Worldwide prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide plan among people with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis and systematic review of epidemiological surveys
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Schizophrenia is a severe psychiatric disorder with high premature mortality rates. This is a meta-analysis and systematic review of the prevalence of suicidal ideation (SI) and suicide plan (SP) among people with schizophrenia. PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and PsycINFO were systematically searched from their respective inception to October 10, 2020. Data on prevalence of SI and/or SP were synthesized using the random effects model. Twenty-six studies covering 5079 people with schizophrenia were included for meta-analysis. The lifetime and point prevalence of SI were 34.5% (95% CI: 28.2–40.9%), and 29.9% (95% CI: 24.2–35.6%), respectively. The lifetime prevalence of SP was 44.3% and the point prevalence of SP ranged between 6.4 and 13%. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses revealed that source of patients, survey countries, and sample size were significantly associated with the point prevalence of SI, while male proportion and quality assessment scores were significantly associated with the lifetime and point prevalence of SI. Survey time and mean age were significantly associated with lifetime prevalence of SI. Both SI and SP are common in people living with schizophrenia, especially in males and inpatients. Routine screening and effective interventions for SI and SP should be implemented in this population.
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INTRODUCTION
Schizophrenia is a severe psychiatric disorder characterized by cognitive impairment and behavioral dysfunction [1]. Compared with the general population, people living with schizophrenia have a reduced life expectancy of 10–25 years [2] and higher premature mortality rates [3], with suicide as a common cause of death [3, 4]. Suicide is a critical global health challenge [5]. Suicidal behavior exists on a continuum, ranging from repeated thoughts of killing oneself (i.e., suicidal ideation, SI), making a plan for suicide (i.e., suicide plan, SP), suicide attempts (SA) to completed suicide [6, 7]. SI, SP, and SA are the strong predictors of completed suicide [7–12]. SI, SP, and SA are also common in people living with schizophrenia, but the epidemiological findings in this population are mixed [13, 14]. For instance, a meta-analysis of 19 studies on the prevalence of suicide-related behaviors in schizophrenia in China [15] found that the pooled lifetime prevalence of SI and SA were 25.8% (95% CI: 14.7–41.1%) and 14.6% (95% CI: 9.1–22.8%), respectively [15]. Another meta-analysis of 81 studies [16] on the risk of subsequent completed suicide found that people living with schizophrenia who reported SI had a 5.8-fold higher risk of future suicide than those without SI.

In order to develop and adopt effective measures and public education to reduce suicide risk and relevant negative health outcomes, exploring the epidemiology of suicidality in people living with schizophrenia is of great public health significance. A meta-analysis [17] showed the pooled lifetime prevalence of SA was 26.8% (95% CI: 22.1–31.9%) among people living with schizophrenia. In contrast, no meta-analysis was published for pooled SI, and the estimates within individual studies [18–23] ranging between 11.0% in China [23] and 51.4% in the USA [21]. This is the similar case for SP among people living with schizophrenia [24–26]. Therefore, we conducted this meta-analysis to examine the prevalence of SI and SP in people living with schizophrenia and identify key correlates (e.g. age, gender, and source of patients) of SI and SP within this population.

METHODS
Search strategy
This meta-analysis was conducted based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and the Meta-analysis Of Observational studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) [27] recommendations. The registration number of this protocol in the International Platform of Registered
Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Protocols (INPLASY) was INPLASY20200120142. Three researchers (WB, YYJ, and ZHL) independently searched relevant publications in PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, and PsycINFO from their respective inception to October 10, 2020 using the following search terms: suicidal ideation, suicidal idea, suicidal thought, suicidal plan, self-injurious behavior, self-harm, self-injury, schizophrenia, Dementia Praecox, epidemiology, prevalence, and rate.

Study selection
The same three researchers independently screened titles and abstracts of relevant publications first followed by reading full texts for eligibility. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus or a discussion with a senior researcher (YTX). To be eligible, the following inclusion criteria according to the PICOS acronym were made: Participants (P): People living with schizophrenia diagnosed according to study-defined diagnostic criteria (e.g. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, third edition (DSM-III), DSM-IV, and the Tenth Revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10)); Intervention (I): not applicable; Comparison (C): not applicable; Outcome (O): prevalence of SI and/or SP or relevant data that enabled calculations of the prevalence of SI/SP; Study design (S): cross-sectional or cohort studies (only the baseline data of cohort studies were extracted). Exclusion criteria included: (1) timeframe of prevalence of SI and/or SP was missing; (2) studies published in non-English; (3) in order to increase homogeneity [17], studies with mixed samples (e.g. schizoaffective or schizophrenia spectrum disorders) in which data on schizophrenia cannot be extracted were excluded. To avoid missing studies, reference list of included publications was searched manually. If multiple papers were published based on the same dataset, only the one with the largest sample size was included [28].

Data extraction and quality assessment
Data were independently extracted by the same three researchers (WB, YYJ, and ZHL), including the first author, publication year, survey period, country, study design, sample size, events of SI/SP, mean age, male proportion, mean onset age, first-episode (yes/no/mixed/not reported), source of participants (inpatient, outpatient, mixed or not report), duration of illness, severity of psychotic symptoms measured by standardized scales such as the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) [29] scores, education level, diagnostic system for schizophrenia (DSM vs. ICD), assessment tool of SI/SP and timeframe. Study quality assessment was conducted using an eight-item assessment instrument for epidemiological studies with the total score ranging from 1 to 8 points [30, 31]. Study quality were collapsed into low (0–3 points), moderate (4–6 points), and high quality (7 and 8 points) [30]. Any uncertainty was resolved by consensus or a discussion with a senior researcher (YTX).

Statistical analysis
The pooled prevalence of SI/SP and corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated using the random-effect model. Study heterogeneity was evaluated by $I^2$ statistic, with $I^2$ more than 50% indicating high heterogeneity [32]. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses were performed to explore the source of heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses were conducted when there were at least three studies in each subgroup [33]. Subgroup analyses were performed based on the following categorical variables: gender, source of patients, sampling method, type of countries (high-income vs. low- and middle-income countries according to the International Monetary Fund [34], measurement instrument for SI/SP, average education year (dichotomized using the median split method), and sample size (dichotomized using the median split method) [28]. Meta-regression analyses were conducted for continuous variables (including survey time, mean age, male proportion, quality assessment scores, and duration of illness) if the number of included studies was more than 10 [35]. Publication bias was examined by funnel plots and Begg’s test [36]. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to test the consistency of primary results by removing each study one by one. The significance level was set as $P < 0.05$ (two-tailed). Data analyses were conducted with STATA, Version 15.0 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, Texas, USA) and Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Version 2.0 (Biostat Inc., Englewood, New Jersey, USA).

RESULTS
Search results, study characteristics, and quality assessment
A total of 3601 publications were initially identified; of which, 26 studies covering 5079 people living with schizophrenia fulfilled the study criteria and were included (Fig. 1). Study characteristics are presented in Table 1. The sample size of the 26 studies ranged from 35 to 720 and the mean age ranged from 21.6 to 46.7 years. Most were cross-sectional studies ($n = 25$, 96%) and used non-probability sampling ($n = 19$, 73%). Most studies used the DSM system ($n = 22$, 85%) while two studies used the ICD-10, and another two studies used DSM or ICD.

Most studies used items from standardized scales (e.g. the Calgary Depression Scale for Schizophrenia (CDSS), the Hamilton Depression Scale (HAM-D), and the Suicide Risk Scale (SRS)) on suicidality to measure SI/SP. In four studies, interviews were conducted to collect data on SI/SP, while in another two studies, standardized questions on SI/SP were used, and in another study, data on SI/SP were collected from hospital records (Table 1). Fourteen studies reported lifetime prevalence, 13 studies reported point prevalence, one study reported 3-month prevalence, one study reported 1-year prevalence, and one study reported prevalence of SI during more than 1-month period before inpatient admission. In contrast, one study reported the lifetime prevalence and two studies reported point prevalence of SP.

Scores of study quality assessment ranged from 4 to 7; of which, one study was rated as “high quality” (4%) and 25 were “moderate quality” (96%) (Supplementary Table S1). The data on severity of psychotic symptoms measured by the PANSS are shown in Supplementary Table S2.

Prevalence of suicidal ideation and suicide plan
The pooled lifetime prevalence of SI was 34.5% (95% CI: 28.2–40.9%; $I^2 = 92.9$%) (Fig. 2a), and the pooled point prevalence of SI was 29.9% (95% CI: 24.2–35.6%; $I^2 = 89.5$%). The 3-month prevalence [37], 1-year prevalence [20], and prevalence of SI during more than 1 month period before admission [38] were 44.6%, 16.2%, and 19.6%, respectively. The lifetime prevalence of SP was 44.3% [25], and point prevalence of SP in two studies was 6.4% [26] and 13% [24], respectively.

Subgroup and meta-regression analyses
The results of subgroup analyses are shown in Table 2. Source of participants, survey countries, and sample size were significantly associated with the point prevalence of SI (all $P$ values < 0.05). In meta-regression analyses, survey time ($\beta = 0.0428$, $z = 8.34$, $P < 0.001$) and quality assessment scores ($\beta = 0.2387$, $z = 6.69$, $P < 0.001$) were positively associated with lifetime prevalence of SI, while mean age was negatively associated with lifetime prevalence of SI ($\beta = -0.0464$, $z = -6.01$, $P < 0.001$), and male proportion was positively associated with the lifetime ($\beta = 0.0165$, $z = 4.81$, $P < 0.001$) and the point prevalence of SI ($\beta = 0.0196$, $z = 4.60$, $P < 0.001$). Additionally, quality assessment scores were negatively associated with the point prevalence of SI ($\beta = -0.1963$, $z = -3.74$, $P < 0.001$).
Sensitivity analyses and publication bias

After removing studies one by one in sensitivity analyses, no outlying studies that could significantly change the primary results were found (Supplementary Fig. S1). Although funnel plots show slight asymmetry, Begg’s tests did not reveal significant publication bias (lifetime prevalence of SI: $z = 1.31$, $P = 0.222$; point prevalence of SI: $z = 1.04$, $P = 0.300$) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

DISCUSSION

Suicidality such as SI and SP is common in individuals with severe mental health problems including schizophrenia [39], particularly in hospitalized patients, which is significantly associated with increased risk of suicide [16]. Effective interventions targeting patients with schizophrenia who are at high risk of SI and SP are a priority for reducing the likelihood of future suicide [40]. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study that examined the prevalence of SI and SP among people living with schizophrenia globally. The pooled lifetime prevalence of SI was 34.5% (95% CI: 28.2–40.9%), which is higher than the corresponding figure (25.8%, 95% CI: 14.7–41.1%) among people living with schizophrenia in China [15]. Moreover, this figure is much higher than that in the global general population (9.2%) [41]. The pooled point prevalence of SI in this meta-analysis was 29.9% (95% CI: 24.2–35.6%) among people living with schizophrenia, which is higher than the figure in homeless people (17.8%, 95% CI: 10.7–28.1%) [42]. SI among people living with schizophrenia could be associated with severe psychiatric symptoms (e.g., depressive symptoms), heavy economic burden, and severe stigma and discrimination, all of which could increase the risk of suicide [3, 43–46]. No global figure on SP was previously reported; therefore, direction comparisons were not made. It is noteworthy that different demographic characteristics, illness stage, comorbidities, and treatments were associated with the epidemiology of suicidality including SI and SP [16, 47, 48]; therefore, direct comparisons of the findings between this meta-analysis and other studies should be made with caution.

Subgroup analyses revealed that point prevalence of SI among inpatients with schizophrenia (38.5%, 95% CI: 30.8–46.8%) was higher than those in other settings (e.g., outpatients: 27.7%, 95% CI: 19.9–37.2%; mixed in- and outpatients: 20.0%, 95% CI: 13.3–29.0%). Hospitalized patients usually suffer from more severe psychiatric symptoms, particularly depressive symptoms, which is associated with higher risk of suicidality [43, 44]. The point prevalence of SI was higher in studies with small sample sizes. It should be noteworthy that small sample size is usually associated with unstable findings in epidemiological surveys [49, 50]; therefore, this finding suggests selection bias in small samples that should be taken into account in future studies. The point prevalence of SI in high-income countries (36.4%, 95% CI: 30.1–43.3%) was higher than the corresponding figure in...
Table 1. Characteristics of included studies in the meta-analysis.

| No. | First author (publication year) | References | Country | Survey time | Study design | Sampling method | Sample size | Source of patients | Mean age (years) | Male gender proportion (%) | Average education level (years) | Diagnostic criteriaa | Average onset age (years) | Average duration of illness (years) | Measure instrument | Timeframe of SI assessment score |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------|---------|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1   | Acosta (2020)                  | [73]       | Spain   | 2012–2015   | Cohort       | Non-probability sampling | 133         | Outpatient   | 46.7            | 69.2                     | Categorical data   | ICD-10          | NR              | 20.84                          | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 2   | Ayniet (2014)                  | [37]       | Poland  | Sept. 2005−June 2006 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 148         | Inpatient   | 3.2             | 46.83                    | NR                | DSM-IV          | NR              | 7                             | Interview          | 3-month                  | 4                  |
| 3   | Dell’Osso (2012b)              | [23]       | Italy   | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 79          | Mixed       | 36.28           | 69.6                     | Categorical data   | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Question           | Lifetime                  | 5                  |
| 4   | Duko (2018)                    | [74]       | Ethiopia | Aug. 2016−Sept. 2016 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 272         | NR          | 33.71           | 68.8                      | Categorical data   | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Interview          | Lifetime                  | 5                  |
| 5   | Evren (2004)                   | [73]       | Turkey  | Aug. 2001−Jan. 2002 | Cross-sectional | Probability sampling | 60          | Mixed       | 39.17           | 50                        | 8.4               | DSM-IV          | NR              | 15.38                         | Scale              | Point                    | 5                  |
| 6   | Fang (2019)                    | [19]       | China   | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 174         | NR          | 35.83           | 47.13                     | 13.06             | DSM-IV          | 23.6             | 12.23                          | Scale              | Point                    | 4                  |
| 7   | Grover (2017)                  | [48]       | India   | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 181         | Mixed       | 34              | 53.6                      | 11.6              | DSM-IV          | 23.2             | 10.78                          | NR                  | Point                    | 4                  |
| 8   | Hiritlakka (1998)              | [76]       | Finland | May 1993    | Cross-sectional | Probability sampling | 71          | Mixed       | 34              | 53.6                      | 11.6              | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Scale              | Point                    | 6                  |
| 9   | Hocaoglu (2009)                | [77]       | Turkey  | Apr. 2006−June 2006 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 120         | Mixed       | 36.7            | 52.5                      | NR                | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 10  | Hoseini (2012)                 | [78]       | Iran    | 2007−2008   | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 100         | Inpatient   | 34.9            | 74                        | NR                | DSM-IV          | 23.1             | 11.6                           | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 5                  |
| 11  | Iancu (2010)                   | [79]       | Israel  | 2000        | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 68          | Inpatient   | 39.4            | 100                       | NR                | DSM-IV          | NR              | 15                             | Scale | Lifetime & point          | 4                  |
| 12  | Jovanovcic (2013)              | [80]       | Croatia | 2007−2010   | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 509         | NR          | 33.71           | 47                        | NR                | DSM-IV          | NR              | 5.49                          | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 13  | Kao (2012)                     | [81]       | China   | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 102         | Outpatient  | 39.47           | 49.02                     | 12.88             | DSM-IV          | 24.14            | 16.2                           | Scale              | Point                    | 6                  |
| 14  | Kibru (2020)                   | [82]       | Ethiopia | May 2018−Jun. 2018 | Cross-sectional | Probability sampling | 409         | Outpatient  | 22              | 62.3                      | Categorical data   | DSM-V           | Categorical data | NR                             | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 7                  |
| 15  | Kim (2010)                     | [83]       | Korea   | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 84          | Inpatient   | 43              | 53.6                      | 11.7              | DSM-IV          | 24.5             | 12.9                           | Question           | Point                    | 4                  |
| 16  | Kontaralis (2004b)             | [28]       | Greece  | Oct. 1996−Nov. 1997 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 93          | Inpatient   | 30.3            | 69                        | 12.3              | DSM-IV          | NR              | 7.2                            | Scale              | Point                    | 4                  |
| 17  | Minzenberg (2014)              | [21]       | USA     | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 35          | Outpatient  | 21.55           | 82.86                     | 12.81             | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 18  | Misiak (2015)                  | [84]       | Poland  | NR          | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 100         | NR          | 27.8            | 53                        | Categorical data   | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 19  | Pelliza (2020)                 | [19, 24]   | Italy   | Jan. 2013−Dec. 2018 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 43          | NR          | NR              | NR                        | NR                | DSM-IV          | NR              | NR                            | Scale              | Lifetime                  | 4                  |
| 20  | Prokopez (2020b)               | [85]       | Argentina | Jul. 2017−Feb. 2018 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 100         | NR          | 45.82           | 50                         | 10                | DSM/ICD-10     | 22 (median) | 21.93                          | Scale              | Point                    | 4                  |
| 21  | Radoskly (1999)                | [38]       | USA     | Jan. 1, 1992−May 1, 1994 | Cross-sectional | Non-probability sampling | 454         | Inpatient   | 64.3            | NR                        | DSM-III         | NR              | NR              | NR Hospital records | Lifetime & point & more than 1 month before admission | 4                  |
The discrepancy in SI across countries could be partly explained by different socioeconomic factors and health service systems [51–55]. For instance, suicide screening and reporting systems are usually well established in high-income countries; therefore, SI in these countries are more likely to be identified. A cohort study of psychiatric patients found that higher income individuals were associated with a higher risk of suicide (hazard ratio (HR): 2.21, 95% CI: 2.06–2.35) [51]. Another study involving 17 countries found that the prevalence of SI in patients with mood disorders in high-income countries (OR = 4.7, 95% CI: 4.2–5.2) was higher than that in low- and middle-income countries (OR = 3.4, 95% CI: 2.8–4.1) [41].

Meta-regression analyses revealed that the lifetime prevalence of SI was positively associated with survey year, which could be due to several reasons. First, schizophrenia has gained growing attention globally because of its heavy social and economic burden [3, 56], resulting in increasing reported prevalence of suicidality including SI. Second, certain contributing factors of suicidality, such as alcohol and substance abuse, have been increasing over time [57–59], which could increase the likelihood of SI. Third, reports on suicide of celebrities in the media have increased in recent decades [60–62]. A meta-analysis found the risk of suicide increased by 13% (95% CI: 8–18%) in the general population after the suicide of a celebrity was reported in the media [63]. Therefore, extensive media reports on suicide of celebrities in recent years may have increased the prevalence of suicidality among people living with schizophrenia. The mean age of people living with schizophrenia was negatively associated with the lifetime prevalence of SI, which is consistent with previous findings [64, 65]. Compared to older patients, younger patients usually face greater social and survival stress in daily life and are more likely to contact online suicide-related information [65], both of which could increase the risk of suicidality. Meta-regression analyses revealed that male gender was positively associated with both and lifetime point prevalence of SI in schizophrenia patients, which confirms previous findings that male gender is a risk factor of suicide in both among people living with schizophrenia [66] and the general population [49]. A meta-analysis of 35 studies found that compared with female patients, males living with schizophrenia had a higher risk of suicide (OR = 1.34, 95% CI: 1.14–1.58) [66]. Males living with schizophrenia usually experienced more stigma [45], and had higher unemployment rate [67, 68], higher rate of alcohol and substance use [69], and higher levels of impulsivity and aggression [70], all of which are associated with higher suicide risk [66]. In meta-regression analyses, we also observed that quality scores were positively associated with the lifetime prevalence of SI, but negatively associated with the point prevalence of SI. It should be noted that the number of included studies was small in the two analyses (n = 14 and n = 13, respectively), which may reduce the statistical power of the findings. Additionally, the possibility of recall bias in the assessment of lifetime SI could not be excluded. In high-quality studies good training on the use of instruments, random sampling, and strict quality control are usually adopted. These factors may reduce the risk of false positive rates, and may also result in a relatively lower prevalence of suicidality compared to poor quality studies.

The strengths of this systematic review and meta-analysis are the large number of included studies across different countries globally, the large sample size, and use of sophisticated analyses such as subgroup, meta-regression, and sensitivity analyses. However, several limitations should be noted. First, heterogeneity could not be avoided when conducting the meta-analysis of epidemiological studies [71, 72], although subgroup analyses and meta-regression analyses were conducted. Second, some factors related to SI, such as illness severity, comorbid depression, severity of psychotic symptoms, and use of antipsychotics, were not
examined due to insufficient data in the included studies. Third, data of SI were retrospectively collected in some studies, which may lead to recall bias. Fourth, only published studies were included in this meta-analysis due to a lack of access to unpublished data, which may have biased our findings to an uncertain extent. Finally, the prevalence of SP was not synthesized due to limited number of studies.

In conclusion, both SI and SP were common among people living with schizophrenia, especially in males and inpatients. Considering the close associations of SI and SP with future suicide, routine screening on suicidality should be carried out to identify those at high risk in order to provide timely treatments to those in need.

Fig. 2 Forest plot of the prevalence of suicidal ideation (SI). a Lifetime prevalence of SI; b Point prevalence of SI.
Table 2. Subgroup and meta-regression analyses of lifetime and point prevalence of suicidal ideation in patients with schizophrenia.

### Subgroup analyses

| Subgroups | Categories (number of studies) | Events | Sample size | Prevalence (%) | 95% CI (%) | \( I^2 \) (%) | \( P \) values within subgroups | Q (\( P \) values across subgroups) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| **Lifetime** | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | Male (6) | 188 | 509 | 36.9 | 31.0–43.2 | 0 | 0.518 | 0.092 (0.762) |
| | Female (5) | 137 | 403 | 35.4 | 28.3–43.1 | 62.3 | 0.031 |
| Source of patients | Outpatients (4) | 427 | 1233 | 42.2 | 27.3–58.8 | 96.4 | <0.001 | 3.095 (0.079) |
| | Inpatients (4) | 188 | 768 | 23.8 | 13.8–37.9 | 83.6 | <0.001 |
| Survey country | High-income (6) | 245 | 749 | 38.9 | 28.8–50.0 | 81.1 | <0.001 | 1.384 (0.239) |
| | Low- or middle-income (8) | 786 | 2375 | 30.9 | 23.6–39.3 | 94.0 | <0.001 |
| Sample size | \( \leq 110 \) (7) | 183 | 499 | 38.0 | 29.0–48.0 | 60.5 | 0.019 | 1.289 (0.256) |
| | \( >110 \) (7) | 848 | 2629 | 30.8 | 23.4–39.3 | 95.2 | <0.001 |
| Measure of instrument | Scale (10) | 584 | 1599 | 34.2 | 27.3–41.9 | 88.1 | <0.001 | 0.010 (0.921) |
| | Non-scale (4) | 447 | 1525 | 33.5 | 23.7–45.1 | 93.5 | <0.001 |

| **Point** | | | | | | | | |
| Gender | Male (7) | 200 | 679 | 33.4 | 24.2–44.1 | 85.2 | <0.001 | 0.580 (0.446) |
| | Female (6) | 135 | 547 | 27.8 | 18.9–38.9 | 79.7 | <0.001 |
| Source of patients | Outpatient (3) | 190 | 775 | 27.7 | 19.9–37.2 | 81.8 | 0.004 | 9.553 (0.008) |
| | Inpatient (5) | 342 | 967 | 38.5 | 30.8–46.8 | 76.5 | 0.002 |
| Mixed (3) | 57 | 312 | 20.0 | 13.3–29.0 | 79.7 | 0.007 |
| Survey country | High-income (7) | 403 | 1171 | 36.4 | 30.1–43.3 | 67.5 | 0.005 | 10.725 (0.001) |
| | Low- or middle-income (6) | 244 | 1157 | 22.0 | 17.0–27.8 | 83.2 | <0.001 |
| Sampling method | Probability sampling (3) | 148 | 671 | 23.7 | 15.4–34.8 | 22.7 | 0.331 | 2.018 (0.155) |
| | Non-probability sampling (8) | 379 | 1216 | 32.9 | 26.2–40.3 | 85.5 | <0.001 |
| Average education year | \( \leq 11.6 \) (4) | 185 | 881 | 21.7 | 13.2–33.5 | 83.8 | <0.001 | 1.283 (0.257) |
| | \( >11.6 \) (4) | 132 | 453 | 31.1 | 19.9–45.2 | 91.4 | <0.001 |
| Sample size | \( \leq 118 \) (7) | 207 | 579 | 35.4 | 27.6–43.9 | 68.3 | 0.004 | 4.499 (0.004) |
| | \( >118 \) (6) | 443 | 1749 | 24.0 | 18.0–31.1 | 91.0 | <0.001 |
| Measure of instrument | Scale (9) | 347 | 1342 | 28.6 | 23.0–34.9 | 81.4 | <0.001 | 2.471 (0.116) |
| | Non-scale (3) | 280 | 805 | 38.4 | 28.0–50.0 | 84.6 | 0.002 |

### Meta-regression analyses

| Covariates | Coefficient | Standard error | 95% lower | 95% upper | z value | P value |
|------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| **Lifetime** | | | | | | |
| Survey time | 0.00428 | 0.0051 | 0.0027 | 0.0059 | 8.34 | <0.0001 |
| Male proportion | 0.00165 | 0.0034 | 0.0009 | 0.0032 | 4.81 | <0.0001 |
| Mean age (years) | -0.00464 | -0.0077 | -0.0061 | -0.0033 | -6.01 | <0.0001 |
| Study quality assessment | 0.2387 | 0.0357 | 0.1688 | 0.3086 | 6.69 | <0.0001 |
| **Point** | | | | | | |
| Male proportion (%) | 0.00196 | 0.0043 | 0.0011 | 0.0027 | 4.60 | <0.0001 |
| Mean age (years) | 0.00022 | 0.00125 | -0.0022 | 0.0027 | 0.18 | 0.880 |
| Duration of illness (years) | 0.00138 | 0.00152 | -0.0010 | 0.0043 | 0.91 | 0.363 |
| Study quality assessment | -0.01963 | -0.00525 | -0.0299 | -0.0094 | -3.74 | <0.0001 |

CI confidence interval.

*Continuous variables in subgroup analyses were divided according to median splitting method.
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