FSH and bone: Comparison between males with central versus primary hypogonadism
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Objective: Experimental studies proposed a direct effect of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) on the skeletal metabolism, but results of human studies mainly conducted in females are controversial. The present study aims to investigate the possible role of FSH excess in male bone health, by comparing for the first time primary and central hypogonadism.

Design and Methods: 119 men were enrolled in this cross-sectional observational study at the time of the first diagnosis of hypogonadism. All participants had spontaneous pubertal development. Regarding patients with hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (Hyper-H), Klinefelter syndrome (KS) patients were distinguished from the other forms (non-KS-Hyper-H) based on the onset of FSH elevation. Bone mineral density (BMD) at both lumbar spine (LS) and femoral neck (FN), as well as the prevalence of morphometric vertebral fractures (VFx), were assessed.

Results: Across the whole cohort, higher LS and FN BMD were associated with older age at diagnosis and higher body mass index (BMI), respectively. After adjusting for potential confounders (age at diagnosis, BMI, smoking habits, degree of hypogonadism defined by calculated free testosterone, and 25OH vitamin D levels), non-KS-Hyper-H patients showed significantly lower LS BMD and tended to show lower FN BMD values, as compared to those with hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (Hypo-H). In KS men, LS BMD was significantly lower than in those with non-KS-Hyper-H. No significant differences in the prevalence of VFx were found between the groups.

Conclusions: These findings suggest a potential negative effect of FSH excess on the male bone mass, especially at spine. The duration of high FSH levels may also contribute to these findings.
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Introduction

Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) is a heterodimeric glycoprotein secreted by the anterior pituitary gland. It consists of a hormone-specific β subunit non-covalently associated with the α subunit that is also part of other glycoprotein hormones (1). FSH activity is mediated via FSH receptor (FSHR), which belongs to the large superfamily of 7-transmembrane-spanning (7TM) G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) (2). The binding of FSH to its receptor results in the activation of adenylyl cyclase and, thus, in the initiation of the cAMP signalling cascade (3). Gonads are the main target tissue of FSH, which in females is responsible for follicular development and oestrogen production and, in males, regulates Sertoli cells proliferation and function and promotes spermatogenesis (4).

Over the last few decades, FSH has been also shown to stimulate bone resorption (5–9), by binding to its specific receptor on osteoclasts. In fact, a polyclonal antibody generated against FSHβ proved to be able to block osteoclastogenesis in vitro and, when injected into ovariectomized mice, to attenuate bone loss (10, 11). Further, FSH may negatively regulate osteoblast differentiation from mesenchymal stem cells.

In keeping with these findings, several observational studies involving large cohorts of perimenopausal and postmenopausal women consistently reported an association between raised FSH values and increased bone turnover as well as reduced bone mineral density (BMD) (12–14). A potential role of polymorphisms in the FSH/FSHR system has been hypothesized in this setting (15). Notably, in women of reproductive age, hypergonadotropic amenorrhoea was found to be associated with greater bone loss than hypothalamic amenorrhoea, irrespective of serum oestradiol concentrations (16). FSH levels also appear to be a good negative predictor of BMD in patients on sex hormone replacement therapy (HRT) (17). By contrast, two interventional studies failed to detect any causal relationship between FSH and bone metabolism (17–19).

With regards to males, the existing literature is sparse and conflicting. On the one hand, according to previous studies involving osteoporotic men (20), patients with Klinefelter syndrome (KS) (3, 21), and those on androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer (22), FSH appears to have a detrimental effect on the male bone. On the other hand, a recent longitudinal study found no evidence for an association between baseline FSH levels and changes in bone mass (23). Moreover, bone health was not found to be impaired in a cohort of men with infertility, high FSH but normal testosterone (T) levels (24, 25). Finally, no significant change was detected in bone turnover markers in men after suppressing FSH levels (26).

However, all these studies present some limitations, particularly relating to selection and/or confounding bias, as well as short duration and lack of baseline assessment. Therefore, on balance, the putative role of FSH as a direct modulator of skeletal physiology remains matter of debate. Intriguingly, men with primary versus central hypogonadism, both presenting with low T but with differing FSH values, might represent a novel attractive study model in this context.

The present study aims to investigate the possible association of FSH excess with male osteoporosis, by assessing BMD and prevalence of fragility fractures in men with primary hypogonadism (high FSH levels) compared to those with central hypogonadism (low/normal FSH levels).

Materials and Methods

One hundred and nineteen men, consecutively referred to the Hypogonadism Clinic both of Istituto Auxologico Italiano (IAI, Milan) and Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals (NHS Foundation Trust) for clinical suspicion - later confirmed - of hypogonadism, were enrolled in this cross-sectional observational study. Diagnosis of hypogonadism was based on the finding of consistently low T levels (total T < 8 nmol/L or < 12 nmol/L in the presence of calculated free T < 225 pmol/L). The project was approved by the Institutional Review Board of IAI (05622_2016). Recruitment lasted 18 months. Subjects fulfilling one or more of the following criteria were excluded: I) absent puberty; II) current or previous treatment with testosterone and/or gonadotropins, antiresorptive and/or anabolic agents, or any other medication impacting on bone metabolism (e.g., glucocorticoids, anticonvulsants, thiazolidinediones); III) comorbidities that may affect skeletal health, such as hyperthyroidism, hyperparathyroidism, rheumatoid arthritis, malabsorption, alcohol abuse, chronic kidney or liver disease. The flow-chart used to select participants is depicted in Figure 1. Basically, we decided to include only naïve patients with spontaneous pubertal development. In fact, both pubertal delay and previous testosterone replacement therapy (TRT) could be relevant confounders due to their respectively detrimental and beneficial effects on skeleton (27–29).

Causes of hypogonadism and clinical characteristics of the whole cohort are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. Patients were classified as affected either with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Hypo-H) or hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (Hyper-H). As far as the latter is concerned, Klinefelter syndrome (KS) patients were analysed separately from the other forms of Hyper-H (non-KS-Hyper-H) according to the onset of FSH elevation, which occurred at the time of pubertal development (several years prior to T deficiency onset) and later in adult life (coinciding with T deficiency onset), respectively. In KS patients, the age at diagnosis refers to the first finding of low T levels.

All the participants were studied at the time of the first diagnosis of hypogonadism. Relevant patient data were
prospectively assembled as part of routine clinical practice based on the delivery of good clinical care.

Personal and family history was investigated, with focus on previous fragility fractures as well as any conditions or drugs that may affect bone health. Regarding smoking habits, a daily consumption of more than 5 cigarettes was considered as a risk threshold (30).

Weight and height were measured, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated. Waist circumference (WC), arm span (AS), Tanner stage, testicular volume, blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were assessed, too.

Bone mineral density at both lumbar spine (LS, L1-L4) and femoral neck (FN) was measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA, Hologic or Lunar), and expressed as standard deviation (SD) units in relation to the young (t-score) and age-matched (z-score) reference healthy population.

The prevalence of vertebral fractures (VFx) was evaluated by performing vertebral morphometry on conventional thoraco-lumbar-sacral spine radiographs (T5-L4, in lateral and anteroposterior projections). The semiquantitative visual assessment previously described by Genant et al. (31) was employed.

Besides, serum calcium (Ca), albumin, phosphorus (P), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), glucose and lipid profile were determined using standard techniques. 25-hydroxy-vitamin D levels (25OHD) levels were measured by means of Elecsys Roche assay. Albumin-adjusted calcium (aCa) levels were obtained.

Free testosterone (cT) levels also were calculated from total testosterone (tT), albumin and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) using the Vermeulen equation (32).

As per the BonesGone project, these data were extracted from case records and collated in fully anonymised format prior to statistical analysis. Written consent was obtained from each patient or subject after full explanation of the purpose and

### TABLE 1: Etiology of hypogonadism.

| Hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (n=72) | Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (n=47) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Klinefelter syndrome (n=46)          | AO-nCHH (n=40)                       |
| Testicular trauma/torsion (n=3)      | LOH (metabolic syndrome-related) (n=5) |
| Orchitis/Epididymitis (n=2)          | Pituitary macroadenoma (n=2)         |
| Cryptorchidism (n=2)                 |                                       |
| Testicular cancer treated with unilateral orchietomy (n=2) |                                       |
| Autoimmune (APS) (n=1)               |                                       |
| LOH (age-related)/Idiopathic (n=16)  |                                       |

AO-nCHH, adult onset-normosmic congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism; LOH, late onset hypogonadism; APS, autoimmune polyglandular syndrome.
nature of all procedures used, unless these formed part of everyday clinical care.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 27.0 statistical package (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The normality of distribution for continuous variables was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Data were expressed as median (range) for non-normally distributed continuous variables or as mean ± SD for normally distributed variables, whereas as absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables.

Continuous variables were compared between Hyper-H and Hypo-H (Table 2) using one-way Student t test or Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate. One way analysis of variance with Bonferroni post hoc analysis was performed to compare the continuous variables among KS, non-KS-Hyper-H and Hypo-H (Table 3). Categorical variables were compared using X² or Fisher’s Exact test, as appropriate. General Linear Model (GLM) was employed to assess the independent associations between BMD and the variables that were found to be different among groups and those known to be relevant for bone health. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Comparison between patients with hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (Hyper-H) and those with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Hypo-H)

As compared with Hypo-H patients, those with Hyper-H had lower BMI (26.1 ± 4.5 vs 28.1 ± 6.0 kg/m²; p=0.04) and...
TABLE 3  Comparison among patients with Klinefelter syndrome (KS), with other forms of hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (non-KS-Hyper-H) and with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Hypo-H).

|                      | KS (n=46) | non-KS-Hyper-H (n=26) | Hypo-H (n=47) |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Age at diagnosis (years) | 39.2 ± 13.2 | 55.2 ± 15.2 * | 46.3 ± 12.5 ** |
| BMI (kg/m²)           | 26.3 ± 4.9 | 25.6 ± 3.8 | 28.1 ± 6.0 * |
| Overweight/obesity    | 24 (52.2) | 10 (38.5) | 32 (68.1) * |
| LS Z-score (SD)       | -1.3 ± 1.1 | -0.8 ± 1.7 * | -0.7 ± 1.1 § |
| FN Z-score (SD)       | -0.7 ± 1.1 | -0.7 ± 1.0 | -0.6 ± 1.0 |
| VFx                  | 9 (19.6) | 5 (19.2) | 8 (17.0) |
| Other fragility Fx    | 2 (4.3) | 0 (0) | 2 (4.3) |
| aCa (mg/dl)           | 9.5 ± 0.4 | 9.5 ± 0.5 | 9.4 ± 0.4 |
| P (mg/dl)             | 3.3 ± 0.6 | 3.4 ± 0.5 | 3.3 ± 0.6 |
| ALP (IU/L)            | 30.9 ± 3.1 | 30.5 ± 12.9 | 7.8 (3-32) |
| 25OHD (ng/ml)         | 25.8 ± 3.4 | 30.5 ± 9.9 | 22.4 ± 12.7 * |
| FSH (IU/L)            | 40.4 ± 17.4 | 40.7 ± 20.0 | 3.6 ± 2.1 * |
| LH (IU/L)             | 24.0 ± 10.0 | 23.0 ± 12.1 | 2.5 ± 1.5 * |
| cFT (pmol/L)          | 112.7 ± 57.3 | 130.3 ± 45.9 | 104.0 ± 46.2 * |
| T2D/IFG               | 6 (13.0) | 6 (23.1) | 11 (23.4) |
| AH                   | 6 (13.0) | 6 (23.1) | 12 (25.5) |
| Dyslipidaemia         | 5 (10.9) | 6 (23.1) | 11 (23.4) |
| Smoke (>5 cig/d)      | 8 (17.4) | 1 (3.8) | 7 (14.9) |

Data are expressed as mean value ± SD (range) or absolute number (percentage). *p<0.05 vs KS; **p<0.05 vs non-KS-Hyper-H; §p<0.05 vs non-KS-Hyper-H after adjusting for age at diagnosis, BMI, cFT, 25OHD levels and smoking habits by means of General Linear Model analysis. BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; AH, arterial hypertension; cFT, calculated free testosterone; aCa, albumin-adjusted calcium; P, phosphorus; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; 25OHD, 25OH vitamin D; LS, lumbar spine; FN, femoral neck; VFx, vertebral fractures.

overweight/obesity prevalence (47.2% vs 68.1%; p=0.02) with no significant differences in age, prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) and smoking habits (Table 2).

Notably, in the Hyper-H group, 25OHD concentrations were higher (27.8 ± 12.2 vs 22.4 ± 12.7 ng/ml; p=0.04), and cFT (119.0 ± 53.8 vs 104.0 ± 46.2 pmol/L; p=0.1) as well as aCa levels (9.5 ± 0.4 vs 9.4 ± 0.4 mg/dl; p=0.06) tended to be higher as compared with Hypo-H group, while the BMD values and the prevalence of fragility fractures were comparable between the groups.

However, after adjusting for age at diagnosis, BMI, cFT and 25OHD levels by means of GLM analysis, LS BMD was significantly lower (-1.0 ± 1.4 vs -0.7 ± 1.1 SD; p=0.01), and FN BMD tended to be lower (-0.7 ± 1.1 vs -0.6 ± 1.0 SD; p=0.07) in Hyper-H patients than in Hypo-H ones.

The same analysis showed that in the whole cohort LS BMD was directly associated with age at diagnosis (r=0.344, p=0.003) but not with BMI, cFT and 25OHD levels, whereas FN BMD was associated with BMI (r=0.291, p=0.02) but not with age at diagnosis, cFT and 25OHD levels. The bivariate associations between LS BMD and age at diagnosis, and between FN BMD and BMI are depicted in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively.

Comparisons among patients with Klinefelter syndrome (KS), with non-KS-hypergonadotropic hypogonadism (non-KS-Hyper-H) and with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Hypo-H)

In order to evaluate the possible influence of the duration of FSH excess, we compared KS patients with non-KS-Hyper-H patients (Table 3). The former were diagnosed at a younger age (39.2 ± 13.2 vs 55.2 ± 15.2 years; p<0.001) and displayed significantly lower BMD values at LS (-1.3 ± 1.1 vs -0.8 ± 1.7 SD; p=0.01) as compared with the latter ones, while no
differences were found between the two groups in terms of smoking habits, cT and 25OHD levels, as well as FN BMD and presence of fragility fractures.

We also compared the clinical characteristics between non-KS-Hyper-H and Hypo-H ones (Table 3). This analysis did not reveal any statistically significant difference as far as the prevalence of fragility fractures was concerned, while non-KS-Hyper-H patients had higher cT (130.3 ± 45.9 vs 104.0 ± 46.2 pmol/L; p=0.03) and 25OHD levels (30.5 ± 9.9 vs 22.4 ± 12.7 ng/ml; p=0.02), as well as lower BMI (25.6 ± 3.8 vs 28.1 ± 6.0 kg/m²; p=0.04) and prevalence of overweight/obesity (38.5% vs 68.1%; p=0.04) than Hypo-H patients. After adjusting for age at diagnosis, BMI, cT, 25OHD levels and smoking habits, LS BMD was significantly lower (-0.8 ± 1.7 vs -0.7 ± 1.1 SD; p=0.01), and FN BMD tended to be lower (-0.7 ± 1.0 vs -0.6 ± 1.0; p=0.07) in non-KS-Hyper-H than in Hypo-H men.

Besides, in the whole sample of hypogonadal men with adult-onset FSH elevation, LS BMD was directly associated with both age at diagnosis (r=0.360, p=0.002) and overweight/obesity prevalence (r=0.338, p=0.003), but not with smoking habits, cT and 25OHD levels, whilst FN BMD tended to be associated with age at diagnosis (r=0.320, p=0.06) but not with overweight/obesity prevalence, smoking habits, cT and 25OHD levels.

### Discussion

This is the first study comparing men with primary and central hypogonadism to better delineate the role of FSH in the male bone health. Notably, such findings indicate a potential negative effect of FSH excess on the male bone mass, especially at spine, either in the whole cohort or within the subset of hypogonadal patients with adult-onset FSH elevation. The duration of high FSH levels may also play a part in this setting, as revealed by the comparison between KS and non-KS-Hyper-H men.

Indeed, several findings support the FSH fostering role on bone loss in males. For instance, in KS patients neither T levels nor polymorphisms in the androgen receptor (AR) gene - consisting of a variable number of CAG repeats which modulate its sensitivity - are associated with osteoporosis (21),...
Importantly, elevated FSH levels could compound the association between increased bone resorption and metastatic bone invasion observed in patients on androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer (22). Moreover, a negative correlation between FSH concentrations and lumbar/femoral BMD was found in 156 men from a case-control study (20). In contrast, there was no evidence for an association between baseline FSH levels and changes in bone mass in a recent longitudinal observational study of Icelandic older adults (23). Likewise, in a group of 137 middle aged men with idiopathic spermatogenic failure, who are, hence, exposed to high FSH in the presence of normal T levels, bone health was not found to be impaired (24). However, this latter study presents the following limitations: a) exclusion of men with explained infertility; b) potential non-response bias and lack of baseline BMD measurements (24). Similar data were observed in another cohort of men with idiopathic infertility or KS (25). Lastly, in a sub-analysis of the Hypogonadism in Men study, Uihlein and colleagues detected no significant change in bone turnover markers after suppressing FSH by means of a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analog for 16 weeks, in comparison with controls receiving placebo (26). Nevertheless, only eugonadal subjects were enrolled, and duration and/or magnitude of FSH reduction may have been insufficient (26).

In our project, after adjusting for potential confounders, Hyper-H patients showed lower LS BMD, and tended to show lower FN BMD levels, as compared to Hypo-H patients (either in the whole cohort or within the subset of hypogonadal patients with adult-onset FSH elevation). Since we enrolled only patients naïve of any treatment or condition possibly interfering with BMD, these findings give a strong support to the negative effect of FSH excess on the male bone mass. Trabecular bone seems to be affected to a greater extent, in keeping with what is observed in perimenopausal women and, more generally, in high-turnover osteoporosis. In fact, FSH elevation may partly explain the decline in bone density that occurs during the early menopausal transition when oestrogen levels are still well-preserved (34, 35).

Furthermore, in KS patients BMD values were lower than in the other Hyper-H ones. Notably, this may reflect the effect of long-lasting FSH excess (starting from puberty) on trabecular bone. Indeed, it is worth noting that hypogonadism can just partly justify bone fragility in KS (36, 37), and additional factors should be taken into account, such as decreased vitamin D and insulin-like factor 3 (INSL3) concentrations (37, 38), sarcopenia (36) and genetic factors (21, 39). Long-lasting elevated FSH - not fully normalised by TRT - might hence represent a further contributor to osteoporosis in this condition.

By carrying out the GLM analysis in the whole cohort, we found LS BMD and FN BMD to be independently and directly associated with age at diagnosis and BMI, respectively (Figures 2, 3). The same analysis, when restricted to patients with adult-onset FSH elevation, confirmed only partially such results, probably because of the smaller sample size. Overall, the relationship between BMD and age at diagnosis could be simply related to the progressively longer timeframe available for bone accrual before the occurrence of hypogonadism. On the other hand, the mechanisms that may account for the direct correlation between BMD and BMI, include increased loading (especially at hip) along with raised levels of leptin and oestrogen due to increased expression of aromatase in the adipose tissue of obese subjects (40). Under some circumstances, obesity (especially when accompanied by T2D and hypovitaminosis D) can impair bone quality, even though bone density may be normal or even higher than normal (41, 42).

As expected (28), Hypo-H patients showed higher BMI and overweight/obesity prevalence compared with Hyper-H ones. Although just few participants were diagnosed with metabolic syndrome-related late-onset hypogonadism (LOH), overweight/obesity might indeed play a role also among those labelled as adult-onset-congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (Table 1). It is in fact challenging to distinguish between these two forms of central hypogonadism (43). In this regard, recent data have suggested a genetic predisposition underlying LOH as well (44).

Our project also presents some limitations. Firstly, the final sample size of patients was limited but balanced by the rigorous design of the study that excluded many hypogonadal patients (Figure 1). Although the average duration of disease was not available, we did not discern any remarkable differences between groups. Moreover, some patients have been probably commenced on vitamin D supplements some time before being enrolled, which may have influenced 25OHD circulating levels but not BMD. Lastly, the cross-sectional design allowed to find associations but not to demonstrate a link of causality. Further longitudinal studies, involving patients on TRT, are required.

Crucially, the worldwide incidence of fragility fractures has been exponentially growing, resulting in significantly impaired quality of life and increased mortality and social burden. Although up to 30-40% of all fragility fractures affect men (45), male osteoporosis remains to date underdiagnosed and undertreated. Therefore, finding novel risk factors and mediators for male bone fragility could guide earlier identification of high-risk subjects, with a view to ultimately reducing the huge public health burden related to fractures. Further, these data prompt the future deployment of FSH-blocking agents in order to uncouple the two phases of bone turnover and thus achieve an anabolic net effect (46, 47). Another promising strategy might be FSHβ vaccine (48). The data also support early intervention with testosterone treatment in males with compensated hypogonadism (raised LH & FSH with normal T level), including KS patients, rather than waiting for serum T levels to decline below a given threshold.
Conclusions

The present study suggests a negative effect of FSH excess on bone mass, especially at spine, in men with hypergonadotropin hypogonadism. The duration of high FSH levels may also have a role in this context. Overall, in hypogonadal males, trabecular bone seems to be associated with age, whereas BMI may influence to a greater extent cortical bone.
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