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Abstract

**Background:** Due to graphene is currently incorporated into various consumer product and numerous new applications, determining the relationships between physicochemical properties of graphene and their toxicity is a prominent concern for environmental and health risk analysis. Data from the literatures suggested that graphene exposure may resulted in cytotoxicity, however, the toxicity data of graphene is still insufficient to point out its side because of the complexity and heterogeneity of available data on potential risks of graphene.

**Methods and Results:** Here, we developed a meta-analysis approach for assembling published evidence on cytotoxicity based on 792 related publications, 986 cell survival rate samples, 762 IC\(_{50}\) samples, and 100 LDH release samples. In this study, among corresponding attributes, we proved that the cytotoxicity of graphene assessed in the form of cell viability, IC\(_{50}\) and LDH can be primarily predicted from exposure dose and detection method, diameter and surface modification, detection method and organ source, respectively. Furthermore, this paper provides guidance regarding three optional data sets for above-mentioned three endpoints that are chiefly related to cellular toxicity for future studies and cross-validation studies based on machine learning tools including Random Forests (RFs), Support Vector Machine (SVM), LASSO regression, and Elastic Net were conducted for result verification.

**Conclusions:** In summary, our study indicates that following rigorous methodological experimental and extract approaches accompanied with suitable machine learning tools, in parallel to continuous addition to reliable data set developed using our meta-analysis approach, will offer higher predictive power and accuracy, and also help to provide effective information on designing safe graphene.

1 Introduction

Graphene is a monocrystal and monolayer consisted of carbon atoms, sp\(^2\) hybridized orbital closely arranged into two-dimensional honeycomb lattice nanometer materials\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\). It is divided into oxidized graphene, reduced oxidized graphene, graphene nanoribbon, and graphene quantum dot\(^2\)\(^-\)\(^4\). Graphene owns unique electronic and mechanical properties, saturated magnetization, excellent charge carrier mobility, high thermal conductivity, large specific surface area, extraordinary electrocatalytic activity, and good biocompatibility\(^5\), which makes it widely applied in electrochemical device, energy storage, nanomedicine, biotechnology, photothermal therapy, and tissue engineering\(^6\). However, with the widespread use of graphene and other nanomaterials, people are exposed to different degrees of graphene in the synthesis, manufacture, use, treatment of graphene. Therefore, the biosafety study of graphene materials is essential and necessary. Although the distinctive advantages and potential toxicity of graphene for bioapplications have been confirmed repeatedly, there is still no moderate consensus on what features are most important and necessary among these numerous attributes for experiment conduction with variable outcomes. Furthermore, the vast majority is performed using several graphene materials in limited experimental models and a globalized model relating properties to graphene cytotoxicity is crucial.

So far, a systematic evaluation of the toxicity of graphene based on in vitro cell experiments is lacking. On one hand, graphene for toxicity studies has different sources, mainly including commercialization and preparation by different laboratories, thus the toxicity data obtained by them is lacking of comparability. On the other hand, a variety of toxicity studies involves exposure time, exposed cell types and detection methods are also different, which results in uncertainties and variability in graphene toxicity studies and therefore it’s difficult to generate the large amounts of information from individual studies. It is worth noting that the successful application of meta-analytic or literature data mining in nanotoxicological studies provide the possibility to summarize different studies. The advantages of meta-analysis include combining the results of different studies on the same topic\(^7\), summarizing current evidence on the specific issue objectively\(^8\), resolving conflicts between studies\(^9\), and yielding conclusive results when individual studies are inconclusive\(^10\). Previous studies conducted on Meta-Analysis of the relationships between physicochemical properties of nanoparticles and their toxicity endpoints. To be specific, Gernand et al developed a suite of machine-learning based methods for meta-analysis of nanotoxicity researches of 136 carbon nanotubes from 17 publications examined this association between pulmonary toxicity and 41 CNT attributes. The work identified that
CNT attributes including metallic impurities, CNT length and diameter and aggregate size contributed to pulmonary toxicity. Similar studies have been achieved exemplified by Eunkeu Oh\textsuperscript{12} to predict the toxicity of quantum dot using random forest regression models and evaluate primary attribute including shell, ligand and surface modifications, diameter, assay type and exposure time which contribute to toxicity. In addition, Hagar I. Labouta\textsuperscript{13} at.al provided guided prediction information with key attributes regarding NP cytotoxicity risk analysis using machine learning tools added with published cytotoxicity data. Rather than the obvious toxicity profiled such as viability compromised cell membrane integrity, meta-analysis allows to reveal hidden relationships that were not targeted in the primitive publications\textsuperscript{14}.

Another challenging question is that of the physicochemical properties graphene, the types of cell models and experimental factors contributed with cytotoxicity. Among physicochemical properties, size has been proved particular significance in affecting graphene toxicity regardless of conflicting conclusions\textsuperscript{6, 15, 16}. Furthermore, the oxidation state plays an important role in graphene toxicity\textsuperscript{15, 17}. Also, surface modification and surface charge\textsuperscript{18} have been observed to contribute to graphene toxicity in a few papers. So do organs\textsuperscript{19}, types and morphology\textsuperscript{20} of cells. Most researches indicated that with the increase of graphene exposure dose\textsuperscript{21} and time\textsuperscript{22}, the viability of the treated cells decreased continuously, manifesting a toxicity change from low to high. Nevertheless, low-dose graphene may also have a hormesis effect\textsuperscript{23}. There is also a marked concern in understanding different detection methods on the cytotoxicity of graphene\textsuperscript{24, 25}. Specially, meta-analysis approach or data-mining was conducted by extracting data from the literature, it is possible to reveal the relationship among characteristics of NP, the influencing factors and cytotoxic effects. It can be concluded that the strategies proposed to determine the properties of NPs leading to observed cellular effects.

It is worth mentioning that the machine learning tools were used for this evaluation as they perfectly match with the study and type of data. Based on the machine learning tools including Random Forests (RFs), Support Vector Machine (SVM), LASSO regression, and Elastic Net, these tools have been applied in various fields extensively, have important statistical features and estimated the correlation of these characteristics. Accordingly, the meta-analysis utilized the above-mentioned machine learning tools to identify relevant attributes, evaluate the consistency of graphene toxicity data according to published literature, and develop powerful prediction models (optional data collection) of graphene cell toxicity, which bears an active meaning and great value for reference over future graphene toxicity researches.

In this study, a meta-analysis approach has been developed to generalize reported literature data to provide a modeling framework of potential graphene toxicity. Considering the uncontrollable and variability characteristics of data in various researches aimed at improving predictability, we followed a series of strict inclusion criteria of a study by “PICOS” search strategy. Totally 2896 corresponding primitive research reports were examined, from which 792 ones were selected carefully. Of research indicators with relative widespread concern, 10 attributes (that are diameter, surface modification, oxidation state, exposure dose, exposure time, detection method, organ source, cell morph, cell source and cell line, totally) were ultimately selected in this study of per contribution to different cytotoxic endpoints. The paper provides a comprehensive collection of data on a large spectrum of graphene practically.

2 Method

Standards on inclusion and exclusion have been developed. following the PICOS (Patient, intervention, comparison, outcome, study design) model of evidence-based medicine, which is a useful tool for asking focused clinical questions was endowed with specific connotations as P- cell, I- Graphene and its derivatives, C- none, O- cytotoxicity, S- in vivo study based on the objective of exploring cellular toxicity for graphene in the study then the corresponding search term was set. We adjusted search terms in the Medical Subject Headings as well as use logical operators to group synonyms. Using Boolean operators so as to form the search strategy and further retrieved 792 literatures. We added synonyms or abbreviations that appropriate to the search terms (That is, (Graphite[MeSH Terms]) OR graphite[Title/Abstract]) OR graphene[Title/Abstract]) AND (in vitro[MeSH Terms]) OR in vitro) OR cell experiments) OR cell experiment) OR cell test) OR cell tests) OR in vitro experiment) OR in vitro test) OR in vitro tests)) AND (toxicity[MeSH Terms]) OR toxicity) OR cytotoxicity) OR toxic) OR toxicology) OR cellular toxicity), ultimately). Also, there were no restrictions on year of publication, with databases searched back to their inception. In
the original research, after excluding repeated literatures using EndNote X9 (Bld12062, Thompson Reuter, CA), depending on the title and abstract assessment, some improper literatures were excluded. The full texts of the remaining papers were downloaded subsequently and evaluated carefully for predetermined parameter define and meanwhile data were extracted from each publication meeting the inclusion criteria. Prior to the extraction experiment, relevant graphene attributes and experimental conditions that may influence experiment results were defined and divided into different sets. Moreover, the data were extracted from included article tools or displayed graphically (plotting and histogram diagram) by a program called ‘GetData graph digitizer’. In order to compile machine-learning demanded data, data were coordinated in units of the graphene diameter (nm), and exposure dose (μg/ml), as well as exposure time (h) at al. Descriptive indexes were additionally added for the graphene, cells and the assay method at al. The collected data were then processed to normalize numeric attributes and to delete incomplete data. It is noteworthy that we afterwards performed model establishment and development with standardized data after data extraction was independently conducted using a normalized approach.

Regression models of CV, IC\textsubscript{50} and LDH release were established by the Random Forests tool based on literature compiled data processed for analysis. Further, the most appropriate attribute sets via all-combination search of cell viability were determined to prepare for RF model development. In this research, decision trees and Random Forests learning algorithm were selected specially given that they can put forth their strength to process complex and heterogeneous graphene cytotoxicity data which had been compiled and predict the value of target variables (in this, cell viability, IC\textsubscript{50} and LDH release) while assessing model performance and attribute importance meanwhile. RF model tend to conduct process as follows, including at first, sample extraction from the original data, and then selecting random attribute sets of each tree to create a decision tree, finally repeating the above process until the prediction. In addition, the significance of per attribute was assessed by a random recombination framework as well as RF model development. Due to that the data set approach does not take into account the interdependence and complementarity of information that may be generated in several areas, such combination of attribute data sets may not necessarily be the optimal ones, though in which top-ranked attributes were identified distinctly. Therefore, an all-combination attribute search was conducted under RF model development. Since there are only 10 attributes ultimately after data screening, you can easily confirm the most suitable viable set. The RF model prediction process for graphene cytotoxicity was in association with the development one. This document adopted the $R^2$ as determinant coefficient ($1 – \text{MAE/Var(y)}$) for evaluating accuracy of OOB prediction, where $y$ demonstrates the observed terminal point (cell viability, IC\textsubscript{50} or LDH release value) and MAE implies the mean absolute error of the model prediction). Finally, cross-validated approach was further conducted for evaluating the accuracy of models using these machine learning tools.

3 Results And Discussion

3.1 General workflow of data acquisition and processing

Meta-analysis of graphene cytotoxicity data carried out following the workflow displayed in Figure 1. The topic was determined by listing search terms and further retrieved 792 literatures. Those available data is valuable to different degree thus orderly screening and selecting was conduct (SI Table 1). The second screening was carried out Subsequently after the first screening was completed, which aimed at data collection was conducted as the remaining articles was carefully checked again based on refining exclusion principle (SI Table 1). After data collection and normalization, attributes extracted from the literature describing graphene cytotoxicity including physicochemical properties, cell- and experiment–related conditions at al were selected and listed (Table 1). Subsequently, cell viability, IC\textsubscript{50}, and LDH as three indicators were characterized and processed, based on which importance of macroscopic properties was presented in the form of heatmap (Figure 3). As per attribute included subvariant ones in Figure 4, the subvariant matched each set once again, whether subvariant variable subject to single attribute can have significant effects on these indexes or not was analyzed.

3.2 Cell viability model

Cell viability is an important parameter for toxicity studies of nanomaterial and tend to estimate cell metabolic level indicating growth potential\textsuperscript{26}. To data, the majority of studies have merely focused on material itself, such as the viability detection
methods and oxidation state. From 57 publications, we obtained 986 cell viability-related data samples, each with attributes describing the material properties and experimental conditions by applying strict inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Use of cell viability models allows us to evaluate and predict effect of graphene on cell viability. Thus, RFs and Linear regression models (SI Figure 1, SI Figure 2) on cell viability were developed based on 10 features (selected among totally 18 attributes as part ones missing mass data removed) including specified nanoparticle-, cell-, and screening method-related ones identified from set by compiled literature (Table 1). Besides, parallel coordinate compiled for cell viability in collection of the appointed 10 attributed is shown from Figure 2. Figure 3 demonstrated that exposure dose ($R^2=0.331$), detection method ($R^2=0.154$) and organ source ($R^2=0.101$) had most significant effects on CV. As was confirmed in previous studies, the exposure dose contributed to the toxicity of GO most significantly. In our study, $R^2 \geq 0.02$ is indicative of good feature importance on CV. We find that experimental condition attributes, such as exposure dose, diameter (especially 100-1000 nm), exposure time (12h, 24h and 48h) and detection method (e.g. neutral red assay and resazurin) have significant effect on CV (Figure 4). In previous researched, resazurin was a redox index for cell permeability determine. This helps to track the number of living cells and provides a stable quantitative assessment of fluorescence intensity and cell number. Many assays support this view as they detected cell viability in embryonic and cancer cells through quantitative resazurin-based assay given that resazurin is more sensitive and can be used for multiple tests of all dyes in spite of that the oxidation and reduction reactions of many dyes to live cell membranes reflect metabolic activities. Additionally, the neutral red assay permits evaluating cell viability under acidic pH or hypoxic conditions more accurately than other cell viability assay which were reported in a few studies. Furthermore, the most commonly used colorimetric assays e.g. MTT, which readily penetrates viable eukaryotic cells and MST and WST-1 etc. have limitations including inability to explain variation in cellular metabolism throughout the life cycle. However, MTT is still a important detection method for correlating cell viability (Figure 4). The diversity of 14 cell assays with different anatomical / biological performances may imply various toxic reactions. Regardless of that the dependence on different intrinsic properties of graphene and experimental conditions is a complex definition, the relationship between these above-mentioned attributes and toxicity degree with certain scopes over specific experimental conditions (e.g exposure time and dose). In our study, cell morph especially epithelial, neuronal and monocyte-macrophage had feature importance with CV. For example, Hu et al. cultured A549 cells with different concentrations of fetal bovine serum, and detected the effects of GO respectively, from which cytotoxicity of GO was obviously observed. Also, Monocyte-Macrophage following up with epithelial cells is of chief importance to cell ability of all Cell morph. As results show that organ source including bone, adipose and blood and surface modification—PEI have top importance ranking for toxicity (Figure 4). As is known to all, PEI with high vaccination rate promotes the adsorption of GOMN adsorbents for Cr. What is more, PEI may affect positive and negative charges then enable cells more sensitive.

Given as attributes were included in the model one by one by the correlation ranked from high to low (SI Figure 3), especially after took the oxidation state into model (Figure 5); the result was obtained, with $R^2$ decreased distinctly, indicated that the correlation between attributes and cell viability cannot adequately represented the importance of attributes. Thus, all combination research was adopted for obtaining the most important attribute and their combination to cell viability (Table 2). Accordingly, the order in which specific attributes were added coincides with their significance for the correlation of graphene toxicity and each attribute’s contribution to ending points was calculated to prove per effect on CV via the all-combine research. Hereinafter, scrambled sequence of each attribute were considered to be arranged by employing python with optional prediction accuracy (Table 2) and for the cases, the cell viability RF regression model depending on the above-mentioned 9 attributes, showed good performance of $R^2=0.820$. When the above three attributes (2, 6 and 7, Table 1) were excluded from the set, the RF model for cell viability with the remaining 6 attributes (that is 1, 4, 5, and 8-10, Table 1) illustrated only 1.8% decrease in $R^2$ (from 0.820 to 0.805), which is in line with the above percentage-based individual attribute contribution index. Given the trade off between the desire for increased generalization capability and strict model accuracy, the 6-attribute set was of chief significance in future prediction studies with regard to CV.

The above-mentioned top six features were adopted into model prediction which with significant $R^2=0.805$ (data listed in Figure 6) and scatter representing the correlation of CV.
3.3 IC₅₀ model

In order to overview the available IC₅₀ data in huge sum for major researches, figure 7 was especially drawn to report toxicity effect over the scope of exposure concentrations.

IC₅₀ value is the most sensitive measurement value, and logarithmic IC₅₀ has become a common parameter presenting cellular toxicity analysis. Total of 169 distinct IC₅₀ values (thought as an intuitive acute and chronic toxicity index derived from graphene cell viability data integrated over experiments) were identified from 49 reviewed research articles (Figure 6). In view of the above mentioned facts, IC₅₀ values analysis was based on the same attribute set employed for cell viability (totally 10 attributes in Table 1). Top-ranked attributes of IC₅₀ were diameter ($R^2=0.534$), surface modification ($R^2=0.174$), oxidation state ($R^2=0.151$). As is shown in Fig. 3, graphene diameter (especially in the range of $10^{2.5}-10^3$), proved to affect cell morphology and planting density, further graphene interaction with cell membranes, so then shift graphene toxicity. From our results of IC₅₀(poly saccharides as a kind of more negatively charged polymer adhesion on graphene, increased the volume of graphene further changed the surface charge of graphene and its derivatives, which could explain the highest IC₅₀ value of polysaccharide-modified graphene as surface modification is a relevant attribute in the IC₅₀ model. However, lower IC₅₀ value of unmodified graphene was seen as cells are directly exposed to the graphene environment, facilitating the graphene cellular uptake. It is noted that oxygen-containing functional groups play an important role in hydrophilicity, stability and membrane affinity of graphene, thus different oxidation states contribute different degrees to IC₅₀ value.

Among the experimental conditions, detection method like LDH, NRU and resazurin at al showed high contribution (Figure 4), which may be trace back to the mechanism of toxicity of graphene on cells such as oxidative stress. Also, epithelial cells and peripheral monocyte in cell morph are identified as relevant correlative sub-attributes for IC₅₀, account for that the subjects cells have better sensitivity to graphene and its derivatives. However, conditional dependence on the sub-attributes of exposure dose, cell line, organ source, exposure time and cell source were hardly observed (Figure 3).

The IC50 RF model and predictive data (Figure 7) demonstrated better model prediction performance and less scatter corresponding to the correlation of CV based on attributes describing graphene physicochemical properties and experimental conditions.

3.4 LDH release model

The purpose of LDH release model development is to estimate the influence of graphene physicochemical properties on cytotoxicity particularly. From 13 publications, we finally obtained 100 LDH-related data samples, each with attributes in terms of graphene properties and experimental conditions by applying strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. Then, LDH release models were established on this basis.

Cytotoxicity was usually evaluated by the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as LDH is one of the enzymes in living cells and normal cells penetrate the cell membrane is almost impossible. The membrane permeability will change and LDH in cytoplasm will be released into the culture medium, further proved LDH is a sensitive final index to evaluate the toxicity of graphene. In terms of LDH release were detection method, organ source, exposure dose, demonstrating $R^2=0.352$, 0.351 and 0.095, respectively (Figure 3). As is known to all, detection method of LDH release is often specific and limited. Of the organ source, breast (e.g. MCF10A and Hs578Bst) ranked the first (Figure 4), this assumption however has not been supported by the adequate literatures. Furthermore, some studies proved the LDH release of graphene for breast cells yet this issue is open and needs more consideration and more evidence to clarify.

We have hypothesized that graphene cellular toxicity could be predicted based on material parameters and cell-related attributes. Of the graphene -related ones, organ; detection method; surface modification; exposure dose; diameter and oxidation state ranked the feature importance of LDH release from high to low, but cell-related attributes (that is, cell-source and cell-line in this study) has done little to LDH release (Figure 3).
For each dataset, we picked 20% of the data as the test set for RF model predicted versus observed LDH release (Figure 6). The predicted LDH model was based on seven attributes (exposure time and cell line excluded), presenting a significantly great level with $R^2 \approx 0.986$.

### 3.5 The proposed machine learning tools

In the study, some literature graphene family data attributes mentioned above own incomplete data. In view of it, 10 complete attributes associated with endpoints (cell viability, extended IC$_{50}$, and LDH release quantity) are finally adopted. Based on Random Forests (RFs), Support Vector Machine (SVM), LASSO regression, and Elastic Net, which have been applied in various fields extensively, statistically important features, and the correlation of these characteristics were estimated. Moreover, we repeatedly use samples with connected cytotoxicity assays aimed at checking statistical models and validating predictions. As mentioned above, the machine learning tools can perfectly match with the study and type of data for this assessment.

Random Forests (RFs) are integration methods, generally employ the decision tree as a base for its study. They tend to integrate the decision tree by average out with approximate unbiased model of noise to reduce the variance. Specifically, random disturbance and forest use the data sample input disturbance attributes at the same time. RFs are adopted widely in bioinformatics research including RNA methylation, protein interaction prediction and so on. Besides, RF is characterized as adding data sample perturbations and input property perturbations during training to handle a variety of data types. In the existing medical image analysis, they are mainly used in image processing of medical images, diagnosis of assisted medical treatment, and exploration of the pathogenesis of certain diseases. Kesler employed random forests to extract and classify factors related to cognitive impairment. While they play role in Chiang TLE research, that determine which of the hippocampus input the TLE to the left or right, Kacar's multiple sclerosis research and Koley etc. proposed framework that based on RFs to implement automatic diagnostic of four types of brain tumors. Besides, Serag etc. adopted automatic SEGmentation algorithm for human brain MRI image.

Lasso regression can make some characteristic index decreases, and even make some small absolute value of coefficient of direct to 0, so as to enhance the generalization ability of the model. To achieve the feature data, especially linear relationship is sparse, or to find out the main characteristics in a bunch of features, then L1 regularization (Lasso regression) is preferred. As is known, genome scanning can easily produce tens of thousands of variables, while there is little increase in samples of the medical research, leading to the number of samples smaller than it of variable conditions, for example the diabetes development prediction model. In these cases, the Lasso regression model could improve the accuracy of prediction, and use variable screening to simplify the model. Differently, Elastic Network, which has been widely used in the studies of protein structure-function relationship, in the case of a lot of features linked to each other is very useful. Lasso probably only random consider one of these characteristics, while elastic network more inclined to select more than one. Accordingly, LASSO regression tends to choose any one of independent variables to join after the screening model if these variables are extremely associated. As for the Elastic Net model (0 < alpha < 1), the constraints between the square and round shape, so that its characteristic is in an argument or a group of randomly selected trade-offs among the independent variables.

SVM, a tool used to find the hyperplane that maximizes the margin between the samples in various classes. It can guarantee the extremal solutions, namely the global optimal solution rather than the local minimum. It also determines the SVM method has good generalization ability to the unknown sample. Are due to these advantages, SVM model has been widely used in various fields, specially pattern recognition including face detection and recognition, handwritten-digits recognition, text classification, speaker speech recognition, image recognition and retrieval, etc. Moreover, it shows better generalization ability. Therefore, the empirical risk can be calculated based on the hinge loss function of SVM. Also, in this robust and sparse classifier regularization item was added for better structural risk.

In the study, the developed four machine learning tools (i.e. RFs, SVM, LASSO and ENet) have been vastly applied in various fields especially toxicology. The tools use as features quantitative toxicological profiles including individual contribution of each attribute related indicators, and each accumulation get forecasts. Moreover, regression models developed for cell viability
as the toxicity index by all-combined search including both most suitable attributes, based on a framework, in which model and attributes were selected automatically. Thus, a comprehensive analysis of large indicator datasets, whether it is systematic or not, was permitted. Also, the most corrective attributes are assessed for end points (i.e. cell viability, $IC_{50}$ and LDH). For the sake of overcoming the challenge of integrating cytotoxicity data originating from various studies and focus on perfect predictive performance, strict criteria for inclusion was used in published literature pool, in which data was rigorously limited to those involving widely adopted cell viability, $IC_{50}$ and LDH release quantity.

With regard to applicability, we draw particular attention to random forests, which are the most accurate of the current algorithms. With our research, it can effectively run in large databases. In our research, it has an effective way to evaluate the missing data and ensure its accuracy. In the absence of a large number of data (such as diameter), it is mainly random forest, which variables are important to estimate the classification. As far as applicability concerned, we emphasize on Random Forests as it has the untouchable accuracy of current algorithms and runs efficiently on a large portion of data along with our study even if massive missing data such as diameter in our study exist. Chiefly, Random forests help estimate that what variables are important in the classification.

In the study, 10 types of characteristic parameters affecting $IC_{50}$, LDH and cell viability were chosen. Based on random forests, SVM, LASSO regression, Linear Regression and elastic net, statistically important features and the correlation of these characteristics were estimated. In addition to random forests models, we also analyzed the remained machine learning tools mentioned above. In the process of choice, the data was divided into the test set and validation set, with the effect of the MAE to test method validation set. Mean Absolute Error (MAE) tested the effect of the proposed algorithm by calculating the average absolute difference between the predicted and real values of the project score. The smaller the value is, the better the proposed algorithm performs. Therefore, MAE value was selected as the important evaluation standard in this meta-analysis. Figure 8 shows a boxplot of the prediction performance for each machine learning tool. Note that Figure 8 is based only on a certain number of assays (that have an annotation) and that 20 sets of data. Figure 8 showed that the SVM model has the greatest MAE value among all models, followed by lasso and ElasticNet models in the test set. Also, all MAE values demonstrating prediction performances of lasso (alpha was selected as 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 respectively) and ElasticNet (ratio=0.85, 0.5, 0.1) models in different cross-validation studies did not vary appreciably. For the unified SVM, the parameter values determined from training was as follows: $C = 800, 1000, 10000$, given that $C$ is used to control the cost of misclassification in the SVM. Moreover, when $C = 10000$, 20 sets of MAE value data are relatively concentrated.

### 4 Conclusions

A meta-analysis approach has been employed in this study including 792 publications mine, along with concomitantly 986 graphene cytotoxicity data samples describing 10 qualitative and quantitative attributes such as graphene, cell properties and further the methodological settings, accordingly generate a comparatively comprehensive compiled data set for graphene in practice. Predictive graphene cytotoxicity models for cell viability, $IC_{50}$ and LDH were developed using Random Forests learning algorithm. The prediction models demonstrated an accuracy of $R^2 = 0.805$, $R^2 = 0.903$, and $R^2 = 0.986$ for cell viability, $IC_{50}$ and LDH, respectively. Moreover, RFs models assessed normalized data for graphene cellular toxicity adequately and precisely. Importance of macroscopic properties for correlating toxicity indexes were evaluated using RF models, as is demonstrated that random permutation is used to achieve the optimal combination of these corresponding attributes as an attribute combination of measurable significance in correlating toxicity. We also note that three data sets composed of the most significant attributes of cell viability, $IC_{50}$ and LDH respectively are instructive for future experiment design and conduction. For example, diameter, cell morph, cell source, detection method, exposure time, exposure dose are composed of the optional attribute set for graphene toxicity study in which CV was used as the index of toxicity. This provides more targeted study design and analysis. The way is also applicable to $IC_{50}$ and LDH indicator. All possible arrangements were taken to avoid unnecessary steps aimed at similar researches being both significant cost saving and more scientific and rational.

Overall, this paper implied that machine learning of literature data-compiling could guide and predict chief attributes (e.g. graphene properties and experimental conditions) related to graphene cytotoxicity that should be characterized and attached
importance to. In view of that large data sets are available, literature data collection was excepted to help to formulate advanced regulatory policies for health and environment. The collection of documents will help to formulate sound health policies. Our paper offers an excellent example that the value of the published information and proper modeling approaches should be considered rigorously to pair graphene cytotoxicity with the relevant attributes, which will reveal further potential relationships and help focus future studies on cellular toxicity for graphene more effectively and accurately.

A meta-analysis approach has been employed in this study including 792 publications mine, along with concomitantly 986 graphene cytotoxicity data samples describing 10 qualitative and quantitative attributes such as graphene, cell properties and further the methodological settings, accordingly generate a comparatively comprehensive compiled data set for graphene in practice. Predictive graphene cytotoxicity models for cell viability, IC$_{50}$ and LDH were developed using Random Forests learning algorithm. The prediction models demonstrated an accuracy of $R^2 = 0.805$, $R^2 = 0.903$, and $R^2 = 0.986$ for cell viability, IC$_{50}$ and LDH, respectively. Moreover, RFs models assessed normalized data for graphene cellular toxicity adequately and precisely. Importance of macroscopic properties for correlating toxicity indexes were evaluated using RF models, as is demonstrated that random permutation is used to achieve the optimal combination of these corresponding attributes as an attribute combination of measurable significance in correlating toxicity. We also note that three data sets composed of the most significant attributes of cell viability, IC$_{50}$ and LDH respectively are instructive for future experiment design and conduction. For example, diameter, cell morph, cell source, detection method, exposure time, exposure dose are composed of the optional attribute set for graphene toxicity study in which CV was used as the index of toxicity. This provides more targeted study design and analysis. The way is also applicable to IC$_{50}$ and LDH indicator. All possible arrangements were taken to avoid unnecessary steps aimed at similar researches being both significant cost saving and more scientific and rational.

Overall, this paper implied that machine learning of literature data-compiling could guide and predict chief attributes (e.g. graphene properties and experimental conditions) related to graphene cytotoxicity that should be characterized and attached importance to. In view of that large data sets are available, literature data collection was excepted to help to formulate advanced regulatory policies for health and environment. The collection of documents will help to formulate sound health policies. Our paper offers an excellent example that the value of the published information and proper modeling approaches should be considered rigorously to pair graphene cytotoxicity with the relevant attributes, which will reveal further potential relationships and help focus future studies on cellular toxicity for graphene more effectively and accurately.
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**Tables**

Table 1. Overview of literature Graphene family data attributes.

| 1. Graphene Diameter [nm] | Au 2% | Others 4% | Breast 14% | Others 15% | Neutral Red Assay 4% |
|---------------------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| 0.8-6000 COOH 2% | Lung 13% | Others 11% |
| Others 16% | 5. Cell Source | 3 Blood 11% | 8. Detection Method | 22 |
| 2. Oxidation State | 3 | Human 76% | Liver 8% | CCK8 24% |
| Primary 6% | 4. Cell Morph | 12 Mouse 19% | Embryo 7% | MTT 15% | 0.5-120 |
| Oxidation 72% | Epithelial 53% | Rat 5% | Cervix 7% | Alamar Blue 13% |
| Reduction 22% | Stem-cell 14% | Bone 6% | MTS 7% |
| Fibroblast 10% | 6. Cell Line | 2 Adipose 6% | Trypan Blue 6% | 0.00001-5000 |
| 3. Surface Modification | 32 Monocyte 7% | Cell Line 93% | Adrenal-gland 5% | Calcein AM assay 6% |
| Unmodified 75% | Monocyte-Macrophage 5% | Primary 7% | Ascites 3% | Neutral Red Uptake Assay 5% |
| PEG 3% | Neuronal 5% | Ovary 3% | WST-1 5% |
| HI 2% | Endothelial 2% | 7. Organ Source | 27 Bone-marrow 20% | LDH 4% |
Table 2. Importance of attributes in RF model via random permutation.

| Selected Attributes                                                                 | R²     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Diameter, 'Cell Morph', 'Cell Source', 'Detection Method', 'Exposure Time', 'Exposure Dose' | 0.80484849 |
| Diameter, 'Cell Morph', 'Cell Source', 'Cell Line', 'Detection Method', 'Exposure Time', 'Exposure Dose' | 0.80732814 |
| Diameter, 'Cell Morph', 'Cell Source', 'Organ Source', 'Detection Method', 'Exposure Time', 'Exposure Dose' | 0.80853033 |
| Diameter, 'Oxidation State', 'Cell Morph', 'Cell Source', 'Organ Source', 'Detection Method', 'Exposure Time', 'Exposure Dose' | 0.81246238 |
| Diameter, 'Oxidation State', 'Cell Morph', 'Cell Source', 'Cell Line', 'Organ Source', 'Detection Method', 'Exposure Time', 'Exposure Dose' | 0.82032346 |

Figures

**Figure 1**

Meta-analysis workflow. Workflow for analysis of literature Graphene family toxicity data. Graphene family toxicity data, together with their physicochemical properties and experimental condition parameters, were collected via manual literature data-mining. The collected data were then processed to normalize numeric attributes and to delete incomplete data. Regression models were developed using the Random Forest technique for both cell viability with the most suitable attributes selected via exhaustive search.
Figure 2

Parallel coordinate chart for cell viability. Note that the intercepts on the axes are joined by line segments to make a polyline, displaying the cytotoxicity of the normalized properties listed in the overview table.

|                          | Cell Viability | IC<sub>50</sub> | LDH release |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| **Exposure Dose**        | 0.331          | 0.011          | 0.095       |
| **Detection Method**     | 0.154          | 0.051          | 0.352       |
| **Organ Source**         | 0.101          | 0            | 0.351       |
| **Surface Modification** | 0.084          | 0.174          | 0.092       |
| **Diameter(log)**        | 0.083          | 0.534          | 0.036       |
| **Exposure Time**        | 0.077          | 0.001          | 0           |
| **Cell Morph**           | 0.066          | 0.066          | 0.041       |
| **Cell Source**          | 0.050          | 0.001          | 0.003       |
| **Oxidation State**      | 0.039          | 0.151          | 0.024       |
| **Cell Line**            | 0.018          | 0.011          | 0           |

Figure 3
Importance of macroscopic properties for correlating cell viability, IC50 and LDH. An attribution which leads to significant increased R square is considered to be an important attribute for correlating graphene bioactivity (i.e., cell viability, IC50 or LDH).

Figure 4

Conditional dependence of graphene bioactivity on subgroups of graphene properties and experimental conditions. The conditional dependence was illustrated via the distribution of samples concerning organ source, cell line, cell source, oxidation state, detection method, cell morph, diameter (Log10), exposure time, surface modification.
Figure 5

Importance of attributes from an exhaustive search for explaining cell viability. Attributes were incrementally added to the model according to the strength of the correlation.

Figure 6

RF models. (a) RF model predicted versus observed cell viability, IC50(Loge), and LDH release.
Figure 7

IC50 values (red) and dosage range used (black) for major researches. The IC50 values are sorted and plotted by increasing values to simplify the visual presentation. IC50 is defined here as the exposure concentration at which there is 50% cell death or inhibition of cell growth or other utilized toxicity metric.
Figure 8

Model comparison. Prediction performances in different cross-validation studies were measured by Mean Absolute Error (MAE).
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