Cu(II) nanocluster-grafted, Nb-doped TiO₂ as an efficient visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst based on energy-level matching between surface and bulk states†
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Although visible-light-sensitive photocatalysis has been reported for cuprous ion (Cu(II)) nanocluster-grafted titanium dioxide (TiO₂), the visible-light absorption (α) of this system is relatively low because of its limited interfacial excitation. In the present study, we synthesized niobium (Nb)-doped TiO₂ grafted with Cu(II) nanoclusters and examined its capacity for visible-light absorption and photocatalytic activity for decomposing organic molecules. We speculated that the matching of energy levels between the surface Cu(II) nanoclusters and bulk-doped Nb ions would markedly increase the visible-light activity of TiO₂. The doped Nb ions produced partially occupied energy levels below the conduction band of TiO₂ that closely matched the potential of the Cu²⁺/Cu⁺ redox couple in the surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters. The well-matched energy levels induced the effective transfer of photogenerated electrons from the doped Nb states to the surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters, which mediated the efficient multi-electron reduction of oxygen molecules. The prepared Cu(II)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ nanocomposites exhibited a high photocatalytic reaction rate for the decomposition of 2-propanol into CO₂ under visible light. Our results demonstrate that efficient photocatalysts can be generated by matching the energy levels of bulk-doped ions and surface nanoclusters, which represents a strategic approach for the rational design and development of high-performance photocatalysts.

1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) has attracted considerable recent attention as an efficient photocatalyst for applications such as water splitting, organic decomposition, and solar cells.¹ However, because TiO₂ is a wide band-gap semiconductor, with band gap values of 3.2 and 3.0 eV for the anatase and rutile forms, respectively, it can only be activated under ultraviolet (UV) light irradiation, thereby limiting its practical applications.² To increase the utilization of solar and indoor light sources, TiO₂ has been doped with various transition metal cations, such as Cr, Mn, Fe, Pb, and Cu, and anions, including N, C, and S, in an attempt to extend the light absorption capacity of TiO₂ into the visible light region.³ Despite extensive research effort being made to modify the properties of TiO₂, most doped TiO₂ systems remain unsuitable for practical use because their quantum efficiencies (QEs) under visible light are too low to support the efficient photocatalytic reactions.³,⁴ In the case of cationic doping, the increase in visible light sensitivity is mainly caused by impurity levels in the forbidden band, which act as recombination centers for photogenerated charge carriers.³,⁵,⁶ In contrast, anionic doping of TiO₂ generally introduces isolated levels above the valence band (VB) that contain...
holes generated with lower oxidation power and mobility than those in the VB, resulting in low photocatalytic performance.

Recently, the surface modification of TiO$_2$ with Cu(II) or Fe(III) nanoclusters was shown to increase its visible-light sensitivity without inducing impurity levels in the band gap.$^3$ Under visible-light irradiation, electrons in the VB of TiO$_2$ are excited to these surface nanoclusters through an interfacial charge transfer (IFCT) process.$^6$ Simultaneously, the excited electrons are consumed in the multi-electron reduction of oxygen mediated by the nanoclusters.$^7$ Therefore, Cu(II) or Fe(III) nanocluster-grafted TiO$_2$ exhibits a high QE under visible light. However, the capacity of this photocatalytic system for visible-light absorption is limited because IFCT only occurs at TiO$_2$ particle/nanocluster interfaces.

Surface nanoclusters have also been demonstrated to increase the visible-light activities of doped semiconductors.$^{5d,6}$ For example, Ti$^{3+}$ self-doped TiO$_2$, which is inactive even under UV light irradiation, is converted into an efficient visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst by the surface grafting of Cu(II) or Fe(III) nanoclusters.$^{5d}$ Although this finding indicates that photon-generated electrons are transferred from the doped levels to the surface Cu(II) or Fe(III) nanoclusters, the QEs of metal-doped TiO$_2$ photocatalysts cannot exceed that of Cu(II)- or Fe(III)-grafted TiO$_2$, because the charge transfer is limited from doped levels to surface nanoclusters. Thus, efficient charge transfer between the doped levels and surface nanoclusters is critical for the photocatalytic performance of these systems. To achieve this criterion, the concept of energy level matching between the doped ions and surface-grafted nanoclusters has been recently examined using Fe(III) ion-doped and -grafted TiO$_2$ as a model system.$^{6}$ The Fe(III)-doped and -grafted TiO$_2$ exhibits a QE of 47.3% and a reaction rate of 0.69 μmol h$^{-1}$, suggesting the feasibility of this approach.

Compared with Fe(III)-based compounds, cupreous compounds have the added advantage of intrinsic anti-pathogenic properties under both dark and light conditions,$^8$ as was demonstrated for a Cu-deposited thin film of TiO$_2$.$^{22}$ Despite this advantageous characteristic, the visible-light absorption and quantum efficiencies of Cu(II)-grafted TiO$_2$ are less than those of Fe(III)-grafted TiO$_2$.$^{6,22}$ Thus, for the development of Cu(II)-based photocatalysts that are suitable for indoor applications aimed at reducing chemical irritation or spread of infectious disease, it is necessary to markedly increase the visible-light sensitivity and photocatalytic efficiency of these materials. Based on the concept of energy level matching, we hypothesized that this could be achieved by modifying TiO$_2$ to have similar interband energy levels to the redox potential of Cu(II) nanoclusters.

Niobium (Nb)-doped TiO$_2$ has attracted recent attention due to its intriguing electronic properties and potential applications in transparent conductive oxide, photovoltaic cells and sensors. Theoretical and experimental studies have shown that the doping of TiO$_2$ with Nb ions generates an energy level at 0.1 to 0.2 eV below the conduction band (CB),$^{26}$ a value that closely matches the redox potential of Cu$^{2+}$/Cu$^+$ (0.16 V vs. SHE, pH = 0).$^{26}$ However, Nb-doped TiO$_2$ exhibits low efficiency for photocatalytic reactions because pentavalent Nb ions are substituted for tetravalent Ti leading to the bulk formation of Ti$^{5+}$ species that serve as recombination centers.$^{5d,10}$ Instead, Cu(II) nanocluster-grafted (Cu(II)-TiO$_2$) can increase its visible-light activity without introduction of impurity levels in the band gap. However, the visible-light absorption of Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ is limited because IFCT proceeds only at the bulk/nanocluster interface.

In the present study, we investigated the coupling of the bulk doping of Nb ions and surface grafting of Cu(II) clusters on TiO$_2$. We speculated that under visible light irradiation, excited electrons in the doped Nb levels would transfer to the Cu(II) nanoclusters owing to their similar energy levels (Fig. 1), thereby increasing the visible-light sensitivity and QE of TiO$_2$ photocatalysts.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1 Synthesis of Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$

Nb-doped TiO$_2$ (Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$) nanocomposites were prepared using a simple impregnation method with commercial TiO$_2$ (rutile phase, 15 nm grain size, 90 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ specific surface area; MT-150A, Tayca Co.) as the starting material.$^{26}$ In a typical synthesis, 1.5 g TiO$_2$ powder was mixed with 10 mL ethanol to form a TiO$_2$ suspension, to which niobium(v) chloride (NbCl$_5$, Wako, 95%) was added as the source of Nb at a weight fraction to TiO$_2$ of 0.1%. The resulting suspension was stirred for 0.5 h in a vial reactor and then dried at room temperature. The obtained residue was calcined at 950 °C for 3 h to form Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ which was then further treated with a 6 M HCl aqueous solution at 90 °C for 3 h under stirring. The products were filtered through a 0.025 μm membrane filter (Millipore) and then washed with sufficient amounts of distilled water. Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ was obtained as a clear powder and was dried at 110 °C for 24 h before being ground to a fine powder using an agate mortar and pestle for the preparation of Cu(II) nanocluster-grafted Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ (Cu(II)-Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$) nanocomposites. Pure TiO$_2$ was obtained using the same annealing and acid treatment process without adding NbCl$_5$ solution, and was used to prepare Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ nanocomposites.

### 2.2 Modification of Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ with Cu(II) nanoclusters

The grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters onto Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ was performed using an impregnation method.$^{5e,6}$ Briefly, 1 g Nb$_2$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ powder was dispersed in 10 mL distilled water and CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O (Wako, 99.9%) was then added to the TiO$_2$ suspension as a source of Cu. The weight fraction of Cu relative to TiO$_2$ was set to 0.1%. The resulting suspension was heated at 90 °C under stirring for 1 h in a vial reactor. The products were filtered through a 0.025 μm membrane filter (Millipore) and then washed with sufficient amounts of distilled water. The obtained residue was dried at 110 °C for 24 h and subsequently ground to a fine powder using an agate mortar and pestle. Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ was also prepared by the same impregnation method.
The photocatalytic activities of the prepared nanocomposites were evaluated by monitoring the decomposition of gaseous 2-propanol (IPA) under visible-light irradiation. A Xe lamp (LA-251Xe, Hayashi Tokei) equipped with L-42, B-47, and C-40C glass filters (Asahi Techno-Glass) was used as a source of visible light (420–530 nm, 1 mW cm⁻²). The light intensity was measured using a spectroradiometer (USR-45D, Ushio Co.) and was adjusted to 1 mW cm⁻². A 500 mL cylindrical glass vessel was used as the photocatalysis reactor. To perform the photocatalytic experiments, 300 mg photocatalyst powder was evenly spread on the bottom of a circular glass dish (area of 5.5 cm²) that was mounted in the middle of the vessel reactor. The vessel was sealed with a rubber O-ring and a quartz cover, evacuated, and filled with fresh synthetic air. To eliminate organic contaminants from the sample surface, the vessel was illuminated with a Xe lamp (LA-251Xe) until the CO₂ generation rate was less than 0.02 µmol per day. The vessel was then evacuated and refilled with fresh synthetic air. The pressure inside the vessel was kept at ~1 atm. To begin the photocatalytic measurement, 300 ppmv (~6 µmol) of gaseous IPA was injected into the vessel, which was then incubated in the dark for 12 h to achieve the adsorption/desorption equilibrium of IPA on the photocatalyst surfaces. During this period, the IPA concentration first decreased and then remained constant, demonstrating that adsorption/desorption equilibrium had been reached. During the equilibration process, no acetone or CO₂ was detected, demonstrating that the IPA molecules were not decomposed by the photocatalysts under dark conditions. The vessel was then irradiated with light, and 1 mL gaseous samples were periodically extracted from the reaction vessel to measure the concentrations of IPA, acetone, and CO₂ using a gas chromatograph (model GC-8A; Shimadzu Co., Ltd.).

3. Results and discussion

NbₓTi₁₋ₓO₂ nanocomposites were prepared by a simple impregnation method and heat treatment using commercial rutile TiO₂ and NbCl₅ as starting materials. The total amount of Nb in the prepared samples was measured by ICP-AES and was found to be nearly equal to the initial value used in the preparation process (Table S1†). The grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters onto NbₓTi₁₋ₓO₂ was performed by a simple impregnation method.¹ XRD analysis showed that the prepared samples maintained a pure rutile TiO₂ crystalline structure (JCPDS card no. 21-1276) after Nb doping or surface grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters (Fig. 2a and S1†), and an aggregated Nb₂O₅ phase was not detected at any of the examined doping concentrations (Fig. S1†). Notably, the diffraction peaks of the Nb-doped samples, NbₓTi₁₋ₓO₂ and Cu(II)–NbₓTi₁₋ₓO₂, were shifted towards smaller angles compared with that of the undoped sample (Fig. 2b). This finding indicates that Nb was substitutionally introduced into the TiO₂ lattice at the Ti site, as the ionic radii of Nb⁵⁺ and Nb⁴⁺, which are 0.69 and 0.74 Å, respectively, are larger than the 0.68 Å radius of Ti⁴⁺.¹¹¹²

The morphologies of the prepared nanocomposites were examined by SEM (Fig. 3 and S2†), which revealed that all samples were composed of uniformly distributed nanoparticles with an average grain size of approximately 200 nm. Introduction of Cu(II) nanoclusters on the TiO₂ surface as well as the doping of Nb into the TiO₂ lattice did not change the morphology or the particle size of the obtained Cu(II)–NbₓTi₁₋ₓO₂ samples. Therefore, the effects of morphology and particle size on the photocatalytic activity can be excluded in the present study.¹³ A TEM image clearly shows
that the Cu(n) clusters, in the size of ~2 nm, are well dispersed on the surface of Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ particles (Fig. S3†). The good attachment of Cu(n) clusters to the Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ surfaces was observed from the corresponding high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image. Point analysis of energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, Fig. S3c†) and surface analysis of XPS (Fig. S4†) proved that these clusters consist of copper compound. Further, BET surface area analysis revealed that the introduction of Nb and Cu(n) ions did not alter the surface area of TiO₂ (Table S2†).

The surface composition and elemental chemical states of the prepared nanocomposites were examined by XPS (Figs. 4, S4 and S5†). For bare TiO₂, only Ti and O were detected. The additional peaks associated with Nb were clearly observed in the spectrum of Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂, indicating that Nb ions were successfully introduced into the TiO₂ lattice (Fig. S4†). For Cu(n)–Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂, a signal attributable to Cu was clearly detected, confirming that Cu(n) nanoclusters were grafted onto the TiO₂ surface (Fig. S4†).

Fig. 4a and b show the Ti 2p and O 1s core-level spectra, respectively, of the nanocomposite samples. No obvious differences between the chemical states of elemental Ti and O were observed,† demonstrating that neither the grafted Cu(n) nanoclusters nor doped Nb ions affected the bonding structure between titanium and oxygen. Further, no shoulders associated with Ti³⁺ were observed in the Ti 2p core-level spectra (Fig. 4a and S5†), indicating that the density of Ti³⁺ was below the detection limit of the XPS analysis. The low density of Ti³⁺ in Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ was confirmed by ESR analysis (Fig. S6†). Hitosugi et al.† studied the microstructure of Nb⁵⁺-doped TiO₂ using XPS and found that the incorporation of Nb⁵⁺ into the TiO₂ lattice resulted in the formation of minor Ti³⁺ components that maintain the charge balance. However, their XPS results also indicated that Nb⁵⁺ is reduced to Nb⁴⁺ at high annealing temperatures. Consistent with this finding, Khoviv et al.† also showed that doped Nb ions in TiO₂ mainly existed as Nb⁴⁺ after high temperature treatment.† The formation of Nb⁴⁺ would not induce the generation of Ti³⁺ species.†

Fig. 4c shows the Nb 3d core-level XPS spectra of the nanocomposite samples. For Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ and Cu(n)–Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂, well-defined photoelectron signals located at 206.5 and 209.3 eV were observed in the obtained spectra, whereas no feature was observed in the spectrum of the undoped sample, indicating that Nb was successfully doped into TiO₂ and was presented in the Nb⁴⁺ oxidation state.† In addition, the Nb signals in Nb₂O₃ and physically mixed TiO₂ and Nb₂O₃ located at 207.5 and 210.4 eV (Fig. S7†), indicating that Nb⁵⁺ was not detected in Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ samples. Some previous reports indicated that the Nb doped TiO₂ sample is possible to show Nb⁴⁺ in the bulk and Nb⁵⁺ on the surface because of the aggregation of Nb on the surface.† Our XRD data clearly demonstrated the single rutile phase of the obtained Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ samples, revealing the well distribution of Nb in the bulk TiO₂. Thus, Nb⁵⁺ could not be detected in our Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ samples and the doped Nb existed as Nb⁴⁺ in the samples. On the other hand, previous reports demonstrated that the formation of Nb⁵⁺ and Ti³⁺ would result in the up-shift of the energy.† However, our valence band (VB) XPS data clearly showed that the value of Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ was similar to that of pure TiO₂ (Fig. S8†). Further, no shoulder associated with Ti³⁺ was observed in the Ti 2p core-level spectra (Fig. 4a and S5†), revealing that the density of Ti³⁺ was below the detection limit of the XPS analysis. Based on these results, it can be safely concluded that the doped Nb was well distributed in the sample and existed as the Nb⁴⁺ oxidation state.

After modification of Nb₂Ti₁₋ₓO₂ with Cu(n) nanoclusters, the Cu 2p3/2 core-level XPS signal was observed at 932.3 eV.
a value that is consistent with the results from our previous studies that used a combination of X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements, XPS analysis, and TEM observation to characterize the Cu(II) state in a Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ system.$^{5a,b}$ Based on these analyses of the local crystal structure, we confirmed that Cu(II) nanoclusters were grafted onto the surface of TiO$_2$ as distorted amorphous CuO-like structures with a five-coordinated square pyramidal form and a particle size of less than 3 nm.$^{5d}$ Our present results indicate that the chemical state and the environment of Cu(II) nanoclusters in the present Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ and Cu(II)-Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposites are identical to those of the previously characterized Cu(II)-TiO$_2$ system.

The light absorption properties of the prepared samples were investigated by UV-visible spectroscopy (Fig. 5). The spectra presented in Fig. 5a clearly show that Nb doping into TiO$_2$ increased the visible-light absorption in the range of 420 to 550 nm. In addition, the grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters onto the surface of TiO$_2$ enhanced the light absorption of the resulting nanocomposite in the 420–550 and 700–800 nm wavelength regions. The increase in the shorter wavelength region can be assigned to the IFCT of VB electrons to surface Cu(II) nanoclusters, and the longer wavelength region is attributable to the d-d transition of Cu(II).$^{5a,b}$ According to the band-gap estimation using the Kubelka–Munk function (Fig. S9†), introduction of Nb ions into the TiO$_2$ lattice does not narrow the band gap of TiO$_2$, as Nb ions are predicted to exist as isolated states in the forbidden gap. XPS (Fig. 4a) and ESR analyses (Fig. S6†) suggested that Ti$^{3+}$ ions were not presented in the Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposite. On the basis of these results, the visible-light absorption of the Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposite is mainly attributable to the orbital of the doped Nb$^{4+}$ ions.

![Fig. 4](a) Ti 2p, (b) O 1s, (c) Nb 3d and (d) Cu 2p core-level spectra of bare TiO$_2$, Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$, and Cu(II)-Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposites at x = 0.1 wt%.

![Fig. 5](a) UV-visible reflectance spectra of TiO$_2$, Cu(II)-TiO$_2$, Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ and Cu(II)-Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposites. The inset shows the enlarged UV-visible reflectance spectra in the range of 400–520 nm. (b) Difference UV-vis spectra for Cu(II)-TiO$_2$, Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ and Cu(II)-Nb$_x$Ti$_{1-x}$O$_2$ nanocomposites at x = 0.1 wt% versus bare TiO$_2$. 
A comparison of the difference absorption spectra of Cu(n)–TiO₂, Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂, and Cu(n)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ versus bare TiO₂ revealed that the grafted Cu(n) nanoclusters and doped Nb ions similarly increased the visible-light absorption of these systems between 420 and 550 nm (Fig. 5b). This finding indicates that doped Nb ions and grafted Cu(n) nanoclusters have similar energy levels, and that the enhanced light absorption of Cu(n)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ is mainly due to the doped Nb ions.

To specify similar energy levels between doped Nb ions and grafted Cu(n) nanoclusters, it is necessary to examine the detailed electronic structure of Nb-doped TiO₂. It is generally considered that excess electrons are generated when pentavalent Nb ions are substituted for tetravalent Ti ions. The generated electrons could delocalize from Nb ions to the neighboring Ti ions, resulting in the formation of Ti⁵⁺ species, which introduce donor levels below the CB of TiO₂. However, the localized states of Nb ions in the band gap differ between the anatase and rutile forms; in the former, doped Nb ions exist as Nb⁴⁺, whereas a relatively low temperature is required for the formation of the anatase structure. Thus, the excess electrons from Nb⁵⁺ ions could be transferred to neighboring Ti⁴⁺ ions, resulting in a shift of the Fermi level toward the CB and an enhancement of the metallic behavior of anatase TiO₂. In contrast to the anatase form, Nb cations appear to substitute for Ti cations in rutile TiO₂ and exist as Nb⁴⁺.

The state of Nb in rutile single crystals and single crystal thin films, and rutile polymorph particles and polymorph particle thin films has been extensively analyzed using XRD, ESR, XPS, TEM, reflection high-energy and low-energy electron diffraction (RHEED and LEED), X-ray photoelectron diffraction (XPD), and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). The results of these various analyses confirmed that Nb exists as Nb⁴⁺ in rutile TiO₂. Investigation of the phase diagram of Ti–Nb–O also indicates that TiO₂ and NbO₂ may form a solid solution of Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ with 0 < x < 0.85 for the normal rutile phase and x > 0.85 in a deformed rutile phase at room temperature. The formation of Nb⁴⁺ is speculated to be because excess electrons remain on the Nb ions and form donor levels in the TiO₂ lattice. Nb-doped rutile TiO₂ exhibits semiconductor behavior, rather than the metallic behavior observed in the anatase form, and its Fermi level is located in the band gap. Theoretical and experimental studies have shown that Nb⁴⁺ (4d⁰) energy levels lie ~0.12–0.22 eV below the bottom of the CB as a partially filled state. These findings are consistent with recent theoretical calculations that indicate Nb would form deep states in anatase and shallow states in rutile TiO₂. Although doped Nb in rutile TiO₂ has also been reported to remain as Nb⁵⁺ ions, whose 4d states would overlap with the O2p VB states of TiO₂, our present UV-vis spectra, XPS, ESR, and VB XPS results (Fig. 4, 5, and S4–S9†) clearly indicate that doped Nb exists as Nb⁴⁺ in the band gap. Thus, we can conclude that the doped Nb existed as Nb⁴⁺ in our rutile TiO₂ sample and produced a shallow energy level at ~0.12–0.22 eV below the bottom of the CB, a value that matches the redox potential of Cu²⁺/Cu⁺ (0.16 V vs. SHE, pH = 0). The photocatalytic performance of the nanocomposites prepared in the present study was evaluated by the visible-light induced decomposition of IPA, which was used as a representative gaseous volatile organic compound (VOC) and is a serious pollutant of indoor air. IPA can be completely decomposed to CO₂ and water by photocatalytic oxidation. For the photocatalytic tests, the light intensity was set to 1 mW cm⁻², which corresponds to an illuminance of 300 lux and is comparable to the intensity of white fluorescent light and LED light, the wavelength of the irradiation light ranged from 400 to 530 nm (Fig. S10†), and the initial IPA concentration was 300 ppmv (~6 µmol). Under these conditions, the complete decomposition of IPA would result in a CO₂ concentration of 900 ppmv (~18 µmol), which is three times the initial IPA concentration (CH₃CHOHCH₃ + 9/2O₂ → 3CO₂ + 4H₂O). A representative curve of the change in gas concentration during the decomposition of IPA by the Cu(n)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ sample is shown in Fig. 6a. Under dark conditions, the IPA concentration initially decreased and then remained constant, demonstrating that adsorption equilibrium had been established. In addition, acetone and CO₂ were not detected, indicating that IPA was not decomposed by Cu(n)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ under these conditions. With the onset of light irradiation, the IPA concentration decreased rapidly and the amount of acetone increased sharply until reaching a peak at approximately 300 ppmv, after which, the concentration started to decrease. Accompanying the decrease of acetone, the concentration of CO₂ increased quickly. The observed reaction profile is consistent with the photocatalytic decomposition of IPA proceeding via the formation of acetone as an intermediate, followed by the decomposition of acetone to the final products CO₂ and H₂O. After 200 h of irradiation, the concentration of CO₂ in the reaction vessel reached approximately 900 ppmv (~18 µmol), which was nearly 3 times the amount of the initially injected IPA (300 ppmv), indicating that IPA was completely decomposed.

Comparative studies of the photocatalytic activities of Nb₂,Ti₁₋ₓO₂, Cu(n)–TiO₂ and Cu(n)–Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ samples under the same visible light source are shown in Fig. 6b. After the doping of Nb ions into TiO₂, the generated Nb,Ti₁₋ₓO₂ nano-composite exhibited visible-light sensitivity (Fig. 5), but had relatively low photocatalytic performance, because the photo-generated charge carriers were not efficiently separated and transferred to the surface. The Cu(n)–TiO₂ nanocomposites exhibited high visible-light activity, owing to the IFCT from the VB of TiO₂ to the surface Cu(n) nanoclusters and the efficient multi-electron reduction of oxygen by these nanoclusters. Irie et al. investigated the role of Cu(n) nanoclusters in electron trapping by performing in situ XAFS analysis under visible light in the presence of IPA and absence of oxygen and found that Cu(n) was generated under these conditions, but was converted back to Cu(n) upon exposure to oxygen. However, theoretical and experimental studies have revealed that the doping of Nb into TiO₂ induces the formation of an energy level approximately 0.12–0.22 eV below the CB, which is within the range of the reported redox potential of Cu²⁺/Cu⁺, 0.16 V (vs. SHE, pH = 0). These results indicate that grafted Cu(n) nanoclusters and doped Nb ions have closely matched energy levels.
The enhanced photocatalytic performance of Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ compared with those of Cu(II)-TiO₂ and Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ demonstrates that efficient energy level matching is achieved between the grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters and doped Nb ions. Based on the observed photocatalytic activities of the prepared photocatalysts, the QE for CO₂ generation was calculated using the following equation: \( \text{QE} = \frac{R_{\text{p}}}{R_{\text{p}}' - R_{\text{p}}''} \times 6R_{\text{CO}_2}/R_{\text{p}}' \), where \( R_{\text{p}} \) is the reaction rate of photons involved in CO₂ generation, \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) is the CO₂ generation rate, and \( R_{\text{p}}' \) is the absorption rate of incident photons. The details of this calculation are described in the literature and ESI (Fig. S1†), and the data used in the calculations are summarized in Table 1. Under the same light irradiation conditions, the Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ sample exhibited a high absorption rate of incident photons, but a low CO₂ generation rate of only 0.015 μmol h⁻¹, indicating that this photocatalyst has a low charge separation efficiency. Although the Cu(II)-TiO₂ nanocomposites displayed a high QE (27.7%), indicating that efficient IFCT and a multi-electron reduction reaction proceeded on the surface, the visible-light absorption rate of this material was relatively low and can be attributed to the limited light absorption by the IFCT process (Table 1). Interestingly, the grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters onto the surface of Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ resulted in strong visible-light absorption by the synthesized Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ nanocomposite, which also exhibited a high QE of 25.3%. The high reaction rate of Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ is due to the efficient light absorption by doped Nb ions and electron transfer between the doped Nb and surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters, as well as the efficient multi-electron reduction of oxygen on the surface Cu(II) nanoclusters. The QE of Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ is markedly higher than that of Cu(II)-grafted, Ti₃⁺ self-doped TiO₂, indicating that efficient charge transfer proceeds between the dopants and surface Cu(II) nanoclusters because of similar energy levels. Due to these excellent properties, the Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ nanocomposites exhibited a CO₂ generation rate of 0.20 μmol h⁻¹, which is much higher than those of Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ and Cu(II)-TiO₂ under the same visible-light irradiation conditions.

We also investigated the photocatalytic activities of TiO₂ modified with Cu(II) surface nanoclusters and various metal ions as dopants (Fig. 6c). In addition to Nb dopants, we have also

Table 1 Performances of the prepared photocatalysts

| Sample          | TiO₂₋ₓNiₓ | Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ | Cu(II)-TiO₂ | Cu(II)-Nb₃Ti₁₋ₓO₂ |
|-----------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| \( \dot{R}_{\text{p}} \) (quanta per s) | 1.30 × 10¹⁶ | 1.30 × 10¹⁶ | 1.30 × 10¹⁶ | 1.30 × 10¹⁶ |
| \( R_{\text{p}} \) (quanta per s)     | 4.10 × 10¹⁵ | 6.53 × 10¹⁴ | 4.69 × 10¹⁴ | 7.91 × 10¹⁴ |
| \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \) (μmol h⁻¹)     | 0.16       | 0.015      | 0.13       | 0.20             |
| QE (%)          | 3.9        | 2.3        | 27.7       | 25.3             |

\( \dot{R}_{\text{p}} \), rate of incident photons; \( R_{\text{p}} \), absorbed photon number; \( R_{\text{CO}_2} \), CO₂ generation rate; QE, quantum efficiency.
checked the photocatalytic performances of Fe and W doped TiO2 with various doping densities (Fig. S12†). The results showed that 0.1 wt% was the optimal amount for Fe and W doped TiO2. The synthesized nanocomposites were all single phases of the rutile TiO2 crystal structure. Among the examined metal dopants, Cu(II)-Nb,Ti1−xO2 nanocomposites exhibited the best performance, indicating that well energy level matching occurred between doped Nb ions and grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters. Further, the visible-light activity of Cu(II)-Nb,Ti1−xO2 was superior to that of TiO2−xN2, which is widely recognized as one of the most efficient visible-light photocatalysts reported to date (Fig. 6d and Table 1). TiO2−xN2 exhibited a rather low QE of 3.9% and required a much longer incubation period, over 300 h, to completely decompose the gaseous IPA. The low activity of this sample was also very sensitive to the presence of gaseous organic compounds. Thus, our findings demonstrate that Cu(II)-Nb,Ti1−xO2 nanocomposites are promising visible-light-sensitive TiO2 photocatalysts for practical applications.

4. Conclusions

Efficient visible-light-sensitive TiO2 photocatalysts were developed based on the concept of energy level matching between surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters and bulk-doped Nb ions. Bulk-doped Nb ions produce energy levels below the CB of TiO2, which matches well with the redox potential of Cu2+/Cu+ in surface-grafted Cu(II) nanoclusters. Both doped Cu(II) nanoclusters and doped Nb ions induce similar increases in light absorption in the wavelength region from 420 to 550 nm. In this photocatalytic system, Ti ions were substituted for doped Nb ions that existed in the Nb4+ oxidation state, which avoided the generation of Ti3+ species. The doping of Nb ions enhanced the visible-light absorption of TiO2, whereas the grafting of Cu(II) nanoclusters retained the high QE of this system. The present Cu(II)-Nb,Ti1−xO2 nanocomposites exhibited strong visible-light absorption and maintained a high QE, leading to high visible-light photocatalytic performance for the decomposition of gaseous organic compounds. Thus, our findings demonstrate that Cu(II)-Nb,Ti1−xO2 is a suitable visible-light-sensitive photocatalyst for practical applications, and that the concept of energy level matching is an effective approach for the construction of advanced visible-light photocatalysts.
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