Clinical and molecular characterization of COVID-19 hospitalized patients
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Abstract

Clinical and molecular characterization by Whole Exome Sequencing (WES) is reported in 35 COVID-19 patients attending the University Hospital in Siena, Italy, from April 7 to May 7, 2020. Eighty percent of patients required respiratory assistance, half of them being on mechanical ventilation. Fifty-one percent had hepatic involvement and hyposmia was ascertained in 3 patients. Searching for common genes by collapsing methods against 150 WES of controls of the Italian population failed to give straightforward statistically significant results with the exception of two genes. This result is not unexpected since we are facing the most challenging common disorder triggered by environmental factors with a strong underlying heritability (50%). The lesson learned from Autism-Spectrum-Disorders prompted us to re-analyse the cohort treating each patient as an independent case, following a Mendelian-like model. We identified for each patient an average of 2.5 pathogenic mutations involved in virus infection susceptibility and pinpointing to one or more rare disorder(s). To our knowledge, this is the first report on WES and COVID-19. Our results suggest a combined model for COVID-19 susceptibility with a number of common susceptibility genes which represent the favorite background in which additional host private mutations may determine disease progression.
Introduction

Italy has been the first European Country experiencing the epidemic wave of SARS-CoV-2 infection, with an apparently more severe clinical picture, compared to other countries. Indeed, the case fatality rate has peaked to 14% in Italy, while it remains stable around 5% in China. At the time of the study, 12 May 2020, SARS-CoV-2 positive subjects in Italy have reached the threshold of 200,000 cases [1]. Since the beginning of the epidemic wave, one of the first observations has been a highly heterogeneous phenotypic response to SARS-CoV-2 infection among individuals. Indeed, while most affected subjects show mild symptoms, a subset of patients develops severe pneumonia requiring mechanical ventilation with a 20% of cases requiring hospitalization; 5% of cases admitted to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), and 6.1% requiring intensive support with ventilators or extracorporeal oxygenation (ECMO) machines [2]. Although patients undergoing ventilatory assistance are often older and are affected by other diseases, like diabetes [3], the existing comorbidities alone do not fully explain the differences in clinical severity. As demonstrated for other viral diseases, the basis of these different outcomes there are host predisposing genetic factors leading to different immunogenicity/cytokine responses as well as specific receptor permissiveness to virus and antiviral defence [4–6]. Similarly, during the study of host genetics in influenza disease, a pattern of genetic markers has been identified which underlies increased susceptibility to a more severe clinical outcome (as reviewed in [7]). This hypothesis is also supported by a recent work reporting 50% heritability of COVID-19 symptoms [8].

The identification of host genetic variants associated with disease severity is of utmost importance to develop both effective treatments, based on a personalized approach, and novel diagnostics. Also, it is expected to be of high relevance in providing guidance for the health care systems and societal organizations. However, nowadays, little is known about the impact of host genome variability on COVID-19 susceptibility and severity.

On March 16th, 2020 the University Hospital in Siena launched a study named GEN-COVID with the aim to collect the genomic DNA of 2,000 COVID-19 patients for host genetic analysis. More than 30 different hospitals and community centers throughout Italy joined the study and are providing samples and clinical detailed information of COVID-19 patients. This study is aimed to identify common and rare genetic variants of SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals, using a whole exome sequencing (WES) analysis approach, in order to establish an association between host genetic variants and COVID-19 severity and prognosis.

Results

Clinical data

The cohort consists of 35 COVID-19 patients (33 unrelated and 2 sisters) admitted to the University Hospital in Siena, Italy, from April 7 to May 7, 2020. All patients are of Caucasian ethnicity, except for one North African and one Hispanic. The mean and median age is 64 years (range 31–98): 11 females (median age 66 years) and 24 males (median age 62 years).

The population is clustered into four qualitative severity groups depending on the respiratory impairment and the need for ventilation (groups 1–4 in Table 1 and different colors in Fig 1) (see Methods section). In the two most severe groups (groups 1 and 2, including 13 patients) there are 11 males and 2 females, while in the two mildest groups (groups 3 and 4 including 22 patients) males are 13 while females are 9.

Patients were also assigned a lung imaging grading according to X-Rays and CT scans. The mean value is 13 for high care intensity group, 12 for intermediate care intensity group, 8 for low care intensity group and 5 for very low care intensity group.
Table 1. Clinical characteristics COVID19 patients admitted to the University Hospital of Siena (Italy).

| Subject characteristics | Group 1          | Group 2          | Group 3          | Group 4          |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| No. of subjects (%)     | 6 (17.1%)        | 7 (20%)          | 15 (42.9%)       | 7 (20%)          |
| Mean age (SD)           | 63 (6.2)         | 61.6 (12.3)      | 70 (14)          | 54 (15.7)        |
| Gender                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Male [n (%)]            | 5 (14%)          | 6 (17%)          | 7 (20%)          | 6 (17.1%)        |
| Female [n (%)]          | 1 (2.8%)         | 1 (2.8%)         | 8 (22.8%)        | 1 (2.8%)         |
| PaO2/FiO2 [median (IQR)]| 94.5 (37.7)      | 156 (74)         | 279.5 (162)      | 304 (73.5)       |
| Lung imaging grading (CXR score) | [median (IQR)] | 13 (3.7) | 13 (3) | 8 (4) | 5(6) |
| Laboratory findings    |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| CD4+ T cells count      | [median (IQR)]   | 300 (330.7)      | 582 (661)        | 458 (906)        | 623 (360)        |
| NK cells count          | [median (IQR)]   | 79.5 (72.2)      | 73 (110)         | 112 (90)         | 204 (174)        |
| IL-6 value              | [median (IQR)]   | 598 (777.7)      | 567 (648.2)      | 14.9 (28.4)      | 19 (5.3)         |
| Fibrinogen              | [median (IQR)]   | 406 (409.7)      | 518 (296)        | 566 (209)        | 546 (239)        |
| CRP                     | [median (IQR)]   | 1.22 (24.54)     | 0.43 (4.6)       | 0.36 (1.52)      | 3.14 (4.97)      |
| LDH                     | [median (IQR)]   | 377 (217)        | 407 (319)        | 272 (121)        | 255 (81)         |
| D-Dimer                 | [median (IQR)]   | 5069.5 (20183)   | 1526 (54221)     | 1167 (2022)      | 884.5 (786.3)    |
| Hyposmia (VAS score)    | [n (%)]          |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| <2 (normal)             | 4 (11.3%)        | 6 (17.1%)        | 14 (40%)         | 7(20%)           |
| 2-5 (intermediate)      | 1 (2.8%)         | 0 (0%)           | 0 (0%)           | 0 (0%)           |
| >5 (severe)             | 0 (0%)           | 1(2.8%)          | 1(2.8%)          | 0 (0%)           |
| Hypogeusia (VAS score)  | [n (%)]          |                  |                  |                  |
| No                      | 4 (11.3%)        | 6(17.1%)         | 13(37.1%)        | 7(20%)           |
| Yes                     | 1 (2.8%)         | 1(2.8%)          | 2(5.7%)          | 0 (0%)           |
| Heart involvement [n (%)]|                |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Yes                     | 4(11.3%)         | 3(8.6%)          | 6(17.1%)         | 0 (0%)           |
| T = T-Troponin >15 (ng/L); B = pro-BNP M > 88 (pg/ml); F > 153 (pg/ml); A = arrhythmia | T/B 2 (5.7%) | T/B 1 (2.8%) | T/B 2 (5.7%) | T/B 1 (2.8%) |
|                          | B 2 (5.7%)       | T 1 (2.8%)       | T/A 1 (2.8%)     | A 1 (2.8%)       |
|                          | A 1 (2.8%)       | B/A 1 (2.8%)     | B 1 (2.8%)       | B/A 1 (2.8%)     |
|                          | No               | 2 (5.7%)         | 4(11.3%)         | 9 (25.7%)        | 7(20%)           |
|                          | Unknown          | 0 (0%)           | 0 (0%)           | 0 (0%)           |
| Hepatic (H)/Pancreatic involvement (P) [n (%)] | H and P | 2(5.7%) | 5(14.3%) | 6(17.1%) | 1(2.8%) |
|                          | H only           | 3(8.6%)          | 0 (0%)           | 1(2.8%)          | 1(2.8%)          |
|                          | P only           | 0 (0%)           | 0 (0%)           | 1(2.8%)          | 1(2.8%)          |
|                          | None             | 1 (2.8%)         | 2(5.7%)          | 7(20%)           | 4 (11.3%)        |
| Kidney involvement [n (%)] | Yes    | 0 (0%)           | 3(8.6%)          | 5(14.3%)         | 1(2.8%)          |

(Continued)
Regarding immunological findings, a decrease in the total number of peripheral CD4^+ T cells were identified in 13 subjects, while NK cells’ count was impaired in 10 patients. Six patients showed a reduction of both parameters. IL-6 serum level was elevated in 13 patients. Hyposmia was present in 3 out of 34 evaluated cases (8.8%), and hypogeusia was present in the same subjects plus another case. These four cases belong to the first three severity groups. Liver involvement was present in 7 cases (20%), while pancreas involvement in 4 cases (11%); 10 patients presented both (29%). Heart involvement was detected in 13 cases (37%). 9 patients (25%) showed kidney involvement. Fibrinogen values below 200 mg/dL were identified in 2 cases (6%), between 200 and 400 mg/dL in 7 cases (20%), and above 400mg/dL in 22 cases (63%). D-dimer value below 500 ng/mL was present in 1 case (3%), between 500 and 5000 ng/mL in 26 cases (74%), and in 7 cases (20%) was 10 times higher than the normal value (>5000 ng/mL) (Table 1).

Unbiased collapsing gene analysis

At first, we tested the hypothesis that susceptibility could be due to one or more common factor(s) in the cohort of patients compared to controls. According to this idea, damaging variants of that/those gene(s) should be either over- or under- represented in patients vs controls. We used, as controls, individuals of the Italian population assuming that the majority of them, if infected, would have shown no severe symptoms. WES data of 35 patients were compared with those of 150 controls (the Siena cohort of the Network of Italian Genomes NIG: http://www.nig.cineca.it) using a gene burden test which compares the rate of disruptive mutations per gene. The variants were collapsed on a gene-by-gene basis, in order to identify genes with mutational burden statistically different between COVID-19 samples and controls. The analysis identified genes harboring deleterious mutations (according to the DANN score) with a statistically significant higher frequency in controls than in COVID-19 patients such as the olfactory receptor gene OR4C5 (adjusted p-value of 1.5E-10), (Fig 2 and S1 Table) and NDUFAF7, although to a lesser extent (Fig 2 and S1 Table). For all these genes, the susceptibility factor is represented by the functioning (or more functioning) gene. We also identified two additional genes, PRKRA and LAPTM4B, for which the probability of observing a deleterious variant was computed higher in the COVID-19 samples compared to controls (Fig 2 and S2 Table). In these latter cases, the functioning gene represents indeed a protective factor.

Table 1. (Continued)

| Subject characteristics | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| No                       | 6(17.1%) | 4(11.3%) | 10 (28.6%) | 6(17.1%) |
| Co-morbidities [n (%)]   |        |        |        |        |
| Cardiovascular disease   | 1(2.8%) | 2(5.7%) | 3(8.6%) |        |
| Hypertension             | 2(5.7%) | 2(5.7%) | 8(22.8%) |        |
| Tumor                    | 2(5.7%) | 1(2.8%) | 2(5.7%) | 1(2.8%) |
| Diabetes                 |        | 4 (11.3%) |        |        |
| Pulmonary disease        | 1(2.8%) | 1(2.8%) |        |        |

COVID-19 cohort is grouped in 4 qualitative severity groups depending on the respiratory impairment and the need of ventilation. Group 1 requires invasive ventilation. Group 2 requires CPAP/BiPAP/high-flows oxygen therapy. Group 3 requires conventional oxygen therapy. Group 4 does not require oxygen therapy. Clinical characteristics are listed and the number of patients are indicated for each of them.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242534.1001
Gene analysis using the Mendelian-like model

We then tested the hypothesis that COVID-19 susceptibility is due to different variants in different individuals. A recently acquired knowledge on the genetic bases of Autism Spectrum Disorders suggests that a common disorder could be the sum of many different rare disorders and this genetic landscape can appear indistinguishable at the clinical level [9]. Therefore, we...
analyzed our cohort treating each patient as an independent case, following a Mendelian-like model. According to the “pathogenic” definition in ClinVar database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/), for each patient, we identified an average of 1 mutated gene involved in viral infection susceptibility and pinpointing to one or more rare disorder(s) or a carrier status of rare disorders (Fig 1). Following the pipeline used in routine clinical practice for WES analysis in rare disorders we then moved forward checking for rare variants "predicted" to be relevant for infection by the means of common annotation tools. We thus identified an average of additional 1–5 variants per patient which summed up to the previous identified pathogenic variants (Fig 1, S3 Table).

**Known common susceptibility/protective variants analysis**

We then checked the cohort for known non rare variants classified as either “pathogenic” or “protective” in ClinVar database and related to viral infection. Variants in six different genes matched the term of “viral infection” and “pathogenic” according to ClinVar (Fig 1). Overall, a mean of 3 genes with “pathogenic” common variants involved in viral infection susceptibility were present (Fig 1).

Among the common protective variants, we list as example three variants which confer protection to Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), the first two, and leprosy, the third one: a
CCR2 variant (rs1799864) identified in 8 patients, a CCR5 variant (rs1800940) in one patient and a TLR1 variant (rs5743618) in 26 patients (not shown). A ILAR variant (rs1805015) associated with HIV slow progression was present in 8 patients (not shown).

Candidate gene overview

Although not identified by unbiased collapsing gene analysis a number of obvious candidate genes were specifically analyzed. First, we noticed that SARS-CoV-2 receptor, ACE2 protein is preserved in the cohort, only a silent mutation V749V being present in 2 males and 2 heterozygous females. This is in line with our previous suggestion that either rare variants or polymorphisms may impact infectivity [10]. The IFITM3 polymorphism (rs12252) was found in heterozygosity in 4 patients as expected by frequency. Eight patients had heterozygous missense mutations in CFTR gene reported as VUS/mild variants, 7/8 being among the more severely affected patients.

Discussion

In this study, we present a cohort of 35 COVID-19 patients admitted between April and May 2020 to the University Hospital of Siena who were clinically characterized by a team of 29 MDs belonging to 7 different specialties. As expected, the majority of hospitalized patients are males, confirming previously published data reporting a predominance of males among the most severe COVID-19 affected patients [11]. Lung imaging involvement, evaluated through a modified lung imaging grading system, did not completely correlate with respiratory impairment since among the 13 patients who required mechanical ventilation (group 1 and 2), grading was either moderate (10) or mild (3). In line with our previous data, lymphocyte subset immunophenotyping revealed a decrease in the total number of CD4 and NK cells count, especially in the most severe patients [12]. Laboratory tests revealed a multiple-organ involvement, confirming that COVID-19 is a systemic disease rather than just a lung disorder (Fig 1). We thus propose that only a detailed clinical characterization can allow to disentangle the complex relationship between genes and signs/symptoms.

In order to test the hypothesis that the COVID-19 susceptibility is due to one or more genes in common among patients, we used the gene burden test to compare the rate of disrupting mutations per gene. This test has already been successfully applied to discover susceptibility genes for Respiratory Syncytial Virus infection [13]. We identified 2 genes whose damage represents a susceptibility factor. Mutations in PRKRA (protein kinase activator A, alias PACT; OMIM# 603424), a protein kinase activated by viral double-stranded RNA may impair the down-stream IFN-mediated immune response [14, 15]. Mutations in LAPTM4B (Lysosomal Protein Transmembrane 4 Beta) gene, may impair endosomal network, eventually compromising productive viral infection [16, 17].

We then identified 2 genes whose damage represents a protective factor: OR4C5 and NDUFAF7. OR4C5 is a “resurrected” pseudogene, known to be non functioning in half of the European population, with a frequency of inactive allele of 0.62 in Asians, 0.48 in Europeans and 0.16 in Africans [18, 19]. Expression of the “resurrected” pseudogene OR4C5 may help in triggering the natural immunity leading to virus and cell death [20, 21]. It is interesting to note that protein atlas shows OR4C5 protein expression in the liver without the corresponding mRNA expression (www.proteinatlas.org) suggesting that OR4C5 reaches the liver through nerve terminals [22]. If this is the case, those individuals expressing the resurrected OR4C5 gene may have more triggers of innate immunity and subsequently higher liver damage, in agreement with the putative expression of OR4C5 (white boxes) in patients with liver impairment (Fig 1).
Previous studies reported a prevalence of olfactory disorders in COVID-19 population ranging from 5% to 98%. A recent meta-analysis of 10 studies demonstrated a 52.73% prevalence for smell dysfunction in COVID-19 subjects [23]. In our population, only 3/35 (8.6%) subjects reported olfactory disorders. Both the limited sample size and the characteristic of the population (severely affected hospitalized subjects) could explain this result. However, a report focusing on smell dysfunction in severely affected hospitalized subjects reported a prevalence of 23.7% among 59 patients [24].

We explored the hypothesis that each patient could have one unique combination of rare pathogenic/highly relevant variants related for different reasons to infection susceptibility [9] (Fig 1): G6PD-deficient cells are more susceptible to several viruses including coronavirus and have down-regulated innate immunity (in line with the observed very low levels of IL-6) (Fig 1) [25]; ZEB1-linked corneal dystrophy, known to function in immune cells, and playing an important role in establishing both the effector response and future immunity in response to pathogens [26]; TGFBI mutations (associated with corneal dystrophy); ABCC6 gene mutations (associated with pseudoxanthoma elasticum); likely hypomorphic mutations in CHD7 or COL5A1/2 variants, playing a role as modulators of immune cells activity and/or response to infections [27–34]; ADAR, involved in viral RNA editing; CLEC4M, an alternative receptor for SARS-CoV [35] HCRTR1/2, receptors of Hypocretin, important in the regulation of fatigue during infections [36]; FURIN, a serine protease that cleaves the SARS-Cov-2 minor capsid protein important for ACE2 contact and viral entry into the host cells [37, 38].

Finally, interesting rare variants have been identified in NitricOxide synthase NOS3 and Opioid receptor OPRM1. Opioid ligands may regulate the expression of chemokines and chemokine receptors [39]. NitricOxide (NO), mainly produced by epithelial and white blood cells (iNOS) and to a lesser extent by endothelial cells (eNOS), is able to significantly reduce viral infection and replication of SARS-CoV in normal condition through two distinct mechanisms: impairment of the fusion between the spike protein and its receptor ACE2, and reduction of viral RNA production [40]. Mutations in NO synthase may disrupt one or both the above reported functions and clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate the effectiveness of inhaled NO in COVID-19 patients [41, 42].

Several rare variants in Interleukins (ILs) and Interleukins receptors (ILRs) are found. Interleukins are crucial in modulating immune response against all types of infective agents. The variants reported in this study include different interleukins that are not specifically involved in the defense against virus but are critical in balancing both innate and specific adaptive immune response (Fig 1).

Furthemore, we identified common “pathogenic” variants in genes known to be linked to viral infection, such as MBL2, IRGM and SAA1, and/or specific organ damage as PRSS1. Polymorphisms in PRSS1, a serine protease secreted from the pancreas, are associated with autosomal dominant hereditary pancreatitis (OMIM#167800) [43]. Polymorphisms in MBL2, a mannose-binding lectin secreted by the liver, cause increased susceptibility to infections, possibly due to a negative impact on the ability to mount an immune response [44, 45]. Polymorphisms in IRGM may lead to impairment of autophagy which in turn controls innate and adaptive immunity [46, 47]. SAA1, encoding the serum amyloid A (SAA) protein, is an apolipoprotein reactant, mainly produced by hepatocytes and regulated from inflammatory cytokines. In patients with chronic inflammatory diseases, the SAA cleavage product, Amyloid protein A (AA), is deposited systemically in vital organs including liver, spleen and kidneys, causing amyloidosis [48].

For the last above reported genes and pathogenic variants or predicted variants relevant for infection, a statistically significant difference in variant’s frequency was not found between cases and controls looking at either the single variant or the single gene, as a burden effect of
variants. However, as depicted in the overall Fig 1, we could hypothesize a combined model in which common susceptibility genes will sum to less common or private susceptibility variants. A specific combination of these 2 categories may determine type (organotropism) and severity of the disease.

Our observations related to the huge amount of data, both on phenome and genome sides, and represented in Fig 1, could also lay the bases for association rule mining approaches. Artificial intelligence techniques based on pattern recognition may discover an intelligible picture which appears blurred at present.

We know that a possible limitation of this study is the heterogeneity of patients and controls, which are not matched for gender, major comorbidities and other clinical characteristics. For this reason, further analyses in a larger cohort of samples are mandatory in order to test this hypothesis of a combined model for COVID-19 susceptibility with a number of common susceptibility genes which represent the fertile background in which additional private, rare or low frequency mutations confer to the host the most favorable environment for virus growth and organ damage.

Methods

Patients clinical data and samples collection

The GEN-COVID study was approved by the University Hospital of Siena Ethical Review Board (Prot n. 16929, dated March 16, 2020). Thirty-five patients admitted to the University Hospital in Siena, Italy, from April 7 to May 7, 2020 were recruited. WES data of these 35 patients were compared with those of 150 controls (the Siena cohort of the Network of Italian Genomes NIG http://www.nig.cineca.it). Patients have a mean age of 64 years with a Standard Deviation (SD) of 14.3 while the controls have a mean age of 46 years with a SD of 9.5. The percentage of males (M) and females (F) in patients is 68.5% and 31.4% respectively, while in controls is 51% and 49% respectively. The patients are clustered into four qualitative severity groups depending on the respiratory impairment and the need for ventilation: high care intensity group (those requiring invasive ventilation), intermediate care intensity group (those requiring non invasive ventilation i.e. CPAP and BiPAP, and high-flows oxygen therapy), low care intensity group (those requiring conventional oxygen therapy) and very low care intensity group (those not requiring oxygen therapy) (groups 1–4 in Table 1 and different colors in Fig 1).

Peripheral blood samples in EDTA-containing tubes and detailed clinical data were collected. All these data were inserted in a section dedicated to COVID-19 of the established and certified Biobank and Registry of the Medical Genetics Unit of the Hospital. An example of the Clinical questionnaire is illustrated in S1 Fig.

Each patient was assigned a continuous quantitative respiratory score, the PaO2/FiO2 ratio (normal values >300) (P/F), as the worst value during the hospitalization.

Patients were also assigned a lung imaging grading according to X-Rays and CT scans. In particular, lung involvement was scored through imaging at the time of admission and during hospitalization (worst score), annotating the chest X-Ray (CXR) score (in 34 patients) and CT score in 1 patient for whom X-Rays were not available. To obtain the score (from 0 to 28) each CXR was divided in four quadrant (right upper, right lower, left upper and left lower) and for each quadrant the presence of consolidation (0 = no consolidation; 1 <50%, 2 >50%), ground glass opacities (GGOs: 0 = no GGOs, 1 <50%, 2 >50%), reticulation (0 = no GGOs, 1 <50%, 2 >50%) and pleural effusion on left or right side (0 = no, 1 = minimal; 2 = large) were recorded. The same score was applied for CT (1 patient).
For each patient, the presence of hyposmia and hypogeusia was also investigated through otolaryngology examination, Burghart sniffin’ sticks [49] and a visual analog scale (VAS). Whenever the sign was present, a score ranging from 0 to 10 was assigned to each patient using VAS where 0 means the best sense of smell and 10 represents the absence of smell sensation [50]. The presence of hepatic involvement was defined on the basis of a clear hepatic enzymes elevation as glutamic pyruvic transaminase (ALT) and glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (AST) both higher than 40 UI/l. Pancreatic involvement was considered on the basis of an increase of pancreatic enzymes as pancreatic amylase higher than 53 UI/l and lipase higher than 60UI/l. Heart involvement was defined on the basis of one or more of the following abnormal data: Troponin T (>15 ng/L), indicative of ischemic disorder; NT-proBNP (M >88; F >153 pg/ml), indicative of heart failure and arrhythmias (indicative of electric disorder). Kidney involvement was defined in the presence of a creatinine value higher than 1,20 mg/dl in males and higher than 1,10 mg/dl in females (Fig 1).

**Whole exome sequencing analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using the MagCore® Genomic DNA Whole Blood kit (RBC Biosciences) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Whole exome sequencing analysis was performed on Illumina NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). DNA fragments were hybridized and captured by Illumina Exome Panel (Illumina) according to manufacturer’s protocol. The libraries were tested for enrichment by qPCR, and the size distribution and concentration were determined using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The Novaseq 6000 platform (Illumina), along with 150 bp paired-end reads, was used for sequencing of DNA.

**Genetic data analysis**

Reads were mapped to the hg19 reference genome by the Burrow-Wheeler aligner BWA [51]. Variants calling was performed according to the GATK4 best practice guidelines [52]. Namely, duplicates were first removed by MarkDuplicates, and base qualities were recalibrated using BaseRecalibration and ApplyBQSR. HaplotypeCaller was used to calculate Genomic VCF files for each sample, which were then used for multi-sample calling by GenomictDBImport and GenotypeGVCF. In order to improve the specificity-sensitivity balance, variants quality scores were calculated by VariantRecalibrator and ApplyVQSR. Variants were annotated by ANNOVAR [53], and with the number of articles answering the query “gene_name AND viral infection” in Pubmed, where gene_name is the name of the gene affected by the variant.

In order to identify candidate genes according to the Mendelian-like model, rare variants were filtered by a prioritization approach. We used the ExAC database (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/), in particular the ExAC_NFE reported frequency to filter variants according to a minor allele frequency < 0.01. Synonymous, intronic and non-coding variants were excluded from the analysis. Mutation disease database ClinVar (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) was used to identify previous pathogenicity classifications and variants reported as likely benign/benign were discarded. Filtering and prioritization of variants was completed using the CADD_Phred pathogenicity prediction tool. Finally, we selected genes involved in infection susceptibility using the term “viral infection” as Pubmed database search.
In order to identify genes with a different prevalence of functionally relevant variants between COVID-19 patients and control samples, the following score was calculated:

\[ x_j = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i x_{ij}, \]  

(1)

Where \( w_i \) is a weight associated with the \( i \)-th variant; and \( x_{(i,j)} \) is equal to 0 if the variant is not present in sample \( j \), 1 if sample \( j \) has the variant in heterozygous state, and 2 if sample \( j \) has the variant in homozygous state. The weight \( w_i \) was assumed equal to the DANN score of the variant [54], which provides an estimate of the likelihood that the variant has deleterious functional effects (i.e. variants more likely to have a functional effect contribute more to the score). The sum in equation (1) was performed over all the variants in the gene where the DANN score was available. Genes with less than 5 annotated variants were discarded from the analysis. The scores calculated by equation (1) were ranked for all the samples, and the sum of the ranking for the COVID-19 samples, named \( r_{\text{COVID}} \), was calculated. Then, sample labels were permuted 10,000 times, and these permutations were used to estimate the average value and the standard deviation of \( r_{\text{COVID}} \) under the null-hypothesis. The p-value was calculated assuming a normal distribution for the sum of the ranking [55]. Moreover, we performed an additional more stringent quality check of genetic variants in the selected genes in order to remove calling artifacts that skipped the previous quality control.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Clinical Data Questionnaire. The Questionnaire includes five different categories of data: Patient personal anamnesis and family history, Diagnostic Information, Laboratory Tests, Therapy and Complications. Clinical data were collected in detail for all COVID-19 patients.

(TIF)

S1 Table. List of genes conferring COVID-19 susceptibility identified with the gene burden test analysis. Genes harboring deleterious mutations with statistically significant higher frequency in control than in COVID-19 patients are ordered based on p-value deriving from gene burden test analysis. The p-value adjusted is provided after Bonferroni correction.

(XLSX)

S2 Table. List of COVID-19 protective genes identified with the gene burden test analysis. Genes harboring deleterious mutations with statistically significant higher frequency in COVID-19 patients than in control are ordered based on p-value deriving from gene burden test analysis. The p-value adjusted is provided after Bonferroni correction.
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S3 Table. Rare variants identified in patients cohort. Rare variants identified in COVID-19 patients according to the Mendelian-like model are reported (see Methods section).
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