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Abstract

Purpose – The hospitality industry is responsible for significant amounts of waste, more than one-third of which is food waste. Through the comparison between an Italian and a Romanian hotel, this paper aims to provide a better understanding of food waste management trends in the hotel industry as well as to highlight hotel kitchens and hotel food services weaknesses and opportunities to minimize food waste.

Design/methodology/approach – In-depth interviews, personal communication and observations were conducted to investigate food service planning, food procurement and food waste management, as well as to better comprehend current individuals’ understanding and attitudes, infrastructures, legislative culture and opportunities either from the managerial and the employees’ perspective. Data were analyzed according to a content analysis approach.

Findings – Three critical hot spots emerged from the analysis: prediction and check of guests’ attendance, communication and transparency with local suppliers and among departments within the unit and purchasing frequency and perishable food provisioning. The accurate forecasting of the number of guests and their nationality is fundamental in avoiding food waste at food service, as well as implementing transparency and communication with local suppliers.

Originality/value – Although academia and authorities have recognized the crucial importance of food waste management, food waste research in the hotel industry remains under-researched. The present exploratory research contributes to the scarce empirical studies about hotels’ food waste, giving theoretical and managerial recommendations for supporting further studies, highlighting the need for formal deals between hotels and local suppliers (food procurement), as well as the importance of food-networks that holds together companies, retailers and charities (food donation).
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1. Introduction

In the European Union (EU), more than 88 million tons (Mt) of food waste is generated each year, representing approximately 20% of the food produced in the member states and accounting for over €143bn in terms of associated financial costs (European Commission, 2019). To mitigate this phenomenon with highly social, economic and environmental impacts, the EU strengthened the role of sustainable consumption and production patterns in the food and beverage industry, focusing on the role of food waste and on food services (Beretta and Hellweg, 2019; Filimonau et al., 2019). Indeed, EU declared food waste as one of ten major indicators of the Circular Economy Monitoring Framework and targeted the decrease food losses as one of the Sustainable Development Goal’s priorities, adopted in Agenda 2030, in terms of sustainable production and consumption. Habits or emotions still represents one of the major obstacles toward food waste minimization, highlighting the
urgent need to involve consumers, governments and public authorities toward a more effective management of foodstuffs and waste deriving from production and domestic activities (van Geffen et al., 2019; Amicarelli et al., 2021). Further, the European Commission also initiated the EU Platform on Food Losses and Food Waste, accounting food loss and waste within the European Green Deal (Farm to Fork Strategy).

To this extend the hospitality industry, which represents a growing sector worldwide with a market value of over US$600bn in 2018 (+28% on 2014 market data) (Statista, 2020a), requires specific attention. With regards to the hotel industry, in terms of environmental impact, the International Hotel Environmental Initiative (2002) estimated, on average, a daily amount of 0.8–1.2 kg of waste per guest, doubled on checkout days (Pirani and Arafat, 2014; Abdulredha et al., 2018). Although such quantity is mainly composed of solid waste (e.g. packaging), a considerable percentage (more than one-third) is represented by food waste, roughly 75% of which is still edible (Williams et al., 2011). In this context, an exploratory study has been conducted to gain a better understanding on food waste management trends in the hotel industry as well as to highlight hotel kitchens and hotel food services weaknesses and opportunities to minimize food waste, proposing a comparison between Italian and Romanian experiences. Contributing to the scarce empirical studies about hotels’ food waste, the present research aims at giving theoretical and managerial recommendations either for supporting further studies, identifying preliminary implication or suggesting policy measures in the field of food waste management.

2. Literature review and research background

2.1 Empirical and theoretical approaches on hotels’ food waste management

The hospitality industry, encompassing establishments such as canteens, elderly care hospitals, hotels, schools, restaurants and universities (Malefors et al., 2019), represents one of the major incomes and labor sources in EU, and predictions on its sharp increase in future years impose several challenges to the economy, environment and society (Styles et al., 2019). Indeed, considering its practical importance, the topic raised the interest of scholars and academics in the field of food waste causes, disposal opportunities and techniques of valorization along the upstream stages of the food supply chain (Schanes et al., 2018; van Geffen et al., 2019). However, a limited number of studies have been conducted within hotel kitchens and hotel food services.

In terms of food waste quantification, Gretzel et al. (2019) recorded examples of reductions in pre- and post-consumption food waste, respectively kitchen and plate waste, mostly due to its impact on profitability and high costs of disposal. Then, considering a research conducted in a five-star hotel in Malta, Camilleri-Fenech et al. (2020) revealed that plate waste was on average of 0.21 kg/person at lunch and 0.16 kg/person at dinner, increasing to 0.48 kg/person during a buffet dinner. Nevertheless, the authors investigating the significant role of food planning practices in hotel kitchens (Leverenz et al., 2021), as well as food waste management alternatives in hotel food services (Gandhi et al., 2019), suggesting theoretical, managerial and political recommendations, are very few in number. Further, most of the studies were conducted in hotels located in Asia (Kasavan et al., 2019) or America (Okumus, 2019), while few researches concerned European realities. According to Okumus et al. (2020), the main hot spots for food waste are related to guest behaviors, preferences and attitudes and its limitation is complex due to the hospitality business’s intrinsic unpredictability. As Tomaszewska et al. (2021) stated, the intervention on hotel staff’s and guests’ behaviors should be done through education. On the one hand, employees should be trained in the proper handling of food, while consumers should be informed on food waste consequences in terms of social, environmental and financial issues. Further, it seems crucial to investigate familiarity, knowledge and culinary traditions related to guests’ nationality (Dolnicar and Juvan, 2019). Indeed, food waste at the
hospitality level significantly depends on patrons’ lack of knowledge on how to eat certain food items and lack of familiarity with certain types of food preparation.

In addition, literature has distinguished between managerial and consumers-side variables. At managerial level, the most investigated items regarded “inventory management and checks,” “supplier agreement and relationship,” “business models and concept,” “food provisioning” and “accuracy in the prediction of the number of expected customers” (Dhir et al., 2020), while consumer-level ones focused on “perception,” “attitudes and behavior” and “eating habits” (Papargyropoulou et al., 2016). As Tatánó et al. (2017) stated, food waste can be limited by promoting and using local, fresh and quality food, standardizing daily menus and improving cooking knowledge and experience, as well as limiting plate sizes. However, although such studies seem to address general paths and rooms for research, none of them explores food procurement, preparation and disposal in Italian or Romanian hotels.

2.2 Italian and Romanian legislative background

The hotels’ global occupancy rate, which is “the share of total rooms available which are occupied or rented at a given time,” reaches its highest value in EU (72.4%), where more than 6.3 million bedrooms are located. Among the EU-27 countries, Italy represents the highest quota, accounting for over 1 million bedrooms and similar accommodations (17%) in 2018 (Istat, 2020; Statista, 2020a). In Romania, the total number of hotels was 1,600, with an accommodation capacity of 198,000 places and around 195,000 rooms (National Institute for Statistics – Romania, 2020). Table 1 illustrates Italian and Romanian hotels-related characteristics.

At country level, it is estimated that Italian avoided, reused, recycled or donated food amounts to over 90,000 t (Banco Alimentare, 2019). Historically, the Italian experience started with Banco Alimentare in 1989, subsequently implemented by Law 460/1997 and Law 133/1999, and the first project was conducted in Northern Italy solely in 2003. Nevertheless, one of the first research conducted in the field of food donations, food safety and food security, was carried out in 2012 in Milan (Garrone et al., 2012). Although authorities suggested actions toward sustainable food consumption, Legge Gadda (GURI, 2016) has been implemented only in 2016, stating that hotels' stakeholders could donate unconsumed food to needy people and/or to feed animals or use for auto-composting. In Romania, food waste legislation resumes with Law 217/2016 that was completed on January 30, 2019 with the implementation rules. The law’s main objective is to mitigate food waste in Romania and encourage food donation. Moreover, the companies that agree to donate food surpluses will benefit from tax

| Table 1 | Italian and Romanian hospitality numbers in 2018 |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | Italy                                         | Romania                                      |
| Hotels  | 32,900                                       | 1,600                                        |
| Beds    | 1,090,000                                    | 163,000                                      |
| Total tourists | 2,260,000                                   | 198,000                                      |
| of which| 123,000,000                                  | 13,400,000                                   |
| Internal| 59,800,000                                   | 10,700,000                                   |
| Foreign | 63,200,000                                   | 2,700,000                                    |
| Tourists origin | Germany: less than 30%                         | Rep. of Moldova: 20%                         |
|         | France, U.K., U.S.: 6–6.5%                   | Bulgaria: more than 14.5%                    |
|         | China, Russia: less than 2.5%                | Ukraine: 14%                                 |
|         | Japan: 1%                                    | Hungary: 12%                                 |

Source: Istat (2020), National Institute for Statistics – Romania (2020), Statista (2020b)
incentives. Food donation is the subject of several rules, such as the durability of food products or the type of food (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, 2019). However, a specific law to apply in the hotel and restaurant sector has not been developed yet in Romania, remaining food waste at the discretion of each individual. At national level, the NGO sector created initiatives to mitigate food waste, such as Food Waste Romania Platform, the social shop Samaro (foodwaste.ro, 2020), Food Bank Bucharest and other similar projects international supermarket chains initiated (e.g. Lidl, Metro, Carrefour). However, the majority of initiatives are still concentrated in big cities like Bucharest, Cluj Napoca or Constanta, while less developed areas in Romania are insufficiently approached (Cantaragi and Hadad, 2019).

3. Research methodology

In line with the European Commission (OJEU, 2019) which includes, among others, interviews as one of the five methodologies to investigate food waste along the entire food supply chain, the present exploratory research was based on in-depth interviews among managers, chefs and food service staff, joined to personal communications and observations inside the hotels. Then, considering the exploratory nature of the research, data have been analyzed according to a content analysis, being therefore possible to gain familiarity with the phenomenon in a flexible, inexpensive and unstructured way (Walsh, 2003; Erlingsson and Brysiewicz, 2017).

3.1 Brief hotel description

The Italian hotel (IT) is located in Marina of Palese, a coastal town in North of Bari in Apulia region. Its strategic position, 100 meters from the beach (Marina of Palese), 10 km far from Bari city center, 2 km from the airport (Karol Wojtyla international Airport) and its well connected with the central railway station and seaport make it perfect for business, cultural events and leisure stays. H1 is a four-star hotel, with 101 rooms, a restaurant, a cocktail bar, four conference halls and an outdoor pool, and it is not part of an international chain. The hotel staff is composed of two managers, 12 chefs and members of the kitchen staff and 15 members of the service staff.

The Romanian hotel (RO) is a four-star accommodation unit located in the city center of Buzau, in the Southeast Region. The unit is located about 20 km away from the spa resort, Sarata Monteoru, and 110 km away from Bucharest, Romania’s capital. The hotel has 120 rooms: 90 double rooms and 30 apartments, a spa center, four restaurants for large and small events and two conference halls, making it suitable for business and events. Further, it is not part of an international chain. In terms of human resources, the unit counts workers distinguished as follows: 3 managers, 15 among chefs and members of the kitchen staff, 20 members of the service staff. Being a national brand with its own internal rules, and being located in a city instead of in a resort, makes the results more accurate and helps researchers to better understand the food waste process.

3.2 Data collection through in-depth interviews

The investigation has been conducted from February 17 to March 2, 2020. According to Leray et al. (2016) and Kasavan et al. (2019), in-depth interview have been designed to investigate, among other logistics and administrative activities, food service planning, food procurement (i.e. food purchase) and food waste management, as well as to better comprehend current individuals’ understanding and attitudes, infrastructures, legislative culture and opportunities either from the managerial and the employees’ perspective. In line with Dhir et al. (2020), five main constructs have been considered to shape the in-depth interview, as follows:
1. inventory management and checks;
2. supplier agreement and relationships;
3. business models and concepts;
4. food provisioning; and
5. expected costumers’ prediction.

The interview has been constructed on the basis of previous literature (Leray et al., 2016; Piran and Arafat, 2016; Kasavan et al., 2019) and research methods handbooks (Brancato et al., 2006). Considering its aim of reaching either managers, chefs and kitchen staff or waiters and food service staff, the in-depth interview followed rules of feasibility, simplicity and generality, and contained 8 closed-ended questions and 12 open-ended ones. In addition, in line with Severt et al. (2008), the researchers maintained an open-ended, inductive and more loose approach due to the interpretative nature of the information needed. The closed-ended questions have been mainly addressed at understanding professional role, individuals’ food waste knowledge and preliminary attitudes toward food preparation, servings and disposal. The open-ended ones, being crucial to comprehend more thoroughly food waste management within the hotels, have been focused on:

- food service planning (e.g. organization of the buffet or the à-la-carte menu);
- food procurement (e.g. food purchase frequency, procurement markets, products selection based on price or quality, selection of the quantity to buy); and
- food waste disposal, in terms of drivers, disposal routes and possible solution/alternatives to disposal.

In addition, respondents were encouraged to self-assess the composition of food waste within each unit and propose personal outlooks to mitigate food waste. Then, to improve the knowledge on the reference context and understand more deeply some of the answers given during the interviews, further personal communication and observations have been conducted, aimed at investigating the hotel’s historical background, the social context, the main food and beverage management practices, the level of communication among managers and employers and the guests’ attitudes when eating (Charlebois et al., 2015). Specifically, 2 managers (i.e. general manager, food and beverage manager), 6 chefs (i.e. kitchen staff) and 10 waiters (i.e. service staff) have been interviewed from each unit. In terms of logistics, the main activities investigated concerned:

- purchase of raw materials and ingredients;
- food preparation;
- food service à la carte and/or buffet;
- food disposal of inedible food; and
- food disposal and/or recovery of unconsumed and still edible food donation (Figure 1).

### 3.3 Data analysis

Data collected through in-depth interviews have been thoroughly analyzed through a content analysis. Although it seems not to exists a homogeneous understanding of such a methodology, and several definitions have been provided by different researchers (Kohlbacher, 2006), the content analysis could be described as “the study of recorded human communications” (Babbie, 2020), whose aim is to detect “underlying themes in the materials being analyzed” (Bryman, 1992) and not in terms of quantity, amount, intensity or frequency (Denzin and Lincoln, 2005). As stated by Walsh (2003), content analysis findings have the chance to identify the not-so-obvious perspectives inside in-depth interviews,
Figure 1 | Main processes investigated

Source: The authors' personal elaboration realized with STAN 2.6.801 software

F = Food; FW = Food waste; UF = Unconsumed food; R = Reused food
Green lines = Edible food; Red lines = Food waste
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becoming the potential ground break for both academics and practitioners and playing a critical role in creating new theories. Indeed, it has been successfully applied in several research areas (e.g. social sciences, medicine, economics) and specifically in tourism and hospitality, as reported by Camprubi and Coromina (2016), Boo and Busser (2018) and Andreu et al. (2020). Considering that the content analysis concentrates on recorded communication (i.e. transcripts of in-depth interviews), the present research investigated respondents’ interviews step-by-step, as proposed by Mayring (2000), Bengtsson (2016) and Erlingsson and Brysiewicz (2017). Therefore, systematic rules of procedure, devising the material into content analytical units, have been applied as follows:

- summary, reducing material into meaning units and preserving the essential content (e.g., paraphrased, generalized or reduced interviews);
- explication (or categorization), clarifying and annotating the keywords; and
- interpretation, in a process which starts from initial theory and arrives to interpretation.

Data have been elaborated using Microsoft Excel spreadsheets. Figure 2 illustrates the research process from data collection to interpretation.

4. Results

In-depth interviews, according to the content analysis, have been systematically investigated in a transparent and rigorous way, as shown in the example provided in Table 2.

Keywords resulting from the content analysis are illustrated in Figure 3. The graphs show the main results with reference to:

- managers;

![Figure 2: Research process from data collection to interpretation](image-url)
chefs (kitchen staff); and

waiters (service staff), highlighting differences and similarities among the units.

Indeed, it results that keywords such as “self-production” and “local” have been mainly used by IT managers, while “cash-and-carries” and “software” by RO ones. The same applies to IT chefs, which have mainly adopted keywords like “dealers” and “agreements” rather than “supermarkets” and “composting”, as RO chefs did. In terms of waiters/service staff, IT and RO employees have shown a certain similarity resulting in keywords like “fruits”, “vegetables” and “pastries”, as well as “nationality” and “age”.

### 4.1 Results on the side of food service planning

In terms of internal food waste policy, IT managers personally take care of meals preparation, coordinating both the administrative department and the kitchen staff. Indeed, their management is founded on three main pillars:

1. control of guests’ attendance;
2. efficient communication between departments; and
3. perishable goods storage and management.

According to food service planning, breakfast and dinner are served as a buffet, while lunch is a`-la-carte. In the buffet organization, the main steps regard (manually) quantifying guests and analyzing their nationality, since the quality/quantity of needed food mostly depends on it. Moreover, in terms of food supply, IT managers tend to maximize self-production of food (e.g. croissants, cakes, jam or bread are homemade), implementing the “farm-to-fork” approaches to reduce food waste amounts and associated environmental impacts and financial costs. RO experience follows similar trends. Indeed, both managers and chefs consider food waste policy, focusing their strategy on waste collection according to the national rules, highlighting the staff’s role in adopting sustainable behaviors. Indeed, the main obstacles in decreasing food waste are the lack of reservation forecast – which requires introducing a particular software and hiring skilled employees – and the lack of logistic service to support food waste. Furthermore, serving breakfast as a buffet, and being aware that such service is more food waste generating, the unit makes substantial efforts by analyzing the number of guests and their nationality, the season and their (eventual) special requests. Moreover, management is also concerned about the behavior changes in terms
Figure 3  Keywords share among IT – RO managers, chefs and service staff

(Personal elaboration by the authors)
of food consumption (e.g. food trends) and food availability in local markets, trying to adjust provisioning quantities as soon as possible.

4.2 Results on the side of food purchase

According to food purchase, Italians are more likely to buy food at cash and carries and fresh local markets on a daily basis, strengthening informal agreements with dealers that have huge quantities of fresh fruit and vegetables and offer them particular discounts to avoid useless waste. Therefore, IT has created a well-articulated network with local fruit and vegetable retailers, implementing a so-called industrial symbiosis. Managers and dealers work together to prevent unsold but still edible product from becoming waste, transforming fresh fruit into jam and increasing (if possible) the offer of fruits and vegetables in the menu. In this way, food waste is avoided at marketplaces with related monetary benefits for both actors: sellers, who are not forced to throw away food, losing their revenue, and hotel managers, who buy food with an economic advantage. On the other side, Romanians purchase raw ingredients and food primarily from cash and carries units, supermarkets, online and collaborates with HoReCa (Hotels, Restaurants and Catering) suppliers daily. They self-prepare food inside the unit, both from raw ingredients and edible unconsumed food, but have declared no particular interaction with local markets or specific dealers.

4.3 Results on the side of food waste management

At the moment of disposal, IT managers pay attention to the difference between edible (for human donation) and inedible food (mainly for animal feed). The south of Italy's historical background justifies this knowledge: Until the 1970s, IT was located in a peripheral area of the municipality of Bari, surrounded by areas intended for agriculture and animal breeding. Nowadays, after a sharp urbanization process, urban areas have spread, replacing lands and pastures all around the hotel. In the case of food waste at preparation, managers give priority to animal feed (personal knowledge of local breeders) and later to separate waste. If food waste is generated at consumption, leftovers from buffets are mainly donated to the staff and/or used for other meals’ preparation. Indeed, food waste from guests' plates is mandatorily destined to a separate collection, even if hoteliers are still skeptical toward it – they do not strongly believe in the efficiency of collection services and often, due to such skepticism, are not particularly focused on wasting differentiation. On the other side, RO managers and kitchen staff understand the difference between edible and inedible food and take actions following the national legislation. At the moment of disposal, they give priority to separate collection, then they provide the edible food to the staff and, if possible, use edible food to prepare other dishes. Regarding the inedible fractions, they are mainly used for compost.

4.4 Results on the side of the kitchen and service staff

According to waiters and food service staff, buffet service appears more responsible for food waste than à-la-carte one, as guests’ behavior is generally unsustainable/unaware toward the issue. The principal typologies of food waste detected are fruits, vegetables and pastries. In addition, Italian families with children seems to be the less virtuous toward the issue, while old-aged people, foreign guests and business travelers are the most virtuous ones. In terms of opportunities, staff considers an awareness campaign as a good solution to mitigate food waste. In this way, the hotel would inform guests about the consequences of food waste, stressing the necessity of pursuing sustainable behavior (Kallbekken and Sælen, 2013; Chen and Jai, 2018). Moreover, kitchen and food service staff propose several actions to mitigate food waste that employees can adopt. Some examples include disposing raw ingredients according to the specifications on the label. Also, the ingredients should be stored depending on the level of freshness: Food bought more recently should
be placed toward the back of the fridge. Another idea is to freeze food every time it is suitable.

Last, both managers and service staff complain about a huge number of guests’ unsustainable behavior and the lack of awareness/sensitization toward the issue. As a suggestion, they propose:

- implementing an awareness plan within and outside the units; and
- introducing policies that regulate the withdrawal of unconsumed food for human consumption and animal feed.

5. Discussion

5.1 Driving forces and obstacles

From the moment food enters the consumption stage (as raw ingredients) until the moment it exits (as leftovers and/or waste), little is known about what happens. Generally, food preparation, food service and unconsumed food management are intended as a single process, but this is not true: It is important to understand where food is bought, the purchasing frequency, the way food is prepared and consumed and the techniques adopted to dispose and/or reuse what has become waste. This means that the single process, the so-called “final consumption,” must be disaggregated among single activities (Marthinsen et al., 2012). As previously discussed by academics (Silvennoinen et al., 2015), these activities vary from one unit to another and depend on proper internal organization and management, nationality, social and cultural heritage and other subjective and objective variables (i.e. level of integration, communication and transparency within the different unit departments, innovation and technologies adopted, knowledge of legislative framework).

According to the exploratory results, three main critical steps of the food waste issue emerged, as follows:

1. predicting and checking guests’ attendance;
2. communication and transparency with local suppliers and among departments within the unit; and
3. purchasing frequency and perishable food provisioning.

In line with literature (Tatano et al., 2017; Principato et al., 2018), accurately forecasting of the number of guests and their nationality seems to be fundamental in avoiding food waste at food service as well as implementing transparency and communication outside the unit through efficient communication between local fresh market suppliers and hotels (or restaurants) (Kilibarda et al., 2019). Indeed, informal deals between hotels and local suppliers should be substituted by formal agreements, as the creation of preferential distribution channels could avoid food waste at distribution stage through selling perishable products at a lower price. Further, the paradigm of transparent and clear communication must also be implemented between chefs, waiters and managers, considering that managers, who personally take care of the kitchen and are aware of food waste issue, who coordinate more than one department and know exactly how to prepare and to dispose food, are more likely to control and correct food waste attitudes, converging toward more sustainable procedures in a shorter time. Though, several obstacles should be removed, such as the lack of a specific software to calculate the “number of guests–food required” ratio, the lack in separate collection infrastructures – of which some managers are skeptical – and the lack of an awareness campaign addressed to guests, as suggested by Chinese managers (Filimonau et al., 2020).
In terms of future perspectives on food waste management, results from the analysis are quite similar to those already presented by previous authors. Good practical examples refer to the introduction of tasting plates, which allows guests – especially children – to taste small portions of food. Indeed, as stated by Juvan et al. (2018), massive portions containing local food (usually not familiar to tourists) cause much waste. Other possible measures could regard the reduction of the buffet rooms, considering that smaller areas are more likely to be controlled, or the implementation of specialized software to better estimate the quantities needed (currently carried out with rudimentary management systems). Further, in terms of food leftovers management, both managers from Italy and Romania have proposed introducing an online platform for donating food both for human consumption or for animals and suggested promoting awareness campaigns within and outside each unit (at the local, regional and national levels). One possible option, as suggested by Linh (2018), could be the introduction of web-based and mobile platforms intended to recover food excess and prevent them from being thrown away. In addition, local authorities should stress the need for formal agreements among hotels and charities, considering that most of the solutions to reduce food waste in the hospitality industry pass through the private sector (e.g. online platforms such as TooGoodToGo) (Alaimo et al., 2021).

5.2 Theoretical prospects, limitations and future research

Under a theoretical perspective, the exploratory research contributes to the scarce empirical studies about hotels’ food waste, giving theoretical and managerial recommendations either for supporting further studies, identifying preliminary implication or suggesting policy measures. Indeed, it confirms past trends and adds new insights, stressing the urgency for support in the creation of formal agreements either between hotels, local suppliers (in terms of food procurement) and charities (in terms of food donation). However, one of the main limits of the present research is represented by the numbers of units investigated, which do not guarantee generalizations at sector level but still provides essential highlights for public authorities, academics and private stakeholders. To this extent, further research is intended to investigate guests’ perspective, highlighting the role of consumers’ awareness, attitudes and behavior toward food waste minimization in the hotel industry. In addition, future studies will include a larger number of hotels with different characteristics and located in other parts regions of Italy and Romania.

6. Conclusions

In conclusion, the hotel sector has significant opportunities to reduce the amount of food waste it produces, but several efforts are required both by single units and public authorities. Indeed, the present research shows that either Italian and Romanian managers, chefs and service staff are aware of food waste issues under financial, social and environmental perspective, and are continuously looking for solutions, but face every day several obstacles toward its minimization. According to those opportunities outside-the-unit, it seems essential to enhance formal deals between hotels and local suppliers, trying to create preferential distribution channels which could generate advantages from the side of food service and from that of food distribution. Further, formal agreements should be underwritten between hotels and foodbanks, with the aim of generating a food-network that holds together companies, retailers and charities. In terms of solutions inside-the-unit, several efforts are needed to improve processes at administrative, kitchen and service level. Indeed, considering the importance of involving technology in the hotel sector, units should have more support from national authorities both in terms of funding and consultancy. It is essential the introduction of dedicated software that can predict the quantities of required raw ingredients on the basis of the number of guests and their nationality. Limiting the quantities of unconsumed food needs more than traditional analyses, and digitalization in this regard can represent an actual solution.
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