Antibacterial Effect of Endophytic Actinomycetes from Marine Algae against Multi Drug Resistant Gram Negative Bacteria
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Abstract

The discovery of new broad spectrum antibiotics is urgent need to combat frequently emerging multi drug resistant pathogens (MDR). Actinomycetes, the most important group of microorganisms isolated from marine sources of the world may be the conclusive solution to this problem. Thus the aim of present study was to isolate and identify many secondary metabolite producing actinomycetes from algae sources. The 20 strains of endophytic actinomycetes were screened from marine green algae Caulerpa taxifolia and the surface sterilization was proved, the isolates were internal tissue of the algae. Among the 20, 5 strains have better antibacterial activity against multi drug resistant uropathogens by agar well diffusion method. Interestingly, DMS 3 showed excellent inhibition activity against all uropathogens by various solvent systems. Among the various solvent systems, ethyl acetate was exhibited excellent inhibitory activity and showed 24, 27, 20, 19, 16mm zone against E. coli, P. aeroginosa, K. pneumonia, Enterobacter sp respectively. The MIC and MBC also confirmed that the actinomycete strain DMS 3 as excellent antibiotic producer against MDR. It inhibits MDRs of uropathogens at very lowest concentration (100µg/ml).
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Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the standout among the most human infections but they are frequently presented in the world and they are second most dangerous disease after the respiratory infection [1]. It continuous as a critical threat with significant rate of mortality and morbidity along prodigious economic loss. The diagnosis and eradication is still unclear since linked to other major diseases in community and hospital settings and sometimes asymptomatic indication [2]. The burden of antimicrobial resistance in UTI is the major problem thereby constant discussion and a relentless effort has been initiated across the world. The bacterial resilience to antibiotic is significant to medical industry and global threat in the treatment of urinary tract infections (UTIs). It greatly alarmed that the incidence of MDR development and infection are increasing in UTIs [3].

Treatment of such MDR is also another important problem since the pathogens are almost resistant to current antibiotics and they easily develop resistance to the new drugs also [4]. In particular, Gram negative bacteria (GNB) play a vital role in UTIs due to synthesizing some enzymes, efflux pump, target site modification, etc [5]. In recent years MDRs of GNB in UTIs are increased significantly and show resistance to at least one drug most commonly preferred for prevent and few are resistant to all antibiotics [6]. It most often inhabit in the UTIs of human and veterinary, and difficult to treat [7]. It causes recurrent infections (40-75%) in UTIs due to improper usage, un prescribed format of antibiotics, over dosage and long-term treatment in human, agro farms and veterinary [8]. In modern evolutionally, one from a recent generation of these antibiotic classes should not be working properly against MDR uropathogens, further complications, cystitis, urethritis, pyelonephritis and a severe bacteremia there after returning in the next few days eventually and face of an unknown antibiotic resistance in the causative bacterium exhibited more fatality [9]. Most important UTI bacteria including Escherichia coli, Proteus mirabilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterobacter sp and Serratia marcescens [10]. These pathogens are completely resistance to all classes of drugs and can inhibit due to use with experimental and potential toxic drugs studies only. The loss of drug potency and its effective against resistance pathogens was depends on the drugs and pathogens with critical disease [11]. Therefore, there is urgent need to find new types of drugs to inhibit the resilient bacteria and decrease the healthcare infections.
The 70% of earth was made by ocean [12]. It had fabulous characteristics as synthesis of useful materials for human, animal and healthcare settings including secondary metabolites which act against infectious diseases and inflammation. In this environment, microbes have tremendous rich sources of bioactive metabolites [13]. Among the microorganisms, action bacteria is a Gram positive bacteria, spore forming bacteria having filamentous and the colony morphology is coarsely wrinkled or folded, it produce significant sources of new molecules with pharmacological properties which are lead compound for the various infections [14]. In the alternative study of novel antibiotic finding, endophytic actinomycetes which emerging from internal tissues of the plant algae and synthesize new types of drugs from medical and infections [15]. It varied from other actinomycetes by utilize the nutrients, sugar moieties, carbohydrate polymers, amino acids and peptides from plants and algae with different concentrations. Some differences could be expected among the organisms for new therapeutic outlook to prevent and or the major endophytic actinomycetes have nuclear therapeutic value and yet to afford attention to discover pyronone, taxol, camtothercin, and phenylproponoid like compounds. Previously, Alnumycin, munumbicins A to D [16], coronamycins and anthraquinones, lupinaddins A and B [17] are some of the natural compounds that reported earlier form marine endophytic actinomycetes. It has an excellent inhibition ability and interact with structure of cells including cell wall, ROS and some metabolic pathways and lead to permeability, target achievement etc.. Further, these compounds showed extensive range of biomedical applications including cytotoxic, antimicrobial, antimalarial, anticancer, immunosuppressant [18,19], anti-inflammatory, antihelminthic, herbicide, enzyme etc., [20,21]. However, limited research has available on the identification of new compound from marine endophytic actinomycetes against MDRs uropathogens. Hence, present study was concentrated on isolation, identification, partial purification of antibacteria compound from marine endophytic actinomycetes and its potential effect against MDRs uropathogens.

Materials and Methods

Collection of samples

The young leaf of green algae Cauler pataxifolia was collected from Gulf of Mannar region (Latitude 9°15'41.88"N, Longitude 79°04'05.81"E), Mandapam coastal area, Rameswaram, Southeast coast of Tamil Nadu, India. The collected algae was sealed with sterile plastic cover and kept in ice box. The sample was completely washed with tap water, and followed by distilled water for removal of the free floating organisms. Surface sterilization of 70% ethanol was used for removal of epiphytes.

Isolation of endophytic actinomycetes

The endophytes was isolated from surface sterilization method was performed by following procedure [22,23]. The algal samples were thoroughly washed with tap water, and small fragments of leaves, twigs, and buds of approximately 10mm (length) were cut aseptically by using sterile scale pale. Then, the small fragments were surface sterilized by 70% ethanol for 1 min and followed by 1.3M sodium hypochlorite (3- 5% available chlorine) for 3min, and 70% ethanol for 30s. Finally, these surface-sterilized tissue pieces were rinsed thoroughly in sterile, double-distilled water for 2min, to remove excess surface sterilants. The excess moisture content was wiped by sterile cotton. The surface-sterilized tissue fragments, thus obtained, were evenly placed (four fragments in each plate) in starch casein agar medium (SCA) incorporated with streptomycin (100mg/l) to remove any bacterial growth. All the plates were incubated at 28°C for 6-7days. To ensure proper surface sterilization and isolation of endophytes, unsterilized tissue fragments (only washed thoroughly in water) were prepared simultaneously, placed in SCA, and incubated under the same conditions in parallel, to isolate the surface-contaminating organisms (differentiated morphologically by both macroscopic and microscopic evaluation) [23]. The cultures were monitored daily to observe the growth of endophytic actinomycetes. The endophytic organisms, which grew out from the sample segments over 4-6 weeks were isolated and subcultured onto aactinomycetes isolation agar (AIA) and got into pure culture. To perform proper surface sterilization, surface-sterilized tissue fragments were imprinted simultaneously in SCA and AIA and incubated under the same conditions in parallel [24].

Primary screening of actinomycetes

The antagonistic activity of isolated endophytic actinomycetes was tested against chosen MDRs uropathogens by conventional cross streak method [25]. The isolated strains were streaked across the diameter on mullerhinton agar (MHA) plates. All the plates were incubated at 28°C for 6-7 days. After observing ribbon, white colour growth of the strain, the 24h uropathogens were streaked perpendicularly to the angle of central strip of the actinomycetes culture. All the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hrs. After incubation, the antagonistic positive strains were observed due to the inhibition effect and these strains were chosen for further study. The active potential of DMS 3 culture filtrate was added with equal volume of five various solvents (acetone, petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, chloroform, and methanol) and shaken for 1hr. The antibacterial activity of extracted filtrates was tested against selected uropathogens by agar well diffusion method [26].

Extraction of bioactive compounds from DMS 3

The antibacterial potential of actinomycete DMS 3 was extracted with active solvent of ethyl acetate by liquid- liquid extraction method [27]. The DMS 3 strain was inoculated in actinomycete isolating broth (AIB) and the broth was incubated at 28°C for 15-20 days. After fermentation the broth was centrifuged at 5000rpm for 15minutes and the supernatant was filtered by Whatman No.1 filter paper. Equal volume of ethyl acetate was added with filtrate of supernatant in the ratio of 1:1(w/v) and shaken vigorously 1hr for complete extraction. The organic phase was separated from aqueous phase using separating funnel and evaporated with water bath at 40 to 50°C. After evaporation, the dried crude compounds were collected and determined the antimicrobial activity against MDRs of uropathogens by agar well diffusion method.
Secondary screening

The antibacterial ability of DMS 3 was tested against MDRs uropathogens at regular intervals (24h, 48h, 96h) by well-diffusion method [28]. Briefly, the 24hrs cultures of uropathogens were spread on MHA plates and wells were cut using gel borer. The four concentration (25, 50, 75, 100µl) of the extract was added separately into the each well. The ethyl acetate extract act as a control. All the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24hrs. After 24hrs all the plates were observed for the zone of inhibition around the wells.

Determination of MIC and MBC

The MIC of DMS 3 was performed against selected MDR uropathogens by micro broth dilution method using 96-well plate and the result was calculated by spectrophotometer [29]. Briefly, all the wells were filled with fresh TSB broth incorporated with 24hrs bacterial cultures. Different concentration (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100µg/ml) of DMS 3 extract was added into the plate. The two fold serial dilution was done in to 11 following wells. The final volume of each well contained 100µl. The sterile broth with test pathogen was acted as control. Each plate was mixed well and then incubated at 37 °C for 24h. After 24hrs no visible growth was observed in the plate. If the lowest concentrations of the extract inhibited the growth was indicated as MIC. The plates were read with UV spectrophotometer at 570nm and percentage of inhibition was calculated by using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage of inhibition:} \left( \frac{\text{Control OD}_{570\text{nm}} - \text{Test OD}_{570\text{nm}}}{\text{Control OD}_{570\text{nm}}} \right) \times 100
\]

Likewise, 10µL of MIC concentration was aliquot from treated bacteria broth and streaked on MHA plates. All the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24h. After incubation, the highest inhibition of the growth was observed from the minimum concentration of the MIC was indicated as MBC.

Result

Isolation and identification of endophytic actinomycetes

The young fine leaves of green algae (Figure 1) were collected from Gulf of Mannar region, Mandapam coastal area, Rameswaram, Southeast coast of Tamil Nadu, India. Surface sterilization of leaves were validated and showed no any microbial colonies present in the ISP 2 plates. The result was indicated, the sterilization was excellent. After validation, the 50 numbers of pure, fine, ribbons like powdery white color colonies of endophytic actinomycete were isolated from the algal sample and streaked on SCA and AIA medium. The isolated strains were recorded in (Figure 1a & 1b). In parallel, the isolates were originating from internal tissue of the plates were identified by more microbial contamination in the unsterilized tissue of the algal plates were observed (Figure 1c). Approximately 20 isolated strains were showed antimicrobial activity against selected uropathogens. These active strains were chosen for further study for the production of bioactive compounds. The result was proved that the isolated actinomycetes were emerged from internal tissues of the algae. Recently, Rajivgandhi et al. [30] reported that marine endophytic actinomycetes have excellent inhibitory activity against MDRs pathogen. Our result was accordance with earliest finding of Passari et al. [31] and reported that the hypochlorite was better choice for surface sterilization to algae, plant derived endophytic actinomycetes.

Primary screening and antagonistic activity

Based on the antagonistic activity, 20 strains of actinomycetes were determined from antimicrobial activity against MDRs uropathogens. Among the 20 strains, 5 strains have better antagonistic activity against selected uropathogens were observed (Figure 2) and these actinomycetes strains were named as DMS 1, DMS 2, DMS 3 DMS 4 and DMS 5 (Table 1). They also showed minor discrepancy in relation to different strains and test organisms. Interestingly, DMS 3 showed comparatively excellent inhibitory activity against all the tested uropathogens than other strains were
observed (Figure 3). In the antibacterial activity of the ethyl acetate crude extract of the isolated actinomycete were showed better inhibition against selected uropathogens than other solvent were clearly observed (Figure 3). Hence, ethyl acetate extract of the DMS 3 was chosen for further study. The actinomycete was frequently identified from various marine sources and it produced new classes of antibiotics against various clinical disorders [32].

**Figure 2:** a). Antagonistic activity of isolated strains and screening of active endophytic actinomycetes (b, c, d, e, f)

**Figure 3:** Ethyl acetate extract of DMS 3 showed excellent inhibitory activity against all uropathogens.

**Table 1:** Identification of endophytic actinomycetes.

| S. No | Strains  | Antagonistic Activity |
|-------|----------|-----------------------|
| 1     | DMS 1    | Excellent Activity    |
| 2     | DMS 2    | Good Activity         |
| 3     | DMS 3    | Excellent Activity    |
| 4     | DMS 4    | Good Activity         |
| 5     | DMS 5    | Good Activity         |
Extraction and antimicrobial activity of secondary metabolites from DMS 3

The potential strain of DMS 3 was showed excellent antibacterial activity was chosen and the active compound was extracted by ethyl acetate extraction. After, extraction, the aqueous phase and organic phase was collected separately (Figure 4a). Consecutively, the organic phase was maintained in the incubater at 40°C for complete evaporation. After evaporation, the crude compound were collected and mixed with ethyl acetate for further use. In the secondary screening of antibacterial activity, the ethyl acetate extract of the DMS 3 has produced excellent zone of inhibition against all the pathogens including 24, 27, 20, 19, 16mm were observed against E. coli, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumonia, Enterobacter sp (Figure 4), respectively (Figure 4a & 4b). No zone of inhibition was observed in the control well. Hence, the result was confirmed that the ethyl acetate extract of the DMS 3 has more bactericidal. Our result was most accordance with earliest study of Gulve et al. [33] and reported that ethyl acetate was better solvent for production of active metabolites from actinomycetes strains.

![Extraction and antibacterial activity of DMS 3](image)

**Figure 4**: Extraction and antibacterial activity of DMS 3 (a). Ethyl acetate extraction of DMS 3 (b) ethyl acetate extraction of DMS 3 extract against various uropathogens (a). E. coli, (b). P. mirabilis, (c). P. aeruginosa, (d). K. pneumoniae (e). Enterobacter sp.

![Minimum inhibition concentration of DMS 3 against uropathogens](image)

**Figure 5**: Minimum inhibition concentration of DMS 3 against uropathogens.
The supportive evidence of Kumari Pushpa Rani et al. [34] also evidenced, marine derived actinomycetes compound has highest inhibition against Gram negative bacteria and 23, 25, 19 and 24mm zone of inhibition against S. aureus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and B. subtilis were observed.

**MIC and MBC**

The bacteriostatic and bactericidal properties of DMS 3 was performed against Gram negative buropathogens E. coli, P. mirabilis, P. aeruginosa, K. pneumonia, Enterobacter sp by microbroth dilution method. After treatment of 24hrs, the cell multiplication was decreased and the ability of bacterial characters also loosed. The highest growth inhibition was observed at 69, 71, 72, 80, 72% of inhibition was observed at 100μg/mL concentration. The bacterial growth was decreased by increasing concentration of the ethyl acetate extract. In the 100μg/mL concentration, the bacteria K. pneumoniae was completely arrested and other pathogens also nearby same also observed. Hence, 100μg/ml was selected as MIC and this concentration was used for further study (Figure 5).

![Figure 6: Minimum bacterial concentration of DMS 3 against uropathogens.](image)

(a). E. coli (b). P. mirabilis. (c). P.aeruginosa, (d). K.pneumoniae (e). Enterobacter sp.

The misinterpretation of MIC was checked due to turbidity of insoluble compounds into the broth of micro dilution plate, MBC was detected by culturing the MIC dilutions on the fresh MHA plates with different concentration (10-100μg/mL) of DMS. In the MBC plates, no any visible growth were observed at same MIC concentration was observed (Figure 6). The rate of inhibition effect was slightly differed from bacteria to bacteria. However, the result suggested that the increasing concentration of DMS 3 was better inhibitor for MDRs. Both MIC and MBC results confirmed, DMS can be used to control the multi drug developing bacteria in UTIs. Previous studies have reported those chemical constituents of actinomycetes extract have excellent antibacterial agents [35,36]. They have identified the active compounds from endophytic actinomycetes were may be alkaloids (Figure 6).

**Conclusion**

In the present study, the new collection of actinomycetes was identified from marine sources in Gulf of Mannar region and it shows excellent secondary metabolites against drug resistance pathogens. In result, isolated DMS 3 extract has an excellent antibacterial activity against MDRs uropathogens at very low concentration. MIC also proved that the, DMS 3 has potential chemical constituent for inhibiting the uropathogens. Therefore isolation and identification of DMS 3 has been useful in discovery of new classes of antibiotics. The secondary metabolites may be evolved in nature as some kind of response to the interplay of microbial genetics and natural product chemistry. Thus, our study brings forward a good promise for future drug development and agricultural programs [37].
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