Tumor Heterogeneity and Drug Resistance Mutations Using ctDNA in Metastatic EGFR Mutation-Positive Lung Adenocarcinoma: A Case Report
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Abstract: For advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutations, EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have been approved as the standard therapy and shown clinical benefits. However, the emergence of drug resistance is inevitable. Tumor heterogeneity was often observed by imaging method to evaluate the progression of primary and metastatic lesions. Tissue biopsy was also unlikely to accurately capture the complete genomic landscape from a single tissue sample. Recently, genomic characterization of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) offer an opportunity to reveal the clonal dynamics throughout the course of a patient’s illness and provide comprehensive genomic landscape of tumors to assess tumor heterogeneity. Here, we reported a lung adenocarcinoma (LADC) with EGFR mutations who was treated with sequential EGFR TKIs. The CT image of the patient’s different lesions suggested that dynamic change of tumor heterogeneity had occurred. Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis of ctDNA revealed dynamic changes of mutational profiles between the primary and metastatic tumors to discover tumor evolution to guide treatment decision-making.
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Introduction

In Asian non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, somatic activating mutations in the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) such as point mutation L858R within exon 21 and short in-frame deletions within exon 19 are the most common oncogenic driver mutation.1 With the development of targeted therapy and next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology, the therapeutic strategies for lung cancer have evolved into a new era of genomics-guided precision medicine. These EGFR-targeted tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) have shown improved tumor response and progression-free survival (PFS) outcome in EGFR-mutated NSCLC.2 Although EGFR T790M mutation have been confirmed as first- and second-generation EGFR-TKIs resistance mutations, a third-generation EGFR-TKI of osimertinib has shown efficacy in counteracting the growth of EGFR T790M mutant tumors.3 Although osimertinib has demonstrated high clinical efficacy, developing resistance is also inevitable.

The biggest hurdle for the successful treatment of cancer is the tumor heterogeneity, which can take different forms of intratumor, intermetastatic or intrametastatic heterogeneity within an individual patient.4 Computed tomography (CT) remains the initial imaging method for clinical staging of lung cancer, evaluating the change of primary and metastatic lesions. Recently, gene sequencing of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) from a liquid biopsy or blood sample can provide...
comprehensive genetic information of all cancerous lesions (primary and metastases), which overcomes spatial and temporal heterogeneity of a single-tumor biopsy sample, as well as facilitates dynamic tracking of genomic evolution for formatting a treatment strategy.\(^5\)

We herein report a case of lung adenocarcinoma (LADC) with \(\text{EGFR}\) mutations, whose different tumor lesions exhibited different response to the \(\text{EGFR}\)-TKIs. The NGS analysis of ctDNA revealed dynamic changes of mutational profiles between the primary and metastatic tumors.

**Case Report**

A 44-year-old female was diagnosed with stage IV LADC (cT2bN2M1c) in the right lung center with lymph nodes metastases in February 2017. Positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET-CT) scan indicated a 14×9 mm density mass in the upper lobe of right lung and a 23×7 mm density mass in the right hilum, respectively (Figure 1). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of tumor biopsy showed positive for LADC markers thyroid transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) and Napsin-A, but negative for lung squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) markers P40 and P63. NGS demonstrated \(\text{EGFR}\) L858R with a mutation allelic frequency (MAF) of 0.5%, in plasma and 19.7% in tumor tissue of the upper lobe of right lung, and \(\text{TP53}\) R282W with a MAF of 29.9% in plasma and 43.5% in tumor tissue of the upper lobe of right lung (Table 1). Then, the patient was treated with gefitinib (250 mg QD) in April 2017, which is a first-generation \(\text{EGFR}\)-TKI. In November 2017, the lesions in the upper lobe of right lung deceased to 14×4 mm, the size of the right hilum lesion increased to 27×14 mm and a new 11×8 mm density mass in the mediastinal lymph nodes occurred (Figure 1). The patient reached a progression-free survival (PFS) of 7 months. In order to find more efficient therapeutic strategy, targeted NGS was applied in the plasma sample and it revealed \(\text{EGFR}\) T790M (MAF = 1.2%), \(\text{EGFR}\) L858R (MAF = 2%) and \(\text{TP53}\) R282W (MAF = 31.7%) (Table 1). The patient was then switched to osimertinib, a third-generation \(\text{EGFR}\)-TKI (80 mg QD) that is selective for T790M resistance mutations,\(^6\) and achieved an

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S376647)
initial partial response (PR) with sustained response ongoing for 7 months. In July 2018, the size of the upper lobe of right lung and right hilum lesion increased to 18×13 mm and 65×36 mm, respectively, which indicated a PD (Figure 1). However, the size of lesions in the mediastinal lymph nodes decreased to 8×4 mm. The follow-up genomic testing indicated $\text{EGFR}\ L718Q$ (MAF = 1.6%), $\text{EGFR}\ T790M$ (MAF = 1.0%), $\text{EGFR}\ L858R$ (MAF = 5.8%) and $\text{TP53}\ R282W$ (MAF = 42.1%) in the plasma sample (Table 1). Due to the occurrence of $\text{EGFR}\ L718Q$, as a mechanism of acquired resistance to osimertinib, the therapy was switched to GC treatment (gemcitabine 1000 mg/m$^2$ and carboplatin AUC 5 on Day 1, and gemcitabine 1000 mg/m$^2$ on Day 8 of a 21-day cycle). However, the size of the upper lobe of right lung, mediastinal lymph nodes and right hilum lesion increased to 18×17 mm, 15×12 mm and 110×80 mm, respectively, which indicated a PD (Figure 1) and targeted NGS revealed $\text{EGFR}\ L718Q$ (MAF = 1.6%), $\text{EGFR}\ T790M$ (MAF = 0.8%), $\text{EGFR}\ L858R$ (MAF = 3.4%) and $\text{TP53}\ R282W$ (MAF = 37.7%) in the plasma sample in December 2018 (Table 1). Unfortunately, the patient died in January 2019.

**Discussion**

Tumor heterogeneity has been one of the major hurdles for the successful treatment of cancer, which is largely attribute to differences in somatic mutations in the tumor. Heterogeneity is also often seen in an individual patient and can take different forms including intratumor, intermetastatic or intrametastatic heterogeneity. Heterogeneity between different malignant lesions is common in patients with advanced metastatic cancer. In this case, the response to the treatments differed in different lesions suggested intermetastatic heterogeneity. Multiple factors may lead to tumor heterogeneity and tumor evolution, including mutational burden, copy number variation and genome doubling. Some therapies can also contribute to genomic diversity. In this study, the patient was diagnosed with LADC with $\text{EGFR}\ L858R$. Sequential administration of $\text{EGFR}\ TKIs$ (gefitinib and osimertinib) was performed. For acquired mutations detection, such as $\text{EGFR}\ T790M$, the usefulness of liquid biopsy has already been reported and used in clinical practice. However, such treatment also accelerated the accumulation of $\text{EGFR}$-resistance mutations, including the occurrence of $\text{EGFR}\ T790M$ and $\text{EGFR}\ L718Q$. The emergence of acquired drug resistance limited the duration of tumor response and gave rise to tumor progress as new metastatic lesions or as proliferation of previous tumor lesions, which could be detected by clinical imaging studied. Genomic instability is the most prominent factor that drive tumor heterogeneity since different cells acquire unique mutations that lead to genetically distinct subpopulation. Tumor heterogeneity is also acts as a potentiator of acquired resistance regardless of the therapy.

The patient’s tumor sample was insufficient for genetic testing for the dynamic monitoring. Moreover, molecular characterization of a sample from a primary or metastatic lesion, which often obtained from a needle biopsy or surgical excision, was unlikely to accurately capture the complete genomic landscape. The analysis of ctDNA has been used as a biomarker to assess clonal dynamic progression throughout the course of a patient’s treatment, identify drivers of acquired resistance and assess tumor heterogeneity. The CT image of the patient’s different lesions suggested that dynamic change of tumor heterogeneity had occurred. Genomic characterization of ctDNA revealed the mutational pattern to help recognize whole genetic alterations occurring in the whole body, which had a potential to demonstrate this heterogeneity (Figure 2), but

| Gene   | Alteration | Baseline | Post-Treatment | Chemotherapy |
|--------|------------|----------|----------------|--------------|
|        |            | FFPE     | Plasma         | Gefitinib    | Osimertinib  |             |
|        |            | Plasma   | Plasma         | Plasma       | Plasma       |             |
| TP53   | R282W      | 43.5%    | 29.9%          | 31.7%        | 42.1%        | 37.7%       |
| $\text{EGFR}$ | L858R     | 19.7%    | 0.5%           | 2%           | 5.8%         | 3.4%        |
| $\text{EGFR}$ | T790M     | -        | -              | 1.2%         | 1.0%         | 0.8%        |
| $\text{EGFR}$ | L718Q     | -        | -              | -            | 1.6%         | 1.6%        |

**Table 1 Genetic Alterations by Targeted NGS in the Primary Tumor of the Upper Lobe of Right Lung and Serial Plasma ctDNA**

**Abbreviations:** FFPE, formalin-fixed; paraffin-embedded; “-”, not detected; GC, gemcitabine and carboplatin; ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA.
not in all tumors. The genetic testing based on ctDNA may also offer an opportunity to predict the efficacy of therapeutic approach to improve clinical outcomes.

There are still several limitations of our study which should be further studied in the future. We did not have tumor samples for the dynamic monitoring to validate the tumor heterogeneity. Additionally, further research is warranted to study more effective therapy.

**Conclusion**

Our report presented LADC patient with *EGFR* L858R who was treated with sequential *EGFR*-TKIs and developed resistance mutations, which were detected by dynamic NGS monitoring. The CT image of different lesion during the course of treatment revealed tumor heterogeneity. We highlight the importance of dynamic monitoring of ctDNA using NGS to assess tumor heterogeneity and guide treatment decision-making.
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