The obesity paradox and mortality after pathological hip fractures: a Swedish registry study
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Background and purpose — Obesity as measured by BMI has been associated with increased survival in various diseases, a phenomenon known as the “obesity paradox.” It is unknown whether obesity is associated with survival after pathological fractures. We investigated the association between BMI and survival after surgery for pathological hip fracture, to improve survival prognostication, and lay grounds for further interventional nutritional studies.

Patients and methods — We analyzed prospectively collected data from Swedish nationwide registry “RIKS-HÖFT.” The study cohort included 1,000 patients operated for a pathological hip fracture between 2014 and 2019. BMI registered on admission was available in 449 patients. Overall patient survival was measured according to the Kaplan–Meier method. Multivariable regression was used to evaluate association with other potential factors that influence patient survival.

Results — Overweight and obesity were associated with an increased postoperative survival in male patients with surgically treated pathological hip fractures. Multivariable analysis considering potential confounders confirmed this finding. The association was not that strong in women and did not reach statistical significance.

Interpretation — BMI, a commonly available clinical parameter, is a good predictor of overall survival for patients operated on for pathological hip fracture. Incorporation of BMI in existent survival prognostication algorithms should be considered. Treatment of malnutrition in this frail group of patients is worth studying.

Metastatic bone disease is common among cancer patients. Approximately 10% of them suffer a pathological fracture and approximately one-third of them die within a year after surgery (1,2). Treatment of pathological hip fractures is surgical. The choice of implant and adjuvant postoperative treatment depends on the estimated postoperative patient survival (3).

Although pre-obesity and obesity are associated with a higher risk of death in the general population, a reverse phenomenon called the “obesity paradox” has been observed in some but not all types of cancer (4,5). There are studies which suggest that the obesity paradox is also seen in traumatic fractures and hip replacement surgery (6–11).

Various patient survival prognostication models have been developed to aid the clinician with preoperative planning. The most used include factors such as patient age, the extent of metastatic spread, type of primary malignancy and laboratory values such as blood hemoglobin levels, but none includes BMI (12–14).

Considering the ambiguity regarding the obesity paradox in cancer and the findings in traumatic fracture surgery, we set out to explore whether there was an association between BMI and postoperative survival in a nationwide cohort of patients operated on for pathological fractures of the hip. The hypothesis was that overweight or obesity would be associated with increased postoperative survival.

Patients and methods

We conducted a registry-based nationwide cohort study on patients operated on for a pathological hip fracture due to metastasis between January 1, 2014, and December 31, 2018. Patient data was extracted from the Swedish National Regis-
try for Hip Fractures (RIKSHÖFT) (Figure 1). The study is reported according to STROBE guidelines.

Reporting to RIKSHÖFT is optional and takes place at 47 of the 52 orthopedic clinics in Sweden. Coverage rate in comparison with the Swedish National Patient Register exceeded 80% during the years 2014–2018 (National Board of Health and Welfare). Data collection at baseline is done by nurses or secretaries and a follow-up questionnaire is administered 4 months after index surgery.

The following variables are collected upon hospital arrival: age, sex, fracture date, fracture type, surgical method, walking ability, walking aids, cognitive function, and residency. Comorbidity is assessed using the ASA score as part of standard preoperative practice (15,16).

BMI registration is optional and calculated based on the patient’s weight and height measured on admission to hospital. BMI was further divided categorically according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines into underweight ≤ 18.4, normal weight 18.5–24.9, overweight 25.0–29.9, and obesity ≥ 30.0. Information on date of death has been linked to RIKSHÖFT from Statistics Sweden using the unique personal identification number assigned to all individuals living in Sweden.

Subgroup analysis
High ASA and male sex have been associated with increased mortality in studies of non-pathological hip fractures and we therefore analyzed them separately (17–20). Age was divided into 2 groups, above and below the median value. ASA grading was formed into 2 groups, ASA1–2 and ASA 3–4. ASA 5 was excluded due to only 1% of patients belonging to this subgroup.

Statistics
We analyzed categorical data with the chi-square test. Survival analysis was done as per Kaplan and Meier, and we used the logrank test to evaluate differences between groups. Correlation between the survival time and BMI was also done in a Cox regression model with hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimated for each BMI group in relation to the normal weight group. We adjusted analysis for ASA score, sex, and age. A p-value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical calculations were performed in SPSS 25 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethics, data sharing, funding, and potential conflicts of interests
The study was approved by the regional Ethics Committee of Stockholm Dnr 2017/1088-31. Primary data can be shared upon reasonable request by MH. This research has received funding from Stockholm County ALF fund. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Results
Cohort
We included 1,000 patients (Table 1). BMI on hospital admission was documented in 449 patients (underweight 35, normal weight 224, overweight 135, and obese 55) (Figure 2). There was no statistically significant correlation between BMI and sex (p = 0.9), age (p = 0.1), treatment method (p = 0.5), time to surgery (p = 1), or type of fracture (p = 0.8). BMI was significantly higher (p = 0.03) in patients living independently than for patients admitted from nursing homes or already hospitalized (Figure 2).

Correlation of BMI with postoperative mortality
Median overall patient survival time was 10 months (CI 8–12) and mean overall survival 23 months (CI 21–25). In
The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis, patients with overweight and obesity had longer postoperative survival ($p = 0.02$) (Figure 3). Other potential prognostic factors such as age, sex, and ASA score were also evaluated regarding their association with patient survival (Table 2). In a multivariable model including these factors only BMI retained its prognostic significance (Table 3).
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Figure 2. BMI distribution of 449 patients operated on for a pathological fracture of the hip (left panel). Boxplot of BMI distribution among patients operated on for a pathological fracture of the hip, depending on the admission status of each patient. Patients coming from nursing homes or hospitals ($n = 129$) had a statistically significantly lower BMI than those being admitted from home ($n = 320$) (right panel).
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Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. Patients with overweight and obesity had increased survival compared with both patients of normal weight and underweight patients.
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Figure 4. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis. The effect of BMI on survival reached statistical significance in men (left panel) but not in women (right panel).

Table 3. Results of Cox regression with possible confounders. Values are hazard ratios (95% CI) for postoperative mortality

| Model 1        | Model 2           | Model 3           |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Normal weight  | 1.0               | 1.0               | 1.0               |
| Underweight    | 1.5 (1.0–2.2)     | 1.7 (1.1–2.6)     | 1.8 (1.2–2.7)     |
| Overweight     | 0.8 (0.6–1.0)     | 0.8 (0.6–1.0)     | 0.8 (0.6–1.1)     |
| Obese          | 0.7 (0.5–1.1)     | 0.8 (0.5–1.1)     | 0.6 (0.4–0.9)     |

After adjustment for comorbidity, age, and sex, obesity was still associated with a significantly lower risk of death, approximately 40%, whereas underweight patients had an approximately 80% higher risk of death. Model 1 = hazard ratio for all BMI groups compared to normal weight. Model 2 = additionally adjusted for age and sex. Model 3 = additionally adjusted for ASA.

The probabilities for survival for males differed between BMI groups. Women had slightly increased (not statistically significant) postoperative survival with increasing BMI (Figure 4).

Since BMI is only optionally recorded in the Swedish National Registry for Hip Fractures, and information was
missing in 449/1,000 patients, we conducted a sensitivity analysis to assess reporting bias. We found no statistically significant difference in the distribution of BMI between hospitals that had an almost complete registration of BMI (≥ 75%) and the rest of the reporting units (p = 0.6).

Discussion
The major finding of our study is that the obesity paradox holds true in patients treated for pathological hip fractures. This could be attributed to the extra metabolic reserve of overweight and obese patients, who apparently have not entered the catabolic state of disseminated cancer and thus have better survival chances.

Strengths of this study are its sample size and the quality of the registered data regarding BMI and ASA, as well as complete dataset on mortality. As RIKSHOF is a nationwide registry, included patients were treated at all hospital levels, minimizing the risk of selection bias. The major limitation is that half of patients lacked information on BMI. Our sensitivity analyses as well as an earlier report from the same database have not shown any selection bias behind the missing information on BMI, and we regard this as an issue attributed to administrative reasons (10). Another limitation is that the cancer diagnosis and dissemination status of each patient was not recorded. On the other hand, some of the potential methodological limitations that have been proposed to explain the obesity paradox in previous studies such as inconsistencies in time of BMI assessment or the presence of inhomogeneous populations regarding race/ethnicity were not present in ours (5).

The obesity paradox is quite consistently described in traumatic fractures, although its interpretation is a matter of debate (10). Whether obesity confers a survival benefit in cancer patients is controversial and the pathophysiological mechanisms are not known. Theoretically, excess adipose tissue may provide for a metabolic reserve to be used in stress conditions, either chronic ones such as cancer or acute ones such as a fracture of a long bone and its surgical treatment. However, it cannot be excluded that being overweight may simply indicate the absence of illness-related weight loss (5). This raises concern that the “obesity paradox” itself may simply be attributed to confounding factors and biased statistical methods because the extensive research in this area suffers from confounding issues, which are difficult to adjust for (21). In our study, we adopted a stepwise analysis and tried to compensate for possible confounding factors that have been described in the relevant medical literature, such as comorbidity grade (ASA), age, and sex. Other factors that have been proposed as confounders of the obesity paradox are smoking habits, which are not recorded in the registry and thus could not be included, and the presence of malignancy, which was a prerequisite to be included in the study.

In our study the obesity paradox was obvious in the male population, while in women the effect did not reach statistical significance. This is in line with the findings of a previous study in which women with breast cancer who suffered fragility fractures were studied (22). It is possible that our study is underpowered regarding the female subgroup, yet another explanation could be that in breast cancer, the leading cause of cancer-related death in females, a high BMI is associated with a negative effect on survival, and this might eradicate the postulated protective factor of having extra energy reserves to cope with the acute catabolic stress of trauma and orthopedic surgery (22,23). This, however, remains to be shown in a larger cohort or in cohorts where the type of malignancy is known.

It is not possible to draw any firm conclusions on the nature of the obesity paradox itself from our study. Our results are, however, of clinical importance as they support the hypothesis that preoperative BMI could be integrated in existing models for estimation of postoperative survival. Importantly, the data call for clinical studies focusing on the treatment of malnutrition in these patients, and the effect of this intervention on survival.

Conclusion
BMI can be used for estimation of survival after surgically treated pathological fractures; inclusion in survival prognostication tools may increase their accuracy.
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