The Emerging Environmental and Public Health Problem of Electronic Waste in India
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Introduction

India has observed monumental progress in information and communication technology, leading to a tremendous increase in use of electronic equipment, especially computers and mobile phones. The expansion of production and consumption of electronic equipment along with its shorter life span has led to the generation of tremendous amounts of electronic waste (e-waste). In addition, there is a high level of trans-boundary movement of these devices as second-hand electronic equipment from developed countries, in the name of bridging the digital gap.

Objectives. This paper reviews e-waste produced in India, its sources, composition, current management practices and their environmental and health implications. Fixing responsibility for waste disposal on producers, establishment of formal recycling facilities, and strict enforcement of legislation on e-waste are some of the options to address this rapidly growing problem.

Discussion. The exponential growth in production and consumption of electronic equipment has resulted in a surge of e-waste generation. Many electronic items contain hazardous substances including lead, mercury and cadmium. Informal recycling or disposing of such items pose serious threat to human health and the environment.

Conclusions. Strict enforcement of waste disposal laws are needed along with the implementation of health assessment studies to mitigate inappropriate management of end-of-life electronic wastes in developing countries.
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facilities, and strict enforcement of legislation on e-waste are some of the options to address this rapidly growing problem.

**Discussion**

**Sources and Composition of E-waste in India**

Quantum of E-waste and Major Contributors

Since the early 1990s, with the opening up of Indian markets to multinational companies due to globalization, the information technology industry has been witnessing a surge in the substitution of domestically produced hardware by imports. Consequently, e-waste generation in India is likely to increase nearly three-fold, making India the 5th largest producer of e-waste. According to this study, the Indian e-waste stream consists of computer equipment (about 70%) followed by telecommunication equipment (12%), electrical equipment (8%), medical equipment (7%) and household sector waste (remaining percent). According to an Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India c-Kinetics study, India generates 1.85 million tons of e-waste every year. It accounts for 4% of global e-waste and 2.5% of global gross domestic product. India has emerged as the world’s second largest mobile phone market with 1.03 billion subscribers. Nearly 25% of this ends up as e-waste annually. Generally, post-usage handling practices such as collection, separation, disassembling and recycling are performed manually in India. Approximately 95% of generated e-waste is managed by the unorganized sector and scrap dealers who dismantle the discarded products instead of recycling them. Only 5% of India’s total e-waste finds its way to formal recycling units due to India’s poor infrastructure and recycling framework. This leads to a waste of natural resources and damage to the environment and health of the people engaged in these activities. In India, about 400,000 to 500,000 child laborers between 10-15 years of age are involved in various e-waste activities. According to one study, by the year 2020, the amount of e-waste from old computers and discarded mobile phones is expected to increase 18 times compared to the year 2007.

By sector, 71% of e-waste is generated by the government, public and private (industrial) sector, while individual households contribute about 16%. As per reports, the amount of e-waste generated differs by state in India. Among the 10 largest e-waste generating states, Maharashtra tops the list followed by Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Delhi, Karnataka, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and Punjab. Similarly, there is a huge variation in e-waste generation in terms of cities, as only 65 cities of India generate more than 60% of total e-waste. Among the top ten cities generating e-waste, Mumbai ranks first, followed by Delhi, Bengaluru, Chennai, Kolkata, Ahmedabad, Hyderabad, Pune, Surat and Nagpur.

E-waste Composition

The e-waste stream consists of more than 1000 different substances that fall into “hazardous” and “non-hazardous” categories. Broadly, it consists of ferrous (50%) and non-ferrous metals (13%), plastics (21%) and miscellaneous wastes. The hazardous elements category includes lead,
Current Status of E-waste Management

E-waste Recycling Practices

The recycling of e-waste is a matter of huge concern. Only about 10% of e-waste is being recycled globally. India's capital alone produces about 67,000 metric tons of e-waste per year. The majority of this e-waste is being handled by untrained workers in slum areas without any personal protective equipment, posing a hazard to their health. The absence and/or poor implementation of legislation in India regarding the safe disposal of e-waste results in its unorganized collection, material segregation and extraction. Workers are likely to be exposed to varied levels of harmful elements released during different recycling operations via skin contact, ingestion or inhalation. Dismantling and sorting of one computer piece in the unorganized sector results in a very small return for workers, and exposes them to toxic fumes and hazardous chemicals.

These "backyard recyclers" lack exhaust-waste gas and wastewater treatment facilities and personal health protection equipment or safety devices. Workers engaged in formal recycling units are at a lower risk compared to workers in informal recycling units where environmental and occupational safety are neglected. According to one study, approximately 2/3 of these workers in India experienced breathing difficulties such as cough and choking. Higher concentrations of heavy metals like cobalt, chromium, lead and mercury have been reported in blood and urine samples of recycling workers engaged in formal recycling units as compared to the office workers in Sweden. High levels of copper, molybdenum, silver, cadmium, indium, antimony, thallium, and lead were observed in hair of male workers from e-waste recycling sites as compared to the reference workers in

| E-waste component | Environmental hazards |
|-------------------|-----------------------|
| CRTs (used in TVs, computer monitors, etc.) | Cadmium, lead, barium, nickel leaching into the ground water |
| PCB (a thin plate on which chips and other electronic components are placed), switches | Atmospheric release and discharge into rivers of tin, lead, brominated dioxin, cadmium and mercury due to desoldering/open burning |
| Plastics from printers, keyboards, monitors, etc., housing | Emissions of halogenated compounds, heavy metals, flame retardants |
| Computer wires/cables | Hydrocarbon ashes released into air, water and soil (open burning) |
| Batteries | Cadmium, lead, lithium, mercury, nickel, etc. depending upon the type of batteries |
| Solder (circuit boards, monitors) | Lead being released in the environment (desoldering) |

Table 1 — Different E-waste Components and Potential Environmental Hazards

Import of E-waste

The import of e-waste is one of the major sources of e-waste in India. In developed countries, it is expensive to recycle discarded electronics. Thus, discarded electronic items (with shorter life spans) are exported to developing nations as charity or scrap in the name of bridging the digital gap with the developing world. Breivik et al. reported that 23% of e-waste was sent to non-OECD (Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development) countries by OECD countries in the year 2005. Therefore, countries like India, China and Pakistan experience the problems of e-waste not only from the domestic market, but also from unlawful imports from developed countries. Factors like the presence of cheap labor and absence of import regulations have supported the import of e-waste. However, it is illegal to import e-waste because India is a signatory to the Basel Convention for Trans-boundary Movement of Hazardous Substances. Used electronic products are being imported in a concealed manner or as reusable goods to be donated to educational institutions, making it difficult to estimate the quantities of e-waste imported into India. The goods are imported from countries which are not signatories to the convention, like the USA. Based on secondary sources, it is estimated that around 50,000 metric tons of e-waste is being imported into India every year. The Indian market represents a larger market than most high income countries. Indirect sources such as the uncontrolled import of obsolete e-products and improper recycling practices have led to the release of hazardous chemicals like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in these countries, in spite of the limited usage of these chemicals in the past.
Bangalore and Chennai in India.26

Waste components that do not have any resale or reuse value are openly burnt or disposed of in open dumps.27 The situation is worsened due to the widespread nature of these recycling units. High concentrations of heavy metals in ground water, soil and plant samples have been found from Mandoli (Delhi), which harbors e-waste recycling units.28 High concentrations of PCBs in the metropolitan cities of India have been linked to proliferation of informal e-waste recycling units.29 In a study conducted in seven major Indian cities, maximum concentrations of PCBs were observed at two urban sites in Chennai city. The sites are situated close to the port (favoring e-waste import) and have informal e-waste processing units.30 Similarly, higher concentrations of PCBs have been reported in the soil at various locations in China and Pakistan.31,32

The owners running these small enterprises employ cheap and readily available labor. The labor force in the recycling sector has a low literacy rate and has very little awareness of the hazards of e-waste. Involvement of women and children in various recycling activities further aggravates the problem.37 Recycling and treatment facilities require a high initial investment and exceed recovered revenue, particularly those fitted with technologically advanced equipment and processes. However, e-waste recycling can be turned into a profitable venture if managed properly.33

Hence, there is an urgent need for an efficient and inexpensive recycling system to extract valuable materials with negligible environmental impacts. The recovered valuable materials can be used for refurbished electronic devices, saving manufacturing costs and providing employment opportunities.34 Torihara et al. have proposed the concept of a remote recycling system utilizing teleoperation technologies for small e-waste management units in Japan to save time and costs.35

Current Legislation in India

Many studies have revealed the danger posed by e-waste, its toxicity, carcinogenicity and other characteristics harmful to human health and the environment. This awareness has been the basis of global action leading to the tightening of laws and regulations. The Hazardous Wastes (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 regulate the export/import trade or trans-boundary movements of hazardous wastes, including e-waste.36

According to these rules, the import of hazardous wastes for disposal is not permitted. However, import of waste was permitted for reuse, recycling or reprocessing.36,37 This was followed by E-waste (Management & Handling Rules), 2011 which came into force in May 2012 with the clear objective of safeguarding the environment and promoting safe and efficient recycling of e-waste in India.38 The legislation brought e-waste collection, dismantling, recycling and disposal within the purview of the regulatory authorities with a clear set of responsibilities for all the stakeholders in the value chain. E-waste (Management) Rules, 2016, have recently been updated by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India vide Gazette Notification number G.S.R. 472(E), dated 23rd March, 2016.39

E-waste rules now include compact fluorescent lamps and other mercury containing lamps. The rules, for the first time, bring producers under extended producer responsibility. Producers were made responsible for the collection and exchange/hand over to authorized recyclers for disposal of e-waste in an eco-friendly manner. Penalties for the violation of these rules was also been introduced. After the new e-waste rules of 2016, producers have been made responsible for the collection of e-waste by authorized recyclers for disposal.39 As per the current data, approximately 178 recycling companies in operation are registered with the Central Pollution Control Board.40

With more emphasis on life-cycle accountability of products as per government rules, manufacturers now own a continued responsibility for their products after sale until final disposal (cradle-to-grave). This puts extra pressure on manufacturers to create take-back provisions and on designers to design recyclable and reusable materials. Designers and manufacturers therefore need to minimize the use of hazardous substances.41

Environmental and Health Implications of E-waste

Many electronic items such as computers and mobile phones contain hazardous substances like lead, mercury and cadmium. Recycling or disposing of such items can pose serious threats to human health and the environment.42 When e-waste is disposed into landfills, these toxins can be released into the atmosphere or the surrounding land and lead to adverse environmental effects.

Populations are increasingly being exposed to hazardous chemicals. These hazardous chemicals are released during product manufacture and disposal. Some of these chemicals like heavy metals are toxic even in small amounts and can bioaccumulate in organisms. Some of these chemicals have the tendency of biomagnification,
with their concentrations increasing at each trophic level along the food chain. Chemicals of high concern are known as persistent, bio-accumulative and toxic (PBTs) or persistent organic pollutants (POPs) that include organochlorine pesticides such as DDT (dichloro diphenyl trichloroethane), dioxins and furans. Improper handling and disposal of e-waste release PBTs and POPs can reach people thousands of miles away. Even small amounts of these extremely dangerous toxins can adversely affect human health. Potential health risks may result from direct contact with hazardous substances such as cadmium, lead, chromium, PCBs, as well as from other contaminated sources like water and soil.

Children are more vulnerable to the health risks of e-waste exposure and, therefore, need more protection. As they are still growing, children’s intake of air, water and food in proportion to their weight is considerably increased compared to adults, resulting in greater risk of hazardous chemical absorption. In addition, since children’s functional systems such as the central nervous, immune, reproductive and digestive system are still developing, exposure to toxic substances may be more harmful.

Table 2 presents the harmful chemicals found in E-waste, their source and health effects on the human body.

**Conclusions**

India now joins the European Union, Japan, South Korea and some regions in the US and Canada who have all enacted legislation on extended producer responsibility to ensure that manufacturers are responsible for the re-use and recycling of their products at their end-of-life. This development clearly suggests a shift in e-waste flow from informal to formal recyclers and growth of a new clean e-waste management system in India. In addition, the government should further strengthen the following areas:

I. Assessment of e-waste: Proper assessment of e-waste (along with the imports), its types and associated health hazards should be carried out.

II. Encourage product re-design by asking electronic manufacturers to:

   a) Commit to product eco-design and target hazardous chemicals: Product designers should design products in such a way that they use no/negligible hazardous chemicals and can be easily disassembled into parts that can be reused, recycled or composted.

   b) Develop a corporate chemical policy: Policies should include the precautionary principle and an ongoing commitment to continually improve products with the safest chemicals and materials.

   c) Demand accountability throughout the supply chain: Requires suppliers to disclose the chemicals used in all components.

III. Carry out health risk assessment studies: Health risk assessment studies are required for understanding the consequences of inappropriate management of end-of-life electronic wastes in developing countries.

IV. Increase consumer awareness: Initiatives to make consumers aware of ‘post-life’ handling of obsolete devices including take-back policies implemented by authorities.
V. Training of workers: Training of workers involved in e-waste handling operations to avoid occupational health hazards is a prerequisite for safe e-waste management.

References

1. Nnorom IC, Ohakwe J, Osibanjo O. Survey of willingness of residents to participate in electronic waste recycling in Nigeria – a case study of mobile phone recycling. J Clean Prod [Internet]. 2009 Dec (cited 2017 Jul 29);17(18):1629-37. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652609002534 Subscription required to view.

2. Kang H-Y, Schoenung JM. Used consumer electronics: a comparative analysis of material recycling technologies [Internet]. IEEE International Symposium on Electronics and the Environment-ment; 2004 May 10-13; Scottsdale, AZ. New York: IEEE; 2004 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. p. 266-30. Available from: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1299720/ Subscription required to view.

3. Musson SE, Jang Y-C, Townsend TG, Chung I-H. Characterization of lead leachability from cathode ray tubes using the toxicity characterization leaching procedure. Environ Sci Technol [In-ternet]. 2000 [cited 2017 Jul 29];34(20):4376-81. Available from: http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es0009020 Subscription required to view.

4. Yla-Mella Y, Pongracz E, Keirdi RL. Recovery of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) in Finland [Internet]. Proceedings of the Waste Minimization and Resources Use Opti-mization Conference; 2005 Jun 10; Oulu, Finland. Oulu, Finland: Oulu University Press; 2004 [cited 2017 Jun 29]. p. 83-92. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/22890015_Recovery_of_waste_electrical_and_electronic_equipment_WEEE_in_Finland

5. Kumar V, Bee DJ, Shirodkar PS, Tumkor S, Bettig BP, Sutherland JW. Towards sustainable product and material flow cycles: identifying barriers to achieving product multi-use and zero waste [Internet]. ASME 2005 International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition; Orlando, FL; 2005 Nov 5-11. New York: The American Society of Mechanical Engineers; 2005 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. p. 433-42. Available from: http://proceedings.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/proceeding.aspx?articleid=1581618 Subscription required to view.

6. India’s e-waste growing at 30% per annum: ASSOCHAM -Kineti -ks study [Internet]. New Delhi, India: ASSOCHAM India; 2016 Jun 3 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. [about 2 screens]. Available from: http://www.assocham.org/newsdetail.php?id=5725

7. India’s e-waste problem: the new rules will hopefully do better. Business Standard [Internet]. 2016 Mar 30 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. Available from: http://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/india-s-e-waste-problem-116033001137.html Subscription required to view.

8. Wath SB, Dutt PS, Chakrabarti T. E-waste scenario in India, its management and implications. Environ Monit Assess [Internet]. 2011 Jan [cited 2017 Jul 29];172(1-4):249-62. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10661-010-1331-9 Subscription required to view.

9. Senophiyah MJ, Meenambal T. Identification of e-waste and developing a policy-bulk consumer perspective. Procedia Environ Sci [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jul 29];35:643-55. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1878029616301475

10. Young T. E-waste a growing problem for China and India [Internet]. London: Computing Research; 2010 Feb 22 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. Available from: http://www.computing.co.uk/cjg/news/1819323/e-waste-growing-china-indiaSubscription required to view.

11. 76% of e-waste workers suffer from respiratory ailments: Assoscham study [Internet]. 2015 June 3 [cited 2017 August 60]. Available from: http://www.thehindubusinessline.com/info-tech/76-of-e-waste-workers-suffer-from-respiratory-ailments-associam/article2728123.ece

12. Jog S. Ten states contribute 70% of e-waste generated in India. The Financial Express [Internet]. 2008 Mar 12 [cited 2017 Jun 20]. [about 5 screens] Available from: http://www.financialexpress.com/article7278213.ece

13. India to sit on e-waste pile of 30 lakhs MT with Mumbai on top of the heap: ASSOCHAM-Frost & Sullivan study [Internet]. New Delhi, India: ASSOCHAM India; 2016 Apr 21 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. [about 4 screens]. Available from: http://www.assocham.org/newsdetail.php?id=5642

14. Anna Malai J. Occupational health hazards relate to informal recycling of e-waste in India: an overview. Indian J Occup Environ Med [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Jul 29];19(1):61-5. Available from: http://www.ijoem. com/article.asp?issn=0019-5278;year=2015;volume=19;issue=1;page=61;e-page=65;aulast=Anna Malai

15. Breivik K, Armitage JM, Wania F, Jones KC. Tracking the global generation and exports of e-waste. Do existing estimates add up? Environ Sci Technol [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Jul 29];48(15):8735-43. Available from: http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es5031313 Subscription required to view.

16. Chakraborthy P, Selvaraj S, Nakamura M, Prithiviraj B, Ko S, Loganathan BG. E-waste and associated environmental contamination in the Asia/Pacific region (Part 1): an overview. In: ACS Symposium Series [Internet]. Vol. 1243. Washington D.C.: American Chemical Society; 2016 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. p. 127-38. Available from: http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/bk-2016-1243.ch106 Subscription required to view.

17. Borthakur A. Policy implications of e-waste in India: a review. Int J Waste Manage [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jul 29];17(3/4):301-17. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/306032875_Policy_implications_of_e-waste_in_India_A_review

18. Khattar V, Kaur J, Chaturvedi A, Arora R. E-waste assessment in India: specific focus on Delhi [Internet]. Andhra Pradesh, India: GTZ-ASEM; 2007 Nov [cited 2017 Jul 29]. 66 p. Available from: http://www.mswai.com/mswaiadmin/Pdfs/insertPdf/i_2015/i_Nov15/e-waste%20Assessment%20in%20India%20-%20Specific%20Focus%20on%20Delhi.pdf

19. Sinha-Khetriwal D, Krauschi P, Schwaninger M. A comparison of electronic waste recycling in Switzerland and in India. Environ Impact Assess [Internet]. 2005 Jul [cited 2017 Jul 29];25(3):492-504. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195925505005217?via%3Dihub Subscription required to view.

20. Breivik K, Gioia R, Chakraborthy P, Zhang G, Jones KC. Are reductions in industrial organic con-taminants emissions in rich countries achieved partly by export of toxic wastes? Environ Sci Technol [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2017 Jul 29];45(21):9154-60. Available from: http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es203232e Subscription required to view.

21. Watson A, Bridgen K, Shinn M, Cobb ing M. Toxic transformers: a review of the hazards of brominated and chlorinated substances in electrical and electronic equipment [Internet]. Exeter, England: Greenpeace Research Laboratories; 2010 Jan [cited 2017 Jul 29]. 48 p. Available from: http://www.greenpeace.org/publishations/Toxic-Transformers-2010.pdf
22. Over 4.5 lakhs child labours engaged in various e-waste activities in India: ASSOCHAM study; India’s capital produces 67,000 MT of electronic waste a year [Internet]. New Delhi, India: As-socham India; 2014 Apr 21 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. Available from: http://assocham.org/newsdetail.php?id=4476

23. Grant K, Goldizen FC, Sly PD, Brune M-N, Neira M, Berg MVD, Norman RE. Health consequences of exposure to e-waste: a systematic review. Lancet Glob Health [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2017 Jul 29];116:350-61. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214109X13701013

24. Julander A, Lundgren I, Skare I, Grander M, Palm B, Vahter M, Lidén C. Formal recycling of e-waste leads to increased exposure to toxic metals: an occupational exposure study from Sweden. Environ Int [Internet]. 2014 Dec [cited 2017 Jul 29];73:243-51. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0269749114009178

25. Perkins DN, Drisie M-NB, Nxele T, Sly PD. E-waste: a global hazard. Ann Glob Health [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Jul 29];80(4):286-95. Available from: http://www.annalsoflongitudinalhealth.org/article/S0269-7491(14)00320-8/pdf

26. Ha NN, Agusa T, Ramu K, Tu NP, Murata S, Bulbule KA, et al. Contamination by trace elements at e-waste recycling sites in Bangalore, India. Chemosphere 2009 [cited 2017 Jul 6];76(1):9-15. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653509002586

27. Kurian J. Electronic waste management in India: issues and strategies [Internet]. Eleventh Inter-national Waste Management and Landfill Symposium; 2007 Oct 1-5; Sardinia, Italy. Sardinia, B-aly: Environmental Sanitary Engineering Centre (CISA); 2007 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. 9 p. Available from: http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/apcity/unpan037140.pdf

28. Pradhan JK, Kumar S. Informal e-waste recycling: environmental risk assessment of heavy metal contamination in Mandoli industrial area, Delhi, India. Environ Sci Pol Res [Internet]. 2014 [cit-ed 2017 Jul 29];21(13):7913-28. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11356-014-2713-2 Subscription required to view.

29. Chakraborty P, Zhang G, Eckhardt S, Li J, Breivik K, Lam PKS, Tanabe S, Jones KC. Atmospheric polychlorinated biphenyls in Indian cities: levels, emission sources and toxicity equivalents. Environ Pollut [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2017 Jul 29];182:283-90. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255975120_Athmospheric_polychlorinated_biphenyls_in_Indian_cities_Levels_emission_sources_and_toxicity_equivalents

30. Chakraborty P, Zhang G, Li J, Selvaraj S, Breivik K, Jones KC. Soil Concentrations, occurrence, sources and estimation of air-soil exchange of polychlorinated biphenyls in Indian cities. Sci Total Environ [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2017 Jul 29];562:928-34. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301745264_Soil_concentrations_occurrence_sources_and_estimation_of_air-soil_exchange_of_polychlorinated_biphenyls_in_Indian_cities

31. Wang T, Luo CI, Li J, Yin H, Li XD, Zhang G. Characterization and risk assessment of poly-chlorinated biphenyls in soils and vegetables near an electronic waste recycling site, South China. Chemosphere [Internet]. 2011 Oct [cited 2017 Jul 29];85(3):344-50. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0045653510007545via%3Dihub Subscription required to view.

32. Syed JH, Malik RN, Li J, Zhang G, Jones KC. Levels, distribution and air-soil exchange fluxes of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in the environment of Punjab Province, Pakistan. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf [Internet]. 2013 Nov 1 [cited 2017 Jul 29];97:189-95. Available from: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/255714541_Levels_distribution_and_air-soil_exchange_fluxes_of_polychlorinated_biphenyls PCBs_in_theEnvironment_of_PunjabProvince_Pakistan

33. Jain S, Garg KM. Managing e-waste in India: adoption of need based solutions. J Internet Bank Commor [Internet]. 2011 Dec [cited 2017 Jul 29];16(3):1-11. Available from: http://www.commercecentral.com/open-access/managing-e-waste-in-india-adoptions-of-need-based-solutions.pdf?aid=38273

34. Ishq B, Breivik K, Syed JH, Malik RN, Li J, Zhang G, Jones KC. Emerging issue of e-waste in Pakistan: a review of status, research needs and data gaps. Environ Pollut [Internet]. 2015 Dec [cited 2017 Jul 29];207:308-18. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S026974911530049X Subscription required to view.

35. Torihara K, Kitajima T, Mishima N. Design of a proper recycling process for small-sized e-waste. Procedia CIRP [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2017 Jul 29];26:746-51. Available from: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212827141009019

36. Ministry of Environment and Forests Notification [Internet]. 2011 May 12 [cited 2017 Aug 06]. Available from: http://www.enfor.nic.in/downloads/rules-and-regulations/1035_eng.pdf

37. Government of India, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change [Internet]. 2016 March 23 [cited 2017 Aug 08]. Available from: http://www.moef. govt.in/sites/default/files/EWM%20Rules%202016%20 eng/2016-03-23.pdf

38. List of Registered E-Waste Dismanlers/Recyclers in the country (as on 29-12-2016) [Internet]. [cited 2017 Aug 08]. Available from: http://www.cpcb.nic.in/List_of_E-waste_Recycler_as_on_29-12-2016.pdf

39. Pathway to product stewardship: life-cycle design as a business decision support tool [Internet]. Washington, D.C.: United States Environmental Protection Agency; 1997 Dec [cited 2017 Jun 15]. 76 p. Available from: https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/20001130.PDF

40. Brönden K, Labunski I, Santillo D, Allopp M. Recycling of electronic wastes in China and India: workplace and environmental contamination [Internet]. Vancouver, Canada: Greenpeace; 2005 [cited 2017 Jul 29]. 12 p. Available from: http://www.greenpeace.org/international/PageFiles/25134/recycling-of-electronic-waste.pdf

41. Pinto VN. E-waste hazard: The impending challenge. Indian J Occup Environ Med [Internet]. 2008 Aug [cited 2017 Aug 08];12(2):65-70. doi: 10.4103/0019-455X.45526

42. Electronic waste [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2013 [cited 2017 Jul 7]. [about 3 screens]. Available from: http://www.who.int/ceh/risk/ewaste/en/assessment-guidance-superfund-rags-volume-iii-part