Neurologic Manifestations of the Antiphospholipid Syndrome — an Update
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Abstract

Purpose of Review In recent years, the spectrum of neurological manifestations of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) has been growing. We provide a critical review of the literature with special emphasis on presentation, proposed mechanisms of disease, and treatment of neurological involvement in APS.

Recent Findings Although stroke is the most common cause of neurological manifestations in patients with APS, other neurological disorders have been increasingly associated with the disease, including cognitive dysfunction, headache, and epilepsy. Direct oral anticoagulants have failed to show non-inferiority compared to vitamin K antagonists for the prevention of major thrombotic events. Antiphospholipid antibodies are often found in patients with acute COVID-19 but clear evidence supporting an association between these antibodies and the risk of thrombotic events, including stroke and cerebral venous thrombosis, is still lacking.

Summary APS patients may present with several distinct neurological manifestations. New criteria will facilitate the classification of patients presenting with increasingly recognized non-criteria neurological manifestations.
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Introduction

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) is an immune-mediated disorder characterized by pregnancy morbidity and arterial or venous thrombotic events associated with persistent antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) [1]. These include lupus anticoagulant (LA), anticardiolipin (aCL), and anti-β2-glycoprotein I antibodies (aB2GPI), among several others, all of which directed against phospholipid-binding proteins [2]. Overall, aPL are present in 1–5% of the general population, with a higher prevalence in older subjects [3]. However, only a subset of patients actually develops APS [3]. The estimated prevalence of APS is 40–50/100,000 subjects, with an incidence of 5 cases per 100,000 subjects per year [4]. APS is a major cause of thrombosis, with a classically cited “20% rule,” as it was said to account for up to 20% of unprovoked deep vein thrombosis (DVT), 20% of strokes in young adults (<50 years), and up to 20% of women with recurrent fetal loss. These figures have been more recently updated to 20% of DVT, 20–30% of strokes in young adults (<50 years), and 10–15% of women with recurrent fetal loss [5, 6].

APS is usually divided into two groups: primary APS, also named Hughes syndrome, and secondary APS, associated with another systemic inflammatory rheumatic disease. In fact, approximately 50% of patients with APS have a secondary form in association with an inflammatory disease, most commonly systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [7]. It has been shown that up to 10% of patients with primary APS are diagnosed with SLE within 10 years and redefined as secondary APS [8]. In turn, around a third of SLE patients...
have identifiable antiphospholipid antibodies, with roughly 10% developing APS [9]. Infections and drugs, such as phenothiazines, procainamide, quinine, and anti-TNF agents, are apparently able to induce aPL, usually transiently and without associated hypercoagulability [10–12]. Current classification criteria require the presence of both clinical and laboratory findings and are represented in Table 1 [1]. They may assist but are not intended for diagnosis, which should always be established clinically by the attending physician [13].

In rare cases, catastrophic APS, also named Asherson syndrome, occurs as a rapidly progressive thromboembolic disease characterized by the involvement of three or more organ systems, simultaneously or within 1 week, with histological evidence of predominant small vessel occlusion [14].

In addition to the clinical features considered in the classification criteria, APS can present with other common non-criteria manifestations, including thrombocytopenia, livedo reticularis, arthralgia, or heart valve disease (mitral valve more frequently involved) [15]. New criteria are being developed, including some of the aforementioned non-criteria manifestations [16].

Vascular thrombosis, the main clinical feature, can occur in any tissue or organ, with a wide range of events and symptoms that may include many neurological manifestations.

This article summarizes the central and peripheral nervous system involvement in APS.

**Pathophysiology**

Currently, over 30 different types of aPL are known [17, 18]. B2GPI is recognized as one of the most relevant antigens in the pathophysiology of APS [19]. Autoantibodies targeting this antigen are diverse, but the presence of anti-domain I antibodies has been strongly correlated to thrombosis, especially in the venous territory [20]. These are part of the so-called non-criteria aPL, some of which are displayed in Table 2. Non-criteria aPL are generally not assessed in clinical practice. However, they are currently an intense area of investigation, with special attention on their relevance for the diagnosis, pathogenesis, and phenotype of APS. Some have been described to a greater extent, such as the phosphatidylserine-dependent antiprothrombin antibody (aPS/PT). Studies have shown an association between aPS/PT and thrombosis besides higher diagnostic sensitivity and specificity for APS when compared to aCL [31]. It also seems to be relevant in thrombosis [32–35] and obstetric [36] risk stratification in APS [28, 37].

The most common location of arterial thrombosis is the cerebral circulation, with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) being the initial presentation in almost 30% of adults with APS [38–]. However, more recent evidence supports the concept that APS-associated neurologic dysfunction extends beyond the classical thromboembolic events and is also related with immune-mediated vascular, inflammatory, and direct neuronal effects [39]. Increased permeability of the blood–brain barrier can be due both to small/microvessel thrombosis, with subsequent ischemia, but also to the direct effects of antiphospholipid antibodies [40]. These have been shown to trigger leukoadhesion and complement activation, further breaching this barrier and resulting in neurotoxicity from cytokines and antibodies [40].

Risk factors for each clinical phenotype of neurological involvement have been suggested but are not yet fully understood. In a recent study by Volkov et al. [21•​], assessing the presence of 20 different aPLs and its correlation to different manifestations in APS, central nervous system (CNS) manifestations were shown to be correlated to a specific aPL profile, with simultaneous positivity for IgG antibodies against prothrombin, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, and

| Table 1 Revised classification criteria for APS [1] |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Clinical criteria (≥1 of the following)** |                                                   |
| Vascular thrombosis             | ≥1 clinical episodes of venous, arterial, or small vessel thrombosis in any tissue or organ |
| Pregnancy morbidity             | ≥1 unexplained deaths of a morphologically normal fetus at or beyond the 10th week of gestation |
|                                  | ≥1 premature births of a normal neonate before the 37th week of gestation caused by placental insufficiency (eclampsia or severe preeclampsia) |
|                                  | ≥3 unexplained consecutive abortions before the 10th week of gestation |
| **Laboratory criteria (≥1 of the following)** |                                               |
| Lupus anticoagulant in plasma, on ≥2 occasions at least 12 weeks apart (detected according to the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis) |                                               |
| Anticardiolipin antibody (IgG or IgM) in serum or plasma and in medium or high titer on ≥2 occasions at least 12 weeks apart (measured by standardized ELISA) |                                               |
| Anti-β2-glycoprotein-I antibody (IgG or IgM) in serum or plasma and in high titer on ≥2 occasions at least 12 weeks apart (measured by standardized ELISA) |                                               |
annexin-5. Previous studies have also suggested a correlation between cognitive deficit and higher titers of aPLs [41]. Female patients had a higher prevalence of migraine, while epilepsy was more common in men [42]. In turn, chorea was more frequent in young female patients with APS carrying aB2GPI [24, 25]. More studies, however, are needed to better understand which pathological pathways are involved in APS-associated neurological disease, as well as additional clinical and biological predictors, including the value of non-criteria aPLs [23].

**Neurological Manifestations of APS**

The main neurological manifestations of APS are summarized in Fig. 1.

**Central Nervous System Manifestations**

**Cerebrovascular Disease**

Cerebrovascular disease is the most common cause of neurological manifestations in patients with APS and, as a thromboembolic event, can be considered part of the clinical criteria for classifying APS. It includes acute ischemic stroke and TIA, for which APS is a known risk factor; cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT); and other less frequent disorders such as Sneddon’s syndrome and reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome.

**Ischemic Stroke and TIA** Stroke and TIA are the most common forms of clinical manifestation related with arterial circulation in APS [4, 38]. In the Euro-Phospholipid cohort, which included 1000 patients, stroke had a reported prevalence of 20%; and TIA occurred in 11% of all patients [38]. On the other hand, the frequency of positive aPLs in stroke patients ranges between 7% and 15% [43], with an apparent age-dependent relationship between aPL positivity and stroke: the mean age of aPL-positive stroke patients is younger than the general population [44–46]; APS has been suggested to account for a significant proportion of acute ischemic stroke in young patients [47]; and the presence of aPL determines an over 5-fold increase in cerebrovascular thrombotic events (odds ratio [OR] of 5.48, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 4.42 to 6.79) in stroke patients under 50 years (median age of 37 years) [48]. In older patients, aPL positivity may be a less significant risk factor due to the competing cardiovascular risk factors, a differential effect between different aPLs, and bias derived from study design, as studies often exclude patients with cardioembolic stroke [49, 50]. The stroke mechanism in APS is thought to be mostly either thrombotic or cardioembolic. Still, intracranial occlusions and stenosis are present in 50% of patients with APS and stroke [45], and a vasculitis-like pattern has been observed, suggesting a concurrent vasculopathic process in some cases [51–53]. Cardioembolic mechanisms include left-sided cardiac valve abnormalities (irregular thickening due to immune complex deposition, vegetations, valve dysfunction) and, rarely, intracardiac
thrombi [54–56]. Small vessel cerebrovascular disease is also often reported, leading to lacunar and subcortical strokes [57].

**Cerebral Venous Thrombosis** CVT is a rare complication of APS, with a reported prevalence of 0.7% [38•]. On the other hand, APS contributes to a significant proportion of CVT cases (6–17% in cohort studies) [58], and aCL positivity may be found in 7–22% of patients [59, 60]. CVT may be the presenting symptom of APS [61]. Treatment should follow the general guidelines for CVT [62] and usually includes long-term anticoagulation [58].

**Other Cerebrovascular Disorders** Sneddon’s syndrome is a slowly progressive noninflammatory thrombotic vasculopathy characterized by livedo racemosa and recurrent cerebrovascular (ischemic or hemorrhagic) events [63]. It is classically classified as aPL negative or aPL positive (41% of patients in one case series) [63, 64]. aPL-positive patients may have a clinical course similar to primary APS patients [63, 65]. Knowledge about the specific role of aPL in this syndrome, its clinical course, and ideal treatment is severely limited by the scarcity of reports. Less consistent associations of cerebrovascular disorders with APS include reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome [66] and Moyamoya disease [67].

**Seizures and Epilepsy**

Epilepsy has a prevalence of around 6 to 9% in people with APS [38, 68, 69]. Seizure risk differs between primary and secondary APS, with a prevalence of epilepsy higher in the latter (6% and 14%, respectively) [68]. In a group of 240 primary APS patients, seizures were part of the neurological findings in 8% of the patients [70]. The exact pathogenesis of seizures related to APS is unknown, in part due to the combined inclusion of primary and secondary APS in most cohorts. Nevertheless, there are several proposed mechanisms including microthrombosis-induced ischemia leading to vascular epilepsy, aPL neuronal binding and injury, aPL-mediated inhibition of GABA receptors, and immune-mediated neuronal damage [69, 71, 72]. Although there have been several studies assessing the association of aPL with seizures or epilepsy in primary and secondary APS patients, results have been contradictory (see Noureldine et al. [72•] for a review). Clinical manifestations are protean, and all types of seizures may be reported, including electroencephalographic abnormalities without clinically evident seizures [46, 72]. Testing for aPL is only recommended in young patients with atypical seizures or in individuals with multiple MRI abnormalities for which no other plausible cause is found [72•]. There are no specific anti-epileptic drug regimens recommended for seizures in APS patients, and anticoagulation in the absence of ischemic lesions or a clear diagnosis of APS — that is, with isolated aPL and no other typical APS manifestations — is controversial.

**Cognitive and Neuropsychiatric Manifestations**

The frequent comorbidity of APS and aPL positivity with other autoimmune disorders, in particular with SLE, has hampered the establishment of a clear-cut cognitive profile and risk of neuropsychiatric abnormalities in patients with APS [73]. Proposed pathophysiologic mechanisms include a prothrombotic state, an inflammatory response, and a neuronal immune-/aPL-mediated response [73]. Cognitive impairment is present in 19–40% of aPL-positive patients and 42–80% of primary APS patients [39, 73–76]. A study enrolling 143 APS patients with moderate and high aPL titers found a linear relationship between aPL titers and cognitive dysfunction [76]. The prototypical manifestation in primary APS is a subcortical pattern of mild cognitive impairment [46, 74]. Dementia (classified as multi-infarct dementia) was shown to affect 2.5% of APS patients included in the Euro-APS cohort that includes both primary and secondary APS [38•]. APS should therefore be ruled out in young subjects with otherwise unexplained dementia [77]. Chronic ischemic cerebrovascular disease associated with aCL antibodies may be responsible for a vascular/multi-infarct dementia that may partially improve with the institution of APS therapy [47, 77, 78]. Reports on
favorable cognitive outcomes with immunosuppression (rituximab) also exist [79], but lack of evidence precludes therapeutic guidelines for cognitive dysfunction in APS.

Other neuropsychiatric symptoms including psychotic, mood, and anxiety disorders have been reported in APS [73, 80, 81]. It has been postulated that the high prevalence of aPL in patients with psychosis may be due to aPL induction of some antipsychotic drugs [82–84]. Nevertheless, older age, cerebral lesions, and triple aPL positivity are considered risk factors for psychiatric manifestations in APS [46, 84]. Acute encephalopathy characterized by confusion, disorientation, and hyperreflexia was reported in 1.1% of APS patients [38, 85].

Headache

Although migraineurs more frequently have aPL antibodies, migraine is most likely a comorbid condition with aPL positivity than a consequence of APS [86–88]. With an estimated prevalence of 20% in people with APS [38•], migraine may be a result of the high prevalence of headache in the general population and an age overlap between migraineurs and APS patients. On the other hand, data from some cohorts suggested migraine as a possible risk factor for stroke in aPL-positive patients [89], and both migraine with aura and aPL were found to be independent predictors of thrombotic event recurrence in young patients in an Italian multicenter cohort study [90].

To further the matter, Cavestro et al. [91•] recently conducted a study assessing the association among migraine, thrombophilic conditions, and vascular events. Among the 329 included migraine patients, 32% had at least one thrombophilic marker, and aPL positivity was more common than in controls (12.5% vs. 5.2%, OR 2.6, 95% CI 1.5–4.7). There was an association between thrombophilic changes and history of arterial — but not venous — vascular events in migraine patients but not in controls. The authors concluded that aPLs are associated with both migraine and thrombotic events and that migraine is probably secondary to the thrombophilic changes [88, 92]. As aPL is a common precursor to both conditions and an association was expected, causality cannot be assumed. Another recent retrospective study of 75 patients with refractory migraine and aPL described that most patients had an improvement in the frequency and/or severity of migraine after a 2–4-week trial of anti-thrombotic therapy (aspirin, clopidogrel, and/or anticoagulants) [93•]. Notably, treatment was associated with low bleeding risk, and the response was overall sustained during a mean follow-up period of 29.9 months (5 to 100 months) [93•]. Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are needed to effectively assess this therapeutic option in this subset of migraine patients.

Demyelinating and Inflammatory Disorders

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) are a group of autoimmune astrocytopathies/channelopathies with secondary demyelination [110] associated with a highly specific and pathogenic biomarker, the anti-aquaporin-4-IgG (AQP4-IgG) [110]. The hallmark of these disorders is a longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM) and a severe, often recurrent, optic

Multiple Sclerosis-Like Disease

The differential diagnosis between multiple sclerosis (MS), MS-like disease, and MS-LLE overlap syndrome, although supported by clinical and imaging features, remains challenging [46, 70, 95, 96]. MS predominantly affects women of childbearing age, similarly to APS, and the prevalence of aPL antibodies in MS patients has been reported in a wide range of 2 to 88% [94, 97, 98]. aPL positivity may be more frequent during MS relapses/exacerbations and in secondary progressive MS compared to relapsing–remitting MS [99–101]. Nevertheless, autoimmune disorders, such as MS, are more frequently associated with autoreactive antibodies, and the significance of aPL antibodies and MS is still to be determined [102–104]. MRI is useful to distinguish between MS and APS mimicking MS. Lesions in APS tend to maintain shape and size on repeat scanning, have lower total lesion volumes, are predominantly subcortical instead of periventricular, do not show the typical ovoid shape nor predilection for the corpus callosum, may affect the putamen, and are less associated to a reduction in brain parenchymal fraction [96, 97, 105, 106]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis with a normal cell count and absence of oligoclonal bands is in favor of APS [107]. As such, previous thrombotic events, acute onset of atypical symptoms, abnormal MRI lesion location, and response to anticoagulation can help affirm the diagnosis of APS [70, 97]. As a rule, screening of aPL in MS patients should be reserved for patients with atypical characteristics [108]. Early initiation of disease-modifying treatments in MS is associated with improved outcomes [109], so all laboratory, imaging, and clinical data must be carefully reviewed before diagnosing an MS-like APS syndrome, MS with aPL positivity, or the coexistence of both MS and APS.
neuritis [111]. NMOSD is frequently associated with other autoimmune rheumatic disorders such as Sjögren’s syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, and SLE [112, 113]. In particular, aPL antibodies are found in 19–46% of NMOSD patients [114, 115]. Due to this overlap, APS patients with optic neuritis or LETM should be screened for AQP4, as instead (or in addition to) anticoagulation, long-term immunosuppression may be warranted [112, 116, 117].

**Transverse Myelopathy and Myelitis** Transverse myelitis is infrequent in APS (0.4–4%) and may be a result of vascular or thrombotic mechanisms (i.e., vasculitis, ischemic cord necrosis) [38, 118]. The onset of motor or sensorial symptoms is most often sudden but may be subacute (up to 14 days); CSF analysis shows elevated protein and pleocytosis in a minority of patients, and early diagnosis and treatment are vital to prevent morbidity [119]. As TM may be the initial presentation of NMOSD or MS, adequate investigation, especially in the presence of an LETM or recurrent myelitis, should always be pursued.

**Movement Disorders**

Movement disorders, although rare, are classically considered non-thrombotic neurological manifestations of APS. The historical hypothesis is that lenticulo-striate artery occlusion produces ischemia of basal ganglia and explains the occurrence of these disorders [120]. Against this thrombotic hypothesis, there are several reports of patients with normal MRI and good response to immunomodulation and an increasingly studied direct effect of aPL on neuronal tissue, as explained previously [120]. Whether APS-related movement disorders are due to aPL-induced white matter and basal ganglia damage or to cerebrovascular disease is still to be ascertained [52, 78].

Chorea occurs in around 1% of patients with primary or secondary APS [38*]. It is more common in females, with a mean age of onset between 20 and 44 years. In a minority of patients (5.5 to 16.1%), there are ischemic signs on the basal ganglia [24, 25, 120, 121]. Clinically, aPL-related chorea can involve all body parts, is usually mild to moderate in severity, and is often associated with other neurologic and movement disorders such as ataxia and dystonia at the onset [24]. Moreover, chorea may appear in patients with high titers of aPLs without overt APS syndrome or other manifestations of immune-mediated conditions [120, 122]. Short follow-up times, fluctuating positive aPL titers, and heterogeneous investigation and treatment response cast doubt on the relationship between these entities. Interestingly, a fairly recent report described a 74-year old woman with a 6-month history of isolated oromandibular chorea, thrombocytopenia, and persistently positive LA and aCL antibodies who showed a complete resolution of symptoms after a trial of anticoagulant therapy with warfarin [123]. Treatment of APS-related chorea may include symptomatic relief with dopaminergic agents, anticoagulation, and immunossuppression [83].

Other movement disorders have been reported in primary or secondary APS and in the presence of aPL antibodies, although less frequently, including ballismus (0.3% prevalence), dyskinesia, parkinsonism, and cerebellar ataxia [38, 124–127].

**Peripheral Nervous System Manifestations of APS**

Peripheral nerve involvement in primary APS may be a consequence of ischemic thrombosis of the vasa nervorum, vasculitis (with the prototypical mononeuritis multiplex presentation), or direct nerve damage by pathogenic antibodies [128]. Although reports on the relationship between aPLs and immune-mediated peripheral nerve syndromes exist (e.g., Guillain–Barré syndrome), they are scarce and confounded by concomitant intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) administration [129, 130]. An electrophysiological study in a sample of 26 primary APS patients (8 of whom with clinical signs of neuropathy) found abnormalities in nerve conduction studies (NCS) in 35% (n = 9) of the patients, independently of previous thrombotic events [131*]. The most frequent findings were carpal tunnel syndrome (23%), polyneuropathy (19%), and distal axonal sensorimotor neuropathy (15%). Most patients with abnormal NCS findings had no clinical signs of neuropathy (subclinical neuropathy) [131*].

Autonomic disorders (such as complex regional pain syndrome, labile hypertension, or postural tachycardia syndrome) have been described as presenting manifestations of APS and are postulated to be due to thrombotic or immune-mediated small-fiber dysfunction [132].

**General Management of APS**

The current standard of care for APS is based on anticoagulation and, in some cases, antiplatelet drugs. Besides clinical manifestations, the aPL type, number, persistence, and titers are important factors on the prognosis of APS as, for instance, a triple-positive profile is associated with a higher thrombotic risk. This so-called aPL profile should dictate the intensity of the treatment, particularly for primary prophylaxis in patients with aPL but non-criteria manifestations, such as neurological involvement [133]. Treatment of patients with APS and neurological manifestations is mostly based on indirect evidence from similar syndromes in non-APS patients. There are some case reports, small case series,
and retrospective studies suggesting a possible benefit of anticoagulation and/or immunomodulation even in the absence of an overt thrombotic event (e.g., in patients with myelitis or headache), but the decision still relies mostly on a case-by-case analysis, without strong evidence to support it.

Primary thromboprophylaxis in aPL-positive individuals is usually low-dose aspirin, which was shown to reduce by half the risk of the first thrombosis [134]. For patients with venous thrombotic APS, the standard treatment is warfarin (or another vitamin K antagonist [VKA]) for a target international normalized ratio [INR] of 2–3 [133]. In patients with arterial thrombosis or recurrent venous thrombosis, an INR of 3.0–4.0 or the association of a VKA with low-dose aspirin is indicated [133].

The use of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) has been an area of great interest, as this would facilitate treatment adherence. However, there is no current definite use for DOACs in APS after several major trials failed to reach primary efficacy and safety endpoints [135–137]. The European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) guidelines issued in 2019 warrant a single exception for rivaroxaban, which could be considered in patients with contraindications for VKA or unable to reach the target INR despite proper adherence [133].

Still, DOACs should not be used in patients with high titer aPL as evidenced in a recent RCT that was prematurely terminated because of an excess of arterial events in the rivaroxaban arm [138]. DOACs should also be avoided in patients with a history of arterial thrombosis due to a particularly high risk of recurrent thrombosis. This is a recommendation of major importance when focusing on neurological manifestations of APS, as stroke is one of the most common events. Efforts are being made towards assessing whether other DOACs are useful in APS, with no positive results so far [139–141].

For obstetric APS, the association of low-dose aspirin with prophylactic dose low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) is warranted [133]. The addition of hydroxychloroquine in obstetric APS refractory to anticoagulation and antiplatelet therapy may be considered [133, 142]. aPL-positive women without previous pregnancy complications or thrombotic manifestations should be started on low-dose aspirin alone [133, 142]. In turn, patients with thrombotic APS should switch VKA to full-dose LMWH, as the former is teratogenic [133, 142]. The recent 2020 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) guidelines strongly recommend against the addition of prednisone or IVIG in refractory obstetric APS, whereas EULAR guidelines suggest that this treatment may be considered in selected cases [133, 143]. Catastrophic APS may be treated with a combination of glucocorticoids, heparin, and plasma exchange or IVIG as the first-line treatments [133, 142]. Rituximab or eculizumab are selected for refractory catastrophic APS based on successful data from case reports [133, 144].

COVID-19 and Antiphospholipid Antibodies

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients have a hypercoagulable state with a high prevalence of venous and arterial thrombosis [145], including ischemic stroke and CVT [146–148]. It is currently unclear, though, if these strokes have unique characteristics [146–148]. Some studies have proposed a connection between the increased thrombotic risk in COVID-19 patients and the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies. In fact, there is a high prevalence of LA in severe COVID-19 patients, up to 88% in several studies [145, 149, 150], which is significantly higher compared to other infections [151]. The association of this finding with thrombosis is however not fully established [145, 150]. Concomitant use of anticoagulation, hydroxychloroquine, and high levels of C-reactive protein may have influenced the LA test [152, 153].

aCL and aB2GPI have also been found in around 10 to 12% of critically ill COVID-19 patients [154]. In a multicenter study with 122 COVID-19 patients, prevalence and titers of aPL were neither consistently increased nor associated with thrombosis [155]. In a systematic review of patients with CVT associated to COVID-19, thrombophilia screening results were available for 12 out of 28 cases, of which three had positive lupus anticoagulant and two anticardiolipin antibodies [148]. As mentioned before, infection-induced, usually transient, aPLs are not associated with a higher thrombotic risk. Studies with larger cohorts, including less severe patients and evaluating the persistence of aPLs, are needed to assess this relationship.

Conclusions

Cerebrovascular disease is the most common cause of neurological manifestations in APS. However, these patients may present with other distinct neurological manifestations, most of which still have unclear pathophysiology. There is a growing interest in better assessing the role of inflammatory and direct neuronal effects in the pathogenesis of non-criteria manifestations and in establishing optimal therapy. There are a few recent reports suggesting a possible benefit of anticoagulation for selected non-criteria manifestations that are not classically considered to be thrombotic, although evidence is generally of poor quality. VKAs continue to be the mainstay of therapy for patients with thrombotic manifestations despite several trials evaluating the safety and efficacy of DOACs. The new classification criteria that are being developed are expected to facilitate the diagnosis in patients with non-criteria manifestations, such as neurological involvement, improve patient selection in clinical
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