAT-2     | [22], [25], [26], [27], [20], [28], [29], [17] |
| CosmoSkymed    | [22], [25], [30], [28]          |
| UAVSAR         | [26], [3]                       |
| TerraSAR-X     | [31], [30], [29], [32], [24]    |
| RADARSAT-1     | [33], 16                        |
| RISAT-1        | [34], 16                        |

Table II. Popular ship detectors

| Detector       | References exploiting the detector |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| CFAR Variants  | [19], [22], [15], [30], [28], [15], [32], [21] |
| GP-PNF         | [19], [31], [20]                 |
| SPAN           | [16], [17]                       |
| DoP            | [26]                             |
| m-chi          | 16                               |
| m-delta        | 16                               |
| Entropy        | [32]                             |

III. DATA AND AREA OF STUDY
The SAR images have been located from the sea off the coast of Bombay where there are instances of a ship and scores of ships together. The site is located at a latitude of 18.91N and longitude 72.81E, the date of pass has been recorded as 21 Feb 2014. The satellite altitude at the time of pass was 541.994km with 348.511 degree image heading angle and 25.45 degree incident angle.

IV. APPROACH
The classical approach of dealing with polarimetric data pre-processing is adopted. The proposed approach provides a tool for manual selection of RoI. The detection and di- mension estimation part then follows in automated form. The methodology is summarized in Fig.2. The initial preprocessing works towards speckle removal, slant to ground range con- version followed by polarimetric feature extraction through various decomposition methods. Note that one of the goal of this research is to analyze the ship detection capabilities of RISAT-1. For significant analysis, imagery from most of the decomposition outcomes have been supplied to the RoI selection. Also decomposition methods clubbed with wishart classification are tested in this empirical study. Python’s cubic interpolation has been exploited to zoom the selected RoI wherein further processing is done. SOBEL operator is used for edge detection. The resultant image is morphed using kernel convolution (Kernel size - 5 X 5). To connect the broken edges erosion and dilation is exploited. The empirically established iterations for performing erosion and dilation are 2 and 5 respectively. To localize the ship and extract the correctly oriented boundaries for next step of dimension calculation, contouring is used.
A. Ship Dimension Estimation

After getting bounding boxes i.e. detection of ships most approximate boundary, ship dimensions can be calculated as per the following illustration (see Fig.: 3).

\[
\text{length} = \frac{(x_4 - x_3)}{\cos(\alpha)} \times LR \times SF \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Breadth} = \frac{(y_3 - y_2)}{\cos(\alpha)} \times LA \times SF \tag{2}
\]

Based on the above calculation, with the point values (99,100), (225,58), (320,343), (194,385) and \( \alpha = 72 \) LA = Each Pixel along Azimuth is 4.11 meter LR = Each Pixel along Range is 4.15 meter SF = Scaling factor if any = 5 in this case Length = 255 m and Breadth = 112m.

The calculations were verified by using the Google Maps UI. The Google Map mentioned length = 257m and breadth = 60m. This Google Maps result confirms that the method adopted here is giving a fair approximation of ship dimensions. The calculated ship dimension can be used to know the ship type and also as ancillary information with statistical methods like CFAR to have stronger conclusions of whether the detected object is a ship or a sea clutter.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

There were six ships in the identified RoI. For the ease of analysis, we have numbered them 1 through 6 as shown in Fig. 4. The observations of detectability of ships given this ships as input to the detection algorithms in all possible forms of decomposition is shown in TABLE III wherein exact boundaries N-Not Detectable, Y-Significantly Detectable and R-Random objects are detected. It is observed that Wishart H- \( \alpha \) serves to be the best input imagery for dimension estimation.

| Ship No. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Stokes   | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Raney Chi| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Raney Delta| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Entropy  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Compact Phi| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| RGB2     | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Anisotropy| - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wishart H-\( \alpha \) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |

Table III: Ship Detectable from various decomposition input imagery.(z: Significantly detectable; ~: Not detectable; Ra: Random objects detected)
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Fig. 5. Various Decomposition outputs for Ship 1 clustering considering \( k = 2 \). Fig. 7 shows the ship detection algorithm outputs on various decomposition imagery of ship 1. The outcome of k-means application on decomposed RoI for all 6 ships is summarized in TABLE V. k-means is found to be useful in case of detection rate in various decomposition except it is deteriorating the performance of Wishart \( H-\alpha \). Moreover, it can be noticed that although the detection capability is increased but with an increase in false alarm rate.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

From the experimentation it has been observed that hybrid polarimetric SAR data available through RISAT-1 can prominently help in ship detection so much so that the ships are visible directly while visualizing the data. In case of

| SHIP No. | Length (m) | Breadth (m) | \( \alpha \) (in degrees) |
|----------|------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| 1        | 255        | 112         | 72                       |
| 2        | 193        | 90          | 58                       |
| 3        | 509        | 262         | 880                      |
| 4        | 216        | 110         | 64                       |
| 5        | 155        | 74          | 59                       |
| 6        | 151        | 124         | 55                       |

Table V. Ship detectable from various decomposition input Imagery after application of k-means clustering with \( k=2 \)

Fig. 6. Ship detection output on various inputs as mentioned in Fig. 6
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