TIA1 oxidation inhibits stress granule assembly and sensitizes cells to stress-induced apoptosis
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Cytoplasmic stress granules (SGs) are multimolecular aggregates of stalled translation pre-initiation complexes that prevent the accumulation of misfolded proteins, and that are formed in response to certain types of stress including ER stress. SG formation contributes to cell survival not only by suppressing translation but also by sequestering some apoptosis regulatory factors. Because cells can be exposed to various stresses simultaneously in vivo, the regulation of SG assembly under multiple stress conditions is important but unknown. Here we report that reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as H₂O₂ oxidize the SG-nucleating protein TIA1, thereby inhibiting SG assembly. Thus, when cells are confronted with a SG-inducing stress such as ER stress caused by protein misfolding, together with ROS-induced oxidative stress, they cannot form SGs, resulting in the promotion of apoptosis. We demonstrate that the suppression of SG formation by oxidative stress may underlie the neuronal cell death seen in neurodegenerative diseases.
In dealing with environmental stresses, eukaryotic cells either activate defence mechanisms to survive or initiate apoptosis, depending on the level and type of stress. One of the major cellular defence mechanisms is the assembly of cytoplasmic stress granules (SGs). SGs are phase-dense particles that appear when eukaryotic cells are exposed to certain types of stress such as endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, heat shock, hypoxia, arsenite or viral infection. The core components of SGs are large aggregates of stalled translation pre-initiation complexes that contain mRNA, 40S ribosomal subunits, translation initiation factors and several RNA-binding proteins (RBPs).

Classically, the assembly of SGs is triggered by stress-induced phosphorylation of α-subunit of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 (eIF2α), and requires self-aggregation of specific RBPs such as T-cell intracellular antigen-1 (TIA1) or the Ras-GAP factor 2 (eIF2α) of eIF2α. Phosphorylation of eIF2α is phosphorylated at serine 51 by a number of different stress-sensing kinases such as protein kinase R (PKR), each of which is activated by a different type of stress. Phosphorylation of eIF2α suppresses productive translation initiation by preventing formation of eIF2-GTP-Met-tRNAi, the ternary complex that joins the initiator Met-tRNAi to the 43S pre-initiation complex. Under the stress conditions, specific RBPs such as TIA1 or G3BP, instead of the ternary complex, interact with an mRNA in the 43S complex, leading to the assembly of a noncanonical, translationally stalled 48S complex. Self-oligomerization of TIA1 or G3BP then promotes the aggregation of these 48S complexes at discrete cytoplasmic foci termed SGs. Therefore, both RNA binding and self-oligomerization of these RBPs are crucial for the assembly of SGs. Indeed, TIA1 possesses three RNA recognition motifs (RRMs) at the NH2 terminus, along with a glutamine-rich prion-related domain at the COOH terminus that is responsible for its prion-like self-aggregation.

In addition to the standard mechanism, SGs might be formed by other mechanisms. First, SGs can be nucleated by proteins other than TIA1 and G3BP, such as Caprin-1, the DEAD box family RNA helicase DDX3 and tristetraprolin. Most of these proteins possess self-oligomerization domains. Second, some SGs are assembled without eIF2α phosphorylation. Drugs or lipid mediators that target eIF4A, such as pateamine A, hupistipalanol and 15d-PGJ2, inhibit translation initiation and initiate SG assembly independently of eIF2α phosphorylation. These SGs contain the eIF2/5 initiation factors, which are not found in the classical SGs induced by eIF2α phosphorylation. This article will focus on the regulation of the classical SG formation initiated by TIA1.

SGs serve as sites for mRNA storage and triage. While housekeeping mRNAs are recruited to and sequestered into SGs during stress, certain mRNAs encoding proteins involved in stress tolerance (for example, heat shock proteins, molecular chaperones or enzymes involved in damage repair) are excluded from SGs. Therefore, by assembling SGs, cells temporarily reduce stress tolerance (for example, heat shock proteins, molecular chaperones or enzymes involved in damage repair) are excluded from SGs. Therefore, by assembling SGs, cells temporarily reduce stress tolerance, protecting them from apoptosis. We have previously reported that when cells are exposed to a SG-inducing stress, the signalling adaptor protein RACK1 is sequestered into SGs, and this sequestration inhibits the stress-activated p38 and JNK pathways and subsequent apoptosis. SGs were shown to recruit regulatory-associated protein of mTOR (Raptor), thereby preventing hyperactivation of mTORC1 signalling and inhibiting apoptosis. Recruitment of other signalling molecules (for example, tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 2 (TRAF2), rho-associated coiled-coil containing kinase 1 (ROCK1), WD repeat-containing protein 62 (WDR62), protein kinase C α (PKCα), MAP kinase phospho-ser/thr/tyr-binding protein (MK-STYX)) has also been reported. Thus, formation of SGs serves as a cellular adaptive defence mechanism and protects cells from apoptosis under adverse conditions, by regulating mRNA translation as well as by sequestering signalling molecules. Although many types of stress have been reported to induce SG formation, the assembly of SGs under multiple stress conditions has not yet been elucidated. Furthermore, little is known about the role of SGs in the development of human diseases.

Here we show that oxidative stress inhibits SG formation by inhibiting the SG-nucleating protein TIA1, thereby rendering cells vulnerable to apoptosis. Our data reveal that oxidative stress-mediated suppression of SGs directs cell fate decisions and is involved in the cytotoxicity of polyglutamine (polyQ)-expanded proteins seen in neurodegenerative diseases.

**Results**

**H2O2 inhibits the assembly of SGs.** Although many types of stresses including ER stress, arsenite and oxidative stress have been reported to induce SG formation, the assembly of SGs under multiple stress conditions has not yet been elucidated. One likely mechanism is the oxidation of the cysteine (Cys) residues of a target protein that is essential for SG formation. Since TIA1 and G3BP are the core proteins for SG assembly, we initially tested if these proteins are oxidatively suppressed by oxidative stress. To distinguish between these possibilities, we treated cells with H2O2 either simultaneously or sequentially in different orders. When H2O2 was applied 20 min before thapsigargin, or when both reagents were applied at the same time, SG formation was strongly inhibited (Fig. 1e). In contrast, when cells were treated with H2O2 after SGs are already induced by thapsigargin, these SGs persisted in the presence of H2O2. Thus, we conclude that H2O2 impairs the process of SG assembly, but does not promote SG disassembly. H2O2 treatment did not suppress thapsigargin-induced eIF2α phosphorylation (Fig. 1f). Therefore, H2O2 inhibits SG assembly without affecting eIF2α phosphorylation, implying that it acts downstream of eIF2α phosphorylation in the process of SG formation.

**TIA1-Cys36 is oxidized by H2O2.** We next asked how H2O2 inhibits SG formation. One likely mechanism is the oxidation of the cysteine (Cys) residues of a target protein that is essential for SG formation. Since TIA1 and G3BP are the core proteins for SG assembly, we initially tested if these proteins are oxidatively modified by H2O2. For this purpose, COS cells were treated with H2O2, and cell lysates were separated on SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) under non-reducing conditions.
followed by immunoblotting to detect TIA1 (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a) and G3BP (Fig. 2b). A marked shift in the electrophoretic mobility of TIA1 (but not of G3BP) to high-molecular-weight species was observed in H2O2-treated cells. Incubation of the lysates with a reducing agent (2-mercaptoethanol) abolished this mobility shift, indicating that TIA1 is oxidized, resulting in the formation of a covalent complex with (an)other protein(s) through disulfide bonds (Supplementary Fig. 2b). To identify the Cys residue(s) of TIA1 that is/are oxidized, we constructed a series of TIA1 mutants with individual mutations of one of the six Cys residues to serine (Ser) (Supplementary Fig. 2c). Therefore, TIA1-Cys36, which lies in a RRM, is the target of oxidation.

To investigate the relevance of TIA1-Cys36 oxidation to H2O2-mediated inhibition of SG assembly, we examined the effect of H2O2 on TIA1 oligomerization in cells expressing the oxidation-resistant TIA1(C36S) mutant (Fig. 2e). Treatment with thapsigargin alone efficiently induced SG formation (nearly 100%). When the cells were simultaneously treated with H2O2 and thapsigargin, almost no SG formation was observed in control cells or in cells that expressed wild-type TIA1 (Myc-TIA1). In contrast, in cells expressing TIA1(C36S), the number of SG-containing cells was significantly increased (up to 40% of the cells). Moreover, TIA1(C36S) also allowed arsenite-induced SG assembly in cells exposed to H2O2 (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Therefore, H2O2-mediated inhibition of SG assembly is largely caused by the oxidation of TIA1-Cys36.

The RRM domains of TIA1 bind to AU-rich elements in the mRNA of various genes. Also, TIA1 homo-oligomerizes through the Q-rich prion-related domain (Fig. 2c). Both RNA binding and homo-oligomerization of TIA1 are essential for TIA1-mediated SG formation. We therefore determined if either of these TIA1 functions is altered by oxidation. First, we examined the effect of H2O2 on TIA1 oligomerization in co-immunoprecipitation experiments (Supplementary Fig. 3b). As anticipated, Flag-tagged TIA1 co-precipitated with green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged TIA1. This co-precipitation was not reduced by H2O2, indicating that TIA1 oligomerization is not affected by oxidation. Next, we assessed the effect of TIA1 oxidation on its ability to interact with mRNA, using an RBP immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay. TIA1 was previously shown to bind mRNA encoding TNFα and cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2).
H$_2$O$_2$-mediated suppression of SG formation promotes apoptosis.

SG formation protects cells during stress by preserving non-translating mRNAs and by sequestering several apoptosis regulatory factors into the granules$^{2,12}$. Indeed, augmentation of SG formation in U2OS cells by expression of GFP-G3BP, an efficient inducer of SG formation$^5$, suppressed thapsigargin-induced, ER stress-mediated apoptosis, as assessed by Annexin V staining (Supplementary Fig. 4a–c). Conversely, suppression of SG formation by the expression of GFP-G3BP(1-340) or GFP-eIF2x(S51A)$^5,18$ enhanced thapsigargin-induced apoptosis. We therefore predicted that H$_2$O$_2$-mediated suppression of SG assembly would promote apoptotic cell death by stresses that would otherwise induce SGs. To test this prediction, GFP-TIA1 or GFP-TIA1(C36S) was transiently expressed in U2OS cells. The cells were then treated with thapsigargin (10 μM) alone or in combination with H$_2$O$_2$ (200 μM). This concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was sufficient to suppress SG formation (Fig. 1d), but was too low to induce apoptosis by itself (Fig. 2g). Annexin V staining showed that combined treatment with thapsigargin and H$_2$O$_2$ substantially enhanced apoptosis in control (GFP expressing)
cells compared with thapsigargin treatment alone (Fig. 2g). Expression of wild-type TIA1 (GFP-TIA1) did not affect the extent of the apoptosis induced by thapsigargin and H2O2. However, the apoptosis-enhancing effect of H2O2 was not observed in cells expressing the oxidation-resistant TIA1(C36S) mutant. Furthermore, forced induction of SG formation by the expression of GFP-G3BP1 also suppressed thapsigargin and H2O2-induced apoptosis. In contrast, TIA1(C36S) did not affect apoptosis induced by a combination of etoposide (a SG-non-inducing stress)12 and H2O2 (Fig. 2h). MTT cell viability assay gave similar results (Supplementary Fig. 4d). In summary, inhibition of SG formation by oxidative stress promotes apoptotic cell death by SG-inducing stresses such as ER stress.

**TIA1(C36S) expression suppresses apoptosis in HT22 cells.** Both oxidative stress and ER stress have been implicated in the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders, including multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and so on19–22. A general feature of these disorders is apoptotic neuronal cell death, but its mechanism remains obscure. We therefore tested if oxidative stress contributes to neuronal cell death by inhibiting ER stress-induced SG formation. For this purpose, we initially employed HT22 immortalized mouse hippocampal cell line as a model for the study of glutamate (Glu)-mediated, oxidative stress-induced neuronal cell death. HT22 cells lack functional Glu receptors and are thus not susceptible to Glu-induced excitotoxicity. These cells, however, are still sensitive to high concentrations of extracellular Glu, because Glu induces oxidative stress by inhibiting the Glu/cystine antiporter-mediated uptake of cystine, which is rapidly converted to Cys in the cytoplasm. Lower concentrations of intracellular Cys lead to decreased intracellular glutathione and enhanced accumulation of the ROS 23,24. Exposure of these cells to high concentrations of Glu (2 or 4 mM) induced apoptosis in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5a). Concomitantly, accumulation of intracellular ROS became detectable 6 h following Glu addition (Fig. 3b). We then examined the effect of Glu-induced oxidative stress on thapsigargin (ER stress)-induced SG formation. Treatment of HT22 cells with thapsigargin (0.2 μM) alone induced strong SG formation within 50 min, whereas Glu administration alone only weakly induced SGs (Fig. 3c). When thapsigargin was added to the culture medium 0, 3 or 5 h after Glu addition, >90% of the cells exhibited robust SG formation. In contrast, when thapsigargin was added as late as 6, 8 or 12 h after Glu administration, when Glu had already induced detectable ROS accumulation (Fig. 3b), the percentage of SG-containing cells was markedly decreased (14.7%; 12 h) (Fig. 3c). This inhibition of SG formation was abrogated by pretreatment of the cells with the antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (NAC), confirming that decreased SG formation was due to Glu-induced ROS production. Thus, in neuronal cells, endogenously generated ROS is sufficient to suppress SG formation.

We next explored the effect of SG formation on Glu-induced, oxidative stress-mediated neuronal cell death. Whereas Glu (4 mM) alone induced apoptosis, a low concentration (0.2 μM) of thapsigargin did not exhibit substantial cytotoxicity for up to 20 h of treatment of HT22 cells (Fig. 3d, lanes 2 and 3). When thapsigargin was added to the cells 0 or 3 h after Glu addition, SGs were formed (Fig. 3c), and Glu-induced apoptosis was markedly suppressed (Fig. 3d, lanes 4 and 5). However, when thapsigargin was added 8 h after Glu, when SG assembly was impaired by ROS, the cells exhibited increased apoptosis (Fig. 3d, lane 6). Similar results were obtained by MTT assay (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). Thapsigargin did not affect Glu-induced ROS accumulation (Supplementary Fig. 5d). To further confirm that preformed SGs protect neuronal cells from oxidative stress-induced apoptosis, we examined the effect of the oxidation-resistant Myc-TIA1(C36S) on Glu-induced apoptosis. Expression of TIA1(C36S) in HT22 cells restored SG formation (Fig. 3e). TIA1(C36S) also inhibited apoptosis even when thapsigargin was added 8 h after Glu administration (Fig. 3f, lane 6). In contrast, expression of wild-type TIA1 neither restored SG formation (Fig. 3e) nor inhibited apoptosis (Fig. 3f, lane 5). Therefore, when neuronal cells were confronted simultaneously with ER stress and oxidative stress, SG formation was suppressed, and apoptosis was augmented.

**TIA1(C36S) suppresses apoptosis in polyQ70-expressing cells.** Polyglutamine (polyQ) diseases are a group of neurodegenerative disorders that include Huntington’s disease and spinocerebellar ataxia 25,26. Their common aetiology is the misfolding and aggregation of disease-associated proteins with an abnormally expanded polyQ stretch, which induces ER stress. PolyQ-expanded proteins also induces cellular ROS production 28–30. Thus, ER stress and oxidative stress (production of ROS) likely are the main causes of neuronal cell death in polyQ diseases, although other mechanisms have also been proposed, such as cytoskeletal abnormalities, impaired gene expression and dysfunction of axonal transport 31–34. To investigate if SG dysfunction is involved in polyQ-induced cell death, we generated a polyQ disease model cell line that inducibly expresses a GFP-tagged ataxin-3-derived fragment containing an expanded polyQ tract (GFP-polyQ70). We fused GFP-polyQ70 to the 12-kDa destabilizing domain (DD) derived from FK506-binding protein 35. DD-GFP-polyQ70 normally degraded in the proteasome. However, in the presence of Shield-1, the chemical ligand for DD, the fusion protein is protected from degradation and rapidly accumulates in cells. DD-GFP-polyQ70 was expressed within 1 h following Shield-1 treatment, leading to phosphorylation of eIF2α and ROS production (Fig. 4a,b). In contrast, Shield-1 induced neither eIF2α phosphorylation nor ROS production in the control DD-GFP cells. In this polyQ disease model cell, both oxidative stress and ER stress are induced. We therefore examined if SGs are formed in this model cell. In the absence of Shield-1, SGs were formed in only a small percentage (2.5%) of DD-GFP-polyQ70 cells, whereas following 24 h Shield-1 treatment, the percentage of cells with SGs was moderately increased (11.5%; Fig. 4e). Treatment with both Shield-1 and NAC induced SGs in twice as many cells as were induced by Shield-1 alone (23.9% versus 11.5%). Importantly, the expression of the oxidation-resistant TIA1(C36S), but not of the wild-type TIA1, restored SG formation in DD-GFP-polyQ70-expressing cells (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 5e,f). We therefore conclude that in this polyQ disease model cell, ER stress-induced SG formation is counteracted by concomitant production of ROS that oxidatively inactivates TIA1. Finally, to examine if suppression of SG formation affects polyQ-induced cytotoxicity, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with either GFP-polyQ70 or control GFP. Five days after transfection, apoptosis of GFP-positive cells was assessed by Annexin V staining (Fig. 4e). Cells expressing GFP-polyQ70 failed to assemble SGs and underwent substantial apoptosis as compared with control GFP-expressing cells. This polyQ70-induced apoptosis was largely suppressed when SG formation was restored by NAC treatment or by TIA1(C36S) co-expression. Collectively, these findings indicate that suppression of SG formation by ROS contributes to the severe cytotoxicity of polyQ proteins.
T wenty hours after Glu treatment, apoptosis was measured as in antibodies, respectively. (were treated with 0.2 cells were transfected with either Myc-TIA1 or Myc-TIA1(C36S) and were incubated for 36 h. Cells were then treated with 4 mM Glu and, after 8 h incubation, 10 m assayed by staining with Annexin V-Cy3 and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (before Glu treatment (lower panels). (visualized after another 50-min incubation by fluorescence analysis of endogenous G3BP (upper panels). NAC (5 mM) was added to the medium 20 min (c). (TIA1- D.C.F. fluorescence (a.u.) was measured for >200 cells and is shown in the graph (right). Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3). (c) HT22 cells were treated with 0.2 µM Tg and 4 mM Glu as indicated. Tg was added either 3, 5, 6, 8 or 12 h after Glu treatment, and SGs were visualized by another 50-min incubation by fluorescence analysis of endogenous G3BP (upper panels). NAC (5 mM) was added to the medium 20 min before Glu treatment (lower panels). (d) HT22 cells were treated with 0.2 µM Tg and 4 mM Glu as indicated. Twenty hours after Glu treatment, apoptosis was assayed by staining with Annexin V-Cy3 and visualized by fluorescence microscopy. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3). *P<0.02, Student’s t-test. (e) HT22 cells were transfected with either Myc-TIA1 or Myc-TIA1(C36S) and were incubated for 36 h. Cells were then treated with 4 mM Glu and, after 8 h incubation, were treated with 0.2 µM Tg for another 50 min. SGs and Myc-tagged TIA1 were analysed by immunofluorescence microscopy using anti-eIF4G and anti-Myc antibodies, respectively. (f) HT22 cells, HT22-Myc-TIA1 or HT22-Myc-TIA1(C36S) stable cell lines were treated with 4 mM Glu and 0.2 µM Tg as indicated. Twenty hours after Glu treatment, apoptosis was measured as in (d). Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3). **P<0.01, Student’s t-test. Scale bars, 100 µm (a,b) or 10 µm (c,e).

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that ROS oxidizes the major SG-nucleating protein TIA1 at Cys36 and consequently suppresses SG assembly by impeding the interaction between TIA1 and its target mRNAs. Previous studies have shown that high concentrations (0.5 mM or more) of H2O2 induce SG formation13,36. Our results, however, do not contradict with their findings. According to those studies, high concentrations of H2O2 induce a breakdown of the eIF4F complex, but little eIF2α phosphorylation. In contrast, arsenite did not induce breakdown of the eIF4F complex. Thus, they proposed that H2O2 induced SG formation by eIF2α degradation, whereas arsenite induced SG formation by eIF2α phosphorylation. We also found that high concentrations (0.5 mM or more) of H2O2 induce SG formation, though relatively weakly (Fig. 1a,b). At lower concentrations (for example, 0.2 mM) of H2O2, SG is not formed, because neither eIF2α phosphorylation (Fig. 1c) nor eIF4F complex breakdown (Supplementary Fig. 6a) occurs. At such low concentrations, H2O2 suppresses SG formation initiated by other reagents, such as thapsigargin. It is reasonable to assume that H2O2 should also suppress SG formation by the same mechanism at higher concentrations. However, because of alternative mechanisms of SG formation at high H2O2 concentrations, the balance will be shifted towards the formation of SGs. The Cys36 is located within the N-terminal RRM of TIA1 (Fig. 2c), suggesting that oxidation and the subsequent disulfide bond formation at this site induces conformational alterations of RRM that are essential for mRNA binding and SG assembly4,18. Indeed, we demonstrated here that TIA1 oxidation profoundly inhibited its ability to bind mRNAs, explaining why oxidative stress represses SG formation. In this regard, previous studies have shown that a TIA1 mutant that lacks the N-terminal RRM (TIA1-∆N) dominantly suppresses SG assembly (Supplementary Fig. 6b)4,18. Thus, oxidized TIA1 may functionally resemble the dominant inhibitory TIA1-∆N mutant, in which the N-terminal RRM are also inactivated by inflame deletion. It should be however noted that because TIA1(C36S) expression did not fully restore SG assembly under oxidative conditions, (an) additional...
molecule(s) might exist whose oxidation also inhibit(s) SG formation. Indeed some proteins that can be localized in SGs have been reported to be oxidized. Further investigation will be needed to comprehensively understand the molecular mechanisms underlying ROS-induced disturbance of SG formation. While TIA1 controls the nucleation of SGs in the cytoplasm under stress conditions, it also regulates alternative splicing of pre-mRNAs, including those encoding fibroblast growth factor receptor-2 (Fgfr-2) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (Cftr), in the nucleus under steady-state conditions. As both processes require the interaction of TIA1 with mRNAs, oxidative stress not only disrupts SG formation but may also inhibit TIA1-mediated pre-mRNA splicing.

Since the formation of SGs is critical for the regulation of mRNA translation and stress-induced cell death signalling, disturbance of SG assembly would perturb cellular stress responses and dictate cell-fate decisions under stress conditions. In the present study, we found that suppression of SG formation by oxidative stress abolishes its cytoprotective functions and promotes apoptosis caused by SG-inducing stresses (for example, ER stress and arsenite) as well as by SG-non-inducing stresses (for example, etoposide). For example, in neurodegenerative diseases, misfolded proteins evoke both ER stress and oxidative stress. As a result, oxidative stress impairs ER stress-induced SG formation, thereby rendering neuronal cells vulnerable to apoptosis (Fig. 5). Interestingly, several recent studies have reported that although viral infection normally evokes SG formation through virus-induced activation of the eIF2α kinase PKR, the assembly of SGs can be severely compromised by virus-derived RNAs and proteins in cells infected with certain types of pathogenic viruses (for example, West-Nile virus, dengue

Figure 4 | TIA1(C36S) promotes SG formation and suppresses apoptosis in polyQ70-expressing cells. (a) U2OS cells stably expressing DD-GFP or DD-GFP-polyQ70 were exposed to 1 μM Shield-1 for the indicated times. Phosphorylated (top) and total (middle) eIF2α in cell lysates were assessed in immunoblotting with specific antibodies. Expression of GFP or GFP-polyQ70 was determined by immunoblotting with an anti-GFP antibody (bottom rows). (b) U2OS cells stably expressing DD-GFP or DD-GFP-polyQ70 were exposed to Shield-1 for 2, 4 or 24 h. ROS production was visualized by fluorescence microscopy using the CellROX Deep Red reagent. (c) U2OS cells stably expressing DD-GFP (upper panels) or DD-GFP-polyQ70 (lower panels) were treated with NAC, Shield-1 or with both simultaneously as indicated and were incubated for 24 h. GFP expression was detected by fluorescence and endogenous G3BP was visualized by immunofluorescence using anti-G3BP antibody. The percentage of the cells containing SGs was determined and is shown below. (d) U2OS cells expressing DD-GFP or DD-GFP-polyQ70 were transfected with mCherry-fusion TIA1 as indicated. Cells were incubated for 24 h and exposed to Shield-1 for 24 h. GFP (green) and mCherry (red) fusion proteins were detected by fluorescence. The percentage of mCherry-expressing cells that contained SGs was determined. (e) HEK293 cells transfected with GFP or GFP-polyQ70 were co-transfected with or without TIA1(C36S) as indicated. Twenty four hours after transfection, the medium was replaced with fresh media, with or without NAC, and the cells were incubated for another 4 days. Apoptosis of GFP-positive cells was assessed by Annexin V staining. Error bars indicate s.e.m. (n = 3). **P<0.01, Student’s t-test. Scale bars, 10 μm.
SG formation by sequestering TIA1 through specific interaction with protein Staufen1 in HIV-1-dependent assembly of ribonucleoprotein complex known as Staufen I. HIV-1 suppresses SG formation by sequestering a SG-component protein Staufen1 in HIV-1-dependent assembly of ribonucleoprotein-protein complex known as Staufen I. HIV-1-dependent ribonucleoproteins (SRNPs). Since translation of viral proteins and the subsequent viral replication necessarily depend on the host translational machinery, inhibition of SG formation by pathogenic viruses circumvents SG-mediated translational arrest and promotes viral propagation. Our data demonstrate for the first time that SG assembly can be inhibited not only by extrinsic viral molecules but also by intrinsic oxidative stress, and delineate a novel aetiological aspect of oxidative stress. As oxidative stress is induced by diverse pathological insults, ROS-mediated disturbance of SG assembly may be involved in various pathological processes including neurodegeneration.

In neurodegenerative diseases, recent studies have revealed that several disease-related proteins (TAR DNA-binding protein of 43 kDa (TDP-43), fused in sarcoma (FUS), ataxin-2, survival of motor neuron (SMN) and so on) are associated with SGs, and that SG marker proteins colocalize with pathological inclusion bodies in the patients’ brain tissues. Although the precise role of the sequestration of SGs into insoluble inclusion bodies remains to be elucidated, it is suggested that pathological inclusions reduce the cytoprotective functions of SGs. On the basis of these findings, we propose a hypothetical model that the cytoprotection provided by SGs is compromised in neurodegenerative diseases by two distinct mechanisms, depending on the stage of the disease. In the early stage, oxidative stress represses SG assembly, whereas in the late stage, SGs are inactivated by sequestration into pathological inclusion bodies (Supplementary Fig. 6c). These two mechanisms might synergistically promote neuronal cell death and neurodegeneration. Consistent with our model, a recent report showed that TIA1-positive inclusions can be seen particularly at the mid and late stages in Alzheimer’s disease, and increase with disease stage. Since persistent oxidative stress and ER stress play predominant roles in a wide range of human disorders, including diabetes, stroke, atherosclerosis, infection and cancer, dysfunction of SGs by oxidative stress might also be involved in the pathogenesis of these human diseases.

**Methods**

**Media and buffers.** Lysis buffer contains 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% deoxycholate, 10% glycerol, 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 50 mM β-glycerophosphate, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 10 μg ml⁻¹ leupeptin and 10 μg ml⁻¹ aprotonin. SDS–PAGE loading buffer is 65 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, 3% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue and 10% glycerol. Dithiothreitol and 2-mercaptoethanol were omitted from lysis buffer and SDS–PAGE loading buffer, respectively, when cells were collected under oxidative conditions.

**Plasmids.** TIA1, G3BP and eIF2α were subcloned into pcDNA3/Myc, pEGFP, pmCherry, pQCXIP (Clontech) and pCMV-Tag2B (Agilent Technologies) by PCR. Mutants were generated by PCR mutagenesis. To create pQCXIP-DD-GFP-polyQ70 construct, polyQ70 was subcloned from pEGFP-ataxin3N-70Q (kindly provided by Dr. S. Yanagi, Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Science) by PCR.

**Tissue culture and transient transfection.** The HT22 hippocampal neuronal cell line was a kind gift from Dr. Y. Hirata (Gifu University). Other cell lines used in this study were from our laboratory collection. COS-7, U2OS, HEK293 and HT22 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, -glutamate, penicillin and streptomycin. For transient transfection assays cells were grown in 35-mm dishes and transfected with the appropriate expression plasmids using Lipofectamine LXT (Life Technologies; HT22 cells) or the Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen; all other cells) according to the manufacturer’s protocols.

**Immunofluorescence staining for detecting stress granules.** Cells were grown on glass coverslips and transfected with plasmids if necessary. Cellular SG assembly was inhibited by endogenous oxidative stress or by protein oxS an exclusively generated oxidative stress. Cells were then incubated for 20 h. Cells were then incubated with the appropriate antibodies for 50 min in PBS containing 2% BSA. The following antibodies were used: anti-G3BP (sc-81940, Santa Cruz, 1.0 μg ml⁻¹); anti-TIA1 (sc-166247, Santa Cruz, 4.0 μg ml⁻¹); anti-eIF4G (A300-502A, Bethyl Lab, 10.0 μg ml⁻¹); anti-eIF4E (sc-9976 and sc-13963, Santa Cruz, 10.0 μg ml⁻¹); and anti-Myc (sc-40, Santa Cruz, 2.0 μg ml⁻¹). The cells were washed three times with PBS and incubated with an Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse antibody and/or a Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody for 30 min. The stained cells on coverslips were washed three times with PBS and mounted in FluorSave Reagent (Calbiochem). Cells were stained with DAPI (25 ng ml⁻¹ in PBS) to visualize the nuclei. SG formation was assessed by determination of the number of cells expressing at least two SGs per cell.

**Detection of apoptotic cells by Annexin V-Cy3.** Early and late apoptotic cells were detected using an Annexin V-Cy3 apoptosis detection kit (Biovision) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 × 10⁵ cells were treated with indicated where indicated with thapsigargin, H₂O₂, etoposide or glutamine and were incubated for 20 h. Cells were then collected and resuspended in the binding buffer, followed by incubation with Annexin V-Cy3 in the dark for 5 min. Apoptotic cells were identified and scored by direct visualization of red-coloured membrane staining under a fluorescence microscope. Four to six representative fields of at least 100 cells were scored.

**Fluorescence microscopy.** Fluorescence microscopic images of fixed cells were captured using a Nikon TE2000-E fluorescent microscope equipped with a Photometrics Cool SNAP HQ CCD camera, or an inverted Olympus IX81 microscope equipped with a Olimaging Retiga EXi digital camera (IEEE1394) and the Universal Imaging Metamorph software (Molecular Devices).

**RIP assay.** The RIP assay using rabbit polyclonal anti-TIA1 antibody (RN014P, MBL) was performed using the MagNA RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore). The cell pellet from 2 × 10⁵ U2OS cells was suspended in 100 μl of RIP lysis buffer. The cell lysates were incubated with 5 μg of either anti-TIA1 antibody or rabbit IgG attached to magnetic beads in RIP immunoprecipitation buffer overnight at 4 °C. After washing six times, the beads were incubated with proteinase K at 30 min at 55 °C. After centrifugation, RNA was purified from the supernatant by extraction with phenolchloroformisoamyl alcohol followed by ethanol precipitation. RT–PCR was performed by using the SuperScript III
One-Step RT–PCR System with Platinum Taq (Invitrogen) and the following primer sets. A One-Step RT–PCR System with Platinum Taq (Invitrogen) and the following primer sets.

ROS measurement. ROS levels in HT22 and U2OS were determined utilizing either CM-H2DCFDA or the CellROX Deep Red Reagent (Life Technologies), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s protocols. HT22 cells were cultivated in a glass-bottomed dish with phenol red-free DMEM. Cells were incubated with 4 mM Glu for 6 h. Culture medium was aspirated and the cells were then treated with Shield-1. After incubation for the indicated times, 5 μM CellROX Deep Red reagent was added and incubated for another 30 min. Cells were then washed three times with PBS and the red fluorescence emitted by CellROX was visualized by microscopy. NAC (Sigma) was used to inhibit ROS.

Generation of DD-GFP-polyQ70 stable cell lines. The retroviral expression vector, pQCXIP-DD-GFP, was generated to express a fusion protein of GFP and the DD. The DD was cloned from the pET-Terminator Vector (TaKaRa). For generation of pQCXIP-DD-GFP-polyQ70, the cDNA fragment encoding polyQ was inserted into pQCXIP-DD-GFP. GP2-293 cells were transfected with pQCXIP-DD-GFP or pQCXIP-DD-GFP-polyQ70 each together with pSVS, a packaging construct, using Effectene. Viral supernatants were collected after 48 h and U2OS cells were infected with the concentrated supernatants supplemented with 10 μl μl−1 polybrene for 4 h at 37 °C, followed by selection with 3 μg ml−1 puromycin.

Cell proliferation assay. Cell viability was evaluated using MTT assays. Cells were seeded in triplicate on 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 104 cells per well, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 24 h with appropriate combinations of stimuli. Cell Count Reagent SF (10 μl μl−1; Nacalai Tesque) was then added to each well and the cells were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. The optical density of the culture solution in each well was measured using a microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450 nm.

Co-immunoprecipitation assay of protein binding. Cell lysates were prepared in lysis buffer and were incubated with protein G-Sepharose beads at 4 °C for ~12 h. Precleared lysates were incubated with an appropriate antibody at 4 °C for 4 h with gentle agitation. BSA-blocked protein G-Sepharose beads were then added and incubation was continued for another 4 h. Immunoprecipitates were collected by centrifugation, washed five times with lysis buffer and subjected to SDS–PAGE.

7-methyl GTP (m7GTP) pull-down assay. U2OS cells were treated with 200 μM or 1 mM H2O2 for 30 min, and whole-cell lysate was collected with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 6.5% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitors. For eIF4E pull-down assay, 20 μl m7GTP agarose beads (γ-Aminophenyl-m7GTP (C10-spacer)-Agarose, Jena Bioscience) were washed twice with RNase-free buffer (15 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0), 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM EDTA). Cell lysates were then incubated with beads (20 μl of beads for each sample) on the rotator overnight at 4 °C. After incubation, beads were washed three times with PBS and the supernatant was eluted with SDS–PAGE loading buffer and analysed by western blotting.

Immunoblotting analyses. Appropriate amounts of proteins were resolved by SDS–PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking with 4.0% skim milk, membranes were probed with appropriate antibodies and visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence detection. The following antibodies were used: anti-Flag mAb M2 (F1804, Sigma); anti-Myc mAb (sc-40, Santa Cruz); anti-85K (C176, Santa Cruz); polyclonal anti-phospho-eIF4E (9272, Cell Signaling), polyclonal anti-eIF2α (9272, Cell Signaling); anti-TIAM1 (RN014P, MBL); polyclonal anti-G3BP (sc-81940, Santa Cruz); polyclonal GFP (#598, MBL); polyclonal anti-RFP (PM005, MBL); polyclonal anti-eIF4G (A300-302A, Bethyl Lab); and polyclonal anti-eIF4E (sc-1963, Santa Cruz). All these antibodies were used at 1:1,000 dilutions. Full scans of representative immunoblots are presented in Supplementary Figs 7 and 8.

Statistics. The statistical significance of the difference between mean values was tested using Student’s t-test. Data are means ± s.e.m. of at least three independent experiments.
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