Aura in trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia is probably mediated by comorbid migraine with aura
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Abstract

Objective: The presence of aura is rare in cluster headache, and even rarer in other trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias. We hypothesized that the presence of aura in patients with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias is frequently an epiphenomenon and mediated by comorbid migraine with aura.

Methods: The study retrospectively reviewed 480 patients with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia in a tertiary medical center for 10 years. Phenotypes and temporal correlation of aura with headache were analyzed. Trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia patients with aura were further followed up in a structured telephone interview.

Results: Seventeen patients with aura (3.5%) were identified from 480 patients with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia, including nine with cluster headache, one with paroxysmal hemicrania, three with hemicrania continua, and four with probable trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia. Compared to trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia patients without aura, trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia patients with aura were more likely to have a concomitant diagnosis of migraine with aura (odds ratio [OR] = 109.0, 95% CI 30.9–383.0, \( p < 0.001 \)); whereas the risk of migraine without aura remains similar between both groups (OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.14–8.59, \( p = 0.931 \)). Aura was more frequently accompanied with migraine-like attacks, but not trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia attacks.

Interpretation: In most patients with trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia, the presence of aura is mediated by the comorbidity of migraine with aura. Aura directly related to trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia attack may exist but remains rare. Our results suggest that aura may not be involved in the pathophysiology of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia.
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Introduction

Trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia (TAC) is a group of headache disorders characterized by predominantly unilateral headache and accompanied by cranial autonomic symptoms and/or restlessness (1). Cluster headache (CH) is one of the most common forms of TAC, and a typical visual aura, like those seen in patients with migraine, has been reported to be present in patients with CH. The prevalence of aura among CH patients varies greatly, ranging from 2% to 35.5% in European and North American studies (2–9). Some authors hence suggested that aura may be a common presentation in CH or other headaches and not pathognomonic of migraine (6,10). Cases of aura in other TACs have been reported but remain extremely rare (11–13). If the mechanism of aura generation were directly related to TAC (as is the case with migraine), we would expect to see a stable prevalence of aura among TAC (just like the case with migraine, where the prevalence of aura is similar across different...
migraine studies (14)). However, the variation of aura prevalence in TAC is remarkable (2–35.5%) (2–9); we hence suspect that this is mediated by other factors. From the mechanism of aura generation, a direct causal relationship between TAC and aura is also less likely. Cortical spreading depression (CSD) has been implicated in the development of migraine aura; however, the role of CSD in other headache disorders (including migraine without aura) is dubious and still under debate (15). Clinical evidence supporting the role of CSD in CH is lacking, even though a hypothetical link may exist between CSD and CH via nitric oxide (16) or orexin (in the hypothalamus) (17) in animal models. An alternative hypothesis is that the presence of aura is mediated by comorbid migraine with aura (MwA). Approximately 15.6–45% of patients with CH have a comorbid migraine (18), one-third of which have aura (14). This hypothesis may also explain why aura may be present in other TACs than CH, provided that the pathophysiology of various forms of TAC is still different. Based on these assumptions, we investigated the presence of aura in different forms of TAC and whether a comorbid MwA is over-represented in TACs with aura.

**Methods**

**Standard protocol approval and patient consents**

Data were collected in a local database and the use of the data for scientific analysis and publication was approved by the local ethics committee of the chamber of physicians of Hamburg, Germany (PV3185). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Study setting and patients**

We retrospectively reviewed 480 patients diagnosed with TAC in a tertiary medical center (headache outpatient clinic of the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf) between November 2009 and June 2020. The TAC was diagnosed by trained headache specialists following ICHD criteria; that is, ICHD-2 between 2009–2013, ICHD-3 (and ICHD-3 beta) since 2013 (19,20). All patients fulfilled an electronic questionnaire covering demographic information, clinical symptoms, and comorbidities. This questionnaire also includes information regarding aura, including the presence, types, localization, and duration, and whether the aura predicts a subsequent headache attack.

**Follow up of headache and aura**

Patients with aura were followed up with telephone interviews using a structural questionnaire. The questionnaire collected information on the clinical progress of the primary headache disorders; that is, TAC, whether other headache diagnosis exists – for example, MwA, change in the pattern of aura, and the correlation between aura and headache attacks, including the type of headache attack and its temporal association with aura.

**Statistical analyses**

Data are presented as number (percentage) or mean ± standard deviation. The Chi-square test was performed for categorical variables and in the case of cell numbers ≤5, Fisher’s exact test. Independent t-test for continuous variables was used for comparison of continuous variables to describe the population characteristics. A two-sided p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed using SPSS statistics version 27 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

**Results**

**Prevalence of aura and comorbidities of migraine in different forms of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias**

The mean age of the TAC cohort was 44.8 ± 12.9 years (range 18–88 years), 159 of 480 were female (33.1%), with a corresponding male-to-female ratio of 2.02, and 41 (8.5%) of whom had a comorbid migraine. Seventeen patients with aura (3.5%) were identified, among which nine had CH, one had paroxysmal hemicrania (PH), three had hemicrania continua (HC), and four were probable trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia. The average age and the sex ratio do not differ in those with and without aura (p = 0.246 for age, p = 0.214 for sex). There was no difference in the prevalence of aura among different forms of TAC (Fisher’s exact test, p = 0.501). The prevalence of aura in each subtype of TAC is summarized in Table 1. Among the 480 patients, 16 (3.3%) had a concomitant diagnosis of MwA, whereas another 25 (5.2%) patients had a concomitant diagnosis of migraine without aura. TAC patients with aura, compared to those without aura, were more likely to have a concomitant diagnosis of MwA (odds ratio [OR] = 109.0, 95% CI 30.9–383.0, p < 0.001); whereas a concomitant diagnosis of migraine without aura remains comparable in TAC patients with and without aura (OR = 1.10, 95% CI = 0.14–8.59, p = 0.931).
Table 1. The prevalence of aura in patients with different forms of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias.

| Diagnosis (n) | Aura, n (%) | Comorbid migraine with aura |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 3.1 Cluster headache | | |
| eCH (226) | 7 (3.1%) | 4 of 7 (57.7%) |
| cCH (102) | 2 (2.0%) | 1 of 2 (50%) |
| 3.2 Paroxysmal hemicrania | | |
| ePH (14) | 1 (7.1%) | 0 (%) |
| cPH (10) | 0 (0%) | – |
| 3.3 Short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache attacks | | |
| SUNCT (13) | 0 (0%) | – |
| SUNA (7) | 0 (0%) | – |
| 3.4 Hemicrania continua | | |
| HC (58) | 3 (5.2%) | 1 of 3 (33%) |
| pTAC (50) | 4 (8%) | 4 of 4 (100%) |
| 3.5 Probable trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia | | |
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Aura and association with headaches Among the 17 TAC patients with aura, aura was always (100%) associated with headache attacks in four, in another two never (0%) associated with headache, whereas 13 patients reported the presence of aura in 5–80% headache attacks. Aura developed before the headache attacks in six, during the headache attacks in eight, and with a variable temporal relationship in three. Of the 17 patients, visual aura was present in 12 (70.6%), sensory aura in five (29.4%), and motor (5.9%) or aphasic aura in one (5.9%), respectively. Of note, one patient had three different types of auras (visual, aphasic, and sensory). Thirteen of the 17 patients had an aura that lasted 5–60 min, whereas the other four patients had aura length longer than 60 min (68 min, 90 min, 150 min, 180 min, respectively). Notably, 16 from 17 patients had a side-locked aura, only one with an aura that shifted side.

Telephone follow-up of the patients Nine of 17 patients (five with CH, three with HC, and one with chronic PH) were successfully followed up after multiple attempts, corresponding to a response rate of 53%. A mean of 6.3 ± 2.0 years has elapsed since the initial clinical visit. Six of nine still have active TAC, and the remaining three (two patients with HC, one with CH) are now free from headache attacks. One patient who used to have HC now has headache attacks up to 30 min in duration at maximal that fulfill the diagnostic criteria of PH with good clinical response to indomethacin. During the follow-up, only five patients (four with CH, one with HC) still had an aura. Four of them had active MwA, three of which reported that the aura was usually followed by migrainous headache instead of TAC-like headaches, the other reported the presence of aura as independent of both headache types (CH and MwA). The other patient had visual aura only during the CH attack. The study cohort and the follow up of patients with aura is summarized in Figure 1.

Discussion TAC patients with aura compared to those without aura were more likely to have a concomitant diagnosis of MwA (OR = 109.0), but not migraine without aura (OR = 1.10), suggesting that MwA mediates the presence of aura in patients with TAC. Surprisingly, the comorbidity of MwA has rarely been explored in previous TAC series – only two studies explored the role of MwA in CH patients: Bahra et al. reported a prevalence of 14.4% of MwA in CH patients with aura without mentioning the prevalence in CH patients without aura (8); Ofe et al. reported 7.8% comorbid MwA in CH patients but did not compare CH patient with vs. without aura (3). The role of comorbid migraine (regardless of aura) has been explored before and no difference in CH patients with and without aura was reported (2,4–6). This is in line with our findings that only comorbid MwA, but not comorbid migraine without aura, contributes to the presence of aura in patients with TAC. The comparison of the comorbidity of migraine, MwA, and the presence of aura in CH patients is summarized in Table 2.

Aura does not belong to the diagnostic criteria in any form of TACs. The first question would be why it appears in patients with TAC. There are at least two hypotheses for why aura may appear in (some) CH patients and both are somewhat contradictory: i) aura may be a rather common phenomenon in headaches other than migraine, and its presence in CH might have been overlooked by the prominent excruciating headache and therefore underestimated (6); (ii) aura may be found specifically in patients with CH and suggests common pathophysiology or triggers; for example, shared genetic predisposition (2) or the role of orexin (17) or melatonin deficiency (21,22). The problem with both hypotheses is that both are insufficient to explain the presence of aura in other TACs, since this is extremely rare, suggesting aura is not universally a common phenomenon in all headache disorders. Perhaps a more critical question to ask is whether the aura is simply observed in CH patients as opposed to the aura being directly related to CH attacks. Some studies have used relatively strict criteria
for aura associated with CH; that is, aura must be accompanied or followed by CH-like headaches, and in these studies the prevalence of aura was comparably lower (2,4,5,8). The current study also reported a low prevalence of aura, and compared to the other previous studies, we enrolled not only patients with CH, but other TACs as well. Contrary to both hypotheses, our data suggest that aura is not a common phenomenon and the presence of aura is simply attributed to a comorbid MwA.

Nearly half of our patients whom we contacted no longer had an active aura, suggesting that aura is a transient phenomenon in TAC. Even in those who still had an aura, most had a concomitant diagnosis of MwA, and these patients reported that the aura is usually followed by migraine-like, not TAC-like headaches. Only one patient had aura exclusively during CH attacks. This case, in line with the studies from Silberstein et al. and Martínez-Fernández (2,5), suggests that aura directly related to TAC attacks might still exist but remains relatively rare. In the majority of TAC patients who experience an aura, the aura is mediated by the comorbidity of MwA.

Based on our assumption that the presence of aura is mediated by the coexistence of MwA, we would assume that the prevalence of MwA in the general population may affect the presence of aura in TAC patients, that is, lower prevalence of MwA in the general population leads to lower comorbidity of MwA in TAC patients, hence the lower proportion of aura presence. This assumption may be supported by CH studies from different racial groups. Migraine prevalence is somewhat comparable worldwide (23); however, MwA accounted for only 10% of all migraine patients in Asian populations (23), compared to 30–40% in European or North American populations (14). Similarly, the comorbidity

**Figure 1.** Flowchart of study population and telephone follow-up.

TAC: trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia; CH: cluster headache; PH: paroxysmal hemicrania; SUNCT: short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache with conjunctival injection and tearing; SUNA: short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache with cranial autonomic symptoms; HC: hemicrania continua; pTAC: probable trigeminal autonomic cephalalgia; MwA: migraine with aura.
of migraine did not differ between Asian and European patients with CH (15.6% in Asian countries vs. 9–26% in European studies), but comorbid MwA is extremely rare (24). The presence of aura among Asian patients with CH happened to be extremely rare, only 0.4% using data pooled from five different Asian countries (24) compared to 2% to 35.5% in European and North American studies (2–9). This geographical difference of aura supports our hypothesis that in most patients with TAC, the presence of aura is mediated by the prevalence of MwA.

Certain limitations must be addressed: i) this study is conducted in a tertiary medical center; the patient population may deviate from the community-based population. Indeed, the clinical setting and patient recruitment may be a major confounding factor explaining the discrepancy of aura presence between different studies (3,4). The generalizability of the results must therefore be prudent; ii) the differentiation between typical visual aura and other transient visual phenomena may sometimes be blurred (25). Some questionnaires may help to validate an aura from other visual phenomena but remain rarely used in clinical routine (26). Therefore, the diagnosis of “aura” depends on the clinicians’ judgment, and this may be another factor in the inter-study differences. In our study, the diagnosis of TAC and aura were made by headache specialists with more than 10 years of experience; iii) even though we enrolled nearly five hundred patients with TAC, the events number of auras remained low. This study hence lacks the statistical power to inform on the differences (if any) between different forms of TAC diagnoses (e.g. CH vs. HC); iv) a second type of headache (e.g. milder migraine without aura) may sometimes be obscured by the prominent features of TAC and hence underestimated. Nonetheless, there is no evidence to suggest that such “potential” underestimation differs in TAC patients with vs. without aura. The odds ratio (migraine without aura in TAC patients with aura/migraine without aura in TAC patients without aura) should therefore remain unchanged.

**Conclusion**

Frequent comorbidity of MwA in patients with TAC suggests that aura is an epiphenomenon in most TAC patients. Aura solely associated with TAC attacks may still exist but is certainly extremely rare. Whether the identification of comorbid MwA and simultaneous treatment of migraine brings additional benefits to these TAC patients with aura remains to be explored.

**Clinical implications**

- In most patients with TAC, the presence of aura is mediated by the comorbidity of migraine with aura.
- Aura directly related to TAC attack may exist but remains rare.
- Our results suggest that aura may not be involved in the pathophysiology of TAC.
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