A SURVEY OF MULTISPECTRAL IMAGE DENOISING METHODS FOR SATELLITE IMAGERY APPLICATIONS
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ABSTRACT

In comparison with the standard RGB or gray-scale images, the usual multispectral images (MSI) are intended to convey high definition and an authentic representation for real world scenes to significantly enhance the performance measures of several other tasks involving with computer vision, segmentation of image, object extraction, and object tagging operations. While procuring images form satellite, the MSI are often prone to noises. Finding a good mathematical description of the learning-based denoising model is a difficult research question and many different researches accounted in the literature. Many have attempted its use with the application of neural network as a sparse learned dictionary of noisy patches. Furthermore, this approach allows several algorithm to optimize itself for the given task at hand using machine learning algorithm. However, in practices, a MSI image is always prone to corruption by various sources of noises while procuring the images. In this survey, we studied the past techniques attempted for the noise influenced MSI images. The survey presents the outline of past techniques and their respective advantages in comparison with each other.
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PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

The multispectral images (MSI) become ubiquitous for research advances where the scientists or professionals involving in areas like that of remote sensing, astrophotography, and geotracking activities. Equipment mainly employed for MSI imaging consists of a wide array of sensors with specific band area of light illumination. Since, such devices involve physical measurements, the recorded images get influenced or suffered from the noises or noisy signals arise during the measurement of the reflectance signals. Thus, representing the problem of noisy signals get assimilated with the non-noisy actual signal present during the recording of the imagery data can be mathematically represented in native linear algebraic form as:

\[ y = x + n \]

Where \( x \) is the actual signal, \( n \) is the additive noise in pixel-wise form, and \( y \) is the recorded imagery data in pixel-wise manner at hand.

However, in the past, there are several algorithms that have been proposed to resolve the image denoising of multispectral images. This technique tends to find the approximate estimate of the noisy free signals from the recorded noisy image. Many such attempts work under a general assumption, thus leading the process to a pavement involving heavy chunk of processing with the additional requisite to manually employ thresholding techniques for denoising, which indeed is a daunting task. Further, this eliminates the mandatory elimination of some of the essential data in sacrifice to extract the noise free signal. The goal of this study is to give a survey of attempted denoising algorithm for the non-automated and automated denoising method of the MSI effectively with that of the past attempted methods cited below.

INTRODUCTION

MSI is steadily growing in popularity as a digital means for remote sensing, detection of thermal signature, and terrain analysis. It is commonly used as a feasible substitute for mapping applications when standard mapping and geodesy products are outdated or inadequate [1-5]. Thus, in the study, we summarize several denoising algorithm for the scenario of multispectral image denoising using several statistical and learning-based techniques, for which its performances are measured based on the two factors such as its computational workload and the denoised output image which readily aid the users in the process to use the well-known algorithms for detection, segmentation, and classification [6-10]. The study tends to give a very good division of the coefficients in terms of magnitude and three-phase angles to generalize better the concept of analytic signal to image promises an easy transformation for the analysis and processing of MSI with strong structural information [11,12]. Furthermore, we have also discussed the property of multispectral images such as shift invariant and directivity. The multispectral database is used for MSI image during the study [13,14].

We have investigated the prior denoising methods attempted with recurrent neural networks (RNN) which was earlier proposed by Bengio [15,16]. This RNN approach had proved its exceptionality in various other scenarios of modeling of sequential data. The investigation of Goodman shows that the model is highly successful when a grouped model is considered that that of the single one while including the class-based model [17]. In later, studies of Schwenk have showed in his studies that the RNN-based models give desirable improvements in context of recognition systems like that of speech recognition [18]. Since, the algorithm on DPCA proves quite beneficial; thus, we implemented it with RNN to increase its effectiveness [19].

TAXONOMY OF IMAGE DENOISING METHODS

The following enlisted methods are principally implemented and widely used for various denoising applications of multispectral images.

1. General filtering techniques, which is based on general arguments and parameterization of the recorded signal.
2. Techniques based on global statistics of the image.
3. Techniques employing only internal image statistics.
4. Techniques which are based on general arguments about the recorded signal yet restricted to the internal statistics of the image.

As noted from the above methods, each of the techniques are the refinement of one or the other and few are even used in combination
to implement over the specialized cases. Thus, in this scenario of
denoising, the performance of the approach will improve when it is
implemented with one or constrained over a chain of assumptions for
the given multispectral imaging data, which indeed hold true; however,
simultaneously, it also gives rise to a certain problem of making too
many strong assumptions, therefore causing constriction or narrowing
a solution too much at the level where the denoising involve the
reduction of noisy signals hampering the actual signal in association.
Consequently, leading to a decreased performance and increasing
the computational cost of denoising. In such statistical methods,
the variance versus the bias tradeoff is a common erupting problem.
However, the most popular algorithm which has achieved a state of
the art results mostly relied on the internal statistic of the image [20].
Hence, we note that the problem of biasing the estimation is not very
big. In the following subsection, each of the method is discussed.

Filtering
The filtering-based approach for image denoising heavily relies of
general arguments which vary drastically as it either holds for all sorts
of MSI or in other cases even for the digital signals also. For illustration,
this technique holds the assumption that the pixel values will not
change form one pixel to another and thereby the neighboring pixels
can be thought as similar samples to the pixels that is in the current
iteration to get denoised. Algorithms for this technique include:
• Mean filtering
• Median filtering
• Bilateral filtering [21]
• Anisotropic diffusion [22].

Such methods does not require any sort of preprocessing technique
for performing computational operation of denoising and thus speed
up the whole process in general. Although due to its generality, the
obtained results from such techniques are inferior to the task specific
algorithms.

Algorithms applying global image statistics
There are also some methods which rely on global statistics of the
image which also makes some general assumptions about the images.
Therefore, in such types of denoising algorithm, at least some part of
computation are done or the training of denoising pixels are achieved
to extract the general statistics of the image. For illustration, there could
have the requirement for a dictionary of clean imagery patches, and
thus, the function for neighboring pixels is based on Markov random
fields.

The algorithms which are based on or should we say which exploits
the statistics of the natural image, i.e., its scarcity of pixels in Fourier
space or on the basis of wavelet give empirically better performance
since such empirical studies of the MSI require to perform computation
prerequisite of formulating the denoised pattern in this approach. The
methods of this approach include:
• Wavelet dictionary-based method which relies on decomposition
  based methods, such as [23,24]
• Learned filter-based methods [25,26].

Since in this approach, the output is already pushed toward the realistic
model of the imagery data. Thus, it performs better than the previously
discussed general filtering techniques with low computational time
since the global statistics requires to be computed only once.

Algorithms applying internal statistics
This method heavily relies on the statistics found in the image itself.
The algorithms compute on the basis of per patches which includes the
classes of non-local means [27]. BM3D algorithm is one of the state of
the art methods used for the application.

Its other common features include the characteristics of self-
similarity with other sorts of natural or multispectral images, where
some may argue that it is the very general idea for signal processing.
Furthermore, the BM3D algorithm is applicable for wavelet coefficient
shrinking of the imagery patches which is based on general statistics of
the MSI. As the method works on internal statistics of the image, thus,
the algorithm perform its denoising operation only over the noisy
patches in the imagery data. This attempt has proven its supremacy
over the other denoising approaches as it has advantage of performing
no extramagie statistics during the runtime of the algorithm. Although
it has longer duration of runtimes to perform local evaluation on noisy
patches.

These methods have been shown to work among the best in practice
and have the additional advantage that no extramagie statistics are
required during runtime. However, these methods tend to have long
run times since a lot of local evaluations in input images have to be
performed.

Combining external and internal image statistics
In this category of denoising algorithms, the attempts have been made
to compositely use the above two methods in combination with each
other which comprise both internal and external statistics of the image,
thus outperforming its predecessors. This has been shown in some of
the few previous studies to achieve comparable results over the large
number of patches related with the task of MSI denoising with super
resolution [28,29].

Image denoising for other types of noise
Additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) is mostly considered in
the literature of image denoising though there are also other types of
noises which are also studied in our work. Although there are other types of
noises which are studied in our work. Therefore, it is required for an
effective algorithm that to be capable enough to denoise such various
types of noises effectively in varying conditions.

Mixed poisson-gaussian noise
As we already have discussed above that the number of photons
emitting from the light source is non-deterministic, but in fact
distributed according to the passion distribution which in turn is
proportional to the intensity of the illuminating source. Thus, in
this case, the value of the signal is expected to be increased which is
divided by its standard deviation, and thus, the signal to noise ratio will
increase as the parameters of the poison distribution increases. Thus,
in the well-illuminated environment, the source of noise introduction
is the random arrival of photons and the AWGN noise dominated the
deterioration of the actual signal. However, in a setting involves with the
poor lighting environment, the significant amount of noise introduction
to the actual signal is contributed by the stochastic nature of the signal
itself, where a mixed Poisson-Gaussian distribution of noise model is
taken in account upon which several denoising algorithms had been
built [30,31]. There are also other approaches which apply suitable
transformations to reduce the problem of regular AWGN noise [32].

Impulse noise
This mode of noise signals comes in various forms as they all
fundamentally share different sorts of assumption regarding degradation
of the actual signals as when compared to the general additive noises.
In this type of noises due to the transmission pipeline or faults in the
hardware of recording device, the certain percentage of pixels are
completely left as void of any signal. Such noises are also categorized
into salt-pepper noise and stripe noise, wherein the corrupted pixels
are fixed to a specific value as these type of noises are completely
different from additive noises. Therefore, a completely whole different
set of approach is accompanied for the denoising process [33-36]. The
trouble with this type of noises in denoising process involves with the
problem that any type of filter will not work with this type of noises as the
location of noisy pixel is left unknown and cannot be excluded from mean
thresholding value. Hence, the algorithm prepared for such denoising is
based on median filtration model with vital aspect of edge detection and
corruption of the pixel. Since the recording instruments for the imagery


signals will be influenced by Bernoulli distribution. Hence, the maximum and the minimum signals are limited to it venture. Thereby, giving surety of existing of such noises by the recording instruments.

**Learning-based denoising algorithm**

It is clear that there requires a certain assumption associated with the processing of the prior signal information which must be used to enable the denoising algorithm for effective operation with the prior supplement of the knowledge into it. Now, for the achievement of the same, there is prior statistical information that can be prefetched to the denoising algorithm using the variety of ways developed using the combination of both statistical information and machine learning algorithm.

Here, the use of the term prior information can be of different meaning based on its usage type. At once, it can refer to the scarcity of the wavelet coefficients and at other, it refers to the probability-based distribution for certain pixel value combination of small imagery patches. This type of approach can be used in multitude of image restoration tasks and poses a likelihood for better results.

Although finding a good mathematical description of the learning-based denoising model is a difficult research question and many different research accounted it in the literature [25,37,38]. Many have attempted its use with the application of neural network as a sparse-learned dictionary of noisy patches [24,26,39]. Furthermore, this approach allows several algorithm to optimize itself for the given task at hand using machine learning algorithm. Thus, same model can be used for solving different task and in different conditions [40,41]. Thats the reason why more of the studies are based on developing an automated algorithm for multispectral denoising using RNN.

**RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS**

To comprehend the importance of learning-based image, denoising technique that we have portrayed in this study is to automate the denoising mechanism which involves varying parameter shift for each and different MSI reordered under different environments. In several cases, it is an enlightening fact that the signal processing for imagery signals usually involves users with an access to the various tools and denoising algorithms with the huge amount of multispectral information; where for denoising, it is dependent on assumption of parameter shift about the strength of noise in the input data for a general model. However, for quality management of the error rectified multispectral digital imagery signals, there involves four sources of noise, such as:

1. The prime source of noise signal is the property of light itself, i.e., in other words, the input data recorded by the array of sensors are dependent on the number of photon-emitted from an object with respect to the time, which itself is non-deterministic. Hence, causing a proportional ambiguity in changes with brightness value of each pixel position. This type of noise is also termed as AWGN.

2. The second source is the recording instrument which usually comprises the manufacturing defects and hence influencing or directly dictating the imperfection to be introduced the measurement.

3. Third, the noise generated from thermal sources adds positive contribution to the actual imagery signal, which violates the zero mean approximation assumption by the AWGN model.

4. Further, it is impossible to have a same standard deviation for all the pixels in the recorded digital imagery signal which violates the assumption of a signal recorded in suitable environment will be independent of noisiness. Since such defects are well known in the field as hot pixels and dead pixels and is a defect of the recording instrument which is almost impossible to get rid of. Furthermore, the properties of the material involved in manufacturing of the components of the recording instrument get wear down to affect the purity of the signal.

Thus, in the present study, we have presented an effective comprehensive study of the previous literatures in this context of MSI denoising for various application. However, this studies lack the unsupervised learning approach to completely automate the denoising process for multiband or multispectral images, while ensuring the fact that the computational complexity of the process is kept nominal. We hope our study will aid other researchers to omnipresent look and compare their methods with the several past methods. It will simultaneously help them in finding the advantages of the previous studies and also give an ample study and opportunity to eliminate the disadvantages posed previously and subsequently to finally improve it in their denoising framework.
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