Isostructural functionalization by –OH and –NH$_2$: different contributions to CO$_2$ adsorption†
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Two isostructural $mfi$-type metal–organic frameworks, [Cu$_2$(ABDPB)(H$_2$O)$_n$]$_n$ (HHU-3, HHU for Hohai University; H$_4$ABDPB for 5-amino-1,3,5-tris(3,5-dicarboxylphenyl)-benzene) and [Cu$_2$(OBDPB)(H$_2$O)$_n$](HHU-4, H$_4$OBDPB for 5-hydroxyl-1,3,5-tris(3,5-dicarboxylphenyl)-benzene) were successfully synthesized by V-shaped tetracarboxylic ligand with amino and hydroxyl groups, respectively. Compared with the prototypical MOF, PCN-306, both MOFs exhibit obvious decreases in BET surface area and pore volume, with the values of 2354 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.920 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for HHU-3, and 2353 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.954 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for HHU-4. Although both functional groups are believed to be effective in strengthening CO$_2$ interactions with the framework, in this type of MOF, amino group work better due to its basic nature. Meanwhile, the decoration of amino groups grafted HHU-3 high CO$_2$ adsorption capacity (25.6 wt% at 1 bar and 273 K) and high CO$_2$ selectivity ($S_{CO_2/N_2} = 129$).

M$fi$-type MOF is a good platform for functionalization towards high performance in gas adsorption, as illustrated by PCN-306 and its analogues, in which the introduction of different functional groups by pre-synthetic method seldom led to a structural change. Based upon this type of MOF, in this contribution, we managed to decorate the channels in PCN-306 by two different polar groups, –NH$_2$ and –OH (Scheme 1), both of which are regarded to be effective in strengthening CO$_2$-framework interactions. The functional groups were selected on the basis of two points: (a) similar size, which avoids the influence of narrow pore effect induced by different pore sizes; (b) different acid-base property makes the comparison of their effect on gas adsorption more specific. Compared with the prototypical MOF PCN-306, the resulted two $mfi$-type MOF [Cu$_2$(ABDPB)(H$_2$O)$_n$]$_n$ (HHU-3, HHU for Hohai University; H$_4$ABDPB for 5-amino-1,3,5-tris(3,5-dicarboxylphenyl)-benzene) and [Cu$_2$(OBDPB)(H$_2$O)$_n$]$_n$ (HHU-4, H$_4$OBDPB for 5-hydroxyl-1,3,5-tris(3,5-dicarboxylphenyl)-benzene) exhibit slight decreases in BET surface area, with the values of 2354 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 2353 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ respectively. Although both of them are polar functional groups, which are expected to be effective in CO$_2$ adsorption in MOFs,

Scheme 1  Ligands-functionalization by hydroxyl and amino groups.
amine groups obviously work better than hydroxyl groups. The decoration of amino groups grafted HHU-3 high CO₂ adsorption capacity (25.6 wt% at 1 bar and 273 K) and high CO₂ selectivity (S_{CO₂/N₂} = 129).

### Experimental

### Materials and methods

All chemical reagents were obtained from commercial sources and, unless otherwise noted, were used as received without further purification. Elemental analyses (C, H, and N) were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 240 analyzer. The IR spectra were recorded in the 400–4000 cm⁻¹ range on a Bruker VERTEX 80V spectrometer using KBr pellets. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX-500 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as an internal reference. Thermal gravimetric analyses (TGA) were performed under N₂ atmosphere (100 mL min⁻¹) with a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ using a 2960 SDT thermogravimetric analyzer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE X-ray diffractometer with Cu/Kz radiation.

### Gas sorption measurements

Low-pressure gas sorption measurements were carried out using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and pore size analyzer up to saturated pressure at different temperatures. Before the gas sorption measurement, more than 200 mg as-synthesized sample (PCN-306/HHU-3/HHU-4) was washed with DMF and methanol (acetone for PCN-306), respectively. Fresh anhydrous methanol (acetone for PCN-306) was then added, and the sample was allowed to soak for 3 days for solvent-exchange. During this period, methanol (acetone for PCN-306) was refreshed every 8 hours. After then, the sample was charged into a sample tube and activated at certain temperature (120 °C for PCN-306, 80 °C for HHU-3, and 90 °C for HHU-4) for 12 hours under vacuum.

### X-ray collection and structure determination

Single crystal suitable for X-ray structure determination were selected and sealed in a capillary under a microscope. The X-ray diffraction intensity data were measured on a BRUKER D8 VENTURE PHOTON diffractometer at room temperature using graphite monochromated Mo/Kz radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data reduction was made with the Bruker SAINT program. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined with full-matrix least squares technique using the SHELXTL package. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters during the final cycles. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions with isotropic displacement parameters set to 1.2 × U_{eq} of the attached atom. The unit cell includes a large region of disordered solvent molecules, which could not be modeled as discrete atomic sites. We employed PLATON/SQUEEZE to calculate the diffraction contribution of the solvent molecules and, thereby, to produce a set of solvent-free diffraction intensities; structures were then refined again using the data generated. Crystal data and refinement conditions are shown in Table S1.† The crystal data for HHU-3 and HHU-4 have been deposited in CSD database, and labeled as 1566591 and 1566592, respectively.

### Syntheses and characterization

Syntheses of organic linker 1,3-bis(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)benzene (H₂BDPB), 5-amino-1,3-bis(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)benzene (H₂ABDPB), and 5-hydroxyl-1,3-bis(3,5-dicarboxyphenyl)benzene (H₂OBDPB) (Scheme 1). Details of their synthetic procedure are given in the ESI.†

H₂ABDPB. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 13.37 (brs, 4H, COOH), 8.47 (s, 2H, ArH), 8.42 (s, 4H, ArH), 7.19 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.04 (s, 2H, ArH), 7.56 (brs, 2H, NH). Selected FTIR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3399, 2921, 1702, 1599, 1380, 899, 866, 760, 675, 639.

HHU-3. A mixture of H₂ABDPB (5.05 mg, 0.012 mmol) and CuCl₂·2H₂O (13.6 mg, 0.08 mmol) was dissolved in DMF/H₂O (2 mL; 5: 1, v/v) in a screw-capped vial. A concentrated HNO₃ (0.3 mL) (65%,aq.) was added to the mixture, the vial was capped and placed in an oven at 65 °C for one day. The resulting dark-green pyramid-shaped crystals were washed with DMF several times to give HHU-3 materials. Yield: 76%. Elemental analysis: calcd for activated Cu₂(ABDPB), (%): C, 48.44; H, 2.02; N, 2.57; found: C, 48.16; H, 2.27; N, 2.83.†

HHU-4. A mixture of H₂OBDPB (5.06 mg, 0.012 mmol) and CuCl₂·2H₂O (6.8 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in DMF/H₂O (2 mL; 5: 1, v/v) in a screw-capped vial. A concentrated HNO₃ (0.05 mL) (65%,aq.) was added to the mixture, the vial was capped and placed in an oven at 55 °C for one day. The resulting blue pyramid-shaped crystals were filtered and washed with DMF several times to give HHU-4 materials. Yield: 64%. Elemental analysis: calculated for activated Cu₂(OBDPB), (%): C, 48.35; H, 1.83; N, 0; found: C, 48.01; H, 2.02; N, 0.03.

H₂BDPB. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆, δ ppm): 13.56 (brs, 4H, COOH), 8.51 (s, 2H, ArH), 8.47 (s, 4H, ArH), 8.05 (s, 1H, ArH), 7.81 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.67 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, ArH). Selected FTIR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3420, 3083, 2525, 1705, 1598, 1444, 1385, 1203, 914, 851, 756, 673, 636.

HHU-4. A mixture of H₂OBDPB (5.06 mg, 0.012 mmol) and CuCl₂·2H₂O (13.6 mg, 0.08 mmol) was dissolved in DMF/H₂O (2 mL; 5: 1, v/v) in a screw-capped vial. A concentrated HNO₃ (0.05 mL) (65%,aq.) was added to the mixture, the vial was capped and placed in an oven at 55 °C for one day. The resulting blue pyramid-shaped crystals were filtered and washed with DMF several times to give HHU-4 materials. Yield: 64%. Elemental analysis: calculated for activated Cu₂(OBDPB), (%): C, 48.35; H, 1.83; N, 0; found: C, 48.01; H, 2.02; N, 0.03.

PCN-306. A mixture of H₂BDPB (4.86 mg, 0.012 mmol) and CuCl₂·2H₂O (13.6 mg, 0.08 mmol) was dissolved in DMF/H₂O (2 mL; 5: 1, v/v) in a screw-capped vial. A concentrated HNO₃ (0.05 mL) (65%,aq.) was added to the mixture, the vial was

† Crystal data for HHU-3: C_{92}H_{22}Cu_{2}N_{6}O_{32}·x(solvent), M = 1729.25, orthorhombic, Cnmm, a = 24.600(2) Å, b = 33.412(3) Å, c = 18.478(2) Å, a = b = c = 90°, V = 15 198(3) Å³, Z = 4, D₀ = 0.756 g cm⁻³, GOF = 0.898 based on F², final R₁ = 0.060, wR₂ = 0.1201 for 15 966 data I > 2σ(I); data for structure was treated with Squeeze. Crystal data for HHU-4: C_{92}H_{22}Cu_{2}N_{6}O_{32}·x(solvent), M = 1732.20, orthorhombic, Cmcm, a = 24.67481(11) Å, b = 33.5109(15) Å, c = 18.4574(11) Å, a = b = c = 90°, V = 15 259.7(13) Å³, Z = 4, D₀ = 0.754 g cm⁻³, GOF = 1.021 based on F², final R₁ = 0.0751, wR₂ = 0.1828 for 6843 data I > 2σ(I); data for structure was treated with Squeeze.
capped and placed in an oven at 65 °C for two days. The resulting blue pyramid-shaped crystals were filtered and washed with DMF several times to give PCN-306 materials. Yield: 53%. Elemental analysis: calcd for activated Cu$_2$(BDPB), (%): C, 49.91; H, 1.70; N, 0.00; found: C, 49.65; H, 1.91; N, 0.05.

Results and discussion

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that HHU-4 crystallizes in orthorhombic space group Cmcm. The asymmetric unit of HHU-4 consists of three crystallographically unique Cu$^{2+}$ ions (Cu1, Cu2, and Cu3 with the occupation of 1, 1/4, and 1/4, respectively), one half and a quarter OBDPB-ligand and three coordinated water molecules (Fig. S1†). HHU-3 crystallizes in a different orthorhombic space group Cmc2$_1$, which has less symmetric elements than Cmcm. Therefore, the asymmetric unit of HHU-3 consists of more moieties, with four crystallographically unique Cu$^{2+}$ ions, one and a half ABDBP-ligand and four coordinated water molecules. Although they are in different space groups due to different torsion angles, the structures of HHU-3 and HHU-4 are isostructural to PCN-306, as shown in Fig. 1 by their PXRD patterns and crystal structures. The structure of both HHU-3 and HHU-4 contains two types of ligands with different dihedral angles between central and terminal benzene rings. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the dihedral angles between central and terminal benzene rings in HHU-3 are about 38.0°, 47.7° and 52.8°, while the dihedral angles in HHU-4 are approximately 43.4° and 52.7°. Bridged by these ligands, all the paddlewheel dinuclear Cu clusters [Cu$_2$(COO)$_4$] are assembled into the same (3,3,4,4)-c 4-nodal mff-type net in both HHU-3 and HHU-4 if paddlewheel Cu clusters are simplified as 4-connected nodes and ligands as two 3-connected nodes (Fig. S2 and S3†). Obviously, due to functionalization, windows along c axis were narrowed from 9 Å to 6 Å while windows along a axis exhibit no obvious change (Fig. 1a and b).

By PLATON, the total potential solvent accessible volume of HHU-3 and HHU-4 were calculated to be 70.8% and 69.8%. Therefore, from the perspective of volume occupancy, the introduction of –NH$_2$ and –OH costs almost the same pore volume.

In order to investigate the effect of functional groups, the permanent porosities of HHU-3 and HHU-4 were determined by N$_2$ adsorption measurements at 77 K. For a clear comparison, we also measured the N$_2$ adsorption of PCN-306. Before measurements, the solvent-exchanged samples were evacuated at certain temperature for 12 hours, with crystallinity remained after solvent removal (Fig. S4–S6†). BET analysis reveals obvious decreases in surface area of functionalized MOFs. As shown in Fig. 3, the N$_2$ uptake amount of PCN-306 at $P/P_0 = 1$ is 690.3 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, which agrees quite well with that reported by Zhou group.$^{20}$ Functionalization caused a slight decrease of N$_2$ uptake amount in HHU-3 and HHU-4, with the value of

![Fig. 1](image1.png) Functionalizing PCN-306 by –OH and –NH$_2$ group. (a) and (b) are channels along c axis and a axis; (c) is the PXRD patterns of as-synthesized samples of PCN-306, HHU-3, and HHU-4.

![Fig. 2](image2.png) Two types of ligands in HHU-3 and HHU-4.

![Fig. 3](image3.png) N$_2$ adsorption isotherms of PCN-306, HHU-3 and HHU-4 at 77 K.
Based on N\textsubscript{2} isotherms, the BET surface areas of PCN-306, HHU-3 and HHU-4 were calculated to be 2772 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, 2354 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, and 2353 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. If monolayer coverage of N\textsubscript{2} is assumed, the Langmuir surface areas of PCN-306, HHU-3 and HHU-4 were estimated to be 3003 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, 2570 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, and 2656 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively. As expected, the total pore volume decreases from 1.066 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} in PCN-306 to 0.920 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} in HHU-3, and 0.954 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} in HHU-4, consistent with the size of functional groups.

Both the crystal structures and N\textsubscript{2} adsorption measurements reveal that –NH\textsubscript{2} and –OH have similar size, which help us exclude the pore-size effect on gas adsorption caused by functional groups with different sizes. Therefore, we measured the H\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacities of both HHU-3 and HHU-4, as well as their prototypical MOF, PCN-306. As shown in Fig. 4a, the H\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacities of PCN-306, HHU-3, and HHU-4 at 1 bar and 77 K are 2.61 wt%, 2.46 wt%, and 2.36 wt%, respectively. PCN-306 shows the highest H\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity at 77 K, which is consistent with its large surface area and pore volume. After functionalization, the decline of surface area and pore volume lead to the decrease of H\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity in HHU-3 and HHU-4. Based upon the isotherms measured at 77 K and 87 K, the H\textsubscript{2} adsorption enthalpies of PCN-306, HHU-3, and HHU-4 were calculated. As shown in Fig. 4b, the zero-coverage H\textsubscript{2} adsorption enthalpies of HHU-3 and HHU-4 are 6.41 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}, 6.60 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}, which are slightly lower than that of PCN-306 (6.65 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}). Therefore, the introduction of polar functional groups do not benefit H\textsubscript{2} adsorption in mff-type MOFs, but causes the decrease of surface area and pore volume which closely correlate with hydrogen uptake capacity.

Since we deliberately grafted polar functional groups in MOF materials, an improvement in CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption was expected. Thus, we measured the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption of each MOF, as shown in Fig. 4c. Compared with the prototype MOF, PCN-306, the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacity of the amino-functionalized MOF, HHU-3, exhibits an obvious improvement. At 1 bar and 273 K, the CO\textsubscript{2} uptake amount of HHU-3 is 175 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} (25.6 wt%), which is higher than most of its iso-structural analogues (Table 1) and meanwhile much higher than that of some typical MOFs, such as CAU-1 (ref. 26) (161 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1}), SNU-4 (ref. 27) (20.6 wt%) and MOF-5 (ref. 28) (6.2 wt%). This value is also quite comparable with that of Cu-EBTC\textsuperscript{29} (25.9 wt%). The hydroxyl-functionalized MOF, HHU-4, however, exhibits a lower adsorption capacity with the value of 164.7 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} (24.4 wt%) at 1 bar. Similar behavior was also observed in CO\textsubscript{2} isotherms of HHU-3, PCN-306, and HHU-4 at 298 K (Fig. 4c), with the values of 93.0 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} (15.4 wt%), 84.7 cm\textsuperscript{3} g\textsuperscript{-1} (14.3 wt%), and
88.0 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ (14.7 wt%) at 1 bar, respectively. Thus the adsorption enthalpy of CO$_2$ adsorption for each MOF was calculated by the virial method, using experimental isotherm data at 273 K and 298 K. As shown in Fig. 4d, the CO$_2$ adsorption enthalpies at zero-coverage for PCN-306, HHU-3 and HHU-4 were 24.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$, 24.6 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 23.9 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively. Although the improvement is not significant in zero-coverage, the CO$_2$ adsorption enthalpy of HHU-3 is higher than that of PCN-306 at all loading range, while that of HHU-4 is lower than that of PCN-306 at most range. From the perspective of enhancing CO$_2$ adsorption capacity, amino group performs much better than hydroxyl group due to its basic property which is favored by CO$_2$ molecules.

As amino group has positive effect on CO$_2$ adsorption, we further compared the CO$_2$ selectivities of HHU-3 and PCN-306 over N$_2$ via the Ideal Adsorption Solution Theory (IAST). As shown Fig. 5, the CO$_2$ selectivity of PCN-306 towards N$_2$ is 76 at 1 bar in the case of CO$_2$/N$_2$ = 15 : 85 gas mixtures. For HHU-3, obvious improvement in CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity was observed, with the value of 129 at 1 bar. Meanwhile, this value is much higher than some well-known MOFs such as Fe-BTT$^{18,31}$ (CO$_2$/N$_2$: 61), Cu-TDPAT$^{19}$ (CO$_2$/N$_2$: 88), and quite comparable with en-CuBTTri$^{22}$ (CO$_2$/N$_2$: 143), SIFSIX-2-Cu$i^5$ (CO$_2$/N$_2$: 140), and Mg-MOF-74 (ref. 33) (CO$_2$/N$_2$: 148.1), indicating a high CO$_2$ selectivity. The incorporation of amino groups benefits CO$_2$ adsorption, therefore contributes to a higher CO$_2$ selectivity compared to the prototypical MOF.

Conclusions

In summary, based upon a structural-stable mfi-type MOF, PCN-306, a pre-synthetic approach of functionalization was applied and its amino- and hydroxyl-functionalized analogues were obtained respectively. Due to comparable size of functional groups, HHU-3 and HHU-4 show similar decreases in both BET surface area and pore volume, which cause decline in their capacities for H$_2$ adsorption. Although both amino and hydroxyl groups are regarded to be effective in strengthen CO$_2$ interactions with the framework, in this type of MOF, amino group works better than hydroxyl group due to its basic nature favoured by CO$_2$ molecules. The high CO$_2$ uptake amount among mfi-type MOFs and high CO$_2$ selectivity make HHU-3 one of potential candidates for CO$_2$ capture.
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