Associations between Childbirth, Hospitalization and Disability Pension: A Cohort Study of Female Twins
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Abstract

Background: As the literature on long-term effects of childbirth on risk of morbidity or permanent work incapacity (DP) is limited, we aimed to study associations of childbirth with hospitalization and DP, adjusting for familial factors.

Methods: This cohort study included female twins, i.e. women with twin sister, born 1959–1990 in Sweden (n = 5,118). At least one in the twin pair had their first childbirth 1994–2009. Women were followed regarding all-cause and cause-specific (mental or musculoskeletal diagnoses) DP during year 2–5 after first delivery or equivalent. Associations between childbirth, hospitalization and DP were calculated as hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: Women who did not give birth had markedly higher number of DP days/year compared to those giving birth. Hospitalization after first childbirth was associated with a higher HR of DP. Those hospitalized at least once after their first childbirth had a three-fold DP risk (HR: 3.2; 95% CI 1.1–9.6), DP due to mental diagnoses (HR: 3.2; 1.2–8.8), and of DP due to musculoskeletal diagnoses (HR: 6.1; 1.6–22.9). Lower HRs in the discordant twin pair analyses indicated that familial factors may influence the studied associations.

Conclusions: Women who did not give birth had a much higher risk for DP than those who did. Among those who gave birth, the risk for DP was markedly higher among those with a previous hospitalization, and especially in women with repeated hospitalizations. The results indicate a health selection into giving birth as well as the importance of morbidity for DP.

Introduction

Long-term work incapacity in terms of disability pension (DP) is considered a major public health problem with possible severe consequences for individuals, employers, and society [1]. During the last decades, the rate of people on DP has increased in many countries [2].

In most countries with high labor force participation, women have higher DP rates than men [3–5]. This gender difference is not fully understood and pregnancy has been suspected to be one of the factors behind this gap [6]. A recent Swedish study showed higher risk for permanent work incapacity for mothers compared to women without children [7]. Although having a child can be considered a positive life event, morbidity related to pregnancy, childbirth or postpartum period might have a significant effect on women’s future physical and mental health and work capacity [8–11] also in a long-term perspective. It may also be that some women do not give birth due to health-related issues, sometimes referred to as health selection [12]. The literature on long-term effects of childbirth on the risk of morbidity or permanent work incapacity (DP) is very limited, most studies only cover up to a year after delivery.

Many chronic or recurring diseases have a moderate to high heritability [13–17]. Individual differences in DP can thus be explained by familial factors (i.e. genetic and shared environment, e.g. during childhood) [18–20]. In these studies, about 30–50% of the variance in DP was explained by genetic factors. With use of a twin study design, it is possible to take familial influences into account in the examination of DP in relation to giving birth.
Familial factors are those that contribute to the similarity of siblings in a twin pair. So far, little is known about the impact of familial factors on various associations between predictors of DP [18–21].

At times, the ill-health content of DPs is questioned [1]. In this study we will study two types of outcomes, morbidity, measured as hospitalization (that is, more severe morbidity) and social consequences of morbidity in terms of long-term or permanent work incapacity due to disease or injury, in terms of DP (in some countries called pension on medical grounds or incapacity benefits) and possible associations between morbidity and DP.

The aim of this study was to investigate the associations of childbirth with hospitalization and DP (all-cause as well as cause-specific) in a cohort of Swedish twins. A secondary aim was to examine if familial factors may be of importance for such associations.

Methods

Participants and data sources
A prospective population-based cohort study was conducted. The study population was defined as all female twins, i.e. women with a twin sister, born between 1959 and 1990 in Sweden, and recorded in the Swedish Twin Registry (STR) [22]. The STR is the largest population-based register of twin births in the world, with information such as birth date, sex, zygosity, and pair identification [23,24]. After excluding women who delivered their first child before 1994 (or women whose twin sister had her first delivery before 1994) or before the age of 16, and twins where none in the pair had their first delivery between 1994 and 2009 (n=7 304), the final cohort comprised 5 118 women. The selection of the study population is illustrated in Figure 1.

Swedish nationwide registers make it possible to perform linkage of data between different registers on an individual level. The unique personal identity number assigned to each Swedish resident [25] was used to link information from several population-based registers. The Causes of Death Register was used to obtain information on death date [26]. The Medical Birth Register, established in 1973, includes information on almost all births in Sweden [27] and was used to obtain information on deliveries from 1994 until 2010. In order to increase coverage on deliveries, we also used the National Patient Register (NPR) to obtain information on delivery. This register includes all individuals admitted to any psychiatric or general hospital since 1967 [28]. Information on hospitalization with a principal diagnosis for delivery (as defined by the International Classification of Disease (ICD) [29]: O80-84) was obtained. The NPR was further used to obtain information on other hospitalizations.

From Statistics Sweden, we obtained information on educational level and year of emigration [30]. Finally, information on DP (date and diagnoses) for the years 1994 to 2009 was obtained from the Social Insurance Agency. DP diagnoses were based on the 9th and 10th revisions of the ICD.

Time in relation to childbirth
The studied women were followed in relation to year of first childbirth, irrespective of birth outcome, referred to as T_0. In order to compare those who gave birth to those who did not, T_0 for the women who did not give birth was defined as the year of the twin sister’s first childbirth.

Figure 1. Flow chart for the study population from the Swedish Twin Register.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101566.g001
Disability pension and social insurance in Sweden

All residents in Sweden aged 16–64 years are covered by the public sickness insurance and can be granted disability pension (DP), if their work capacity is reduced permanently or for long time due to disease or injury. The DP benefit covers at least 65% of lost income. In Sweden, the customary age of old-age retirement is at 65 years.

Variables

Hospitalization in terms of inpatient care was studied during the period six years prior to, and six years after T₀. In a descriptive part, we summarized the total number of hospitalization days per year. In the regression analyses, exposure to hospitalization after T₀ was considered. In order to consider subsequent pregnancies, we excluded future hospitalizations related to pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (ICD-10: O80-84).

In the descriptive part of the study, total number of DP days each year during the period six years prior to, and six years after T₀ was summarized. In the analyses on cause-specific DP, diagnoses were classified according to the ICD-9 and ICD-10 at chapter levels for the two diagnostic groups mental disorders (ICD-9: 290–319; ICD-10: F00–F99) and musculoskeletal disorders (ICD-9: 710–739; ICD-10: M00–M99). Also, all participants were followed from year 2–5 after T₀ with respect to being granted DP.

Co-twin design

Depending on the zygosity, twins in a pair may share either 100% (monozygotic, MZ) or on average 50% (dizygotic, DZ) of their common genetic make-up. In addition to a similarity depending on the common genes, twins may also become more alike owing to the environment they experience together, i.e. shared (mainly childhood) environment. Co-twin design enables the possibility to take both genetic and shared environmental (also called familial) factors into account by investigating twin pairs discordant for factors of interest. In the discordant twin pair analyses, the follow-up time of the twin mother (on DP) in relation to the follow-up time of the co-twin without DP will be analyzed. Stratification by twin pairs allows each twin pair to have their own baseline hazard to control for the effects of potentially confounding familial factors in the models. That would control for familial factors, meaning that the observed associations found between child birth and hospitalization or DP would not be explained by familial factors shared by the twin sisters in a pair. If the familial factors would play a role, then the association should be present only in the analyses of the whole cohort but not between discordant twin sisters.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses, based on mean number of days per year in hospital or on DP, were calculated. Cox proportional hazard models with constant time-at-risk [31] were applied to estimate hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for being granted DP during year 2–5 after T₀. In the regression analyses, we excluded individuals who were granted DP before T₀ and their twin (n = 156). The different sub populations in the regression analyses are described in Figure 1. When analyzing the association between childbirth, hospitalization after T₀ and DP, based on 4 962 twins (referred to as Population 1), three regression models were fitted, where we in Model a only adjusted for birth year. In Model b we made additional adjustments for delivery year and educational level at T₀. Educational level was classified into four categories; up through 9 years of compulsory school, 10–12 years of education (equivalent to senior high school), ≥13 years of education (i.e. college or university education), and one additional group for missing. In Model c finally, we also adjusted for previous hospitalization, i.e. inpatient care prior to T₀. Potential familial confounding was controlled for by analyzing twin pairs that were discordant with respect to being granted DP during the follow-up. Conditional Cox proportional models were applied and the sample was stratified by twin pairs [32]. Influence of familial factors (genetic and shared environment) will be indicated if the association found in the analyses of the whole cohort will disappear or change considerably in the analyses of discordant twin pairs. These co-twin analyses included 1 378 complete twin pairs (referred to as Population 3). In order to account for the sampled twin pairs rather than independent individuals, all analyses were clustered on twin-pair identity [33].

Similarly, we estimated HR with 95% CI for the associations between hospitalizations prior to T₀, after T₀, and DP, respectively. In these analyses, above described the regression models a and b were used.

All statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.3 and STATA 12.1.

Results

Cohort characteristics for the 5 118 individuals are presented in Table 1. Nearly 80% of the women had had one or more childbirths in the studied years 1994 through 2009. Of these women, 71% had a twin sister that also had given birth during these years. Nearly 40% were dizygotic. Most women had an educational level corresponding to high school. A majority of the women who gave birth had been hospitalized some time during the period six years prior to and six years after T₀ (the delivery year excluded). When excluding hospitalizations with diagnoses for pregnancy and childbirth, approximately 40% of these women had been hospitalized. Among the women who gave birth, 2% were granted DP during the period six years prior to and six years after first delivery, compared to 6% of the women who did not give birth.

The women who did not give birth had markedly higher average number of DP days/year compared to those who gave birth (Figure 2). The average number of days on DP steadily increased over the studied years for both groups. The annual average number of hospitalization days increased substantially during the year for the first childbirth and decreased thereafter.

Table 2 presents HR for the association between childbirth, hospitalization and DP. Based on the results from the crude model (model a), women who did not give birth had a higher HR of future DP, especially those who had been hospitalized at least once during year 1–2 after T₀ when compared to those who had given birth and not been hospitalized (HR: 26.4; 95% CI 12.9–54.1). The higher risk remained in the fully adjusted model (model c), however, with lower, but still very high estimates (HR: 17.0; 95% CI 8.1–35.9). The analyses of twin pairs discordant for receiving DP year 2–5 after T₀ show attenuated point estimates, hence suggesting that familial effects play a role for the associations.

When restricting the analyses to those who gave birth and excluding those with DP before T₀, 2 756 mothers were included (Table 2). Mothers who had been hospitalized during year 1–2 after their first childbirth had a higher risk of future DP, when compared to those who had not had such hospitalization (HR: 4.5; 95% CI 1.5–13.0). This association remained even when hospitalizations due to subsequent pregnancies were removed. The risk estimates decreased slightly in the fully adjusted model.
The HRs for diagnosis-specific DP among women giving birth between 1994 and 2009, presented in Table 3, show that mothers hospitalized after first delivery had a higher risk of DP due to musculoskeletal diagnoses (HR: 6.0; 95% CI 1.6–22.5). These women also had a higher risk for being granted DP due to mental diagnoses (HR: 3.2; 95% CI 1.2–8.8 in the fully adjusted model).

The analyses of hospitalization before and after first childbirth and future DP (Table 4), show that hospitalization during year 1–2 after T₀ was the strongest predictor for future DP (HR: 5.4; 95% CI 1.6–18.9). Compared to mothers who were neither hospitalized before nor after first childbirth, a slight risk increase was also observed for those who were hospitalized before T₀. In the analyses of twin pairs discordant for being granted DP year 2–5 after childbirth, the HRs were reduced to 0.7–1.0, suggesting that the associations were explained by familial effects.

The strongest predictor for being granted DP with mental diagnoses was being hospitalized both before and after first childbirth (HR: 10.1; 95% CI 2.3–44.3). The HR decreased slightly in the fully adjusted model. When we controlled for familial confounding, the HR was also reduced, suggesting that familial factors may influence the studied association.

Being hospitalized both before and after T₀ was also a strong predictor for being granted DP with musculoskeletal diagnoses (HR: 9.0; 95% CI 1.1–72.0). In the discordant twin pair analysis,
Figure 2. Average number of DP and inpatient care days, respectively, per year (with 95% CI), six years prior to and six year after T0 for women who delivered/not delivered (n = 5 118).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101566.g002

Table 2. Cox proportional HR with 95% CI for the association between childbirth, hospitalization and disability pension (DP) during year 2–5 after T0 in twins where at least one in the pair had their first delivery 1994–2009, and none before 1994.

| Status childbirth and hospitalization | DP | Model a | Model b | Model c | Population 2, 5 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|
| All women (n = 4 962) | DP year 2–5 | | | | |
| Childbirth | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) |
| No childbirth | 3.0 (1.8–4.9) | 3.4 (2.0–5.8) | 3.6 (2.1–6.0) | 2.0 (1.1–3.5) |
| Childbirth, no hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) |
| Childbirth, hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 3.4 (1.2–9.6) | 2.6 (0.9–7.6) | 2.4 (1.3–4.5) | 1.7 (0.9–3.3) |
| No childbirth, no hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 2.1 (1.1–3.8) | 2.4 (1.3–4.4) | 2.4 (1.3–4.5) | 1.7 (0.9–3.3) |
| No childbirth, hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 26.4 (12.9–54.1) | 22.6 (11.0–46.6) | 17.0 (8.1–35.9) | 2.5 (1.2–5.5) |

| Women who had at least one delivery (n = 2 756) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| No hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) | 1 (REF) |
| Hospitalization year 1–2 after T0 | 4.5 (1.5–13.0) | 3.4 (1.2–10.0) | 3.2 (1.1–9.6) | 0.9 (0.3–2.6) |

T0 is defined as year for first childbirth for women who gave birth, and year of twin sister’s first childbirth for those who did not give birth.  
1Twin pairs with one having DP during the follow-up and the other not (n = 53 pairs).  
2Twin pairs (both giving birth) with one having DP during the follow-up and the other not (n = 23 pairs).  
3Adjusted for birth year.  
4Model a, with additional adjustments for delivery year and educational level.  
5Model b, with additional adjustments for earlier hospitalization.  
6Diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium excluded (ICD-10: O80-84).  

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101566.t002
### Table 3. Cox proportional HR with 95% CI for the association between childbirth, hospitalization and DP due to mental or musculoskeletal diagnoses during year 2–5 after first childbirth, in twins, where at least one in the pair had their first delivery 1994–2009 and none before 1994.

| Status childbirth and hospitalization | Mental DP diagnosis | Musculoskeletal DP diagnosis |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                      | Model a³ | Model b⁴ | Model c⁵ | Discordan twin pairs⁶ | Model a³ | Model b⁴ | Model c⁵ | Discordan twin pairs⁶ |
| All women (n = 4 962)                |          |          |          |                       |          |          |          |                       |
| Childbirth                           | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)               | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)               |
| No childbirth                        | 2.5 (1.5–4.3) | 3.3 (1.9–5.7) | 3.4 (2.0–5.9) | 2.5 (1.3–4.6) | 0.85 (0.2–3.0) | 1.0 (0.3–3.6) | 1.0 (0.3–3.6) | 2.4 (0.6–10.6) |
| Women who had at least one delivery (n = 2 756) |          |          |          |                       |          |          |          |                       |
| No hospitalization year 1–2 after T₀⁶ | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)               | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)  | 1 (REF)               |
| Hospitalization year 1–2 after T₀⁶  | 5.1 (1.9–13.4) | 3.7 (1.4–9.8) | 3.2 (1.2–8.8) | 1.3 (0.4–3.8) | 6.0 (1.6–22.5) | 6.0 (1.6–22.5) | 6.1 (1.6–22.9) | 1.5 (0.2–8.8) |

T₀ is defined as year for first childbirth for women who gave birth, and year of twin’s sister’s childbirth for those who did not give birth.

³Twins pairs with one twin having a mental DP diagnosis during the follow-up and the other not (n = 22 pairs).

⁴Twins pairs with one twin having a musculoskeletal DP diagnosis during the follow-up and the other not (n = 10 pairs).

⁵Adjusted for birth year.

⁶Diagnoses related to pregnancy, childbirth and the puerperium excluded (ICD-10: O80-84).

Discussion

In general, women who did not give birth had higher risk for future DP. One could expect that a health selection, where some women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status.

In general, women who did not give birth had higher risk for future DP. One could expect that a health selection, where some women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for future DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for future DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for future DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for future DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status. Our results also revealed that women who did not give birth had a higher risk for future DP. One could expect that women who do not give birth may have worse health and therefore cannot get pregnant or choose not to have a child. However, several studies have shown that childlessness is a risk factor for subsequent DP, regardless of childbirth status.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the long-term association between childbirth, hospitalization and DP, showing elevated risks for future DP in women who did not give birth. Moreover, hospitalization played a role in the studied association.

Familial factors seemed to influence the association.
Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include the population-based prospective design, using nationwide registers with high completeness and no loss to follow up, and the large cohort. The register-based data counteracts recall or selection bias. The National Patient Register has close to complete coverage of all inpatient care [28]. Other strengths include the use of a co-twin design, which enables to take into account the influence of familial factors, the prospective design with a long follow-up period, and that also information on the situation before T0 could be included. That we had access to information about DP diagnoses was another strength.

There are, however, some weaknesses that should be mentioned. First of all, the terms for inpatient care have changed in Sweden during the studied period, and inpatient care has to a large extent been replaced by outpatient treatment, thus the rates of hospitalization have steadily decreased. Hence, in our study, some patients who in the later studied years were treated in outpatient care are classified as unexposed, as there is no record of their outpatient visit. However, the impact of this would be the same for those giving and not giving birth. Another limitation was that there were relatively few twin pairs who were discordant with respect to DP. Precision was relatively low, as indicated by the wide CIs. Hence, the results of the discordant twin pair analyses for DP should be interpreted with caution. Moreover, it is important to remember that the results concern women who gave birth, irrespective if the child survived or not or if the woman lived with the child. Other studies include information on being a mother, and might also include women who live with children she did not give birth to.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study shows a marked higher risk for DP in women who did not give birth compared to those who did. Also, previous hospitalization (e.g., morbidity) was a risk factor for future DP, both in women who did or did not give birth. This was especially evident in women with repeated hospitalizations.
Repealed hospitalizations also seemed to play a role that was confirmed in the analyses controlling for familial effects. Thus, it seems that familial factors should be accounted for when investigating the associations between hospitalizations and DP after childbirth. The findings also suggest health selection into not giving birth.
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