Maintenance treatment with progesterone gel and the gel base delayed preterm birth more effectively than intravenous tocolysis alone – a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Introduction The aim was to evaluate the effect of maintenance treatment with progesterone gel compared to the gel base in delaying preterm birth among women with spontaneous preterm labor.

Materials and Methods A randomized controlled trial in Sweden in 2009 – 18. Women with spontaneous preterm labor were randomized to daily progesterone gel 90 mg (n = 29) or gel base doses (n = 29) after intravenous tocolysis.

Results The latency to delivery was 58 ± 34 days with progesterone gel and 64 ± 51 days with gel base (p = 0.83), compared to 2 days after intravenous tocolysis alone (both p < 0.001). The rate of preterm birth before 34 weeks was 34 % after progesterone gel and 38 % after gel base (p = 0.34). The composite neonatal morbidity (p = 0.65) and neonatal intensive care unit admission (p = 0.12) did not differ between the groups.

Conclusion Preterm birth was delayed more effectively after both progesterone and gel base compared to intravenous tocolysis alone, suggesting an effect of the gel base. We conclude, that the acidic gel base reinforced the physiological barrier at the uterine cervix, which counteracted ascending pathogen invasion and thereby delayed preterm birth.
Introduction

The global rate of preterm birth (PTB), the main cause of all neonatal, infant and child mortality up to 5 years age, is still 10 % [1]. The multifactorial risk factors include demographic and socioeconomic factors such as psychosocial stress, malnutrition, low and high maternal age, multiple pregnancy, decidual bleeding, and pathogen invasion from the skin and gastrointestinal microbiome that cause uterine infection and inflammation of the fetal membranes and amniotic fluid [2–7]. Current tocolytic treatments with an oxytocin receptor antagonist, a β2-adrenergic receptor agonist, magnesium sulfate, a non-steroid anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) or a calcium blocker do not prevent PTB, but are used to delay delivery for at least 48 h to optimize the effect of antenatal corticosteroids for fetal lung maturation and allow for transport to a tertiary hospital with Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) expertise [8]. A cervical length (CL) ≤ 25 mm at midgestation is regarded as a primary predictor for PTB [9].

Despite the multifactorial causes behind PTB, a cervical connective tissue remodeling precedes both preterm and term labor. This remodeling is characterized by an increased density of macrophages in the cervical tissue, release of proinflammatory cytokines and prostaglandin E, a functional progesterone withdrawal, activation of metalloproteinase (MMP) enzymes, a changed proteoglycan composition with dispersion of collagen fibrils, and collagen degradation. These biochemical events allow for cervical effacement and dilatation, which is a prerequisite for delivery [4–7, 10].

Although progesterone is regarded as the primary pregnancy maintenance hormone, reports on prophylactic treatment with bioidentical progesterone or synthetic progestins such as 17α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17OH–PC) in delaying PTB are inconclusive [2]. Bioidentical progesterone has no androgenic effects that might affect the lipid metabolism or harm the fetus. In clinical practice, oral progesterone is poorly absorbed because of the liver metabolism, daily progesterone injections would be painful, and a transdermal progesterone preparation has not been available in obstetrics. Vaginal mode of treatment is therefore used [2, 11]. Prophylactic treatment
with progesterone from the second trimester in asymptomatic women with a a medical history of previous PTB or a short CL, which is recommended by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), is effective according to some studies but the largest studies [2, 12, 13]. Extensive screening programmes are needed to evaluate prophylactic strategies since only 10–20 % of women with spontaneous PTB have a previous PTB [2, 9] and only 1 % of asymptomatic women have a CL ≤ 25 mm in the second trimester [14].

Aim
The aim was to evaluate the effect of maintenance treatment with progesterone gel compared to the gel base in delaying PTB among women with early spontaneous preterm labor (sPTL).

Materials and methods
Study design
This trial was conducted at the Obstetric Unit, Department of Women’s and Children’s Health, Karolinska University Hospital and Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden between December 1, 2009 and January 31, 2018.

Ethics approval and registration
Ethics approval was obtained from the Regional Ethics Board for Medical Sciences in Stockholm September 5, 2007, No 2007-311-31. It was registered at the European Union Drug Regulating Authorities Clinical Trials (EudraCT), that participates in the World Health Organization (WHO) International Clinical Trial Registry Platform 01/09/2007, No 2007-003348-31. It was approved by the Swedish Medical Products Agency 15/05/2008, No 151:2008/30388. All treatments were performed according to the relevant clinical guidelines and regulations.

Study participants
Healthy women with singleton pregnancy and PTL after 24 + 0 gestational weeks were included, see Figure 1. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants. The maintenance treatments with progesterone (n = 29) or the gel base (n = 29) were initiated after treatment with intravenous tocolysis
according to standard clinical routines. Preterm labor was uterine contractions $\geq 2/10$ min for $> 30$ min monitored by cardiotocography (CTG), recorded in electronic obstetric records (Obstetrix® Cerner AB, Stockholm, Sweden) and resulting in a CL $< 25$ mm determined sonographically by a specialist in obstetrics and gynecology due to standardized criteria. In all years studied 2009–18, intravenous tocolysis was a bolus dose of the oxytocin receptor antagonist atosiban (Tractocile®, Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Sweden) 6.75 mg followed by infusion of 300 $\mu$g/min during 3 hours and thereafter 100 $\mu$g/min until 48 hours. Alternatively, a $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor agonist terbutaline (Bricanyl®, AstraZeneca PLC, Great Britain) 5 $\mu$g/mL was given for 48 hours according to the individual physician’s choice. All women received two doses of betamethasone (Betapred®, Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB) 12 mg intramuscularly 24 hours apart for fetal lung maturation. Prophylactic antibiotics were not given to women with intact fetal membranes. Intrapartal prophylaxis with benzylpenicillin 3 g every 6 hours was given during active labor before 37 weeks.

The participants were blindly randomised by a computerized system using closed envelopes to maintenance treatment with daily vaginal progesterone gel (Crinone® 90 mg/dose, Merck KGaA, Germany) or the gel base (Replens®, CampusPharma AB, Sweden). It was not possible to blind the gel packages due to practical reasons. Thus, a semi-blinded design was obtained where the randomization process was blinded but not the treatments. Inclusion criteria were singleton pregnancy, intact fetal membranes, and PTL resulting in a CL $< 25$ mm. Exclusion criteria were multiple pregnancy, ruptured fetal membranes, cervical dilatation, cervical cerclage, signs or symptoms of chorioamnionitis, previous uterine surgery, prophylactic progesterone treatment, intercurrent maternal diseases, pregnancy complications such as preeclampsia or gestational diabetes, intrauterine fetal growth restriction or fetal malformations.

The maintenance treatment with progesterone or the gel base continued until 34 +0 weeks, rupture of the fetal membranes or delivery whatever occurred first. All women were seen weekly by an obstetrician at the hospital after discharge.
**Outcomes**

The primary outcome latency to delivery was the time between the first gel dose and childbirth. The secondary outcomes were delivery within 7 days, early spontaneous PTB (sPTB) < 34 weeks, late sPTB < 37 weeks, neonatal birth weight (BW), composite neonatal morbidity, NICU admission and length of NICU stay. Composite neonatal morbidity was Apgar score < 7 at 5 min, the incidence of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome (RDS), intraventricular hemorrhage (IVH), necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and sepsis ≤ 7 days, taken together with retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) and neonatal death during the NICU stay. Neonatal RDS was defined by clinical diagnosis of type I RDS and a requirement of oxygen therapy for at least 24 h. Maternal adverse effects such as fatigue, headache, or intrahepatic cholestasis were monitored.

**Statistics**

Based on results from previous studies [15] our hypothesis was the latency to delivery would be 30 % longer in the progesterone group compared to the control group. According to a power analysis, a sample size of n = 29 in each group would be needed when aiming at a significance of 5 % and power of 80 % [16]. Continuous data were analyzed using Mann Whitney U-test. Continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Categorical data were analyzed with Chi²-test and Fisher´s exact test when appropriate. Confidence intervals and composite neonatal morbidity were analyzed with One way ANOVA. Categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. A two-tailed p value < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

The trial character as a single center study with an aim to include the limited rate of < 1 % of delivering women with extremely early PTL before 28 weeks, with the mentioned inclusion and exclusion criteria explains the duration of the study.
Patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. Demographic maternal data were comparable between the groups. The participants in both groups had a medical history of a previous PTB in 25% and first or second trimester spontaneous abortion (SPA) in 25% (data not shown). The mean circulating level of the inflammatory marker C-reactive protein (CRP) at treatment initiation was < 10 mg/L and comparable between the groups (data not shown). The mean gestational age at inclusion was 26 weeks in both groups, where 82% of the participants were included between 24 – 27 weeks and the remaining 8% between 28 – 31 weeks. The CL (mean ± SD) at treatment initiation was 11 ± 5 mm in the progesterone group and 12 ± 5 mm in controls (p = 0.37).

Maternal data are shown in Table 2. The latency to delivery (mean ± SD) was 58 ± 34 days in the progesterone group and 64 ± 51 days in the gel base group (p = 0.83). The rate of early PTB < 34 weeks was 34% in the progesterone group and 38% in the control group (p = 0.32). The rate of late PTB < 37 weeks was 52% after progesterone and 45% after gel base (p = 0.65). The compliance rates were high. One participant in the progesterone and gel base groups each had their treatment interrupted before 34 weeks after referral to another hospital, and both gave birth after 37 weeks. One woman in the progesterone group, who had an emergency cervical cerclage on maternal request after inclusion in the study, continued her progesterone treatment and gave birth at 34 weeks. No severe maternal side effects such as headache or intrahepatic cholestasis were reported. One woman in the progesterone group reported fatigue.

Neonatal data are shown in Table 3. The BW (mean ± SD) was 2471 ± 1078 g in the progesterone group and 2452 ± 1054 in the gel base group (p = 0.84). The composite neonatal morbidity was 72% (21/29) in the progesterone group and 65% (19/29) in the control group (p = 0.65). The rate of NICU admission was 31% (9/29) after progesterone and 24% (7/29) after gel base, whereas the mean length of NICU stay was 10 days in both groups (p = 0.59). Two neonatal deaths during the NICU stay occurred in the progesterone group and one in the gel base group. These neonatal deaths were caused by postnatally diagnosed severe malformations and chromosomal aberrations and were not associated with the different treatments.
Discussion

We have evaluated the effect of maintenance treatment with vaginal progesterone gel compared to the gel base in delaying sPTB among women with singleton pregnancy and early PTL. Treatment with progesterone gel and gel base were initiated after intravenous tocolysis according to standard clinical routines.

Main findings

The main findings of this study were that the primary outcome latency to delivery was comparable after maintenance treatment with progesterone gel and the gel base. Also, the secondary outcomes rate of delivery within 7 days, early sPTB < 34 weeks, late sPTB < 37 weeks, mean neonatal BW, composite neonatal morbidity, NICU admission, and length of NICU stay did not differ between the groups. The treatments were safe with regard to maternal, fetal and neonatal health in a short perspective, since no severe adverse effects were recorded among the participating women or their neonates until discharge from the hospital.

It was noted, that the latency to delivery in both groups in this trial were longer than the mean latency to delivery 2 days among women with singleton pregnancy, identical inclusion criteria and early PTL, who received intravenous tocolysis alone according to standard clinical routines during the years studied 2009 – 18. The rate of sPTB < 34 weeks among women who received intravenous tocolysis alone was 100%. Among their newborns the rate of NICU admission was 100%, and the mean length of NICU stay was 70 days (p < 0.0001 for latency to delivery and NICU stay between progesterone and gel base groups and standard clinical routines; p= 0.02 for sPTB < 34 weeks between progesterone and gel base groups and standard clinical routines. Clinical communication).

Clinical implications

The present results suggest that the effect was attributed to the gel base, rather than progesterone. The gel base is an acidic emulsion of oil and water. The physiological acidic milieu with a low pH < 4.5 at
the uterine cervix constitutes a biochemical barrier against ascending pathogen invasion from the skin and gastrointestinal microbiomes, and protects the pregnancy from uterine infection and inflammation which are known triggers of PTL. The vaginal microbiome undergoes significant changes during pregnancy resulting in a decrease in the vaginal pH [17]. Therefore, the acidic character of the gel base most likely reinforced the biochemical barrier at the uterine cervix, which counteracted pathogen invasion and PTL. The present results were in accordance with reports on uterine infection due to pathogen invasion from the skin and gastrointestinal microbiomes as an ethiology behind 30 – 60 % of all early PTB [2]. The present findings were also in agreement with results from a study showing that the gel base exerts anti-inflammatory effects, decreases the cervical collagen metabolizing enzyme MMP-13, and delays sPTB [18].

Randomized controlled studies on maintenance treatment with vaginal progesterone gel after the onset of PTL have been warranted [19]. Only a few reports on this topic have been published. Two trials report longer latency do delivery after vaginal progesterone compared to no treatment [15, 20], one reports longer latency to delivery after vaginal progesterone compared to placebo [21], and one reports no reduction in PTB after vaginal progesterone or intramuscular progestin 17OH-PC compared to no treatment [22].

The discrepancies between the present results and previous findings could be explained by the different study designs. Participants in the present trial were included at mean 26 weeks in contrast to 31 week or more [15, 20–21] and 28 weeks or more [22] in previous studies. Spontaneous preterm labor was uterine contractions ≥ 2 per 10 min for more than 30 min resulting in a sonographically determined CL < 25 mm without cervical dilatation in the present and one of the previous studies [22], in contrast to cervical shortening and/or softening or dilatation [15], cervical softening, shortening at least by 50 % and dilatation < 2 cm [20] or cervical dilatation and/or effacement [21] as determined by digital examination in other studies. Transvaginal sonography is recommended for CL assessment in women with PTL, and the accuracy of digital estimation of CL is questioned [13, 14]. Intravenous tocolysis in the present trial was an oxytocin receptor antagonist or a β2-receptor agonist in contrast to
magnesium sulfate in combination with ampicillin [15], a β2-receptor agonist [20], magnesium sulfate in combination with pethidine and ampicillin [21], an oxytocin receptor antagonist, a calcium blocker or a NSAID in earlier studies [22]. The maintenance treatment was progesterone gel 90 mg in the present study, in contrast to vaginal progesterone suppositories 400 mg [15] or 200 mg [20–22] in earlier studies. Different bioavailability between the progesterone preparations could have contributed to the different results. However, the clinical effects are similar when vaginal progesterone gel is compared to a vaginal suppository for luteal phase support in early pregnancy [23].

**Strengths and limitations**

Strengths of this study were the randomized controlled design, the clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, the sonographical CL determination, and that all data were retrieved from original electronic obstetric records at one hospital. Limitations were the lack of double blinded design, the long duration of the study and the limited sample size, although it was adequate according to a power analysis regarding the primary outcome. It is possible also, that the mere inclusion in the study reduced chronic psychosocial stress, which is a risk factor of sPTB, and thereby delayed PTB [24–25].

**Conclusion**

The present results showed, that PTB was delayed more effectively among women who received progesterone gel or the gel base compared to intravenous tocolysis alone according to standard clinical routines, suggesting an effect of the gel base. We conclude, that the acidic gel base reinforced the physiological barrier at the uterine cervix, which counteracted ascending pathogen invasion and thereby delayed PTB. The present results suggest that non-hormonal agents that strengthen the cervical barrier can be useful for the prevention of PTB in clinical practice.
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