3D image-based adapted high-dose-rate brachytherapy in cervical cancer with and without interstitial needles: measurement of applicator shift between imaging and dose delivery
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Abstract

Purpose: Using 3D image-guided adaptive brachytherapy for cervical cancer treatment, it often means that patients are transported and moved during the treatment procedure. The purpose of this study was to determine the intra-fractional longitudinal applicator shift in relation to the high risk clinical target volume (HR-CTV) by comparing geometries at imaging and dose delivery for patients with and without needles.

Material and methods: Measurements were performed in 33 patients (71 fractions), where 25 fractions were without and 46 were with interstitial needles. Gold markers were placed in the lower part of the cervix as a surrogate for HR-CTV, enabling distance measurements between HR-CTV and the ring applicator. Shifts of the applicator relative to the markers were determined using planning computed tomography (CT) images used for planning, and the radiographs obtained at dose delivery. Differences in the physical D90 for HR-CTV due to applicator shifts were simulated individually in the treatment planning system to provide the relative dose variation.

Results: The maximum distances of the applicator shifts, in relation to the markers, were 3.6 mm (caudal), and –2.5 mm (cranial). There was a significant displacement of –0.7 mm (SD = 0.9 mm) without needles, while with needles there was no significant shift. The relative dose variation showed a significant increase in D90 HR-CTV of 1.6% (SD = 2.6%) when not using needles, and no significant dose variation was found when using needles.

Conclusions: The results from this study showed that there was a small longitudinal displacement of the ring applicator and a significant difference in displacement between using interstitial needles or not.
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technique, the patient is often shifted several times, starting with the transport from the operating theater to the MRI/CT, and finally to the treatment room. This procedure may introduce geometrical uncertainties, since due to these movements, a possible shift of the applicators in relation to the target and OAR may occur between imaging and treatment. The most probable shift of the applicator would be a longitudinal caudal displacement, which would cause a separation between the target and applicator. To avoid a separation, it is crucial to have a solid fixation of the applicator in order to avoid intra-fractional uncertainties.

Knowledge of uncertainties in brachytherapy is essential [10,11]. Krisits et al. [11] proposed guidelines for uncertainties where they identified uncertainty components, such as slice thickness and source positioning error, and their relative importance to the overall uncertainty in brachytherapy. There have also been studies of inter-fraction and intra-fraction uncertainties for both HDR and PDR [12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21]. Intra-fractional geometrical uncertainties due to applicator shift during the time interval (1.5-2 h) between imaging and dose delivery in cervix brachytherapy has not been thoroughly investigated [22,23].

At our department, IGABT was introduced in 2007 for the treatment of advanced cervical cancer using a tandem ring applicator. In 2011, this technique was enhanced with the use of interstitial needles and is now utilized in 65% of patients. This study aims to determine the intra-fractional longitudinal applicator shift between imaging and dose delivery in cervix brachytherapy and its estimated dosimetric impact on the target, HR-CTV for patients with and without needles.

Material and methods

Patients

Radiation dose planning data from 71 fractions from 33 patients with cervical cancer who were treated with HDR brachytherapy between January 2012 and May 2015 was retrospectively included in the study, using the following inclusion criteria: 1) gold markers must have been used (for more information, see treatment procedure); 2) dose planning must be based on CT images, since gold markers are difficult to see MRI images [24]. The study was approved by the regional ethics committee (DNR 2015/068).

Treatment procedure

The radiotherapy treatment consists of external radiotherapy, 46.8-50.4 Gy in 1.8 Gy per fraction, and brachytherapy 4 fractions of 6 or 7 Gy to a total equivalent dose in 2 Gy per fraction (EQD2) of D90 > 85 Gy. The intracavitary/interstitial HDR brachytherapy was performed as follows: the patient received 2-3 gold markers placed in or adjacent to the cervical tissue before brachytherapy implantation to act as a surrogate for the HR-CTV in the analysis of the applicator shift. The volume of the tissue where the markers should be implanted can be described as a box 4 x 4 x 2 cm³ localized approximately 1 cm cranially to the outer surface of the ring applicator. Gold markers were placed in between the left and right parts of the box.

At the time of implantation, an interstitial ring applicator (Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden) was used. The intracavity ring was positioned in the vaginal vault and the tube located intrauterine. Interstitial needles were added through the ring cap, when deemed necessary, in order to cover the target volume with an adequate dose. After implantation, the patient was fixed by packing of the vagina. A Foley catheter was placed in the bladder, and pulled towards the bladder base and fixed. The fixation of the Foley catheter also acted as a geometric stabilizer. The patient was transported for MRI/CT examination. In the images obtained from the examination, the high risk clinical target volume (HR-CTV) was defined according to European recommendations from the GEC-ESTRO Working Group (I) [4]. Organs at risk (OAR) such as the bladder, rectum, and sigmoid were also defined. In the same image set, the applicators were reconstructed and an optimized dose distribution based on the dose constraints for the HR-CTV and OAR were created. This procedure was repeated for all four fractions, two fractions per week, and with one fraction delivered per insertion. Fractions 1 and 3 were based on an MRI examination, and fractions 2 and 4 were based on a CT examination. However, for five patients included in this study, three CT examinations had been performed but the images for the first fraction was in all cases acquired with MRI. The CT images were co-registered with the MRI images to visualize the target outlined using the MRI images in the CT images, as described by Nesvacil et al. [25]. The bladder was emptied and refilled to a fixed liquid volume of 50 cm³, and a catheter was inserted into the rectum to prevent any gas filling before both imaging and dose delivery. The procedure described above includes two disturbances of the patient, which could induce applicator shifts, and consequently, geometrical uncertainties. To be able to calculate an estimate of a possible applicator shift between planning CT imaging and dose delivery, a quick and easy measurement method was introduced. Two semi-orthogonal radiographs were obtained, frontal and lateral views just before treatment. In these images, the interstitial ring applicator, X-ray markers, and the implanted gold markers were identified. Possible geometrical shifts of the applicators were then estimated by measurements in both the CT images used for planning and the radiographs obtained just prior to dose delivery.

In vivo study

On the radiographs, the gold markers and X-ray markers in the ring-applicator can be visualized. The interstitial ring applicator consists of two parts: an IU tube, which was 2-6 cm long, and a ring with a diameter of 26 mm or 30 mm (source path diameter). These two parts were perpendicular to each other (Figure 1). A coordinate system was created in the lateral radiograph with the
Z-axis, along the IU part and the XY-plane (ring plane) through the source path in the ring, described by the X-ray markers. Distance measurements were made on the lateral radiograph where the X-ray markers in the ring applicator and gold markers were projected (Figure 2A). The gold markers were divided between the left and right side of the ring applicator, and a mean distance was calculated from the left side and right side measurements in the radiographs. This was done to minimize the influence of the divergence in the lateral radiograph. For patients with three gold markers, the third marker was nevertheless included in the calculation of the mean value, because the effect of divergence was shown (in the uncertainty analysis below) to be minimal.

The CT examination contains images with a slice thickness of 2 mm, orientated parallel with the ring plane. Digitally reconstructed planes with the gold marker were prepared in the CT images (Figures 2B, 2C). All CT measurements were performed in the treatment planning system (TPS), Oncentra Brachy (OCB), (Nucletron, an Elekta company, Elekta AB, Stockholm, Sweden).

Measurements of the longitudinal shift were performed in all 71 fractions, 25 without needles, and 46 with needles. The individual fractions from a patient were as-

![Fig. 1. A) The interstitial ring applicator. B) The interstitial ring applicator placed in vivo visualized in a radiograph by X-ray markers in the ring and in the intrauterine tube. Two gold markers are also visible in the radiograph, marked with black circles](image)

![Fig. 2. A) Image just before treatment showing the X-ray markers defining the ring applicator and the coordinate system, with the Z-axis along the intra uterine catheter and the XY-plane in the ring plane. S_{1,xray} and S_{2,xray} were two measured distances between the gold markers to the ring plane. B, C) Reconstructed CT images show the corresponding measurements, S_{1,CT} and S_{2,CT} at the time of imaging](image)
sumed to be geometrically independent of each other in the analysis of applicator shift.

**Uncertainty analysis of the in vivo measurement method**

An estimation of the uncertainty in the measurement method was made as a validation for the measurements in vivo. The source of uncertainties from the radiograph was assumed to arise from the divergence, rotation of the applicator, and the gold markers in the XZ-plane and the magnification factor. Rotations greater than 3 degrees were corrected during the in vivo measurements in the CT images. An in-house phantom was created. This consisted of a ring applicator and eight fiducial markers placed at predefined positions (Figure 3). The phantom was depicted with the CT and with radiographs in the treatment room. To simulate different patient positions in the treatment room, the phantom was positioned in 10 different positions, no rotation, rotated ± 5, and ± 10 degrees in the XZ-plane with no rotation in the XY-plane, and this was repeated with a 5-degree rotation in the XY-plane to simulate possible rotations of the applicator in the coordinate system. The gold markers’ mean values were calculated from the measured distance for the left marker and the corresponding marker on the right side of the ring applicator, to consider the divergence in the radiographs and the rotation of the applicator. Since the configuration of the phantom was identical in both the radiographs and CT images, there should ideally be a difference of zero between the measurements.

In the clinical situation, the gold markers were placed by hand on the left and right side of the intraventricular applicator into the tissue box, so the ideal situation, which applies to the phantom described above, doesn’t exist and the mean value calculation will not fully correct for the divergence. The discrepancy was therefore determined for a gold marker placed anywhere inside the tissue box, and this was found to be ± 0.2 mm.

A possible migration of the gold markers was also analyzed for a small sample (17) of the fractions by observing the stability of the markers relative to each other on the CT and on the lateral radiographs.

**Analysis of the dosimetric impact on HR-CTV due to applicator shift**

To investigate the influence of the applicator shift on the dose coverage of the HR-CTV, the dose volume parameter D90 was used, which was defined as the dose to the 90% of the HR-CTV volume. In the TPS, the original dose distribution (D90ref) was shifted according to the individually measured applicator displacement to give a new dose distribution (D90i) [26,27]. To access the dosimetric changes in D90 HR-CTV due to applicator shift, the relative differences in physical dose of D90 HR-CTV for each fraction was then calculated as \( \Delta D = (D_i - D_{ref})/D_{ref} \% \) as recommended by Nesvacil et al. [21].

**Statistics**

Data was checked for normality using the Shapiro-Wilks test. All groups were then checked for significance (\( p < 0.05 \)) using the paired or one sample students t-test if the data had a normal distribution (parametrical test), and the Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test (non-parametrical test) for data without normal distribution. When comparing the two

Fig. 3. Radiographs showing the phantom used for the in vitro measurements. The phantom consists of a ring applicator and eight markers (P1-P8) placed in a predefined way. A) A lateral view with the markers shown and the distance between the two marker planes. B) A frontal view with the distances from the markers to the coordinate system. The Z-axis is along the intrauterine tube and the XY-plane in the ring plane.
groups with and without needles, unpaired students t-test (parametrical data) and Mann-Whitney U test (non-parametrical data) was used. The analytical statistics was presented as 95% confidence interval (CI) or p-value. The SPSS software was used for the statistical analysis.

Results

In vivo study

The results from the displacement measurements are presented in Table 1 and Figure 4. The maximum and minimum applicator shift was 3.6 mm and –2.5 mm, respectively. A negative sign means a cranial shift and a positive sign a caudal shift. For the group without needles, there was a significant cranial displacement of the applicator shift between imaging and dose delivery, although for the group with needles, there was no significant shift. There was a significant difference in displacement between the group with needles and the group without needles (p = 0.022).

Uncertainty analysis of the in vivo measurement method

The determined uncertainty from experimental analysis was 0.1 mm (SD = 0.4 mm), and confidence interval (95% CI: –0.05 to 0.23). The maximum and minimum differences were 1.0 mm and –1.0 mm, respectively. The measurement of the migration of the gold markers resulted in a mean variation between the CT and the radiographs of 1.1 mm (SD = 1 mm).

Analysis of the dosimetric impact on HR-CTV

There was no significant relative dose reduction, ΔD90, for the whole group (Table 2), but there was a dose reduction of up to 6.6% in individual fractions. There was a significant difference between the groups with and without needles (p = 0.008).

Discussion

In HDR brachytherapy of cervical cancer, it is vital that the dose planning geometry agrees with the treatment geometry. Ideally, there should be no difference between the planning geometry and the treatment geometry. The result of the phantom study shows that there was an insignificant difference between the two geometries when measuring in the radiographs and the CT images. The analysis of the movement of the markers also showed that there was a mean migration of 1.1 mm (SD = 1 mm) of the markers relative to each other, and this will add to the overall uncertainty in the measurement method. The direction of the movement is difficult to define. It could

| ΔD90 HR-CTV (%) | n  | Mean | SD  | 95% CI       | Range |
|----------------|----|------|-----|--------------|-------|
| All fractions  | 71 | 0.6  | 2.4 | –0.01, 1.13  | –6.6, 6.8 |
| Fractions without needles | 25 | 1.6  | 2.6 | 0.53, 2.64   | –2.9, 6.8 |
| Fractions with needles  | 46 | 0.0  | 2.2 | –0.64, 0.66  | –6.6, 6.6 |

Table 1. Applicator shift from the in vivo study. A negative sign means a cranial displacement while a positive sign implies a caudal displacement

| Applicator shift (mm) | n     | Mean/Median SD | 95% CI | Range |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------|--------|-------|
| All fractions         | 71    | –0.2/-0.4 1.1  | –0.51, 0.02 | –2.5, 3.6 |
| Fractions without needles | 25 | –0.7/-0.6 0.9  | –1.03, –0.28 | –2.5, 0.7 |
| Fractions with needles | 46   | 0.0/-0.1 1.2  | –0.37, 0.32 | –2.1, 3.6 |

Fig. 4. Box plot showing a comparison between the distributions of the determined applicator shifts for all (71 fractions) without needles (25 fractions) and with needles (46 fractions). A negative sign means a cranial applicator displacement and a positive sign a caudal displacement.
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Measurement of applicator shift in HDR brachytherapy

A treatment plan from first fraction was transferred to following fractions, and DVH-parameters were calculated. For an intra-application, in an inter-fraction case they found variations in ΔD90 HR-CTV of -2.5% (SD = 10.8%). These two studies also include other uncertainties than those in the present study, such as re-reconstruction of applicators and re-contouring. Nomden et al. [20] made a similar to our study investigation of intra-fraction uncertainties between imaging and dose delivery. They did not however, measure the applicator shift. They compared D90 of the HR-CTV on the planning MRI and the HR-CTV from the pre-treatment MRI (separated by in mean by 3.9 hours), resampled on the planning MRI. They found a difference in the D90 of -0.1 Gy (SD = 0.5 Gy), EQD2 (equivalent dose at 2 Gy). Recalculating the results from this study for comparison gives 0.1 Gy (SD = 0.4 Gy) EQD2.

Even if the random uncertainties were low for the relative differences of D90 HR-CTV, there were some extreme values of dose reduction due to the measured applicator shifts. The maximum dose reduction was 6.6%. Therefore, a dosimetric effect of 6.6% will result in a reduction of around 5 Gy EQD2, which is not clinically negligible if the same shift was present for all 4 fractions.

A possible limitation of this study was that only fractions based on CT images were used in the analysis. The fractions with CT images were used due to the difficulties in finding the gold markers in the MRI images. When comparing the logistics between the CT and MRI examinations, there were almost no differences owing to the fact that the patient needed to be lifted and transported in the same manner. The highest risk of introducing geometrical shifts is when moving and lifting the patient, not during transportation of the patient. It was therefore reasonable to assume that the results would be the same for fractions using MRI-data.

Using gold markers as a surrogate for HR-CTV could also be a limitation. There were some unexpected applicator displacements in the cranial direction, which may seem remarkable. This cranial displacement could, to some extent, be explained by the uncertainties in the measurement method, but the cranial displacement measured for ring applicator without needles was significant, and there was a significant difference between the groups with and without using needles. Possible explanations could be a general swelling of the cervix and the adjacent tissue during the insertion of the applicator and a risk of edema/hematoma when using interstitial needles. The results from the analysis of the applicator shift shows a small but significant shift in the cranial direction when using the ring applicator without needles, but looking at the random uncertainties (1SD) of 1.1 mm, 1.2 mm, and 0.9 mm for all, the sub groups with and without needles, respectively, the results are almost the same. Comparing with the estimated overall uncertainty in the measurement method, it is probably difficult to distinguish the difference between using and not using needles.

A few of the gold markers were, during the data collection, found to be in the rectum, sigmoid, or in the bladder wall. These were excluded from the analysis. A displacement of a marker independently of the target, could also occur if for example the bladder filling was changed or the rectum was filled with gas. To avoid these potential problems, a bladder filling of 50 cc was maintained during both imaging and treatment. A catheter in the rectum was used to evacuate any buildup of gas, as previously mentioned.

In a recent review [11], an overall uncertainty of 5% in D90 was found for HR-CTV under optimal conditions. Intra-fractional geometrical uncertainties due to applicator shifts in close relation (gold markers) to HR-CTV have, to the best of our knowledge, not previously been investigated, and the findings in this study will contribute to the science in this area of overall uncertainties. Applicator shifts have been investigated with bone structures as a reference [22,23], but large movements of the target applicator volume in relation to the bone structures can occur.

This study shows that there were no major changes in the interstitial ring applicator HR-CTV geometry for most of the fractions, between the imaging for planning and at the time of treatment, including a long transportation and several movements of the patient. The main reason for this is probably a rigid fixation of the applicators. The small displacement will not influence the treatment for the majority part of patients, but for some it may be necessary to make an adjustment of the dose distribution. For that reason, there should be a way to detect and quantify any displacements, which might occur between imaging and the time of treatment. The measurement method described here will be able to detect an applicator shift of more than 3 mm. It is a quick method (completed in a matter of minutes) and easily performed while the patient is in the treatment position, but with the restriction of only allowing examination of the cervix. To be able to monitor the whole anatomy such as the bladder and rectum, there would need to be a possibility of using MRI, CT, or CBCT in the treatment position.

Conclusions

The results from this study showed that there was a small longitudinal displacement of the ring applicator and a significant difference in displacement, between using interstitial needles and not. The mean displacement
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had a minor impact on D90 HR-CTV, which can be considered as negligible for most patients, but for some patients it can result in an under dosage of the HR-CTV, and therefore it is important to determine the intra-fractional variations between planning and dose delivery. With a good fixation, it is possible to successfully transport and move a patient for MRI/CT imaging without significantly impacting the results of covering HR-CTV.
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