A Novel Precise Method for Correcting the Temperature in Stellar Atmosphere Models
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Summary. A mayor problem that arises in the computation of stellar atmosphere models is the self consistent determination of the temperature distribution via the constraint of energy conservation. The energy balance includes the gains due to the absorption of radiation: \( \int \chi(\nu)J(\nu)d\nu \), and the losses due to emission: \( \int \chi(\nu)S(\nu)d\nu \). It is well known that within each one of the two above integrals the part corresponding to spectral ranges whose opacity \( \chi(\nu) \) is huge can overcome by many orders of magnitude the part that corresponds to the remaining frequencies. On the other hand, at those frequencies where \( \chi(\nu) \) is very large, the mean intensity \( J(\nu) \) of the radiation field shall be equal, up to many significant digits, to the source function \( S(\nu) \) and consequently to the Planck function \( B(\nu, T) \). Then their net share to the energy balance shall be null, albeit separately their contributions to the gain and loss integrals are the most important numerically. Thus the spectral range whose physical contribution to the overall balance is null will dominate numerically both sides of the energy balance equation, and consequently the errors on the determination of \( J(\nu) \) and \( S(\nu) \) at these frequencies will falsify the balance. It is possible to circumvent the numerical problem brought about by the foregoing circumstances by solving the radiative transfer equation for the variable \( I(n, \nu) - S(\nu) \), instead of the customary intensity \( I(n, \nu) \).

We present here a novel iterative algorithm, based on iteration factors already employed by us with success, which makes it possible a fast correction of the temperature by computing directly the difference between the radiative losses and gains at each step of the iterations.

1 A Two-Block Iterative Scheme for Modeling Stellar Atmospheres

The distinctive feature of our algorithm for an iterative temperature correction procedure comes from the organization into two fundamental macro-blocks of the ensemble of the mathematical equations which represent the physical phenomena that occur in a stellar atmosphere. Within each block a self-consistent
The physical problem is solved by assuming that the current values of some input variables are known, so that the updated values of the output variables of the block can be obtained.

The first macro-block (the \textit{structural} one) consists of the equations that express the conservation of mass and momentum. The latter, together with the equation of state, are the backbone of the \textit{"hard"} structure of the model. If we assume that the temperature distribution $T(r)$ is given, we can deal with the equations of this block in a quite easy way, and get the distribution of the pressure $P(r)$ and density $\rho(r)$, hence the values of all the relevant thermodynamical and transport coefficients. All these values depend of course on the initial temperature distribution assumed.

Once we know the hard structure, namely $P(r)$ and $\rho(r)$, as well as the ensemble of the thermodynamical and transport coefficients at each point of the physical system, we can tackle the radiative transfer (RT) equations, coupled through the constraint of the conservation of energy, in order to obtain with relative ease a new temperature distribution. These equations, together with the energy conservation constraint, form the second macro-block (the \textit{energetic} one). The two macro-blocks are coupled both physically and mathematically \textit{via} the equation of state. However this coupling is not very strong, so each block can be treated mathematically in an independent way by means of a sequential procedure that is iterated till the required numerical convergence. According to our experience, such an iterative procedure quickly converges (in about ten iterations), and numerical difficulties never show up. An exhaustive presentation of the algorithm can be found in [1].

\section*{2 The Iterative Scheme for the Temperature Correction}

The aim of this work is to discuss the numerical treatment of the second macro-block, given the hard structure of the system. Such a procedure is usually called the \textit{temperature correction}, because it is matter of determining - also iteratively - the temperature distribution that satisfies simultaneously both the RT equations and the relevant constraint of total energy conservation, through the correction of a previous temperature distribution $T^{i}(r)$, assumed to be close to the required one. For the sake of an easier presentation, we will consider here the instance, in which energy is transported only by radiation. It is simple to generalize the algorithm in case that both radiative and convective transport are important, as shown in [2]. Likewise, we will consider the paradigm case of a model atmosphere under the simplifying hypotheses of hydrostatic and local thermodynamical equilibrium.

The RT process is described by means of a set of kinetic equations, one for each of the specific intensities $I(n, \nu)$ employed for the representation of the radiation field. The corresponding sink terms, \textit{i.e.} the specific radiative energy removed at each point, are assumed to be proportional to $I(n, \nu)$ through the absorption coefficient $a(\nu)$ and the diffusion coefficient $\sigma(\nu)$. The
source terms are described by introducing the thermal emission $\eta(\nu)$, which depends at each point on the local temperature according to the Kirchhoff's law: $\eta(\nu) = a(\nu)B(\nu, T)$, and a diffusion (scattering) term $\sigma(\nu) J(\nu)$. The symbol $J(\nu)$ denotes the monochromatic mean intensity of the radiation field, i.e. the integral of $I(\mathbf{n}, \nu)$ over all the directions $\mathbf{n}$ times $1/4\pi$. In the processes of scattering the amount of monochromatic radiative energy gained compensates exactly the energy lost, when all the directions are taken into account.

Under the assumption of radiative equilibrium (RE), the constraint of energy balance reads

$$\int_0^\infty a(\nu)B(\nu, T) \, d\nu = \int_0^\infty a(\nu)J(\nu) \, d\nu,$$

which expresses the equality between thermal emission and the absorption processes. The rhs of eq. (1) is straightforwardly computed from the solution of the RT equations. If this term is known, eq. (1) becomes an implicit transcendental equation that yields at each point of the atmosphere the local value of $T(\mathbf{r})$ in a trivial way. It must be stressed that at this stage of the procedure the absorption coefficient $a(\nu)$ is assumed to be known. The only difficulty is that $J(\nu)$ comes from the solution of the RT equations, which depends on the temperature through the Planck function $B(\nu, T)$ that enters into the relative source terms.

This dependence entails introducing a new iterative procedure, which can be sketched as follows. We start from a trial temperature distribution $T^i \equiv T^i(\mathbf{r})$, which allows us to solve explicitly the RT equations, namely to get the specific intensities $I(\mathbf{n}, \nu)$ at each point. We can then compute the corresponding mean intensity $J^i(\nu)$, from which we obtain the explicit value of the rhs of eq. (1), corresponding to the current value $T^i$ of the temperature. By solving eq. (1) as a transcendental equation we get the new temperature distribution $T^{i+1}$. Unfortunately the rate of convergence of this simple scheme, usually called the $A$-iteration, is exceedingly slow; in the numerical practice often it does not converge at all.

Although the $A$-iteration does not converge, the relevant working plan is so simple that it deserves to be kept. A slight alteration of the above iterative procedure can dramatically improve the rate of convergence. Moreover - which is even more remarkable - that neither implies a heavy computational burden nor introduces the risk of numerical instabilities. The change has to be introduced once $J^i(\nu)$, the frequency integrated mean intensity $J^i$ and the total energy absorbed $J^i_a$ have been computed from the RT equations. The improvement consists in the re-computation of the total energy absorbed, whose value at each point we will denote now by $J^{i+1}_a$. These values are evaluated straightforwardly from the system of moments of the RT equation, and computed in such a way that they meet certain properties of the converged solution. These properties, relevant to radiative transfer, are a consequence of the constancy of the total flux $H$, i.e. the integral over all the frequencies of the monochromatic flux $H(\nu)$. 


Let us consider in detail this new intermediate step. The RT equation for a one-dimensional planar medium is

$$\mu \frac{d}{dr} I(\mu, \nu) = \chi(\nu) \left[ I(\mu, \nu) - S(\nu) \right],$$

(2)

where $\mu$ is the cosine of the angle between the direction of propagation $\mathbf{n}$ and the $r$-axis, and $\chi(\nu) \equiv a(\nu) + \sigma(\nu)$ the total opacity. The source function is expressed as

$$S(\nu) = \varepsilon(\nu) B(\nu, T) + \left[ 1 - \varepsilon(\nu) \right] J(\nu),$$

(3)

where $\varepsilon(\nu)$ is defined as

$$\varepsilon(\nu) \equiv \frac{a(\nu)}{a(\nu) + \sigma(\nu)} = \frac{a(\nu)}{\chi(\nu)}.$$  

(4)

The equations for the three first $\mu$-moments of the specific intensity: $J(\nu)$, $H(\nu)$ and $K(\nu)$, are obtained by integrating eq. (2) over $d\mu$ and $\mu d\mu$ respectively:

$$\frac{dH(\nu)}{dr} = -a(\nu) \left[ J(\nu) - B(\nu, T) \right]$$

(5)

and

$$\frac{dK(\nu)}{dr} = -\chi(\nu) H(\nu)$$

(6)

By integration over all the frequencies, we get the bolometric equations

$$\frac{dH}{dr} = B_\alpha - J_\alpha$$  \hspace{1cm}  (7) \hspace{1cm} \text{and} \hspace{1cm} \frac{dK}{dr} = -H_\chi,$$

(8)

where $J$, $H$ and $K$ are the frequency-integrated zero-, first- and second-order $\mu$-moments of the specific intensity. The quantities $B_\alpha$, $J_\alpha$ and $H_\chi$ are the integrals over all the frequencies of $B(\nu, T)$ and $J(\nu)$ weighted with the absorption coefficient $a(\nu)$, and that of $H(\nu)$ weighted with the opacity $\chi(\nu)$, respectively.

We recognize in eq. (7) the statement of the energy balance: the difference between the total emission and the total absorption is compensated by the variation of the total radiative flux. Under the condition of RE, this difference must be zero, therefore the variation of $H$ will be null. The constant total radiative flux $H^*$ that corresponds to the situation of RE is directly related to the luminosity, viz the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$ of the star:

$$H^* = \frac{\sigma_R}{4\pi} T_{\text{eff}}^4,$$

(9)

where $\sigma_R = 5.6696 \times 10^{-5} \text{ erg} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant.
Once introduced these preliminary definitions, we can get back to the iterative correction of the temperature. In the first part of each step of iterations, given the current value of the temperature $T_i$ and consequently that of $B(\nu, T_i)$, we can compute not only $J^i(\nu)$, but also $H^i(\nu)$ and $K^i(\nu)$; hence, trivially, the frequency-integrated quantities: $J^i$, $J_a^i$, $H^i$, $H_x^i$ and $K^i$. The extra computational work for these quadratures adds very little to that required for the quadrature of $J(\nu)$. Then, by making use of the foregoing quantities, we compute at each point the following ratios:

$$f \equiv \frac{K^i}{J^i} \quad (10)$$  
$$\beta \equiv \frac{H^i_x}{H^i} \quad (11)$$  
$$a_J \equiv \frac{J_a^i}{J^i} \quad (12).$$

Because these ratios are the quotient between homologous quantities (in the practice pairs of quantities with the same physical behavior), they shall result almost independent of the input $B(\nu, T_i)$. We can consider them as quasi-invariant, and employ them as iteration factors.

Afterward we have obtained - in such an easy way - the iteration factors, we will proceed recomputing the improved value of the energy absorbed: $J_{i\,c}^i$. Thus, by recalling the definition of the factor $\beta$ given by eq. (11), we can rewrite eq. (8) in the form

$$dK \frac{d\nu}{d\nu} = -\beta H^*, \quad (13)$$

where we have introduced the value of $H^*$, a data that characterizes the problem under consideration, as shown by eq. (9). The quasi-invariant iteration factor $\beta$ is almost independent of the input $B(\nu, T_i)$. That allows us to obtain an improved value $K_{i\,c}^i$ for the moment $K$, which takes into account the correct value of the radiative flux. The first iteration factor $f$, defined by eq. (10), is the Variable Eddington Factor. It shall yield the corresponding improved value of $J_{i\,c}^i$.

The third quasi-invariant factor $a_J$, defined by eq. (12), shall furnish us the up-dated value of the total energy absorbed, i.e. $J_{a\,c}^{i\,c} = a_J J_{i\,c}^i$. This is the rhs of the equation that expresses the constraint of RE. We will solve the transcendental equation

$$\int_0^\infty a(\nu)B(\nu, T^{i+1}) \, d\nu = J_{a\,c}^{i\,c}, \quad (14)$$

by using now the improved value $J_{a\,c}^{i\,c}$, in order to get the up-dated temperature $T^{i+1}$ at each point.

On one hand the iteration factors are almost independent of the input $B(\nu, T^i)$; on the other they are very easily computed from the RT solution. Thus the computation of the improved value $J_{a\,c}^{i\,c}$ of the total energy absorbed is as simple in the practice as the previous computation of $J_{a\,c}^{i\,c}$ directly from the RT solution. But now $J_{a\,c}^{i\,c}$ includes the information on the constancy of the total radiative flux $H^*$, namely the condition of RE: by employing the iteration
factors we solve the set of the RT equations consistently with the constraint of energy conservation. That makes the iterative procedure extraordinarily fast: the rate of convergence improves dramatically at the price of a little extra computational cost.

3 A Pending Difficulty with the Temperature Correction

It is well known, however, that within each one of the integrals in eq. (1) the contributions due to the spectral ranges corresponding to the most opaque radiative transitions can overwhelm by many orders of magnitude those due to the remaining frequencies. On the other hand, far from the superficial layers the monochromatic mean intensity $J(\nu)$ will be equal up to many significant digits to the source function $S(\nu)$, and consequently to the Planck function $B(\nu, T)$, at those frequencies for which the absorption coefficient $a(\nu)$ - hence the opacity $\chi(\nu)$ - is very large. Then the net contribution of the latter to the energy balance must be null, albeit separately their contribution to the two integrals are far the most important numerically. Thus those spectral ranges, whose contribution to the overall balance is null, will dominate numerically both side of the relevant equation, and consequently the errors on the determination of $J(\nu)$ and $S(\nu)$ at these frequencies shall falsify the balance.

A way to circumvent this severe numerical problem is to solve the RT equations in the new variable $I(n, \nu) - S(\nu)$, instead of the specific intensity $I(n, \nu)$ customarily employed. Then it will be possible to compute the differences $J(\nu) - B(\nu, T)$ directly from the solution of the RT equations. The spurious contributions above mentioned disappear, because the differences automatically vanish when it is the case, independently of the absolute values of $I(n, \nu)$ and $B(\nu, T)$. The introduction of the new variable $I(n, \nu) - S(\nu)$ comes in a natural way from the use of our Implicit Integral Method (see [3] and [4]). Its application to the problem under study here have been preliminary discussed in [5], both from the physical and the mathematical standpoint.

By combining the above way of solving the RT equations with the method of the iteration factors, it is possible to correct the temperature in spite of the difficulties mentioned previously. In the first part of each step of iterations, given the input $B(\nu, T^i)$, we will compute directly the differences $J(\nu) - B(\nu, T^i)$ for each frequency. Hence, by adding to the latter the data $B(\nu, T^i)$, we will obtain the monochromatic mean intensities $J(\nu)$, as well as all the other moments, both monochromatic and frequency-integrated, that we need in order to compute the iteration factors defined by eqs. (10) through (12). It must be stressed that we get directly the differences $J(\nu) - B(\nu, T^i)$, and consequently their product by the absorption coefficient $a(\nu)$ integrated over all the frequencies, i.e.

$$\langle J - B \rangle_a^i = \int_0^\infty a(\nu) \left[ J(\nu) - B(\nu, T^i) \right] d\nu ,$$

(15)
is evaluated without the need of computing separately its two components \( J_a^i \) and \( B_a^i \). If \((J - B)_a^i \) is null at each depth point, the condition of RE is fulfilled everywhere, and therefore the process of correcting the temperature is over. If not, we must proceed with the second part of the iteration step to determine a new temperature distribution \( T_{i+1} \), via an equation like eq. (15).

Once we have obtained the values of \((J - B)_a^i \) on the one side, and the monochromatic and frequency-integrated moments (in particular \( J_a^i \)) as well as the iteration factors on the other, we go forward to compute the improved values \( J_a^{i+c} \) and \( J_a^{i c} \). As already said before, on one hand the dependence of the latter on the input \( B(\nu, T^i) \) is weaker than that of \( J_a^i \), on the other they take into account the information on the constancy of the total radiative flux in a stronger way. The effect brought about by these advantages is measured by the factor

\[
\gamma \equiv \frac{J_a^{i c}}{J_a^i} = \frac{J_a^{i c}}{J_a^i}, \quad (16)
\]

whose value converges very quickly to unit.

Let us get back now to eq. (15), which is the protagonist of the second part of each step of iterations. We can add to each of his members the quantity \( \gamma B_a(T^i) \), where \( B_a(T^i) \) is the input for the current step of iterations, in order to get

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} a(\nu) \left[ B(\nu, T^{i+1}) - \gamma B(\nu, T^i) \right] d\nu = J_a^{i c} - \gamma B_a(T^i) = 
\]

\[
= \gamma \left[ J_a^i - B_a(T^i) \right] = \gamma (J - B)_a^i. \quad (17)
\]

The term \((J - B)_a^i \), defined by eq. (15), is actually what we compute directly as a whole, starting from the solution \( I(n, \nu) - S(\nu) \) of the RT equations. In such a way there vanish automatically the differences between absorption and emission for those frequencies, whose net contribution to the energy balance must be null, in spite of the fact that the corresponding values of \( a(\nu)J(\nu) \) and \( a(\nu)B(\nu, T) \) are overwhelming (sometimes by many orders of magnitude) in the corresponding integrals.

That was exactly our aim. The solution of the new transcendental equation (eq. [17]), that we have to solve now, presents the same degree of difficulty as the previous equation (14). We can conclude that to iterate by making use of the iteration factors not only speeds up dramatically the rate of convergence of the procedure, but also allows us to take into account in the numerical algorithm only the intrinsic effects of the physical process that are actually necessary.

The term \((J - B)_a^i \) represents a local correction: at those points where it is different from zero the condition of RE is not satisfied, and consequently it will be necessary to correct the temperature there. However it may occur that \((J - B)_a^i \) be null at a certain point, and nevertheless the correction be
necessary, because the condition of RE is not fulfilled elsewhere. This non-local
effect is taken into account (iteratively) through the factor $\gamma$.

4 Conclusions

We have presented here a novel method for building stellar atmosphere models,
more precisely, for the calculations required by the determination of the
temperature at each point. Because of radiative transfer one must take into
account the coupling among the physical conditions at each and every point of
the system. In particular, the temperature at each point is linked with those
at all the others. We ideally remove the coupling, and proceed sequentially to
get the physical conditions at each point under the assumption that those at
all the other points be known. In order to achieve the consistency - i.e. the
coupling - we developed an iterative procedure. Such a treatment constitutes
a numerical simulation of the physical processes that are at the origin of the
corresponding equations. We tackle and solve those problems that arise when
the fluxes to be determined are the difference between quantities (densities)
that are very close, so that the difference between their values is less than the
numerical accuracy of the computations.

As a proof of the quality of the method proposed, we claim that the in-
tegrated radiative flux $H^i$, computed at each step of iterations, quickly con-
verges (in a few iterations) to the actual value $H^*$. The inaccuracies on the
converged numerical values of $H^i$ are due to the quadrature of $H^i(\nu)$ over
frequencies, inaccuracies that we estimate to be more close to 0.01% than to
0.1%. As the problem with the numerical quadratures over frequencies is the
same as above, we impose that the equality between the two terms of the tran-
scendental equation (14) be numerically accurate to one order of magnitude
less, namely to 0.001%, in order not to increase the unavoidable indetermina-
tion already brought about by the numerical treatment of the data.

References

1. Simonneau, E. and Crivellari, L.: 1988, Astrophys. J., 330, 415.
2. Crivellari, L. and Simonneau, E.: 1991, Astrophys. J., 367, 612.
3. Simonneau, E. and Crivellari, L.: 1993, Astrophys. J., 409, 830.
4. Crivellari, L. and Simonneau, E.: 1994, Astrophys. J., 423, 331.
5. Cardona, O., Crivellari, L. And Simonneau, E.: 2002, New Quests in Stellar As-
trophysics: The Link between Stars and Cosmology, (Dordrecht: Kluwer Aca-
demic Publishers), p. 29.