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Summary: Purpose: The head and neck surgeon’s fascination with parotid surgery arises from the gland’s spectrum of histopathological presentations, as well as the diversity of its morphological features. A mass arising in the mid-cheek region may often be overlooked as a rare accessory lobe parotid neoplasm. This report serves to revisit the topic of accessory parotid gland neoplasms to emphasize proper management, particularly the surgical aspects, so that consequences of salivary fistula, facial nerve paralysis, and recurrence are avoided. Case report: We report a case of mucoepidermoid carcinoma which was assessed pre-operatively as arising from the accessory parotid gland of a 11-year-old female. She had complained of a painless and round mass of the left cheek for a duration of 12 months. Sialography, ultrasonography, CT scan and MRI were performed preoperatively. Sialography revealed a small duct separating from the Stensen’s duct. CT and MRI showed that the tumor with smooth outline was lying on the masseter muscle and detached from the main parotid gland. The preoperative diagnosis was an accessory parotid gland tumor. The tumor was removed without facial nerve injury via standard parotidectomy incision. The tumor was composed of mucous, intermediate and epidermoid cells. The pathological diagnosis was low-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Conclusions: Accessory parotid gland neoplasms are rare and may present as innocuous extraparotid mid-cheek masses. A high index of suspicion, prudent diagnostic skills (including fine-needle aspiration [FNA] biopsy followed by computed tomography [CT] imaging), and scrupulous surgical approach (extended parotidectomy-style incision and limited peripheral nerve dissection when possible) are the keys to successful management of these lesions.
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appearance, round nuclei, small nucleoli and occasional irregular nuclear membranes. There was also another population consisting of cells resembling macrophages (Fig. 1) or having a ductal appearance (intermediate cells) (Fig. 2). A standard parotidectomy incision with superior and inferior extension was performed under general anesthesia and a dissection was performed. The zygomatic and buccal branches of the facial nerve overlying the tumor and Stensen’s duct were identified. Adhesion between the nerve branches and the tumor was not seen. The tumor and the remaining accessory gland were removed from the main duct. After surgery, neither facial nerve palsy, nor salivary fistula were found and recurrence has not been seen to the present. The excised tumor was firm and well encapsulated. The cut surface was yellow-grayish. The tissues were fixed in 10% formalin for light microscopic examinations: the tumor was composed of large mucous cells forming various sized cystic structures, epidermoid cells and cells with an intermediate differentiation between these two cell types (Fig. 3, 4). Nuclear atypia, mitotic activity, and an infiltrative growth pattern were absent. The mucous cells stained positively for periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) (Fig. 5). These features were consistent with a low grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

**Discussion**

Accessory parotid gland tumor is often noticed as a painless and firm mass in the mid-portion of the cheek. The most common tumor arising in the accessory parotid gland is benign pleomorphic adenoma, followed by mucoepidermoid carcinoma (6,7,13,14,15). These previous data are quite different from the frequency of mucoepidermoid carcinoma in the main parotid glands. There have been rare reports of mucoepidermoid carcinoma arising in the accessory parotid tissues in children as far as we have reviewed (22). The ages at diagnosis in the previous 23 were over 20 years (6,7,13,14,15). The sex difference was described in seven cases; five males and two females and the remaining 16 cases unknown. It is known that mucoepidermoid carcinoma is the most common malignant tumor of the salivary gland before 20 years of age, however, the occurrence in the first decade is extremely rare (1,2,9,11,17,18). Schuller and McCabe (16) described that 57.1% of the salivary gland tumors in children are malignant and 48.9% of the malignant tumors are mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Owing to the difference in their prognosis, it is important to cytologically differentiate low-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) from high grade MEC (90% and 40% 5 year survival, res-
Fig 2: Low-grade MEC: Clustered intermediate cells with some cytoplasmic vacuolation and mild nuclear atypia. FNA. Papanicolaou X400.

Fig 3: Low-grade MEC: Epidermoid cells, mucus-secreting cells, and cells with an intermediate differentiation between these two cell types. Tissue section H-E X200.
Fig 4: Low-grade MEC: Large mucous cells forming various sized cystic structures. Tissue section H-E X100.

Fig 5: Low-grade MEC: Large mucous cells forming various sized cystic structures. Tissue section PAS X100.
spectively). Low-grade MEC may be misinterpreted as a benign neoplasm in FNA biopsies because of its bland cytology. Accuracy in the FNA diagnosis of MEC reportedly ranges from 33 to 75%, which is much lower than the overall accuracy of FNA of salivary glands (73–90%). Low-grade MEC is characterized cytologically by admixture of glandular, intermediate, and metaplastic squamous cells. The background may demonstrate mucinous material and tissue debris. Keratinized epidermoid cells are not usually seen. The predominance of the mucin-producing or intermediate cells, with their bland cytology, may be mistaken for the epithelial component of pleomorphic adenoma. The occasional presence of degenerated epithelial cells of ductal origin (cuboidal cells or squamous metaplastic cells) may raise the suspicion of low-grade MEC in such instances (10,12). Polymorphous low-grade adenocarcinoma (terminal duct carcinoma) is difficult to differentiate cytologically from low-grade MEC. This is a low-grade adenocarcinoma arising almost exclusively in the minor salivary glands, particularly those in the palate (5). According to histological features, this tumor is classified into three grades: low-, intermediate- and high-grade malignancy (4). Histologically, the present case was characterized by cystic structures, predominance of mucous cells and abundant extracellular mucin, which indicate a low-grade type. Ultrastructurally, Dardick et al. (3) showed two basic types of cells, luminal and intermediate (nonluminal) cells and the luminal cells evolving to mucus producing cells. In our case, both goblet-like mucous granules and serous granules were found in the luminal cells, which may show that luminal constituent cells possess the potential for bidirectional differentiation.

In the case of accessory parotid tumor, Stensen’s duct tumor, intramasseter hemangioma, the anterior extension of main parotid gland tumor, sialolithiasis and heterotopic salivary tumor (20) should be taken into consideration as a differential diagnosis. For preoperative diagnosis, several examinations such as ultrasonography, CT, MRI and sialography were performed in our case and they were useful for a diagnosis by detecting the size, shape and location of the tumor. As the surgical approach to the accessory parotid tumor, usual parotidectomy incision is preferable for preventing injury to the facial nerve and Stensen’s duct, in contrast to a direct cheek incision (6,7,13,14,15). The zygomatic and buccal branches of N. VII can be identified by using nerve stimulator and/or microscope without dissection of the facial nerve in the main parotid gland. After surgery, we did not perform adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Radiotherapy for mucoepidermoid carcinoma offers no increase in local control or survival among patients receiving complete excision of the tumor (21). Several authors suggested that high-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma may show a sensitivity to chemotherapy that is similar to squamous cell carcinoma (8,19). It has been reported that the
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