Catalytically inactive T7 DNA polymerase imposes a lethal replication roadblock
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Bacteriophage T7 encodes its own DNA polymerase, the product of gene 5 (gp5). In isolation, gp5 is a DNA polymerase of low processivity. However, gp5 becomes highly processive upon formation of a complex with Escherichia coli thioredoxin, the product of the trxA gene. Expression of a gp5 variant in which aspartate residues in the metal-binding site of the polymerase domain were replaced by alanine is highly toxic to E. coli cells. This toxicity depends on the presence of a functional E. coli trxA allele and T7 RNA polymerase-driven expression but is independent of the exonuclease activity of gp5. In vitro, the purified gp5 variant is devoid of any detectable polymerase activity and inhibited DNA synthesis by the replisomes of E. coli and T7 in the presence of thioredoxin by forming a stable complex with DNA that prevents replication. On the other hand, the highly homologous Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I containing an engineered gp5 thioredoxin-binding domain did not exhibit toxicity. We conclude that gp5 alleles encoding inactive polymerases, in combination with thioredoxin, could be useful as a shutoff mechanism in the design of a bacterial cell-growth system.

The minimal replication machinery of bacteriophage T7 provides a superb model system to dissect the molecular mechanisms of DNA replication. Only four proteins are required to reconstitute coordinated leading- and lagging-strand DNA synthesis in vitro (1–3). The primase-helicase, gp4, unwinds dsDNA via its C-terminal helicase domain, providing the templates for DNA synthesis; the N-terminal primase domain of gp4 synthesizes tetraribonucleotides to prime lagging-strand synthesis. T7 DNA polymerase holoenzyme, a complex of the phage-encoded DNA polymerase, gp5, and its host-encoded processivity factor, thioredoxin (Trx), is responsible for the synthesis of leading and lagging strands. Finally, the T7 ssDNA-binding protein, gp2.5, interacts with ssDNA, T7 DNA polymerase, and primase-helicase gp4 to coordinate the enzymatic events between the leading and lagging strands (2–4).

All members of the DNA polymerase superfamily employ two invariant carboxylic acid-containing side chains to coordinate catalytic metal ions in the palm domain of the polymerase active site (5, 6). The A family of polymerases includes T7 gp5, the eukaryotic mitochondrial polymerase γ, and Escherichia coli DNA Pol I. In E. coli Pol I, the carboxylates are present as aspartate 705 and aspartate 882. Mutation of either of these residues to alanine results in undetectable polymerase activity (7). Mechanistic experiments show that these residues function in steps prior to the chemical step (8). Aspartate 882 functions in the finger-closing transition, whereas aspartate 705 functions to facilitate entry of a metal associated with the dNTP delivered into the active site. Although no similar mutants for gp5 have been identified, it seems likely that metal-coordinating aspartate residues in gp5 will play an analogous role as asp 705 and 882 in DNA Pol I because of the conservation of the nucleotidyl transfer step among all DNA polymerases (9, 10).

While investigating the transfer of primers from T7 DNA primase to T7 DNA polymerase (11), we constructed a gp5 variant that lacks polymerase activity. The alteration consisted of replacing the two aspartate residues, corresponding to those described above in the metal-binding site for E. coli DNA Pol I, with alanine. (8, 12). Expression of this inactive polymerase (gp5-D475A/D654A) was deleterious to E. coli, as evidenced by a lack of growth of E. coli containing gene 5 expression plasmids. This toxic effect depended on the co-expression of thioredoxin by E. coli. In the present study we show that both in vivo and in vitro, the inactive T7 gp5 and thioredoxin form a complex that inhibits DNA synthesis mediated by the E. coli and T7 replisomes.  

Results

Polymerase-inactive gp5 is toxic to E. coli in a thioredoxin-dependent manner

The X-ray crystallographic structure of T7 DNA polymerase in complex with a primer/template shows that aspartate residues at position 475 and 654 in gp5 coordinate the two Mg²⁺ ions observable in the polymerase domain (Fig. 1) (12). We constructed gp5 variants with aspartate-to-alanine substitution at positions 475 and 654 and examined their ability to complement a T7 phage with a deletion in gene 5 (T7Δ5). None of the gp5 alleles we constructed containing alanine substitutions of the invariant Mg²⁺-binding active site aspartates were able to complement T7Δ5 (Table 1), suggesting that the mutations disrupted the enzymatic activity of gp5.

To our surprise we were unable to transform the E. coli expression strain BL21 (DE3) with plasmids containing mutant gene 5 alleles under the control of the T7 promoter. Interestingly, transformation was successful with E. coli A307 (DE3) (data not shown), a protein overexpression strain that lacks the
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Substitution of the catalytic aspartates essential for gp5 polymerase activity is lethal for E. coli as well as T7 phage. Plasmids expressing WT gp5, gp5-D475A/D654A, gp5-D475A, or gp5-D654A alleles were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) (encodes Trx and T7 RNA polymerase), or BL21 (encodes Trx). For each cell line, the number of transformants resulting from transformation of plasmids expressing mutant gp5 was normalized against the number of transformants obtained with the plasmid expressing WT gp5. Plasmids expressing WT, D475A/D654A, D475A, or D654A gp5 were also transformed into E. coli DH5α cells, and transformants were infected with either WT T7 or T7Δ5. In the bottom two rows, no inhibition of E. coli growth is observed when E. coli are transformed with plasmids encoding Klenow fragment containing the TBD of T7 DNA polymerase or a polymerase-inactive Klenow fragment (D705A/D882A)-TBD. + and − indicate plaque plaques detected and not detected, respectively.

| Protein expressed from plasmid | E. coli strain | T7 phage | Wild-type Δ5 |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|
|                               | BL21(DE3) | BL21(DE3)trxA | BL21 |                |
| Wild-type gp5                 | 1          | 1         | 1            | +            |
| Gp5-D475A/D654A               | 0          | 0.8       | 0.6          | −            |
| Gp5-D475A                     | 0          | 1.3       | 0.7          | −            |
| Gp5-D654A                     | 0          | 0.1       | 0.02         | −            |
| Klenow fragment-TBD           | 1          | 1         | 1            | +            |
| Klenow fragment(Poly-TBD)     | 1.3        | 1.5       | 0.8          | −            |

*α T7 gene 5 coding both exonuclease and polymerase is located downstream of T7 promoter.

Further, no toxicity is observed in control experiments when E. coli is transformed by a polymerase-inactive version of E. coli Klenow fragment (KF-D705A/D882A-TBD) bearing an insertion of the gp5 thioredoxin-binding domain (TBD), which we previously showed confers increased processivity (Table 1) (14). The observation that KF-D705A/D882A-TBD did not exhibit toxicity could be because although this chimeric protein has enhanced processivity in the presence of thioredoxin compared with WT Klenow fragment, it binds thioredoxin with a 10-fold lower affinity, its polymerase activity is only stimulated ∼8-fold by thioredoxin (compared with >2,500-fold for gp5), and it shows a 3-fold lower specific activity than gp5 in the presence of thioredoxin (14).

In addition we found that gp5-D475A/D654A is similarly toxic for T7 phage growth. WT T7 phage is unable to grow in E. coli cells containing an expression plasmid bearing alleles encoding polymerase-inactive variants of gp5, whereas the presence of an expression plasmid with WT gp5 shows no inhibition of growth (Table 1).

gp5-D475A/D654A is devoid of polymerase activity and inhibits DNA synthesis mediated by T7 and E. coli replisomes

We expressed and purified gp5-D475A/D654A as well as an exonuclease-deficient variant (gp5-D5A/D654A as well as an exonuclease-deficient variant (gp5-D5A/D654A).
In E. coli A307 (DE3), an expression strain in which the endogenous trxA gene is deleted. Substitution of Asp^{475} and Asp^{654} to Ala selectively inactivated the polymerase activity of gp5. Both purified gp5-D475A/D654A versions have no detectable polymerase activity (<0.2%) as compared with WT T7 DNA polymerase using primed M13 (Fig. 2A). The selectivity of the mutations in targeting polymerase activity is underscored by the 3′→5′ exonuclease activity displayed by purified exonuclease-competent gp5-D473A/D654A (Fig. 2B).

A plausible explanation for the inhibition of E. coli growth by the presence of an inactive T7 DNA polymerase is that the inhibition results from an interference of the E. coli replisome by the inactive polymerase. To determine whether this is the case, the effect of T7 gp5-D475A/D654A on an in vitro E. coli replication system was examined. This reconstituted system results in coordinated synthesis of leading and lagging strands, with rates comparable with those determined for E. coli DNA replication in vivo (15). The addition of a complex of gp5-D475A/D654A and Trx into DNA replication reactions catalyzed by the E. coli replisome led to a greater than 95% reduction in DNA synthesis (Fig. 3A). We conclude that gp5-D475A/D654A inhibits E. coli growth by interfering directly with DNA synthesis mediated by the bacterial replisome.

The inhibition of DNA synthesis by gp5-D475A/D654A depends on the presence of thioredoxin. The addition of gp5-D475A/D654A in the absence of thioredoxin to DNA synthesis reactions mediated by the E. coli replisome, up to a concentration of 320 nM, does not result in any significant decrease in DNA synthesis (Fig. 3). Likewise, the presence of thioredoxin alone fails to inhibit E. coli Pol III–dependent DNA synthesis (Fig. 3B). Although WT gp5/Trx was able to attenuate E. coli replication at high concentrations, it did so with markedly lower potency than gp5-D75A/D654A/Trx. At concentrations greater than 80 nM, gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx essentially eliminated DNA synthesis by E. coli Pol III, whereas significant levels of DNA synthesis by Pol III persisted even at the highest concentration of WT gp5/Trx tested (Fig. 3A). The decrease in DNA synthesis by WT gp5/Trx may arise from an indirect effect on DNA synthesis (see “Discussion”).

Given that polymerase-inactive gp5 alleles are also toxic for T7 phage growth (Table 1), we examined the effect of gp5-D475A/D654A on the T7 DNA replication system. The presence of gp5-D475A/D654A partially inhibits the activity of WT T7 DNA polymerase and the T7 replisome. We first tested the effect of gp5-D475A/D654A on primer extension by T7 DNA polymerase. There is significant inhibition of the extension of a primer annealed to M13 ssDNA by WT T7 DNA polymerase only at gp5-D475A/D654A concentrations equivalent or higher than the concentration of WT polymerase present in the reaction (Fig. 4A). In the absence of gp5-D475A/D654A, partially extended intermediates that arise from polymerase pausing at regions of secondary structure accumulate at earlier time points but diminish as synthesis progresses through the structured region (Fig. 4A, second and fourth lanes, black arrow). At concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A approximately equimolar or in excess to WT gp5, these intermediates persist even at the longest time points, with a concomitant decrease in fully extended products (Fig. 4A, eighth through sixteenth lanes, white arrow).

gp5-D475A/D654A inhibits leading strand DNA synthesis, catalyzed by T7 DNA polymerase and the T7 helicase gp4 (Fig. 4B). During leading strand DNA synthesis, gp4 unwinds upstream dsDNA, generating the single-stranded template for nucleotide polymerization by T7 DNA polymerase. Together, gp4 and T7 DNA polymerase display a processivity of >17 kb (3, 4). The presence of gp5-D475A/D654A leads to a dose-dependent decrease in deoxyribonucleotide incorporation. At a concentration of 30 nM, gp5-D475A/D654A inhibits DNA synthesis by ~50%. However, at the highest concentration of gp5-D475A/D654A tested (320 nM), synthesis of the leading strand still occurs at a value of ~20% of control reactions (Fig. 4C).

The effect of gp5-D475A/D654A was also examined under conditions where leading and lagging strands are synthesized in a coordinated manner (Fig. 4C). The presence of gp5-D475A/D654A leads to a similar degree of inhibition of DNA synthesis of leading and lagging strands (Fig. 4C). Similar to the results observed for leading strand synthesis, significant DNA synthesis persists even at high concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A. In conclusion, gp5-D475A/D654A also inhibits T7 DNA replication in vitro, but to a lesser extent than the inhibition observed for the E. coli replisome in vitro.

gp5-D475A/D654A/trx displays a more rapid association to primer/template DNA

T7 DNA polymerase has a high affinity for a primer template, with a $K_d$ of 20 nM (9, 16). One possibility for the inhibitory effect of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx is that it retains its ability to bind to a primer template but is locked in position, because it is unable to covalently link the incoming nucleotide to the primer. We compared the ability of WT gp5 and gp5-D475A/D654A to bind to a primer template and to ssDNA using an
Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA), in both the presence and the absence of Trx (Fig. 5A). gp5-D475A/D654A exhibits the same binding characteristics as WT gp5. In the case of both gp5 variants, no significant binding is observed to either ssDNA or primer/template in the absence of Trx (Fig. 5A, lanes 2–5 and 15–18). Like WT gp5, gp5-D475A/D654A binds the primer/template stably only in the presence of thioredoxin (Fig. 5A, lanes 19–26). This strong binding is specific for a primer/template configuration because only weak binding to ssDNA is observed (Fig. 5A, lanes 2–5 and 15–18). The presence of thioredoxin slightly increases the affinity of gp5-D475A/D654A for ssDNA (Fig. 5A, lanes 4, 5, and 10–13). However, the polymerase–DNA complex formed is unstable under these electrophoretic conditions. Nevertheless, a decrease in the signal for free, labeled ssDNA and a smear representing labile protein–DNA complexes during electrophoresis is observed (Fig. 5A, lanes 2–13).

We used surface plasmon resonance to obtain a more quantitative description of the DNA-binding characteristics of gp5-D475A/D654. We used a series of binding experiments in which a concentration series of either WT gp5/Trx or gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx was flowed over a immobilized primer template in the absence of deoxyribonucleotides and determined the kinetic parameters for their interaction (Fig. 5B and C). The results show that gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx binds a primer/template DNA with a 4-fold greater affinity than WT gp5/Trx. The increased affinity of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx for primer/template DNA is solely due to enhanced association kinetics. As suggested in the experiment presented in Fig. 5B, the dissociation rate constant (k_off) is identical between both gp5 variants. However, the association rate constant (k_on) of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx for primer/template DNA is roughly four times greater than for WT gp5/Trx. These results suggest that although the active site in gp5-D475/D654A/Trx cannot incorporate a nucleotide because it lacks the catalytic aspartates for polymerization, it associates with primer/template DNA faster, resulting in a higher affinity compared with WT gp5/Trx (17, 18).
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Figure 4. Inhibition of WT T7 DNA polymerase by gp5-D475A/D654A. A. gp5-D475A/D654A inhibits primer extension by T7 DNA polymerase. A 32P-5'-end-labeled primer (50 nM) was annealed to M13 ssDNA (10 nM) and incubated with 100 nM WT T7 DNA polymerase, in the presence of 0.3 mM dNTPs, and increasing concentrations (0.03, 0.13 0.5, or 2 μM) of gp5-D475A/D654A. Samples were removed at 10, 30, and 90 s after addition of MgCl2 and separated on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel. Examples of extension intermediates accumulating (black arrow) or decreasing (white arrow) as the concentration of gp5-D475A/D654A increases. B. inhibition of strand-displacement DNA synthesis by gp5-D475A/D654A. Strand-displacement reactions rely on the helicase activity of gp4 to displace dsDNA encountered during DNA synthesis by T7 DNA polymerase. The reaction mixtures were supplemented with gp5-D475A/D654A (0, 1.9, 3.8, 7.5, 15, 30, or 120 nM). The samples were incubated for 5 min at 30 °C after initiating the reaction by addition of MgCl2 and quenched with 50 mM EDTA, and the incorporation of dGMP was measured by liquid scintillation counting. C. gp5-D475A/D654A inhibits coordinated leading and lagging-strand DNA synthesis. gp5-D475A/D654A (0, 5, 20, 80, or 320 nM) was incubated in a standard minicircle reaction (see ‘Experimental Procedures’) for 5 min prior to addition of MgCl2. The reactions were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min and quenched with 50 mM EDTA, and incorporation of dGMP (for leading strand synthesis) or dCMP (for lagging-strand synthesis) was measured by liquid scintillation counting.

Discussion

DNA polymerases employ two carboxylic acid-containing residues to coordinate a catalytic metal cation, usually Mg2+, in the active site (5, 10, 17). In the case of DNA polymerases from family A, two conserved aspartate residues are responsible for the coordination of metal ligands and are indispensable for catalysis (7, 12). From the crystal structure of T7 DNA polymerase (12), we identified Asp475 and Asp654 as the metal-coordinating residues in gp5 equivalent to those previously identified in the Klenow fragment of E. coli DNA polymerase I (7, 8). We targeted these postulated catalytic residues by replacing each or both aspartates with alanine.

We were surprised when we were unable to obtain transformants of singly or doubly substituted aspartate-to-alanine gene 5 alleles using common E. coli expression strains. We find that expression of polymerase-inactive gp5 is toxic if the host cells contain a functional allele for thioredoxin; no toxicity is observed in cells lacking thioredoxin. In addition, we find that the toxic effect is not observed in E. coli strains lacking the (DE3) lysogen, which encodes T7 RNA polymerase. This finding suggests that the levels of gp5-D475A/D654A protein that accumulate as a result of “leaky expression” of T7 RNA polymerase from the lacUV5 promoter in the (DE3) lysogen are sufficient to inhibit E. coli growth. In other words, our genetic analysis indicates that the toxic effect is manifest with very low expression of the altered polymerase. Combined, these results show that a complex of polymerase-inactive gene 5 DNA polymerase with thioredoxin is toxic for E. coli growth. We do not observe a toxic effect with WT gp5 or other polymerase active site gp5 variants. Toxicity depends on the presence of thioredoxin and is specific for gp5; no toxicity is observed in trxA− strains. Furthermore, a pol− Klenow fragment bearing homologous mutations corresponding to the magnesium-coordinating residues in the polymerase active site of gp5 and containing the TBD of gp5 did not exhibit toxicity. This latter result is not too surprising, considering that the chimeric protein shows a marginal level of stimulation in the presence of thioredoxin compared with gp5, has a 10-fold lower affinity for Trx than does T7 gp5, and is 3-fold less active than gp5/Trx, and its complex with Trx has a lower processivity compared with gp5/Trx (14).

Thioredoxin is essential for the T7 DNA replication machinery. It binds tightly to T7 gp5 and confers processivity to the polymerization reaction (16, 19, 20). T7 gp5 alone has a low processivity of ~5−20 nucleotides per binding cycle, and the acquisition of thioredoxin increases the processivity to ~800 nucleotides per binding event (19). This increase in processivity arises from an increased affinity of gp5/Trx for a primer/template: The Kd of gp5/Trx for a primer/template determined by incorporation assays is 20 nM, whereas it is at least 20-fold higher in the case of gp5 alone (9, 16). Thioredoxin also provides gp5 with contacts for the T7 gene 4 helicase-primase and to the gene 2.5 ssDNA-binding protein (13, 21). Binding of thioredoxin to gp5 leads to the formation of two basically charged unstructured regions in gp5 that interact with the negatively charged unstructured C-terminal domains of both the ssDNA-
SSB supports T7 growth for TBE (45 m M). In vivo E. coli and loaded on a native 0.5 Å replisomes, and this inhibition depends on the presence of the polymerase-inactive gp5/Trx complex: either protein alone has no effect on the efficiency of DNA synthesis. Intriguingly, WT gp5 also inhibits the reconstituted E. coli replisome, albeit to a much lesser extent. In vitro, the E. coli replisome retains significant activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Trx, but similar concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx essentially abolish replication activity. Consistent with these results, expression of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx in vivo is toxic, whereas expression of WT gp5/Ttrx is not toxic. The residual level of replication activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Ttrx is likely sufficient to support cell viability. Whether the inhibition of E. coli replication by WT gp5/Ttrx reflects a viral mechanism to further shut off residual host DNA replication, whereby excess amounts of WT gp5/Ttrx might interfere with the assembly of functional E. coli replisomes, remains to be investigated. Polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx also inhibits the activities of the T7 replication system in vitro, and gene 5 alleles bearing mutations in the catalytic aspartates are dominant-lethal in vivo for growth of WT T7. Similarly, in a previous study (24), a histidine-to-alanine mutation of the C-terminal residue of gp5 resulted in a dominant-negative phenotype for T7 growth, and the purified mutant protein also displayed strikingly decreased polymerase activity.

The toxic effect of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx appears to be directly related to its effect on E. coli DNA replication. The inactive gp5/Ttrx complex inhibits DNA synthesis by reconstituted E. coli replisomes, and this inhibition depends on the presence of the polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx complex: either protein alone has no effect on the efficiency of DNA synthesis. Intriguingly, WT gp5 also inhibits the reconstituted E. coli replisome, albeit to a much lesser extent. In vitro, the E. coli replisome retains significant activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Ttrx, but similar concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx essentially abolish replication activity. Consistent with these results, expression of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx in vivo is toxic, whereas expression of WT gp5/Ttrx is not toxic. The residual level of replication activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Ttrx is likely sufficient to support cell viability. Whether the inhibition of E. coli replication by WT gp5/Ttrx reflects a viral mechanism to further shut off residual host DNA replication, whereby excess amounts of WT gp5/Ttrx might interfere with the assembly of functional E. coli replisomes, remains to be investigated. Polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx also inhibits the activities of the T7 replication system in vitro, and gene 5 alleles bearing mutations in the catalytic aspartates are dominant-lethal in vivo for growth of WT T7. Similarly, in a previous study (24), a histidine-to-alanine mutation of the C-terminal residue of gp5 resulted in a dominant-negative phenotype for T7 growth, and the purified mutant protein also displayed strikingly decreased polymerase activity.

The molecular mechanism for the toxicity of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx on E. coli DNA replication is difficult to dissect. The simplest mechanism is that the high affinity of gp5/Ttrx for DNA could be locked into place as a result of its inability to add the next incoming nucleotide. Such a scenario is similar to the stable polymerase complex created by the incorporation of a dideoxy-nucleotide, where the absence of condensation of the next nucleotide locks the polymerase in a polymerizing mode (12). An alternative, nonmutually exclusive, possibility is that the toxic effect exerted by polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx could be due to the generation or propagation of toxic DNA damage. DNA replication machineries encounter multiple barriers during genomic replication, resulting in stalled or abandoned replication forks that, if left unchecked, result in highly deleterious dsDNA breaks (25). The reactivation of replication forks is a major pathway in the maintenance of genomic integrity (26). Polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx could hinder the assembly of replication fork restart pathways, resulting in catastrophic genomic damage and triggering cell death, whereas any inhibition by WT gp5/Ttrx would be likely less potent (27,28).

We envision that pol− gene 5 alleles could have applications in synthetic biology or industrial settings as a cell host shutoff mechanism. Bacterial cellular toxicity triggered through inhibition of DNA synthesis can form the basis for the removal of binding protein (gp2.5) and helicase-primase (gp4) of phage T7 (22). Of these two functions, it seems most likely that the toxic effect arises from the increases affinity for DNA, because it is unlikely that proteins in the E. coli replisome have specific contacts with thioredoxin. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that gp5/Ttrx might interact with E. coli SSB, because this protein has an acidic C-terminal tail with similar length, charge distribution, and a C-terminal phenylalanine, as do the acidic N-terminal tails of T7 gp2.5 and gp4. In fact, a chimeric gp2.5 containing the C terminus of E. coli SSB supports T7 growth and interacts with T7 DNA polymerase. However, a chimeric SSB protein containing the C-terminal tail of gp2.5 does not support T7 growth (23).

Figure 5. gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx shows increased DNA-binding stability. A, EMSA gp5 or gp5-D475A/D654A in the presence and absence of thioredoxin. Left panel, lanes 1–12, 5'–32P-end-labeled ssDNA (19 nt in length). Right panel, lanes 14–26, ds 5'–26P-end-labeled primer/template (19-nt primer and 26-nt template). The samples were incubated as described under “Experimental procedures” and loaded on a native 0.5 × TBE (45 mM Tris, 45 mM Borate, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) gel. After drying, the gel was exposed to a phosphorimaging screen. B, sensograms obtained using a biotinylated primer/template coupled to streptavidin chip under flow of solutions containing WT gp5 (red) or gp5-D475A/D654A (dark blue) in the absence of nucleotides. C, kinetic and binding constants for the interaction of WT gp5 or gp5-D475A/D654A to an immobilized primer/template ligand determined by surface plasmon resonance.

The toxic effect of gp5-D475A/D654A/Ttrx appears to be directly related to its effect on E. coli DNA replication. The inactive gp5/Ttrx complex inhibits DNA synthesis by reconstituted E. coli replisomes, and this inhibition depends on the presence of the polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx complex: either protein alone has no effect on the efficiency of DNA synthesis. Intriguingly, WT gp5 also inhibits the reconstituted E. coli replisome, albeit to a much lesser extent. In vitro, the E. coli replisome retains significant activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Ttrx, but similar concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A/Ttrx essentially abolish replication activity. Consistent with these results, expression of gp5-D475A/D654A/Ttrx in vivo is toxic, whereas expression of WT gp5/Ttrx is not toxic. The residual level of replication activity in the presence of high concentrations of WT gp5/Ttrx is likely sufficient to support cell viability. Whether the inhibition of E. coli replication by WT gp5/Ttrx reflects a viral mechanism to further shut off residual host DNA replication, whereby excess amounts of WT gp5/Ttrx might interfere with the assembly of functional E. coli replisomes, remains to be investigated. Polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx also inhibits the activities of the T7 replication system in vitro, and gene 5 alleles bearing mutations in the catalytic aspartates are dominant-lethal in vivo for growth of WT T7. Similarly, in a previous study (24), a histidine-to-alanine mutation of the C-terminal residue of gp5 resulted in a dominant-negative phenotype for T7 growth, and the purified mutant protein also displayed strikingly decreased polymerase activity.

The toxic effect of gp5-D475A/D654A/Ttrx on E. coli DNA replication is difficult to dissect. The simplest mechanism is that the high affinity of gp5/Ttrx for DNA could be locked into place as a result of its inability to add the next incoming nucleotide. Such a scenario is similar to the stable polymerase complex created by the incorporation of a dideoxy-nucleotide, where the absence of condensation of the next nucleotide locks the polymerase in a polymerizing mode (12). An alternative, nonmutually exclusive, possibility is that the toxic effect exerted by polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx could be due to the generation or propagation of toxic DNA damage. DNA replication machineries encounter multiple barriers during genomic replication, resulting in stalled or abandoned replication forks that, if left unchecked, result in highly deleterious dsDNA breaks (25). The reactivation of replication forks is a major pathway in the maintenance of genomic integrity (26). Polymerase-inactive gp5/Ttrx could hinder the assembly of replication fork restart pathways, resulting in catastrophic genomic damage and triggering cell death, whereas any inhibition by WT gp5/Ttrx would be likely less potent (27,28).

We envision that pol− gene 5 alleles could have applications in synthetic biology or industrial settings as a cell host shutoff mechanism. Bacterial cellular toxicity triggered through inhibition of DNA synthesis can form the basis for the removal of binding protein (gp2.5) and helicase-primase (gp4) of phage T7 (22). Of these two functions, it seems most likely that the toxic effect arises from the increases affinity for DNA, because it is unlikely that proteins in the E. coli replisome have specific contacts with thioredoxin. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that gp5/Ttrx might interact with E. coli SSB, because this protein has an acidic C-terminal tail with similar length, charge distribution, and a C-terminal phenylalanine, as do the acidic N-terminal tails of T7 gp2.5 and gp4. In fact, a chimeric gp2.5 containing the C terminus of E. coli SSB supports T7 growth and interacts with T7 DNA polymerase. However, a chimeric SSB protein containing the C-terminal tail of gp2.5 does not support T7 growth (23).
selected cells in a population in a specific manner by designing a regulatory circuit that depends on mutant gene 5 or trxA co-expression in a temporally controlled manner.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Construction of plasmids and protein purification**

Mutations were simultaneously introduced into codons for aspartate 475 and 654 of T7 gene 5 in plasmids encoding WT gene 5 (pGP5-3) and exonuclease-deficient gene 5 (D5A, D65A (pGP5-3 exon−)) using Gibson assembly (29). Site-directed mutagenesis was used to introduce single mutations into T7 gene 5. Similarly, we used Gibson assembly to construct a double substitution (D705A/D882A) variant of Klenow fragment containing an insertion of the T7 gp5 TBD using pKL-N-TBD as template (14). BL21 (DE3) ΔtrxA was constructed by replacing trxA with a gene encoding kanamycin resistance using the λ RED system (30). T7 gp5 variants were expressed in *E. coli* A307 (DE3), which lacks endogenous thioredoxin and the overexpressed gene 5 proteins were purified as previously described (19). WT *E. coli* thioredoxin was prepared as previously described (31). *E. coli* clamp loader complex (τ33d χγ), β2 clamp, DnaG, DnaB, and ssDNA-binding protein (SSB) were purified as previously described (32, 33). αeθ, αeQθ, and αLθ were provided by Nicholas Dixon (University of Wollongong).

**Genetic assays**

*E. coli* BL21 (DE3), BL21, and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) ΔtrxA were transformed with plasmids containing the genes for WT or variant T7 gene 5 or the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I containing the T7 gp5 TBD according to standard methods. Transformation mixtures were plated on LB agar plates containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin and grown overnight at 37°C. The number of colonies after incubation were counted. For phage growth and complementation assays, *E. coli* DH5α transformed with gene 5–expressing plasmid were grown in LB to exponential phase. Cell culture (0.3 ml) was mixed with 3 ml of soft agar (LB broth + 0.7% agar) and plated onto LB-agar/ampicillin plates. Aliquots of serially diluted WT or gene 5-deletion (Δ5) T7 phage were spotted onto the plates to determine phage titer.

**DNA polymerase assays**

Primed M13 ssDNA (7 nM) was incubated with increasing concentrations (3–2,000 nM) of purified WT gp5 or gp5-D475A/D654A in the presence of a 10-fold molar excess of thioredoxin in a buffer consisting of 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, and 0.3 mM dTTP, dATP, dCTP, and [α-32P]dGTP at 25°C for 2 min. The reactions were quenched with EDTA and spotted onto DE81 filters, and the amount of nucleotides incorporated into DNA was determined as previously described (1).

3′–5′ exonuclease activity of gp5 variants was measured using an internally labeled 3-kb dsDNA generated by PCR in the presence of [α-32P]dGTP from a plasmid template. Reaction mixtures contained 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 3 μM uniformly labeled dsDNA (expressed as nucleotides) and varying concentrations of gp5 variants. Thioredoxin was included at a 10-fold molar excess over gp5 concentrations in the assay. Samples were taken after incubation at 37°C and quenched with 18% (w/v) TCA and 2 mg/ml BSA. The acid-soluble radioactivity in the supernatant was measured by liquid scintillation counting.

The primer template for rolling-circle DNA synthesis mediated by the *E. coli* replication proteins was prepared and purified as described (32). A 73-mer tailed oligonucleotide (5′-GAATTTCGCCGTCCACAGGTAGCAGTGAACATG) was annealed to a modified M13mp7(L2) circular closed ssDNA and extended with T7 DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for 20 min. The filled M13mp7(L2) was purified by phenol-chloroform extraction. The *E. coli* replisome was assembled by mixing 1 nm template, 20 nm τ, 50 β, 20 nM clamp dimer, 50 nM DnaB hexamer, 60 nM Pol III core complex (αeθ), 50 μM ATPγS, and 60 μM dCTP and dGTP in 50 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.9, 12 mM Mg(OAc)2, 0.1 mg/ml BSA, 10 mM DTT on ice and incubating the mixture in a water bath at 37°C for 6 min. Replication was initiated by adding prewarmed 10X initiation mixture to the assembly reactions. The 10X initiation mixture contained 1 mM ATP, 250 μM dNTPs, 60 μM dTTP, and [α-32P]dATP, 500 nM SSB tetramer, and 600 nM DnaG. All concentrations are the final concentrations in the reactions. 10 s after initiation, the indicated concentrations of either WT T7 gp5 or its variants were added to the replication reactions. Replication reactions took place in a 37°C water bath. Replication reactions were quenched by adding EDTA to a final concentration of 25 mM. Quenched reactions were applied to a 0.6% alkaline denaturing agarose gel, and replication products were resolved by running the gel in an alkaline electrophoresis buffer (50 mM NaOH, 2 mM EDTA) at 21 V for 15 h. Replication products were visualized by autoradiography (32).

To assess the effect of gp5-D475A/D654A on the activity of WT gp5, we incubated various concentrations of gp5. D475A/D654A (exo−)/Trx along with 100 nM gp5(exo−)/Trx in the presence of M13 DNA annealed to a 5′-32P-labeled primer in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 5 mM DTT, and 0.3 mM dNTPs. DNA synthesis was initiated by adding MgCl2 to a final concentration of 10 mM. At 10, 30, or 90 s, the reactions were stopped by the addition of an equal volume of formamide loading dye (93% formamide, 50 mM EDTA, 0.01% xylene cyanol, and 0.01% bromphenol blue). The samples were heated to 95°C for 5 min and loaded onto a denaturing 5% polyacrylamide gel. The gel was dried and exposed to a phosphorimaging screen.

Leading-strand DNA synthesis was measured in a reaction containing 10 nM of a DNA minicircle bearing a replication fork (1), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 5 mM DTT, 0.6 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and [α-32P]dGTP, 60 nM gp4 (monomer), 80 nM WT gp5/Trx, and varying concentrations of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx. The reaction was initiated by adding MgCl2 to a final concentration of 10 mM, incubated at 25°C for 5 min before quenching with EDTA, and processed as described (34).

Coordinated leading- and lagging-strand DNA synthesis reactions were assembled in the presence of varying concentrations
of gp5-D475A/D654A/Trx. The reaction mixtures contained 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM dNTPs (with either [α-³²P]dGTP to measure synthesis of the leading strand or [α-³²P]dCTP to measure lagging-strand synthesis), 60 nM gp4 (monomer), 80 nM WT T7 DNA polymerase, 3 μM gp2.5, and 10 mM minicircle DNA. The sequence bias of minicircle DNA allows for monitoring of synthesis of the leading and lagging strands by measuring the incorporation of dGMP or dCMP, respectively (1).

DNA-binding assays

An EMSA was used to measure binding of DNA polymerase to both ssDNA and a primer/template DNA duplex. A 19-nt ssDNA (5′-TGGCGACGATATAACGACCC-3′) was 5′-end-labeled with T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ-³²P]ATP and served as the primer strand. A dsDNA containing a 5′ overhang representing a primer/template duplex was formed by annealing the labeled primer strand with a 26-nt ssDNA (5′-CAGTGACGGGTTCTTTATCGTCCGCA-3′). Binding reactions contained 3 nM 5′-³²P-primer or primer/template in 40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 5 mM DTT, 10 mM MgCl₂, and various concentrations of gp5 exo⁻ or gp5-D475A/D654A exo⁻ in the presence or absence of Trx. The samples were incubated at room temperature for 10 min and loaded onto a native 10% polyacrylamide gel with 0.5X TBE (45 mM Tris, 45 mM Borate, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) as the electrophoretic buffer. The gel was run in a cold room, dried, and visualized by phosphorimaging.

In surface plasmogen resonance assays, the 19-nt primer used for EMSA was annealed to the 26-nt template strand containing a biotin attached to the 3′-end. dsDNA was coupled to a streptavidin chip at a concentration of 0.25 μM in 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.005% Tween 20 (vol./vol.) at a flow rate of 10 μl/min. Free streptavidin on the flow cells was then blocked by washing with biotin. Binding studies were carried out by flowing 200 nM gp5 exo⁻/Trx or gp5-D475A/D654A exo⁻/Trx over 600 RU immobilized primer/template in 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 2.5 mM DTT, 200 mM potassium glutamate, 1% glycerol (w/v) at a flow rate of 10 μl/min. For determination of kinetic parameters, 50 RU of primer/template was immobilized and various concentrations (12.5, 25, 50, 75, and 100 nM) of gp5 exo⁻/Trx or gp5-D475A/D654A exo⁻/Trx were flowed over the chip. Kinetic data were obtained by fitting binding sensorgrams using BIA-Evaluation software. All experiments were repeated at least four times, with the exception of those whose data are shown in Figs. 3B and 5C, which were done in duplicate.

Data availability

All of the data presented are contained in the manuscript and are available upon request from Alfredo J. Hernandez, Harvard Medical School, alfredo_hernandez@hms.harvard.edu.
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