Intermediate filaments (IFs) are key to the mechanical strength of metazoan cells. Their basic building blocks are dimeric coiled coils mediating hierarchical assembly of the full-length filaments. Here we use single-molecule force spectroscopy by optical tweezers to assess the folding and stability of coil 2B of the model IF protein vimentin. The coiled coil was unzipped from its N and C termini. When pulling from the C terminus, we observed that the coiled coil was resistant to force owing to the high stability of the C-terminal region. Pulling from the N terminus revealed that the N-terminal half is considerably less stable. The mechanical pulling assay is a unique tool to study and control seed formation and structure propagation of the coiled coil. We then used rigorous theory-based deconvolution for a model-free extraction of the energy landscape and local stability profiles. The data obtained from the two distinct pulling directions complement each other and reveal a tripartite stability of the coiled coil: a labile N-terminal half, followed by a medium stability section and a highly stable region at the far C-terminal end. The different stability regions provide important insight into the mechanics of IF assembly.

Intermediate filaments (IFs) serve as “stress buffers” (1) in metazoan cells. They are critical for mechanical resistance of the cell, cell motility, and shape determination (2, 3). IFs are a heterogeneous group of proteins encoded by 70 different genes in humans (4). Three of these genes code for nuclear proteins, termed lamins, the others for various cytoplasmic proteins, such as keratins, found in epithelia, and vimentin, characteristic of mesenchymal cells. All IFs share the same overall structure: a long central α-helical rod of conserved design that is flanked by non–α-helical head and tail domains of highly varying size. Individual rod domains wrap around each other to form the basic building block of IF assembly: a dimeric coiled coil (CC) (2).

The structure of the homodimeric rod domain of vimentin may be subdivided into three α-helical regions termed coils 1A, 1B, and 2 (formerly coil 2A, linker 2, and coil 2B (5), which are interspaced with non–α-helical linker regions L1 and L12 (Fig. 1A) (6, 7).

The superhelical structure of a left-handed CC reflects the characteristic heptad repeat pattern with positions denoted as abcdefg, in which hydrophobic residues occupy positions a and d (8, 9).

CC motifs are not limited to IFs but occur in an estimated 5–10% of all translated protein sequences (8). Their structural simplicity and diverse functionality make them an important model system for protein folding. Debate still exists regarding the precise events of folding of CCs, ranging from collision of previously unstructured chains to the preformation of short α-helical segments (10–12). CCs also have become a target of single-molecule force spectroscopy, allowing the study of sequence-resolved folding. Examples are the mapping of the energy landscape of the CC model system GCN4 (13, 14) and the recent characterization of the assembly of the SNARE proteins (15).

Many CCs assemble into higher-order structures, as in the case of IFs. Here, the CC dimers associate laterally into roughly half-staggered antiparallel tetramers that, depending on ionic strength, further stack upon each other to build so-called unit-length filaments (ULFs) (2), (16, 17). Longitudinal annealing of these ULFs is believed to be mediated by the interaction of both dimer ends from each individual ULF, namely coil 1A and coil 2B, resulting in an “overlap” of successive CC dimers (2, 18). In the last step, the filaments undergo a radial compaction yielding full-length IFs (2) that exhibit unique mechanical properties (19–22). The structural nature of the overlap of coil 1A and coil 2B is still debated (2, 23–25). The CC dimer ends represent hotspots for disease-related mutations in IF proteins (4, 26), further underscoring their functional importance.

Interestingly, coil 2 exhibits high sequence and absolute length conservation across all IFs (1, 27). Moreover, the large C-terminal fragment of coil 2 of vimentin (coil 2B) harbors two structurally interesting features: a stutter (residues 351–354) and the highly conserved region of 25 residues at the C terminus (residues 380–404) (Fig. 1A, Inset) (23). A stutter is an insertion of an additional four amino acids at the end of a heptad, thus interrupting the regular abcdedefg pattern (9). The stutter position in coil 2 of IFs is absolutely conserved (23).

In this study, we present a deconvolution force spectroscopic approach using a combination of experiment and Brownian dynamics simulation, allowing us to measure the full distance-resolved energy landscape of the C-terminal part of vimentin coil 2. Our results yield important insight into the mechanical and thermodynamic stability of IFs, with important consequences for their assembly.

Significance

Intermediate filaments (IFs) are an essential part of the cytoskeleton of metazoan cells, responsible for the cell’s shape and motility. To exert their mechanical function, IF dimers undergo a complex assembly into long filaments. The dimer ends are thought to mediate assembly. Mutations in these regions are associated with various diseases. We use single-molecule force spectroscopy by optical tweezers to mechanically open the C-terminal part of the dimer of the IF vimentin. Using deconvolution, a specialized analytic method, we can directly assess the stability of the C-terminal dimer end. Relating this stability to the amino acid sequence and structural features of the dimer, we shed light on the early assembly and mechanical properties of IFs.
Results
For single-molecule force measurements with the C-terminal half of vimentin coil 2 (Vim2B), we constructed two different fusion proteins containing the vimentin residues 306–404. A terminal cysteine for covalent intradimer linkage and a ubiquitin domain as a spacer for DNA handle attachment were added. By varying the force attachment points, the unfolding pathway of the constructs can be controlled (28–31). One construct (Vim2B-C) was linked by a disulfide bond at the N terminus where mechanical opening proceeds from the C-terminal end. The other construct (Vim2B-N) is opened from the N terminus while linked at the C terminus (Fig. 1B). The unzipping geometry of the linear CCs allows us to assign a precise unfolding coordinate along the backbone of the α-helices. Using optical tweezers, we performed nonequilibrium experiments recording force-extension traces by stretching and relaxing the constructs at a constant trap velocity of 500 nm/s. Another set of experiments was conducted in “passive mode,” in which the two traps are held at a constant separation, allowing equilibrium fluctuations of the molecule. As we extracted most free energy values and data discussed from passive mode data, hereafter we use the term “stability” synonymously with equilibrium free energy.

Vimentin Coil 2B Pulled from the N Terminus Unfolds Gradually. Stretch and relax curves of Vim2B-N are shown in Fig. 1C. Two major transitions close to equilibrium can be observed. Although transitions very close to equilibrium are difficult to observe in force-extension cycles, they appear clearly in an analysis of the force-dependent SD of the signal, in which transition regions appear as areas with increased noise (Fig. S1). Between 5 and 8 pN, we observe a hump-like transition in which the CC gradually unfolds to a metastable intermediate (I1). In the second transition, at forces between 8.5 and 10 pN, the coil rapidly fluctuates between I1 and the unfolded state. The average contour length increase of 35.4 (± 2.0) nm for the first transition indicates that the N-terminal half of coil 2B is already unfolded at around 8 pN. The contour length increase of 65.4 (± 2.3) nm between the completely folded and unfolded states corresponds to the unfolding of 90 (± 3) residues, indicating that the first 8 N-terminal residues are likely to be unfolded at our minimally resolvable forces of about 2–3 pN. The close-to-equilibrium nature of the first hump-like transition allows us to analyze the cooperativity of the transition and infer the number of intermediates populated (SI Appendix, section 4.2 and Fig. S2). We find that the hump-like transition involves at least one additional intermediate that exchanges quickly with the folded state and the intermediate I1. Because rapid transitions reflect low transition barriers, we infer that the energy landscape of Vim2B-N is flat at its N terminus. Fig. 1D shows passive mode recordings of force-dependent equilibrium fluctuations between I1 and the unfolded state. Increasing force gradually shifts the probability distribution toward the unfolded state (bottom to top traces). Force-dependent state occupancies allow extraction of equilibrium free energy differences (SI Appendix, section 4.4). The free energy difference between the unfolded state and I1 is 22.3 k_BT, roughly reflecting the stability of the C-terminal half of Vim2B-N. The folding free energy of the whole construct is 35.2 (± 3.5) k_BT, as obtained by integrating the force-extension trace across the complete transition (SI Appendix, section 4.4). Hence, two thirds of the total free energy is provided by the C-terminal half.

Vimentin Coil 2B Unfolds in a Clear Three-State Manner When Pulled from the C Terminus. The unfolding/folding pattern of Vim2B-C seemingly is very different from that of Vim2B-N. The stretch-and-relax cycles show a steep rise up to 8 pN, corresponding to the stretching of the DNA handles. Between 8 and 10 pN, the
protein fluctuates quickly between the folded state and an obligatory intermediate (12) (Fig. 1E). The contour length increase associated with unfolding into I2 is about 14.2 (± 2.0) nm (19 ± 3 amino acids) and corresponds to the unfolding of nearly all residues of the conserved C-terminal region of coil 2B. At about 10 pN, the rest of the CC rips in one step to the fully unfolded state. The overall contour length increase of 69.5 (± 2.0) nm corresponds to 95 (± 3) folded amino acids and is close to the expected value for a fully folded CC (98 residues). Unfolding and refolding traces display a pronounced hysteresis, with refolding occurring only if the force is lowered below 5 pN at a trap velocity of 500 nm/s. This hysteresis demonstrates that Vim2B-C is much further from equilibrium than Vim2B-N. Nevertheless, we could observe slow folding/unfolding transitions of Vim2B-C between the fully folded state and the unfolded state (Fig. 1F) in passive mode. In addition to the slow folding/unfolding kinetics, rapid population of I2 from the folded state may be observed (Fig. 1F, Inset). Analysis of the equilibrium population under force yields a total free energy of 36.8 (± 3.7) k_BT for Vim2B-C, 12.9 (± 1.3) k_BT of which is contributed by the last 19 C-terminal residues unfolded in the intermediate. Hence, these 19 amino acids contribute about one third of the total free energy while comprising only one fifth of the total number of residues. We find the overall free energy is similar to the one obtained from the Vim2B-N construct. All lengths and energies of Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C are summarized in Table S1.

Deconvolution Allows a More Elaborate Insight into the Energy Landscape of the Constructs. To obtain a more detailed view of the energy landscape of coil 2B, we used deconvolution force spectroscopy (13, 32). In brief, in an optical tweezers experiment, the fluctuations of the ends of the CC are blurred by the thermal movements of beads attached to the DNA handles (Fig. 2A, gray line). Hence, bead position is not a faithful reporter of the actual protein extension, because the beads can move by extending the DNA, even if the protein ends do not. Given good knowledge of the thermal fluctuations of beads and handles, we can remove this blur from the passive mode data (Fig. 1D and F) by deconvolution and recover the intrinsic probability distribution of the fluctuations, owing to the opening of the CC (Fig. 2A, solid red and green lines; for details, see Methods and SI Appendix).

Using the Boltzmann equilibrium (Eq. S8), we can compute the energy landscapes of the CCs directly from the deconvolved probability distributions (Fig. 2B, solid lines). A comparison with the energy landscapes obtained from distributions before deconvolution (Fig. 2B, dotted lines) demonstrates the gain in fine structure upon deconvolution. Back transformation of the energy landscapes measured under force yielded the energy landscapes of Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C when no load is applied (Fig. 2C) (SI Appendix, section 5.3). The values obtained by the “conventional” energetic analysis (points in Fig. 2C) fit neatly onto the energy landscapes, validating the deconvolution procedure.

For additional validation of the inferred energy landscapes, we performed simulations of stretch–relax cycles for Vim2B-C and Vim2B-N based on the deconvolved energy landscapes of Fig. 2C. To this end, we modeled the contour length change of the protein as a diffusive process in the corresponding energy landscape. This process was coupled via worm-like chain linkers to beads that were allowed to diffuse in harmonic trap potentials. While gradually increasing/decreasing the trap distance, we simulated the equation of motion of the beads and the trajectory of the end-to-end distance of the protein. The bead trajectories then were converted directly to force-extension traces. For further details of the simulations, see Methods and SI Appendix. The result of stretch-and-relax cycle simulations performed at 500 nm/s pulling velocity for Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S3. For both constructs, the force-extension profiles of these cycles agree well with measured traces (compare to Fig. 1C and E). The simulations reproduce all basic features, such as intermediate states and the gradual unfolding of the N terminus of Vim2B-N, as well as the hysteresis in Vim2B-C, proving the validity of the deconvolved landscapes.

To be able to compare the two energy landscapes obtained by unzipping Vim2B from two different ends, we calculated the differential energy profiles (i.e., dG/dL) for both constructs (SI Appendix, section 5.8). These local stability profiles of the CC are shown in Fig. 3, with the length coordinate corresponding to Vim2B-N. Note that in this representation, the profile of Vim2B-C is reversed compared with its energy landscape in Fig. 2C. This local stability can be matched with its primary sequence. To simplify the sequence, only the a- and d-positions that form the hydrophobic seam are displayed in Fig. 3. Although the two energy profiles agree very well within errors in a large part along the sequence, there are notable differences, which we discuss below.
We find that the CC can be divided roughly into a labile N-terminal half (region I) with stability not exceeding 0.5 k_BT/nm and a stable C-terminal part. In Vim2B-C (green), protein stability at the C terminus is unaffected by contributions from seed formation (Discussion). In this construct, the C-terminal region may be subdivided further into two sections (II and III) that are separated by a local stability minimum. The upstream section (region II) displays intermediate stability, whereas the downstream section (region III) is very stable, with values increasing to nearly 2 k_BT/nm.

Discussion

Entropic Cost for Seed Formation and Exchanged Sites of Cross-Linking Account for the Differences in the Differential Energy Profiles. In general, different pulling directions sample different reaction coordinates in a protein’s energy landscape (28–31). However, in our experiments, the measured unfolding free energy profiles of Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C reflect the piecewise opening of the CC contacts, starting in a folded conformation and ending in a stretched and fully unfolded conformation. Even though the sequence of opening is reversed for both constructs, one would expect the free energy profiles to proceed along a similar reaction coordinate, albeit with reversed orientation, and hence contain very similar information. However, when looking at the force-extension traces (Fig. 1C and E), one notices different intermediates I1 and I2 in the unfolding of Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C.

In addition, the discrepancy between the pronounced hysteresis of Vim2B-C and the close-to-equilibrium fluctuations of Vim2B-N (Fig. 1C and E) recorded at identical pulling speeds is striking. Moreover, the energy profiles derived from Vim2B-N and Vim2B-C seem to diverge, especially at the C-terminal end (Fig. 3).

These discrepancies may be explained by two different contributions: First, the need for cross-linking cysteines makes the two protein sequences slightly different. Second, nucleation seed formation that starts folding will occur at different termini in the two constructs. Hence, the free energy profile of Fig. 3 also contains the entropic cost of ordering the residues forming the nucleation seed for further propagation. We argue that the asymmetry caused by the exchanged sites of cross-linking and force application is a major determinant of the observed differences.

Ensemble studies have shown that folding of a CC is initiated by a nucleation mechanism that involves the collision of unstructured or preformed α-helical chains forming a CC (10, 12, 33). The different cross-linking geometries used in our mechanical unzipping assays allow us to control at which terminus of the protein this nucleation seed forms. According to Sosnick and coworkers (11), introducing a cross-link between the two chains of a CC forces the CC to nucleate at the cross-linked end. Under mechanical load, seed formation at the distal end is inhibited further by the energy costs associated with bringing the uncleaved ends together. Hence, the folding of Vim2B-C demands seed formation at the labile N terminus, whereas the formation of the Vim2B-N coil involves seed formation at the stable C terminus (region III).

The effect of seed formation is clearly visible in region III (Fig. 3) at the C terminus, where the green curve (Vim2B-C) lies above the red curve (Vim2B-N), as the Vim2B-N construct has to form its seed at the C terminus whereas Vim2B-C has already adopted the CC structure at this position. Thus, Vim2B-N consumes the free energy provided by the favorable interactions of the two CC chains to form the seed, whereas Vim2B-C does not. As the entropic cost paid for seed formation should be similar for both constructs, a comparable discrepancy between the two traces also should occur at the N-terminal end, where Vim2B-C has to pay the price for seed formation instead of Vim2B-N. However, at the N terminus, the red trace seems to lie only marginally above the green trace. At this point, it is important to note that the cross-links introduce a divergence at the sequence level among the two constructs. Vim2B-C is cross-linked at the N terminus where the cysteine replaces an asparagine. In contrast, Vim2B-N is cross-linked at the C terminus, with the cysteine replacing a leucine. Stability studies of CCs have shown that introducing an asparagine at a d-position is energetically unfavorable and destabilizes a CC by 1.0 k_BT, whereas leucine at the a-position stabilizes by 5.9 k_BT compared with a CC with an alanine in the same position (34–36). Hence, the measured free energy profile of Vim2B-C overestimates the free energy at the N terminus compared with the profile of Vim2B-N. Similarly, the profile of Vim2B-N underestimates the free energy at the C terminus relative to Vim2B-C, because it misses the last favorable leucine contact substituted by a nonopening cysteine cross-link. To illustrate those effects, we show a modified energy profile in Fig. S4, in which we try to restore the energetic equality between the two constructs.

After this correction, the free energies for seed formation (hatched areas in Fig. S4) may be estimated to be about 4 k_BT. Even though direct information about seed length is missing, in this representation, the seed of Vim2B-C is longer, whereas we assume the seed of Vim2B-N is more localized owing to the high stability of region III at the C terminus.

It has been proposed that the highly labile C-terminal end of the CC (region III) harbors a trigger sequence (37) offering a site for seed formation in the initial stage of folding. Trigger sequences have been reported for many CCs; however, whether they are indispensable for folding is debated (38–41). It appears that a main role of trigger sequences is to offer enough free energy (13, 42) to overcome the cost of seed formation, which is...
in accord with our findings here. Therefore, the observed folding and seed formation of Vim2B-N is likely to resemble the unconstrained cross-link–less folding pathway more closely than does Vim2B-C. Based on our results here, the two seed lengths and thus the assumed function of the trigger sequence might be tested further by conducting a mutational \( \Phi \)-value analysis (11, 43, 44).

The sequence difference between the constructs (leucine/asparagine) also might account for the slightly higher total free energy we measure for the Vim2B-C coil compared with the Vim2B-N construct. Moreover, the first eight amino acids of Vim2B-N but not of Vim2B-C are likely to be unfolded. The contour length increase of this observed unfolding is too great to result from strain induced by the crosslink. We therefore hypothesize that the shorter measured contour length of Vim2B-N is a result of the destabilizing effect of the asparagine (Table S1).

The Tripartite Stability of the Vim2B Coiled Coil. Our energy profiles reveal a tripartite stability of the CC, a labile N-terminal half (region I), a medium stability section (region II), and a highly stable region (region III) at the far C-terminal end. These findings, combined with sequence and structural characteristics, allow us to suggest a plausible role for stability variations along vimentin coil 2B.

Cryoelectron microscopy studies of different vimentin fragments indicate that the N-terminal residues 306–340 alone either do not fold or form very labile CCs at most (6, 23). Indeed, our region I corresponds to this stretch of amino acids and exhibits very low stability, with the first eight N-terminal amino acids presumably being unstructured, as explained above. Within full-length vimentin, region I is flanked by two specific traits: at its N-terminal end, the regular left-handed CC of coil 2B changes into a parallel \( \alpha \)-helical bundle of helices (6) (residues 261–300; formerly coil 2A and linker 2), whereas the C terminus is limited by the presence of a stuffer (residues 351–354) (23). The low stability of region I may be essential to allow for the drastic structural changes occurring in the flanking regions.

Concerning the stuffer, one would assume that this irregularity has a major influence on CC stability. However, we do not observe a major drop in free energy other than marking the transition to the more stable C terminus. Indeed, Strelkov et al. (23) discovered that the stuffer may be accommodated in the structure without destroying the CC. Compensation of the irregularity is achieved by a local unwinding of the CC where the two helices nearly run in parallel, allowing preservation even of the hydrogen bonds to maintain the overall CC geometry.

Intriguingly, the most stable region we find at the far C-terminal end (region III) is largely identical to the highly conserved region (380–404) in coil 2B (Fig. 3, Inset) (23). The role of this region is twofold: it contains a trigger sequence for folding, as mentioned above, and simultaneously serves as a clamp with a distinct structural design, thus preventing spontaneous opening of the ends.

Four properties account for its extraordinarily high stability: a long hydrophobic stretch and two salt bridges. The main apolar stretch of coil 2B comprises 13 residues (386–399) at the C-terminal end (18). This region also harbors two salt bridges, an intra-

The energy profile of Vim2B-C reveals that the highly stable region III is bounded by bulky residues in the hydrophobic core defining local energy minima (see underlined residues in Fig. 3, Inset). At its N-terminal end, region III is terminated by a tyrosine in \( \alpha \)-position 383 and by histidine 379. On the C-terminal side, the region is terminated by a tyrosine in \( \alpha \)-position 401. These residues deviate from the residues preferentially found in core positions (Val, Ile, Leu, and Thr) (9). Both tyrosine and histidine are less hydrophobic than the typical core residues and decrease stability by decreasing hydrophobic interactions and representing a steric hindrance to the core of the CC, leading to the measured local energy minima (35, 36).

It was proposed that the overlapping helices of coil 1A and coil 2B may participate in a four–\( \alpha \)-helical bundle when dimers interact at their adjacent ends during longitudinal annealing of ULFs (Fig. S5, model 1) (2, 18, 23, 24, 49). However, this end-on annealing of two dimers to form a longitudinal type of tetrameric complex (i.e., in the \( \Delta N \)-mode) would demand a major structural reorganization of coil 2B and an unzipping of the coil at its C-terminal part (region III). This event is unlikely in light of the high stability of region III we report here. Instead, our results favor an alternative assembly model (25) in which the elongation occurs via lateral association of the overlap segments of the ends of the two adjacent 1A and 2B CCs (Fig. S5, model 2). Here, coil 2B would react as a stiff fold and the elongation might be stabilized by electrostatic interactions of the conserved acidic residues EGEE downstream of the end of coil 2 with polar residues in coil 1A (25).

Using mutational analysis, future studies might test the importance of the stabilizing effects of the salt bridges in the trigger sequence. We expect these disease-related mutated residues to decrease the stability of region III, further elucidating the importance of thermodynamic stability of region III for assembly.

In earlier stages of assembly, on the level of tetramers and ULFs, coil 2B protrudes as a whole from the structures and hence does not participate in favorable dimer–dimer interactions (5, 16, 17). Thus, the high stability of region III and the intermediate stability of region II presumably ensure that the CC remains zipped during the first phase of lateral assembly and stabilizes IFs on the level of the dimer in both models of assembly.

Methods

Experimental Procedures. For optical trapping experiments, the two constructs were cross-linked at the respective termini and connected to the beads via DNA–ubiquitin handles at the opposite termini. For a more detailed description, see SI Appendix.

Conventional Data Analysis. In intermediate force regimes when the protein transitioned between a series of states, we used hidden Markov models on the passive mode data to assign data points to states as described earlier (50). For a more detailed description, see SI Appendix.

Deconvolution Procedure. We used linear and nonlinear stretching models of the mechanical components in the system to describe the Hamiltonian of bead–protein–bead dumbbells in the optical trap as a sum of the energy landscape of the protein \( G_0 \) and the mechanical energy involved in stretching the linkers, i.e., \( \mathcal{V}_m(x_L) = G_0(L_{eq}) + \gamma_m \mathcal{V}_{mech} (x_L) \), where \( L_{eq} \) is the unfolded contour length, \( \gamma_m \) is the trap distance, and \( x_L = x_L^1 + x_L^2 \) is the sum of the bead deflections. This allowed us to determine the shape of the point spread function \( \psi_L(x_L) \) at infinite bandwidth, describing the thermal noise arising from the mechanical components, for varying forces. After calibration for finite bandwidth, we used this set of point spread functions to remove the thermal noise by deconvolution. To this end, we adapted a rigorous deconvolution procedure introduced by Hinczewski et al. (51), which optimizes the estimate for the deconvolved energy landscape by fitting its convolved form to the measured bead-location distribution. By using the full energetic description of the system outlined above, the deconvolved bead distribution then was transformed into a zero-force energy landscape for the unzipping of the protein. From the zero-force energy landscape, we also obtained local stability profiles \( dG_0/dx_L \), which describe the energetic cost of unfolding a specific part of a protein. For a detailed description, see SI Appendix.

Simulation. To verify the performance of our deconvolution procedure, we used the measured energy landscapes to reproduce stretch- and relax cycles in simulations. The simulations were designed to capture several important features of the experimental data: the force dependence of the width and
shape of noise, the impact of force on the end-to-end distance of the protein (i.e., the force-dependent folding/unfolding trajectories), and the coupling of the responses of protein and beads. To this end, we concurrently simulated the coupled time evolution of the coordinates $x$ and $L$ in the potential $V_{\gamma}(x, L)$ using Langevin dynamics. These simulations describe the noise of the thermal fluctuations in the trap as well as the coupled dynamics of the end-to-end distance of the protein:

$$\Delta x = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma_p} \left( -\frac{\partial V_{\gamma}}{\partial x}(x, L)k_B T + 2k_B T \frac{x}{\Delta t} \right),$$

$$\Delta L = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma_p} \left( -\frac{\partial V_{\gamma}}{\partial L}(x, L)k_B T + 2k_B T \frac{L}{\Delta t} \right),$$

where $x(t)$ describes white noise with an SD of $\alpha = 1$. The friction coefficient $\gamma_p$ is dominated by hydrodynamic friction on the beads and was calculated from first principles, whereas the friction coefficient of movement in the protein energy landscape $\gamma_S$ was matched against measured transition rates. The mechanical parameters were chosen to mimic experimental conditions. Simulations were performed at time steps of $10^{-7}$ s and sampled at 20 kHz while the trap distance $d$ was varied continuously. Stretch–relax cycles then were obtained from the bead deflection trajectory $x(t)$.}
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