Reviewer 1

Comment 1: this should be stated as “based on the previous O(\(^1\)D) reactive yield from Sander et al.” – “based on previous photolysis cross sections” was incorrectly stated in the SPARC (2013) report. The CFC-115 cross-sections used in SPARC (2013) were unchanged from Sander et al. (2011).

Answer 1: Corrections made.

Comment 2: suggest changing “It” to “WACCM”. Also, I recommend removing “revised” from this sentence. Stating that these are “revised” estimates of the lifetimes implies that the new values supersede those in SPARC (2013). However, this would require a more in-depth analysis of the updated loss rates than is presented in the paper, i.e., showing that the new loss rates are improved over previously reported vales (see comment below regarding the photolysis rates), and the authors also show that the impacts of the metal reactions (which were not addressed in SPARC (2013)) are negligible.

Answer 2: Comment considered and corrections made.

Comment 3: In the discussion of the photolysis cross sections, there is no reference of a recent study by Papadimitriou et al. (GRL, 2013, pp. 440-445) who reported updated laboratory measurements of NF\(_3\) photolysis cross sections (these results were also reported in SPARC (2013). The Papadimitriou et al. study showed the importance of the 200-220 nm region for NF\(_3\) photolysis. However, the present paper states that the cross sections used in WACCM are only for 121.6-200 nm based on their previous studies. Neglecting the 200-220 nm region could cause the differences in the NF\(_3\) lifetime and fractional loss contributions discussed on p. 7 and in the Conclusions. The authors should at least briefly mention this point and how the cross sections they used differ from the Papadimitriou et al. study (more specifically than just the general statement at the bottom of p. 7).

I have a similar comment in regards to the CFC-115 cross sections. Please provide a brief statement as to how the values from the previous Totterdill et al. studies used in WACCM differ from current recommended values (Sander et al., 2011 or IUPAC), and why the 200-230 nm range was not included. SPARC (2013) reported that the 190-230 nm region accounted for 28% of the total CFC-115 loss.

Answer 3: Clarification added to the text: “In a recent paper by Papadimitriou et al. a report was given on laboratory based NF\(_3\) photolysis cross sections. They concluded that the 200-220 nm wavelength range is an important source of the NF\(_3\) photolysis, which has strong temperature dependence, too. In the current study this wavelength region was not considered, similarly to the temperature dependence. They also concluded that the Lyman-α photolysis counts for 5\% of the total loss and the temperature dependence causes a 20\% increase in the global atmospheric lifetime. SPARC (2013) reported that the 190-230 nm region accounts for 28\% of the total CFC-115 loss, however in this study we concentrated only to the VUV region up to 190 nm.”

Comment 4: the authors state that they are running the model to steady state, however, it appears that they are using time dependent solar forcing, as opposed to a fixed average solar forcing. Perhaps the time dependent solar forcing does not impact the lifetimes? Please clarify.

Answer 4: Clarification is added to the text: “Although time dependent solar forcing was used in the simulations, is does not have any noticeable impact on the very long lifetime of these gases.”
Comment 5: please provide some justification for the assumption that the temperature dependence contributes negligible uncertainty, eg, is this the case for other molecules?

Answer 5: The model was not extended with temperature dependent cross sections. No justification can be given on the accuracy of the negligence of the temperature dependence.

Comment 6:  p. 8, L9-12: should also include that the tropopause can be defined by potential vorticity. How would a tropopause defined by PV impact the radiative forcing calculations? Perhaps the results would be similar to the thermal definitions, but this should be stated.

Answer 6: The PV tropopause does not work near the equator, so the standard definition is the thermal tropopause, used here. We feel that adding more text here would confuse the reader.

Comment 7: “Figure 7” this appears to be labeled as Figure 6, and shouldn’t this come after the figure currently labeled Figure 7.

Answer 7: Corrections made.

Comment 8: The latitudinal variation....as large as a factor of 8”. Please be more specific here - is the “factor of 8” the equator-SPole difference?

Answer 8: Corrections made.

Comment 10. “..primarily due to changes in the Planck function.” Please provide a little more explanation as to why this is so, e.g., is this due to latitudinal changes in the background ambient temperature?

Answer 10: Yes, it is primarily due to temperature. Text changed to "The variation of radiative forcing and efficiency as a function of latitude is primarily due to changes in the Planck function caused by variation in background temperature"

Comment 11: p. 9, L7-8: regarding the 25% difference in the SH vs. NH. Again, can you provide a little more explanation as to why this is so, e.g., is this due to the very cold temps of the SH polar vortex? Perhaps this is being implied in the next sentence, but it should be more directly stated.

Answer 11: Yes, we now change two sentences to make them more explicit. Text changed to: “Forcings averaged across the Southern Hemisphere were approximately 25% lower than those averaged across the Northern Hemisphere due to its average cooler surface temperature. [Prather and Hsu, 2008]. The lowest radiative forcings for each month are observed at the South Pole due to its cold surface temperature with the very lowest occurring at the winter Antarctic polar vortex.”

Comment 12: “...some deviation across existing the literature cross-sections” should be corrected – it’s unclear what is being said here.

Answer 12: Text changed to: “The cross sections reported in the literature show some scatter, and few […]”

Comment 13: “5.96x10-6 Pa” – to help orient the reader, also include the corresponding approximate altitude, ie, 140 km, judging by Fig. 3.
Answer 13: Corrections made.

Comment 14: “..tracers to mix vertically into this region.” To clarify, I suggest adding “due to the dominance of molecular diffusion” to the end of this sentence.

Answer 14: Corrections made.

Comment 15: change “CFC-115 uses 1.05 and ...” to “CFC-115 are 1.05 and...”

Answer 15: Corrections made.

Comment 16: “the TTL is 12-17 km (or there abouts). 20-28 km is much too high”

Answer 16: Corrections made.

Comment 17: “add “mixing” before “ratios””

Answer 17: Corrections made.

Comment 18: “(see next)” what does this refer to? The next figure?

Answer 18: Clarifications made in the text.

Comment 19: “change “cloud is” to “clouds are””

Answer 19: Corrections made.

Comment 20: “add “is” before “obtained””

Answer 20: Corrections made.

Comment 21: “change “gases changes” to “gas changes”, and include “a” before “cloud””

Answer 21: Corrections made.

Comment 22: “change “cloud is” to “clouds are””

Answer 22: Corrections made.

Comment 23: “change “cloud” to “clouds””

Answer 23: Corrections made.

Comment 24: “captions for Tables 2-3: to clarify, I suggest adding “IR” after “Integrated””

Answer 24: Corrections made.

Comment 25: “Fig. 4, top 6 panels: it would be more informative to present the losses as mixing ratio/year instead of Tonnes/year.”
Answer 25: We thought that the losses are more informative in terms of Tonnes/year as the pressure changes orders of magnitude in the mesosphere.

Comment 26: “Fig. 4, bottom 4 panels: to clarify, please add “total” in all figure titles, e.g: “Ratio of total NF₃ loss via photolysis(%)””

Answer 26: Clarification is made in the figure caption. However, it is not necessary to add “total” as the important information is the type of the loss process.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1: Although rate constant is commonly used the term is not scientifically correct and should be replaced in the whole text with rate coefficient, since it is not a constant and varied with temperature at least.

Answer 1: Corrections made.

Comment 2: All the sentences that start with witch and where should include a comma before that, i.e., ,which, throughout the manuscript.

Answer 2: Corrections made.

Comment 3: Title: Please include the formula in the title and use the CFC-115 in parenthesis.

Answer 3: Corrections made.

Comment 4: Introduction: Please change “trace gas depends in part on” with “trace gas depends, in part, on”

Answer 4: Corrections made.

Comment 5: Introduction: “The purpose of this work was to determine new values.”: It is not justified that new values are needed, especially since there are many recent studies and panels evaluations that they have taken into account all of them. It is suggested to rephrase that sentence so as to be consisted with what has been actually done in this work, which introduce some new aspects, such as clouds impact in RE, RF and GWP and more complete atmospheric models to calculate NF₃ and CFC-115 distributions and atmospheric lifetimes. IR cross-sections has been measured previously and although it is worth to assess the validity of the existing data in the literature, it is not the major issue for the occurred divergences in GWPs. The new in the present work is more the different approach that examines the impact of other processes to RE, RFs, atmospheric lifetimes and GWPs, than the need for obtaining new values. Please modify accordingly.

Answer 5: Corrections made.

Comment 6: Experimental: Is it 40000 or 4000 cm⁻¹?

Answer 6: 4000 cm⁻¹.

Comment 7: Experimental: Although the relatively high absorbance for both compounds at the atmospheric window, i.e., 800-1200 cm-1 assist to have high sensitivity (signal to noise ratio) and reliable cross-sections in that range, is that also the case for the lower bands at shorter wavenumbers, with 128 co-added scans at 0.1 cm-1 resolution? How precisely those band strengths were determined?
Answer 7: Gas mixtures of different strength where prepared to obtain absorbances with high signal to noise ratio, while still being within the range of validity of the Beer-Lambert law (we mention about the gas mixtures in the text, but we do not say why, so we have updated the text with this explanation).

Comment 8: Experimental: At a selected wavelength or at a selected wavelength range?

5 Answer 8: Wavelength range.

Comment 9: Experimental: How the concentration was determined? From the mixing ratios of the manometrically prepared bulbs and the measured pressure? What are the estimated uncertainties?

Answer 9: Text changed: “concentrations were determined from the mixing ratios calculated from pressures measured with a capacitance manometer [...]”. Uncertainties in concentrations are smaller than 1% are reported in the results section.

Comment 10: Experimental: Cross section units are cm² molecule⁻¹.

Answer 11: Molecular cross section units are cm².

Comment 11: It is important to present cross-section plots in the supplement to demonstrate the validity of the Beer-Lambert in the whole concentration range used. What was the intercept when the experimental data were fitted with a linear function?

15 Answer 11: The absorbance vs. concentration plots were linear and absorbances were always below 0.5.

Comment 12: Atmospheric Modelling: freeware instead of free running version might be more appropriate

Answer 12: No, usually “free running” terminology is used.

20 Comment 13: Atmospheric Modelling: Papadimitriou et al. (GRL, 40, 440-445, 2013) demonstrated that Lyman-α is an important loss process for NF₃ that account for the 5 % of its total loss, while NF₃ UV spectrum temperature dependence leads to a 20 % increase of the globally annually averaged lifetime. The authors have neglected both processes and they definitely need to include a reasonable explanation why they have either neglect them or they considered that they will be of minor importance processes. Especially, since they have included in their model processes that have significantly lower contribution to the atmospheric lifetimes, such as mesospheric metals (Na, K) chemistry. The authors need to include the results from the recent studies and to rationalize why they have excluded these two processes or to include them in their model.

Answer 13: Clarifications made. See “Answer 3” for Reviewer 1.

Comment 14: Radiative Transfer Modelling: Please change NF₃ to NF₃ and CFC115 to CFC-115.

Answer 14: Corrections made.

35 Comment 15: Results: Infrared Absorption Spectra: Please change “band-integrated cross sections” to “band strengths”.

Answer 15: Corrections made.
Answer 16: Sources of uncertainty are stated in Section 5.1. They include uncertainty in the concentration, uncertainty in the linear fit and spectral noise.

Admittedly, the statement about the uncertainty in the “scaling” is rather confusing, so the sentence was changed from “The error in scaling the cross sections for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were estimated to be ±5 and 6%, respectively (error in pressure dependence)” to: “The average standard errors of the slopes obtained from the regression of absorbance versus concentration for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 at selected wavelengths (equation E1) were ±5 and 6%, respectively.”

Comment 17: Results: Atmospheric Lifetimes: A major source for the observed discrepancies, especially between the present results and SPARC report in NF$_3$ results may stem from the Lyman-alpha and UV temperature dependence ignorance in the present study.

Answer 17: Clarification is given in the text. For more details see “Answer 3” for Reviewer 1.

Comment 18: Results: Cloudiness: Please change “efficiencies increase by.” with “efficiencies were increased by”.

Answer 18: Corrections made.

Comment 19: Global Warming Potentials: Please change “is more indicative.” With “is more representative”.

Answer 19: Corrections made.

Comment 20: Summary and Conclusions: Please change “in line previous” with “in line with previous”.

Answer 20: Corrections made.

Comment 21: Summary and Conclusions: It is necessary the authors to comment on the effect of Lyman-α for both compounds studied in this work and the UV temperature dependence of the NF$_3$ spectrum on their atmospheric lifetimes and either rationalize why they have neglected them or they should include those processes in their models.

Answer 21: Clarification is given in the text. For more details see “Answer 3” for Reviewer 1.

Comment 22: Remove ticks from mirror axes.

Answer 22: Corrections made.
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**Abstract.** Fluorinated compounds such as NF$_3$ and C$_2$F$_5$Cl (CFC-115) are characterised by very large global warming potentials (GWPs) which result from extremely long atmospheric lifetimes and strong infrared absorptions in the atmospheric window. In this study we have experimentally determined the infrared absorption cross-sections of NF$_3$ and CFC-115, calculated the radiative forcing and efficiency using two radiative transfer models and identified the effect of clouds and stratospheric adjustment. The infrared cross sections are in good agreement within 10% of previous measurements for CFC-115 but are found to be somewhat larger than previous estimates for NF$_3$, leading to a, whereas the resulting radiative efficiency for NF$_3$ that forcings and efficiencies are, on average, around 10% larger than that quoted in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fifth Assessment Report. A whole atmosphere chemistry-climate model was used to determine the atmospheric lifetimes of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 to be (616 ± 34) years and (492 ± 22) years, respectively. The GWPs for NF$_3$ are estimated to be 14600±15800, 20100±19400 and 2218400 over 20, 100 and 500 years, respectively. Similarly, the GWPs for CFC-115 are 608420, 7638060 and 8068340 over 20, 100 and 500 years, respectively.

1 Introduction

Fluorinated compounds such as NF$_3$ and CFC-115 are potentially important for global warming [Myhre et al., 1998]. The stability and thermo-physical properties of these gases have made them attractive chemicals for use in many relatively modern industrial processes. NF$_3$ is being used increasingly as a replacement for banned perfluorocarbons (PFCs) which were utilised in processes such as chemical cleaning and circuit etching. It is an extremely potent greenhouse gas with an estimated 100 year Global Warming Potential (GWP) between 10,800 and 17,000 [Arnold et al., 2013; Robson et al., 2006;
Weiss et al., 2008]. Weiss et al. [2008] reported a 2008 mean global tropospheric mixing ratio of 0.45 ppt increasing at a rate of 0.053 ppt yr\(^{-1}\). The present day mixing ratio will therefore be close to 1 ppt, assuming no change in emission rate. Arnold et al. [2013] found undetectable levels of NF\(_3\) prior to 1975 in archived air samples and ice cores, indicating that the major source of the gas is anthropogenic.

CFC-115 was introduced as refrigerant in the 1970s and prior to this was not detected in the atmosphere. Following the phasing out of CFC-115 through the 1997 Montréal Protocol [Solomon et al., 2007], its atmospheric concentration stabilized by 2005. A mixing ratio of 8.4 ppt was reported in 2011, with a decreasing trend of 0.01 ppt yr\(^{-1}\) [Maione et al., 2013]. Based on an atmospheric lifetime of 1020 years—CFC-115, its 100 year GWP was estimated to be 7370 [Solomon and Miller, 2007].

The change in concentration of any trace gas depends on how its emission evolves over time, but also on the rates of any chemical and physical removal processes. The only important sinks for CFC-115 and NF\(_3\) appear to be photolysis [Dillon et al., 2010; Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015] and reaction with O\(^{(1)D}\) [Baasandorj et al., 2013; Dillon et al., 2011]. Reaction with the meteoric metals Na and K is a minor loss route [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015].

Trace gas concentrations are dependent on the atmospheric lifetimes (\(r\)) of the species, defined as the ratio of the total atmospheric burden to the total loss rate. Estimates of the atmospheric lifetimes of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 have recently been reported by SPARC [2013]. For NF\(_3\) the recommended value is 569 years, which was based on 2-D model calculations including loss due to photolysis (71% of total loss) and reaction with O\(^{(1)D}\) (29%). This overall lifetime was slightly larger than the value of 500 yr given in the WMO [2011] assessment. For CFC-115, the recommended lifetime is 540 yr (37% of loss due to photolysis, 63% due to reaction with O\(^{(1)D}\)), which was much lower than the WMO [2011] value of 1020 yr based on the previous O\(^{(1)D}\) reactive yield photolysis cross sections from Sander et al. [2011].

Therefore, these fluorinated compounds are very potent global warming agents because, in addition to having atmospheric lifetimes of many centuries, they absorb infrared radiation strongly between 800 and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\). This region of the electromagnetic spectrum is known as the ‘atmospheric window’ because of a pronounced minimum in atmospheric absorption by H\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\) and O\(_3\). Furthermore, the window overlaps with the peak in the terrestrial infrared spectrum (500 - 1500 cm\(^{-1}\)), making it a particularly important region in the radiative balance of the atmosphere [Pinnock et al., 1995]. However, it is difficult to quantify surface temperature changes resulting from small perturbations due to climate variability and large uncertainties in climate feedback mechanisms [Pinnock et al., 1995]. The historic effects of various drivers of climate change are typically specified and compared in terms of their radiative forcings, a measure of the perturbation to the Earth’s energy budget. Various flavours of radiative forcing exist [Myhre et al., 1998]. The effective radiative forcing measures top-of-atmosphere energy budget changes following adjustments to the vertical temperature profile, clouds and land-surface temperatures. The stratospherically adjusted radiative forcing is defined as the change in net (i.e., down minus up) irradiance at the tropopause (solar plus longwave, in Wm\(^{-2}\)) after allowing for stratospheric temperatures to readjust to radiative equilibrium, but with the surface and tropospheric temperatures and state held fixed at the unperturbed values.
Ramaswamy et al., 2001. Note the instantaneous radiative forcing (IRF) can be obtained by not applying the stratospheric adjustment. Although effective radiative forcing (ERF) estimates are more representative of temperature changes they are more uncertain as they rely on climate model estimates of cloud response [Sherwood et al., 2015]. Further, climate model radiation codes do not typically represent minor greenhouse gases (GHGs), therefore it is not currently possible to estimate the ERF for the species considered here. We have therefore estimated radiative forcing (RF) and IRF using line-by-line radiative transfer model (RTM). As the line-by-line RTM only accounts for absorption, the extension to clouds and scattering processes was performed by a secondary radiative transfer model using atmospheric optical depth profiles generated by the RTM.

The purpose of this work was to determine new values for the GWPs of NF₃ and CFC-115, based on their cloudy sky adjusted radiative efficiencies (the definition of the GWP is discussed in Section 6) and using more sophisticated atmospheric model. In order to achieve this, infrared absorption cross-sections for both NF₃ and CFC-115 were measured and then used as input into the Reference Forward Model (RFM) [Dudhia, 2014] and Library for Radiative Transfer (libRadtran) [Mayer and Kylling, 2005], two radiative transfer models used to calculate radiative forcings and efficiencies. Here, radiative forcing refers to a perturbation of the modern day concentration of the compound against its pre-industrial concentration, and is given in units of Wm⁻². Radiative efficiency refers to a perturbation of 0 – 1 ppb and is given in units of Wm⁻² ppbv⁻¹. The sensitivity of these determined forcings to a number of criteria including cloudiness and stratospheric adjustment was also examined. The atmospheric concentrations of NF₃ and CFC-115 were then determined using the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model [Garcia et al., 2007], incorporating the chemical loss processes described in our recent papers [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015]. It-WACC also produced revised estimates of the atmospheric lifetimes of the two compounds.

2 Experimental

The IR spectrum of NF₃ has been measured previously by Robson et al. [2006] and Molina et al. [1995], and that of CFC-115 by McDaniel et al. [1991]. There is some deviation across existing the literature cross-sections. The cross sections reported in the literature show some scatter, and few quantitative full spectra measurements are available. This work was consequently carried out in order to provide a more complete set of measurements and reduce uncertainty in the published data.

Measurements were made in a 15.9 cm gas cell sealed with KBr windows, which allowed transmission between 400 and 40000 cm⁻¹. Spectra were recorded with a Bruker Fourier Transform spectrometer (Model IFS/ 66) fitted with a mid-infrared source and beam-splitting optics. Room temperature (296 ± 2 K) measurements were carried out between 400 and 2000 cm⁻¹ at a spectral resolution of 0.1 cm⁻¹. Absorption spectra were obtained by averaging 128 scans at a scan rate of 1.6 kHz. Gas mixtures of different strength where prepared to obtain absorbances with high signal to noise ratio, while still being within the range of validity of the Beer Lambert law.
Gas mixtures were made using between 12 - 307 Torr of NF$_3$, and 6 - 77 Torr of CFC-115, made up to 760 torr with N$_2$. Multiple mixtures were made up so that the cross-section could be obtained at a selected wavelength $\lambda$ by taking the slope of the linear regression of the corresponding peak absorbance against concentration according to the Beer–Lambert Law:

$$A(\lambda) = \sigma(\lambda)lc$$  \hspace{1cm} (E1)

where $A$ is the absorbance, $\sigma$ is absorption cross-section in cm$^2$, $c$ is concentration in molecule cm$^{-3}$ and $l$ is path length in cm. Concentrations were determined from the mixing ratios calculated from pressures measured with a capacitance manometer (Baratron model 222 CA), calibrated with an oil manometer. Uncertainties in concentrations are smaller than 1% are reported in the Results section. Analyte concentrations were selected so that $A < 1$, to avoid deviation from the Beer–Lambert linear behaviour. Baseline and background corrections (including removal of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O) were performed after the experiments.

Reactant gas mixtures for the experiments were prepared on all-glass vacuum lines. The gases N$_2$ (99.9999%, BOC), NF$_3$ (99.99%, BOC), were used without further purification. Samples of CFC-115, provided by Professor William Sturges (University of East Anglia), were purified by freeze-thaw-pump degassing on a glass vacuum line.

3 Atmospheric Modelling

The atmospheric distributions of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were simulated using the 3-D Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM) [Garcia et al., 2007]. WACCM is a comprehensive numerical model extending vertically from the ground up to the lower thermosphere (~140 km) and is part of the National Centre for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Community Earth System Model (CESM) [Lamarque et al., 2012]. WACCM calculates the concentrations of atmospheric species by considering all relevant chemical and dynamical processes. Here we have used a free running version of WACCM 4 [Garcia et al., 2007] which has 66 levels from the surface to $5.96 \times 10^{-6}$ Pa (~140 km) with a vertical resolution of 3.5 km scaled height in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT) region and $1.9^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ (latitude × longitude) horizontal resolution. The model contains all the important details of the MLT processes including radiative transfer, auroral processes, non-local thermodynamic equilibrium and the molecular diffusion of constituents.

A series of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 tracers were included in the model. For the model simulations presented here, three loss processes for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were included: reactions with O($^1$D); reactions with the mesospheric metals (Na, K); and UV photolysis. For each compound, a set of 5 tracers were used. One tracer was removed by all three processes; three tracers were used to determine the individual impact of each loss process acting alone; and the fifth was a passive (i.e. chemically inert) tracer. In a recent paper by (Papadimitriou, 2013–#36) a report was given on laboratory based NF$_3$ photolysis cross sections. They concluded that the 200-220 nm wavelength range is an important source of the NF$_3$ photolysis, which has strong temperature dependence, too. In the current study this wavelength region was not considered, similarly to the temperature dependence. They also concluded that the Lyman-$\alpha$ photolysis counts for 5% of the total loss and the temperature dependence causes a 20% increase in the global atmospheric lifetime. SPARC (2013) reported that the
190-230 nm region accounts for 28% of the total CFC-115 loss, however in this study we concentrated only to the VUV region up to 190 nm.

The relevant rate constants for O(\(^1\)D) and the metal atom reactions that were added to the chemistry module in WACCM are listed in Table 1. Note that we have only included the reactions with Na and K, as the reactions with the more abundant meteoric metals Fe and Mg are very slow at temperatures below 300 K [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015]. The standard chemistry scheme in WACCM contains 59 species and 217 gas-phase reactions [Kinnison et al., 2007]. O(\(^1\)D) was determined from this scheme. Modules with a further 61 reactions describing the chemistry of Na and K were then added to this scheme, along with the meteoric input functions required to simulate the Na and K layers in the MLT [Marsh et al., 2013a; Plane et al., 2014].

The photolysis rates of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 were calculated in WACCM using the fitted expressions we determined previously for their absorption cross sections as a function of wavelength between 121.6 nm and 200 nm [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015]. Following the study by Marsh et al. [2013b], the daily solar spectral irradiances used in WACCM were specified from the model of Lean et al. [2005], updated with the total solar irradiance of Kopp and Lean [2011]. The model was then run from year 2000 for 13 years when the solar data is available and is enough for the atmospheric lifetimes of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 to reach steady-state. Although time dependent solar forcing was used in the simulations, is does not have any noticeable impact on the very long lifetime of these gases.

4 Radiative Transfer Modelling
Radiative forcing calculations were made using the Reference Forward Model (RFM) [Dudhia, 2014]. The RFM is a line-by-line radiative transfer model based on the previous GENLN2 model [Edwards, 1987], and includes absorption cross-sections for CFC-115 and NF\(_3\) derived from the HITRAN database [Rothman et al., 2013]. In addition to providing upwelling and downwelling longwave fluxes for calculating the clear-sky forcing, the RFM was used to generate optical depth profiles at a resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) for input into the DISORT radiative transfer solver as implemented in the libRadtran [Mayer and Kylling, 2005]. The clear-sky fluxes obtained from the RFM were validated against results from libRadtran for the cloudless, non-scattering case.

Calculations to obtain the IRF and RF were performed using the flux form of the RFM at a spectral resolution of 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\), determined by the resolution of the IR spectra measured in the present study (Section 2). The radiative transfer calculation was performed on each spectral band between 550 – 2000 cm\(^{-1}\), and the irradiance flux integrated over wavelength to obtain the net irradiance at each level in the model atmosphere. For these calculations integration over zenith angle was performed via a first moment double-Gauss procedure with 8 streams, where the Planck function was set to vary linearly with optical depth. For the stratospheric adjustment, the stratosphere temperatures were adjusted using an iterative process based on heating rate changes that after 100 days have changed the stratospheric temperatures and returned them to radiative equilibrium (see also in Introduction). For both NF\(_3\) and CFC115 the temperature change at the tropopause is \(\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-5}\) K for a perturbation of 1 ppt (average tropospheric volume mixing ratio), and \(\sim 0.01\) K for a perturbation of 1 ppb.
A compilation of line data for background species was obtained from HITRAN 2012 [Rothman et al., 2013] and absorption cross sections for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were measured in the present study. The temperature, pressure and the mixing ratios of the major atmospheric constituents CO$_2$, H$_2$O, CH$_4$, N$_2$O and O$_3$, as well as NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were obtained from the WACCM output. The temperature dependence of background species’ absorption is automatically interpolated from HITRAN data. Although the temperature dependence of the NF$_3$ and CFC-115 cross sections was not measured, we assume that it makes a negligible uncertainty in the radiative forcing calculations.

The effect of seasonal and geographical variations on factors influencing radiative forcing means that multiple averaged local radiative forcings calculated across the location-time grid are needed to estimate global forcing. The instantaneous and adjusted radiative forcings and efficiencies were first calculated in the RFM for each month between -90º and 90º, at a 9º latitude and longitude resolution. We also created vertical profiles by averaging three latitudes (representing the tropics, mid- and high-latitudes) for each month. The area averaged forcing from the three profiles was found to yield a forcing value within 2% of that obtained from the gridded data. Compared to using a global annual mean profile, Freckleton et al. [1998] demonstrated that the method of averaging a small number of latitudes is 5-10% more accurate than use of the global annual mean profile. Explanations for this difference in accuracy are discussed in Section 5.3. The profiles representing the three latitudes for each month were also used to calculate radiative forcings and efficiencies in libRadtran. Clear-sky IRFs calculated in libRadtran were within 3% of those calculated by the RFM.

5 Results

5.1 Infrared Absorption Spectra

Figure 1 illustrates the IR absorption cross section spectrum measured for NF$_3$ in the present study. The band-integrated cross sections obtained from this measured spectrum are listed in Table 2, which also compares with the integrated cross sections reported in the literature, where available. Similarly, the CFC-115 spectrum is displayed in Figure 2, and Table 3 lists the corresponding integrated cross sections and comparison with the literature. The uncertainties in the sample concentrations of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were ±0.8 and 0.7%, respectively. The average spectral noise was ±5 × 10$^{-21}$ cm$^2$ molecule$^{-1}$ per 1 cm$^{-1}$ band. However, at wavenumbers < 550 cm$^{-1}$, towards the edge of the mid IR, where the opacity of the beam-splitting filter increased, this increased to ±1 × 10$^{-20}$ cm$^2$ molecule$^{-1}$ per 1 cm$^{-1}$ band. The average standard errors of the slopes obtained from the regression of absorbance versus concentration for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 at selected wavelengths (equation E1) were ±5 and 6%, respectively. The error in scaling the cross sections for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 were estimated to be ±5 and 6% (error in pressure dependence). This results in an average overall spectral error of ±6 % (1σ) in both cases. This is the average error of the integrated band cross section and is fairly uniform for the major bands.

As shown in Table 2, the intensities of the two main absorptions bands (840-960 and 970-1085 cm$^{-1}$) of NF$_3$ measured in the present work are 3% and 11% larger than those reported by Robson et al. [2006], with an average deviation of 29% over the minor bands and 4% across the entire spectrum. All differences excluding the minor bands in the region between 1085 and 1580 cm$^{-1}$ are comfortably within the combined error of both experiments. In contrast, the intensities of
the two main absorption bands are 79% and 39% larger than those reported by Molina et al. [1995]. The minor bands in our spectrum are on average 32% larger, and the absorption intensity is 42% larger across the whole spectrum. These differences are greater than the combined error from both experiments. Explanations for the lower values reported by Molina et al. [1995] have been discussed by Robson et al. [2006].

Figure 3 shows that the intensity of the main CFC-115 absorption band (1212-1265 cm\(^{-1}\)) measured in the present work is 6% smaller than that reported by McDaniel et al. [1991]. The other significant bands at 946-1020, 1105-1150, 1160-1212 and 1326-1368 cm\(^{-1}\) are 9%, 6% and 3% smaller, respectively. These results are well within the combined error of both experiments.

5.2 Atmospheric lifetimes

The monthly-averaged NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 concentrations and loss rates in each WACCM grid box were used to estimate the atmospheric lifetimes of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115, which were computed by dividing the global atmospheric burden of each compound by its integrated loss rate. The total loss rates were obtained from the sum of the individual loss rates due to photolysis, and reactions with mesospheric metals and with O(\(^1\)D).

Figure 3a,b show the globally averaged profiles of the different modelled NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 tracers, which illustrate the impact of the different loss processes. The largest mixing ratio profiles for both NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 are shown by the passive tracers as they are not subject to the removal processes. Note that the decay of the passive tracer mixing ratios above about 85 km is due to the very long timescale for the tracers to mix vertically into this region due to the dominance of molecular diffusion. Clearly, the reactions with atmospheric metals do not contribute to the atmospheric removal of these gases (i.e. the metal loss tracers profiles are almost identical to the passive profiles) and hence their impact on the lifetimes is negligible. The reason is that their temperature dependent rate constants are much smaller than the rate constants of O(\(^1\)D) reactions and also, as it is shown in Fig. 11 in Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015 (NF\(_3\)) and in Fig. 9a in Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015 (CFC-115), the removal rates by mesospheric metals are two and four orders of magnitudes smaller for NF\(_3\) and CFC-115, respectively than the removal rates by VUV photolysis even at the peak of mesospheric metal layers (90 km). In contrast, photolysis and the reactions with atmospheric O(\(^1\)D) are the dominant removal processes. Figure 3c,d are the corresponding monthly averaged zonal mean profiles of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 from the surface up to 140 km in January. The surface mixing ratios of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 are 1.05 and 7.9 pptv, respectively. Both tracers are well mixed in the troposphere below 15 km. There are sharp decreases in the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) (2012–28-17 km). The mixing ratios of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 are decreasing with increasing altitude from lower stratosphere to the mesosphere/lower thermosphere up to 90 km. Above 90 km, their mixing ratios are quite small (< 0.1 ppt for both compounds). Around 40-50 km in the Southern polar region, the low values of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 are caused by the removal of the reactions with O(\(^1\)D) (see next).

Figure 4 shows the annual mean contributions of photolysis and reaction with O(\(^1\)D) to the loss of NF\(_3\) and CFC-115. The region of greatest loss is in the tropics, while at high latitudes the removal rates are orders of magnitude smaller.
For both NF₃ and CFC-115 the dominant region of photolysis is in the stratosphere, below 50 km, although CFC-115 shows a weak secondary peak in photolytic loss over 60 – 80 km, which is due to the increased photolysis loss rate of 2 orders of magnitude over this range (see Fig. 9b in [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015]). Figure 5 is the annually averaged atmospheric lifetime for NF₃ and CFC-115 as a function of simulation time. The length of the model run is sufficient for the tracers to mix below 85 km where the dominant loss processes occur shown in Figure 4. The mean steady-state lifetime of NF₃ is then (616 ± 34) yr, which is 8% larger than the recently published value of 569 yr [SPARC, 2013]. Steady-state was assumed to be reached when the lifetime did not change more than 1% between two consecutive years. The uncertainties were estimated from the error bars of the removal rate constant only (see Table 1) using the error propagation. Photolysis and reaction with O(¹D) account for 60.0% and 40.0% of the global removal rate, respectively. The corresponding percentages from SPARC [2013] are 71.3% and 28.7%. In the case of CFC-115, the lifetime in the present study is (492 ± 22) yr, which is 9% smaller than the value of 540 yr from SPARC [2013] and under half of that assumed in the GWP calculations of IPCC AR4 and IPCC AR5 [Forster et al., 2007; Myhre et al., 2013]. Photolysis and reaction with O(¹D) account for 34.4% and 65.6% of the global removal rate, respectively. Again, these are close to the corresponding percentages from SPARC [2013], which are 37.4% and 62.6%. The differences between our results and those of SPARC [2013] likely arise from differences in the rates of atmospheric circulation in the 3-D WACCM and the 2-D model used in the SPARC report, as well as the implementation in WACCM of recently updated photolysis parameters [Totterdill et al., 2014; Totterdill et al., 2015].

5.3 Calculation of Radiative Forcings and Efficiencies

The calculation of radiative forcing and radiative efficiency (RE) are sensitive to several factors such as the choice of tropopause height and the way clouds are included in the model. This section examines these sensitivities and further examines the seasonal and latitudinal variations in forcing.

5.3.1 Tropopause

The definition of tropopause height directly influences the calculation of radiative forcing. There are three commonly used definitions: the thermal tropopause (ThT), defined as the lowest level at which the temperature lapse rate between this and all higher levels within 2 km falls below 2 K km⁻¹ [WMO, 2007]; the temperature minimum tropopause (TMT), the base of the stratospheric temperature inversion; and a uniform pressure level of 200 hPa as a proxy for the top of the convective level, where there is a significant change in stability below the thermal tropopause [Forster and Shine, 1997]. Forster and Shine [1997] identified the latter as the most appropriate for radiative forcing calculations at high horizontal resolution. However, the thermal tropopause (ThT) used in this study was found to generate results which were accurate to within 0.5% of those produced by the convective tropopause [Freckleton et al., 1998]. At lower horizontal resolution this uncertainty is greater.

The temperature profile and thus tropopause height show a significant spatial variation and are affected by profile averaging. This adds extra inaccuracies when using a global annual mean profile which does not account for the variation in
tropopause height. This effect was explored with respect to the instantaneous radiative forcing of NF$_3$. Figure 7 shows the latitudinal variation of instantaneous radiative forcing with tropopause height when using the ThT, TMT and globally averaged tropopause definitions. The globally averaged thermal tropopause was found to be 12.8 km. When the spatially varying thermal tropopause was applied to the forcing calculations it yielded an average instantaneous radiative forcing 10% lower than that employing the ThT. The globally averaged TMT was found to be 14.9 km. When this was applied it resulted in an average instantaneous radiative forcing 5% higher than the ThT. The spatially averaged TMT was found to be 3% higher on average, compared to its global averaged profile.

The global mean TMT gives a significant overestimation of radiative forcing from a high, unrepresentative tropopause of 14.9 km caused by temperature variations being smoothed out through averaging. Additionally, the averaging procedure affects parameters such as the H$_2$O vapor and O$_3$ profiles, so that averaging monthly profiles over latitudes representing the topics and mid-latitudes (rather than globally) gives a better representation of these variables. Because both NF$_3$ and CFC-115 are well mixed in the stratosphere they are potentially less affected by tropopause height than species which decay strongly in the lower stratosphere [Myhre et al., 1998]. Consequently, the spatially averaged ThT was selected for the calculations described below.

5.3.2 Seasonal - Latitudinal Variation

Figure 7 shows the large seasonal-latitudinal impact on variation in the IRF and RF of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 under clear sky conditions. The latitudinal variation in zonally-averaged forcing in a single month can be as large as a factor of 8; the variation in monthly forcing for a single latitude is much smaller, approximately a factor of 2 on average. The lack of uniformity across this grid demonstrates the requirement for higher resolution calculations.

The variation of radiative forcing and efficiency as a function of latitude is primarily due to changes in the Planck function caused by variation in background temperature. Differences in cloudiness and H$_2$O density levels were also found to contribute. Forcings averaged across the Southern Hemisphere were approximately 25% lower than those averaged across the Northern Hemisphere due to its average cooler surface temperature [Prather and Hsu, 2008]. The lowest radiative forcings for each month are observed at the South Pole due to its cold surface temperature with the very lowest occurring at the winter Antarctic polar vortex.

5.3.3 Cloudiness

Because clouds absorb across the same spectral region as NF$_3$ and CFC-115, their presence will cause a reduction in radiative forcing. Consideration of cloud coverage is therefore crucial to forcing calculations. The treatment of clouds
involves determination of cloud band transmittance from user specified liquid water path, effective radius and cloud fraction at each altitude level. The zonal mean coverage for a given latitudinal band is obtained as monthly means from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) D2 dataset averaged from between 1983 and 2008 [Rossow et al., 1996]. Results were calculated from different, weighted combinations of clear sky plus various configurations of cloud coverage using the independent pixel approximation in libRadtran.

The IRF and RF in clear and cloudy sky conditions for NF₃ and CFC-115 are given in Table 4, and the relative radiative efficiencies in Table 5. Radiative forcings are shown in Figure 6. For completeness, clouds and stratospheric adjustment needs to be included in the overall estimate of radiative forcing and radiative efficiency. Our best estimate of the all-sky adjusted radiative efficiency for NF₃ is 0.25 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, approximately 25% larger than the 0.2 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹ quoted in Myhre et al. [2013] and also larger than the 0.21 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹, estimated in [Robson et al., 2006]. These differences can be attributed to the larger absorption cross section present in our study (table 2). The equivalent all-sky adjusted RE for CFC-115 (0.21 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹) is very similar to that quoted in Myhre et al. [2013]. The globally averaged instantaneous adjusted all-sky radiative forcings of NF₃ and CFC-115 are 2.8 x 10⁻⁴ W m⁻² and 19 x 10⁻⁴ W m⁻² respectively. The instantaneous radiative efficiency of NF₃ is 0.35 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹ which is slightly larger than the different models estimations (0.248 – 0.252 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹) by [Robson et al., 2006]. The calculated IRE under the cloudy condition for CFC-115 (0.20 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹) is about 10% (0.02 W m⁻² ppb⁻¹) larger than Myhre et al. [2013]. These tables also indicate that radiative forcings and efficiencies increase by are 30–40% where larger if clouds are neglected. This is because a cloud acts a blackbody and so the contribution to the total forcing from greenhouse gases changes situated below clouds is minimal. Thus, the optical depth due to the greenhouse species, as well as temperature difference between the emitting surface and the top of the atmosphere, and the region in which the greenhouse species absorbs, are much smaller where clouds are present [Myhre et al., 1998]. The impact of cloud on both NF₃ and CFC-115 is similar; because they are both very long-lived species, they are both well mixed in the stratosphere and so the magnitude of downward irradiance due to each species at the tropopause is approximately the same.

5.4 Global Warming Potentials

Global warming potential is defined by the expression:

\[ \text{GWP} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\text{TH}} a_X [\chi(t)] dt}{\int_{0}^{\text{TH}} a_r [r(t)] dt} \]  

(E2)

where TH is time horizon; \( a_X \) is radiative forcing due to a unit increase in atmospheric abundance of the compound (W m⁻² kg⁻¹) and \([\chi(t)]\) is its time-dependent decay in concentration following its instantaneous release at time \( t = 0 \). The denominator contains the corresponding quantities for CO₂ as a reference gas [Myhre et al., 2013]. GWP is the most common metric used by the WMO and IPCC to compare the potency of a greenhouse gas relative to an equivalent emission of CO₂ over a set time period. GWP takes into account species lifetime. This means a species with a very high radiative forcing may still have a low GWP if it also has a short atmospheric lifetime. Note that GWP is only one of a range of
possible metrics and is not necessarily representative of temperature changes or other climate impacts, since it does not account for factors such as changes in emission or the introduction of replacement species. Other criticisms are also discussed in greater detail by Myhre et al. [1998], [2013].

Table 6 lists the 20, 100 and 500-year GWPs based on cloudy sky adjusted radiative efficiencies of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 compared with the values reported in IPCC AR4 and AR5 [Forster et al., 2007; Myhre et al., 2013]. The GWPs for NF$_3$ are estimated to be $15800, 20100$ and $22800, 14600, 19400$ and $21400$ over 20, 100 and 500 years, respectively. These GWPs are considerably larger than quoted in IPCC AR5 due to its stronger absorption cross-section and radiative efficiency. Similarly, the GWPs for CFC-115 are $60806120, 76308060$ and $80808630$ over 20, 100 and 500 years, respectively. The forcing efficiencies determined in the present study are approximately $10-15\%$ higher (shown in Section 5) but the atmospheric lifetimes are significantly shorter than the estimates adopted by the Myhre et al. [2013] (see footnote to Table 6). The effect of the change in radiative efficiency is most obvious for the 20-year GWP, where, because the atmospheric lifetimes of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 are respectively 616 and 492 years, the species do not have time for significant loss to occur. In contrast, the 500 year GWP is more indicative of the impact of the reduced lifetimes.

The trade-off between these competing effects is demonstrated in Table 6, where NF$_3$ and CFC-115 exhibit 20-year GWPs larger by $2849\%$ and $1545\%$, respectively, than their IPCC AR4 determined values. These differences then decrease for the 100 year GWP ($61743\%$ and $349\%$) and the 500 year GWP ($103\%$ and $-2019\%$). Note that in the case of CFC-115, where the IPCC AR4 atmospheric lifetime used to define the GWP is 1700 yr, which is over three times that of our value of 539 yr, the 500 year GWP of 9990 is larger than our quoted value of 630 yr.

6 Summary and Conclusions

In this study we have presented updated values for the IR absorption cross-sections and atmospheric lifetimes of NF$_3$ and CFC-115, as well as radiative forcing and radiative efficiencies taking into account stratospheric adjustment and cloudy skies. These values have then been used to obtain values for the 20, 100 and 500 year GWPs of both species. A sensitivity analysis for the forcing calculations relating to tropopause definition and grid resolution has also been provided.

The IR cross sections measured in the present study are generally in good agreement with previous measurements larger than previously reported for NF$_3$. These larger cross sections and possible differences in radiative transfer, the result of radiative forcings and efficiencies that are approximately $4250\%$ larger than those reported previously in IPCC AR5 for NF$_3$, which is likely due to differences in the modeled atmospheric profiles. Atmospheric lifetimes of (616 ± 34) yr and (492 ± 22) yr have been determined for NF$_3$ and CFC-115, respectively, using the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM). Model diagnostics confirm that CFC-115 is removed faster than NF$_3$ in the atmosphere, except in the altitude region of 50-75 km, where photolysis is the dominant removal process. Photolysis is the dominant loss process for NF$_3$ loss (60.0\%), while for CFC-115 the reaction with O($^1$D) dominates (65.6\%). Our overall lifetimes for the two gases are
similar (within 10%) to those reported in the recent SPARC [2013] assessment, but the contribution from the loss via photolysis is less in the case of NF$_3$. Note that in our modelling we neglected the photolysis caused by 200-230 nm photons and also the temperature dependence of the UV photolysis. This causes 20% error on the global lifetime values.

Our model results show that omitting the stratospheric adjustment can result in an underestimation of radiative forcing of around 40–5% and omitting cloud can result in an overestimation of between 30–25% and 4060% (Tables 4 and 5). These differences are in line with previous studies by Pinnock et al. [1995] who found an overestimation of 25–50% over several RF and IRF calculations for a range of hydro-halocarbons. Our results also show a strong variation of greenhouse gas forcings with season and latitude, varying by as much as several orders of magnitude. The lifetime results and IR absorption cross sections from the present study indicate global warming potentials (over a 500-year period) for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 of 21400–22800 and 8088630, respectively.
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Tables

Table 1. Metal atom and O(\(^1\)D) reactions with NF\(_3\) and CFC-115 added to the WACCM chemistry module.

| Reaction                        | Rate coefficient / cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) | Source                  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| O(\(^1\)D) + NF\(_3\)        | \((2.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-11}\)                      | [Dillon et al., 2011]    |
| Na + NF\(_3\)                 | \(6.0 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-2240/ T) + 10^{11} \exp(-589/ T)\) | 2.3 \times [Totterdill et al., 2014] |
| K + NF\(_3\)                  | \(16.0 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-2297/ T) + 10^{11} \exp(-866/ T)\) | 1.3 \times [Totterdill et al., 2014] |
| O(\(^1\)D) + CFC-115         | \((6.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-11} \exp(+30/T)\)            | [Baasandorj et al., 2013] |
| Na + CFC-115                  | \(5.9 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-4257/ T) + 10^{11} \exp(-2093/ T)\) | 1.8 \times [Totterdill et al., 2015] |
| K + CFC-115                   | \(1.9 \times 10^{-10} \exp(-1929/ T)\)                  | [Totterdill et al., 2015] |

Table 2. Integrated absorption cross sections of NF\(_3\) measured in the present study at 296±2 K (1\(\sigma\) uncertainty ±6% for the major bands), and compared to the previous studies of Robson et al. [2006] and Molina et al. [1995].

| Band Limits / cm\(^{-1}\) | Integrated IR band cross section / 10\(^{-18}\) cm\(^2\) molecule\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) | Ratio to integrated cross section from Robson et. al | Ratio to integrated cross section from Molina et. al |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| 600-700                   | 0.41                                                                                       | 1.06                                                 | 1.20                                                 |
| 840-960                   | 65.03 Adam                                                                                 | 1.03                                                 | 1.79                                                 |
| 970-1085                  | 5.88                                                                                       | 1.11                                                 | 1.39                                                 |
| 1085-1200                 | 0.10                                                                                       | 0.66                                                 | 0.68                                                 |
| 1330-1440                 | 0.08                                                                                       | 4.35                                                 | 4.76                                                 |
| 1460-1580                 | 0.21                                                                                       | 1.54                                                 | 1.59                                                 |
| 1720-1870                 | 0.71                                                                                       | 0.97                                                 | 1.09                                                 |
| 1890-1970                 | 0.65                                                                                       | 1.01                                                 | 1.06                                                 |
| 600-1970                  | 73.50                                                                                      | 1.04                                                 | 1.72                                                 |
Table 3. Integrated absorption cross sections of CFC-115 measured in the present study at 296±2 K (1σ uncertainty ±6% for the major bands), and compared to the previous study of McDaniel et al. [1991].

| Band Limits / cm^{-1} | Integrated IR band cross section / 10^{-17} cm^{2} molec^{-1} cm^{-1} | Ratio to integrated cross section from McDaniel et. al |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 946-1020              | 2.546                                           | 0.91                                             |
| 1105-1150             | 2.015                                           | 0.94                                             |
| 1160-1212             | 1.370                                           | 0.95                                             |
| 1212-1265             | 5.381                                           | 0.94                                             |
| 1326-1368             | 0.620                                           | 0.97                                             |

Table 4. Instantaneous and stratosphere-adjusted radiative forcings of NF_{3} and CFC-115 in clear and cloudy sky conditions.

| Molecule | Instantaneous Clear, 10^{-4} Wm^{-2} | Cloudy All-sky, 10^{-4} Wm^{-2} | Adjusted Clear, 10^{-4} Wm^{-2} | Cloudy All-sky, 10^{-4} Wm^{-2} |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| NF_{3}   | 3.30                                 | 2.08                            | 3.53                            | 2.79                            |
| CFC–115  | 27.70                                | 18.09                           | 29.77                           | 19.05                           |
Table 5. Instantaneous and stratosphere-adjusted radiative efficiencies of NF$_3$ and CFC-115 in clear and cloudy sky conditions.

| Molecule | Instantaneous Clear, W m$^{-2}$ ppb$^{-1}$ | Instantaneous Cloudy All-sky, W m$^{-2}$ ppb$^{-1}$ | Adjusted Clear, W m$^{-2}$ ppb$^{-1}$ | Adjusted Cloudy All-sky, W m$^{-2}$ ppb$^{-1}$ |
|----------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| NF$_3$   | 0.35                                     | 0.22                                          | 0.40                                 | 0.25                                          |
| CFC-115  | 0.32                                     | 0.20                                          | 0.35                                 | 0.21                                          |

5 Table 6. Comparison of the 20, 100 and 500-year global warming potentials for NF$_3$ and CFC-115 from this work the IPCC AR4 [Forster et al., 2007] and AR5 [Myhre et al., 2013].

| Molecule | GWP$_{20}$ | GWP$_{100}$ | GWP$_{500}$ | GWP$_{20}$ | GWP$_{100}$ | GWP$_{500}$ | GWP$_{20}$ | GWP$_{100}$ |
|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| NF$_3$   | 15844600   | 20149400    | 22824400    | 12300$^a$  | 17200$^a$   | 20700$^a$   | 12800$^c$  | 16100$^c$   |
| CFC-115  | 60806120   | 76308960    | 8088630     | 5310$^b$   | 7370$^b$    | 9990$^b$    | 5860$^d$   | 7670$^d$    |

$^a$ based on an atmospheric lifetime of 740 years.

$^b$ based on an atmospheric lifetime of 1700 years.

$^c$ based on an atmospheric lifetime of 500 years

$^d$ based on an atmospheric lifetime of 1020 years
Figure 1. Infrared absorption spectrum of NF$_3$ at 295±2 K on a logarithmic (a) and linear (b) scale. The logarithmic plot highlights the minor bands.
Figure 2. Infrared absorption spectrum of CFC-115 at 295±2 K on a logarithmic (a) and linear (b) scale. The logarithmic plot highlights the minor bands.
Figure 3. (a) Globally averaged NF₃ mixing ratio in January of the 13th year of the WACCM simulation, illustrating the profiles of the 5 individual tracers (passive, photolysis, metal reaction and reaction with O(¹D)). (c) The corresponding zonal mean NF₃ mixing ratio (ppt) as a function of altitude. (b) As panel (a) but for CFC-115. (d) As panel (c) but for CFC-115.
Figure 4. Atmospheric loss rates of the total NF₃ (left-hand panels) and total CFC-115 (right-hand panels) showing the total loss rate, loss rates via photolysis and reaction with O(¹D), and the percentage contributions to the total loss rates, in the 13th year of the simulation.
Figure 5. Annually averaged atmospheric lifetimes for NF$_3$ (solid line) and CFC-115 (dashed line) as a function of simulation time.
Figure 6. Contour plots for radiative forcing (Wm$^{-2}$) by latitude and month for (a) instantaneous radiative forcing of NF$_3$, (b) stratospheric adjusted radiative forcing of NF$_3$, (c) instantaneous radiative forcing of CFC-115, and (d) stratospheric adjusted radiative forcing of CFC-115. Note different contour intervals between panels.
Figure 7. Variation of instantaneous radiative forcing for NF₃ (Wm⁻²) with tropopause height for four profiles: thermal tropopause (red solid line), average thermal tropopause (black dashed line), temperature minimum tropopause (dash dot magenta line) and average temperature minimum tropopause (blue dotted line).