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Abstract

Aim Foot and ankle deformities with concurrent ulcers remain challenging. Conventional treatments are often time-consuming and difficult. This study aims at assessing the feasibility and results of tibial cortex transverse distraction (TCTD) followed by open correction with internal fixation (OCIF) for foot and ankle deformity with concurrent ulcers.

Methods A retrospective analysis was conducted. Between 2010 and 2019, a two-stage management of TCTD followed by OCIF was performed in 13 patients (13 feet). The clinical data of all patients was recorded and analyzed.

Results The TCTD and wound debridement were performed in all patients, and an additional Ilizarov correction technique was added in two patients. All ulcers were healed in 3 months after first-stage treatment. The average external fixation time was 135.5 (median, 138.0; range, 49-173) days. After second-stage operative correction, the patients were followed-up for an average of 28.0 (range, 24-33) months. At the final follow-up, the average Dimeglio score of deformity was decreased from 6.7 (range, 3-9) to 0.5 (median, 1; range, 0-1), and the average AOFAS score was improved from 42.9 (range, 14-80) to 82.6 (range, 70-97). Complications include one case of mild displacement of the osteotomized cortex and one case of pin-tract infection. No relapse of ulcers or deformity was observed.

Conclusion The two-stage management of TCTD followed by OCIF could be considered as an alternative treatment for foot and ankle deformities combined with chronic ulcers.

Level of Evidence Level IV, retrospective case series.

Introduction

Foot and ankle deformities caused by various diseases can result in abnormal foot pressure distribution [1]. This long-term high pressure in some areas of foot predisposes callosities, soft-tissue damage, and even chronic ulcers [2–4]. The management of foot and ankle deformity with concurrent ulcers is challenging.

Unfortunately, as far as we know, only one study has focused on the management of this complex condition [4]. In their study, Kliushin et al applied an Ilizarov corrective frame for management of neurologic deformity of ankle and foot with concurrent osteomyelitis in a total of 77 patients [4]. Ulcer healing and eradication of infection could be simultaneously obtained during the process of deformity correction using an Ilizarov fixator. However, the lengthy duration of external fixation caused serious inconvenience to the patients and limited their quality of life.

The application of open correction with internal fixation (OCIF) could avoid prolonged external fixation but is restricted by the existence of infected ulcers. When OCIF is selected, the management of infected ulcers is an important and priority step in achieving an ulcer-free plantigrade foot in these patients. Conventional treatments for chronic ulcers include debridement, infection control, mechanical off-loading, and wound care therapies [5, 6]. For some patients, however, the long process of treatment makes it difficult to adhere the treatment, or the chronic ulcers still fail to heal despite treatment, requiring advanced methods are for adequate wound healing [7, 8]. Tibial cortex transverse distraction (TCTD), a novel technique based on the "tension-stress principle" proposed by Ilizarov [9, 10], has been shown as an effective treatment for chronic ulcers resulting from diabetes mellitus, thromboangiitis obliterans, and chronic ischemic diseases [11–13]. To our best knowledge, however, the application of tibial cortex transverse distraction in foot and ankle deformity with concurrent ulcers has not been previously reported.

Consequently, we hypothesized that (1) first-stage treatment using tibial cortex transverse distraction technique would be an effective method to facilitate healing of chronic pressure ulcers caused by foot and ankle deformities, and (2) second-stage treatment of OCIF could provide effective correction for foot and ankle deformities with less recurrence
risk of infection and deformity. To prove these hypotheses, a retrospective study was performed to evaluate the outcomes of the two-stage treatment, TCTD followed by OCIF, in a consecutive series of 13 patients (Fig. 1).

**Patients And Material**

A retrospective review of collected data between 2010 and 2019 from our department database was conducted under the ethical approval by the Research Ethics Committee of West China Hospital. We identified 25 patients (27 feet) who underwent the treatment for foot and ankle deformity with concurrent ulcers (Fig. 2A to 2F). The inclusion criteria of this study include patients who were suffering from foot and ankle deformity with non-healing or recurrent ulcers, who had not responded to previous treatments, and who underwent TCTD followed by OCIF. Previous treatments include non-operation management, debridement, skin grafting and flap transplantation. The exclusion criteria include Charcot arthropathy, peripheral vascular dysfunction, diabetes mellitus, or follow-up of < 24 months. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the final analysis included 13 patients (13 feet). The details of the patients are shown in Table 1.

| Case | Age (years) | Gender | Side | Etiology                      | Duration of deformity (years) | Type of deformity       | Duration of ulcer (years) | Site of ulcer | Size of ulcer (cm²) |
|------|-------------|--------|------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 1    | 54          | female | right| Congenital neurological diseases | 50                            | Equinocavovarus          | 4                        | forefoot      | 6.8                 |
| 2    | 46          | female | right| Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease     | 30                            | Equinocavovarus          | 6                        | forefoot      | 9.0                 |
| 3    | 41          | female | right| Poliomyelitis                   | 37                            | Equinocavovarus          | 2                        | forefoot      | 3.6                 |
| 4    | 38          | male   | right| Trauma sequelae                 | 2                             | Equinocavovarus          | 1                        | forefoot      | 0.8                 |
| 5    | 25          | female | right| Myelomeningocele                | 13                            | Equinocavovarus          | 1                        | hindfoot      | 100.0               |
| 6    | 18          | male   | left | Congenital neurological diseases | 18                            | Equinocavovarus          | 3                        | midfoot       | 6.2                 |
| 7    | 16          | female | right| Congenital neurological diseases | 16                            | Equinovarus              | 1                        | forefoot      | 9.0                 |
| 8    | 30          | male   | right| Myelomeningocele                | 20                            | Equinocavovarus          | 4                        | forefoot      | 0.8                 |
| 9    | 48          | female | right| Congenital neurological diseases | 48                            | Equinocavovarus          | 2                        | midfoot       | 1.0                 |
| 10   | 30          | female | left | Congenital neurological diseases | 30                            | Equinovarus              | 2                        | midfoot       | 0.8                 |
| 11   | 14          | male   | right| Congenital neurological diseases | 14                            | Equinocavovarus          | 2                        | midfoot       | 2.6                 |
| 12   | 23          | female | right| Myelomeningocele                | 14                            | Equinocavovarus          | 1                        | forefoot      | 4.0                 |
| 13   | 57          | male   | left | Congenital neurological diseases | 50                            | Equinocavovarus          | 2                        | midfoot       | 1.0                 |

**Management**

**First stage**

Preoperatively, plain radiography, computed tomography (CT) scans, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), color Doppler sonography (CDS) of peripheral blood vessels, routine blood examination, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and serum level of C-reactive protein (CRP), and bacterial culture of wound were performed to determine a
reasonable operation plan. Empirical antibiotics were administered as early as possible. All procedures were performed under general anesthesia in the supine position by a senior surgeon.

Proximal tibial corticotomy was firstly performed with the infected ulcers covered by sterile dressing. An 8 cm longitudinal incision was made on the anteromedial of lower leg to expose the tibial. The tibial periosteum was exposed but not removed from the cortex. After the rough location of corticotomy on the medial cortex of tibia was determined, a cortical window with a size of 1.5 cm × 10 cm was osteotomized by carefully drilling multiple holes in ipsilateral cortex with the help of a special guide. During this process, cool normal saline was used to prevent thermal injury and all surrounding tissues including the periosteum should be protected as much as possible. After drilling, two distraction pins were inserted through unilateral cortex for postoperative distraction of osteotomized cortex. Then, an osteotome was used to connect all the holes so as to separate the cortex from the tibial shaft. The next step was to install a special Ilizarov frame which consist of (1) two tibial rings (attached with 1 wire and 1 half pin on each ring or 2 half pins on each ring) for fixation and (2) a straight part for transverse distraction (Fig. 2G to 2I). For patients with severe deformity, limited soft-tissue releases were performed to address tissue contracture and an additional Ilizarov frame was simultaneously installed at this time to gradually correct soft tissue. The operative incisions were irrigated before closing. Sterile gauze was used to cover and dress the wound, which would prevent contamination of the corticotomy wound by debridement. Then, thorough debridement was performed at the site of ulcers to remove all unhealthy, infected, and devitalized tissue. Different samples of tissues were collected and sent for bacterial culture and histopathologic examination.

Postoperatively, patients were treated with sensitive antibiotic and antithrombotic prophylaxis. Sensitive antibiotics were selected according to the result of bacterial culture. After latency period of 5 days, transverse distraction was performed at a rate of 0.25 mm every 6 hours. Outward distraction was applied for 21 days, then inward distraction was applied for another 21 days to reposition the osteotomized cortex to its original position. The process of distraction was monitored by regular plain radiography. The distraction frame was usually removed 12 weeks after total distraction when bone healing was obtained. In patients with severe deformity, the process of gradual correction was simultaneously conducted with the process of transverse distraction. In other words, the two processes were independently performed and did not disrupt each other. The corrective frame was removed when rough correction was achieved. Patients were discharged 7 days after surgery when they learned to manage the Ilizarov frame. Mechanical unloading was maintained at the site of ulcers. Routine wound care was performed in an outpatient clinic, and no more additional technique was applied.

**Second stage**

After the ulcers healing with three consecutive normal results ESR and CRP, patients were readmitted for second-stage corrective surgery. Weightbearing plain radiography, full-length lower extremity radiographs, CT scans, and electromyogram (EMG) of lower limbs were performed before operation. An individualized open surgery was performed to correct the corresponding deformities (Fig. 2J to 2O). The procedures of each patient are shown in Table 2.

**Table II. Outcomes of patients**
| Case | Follow-up (months) | Pre-op deformity | Pre-op AOFAS | First-stage treatment | EFT (days) | Ulcers healing < 3 months | Second-stage treatment | Post-op deformity | Post-op AOFAS | Complications |
|------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1    | 27                | 9                | 34           | TCTD, WD             | 142       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy, proximal 1st metatarsal osteotomy, interphalangeal fusion | 0                 | 70            | None          |
| 2    | 27                | 7                | 26           | TCTD, WD             | 49        | Yes                      | Proximal 1<sup>st</sup> metatarsal osteotomy, calcaneal osteotomy | 1                 | 72            | Mild displacement of the osteotomized cortex Pin-tract infection |
| 3    | 25                | 6                | 65           | TCTD, WD             | 139       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy, limb lengthening Midfoot osteotomy, 1<sup>st</sup> MTP fusion, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> MTP resection arthroplasty Ankle fusion | 0                 | 97            | None          |
| 4    | 24                | 4                | 54           | TCTD, WD             | 136       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy, calcaneal osteotomy | 0                 | 89            | None          |
| 5    | 31                | 9                | 30           | TCTD, WD             | 165       | Yes                      | Ankle fusion, limb lengthening 1<sup>st</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> metatarsal osteotomy | 1                 | 80            | None          |
| 6    | 26                | 9                | 52           | TCTD, WD             | 138       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy, calcaneal osteotomy | 1                 | 90            | None          |
| 7    | 31                | 5                | 37           | TCTD, WD             | 130       | Yes                      | Ankle fusion, limb lengthening 1<sup>st</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> metatarsal osteotomy | 0                 | 78            | None          |
| 8    | 25                | 3                | 58           | TCTD, WD             | 141       | Yes                      | Subtalar arthrodesis, calcaneocuboid arthrodesis, talonavicular arthrodesis Subtalar arthrodesis, calcaneocuboid arthrodesis, talonavicular arthrodesis | 0                 | 87            | None          |
| 9    | 31                | 7                | 14           | TCTD, WD             | 136       | Yes                      | Subtalar arthrodesis, calcaneocuboid arthrodesis, talonavicular arthrodesis Subtalar arthrodesis, calcaneocuboid arthrodesis, talonavicular arthrodesis | 0                 | 78            | None          |
| 10   | 33                | 7                | 34           | TCTD, WD             | 138       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy | 1                 | 84            | None          |
| 11   | 29                | 9                | 53           | TCTD, WD             | 173       | Yes                      | Proximal 1<sup>st</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> metatarsal osteotomy, calcaneal osteotomy | 1                 | 80            | None          |
| 12   | 29                | 4                | 80           | TCTD, WD             | 133       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy | 1                 | 90            | None          |
| 13   | 26                | 8                | 21           | TCTD, WD             | 141       | Yes                      | Midfoot osteotomy, calcaneocuboid arthrodesis, talonavicular arthrodesis, Proximal 1<sup>st</sup> metatarsal osteotomy | 1                 | 79            | None          |

Pre-op, preoperative; EFT, external fixation time; Post-op, postoperative; TCTD, tibial cortex transverse distraction; WD, wound debridement; ICT, Ilizarov correction technique; MTP, metatarsophalangeal.

Postoperatively, the foot and ankle were immobilized in a night splint. Patient-specific exercises was performed under professional supervision. Full weightbearing was usually allowed when signs of bone healing present on the plain.
radiography. Routine follow-up examinations were performed 1, 3, 6, 12 months postoperatively.

Assessments
Rating system reported by Dimeglio et al [14] was used to assess the score of pre- and postoperative deformity. The American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) ankle-hindfoot score [15] was administered to assess the functional status. Postoperative AOFAS scores were categorized as excellent (90–100), good (80–89), fair (65–79), and poor (less than 65). Three levels of patient satisfaction including very satisfied, satisfied, and dissatisfied were applied. All perioperative complications recorded.

Statistical analysis
SPSS statistical software package version 24.0 (IBM Inc., New York, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to test the normality of data. All data was presented as average with standard deviation and median with interquartile range. The Student's t-test was used to compare the normally distributed data. The Wilcoxon's signed-rank test was conducted to compare the non-normally distributed data. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Result
There were 5 males and 8 females with an average age of 33.8 (range, 14–57) years. Ten patients had a right-side lesion, and three patients had a left-side lesion. The etiology of deformity included seven cases of congenital neurological disease, one case of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, one case of trauma sequelae, and three cases of myelomeningocele. The average duration of deformity was 26.3 (range, 2–50) years. The types of deformity included equinocavovarus in eleven patients and equinovarus in two patients. The average duration of ulcers was 2.4 (median, 2; range, 1–6) years. The ulcers were located at forefoot in seven patients, at midfoot in five patients, and at hindfoot in one patient. The average size of ulcers was 11.2 (median, 3.6; range, 0.8–100.0) cm². The tibial transverse distraction technique and wound debridement were performed in all patients, and an additional ilizarov correction technique was added in two patients (Fig. 3). All ulcers were healed in 3 months after first-stage treatment. As the healing process occurred at home, the exact time of ulcers healing was not clear. The average external fixation time was 135.5 (median, 138.0; range, 49–173) days. After second-stage operative correction, the patients were followed-up for an average of 28.0 (range, 24–33) months. As shown in Fig. 4, the average Dimeglio score of deformity was decreased from 6.7 (range, 3–9) to 0.5 (median, 1; range, 0–1), and the average AOFAS score was improved from 42.9 (range, 14–80) to 82.6 (range, 70–97) at the final follow-up. The mild displacement of the osteotomized cortex was observed in one patient who removed her external frame too early. One patient experienced pin-tract infection without loosening, and she was successfully treated with pin-tract care and oral antibiotics. Among all patients, no relapse of ulcers or deformity was observed during the follow-up period. The results of patients are shown in Table 2.

Discussion
The treatment objectives of foot and ankle deformities combined with chronic ulcers are infection eradication, ulcers healing, and obtaining a plantigrade and functional foot. In the present study, we adopted a two-stage therapeutic strategy that includes the first-stage treatment focusing on infection eradication and ulcer healing and the second-stage treatment aiming to correct deformity and prevent relapse of ulcers or deformity.

In order to enhance ulcer healing, we employed TCTD as a primary method in the first-stage treatment. As we know, Ilizarov technique is revolutionizing the therapy of deformity correction, bone reconstruction, infection control, and vascular regeneration. Originated from the principle of Ilizarov, TCTD is a novel technique for treatment of foot ulcers
In a recent study, Chen and colleagues successfully employed optimized proximal TCTD to treat severe and recalcitrant diabetic foot ulcers in 136 patients, and achieved ulcers healing in 96% of the patients [11]. Based on the outstanding works in previous studies, we proposed that TCTD could also promote the complete healing of chronic ulcers caused by long-term foot and ankle deformity. The TCTD in the first-stage treatment is likely to make it possible to maximize the treatment efficacy of recalcitrant ulcers and minimize the risk of infection and/or relapse. In the second-stage treatment, when the ulcers healed, open operation with internal fixation was allowed to correct various deformities as optimal as possible. Moreover, it was possible to provide the patients with the convenience during the treatment period because there was no need of long-term external fixation. Compare with the results of Kliushin et al, the duration of external fixation (mean, 135.5 days; median, 138.0 days; range, 49–173 days) in the present study was significantly shorter. At the final follow-up, complete healing of ulcers and excellent correction of deformity were achieved in all patients without severe complications. Our results also confirmed that tibial cortex transverse distraction could successfully treat the chronic ulcers in patients with non-diabetes and non-ischemic diseases. This finding is expected to provide some empirical support for expanding the indications of the tibial cortex transverse distraction.

Despite the findings, our study is not without its limitations. Firstly, as a retrospective study with relatively small number of patients, the potential selection bias and confounding bias was inevitable. Secondly, due to lack of a control group, we could not compare our two-stage management with the single-stage management applied by Kliushin et al [4]. As the problem of infected ulcers could be simultaneously solved during the gradual correction by an Ilizarov method, further works are needed to investigate whether TCTD has more advantages in promoting ulcer healing in patients with recalcitrant ulcers caused by foot and ankle deformities. Thirdly, the operative trauma caused by tibial corticotomy and the tedious process of transverse distraction cannot be neglectable. Regrettably, in this study, we did not apply the improved technique as reported by Chen et al [11], which minimized the size of corticotomy window and shortened the time of distraction and fixation. The smaller corticotomy of 1.5 cm × 5.0 cm and the shorter external fixation of 60 days might show comparable effectiveness and better advantages [11]. Fourthly, we did not record the exact time of ulcers healing, as it occurred at home. Since all ulcers had completely healed at 3 months after first-stage treatment, we thought that ulcers healing time was less than 3 months in all patients. Moreover, considering the medical cost of the patients, we also did not perform CT angiography image or CDS after distraction to assess the change of peripheral blood vessels. However, the results of previous studies have established a role for tibial cortex transverse distraction technique in improving blood flow of lower limb [11–13]. The angiogenesis induced by TCTD was also confirmed in animal experiments [17]. Therefore, we believed that the results of our study confirmed the effectiveness of TCTD as an alternative method for the treatment of chronic ulcers resulted from foot and ankle deformities.

**Conclusion**

Our results demonstrated that the two-stage management, including the first-stage treatment based on TCTD and the second-stage treatment of OCIF, could be considered as an effective and safe therapeutic strategy for foot and ankle deformities combined with chronic ulcers. However, prospective controlled studies with large sample sizes are needed to provide further evidence.

**Abbreviations**

TCTD: tibial cortex transverse distraction; OCIF: open correction with internal fixation; CT: computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; CDS: color Doppler sonography; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: C-reactive protein; EGM: electromyogram; AOFAS: The American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society
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