The many tunes of perisomatic targeting interneurons in the hippocampal network
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INTRODUCTION

Like many cortical structures, the hippocampal CA3 region consists of a large network of rather uniform, recurrently connected, excitatory neurons together with a smaller but diverse population of inhibitory neurons (Freund and Buzsaki, 1996; Vizi and Kiss, 1998; Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008). This interconnected network of excitatory and inhibitory neurons enables the CA3 circuitry to generate a wide range of network activities depending on its inputs and the state of the network. These network states have been ascribed important roles in hippocampal function, such as spatial navigation (O’Keefe and Dostrovsky, 1971; Huxter et al., 2003), memory encoding and retrieval (Lisman and Idiart, 1995; Jensen and Lisman, 1996), and memory consolidation (Buzsaki, 1986, 1989). Understanding the mechanisms by which these different network patterns are generated might shed light on the underlying computational principles by which they perform their functions. Many studies have indicated an important role of GABAergic inhibition in generating these patterns (Buzsaki et al., 1983; Ylinen et al., 1995; Csicsvari et al., 2003).

Several hippocampal slice preparations have been created which generate similar network activity to that seen in vivo (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Fisahn et al., 1998; Kubota et al., 2003). These in vitro preparations enable investigation of network activity using extracellular field recording in combination with fast exchange of pharmacological agents, visually guided patch-clamp recordings and advanced imaging techniques (Hajos et al., 2009).

The axonal targets of perisomatic targeting interneurons make them ideally suited to synchronize excitatory neurons. As such they have been implicated in rhythm generation of network activity in many brain regions including the hippocampus. However, several recent publications indicate that their roles extend beyond that of rhythm generation. Firstly, it has been shown that, in addition to rhythm generation, GABAergic perisomatic inhibition also serves as a current generator contributing significantly to hippocampal oscillatory EEG signals. Furthermore, GABAergic interneurons have a previously unrecognized role in the initiation of hippocampal population bursts, both in the developing and adult hippocampus. In this review, we describe these new observations in detail and discuss the implications they have for our understanding of the mechanisms underlying physiological and pathological hippocampal network activities. This review is part of the Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience’s special topic entitled “GABA signaling in health and disease” based on the meeting at the CNCR Amsterdam.
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Hippocampal Oscillations

Many types of hippocampal network oscillations are driven by inhibitory neurons. Here we discuss the recent finding that inhibitory events not only generate the rhythm of these oscillations, but do in fact also contribute directly to the recorded oscillatory EEG signal. For the purpose of this review we focus mainly on perisomatic targeting interneurons, although dendritic targeting interneurons most likely also play an important role in oscillogenesis. For a recent review on the family of dendritic targeting interneurons and hippocampal network activity, see Klausberger (2009).
RHYTHM GENERATION
The involvement of interneurons in rhythm generation has been well documented. For theta oscillations (4–8 Hz), for example, it is thought that oscillations in individual cells (Alonso and Llinas, 1989; Strata, 1998; Buzsaki, 2002) are stabilized and synchronized by a set of inhibitory feedback circuits (Buzsaki et al., 1983; Leung and Yim, 1986; Soltesz and Deschenes, 1993; Cobb et al., 1995; Klausberger et al., 2003), as recently shown in an intact isolated hippocampus in vitro (Goutagny et al., 2009). In addition, external GABAergic inputs arriving from the medial septum and brainstem (Petsche and Stumpf, 1962; Stewart and Fox, 1990) could also contribute to rhythm generation, potentially serving as a pacemaker for the theta rhythm (Stewart and Fox, 1990).

Gamma oscillations (30–100 Hz) are commonly observed nested within theta oscillations in the hippocampus (Bragin et al., 1995; Buzsaki et al., 2003; Csicsvari et al., 2003). Studies into the mechanisms underlying carbachol-induced gamma oscillations in hippocampal slices have indicated an important role for perisomatic inhibition in rhythm generation (Fisahn et al., 1998; Mann et al., 2005; Oren et al., 2006). In particular, it was observed that prolongation of the GABAA receptor-mediated inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) with barbiturate reduces the frequency of gamma oscillations (Fisahn et al., 1998). Modeling studies also implicate inhibitory interneurons in rhythm generation (Wang and Buzsaki, 1996; Traub et al., 1997; Brunel and Wang, 2003), as do in vivo recordings (Penttonen et al., 1998; Tukker et al., 2007). For a discussion of in vitro gamma oscillation models in relation to in vivo activity, see Hajos and Paulsen (2009).

Although the rhythm generation of many oscillations has been extensively studied, little is known about the currents underlying the observed field events. Field activity is generated by the sum of currents flowing into and out of cells. It has long been thought that excitatory currents contribute predominantly to the recorded field events (see Figures 1A,B). Several recent papers have now challenged this assumption and shown that inhibition, especially arising from perisomatic targeting interneurons, can contribute significantly to the current generation (see Figures 1A,C).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
A recent paper by Oren et al. (2010) analyzed the contribution of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic currents, as well as spiking activity of CA3 hippocampal neurons, to the LFP in carbachol-induced gamma oscillations. It was observed that gamma oscillation amplitude fluctuated over time. Changes in the oscillation amplitude were quantified by the amplitude of the wavelet transform. By correlating the amplitude of the oscillation as seen in the field and the activity in individual cells it was suggested that the largest contributor to the field was the inhibitory currents in excitatory neurons, with a smaller contribution from the spiking activity of pyramidal neurons. Three lines of evidence support this conclusion. Firstly, the mean cycle amplitude was significantly higher when perisomatic interneurons discharged. There was no such direct relationship between the discharge of a pyramidal neuron and the LFP amplitude, although a small contribution was found for the slow action currents, resulting from the activation of a repolarization conductance, to the early component of the LFP. Secondly, the amplitude of the LFP signal correlated significantly with the inhibitory synaptic currents, whereas no such correlation was seen with excitatory synaptic currents. Thirdly, the inhibitory events recorded from pyramidal neurons were highly synchronized with the LFP.

Direct evidence that inhibitory neurons can produce significant field events was provided in a recent study by Glickfeld et al. (2009), who investigated whether activity of single anatomically identified interneurons is inhibitory or excitatory for downstream targets, using a combination of single cell stimulation and LFP recording. Surprisingly, activity in a single interneuron generated a transmembrane current sufficiently large to be reflected in the LFP recorded with an extracellular electrode (Glickfeld et al., 2009). The largest field event was seen following stimulation of perisomatic targeting interneurons (basket and axo-axonic cells). This observation was recently confirmed in a study by Bazelot et al. (2010), who also showed that single interneurons can generate a measurable field response. They showed that stimulation of a single perisomatic targeting interneuron can generate a field response of ∼30 μV. If field IPSPs add linearly, a field recording of hippocampal gamma oscillations in a hippocampal slice in the range of 100–500 μV (Fisahn et al., 1998) could be accounted for by the synchronous activity of approximately 10 perisomatic targeting interneurons.

### RIPPLE AND ULTRAFAST OSCILLATIONS

The fact that perisomatic inhibition has a large contribution to gamma oscillations as measured in the field raises the possibility that they might also contribute to faster field oscillations, such as ripple oscillations as part of sharp wave-ripple complexes, or ultrafast oscillations, as seen in pathological states. The cellular basis of ripple oscillations is still a matter of debate, and several possible explanations have been proposed.

Firstly, it has been suggested that the synchronous depolarization of CA1 neurons by CA3 pyramidal neuron activity sets in motion a dynamic interaction between CA1 pyramidal cells and CA1 interneurons, resulting in an oscillatory field potential between 120 and 200 Hz as seen in the stratum pyramidale (Buzsaki et al., 1992). Occasionally, CA3 ripples were seen concurrent with those occurring in CA1, but the CA3 ripples were of a lower frequency (80–140 Hz) (Ylinen et al., 1995) and not correlated to unit activity in CA1. Combined, these results suggest that CA1 ripple oscillations emerge from the CA1 cell population rather than being a passive response to high frequency input from CA3 (Ylinen et al., 1995). The specific synaptic currents mediating ripple oscillations were suggested to be synchronized
somatoic IPSCs in CA1 pyramidal neurons (Ylinen et al., 1995). Potentially, the interneurons could be synchronized by gap junctions (Katsumaru et al., 1988) as halothane anesthesia abolishes ripple activity (Ylinen et al., 1995). Interestingly, the emergence of ripple oscillations coincides with the transition from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing GABA action during development, suggesting that a dynamic interaction between excitation and inhibition is needed for ripple oscillations to occur (Buhl and Buzsaki, 2005).

A second proposed mechanism for CA1 ripple oscillations involves a network of pyramidal neurons interconnected by axonal gap junctions (Draguhn et al., 1998). It was shown that the CA1 region of hippocampal slices could produce ripple oscillations that were not affected by block of both excitatory and inhibitory synaptic currents, but disappeared when gap junction blockers were used, although these compounds are notoriously unspecific (Connors and Long, 2004). However, in vivo, CA1 pyramidal neurons tend to burst at higher frequencies than ripple oscillations, which would not support this hypothesis (Csicsvari et al., 1999).

Thirdly, it has been suggested that ripples observed in CA1 are the extracellular reflection of the synchronous firing of small groups of pyramidal neurons. These “population spikes” could be the result of intrinsically generated pyramidal neuron spiking, synchronized by recurrent connections (Dzhalal and Staley, 2004). These three possibilities are not mutually exclusive and a combination of them is possible.

Similar explanations have been forwarded for ultrafast oscillations seen during epileptiform activity (Le Van Quyen et al., 2006), including the idea of synchronized “population spikes” (Bragin et al., 2000, 2002, 2007), although desynchronized spiking has also been proposed (Foffani et al., 2007). It has been suggested that ripple activity is crucial for the development of an epileptic focus in the developing (Kalilov et al., 2005), and adult brain (Traub et al., 2001b; Grenier et al., 2003), whereas others have proposed that ripples might rather be protective and restrict epileptiform spread (Trevelyan et al., 2006, 2007). A recent paper investigated the correlation between the fast oscillations seen in the field during interictal events in a 0 Mg+ model of epileptiform activity and IPSCs recorded intracellularly from pyramidal neurons (Trevelyan, 2009). The author found that IPSCs recorded from closely located pyramidal neurons are highly synchronous, whereas IPSCs recorded from neurons located more than 200 µm apart were not synchronous. The author used dual whole-cell recordings with one pyramidal neuron held in voltage-clamp and another in current-clamp combined with an extracellular electrode to record the field potential. Using sophisticated analysis combined with modeling a close correlation was seen between the high frequency oscillation (HFO) in the field and the intracellular recordings from the pyramidal neurons. This correlation was strongest in the preictal period and fell as the event developed into a full ictal event. The author argued that it is the transmembrane currents generated by perisomatic inhibition that underlies these HFOs (Trevelyan, 2009).

In summary, for both gamma and ripple oscillations, recent evidence suggests that IPSCs of perisomatic origin contribute to the oscillatory field event.

**HIPPOCAMPAL POPULATION BURSTS**

In addition to regular oscillations, the hippocampal network spontaneously generates population bursts at irregular intervals both during development and in the adult animal. Here we discuss the involvement of GABAergic inhibition in initiating these events and compare the mechanisms of engagement in developing and mature tissue.

**GIANT DEPOLARIZING POTENTIALS**

Already at a very young age the hippocampal CA3 region generates intermittent synchronous bursts. First described by Ben-Ari et al. (1989), CA3 hippocampal neurons in slices taken from immature rats, postnatal day (P) 0 to P8, spontaneously display brief episodes of depolarization of 25–50 mV, so called giant depolarizing potentials (GDPs), lasting 300–500 ms with an incidence of about 0.1 per second, and often accompanied by action potentials (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Ben-Ari, 2001). Later, elegant in vivo studies confirmed the presence of such bursts early in development which were shown to share common features with their in vitro counterparts (Leinekugel et al., 2002). The intracellular depolarization was synchronous with bursts observed in field recordings, suggesting that they are the result of synchronous firing of a large population of neurons. GDPs were shown to depend on GABAergic transmission, as they could be blocked by a variety of GABA receptor antagonists (Ben-Ari et al., 1989). Further evidence corroborating a role for GABAergic transmission in generating these events was the observation that the incidence of GDPs decreased from P5 onwards, and finally disappeared by P12, coinciding with the developmental transition from GABA exerting a depolarizing effect to being hyperpolarizing on a postsynaptic neuron. It has been suggested that the depolarizing effect of GABA is the result of an active Na+-K+–Cl-cotransporter (NKCC1) which sets up a Cl− gradient responsible for the depolarizing effect (Russell, 2000; Delpire and Mount, 2002). The ontogenetic shift to hyperpolarizing GABA action is caused by a concomitant developmental down-regulation of NKCC1 and an up-regulation of a K+–Cl-cotransporter (KCC2) (Rivera et al., 1999).

Ben-Ari and colleagues suggest a mechanism by which activity of GABAergic neurons, spontaneous or induced by activation of their glutamatergic receptors, could depolarize populations of CA3 pyramidal neurons into generating such population bursts. Even though interneurons are more mature than pyramidal neurons at this stage (Soriano et al., 1986; Gozlan and Ben-Ari, 2003), the overall hippocampal circuitry and synaptic connections are still quite immature (Bahr and Wolff, 1985) with GABA being released mostly from axo-dendritic synapses or from non-synaptic free endings (Ben-Ari et al., 2004). It has been suggested that early network synchronization might have a role in controlling neuronal differentiation, synaptogenesis, and synaptic plasticity in the developing brain (Katz and Shatz, 1996; Khazipov and Luhmann, 2006; Blankenship and Feller, 2010).

**HUB NEURONS**

Far from being a homogeneous population of young immature interneurons, a recent study showed that not all inhibitory neurons are equal in the developing CA3 hippocampus. Already at such a
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Functions of perisomatic targeting interneurons

Young age they exhibit a functional heterogeneity, differentially affecting spontaneous network synchronization (Bonifazi et al., 2009). The authors of this study combined multibeam two-photon calcium imaging of ongoing network synchronization events (the field equivalent of intracellularly recorded GDPs) with stimulation of individual anatomically identified neurons in slices taken from both rat and GAD67-GFP mice. Spontaneous network synchronization was observed as synchronous Ca²⁺ signals in a large population of neurons (Leinekugel et al., 1997). Using this technique the authors showed that some neurons in the developing hippocampus have a very high functional connectivity to other neurons in the slice, which was detected online by finding neurons which were consistently active before other neurons. If a neuron was consistently active prior to 40% of the total number of imaged neurons it was deemed a high connectivity (HC) neuron. The authors then repeatedly depolarized individual HC neurons to supra-threshold levels with 200-ms long current pulses and showed that eight out of 20 of these HC neurons could significantly alter ongoing spontaneous network activity (see Figure 2A). They were dubbed “hub neurons” and, as hub neurons found in GAD67-GFP mice were all GFP positive and all hub neurons were aspiny, they were suggested to be GABAergic. The network response to activation of individual hub neurons was heterogeneous as they could silence the network, delay the generation of spontaneous network synchronization or initiate network synchronization into a population burst. Part of the heterogeneity in responses was explained by the observation of two different groups of GABAergic neurons based on axon arborization. Post hoc anatomical investigation of these neurons revealed that those with an axonal arborization close to the pyramidal cell layer, reminiscent of perisomatic targeting interneurons found in the adult hippocampus, were responsible for the initiation of network synchronization events (Bonifazi et al., 2009). Conversely, hub neurons with long projecting axons and sparse collaterals were responsible for silencing or delaying the generation of these events. This study is one of the first demonstrations of a small-scale network architecture present in the developing brain (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009) and raises several interesting points.

Firstly, it has been reported that the first GABAergic synapses are preferentially made on the apical dendrites and not on the soma of pyramidal neurons (Tyzio et al., 1999) with axosomatic targeting neurons only appearing later (Ben-Ari et al., 2004). In particular, it has been shown that certain basket cells initially form dendritic synapses and only shift to their adult pattern of somatic innervation after P4 (Morozov and Freund, 2003). This would suggest that an individual hub neuron responsible for the initiation of network synchronization events, even though anatomically resembling future perisomatic targeting interneurons, might innervate the dendrites of pyramidal neurons, which seems sufficient for spike generation in pyramidal neurons at this age (Ben-Ari et al., 1989).

**Figure 2** | Schematic diagram of network activity underlying hippocampal population bursts. (A) Spontaneous network synchronizations as seen in the immature hippocampus are thought to be generated by the excitatory action of GABAergic neurons, which depolarize and recruit a population of pyramidal neurons into a population burst. (B) Sharp wave-ripple population bursts as seen in the adult hippocampus may be initiated by the activity of an inhibitory interneuron temporarily silencing a subset of pyramidal neurons followed by rebound excitation, recruiting a larger population of pyramidal neurons into a population burst.
Secondly, a subset of HC neurons, shown to be pyramidal neurons, did not affect network activity suggesting that glutamatergic transmission is not sufficient to recruit large populations of neurons at this age.

Thirdly, the different responses found after activation of hub neurons might not only be the result of morphological differences between the two groups, possibly reflecting different developmental stages, but could also be influenced by the postsynaptic effect of GABA, since GABA might be both depolarizing and hyperpolarizing at this transitory phase of development.

In addition to the population of HC neurons there was a population of low connectivity (LC) neurons which had either a pyramidal neuron or interneuron morphology. These were classified according to the fact that their activity was not followed by any significant spontaneous population activity. Similarly, stimulating these neurons did not evoke any detectable changes in the network. The LC neurons were shown to have a shorter axonal length and this might explain their inability to synchronize a large population of neurons. As the immature hippocampus exhibits a high degree of heterogeneity, LC and HC neurons might actually represent the same types of neurons but at different stages in their development (de Lecea et al., 1995; Ben-Ari, 2001), or be differentially affected by the slicing procedure.

Lastly, it is unknown whether any further differences exist within the population of HC neurons or between the LC and HC neurons. Further investigation into the density, morphology, and location of synaptic contacts might be interesting.

It should be pointed out that both rat and mouse slices were used for these experiments (animals ranging in age from P5–P7) and it is at present unknown if there are developmental differences between the two rodent species in hub neuron function, reflecting differences in synapse development as well as differential transition between depolarizing and hyperpolarizing GABA action. It has recently been shown that there are both anatomical and electrophysiological differences between rat and mouse pyramidal neurons (Routh et al., 2009).

The paper by Bonifazi et al. raises the question whether neurons with similar properties exist in the adult hippocampus. A recent study by Ellender et al. (2010) would suggest that neurons with hub-like properties may exist also in the adult hippocampus.

**SHARP WAVE – RIPPLES**

At first it seems a daunting task to find hub neurons, bearing in mind the enormous diversity of interneurons in the adult hippocampus (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008). Classifying the interneurons according to the location of their axonal targets already greatly facilitates the handling of such diversity (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996). Some of the hub neurons identified by Bonifazi et al., namely those that were able to initiate network synchronization events, had some morphological features similar to those described for the family of perisomatic targeting interneurons seen in the adult hippocampus, which can be subdivided into parvalbumin-positive basket cells (Kosaka et al., 1987), cholecystokinin-positive basket cells (Somogyi et al., 1984), and parvalbumin-positive axo-axonic cells (Somogyi, 1977; Somogyi et al., 1983). The different subtypes have been suggested to have different functions in the hippocampal network (Freund and Katona, 2007).

Similar to the very young hippocampus, the adult hippocampus (from P14 onwards) can also spontaneously generate bursts (Vanderwolf, 1969). These are called “ripples” (O’Keefe and Nadel, 1978) or sharp wave-ripple complexes (Buzsáki et al., 1983). Sharp wave-ripple complexes have two components. The sharp wave part is seen as a voltage deflection of around 1 mV and 50–100 ms duration (Buzsáki, 1986). The second component, the so called ripple, is a fast oscillation with a frequency between 120 and 200 Hz (Buzsáki et al., 1992; Ylinen et al., 1995; Chrobak and Buzsáki, 1996). Sharp wave-ripple activity is seen during rest (e.g. awake immobility or eating) and slow-wave sleep. Sharp wave-ripples have been implicated in the reactivation of spike sequences (Saggers and McNaughton, 1996; Lee and Wilson, 2002; Foster and Wilson, 2006; Diba and Buzsáki, 2007) and could facilitate the strengthening of memories within the hippocampus during exploration (O’Neill et al., 2006) as well as mediate off-line memory transfer to extra-hippocampal regions for long-term storage (Buzsáki, 1989). They are thought to be the result of synchronous bursts of action potentials in a subset of hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Buzsáki, 1986; Csicsvari et al., 2000), initiated in the CA3 subfield by pyramidal neurons with strong synaptic interconnections (Buzsáki and Chrobak, 1995) or recent place-related firing (O’Neill et al., 2006; Diba and Buzsáki, 2007). The detailed mechanism of their initiation in hippocampal CA3 is unknown, but an involvement of interneurons in shaping this network pattern appears likely.

Ellender et al. used hippocampal slices taken from rats aged P14–P28, when neurons have axons and dendrites with adult-like features (Gaiarsa et al., 1992; Gomez-Di Cesare et al., 1997). Slices prepared at these ages can generate sharp wave-ripple activity spontaneously (Kubota et al., 2003). This model of sharp wave-ripples was adapted for submerged types of recording chamber (Hajós et al., 2009), which enabled visually guided patch-clamp recordings of neurons in CA3 with concomitant recording of ongoing sharp wave-ripple activity using planar multi-electrode arrays. The authors focused on the sharp waves and showed that they are associated with the synchronous firing of small changing populations of CA3 pyramidal neurons. Similar to the network synchronization events in developing hippocampus (Bonifazi et al. 2009), a high incidence of sharp waves was observed in region CA3c. The surrounding population of pyramidal neurons was inhibited; therefore, it was not surprising that blocking all GABA<sub>α</sub> receptor-mediated inhibition led to a transition from local sharp wave generation to large-scale epileptiform bursting (Miles and Wong, 1987). It was unexpected, however, that blocking only the phasic component of GABA<sub>α</sub> receptor-mediated inhibition completely and reversibly blocked all sharp wave generation (Ellender et al., 2010). This suggests that inhibition plays a previously unknown role in sharp wave initiation.

To investigate this further the authors performed whole-cell current-clamp recordings of anatomically identified neurons, which were repeatedly depolarized to supra-threshold levels with 500-ms long current pulses every 10 s, and observed the effect on network activity. Activation of either single pyramidal neurons or dendritic targeting interneurons did not affect network activity. In contrast, the activation of a subset of individual perisomatic targeting interneurons could both suppress (during activation), and subsequently enhance, the local generation of sharp waves. The authors investigated the mechanisms by which this subset
of perisomatic targeting interneurons could initiate sharp wave generation by combining stimulation of individual perisomatic targeting interneurons with whole-cell voltage-clamp recording of a neighboring neuron. Voltage-clamped neurons were used to record both the excitatory and inhibitory activity in the network before, during, and after activation of the perisomatic targeting interneuron. It was shown that activity in perisomatic targeting interneurons was followed by a transient increase in excitation over inhibition in the network which preceded the initiation of a sharp wave. The authors concluded that this increase in excitation over inhibition could facilitate population burst generation by bringing a population of pyramidal neurons closer to threshold (Ellender et al., 2010).

This study suggests that GABA released by interneurons in the adult hippocampus can initiate population bursts, albeit via a different mechanism to that seen in the immature hippocampus. Instead of a direct depolarizing effect of GABA on pyramidal neurons, it is suggested that activity of perisomatic targeting interneurons temporarily silences a population of pyramidal neurons after which rebound excitation can lead to the synchronization of this population of pyramidal neurons (see Figure 2B). As mature rats were used, in which pyramidal cells most likely exhibit a GABA receptor reversal potential negative to resting membrane potential (Luhmann and Prince, 1991; Owens et al., 1996), it was suggested that GABA would be hyperpolarizing. Nevertheless, it might be that GABA was excitation in a subset of pyramidal neurons, as can be seen in young animals, as well as in certain neuronal types and cortical states in adult brain (Cohen et al., 2002; Guldledge and Stuart, 2003; Wozny et al., 2003; Banke and McBain, 2006; Szabadics et al., 2006), or that GABA could be depolarizing in specific subregions of the pyramidal neuron (e.g., axon initial segment; Szabadics et al., 2006; but see Glickfeld et al., 2009).

A subset of anatomically identified perisomatic targeting interneurons was not able to initiate sharp wave population bursts. The authors did not find significant differences between the successful and unsuccessful perisomatic targeting interneurons in either their average firing frequency, location within hippocampal CA3 or axonal length (Ellender et al., 2010). As no immunocytochemistry or electron microscopy was performed to further subdivide the population of perisomatic targeting interneurons it was not possible to determine whether the failure of some perisomatic targeting interneurons to influence sharp wave initiation is due to the network state or because different subclasses of perisomatic targeting interneurons might have different effects on these network events. Studies in area CA1 of the hippocampus in vivo have shown that parvalbumin-positive basket cells fire preferentially during a sharp wave-ripple, whereas axo-axonic cells tend to fire immediately before, but remain silent during sharp wave-ripples (Klausberger et al., 2003). It has not been reported whether these types of neurons in area CA3 show similar behaviors. If axo-axonic cells in CA3 also fire preferentially prior to sharp wave-ripples, they may have a role in selecting the subpopulation of CA3 pyramidal neurons that initiate a sharp wave event. Their preference to make synaptic connections on the axon initial segment of pyramidal neurons would make them well suited to influence axonal output (Miles et al., 1996).

It is well established that some fast spiking interneurons innervate themselves (Tamas et al., 1997). Furthermore, fast spiking interneurons are vastly interconnected through chemical and electrical synapses (Fukuda and Kosaka, 2000), both of which contribute to the synchronization of interneuronal networks (Tamas et al., 2000; Traub et al., 2001a; Whittington and Traub, 2003). It is at present unknown whether chemical synapses or electrical synapses (gap junctions) are necessary for the ability of single hub neurons or perisomatic targeting interneurons to induce hippocampal population bursts. Gap junctions might contribute to the generation of some network patterns (Maier et al., 2002; Buhl et al., 2003), but studies into their involvement are notoriously difficult as the presently available pharmacological agents are non-specific (Connors and Long, 2004).

The finding that single interneurons can facilitate the generation of hippocampal population bursts in both young and adult hippocampus is novel. The question arises whether the neurons found by Ellender et al., are mature versions of the hub neurons found by Bonifazi et al., or whether they are different types of neurons with similar hub-like properties. It might be that the hub neurons described by Bonifazi et al. are a transient population that disappears and dies later in development (Super et al., 1998). Molecular markers (such as parvalbumin or cholecystokinin) are used to classify interneurons in the adult hippocampus (Klausberger et al., 2003, 2004, 2005; Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008), and it would be interesting to investigate the expression of these markers in both the hub neurons and the successful perisomatic targeting interneurons. Such analysis, in combination with studies of ion channel and transcription factor expression (Cobos et al., 2006), might provide a fingerprint of the type of neuron capable of initiating network events, facilitating possible detection in other brain regions.

EPILEPTIFORM BURSTS

Lastly, we will briefly discuss the potential implications of the studies by Bonifazi et al. and Ellender et al. on our understanding of the mechanisms underlying epileptiform bursting. These two studies suggest that inhibition not only keeps population bursts in check (Trevelyan et al., 2007), but could also be actively involved in the initiation of population bursts. Classically, the generation of pathological epileptiform bursts is suggested to depend on a reduction of GABA receptor-mediated inhibition, which facilitates mutual synaptic excitation (Traub and Wong, 1982). In systems where glutamatergic transmission is immature (Bonifazi et al., 2009) or embedded in a mature inhibitory network (Ellender et al., 2010), activity of individual pyramidal neurons was not sufficient to initiate population bursts. In contrast, a single CA3 pyramidal neuron can initiate an epileptiform burst in disinhibited conditions (Miles and Wong, 1983) by recruiting sufficient pyramidal neurons to exceed a threshold for burst initiation (Menendez de la Prida et al., 2006).

It has been shown in surgically removed human epileptic tissue that perisomatic inhibition is retained in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Wittner et al., 2005) and retained or enhanced in the dentate gyrus (Isokawa-Akesson et al., 1989; Wittner et al., 2001). Wittner et al. observed an increase in inhibitory contacts at the axon initial segments of granule cells of the dentate gyrus.
It was suggested that hyper-innervation of axon initial segments may lead to a more effective synchronization of granule cell firing and could in fact contribute to the generation or amplification of epileptic seizures (Wittner et al., 2001). More recently, it has been shown that nicotinic enhancement of GABA release can aggravate seizure generation in several models of autosomal dominant nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy (Klaassen et al., 2006; Mann and Mody, 2008), further emphasizing the possibility that inhibition could facilitate pathological burst generation. It has also been shown that perisomatic targeting interneurons are massively recruited during epileptiform population bursts (Marchionni and Maccaferri, 2009).

Another possibility is that activity of perisomatic targeting interneurons is the last effort to keep a full burst at bay (Trevelyan et al., 2007; Trevelyan, 2009). In fact, it has been suggested that loss of dendritic inhibition could reduce seizure threshold, with preserved somatic inhibition keeping the network from a continuous occurrence of population bursts (Cossart et al., 2001). This would suggest that separate targeting of dendritic and perisomatic inhibition might be necessary for the effective treatment of epileptiform discharges (Magloczky and Freund, 2005). Lastly, it could also be that GABA swaps sides in pathology from being inhibitory to being excitatory due to a change in intracellular ion concentrations (Cohen et al., 2002).

In conclusion, both the studies by Bonifazi et al. (2009) and Ellender et al. (2010) imply that interneurons, rather than merely modulating pyramidal cell activity, can play an integral part in the local information processing that takes place in the hippocampal network. Furthermore, they suggest that neurons with hub-like properties exist in both the developing and mature hippocampus (Bullmore and Sporns, 2009) and corroborate the idea that single neurons can have a large effect on network activity (Brecht et al., 2004; Houweling and Brecht, 2008; Li et al., 2009). The finding that interneurons can initiate physiological hippocampal population bursts raises the possibility that they might also contribute to those seen in pathology.

**FUTURE**

The studies discussed here extend the roles of perisomatic inhibition beyond that of rhythm generation, showing that they can also contribute to the transmembrane currents underlying hippocampal field oscillations and are able to initiate hippocampal population bursts. It remains to be seen whether these observations hold for network activity in vivo. However, as these observations were made in slice preparations, in which axonal projections are markedly reduced, one might expect that their ability to generate transmembrane currents, as well as influence population burst activity, might be even greater in vivo.

With the development of in vivo recording techniques which enable monitoring extracellular activity early in development (Yang et al., 2009), as well as intracellularly in anatomically identified neurons in behaving animals (Epsstein et al., 2010) combined with optogenetics (Deisseroth et al., 2006) and modeling, in vivo investigation of these findings will be possible. By gaining a better understanding of the cellular mechanisms involved in network activity one may hope that their functions in cognitive processes will be uncovered, and such understanding might also help us in combating brain disorders (Uhlhaas and Singer, 2006, 2010; Hammond et al., 2007).
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