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AN EXCEPTIONAL CASE OF A POSTERIOR PURE OPEN DISLOCATION OF THE ELBOW WITH RUPTURE OF THE BRACHIAL ARTERY
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Abstract

Pure open dislocation of the elbow remains a very rare entity, being associated with a complete rupture of the brachial artery make it more exceptional, the therapeutic strategy is very discussed. The vascular repair is essentially based on a venous graft which can be collected from different sites, the second challenge is to reduce and maintain the stability of the elbow. The course of this different operatory times represents the real challenge in front of that type of traumas. We report a case of a young man admitted in the emergency room with an open pure elbow dislocation with rupture of the brachial artery, treated by a venous graft and reparation of the elbow capsular with an elbow immobilization by an ulno-humeral pin and with a satisfactory evolution in a long term.
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Introduction:

In order of frequency, elbow dislocations mainly occur after shoulder dislocations [1]. Its prognosis is usually good. This trauma is exceptionally open [1]. The anatomical proximity of the neurovascular structures could be responsible of associated lesions which turn out to be very rare. Currently there is still no consensus for a management of these traumas [2].

We herein report a rare situation of a 19-year-old patient with a pure open dislocation of the left elbow associated with brachial artery rupture.

Case Report:

A 19-year-old young man, right handed, with no medical history, was admitted to the emergency room for an open trauma of the upper left limb that occurred during a high-energy road traffic accident.

The patient was admitted 2 hours after his accident, after conditioning, the clinical examination revealed a wound in the fold of the left elbow with protruding bone figure 1. The vasculo-nervous examination found a cold limb with abolition of both radial and ulnar pulses and a sensory-motor deficit concerning the territories the median and ulnar nerves.
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Imaging: standard radiography and computed tomography showed a pure posterior dislocation of the left elbow figures 2 and 3. The patient was taken to the operating room where surgical exploration revealed an open posterior dislocation of the left elbow, a rupture of the brachialis muscle, a rupture of the joint capsule and finally a rupture of the brachial artery at its bifurcation. The radial and the median nerves were macroscopically intact but the latter had been elongated by the humeral condyle during dislocation. After surgical trimming, we proceeded to the reduction of the dislocation, it should be noted that the elbow remained unstable at 30°, before passing to the time of vascular repair which was made by venous graft by the homolateral internal saphenous vein figure 4. We then finished by repairing the joint capsule and the brachialis muscle and immobilizing the elbow by arthrorise with an ulno-humeral pin at 60° of flexion figure 5. The patient was put on antibiotic prophylaxis and we protect the elbow by a plastered brachio-antebrachio-palmar splint.

Immediate post-operative follow-up was satisfactory with a warm limb and both radial and ulnar pulses were perceived, the patient was allowed to leave the hospital after the 5th day, the ulno-humeral spin was removed after 3 weeks, the elbow remains stable at 30° of extension, the patient was authorized to begin passive functional rehabilitation, however the patient retained minimal motor deficit as well as a slight sensory deficit, affecting the territories of both ulnar and median nerves. After a 6 weeks follow-up, the elbow extension was at -60° and the flexion at 90°, an electromyogram revealed an axonotmesis of both median and ulnar nerve. After 18 months we noticed an improvement in extension with only a 20° deficit, the extension was complete and the pronosupination of the forearm was recovered.

Discussion:
The frequency of elbow dislocation associated to vascular involvement, especially in the event of opening dislocation, remains difficult to establish, the literature only reports short series of sporadic clinical cases [1].

Endan and al [1,2] observed eight arterial lesions in 63 elbow dislocations, representing a prevalence of 12.7%. Dislocations of the elbow occur when falling on the hand, the elbow is in extension or slight flexion, two lesionals mechanisms are to be described: hyper-extension, which is responsible for a rupture of the anterior capsular and muscular plane, and the association of a valgus supination constraint and axial compression which for its part is at the origin of sequential circumferential lesions which go from the lateral ligament complex towards the medial ligament complex [1,3,4,5,6,7].

Currently the recommended attitude towards a simple dislocation of the elbow once its reduction is done is to test the frontal stability as well as its propensity for recurrence in the last degrees of extension; only ruptured ligament structures that generate reduction instability are repaired [7,10,11,12].

In the event of closed or open dislocations and with restoration of the vascular axis, the concomitant ligament repair could be discussed because the anteromedial approach of the pedicle gives access at least to the ulnar capsuloligamentary plane. Thus, for all the authors the stability of the elbow after reduction was the determining element of orthopedic management and was not different from that simple dislocations. The clinical result is most often favorable in the medium term for closed dislocations, apart from a sequelae stiffness essentially in extension [1,8,9,13,14,15,16]. The open nature of dislocation adversely affects the course, this may be due to associated lesions like those reported by Louis and al [14].

Arterial interruption may be as clinically evident as the dislocation that produced it, with abolition of the pulse and paleness of the hand however it may be less evident even after reduction due to incomplete thrombus [19] or because of the supply of rich collateral [17]. Arteriography, standard examination after reduction of dislocation is urgently required, with the slightest clinical doubt [1,16,20].

Grimer and Brooks [17] underlined the value of Doppler after reduction, which is reliable and less invasive than the CT angiography, that is simple to perform, but indicated for polytrauma victims.

Concurrent damage to the median and/or ulnar nerve(s) is logical given their proximity to the vascular axis [1]. At the clinical stage and especially in case of prolonged ischemia, their diagnosis is difficult because the paralytic syndrome is part of the manifestations of complete vascular interruption, otherwise the diagnosis of neurological damage is much simpler by evidence of a sensory and/or motor deficit in the neurological territory to the median and ulnar nerve [1].
The surgical attitude comes down to responding to two main problems: the restoration of vascular continuity and joint stabilization. Vascular repair can only be conceived on a reduced elbow and it must remain reduced in its functional position [1, 2, 5]. The arterial lesions encountered are: complete or sub-adventitial rupture, incarceration and thrombosis [1]. The vascular repair will depend on the arterial lesion: direct suturing on the event of a clear section and total integrity of the underlying and above walls [15, 17], or reverse bypass by a graft from the great saphenous vein [1, 15, 17]. Rignault and Moine [13] insisted on the existence of intimal lesion extending at a distance from the rupture in the arterial contusions imposing a cut in a healthy zone to avoid the risk of secondary thrombosis. In addition, the vascular gesture being done in flexion, it is necessary to foresee the future tension on the arterial trunk repaired during the extension of the elbow. The great saphenous vein remains an ideal solution. Discharge aponeurotomy are necessary in the presence of compartment syndrome, severe tissue and venous trauma, and for some after ischemia lasting more than four hours [1, 15, 20, 21].

In case of open dislocation, the therapeutic regimen is classic: the elbow is reduced then trimmed and explored for confirmation of the diagnosis and evaluation of the lesions. Immobilization by fixative remains the best option: during its installation, the saphenous graft is taken, the elbow fixed around 90° and stable allows the safe completion of the bypass. A repair the ulnar ligament complex by direct suturing or intra-osseous anchor could precede this gesture [6, 11]. The antebrachial discharge incisions should be made in the event of late revascularization or complete ischemia with a very edematous forearm [1]. The elbow is considered stable if the dislocation only recurs in the last degrees of extension [1].

**Liste of figures:**
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Figure 1: A wound in the fold of the left elbow with protruding bone.
Figure 2: Standard radiography of the left elbow showing the dislocation.
Figures 3:- Left elbow scanner showing a pure posterior dislocation.

Figure 4:- Venous graft (blue arrow).
**Conclusion:**
Elbow dislocations remains frequent traumas, the mild nature of which is conditioned by the quality and consistency of care. The open nature of this dislocation without the presence of a fracture and its association with arterial involvement are exceptional. The search for signs of ischemia should be systematic before and after reduction. If there is any doubt, arteriography should be considered. Reducing emergency dislocation is the first step, with the obligation to obtain a stable elbow. Vascular repair involves venous bypass.
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