Trial for use nanoselenium particle with different dietary regime in *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Mugil cephalus* polyculture ponds: Growth efficiency, haematological, antioxidant, immunity and transcriptional analysis

Eman M. Moustafa1 | Marwa F. Abd El-Kader2 | Montaser M. Hassan3 | Ahmed F. Fath El-Bab4 | Amira Omar1 | Foad Farrag5 | Ahmed G. Gewida6 | Mohamed F. Abd-Elghany6 | Mustafa Shukry7 | Rasha A. Alwakeel7

1Department of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt  
2Fish Diseases and Management, Sakha Aquaculture Research Unit, Central Lab for Aquaculture Research, A.R.C., Cairo, Egypt  
3Department of Biology, College of Science, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia  
4Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Damietta University, Damietta, Egypt  
5Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt  
6Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt  
7Department of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt

**Correspondence**  
Eman M. Moustafa, Department of Fish Diseases and Management, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt. Email: emantarek2002@yahoo.com

**Funding information**  
The current work was funded by Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number (TURSP-2020/119), Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

**Abstract**  
**Background:** Fish farming is one of the most productive economies in the world. One of the essential goals in fish production is to minimize processing costs while maintaining and increasing the vital functions, weight and immunity of fish.  
**Objective:** We conducted this study to explore nanoseelenium (Nano-Se) particles in various feeding schemes.  
**Material and Method:** Nano-Se particles incorporated in the basal diet at (0.5 mg/kg diet), and the fish was divided into six groups after adaptation as follows: The first group was feed daily with a diet containing Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet); the second group was exposed to a feeding programme in which it has day feeding followed by day of starvation with a diet containing Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet); the third group was day feeding followed by 2 days of starvation; the fourth group served as a negative control group in which this group was continuous feeding with a basal diet without Nano-Se; the fifth group was day feeding with the basal diet followed by a day of starvation; and the sixth group was day feeding with basal diet followed by 2 days of starvation.  
**Result:** Our result revealed that Group 2 showed significant improvement in hematological parameters, red blood cells and haemoglobin with a substantial increase in total protein ($p < 0.05$) as well as lysosomal and phagocytic activity with considerable upregulation of growth hormone and insulin growth factor 1 in addition to markedly increase in the pro-inflammatory cytokines. Finally, this study offers the first-time dietary regime with Nano-Se supplementation that saves the feeding cost and increases fish welfare and growth.  
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Understanding and implementing appropriate feeding strategies for nutrients can reduce waste and increase profit. In general, feed quality is essential for livestock farming. The feeding frequency dictates the time (feeding interval) between meals. The effects of interval feeding in fish depend on the species, sex, age and capacity. For compensatory growth and deposition of fat, for optimizing development and increasing feed performance, feeding intervals in favour of optimum digestibility and protein, tilapia were fed at intervals of 2–3 hr eat more than its volume of the stomach, so that some feed passes through the stomach without being digested (Riche, Oetker, et al., 2004). A feeding rate of one meal every 4–5 hr (three times a day) delivers optimal results (Riche, Haley, et al., 2004). Restricted feeding has lower costs, increased feeding performance, reduced carcass fat build-up and better water quality.

Several studies have been used to boost feed quality, such as gastric evacuation time that enriches the fish appetite and refeeding after starvation, enhancing feed utilization and development (Chan et al., 2008; Riche, Haley, et al., 2004). Feeding intervals have a precise impact on the water quality, fish well-being and immunity (Garcia & Villarroel, 2009; Lee et al., 2000). There was an optimum feeding frequency of twice daily for the larvae and juveniles of hybrid tilapia (Qiang et al., 2009) at the same time as six times per day has been described for juveniles (Tung & Shiau, 1991). The mixed-sex juveniles Nile tilapia have gained optimum growth when fed four times a day in brackish water (Daudpota et al., 2016) while providing six times per day was optimum for male juveniles a freshwater pond (Pouomogne & Ombredane, 2001). This dispute’s outcomes are based on variations in experimental fish genetic origins, nutrition, age and culture conditions. The growth output of sex-reversed Nile tilapia has been affected by different feeding frequencies. Different feeding frequencies had a significant effect on the growth performance of sex-reversed Nile tilapia. Fish fed twice and three times a day showed higher growth rate due to the increased Chymotrypsin and other digestive enzymes (Thongprajukaew et al., 2017).

Tilapia is well suited to many environments and feeding plans (Byamungu et al., 2001), although increased feeding rates seem to confer more excellent disease resistance (Garcia et al., 2009). Grey mullets (Mugilidae family) play an essential role in the fish cultures globally, South East Asia, primarily the Mediterranean (Crostetti, 2015). Although mullets are the main significant farmed fish in many countries, no different feeds are offered (Crostetti, 2015). Mullets are very popular as food in Egypt. They have been the cornerstone of fish farming for centuries because their fries are different seasons of the year, available in millions in fresh and brackish water (Wassef et al., 2001). Nanotechnologies in aquaculture provide a wide variety of applications, ranging from sterilizing pools, water treatment, identification and management of aquatic conditions, adequate supplies of nutrients and medicines (including hormones and vaccine, Huang et al., 2015). The interest in using nano-trace elements as animal feed supplements has recently increased due to increased bioavailability concerning inorganic salts. However, the use of high amounts of inorganic Se has posed environmental issues due to the high volume of faecal Se excretion (Dawood, Koshio, Zaineldin, Van Doan, Moustafa, et al., 2019). Nanoparticles are more accessible to the biological system in small quantities, decreasing feeding costs (Naderi et al., 2019). Trace minerals are essential in the nutrition and metabolism of many living species. Selenium (Se) is one of those constituents. It plays a crucial part in antioxidant and disease resistance and growth (Suttle, 2010).

Selenium deficiency in the diet of fish led to an increase in the chance of disease, retardation of development and declined immunity (Jobling, 2012). Today, nanotechnology is used in aquaculture for many purposes due to its ability to increase feed absorption (El Basuini et al., 2017). Nanoselenium (Nano-Se) has recently been bioavailable in fish diets to improve the immune and antioxidant response (Saffari et al., 2018). Nanoparticles with a particular smaller dimension than a hundred nm give a more significant proportion of surface ions and physicochemical shifts. Nanoparticles may enter the body through gastrointestinal tract or another different way; inside the body, these nanoparticles will contact immune cells (Cupaioli et al., 2014). Selenium is a vital microelement essential for developing fish efficiency and health (Pacitti et al., 2016). Selenium nanoparticles have been utilized due to its high-level bioavailability and low malignancy when fed to fish in adequate quantities (Dawood, Koshio, Zaineldin, Van Doan, Moustafa, et al., 2019). The Nano-Se application had beneficial effects on many fish species’ efficiency and wellness (Saffari et al., 2017). The current study aimed to assess the influence of feeding Nano-Se particles with different feeding and starvation regime in Oreochromis niloticus and Mugil cephalus growth performance, liver function and antioxidant activity (superoxide dismutase [SOD], catalase [CAT], and glutathione peroxidase [GPx]). Besides, evaluate their effect on the haematological markers, phagocytic activity and lysozyme activity as well as the impact of Selenium nanoparticles on growth markers gene and cytokines gene expression.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Experimental design

Fish were raised in standard concrete ponds (2 × 1 × 1 m) on a private farm located in Dakahlia, Egypt, eight O. niloticus fish and seven M. cephalus in 1 m with initial weight 27 ± 0.5 and 25 ± 1 g/fish, respectively. The fish were acclimated for 14 days before beginning the experiment; after that, the fish were distributed randomly into six groups (two replicates for each group). The first group was fed daily with a diet containing Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet); the second group was exposed to a feeding programme in which it has day feeding followed by day of starvation with a diet containing Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet) according to (Abd El-Kader et al., 2020); the third group was day feeding followed by 2 days of starvation; the fourth group served as a negative control group in which this group was continuous feeding with a basal diet without Nano-Se; the fifth group was day feeding with the basal diet followed by a day of starvation; and the sixth group was day feeding with basal diet followed by 2 days of starvation (see Figure S1).
The fish in all the groups were fed at a rate of 3% of body weight-adjusted weekly according to weight. Each diet (29% protein) was provided to its respective pond according to the feeding programme for 12 weeks. After diet formation, the selenium ratio will be 0.06 mg/kg diet and a 0.58 mg/kg diet for the control and Nano-Se group. All fish have been carefully weighed in bulk weekly for growth and health tests. The water quality parameters were not significantly differentiated during the experimental period. The water’s average temperature was 24.1 ± 0.3°C and dissolved O2 6.2 ± 0.42 mg/L; pH was 7.24–7.46, ammonia concentration 0.22–0.23 mg/L as assayed by DREL/2 HACH kits (Hach Co.) (see Table S1). The fish in all the groups were fed at a rate of 3% of body weight-adjusted weekly according to weight. Each diet (29% protein) was provided to its respective pond according to the feeding programme for 12 weeks. After diet formation, the selenium ratio will be 0.06 mg/kg diet and a 0.58 mg/kg diet for the control and Nano-Se group. All fish have been carefully weighed in bulk weekly for growth and health tests. The water quality parameters were not significantly differentiated during the experimental period. The water’s average temperature was 24.1 ± 0.3°C and dissolved O2 6.2 ± 0.42 mg/L; pH was 7.24–7.46, ammonia concentration 0.22–0.23 mg/L as assayed by DREL/2 HACH kits (Hach Co.) (see Table S1).

| Groups | Diet | Number of replicates | Feeding programme |
|--------|------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Group 1 | Basal diet + Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet) | 2 | Continuous feeding |
| Group 2 | Basal diet + Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet) | 2 | Day feeding followed by day of starvation |
| Group 3 | Basal diet + Nano-Se (0.5 mg/kg diet) | 2 | Day feeding followed by 2 days of starvation |
| Group 4 | Basal diet only | 2 | Continuous feeding |
| Group 5 | Basal diet only | 2 | Day feeding followed by day of starvation |
| Group 6 | Basal diet only | 2 | Day feeding followed by 2 days of starvation |

2.2 | Growth parameter

The following equations were used to calculate the weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) after fasting the fish for 24 hr. WG (WG %) = 100 × [final body weight (FBW, g) – initial body weight (IBW, g)]/IBW (g). Daily weight gain (DWG) = (mean final weight – tcmean initial weight)/days. SGR (%/day) = 100 × [ln FBW (g) − ln IBW (g)]/days. FCR = total dry feed intake (g)/[FBW (g) – IBW (g)].

2.3 | Sampling

All fish were anesthetically screened using MS222 150 mg/L (Argent Laboratories) at zero-days and at the end of the 12-week feeding experiment. Each fish’s weight was individually assessed. Blood samples were obtained using an anticoagulant syringe and without-anticoagulant syringe from four fish caudal blood vessels per pond, and serum obtained using 1968 g /15 min at 4°C centrifuges. The serum was kept at −20°C until further analysis.

2.4 | Haematology and biochemical parameters

After dissolution with Natt and Herrick’s solution, a haemocytometer was used immediately with red blood cells (RBCs) as well as white blood cells (WBCs) (Houston, 1990). For WBCs and differential count were assayed according to (Jain, 1986; Lucky & Lucký, 1977), Hb concentration analysis following (Blaxhall & Daisley, 1973). Total protein, albumin, globulin, ALT and AST assessed using ready-made chemicals (kits) supplied by Spinreact Co., according to the manufacturer’s instructions with an RA-50 chemistry analyzer (Bayer). Phagocytic activity is determined according to Kawahara et al. (1991). Phagocytic activity = macrophages containing yeast/total number of macrophages × 100; phagocytic index = number of cells phagocytized/number of phagocytic cells. The lysozyme activity was determined according to Parry et al. (1965).

2.5 | Antioxidants markers analysis

In the fish serum, the levels of SOD, CAT, GPs and malondialdehyde (MDA) were assessed using diagnostic reagent kits following the procedure for the manufacturer (Cusabio Biotech Co., Ltd).

2.6 | Gene expression

Analysis of mRNA expression for different genes (real-time polymerase chain reaction [RT-PCR]) and β-actin (an internal guide for normalizing data on gene expression) was performed using the primers shown in Table 1. Following the manufacturer’s protocol, the total RNA was extracted from the liver samples using Trizol reagents Trizol (INIRON Biotechnology). With 2% agarose electrophoresis, the content of the extracted RNA was confirmed. Nanodrop (Quawell) determined the RNA concentration; 2 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the manufacturer’s cDNA synthesis kit (Bioline) as a guide. In 20-μl reaction mixtures containing 2 μl of cDNA, gene-specific primers (0.5 μM each) and SYBR 10 μl, real-time PCR amplifications were performed using the SensiFast SYBR Lo-Rox kit (Bioline). Thermal cycling conditions were initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, then 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. They double-checked the genes using (2−ΔΔCT) (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001).

2.7 | Data analysis

The tests of Shapiro–Wilk and Levene confirmed that the variance was normal distribution and homogeneous. All statistical differences were measured by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) research (SPSS version 23; SPSS Inc.) and by Duncan as a post hoc test. Where there were differences between groups of study, they were accepted at p < 0.05. All data are displayed as of mean ± SE. Two-way ANOVA was used for gene expression analysis.
**TABLE 1** Gene primer for real-time PCR

| Target gene       | Forward                     | Reverse                             | Accession number          |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| β-actin (**Oreochromis niloticus**) | GTGCCCATCTACGAGGGTTA          | CTCTTTAATGTCACGCACGA               | Pang et al. (2013)        |
| β-actin (Mugil)   | TGCAGTCAAACATCTGGAATC        | ATTTTTGGCCTTGAICTAG                 | Abdel-Mageid et al. (2020) |
| IL-1β (**O. niloticus**) | CTTCCCCAGACTCTGAGTAGCG       | AAGGATGACGACAAAGGCAAC              | KF747686.1                |
| IL-1β (Mugil)     | GAGGAGCTTGTGTCAGAAACA       | CTTGTTGCTACCTCCTCCA                 | Abdel-Mageid et al. (2020) |
| IGF-1 (**O. niloticus**) | CACCCCTCTACTACTGGTGT         | CACAGTACATCTCAAGGCAC               | EU272149.1                |
| IGF-1 (Mugil)     | ACCCTGATAGTCGAAAGGCG        | GCATCTCCCAGCTACCTTTTG              | AY772256.1                |
| GH (**O. niloticus**) | CTGGTTGAGTCCTGGAGGT          | CAGGTGGTAGTCGCATTGG                 | KT387598.1                |
| GH (Mugil)        | TGCTTAAAAAGGACGATCA         | GATGTGTGCGAGGTTGAC                  | AF134605                  |

Abbreviation: PCR, polymerase chain reaction; IL-1β, Interleukin 1 Beta; IGF-1, Insulin growth factor 1; GH, Growth hormone.

**TABLE 2** Haematological and biochemical parameters of **Oreochromis niloticus** at zero day of experiment

|                      | G1   | G2   | G3   | G4   | G5   | G6   | SE   | p value |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| RBCs                 | 2.125| 2.11 | 2.065| 2.085| 2.055| 2.025| 0.04 | 0.351   |
| Hb                   | 6.33 | 6.32 | 6.255| 6.315| 6.235| 6.265| 0.05 | 0.524   |
| PCV                  | 20   | 20   | 19.5 | 20   | 19   | 19   | 0.64 | 0.424   |
| MCV                  | 94.13| 94.75| 94.435|95.94 |92.475|93.84 |2.5  |0.84     |
| MCH                  | 29.79| 29.955|30.305|30.29 |30.34 |30.94 |0.39 |0.209    |
| MCHC                 | 31.65| 31.665|32.09 |31.575|32.815|32.975|0.85 |0.645    |
| WBCs                 | 10.24| 9.67 | 10.11|10.22 |10.20 |10.22 |0.21 |0.319    |
| Heterophil           | 1.44 | 1.16 | 1.42 |1.33  |1.23  |1.38  |1.9  |0.413    |
| Lymphocyte           | 7.83 | 7.78 | 7.84 |7.92  |8.16  |7.87  |1.4  |0.124    |
| Monocyte             | 0.82 | 0.68 | 0.76 |0.72  |0.72  |0.77  |0.4  |0.212    |
| Eosinophil           | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.05 |0.16  |0.10  |0.16  |0.4  |0.158    |
| Basophil             | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |0.16  |0.10  |0.16  |0.64 |0.424    |
| Lysozyme             | 8.895| 8.895| 9.04 |8.955 |9.005 |8.975 |0.05 |0.216    |
| Phagocytic activity  | 9.985| 10.025|10.005|9.44  |9.795 |9.94  |0.29 |0.423    |
| Phagocytic index     | 0.84 | 0.935| 0.97 |0.89  |0.98  |0.855 |0.03 |0.15     |
| Total protein        | 3.755| 3.585| 3.685|3.665 |3.64  |3.65  |0.05 |0.149    |
| Albumin              | 1.53 | 1.465| 1.54 |1.51  |1.51  |1.52  |0.02 |0.273    |
| Globulin             | 2.225| 2.12 | 2.145|2.155 |2.13  |2.13  |0.06 |0.592    |
| AST                  | 29.2 | 28.87| 28.57|28.82 |28.535|28.88 |0.41 |0.631    |
| ALT                  | 26.995|26.52 |26.8  |27.425|27.035|26.755|0.64 |0.795    |
| MDA                  | 18.57| 20.46| 19.205|19.17 |19.325|19.075|0.58 |0.176    |
| GPx                  | 12.985|13.41 |13.515|13.61 |13.215|13.59 |0.19 |0.99     |
| CAT                  | 10.615|10.475|10.57 |10.665|10.475|10.505|0.04 |0.226    |
| SOD                  | 10.19| 10.225|10.295|10.3  |10.36 |10.3  |0.09 |0.554    |

Note: Values are expressed as means ± SE.
Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; Hb, haemoglobin; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MDA, malondialdehyde; PCV, packed cell volume; RBCs, red blood cells; SOD, superoxide dismutase; WBCs, white blood cells.
3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Effect of Nano-Se on the haematological and biochemical parameter

There was no significant difference in haematological and biochemical parameters and no variation in protein content, liver enzymes, phagocytic index and lysosomal activity between different treated groups in day zero treatment in *O. niloticus* fish and Mugil fish, as shown in Tables 2 and 3.

After 12 weeks of treatment, our result showed that Group 2 (Nano-Se + day feed + day starvation), as well as Group 1 (continuous Nano-Se feeding), was significantly increased in packed cell volume and RBCs (Table 4) as well as lysosomal activity and total leukocytic count with decreased heterophile % concerning other treated groups of *O. niloticus* fish. In the same way, there was a markedly increase in lysosomal, phagocytic activity and index, as well as there was a significant increase in globulin and total protein concentration with a markedly decrease in MDA level with a substantial rise in GPx, CAT and SOD concerning other treated groups.

3.2 | Effect of Nano-Se particles on the antioxidant activity

From the previous result, it is evident that the feeding regime of Nano-Se + day feed + day starvation revealed a marked improvement in either haematological, biochemical or antioxidant activity followed by continuous Nano-Se feeding regime. In the same context, Mugil fish Group 2 (Nano-Se + day feed + day starvation), as well as Group 1 (continuous Nano-Se feeding), significantly showed significant improvement in haematological and immunity (lysosomal, phagocytic activity, and index) concerning other treated groups which strength our obtained result concerning *O. niloticus* fish as shown in Table 5 with the significant increase in total protein and globulin with markedly decreased AST level.

### TABLE 3 Haematological and biochemical parameters of *Mugil cephalus* at zero day of experiment

|       | G1     | G2     | G3     | G4     | G5     | G6     | SE     | p value |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| RBCs  | 3.08   | 3.075  | 3.165  | 3.12   | 3.09   | 3.095  | 0.04   | 0.394   |
| Hb    | 9.41   | 9.455  | 9.595  | 9.4    | 9.525  | 9.495  | 0.08   | 0.352   |
| PCV   | 29.5   | 29     | 30.5   | 30.5   | 29.5   | 30     | 0.57   | 0.182   |
| MCV   | 95.78  | 94.31  | 96.36  | 97.75  | 95.465 | 96.93  | 1.02   | 0.126   |
| MCH   | 30.555 | 30.75  | 30.32  | 30.13  | 30.825 | 30.675 | 0.26   | 0.2     |
| MCHC  | 31.9   | 32.605 | 31.465 | 30.825 | 32.295 | 31.65  | 0.47   | 0.08    |
| WBCs  | 12.5   | 11.9   | 11.8   | 12.1   | 11.9   | 12.0   | 0.3    | 0.519   |
| Heterophil | 1.9   | 1.8    | 1.8    | 1.9    | 1.8    | 2.1    | 1.6    | 0.673   |
| Lymphocyte | 9.5   | 9.0    | 9.0    | 9.1    | 9.2    | 8.6    | 2.04   | 0.323   |
| Monocyte | 1.0   | 0.8    | 0.8    | 1.0    | 0.8    | 1.0    | 0.707  | 0.158   |
| Eosinophil | 0.0   | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.4    | 0.212   |
| Basophil | 0.1   | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.2    | 0.1    | 0.1    | 0.7    | 0.833   |
| Lysozyme | 9.945 | 10.01  | 10.055 | 9.995  | 10.025 | 10.01  | 0.14   | 0.982   |
| Phagocytic activity | 10.89 | 11.01  | 10.97  | 11.19  | 10.96  | 11.1   | 0.08   | 0.08    |
| Phagocytic index | 0.925 | 1.06   | 0.985  | 1.065  | 0.835  | 1.035  | 0.06   | 0.048   |
| Total protein | 4.01  | 4.085  | 4.135  | 4.065  | 4.085  | 4.105  | 0.014  | 0.24    |
| Albumin | 1.74  | 1.7    | 1.745  | 1.735  | 1.705  | 1.775  | 0.035  | 0.394   |
| Globulin | 2.27  | 2.385  | 2.39   | 2.33   | 2.28   | 2.33   | 0.04   | 0.136   |
| AST    | 20.085 | 19.775 | 20.06  | 19.71  | 19.795 | 19.975 | 0.127  | 0.098   |
| ALT    | 22.97  | 23.125 | 22.92  | 23.12  | 23.305 | 22.935 | 0.19   | 0.44    |
| MDA    | 21.52  | 22.045 | 21.725 | 22.45  | 21.795 | 22.16  | 0.19   | 0.129   |
| GPx    | 13.825 | 13.935 | 14.005 | 14.055 | 13.925 | 14.04  | 0.11   | 0.396   |
| CAT    | 9.94   | 9.95   | 9.965  | 9.9    | 9.9    | 9.895  | 0.08   | 0.921   |
| SOD    | 9.93   | 10.09  | 10.06  | 10.095 | 10.01  | 10     | 0.06   | 0.223   |

Note: Values are expressed as means ± SE.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; Hb, haemoglobin; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MDA, malondialdehyde; PCV, packed cell volume; RBCs, red blood cells; SOD, superoxide dismutase; WBCs, white blood cells.
and SOD levels between different treated groups at zero days of the experiment. After 12 weeks of treatment, MDA concentration was markedly decreased with significant elevation in the GPX, SOD and CAT levels in Nano-Se treated groups concerning other groups of O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish.

3.3 Effect of Nano-Se particles on the gene expression analysis

Our obtained data showed in Figures 1 and 2 that there was a significant increase in IL1B, IL8, GH and IGF-1 in Group 2 (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) concerning other treated groups as well as between day zero and 12 weeks of treatment; in the same context, there was a significant improvement in gene expression of IL1B, IL8, GH and IGF-1 in Group 1 (continuous Nano-Se feeding) in comparison with the control groups regime and (Nano-Se + day feed + 2-day starvation). Groups 5 (control + day feed + day starvation) and 3 (Nano-Se + day feed + 2-day starvation) showed significant improvement in IL1B, IL8, GH and IGF-1 concerning day zero gene expression analysis in both O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish.

3.4 Effect of Nano-Se particles on the growth parameters performance

As shown in Tables 6 and 7, there was a marked increase in FBW, WG and daily WG and SGR in Group 2 treated fish (O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish) (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) concerning other treated groups followed by improvement in growth parameters in Group 1, first feeding regime (continuous Nano-Se feeding) than Group 5 (control + day feed + day starvation) concerning other feeding regimes.

From the previously obtained result, our data revealed that the second feeding regime (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) gives the best feeding regime, which reflects a marked improvement in FBW, WG and SGR.
in all measured parameters concerning growth, haematology immunity, antioxidant and gene expression analysis.

4 | DISCUSSION

Fish farming is one of the most important economies of the world, and one of the essential goals in fish research is to reduce production costs while preserving and improving the vital functions, weight and immunity of fish, so we conducted this study to investigate the Nano-SE particle in different feeding regime.

Our result revealed that the addition of Nano-SE particles to the diet of fish with feeding regime of feeding day followed by a day of starvation significantly showed significant improvement in haematological picture and leukocytes as shown in Tables 3 and 4 in O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish concerning other treated groups; this result was inconsistent with Neamat-Allah et al. (2019); they reported that selenium nanoparticles denote leukocytosis in Nile tilapia fish due to the protecting effect of Nano-SE that avoids the erythrocyte from hemolysis either by influential antioxidant impact (Qiang et al., 2017). Our result concerning growth efficiency revealed that the FBW, WG and specific growth weight of both O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish were significantly improved in Group 2 (Nano-SE + day feed + 1-day starvation), and these data usually agreed with earlier research that assesses the role on Nano-SE particles in various species of fish (Ashouri et al., 2015; Dawood, Koshio, Zaineldin, Van Doan, Ahmed, et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2010). The level of selenium needed to achieve optimum growth efficiency may vary based on the type of selenium, the time of administration and the experimental technique, as well as the fish and fish species (Lee et al., 2008).

Growth and feed efficiency improvements in Nano-SE treated groups are due to stimulating growth hormone development, selenoprotein synthesis, activation of intestinal protease enzymes and increased intracellular protein content (Khan et al., 2017).

Besides, selenium acts as an aco-enzyme in the stimulation of protease and lipase (Shenkin, 2006) and improves the digestibility and use of proteins by increasing the number of intestinal microbes

### TABLE 5 Effect of Nano-SE particles on the haematological and biochemical parameters of Mugil cephalus after 12 weeks of experiment

| Parameter          | G1     | G2     | G3     | G4     | G5     | G6     | SE     | p value |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Red blood cells    | 3.76b  | 3.92a  | 3.50b  | 3.28c  | 3.58b  | 3.17b  | 0.04   | 0.00    |
| Haemoglobin        | 11.34b | 11.90a | 10.52c | 10.10d | 10.78c | 10.02d | 0.15   | 0.00    |
| Packed cell volume | 37.00b | 38.50a | 34.50c | 32.00d | 35.00c | 31.00d | 0.70   | 0.00    |
| Mean corpuscular volume | 98.39 | 98.21  | 98.57  | 97.57  | 97.77  | 97.95  | 1.02   | 0.91    |
| Mean corpuscular haemoglobin | 30.16 | 30.35  | 30.05  | 30.80  | 30.11  | 30.65  | 0.15   | 0.06    |
| Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration | 30.66 | 30.90  | 30.48  | 31.56  | 30.80  | 31.31  | 0.29   | 0.06    |
| White blood cells  | 14.21  | 14.83  | 12.32  | 12.69  | 13.59  | 12.16  | 1.10   | 0.57    |
| Lymphocyte         | 1.42   | 1.34   | 1.67   | 1.78   | 1.29   | 1.89   | 2.09   | 0.85    |
| Monocyte           | 11.58  | 12.23  | 9.67   | 9.90   | 11.01  | 9.00   | 3.09   | 0.80    |
| Esinophil          | 1.07b  | 1.18a  | 0.86c  | 0.88c  | 1.15c  | 0.91bc | 0.95   | 0.02    |
| Basophil           | 0.07   | 0.08   | 0.06   | 0.07   | 0.07   | 0.18   | 0.64   | 0.42    |
| Lysozyme           | 13.26b | 13.81a | 10.46c | 10.32c | 11.11c | 10.24c | 0.10   | 0.00    |
| Phagocytic activity| 13.05b | 14.15a | 11.49c | 11.34d | 11.58c | 11.26c | 0.09   | 0.00    |
| Phagocytic index   | 1.29b  | 1.38a  | 1.07a  | 1.16a  | 1.29c  | 1.03c  | 0.06   | 0.01    |
| Total protein      | 4.71b  | 4.81a  | 4.30c  | 4.13d  | 4.22d  | 4.15d  | 0.03   | 0.00    |
| Albumin            | 1.73   | 1.72   | 1.78   | 1.75   | 1.72   | 1.80   | 0.03   | 0.30    |
| Globulin           | 2.98b  | 3.09a  | 2.53c  | 2.39d  | 2.50c  | 2.35d  | 0.03   | 0.00    |
| AST                | 18.14c | 18.11c | 20.00a | 19.81a | 18.49b | 20.04a | 0.21   | 0.00    |
| ALT                | 21.62c | 21.82c | 22.98b | 23.00d | 21.25c | 23.09d | 0.64   | 0.09    |
| MDA                | 18.15d | 17.63d | 22.37c | 24.09a | 20.28b | 24.39a | 0.29   | 0.00    |
| GPx                | 17.17b | 17.45a | 16.24c | 15.30d | 16.69c | 14.38c | 0.22   | 0.00    |
| CAT                | 11.32b | 11.67c | 10.54d | 10.33cd | 11.01d | 10.00d | 0.06   | 0.00    |
| SOD                | 11.11b | 11.26c | 10.89c | 10.57d | 10.82c | 10.32d | 0.08   | 0.00    |

Note: Values are expressed as means ± SE. Different superscript letters indicate significant differences in the same column.
Abbreviations: ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CAT, catalase; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; Hb, haemoglobin; MCH, mean corpuscular haemoglobin; MCHC, mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration; MCV, mean corpuscular volume; MDA, malondialdehyde; Nano-SE, nanoselenium; PCV, packed cell volume; RBCs, red blood cells; SOD, superoxide dismutase; WBCs, white blood cells.
number and operating digestive proteases (Chaudhary et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2011). Our result revealed that the (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) showed a significant markedly increase in total protein in line with substantial improvement in lysosomal and phagocytic activity; this result was inconsistent with (Dawood et al., 2020). They focused on the importance of selenium as an immunostimulant through its role in activating lysosomal and phagocytic activity (Harikrishnan et al., 2011).

Our result revealed that Nano-Se treated group (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) showed markedly decreased MDA with a consequent increase in GPx, CAT and superoxide activity; this result was in harmony with Dawood et al. (2020). Selenium acts as an
antioxidant in that it forms “selenocysteine,” a component of GPX’s active core (Terova et al., 2018) and to the antioxidant activity of selenium (Saffari et al., 2017). SOD, CAT and GPX activities as essential antioxidant enzymes can be considered markers of oxidative injury (Dawood, Koshio, Zaineldin, Van Doan, Moustafa, et al., 2019). Nevertheless, MDA is a highly toxic material formed by the decomposition of lipid peroxides, which can cause hurt to the body (Yao et al., 2010). The increase in antioxidant parameters in fish after Nano-Se utilization may be due to Se’s involvement in the formation of selenocysteine in the active centre of the GPX enzyme (Köhrle et al., 2000).

Our result revealed the upregulation of mRNA expression of GH and IGF-1 in the Nano-Se treated group, especially Groups 2 (Nano-Se + day feed + 1-day starvation) and 1 (continuous feeding of Nano-Se) concerning other groups as shown in Figures 1 and 2. This result was in line with Cupaioli et al. (2014) in which they confirmed that selenium enhanced the growth hormone. Consequently, the obtained result concerning growth hormone and IGF-1 expression supported our growth efficiency results. Abarike et al. (2019) reported that Nano-Se upregulates the pro-inflammatory cytokines, which help our finding in Figures 1 and 2 that showed the marked upregulation of IL1B and IL8 in O. niloticus and M. cephalus fish that previously treated with Nano-Se particle. IL-1β is a pro-inflammatory cytokine that stimulates the lymphocytes and macrophages against disease (Low et al., 2003). Restricted feeding schemes may be practical tools to boost fish output efficiency (Kumar et al., 2017). Our result revealed that 1-day feeding followed by 1-day starvation improves all physiological parameters and growth rate efficiency. Two potential causes for the offsetting growth of hyperphagia or a combination of hyperphagia and improved feed quality were reported by (Ye et al., 2016). Our data concerning the immunostimulant activity of Nano-Se was also supported by Dawood, Zommara, et al. (2019) in which they found that Nano-Se upregulates pro-inflammatory cytokines, especially IL-1β.

## CONCLUSION

Nano-Se supplementation with a dietary regime feeding the fish 1 day followed by 1-day starvation and soon tends to potentiate the growth efficiency and immunity and improve the growth hormone, insulin growth factors and pro-inflammatory cytokines. For the first time, these findings supported our hypothesis that confirms the Nano-Se supplementation to the diet of fish with special dietary regime could be useful for aquatic life and economy in decreasing the feeding cost and increasing the fish health welfare and growth.
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