Leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 is an antiviral regulator acting through the proto-oncogene MET

Takayoshi Shirasaki1,2✉, Satoshi Yamagoe3, Tetsuro Shimakami4, Kazuhisa Mura1, Ryu Imamura5,6, Kiyo-Aki Ishii7, Hiroaki Takayama7, Yukako Matsumoto7, Natsumi Tajima-Shirasaki7, Naoto Nagata8, Ryogo Shimizu1, Souma Yamanaka1, Atsushi Abe1, Hitoshi Omura4, Kazunori Kawaguchi4, Hikari Okada4, Taro Yamashita4, Tomoki Yoshikawa9, Kazuhiro Takimoto10, Motoko Taharaguchi4, Shogo Takatsuka3, Yoshitsugu Miyazaki3, Toshikatsu Tamai11, Yamato Tanabe11, Makoto Kurachi11, Yasuhiro Yamamoto12, Shuichi Kaneko4, Kunio Matsumoto5,6,13, Toshinari Takamura7 & Masao Honda1,4✉

Retinoic acid-inducible gene (RIG-I) is an essential innate immune sensor that recognises pathogen RNAs and induces interferon (IFN) production. However, little is known about how host proteins regulate RIG-I activation. Here, we show that leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2), a hepatokine and ligand of the MET receptor tyrosine kinase is an antiviral regulator that promotes the RIG-I-mediated innate immune response. Upon binding to MET, LECT2 induces the recruitment of the phosphatase PTP4A1 to MET and facilitates the dissociation and dephosphorylation of phosphorylated SHP2 from MET, thereby protecting RIG-I from SHP2/c-Cbl-mediated degradation. In vivo, LECT2 overexpression enhances RIG-I-dependent IFN production and inhibits lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) replication in the liver, whereas these changes are reversed in LECT2 knockout mice. Forced suppression of MET abolishes IFN production and antiviral activity in vitro and in vivo. Interestingly, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), an original MET ligand, inhibits LECT2-mediated anti-viral signalling; conversely, LECT2-MET signalling competes with HGF-MET signalling. Our findings reveal previously unrecognized crosstalk between MET-mediated proliferation and innate immunity and suggest that targeting LECT2 may have therapeutic value in infectious diseases and cancer.
etinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) is a key sensor of virus recognition and establishes an antiviral host response. The ligands of RIG-I are 5’-triphosphorylated RNAs and di-phosphorylated single-stranded RNAs. Once activated, RIG-I induces different signalling pathways that lead to the induction of type I interferons (IFNs) and proinflammatory cytokines.

Recently, various studies have suggested that RIG-I plays an important role in not only defence against viral infection but also defence against cancer and autoimmune diseases. Therefore, understanding the biology of RIG-I, and in particular the mechanism of RIG-I activation, has important implications for maintaining human health and overcoming disease. Previously, we found that selenoprotein P mRNA, which encodes a liver-derived secretory protein (hepatokine), inhibits type I IFN responses by limiting the function of RIG-I. Recent studies have also suggested that viral infection can regulate RIG-I activation by host cellular RNAs, e.g. non-coding RNAs, instead of viral RNAs. However, little is known about host proteins activate the RIG-I pathway.

In this study, we focused on another hepatokine, leukocyte cell-derived chemotaxin 2 (LECT2). LECT2 is a secreted protein originally identified as a chemotactic factor for neutrophils that is produced predominantly in the liver. LECT2 is now known to be involved in cell development as well as several pathological conditions including sepsis, liver disease, metabolic syndrome and cancer. The receptors for LECT2 vary from cell to cell and are known to interact with CD209 in dendritic cells, tyrosine kinase with immunoglobulin-like and EGF-like domains 1 in endothelial cells, and MET in hepatocytes. LECT2 is a tyrosine kinase receptor with an extracellular α-chain and transmembrane β-chain joined by disulide bond. Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) is a ligand of MET, and HGF/MET signalling regulates cell motility and proliferation in normal conditions. In contrast, inappropriate HGF/MET signalling promotes the onset, proliferation, invasion and metastasis of cancer. LECT2 is also a ligand of MET; however, it is unknown whether MET has a role in innate immune responses or if LECT2 affects MET signalling and innate immune responses. Thus, little is known about which host factors are involved in the crosstalk between proliferation and innate immunity.

Here, we demonstrate that LECT2 has a critical role in innate immune responses. Specifically, LECT2 significantly enhances IFN expression in a RIG-I dependent manner through MET. The proliferation signal of HGF and the innate immune response signal of LECT2 mutually regulate each other by using MET as a receptor. MET-mediated crosstalk between LECT2 and HGF LECT2 may serve as a potential therapeutic target not only for viral infection but also for cancer.

**Results**

**LECT2 is a positive regulator of RIG-I-mediated innate immune responses.** To examine the functional relevance of LECT2 in viral infection, we evaluated LECT2, MET and HGF mRNA expression in the liver of patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC). LECT2 mRNA was significantly up-regulated in the early stage of CHC (F12) compared with patients with simple steatosis, although LECT2 mRNA expression was decreased in the advanced stage of CHC (F34) (Supplementary Fig. 1). Similarly, MET mRNA was up-regulated in CHC (F12) and decreased in the advanced stage of CHC (F34) (Supplementary Fig. 1). LECT2 mRNA was significantly correlated with MET mRNA, while HGF mRNA was not correlated with MET mRNA (Supplementary Fig. 1), suggesting the interaction between LECT2 and MET has biological significance in CHC. In primary human hepatocytes, LECT2 mRNA was induced by 4-5-fold following JFH-1 infection (reference data GSE31264 and GSE31455); therefore, LECT2 might be involved in the regulation of hepatitis C virus (HCV) replication and the pathogenesis of CHC. To determine the effect of LECT2 on innate immune responses in hepatocytes, we established a human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line overexpressing LECT2 (HepG2-LECT2 cells) by using a lentivirus expression system. The abundant expression of LECT2 mRNA and protein was observed in HepG2-LECT2 cells (Fig. 1a, c). Next, HepG2 and HepG2-LECT2 cells were transfected with HCV-RNA or Poly(I:C). LECT2 overexpression significantly enhanced HCV-RNA- and Poly(I:C)-induced IFN expression (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2a). LECT2 overexpression enhanced HCV-RNA- and Poly(I:C)-induced RIG-I expression, but not melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5) expression (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2b). HCV-RNA- and Poly(I:C)-induced IFN regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) phosphorylation was also significantly higher in HepG2-LECT2 cells than in HepG2 cells (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. 2b). Since LECT2 is a secretory protein, we prepared recombinant LECT2 protein (r-LECT2). Consistent with the results of HepG2-LECT2 cells, the HCV-RNA-induced expression of IFNB1 and IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs; MX dynamin-like GTPase 1 [MX1] and 2’,5’-oligoadenylate synthetase 2 [OAS2]) was enhanced in r-LECT2-treated HepG2 cells (Fig. 1d). As RIG-I expression was markedly induced in HepG2-LECT2 cells and r-LECT2-treated HepG2 cells by HCV-RNA or Poly(I:C), we reasoned that LECT2 dominantly regulates RIG-I-mediated innate immune responses. To test our hypothesis, we knocked down RIG-I and MDA5 genes in HepG2 cells by using small interfering RNA (siRNA). RIG-I knockdown completely abolished r-LECT2-activated IFNB1 and ISG expression, while MDA5 knockdown had no effect on the LECT2 activation phenotype (Fig. 1e, f). To confirm these results in vivo, we generated LECT2 transgenic mice (LECT2-TG) and LECT2 knockout mice (LECT2-KO) and intravenously injected these mice with Poly(I:C). LECT2-TG mice showed dramatic enhancement of Poly(I:C)-induced Ifnb1 and ISG (Mx1 and chemokine [C-X-C motif] ligand 10 [Cxcl10]) expression (Supplementary Fig. 3a). In contrast, LECT2-KO mice showed a significant impairment of Poly(I:C)-induced Ifnb1 and ISG expression (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Next, we isolated primary mouse hepatocytes from adult male C57BL/6J mice and treated the cells with recombinant mouse LECT2 protein (r-mouse LECT2), and then transfected the cells with Poly(I:C). Similar to the results obtained with HepG2 cells, r-mouse LECT2-treated primary mouse hepatocytes had enhanced Poly(I:C)-induced Ifnb1 and ISG (Mx1 and Cxcl10) expression (Supplementary Fig. 3c). However, r-mouse LECT2 did not affect lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated Toll-like receptor 4 signalling (Supplementary Fig. 3d). Interestingly, r-LECT2-mediated innate immune activation was blocked by LECT2 specific antibody (Fig. 1g). Collectively, these results demonstrated that LECT2 is a positive regulator of RIG-I-mediated innate immune responses.

**LECT2 is an antiviral hepatokine.** To determine how LECT2 influences antiviral responses in hepatocytes, we used KH cells, which were established from human hepatocellular carcinoma. Although KH cells have an intact RIG-I pathway, they can support the entire life cycle of HCV. KH cells were treated with r-LECT2 and then transfected with synthetic viral RNA (H77S.3/ GLuc2A; H77 strain with Gaussia luciferase [GLuc] 2A is a construct in which the GLuc sequence, fused to the 2A auto...
Fig. 1 LECT2 is a positive regulator of RIG-I-mediated innate immune responses. a qRT-PCR analysis of LECT2 mRNA in HepG2-WT and HepG2-LECT2 cells. Results were normalised to those of ACTB. b qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 mRNA in HepG2-WT and HepG2-LECT2 cells at 12 h after mock (Cont) or HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. c Immunoblot analysis of RIG-I, MDA5, p-IRF3, IRF3, LECT2 and β-actin in HepG2-WT and HepG2-LECT2 cells at 6 h after mock (Cont) or HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 2 technical replicates. d qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1, MX1 and OAS2 in non-treated (Nont) and r-LECT2-treated HepG2 cells at 0, 3, 6 and 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 2 technical replicates. e Immunoblot analysis of RIG-I, MDA5, p-IRF3, IRF3, LECT2 and β-actin in non-treated (Nont), proteinase-treated and r-LECT2-treated cells (Cont siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells, RIG-I siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells, and MDA5 siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells) at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, not significantly different from the control (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. f Immunoblot analysis of RIG-I, MDA5, and β-actin in non-treated (Nont), proteinase-treated and r-LECT2-treated cells (Cont siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells, RIG-I siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells, and MDA5 siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells) at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001 by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. (lower) Immunoblot analysis of RIG-I, MDA5, p-IRF3, IRF3, LECT2 and β-actin at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Source data are provided as a Source data file.

catalytic protease of foot-and-mouth virus RNA, is inserted in-frame between p7 and NS2)25. Similar to the results obtained with HepG2 cells, r-LECT2 treatment of KH cells transfected with H77S.3/ΔGluc-RNA significantly enhanced IFNB1 and RIG-I (DDX58) expression in a dose-dependent manner, but not MDA5 (IFIH1) expression (Fig. 2a). In this setting, r-LECT2 strongly inhibited HCV replication (Fig. 2b). We also established KH cells overexpressing LECT2 (KH-LECT2 cells) by using a lentivirus expression system (Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). KH-LECT2 cells also showed significantly enhanced IFNB1 and DDX58 expression, but not IFIH1 expression (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Consistent with this result, LECT2 overexpression strongly inhibited HCV replication (Supplementary Fig. 4d). As encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) is known to be recognised predominantly by MDA526–28, we assessed EMCV replication in r-LECT2-treated KH cells following infection with EMCV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1.0. As expected, r-LECT2 did not affect EMCV replication or IFNB1 expression (Fig. 2c). These
results suggest that LECT2 has a positive effect on the activation of RIG-I-mediated antiviral responses in hepatocytes. To examine further whether LECT2 is important for innate immune responses against infection with viruses other than HCV, we infected Lect2-TG and Lect2-KO mice with lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) or mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) via intraperitoneal injection. Body weight and liver weight in TG and KO mice were not significantly different from those in wild-type (WT) mice (Fig. 2d, e). After infection, Ifnb1 and Ddx58 expression was significantly enhanced in Lect2-TG mice, whereas their expression was impaired in Lect2-KO mice (Fig. 2f and Supplementary Fig. 4e). Consistent with this result, viral load was significantly lower in the liver of Lect2-TG mice than in the liver of WT mice (Fig. 2f, g, and Supplementary Fig. 4e). In contrast, viral load was significantly higher in the liver of Lect2-KO mice than in the liver of WT mice (Fig. 2f, g, and Supplementary Fig. 4e). Next, we injected WT mice with recombinant mouse LECT2 protein (r-mLECT2) via intravenous injection then infected...
with LCMV. Importantly, viral load was significantly lower in the liver of r-mLect2 treated mice than in the liver of PBS treated mice (Fig. 2h). Collectively, these results revealed that LECT2 activates innate immune responses and suppresses viral replication in hepatocytes.

**MET has an important role in innate immune responses.** Next, we explored the mechanism by which LECT2 regulates innate immune responses. MET is a candidate receptor for LECT2\(^1\). To determine the effect of MET on innate immune responses, we used CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing to eliminate its expression in HepG2 cells. MET expression was detected in HepG2 cells transduced with a nontargeting single guide RNA (sgRNA) with a scrambled sequence, but not in MET-KO cells transduced with MET-specific sgRNA (Fig. 3a). These cells were transfected with Poly(I:C). MET-KO cells showed a significant impairment of Poly(I:C)-induced IFNB1 expression (Fig. 3b). We also observed the same results in a human liver bile duct carcinoma cell line (HuCCT1 cells) and immortalised human umbilical vein endothelial cell line (HUVEt-2 cells) (Supplementary Fig. 5). Next, we assessed whether these results also occur in vivo. As MET-KO mice are embryonically lethal\(^2\), we used locked nucleic acid (LNA)-Gapmer\(^3\) to silence Met expression in the mice. C57BL/6j mice were injected intravenously with Gapmer, and at 1 day after injection, they were infected intraperitoneally with LCMV. At 5 days after LCMV infection, Met Gapmer-injected mice showed a significant reduction of Met protein in the liver (Fig. 3c). Similar to the results in HepG2-MET-KO cells, Met Gapmer-injected mice showed a significant impairment of LCMV infection-induced Ifnb1 and Rig-1 (Ddx58) expression in the liver (Fig. 3d). Reflecting this result, Met Gapmer-injected mice showed significantly increased LCMV replication in the liver compared to control Gapmer-injected mice (Fig. 3d). Next, we examined whether HGF, a high-affinity ligand for MET, affects innate immune responses. As expected, HGF induced MET phosphorylation and the activation of GRB2-associated binding protein 1 (GAB1) and SHP2, which are located downstream from MET (Fig. 3e). Interestingly, HGF-treated HepG2 cells showed significant impairment of Poly(I:C)-induced IFNB1 expression (Fig. 3f). More importantly, we found that K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation of Rig-1 were induced by HGF (Fig. 3g). These results suggest that MET itself has an important role in the activation of innate immune responses, but HGF-MET signalling has an immune suppressive role.

**MET is essential for LECT2-mediated innate immune responses.** Since LECT2 binds directly to the MET receptor at the cell membrane\(^1\), we reasoned that the positive regulation of innate immune responses by LECT2 is probably mediated via MET signalling. Indeed, LECT2 co-localised with MET at the HepG2 cell membrane (Fig. 4a). Interestingly, r-LECT2-mediated innate immune activation was completely blocked by depletion of MET protein by specific siRNA (Fig. 4b). To determine further whether MET is essential for LECT2-mediated innate immune activation, we used HepG2-MET-KO cells (Fig. 4d) as described earlier. We assessed HCV replication in these cells by co-transfection with synthetic viral RNA (H77S.3/GLuc2A) and the duplex microRNA miR-122\(^3\), an essential HCV host factor that HepG2 cells lack. HCV replication was enhanced in HepG2-MET-KO cells relative to HepG2-WT cells (Fig. 4e). Consistent with the previous results, HCV replication was suppressed in HepG2-WT cells by treatment with r-LECT2. However, r-LECT2 did not suppress HCV replication in HepG2-MET-KO cells (Fig. 4e). IFNB1 expression was significantly lower in HCV-RNA-transfected HepG2-MET-KO cells than in HepG2-WT cells (Fig. 4e). Moreover, MET deficiency impaired r-LECT2-induced IFNB1 expression (Fig. 4e). We obtained similar results with KH-MET-KO cells (Supplementary Fig. 6). Next, we assessed EMCV replication in r-LECT2-treated HepG2-MET-KO cells by infection with EMCV at a MOI of 1.0. MET deficiency did not affect EMCV replication or IFNB1 expression in the presence or absence of r-LECT2 (Fig. 4f). As LECT2 also binds to the DC-SIGN receptor at the cell membrane\(^1\), we examined the effect of LECT2 on DC-SIGN signalling, and found that DC-SIGN expression was undetectable in HepG2 cells and r-LECT2 did not affect IFNB1 and ISG (MX1 and OAS2) expression in DC-SIGN-overexpressing HepG2 cells following HCV-RNA transfection (Supplementary Fig. 7). These results suggest that the interaction of LECT2 and MET is essential for LECT2-mediated innate immune activation, and LECT2 and MET are both indispensable for RIG-I dependent antiviral activity in hepatocytes.

**LECT2 activates innate immune responses by suppressing Tyr1349 phosphorylation in MET.** MET has four tyrosine phosphorylation sites: p-Tyr1003, p-Tyr1234/1235, p-Tyr1349 and p-Tyr1356\(^2\). To determine how LECT2 affects each phosphorylation of MET site, we employed a set of MET mutants (Y1234/1235F, Y1349F and Y1356F) and transfected these mutants into HepG2-MET-KO cells. Interestingly, partial recovery of r-LECT2-mediated activation of IFNB1 expression was observed in MET-WT, Y1234/1235F- and Y1356F-transfected HepG2-MET-KO cells by HCV-RNA transfection. However, Y1349F-transfected HepG2-MET-KO cells could not mediate r-LECT2-mediated innate immune activation (Fig. 5a). Consistent with these results, LECT2 selectively suppressed Tyr1349 phosphorylation in MET (Fig. 5b). Furthermore, we generated a
Fig. 3 MET has an important role in innate immune responses. a Immunoblot analysis of MET and β-actin in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection. b qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection. Results were normalised to those of ACTB. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***$p < 0.001$ by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. c, d C57BL/6J mice were injected intravenously with Cont Gapmer or Met Gapmer, and at 1 day after injection, the mice were infected intraperitoneally with 1.0 × 10⁴ pfu LCMV. c Immunoblot analysis of MET and β-actin in the liver of WT mice at 5 days after LCMV infection. d qRT-PCR analysis of LCMV, Ifnb1 and Ddx58 in the liver of WT mice at 5 days after LCMV infection. Data shown are means of 5 biological replicates ± SEM. ***$p < 0.001$ by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Boxplots: centre line, median; box limits, 25 to 75th percentiles; whiskers, min to max. e Immunoblot analysis of p-MET, MET, p-GAB1, GAB1, p-SHP2, SHP2 and β-actin in non-treated HepG2 cells and HGF-treated HepG2 cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection. f qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in non-treated HepG2 cells and HGF-treated HepG2 cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***$p < 0.001$ by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. g HepG2-RIG-I-KO cells were transfected with RIG-I plasmid, HA-ubiquitin plasmid. At 48 h after transfection, the cells were transfected with Poly(I:C) in the presence of MG132. At 12 h after Poly(I:C) transfection, lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-RIG-I antibody. Immunoblot analysis of K48-Ub, RIG-I, p-MET, MET, p-SHP2, SHP2 and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. Source data are provided as a Source data file.
phospho-mimetic (Tyr-to-Asp, Y-D) mutant (MET Y1349D) in which the 1349th tyrosine phosphorylation site of MET is constantly phosphorylated. In MET Y1349D overexpressing cells, dephosphorylation of MET 1349 by LECT2 did not occur as seen which the 1349th tyrosine phosphorylation site of MET is conserved.

Fig. 4 MET is essential for LECT2-mediated innate immune responses. a HepG2 cells were transfected with FLAG-tagged LECT2 plasmid and MET plasmid. Co-immunofluorescence staining of LECT2 using an anti-FLAG antibody and MET using an anti-MET antibody in HepG2 cells. DAPI was used to stain nuclei. b qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in MET-knockdown HepG2 cells at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection in the presence or absence of r-LECT2. Results were normalized to those of ACTB. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, **p = 0.002, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. c Immunoblot analysis of MET, RIG-I, p-IRF3 and β-actin in MET-knockdown HepG2 cells at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection in the presence or absence (Nont) of r-LECT2. d Immunoblot analysis of MET and GAPDH in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells. e (left) qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells at 12 h after miR-122 and H77S.3/Gluc-RNA co-transfection in the presence or absence (Nont) of r-LECT2. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. e (right) Gluc activity in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells at 12 h after miR-control (miR-cont) or miR-122 and H77S.3/Gluc-RNA co-transfection in the presence or absence (Nont) of r-LECT2. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. f qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 and EMCV in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells at 24 h after EMCV infection in the presence or absence (Nont) of r-LECT2. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. Not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Source data are provided as a Source data file.
HCV-RNA-induced IFNB1 expression; however, LECT2 restored the inhibitory effect of SHP2 (Fig. 6i). Collectively, these results revealed that LECT2 acts to stabilize RIG-I protein expression by suppressing SHP2 activation.

PTP4A1 is essential for the enhancement of innate immune response by LECT2. As LECT2 suppressed MET phosphorylation, we reasoned that LECT2 probably affects the interaction of MET with phosphotyrosine phosphatases (PTPs). We selected a candidate PTP, PTP4A1, which was one of the most strongly positively correlated genes with MET expression among 30,614 expressed genes in the liver tissue of CHC patients (Supplementary Fig. 1). To test this hypothesis, we immunoprecipitated MET in HepG2-WT and HepG2-MET-KO cells. MET, PTP4A1 and p-SHP2 interactions were detected at the endogenous level in HepG2-WT cells (Fig. 7a). Interestingly, MET overexpression promoted the recruitment of PTP4A1 to MET; however, the recruitment of p-SHP2 was completely abolished (Fig. 7a).

The degree of PTP4A1 recruitment to MET was consistent with the expression of endogenous RIG-I (Fig. 7a). This phenomenon was also observed in HepG2-MET-KO cells (Fig. 7a). In the liver tissue of patients with CHC, LECT2 expression was significantly correlated with PTP4A1 expression, but not SHP2 expression. Furthermore, PTP4A1 expression was significantly correlated with RIG-I expression (Supplementary Fig. 1). To determine further whether PTP4A1 recruitment to MET is essential for LECT2-mediated RIG-I dependent innate immune activation, we co-transfected HepG2-MET-KO cells with MET and PTP4A1 expression plasmids. In the absence of MET, PTP4A1 significantly enhanced r-LECT2-induced IFNB1 expression (Fig. 7b). However, in the presence of MET, PTP4A1 significantly increased r-LECT2-induced IFNB1 expression (Fig. 7b). Next, we confirmed this phenomenon by using KH-MET-KO cells (Fig. 7c, d). The same results were obtained in KH-MET-KO cells as in HepG2-MET-KO cells for IFNB1 and RIG-I (DDX58) expression (Fig. 7d). PTP4A1 did not affect MAD5 (IFIH1) expression.
In the presence of MET and PTP4A1, r-LECT2 strongly inhibited HCV replication (Fig. 7e). Next, we performed immunofluorescence staining to confirm PTP4A1 recruitment to MET visually. In the absence of r-LECT2, MET and PTP4A1 co-localization were partially consistent; however, in the presence of r-LECT2, their co-localization was completely consistent in cytoplasm or endosome as well as cell surface (Fig. 7f). Next, we established PTP4A1 knockout cells (PTP4A1-KO cells) and evaluated the effect of LECT2 to enhance RIG-I dependent innate immune response. In the absence of PTP4A1, we found that...
Fig. 6 SHP2 inactivation by LECT2 is essential for LECT2-mediated RIG-I dependent innate immune activation. a HepG2 cells were treated with r-LECT2. Immunoblot analysis of p-SHP2, SHP2, p-GAB1, GAB1 and β-actin in r-LECT2-treated HepG2 cells. b Relative intensity. c Immunoblot analysis of K48-ubiquitin (Ub), RIG-I (FLAG), LECT2 (Myc) and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. d qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in HepG2-WT and HepG2-SHP2-KO cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection in the presence or absence of r-LECT2. Results were normalised to those of ACTB. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. **p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. e Immunoblot analysis of SHP2, RIG-I, MDAS, p-IRF3, IRF3 and β-actin in HepG2-WT and HepG2-SHP2-KO cells at 12 h after Cont or Poly(I:C) transfection in the presence or absence of r-LECT2. f Immunoblot analysis of RIG-I, MDAS, p-IRF3, IRF3, p-ERK, ERK1/2 and β-actin in DMSO-treated HepG2 cells and SHP099-treated HepG2 cells at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection in the presence or absence of r-LECT2. g qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1, DDX58, IFIH1, OAS2 and MXI1 in DMSO-treated HepG2 cells and SHP099-treated HepG2 cells at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection in the presence or absence of r-LECT2. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. h Immunoblot analysis of K48-Ub, SHP2, LECT2 (FLAG), RIG-I and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. i HepG2-RIG-I-KO cells were transected with RIG-I plasmid, SHP2 plasmid and FLAG-tagged LECT2 plasmid. At 48 h after transfection, the cells were transfected with HCV-RNA. qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 in these cells at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, **p = 0.001 by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Source data are provided as a Source data file.

LECT2 did not enhance the innate immune response at all. This result indicates that PTP4A1 is essential for the enhancement of innate immune response by LECT2 (Fig. 7g).

PTP4A1 recruitment to MET is essential for LECT2-mediated RIG-I dependent innate immune responses. The p-SHP2/c-Cbl complex forms complex with RIG-I and ubiquitinate RIG-I (K48 Ub) that undergoes to proteasome degradation. Indeed, in the physiological ligand-free state, the Tyr1349 phosphorylation site of MET is phosphorylated and binds to the phosphatase PTP4A1 (Fig. 8a). In addition, p-SHP2 and c-Cbl are also bound to MET (Fig. 8a). Interestingly, LECT2 increased the recruitment of PTP4A1 to MET, while decreased the binding of p-SHP2 and c-Cbl to MET (Fig. 8a). Overexpression of PTP4A1 increased the MET associated PTP4A1 and decreased MET associated p-SHP2 and c-Cbl (Fig. 8b). Importantly, p-Tyr1349 mutant (Y1349F) did not recruit PTP4A1 on MET and the levels of MET associated p-SHP2 and c-Cbl did not change (Fig. 8b). The results indicated PTP4A1 targeted p-Tyr1349 and competed with p-SHP2 at p-Tyr1349. We also found that LECT2 did not enhance the innate immune response in c-Cbl2 knockdown cells (Fig. 8c). Finally, we assessed the effect of LECT2 on HGF signalling with p-Tyr1349. We also found that LECT2 did not enhance the innate immune response by PTP4A1 to MET, which suppresses the activation of SHP2/c-Cbl mediated RIG-I ubiquitination.

Discussion

In the field of infectious immunity, LECT2 protects against Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Helicobacter pylori infections by activating macrophages via CD209a17,34. However, the regulation of LECT2 mRNA expression has not been clarified. LECT2 is regulated by liver regeneration35 and a recent report showed that LECT2 mRNA is induced in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. In this study, we and reference data (GSE31264 and GSE31455) showed that LECT2 mRNA is induced in cultured cells by HCV infection and significantly up-regulated in the liver tissue of patients with early-stage CHC compared with simple steatosis patients (Supplementary Fig. 1). Furthermore, CHC patients with a sustained virological response showed significantly higher LECT2 mRNA expression than patients without a sustained virological response (Supplementary Fig. 1). Here, we showed that LECT2 plays a role in anti-viral defence by protecting the SHP2/c-Cbl mediated RIG-I degradation via MET. However, LECT2-MET-mediated innate immune responses may not be restricted only to hepatocytes, and they might also have a role in immune cell activation. In vivo, the increase of LCMV infection by the forced suppression of MET using Gapmer might be explained by the decrease of RIG-I activity in hepatocytes and immune cells.

Mechanistically, we demonstrated that LECT2 activates innate immune responses via the suppression of Tyr1349 phosphorylation in MET. The catalytic docking site (Tyr1234/1235) of MET positively modulates enzyme activity, while its multifunctional docking site (Tyr1349/1356) recruits several transducers and adaptors, e.g. GAB1, SHC, GRB2 and SHP2. Recent studies demonstrated that SHP2/c-Cbl complex promotes K48-linked ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of RIG-132. These reports are in full conformity with our results showing that HGF promotes SHP2 phosphorylation, leading to the degradation of RIG-I by SHP2/c-Cbl complex and LECT2 promotes SHP2 dephosphorylation, thereby inhibiting RIG-I degradation by SHP2/c-Cbl complex. More importantly, we found that PTP4A1 is a physiological partner of MET that dephosphorylates SHP2 in ligand-free conditions. Depleting MET released PTP4A1 from MET and increased p-SHP2/c-Cbl complex, which promoted RIG-I degradation. In this sense, MET is a physiological immune molecule against pathogens by protecting RIG-I from degradation. We showed that the binding of LECT2 to MET leads to the further recruitment of PTP4A1 to a phosphorylation site of MET, resulting in the dissociation of SHP2 and c-Cbl from MET. Conversely, HGF competes with LECT2 signalling by dissociating PTP4A1 from MET and increasing the recruitment of SHP2. HGF and LECT2 reportedly bind to different portions of the extracellular domain of MET, i.e. HGF binds to the β-chain and LECT2 binds to the α-chain19. Therefore, the different signalling pathways of HGF and LECT2 observed in this study could be explained as the binding of HGF and LECT2 at the cell surface changed the conformation of the cytoplasmic domain of MET to open or close the Tyr1349 site for the recruitment of PTP4A1. Further study using protein crystallisation could reveal the detailed mechanism of PTP4A1 recruitment and SHP2 dephosphorylation.

Nevertheless, our data indicated that MET serves as a ‘molecular switch’ by selecting ligands for innate immune signalling and cell proliferation. This mechanism might be beneficial for inhibiting viral proliferation in infected cells and preventing viral spread during hepatitis, and then once the virus is eliminated, it may enable a quick shift from anti-viral immune signalling to hepatocyte regeneration. HGF-MET signalling participates in haematopoietic cell differentiation; therefore, the increased expression of MET in immune cells reported previously36 should enable immune cells to switch quickly from a state of differentiation/proliferation to become functional immune effector cells.
LECT2 expression and RIG-I activation are associated with the prognosis of liver cancer\textsuperscript{19,37}. However, current MET inhibitors also eliminate the LECT2-dependent innate immune-stimulating effects\textsuperscript{38}. Therefore, the development of MET inhibitors which preserve LECT2-MET signalling could be an attractive and effective therapeutic approach.

In conclusion, our studies have revealed a previously unrecognized role for LECT2 in innate immune responses and provide insight into the crosstalk that exists between innate immunity and cell proliferation in the liver (Supplementary Fig. 10). They add to our understanding of the link between infection, tissue repair and cancer.

**Methods**

**Study approval and ethics statements.** All in vitro studies were carried out with approval from the Ethics Committee at Kanazawa University. All mouse studies were carried out in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals issued by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the National Institute of Infectious Diseases.

For human research participants, the research protocols were reviewed by the ethics committee at Kanazawa University and its related hospitals. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**Cells.** HepG2 cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). KH cells were established from surgically resected hepatocellular carcinoma tissue from a patient with CHC\textsuperscript{24}. These cells were maintained in...
Fig. 7 PTP4A1 is essential for the enhancement of innate immune response by LECT2. a Immuno blot analysis of MET, PTP4A1, p-SHP2, RIG-I and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. b HepG2-MET-KO cells were transfected with Control, MET and PTP4A1 plasmid or co-transfected with MET and PTP4A1 plasmid. These cells were treated with 50 ng/mL r-LECT2 for 1 h and then transfected with HCV-RNA. qRT-PCR analysis of IFNBI in these cells. Results were normalised to those of ACTB. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. *** p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. c-e KH-MET-KO cells were transfected with Control, MET and PTP4A1 plasmid or co-transfected with MET and PTP4A1 plasmid. These cells were treated with 50 ng/mL r-LECT2 for 1 h and then transfected with H77.5.3/Gluc-RNA. c Immuno blot analysis of MET, PTP4A1 and β-actin in these cells. d qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1, DX58 and IFIH1 in these cells. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. *** p < 0.001, * p < 0.05, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. e Gluc activity in these cells at 48 h after H77.5.3/Gluc-RNA transfection. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. ** p < 0.01, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test.

Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). HuCCT1 cells were kindly provided by Professor Kunio Matsumoto (Kanazawa University, Ishikawa, Japan), and were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies) containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies), 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin. HUEkt-2 cells were purchased from JCRB (Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources) Cell Bank (National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Osaka, Japan), and were maintained in EGM2™2 Endothelial Cell Growth Medium-2 BulletKit™ (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland). Mouse primary hepatocytes were isolated from 8-week-old male C57BL/6J mice as described previously.

Viruses. EMCV was kindly provided by Professor Mitsutoshi Yoneyama (Chiba University, Chiba, Japan)26. LCMV-WE-NIID strain (GenBank accession numbers M12368 and M12369) was passaged in L02 cells before they received PEG-IFNa-2b (Schering-Plough K.K., Tokyo, Japan). The mutants were generated using a QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol by using a forward primer (5′-ACATGTCGAACCATTATGTCATACTGGCTAGCA-3′) and reverse primer (5′-AGGTGGCGCTGGATGCTGCTGCAGAC-3′) for the Y1349F mutant, forward primer (5′-ATCATGGAAGCTACTTCTGTTGATTGAGGTAATTGTTG-3′) and reverse primer (5′-GGAAGACTATTAGTCGACAAATAGGTGGGATTGCT-3′) for the Y1349F mutant. miRNA negative control and miR-122 mimic were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Plasmids and miRNAs were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. LNA-Gapmer was obtained from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). The sequence of the Gapmer targeting Met mRNA was 5′-GAGTGTGAGGTGAATTT-3′ with LNA.

Recombinant LECT2 protein. Human LECT2 cDNA in the CGSB Human ORF-Orme Collection was purchased. Mouse LECT2 cDNA was obtained by RT-PCR using a cDNA library prepared from TAC2 mouse mammary gland epithelial cells. Flag- and His-tag cDNAs were inserted into the 3′-end of human and mouse LECT2 cDNAs. Mammalian expression plasmids for human and mouse LECT2 (pFlagHis1.1-LECT2-Flag-His and pHis1.1-LECT2-Flag-His) were constructed using the pHIS1.1 vector, pHIS1.1-AECT2-Flag-His and pHis1.1-LECT2-Flag-His linearized by Asel were respectively transfected into CHO-K1 cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen). For selection, the cells were cultured in Ham’s F-12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 15 μg/mL puromycin. The cells were cultured in a floating condition at 135 rpm in CHO-CD-XP medium supplemented with 5% FBS, 15 % glucose and 0.1% gentamicin (Hydrolysate Blend XP; Irvine Scientific, Santa Ana, CA) for 6 days in 8% CO2, followed by floating culture in the same condition at a larger scale (950 mL) for 8 days. Culture medium was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min and the supernatant was passed through a filter membrane (0.45-μm pore size; Merck Millipore). The medium was applied to a Hi-Trap heparin column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL) equilibrated with 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0) buffer containing 0.01% Tween 80 and LECT2 was eluted using a concentration gradient of NaCl in the same buffer. Fractions containing LECT2 were collected, NaCl concentration in the eluate was adjusted to 0.5 M, and applied to a nickel affinity column (cOmplete His-Tag Purification Column; Roche, Mannheim, Germany) equilibrated with 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.0) buffer containing 0.01% Tween 80. LECT2 was eluted by a concentration gradient of imidazole in the same buffer (Supplementary Fig. 9). Fractions containing LECT2 were applied and eluted using a heparin column to remove imidazole. Protein concentration was determined by a BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific).

Establishment of cell lines stably expressing LECT2. A lentiviral transfer plasmid encoding the LECT2 gene was created by PCR by amplifying the LECT2 cDNA plasmid as a template by using a forward primer (5′-TGGAGTTACATGGTGTGGATGCTGCTGCAGAC-3′) with an EcoRI restriction site and a reverse primer (5′-AAATTCCTTATAGCAGGGACGATGGGACGTTAATGTTG-3′) with an Xbal restriction site. The PCR product was digested with the above enzymes and ligated into a similarly digested plVSIN-CMV-Flag vector (Takara Bio, Otsu, Japan) to obtain the plVSIN-CMV-LECT2 vector. Viral particles were generated by transfecting plated Lenti-X 293 T cells (Takara Bio) with the plVSIN-CMV Pur or plVSIN-CMV-LECT2 vector, along
**Fig. 8 PTP4A1 recruitment to MET is essential for LECT2-mediated RIG-I dependent innate immune responses.**

**a** HepG2 cells were treated with r-LECT2 (0, 5, 10, 50 ng/mL). At 6 h after treatment, lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-MET antibody. Immunoblot analysis of MET, p-MET (Tyr1349), p-SHP2, SHP2, PTP4A1, c-Cbl and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. **b** HepG2-MET-KO cells were transfected with Control plasmid (Cont), MET WT plasmid, MET Y1349F plasmid, or PTP4A1 plasmid. At 48 h after transfection, lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-MET antibody. Immunoblot analysis of MET, p-MET (Tyr1349), p-SHP2, SHP2, PTP4A1, c-Cbl and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. **c** HepG2 cells were transfected with 50 nM c-Cbl siRNA. The concentration of siRNA was adjusted to 50 nM with control siRNA. At 24 h after siRNA transfection, siRNA-transfected HepG2 cells were treated with 50 ng/mL r-LECT2 for 1 h and then transfected with HCV-RNA. **c** (upper) Immunoblot analysis of c-Cbl, RIG-I and β-actin at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. **c** (lower) qRT-PCR analysis of IFNB1 at 12 h after HCV-RNA transfection. Results were normalised to those of ACTB. Data shown are means of 3 technical replicates ± SEM. **p < 0.001, not significant (ns) by two-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison test.** **d** HepG2-MET-KO cells were transfected with Control plasmid or MET plasmid; at 48 h after transfection, the cells were treated with 50 ng/mL r-LECT2 and/or 10 ng/mL HGF. At 1 h after r-LECT2 and/or HGF treatment, lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-MET antibody. Immunoblot analysis of MET, p-MET (Tyr1234/1235), p-MET (Tyr1349), p-MET (Tyr1356), PTP4A1, p-SHP2, SHP2 and β-actin in immunoprecipitated samples and input cell lysate. Source data are provided as a Source data file.
with Lentiviral High Titer Packaging Mix (Takara Bio) using the FuGENE-HD transfection reagent (Promega, Madison, WI). The supernatant fluids harvested at 72 h were passed through a 0.22-µm syringe filter and transduced into HepG2, KH, HuH7, and HUVECT-2 cells. At 72 h after transduction, these cells were treated with puromycin (5 mg/mL) for antibiotic selection. We used antibiotic-resistant bulk cell populations for experiments to avoid clonal biases.

**Establishment of MET, SHP2, PTPA1, and RIG-I KO cell lines.** The production of the sgRNA CRISPR/Cas9 lentivirus was carried out by co-transfecting sgRNA-expressing vectors targeting MET, SHP2, PTPA1, and non-targeting control (Applied Biological Materials, Richmond, Canada) and 3rd Generation Packaging System Mix (Applied Biological Materials) into Lenti-X 293T cells using the FuGENE-HD transfection reagent (Promega). The supernatant fluids harvested at 72 h were passed through a 0.22-µm syringe filter and transduced into HepG2, KH, HuH7, and HUVECT-2 cells. At 72 h after transduction, these cells were treated with puromycin (5 mg/mL) for antibiotic selection. We used antibiotic-resistant bulk cell populations for experiments to avoid clonal biases. HepG2-RIG-I-KO cells were described previously8.

**RNA interference.** siRNAs specific to RIG-I, MDA5 and MET, c-Cbl and Negative Control siRNA were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. siRNA transfection was performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**HCV-RNA and Poly(I:C) transfection.** In vitro transfection of HCV-RNA (H77S.3/GLuc-RNA) was carried out using the T7 RiboMAX Express Large Scale RNA Production System (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and synthesized RNA was purified using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cells were transfected with HCV-RNA or Poly(I:C) using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**GLuc reporter assay.** Cell culture supernatants were harvested at the indicated time points after HCV-RNA transfection and fresh medium was added to the cells. Secreted GLuc was measured by the GloMax-Multi Detection System (Promega) 72 h after HCV-RNA transfection and fresh medium was added to the cells.

**Data availability**

The data supporting the findings of this study with the article and its supplementary data are included as source data. Any other data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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