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Abstract

We examine the rare decays $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ in the framework of the topcolor-assisted technicolor (TC2) model. The contributions of the new particles predicted by this model to these rare decay processes are evaluated. We find that the values of their branching ratios are larger than the standard model predictions by one order of magnitude in wide range of the parameter space. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry of leptons in $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ can approach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$. The forward-backward asymmetry of leptons in $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ is not large enough to be measured in future experiments. We also give some discussions about the branching ratios and the asymmetry observables related to these rare decay processes in the littlest Higgs model with T-parity.
I. Introduction

The study of pure leptonic and semileptonic decays of B meson is one of the most important tasks of B physics both theoretically and experimentally. These rare B decays are sensitive to new physics (NP) and their signals are useful for testing the standard model (SM) \[1\]. So far, a lot of works have been concentrated on these decays. In the SM, there are no flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) processes at the tree level and the leading contributions to these decays come from the one-loop level. So these rare decays are rather sensitive to the contributions from the NP models beyond the SM. Studying of the observables of the asymmetries, such as the $\text{CP}$ asymmetry \[2\], longitudinal polarization (LP) asymmetry $A_{LP}$ \[3\], and forward-backward (FB) asymmetry $A_{FB}$ \[4\] etc, interests experiments in testing NP. Certainly, their detection requires excellent triggering and identification of leptons with low misidentification rates for hadrons. The precision measurement needs further studying.

The quark level transition $b \rightarrow s l^+l^-$ is responsible for both the purely leptonic decays $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and the semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^- (l = e, \mu, \tau)$. The decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ will be one of the most important rare B decays to be studied at the upcoming large hadron collider (LHC), and so far the upper bound on its branching ratio is \[5\]

$$Br(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 5.8 \times 10^{-8}(95\% \text{ C.L.}).$$

The branching ratios of $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ observed by BaBar collaboration and Belle collaboration are \[6, 7\]

$$Br(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-) = (5.7^{+2.2}_{-1.8}) \times 10^{-7},$$

which is close to the SM prediction \[1, 8\]. However, due to the errors in the determination of the hadronic form factors and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $|V_{ts}|$, there is about 20% uncertainty in SM prediction. The experimental measurement values of rare decay processes $B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-, \tau^+\tau^-$ will be discussed later.

We also consider other observables of the purely leptonic and semileptonic decays for the $B$ meson, which are sensitive to scalar/pseudoscalar new physics (SPNP) contributions to $b \rightarrow s$ transitions. They are forward-backward asymmetry $A_{FB}$ of leptons in

\[2\]
$B \rightarrow Kl^{+}l^{-}$ and longitudinal polarization asymmetry $A_{LP}$ of leptons in $B_s \rightarrow l^{+}l^{-}$. The observable $A_{LP}$ was introduced in Reference [3], though the corresponding analysis in the context of $K \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ had been carried out earlier [9]. The average $A_{FB}$ in the rare decay processes $B \rightarrow Kl^{+}l^{-}$ has been measured by BaBar collaboration as [6]

$$\left\langle A_{FB} \right\rangle = 0.15^{+0.21}_{-0.23} \pm 0.08.$$  \hfill (3)

This measured value is close to zero and has a high experimental error. As the values of $A_{LP}$ and $A_{FB}$ predicted in the $SM$ are nearly zero, any nonzero value of one of these asymmetries is a signal for $NP$. This is the main reason we focus on these observables.

In literature, there are numerous studies of the quark level decays $b \rightarrow sl^{+}l^{-}$ both in the $SM$ and in some $NP$ models. Recently, Reference [10][11] have studied the sensitivity of these rare decay processes to the radius $R$ in the universal extra dimension ($UED$) model. In the supersymmetry ($SUSY$) models, extensive works have been taken to the branching ratios of these rare decays, and some of these discussions are related to the asymmetry aspect [12][13]. These decays have also been discussed in the littlest Higgs model with T-parity (called the $LHT$ model) [14], they have verified that the $LHT$ model can enhance the branching ratios of these decays [15]. However, they have not discussed the asymmetry observables, we will give some discussions on these observables in the framework of the $LHT$ model.

In the framework of the topcolor-assisted technicolor ($TC2$) model [16], Reference [17] has calculated the branching ratios of quark level $b \rightarrow sl^{+}l^{-}$ decays. They consider the contributions of the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ predicted by this model. Their numerical results show that the enhancement is quite large when the mass of $Z'$ is small. Reference [18] has calculated the contributions coming from the pseudoscalar top-pions predicted by this model to the branching ratios of the decays $B_s \rightarrow l^{+}l^{-}$. Reference [19] has evaluated the contributions from both the neutral and charged scalars predicted by this model, the branching ratios can be enhanced over the $SM$ predictions by two orders of magnitude in some part of parameter space. So far, we have not seen the study of the asymmetry observables for these two decays in the framework of the $TC2$ model,
and furthermore the former discussions on the branching ratios have not considered the contributions induced by all the particles predicted by this model.

In this paper, we consider the contributions coming from all of the new particles predicted by the TC2 model to the branching ratios and asymmetries related to the rare decay processes $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$. Compared with the predictions in the SM, our results show that the contributions to the branching ratios and the asymmetries come from two aspects. First, the Wilson coefficients of these processes receive additional contributions from the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ and charged top-pions. Second, the neutral top-pion and top-Higgs can give contributions through newly introduced scalar/pseudoscalar operators. For comparison, we also give our results in the LHT model, considering different parametrization scenarios.

This paper is arranged as follows. In the following section, we will summarize some elementary features of the TC2 model. In Sec. III we present our calculation on the decay processes $B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-$. The decay processes $B \rightarrow K l^+ l^-$ will be studied in the Sec. IV. In Sec. V we give simple discussions on the above questions in the LHT model. Conclusions are given in Sec. VI.

II. The TC2 model

The TC2 model \cite{16} is one kind of the phenomenological viable models, which has all essential features of the topcolor scenario. The TC2 model generates the large quark mass through the formation of a dynamical $t\bar{t}$ condensation and provides possible dynamical mechanism for electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). The physical top-pions($\pi_i^{0,\pm}$), the non-universal gauge boson ($Z'$) and the top-Higgs ($h_i^0$) are predicted. The presence of the physical top-pions $\pi_i^{0,\pm}$ in the low energy spectrum is an inevitable feature of the topcolor scenario, regardless of the dynamics responsible for EWSB and other quark mass. The flavor-diagonal (FD) couplings of top-pions to fermions can be written as \cite{16,20}:

$$
\frac{m_i^*}{\sqrt{2}F_\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{\nu_w^2 - F_\pi^2}}{\nu_w} \left[ i\bar{t}\gamma^5 t\pi_i^{0} + \sqrt{2}\bar{t}_R b_L \pi_i^{+} + \sqrt{2}\bar{b}_L t_R \pi_i^{-} \right] \\
+ \frac{m_b^*}{\sqrt{2}F_\pi} \left[ i\bar{b}\gamma^5 b\pi_i^{0} + \sqrt{2}\bar{t}_L b_R \pi_i^{+} + \sqrt{2}\bar{b}_R t_L \pi_i^{-} \right] + \frac{m_l}{\nu} \bar{l}\gamma^5 l\pi_i^{0},
$$

(4)
where \( m_t^* = m_t (1 - \varepsilon), \nu_w = \nu / \sqrt{2} = 174 \text{ GeV}, \ F_\pi \approx 50 \text{ GeV} \) is the top-pion decay constant. The ETC interactions give rise to the masses of the ordinary fermions including a very small portion of the top quark mass, namely \( \varepsilon m_t \) with a model dependent parameter \( \varepsilon \ll 1 \), and \( m_b^* = m_b - 0.1 \varepsilon m_t \) \(^{[25]}\). The factor \( \frac{\sqrt{\nu_w^2 - F_\pi^2}}{\nu_w} \) reflects mixing effect between top-pions and the Goldstone bosons.

For the \( TC^2 \) model, the underlying interactions, topcolor interactions, are non-universal and therefore do not posses Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism \(^{[26]}\). One of the most interesting features of \( \pi_t^{0, \pm} \) is that they have large Yukawa couplings to the third-generation quarks and can induce the tree-level flavor changing (FC) couplings \(^{[27, 28]}\). When one writes the non-universal interactions in the quark mass eigen-basis, it can induce the tree-level FC couplings. The FC couplings of top-pions to quarks can be written as \(^{[17, 27]}\):

\[
\frac{m_t^*}{\sqrt{2} F_\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\nu_w^2 - F_\pi^2}}{\nu_w} \left[ i K_{UR}^{tc} K_{UL}^{t} K_{DR}^{c R} \pi_t^0 + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{bb} \tilde{c}_R b_L \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{cs} \tilde{s}_R s_L \pi_t^- 
  + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{ss} \tilde{s}_R s_L \pi_t^+ + \sqrt{2} K_{UR}^{tc} K_{DL}^{ss} \tilde{t}_R t_L \pi_t^- \right],
\]

(5)

where \( K_{UL(R)}^{+} \) and \( K_{DL(}^{+} \) are rotation matrices that diagonalize the up-quark and down-quark mass matrices \( M_U \) and \( M_D \), i.e., \( K_{UL}^{+} M_U K_{UR} = M_U^{dia} \) and \( K_{DL}^{+} M_D K_{DR} = M_D^{dia} \), for which the CKM matrix is defined as \( V = K_{UL}^{+} K_{DL}^{+} \). To yield a realistic form of the CKM matrix \( V \), it has been shown that the values of the coupling parameters can be taken as \(^{[27]}\):

\[
K_{UL}^{tc} \approx K_{DL}^{bb} \approx K_{DL}^{ss} \approx 1, \quad K_{UR}^{tc} \leq \sqrt{2 \varepsilon - \varepsilon^2}.
\]

(6)

In the following calculation, we will take \( K_{UR}^{tc} = \sqrt{2 \varepsilon - \varepsilon^2} \) and take \( \varepsilon \) as in the range of \( 0.03 - 0.1 \) \(^{[16]}\). The \( TC^2 \) model predicts the existence of the top-Higgs \( h_t^0 \), which is a \( t\bar{t} \) bound and analogous to the \( \sigma \) particle in low energy QCD. It has similar Feynman rules as the \( SM \) Higgs boson, so we don’t list them.

Another significant feature of the \( TC^2 \) model is the existence of non-universal gauge boson \( Z' \), which may provide significant contributions to some FCNC processes because
of its $\text{FC}$ couplings to fermions. The $\text{FC} \ b - s$ coupling to $Z'$ can be written as \cite{29}:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FC}}^{Z'} = -\frac{g_1}{2} \cot \theta' Z'^{\mu} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} D_L^{bb} D_L^{ss} \bar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L - \frac{2}{3} D_R^{bb} D_R^{ss} \bar{s}_R \gamma_\mu b_R + \text{h.c.} \right\} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$D_L, D_R$ are matrices which rotate the down-type left and right hand quarks from the quark field to mass eigen-basis. The $\text{FD}$ couplings of $Z'$ to fermions, which are relative to our calculation, can be written as \cite{16,17,20,30}:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{FD}}^{Z'} = -\sqrt{\frac{4}{\pi}} K_1 \left\{ Z'^{\mu} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\tau}_L \gamma^\mu \tau_L - \bar{\tau}_R \gamma^\mu \tau_R + \frac{1}{6} \bar{t}_L \gamma^\mu t_L + \frac{1}{6} \bar{b}_L \gamma^\mu b_L + \frac{2}{3} \bar{\ell}_R \gamma^\mu \ell_R \right. \right.
- \frac{1}{3} \bar{b}_R \gamma^\mu b_R - \tan^2 \theta' Z'^{\mu} \left[ \frac{1}{6} \bar{s}_L \gamma^\mu s_L - \frac{1}{3} \bar{s}_R \gamma^\mu s_R - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mu}_L \gamma^\mu \mu_L - \bar{\mu}_R \gamma^\mu \mu_R 
\right.
- \left. \frac{1}{2} \bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L - \bar{\ell}_R \gamma^\mu \ell_R \right]\right\}, \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $K_1$ is the coupling constant and $\theta'$ is the mixing angle with $\tan \theta' = \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{4\pi K_1}}$. $g_1$ is the ordinary hypercharge gauge coupling constant.

In the following sections, we will use the above formulae to calculate the contributions of the $\text{TC2}$ model to the rare decay processes $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ and $B \to K l^+ l^-$.  

### III. The contributions of the $\text{TC2}$ model to the rare decay processes $B_s \to l^+ l^-$

The $\text{TC2}$ model can give contributions to rare B decays two different ways, either through the new contributions to the Wilson coefficients or through the new scalar or pseudoscalar operators. The most general model independent form of the effective Hamilton for the decays $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ including the contributions of $\text{NP}$ has the form:

$$H(B_s \to l^+ l^-) = H_0 + H_1$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with

$$H_0 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi} (V_{ts}^* V_{tb}) \left\{ R_A (\bar{s} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b) (\bar{l} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 l) \right\} , \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$H_1 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{\sqrt{2} \pi} (V_{tb} V_{ts}^*) \left\{ R_S (\bar{s} P_R b) (\bar{l} l) + R_P (\bar{s} P_R b) (\bar{l} \gamma_5 l) \right\} . \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Where $H_0$ represents the $\text{SM}$ operators and $H_1$ represents the $\text{SPNP}$ operators. Here $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$, $R_S$, $R_P$ and $R_A$ denote the strengths of the scalar, pseudoscalar, and
axial vector operators, respectively \[31\]. In our analysis we assume that there are no additional \(CP\) phases apart from the single \(CKM\) phase, thus \(R_S\) and \(R_P\) are real. In the \(SM\), the scalar and pseudoscalar couplings \(R_S\) and \(R_P\) receive contributions from the penguin diagrams with physical and unphysical neutral scalar exchange and are highly suppressed to \(\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})\). The coupling constant of the axial vector operator \(R_A\) can be expressed as \(R_A = Y^{SM}(x)/\sin^2 \theta_w\), where \(Y^{SM}(x)\) is the \(SM\) Inami-Lim function \[32\], which has been listed in Appendix A. These coupling constants will receive contributions coming from the non-universal gauge boson \(Z'\) and the scalars \(\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0\).

**A. The contributions of the nonuniversal gauge boson \(Z'\)**

![Penguin diagrams](image)

Figure 1: Penguin diagrams of \(Z'\) contributing to \(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\) in the \(TC2\) model.

In the \(TC2\) model, the non-universal gauge boson \(Z'\) can give corrections to the \(SM\) function \(Y(x)\), which directly determine the coupling constant \(R_A\). The relevant Feyn-
man diagrams have been shown in Fig. 1. In these diagrams, the Goldstone boson $\phi$ is introduced by the ’t Hooft-Feynman gauge, which can cancel the divergence in self-energy diagrams. Because the couplings of $Z'WW$, $Z'\phi\phi$ and $Z'W\phi$ do not exist in the $TC^2$ model, the diagrams that including the above couplings are not present. The small interference effects between $Z'$ and $Z$ are not considered here. In this situation, the function $Y^{TC}(x_t)$ for $l = e, \mu$ is obtained as follows:

$$Y^{TC}(x_t) = -\frac{\tan^2\theta' M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} (C_{ab}(x_t) + C_c(x_t) + C_d(x_t)), \quad (12)$$

Here $x_t = m_t^2/M_W^2$. The factor $-\tan^2\theta'$ does not exist for the decay process $B_s \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ which can be seen from Eq. (8). The formations of $C_{ab}(x_t)$, $C_c(x_t)$ and $C_d(x_t)$ can be easily obtained in the framework of the $TC^2$ model using the method in Reference [32].

The detailed expression forms of these functions are listed in the Appendix B.

The non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ has $FC$ coupling with fermions as shown in Eq. (7), the tree level Feynman diagram contributing to the decay processes $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ has been shown in Fig. 2. The contributions can be obtained by directly calculating Fig. 2 using the standard method in Reference [29], and the $B_s$ width can be written as:

$$\Gamma(B_s \to l^+ l^-) = \frac{1}{4608\pi} f_{B_s}^2 m_{B_s} m_t^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_t^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} \delta_{bs}^2 \cot^2 \theta' X^2(\theta') \left( \frac{g_1}{M_{Z'}} \right)^4, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\delta_{bs} = D_{L L}^{bb} D_{L L}^{bs*} + 2 D_{R R}^{bb} D_{R R}^{bs*}. \quad (14)$$

$X(\theta') = \cot \theta'$ for $l = \tau$, and $X(\theta') = \tan \theta'$ for $l = e$ and $\mu$. $f_{B_s}$ is the decay constant of $B_s$ meson.
B. The contributions of the scalars \((\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h^0_t)\)

Figure 3: Scalar particles contributing to \(B_s \to l^+l^-\) in the TC2 model.

The scalars predicted by the TC2 model give contributions to the decay processes \(B_s \to l^+l^-\) through corrections to the coupling constants in Eq. (10) and Eq. (11). The relevant Feynman diagrams are displayed in Fig. 3 in which (a) shows the contributions of neutral top-Higgs \(h_t^0\) and top-pion \(\pi_t^0\) to the couplings \(R_S\) and \(R_P\), respectively; (b), (c) and (d) show the contributions of the charged top-pions \(\pi_t^\pm\) to the coupling \(R_A\). The expression of the coefficient \(R_S\) can be written as:

\[
R_S = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_w^2 - F_{\pi}^2}}{\nu_w} \left( \frac{m_b^2 m_t m_{\nu}}{2 \sqrt{2} \sin^2 \theta_w F_{\pi} m_{h_t^0}^2} C(x_t) + \frac{V_{ts} m_t m^*_t M_W^2}{4 \sqrt{2} \nu g^2_2 F_{\pi}^3 m_{h_t^0}^2} C(x_s) \right). \tag{15}
\]

Here \(x_s = m_t^* / M_S^2\), \(M_S\) is the mass of the top-pions and \(g_2\) is the SU(2) coupling constant. \(C(x_t)\) is the Inami-Lim function in the SM \[32\]. Since the neutral top-Higgs coupling with
fermions is different from that of neutral top-pion by only a factor of $\gamma_5$, the expression of $R_P$ is same as that of $R_S$ except only for the masses of the scalar particles. In our numerical estimation, we will take $m_{sR} = m_{hR} = M_S$. In this case, $R_P = R_S$.

The charged top-pions $\pi^\pm$ give contributions to the $SM$ function $Y(x)$ via the diagrams (b), (c) and (d) in Fig.3 the expression of the function $Y_{TC}(x_a)$ can be written as:

$$Y_{TC}(x_a) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_\pi^2} \left[ -\frac{x_{sR}^3}{8(1-x_a)} - \frac{x_{sR}^3}{8(1-x_a)^2} \ln x_a \right].$$ \hfill (16)

**C. Numerical results**

The branching ratios of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ can be written as:

$$Br(B_s \to l^+ l^-) = a_s \left[ 2m_l R_A - \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_P \right]^2 + \left( 1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) \left[ \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_S \right]^2,$$ \hfill (17)

where

$$a_s = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{64\pi^3} |V_{ts}^* V_{tb}|^2 \tau_{B_s} f_{B_s}^2 m_{B_s} \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2}}.$$ \hfill (18)

Here $\tau_{B_s}$ is the lifetime of $B_s$.

The longitudinal polarization asymmetry of the final leptons in $B_s \to l^+ l^-$ is defined as follows:

$$A_{LP}^\pm \equiv \frac{\Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+})}{\Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+}) + \Gamma(s_{l^-} - s_{l^+})},$$ \hfill (19)

$s_{l^\pm}$ are defined into one direction in dilepton rest frame as $(0, \pm \frac{p_{l^\pm}}{|p_{l^\pm}|})$. For only one direction, there are no difference between the final leptons, thus there is $A_{LP}^+ = A_{LP}^- \equiv A_{LP}$.

Then the $A_{LP}$ can be written as:

$$A_{LP}(B_s \to l^+ l^-) = 2\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_l^2}{m_{B_s}^2}} Re \left[ \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_S \left( 2m_l R_A - \frac{m_{B_s}^2}{m_b + m_s} R_P \right) \right].$$ \hfill (20)

$A_{LP}^{SM}(B_s \to l^+ l^-) \approx 0$ because $R_S \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ in the $SM$.

Before giving numerical results, we need to specify the relevant $SM$ parameters. These parameters have mainly been shown in Table I. We take the coupling constant $K_1$, the
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
\(G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}\) & \(m_{B_s} = 5.366 \text{ GeV}\) \\
\(\alpha = 7.297 \times 10^{-3}\) & \(m_B = 5.279 \text{ GeV}\) \\
\(\tau_{B_s} = (1.437^{+0.031}_{-0.030}) \times 10^{-12} \text{s}\) & \(V_{tb} = 1.0\) \\
\(\tau_{B_d} = 1.53 \times 10^{-12} \text{s}\) & \(V_{ts} = (40.6 \pm 2.7) \times 10^{-3}\) \\
\(m_\mu = 0.105 \text{ GeV}\) & \(f_{B_s} = (0.259 \pm 0.027) \text{ GeV}^{33}\) \\
\(M_W = 80.425(38) \text{ GeV}\) & \(\sin^2 \theta_w = 0.23120(15)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Numerical inputs used in our analysis. Unless explicitly specified, they are taken from the Particle Data Group \cite{34}.}
\end{table}

model dependent parameter \(\varepsilon\), the mass of non-universal gauge boson \(M_{Z'}\) and the mass of scalars \(M_S\) as free parameters in our numerical estimation. The value of \(M_S\) remains subject to large uncertainty \cite{20}. However, it has been shown that its value is generally allowed to be in the range of a few hundred GeV depending on the models \cite{21}. In our numerical estimation, we will assume that \(M_S\) is in the range of 200GeV \(\sim\) 500GeV. The lower bounds on \(M_{Z'}\) can be obtained from dijet and dilepton production in the Tevatron experiments \cite{22} or \(B\bar{B}\) mixing \cite{23}. However, these bounds are significantly weaker than those from the precision electroweak data. Reference \cite{24} has shown that, to fit the precision electroweak data, the \(Z'\) mass \(M_{Z'}\) must be larger than 1 \(\text{TeV}\). In our numerical estimation, we will assume that the values of the free parameters \(\varepsilon, K_1\) and \(M_{Z'}\) are in the range of 0.03 \(\sim\) 0.1, 0 \(\sim\) 1 and 1000 GeV \(\sim\) 2000 GeV, respectively.

First we give our numerical results of the decay processes \(B_s \to l^+l^-\) induced by the non-universal gauge boson \(Z'\). The branching ratios of \(B_s \to l^+l^-\) are plotted in Fig.4 as function of the mass parameter \(M_{Z'}\) for \(K_1 = 0.4\) and 0.8, in which we have multiplied the factors \(10^7\) and \(10^3\) to the values of \(Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)\) and \(Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)\), respectively. From these figures one can see that the values of \(Br(B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-)\) are sensitive to the
Figure 4: The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_{Z'}$
for $K_1 = 0.4$ (a) and $K_1 = 0.8$ (b).

mass of $Z'$, they increase as the mass parameter $M_{Z'}$ decreasing. For $l = e, \mu$, the
values of their branching ratios are not so sensitive to the parameter $M_{Z'}$. Because the
contributions of $Z'$ to $Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)$ and $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ are small relative to the SM
contributions. The values of the corresponding branching ratios are both below $\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$
which are not easy to be observed in current collider experiments. The contributions of
$Z'$ to the branching ratio of the decay $B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-$ are large, since the non-universal gauge
boson $Z'$ has large couplings to the third generation fermion with respect to the first two
generations, it can make the branching ratio value reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-6})$ with reasonable values
of the free parameters.

The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ contributed by the scalars ($\pi^0_{L}^{\pm}$ and $h^0_0$) are plotted
in Fig 5 as function of the mass parameter $M_S$ for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08, in which we have
multiplied the factors $10^7$ and $10^2$ to the values of $Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)$ and $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$,
respectively. It is obvious that the values of the branching ratios for these decays increase
as the parameter $M_S$ decreasing. Furthermore, the enhancement to the branching ratio
of the decay process $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ is larger than that of the $Z'$ contributions by an order
of magnitude.
Figure 5: The branching ratios of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_S$ for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

Figure 6: The longitudinal polarization asymmetry in $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_S$ for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).
The value of $Br(B_s \to e^+e^-)$ is smaller than that of $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ by five orders of magnitude, which is because it is suppressed by $m_e^2/m_{\mu}^2$ with respect to $\mu$ channel. The branching ratio for $\tau^+\tau^-$ mode is enhanced by a factor of $10^2$ to $\mu$ channel, its value can reach $O(10^{-6})$ by our calculation. However, the $\tau^+\tau^-$ channel is still not easy to be observed under present experimental precision, while the current experimental upper limit for $Br(B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-)$ from the BARBAR collaboration is $4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ at 90% C.L. [35]. So the experimental searches for $B_s \to l^+l^-$ have focused on the $\mu$ channel, and we only discuss this channel. Comparing with the SM prediction $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 3.86 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-9}$ [1], the contributions of the new scalars predicted by the TC2 model can enhance this value by one order of magnitude, so our results are more approach to the experimental data given by Eq. (1).

Obviously, the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ has no contributions to the $SPNP$ operators, so it was not considered in this subsection. The longitudinal polarization asymmetry $A_{LP}$ contributed by the new scalars predicted by the TC2 model as function of the parameter $M_S$ are plotted in Fig.6. From these figures one can see that the $A_{LP}$ is sensitive to the mass of the scalars, especially for $l = \mu, \tau$, however it is less sensitive to the parameter $\varepsilon$. The values of the asymmetry $A_{LP}$ can reach nearly 4% for $l = \mu, \tau$ when the mass of the scalars get to 200 GeV.

**IV. The contributions of the TC2 model to the rare decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$**

The effective Hamilton for the decay $B \to Kl^+l^-$ is similar to that of $B_s \to l^+l^-$ as shown in Eq. (21), which is constituted by two parts. The $SPNP$ part is same as the expression shown in Eq. (11). In the framework of the TC2 model, The $H_0$ part can be written as [31]:

$$H_0 = \frac{\alpha G_F}{\sqrt{2} \pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left\{ C_9^{\text{eff}} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu l + C_{10}^{\text{eff}} (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_L b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 l \right. - 2 C_{7}^{\text{eff}} m_b (\bar{s} i\sigma_{\mu \nu} q^\nu P_R b) \bar{l}\gamma_\mu l \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Here $q_\mu$ is the sum of 4-momenta of $l^+$ and $l^-$. The Wilson coefficients $C_7^{\text{eff}}, C_9^{\text{eff}}$ and $C_{10}$ contain two parts of contributions from the SM and the TC2 model.
Similar to the decay processes $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^−$, the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ give contributions to the Wilson coefficients $C_9^{\text{eff}}$ and $C_{10}$, the relevant Feynman diagrams are same as Fig.1 and the relevant functions $Y^{tc}(x_t)$ and $Z^{tc}(x_t)$ have same expressions as shown in Eq. (12).

The charged top-pions $\pi^\pm_t$ can give contributions to the Wilson coefficients $C_7^{\text{eff}}$ and $C_9^{\text{eff}}$. The relevant Feynman diagrams are similar to Fig.3. However, these penguin diagrams are induced by $\gamma$ penguins, gluon penguins and chromomagnetic penguins. The coefficients $C_7^{\text{eff}}$ and $C_9^{\text{eff}}$ can be expressed in terms of the corresponding functions $D_1(x_s)$, $E_1(x_s)$ and $E'_{1}(x_s)$, which are added to the corresponding SM functions $D_0(x_t)$, $E_0(x_t)$ and $E'_{0}(x_t)$ [32]. The detailed expression forms of these functions are [36]:

$$D_1(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_{\pi}} \left( \frac{47 - 79x + 38x^2}{108(1-x)^3} + \frac{3 - 6x^2 + 4x^3}{18(1-x)^4} \ln(x) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)  

$$E_1(x) = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}G_F F_{\pi}} \left( \frac{7 - 29x + 16x^2}{36(1-x)^3} - \frac{3x^2 - 2x^3}{6(1-x)^4} \ln(x) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)  

$$E'_{1}(x) = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}G_F F_{\pi}} \left( \frac{5 - 19x + 20x^2}{6(1-x)^3} - \frac{x^2 - 2x^3}{(1-x)^4} \ln(x) \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)  

We can obtain the corrected Wilson coefficients $C_7^{\text{eff}}$, $C_9^{\text{eff}}$ and $C_{10}$ with these corrected functions using the relevant expressions of these coefficients in References [10], [36], which are listed in Appendix C. The neutral top-pion $\pi^0_t$ and top-Higgs $h^0_t$ can also give contributions to these decay processes through the SPNP operators, and the expression forms of $R_S \ (R_P)$ are same as those shown in Eq. (15).

The branching ratios $Br(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^−) \ (l = e, \mu \ and \ \tau)$ contributed by the gauge boson $Z'$ are plotted in Fig.7 as a function of the mass parameter $M_{Z'}$ for two values of $K_1$, in which we have multiplied the factor $10^{-1}$ and $10^{-2}$ to the branching ratios of decays $B \rightarrow K_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ and $B \rightarrow K_{\tau^+\tau^-}$ respectively. From this figure one can see that the values of the branching ratios for $l = e, \mu \ and \ \tau$ increase as the parameter $M_{Z'}$ decreasing. However, the branching ratios for $l = e$ are not sensitive to the parameter $M_{Z'}$ as shown in these figures. The values of the branching ratios for $l = e$ and $\mu$ are not sensitive to the parameter $K_1$. For $K_1 = 0.4$ and $1000\text{GeV} \leq M_{Z'} \leq 2000\text{GeV}$, the values of $Br(B \rightarrow Ke^+e^-)$ and $Br(B \rightarrow K_{\mu^+\mu^-})$ are in the range of $6.1 \times 10^{-8} \sim 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ and
$K_1 = 0.4$ (a) and $K_1 = 0.8$ (b).

For $K_1 = 0.4$ (a) and $K_1 = 0.8$ (b).

The branching ratios of the decay processes $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ contributed by the scalars $(\pi^0_t, h^0_t)$ are plotted in Fig.8 as function of the mass parameter $M_S$ for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and $0.08$, in which we have multiplied the factors $10^{-1}$ to the branching ratio of $B \rightarrow K\mu^+\mu^-$. From these figures, one can see that the values of the branching ratios of these decay processes increase as the parameter $M_S$ decreasing. All of their values are not sensitive to the parameter $\varepsilon$. The contributions of the scalars for $l = e$ and $\mu$ are comparable to those of the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$, the values of the branching ratios of $B \rightarrow Ke^+e^-$ and $B \rightarrow K\mu^+\mu^-$ contributed by both the scalars and the non-universal gauge boson can reach $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$, which give an explanation to the deviation between the experimental data and the $SM$ predictions in Reference [8]. While the scalar’s contribution to the decay process $B \rightarrow K\tau^+\tau^-$ is smaller than that of the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ by two order of magnitude and therefore can be neglected. When the $Z'$ mass is in the range of $1000 \text{ GeV} \sim 2000 \text{ GeV}$, the values of $Br(B \rightarrow K\tau^+\tau^-)$ are in the range of $7.0 \times 10^{-6} \sim 1.7 \times 10^{-6}$. This result is 2 orders of magnitude larger than the $e$ and $\mu$ channel, which is because of the large coupling of $Z'$ to the third generation fermions.
Figure 8: The branching ratios of $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ as function of the parameter $M_S$ for 
$\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

The normalized forward-backward (FB) asymmetry can be defined as [4]:

$$A_{FB}(z) = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} dcos\theta \frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dzdcos\theta} - \int_{-1}^{0} dcos\theta \frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dzdcos\theta}}{\int_{0}^{1} dcos\theta \frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dzdcos\theta} + \int_{-1}^{0} dcos\theta \frac{d^{2}\Gamma}{dzdcos\theta}}. \quad (25)$$

After the integral calculation of FB asymmetry gives,

$$\langle A_{FB} \rangle = \frac{2\tau_B \Gamma_0 \hat{m}_l \beta^2 \mu S \int dz a_1(z) \phi(1,k^2,z)}{Br(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-)}, \quad (26)$$

where $\tau_B$ is the lifetime of $B$ meson and $Br(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-)$ is the total branching ratio of $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$ and $\Gamma_0$ is the total width of the $B$ meson, which can be written as:

$$\Gamma_0 = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{2^{9 \pi^5}} |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2 m_B^5, \quad (27)$$

$$a_1(z) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - k^2) C_9 f_0(z) f_+(z) + (1 - k) C_7 f_0(z) f_T(z). \quad (28)$$

Other relevant functions such as $\phi(1,k^2,z)$ are listed in Appendix C. The form factors
Figure 9: In the TC2 model, the forward-backward asymmetry in $B \to Kl^+l^-$ as function of $M_S$ for the parameter $\varepsilon = 0.04$ (a) and $\varepsilon = 0.08$ (b).

$f_+, f_0$ and $f_T$ are defined in the relevant matrix elements as:

\[
\langle K(p') | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu b | B(p) \rangle = (2p - q)_\mu f_+(z) + \left(\frac{1 - k^2}{z}\right) q_\mu [f_0(z) - f_+(z)], \quad (29)
\]

\[
\langle K(p') | \bar{s} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu b | B(p) \rangle = -\left[ (2p - q)_\mu q^2 - (m_B^2 - m_K^2) q_\mu \right] \frac{f_T(z)}{m_B + m_K} , \quad (30)
\]

\[
\langle K(p') | \bar{s} b | B(p) \rangle = \frac{m_B (1 - k^2)}{\hat{m}_b} f_0(z) . \quad (31)
\]

Here, $k \equiv m_K/m_B$, $z \equiv q^2/m_B^2$ and $\hat{m}_b \equiv m_b/m_B$. The form factors $f_+, f_0$ and $f_T$ can be calculated by using the light cone QCD approach. Their particular forms can be found in Reference [31]. In this paper, we assume $\hat{m}_b = 1$.

The production of the $FB$ asymmetries are only sensitive to $SPNP$ operators. From Eq. (26), one can see that the non-universal gauge boson $Z'$ has no contribution to the $FB$ asymmetry, so we only discuss the contributions coming from the scalars ($\pi^0_t, h^0_t$).

The $FB$ asymmetry $A_{FB}$ of leptons in the decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$ are plotted in Fig.9 as function of the parameter $M_S$ for $\varepsilon = 0.04$ and 0.08, in which we have multiplied the factors $10^5$ and 10 to the value of $A_{FB}(B \to Ke^+e^-)$ and $A_{FB}(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-)$ respectively. From this figure one can see that the value of $A_{FB}$ is smaller than $O(10^{-3})$ in most
of the parameter spaces. Comparing its experimental measurement value, this value is not large enough to be observed in experiments. One can see that the contributions of the TC2 model to the FB asymmetry in these decay processes are smaller than those of the SUSY models. Considering the uncertainty in measurements, it is very difficult to detect the signals of the TC2 model through measuring the FB asymmetry about these decay processes.

V. The contributions of the LHT model to the rare decay processes \( b \to s l^+ l^- \)

The LHT model \cite{14} is based on an \( SU(5)/SO(5) \) global symmetry breaking pattern. A subgroup \([SU(2) \times U(1)]_1 \times [SU(2) \times U(1)]_2 \) of the \( SU(5) \) global symmetry is gauged, and at the scale \( f \) it is broken into the SM electroweak symmetry \( SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \). T-parity is an automorphism which exchanges the \([SU(2) \times U(1)]_1 \) and \([SU(2) \times U(1)]_2 \) gauge symmetries. The T-even combinations of the gauge fields are the SM electroweak gauge bosons \( W^a_\mu \) and \( B_\mu \). The T-odd combinations are T-parity partners of the SM electroweak gauge bosons.

After taking into account EW SB, at the order of \( \nu^2/f^2 \), the masses of the T-odd set of the \( SU(2) \times U(1) \) gauge bosons are given as:

\[
M_{B_H} = \frac{g' f}{\sqrt{5}} [1 - \frac{5 \nu^2}{8f^2}], \quad M_{Z_H} \approx M_{W_H} = \frac{g f}{1 - \frac{\nu^2}{8f^2}},
\]

where \( f \) is the scale parameter of the gauge symmetry breaking of the LHT model. \( g' \) is the \( SM \) \( U(1)_Y \) gauge coupling constants. Because of the smallness of \( g' \), the T-odd gauge boson \( B_H \) is the lightest T-odd particle, which can be seen as an attractive dark matter candidate \cite{37}. To avoid severe constraints and simultaneously implement T-parity, it is necessary to double the SM fermion doublet spectrum \cite{14, 38}. The T-even combination is associated with the \( SU(2)_L \) doublet, while the T-odd combination is its T-parity partner. The masses of the T-odd fermions can be written in a unified manner as:

\[
M_{F_i} = \sqrt{2} k_i f,
\]

where \( k_i \) are the eigenvalues of the mass matrix \( k \) and their values are generally dependent on the fermion species \( i \).
The mirror fermions (T-odd quarks and T-odd leptons) have new flavor violating interactions with the SM fermions mediated by the new gauge bosons \((B_H, W_H^\pm, \text{or } Z_H)\), which are parametrized by four CKM-like unitary mixing matrices, two for mirror quarks and two for mirror leptons [39, 40]:

\[
V_{Hu}, \ V_{Hd}, \ V_{Hi}, \ V_{H\nu}. \quad (34)
\]

They satisfy:

\[
V_{Hu}^+ V_{Hd} = V_{CKM}, \ V_{H\nu}^+ V_{Hi} = V_{PMNS}. \quad (35)
\]

Where the CKM matrix \(V_{CKM}\) is defined through flavor mixing in the down-type quark sector, while the PMNS matrix \(V_{PMNS}\) is defined through neutrino mixing.

The contributions of the LHT model to the rare decay processes \(b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-\) are mainly coming from the corrections to the Wilson coefficients, which related to the SM Inami-Lim functions [32]. The branching ratios of the decay processes \(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-\) in the SM depend on a function \(Y_{SM}\) and the LHT effects enter through the modification of the function \(Y_{SM}\) [39]. With the LHT effects \(Y_{SM}\) is replaced by [15]:

\[
Y_s = Y_{SM} + \frac{\bar{Y}^{even}}{\lambda_t^{(s)}}, \quad (36)
\]

where \(\bar{Y}^{even}\) and \(\bar{Y}^{odd}\) represent the effects from T-even and T-odd particles, respectively.

The branching ratios normalized to the SM predictions are then given by:

\[
\frac{Br(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-)}{Br(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-)_{SM}} = \left| \frac{Y_s}{Y_{SM}} \right|^2, \quad (37)
\]

which \(Br(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-)_{SM}\) are the branching ratios predicted by the SM. Their particular numerical values of the branching ratios for the decay processes \(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-\) in the LHT model are listed as follows:

\[
Br(B_s \rightarrow e^+ e^-) = (1.36 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-13}, \quad (38)
\]
\[
Br(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (5.79 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-9}, \quad (39)
\]
\[
Br(B_s \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-) = (1.23 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-6}. \quad (40)
\]
The branching ratios of the decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$ in the SM depend on the functions $Y_{SM}$, $Z_{SM}$ and $D'_0(x_t)$ ($D'_0(x_t)$ is same as in $B \to X_s\gamma$ [15]), the LHT effects enter through the modification of these functions. The modifications of the function $Y_{SM}$ has been given above, and the modifications of the function $Z_{SM}$ is given by [15, 39]:

$$Z_s = Z_{SM} + \tilde{Z}_{even}^{s} + \frac{\tilde{Z}_{odd}^{s}}{\lambda_t^{(s)}}, (41)$$

where $\tilde{Z}_{even}^{s}$ and $\tilde{Z}_{odd}^{s}$ represent the effects coming from T-even and T-odd particles, respectively. Similar with Sec. IV, we can calculate the contributions of the LHT model to the decay processes $B \to Kl^+l^-$. With reasonable values of the free parameters in the framework of the LHT model, the maximum values of the branching ratios for the rare decays $B \to Kl^+l^-$ are:

$$Br(B \to Ke^+e^-) = 9.66 \times 10^{-6},$$

$$Br(B \to K\mu^+\mu^-) = 6.56 \times 10^{-6},$$

$$Br(B \to K\tau^+\tau^-) = 2.99 \times 10^{-7}.$$  

(42)  
(43)  
(44)

These numerical results are obtained by calculating the relative correction to the SM predictions in the framework of the LHT model, while the SM predictions exist the uncertainty coming from the next-to-leading logarithmic (NLO) contributions and the long-distance contributions, for which the Br$(B \to Kl^+l^-)$ are a little disparity away from their respective experimental upper limits [41]. However, there is no disagreement with experiment in some parameter ranges while the corrected effects is no more than 15 percent.

The contributions of the LHT model to the asymmetry observables $A_{FB}$ and $A_{LP}$ in the rare decay processes $b \to sl^+l^-$ mainly come from the new neutral scalar particles. For the $B_s$ meson, there is an unitarity relation of the $V_{Hd}$ matrix [39]:

$$\xi_1^{(s)} + \xi_2^{(s)} + \xi_3^{(s)} = 0,$$  

(45)

where $\xi_i^{(s)} = V_{Hd}^* V_{Hd}^{(s)}$. Considering this relation, the calculations of the relevant Feynman diagrams similar to Fig.3 equal to zero. Hence, in the framework of the LHT model, the
total contributions induced by the neutral scalars equal to zero. The contributions to the \( A_{FB} \) and \( A_{LP} \) is close to the predictions in the SM.

VI. Conclusions

The SM is a very successful theory but it can only be an effective theory below some high energy scales. To completely avoid the problems arising from the elementary Higgs field in the SM, various kinds of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking models have been proposed, among which the topcolor scenario is attractive because it can explain the large top quark mass and provide a possible EWSB mechanism. The TC2 model has all essential features of the topcolor scenario. It is expected that the possible signals of the TC2 model should be detected in the future high energy collider experiments.

In this paper we consider the contributions of the TC2 model to observables related to the decay processes \( B_s \rightarrow l^+l^- \) and \( B \rightarrow Kl^+l^- \). We find that the TC2 model can enhance the branching ratios of the SM predictions for these decay processes \( B_s \rightarrow l^+l^- \) and \( B \rightarrow Kl^+l^- \). In wide ranges of the free parameter space, it is possible to enhance the values of \( Br(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-) \) and \( Br(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-) \) by one order of magnitude. In the TC2 model, the non-universal gauge boson \( Z' \) gives main contributions to \( Br(B_s \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-) \), while the contributions of \( Z' \) to \( Br(B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-) \) and \( Br(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \) are comparable with those of the new scalars \( (\pi_t^{0,\pm}, h_t^0) \). For the decay processes \( B \rightarrow Ke^{+}e^{-} \) and \( B \rightarrow K\mu^{+}\mu^{-} \), the contributions of \( Z' \) are comparable with those of the scalars. While the contributions of the TC2 model to \( Br(B \rightarrow K\tau^{+}\tau^{-}) \) mainly come from \( Z' \).

The production of the asymmetries are only sensitive to SPNP operators, so there are no contributions of \( Z' \) to the relevant observables. We further calculate the contributions of the new scalars predicted by the TC2 model to the asymmetry observables \( A_{FB} \) and \( A_{LP} \) of leptons in the decay processes \( B_s \rightarrow l^+l^- \) and \( B \rightarrow Kl^+l^- \). Our numerical results show that, when the mass of the scalars gets to 200GeV, the values of the asymmetry \( A_{LP} \) in the decay processes \( B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \) and \( B_s \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \) can reach 4\% . We hope that the values of \( A_{LP} \) for \( l = \mu, \tau \) can approach the detectability threshold of the near future experiments. However, the contributions of these new scalars to \( A_{FB} \) are around \( \mathcal{O}(10^{-4}) \) in most of the parameter space, which are not large enough to be detected.
The $LHT$ model is one of the attractive little Higgs models, which satisfies the electroweak precision data in most of the parameter space. This model can produce rich phenomenology at present and in future high energy experiments. New particles predicted by this model give contributions to the branching ratios of the rare decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$. Reference [15] has shown that, comparing with their $SM$ predictions, the branching ratios of the decay processes $B_s \to l^+l^-$ and $B \to Kl^+l^-$ can be enhanced by at most 50% and 15%, respectively. For comparison, we give a brief description and particular numerical results about these rare decays. In addition, we show that the neutral scalars predicted by this model can not give contributions to the asymmetry observables $A_{FB}$ and $A_{LP}$.

In conclusion, the effects of the $TC2$ model on the branching ratios and asymmetry observables related to the rare decay processes $b \to sl^+l^-$ can give positive contributions to the $SM$ predictions. The numerical results show that the branching ratios for these decays are much close to the experimental data, such as $Br(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$. The value of $Br(B \to K\tau^+\tau^-)$ is larger than the $SM$ prediction by one order of magnitude, which is hoped to be observed in the future high accuracy experiments, or the future experimental results may give constraints on the free parameters of the $TC2$ model. Hence, it is indicated that the possible signals of the $TC2$ model may be observed through the above decay processes in future experiments.
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**Appendix**

A. Relevant functions in the $SM$
In this Appendix we list the functions in the \( SM \) that entered the present study of rare \( B \) decays.

\[
Y_{SM}^{\text{SM}}(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left[ \frac{x - 4}{x - 1} + \frac{3x}{(x - 1)^2} \ln x \right],
\]

\[
Z_{SM}^{\text{SM}}(x_t) = -\frac{1}{9} \ln x_t + \frac{18x_t^4 - 163x_t^3 + 259x_t^2 - 108x_t}{144(x_t - 1)^3}
\]
\[
+ \frac{32x_t^4 - 38x_t^3 - 15x_t^2 + 18x_t}{72(x_t - 1)^4} \ln x_t,
\]

\[
D_0(y) = -\frac{4}{9} \ln y + \frac{-19y^3 + 25y^2}{36(y - 1)^4} + \frac{y^3(5y^2 - 2y - 6)}{18(y - 1)^4} \ln y,
\]

\[
E_0(y) = -\frac{2}{3} \ln y + \frac{y^2(15 - 16y + 4y^2)}{6(y - 1)^4} \ln y + \frac{y(18 - 11y - y^2)}{12(1 - y)^3},
\]

\[
D'_0(y) = -\frac{(3y^3 - 2y^2)}{2(y - 1)^4} \ln y + \frac{(8y^3 + 5y^2 - 7y)}{12(y - 1)^3},
\]

\[
E'_0(y) = -\frac{3y^2}{2(y - 1)^4} \ln y + \frac{(y^3 - 5y^2 - 2y)}{4(y - 1)^3},
\]

**B. Relevant functions in the TC2 model**

In this Appendix we list the functions that entered the present study of rare \( B \) decays in the framework of the TC2 model.

\[
C_{ab}(x) = -\frac{2g^2c_w^2F_1(x)}{3g_2^2(v_d + a_d)},
\]

\[
C_c(x) = \frac{2f^2c_w^2}{g_2^2} \left( \frac{2F_2(x)}{3(v_u + a_u)} + \frac{F_3(x)}{6(v_u - a_u)} \right),
\]

\[
C_d(x) = \frac{2f^2c_w^2}{g_2^2} \left( \frac{2F_4(x)}{3(v_u + a_u)} + \frac{F_5(x)}{6(v_u - a_u)} \right),
\]

\[
C(x) = -\frac{F_1(x)}{(0.5(Q - 1)s_w^2 + 0.25)}.
\]

Here the variables are defined as: \( g = \sqrt{4\pi K_1}, v_{u,d} = I_3 - 2Q_{u,d}s_w^2, s_w = \sin \theta_w, a_{u,d} = I_3, \)
where $u, d$ represent the up and down type quarks, respectively.

\[
F_1(x) = -(0.5(Q-1) s_w^2 + 0.25)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 - x/(x-1) - x(0.5(-0.5772 \\
+ \ln(4\pi) - \ln(M_W^2))) + 0.75 - 0.5(x^2 \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 - 1/(x-1)))),
\]

\[
F_2(x) = (0.5Qs_w^2 - 0.25)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 - 2x \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 + x/(x-1)),
\]

\[
F_3(x) = -Qs_w^2(x/(x-1) - x \ln(x)/(x-1)^2),
\]

\[
F_4(x) = 0.25(4s_w^2/3 - 1)(x^2 \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 - x - x/(x-1)),
\]

\[
F_5(x) = -0.25Qs_w^2x(-0.5772 + \ln(4\pi) - \ln(M_W^2) + 1 - x \ln(x)/(x-1)) \\
- s_w^2/6(x^2 \ln(x)/(x-1)^2 - x - x/(x-1)).
\]

### C. Relevant expressions in our calculation

In this Appendix we list the functions that entered the present study of rare $B$ decays and some expressions of the relevant coefficients.

\[
M(B \to Kl^+l^-) = \frac{\alpha G_F}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb}V_{ts}^* \\
\times \left[ \langle K(p') | \bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} b | B(p) \rangle \left\{ C_9^{\text{eff}} \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_{\mu} v(p_-) + C_{10}^{\text{eff}} \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 v(p_-) \right\} - 2 \frac{C_7^{\text{eff}}}{q^2} m_b \langle K(p') | \bar{s} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu b | B(p) \rangle \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_{\mu} v(p_-) \+ \langle K(p') | \bar{s} b | B(p) \rangle \left\{ R_S \bar{u}(p_+) v(p_-) + R_P \bar{u}(p_+) \gamma_5 v(p_-) \right\} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 \Gamma}{dz d\cos \theta} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{2\pi^5} |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2 m_B \phi^{1/2}(1, k^2, z) \beta_{\mu} \\
\times \left[ \left( |A|^2 \beta_{\mu}^2 + |B|^2 \right) z + \frac{1}{4} \phi(1, k^2, z) \left( |C|^2 + |D|^2 \right) (1 - \beta_{\mu}^2 \cos^2 \theta) \+ 2 \hat{m}_t (1 - k^2 + z) \text{Re}(BC^*) + 4 \hat{m}_t^2 |C|^2 \+ 2 \hat{m}_t \phi^{1/2}(1, k^2, z) \beta_{\mu} \text{Re}(AD^*) \cos \theta \right],
\]

25
\[
A \equiv \frac{1}{2} (1 - k^2) f_0(z) R_S , \\
B \equiv -\tilde{m}_t C_{10} \left\{ f_+(z) - \frac{1 - k^2}{z} (f_0(z) - f_+(z)) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - k^2) f_0(z) R_P , \\
C \equiv C_{10} f_+(z) , \\
D \equiv C_{9}^{eff} f_+(z) + 2 C_{7}^{eff} \frac{f_T(z)}{1 + k} , \\
\phi(1, k^2, z) \equiv 1 + k^4 + z^2 - 2(k^2 + k^2 z + z) , \\
\beta_\mu \equiv (1 - \frac{4\tilde{m}_l^2}{z}) .
\]

In place of $C_7$, one defines an effective coefficient $C_7^{(0)eff}$ which is renormalization scheme independent \[42\]:

\[
C_7^{(0)eff}(\mu_b) = \eta^{\frac{4n_f}{3}} C_7^{(0)}(\mu_W) + \frac{8}{3} (\eta^{\frac{4n_f}{3}} - \eta^{\frac{4n_f}{3}}) C_8^{(0)}(\mu_W) + C_2^{(0)}(\mu_W) \sum_{i=1}^{8} h_i \eta^{\alpha_i}
\]

where $\eta = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_W)}{\alpha_s(\mu_b)}$, and

\[
C_2^{(0)}(\mu_W) = 1, \quad C_7^{(0)}(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2} D'(x_t), \quad C_8^{(0)}(\mu_W) = -\frac{1}{2} E'(x_t);
\]

the superscript (0) stays for leading logarithm approximation, which is not displayed in the text. Furthermore:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{14}{23} & \alpha_2 &= \frac{16}{23} & \alpha_3 &= \frac{6}{23} & \alpha_4 &= -\frac{12}{23} \\
\alpha_5 &= 0.4086 & \alpha_6 &= -0.4230 & \alpha_7 &= -0.8994 & \alpha_8 &= -0.1456 \\
h_1 &= 2.996 & h_2 &= -1.0880 & h_3 &= -\frac{3}{7} & h_4 &= -\frac{1}{14} \\
h_5 &= -0.649 & h_6 &= -0.0380 & h_7 &= -0.0185 & h_8 &= -0.0057.
\end{align*}
\]

In the Naive dimensional regularization (NDR) scheme one has

\[
C_9(\mu) = P_0^{NDR} + \frac{Y(x_t)}{s^2_w} - 4Z(x_t) + P_E E(x_t)
\]

where $P_0^{NDR} = 2.60 \pm 0.25 \[42\]$ and the last term is numerically negligible.

$C_{10}$ is \(\mu\) independent and is given by

\[
C_{10} = -\frac{Y(x_t)}{s^2_w} .
\]

The normalization scale is fixed to $\mu = \mu_b \simeq 5 \text{ GeV}$.
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