The effect of education on decreasing the prevalence and severity of neck and shoulder pain: a longitudinal study in Korean male adolescents
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Background: Neck and shoulder pain is fairly common among adolescents in Korea and results in significant health problem. The aims of this prospective study was to identify the effects of education, in terms of recognition of this issue and posture correction, on prevalence and severity of neck and shoulder pain in Korean adolescents.

Methods: A prospective, observational cohort design was used. The 912 students from two academic high schools in the city of Seoul were eligible for the current study and 887 completed this study. After a baseline cross-sectional survey, students listened to a lecture about cervical health, focusing on good posture, habits, and stretching exercises to protect the spine, and were encouraged by their teachers to keep the appropriate position. And follow-ups were conducted 3 months later, to evaluate the effect of education.

Results: The prevalence of neck and shoulder pain was decreased 19.5% (from 82.5 to 66.4%). The baseline mean usual and worst numeric rating scale were 19.9/100 (95% CI, 18.1–21.7) and 31.2/100 (95% CI, 28.7–33.2), respectively. On the follow-up survey, the mean usual and worst numeric rating scale were decreased significantly by 24.1 and 21.7%, respectively, compared with baseline (P < 0.01). Of the 570 students reporting neck and shoulder pain, 16.4% responded that they had experienced improvement during the 3 months.

Conclusions: Education; recognition of this issue and posture correction, for cervical health appeared to be effective in decreasing the prevalence and severity of neck and shoulder pain at a 3 month follow-up. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2014; 67: 198-204)
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Introduction

Neck and shoulder pain (NSP) is a relatively mild musculoskeletal condition, but is common enough to be a public health problem [1-4]. Not only are the neck and upper limb the most common sites of pain in adolescents, but also substantial number of adolescents complain of NSP [5,6]. A longitudinal study has suggested that NSP generated during adolescence was associated with a high prevalence of NSP during adulthood [7]. NSP results in significant disability in the general population [1,8] and has an economic impact [9]. Thus, this issue of NSP in adolescents should not be disregarded, and the recognition and management of NSP in adolescents may be important for preventing NSP in adulthood.

In previous studies, the prevalence of recurrent NSP in Korean high school students was higher than 30%, and the overall prevalence of NSP was almost 80% [10,11]. It was more common than in Europe [7,12,13]. Korean adolescents spend a significant amount of time sitting, and considerable number of students sits in inappropriate posture [10]. Presumably, remaining in an unsuitable static position for a prolonged period of time results in minor injuries to muscles and ligaments that possibly cause the high prevalence of NSP in Korean adolescents. We suspect that proper education and lifestyle modification for Korean adolescents may decrease the prevalence or severity of NSP.

Although several studies have focused on the etiology of NSP during adolescence, few studies have addressed the management or prevention of NSP in adolescents, despite its high prevalence in this age group. The aim of this prospective study was to identify the effects of education, in terms of recognition of this issue and posture correction, on prevalence and severity of NSP in Korean adolescents.

Materials and Methods

The Institutional Review Board approved the present study protocol and the study was registered with Clinical Trials.gov. In 2012, a total of 1,302 male students from academic high schools in the city of Seoul were eligible for the current study, and after getting informed consent from the students and their teachers, 912 students ranging in age from 16 to 19 years participated (Fig. 1). The students completed a questionnaire in class in the presence of a teacher. The study design consisted of three phases: (i) a baseline cross-sectional survey, (ii) an education and posture correction and (iii) a follow-up survey of the baseline responders 3 months.

Baseline survey

The baseline survey consisted of a questionnaire, delivered to students at the school, which included site-specific questions regarding pain in different areas of the body: head, neck and shoulder, elbow, wrist, back, groin, knee, and foot. The students were asked whether they had experienced NSP during the previous 1 month period and were requested to classify this NSP according to six frequency and intensity levels. Multiple open-choice questions inquired about the times when NSP usually occurred, the activities that induced NSP, and any subjective NSP-induced difficulties in daily living. To assess the severity of NSP, the students were asked to use a numeric rating scale (NRS; range, 0–100; no pain 0 to worst pain imaginable 100) to score the usual and worst NSP symptoms experienced during the preceding 1-week period. To evaluate disability, the Korean version of the Neck Disability Index (NDI) was used [14]. Total NDI scores were interpreted as follows: 0–4, no disability; 5–14, mild disability; 15–24, moderate disability; 25–34, severe disability; > 34, complete disability. Students also completed the validated Korean version of the Short Form-36 (SF-36) questionnaire [15], which measures self-reported health and quality of life.

The questionnaire included potential associated factors also. An accident history was questioned. As an overall measure of self-reported health on a five-point scale, the students were asked ‘would you say that, compared with others of the same age, your health is generally: excellent, good, fair, poor, or very poor?’ Symptoms of fatigue were measured by symptom presence and frequency questions, with responses ranging from 1 to 5 (1, never; 2, seldom; 3, sometimes; 4, frequently; 5, almost always). The degree of stress was examined using the validated
Korean version of the Brief Encounter Psychosocial Instrument (BEPSI) [16], and the severity of depression was evaluated with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [17].

To assess the activity and lifestyle of the students, we surveyed the daily duration of sitting during school and at home, sitting posture (e.g., slouching or lean back position, cross legs, forward-leaning head posture) during lessons, use of assisting devices for reading (e.g., a bookstand or armrest), and regularity of stretching. Sleep position, pillow type, average sleep duration, and sleep quality (1, excellent; 2, good; 3, fair; 4, poor) were recorded. We asked whether the students had cervical problems, tried to maintain a fine posture, or ever received education or posture correction for cervical health. Additionally, the students described any leisure activities and exercise, using a five-point scale to score the intensity of physical activity and the frequency of exercise (1, almost daily; 2, frequently; 3, sometimes; 4, seldom; 5, never).

**Education and posture correction**

After the baseline survey, the students and their teachers listened to a lecture concerning the fine posture for health [18]. The lesson included five parts with pictures and movies. Each session takes 15–20 minutes, and proceeded sequentially. Two clinicians, who have specialty on neurosurgery and pain management, presented the lesson. And the movies made by one professional instructor and a narrator under supervision by the clinicians. And fifteen staffs, who trained for this lecture, as assisted and encouraged to follow the exercises, and answered the questions.

Part 1 taught the students and teachers how to maintain a healthy posture, emphasizing the detrimental effects of a sedentary lifestyle. Harmful positions, including sitting cross-legged on the floor and sitting with a rounded back, that could cause spine or muscular injury were listed. Students were cautioned to avoid crossing the legs and sitting on the edge of a chair. How to establish good posture when sitting on a chair was described: keep the spine straight; relax the shoulders, position forearms and hands in a straight line, and place feet flat on the floor. Also, the lower back should be supported, and the thighs should be in a horizontal position. A distance of 18–28 inches between a book or screen and the eyes was recommended.

In Part 2, the students and teachers learned about good posture and the importance of being aware of posture. Pictures of inappropriate standing positions and normal posture as well as pictures of the ideal sitting position and optimal spine curvature were presented. Information was provided regarding how many vertebræ comprise the human spine and the detrimental effects of bad posture and bad habits, such as forward head posture, on the spine.

Part 3 explained the impacts that sleep position can have on health, advising that a pillow should not be too high or too hard.

Part 4 provided guidance regarding the use a cellular phone or computer, wearing a backpack, keeping the back straight by tucking in the chin, and using a bookstand while reading or studying.

In Part 5, the students and teachers were guided through the motions of exercises for stretching and strengthening the neck. They practiced the chin-tuck position, standing tall exercise, head half-roll and shoulder roll exercises, side neck bend, neck flexion and extension, and exercises to strengthen neck muscles. Afterward, the teachers continued to encourage the students to maintain an appropriate position and made efforts to correct their posture.

**Follow-up survey**

Follow-ups were conducted 3 months later, in the same way as the baseline survey. To evaluate the effect of education, students were asked to score the usual and worst NSP symptoms experienced during the preceding 1-week period, using the NRS (range, 0–100). The Korean version of the NDI was also examined. The self-reported change in NSP was classified according to three categories (decreased, unchanged, and increased).

**Statistical methods**

The primary outcome variable was the NRS score of NSP during the 1-week period preceding follow-up. Secondary outcomes were prevalence of NSP, NDI scores, activity and lifestyle, associated factors for NSP, and results of the SF-36 questionnaire.

The variables are shown as percentages with 95% confidence intervals (CI), means ± standard deviation (SD), or absolute values. Differences in the NRS scores were analyzed using a paired t-test. The associations between NSP and other variables were analyzed with a chi-squared test or Student's t-test. Linear trends across categories were determined using a linear-by-linear association for ordinal variables. The adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI of the potential associated factors for predicting the likelihood of NSP (number of other pains, accident history, self-reported health, fatigue, NDI, BEPSI, BDI, sleep quality, sitting position, use of assisting devices) were analyzed using logistic regression analysis. The influence of NSP on quality of life, as measured by the SF-36, was assessed using Student's t-test. The reliability of the present survey estimated based on internal consistency reached a Cronbach's alpha of 0.7. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 12.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Values of P < 0.05 (two-tailed) were deemed to indicate statistical significance.
Results

The study design is outlined in Fig. 1. Among the 912 students who participated, 887 completed the baseline question-naire. Their mean age was 17.6 ± 3.1 years, mean weight was 66.4 ± 11.4 kg, and mean height was 174.3 ± 5.5 cm. The number of drop-outs on the follow-up survey was 27: 15 were missing data and 12 could not be identified because of clerical errors. The reliability of the present survey had a Cronbach's alpha of 0.883, based on internal consistency.

Regarding the site-specific questionnaire; head 51.5%, elbow 38.2%, wrist 39.1%, back 48.7%, groin 33.4%, knee 38.9%, and foot 42.3% students experienced pain in each area. In the baseline survey, 82.5% (95% CI, 71.0–86.1) of 859 students experienced NSP during the previous 1 month period. The pain characteristics are presented in Table 1. The activities most commonly reported to induce NSP were lessons (34.5%), computer use (31.3%), and homework (22.3%). In addition, 25.9% experienced difficulty in studying because of their NSP. The mean usual and worst NRS scores of NSP during the 1-week period prior to the baseline survey were 19.9/100 (95% CI, 18.1–21.7) and 31.2/100 (95% CI, 28.7–33.2), respectively. The mean NDI total score was 8.6 (95% CI, 8.2–8.9), and 65.2% of the students showed mild disability. Except for the emotional components, all items in the SF-36 were affected by the presence of NSP (Table 2).

An accident history was reported by 8.3% of the students. We analyzed the adjusted odds ratio and 95% CI for variable factors known to be potential associated factors for NSP (Table 3). Although the number of other musculoskeletal pains was a significant risk factor, it did not exhibit a linear trend (P = 0.06). The NDI scores differed significantly according to NSP (P < 0.01), whereas the BEPSI (P = 0.951) and BDI (P = 0.075) scores did not.

The average time that the students spent sitting was 11.5 (2.7) h/day, with 62.6% (95% CI, 59.1–65.9) responding that they did not sit straight, and 52.2% (95% CI, 49.1–55.5) responding that they usually maintained a forward-leaning head posture. Only 10.3% (95% CI, 8.3–12.4) used assisting devices while reading, and only 12.6% (95% CI, 10.3–15.3) responded that they

---

### Table 1. Characteristics of Neck and Shoulder Pain in the Previous 1-month Period

| Characteristic                        | Number | Percentage (95%CI) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|
| Frequency (n = 859)                   |        |                    |
| Never                                 | 150    | 17.4 (15.0–19.9)   |
| Once a month                          | 206    | 24.5 (21.3–27.5)   |
| Once every 2–3 weeks                  | 154    | 17.9 (15.5–20.4)   |
| Once a week                           | 102    | 11.9 (9.8–14.2)    |
| Once every 2–3 days                   | 86     | 10.1 (7.9–12.0)    |
| Almost daily                          | 71     | 8.3 (6.5–10.4)     |
| Intensity at this point (n = 858)     |        |                    |
| None                                  | 149    | 17.4 (14.8–19.9)   |
| Slight                                | 432    | 50.3 (47.1–53.8)   |
| Mild                                  | 211    | 24.6 (21.7–27.5)   |
| Moderate                              | 55     | 6.6 (4.8–8.2)      |
| Severe                                | 10     | 1.2 (0.5–1.9)      |
| Extreme                               | 1      | 0.1 (0.0–0.3)      |
| Activity that induced NSP (n = 840)   |        |                    |
| Lessons                               | 290    | 34.5 (31.1–37.7)   |
| Computer use                          | 261    | 31.3 (28.0–34.4)   |
| Homework                              | 187    | 22.3 (19.6–25.2)   |
| Exercise                              | 148    | 17.6 (15.0–20.2)   |
| Cellular phone use                    | 133    | 15.8 (13.6–18.2)   |
| Subjective NSP-induced difficulty (n = 790) | | |
| In studying                           | 205    | 25.9 (23.0–28.9)   |
| In physical activities                | 176    | 22.3 (19.4–25.1)   |
| In sleep                              | 151    | 19.1 (16.3–21.8)   |
| In usual activities                   | 116    | 14.7 (12.4–17.1)   |
| In leisure activities                 | 72     | 9.1 (7.1–11.0)     |
| In emotional stability                | 50     | 6.3 (4.7–8.0)      |

Data from baseline survey. Numbers do not always add to 859 because of missing data for some factors. NSP: neck and shoulder pain, CI: confidence interval. Multiple open-choice questions inquired about the activities that induced NSP, and any subjective NSP-induced difficulties in daily living.

### Table 2. Health-related Quality of Life, as Measured by the Short Form-36, for Students Reporting Versus not Reporting Neck and Shoulder Pain

| SF-36 parameter         | No NSP     | NSP        | Difference (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|--------|
| Physical functioning    | 89.4 ± 1.3 | 82.7 ± 0.8 | 6.7 (2.8–10.5)     | 0.001  |
| Role physical           | 78.8 ± 1.6 | 71.3 ± 1.0 | 7.4 (2.7–12.2)     | 0.002  |
| Body pain               | 88.8 ± 1.1 | 77.1 ± 0.6 | 11.7 (8.7–14.7)    | 0.000  |
| General health          | 69.8 ± 1.3 | 60.1 ± 0.6 | 9.7 (6.6–12.8)     | 0.000  |
| Vitality                | 60.9 ± 1.3 | 53.3 ± 0.5 | 7.5 (4.9–10.1)     | 0.000  |
| Social functioning      | 83.3 ± 1.7 | 74.8 ± 0.8 | 8.6 (4.7–12.3)     | 0.000  |
| Role emotional          | 74.7 ± 1.8 | 71.2 ± 1.1 | 3.4 (~1.4–8.3)     | 0.171  |
| Mental health           | 64.6 ± 1.2 | 57.8 ± 0.6 | 6.8 (3.9–9.7)      | 0.000  |
| Physical component score| 78.2 ± 1.1 | 69.2 ± 0.5 | 8.9 (6.7–11.2)     | 0.000  |
| Mental component score  | 70.7 ± 0.9 | 63.5 ± 0.5 | 7.2 (4.9–9.4)      | 0.000  |
| SF36 total score        | 76.7 ± 0.9 | 68.8 ± 0.5 | 7.9 (5.7–10.1)     | 0.000  |

Data from baseline survey. NSP: neck and shoulder pain, CI: confidence interval, SF-36, short form-36 questionnaire. The data are means ± standard deviation (SD).
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stretched regularly. The prone position during sleep was preferred by 9.4% of students, and 17.5% used a relatively low pillow. The average sleep duration was 6.2 ± 0.1 h/day, and 91.5% responded that their sleep quality was not bad. Cervical problems were reported as a concern in 64.3% (95% CI, 61.0–67.2) of the students. However, 69.1% (95% CI, 65.8–72.0) did not try to maintain fine posture, and 69.4% (95% CI, 66.4–72.8) never received education or posture correction for cervical health. Among the 45.6% of the students who exercised frequently, 82.5% exercised until they experienced panting and sweating.

Of the 859 respondents to the follow-up survey, NSP during the previous 1 month period was reported by 66.4% (95% CI, 63.0–69.7), representing a significant decrease of 19.5% compared with baseline (P < 0.01). On the follow-up survey, the mean usual and worst NRS scores of NSP during the prior 1-week period were 15.1/100 (95% CI, 13.4–16.8) and 24.4/100 (95% CI, 22.3–26.6), respectively. The mean usual and worst NRS of NSP was significantly decreased by 24.1% and 21.7%, respectively, compared with baseline (P < 0.01; Fig. 2). Of the 570 students reporting NSP, 16.4% responded that they had experienced improvement during the 3 months preceding the follow-up survey. The mean NDI total score was 7.0 (95% CI, 6.6–7.3), which differed significantly from the baseline score (P < 0.01). Mild disability was present in 58.6% (55.4–61.8) of the students (Table 4).

Discussion

The high prevalence of NSP and significant disability and discomfort induced by it, emphasize the necessity of the effort for recognition and management of NSP in Korean adolescents. In the present study, we investigated the effects of education on the prevalence and severity of NSP. Although NSP affected the

![Fig. 2. The mean NRS of neck and shoulder pain at baseline and follow-up survey. The mean usual and worst NRS of NSP was significantly decreased by 24.1% and 21.7%, respectively, compared with baseline (*P < 0.01).](image)

Table 3. Potential Associated factors for Neck and Shoulder Pain

| Factor                | Category | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------------|---------|
| Number of other pains*| 0–9      | 1.175                | 0.009   |
| Accidents             | Yes      | 1.082                |         |
| No                    | No       | 0.50 (0.20–1.25)     | 0.139   |
| Health                | Excellent| 1                    | 0.458   |
|                      | Good     | 1.66 (0.85–3.24)     | 0.136   |
|                      | Fair     | 1.41 (0.68–2.89)     | 0.351   |
|                      | Poor     | 2.89 (0.76–10.9)     | 0.117   |
|                      | Very poor| 0.46 (0.13–18.7)     | 0.829   |
| Fatigue               | Never    | 1                    | 0.155   |
|                      | Seldom   | 2.25 (0.79–6.41)     | 0.127   |
|                      | Sometimes| 2.90 (0.97–8.68)     | 0.056   |
|                      | Frequently| 3.42 (1.15–10.1)   | 0.027   |
|                      | Almost always| 1.23 (0.24–6.22)   | 0.796   |
| NDI*                  | Excellent| 1.65 (1.48–1.81)     | 0.000   |
|                      | BEPSI    | 1.00 (0.91–1.10)     | 0.951   |
|                      | BDI      | 0.95 (0.91–1.00)     | 0.075   |
| Sleep quality         | Excellent| 1                    | 0.506   |
|                      | Good     | 0.69 (0.32–1.48)     | 0.346   |
|                      | Fair     | 0.53 (0.23–1.23)     | 0.143   |
|                      | Poor     | 0.73 (0.17–3.09)     | 0.675   |
|                      | Very poor| 3.36 (0.14–7.57)     | 0.445   |
| Sitting position*     | Good     | 1                    | 0.002   |
|                      | Poor     | 1.37 (0.98–1.90)     | 0.059   |
| Assisting device      | Yes      | 1                    | 0.531   |
|                      | No       | 0.84 (0.52–1.35)     | 0.485   |

Data from baseline survey. NDI: Neck Disability Index, BEPSI: Brief Encounter Psychosocial Instrument, BDI: Beck Depression Inventory, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval. *Indicates a significant difference (P < 0.05) according to neck and shoulder pain.

Table 4. Neck Disability Based on Total Neck Disability Index (NDI) Scores (n = 857)

| NDI category | At baseline, number | Percentage (95% CI) | At follow-up, number | Percentage (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| No disability| 195                 | 22.9 (20.0–25.7)    | 294                  | 34.3 (31.0–37.7)    |         |
| Mild         | 560                 | 65.2 (62.6–68.6)    | 502                  | 58.6 (55.4–61.8)    | < 0.01  |
| Moderate     | 95                  | 11.1 (8.8–13.0)     | 54                   | 6.3 (4.8–7.9)       |         |
| Severe       | 5                   | 0.6 (0.1–1.2)       | 6                    | 0.7 (0.2–1.3)       |         |
| Complete     | 2                   | 0.2 (0.0–0.6)       | 1                    | 0.1 (0.0–0.4)       |         |

Total scores of NDI are interpreted as follows: 0–4, no disability; 5–14, mild disability; 15–24, moderate disability; 25–34, severe disability; > 34, complete disability. CI: confidence interval.
self-reported health and quality of life for many students in this study, most did not try to maintain optimal posture for health and never had received education to promote a cervical health. We lectured the students and subsequently encouraged to maintain an appropriate posture. After 3 months, the prevalence of NSP was decreased and the symptoms were significantly improved. Additionally, NDI scores that indicate disability were significantly decreased. This result could demonstrate not only the efficacy of education about the NSP and but also the importance.

In a study by Jung et al. [11], the prevalence of NSP in Korean high school students was 82.9%, with 33.4% experiencing NSP weekly. In previous study [10], the overall prevalence of NSP in students was 79.1%, and 44.3% had recurrent NSP, which are similar to the rates in Jung et al. [11] and confirm that NSP is more prevalent among Korean high school students compared with European students [7,12,13]. By contrast, adult studies have suggested a lower prevalence in Asian populations [4,19]. We suspect that the cause of the relatively high prevalence of NSP in Korean high school students is their lifestyle. Common causes of NSP include cervical strains and sprains, which can result from poor posture, poor sleep habits, chronic muscle fatigue, and trauma [20]. Korean high school students spend considerable time in a sitting position while doing schoolwork. In the present survey, the average time was 11.5 h/day, and many students responded that they usually maintained poor ergonomic positions. Additionally, only some used an assisting device while reading and few stretched regularly. Although some contradictory reports exist, repetitive and prolonged static postures have been found to initiate or exacerbate NSP [21-23]. Therefore we hypothesized that maintaining a forward-leaning head posture and static muscular activation patterns for prolonged periods while studying can partially explain the high prevalence of NSP in students, and that education and position modification may be helpful in improving symptoms in these students. Consequentially, we found beneficial effects; 3 months after the students listened to a lecture about cervical health, focusing on good posture, habits, and stretching exercises, and were encouraged by their teachers to keep the appropriate position. This result supports our hypothesis and confirms the importance of the education.

Psychosocial factors are considered to be important risk factors for NSP. Siivola et al. [7] showed that psychosomatic symptoms, fatigue, and sleep difficulties were associated with a higher prevalence of NSP. Additionally, self-assessed poor health and psychological distress were reported associating with increased incidence of neck pain [19]. In cross-sectional studies, depressive symptoms [24,25] have also been linked to NSP in adolescents. Based on those results, NSP could be a psychosomatic symptom. However, we should not focus only on these psychological factors nor underestimate nociceptive and musculoskeletal mechanical factors in NSP. In this study, self-reported health, symptoms of fatigue, BEPSI and BDI scores did not differ significantly according to NSP. Croft et al. [19] also suggested that, in those who have had a neck injury, the injury itself is probably the biggest single influence on the development of recurrent neck pain. Additionally, Paananen et al. [26] presented that both psychosocial and lifestyle factors contribute to high persistent tendency of musculoskeletal pains in adolescence. We speculate that NSP reflects the nature and site of an injury, even a minor injury, according the lifestyle in high school students. Thus, we need to make an effort to prevent the injury by correcting poor posture. Education on this issue is part of the effort and this report could be an evidence of the effect of it.

The present study has several limitations. First, as the follow-up time was fairly short to exclude the influence of the classroom activities (e.g. final exam) on the outcome, we cannot confirm long-term effects of education. Second, we could not determine the exact reasons for NSP in the students, and therefore the impact of education on NSP is speculative. Third, because the data were self-reported, we could not exclude recall bias. Additionally, because the small sample size, the current study is unlikely to represent all Korean high school students. Furthermore, all of the participants were male, although symptoms have been found more frequently among female than male adolescents [19,27].

In conclusion, Korean high school male students had a high prevalence of NSP. Education; recognition of this issue and posture correction, for cervical health appeared to be effective in decreasing the prevalence and severity of NSP at a 3-month follow-up. Base on these results, we suggest educating adolescents about NSP and its prevention.
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