The impacts of state and trait anxiety as moderated by perceived social support among Nigerian patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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Abstract

Objectives: To assess the levels of state and trait anxiety and determine their relationships with perceived social support among Nigerian patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

Material and methods: A cross-sectional study of 50 patients satisfying the 2010 American College of Rheumatology/European League against Rheumatism Classification Criteria for RA was conducted. Anxiety was assessed using the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), perceived social support by the Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL), health-related quality of life (HRQoL) by the Medical Outcome Study 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) and disability by the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI).

Results: The mean state anxiety (STAI-S), trait anxiety (STAI-T) and ISEL scores among the patients were 35.2 ±10.2, 36.7 ±8.8 and 87.2 ±21.2 respectively. Pathological degrees of state and trait anxiety were found among 7 (14%) and 5 (10.4%) patients respectively. There was a negative correlation between the STAI-T score and the ISEL score ($r = –0.362, p = 0.011$). However, the correlation between STAI-S and ISEL was not statistically significant ($r = –0.193, p = 0.179$). A moderate-to-high correlation was found between each of STAI-S and STAI-T and all subscales and component summaries of the SF-36. ISEL score correlated significantly with role emotional ($r = 0.377, p = 0.008$), mental health ($r = 0.482, p ≤ 0.001$) and bodily pain ($r = 0.320, p = 0.025$) domains and the mental component summary ($r = 0.380, p = 0.007$) of SF-36. HAQ-DI correlated strongly with both STAI-S ($r = 0.735, p ≤ 0.001$) and STAI-T ($r = 0.575, p ≤ 0.001$) but not with ISEL.

Conclusions: State and trait anxiety correlate negatively with all aspects of HRQoL and disability, and there is a notable relationship between perceived social support and trait anxiety as well as the mental aspect of HRQoL.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is the most common autoimmune inflammatory arthritis worldwide with an estimated prevalence of 0.5% to 1%, and it affects women three times as often as it affects men [1]. While the cause of RA is unknown, abundant evidence has demonstrated the variable contributions of genetic and environmental factors to the etiology of RA [2]. Similarly, the course and severity of RA are believed to be influenced by contributions from inherent and extraneous attributes of the patient. Rheumatoid arthritis is associated with significant disability and excess mortality. Sufferers have a 30% higher chance of needing help with self-care and they experience limitation in basic activities of daily life at double the rate compared to people without the disease [3].

The impact of RA exceeds the physical and economic burdens. Enormous challenges and losses result from the psychosocial unwellness associated with RA and a patient’s disease might run a much worse course bearing severe consequences due to the failure to recognize the psychological and emotional morbidity involved [4]. The quality of life of patients with RA is influenced by various psychosocial factors which include income, level of education, social integration, social support and the presence of various psychological distresses [5].

Since a complex interplay is known among the factors determining damage accrual in RA and the disability has been traditionally attributed to joint damage, a predictive role of psychological and social factors, which are very different between cultures and races, is gaining recognition [6]. Interestingly, it has been suggested that the effect of some psychological aspects of RA may shift in favor of the patient as the disease progresses and the individual learns to adapt better [7]. Anxiety is a negative emotion which has been associated with perseverative cognition, a maladaptive process of perpetuating the distress due to stressors by sustaining the thought of the event [8]. It is now known that between 21% and 70% of patients with RA have co-morbid anxiety and it may influence the quality of life in ways independent of depression [9]. Anxiety has been described in terms of two subsets, the state anxiety and the trait anxiety. State anxiety is situational and depends on the experience of the individual at the moment while trait anxiety refers to the constitutional anxiety expressed by a person. The two tend to be largely independent, and while state anxiety is a momentary condition, trait anxiety refers to the personality and is fairly stable [10]. It is thought that the totality of anxiety exhibited by an individual is a reflection of both anxiety types and these may be influenced by the perceived social support.

Due to the understanding that the impact of psychological disturbance may be influenced by the genetic and cultural characteristics of a population, the effect of this factor on the quality of life among West African patients with RA may exhibit some peculiarities. Patients with RA in the sub-Saharan area rarely have a diagnosis at the stage of early arthritis and often already at the time of diagnosis have advanced deformities compared with patients with RA in Europe [11]. In contrast to patients in Europe, the treatment options available for these patients are often limited, and many of them do not have access to biological drugs, and hence it has a greater impact on their quality of life [12].

From the above conclusions about patients from Sub-Saharan Africa, it appears that social support may be of particular importance for the perception of the disease and the ability to cope with it. We hypothesized that if there is an association between social support and anxiety felt by the patient, then the impact of anxiety on the quality of life can be modulated by the social support they use. The aim of this study is to determine the degree of state and trait anxiety and their relationship to perceived social support among Nigerian patients with RA.

Material and methods

Patients and procedure

A cross-section of 50 patients satisfying the 2010 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)/European League against Rheumatism (EULAR) Classification Criteria for RA was studied. These were adult patients receiving care at the rheumatology clinic of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH). Patients were considered if they were older than 18 years and willing to provide informed consent for the study. Patients with overlap syndromes, diagnosed mental illness and patients with unrelated acute illnesses (for instance malaria) were excluded. Demographic and clinical details were taken using a structured interviewer-administered questionnaire. All patients were tested for rheumatoid factor by nephelometry and anti-citrullinated protein antibody (ACPA) by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. The socio-economic status was defined in terms of total monthly income from all sources and was classified as low (less than 100 thousand naira), middle (between 100 thousand and 400 thousand naira) and high (above 400 thousand naira). The disease activity was rated in terms of the Clinical Disease Activity Index (CDAI): CDAI = tender joint count (TJC) + swollen joint count (SJC) + patient global assessment (VAS 0–10) + physician global assessment (VAS 0–10).
Remission was defined by a CDAI score ≤ 2.8; low disease activity, 2.9 to 10; moderate disease activity, 10.1 to 22; and high disease activity, 22 to 76. Functional status was determined according to the Steinbrocker functional classification. Class I represents complete ability to carry out all the usual duties without handicaps; class II, adequate for normal activities despite handicap of discomfort or limited motion of one of the joints; class III, limited to few or none of the duties of usual occupation or self-care; class IV, incapacitated, largely or wholly bed-ridden or confined to a wheelchair with little or no self-care.

Measures

Anxiety inventory

The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) was used to assess the degree of anxiety experienced by patients. The tool determines the patient’s feelings at the point of assessment in two broad subscales each calculated from a set of 20 statements to which there are no right or wrong answers. The two subscales are the state anxiety (STAI-S), which reflects the situational anxiety about an event, and the trait anxiety (STAI-T), which is the inherent characteristic in a person which is independent of conditions. The statements in the inventory are rated from 1 to 4 according to how much of each item the individual is currently feeling (STAI-S) or how often each item is felt (STAI-T). The total scores range from 20, which reflects the lowest possible degree of anxiety (state or trait), to 80, the highest possible anxiety score. Total STAI scores greater than 50 suggest pathological levels of anxiety [13]. The median alpha reliability coefficients for STAI-S and STAI-T have been reported to be 0.92 and 0.90, respectively [14].

Perceived social support

The Interpersonal Support Evaluation List (ISEL) was employed to determine the level of perceived social support in each patient. ISEL is a tool designed as a 40-item list that combines positive and negative statements about social relationships to enhance desirability to responders. The questions are evenly distributed to assess 4 subscales of the ISEL. The Appraisal Support Subscale measures the perceived availability of someone to offer advice, the Tangible Support Subscale measures the availability of material aid, the Self Esteem Support Subscale measures the presence of a positive comparison when comparing oneself to others, and the Belonging Support Subscale assesses the perceived availability of others for doing things with. The ISEL reports the scores of each of the four subscales and may be used to generate a total score which is the sum of the 4 subscale scores. The highest possible score in each subscale is 30 and hence the highest possible total score is 120. The higher the score, the better the perceived interpersonal support is.

Disability

Disability was assessed using the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI). The HAQ-DI is a clinical health assessment tool developed at the Division of Immunology and Rheumatology, Stanford University. It has become a core assessment tool for disability in clinical trials in RA. The HAQ-DI has been shown to be reliable in different contexts in rheumatology research. Test-retest correlations have been documented to range between 0.87 and 0.99 while the correlations between interview and questionnaire formats range between 0.85 and 0.95. By face-to-face interviewer administration, the 8 domains (dressing and grooming, arising, eating, walking, hygiene, reach, grip and common daily activities) were assessed over the past week. These produced a global score ranging from 0 (no disability) to 3 (maximum disability) [15].

Health-Related Quality of Life

The Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL) was assessed using the Medical Outcome Study 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36). As an indicator of overall health, SF-36 consists of 36 items that are combined into 8 domains of HRQoL which are physical functioning (PF), role physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role emotional (RE), and mental health (MH). These 8 domains can be summarized into two summary scores: the physical component summary (PCS) and the mental component summary (MCS). SF-36 scores range from 0 to 100 with the higher scores denoting better HRQoL. The SF-36 is widely validated and has a reliability in excess of 0.80 [16].

Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was obtained from the research and ethics committee of the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital (UITH).

Statement of human and animal rights

The study protocol was designed in compliance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants prior to their inclusion in the study.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 (IBM, Armonk, NY). We hypothesized that anxiety measured by STAI would show a relationship...
with the perceived social support determined by ISEL and that there would be an association between anxiety and the attainment of remission or low disease activity. Continuous variables were summarized as means and standard deviations while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Association between each of STAI and ISEL as well as each clinic-demographic characteristic was assessed using Student’s t-test when two populations were being compared and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) when more than two populations were being compared. Pair-wise comparisons between individual groups were conducted by Tukey’s HSD and Tamhane’s T2 multiple comparison tests. The normality of sample distribution was assessed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Correlation between STAI and ISEL was computed by Pearson’s correlation analysis while Spearman’s rho correlation was applied between the outcome scores and Pearson’s correlation analysis while Spearman’s rho correlation was applied between the outcome scores and STAI-S and ISEL scores across the functional classes was found between classes I and III (p = 0.011). Comparing STAI-T between functional classes revealed significant differences between classes I and II (p < 0.001) and between I and III (p < 0.001).

As shown in Figure 1, there was a negative correlation between the STAI-T score and the ISEL score (r = −0.362, p = 0.011). However, the correlation between STAI-S and ISEL was not statistically significant.

Relationship of outcome measures with STAI and ISEL scores

There was a statistically significant moderate-to-high correlation between each of STAI-S and STAI-T and all subscales and component summaries of SF-36 (Table III). Also, ISEL score correlated significantly with the RE (r = 0.377, p = 0.008), MH (r = 0.482, p < 0.001) and BP (r = 0.320, p = 0.025) domains and the MCS (r = 0.380, p = 0.007) of SF-36. HAQ-DI correlated strongly with both STAI-S (r = 0.735, p ≤ 0.001) and STAI-T (r = 0.575, p ≤ 0.001) but not with ISEL.

Discussion

The biopsychosocial model of care is the clinical approach that has been recognized to provide the broadest and potentially most effective method for managing RA. The diversity of the impacts of the disease on the psychological and social wellbeing of the patient has led to emerging insights into these non-biological aspects of RA as they affect the HRQoL and probably the course of the disease. Our study found a mean state anxiety score of 35.2 and trait anxiety score of 36.7. These values are
similar to the findings of Rogers et al., who found values of 35.3 and 38.4 respectively among Columbian patients who were also recruited by the ACR/EULAR 2010 classification criteria [17].

While we found a pathological level of state anxiety among 14% and trait anxiety among 10.4% of the patients, the two components are similar to the overall prevalence of anxiety of 13.5% found by Covic et al. in Australia [9]. The absence of major differences in the degrees of anxiety in our study as compared with the patients studied in Columbia and Australia may be indicative of probably a more potent contribution of the RA itself to the anxiety than the specific genetic or environmental attributes of the patients which are independent of RA.

Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients

| Characteristics                     | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Alcohol consumption                 |           |            |
| Yes                                 | 8         | 16         |
| No                                  | 42        | 84         |
| Ever smoked?                        |           |            |
| Yes                                 | 7         | 14         |
| No                                  | 43        | 86         |
| Functional class                    |           |            |
| I                                   | 17        | 34         |
| II                                  | 21        | 42         |
| III                                 | 8         | 16         |
| IV                                  | 4         | 8          |
| Rheumatoid factor                   |           |            |
| Positive                            | 38        | 76         |
| Negative                            | 12        | 24         |
| ACPA                                |           |            |
| Positive                            | 30        | 60         |
| Negative                            | 20        | 40         |
| Methotrexate use                    |           |            |
| Yes                                 | 41        | 82         |
| No                                  | 25        | 50         |
| Hydroxychloroquine use              |           |            |
| Yes                                 | 16        | 32         |
| No                                  | 44        | 88         |
| CDAl                                |           |            |
| Remission                           | 6         | 12         |
| Low disease activity                | 24        | 48         |
| Moderate disease activity           | 11        | 22         |
| High disease activity               | 9         | 18         |

N – number; ACPA – anti-citrullinated protein antibody; CDAl – Clinical Disease Activity Index
Table II. Relationship between patients' characteristics and STAI and ISEL scores

| Factors                        | STAI-S mean (SD) | p     | STAI-T mean (SD) | p     | ISEL mean (SD) | p     |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| Gender                        |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Male                          | 32.0 (4.4)       | 0.109 | 40.9 (6.4)       | 0.142 | 79.6 (25.5)    | 0.274 |
| Female                        | 35.8 (10.9)      |       | 35.9 (9.0)       |       | 88.9 (20.9)    |       |
| Duration of disease           |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Less than 2 years             | 36.9 (11.6)      | 0.365 | 37.5 (8.6)       | 0.662 | 83.0 (15.6)    | 0.323 |
| 2 years or longer             | 34.2 (9.4)       |       | 36.3 (8.9)       |       | 89.0 (24.1)    |       |
| Level of education            |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| None                          | 39.0 (2.1)       | 0.010 | 41.0 (2.2)       | 0.107 | 31.1 (5.1)     | 0.001 |
| Primary                       | 52.0 (7.1)       |       | 48.5 (9.2)       |       | 84.5 (7.8)     |       |
| Secondary                     | 46.5 (6.4)       |       | 41.0 (8.1)       |       | 91.0 (20.8)    |       |
| Tertiary                      | 33.2 (9.7)       |       | 36.0 (8.6)       |       | 91.1 (19.1)    |       |
| Postgraduate                  | 32.3 (4.6)       |       | 29.3 (7.5)       |       | 74.7 (11.0)    |       |
| Marital status                |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Single                        | 31.3 (2.9)       | 0.023 | 32.7 (2.3)       | 0.182 | 99.7 (5.8)     | 0.001 |
| Married                       | 33.7 (10.4)      |       | 35.5 (9.8)       |       | 96.0 (12.6)    |       |
| Divorced                      | 35.0 (7.0)       |       | 39.4 (9.4)       |       | 67.8 (26.7)    |       |
| Widowed                       | 34.3 (9.1)       |       | 36.3 (3.6)       |       | 72.9 (32.9)    |       |
| Separated                     | 51.0 (1.2)       |       | 46.0 (2.3)       |       | 65.0 (9.2)     |       |
| Socio-economic status         |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Low income                    | 34.8 (11.6)      | 0.720 | 35.3 (9.4)       | 0.645 | 80.4 (24.2)    | 0.144 |
| Middle income                 | 35.0 (10.0)      |       | 37.6 (8.7)       |       | 89.0 (18.3)    |       |
| High income                   | 41.0 (2.1)       |       | 34.0 (8.8)       |       | 109.0 (4.2)    |       |
| Do you drink alcohol?         |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Yes                           | 37.6 (15.1)      | 0.465 | 45.0 (7.1)       | 0.005 | 99.2 (5.8)     | 0.226 |
| No                            | 34.7 (9.2)       |       | 35.4 (8.2)       |       | 85.6 (22.6)    |       |
| Ever smoked?                  |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Yes                           | 44.7 (15.9)      | 0.006 | 47.0 (6.3)       | 0.001 | 92.1 (14.0)    | 0.514 |
| No                            | 33.6 (8.2)       |       | 35.0 (7.9)       |       | 86.4 (22.8)    |       |
| Functional class              |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| I                             | 29.8 (4.3)       | 0.001 | 30.9 (4.9)       | 0.001 | 95.1 (10.5)    | 0.121 |
| II                            | 35.8 (11.1)      |       | 39.5 (7.2)       |       | 88.7 (21.5)    |       |
| III                           | 46.3 (9.8)       |       | 45.4 (4.8)       |       | 75.9 (13.5)    |       |
| IV                            | 32.5 (7.5)       |       | 30.5 (12.1)      |       | 73.5 (49.1)    |       |
| Disease activity              |                  |       |                  |       |                |       |
| Remission or low disease activity | 30.6 (5.6)   | 0.001 | 34.5 (7.5)       | 0.040 | 89.1 (17.6)    | 0.484 |
| Moderate or high disease activity | 42.1 (11.7)  |       | 39.8 (9.7)       |       | 84.4 (26.0)    |       |

STAI-S – state anxiety; STAI-T – trait anxiety; ISEL – Interpersonal Support Evaluation List
The estimated prevalence of anxiety in the general African population is 4.4% [18]. Keeping this in mind, our findings imply that not only is anxiety more common in RA, but it also tends to be associated with some RA-specific characteristics such as disease activity (state and trait) and functional class (trait). The amount of perceived social support enjoyed by the patients is associated with their level of education and marital status. Patients at the two extremes of education levels (none and post-graduate) had the least social support. Since socio-economic status also tends to be poorest among uneducated Nigerians, the financial strain on the family may impair the dedication of adequate support to the patient. However, among the patients with the highest levels of education, the decline of perceived social support may be attributable to the important positions of these individuals and probably their caregivers in their occupations and society. The demands of work and high societal relevance may prevent these patients from enjoying as much of the needed support as they would have wanted.

Importantly, our study may have identified a need to examine whether the anxiety experienced by a pa-

Table III. Spearman’s rho correlation between outcome measures and the measures of anxiety and perceived social support

|          | Mean (SE) | STAI-S | STAI-T | Appraisal | Tangible | Self-esteem | Belonging | ISEL |
|----------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|------|
| HAQ-DI   | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.735*** | 0.575*** | -0.204 | -0.344* | -0.267 | -0.136 | -0.159 |
| PF       | 62.7 (4.4) | -0.425** | -0.308* | 0.088 | 0.208 | 0.158 | 0.041 | 0.140 |
| RP       | 56.6 (6.8) | -0.393** | -0.525*** | 0.248 | 0.269 | 0.277 | 0.104 | 0.250 |
| RE       | 61.9 (6.2) | -0.312* | -0.469** | 0.385** | 0.402** | 0.342* | 0.230 | 0.377** |
| VT       | 60.7 (2.4) | -0.543*** | -0.606*** | 0.166 | 0.280 | 0.139 | 0.241 | 0.228 |
| MH       | 69.2 (2.4) | -0.535*** | -0.527*** | 0.393** | 0.502*** | 0.446** | 0.409** | 0.482*** |
| SF       | 60.2 (4.3) | -0.569*** | -0.408** | 0.226 | 0.302* | 0.259 | 0.043 | 0.234 |
| BP       | 54.9 (3.9) | -0.433** | -0.333* | 0.223 | 0.352* | 0.345* | 0.235 | 0.320* |
| GH       | 58.9 (3.2) | -0.378** | -0.554*** | 0.149 | 0.239 | 0.297* | 0.224 | 0.250 |
| PCS      | 58.3 (3.9) | -0.472** | -0.509*** | 0.218 | 0.310* | 0.310* | 0.161 | 0.277 |
| MCS      | 63.0 (3.3) | -0.525*** | 0.553*** | 0.354 | 0.427** | 0.349* | 0.239 | 0.380** |

*correlation is significant at the 0.05 level, **at the 0.01 level, and ***at the 0.001 level; STAI-S – state anxiety; STAI-T – trait anxiety; ISEL – Interpersonal Support Evaluation List; HAQ-DI – Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; PF – physical functioning; RP – role physical; BP – bodily pain; GH – general health; VT – vitality; SF – social functioning; RE – role emotional; MH – mental health; PCS – physical component summary; MCS – mental component summary

Fig. 1. Correlations between STAI and ISEL.
tient may alter the way they perceive the social support available to them. While we found a negative correlation between trait anxiety, a personality attribute that tends to be fairly stable, and perceived social support, a form of assistance that may vary over time, we did not find a significant correlation between state anxiety (a momentary apprehensiveness) and perceived social support. Examining this disparity in the associations may give some weight to the reasoning that trait anxiety, more importantly than situational state anxiety, affects the patients’ perception of the social support they enjoy. In fact, previous studies have suggested higher levels of trait anxiety among patients with RA [19, 20].

In line with the observations of Strating et al. [21], we did not find any association between the levels of anxiety and the duration of disease. The level of anxiety among the patients with the most advanced functional disability who have likely had the longest duration of disease is significantly lower than that in functional classes II and III. During the course of RA, the patients tend to attain a level of mental state in which they have learned to cope better with the psychological distress due to their disease [7]. At this stage, despite the higher prevalence of accrued damage and physical disability, the anxiety levels are not as high. Indeed, a longer duration of disease has been associated with improved mental HRQoL [22].

We observed that both types of anxiety have significant correlations with every domain of SF-36. Furthermore, the damage index is strongly correlated with anxiety. This is consistent with the reports of earlier studies [23, 24]. Similarly, anxiety scores have been associated with higher disease activity and markers of inflammation [25]. On the other hand, we found that the ISEL score correlated significantly with the MCS but not the PCS. The MCS is calculated from the MH, RE, SF and VT, and while the ISEL was found to show significant correlations with MH and RE, it did not exhibit the same association with VT or SF. It stands to reason that the mental quality of life of the patient with good social support may indeed be better, and this is supported by previous studies [26, 27]. However, despite some advantage that may be experienced in the aspect of bodily pain by patients with good social support, the overall physical HRQoL was not significantly affected. Kojima et al. [27] found a similar result among Japanese patients, and it was identified that social support goes further to independently predict mental HRQoL when other factors were controlled for.

The HAQ-DI did not show a significant correlation with ISEL in our study, a pattern similar to an earlier report by Strating et al. [28]. Conversely, lesser degrees of functional limitation were found among patients with good social support in France [29]. The study however looked at the outcome in a much larger European sample of patients over a 3-year period.

Other studies have supported the positive impact of social support on overall long-term disability [30, 31]. Since the quality of social support is a factor that may change substantially at different times, a cross-sectional assessment of this aspect of the patient’s life may not provide a reliable indication for a long-term outcome such as disability. While sustained social support may be associated with better HRQoL, addressing a patient’s needs in terms of problem-solving support is crucial. The most benefit may be obtained if emotional, social and practical support are given both by relatives and health workers [32].

Rheumatology practice is poorly established or even non-existent in many low-income African countries. In countries where there are rheumatologists, the overwhelming load of patients available to be attended to by the few rheumatologists often keeps the clinician’s immediate attention away from the psychosocial aspects of rheumatic diseases. While this study is limited by the relatively small number of patients, it assesses the impact of state and trait anxiety for the first time on the HRQoL of West African patients with RA. It was also able to identify the place of perceived social support and its relationship with trait anxiety.

Conclusions

The African patients with long duration of disease may have attained some positive adjustment to the psychological anguish of their disease and thus cope better. While trait anxiety exhibits a demonstrable relationship with the perceived social support, both state and trait anxiety have negative associations with the patient’s quality of life and are also associated with more damage accrual. The mental quality of life of the patient may show a positive trend if quality social support is available to the individual, but it is unlikely that a similar trend will occur in terms of their physical health or disability.

Data availability statement

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Scott DL, Wolfe F, Huizinga TW. Rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 2010; 376: 1094-1108.
Anxiety in Nigerian patients with rheumatoid arthritis

2. Karlson EW, Deane K. Environmental and gene-environment interactions and risk of rheumatoid arthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 2012; 38: 405-426.

3. Dominick KL, Ahern FM, Gold CH, et al. Health-related quality of life among older adults with arthritis. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2004; 2: 5.

4. Verbrugge LM, Ware Jr JE. Health, aging, and the sociology of health and illness. New York: Oxford University Press 1982; 108: 40-266.

5. Pitsilika DA, Kafetsios K, Niakas D. Social support and quality of life in patients with rheumatoid arthritis in greece. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2015; 33: 27-33.

6. Palos R, Visco L. Anxiety, automatic negative thoughts, and unconditional self-acceptance in rheumatoid arthritis: A preliminary study. ISRN Rheumatol 2014; 2014: 317259.

7. Dissanayake RK, Bertouch N. Psychosocial interventions as adjunct therapy for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A systematic review. Int J Rheum Dis 2010; 13: 324-334.

8. Brosschot JF, Gerin W, Thayer JF. The perseverative cognition hypothesis: A review of worry, prolonged stress-related physiological activation, and health. J Psychosom Res 2006; 60: 113-124.

9. Covic T, Cumming SR, Pallant JF, et al. Depression and anxiety in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Prevalence rates based on a comparison of the depression, anxiety and stress scale (dass) and the hospital, anxiety and depression scale (hads). BMC Psychiatry 2012; 12: 6.

10. Torres-Lagares D, Recio-Lora C, Castillo-Dali G, et al. Influence of state anxiety and trait anxiety in postoperative in oral surgery. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2014; 19: e403-408.

11. Adelowo OO, Ojo O, Oduenyi I, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis among Nigerians: The first 200 patients from a rheumatology clinic. Clin Rheumatol 2010; 29: 593-597.

12. Hodkinson B, Tikly M, Adabajo A. Rheumatoid arthritis in the developing world: Stepping up to the challenge. Clin Rheumatol 2014; 33: 1195-1196.

13. Ryu YI, Chun EM, Lee JH, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety in outpatients with chronic airway lung disease. Korean J Intern Med 2010; 25: 51-57.

14. Donzuso G, Cerasa A, Gioia MC, et al. The neuroanatomical correlates of anxiety in a healthy population: Differences between the state-trait anxiety inventory and the hamilton anxiety rating scale. Brain Behav 2014; 4: 504-514.

15. Maska L, Anderson J, Michaud K. Measures of functional status and quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis: Health assessment questionnaire disability index (haq), modified health assessment questionnaire (mhaq), multidimensional health assessment questionnaire (mhaq), health assessment questionnaire ii (haq-ii), improved health assessment questionnaire (improved haq), and rheumatoid arthritis quality of life (raqol). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2011; 63 (Suppl. 11): S45-13.

16. McHorney CA, Ware Jr JE, Lu JR, et al. The mos 36-item short-form health survey (sf-36): III. Tests of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patient groups. Med Care 1994; 32: 40-66.

17. Rogers HL, Brotherton HT, Olivera Plaza SI, et al. Depressive and anxiety symptoms and social support are independently associated with disease-specific quality of life in colombian patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rev Bras Reumatol 2015; 55: 406-413.