Bactericidal and Sporicidal Activities against Pathogenic Bacteria of Direct Flow Electrolyzed Water
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Abstract

The purpose of this investigation was to examine the bactericidal activity of long lifetime ozone water (LLO water) against pathogenic bacteria of medical, veterinary, and public health interest. An electrolytic system using Pt mesh electrodes combined with a conventional polymer electrolyte, the Nafion 117 membrane, produced LLO water from tap water with high efficiency. LLO water killed 7.144 ± 0.0507 log₁₀ CFU of Escherichia coli O157:H7, 6.979 ± 0.045 log₁₀ CFU of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, 6.503 ± 0.3681 log₁₀ CFU of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and 6.579 ± 0.123 log₁₀ CFU of Legionella pneumophila within one minute. In contrast, endospores of Bacillus anthracis were killed within 20 min. Results of observation of the bactericidal activity of LLO by using an electron microscope, it was revealed that LLO water was shown the bactericidal action by swelling and destroying on the cell wall of the bacterial cells. Our studies demonstrated that LLO water has the potential to be widely applicable in food hygiene, medicine and veterinary medicine as a sterilizing agent. This is the first study to demonstrate the bactericidal activity and characteristics of LLO water.
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Introduction

Functional water produced by electric systems, such as electrolyzed water, has been widely used as a safe and low cost bactericidal water compared with disinfectants. Bactericidal electrolyzed waters, which consist of acidic and alkaline water, are usually produced by addition of 0.1% NaCl. However, if strong acidic electrolyzed water or a strong alkali electrolytic water is generated, those that contain few amount of sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) as one of the disinfectant [1]. We have developed a new electrolytic water generated by the system to direct electrolysis of tap water [2]. This electrolyzed water, named long-lifetime ozone water (LLO water), has a neutral pH and contains high concentrations of ozone and hydroxyl radicals, without NaClO [2]. Hydroxyl radicals produced by the decomposition of ozone water are one of the strongest oxidizers. Ozone can be used instead of chlorine as an effective water disinfectant and is able to inactivate many human pathogenic bacteria which are Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli, Legionella pneumophila, Mycobacterium fortuitum, Pseudomonas fluorescens, Salmonella Enteritidis, S. Typhimurium and Staphylococcus aureus [3]. However, some protozoa, fungi and spores require a longer time of contact with ozone than bacteria and viruses [3-6]. The LLO water is long-lifetime ozone water; therefore, it is possible to inactivate many pathogenic agents of medicine, veterinary medicine and public health concern.

In the medical science, major etiological agents of nosocomial infections include methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa (MDRP) and L. pneumophila [7-9]. These organisms are particularly problematic because of their ubiquitous nature, ability to survive in the hospital environment, and innate resistance to many antibiotics and antiseptics. Bacillus anthracis is a highly pathogenic bacterium that causes anthrax and has been associated with deliberate contamination events [10]. Other Bacillus spp., B. cereus and B. subtilis, are endosporeformers that are widespread in the environment. There is the possibility that long-term use of disinfectants to eliminate these causative agents of nosocomial infections can result in environmental pollution. In contrast, electrolyzed water with bactericidal activity eventually changes into water with the passage of time. Stevenson et al. reported that the effect of storage of electrolyzed oxidizing (EO) water [11]. In their results, when stored in the dark, bactericidal efficacy was retained for at least 180 hrs. Therefore, electrolyzed water can be applied to clean up the environment in the hospital as well as the environmental pollution caused by bioterrorism. The purpose of our investigation was to examine the bactericidal activity of LLO water against pathogenic bacteria in the medical, veterinary, and public health fields. We observed by optical microscope and electron microscope how LLO water was acted against bacterial cells. Moreover, the characteristics of LLO water were determined by carrying out dilutions and limited values.

Material and Methods

Long lifetime ozone water and control water

Long-lifetime ozone (LLO) water produced by an electrolytic system using a felt separator was described previously [2]. This electrolytic system using platinum (Pt) mesh electrodes combined with a conventional polymer electrolyte, the Nafion 117 membrane, produced a high efficiency LLO water from tap water directly. The LLO water had a neutral pH (pH 5.5 to 7.0), contained high levels of hydroxyl radicals, and did not contain residual chlorine or NaClO. Chlorhexidine (CHG, Sumitomo Pharmaceuticals co. LTD, Osaka, Japan) at a concentration of 0.05% was used as a positive control for bactericidal activity and distilled water was used as a negative control.
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Bacteria

*Escherichia coli* NIHJ and *S. aureus* 209P were used as control strains for bactericidal tests. *E. coli* O157:H7 CE194, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* NVLU-183, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC27853, *B. anthracis* Davis, *B. cereus* ATCC14579, *B. subtilis* ATCC9372 and *L. pneumophila* ATCC27853 were used as the pathogenic bacteria. These bacterial strains were type strains, reference strains or were isolated from diseased animals or humans in our laboratory’s bacterial collections.

Bacterial cultures and preparation of bacterial suspensions

*E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* were inoculated into heart infusion broth (Becton, Dickinson and Co. USA) and cultured at 37°C for 18 hrs. *L. pneumophila* was cultured on buffered charcoal yeast extract agar (B-CYE agar; Becton, Dickinson and Co. USA) at 35°C for 48 h. After incubation, *L. pneumophila* colonies were suspended in 0.85% NaCl solution and adjusted to an optical density of 0.1 at 600 nm (OD600) using an ERMA AE200 spectrophotometer (ERMA Inc. Japan). *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* strains were cultured in tryptcose soy broth (Becton, Dickinson and Co. USA) at 37°C for 18 hrs. *B. anthracis*, *B. cereus* and *B. subtilis* endospores were incubated overnight on heart infusion agar at 37°C, and further incubated for 5 days at room temperature. After incubation, Bacillus colonies were suspended in 0.85% NaCl solution to an OD600 of 0.1 *Bacillus* endospore solutions were treated at 62°C for 30 min to kill the vegetative cells.

Bactericidal test procedures

Bactericidal tests of LLO water were performed by using a modification of the procedure used to test bactericidal activity of disinfectants [12]. Two hundred µl of each bacterial suspension was mixed with 20 ml of LLO water, 20 ml of 0.05% chlorhexidine or 20 ml of distilled water as controls, and the mixtures were maintained for 1, 2, 3, 5 and 10 min at room temperature. One hundred µl of this mixture was taken at various time points, and 5 ml of 0.5% sodium thiosulphate stop solution was added. The treated bacterial samples were cultured on heart infusion agar at 37°C for 24 hrs, except *L. pneumophila*, which was grown on B-CYE agar at 37°C for 48 hrs. After incubation, the bactericidal activity of LLO water was determined by counting the number of bacterial colonies and comparing the CFUs with those of the samples that were treated with disinfectant and distilled water.

Microscopic and electron microscopic observation of LLO water-treated bacteria

*S. aureus* NVLU-183 strain was used in this study. *S. aureus* NVLU-183 was cultured in tryptcose soy broth at 37°C for 18 hrs, and 200 l of each bacterial culture was mixed with 20 ml of LLO water or 20 ml of distilled water. Distilled water was used as a negative control. After incubating at 20°C for 1 sec, the reaction was stopped by adding 0.5% sodium thiosulfate solution. Bacterial pellets were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline. The samples were post fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide (OsO4), and dehydrated using ethanol. Subsequently, the pellets were embedded in Epon 812 (TAAB Laboratories, England), ultra-thinly sectioned, and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The samples were observed with a transmission electron microscope (JEM-1011; JEOL Ltd. Tokyo, Japan).

Determination of the limits of bactericidal activity of LLO water

To determine whether LLO water was active with higher concentrations of cells, how long the LLO water retained bactericidal activity, bactericidal tests were carried out with more concentrated cell suspensions, with LLO water that had been left at room temperature. Suspensions of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* overnight cultures were adjusted to approximately 10^10 to 10^7 CFU/ml. Bacterial solutions were diluted from 2 to 8-fold with 0.85% NaCl. Bactericidal test was performed as described above and distilled water was used as the negative control. LLO water was produced by the electrolytic system and left at room temperature for 3, 6 and 24 hrs. The bactericidal activity of the LLO water was examined to determine whether it retained antibacterial capability using *E. coli*.

Statistical analysis

The bactericidal and sporicidal activities of LLO water were determined with 3 replications. Statistical significance was determined by chi-square analysis, and P<0.05 was considered significant.

Results and Discussion

Bactericidal activity of LLO water

Results of studies examining the bactericidal activity of LLO water are shown in Table 1. In the case of 7.059 ± 0.0567 log _10_ CFU of *E. coli* NIHJ strain, 20 ml of LLO water killed to below the detection limit within one min same as 0.05% CHG. In contrast, distilled water is the negative control; the same number of inoculum bacterial cells has been detected. In the pathogenic bacteria, LLO water killed 7.144 ± 0.0507 log _10_ CFU of *E. coli* O157:H7, 6.979 ± 0.0450 log _10_ CFU of MRSA, 6.503 ± 0.3681 log _10_ CFU of *P. aeruginosa* and 6.579 ± 0.1230 log _10_ CFU of *L. pneumophila* within one minute. Furthermore, 6.898 ± 0.0811 log _10_ CFU of vegetative cells of *B. anthracis*, 6.0710 ± 1605 log _10_ CFU of *B. cereus* and 5.675 ± 0.1408 log _10_ CFU of *B. subtilis* were killed within one minute (Table 1). In contrast, endospores of 5.679 ± 0.1155 log _10_ CFU of *B. anthracis* were killed within 20 min (Table 2). The positive control, 0.05% chlorhexidine, also killed all tested bacteria except *B. anthracis* spores within one minute. On the other hand, use of distilled water as the negative control resulted in the detection of the same number of cells as the inoculum at each treatment time (Table 1).

Electron microscopic observation of bacterial cells treated with LLO water

Figure 1a and 1b shows electron micrographs of *S. aureus* cells after treatment with LLO water. As shown in Figure 1a (untreated) and Figure 1b (treated with LLO water), the morphological changes in the *S. aureus* cells are clearly evident. Bacterial cells of *S. aureus* treated with LLO water were expanded by 3 to 5-fold, and further cell ambient had changed from spherical form to irregular morphology. Therefore, LLO water directly damaged the bacterial cell walls.

The TEM images of the *B. cereus* cells showed notable changes to their cell wall after treatment with LLO water (Figure 2a and 2b). The
bactericidal effect of LLO water was shown to destroy the structure of the cell wall of bacterial cells. In addition to this, the viable cell count revealed that LLO water was an effective treatment against the survival of bacterial cells.

Limits of bactericidal activity of LLO water

Although LLO water killed 6.0792 log$_{10}$ CFU of *E. coli*, 6.3617 log$_{10}$ CFU remained viable. Similarly, 8.1461 log$_{10}$ CFU of *S. aureus* were viable even though LLO killed 7.5441 log$_{10}$ CFU (Table 3).

After LLO water was left at room temperature for 3, 6, 24 hrs, the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) value was maintained at 950 for over for six hrs, and 7.5185 log$_{10}$ CFU of *E. coli* were killed by 6 hr old LLO water (Table 4).

### Discussion

LLO water, which was produced from tap water, was shown to work as well as bactericidal disinfectants against various pathogenic bacteria found in medical and public health settings. In addition, LLO water had bactericidal activity against endospores of *B. anthracis*, which have been used as an agent of biological terrorism in recent years. Based on these findings, LLO water may be widely applicable in food hygiene, medicine and veterinary medicine as functional water. The characteristics of LLO water include a neutral pH, the same ion concentration as tap water, no sodium hypochlorite, > 0% ozone concentration, and a lifetime >150 hrs [2]. In contrast, acidic electrolyzed water has a pH of 2 to 3, and an active chlorine content of 10 to 90 ppm; basic electrolyzed water has a pH of 10 to 13 and ozone was lower than 0.1 ppm.

### Table 1: Bactericidal activities of LLO water against *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus anthracis*, *B. cereus*, *B. subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Legionella pneumophila*.

| Bacterial strain | Test water | The value of ORP(mV) | Inoculated cells (Log ± SD) | Cells (Log ± SD) remaining following reaction time (min) of: |
|------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                  |            |                      |                            | 1            | 2            | 3            | 5            | 10           |
| *E. coli NIHJ*   | LLO water  | 974 ± 12.49*         | 7.059 ± 0.0067             | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 364.3 ± 4.51         |                            | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 259.7 ± 1.53       |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *E. coli O157:H7 CE194* | LLO water  | 979 ± 20.67         | 7.144 ± 0.0507             | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 359 ± 7.55           |                            | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 264.3 ± 6.51         |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *S. aureus 209P* | LLO water  | 969.7 ± 9.07         | 6.966 ± 0.0375             | 5.1 ± 1.384  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 355.3 ± 13.31        |                            | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 259 ± 1.00          |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *Methicillin-resistant S. aureus NVLU-183* | LLO water  | 979 ± 23.00         | 6.797 ± 0.045              | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 360 ± 3.21           |                            | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 269 ± 10.15          |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *P. aeruginosa ATCC27853* | LLO water  | 957 ± 9.54          | 6.503 ± 0.3681             | 6.930 ± 0.1134 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 345.7 ± 11.06        |                            | 6.712 ± 0.3403 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 257 ± 7.61          |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *L. pneumophila ATCC27853* | LLO water  | 989.7 ± 13.58       | 6.579 ± 0.123              | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 355 ± 4.51           |                            | 6.898 ± 0.0811 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 273.7 ± 3.61         |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *Vegetative cells of B. anthracis Davis* | LLO water  | 985.7a ± 14.64      | 6.898 ± 0.0811             | 6.631 ± 0.2039 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 352.7 ± 11.37        |                            | 6.686 ± 0.0953 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 252 ± 3.15           |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *Vegetative cells of B. cereus ATCC14579* | LLO water  | 981.7 ± 10.4        | 6.071 ± 0.1605             | 6.539 ± 0.1786 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | 0.05% CHG  | 362.7 ± 3.06         |                            | 6.221 ± 1.0122 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 257 ± 3.06           |                            |              |              |              |              |              |
| *Vegetative cells of B. subtilis ATCC9372* | LLO water  | 977.7 ± 25.11       | 5.675 ± 0.1408             | 6.419 ± 0.1871 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |
|                  | Sterile distilled water | 257.7 ± 6.66         |                            | 6.459 ± 0.2945 | <2.301*  | <2.301*      | <2.301*      | <2.301*      |

*P<0.005; aValues are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations.
Table 2: Bactericidal activity of LLO water against spores of *Bacillus anthracis* Davis.

| Test water          | The value of ORP (mV) | Inoculated cells (Log ± SD) | Cells (Log ± SD) remaining following reaction time (min) of: |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| LLO water          | 987 ± 13.53a          | 5.679 ± 0.1155             | 3.094 ± 0.1029* 3.314 ± 0.0216* 2.835 ± 0.6811* 2.602 ± 0.301* <2.301*          |
| Sterile distilled  | 259 ± 7.00            |                            | 5.628 ± 0.1384 5.413 ± 0.1756 5.502 ± 0.1738 5.621 ± 0.0533 5.528 ± 0.0655 |

* P<0.005; aValues are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations.

In addition to the pH of LLO water, the ORP values should also be taken into consideration. This ORP can be used as an indicator of the ability of electrolyzed water to oxidize or reduce compounds in the bacterial cell wall. The corresponding high ORP has been associated with the strong bactericidal activity of Electrolyzed water [13]. Solutions with high ORP values can induce modifications to bacterial membranes by causing changes to the cell’s electron flow [14]. In this study, the ORP values of the LLO water was about +1000 mV, and had been maintainted for 6 hrs. Therefore, it was revealed that the bactericidal activities of LLO water had been at least 6 hrs could be kept.

When the effect on the bacteria was observed using electron microscopy, LLO water was found to destroy bacterial cell walls. This observation suggested that free radicals are produced from ozone, which is the main component of LLO water, and cell wall damage was caused by free radicals, resulting in cell lysis in response to the osmotic pressure. In contrast, acidic electrolyzed water produced from a dilute sodium chloride solution has a similar bactericidal effect as an oxidation-reduction potential of -800 to -900 mV [1]. However, acidic electrolyzed water and basic electrolyzed water show a high potential for application in the agriculture and food industries as an environmentally friendly disinfection agent. Thus, LLO water is not limited to industrial applications such as agriculture and food; a wide range of applications could be expected in fields including medical and veterinary medicine, and food hygiene.
Bacteria Test water The value of ORP (mV) Inoculated cells (Log) Cells (Log) remaining following reaction time (min) of :

| Bacteria  | Test water     | The value of ORP (mV) | Inoculated cells (Log) | Cells (Log) remaining following reaction time (min) of : |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| E. coli   | LLO water      | 1007                  | 6.3617                 | 5.9243 5.9912 6.017                                    |
|           |                |                       | 6.0792                 | <2.301* <2.301* <2.301*                                 |
|           |                |                       | 5.8129                 | <2.301* <2.301* <2.301*                                 |
|           |                |                       | 5.5051                 | <2.301* <2.301* <2.301*                                 |
|           | Sterile distilled water | 215                  | 7.3617                 | 7.2041 7.2041 7.2304                                  |
| S. aureus | LLO water      | 1003                  | 8.1461                 | 7.8195 7.6434 7.5797                                  |
|           |                |                       | 7.845                  | 6.6334 6.7242 6.716                                   |
|           |                |                       | 7.5441                 | <2.301* <2.301* <2.301*                                |
|           |                |                       | 7.2552                 | <2.301* <2.301* <2.301*                                |
|           | Sterile distilled water | 216                  | 7.1461                 | 7.0791 6.8451 7.0414                                  |

*P<0.005

Table 3: The limit value of bactericidal activity of LLO water against Escherichia coli NIHJ and Staphylococcus aureus 209P.
Test water | Inoculated cells (Log) | Cells (Log) remaining following LLO water incubation time (hrs) of:
---|---|---
LLO water | 7.5185 | <2.301*<2.301*<2.301*6.7993
The value of ORP (mV) | 1014974958620 | 7.5185 | 7.4314 | 7.4149 | 7.2553 | 7.3424
Sterile distilled water | 7.5185 | *P<0.005

Table 4: Bactericidal activity of LLO water after collection against Escherichia coli NIHJ.

the disinfectant sodium hypochlorite [15]. Therefore, it is clear that the bactericidal effect of LLO water is due to the ozone and radicals generated by electrolysis of tap water.

In our current study, we have demonstrated the bactericidal and sporicidal activities of LLO water. The effect of LLO water as a disinfectant is attenuated by incorporation of organic matter, which is similar to findings with disinfectants. However, the fact that inactivated LLO water becomes mere water would allow its use in a wide range of applications without the possibility of polluting the environment. In the future, we will explore additional applications of LLO water.

References
1. Hricova D, Stephan R, Zweifel C (2008) Electrolyzed water and its application in the food industry. J Food Prot 71: 1934-1947.
2. Okada F, Naya K (2009) Highly efficient and long-lifetime ozone water production system realized using a felt separator. J Electrochem Soc 156: E125-E131.
3. Kim JG, Yousef AE, Dave S (1999) Application of ozone for enhancing the microbiological safety and quality of foods: A review. J Food Prot 62: 1071-1087.
4. Arita M, Nagayoshi M, Fukuzumi T, Okinaga T, Masumi S (2005) Microbicidal efficacy of ozonated water against Candida albicans adhering to acrylic denture plates. Oral Microbiol Immunol 20: 206-210.
5. Perrine D, Georges P, Langlais B (1990) The efficacy of water ozonation on the inactivation of oocysts of Cryptosporidium. Bull Acad Natl Med 174: 845-851.
6. Rickloff JR (1987) An evaluation of the sporicidal activity of ozone. Appl Environ Microbiol 53: 683-686.
7. Ito T, Okuma K, Ma XX, Yuzawa H, Hiramatsu K (2003) Insights on antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus from its whole genome: genomic island SCC. Drug Resist Updates 6: 41-52.
8. Sasaki M, Hiyama E, Takesue Y, Kodaira M, Sueda T (2004) Clinical surveillance of surgical imipenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in a Japanese hospital. J Hosp Infect 56: 111-118.
9. Torii K, Iinuma Y, Ichikawa M, Kato K, Koide M, et al. (2003) A case of nosocomial Legionella pneumophilia pneumonia. Jpn J Infect Dis 56: 101-102.
10. Imperiale MJ, Casadevall A (2011) Bioterrorism: Lessons learned since the anthrax mailings. mBio 2: e00232-11.
11. Stevenson SML, Cook SR, Bach SJ, McAllister TA (2004) Effects of water source, dilution, storage, and bacterial and fecal loads on the efficacy of electrolyzed oxidizing water for the control of Escherichia coli O157:H7. J Food Prot 67: 1377-1383.
12. Berkelman RL, Holland BW, Anderson RL (1982) Increased bactericidal activity of dilute preparations of povidone-iodine solutions. J Clin Microbiol 15: 635-639.
13. Kim C, Hung YC, Brackett RE (2000) Roles of oxidation-reduction potential in electrolyzed oxidizing and chemically modified water for the inactivation of food-related pathogens. J Food Prot 63: 19-24.
14. Park H, Hung Y, Chung D (2004) Effects of chlorine and pH on efficacy of electrolyzed water for inactivating Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Listeria monocytogenes. Int J Food Microbiol 91: 13-18.
15. Vandekinderen I, Van Camp J, De Meulenaer B, Veranone K, Bernaert N (2009) Moderate and high doses of sodium hypochlorite, neutral electrolyzed oxidizing water, peroxyacetic acid, and gaseous chlorine dioxide did not affect the nutritional and sensory qualities of fresh-cut iceberg lettuce (Lactuca sativa Var capitata L) after washing. J Agric Food Chem 57: 4195-4203.