Specifically targeting ERK1 or ERK2 kills Melanoma cells
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Abstract

Background: Overcoming the notorious apoptotic resistance of melanoma cells remains a therapeutic challenge given dismal survival of patients with metastatic melanoma. However, recent clinical trials using a BRAF inhibitor revealed encouraging results for patients with advanced BRAF mutant bearing melanoma, but drug resistance accompanied by recovery of phospho-ERK (pERK) activity present challenges for this approach. While ERK1 and ERK2 are similar in amino acid composition and are frequently not distinguished in clinical reports, the possibility they regulate distinct biological functions in melanoma is largely unexplored.

Methods: Rather than indirectly inhibiting pERK by targeting upstream kinases such as BRAF or MEK, we directly (and near completely) reduced ERK1 and ERK2 using short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) to achieve sustained inhibition of pERK1 and/or pERK2.

Results and discussion: Using A375 melanoma cells containing activating BRAFV600E mutation, silencing ERK1 or ERK2 revealed some differences in their biological roles, but also shared roles by reduced cell proliferation, colony formation in soft agar and induced apoptosis. By contrast, chemical mediated inhibition of mutant BRAF (PLX4032) or MEK (PD0325901) triggered less killing of melanoma cells, although they did inhibit proliferation. Death of melanoma cells by silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 was caspase dependent and accompanied by increased levels of Bak, Bad and Bim, with reduction in p-Bad and detection of activated Bax levels and loss of mitochondrial membrane permeability. Rare treatment resistant clones accompanied silencing of either ERK1 and/or ERK2. Unexpectedly, directly targeting ERK levels also led to reduction in upstream levels of BRAF, CRAF and pMEK, thereby reinforcing the importance of silencing ERK as regards killing and bypassing drug resistance.

Conclusions: Selectively knocking down ERK1 and/or ERK2 killed A375 melanoma cells and also increased the ability of PLX4032 to kill A375 cells. Thus, a new therapeutic window is open for future clinical trials in which agents targeting ERK1 and ERK2 should be considered in patients with melanoma.
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these isoforms [21,22]. Many components of RAF/MEK/ERK signaling cascades are mutated or aberrantly expressed in human cancer cells responsible for transformation accompanied by altered proliferation, survival and resistance to treatment [23]. As clinicians have refocused their therapeutic strategies including targeting mutated BRAF, and downstream molecules such as MEK, the potential efficacy of targeting ERK1 and/or ERK2 has not been tested [24].

To fill the experimental and therapeutic void regarding the roles for ERK1 and/or ERK2 in human melanoma, a cell line containing mutated BRAF (e.g. A375 cells) was studied in detail using shRNAs selective for each isoform. After confirming effective and selective silencing of ERK1 and ERK2, a series of experiments was conducted to evaluate these kinases in melanoma. While functional differences between ERK1 and ERK2 are controversial depending on the cell type examined [25], we observed both similar as well as distinct effects such as differentially involving specific pro-apoptotic proteins (i.e. Noxa) in A375 cells upon silencing of ERK1 and ERK2. Given that activation of the ERK pathway is important in melanoma progression [26], these findings lay the groundwork for new approaches in metastatic melanoma using a molecularly-based targeted approach [27].

Such novel approaches are urgently needed as it is clear that melanoma cells possess multiple mechanisms to bypass, or overcome drug resistance to agents with clinical success such as PLX4032 (Vemurafenib), a drug targeting mutant BRAF [9,28]. An interesting and relevant common intersection point for the various roads to PLX4032 resistance is ERK signaling (ibid). Thus, we decided to expand our studies to not only include silencing of ERK1 and/or ERK2, but to compare and contrast the biological responses and bypass mechanisms triggered by exposing A375 melanoma cells to PLX4032, as well as a MEK inhibitor (PD0325901). The results clearly demonstrate that not only is a combination of ERK1 and ERK2 superior in triggering a caspase-dependent mode of killing A375 melanoma cells compared to PLX4032 or PD0325901, but drug resistant clones infrequently appear by directly targeting ERK. The ability of using ERK shRNAs to not only kill melanoma cells, but to block emergence of treatment resistant clones likely involves not only reductions in levels of phospho-ERKs, but also in upstream reductions in BRAF, CRAF and phospho-MEK thereby interrupting a feedback loop critical to melanoma survival. ERK shRNAs were also shown to increase the sensitivity of melanoma cells to killing by PLX4032 paving the way for combination therapeutic approaches in melanoma. These results demonstrate that targeting ERK in melanoma can overcome the apoptotic resistance of this highly aggressive and difficult to cure tumor.

Methods

Cell culture and chemicals

The human melanoma cell line A375 was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in DMEM (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) plus 10% FCS (Gemini Bio-Products, Woodland, CA) in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% CO2). Annexin-FITC was purchased from Biovision Research Products (Mountain View, CA, USA), and tetra methyl rhodamine ethyl ester (TMRE) was purchased from Invitrogen Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA). Pan-caspase inhibitor ZVAD was purchased from BD Biosciences (San Jose, CA, USA). Propidium iodide (PI) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, MO, USA). PD0325901 and PLX4032 were purchased from Biovision Research Products (Mountain View, CA, USA) and Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA), respectively. Abs against ERK1, ERK2, pERK1, pERK2, MEK, pMEK, p-Bad, Bak, Bim, PUMA were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, MA, USA); whereas Bcl-XL, Mcl-1, Bad, PARP, caspase 3, Raf-1, Raf-B and GAPDH were purchased from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA). Ab against Bcl-2 was from DAKO (Glostrup, Denmark), ab against actin from Chemicon Int. (Billerica, MA, USA); Ab against Bax from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA), and against XIAP and activated Bax from BD Transduction Lab (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Primary Abs incubated overnight at 4°C, and secondary Abs were incubated at room temperature for 1 hr.

Production of lentiviral supernatants

Mission TCR shRNAs targeting human ERK1 (NM_002746) and ERK2 (NM_138957) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. pLKO.1 Scramble control shRNA plasmid, psPAX2 packaging plasmid and pMD2. G envelope plasmid were provided by Addgene. To make lentiviral particles, HEK-293 T cells were plated into 10 cm plates, 2 × 10⁶ cell/plate, with 8 ml of DMEM plus 10% FBS and no antibiotics. On the next day, for each plate 3 ug of pLKO.1 shRNA plasmid together with 2.25 ug of psPAX2 and 0.75 ug of pMD2. G plasmid were transfected with FuGen 6 reagents (Roche, New Jersey) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The transfection reagent was removed by replacing the medium with fresh DMEM containing FBS and penicillin/streptomycin on the following day. The cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 24 hr for another 2 days. Supernatants from 24 hr and 48 hr incubations were harvested and combined followed by centrifugation to remove cell debris and stored at -80°C.
Gene transduction with lentivirus based shRNA
A375 melanoma cells were plated onto 6 well plates at 3 × 10⁵ cells/well and incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂ overnight. Cells were washed 1x with PBS and 1 ml of lentiviral supernatants containing shRNA for either ERK1 or ERK2 or scramble control was added in each well. For ERK1 and ERK2 double knockdown, both supernatants (1 ml of each) were added into one well. All viral supernatants were added with hexadimethrine bromide (Sigma Chemical Co.), final concentration 8 μg/ml. After 4-6 hr incubation, supernatants were changed with fresh medium and cells were incubated for another 1 or 2 days before being split for experiments.

Quantitation of cell viability
Cell death was measured by flow cytometry after staining cells with Annexin-V-FITC and 1 mg/ml of PI. To investigate DNA degradation, one of the hallmarks of apoptosis, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and PI stained in the presence of RNase (10 μg/ml). The relative percentage of cells with hypo-diploid DNA content (sub-G₀) was determined by FACS analysis and use of Excel software.

Colony formation assays
For anchorage independent colony formation assay, A375 cells were transduced with shRNAs for 2 days and then suspended in DMEM with 0.5% agarose solution, and plated onto solidified 1% agarose in 6 well plate at a density of 2500 cells per well in triplicate. A375 cells were maintained in culture by feeding with 0.5 ml fresh DMEM plus 10% FBS medium twice a week, for a total of 3 weeks. Two independent assays were carried out and the number of colonies were counted after staining with 0.1% crystal violet solution.

Mitochondrial membrane potential assay
Assessment of mitochondrial membrane potential was determined by addition of 100 nM of TMRE dye that accumulates in mitochondria of living cells [29]. Reduction in TMRE retention is indicative of loss of mitochondrial membrane potential.

Detection of intracellular levels of activated BH3-multidomain proapoptotic bax protein
A375 cells were fixed with 2.5% paraformaldehyde (10 min, room temperature), washed and incubated with a primary Ab detecting the activated configuration of Bax (BD Pharmingen Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) in FACS buffer with 0.3% saponin as previously described [30]. The percentage of melanoma cells with activated Bax was measured by fluorescence intensity greater than control ab levels.

Immunoblotting
Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [31]. Briefly, cells were harvested by scraping and lysed with M-Per mammalian protein extraction reagent (Thermo Scientific, Rockville, IL, USA) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail set II, (Calbiochem, Los Angeles, CA, USA) followed by shaking and centrifugation at 4°C. Supernatants were collected as whole cell extracts and protein concentrations were measured using Bradford reagents (Bio-Rad laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). 30 μg of proteins were resolved by SDS- PAGE and transferred to PVDF membrane followed by 1 hr blocking with buffer supplied by LI-COR Biosciences (Lincoln, NE, USA). Blots were probed with primary Abs overnight at 4°C, washed and incubated with corresponding fluorescence-labeled secondary Ab for 1 hr at room temperature in dark. Protein levels were visualized with LI-COR Infra-red Imaging System.

Reverse transcriptase-real time PCR
Total RNA was extracted from A375 cells using Trizol (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Inc.). One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using TaqMan reverse transcription reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The following specific primer pairs were used: BRAF: 5′-CTC GAG TGA TTG GGA GAT TCC-3′, (forward), 5′-CTG CTG AGG TGT AGG TGC TGT CAC-3′ (reverse); 18sRNA: 5′-GGC GCC CCC TCG ATG CTC TTA G-3′, (forward), 5′-GCT CGG GCC TGC TTT GAA CAC TCT-3′, (reverse). PCR reaction was performed by adding 25 μl of 2X SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), 19 μl DEPC treated H₂O, 2 μl of each primer, 2 μl of diluted cDNA template. DNA amplification was completed in ABI prism model 7300 thermal cycler. All reactions were run in triplicate and two independent assays were performed. The comparative expression level was determined by applying the calculation of 2ΔΔCt B-raf-ΔCt 18s).

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using the unpaired, two sided Student's t-test, and results considered significant when P values were less than 0.05.
Results
ERK1 and ERK2 shRNA selectively knockdown ERK1 and ERK2 proteins, respectively in A375 melanoma cells, accompanied by killing of cells involving apoptosis
In A375 cells, constitutive levels for ERK2 are slightly greater than ERK1, and the pERK2 is also slightly more abundant compared to pERK1 (Figure 1A). As there are currently no chemical inhibitors to selectively block ERK1 versus ERK2 activity [32], lentiviral preparations containing specific shRNAs were used targeting ERK1 or ERK2. Addition of ERK1 shRNA significantly reduced protein levels of ERK1 and pERK1 compared to scrambled control shRNA treatment, as observed beginning on day 2, with greater reduction on day 4 and near complete absence on day 6. Interestingly, reduction in ERK1 levels was accompanied by minimal changes in the protein level of ERK2 and pERK2 (Figure 1A, left side panel). Similarly, addition of ERK2 shRNA significantly reduced protein levels of ERK2 and pERK2 compared to scrambled control shRNA treatment with similar kinetics as observed for ERK1 shRNA, with minimal changes in Erk1 and pErk1 protein levels by the ERK2 shRNA. Quantitative analysis of a representative blot on day 4 is presented in Figure 1A-right side panel. Thus, despite both ERK1 and ERK2 are MEK substrates; significant reductions in one isoform did not trigger increased phosphorylation of the other isoform in A375 cells.

Treatment with shRNAs silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 did not trigger detectable killing of A375 cells 2 days

Figure 1
ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNA treatment of A375 cells selectively reduces total and phosphorylated levels of respective proteins at days 2, 4 and 6; accompanied by killing of melanoma cells. A. Western blot showing total and phosphorylated protein levels at indicated time intervals following exposure to shRNAs as indicated. SC: scrambled control; E1: ERK1; E2: ERK2; E1/2: ERK1 and ERK2. Actin levels confirm equal loading (left side panel). Data presented is representative of three independent experiments. Right side panel shows the relative density measurement of day 4 treatment levels for indicated proteins. B. Relative killing percentages on days 2, 4, and 6 following exposures to indicated shRNAs. Percentage of dead cells as described in Methods section using Annexin-PI and FACS analysis. Histograms represent the means +/- SEM from four independent experiments. Statistical significance portrayed as follows: *p < 0.002; **p < 0.0001. C. Relative killing percentages on days 2, 4, and 6 following exposures to indicated shRNAs. Percentage of cells undergoing apoptosis (cells with sub-Go DNA content) as described in Methods section Histograms represent the means +/- SEM from three independent experiments. Statistical significance portrayed as follows: *p < 0.03; **p < 0.001.
after infection (although G1 growth arrest was noted, data not shown), but progressively increased killing was observed 4 days ($p < 0.0001$ for ERK1 and ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs; and $p < 0.002$ for ERK2 shRNA) and 6 days ($p < 0.002$ for ERK1 shRNA and $p < 0.001$ for ERK2 and ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs) after infection (Figure 1B). Note by combining ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNA infections, no significant additive or synergistic increased killing was observed. To determine the mode of cell death cells with sub G0 DNA content were also analyzed and found to be increased ($p < 0.03$ for ERK1 or ERK2 shRNAs at 4 days), and $p < 0.001$ for ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNA at 6 days pointing to a role for apoptosis in the response to the ERK shRNAs (Figure 1C).

**ERK1 and ERK2 shRNA triggers reduction in soft agar colony formation and lack of drug resistance**

Next, the biological effect of a sustained reduction in pERK1 and/or pERK2 on anchorage independent colony formation in soft agar (Figure 2A) was determined (21 days). There was significant reduction in melanoma cell colony forming potential followed treatment with ERK1 shRNA or ERK2 shRNA; with virtually no colonies forming following combined ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs (all values $p < 0.001$) as assessed by counting the number of clones per 10X magnification light microscopy (Figure 2A lower panel).

To assess potential of anchorage dependent colony formation, clones of A375 melanoma cells developing resistance to ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNA treatment were determined by visual inspection and manual counting of the numbers of clones developing after 9 days (Figure 2B). While numerous and easily visible clones were apparent when cells were treated with SC shRNA, treatment with the ERK shRNAs did not generate any resistant clones when used in combination, and only rare clones could be identified with single treatment, being greater for ERK2 shRNA than ERK1 shRNA as assessed
by counting the number of clones per well (Figure 2B lower panel; all reductions \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Knockdown of ERK1 and/or ERK2 triggers killing of A375 melanoma cells involving a caspase dependent cascade**

Western blot analysis revealed silencing either ERK1 and/or ERK2 triggered cleavage (activation) of caspase 8 after 4 days and 6 days, with cleaved caspase 3 detected after 6 days of treatment (Figure 3A). PARP was cleaved more prominently by ERK2 shRNA compared to ERK1 shRNA (similar to caspase 8) on day 4, and by day 6 ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNAs triggered near complete cleavage of PARP. The role of caspases in killing of A375 cells was studied using the pan-caspase inhibitor, ZVAD (Figure 3B); which revealed significant blocking of day 4 cell death by ERK1 shRNA (\( p < 0.01; p < 0.002 \) for ERK2 shRNA and \( p < 0.02 \) for ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs).

**Killing of A375 cells involves alterations in mitochondrial function**

To assess the integrity of the outer mitochondrial membrane potential before and after 2, 4 and 6 days of treatment, A375 cells were labeled with a TMRE dye (Figure 4A), and reduction in the intensity of staining indicating altered membrane potential was progressively increased over the time course for ERK1, ERK2 and ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs in A375 cells. Further analysis using abs detecting the activated forms for Bax in permeabilized cells with FACS (Figure 4A-lowest panel set), revealed all shRNA treatments triggered increased levels of activated Bax within the melanoma cells.

**Profiling protein levels regulating survival and death in A375 cells**

Since cell death is regulated by relative levels of various pro-apoptotic and anti-apoptotic proteins (Figure 4B), cell extracts were prepared before and 4 days after various treatments and western blot analysis included a panel of anti-apoptotic proteins (Figure 4B upper panel), and pro-apoptotic proteins (Figure 4B lower panel). Constitutive levels for proteins associated with cell survival were detected for Bcl-XL, Mcl-1, Bcl-2, and XIAP (Figure 4B upper panel). Treatment with ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNA did not lower these levels. There was slight increase in XIAP by knocking down ERK1 but not ERK2, which did not seem to protect cells from the killing by targeting ERK2. As regards the pro-apoptotic protein levels (Figure 4B lower panels), knockdown of ERK1 and/or ERK2 increased levels of Bak, Bax, Bad, Bim with variable changes in Noxa and PUMA levels, accompanied by decreased p-Bad levels in the A375 cells. Both Noxa and PUMA appeared to be dependent on ERK1, consistent with recent report [33]. Overall, targeting ERKs appeared to more significantly influence pro-apoptotic protein levels over pro-survival protein levels.

PLX4032 and PD0325901 rapidly reduce pERK1 and pERK2, but pERK1/2 levels are restored following PLX4032 treatment, with killing beginning on day 2 but without significant increases after 4 and 6 days of treatment

Treatment with the MEK inhibitor, PD0325901 reduced ERK1 levels at 4 hr and 8 hr, but eliminated pERK1 and pERK2 levels between 2 hr and 48 hr (Figure 5A), with sustained reduction after 4 days (Figure 5B). Treatment with mutant BRAF specific inhibitor, PLX4032 slightly influenced ERK1 and ERK2 levels, but eliminated pERK1 and pERK2 levels up to 24 hr; but this was not sustained as both phosphorylated isoforms were detectable after 48 hr and 4 days (Figure 5A, B, respectively). Induction of A375 cell killing was rapid (Figure 5C) as detected at the 2 day assay point, (approximately 20% of the cell population; \( p < 0.01 \) for both drugs), with slight increases on day 4 (\( p < 0.001 \) for both drugs) or day 6 (\( p < 0.001 \) for PD0325901 compound and \( p < 0.01 \) for PLX4032).

PD0325901 treatment triggered slight reductions in Bcl-XL, Mcl-1, Bcl-2 after 4 days of treatment, but not XIAP; whereas PLX4032 triggered greater reductions all of these pro-survival protein levels, but not XIAP (Figure 5D). Pro-apoptotic protein levels were either relatively unchanged or diminished by treatment with these two drugs, which may reflect the overall relatively modest levels of killing achieved during the six-day period of treatment with PD032509 or PLX4032.

**Silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 reduces upstream kinase levels including BRAF, CRAF, and pMEK**

To explore the molecular basis for lack of emergence of treatment resistance clones when using ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNAs, relative levels of upstream kinases were determined 4 days after treatment (Figure 6A-left side panel). Compared to levels observed using SC shRNA, the levels of BRAF, CRAF, phospho-MEK, but not total MEK were significantly reduced by either ERK1 shRNA or ERK2 shRNA, and for BRAF became even lower with ERK1 and ERK2 shRNA combined. Given the reports of treatment resistance of melanoma cells to PLX4032, we compared and contrasted the same upstream kinase levels after 4 days of treatment with either PLX4032 or PD0325901 (Figure 6A-right side panel). Compared to untreated A375 cells, continuous exposure to PD0325901 lead to increased levels of BRAF, which was also modified so as to reduce its electrophoretic motility, accompanied by near complete loss of CRAF and increased phospho-MEK and slightly reduced total MEK.
levels. By contrast, PLX4032 treatment did not reduce BRAF levels, although both CRAF and phospho-MEK levels were dramatically reduced. Since BRAF levels appeared to discriminate between the shRNA treatment that suppressed resistant clone formation and PLX4032 that promoted resistant clone formation, relative mRNA levels were determined using RT-PCR (Figure 6B). Compared to untreated cells, PD0325901 increased BRAF...
mRNA levels, but none to relatively minor changes in BRAF mRNA levels were observed for all other treatments (PLX4032, ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNAs), indicating changes involving non-transcriptional regulatory elements.

Combining ERK shRNAs with PLX4032 enhances killing of melanoma cells
As noted in Figure 5A, treatment of A375 cells with PLX4032 led to a rebound in pERK levels after 48 hrs, and to determine if this rebound level of pERK contributed to melanoma treatment resistance associated with PLX4032 treatment, we first knocked down pERK levels with the ERK shRNAs (which generate sustained and selectively knockdown of each pERK isoform (Figure 1A), and then added PLX4032. Compared to the killing of A375 cells accomplished by either use of ERK shRNAs or PLX4032 alone (Figure 7), greater killing was triggered by PLX4032 in the co-presence of ERK1 shRNA, ERK2 shRNA or ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNA ($p < 0.001$ for all combinations compared to single treatment values).

Discussion and conclusions
Despite an impressive array of exciting recent results highlighting the importance of the RAF/MEK/ERK pathway in melanoma patients, surprisingly these reports did not make any distinctions between ERK1 and ERK2. By contrast, we focused on dissecting important similarities and differences in the biology and therapeutic targeting efficacy between ERK1 and ERK2 in A375 melanoma cells. Taken together, the detailed characterization of the cellular and molecular events in A375 melanoma cells following silencing of ERK1 and/or ERK2 revealed many insights of potential therapeutic significance. First, it is clear that ERK1 and ERK2 control similar, but not...
identical, signaling events in melanoma cells. For example, reducing ERK2, but not ERK1 increased levels of Noxa; yet combining ERK1 plus ERK2 shRNAs reduced Noxa below constitutive levels; whereas reducing ERK1 but not ERK2 increased XIAP levels (Figure 4B). Also, while both isoforms compete for MEK, reduction in one isoform did not lead to increased phosphorylation of the other isoform in A375 cells (Figure 1A). Second, targeting ERK1 and/or ERK2 trigger greater cell death in A375 cells compared to chemical inhibitors of mutant BRAF (PLX4032) or MEK (PD0325901) that possessed similar rapid reductions in phospho-ERK levels (Figures 1A, B, and 5A, B).

Besides the aforementioned attributes of targeting ERK1 and/or ERK2, there are several other advantages to this strategy. First, since drug resistance to PLX4032 is linked to recovery of pERK activity [34], it was therapeutically beneficial that sustained and near complete reduction in both pERK1 and pERK2 can be accomplished using the shRNAs to obviate this problem. Second, along the same line of inquiry, unlike PLX4032 which is preferentially active in tumor cells bearing the V600E mutation of BRAF, reducing levels of pERK1 and/or 2 does not depend on the mutation status of the melanoma cell. Indeed, it has been observed that within melanoma lesions, there can be both clones containing...
both BRAF mutant alleles as well as wild-type BRAF [35]. Third, by silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2, the feedback loop between ERK and RAF and MEK is interrupted as clearly observed by comparing treatment of

cells with MEK inhibitor which led to increased phospho-MEK as reported by others [36], in contrast to the decrease in phospho-MEK after our shRNA approach (see below for more discussion).

Figure 6 Silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 reduces protein levels of upstream kinases after 4 days including BRAF, CRAF, and phospho MEK; while PD0325901 or PLX4032 exposure variably influences these kinases, with less to no effects of any treatments on BRAF mRNA levels. A. Western blot of upstream kinases in A375 cells 4 days after treatment with shRNAs (left side panel), or after exposure to PD0325901 (1 μM) or PLX4032 (5 μM) on right side panel. GAPDH confirms equivalent protein loading. B. BRAF mRNA levels after 4 days of exposure to PD0325901 or PLX4032 (upper panel); or after treatment with shRNAs (lower panel). Histograms represent the means +/- SD based on three independent experiments.

Figure 7 Silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 increase sensitivity of A375 cells to killing by PLX4032. A. Relative killing percentages of A375 cells by PLX4032 (5 mM; 4 days) alone or when combined with indicated shRNAs. A375 cells were infected with shRNAs for a total of 4 days and PLX4032 added for the last 2 days. Note compared to either PLX4032 alone or the shRNAs alone, when combined there is increased killing (p < 0.001). Histograms represent the means +/- SEM based on 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance portrayed as follows: **p < 0.001.
Additional evidence for feedback loops, and significant molecular complexities became apparent by probing for changes in BRAF and CRAF with these various treatments. It should be noted that phosphorylation events amongst the components of this pathway can be either activating or inhibitory [37]. A simple negative feedback loop has been suggested whereby activated ERK would phosphorylate and thereby inhibit further MEK activity [38]. While blocking ERK with the chemical inhibitors or the ERK shRNAs would therefore be expected to enhance phospho-MEK levels, this was only observed using PD0325901 (Figure 6A). Thus, feedback loops are more complicated as regards ERK and MEK. In another scenario, downstream from ERK are phosphatases (e.g. DUSP) that serve as negative feedback components, and decreased ERK levels could lead to reduced phosphatases thereby facilitating accumulation of phospho-MEK [39].

Perhaps even greater complexity was uncovered between ERK and more upstream kinases such as BRAF and CRAF. In this situation, markedly diminished levels of BRAF and CRAF accompanied using shRNAs, reducing ERK1 and or ERK2 levels. ERK is known to be able to hyperphosphorylate members of the RAF serine/threonine kinase family, leading to decreased signaling, and it is possible the altered phosphorylation triggered by decreased ERK levels influenced the ability of the antibody to recognize BRAF or CRAF due to conformational changes [38]. Support for this posttranscriptional modification was provided by the RT-PCR results in which the shRNAs did not influence relative mRNA levels for BRAF (Figure 6B). A feedback loop could also be observed using the MEK inhibitor as regards increased BRAF levels and decreased electrophoretic mobitility possibly due to hyperphosphorylation [40,41].

While undoubtedly complex, elements of the feedback loops (both positive and negative) will require exploration beyond the scope of this current work, but are likely contributors to the lack of emergence of treatment resistant clones using the ERK shRNAs.

Despite the remaining challenges required to more fully understand the biology of silencing ERK1 and or ERK2, it is clear that killing of melanoma cells by silencing ERK1 and/or ERK2 is caspase dependent (Figure 3B), provoking altered mitochondrial function (Figure 4A), and highlights a key role for the ERK signaling pathway in tumor cell survival [42]. The higher level of cytotoxicity exhibited by the ERK shRNAs and activation of caspase cascades also are likely to contribute to the paucity of treatment resistant clones (Figure 2B) with our novel therapeutic approach. Furthermore the ability of PLX4032 to kill A375 melanoma cells was greatly increased when combined with ERK1 and/or ERK2 shRNAs (Figure 7), suggesting the possibility of such combination therapies worthy of a additional study.

Based on the current in-vitro findings, it may be worth moving forward in the clinic with several drug combinations to prevent drug resistance to PLX4032, which would include drugs targeting ERK, or with drugs targeting MEK. While these findings were consistently observed in the A375 cell line, future studies are indicated to explore other V600E BRAF mutant bearing cell lines to compare and contrast with the results for A375 cells. In conclusion, targeting the BRAF-MEK-ERK pathway for melanoma patients is rapidly accelerating in the clinic [43,44], and further studies using agents that silence ERK1 and/or ERK2 should be seriously considered for future lines of inquiry to overcome the notorious apoptotic resistance and treatment bypass repertoire of melanoma cells either alone or in combination with PLX4032 [45].
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