Pythium is an Oomycete, also called as parafungus since it causes sight-threatening keratitis in the eye that closely resembles fungal keratitis.\(^1\) It belongs to Phylum Straminipila, Class Oomycetes, Order Pythiales, and Family Pythiaceae.\(^1\) The incidence of systemic pythiosis dates back to 1884 where it was first described in British veterinarians,\(^1\) whereas ocular infection was primarily reported in Thailand,\(^2\) Australia,\(^3\) USA\(^4\) and Israel\(^5\) in 2009. It has gained importance in recent years owing to the rare presentation, difficult diagnosis by routine microbiological methods, poor visual prognosis due to an absence of response to the conventional antifungals owing to lack of ergosterol in the cell wall, high recurrence rate and associated ocular morbidity.\(^2\) It is possible that we were missing these cases earlier by falsely labelling them as unidentified fungi or cases diagnosed as fungal according to microscopy but with no growth on culture.\(^3\) The majority of patients require surgical treatment in the form of therapeutic keratoplasty and the visual outcome is usually poor.\(^1\) Hasika et al. in their retrospective analysis of 71 patients showed that the existing anti-fungal agents are not effective against Pythium infections.\(^1\) It is believed that many of the infections in India, might have been unrecognized due to lack of awareness about identification techniques.\(^3\) Systemic infections in humans with Pythium have also been reported with high rates of morbidity and mortality.\(^4\) Diagnosis and treatment still remains difficult because of the virulent nature of this organism.\(^7\) Pythium keratitis has added to the corneal blindness due to microbial keratitis globally and is an important area of concern since it doesn’t scar easily and afflicted patients require multiple keratoplasty with prolonged recovery time.\(^6,7\) Early diagnosis, prompt treatment and meticulous follow-up are essential to reduce the burden of Pythium keratitis. Reports from South India have featured since 2010, paving the way to increased knowledge about diagnosing techniques like zoospore demonstration and DNA sequencing. Medical treatment with antibacterial antibiotics including tigecycline, macrolides, tetracyclines, and linezolid have been studied with susceptibility in animal studies. Bagga et al. in the prospective trial,
reported successful management of Pythium with Linezolid and Azithromycin.\(^5\) In this retrospective analysis, we report clinico-microbiological profile of a large case series of patients of Pythium keratitis presenting between October 2017 to March 2020 at our tertiary eye care referral centre in South India. The risk factors, demographics, clinical diagnostic dilemmas, microbiological profile, challenges faced in management and treatment outcomes were analysed along with review of literature of the previously published data. We have also aimed to propose a diagnostic and treatment flowchart for Pythium cases which will be of help to all the ophthalmologists while dealing with this virulent infection [Fig. 1].

**Methods**

This was a retrospective observational study, done over a period of of 30 months from October 2017 to March 2020 at our tertiary eye care hospital in South India. The study complied with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Institutional Ethical Committee (IEC) of Aravind Eye Hospital, Pondicherry (IRB approval number -AEH/PDY/EC/OA/90/2020). The medical case and microbiology records of all culture-positive *Pythium insidiosum* patients were obtained from Electronic Medical Records (EMR) data system [Fig. 1 - Diagnostic Flowchart]. The data was analysed for the demographic profile, predisposing risk factors, clinical features, microbiological profile, response to medical and surgical treatment and visual outcome. The outcomes of our study were also compared with previously published literature.

The inclusion criteria were (1) All culture-positive Pythium cases, and (2) Post keratoplasty button culture-positive cases. The criteria for exclusions were: (1) Incomplete medical records, and (2) Absence of laboratory investigations despite clinical suspicion. The demographic, risk factors, anterior and posterior segments findings along with microbiological results were retrieved from the medical case records. At our center, routinely the corneal scrapings are performed under topical anesthesia using 0.5% proparacaine. These specimens include scrapings for smear examination (Grams stain and 10% potassium hydroxide wet mount) along with subsequent sequential scraping for culture on blood agar and potato dextrose agar. Additionally, zoospore formation of *Pythium insidiosum* was also confirmed by the incubated carnation leaf method.

The treatment was initiated according to clinical and microbiological evaluations. Since on smear examination, Pythium hyphae closely mimic fungal hyphae so before the culture results were available, the eyes with positive smears having hyphae were treated with hourly topical antifungals in the form of 5% Natamycin suspension, 1% Itraconazole or 1% Voriconazole. If the ulcer size was less than 4 mm x 4 mm, the eyes were treated...
with monotherapy of 5% Natamycin hourly suspension during waking hours and if the ulcer size was more than 4 x 4 mm, they were treated with a combination of either 5% natamycin and 1% Itraconazole hourly or 5% Natamycin and 1% Voriconazole hourly during waking hours. After 5 days when the culture results were available, the flat, feathery colourless colony growth of the Pythium species on the blood agar prompted the possibility of Pythium which was further confirmed by zoospore formation on incubated carnation leaf. If the culture result was positive for *Pythium insidiosum* the patients were treated with topical Linezolid 0.2% hourly if the ulcer size was less than 4 x 4 mm and topical Linezolid 0.2% and Azithromycin 1% combination if the ulcer was more than 4 x 4 mm during waking hours. Patients with poor response despite adequate and appropriate antimicrobial therapy, corneal perforation, and non-resolving ulcers involving limbus were subjected to Therapeutic keratoplasty (TPK). The excised corneal button was also cultured on blood agar and potato dextrose agar and was processed for species identification. Postoperatively, all eyes were treated with topical Linezolid 0.2% alone or topical Linezolid 0.2% and Azithromycin 1% combination on an hourly basis for a minimum period of 3 weeks based on clinical picture preoperatively. Moreover, if the culture was positive but button culture was negative post keratoplasty, patients were started on steroids and antibiotic combination in the form 0.1% Dexamethasone or 1% Prednisolone with 0.5% Moxifloxacin after a minimum of 2 weeks of anti-Pythium therapy. However, if the culture was positive and button culture was also positive post keratoplasty, patients were started on steroids and antibiotic combination in the form 0.1% Dexamethasone or 1% Prednisolone with 0.5% Moxifloxacin after a minimum of 3 weeks of anti-Pythium therapy. On follow-up, the steroids were started in tapering doses under close observation only if there was no recurrence of infection postoperatively. Patients continued to be on maintenance dose of anti-Pythium therapy for at least 4 weeks after initiation of topical steroids and were closely monitored for development of re-infection. Those patients with active infection in the form of graft infiltrate or melt were taken for repeat TPK. Graft infections after the second TPK were conservatively treated with lateral tarsorrhaphy.

**Literature review**

The review of the literature was done using PubMed Central, Cochrane Library database, Google Scholar, and ePUB by using terminology Pythium, Pythium species, Pythium keratitis, *Pythium insidiosum*, *Pythium insidiosum* keratitis and outcomes of *Pythium keratitis*.

**Results**

A total of 30 patients were analyzed during the period of 30 months. The mean age of the patients was 43.1 ± 17.2 years and it ranged from 9 – 70 years. The male: female ratio was 3:2. The right eye was involved in 18 (60%) patients and left eye was involved in 12 (40%) patients. There were 14 (46.6%) farmers, 8 (26.6%) housewives, 8 (26.6%) students/software professionals. The most common risk factors were, history of injury in 24 patients (80%), and bathing in a pond in 7 patients (23.3%). The average time taken from the onset of symptoms to the presentation was 11.9 days. There was a presentation lag of <10 days in 16 (53.3%) patients, 10–20 days in 8 (26.6%) patients and >21 days in 6 (20%) patients. Visual acuity at presentation ranged from 20/30 to PL+ [Table 1a]. Based on severity grading of ulcers a total of 5 (16.6%) were mild ulcers, 13 (43.3%) were moderate and 12 (40%) fell into severe category. The mean size of ulcer was 23.04 ± 1.2 mm² with a range of 4-81 mm². The clinical features were patchy subepithelial dot-like infiltrates in 7 (23.3%) patients, stromal infiltrate with feathery margins in 11 (36.6%), subtotal infiltrate with peripheral furrowing in 5 (16.6%), tentacular projection in 4 (13.3%), thick endothelial plaque in 8 (26.6%), and total corneal melt in 4 (13.3%) patients. Hypopyon and anterior chamber exudates were present in 14 (46.6%) patients [Table 1b] [Fig. 2a-d]. Healing margins were present in 7 (23.3%) out of 30 patients. The characteristic ‘finger-like’ projections were seen in 4 (13.3%) patients. One patient had co-existing acanthamoeba cysts (diagnosed on culture on non-nutrient agar with E. coli overlay) with Pythium and was treated for mixed infection.

The smear examination of all patients on 10% KOH wet mount revealed slender hyaline hyphae on the first microscopic examination [Fig. 3a]. Numerous vesicles within the hyphae were observed. The culture results were analysed after 5 days, as flat feathery, colorless colony of *P. insidiosum* grow at 37°C on 5% sheep blood agar after 5 days [Fig. 3b, c]. A total of 22 (73.3%) patients were positive for Pythium in their first corneal scraping, 3 (10.7%) patients tested positive on repeat scraping, and 5 (17.8%) patients were identified late in their clinical course when the corneal button removed for keratoplasty turned positive for Pythium. Additionally, Pythium identification was also confirmed by Zoospore formation on incubated carnation leaf [Fig. 3d]. Before culture results were available 7 (23.3%) patients were treated with topical 5% Natamycin suspension hourly alone, 15 (50%) were treated with topical 5% Natamycin and 1% Voriconazole

### Table 1a: Demographics, Risk Factors and Presenting Visual acuity

| Parameter                  | Cases (%)                      |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Gender                     | Males (M)                      |
|                            | 18 (60%)                       |
|                            | Females (F)                    |
|                            | 12 (40%)                       |
| Age                        | 0–25 years                     |
|                            | 26–50 years                    |
|                            | 10 (33.3%)                     |
|                            | 10 (33.3%)                     |
|                            | M- 6 F- 4                      |
|                            | M-5 F-5                        |
|                            | M-7, F-3                       |
| Occupation                 | Student/Software Student       |
|                            | 8 (28.5%)                      |
|                            | 14 (46.6%)                     |
|                            | M- 6 F-2                       |
|                            | M-12 F-2                       |
| Risk Factors               | Injury                         |
|                            | 24 (80%)                       |
|                            | Exposure to dirty water        |
|                            | 7 (23.3%)                      |
|                            | No history of injury           |
|                            | 6 (20%)                        |
| Presenting                 | 20/20-20/200                   |
|                            | 20/240-20/1200                 |
|                            | <20/1200                       |
| Visual Acuity              | 8 (26.6%)                      |
|                            | 7 (23.3%)                      |
|                            | 15 (50%)                       |
| Presentation Lag           | <10 days                       |
|                            | 10-20 days                     |
|                            | >21 days                       |
|                            | 8 (28.5%)                      |
|                            | 6 (20%)                        |
hourly eye drops, and 8 (26.6%) patients were treated with 5% topical Natamycin eye drop and 1% Itraconazole. After culture results 11 (36.6%) were treated with topical 0.2% Linezolid and 19 (63.3%) patients were treated with topical 0.2% Linezolid and 1% Azithromycin eye drops combination [Table 2a, 2b].

A total of 7 (23.3%) patients healed with medical treatment, 19 (63.3%) underwent TPK and four were lost to follow-up. The graft re-infection was seen in 7 (23.3%) patients out of which 6 (20%) underwent repeat TPK [Fig. 4a-d]. The mean time from presentation to TPK was 11+/-1.4 days. The time for recurrence after TPK varied from 0 to 36 days with a means of 21.2 days. Adjunctive measures like tarsorrhaphy were done for recurrent graft melt in 4 patients and cyanoacrylate glue for perforation in 4 patients [Table 3a]. The average time of occurrence of perforation was 7 days after initial presentation. The most common complication was choroidal detachment in 9 (30%) patients followed by graft re-infection in 7 (23.3%) patients and none of the patients underwent evisceration. Endophthalmitis was noted in 3 (10%) patients [Table 3b].

Among the medically healed and TPK group, the average time taken for presentation was 4.6 days in the former and 14.18 days in the latter. Also, the average infiltrate size at presentation was smaller 14.4 mm² in the healed group and 40.12 mm² in the TPK group [Table 4]. The final visual acuity was 20/20- 20/200 in 6 (20%) patients, 20/240-20/1200 in 5 (16.6%) patients, hand movement to positive perception of light in 16 patients and no perception of light (Phthisis Bulbi) in 3 (10%) patients.

**Discussion**

*P. insidiosum* is an oomycete that morphologically exhibits features of branching, sparsely septate or aseptate filaments and cause severe vision-threatening keratitis. There have been reports of systemic pythiosis from all over the world. Among the medically healed and TPK group, the average time taken for presentation was 4.6 days in the former and 14.18 days in the latter. Also, the average infiltrate size at presentation was smaller 14.4 mm² in the healed group and 40.12 mm² in the TPK group [Table 4]. The final visual acuity was 20/20- 20/200 in 6 (20%) patients, 20/240-20/1200 in 5 (16.6%) patients, hand movement to positive perception of light in 16 patients and no perception of light (Phthisis Bulbi) in 3 (10%) patients.

Among the medically healed and TPK group, the average time taken for presentation was 4.6 days in the former and 14.18 days in the latter. Also, the average infiltrate size at presentation was smaller 14.4 mm² in the healed group and 40.12 mm² in the TPK group [Table 4]. The final visual acuity was 20/20- 20/200 in 6 (20%) patients, 20/240-20/1200 in 5 (16.6%) patients, hand movement to positive perception of light in 16 patients and no perception of light (Phthisis Bulbi) in 3 (10%) patients.

**Discussion**

*P. insidiosum* is an oomycete that morphologically exhibits features of branching, sparsely septate or aseptate filaments and cause severe vision-threatening keratitis. There have been reports of systemic pythiosis from all over the world. Among the medically healed and TPK group, the average time taken for presentation was 4.6 days in the former and 14.18 days in the latter. Also, the average infiltrate size at presentation was smaller 14.4 mm² in the healed group and 40.12 mm² in the TPK group [Table 4]. The final visual acuity was 20/20- 20/200 in 6 (20%) patients, 20/240-20/1200 in 5 (16.6%) patients, hand movement to positive perception of light in 16 patients and no perception of light (Phthisis Bulbi) in 3 (10%) patients.

**Table 1b: Distribution of Clinical features**

| Parameter                      | Cases n (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Stromal infiltrate with feather margins | 11 (36.6%) |
| Corneal melt                    | 4 (13.3%)  |
| Subepithelial infiltrates       | 7 (23.3%)  |
| Hypopyon/Anterior chamber exudates | 14 (46.6%) |
| Endothelial plaque              | 8 (26.6%)  |
| Peripheral furrowing            | 5 (16.6%)  |
| Tentacular projections          | 4 (13.3%)  |

**Figure 2:** (a) Slit-lamp image of the cornea showing central, dense, greyish-white circular 8x8 mm infiltrate with peripheral tentacular projections (b) Image depicting diffuse white stromal infiltrates. In addition peripheral furrowing is seen in this image inferiorly from 4 o clock to 6 o clock hour (c) Image depicting diffuse white stromal infiltrates showing tendency for limbal spread (d) Image depicting diffuse white full thickness infiltrates along with anterior chamber hypopyon

**Figure 3:** (a) 10% KOH wet mount preparation of corneal scraping of *Pythium insidiosum* showing linear elongated sparsely septeate hyaline hyphae. A number of small vesicles within the hyphae are also observed (b) A 3-day old subculture of *P. insidiosum* at 37°C grown on 5% sheep blood agar (c) A 5-day old subculture of *P. insidiosum* at 37°C grown on 5% sheep blood agar (d) A small round vesicle with numerous zoospores that developed after 3 h of incubation before zoospore release (×10) using cultured leaf incarnation method

The various forms of pythiosis include ocular, vascular, cutaneous/subcutaneous and disseminated. The important systemic associations include thalassemia/hemoglobinopathy syndrome, aplastic anaemia, paroxysmal nocturnal haemoglobinuria (PNH), chronic arterial insufficiency syndrome, and cavernous sinus thrombophlebitis. Ocular Pythiosis has been in the recent upsurge in the past 4-5 years and numerous studies have been published on similar literature.

It is well known that the clinical features of *Pythium* keratitis closely mimic fungal keratitis and this is the probable reason why we were missing a major chunk of *Pythium* cases 4-5 years ago. Recently, a large proportion of studies have been reported from South India probably due to regional prevalence and improved diagnostic techniques.

Agarwal et al. in their study reported a total of 46 patients with 6 of them having history of vegetative matter injury and rest with no history of injury were either white-collar professionals, housewives or people from urban locals. Bagga et al. reported 40.4% as farmers, 23.6% were housewives and 36.0% were students/office goers or of no known occupation.

In our study, 14 (46.6%) patients were agricultural workers and 16 (53.3%) were housewives and software professional showing predominance of non-agricultural workers which agrees with Agarwal et al. and Bagga et al. Most of the corneal infiltrate in our study were stromal with feathery margins. Hasika et al. noted in 3 (10%) patients [Table 3b].

**Table 1b: Distribution of Clinical features**

| Parameter                      | Cases n (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Stromal infiltrate with feather margins | 11 (36.6%) |
| Corneal melt                    | 4 (13.3%)  |
| Subepithelial infiltrates       | 7 (23.3%)  |
| Hypopyon/Anterior chamber exudates | 14 (46.6%) |
| Endothelial plaque              | 8 (26.6%)  |
| Peripheral furrowing            | 5 (16.6%)  |
| Tentacular projections          | 4 (13.3%)  |
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In their retrospective analysis found that hyphate edges of the infiltrates were seen in most patients. With typical multiple linear tentacle-like infiltrates in 36 of 71 (50.7%) patients, dot-like infiltrates at the mid-stromal level surrounding the main infiltrate in 15 (21.1%) patients and peripheral furrowing in 9 (12.7%) patients. In our case series, we found dot-like infiltrates, peripheral furrowing, and tentacle-like infiltrate margins in 7 (23.3%) patients. The other important features noted in our study were patchy scattered stromal infiltrates, with a tendency to spread towards limbus and a trace hypopyon similar to that described by Hasika et al.\textsuperscript{[1]} Mittal et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} in their analysis of 38 cases found that 5 patients had perforation and hypopyon at presentation. In our case series, thick endothelial and anterior chamber exudates were noted in 14 (46.6%) patients.

Table 2a: Treatment Details

| Parameter                     | Drugs used          | Cases (%)          |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                               | Natamycin          | Natamycin + Voriconazole | Natamycin + Itraconazole |
| Before culture results cases  | 7 (23.3%)          | 15 (50%)           | 8 (26.6%)                |
| After culture result cases    | 11 (36.6%)         | 19 (63.3%)         |                         |

Table 2b: Comparison of Linezolid vs Linezolid + Azithromycin group

| Presenting visual acuity        | Linezolid alone 11 (36.6%) | Linezolid + Azithromycin 19 (63.3%) |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cases n (%)                     | 20/20-20/200 (5 (16.6%))   | 20/240-20/1200 (6 (20%))           |
| Final visual acuity             | 20/20-20/200 (3 (27.2%))   | 20/240-20/1200 (3 (10%))           |
| Cases n (%)                     | 20/20-20/200 (1 (3%))      | 20/240-20/1200 (2 (6.6%))          |
| Corneal Scar                    | 8 (72.7%)                 | 11 (57.8%)                         |
| Therapeutic Keratoplasty        | 6 (54.5%)                 | 13 (68.4%)                         |
| Repeat Therapeutic Keratoplasty | 1 (9%)                    | 5 (26.3%)                          |
| Penetrating Keratoplasty        | 6 (54.5%)                 | 8 (42.1%)                          |

Table 3a: Treatment Outcomes

| Parameter                      | Cases (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Healed with Medical Treatment  | 7 (23.3%) |
| Therapeutic Keratoplasty       | 19 (63.3%)|
| Repeat Therapeutic Keratoplasty| 6 (20%)   |
| Glue + Bandage Contact Lens    | 4 (13.3%) |
| Tarsorrhaphy                   | 4 (13.3%) |
| Lost to follow-up              | 4 (13.3%) |

Table 3b: Complications Post Keratoplasty

| Parameter                     | Cases (%) |
|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Choroidal Detachment          | 9 (30%)   |
| Graft Reinfection             | 7 (23.3%) |
| Retinal Detachment            | 3 (10%)   |
| Secondary Glaucoma            | 6 (20%)   |
| Endophthalmitis               | 3 (10%)   |
| Evisceration                  | 0 (0%)    |

Table 4: Comparison of Treatment Groups

| Parameter                     | Healed with Medical Treatment | Healed with Surgical Treatment |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cases                          | 7 (23.3%)                     | 19 (63.3%)                    |
| Presentation lag in days       | 4.2                           | 13.5                          |
| Average infiltrate size in mm² | 14.4                          | 40.12                         |
| Average healing time           | 37 days                       | 71 days                       |

No statistically significant difference between the two groups.
patients confirming the virulent nature and fast progression of the organism and perforation was noted in 4 (13.3%). This is in consonance with the published literature.[1,5,7,9,11,12,19]

On 10% KOH wet mount, Pythium insidiosum is seen as long slender hyaline sparsely septate or aseptate hyphae and perpendicular lateral branches. In comparison fungal hyphae have septations with branching at various angles and is usually difficult to differentiate on KOH wet mount. Numerous vesicles within the hyphae are usually observed.[9] In the laboratory, the combination of this with classic flat feathery colorless colony on blood agar along with zoospore formation and molecular diagnostic tools help in identification and confirmation of Pythium infection.[7] The average time taken for blood agar growth is approximately 3-5 days. Increased awareness among microbiologists about these specific hyphae characteristics and blood agar growth morphologies can improve early diagnosis. The pointers of suspicion for ocular microbiologist can be clinical features like presence of tentacles, peripheral furrowing and rapidly progressive infiltrate, long slender hyaline sparsely septate and aseptate hyphae and perpendicular lateral branches. The definitive confirmation is by zoospore identifications by leaf incarcarnation method.

Various treatment and management options have been proposed for treatment of Pythium keratitis.[1,2,7-12,16,20,21] Bagga et al. showed that the proportion of healed ulcers were more 35.2% with antibacterial treatment as compared to 15.3% with antifungal treatment.[8,21] In our study, during the clinical course healing margins were seen in 7 out of 30 patients, thereby highlighting the success of medical treatment with topical Linezolid and Azithromycin which agrees with prospective analysis by Bagga et al.[5] Early presentation with smaller infiltrate size was associated with a better outcome. The patients who improved with medical treatment had mild- moderate severity of ulcer, smaller ulcer size (4 x 4 mm) and less than 1/3 of stromal depth with fewer tentacular projections, whereas the patients who underwent TPK had severe ulcer on presentation, involving visual axis, larger ulcer size (>4 mm x 4 mm), mid- deep stromal infiltrates with peripheral furrowing encroaching limbus and few patients showed early perforation. Among the 19 patients who underwent TPK, 20% required a repeat TPK for recurrence of infection and among them 4 underwent tarsorrhaphy for repeat graft melt. Cyanoacrylate glue was also used to stabilize the corneal melt in 4 patients. Whether it is the virulence of the organism, subclinical response to the available medical therapy, delay in diagnosis, organism load or mixed infection which causes recurrence or worsening is yet to be understood. Though endophthalmitis is a grave complication in Pythiosis, our study had only 3 (10%) cases, which were less compared to other studies. The probable reason could be that, an early TPK was considered in our treatment plan whenever the diagnosis of Pythium was made, in view of the existing poor prognosis. This led to lesser posterior segment involvement and improved overall outcome. Moreover, our globe salvage rate was 90%, which was comparatively higher than the published literature. Early identification of Pythium keratitis with usage of proper diagnostic algorithm helped us to initiate appropriate antibiotic treatment based on existing literature.[1,2,7-12,19] This might explain the fairer outcome in our study sample.

The limitations of our study include small sample size, retrospective nature of the study, patients were not subjected to Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)[24-26] non-availability of higher diagnostic modalities like confocal microscopy[5] and few patients were lost to follow-up. Hence, early diagnosis and treatment with upcoming antibiotics like Azithromycin and Linezolid can definitely improve outcomes. If significant response is not seen in the first week, immediate TPK, before the formation of thick anterior chamber (AC) exudates can fasten the recovery. The AC exudates in the angle can remain as a constant source of infection even after successful clearance of corneal infiltrate during TPK. Development of AC exudates can be seen as a sign for poor prognosis. However, a prospective study with larger sample size would help us to arrive at better conclusions. Further large scale randomized clinical trials are needed to exactly pinpoint more appropriate and definitive medical therapy. A comparative analysis of our study with the large scale clinical studies of Pythium keratitis is highlighted in Table 5.

**Conclusion**

To conclude, Pythium keratitis is a devastating ocular disease which closely mimics fungal keratitis. Based on our analysis, medical treatment with Azithromycin and Linezolid can be employed as the current standard of care for P. insidiosum. TPK still remains the mainstay of treatment of rapidly progressive and non-responsive disease. Our paper also highlights a planned diagnostic algorithm and treatment protocol [Fig. 1] which can be employed by the ophthalmologists while managing these difficult cases with good anatomical and functional outcomes.
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