A first-principles study on Si24 as an anode material for rechargeable batteries
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Due to its intriguing geometry, possessing an open-channel structure, Si24 demonstrates potential for storing and/or transporting Li/Na ions in rechargeable batteries. In this work, first-principles calculations were employed to investigate the phase stability and Li/Na storage and transport properties of the Si24 anode to evaluate its electrochemical performance for batteries. The intercalation of Li and Na into the Si24 structure could deliver a capacity of 159 mAh g−1 (Li4Si24 and Na4Si24), and the average intercalation potentials were 0.17 V (vs. Li) and 0.34 V (vs. Na). Moreover, the volume change of Si24 upon intercalation proved very small (0.09% for Li, 2.81% for Na), indicating its “zero-strain” properties with stable cycling performance. Li+ and Na+ can diffuse along the channels inside the Si24 structure with barrier energies of 0.14 and 0.80 eV respectively, and the ionic conductivity of Li2.66Si24 was calculated to be as high as 1.03 × 10−1 S cm−1 at 300 K. Our calculations indicate that the fast Li-ionic conductivity properties make the Si24 structure a novel anode material for both lithium and sodium ion batteries.

Introduction

Rechargeable lithium ion batteries (LIBs) and sodium ion batteries (SIBs) have been proven to be efficient energy storage devices.1–3 However, with the wide use of electric vehicles and energy storage systems for renewable energy, the energy density, cycling stability, safety and cost issues of current rechargeable batteries cannot meet the increasingly high requirements. Accordingly, abundant, low-cost, nontoxic, stable and low-strain novel electrode materials must be developed for better batteries.

As a great number of new materials have been synthesized at high pressure,4–7 novel materials for rechargeable batteries could also be found using high-pressure technology. Moreover, high-pressure induced phase transformations of several electrode materials, e.g., Li2MPO4 (M = Fe and Co),8 LiMn2O4,9–12 Li12Si4,13 V2O5,14 and superoxide LiO2,15 have been reported. Some of these high-pressure polymorphs have revealed a higher Li+ ion diffusion coefficient than their normal-pressure phase and demonstrated potential as electrode materials for batteries.16 In addition to these high-pressure polymorphs of current electrode materials, many novel alkali compounds with an open-channel structure have been derived at high pressure.12,17–19 It has been proven that these channels likely provide a diffusion path for the alkali ions and lead to high ionic conductivities.12,18 Although these materials have potential application for batteries, related investigations are rare. Therefore, it is necessary to exploit their potential as electrode materials for LIBs and SIBs.

Recently, a novel Na–Si compound structure (Na4Si24), which has the same structure as Eu4Ga8Ge16 with open channels along the [100] direction, was found at a pressure above 8 GPa.19–20 In this structure, the inside channels are constructed from sp3-bonded Si atoms and filled with Na atoms. These Na atoms in the channels can be entirely removed by a thermal “degassing” process to form a new orthorhombic Si allotrope, namely Si24.21 Si24 with open channels for ionic storage and diffusion displays potential as an electrode material for LIBs or SIBs. Consequently, a thorough investigation of this material is warranted and its electrochemical performance as an electrode material should be evaluated. During the revision of this manuscript, Arrieta et al. published their theoretical research on Na4Si24 (1 ≤ x ≤ 4) for SIBs and believe it is a possible anode material. However, they did not consider its potential use for LIBs and Li+/Na+ conductivity properties.21 In this work we performed a systematic investigation of Si24 properties for use as an anode material for LIBs and SIBs with first-principles theoretical insight.

Computational details

First-principles calculations were executed using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) based on the density functional theory (DFT).22,23 The projected augmented wave (PAW)
The electrical conductivity is calculated on the basis of the $k$ factor, where $k$ is the electrical conductivity, $A$ is a numerical factor approximately equal to unity, $D$ is the diffusion coefficient, $c$ is the concentration of Li$^+$ or Na$^+$, $q$ is the electrical charge, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature.

**Results and discussion**

**Phase stability and intercalation potential**

A unit cell of Si$_{24}$ with four channels along the [100] direction is shown in Fig. 1a. It was reported that sodium atoms can be accommodated in the middle of the channels to form Na$_x$Si$_{24}$ (Fig. 1c), which was synthesized at high pressure and is stable at ambient pressure and temperature. For Li$_4$Si$_{24}$, it might be synthesized by either chemical lithiation from Si$_{24}$ or an ion exchange method from Na$_4$Si$_{24}$. These stabilized Li or Na rich materials could demonstrate promising applications for compensating Li/Na loss during the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) or pair with cathode materials without a Li/Na component such as V$_2$O$_5$ and some organic cathode materials, which are much cheaper than Li/Na rich cathode materials.

The average Li/Na intercalation potential is a critical parameter for electrode materials, which is related to the difference in the Gibbs free energy between the charged and discharged states, and can be determined by the following equation:

$$E_{\text{form}} = E(\text{M}_x\text{Si}_{24}) - E(\text{Si}_{24}) - xeE(\text{M}).$$

The calculated formation energies of all the M$_x$Si$_{24}$ (M = Li and Na; 1 ≤ x ≤ 4) phase are negative and decrease with increasing Li/Na content, which means the Li and Na intercalation process is energetically favorable as shown in Fig. 2a. On the basis of the formation energies, the Li$_x$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_x$Si$_{24}$ phase can form during the charging process. For Na$_4$Si$_{24}$, it is synthesized at high pressure and is stable at ambient temperature and pressure. For Li$_4$Si$_{24}$, it might be synthesized by either chemical lithiation from Si$_{24}$ or an ion exchange method from Na$_4$Si$_{24}$. These stabilized Li or Na rich materials could demonstrate promising applications for compensating Li/Na loss during the formation of a solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) or pair with cathode materials without a Li/Na component such as V$_2$O$_5$ and some organic cathode materials, which are much cheaper than Li/Na rich cathode materials.

The average Li/Na intercalation potential is a critical parameter for electrode materials, which is related to the difference in the Gibbs free energy between the charged and discharged states, and can be determined by the following equation:
$V(x) = \frac{G(M, Si_{24}) - G(M_{x}Si_{24}) - (x - x_0)G(M)}{x - x_0}$, \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $G$ is the Gibbs free energy, and $x$ is the number of Li/Na atoms. The calculated Li and Na intercalation potentials of Si$_{24}$ are exhibited in Fig. 2b, which clearly shows that the potential variations are very limited below 0.4 V upon Li/Na intercalation. The average intercalation potentials of Li$_{x}$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_{x}$Si$_{24}$ ($1 \leq x \leq 4$) are 0.17 V (vs. Li) and 0.34 V (vs. Na), respectively. Such low and flat potentials can substantially benefit the energy density when it is used as a battery anode.

**Volume change**

It is known that significant volume expansion happens during the lithiation process of conventional silicon-based anode materials, leading to a poor cycling performance (Table S1). However, our calculation results demonstrate that the volume changes of Si$_{24}$ during Li/Na intercalation is very small. As shown in Fig. 3, the volume expansion of Si$_{24}$ after Li intercalation is only 0.09%, which is negligible compared with the volume expansion of commercialized graphite (~10%) and cubic silicon (~300%) anode materials. The volume change after Na intercalation is larger because of the larger ionic radius of Na. However, the 2.81% volume change is still quite small compared with Li$_{4}$Ti$_{5}$O$_{12}$ (12.5%) and other metal or metalloid anode materials (~200%) for SIBs. Due to the very small volume changes of Si$_{24}$ during Li/Na intercalation, the good cycling stability of Si$_{24}$ could be expected when used as anode material for both LIBs and SIBs.

Next the cell parameters were examined after Li/Na intercalation. As shown in Table 1, the cell parameter $a$ is elongated while $b$ and $c$ are compressed during Li/Na intercalation. The increase of $a$ is 4.16% for Li and 9.35% for Na, while the decrease of $b$ and $c$ is 0.74% and 1.73% for Li and 2.42% and 4.40% for Na. These parameter changes show limited influence on the ionic preferential diffusion along the $b$-axis and/or $c$-axis in the Si$_{24}$ structure.

**Electronic structure**

The electronic conductivity is very important in electrode materials. To evaluate the electron conductivity change after Li/Na intercalation in Si$_{24}$, the band structures of Si$_{24}$, Li$_{x}$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_{x}$Si$_{24}$ (1 $\leq x \leq 4$) were calculated and compared in Fig. S1 and S2,† and the band gaps (direct and indirect) are shown in Table 2. From our calculations, Si$_{24}$ with an indirect band gap of 0.44 eV exhibits a semiconductor-like property. When Li/Na atoms intercalate, the band gaps decrease significantly to 0.18 eV for Li$_{x}$Si$_{24}$ and 0 eV for Na$_{x}$Si$_{24}$, which suggests that the electronic conductivity can be greatly enhanced after Li/Na intercalation. The improvement of electronic conductivity by Li/Na doping will certainly benefit the electron transport property of the electrode and improve its rate performance during the charge and discharge process.

**Li/Na ion transport**

In addition to electronic conductivity, the Li/Na ion transport properties of electrode materials are also essential to the battery performances such as power density, over potential, and rate performance. Therefore, thoroughly understanding the Li/Na ion transport properties of an electrode material is necessary. Using the CINEB method, we calculated the barrier energies of Li$^+$ and Na$^+$ migration in Si$_{24}$. There are two possible ion diffusion paths in Si$_{24}$ as shown in Fig. 4a. Path 1 is along the channel direction ($a$-axis), and path 2 is between the channels along the $b$-axis. The calculated barrier energies of Li$^+$ and Na$^+$ along path 1 are 0.14 and 0.80 eV, respectively (Fig. 4b). Along path 2, the barrier energies are 0.87 eV for Li$^+$ and 2.98 eV for Na$^+$ (Fig. 4c). It is obvious that path 1 ($a$-axis) is the most favorable migration path with dramatically lower energy barriers for both Li$^+$ and Na$^+$ ionic migration. Accordingly, from an activation energy perspective, the diffusion of Li$^+$ in Si$_{24}$ framework can mainly proceed along the $a$-axis with a plausible fast ionic diffusion kinetic for such a low energy barrier. While for the Na ion, the migration barrier energy of 0.80 eV is a little bit higher than normal electrode material. This can be a drawback of Si$_{24}$ as an anode for SIBs.

The diffusion properties and ionic conductivity of Li$^+$/Na$^+$ in Si$_{24}$ were subsequently investigated by a first-principles molecular dynamic (FPMD) method at high temperature. For Li$_{4}$Si$_{24}$

| Compound | $a$ (Å) | $b$ (Å) | $c$ (Å) | Volume ($\text{Å}^3$) |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Si$_{24}$ | 3.85    | 10.75   | 12.74   | 527.28          |
| Li$_{4}$Si$_{24}$ | 4.01    | 10.67   | 12.52   | 528.11          |
| Na$_{4}$Si$_{24}$ | 4.21    | 10.49   | 12.18   | 537.90          |

**Table 2** The indirect and direct electronic band gaps of Si$_{24}$, Li$_{4}$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_{4}$Si$_{24}$

| $M_{x}$Si$_{24}$ | Li (eV) | Na (eV) |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
|                 | Indirect | Direct  | Indirect | Direct  |
| 0               | 0.44    | 0.54    | 0.44     | 0.54    |
| 1               | 0.29    | 0.46    | 0.14     | 0.28    |
| 2               | 0.29    | 0.29    | 0.15     | 0.28    |
| 3               | 0.23    | 0.34    | 0.16     | 0.32    |
| 4               | 0.18    | 0.23    | 0.0      | 0.0     |

Fig. 3 Normalized volume changes of Si$_{24}$ upon Li/Na intercalation.
and Na$_2$Si$_{24}$, no measurable net MSD results for Li$^+$/Na$^+$ diffusion were seen up to the utmost temperature before melting (Fig. S3†). This result signals that the ideal crystal M$_4$Si$_{24}$ (M = Li and Na) does not provide enough space for Li$^+$/Na$^+$ diffusion because all the possible positions in the channels are occupied by Li/Na atoms. However, in reality, for electrode materials, ion diffusion happens before the fully lithiated/sodiated state. It means the ionic conductivity of M$_4$Si$_{24}$ (M = Li and Na) with Li/Na vacancies could be considered in electrode material. To assess this case, 4 Li/Na vacancies were created in a 3 × 1 × 1 supercell corresponding to M$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ (M = Li and Na), with a total of 80 atoms in the supercell. In these partially lithiated/sodiated states, Li/Na ions diffusion was observed at the temperature before melting, as shown in Fig. 5a and b. The one-dimensional Li$^+$/Na$^+$ transport property was also confirmed by the simulated trajectories (Fig. 5a and b) and MSD (Fig. S4†). At high temperature, the diffusion effect becomes pronounced with the trajectory of the Li/Na ions distributing inside all the channels, indicating potentially high ionic conductivity. With an increase in the simulated temperature, the MSD of the Si ions also starts to increase with time (Fig. S5†), which signals the melting of the entire crystal. Based on our simulation results, Li$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ start to melt at temperatures above 1000 and 1500 K, respectively.

The diffusion coefficients of Li/Na ions can be deduced from the simulated MSD over time at different temperatures. The convergence of the diffusion coefficients is achieved with approximately 20 ps of MD simulations because of the relatively fast Li$^+$/Na$^+$ diffusion in this material (Fig. S6†). The diffusion coefficients are fitted through the Arrhenius equation at temperatures varying from 400 to 1000 K for Li and 900 to 1500 K for Na, respectively (Fig. 6), from which the migration enthalpies of 0.031 eV for Li$^+$ and 0.239 eV for Na$^+$ were derived. Using the Nernst–Einstein equation, we calculated the Li/Na...
ions conductivity in Li$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ and plotted it in Fig. 7. The extrapolated Li$^+$ and Na$^+$ conductivities at 300 K are 1.03 × 10$^{-7}$ and 1.26 × 10$^{-8}$ S cm$^{-1}$. Due to the very low activation enthalpy for Li$^+$ migration in Si$_{24}$, the Li$^+$ conductivity is quite high at room temperature. The fast Li$^+$ migration path along the channels of Si$_{24}$ makes it a superionic conductor at room temperature, which is favorable for use as an anode material for LIBs that can be charged and discharged at a very high rate. On the contrary, the Na$^+$ conductivity changes a lot with temperature and exhibits high ionic conductivity at higher temperatures. The ionic conductivity of Na$^+$ in Si$_{24}$ is a little bit low at room temperature, although it can be a Na$^+$ superionic conductor at high temperature. It is worth to note that Si$_{24}$ with a preferential orientation along $b$-axis and/or $c$-axis could be used to decrease the Na$^+$ diffusion distance and promote the rate performance of the electrode.

Conclusions

Si$_{24}$ with an open-channel structure was thoroughly investigated by a first-principles method to evaluate its performance as an anode for LIBs and SIBs. Various properties of Si$_{24}$, including its phase stability, theoretical capacity, intercalation potentials, volume changes, electronic structures, and ionic transport property were calculated. Based on the calculation results, the advantages of Si$_{24}$ as an anode material for lithium/sodium ion batteries are summarized as follows:

(i) Li/Na can be stored in the channels of Si$_{24}$ to form Li$_x$Si$_{24}$/Na$_x$Si$_{24}$, which is stable and energetically favorable.

(ii) The theoretical capacity of Si$_{24}$ is 159 mA h g$^{-1}$, and the average intercalation potentials of Li$_x$Si$_{24}$ and Na$_x$Si$_{24}$ are 0.17 V (vs. Li) and 0.34 V (vs. Na), respectively. The flat and low intercalation potentials can benefit its energy density.

(iii) Si$_{24}$ shows little volume change during Li/Na intercalation, which is favorable for cycling stability.

(iv) The electronic conductivity of Si$_{24}$ can be improved by Li/Na intercalation. This property can lead to a high-rate performance.

(v) The ionic conductivity of Li$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ is very high, reaching 1.03 × 10$^{-7}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 300 K, whereas the ionic conductivity of Na$_{2.66}$Si$_{24}$ is a little bit low at room temperature. However, this difficulty can be overcome by using a nanoscale material or a material with a preferential orientation along the $b$-axis and/or $c$-axis.

Due to the advantages described above, Si$_{24}$ has great capability for use as an anode material in both LIBs and SIBs. In addition, M$_n$Si$_{24}$ (M = Li and Na) can also be used as lithium or sodium-rich anode materials to reduce the cost of batteries.
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