Coping, mood and health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional study in Chinese patients with advanced lung cancer
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ABSTRACT

Objectives The ways patients cope with advanced cancer can influence their health-related quality of life (HRQoL). This study aims to examine the mediating role of positive and negative mood in the relationship between coping and HRQoL in patients with advanced lung cancer.

Methods A consecutive sample of 261 patients (mean age: 59.99±9.53) diagnosed with stage III or IV lung cancer was recruited from the inpatient unit in a hospital that specialises in chest-related disease in Shanghai, China. Participants completed measurements including Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire, Positive and Negative Affect Schedule, and 5-level EuroQol 5-dimension instrument.

Results Although the total effects of confrontation on HRQoL were not significant, competing indirect effects via mood were identified: (1) positive indirect effects through positive mood were found for confrontation on mobility, usual activities, pain/discomfort and overall utility index (indirect effect=0.01, 95% CI 0.003 to 0.03); (2) negative indirect effects through negative mood were found for confrontation on mobility, pain/discomfort, anxiety/depression and overall utility index (indirect effect=0.01, 95% CI −0.03 to −0.001). Resigned acceptance was negatively associated with HRQoL, and indirect effects via mood were identified: (1) negative indirect effects through positive mood were found for resigned acceptance on mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and overall utility index (indirect effect=−0.01, 95% CI −0.03 to −0.003); (2) negative indirect effects through negative mood were found for resigned acceptance on domains of HRQoL and overall utility index (indirect effect=−0.04, 95% CI −0.06 to −0.02).

Conclusions Confronting advanced lung cancer can fuel ambivalent emotional experiences. Nevertheless, accepting the illness in a resigned way can be maladaptive for health outcomes. The findings suggest interventions that facilitate adaptive coping, reduce negative mood and enhance positive mood, as this could help to improve or maintain HRQoL in patients with advanced lung cancer.

INTRODUCTION

During the past decade, lung cancer has become the most common incident cancer in China and in many other countries.1,2 The diagnosis of lung cancer can result in enormous stress for patients and their families, such as symptom burden,3 decisions about treatment options4 and financial concerns.5 The quality of life is significantly affected in patients with an advanced lung cancer.6 The curative treatments are limited for advanced lung cancer, and the 5-year survival rate of lung cancer is 16.1% in China.7 Improving or maintaining quality of life is the main focus of treatment. The prognostic value of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in patients with lung cancer is supported by a range of studies.8,9

Previous research has investigated socio-demographic, clinical and psychosocial correlates of HRQoL in cancer patients.10 Common risk factors identified from previous studies included older age, being female, financial burden and advanced stage.11 Specifically, coping strategy is indicated to contribute to HRQoL in patients with advanced cancer.12,13 The manner in which patients cope with the life-threatening illness...
may affect patients’ emotional state, perceptions of illness and health behaviours, which can have an impact on the treatment course and ultimately health outcomes. Two coping strategies, confrontation and acceptance, have received considerable attention in patients with a life-threatening illness.

Confrontation is defined as a set of coping strategies that involves seeking information and advice from various sources, seeking support from family and friends and engaging in decision making. It is actively oriented, which is indicated to be adaptive for cancer patients and associated with lower negative mood and better quality of life. However, other studies found insignificant associations between confrontation and health outcomes among cancer patients. For instance, Nipp et al. studied 350 patients with incurable lung or gastrointestinal cancer, and found that using active coping was not associated with psychological distress or quality of life. It is suggested that confrontation could direct one’s attention to the disease and its side effects, making it less effective in coping with cancer.

Acceptance is regarded as a strategy to cope with unchangeable or uncontrollable negative events. Resigned acceptance is a form of acceptance, specifically, a passive form of acceptance, in which individuals accept the stressful situation and give up endeavours or hope to deal with it. It is different from the concept of acceptance in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, which is a form of active acceptance and characterised by active embracing of thoughts and feelings without unnecessary attempts to alter them. Research shows that resigned acceptance could be maladaptive, which was associated with less favourable outcomes, such as negative mood and lower quality of life, in cancer patients and survivors.

Confrontation and resigned acceptance may be associated with cultural views of illness in the Chinese cancer population. Confucianism and Taoism are two dominant philosophical tenets in Chinese culture. Confucian beliefs emphasise the importance of life, and death is a taboo and perceived as a negative event. Consistent with this, the majority of patients and their families in China would choose to continue curative treatments to sustain and prolong life until the end of life. On the other hand, cancer and other illness are believed to be an act of Ming (also known as fate) in the Taoist belief system. The fatalistic attitude towards cancer may lead to resigned acceptance, which may affect health outcomes during the illness trajectory.

Although research shows that coping is associated with HRQoL in cancer patients, the mechanism of the link between coping and HRQoL remains largely unexamined in cancer patients. Preliminary exploration suggests that mood may be a potential pathway between coping and health outcomes. Folkman and Greer developed a model of stress and coping for serious illness, and Roberts et al. revised the model based on studies among patients with advanced cancer. The models highlight the association between coping and emotional outcomes in the face of cancer, as the way patients cope with the stressors can influence the emotional outcomes, leading to positive and/or negative emotion. On the other hand, studies indicate that mood is linked to health outcomes (eg, HRQoL) in cancer patients and survivors. Negative and positive mood involves different physiological responses (eg, nervous, endocrine and immune system functioning), which can influence overall physical health. Additionally, the broaden-and-build theory of positive mood indicates that positive mood can broaden one’s attention scope and thought-action repertoires, which could be beneficial for physical health. In contrast, negative mood can fuel a narrowed, socially isolating thought-action tendencies, which could result in poor health outcomes.

In summary, the current study addresses the relationships between coping, mood and HRQoL among patients with advanced lung cancer. Specifically, we examined the effect of confrontation and resigned acceptance coping on positive mood, negative mood and HRQoL. We also tested the mediating role of positive and negative mood in the relationship between coping and HRQoL among Chinese patients with advanced lung cancer.

METHOD
Study setting and participants
Participants were recruited from the inpatient unit of the department of chest-oncology medicine at a public hospital that specialises in chest-related disease in Shanghai, China. The hospital is well recognised for its expertise, resources and treatments for chest-related disease. A large number of patients with lung cancer in east China come to this hospital and receive treatment there. Patients were eligible for the study if they (1) were ≥18 years, (2) had been diagnosed with lung cancer, (3) had an expected survival time >3 months, (4) had no significant cognitive impairment and (5) were able to communicate with interviewers. Studies show that cancer patients may experience a steep decline in HRQoL during the last 3 months of life. Therefore, we purposefully included only those with an expected survival time...
of at least 3 months. Those who could not understand the questions were excluded from the sample. Between June 2016 and July 2016, 328 patients met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled in the study.

Data collection was conducted by trained undergraduates majoring in medicine and public health. Doctors and nurses in the inpatient unit of the department of chest-oncology medicine screened the eligible patients based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, and researchers approached them and introduced the study. Those who agreed to participate in the study provided informed consent. Face-to-face interviews were then conducted. Disease and treatment information was extracted from medical records. In accordance with our study aim, we focused on 267 patients with advanced-stage lung cancer (stage III or IV), and the 261 participants who provided full information on the main study variables (coping, mood and HRQoL) were included in the data analysis. A flowchart of the sample selection procedure is presented in online supplementary figure 1.

Participant and public involvement

The participants were not involved in the design or recruitment process of this study. However, the patients in the department of oncology who worked with the second author (an oncologist) provided insights for the development of the research question. Permission to conduct the study was obtained from relevant hospital authorities and participants.

Measures

Health-related quality of life

The 5-level EuroQoL 5-dimension (EQ-5D-5L) was used to measure HRQoL in this study. It contained five questions to assess five health dimensions, as experienced in the recent days, namely mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. Respondents rated the severity on five levels ranging from 1 (no) to 5 (very severe). In the current study, EQ-5D-5L domain scores and overall utility index were calculated. Domain scores were recoded reversely based on the original levels of each domain, with higher scores indicating better quality in the domain. EQ-5D-5L utility index was calculated based on value sets weighted from a representative sample of the EuroQol Group. To our knowledge, no value sets have been developed in a Chinese representative sample for the calculation of utility index, so in this study, utility index was calculated based on value sets weighted from a representative sample of the English general population. The EQ-5D-5L utility index ranged from −1 to 1, with 1 representing full health, 0 representing a state of death and negative values representing a state worse than death.

Coping

Two subscales of the Chinese version of the Medical Coping Modes Questionnaire (MCMQ) were used to measure confrontation and resigned acceptance coping strategies for lung cancer. MCMQ was developed by Feifel et al among patients with a variety of life-threatening and chronic illnesses, and it is widely used for assessing coping strategies in patients in China. Acceptance-resignation subscale had five items, and confrontation subscale had nine items. Sample items in acceptance-resignation subscale were as follows: ‘there is nothing you can do about your illness’, ‘you don’t care what happens to you’ and ‘I feel there is really no hope for your recovery.’ Sample items in confrontation subscale were as follows: ‘I obtained information through books, magazines, and newspapers in the past several months’, ‘I tend to talk about your illness with friends or relatives’ and ‘I am involved in decisions regarding your treatment.’ In addition to original confrontation subscale with eight items, we added one item assessing how often participants ‘obtained information through the Internet and new media in the past several months, as the Internet and new media have become important sources of information that supplement books, magazines and newspaper. All the items were rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 4 (very often). The mean score of each coping strategy was calculated, with a higher score indicating a higher probability of using that particular coping strategy. The Cronbach’s α coefficients of confrontation and resigned acceptance in this study were 0.72 and 0.71, respectively.

Mood

Mood was measured using the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS). The PANAS contained items to describe 10 positive affects (eg, inspired, excited and determined) and 10 negative affects (eg, afraid, upset and distressed). Respondents rated their experiences of each affect during the past 2 weeks on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very slightly) to 5 (extremely). The mean scores of items on the two subscales were calculated for positive mood and negative mood, respectively. In the current study, the Cronbach’s α coefficients of negative mood and positive mood were 0.91 and 0.86, respectively.

Covariates

Sociodemographic factors included age, gender, education (elementary school or lower, middle school, high school, college or higher) and marital status (married, single, divorced, widowed). Perceived cancer-related financial burden was assessed by the question, ‘Have your disease and treatment caused you and your family financial difficulty?’ and participants answered on a 5-point scale ranging from 0 (No) to 4 (Very much). Clinical factors included time of diagnosis, cancer stage, lung cancer type (adenocarcinoma, squamous, poorly differentiated, small cell, not otherwise specified) and treatment history (surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, targeted therapy).

Data analysis

First, descriptive statistics were presented for sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, and main study variables. Mean and SD, or frequency and percentage,
were computed for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Independent t test, analysis of variance and post-hoc comparison were conducted to analyse the effect of sociodemographic and clinical factors on positive mood, negative mood and HRQoL (see online supplementary table 1). Correlational analyses were performed to examine the associations between the continuous variables.

Second, hierarchical, multiple, linear regression analyses were employed to examine the relationships among coping, mood and HRQoL. The hierarchical regressions with HRQoL (domain scores and EQ-5D utility index) as a dependent variable involved three steps: in step one, gender, age, cancer stage and covariates that were significantly correlated with mood and HRQoL (ie, financial burden, lung cancer type, a history of radiotherapy) were entered; in step two, confrontation and resigned acceptence were entered; in step three, positive and negative mood were entered.

Third, the mediating effects of positive and negative mood in the relationship between coping and HRQoL were tested using the MEDIATE macro for SPSS developed by Preacher and Hayes.49 Bootstrapping techniques using 5000 samples were used for the analysis, which was regarded as providing a more reliable estimate for the small sample size. Significant indirect effect was indicated by a 95% CI of indirect effect without including zero. Power analyses indicated that in a model with two parallel mediators, a sample of 260 has 80% power to detect a 95% CI of indirect effect, assuming correlations of r=0.20 between independent variable, the dependent variable and the mediators. Schoemann et al suggest that this power analytic method is an appropriate approach for determining power and sample size in mediation models.50 All tests were two-tailed, and a p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics
The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the samples are shown in table 1. A total sample of 261 participants had a mean age of 59.99 years (SD=9.53). Males represented 70.1% of the samples. Most participants were married (94.6%) and perceived a slight to very severe cancer-related financial burden (85.8%). More than half of the participants had been diagnosed in the past 6 months (55.2%). More than 90% of the participants had ever received chemotherapy (96.6%), whereas a certain proportion had ever received surgery (23.4%), radiotherapy (23.4%) or targeted therapy (14.6%).

Coping, mood and HRQoL
Pearson correlation analysis was performed to examine the relationships between coping, mood and HRQoL (see table 2). A small and positive correlation was observed between confrontation coping and positive mood (r=0.21). Resigned acceptance was moderately and

Table 1 Sample characteristics

| Variables                  | M(SD)/n (%)   |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Age (years)                | 59.99 (9.53)  |
| Gender                     |               |
| Male                       | 183 (70.1%)   |
| Female                     | 78 (29.9%)    |
| Education                  |               |
| Elementary school or lower | 55 (21.1%)    |
| Middle school              | 91 (34.9%)    |
| High school                | 66 (25.3%)    |
| College or higher          | 49 (18.8%)    |
| Marital status             |               |
| Married                    | 247 (94.6%)   |
| Single/divorced/widowed    | 14 (5.4%)     |
| Perceived cancer-related financial burden |       |
| None                       | 37 (14.2%)    |
| Slight                     | 100 (38.3%)   |
| Moderate                   | 63 (24.1%)    |
| Severe                     | 36 (13.8%)    |
| Very severe                | 25 (9.6%)     |
| Time since diagnosis*      |               |
| <6 months                  | 144 (55.2%)   |
| 6–12 months                | 32 (12.3%)    |
| 12–24 months               | 31 (11.9%)    |
| >24 months                 | 46 (17.8%)    |
| Stage                      |               |
| III                        | 82 (31.4%)    |
| IV                         | 179 (68.6%)   |
| Lung cancer type           |               |
| NSC—adenocarcinoma         | 140 (53.6%)   |
| NSC—squamous               | 47 (18.0%)    |
| NSC—poorly differentiated  | 24 (9.2%)     |
| NSC—others                 | 7 (2.7%)      |
| Small cell                 | 43 (16.5%)    |
| Treatment history          |               |
| Received surgery           |               |
| Yes                        | 61 (23.4%)    |
| No                         | 200 (76.6%)   |
| Received chemotherapy      |               |
| Yes                        | 252 (96.6%)   |
| No                         | 9 (3.4%)      |
| Received radiotherapy      |               |
| Yes                        | 61 (23.4%)    |
| No                         | 200 (76.6%)   |
| Received targeted therapy  |               |
| Yes                        | 38 (14.6%)    |
| No                         | 223 (85.4%)   |

*For time since diagnosis, the sum of number is not 261 due to missing data. NSC, non-small cell.
positively correlated with negative mood (r=0.46), but moderately and inversely correlated with positive mood (r=−0.27). Use of resigned acceptance was correlated with more difficulties in mobility (r=−0.21), self-care (r=−0.19), usual activities (r=−0.19), pain/discomfort (r=−0.19), anxiety/depression (r=−0.41) and lower EQ-5D utility index (r=−0.28).

Hierarchical regression analyses were used to examine the relationship of confrontation and resigned acceptance coping with positive mood, negative mood and HRQoL (see table 3). Age, gender, cancer stage and significant correlates of mood and/or HRQoL (p<0.05), including perceived cancer-related financial burden, a history of radiotherapy and lung cancer type (poorly differentiated non-small cell, small cell, other non-small cell), were controlled in the hierarchical regression analyses. Regarding positive mood, in addition to covariates, confronted and resigned acceptance were found to be significant factors, as confrontation was associated with higher positive mood (β=0.19, p=0.002), whereas resigned acceptance was associated with lower positive mood (β=−0.25, p<0.001). Regarding negative mood, in addition to covariates, confrontation and resigned acceptance were significant factors, as use of confrontation (β=0.11, p=0.040) and resigned acceptance (β=−0.44, p<0.001) was associated with higher negative mood.

Regarding the EQ-5D domain scores, after controlling sociodemographic and clinical covariates, confrontation was not associated with the domain scores, whereas resigned acceptance was inversely associated with all domains. Positive mood was associated with less difficulty in mobility, usual activities, pain/discomfort, but not associated with self-care and anxiety/depression. Negative mood was associated with more difficulty in all domains of HRQoL.

Regarding EQ-5D utility index, after controlling sociodemographic and clinical covariates, resigned acceptance was inversely associated with EQ-5D utility index (β=−0.22, p<0.001), whereas confrontation was not associated with EQ-5D utility index. Moreover, the effects of mood on EQ-5D utility index were significant, as positive mood was associated with higher EQ-5D utility index (β=0.17, p=0.005), whereas negative mood was associated with lower EQ-5D utility index (β=−0.28, p<0.001).

### Mediating effect of mood on the association between coping and HRQoL

The MEDIATE macro was used to examine the mediating effect of mood in the relationship between coping and HRQoL, controlling for age, gender, cancer stage, perceived cancer-related financial burden, history of radiotherapy and lung cancer type (poorly differentiated non-small cell, small cell, other non-small cell). The indirect effect (ab) was estimated as the product of regression coefficients predicting mood from each coping strategy (a), and HRQoL from mood (b) (see figure 1). Bootstrapping techniques using 5000 samples revealed significant indirect effects for confrontation and resigned acceptance on HRQoL through positive and negative mood, respectively. The results are presented in table 4.

Although the total effects of confrontation on EQ-5D domains scores and utility index were not significant, competing indirect effects via mood were identified. On one hand, positive indirect effects were found for confrontation on mobility (point estimate=0.06, SE=0.03, 95% CI [0.01 to 0.13]), usual activities (point estimate=0.05, SE=0.03, 95% CI [0.01 to 0.12]), pain/discomfort (point estimate=0.05, SE=0.03, 95% CI [0.004 to 0.11]) and overall utility index (point estimate=0.01, SE=0.01, 95% CI [0.003 to 0.03]) through positive mood. On the other hand, negative indirect effects were found for confrontation on mobility (point estimate=−0.05, SE=0.03, 95% CI [−0.12 to −0.002]), pain/discomfort (point estimate=−0.04, SE=0.02, 95% CI [−0.10 to −0.001]), anxiety/
### Table 3  Multiple regression results for predictors of mood and HRQOL

|                     | Positive mood | Negative mood | Mobility | Self-care | Usual activities | Pain/discomfort | Anxiety/depression | EQ-5D utility index |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                     | \( \beta^* \) | P value       | \( \beta^* \) | P value   | \( \beta^* \) | P value         | \( \beta^* \) | P value           | \( \beta^* \) | P value         |
| **Step 1**          |               |               |          |           |                  |                 |                     |                     |
| Age                 | -0.15         | 0.021         | -0.04    | 0.53      | -0.13            | 0.040           | -0.13              | 0.046              | -0.06             | 0.31            | -0.07             | 0.25            | 0.01             | 0.91            | -0.10             | 0.09 |
| Gender†             | -0.07         | 0.32          | 0.05     | 0.40      | -0.07            | 0.29            | -0.06               | 0.35              | -0.09             | 0.15            | -0.01             | 0.85            | 0.02             | 0.76            | -0.03             | 0.68 |
| Financial burden‡   | -0.14         | 0.032         | 0.30     | <0.001    | -0.16            | 0.010           | -0.15               | 0.017             | -0.20             | 0.002           | -0.15             | 0.018           | -0.25             | <0.001           | -0.22             | 0.001 |
| Cancer stage§       | -0.09         | 0.16          | -0.07    | 0.22      | 0.00             | 0.99            | 0.05                | 0.46             | 0.03             | 0.67            | 0.04             | 0.58            | 0.04             | 0.51            | 0.04             | 0.53 |
| Cancer type-other non-small cell (Reference) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cancer type-undifferentiated¶ | 0.01 | 0.93 | -0.03 | 0.58 | -0.07 | 0.26 | -0.08 | 0.19 | -0.12 | 0.053 | -0.08 | 0.19 | -0.04 | 0.51 | -0.14 | 0.027 |
| Cancer type-small cell¶ | -0.08 | 0.21 | -0.01 | 0.85 | 0.05 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 0.66 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.025 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| Received radiotherapy** | -0.03 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.009 | -0.17 | 0.006 | -0.19 | 0.003 | -0.14 | 0.026 | -0.20 | 0.002 | -0.07 | 0.24 | -0.20 | 0.001 |
| \( \Delta R^2 \)    | 0.054         | 0.141         | 0.079    | 0.081    | 0.097           | 0.094           | 0.080               | 0.124             |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |
| **Step 2**          |               |               |          |           |                  |                 |                     |                     |
| Confrontation       | 0.19          | 0.002         | 0.11     | 0.040     | -0.05           | 0.40            | -0.05               | 0.39             | -0.07             | 0.25            | -0.07             | 0.29            | -0.01             | 0.81            | -0.08             | 0.17 |
| Resigned acceptance | -0.25         | <0.001        | 0.44     | <0.001    | -0.16           | 0.013           | -0.15               | 0.019             | -0.16             | 0.012           | -0.14             | 0.029           | -0.41             | <0.001           | -0.22             | <0.001 |
| \( \Delta R^2 \)    | 0.098         | 0.191         | 0.025    | 0.023     | 0.027           | 0.021           | 0.155               | 0.053             |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |
| **Step 3**          |               |               |          |           |                  |                 |                     |                     |
| Positive mood       | 0.16          | 0.013         | 0.12     | 0.078     | 0.14            | 0.026           | 0.14                | 0.029             | 0.10             | 0.076           | 0.17             | 0.005           |                     |                 |                     |                 |
| Negative mood       | -0.22         | 0.003         | -0.20    | 0.006     | -0.17           | 0.020           | -0.20               | 0.005             | -0.36             | <0.001          | -0.28             | <0.001          |                     |                 |                     |                 |
| \( \Delta R^2 \)    | 0.053         | 0.039         | 0.036    | 0.044     | 0.093           | 0.076           | 0.093               | 0.076             |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |
| Adjusted \( R^2 \)  | 0.120         | 0.307         | 0.118    | 0.103     | 0.122           | 0.121           | 0.298               | 0.218             |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |                     |                 |

The bold values indicate the significance of \( \beta \).

*Standardised coefficients.
†0, Male; 1, Female.
‡Range: 1 (no difficulty) to 5 (very much).
§1, stage III; 2, stage IV.
¶Other non-small cell lung cancers was the reference group.
**0, No; 1, Yes.
HRQoL, health-related quality of life.
Figure 1  Positive and negative mood as mediators of the association between coping and HRQoL. HRQoL, health-related quality of life.

depression (point estimate=−0.06, SE=0.03, 95% CI [−0.13 to −0.003]) and overall utility index (point estimate=−0.01, SE=0.01, 95% CI [−0.03 to −0.001]) through negative mood. The direct effects of confrontation on EQ-5D domains scores and utility index were not significant.

Resigned acceptance has a significant negative total effect on EQ-5D domains scores and utility index. Furthermore, indirect effects of resigned acceptance on HRQoL via positive and negative mood were identified. Use of resigned acceptance was associated with decrease in positive mood and increase in negative mood, which could lead to more difficulty in mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, anxiety/depression and overall utility index (indirect effect via positive mood: point estimate=−0.01, SE=0.01, 95% CI [−0.03 to −0.003]; indirect effect via negative mood: point estimate=−0.04, SE=0.01, 95% CI [−0.06 to −0.02]).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the relationship between coping, positive and negative mood and HRQoL, and the mediating role of mood in the relationship between coping and HRQoL among patients with advanced cancer. The findings of this study indicate that examining the pathway via positive and negative mood can generate a new understanding of the effect of coping on HRQoL among patients with advanced lung cancer, regardless of sociodemographic and clinical factors. The confrontation coping strategy was not directly associated with domains of HRQoL or overall HRQoL, but two significant indirect pathways via mood were identified. On one hand, confrontation had positive indirect effects on mobility, usual activities, pain and overall HRQoL via positive mood; on the other hand, confrontation had negative indirect effects on mobility, pain, anxiety and overall HRQoL via negative mood; positive and negative indirect effects could counteract, resulting in a nonsignificant total effect. In contrast, use of resigned acceptance coping was associated with an increase in negative mood and a decrease in positive mood, which could in turn result in more difficulty in mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain and poor overall HRQoL. On the whole, this is a unique finding that indicates the ambivalence of confrontation and the maladaptive nature of resigned acceptance among patients with advanced lung cancer.

The mean EQ-5D utility index in the current study was found to be 0.80, which was comparable to a study among patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer in China with a utility index of 0.81.31 Consistent with previous studies, patients perceiving higher financial burden were more likely to report poor HRQoL compared with those perceiving lower financial burden related to cancer.11,32 Financial burden may restrict access to some drugs and treatment,32 and it may also lead to a sense of guilt for relying on families,29 which may affect health outcomes.

The current findings support the theory of stress and coping in the context of advanced cancer by indicating that coping can play a role in adapting to the experience of lung cancer, which could in turn influence emotional and health outcomes.36,37 The current study is in line with previous studies indicating that resigned acceptance was associated with less favourable outcomes.21,26 Resigned acceptance is related to the fatalistic attitude towards illness in traditional Taoist beliefs.28 Giving up control in the actual situation, or even other aspects of life, and holding negative expectations about the future could be associated with low levels of positive mood, and increase negative mood, which contributes to poor health outcomes in patients with advanced cancer.

Confrontation was found to be associated with increased positive and negative mood. In the current study, confrontation was characterised by attempts such as seeking information and support from various sources and being involved in decision making. Through such efforts, patients may regain a sense of control and redirect energy to constructive actions during treatment and daily living, which might facilitate the occurrence of positive mood. However, it is also possible that individuals could encounter various stressful decisions and pieces of information when they actively confront the advanced disease, which might lead to negative mood.

Our findings are in line with other studies reporting a nonsignificant association between confrontation and HRQoL among cancer patients.14,21,22 Particularly, the findings on the mediating role of mood can help clarify the mechanisms underlying the nonsignificant association. The coexisting positive and negative indirect effect via mood could counteract one another, resulting in a null, or weak, total effect of confrontation on HRQoL. The ambivalence of confrontation may also reflect the effect of fighting attitude towards life-threatening illness in Chinese population, in which the patients and their families would seek, try and continue available curative treatments to sustain and prolong life. Even though actively confronting the advanced cancer may have some benefits (eg, sense of control, constructive actions and skills), it may also remind patients of the potential incurable nature of advanced cancer and increase distress.

This study indicated that mood can be a pathway between coping and health outcomes. One explanation is related to physiology of mood.33 As positive and negative mood are associated with physiological levels in different directions, which can lead to different
### Table 4  Mediation model testing the direct and indirect effects of coping on HRQOL via mood

| Outcome        | Predictor          | Mediator          | Path a Coef (SE) | Path b Coef (SE) | Path ab: indirect effect of coping on HRQOL Coef (SE) 95% CI | Path c': Direct effect of coping on HRQOL Coef (SE) | Path c: Total effect of coping on HRQOL Coef (SE) |
|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| HRQOL          | Confrontation      | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.04** (0.01)    | 0.01 (0.01) (0.003 to 0.03)                                    | −0.03 (0.02)                                     | −0.03 (0.02)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.07*** (0.02)  | −0.01 (0.01) (−0.03 to 0.001)                                  | −0.02 (0.02)                                     | −0.07*** (0.02)                                 |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.04 (0.01) (−0.06 to 0.02)                                   | −0.02 (0.02)                                     | −0.07*** (0.02)                                 |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |
| Mobility       | Confrontation      | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.21* (0.08)     | 0.06 (0.03) (0.01 to 0.13)                                    | −0.12 (0.13)                                     | −0.10 (0.12)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.28** (0.09)   | −0.05 (0.03) (−0.12 to 0.002)                                  | −0.03 (0.11)                                     | −0.25* (0.10)                                    |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.06 (0.03) (−0.13 to 0.01)                                  | −0.03 (0.11)                                     | −0.25* (0.10)                                    |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |
| Self-care      | Confrontation      | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.13 (0.07)      | 0.04 (0.03) (−0.003 to 0.10)                                   | −0.09 (0.11)                                     | −0.09 (0.11)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.23** (0.08)   | −0.04 (0.03) (−0.10 to 0.002)                                  | −0.09 (0.11)                                     | −0.09 (0.11)                                     |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.04 (0.03) (−0.10 to 0.003)                                  | −0.04 (0.10)                                     | −0.21* (0.09)                                    |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |
| Usual activities| Confrontation      | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.18* (0.08)     | 0.05 (0.03) (0.01 to 0.12)                                    | −0.16 (0.12)                                     | −0.14 (0.12)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.22* (0.09)    | −0.04 (0.02) (−0.09 to 0.001)                                  | −0.07 (0.11)                                     | −0.25* (0.10)                                    |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.06 (0.03) (−0.12 to 0.01)                                  | −0.07 (0.11)                                     | −0.25* (0.10)                                    |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |
| Pain/ Discomfort| Confrontation      | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.16* (0.07)     | 0.05 (0.03) (0.004 to 0.11)                                   | −0.12 (0.11)                                     | −0.12 (0.11)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.23** (0.08)   | −0.04 (0.02) (−0.10 to 0.001)                                  | −0.02 (0.10)                                     | −0.19* (0.09)                                    |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.05 (0.03) (−0.11 to 0.005)                                  | −0.02 (0.10)                                     | −0.19* (0.09)                                    |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |
| Anxiety / Depression | Confrontation | Positive mood     | 0.30** (0.09)    | 0.10 (0.05)      | 0.03 (0.02) (−0.003 to 0.74)                                  | 0.01 (0.08)                                     | −0.02 (0.08)                                     |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.17* (0.08)     | −0.34*** (0.06)  | −0.06 (0.03) (−0.13 to 0.003)                                  | −0.26** (0.07)                                   | −0.48*** (0.07)                                  |
|                | Resigned acceptance| Positive mood     | −0.31*** (0.08)  | 0.01 (0.01)      | −0.03 (0.02) (−0.07 to 0.003)                                  | −0.26** (0.07)                                   | −0.48*** (0.07)                                  |
|                |                    | Negative mood     | 0.54*** (0.07)   |                  |                                                               |                                                  |                                                  |

Age, gender, financial burden, disease stage, lung cancer type and history of radiotherapy were controlled in the mediation model. All coefficients (a, b, c’, c) were unstandardised coefficients. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

HRQoL, health-related quality of life.
health outcomes. The second explanation is related to thought-action repertoires. Negative mood is suggested to narrow the thought-action repertoires and increase unhealthy lifestyle and social isolation, which could result in poor health outcomes. In contrast, positive affect is suggested to broaden the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires and build up personal and social resources, which could be beneficial to health outcomes. The third explanation is related to attributional interpretation. HRQoL refers to a self-perceived health status, and it is possible that participants in a negative mood tend to perceive lower health status (more difficulties in daily living and symptoms) than those in a positive mood.

This study has some limitations. First, causality on the relationships between coping, mood and HRQoL could not be drawn out from this cross-sectional study. Second, although MCMQ measured three coping strategies (ie, confrontation, acceptance-resignation and avoidance), reliability of avoidance, indicated by Cronbach’s α coefficient, was low in the current study. This restricted us from analysing the effect of the avoidance strategy. Third, the PANAS mostly measured the high-activated positive and negative affect. Further study is suggested to investigate the relationship between resigned acceptance and low-activated mood (eg, peace and calm). Fourth, although EuroQol 5-dimension was used to measure HRQoL among patients with advanced cancer in a range of studies, the measurement properties of the instrument is needed to be examined further in patients with advanced cancer. Finally, the sample was recruited from one hospital in China, which could compromise the generalisability of the findings.

Our finding that coping strategies is associated with mood and quality of life suggest that oncology providers need to pay attention to how patients are coping with the advanced cancer. Despite fostering realistic expectation for treatment and prognosis, oncology providers should watch for resigned acceptance and help the patients to learn what they can still do to regain a sense of control. On the other hand, when patients are engaging in excessive information-seeking or treatment-seeking behaviours (ie, part of confrontation), oncology providers should be aware that it might be a signal of underlying anxiety and make sure patients have appropriate mental healthcare and support. Mental health providers may help to address coping, mood and quality of life through evidence-based interventions for advanced cancer patients. For instance, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy is indicated to reduce emotional distress and improve quality of life by facilitating the active acceptance of unpleasant thoughts and feelings in cancer patients, which may be a worthwhile approach. Finally, early palliative care is a comprehensive, multidisciplinary, evidence-based approach for improving quality of life, which may be integrated into standard oncology care for patients with advanced cancer.
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