THE THREE-BODY PROBLEM IN DIMENSION ONE: FROM SHORT-RANGE TO CONTACT INTERACTIONS
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ABSTRACT. We consider a Hamiltonian describing three quantum particles in dimension one interacting through two-body short-range potentials. We prove that, as a suitable scale parameter in the potential terms goes to zero, such Hamiltonian converges to one with zero-range (also called delta or point) interactions. The convergence is understood in norm resolvent sense. The two-body rescaled potentials are of the form $v_{\sigma}(x) = \varepsilon^{-s}v_\sigma(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$, where $\sigma = 23, 12, 31$ is an index that runs over all the possible pairings of the three particles, $x_\sigma$ is the relative coordinate between two particles, and $\varepsilon$ is the scale parameter. The limiting Hamiltonian is the one formally obtained by replacing the potentials $v_\sigma$ with $\alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma$, where $\delta_\sigma$ is the Dirac delta-distribution centered on the coincidence hyperplane $x_\sigma = 0$ and $\alpha_\sigma = \int_R v_\sigma dx_\sigma$. To prove the convergence of the resolvents we make use of Faddeev’s equations.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In a dilute quantum gas at low temperature the typical wavelength of the particles is usually much larger than the effective range of the two-body interaction. In this regime the system exhibits a universal behavior, which means that the relevant observables do not depend on the details of the interaction but only on few low-energy parameters, like the scattering length. For the mathematical modeling of these systems it is often convenient to introduce Hamiltonians where the two-body interaction is replaced by an idealized zero-range or $\delta$ interaction, i.e., an interaction that is nontrivial only when the coordinates $x_i$ and $x_j$ of two particles coincide. A Hamiltonian of this type is usually constructed as a self-adjoint operator in the appropriate Hilbert space using the theory of self-adjoint extensions. Roughly speaking, one obtains an operator acting as the free Hamiltonian except at the coincidence hyperplanes $\{x_i = x_j\}$, $i < j$, where a suitable boundary condition is satisfied. Many interesting mathematical results in this direction are available, see, e.g., [1] which addresses mostly the two-body problem, and [5] for a review on the $N$-body problem, mainly in dimension three, and references therein. Here we only remark that these results strongly depend on the dimension $d$ of the configuration space. In particular, for $d = 1$ the resulting Hamiltonian is a small perturbation in the sense of the quadratic forms of the free Hamiltonian, for $d = 2, 3$ the situation is different and the Hamiltonian is characterized by singular boundary conditions at the coincidence hyperplanes and, finally, for $d > 3$ a no-go theorem prevents the construction of a nontrivial zero-range interaction.

The construction of Hamiltonians with zero-range interactions based on the theory of self-adjoint extensions could appear rather abstract from the physical point of view. A more transparent and natural justification is obtained if one shows that these Hamiltonians are the limit of Hamiltonians with smooth, suitably rescaled two-body potentials. We consider a Hamiltonian describing three quantum particles in dimension one interacting through two-body short-range potentials. In the two-body case, reduced to a one-body problem in the relative coordinate, such a procedure is well established in all dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$, see [1], while in the case of three or more particles only few results are available ([5]).

In this paper we approach the problem in the simpler case of three particles in dimension one. More precisely, we consider the three-body Hamiltonian

$$H^\varepsilon := -\frac{1}{2m_1} \Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2m_2} \Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2m_3} \Delta_3 + V_{12}^\varepsilon + V_{23}^\varepsilon + V_{31}^\varepsilon = H_0^3 + \sum_\sigma V_\sigma^\varepsilon,$$

where $m_j$ is the mass of the $j$-th particle and $\Delta_j$ denotes the one-dimensional Laplacian with respect to the coordinate $x_j$ of the $j$-th particle. We use greek letters $\sigma, \gamma, \ldots$ to denote an index that runs over the pairs 12, 23, and 31 and, for simplicity, we set $\hbar = 1$. Moreover, $V_\sigma^\varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon > 0$, describes the two-body, rescaled interaction between the particles in
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the pair \( \sigma \), i.e., \( V_{23}^\ell \) denotes the multiplication operator by the rescaled potential \( v_{23}^\ell(x_2 - x_3) = \varepsilon^{-1} v_{23}(\varepsilon^{-1}(x_2 - x_3)) \) (and similarly for the other two pairs).

One reasonably expects that for \( \varepsilon \to 0 \) the above Hamiltonian reduces to the Hamiltonian formally written as

\[
H^3 := -\frac{1}{2m_1} \Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2m_2} \Delta_2 - \frac{1}{2m_3} \Delta_3 + \alpha_{12} \delta_{12} + \alpha_{23} \delta_{23} + \alpha_{31} \delta_{31} = H_0^3 + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma,
\]

where \( \delta_{23} \) denotes the Dirac-delta distribution supported on the coincidence plane \( \{ x_2 = x_3 \} \) of the second and third particle (and similarly for \( \delta_{12} \) and \( \delta_{31} \)). Here \( \delta_\sigma \) are understood as distributions on \( S(\mathbb{R}^3) \), and \( \alpha_\sigma \) are some fixed real parameters, depending on \( v_\sigma \), which measure the strength of the interaction.

In order to study the limiting procedure \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), it is convenient to work in the center of mass reference frame, so that the Hilbert space of the states of the system reduces to \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \). We denote by \( (x_\gamma, y_\ell) \) a generic set of Jacobi coordinates, where \( \gamma \) is an index that can assume value over any of the pairs \( 12, 23 \), and \( 31 \) and \( \ell \) (more precisely, one should write \( \ell_\gamma \)) is the companion index of \( \gamma \), which means that if \( \gamma = 23 \) then \( \ell = 1 \) and so on. For example, we have

\[
x_{23} = x_2 - x_3 ; \quad y_1 = \frac{m_2 x_2 + m_3 x_3}{m_2 + m_3} - x_1.
\]

In the center of mass reference frame and using the Jacobi coordinates the approximating Hamiltonian has the form

\[
H^\varepsilon := -\frac{1}{2m_\gamma} \Delta x_\gamma - \frac{1}{2\mu_\ell} \Delta y_\ell + \sum_\sigma V_\sigma^\varepsilon = H_0 + \sum_\sigma V_\sigma^\varepsilon ,
\]

where \( m_\gamma \) is the reduced mass between the particles of the pair \( \gamma \), and \( \mu_\ell \) is the reduced mass between the particle \( \ell \) and the subsystem composed by the two particles of the pair \( \gamma \), i.e.,

\[
m_{23} = \frac{m_2 m_3}{m_2 + m_3} ; \quad \mu_1 = \frac{m_1 (m_2 + m_3)}{M} \quad \text{with} \quad M = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 ,
\]

and similarly for the other pairs. We shall assume conditions on the potentials \( v_\sigma \) such that \( H^\varepsilon \) is a self-adjoint and lower bounded operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \), with a lower bound independent of \( \varepsilon \) (see Section 2). The limiting Hamiltonian has the formal expression

\[
H := H_0 + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \delta_\sigma .
\]

Its rigorous definition as a self-adjoint, lower bounded operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) will be given in Section 3.

Our main result is stated in the following:

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \( v_\sigma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^s dx) \) for some \( s > 0 \) and for all \( \sigma = 23, 31, 12 \). Moreover set \( \alpha_\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\sigma(x) \, dx \). Then \( H^\varepsilon \) converges to \( H \) in norm resolvent sense for \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

**Remark 1.1.** From the proof of the theorem it is clear that larger \( s \) gives faster convergence speed, up to \( s = 1 \). More precisely for all \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) with \( \text{Im} \, z \neq 0 \) one has that

\[
\|(H^\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (H - z)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq C\varepsilon^\delta \quad \forall \delta < \min\{1, s\} .
\]

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 we show that \( H^\varepsilon \) is self-adjoint and lower bounded in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \), with a lower bound independent of \( \varepsilon \).

Moreover, we write the resolvent of \( H^\varepsilon \) in the form of Faddeev’s equations in momentum space.

In Section 3 we construct the limiting Hamiltonian \( H \) as a self-adjoint and lower bounded operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) and we find a suitable representation (in a form that resembles Faddeev’s equations) for the resolvent in momentum space. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. In particular, we first prove estimate (1.4) for \( z = -\lambda \), with \( \lambda > 0 \) large enough, and then we extend the result to \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \).

We conclude the paper with two appendices. In Appendix A we recall the derivation of Faddeev’s equations, and the definitions and basic properties of the operators introduced in Section 2. In Appendix B we collect several explicit formulae and useful identities, mostly concerning the operators introduced in Section 3.

In what follows \( C \) denotes a generic positive constant, independent of the parameters \( \varepsilon \) and \( \lambda \).
2. The approximating problem

We denote by $B_0$ the sesquilinear form

$$B_0(\varphi, \psi) = \frac{1}{2m_\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\varphi}_{x, \gamma} \partial_{x, \gamma} \psi \, dx \, dy + \frac{1}{2\mu_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \overline{\varphi}_{y, \gamma} \partial_{y, \gamma} \psi \, dx \, dy$$

$D(B_0) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{2.1}$

In what follows, with a slight abuse of notation, we shall denote by the same symbol the corresponding quadratic form $B_0(\psi) \equiv B_0(\psi, \psi)$ with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The quadratic form associated to $H^\sigma$ is

$$B^\sigma(\psi) = B_0(\psi) + \sum_\sigma (\psi, V_{\sigma}^2 \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

$D(B^\sigma) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2).$

We note the inequality

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy |\psi(x, y)|^2 \leq \eta \|\partial_x \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$ \tag{2.2}

which holds true for all $\eta > 0$ (for a proof, see Eq. (2.3) below). By Eq. (2.2), and by the change of variables $x_\sigma / \varepsilon \to x_\sigma$, it immediately follows that

$$\left| (\psi, V_{\sigma}^2 \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_\sigma |v_\sigma(x_\sigma)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy |\psi(\varepsilon x_\sigma, y_\ell)|^2 \leq \|v_\sigma\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \left( \eta \|\partial_x \psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 + \frac{1}{\eta} \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \right)$$

for all $\eta > 0$. Hence

$$\left| \sum_\sigma (\psi, V_{\sigma}^2 \psi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \right| \leq a B_0(\psi) + b \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2$$

for some $0 < a < 1$ and $b > 0$, and by KLMN theorem the form $B^\sigma$ is closed, semi-bounded, and defines a self-adjoint operator, coinciding with $H^\sigma$, see, e.g., [2]. Additionally, $H^\sigma$ is bounded from below uniformly in $\varepsilon$, i.e., there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(H^\sigma) > -\lambda_0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Concerning the proof of Eq. (2.2), we note that it follows from the identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \, |\psi(x, y_\ell)|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_{-\infty}^{x} dx_\gamma \, \partial_{x_\gamma} |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2,$ \tag{2.3}

and the chain of inequalities

$$\partial_{x_\gamma} |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 \leq 2 |\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)| \, |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)| \leq \eta |\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 + |\psi(x_\gamma, y_\ell)|^2 / \eta.$$

Since it is more convenient to formulate both the approximating and the limiting problem in Fourier space, in what follows we introduce some notation concerning the variables in momentum space. We remark that we define the Fourier transform so as to be unitary in $\mathbb{R}^d$, see Appendix B for the explicit definition.

We denote by $k_\sigma$ the conjugate coordinate of $x_\sigma$ and by $p_\ell$ the conjugate coordinate of $y_\ell$. Let $\hat{H}_0$ be the operator unitarily equivalent to $H_0$ via Fourier transform and let $R_0(\lambda) = (\hat{H}_0 + \lambda)^{-1}, \lambda > 0$. Both of them act as multiplication operators, more precisely:

$$\hat{H}_0 f(k_\sigma, p_\ell) = \left( \frac{k_\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma} + \frac{p_\ell^2}{2\mu_\ell} \right) f(k_\sigma, p_\ell); \quad \hat{R}_0(\lambda) f(k_\sigma, p_\ell) = \left( \frac{k_\sigma^2}{2m_\sigma} + \frac{p_\ell^2}{2\mu_\ell} + \lambda \right)^{-1} f(k_\sigma, p_\ell).$$

For the reader’s sake we recall that different pairs of Jacobi coordinates are related by the following formulæ

$$k_{31} = \frac{m_3 M}{(m_2 + m_3)(m_3 + m_1)} p_1 - \frac{m_1}{m_3 + m_1} k_{23} \quad ; \quad p_2 = -\frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 - k_{23} \tag{2.4}$$

$$k_{12} = -\frac{m_3 M}{(m_2 + m_3)(m_1 + m_2)} p_1 - \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} k_{23} \quad ; \quad p_3 = -\frac{m_3}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 + k_{23}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $M$ is the total mass of the system, see Eq. (1.2). The other changes of coordinates are obtained by permutation of the indices in the formulæ above. For example, if for sake of concreteness we fix $\sigma = \{23\}$ and $\ell = 1$ we have

$$\hat{H}_0 f(k_{23}, p_1) = \left( \frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} \right) f(k_{23}, p_1).$$

We can also write functions in the $p_1$’s coordinates only, for this reason we recall the change of variables

$$k_{23} = -p_2 - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p_1 \quad ; \quad p_1 = p_1. \tag{2.6}$$
In the coordinates \((p_2, p_1)\) we have

\[
\hat{H}_0 f(p_2, p_1) = \left( \frac{p_2^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_2 \cdot p_1}{m_3} + \frac{p_1^2}{2m_{13}} \right) f(p_2, p_1).
\]  

(2.7)

We remark that in the latter formula we abused notation and used the symbol \(f\) to denote the same function written in two different systems of coordinates, the \((k_\gamma, p_k)\)-coordinates and the \(p\)-coordinates.

Analogous changes of coordinates are obtained by permutations of the indices and by taking into account the identity \(p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 0\), for more explicit formulae we refer to [6]. Similar formulae hold for \(\hat{R}_0(\lambda)\).

We introduce some notation before representing \(\hat{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) = (\hat{H}^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}\) through Faddeev’s equations. Here we always assume \(\lambda > 0\) such that \(\inf \sigma(\hat{H}^\varepsilon) > -\lambda\) for all \(\varepsilon > 0\).

Denote by \(t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k_\gamma, k_\gamma')\) the integral kernel in Fourier transform of the operator \(t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})\) defined in Eq. (A.10). One has that

\[
t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda)f(k_\gamma) = \int_\mathbb{R} t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k_\gamma, k_\gamma') f(k_\gamma')dk_\gamma'.
\]

(2.8)

By taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (A.10) one infers that the kernel \(t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda)\) satisfies the following integral equation:

\[
t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k_\gamma, k_\gamma') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{v}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon(k_\gamma - k_\gamma')) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\mathbb{R} dq \hat{v}_\varepsilon(\varepsilon(k_\gamma - q)) \frac{1}{q^2/(2m_{\gamma'}) + \lambda} t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; q, k_\gamma').
\]

(2.9)

Hence, by Eq. (A.11), in Fourier transform, the operator \(\hat{T}_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\) is given by:

\[
\hat{T}_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda)f(k_\gamma, p_\gamma) = \int_\mathbb{R} dk_\gamma' t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda + p_\gamma^2/(2\mu); k_\gamma, k_\gamma') f(k_\gamma', p_\gamma).
\]

(2.10)

We remark that in what follows, in particular in Eq. (2.13), we shall rewrite the latter formula for \(\hat{T}_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda)\) in the \(p\)'s coordinates. Eq. (2.13) below is obtained by taking into account the changes of variables [2.4], [2.5] and [2.6].

We are now ready to write down Faddeev’s equations in explicit form (see Appendix A). Eqs. (A.7), (A.8), and (A.9): let \(\hat{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\) be the conjugate operator to \(\hat{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\) then we have

\[
\hat{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda)f = \hat{R}_0(\lambda)f + \hat{R}_0(\lambda) \sum_{m=1}^3 \rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda).
\]

(2.11)

where the functions \(\rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda)\) satisfy the system of equations obtained by permuting indices in

\[
\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -\hat{T}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{R}_0(\lambda)f - \hat{T}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{R}_0(\lambda)\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda) - \hat{T}_{23}^\varepsilon(\lambda)\hat{R}_0(\lambda)\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda).
\]

(2.12)

In the coordinates \((p_2, p_1)\) Eq. (2.12) reads:

\[
\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) = -\int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{t_\varepsilon^{23}(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p)}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q^2}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} f(q', p)
\]

\[
-\int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{t_\varepsilon^{23}(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p)}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q^2}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} \rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q')
\]

\[
-\int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{t_\varepsilon^{23}(\lambda + p^2/(2\mu); -q - \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p, q' + \frac{m_2}{m_2 + m_3} p)}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q^2}{m_3} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{13}} + \lambda} \rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q').
\]

(2.13)

We remark that the functions \(\rho^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda)\) are always understood to be written in their “natural” variables, i.e., \(\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_2, p_1)\), \(\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_2)\), and \(\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_3)\).

3. The Limiting Problem

In this section we discuss the rigorous definition of the Hamiltonian \(\hat{H}\) describing three particles interacting through contact interactions and formally written as in Eq. (1.3).

We shall denote by \(\pi_\gamma\) the coincidence line (hyperplane) of the particles in the pair \(\gamma\), i.e., in the Jacobi coordinates \((x_\gamma, y_\gamma)\), \(\pi_\gamma\) is identified by \(x_\gamma = 0\). The hyperplanes \(\pi_\gamma\) identify six regions \(\Gamma_r, r = 1, \ldots, 6\). For the sake of clarity we
Moreover, we denote by \( \psi \) hence \( \psi \)

According to the general theory, the operator associated to \( \ell \) when we need to make explicit the dependence of \( \psi \) on \( \nu \), we shall simply write \( \psi \rightarrow \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}(y)} \) omitting the suffix \( \ell \) when no misunderstanding is possible.

To give a rigorous definition of the operator \( \Pi \) we start with a natural choice of the quadratic form: since the potential \( \alpha_0, \delta_0 \) is supported by the hyperplane \( \pi_{\nu} \), we set

\[
B(\varphi, \psi) := B_0(\varphi, \psi) + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \langle \varphi|_{\pi_{\nu}}, \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}} \rangle_{L^2(\pi_{\nu})}, \quad D(B) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)
\]

where the definition of \( B_0 \) was given in Eq. (2.1). With a slight abuse of notation, we denote by the same letter the corresponding quadratic form:

\[
B(\psi) := B_0(\psi) + \sum_\sigma \alpha_\sigma \| \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}} \|_{L^2(\pi_{\nu})}^2 \quad D(B) := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2).
\]

**Remark 3.1.** By Eq. (2.2), it immediately follows that

\[
\sum_\sigma |\alpha_\sigma| \| \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}} \|_{L^2(\pi_{\nu})}^2 \leq a B_0(\psi) + b \| \psi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2
\]

for some \( 0 < a < 1 \) and \( b > 0 \), hence by KLMN theorem the form \( B \) is closed, semi-bounded, and defines a self-adjoint operator bounded from below, see also [3].

We denote by \( \Pi \) the union of the hyperplanes \( \pi_{12}, \pi_{23}, \pi_{31} \): \( \Pi = \bigcup_{\sigma} \pi_{\sigma} \).

Moreover we denote by \( [\partial_{\nu}, \psi]|_{\pi_{\nu}} \) the jump of the normal derivative of the function \( \psi \) across the plane \( \pi_{\nu} \), i.e.,

\[
[\partial_{\nu}, \psi]|_{\pi_{\nu}} \equiv [\partial_{\nu}, \psi]|_{\pi_{\nu}}(y) := \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} (\partial_{\nu}, \psi(\nu, y) - \partial_{\nu}, \psi(-\nu, y)).
\]

**Theorem 2.** The self-adjoint operator associated to the closed and semi-bounded quadratic form \( B \) is

\[
D(H) := \left\{ \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid [\partial_{\nu}, \psi]|_{\pi_{\nu}} = 2m_\nu \alpha_\nu \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}} \quad \forall \gamma \right\}
\]

\[
H\psi = H_0\psi \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi.
\]

**Proof.** According to the general theory the operator associated to \( B \) is defined by

\[
D(H) := \{ \psi \in D(B) \mid \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ s.t. } B(\varphi, \psi) = \langle \varphi, f \rangle \forall \varphi \in D(B) \}
\]

\[
H\psi = f.
\]

Let \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_1) \subset D(B) \) and \( \psi \in D(H) \). Then

\[
(\varphi, f) = B(\varphi, \psi) = B_0(\varphi, \psi)
\]

hence \( \psi \in H^2(\Gamma_1) \) and \( f = H_0\psi \) in \( \Gamma_1 \). Repeating the argument for \( \Gamma_r, r = 2, \ldots, 6 \) we conclude \( \psi \in D(H) \) implies \( \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi) \) and \( f = H_0\psi \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi \). This proves Eq. (3.2).

It remains to show the validity of the boundary conditions in Eq. (3.1): \( [\partial_{\nu}, \psi]|_{\pi_{\nu}} = 2m_\nu \alpha_\nu \psi|_{\pi_{\nu}} \quad \forall \gamma \). To this end we consider \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1) \subset D(B) \). Using for definiteness the coordinates \( (x_23, y_1) \), we have

\[
(\varphi, f) = B(\varphi, \psi) = B_0(\varphi, \psi) + \alpha_{23} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dy_1 \nu|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) \psi|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1).
\]
Let $\pi_{23,\delta} = \{(x_{23}, y_1) : |x_{23}| < \delta, y_1 < 0\}$, $\Gamma^0_{\delta} = \Gamma_0 \backslash \pi_{23,\delta}$, $\Gamma^1_{\delta} = \Gamma_1 \backslash \pi_{23,\delta}$. Then, by Eq. (3.2) it follows

$$
(\varphi, f) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ \int_{\Gamma^0_{\delta}} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} H_0 \psi + \int_{\Gamma^1_{\delta}} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} H_0 \psi \right].
$$

(3.4)

On the other hand,

$$
B_0(\varphi, \psi) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\Gamma^0_{\delta} \cup \Gamma^1_{\delta}} \frac{1}{2m_{23}} \partial x_{23} \overline{\varphi} \partial x_{23} \psi + \frac{1}{2\mu_1} \partial y_1 \overline{\varphi} \partial y_1 \psi dx_{23} dy_1.
$$

Integrating by parts and taking the limit for $\delta \to 0$ on the boundary term, one obtains

$$
B_0(\varphi, \psi) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left[ \int_{\Gamma^0_{\delta}} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} H_0 \psi + \int_{\Gamma^1_{\delta}} dx_{23} dy_1 \overline{\varphi} H_0 \psi \right] - \frac{1}{2m_{23}} \int_{-\infty}^{0} dy_1 \overline{\varphi}_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) [\partial x_{23} \psi]_{\pi_{23}}(y_1).
$$

(3.5)

By Eqs. (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) we conclude

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{0} dy_1 \overline{\varphi}_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) \left[ \alpha_{23} \psi_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) - \frac{1}{2m_{23}} [\partial x_{23} \psi]_{\pi_{23}}(y_1) \right] = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C^\infty_0 (\Gamma_0 \cup \Gamma_1).
$$

Hence

$$
[\partial x_{\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_{23}} = 2m_{23} \alpha_{23} \psi|_{\pi_{23}}
$$

on $\pi_{23} = \{(x_{23}, y_1) : x_{23} = 0, y_1 < 0\}$. Repeating the argument for $\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j, i < j$ we conclude the proof.



In the following we find the expression of the resolvent operator $(H + \lambda)^{-1}$ for $\lambda > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(H) > -\lambda$. First we introduce several operators. Let

$$
\tilde{G}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})
$$

with $\tilde{G}_{\gamma}(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^2(\pi_{\gamma})$ defined by

$$
\tilde{G}_{\gamma}(\lambda)f := R_0(\lambda)f|_{\pi_{\gamma}}.
$$

(3.6)

Let

$$
G(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)
$$

$$
G(\lambda) := \tilde{G}(\lambda)^*.
$$

Hence, for $q = (q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, q^{(3)}) \in L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$ one has

$$
G(\lambda)q = \sum_\gamma G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\gamma)}
$$

where $G_\gamma(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_{\gamma}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the adjoint of $\tilde{G}_{\gamma}(\lambda)$. We note that the action of $G_\gamma(\lambda)$ is formally given by

$$
G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\gamma)} = R_0(\lambda)(q^{(\gamma)} \delta_\gamma).
$$

We refer to $G(\lambda)q$ as the potential produced by the charges $q$. Note also that, as a matter of fact, the spaces $L^2(\pi_{\gamma})$ can be identified with $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_1)$. Finally, we introduce two matrix operators acting on $L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$. The operator $M$ defined by

$$
(M(\lambda))_{\gamma\sigma} := M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda); \quad M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda) : L^2(\pi_\sigma) \to L^2(\pi_\gamma)
$$

with

$$
M_{\gamma\sigma}(\lambda)q := G_\sigma(\lambda)q|_{\pi_\gamma}, \quad q \in L^2(\pi_\sigma),
$$

and the constant matrix $A$ with components

$$
A_{\gamma\sigma} = \begin{cases} 
\alpha_{\gamma} & \gamma = \sigma \\
0 & \gamma \neq \sigma.
\end{cases}
$$

Denote moreover by $I$ the identity operator in $L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$.

**Theorem 3.** For all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large one has

$$
R(\lambda) = (H + \lambda)^{-1} = R_0(\lambda) - G(\lambda)(I + AM(\lambda))^{-1} AG(\lambda).
$$
Proof. First we remark that $H$ is a semi-bounded operator hence its resolvent $R(\lambda)$ is a bounded operator for all $\lambda > 0$ such that $\inf \sigma(H) > -\lambda$. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We want to show that the unique solution of

$$ (H + \lambda)\psi = f \quad (3.7) $$

is given by

$$ \psi = R_0(\lambda)f + G(\lambda)q \quad (3.8) $$

where $q \in L^2(\pi_{23}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{31}) \oplus L^2(\pi_{12})$ is

$$ q = -(I + AM(\lambda))^{-1}A\hat{G}(\lambda)f. \quad (3.9) $$

First we show that $(I + AM(\lambda))$ is invertible. Let $q \in L^2(\pi_\gamma)$, recalling Eq. (B.5) and by the unitarity of the Fourier transform, we get

$$ \|M_{\gamma\gamma}(\lambda)q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 = \frac{m_\gamma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mu \frac{\hat{q}(\mu)}{\sqrt{\mu + \lambda}} \leq C \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\lambda^\gamma}. \quad \gamma \neq \gamma', \quad \ell' \text{ denoting the companion index of } \gamma'. $$

On the other hand, if $q \in L^2(\pi_\gamma)$, by Eq. (B.6) (see also Eq. (B.7)), by the unitarity of the Fourier transform and by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$ \|M_{\gamma\gamma'}(\lambda)q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mu d\nu \frac{1}{\mu + \nu + \lambda} \leq C \frac{\|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\lambda}, \quad \gamma \neq \gamma', \quad \ell' \text{ denoting the companion index of } \gamma'. $$

The latter inequality can be easily proved by scaling. We conclude that for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large one has $\|AM(\lambda)\| < 1$, hence $(I + AM(\lambda))$ is invertible.

It remains to show that $\psi$ defined by Eq. (3.8) is the solution of Eq. (3.7). First note that $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\Pi)$ as a direct consequence of Eq. (3.8) and of Eq. (3.9). Moreover from Eq. (3.4) it is easy to convince oneself of the fact that

$$ [\partial_{x_\gamma} G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}]_{\pi_\gamma} = -2m_\gamma q^{(\ell)}, \quad [\partial_{x_\gamma} G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}]_{\pi_\gamma} = 0 \quad (\sigma \neq \gamma), $$

since $[\partial_{x_\gamma} R_0(\lambda)f]_{\pi_\gamma} = 0$, one infers

$$ [\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = -2m_\gamma q^{(\ell)}. $$

Using now Eqs. (3.9) and (3.8) one has

$$ q^{(\ell)} = -\alpha_\gamma \bar{G}(\lambda)f - \alpha_\gamma \sum_{\gamma'} M_{\gamma\gamma'}(\lambda)q^{(\ell')} = -\alpha_\gamma \psi_{\pi_\gamma}. \quad (3.10) $$

Hence, $[\partial_{x_\gamma} \psi]_{\pi_\gamma} = 2\alpha_\gamma m_\gamma \psi_{\pi_\gamma}$ and $\psi$ belongs to $D(H)$. Moreover, by Eq. (3.4), it follows that $G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)}$ satisfies

$$ (H_0 + \lambda)G_\gamma(\lambda)q^{(\ell)} = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Pi. $$

Recalling Eq. (3.2) the equation above implies

$$ (H + \lambda)\psi = f $$

which concludes the proof. \hfill \Box

In the following we explicitly write the equation for the charges $q$ in momentum space. The Fourier transform of Eq. (3.10), taking into account the formulae collected in Appendix B gives

$$ \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_\gamma \sqrt{2m_\gamma}}{2\pi \frac{\mu}{2\pi}} + \lambda \right)q_{(1)}(p_1) = -\frac{\alpha_\gamma \sqrt{2m_\gamma}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_2 \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \lambda f(p_2, p_1) $$

$$ -\frac{\alpha_\gamma \sqrt{2m_\gamma}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_2 \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_2}{2m_\gamma} + \lambda \frac{q_{(2)}(p_2)}{2\pi} - \frac{\alpha_\gamma \sqrt{2m_\gamma}}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_3 \frac{p_3}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_3}{2m_\gamma} + \frac{p_3}{2m_\gamma} + \lambda \frac{q_{(3)}(p_3)}{2\pi}. $$

Two similar equations are obtained by permutation of the indices. We note that with a slight abuse of notation we denoted by the same symbol the function $f$ and its Fourier transform.

Define $\xi^{(\ell)}(p_\ell) = \hat{q}^{(\ell)}(p_\ell)/\sqrt{2\pi}$ and set

$$ \tau_{\gamma}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\alpha_\gamma}{1 + \alpha_\gamma \sqrt{\frac{m_\gamma}{2\pi}}} \quad (\alpha_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}).$$
Then \(\xi^{(j)}(p;\lambda)\) satisfy the system of equations (in what follows we make explicit the dependence of \(\xi^{(j)}\) on \(\lambda\))

\[
\xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\lambda})}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m^2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}}} f(q, p) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\lambda})}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m^2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}}} \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')
\]

\[
- \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{\tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2\lambda})}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m^2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{12}}} \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')
\]

and two more equations obtained by permutation of indices.

We conclude this section with the proof of a bound on the \(L^2\)-norm of the functions \(\xi^{(j)}\), \(j = 1, 2, 3\), see Prop. 3.4 below.

**Remark 3.2.** For any \(\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\), there exists \(\tilde{\lambda} > 0\) such that, for all \(\lambda > \tilde{\lambda}\), one has that

\[
|\tau_\gamma(\lambda)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\pi}.
\]

To see that this is indeed the case: if \(\alpha \geq 0\) one has \(\tau_\gamma(\lambda) \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2}\) for all \(\lambda > 0\); if \(\alpha < 0\) take \(\tilde{\lambda} = 2\alpha^2/m_\gamma\).

**Remark 3.3.** We note that

\[
\frac{q^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q^2 p + p^2}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} \geq \frac{q^2 + p^2}{2\max\{m_1, m_2\}}.
\]

So that, by setting \(C_{12} := 2\max\{m_1, m_2\}\), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2m_{23}} + \frac{q^2 p + p^2}{m_2} + \frac{p^2}{2m_{31}} + \lambda \leq \frac{C_{12}}{q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda}.
\]

In what follows we shall often use, without further warning, the latter inequality (or similar ones obtained by permutation of the indices). Moreover, we shall use the identity

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(s^2 + \eta)^p} ds = \frac{C_b}{\eta^{p - \frac{1}{2}}} \quad \eta > 0, \ b > 1/2.
\]

**Proposition 3.4.** For all \(\lambda > 0\) sufficiently large one has

\[
||\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad j = 1, 2, 3.
\]

**Proof.** From Eq. (3.11), we have that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dp ||\xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p)||^2 \leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{1}{q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda|f(q, p)|^2} \right)^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{1}{q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')|^2} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{1}{q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2} \right)^2 \]

\[
\leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |f(q, p)|^2 \right]^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{1}{(p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^2} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2 \right].
\]

Here, in the first inequality, we took into account Rem. 3.2 and Rem. 3.3 in the second inequality we used Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and again Rem. 3.3. Hence

\[
||\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \frac{C_{12}}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left( \frac{\|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda^2} \right).
\]

Similar inequalities are obtained by permutation of the indices, i.e.,

\[
||\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \frac{C_{12}}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left( \frac{\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda^2} \right),
\]

\[
||\xi^{(3)}(\lambda)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \frac{C_{12}}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left( \frac{\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda^2} \right).
\]

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |f(q, p)|^2 \leq \frac{C_{12}}{\lambda^2} \left( \frac{\|\xi^{(1)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\xi^{(2)}(\lambda)^2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}}{\lambda^2} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq |\xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')|^2 \right].
\]
Summing up all the inequalities we obtain
\[
\left(1 - \frac{C}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^2} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2.
\]
For \(\lambda\) large enough the latter inequality implies
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\xi^{(j)}(\lambda)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2,
\]
which in turn implies Bound (3.12).

4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove Theorem 1. As a preliminary result we prove an a priori estimate on \(t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda)\) and a bound on \(t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda) - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)\).

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume that \(v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^b dx)\) for some \(0 < b < 1\) and for all \(\gamma = 23, 31, 12\). Moreover set \(\alpha_\gamma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\gamma(x) dx\). Then for all \(\lambda > 0\) sufficiently large one has
\[
\sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} |t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k, k')| \leq C,
\]
and
\[
\sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C \varepsilon^b,
\]
where \(0 < \varepsilon < 1\).

**Remark 4.2.** Obviously the assumption on the potentials \(v_\gamma\) is satisfied whenever \(v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^s dx)\) for some \(s > 0\). We note that the speed of convergence in Bound 4.2 improves only up to \(s = 1\), in particular it does not exceed \(\varepsilon^\delta\), \(\delta < \min\{1, s\}\).

**Proof of Lemma 4.1.** Denoting by \(\hat{\psi}_\gamma(k)\) the Fourier transform of \(v_\gamma(x)\), we note that, since \(v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R})\),
\[
\sup_{k \in \mathbb{R}} |\hat{\psi}_\gamma(k)| \leq C.
\]
From Eqs. (2.9) and (4.3) we infer
\[
|t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k, k')| \leq C + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} |t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; p, k')|.
\]
By taking the supremum over \(k\) and \(k'\), and up to choosing \(\lambda\) large enough, the latter bound implies the a priori estimate (4.1).

To prove Bound 4.2, we start by noting that, since \(v_\gamma \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, (1 + |x|)^b dx)\), we have that
\[
|\hat{\psi}_\gamma(k) - \hat{\psi}_\gamma(0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{ikx} - 1) v_\gamma(x) dx \leq |k|^b \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^b v_\gamma(x) dx \leq C |k|^b.
\]
Moreover, \(\alpha_\gamma = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{\psi}_\gamma(0)\) and the function \(\tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)\) satisfies the identity
\[
\tau_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \frac{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(k)}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda) dp.
\]
By taking the difference of Eqs. (2.9) and (4.5) one has
\[
t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda) = \frac{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - k')) - \hat{\psi}_\gamma(0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - p))}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda) dp - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{\psi}_\gamma(\varepsilon(k - p))}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} (t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; p, k') - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)) dp.
\]
By using the fact that \(\hat{\psi}_\gamma\) is bounded and Bound 4.2 in Eq. (4.6), we have that
\[
\frac{|t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C \varepsilon^b + \frac{C \varepsilon^b |\tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|k|^b + |p|^b}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} dp
\]
\[
+ \frac{C}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p|^b + |k|^b + 1 |t_\varepsilon^\gamma(\lambda; p, k') - \tau_\varepsilon(\lambda)|}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} dp.
\]
We note that
\[ \frac{1}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|p|^b + |k|^b + |k'|^b + 1}{p^2/(2m_\gamma) + \lambda} \, dp \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{b}{2}}} + \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{b}{2} - \frac{3}{2}}}. \]
Hence, for \( \lambda > 1 \), one has
\[ \sup_{k, k' \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\xi_k(\lambda; k, k') - \tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{|k|^b + |k'|^b + 1} \leq C \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{|\tau_\gamma(\lambda)|}{\lambda^{\frac{b}{2} - \frac{3}{2}}} \right). \]
The latter bound implies Bound (4.2), by Rem. 3.2 and up to taking \( \lambda \) large enough. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem** Let in the proof of the theorem we set \( b = s \) if \( 0 < s < 1 \), if \( s \geq 1 \) one can chooses any \( b \in (0, 1) \).

We fix \( \lambda_0 \) such that
\[ \min \{ \inf \sigma(H^\varepsilon), \inf \sigma(H) \} > -\lambda_0 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \]
and we prove that, for \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \) large enough, one has
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \| (H^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} - (H + \lambda)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} = 0, \]
where \( \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} \) denotes the usual norm for bounded operators in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \). The convergence of \( (H^\varepsilon - z)^{-1} \) to \( (H - z)^{-1} \) for any \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \) follows from the identity
\[ (H^\varepsilon - z)^{-1} - (H - z)^{-1} = \frac{H^\varepsilon + \lambda}{H^\varepsilon - \lambda} \left( (H^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} - (H + \lambda)^{-1} \right) \frac{H + \lambda}{H - \lambda}, \]
see for example [4] Lem. 2.6.1. Since they are unitarily equivalent we can estimate the norm of \( \tilde{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \tilde{R}(\lambda) \) where \( \tilde{R}(\lambda) \) is the conjugate of \( R(\lambda) \) through Fourier transform. Taking into account Eqs. (2.11) and (3.8) we have that
\[ \| (\tilde{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \tilde{R}(\lambda)) f = R_0(\lambda) \sum_m \rho^{(m), \varepsilon}(\lambda) - \hat{G}(\lambda) \hat{q}(\lambda), \]
where \( \hat{G}(\lambda) = (\hat{G}_{2\varepsilon}(\lambda), \hat{G}_{3\varepsilon}(\lambda), \hat{G}_{4\varepsilon}(\lambda)) \), and \( \hat{q} = (q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, q^{(3)}) \). Taking into account the explicit form of the resolvent \( R_0(\lambda) \) in the \( p \)-coordinates, see, e.g., Eq. (2.7), and by Eq. (B.8) (together with the definition of \( \xi^{(\ell)}(\lambda) \)) we have
\[ \| (\tilde{R}^\varepsilon(\lambda) - \tilde{R}(\lambda)) f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq dp \left[ \frac{\rho^{(1), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \frac{\rho^{(2), \varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_2)}{p_1 + \frac{\rho^{(3), \varepsilon}(\lambda; p_1, p_2)}{p_2}} + \lambda} \right]^2 + \frac{\rho^{(2), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \frac{\rho^{(3), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \frac{\rho^{(1), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \lambda}}. \]
We note the chain of inequalities
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq dp \frac{\rho^{(1), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \frac{\rho^{(2), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \frac{\rho^{(3), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p)}{q^2 + \lambda}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dq \frac{1}{(q^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^{2b}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \frac{\rho^{(1), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b} \leq C \sum_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\rho^{(1), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b}, \]
for any \( 0 < b < 3/2 \). Here we used Rem. 3.3 and the trivial inequality
\[ \frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b} \leq \frac{1}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{12}\lambda)^b}, \]
and defined \( C_{123} \) to be the least of \( C_{12}, C_{23} \) and \( C_{31} \). Two analogous inequalities hold true for the terms involving \( \rho^{(2), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) = \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p) \) and \( \rho^{(3), \varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) = \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p) \).
Hence it is sufficient to prove

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\rho^{(l),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(l)}(\lambda; p)|^2}{(q^2 + p^2 + C_{123}\lambda)^b} = 0 \quad \ell = 1, 2, 3.
\]

Using Eqs. (2.13) and (3.11) we have

\[
\left|\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p)\right|^2 \\
\leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{t_{23}^2(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1} - q - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) f(q', p)}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m_2} + p^2 + \frac{p^2}{m_3} + \lambda} \right]^2 \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{t_{23}^2(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1} - q - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q')}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m_2} + p^2 + \frac{p^2}{m_3} + \lambda} \left( \rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q', q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \right)^2 \\
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \frac{t_{23}^2(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1} - q - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p, -q' - \frac{m_2}{m_3} p) - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q')}{q^2 + \frac{q^2}{m_2} + p^2 + \frac{p^2}{m_3} + \lambda} \left( \rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q') \right)^2
\]
where we used \( \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b}}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq C \) and \( \frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq 1 \) (for \( \lambda \) large enough), which in turn imply

\[
\frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq C \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{q^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq C.
\]

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the term in Eq. (4.11) can be estimated by

\[
\varepsilon^{2b} C \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{dp}{q'^2 + C_{123} \lambda} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \right) \left( \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \varepsilon |(\xi^{(2)}(q'))|^2 \right) \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}
\]

where we used

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + q'^{2b} + 1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq \int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} + \int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{q^{2b} + 1}{(p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq C.
\]

The same estimate holds true for the term in Eq. (4.12).

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the term in Eq. (4.13) can be estimated by

\[
C \int_\mathbb{R} \frac{dp}{q'^2 + C_{123} \lambda} \left( \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + (C_{123} \lambda)^b}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \right) \left( \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{|(\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p, q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q'))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \right)
\]

\[
\leq C \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{|(\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p, q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q'))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2}
\]

where we used

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{p^{2b} + q^{2b} + (C_{123} \lambda)^b}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq \int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{1}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} + \int_\mathbb{R} dq dq' \frac{q^{2b}}{(p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda},
\]

which can be easily proved by scaling. In the same way, the term in Eq. (4.14) can be estimated by

\[
\frac{C}{\lambda} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\mathbb{R} dq' \frac{|(\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q'))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^2}.
\]

Therefore we obtain

\[
\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\mathbb{R} dq \frac{|(\rho^{(1),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^b} \leq \varepsilon^{2b} C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + C \lambda \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\mathbb{R} dq \frac{|(\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p, q) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^b} + \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_\mathbb{R} dq \frac{|(\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p))|^2}{(q'^2 + p^2 + C_{123} \lambda)^b} \right).
\]

(4.15)

Two similar bounds with \( |(\rho^{(2),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; p))| \) and \( |(\rho^{(3),\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p) - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; p))| \) at the l.h.s. are obtained by permutation of the indices.
Estimate \((4.15)\) is not sufficient to close the proof since it involves also terms containing the function \(\rho^{(\ell)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -p - q, p)\). To obtain bounds on those terms we note that

\[
\left| \rho^{(1)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q, p, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p) \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{C} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq_1 t_{23}^f (\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}; q + \frac{m_2 + m_3}{m_1} p, q_1 - q') - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) f(q', p) \right|^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq_1 t_{23}^f (\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_1} p, q - q') - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \right]^2 \right]
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq_1 t_{23}^f (\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}; q + \frac{m_3}{m_1} p, q - q') - \tau_{23}(\lambda + \frac{p^2}{2m_1}) \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q') \right]^2 \right]
\]

Repeating the same steps used from Eq. (4.9) to Eq. (4.15), one can see that the estimate

\[
\sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(1)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q, p, p) - \xi^{(1)}(\lambda; p) \right|^2 \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^2 C}{\| f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}} + \frac{C}{\lambda} \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(2)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q, p, q') - \xi^{(2)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \right)
\]

\[
\left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(3)\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p, q') - \xi^{(3)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \right)
\]

holds trues, and similar ones are obtained by permutation of the indices.

Summing up over permutations of indices the estimates \((4.15)\) and \((4.16)\) we obtain

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(j)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q, p, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p) \right|^2 \right) + C \frac{\varepsilon^2 C}{\| f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(j)\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p, q') - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \right)
\]

For \(\lambda\) sufficiently large, the latter inequality implies

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(j)\varepsilon}(\lambda; -q, p, p) - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; p) \right|^2 \right) + C \frac{\varepsilon^2 C}{\| f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}
\]

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \left( \sup_{q \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dq \left| \rho^{(j)\varepsilon}(\lambda; q, p, q') - \xi^{(j)}(\lambda; q') \right|^2 \right)
\]

Hence, from Bounds \((4.7)\) and \((4.8)\) it follows that

\[
\| (\mathbf{R}_\varepsilon(\lambda) - \mathbf{R}(\lambda)) f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \varepsilon^2 C \frac{\varepsilon^2 C}{\| f \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}}
\]

and the proof is concluded.

**APPENDIX A. FADDEEV’S EQUATIONS**

For the convenience of the reader, in this section we shortly recall the derivation of Faddeev’s equations, for more details we refer to Faddeev’s book [6].
A.1. Resolvent formulae and Faddeev equations. We look for an equation for the resolvent of Hamiltonian \([1,1]\). We start with the resolvent identity and write

\[
R^\varepsilon(\lambda) = (H^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} = (H_0 + \sum_\sigma V_\sigma^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1} = R_0(\lambda) + \sum_\ell R^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda), \tag{A.1}
\]

where \(R_0(\lambda) = (H_0 + \lambda)^{-1}\) is the resolvent of the free Hamiltonian \(H_0\), and

\[
R^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) := -R_0(\lambda)V_\ell^\varepsilon R^\varepsilon(\lambda). \tag{A.2}
\]

On the other hand, again by the resolvent identity, one has

\[
R^\varepsilon(\lambda) = R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) - R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\sum_{\sigma \neq \gamma} V_\sigma^\varepsilon R^\varepsilon(\lambda), \tag{A.3}
\]

where \(R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := (H_0 + V_\gamma^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}\). Note that the Hamiltonian \(H_\gamma^\varepsilon := H_0 + V_\gamma^\varepsilon\) in the coordinates \((x_\gamma, y_\gamma)\) is factorized, because \(V_\gamma^\varepsilon = V_\gamma^\varepsilon(x_\gamma)\). Plugging Eq. (A.3) in Eq. (A.2) one ends up with

\[
R^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -R_0(\lambda)V_\gamma^\varepsilon R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) + R_0(\lambda)V_\gamma^\varepsilon R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\sum_{\sigma \neq \gamma} V_\sigma^\varepsilon R^\varepsilon(\lambda). \tag{A.4}
\]

Next we define the operator

\[
T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := V_\gamma^\varepsilon - V_\gamma^\varepsilon R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) V_\gamma^\varepsilon
\]

and note the identity

\[
R_0(\lambda)V_\gamma^\varepsilon R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda)T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) R_0(\lambda), \tag{A.5}
\]

which is a direct consequence of the resolvent identity \(R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) = R_0(\lambda) - R_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)V_\gamma^\varepsilon R_0(\lambda)\). By using Eq. (A.5) in Eq. (A.4) we get

\[
R^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -R_0(\lambda)T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) R_0(\lambda) - R_0(\lambda)T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\sum_{m \neq \ell} R^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A.6}
\]

By Eqs. (A.1) and (A.6), we conclude that for any function \(f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\) one has

\[
R^\varepsilon(\lambda)f = R_0(\lambda)f + \sum_m g^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = R^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)f, \tag{A.7}
\]

where the functions \(g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)\) must solve the system of equations

\[
g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) = -R_0(\lambda)T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) R_0(\lambda)f - R_0(\lambda)T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\sum_{m \neq \ell} R^{(m),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A.8}
\]

The system (A.8) expresses a form of Faddeev’s equations [7]. In our analysis we shall write Faddeev’s equations (in Fourier transform) for the functions

\[
\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda) := (H_0 + \lambda)g^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda). \tag{A.9}
\]

By Eqs. (A.7) and (A.8), it is easy to convince oneself that the resolvent \(R^\varepsilon(\lambda)\) can be written as in Eq. (2.11) and that the functions \(\rho^{(\ell),\varepsilon}(\lambda)\) must satisfy the system of equations obtained by Eq. (2.12) through permutation of the indices.

A.2. Reduced operators in terms of one-particle operators. In this section we derive a formula for the operators \(T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\) in terms of one-particle operators. This formula allows to write the action of the operator \(T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)\) as in Eq. (2.10) and to obtain Eq. (2.13).

We denote by lower case letters one-particle operators, i.e., operators acting on the space \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\). In particular we shall use the notation

\[
\begin{align*}
&h_0^{(\ell)} := -\frac{1}{2\mu}\Delta_{y_\ell}, \quad h_0^{(\ell)} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_\ell) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, dy_\ell); \\
&h_{0,\gamma} := -\frac{1}{2m_\gamma}\Delta_{x_\gamma}, \quad h_{0,\gamma} : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma);
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&r_{0,\gamma}(\lambda) := (h_{0,\gamma} + \lambda)^{-1}; \\
&h_\gamma := h_{0,\gamma} + V_\gamma, \quad h_\gamma : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma);
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&r_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := (h_\gamma^\varepsilon + \lambda)^{-1}; \quad \text{here} \ V_\gamma^\varepsilon \ \text{is the two particle potential understood as a multiplication operator in} \ L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma).
\end{align*}
\]

In particular we shall be interested in the one particle operator defined by the identity

\[
T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) := V_\gamma^\varepsilon - V_\gamma^\varepsilon r_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda)V_\gamma^\varepsilon, \quad T_\gamma^\varepsilon(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx_\gamma).
\]
The inverse Fourier transform is given by

\[ \mathbf{t}(\lambda) = \mathbf{v}^x \mathbf{r}_0^x(\lambda) + \mathbf{V}^x \mathbf{r}_0^x(\lambda). \]  

Recalling that the Hamiltonian \( \mathbf{H}^x \) is factorized in the coordinates \((x, y_\ell)\), one has that \( \mathbf{R}^x(\lambda) \) can be formally written as

\[ \mathbf{R}^x(\lambda) = \mathbf{r}^x(\lambda + h_0^{(\ell)}), \quad \mathbf{R}^x(\lambda) : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\gamma) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\gamma). \]

Similarly

\[ \mathbf{T}^x(\lambda) := \mathbf{V}^x \mathbf{r}^x(\lambda + h_0^{(\ell)})^{(\lambda)} \mathbf{V}^x = \mathbf{t}^x(\lambda + h_0^{(\ell)}): L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\gamma) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx_\gamma dy_\gamma). \]  

Identity \( \mathbf{A.11} \) can be understood in Fourier transform, see Eqs. \( \mathbf{2.8} \) - \( \mathbf{2.10} \).

**Appendix B. Some useful explicit formulae**

In this section we collect several useful formulae, in particular for the operators appearing in Section 3. For sake of concreteness we write the formulae in the coordinates \((x_{23}, y_1)\), and their conjugates \((k_{23}, p_1)\), or in the coordinates \((p_2, p_1)\). Additional formulae are obtained by permutation of the indices or by change of variables.

We remark that the Fourier transform is defined so as to be unitary in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Explicitly, the Fourier transform in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is denoted by \( \mathbf{\hat{}} \) and defined as

\[ \mathbf{\hat{f}}(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i k x} f(x) dx. \]

The inverse Fourier transform is given by

\[ \mathbf{\hat{f}}(x) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i k x} \mathbf{\hat{f}}(k) dk. \]

Moreover

\[ (\mathbf{\hat{f} \ast g})(k) = (2\pi)^{d/2} \mathbf{\hat{f}}(k) \mathbf{\hat{g}}(k); \quad (\mathbf{f} \mathbf{g})(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \mathbf{\hat{f} \ast \hat{g}}(k); \]

and

\[ (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = (\mathbf{\hat{f}}, \mathbf{\hat{g}})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \]

We start by noticing that the Fourier transform of the operator \( \tilde{G}_{23} \), see Eq. \( \mathbf{3.6} \), is given by

\[ \mathbf{\tilde{G}}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{\hat{f}}(p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}dk_{23} \frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda \mathbf{\hat{f}}(k_{23}, p_1). \]  

Hence,

\[ \mathbf{\tilde{G}}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{f}(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \mathbf{\tilde{G}}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{\hat{f}}(p_1). \]

By taking the adjoint of \( \mathbf{\tilde{G}}_{23}(\lambda) \), it is easy to convince oneself that in Fourier transform the operator \( \mathbf{G}_{23}(\lambda) \) acts as the multiplication operator

\[ \mathbf{G}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{\hat{q}}(k_{23}, p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{k_{23}^2}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda \mathbf{\hat{q}}(p_1). \]  

Hence,

\[ \mathbf{G}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{q}(x_{23}, y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{23} dp_1 \frac{e^{ikx_{23} y_1}}{2m_{23}} \mathbf{G}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{\hat{q}}(k_{23}, p_1) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \sqrt{\frac{2m_{23}}{2\mu_1 + \lambda}} e^{-|x_{23}|\sqrt{2m_{23}} \left( \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1} + \lambda \right)} \mathbf{\hat{q}}(p_1), \]

where the latter identity was obtained by integrating over \( k_{23} \).

Noticing that \( M_{23,23}(\lambda) \mathbf{q}(y_1) = \mathbf{G}_{23}(\lambda) \mathbf{q}(0, y_1) \) and taking into account Eq. \( \mathbf{B.4} \) one infers that in Fourier transform \( \mathbf{M}_{23,23}(\lambda) \) acts as the multiplication operator

\[ \mathbf{M}_{23,23}(\lambda) \mathbf{\hat{q}}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2m_{23}}{2\mu_1 + \lambda}} \mathbf{\hat{q}}(p_1). \]  

and $M_{23,23}(\lambda) q(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \tilde{M}_{23,23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_1)$.

To obtain the expression of $M_{23,12}(\lambda)$ in Fourier transform recall that, by changing the indices in Eqs. (B.2) and (B.3), one has

$$G_{12}(\lambda) q(x_{12}, y_3) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{12} dp_3 e^{ik_{12} \cdot k_3 + ip_3 \cdot p_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{k_{12}^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{12}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{12}}}{2p_1^{2m_{12}}} + \hat{q}(p_3).$$

In the Jacobi coordinates $(x_{23}, y_1)$ (and the corresponding conjugate set $(k_{23}, p_1)$), one has that $(x_{12} k_{12} + y_3 p_3)|_{\pi_{23}} = y_1 p_1$. Since $M_{23,12}(\lambda) q(y_1) = G_{12}(\lambda) q|_{\pi_{23}}(y_1)$ and by the change of variables $(k_{12}, p_3) \rightarrow (k_{23}, p_1)$ in the integrals above, one obtains

$$M_{23,12}(\lambda) q(y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_{23} dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{k_{23}^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{12}}}{2p_1^{2m_{12}}} + \hat{q}(p_3(k_{23}, p_1)),$$

note that $p_3$ in the function $\hat{q}$ must be understood as a function of the variables $(k_{23}, p_1)$, as in Eq. (2.5). By the change of variables $(k_{23}, p_1) \rightarrow (p_3, p_1)$ it follows that

$$M_{23,12}(\lambda) q(y_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dp_3 dp_1 e^{iy_1 p_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{p_3^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{12}}}{2p_1^{2m_{12}}} + \hat{q}(p_3),$$

hence in Fourier transform $M_{23,12}(\lambda)$ acts as

$$\tilde{M}_{23,12}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_3 \frac{p_3^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{12}}}{2p_1^{2m_{12}}} + \hat{q}(p_3).$$

In a similar way one obtains

$$\tilde{M}_{23,31}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{p_2^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{13}}}{2p_1^{2m_{13}}} + \hat{q}(p_2).$$

We conclude this section by noting that in the coordinates $(p_2, p_1)$ the operators $\tilde{G}_{23}(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{G}_{23}(\lambda)$, see Eqs. (B.1) and (B.3), are given by

$$\tilde{G}_{23}(\lambda) \hat{f}(p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{p_2^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{13}}}{2p_1^{2m_{13}}} + \hat{f}(p_2, p_1).$$

and

$$\tilde{G}_{23}(\lambda) \hat{q}(p_2, p_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp_2 \frac{p_2^{2m_{23}}}{2m_{23}} + \frac{p_1^{2m_{13}}}{2p_1^{2m_{13}}} + \hat{q}(p_1).$$

here with a slight abuse of notation we used the same symbols to denote the function $\hat{f}$ with the same symbol both in coordinates $(k_{23}, p_1)$ and $(p_2, p_1)$.

Similar identities are obtained by changes of variables and permutations of the indices.
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