Leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence patterns in leaves of mango as affected by low temperature degrees under field and laboratory conditions

Abstract

Low temperature is a major environmental and evolutionary driver that limits the geographical distribution of plant species worldwide. The relationship between low temperature stress and the response of some different mango cultivars was monitored on some physiological and biochemical events that occur following cold exposure of mango trees leaves. These changes were studied under in vitro (controlled temperatures). However, mango trees were tested to study the changes in leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence of some mango cultivars in response to exposure to low temperature. To verify this objective, 12 popular commonly mango cultivars (25 years old) which grown in private orchard in Fayoum Governorate, Egypt were selected for this study which carried out during the period from November to March of years; 2012 and 2013. The selected cultivars were: Alphonso, Baladi, Bullock’s Heart, Helmand, Hindi Besenarra, Mabrouka, Mestekawy, Nabeen, Owesi, Spates, Taimour and Zebda. Based on the obtained results, it can be stated that in cold storage trial; It was found that chlorophyll (a) level was the highest by Spates cultivar and the lowest one by the cultivar of Mabrouka at storage of 5°C and 10°C as well. Chlorophyll (b) level was the highest by the cultivar of Spates and the minimum one by Ewais cultivar at 5°C of storage while, the cultivars of Spates and Mabrouka given the highest and lowest level at 10°C of storage, respectively. Total chlorophyll values in leaves reached its maximal by the cultivar of Spates and the minimal by Mabrouka at 5°C and 10°C of storage. The obtained results explained that the level of carotenoids reached its peak at 5°C and 10°C of storage by the cultivars of Hindy Besenarra and bullock’s Heart, respectively. While, the lowest one was recorded by the cultivars of Zebda and Helmand, respectively. The levels of anthocyanin in leaves were significantly differ as affected by the cultivars and storage, indicating that the cultivars of Ewais and Taimour showed the highest levels of anthocyanin and the lowest ones were recorded by the cultivars of Zebda and Alphonso at 5°C and 10°C of storage, respectively. It can be seen that the highest decline in Fv/Fm ratio were given by the cultivar of Balady while, the higher ratio was recorded by Nabeen cultivar at 5°C of storage. At 10°C of storage, Nabeen cultivar gave the highest ratio of Fv/Fm and the lowest one was obtained by Mestekawy one. A noticeable decline in Fv/Fm values was observed as storage period (5°C and 10°C) was progressed reaching the minimum level by day 10 of storage.
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Introduction

Mango (Mangifera indica L.) is a popular, national tropical fruit, which is now one of the most important fruits crops in tropical and subtropical areas of the world.1 Mango occupies third place in total world production of major tropical fruit crops after citrus and banana.2 Environmental conditions outside the traditional areas for optimum growth of mango may impose stresses, which can result in physiological changes, reduced growth, or even permanent damage to the trees.3,4 Low temperature is acknowledged to be one of the most dominant environmental stresses that affect the growth, productivity and geographical distribution of plants.4,5 Exposure to low; nonfreezing temperatures induces genetic, morphological, metabolic and physiological changes in plants, which result in the development of cold hardiness and acquisition of freezing tolerance.6,7 A mango need an optimum temperature range of 24-26.7°C and minimum threshold temperature is 10-12°C below this plant shows chilling injury.18,19 Mango trees show high susceptibility to low temperature (0-15 °C). Young trees are damaged by low temperature variability among cultivars is apparent after a cold spell, but precise information on this subject is non-existent.1,2 The decline of photosynthetic capacity in low temperature is related to a decrease in the quantum efficiency of PSII.10 He added that, Photosynthetic apparatus PSII is the primary target of damage under low temperature.14 showed that coffee plants resulted in 30% reduction in Chlorophyll a, 27% reduction in Chlorophyll b, 29% reduction of total Chlorophyll when night temperature decreasing from 20°C to 8°C. Also in O. sativa, the total Chlorophyll content was reduced by 50% due to exposure to low temperature (10°C) for 2 weeks.15 In Carplants, 86% reduction of α-carotene, 57% reduction in β-carotene, 68% reduction in α/β-carotene ratio.15 The anthocyanin concentrations in both apple and peach shoots increased rapidly during cold acclimation reaching the peak value in early December. The anthocyanin may be accumulated in shoots as a result of leucoanthocyanidin conversion.16 Anthocyanin
accumulation in green leaves is mainly induced by environmental stresses such as low temperatures.\textsuperscript{17} Anthocyanins also function as antioxidants, helping maintain toxic reactive oxygen species at low steady-state levels in plants exposed to cold stress.\textsuperscript{18} This is consistent with previous studies indicating that anthocyanin accumulation in green leaves and stems is mainly induced by environmental stresses such as low temperatures and high light. A twofold accumulation of anthocyanins was observed in winter oilseed rape leaves grown in low temperatures for 3 weeks, which was hypothesized to act as a filter that shielded the mesophyll from excessive radiation.\textsuperscript{19} It has been reported that chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids content was decreased in plants when subjected to cold treatment. The proportion of closed PSII reaction centers increases as low temperature decreases the rate of photosynthesis. Low temperature also inhibits the rate at which PS II is repaired.\textsuperscript{20} At temperatures lower than 10°C, the effects are more pronounced because also membrane-bound chlorophyll may be destroyed by free radicals of oxygen despite the protective action of carotenoids.\textsuperscript{21} Chlorophyll fluorescence of PSII plays an important role in the response of leaf photosynthesis to environmental stresses.\textsuperscript{22} The technique measures changes in chlorophyll a fluorescence due to altered photosystem II (PSII) activity, caused directly or indirectly by stress.\textsuperscript{23} Ratios of the parameters \(F_v/F_m\), \(F_o\), and \(F_v/F_o\) provide estimates of various aspects of leaf photosynthetic and photochemical activities.\textsuperscript{24,25} \(F_v/F_m\) measurements from 0.78 to 0.85 are associated with healthy, non-stressed deciduous and evergreen trees.\textsuperscript{26} The \(F_v/F_m\) ratios for leaves of mango trees were 0.80±0.03, for indicating that the photosynthetic processes were functioning normally in autumn.\textsuperscript{27} The \(F_v/F_m\) ratios for mango trees were lower in winter when minimum daily temperatures decreased below 10°C compared to early fall when chilling did not occur. The lower \(F_v/F_m\) ratios indicated a reduced photochemical conversion efficiency of PSII,\textsuperscript{28} which can be an effect of photoinhibition at chilling temperatures.\textsuperscript{29} The use of chlorophyll fluorescence measurements as a screening method for cold tolerance has already been investigated in experiments in which genotypes were compared.\textsuperscript{30,31} Chlorophyll fluorescence has been used as a non-intrusive indicator of in vivo photosynthetic reactions and environmental stresses\textsuperscript{15} of various crop plants. Thus, chlorophyll fluorescence is an indirect measurement of the physiological status of green tissues.\textsuperscript{32–35} Chlorophyll fluorescence has been used to evaluate injuries caused by low temperatures in mango,\textsuperscript{36} cucumber\textsuperscript{37} and apples.\textsuperscript{38,39} Decline in chlorophyll fluorescence in mango is related to the loss of chlorophyll content and chloroplast competence.\textsuperscript{40} The ratio of \(F_v/F_m\) has an optimal value of 0.83. When exposed to abiotic and biotic stresses, \(F_v/F_m\) in plants will decrease.\textsuperscript{41} This parameter is therefore frequently used as a stress detector under environmental stress conditions, such as temperature.\textsuperscript{42} So, CF has been widely used to detect physiological status of plants under different abiotic stress, for example in the presence of nitrogen deficiency, cold and drought.\textsuperscript{43} Yet, research on the physiological basis of cold tolerance of mango has received more attention. Here we attempt to check the changes in leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence that occur following cold exposure.

### Materials and methods

A trial was independently conducted during 2012 and 2013, in a private orchard, Fayoum, Egypt, located at 29° 22’ N and 30° 47’ E. and in the laboratory of Agricultural Botany Dept., Faculty of Agric., Fayoum University. The experiment assess to evaluate the cold tolerance of some mango cultivars grown under Fayoum Governorate conditions and check the changes in leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence that occur following cold exposure.

### Climate of experiment site

At the site of experiment, temperatures fluctuated during the duration of study and five years before the beginning of the study. In this respect, the mean monthly maximum temperature (\(T_{\text{Max}}\)) ranging from about 17.7°C in January 2008 to about 40.2°C in August 2010 and the fluctuation in mean monthly minimum temperature (\(T_{\text{Min}}\)) was ranging from about 5.7°C in January 2007/2008 to about 25.2°C in August 2012. An extreme minimum temperature of 0.6°C was recorded in January 2008; however, there were large differences between the selected years (Table 1).

| Month/Year | Temp. | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| January    | Max   | 19   | 19   | 18   | 21   | 22   | 21   | 20   | 23   |
|            | Min.  | 7    | 6    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 8    | 7    | 10   |
| February   | Max   | 22   | 21   | 20   | 22   | 24   | 22   | 22   | 24   |
|            | Min.  | 8    | 8    | 7    | 6    | 8    | 9    | 8    | 10   |
| March      | Max   | 26   | 25   | 29   | 23   | 28   | 26   | 25   | 29   |
|            | Min.  | 10   | 10   | 12   | 8    | 11   | 10   | 12   | 13   |
| April      | Max   | 30   | 29   | 32   | 31   | 32   | 29   | 29   |      |
|            | Min.  | 13   | 13   | 14   | 13   | 14   | 14   | 14   |      |
| May        | Max   | 33   | 35   | 35   | 33   | 34   | 33   | 34   |      |
|            | Min.  | 17   | 18   | 18   | 17   | 17   | 17   | 18   |      |
| June       | Max   | 37   | 39   | 39   | 38   | 38   | 36   | 38   |      |
|            | Min.  | 20   | 21   | 22   | 20   | 21   | 21   | 22   |      |

### Table 1 The Monthly mean of maximum and minimum temperatures (open air-temperature) during the period from January 2006 to March 2013
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Table continue

| MontIH2/year | Temp. | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
|--------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| July         | Max.  | 37   | 39   | 38   | 39   | 36   | 39   | 40   |      |
|              | Min.  | 21   | 22   | 22   | 23   | 22   | 22   | 24   |      |
| August       | Max.  | 38   | 38   | 39   | 37   | 40   | 39   | 38   |      |
|              | Min.  | 22   | 22   | 22   | 22   | 25   | 23   | 25   |      |
| September    | Max.  | 35   | 34   | 36   | 35   | 36   | 36   | 35   |      |
|              | Min.  | 20   | 21   | 20   | 21   | 22   | 22   | 23   |      |
| October      | Max.  | 31   | 32   | 32   | 32   | 36   | 30   | 34   |      |
|              | Min.  | 17   | 18   | 17   | 18   | 21   | 18   | 22   |      |
| November     | Max.  | 24   | 27   | 27   | 25   | 31   | 27   | 28   |      |
|              | Min.  | 11   | 13   | 13   | 12   | 17   | 13   | 16   |      |
| December     | Max.  | 20   | 21   | 22   | 22   | 24   | 22   | 22   |      |
|              | Min.  | 8    | 8    | 9    | 9    | 10   | 10   | 11   |      |

*Data applied by Bureau of meteorology, agriculture ademonation, fayoum governorate, Egypt

Plant materials

The plant materials comprised 12 mango cultivars which collected from their natural growing location in the period of November 2012 to march 2013. This is approximately the time at which the cold hardiness may be occurring. Mango trees were about 25 years old, planted in a clay soil at 5x5 m apart. The cultivars were used in this study including most of the popular cultivars which grown in Fayoum Governorate. The tested cultivars were, Alphonso, Baladi, Bullock’s Heart, Helmand, Hindi Besennara, Mabrouka, Mestekawy, Nabeeh, Owesi, Spates, Taimour, and Zebda.

Laboratory trial (cold storage)

Studying cold tolerance in the field is difficult. Fields sites often exhibit either complete survival or complete winter kill. Because of this variability, the laboratory procedures to measure cold tolerance have been developed by a number of investigators. The results have usually been in a good agreement with field observations of natural cold injury. At 30th of November, the mature fully expanded leaves of each cultivar were collected, cleaned, washed and tewed dry then putted in a vinyl plastic bags and subjected to various low temperature treatments. In this respect, the collected leaves from each cultivar were divided in two batches; each one was composed of 10 leaves in three replicates. These batches were stored in a refrigerator at 5°C and 10°C in darkness and ten leaves were taken from each batch (three replicates) per cultivar every 2 days up to 10 days. Samples from these leaves were selected for physiological and biochemical studies. All treatments were applied in a factorial randomized complete block design with three replicates.

Leaf pigments and Carotenoids estimation

Chlorophyll (mg g\(^{-1}\)) was estimated adopting the procedure given by.\(^{100}\) mg of leaf sample from different cultivars were collected and homogenized in 25 ml of 80% acetone using mortar and pestle. The optical density of the acetone extract was measured at 663, 645 and 452 nm using visible recording spectrometer (Spectronic 20, Bausch & Lamb). Amount of chlorophyll a, b, total chlorophyll and total Carotenoids are calculated as follows:

Chlorophyll (A)=[12.7×E663]+(2.69 E645)]×0.25(mg g\(^{-1}\))

Chlorophyll (B)=[22.4×E645]-(4.68×E665)]×0.25 (mg g\(^{-1}\))

Total Chlorophyll=[(8.02×E663)+(20.2×E645)]×0.25 (mg g\(^{-1}\))

Carotenoids=[(4.57×E452)+(0.226×Total Chl.])×0.25 (mg g\(^{-1}\))

**Determination of total Anthocyanin**

Anthocyanin was determined colorimetrically in mango leaves according to Fuleki and Francis as follows:

0.1g from ground leaves were macerated with ethanol 95% and HCL 1.5 N (85:15 v/v) and filtered using a vacuum pump. The absorbency of extracted solution was measured at 535 nm using spectrophotometer (Spectronic 20 Boasch & lamb) acidified ethanol was used as the blank absorbance. Anthocyanin content was calculated in absolute quantity with the aid of the extinction coefficient (E1%1 cm 535 value for anthocyanin 98.2) established for the anthocyanin dissolved in the alcoholic solvent system mentioned. The total Anthocyanin is calculated using the following equation:

Total Anthocyanin mgs per 100g.=O.D\(_{535}\)×DW×100/SW×1/98.2

Where O.D=the optical density of the diluted sample.

DV=Diluted volume as the volume in mls of the diluted extract prepared for O.D measurements.

SW=sample weight in grams.

**Chlorophyll fluorescence assay (CF assay)**

At a room temperature, leaf chlorophyll fluorescence (CF) measurements were conducted on the mature fully expanded leaves of each tree using a portable pulse amplitude-modulated fluorometer (Handy PEA, Hansatech Instruments Ltd, Kings Lynn, UK). Fluorometer was used to obtain the minimal and maximal CF (F0 and Fm, respectively), Variable CF (Fv/Fm), and potential (maximum) quantum yield of PsII (Fv/Fm) (terminology according to Van Kooten and Snel.46 This ratio is used as an indicator of plant photosynthetic performance with optimal values around (0.83)). Before measuring CF parameters, Leaves were put in dark-adapted state for 20 min by
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attaching light exclusion clips to leaf surfaces in situ. Exitation light of 650 nm (Peak wave length) from a array of three light- emitting diodes is focused on the surface of the leaf to provide a homogenous illumination. Light intensity reaching the leaf was 3000 µmolm⁻²s⁻¹ which was sufficient to generate maximal fluorescence for all the treatments. In all cases, ten leaves (the same development stage) were chosen per tree and a total of 30 measurements per treatment were made. Assessment was made at 2 days intervals for cold storage treatment and monthly for the field samples.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the statistical software package of Genstat (version 11) (VSN International Ltd., Oxford, UK). Means comparison among the different treatments was performed using the least significant differences procedure (LSD) at the P≤ 0.05 level as illustrated by.

**Results**

The results of one season were discussed because no significant differences were observed between results of the studied seasons.

**Table 2 Chlorophyll (a) concentration (mg g⁻¹ FW) in leaves of some mango cultivars leaves after exposure to low temperature degrees (5°C and 10°C) at various storage periods (2 to 10 days)**

| Cultivars    | 0-time | 5°C | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Mean | 10°C | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | Mean |
|--------------|--------|-----|---|---|---|----|------|------|---|---|---|---|----|------|
| Alphonso     | 1.01   | 1.02| 0.94| 1.36| 1.43| 1.69| 1.29| 0.99| 0.64| 1.41| 0.87| 0.91| 0.96|
| Balady       | 1.46   | 1.7 | 1.52| 1.69| 1.92| 1.5 | 1.69| 1.92| 1.79| 1.87| 1.79| 2.43| 1.96|
| Bullock's Heart | 1.03  | 0.89| 1.57| 2.11| 2.39| 0.9 | 1.57| 1.37| 1.29| 1.75| 1.82| 2.09| 1.66|
| Helmand      | 2.23   | 1   | 0.95| 1.65| 1.7 | 1.52| 1.36| 1.18| 1.35| 1.21| 1.02| 1.17| 1.19|
| Hindy Besennara | 1.17   | 1.15| 1.36| 1.62| 1.84| 1.16| 1.43| 0.88| 1.12| 1.61| 1.57| 1.44| 1.32|
| Mabrouka     | 0.49   | 0.94| 0.95| 1.25| 1.02| 0.98| 1.03| 1.03| 0.81| 1.04| 0.78| 0.88| 0.91|
| Mestekawy    | 1.38   | 1.47| 1.05| 1.45| 1.27| 1.37| 1.32| 1.72| 1.84| 1.94| 1.47| 1.37| 1.67|
| Nabeeh       | 1.98   | 1.39| 1.58| 2.15| 1.98| 1.76| 1.77| 1.84| 1.94| 1.82| 2.07| 2.24| 1.98|
| Ewais        | 1.25   | 0.89| 1.46| 1.87| 1.91| 1.3 | 1.49| 1.29| 1.35| 1.35| 1.28| 0.89| 1.23|
| Spates       | 1.93   | 2.03| 2.1 | 1.84| 1.93| 1.87| 1.96| 2.42| 2.13| 2.03| 1.61| 1.86| 2.01|
| Taimour      | 1.52   | 1.38| 1.69| 1.72| 1.4 | 0.95| 1.43| 1.79| 1.77| 2.21| 1.25| 1.27| 1.66|
| Zebda        | 1.53   | 1.64| 1.21| 1.34| 1.17| 0.93| 1.26| 1.74| 1.73| 1.63| 1 | 1 | 1.42|
| Mean         | 1.45   | 1.29| 1.36| 1.67| 1.66| 1.33| 1.51| 1.48| 1.66| 1.38| 1.46|

Cultivars (A) 0.12  
Temperature (Co) (B) 0.05  
Storage period (day) (C) 0.08  
LSD (5%) (AxB) 0.18  
(BxC) 0.28  
(AxC) 0.11  
(AxBxC) 0.39

**Laboratory trial (Cold storage)**

1-Leaf pigments

Based on the results obtained here it can be state that leaf pigments content of different mango cultivars leaves were affected by low temperature either as degree or period of exposure.

**Chlorophyll a (Chl.a).**

Regarding to the effect of low temperature on Chl.a content of different cultivars (Table 2), it is notice that Chl.a concentration was significantly differed among the most of cultivars throughout the whole storage period at 5°C, 10°C. In this respect, at storage of 5°C, the highest value of Chl.a was recorded by Spates cultivar and the lowest one was given by the cultivar of Mabrouka. At storage of leaves at 10°C, the highest value of Chl.a was also shown by Spates cultivar and the lowest one was recorded by the cultivar of Mabrouka one. Here, the data shown that there is no a trend in the concentration of Chl.a with the progress of storage period or degree of temperature as well as comparing with leaf Chl.a concentration in zero time.
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Chlorophyll b (Chl.b).

The changes in Chl.b concentration in stored mango leaves at different temperature degrees are shown in (Table 3). Results obtained at storage of 5°C show that, at storage of 5°C, the cultivar of Spates recorded the highest concentration of Chl.b while, the lowest one was given by the cultivar of Ewais. At storage of 10°C, the highest concentration of Chl.b was recorded by the cultivar of Spates while, the lowest one was obtained by Mabrouka one. However, Chl.b concentration was significantly differed among the cultivars during the whole degrees storage period under the different degrees of temperature. Moreover, it can be noticed that leaf Chl.b concentration has not a pattern between the intervals of storage at 5°C and a similar trend was recorded to that exhibited by the stored leaves at 10°C.

Total chlorophyll (T.Chl.).

The influence of leaves storage at different degrees of temperature on T.chl. is demonstrated in (Table 4) which shows that leaves storage at temperature of 5°C or 10°C resulted in significant differences among the tested cultivars were recorded during the whole storage period under the different degrees of temperature (5°C or 10°C). However, the highest value of T.chl. at temperature of 5°C was exhibited by the cultivar of Spates and the lowest one by Mabrouka cultivar. While, at temperature of 10°C, the cultivar of Spates gave the highest value of T.chl. and the lowest value was detected by Mabrouka cultivar. The data indicate also that, the concentration of leaf T.chl. under storage of 5°C take the same trend of T.chl. concentration in stored leaves at 10°C, all of them have not any trend in between the periods of storage.

Table 3 Chlorophyll (b) concentration (mg g\(^{-1}\) FW) in leaves of some mango cultivars leaves after exposure to low temperature degrees (5°C and 10°C) at various storage periods (2 to 10 days)

| Cultivars       | 0-time | Temperature d storage periods (day) | Mean | 10°C | Mean |
|-----------------|--------|------------------------------------|------|------|------|
|                 |        | 2       | 4       | 6       | 8       | 10       | Mean |
| Alphonso        | 0.82   | 0.71    | 0.69    | 1.48    | 1.15    | 0.46    | 0.9   | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.82 | 0.8  | 0.64 | 0.73 |
| Balady          | 1.3    | 1.19    | 1.15    | 1.25    | 1.61    | 0.93    | 1.23  | 0.54 | 0.73 | 1.01 | 1.79 | 1.64 | 1.14 |
| Bullock's Heart | 0.96   | 0.56    | 1.26    | 0.81    | 0.83    | 0.58    | 0.81  | 0.64 | 0.59 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.07 | 0.95 |
| Helmand         | 1.48   | 0.7     | 0.9     | 0.5     | 1.2     | 0.92    | 0.86  | 1.06 | 0.75 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.01 |
| Hindy Besennara | 0.69   | 0.77    | 1.09    | 0.92    | 0.64    | 0.77    | 0.84  | 1.12 | 0.94 | 1.17 | 1.1  | 1.1  | 1.11 |
| Mabrouka        | 0.92   | 0.44    | 1.27    | 0.56    | 0.69    | 0.69    | 0.73  | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.45 |
| Mestekawy       | 1.1    | 0.97    | 1.47    | 0.7     | 0.69    | 0.53    | 0.87  | 1.03 | 0.8  | 0.87 | 1.05 | 1.14 | 0.98 |
| Nabeeh          | 1.55   | 0.99    | 0.86    | 0.99    | 1.43    | 1.17    | 1.09  | 1.59 | 1.34 | 1.17 | 0.77 | 1.03 | 1.18 |
| Ewais           | 0.88   | 0.51    | 0.82    | 0.29    | 0.28    | 0.68    | 0.52  | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.39 | 0.78 | 0.77 |
| Spates          | 1.54   | 1.52    | 1.51    | 1.09    | 1.07    | 1.29    | 1.3   | 1.59 | 1.89 | 1.34 | 1.28 | 1.19 | 1.46 |
| Taimour         | 1.4    | 1.61    | 1.38    | 1.44    | 1.09    | 0.79    | 1.26  | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.64 |
| Zebda           | 1.15   | 1.09    | 1.25    | 1.38    | 0.94    | 0.6    | 1.05  | 1.14 | 1.09 | 1.06 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 1.02 |
| Mean            | 1.15   | 0.92    | 1.14    | 0.95    | 0.97    | 0.78    | 0.95  | 0.9  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.99 |

LSD (5%)

Cultivars (A)

Temperature (Co) (B)

Storage period (day) (C)

(AxB)

(BxC)

(AxC)

(AxBxC)

0.11

0.05

0.07

0.15

0.24

0.1

0.35
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Carotenoids

The changes in carotenoids concentration in stored leaves of mango cultivars at different degrees of temperature are shown in (Table 5). Results obtained explain that the concentration of carotenoids reached its maximum level at the temperature of 5°C by the cultivars of Hindy Besennara and Zebda and the lowest was recorded by the cultivar of Bullock’s Heart. At the temperature of 10°C, the concentration of carotenoids in leaves reached its maximum level by Zebda cultivar while, the minimum level was recorded by Helmand one. However, the values of carotenoids were significantly differed among the cultivars at different degrees of temperature.

Anthocyanin

The results in Table 6 indicate the differences in anthocyanin concentration and anthocyanin fluorescence patterns in leaves of mango as affected by low temperature degrees under field and laboratory conditions. In this respect, Eaiss cultivar showed higher anthocyanin concentration than those recorded by the others and the lowest one was obtained by Alphonso. However, the period of exposing mango leaves to low temperature of 5°C and 10°C had a significant effect between the most periods and zero time but without consistent pattern.

2- Chlorophyll fluorescence (CF)

Results in Table 7 showed that the greatest reduction in \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) at the temperature of 5°C was exhibited by the cultivar of Balady while, the highest value of \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) was obtained by Nabeeh cultivar. However, the values of \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) ranging from 0.045-0.436. At the temperature of 10°C, the cultivar of Nabeeh given the highest value of \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) while, the lowest one was obtained by the Mestekawy cultivar. The values of \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) ranging from 0.009 to 0.726. Generally, the \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) was affected by storage temperature and vary significantly with cultivars.

At the same time, all the cultivars under the periods of storage gave the lower values in comparing with zero time when leaves exposed to 5°C or 10°C. In addition, a noticeable decrease in \( \text{Fv/Fm} \) values was observed as storage period (5°C or 10°C) was progressed reaching the minimum level by day 10.
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### Table 5: Carotenoids concentration (mg g\(^{-1}\) FW) in leaves of some mango cultivars leaves after exposure to low temperature degrees (5\(^{\circ}\)C and 10\(^{\circ}\)C) at various storage periods (2 to 10 days)

| Cultivars       | 0-time | Temperature & storage periods (day) | 5\(^{\circ}\)C | Mean | 10\(^{\circ}\)C | Mean |
|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
|                 | 2      | 4        | 6      | 8    | 10    |      | 2      | 4    | 6    | 8    | 10   | Mean |
| Alphonso        | 0.25   | 0.24     | 0.23   | 0.11 | 0.35 | 0.4   | 0.27   | 0.27 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 0.58 | 0.4  |
| Balady          | 0.24   | 0.3      | 0.37   | 0.41 | 0.31 | 0.3   | 0.34   | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.37 |
| Bullock’s Heart | 0.26   | 0.26     | 0.2    | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.4   | 0.26   | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.33 |
| Helmand         | 0.27   | 0.21     | 0.22   | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.4   | 0.35   | 0.33 | 0.3  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| Hindy Besennara | 0.33   | 0.23     | 0.33   | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.43  | 0.31   | 0.4  | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.3  | 0.35 |
| Mabrouka        | 0.24   | 0.31     | 0.14   | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.47  | 0.45   | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.3  | 0.35 |
| Mestekawy       | 0.27   | 0.27     | 0.27   | 0.36 | 0.5  | 0.46  | 0.37   | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.44 |
| Nabeeh          | 0.31   | 0.35     | 0.3   | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.43  | 0.36   | 0.33 | 0.3  | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| Ewais           | 0.28   | 0.27     | 0.34   | 0.5   | 0.26 | 0.24  | 0.32   | 0.33 | 0.3  | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Spates          | 0.31   | 0.31     | 0.31   | 0.5  | 0.42 | 0.43  | 0.39   | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.48 | 0.35 |
| Taimour         | 0.28   | 0.15     | 0.38   | 0.3   | 0.39 | 0.39  | 0.32   | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.36 |
| Zebda           | 0.33   | 0.32     | 0.52   | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.45  | 0.5    | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.67 | 0.54 |
| Mean            | 0.28   | 0.26     | 0.29   | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.41  | 0.37   | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.4  | 0.39 |

LSD (5%)

| Cultivars (A) | Temperature (Co) (B) | Storage period (day) (C) | (AxB) | (BxC) | (AxC) | (AxBxC) |
|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| 0.05          | 0.02                 | 0.03                     | 0.07  | 0.11  | 0.05  | 0.16    |

### Table 6: Anthocyanin concentration (mg g\(^{-1}\) FW) in leaves of some mango cultivars leaves after exposure to low temperature degrees (5\(^{\circ}\)C and 10\(^{\circ}\)C) at various storage periods (2 to 10 days)

| Cultivars       | 0-time | Temperature & storage periods (day) | 5\(^{\circ}\)C | Mean | 10\(^{\circ}\)C | Mean |
|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|------|
|                 | 2      | 4        | 6      | 8    | 10    |      | 2      | 4    | 6    | 8    | 10   | Mean |
| Alphonso        | 0.44   | 0.44     | 0.5    | 0.44 | 0.6   | 0.74 | 0.54   | 0.3  | 0.61 | 0.42 | 0.71 | 0.86 | 0.58 |
| Balady          | 0.44   | 0.43     | 0.58   | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.81 | 0.58   | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.57 | 0.73 | 0.74 | 0.61 |
| Bullock’s Heart | 0.41   | 0.38     | 0.43   | 0.46 | 0.61 | 0.76 | 0.53   | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 1.09 | 0.71 |
| Helmand         | 0.48   | 0.34     | 0.56   | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.88 | 0.57   | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.6  | 0.68 | 0.88 | 0.66 |
| Hindy Besennara | 0.36   | 0.46     | 0.74   | 0.39 | 0.8  | 0.89 | 0.66   | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.72 | 0.8  | 0.62 |
| Mabrouka        | 0.49   | 0.6      | 0.6    | 0.47 | 0.6  | 0.93 | 0.64   | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.46 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.64 |
| Mestekawy       | 0.43   | 0.48     | 0.52   | 0.59 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 0.67   | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 0.6  |
| Nabeeh          | 0.41   | 0.42     | 0.55   | 0.61 | 0.76 | 0.87 | 0.64   | 0.55 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.56 | 0.97 | 0.64 |
| Ewais           | 0.42   | 0.56     | 0.8    | 0.47 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.71   | 0.52 | 0.62 | 0.51 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.66 |
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Leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence patterns in leaves of mango as affected by low temperature degrees under field and laboratory conditions

Table 7 Changes of Fv/Fm values of chlorophyll fluorescence in leaves of some mango cultivars leaves after exposure to low temperature degrees (5°C and 10°C) at various storage periods (2 to 10 days)

| Cultivars     | 0-time         | Temperature d storage periods (day) | Mean       | 10°C  | Mean       |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|
|               |                | 5°C                                 | 2  4  6  8 10 |        | 2  4  6  8 10 |        |
| Spates        | 0.37           | 0.49 0.59 0.38 0.6 0.97 0.61       | 0.6 0.53 0.48 0.76 0.76 0.63 |       |           |
| Taimour       | 0.37           | 0.54 0.5 0.54 0.57 0.93 0.62       | 0.74 0.68 0.65 0.89 0.96 0.78 |       |           |
| Zebda         | 0.4            | 0.29 0.51 0.56 0.57 0.11 0.41      | 0.6 0.58 0.74 0.85 0.81 0.72 |       |           |
| Mean          | 0.42           | 0.45 0.57 0.49 0.66 0.82         | 0.54 0.58 0.58 0.73 0.73 0.84 |       |           |

| LSD (5%)      | Cultivars (A)  | 0.04                                |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Temperature (Co) (B) |             | 0.01                                |
| Storage period (day) (C) |         | 0.02                                |
| (AxB)         |                | 0.05                                |
| (BxC)         |                | 0.08                                |
| (AxC)         |                | 0.03                                |
| (AxBxC)       |                | 0.11                                |

Citation: Gadallah FM, El-Yazal MAS, Abdel-Samad GA, et al. Leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence patterns in leaves of mango as affected by low temperature degrees under field and laboratory conditions. *Horticult Int J.* 2020;4(1):16-26. DOI: 10.15406/hij.2020.04.00151
Discussion

Chilling temperatures (lower than 15°C) lead to numerous physiological disturbances in the cells of chilling-sensitive plant and result in chilling injury and death of tropical and subtropical.\(^{6,51}\) Obviously, the problem of plant resistance to chilling temperature, which often occurs in spring and autumn in many countries, is important for practical plant breeding. A change in the Egyptian climate has already been observed during the last decades, and this is expected to continue throughout this century. This change in climate affects the behavior of fruit trees and their productivity. In 2008, Egypt was exposed to a wave of frost that caused severe damage to mango trees; Hence, there is a need to know which cultivars are resistant to winter cold.\(^{52}\)

As a result of this sensitivity, low temperature produce a range of physiological and metabolic disorders that lead to serious losses. The various dysfunctions that arise under low temperature conditions result in various physical and metabolic changes that are easily scored and which can therefore be used to assess the degree of chilling injury. Thus, the aim of this trial is to study the change in leaf pigments and chlorophyll fluorescence as indicators for determine index of some mango cultivars and to know which of them are resistant to chilling temperatures.

Leaf pigments

In fresh leaf samples, the concentration of leaf pigments; chlorophyll a, b, total, carotenoids and anthocyanin increased in some cultivars than the others specially. Under cold storage conditions (Tables 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6), the highest values of leaf pigments were given by the cultivars of Spates (Chl. a, b and total); Mabrouka and Zebda (carotenoids); Ewaiss (anthocyanin) at 5°C. At 10°C, the highest ones were recorded by the cultivars of Spates (Chl. a, b and total) and Zebda (carotenoids and anthocyanin). But the lowest ones by Mabrouka (Chl. a and total); Ewaiss (Chl. b); Bullock’s Heart (carotenoids) and Zebda (anthocyanin) at 5°C. In other words, at 10°C, the lowest ones were estimated by Mabrouka (Chl. a, b and total); Helmand (carotenoids) and Alphonso (Anthocyanin).

Based on the previously obtained results the change in chlorophyll concentrations in some cultivars may be attributed to increase activity of chlorophyll-degrading enzyme chlorophyllase under low temperature stress conditions and may by inhibition of their biosynthesis.\(^{53}\) At low temperatures, the low chlorophyll content can be seen as an indication for a deficiency of photosynthetic capacity of the leaves.\(^{50,54}\) Partelli et al.\(^{42}\) showed that coffee plants resulted in 30% reduction in chlorophyll a, 27% reduction in chlorophyll b, and 50% reduction of total chlorophyll when night temperature decreasing from 20°C to 8°C and by 50% reduction due to exposure to low temperature (10°C) for 2 weeks.\(^{13}\) Decrease of photosynthesis at chilling temperatures may be a consequence of photo-oxidative damage to the photosystems in the membranes of chloroplasts which is manifested by increased degradation of chlorophyll and carotenoids.\(^{44}\) Carotenoids and consequently, chlorophyll a and b deplete after a lag time of 3 to 6 hours of exposure to low temperatures (Wise and Naylor, 1987).

The anthocyanin accumulate in leaves and stems in response to low temperatures. It has been reported that cold stress induces transcriptomic modification that increase flavonoid biosynthesis, including reactions involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis and metabolic pathways that supply it. It is conceivable that enhanced cold tolerance of plants may be partly be associated with increased activity of antioxidant system and photo-oxidation prevention from induced anthocyanins which accumulate in leaves under low temperatures.\(^{17}\)

In fact, the low temperature in autumn induced an increase in the activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase in persimmon shoots. The activity of this enzyme reached its maximum level during the period from autumn to winter.\(^{55}\) These results are in agreement with the findings of researchers; Luo and Wang\(^{18}\) & Pennycooke et al.\(^{19}\)

Chlorophyll fluorescence (CF)

The relationship between low temperature stress and the responses of different mango cultivars which tested in this study was monitored on changes in its fluorescence yields as expressed in Fv/Fm through the application of a non-destructive technique-chlorophyll fluorescence.

Fv/Fm values that were higher than 0.6 were classified as chilling tolerant and values starting from 0.5 and below as chilling sensitive.\(^{57}\) Hence, under field conditions, the all tested cultivars of mango are chilling tolerant with the highest value of Fv/Fm by the cultivars of Mestekawy, Spates and Zebda and the lowest ones by the cultivar of Alphonso (Table 7). Additionally, the explosion of plants to low temperatures (5°C and 10°C) (storage trail) which induce chilling injury causes a fast decrease in the CF value and a significant decrease in Fv/Fm values for all mango cultivars was observed during all the periods of cold storage at 5°C and 10°C (Table 7). This agrees with the onset of chilling injury in crops of tropical origin.\(^{57}\) Applying the previous standard (Fv/Fm <0.6=chilling tolerant and <0.5=chilling sensitive), the cultivar of Zebda and Nabeen are chilling tolerant at 5°C and 10°C, orderly, but Balady and Mestekawy ones are chilling sensitive. In this respect, the geographic of the mango cultivar influence the resulting changes in fluorescence yields. The genotype or the parental lines mango cultivars transfers traits that will be intensified upon exposure to low temperature stress, such as better ROS scavenging capacity or higher unsaturation of fatty acids.\(^{24}\) Decline in Fv/Fm values were attributed to a rise in stomatal limitation of net CO\(_2\) assimilation rate and a decrease Rubisco activity.\(^{76}\) However, leaf detachment acts by hastening the decrease of chlorophyll as reported by Potvin\(^{40}\) while, studying its effects on bean, maize and cucumber. In this respect, Fv/Fm measurements from 0.78-0.85 are associated with healthy, non-stressed delicious and evergreen trees\(^{45}\) or near 0.80.\(^{50}\)

The Fv/Fm ratios for leaves of mango trees were 0.80±0.03, for indicating that photosynthetic processes were functioning normally in autumn. The Fv/Fm ratios for mango trees were lower in winter when minimum daily temperatures decreased below 10°C compared to early splitting and degradation of the protein of PSII.\(^{53}\) The decline of CF under low-temperature stress was probably due to chlorophyll degradation and also to the degree of chloroplast membrane injury.\(^{56}\)

Thus, the chlorophyll fluorescence provides a tool to classify the impact of low temperature on basic physiological processes. As such the method may improve the basis for genetic analysis of yield reduction due to low temperature treatments fall when chilling did not occur.\(^{77}\) The lower Fv/Fm ratios indicated a reduced photochemical conversion efficiency of PSII,\(^{25}\) which can be an effect of photoinhibition at chilling temperatures.\(^{29}\)

The ratio of Fv/Fm has an optimal value of 0.83 when exposed to abiotic and biotic stresses; Fv/Fm in plants will decrease.\(^{49}\) This parameter is therefore, frequently used as stress detector under environmental stress conditions, such as low temperature.\(^{44}\) Low-temperature induced inhibition also involves reduced electron transfer.
via PSII due to down-regulation of water. The decrease in \( F_{v}/F_{m} \) was associated with decreases in both \( F_{0} \) and \( F_{m} \), these parameters represent the fluorescence yield when all reaction centers of PSII are open and closed, respectively.\(^{46} \) Decreases in \( F_{m} \) indicate an increase in heat formation in both the reaction center and antenna of PSII,\(^ {63} \) whereas changes in \( F_{0} \) are due to structural alterations in the thylakoid membranes.\(^ {64} -^{66} \)
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