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Calcium sparks were discovered in single isolated rat cardiac myocytes by Cheng et al. (1993) while imaging fluorescence from the calcium indicator fluo-3 (Minta et al., 1989) with a confocal microscope. Cardiac muscle calcium sparks are associated with an approximate doubling of the resting fluo-3 fluorescence ($\Delta F/F = 1.0$) and occupy a tiny region of the cell $\sim 2 \mu m$ in diameter. A simple equilibrium calculation of the likely change in calcium underlying the spark suggested that the local calcium peaked at $\sim 300$ nM in 10 ms (Cheng et al., 1993). However, this figure underestimates the true change in calcium because of the limited kinetics and dynamic range of fluo-3, as well as blurring by the microscope. From a recent paper by Smith et al. (1998), we can estimate that the true change in calcium because of the limited kinetics and dynamic range of fluo-3, as well as blurring by the microscope. From a recent paper by Smith et al. (1998), we can estimate that the true change in calcium underlying the spark is $\sim 3$ pA (Blatter et al., 1997). Relating these fluxes to the number of SR release channels involved is problematic because there is considerable uncertainty in the value of the open probability of the SR calcium release channels during E–C coupling as well as the calcium flux passed by the channel under physiological conditions. Nevertheless, such small fluxes immediately suggested that the calcium spark was due to either a single channel or a small number of channels gating in concert (Cheng et al., 1993; Blatter et al., 1997). More recently, it has been proposed that a single SR release channel may conduct $<0.5$ pA under near physiological conditions (Mejia-Alvarez et al., 1998), indicating that the spark is almost certainly due to a cluster of SR release channels gating in concert. Such a measurement of the single SR release channel current only places a lower bound on the number of channels involved because we do not know the time course of the open probability of the SR calcium release channels during the rise of the calcium spark. The time course of the SR calcium release channel gating should be reflected in the actual time course of the SR calcium release flux during a spark. One approach to obtain this information is to use a mathematical description of the factors that should determine the spatio-temporal properties of the spark and back calculate the calcium flux required to produce the observed calcium spark (Lukyanenko et al., 1998). Although Lukyanenko et al. (1998) showed that they were able to measure a reduced rate of SR release channel inactivation in the presence of FK506 (which alters SR release channel gating), it is important to note that the accuracy of this type of method is uncertain since the diffusional properties of the various calcium buffers present in the cytosol are not precisely known. Therefore, it is not firmly established whether the SR calcium release declines exponentially during a calcium spark with a time constant that depends on the magnitude of the release (Lukyanenko et al., 1998). Although using mathematical models to estimate the SR calcium release flux from calcium spark records is a powerful approach for obtaining information on the time course of SR calcium release underlying sparks, major problems...
reside in the low signal-to-noise ratio associated with calcium sparks, even if the detailed properties of the calcium buffers in the cytosol become known. An alternative approach would be to use a calcium indicator in conjunction with a high concentration of a slow calcium buffer (such as EGTA) when the indicator signal can become a direct measure of the flux (Pape et al., 1995; Song et al., 1998).

Although $P_{\text{Spark}}$ is proportional to the number of sparks detected over a fixed time interval, during normal E–C coupling too many sparks occur for them to be unequivocally counted, although it has been estimated that $P_{\text{Spark}}$ increases by a factor of $\sim 10^4$ during an action potential (Cannell et al., 1994). To overcome this problem, experimenters either limit the voltage range over which they measure $P_{\text{Spark}}$ or limit calcium influx via the L-type calcium channel (or dihydropyridine receptor [DHPR]) by either reducing external calcium levels and/or using a calcium channel antagonist or EGTA to strongly buffer internal calcium (Song et al., 1998). The fact that reducing the DHPR current causes a large decrease in the probability of spark production shows that the local calcium in flux via DHPRs is a major trigger for SR release (Cannell et al., 1994, 1995; López-López et al., 1995). In the rest of this perspective, we will only discuss SR calcium release triggered by DHPRs as the potential role of the Na/Ca exchanger in triggering sparks under physiological conditions is unknown.

At negative potentials where the open probability ($P_o$) of a DHPR is very low, the voltage dependence of $P_{\text{Spark}}$ is the same as that of the DHPR $P_o$ (increasing e-fold for $\sim 7$ mV), showing that a single DHPR can activate a spark (Cannell et al., 1995; Santana et al., 1996). A similar conclusion was reached by López-López et al. (1995), who found that the time course of calcium spark production during a voltage clamp pulse was similar to the expected single DHPR kinetics. Hence $P_{\text{Spark}} = k \cdot P_o$ at a fixed potential and $k$ should depend on the amplitude of the calcium flux via DHPRs as well as the mean open time of the L-type calcium channel. At more positive potentials (in the presence of DHPR antagonists), $P_{\text{Spark}}$ has a bell-shaped voltage dependence whose peak is shifted to the left by $\sim 10$ mV compared with the L-type calcium current. This result shows that the microscopic gain in E–C coupling is voltage dependent (Santana et al., 1996), which fits nicely with the earlier results and conclusions of Sipido and Wier (1991) who studied the voltage dependence of the rate of SR calcium release after accounting for voltage-dependent changes in L-type calcium current amplitude. The voltage dependence of $P_{\text{Spark}}$ can be explained by the SR release channels sensing the local calcium produced by the flux of calcium during the DHPR opening (which is voltage dependent) and $P_{\text{Spark}}$ depending on the square of the local calcium concentration (Santana et al., 1996). However, the latter analysis did not explicitly account for the time-dependent change in $P_\text{m}$ during the voltage-clamp pulse that will change $P_{\text{Spark}}$ (López-López et al., 1995). As noted above, $P_{\text{Spark}}$ should be a function ($f$) of the single L-type channel current ($i$) as well as a function ($g$) of the mean open time of the DHPR ($\tau$) and the probability that an L-type channel in the junction is open ($P_o$). $P_o$ can be eliminated by noting that the whole cell calcium current ($I_{Ca}$) is given by the number of calcium channels in the cell ($n$) times the single channel current ($i$) and $P_o$ (Santana et al., 1996). Hence:

$$P_{\text{Spark}}/I_{Ca} = f(i) \cdot g(\tau)/g \cdot \tau = m(i) \cdot g(\tau),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $h(i)$ is a new function of $i$. This equation can be integrated over the period of the voltage-clamp pulse that activates $I_{Ca}$ to give:

$$\int P_{\text{Spark}} dt/\int I_{Ca} dt = \overline{P_{\text{Spark}}}/\overline{I_{Ca}} = h_2(i),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $h_2$ is another function of $i$ and the overbar signifies mean values over the period of the pulse. This approach assumes that $\tau$ is nearly constant during the pulse and any changes in the amplitude of the calcium current during the pulse arise from time-dependent changes in the mean closed time of the DHPR, which must not affect the ability of an L-type channel opening to elicit a spark. The latter assumption is made more reasonable by experiments and calculations that suggest that sparks are most likely to be activated by the first opening of a nearby DHPR (Cannell et al., 1994; Cannell and Soeller, 1997). The ratio of the integrals of $P_{\text{Spark}}$ and the L-type calcium current therefore provides a quantitative measure of the efficiency by which sparks can be activated by the local DHPR flux ($i$) (Gómez et al., 1997). $h_2(i)$ has a similar voltage dependence to the measure $P_{\text{Spark}}/I_{Ca}$ used by Santana et al. (1996), supporting the idea that $P_{\text{Spark}}$ has a nonlinear (possibly a square power) dependence on the local calcium level (which should be proportional to $i$; see Soeller and Cannell, 1997) in the junctional space between the t-tubule and the SR (Cannell et al., 1994; Santana et al., 1996). This conclusion arises from consideration of Eq. 1, where if $f(i) = k \cdot i^x$ (reflecting mass action and that the local calcium level is proportional to $i$), then $P_{\text{Spark}}/I_{Ca}$ (or the ratio of their mean values) will contain $i^{x-1}$. $i^{x-1}$ should decline approximately exponentially with voltage if $x > 1$, assuming that $\tau$ is a weak and monotonically increasing function of voltage (see Mazzanti et al., 1991). Since $P_{\text{Spark}}/I_{Ca}$ and the ratio of their integrals shows such an exponential voltage dependence (see Santana et al., 1996; Gómez et al., 1997), this suggests that $P_{\text{Spark}}$ must depend on something like the square of the local calcium level produced by the activity of nearby DHPRs.
If $P_{\text{Spark}}$ is proportional to $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]^2$, then this result can explain how the ~100-fold local changes in calcium that should develop during a DHPR opening (see Soeller and Cannell, 1997, for calculations) can produce such a massive increase in $P_{\text{Spark}}$ (estimated to be ~10^8; see above). In addition, a square relation will ensure that adjacent spark sites are less likely to activate each other as diffusion over the distance of the sarcomer e leads to the local $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ declining quite rapidly (Cannell and Allen, 1984; Wier and Yue, 1986). Since sparks do not normally spread between sarcomeres (but see Parker et al., 1996; Blatter et al., 1997), one would not expect SR calcium release to propagate throughout the cell (Trafford et al., 1993) and the spatial dissociation of spark sites helps limit regenerative behavior inherent in the calcium-induced calcium release mechanism (as described by Fabiato, 1983).

In summary, cardiac E–C coupling is the result of the spatial and temporal summation of many “elementary” calcium sparks that are triggered via calcium influx across the surface membrane. This influx causes the local calcium in the junctional regions of the SR to increase, and the nonlinear relationship between the probability of activating a spark and the local calcium concentration causes a very large increase in the probability of spark occurrence and hence rate of calcium release by the SR. The idea that E–C coupling occurs in microdomains where local calcium levels are quite different (in both time course and spatial extent) from what is measured by conventional whole cell methods has essentially been proven by the discovery of calcium sparks. By considering what happens in the microdomain of the junctional space, new “local control” theories are being developed that provide an underpinning for how E–C coupling can achieve high gain and stability simultaneously (e.g., Stern, 1992; Cannell et al., 1995), which was always a problem for “common pool” models of E–C coupling (Stern, 1992). Despite the recent rapid progress in understanding cardiac E–C coupling, there are still many important questions to be addressed; e.g.: (a) Is the spark really an elementary event or do subsets of SR release channels in the junction sometimes open during normal E–C coupling? If they do, is this the basis of the smaller calcium sparks (termed calcium “quarks”) proposed by Lipp and Niggli (1996)? (b) What is the time course of SR release channel gating during the spark? How is SR calcium release terminated? Is the time course sensitive to physiological modulation? (c) To what extent can other calcium influx mechanisms (such as the Na/Ca exchange [e.g., see Levi et al., 1993] and T-type calcium channels [e.g., see Sipido et al., 1998]) trigger spark production? (d) Why do mathematical models of calcium sparks (e.g., Pratusevich and Balke, 1996; Smith et al., 1998) generate “sparks” that are spatially smaller than actually observed (i.e., ~1 μm in diameter)? (e) What is the relationship between the ultrastructural organization of a junctional region and the properties of E–C coupling at that site?
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