A Reciprocal Role of the Smad4-Taz Axis in Osteogenesis and Adipogenesis of Mesenchymal Stem Cells
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Abstract

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent cells that can differentiate into mature cells of various cell types. Although the differentiation process of MSCs requires lineage-specific transcription factors, the exact molecular mechanism that determines MSCs differentiation is not clearly addressed. Here, we demonstrate a Smad4-Taz axis as a new intrinsic regulator for adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation of MSCs and show that this function of Smad4 is independent of the transforming growth factor-β signal. Smad4 directly bound to the Taz protein and facilitated nuclear localization of Taz through its nuclear localization signal. Nuclear retention of Taz by direct binding to Smad4 increased expression of osteogenic genes through enhancing Taz-runx-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) interactions in the C3H10T1/2 MSC cell line and preosteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells, whereas it suppressed expression of adipogenic genes through promoting Taz-peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) interaction in C3H10T1/2 and preadipogenic 3T3-L1 cells. A reciprocal role of the Smad4 in osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation was also observed in human adipose tissue-derived stem cells (hASCs). Consequently, Smad4 depletion in C3H10T1/2 and hASCs reduced nuclear retention of Taz and thus caused the decreased interaction with Runx2 or PPARγ, resulting in delayed osteogenesis or enhanced adipogenesis of the MSC. Therefore, these findings provide insight into a novel function of Smad4 to regulate the balance of MSC lineage commitment through reciprocal targeting of the Taz protein in osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation pathways.
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Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are adult stem cells that have self-renewal activity and can differentiate into multiple cell lineages, including osteoblasts and adipocytes [1, 2]. As the common progenitor cells of osteoblasts and adipocytes, MSC differentiation needs to be tightly regulated to maintain the homeotic balance of osteoblasts and adipocytes within organs such as the bones.

Accumulating evidence over the past decades demonstrates that differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts and adipocytes requires important signaling pathways, including transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)/bone morphogenic protein (BMP), Wnt, and Hedgehog signals, and specific transcription factors such as runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) [2]. In addition, it has been reported that adipogenic induction factors inhibit osteogenesis, and...
conversely, osteogenic induction factors hinder adipogenesis, indicating that the decision process of MSCs into these cells is competing and reciprocal [2, 3]. This reciprocal process is well demonstrated by PPARγ, which is known to induce the adipogenic differentiation of MSCs and suppress osteogenic differentiation [4, 5].

Among the major signaling pathways, the TGF-β and BMP pathways have been studied by many groups regarding MSC differentiation as well as the pluripotency of stem cells [6]. Although the TGF-β and BMP signaling pathways have been particularly known to be involved in the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs [7–9], different outcomes occur depending on the subtypes, concentrations, and treatment time [10]. For example, TGF-β promotes the osteogenesis of MSCs at early time points, whereas it suppresses the process at later time points [6]. In the C3H10T1/2 cell line, which is an MSC cell line, BMP2 accelerates osteogenesis at a high concentration and also promotes adipogenesis at a low concentration [10].

TGF-β and BMP ligands transmit their signals through intracellular signal transducers called the Smad proteins [11]. Among the Smad proteins, Smad4, acting as a common Smad, is essential for both signaling pathways that regulate various biological phenomena through complexing with receptor-activated Smads, Smad2/3 or Smad1/5/8, depending on the ligands. Recently, several findings indicated the importance of Smad proteins in stem cell biology. Smad2/3 and Smad4 are required for the stabilized state of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) through maintaining the balance of TGF-β and BMP signals [12]. Moreover, interaction between the Smad family and a transcriptional coactivator with a PDZ-binding motif, called Taz, has been known to be required for regulating the cell fate [13] and maintaining the self-renewal of hESCs [14]. In addition, a certain report suggested that Taz forms a complex with Smad2/3 to bind to a TEA domain family member or Octamer-binding transcription factor 4 required for hESC pluripotency [15].

Although these findings emphasize the importance of Smad proteins, including Smad4, in hESC biology, it has been unclear whether Smad4 has a noncanonical role in the differentiation of MSCs into osteoblasts and adipocytes, independent of the TGF-β and BMP pathways. Here, we provide experimental evidence that Smad4 plays a crucial role in the regulation of lineage commitment of the MSCs into osteoblasts and adipocytes through modulating the retention of Taz in the nucleus during MSC differentiation, and show that this novel function is independent of the TGF-β superfamily ligands.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plasmids**

Full-length mouse Smad4, Taz, Runx2, and PPARγ complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were amplified by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and subcloned into the pcDNA3-Flag, pcDNA3-HA, and pcDNA3.1 vectors (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Plasmids encoding different regions of the Smad4 protein (HA-S4-MH1, HA-S4-Linker, HA-S4-MH2, HA-S4-ΔMH1, HA-S4-ΔMH2, Flag-S4-MH1, and Flag-S4-MH2) were amplified from full-length Flag-Smad4 cDNA by PCR and subcloned into the EcoRI and XhoI sites of the pcDNA3-Flag and pcDNA3-HA vector. The mouse osteocalcin (OC) promoter region (−657/+13) was generated by PCR using C3H10T1/2 genomic DNA and subcloned into the pGL3 vector. A Smad4 mutant with nuclear localization signal (NLS) sequences substituted with alanine was generated using the Muta-Dir Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (INTRON, Seoul, Korea). All constructs generated by PCR were verified by sequencing. Primer sequences for PCR and site-directed mutagenesis are described in Supporting Information Table S1. pGL3-adipocyte protein2 (ap2) promoter DNA was purchased from Addgene (Watertown, MA).

**Cell Culture and Reagents**

Murine mesenchymal C3H10T1/2 cells were cultured in Basal Media Eagle with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 10% l-glutamine, and 1% antibiotics (GIBCO-BRL, Grand Island, NY). MC3T3-E1 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium without ascorbic acid with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO-BRL). 3T3-L1 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modiﬁed Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO-BRL). Human adipose tissue-derived stem cells (hASCs) were provided by Dr. Sun U. Song (Inha University College of Medicine, Korea) with material transfer agreement [16]. The hASCs were maintained in low-glucose DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotics (GIBCO-BRL), and hASCs at passages 7–10 were used in this study. HEK293 cells and human colorectal cancer SW620 cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. The antibodies used in this study are described in Supporting Information Table S2. For MSC differentiation, dexamethasone (D2951), insulin (I9278), triglitolazine (T2573), IBMX (I7018), β-glycerophosphate (G9422), l-ascorbic acid (A4403), and prolne (P5607) were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Insulin-transferrin-selenium premix (354352) was purchased from BD Bioscience (San Jose, CA). TGF-β1 (240-B) and TGF-β3 (243-B) were obtained from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

**Cell Differentiation and Staining**

For osteogenic differentiation, hASCs and C3H10T1/2 and MC3T3-E1 cells were cultured in the presence of β-glycerophosphate (10 mM) and ascorbic acid (50 μg/ml) for 6 or 18 days. The alkaline phosphatase (ALP) staining to confirm osteogenic differentiation was performed as previously described [17]. The colorimetric measurement of ALP-specific activity of cells was performed according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Anaspec, Fremont, CA). Alizarin red S (ARS) staining was performed on differentiated hASCs and MC3T3-E1 cells on day 18 with 1% ARS solution for 20 minutes. To achieve adipocyte differentiation of hASCs and C3H10T1/2 cells, cells were plated and cultured until they were confluent, at which time the medium was replaced with differentiation medium containing insulin (10 μg/ml), dexamethasone (1 μM), triglitolazine (1 μM), and IBMX (500 μM). For adipocyte differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells, the same medium except for triglitolazine was used. For adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 and 3T3-L1 cells, the medium was replaced with differentiation medium every 2 days. For adipogenic differentiation of hASCs, the medium was changed every 3 days. Oil red O (ORO) staining and its quantitation were performed as previously described [17]. Chondrogenic differentiation of hASCs was
performed as previously described [18] and confirmed by safranin O staining.

Construction of Small Hairpin RNAs and Lentiviral and Retroviral Infections

The short hairpin RNA (shRNA) and small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequences specific for endogenous SMAD4 are described in Supporting Information Table S3. Specific shRNAs were purchased from Mission-shRNA (Sigma). Recombinant lentiviruses expressing each shRNA or retroviruses respectively expressing Flag-Smad4, Flag-S4-MH1, Flag-S4-MH2, Flag-hSmad4, and Flag-S4-NLSm were generated, according to the protocols previously described [19].

Statistical Analyses

All experiments, including immunoblots, were performed in three independent biological replicates. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. The public GSE12267 and GSE74209 data were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus. Statistical analyses were made with one-way analysis of variance for more than three groups using Prism software (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA). A p value of <.05 was regarded as a statistically significant difference. Differences of p < .05 are annotated as *, p < .01 as **, and p < .001 as ***.

Details of the transfection, reporter assay, subcellular fractionation, immunoblot, immunoprecipitation (IP), RNA extraction, quantitative real-time RT-PCR, immunofluorescence (IF), fluorescence-activated cell sorting, and chromatin IP (ChIP) assay are provided in the Supporting Information.

RESULTS

Smad4 Expression Is Increased During Osteogenic Differentiation

To identify the physiological roles of Smad4 in the lineage commitment of MSCs, we initially examined the expression of Smad4 in osteogenic differentiation. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis indicated that Smad4 mRNA is increased during osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 MSC cell line, together with ALP mRNA, a marker gene of osteogenesis (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analysis also showed increased expression of the Smad4 and Runx2 proteins (Fig. 1A). Similar results were obtained for the osteogenic differentiation of preosteoblast MC3T3-E1 cell line and primary hASCs (Fig. 1B, 1C). The capacity of hASCs used in this study to differentiate into adipocytes, osteoblasts, and chondrocytes and MSC-specific cell surface markers of hASCs were confirmed by ORO, ARS, safrarin O staining methods, as well as flow cytometry (Supporting Information Fig. S1).

To validate the increase of Smad4 expression in osteogenesis, we analyzed a public microarray data set (GSE12267) [20] displaying the gene expression profile in the osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow-derived MSCs, which showed a gradual augmentation of Smad4 expression during the progression of osteogenic differentiation (Fig. 1D). To support this statistical analysis, we analyzed another public microRNA array data set (GSM74299) [21], investigating the inverse relationship of Smad4 to miR-146a expression [22]. miR-146a was significantly increased in osteoporetic bones compared to healthy ones (Supporting Information Fig. S2), implying that Smad4, which is targeted by miR-146a, may be reduced in osteoporosis. These results collectively suggest the possibility that Smad4 is involved in osteogenic differentiation of MSCs, independent of TGF-β superfamily ligands.

Smad4 Depletion Inhibits Osteogenesis and Promotes Adipogenesis of C3H10T1/2 Cells

The capacity of MSCs to differentiate into several cell types makes it difficult to generate MSC-specific knockout (KO) mice. Smad4 has the same hurdle in isolating Smad4-KO MSCs, because Smad4-KO mice fail to gastrulate and die at an early stage [23, 24]. Therefore, to investigate the function of Smad4 in the osteogenic commitment of MSCs, we generated Smad4-knockdown cell lines by infection of lentiviruses expressing two independent Smad4-specific shRNAs in C3H10T1/2 cells. The efficiency of Smad4 depletion was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR and immunoblot analysis (Fig. 2A).

C3H10T1/2 cells expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-specific shRNA (shGFP) were used as a negative control. Before investigating the role of Smad4 in the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs, we examined expression of MSC-specific cell surface markers in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells to exclude the possibility that Smad4 depletion influences the characteristics of MSCs. MSCs have been reported to express positive and negative markers depending on species, isolation methods, and purified tissues [25, 26]. Expressions of positive markers (CD44, CD105, and Sca-1) and negative markers (CD11b, CD45, and MHC-II) were not altered in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells (shSmad4), compared to control cells (Fig. 2B).

We next investigated the differentiation of Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells into osteoblasts. ALP staining revealed that ALP activity is decreased in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells, suggesting that osteogenesis is delayed by Smad4 depletion (Fig. 2C). Moreover, expression of target genes induced by osteogenic differentiation, including Smad4, ALP, OC, Runx2, and osterix (OSX), were significantly decreased at day 6 in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 2D).

Next, we confirmed the role of Smad4 in adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 MSC cells. Unlike osteogenic differentiation, expression of Smad4 mRNA and protein was unchanged during adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells (Supporting Information Fig. S3A). However, adipogenic differentiation was significantly promoted in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells, which were identified by the detection of accumulating triglycerides in the cytosol by measurement of ORO staining (Fig. 2E). In addition, expression of adipogenic markers such as aP2, lipoprotein lipase, PPARγ, and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein α during adipogenic differentiation at day 6 was increased in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 2F). These results indicate that Smad4 not only acts as a positive factor in the osteogenic differentiation of MSCs but also as a negative factor in adipogenic differentiation.

Smad4 Regulates Adipo-Osteogenic Differentiation of Human hASCs

To exclude the possibility that the effect of Smad4 in osteogenic differentiation is only specific to C3H10T1/2 MSC cell line, we generated the Smad4-depleted hASCs by Smad4-specific siRNAs (Fig. 3A) and subsequently investigated the differentiation of hASCs into osteoblasts under Smad4 depletion. Both ALP and ARS staining indicated that osteogenic differentiation of Smad4-depleted hASCs is significantly delayed (Fig. 3B). In
contrast to osteogenic differentiation of hASCs (Fig. 1C), expression of Smad4 mRNA and protein was unchanged during adipogenic differentiation of hASCs, similar to the results of C3H10T1/2 cells (Supporting Information Fig. S3). However, ORO staining indicated that adipogenic differentiation is promoted in Smad4-knockdown hASCs (Fig. 3C). These results suggest that

Figure 1. Transcriptional and translational expression of Smad4 is upregulated in osteogenesis. (A–C): Smad4 mRNA and protein expression during osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2, MC3T3-E1, and hASCs at the indicated time points were measured by quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction and IB analysis. The data were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (***p < .001 compared to day 0, n = 3). Bars represent the mean ± SD. Expression of ALP mRNA and Runx2 protein were used as positive controls to prove successful osteogenesis. β-actin expression was used as a loading control in IB analysis. The images in IB analysis are representative of three independent experiments. (D): Expression levels of Smad4 mRNA in osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells from a Gene Expression Omnibus data set (GSE12267, MD1 n = 5, MD2 n = 5, MD3 n = 5, MD4 n = 5, MD5 n = 5). The data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (***p < .001 compared to MD1). Bars represent the mean ± SD. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; hASCs, human adipose tissue-derived stem cells; IB, immunoblot; MD1; before MSC differentiation, MD2–5; culture endpoints of MSC differentiation into osteoblasts; OM, osteogenic differentiation medium; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2.
Smad4 reciprocally affects the osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells. (A): Expression of Smad4 mRNA and protein detected by quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and IB analysis in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells depleted by different short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) (shSmad4 #3 and shSmad4 #5). C3H10T1/2 cells expressing control shRNA against GFP mRNA (shGFP) were used as a negative control. β-actin expression was used as a loading control in immunoblotting. (B): Expression of mesenchymal stem cell markers was analyzed by flow cytometry. (C): Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells were differentiated into osteoblasts under osteogenic differentiation conditions for 6 days. After cells were fixed, ALP was stained and ALP activity was measured. (D): Total RNAs of shSmad4- and shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells were isolated at the indicated time points during osteogenic differentiation. Expression of Smad4, ALP, OC, Runx2, and OSX mRNAs were analyzed by qRT-PCR. (E): Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells were differentiated into mature adipocytes for 5 days. Lipid droplet accumulation in the differentiated cells was identified by ORO staining and quantitated by measuring the ORO in the dissolved solution. (F): Total RNAs of shSmad4- and shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells were isolated at the indicated time points during adipogenic differentiation. Expression of Smad4, aP2, LPL, PPARγ, and C/EBPβ mRNAs were analyzed by qRT-PCR. All data in this figure were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (**p < .01 compared to shGFP, n = 3). Bars represent the mean ± SD. The images shown in (A), (B), (C), and (E) are representative of three independent experiments. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; C/EBPβ, CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β; LPL, lipoprotein lipase; OC, osteocalcin; ORO, oil red O; OSX, osterix; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ; shGFP, green fluorescent protein-specific short hairpin RNA.
Smad4 acts as an important regulator in adipo-osteogenic differentiation of MSCs, including C3H10T1/2 cells and hASCs.

Smad4 Physically Interacts with Taz in Osteogenic and Adipogenic Differentiation

Our finding that Smad4 depletion in C3H10T1/2 cells shows opposite effects on osteogenesis and adipogenesis prompted us to focus on the Taz protein. The Taz protein acting as a transcriptional cofactor has been reported to promote osteogenesis and repress adipogenesis in MSC differentiation [27]. We first examined whether Smad4 interacts with Taz in the differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells. After plasmids encoding HA-tagged Smad4 and Flag-tagged Taz were transiently cotransfected into HEK293 cells, co-IP assays indicated that Smad4 binds to Taz protein (Fig. 4A). IP assays revealed that endogenous Smad4 protein interacts with endogenous Taz under osteogenic differentiation conditions, with increased expressions of Runx2 and Taz proving successful progression of osteogenesis (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, endogenous interaction between Smad4 and Taz was also detected during adipogenic differentiation together with PPARγ expression (Fig. 4C). However, YAP protein, which is a paralog of Taz to be involved in MSC differentiation [28], did not interact with Smad4 in both osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of MSCs (Supporting Information Fig. S4).

To gain further insight into the interaction between Smad4 and Taz, we generated five Smad4 deletion mutants: HA-tagged N-terminal MH1 domain (HA-S4-MH1), linker domain (HA-S4-Linker), C-terminal MH2 domain (HA-S4-MH2), deletion of MH1 domain (HA-S4ΔMH1), and deletion of MH2 domain (HA-S4ΔMH2) (Fig. 4D). Co-IP assays revealed that HA-Smad4, HA-S4-MH1, and HA-S4ΔMH2 bind to the Taz protein, whereas mutants without the MH1 domain (HA-S4-Linker, HA-S4-MH2, and HA-S4ΔMH1) do not (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, we generated three truncated Taz mutants: HA-tagged N-terminal domain (HA-ND), HA-tagged middle domain, and HA-tagged C-terminal domain (Supporting Information Fig. S5A). These Taz deletion mutants were subsequently cotransfected with full-length Flag-tagged Smad4 (Flag-Smad4) into HEK293 cells. Co-IP assays showed that Flag-Smad4 binds to full-length HA-tagged Taz (HA-Taz) and HA-ND (Supporting Information Fig. S5B).

Next, we examined whether interaction of the Smad4 MH1 domain with Taz protein is required for osteogenesis and adipogenesis. To this end, stable C3H10T1/2 cell lines ectopically expressing full-length Flag-Smad4, Flag-tagged MH1 domain (Flag-S4-MH1), and Flag-tagged MH2 domain (Flag-S4-MH2) were generated by infection of the respective recombinant retroviruses. The stable C3H10T1/2 cell lines expressing Flag-Smad4 or Flag-S4-MH1 showed increased ALP activity and reduction of triglyceride accumulation in the cytoplasm during osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, respectively, whereas the cells expressing Flag-S4-MH2 did not (Fig. 4F, 4G). Because these results were consistent with the osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells, our findings suggest that Smad4, as a binding partner of...
Figure 4. Smad4 interacts with Taz in osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation. (A): Plasmids encoding HA-Smad4 was cotransfected with Flag-Taz into HEK293 cells. Cell lysates were IP with the indicated antibodies and subsequently analyzed by IB. (B, C): C3H10T1/2 cells were differentiated into osteoblasts and adipocytes. To confirm the interaction of endogenous Smad4 and Taz proteins, IP and IB analysis were performed with the indicated antibodies against endogenous proteins. (D): Schematic representations of truncated Smad4 mutants encoding specific domains of Smad4 (MH1, Linker, MH2, ∆MH1, and ∆MH2). (E): Truncated Smad4 mutants were respectively cotransfected with the Flag-Taz plasmid into HEK293 cells. IP and IB assays were performed with the indicated antibodies. (F, G): Full-length Flag-Smad4, Flag-S4-MH1, and Flag-S4-MH2 plasmids were, respectively, overexpressed in C3H10T1/2 cells by the retroviral system. After osteogenic differentiation, ALP activity was analyzed on day 6 to determine the osteogenic potential (F). A blue color indicates ALP activity. After adipogenic differentiation, cells were fixed on day 7 and stained with ORO (G). After the last washing, the stained plates were scanned and presented. The data in (F) and (G) were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (***p < .001 compared to shGFP, n = 3). Bars represent the mean ± SD. The data, except for (D), are representative of three independent experiments. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AM, adipogenic differentiation medium; IB, immunoblot; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IP, immunoprecipitated; OM, osteogenic differentiation medium; ORO, oil red O; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ; shGFP, green fluorescent protein-specific short hairpin RNA; TCL, total cell lysates.
Taz, cooperates with the N-terminal domain of Taz through its MH1 domain and contributes to osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation.

**Smad4 Promotes Nuclear Localization of Taz**

To understand the molecular mechanism of how Smad4 differentially regulates osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation through interacting with the Taz protein, we examined whether Smad4 regulates the expression of Taz mRNA or its protein in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells. Quantitative RT-PCR and immunoblot analysis indicated that Taz expression is unchanged by two independent Smad4 deletions (Supporting Information Fig. S6). These results promoted us to focus on the functional activity of Taz, because Taz has been known to be engaged in nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling to modulate the transcriptional activity of transcription factors [27,29]. To test the effect of Smad4 on Taz localization, an HA-Taz plasmid was transiently transfected into HEK293 cells with a Flag-Smad4 in a dose-dependent manner and a GFP-expressing plasmid was used as a negative control. After cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were separated, immunoblot analysis indicated that Taz localization into the nucleus was significantly augmented by dose-dependent increases of the Smad4 protein, but cytoplasmic localization of Taz was not affected (Fig. 5A).

To further validate the changes in Taz localization by Smad4 in C3H10T1/2 cells, subcellular fractionation and IF assays were performed in stable C3H10T1/2 cells ectopically expressing full-length Flag-Smad4, Flag-S4-MH1, or Flag-S4-MH2, respectively. C3H10T1/2 cells expressing the empty pMSCV vector were used as a negative control. Taz localization into the nucleus was increased in only C3H10T1/2 cells expressing full-length Smad4 and its MH1 domain, compared to MH2 domain-expressing and control C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 5B, 5C). However, these results do not exclude the possibility that Smad4, endogenously expressed in these overexpression cell lines, can influence the nuclear localization of Taz. To exclude this possibility, Taz localization into the nucleus was observed in Smad4-null SW620 cells ectopically expressing Flag-Smad4, Flag-S4-MH1, and Flag-S4-MH2, respectively (Supporting Information Fig. S7). Expressions of Smad4 and its MH1 domain significantly increased the retention of Taz in the nucleus of SW620 cells but the MH2 domain did not (Supporting Information Fig. S7). These data imply that Smad4 increases localization of the Taz protein into the nucleus through the interaction between the Smad4 MH1 domain and Taz.

We next examined localization of the Taz protein into the nucleus during osteogenesis and adipogenesis of C3H10T1/2 cells. When Smad4-expressing control C3H10T1/2 cells were differentiated into osteoblasts, a time-dependent increase of Taz protein was observed in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Supporting Information Fig. S8A). To confirm whether Smad4 depletion affects Taz localization, we analyzed Taz expression in both cytosolic and nuclear fractions of shGFP- and shSmad4-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells on day 0 and day 3 during osteogenic differentiation. When Smad4 was depleted, Taz localization in the nucleus was significantly decreased on day 3, compared to the increased Taz nuclear localization of control C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 4D). In addition, the increased Runx2 expression in the nucleus observed in osteogenic differentiation of shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells was not detected in Smad4-knockdown cells (Fig. 5D).

Like osteogenic differentiation, time-dependent accumulation of Taz in the nucleus was identical in adipogenic differentiation of Smad4-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells (Supporting Information Fig. S8B). However, the increased Taz localization in the nucleus of shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells on day 4 of adipogenic differentiation was not observed in Smad4-knockdown cells (Fig. 5E). In contrast, PPARY expression in the nucleus was higher in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells during adipogenesis compared to shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 5E). The decreased localization of Taz into the nucleus of Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells was similarly observed during osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of Smad4-depleted hASCs (Supporting Information Fig. S8C, S8D), suggesting that Smad4 may bring Taz protein into the nucleus during osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, because Smad4 shuttles between the cytoplasm and nucleus through its nuclear localization and nuclear export signals (NES) [30].

To confirm this possibility, we generated a Smad4 mutant with NLS sequences substituted with alanine (Fig. 5F). Stable C3H10T1/2 cells expressing Flag-tagged human Smad4 (Flag-hSmad4) or Flag-tagged human Smad4 NLS mutant (Flag-S4-NLSm) were fractionated into cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts. Mutation of the NLS sequences within Smad4 clearly decreased Smad4 translocation into the nucleus, as expected (Fig. 5F). Interestingly, expression of wild-type Flag-hSmad4 increased the nuclear localization of Taz whereas the Flag-S4-NLSm mutant did not (Fig. 5F).

To test whether Smad4 NLS sequences are crucial for Taz nuclear localization during osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation, we generated stable C3H10T1/2 cells expressing wild-type Flag-hSmad4 or the Flag-S4-NLSm mutant in a Smad4-knockdown background by infection of recombinant retroviruses. Ectopic expression of wild-type Smad4 or the NLS mutant in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells was confirmed by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 5G). ALP staining analysis showed that expression of wild-type Flag-hSmad4 restores osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells initially repressed by Smad4 depletion, whereas expression of the Smad4 NLS mutant (Flag-S4-NLSm) does not (Fig. 5G). In contrast, ORO staining showed that expression of wild-type Smad4 represses adipogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells facilitated by Smad4-depletion whereas the Smad4 NLS mutant does not (Fig. 5G). Therefore, these results indicate that Smad4 induces Taz retention in the nucleus through its NLS within MH1 domain and is thus a crucial factor regulating the reciprocal adipo-osteogenic differentiation of C3H10T1/2 cells.

**Smad4 Promotes Runx2-Mediated Osteogenic Differentiation by Interacting with Taz**

We next examined whether Smad4 affects the interaction between the Taz and Runx2 protein in osteogenic differentiation. Taz is known to interact with Runx2, a master transcription factor in osteogenic differentiation [27]. Co-IP assays indicated that interaction of Flag-Taz with HA-Runx2 was significantly increased in the presence of Flag-Smad4 (Fig. 6A). To exclude the possibility that this result is because of direct interaction of Smad4 with Runx2, plasmids encoding Flag-Smad4 and HA-Runx2 were transfected into HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of Flag-Taz. Co-IP assays revealed that Flag-Smad4 indirectly interacts with HA-Runx2 in the presence...
Figure 5. Smad4 induces nuclear localization of Taz. (A, B): A plasmid encoding HA-Taz was cotransfected with dose-dependent increases of the Flag-Smad4 plasmid into HEK293 cells, which were subsequently fractionated (A). Stable C3H10T1/2 cells expressing the indicated plasmids were fractionated into cytoplasm and nuclei. The localization of Taz was analyzed by IBs with the indicated antibodies. (B) Increases of the Flag-Smad4 plasmid into HEK293 cells, which were subsequently fractionated (Mock). The images in this figure are representative of three independent experiments. Expressions of α-tubulin and α-Lamin B1 in (A), (B), and (D)–(F) were used as cyttoplasmic and nuclear markers and loading controls. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; DAPI, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; GFP, green fluorescent protein; IB, immunoblot; NLS, nuclear localization signal; OM, osteogenic differentiation medium; ORO, oil red O; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2; shGFP, green fluorescent protein-specific short hairpin RNA.
of Flag-Taz (Fig. 6B; lane 2, 3). Furthermore, IP assays showed that endogenous Taz protein interacts with endogenous Runx2 in the osteogenic differentiation of control C3H10T1/2 cells, but this interaction was decreased in Smad4-knockdown C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 6C).

We next investigated whether the Smad4 requirement in the direct interaction between Taz and Runx2 is involved in transcriptional regulation of osteogenic-specific genes. A mouse OC-luciferase (mOC-Luc) reporter containing Runx2 binding sites, pcDNA-Runx2, and Flag-Taz plasmids were cotransfected into HEK293 cells, together with dose-dependent expression of the HA-Smad4 plasmid (Fig. 6D). Flag-Taz enhanced activity of the mOC-Luc reporter induced by Runx2 expression. Interestingly, dose-dependent increases of Smad4 further increased the luciferase activity of mOC-Luc, indicating that Smad4 increases Runx2-mediated transcriptional activity through enhancing the interaction of the Taz-Runx2 complex (Fig. 6D). This observation was proved by ChIP assays. ChIP assays with anti-Taz antibody during osteogenic differentiation of shGFP-expressing and shSmad4-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells showed that Taz binds to the OC promoter during osteogenic differentiation of shGFP-expressing control cells, but this binding was significantly decreased upon Smad4 depletion (Fig. 6E). These results suggest that Smad4 enhances transcriptional activity by the Taz-Runx2 axis in osteogenic differentiation through promoting the interaction of Taz with Runx2.

**Smad4 Suppresses PPARγ-Mediated Adipogenic Differentiation by Interacting with Taz**

Next, we investigated how the increase in Taz nuclear localization by Smad4 affects PPARγ-mediated transcriptional activity required for adipogenic differentiation. Taz has been reported to be a transcriptional co-repressor of PPARγ, a master transcription factor in adipogenic differentiation [27], and Smad4 was observed to interact with Taz in adipogenic differentiation (Fig. 4C). To address this question, we examined the interrelationship of the Smad4, Taz, and PPARγ proteins in adipogenesis. Plasmids encoding Flag-tagged PPARγ (Flag-PPARγ), Flag-Smad4, and HA-Taz were transiently transfected into HEK293 cells (Fig. 6F). HA-Taz specifically bound to Flag-PPARγ and this interaction was significantly augmented by expression of Flag-Smad4 (Fig. 6F). Flag-Smad4 did not directly bind to HA-PPARγ, but indirectly interacted with HA-PPARγ through binding to Flag-Taz (Fig. 6G; lane 2, 3). These results were supported by IP assays against endogenous Taz and PPARγ proteins. Although endogenous Taz protein interacted with endogenous Smad4 and PPARγ during adipogenic differentiation of control shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells, the interaction between Taz and PPARγ was decreased in Smad4-knockdown cells (Fig. 6H).

These results prompted us to hypothesize that Smad4 can modulate PPARγ-mediated transcriptional downregulation of genes required for adipogenesis through interaction with Taz-PPARγ, because the Taz protein is a known negative regulator of adipogenesis [27]. A plasmid encoding an aP2-luciferase (aP2-Luc) reporter containing PPARγ binding sites, pcDNA-PPARγ, and Flag-Taz plasmids were cotransfected into HEK293 cells, together with dose-dependent expression of the HA-Smad4 plasmid. Taz expression suppressed activity of the aP2-Luc reporter in the presence of PPARγ (Fig. 6I). Dose-dependent increases of Smad4 expression further inhibited the luciferase activity of aP2-Luc (Fig. 6I). ChIP assays with anti-Taz antibody in the adipogenic differentiation of shGFP-expressing and shSmad4-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells indicated that Taz binds to the aP2 promoter during adipogenic differentiation of shGFP-expressing control cells, but this binding was significantly reduced in Smad4-depleted C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 6I). These results propose that the increased interaction of Taz with Smad4 in the nucleus enhances the interaction of Taz with PPARγ, contributing to the reduction of adipogenic differentiation through augmenting the inhibitory function of Taz.

**Smad4 Regulates Differentiation of Preosteoblast and Preadipocyte Cells**

Our present findings that Smad4 plays a crucial role in the reciprocal regulation of adipo-osteogenic differentiation of the C3H10T1/2 MSC cell line led us to verify that this observation is not due to cell-type specificity. Thus, we examined whether Smad4 shows the same effects in the osteogenic differentiation of MC3T3-E1 cells and adipogenic differentiation of preadipocyte 3T3-L1 cells. Subcellular fractionation assays of MC3T3-E1 and 3T3-L1 cells indicated that endogenous Smad4 is mainly localized in the nucleus, similar to C3H10T1/2 cells (Fig. 7A). We next induced the osteogenic differentiation of Smad4-knockdown MC3T3-E1 and adipogenic differentiation of Smad4-knockdown 3T3-L1 cells, respectively. Smad4-knockdown MC3T3-E1 cells showed delayed osteogenic differentiation, measured by ALP staining and ARS staining (Fig. 7B). In contrast, ORO staining indicated that Smad4-knockdown 3T3-L1 cells promote more adipogenic differentiation than shGFP-expressing 3T3-L1 cells (Fig. 7C).

We also performed subcellular fractionation assays in shGFP-expressing and shSmad4-expressing MC3T3-E1 and 3T3-L1 cells under osteogenic or adipogenic differentiation conditions. Both Smad4-knockdown MC3T3-E1 and Smad4-knockdown 3T3-L1 cells showed a marked reduction of Taz retention in the nucleus 3 days after the start of osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation (Fig. 7D, 7E). Together with the results regarding osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation of hASCs (Fig. 3 and Supporting Information Fig. S8), our findings support that the opposing effects of Smad4 in osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation are not due to cell-type specificity.

**DISCUSSION**

MSCs can differentiate into osteoblasts and adipocytes, and the differentiation into either cell type is reversely exclusive [2]. In this study, we demonstrate a noncanonical role of Smad4 independent of TGF-β and BMP signals regarding MSC differentiation, like TGF-β-independent functions of Smad4 reported by several groups [31–34]. Our work revealed that Smad4 is reciprocally involved in osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation in C3H10T1/2 MSC cell line and primary hASCs and modulates the nuclear localization of Taz in all cells used in this study. Although the importance of Smad4 in osteogenic differentiation has been proposed in certain reports, including a study describing the reduction of bone mineral density, bone volume, and osteoblast numbers in osteoblast-specific Smad4 conditional KO mice [35] and one on the role of miR-144-3p-targeting Smad4 in osteogenic differentiation [36], our findings extend our knowledge about the molecular mechanism of Smad4 as a positive factor in the osteogenic differentiation of
Figure 6. Smad4 enhances the Taz interaction with Runx2 or PPARγ. (A, F): HA-Runx2 or Flag-PPARγ plasmid was cotransfected with the Flag-Taz or HA-Taz plasmid into HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of Flag-Smad4, according to the indicated combinations. (B, G): Flag-Smad4 plasmid was cotransfected with the HA-Runx2 (B) or HA-PPARγ (G) into HEK293 cells in the absence or presence of Flag-Taz. (C, H): After osteogenic differentiation for 3 days (C) or adipogenic differentiation for 4 days (H) of Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing C3H10T1/2 cells, cell lysates were IP with anti-Taz antibody and immunoblotted. (D, I): pcDNA-Runx2 (D) or pcDNA-PPARγ (I) plasmid was cotransfected with the mOC-Luc or aP2-Luc reporter, together with Flag-Taz, into HEK293 cells under dose-dependent expression of Flag-Smad4. Expressions of the indicated proteins were confirmed by IB. Luciferase activity was normalized to the expression of Renilla lucerase. (E, J): After osteogenic (E) or adipogenic (J) differentiation of Smad4-knockdown and control C3H10T1/2 cells, chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were performed on day 3 (E) or day 4 (J). Chromatin fragments were IP with anti-Taz antibody. Polymerase chain reaction primers for the osteocalcin promoter region or aP2 promoter were used to amplify the DNAs. The amplified DNAs from input samples were used for normalizing the data. IgG: negative control. The data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test (*p<.05, **p<.01 and ***p<.001 compared to the indicated controls, n = 3). Bars represent the mean ± SD. Images in this figure are representative of three independent experiments. Abbreviations: AM, adipogenic differentiation medium; IB, immunoblot; IgG, immunoglobulin G; IP, immunoprecipitated; OM, osteogenic differentiation medium; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2; shGFP, green fluorescent protein-specific short hairpin RNA; TCL, total cell lysates.
MSCs. In contrast, a possible role of Smad4 in adipogenic differentiation was predicted by a previous report that miR-125b-5p could potentially target Smad4 in preadipocyte 3T3-L1 cells [37], but this report did not demonstrate the exact mechanism of Smad4 as a negative factor in adipogenic differentiation. Therefore, our results provide a clue about the inverse reciprocal regulation between the osteogenesis and adipogenesis of MSCs. That is, Smad4-Taz availability to interact with Runx2 in the promotion of osteogenic differentiation simultaneously suppresses adipogenic differentiation through interacting with PPARγ.

One interesting finding in this study is the role of Smad4 regulating Taz localization into the nucleus. Smad4 is well

Figure 7. Smad4 is required for the differentiations of preosteoblasts and preadipocytes cells. (A): C3H10T1/2, preosteoblastic MC3T3-E1 and preadipogenic 3T3-L1 cells were fractionated into cytoplasm and nuclei. Expression of endogenous Smad4 and Taz were observed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies. (B): Smad4 depletion by lentiviruses expressing two independent short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) specific to Smad4 was confirmed by immunoblotting in Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing MC3T3-E1 cells (upper panel). These cells were incubated with osteogenic medium, and osteogenic differentiation was confirmed by ALP and ARS staining. (C): Smad4 depletion by lentiviruses expressing two independent shRNAs specific to Smad4 was confirmed by immunoblotting in Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing 3T3-L1 cells (upper panel). Adipogenic differentiation of these cells was measured by ORO staining at day 7. (D): Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing MC3T3-E1 cells were incubated in OM for 3 days. Cells were fractionated into cytoplasm and nuclear extracts at the indicated times and both extracts were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. (E): Smad4-knockdown and shGFP-expressing 3T3-L1 cells were differentiated into adipocytes for 3 days. Cells were fractionated into cytoplasm and nuclear extracts at the indicated times and both extracts were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies. Expression of α-tubulin and α-Lamin B1 in (A), (D), and (E) was used as cytoplasmic and nuclear markers and loading controls. Images shown in this figure are representative of three independent experiments. Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AM, adipogenic differentiation medium; ARS, alizarin red S; IB, immunoblot; OM, osteogenic differentiation medium; ORO, oil red O; Runx2, runt-related transcription factor 2; shGFP, green fluorescent protein-specific short hairpin RNA.
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known to be present mainly in the cytoplasm and to enter the nucleus when TGF-β signaling occurs [11]. However, endogenous and ectopically expressed Smad4 was mainly localized to the nucleus in all cell lines we tested. The predominant nuclear localization of Smad4 in C3H10T1/2, hASCs, MC3T3-E1, and 3T3-L1 cells can be speculated to be regulated by the relative strengths of NLS and NES within Smad4, because Smad4 is continuously shuttling between the cytoplasm and nucleus by its own NLS and NES without the TGF-β signal [30] and its localization is also determined by the relative predominance between NLS and NES depending on cell type. What we note in this study is that Smad4 depletion decreases Taz retention in the nucleus and ectopic expression of Smad4 significantly increases Taz nuclear localization. This role of Smad4 in regulating the nuclear localization of Taz requires the NLS within Smad4. Therefore, decreased retention of Taz in the nucleus upon Smad4 depletion causes reduced interactions of Taz with Runx2 and PPARγ, required for osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation.

Taz is a well-known protein regulating MSC differentiation. Several cytokines and natural products are involved in the differentiation of MSCs through regulating the activity of Taz. FGF2 signaling induces increased Taz expression and Wnt signaling leads to increased Taz stability [29, 38]. Furthermore, kaempferol (KMP), a phytoestrogen abundant in tea, has been reported to facilitate the physical interaction between Taz and Runx2 and subsequently increase the transcriptional activities of Runx2 [17]. In addition, KMP also enhances the association of Taz with PPARγ, thereby resulting in diminished adipocytes through suppressing PPARγ transcriptional activity [17]. Although these recent findings suggest that nuclear Taz activity is important in determining MSC lineage commitment, there have been no reports addressing the endogenous protein that induces the retention of Taz in the nucleus. Therefore, this is the first report about a protein, Smad4, which induces the retention of Taz in the nucleus during osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation.
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