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Abstract

Powder interlayer bonding (PIB) is a novel joining technique. The technique has been developed to facilitate high integrity repairs of aerospace components, manufactured from titanium alloys commonly employed in the aerospace industry. The PIB technique utilises an interlayer between the two faying surfaces. In this study heating was supplied via induction, enabling a bond to be created in an inert atmosphere, shielding the fusion zone from oxidation during bonding. The PIB technique proved capable of producing high integrity bonds in additive manufactured Ti-6Al-4V, where approximately 85% of the strength of the alloy is retained after bonding. Advantages of this technique over more established joining methods such as tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding and plasma arc (PA) welding include a narrow fusion zone and localised heating. It is believed that PIB can compete against these more mature techniques, providing a technique suitable for joining a range of alloys found in the aerospace industry.
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1. Introduction

The drive for increased performance from gas turbines often requires more complex geometrical components to be incorporated in their design, which can result in challenging repair conditions. It is believed that the powder interlayer bonding (PIB) method will provide opportunities to salvage otherwise redundant components, potentially providing a reduction in running costs for engine suppliers where replacement components can account for approximately 70% of their maintenance costs[1]. The PIB process uses a powder interlayer between the surfaces being joined, which removes the requirement for very smooth surfaces. The bond region is heated via a Joule heating processes such as induction, which results in narrow fusion zones. Titanium’s affinity for oxygen, hydrogen, nitrogen and carbon at joining temperatures requires the PIB process to be performed in an inert atmosphere which shields the weld region from oxidation.

PIB has previously demonstrated the potential[2] to be considered as a process for the repair of aerospace components. Through further development it is anticipated that the process will complement the more mature joining techniques that are commonly used to join titanium alloys such as plasma arc (PA) welding, tungsten inert gas (TIG) welding, laser beam (LB) welding[3]. Although
these mature techniques are widely used there are some drawbacks associated with employing them. Both TIG[4] and PA[5]
welding techniques produce large heat affected zones (HAZ), with distortion often affecting TIG welds[4]. Although LB welding
produces small HAZ’s it comes at far higher costs and can be more difficult to accurately control[6]. These processes necessitate
very high temperatures at the weld region, resulting in HAZ’s of varying sizes. These high temperatures produce transformed
microstructures[7] when the beta transus (β) of the alloy is exceeded, and these structures have larger grains than the base
alloy[8] which can negatively affect mechanical properties when compared to the original material[9].

Titanium alloys are widely utilised in the gas turbine, often contributing more than 25% of an engine’s total weight[10]. The α + β
Ti-6Al-4V (Ti-6-4) alloy is extensively used in the gas turbine, often being found in the cooler regions where it is used for both
structural and rotating components[11], such as casings, fan blades and discs[12]. The alloy is weldable and can be formed into
complex shapes. Ti-6-4 has excellent mechanical properties with a reported BrT of 996°C ± 14°C, fatigue strength of 500 MPa at
107 cycles at room temperature[13] and has been reported to have a UTS of ~1050 MPa as a rolled product[14].

More recently additive manufacturing (AM) has been used to produce net shaped complex geometrical titanium components[15].
The process is a layer on layer manufacturing technique, where a layer of the alloy is deposited upon a previous layer[16]. The
process has numerous potential applications such as prototypes and component repair[17]. It is seen as an attractive process for
titanium alloys offering the potential to reduce manufacturing costs through reduced machining and shorter component lead times.

2. Experimental

The AM Ti-6-4 material used in this study was manufactured and supplied by 3TRP. Cylinders were built in an EOS direct metal
laser sintering (DMLS) machine. The flat geometry specimens were initially built into 10mm diameter cylinders. Cylinders then
had a thread added as shown in Figure 1a, to aid gripping before bonding. In order to assess the suitability of PIB as a
manufacturing technique, more complex geometries were also produced. Curved geometries were built into 10mm diameter
cylinders with either a concave or convex radius at the bonding surface before also having threads added as shown in Figure 1b+c.

![Figure 1 Illustration of bonding specimens, a) flat geometry, b) concave geometry, c) convex geometry](image)

Gas atomised Ti-6-4 powder 15-45µm in diameter, utilised for the interlayers was supplied by LPW Technology Ltd is shown in
Figure 2.

![Figure 2 Ti-6Al-4V powder particles used to create powder interlayers](image)

Powder interlayers were created by combining Ti-6-4 powder with cellulose powder, glycerol and deionised water to create a
paste. Powder used to create interlayers for the curved geometry bonds was sieved through a 32µm mesh before mixing into a
paste. Both parts to be bonded were ultrasonically cleaned in industrial acetone for 30 minutes before the powder paste was
applied. The paste was applied to one of the flat surfaces or in the case of curved geometries to the surface of the concave
specimen, so that the paste protruded above the specimen edge by less than 400µm, Two N type thermocouples were then attached
to the other specimen within 1mm of the faying surface and connected to a calibrated Fluke 54 II thermometer.

Both specimens being bonded were attached via collets to the rods of a servo-hydraulic rig. Faying surfaces were then brought in
to contact and held at a small compressive stress of 2.5MPa. Bonding was performed in an argon environment, shielding the
fusion zone of both parts. An induction coil was used to supply a heating rate of approximately 6°C sec to bring the bond region
temperature up to 900°C, before a secondary heating rate of 0.5°C sec was employed to achieve the final temperature in the α + β
region. The bonding temperature was held (+/- 5°C) for 60 minutes. On completion of the bonding cycle the joined specimens
were air cooled to room temperature.
Completed bonds were sectioned and mounted in conductive Bakelite, then prepared via a standard grinding and polishing procedure, before being etched with Krolls Reagent to allow for metallographic analysis.

The microstructural characterisation was performed using an Hitachi SU3500 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The mean linear intercept (M.L.I.) method was used to calculate the average grain size.

Tensile specimens illustrated in Figure 3a, were machined from the bonded test pieces for subsequent mechanical testing. Room temperature tensile tests were performed in accordance with BS EN 2002-1:2005. This standard, common in the engineering industry allows the use of dual strain rates; where a relatively low strain rate is utilised during the elastic regime and through yield, followed by a higher rate to encourage failure. The changeover between strain rates prompts a step increase in the induced stress response. Nominal values of the strain reported were measured via actuator displacement. Low cycle fatigue (LCF) test specimens illustrated in Figure 3b were also machined from bonded test pieces. LCF tests were performed at room temperature, with a trapezoidal waveform at 0.25Hz and at an R-Ratio of 0.01.

3. Results

The microstructure of the AM material in the as received (AR) condition is illustrated in Figure 4a, displaying a columnar grain structure, with a β grain size of approximately 150 µm in width along the height of the cylinders. This structure is characteristic of the DMLS manufacturing process where partial remelting of the previous layers occurs [18]. The structure contains both aligned α and Widmanstatten α type colonies, with grains typically containing a few α colonies.

The PIB process had a significant effect on the microstructure through the bond region of the flat geometry specimens as illustrated in Figure 4b, producing a duplex microstructure consisting of equiaxed α_p grains contained in a transformed β matrix. The bond line was found to be ~ 100µm thick through the centre region of the bond, containing ~35% α_p volume fraction with an average α_p grain size of ~ 8µm. A limited amount of non-fusion porosity is witnessed throughout the bond line, with maximum pore size found to be below 5µm in diameter. The PIB process had a similar effect on the microstructure of both the curved geometries. Bond line thickness was found to be between 20-35µm through the centre region of the bond (Figure 5a+b). The α_p volume fraction and average α_p grain size stayed consistent at ~35% and ~8µm respectively. Again, some non-fusion porosity was seen through the bond region, with maximum pore sizes found to be below 15µm in diameter.

The room temperature tensile results for the AR AM material and PIB bonds are shown in Figure 6. A very strong response is seen for the AR AM material with comparable strength values and higher ductility than previously reported results[18] for Ti-6-4 material built via the selective laser melting process. A reduction in UTS and ductility of ~14% and ~1.5% respectively is seen for the flat geometry PIB specimens (15-45µm powder) when compared to the AR material. The curved geometry specimens provide almost the same level of strength as the flat geometry with a reduction of ~15% compared to the AR material, ductility remained consistent with the flat geometry with ~1.5% reduction compared to AR material.
Figure 7 illustrates the low cycle fatigue (LCF) results for the AR AM and curved geometry materials. From the data displayed it is clear that the PIB curved geometry specimens provide an increase in LCF performance over the AR AM material. Although no run out data was recorded, both curved geometries outperform the AR material throughout the fatigue curve. At 750MPa the 0.1mm and 0.5mm curved geometries provide ~double and treble the fatigue lives recorded for the AR material.

**Figure 7 LCF results for as received additive manufactured Ti-6-4 and PIB specimens**

4. Discussion

The change in microstructure seen in the PIB specimens occurred due to the combination of temperature and force applied during the bonding cycle. The bond region was heated well into the $\alpha + \beta$ phase field during the process, combined with the applied force, enough energy is retained to enable recrystallisation to proceed during the latter stages of the bonding cycle. Previous work[2] has shown that the PIB process is akin to the sintering process; where it can be thought of as three distinct phases. Initially a small amount of deformation occurs as bonding takes place between powder particles, forming necks at the contact points. The necks grow as diffusion progresses and pores between the powders start to shrink[19]. The bulk of the deformation happens as the process continues through the intermediate phase where pores become isolated. This period is followed by the final phase where densification, and pore elimination occurs alongside the recrystallisation.

The PIB process altered the microstructure over a distance of a few millimetres from the bond line as illustrated in Figure 8. The images show that traces of a duplex structure extend ~5mm away from the bond region. Beyond this distance the structure can be said to be fully lamellar, however as Figure 9 illustrates, even at 10mm from the bond there is coarsening of the $\alpha$ laths compared to the AR material.

**Figure 8 Illustration of the effect of the PIB process on the microstructure ~5mm away from the bond region**

**Figure 9 Illustration of the effect of the PIB process on the microstructure ~10mm away from the bond region**

The scale of the decrease in strength seen for the PIB specimens when compared to the AR AM material is somewhat surprising. Earlier work[2] has shown that the PIB process retains over 90% of the strength of forged Ti-6-4 material. In fact, the results illustrate that both strength and ductility are almost identical to those recorded for the PIB forged material. The larger reduction in strength seen in the PIB AM specimens appears to be a consequence of the very high strength levels attained by the AR material, where both the UTS and proof strength seem exceptionally high. It is also possible that an increase in the effective slip length resulting from the coarsening of the lamellar structure contributed to a larger than expected reduction in strength.

The superior LCF performance of the PIB curved geometry specimens compared to the AR material can largely be attributed to the duplex structure through the bond region and HAZ caused by the PIB process. It is recognised that duplex structures have superior LCF performance compared to lamellar structures due to their improved resistance to crack nucleation and microcrack propagation[19].

5. Conclusions

- The PIB process demonstrated it is capable of producing high integrity bonds in additive manufacturing Ti-6-4 material
- High levels of strength and ductility are retained in the material post bonding
The LCF performance of PIB material exceeds that of the base additive manufacturing Ti-6-4 material.
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