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Abstract

Objective To assess clinical practice competency and associated factors among graduating nursing students in universities of Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia. Result This study showed that, 33.6% of the participants were clinically competent and there is slight variation in the clinical competency of participants at different Universities found in Amhara region. Clinical practice competency of students was affected by clinical instructor factors. Students who had got orientation about objective of clinical practice were 2.387 times more likely to be clinically competent [AOR: 2.387; 95% CI (1.011-5.635)]. Students who had clinical instructor who spent enough time for mentoring them during clinical practice had 2.247 times more likely to be clinical competent [AOR: 2.247; 95% CI (1.100-4.593)]. Students who had instructors that follow them while they conduct a procedure were 2.655 times more likely clinically competent [AOR: 2.655; 95% CI (1.294-5.449)]. This study also revealed that clinical practice competency of students was affected by assessment methods factors. Students with clinical practice assessment checklist had 2.663 times higher clinical practice competency [AOR: 2.663; 95% CI (1.324-5.358)]. Regarding staff-student factors, students who were allowed to perform tasks by clinical staffs were 5.858 times more likely to be clinical competent task [AOR: 5.858; 95% CI (2.657-12.916)].

Introduction

Nursing is a dynamic, caring, and helping relationship in which nurses assist the client to achieve and obtain optimal health. As a profession, it undergoes a huge transformation since the time of Florence nightingale (1). Graduating nurse should apply the core competencies which are professional role, responsibility and accountability; health assessment and diagnosis; therapeutic management; and health promotion and prevention of illness and injury (2, 3).

Clinical practice is the means by which nursing students learn to apply theory of nursing, facilitating integration of theoretical knowledge and practical skills in the clinical setting (4-6). One of the outcomes for effective learning in clinical practice is clinical competence which is the ability to successfully apply professional knowledge, skill and attitude to new situations as well as familiar ones (1, 7).

Health workers, including nurses, are not adequately prepared to meet the needs of society, especially in developing countries. The rapidly shifting of balance in availability and demands of competent health care workforce and the change in health care system have highlighted the necessity for graduating students to be clinical competent (10). A global report into health education sees educational institutions as crucial to transform health care systems. However, in many countries there is a lack of capacity in training, budget support and infrastructure (1). Improving the productivity and performance of health care workers in order to enhance efficiency in health interventions, is a major challenge for African countries (13).

In Ethiopia, government is the main health care service provider. The health care system of Ethiopia is facing a serious shortage of skillful health workforce. So, when students start clinical practice, they
encounter different problems that can affect their clinical competency (14). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess clinical competency and associated factors among graduating nursing students at Universities found in Amara Region, Northern Ethiopia, 2018.

**Methods**

**Study design and setting**

Institutional based cross-sectional study design was conducted from February 25 – April 25/2018 G.C among graduating nursing students at universities found in Amhara region namely University of Gondar, Bahir Dar University, Wollo University, Debre Birhan University, Debre Markos University and Woldia University.

**Source and study Population**

The source populations were all graduating BSc nursing students attending in Amara Region Universities whereas the study populations were all randomly selected graduating BSc nursing students in Amara Region Universities in 2018.

**Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria**

Graduating BSc nursing students attending regular degree program were included, whereas students who were critically ill during data collection period were excluded.

**Sample Size Determination**

Sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula with the assumptions of P-value=0.25 which was the proportion of clinical practice competency in Hawasa university (4), a 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error and 10% non-response rate. Accordingly, the calculated final sample size of the study was found to be 307.

**Sampling and Sampling Procedure**

All universities having graduating nursing students were included in the study, and total sample size was proportionally allocated to each university. The lists of graduating students were obtained from the respective university registrar. Then, the study participants from each university were selected by computer generated simple random sampling technique.

**Data Collection Method**

The data was collected by six BSc nurses’ by using a pretested structured self-administered questionnaire and observational checklist which was adapted from previous studies which was conducted in Hawassa Ethiopia and International domains of competency for nurses (4, 6, 34). Since, English language is the medium of instruction in all Ethiopian nursing schools, it was used for the questionnaire and
observational checklist. Factors associated with clinical practice competency was assessed by 29 reliable items (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.78) (items on clinical instructor factor, clinical environment, assessment method and clinical staff student interaction factors). The overall clinical practice competency were measured based on the answer for practice related question using a five point likert scale and during analysis, the responses of ‘Strongly agree’ and ‘agree’ was classified as correct response and responses of ‘strongly disagree’, ‘disagree’ and ‘neutral’ as incorrect response. For the overall clinical competency level, those who scored in greater or equal to 50% of the items were categorized under “clinically competent” and those who scored below 50% of the total items were categorized as “clinically incompetent”. The non-participatory observation was done prior to distributing self-administered questionnaire and oral consent was taken from those nurse students who was observed but not the detail of observation. Observation was undertaken on 10% of the study population by using observational checklist to assess the actual clinical practice competency among graduating nurses which was used as a supplement study for the quantitative results.

Data Quality Assurance

Training was given for data collectors and supervisors about techniques of data collection and briefed on each question included in the data collection tool. The pre-test was conducted on 5% of sample size to ensure the validity of the tool, then correction was made before the actual data collection. Principal investigator and supervisors were checked on the spot and reviewed all the questionnaires to ensure completeness and consistency of the information collected and immediate action was taken accordingly. Double data entry was done by two data clerks and consistency of the entered data was cross-checked by comparing the two separately entered data.

Data processing and Analysis

The data were entered using Epi Data version 4.2 and exported to SPSS version 24 for analysis. Descriptive statistics like frequency, percentage and standard deviation was computed. Binary logistics regression model was applied to identify factors associated with clinical practice competency. For analysis of clinical practice competency, all questions were coded as yes and no response and finally those graduating students who score 50% and above were labeled as clinically competent and those who scored below 50% were labeled as clinically incompetent. Observational data was analyzed and the result was carefully triangulated to validate the quantitative result. All variables with P ≤ 0.25 in the bivariate analysis were included in the final model of multivariate analysis in order to control all possible confounders. Multi-collinearity was checked to see the linear correlation among the independent variables by using standard error. Variables with a standard error of > 2 were dropped from the multivariable analysis. Model fitness was checked with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Adjusted odds ratio with 95% CI was estimated to identify the factors associated with clinical practice competency using multivariable logistic regression analysis. Level of statistical significance was declared at p-value <0.05.

Result
**Socio-Demographic Characteristics**

A total of 307 graduating nursing students were participated. One hundred seventy three students were males. Regarding to age, (82.4%) of students were in the range between 20-24 years and the mean ($\pm$ SD) age was 22.68 ($\pm$1.841) years. One hundred sixty one (52.4%) of the students were followers of orthodox Christianity (Table S1).

**Overall self-reported Clinical Practice Competency Level**

The overall factors associated with clinical practice competency was assessed by items on clinical instructor factor, clinical environment, assessment method and clinical staff student interaction factors (Table S2, S3, S4 & S5). This study revealed that, 103 (33.6%) of the participants was clinically competent and there is slight variation in clinical competency of participants at Universities found in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia (Figure 1).

**Clinical competency level from Observational Checklist**

Observations were made on 31(10%) of graduating nursing students during their practical session in medical, surgical, obstetrics and gynecology, pediatrics and outpatient department to validate self-reported practice. To determine the practice and validate their responses, 13 observation checklists were analyzed. Out of 31 observed participants, 5 (16.1%) of them had instructors that demonstrate skills in cases during clinical practice and, only 7 (22.6%) of students got constructive feedback from their clinical instructors (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Clinical competency practice result among graduating nursing students at universities in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia, 2018.
Observational items | Yes | No | Frequency | % | Frequency | %
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Instructors provide clinical practice logbook | 12 | 38.7 | 19 | 61.3 |
Instructors have continuous follow up | 14 | 43.2 | 17 | 54.8 |
Instructor integrate theory in to practice | 16 | 51.6 | 15 | 48.4 |
Instructors demonstrate skills in cases | 5 | 16.1 | 26 | 83.9 |
Instructors provide constructive feedback | 7 | 22.6 | 24 | 77.4 |
Place of attachment has sufficient cases | 10 | 32.3 | 21 | 67.7 |
Clinical environment has sufficient materials | 8 | 25.8 | 23 | 74.2 |
Clinical placement has sufficient wards for | 9 | 29.0 | 22 | 71.0 |
Orientation about assessment methods | 9 | 29.0 | 22 | 71.0 |
Presence of continuous assessment methods | 8 | 25.8 | 23 | 74.2 |
Assessment address three learning domain | 8 | 25.8 | 23 | 74.2 |
Staff allows students to perform tasks | 9 | 29.0 | 22 | 71.0 |
Staff encourages students | 8 | 25.8 | 23 | 74.2 |
**Over all observed clinical competency** | | | Clinically competent: 9(29%) |
| | | Clinically incompetent: 22(71%) |

Factors Associated with Clinical Practice Competency

Logistic regression analysis revealed that, students who had got orientation about objective of clinical practice were 2.39 times more likely to be clinically competent compared to the counterpart [AOR: 2.39; 95% CI: 1.01-5.63]. Similarly, students who had clinical instructor who spent enough time for mentoring them during clinical practice had 2.25 times more likely to be clinical competent [AOR: 2.25; 95% CI: 1.10-4.59]. Students who had instructors follow them while conducts a procedure were 2.66 times more clinically competent than who were not followed by instructors [AOR: 2.66; 95% CI: 1.29-5.45]. This study also revealed that, clinical practice competency of students was affected by assessment methods factors. Students with clinical practice assessment checklist had 2.66 times higher clinical practice competency than student who had no clinical assessment checklist [AOR: 2.66; 95% CI: 1.32-5.36]. Regarding clinical staff-student factors, students who were allowed to perform tasks by clinical staffs were 5.86 times more likely to be clinical competent than those who were not allowed [AOR: 5.86; 95% CI: 2.66-12.92] (Table 2).

Table 2: factors associated with clinical practice competency among graduating nursing students at universities in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia, 2018 (n=307).
| Variables                                      | Clinical competency | practice | COR(95%CI) | AOR(95%CI) |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|                                               | Competent            | Incompetent |           |            |
| Orientation of objective                      | Yes                  | 80(47.9%) | 87(52.1%)  | 4.68(2.72-8.03)** | 2.39(1.01-5.63)* |
|                                               | No                   | 23(16.43%) | 117(83.57%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Enough mentoring time                         | Yes                  | 62(50.8%) | 60(49.2%)  | 3.63(2.21-5.96)*** | 2.25(1.10-4.59)* |
|                                               | No                   | 41(22.2%) | 144(77.8%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Integrate theory in to practice               | Yes                  | 77(39.9%) | 116(60.1%) | 2.25(1.33-3.79)** | 1.01(0.49-2.07) |
|                                               | No                   | 26(22.8%) | 88(77.2%)  | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Demonstrate skills in cases                   | Yes                  | 66(47.8%) | 72(52.2%)  | 3.27(1.99-5.36)*** | 1.559(0.72-3.36) |
|                                               | No                   | 37(21.9%) | 132(78.1%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Follow while doing procedure                  | Yes                  | 56(54.4%) | 47(45.6%)  | 3.98(2.39-6.60)*** | 2.66(1.29-5.45)** |
|                                               | No                   | 47(23.0%) | 157(77.0%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Orient assessment methods                      | Yes                  | 72(42.9%) | 96(57.1%)  | 2.61(1.58-4.32)*** | 0.76(0.35-1.65) |
|                                               | No                   | 31(22.3%) | 108(77.7%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Continuous assessment                         | Yes                  | 70(42.2%) | 96(57.8%)  | 2.39(1.45-3.92)** | 1.26(0.60-2.61) |
|                                               | No                   | 33(23.4%) | 108(76.6%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Presence of checklist                         | Yes                  | 67(52.76%)| 60(47.24%) | 4.47(2.69-7.40)*** | 2.66(1.32-5.36)** |
|                                               | No                   | 36(20.0%) | 144(80.0%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Staff allows to perform tasks                 | Yes                  | 89(46.84%)| 101(53.16%)| 6.48(3.46-12.13)*** | 5.86(2.66-12.92)*** |
|                                               | No                   | 14(12.0%) | 103(88.0%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |
| Staff encourages to do practice                | Yes                  | 82(42.27%)| 112(57.73%)| 3.21(1.84-5.58)*** | 1.30(0.57-2.95) |
|                                               | No                   | 21(18.58%)| 92(81.42%) | 1.00       | 1.00       |

Significant at: *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001, 1.00 = reference, COR= Crude Odd Ratio, AOR=Adjusted Odd Ratio, CI=confidence Interval.
Discussion

This study revealed that clinical practice competency of students was 33.6% with (95%CI 27.6%-39.6%). This study was also supported with observational report in which only 29% of study participants were clinically competent. It is higher than a study done in Hawasa University which was 25.2%. This discrepancy might be due to the difference of study setting and characteristics of study participants as well as technique of data collection. The study conducted in Hawasa was in a single institution, but this study covered six institutions (4). It is lower than study conducted in Health Professionals at Debre Birhan Health Science College which was 78.6%. This may be due to the fact that, the study participants were health professionals (18). The result of this study is also inconsistent with a study conducted in Annals’ University of Finland which was 66.7% (9). This might be due to the difference in sample size in which, the previous study use small sample size compared to this study, socio-economic status of study participant and difference in curriculum of the nation.

This study found that, those students who had got orientation about objective of clinical practice were 2.39 times more likely to be clinically competent than student who did not get orientation. Students who spend adequate time with their instructor/mentor during clinical practice were 2.25 times more competent in clinical skill than those who did not get chance to spend enough time with their clinical instructors. Students who had instructors who supervise them while they conduct a procedure were 2.66 times more competent in clinical performance than students who were not followed by instructors during conducting a procedure. This study also revealed that, those students who were assessed in clinical practice with assessment checklist had 2.66 times higher clinical practice competency than student who were not assessed with assessment checklist during their clinical practice. However, this result is inconsistent with the study conducted at Hawasa University among health science students which showed no significant association between those factors. This difference might be due to the difference in the characteristics of study participants and technique of data collection. In this study observation technique was used in addition to self-administered questionnaire(4). This finding is enlargement with the study conducted at Hawasa University. It also enlargement with a study conducted at Oman (24). This variation might be due to the difference in sampling technique, sample size, study setting and socio-economic status of study participants in which the previous study’s sample size was relatively smaller than the current study and also it was used non-probability sampling technique, but this study used probability sampling method. In the current study clinical instructor factor had effect on clinical practice competency which is similar with the study conducted in Annals’ University of Finland among graduating nursing students department of Nursing Science (9).

Conclusions

Generally, the results of this study revealed that about more than half of graduating nursing students were clinically incompetent. Clinical instructor variables like presence of orientation about the objective of clinical practice, spending enough time for mentoring and following of students while they conduct a procedure; assessment method factors like presence of assessment checklist; and staff-student
interaction factors like allowing them to do a task were significantly associated with clinical practice competency of graduating nursing students. So, school administrators and respective responsible bodies should strengthen supportive supervision and updated orientation of students before and during their clinical attachments to improve clinical competency level of graduating nursing students.
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**Figure 1**

Level of clinical practice competence among graduating nursing students at universities found in Amhara region, Northern Ethiopia, 2018 (n=307).
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