The impact of recent changes in Asian anthropogenic emissions of SO$_2$ on sulfate loading in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere and the associated radiative changes
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Abstract. Convective transport plays a key role in aerosol enhancement in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) over the Asian monsoon region where low-level convective instability persists throughout the year. We use the state-of-the-art ECHAM6–HAMMOZ global chemistry–climate model to investigate the seasonal transport of anthropogenic Asian sulfate aerosols and their impact on the UTLS. Sensitivity simulations for SO$_2$ emission perturbation over India (48 % increase) and China (70 % decrease) are performed based on the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite-observed trend, rising over India by $\sim$4.8 % per year and decreasing over China by $\sim$7.0 % per year during 2006–2017. The enhanced Indian emissions result in an increase in aerosol optical depth (AOD) loading in the UTLS by 0.61 to 4.17 % over India. These aerosols are transported to the Arctic during all seasons by the lower branch of the Brewer–Dobson circulation enhancing AOD by 0.017 % to 4.8 %. Interestingly, a reduction in SO$_2$ emission over China inhibits the transport of Indian sulfate aerosols to the Arctic in summer-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons due to subsidence over northern India. The region of sulfate aerosol enhancement shows significant warming in the UTLS over northern India, south China (0.2 ± 0.15 to 0.8 ± 0.72 K) and the Arctic (1 ± 0.62 to 1.6 ± 1.07 K). The estimated seasonal mean direct radiative forcing at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) induced by the increase in Indian SO$_2$ emission is $\sim$0.2 to $\sim$1.5 W m$^{-2}$ over northern India. The Chinese SO$_2$ emission reduction leads to a positive radiative forcing of $\sim$0.6 to 6 W m$^{-2}$ over China. The decrease in vertical velocity and the associated enhanced stability of the upper troposphere in response to increased Indian SO$_2$ emissions will likely decrease rainfall over India.

1 Introduction

Emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$) were shown to have large detrimental effects on air quality and, therefore, human health. Moreover, increases in SO$_2$ have effects on the hydrological cycle and crop yield (Li et al., 2017; Shawki et al., 2018). On the other hand, SO$_2$ emissions have a cooling effect on climate, due to the increased formation of sulfate aerosols (SO$_4^{2-}$), which are produced from the oxidation of SO$_2$. Over the Asian region, the high emission growth of SO$_2$ also has implications for the recurrent and more severe droughts happening during the second half of the 20th century, resulting in socioeconomic impacts (Kim et al., 2016; Paul et al., 2016; Q. Zhang et al., 2012). Its effects on precipitation deficit are via scattering of solar radiation leading to the invigoration of surface cooling, reduction in land–ocean
thermal contrast and overturning of circulation (Ramanathan et al., 2005; Yeh et al., 2015; Shawki et al., 2018).

To curb its adverse effect, the implementation of international legislation on sulfur emission was enforced which resulted in a global decrease until 2000, followed by a sharp rise until 2006 and a declining trend afterward. The global rising and declining trend seem to be modulated by the emissions from China since it is the world’s largest SO$_2$-emitting country (Aas et al., 2019). While SO$_2$ emissions over China have declined since 2006 (by ∼75%), India shows a continued increase (∼50%) (Krotkov et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). The rising trend in SO$_2$ emissions in India is due to sustained economic growth during the last few decades (Krotkov et al., 2016). According to the Indian Ocean Experiment (INDOEX) during January to March 1999 sulfate aerosols over the Indian region contribute 29% to the observed aerosol optical depth (AOD) (Verma et al., 2012). The Aerosol Radiative Forcing over India NETwork (ARFINET) AOD measurements over India show a consistent rising annual trend of 0.004 during 1988–2013 (Babu et al., 2013). Over northern India sulfate AOD estimates vary between ∼0.10 and 0.14, and the direct radiative forcing (DRF) at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) varies between ∼1.25 and −2.0 W m$^{-2}$ (Verma et al., 2012). Globally, the current best estimate of sulfate aerosol DRF is −0.4 W m$^{-2}$ (−0.6 W m$^{-2}$ to −0.2 W m$^{-2}$) (Myhre et al., 2013).

The long-range transport of sulfate aerosols from the Asian boundary layer to the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) and further northward to the Arctic (poleward of 65° N) alters the aerosol burden in the upper troposphere over Asia and the Arctic (Bourgeois and Bey, 2011; Yang et al., 2018). This northward extending layer from Asia to the Arctic in the UTLS affects the surface temperature and produces climatic impacts via DRF (Yang et al., 2018). The Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) satellite measurements and model simulations indicate that 13% (annual mean) of the sulfate in the Arctic troposphere comes from Asia (Bourgeois and Bey, 2011). The model sensitivity experiments for a 20% emission reduction in SO$_2$ show a decrease in the sulfate aerosol burden in the Arctic of ∼36%–41% when tagged with east Asian emission and ∼7%–10% in response to south Asian emissions. The global burden of sulfate aerosols during 1975–2000 has produced a cooling trend of 0.02 K decade$^{-1}$ in surface temperature (Yang et al., 2018). The recent significant changes in SO$_2$ emissions within Asia are likely to alter the atmospheric burden of sulfate aerosols and their impacts (on radiative forcing, clouds, temperature, etc.), both regionally and in the remote locations.

The transport of aerosols from the Asian boundary layer to the UTLS by the monsoon convection is known to form and maintain the Asian Tropopause Aerosols Layer (ATAL) (SPARC-ASAP, 2006; Fadnavis et al., 2013; Vernier et al., 2015, 2018; Yu et al., 2017). In the future, the aerosol burden in the UTLS may increase due to rising trends in aerosol emission. The enhancement in the UTLS involves complexities due to transport processes. Previous work indicates that a fraction of Asian emissions is transported to the UTLS (contributing to the ATAL associated with the monsoon anticyclone) since the majority of aerosols that grow into cloud droplets (∼80%) are removed by precipitation. Two-thirds of the total aerosol loading that reaches the monsoon anticyclone is transported poleward through circulation in the lower stratosphere (Lelieveld et al., 2018). The observed SO$_2$ concentrations in the monsoon anticyclone are ∼5–10 times higher than in the rest of the tropics (Lelieveld et al., 2018). The major sources of aerosols in the ATAL are found in India and China, with Indian emissions dominating the composition of the ATAL (Lau et al., 2018). Climate model simulations show that the Asian monsoon region (15°–45° N, 30–120° E) is 3 times more efficient (per unit area and time) in enhancing aerosol in the Northern Hemisphere stratosphere than annually averaged tropical (15° N–15° S) upwelling (Yu et al., 2017). Although the chemical composition of the particles constituting the ATAL is not well understood, satellite observations (e.g., Cloud–Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation, CALIPSO; Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment, SAGE–II; balloon sondes and aircraft measurements; Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an Instrumented Container, CARIBIC) suggest that ATAL particles may contain large amounts of sulfate, as well as black carbon, organic, nitrates (including ammonium nitrate) and dust (Vernier et al., 2015, 2018; Yu et al., 2016; Höpfner et al., 2019). Further, model studies suggest sulfate is, together with organics, a major chemical component of the ATAL (e.g., Fadnavis et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2017). However, there is also a model study (Gu et al., 2016) that emphasizes the importance of nitrate as a chemical component of the aerosol in the UTLS over the Tibetan Plateau and the south Asian summer monsoon region. In addition, balloon measurements from Hyderabad, India, indicate the presence of large amounts of nitrate aerosols near the tropopause (100 ng m$^{-3}$), which may be due to NO$_x$ from anthropogenic emissions, lightning and gas-to-particle conversion (Vernier et al., 2015, 2018). Further, Yu et al. (2016, 2017) report that sulfate and nitrate aerosols are important components of the ATAL. Aerosol loadings in the UTLS result in a significant impact on radiative forcing. For example, satellite observations show that the ATAL layer has exerted a regional radiative forcing at the top of the atmosphere of approximately −0.1 W m$^{-2}$ in the past 18 years, thus locally reducing the impact of global warming (Vernier et al., 2015).

Over Asia, the intensity of seasonal convection is controlled by regional instability, thereby modulating the horizontal and vertical transport processes (Luo et al., 2013). The transport pathways of pollutants lifted into the upper troposphere by monsoon convection are well documented: (i) quasi-isentropic transport in the monsoon anticyclone above about 360 K from the monsoon anticyclone into the ex-
tratropical lowermost stratosphere, (ii) cross-isentropic transport from the UTLS into the tropical stratosphere by slow, radiatively driven ascent and (iii) transport of air into the stratosphere by deep convection that sometimes crosses the tropopause in the tropics (Kremser et al., 2016; Fadnavis et al., 2017a; Vogel et al., 2019). However little is known about the transport of Asian pollutants in the UTLS outside of the summer monsoon.

In this study, we address the following research questions. (1) What is the seasonal contribution of SO$_2$ emissions from India and China to the AOD in the UTLS? (2) What is the associated radiative forcing? (3) Can the increase or decrease in Indian or Chinese SO$_2$ emissions change the seasonal dynamics and clouds in the UTLS? For this purpose, we perform two sets of sensitivity simulations based on observed satellite trends in SO$_2$ emissions over India (48 % increase) and China (70 % decrease) during 2006–2017 using the state-of-the-art aerosol–chemistry–climate model ECHAM6–HAMMOZ (version echa6m6.1.0-ham2.1-moz0.8).

The paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 describes the model simulations and measurements used in our study. The model evaluation follows in Sect. 3. The distribution of aerosols in the UTLS is discussed in Sect. 4. The impact of sulfate aerosols on radiative forcing, cloud ice and temperature are presented in Sect. 5. Discussions are given in Sect. 6. Finally, Sect. 7 presents the conclusions of this study.

2 Measurements and model simulations

2.1 Satellite and ground-based measurements of AOD

We analyze aerosol retrievals from Multi-Angle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) (level-3 version 4, at 550 nm wavelength during 2000–2016) (Martonchik et al., 2002). The MISR AOD measurements give aerosol properties over the global ocean and land with bright targets such as deserts (Kahn et al., 2001). Aerosol Robotic NETwork (AERONET) sun photometer, level 2.0 version 3 daily AOD observations during 2006–2016 (Holben et al., 1998) were also analyzed at the stations in the Indo-Gangetic Plain, (Bihar: 25.87° N, 84.12° E; Jaipur: 26.90° N, 75.80° E; Kanpur: 80.23° E, 26.51° N; Karachi: 67.13° E, 24.95° N), and China (Xianghe: 39.76° N, 110.00° E; Nghia Do: 21.04° N, 105.80° E).

2.2 SO$_2$ measurements from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument

The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) aboard the NASA Aura spacecraft retrieves SO$_2$ data from Earthshine radiiances in the wavelength range of 310.5–340 nm (Levelt et al., 2006). It gives the total number of SO$_2$ molecules in the entire atmospheric column above a unit area (https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMSO2e_V003/, last access: 5 August 2019). Details of the retrieval technique are documented by Li et al. (2017). To understand the impact of SO$_2$ emission changes over India and China, we estimate a trend in the SO$_2$ (2007–2017) over the Indian region (8–35° N, 70–95° E) and the Chinese region (20–45° N, 95–130° E) (see Fig. 2e). For this purpose, we used version 1.3, level-2, OMI retrievals that assume all SO$_2$ is located in the planetary boundary layer. We use a regression model described by Fadnavis and Beig (2006). A model regression equation is given as follows:

$$\theta(t, z) = A_0 + A_1 \cos \alpha(t) + A_2 \sin \alpha(t) $$

where $\theta(t, z)$ is the daily mean number of SO$_2$ molecules averaged over the Indian or Chinese region, with altitude $z$ set to 1 km, as we use column data. The model uses the harmonic expansion to calculate the seasonal coefficient, $A$, and the trend coefficient, $B$. The harmonic expansion for $A(t)$ is given as

$$A(t) = A_0 + A_1 \cos \omega t + A_2 \sin \omega t + A_3 \cos 2\omega t + A_4 \sin 2\omega t,$$

where $\omega = 2\pi/12; A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots$ are constants and $t$ ($t = 1, 2, \ldots n$) is the time index. The estimated trend value for SO$_2$ is 4.8 ± 3.2 % yr$^{-1}$ over the Indian region and 7.0 ± 6.5 % yr$^{-1}$ over the Chinese region (99 % confidence interval). These trend values are used while designing the model sensitivity simulations (discussed in Sect. 2.4).

2.3 CloudSat and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations

We use the ice water content (IWC) dataset from a combination of CALIPSO lidar and CloudSat radar data (2C-ICE dataset, version L3_V01) for the period 2007–2010 (Deng et al., 2013). The Cloud Profiling Radar (CPR) onboard the CloudSat satellite is a 94 GHz nadir-looking radar which measures the power backscattered by clouds as a function of distance. It provides information on cloud abundance, distribution, structure and radiative properties. CALIOP is an elastically backscattered active polarization-sensitive lidar instrument onboard CALIPSO. CALIOP transmits laser light simultaneously at 532 and 1064 nm at a pulse repetition rate of 20.16 Hz. The lidar receiver subsystem measures backscatter intensity at 1064 nm and two orthogonally polarized components of 532 nm backscatter signal that provide the information on the vertical distribution of aerosols and clouds, cloud particle phase, and the classification of aerosol size (Winker et al., 2010). The details of the data retrieval method are explained in Li et al. (2012).

2.4 The model simulations

The ECHAM6–HAMMOZ aerosol–chemistry–climate model used in the present study comprises the ECHAM6
global climate model coupled to the two-moment aerosol and cloud microphysics module HAM (Stier et al., 2005; Tegen et al., 2019) and the sub-model for trace gas chemistry MOZ (Kinnison et al., 2007). HAM predicts the nucleation, growth, evolution and sinks of sulfate (SO$_2^-$), black carbon (BC), particulate organic matter (POM), sea salt (SS) and mineral dust (DU) aerosols. The size distribution of the aerosol population is described by seven log-normal modes with prescribed variance as in the M7 aerosol module (Stier et al., 2005; K. Zhang et al., 2012). Moreover, HAM explicitly simulates the impact of aerosol species on cloud droplet and ice crystal formation. Aerosol particles can act as cloud condensation nuclei or ice-nucleating particles. Other relevant cloud microphysical processes such as evaporation of cloud droplets, sublimation of ice crystals, ice crystal sedimentation and detrainment of ice crystals from convective cloud tops are simulated interactively (Lohmann and Ferrachat, 2010; Neubauer et al., 2014). The anthropogenic and fire emissions of sulfate, black carbon (BC) and organic carbon (OC) are based on the AEROCOM-ACCMIP-II emission inventory for the study period 2010–2011 (Textor et al., 2006). The MOZ sub-model describes the trace gas chemistry from the troposphere up to the lower thermosphere. The species included within the chemical mechanism are contained in the O$_X$, NO$_X$, HO$_X$, ClO$_X$ and BrO$_X$ chemical families, along with CH$_4$ and its degradation products. Several primary non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and related oxygenated organic compounds are also included. This mechanism contains 108 species, 71 photolytic processes, 218 gas-phase reactions and 18 heterogeneous reactions on aerosol (Kinnison et al., 2007). Details of anthropogenic, biomass burning, biogenic, emissions fossil fuel sources, etc., are reported by Fadnavis et al. (2017a).

The model simulations are performed at the T63 spectral resolution corresponding to 1.875° × 1.875° in the horizontal dimension, while the vertical resolution is described by 47 hybrid $\sigma−p$ levels from the surface up to 0.01 hPa. The model has 12 vertical levels in the UTLS (50–300 hPa). The simulations have been carried out at a time step of 20 min. Atmospheric Model Intercomparison Project (AMIP) sea surface temperature (SST) and sea ice cover (SIC) (Taylor et al., 2000) were used as lower boundary conditions. We performed 10-member ensemble runs by varying the initial conditions (both SST and SIC) starting between 1 and 10 January 2010 and ending on 31 December 2011 to obtain statistically significant results. The analysis is performed for the year 2011. The 2011 Indian monsoon was well within the long-term norm, with no strong influences from the Indian Ocean Dipole or El Niño modes of interannual climatic variability. We refer to it as the control simulation (CTRL). In previous work, Fadnavis et al. (2013, 2017b) used the ensemble means from 6 to 10 members to analyze the variability of aerosols and associated impacts during the monsoon season. In two emission sensitivity simulations we applied (1) a flat 48 % increase in anthropogenic SO$_2$ emissions over India (referred to as Ind48 simulation) and (2) a flat 48 % increase in anthropogenic SO$_2$ emissions over India and a flat 70 % decrease in anthropogenic SO$_2$ emissions over China simultaneously (referred to as Ind48Chin70 simulation); the same assumptions were made for simulated years. The simulation design is based on the estimated trend of 4.8 % per year over India and ~7.0 % over China, from OMI SO$_2$ observations during 2007–2017. The Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 simulations are also 10-member ensemble runs for the same period as CTRL and are analyzed for the year 2011 (see Table 1). We compare the CTRL and Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 simulations to understand the seasonal impact of enhanced sulfate aerosol on the UTLS, radiative balance and cirrus clouds. We should mention that our simulations are canonical in design in order to show the impact of Asian sulfate aerosols; they do not include many of the observed complexities, like radiative forcing due to non-sulfate aerosols (e.g., organics, nitrates and dust). The Quasi-biennial Oscillation (QBO) is not internally generated in the model. Notwithstanding this, the present work provides valuable insight into the relevance of the impact of sulfate aerosol originating from India and China on the UTLS.

The seasons considered in this study are pre-monsoon (March–May), summer monsoon (June–September), post-monsoon (October–November) and winter (December–February).

2.5 Offline radiative calculations

We use offline radiative calculations to explore the radiative impacts of enhanced sulfate aerosol loadings in the UTLS only (300–50 hPa), compared to the all atmosphere enhancement. Radiative effects associated with the sulfate aerosol enhancement are calculated using the SOCRATES radiative transfer model (Edwards and Slingo, 1996; Rap et al., 2013) with the CLASSIC aerosol scheme (Bellouin et al., 2011). We used the offline version of the model with six shortwave and nine longwave bands and a delta-Eddington two-stream scattering solver at all wavelengths.

3 Model evaluation with observations via remote sensing

In Fig. 1a–h, we show the distribution of the seasonal mean cloud ice mixing ratio from ECHAM6–HAMMOZ and combined measurements of total cloud ice from CloudSat and CALIPSO (2C-ICE) (2007–2010). Although cloud ice is underestimated in the model (~ 6–15 mg kg$^{-1}$; 35–45 %), the spatial distribution is well reproduced. Both the model simulations and the observations show high amounts of cloud ice in the mid–upper troposphere (450–250 hPa) over the Asian monsoon region (80–120° E). Cloud ice peaks during the monsoon season with a second peak in the pre-monsoon sea-
Figure 1. Seasonal mean distribution (2007–2010) of cloud ice mass mixing ratio (mg kg$^{-1}$) from CloudSat and CALIPSO combined 2C-ICE L3 averaged for 20–40$^\circ$ N for the (a) pre-monsoon, (b) summer-monsoon, (c) post-monsoon and (d) winter seasons; (e)–(h) same as (a)–(d) but from CTRL simulations.

The observed seasonality might have links with seasonal transport processes in the troposphere (details in Sect. 4.2). The differences in model simulations and observations are due to uncertainties in satellite observations and model biases (Li et al., 2012); for example, the model does not consider large ice particles, unlike the cloud ice measurement from CloudSat and CALIPSO. The total ice water mass estimates from 2C-ICE combined measurements from CALIPSO lidar depolarization, which is sensitive to small ice particles (i.e., cloud ice represented in global climate models), and CloudSat radar, which is very sensitive to larger ice particles (i.e., precipitating ice or snow) (Li et al., 2012).

Figure 2a–l show the distribution of seasonal mean AOD from MISR (2000–2016), model simulations (CTRL) and AERONET observations (2006–2016) (Bihar, Jaipur, Kanpur, Karachi, Xianghe, Nghia Do). The model reproduces the large AOD over the Indo-Gangetic Plains and eastern China as seen in the MISR. However, simulated AOD is underestimated in the model compared to MISR over the Indo-Gangetic Plains (∼0.4) and overestimated over eastern China (∼0.25). Comparison with AERONET observations also shows underestimation in the model AOD over the stations in the Indo-Gangetic plains and China (∼0.23–0.35). The underestimation of model AOD over India and overestimation over China in comparison with MISR is an agreement with ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulations in Kokkola et al. (2018) and Tegen et al. (2019). The differences in the magnitude of AOD between model, satellite remote sensing (MISR) and AERONET observations may be due to various factors; e.g., satellite remote sensing detects AOD from the top of the atmosphere while AERONET detects AOD from the ground. Dumka et al. (2014) have documented that in AERONET observations, the aerosols above 4 km contribute 50% of AOD at Kanpur (in the Indo-Gangetic plains). The inclusion of nitrate aerosol may affect the distribution of the AOD. There are also uncertainties in model estimates of sea salt emission and parameterization (Spada et al., 2013). The dust aerosols are underestimated in the model (Kokkola et al., 2018). The majority of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) models underestimate global mean dust optical depth (Pu and Ginoux, 2018). During the monsoon season, the large AOD values near 25$^\circ$ N, 75$^\circ$ E are likely due to the presence of high amounts of sea salt and water-soluble aerosols in the model.

4 Results

4.1 A layer of aerosol in the UTLS

The Asian region (8–45$^\circ$ N, 70–130$^\circ$ E) experiences convective instability throughout the year with a peak in the monsoon season (Manohar et al., 1999; Luo, 2013). The distribution of seasonal mean outgoing longwave radiation, simulated ice crystal number concentration and cloud droplet number concentrations representing convection is shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement. It depicts convection over the Asian region rising to the upper troposphere throughout the year and is widespread during the monsoon season.
The summer-monsoon convection lifts the boundary layer aerosols to the upper troposphere, leading to the formation of the Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer (ATAL) (Fadnavis et al., 2013; Vernier et al., 2015). The CALIPSO lidar and Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II (SAGE-II) satellite observations reveal that the ATAL extends over a wider Asian region (15–40°N, 60–120°E) between 12 and 18 km (Vernier et al., 2015; Fadnavis et al., 2013). The ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulations reproduce the formation of an ATAL (extinction and sulfate aerosol) in the UTLS during the summer-monsoon season (Fig. 3a–b). The aerosol layer in the UTLS is connected to the troposphere during the pre-monsoon, indicating the transport of tropospheric aerosols into the UTLS. From March to November, the altitude of convective outflow propagates deeper into the UTLS. Strong uplift during the summer-monsoon season lifts the mid-tropospheric aerosols and aerosol precursors to the UTLS, generating aerosol minima in the mid-troposphere (Fadnavis et al., 2013). During the summer-monsoon season, the convective transport mostly occurs from the Bay of Bengal, the South China Sea and southern slopes of the Himalayas (Fadnavis et al., 2013; Medina et al., 2010). After the convective uplift, at altitudes above ~360 K, radiatively driven upward transport in the anticyclonic monsoon circulation occurs at a rate of ~1 K d⁻¹; this is a slower uplift than convection but faster than outside the anticyclone (Vogel et al., 2019). The simulated distribution of aerosol extinction and sulfate aerosols at 100 hPa from the CTRL simulation shown in Fig. 3c–d indicates maxima in aerosol extinction (Fig. 2c) and sulfate aerosols (Fig. 2d) in the anticyclone region.

The estimated ratio of ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulated sulfate aerosols in the UTLS to the total aerosol amount is 6 : 10 pointing to sulfate aerosols as a major ATAL constituent. Balloon sonde observations over south Asia also indicate that large amounts of sulfate aerosols may be present in the ATAL (Vernier et al., 2015). Tropospheric SO₂ and sulfate aerosol transported into the stratosphere during volcanically quiescent periods are potentially large contributors to the stratospheric aerosol burden (SPARC-ASAP, 2006).
4.2 Transport into the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere

We investigate the transport pathways of sulfate aerosol during different seasons from anomalies in sulfate aerosol for (1) Ind48 and (2) Ind48Chin70 simulations. Firstly, we present a vertical distribution of anomalies (relative to CTRL) in sulfate aerosol for Ind48 simulations in Fig. 4a–h. The striking feature is the poleward transport of Indian emissions in the UTLS throughout the year. A layer of sulfate aerosol enhancement extending from India to the Arctic (68–90° N) is seen near the tropopause during pre-monsoon (3–15 ng m\(^{-3}\)) and in the lowermost stratosphere during summer-monsoon (2–15 ng m\(^{-3}\)), post-monsoon (2–6 ng m\(^{-3}\)) and winter (0.5–3 ng m\(^{-3}\)) seasons. This layer may be due to the transport of Indian sulfate aerosols to the Arctic by the lower branch of the Brewer–Dobson circulation – the AOD in the UTLS is 0.184 × 10\(^{-4}\) (i.e., 1.1 %) to 4.15 × 10\(^{-4}\) (i.e., 4.17 %) over India and the Arctic (seasonal details in Table 2). Past studies also indicate the transport of pollution from south Asia and east Asia to the Arctic predominantly in the UTLS (Shindell et al., 2008; Fisher et al., 2011). From multi-model simulations, Shindell et al. (2008) show that seasonally varying the transport of south Asian sulfate aerosols to the Arctic maximizes in the pre-monsoon season. This enhancement of sulfate aerosols that maximizes during the pre-monsoon is also illustrated in Fig. 4a.

Figure 4 also shows that during most seasons the vertical transport occurs from the Bay of Bengal, the Arabian Sea and southern slopes of the Himalayas (15–35° N, 60–100° E), except during the post-monsoon season when it occurs from the west Asia and Tibetan Plateau region (20–35° N, 60–95° E). This may be due to the transport of sulfate aerosols from India to these regions, which might have been lifted to the UTLS by post-monsoon convection (see Figs. S1c, h, k, and S2 c). The enhancement of sulfate aerosols in the monsoon anticyclone (an ATAL feature) and the cross-tropopause transport associated with the summer-monsoon convection is evident in Fig. 4c–d (enhancement ∼ 5–15 ng m\(^{-3}\); 10 %–36 %). Past studies show that the aerosols transported into the lower stratosphere by the monsoon convection are recirculated in the stratosphere by the lower branch of the Brewer–Dobson circulation (Randel and Jensen, 2013; Fadnavis et al., 2013, 2017b). Yu et al. (2017) report that ∼ 15 % of the Northern Hemisphere column stratospheric aerosol originates from the Asian summer-monsoon anticyclone region. Figure 4d shows that aerosols spread to east and west from the anticyclone (20–120° E), likely due to east- or westward
eddy shedding from the anticyclone (Fadnavis and Chattopadhyay, 2017; Fadnavis et al., 2018). Eddy shedding is not evident in the seasonal mean distribution (Fig. 3b) due to its short duration (i.e., days) and episodic nature.

The influence of the Chinese SO$_2$ emission reduction (Ind48Chin70) on the vertical distribution of sulfate aerosols is shown in Fig. 5a–h. In the pre-monsoon season, the transport pattern is similar to the Ind48 simulations; however, the enhancement of sulfate aerosols at the Arctic tropopause is significantly hindered (1–3 ng m$^{-3}$). The subsidence over north India (20–35$^\circ$N) has prevented sulfate aerosols from crossing the tropopause (Fig. 9a, e). A feeble plume tilted westward is seen during the monsoon season (Fig. 5c–d), and it is eastward and equatorward during the post-monsoon due to changes in circulations (ascending winds over south India and strong subsidence over north India; Fig. 9f–g). Entrainment into the anticyclone and cross-tropopause transport of the sulfate aerosols, seen in the Ind48 simulation, is inhibited by this subsidence. Interestingly, during summer-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons, poleward transport of south Asian sulfate aerosols has also been cut off due to circulation changes (subsidence over north India; see below in Fig. 9f–g). During winter, vertical winds over $\sim 20^\circ$N lift aerosols from India to the mid-troposphere and further transport them to the Arctic (Figs. 5k–l, 9h). The vertical transport of sulfate aerosols increases AOD in the UTLS over India by $\sim 0.32 \times 10^{-4}$ (0.61 %) to $19.20 \times 10^{-4}$ (19.25 %) (except in winter), and it increases over the Arctic by $2.09 \times 10^{-4}$ (16.45 %) during the pre-monsoon season (see Table 2).

5 Impact of changes in SO$_2$ emissions

5.1 Radiative forcing

The seasonal mean anomalies in net radiative forcing at TOA due to sulfate aerosols from the Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 simulations of the ECHAM6–HAMMOZ model are illustrated in Fig. 6a–h. In general, both simulations show negative forcing over India and the surrounding region where sulfate aerosols are dispersed during that season ($\sim -0.2$ to $-2$ W m$^{-2}$). The distribution of anomalies in sulfate aerosols at 850 hPa (Fig. S2a–d) and Fig. 4a–d show that in the Ind48 simulations, during all seasons, sulfate aerosols are transported southwest over the Arabian Sea and partially to the east (during pre-monsoon, monsoon and winter towards Myanmar; during post-monsoon and winter to north-east China). These regions are associated with negative radiative forcing for Ind48 in Figs. 6a–d. This negative radiative forcing extending from north India towards the Arctic during pre-monsoon and summer monsoon is likely due to the poleward transport of south Asian sulfate aerosols in the UTLS ($2–10$ µg m$^{-3}$) reflecting back solar radiation (see Fig. 4a, c).

The poleward extension of negative radiative forcing (RF) is not evident during the post-monsoon and winter seasons (Fig. 6c, d). This may be due to a fine and thinner sulfate aerosol layer ($\sim 1–4$ µg m$^{-3}$) in the upper troposphere which partially reflects back solar radiation, leading to weak positive and negative RF ($-0.1$ to $+0.5$ W m$^{-2}$) over mid–high latitudes ($40–70^\circ$N).

The simulated RF at TOA in the Ind48Chin70 simulations is negative over India during all seasons ($\sim -0.6$ to $-2$ W m$^{-2}$) (Fig. 6e–h) similar to Ind48 (Figs. 6a–d). In addition, the Chinese SO$_2$ emission reductions in
period has exerted a forcing of $-1.5 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ to the Arabian Sea (0–20$^\circ$N) due to the strong scattering properties of the sulfate aerosols (Forster et al., 2007).

The offline calculations further allow the specific effect of the enhanced aerosol layer in the UTLS ($300–50 \, \text{hPa}$) to be distinguished (Fig. 7a–h). Figure 7a–d show the direct radiative forcing at TOA (estimated from our offline simulations) induced by the sulfate aerosol enhancement in the UTLS ($300–50 \, \text{hPa}$) during the four seasons. The RF values from Ind48 are mostly negative over India and China and extending to the Arctic ($-2.0 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$), due to the presence of the sulfate aerosol plume in the UTLS. Interestingly, the Ind48Chin70 simulation also shows negative RFs in the region colocated with the UTLS plume, e.g., in the summer-monsoon season, the plume over north India leads to negative RF values ($-0.001$ to $-0.015 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$).

The corresponding distribution of sulfate aerosol DRF at TOA estimated with our offline simulations for the four seasons for Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 is shown in Fig. 6i–p. The results from the offline model are in reasonable agreement with the ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulations, although their magnitude differs spatially. Both the Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 simulations have produced negative RFs, varying between $-0.2$ and $-2.0 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ over India. The reduction in $\text{SO}_2$ emission over China leads to an increase in RF of $2–6 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$, comparable with the corresponding values simulated in ECHAM6–HAMMOZ. The differences in estimated RF in the offline calculations and the ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulations are likely due to the fact that the implicit dynamical responses in ECHAM6–HAMMOZ are not captured in the offline simulations. However, the offline calculations are important insofar as they isolate the direct radiative impact of the simulated changes in aerosol loading.
Figure 6. Seasonal distribution of anomalies in clear-sky direct net radiative forcing (W m$^{-2}$) simulated by ECHAM6–HAMMOZ at the top of the atmosphere, from Ind48-CRTL simulations for the (a) pre-monsoon (b) summer-monsoon, (c) post-monsoon and (d) winter seasons; (e)–(h) same as (a)–(d) but from Ind48Chin70-CTRL simulations; (i)–(l) same as (a)–(d) but from the offline model; (m)–(p) same as (e)–(h) but from the offline model. The black hatched lines in (a)–(h) indicate the 99% significance level.

Figs. 7g and S4). In the pre-monsoon season, the aerosol plume travels to the Arctic below or near the tropopause; therefore, partial contribution to RF from the UTLS (300 to 50 hPa) might have produced positive anomalies of 0.0001 to 0.0005 W m$^{-2}$ in mid–high latitudes. During winter, sulfate aerosols do not reach above the tropopause (Fig. 5g–h) and therefore RF values are positive over India and China. Thus, the radiative forcing caused specifically by UTLS aerosol shows a much clearer signal than the forcing due to the entire aerosol column (compare Figs. 6 and 7a–h).

The sulfate aerosol layer, corresponding to the ATAL in the summer-monsoon season, leads to an RF of $-0.011$ to $-0.015$ W m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 7b) in the Ind48 simulation. It is reduced to $-0.001$ to $-0.003$ W m$^{-2}$ in the Ind48Chin70 simulations (Fig. 7f) due to a reduction in the transport of sulfate aerosols in the UTLS. The short-term ATAL RF at TOA has previously been estimated as about $-0.1$ W m$^{-2}$ over the Asian region during 1998–2015 (Vernier et al., 2015). The radiative forcing reported here caused solely by the sulfate aerosol particles in the UTLS is lower than the value reported by Vernier et al. (2015), who give an integral value for the ATAL and not only for the sulfate particles.

5.2 Incoming solar radiation, temperature and stability of the troposphere

An important impact of sulfate aerosols in the atmosphere is solar dimming, which counteracts the surface temperature response to the anthropogenic CO$_2$ increase (Ramanathan...
Table 1. Details of model simulations performed.

| Serial no. | Experiment description | Name of experiment | SST and sea ice | Initial condition of the simulation | Analysis period |
|------------|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1.         | Control simulation     | CTRL               | Monthly varying SST and sea ice | 1–10 Jan 2010 | Jan–Dec 2011                     |
| 2.         | The anthropogenic emissions of SO$_2$ over India (8–40° N, 70–95° E) are increased by 48% | Ind48               | Monthly varying SST and sea ice | 1–10 Jan 2010 | Jan–Dec 2011                     |
| 3.         | The anthropogenic emissions of SO$_2$ over India (8–40° N, 70–95° E) are increased by 48%, and reduced over China (23–45° N, 95–130° E) by 70% | Ind48Chin70          | Monthly varying SST and sea ice | 1–10 Jan 2010 | Jan–Dec 2011                     |

et al., 2005). There is observational evidence (1300 sites globally) indicating that one-third of potential continental warming attributable to increased greenhouse gas concentrations has been compensated for by aerosol cooling during 1964–2010 (Storelvmo et al., 2016). Solar radiation measurements over the Indian region (at 12 stations) during 1981–2004 show a declining trend varying between −0.17 to −1.44 W m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Padma Kumari et al., 2007). While not directly comparable to these previous studies, Ramanathan et al. (2005) reported a negative trend in solar flux observations at 10 different Indian stations (−0.42 W m$^{-2}$), and their model simulations show a trend of −0.37 W m$^{-2}$ induced by the changes in BC and sulfate aerosols over India (0–30° N and 60–100° E).

We estimate the changes in net solar radiation at the surface for four seasons from the Ind48 and Ind48Chin70 simulations. Figure 7i–l show that the Ind48 simulations have produced negative anomalies in net solar radiation (SR) at the surface (−0.5 to −3 W m$^{-2}$) over India and parts of China (where sulfate aerosols are transported) due to the enhanced sulfate aerosol layer reflecting back solar radiation. In general, the seasonal mean distribution of anomalies in net solar radiation at the surface is similar to the distribution of the anomalies in RF at TOA. A reduction in Chinese SO$_2$ emissions along with an increase in SO$_2$ emissions over India (Ind48Chin70) has produced a reduction in solar radiation over India, while there is a significant increase over China (1–5 W m$^{-2}$) (see Fig. 7m–p).

Sulfate aerosols also absorb infrared radiation thus causing heating locally and producing a cooling in the region below by solar dimming (Niemeier and Schmidt, 2017). Therefore, seasonally varying transport of sulfate aerosol may affect the thermal structure in the receptor region. Figure 8 shows a temperature enhancement near the region of transport of sulfate aerosols in the UTLS and a cooling of the atmosphere below it. For example, in the Ind48 simulations, positive temperature anomalies are seen near the sulfate aerosol layer extending to the Arctic, with negative anomalies below the layer during all seasons (except winter) (Fig. 8a–h). Similarly, a warming of −0.1 to −0.7 K over India is simulated in the Ind48Chin70 simulations in pre-monsoon and post-monsoon (Fig. 8i–j, m–n). During winter, in the Ind48Chin70 simulation, poleward transport occurs from the Indian lower or mid-troposphere to the lower stratosphere of mid–high latitudes. This region shows positive anomalies in temperature of −0.2 to 1 K (see Figs. 8o–p and 8g–h).

As shown in Fig. 8 the amplitude of the temperature anomalies in the UTLS varies seasonally and regionally. In general, there is temperature enhancement in the UTLS over north India and south China (20–35° N, 75–130° E) of −0.2 ± 0.15 to 0.8 ± 0.72 K in Ind48 (all four season) and −0.1 ± 0.08 to 0.5 ± 0.23 K in Ind48Chin70 (pre-monsoon and post-monsoon). Temperature uncertainties in this paragraph are obtained by determining the variability within the 10-member ensemble. After reaching the Arctic, these sul-
Table 2. Seasonal mean AOD in the UTLS (300–90 hPa) over India (20–35° N, 75–95° E) and the Arctic (65–85° N, 75–97° E) from simulations performed. AOD is calculated at different altitude ranges indicated in brackets for some seasons since the sulfate aerosol layer varies in altitude in the UTLS.

| Season        | AOD in the UTLS over India from Ind48 \(\text{AOD} \times 10^{-4}\) | AOD in the UTLS over India from Ind48Chin70 \(\text{AOD} \times 10^{-4}\) | AOD in the UTLS over Arctic from Ind48 \(\text{AOD} \times 10^{-4}\) | AOD in the UTLS over Arctic from Ind48Chin70 \(\text{AOD} \times 10^{-4}\) |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Pre-monsoon   | 4.15 (4.17%)                                     | 19.20 (19.25%)                                  | 0.208 (0.017%)                  | 2.09 (16.45%)                   |
| Summer monsoon| 1.035 (2.17%)                                    | 6.14 (12.9%)                                    | 0.71 (0.073%)                   | 2.09 (12.9%)                    |
| Post-monsoon  | 0.462 (3.03%)                                    | 0.32 (0.61%)                                    | 0.17 (3.3%)                     | 0.49 (5.8%)                     |
| Winter        | 0.184 (1.1%)                                     | −1.01 (−6.6%)                                   | 1.47 (4.8%)                     | −2.3 (−7.79%)                   |

Figure 7. Simulated clear-sky direct net radiative forcing at TOA (W m\(^{-2}\)) using the offline model due to sulfate aerosols on the UTLS—only for the (a) pre-monsoon, (b) summer-monsoon, (c) post-monsoon and (d) winter seasons for Ind48; (e)–(h) same as (a)–(d) but for Ind48Chin70 simulations. The distribution of anomalies in net solar radiation (SR) (W m\(^{-2}\)) at the surface from Ind48 for the (i) pre-monsoon, (j) summer-monsoon, (k) post-monsoon and (l) winter seasons; (m)–(p) same as (i)–(l) but for Ind48Chin70 simulations.

Sulfate aerosols cause substantial warming in the lower stratosphere, i.e., \(\sim 1 \pm 0.62\) to \(1.6 \pm 1.07\) K in Ind48 during all seasons and \(0.7 \pm 0.60\) to \(1.6 \pm 1.43\) K in Ind48Chin70 in pre-monsoon and winter seasons. Figure 8 also shows a reduction in temperature of \(-0.1 \pm 0.05\) to \(-0.6 \pm 0.4\) K in the troposphere, below the warming, corresponding to the UTLS sulfate aerosols layer.

The changes in the circulation are illustrated in Figs. 9a–h. These panels show ascending winds in the region of the sulfate aerosol plume. For example the Ind48 simulations show
ascending winds over northern India (while there is subsidence in the upper troposphere over 10–30° N) during all seasons and in the Ind48Chin70 simulations during the pre-monsoon season. The reduction in Chinese SO$_2$ emissions (Ind48Chin70) induces strong descending winds over northern India during the summer monsoon and post-monsoon. It hindered the poleward transport of the plume as discussed in Sect. 4.2.

The sulfate aerosol-induced cooling in the upper troposphere (below the layer of sulfate aerosols) and subsidence in the upper troposphere cause a stabilization of the upper troposphere (Pitari et al., 2016). Figure 9i–p show that anomalies in the Brunt–Väisälä frequency are positive (0.2–3 s$^{-1}$ × 10$^{-5}$) in the upper troposphere (250–150 hPa) over north India and south China (20–35° N, 70–130° E) during all the seasons in Ind48 and for the pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons in the Ind48Chin70 simulations. Thus, enhanced Indian sulfate aerosols have increased the stability of the upper troposphere and produce a cooling of ~0.2–1.2 K (Fig. 8) in the upper troposphere. They induced upper-tropospheric subsidence (10–30° N) in Ind48 and ind48Chin70 simulations (except in winter in Ind48Chin70).

Upper-tropospheric temperature and stability play important roles in rainfall suppression (Wu and Zhang, 1998; Fadnavis and Chattopadhyay, 2017). Thus, upper-tropospheric cooling and enhanced stability may suppress the rainfall over India in all seasons in Ind48 and in the pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons in the Ind48Chin70 simulations. However, a complete analysis of the impact of the enhanced surface aerosols on rainfall is beyond the scope of this study.

5.3 Cirrus clouds

Cirrus clouds cover at least about 30% of the Earth’s area on annual average (Stubenrauch et al., 2013; Gasparini et al., 2018), occurring mainly between 400 and 100 hPa altitude. They play an important role in the Earth’s energy budget (Gasparini and Lohmann, 2016; Hartmann et al., 2018), in the transport of water vapor into the stratosphere (Randel and Jensen, 2013), and in the atmospheric heat and energy cycle (Crueger and Stevens, 2015). Cirrus clouds can form either by homogeneous nucleation by freezing of dilute sulfate aerosols or by heterogeneous ice nucleation in the presence

---

**Figure 8.** Vertical cross section of anomalies in temperature (K) from Ind48-CRTL simulations for the pre-monsoon season (a) latitude–pressure section and (b) longitude–pressure section; (e)–(d) same as (a)–(b) but for the summer-monsoon season; (e)–(f) same as (a)–(b) but for the post-monsoon season; (g)–(h) same as (a)–(b) but for the winter season. Figures (i)–(p) same as (a)–(h) but from Ind48Chin70-CRTL simulations. For the vertical cross section, averages obtained over latitudes or longitudes are indicated in each panel. The black hatched lines indicate the 99% significance level. The black vertical bars indicate topography, and a black line indicates the tropopause.
Figure 9. The distribution of anomalies in vertical velocity (m s$^{-1}$) from Ind48-CTRL for the (a) pre-monsoon, (b) summer-monsoon, (c) post-monsoon and (d) winter seasons; (e)–(h) same as (a)–(d) but for Ind48Chin70-CTRL simulations. Vertical velocity is scaled by 1000.

Seasonal distribution of anomalies in the Brunt–Väisälä frequency ($s^{-1} \times 10^{-5}$) from Ind48-CTRL for the (i) pre-monsoon, (j) summer-monsoon, (k) post-monsoon and (l) winter seasons; (m)–(p) same as (i)–(l) but from Ind48Chin70-CTRL simulations. For the vertical cross section, averages obtained over latitudes or longitudes are indicated in each panel. The black vertical bars indicate topography.

Figure 10a–h show the impact of SO$_2$ emission changes on cirrus clouds. These panels show a decrease (5 %–30 %) in cirrus clouds over north India (20–35° N) in the UTLS. The decrease in cirrus clouds coincides with a significant decrease in ice crystal number concentration by $-0.15$ to $-0.5$ cm$^{-3}$ between 250 and 50 hPa (except in winter in Ind48Chin70 since the plume of sulfate aerosols does not reach the upper troposphere) (Figs. 10i–p).

Our analysis indicates that an increase in the upper-tropospheric sulfate aerosol concentration leads to a temperature increase in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere of about $0.2 \pm 0.15$ to $0.8 \pm 0.72$ K over north India and south China and to a cooling below (Fig. 8). This temperature change causes a decrease in the upper-tropospheric temperature gradient and vertical velocity and concurrently an increase in the upper-tropospheric (200–100 hPa) static stability (Brunt–Väisälä frequency) (over 80–120° E) (Fig. 9i–p) (Figs. 9a–h). A combination of decreased upper-tropospheric updraft motion and increased temperature decreases the likelihood of cirrus cloud formation in a similar way to the simulated responses to volcanic eruptions or stratospheric sulfur geoengineering (Kuebbeler et al., 2012; Pitari et al., 2016; Visioni et al., 2018a).
Figure 10. Seasonal distribution of anomalies in cirrus cloud (%) from Ind48-CRTL simulations for the (a) pre-monsoon, (b) summer-monsoon, (c) post-monsoon and (d) winter seasons; (e)–(h) same as (a)–(d) but for Ind48Chin70-CTRL simulations. Seasonal distribution of anomalies in ice crystal number concentration (ICNC; cm$^{-3}$) from Ind48-CTRL for the (i) pre-monsoon, (j) summer-monsoon, (k) post-monsoon and (l) winter seasons; (m)–(p) same as (i)–(l) but from Ind48Chin70-CTRL simulations. For the vertical cross section, averages obtained over latitudes or longitudes are indicated in each panel. The black hatched lines indicate the 99 % significance level. The black vertical bars indicate topography.

5.4 Discussion

Our model simulations presented here provide seasonal transport processes and estimates of radiative forcing for the year 2011. The interannual variability in the transport processes may impact the shallow or deep injection of sulfate aerosols into the lower stratosphere. The stratospheric warming produced in response to the transport of rising south Asian anthropogenic sulfate aerosol in the UTLS over Asia and further to the Arctic (Figs. 4 and 5) may modulate the QBO and thereby the transport of sulfate aerosol from the tropics to the extra-tropics. The QBO phases are modulated by the amount of sulfate and the height of the injection (Aquila et al., 2014; Niemeier and Schmidt, 2017; Visioni et al., 2018b). A previous study reports that the QBO slows down after an injection of 4 Tg (S) yr$^{-1}$ into the stratosphere and completely shuts down after the injection of 8 Tg (S) yr$^{-1}$ (Niemeier and Schmidt, 2017). However, another model study finds that the QBO, even for a larger amount of SO$_2$ injections, does not deviate much from present-day conditions (Richter et al., 2018). These studies indicate that there is a complicated interaction between UTLS aerosols, atmospheric dynamics and atmospheric chemistry (Richter et al., 2017; Niemeier and Schmidt, 2017; Visioni et al., 2018b). The QBO is known to modulate the tropical convection (Collimore et al., 2003; Fadnavis et al., 2013; Nie and Sobel, 2015). Thus, the transport of sulfate aerosols into the stratosphere would impact the tropospheric hydrological cycle in addition to the tropospheric aerosol loading. The increasing amounts of tropospheric sulfate aerosol loading are linked with droughts via changes in radiative forcing, stability and tropospheric tem-
perature gradient (Yeh et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2016). Simulations for a longer time period and with the inclusion of QBO phases may reveal the influence of current SO$_2$ emission on tropospheric–stratospheric dynamics and the hydrological cycle. Nonetheless, the results of the current study show the impacts of sulfate aerosols on the UTLS for realistic emission perturbations over India and China.

6 Conclusions

This study investigated the long-range transport of Asian sulfate aerosols and their associated impacts on radiative forcing, temperature, circulation and cirrus clouds using ECHAM6–HAMMOZ model simulations. We considered emissions perturbations of anthropogenic SO$_2$ derived from OMI observations, namely (1) enhancement over India by 48 % (Ind48) and (2) enhancement over India by 48 % and a simultaneous reduction over China by 70 % (Ind48Chin70). The Ind48 simulations show long-range transport of sulfate aerosols from the Indian boundary layer (20–35° N, 75–95° E) to the UTLS and further horizontally to the Arctic throughout the year. The reduction in Chinese SO$_2$ emissions inhibits the transport of sulfate aerosols from India to the Arctic in the summer-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons via subsidence over north India, which is induced in response to emission perturbation. The enhancement of Indian emission increases the aerosol burden (AOD) in the UTLS over north India by 0.184 × 10$^{-4}$ (1.1 %) to 19.20 × 10$^{-4}$ (19.25 %) and the Arctic by 0.17 × 10$^{-4}$ (3.3 %) to 2.09 × 10$^{-4}$ (16.45 %). This leads to a warming (∼0.2±0.15 to 0.8±0.72 K) in the UTLS near the sulfate aerosol layer and to a cooling below it in the troposphere (0.1±0.05 to −0.6±0.4 K). It produces a negative net radiative forcing at TOA −0.2 to −2 W m$^{-2}$ over north India. There is a substantial increase of ∼0.6 to 6 W m$^{-2}$ in net radiative forcing at TOA over China in response to the reduction in Chinese SO$_2$ emissions.

The RF at TOA estimated from the offline radiative transfer model for enhancement of Indian SO$_2$ emissions is −0.2 to −2.0 W m$^{-2}$ over India. The reduction in SO$_2$ emissions over China leads to an RF of 2 to 6 W m$^{-2}$. These values are comparable with results of the ECHAM6–HAMMOZ simulations, with the minor differences likely due to the implicit dynamical impacts in response to enhanced south Asian SO$_2$ emissions in ECHAM6–HAMMOZ not being represented in the offline model. The enhancement of sulfate aerosols in the UTLS (300–50 hPa) produces a negative forcing in the region colocated with the aerosol sulfate layer in the UTLS, extending from India to the Arctic in the Ind48 (−0.003 to −0.015 W m$^{-2}$) and the Ind48Chin70 (−0.001 to −0.005 W m$^{-2}$) simulations. The ATAL (due to sulfate aerosols only) in the Ind48 simulation produced an RF over north India of ∼−0.011–0.015 W m$^{-2}$ (Fig. 7b), which reduced to −0.001 to −0.003 W m$^{-2}$ in the Ind48Chin70 simulation (Fig. 7f). This reduction is attributed to the subsidence over north India produced by the Chinese SO$_2$ emission reduction.

An enhancement of 48 % in south Asian anthropogenic sulfate aerosols leads to a decrease in cirrus clouds and a cooling of the mid–upper troposphere over the northern regions of India and south China throughout the year. This enhances the stability (anomalies in the Brunt–Väisälä frequency 0.2 to 2 s$^{-1}$ × 10$^{-5}$) of the upper troposphere (∼250 hPa) of these regions. A reduction in Chinese SO$_2$ emissions does not stabilize the upper troposphere during the monsoon and winter seasons since subsidence over north India inhibited the vertical transport of sulfate aerosols to the UTLS. Upper-tropospheric temperature and stability play an important role in rainfall reduction. Strong subsidence, mid–upper-tropospheric cooling and enhanced stability over India may cause a rainfall deficit (Wu and Zhang, 1998; Fadnavis et al., 2017c). The link between these features and the Indian rainfall deficit should be addressed in future research. It is important to note that an increase in surface emissions of SO$_2$ does not necessarily lead to a reduction in RF (as might be expected) but that regional enhancements of RF might occur in response to an inherent dynamical response (including changes in high cloud cover) to enhanced SO$_2$ emissions.

Data availability. OMI SO$_2$ data can be obtained from https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMSO2e_V003/summary?keywords=aura (GES Disk, 2019); MISR data are available at https://giovanni.gsfc.nasa.gov/giovanni/ (Giovanni, 2019); CALIPSO and CloudSat measurements can be obtained from http://www.cloudsat.cira.colostate.edu/data-products/ (NASA, 2019). These satellite datasets are freely available.
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