Nitrogen Desorption and Positron Sensitive Defect of CVD Diamond
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Abstract

The chemical vapor deposition (CVD) process can produce single or polycrystalline diamond samples of high purity or with controlled doping concentrations. The defect type in the CVD diamonds can be changed by heating the samples. Controlling the defect type can be used to create devices for quantum diamond switches that could be used in radiation sensors and quantum information technology. Eight samples of CVD diamonds were analyzed with Doppler broadening of positron annihilation radiation (DBAR) before and after annealing in high vacuum with an electron gun. Between temperatures of 1700 - 1850 K, nitrogen was liberated from the diamond sample. At these high temperatures, the surface was graphitized and a change in the color and transparency of the diamond was observed. Some of the samples were analyzed with DBAR during periods with and without light. The defect properties were observed to change depending on the time exposure to the positron beam and were then regenerated by exposure to light. The DBAR data is compared to photoluminescence data and a time varying defect state is discussed for detector and optical grade type II CVD diamonds.
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1. Introduction

Much attention has been giving to CVD diamonds because of their electrical and mechanical properties. Previous work [1] [2] [3] has shown that CVD diamonds can be manipulated to tune their properties in order to create devices for quantum mechanical diamond switches that could be used in diamond sensors, io-
nizing radiation detectors, spintronics, quantum computing applications, and other quantum information technology applications.

A majority of the work done on diamond defects has been focused on the NV center [4]-[10] while little attention was given to the divacancy [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]. It has been shown that monovacancies in diamonds will migrate to nitrogen impurities when annealed at temperatures between than 800 - 1050 K [16] [17] [18] with a migration energy of 2.2 ± 0.2 eV [18]. During annealing, existing negatively charged monovacancy (V−) are converted to the neutral charged monovacancy (V0), then, the V0 migrates to, and is trapped by, any nitrogen impurities creating the NV center [19]. The NV center can be neutral (NV0) or negatively charged (NV−). Beha et al. [6] have shown that the NV0 charge state is present in the absence of light and can be changed to the NV− by shining light on the sample. Positron annihilation spectroscopy has shown a decay time constant believed to be related to the NV center [7] but is not well understood. It is also reported that prolonged annealing above 1100 K will produce the divacancy (V2) defect [14] [15].

In this study, we characterized the effects of annealing CVD diamond samples with the aim of desorbing any nitrogen impurity atoms and then further annealing to create divacancies without destroying or graphitizing the diamond samples. The diamonds were analyzed using Doppler broadening of positron annihilation radiation (DBAR) to observe defect changes as a function of annealing.

We were able to heat the CVD diamond samples to release nitrogen and we observed a time dependent change of the defect state within the diamond samples during positron annihilation. This change can be regenerated by shining light on the sample. In the following manuscript we will show the temperatures required to desorb nitrogen from CVD diamonds. We will then discuss our observations regarding the implantation of a positron near the light sensitive defect and compare to previously reported observations.

2. Methods

2.1. Heating Procedure

Eight polycrystalline CVD diamond samples were provided from II-VI Inc. The samples were mounted to a tantalum support holder and suspended from an ultra-high vacuum and high voltage feed through. For each sample, the vacuum system was evacuated and baked until the base pressure was 5 × 10⁻⁹ Torr. An ion pump was used to maintain vacuum during heating. An electron-gun (e-gun) with a thoriated tungsten filament 0.125 mm diameter was positioned approximately 2 cm from the sample. The sample and tantalum holder were biased with a positive high voltage source to attract the electrons to it. The e-gun was grounded and heated using an AC power source.

A Sekidenko OR1000 F optical fiber pyrometer was used to measure temperatures between 650 - 1400 K and an IRCON pyrometer was used for temperatures greater than 1400 K. Each pyrometer was aimed at the center of the CVD
diamond and viewed the samples temperature through a quartz view port. Temperatures lower than 650 K were extrapolated from a fitting curve of the power required to heat the sample. A Stanford Research Systems Residual Gas Analyzer (RGA) 100 was used to record the partial pressures of the expelled gasses while a nude ion gauge was used to measure the total pressure of the vacuum system.

In preparation of heating the samples, the e-gun filament was turned on with 1.5 Amps AC for approximately 24 hours. This was found to help stabilized the emission current from the filament during heating operations and helped to minimize temperature fluctuations of the sample. The first four samples (labeled A-D) were heated at an average rate of 12 ± 3 K/min until nitrogen desorption was observed and then cooled to room temperature at an average rate of 32 ± 12 K/min.

The second four samples (labeled E-H) were heated by increasing the target bias and the emission current of the e-gun every 30 mins until a desired temperature was achieved. These samples were detector grade and optical grade samples that had two different surface treatments. The first being a mechanical polish method and the second being a reactive ion etching (RIE) method. It is believed that surface conditions will affect the quality of a diamond being used as a radiation detector. This is why two different polishing methods were employed in our study.

The values of the emission current, bias voltage, and recorded or extrapolated temperatures for the latter four samples are displayed in Table 1. Once the desired temperature was achieved, the temperature was held constant. After 24 hours at a constant temperature, the samples were cooled to room temperature by turning the e-beam off. They remained in vacuum for 30 mins before the system was vented with dry nitrogen gas.

Table 1. Heating profile of CVD diamond samples E-H.

| Heating Step | Sample Bias Voltage (kV) | Emission Current (mA) | Heating Power (W) | Temperature (K) |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1            | 0                        | 0                     | 0                | 300             |
| 2            | 0.5                      | 2                     | 1                | 388†            |
| 3            | 1.0                      | 4                     | 4                | 418†            |
| 4            | 1.5                      | 6                     | 9                | 467†            |
| 5            | 2.0                      | 8                     | 16               | 536             |
| 6            | 2.5                      | 10                    | 25               | 625†            |
| 7            | 3.0                      | 12                    | 36               | 730 ± 10        |
| 8            | 3.5                      | 15                    | 53               | 950 ± 10        |
| 9            | 4.0                      | 18                    | 72               | 1050 ± 10†      |
| 10           | 4.2                      | 19                    | 80               | 1170 ± 10       |
| 11           | 4.4                      | 20                    | 88               | 1250 ± 10       |
| 12           | 4.6                      | 21                    | 96               | 1325 ± 10       |
| 13           | 4.8                      | 22                    | 105              | 1410 ± 10†      |

†Extrapolated value based on the power used. ‡Annealing Temperatures held for 24 hours.
For all heating runs, the tantalum support holder was heated with no sample to measure any background gases for each temperature profile. This blank tantalum data was subtracted from the CVD diamond heating data and the amount of gas above this recorded background ($5 \times 10^{-11}$ Torr) is reported below. Table 2 lists all the samples used and descriptive information. Also, shown in Table 2 is the bulk value of the $S$-parameter after its annealing temperature and time.

### 2.2. DBAR with and without Light

The variable energy monoenergetic positron beam at Washington State University (WSU) was used to probe the samples for vacancies and other open volume type defects. The technique is sensitive to neutral and negatively charged defects. Following implantation at a mean depth determined by the incident kinetic energy of the positrons, the positrons thermalized within several picoseconds. The positrons diffuse throughout a volume on the order of $10^6$ lattice sites.

Upon encounter with a vacancy (or larger defect) the positron is trapped in the attractive potential well created by the missing atom and valence and conduction electron bands extending into this volume. After lifetimes of typically several 100 picoseconds the positrons annihilate either from the trap, with predominantly low momentum electrons, or from core electrons with higher momentum distributions.

The emitted annihilation photons carry information on the annihilation sites as changes in their Doppler shifts due to the electron momentum. In a typical experiment 1 to 2 million annihilation events are acquired. The Doppler broadened annihilation line shape is parameterized into a shape ($S$) and a wings ($W$) parameter to reflect changes in the open volume defect concentration and size ($S$) and the chemical environment ($W$) of the defects combined with an inverse

### Table 2. Sample information.

| ID  | Description                                      | Nitrogen Out Gas Temperature (K) | Nitrogen Released (Moles $\times 10^{-9}$) |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| A   | Detector Grade. No N$_2$ addition during growth | 1881 ± 20                       | 3.11 ± 0.56                             |
| B   | Optical Grade (Dark in colour) speculation of air leak during growth | 1712 ± 25$^3$                   | 3.69 ± 0.77                             |
| C   | Optical Grade, low N-incorporation level       | 1897 ± 17                       | 3.16 ± 0.69                             |
| D   | Optical Grade, high N-incorporation level and defect density | 1864 ± 22                       | 3.17 ± 0.79                             |
| E   | Mechanical Finish Detector Grade              |                                 |                                         |
| F   | RIE Finish Detector Grade                      |                                 |                                         |
| G   | Mechanical Finish Optical Grade                |                                 |                                         |
| H   | RIE Finish Optical Grade                       |                                 |                                         |

| ID  | Description                                      | Bulk $S$ Value As Received | Bulk $S$ Value 950 K for 24 h | Bulk $S$ Value 1050 K for 24 h | Bulk $S$ Value 1410 K for 24 h |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| E   | Mechanical Finish Detector Grade              | 0.4063 ± 0.001            | 0.4066 ± 0.001                | 0.4083 ± 0.001                | 0.3739 ± 0.001                |
| F   | RIE Finish Detector Grade                      | 0.4051 ± 0.001            | 0.4052 ± 0.001                | 0.4086 ± 0.001                | 0.3741 ± 0.001                |
| G   | Mechanical Finish Optical Grade                | 0.4068 ± 0.001            | 0.4071 ± 0.001                | 0.4102 ± 0.001                | 0.3762 ± 0.001$^3$           |
| H   | RIE Finish Optical Grade                       | 0.4146 ± 0.003$^3$        | 0.4134 ± 0.002                | 0.4139 ± 0.001                | 0.3762 ± 0.001$^3$           |

$^3$Early nitrogen release. $^*$Substrate side values, all other values are obtained from the growth side of the CVD diamond sample. $^1$Not Measured.
concentration and size effect.

The mean implantation depth of the positrons can be varied by tuning their incident kinetic energy. The mean depth is calculated with the empirical formula [21],

\[ \langle z \rangle = \frac{40}{\rho} E^{1.6}. \]  

(1)

In this equation, \( \rho \) is the density in g/cm\(^3\) (3.52 g/cm\(^3\) for CVD diamond) and \( E \) is the energy of the positron beam in keV (up to 70 keV for our system). This way \( \langle z \rangle \) will carry units of nm resulting in a maximum mean implantation of 10.18 µm at 70 keV.

Doppler broadening of positron annihilation radiation (DBAR) was performed before and after each heating phase at room temperature. By varying the positron beam energy, one is able to probe the surface to the bulk of the sample and create a defect profile for the sample. Conservation of momentum and energy leads to a Doppler shift of the annihilation gamma emission of the electron positron pair. The 511 keV annihilation peak in the gamma spectra will be changed depending on the type and concentration of defects. The \( S \) and \( W \) parameters, mentioned above, are used for this work and are described in further detail in References [20] [21] [22].

The vacuum chamber used to measure the annihilation spectrum is constructed so that no visible light can shine inside the chamber. The CVD diamond samples were exposed to sunlight and laboratory light while they were taken from the annealing vacuum chamber and mounted to the positron beam vacuum chamber. A small LED was mounted inside the vacuum chamber to shine light on the samples after they had reached a stable state while being exposed to positron.

3. Results

3.1. Annealing and Positron Analysis

For the first four samples of CVD diamonds, the heating profile (heated at 12 ± 3 K/min then cooled at 32 ± 12 K/min) was created with the aim of finding what temperature is needed for a detectable amount of nitrogen to be liberated from the sample. Figure 1 shows the interpolated data between the RGA and pyrometer from heating and cooling of the first four samples. All of the samples show an increase in the amount of detected nitrogen at a temperatures between 1850 - 1900 K.

Only one sample shows an early release of nitrogen near 1700 K. The reason for this early release is not yet fully understood but could be due to the fact that this sample was grown with a leak in the vacuum system and contains more nitrogen and nitrogen defects because of the leak.

In the cracking pattern of the RGA, mass 28 is the primary peak observed for N\(_2\) gas. This is also the primary peak for carbon monoxide and the most intense secondary peak of CO\(_2\). To distinguish between the gasses, masses 14 for nitrogen, and 12 for carbon monoxide were recorded. The data show that when mass
Nitrogen outgas vs. temperature for samples A-D. For the first four samples of CVD diamonds, we see that nitrogen is released between 1850 and 1900 K according to the partial pressure of mass 14. Sample B shows a release of nitrogen at lower temperatures compared to the other samples. This is most likely due to the fact that this sample had a higher amount of nitrogen caused by what was presumed to be an air leak during growth.

28 rises, mass 14 rises with the correct cracking pattern ratio that is expected for nitrogen (M28 = 100%, M14 = 7.2%). At this time mass 12 did not rise. This indicates that there is no measurable carbon monoxide coming out of the CVD samples for the detection limits used and that the measured amount of mass 14 is 7.2% of the amount of nitrogen being expelled from the sample. Mass 40 and 20 were seen to rise above the background measurements at these times suggesting that argon was being expelled from the samples as well. Besides mass 2 for hydrogen, no other masses (between 1 and 48) were observed to rise at these temperatures for the four samples measured above background of the RGA (5 × 10^-11 Torr).

Figure 2 shows the initial photoluminescence response before heating the first four samples. All but the detector grade diamond samples show a significant peak at 575 nm that is correlated with the neutral nitrogen-vacancy (NV⁰) defect center. Some of the samples show a broad defect peak between wavelengths of 600 - 800 nm. Within these wavelengths are the signatures for other defects such as the divacancy (590, 614, 649, 674, and 685 nm) [23].

As stated previously, DBAR data was taken before and after heating of the samples. Figure 3(a) shows the DBAR data before the samples were heated vs. the intensity of the photoluminescence peak associated with the NV center. Figure 3(b) shows the change in the DBAR S-parameter as a function of the amount of nitrogen that was liberated from the sample. The dashed line in both graphs is to guide the eye and show the linear relationship between the two measured quantities. From this we postulate that the initial bulk defects must be linked to the NV center and that the amount of nitrogen released from the samples creates
Figure 2. Photoluminescence response for the first four samples before annealing. Sample A is in black, B is in red, C is in blue, and D is represented by green.

Figure 3. (a) Normalized S parameter data vs. photoluminescence of the NV center. These data are taken before heating the samples and shows a least square fit to the data indicates a linear correlation between the S parameter and the PL intensity. The S-parameter was normalized to the bulk value of a single crystal sample from GE. (b) Normalized S parameter vs. Nitrogen outgas for samples A–D. The change in the S-parameter increases with the amount of nitrogen released while the W-parameter remained the same or only slightly increased. The S-parameter was normalized to the bulk value of a single crystal sample from GE.

more or larger defect (such as a divacancy) as indicated by the increase in the S-parameter.

It is well-known that CVD diamonds can contain large vacancy clusters. Our PL data suggests that the samples we examined did not contain a measurable amount of large vacancy clusters to begin with.

The second set of samples were detector grade and optical grade with two different surface finishes (see Table 2 for more information). The optical grade samples show a difference in the measured DBAR data depending on what side was being measured. The growth side of the optical samples showed a lower bulk S-parameter than the substrate side. The detector grade samples did not show a significant difference between the growth and substrate sides. Figure 4 shows the S-parameter normalized to the bulk value of high purity single crystal as a
function of the mean positron implantation depth. To compare the growth and substrate side of the sample along with a polycrystalline sample from the Stanford Research Institute (SRI), a single crystal sample with a low defect concentration from the University of Birmingham, and a single crystal sample from General Electric (GE). The Bulk $S$ value from the GE sample was used to normalize the rest of the data and has a value of $0.40662 \pm 0.0001$.

As stated above, Lawson has shown that monovacancies in diamonds will become mobile at 900 K. Davis and Pu report that between 900 - 1050 K the monovacancies, if charged, become neutral and migrate to and become trapped at nitrogen impurities creating the NV defect. Above 1100 K Twitchen and Stesman report that divacancies will be produced. With this information in mind, we chose to anneal the second set of samples first at 950 K, then at 1050 K and finally at 1410 K.

The heating profiles were created so we could use the DBAR technique to observe the differences between the as received sample, after heating to 900 K to allow the vacancies to migrate to nitrogen impurities, then after heating to 1050 K to see the changes made by forming the $NV^{-/0}$ center, and finally after heating to 1410 K to create divacancies.

**Figure 5** shows that heating to 950 K for 24 hours only slightly increased the S-parameter in all samples except for one of the optical grade samples. This sample had the largest initial value of the $S$-parameter, indicating more defects, divacancies, or larger open volume between grain boundaries. Heating at 950 K could have caused a reduction in the number of defects. After annealing at 1050 K for 24 hours, all samples showed a significant increase in the bulk $S$-parameter by roughly 0.5%.
After the 1410 K 24 hour anneal, all of the samples except the RIE finished optical grade sample show a large drop of approximately 8% in the S-parameter. The RIE finished optical grade sample instead shows an increase in the S-parameter by about 0.6%. The reason for the difference in behavior for this sample is not fully understood.

Figure 6 shows the $S$ vs $W$ DBAR parameters for all four samples with the different annealing temperatures represented by different colors and the bulk $S$ and $W$ values for the two crystal diamonds from the University of Birmingham and a diamond from GE. There is a single slope indicating only one defect type.

**Figure 5.** Normalized $S$ parameter vs. annealing temperature for samples $E-H$. These data were measured at room temperature after sample heating. Heating for 24 hours up to 1400 K did not release any nitrogen above background levels.

**Figure 6.** Normalized $S$ parameter vs $W$ plots. Shown here are all the $S$ and $W$ data for the second four samples with the color indicating what temperature the samples were annealed at. A single slope on the $SW$ plot suggests one type of defect throughout the measured depth. Different slopes indicate different defect types.
for each annealing temperature. The surface state is located at higher \( S \) and lower \( W \) values (top left) on the graph and the bulk state is located at lower \( S \) and higher \( W \) values (bottom right) on the graph. The bulk values of the second diamond set is similar to the single crystal sample from GE and the single crystal sample from the University of Birmingham has a similar bulk \( S \) value but a higher bulk \( W \) value.

The slope of the \( S \) vs. \( W \) plot changes for different annealing temperatures. For temperatures of 900 K and 1050 K, the slope is only slightly decreasing for the surface states. This suggests the surface defect type is slowly changing with increasing annealing temperature. After the 1410 K annealing, the \( SW \) slope radically changes suggesting the defect type is completely different. 1410 K is the temperature where we expected to see divacancies created.

If this were true, we would expect to see nitrogen desorb from the samples in the RGA data. However, we were unable to detect any nitrogen above background levels. Furthermore, the divacancies should have a higher \( S \) and lower \( W \) value than the low temperature annealed samples because the divacancy is a larger defect than the single vacancy and the \( S \)-parameter is a measure of defect size and concentration. Also, the bulk values for \( S \) and \( W \) for the two crystal sample should be the lowest \( S \) and highest \( W \), but we see from the \( S \) vs. \( W \) plot this is not the case.

3.2. Time Changing of the Vacancy State with Positron Annihilations and Photons

All of the samples are sensitive to visible light. The \( S \) data decay gradually with time while the sample is in the dark. Exposure to red, green or blue LED light (10 mA of a typical low power LED suffices) restores the initial higher \( S \) value. The decay has at least two lifetimes of 15 to 30 minute and a longer one of several hours. **Figure 7** shows typical data for an optical grade diamond sample after annealing at 1450 K for 85 min. The excitation with light appears to be much faster than the typical positron measurement time of 1 to 10 minutes per data-point and is independent of photon energy (red to blue and near \( UV \)). The data are consistent with electrons being photo-excited into defects above the Fermi level near the middle of the band-gap. The excited states are long lived (\( \gg \) hours) with electrons being removed by annihilation with positrons. The change in charge of the defect from neutral (or positive) when empty to negative (or neutral) when illuminated causes a change in the positron signal.

Implanting positrons first at 28 keV (2.3 \( \mu m \) mean depth) to deplete the excited states and then after several hours of exposure changing the implantation depth to 44 keV (4.8 \( \mu m \)) shows that the defects at that depth are still excited and only decay once positrons can reach this depth. Furthermore, the magnitude of the light-effect is related to the bulk \( S \) value in the sample, in that it increases with increasing \( S \), \textit{i.e.} it is tied to the defect observed in the dark.

The temperature and light dependence was also tested on the SRI sample (See **Figure 8**). During heating while light is exposed, \( S \) remains constant over the
Figure 7. II-VI batch 3 OPTICAL grade 1450 K anneal for 85 min, short term decay measurement (1 min/data point, repeated several times) at a positron implantation energy of 28 keV (2.3 µm depth). The green line is an 11 point average of the black data points, and the red line is an exponential fit of the data the gives a time constant of $17.2 \pm 3.3$ mins.

Figure 8. SRI sample growth side, temperature dependent positron measurements in dark or with light (blue 470 nm). Values were normalized to the samples starting bulk value for the $S$-parameter of $0.40103 \pm 0.00015$.

Temperature range at approximately the mid-level. Turning the light off causes $S$ to increase by 3%. Allowing the sample to cool lowers the $S$ value to the previous room temperature value as shown in light green points on Figure 8.

Measuring in the dark during increasing temperatures, $S$ increases about 6% between 425 and 525 K. This process reverses upon cooling back to room temperature as shown in dark green points. Turning a 470 nm LED light on after heating to and holding at 565 K, lowers $S$ to the “light on” level. Here the mid
gap defects are filled thermally in the dark and emptied by photo-excitation into the conduction band at high temperature.

Wotherspoon et al. [7] reported a DBAR relaxation time for diamond samples that were doped with nitrogen. They report that the $S$-parameter of the diamond sample would change by as much as 2% when illuminated with light. Then, after hundreds of hours, the original value of the $S$-parameter is recovered by a slow decay process. In their manuscript they state that the strongest effects occur for the higher nitrogen doped diamonds. They were able to determine that this effect is not due to ionizations of the $NV^-$ center by observing this effect with a light source unable to cause ionization.

We have observed a similar behavior with our diamond samples. Our samples were exposed to ambient sunlight and laboratory fluorescent lights. In the positron beam vacuum chamber, they were mounted in darkness. Once they were exposed to positrons, the $S$-parameter in the bulk of the sample would decay to a lower value in a matter of minutes. Depending on the annealing temperature, the time constant from the exponential decay fit varied between 20 - 90 min. Then, when the sample is illuminated with light, the $S$-parameter jumps back up to the starting value of the $S$-parameter. The light source used was a 470 nm LED operated at 20 - 30 mA. Note the absolute photon flux, the LED current, and LED position had no discernible effect. The illumination caused the $S$-parameter to regenerate instantaneously.

For our measurement acquisition times (less than 1 - 3 min), we are unable to determine the regeneration time. Figure 9(a) shows the decay of the $S$-parameter for one sample which contained a higher bulk $S$-parameter after annealing at 1050 K. These data were fit with an exponential decay function and
the time constant of the decay was $(69.3 \pm 6.1) \text{ min}$ for this particular annealing temperature. The change in the time constant is plotted in Figure 9(b) as a function of annealing temperature for the RIE optical grade sample.

Our findings support Wotherspoon et al. in that we see a change in the defect state with the illumination of light, we see a time decay in the DBAR data when the sample is in the dark, and we also report about a 2% change in the $S$-parameter during this light and dark switching. However, our relaxation times are much shorter than the time reported by Wotherspoon et al. This could be due to the differences in beam intensities. We are unsure as Wotherspoon did not report the positron flux, however, our system was set up so that positrons from a $^{22}\text{Na}$ source would produce $1642 \pm 15$ cps in the 511 keV photo peak as detected by an HPGe gamma detector.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

Eight CVD diamond samples were annealed at high temperatures and analyzed with DBAR and PL techniques. The first set of four samples released nitrogen at temperatures above 1850 and 1900 K. The spread in desorption temperature could be due to slight differences with the time required to reach the annealing temperature.

We have shown a linear dependence between the increase in the $S$ value and the amount of nitrogen released for these four samples. This would suggest that the expelled nitrogen leaves vacancies in their place, hence the rise in the $S$ value.

PL data show a correlation with the $NV$ center and the $S$-parameter. These samples showed surface graphitization and were darker in color. This is possibly due to vacancy cluster forming in the samples. Figure 10 show a photograph of these samples before and after annealing to high temperatures. The black dots are surface graphitization. At the moment we do not fully understand if there is a correlation between surface graphitization and the amount of nitrogen that was released.

Figure 10. Photograph of the first four CVD diamond samples heated to release a detectable amount of nitrogen. The squares with a small mounting holes where the actual samples that were annealed. They were cut from the larger materials around them, which were left in the initial state. The brownish color in the lower left sample is typical for high nitrogen content type I diamond (>5 ppm).
The second set of four samples were created to have low nitrogen levels and low defect concentration. They were annealed to cause the vacancies (if any existed) to migrate to the surface and become trapped at any nitrogen impurity sites. Isochronal annealing of the samples shows that after 1050 K the $S$-parameter is increased, this is the temperature where we expected to see an increase in the number of $NV^{−10}$ centers.

Annealing the samples above 1400 K, was expected to create divacancies in the place of the $NV^{−10}$ center. This idea is supported by the fact that the $SW$ slope is radically different from the lower temperature annealing, although not as we predicted. As stated above, the $SW$ slope can be used as an indication of the defect type with different defects having different $SW$ slopes. As we see from Figure 6, annealing the samples from the as received state to 900 K and 1050 K started to change the surface defect of the samples. Then after the 1400 K annealing, the $S$ vs. $W$ slope has radically changed indicating that the defect type has changed as well. According to the literature, this is the temperature that would create divacancies by liberating the nitrogen atom from the $NV$ defect. However nitrogen desorption was not detected above our background signal in the RGA and the $S$ vs. $W$ plot does not support the claim that this annealing temperature creates divacancies. Furthermore, the PL data did not show clear signs of an increase of divacancies. After the 1410 K anneal for 24 hours, the samples are only slightly darker in color and do not show signs of graphitization on the surface. It is possible that this annealing method produced vacancy clusters and not divacancies.

During heating of the CVD diamonds, the surface temperature gradients measured by the pyrometers were as high as 100 K, therefore, the temperature measurements were sensitive to the positioning of the pyrometers. This effect was minimized by focusing on the sample center which was the hottest spot during heating. This also introduces an error in all of the temperature measurements to be added to the error by measuring through a quartz window.

The observed positron induced time constant ranges between 10 - 100 mins for the samples to reach stability when the samples are exposed to positrons in the dark. This can be explained by the $NV$ center changing from the negative state, with a metastable electron, to a neutral state when the positron annihilates the metastable electron. Then when light shines on the diamond, the photon causes an electron to populate the metastable state and the neutral $NV$ center now becomes negative and the $S$-parameter is increased.

The samples time changing effects were strongly observed with samples that had an initially higher value of DBAR $S$-parameter. This supports the observation that the time changing effects are only observed in the defect centers.

Further studies are needed where PL or Raman spectroscopy is used to more accurately measure the amount of $NV$ and $V_2$ defects before and after the samples are heated in iterative steps while taking DBAR data in-between each annealing step all under vacuum. This way we would unambiguously be able to determine what defect is responsible for the state switching.
By changing the positron flux, and measuring the decay constant we would be able to determine if the positrons are annihilating a metastable electron in the defect. Measuring for shorter acquisition times with a higher positron flux would allow us to measure the regeneration time.
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