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Abstract

Background: The use of elective cholecystectomy has increased dramatically following the widespread adoption of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. We sought to determine whether this increase has resulted in a reduction in the incidence of severe complications of gallstone disease.

Methods: We examined longitudinal trends in the population-based rates of severe gallstone disease from 1988 to 2000, using a quasi-experimental longitudinal design to assess the effects of the large increase in elective cholecystectomy rates after 1991 among people aged 18 years and older residing in Ontario. We also measured the rate of hospital admission because of acute diverticulitis, to control for secular trends in the use of hospital care for acute abdominal diseases.

Results: The adjusted annual rate of elective cholecystectomy per 100 000 population increased from 201.3 (95% confidence interval [CI] 197.0–205.8) in 1988–1990 to 260.8 (95% CI 257.1–264.5) in 1992–2000 (rate ratio [RR] 1.35, 95% CI 1.32–1.38, p < 0.001). An anomalously high number of elective cholecystectomies were performed in 1991. Overall, the annual rate of severe gallstone diseases (acute cholecystitis, acute biliary pancreatitis and acute cholangitis) declined by 10% (RR 0.90, 95% CI 0.88–0.91) for 1992–2000 as compared with 1988–1991. This decline was entirely due to an 18% reduction in the rate of acute cholecystitis (RR 0.82, 95% CI 0.80–0.84).

Interpretation: The increase in the rate of elective cholecystectomy that occurred following the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 1991 was associated with an overall reduction in the incidence of severe gallstone disease that was entirely attributable to a reduction in the incidence of acute cholecystitis.

After the widespread introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 1991, the rate of cholecystectomy in North America increased by 30% to 60%, primarily because of higher rates of elective operations. Although cholecystectomy is not ordinarily indicated in people with asymptomatic gallstones, the decision to perform the procedure is highly discretionary. It is unclear whether the increased rate of elective cholecystectomy is due to overuse of surgery among people with asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic gallstones, or whether more patients with clinically important gallbladder disease are willing to undergo cholecystectomy with the availability of laparoscopic surgery.

Most cholecystectomies are done in people with uncomplicated biliary colic, the most common presentation of symptomatic gallstones. Severe complications of gallbladder disease, such as acute cholecystitis, acute biliary pancreatitis and acute cholangitis, are potentially life-threatening conditions that require hospital care. Greater use of elective cholecystectomy in people at risk of severe gallstone complications should result in a lower incidence of such complications. We sought to determine whether the increase in the rate of elective cholecystectomy was associated with a reduction in the incidence of severe complications of gallbladder disease.

Methods

We used data from the Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI), the Ontario Health Insurance Plan (OHIP) physician claims database and the Registered Persons Database. We used intercensal age- and sex-specific estimates of the Ontario population. There is 88%-96% agreement between Ontario health databases for the coding of common surgical procedures. These databases have been used to address other clinical questions relating to gallbladder disease. Our study was conducted with the approval of the Research Ethics Board of the Sunnybrook and Women’s College Health Sciences Centre.

We ascertained the rate of elective cholecystectomy and severe gallstone disease between Apr. 1, 1988, and Mar. 31, 2000, in Ontario among people aged 18 years and older. We obtained records on elective cholecystectomy using Canadian Classification of Procedures (CCP) codes and categorized procedures as laparoscopic or open. Hospital admissions because of severe gallstone disease were included if the most responsible diagnosis code according to the International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision, clinical modification (ICD-9-CM), indicated acute cholecystitis, acute biliary pancreatitis or acute cholangitis. A hospital admission because of acute cholangitis was considered to represent a spontaneous biliary complication only if there was no concomitant code for a periampullary, gastric, or primary or secondary liver tumour (which accounted for 12.9% of admissions because of acute cholangitis). We excluded cases of acute pancreatitis that were probably caused by alcohol use if a secondary diagnosis code indicated alcohol abuse or intoxication (4.5%). We also excluded admissions that occurred within 1 week after an endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP, 5.6%) and cases of acute pancreatitis in people who survived and did not have a cholecystectomy or ERCP within 6 months (54.4% of admis-
Analyses performed without excluding nonbiliary causes of acute pancreatitis and cholangitis yielded similar results and are not reported.

To determine whether a case of acute pancreatitis was due to gallstones, we linked individual records between databases using a unique identifier, which was missing for some hospital admissions between 1988 and 1991 because of changes to the format of the Ontario health card number. We assumed that the proportion of gallstone-related pancreatitis was similar among patients without a valid unique identifier as among those with a valid identifier.

The rate of hospital admission because of severe gallstone disease may have decreased over time if patients were less likely to be admitted with acute abdominal diseases because of improvements in diagnostic tests, availability of effective oral antibiotics or changes in the general approach to hospital care. Therefore, we also assessed the rate of admission because of acute diverticulitis to control for secular trends. Acute diverticulitis is similar to severe gallstone disease in that it is an acute gastrointestinal syndrome that usually requires hospital care; however, it should not be affected by changes in the rate of elective cholecystectomy.

Population-based rates and standard errors of elective cholecystectomy and gallbladder diseases were computed according to age group, sex and year. Sex-specific rates were directly standardized according to age. We examined trends in the rates of elective cholecystectomy and severe gallbladder diseases using methods for the analysis of clustered longitudinal data consisting of overdispersed logistic regression models, using a log link (to estimate relative rates) and auto-regressive correlation across years. The unit of analysis was the stratum of 5-year age group, sex and year. The dependent variable was the observed stratum-specific procedure or hospital admission rate. In all models we controlled for age–sex interactions. We incorporated variance overdispersion in the standard error estimates, to account for clustering of patients within age–sex–year strata.

We compared rates and annual trends before and after the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. First, we estimated the adjusted rates before (1988–1991) and after (1992–2000) laparoscopic cholecystectomy. We then modeled separate trends over the 2 periods to estimate rate ratios, assuming different intercepts and slopes in each period. Models for elective cholecystectomy excluded data for 1991, when an anomalously high number of elective cholecystectomies were performed. As a sensitivity analysis of possible secular trends in admission rates related to acute abdominal conditions, we included the rate of acute diverticulitis in the models. All statistical tests were performed at the 5% level of significance and were 2-sided.

### Results

The mean annual population of Ontario aged 18 years or older during the study period was 8.2 million. The annual rates of elective cholecystectomy and of acute cholecystitis, acute biliary pancreatitis, acute cholangitis and acute diverticulitis are presented in Table 1 by age group and sex.

Trends in the rate of laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy are presented in Fig. 1. The adjusted annual rate of elective cholecystectomy per 100,000 population increased from 201.3 (95% confidence interval [CI] 197.0–205.6) per 100,000 population in 1988 to 221.4 (218.5–224.3) in 2000. The introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy led to a 24% increase in the rate of elective cholecystectomy (95% CI 15–33%) over this period. The rate of elective cholecystectomy was 10.0% higher in women than in men (95% CI 3–17%).

![Fig. 1: Rates of elective cholecystectomy (laparoscopic and open) per 100,000 population in Ontario from 1988 to 2000. Broken lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.](image-url)
Trends for all 3 severe gallstone diseases combined and acute cholecystitis were almost flat both before and after 1991 (Table 2). The rate of acute biliary pancreatitis, which had increased 20% (95% CI 16%–25%) per year between 1988 and 1991, leveled off after 1992 ($p$ for change in slopes < 0.001). The trend in the rate of acute cholangitis also became level in 1992, after increasing by 6% (95% CI 2%–10%) per year during 1988–1991 ($p$ for change in slopes < 0.001).

Although the rate of acute diverticulitis declined by 0.8% per year over the study period (95% CI 0.3%–1.2%, $p = 0.001$), we found no evidence of a nonlinear trend or an abrupt change after 1991.

**Interpretation**

In our study we examined the effect of an increased rate of elective cholecystectomy on the rate of severe complications of gallstone disease, taking advantage of a natural experiment in Ontario in which rates of elective cholecystectomy rose by 35% in 1991 owing to the use of laparoscopic surgery. Increased use of cholecystectomy starting in 1991 was associated with an 18% reduction in the incidence of acute cholecystitis. Although the incidence of acute biliary pancreatitis and cholangitis did not decrease in 1992, the rates leveled off after increasing from 1988 to 1991.

There has been speculation that the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy may have led to inappropriate overuse of cholecystectomy. Although appropriateness of cholecystectomy can be assessed at the individual level by measuring pain relief or satisfaction following surgery, the dissemination of laparoscopic cholecystectomy was so rapid and unexpected that there was little opportunity to assess changes in appropriateness.

**Table 2: Trends in the incidence of acute cholecystitis, acute biliary pancreatitis and acute cholangitis from 1988 to 2000**

| Measure | All severe gallstone diseases | Acute cholecystitis | Acute biliary pancreatitis | Acute cholangitis |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
|         | (all outcomes adjusted for age and sex) | (all outcomes adjusted for age and sex) | (all outcomes adjusted for age and sex) | (all outcomes adjusted for age and sex) |
| Average annual rate | | | | |
| 1988–1991 | 127.8 (125.9–129.7) | 108.5 (106.7–110.4) | 12.8 (12.1–13.5) | 6.4 (6.0–6.9) |
| 1992–2000 | 114.2 (112.9–115.3) | 88.1 (86.9–89.3) | 18.9 (18.3–19.5) | 7.2 (6.9–7.6) |
| Change in rate† | | | | |
| 1988–1991 | 0.90 (0.88–0.91)§ | 0.82 (0.80–0.84)§ | 1.47 (1.40–1.54)§ | 1.13 (1.04–1.23)¶ |
| Average trend | | | | |
| 1988–1991‡ | 1.011 (1.000–1.023) | 0.988 (0.974–1.001) | 1.202 (1.156–1.249)§ | 1.060 (1.025–1.097)§ |
| 1992–2000‡ | 0.992 (0.987–0.996)§ | 0.991 (0.987–0.996)§ | 0.996 (0.985–1.006) | 0.983 (0.969–0.996)¶ |

* Rates and rate ratios are adjusted for age and sex and were estimated using overdispersed binary regression models.
† Change in average rate for 1992–2000 as compared with average rate for 1988–1991.
‡ Average proportional change in annual rate.
§ $p < 0.001$.
¶ $p < 0.01$. 

---

**Fig. 2: Rates of acute cholecystitis, acute diverticulitis, acute biliary pancreatitis and acute cholangitis per 100 000 population in Ontario from 1988 to 2000.** Broken lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.
We found that an increased rate of elective cholecystectomy had a large and immediate effect on the incidence of acute cholecystitis, but not on the incidence of biliary pancreatitis or cholangitis. Patients in whom severe biliary complications develop after presenting with uncomplicated biliary colic are much more likely to have acute cholecystitis as a complication than acute biliary pancreatitis or cholangitis. Therefore, it is plausible that a higher rate of cholecystectomy among people with biliary colic would result in a lower incidence of acute cholecystitis. Without cholecystectomy, nearly 50% of patients with biliary colic experience escalation of their symptoms, and 8% experience severe acute cholecystitis. In contrast, biliary pancreatitis and cholangitis are caused by the migration of small stones or biliary sludge from the gallbladder into the bile duct. Cholecystectomy in people with symptomatic gallstones appears to have an immediate benefit in preventing the escalation of milder disease to acute cholecystitis.

We believe that our results support a cause–effect relation for several reasons. The association between increased use of elective cholecystectomy and reductions in the incidence of gallstone complications is biologically plausible. The sudden reduction in the rates of gallstone complications cannot be explained on the basis of secular trends in the incidence of these diseases, other interventions or the use of hospital care for acute abdominal diseases (e.g., acute diverticulitis) during this period. Our findings cannot be explained by a tendency to code acute cholecystitis as biliary colic more frequently after 1991, since the principal results were unchanged after we included emergency hospital admissions because of biliary colic as cases of acute cholecystitis. Also unlike as an explanation for this decrease is a sudden reduction in the likelihood of physicians admitting patients to hospital who have acute cholecystitis. The rate of hospital admission because of acute diverticulitis, which for mild disease is similar to acute cholecystitis in terms of a physician’s discretion to admit a patient to hospital, did not change abruptly after 1991. Finally, error in the definitions of biliary pancreatitis and cholangitis are unlikely to have affected our findings, since the results were essentially unchanged after we repeated the analyses using less restrictive definitions for these diseases.

We did not assess the potential adverse consequences of the increased rate of elective cholecystectomy, such as cholecystectomy-related complications and mortality. Early reports suggested that the risk of serious injury to the bile duct increased immediately following the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy, presumably because of the “learning curve” for adopting a new procedure. Although formal residency training in laparoscopic cholecystectomy has probably reduced the incidence of bile-duct injuries related to inexperience, laparoscopic cholecystectomy may pose a higher risk of bile-duct injury than conventional surgery. Because of the reduction in the procedure-specific mortality of cholecystectomy associated with laparoscopic surgery, an overall increase in cholecystectomy-related mortality is unlikely.

How can a reduction in the rate of severe gallstone disease be reconciled with the cost in terms of a higher number of elective cholecystectomies? Based on our data, we estimate that the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy led to an additional 59.5 elective cholecystectomies and 13.6 fewer hospital admissions because of severe gallbladder disease per 100,000 population per year between 1992 and 2000. Therefore, about 4–5 additional elective cholecystectomies were performed for every case of severe gallstone disease that was potentially prevented. This may represent a favourable trade-off, since cholecystectomy for severe gallstone disease is associated with a higher cost and risk of operative death than is elective cholecystectomy.

In conclusion, the increase in the rate of elective cholecystectomy that occurred following the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 1991 was associated with an overall reduction in the incidence of severe gallstone disease that was entirely attributable to a reduction in the incidence of acute cholecystitis. Our findings suggest that a higher rate of elective cholecystectomy may have a net benefit to the health of Canadians with gallbladder disease.
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