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Abstract: Tests of the lateral and torsional stability are quite sensitive to the experimental conditions, such as support conditions and loading system. Controlling all of these conditions in a full-size test is a very challenging task. Therefore, in this paper, an experimental measurement method that can control the experimental conditions using a small-scale model was proposed to evaluate the lateral and torsional stability of beams. For this, a loading system was provided to maintain the vertical direction of the load applied to the beam, and a support frame was produced to satisfy the in-plane and out-of-plane support conditions. The experimental method using a small-scale model was applied successively to the lateral and torsional behavior and stability of I-shaped beams. The proposed experimental methods, which effectively accommodate the changes in the geometry and length of the beam, could contribute to further experimental studies regarding the lateral and torsional stability of flexural members.
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1. Introduction

The lateral and torsional stability is the main issue for the safety of structures and users from the stages of design to construction in the field of architectural and civil engineering (Kalkan 2014; Hurff and Kahn 2012; Lee 2012a, b; Lee et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016; Hou and Song 2016; Petrone et al. 2016; Ramin and Fereidoonfar 2015; Srikanth et al. 2016). Analytical investigations into the lateral and torsional stability have been performed to develop a classical stability theory based on the steel structural members (Horne 1954; Salvadori 1955; Timoshenko 1956; Timoshenko and Gere 1961). Several studies (i.e., Talbot and Dhatt 1987; Renganathan et al. 1995; Li et al. 2002; Darilmaz 2011; Broum and Gallagher 1970; Fafard et al. 1987) developed finite element analysis using shell and plate elements for buckling analysis, which requires large geometric nonlinearity and bifurcation.

For slender and long precast concrete flexural members, most studies (Hansell and Winter 1959; Sant and Bletzacker 1961; Massey 1967; Siev 1960; Revathi and Menon 2007a, b) focused on the classical formulation to propose the weak-axis flexural rigidity and torsional rigidity associated with the lateral and torsional instability of concrete beams. The lateral and torsional stability of the slender and long concrete beams are also strongly affected by initial geometric imperfections. Recently, Kalkan (2014) and Hurff and Kahn (2012) have attempted to evaluate the influence of the initial lateral imperfection in the lateral and torsional buckling of slender, rectangular reinforced and prestressed concrete beams. In addition, Lee (2012a, b) examined the initial lateral deformation caused by environmental thermal effects to evaluate the lateral behavior and stability of bridge I-girders using three-dimensional finite element analysis and experimental data. Moreover, such these imperfections along the length of the beam are considered to be among the main causes of the rollover instability collapse of bridge girders (Oesterle et al. 2007; Zureick et al. 2005), which is the main issue during the construction of concrete and precast beams.

On the other hand, the experiment of lateral and torsional stability requires special caution to minimize geometric imperfections and material irregularities in the manufacturing and testing processes of a specimen, as well as to implementing support and loading conditions. That is, the loading system applied to the beam should retain its vertical direction throughout the testing while allowing longitudinal (in-plane) and transverse (out-of-plane) transitional and flexural movements of the beam. In addition, a lateral support condition should be provided to restrain the transitional and rotational movements but allow flexural behavior in both the longitudinal and lateral directions. Such support and loading conditions are extremely difficult to perform completely, particularly in full-size beam test. A slight deviation in the support and loading conditions completely changes the experimental results. Moreover, the lateral and
torsional buckling of a beam induces lateral and vertical deformation combined with a twisting rotation of the beam. Therefore, this study presented a small-scale experimental method to implement the support and loading conditions and minimize the experimental errors when assessing the lateral and torsional stability of a beam. An experimental frame was provided to install a specimen and satisfy the loading and support conditions. The frame was also designed to adapt the changes in the loading and support conditions, as well as the size and length of the beam. A loading transfer system was then provided to retain the initially vertical orientation of the load to a beam undergoing the coupled deformation and rotation. The support condition was also designed to satisfy the in-plane and out-of-plane restraint and movement conditions. Finally, the instrumentation was carried out to measure the variations in the deformation and angle and longitudinal strain in the beam. The lateral and vertical deformations and a rotational angle of the beam were determined from the coupled transformations using the measurement method, which was proposed initially by Zhao et al. (1994, 1995) and later modified by Stoddard (1997), and applied successively by Kalkan (2009) and Hurff (2010). In the present study, the measurement method was expanded to allow easier and more useful instrumentation and data processing. As a result, the proposed experimental and measurement methods using a small-scale model can be applied easily and effectively for the lateral and torsional stability testing of flexural members to evaluate the critical load and the influencing factors, such as geometric, support, and loading conditions, in the field of engineering.

2. Objectives and Significance

Tests of the lateral and torsional stability are quite sensitive to the experimental conditions, such as support condition, loading system, and material nonlinearity. Moreover, the lateral and torsional buckling test using a full-size beam requires considerable time and effort to control all these conditions. Even with careful consideration of these factors, unexpected errors, such as a deviation from the designed support, loading, and material conditions, could completely change the experimental results. Therefore, the appropriate loading, support, and material conditions in a full-size test are extremely difficult to achieve. Therefore, this study presented a small-scale experimental method that can control and maintain the loading and support conditions in the lateral and torsional stability tests of beams. The support system was developed to satisfy both the in-plane and out-of-plane restraints and movement conditions. The loading system was designed to retain its initial vertical direction throughout the course of loading and allow the lateral and torsional movement of the specimen at the application point of the load. The experimental method was applied successively to the lateral and torsional buckling of small-scaled I-shaped beams. The proposed experimental methods, which effectively accommodate the changes in the geometry and length of the beam, could contribute to further experimental studies regarding the lateral and torsional stability of flexural members.

3. Experimental Program

3.1 Beam Specimen

The material selected for the small-scale beam model was polycarbonate, which is strong and durable, as well as linearly elastic. Polycarbonate allows easy specimen preparation and the installation of various sensors, such as strain gauges, displacement meters, and accelerometers, for an experiment. In addition, with the advantage of the linear elasticity of a material, various experiments and explicit interpretation are available to evaluate the influential factors on the lateral and torsional stability. The modulus of elasticity, which plays a decisive role in determining the buckling load, was analyzed using the relationship between the applied load and vertical deflection measured at the middle of the test beam.

The size of the model beam was scaled down using the aspect ratios of the section and length of a typical precast beam, AASHTO Type VI beam.

Figure 1 provides the detailed dimensions of the cross-section of the AASHTO Type VI and scaled model beam. The cross-section of the testing specimen neglected the fillets at the connection of the web and flanges for easier manufacturing, as shown in Fig. 1b. The sectional properties of the model beam are determined to be similar to those of the AASHTO Type VI to minimize the influence of the fillets in the lateral and torsional behavior of the beam. The ratio of the height-to-width of the cross-section of the Type VI and model beam was 1.71 and 1.80, respectively. The thickness-to-width ratio of the cross-section in the top flange of the Type VI and model beam was 0.17 and 0.15, respectively. The size of the bottom flange of the model beam was designed to be the same as that of the top flange of the beam. Therefore, the test beam is doubly symmetrical; thus, the center of geometry and shear force coincides. The ratio of the second moment of the section in the weak axis to that in the strong axis of the Type VI and model beam was calculated to be 0.09 and 0.10, respectively.

3.2 Support Conditions and Frame

Lateral and torsional stability testing needs to satisfy both the in-plane (strong-axis) and out-of-plane (weak-axis) support conditions. Figure 2 shows the support boundary conditions and a support frame designed for the lateral and torsional stability testing of a beam. The simple support condition was implemented using a roller that restrains the vertical and lateral translations but allows in-plane flexural rotation of the beam. Furthermore, the lateral and torsional restraints should be provided along the depth of the beam at the location of the simply-supported condition. On the other hand, the lateral support, which restrains the lateral translation and twisting rotation, can permit rotations about the strong and weak axes.

Therefore, lateral support and support frames were designed using a ball caster and circular rod, shown in Fig. 2. The circular support rod can move laterally to adjust the width of the beam and is fastened to act as a lateral
support condition. The ball caster, which is in contact with the side surface of the top and bottom flanges of the beam, contains grease to minimize friction resistance at the contact surface of the ball caster and the circular rod. The top and bottom ball casters, which provide lateral support to the top and bottom flanges of the beam, respectively, is free to move up and down, as well as rotate when the rotations about the strong and weak axes occur in the beam. Therefore, the in-plane and out-of-plane rotations of a beam are unconstrained at the support locations.

### 3.3 Vertical Loading System

The lateral and torsional stability is strongly dependent on the vertical loading system along with the support condition. The vertical load applied to a beam should retain its vertical direction throughout the course of loading even when lateral and torsional behavior is observed. In addition, the loading transfer mechanism should not have any resistance on the lateral deformation and torsional rotation at the application point of the load. Previous studies using lateral and torsional buckling experiments focused on full-scale size beams. The loading mechanisms used in previous studies were either distributed or point loads. König and Pauli (1990) utilized steel and water weights as the distributed load mechanism to perform the lateral and torsional buckling experiment in reinforced and prestressed concrete beams. The distributed load mechanism has limitations on space, logistics, and safety, which has rarely been applied in the test (Kalkan and Hurff 2012). Therefore, most of the lateral and torsional buckling experiments have attempted to use a point load mechanism to retain the initial vertical and concentrical position of the applied load without its resistance to the lateral and torsional restraints of the test beam at the loading point. Jensen (1978) initially applied a point load for the lateral and torsional buckling experiment but had difficulties in retaining the position of the applied load. Therefore, many studies (i.e., Hansell and Winter 1959; Sant and Bletzacker 1961; Massey 1967; Revathi and Menon 2007a, b) used roller bearing and ball-and-socket joint mechanisms to minimize the resistance to the lateral and rotational deformations of the test beam at the loading point during the test. Kalkan and Hurff (2012) indicated that the roller bearing mechanism could induce load eccentricity due to some difference between the centroid of the applied load and the beam when the specimen undergoes lateral deformation. Therefore, another load mechanism using a gravity
load simulator, which was originally designed by Yarimci et al. (1967) to apply a vertical load to a full-scale frame experiment, was used in the lateral and torsional buckling experiments on full-scale steel I-beams (Yura and Phillips 1992; Helwig et al. 2005), composite I-beams (Stoddard 1997), and reinforced concrete beams (Kalkan 2014; Hurff and Kahn 2012). Nevertheless, the gravity load simulator also inclined the loading unit during the test, which requires an additional control mechanism to maintain the vertical line of the load.

Based on previous studies, this study devised and proposed a vertical loading system based on the roller mechanism, as shown in Fig. 3. The load applied from the loading unit, mounted to the top of the test frame, is transferred to the beam through the load transfer rod and plates. The top load transfer plate, which is connected directly to a load cell device, was designed to allow free lateral deformation of the beam using a semicircle notch along the width of the beam without resistance. To retain the vertical and concentric direction of the load to the beam at the loading point, the load was transferred to the beam with the help of a ball-and-socket joint. The steel ball can be free to rotate in the ball-and-socket joint and semicircle notch, and also move laterally when lateral and torsional movements of the beam begin. The size of the steel ball was determined to have some vertical distance between the top and bottom transfer plates; thus the beam was free to rotate without any intervention induced by the plates. In addition, the interface between the steel ball and the top and bottom plates was greased to minimize friction at the loading point. Figure 4 shows a picture of the loading transfer system and the small-scaled model beam. In addition, the loading system was designed easily to move along the top of the test frame using screw bolts, and it can be secured firmly to a target location. Therefore, the proposed loading system can be easily applicable to the third-point loading as well as the fourth-point loading, where two vertical loads are applied simultaneously to the beam.

4. Experimental Measurement

4.1 Instrumentation and Measurements

The small-scaled I-beam, 950 mm long between the supports with the cross-section, shown in Fig. 1b, was installed on the in-plane and out-of-plane support conditions proposed in this study. As described previously, the beam specimen installed on the support boundary condition is shown in Fig. 2. A vertical load was then applied to the middle of the top surface of the beam, and the applied load was measured using a load cell device installed in the vertical loading system, shown in Fig. 4. The deflections and rotation of the beam were measured using wire potentiometers installed at the mid-span of the beam. The initial vertical line of the potentiometers begins to incline as the lateral and torsional deformations occur. That is, because lateral and vertical deflections are combined with a twisting rotation of the beam, the deflections and rotation cannot be obtained directly from the measurements from the potentiometers. Therefore, in this study, the measurement technique, which was developed by Zhao et al. (1994, 1995) and modified later by Stoddard (1997), was employed to acquire the independent lateral and vertical deflections and twisting rotation. The technique requires a total of three wire potentiometers: two
potentiometers installed on the top and bottom of a specimen in the lateral direction, and one potentiometer installed vertically at the bottom of the specimen. Figure 5 shows a picture of the two wire potentiometers connected to the top and bottom of the test beam. In addition to the lateral wire potentiometers, two vertical potentiometers are installed in the right and left sides of the bottom flange of the beam. In fact, one vertical potentiometer is sufficient to convert the couple deflections and rotation from the measurement. In this study, another potentiometer was used in the bottom of the beam to assess the distortion of the section. Moreover, in this study, a measurement method using one lateral and two vertical potentiometers were presented to determine the lateral and vertical deflections and rotation from the coupled measurements. A detailed description of the proposed technique is discussed in Sect. 4.2.

Strain gauges were also installed to measure the variation in the longitudinal strain along the depth of the cross-section at the mid-span. A total of four strain gauges were installed on each side of the cross-section in the longitudinal direction, as shown in Fig. 6: each one on the side surfaces of the top and bottom flanges and on the one-fourth and three-fourth locations of the web. When the in-plane flexural bending is dominant, the neutral axis of the cross-section remains horizontal. As lateral and torsional deformations occur, the neutral axis begins to rotate due to the additional tensile and compressive strains in the convex and concave parts of the beam, respectively. Therefore, the depth of the compression and tension zones, as well as the rotation of the neutral axis can be assessed using the longitudinal strain values obtained from the strain gauges.

4.2 Method of Calculating Displacements and Rotational Angle

The testing of lateral and torsional stability of a beam includes the lateral and vertical deformations combined with a twisting rotation of the beam. Therefore, Stoddard (1997) modified the approach proposed by Zhao et al. (1994, 1995) to propose a method to calculate the lateral and vertical deflections and rotation at the centroid of the cross-section (or, shear center in the doubly-symmetry section) from the coupled deflection and rotation measurements. The method proposed by Stoddard (1997) uses two potentiometers, connected horizontally to the top and bottom of a rectangular beam, and another potentiometer, connected vertically to the bottom of the beam, as depicted in Fig. 7a.

Instead of using the lateral top and bottom potentiometers, the use of two vertical potentiometers would be easier and more useful for instrumentation and data processing. Therefore, a modified measurement method was proposed to determine the twisting rotation of the cross-section. Figure 7b shows the geometric configurations before and after deformation of the beam. The initial wire lengths of the one horizontal and two vertical potentiometers, $L_0, V_0$, and $B_0$, changes to $L_f, V_f$, and $B_f$, respectively, with the deformation and rotation of the beam. Using the Pythagorean Theorem for the two triangles, shown in Fig. 7b, the vertical and lateral deformations at the bottom of the beam, $B_f$ and $V_f$, respectively, can be calculated using the following equations:

\begin{align}
(B_o - B_f)^2 + B_f^2 &= B_f^2 \\
(V_o - V_f)^2 + B_f^2 &= V_f^2
\end{align}

Equations (1) and (2) provide two sets of solutions as follows:

\begin{equation}
(B_x, B_y) = \left( \frac{B_0 C_1 \pm V_0 C_2}{C_1}, \frac{V_0 D_1 \pm B_0 D_2}{D_3} \right)
\end{equation}

where,

\begin{align}
C_1 &= B_o^2 + V_o^2 - B_f^2 + V_f^2 \\
D_1 &= B_o^2 + V_o^2 + B_f^2 - V_f^2
\end{align}
According to Stoddard (Stoddard 1997), the sets of solutions, presented in Eq. (3), have a symmetrical relationship with each other. Therefore, one set of realistic solutions should be selected to determine the lateral and vertical displacement at the bottom of the beam, at which one horizontal and vertical potentiometer was connected. Based on the determined displacements, a twisting rotation of the beam can be calculated using the Pythagorean Theorem for another triangle composed at the left side of the bottom of the beam:

\[ C_3 = D_3 = 2(B_o^2 + V_o^2) \]  

where \( \phi \) is the rotation of the beam. Equation (8) yields two solutions, as shown in Eq. (9). Similar to the solutions obtained from Eq. (3), an appropriate solution should be selected compared to the measured values.

\[ \phi = \left( 2 \arctan \left( \sqrt{-\frac{(R_1 + E_1)(R_2 + E_1)}{(R_4 + E_1)}} \right) \right) \]  

\[ -2 \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{-(R_1 + E_1)(R_2 + E_1) + R_3}}{(R_4 + E_1)} \right) \]

where,

\[ E_1 = B_x^2 - 2B_x V_o + V_o^2 + B_f^2 - L_f^2 \]  

Fig. 7 Configurations of the potentiometers before and after deformation of the beam using a two lateral potentiometers and b two vertical potentiometers.
Subsequently, the lateral and vertical deflections at the centroid of the cross-section, \( u_c \) and \( v_c \), respectively, can be calculated using the geometric relationships between the initial and final positions of the cross-section based on the obtained rotational angle of the beam, as shown in Fig. 7.

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= -2b(L_f - B_y) \\
R_2 &= 2b(L_f + B_y) \\
R_3 &= 2b(B_y - V_o) \\
R_4 &= 2b(2B_y + 2B_x)
\end{align*}
\] (11) (12) (13) (14)

The proposed procedure and equations are based on a geometrically perfect beam. When including the effects of the initial twisting angle of the cross-section, shown in Fig. 8, Eq. (8) can be expressed as

\[
[B_x + b \cdot (\cos \phi_i - \cos \phi)]^2 + [V_o - B_y + b \cdot \sin \phi_i]^2 = L_f^2
\] (17)

Equation (17) was solved to obtain solutions similar to that of Eq. (9) with the following different terms accounting for the effects of the initial rotation:

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 &= -2b(L_f - B_y \cos \phi_i) + (\cos^2 \phi_i - 1)b^2 \\
R_2 &= 2b(L_f + B_y \cos \phi_i) + (\cos^2 \phi_i - 1)b^2 \\
R_4 &= b^2(1 + \cos \phi_i)^2 + 2bB_y(1 + \cos \phi_i)
\end{align*}
\] (18) (19) (20)

With the twisting rotation of the beam obtained from Eq. (17), the lateral and vertical deflections at the centroid of the cross-section, including the effects of the initial rotation of the beam, can be calculated using the following equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
u_c &= B_x + \frac{h}{2} \sin \phi_i + \frac{b}{2} (1 - \cos \phi_i) \\
v_c &= B_y + \frac{h}{2} (1 - \cos \phi_i) - \frac{b}{2} \sin \phi_i
\end{align*}
\] (21) (22)

On the other hand, when the direction of the initial and the final rotations of the cross-section are opposite, the final twisting angle can be obtained simply using Eq. (8) with no consideration of the initial rotational effect. Then, the lateral and vertical deflections at the centroid of the cross-section, which include the effects of the initial rotation of the beam, can be calculated using Eqs. (21) and (22).

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

5.1 Critical Loads and Displacements of the Beam

For two small-scaled I-beams, denoted as ST1 and ST2, a vertical point load was applied to the middle of the top flange of the beam. As determined previously, the length of the beam is 950 mm, and the dimension of the cross-section is shown in Fig. 1b. Figures 9 and 10 show the coupled deflections measured from the wired vertical and lateral potentiometers at the mid-span. The lateral and torsional instability behavior mainly occurred in the top flange of the beams under compression. On the other hand, the bottom flange under tension was mainly dependent on the in-plane flexure. Immediately after the lateral and torsional instability occurred, the applied load was removed to ensure the elastic or inelastic buckling of the beam. As shown in Figs. 9 and 10, the lateral and torsional buckling of the small-scaled beams occurred in the linear elastic range of the material. In fact, polycarbonate, which has advantage of linear elasticity and easy manufacturing, would be good to evaluate the influence of various factors, such as geometric imperfections, support conditions, and loading system, in the lateral and torsional behavior prior to a consideration of material nonlinearity and plasticity.

From the coupled measurements, the independent vertical and lateral deflections of the beam were calculated. Figures 11 and 12 show the rotational angle of the beam.
and the lateral and vertical displacements at the centroid of the cross-section, respectively. The critical load of the beam, $P_{\text{exp}}^{\text{cr}}$, at which the lateral and torsional instability occurred and the lateral and torsional deformations significantly increased, was determined to be approximately 473 N and 451 N for the ST 1 and ST 2 specimens, respectively. The lateral displacement and rotational angle of the beam at the centroid of the cross-section at the critical condition were approximately 3.86 mm and 0.0639 radians, respectively, for the ST 1 and 3.13 mm and 0.0616 radians, respectively for the ST 2.

The analytical critical moment of the I-beam under pure bending conditions, including the effect of the in-plane deflection, can be calculated as (Kirby and Nethercot 1997; Chen and Lui 1987)

$$M_{\text{ocr}} = \frac{\pi}{L} \sqrt{\frac{EI_y GJ}{1 - (I_y/I_x)} \sqrt{1 + W^2}}$$

(23)

where $W = \frac{pL}{Ec}$. Because the proposed experimental method prevents the beam from warping, the critical moment calculated from Eq. (23) needs to modify the support and loading conditions using the following correction factor (Nethercot and Rockey 1971):

$$C_r = \frac{1.916 + 1.851 - 0.424W^2}{1 + 0.923 - 0.466W^2}$$

(24)

Therefore, the critical moment of the beam is calculated to be 100 kN mm, and the critical vertical load, $P_{\text{exp}}^{\text{cr}}$, is determined to be 421 N. The modulus of elasticity of polycarbonate ranged from approximately 1100 to 1300 N/mm². In this study, an average of 1200 N/mm² was used as the modulus of elasticity for the analytical calculation.
Compared with the critical loads obtained from the analytical equations, those obtained from the experiment was 12 and 7% larger than that from the analytical procedure for the ST 1 and ST 2 specimens, respectively. The results from the proposed experimental method were reasonably in agreement with the analytical results. Slight difference might be attributed to some frictional resistance at the contact surface of the lateral support and the side surface of the top and bottom flanges or some errors in the value of the modulus of elasticity of polycarbonate.

In addition, DIANA finite element program (DIANA 2016) was employed to compare the lateral behavior and critical load of the beam. The geometry of the beam was modeled using shell elements. To minimize the warping effect of the cross-section, beam elements with the material properties of steel were attached to the section of the beam at the both ends. The critical load obtained from the eigenvalue analysis, called as stability analysis in DIANA, was 432 N similar to that calculated using the analytical Eqs. (23) and (24). Furthermore, nonlinear analysis accounting for the geometric nonlinear effects (or large deformation) was also performed. The initially imperfect geometry was defined to be the first mode shape, obtained from the eigenvalue analysis, with a maximum lateral displacement of 1 mm at the mid-span. Figure 13 shows the contours of the lateral displacement of the beam at the vertical load of 393 N, at which the lateral and torsional instability occurred. The critical load obtained from the nonlinear finite element analysis (FEA) exhibited a somewhat small value, approximately 9 and 7% smaller than those of the eigenvalue analysis and analytical equations, respectively. The vertical and lateral displacements, shown in Fig. 12, were reasonably in agreement with the experimental results until the lateral and torsional instability occurred. The critical load of the experiment was approximately 17 and 13% larger than that of the nonlinear analysis for the ST1 and ST2 specimens, respectively. As mentioned previously, some difference might be caused by unexpected frictional resistance at the contact surface of the lateral support or at the location of loading.

5.2 Strains and Neutral Axis of the Beam

Figures 14 and 15 show variations in the longitudinal strains measured from the strain gauges for the ST1 and ST2 specimens. Since the strain gauges attached on the left side of the top flange malfunctioned during the test, the strain values at the upper part of the web (three-fourth of the height of the web), denoted as web-upper in Figs. 14 and 15, were used to compare the variations in the strains at the left and right sides (concave and convex sides, respectively) of the beam. As the load increases, the bottom flange under tension and the top flange and web-upper part under compression show an approximately linear increase in the positive and negative strains, respectively. When the load reached the lateral and torsional instability, the lateral deformation significantly increased to the right side of the beam, in which an initial lateral deformation in the beam occurred. That is, the beams were bent to the right side of the beam in the lateral direction, which formed a concave and convex in the right and left sides of the beam, respectively. Therefore, the strains in the left (concave) side of the beam turned to increase compression while those in the right (convex) side turned to increase tension, as shown in Figs. 14 and 15. When the load was completely removed, all the strains returned to an initial point with no plastic strains. Therefore, as mentioned
previously, the lateral and torsional instability of the beams occurred in the linear elastic range of the material.

In addition, this study examined the distribution of strains through the depth of the beam at the mid-span. Figure 16 shows the strain distribution of the ST1 and ST2 beams at the critical condition of the lateral and torsional stability. The strains at the top flange in the left (concave) side of the beam, which were not measured due to the malfunction of the strain gauges, were predicted using a liner regression analysis using the strains measured at the web and bottom flanges. As shown in Fig. 16, the measured strains are almost linearly distributed along the depth of the cross-section at both the left and right (concave and convex, respectively) sides of the cross-section. Therefore, the strains at the top flange predicted using the three strains—two strains measured at the web and one strain at the bottom flange—are expected to reasonably exhibit the actual behavior of the beam. The strains in the top and bottom flanges were under compression and tension, respectively, induced by the in-plane flexure. The tensile strains in the bottom flange were similar between the left and right sides of the section. On the other hand, the compressive strains in the right (convex) side, which decreased due to the out-of-plane flexure, were significantly smaller than those in the left (concave) side. Therefore, the bottom flange in tension was strongly dependent on the in-plane flexure, and the top flange in compression generated the lateral and torsional buckling, which decreased the compression in the right (convex) side of the section. The decrease in the compressive strains at the right (convex) side indicates that the neutral axis moves from the centroid of the cross-section to the top flange. Figure 17 illustrates the neutral axis and the corresponding compression zones of the ST1 and ST2 beams, determined from the strain profiles along the depth of the beam at the critical load. With the compression decreasing in the right (convex) side, the neutral axis moves up to the top flange, as shown in Fig. 17.

6. Conclusions

Lateral and torsional stability is the main issue for the safety of structures from the design and construction stages in the field of engineering. On the other hand, tests of the lateral and torsional stability are highly susceptible to the changes in the experimental conditions, such as loading and support conditions. Therefore, the lateral and torsional stability experiment, particularly using a full-size beam, requires careful consideration but is difficult to control all
these experimental conditions. That is, the slight deviation from the designated conditions could completely change the experimental results. Moreover, the lateral and vertical deformation measurements are coupled with a twisting angle of the beam. Therefore, in this study, an experimental and measurement method that can implement the experimental conditions using a small-scale model was proposed. The conclusions and recommendation proposed by this study are as follows:

- The lateral and torsional stability experiment should achieve the in-plane and out-of-plane support conditions. In this study, the simply supported condition in the longitudinal direction of the beam was implemented using a roller that restrains the vertical and lateral translations but allows in-plane flexure of the beam. The lateral support conditions, which should restrain lateral translation and twisting rotation but allow flexural rotations about the strong and weak axes of the cross-section, were provided using a ball caster and circular rod support.

- This study also provides a vertical loading system based on the roller mechanism for easy instrumentation and handling. The loading transfer system was designed to free the lateral deformation using a semicircle notch along the width of the beam and the torsional rotation with the help of the ball-and-socket joint. The proposed method has the advantage of maintaining its initially vertical and concentric position throughout the course of loading, even when the beam undergoes coupled deformation and rotation.

- The lateral and vertical deflections, combined with a twisting rotation of the beam, cannot be obtained directly from the measurement values of the potentiometers. Thus, a modified measurement method using two vertical potentiometers, which would be easier and more useful for instrumentation and data processing, was presented to determine the lateral and vertical deflections and rotation from the coupled measurements.

- For small-scaled I-beams, the critical loads obtained from the proposed experimental method were in good agreement with those calculated using the analytical equations. The strains in the top and bottom flanges, which were under compression and tension, respectively, were induced by the in-plane flexure. On the other hand, the compressive strains in the convex side of the beam decreased due to the out-of-plane flexure. Therefore, the neutral axis in the concave side moves from the centroid of the cross-section up to the top flange. The proposed experimental method, validated in the linear and elastic range of material, could be further applied to the lateral and torsional stability testing of flexural members accounting for various parameters, such as material nonlinearity, shapes and sizes of geometry, and boundary conditions.
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