Serial Clustering of Late-Onset Group B Streptococcal Infections in the Neonatal Unit: A Genomic Re-evaluation of Causality

Elita Jauneikaite,1 Georgia Kapatai,2 Frances Davies,3 Ioana Gozar,3 Juliana Coelho,3 Kathleen B. Bamford,3 Benedetto Simone,4 Lipi Begum,3 Shannon Katiyo,4 Bharat Patel,2 Peter Hoffman,5 Theresa Lamagni,2 Eimear T. Brannigan,3 Alison H. Holmes,5 Tokozani Kadhani,3 Tracey Galletly,5 Kate Martin,3 Hermione Lyall,5 Yimmy Chow,5 Sunit Godambe,3 Victoria Chalker,2 and Shiranee Sriskandan1

1Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, Imperial College London, 2National Infection Service, Public Health England, 3Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, and 4London and South East Field Epidemiology Services and 5North West London Health Protection Team, Public Health England, United Kingdom

Background. Invasive Group B streptococcus (GBS) is a major cause of serious neonatal infection. Current strategies to reduce early-onset GBS disease have no impact on late-onset disease (LOD). Although GBS LOD is viewed as a sporadic event in the community, LOD arising within the neonatal intensive care unit (ICU) raises questions about mode of acquisition.

Methods. Following a cluster of 4 GBS LOD cases, enhanced surveillance for all GBS LOD was undertaken over 2 years in the neonatal ICU supported by neonatal rectal screening. GBS isolates were serotyped and genome-sequenced.

Results. Twelve late-onset invasive GBS episodes were identified (incidence 0.6/1000 live births). Genomic analysis revealed that 11/12 GBS isolates (92%) were linked to at least one other LOD isolate. Isolates from the first cluster were serotype V, resistant to macrolides and lincosamides, and sequencing confirmed isolates were indistinguishable, or distinguishable by only one SNP difference, from each other. Rectal carriage was rare. Prospective surveillance identified three further clusters of LOD due to serotypes Ia (3 cases), Ib (2 cases), and III (2 cases), that would not have been identified without surveillance and genome sequencing, leading to a re-evaluation of interventions required to prevent GBS LOD.

Conclusion. Acquisition routes for LOD GBS in the neonatal ICU are poorly understood; cases may not necessarily be sporadic. Within this neonatal ICU, our data suggest that a single case of LOD GBS sepsis should be considered a potential nosocomial transmission event warranting prompt investigation, heightened infection prevention vigilance and action where required.
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Streptococcus agalactiae (group B streptococcus [GBS]) is a major causal agent of neonatal sepsis [1]. GBS early-onset disease (EOD) affects infants within the first 6 days of life and is largely attributed to vertical transmission and colonization at the time of birth, while GBS late-onset disease (LOD) develops between 7 days and 3 months of age, resulting from vertical and potentially horizontal acquisition [2, 3]. GBS is carried asymptomatically as part of the enteric and vaginal microbiota of 11–35% of healthy women worldwide [4–6]. Interventions to reduce the incidence of EOD have been developed, but in the absence of a vaccine, these have minimal to no impact on the incidence of LOD [2, 7]. In contrast to EOD, interventions to prevent LOD are poorly researched, because LOD cases are assumed to be largely sporadic. Although nosocomial outbreaks of GBS have been described elsewhere [3, 8], the frequency of such outbreaks is presumed to be low [3].

We describe a series of clusters of invasive GBS LOD in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) that were due to 4 distinct GBS serotypes over a 24-month period. The first outbreak was initially recognized due to simultaneous presentations of GBS bacteremia with isolates of identical antimicrobial resistance profiles, and was confirmed by whole-genome sequencing. Enhanced surveillance identified 8 more isolates from GBS LOD over the following 23 months. Unlike the first cluster, none of the subsequent LOD isolates were isolated on the same day as any other LOD isolate and therefore would normally have been considered sporadic. However, genomic analysis demonstrated that almost every LOD GBS isolate in the NICU was phylogenetically associated with another LOD GBS isolate, pointing to a common but undetermined source for each cluster.

METHODS

Patients and Setting
Neonates and infants were cared for in a level 3 NICU with 24 cot spaces. GBS case patients were defined as neonates or...
infants from whom GBS was isolated from a normally sterile site while they were in the NICU. Colonization was defined as the isolation of GBS from a nonsterile site without evidence of site-specific infection. All neonates admitted to the NICU had ear swab samples routinely obtained at birth and received antibiotics for the first 48 hours of life. Enhanced surveillance for neonatal GBS colonization was begun in the NICU at the times indicated, using weekly rectal swab samples in all neonates and nose swab samples for a brief trial period.

Infection control measures and environmental surveillance took place as soon as an outbreak was suspected. Case records of affected neonates were reviewed. Contacts with healthcare workers (HCWs) were assessed using routinely held rostering records. Consent was obtained to report the cases described; individual consent for analysis of anonymized bacterial isolates was not required.

GBS Isolates and Genome Sequencing

Nonsterile site and sterile site samples were cultured on selective or nonselective blood agar, respectively; identification, serotyping, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, DNA extraction, and genome sequencing were conducted as described in the Supplementary Methods. Genomic analyses compared sequences with available contemporaneous GBS of the same or closest sequence type (ST) (Supplementary Table 1). Sequences were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive, reference PRJEB18093.

RESULTS

Cluster 1

In a 4-week period, 4 cases of LOD GBS bacteremia were detected in the NICU. Cases 1 and 2 arose 9 days apart within the high-dependency area, although the stays of the patients did not overlap (Figure 1). The first patient died 24 hours after onset of sepsis. Seventeen days after case 2, cases 3 and 4 arose within a 24-hour period in the low-dependency area; both patients had been in the NICU for a prolonged period (Figure 1). Cases 3 and 4 were linked in time and by cot space location, prompting recognition of an outbreak, underlined by the antimicrobial resistance phenotype. GBS isolates were resistant to tetracycline, macrolides, and lincosamides (Supplementary Table 2).

An association with cases 1 and 2 was identified owing to identical antimicrobial resistance patterns, coupled with the unusually high frequency of LOD cases. All 4 GBS isolates were serotype V. During this 4-week period, there was a single episode of EOD due to GBS serotype Ia, which was therefore unrelated to the LOD cases.

The 4 cases of GBS serotype V LOD were characterized by gestational age, mode of delivery, and disease type (Table 1). At the time of birth, all 4 neonates were premature and required admission to the high-dependency NICU; all received empiric antimicrobials for suspected sepsis that were later discontinued in the absence of evidence for infection. Based on results of ear swab samples, there was no evidence of GBS colonization at the time of birth. None of the mothers were screened antenatally for GBS. At the time of onset of GBS LOD, all case patients had spent more than 7 days in the NICU (Figure 1), none were cannulated or intubated, and all were fed enterally.

GBS Colonization Screening

In the subsequent 3 months, weekly neonatal rectal swab sample screening was instituted in the NICU. GBS rectal colonization was not detected in any neonate or infant in the NICU. HCWs were not screened for GBS carriage, although none was identified as having shared contact with all 4 affected cases. Environmental sampling of equipment, cots, and surface areas failed to detect GBS in the NICU. After a 3-month enhanced surveillance period, during which no more cases of LOD or GBS colonization were detected, the outbreak was declared over, and screening was curtailed. Prospective enhanced surveillance for invasive LOD cases continued.

Genomic Analysis of Serotype V Strains

Serotype V outbreak strains were multilocus sequence type ST1 with antibiotic resistance genes tetM and ermB. Genomic analysis indicated that all 4 outbreak strains were indistinguishable or distinguishable by only 1 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). Comparison with serotype V reference genomes identified a lack of mobile genetic element RDF.1, previously described in invasive serotype V ST1 isolates [9] (Supplementary Figure 1A–C).

Figure 1. Cluster 1 timeline of serotype V group B streptococcus (GBS) late-onset disease (LOD) cases. Timeline shows admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU), with onset of each case of GBS LOD (positive blood culture) represented by a single box in each case. Different areas of the NICU are indicated by different shades, and numbers on timeline represent dates in months 1 and 2.
To provide context for the GBS sequences from cluster 1, sequences from 18 unrelated invasive GBS serotype V ST1 isolates were used in SNP analysis, obtained in the same year from adults and infants. This revealed interpositioning of adult and neonatal strains within the phylogeny tree (Figure 2) suggesting a shared, common reservoir, consistent with previous reports [10]. Thirteen of 22 UK ST1 GBS isolates carried tetM and macrolide resistance genes; of these, 8 that clustered together carried ermB (including the outbreak strains) (Figure 2A). Phylogenetic comparison of UK strains with serotype V ST1 strains from North America showed the same intermix of adult and neonatal strains and clustering of the tetM- and ermB-positive strains (Supplementary Figure 2).

**Prospective Enhanced Surveillance for GBS LOD**

Recognition of the serotype V cluster prompted enhanced prospective surveillance for LOD in the NICU. Over the subsequent 2 years, 8 more GBS LOD isolates were identified.

**Cluster 2**

Nine months after onset of the first serotype V cluster, 2 GBS LOD bacteremia isolates were identified, and both were typed as serotype III. Weekly rectal screening of all neonates was resumed, but GBS colonization was not detected at that time. Genomic analysis revealed that cluster 2 consisted of ST17 serotype III GBS isolates that differed by a single SNP, indicating a very recent common ancestor (Figure 2B). The unique clustering of these 2 strains, when compared with contemporaneous serotype III ST17 strains from the United Kingdom, supported the likelihood of a common source.

**Cluster 3**

Ten months after the first cluster, GBS isolates from 2 more LOD bacteremias were identified 12 days apart and were typed as serotype Ib. In addition, a GBS rectal screening isolate from a third neonate, obtained 9 days before the first bacteremia, was serotype Ib, as was a nasal GBS isolate from a fourth neonate obtained during a trial period, 12 days after the first bacteremia. Genome sequencing showed that all 4 GBS serotype Ib isolates (2 invasive and 2 colonization) were from the ST139 lineage and clustered together with no SNP difference between the 2 invasive isolates, and with either 2- or 5-SNP differences between invasive and colonization isolates (Figure 2C). Contemporaneous isolates of serotype Ib ST139 were not available for comparison; therefore, single-locus variants of ST139, such as ST1 and ST3, were used in analysis (Supplementary Table 1). Analysis confirmed that the 4 serotype Ib ST139 isolates formed a unique cluster, consistent with transmission or a common source of infection (Figure 2C).

**Cluster 4**

Nearly 20 months after the first case in the first cluster, a fourth cluster of GBS LOD was identified. Three LOD bacteremia cases that arose over a 3-month period were due to GBS serotype Ia. Rectal colonization with GBS serotype Ia was observed in 1 bacteremic neonate (despite treatment and clearance from the blood) and in another routinely screened, nonbacteremic neonate. Genome sequencing confirmed that all 5 isolates differed from each other by only 1–2 SNPs and clustered together when compared with contemporaneous serotype Ia ST23 GBS strains (Figure 2D).

During the 2-year enhanced surveillance period, only 1 LOD GBS case arose in which the isolate was phylogenetically unrelated to any other. This was a serotype III ST17 GBS strain isolated 16 months after the first cluster (Figure 3), and it was 91–92 SNPs different from the serotype III isolates that formed cluster 2 (Figure 2B). As such, it was deemed highly unlikely to have originated from a common source or to represent transmission from cluster 2.

---

Table 1. Case Patients in Group B Streptococcus Cluster 1 (Serotype V)

| Patient Characteristics | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Gestational complications | Breech | Placenta previa; APH | Breech; Candida chorioamnionitis | Severe IUGR |
| Mode of delivery | ELSCS | SVD | SVD | ELSCS |
| Gestational age at birth, wk | 27 | 24 | 26 | 29 |
| Weight at birth, g | 860 | 630 | 845 | 655 |
| Results of ear swab sample culture at birth | Negative | Negative | Candida albicans | Negative |
| Antibiotics after birth (duration) | Penicillin-gentamicin (48 h) | Penicillin-gentamicin (48 h) | Penicillin-gentamicin (48 h); fluconazole (14 d) | Penicillin-gentamicin (48 h); tazocin-vancomycin (48 h); meropenem (5 d) |
| Gestational age at onset of GBS, d (site of GBS isolation) | 12 (Blood) | 9 (Blood) | 44 (Blood) | 51 (Blood) |
| Contact with GBS case patient | None known | Same bay as case patient 1, no overlap | Adjacent to case patient 4 | Adjacent to case patient 3 |

Abbreviations: APH, antepartum hemorrhage; ELSCS, emergency lower segment cesarean section; GBS, group B streptococcus; IUGR, intrauterine growth retardation; SVD, spontaneous vaginal delivery; TTS, twin transfusion syndrome.
Review of Practice

Hand hygiene practice was reviewed and noted to be robust. However, breast pump hygiene was noted to be defective after the first cluster; swabbing of breast pumps yielded *Staphylococcus aureus* in 1 of 12 breast pumps tested. Additional infection control measures were implemented after the later clusters, including refined procedures for decontamination of neonatal equipment, continued weekly rectal carriage screening for each neonate, review of hand hygiene procedures, and new facilities and procedures for breast milk expression and storage.
including single-use breast pumps. The number of cots in the NICU was reduced, and the area around each cot was increased. Prospective enhanced surveillance for GBS infections in the NICU is still ongoing.

DISCUSSION

Four distinct clusters of invasive GBS LOD occurred in a single NICU over a 2-year period. These clusters involved all cases of LOD that arose during the same time period, apart from a single sporadic case, demonstrating the potential dominance of nosocomial transmission as a vehicle for LOD in this setting. The first outbreak of serotype V GBS LOD affecting 4 newborns was confirmed by means of whole-genome sequencing and highlighted the potential risk of horizontal transmission of GBS within the NICU. Importantly, recognition of the extent of the outbreak relied heavily on the antimicrobial resistance phenotype of the GBS isolates concerned and the coincidental presentation of the later 2 cases on the same day. Prospective surveillance of LOD cases identified likely transmission events on several later occasions in the NICU associated with different lineages of GBS, which resulted in clinically significant disease. It is likely that these transmission events would not have been recognized but for the earlier outbreak and subsequent enhanced surveillance, supported by genomic investigation.

Epidemiological investigation during the first outbreak was unable to identify a single common source, although genome sequencing demonstrated that all 4 GBS isolates formed a unique genetic cluster when compared to nonoutbreak strains of the same ST and serotype. GBS outbreaks are thought to be rare but have been reported elsewhere in the NICU setting [3, 8, 16], after discharge from hospital [11], and between adult patients [12], although, to our knowledge, whole-genome sequencing has not previously been used to confirm relatedness. Although the mode of transmission was unclear in these earlier reports, a potential breakdown of multiple hygiene practices has been identified as a likely cause [3, 8]. Indeed, older reports suggest that transmission may be more frequent in lower-dependency postnatal environments, affecting other patients and staff [13, 14].

EOD and LOD are most often caused by GBS serotypes III and Ia, with the highest risk of serotype III infections in LOD [1, 15]. Serotype V is a less common cause of neonatal disease in the United Kingdom but is widely recognized as a frequent cause of GBS disease in adults [1, 9]. Horizontal transmission of GBS LOD has been strongly associated with maternal carriage at the time of GBS onset; intrapartum antibiotics do not protect against LOD, indicating that later acquisition of, or even persistence of, GBS may underlie most cases [3]. Maternal carriage of GBS was not actively sought in the current study; screening of all mothers in the NICU was thought to be undesirable at the time of an outbreak, noting that antepartum GBS screening is not routinely undertaken in the United Kingdom. Although maternal colonization could account for index cases in clusters, it seemed unlikely to explain all subsequent cases which, taken together, pointed to single origins for each cluster.

HCWs were also not screened during the outbreak, because there were no common contacts, and there are no data to guide the type of sample or action required should HCWs be found to be colonized. GBS is part of the normal gut and genital...
microbiota, and though GBS nasopharyngeal colonization has been reported, it is poorly understood [13, 17]; no clearance protocol has been shown to be reliable. Therefore, reinforcement of hand and environmental hygiene seemed the most suitable option to manage the outbreaks. The findings of this study underline the potential value of a widened genomic study of the GBS reservoir within the NICU that includes staff, parents, and neonates.

Although GBS contamination of the environment could not be discounted or proved, it was evident that breast pump decontamination was suboptimal. Thus, improved cleaning and disinfection practices were implemented, with an emphasis on single-patient-use equipment [18]. Breast milk contamination has been linked to LOD [16, 19, 20], although this linkage has not been subject to systematic study in the NICU setting. It is possible that low-grade contamination of equipment related to feeding led to neonatal exposure. Although GBS can be found as a normal commensal in the gut microbiota of healthy infants [21], it was rarely identified by rectal screening of NICU patients in our study; of 518 admissions in 1 year, GBS rectal carriage was identified in just 10 individual patients (1.9%), including 1 with GBS late-onset bacteremia. The low rate may be because a greater proportion of NICU patients are delivered by cesarean section and are of very low birth weight, resulting in gut microbiota distinct from that in healthy infants [22]. Little is known about the timing of GBS colonization among preterm low-birth-weight infants in the NICU and the impact of enteral feeding of maternal or donor breast milk. In the current study, among the few neonates who did acquire rectal GBS, the median time to acquisition was 24.5 days after birth (range, 13–68 days).

Antimicrobial resistance played a large part in identification of the first cluster, as reported in other bacterial outbreaks, for example, with methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus [23]. Resistance to tetracycline, erythromycin, and clindamycin was confirmed by the presence of tetM and ermB genes in all 4 serotype V GBS outbreak isolates in the first cluster. Tetracycline resistance has been reported in 78% of GBS isolates in the United Kingdom [24] and it has been suggested that acquisition of tetM was a driving force for emergence of GBS as a human pathogen in the 1960s [25]. Erythromycin and clindamycin resistance conferred by ermB is increasingly observed among serotype V GBS [26] and is a concern because erythromycin has been used as prophylaxis for preterm premature rupture of membranes, and clindamycin has been used for peripartum sepsis or intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis in those allergic to penicillin or in severe infections. The high prevalence of macrolide and lincosamide resistance determinants supports revision of these protocols (Supplementary Table 1).

Genomic outbreak investigation requires large-scale databases, which include longitudinally collected data from both clinical and carriage isolates to provide context for clusters, and to allow more certainty regarding transmission events. Such data were invaluable in analyzing the outbreaks described herein. Outbreak isolates were identical or differed from each other by 1–2 SNP, whereas the closest, unrelated isolates from the same year differed by >45 SNPs, providing useful context for future investigations. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that adult and newborn GBS isolates intermixed, confirming that adult and neonatal strains originate from the same genetic pool [10] albeit that adult GBS isolates tend to be more diverse than infant strains [1].

Routine antepartum screening for GBS and intravenous antibiotic prophylaxis has resulted in reduced EOD in North America, but no reduction was observed for LOD [27]. Despite a wealth of guidance [28] to prevent GBS EOD, we are unaware of any interventions to specifically prevent GBS LOD or nosocomial transmission. The rate of LOD GBS in the period studied was 0.6/1000 live births. We considered the possibility that our unit may be an outlier with regard to risk of infection, but the Vermont Oxford Network risk-adjusted standardized mortality ratio for late-onset bacterial infection was below average in this period, and there was no consistent increase in other bacterial infections.

The outbreak and subsequent demonstration of further horizontal transmission events in the NICU has highlighted a lack of understanding of the modes of GBS transmission within the hospital environment, specifically the possible role of HCWs, mothers, the environment, feeding and lactation equipment, and the prospect that a significant proportion of LOD arising in hospital may be nosocomially transmitted. These are all areas of future research focus. Given that GBS is an infrequent finding in the enteric microbiota of neonates in the NICU setting, we believe that a single case of LOD arising in the NICU should be considered a potential sentinel case of a future outbreak prompting enhanced retrospective and prospective surveillance for more cases and investigation of preventable sources of transmission. Whether similar events occur in other NICU settings will require prospective surveillance similar to that implemented in our study.

Supplementary Data
Supplementary materials are available at Clinical Infectious Diseases online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.
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