SHORT COMMUNICATION
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Summary

Objectives: There are a number of studies about the effect of cigarette and alcohol on semen parameters in the literature. There is not a consensus on the relationship between use of cigarette and alcohol and semen parameters in those studies. The number of studies in which cigarette and alcohol use are evaluated together is limited. This study was aimed to analyze the effect of cigarette and/or alcohol use on semen parameters.

Methods: In this prospective study, 762 patients who applied to an hospital urology polyclinic between January 2015 and March 2015 due to infertility, were questioned for alcohol and cigarette use in anamnesis. The remaining 356 patients were included in our study. Then, semen analysis of the patients was performed. The patients were divided into five groups according to cigarette use, into five groups according to alcohol use and into four groups according to cigarette and/or alcohol use. Significant differences were analyzed between the groups in terms of semen volume, semen concentration, total motility, forward motility and morphological (normality, head anomaly, neck anomaly, tail anomaly) values.

Results: According to cigarette use, only in group 4 (who use more than 20 package-years cigarette) semen volume was significantly lower than the control group (Mann-Whitney U, p = 0.009). There was no significant difference in any of the other parameters and groups compared with the control group (Mann-Whitney U, p > 0.05).

Conclusion: According to our study, using more than 20 package-years cigarette decreases semen volume. The reason of this result might be that the threshold value, from which the effect of cigarette and alcohol use on the semen parameters has to be determined.
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INTRODUCTION

Cigarette is a significant health problem, which is a common habit that results in early deaths (1, 2). According to data of Turkish Anti-Smoking Association, cigarette use rate is 40% in our country (51% in males, 25% in females) (3). The evidences which show that a number of toxic compounds might disrupt sperm quality, and thus male fertility, in both animals and human are increasing in the last 20 years (4).

According to data of World Health Organization (WHO), 1.8 million people die in a year due to alcohol use (5). Although the effects on especially liver and cardiovascular system are known, its effects on semen parameters is controversial (6-9).

METHODS

In this prospective study, 762 patients, who applied to our hospital urology polyclinic between January 2015 and March 2015 due to infertility, were questioned for alcohol and cigarette use. At least two semen analyses were requested from patients at their first application to the polyclinic as a routine analysis after medical history collection. The patients who were detected with a factor which might affect semen parameters (systemic disease, drug use, inguinal or testicular surgery history, varicocele, undescended testis, hypoplastic testis at physical examination, abnormality in serum gonadotropin, androgen and prolactin levels, and pathology in genetic analysis) were excluded from the study. The remaining 356 patients were included in the study. The patients who had 1 package-year and over cigarette use and the patients who did not ever smoke cigarette were included into the study, the patients who use less than 1 package-year level were excluded from the study. The patients whose alcohol use rate was over 1 unit/3 months and the ones who do not drink alcohol were included in the study, the ones who had use rate less than 1 unit/3 months were excluded from the study. In our study, all semen analyses were performed after 3-6 days of sexual abstinence. Spermograms were analyzed by the same embryologist in the spermogram laboratory in our in vitro fertilization center. Semen analysis were evaluated according to WHO 2010 criteria (semen volume ≥ 1.5 mL; sperm concentration ≥ 15 × 106/mL; total motility ≥ 40%, forward motility ≥ 32% and morphology ≥ 4%) (10). The ethical committee approval of the study was obtained by the Local Ethical Committee of our hospital and registered at number 29/12/2014-21/14 together with the form for informed consent form taken from patients included in the study.

Statistical analysis

The statistical relationship was analyzed by using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, New York, USA) Version 22.0 software programme: p < 0.05 values were accepted as statistically significant.
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The patients who do not use cigarette and alcohol were determined as group 0 (control) (n = 139), the patients who use only cigarette were as group 1 (n = 117), the patients who use only alcohol were as group 2 (n = 33) and the patients who use both cigarette and alcohol were as group 3 (n = 67). There was no statistically significant difference between group 0 and groups 1, 2 and 3 respectively, in terms of semen parameters according to the statistical analysis of semen parameters (Mann-Whitney U, p > 0.05) (Table 4).

**Table 1.**
*Mean values of data (n = 356).*

|                 | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Std. dev. |
|-----------------|---------|---------|------|-----------|
| Age (year)      | 20      | 50      | 33.04| 5.43      |
| Volume (mL)     | 0.30    | 11.00   | 2.96 | 1.53      |
| Concentration (x106/mL) | 0.00   | 170.00  | 36.34| 36.92     |
| Total motility (%) | 0.00   | 90.00   | 46.54| 24.72     |
| Progressive motility (%) | 0.00 | 80.00   | 32.61| 21.24     |
| normal morphology (%) | 0.00 | 10.00   | 2.47 | 2.25      |
| head anomaly (%)  | 0.00   | 100.00  | 43.82| 40.13     |
| neck anomaly (%)  | 0.00   | 18.00   | 5.18 | 5.10      |
| tail anomaly (%)  | 0.00   | 14.00   | 3.69 | 3.86      |

**RESULTS**

When the semen parameter mean values of 356 patients who were included into the study were analyzed, the mean semen volume was determined as 2.96 mL, sperm concentration 36.34 x 10^6/mL, total motility 47%, forward motility 33%, anomaly of the morphology of the head 2.47%, anomaly of neck 43.82%, anomaly of the tail 3.69% (Table 1).

The patients were divided in 5 groups according to cigarette use. The patients who do not use cigarette were determined as group 0 (control) (n = 172), the patients who use cigarette less than 5 package-years were determined as group 1 (n = 39), the patients who use cigarette 5-10 package-years were determined as group 2 (n = 52), the patients who use cigarette 10-20 package-years were determined as group 3 (n = 79) and the patients who use more than 20 package-years were determined as group 4 (n = 14). The control group was statistically compared with the others respectively. Only in group 4 (who use more than 20 package-years cigarette), semen volume was significantly lower than the control group (Mann-Whitney U, p = 0.009). There was no significant difference in any of the other parameters of the other groups compared with the control group (Mann-Whitney U, p > 0.05) (Table 2).

The patients were divided in to 5 groups according to alcohol use. The patients who do not use alcohol were determined as group 0 (control) (n = 256), the patients who use alcohol 1 unit/3 months were determined as group 1 (n = 62), the patients who use alcohol 1 unit/month were determined as group 2 (n = 16), who patients who use alcohol 1 unit/week were determined as group 3 (n = 3) and the patients who use alcohol 1 unit/day were determined as group 4 (n = 19). There was no significant difference in any of the parameters and groups compared with the control group (Mann-Whitney U, p > 0.05) (Table 3).

The patients were divided in 4 groups according to cigarette and/or alcohol use.

| Group   | Volume (mL) | Concentration (x106/mL) | Total motility (%) | Progressive motility (%) | Normal morphology (%) | Head anomaly (%) | Neck anomaly (%) | Tail anomaly (%) |
|---------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Group 0 | 0.30        | 170.00                  | 36.34              | 90.00                    | 80.00                | 10.00          | 18.00          | 14.00          |
| Group 1 | 0.50        | 168.00                  | 36.34              | 80.00                    | 75.00                | 9.00           | 12.00          | 8.00           |
| Group 2 | 0.50        | 110.00                  | 36.34              | 70.00                    | 65.00                | 7.00           | 12.00          | 11.00          |
| Group 3 | 0.30        | 120.00                  | 36.34              | 80.00                    | 65.00                | 8.00           | 13.00          | 11.00          |
| Group 4 | 1.1         | 112.00                  | 45.21              | 80.00                    | 70.00                | 8.00           | 13.00          | 11.00          |

**Table 2.**
*Mean values of semen parameters and p values according to the cigarette use groups.*

**DISCUSSION**

There are a number of studies about the effect of alcohol on semen parameters in the literature, but there is no consensus on the relationship between the semen parameters in those studies (11-14). A full recovery was reported in...
Table 3.  
Mean values of semen parameters and p values according to the alcohol use groups.

| Group | Volume (mL) | Concentration (x106/mL) | Total motility (%) | Progressive motility (%) | Normal morphology (%) | Head anomaly (%) | Neck anomaly (%) | Tail anomaly (%) |
|-------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0     | 0.30        | 11.00                   | 2.94               | 1.51                     |                      |                 |                 |                 |
| (n = 256) | 0.00        | 170                     | 37.51              | 38.68                    |                      |                 |                 |                 |
| 1     | 0.30        | 8.00                    | 2.96               | 1.56                     | 0.865                |                 |                 |                 |
| (n = 62) | 0.00        | 110                     | 30.77              | 31.68                    | 0.400                |                 |                 |                 |
| 2     | 1.00        | 7.50                    | 3.26               | 1.73                     | 0.427                |                 |                 |                 |
| (n = 16) | 0.00        | 110.00                  | 33.15              | 30.12                    | 0.971                |                 |                 |                 |
| 3     | 2.00        | 3.50                    | 2.83               | 0.76                     | 0.867                |                 |                 |                 |
| (n = 3) | 0.00        | 70.00                   | 27.33              | 37.43                    | 0.622                |                 |                 |                 |
| 4     | 1.10        | 8.50                    | 3.02               | 1.73                     | 0.948                |                 |                 |                 |
| (n = 19) | 0.00        | 100                     | 38.72              | 34.72                    | 0.758                |                 |                 |                 |

Although the number of studies in which cigarette and alcohol use were evaluated together, effect of use of both were not shown on the semen parameters (18, 19). In our study, the patients who do not use cigarette and alcohol were compared to patients who use both of them or only one of them respectively and no statistically significant difference was detected in any of semen parameters among the groups. In conclusion, a statistical significance was not determined between the ones who do not use neither cigarette nor alcohol and the ones who use cigarette and/or alcohol in terms of semen parameters (semen volume, sperm concentration, total motility, forward motility, morphology). According to our study, using more than 20 package-years cigarette decreases semen volume. The reason of this result might be the threshold value, from which the effect of cigarette and alcohol use on the semen parameters become to be evident. Although no relationship was determined in our study, except the one between semen volume and chronic smoking, in consideration of the publications about the negative relationship of cigarette and alcohol on the reproductive organs and fertility, young individuals in the reproductive age should be careful about cigarette and alcohol use.
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