HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico: a cross-sectional study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: HIV testing is critical to the delivery of comprehensive HIV prevention and care services, yet coverage of sexual minorities by HIV testing programmes remains insufficient in many low- and middle-income countries, including Mexico. The objective of this study was to identify the prevalence and correlates of HIV testing among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Tijuana, Mexico.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional study (2012–2013) among 189 MSM recruited via respondent-driven sampling (RDS). RDS-weighted logistic regression was used to identify correlates of prior HIV testing.

Results: RDS-adjusted prevalence of prior and recent (≤12 months) HIV testing was 63.5% (95% CI 51.9% to 73.5%) and 36.8% (95% CI 25.4% to 46.4%), respectively. Prior HIV testing was positively associated with older age (adjusted OR (AOR)=1.09, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.15), being born in Tijuana (AOR=2.68, 95% CI 1.05 to 6.86), higher levels of education (AOR=2.65, 95% CI 1.08 to 6.53), identifying as homosexual or gay (AOR=3.73, 95% CI 1.48 to 9.42), being more ‘out’ about having sex with men (AOR=1.28, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.62), and a history of sexual abuse (AOR=3.24, 95% CI 1.06 to 9.86). Prior HIV testing was negatively associated with reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts (past 2 months) (AOR=0.95, 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98) and greater internalised homophobia (AOR=0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.99).

Conclusions: Our findings indicate an urgent need for expanded HIV testing services for MSM in Tijuana. Innovative, non-stigmatising, confidential HIV testing interventions targeted at young, less educated, migrant and non-gay identifying MSM may facilitate HIV testing and timely linkage to HIV care and treatment within this population.

INTRODUCTION

Early HIV diagnosis, linkage to care, initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and viral suppression improve the health of HIV-infected individuals1 and reduce the risk of onward sexual transmission.2 As such, regular HIV testing is critical to the delivery of comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment and care services.3 Given that men who have sex with men (MSM) are disproportionately affected by HIV/AIDS worldwide, including those in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC),4 the WHO recommends that MSM undergo HIV testing annually.3 Yet coverage of sexual minorities by HIV testing programmes remains insufficient in many LMIC.5

Research with MSM in LMIC has documented a relationship between HIV testing and several sociodemographic, behavioural, psychosocial and structural factors.6–15 HIV testing has been associated with older age,6–10 higher levels of education10–13 and self-identifying as homosexual or gay.7 13 Individuals who have never tested for HIV tend to perceive themselves to be at low risk of infection,9 while testing is more common among those with greater HIV-related knowledge,6 a history of sexually transmitted infection (STI),7–9 a history of sexual violence6 and those reporting sexual risk behaviours (ie, more sexual partners12 and transactional sex8). However, an inverse association between condomless anal intercourse and HIV testing has been reported.7 10 11
Individuals with stigmatised attitudes towards people living with HIV, as well as those who fear a positive test result and the negative social consequences associated with testing positive, are also less likely to seek HIV testing. Structural factors, including homophobia and HIV-related stigma, have also been inversely associated with HIV testing. Moreover, research has shown that HIV testing is dependent on access to HIV education or service programmes, which are often less prevalent in LMIC due to limited resource allocation to HIV prevention services for sexual minorities as well as the criminalisation and stigmatisation of same-sex sexual behaviours.

In Mexico, HIV prevalence among MSM (16.9%, 95% CI 15.6% to 18.3%) is 73 times that among reproductive-aged adults (0.23%, 95% CI 0.18% to 0.32%). Although Mexico implemented universal access to ART for HIV-infected individuals in 2003, national HIV prevention strategies have not emphasised targeted HIV testing for key populations, including MSM. Tijuana borders San Diego, California and is located in Mexico’s northern state of Baja California, which has the third highest cumulative HIV incidence in the country. Although HIV-related research with MSM in the Mexico-USA border region has been limited, a recent Tijuana-based study estimated an HIV prevalence of 20.2% (95% CI 12.5% to 29.1%) among MSM, and 89% of those infected are unaware of their HIV-positive status. Despite the availability of free HIV testing at government-funded clinics and community-based organisations in Tijuana, only 63.7% (95% CI 53.2% to 74.5%) of MSM have ever been tested. Taken together, these findings underscore the need for targeted HIV testing services to curb the HIV epidemic within this population.

To contribute to the limited HIV-related literature on MSM in the Mexico-US border region and inform the development of HIV testing programmes that facilitate adherence to the WHO testing guidelines within this population, we aimed to (1) describe HIV testing behaviours, (2) characterise motivations and barriers to HIV testing, and (3) identify correlates of prior HIV testing among MSM in Tijuana.

**METHODS**

**Study population and sampling methods**

Between August 2012 and May 2013, a Tijuana-based HIV prevalence study recruited 216 MSM via respondent-driven sampling (RDS), a chain-referral sampling technique often employed to reach hidden and marginalised populations. The study was conducted in collaboration with Agencia Familiar Binacional (AFABI), a community-based organisation in Tijuana that addresses the HIV prevention and treatment needs of key populations. As previously described, AFABI outreach workers recruited potential seeds at venues frequented by MSM (e.g., discos, bars, bathhouses). Initially, six individuals with large social networks (>15 individuals) and heterogeneous with respect to age, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, location of residence in Tijuana and sexual orientation were selected to serve as seeds. Halfway through the study, four additional seeds were selected to boost recruitment. Seeds were given three coupons to invite male peers who have sex with men from their social networks to participate in the study. Eligible recruits were then given three coupons to invite their male peers who have sex with men in subsequent recruitment waves. Each coupon was coded with a unique number and had a 3-week expiration date. Given that the study’s primary purpose was to determine the prevalence of HIV among MSM in Tijuana, recruitment continued until a sample size of approximately 200 was achieved to detect an HIV prevalence of 20% with at least 80% power, assuming a design effect of 2 and an α-level of 0.05. Nine seeds recruited 191 eligible peer recruits. Eligible seeds and peer recruits were at least 18 years old, biologically male, Tijuana residents, reported oral or anal intercourse with a male in the past year, not under the influence of alcohol or drugs at enrolment and willing to provide informed consent. Fifteen peer recruits did not meet eligibility criteria and were excluded for the following reasons: no oral or anal intercourse with a male in the past year (n=8); under the influence of alcohol or drugs at enrolment (n=4); and not a Tijuana resident (n=3).

**Study procedures**

RDS Coupon Manager (Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA) software was used to track peer recruitment and collect biometric data to prevent repeat enrolment. Trained AFABI staff trusted by the community screened individuals for eligibility, obtained informed consent and administered surveys via computer-assisted personal interviewing. All participants also underwent rapid HIV testing (Advanced Quality HIV Test Kits; Intec Products, Inc, Xiamen, China), as well as pretest and post-test counselling according to Mexican national guidelines. If positive, a second rapid test was performed. Positive results on both rapid tests were confirmed via immunofluorescence assay at the San Diego County Public Health Laboratory. Confirmed HIV-positive participants were referred to psychosocial support services at AFABI and medical care at CAPASITS, the main HIV care and treatment clinic in Tijuana. Participants were compensated up to US$35: US$20 for completing study procedures and US$5 for each recruited peer. Study procedures were approved by Human Subjects Protection Committees at the Universidad Autónoma de Baja California and the University of California, San Diego (#120517).

**Measures**

Sociodemographics included age, gender identity (male or transgender female), birthplace (Tijuana, outside Tijuana in Mexico, USA, or other), sexual orientation...
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employment status (unemployed, part-time or full-time), monthly income (no income,<$1000, $1000–$1499, $1500–$1999, $2000–$2499, $2500–$2999, $3000–$3500, >$3500), travel to the USA, deportation from the USA and incarceration history.

Sexual behaviours were measured in the past 2 months and included the number of sexual partners, relationship types (spouse, steady, casual or anonymous), frequency of and condom use during anal and/or vaginal intercourse, and venues visited to meet male sexual partners (bar, nightclub, disco, bathhouse or sauna, dark room (unlit rooms attached to bars where men have sex), adult movie theatre, internet café and public places (eg, park, restroom, bus)). Participants were also asked whether they had exchanged money for sex in the past 6 months.

Substance use measures elicited information on lifetime and past month use of illicit drugs (marijuana, heroin, inhalants, methamphetamine, ecstasy, cocaine, tranquillisers, barbiturates, amyl nitrates (poppers), γ-hydroxybutyric acid, ketamine and other), as well as the frequency of alcohol and drug use before or during sex in the past 2 months. Hazardous alcohol consumption was measured using the Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT) and defined as an AUDIT score ≥8.

Personal social network size was measured by asking the following questions: “How many MSM at least 18 years of age do you know in Tijuana, Mexico?”; “How many of these men have seen you in the past month?”; “How many of these men know you back?” Participants’ personal social network size was determined on the basis of their response to the third question, which provides an estimate of the number of MSM ≥18 years of age living in Tijuana with whom participants had been in contact in the past month and believed would have recruited them if provided with a coupon.

History of sexual, physical and emotional abuse was assessed via three separate questions about whether participants had ever been forced or coerced to have sex against their will, physically abused (ie, hit or assaulted) or emotionally abused.

Social support was measured via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=agree, 4=strongly agree) to 7 items on help and support received from friends and family (eg, “The people close to you let you know they care about you”). Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater social support (α=0.91).

Perceived HIV-related stigma was measured via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=somewhat agree, 4=strongly agree) to 7 items assessing participants’ perception of others’ reactions to people living with HIV/AIDS (eg, “People treat others differently when they find out that they are HIV-positive”). Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater perceived HIV-related stigma (α=0.87).

Outeness about having sex with men was assessed among participants identifying as gay or bisexual by asking them to describe how ‘out’ they are about having sex with men on a scale of 1–7 (1=not out to anyone; 4=out to about half the people I know; 7=out to everyone).

Internalised homophobia refers to the internalisation of societal stigma towards MSM and was measured among participants identifying as gay or bisexual using a 9-item scale. Participants indicated their level of agreement with scale items (eg, “I feel that being gay/bisexual is a personal shortcoming for me”) via Likert scale responses (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neither agree nor disagree, 4=agree, 5=strongly agree). Item scores were summed such that higher total scores indicate greater internalised homophobia (α=0.90).

HIV-related knowledge was measured via 18 true/false questions on HIV transmission behaviours (eg, “A person will not get HIV if she/he is taking antibiotics”). Knowledge scores were calculated by summing the number of correct responses. Participants were also asked if they had accessed an HIV/STI counselling or education programme in the past 2 months.

HIV testing history was assessed via the following: “Have you ever tested for HIV?” and “When was your last HIV test?” Those reporting any prior HIV testing were asked what motivated them to seek or agree to their last test, while those reporting no prior testing were asked about barriers to testing.

HIV/STI diagnosis history was assessed via self-report. If participants reported prior HIV testing, they were asked the result of their last test. Participants were also asked if they had been diagnosed with gonorrhoea, chlamydia or syphilis in the past 2 months.

Statistical analysis

Seeds (n=10) and peer recruits with previous HIV diagnoses (n=2) were excluded from the present analysis. All other peer recruits who provided information on their HIV testing history contributed to the analysis (n=189). RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted descriptive statistics were calculated to characterise the sample and the MSM population in Tijuana, respectively. RDS-adjusted population estimates were obtained using the RDS Analysis Tool (RDSAT V.7.1; Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA), with parameters set to dual component for average network estimation, 25 000 resamples for bootstrapping, 0.025 for the α level and enhanced data smoothing for the estimation algorithm. Individual RDS weights were generated following an RDSAT partition analysis of prior HIV testing (outcome of interest) and were applied to the analysis sample for use in RDS-weighted logistic regression analyses. RDS-weighted logistic regression
Table 1 Characteristics of men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico (N=189)  

| Sociodemographics | RDS-unadjusted* | RDS-adjusted† |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                   | n (%)           | % 95% CI       |
| Age (in years)    |                 |               |
| 18–24             | 65 (34.4)       | 38.2 27.9 to 48.6 |
| 25–29             | 46 (24.3)       | 22.3 14.2 to 30.0 |
| 30–34             | 32 (16.9)       | 14.4 8.1 to 22.0 |
| ≥35               | 46 (24.3)       | 25.1 17.1 to 35.0 |
| Gender identity   |                 |               |
| Male              | 174 (92.6)      | 94.3 89.4 to 99.0 |
| Transgender female (male-to-female) | 14 (7.5) | 5.7 1.0 to 10.6 |
| Sexual orientation|                 |               |
| Homosexual or gay | 118 (62.8)      | 61.1 49.6 to 71.5 |
| Bisexual          | 64 (34.0)       | 35.7 25.9 to 46.8 |
| Heterosexual or straight | 6 (3.2) | 3.2 0.2 to 7.2 |
| Birthplace        |                 |               |
| Tijuana, Mexico   | 60 (31.8)       | 31.1 21.2 to 41.9 |
| Outside Tijuana in Mexico | 126 (66.7) | 67.8 57.2 to 77.9 |
| USA               | 3 (1.5)         | 1.1 0.0 to 2.3  |
| At least a high school education | 92 (48.7) | 48.0 37.5 to 60.6 |
| Employed          | 115 (61.2)      | 58.2 47.6 to 69.2 |
| Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US$≈280) | 102 (56.4) | 52.6 41.8 to 64.5 |
| Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) | 53 (29.1) | 32.2 20.6 to 42.6 |
| Ever travelled to the USA | 54 (34.4) | 33.5 21.5 to 45.6 |
| Ever deported from the USA | 13 (8.6) | 3.5 0.6 to 8.5 |
| Sexual behaviours  |                 |               |
| Traded sex for money (≤6 months) | 47 (25.1) | 23.2 13.3 to 34.2 |
| Any female sexual partners (≤2 months) | 45 (30.0) | 32.4 23.4 to 52.4 |
| Number of male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) | 6.5 (11.3) | 37.1 to 58.5 |
| Number of CAI acts with male sexual partners (≤2 months), mean (SD) | 7.7 (21.9) | 20.1 to 42.1 |
| Relationship to male sexual partners (≤2 months) |               |               |
| Spouse or live-in partner | 46 (25.8) | 30.7 19.9 to 43.5 |
| Steady non-live-in partner | 118 (65.9) | 65.6 56.1 to 76.8 |
| Casual partner | 98 (54.4) | 47.0 37.1 to 58.5 |
| Anonymous partner | 62 (37.6) | 32.5 20.1 to 42.1 |
| Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (≤2 months) |               |               |
| Bar, nightclub or disco | 51 (28.2) | 22.3 13.6 to 31.5 |
| Bathhouse or sauna | 20 (10.7) | 8.5 3.8 to 14.4 |
| Adult movie theatre | 16 (8.6) | 4.0 1.8 to 6.8 |
| Dark room | 18 (9.7) | 6.8 2.9 to 11.6 |
| Internet café | 16 (8.6) | 11.3 3.9 to 23.7 |
| Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) | 36 (19.5) | 11.5 5.8 to 18.5 |
| Substance use     |                 |               |
| Illicit drug use (lifetime) |               |               |
| Marijuana | 95 (50.5) | 53.6 42.4 to 63.8 |
| Methamphetamine | 53 (28.2) | 29.5 18.9 to 39.7 |
| Cocaine | 52 (27.8) | 32.2 20.9 to 42.8 |
| Amyl nitrite (poppers) | 32 (17.0) | 15.6 8.7 to 26.7 |
| Heroin | 15 (8.0) | 8.9 3.2 to 16.5 |
| Illicit drug use (≤1 month) |               |               |
| Marijuana | 57 (30.5) | 32.4 21.6 to 43.4 |
| Methamphetamine | 41 (21.8) | 22.1 12.9 to 31.7 |
| Cocaine | 16 (8.6) | 9.1 3.2 to 17.1 |
| Amyl nitrite (poppers) | 11 (5.9) | 6.6 1.7 to 10.2 |
| Heroin | 10 (5.3) | 4.8 0.7 to 10.5 |
| Any injection drug use (≤1 month) | 9 (5.0) | 5.0 0.7 to 10.8 |
| Hazardous alcohol consumption (≤12 months) | 76 (40.6) | 33.7 24.2 to 44.3 |
| Any drug use before/during sex (≤2 months) | 23 (12.8) | 10.7 5.6 to 18.8 |
| Any alcohol use before/during sex (≤2 months) | 75 (40.3) | 34.8 25.2 to 44.7 |
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was used to examine prior HIV testing in univariate and multivariate analyses as a function of sociodemographics, sexual behaviours, substance use, abuse history, psychosocial factors, HIV knowledge and STI history. Covariates were selected for inclusion in multivariate models on the basis of previous research indicating their association with HIV testing among MSM (ie, age, sexual orientation, education, exchange of money for sex [past 6 months], number of condomless anal intercourse acts [past 2 months], history of sexual abuse, outness about having sex with men, internalised homophobia, HIV knowledge, self-reported STI diagnosis [past 2 months]) and univariate results (ie, p value ≤0.05; birthplace, venues visited to meet male sexual partners, substance use). Missing data on travel to the USA (n=34), deportation from the USA (n=39) and the number of female sexual partners (past 2 months) (n=45) precluded their inclusion in regression analyses. All regression analyses were conducted using SAS V.9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS
Of the 603 coupons distributed to seeds and peer recruits, 206 (34.2%) were returned and led to the enrolment of 191 peer recruits (see online supplementary figure S1). The median number of waves was five, with 55% of participants recruited at wave five or higher. The largest recruitment chain had 11 waves and recruited 59% of participants (see online supplementary table S1).

Participants were recruited by friends (78%), sex partners (15%), acquaintances (5%) and relatives (2%).

Table 1 presents RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted descriptive statistics. Participants mostly identified as male (93%), 59% were aged under 30 years, 32% were born in Tijuana and 49% had at least a high school education. Most participants identified as homosexual or gay (63%), while 34% identified as bisexual and 3% identified as heterosexual or straight. Overall, 70% (n=133) of participants reported any prior HIV testing and 43% (n=79) of participants reported recent (≤12 months) HIV testing. Among those reporting any prior HIV testing, 61% reported testing in the past 12 months (median time since last test=8.9 months; IQR=4.1–24.9). The RDS-adjusted population estimates of any prior HIV testing and recent HIV testing were 63.5% (95% CI 51.9% to 73.5%) and 36.8% (95% CI 25.4% to 46.4%), respectively. Differences in RDS-unadjusted and RDS-adjusted estimates may be explained by preferential recruitment of peers with similar characteristics and differential recruitment by personal social network size (see online supplementary table S2).

Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing are reported in table 2. Among those reporting any prior HIV testing, 78% sought their last test because they wanted to know their HIV status, while only 20% sought their last test based on a doctor or other healthcare provider’s recommendation. Among those reporting no prior HIV testing, “I worry that testing HIV-positive will change my life” was
estimated that 63.5% of this population has ever tested for HIV, which is lower than that reported for MSM in Peru (74.3%), but higher than that reported for MSM in Brazil (51.6%). However, our findings corroborate earlier evidence that many MSM in Tijuana do not undergo annual HIV testing, as recommended by the WHO. Given that regular HIV testing is integral to the implementation of comprehensive HIV prevention, treatment and care services, efforts to scale up testing among sexual minorities in Tijuana are urgently needed.

Our findings suggest that several sociodemographic, behavioural and psychosocial factors are associated with HIV testing and shed light on potential strategies to promote testing within this population. Consistent with previous research, we found that younger age, lower levels of education and having tested for HIV were less likely to report prior HIV testing. For example, reporting more condomless anal intercourse in the past year and not having had a recent HIV test. MSM in Tijuana who engage in HIV risk behaviours may incorrectly perceive themselves to be at low risk and/or have limited access to HIV testing. These findings emphasise the need for age-specific HIV education and testing campaigns to support regular testing and adherence to the WHO guidelines.

The odds of prior HIV testing were also lower for participants born outside Tijuana. The Mexico-USA border region is characterised by migration from Central America and within Mexico in search of economic opportunities in the region, as well as cross-border mobility with the Tijuana-San Diego border recognised as the busiest international border crossing in the world. Migrants in the region are particularly vulnerable to HIV/STIs, which have been linked to their experiences of social isolation, economic insecurity and discrimination. Research with people who inject drugs in Tijuana suggests that these social and structural factors may limit access to HIV testing and other healthcare services among migrants deported from the USA. Given that 68% of our sample was born outside Tijuana, research examining HIV/STI vulnerability and access to healthcare among migrant MSM in Tijuana is needed to design HIV testing and prevention services for this population.

Encouragingly, participants with a history of sexual abuse were more likely to have ever tested for HIV. However, participants reporting other risk factors for HIV were less likely to report prior HIV testing. For example, reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts in the past 2 months was inversely associated with prior HIV testing. This finding is consistent with previous research conducted with sexual minorities in other LMIC as well as earlier research with MSM in Tijuana documenting a relationship between condomless anal intercourse in the past year and not having had a recent HIV test. MSM in Tijuana who engage in HIV risk behaviours may incorrectly perceive themselves to be at low risk and/or have limited access to HIV testing and prevention services. Tailored HIV education interventions that include risk reduction messages and encourage regular HIV testing may improve testing rates among MSM at greatest risk of HIV infection in Tijuana.

Internalised homophobia was associated with never having tested for HIV, while prior HIV testing was most frequently reported as a barrier to testing (54%) followed by “I am at low risk for HIV/AIDS” (47%) and “HIV test results are not confidential” (44%).

In an RDS-weighted multivariate logistic regression analysis (table 3), any prior HIV testing was positively associated with older age (adjusted OR (AOR)=1.09, 95% CI 1.04 to 1.15), having been born in Tijuana (AOR=2.68, 95% CI 1.05 to 6.86), having at least a high school education (AOR=2.65, 95% CI 1.08 to 6.53), identifying as homosexual or gay (AOR=3.73, 95% CI 1.48 to 9.42), being more ‘out’ about having sex with men (AOR=1.28, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.62) and a history of sexual abuse (AOR=3.24, 95% CI 1.06 to 9.86). Prior HIV testing was negatively associated with reporting more condomless anal intercourse acts in the past 2 months (AOR=0.95, 95% CI 0.92 to 0.98) and greater internalised homophobia (AOR=0.92, 95% CI 0.86 to 0.99). A sensitivity analysis excluding participants identifying as transgender female yielded qualitatively similar results.

**DISCUSSION**

We determined the prevalence and identified correlates of prior HIV testing among MSM in Tijuana, Mexico. We

| Table 2: Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico (N=189) |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Motivation for seeking or agreeing to last HIV test† | n (%) |
| Wanted to know my HIV status                  | 97 (78.2) |
| Regular testing                                | 53 (46.9) |
| Risky behaviour                                | 44 (37.9) |
| Someone I know tested HIV+                      | 32 (29.4) |
| Doctor/healthcare professional’s suggestion    | 22 (20.2) |
| Someone I know died of AIDS                     | 21 (19.3) |
| Partner or family member’s suggestion           | 18 (16.2) |
| Health concerns                                | 15 (13.5) |
| Risky behaviour with HIV+ person                | 14 (12.8) |
| Barriers to HIV testing‡                        |         |
| I worry that testing HIV+ will change my life   | 29 (53.7) |
| I am at low risk for HIV/AIDS                   | 25 (47.2) |
| HIV test results are not confidential           | 24 (44.4) |
| I am too healthy to be HIV+                     | 23 (43.4) |
| There is no testing on weekends or after hours | 16 (32.7) |
| Getting tested for HIV costs too much           | 17 (32.1) |
| I do not trust the clinics that do HIV testing  | 17 (32.1) |
| I will be discriminated against by medical      | 16 (29.6) |
| professionals if I test HIV+                    |         |
| I will think too much about dying if I test HIV+| 14 (27.5) |
| It is difficult for me to get transportation to a testing clinic | 12 (23.1) |
| It takes a long time to get your test results   | 9 (18.4) |

*Respondent-driven sampling (RDS) unadjusted sample estimates.
†Among those who had ever tested for HIV (n=133).
‡Among those who had never tested for HIV (n=56).
Table 3  Factors associated with prior HIV testing among men who have sex with men in Tijuana, Mexico

|                              | No prior HIV testing (N=56) | Prior HIV testing (N=133) | Univariate RDS-weighted* | Multivariate RDS-weighted† |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                              | n (%)‡                      | n (%)‡                    | OR 95% CI                | OR 95% CI                 |
| **Sociodemographics**        |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Age in years, mean (SD)      | 27.7 (9.2)                  | 30.2 (8.3)                | 1.04 1.01 to 1.08        | 1.09 1.04 to 1.15         |
| Male-identifying             | 53 (94.6)                   | 121 (91.7)                | 0.28 0.06 to 1.32        |                           |
| Gay-identifying              | 26 (46.4)                   | 92 (69.7)                 | 3.11 1.68 to 5.73        | 3.73 1.48 to 9.42         |
| Born in Tijuana              | 14 (25.0)                   | 46 (34.6)                 | 2.26 1.10 to 4.62        | 2.68 1.05 to 6.86         |
| At least a high school education | 19 (33.9)               | 73 (54.9)                 | 2.40 1.29 to 4.45        | 2.65 1.08 to 6.53         |
| Employed                     | 27 (48.2)                   | 88 (66.7)                 | 1.57 0.87 to 2.84        |                           |
| Monthly income ≥3500 pesos (US$∼280) | 28 (51.9)              | 74 (58.3)                 | 1.05 0.57 to 1.93        |                           |
| Ever incarcerated (jail or prison) | 16 (29.6)            | 37 (28.9)                 | 1.15 0.60 to 2.19        |                           |
| **Sexual behaviours**        |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Exchanged money for sex (<6 months) | 19 (33.9)           | 28 (21.4)                 | 0.42 0.21 to 0.82        | 1.28 0.49 to 3.37         |
| Number of male sexual partners (<2 months), mean (SD) | 7.0 (14.5) | 6.3 (9.8) | 1.00 0.97 to 1.04 |                           |
| Number of CAI acts (<2 months), mean (SD) | 6.6 (18.8) | 8.2 (23.2) | 0.98 0.97 to 1.00 | 0.95 0.92 to 0.98 |
| Relationship to male sexual partners (<2 months) |                        |                           |                          |                           |
| Spouse or live-in partner    | 12 (24.0)                   | 34 (26.6)                 | 1.02 0.51 to 2.02        |                           |
| Steady non-live-in partner   | 37 (69.8)                   | 81 (64.3)                 | 0.88 0.47 to 1.66        |                           |
| Casual partner               | 29 (53.7)                   | 69 (54.8)                 | 0.95 0.52 to 1.72        |                           |
| Anonymous partner            | 18 (37.5)                   | 44 (37.6)                 | 0.69 0.36 to 1.34        |                           |
| Venues visited to meet male sexual partners (<2 months) |                        |                           |                          |                           |
| Bar, nightclub or disco      | 15 (28.9)                   | 36 (27.9)                 | 0.59 0.29 to 1.20        |                           |
| Bathhouse or sauna           | 7 (12.5)                    | 13 (9.9)                  | 0.33 0.12 to 0.94        | 0.33 0.08 to 1.43         |
| Adult movie theatre          | 5 (9.1)                     | 11 (8.4)                  | 0.62 0.14 to 2.71        |                           |
| Dark room                    | 7 (12.7)                    | 11 (8.4)                  | 0.19 0.05 to 0.71        | 0.31 0.06 to 1.74         |
| Internet café                | 7 (12.7)                    | 9 (6.8)                   | 0.88 0.34 to 2.31        |                           |
| Public place (eg, park, restroom, bus) | 13 (24.1)         | 23 (17.6)                 | 0.56 0.23 to 1.35        |                           |
| **Substance use**            |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Illicit drug use (lifetime)  |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Marijuana                    | 29 (52.7)                   | 66 (49.6)                 | 0.56 0.31 to 1.03        |                           |
| Methamphetamine              | 21 (38.2)                   | 32 (24.1)                 | 1.18 0.61 to 2.27        |                           |
| Cocaine                      | 14 (25.5)                   | 38 (28.8)                 | 1.30 0.69 to 2.48        |                           |
| Amyl nitrite (poppers)       | 5 (9.1)                     | 27 (20.3)                 | 2.76 1.01 to 7.53        | 2.32 0.49 to 10.96        |
| Heroin                       | 5 (9.1)                     | 10 (7.5)                  | 2.07 0.62 to 6.90        |                           |
| Illicit drug use (<1 month)  |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Marijuana                    | 19 (34.6)                   | 38 (28.8)                 | 0.74 0.40 to 1.39        |                           |
| Methamphetamine              | 18 (32.7)                   | 23 (17.3)                 | 0.77 0.38 to 1.55        |                           |
| Cocaine                      | 5 (9.1)                     | 11 (8.3)                  | 0.44 0.16 to 1.20        |                           |
| Amyl nitrite (poppers)       | 2 (3.6)                     | 9 (6.8)                   | 0.43 0.26 to 1.38        |                           |
| Heroin                       | 4 (7.3)                     | 6 (4.5)                   | 0.54 0.22 to 1.24        |                           |
| Any injection drug use (<1 month) | 4 (7.4)                 | 5 (4.0)                   | 2.50 0.50 to 12.43       |                           |
| Hazardous alcohol consumption (<12 months) | 22 (40.0)         | 54 (40.9)                 | 0.49 0.26 to 0.91        | 0.78 0.32 to 1.89         |
| Any drug use before/during sex (<2 months) | 8 (15.1)              | 15 (11.8)                 | 1.05 0.40 to 2.76        |                           |
| Any alcohol use before/during sex (<2 months) | 20 (36.4)            | 55 (42.0)                 | 0.67 0.36 to 1.24        |                           |
| **History of abuse**         |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Ever experienced sexual abuse| 11 (19.6)                   | 41 (31.1)                 | 4.91 2.00 to 12.05       | 3.24 1.06 to 9.86         |
| Ever experienced physical abuse | 8 (14.6)                | 27 (20.6)                 | 2.21 0.88 to 5.54        |                           |
| Ever experienced emotional abuse | 16 (29.6)            | 46 (35.4)                 | 1.67 0.85 to 3.28        |                           |
| **Psychosocial factors**     |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| Social support, mean (SD)    | 21.8 (3.2)                  | 22.4 (3.6)                | 1.03 0.95 to 1.13        |                           |
| Perceived HIV-related stigma, mean (SD) | 23.4 (3.9)                  | 22.5 (3.9)                | 1.01 0.94 to 1.09        |                           |
| Outness about having sex with men, mean (SD)§ | 4.5 (2.0)                  | 5.5 (1.7)                 | 1.27 1.08 to 1.50        | 1.28 1.02 to 1.62         |
| Internalised homophobia, mean (SD)§ | 21.2 (6.5)                  | 18.1 (6.1)                | 0.96 0.91 to 1.00        | 0.92 0.86 to 0.99         |
| **HIV/STI knowledge**        |                             |                           |                          |                           |
| HIV/STI counselling or education programme (<2 months) | 4 (8.2)                 | 24 (18.9)                 | 1.95 0.69 to 5.57        |                           |
| HIV knowledge, mean (SD)     | 12.3 (2.9)                  | 14.1 (2.3)                | 1.29 1.14 to 1.46        | 1.10 0.93 to 1.31         |

Continued
associated with identifying as homosexual or gay and being more ‘out’ about having sex with men. These findings are consistent with research documenting internalised homophobia as a barrier to accessing HIV testing and prevention services. Cultural norms of machismo and homophobia contribute to stigma towards same-sex sexual behaviours in Mexico. Previous research suggests that non-gay identifying, HIV-positive men who have sex with both men and women in Mexico avoid gay community affiliation out of fear of homophobic reactions. As such, innovative strategies are needed to engage non-gay identifying MSM in HIV testing programmes without exacerbating experiences of stigma and discrimination. Structural interventions addressing societal stigma may also minimise internalised homophobia and support HIV testing uptake among sexual minorities in Tijuana.

Motivations for and barriers to HIV testing point to additional strategies that may promote testing within this population. The most frequently reported barrier to HIV testing was ‘I worry that testing HIV-positive will change my life,’ suggesting that MSM in Tijuana may perceive testing HIV-positive as a death sentence or worry about the potential for negative social consequences on testing positive. Community-wide education campaigns that correctly frame HIV/AIDS as a chronic disease in the context of ART, focus on the benefits of regular HIV testing, and raise awareness about universal ART access in Mexico may decrease HIV-related stigma and improve HIV testing rates within this population. Moreover, our ability to recruit MSM in Tijuana via RDS suggests that HIV educational campaigns and prevention interventions that rely on the diffusion of information through social networks might increase HIV-related knowledge and support regular HIV testing uptake among MSM in Tijuana.

Most participants who reported prior HIV testing sought their last test because they wanted to know their HIV status. However, given the stigmatisation of same-sex sexual behaviours in Mexico, MSM may be reluctant to disclose their sexual orientation or same-sex sexual practices to healthcare providers. Provider training on culturally competent care for sexual minorities may promote open discussions about sexual practices, facilitate risk reduction counselling, support the delivery and uptake of antiretrovirals for use as pre-exposure (once approved in Mexico) and post-exposure prophylaxis, increase HIV testing and ensure timely linkage to HIV care for this population.

Our study has several limitations. First, given the study’s cross-sectional design, we cannot infer that observed associations are causal. Second, although RDS was implemented to overcome barriers to accessing this hidden population, using this potentially biased recruitment method may have limited the generalisability of our findings. Third, RDS weighting procedures can yield imprecise estimates and may explain the wide 95% CIs obtained for some ORs in our RDS-weighted logistic regression analyses. Fourth, participants may have underreported sensitive information on sexual and substance use behaviours. However, to minimise the potential for this social desirability bias, surveys were administered by AFABI staff who are trusted members of the community. Fifth, barriers to HIV testing were not measured via open-ended questions. As such, future research should include in-depth interviews with MSM in Tijuana, particularly subgroups with a low prevalence of prior HIV testing (eg, young, less educated, migrant MSM), to better understand their barriers to testing and inform targeted interventions for MSM least likely to access HIV testing. Finally, although our findings were qualitatively similar in analyses excluding biological males who have sex with men and identify as transgender female, additional research examining the unique barriers to HIV testing experienced by this population is needed as they may differ from those experienced by biological males who have sex with men and identify as male.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings highlight the need for HIV testing programmes among MSM in Tijuana, Mexico and provide
useful information for the development of HIV testing services that address the specific needs of this population. Innovative, non-stigmatising, confidential HIV education and testing interventions targeted at young, less educated, migrant and non-gay-identifying MSM may facilitate regular HIV testing and timely linkage to HIV care and treatment among MSM in this setting.
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