Study of the all orders multiplicative renormalizability of a local confining quark action in the Landau gauge
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Abstract

The inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator plays a pivotal role within the Gribov-Zwanziger approach to the quantization of Euclidean Yang-Mills theories in Landau gauge. Following a recent proposal [1], we show that the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator can be consistently coupled to quark fields. Such a coupling gives rise to a local action while reproducing the behaviour of the quark propagator observed in lattice numerical simulations in the non-perturbative infrared region. By using the algebraic renormalization framework, we prove that the aforementioned local action is multiplicatively renormalizable to all orders.

1 Introduction

Nowadays, the so called Gribov-Zwanziger framework [2, 3, 4, 5] is a powerful tool in order to study non-perturbative aspects of gluon and quark confinement. Going a step beyond of the perturbative quantization method of Faddeev-Popov, Gribov called attention to the fact that the presence of zero modes of the Faddeev-Popov operator, given by

\[ M_{ab} = -\partial_\mu (\delta_{ab} \partial_\mu - g f^{abc} A^c_\mu ) , \]  

results in the existence of Gribov copies [2], i.e. equivalent gauge field configurations fulfilling the same gauge-fixing condition[1]. As a consequence, the Faddeev-Popov quantization procedure becomes ill-defined, meaning that it is not possible to pick up a unique gauge field configuration for each gauge orbit through a local and covariant gauge-fixing condition [8]. The existence of the Gribov copies is a non-perturbative phenomenon which has deep consequences on the infrared behaviour of confining Yang-Mills theories.

To deal with the existence of equivalent gauge field configurations, Gribov was able to show that a large number of copies could be eliminated by restricting the domain of integration in the functional integral to a certain region \( \Omega \) in field space [2]. This region is known as the Gribov region and is given by all field configurations which fulfill the Landau gauge condition, \( \partial_\mu A^a_\mu = 0 \), and for which the Faddeev-Popov operator \( M^{ab} \) is strictly positive, namely

\[ \Omega = \{ A^a_\mu ; \partial_\mu A^a_\mu = 0 ; M^{ab} = -(\partial^2 \delta^{ab} - g f^{abc} A^c_\mu \partial_\mu ) > 0 \} . \]  

[For a pedagogical introduction to the Gribov problem, see [6, 7] ]
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The region $\Omega$ enjoys important properties \([9, 10, 11]\):

- i) it is convex and bounded in all directions in field space. Its boundary $\partial \Omega$ is the first Gribov horizon, where the first non-vanishing eigenvalue of $M^{ab}$ shows up.

- ii) every gauge orbit intersects at least once the region $\Omega$. This last property gives a well-defined support to Gribov’s original proposal of cutting off the functional integral at the Gribov horizon.

Later on, Zwanziger \([3, 4, 5]\) showed that the restriction of the domain of integration to the region $\Omega$ is equivalent to adding to the original Faddeev-Popov action a nonlocal term $H(A)$, called horizon function, given by

$$H(A) = g^2 \int d^4x d^4y f^{abc} A^b_\mu (M^{-1})^{ad}(x,y) f^{dec} A^e_\mu(y), \quad (3)$$

with $M^{-1}^{ab}$ being the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator \([11]\).

Thus, for the partition function one writes \([2, 3, 4, 5]\)

$$Z = \int_{\Omega} DA \delta(\partial A) \det(M) e^{-SYM} = \int_{\Omega} DA \delta(\partial A) \det(M) e^{-(SYM+\gamma^4 H(A)-V_{\gamma^4 4(N^2-1)})}$$

$$Z = \int_{\Omega} DA DC DC Db e^{-S_{GZ}}, \quad (4)$$

where $S_{GZ}$ is the Gribov-Zwanziger action

$$S_{GZ} = S_{FP} + \gamma^4 H(A) - V_{\gamma^4 4(N^2-1)}, \quad (5)$$

with $S_{FP}$ denoting the Faddeev-Popov action in Landau gauge

$$S_{FP} = \int d^4x \left( \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^a_{\mu\nu} + b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu - \bar{c}^a M^{ab} c^b \right), \quad (6)$$

The field $b^a$ in expression \((6)\) is the Lagrange multiplier enforcing the Landau gauge condition, $\partial_\mu A^a_\mu = 0$, while $(c^a, \bar{c}^a)$ are the Faddeev-Popov ghosts. Also, the massive parameter $\gamma^2$ in eq.\((5)\) is the so called Gribov parameter. It is not a free parameter, being determined in a self-consistent way through a gap equation, called the horizon condition, which reads

$$\frac{\partial E_v}{\partial \gamma^2} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $E_v(\gamma)$ is the vacuum energy defined by

$$e^{-V E_v} = Z. \quad (8)$$

It is worth to point out that, even if the horizon term $H(A)$, eq.\((3)\), is non-local, the action \((5)\) can be cast in local form \([3, 4, 5]\) by means of the introduction of a set of auxiliary fields $(\bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu, \omega^{ab}_\mu, \bar{\varphi}^{ab}_\mu, \varphi^{ab}_\mu)$, where $(\varphi^{ab}_\mu, \bar{\varphi}^{ab}_\mu)$ are a pair of bosonic fields, while $(\bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu, \omega^{ab}_\mu)$ are anti-commuting. For the local formulation of the theory, we have

$$Z = \int DA DC DC Db D\bar{\omega} D\omega D\bar{\varphi} D\varphi e^{-S_{GZ}}, \quad (9)$$

where $S_{GZ}$ is now given by the local expression

$$S_{GZ} = S_{FP} + S_0 + S_\gamma, \quad (10)$$
where $S_0$ and $S_\gamma$ read

\[
S_0 = \int d^4 x \left( \bar{\varphi}_\mu^a \left( \partial_\mu D_\nu^{ab} \right) \varphi_\mu^b - \bar{\omega}_\mu^a \left( \partial_\mu D_\nu^{ab} \right) \omega_\mu^b - g f_{\gammaab} (\partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_\mu^{ac}) \left( D_\nu^{mp} e^p \right) \varphi_\mu^b \right),
\]

and

\[
S_\gamma = \gamma^2 \int d^4 x \left( g f_{\gammaab} A_\mu^a (\varphi_\mu^b + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^b) \right) - 4\gamma^4 V (\Lambda^2 - 1) .
\]

The local action (10) exhibits the important property of being multiplicative renormalizable to all orders. Recently, a refinement of the Gribov-Zwanziger action has been worked out by the authors, by taking into account the existence of dimension two condensates. The Refined Gribov-Zwanziger (RGZ) action reads

\[
S_{RGZ} = S_{GZ} + \int d^4 x \left( \frac{m^2}{2} A_\mu^a A_\nu^a - \mu^2 \left( \bar{\varphi}_\mu^a \varphi_\mu^a - \bar{\omega}_\mu^a \omega_\mu^a \right) \right).
\]

As the Gribov parameter $\gamma^2$, the massive parameters $(m^2, \mu^2)$ have a dynamical origin, being related to the existence of the dimension two condensates $\langle A_\mu^a A_\nu^a \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{\varphi}_\mu^a \varphi_\mu^a - \bar{\omega}_\mu^a \omega_\mu^a \rangle$. The gluon propagator obtained from the RGZ action turns out to be suppressed in the infrared region, attaining a non-vanishing value at zero momentum, $k^2 = 0$, i.e.

\[
\langle A_\mu^a (k) A_\nu^a (-k) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \left( \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) \mathcal{D}(k^2),
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}(k^2) = \frac{k^2 + \mu^2}{k^4 + (\mu^2 + m^2)k^2 + 2Ng^2\gamma^4 + \mu^2 m^2}.
\]

Also, the ghost propagator stemming from the Refined theory is not enhanced in the deep infrared

\[
\mathcal{G}^{ab}(k^2) = \left. \langle e^a(k) e^b(-k) \rangle \right|_{k \to 0} \sim \frac{\delta^{ab}}{k^2}.
\]

The infrared behaviour of the gluon and ghost propagators obtained from the RGZ action turns out to be in very good agreement with the most recent numerical lattice simulations on large lattices. Furthermore, from the numerical estimates of the parameters $(m^2, \mu^2, \gamma^2)$ it turns out that the RGZ gluon propagator displays complex poles and violates reflection positivity. This kind of propagator lacks the Källén-Lehmann spectral representation and cannot be associated with the propagation of physical particles. Rather, it indicates that, in the non-perturbative infrared region, gluons are not physical excitations of the spectrum of the theory, i.e. they are confined. Let us mentioning here that the RGZ gluon propagator has been employed in analytic calculation of glueball states, yielding results which compare well with the available numerical simulations as well as with other approaches, see [22] for an account on this topic.

As illustrated above, the RGZ framework, turns out to capture important aspects of the gluon confinement. Nevertheless, what can be said about the matter sector? A first answer to this question was proposed recently in [1] where a new term, very similar to Zwanziger’s horizon function, was investigated. Such new term was inspired by recent lattice numerical simulations of the correlation function

\[
Q^{abcd}(x - y) = \left\langle R^a_{\mu}(x) R^c_{\nu}(y) \right\rangle ,
\]

with

\[
R_{\mu}^{ac}(x) = \int d^4 z (M^{-1})^{ad}(x, z) g f^{dec} A_{\mu}^{e}(z) ,
\]

\[
\gamma^2 = \int d^4 x \left( g f_{\gammaab} A_\mu^a (\varphi_\mu^b + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^b) \right) - 4\gamma^4 V (\Lambda^2 - 1) .
\]
More precisely, in [23], it has been shown that the Fourier transform of expression (17) is non-vanishing and behaves as $\frac{1}{k^4}$ in the deep infrared, a result which is again in agreement with the RGZ framework, i.e.

$$\langle \tilde{R}^{ab}_\mu(k)\tilde{R}^{cd}_\nu(-k) \rangle_{k \to 0} \sim \frac{1}{k^4}.$$  

As observed in [23], this behaviour can be understood by making use of the analysis of [24], i.e. of the cluster decomposition

$$\langle \tilde{R}^{ab}_\mu(k)\tilde{R}^{cd}_\nu(-k) \rangle \sim g^2G^2(k^2)D(k^2),$$

where $D(k^2)$ and $G(k^2)$ correspond to the gluon and ghost propagators, eqs. (15), (16). A non-enhanced ghost propagator, i.e. $G(k^2)_{k \to 0} \sim \frac{1}{k^2}$, and an infrared finite gluon propagator, i.e. $D(0) \neq 0$, nicely yield the behaviour of eq. (19).

In [1], the idea that the quantity $R_{\mu}^{ab}(x)$ and the correlation function $Q_{\mu \nu}^{abcd}(x-y)$, eqs. (17), (18), could be generalized to the case of matter fields was exploited in details. The main argument developed in [1] can be summarized as follows. Let $\tilde{S}^i(x)$ be a generic matter field, i.e. a scalar or a spinor field, in a given representation of the gauge group $SU(N)$, and let $R_{\delta}^{ai}(x)$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{R}_{\delta}^{ai}(x)$ be defined by

$$R_{\delta}^{ai}(x) := g \int d^4z (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,z)(T^{bij})(\tilde{S}^j(z),$$

$$\bar{R}_{\delta}^{ai}(x) := g \int d^4z (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,z)\bar{\tilde{S}}^j(z)(T^{bij}),$$

where $(T^a)^{ij}$ are the generators of the representation and $a = 1, \ldots, (N^2-1)$. The quantity $R_{\delta}^{ai}(x)$ is needed if the generic field $\tilde{S}^i$ is complex. Notice how similar eq’s (18) and (21) are. Then, a non-trivial correlation function

$$Q_{\mu \nu}^{abij}(x-y) = \langle R_{\delta}^{ai}(x)\bar{R}_{\delta}^{bj}(y) \rangle,$$

can be obtained from a theory constructed by adding to the usual matter action a term similar to the horizon function, namely

$$S_{\text{matter}} + S_{\text{matter}} + M^3H_{\text{matter}},$$

where, in complete analogy with the horizon function [3], $H_{\text{matter}}$ is given by

$$H_{\text{matter}} = -g^2 \int d^4xd^4y \tilde{S}^i(x)(T^{ai})^{ij}(M^{-1})^{ab}(x,y)(T^{bij})^k \delta^k(y).$$

Also, the mass parameter $M$ plays a role akin to that of the Gribov parameter $\gamma$.

The present work aims at pursuing the analysis started in [1]. In particular, we shall prove the multiplicative renormalizability of the model constructed according to [23] when the matter field under consideration is the quark field, i.e., when $\tilde{S}^i \equiv \psi^i$. It is worth underlining that this approach provides a tree level quark propagator that can be written as

$$\langle \psi^i(p)\bar{\psi}^j(-p) \rangle = \frac{-ip_\mu \gamma^\mu + A(p^2)}{p^2 + A(p^2)} \delta^{ij},$$

where the quark mass function $A(p^2)$ is given by

$$A(p^2) = m_\psi + g^2 \left( \frac{N^2-1}{2N} \right) \frac{M^3}{p^2 + \mu_\psi^2}.$$
Here, the mass \( m_\psi \) stands for the quark mass, while \( \mu_2 \psi \) is related to the condensation of a lower dimensional operator in the matter sector [1], a feature which shares great similarity with the lower dimensional condensates of the RGZ action, eq. (13).

It is worth underlining here that a quark propagator of the kind of eqs. (25), (26) fits very well the lattice numerical data, see [25] and the discussion reported in [1]. In particular, one notices that the quark mass function does not vanish at zero momentum for vanishing quark mass \( m_\psi = 0 \), signalling a dynamical breakdown of the chiral symmetry. As such, expressions (25), (26) capture nontrivial non-perturbative aspects of quark confinement.

The present work is organized as follows. In Section 2 we construct the complete local action in the matter sector which takes into account the term (24). In Section 3 we establish the large set of Ward identities fulfilled by the local action. In Section 4 we characterize the most general local invariant counterterm by means of the algebraic renormalization procedure [26] and we establish the all order multiplicative renormalizability of the model. In Section 5 we collect our conclusions.

2 Identifying the local classical starting action

In order to identify the local classical action implementing the framework discussed above, we start with the Gribov-Zwanziger action supplemented with a non-local matter term, as described in eq. (24), i.e.

\[
S = S_{\text{GZ}} + S_{\text{matter}} + M^3 H_{\text{matter}},
\]

where \( S_{\text{GZ}} \) is the Gribov-Zwanziger action, eq. (10), and \( S_{\text{matter}}, H_{\text{matter}} \) given, respectively, by

\[
S_{\text{matter}} = \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\psi}^i \gamma_\mu D_\mu \psi^j - m_\psi \bar{\psi}^i \psi^i \right],
\]

and

\[
H_{\text{matter}} = -g^2 \int d^4x d^4y \bar{\psi}_\alpha^i (x) (T^a)^{ij} (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,y) (T^b)^{jk} \psi^{k\alpha}(y).
\]

Despite its non-locality, the term \( H_{\text{matter}} \) can be cast in local by means of the introduction of a set of localizing field, in a way similar to the localization of the horizon function (3) [3, 4, 5]. Therefore, for the local version of the starting action, we write

\[
S = S_{\text{GZ}} + S_{\text{local}}
\]

where

\[
S_{\text{local}} = \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\psi}^i \gamma_\mu D_\mu \psi^j - m_\psi \bar{\psi}^i \psi^i \right] + \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\lambda}^a_i (-\partial_\mu D_\mu \lambda^b) \lambda^b a + \bar{\eta}^a_i (-\partial_\mu D_\mu \eta^b) \eta^b a - gf^{abc}(\partial_\mu \bar{\eta}^a_i) (D_\mu c) \lambda^{cia} \right]
\]

\[
+ gM^{3/2} \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\lambda}_a^c (T^a)^{ij} \psi_j^i + \bar{\eta}_a^c (T^a)^{ij} \lambda^{cia} \right],
\]

where \((\bar{\lambda}^a_i, \lambda^a_i)\) are anti-commuting spinor fields and \((\bar{\eta}^a_i, \eta^a_i)\) are commutating ones. It is easily checked that integration over the auxiliary fields \((\bar{\psi}^a_i, \lambda^a_i, \bar{\eta}^a_i, \eta^a_i)\) gives back the non-local expression (29).
As in the case of the Gribov-Zwanziger action [1 3 4 5 13 27 28 29 30 31 32], the local action $S$ exhibits a soft breaking of the BRST symmetry, namely

$$sS = \gamma^2 \Delta_\gamma + M^{3/2} \Delta_M,$$

with

$$\Delta_\gamma = \int d^4 x \left[ -gf^{abc}(D_\mu c)^d(\varphi^b_{\mu} + \varphi^b_\mu) + gf^{abc}A_\mu^a \omega^b_\mu \right],$$

$$\Delta_M = \int d^4 x \left[ ig^2(T^a)^{ij}(T^b)^{jk} \chi^i_\alpha \chi^j_\beta - ig^2(T^a)^{ij}(T^a)^{jk} \psi^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{j\alpha}_b - g(T^a)^{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \eta^{j\alpha}_a \right],$$

and $s$ denoting the nilpotent BRST transformations

$$sA^a_\mu = -D^{ab}_\mu c^b, \quad sc = \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} c^b c^c, \quad sc^a = b^a, \quad sb^a = 0,$$

$$s\omega_\mu^{ab} = \bar{\omega}_\mu^{ab}, \quad s\bar{\omega}_\mu^{ab} = 0, \quad s\varphi_\mu^{ab} = \omega_\mu^{ab}, \quad s\omega_\mu^{ab} = 0,$$

$$s\bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a = -ig(T^a)^{ij} c^a \psi^{j\alpha}_b, \quad s\bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a = -ig \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a (T^a)^{ij} c^a,$$

$$s\tilde{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a = \tilde{\lambda}^{i\alpha}_a, \quad s\tilde{\lambda}^{i\alpha}_a = 0, \quad s\lambda^{i\alpha}_a = \eta^{i\alpha}_a, \quad s\eta^{i\alpha}_a = 0.$$

Notice that, being of dimensions less than four in the fields, the breaking terms $\Delta_\gamma, \Delta_M$ are soft. This kind of breaking can be kept under control through the renormalization process. To that purpose, we follow the strategy already employed in the case of the GZ and RGZ actions [3 4 5 13 27 28 29 30 31] and we embed the action $S$ into a larger action exhibiting exact BRST invariance.

Following [3 4 5 13 27 28 29 30 31], let us introduce two set of BRST quartet of external sources:

$$sN^{ab}_{\mu \nu} = N^{ab}_{\mu \nu}, \quad sM^{ab}_{\mu \nu} = 0, \quad sM^{ab}_{\mu \nu} = N^{ab}_{\mu \nu}, \quad sN^{ab}_{\mu \nu} = 0; \quad (36)$$

$$sU^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} = V^{ij}_{\alpha \beta}, \quad sV^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} = 0, \quad sV^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} = U^{ij}_{\alpha \beta}, \quad sU^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Therefore, we replace the action $S$ by the BRST invariant action

$$S_{inv} = \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \nu}_{\mu \sigma} F_{\mu \nu} + \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a (\gamma^a)_{\alpha \beta} D^{ij}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a - m\psi \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{i\alpha}_a \right\}$$

$$+ s \int d^4 x \left\{ c^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + \bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu \partial_\mu D_\mu c^b \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{j\beta}_a + M^{ac} D_\mu c^b - M^{ac} D_\mu c^b \right\}$$

$$+ s \int d^4 x \left\{ \bar{\eta}^{i\alpha}_a \left( \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \right) \lambda^{bc} + U^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{j\beta}_a + g(T^a)^{ij} \lambda^{a\beta} + V^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \eta^{a\beta} g(T^a)^{ij} \psi^{i\alpha}_a + \zeta \psi \left( \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a V^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \nu}_{\mu \sigma} F_{\mu \nu} + \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a (\gamma^a)_{\alpha \beta} D^{ij}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a - m\psi \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{i\alpha}_a \right\}$$

$$+ \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a + \bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu \partial_\mu D^{bc}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a - g^a f^{bc}(\bar{\omega}^{be}_\mu(D^{bd}_\mu c)^d)_{\alpha \beta} - M^{ac} D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a$$

$$+ N^{ac} D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a + g f^{abc}(D^{be}_\mu c)^d_{\alpha \beta} - M^{ac} D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a$$

$$- N^{ac} D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\psi}^{j\beta}_a - \bar{\lambda}^{i\alpha}_a \left( \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \right) \lambda^{bc} - \bar{\eta}^{i\alpha}_a \left( \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \right) \eta^{b\alpha} - g^a f^{bc}(\bar{\omega}^{be}_\mu(D^{bd}_\mu c)^d)_{\alpha \beta}$$

$$+ V^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a g(T^a)^{ij} \lambda^{a\beta} + U^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \left[ ig^2(T^a)^{ij}(T^b)^{kl} \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{l\beta}_b \lambda^{b\alpha} + \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha}_a g(T^a)^{ij} \eta^{b\alpha} \right]$$

$$+ V^{ik}_{\alpha \beta} \left( \bar{\lambda}^{i\alpha}_a g(T^a)^{ij} \lambda^{a\beta} + ig^2 \bar{\eta}^{a\beta} \lambda^{a\beta} (T^a)^{ij} \psi^{i\alpha}_a \psi^{j\beta}_a \right) + U^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \eta^{a\beta} g(T^a)^{ij} \psi^{i\alpha}_a$$

$$+ \zeta \psi \left( \bar{V}^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \psi^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} - \bar{U}^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} U^{ij}_{\alpha \beta} \right) \right\} , \quad (38)$$

$$6$$
with

$$s_{\text{inv}} = 0.$$ (39)

The last term in expression (38), $\zeta m_\psi \left(V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - \bar{U}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\right)$, is a vacuum term allowed by power-counting, while $\zeta$ is a dimensionless coefficient. The starting action $S$ is recovered from the invariant action $s_{\text{inv}}$ when the external sources attain a particular value, usually called the physical value, i.e.

$$S_{\text{inv}} \bigg|_{\text{phys value}} = S$$ (40)

where

$$M_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = M_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bigg|_{\text{phys}}, \quad N_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = N_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = 0;$$ (41)

$$V_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = V_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = M^{ab} \delta^{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad U_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = U_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} \bigg|_{\text{phys}} = 0.$$ (42)

As the action $S$ is obtained as a particular case of the extended action $S_{\text{inv}}$, renormalizability of $S_{\text{inv}}$ will imply that of $S$. We thus proceed by focussing on the action $S_{\text{inv}}$.

In order to discuss the renormalizability of $S$ we notice that the BRST transformations of the gauge, ghost and matter fields, eqs. (33), are nonlinear. As such, we need to properly take into account the corresponding composite operators, a task which is achieved by introducing external invariant sources $(\Omega^a_\mu, L^n, J^\mu, J^\alpha)$ coupled to the nonlinear BRST transformations, i.e.

$$S_{\text{ext}} = \int \! d^4 x \left[ \Omega^a_\mu s A^a_\mu + L^n s c^n + J^\mu s \psi^\dagger_\alpha + (s \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha) J^\alpha \right].$$ (43)

Therefore, for the complete starting classical action $\Sigma$ we obtain

$$\Sigma = S_{\text{inv}} + S_{\text{ext}}$$

\[\begin{align*}
\cdots = & \int \! d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} D_\mu \psi^\dagger_\beta - m_\psi \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \psi^\dagger_\alpha + b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + c^\alpha \partial_\mu D^{ab} \psi^\mu + \delta^{ij} b^a \partial_\mu \bar{A}^a_\mu \right. \\
& + \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \left[ M_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \psi^\mu + \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} D_\mu \psi^\dagger_\beta - m_\psi \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \psi^\dagger_\alpha + b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + c^\alpha \partial_\mu D^{ab} \psi^\mu \right] \\
& + \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \left[ M_{\mu\nu}^{ab} \psi^\mu + \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} D_\mu \psi^\dagger_\beta - m_\psi \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \psi^\dagger_\alpha + b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + c^\alpha \partial_\mu D^{ab} \psi^\mu \right] \\
& - \gamma_\mu (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} g(T_\alpha^a ij) \psi^\dagger_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\beta + \bar{U}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha g(T_\alpha^a ij) \psi^\dagger_\beta - i g^2 \bar{\eta}^{\alpha\beta} (T_\alpha^a ij) (T_\beta^b ij) \psi^\dagger_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\beta \right] \\
& + g(T_\alpha^a ij) (T_\beta^b ij) \psi^\dagger_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\beta + \bar{U}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha g(T_\alpha^a ij) \psi^\dagger_\beta - i g^2 \bar{\eta}^{\alpha\beta} (T_\alpha^a ij) (T_\beta^b ij) \psi^\dagger_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\beta \right] \\
& + \bar{U}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \psi^\dagger_\beta + \zeta m_\psi \left( V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} - \bar{U}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} V_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\right) - \Omega^a_\mu \bar{D}^{ab} \psi^\mu + \frac{b}{2} \bar{f}^{abc} L^n s c^n \\
& - \bar{J}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha \psi^\dagger_\beta + \bar{J}^{\alpha\beta} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\alpha g(T_\alpha^a ij) \psi^\dagger_\beta - i g^2 \bar{\eta}^{\alpha\beta} (T_\alpha^a ij) (T_\beta^b ij) \psi^\dagger_\alpha \bar{\psi}^\dagger_\beta \right] \\
& + \left. \right\} .
\end{align*}\] (44)

Before proceeding with the analysis of the renormalizability, it turns out to be useful to introduce a multi-index notation. Following [3, 4, 5], we first introduce the multi-index $I \equiv \{a, \mu\}$, $I = 1...4(N^2 - 1)$, i.e.

$$\begin{align*}
\left( \varphi^{ab}, \varphi^{ab} \right) & \equiv \left( \varphi^{aI}, \varphi^{aI}, \omega^{aI}, \bar{\omega}^{aI} \right) \\
\left( M^{ab}, M^{ab}, N^{ab}, \bar{N}^{ab} \right) & \equiv \left( M^{aI}, M^{aI}, N^{aI}, \bar{N}^{aI} \right).
\end{align*}$$ (45)
As pointed out in [3, 4, 5], the possibility of introducing the multi-index $I \equiv \{a, \mu \}$ relies on an exact $U(f)$ symmetry, $f = 4(N^2 - 1)$, i.e.

$$Q_{\mu \nu}^{ab}(\Sigma) = 0,$$

(46)

where

$$Q_{\mu \nu}^{ab} \equiv \int d^4 x \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\varphi}_{\nu}^{cb}} \right) \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_{\nu}^{ca}} \right) - \varphi_{\mu}^{ca} \frac{\delta}{\delta \varphi_{\nu}^{cb}} + \omega_{\mu}^{ca} \frac{\delta}{\delta \omega_{\nu}^{cb}} - \omega_{\mu}^{cb} \frac{\delta}{\delta \omega_{\nu}^{ca}}
+ M_{\sigma \nu}^{ca} \frac{\delta}{\delta M_{\sigma \mu}^{cb}} - \tilde{M}_{\sigma \nu}^{cb} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{M}_{\sigma \mu}^{ca}} + N_{\sigma \mu}^{ca} \frac{\delta}{\delta N_{\sigma \nu}^{cb}} - \tilde{N}_{\sigma \nu}^{cb} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{N}_{\sigma \mu}^{ca}} \right).$$

(47)

Also, the trace of the operator $Q_{\mu \nu}^{ab}$ defines the $q_{if}$-charge, displayed in the tables below.

Similarly, a second composite index $\hat{I} \equiv \{i, \alpha \}$ can be introduced,

$$\left( \lambda_{i\alpha}^a, \bar{\lambda}_{i\alpha}^a, \eta_{i\alpha}^a, \bar{\eta}_{i\alpha}^a \right) \equiv \left( \lambda_{i\alpha}^\top, \bar{\lambda}_{i\alpha}^\top, \eta_{i\alpha}^\top, \bar{\eta}_{i\alpha}^\top \right),$$

$$\left( V_{ij\alpha \beta}, \bar{V}_{ij\alpha \beta}, U_{ij\alpha \beta}, \bar{U}_{ij\alpha \beta} \right) \equiv \left( V_{ija \top}, \bar{V}_{ija \top}, U_{ija \top}, \bar{U}_{ija \top} \right),$$

(48)

due to a second exact $U(\hat{f})$ symmetry, $\hat{f} = 4N$, i.e.

$$\hat{Q}_{i\alpha \beta}^{ij}(\Sigma) = 0,$$

(49)

with

$$\hat{Q}_{i\alpha \beta}^{ij} \equiv \int d^4 x \left( \lambda_{i\alpha}^{ai} \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda_{j\beta}^{aj}} - \lambda_{i\beta}^{aj} \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda_{j\alpha}^{ai}} + \eta_{i\alpha}^{ai} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_{j\beta}^{aj}} - \eta_{i\beta}^{aj} \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_{j\alpha}^{ai}} + V_{ij\gamma \delta}^{kl} \frac{\delta}{\delta V_{i\gamma \delta}^{kl}} + U_{ij\gamma \delta}^{kl} \frac{\delta}{\delta U_{i\gamma \delta}^{kl}} \right).$$

(50)

The trace of the operator $\hat{Q}_{i\alpha \beta}^{ij}$ defines the $q_{ij}$-charge, also displayed in the tables below. Making use of the multi-indices $(I, \hat{I})$, for the complete action $\Sigma$ we get

$$\Sigma = S_{\text{inv}} + S_{\text{ext}}$$

$$= \int d^4 x \left\{ \tilde{\psi}^{ij} (\gamma_5)_{ij} \lambda_{ij} \psi^{ij} - m_{ij} \tilde{\psi}^{ij} \psi^{ij} + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu}^{ab} F^{ab}_{\mu \nu} + b^a \partial_\mu A^a_\mu + e^a \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu c^b + \varphi_{ij} \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \varphi^{bl} - \omega_{ij} \partial_\mu D^{ab}_\mu \omega^{bl} - g f^{abc} (\partial_\mu \omega^{al}) (D^{bd}_\mu c^d) \psi^{ij} - \tilde{M}_{ij}^{al} D^{ab}_\mu \omega^{bl}
+ \tilde{M}_{ij}^{al} \left[ D^{ab}_\mu \omega^{bl} + g f^{abc} (D^{cd}_\mu c^d) \varphi^{ij} \right] - \tilde{M}_{ij}^{al} D^{ab}_\mu \omega^{bl} \right\}$$

(51)
3 Ward identities

In this section we derive the large set of Ward identities fulfilled by the complete action ($\Sigma$). These Ward identities will be the starting point for the analysis of the algebraic characterization of the most general invariant counterterm. It is easily checked that $\Sigma$ obeys the following identities:

- The Slavnov-Taylor identity:

$$S(\Sigma) = 0, \quad (52)$$

with

$$S(\Sigma) \equiv \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta A^a_\mu} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^a} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta J_\alpha^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \psi^a_\alpha} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\lambda}^a} + b^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + \bar{\varphi}_a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} + N^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta N^a_\mu} + \bar{M}^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} + \lambda^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \psi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} + \bar{\lambda}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\lambda}^a} + \bar{\varphi}_a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + M^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} \right) \quad (53)$$

Let us also introduce, for further use, the so called linearized Slavnov-Taylor operator $B_\Sigma$, defined as

$$B_\Sigma = \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta A^a_\mu} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^a} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta J_\alpha^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \psi^a_\alpha} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\lambda}^a} + b^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + \bar{\varphi}_a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} + N^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta N^a_\mu} + \bar{M}^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} + \lambda^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \psi^a_\alpha \lambda^a} + \bar{\lambda}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\lambda}^a} + \bar{\varphi}_a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\varphi}_a} + M^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} \right) \quad (54)$$

The operator $B_\Sigma$ has the important property of being nilpotent

$$B_\Sigma B_\Sigma = 0. \quad (55)$$
• The Landau gauge-fixing condition [26]:

\[ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta b^\alpha} = \partial_\mu A_\mu^a. \] (56)

• The anti-ghost equation [26]:

\[ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^a} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}^a_\mu} = 0. \] (57)

• The integrated ghost equation [26]:

\[ G^a(\Sigma) = \Delta^a, \] (58)

where

\[ G^a(\Sigma) \equiv \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta c^a} + g f^{abc} \left( \frac{\partial_\mu}{\partial x^\mu} A_\mu^c + \bar{c}^b \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{c}_b^a} + \phi^b \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \omega^b_\mu} + \bar{N}^b_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^b_\mu} + M^b_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta N^b_\mu} \right) \right), \]

\[ \Delta^a = \int d^4x \left( g f^{abc} A_\mu^c \Omega^b_\mu - g f^{abc} L^b_\mu \phi^c - \bar{J}^i_\alpha i g (T^a)^i_j \bar{V}^j_\alpha i - i g \bar{\psi}^i_\alpha (T^a)^i_j \psi^j_\alpha \right). \] (59)

Notice that the breaking term \( \Delta^a \) is linear in the quantum fields. As such, it is a classical breaking, not affected by the quantum corrections [26].

• The exact \( U(f) \) symmetry [40], \( f = 4(N^2 - 1) \), here written in the multi-index notation

\[ Q^{I\bar{J}}(\Sigma) = 0, \] (60)

with

\[ Q^{I\bar{J}}(\Sigma) \equiv \int d^4x \left( \phi^{aI} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \phi^{a\bar{J}}} - \phi^{a\bar{J}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \phi^{aI}} + \omega^{aI} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \omega^{a\bar{J}}} - \omega^{a\bar{J}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \omega^{aI}} + M^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} - \bar{M}^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{M}^a_\mu} + N^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta N^a_\mu} - \bar{N}^a_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{N}^a_\mu} \right). \]

• The \( U(\hat{f}) \) symmetry, \( \hat{f} = 4N \):

\[ \hat{Q}^{I\bar{J}}(\Sigma) = 0, \] (61)

where

\[ \hat{Q}^{I\bar{J}}(\Sigma) \equiv \int d^4x \left( \lambda^a_\alpha \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \lambda^{a\bar{J}}} - \lambda^{a\bar{J}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \lambda^a_\alpha} + \eta^a_\bar{I} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^{aI}} - \eta^{aI} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^a_\bar{I}} + V^{i\alpha} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta V^{i\alpha \bar{J}}} - V^{i\alpha \bar{J}} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta V^{i\alpha I}} + U^{i\alpha} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta U^{i\alpha I}} - U^{i\alpha I} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta U^{i\alpha \bar{J}}} \right). \]

• The \( U(1) \) invariance:

\[ \mathcal{N}_e(\Sigma) = 0, \] (62)
The ghost number Ward identity:

\[ \mathcal{N}_c(\Sigma) \equiv \int d^4x \left( \psi^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \psi^{ia}} - \bar{\psi}^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\psi}^{ia}} + j^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta j^{ia}} - \bar{j}^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{j}^{ia}} + \chi^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \chi^{ia}} - \bar{\chi}^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\chi}^{ia}} \right. \\
\left. + \eta^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^{ia}} - \bar{\eta}^{ia} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{\eta}^{ia}} \right). \]

This symmetry gives rise to a conserved charge, called \( e \) in the previous Tables.

- The ghost number Ward identity:
  \[ \mathcal{N}_\text{ghost}(\Sigma) = 0, \] (63)

- The linearly broken Ward identities:
  \[ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta M^a_\mu} = -gf^{abc} \bar{M}^{bl}_\mu A^c_\mu, \] (64)
  \[ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{M}^{bl}_\mu} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{M}^{bl}_\mu} = -gf^{abc} A^c_\mu \bar{N}^{bl}_\mu, \] (65)
  \[ \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{N}^{bl}_\mu} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{N}^{bl}_\mu} = gf^{abc} A^c_\mu \bar{N}^{bl}_\mu, \] (66)

\[ \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^{ia}} + gf^{aju} \eta_{ji} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^{ia}} \right) = \int d^4x g (T^a)^{ij} U_{\alpha i} \psi^{ia}, \] (67)

\[ \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} - g (T^a)^{ij} \bar{U}_{\alpha i} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{L}^{\alpha}} \psi^{ia} \right) = -\int d^4x g (T^a)^{ij} \bar{V}^{\alpha i} \psi^{ia}, \] (68)

\[ \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{L}^{\alpha i}} - gV^i_{\alpha j} (T^a)^{ij} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \bar{L}^{\alpha i}} \right) = \int d^4x gU^i_{\alpha i} (T^a)^{ij} \psi^{ia}, \] (69)

\[ \int d^4x \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \chi^{ia}} = \int d^4x gV^i_{\alpha i} (T^a)^{ij} \psi^{ia}, \] (70)
• the exact integrated Ward identities:

\[
\int d^4x \left( c^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a} + \omega^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta e^a} + \bar{N}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Omega^a_{\mu}} \right) = 0 ,
\]

(71)

\[
\int d^4x \left( \epsilon \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a} - \bar{\varphi}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta e^a} - M^{a\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \Omega^a_{\mu}} + \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \varphi^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} \right) = 0 ,
\]

(72)

\[
\int d^4x \left( \epsilon \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^a} + \bar{\eta}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta e^a} - \bar{U}^i_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta J^a_{\mu}} \right) = 0 ,
\]

(73)

\[
\int d^4x \left( \epsilon \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \lambda^a} - \bar{\lambda}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta e^a} - \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta \eta^a} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta L^a} - \bar{V}^i_{\alpha} \frac{\delta \Sigma}{\delta J^a_{\alpha}} \right) = 0 .
\]

(74)

4 Algebraic characterization of the most general invariant counterterm and renormalizability

In order to determine the most general invariant counterterm which can be freely added to each order, we follow the algebraic renormalization framework [26] and perturb the complete action \( \Sigma \) by adding an integrated local polynomial in the fields and sources with dimension four and vanishing ghost number, \( \Sigma_{ct} \), and we require that the perturbed action, \( (\Sigma + \epsilon \Sigma_{ct}) \), where \( \epsilon \) is an infinitesimal expansion parameter, obeys the same Ward identities fulfilled by \( \Sigma \) to the first order in the parameter \( \epsilon \), obtaining the following constraints:

\[
B_{\Sigma} \Sigma_{ct} = 0 ,
\]

(75)

\[
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta b^a} = 0 , \quad \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta e^a} + \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \Omega^a_{\mu}} = 0 ,
\]

(76)

\[
C^a \Sigma_{ct} = 0 ,
\]

(77)

\[
Q^{ij} \Sigma_{ct} = 0 , \quad \bar{Q}^i_j \Sigma_{ct} = 0 ,
\]

(78)

\[
N_{\epsilon} \Sigma_{ct} = 0 , \quad N_{\text{ghost}} \Sigma_{ct} = 0 ,
\]

(79)

\[
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \varphi^a} + \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta M^a_{\mu}} = 0 , \quad \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \varphi^a} + \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta N^a_{\mu}} - g f^{abc} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta b^a} \bar{\varphi}^b = 0 ,
\]

(80)

\[
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \omega^a} + \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta M^a_{\mu}} - g f^{abc} M^{b\mu} \bar{\omega}^c = 0 , \quad \int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \eta^a} + g f^{abc} \bar{\eta}^a \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta b^a} \right) = 0 ,
\]

(81)

\[
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \varphi^a} + \partial_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta M^a_{\mu}} - g f^{abc} \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta b^c} \bar{\omega}^b - \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta e^b} \bar{\varphi}^b + \bar{V}^i_{\alpha} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta J^a_{\alpha}} \right) = 0 ,
\]

(82)

\[
\int d^4x \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta \eta^a} - g (T^a)^{ij} \bar{U}^i_{\mu} \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta J^a_{\mu}} + g f^{abc} \left( \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta b^c} \bar{\lambda}^b + \bar{\lambda}^c \frac{\delta \Sigma_{ct}}{\delta e^c} \right) \right) = 0 ,
\]

(83)
\[
\int d^4x \left( e^a \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \varphi^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} + \tilde{N}^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} \right) = 0,
\]
(84)

\[
\int d^4x \left( e^a \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \varphi^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} + \tilde{M}^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} + \delta \Sigma \delta \Sigma_{ct} + \delta \Sigma \delta \Sigma_{ct} \right) = 0,
\]
(85)

\[
\int d^4x \left( e^a \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \varphi^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} + \tilde{U}^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} \right) = 0,
\]
(86)
and

\[
\int d^4x \left( e^a \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \chi^a \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \delta \Sigma \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \delta \Sigma \delta \Sigma_{ct} - \tilde{V}^{a_l} \delta \Sigma_{ct} \right) = 0.
\]
(87)

The first condition, eq. (73), tells us that \( \Sigma_{ct} \) belongs to the cohomology of the operator \( B_\Sigma \) in the space of the local integrated polynomials in the fields and external sources of dimension bounded by four. From the general results on the cohomology of Yang-Mills theories, see [28] and refs. therein, it follows that \( \Sigma_{ct} \) can be parametrized as follows

\[
\Sigma_{ct} = a_0 SYM + a_1 m_\psi \int d^4x \bar{\psi}^i \psi^i + B_\Sigma (\Delta^{-1})
\]
(88)

where \( \Delta^{-1} \) is an integrated polynomial of dimension three and ghost number \(-1\). The most general expression for \( \Delta^{-1} \) is given by

\[
\Delta^{-1} = \int d^4x \left\{ a_2 \tilde{\psi}_l \psi^i, \psi^i + a_3 \tilde{\psi}_l \psi^i + a_5 \tilde{\psi}_l \psi^i + a_6 g f^{abc} N^{a_l} \phi^b A^c + a_7 \tilde{N}^{a_l} \partial_\mu \phi^{a_l} + a_8 g f^{abc} M^{a_l} \phi^b A^c + a_9 \tilde{M}^{a_l} \partial_\mu \phi^{a_l} + a_{10} M^{a_l} \partial_\mu \phi^{a_l} + a_{11} A^{a_l} \partial_\mu \phi^{a_l} + a_{12} \tilde{A}^{a_l} \partial_\mu \phi^{a_l} + a_{13} g f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c + a_{14} \tilde{g} f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c + a_{15} g f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c + a_{16} \tilde{g} f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c + a_{17} g f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c + a_{18} \tilde{g} f^{abc} \phi^b \phi^c \right\}
\]
(89)

After imposition of the remaining conditions, els. (66)- (67), and after a rather long algebra, it turns out that the only non-vanishing coefficients are\(^3\)

\[
a_2 = a_6 = a_7 = a_8 = a_9 = a_{10} = a_{11} = a_{13} = a_{15} = -a_{16} = a_{17} \neq 0,
\]
(90)

\[
a_4 = a_5 = a_{29} = a_{30} \neq 0,
\]
(91)

\footnote{After application of the linearized BRST operator, the pure external source term \( a_{32} (\gamma_\rho) \delta (\bar{\psi} L^{a_l} \phi^b M^{a_l} \phi^b \phi^c + \bar{\psi} L^{a_l} \phi^b M^{a_l} \phi^b \phi^c) \) gives rise to \( a_{32} (\gamma_\rho) \delta (\bar{\psi} L^{a_l} \phi^b M^{a_l} \phi^b \phi^c + \bar{\psi} L^{a_l} \phi^b M^{a_l} \phi^b \phi^c) \), which identically vanishes when the sources attain their physical values. Therefore, from now on we shall set \( a_{32} = 0 \).}
Thus, for the most general invariant local counterterm one finds

$$\Sigma_{ct} = \int d^4x \left\{ a_0 F_a F_a + a_1 m_\psi \bar{\psi}_a \gamma^i \psi_a + a_2 \left\{ \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu} A_\mu + \partial_\mu c^a \partial_\mu c^a + \Omega^a_\mu \partial_\mu c^a \right\} 
+ g_f^{abc} \left( \partial_\mu c^a \bar{N}_\mu^{bc} \varphi^{cl} + \partial_\mu c^a M_\mu^{bc} \omega^{cl} - \partial_\mu c^a \bar{\varphi}^{bc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}^{cl} - \partial_\mu c^a \lambda^{bl} \partial_\mu \bar{\eta}^{cl} \right) 
+ N^{al}_\mu \partial_\mu \omega^{al} - \bar{\varphi}^{al} \partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}^{al} + \eta^{al}_\mu \partial_\mu \lambda^{al} = M^{al}_\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\varphi}^{al} + \omega^{al}_\mu \partial_\mu \bar{N}^{al}_\mu 
+ a_4 \left\{ -2 \bar{\psi}^{i\alpha} (\gamma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} D^{ij}_\mu \psi^{j\beta} + 2 m_\psi \bar{\psi}_a \gamma^i \psi_a \right\} \right\}$$

To complete the analysis of the algebraic renormalization of the model, we need to show that the counterterm $\Sigma_{ct}$ can be reabsorbed into the starting action $\Sigma$ through a redefinition of the fields $\{\phi\}$, $\phi = (A, c, \bar{c}, \varphi, \bar{\varphi}, \omega, \bar{\omega}, \psi, \bar{\psi}, \lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \eta, \bar{\eta})$, sources $\{S\}$, $S = (\Omega, L, J, \bar{J}, \bar{N}, \bar{M}, N, M, \bar{U}, \bar{V}, U, V)$, and parameters $\tau$, $\tau = (g_\tau, c_\tau)$, namely

$$\Sigma(\phi, S, \tau) + \varepsilon \Sigma_{ct}(\phi, S, \tau) = \Sigma(\phi_0, S_0, \tau_0) + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

where $(\phi_0, S_0, \tau_0)$ stand for the so-called bare fields, sources and parameters.

$$\phi_0 = Z^{1/2}_{\phi} \phi, \quad S_0 = Z_S S, \quad \tau_0 = Z_{\tau} \tau.$$ 

By direct inspection, for the renormalization factors we find

$$Z_A^{1/2} = 1 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{a_0}{2} + a_2 \right), \quad Z_g = 1 - \varepsilon a_0 \frac{1}{2},$$

$$Z_{\psi}^{1/2} = Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = 1 - \varepsilon a_4, \quad Z^{1/2}_{m_\psi} = 1 - \varepsilon a_4,$$

$$Z_b^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/2}, \quad Z_c^{1/2} = Z_c^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2},$$

$$Z^{1/2}_c = Z^{1/2}_c = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2}, \quad Z^{1/2}_\omega = Z_1^{-1},$$

$$Z^{1/2}_\lambda = Z_\lambda^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = Z_{\bar{A}}^{-1/2}, \quad Z^{1/2}_{\bar{\psi}} = Z_{A}^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = Z_{\bar{A}}^{-1/2} Z_g^{-1/2}, \quad Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = Z^{1/2}_{\psi} Z_g^{-1} Z_A^{-1/2}, \quad Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2} Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{-1/4},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = Z^{1/2}_{\psi} Z_g^{-1} Z_A^{-1/2}, \quad Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2} Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{-1/4},$$

$$Z_{\bar{\psi}}^{1/2} = Z^{1/2}_{\psi} Z_g^{-1} Z_A^{-1/2}, \quad Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{1/2} = Z_A^{-1/4} Z_g^{-1/2} Z_{\bar{\lambda}}^{-1/4}.$$
5 Conclusion

In this work we have pursued the investigation started in [1], where the coupling between the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator and quark matter fields has been introduced through the operators

\[
\hat{R}_\psi^a(x) := g \int d^4 z (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,z) (T^b)^{ij} \psi_j(z),
\]

\[
\hat{R}_\psi^a(x) := g \int d^4 z (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,z) \bar{\psi}_j(z) (T^b)^{ji},
\]

(106)
giving rise to a non-trivial correlation function [1]

\[
Q_{\psi}^{abij}(x-y) = \langle \hat{R}_\psi^a(x) \hat{R}_\psi^b(y) \rangle.
\]

(107)

This correlation function can be directly studied in lattice numerical simulations, as done recently in the case of gluons [23].

As shown in [1], the correlation function (107) can be obtained from a theory constructed by adding to the usual matter action a term similar to Zwanzige’s horizon function [3, 4, 5], namely

\[
S_{\text{matter}} \to S_{\text{matter}} + M^3 H_{\text{matter}},
\]

(108)

where, in complete analogy with the horizon function for the gluon sector [3, 4, 5], \( H_{\text{matter}} \) is given by

\[
H_{\text{matter}} = -g^2 \int d^4 x d^4 y \bar{\psi}_i(x) (T^a)^{ij} (M^{-1})^{ab}(x,y) (T^b)^{jk} \psi_k(y).
\]

(109)

with the mass parameter \( M \) playing a role similar to that of the Gribov parameter \( \gamma \). Remarkably, the introduction of such a non-local term gives rise to a quark propagator of the kind

\[
\langle \psi_i(p) \bar{\psi}_j(-p) \rangle = -i p_\mu \gamma_\mu + A(p^2) \delta^{ij},
\]

(110)

with the quark mass function \( A(p^2) \) given by

\[
A(p^2) = m_\psi + g^2 \left( \frac{N^2 - 1}{2N} \right) \frac{M^3}{p^2 + \mu_\psi^2},
\]

(111)

This propagator fits very well the available lattice numerical data, see [25] and the discussion in [1].

In the present paper we have been able to show that, despite its non-locality, expression (109) can be cast in local form by means of a set of suitable localizing fields. Moreover, when cast in local form, the resulting action fulfills a large set of Ward identities which have enabled us to prove that the theory is multiplicative renormalizable to all orders. This is a non-trivial result which supports the idea that the Faddeev-Popov operator couples in a universal way to both gauge and quark fields, as expressed by equations (18) and (106).

This coupling allows us to reproduce from a local and renormalizable action the behaviour of the gluon and quark propagators observed in numerical simulations, reinforcing the belief that the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator plays an important role in the infrared dynamics of confining Yang-Mills theories.
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