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A survey of a group of doctors and nurses specialising in the care of the elderly demonstrated a wide range of opinions on the usefulness of major tranquillisers for controlling behavioural disturbance in dementia. However, there was 'broad agreement' among medical and nursing respondents that these drugs were unlikely to be helpful in controlling non-violent resistiveness and sexual inappropriateness. The nurses indicated less 'faith' in neuroleptic therapy than their medical counterparts. The importance of auditing the use of major tranquillisers in dementia is emphasised.

The use of major tranquillisers to control behavioural problems in dementia has been a source of controversy for three decades. Although few clinicians would doubt the usefulness of these drugs for the urgent calming of severely disturbed patients with dementia, their long-term, regular use has been criticised on several grounds:

(a) The paucity of research evidence demonstrating their efficacy in controlling specific behavioural features of dementia. A meta-analysis of randomised, controlled clinical trials of neuroleptics in dementia suggested an effect size in reducing 'agitation' of only 18% for the active agents (Schneider, 1990).
(b) The proven risk of producing unwanted effects both immediate and 'tardive' (Mulsant & Gershon, 1993).
(c) The high prevalence of neuroleptic prescribing in long-stay nursing establishments for the elderly has been viewed as excessive and poorly monitored (Nygaa et al, 1994; Avorn & Gurwitz, 1995).

In the USA, these concerns have led to federal legislation enforcing guidelines for the prescription of major tranquillisers in Medicaid funded nursing homes. No such measures are afoot in the UK, where good practice relies on the judgement of doctors and nurses working within long-stay care facilities. This study aims to assess and compare between professional groups the expectations towards neuroleptic response in the behavioural problems of dementia sufferers.

The study

A questionnaire was sent to qualified nurses on all long-stay, National Health Service (NHS) psychogeriatric units and private nursing homes for the elderly mentally ill with at least some NHS-funded beds, within the Nottingham Health District. Medical respondents were sought from current psychogeriatric and geriatric staff in the same area, who were consultants, associate specialists, staff grades, clinical assistants or specialist registrars. The questionnaire comprised the following vignette:

"An 80-year-old man with an established diagnosis of severe Alzheimer dementia, but no previous psychiatric history, has until the last three months been settled in a nursing home. He has gradually developed behavioural disturbance that has proved resistant to simple non-drug measures. Medical examination has revealed no evidence of depression or physical illness."

Respondents were asked to assess the likelihood of whether the regular administration of major tranquillisers would help to reduce one of a list of behavioural problems (predictable physical aggression, unpredictable physical aggression, purposeless overactivity, wandering without overactivity, non-violent resistiveness, persistent shouting for no apparent reason, non-violent sexual inappropriateness, paranoid thinking such as repeated allegations of theft and shouting out at hallucinations) without unacceptable side-effects and to register their judgements on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 'very unlikely to be helpful' to 'very likely to be helpful'. For each respondent these scores were summed to derive a total 'faith in neuroleptics' score; written comments were encouraged. Basic professional details were requested, including an estimate of the respondent's duration of experience working with the elderly.

The Mann-Whitney test was used for the comparison of numerical data and the binomial test on proportions was used for dichotomised data.
**Findings**

**Characteristics of respondents**

Seventy questionnaires were sent to nursing staff in four NHS wards and two large nursing homes. Thirty-one replies were received, a response rate of 42%. Twenty-seven of these respondents were registered or enrolled mental nurses, including seven who were qualified in both general and psychiatric nursing; four were qualified solely in general nursing. Duration of experience in health care of the elderly ranged from one to 34 years (median 10). Thirty-eight questionnaires were sent to medical staff of whom 30 replied (response rate 79%). Thirteen psychogeriatricians (4 consultants, one staff grade, 2 clinical assistants and 6 senior registrars) and 17 geriatricians (11 consultants, one associate specialist, one staff grade and 4 specialist registrars) responded. Duration of experience in health care of the elderly ranged from 6 months to 31 years (median 7 years).

Median values of the ratings of the likelihood of a helpful neuroleptic response for each behavioural problem are presented, broken down by professional group of the respondent, in Table 1. Despite the use of an even-numbered scale to deter a response bias to the centre, most median ratings are at the middle of the scale (2 or 4). The highest median ratings (5) were given by the psychiatrists and geriatricians respectively for hallucinations and by the geriatricians for paranoid thinking. The lowest median ratings (2) were provided by the nurses and geriatricians respectively for non-violent resistiveness and non-violent sexual inappropriateness, within both the nursing and medical groups. The medical group demonstrated a 'positive view' in favour of neuroleptics for the treatment of hallucinations and paranoid thinking.

**Degree of consensus**

In order to derive a measure of the degree of 'agreement' on the likelihood of a neuroleptic response, ratings were dichotomised into 'broadly unfavourable opinion' (1–3) and 'broadly favourable opinion' (4–6) (Table 2). For each professional group (data from the psychiatrists and geriatricians were united so that numbers were comparable to that in the nursing group) and each behavioural problem, these categories were analysed statistically, using a test on proportions: if the proportion of respondents registering a 'broadly unfavourable opinion' was significantly (P<0.05) greater than 50%, a 'negative view' was deemed to hold, conversely for a 'positive view' and if there was no significant deviation from 50%, an 'equivocal view' was deemed to hold. Interestingly, no 'positive views' towards the use of neuroleptics existed among the nursing respondents for any of the behaviour problems in the questionnaire but a 'negative view' was taken towards their use for non-violent resistiveness and non-violent sexual inappropriateness, within both the nursing and medical groups. The medical group demonstrated a 'positive view' in favour of neuroleptics for the treatment of hallucinations and paranoid thinking.

**Faith in neuroleptics**

Total scores were not significantly different between the psychiatrists and geriatricians (medians 33 and 31 respectively, z=1.35, P>0.1) but medical respondents were more likely to register higher 'faith in neuroleptic' scores than their nursing counterparts (medians 32 and 29 respectively, z=2.89, P<0.01). There was a greater variation of total scores among the nurses compared to the doctors (mean absolute deviations from the median total score of 5.9 and 3.9 respectively).

**Table 1. Median ratings (range in brackets) of likelihood of neuroleptic helpful response for each indication**

| Behaviour                  | Psychiatrists | Geriatricians | Nurses    |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Predictable aggression     | 4 (2–5)       | 4 (1–6)       | 4 (1–5)   |
| Unpredictable aggression   | 4 (2–6)       | 3 (3–6)       | 4 (1–6)   |
| Purposeless overactivity   | 4 (1–5)       | 4 (2–6)       | 3 (1–6)   |
| Wandering                  | 3 (1–6)       | 3 (1–6)       | 3 (1–6)   |
| Non-violent resistiveness  | 3 (2–5)       | 2 (1–4)       | 2 (1–5)   |
| Shouting                   | 4 (2–5)       | 4 (1–6)       | 3 (1–5)   |
| Non-violent sexual         | 3 (1–4)       | 3 (1–4)       | 2 (1–4)   |
| Inappropriateness          | 4 (2–6)       | 5 (1–5)       | 4 (1–6)   |
| Paranoid thinking          | 4 (2–5)       | 5 (1–5)       | 4 (1–6)   |
| Hallucinations             | 5 (4–6)       | 5 (3–6)       | 4 (1–6)   |

**Table 2. Numbers of respondents with an 'unfavourable view' of neuroleptics for each indication**

| Behaviour                  | Doctors | Nurses |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|
| n=30                       |         |        |
| Predictable aggression     | 12      | 15     |
| Unpredictable aggression   | 11      | 15     |
| Purposeless overactivity   | 10      | 18     |
| Wandering                  | 18      | 19     |
| Non-violent resistiveness  | 23      | 23     |
| Shouting                   | 14      | 19     |
| Non-violent sexual         | 22      | 27     |
| Inappropriateness          |         |        |
| Paranoid thinking          | 7       | 14     |
| Hallucinations             | 3       | 11     |
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Comment

Only one previous study has used a similar approach to examine the opinions of health care professionals working with the elderly. Among a group of senior American geriatricians, attempts to derive a consensus on the use of neuroleptics for non-psychotic behaviour disturbance in dementia failed (Beers et al. 1991).

The results demonstrate a variety of opinions on the likelihood of a neuroleptic response for the behaviour problems described, both within and between professional groups. The variation in ratings within the nursing group was particularly large. However, on a broad measure of agreement, a 'negative view' prevailed among both doctors and nurses towards the helpfulness of neuroleptics in some challenging, but non-violent behaviours. It may be that the risk of side-effects in these circumstances is thought likely to outweigh any benefits to the patient, fellow patients or carers.

By analogy with the functional psychoses, the psychotic symptoms of dementia have been characterised, in the medical literature, as being responsive to major tranquillisers although there is little evidence from placebo-controlled trials to confirm this impression (Mulsant & Gershon, 1993). The medical staff had a more sanguine view of the role of neuroleptics than the nursing respondents, even for paranoid symptoms that could be interpreted as driven primarily by memory impairment. As measured by comparison of total scores, the nursing group indicated less ‘faith’ in neuroleptic therapy for dementia than their medical counterparts. The lower response rate and larger variation in scores among the nurses must be considered when interpreting this result, which is counter-intuitive to the experience of many doctors working with the elderly, who often gain the impression that nurses demonstrate excessive ‘faith’ in the usefulness of major tranquillisers for disturbed patients with dementia.

Comments by nursing staff highlighted the importance of a psychosocial approach towards managing the behavioural features of dementia, for example, stating that such problems are “usually secondary to the environment” and are generally responsive to “kindness and time”.

Hope (1994) has argued for drug trials on the non-cognitive features of dementia using valid and reliable measures of behavioural disturbance. He suggests that psychotic symptoms and purposeless overactivity are less environmentally determined and perhaps more responsive to pharmacological interventions. However, perhaps the most important requirement in everyday clinical practice is for a sceptical, scientific approach towards the management of individual patients, incorporating rigorous baseline measures of disturbance and regular review of response and side-effects. This area is suitable for multidisciplinary audit, both within specialist and general practice settings.
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