Efficient and Stable Mesoscopic Perovskite Solar Cells Using a Dopant-Free D–A Copolymer Hole-Transporting Layer
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Addressing the stability issue in perovskite solar cells (PSCs) is a crucial step for commercialization purposes. Finding a novel and stable hole-transporting layer (HTL) is one of the most effective strategies to solve this problem. Herein, a new polymeric HTL, namely poly(2,7-[5,5-bis(3′–7′-dimethyloctyl)-5 H-1,8-dithia-as-indacenone-alt-5,5-5′]6′-bis(octyloxy)-4′,7′-di-2-thienyl-2′,1′,3′-benzothiadiazole) (PDTIDTBT) is synthesized, indicating a great hole-transporting property as compared with the commonly used 2,2′,7,7′-tetrakis[N,N-di(4-methoxyphenyl) amino]-9,9′-spirobifluorene (spiro-OMeTAD) HTL. This polymer shows good mobility with suitable band alignment with respect to the triple A-cation perovskite film, which is comparable with the state-of-art polymeric HTLs.

Therefore, mesoscopic PSCs are fabricated by PDTIDTBT HTL and considered interface engineering technique using a thin layer of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) at the perovskite/HTL interface. Based on these modifications, a PSC with a maximum power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 19.89% is achieved, higher than the PCE of the spiro-based PSC (19.28%). In addition, the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs show excellent operational and ambient stability better than the spiro ones.

1. Introduction

Organometallic halide perovskite materials with ABX₃ crystal structure (A: methylammonium, formamidinium, cesium; B: Pb, Sn; X: I, Br, Cl) have made a revolution in the field of optoelectronic devices.[1–7] Due to their excellent optoelectronic properties such as high absorption and great mobility, bandgap tunability, low-cost processing, and ease of fabrication, they have been the most favorable absorber layer for the fabrication of efficient perovskite solar cells (PSCs).[8–14] Over the past decade, extensive efforts on interface and compositional engineering, surface passivation, and band alignment engineering have been devoted, resulted in a certified power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 25.5%.[15–18] In addition to PCE, device stability plays a key role for the commercialization of the PSCs. Although many researchers have focused on this issue, the PSCs still suffer from poor stability as compared with the commercialized silicon solar cells.[19–22]

To improve the device stability, some groups modified the composition of perovskite films using various additives or 2D perovskites.[23–25] Others used interface engineering or novel transporting layers.[26,27] One of the main reasons behind poor stability is the instability of hole-transporting layer (HTL) materials.[28,29] It is worth pointing out that the most efficient reported PSCs were made of HTLs such as 2,2′,7,7′-tetrakis[N,N-di(4-methoxyphenyl) amino]-9,9′-spirobifluorene (spiro-OMeTAD) and poly[(9,9′-fluorene)-alt-9,9′-di-2-thienyl-2′,1′,3′-benzothiadiazole] (spiro-fluorene-fluorene) (PTAA), which are doped by some dopants.[30,31] In fact, the role of dopant in organic HTLs is to enhance the mobility and conductivity. However, most of the dopants in HTLs are not stable under light and they could be the main reason of poor stability in the PSCs. Therefore, removing dopants from the HTL is crucial for improving the device stability. Bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) lithium salt (Li-TFSI) is one of the most commonly used dopants in HTLs, which is not stable in ambient condition, under humidity and light and also it can add more complexity to the fabrication process.[32] Synthesis of dopant-free organic HTLs with high mobility is desirable for the fabrication of stable PSCs. In this regard, various types of polymers have been synthesized and explored in the PSCs as dopant-free HTLs over past years, resulting in decent PCEs with improved stability.[33–35] There are plenty of dopant-free polymeric HTLs reported in the literature over the past years, resulted in devices with PCE over 20% and improved stability.[36–39] For instance, Zhang et al.[39] developed an efficient dopant-free cyclopenta[hi]aceanthrylene-based D–A–D-type HTL (YN3), and achieved a PCE of 18.84%, which was better than that of spiro-based device (18.41%). Lee et al.[40] reported a new donor-acceptor-type polymeric HTL (alkoxy-PTEG), which was composed of benzo[1,2-b:4,5-b′]dithiophene and tetraethylthiophene glycol (TEG) with a great solubility. They achieved a planar...
n-i-p PSC with a PCE of 21.2% using this polymer with a better stability. More interestingly, Zhao et al. synthesized a new HTL polymer with 1,10-phenanthroline as the conjugated core and dual functions (4,4'-[(1,10-phenanthroline-3,8-diyl)bis(N,N-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)aniline)]. In fact, their polymer not only works as an HTL but also passivates the surface of the perovskite film. Using their dopant-free polymer, they reported a PSC with PCE of 22.4%, which is the best reported value in the literature for PSCs with dopant-free HTLs. This efficiency is lower than the state-of-art PCE (25.5%) and more importantly the stability is still a big challenge for commercialization purposes. In addition to stability and efficiency, there are other factors such as solubility of the polymer in a green solvent, synthesis complexity, $E$ factor and cost of processing, which need to be considered for scale up. Most of reported polymers in the literature have focused on efficiency and slightly stability of the PSCs and therefore, more efforts are required in this regard to synthesize more affordable polymer for commercialization of PSCs.

In this study, we have synthesized a new D–A copolymer, PDTIDTBT, with good mobility and charge transfer property. We used PDTIDTBT as an HTL in mesoscopic PSCs structure and compared the photovoltaic (PV) parameters of the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs with the spiro-based devices. PDTIDTBT shows great solubility in $\alpha$-xylene (green solvent), good $E$ factor and low synthesis complexity, which is suitable for scale up. Time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) results indicated stronger quenching effect in the PSCs with PDTIDTBT. The PDTIDTBT-based PSCs showed a maximum PCE of 17.9%, which is lower than that of spiro-based PSC (18.63%). To further enhance the PCE of PDTIDTBT-based PSCs, we engineered the band alignment of PSCs by the deposition of a thin layer of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) at the perovskite/HTL interface. Using band alignment engineering, the PCE of PSCs with PDTIDTBT HTL increases up to 19.89% higher than its counterpart. Moreover, the PDTIDTBT-based PSC shows superior operational stability with only 8% PCE loss after 200 h under continuous illumination.

2. Results and Discussion

The molecular structure of the PDTIDTBT copolymer is shown in Figure 1. PDTIDTBT was obtained by Stille cross-coupling polycondensation from 5,5-bis(trimethylstannyl)-(5',6'-dioctyloxy-4',7'-di-2-thienyl-2',1',3'-benzothiadiazole) (M1) and 2,7-dibromo-(5,5-bis(3',5'-dimethyloctyl)-5H-1,8-dithia-as-indacenone) (M2). M1 was purchased from SunaTech and M2 was synthesized according to our previous work. Dithiaindacenone derivatives were characterized by an economically viable and environmentally attractive synthetic process, having $E$ factor values of lower than 400. PDTIDTBT has a relatively low synthetic complexity ($\approx 50$) and exhibits a classical donor–acceptor structure, where the strength of the two components is modulated by the insertion of an oxo electron-attracting group in the donor part and two electron-releasing alkoxy groups in the acceptor unit, as proved by NMR data (Figure S1, Supporting Information). A PDTIDTBT analogue has been previously used by our groups as a donor polymer in polymer solar cells.

Figure S2, Supporting Information, shows the gel permeation chromatography (GPC) measurement of the PDTIDTBT copolymer. The average molecular weight ($M_n$) of the PDTIDTBT was obtained to be 135 497 Da. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) levels of the PDTIDTBT were also estimated using

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of the PDTIDTBT copolymer, resulting from the reaction of M1 and M2 molecules.](image-url)
cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement. Figure S3, Supporting Information, shows the capacitance–voltage (C–V) curve of the PDTIDTBT. The results indicate HOMO and LUMO levels of −5.35 and −3.29 eV, respectively, resulting in an electrochemical bandgap of 2.06 eV. To evaluate the hole mobility of our polymer, the HTL-only device was fabricated and measured, as shown in Figure S4, Supporting Information. Using the space-charge-limited current (SCLC) approach, the hole mobility of the PDTIDTBT was calculated to be $5.24 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, which is higher than that of $(8.1 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) the spiro-OMeTAD. This result confirms that the PDTIDTBT has a great potential to be used as a dopant-free HTL in PSCs.$^{[44,45]}$ Notably, the gold electrode in the hole-only device may improve the value of mobility slightly.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the PDTIDTBT was measured under nitrogen environment, as shown in Figure 2a. From this analysis, the degradation temperature of the PDTIDTBT with 5% weight loss ($T_{d95}$) was estimated to be $\approx 324$ °C. This result indicates that the PDTIDTBT is stable at temperatures below 300 °C, suggesting its good thermal stability. Figure 2b shows the UV–vis and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the PDTIDTBT, indicating an optical bandgap of $\approx 1.82$ eV.

From the characterization results, we concluded that it may be a good HTL alternative for the fabrication of stable PSCs. In this study, we considered triple-A cation perovskite (methylammonium [MA]/formamidinium [FA]/cesium [Cs]) as an absorber layer. Figure S5, Supporting Information, shows the top-view scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image and X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the perovskite film, which is in good agreement with the literature. The UV–vis spectrum of the perovskite film is shown in Figure S6, Supporting Information, indicating a bandgap of 1.61 eV. Figure 2c shows the PL spectra of the perovskite film deposited on glass without and with PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs. As shown, the PL quenching is stronger in the perovskite/PDTIDTBT sample as compared with the spiro-based one, suggesting a better charge transfer rate than spiro. TRPL measurement of the corresponding films shows also the same trend as the PL results (Figure 2d). The curves were fitted by a biexponential equation. The fitting parameters are shown in Table S1, Supporting Information. From the results, the perovskite/PDTIDTBT film shows the shortest lifetime, indicating its strong quenching effect and possibly an excellent hole-transporting property.

To evaluate the optoelectronic properties of the PDTIDTBT, mesoscopic PSCs were fabricated with the PDTIDTBT HTL without using any dopant and the PV results were compared with the commonly used spiro HTL. Figure 3a,b shows the cross-sectional SEM image and the schematic of the PDTIDTBT-based PSC. As seen, the device is consisted of fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) as an electrode, compact layer of TiO$_2$ (c-TiO$_2$), and mesoporous TiO$_2$ (mp-TiO$_2$) as electron-transporting layers (ETLs), perovskite absorber layer, PDTIDTBT HTL, and Au electrode. More details for the device fabrication can be found in the Experimental Section. Figure 3c shows the current density (J)–voltage (V) characteristic of the PSCs fabricated by both PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs. Table 1 shows the PV parameters of these devices. The spiro-based device shows an open-circuit voltage ($V_{OC}$) of 1.08 V, a short-circuit current density ($J_{SC}$) of 22.41 mA cm$^{-2}$, a fill factor (FF) of 77%, resulting in a PCE of 18.63% under reverse scan. By changing the HTL to the PDTIDTBT, a PSC with
a PCE of 17.9% is achieved ($V_{OC}$: 1.09 V; $J_{SC}$: 22.5 mA cm$^{-2}$; FF: 73%). This PV result is obtained after a thickness optimization process. Figure S7, Supporting Information, shows the PCE variation of the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs with different thickness of the PDTIDTBT (5–80 nm). We found that the optimum thickness of the PDTIDTBT is $\approx$20 nm. By increasing the thickness more than 20 nm, the PCE drops as the charge recombination increases at the interface of perovskite/HTL. This is mainly due to the higher series resistance of thicker HTL. The inset image in Figure 3c shows the stabilized PCE of the PSCs with PDTIDTBT (17.85%) and spiro (18.58%) HTLs, confirming the results of the $J$–$V$ curves. We also investigated the $J_{SC}$ of these devices using external quantum efficiency (EQE) measurement, as shown in Figure 3d. As shown, the EQE in both cases are over 80% in the range of 350–750 nm. By integration of the solar spectrum over the entire wavelength and considering the EQE results, integrated short current densities of 21.82 and 21.69 mA cm$^{-2}$ were estimated for the PDTIDTBT- and spiro-based PSCs, respectively, which are in good agreement with the $J$–$V$ results. The statistic PV parameter of the PSCs with both PDTIDTBT and spiro are shown in Figure S8, Supporting Information. As shown, the average values of the $V_{OC}$ for PDTIDTBT-based PSCs are higher than spiro-based ones. In contrast, the PSCs with PDTIDTBT HTLs shows lower FF. Overall, the average PCE value of the PSCs with PDTIDTBT HTL is 0.8% lower than the spiro-based devices. To further investigate the PV results, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out for both PDTIDTBT- and spiro-based PSCs at open-circuit voltage under dark condition. Figure S9, Supporting Information, shows the Nyquist plots of both devices. After fitting the curves with the equivalent circuit shown in the inset image of Figure S9, Supporting Information, the series resistance ($R_s$) and recombination resistance ($R_{rec}$) of the corresponding devices were extracted. The $R_s$ value of the PDTIDTBT-based PSC is 32.5 $\Omega$, which is higher than that of (23.7 $\Omega$) spiro-based device. This can be correlated to the lower FF in the PDTIDTBT-based device. The extracted values of the $R_{rec}$ were 287 and 196 $\Omega$ for the PDTIDTBT and spiro-based PSCs, respectively. The higher value of the $R_{rec}$ in the PDTIDTBT device indicates lower carrier recombination and as a result, this device shows higher $V_{OC}$.

We also measured both devices under forward and reverse scan directions, as hysteresis effect is common phenomenon in the PSCs. Figure S10, Supporting Information, shows the statistic of the hysteresis index (HI) value for the PSCs with...
PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs. Interestingly, the PDTIDTT-based PSCs indicate lower HI values compared with the spiro-based ones, most likely due to the better charge transfer property of the PDTIDTBT.\[49\]

To further understand the reason of lower PCE in the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs, the band alignment of the representative devices was plotted using ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurement. Figure 4a shows the UPS spectra of the PDTIDTBT, spiro, and perovskite films. From the UPS results, the valence band (VB) of the perovskite film, the HOMO levels of the PDTIDTBT and spiro were estimated to be \(-5.62\), \(-5.38\), and \(-5.21\) eV, respectively. Based on UPS results and the bandgap of these films, the band diagram of the PSCs with PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs was plotted (Figure 4b).\[50,51\] Our results indicate that the band offset between the perovskite and PDTIDTBT is 240 meV, which is smaller than the gap (410 meV) between the perovskite and spiro layers. This can facilitate the hole transfer from the perovskite film to the PDTIDTBT HTL more efficiently than the spiro case. As reported in the literature,\[50,51\] by reducing the energy gap between HTL and perovskite film, there is a great potential to reduce the interface recombination and improve the \(V_{OC}\) of the device. Ideally, the optimum band offset between the perovskite and HTL films are calculated to be in the range of 0.0–0.3 eV.\[50,51\] This can explain the higher \(V_{OC}\) in our PDTIDTBT-based PSCs. In addition to the band offset, the bandgap of the HTL is another key parameter to reduce the interface recombination. Basically, the bandgap of the HTL is required to be large enough to block the electrons efficiently. In case of the PDTIDTBT device, bandgap is lower than the spiro case. This can affect the \(V_{OC}\) and FF of the device. In addition, the electrical properties (such as conductivity and mobility) of the PDTIDTBT is worse than the doped spiro HTL. This can explain the lower FF and \(J_{SC}\) in the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs. It is worth pointing out that the charge transfer properties and also the adhesion between perovskite and HTL layers could be other effective parameters in this regard.

Consequently, to further improve the PCE of the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs, we have deposited a thin layer of PMMA at the perovskite/PDTIDTBT interface. As PMMA is an isolating layer, it can effectively reduce the recombination of the electrons from the perovskite film with the holes located at the HOMO level of the PDTIDTBT.\[52–54\] Figure 4b shows the band diagram of the device with the PMMA layer. We also fabricated the PSCs with and without PMMA interface layer. \(J–V\) curves of the PSCs with PMMA/PDTIDTBT and PMMA/spiro are shown in Figure 4c. As shown in Table 1, the PCE of the PMMA/PDTIDTBT device is increased to 19.89%, mainly due to the enhancement of both \(V_{OC}\) and FF. Interestingly, this device shows higher PCE than the PMMA/spiro PSC (19.22%). The inset graph in Figure 4c shows the stabilized PCE of the corresponding devices, indicating the same trend as the \(J–V\) curves. This result can highlight the role of interface engineering in the PSCs and the importance of having a large bandgap HTL in the device. Figure S8, Supporting Information, shows the statistic PV results of the corresponding PSCs. The average value of \(V_{OC}\) and FF for the PMMA/PDTIDTBT are significantly enhanced, whereas the \(J_{SC}\) remains almost invariant. The PMMA modification for the PMMA/spiro

Figure 4. a) UPS measurement of the perovskite, PDTIDTBT and spiro films. b) Band diagram of the PSCs for both HTLs. c) \(J–V\) curves of the PSCs with PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs. The inset image shows the MPPT results of the corresponding devices. d) EQE spectra of the PSCs with PDTIDTBT and spiro HTLs.
also shows slightly improvement in the PV parameters. Overall, the average PCE value of the PMMA/PDTIDTBT PSCs is 0.4% higher than the PMMA/spiro one. Figure S10, Supporting Information, shows the PL spectra of the perovskite/PMMA/PDTIDTBT and perovskite/PMMA/spiro samples. As shown, there is a strong quenching effect in perovskite/PMMA/PDTIDTBT sample, which indicates its better charge transfer properties. This result can explain the higher $V_{OC}$ and FF in the PMMA/PDTIDTBT-based devices. In addition, the PMMA modification can reduce the hysteresis effect of the PSCs for both cases, as shown in Figure S11, Supporting Information, where the average HI value of the PMMA/PDTIDTBT devices is lower than the PMMA/spiro ones. This is in good agreement with the literature as well.

The main purpose of finding new HTLs for the PSCs is improving the device stability, as the stability is still the main challenge in these devices.\textsuperscript{[55,56]} Figure 5a shows the shelf-life stability test of both PSCs with PMMA/PDTIDTBT and PMMA/spiro measured over 42 days in the ambient condition (42% relative humidity [RH]). The results show that the PSCs with PMMA/PDTIDTBT maintain 93% of its initial efficiency after 42 days better than the device with PMMA/spiro (74%). In addition, we monitored the stability of our devices under continuous illumination and nitrogen flow for 110 h, as shown in Figure 5b. The operational stability results indicate that the PSC with PMMA/PDTIDTBT maintains 91% of its initial PCE much better than that of device with PMMA/spiro (52%). The higher stability of the PMMA/PDTIDTBT is mainly originated from its dopant-free nature of the PDTIDTBT HTL. Moreover, we measured the contact angle (CA) of the water droplets located on the surface of the PDTIDTBT and spiro films (Figure 5c,d). We found that the CAs are 73° and 41° for the PDTIDTBT and spiro films, respectively, which highlights a better water-repellent property of the PDTIDTBT as compared with the spiro film. This can improve the moisture stability of the PDTIDTBT-based PSCs.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized the PDTIDTBT, a new copolymer, with good mobility and excellent optical properties and showed its potential as an alternative HTL for the fabrication of the mesoscopic PSCs. Our characterization results indicate that the PDTIDTBT has great hole-transporting property and suitable band alignment with respect to the triple-A cation perovskite. Interface engineering is used with the help of a thin layer of PMMA, we fabricated PSCs with a dopant-free PDTIDTBT HTL and achieved an impressive PCE of 19.89%, which is better than the PCE (19.28%) of the reference device fabricated by spiro HTL. This enhancement is mainly originated from the reduction of interface recombination at the perovskite/PDTIDTBT interface using band alignment engineering. In addition, our PDTIDTBT-based PSCs showed excellent shelf-life and operational stabilities better than the spiro-based devices.

4. Experimental Section

Polymerization of PDTIDTBT: A mixture of compound M1 (SunaTech; 0.516 g, 0.80 mmol), compound M2\textsuperscript{[43]} (0.908 mg, 0.80 mmol), Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (15 mg), and P(o-tolyl)$_3$ (19 mg) was dissolved in 50 mL of toluene. The solution was heated at 120°C for 18 h under argon. After the reaction, 2-bromobenzene (126 mg) was added and stirred at 110°C for 4 h; subsequently, 2-(tributylstannyl)thiophene (298 mg) was added and stirred for 4 h. The solution was heated at 130°C for 4 h under argon. The obtained polymer was purified by Soxhlet extraction with ethanol and dichloromethane. The obtained polymer was then dissolved in toluene to make a 10 mg/mL solution for characterizations and device fabrication.
stirred at 110 °C overnight. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into 300 mL methanol. The resulting precipitate was filtered and Soxhlet extracted successively with methanol, acetone, hexane, and chloroform. The chloroform fraction was collected, concentrated to a small volume, and precipitated into 300 mL of methanol. The polymer PTDI-DTBT were collected by filtration and dried under vacuum for 12 h with a yield of 80% (0.665 g). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.5 – 7.8 (br, 1H), 7.3 – 7.4 (br, 4H), 7.0 – 7.2 (br, 1H), 4.1 – 4.4 (br, 4H), 0.6 – 2.3 (br, 56H). Mw = 135 497 g mol⁻¹, Mn = 67 319 g mol⁻¹, polydispersity index = 2.01).

Device Fabrication: Before any deposition the FTO glasses were etched using zinc powder and a solution of diluted HCl, followed by cleaning in different baths using sonication: a solution of Tritton X100 (1 vol%) in deionized [DI] water), DI water, acetone, and isopropanol, respectively. The compact layer of TiO₂ was deposited from a solution of titanium disopropoxide bis(acetylacetone) dissolved in ethanol and spin coated at 3000 rpm (1500 rpm s⁻¹ ramp rate) for 30 s, followed by annealing at 500 °C for 30 min. The mesoporous TiO₂ was spinned coated at 4000 rpm for 20 s (ramp rate of 2000 rpm s⁻¹ from an ethanol solution of TiO₂ paste (Dyesol 30 NR-D) diluted 6 times, followed by annealing at 500 °C for 30 min. Afterward, the TiO₂-coated substrates were cleaned by plasma for 10 min. Then, the surface of TiO₂ was treated by a solution of bis(trifluoromethane) sulphonimide lithium salt (Li-TFSI) (0.1 M in acetonitrile). The solution was spin coated on the substrate at 4000 rpm for 20 s and annealed at 500 °C for 30 min. After this treatment, all substrates were transferred into the nitrogen-filled glovebox quickly for the next step.

The following precursors were dissolved in a mixed solvent of dimethylformamide:dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) = 4:1 (volume ratio) at 60 °C overnight to prepare the triple-A cation (MA/FA/Cs) perovskite solution: PbI₂ (1.10 m, TCI), formamidinium iodide (1.05 m, Dyesol), PbBr₂ (0.185 m, TCI), MABr (0.185 m, Dyesol), and 5 vol% of the CsI solution (1.5 m in DMSO). Then, the solution was spin coated using the following steps: 1000 rpm for 10 s and 6000 rpm for 30 s. In the second step, the perovskite film was treated with antisolvent upon applying 200 μL of chlorobenzene (CB) on the film right 10 s before the end of spinning. The perovskite films were annealed at 110 °C for 40 min. After formation of perovskite and cooling, PMMA solution (Sigma Aldrich, MW =120 000, 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ in CB) was deposited on perovskite films by spin coating at 4000 rpm for 25 s.¹⁷ For the HTL deposition, first, PTDI-DTBT and spiro-OMeTAD were dissolved in CB with concentrations of 7 and 80 mg mL⁻¹, respectively. For the case of spiro, 4-tert-buty1 pyridine (4-TBP, 31.33 μL) and 18 μL of Li-TFSI solution in acetonitrile (520 mg mL⁻¹) were added into the initial solution. The prepared HTL solutions were spin coated at 3000 rpm for PTDI-DTBT and 3000 rpm for spiro on top of perovskite films for 25 s. Finally, the device was obtained by thermal evaporation of a 100 nm-thick Au. The active area of the device was 0.1 cm².

Film Characterization: The cross section and morphology of the films were characterized by a ZEISS Merlin SEM. XRD pattern was measured by Rigaku SmartLab. The PL and UV–vis spectra were evaluated by a Varian Cary S and a Fluorolog 322 (Horiba Jobin Yvon Ltd.), respectively. TRPL measurement was carried out by a picosecond-pulsed diode laser (EPL-405). Excitation wavelength was 405 nm and the pulse width were 49 ps. A biexponential equation was used to fit the TRPL curves (\(I(t) = a \exp(-t/τ) + b\)), where \(a\) is amplitude and \(τ\) is the lifetime of each component. For the UPS measurement, AXIS NOVA (Kratos Analytical Ltd., UK) was used and the UV source was the He I line (21.2 eV). TGA data were obtained by UNIX/TGA7 (Perkin Elmer). The rate of heating and cooling was 10 °C min⁻¹. The cyclic voltammetry (C–V) measurement was carried out using an Autolab PGSTAT 12 potentiostat. A glassy carbon (GC) disk in Teflon (Amel, surface 0.071 cm²), a platinum rod and an aqueous saturated calomel electrode (SCE, Amel) were used as working electrode, counter electrode, and as the reference electrode, respectively. For this measurement, a solution of the target polymer (\(\times 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol} \)) dissolved in 0.1 m tetraethylammonium tetrafluoroborate TBAFB (Fluka, electrochemical grade, dissolved in acetonitrile) was prepared while purging argon and then analyzed in the electrochemical cell with a scan rate of 200 mV s⁻¹. 1H NMR spectrum was recorded by Bruker Avance 400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. The measurement was carried out with 90° pulse, and the relaxation delay was 1.5 s. GPC was used to evaluate the molecular weight and measured using the following condition: Injection volume: 100 μL; concentration: 0.1 mg mL⁻¹; flow rate: 1 mL min⁻¹; and temperature was 100 °C.

To evaluate the mobility of the PTDI-DTBT polymer, hole-only device was fabricated as reported in the literature.¹⁸ For this purpose, poly(3,4-ethylendioxynaphthalene):polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) was first deposited on FTO by spin coating at 2500 rpm for 40 s and annealed at 150 °C for 10 min. Then, the target HTL, i.e., PTDI-DTBT (7 mg mL⁻¹ in CB) was spin coated on PEDOT:PSS-coated FTO glasses, followed by thermal evaporation of a 100 nm-thick Au. Then, the device characterized by a parameter analyzer to monitor the J–V curve. Using the SCCL method, the mobility was estimated as reported in the literature.¹⁸

Device Measurement: For device measurement, a sun simulator with xenon lamp (450 W, Oriel, USA) and a digital source meter (Keithley model 2400, USA) were used. The intensity of light was set to 1000 W m⁻² according to AM1.5G standard condition using a standard silicon solar cell (Newport). The devices were masked using a black aperture to define the active area. J–V measurement was carried out at a voltage scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. The devices were measured under both forward and reverse scans. Notably, the HIs was estimated by the following equation: 
\[ HI = \left( \frac{PCE_{\text{forward}} - PCE_{\text{backward}}}{PCE_{\text{forward}}} \right) \times 100 \]%.]³¹ The EQE measurement was carried out using a commercial apparatus (Akero-Anadine, Cici Research s.r.l.) with a 300 W Xenon lamp. For the shelf-life stability, the devices were kept in the dark and ambient condition (≈42% RH). For the operational stability, the PSCs were kept under continuous light (1 sun) inside a nitrogen-filled glovebox. For monitoring the stability, the devices measured over time. EIS characteristic was measured close to the open-circuit voltage under dark condition. The frequency was changed from 200 mHz to 100 KHz.
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