Vigilin protein Vgl1 is required for heterochromatin-mediated gene silencing in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*
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Heterochromatin is a conserved feature of eukaryotic genomes and regulates various cellular processes, including gene silencing, chromosome segregation, and maintenance of genome stability. In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, heterochromatin formation involves methylation of lysine 9 in histone H3 (H3K9), which recruits Swi6/HP1 proteins to heterochromatic loci. The Swi6/HP1–H3K9me3 chromatin complex lies at the center of heterochromatic macromolecular assemblies and mediates many functions of heterochromatin by recruiting a diverse set of regulators. However, additional factors may be required for proper heterochromatin organization, but they are not fully known. Here, using several molecular and biochemical approaches, we report that Vgl1, a member of a large family of multiple KH-domain proteins, collectively known as vigilins, is indispensable for the heterochromatin-mediated gene silencing in *S. pombe*. ChIP analysis revealed that Vgl1 binds to pericentromeric heterochromatin in an RNA-dependent manner and that Vgl1 deletion leads to loss of H3K9 methylation and Swi6 recruitment to centromeric and telomeric heterochromatic loci. Furthermore, we show that Vgl1 interacts with the H3K9 methyltransferase, Clr4, and that loss of Vgl1 impairs Clr4 recruitment to heterochromatic regions of the genome. These findings uncover a novel role for Vgl1 as a key regulator in heterochromatin-mediated gene silencing in *S. pombe*.

The eukaryotic genome is spatially segregated in the nucleus into discrete structural and functional chromatin domains (1–5). The genome is organized into euchromatin and heterochromatin distinguished on the basis of their appearance, organization, localization, function, and the type of histone modifications on nucleosomes (6, 7). Heterochromatin is a typically condensed chromatin structure refractory to transcriptional machinery and is important for various cellular processes, including regulation of gene expression, chromosome segregation, dosage compensation, and maintenance of genome stability by inhibiting unwanted recombination between repetitive DNA elements (8, 9). Across species, assembly of heterochromatin involves coordinating activities of small noncoding RNAs associated with the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway and chromatin-modifying machinery (10–13). In fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* heterochromatin is found at the telomeres, the mating-type and ribosomal DNA loci, and the pericentromeric region. The centromeres are composed of a central core region, which is excluded from heterochromatin-specific factors, and modifications flanked by innermost repeat (imr) and outer (otr) repeat (14–19). These repeats are transcribed into dsRNAs by RNA pol II and are further processed into siRNAs by RNAi factors, including Argonaute (Ago1), Dicer (Dcr1), and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (Rdp1). RNA transcripts act as a scaffold for the assembly of RNAi and chromatin-modifying factors that initiate the formation of heterochromatin (20–23). Deletion of fission yeast RNAi proteins like Dcr1, Rdp1, and Argonaute results in loss of heterochromatin gene silencing (24–27). Histone H3 lysine 9 methylation (H3K9me), mediated by a conserved Clr4 methyltransferase, is specifically localized in heterochromatic regions of the genome. H3K9 methylation creates binding sites for HP1 proteins Swi6 and Chp2, which are critical for heterochromatin gene silencing (28–36). Nucleation and spreading of H3K9 methylation depends on two distinct processes: an RNAi-dependent pathway mediates low levels of H3K9me, and an RNAi-dependent mechanism boosts this methylation. In the absence of RNAi, H3K9me fails to spread, and silencing of reporter genes inserted within the centromeres is abrogated (28, 37, 38). In addition to RNAi, H3K9me, and Swi6, other additional factors have also been associated with heterochromatin gene silencing (39, 40). Recently, we have reported that Lem2–Nur1 inner nuclear membrane complex is essential for heterochromatin gene silencing (41). Tandem affinity purification of Lem2 or Nur1 identified Vgl1, a predicted heterochromatin protein, as an interacting partner. Vgl1 is an evolutionarily-conserved protein from the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Scp160) to *Drosophila* (DDP1) and vertebrates (Vigilin) and belongs to a large family of multiple KH-domain proteins, collectively known as vigilins (42, 43). KH domains are involved in protein–protein and protein–nucleic acid interactions. Several KH domain-con-
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Vgl1 Is Required for Heterochromatin Integrity

Recently, we have identified an inner nuclear membrane protein complex Lem2–Nur1 as important for heterochromatin in S. pombe. Our data show that Vgl1 interacts with H3K9 methyltransferase, Clr4, and deletion of Vgl1 impairs the recruitment and activity of heterochromatin machinery. Taken together, our findings reveal a previously unknown role of Vgl1 as an essential component in heterochromatic gene silencing in S. pombe.

Results

Vgl1 Is Required for Heterochromatin Integrity

In Drosophila, the vigilin homologue, DDP1 localizes to heterochromatin, and depletion of DDP1 results in changed nuclear morphology and defects in heterochromatin due to aberrant pericentromeric heterochromatin formation. DDP1-deleted cells show that suppression of the heterochromatin-induced position affects variegation and mislocalization of HP1. In S. cerevisiae, Scp160p, which is a vigilin homologue, has been shown to be important for chromosome segregation as deletion causes chromosome segregation defects. However, the role of Vgl1 in heterochromatin gene silencing in S. pombe is not known. Here, we report that Vgl1 is essential for heterochromatin integrity in S. pombe.

Figure 1. Vgl1 is essential for heterochromatin integrity. A. coimmunoprecipitation experiments followed by Western blot analysis showing interaction between Lem2 and Vgl1. Cells expressing Lem2–TAP and Vgl1–HA were lysed, and Lem2–TAP was pulled down using anti-TAP antibody. Immunoprecipitated fractions were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-HA antibodies. B. schematic diagram of centromere 1 of S. pombe depicting central core region (cnt1), imr, and otr containing dg and dh repeats. Position of the cent1:ura+ marker gene at imr1R is also shown in the diagram. C. 10-fold serial dilution plating assays of WT (WT) and vgl1Δ were used to observe gene silencing of imr1R:ura4 on counter-selective FOA medium; clr4Δ strain was used as a positive control. D–F, qRT-PCR analysis of cen1 dg, dh, and reporter imr:ura+ transcript levels relative to a control transcript act1+. G, 10-fold serial dilution plating assays used to examine gene silencing of otr:ade6+ in WT and Vgl1-deleted cells. WT cells form red colonies on limiting adenine plates, whereas loss of heterochromatin silencing leads to white colonies. H, qRT-PCR analysis of ade6+ transcript levels in the indicated strains. The error bars represent standard deviation from three independent experiments, and all the values were normalized to act1. I, ChIP analysis of pol II occupancy at dg repeats in WT and vgl1Δ background with clr4Δ as a positive control. J, plating assay showing sensitivity of vgl1Δ cells to the microtubule-destabilizing drug TBZ.
gene silencing and its localization toward nuclear periphery (40, 41). Tandem affinity purifications of Lem2 or Nur1 identified Vgl1 as an interacting partner (Fig. 1A). To gain insights about the role of the Vgl1 in heterochromatin gene silencing, we deleted vgl1 in a parental strain in which the native ura4 gene was deleted, and the ura4+ reporter gene was inserted at centromeric otr1R region (Fig. 1B). The silencing of ura4+ by heterochromatin enables cells to grow on media containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA), a drug toxic to cells that express ura4+. As shown in Fig. 1C, deletion of vgl1 leads to loss of silencing of the otr1R::ura4+ reporter as determined by growth on media containing FOA. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of the otr1R::ura4+ reporter or endogenous transcripts originating from the dg and dh elements of centromeres confirmed the silencing phenotypes observed in vgl1-deleted cells (Fig. 1, D–F). We used clr4Δ as a positive control where heterochromatin is completely disrupted (20, 28). We further examined the stability of heterochromatin upon vgl1 depletion in cells having ade6+ reporter gene integrated at centromere (otr1:: ade6+). The silencing of ade6+ results in the formation of red colonies when cells are grown in low-adenine medium and disruption of heterochromatin results in the formation of white colonies. On low Ade plates, vgl1 loss leads to formation of white colonies, suggesting disruption of heterochromatin (Fig. 1G). ade6+ reporter gene derepression was verified by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) to examine Ade6+ transcript levels (Fig. 1H). Consistent with plating assays and quantitative RT-PCR results, polymerase II occupancy at centromeres increases in vgl1-deleted cells (Fig. 1I). Heterochromatin integrity at centromeres is essential for faithful chromosome segregation, and loss of centromeric heterochromatin causes defects in chromosome segregation during mitosis and exhibits increased incidence of lagging chromosomes and hypersensitivity to the microtubule-destabilizing agent thiabendazole (TBZ) (52). The segregation defect is due to incorrect sister chromatid orientation and the defective attachment of the kinetochore to the spindle (20, 53, 54). We observed that cells lacking vgl1 showed hypersensitivity to the microtubule-destabilizing drug TBZ (Fig. 1J). These results are consistent with the role of Scp160p in S. cerevisiae, where its deletion results in ploidy as well as in Drosophila and humans, where knockdown of vigilin causes defects in chromosome condensation and chromosome lagging during cell division. The overall results indicate that Vgl1 is essential for heterochromatic gene silencing at pericentromeric heterochromatin and for normal functioning of centromeres.

Vgl1 binds to pericentromeric heterochromatin and regulates H3K9 methylation and Swi6 recruitment

To gain further insight into the function of Vgl1 in heterochromatin organization and to see whether the silencing by Vgl1 is direct, we generated strains expressing functional C-terminally HA-tagged Vgl1. ChIP analysis of Vgl1 showed that it binds to pericentromeric regions (Fig. 2, A and B). Furthermore, the HA-tagged Vgl1 strain was functional as confirmed by dilution assay (Fig. 2C). One of the key steps in S. pombe heterochromatin formation involves methylation of H3K9 by Clr4 methyltransferase, which is a hallmark of constitutive heterochromatin in most eukaryotes. This methylation acts as a landing platform for heterochromatin protein Swi6, which is important for heterochromatin formation and spreading (28–36). To gain more insights about the molecular mechanism of how Vgl1 regulates heterochromatin gene silencing, we looked at the impact of vgl1 deletion on H3K9 methylation and Swi6 enrichment at centromeric heterochromatin. ChIP against H3K9me2 in cells lacking Vgl1 shows complete loss of H3K9 methylation from heterochromatric regions (Fig. 3, A and B). Consistent with H3K9 methylation data, Swi6 levels in vgl1-deleted cells were abolished at native heterochromatric regions (dg and dh, Fig. 3, C and D). In addition, ChIP analysis showed that like native heterochromatric regions, cells lacking vgl1 showed reduced levels of H3K9 methylation and Swi6 enrichment over the reporter gene (otr1R::ura4+, Fig. 3, E and F) indicating a defect in the integrity of pericentromeric heterochromatin. Furthermore, H3K9 methylation and Swi6 loss in vgl1-deleted cells is comparable with that of clr4Δ cells. In addition, decreased levels of Swi6 binding to chromatin in cells lacking Vgl1 are not due to decreased Swi6 protein levels (Fig. 3G). To further test whether loss of vgl1 impacts modifications associated with transcriptional activation at pericentromeric heterochromatin, we measured H3K14 acetylation levels in WT and vgl1-deleted cells by ChIP. Consistent with a drop in H3K9 methylation and Swi6 recruitment, we observed that the acetylation (H3K14ac) levels show a 5-fold increase in vgl1 mutant cells compared with that in the WT at pericentric regions (Fig. 3H). These findings suggest that Vgl1 regulates pericentromeric gene silencing directly by associating with the centromeric heterochromatin and is required to maintain integrity and repressive chromatin modifications at centromeric heterochromatin. These results are consistent with the Drosophila homologue DDP1, which has been previously shown to colocalize with HP1, and the
loss of DDP1 showed strong reduction in H3K9 methylation (45–48).

**Vgl1 regulates Clr4 binding to pericentromeric heterochromatin**

Loss of H3K9 methylation and Swi6 recruitment to chromatin in vgl1Δ cells allowed us to speculate whether Vgl1 plays a role in recruitment of H3K9 methyltransferase, Clr4, to the pericentromeric heterochromatin and whether loss of vgl1 impairs Clr4 recruitment to heterochromatin. To test this, we deleted vgl1 in a strain expressing N-terminally FLAG-tagged Clr4 and looked at the binding of Clr4 in vgl1-deleted cells by ChIP. Interestingly, ChIP experiments show that FLAG–Clr4 association with centromeres was reduced to background levels in vgl1-deleted cells (Fig. 4, A and B). Furthermore, immunoblot analysis showed that decreased binding of Clr4 to heterochromatin in Vgl1-depleted cells is not due to decreased levels of Clr4 protein levels, which are similar to WT cells (Fig. 4C). These results suggest that Vgl1 controls H3K9 methylation by directly regulating the recruitment of Clr4 to the centromeric heterochromatin.

**Vgl1 is required for integrity of telomeric heterochromatin**

Apart from centromeres, heterochromatin domains in *S. pombe* are also found at telomeres, where an alternative pathway independent of RNAi works for establishment of heterochromatin. To gain further insights about the role of Vgl1 in telomeric heterochromatin organization, we looked at the expression of the subtelomeric gene, *tlh1*, in WT and vgl1-deleted cells, as shown in Fig. 5A, and loss of vgl1 causes derepression of the *tlh1* gene. Furthermore, ChIP analysis of Vgl1 showed that it binds to telomeric heterochromatin, and in tune with silencing defect at telomeres, vgl1-deficient cells show increased polymerase II occupancy and higher levels of histone acetylation at telomeric heterochromatin (Fig. 5, B–D). In addition, cells lacking vgl1 display reduced levels of H3K9 methylation and Swi6 enrichment to telomeric heterochromatin loci.
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Figure 4. Vgl1 regulates Clr4 binding to pericentromeric heterochromatin. A and B, ChIP–qPCR analysis of Clr4–FLAG binding to pericentromeric heterochromatin in the indicated strains. C, immunoblot of Clr4 protein levels in WT and vgl1Δ cells indicating that impairment of Clr4 recruitment to chromatin in Vgl1-deleted cells is not due to change in Clr4 protein levels.

(Fig. 5, E and F). Like centromeric heterochromatin, loss of Vgl1 impairs recruitment of Clr4 to telomeric regions of the genome (Fig. 5G). These results suggest that Vgl1 is essential for maintaining the silencing of telomeric heterochromatin in the same pathway as at centromeres.

Vgl1 interacts with H3K9 histone methyltransferase Clr4

To gain further insights into the regulation of H3K9 methylation and recruitment of Clr4 to pericentromeric heterochromatin by Vgl1, we carried out protein–protein docking studies (Fig. 6, A–D), followed by extensive interaction profile generation, which suggest that Vgl1 and Clr4 interact through various interactions, including hydrogen bonding, salt bridges, and other nonbonded contacts. Our protein–protein interface analysis indicated that 34 amino acid residues of Vgl1 and 27 residues of Clr4 interact with each other, forming 12 salt bridges, 31 hydrogen bonds, and 250 nonbonded contacts (Fig. 6, E–G). Vgl1 has 12 KH domains ranging from KH1 to KH12 (42, 43), whereas Clr4 possesses chromo-domain and SET domains (28–36). Our in silico predictions suggest that chromo-domain of Clr4 and the KH5 domain of Vgl1 are the most critical for interaction. This conclusion is drawn from the profile of residue interactions across the interface where out of the 27 interacting residues of Clr4, 16 belong to chromo-domain (residues 8–69 of chain B) and one amino acid residue, Arg-346, belongs to its SET domain (residues 328–452 of B chain). Regarding Vgl1 among the 35 interacting residues, 17 belong to the KH5 domain (residues 575–644 of chain A), seven to the KH3 domain (339–405 of chain A), three to the KH4 (416–486) and KH6 domains (658–726), and one (Glu-322) to the KH2 domain (236–328 of chain A) Fig. 6, F and G.

To validate our protein–protein docking studies, we used coimmunoprecipitation assays to test whether Vgl1 physically associates with the H3K9 histone methyltransferase Clr4. We performed immunoprecipitation experiments from cells that expressed functional C-terminally HA-tagged Vgl1 and N-terminally Myc-tagged Clr4 expressed under the control of their endogenous promoters. As shown in Fig. 7A, immunoprecipitation with anti-Myc antibody followed by Western blotting with anti-HA antibody showed that Vgl1 associates with Clr4. Reciprocal immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibody followed by Western blotting with anti-Myc antibody confirmed the physical interaction between Clr4 and Vgl1 (Fig. 7B). To further validate our in silico and coimmunoprecipitation data and to test whether Vgl1 directly interacts with Clr4, we performed GST pulldown assays with recombinant GST–Clr4 and His tagged Vgl1. As shown in Fig. 7C, Vgl1 efficiently binds to Clr4 in vitro. These results show that Vgl1 regulates H3K9 methylation by directly interacting with Clr4 and acts as a key protein that connects the CLRC complex to centromeric heterochromatin.

Vgl1 relies on direct RNA binding for recruitment to centromeric heterochromatin

The Vgl1/Vigilin family of proteins contain multiple tandemly arranged KH domains. KH domains are one of the best-characterized family of RNA-binding proteins. We next examined whether the binding of Vgl1 to heterochromatin is RNA-dependent. To specifically test the contribution of RNA to Vgl1 localization to pericentromeric heterochromatin, we modified the ChIP protocol by treating the cell lysate with RNase for 30 min before immunoprecipitation (Fig. 8A). We found that RNase treatment significantly reduced the Vgl1 recruitment to heterochromatic regions compared with undigested control cells (Fig. 8, B and C). To gain further insights about the functional relationship between Vgl1 and RNAi machinery, we carried out ChIP analysis to look at the binding of Vgl1 to centromeric heterochromatin in WT and dcr1Δ cells. Interestingly, like the RNase data, association of Vgl1 with chromatin was significantly reduced in dcr1Δ cells (Fig. 8D). These results suggest that like other RNAi-directed chromatin components, Vgl1 association with centromeric heterochromatin depends on the siRNA pathway and suggests that RNAi components are required for Vgl1 association with heterochromatin.

Discussion

Chromatin within the cell nucleus is segregated into euchromatin and heterochromatin distinguished on the basis of their
appearance, structure, localization, and function. This spatio-temporal distribution of chromatin domains correlates with their functional state (6–9). In fission yeast, *S. pombe* heterochromatin domains are found at the telomeres, mating-type and ribosomal DNA loci, and the pericentromeric region. Establishment of proper heterochromatin at centromeres is critical for chromosome segregation as it provides a binding platform for kinetochore assembly (14–19). The assembly of heterochromatin relies on a complex interplay between RNAi factors, chromatin-modifying proteins, and nonhistone proteins that are important for the establishment of heterochromatin and for its maintenance (20–23, 39, 40). However, there is a significant gap in our understanding of the full array of nonhistone proteins that coordinate with chromatin-modifying and RNAi machinery in the heterochromatin organization in *S. pombe*. In this study, we have provided the first characterization of a novel gene, Vgl1, with a pivotal role in heterochromatic gene-silencing in *S. pombe*. Whereas Vgl1 is known to play an essential role in various cellular processes like heterochromatin formation in *Drosophila*, chromosome segregation in *S. cerevisiae*, mRNA stability, and regulation of the imprinted genes *Igf2* and *H19* through its association with the CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) (42–51). This is the first time Vgl1 has been shown to be essential for heterochromatin formation in *S. pombe*. Our results show that loss of vgl1 leads to heterochromatin derepression at centromeres and integrated *ura4* and *Ade6* reporter genes. The silencing phenotypes are comparable with that of *clr4* deletion (Fig. 1). Vgl1 mutant cells also show segregation defects due to instability of heterochromatin at centromeres. These results are consistent with the role of
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Figure 7. Vgl1 interacts with H3K9 histone methyltransferase Clr4 in vivo. A and B, Western blot analysis of coimmunoprecipitation experiments shows that myc–Clr4 immunoprecipitates Vgl1–HA. Cell extracts prepared from myc–Clr4 and HA–Vgl1 or from untagged strains were incubated with anti-Myc antibody, and immunoprecipitated fractions were analyzed by Western blotting with anti-HA antibodies. C, GST pulldown assay showing the association of Clr4 and the Vgl1. GST is used as a negative control. After the washes, input and bound fractions were run on a 10% SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting using anti-His and anti-GST antibodies.

Figure 6. Molecular docking of Vgl1 and Clr4. A and B, refined models of Clr4 and Vgl1. The respective sequences of Vgl1 and Clr4 proteins were retrieved from Universal Protein Resource (UniPROT), and then models were generated using the futuristic software I-TASSER. As a rule of thumb, the crude models were refined using the GalaxyRefine and ModRefiner, respectively. GalaxyRefine improves both the local and global quality of protein models. ModRefiner substantially improves the physical quality of local structures and is regarded as a high-resolution protein structure refinement algorithm. C, stereochemical quality of refined Clr4 model was checked by Ramachandran plot. This plot was generated using PROCHECK of Structure Analysis and Verification Server (SAVES)-server. This plot provides insights not only regarding residue by residue geometry but also about the overall structure geometry. Refined model of Clr4 showed 77.8% residues in most favored regions, 24.5% residues in additionally-allowed regions, 4.4% residues in generously-allowed regions, and only 2.4% residues in disallowed regions. D, Ramachandran plot of refined Vgl1 generated through PROCHECK. The refined model showed 68.6% residues in most favored regions, 24.5% residues in additionally-allowed regions, 4.4% residues in generously-allowed regions, and only 2.4% residues in disallowed regions. E, docked complex of Vgl1 and Clr4 showing the interaction of these proteins. Vgl1 (chain A) and Clr4 (chain B) interact via multiple interactions like hydrogen bonding, salt bridges, and other nonbonded contacts. The statistics of the protein–protein interface diagram clearly shows that 34 residues from Vgl1 side interact with 24 residues of Clr4. The two proteins interact strongly as evidenced by 12 salt bridges, 31 hydrogen bonds, and 250 nonbonded contacts. F, KH5 domain (575–644 amino acid residues) of Vgl1 (chain A) is most crucial for interaction as 17 residues among the 35 interacting residues belong to this domain followed by seven residues of KH3 domain (339–405), three residues of KH4 (416–486), three residues of KH6 (658–726), and one residue of the KH2 domain (236–328). Regarding Clr4, the chromo-domain has a key role in interaction as 16 among 27 interacting residues belong to this domain (residues 8–69). Moreover, one residue of Clr4 (Arg-346) from the SET domain (328–452) also interacts with Vgl1. G, refer to keys provided with F and G and residue colors provided below G for finer details.
heterochromatin regulation in *S. pombe*. In the future, it would be intriguing to investigate whether Vgl1 plays a role in stability of repetitive DNA elements across species and whether Vgl1 has a role in higher-order genome organization.

**Materials and methods**

**Yeast strains**

All the strains used in this study are given in Table 1. The strains were generated by PCR-based gene targeting method wherein the primers contain sequences homologous to the flanking sequences of targeted sites for efficient recombination (55), and positive clones were selected on the basis of growth on YEA plates containing the desired antibiotic and were further confirmed by PCR.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Yeast cells were grown in YES medium (yeast extract supplemented with adenine) at a temperature of 32 °C up to an *A*~600~ of 0.5. Total RNA was isolated using the hot phenol method as described previously (2). RNA samples were cleaned to remove potential DNA contaminations using the RNeasy clean-up kit (Qiagen). cDNA was prepared using gene-specific primers for *ura4*, *dg*, *dh*, *ade*, and *actin* by using the first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer’s protocol followed by quantitative PCR using light

---

**Figure 8. Association of Vgl1 with chromatin is RNase-sensitive.** A, schematic of ChIP with an RNase step. B and C, ChIP–qPCR analysis of HA-Vgl1 binding to *dg* and *dh* centromeric repeats in the indicated strains either untreated or treated with RNase. D, ChIP analysis showing binding of Vgl1 to centromeric heterochromatin in WT and dcr1-deficient cells.

**Figure 9. Model showing heterochromatin gene silencing by Vgl1.** Vgl1 gets recruited to pericentromeric heterochromatin by interacting with the nascent transcript and either helps in recruitment of Clr4 to chromatin or stabilizes its association with pericentromeric heterochromatin. Clr4 leads to the methylation of H3K9 and subsequent recruitment of Swi6.
Vgl1 in heterochromatic gene silencing

Table 1
The list of strains used in this study

| Strain no. | Strain                          | Genotype                                                                 | Source |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1.        | SPY137                         | h + otr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4::DS/E leu1–3 ade6-M210                         | 41     |
| 2.        | SPY815                         | h + otr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4::DS/E leu1–3 ade6-M210 clr4::KanMX             | 41     |
| 3.        | AB099                          | h + otr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4::DS/E leu1–3 ade6-M210 vgl1::KanMX             | This study |
| 4.        | SPY816                         | h + otr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4::DS/E leu1–3 ade6-M210 swi6::KanMX             | 41     |
| 5.        | FY511                          | h90 mat3::ura4 ade6–216 leu1–3 ura4-D18                                 | 39     |
| 6.        | AB141                          | h + ade6–210 leu1–3 ade6-D18 ochr1 10 (dg-gl)                        | This study |
| 7.        | FY 1180                        | h + ade6–210 leu1–3 ade6-D18 ochr1 10 (dg-gl)                        | This study |
| 8.        | AB138                          | h + Nmyc-clr4 ade6–210 leu1–3 ura4-D18 ochr1 10 (dg-gl)                  | This study |
| 9.        | FY 8216                        | h + myc3::agol leu1–3 ade6–704 ura4-D18                                 | 39     |
| 10.       | AB140                          | h + myc3::agol leu1–3 ade6–704 ura4-D18                                 | 39     |
| 11.       | FY 8635                        | h + Nmyc-clr4 ade6–210 leu1–3 ura4-D18 ochr1 10 (dg-gl)                  | 39     |
| 12.       | FY A2406                      | h + rdp1-HA --PFAM X6KAN ade6–210 ochr1 10 (Sph1) ade6 +                | 39     |
| 13.       | SPM1079                       | h90ade6-M216 leu1–3 ura4-D18 ochr1 5XFLAG-clr4                         | 39     |
| 14.       | AB139                          | h90 vgl1::KanRade6-M216 leu1–3 ura4-D18 ochr1 5XFLAG-clr4               | This study |
| 15.       | BG-H4839                      | vgl1::KanMX4 ade-M216 ura4-D18 ochr1 10 (dg-gl)                        | Bioneer |
| 16.       | FY8539                        | h + otr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4-D5/E leu1–3 ade6-M210 dcr1::KanMX             | 39     |
| 17.       | AB140                          | h + Vgl1::HA-Hygro ochr1(Sph):ura4 + ura4-D5/E leu1–3 ade6-M210 dcr1::KanMX | This study |

Silencing assays

Silencing assays were performed as described previously (41). Cells were grown overnight in 5 ml of YEA (yeast extract supplemented with adenine), and 10-fold serial dilutions were prepared for each strain in such a way that the highest density spots contained 1.2 × 10^5 cells. All the cell dilutions were spotted on normal YEA media plates and TBZ (normal media containing 1 mg/ml 5-FOA). For otr1::kayed6+ silencing assay, cells were spotted on Low Ade plates (YES lacking adenine) for 3 days at 32 °C.

Immunoprecipitations

For immunoprecipitations, nontagged Myc Clr4 and HA Vgl1 cells were grown to an A600 of 2.0, harvested, and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 20 mM Hepes-NaOH, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 0.25% Triton X-100, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture. Cells lysis was performed using the bead-beating method. Protein concentrations were normalized using the Bio-Rad protein assay, and the supernatant was incubated with IgG-conjugated Dynabeads for 2 h at 4 °C. Immunoprecipitated material was washed four times with lysis buffer, resuspended in SDS sample buffer, and analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western blotting using anti-HA (Abcam) and anti-Myc 9E10 (Covance) antibodies.

Model generation and validation

The sequences of Clr4 and Vgl1 (S. pombe) were retrieved from UniProt bearing unique and stable entry identifiers O60016 and O59810 respectively. The models were generated from these sequences using the I-TASSER (Iterative Threading ASSEmbly Refinement) server, based on the futuristic algorithms to generate infallible models (57, 58). The Clr4 model was refined by GalaxyRefine, and for Vgl1 the ModRefiner served the purpose. GalaxyRefine used a sequential approach, including side-chain rebuilding and repacking, and finally structure relaxation for improving the global and local structural quality of the models (59). ModRefiner, the algorithm for high-resolution structure refinement, revamps markedly the physical quality of local structures and takes the models close to their native state (60). The stereochemical quality of refined models was checked by generating their respective Ramachandran plots using the accepted PROCHECK of SAVES server (61). Although Clr4 portrayed 88.9% residues in the favored region and 9% residues in the allowed region, Vgl1 showed 78.1% residues and 16.6% residues in these regions, respectively (Fig. 6). These values signify that the models are of good quality and are quite acceptable for in silico studies, including protein–protein docking.

Protein–protein docking

Clr4 was docked against the Vgl1 using the fully-automated web-based protein–protein docking program ClusPro (62, 63). Vgl1 being bigger in size was used as a receptor, whereas Clr4
due to its relatively smaller size was selected as a ligand. The docking was performed using the default parameters, and top 10 docked complexes were generated from which the top model was selected for downstream analysis.

**Interaction profile of Vgl1 and Clr4**

The docked complex of Vgl1 and Clr4 was uploaded in PDBsum, a webserver with multiple functions providing structural information regarding protein–ligand and protein–DNA contacts. Moreover, this server serves the purpose of finding different interactions between amino acids of two protein chains at the interface (64, 65). The ribbon diagram of Vgl1 and Clr4 was generated using the UCSF Chimera (66).

**ChIP with an RNase step**

ChIPs with RNase step were performed with slight modifications. Briefly, cells were cross-linked with formaldehyde for 5 min. Cross-linked chromatin was sonicated to yield DNA fragments with an average size of 200–600 bp and treated with treated with 7.5 units of RNase A and 300 units of RNase T1 (RNase A/T1 mixture; Ambion) or an equivalent volume of RNase storage buffer (10 mM Hepes, pH 7.2, 20 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 50% v/v glycerol). After incubation at 25 °C for 30 min, immunoprecipitations were carried out with a mAb against HA (12CA5).

**GST pulldown assay**

GST–Clr4 coupled to GSH beads was incubated with recombinant His–Vgl1 (0.5 μg) in 25 mM Hepes (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 100 μg/ml BSA, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.1% Tween 20, and 1 μg/ml leupeptin, pepstatin, and aprotinin overnight at 4 °C on a rotary shaker. Beads were then washed three times with binding buffer, and bound proteins were analyzed by Western blotting using anti-His and anti-GST antibodies.
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