Gender Differences in COVID-19 Lockdown Impact on Mental Health of Undergraduate Students
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Background: Prolonged university closures and social distancing-imposed measures due to the COVID-19 pandemic obliged students to at-home learning with online lectures and educational programs promoting potential social isolation, loneliness, hopelessness, and episodes of clinical decompensation.

Methods: A web-based cross-sectional survey was carried out in a university institute in Milan, Northern Italy, to assess the COVID-19 lockdown impact on the mental health of the undergraduate students. We estimated the odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) using adjusted logistic regression models.

Results: Of the 8,177 students, 12.8% reported depressive symptoms, 25.6% anxiety, 8.7% insomnia, and 10.6% reported impulsive tracts, with higher proportions among females than males. Mental health symptoms were positively associated with caring for a person at home, a poor housing quality, and a worsening in working performance. Among males compared with females, a poor housing quality showed a stronger positive association with depressive symptoms and impulsivity, and a worsening in the working performance was positively associated with depressive and anxiety symptoms. In addition, the absence of private space was positively associated with depression and anxiety, stronger among males than females.

Conclusions: To our knowledge, this is the first multidisciplinary consortium study, involving public mental health, environmental health, and architectural design. Further studies are needed to confirm or refute our findings and consequent recommendations to implement well-being interventions in pandemic conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Italy holds one of the highest COVID-19 clinical burden worldwide and the Lombardy region—one of the richest and most productive area in the whole of Europe—was among the first hit in Europe and, within Italy, accounts for >50% of all COVID-19 deaths (1). Lockdown measures have been adopted by the Italian government in order to help curb the pandemic, including, by March 5, school and university closures (2). The life of millions of Italians suddenly changed, and lifestyle habits have been substantially modified (3), with anticipated short-term consequences on physical and mental health (4, 5).

University education is a crucial period in a transitional age, between adolescence and adulthood, because of the higher distress that students are exposed to compared with the general population (6). Emancipation, financial self-sufficiency, career choices, and intimate and friendship relationships are just some of the challenges that undergraduate students are faced with.

These years coincide with the peak period of risk for the onset of mental disorders since ~75% of all lifetime mental disorders have their onset prior to the age of 24 (7). In particular, mental disorders during this period can be associated with negative effects on the development of young people, including worsening academic performance, dropout from university, and long-term negative impact on later adult labor market functioning, relationship functioning, and health (8, 9).

Psychological response following exposure to stressful events is extremely heterogeneous. People can show a high degree of resilience and quickly return to normal lives or develop different kinds and degrees of psychiatric symptoms. Males and females present different reactions to stress, different ways to manage stress, and to perceive their ability to do so. Findings from the literature suggest that while females are more likely to report physical symptoms associated with stress, they better connect with others in their lives, and at times, these connections are important to their stress management strategies (10).

In the COVID-19 lockdown context, prolonged university closures and social distancing-imposed measures obliged students to at-home learning with online lectures and educational programs promoting potential social isolation, loneliness, hopelessness, and episodes of clinical decompensation (11). For over 2 months of stay-at-home orders, houses became the only place where students slept, ate, studied, practiced sports, and socialized.

To the best of our knowledge, original studies investigating mental health consequences of COVID-19 lockdown on Italian undergraduate students are still scant and conducted on small samples (12, 13). We aimed to evaluate the impact of COVID-19 mandatory confinement on the mental health of the Italian undergraduate student population with particular regard to gender differences and housing quality.

METHODS

Survey Sample

We used data from a large web-based cross-sectional survey conducted in the Lombardy region to assess the mental health impact of the first wave of COVID-19 mass quarantine restrictions. Details were described elsewhere (14). In brief, a web-based survey questionnaire was sent by mail from April 1, 2020 to May 1, 2020 to all personnel from Politecnico di Milano, a scientific–technological university institute in Milan, Lombardy Region, Italy. The total sample (∑N = 9,261) consisted of undergraduate students, PhD students, teaching staff, and administrative personnel, aged ≥ 18 years old. The survey was anonymous, and confidentiality of information was assured. A written consent was given to all individuals before participating in the questionnaire/study. Participants were allowed to terminate the survey at any time they desired and no monetary rewards were given for completing the questionnaire.

We restricted our study on the subsample of 8,177 students, to avoid recruitment bias and yield a homogeneous group, separately among males (n = 4,095) and females (n = 4,082).

Questionnaire

The questionnaire, filled in through a free Google Forms platform, consisted of three main sections. The first one investigated general characteristics of participants, including gender, current age, marital status, education level, and subjective impact of the mandatory confinement on working performance. The second section consisted of the administration of some evaluation scales of the mental health status, designed to recognize depressive and anxiety symptoms, insomnia, and impulsivity traits. The third section investigated the physical and architectural housing characteristics.

Study Outcomes

We derived the outcomes of the study from four evaluation scales designed to recognize depressive and anxiety symptoms, insomnia, and impulsivity traits. We used the following cutoffs to obtain binary outcomes:

1. For the nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (15), we considered the cutoff for depressive symptoms at ≥15 (moderate and severe depressive symptoms);
2. For the seven-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) (16), we considered the cutoff for anxiety symptoms at ≥10 (moderate and severe anxiety symptoms);
3. For the seven-item Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) (17), we considered the cutoff for insomnia at ≥15 (moderate and severe);
4. For the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11 (BIS-11) (18), we considered the cutoff for trait impulsivity at ≥70, and below or above the highest quartile for the three impulsivity components (i.e., attentional, motor, and non-planning).

Exposure Factors

In line with previous studies in the field of Environmental Psychology and Evidence-Based Design (19, 20), we considered as possible associated factors to mental health some selected physical and architectural housing characteristics, including the apartment dimension (in terms of net square meters), the presence/absence of a livable outdoor space (balcony or garden), the view typology (green or buildings), and a score to define the quality of the indoor space. The score was obtained by
a set of seven parameters: natural lighting, acoustic comfort, thermohygrometric comfort, need for artificial lighting during the day, presence/absence of soft qualities in the living area, such as art objects or greenery/plants, and presence/absence of privacy. Then, we considered three categories of the quality of the indoor area as high (6–7 satisfied parameters), medium (4–5 satisfied parameters), or poor (0–3 satisfied parameters). Finally, we considered as potential factors associated to mental outcomes as caring for a person at home during the confinement and the subjective impact of the mandatory confinement in terms of worsening in working performance.

**Statistical Analysis**

The analyses were conducted separately by sex. Proportions of the mental health outcomes between males and females were compared using the chi-square test. As the main analysis, we estimated odds ratios (OR) of reporting mental health symptoms, and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI), using adjusted logistic regression models. The models included age and the variables that showed a \( p \)-value < 0.25 in the multivariable models as dependent variables, i.e., caring for a person at home (no/yes), apartment dimension (>100 mq, 81–100 mq, and <80 mq), the quality indoor score (high, medium, and poor), and a worsening in working performance (none/little and much/very much) (21).

As a secondary analysis, we estimated the associations between each outcome and selected components of the indoor quality score, in order to explore which of them were more linked to mental health symptoms.

We verified the heterogeneity among strata of sex using the Cochran’s Q test statistic (22). We carried out the aforementioned statistical analyses with the SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), and the software R version 3.4.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS**

A total of 8,177 students completed the survey, and the overall response rate (ORR) was around 31.5%. Of the 8,177 students, 12.8% reported depressive symptoms, 25.6% anxiety, 8.7% insomnia, and 10.6% reported impulsive tracts. These proportions were higher among the females than among males, with 15.4% of females reporting depressive symptoms, 33% anxiety, 9.5% insomnia, and 11.4% impulsivity (Table 1).

| TABLE 1 | Distribution of 8,177 students according to the mental health outcomes and sex. |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | Total | Males | Females | p-value* |
|          | \( N = 8,177 \) | \( N = 4,095 \) | \( N = 4,082 \) |           |
| Patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) | | | | |
| <15     | 7,127 | 87.2  | 3,674  | 89.7  | 3,453  | 84.6  | <0.001 |
| ≥15     | 1,050 | 12.8  | 421    | 10.3  | 629    | 15.4  |           |
| General anxiety disorder (GAD-7) | | | | |
| <10     | 6,080 | 74.4  | 3,345  | 81.7  | 2,735  | 67.0  | <0.001 |
| ≥10     | 2,097 | 25.6  | 750    | 18.3  | 1,347  | 33.0  |           |
| Insomnia severity index (ISI) | | | | |
| <15     | 7,466 | 91.3  | 3,773  | 92.1  | 3,693  | 90.5  | 0.01    |
| ≥15     | 711   | 8.7   | 322    | 7.9   | 389    | 9.5   |           |
| Barratt impulsiveness scale (BIS-11) | | | | |
| <70     | 7,307 | 89.4  | 3,691  | 90.1  | 3,616  | 88.6  | 0.02    |
| ≥70     | 870   | 10.6  | 404    | 9.9   | 466    | 11.4  |           |
| Barratt impulsiveness scale: attentional | | | | |
| <I quartile (8–12) | 1,229 | 15.0  | 670    | 16.4  | 559    | 13.7  |           |
| I–III quartile (13–17) | 4,598 | 56.2  | 2,333  | 57.0  | 2,265  | 55.5  | <0.001 |
| >III quartile (18–30) | 2,350 | 28.7  | 1,092  | 26.7  | 1,258  | 30.8  |           |
| Barratt impulsiveness scale: motor | | | | |
| <I quartile (11–16) | 1,777 | 21.7  | 798    | 19.5  | 979    | 24.0  |           |
| I–III quartile (17–20) | 3,642 | 44.5  | 1,863  | 45.3  | 1,789  | 43.8  | <0.001 |
| >III quartile (21–26) | 2,758 | 33.7  | 1,444  | 35.3  | 1,314  | 32.2  |           |
| Barratt impulsiveness scale: non-planning | | | | |
| <I quartile (11–19) | 1,553 | 19.0  | 808    | 19.7  | 745    | 18.3  |           |
| I–III quartile (20–25) | 4,005 | 49.0  | 2,015  | 49.2  | 1,990  | 48.8  | 0.09    |
| >III quartile (26–40) | 2,619 | 32.0  | 1,272  | 31.1  | 1,347  | 33.0  |           |

*Chi-squared test \( p \leq 0.05 \) identifies statistically significant differences between males and females.
### Table 2: Odds ratios* (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) for symptoms of depression [nine-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) ≥ 15], anxiety [seven-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) ≥ 10], insomnia [Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) ≥ 15], and impulsivity [Barratt Impulsiveness Scale-11 (BIS-11) ≥ 70] according to selected factors, in males and females, separately.

| Factor                                | Total N | PHQ-9 (≥15 vs. <15) | GAD-7 (≥10 vs. <10) | ISI (≥15 vs. <15) | BIS-11 (≥70 vs. <70) |
|----------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|                                        |         | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Caring for a person at home            |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| No                                     | 6,986   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| Yes                                    | 1,191   | 1.75  | (1.32–2.31) | 1.28 | (1.01–1.63) | 1.43 | (1.14–1.79) | 1.49 | (1.24–1.79) | 2.12 | (1.59–2.83) | 1.19 | (0.90–1.56) | 1.38 | (1.04–1.82) | 0.96 | (0.73–1.26) |
| Apartment dimension (mq)               |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| >100                                   | 4,860   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| 81–100                                 | 1,787   | 1.09  | (0.83–1.43) | 0.86 | (0.68–1.08) | 1.08 | (0.88–1.33) | 0.89 | (0.75–1.07) | 1.30 | (0.98–1.73) | 0.76 | (0.57–1.02) | 1.07 | (0.83–1.39) | 0.84 | (0.64–1.09) |
| ≤80                                    | 1,530   | 1.31  | (1.00–1.75) | 1.23 | (0.98–1.55) | 1.18 | (0.94–1.47) | 1.17 | (0.98–1.41) | 1.16 | (0.85–1.58) | 1.05 | (0.8–1.38) | 1.02 | (0.77–1.35) | 1.27 | (0.99–1.63) |
| Balcony livable                        |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| Yes                                    | 5,964   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| No                                     | 2,213   | 1.05  | (0.83–1.33) | 1.24 | (1.02–1.52) | 0.94 | (0.78–1.14) | 1.15 | (0.98–1.35) | 1.09 | (0.84–1.41) | 1.26 | (0.99–1.6) | 1.33 | (1.06–1.68) | 1.08 | (0.86–1.35) |
| View from apartment                    |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| Green                                  | 3,304   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| Buildings                              | 4,873   | 1.16  | (0.92–1.46) | 1.03 | (0.85–1.24) | 0.97 | (0.82–1.16) | 0.90 | (0.78–1.04) | 1.25 | (0.97–1.61) | 1.01 | (0.81–1.26) | 1.07 | (0.86–1.33) | 1.01 | (0.82–1.24) |
| Quality indoor score                   |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| High                                   | 3,335   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| Medium                                 | 3,560   | 2.09  | (1.56–2.80) | 1.37 | (1.11–1.70) | 1.52 | (1.24–1.86) | 1.45 | (1.24–1.69) | 1.36 | (1.01–1.83) | 1.57 | (1.22–2.02) | 1.18 | (0.92–1.51) | 0.99 | (0.79–1.23) |
| Poor                                   | 1,282   | 4.75  | (3.44–6.57) | 2.62 | (2.04–3.37) | 3.08 | (2.42–3.93) | 2.25 | (1.83–2.76) | 3.05 | (2.19–4.26) | 2.01 | (1.47–2.75) | 2.25 | (1.67–3.03) | 1.40 | (1.06–1.86) |
| Worsening in working performance       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |       |         |
| None/little                            | 5,532   | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       | 1     | 1       |
| Much/very much                        | 2,645   | 5.57  | (4.42–7.01) | 3.53 | (2.94–4.24) | 3.06 | (2.58–3.62) | 2.29 | (1.98–2.63) | 2.92 | (2.29–3.71) | 2.11 | (1.7–2.63) | 1.93 | (1.56–2.39) | 1.72 | (1.40–2.10) |

*Estimates obtained from multivariable logistic regression models, adjusted for age at interview, caring for a person at home, apartment dimension (mq), worsening in working performance, and quality indoor score. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.
Considering the three impulsivity components, the attentional one was more frequently reported among females, while the motor one was more frequently reported among males. Non-planning impulsivity was similarly reported among males and females.

Table 2 shows the associations between selected exposures and mental health symptoms. Caring for a person at home was positively associated to all the studied outcomes among both sexes, except for impulsivity among females. In addition, caring for a person at home had a stronger association to insomnia among males (OR 2.12, 95% CI: 1.59–2.83) compared with females (OR 1.19, 95% CI: 0.90–1.58). Similarly, a poor housing quality was positively associated to all symptoms, with stronger associations among males compared with females for depressive symptoms (OR 4.75, 95% CI: 3.44–6.57 vs. 2.62, 95% CI: 2.04–3.37) and impulsivity (OR 2.25, 95% CI: 1.67–3.03 vs. 1.40, 95% CI: 1.06–1.86). Finally, a worsening in working performance showed positive associations with all symptoms among both sexes, with stronger associations among males than females for depressive and anxiety symptoms. Table 3 shows the association between the quality indoor score and the three impulsivity components. Compared with high-quality score, a poor-quality indoor score was positively associated to attentional and non-planning impulsivity traits, similarly in both sexes (Table 3). These associations were higher among the males than females (with a significant difference for the medium-quality score). No associations emerged with the motor impulsivity.

Figure 1 shows the associations between three selected components of the indoor quality score (i.e., absence of natural lighting, acoustic discomfort, and absence of private space) and the four mental health outcomes. The absence of a private space at home was the architectural parameter mainly associated to mental health symptoms, with the strongest positive associations among males for depressive symptoms (OR 1.91, 95% CI: 1.46–2.50) and anxiety (OR 1.83, 95% CI: 1.46–2.30). Among females, the strongest positive associations emerged with insomnia (OR 1.43, 95% CI: 1.08–1.91) and impulsivity (OR 1.43, 95% CI: 1.09–1.89). The differences between males and females were statistically significant for the PHQ-9 outcome (p for heterogeneity = 0.007) and borderline for the GAD-7 (p = 0.051). Finally, the absence of natural lighting and acoustic discomfort showed positive associations with the studied outcomes, with the strongest ones between the absence of natural lighting and depression, and between acoustic discomfort and anxiety among females. However, these associations were not statistically different with those among males.

DISCUSSION

The mental health impact of the COVID-19 mandatory confinement on undergraduate Italian students was worst among females than males. Mental health symptoms were positively associated to caring for a person at home, living in a poor housing quality, and a worsening in working performance. Male students who were caring for a person at home during the confinement reported more frequent insomnia than females. Similarly, males who lived in a poor housing quality reported more frequent depressive symptoms and impulsivity than females, as well as males who declared a worsening in working performance reported more frequent depressive and anxiety symptoms than females. In addition, a poor housing quality was associated also to attentional and non-planning impulsivity, and males who lived in the absence of a private space reported more frequently depression and anxiety than females.

Our findings should be interpreted in light of the pervasive impact that the national lockdown adopted to contain the spread of the infection may have had on physical and mental health. The result that females compared with males, among undergraduate students, worsened their mental health status more, is in line with current national and international COVID-19 literature that estimates a greater risk in females than males in developing depression, anxiety, paranoid ideations, post-traumatic stress symptoms, sleep disorders, and a worsening in the interpersonal sensitivity dimension (13, 23–26).

Caring for a person at home can be a source of emotional distress, especially for the youth (27). As reported by our findings, in the time of the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions, being forced to stay together and sharing the same living space for many weeks/months resulted in a worsening of the burden of the caregiver with a higher prevalence of sleep disturbance in males than in females.

Depressive and anxiety symptoms were more likely observed in undergraduate males who reported a worsening in working performance. Compared with females, the reduced ability of males to cope with adversity and to tolerate uncertainty without knowing what their future will be (28) could explain

| Quality indoor score (ref: high) | BIS: attentional | BIS: motor | BIS: non-planning |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|
|                                 | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females | Males | Females |
| Medium                          | 1.18  | (1.01–1.39) | 1.06  | (0.91–1.23) | 1.05  | (0.91–1.21) | 0.87  | (0.75–1.01) | 1.33  | (1.14–1.54) | 1.05  | (0.90–1.21) |
| Poor                            | 1.77  | (1.43–2.20) | 1.46  | (1.19–1.80) | 1.05  | (0.86–1.29) | 1.14  | (0.93–1.39) | 1.61  | (1.31–1.98) | 1.37  | (1.12–1.67) |

*Estimates obtained from multivariable logistic regression models, adjusted for age at interview, caring for a person at home, apartment dimension (mq), and worsening in working performance. Reference category: 1. Significant differences are highlighted in bold.
the difficulties to plan effective study sessions and keep the concentration on online university courses with mental health consequences.

Findings from our survey reported a strong positive association between poor housing quality and mental health outcomes such as depressive symptoms and impulsivity, mainly observed in undergraduate males, with particular regard to attentional and non-planning impulsivity. The association observed between a poor-quality indoor space that do not guarantee adequate privacy and a worsening in depressive symptoms and impulsivity can be interpreted both in light of higher fear of infection as well as a proxy for lower socioeconomic status with consequent higher uncertainty about the future associated to household-level economic impact of the COVID-19 response (29).

As confirmed by recent evidence from the literature, impulsivity traits, male gender, and young age are considered risk factors for gambling onset especially among low socioeconomic status youth (30, 31). In the COVID-19 era, this is even more important because the development of technology, which facilitates the possibility of gambling from home and the period of isolation caused by the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in more opportunities to gamble online (32).

This study needs to be interpreted in the light of several strengths and limitations. Among its strengths, the large homogeneous sample size and the use of validated evidence-based psychiatric assessment tools. Among its limitations, the use of self-reporting questionnaires, the cross-sectional study design, the low response rate, and the enrollment of students from a single university that limited the generalizability of the results. In particular, the cross-sectional design study does not allow inferences on the temporal relationship between the variables and only shows measures of associations. Moreover, no information on the mental health status of the participants before the COVID-19 outbreak are available. Last, housing physical characteristics have been investigated with an ad-hoc not questionnaire due to the scant evidence published in the literature.

In the first weeks of the pandemic, March 2020, a panel of experts convened by the UK Academy of Medical Sciences and a mental health research charity (MQ: Transforming Mental Health) set out immediate priorities and longer-term strategies for research and encouraged the collection of high-quality data on the COVID-19 impact on mental health across the whole population, with particular regard to vulnerable populations including the youth, through the integration across different disciplines and sectors (33). To our knowledge, this is the first multidisciplinary consortium study, involving public mental health, environmental health, and architectural design, conducted on a large sample of undergraduate students in Lombardy, the Italian region most affected by the pandemic, exploring the effects of the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions on a rich set of mental health outcomes.
University years coincide with the peak period of risk for the onset of mental disorders (34), and they are associated with a significant increase in risky health behaviors (35). Considering the importance of undergraduate students to the future social capital of society and the potential negative impact of mental health problems on their lives, prevention and early treatment of mental health problems in these specific years represent a key public health priority (36–38).

Results from our study confirm a built environment as a key determinant of health, whose quality builds on the availability of resources, site location planning, and green spaces. An interdisciplinary approach involving urban planning, public mental health, environmental health, epidemiology, and sociology, is needed to inform welfare and housing policies centered on population well-being, especially in the COVID-19 times.

Further studies are needed to confirm or refute our findings and consequent recommendations to implement well-being interventions in pandemic conditions. A careful and comprehensive analysis of risk and protective factors in the individual and environmental context should be performed in order to early detect peculiar needs of care as well as plan and implement appropriate and targeted interventions centered on vulnerable population health (39).
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