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Abstract

We give results characterising ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 9 and 27. This leads to a complete characterisation of values that ternary Kloosterman sums assume modulo 18 and 54. The proofs uses Stickelberger’s theorem, the Gross-Koblitz formula and Fourier analysis.
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1 Introduction

Let \( K_{p^n}(a) \) denote the \( p \)-ary Kloosterman sum defined by

\[
K_{p^n}(a) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \zeta^{\text{Tr}(x^{p^n-2}+ax)},
\]

for any \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \), where \( \zeta \) is a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity and \( \text{Tr} \) denotes the absolute trace map \( \text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \) defined as usual as

\[
\text{Tr}(c) := c + c^p + c^{p^2} + \cdots + c^{p^{n-1}}.
\]

Kloosterman sums have attracted attention thanks to their various links to other related fields. For instance, a zero of a binary Kloosterman sum on \( \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \) leads to a bent function from
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\( \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \) as proven by Dillon in [2]. Similarly, zeros of ternary Kloosterman sums give rise to ternary bent functions [6]. However determining a zero of a Kloosterman sum is not easy. A recent result in this direction is the following: a binary or ternary Kloosterman sum \( K_{p^n}(a) \) is not zero if \( a \) is in a proper subfield of \( \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \) except when \( p = 2, n = 4, a = 1 \), see [14].

Given the difficulty of the problem of finding zeros (or explicit values) of Kloosterman sums, and that they sometimes do not exist, one is generally satisfied with divisibility results and characterisation of Kloosterman sums modulo some integer (see [15, 13, 3, 1, 14]).

It is easy to see that binary Kloosterman sums are divisible by 4 = 2^2, i.e., for all \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \),

\[
K_{2^n}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.
\]  

(1)

They also satisfy (see [10])

\[
-2^{n/2+1} \leq K_{2^n}(a) \leq 2^{n/2+1},
\]

and take every value which is congruent to 0 modulo 4 in that range.

Helleseth and Zinoviev proved the following result which improved (1) one level higher, i.e., modulo 2^3, in the sense of describing the \( a \) for which \( K_{2^n}(a) \) is 0 or 4 modulo 8.

**Theorem 1.** [7] For \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \),

\[
K_{2^n}(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \pmod{8} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0, \\
4 \pmod{8} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Similar to the binary case, it is easy to see that ternary Kloosterman sums are divisible by 3, i.e., for all \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \),

\[
K_{3^n}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.
\]  

(2)

Ternary Kloosterman sums satisfy (see Katz and Livné [8])

\[
-2\sqrt{3^n} < K_{3^n}(a) < 2\sqrt{3^n}
\]

and take every value which is congruent to 0 modulo 3 in that range.

We will prove the following theorem, a simple characterisation of ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 3^2 using the trace map (similar to Helleseth-Zinoviev result for binary case), by using Stickelberger’s theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For \( a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \),

\[
K_{3^n}(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0, \\
3 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1, \\
6 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2.
\end{cases}
\]
This result is implied by a result of van der Geer and van der Vlugt [18].

We will also give a characterisation modulo $3^3$ of Kloosterman sums, using the Gross-Koblitz formula. The characterisation will depend on a generalisation of the trace function. Note that the trace of an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ can be written as

$$\text{Tr}(a) := \sum_{i \in W_1} a^i,$$

where $W_1 := \{p^i \mid i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}\}$. We will use a generalised trace $\tau_S : \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$\tau_S(a) := \sum_{i \in S} a^i,$$

where $S$ can be assigned to any subset of $\{0, \ldots, p^n - 2\}$ satisfying

$$S^p := \{s^p \pmod{p^n - 1} \mid s \in S\} = S,$$

particularly quadratic and cubic powers of $p$, in contrast to the set of linear powers $W_1$.

We will define the sets

$$X := \{r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} \mid r = 3^i + 3^j\}, \ (i, j \text{ not necessarily distinct})$$

$$Y := \{r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} \mid r = 3^i + 3^j + 3^k, i, j, k \text{ distinct}\},$$

$$Z := \{r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} \mid r = 2 \cdot 3^i + 3^j, i \neq j\}.$$

Our main result is

**Theorem 3.** Let $n \geq 3$, and let $q = 3^n$. Then

$$K_{q}(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 0 \text{ and } 2\tau_X(a) = 0 \\
3 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 1 \\
6 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 2 \\
9 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + 2\tau_X(a) = 1 \\
12 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 0 \\
15 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 0 \\
18 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + 2\tau_X(a) = 2 \\
21 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 1 \\
24 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 1.
\end{cases}$$

Recently, we have proved a similar result for the binary case, using $\tau_Q$, where $Q := \{2^i + 2^j \mid i, j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}, i \neq j\}$. 

3
Theorem 4. \cite{4} For $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$,

$$
\mathcal{K}_{2^n}(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{mod } 16 \text{ if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Q(a) = 0, \\
4 & \text{mod } 16 \text{ if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Q(a) = 1, \\
8 & \text{mod } 16 \text{ if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Q(a) = 1, \\
12 & \text{mod } 16 \text{ if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Q(a) = 0.
\end{cases}
$$

For the ternary case we mention a recent result due to Lisonek \cite{13} that gives a description of the elements $a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$ for which $\mathcal{K}(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$, which is also implied by the van der Geer-van der Vlugt result.

Theorem 5. \cite{13} Let $n \geq 2$. For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{3^n}$, $\mathcal{K}_{3^n}(a)$ is divisible by 9 if and only if $\text{Tr}(a) = 0$.

In Sections 2 and 3, we will introduce the techniques we use. In Section 4 we will give the results modulo 9. In Section 5 we will give the modulo 27 result.

## 2 Stickelberger’s theorem

Let $p$ be a prime (in Section 4 we set $p = 3$). Consider multiplicative characters taking their values in an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\xi$ be a primitive $(q-1)^{th}$ root of unity in a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The group of multiplicative characters of $\mathbb{F}_q$ (denoted $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$) is cyclic of order $q-1$. The group $\hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ is generated by the Teichmüller character $\omega : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\xi)$, which, for a fixed generator $t$ of $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$, is defined by $\omega(t^j) = \xi^j$. We set $\omega(0)$ to be 0. An equivalent definition is that $\omega$ satisfies

$$\omega(a) \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Let $\zeta$ be a fixed primitive $p$-th root of unity in the fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\mu$ be the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_q$,

$$\mu(x) = \zeta^{\text{Tr}(x)}$$

where Tr denotes the absolute trace map from $\mathbb{F}_q$ to $\mathbb{F}_p$.

The Gauss sum (see \cite{12, 20}) of a character $\chi \in \hat{\mathbb{F}}_q^\times$ is defined as

$$\tau(\chi) = -\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(x)\mu(x).$$
We define
\[ g(j) := \tau(\omega^{-j}). \]

For any positive integer \( j \), let \( \text{wt}_p(j) \) denote the \( p \)-weight of \( j \), i.e.,
\[ \text{wt}_p(j) = \sum_i j_i \]
where \( \sum_i j_ip^i \) is the \( p \)-ary expansion of \( j \).

Let \( \pi \) be the unique \((p-1)\)th root of \(-p\) in \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta, \xi) \) satisfying
\[ \pi \equiv \zeta - 1 \pmod{\pi^2}. \]

Wan [19] noted that the following improved version of Stickelberger’s theorem is a direct consequence of the Gross-Koblitz formula (see Section 5).

**Theorem 6.** [19] Let \( 1 \leq j < q - 1 \) and let \( j = j_0 + j_1p + \cdots + j_{n-1}p^{n-1} \). Then
\[ g(j) \equiv \pi^{\text{wt}_p(j)} \frac{1}{j_0! \cdots j_{n-1}!} \pmod{\pi^{\text{wt}_p(j)+1}}. \]

Stickelberger’s theorem, as usually stated, is the same congruence modulo \( \pi^{\text{wt}_p(j)+1} \).

We have (see [5]) that \((\pi)\) is the unique prime ideal of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta, \xi) \) lying above \( p \). Since \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta, \xi) \) is an unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta) \), a totally ramified (degree \( p - 1 \)) extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), it follows that \((\pi)^{p-1} = (p)\) and \( \nu_p(\pi) = \frac{1}{p-1} \). Here \( \nu_p \) denotes the \( p \)-adic valuation.

Therefore Theorem 6 implies that \( \nu_\pi(g(j)) = \text{wt}_p(j) \), and because \( \nu_p(g(j)) = \nu_\pi(g(j)) \cdot \nu_p(\pi) \) we get
\[ \nu_p(g(j)) = \frac{\text{wt}_p(j)}{p-1}. \quad (3) \]

In this paper we have \( p = 3 \). In that case, \( \pi = -2\zeta - 1 \) and \( \pi^2 = -3 \). Hence (3) becomes
\[ \nu_3(g(j)) = \frac{\text{wt}_3(j)}{2}. \quad (4) \]

### 3 Fourier coefficients

The Fourier transform of a function \( f : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) at \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \) is defined to be
\[ \hat{f}(a) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(x) \mu(ax). \]
The complex number $\hat{f}(a)$ is called the Fourier coefficient of $f$ at $a$.

Consider monomial functions defined by $f(x) = \mu(x^d)$. When $d = -1$ we have $\hat{f}(a) = \mathcal{K}_{p^n}(a)$. By a similar Fourier analysis argument to that in Katz [9] or Langevin-Leander [11], for any $d$ we have

$$\hat{f}(a) = \frac{q}{q - 1} + \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{q-2} \tau(\bar{\omega}^j) \tau(\omega^{jd}) \bar{\omega}^{jd}(a)$$

and hence

$$\hat{f}(a) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{q-2} \tau(\bar{\omega}^j) \tau(\omega^{jd}) \bar{\omega}^{jd}(a) \pmod{q}.$$ 

We will use this to obtain congruence information about Kloosterman sums. Putting $d = -1 = p^n - 2$, the previous congruence becomes

$$\mathcal{K}(a) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{q-2} (g(j))^2 \omega^j(a) \pmod{a}. \quad (5)$$

In this paper, $p = 3$. Equation (4) gives the 3-adic valuation of the Gauss sums $g(j)$, and the 3-adic valuation of each term in equation (5) follows. Our proofs will consider (5) at various levels, i.e., modulo $3^2$ and $3^3$.

4 Ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 9

In this section we will prove our result using Stickelberger’s theorem. First we need a lemma which helps us in our proof.

**Lemma 7.** Let $p$ be a prime, $q = p^n$ and $r \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. If $T_r$ denotes the set \{ $a \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid \text{Tr}(a) = r$ \}, then

$$\sum_{t \in T_r} t^{-1} = r^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** Consider the polynomials

$$g(x) = \prod_{t \in T_r} (x - t),$$

$$h(x) = \prod_{t \in T_r} (x - t^{-1}).$$
Note that \( g(x) \) vanishes on the \( p^{n-1} \) elements of \( T_r \). Thus
\[
g(x) = x^{p^{n-1}} + x^{p^{n-2}} + \cdots + x - r.
\]
In particular,
\[
\prod_{t \in T_r} (-t) = -r,
\]
so
\[
\prod_{t \in T_r} (-t^{-1}) = -r^{-1}.
\]
The reciprocal polynomial of \( g \) is \( g^*(x) = x^{p^{n-1}} g(1/x) \).

We therefore get
\[
h(x) = -r^{-1} g^*(x)
\]
\[
= -r^{-1} x^{p^{n-1}} g(1/x)
\]
\[
= x^{p^{n-1}} - r^{-1} x^{p^{n-1}-1} - \cdots - r^{-1} x^{p^{n-2}} - r^{-1}.
\]
Thus
\[
\sum_{t \in T_r} (-t^{-1}) = -r^{-1}.
\]

From now on, we set \( p = 3 \), so that \( K_q(a) \) is an integer for \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Since there will not be any confusion with binary Kloosterman sums we will write \( K(a) \) for \( K_q(a) \). We consider the function \( f(x) = \mu(x^{-1}) = \mu(x^{q-2}) \). Then \( \hat{f}(a) \) is the Kloosterman sum \( K(a) \). The following lemma will be needed.

**Lemma 8.** Let \( q = 3^n \), and \( T_1 \) be as defined above. Then
\[
\sum_{z \in T_1} \bar{\omega}(z) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.
\]

**Proof.** Follows directly from Lemma 7 and the definition of the Teichmüller character. \( \square \)

We can now state our main result of this section.

**Theorem 9.** Let \( q = 3^n \) for some integer \( n > 1 \). For \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \),
\[
K_q(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0, \\
3 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1, \\
6 \pmod{9} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2.
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. By (5)

\[ K(a) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{q-2} g(j)^2 \omega^j(a) \pmod{q}. \]  

(6)

Let, for any \(0 < t < q-1\), the 3-adic expansion of \(t\) be \(t = t_0 + 3t_1 + \cdots + 3^{n-1}t_{n-1}\) and let \(P\) be the prime of \(\mathbb{Q}_3(\xi, \zeta)\) lying above 3. As we mentioned in Section 2, Stickelberger’s theorem implies that

\[ \nu_P(g(t)) = wt_3(t) = t_0 + t_1 + \cdots + t_{n-1} \]

\[ \nu_3(g(t)) = \frac{wt_3(t)}{2}, \]

and so \(\nu_3((g(t))^2) = wt_3(t)\).  

(7)

Now (7) implies that any term in the sum in (6) with \(wt_3(j) > 1\) will be 0 modulo 9, so (6) modulo 9 becomes a sum over terms of weight 1 only:

\[ K(a) \equiv -\sum_{0 \leq i < n} g(3^i)^2 \omega^{3^i}(a) \pmod{9}. \]

By Lemma 6.5 of [20], \(g(3^i) = g(1)\), so we obtain

\[ K(a) \equiv -g(1)^2 \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \omega^{3^i}(a) \pmod{9}. \]  

(8)

By definition of \(\omega\), we have

\[ \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \omega^{3^i}(a) \equiv \text{Tr}(a) \pmod{3}. \]  

(9)

Since \(\nu_3(g(1)^2) = wt_3(1) = 1\), the proof of the theorem reduces to determining \(g(1)^2 \pmod{9}\). We calculate, using the notation of Lemma 7,

\[
g(1) = -\sum_{x \in F_q^\times} \bar{\omega}(x) c^{\text{Tr}(x)}
\]

\[= -\sum_{x \in T_0} \bar{\omega}(x) - \sum_{x \in T_1} \bar{\omega}(x) \zeta - \sum_{x \in T_1} \bar{\omega}(-x) \zeta^2 \]

\[= (\zeta^2 - \zeta) \sum_{x \in T_1} \bar{\omega}(x) \]

because \(\bar{\omega}(-x) = -\bar{\omega}(x)\), \(T_2 = -T_1\), and the sum over \(T_0\) is 0. This implies

\[ g(1)^2 = (\zeta^2 - \zeta)^2 \left(\sum_{x \in T_1} \bar{\omega}(x) \right)^2. \]
But we have \((\zeta^2 - \zeta)^2 = -3\). This, together with Lemma 8, implies
\[
g(1)^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{9}.
\] (10)
Combining this with (9), the congruence (8) becomes
\[
\mathcal{K}(a) \equiv 3 \Tr(a) \pmod{9}
\]
as required. \(\square\)

Garaschuk and Lisonek proves the following theorem which characterises ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 2.

**Theorem 10.**\([14]\) Let \(\sqrt{a}\) denote any \(b \in \mathbb{F}_3^*\) such that \(b^2 = a\).
\[
\mathcal{K}_{3^n}(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{2} & \text{if } a = 0 \text{ or } a \text{ is a square and } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) \neq 0, \\ 1 \pmod{2} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Theorem 9 and Theorem 10 together give a full characterisation of ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 18, which we summarise in the following corollary.

**Corollary 11.** Let \(q = 3^n\). For \(a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\),
\[
\mathcal{K}_q(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 0 \text{ and } a \text{ square with } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) \neq 0, \\ 3 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 1 \text{ and } a \text{ non-square or } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) = 0, \\ 6 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 2 \text{ and } a \text{ square with } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) \neq 0, \\ 9 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 0 \text{ and } a \text{ non-square or } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) = 0, \\ 12 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 1 \text{ and } a \text{ square with } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) \neq 0, \\ 15 \pmod{18} & \text{if } \Tr(a) = 2 \text{ and } a \text{ non-square or } \Tr(\sqrt{a}) = 0. \end{cases}
\]

## 5 Ternary Kloosterman sums modulo 27

To be able to give higher level congruences we will need a result stronger than Stickelberger’s theorem. Recall that Gauss sums lie in \(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta, \xi]\), and that \((\pi)\) is the unique prime ideal of \(\mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta, \xi]\) lying above \(p\). All congruences involving Gauss sums take place in this ring, so when we write \(g(j)^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{27}\) we mean that \(g(j)^2 - 6\) is in the ideal \((27)\). The **Gross-Koblitz formula**\([5, 17]\) states that
\[
g(j) = \pi^{\text{wt}_p(j)} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_p \left( \left\langle \frac{p^i j}{q-1} \right\rangle \right)
\] (11)
where \(\langle x \rangle\) is the fractional part of a rational number \(x\), and \(\Gamma_p\) is the \(p\)-adic Gamma function \(\Gamma_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}\) defined by (cf. [16]).
\[ \Gamma_p(k) = (-1)^k \prod_{t<k \atop (t,p)=1} t. \]

The following result helps one computing the \( p \)-adic Gamma function modulo \( p^k \).

**Theorem 12** (Generalised Wilson’s theorem). [16]

Suppose \( x \equiv y \pmod{p^k} \). If \( p^k \neq 4 \), then

\[ \Gamma_p(x) \equiv \Gamma_p(y) \pmod{p^k}. \]

This theorem is actually a consequence of Gauss’ generalisation of Wilson’s theorem. Now let us prove a lemma on evaluations of the \( p \)-adic Gamma function. This lemma will allow us to evaluate Gauss sums for higher moduli and find Kloosterman congruences modulo 27.

**Lemma 13.** Let \( q = 3^n \) and let \( i \) be an integer in the range \([0, n-1]\). Then

\[ \Gamma_3 \left( \left\langle \frac{3^i}{q-1} \right\rangle \right) \equiv \begin{cases} 13 \pmod{27} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 1 \pmod{27} & \text{if } i > 1. \end{cases} \]

**Proof.** For any \( j \), we have \( 3^j \leq q \), and

\[ \left\langle \frac{3^i}{q-1} \right\rangle = \frac{3^i}{q-1} \equiv 3^i(3^j - 1) \pmod{3^j}, \]

so

\[ \Gamma_3 \left( \left\langle \frac{3^i}{q-1} \right\rangle \right) \equiv \Gamma_3(26 \cdot 3^i) \pmod{27}. \]

If \( i \geq 3 \), then \( 26 \cdot 3^i \equiv 0 \pmod{27} \), and

\[ \Gamma_3 \left( \left\langle \frac{3^i}{q-1} \right\rangle \right) \equiv 1 \pmod{27}, \]

Now \( \Gamma_3(26 \cdot 3) \equiv \Gamma_3(24) \pmod{27} \) using Generalised Wilson’s theorem. And \( \Gamma_3(24) \equiv 13 \pmod{9} \). Similarly:

\[ \Gamma_3(26 \cdot 9) \equiv 1 \pmod{27}. \]

Lemma 13 allows us to compute Gauss sums modulo 27:
Lemma 14. Let $q = 3^n$. Then

$$g(j)^2 \equiv \begin{cases} 
6 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{wt}_p(j) = 1, \\
9 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{wt}_p(j) = 2, \\
0 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{wt}_p(j) \geq 3.
\end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $\text{wt}_p(j) = 1$. By the Gross-Koblitz formula and Lemma 13,

$$g(j) \equiv 13\pi \pmod{27}.$$ 

Let

$$g(j) = 27A + 13\pi$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta, \xi]$. Then

$$g(j)^2 = 27^2A^2 + 2 \cdot 27 \cdot 13A + 169\pi^2$$

$$\equiv 169\pi^2 \pmod{27}$$

$$\equiv 6 \pmod{27}$$

since $\pi^2 = -3$. Now suppose $\text{wt}_p(j) = 2$. By the Gross-Koblitz formula,

$$g(j) \equiv -3 \pmod{9}.$$ 

Thus $g(j) = 9X - 3$ for some $X \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta, \xi]$, so

$$g(j)^2 = 81X^2 - 54X + 9 \equiv 9 \pmod{27}.$$ 

It is clear from the Gross-Koblitz formula that if $\text{wt}_p(j) > 2$, then

$$27|\pi^2 \text{wt}_p(j)|g(j)^2.$$ 

Consider again the trace function $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \to \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$\text{Tr}(c) = c + c^p + c^{p^2} + \cdots + c^{p^{n-1}}.$$ 

We wish to generalise this definition to a larger class of finite field sums, which includes the usual trace function as a special case.

**Definition 1.** Let $p$ be a prime, let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and let $q = p^n$. For any $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $S^p = S$ where $S^p := \{s^p \mid s \in S\}$, define the $S$-trace to be the function $\tau_S : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$\tau_S(c) := \sum_{s \in S} c^s.$$
Let
\[ X := \{ r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} | r = 3^i + 3^j \}, \quad (i, j \text{ not necessarily distinct}) \]
\[ Y := \{ r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} | r = 3^i + 3^j + 3^k, i, j, k \text{ distinct} \}, \]
\[ Z := \{ r \in \{0, \ldots, q - 2\} | r = 2 \cdot 3^i + 3^j, i \neq j \}. \]

Now we are ready to prove our result on Kloosterman sums modulo 27.

**Theorem 15.** Let \( K_q \) be the usual \( q \)-ary Kloosterman sum, let
\[ \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) = \sum_{\text{wt}_3(i)=1} \omega^i(a), \quad \text{and let} \quad \hat{\tau}_X(a) = \sum_{\text{wt}_3(j)=2} \omega^j(a). \]

Then
\[
K_{3^n}(a) \equiv 21\hat{\text{Tr}}(a) + 18\hat{\tau}_X(a) \pmod{27}.
\] (12)

**Proof.** Using (5) and Lemma 14, we get
\[
K(a) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{q-2} g(j)^2 \omega^j(a) \pmod{q}
\]
\[
\equiv -\sum_{\text{wt}_3(j)=1} g(j)^2 \omega^j(a) - \sum_{\text{wt}_3(j)=2} g(j)^2 \omega^j(a) \pmod{27}
\]
\[
\equiv -6 \sum_{\text{wt}_3(j)=1} \omega^j(a) - 9 \sum_{\text{wt}_3(j)=2} \omega^j(a) \pmod{27}
\]
\[
\equiv 21\hat{\text{Tr}}(a) + 18\hat{\tau}_X(a) \pmod{27}. \]

It would be preferable to express the above result in terms of operations within \( F_q \) itself. Note that in (12) we only need \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) \) modulo 9 and \( \hat{\tau}_X(a) \) modulo 3. We have
\[ \tau_X(a) \equiv \hat{\tau}_X(a) \pmod{3}. \]

We need to find some condition for \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) \) modulo 9 using functions from \( F_q \) to \( F_p \). We will do that in the proof of the following corollary.

**Corollary 16.** Let \( n \geq 3 \), and let \( q = 3^n \). Then
\[
K_q(a) \equiv 21\text{Tr}(a)^3 + 18\tau_Z(a) + 9\tau_Y(a) + 18\tau_X(a) \pmod{27}.
\]
Proof. First note that \( \hat{Q}(a) \equiv \tau_X(a) \pmod{3} \), by the basic property of the Teichmüller character.

To determine \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) \pmod{9} \), we compute

\[
\hat{\text{Tr}}(a)^3 = \sum_{i,j,k \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}} \omega(a^{3i+3j+3k})
= \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) + 3 \sum_{r \in Z} \omega(a^r) + 6 \sum_{r \in Y} \omega(a^r),
\]

and note the elementary fact that if \( x \equiv y \pmod{m} \), then \( x^m \equiv y^m \pmod{m^2} \). This means that \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a)^3 \pmod{9} \) is given by \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a) \pmod{3} = \text{Tr}(a) \), i.e. \( \hat{\text{Tr}}(a)^3 \pmod{9} = \text{Tr}(a)^3 \).

Since

\[
\sum_{r \in Z} \omega(a^r) \equiv \tau_Z(a) \pmod{3}
\]
and

\[
\sum_{r \in Y} \omega(a^r) \equiv \tau_Y(a) \pmod{3},
\]

we have that

\[
\hat{\text{Tr}}(a) \equiv \text{Tr}(a)^3 - 3\tau_Z(a) - 6\tau_Y(a) \pmod{9},
\]
proving the result. \( \square \)

Note that

\[
\text{Tr}(a) \tau_X(a) = \text{Tr}(a) + 2\tau_Z(a).
\]

Thus Corollary 16 can be rewritten as

\[
K_q(a) \equiv 21 \text{Tr}(a)^3 + 18 \text{Tr}(a) + 18\tau_X(a) + 9 \text{Tr}(a)\tau_X(a) + 9\tau_Y(a) \pmod{27}. \tag{13}
\]

The smallest field for which each of the 27 possible values of \( (\text{Tr}(a), \tau_X(a), \tau_Y(a)) \) occurs is \( \mathbb{F}_{3^n} \).

**Corollary 17.** Let \( n \geq 3 \), and let \( q = 3^n \). Then

\[
K_q(a) \equiv \begin{cases} 
0 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + 2\tau_X(a) = 0 \\
3 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 2 \\
6 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 2 \\
9 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + 2\tau_X(a) = 1 \\
12 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 0 \\
15 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 0 \\
18 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 0 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + 2\tau_X(a) = 2 \\
21 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 1 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) = 1 \\
24 \pmod{27} & \text{if } \text{Tr}(a) = 2 \text{ and } \tau_Y(a) + \tau_X(a) = 1. 
\end{cases}
\]
Proof. Restatement of equation 13.

The Kloosterman sums modulo 54 can be given by combining (16) and Theorem 10.
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