Psychiatric consequences of a father’s leave policy by nativity: a quasi-experimental study in Sweden
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ABSTRACT
Background Parental leave use has been found to promote maternal and child health, with limited evidence of mental health impacts on fathers. How these effects vary for minority populations with poorer mental health and lower leave uptake, such as migrants, remains under-investigated. This study assessed the effects of a Swedish policy to encourage fathers’ leave, the 1995 Father’s quota, on Swedish-born and migrant fathers’ psychiatric hospitalisations.

Methods We conducted an interrupted time series analysis using Swedish total population register data for first-time fathers of children born before (1992–1994) and after (1995–1997) the reform (n=198 589). Swedish-born and migrant fathers’ 3-year psychiatric hospitalisation rates were modelled using segmented negative binomial regression, adjusting for seasonality and autocorrelation, with stratified analyses by region of origin, duration of residence, and partners’ nativity.

Results From immediately pre-reform to post-reform, the proportion of fathers using parental leave increased from 63.6% to 86.4% of native-born and 37.1% to 51.2% of migrants. Swedish-born fathers exhibited no changes in psychiatric hospitalisation rates post-reform, whereas migrants showed 36% decreased rates (incidence rate ratio (IRR) 0.64, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.86). Migrants from regions not predominantly consisting of Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development countries (IRR 0.50, 95% CI 0.19 to 1.33), and those with migrant partners (IRR 0.23, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.38), experienced the greatest decreases in psychiatric hospitalisation rates.

Conclusion The findings of this study suggest that policies oriented towards promoting father’s use of parental leave may help to reduce native–migrant health inequalities, with broader benefits for family well-being and child development.

INTRODUCTION
Parental leave policies have been shown to promote maternal and child health, yet the influence of fathers’ leave for their own health remains understudied. Around 10% of fathers worldwide suffer from depression in the postpartum period, alongside heightened levels of stress and anxiety. Longer fathers’ leave could protect their mental health through biological (ie, hormonal) changes; psychological-social changes, by fostering work–family balance and family relationships; and health behavioural changes, by encouraging child-friendly behaviours such as physical activity and decreased alcohol consumption. However, little is known about the mental health consequences of fathers’ leave, and whether these consequences differ for minority groups such as migrants, who experience worse mental health and lower parental leave uptake than natives.

Sweden has one of the most generous parental leave systems worldwide, making it an apt target for investigating these health effects. Today, residents qualify for 480 days of job-protected leave per child (240 days per parent), of which 390 are paid at a low flat rate for unemployed and low-earning parents or 80% of higher earnings, and 90 at a universal flat rate. Although fathers have been eligible to use parental leave since 1974, most days continue to be claimed by mothers. In response, the Father’s quota was implemented in 1995, reserving a month of leave (to be forfeited if left unused) to fathers of children born from 1 January 1995, and successfully increasing the proportion of fathers using leave from 43% to 75%.

Meanwhile, migrant fathers in Sweden have used even less leave than natives, in part due to greater levels of unemployment and low income (ie, being more likely to receive flat-rate benefits); limited institutional knowledge (ie, to apply for parental leave benefits); and conflicting cultural and gender norms (ie, being more inclined to remain at work than at home for childcare). These factors vary across migrant groups, including by region of origin, duration of residence and partners’ nativity, indicative of integration levels in the receiving country. Promoting migrant fathers’ leave use, especially among less integrated migrants, could contribute to narrowing native–migrant mental health inequalities.

Given the possibility of confounding and selection, quasi-experimental designs are useful to isolate causal effects between parental leave and health. One quasi-experimental study found decreased sick leave uptake among fathers following a Norwegian father’s quota reform, but no such evidence exists in Sweden. Furthermore, no study has considered the differential effects of these policies on marginalised groups such as migrants. This study thus aims to assess the effects of the 1995 Father’s quota on Swedish-born and migrant fathers’ psychiatric hospitalisations, further exploring variation by migrants’ integration.

METHODS
Data sources and study population
The study was conducted as part of the Unintended health consequences of Swedish parental
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Conclusion The findings of this study suggest that policies oriented towards promoting father’s use of parental leave may help to reduce native–migrant health inequalities, with broader benefits for family well-being and child development.
leave policy (ParLeHealth) project, based on a pre-published study protocol. In a quasi-experimental design, the 1995 Father’s quota was used as an exogenous intervention to incentivise fathers’ leave uptake. Longitudinal total population data were drawn from linked Swedish registers, including the Total Population Register (TPR) and Multi-generational Register (MGR); the Longitudinal Integration Database for Health Insurance and Labour Market Studies (LISA); and the Medical Birth Register (MBR), National Patient Register (NPR) and Cause of Death Register (CDR).

We included first-time fathers with singleton children born in Sweden 3 years before (1992–1994) and after (1995–1997) the 1 January 1995 implementation of the Father’s quota (figure 1). Using the MBR, we identified all live births from 1 January 1992 to 31 December 1997 (n=629 572), excluding multiple births (n=19 660). Maternal and child records were then linked to fathers’ data, excluding family units with unidentifiable fathers (MGR; n=3350). We focused on common biological first-order children, given that we could not distinguish leave use for multiple children and that parents were less likely to adjust their uptake behaviours if they had used parental leave prior to the reform (ie, contamination of the policy effect). Parents coded as having previous children (MGR; n=377 760) or as having taken out parental leave prior to the study period (LISA; n=5391) were thus excluded. Given that parental leave benefits are contingent on custody, parents of children given up for adoption (MGR; n=390) and those coded as single (ie, not cohabiting or married) in the year of childbirth (LISA; n=17 472) were also excluded. We then removed fathers that had emigrated from or not yet migrated to Sweden (TPR; n=6464) or died (CDR; n=35) by their child’s birth. Missing socioeconomic data in the first full calendar year after birth was also used to exclude non-residents (LISA; n=293). Finally, we excluded fathers with previous or incident hospitalisations for schizophrenia (NPR; n=168), who may have been influenced by a 1995 psychiatric deinstitutionalisation reform which disproportionately affected patients with schizophrenic diagnoses.

The final sample of fathers (n=198 589) was right-censored if they, their partners or children emigrated, died or no longer cohabited prior to the end of follow-up. Observations were aggregated into 72 monthly time points over 6 years of birth data (1992–1997) and 3 years of follow-up (1992–2000).

Variables

We retrieved data on fathers’ hospitalisation dates and diagnostic codes (International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, ICD-9; 10th Revision, ICD-10; NPR). Inpatient hospitalisations with a primary diagnosis of mental and behavioural disorders (ICD-9 290–319; ICD-10 F00–F99), exempting diagnoses of schizophrenia-related disorders (ICD-9 295, 297–298; ICD-10 F20–F29), were counted from the child’s birth month until 36 months postpartum or the end of follow-up. Incident psychiatric
hospitalisation events (maximum one daily, irrespective of duration) were presented as rates per 1000 person-years (PY). Although most fathers use leave within 2 years postpartum, we examined 3-year outcomes to capture potential lagged health effects. For our sensitivity analyses, we estimated a dichotomous measure of fathers’ pre-birth psychiatric hospitalisations (up to 2 years before child’s birthdate) to assess potential health selection; and psychiatric hospitalisation rates up to 18 months postpartum, to exclude potential effects of uncaptured second births.

Fathers were stratified by nativity (ie, Swedish-born or migrant; TPR). Migrants were further stratified by region of origin, including regions predominantly composed of Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries (ie, Europe, North America, Oceania) and non-OECD regions (ie, Africa, Asia, South America, stateless/unspecified)14; duration of residence (ie, < or ≥3 years, first-time migrants only); and partners’ nativity (ie, Swedish-born or migrant).15 From LISA, we drew data on annual labour income (continuous; year before childbirth) to assess compositional changes among parents due to the 1990s Swedish financial crisis.24 For descriptive purposes, we determined fathers’ unemployment status (whether any labour income was reported; year before childbirth), educational attainment (low: up to 2 years of upper secondary education, medium: up to 2 years of university college, and high: graduate/postgraduate studies; first record in the follow-up period, with multiple imputation for missing values), age (mean; year of childbirth) and parental leave use (whether parental leave benefits were received; up to 3 years after childbirth).

Analysis

We used interrupted time series (ITS) analysis, which involves plotting a series of observations (fathers’ psychiatric hospitalisation rates) over a period of time ‘interrupted’ by an intervention (the 1995 Father’s quota), then fitting and comparing separate regression models for the pre-intervention and post-intervention periods.26 We chose this approach due to the availability of a clear intervention date and longitudinal data to establish trends, as well as the possibility to investigate the overall effect of the policy by nativity. Given that the quota was introduced 6 months prior to implementation,26 we assumed that fathers could not self-select into reform periods, but were aware of the reform in advance. As such, we expect there to be an immediate stepwise change in hospitalisations due to a rapid response in uptake from 1 January 1995, followed by a gradual slope change as fathers adjust their uptake behaviours over time.27 To confirm, we first assessed changes in key covariates by plotting their trends over time, and then specified a segmented negative binomial regression model for psychiatric hospitalisations:

$$\log(E(Y_t)) = \log(C_t) + \beta_0 + \beta_1T_t + \beta_2X_t + \beta_3X_tT_t + \beta_4\sin(2\pi/12) + \beta_5\cos(2\pi/12) + \epsilon_t$$

Where $Y_t$ is the psychiatric hospitalisation count up to 36 months postpartum for fathers of children born in month $t$; $\log(C_t)$ is the logged offset; $\beta_0$ is the baseline rate; $\beta_1$ is the pre-intervention slope, with $T_t$ indicating time since the beginning of the study until time $t$; $\beta_2$ is the stepwise change from the observed rate immediately after the intervention compared with the expected counterfactual (with pre-intervention slope), with $X_t$ indicating the intervention period; $\beta_3$ is the pre-intervention to post-intervention slope change, with $X_T$, indicating an interaction between intervention period and time; and the sine and cosine terms are Fourier terms adjusting for seasonality.25 26 Estimates were presented as incidence rate ratios (IRRs). Post-estimation commands were used to calculate observed and expected rates for fathers of children born in January 1995, absolute rate differences (incidence rate differences) between these rates, and post-reform slopes.28 We checked (partial) autocorrelation plots25 and calculated 95% CIs using robust or lag-specific Newey-West SE if autocorrelation was present.28

Analyses were stratified by nativity, then migrants’ region of origin, duration of residence and partners’ nativity to examine potential effect-measure modification. We conducted sensitivity analyses adjusted for pre-birth psychiatric hospitalisations and annual labour income to address compositional changes in first-time fathers’ health and social characteristics, respectively. Events were restricted to 18 months postpartum to account for the possible influence of an unobserved second birth. Finally, we examined potential confounding from the Swedish financial crisis by specifying a pseudo-intervention date on 1 January 1994. Analyses were conducted in Stata V.15.1.

RESULTS

Migrant fathers (n=32 868) were on average older, more low-educated or high-educated and lower earning than Swedish-born fathers (n=163 721) (see table 1 for descriptives, online supplemental figures S1-8 for plotted trends). Post-reform first-time fathers were on average older, more educated and more likely to be unemployed or low-earning than pre-reform, with greater changes among migrants than Swedish-born. Migrant fathers were also more likely to be of OECD-origin, with migrant partners and longer residency in Sweden. Migrants were more likely to have pre-birth psychiatric hospitalisations than Swedish-born fathers, although with no change from pre-reform to post-reform. For births before (December 1994) and after (January 1995) the reform, the proportion of fathers using parental leave increased from 63.6% to 86.4% (Swedish-born) and from 37.1% to 51.2% (migrants), differing across migrant subgroups.

Swedish-born fathers experienced no stepwise or slope changes in psychiatric hospitalisation rates from before to after the 1995 Father’s quota, while migrant fathers experienced a stepwise decrease of 2.39 hospitalisations per 1000 PY (IRR 0.64, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.86), and a reduced slope for every subsequent month of childbirth (0.97, 95% CI 0.95 to 0.99; table 2, figure 2). In subgroup analyses, OECD-origin fathers had a stepwise decrease of 1.87 hospitalisations per 1000 PY (0.66, 95% CI 0.42 to 1.03) but no slope change, while non-OECD-origin fathers experienced a stepwise decrease of 4.60 events per 1000 PY (0.41, 95% CI 0.19 to 1.33) and a slope decrease (0.94, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.98; table 2) (online supplemental figure S9). Short-term residents experienced stepwise decreases of 2.95 hospitalisations per 1000 PY (0.41, 95% CI 0.13 to 1.23), but no slope change post-reform, whereas long-term residents exhibited no stepwise change but a slope decrease (0.93, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.95; table 2) (online supplemental figure S10). Finally, migrant fathers with migrant partners had 5.87 fewer hospitalisations per 1000 PY (0.50, 95% CI 0.19 to 1.33) and a slope decrease (0.94, 95% CI 0.90 to 0.98; table 2) (online supplemental figure S11).

Sensitivity analyses are reported in online supplemental tables S1-4. Controlling for the occurrence of psychiatric hospitalisations up to 2 years before childbirth attenuated the stepwise but not slope change in post-birth psychiatric hospitalisations among migrants. Estimates for migrant subgroups revealed a stepwise increase among long-term residents, while others remained unchanged. Income adjustments similarly attenuated findings for...
migrants in general, but not subgroups. Restricting events to 18 months postpartum attenuated the stepwise but not slope change for migrants, including for non-OECD-origin migrants, and revealed stepwise increases among long-term residents. Finally, specifying the 1 January 1994 pseudo-intervention date did not affect results for natives, attenuated the stepwise change among migrants in general and revealed stepwise increases among non-OECD-origin, long-term and native-partnered migrant fathers, potentially reflecting residual health effects of the economic crisis.

DISCUSSION

This study found decreased psychiatric hospitalisation rates among migrant but not native fathers from before to after the 1995 Father’s quota, including for non-OECD-origin migrants and those with migrant partners. Findings for migrants in general were slightly attenuated after adjustment for pre-birth psychiatric hospitalisations and income, but decreased rates remained among migrant subgroups.

Previous quasi-experimental evidence on paid parental leave in California found no effects on fathers’ self-reported mental health. However, the policy introduced and promoted leave uptake to a greater degree among mothers, so the actual absence of health effects in fathers is uncertain. Similarly, although we found no changes in hospitalisation rates among Swedish-born fathers from before to after the 1995 Father’s quota, this does not indicate that parental leave uptake has no influence on Swedish-born fathers’ psychiatric health. Instead, given that over 60% of Swedish-born fathers used leave pre-reform, we theorise that if the pre-reform users already comprised individuals that stood to benefit the most from parental leave (ie, those in poor health or with precarious employment), a post-reform increase in uptake would not have had a sizeable impact on hospitalisation rates.

Meanwhile, we found that migrants experienced pre-reform to post-reform decreases in psychiatric hospitalisations. This may be a result of their increased leave use due to the reform’s incentives and greater awareness of their general eligibility for leave compared with before the reform. Moreover, given the cut-backs to other welfare resources during the 1990s, migrants may have been inclined to compensate for their income loss with parental leave benefits.

In our subgroup analyses, we attempted to disentangle the role of integration in predicting the health gains of migrant fathers’ leave use. We found that greater duration of residence, indicative of longer receiving country exposure and greater parental leave uptake, also corresponded to greater post-reform health gains. Yet, typically ‘less integrated’ migrants, that is, those of non-OECD-origin and with foreign-born partners, experienced greater health gains than their OECD-origin and native-partnered peers, despite lower overall increases in uptake. This may be a result of a selective population of ‘less integrated’ migrant fathers using parental leave for the first time after the reform, including those who stood to benefit the most from parental leave (eg, due to greater work–family conflict stemming from precarious employment and...

Table 1 Descriptive characteristics of first-time fathers of singleton children born from 1992 to 1997, Sweden (n=198 589)

|                     | Swedish-born fathers | Migrant fathers |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
|                     | (n=165 721)          | (n=32 868)      |
| Children’s birth year | 1992–1994           | 1992–1994       |
|                     | 1995–1997            | 1995–1997       |
| Fathers’ characteristics |                    |                 |
| Age* (mean)         | 29.08                | 29.66           |
|                     | 31.09                | 31.45           |
| Education† (%):     |                      |                 |
| Low                 | 13.15                | 11.61           |
|                     | 24.35                | 22.30           |
| Medium              | 68.77                | 69.75           |
|                     | 50.14                | 49.28           |
| High                | 18.08                | 18.63           |
|                     | 25.51                | 28.42           |
| Unemployed‡ (%):    |                      |                 |
|                     | 4.27                 | 5.26            |
|                     | 30.26                | 40.70           |
| Annual labour income‡ (mean; in thousands, SEK) | 161.96   | 180.51 |
|                     | 115.40                | 126.16          |
| Parental leave use (% receiving parental leave benefits, 0–36 months after birth) | 64.67 | 83.02 |
|                     | 37.84                | 50.24           |
| Migrant fathers’ characteristics |                    |                 |
| Region of origin*: § (%): |                      |                 |
| OECD-predominant    | 51.36                | 52.94           |
| Non-OECD-predominant| 48.64                | 47.06           |
| Duration of residence*: ‡ (%): |                    |                 |
| <5 years            | 37.99                | 33.20           |
| ≥5 years            | 38.22                | 39.48           |
| Other (ie, multiple migrations) | 23.80 | 27.32 |
| Partners' nativity*: (%): |                      |                 |
| Swedish-born        | 33.94                | 30.46           |
| Migrant (foreign-born) | 66.06                | 69.54           |
| Fathers’ psychiatric health |                    |                 |
| Pre-birth hospitalisations (% hospitalised, 0–24 months before birth) | 0.24 | 0.24 |
|                     | 0.38                  | 0.40            |
| Post-birth hospitalisations (per 1000 person-years, 0–36 months after birth) | 2.85 | 2.50 |
|                     | 5.17                  | 3.10            |

*Measured in child’s birth year.
†First recorded education within the follow-up period; missing values entered with multiple imputation.
‡Measured in the calendar year prior to childbirth.
§OECD-predominant regions consist of Europe, North America and Oceania. Non-OECD-predominant regions are defined as regions with predominantly non-OECD countries, including Africa, Asia, South America and stateless/unspecified origins.
¶Calculated only for first-time migrants to Sweden.
OECD, Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development; SEK, Swedish kronor.
Table 2  
First-time fathers’ psychiatric hospitalisation rates 0–36 months after child’s birthdate, pooled by birthdate before (1992–1994) and after (1995–1997) the 1995 Father’s quota, Sweden (n=198 589)

| Sample           | Rates | Slopes | Pre-reform | Post-reform | Slope change |
|------------------|-------|---------|------------|-------------|--------------|
|                  |       |         | Expected   | Observed    | Stepwise (rate) change | IRD 95% CI | 95% CI | Rate | 95% CI | Rate 95% CI | Rate 95% CI | IRD 95% CI | 95% CI | IRR 95% CI | 95% CI | IRR 95% CI | 95% CI | IRR 95% CI | 95% CI | IRR 95% CI | 95% CI |
|                  | n     | Rate    | 95% CI | Rate    | 95% CI | IRD    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI | IRR    | 95% CI |
| Swedish-born     | 165 721 | 2.79 | 2.04 to 3.80 | 2.90 | 2.15 to 3.64 | 2.47 | 1.83 to 3.11 | –0.42 | –1.44 to 0.59 | 0.85 | 0.59 to 1.24 | 1.00 | 0.99 to 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.99 to 1.01 | 1.00 | 0.98 to 1.02 |
| Migrant          | 32 868 | 3.91 | 2.73 to 5.60 | 6.55 | 4.89 to 8.21 | 4.16 | 3.24 to 5.08 | –2.39 | –4.12 to –0.66 | 0.64 | 0.47 to 0.86 | 1.01 | 1.00 to 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.97 to 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.95 to 0.99 |
|                  |       |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| By region of origin |       |       |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| OECD             | 17 132 | 5.89 | 4.11 to 8.44 | 5.46 | 2.35 to 8.57 | 3.60 | 2.16 to 5.04 | –1.87 | –4.34 to 0.60 | 0.66 | 0.42 to 1.03 | 1.00 | 0.98 to 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.97 to 1.01 | 0.99 | 0.96 to 1.03 |
| Non-OECD        | 15 736 | 2.11 | 1.00 to 4.44 | 9.15 | 2.11 to 16.18 | 4.55 | 2.47 to 6.63 | –4.60 | –12.39 to 3.19 | 0.50 | 0.19 to 1.33 | 1.04 | 1.00 to 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.96 to 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.90 to 0.98 |
|                  |       |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| By duration of residence |       |       |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| <5 years         | 11 727 | 3.63 | 2.05 to 6.43 | 4.97 | 1.25 to 8.68 | 2.01 | 0.44 to 3.59 | –2.95 | –7.05 to 1.15 | 0.41 | 0.13 to 1.23 | 1.01 | 0.98 to 1.04 | 1.01 | 0.97 to 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.96 to 1.05 |
| ≥5 years         | 12 693 | 3.61 | 2.13 to 6.14 | 12.61 | 9.33 to 15.90 | 12.26 | 8.14 to 16.37 | –3.36 | –5.58 to 4.86 | 0.97 | 0.64 to 1.48 | 1.03 | 1.01 to 1.06 | 0.96 | 0.94 to 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.90 to 0.95 |
|                  |       |         |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| By partners’ nativity |       |       |         |         |         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Migrant partner  | 22 265 | 3.11 | 1.90 to 5.09 | 7.62 | 4.63 to 10.61 | 1.75 | 1.13 to 2.36 | 5.87 | –8.89 to –2.85 | 0.23 | 0.14 to 0.38 | 1.02 | 1.00 to 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.99 to 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.96 to 1.01 |
| Swedish-born partner | 10 603 | 4.33 | 1.85 to 10.12 | 7.02 | 2.13 to 11.90 | 10.45 | 5.00 to 15.90 | 3.43 | –4.12 to 10.99 | 1.49 | 0.60 to 3.65 | 1.01 | 0.97 to 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.93 to 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.90 to 0.99 |

Rates are expressed as hospitalisation events per 1000 person-years. CIs are calculated with Huber-White-Sandwich SE, unless autocorrelation is present, when calculated using lag-adjusted Newey-West SE. All models are adjusted for seasonality.

*Baseline rate corresponds to adjusted rate for fathers of children born in January 1992 (main regression model).
†Expected rate corresponds to adjusted rate for fathers of children born in January 1995, had the pre-reform slope continued uninterrupted (post-estimation). Observed rate corresponds to adjusted rate for fathers of children born in January 1995, based on post-reform slope (post-estimation). Stepwise (rate) change is calculated as the IRD (post-estimation) and IRR (main regression model) of observed versus expected rates in January 1995.
‡Pre-reform slope corresponds to adjusted slope for fathers of children born from January 1992 to December 1994 (main regression model). Post-reform slope corresponds to adjusted slope for fathers of children born from January 1995 to December 1997 (post-estimation). Slope change is calculated as the IRR of post-reform and pre-reform slopes (main regression model).
IRD, incidence rate difference; IRR, incidence rate ratio; OECD, Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development.
occupational stressors). In fact, the reform’s psychiatric benefits appeared to vary as a function of how common father’s leave was in the subgroups before its introduction.

We chose to examine the 1995 Father’s quota as it had notable effects on fathers’ parental leave uptake compared with later Swedish and international parental leave reforms, with little contamination from simultaneous parental leave policies. However, the study may have been prone to confounding by other contemporaneous factors. In 1995, there was an effort to shift the responsibility of long-term psychiatric healthcare to social services, decreasing hospitals’ capacity for severely, chronically ill psychiatric patients. We aimed to address potential bias by excluding the main deinstitutionalised group, that is, patients with schizophrenia-related diagnoses, although other unidentified diagnostic groups may have been involved. Furthermore, the study period coincided with an economic crisis which may have accelerated the deinstitutionalisation process, although with little effect on other service provision. Moreover, it may have influenced the composition of fathers (via health and socioeconomic selection into parenthood) as well as created lagged psychiatric health effects, indicated by increased suicide risks. Although we attempted to account for selection bias by adjusting for pre-birth psychiatric hospitalisations and annual labour income, finding little effect on our results for Swedish-born and specific migrant groups, the significant 1994 pseudo-intervention date suggests that residual effects of the economic crisis may have remained. Future studies should consider alternate analytical methods, including using a synthetic control from different policy contexts, to remove potential bias from contemporaneous trends.

Despite the rarity of the outcome, we had access to long-term national hospitalisation data from both pre-reform and post-reform periods, assuring sufficient power for our main analyses. Some subgroup analyses should be interpreted with caution. By focusing on within-group policy effects, our results were also unlikely to be affected by differential care-seeking across native and migrant subgroups. Alternate psychiatric indicators were unavailable for the study period, although our finding of changes in hospitalisations suggest that less severe psychiatric outcomes may be even more influenced by the reform.

We only had access to annual socioeconomic data, limiting our ability to assess the timing and length of fathers’ leave uptake within each calendar year. We thus excluded fathers eligible to use leave for previous children, although this impedes the study’s generalisability to all parents. The results may also have been biased by uncaptured second births during follow-up. Sensitivity analyses with 18-month follow-up, during which second births were unlikely, corroborated our main findings for migrants. Changes in migrant composition from pre-reform to post-reform were also plausible, but partly addressed through region-origin subgroup analyses. Finally, since we cannot account for migrants’ out-of-country experiences, our duration of residence measure excluded circular migrants with multiple immigration dates and our measure of follow-up time conservatively censored observations at the first post-birth emigration (or death and partnership dissolution).

CONCLUSION

This is the first quasi-experimental study to examine the effects of a father’s leave policy on native and migrant fathers’ health. By considering specific subgroups of fathers across integration levels,
this study found health effects which may have been obscured by patterns in the general population. The findings suggest that reforms intending to incentivise parental leave benefits may in fact function as an introduction to parental leave for parents with limited knowledge of the system beforehand. Furthermore, these incentives can selectively encourage disadvantaged parents, including less integrated migrants, to use leave for the first time, with corresponding benefits which can narrow social and health inequalities within the general population.

Twitter Helena Honkaniemi @H_Honkaniemi, Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi @vkatkireddi and Sol P Juarez @S07_Juarez

Contributors HH and SPI conceived the study. HH designed the study with input from SPI, SVK and MR. Provided the data and HH analysed it. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data. HH drafted the manuscript with substantial contributions from SPI, SVK and MR. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript. HH had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Funding The work was funded by the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (FORTE) grant 2016–07128 and the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet) grant 2018–01825. SVK acknowledges funding from an NRS Senior Clinical fellowship (SCAF/15/02), the Medical Research Council (MC_UU_100022/2) and Scottish Government Chief Scientist Office (SPHSU17).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Stockholm Regional Ethical Review Board (decision no. 2019–04913).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. The data are available from Statistics Sweden under license for the current study and are not publicly available.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

ORCID iDs
Helena Honkaniemi http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0800-0892
Srinivasa Vittal Katikireddi http://orcid.org/0000-0003-6599-9092
Mikael Rostila http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6973-0381
Sol P Juarez http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9086-7588

REFERENCES
1 Nandi A, Jahagirdar D, Dimitris MC, et al. The impact of parental and medical leave policies on socioeconomic and health outcomes in OECD countries: a systematic review of the empirical literature. Milbank Q 2018;96:439–71.
2 Paulson JF, Bazeem SD. Prenatal and postpartum depression in fathers and its association with maternal depression: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2010;303:1961–9.
3 Baldwin S, Malone M, Sandall J, et al. Mental health and wellbeing during the transition to fatherhood: a systematic review of first time fathers’ experiences. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2018;16:2118–91.
4 Leach LS, Poyer C, Cooklin AR, et al. Prevalence and course of anxiety disorders (and symptom levels) in men across the perinatal period: a systematic review. J Affect Disord 2016;190:675–80.
5 Scarf JR. Postpartum depression in men. Innov Clin Neuropsy 2019;16:11.
6 Lidbeck M, Bernhardsson S, Tjus T. Division of parental leave and perceived parenting stress among mothers and fathers. J Reprod Infant Psychol 2018;36:406–20.
7 Bambahishing BN, Wilson DK, Abshire DA, et al. Father involvement in infant parenting in an ethnically diverse community sample: predicting paternal depressive symptoms. Front Psychiatry 2020;11:957.
8 Lidbeck M, Bernhardsson S. What happens to the couple relationship when sharing parental leave? A prospective, longitudinal study. Scand J Psychol 2021;62:95–103.
9 Johansson K, Wennberg P, Hammarström A. Parental leave and increased physical activity of fathers and mothers—results from the Northern Swedish Cohort. Eur J Public Health 2014;24:335–40.
10 Lee BC, Modrek S, White JS, et al. The effect of California’s paid family leave policy on parental health: a quasi-experimental study. Soc Sci Med 2020;251:112915.
11 Månsdotter A, Backhans M, Hallqvist J. The relationship between a less gender-stereotypical parenthood and alcohol-related care and death: a registry study of Swedish mothers and fathers. BMC Public Health 2008;8:1–13.
12 Gilliver SC, Sundquist J, Li X, et al. Recent research on the mental health of immigrants to Sweden: a literature review. Eur J Public Health 2014;24 Suppl 1:ii9–9.
13 Mussino E, Duvander A-Z, Ma L. Does time count? Immigrant fathers’ use of parental leave for a first child in Sweden. Popul Publ Health 2018;73:363–82.
14 Duvander A-Z, Johansson M. Parental leave use for different fathers: A study of the impact of three Swedish parental leave reforms. In: Eydal GB, Rostgaard T, eds. Fatherhood in the Nordic welfare states: Comparing care policies and practice. Bristol, UK: Bristol University Press, 2014:349–69.
15 Duvander A-Z, Johansson M. What are the effects of reforms promoting fathers’ parental leave use? J Eur Soc Policy 2012;22:319–30.
16 Honkaniemi H, Juarez SF, Katikireddi SV, et al. Psychological distress by age at migration and duration of residence in Sweden. Soc Sci Med 2020;250:112869.
17 Kulu H, González-Ferrer A. Family dynamics among immigrants and their descendants in Europe: current research and opportunities. Eur J Popul 2014;30:411–35.
18 Dunning T. Natural experiments in the social sciences: a design-based approach. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2012.
19 Ugreninov E. Can family policy reduce mothers’ sick leave absence? A causal analysis of the Norwegian paternity leave reform. J Fam Econ Issues 2013;34:435–46.
20 Juarez SF, Honkaniemi H, Heshmati AF, et al. Unintended health consequences of Swedish parental leave policy (ParLeHealth): protocol for a quasi-experimental study. BMJ Open 2021;11:e049682.
21 Duvander A-Z, Haas L. Sweden country note. In: Blum S, Koslowski A, Macht A, eds. 4th International Review of Leave Policies and Related Research, 2018.
22 Arvidsson H, Ericson BG. The development of psychiatric care after the mental health care reform in Sweden. A case register study. Nord J Psychiatry 2005;59:186–92.
23 Swedish Social Insurance Agency. Antal uttagna nettogodid vid uppnådd ålder på barnet efter födselår, 1999–2015. [Number of net days taken per child’s age by year of birth, 1999–2015]. Available http://www.forsakringskassan.se/statistik/barn-familj/foralderpennings/ [Accessed 20 Aug 2019].
24 Palme J, Bergmark A. Welfare and the unemployment crisis: Sweden in the 1990s. Int J Soc Well 2003;12:108–22.
25 Bernal JL, Cummins S, Gasparini A. Interrupted time series regression for the evaluation of public health interventions: a tutorial. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:348–55.
26 Swedish Riksdag. Föräldraförsäkring: Socialförsäkringsutskottets betänkande 1993/94:SIU15 [Parental insurance; Social Insurance Committee Report 1993/94:SIU15]. 1994. Available: https://www.riksdagen.se/sv/dokument-lagar/arende/betankande/foralderforsakring_G18015U15 [Accessed 27 Jul 2021].
27 Ma L, Andersson G, Duvander A-Z, et al. Fathers’ uptake of parental leave for forerunners and Laggards in Sweden, 1993–2010. J Soc Policy 2020;49:361–81.
28 Lindén A. Conducting interrupted time-series analysis for single- and multiple-group comparisons. Stat J 2015;15:480–500.
29 Lindén A. A comprehensive set of postestimation measures to enrich interrupted time-series analysis. Stat J 2017;17:73–88.
30 Bullinger LR. The effect of paid family leave on infant and parental health in the United States. J Health Econ 2019;66:101–16.
31 Baum CL, Ruhm CJ. The effects of paid family leave in California on labor market outcomes. J Pol Anal Manage 2016;35:333–56.
32 Dunlay AC, Rostila M. Health inequalities among workers with a foreign background in Sweden: do working conditions matter? Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013;10:2871–87.
33 Eckberg J, Eriksson R, Friebl G. Parental leave — A policy evaluation of the Swedish “Daddy-Month” reform. Public Econ 2013;97:131–43.
34 Lehto J, Vrangbaek K, Winblad U. The reactions to macro-economic crises in Nordic health system policies: Denmark, Finland and Sweden, 1980-2013. Health Econ Policy Law 2015;10:61–81.
35 Comolli CL, Neyer G, Andersson G, et al. Beyond the economic gaze: childbearing during and after recessions in the Nordic countries. Eur J Popul 2020;1:48–63.
36 Garay AM, Vägner D. Unemployment and suicide during and after a deep recession: a longitudinal analysis of 3.4 million Swedish men and women. Am J Public Health 2012;103:1031–8.
37 Zhang F, Wagner AK, Ross-Degnan D. Simulation-based power calculation for designing interrupted time series analyses of health policy interventions. J Clin Epidemiol 2011;64:1252–61.
38 Snowden LR. Bias in mental health assessment and intervention: theory and evidence. Am J Public Health 2003;93:239–43.