Normal-State Hourglass Dispersion of the Spin Excitations in FeSe$_x$Te$_{1-x}$
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We use cold neutron spectroscopy to study the low-energy spin excitations of superconducting (SC) FeSe$_{0.4}$Te$_{0.6}$ and essentially nonsuperconducting (NSC) FeSe$_{0.45}$Te$_{0.55}$. In contrast with BaFe$_2$(Co,Ni)$_x$As$_2$, where the low-energy spin excitations are commensurate both in the SC and normal state, the normal-state spin excitations in SC FeSe$_{0.4}$Te$_{0.6}$ are incommensurate and show an hourglass dispersion near the resonance energy. Since similar hourglass dispersion is also found in the NSC FeSe$_{0.45}$Te$_{0.55}$, we argue that the observed incommensurate spin excitations in FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_{x}$ are not directly associated with superconductivity. Instead, the results can be understood within a picture of Fermi surface nesting assuming extremely low Fermi velocities and spin-orbital coupling.
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strong spin excitations at incommensurate positions near the static long-range AF order is suppressed, and the system displays E_{FeSe}.

We chose to study SC FeSe with an analyzer. The energy resolution is about 0.15 meV. We focused on the incommensurate state near 1 meV.

We measured the excess Fe in our sample near the resonance energy are highly two-dimensional and peak at 0.5 meV.

Since magnetic scattering of the x = 0.4 sample near the resonance energy are highly two-dimensional and peak at 0.5 meV and suppression of spin fluctuations below E ≈ 4 meV [24,25,27,28].

We define the momentum transfer Q = (q_x, q_y, q_z) as (H, K, L) = (q_x/2π, q_y/2π, q_z/2π) reciprocal lattice units (rlu), where the lattice parameters of the tetragonal unit cell (P4/nmm space group) are a = b = 3.786 Å and c = 6.061 Å [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)]. We co-aligned ~15 grams of single crystals of x = 0.4 samples to within 1.5° (prepared using flux method similar to [24] with T_c = 14 K in the [H, K, 0] scattering plane with c-axis vertical. All momentum transfers given as Q = (H, K) are read to be Q = (H, K, 0). To further study the effect of superconductivity, we have also measured a poorly superconducting (NSC) FeSe_{x}, x = 0.45) sample (~23 grams) with a T_c of 10 K and a superconducting volume less than 30% in the same scattering zone. The samples were loaded inside either a variable temperature liquid He cryostat or a closed cycle cryostat. Since superconductivity and magnetic order in Fe_{1+δ}Se_{x}Te_{1-x} are extremely sensitive to the excess Fe content δ [5,6,32], we have measured the excess Fe in our samples using inductively coupled plasma atomic-emission spectroscopy analysis. We find that both samples are essentially stoichiometric without excess Fe to within 2% of the measurement accuracy. This is consistent with earlier results that suggest Fe_{1+δ}Se_{x}Te_{1-x} samples in this Se range have little excess Fe [4–6].

Since magnetic scattering of the x = 0.4 sample near the resonance energy are highly two-dimensional and peak at (0.5 – δ, 0.5 + δ) and (0.5 + δ, 0.5 – δ) positions as shown in Fig. 1(b) [26–28], we focus our attention to the energy evolution of these two peaks by carrying out transverse scans along the [H, 1 – H, 0] direction (scan-a direction in Fig. 1(b)). At 20 K (T = T_c + 6 K), the scattering at E = 1 meV show two clear peaks centered at (0.5 – δ, 0.5 + δ) and (0.5 + δ, 0.5 – δ) positions, with δ = 0.132 ± 0.007 [Fig. 2(a), open circles]. Upon cooling to 1.5 K (T = T_c – 12.5 K), the incommensurate peaks disappear and the scattering becomes featureless indicating the opening of a spin gap [Fig. 2(a), filled squares]. To confirm that the magnetic scattering at E = 1 meV is indeed incommensurate as depicted in Fig. 1(b), we carried out scans along the scan-b direction. The outcome of these scans [Fig. 2(b)] indeed shows a peak at the expected position (H = 0 and δ = 0.13) in the normal state and it disappears below T_c.

The temperature dependence of the scattering at E = 1 meV and Q = (0.5 – δ, 0.5 + δ), where δ = 0.13, in Fig. 2(c) shows a sudden reduction in intensity near T_c. For comparison, the intensity of the resonance at E = 6 meV and Q = (0.5, 0.5) below T_c [Fig. 2(c)] clearly increases below T_c [24,25].

Figure 3 summarizes the energy dependence of the transverse scans at a series of energies below and above T_c for the x = 0.4 sample. The scattering is incommensurate at all previous measurements on similar samples have shown the presence of the resonance at E = 6.5 meV and suppression of spin fluctuations below E ≈ 4 meV [24,25,27,28].

FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Schematic in-plane spin structure of the nonsuperconducting FeTe, where the solid and hollow arrows represent two sublattices of spins which can be either parallel or antiparallel [6]. Upon substitution of Se for Te to form FeSe_{0.4}Te_{0.6}, the static long-range AF order is suppressed, and the system displays strong spin excitations at incommensurate positions near Q = (0.5, 0.5) as shown in (b). The incommensurate scattering only appear at positions (0.5 – δ, 0.5 + δ) and (0.5 + δ, 0.5 – δ). Our transverse scans are along the scan direction a, and the scan along the incommensurate position that is perpendicular to scan-a is marked as scan-b. (c,d) Schematic diagram of Fermi surfaces near Γ and M points from results of recent photoemission experiments [33,34]. (e) In a multiband itinerant picture, quasiparticle excitations from the α2 band to the β band can give rise to the upper branch of the hourglass dispersion as shown in the solid red lines. The lower branch of the dispersion is then a consequence of the incommensurate position that is perpendicular to scan-b. (f) Experimental determination of the spin excitation dispersion in the normal (open red circles) and SC (black filled squares) states of FeSe_{0.4}Te_{0.6}. A full spin gap opens below E ≈ 1 meV at 1.5 K. The magnitude of E_g marks the energy below which intensity of spin excitations decrease below T_c, whereas E_s indicates the resonance energy. The dispersion curves are obtained by fitting two Gaussians on linear backgrounds through transverse scans in Figs. 2 and 3. We note that the incommensurability at 2 meV at 20 K is obtained by fitting the difference between the 20 K data and 1.5 K data. The horizontal error bars are the fitted errors of the incommensurability.

(g) Hourglass dispersion in the NSC FeSe_{0.45}Te_{0.55} at 4 and 15 K. Without any collimator. The final neutron wave vector was fixed at k_f = 1.55 Å^{-1} with a cooled Be filter before the analyzer. The energy resolution is about 0.15 meV. We chose to study SC FeSe_{0.4}Te_{0.6} with x = 0.4 because without any collimator. The final neutron wave vector was fixed at k_f = 1.55 Å^{-1} with a cooled Be filter before the analyzer. The energy resolution is about 0.15 meV. We chose to study SC FeSe_{0.4}Te_{0.6} with x = 0.4 because without any collimator. The final neutron wave vector was fixed at k_f = 1.55 Å^{-1} with a cooled Be filter before the analyzer. The energy resolution is about 0.15 meV. We chose to study SC FeSe_{0.4}Te_{0.6} with x = 0.4 because
FIG. 2 (color online). (a) Constant-energy scans at $E = 1$ meV along the scan-a direction below (solid squares) and above $T_c$. The normal-state incommensurate scattering is completely suppressed below $T_c$. The solid line is a fit of the data using two Gaussians on a sloped linear background. The data at 1.5 K are featureless indicating the presence of a full spin gap at this energy. (b) Constant-energy scans along the scan-b direction below and above $T_c$. The data confirm that the normal-state magnetic scattering is incommensurate and centered at $(0.5 - \delta, 0.5 + \delta)$ and $(0.5 + \delta, 0.5 - \delta)$ with $\delta = 0.132 \pm 0.007$ at $E = 1$ meV. The scattering again become featureless below $T_c$. (c) The temperature dependence of the scattering at $Q = (0.37, 0.63)$ and $E = 1$ meV (left scale) decreases below $T_c$, while the scattering at the resonance energy $[Q = (0.5, 0.5) \text{ and } E = 6$ meV] increases below $T_c$ (right scale). (d) Similar scans as (a) in the $x = 0.45$ NSC sample.

energies investigated but display quite different temperature dependence for spin excitation energies below and above $E = 4.5$ meV. For energies between $E = 2$ and 4.5 meV, the scattering in the normal state is suppressed but not eliminated at 1.5 K [Figs. 3(a)–3(c)]. The effect of superconductivity reduces the entire transverse scattering profile at $E = 3$ meV [Fig. 3(b)], but only suppresses the incommensurate magnetic scattering intensity at $E = 4.5$ meV [Fig. 3(c)]. The $E = 2$ meV excitations in both the normal and superconducting state are difficult to fit, although the suppression of the signal is still clear. For energies of $E = 6$, 7.5, and 9.5 meV, the low-temperature scattering are strongly enhanced due to the resonance. All these data were fitted by two Gaussian functions with equal width as shown by the solid lines in Figs. 3(a)–3(f), where the incommensurability $\delta$ is defined as half of the distance between two peaks. Based on these data and the results at $E = 1$ meV (Fig. 2), we plot in Fig. 1(f) the dispersions of spin excitations in the normal and SC states. It is immediately clear that spin excitations of FeSe$_0.4$Te$_{0.6}$ display an hourglasslike dispersion in the normal state.

To determine the impact of superconductivity on the energy dependence of spin excitations, we show in Fig. 4(a) constant $Q$ scans at $Q = (0.5, 0.5)$ below and above $T_c$ in the $x = 0.4$ SC sample. Consistent with earlier work [24,25], cooling through $T_c$ rearranges the scattering profile by reducing the magnetic scattering below $E = 4$ meV and creating a resonance at $E = 6.5$ meV [Fig. 4(a)].

In cuprates, the resonance and hourglass dispersion may arise from the $d$-wave nature of the superconducting gap [19,20]. To see if the hourglass dispersion in Fig. 1(f) is directly connected with superconductivity, we especially prepared a nearly NSC $x = 0.45$ sample and carried out identical measurements as those for the SC $x = 0.4$ sample. Although the NSC $x = 0.45$ exhibits an hourglass dispersion remarkably similar to that in the $x = 0.4$ SC sample [Figs. 1(f) and 1(g)], the incommensurate scattering at 1 meV show no temperature dependence [Fig. 2(d)]. In addition, the system has no spin gap and shows only weak resonance above 5 meV [Fig. 4(b)]. The transverse scans at 6 meV in Fig. 4(c) further demonstrate the weak resonance. Figure 4(d) shows that there is a large broad peak along the $K$ direction at $(0.5, 0.0)$, which suggests that our $x = 0.45$ sample is indeed poorly SC [29].

The hourglass dispersion may be understood within a Fermi surface nesting picture similar to the case of pure chromium [30,31], where the incommensurate spin density waves come from the interband nesting between the electron and hole bands. In the FeSe$_{x}$Te$_{1-x}$ system, if we consider two nesting wave vectors in a multiband system,
where the two hole pockets ($\alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3$) at $\Gamma$ point are nested to the electron pockets at $M$ point as shown in Figs. 1(c)–1(e), the low-energy excitations which disperse inward to the commensurate wave vector $Q = (0.5, 0.5, 0)$ start at a incommensurate wave vector about $Q = (0.5 - 0.15, 0.5 + 0.15)$, which is different from the incommensurate scattering at $Q = (0.5 - 0.09, 0.5 + 0.09)$ defined by the high energy dispersion [26,27]. The reason why such nesting conditions are favored may be related to orbital characters [28,33,34]. Although this nesting scheme is appealing, extremely flat band is required to produce the flat dispersion of spin excitations observed at low energies. The bare dispersion calculated by local-density approximation (LDA) [27] is not flat enough to produce the observed excitations. However, we note that recent angle resolved photoemission data [33,34] have shown that compared with LDA calculations, certain bands in the FeSe,$\text{Te}_{1-x}$, have very large renormalization factors and become much flatter, possibly due to strong electron-electron correlation effects [35]. These ARPES results can provide an consistent understanding of our experimental data. From our experimental value of $Q = (0.5,0,0)$ in the $M$ point are smaller and the $\alpha_3$ band is much flatter, we obtain the velocity of $\alpha_3$, $v_{F,\alpha_3} = 22 \text{ meV} \cdot \text{Å}$.

In summary, we observe the hourglass dispersion in both SC and NSC FeSe,$\text{Te}_{1-x}$ samples, phenomenologically similar to the case of cuprates and pure chromium metals. Whether the hourglass behavior of spin excitations is a very common feature in the metallic states of these magnetically-fluctuating systems needs to be further investigated both experimentally and theoretically.
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