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Abstract

We examine the past and current work on the star formation (SF) histories of dwarf galaxies in cosmological hydrodynamic simulations. The results obtained from different numerical methods are still somewhat mixed, but the differences are understandable if we consider the numerical and resolution effects. It remains a challenge to simulate the episodic nature of SF history in dwarf galaxies at late times within the cosmological context of a cold dark matter model. More work is needed to solve the mysteries of SF history of dwarf galaxies employing large-scale hydrodynamic simulations on the next generation of supercomputers.

1 Introduction

Dwarf galaxies play unique roles in the cosmological studies of galaxy formation. Low-mass galaxies contribute the most to the cosmic star formation rate (SFR) density at low redshift, and in contrast the star formation in high-mass galaxies seems to cease at $z \gtrsim 1$ [16, 21]; the so-called ‘downsizing’ effect in galaxy formation. This global trend of star formation is presumably driven by the feedback effects by supernovae (SNe) and supermassive black holes, as well as the cosmological effects such as the expansion and the reionization of the Universe. All of these effects can suppress the star formation, eventually giving rise to the characteristic shape of galaxy luminosity function. The question that is not fully answered yet is, “Which physical processes have the strongest impact, and which galaxies are affected the most?” Studying the star formation in dwarf galaxies gives us useful clues not only on the physics of star formation, but also on the feedback processes that cause the downsizing in galaxy formation and how the galaxy luminosity function has been shaped over time.

Observations of stellar populations suggest that the star formation in dwarf galaxies [7, 8, 24, 36, 43] is sporadic, separated by millions to billions of years, even in isolated systems. What causes the episodic SF history? Past interaction with other galaxies or merger events are obvious possibilities to explain the SF activity in these systems, but the lack of tidal debris in the outer regions of dwarf galaxies argues against such scenarios [6]. Then the remaining likely possibility is the instability in the local interstellar medium (ISM), however, many interesting questions remain. For example, why is it now that they are undergoing active star formation? What determines the epoch and the duration of star formation? Is it the local physics or the cosmological processes that are more important in determining the downsizing effect?

While the recent episodic SF activity is observed in dwarf galaxies, most dwarf galaxies in the Local Group are also dominated by the old stellar populations (ages of $\gtrsim 10$ Gyr) with an
occasional mixture of younger stars 11, 14, 23, 41. This suggests that the main formation epoch of those dwarf galaxies were before $z \sim 6$.

It is important to address the above questions using the *ab initio* cosmological hydrodynamic simulations, in which the gas dynamics is simulated self-consistently from early universe to the present time. Although there have been much numerical work on the formation of dwarf galaxies 10, 12, 13, 20, 22, 32, 38, 39, usually the work is presented in the context of reionization or the missing satellite problem, and most publications do not present the SF histories of dwarf galaxies in their simulations.

As we describe in the next section, explaining the episodic nature of SF history in low-mass systems remains a challenge for many reasons in the framework of cold dark matter (CDM) model. The purpose of this short article is to record what we know on the SF history of dwarf galaxies in the past and current cosmological hydrodynamic simulations.

## 2 Cosmological Hydrodynamic Simulations

### 2.1 Star Formation Model

In order to simulate the formation and evolution of dwarf galaxies, one has to model the collapse of gas clouds and subsequent star formation. In most cosmological simulations, the star formation is modeled by creating a star particle from a parcel of gas (either the gas in an Eulerian cell or a gas particle in the case of smoothed particle hydrodynamics [SPH]) when the following criteria are satisfied 11, 17: (i) the region is overdense ($\delta > \delta_c$); (ii) converging gas flow ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} < 0$); (iii) cooling rapidly ($t_{\text{cool}} < t_{\text{dyn}}$); and (iv) Jeans unstable ($m_{\text{gas}} > m_{\text{Jeans}}$). One would expect that if the condition (iii) or (iv) is satisfied, the other conditions are also likely to be met, but more rigorous analyses would be required to assess which criterion is the most important one. There are other variants of SF models, but the discussion will be deferred to another place 4.

Simulations of galaxy formation require vast dynamic ranges in both mass and space: from molecular clouds (~parsecs) to groups/clusters of galaxies (~mega-parsecs). With the currently available computational resources, it is still difficult to resolve the details of molecular clouds while simultaneously simulating the formation of thousands of galaxies on the scales of $\gtrsim 10$ Mpc. In other words, we can reliably identify the sites of galaxy formation on large-scales and simulate the average SFR of each galaxy, but the small-scale instabilities of multiphase ISM on sub-parsec scales caused by, e.g., turbulence, cannot be followed in detail in cosmological simulations. As we will describe in the next section in more detail, the earlier Eulerian cosmological simulation 25 was not able to reproduce the episodic nature of SF history, even though it properly simulated the gas dynamics on large scales ($\gtrsim 20$ kpc). This suggests that the sporadic star formation in dwarf galaxies is driven by the instabilities on small scales, which is difficult to simulate properly with the current resolution limits.

The above limitation is a typical criticism directed towards cosmological simulations, however, the cosmological simulations can calculate the amount of gas that fall into the region correctly. Combined with a star formation law, we can calculate the overall SFR of the system, which is valid within the limitations of the model and still is relevant to the subject of this article. We simulate the gas dynamics at intermediate scales (~kpc) as
accurately as possible, and treat the small-scale physics with a sub-grid/particle model for star formation and SN feedback based on our astrophysical knowledge. In this approach, the SFR is calculated as $\dot{\rho}_* = c_*(\rho_{\text{gas}}/t_*)$, where $c_*$ is the SF efficiency and $t_*$ is the SF timescale. Both of these parameters are usually adjusted so that the simulation result matches the observed Kennicutt-Schmidt law [18, 35]. One can further adopt a sub-grid/particle model [37, 44] to calculate the cold gas density $\rho_{\text{cold}}$, and use this in place of $\rho_{\text{gas}}$ in the above SF law. The projected SFR generally follows the Kennicutt law well [3, 26, 34, 37], but the consequences of the adopted SF model (e.g., the SF threshold density or the equation of state) must be studied further [4].

2.2 Past and Current Work

Ref. [25] showed that, using an Eulerian cosmological hydrodynamic simulation, the star formation ceased at high redshift in the dwarf galaxies that survived to $z = 0$. In their simulation, only 7% of stars formed below $z = 1$ in galaxies with stellar masses $2 \times 10^8 < M_*(z = 0) < 2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, and no stars formed at $z < 1$ in galaxies with $M_*(z = 0) < 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. This result is consistent with the general expectation in the CDM model, in which the small systems form early on and the accretion of material stops thereafter, as the residual gas is easily swept out by the SN feedback or evaporated by photoionization [5, 9, 10, 29, 31, 42]. The conclusion of Ref. [25] was that, in a CDM universe, dwarf galaxies found today are dominated by old stars; they consist predominantly of stars 10 Gyrs old and do not show recent SF activity.

However, as we outlined in Section I, the observed SF histories of dwarf galaxies exhibit sporadic SF activity at late times, and we wonder whether the result of Ref. [25] was affected by the resolution limitation. Eulerian mesh simulations lose the physical spatial resolution at late times owing to the cosmic expansion. On the other hand, they generally have a larger mesh number compared to the number of particles employed in cosmological SPH simulations, hence have higher baryonic mass resolution at early times than SPH simulations. (If the number of dark matter particles and the box sizes are comparable, then the resolution in the initial gravitational field would be similar in the two methods.) This numerical trend could explain the efficient conversion of gas into stars at early times and the lack of SF activity at late times in the Eulerian mesh simulation of Ref. [25], because the simulation may underestimate the cooling rate of gas when it cannot resolve the density fluctuation of gas on scales below the physical mesh size, as they approach to the present time. A related notable advantage of the Eulerian mesh simulation over the SPH method is that it is better at modeling the gas in low density regions, therefore it is more suitable for the study of Ly-α forest [2].

In contrast to the Eulerian mesh simulation, the SPH simulation is more suitable to simulate the late-time evolution of high-density regions owing to its Lagrangian nature. Therefore one might expect that the SPH simulations perform better in modeling the sporadic SF history at late times in dwarf galaxies. In the left panel of Figure I we compare some examples of SF history in a cosmological SPH simulation of a comoving box size $L_{\text{box}} = 100 h^{-1} \text{Mpc}$ and $2 \times 400^3$ (gas and dark matter) particles. The adopted cosmological parameters are consistent with the latest WMAP5 results [19]: $(\Omega_m, \Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_b, \sigma_8, h) = (0.26, 0.74, 0.044, 0.80, 0.72)$, where $h = H_0/(100 \text{km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1})$. The masses of dark matter,
gas and star particles are \((m_{dm}, m_{\text{gas}}, m_{\text{star}}) = (9.4 \times 10^8, 1.9 \times 10^8, 8.5 \times 10^7) h^{-1} M_\odot\). In each panel, we indicate the range of stellar masses of the selected galaxies at \(z = 0\). Each SF history includes all the stars formed in the progenitors of the current galaxy. The SF histories of the most massive galaxies with \(M_\star > 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot\) peak at \(t = 2 - 3\) Gyr, and gradually decline thereafter in a roughly exponential manner, consistently with the results of Ref. [25].

In the bottom panel, the SF histories of dwarf galaxies with \(1 \times 10^9 < M_\star < 2 \times 10^9 h^{-1} M_\odot\) are shown. In this simulation, the dwarf galaxies continue to form stars sporadically at late times, even at \(t > 10\) Gyr.

In the right panel of Figure 1, we compare the cumulative stellar mass fraction that formed in galaxies with \(M_\star(z = 0) > 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot\) and \(M_\star(z = 0) < 10^{10} h^{-1} M_\odot\) as a function of the age of the universe. This figure shows that, in a relative sense, the more massive galaxies form their stars earlier than the lower mass galaxies, in qualitative agreement with the observational trend (e.g., [40]) of downsizing.

Even though our new SPH simulations [4] seem to be more successful in modeling the qualitative trend of star formation in different galaxies, we may still have difficulties in reproducing the correct number density of “red & dead” massive galaxies at \(z = 1 - 2\) or the ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs), as examined by Refs. [27, 28]. Interestingly, their work showed that the Eulerian simulation exhibited more sporadic SF history for the massive galaxies than the SPH simulation at intermediate redshifts of \(z = 1 - 3\). The difference in the nature of SF history was perhaps due to a combination of differences in the SF models and the effectiveness of feedback, as well as the numerical resolution reached in the different simulations.

### 3 Discussion & Conclusion

As described in the previous section, the results from different numerical methods are still somewhat mixed, but we understand their qualitative differences when we consider the resolution and numerical effects. The main factor seems to be the numerical resolution, and one way to get around this problem might be to utilize the adaptive mesh refinement (AMR) technique (e.g., [39]). However, as we pointed out in Ref. [30], current AMR codes require substantially larger computational resources to obtain an equivalent result on the dark matter halo mass function as the SPH codes do at early times. Therefore it is difficult to simulate a large sample of dwarf galaxies in a large cosmological volume, and the simulation is usually not run down to \(z = 0\) [13, 39].

Perhaps the best way to view the current situation is that each code captures the essence of dwarf galaxy formation at different epochs. The Eulerian mesh codes can capture the very early starbursts in low-mass halos better than the SPH codes, whereas the SPH codes can capture the gas infall and star formation in dwarf galaxies at late times owing to their higher spatial resolution in high-density regions. Both of these processes (i.e., early and late star formation) probably took place in the real Universe as Ref. [32] proposed: some dwarf galaxies being the true fossils of the pre-reionization era, some being dominated by the late star formation at low redshift, and the rest being the mixture of the two.

Our hope is that, within the next decade, the results from different numerical techniques (Eulerian mesh, SPH, and AMR) will converge, and provide a consistent picture of dwarf
Figure 1: Left: We compare some examples of star formation histories with $10^8$ yr bins in a cosmological SPH simulation of a comoving box size $L_{\Box} = 100h^{-1}$ Mpc and $2 \times 400^3$ (gas and dark matter) particles. In each panel, five different galaxies in the indicated stellar mass ranges (in units of $h^{-1}M_\odot$) at $z = 0$ are shown with different colors. Stars formed in all the progenitors are co-added for each galaxy. Right: Cumulative stellar mass fraction as a function of cosmic time for all the galaxies with $M_\star(z = 0) > 10^{12}h^{-1}M_\odot$ and $M_\star(z = 0) < 10^{10}h^{-1}M_\odot$.

galaxy formation. Then we will have a much better idea on the physical processes that shaped the galaxy luminosity function and how the downsizing effect of galaxy formation is caused. To achieve this goal, we still have to overcome a huge dynamic range from subparsec to Mpc scales, and it will require a peta-scale supercomputer of next generation, such as Blue Waters [45].
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