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Application of Acoustic Agglomeration Technology to Improve the Removal of Submicron Particles from Vehicle Exhaust
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Abstract: The natural processes of interactions between aerosol particles in the ambient air through which they agglomerate is a vast area of chamber research and are inherent to many industries and are often inter-connected with transport engineering. Further improvement of symmetric methods for aerosol particle number and mass concentration reduction made it possible to create various synergic techniques. The study used a 1.9 TDI diesel internal combustion engine, which was supplied with diesel (D100) and second-generation biofuels (NExBTL100) with the EGR exhaust system on and off. Measurements were performed using a Bruel and Kjaer “Type 9727” system for measurement of vibrations, a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and an original agglomeration chamber. The three modes of particle size distributions were observed in the size range from 10 to 470 nm for both D100 and NExBTL100 fuels with and without the use of the EGR system. The application of 21.3 kHz frequency sound with SPL 144.1 dB changed the NExBTL100 generated aerosol particle number concentration but did not sufficiently affect the concentration of D100 emitted particles. The greatest agglomeration effect (21.7 ± 10.0%) was observed in the range of extremely small NExBTL100 derived particles (10–70 nm) when used in combination with an EGR system.
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1. Introduction

The transport sector has been regarded as the significant contributor of particulate matter (PM) pollution in modern cities [1,2]. Traffic related particle number size distribution mainly consist of submicron particles in the range of 20–400 nm [3]. Ultrafine particles (UFP, smaller than 100 nm) comprise a significant fraction of transport particle emissions. Solid particle number limits (SPN—equal to 6 × 10¹¹ p/km) were introduced in 2011 in the EU for diesel light-duty vehicles due to adverse health effects and climate-warming potential. Use of diesel particle filters in light-duty vehicles is becoming standard in many countries in order to reduce the ill effects of diesel generated particles, which have a significant role in air pollution. Aerosol particle number and mass concentration symmetric reduction is at the core of transport engineering and manufacturing. Many technologies, such as EGR systems, diesel oxidation catalysts (DOCs), diesel particulate filters (DPFs) and closed crankcase ventilation (CCV) refer to those that deal with aerosol particle reduction.
Acoustic particle agglomeration is a natural processes-based mechanism to reduce the aerosol particle number concentration. Acoustic waves are used to act on the movement of particulate matter, thereby facilitating the particles' collisions and leading to the formation of larger agglomerates. The newly formed agglomerates of particles then continue to collide with each other or with other particles, and this cascade of the agglomeration process leads to a significant reduction of the particle number concentration and shifts the particle size distribution towards larger sizes [4–6]. Comprehensive experimental studies have indicated the effects of acoustic agglomeration on particle size distribution and acoustic properties, such as acoustic frequency, intensity and residence time [7–12].

The particle agglomeration process itself is highly efficient (68.9%), but the recommended amount of atomization is 1.6–2.7 kg [13]. It is particularly important to mention that the agglomeration mass obtained from the exhaust gases of internal combustion engine PM has the same properties as soot agglomerates coated with condensed organic compounds, and the engine exhaust agglomerates consist of loosely attached units [14]. Turbulent agglomeration was found to improve with increasing flow rate and PM concentration, with the chemical agglomeration being most affected by PM size. Therefore, chemical agglomeration is more suitable for agglomeration using exhaust gases from internal combustion engines [15]. It was also found that the frequency of oscillations has a direct effect on the efficiency of PM agglomeration [16].

Our previous investigation demonstrated that a high frequency 24 kHz acoustic field with an intensity of 136 dB formed the proper conditions for the agglomeration of diesel related particles in the range of 0.3–10 \( \mu \text{m} \) [17]. In this study, the acoustic performance on the 10–400 nm particles in the exhaust from the internal combustion engines was investigated [18]. These sizes of particulates account for the largest share of emissions when diesel fuel is used.

The selected frequency allows the efficiency of the PM agglomeration process of the exhaust gases of the average combustion engine to be ensured due to the predominant particle size. The selected sound pressure oscillation device operating in the set frequency range (21.3 kHz) allows, adapted to the PM agglomeration of internal combustion engines, the number of particles (PN) to be reduced and to qualitatively control its limits using various fuels. This is in line with the common EU transport policy and offers a relevant PN diagnostic tool to prevent car pollution based on EURO 7 standards. Another aspect is that analogues of such a device are expensive and complex for everyday use, so the proposed measurement method and device allow localization, which does not require special preparation, and which is particularly relevant in areas related to the transport sector where mobility is one of the key principles.

2. Materials and Methods

An experimental research protocol was created for experimental research at the laboratory of the VGTU Institute of Mechanical Science and the Department of Automotive Engineering of the Faculty of Transport.

The tests used pure fossil diesel fuel (D100) and pure biodiesel (NExBTL100), obtained by the hydrodeoxygenation process, which removes oxygen from biodiesel, enriches the fuel with hydrogen and results in reduced density and viscosity, increased fuel lower heating value and cetane number. The physical and chemical properties of the fuel were tested in the laboratory, and their properties are presented in Table 1.
Table 1. The main physical and chemical properties of the fuels.

| Properties                        | D100       | NExBTL100  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Density at 40 °C, g/mL            | 0.844      | 0.778      |
| Dynamic viscosity, MPa·s          | 3.271      | 2.262      |
| Kinematic viscosity, mm²/s        | 3.947      | 2.959      |
| Water content acc. CF, %          | 0.0033     | 0.002      |
| Amount of hydrogen, %             | 14.63      | 16.75      |
| Filtration temperature, °C        | –10        | –40        |
| CFPP, °C                          | –39        | –58        |
| Poor point, °C                    | –39        | –58        |
| Cetane number                      | 50.9       | 74.5       |
| Lower heating value, MJ/kg         | 42.57      | 43.45      |

A direct injection compression ignition (diesel) turbocharged 1.9 TDI engine was used for the tests. The engine power supply system was equipped with an engine electronic control unit (ECU) controlled distribution type fuel pump and single injection fuel injectors. The engine was equipped with an exhaust gas recirculation (EGR) system, and the intake air was cooled by an air cooler. The main parameters of the 1.9 TDI compression ignition engine are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. The main parameters of the 1.9 TDI compression ignition engine.

| Parameter                                      | Value         |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Displacement, cm³                             | 1896          |
| Number of cylinders/gas distribution          | 4/OHV         |
| Compression ratio                             | 19.5          |
| Bore, mm                                      | 79.5          |
| Stroke, mm                                    | 95.5          |
| Power, kW                                     | 66 (4000 rpm) |
| Torque, Nm                                    | 180 (2000–2500 rpm) |
| Injector opening pressure, bar                | 190           |

The tests were performed by simulating the car speed at 50–70 km/h: engine speed $n = 2000$ rpm, brake torque $M_B = 45$ Nm, power $P_B = 9.4$ kW. Engine exhaust gas recirculation was on (mass fraction of returned gas (EGR rate) $k_{EGR} = 0.27$) and off (EGR rate $k_{EGR} = 0$) during the test. The motor load MB was developed using the KI-5543 electromagnetic load bench (measurement error ± 1.23 Nm). Fuel consumption was measured by SK-5000 electronic scales (measurement error 1 g) and stopwatch. The scheme of engine testing equipment is shown in Figure 1. A Type K thermocouple was used to measure the intake air and the exhaust gas temperature (accuracy 0.5%). To close the partial exhaust gas recirculation to the engine cylinders, the EGR valve was disconnected from the ECU and remained closed.

For the acoustic exposure parameters and particle number measurements, the equipment shown in Figure 2 was used. The block diagram of the test bench is presented in Figure 3.

During the gas pressure measurements, the sound pressure level (SPL) was measured, which is calculated according to the following formula:

$$SPL = 20 \log \left( \frac{p_{rms}}{20 \cdot 10^{-6}} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $p_{rms}$ is root mean square, Pa.
Figure 1. Engine testing equipment.

During the studies, the emissions from the diesel engine were exposed to sound pressure in the acoustic chamber at 144.1 dB (Figure 2, Item 3). Sound pressure and temperature were measured in the acoustic chamber (temperature was 25 ± 0.5 °C during the measurement). After the acoustic chamber, the concentration of particles in the stream was measured when there was an acoustic effect in the acoustic chamber and when there was no effect (see Figure 3).
The Bruel and Kjær Type 9727 vibration measurement system (Figure 2, Item 4) was used to evaluate the acoustic field parameters, which consisted of Type 7910 universal software, a Type PULSE 3560-B multichannel data block, a portable Dell personal computer and a Bruel and Kjær 8104 hydrophone (sensitivity 211 dB 1 V/µPa ± 2 dB, frequency response from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz +1.5/–6.0 dB; from 0.1 Hz to 180 kHz +3.5/–12.5 dB; in the horizontal direction (xy plane) ± 2 dB at 100 kHz, in the vertical direction (xz plane) ± 4 dB at 100 kHz).

For measurement of parameters of the acoustic field, a Bruel and Kjaer “Type 9727” system of measurement of vibrations was used. It consists of “Type 7910” software, a “Type PULSE 3560—B” multi-channel block for data accumulation, a computer and a Bruel and Kjaer 8104 hydrophone (sensitivity—211 dB 1 V/µPa ± 2 dB, frequency response from 0.1 Hz up to 100 kHz +1.5/–6.0 dB; from 0.1 Hz up to 180 kHz +3.5/–12.5 dB; in the horizontal direction (plane) ± 2 dB frequency 100 kHz; in the vertical direction (plane) ± 4 dB frequency 100 kHz).

An acoustic chamber was designed to investigate the acoustic agglomeration of particles (Figure 4). These two elements were designed and optimized using the Comslo Multiphysic software package. The full model included a piezoelectric effect multiphysics interface (fully coupled solid mechanical and electrostatic interfaces), and an acoustic–structure boundary interaction multiphysics interface, including pressure acoustics and solid mechanics interfaces. The piezoelectric effect physics interface achieved conversion of the electrical power to the mechanical vibrations on the resonance frequency. The maximum mesh element size in the current numerical simulation was 1/4 of the acoustic wave number based on the piezoelectric device resonance frequency (about 21.3 kHz). The minimum element size was 1/6 of the wave number. The design goal was to achieve a total acoustic pressure field up to 130–140 dB in the acoustic chamber, and a sound pressure level of at least 130 dB in the acoustic chamber. The obtained results are presented in Figure 4. Based on these calculations, an acoustic chamber was fabricated. The frequency domain formulation of the pressure acoustic interface used the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation:

\[
\nabla \cdot \left( -\frac{1}{\rho_c} (\nabla p_t - q_d) \right) - \frac{k^2_{eq} p_t}{\rho_c} = Q_m,
\]

(2)
\[ p_t = p + p_b \]  (3)

where \( p_b \) is the possible background pressure field and in our model is equal 0. Then the total pressure field is \( p_t = p \). Wave number \( k_{eq} \) presented in this equation calculates as

\[ k_{eq} = \frac{\omega}{c_{eq}}. \]  (4)

Based on our pressure acoustic model for sound speed and density, we assume that \( c_{eq} = c \) and \( \rho_{eq} = \rho \).

The multiphysics interface included fully coupled pressure–acoustic, frequency–domain and solid–mechanics interfaces, which intersected on the acoustic and structure boundary. The coupling included the fluid load on the structure and the structural acceleration as experienced by the fluid. The condition of the exterior boundaries reads as follows:

\[ -n \left( -\frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla p_t - q_d) \right) = -n \cdot u_{tt} \]  (5)

\[ F_A = p_t n \]  (6)

where \( u_{tt} \) is the structural acceleration, \( n \) is the surface normal, \( p_t \) is the total acoustic pressure and \( F_A \) is the load (force per unit area) experienced by the structure.

The external wall (pipe) has a sound hard boundary condition, which is a boundary at which the normal component of the acceleration (and thus the velocity) is zero:

\[ -n \left( -\frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla p_t - q_d) \right) = 0 \]  (7)

Both pipe’s outlets have a cylindrical wave radiation boundary condition. The cylindrical wave boundary condition is based on a series expansion of the outgoing wave in cylindrical coordinates, and it assumes that the field is independent of the axial coordinate.

In the acoustic chamber, the sound pressure level of the acoustic field reached 144.1 dB at 21.3 kHz when the piezoelectric generator was operating (Figure 5).
Figure 5. Acoustic field parameters. Frequency dependence of sound pressure level—piezoelectric sound generator 144.1 dB at 21.3 kHz: (a) by time line; (b) by frequency.

We have an internal boundary of the pipe as the sound reflecting surfaces. Figure 4a shows the standing wave based on this boundary condition. It is clear that the position of the piezoelectric actuator in the chamber will affect the stability of the standing wave condition.

Temperature, humidity and ambient pressure were not changed during the simulation. Another boundary condition for the proposed acoustic–structure interaction is periodic condition or open boundary of the pipe, as shown in Figure 4b, in longitudinal section view. The type of periodicity is continuous. The total pressure fields of the destination and source are equal:

\[ p_{t, \text{dst}} = p_{t, \text{src}} \]  
\[ -n_{\text{dst}} \left( -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \nabla p_t - q_d \right) \right) = n_{\text{src}} \left( -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \nabla p_t - q_d \right) \right) \]

The total acoustic pressure field can be rich, up to 860 Pa. The particle agglomeration active zones are longer in longitudinal cross section along the linear surface reflection (Figure 4b) compared with the cylindrical reflection zone (Figure 4a). Based on these calculations, an acoustic chamber was fabricated.

In the acoustic chamber, the sound pressure level of the acoustic field reached 144.1 dB at 21.3 kHz when the piezoelectric generator was operating (Figure 5).

The aerosol particle number size distribution was measured by using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI model 3996). The possible detectable particle size range was from 0.003 to 1.0 \( \mu \)m. The detailed description of this SMPS model (Figure 2) is provided in [19,20]. The SMPS was set to the following working parameters: aerosol flow 1.0 \( \pm \) 0.1 L.min\(^{-1}\), and sheath flow 5.0 \( \pm \) 0.1 L.min\(^{-1}\). The SMPS measurement cycle lasted for 120 s, of which the actual measurement took 100 s. Up to three measurements were done for each fuel and EGR setting. Aerosol particles were neutralized by passing them through a radioactive 85 Kr neutralizer (TSI model 3077A). The measurement quality control was performed periodically during the experiment. It consisted of aerosol and sheath flow rate verification and CPC (condensation particle counter) zero checking. The differential mobility analyzer (DMA) maintenance was done using 70 and 150 nm polystyrene latex spheres or PSL particles.

The multiple charge correction was applied using the AIM software provided by TSI. The particle diffusion losses were handled in two steps. First, all obstructions (i.e., 90 degree bends, neutralizer, dilution system, etc.) in the aerosol particle sampling line were converted into the cylinder pipe equivalent [21]. Then, the particle losses to the inner walls of the sampling line were evaluated using the Gormley–Kennedy equation for a cylinder pipe [22]. We applied the Nikezic approach of the Gormley–Kennedy expression [23].
Aerosol particles were sampled from the exhaust chimney through a metal pipe that was 6 mm inner in diameter and diluted with the HEPA filtered air at a factor of 12.11. The conductive tubing was used for construction of the aerosol sampling line. There were two sampling lines used in the experiments. A shorter sampling line was used for characterization of exhaust particles from the fuel burning process. The longer sampling line had almost twice the length of the tubing in comparison to the first line and an acoustic chamber was embedded into the line. This line was used for testing acoustic agglomeration effects of aerosol particles. The test was repeated 3 times for each fuel type. To avoid cross contamination of the acoustic chamber, the measurements of background level of particle number concentration were performed prior to and after each test.

The aerosol particle size distributions were analyzed and fitted to log-normal modes [24,25]. The fitting was done in order to characterize the particles being emitted during the fuel burning process. The mode median diameter (Dm), the mode parameter (σg) and the particle number concentration within the mode were determined by using the least-squares method [26]. Aerosol particles with median diameters in the size range of 20–100 nm were classified as Aitken mode [27]. The accumulation mode was classified to particles in the size range of 100–1000 nm.

3. Results

3.1. Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) Effect on Particle Number Concentration and Size Distribution of the Tested Fuels

The influence of EGR on particle number size distribution for standard diesel (D100) and NExBTL100 (Neste Oil renewable diesel) is shown in Figure 6 and Table 3.

![Figure 6. Particle number size distribution from diesel engine exhaust, operating with and without the EGR.](image)

The three modes of aerosol particle number size distributions were observed in the size range from 10 to 470 nm for all investigated fuels, operating with and without EGR. The lognormal distribution of particle number concentration consisted of two modes in the ultrafine particle size range and one accumulation mode. As shown in Table 3, particle size classes were defined as follows: Aitken mode (20 < D_p < 100 nm) and the accumulation mode (100 < D_p < 1000 nm).
Table 3. Parameterization of particle size distributions, originating from diesel engine exhausts, operating with and without EGR.

|                     | EGR Off | EGR On |
|---------------------|---------|--------|
|                     | D100    | NE × BTL100 | D100        | NE × BTL100 |
| Aitken mode (I)     |         |         |             |             |
| median diameter (D_m), nm | 32      | 31      | 36          | 39          |
| Number concentration, pt cm^{-3} | 5.13 \times 10^7 | 4.43 \times 10^7 | 4.37 \times 10^7 | 4.46 \times 10^7 |
| Aitken mode (II)    |         |         |             |             |
| median diameter (D_m), nm | 57      | 64      | 71          | 64          |
| Number concentration, pt cm^{-3} | 2.39 \times 10^7 | 2.00 \times 10^7 | 1.49 \times 10^7 | 1.67 \times 10^7 |
| Accumulation mode   |         |         |             |             |
| median diameter (D_m), nm | 178     | 165     | 219         | 185         |
| Number concentration, pt cm^{-3} | 1.81 \times 10^7 | 1.86 \times 10^7 | 2.05 \times 10^7 | 1.62 \times 10^7 |
| Total number concentration, pt cm^{-3} | 9.33 \times 10^7 | 8.29 \times 10^7 | 7.91 \times 10^7 | 7.75 \times 10^7 |

Without the use of the EGR (see Figure 6), the same particle number size distribution was registered for diesel and NE x BTL100 in the ultrafine range. The ultrafine particle mode diameters were around 31 nm and 60 nm for both fuels. However, diesel resulted in the formation of slightly larger accumulation mode particles (178 nm) compared to NE x BTL100 (165 nm) (Table 3).

Overall, the NE x BTL100 emitted total particle number concentration was lower than diesel derived particle number concentration (Table 3). This finding could be associated with differences in the chemical compositions between these fuels. NE x BTL100 has a lower quantity of sulfur and aromatic hydrocarbons, which leads to the formation of a lesser amount of particle [28]. The lower carbon concentration in biofuels also has an impact. These results coincide with other studies that demonstrated slightly reduction of PM/smoke emissions with increased NE x BTL100 percentage in fuel blends [29–32].

Figure 6 represents the ratio of ultrafine particle number concentration to total particle number concentration (UFP/Total) of the tested fuels. The UFP fraction dominated in the total particle number concentration for both fuels with UFP/Total ratios of about 0.81 and 0.78 for D100 and NE x BTL100, respectively.

In case of D100, the use of EGR reduced the number concentration of particles in Aitken mode I and II, but noticeably increased particle concentration in accumulation mode (Table 3). For NE x BTL100, the EGR reduced particle number concentration in Aitken II and accumulation modes, but slightly increased the number concentration of the smallest particles (Aitken mode I). Overall, the engine operated with EGR resulted in lower total particle number concentrations than the ones operating without EGR for all tested fuels. The reduction in total particle number concentrations was observed by 15.2% and 6.5% for D100 and NE x BTL100, respectively.

The EGR system, together with the exhaust gas, returns CO_2 gas to the engine and reduces the amount of fresh air (oxygen). This inhibits the combustion process, forming larger particles but reducing the amount of particles. NE x BTL100 fuel has a lower viscosity and density, better decomposition during injection and therefore better combustion. Lower concentrations of carbon and other compounds (e.g., sulfur) in fuels also have an impact.

Furthermore, the particle number size distribution of all tested fuels shifted toward larger particle sizes with the EGR (please see Table 3). The EGR led to condensation of volatile compounds on the primary particles due to lower in-cylinder temperature (during the combustion phase), which increased the diameter of particles. As shown in please see Table 3 a significant enhancement of accumulation mode diameter was observed for D100 fuel. However, the increase in both ultrafine particle mode diameters for D100 was smaller. Moreover, the reduced UFP/Total ratio (from 0.81 to 0.74) clearly indicates that EGR caused the formation of larger size particles (Figure 7). A similar observation was presented in previous studies [20,21].
We noticed that EGR has a negligible influence on particle size distribution profiles when NExBTL100 was used. UFP/Total ratio remained almost unchanged for different operation conditions (EGR off/on) for the same fuel used (Figure 7).

3.2. Acoustic Effect

The effect of acoustic field on particle agglomeration was determined by measurement of particle number concentration and size distribution after the agglomeration chamber (Figure 3) with and without acoustics. The main characteristics of the particle number concentration registered after the agglomeration chamber without the acoustic field are listed in Table 4. The three modes of particles size distribution were observed (40 nm, 72 nm, 170 nm) without the use of EGR. Using EGR, larger size particles, with mode diameters of approximately 62 nm, 101 nm and 174 nm, were detected. Our observation revealed some differences in particle number concentration and size distribution data during the exhaust measurements depicted by SMPS directly from the tailpipe (Section 3.1 Table 3) and through the acoustic chamber.

| EGR Off | EGR On |
|----------|--------|
| Aitken mode (I) median diameter ($D_m$), nm | 40 | 62 |
| Number concentration, pt cm$^{-3}$ | $4.1 \times 10^7$ | $3.1 \times 10^7$ |
| Aitken mode (II) median diameter ($D_m$), nm | 72 | 103 |
| Number concentration, pt cm$^{-3}$ | $1.9 \times 10^7$ | $1.3 \times 10^7$ |
| Accumulation mode median diameter ($D_m$), nm | 170 | 174 |
| Number concentration, pt cm$^{-3}$ | $2.2 \times 10^7$ | $2.0 \times 10^7$ |
| Total number concentration, pt cm$^{-3}$ | $8.5 \times 10^7$ | $6.4 \times 10^7$ |

It is known that an engine’s emitted particle number concentration and size distribution depends on the exhaust gas sampling point. Direct sampling from the tailpipe was made using dilution and a relatively short sampling line was used (Figure 3). However, the measurements within the agglomeration chamber required a longer sampling line (Figure 3). It can be assumed that the main differences in the measurement data can be attributed to the residence time effect of aerosol in the system.

As shown in Figure 8, the application of 21.3 kHz frequency sound with SPL 144.1 dB changed the emitted aerosol particle number concentration from burning NExBTL100, but
did not significantly affect the particle size distribution. However, the applied acoustic field did not have a sufficient effect on the D100 generated particle number concentration. Thus, it can be stated that under conditions of 21.3 kHz and SPL 144.1 dB, the agglomeration of D100 emitted particles is limited in the acoustic chamber.

In the case of NExBTL100, the total particle number concentration was reduced by 11.0% without the EGR. When the EGR was used, total particle number concentration was decreased only by 4.0%. To further clarify particle number concentration change, the size-segregated particles removal efficiency was calculated as follows:

$$\eta_i = \frac{N_{i0} - N_{it}}{N_{i0}} \times 100\%,$$

where $N_{i0}$ and $N_{it}$ are particle number concentration in the initial stage and after agglomeration, respectively.

The positive $\eta$ values indicated a decrease in particle number concentration, while the negative $\eta$ values represented particle size regions with an increased number concentration after the acoustic treatment (Table 5).

As shown in Table 5 two distinct particle agglomeration regions were observed. Without the use of EGR, particle number concentration in the range of 10–90 nm was reduced by 15.5 ± 6.0%, due to collision, agglomeration and condensation growth, which on the other hand increased particle number concentration in the 90–120 size range. The particles of 120–180 nm also enlarged due to agglomeration in the 21.3 kHz frequency sound. The removal efficiency of 120–180 nm particles reached about 18.0 ± 11.4%.

In the case with EGR, the number concentration of the smaller size range (10–70 nm) decreased by 21.7 ± 10.0%. The agglomeration of these particles induced an increase in number concentration in the range of 70–100 nm. Another drop (8.2 ± 4.2%) in number concentration was registered in the 100–180 nm size range, which was accompanied with the significant increase in number concentration of the 180–360 nm size range, on average by 38.5%. The experimental results demonstrate that the combination of high-frequency acoustic treatment and EGR leads to a markedly reduced number concentration of particles smaller than 70 nm.

The high-frequency acoustic wave exhibited different agglomeration effects on 10–360 nm sized particles. Submicron particles are the subject for further experimental investigations with different operating parameters of the acoustic chamber.

Figure 8. Acoustic effect on aerosol particle number concentration and size distribution, emitted from the engine by burning NExBTL100 fuel with (a) and without EGR (b).
Table 5. Removal efficiency ($\eta$) of size-segregated particle number concentrations.

| Size Bins (nm) | Without EGR | With EGR |
|---------------|-------------|----------|
| 10–20         | 20.3        | 36.0     |
| 20–30         | 24.5        | 16.1     |
| 30–40         | 15.3        | 24.7     |
| 40–50         | 7.9         | 28.0     |
| 50–60         | 19.6        | 17.9     |
| 60–70         | 7.1         | 7.7      |
| 70–80         | 17.1        | −7.8     |
| 80–90         | 12.2        | −14.1    |
| 90–100        | −2.0        | −11.1    |
| 100–120       | −6.4        | 9.5      |
| 120–140       | 29.2        | 10.3     |
| 140–160       | 18.6        | 10.9     |
| 160–180       | 6.4         | 2.0      |
| 180–200       | −11.3       | −9.6     |
| 200–240       | −21.6       | −27.7    |
| 240–280       | −20.9       | −69.2    |
| 280–320       | 47.5        | −7.0     |
| 320–360       | −13.2       | −79.3    |

4. Conclusions

This study shows that with using both D100 and NExBTL100 fuels, and with both EGR on and EGR off regimes, three modes of particle number size distribution in the range of 10 to 470 nm were observed. Accordingly, using the EGR system, larger D100 derived particles with a mode diameter of about 36 nm, 71 nm and 219 nm were formed compared to without EGR, where the mode diameter was 32 nm, 57 nm and 178 nm. In the case of NExBTL100, the EGR system had a negligible influence on particle size distribution profiles. However, the concentration of total number of particles with EGR was lower using both D100 (15.2%) and NExBTL100 (6.5%) fuels.

Acoustic agglomeration (21.3 kHz frequency and SPL 144.1 dB) gave a general particle number concentration effect using NExBTL100 fuel with both EGR on (4.0%) and EGR off (11.0%) regimes. Examining the effect of acoustic agglomeration, it can be stated that particles smaller than 70 nm agglomerate (concentration decreases by 21.7 ± 10.0% in the range of 10–70 nm, and concentration increases by 38.5% in the size range of 180–360 nm). It should also be noted that the agglomeration effect is also observed in the size range of 100–180 nm (8.2 ± 4.2%). All this means that the agglomeration process itself, by renewing high-frequency acoustics, is adapted to reduce the number of extremely small particles of an internal combustion engine’s exhaust, which has a negative effect on human health.

Thus, it can be stated that high-frequency sound affects 10–360 nm particles differently, so it is necessary to further improve the parameters of the acoustic chamber in order to obtain a higher agglomeration effect.
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