Axisymmetric bubble pinch-off at high Reynolds numbers
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Analytical considerations and potential flow numerical simulations of the pinch-off of bubbles at high Reynolds numbers reveal that the bubble minimum radius, \( r_n \), decreases as \( \tau \propto r_n^2 (-\ln r_n)^{1/2} \), where \( \tau \) is the time to break-up, when the local shape of the bubble near the singularity is symmetric. However, if the gas convective terms in the momentum equation become of the order of those of the liquid, the bubble shape is no longer symmetric and the evolution of the neck changes to a \( r_n \propto \tau^{1/3} \) power law. These findings are verified experimentally.

PACS numbers: 47.55.Dz 47.20.Ft 47.20.Ky

The mechanisms underlying the capillary driven break-up of drops or liquid threads in a gas environment have been precisely described and experimentally verified during the last decade [1–4]. However, despite its obvious relevance in many industrial applications, the generation and break-up of bubbles has received less attention in the literature. Therefore, the purpose of this Letter is to contribute to the understanding of the final stages of bubble pinch-off at high Reynolds numbers.

It is well known that, under inviscid conditions, the pinch-off dynamics of droplets is governed by a local balance between surface tension forces and inertia (hereafter inertia will refer to the material derivative of linear momentum, \( \rho Du/Dr \)). Therefore, the near field structure of drop pinch-off is self-similar and universal, in the sense that it does not depend either on initial nor on the far field flow conditions. Moreover, Day et al. [2] and Leppinen and Lister [5] reported that the interface had a self-similar, highly asymmetric double-cone shape at times close to the finite-time singularity.

On the other hand, recent experiments of bubble break-up in a highly viscous liquid [6] have confirmed previous experimental and numerical results [7,8], which clearly showed that the low Reynolds number break-up of bubbles is symmetric, and that the minimum radius approaches to zero linearly with \( \tau \), where \( \tau = t_b - t \) with \( t_b \) being the break-up time. In addition, Suryo et al. [8] reported that the local shape of the interface near the singularity is parabolic and that the pinch-off is not self-similar. However, the case of bubble break-up in a low viscosity liquid (e.g. air in water), under study in the present work, seems to be slightly more subtle than its viscous counterpart. Indeed, Leppinen & Lister [5] found that, under the assumption of potential flow, the self-similar solution near pinch-off is no longer stable when \( \Lambda < 1/6.2 \), where \( \Lambda = \rho_g/\rho_l \) is the inner (gas in our case) to outer (liquid in our case) density ratio. Moreover, in Leppinen & Lister’s study, the radius of the neck, \( r_n \), behaves as \( \tau^{1/3} \) for \( \tau \to 0 \). Nevertheless, recent accurate experimental measurements reported in [6], show that \( r_n \) behaves as \( \tau^{1/2} \) for \( \tau \to 0 \), in agreement with previous analytical predictions [9]. The reason for the different exponent in the power law given in [5], and that of [6,9], lies on the fact that, whereas in the former study the pinch-off is promoted by surface tension, in the latter it is solely driven by the liquid inertia, given by \( \rho_l Du/Dt \). Consequently, in this Letter we try to solve the apparent contradictions found by the above mentioned studies presenting both experimental evidences and potential flow numerical simulations similar to those reported in [10] and [11] respectively, and we propose a slight correction to the above mentioned \( r_n \propto \tau^{1/2} \) law.

Previous numerical results [11] show that a bubble breaks-up symmetrically when it is placed at the stagnation point of a straining flow given by the following dimensionless velocity potential \( \phi \) at infinity

\[
\phi = -1/8 r^2 + 1/4 z^2,
\]

(1)

where \( r \) and \( z \) are the dimensionless radial and axial cylindrical coordinates respectively. Here, distances, velocities and densities have been made dimensionless using \( \hat{R} \) (initial bubble radius), \( \hat{U} \) (characteristic outer flow velocity) and \( \hat{\rho} \) respectively, and dimensional variables are indicated with a hat over them. The numerical method used to solve the coupled system of Laplace and Bernoulli equations that govern the gas flow inside the bubble and the outer liquid flow can be briefly described as follows. Provided the values of the inner and the outer potentials along the free surface at a given time, the velocities normal to the interface are computed through a boundary integral method that solves the discretized version of the both the liquid and the gas Green’s integral equations. The values of the potentials are explicitly updated in time making use of a modified version of Bernoulli’s equation that takes into account both the inner and the outer fluid densities, whereas the new positions of the nodal points are obtained by moving them normal to the interface. A more detailed description of the numerical method can be found in [11]. With the aim at establishing the appropriate dependence of \( r_n \) on \( \tau \), we carried out numerical simulations of the evolution of the
interface of a bubble initially located within the straining flow
given by equation (1) either at \( r = 0, z = z_0 = 0 \) (symmetric case) or at \( r = 0, z = z_{\infty} > 0 \) (asymmetric cases). In our simulations we considered two different values of the inner to outer density ratio, namely \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \).

Figure 1 shows that, in the symmetric case, the local bubble shape near the singularity is a parabola. It can be inferred from Fig 1(a) that the radial length scale decreases more rapidly than the axial one and, consequently, the region near the singularity can be considered slender. Thus, to determine the proper dependence of \( r_n \) on \( \tau \), the analysis of the free-surface, potential-flow problem simplifies to solve the following ODE when \( r_n \ll 1 \),

\[
\ln r_n (r'_n r_n)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} (r'_n)^2 = 0. \tag{2}
\]

Equation (2) can be integrated using the change of variables \( q = r'_n r_n \) and \( s = -\ln r_n \), where \( q \) is simply a dimension-

less flow-rate per unit length. A first integration of equation (2) yields \( q \sqrt{s} = 2^{-3/2}A \). Integrating again gives \( A \tau = r_n^2 \sqrt{-\ln r_n} \times \left[ 1 + O(\ln r_n^{-3}) \right] \), indicating that \( q \rightarrow 0 \) as \( \tau \rightarrow 0 \). Notice that our analytical result provides a dependence of \( r_n \) on \( \tau \) with a slope, in a log-log scale, larger than 1/2, but which monotonically decreases towards 1/2 for \( \ln r_n \rightarrow -\infty \). Figure 2 shows how the numerical evolution matches the asymptotic behavior for \( \tau < 0.01 \), which slightly differs from the \( r_n \propto \tau^{1/2} \) power law reported in [6, 9]. It needs to be pointed out that the deviation form the 1/2 power law has been previously experimentally measured at the Physics of Fluids group of Twente University. Finally, it should be pointed out that, since \( \rho \dot{Q}/\dot{\mu}_f \rightarrow 0 \) for \( \tau \rightarrow 0 \), the liquid viscosity must be retained to describe the latest instants prior to pinch-off.

The local behavior in the asymmetric cases, displayed in Fig 1(b), differs from its symmetric counterpart in the fact that the interface tends to form a double cone with different semi-angles. Furthermore, Fig 2 shows that this type of break-up leads to \( r_n \propto \tau^{1/3} \) at the latest instants of pinch-off.

The existence of the 1/3 power law can be physically explained as follows. Whereas the symmetry imposes a zero gas velocity inside the neck (\( v_g = 0 \)), in the asymmetric break-up \( v_g \) increases as \( \tau \rightarrow 0 \), as shown in Fig 2. Thus, as the gas flows through the neck at a velocity \( v_g \), there is a suction originated by the high speed gas stream (Bernoulli’s effect), which further accelerates the outer liquid towards the axis, explaining the faster asymmetric collapse. As pointed out by Lippin & Lister [5], such mechanism is responsible for the unstable behavior near the singularity for \( \Lambda < 6.2^{-1} \). In fact, this Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) was also obtained in our numerical simulations, which were stopped as soon as there

Figure 1. Time evolution of the pinch-off region of a bubble, \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \), at \( \text{We} = \rho \phi^2 R/\dot{\sigma} = 12 \). In both cases, the number of discrete points along the interface, 513, were rearranged at every time step to keep them equispaced. a) Symmetric break-up (\( z_0 = 0 \)) and b) asymmetric case (\( z_0 = 0.25 \)). Note that, while in 1a the radial scale is stretched more rapidly than the axial one, 1b suggests that a self-similar solution could be reached near pinch-off time if the KHI were prevented.

Figure 2. Time evolution of the radius of the neck, \( r_n(\tau) \), for different values of \( z_0 \) and \( \Lambda \) (otherwise stated, \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \)) at \( \text{We} = \rho \phi^2 R/\dot{\sigma} = 12 \). Notice that the transition from 1/2 to the 1/3 power law is delayed as \( \Lambda \) and/or \( Q_g \) decrease. The results given for \( z_0 = 0.25 \), \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} (Q_g \simeq 0.59, q \simeq 0.197, r_{tran} \simeq 3.3 \times 10^{-5}) \) and those given for \( z_0 = 0.1 \), \( \Lambda = 1.2 \times 10^{-3} (Q_g \simeq 0.26, q \simeq 0.21, r_{tran} \simeq 4.3 \times 10^{-2}) \) are almost identical since the values of \( r_{tran} \) are nearly the same in both cases. Dashed lines represent \( \tau = 2.2 \times r_n^2 \sqrt{-\ln r_n} \).
was a strong interface distortion near the minimum radius.

In the following we will show that the 1/3 power law appears because the final stages of pinch-off are not driven either by surface tension nor by liquid inertia solely, but by both liquid and gas inertia. First, note that dimensional arguments require a typical length to determine the characteristic gas velocity. By surface tension nor by liquid inertia solely, but by both liquid and gas inertia. First, note that dimensional arguments require a typical length to determine the characteristic gas velocity.

The applicability of the above results to real flows depends on the validity of the potential flow approximation employed here. Although the thickness of the gas viscous boundary layer is very small compared with the radius of the neck, the flow separation will take place downstream from the axial location of $r_n$. Indeed, in a first approach, the gas flow downstream of the neck is quasi-steady and similar to that of a divergent diffuser with some blowing at the walls, whose opening semi-angle is larger than the separation one. Under these conditions the flow may separate avoiding the gas stagnation pressure to fully recover inside the growing bubble. Furthermore, the flow separation will decrease the above mentioned suction effect and, consequently, $\hat{Q}_g$ will also decrease, producing a subsequent accumulation of gas upstream of the neck. To conclude, the suction mechanism, which accelerates the outer liquid radially toward the axis, will be less effective in real flows than it is predicted by our simulations. In addition, viscous effects in the liquid are expected to be negligible since $Re_l \ll 1$.

Up to now we have presented our numerical results to describe the time evolution of the neck during the bubble break-up process. However, in addition to the numerical simulations we have also performed a meticulous series of experiments of bubble formation in a co-flowing liquid stream using the experimental facility described in [10]. To study the final stages of the bubble break-up, we used a high-speed video camera to record sequences of the break-up of bubbles at a rate which was varied between $3 \times 10^4$ fps (resolution of 256 $\times$ 128 pixels) and $5 \times 10^4$ fps (resolution of 256 $\times$ 64 pixels) depending on the experimental conditions.

An example of two different sequences is shown in Fig. 4. Before we proceed any further, we would like to mention that the experiments reported here were previously selected to make sure that break-up was purely axisymmetric. Figure 5 shows the neck radius evolution as it approaches the singularity (pinch-off point). This figure reports an experimental
outer radii of the forming bubble (see frames (c)-(d) and (g)-(h) in Fig. 4).

In view of the previous results, it can be concluded that, in spite of flow separation, the favorable pressure gradient generated within the neck region accelerates the liquid both radially and axially, producing a strong asymmetry near the neck region. Since this mechanism is only appreciable at scales \( r_{\text{ran}} \sim \Lambda^{1/2} (Q_g/q) \), detailed experiments need to be carried out in order to verify whether this behavior, experimentally verified for large values of \( Q_g/q \), is also present if, for \( \tau \sim O(1) \), \( Q_g/q(O(1)) \).
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Figure 4. Close-up views showing two different sequences of the bubble pinch-off corresponding to the experimental conditions of Fig. 3. The air and water flow rates are \( Q_a = 610 \text{ ml/min} \), \( Q_w = 4 \text{ l/min} \) in photographs (a)-(d), and \( Q_a = 850 \text{ ml/min} \), \( Q_w = 6 \text{ l/min} \) in photographs (e)-(h). The time interval between the shown frames is \( \Delta t = 100 \mu s \) in (a)-(d), and \( \Delta t = 100/3 \mu s \) in (e)-(h). The spatial resolution is, in all cases, 18.6 \( \mu m/px \), and the movies were recorded with a shutter time of 1/30000 s\(^{-1} \). Gas and liquid Reynolds numbers based on the needle diameter are, for both experimental conditions, of the order of \( O(10^3) \) and \( O(10^4) \) respectively.

Figure 5. Experimental results for the evolution of \( \mathbf{r}_{n} \) with \( \mathbf{t} \) in a co-flowing air-water jet. The gas is injected through a needle (inner and outer radii 0.419 and 0.635 mm respectively) placed coaxially within a liquid jet discharging from a 4 mm circular nozzle. \( Q_a \) and \( Q_w \) respectively denote the air and water flow rates. A detailed description of the experimental facility is given in [10].

evidence of the \( r_{\text{ran}} \sim \tau^{1/3} \) power law in spite of the flow separation. As already discussed, the clear departure from the 1/2 power law is promoted by the favorable (negative) pressure gradient induced by the gas-flow towards the neck. The break-up time was determined from the recordings by least-squares fitting of the last 6 to 10 data points to the 1/3 power law, since fitting to the \( \tau \sim r_{\text{ran}}^{-1} \ln r_{\text{ran}} \) or to the 2/3 power law resulted in inconsistent results for the pinch-off time when compared to the high-speed movies. It was checked that the results were not sensitive to the number of selected data points and, in all cases, the correlation coefficient was very close to unity.

More importantly, the photographs shown in Fig. 4 provide evidence of the asymmetry generated by the favorable pressure gradient induced by the gas flow in the neck region, which manifests in the form of an entrainment of liquid within the forming bubble (see frames (c)-(d) and (g)-(h) in Fig. 4).
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