4-[(4-Chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic Acid
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Abstract: The X-ray crystal structure determination of the glutaric acid-amide derivative, 4-ClC₆H₄N(H)C(=O)(CH₂)₂C(=O)OH (1), is described. The backbone of the molecule adopts an extended, all-trans configuration but the terminal carboxylic acid and phenyl resides are twisted out of the plane through the bridging atoms, as seen in the torsion angles of O(carboxylic acid)–C(m)–C(m)–C(m) [13.9(5)°] and C(m)–N–C(p)–C(p) [47.1(4)°]; m = methylene and p = phenyl. The most striking feature of the molecular packing is the formation of supramolecular tapes mediated by carboxylic acid-O–H···O(carbonyl) and amide-N–H···O(amide) hydrogen bonding.

Keywords: glutaric acid amide; amide; carboxylic acid; hydrogen bonding; X-ray crystallography

1. Introduction

The title compound, 4-ClC₆H₄N(H)C(=O)(CH₂)₂C(=O)OH (1), Scheme 1, was investigated crystallographically in continuation of recent structural studies on related glutaric acid amides [1–4]. Compound (1) was originally reported in 1957 in the context of potential anti-bacterial activity [5] and has been subsequently investigated for the inhibition of sunflower seedling development [6] and antinociceptive properties [7]. Further, (1) and related derivatives have been employed as intermediates in reactions leading to the synthesis of bis-pyrazolo-1,4-dihydropyridines [8] and 2,2′-(N-phenylpiperidine-2,6-diylidene)dimalononitriles [9]. Herein, the spectroscopic and crystallographic characterization of (1) is described.

Scheme 1. Chemical diagram for 4-[(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic acid (1).

2. Results and Discussion

Colorless crystals of (1) were prepared in 81% yield from the 1:1 reaction of 4-chloroaniline and glutaric anhydride, and was characterized by IR, 1H and 13C[1H] NMR, and UV spectroscopy; original spectra are found in the Supplementary Materials. In the IR spectrum, characteristic absorptions were apparent, for example, at 1689, 1662, and 1436 cm⁻¹, assigned to ν(carbonyl C=O), ν(COasym), and ν(COsym), respectively. The 1H NMR-recorded
DMSO-$d_6$ solution revealed broad resonances at $\delta$ 12.10 and 10.03 ppm, ascribed to OH and NH$_2$ respectively. In the $^{13}$C[$^1$H] NMR (DMSO-$d_6$), downfield resonances at $\delta$ 174.6 and 171.3 ppm were assigned to CO$_2$ and C($-$O), respectively. In the UV (acetonitrile) spectrum, the three well-defined absorptions observed at 250.0, 200.6, and 191.2 nm are ascribed to n-π$^*$($\text{C=O}$), π-π$^*$($\text{C=O}$), and π-π$^*$($\text{C=C}$) transitions, respectively. Additional characterization of (I) was achieved through X-ray crystallography.

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Figure 1. The confirmation of the carboxylic acid assignment is readily seen in the values of the C1–O1 and C1–O2 bond lengths of 1.226(4) and 1.301(4) Å, and in the supramolecular association, as detailed below. The sequence of C1–C2–C3–C4 $[-171.7(3)^\circ]$, C2–C3–C4–C5 $[-173.6(3)^\circ]$, C3–C4–C5–N1 $[-171.0(3)^\circ]$, and C4–C5–N1–C6 $[179.1(3)^\circ]$ torsion angles indicates that the backbone of the molecule has an all-trans configuration and an extended conformation. However, there are twists at the extremities of the molecule, as noted in the O1–C1–C2–C3 $[13.9(5)^\circ]$ and C5–N1–C6–C7 $[47.1(4)^\circ]$ torsion angles.

![Figure 1](image_url). The molecular structure of (I) showing atom labeling and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

The most closely related structure in the literature is that of the 3,5-dichlorophenyl analog [3]. Here, the basic features as noted for (I) are evident but there is a notable twist about the C3–C4 bond, as seen in the value of the C2–C3–C4–C5 torsion angle of 78.5(2)$^\circ$.

As anticipated from the chemical composition, there are significant hydrogen-bonding interactions at play in the molecular packing in the crystal of (I); geometric parameters describing these are given in the caption to Figure 2. The carboxylic acid residues assemble about a center of inversion to form eight-membered $\{\cdots \text{OCOH}_2\}$ synthons within two-molecule aggregates. As shown in Figure 2a, the two-molecule aggregates are assembled into a supramolecular tape via amide-N–H···O(amide) hydrogen bonds. In accordance with the distance criteria in PLATON [10], the tapes, which lie parallel to (1 0 1), pack in the crystal without directional interactions between them, as shown in Figure 2b. A similar supramolecular tape was observed in the crystal of the aforementioned 3,5-dichlorophenyl analog [3].

In conclusion, the X-ray crystallographic study established an all-trans configuration in the bridge linking the carboxylic acid and phenyl residues, with each of the latter being twisted out of the plane through the rest of the molecule, in particular the phenyl ring. Supramolecular tapes, two molecules wide, feature in the molecular packing mediated by carboxylic acid-О–H···O(carbonyl) hydrogen bonding, to form two-molecule aggregates, and amide-N–H···O(amide) hydrogen bonding which links the former into the tape.
Figure 2. Molecular packing in the crystal of (1): (A) a view of the supramolecular tape featuring O–H–O and N–H–O hydrogen bonding, shown as orange and blue dashed lines, respectively, and (B) a view of the unit-cell contents shown in projection down the b-axis; one tape has been highlighted in space-filling mode. Selected intermolecular parameters: O2–H2o...O1i: [H2o...O1i = 1.84(4) Å, O2...O1i = 2.651(4) Å and angle at H2o = 169(5)° for symmetry operation (i) 1-, hydrogen bonding, shown as orange and blue dashed lines, respectively, and (2-) in projection down the b-axis; one tape has been highlighted in space-filling mode. Selected intermolecular parameters: O3–H1n...O1ii [H1n...O1ii = 1.84(4) Å, O3...O1ii = 2.208(19) Å, N1–O3ii = 3.027(4) Å and angle at H1n = 159(3)° for (ii) z, 1+y, z].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Information

All standard chemicals and solvents were sourced from Macklin (Pudong, Shanghai, China) and Sigma (Saint Louis, MO, USA) and used without further purification. The melting point was determined on a BioCote melting point apparatus (Staffordshire, UK). Elemental analyses were performed on a PerkinElmer CHNS 2400 instrument (Waltham, MA, USA). The FTIR spectrum was measured on a Thermo Nicolet-6700 spectrophotometer (Vienna, Austria) from 4000–450 cm⁻¹. The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d₆ solution on a Bruker Avance 500 MHz NMR (Billerica, MA, USA) spectrometer with chemical shifts relative to tetramethylsilane. The optical absorption spectrum was obtained from an acetonitrile solution (4.14 × 10⁻⁵ M) in the range 185–340 nm on a Shimadzu UV-3600 plus UV/VIS/NIR (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto Prefecture, Japan) spectrophotometer.

3.2. Synthesis and Characterization of (1)

4-Chloro aniline (0.64 g, 5 mmol) and glutaric anhydride (0.57 g, 5 mmol) were dissolved separately in about 10–15 mL analytical grade toluene. The two solutions were then slowly mixed and stirred at room temperature until the appearance of precipitate. This was filtered, washed with a minimal amount toluene (to remove any unreacted reactants), and then with water (to remove any glutaric acid that may have formed during the reaction). The desired compound was air-dried and recrystallized in the solvent mixture ethanol/acetone (1:1 v/v) to yield colorless crystals after one week. Yield: 81%. M.pt: 138–139 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₁₃H₁₂ClNO₃: C, 54.67; H, 5.01; N, 5.80%. Found: C, 54.19; H, 5.00; N, 5.87%. FTIR: 3305 (m) ν(N–H), 3189 (w) ν(O–H), 1689 (s) ν(amide C=O), 1662 (s) ν(CO), 1589 (m) ν(C=C), 1436 (m) ν(CO), 1.3H NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 12.10 (s, 1H, OH), 10.03 (s, 1H, NH), 7.63 (d, 2H, H7, 3), 3,5,7,9HH = 5 Hz), 7.35 (d, 2H, H8, 10), 2.36 (t, 2H, H4, 3), 2.28 (t, 2H, H2, 3), 1.81 (quint, 2H, H3, 3), 1.21 (s, 3H, H3). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (DMSO-d₆): δ 174.6 (C1), 171.4 (C5), 138.7 (C6), 129.0 (C8,10), 126.9 (C9), 121.0 (C7,11), 35.8 (C4), 33.4 (C2), 20.8 (C3). UV (acetonitrile): nm, L.cm⁻¹.M⁻¹: λabs = 250.0 (ε = 21,541; n-π*(C=O)), 200.6 (27,476; π-π*(C=O)), 191.2 (67,181; π-π*(C=O)).

3.3. Crystallography

Intensity data for colorless crystal of (1) (0.04 × 0.11 × 0.17 mm) were measured at T = 298(2) K on a XtalLAB Synergy Dual AtlasS2 (Rigaku Polska SP, Z O O, Wrocław, Poland) diffractometer fitted with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54184 Å) using ω-scans in the θmax range 3.7°–67.1°. Data reduction, including absorption correction, was accomplished.
with CrysAlis Pro [11]. Of the 13,024 reflections measured, 2014 were unique (\(R_{int} = 0.054\)), and of these, 1692 data satisfied the \(I \geq 2\sigma(I)\) criterion of observability. The structure was solved by direct methods [12] and refined (anisotropic displacement parameters and C-bound H atoms in the riding model approximation) on \(I^2\) [13]. The O–H and N–H atoms were located from a difference map and refined with O–H and N–H distance restraints of 0.82 ± 0.01 and 0.86 ± 0.01 Å, respectively. A weighting scheme of the form \(w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{o}^2) + (0.087P)^2 + 1.129P]\) was introduced, where \(P = (F_{o}^2 + 2F_{c}^2)/3\). Based on the refinement of 151 parameters, the final values of \(R[I \geq 2\sigma(I)]\) and \(wR\) (all data) were 0.067 and 0.195, respectively. The molecular structure diagram was generated with ORTEP for Windows [14] and the packing diagram using DIAMOND [15].

Crystal data for \(C_{11}H_{12}ClNO_{3}\) (1): \(M = 241.67\), monoclinic, \(P2_1/c\), \(a = 24.2349(19)\) Å, \(b = 4.8027(5)\) Å, \(c = 9.7993(7)\) Å, \(\beta = 96.863(7)^\circ\), \(V = 1132.40(17)\) Å\(^3\), \(Z = 4\), \(\Delta = 1.418\) g cm\(^{-3}\), \(F(000) = 504\) and \(\mu = 2.940\) mm\(^{-1}\). CCDC deposition number: 2077071.

**Supplementary Materials:** Figure S1: FTIR spectrum of 4-[(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic acid (1), Figure S2: \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of 4-[(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic acid (1), Figure S3: \(^{13}C\)(\(^{1}H\)) NMR spectrum of 4-[(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic acid (1), and Figure S4: UV spectrum of 4-[(4-chlorophenyl)carbamoyl]butanoic acid (1). Crystallographic data for (1) in crystallographic information file (CIF) format. CCDC 2077071 also contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html.
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