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Abstract. The expediency of using precast spun concrete columns of annular cross-sections reinforced by high-strength steel bars is discussed. Test material properties, efficiency factors and resisting compressive stresses of plain and reinforced spun concretes are presented. Modelling a bearing capacity of eccentrically loaded members of annular cross-sections is based on the concepts of compression with a bending moment and bending with a concentrical force. The comparison of test and modelling data of concentrically and eccentrically loaded members is analysed. The expediency to use in design practice the approaches of current methods of partial safety factors design (PSFD) legitimated in Europe and load and resistance factors design (LRFD) suggested in the USA and other countries is considered and illustrated by a numerical example.
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1. Introduction

A number of advantages with respect to economic, structural and architectural features is characteristic of prefabricated spun concrete building columns (Walochnik 1995). However, the technology advancement promotes the use of spun concrete members only for the poles of high-voltage electrical transmission lines: significant technology advances attach great importance to the strength, lightness and durability of precast spun concrete poles. It may be achieved by improving the quality of materials, introducing new manufacturing methods and using meaningful innovative enhancements to engineering design technology (Oliphant and Wong 2002).

Due to the fundamental examinations carried out at the technology laboratories of the European Union and other countries, new centrifugal techniques to produce spun concrete poles for high-voltage electrical transmission line applications are founded and introduced into production practice. It must be emphasized that new computer controlled machines are installed. They regulate the spinning speeds of moulds during distribution and compacting processes so as not to segregate aggregates of concrete mixes achieving their proper compactness and avoiding wire vibrations of reinforcing cages. These novelties introduced for spun concrete poles suit perfectly for spun concrete columns. However, the possibility to improve effectively the structural quality of poles and columns using prestressed tendons (Quasi 2002) or steel fibres (Kaufman et al. 2005) depends on the type of load-carrying structures.

The roots of unexpected failures and inadmissible residual deformations of tubular reinforced concrete columns can be traced back not only to gross human design or construction errors but can also be caused by some incorrectly formulated concepts and approaches based on the data of insufficiently quantitative and qualitative investigations. A lack of experimental and theoretical research results hampers the development of the current analysis methods of spun concrete columns of annular cross-sections exposed to diverse loads and their combinations.

The analysis of present test data has revealed that high-strength spun concrete as well as longitudinal mild steel bars may simultaneously reach their maximum resisting stresses only with a very little probability. Besides, the early plastic strains of mild steel bars may disintegrate the materials of a compression member, decrease its ductility and at the same time cause its premature failure or collapse.

On the contrary, the plastic strains of high-strength cold worked steel bars of compression members cannot be displayed. Therefore, there exists a redistribution of ultimate compression forces between steel and concrete components. It increases the probability of avoiding the brittle failure of spun concrete members under concentric and eccentric loading (MacGregor 1998; Kuranovas and Kvedaras 2007; Soundararajan and Shammu sundaram 2008).

Special experimental and theoretical investigations were carried out at the Vilnius Gediminas Technical University (Kudzys et al. 1993; Kudzys and Kliukas 2008a, b). The investigation data helped us analyse the features of...
spun concrete members reinforced by high-strength steel bars, model the stress-strain states of spun concrete columns, provide the unsophisticated approaches for their resistance assessment and collate the formats of their reliability verifications by semi-probabilistic methods.

The main aim of this paper is to compare objectively the limit state design results based on the partial safety factors design (PSFD) and the load and resistance factors design (LRFD) suggested in Europe and the USA, respectively, using the load combinations recommended by EN 1990 and ASCE/SEI 7-05.

2. The mechanical properties of a spun concrete

The data of investigations carried out by Vadlūga (1979, 1983), Vadlūga et al. (1996) and Kudzys et al. (1993, 2008) helped structural engineers examine and assess the resistance features of plain and reinforced spun concrete members as precast building columns.

Spun concrete specimens of annular cross-sections were manufactured using crushed granite stone of 5–20 mm fractions and quartz sand with 2.95–3.05 fineness modulus of 1300–1360 kg/m³ poured bulk as coarse and fine aggregates of concrete mixes. The compressive strength at 28 days of Portland cement was equal to 40.2–51.6 MPa. Thus, used mixes belong to high performance concrete mixtures of normal weight spun concrete members (Dīlger, Rao 1997). The slump of standard cone of these mixtures was between 30 and 60 mm.

The external diameter of specimens moulded by belt-driven and roller-belt-driven type centrifugal machines was equal to 500 and 260 mm respectively. The compressive strength of spun concrete in tested hollow cylinder \( f_{c1} \) was equal to 33–53 MPa. The mean value of the modulus of elasticity of spun concrete was lower than the values presented in EN 1992-1 (2004) for vibrated normal weight concrete and may be defined as:

\[
E_{cm} = 20 \times (0.1f_{cm})^{0.3},
\]

where \( f_{cm} \) is the mean value of cylinder concrete strength.

The spun concrete specimens were reinforced by ribbed high-strength steel bars of 10, 12 and 14 mm in diameter. They were uniformly distributed throughout their cross-sectional perimeter. The mean values of 0.2% proof-stress points, \( f_{0.2} \), and tensile strength, \( f_t \), of cold worked steel bars were 872, 890, 862 and 1060, 1052, 1138 MPa, respectively.

The investigations showed that the failure of compressed spun concrete members reinforced by high-strength steel bars may be treated as a ductile one (Fig. 1). A relatively brittle failure of some specimens occurred when the geometrical reinforcement ratio, \( \rho = A_r/A_c \), was less than 3%, where \( A_r \) and \( A_c \) are cross-sectional areas of reinforcement and concrete sections. The test results showed that its value of 3–6% may be considered as a rational reinforcement ratio of spun concrete members reinforced by high-strength steel bars.
the same time of resisting compressive stresses in reinforcing steel bars of concentrically and eccentrically loaded columns may be defined, respectively, as:

\[ \varepsilon_{cu}' = 2.26(1.18 + 4p)10^{-3}, \]
\[ \sigma_{sc}' = \varepsilon_{cu}'E_c = 4.52(1.18 + 4p)10^2 \text{ MPa}, \]
\[ \varepsilon_{cu} = 2.26(1.36 + 4p)10^{-3}, \]
\[ \sigma_{sc} = \varepsilon_{cu}E_c = 4.52(1.36 + 4p)10^2 \text{ MPa}. \]

These values are close to stresses calculated by Hussaini et al. (1993) recommendations.

The test data disclosed that high-strength and high-elastic reinforcing bars decrease the coefficient of variation of concrete strains under the failure of reinforced compression members. Therefore, the use of these bars instead of mild steel ones may efficiently increase the structural safety of spun concrete columns.

3. The bearing capacity of annular cross-sections

The analysis of ultimate load effects may be based on a plane cross-section hypothesis and bi-linear concrete stress-strain relation, when the conventional concrete strain \( \varepsilon_{c3} \) is equal to 0.5 \( \varepsilon_{cu} \) (Fig. 2). This value is close to strains \( \varepsilon_{c3} \) recommended by EN 1992-1 (2004).
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Fig. 2. Stress-strain relations for plain concrete (1) and reinforced concrete by typical (2) and bi-linear (3) laws

According to the design model, presented in Fig. 3, the resisting compressive force, the resisting bending moment caused by this force and its eccentricity are:

\[ N_R = N_{c1} - N_{c2} + N_{sc} - N_{st} , \]
\[ M_R = N_{c1}y_{c1} - N_{c2}y_{c2} + N_{sc}y_{sc} + N_{st}y_{st} , \]
\[ e = M_R/N_R . \]

When the eccentricity ratio \( e/r_s \leq 1 \), the response factors of the compressive resistance of concrete and reinforcement can be presented in the forms:

\[ k_c = \frac{N_{c1}(y_{c1} + r_s) - N_{c2}(y_{c2} + r_s)}{A_c f_{cc} r_s} \approx 1 - \frac{0.3e/r_s}{1 + 10p} , \]
\[ k_s = \frac{N_{sc}(y_{sc} + r_s) - N_{st}(r_s - y_{st})}{A_s \sigma_{sc}' r_s} \approx 1 - 0.34 e/r_s , \]

where \( f_{cc} \) is given by (5), \( \sigma_{sc}' \) by Eq. (7); \( A_c, A_s, r_s \) and \( \rho = A_s/A_c \) are the geometrical parameters. In the numerators and denominators of Eqs (13) and (14), the moments of inner concrete and reinforcement forces of eccentrically and concentrically loaded members with respect to point B of cross-sections (Fig. 3) are given, respectively. The usability of Eqs (13) and (14) in design practice is illustrated by Fig. 4.

The resisting compressive force and bending moment of eccentrically loaded members of annular cross-sections may be expressed as:

\[ N_R = [k_c 0.5(1 + r_s/r_c)A_c f_{cc} + k_s A_s \sigma_{sc}] r_s (e + r_s) \approx (k_c A_c f_{cc} + k_s A_s \sigma_{sc}) r_s (e + r_s) , \]
\[ M_R = N_R e , \]

where \( k_c \) is given by Eq. (13), \( k_s \) by Eq. (14), \( \sigma_{sc} \) by Eq. (9). When these members are concentrically loaded, the factors \( e = 0 \), \( k_c = k_s = 1 \) and Eq. (15) is presented in the form

\[ N_R = A_c f_c + A_s \sigma_{sc}' , \]

where \( \sigma_{sc}' \) is defined by Eq. (7).

When an eccentricity of applied forces is large, it is more expedient to treat tubular columns as members exposed to bending with concentrical force. According to Vadlūga (1983), at large values of compressive force eccentricity, the ultimate bending moment of annular cross-sections (Fig. 5) reinforced by mild steel bars could be calculated using the peculiar expression:

\[ M_R = 1.2r_s (A_s f_{st} + N_R) (1 - \alpha_c) . \]
Here the conventional value of the compression zone of sections is:

\[\alpha_c = \psi \pi = 0.5 \left(1 + \frac{r_c}{r_s}\right) A_s f_{st} + N_R \left[A_c f_{cc} + A_s \left(f_{st} + f_{sc}\right)\right] = \left(A_c f_{cc} + A_s \left(f_{st} + f_{sc}\right)\right),\]

where \(r_c\) and \(r_s\) are the radii of concrete and steel circles; \(f_{st}\) and \(f_{sc}\) – the tensile and compressive strength of longitudinal bars; \(A_s\) and \(A_c\) – the areas of steel reinforcement and concrete in the column cross-section; \(N_R\) represents the concentrical force; \(f_{cc}\) by Eq. (5) is the compressive strength of concrete in tubular columns.

An analysis of Eq. (18) revealed its universality. When the tubular members are reinforced by high-strength steel bars, the mean values of conventional strengths in tension and compression of reinforcement should be defined as: \(f_{stm} = 500\) MPa and \(f_{scm} = 600\) MPa.

The acceptability of Eqs (15) and (17) in the load-carrying capacity analysis of concentrically and eccentrically loaded tubular members is illustrated by Fig. 6. The means and standard deviations of the parameter which contains uncertainties of these equations and the peculiar expression by Eq. (18), are equal to \(\sigma_{R_{stm}} = 0.987 \pm 0.99\), \(\sigma_{R_{scm}} = 0.08\) and \(\sigma_{R_{ctm}} = 1.016 \pm 1.02\) (Vadlúga 1979) for compression (Section 3.1) and bending (Section 3.2) members, respectively.

4. Limit state design of eccentrically loaded columns

4.1. Compressive force and its eccentricity

The combined effects of building columns are caused by permanent \(G\), sustained \(Q_s(t)\) and extraordinary \(Q_e(t)\) live loads, snow \(S(t)\) and wind \(W(t)\) actions. The time-variant extreme live and climate loadings of structures belong to persistent design situations in spite of the short period of extreme events, it being much shorter than the designed working life of buildings. The selected design situations and the relevant limit states in design models of columns depend on the combination of variable actions that are considered to occur simultaneously.

The duration of annual extreme live loads \(d_Q\) is fairly short and equal to 1–14 days for commercial and 1–3 days for other buildings (JCSS 2000). Their renewal rates \(\lambda_Q = 1/t_s = 1/\text{year}\). Thus, during \(n = 50\) years service period, the average recurrence number of extreme annual live loads simultaneously on 2 and 3 storeys, respectively, are: \(n_2 = 2 t_s d_Q \lambda_Q^2 = 0.27–0.82\) and \(n_3 = 3 t_s d_Q \lambda_Q^3 = 0.001–0.01\). In design practice, this unfavourable combination of loads may be neglected.

The durations of annual extreme climate loads may be treated as: \(d_S = 14–28\) days and \(d_W = 8–12\) hours (Ellingwood 1981, JCSS 2000). Therefore, the average recurrence number of joint annual simultaneous extreme floor live and snow or wind loads during \(t_s = 50\) years period is equal to
The second-order eccentricities of the characteristic and design values of the effective flexural stiffness of columns may be defined as:

\[ N_{Ed} = \left( N_{Gk} Y_G + K_{F1} N_{Gk} Y_1 + N_{Gk} Y_2 \right), \]  

where the characteristic and design axial force of columns of multistorey frames should be:

\[ N_{Ed} = \left( N_{Gk} Y_G + K_{F1} N_{Gk} Y_1 + N_{Gk} Y_2 \right), \]

where the reliability class of structures is different for propped and unpropped precast columns of frames. Therefore, a slenderness for precast columns of multi-storey frames with in-situ and precast beams may be checked, respectively, with an effective length \( l_0 = 0.75 h_g \) and \( l_0 = h_g \), where \( h_g \) is a storey height.
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These buckling loads are based on the characteristic and design flexural stiffness of columns, when the basic value of concrete creep ratio under normal inside environmental conditions is equal to \( \Phi = 1.2 - 2.0 \) (EN 1992-1 2004).

The characteristic and design factors for concrete cracking and creep effects may be expressed as:

\[ K_{cd} \approx K_{cd} = 0.25/1 + \Phi M_{OEd}/M_{OEd}, \]

where \( M_{OEd} \) and \( M_{OEd} \) are the first-order bending moments caused by permanent and total loads.

According to EN 1990 (2002) recommendations, the characteristic and design values of the effective flexural stiffness of columns may be defined as:

\[ (EI)_{Ed} = (EI)_{Ed} = K_{cd} I_{cm} + E_s I_s, \]  

where the characteristic and design values of resisting compressive forces of the specimens tested by G. Aksomitas (2006) are:

\[ N_{Ed} = \left( N_{Gk} Y_G + K_{F1} N_{Gk} Y_1 + N_{Gk} Y_2 \right), \]

where the characteristic and design values of the effective flexural stiffness of columns may be defined as:

\[ N_{Ed} = \left( N_{Gk} Y_G + K_{F1} N_{Gk} Y_1 + N_{Gk} Y_2 \right), \]

where the reliability class of structures is different for propped and unpropped precast columns of frames (Kudzys et al. 2007).

The radius mean of spun concrete cross-sections \( r_{cm} = 0.9 (r_{cm} + r_s) \) is equal or close to the radius \( r_s \) of a circle of reinforcing bars. Thus, according to the current partial safety factors design (PSFD) used in Europe, the design values of resisting compressive forces by Eq. (15) and bending moments by Eq. (18) may be expressed in the following forms:

\[ N_{Ed} = \left( k_{cd} A_{cm} f_{cd} + k_{cd} A_s \sigma_{scd} \right) r_s, \]  

where \( K_{cd} \approx K_{cd} = 0.25/1 + \Phi M_{OEd}/M_{OEd}, \)

where \( M_{OEd} \) and \( M_{OEd} \) are the first-order bending moments caused by permanent and total loads.

The second-order eccentricities of the characteristic and design applied compressive forces \( N_{Ed} \) and \( N_{Ed} \) are:

\[ e_k = e_{ok} \eta_k \]  

but not less as \( r_2/15 \) and 20 mm.
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\[ N_{Ed} = \pi^2 (EI)_{Ed}/l_0^2 \]  

These buckling loads are based on the characteristic and design flexural stiffness of columns, when the basic value of concrete creep ratio under normal inside environmental conditions is equal to \( \Phi = 1.2 - 2.0 \) (EN 1992-1 2004).

The characteristic and design factors for concrete cracking and creep effects may be expressed as:

\[ K_{cd} \approx K_{cd} = 0.25/1 + \Phi M_{OEd}/M_{OEd}, \]

where \( M_{OEd} \) and \( M_{OEd} \) are the first-order bending moments caused by permanent and total loads.
where \( p_m = A_s/A_{cm} \), \( e_{Ed}^{EN} \) is given by Eq. (20),
\[
 k_{Ed}^{EN} = 1 - 0.3 e_{Ed}^{EN} \left[ \frac{N}{f_r(1 + 1.0 p_m)} \right],
\]
\[
 k_{Ed}^{EN} = 1 - 0.34 e_{Ed}^{EN} / f_r ;
\]
\[
 \sigma_{sEd} = \sigma_{sEd} / f_y ; \quad \sigma_{sd} = \sigma_{sd} / f_y = 0.9 f_{scm} / f_y ;
\]
\[
 f'_{sEd} = f_s / f_y = 0.9 f_{scm} / f_y ,
\]
are the design values of concrete and reinforcement parameters;
\( N_{Ed}^{EN} \) is the design value of the total compressive force.

Using the PSFD method, the structural design of columns is considered to be sufficient, if the ultimate limit state of columns is not exceeded. This requirement is expressed as \( N_{Ed}^{EN} \geq N_{Ed}^{EN} \) and \( M_{Ed}^{EN} \geq M_{Ed}^{EN} e_{Ed}^{EN} \).

Combining factored loads by ASCE/SEI 7-05 (2006) directions, the design value of the total compressive force of multistorey frames should be expressed as follows:
\[
 N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma G + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma Q_1 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma S_2
\]
(30)
\[
 N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma G + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma S_1 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma Q_2
\]
(31)
for columns of non-sway frames;
\[ N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma G + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma W_1 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma Q_2 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma S_2
\]
(32)
for columns of multistorey sway frames;
\[ N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma G + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma S_1 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma W_2
\]
(33)
\[
 N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma G + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma W_1 + N_{Ed}^{EN} \gamma S_2
\]
(34)
for columns of single-storey sway frames, where \( N_{Ed}^{EN} \), \( N_{Ed}^{EN} \), \( N_{Ed}^{EN} \), and \( N_{Ed}^{EN} \) are the components caused by characteristic permanent, \( Q \), variable occupancy \( Q \), snow \( S \) and wind \( W \) loads. The values of partial safety factors for loads are: \( \gamma G = 1.2 \), \( \gamma Q_1 = 1.6 \), \( \gamma Q_2 = 0.5 \) or 1.0 (when uniformly distributed live loads are not more and more than 4.8 kN/m², respectively), \( \gamma S_1 = 1.6 \), \( \gamma S_2 = 0.5 \), \( \gamma W_1 = 1.6 \) and \( \gamma W_2 = 0.8 \).

According to the current load and resistance factors design (LRFD) used in the USA and some other countries, the design values of compressive forces by Eq. (15) and bending moments by Eq. (18) and may be presented as follows:
\[
 N_{Ed}^{LRFD} = m \Phi N_{Rk} = \Phi(k_{ck} A_{cm} f_{ck} + k_{ck} A_{sck} \sigma_{sck}) \times
 r_s [e_s + r_s],
\]
(35)
\[
 M_{Ed}^{LRFD} = \Phi M_{Rk} = \Phi l.2 r_s (A_s f_{st} + N_{Ek}) \times
\]
where \( e_s \) is given by Eq. (20);
\[
 f_{ck} = 1 - 0.3 e_s / f_r ;
\]
\[
 f_{scm} = A_{sck} \sigma_{sck} ;
\]
\[
 r_s = 0.9 f_{scm} / f_y ;
\]
\[
 M_{Ed}^{LRFD} = \Phi l.2 r_s (A_s f_{st} + N_{Ek}) \times
\]
5. Numerical illustration
The spun concrete column at the first-floor level of braced multistorey frames of Reliability Class RC2 by EN 1990 (2002) or Category II by ASCE/SEI 7-05 (2006) is under exposure of permanent, \( Q \), variable live, \( Q \), and snow, \( S \), action effects (Fig. 7). The multiplication factor for unfavourable variable actions \( K_f = 1.0 \). The characteristic and design values of action effects are presented in Table 1.

The geometrical parameters of a spun concrete column are:
\[
 l_{cm} = 3.0 \text{ m}, \quad r_2 = 0.15 \text{ m}, \quad r_1 = 0.09 \text{ m}, \quad r_s = 0.12 \text{ m}, \quad A_m = 0.04524 \text{ m}^2, \quad A_s = 0.00181 \text{ m}^2, \quad A_{cm} = A_{sck} = 0.0434 \text{ m}^2, \quad \rho_m = A_m / A_{cm} = 0.417
\]
\[
 I_m = \pi [r_1^4 - r_2^4] / 4 = 346 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^4, \quad I_s = \pi [r_s^4 - r_2^4] / 4 = 1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^4.
\]

The parameters of the spun concrete C50/60 are given by:
\[
 f_{ck} = 50 \text{ MPa}, \quad \alpha_2 = 0.85 \times 0.0417 = 0.779, \quad \gamma_c = 1.5,
\]
\[
 A_{sck} = 1 - 0.1 N_{Ek} / N_{Ek} = 0.9114, \quad f_{scm} = 0.9114 \times 0.779 \times 50 \times 1.5 = 36.48 \text{ MPa},
\]
\[
 f_{scm} = 0.9126 \times 0.779 \times 50 \times 1.5 = 23.7 \text{ MPa}, \quad E_c = 20(0.1 \times f_{cm})^0.3 = 38.89 \text{ GPa},
\]
\[
 E_s = E_c / 1.2 = 28.24 \text{ GPa}.
\]
Table 1. Compressive forces and first order bending moments

| Action effects | \( N_G \) kN | \( M_{OG} \) kNm | \( N_Q \) kN | \( M_{OQ} \) kNm | \( N_S \) kN | \( M_{OS} \) kNm | \( N_E \) kN | \( M_{OE} \) kNm |
|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| Characteristic values | 612.0 | 28.80 | 65.00 | 16.25 | 28.00 | 3.50 | 705.0 | 48.55 |
| Design values by EN 1990 | 826.2 | 38.88 | 97.50 | 24.37 | 21.00 | 2.62 | 944.7 | 65.88 |
| Design values by ASCE 7-05 | 734.4 | 34.56 | 104.00 | 26.00 | 14.00 | 1.75 | 825.4 | 62.31 |

To calculate the design and characteristic forces and moments, the following equations are used:

\[
\sigma_s = 2.26(1.36 + 4\rho_m)^{10^{-3}} \times E_s = 690 \text{ MPa},
\]

\[
\gamma_s = 1.15, \quad \sigma_{scd} = \frac{690}{1.15} = 600 \text{ MPa},
\]

\[
f_{ck} = 0.9 \times 600 = 540 \text{ MPa},
\]

\[
f_{ckd} = 540/1.15 = 470 \text{ MPa}.
\]

According to Eq. (24), the design and characteristic factors for concrete cracking and creep effects are:

\[
E_{ckd} = 88.65\times 705/705 = 0.069 \text{ m}.
\]

\[
k_{cd} = 0.069\times 705 = 0.069 \text{ m}.
\]

\[
k_{ck} = 0.945/0.069 = 0.0903 \text{ m}.
\]

According to EN 1990 [18] directions, the design values of resisting compressive force by Eq. (28) and bending moment by Eq. (29) of the column are:

\[
N_{Ed}^{EN} = 0.945 \times (0.0903/0.12) = 0.841 \text{ kNm},
\]

\[
N_{Ed}^{EN} = 0.0859 \times 1.3 = 0.0853 \text{ MNm}.
\]

According to ASCE/SEI 7-05 (2006) recommendations, the design values of resisting compressive force by Eq. (35) and bending moment by Eq. (36) of the column are:

\[
N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = 0.945 \times (0.0903/0.0859) = 0.945 \times 0.0903 = 0.0853 \text{ MNm}.
\]
\[ N_{Ed}^{ASCE} = 0.65 \left(0.85 \times 0.0434 \times 36.48 + 0.764 \times 0.00181 \times 690 \right) \times 0.12 \left(0.0832 + 0.12 \right) = 0.882 \text{ MN} \]

\[ M_{Ed}^{ASCE} = 0.852 \text{ MN}, \]

\[ M_{Ed}^{ASCE} = 0.65 \times 1.2 \times 0.12 \left(0.00181 \times 450 + 0.705 \right) \times \]

\[ \left[ 1 - \frac{0.00181 \times 450 + 0.705}{0.0434 \times 35.48 + 0.00181 \times (450 + 540)} \right] = 0.0782 \text{ MNm} = N_{Ed}^{ASCE} \times 0.852 \times 0.09205 = 0.0785 \text{ MNm}. \]

According to both limit state design methods, the analysed columns are suitable in service. Their analysis results slightly depended on the methodological concepts of PSFD and LRFD approaches, presented in EN 1990 (2002) and ASCE/SEI 7-05 (2006), respectively.

6. Conclusion

The concepts of the compression with a bending moment and the bending with a concentric force may be successfully used in the structural analysis of eccentrically loaded fairly complicated spun concrete columns reinforced by high-strength steel bars uniformly distributed throughout their annular cross-sections. The positive features of high-strength reinforcing steel bars may be successfully used in the design practice of any in-situ or precast reinforced concrete columns and other compression members.

When the eccentricity ratio \( e/r_N \leq 1 \), it is expedient to treat these columns as structural members exposed to compression with a bending moment. In this case, the bearing capacity of columns as their resisting compressive force may be calculated by Eq. (15). The fitness of this equation is corroborated by abundant experimental data.

The design values of resisting compressive forces and bending moments of columns may be calculated by unsophisticated Eqs (28), (29) and (35), (36) recommended in this paper and adapted, respectively, to the current methods of partial safety factors design (PSFD) in Europe and load and resistance factors design (LRFD) in the USA. Regardless of their features, both design methods lead to the close analysis results of eccentrically loaded columns.
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CENTRIFUGUOTOJO BETONO KOLONŲ PROJEKTAVIMAS RIBINIŲ BŪVIŲ METODO NAUDOJANT EN 1990 IR ASCE/SEI 7-05 REKOMENDUOJAMUS APKROVŲ DERINIUS

A. Kudzys, R. Kliukas

S a n t r a u k a

Aptariamas didžiastiprio plieno strypais armuotų žiedinio skerspjūvio centrifuguotojo betono kolonų naudotinumas. Pažeista armuotojo ir nearmuotojo centrifuguotojo betono medžiagų savybės, efektyvumo veiksniai ir laikomieji gniuždymo įtempiai. Ekscentriškai gniuždomų žiedinio skerspjūvio elementų laikomajai galiai modeliuoti taikomos lenkiamojo gniuždymo ir gniuždomojo lenkimo sampratos. Analizuojamas centriškai ir ekscentriškai apkrautų elementų eksperimentinių ir modeliavimo duomenų sugrąžinimas, nagrinėjamas Europos, JAV ir kitų šalių projektavimo praktikoje įteisintų ir paplitusių dalinių veiksnių ir atsparių veiksnių metodų taikymo tikslingumas, kurį rodo skaitmeninis pavyzdys.

Reikšminiai žodžiai: centrifuguotas betonas, didžiastipris plienas, gniuždymo bandymas, ekscentrinis apkrovimas, ribinių būvių metodas.
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