Detection of a Tumor Suppressor Gene Variant Predisposing to Colorectal Cancer in an 18th Century Hungarian Mummy
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Abstract

Mutations of the Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene are common and strongly associated with the development of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas. While extensively studied in modern populations, reports on visceral tumors in ancient populations are scarce. To the best of our knowledge, genetic characterization of mutations associated with colorectal cancer in ancient specimens has not yet been described. In this study we have sequenced hotspots for mutations in the APC gene isolated from 18th century naturally preserved human Hungarian mummies. While wild type APC sequences were found in two mummies, we discovered the E1317Q missense mutation, known to be a colorectal cancer predisposing mutation, in a large intestine tissue of an 18th century mummy. Our data suggests that this genetic predisposition to cancer already existed in the pre-industrialization era. This study calls for similar investigations of ancient specimens from different periods and geographical locations to be conducted and shared for the purpose of obtaining a larger scale analysis that will shed light on past cancer epidemiology and on cancer evolution.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in western countries [1,2]. Improvements in early detection and treatment have resulted in decline of mortality rates while incidence rates have been increasing [3]. Typically, the precursors for colorectal cancer are adenomatous polyps, which are benign neoplastic clumps of cells [4]. Most sporadic adenomatous polyps as well as most colorectal cancers contain typical genetic alterations [5]. Adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) is an important tumor suppressor gene that is located on the human chromosome 5q21. Mutations in APC are strongly associated with the development of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas [6]. About 50% of the population will develop colorectal polyps initiated by such mutations during a normal life span [7]. Somatic mutations of the
APC gene were detected not only in patients with colorectal carcinoma, but also in patients with pancreatic cancer [8], gastric cancer [9], oral squamous cell carcinoma [10], hepatoblastoma, breast cancer, brain tumor and desmoid tumor [11]. About 80% of somatic mutations of the APC gene occur in specific “hot spot” and are clustered within a region from codon 764 to codon 1596 called the Mutation Cluster Region (MCR). More than 95% are chain-terminating mutations that would result in the expression of truncated protein. Inactivation of both alleles of APC is required for development of most tumors in the colon and rectum [12].

Cancer has early documentations. Egyptian medical papyri dating as far back as 1500 BCE have been found to describe tumors. Herodotus and Hippocrates both mention cancer [13–15]. Most paleopathological reports on tumors in past populations are based on skeletal tissue which is more abundant in archaeological sites. However, some tumors in soft tissue have been reported [16–26]. While there are many theories regarding the prevalence of cancer in our days, which associate cancer with life style, diet, physical inactivity and reproductive patterns, more information from different time points in history is needed to better understand the role of these factors in historical populations.

Natural mummification enables preservation of soft tissue. Samples from mummified tissues can provide invaluable information from anthropological, historical and medical points of view. They can teach us important lessons regarding the evolution of diseases that might be of value for predicting future evolutionary changes. In 1994 and 1995 over 265 mummies were excavated from sealed crypts in the Dominican church in Vác, Hungary. The crypts were used continuously for burials of several middle-class families and clerics, from 1731–1838. The temperature in the crypts ranged between eight to eleven degrees Celsius, the crypts were poorly but continually ventilated and the remains were protected against humidity by pine shavings that filled many of the coffins. These were ideal conditions for natural preservation causing approximately 70% of the bodies to be totally or partially mummified. The preservation level of the mummified tissue samples and abundant contemporaneous archival information about the individuals of the Hungarian mummy collection motivated a morphological and genetic study of the human remains [27]. Previous studies found genetic evidence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M. tuberculosis*) presence in these mummies [28–34], indicating that this cohort can be used for genetic studies. In addition, this cohort comprises of individuals that form a wide age distribution. Thus, it is compatible with the study of cancer associated mutations, as the risk for such mutations increases with age [3]. Here we used the Vác mummies to assess the existence of genetic predisposition to colorectal cancer in the pre-industrialization era by sequencing of “hot spots” in the APC gene. Three such sequences were amplified and sequenced from 3 different mummies. The APC variant E1317Q, known to predispose to colorectal cancer was detected in a colon sample of one mummy. While only a few APC sequences were obtained the presence of the E1317Q variant in the DNA of an 18th century individual suggests that genetic predisposition to cancer already existed in the pre-industrialization era. This study however calls for a larger scale analysis for epidemiological comparison purposes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Samples and precautions against contamination**

The 18th century „Vác Mummy Collection” is housed and curated in the Department of Anthropology of the Hungarian Natural History Museum, in Budapest, Hungary. The collection contains 265 naturally mummified, partially mummified and skeletal specimens (registered under the Inventory numbers: 2009.19.1–2009.19.264). A total of 51 samples were obtained from 20 Vác mummies (Table 1). The samples were collected in the Anthropology Department of the Hungarian Natural History Museum in accordance to the regulations on
treatment of archaeological human remains in Hungary [35]. No ancient DNA work amplifying human genes was ever done on the premises. Sampling was conducted using measures to prevent contemporary contamination of the specimens. The samples were taken using a no-touch technique with disposable scalpels, from inner organs. These anatomical regions were not previously exposed to the outside environment and therefore were protected from contact with excavators or others that have handled the mummies. The samples were placed in sterile DNA free tubes and stored in room temperature.

DNA was extracted in a designated ancient DNA (aDNA) laboratory. To prevent contamination by contemporary DNA the tubes were opened only in a designated UV eradated hood where DNA extraction was carried out. The aDNA laboratory was physically isolated from the laboratory where modern DNA was used. The procedure was carried out in sterile UV chambers each equipped with separate set of pipettes, disposable sterile tubes, filter tips, molecular biology grade reagents and solutions. Disposable protective clothing was used and changed frequently. Separate UV-irradiated hoods were used for DNA preparation, DNA extractions and PCR preparation. To further minimize contemporary DNA contamination all reagents, tubes and instruments such as disposable scalpel blades were irradiated with UV prior to use. Multiple negative controls for extraction and amplification were included to ensure the authenticity of the aDNA findings. aDNA protocols followed the standard requirements set for the field [36].

Table 1. List of samples obtained from the Vác mummies.

| Mummy No./ Inventory No.a | Name                  | Year of Death | Age at death | Samples description | Mitochondrial DNA preservationb |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| 11/2009.19.11.            | Beer Annamária        | 1807          | 95           | Colon               | -                               |
| 15/2009.19.15.            | Fabó Dorottya         | 1798          | 66           | Liver; colon        | +                               |
| 18/2009.19.18.            | Unknown               | 1831          | NA           | Back area           | -                               |
| 21/2009.19.21.            | Baranyai Alajos       | 1806          | 11           | Liver               | -                               |
| 28/2009.19.28.            | Shöner Anna           | 1793          | 55           | Chest               | -                               |
| 44/2009.19.44.            | Simon Antal           | 1808          | 33           | Liver; colon        | +                               |
| 51/2009.19.51.            | Reihm Vencel          | 1805          | 38           | Lung; Colon; Liver  | +                               |
| 54/2009.19.54.            | Nigrovits Anatal      | 1803          | 22           | Liver; thorax       | -                               |
| 63/2009.19.63.            | Stéger Joachim        | 1794          | 37           | Bottom; abdomen; liver area | +       |
| 65/2009.19.65.            | Sándor Terézia        | 1783          | 40           | Colon; abdomen      | +                               |
| 76/2009.19.76.            | Schwartz Mária Terézia| 1784          | 10           | Lung; abdomen       | -                               |
| 88/2009.19.88.            | Unknown               | 1770          | 50–60        | Colon; liver        | +                               |
| 96/2009.19.96.            | Unknown               | 1798          | 20           | Testis; liver       | -                               |
| 97/2009.19.97.            | Tauber Antónia        | 1786          | 37           | lungs; colon        | +                               |
| 107/2009.19.107.          | Unknown               | NA            | 50–89        | right bottom pelvis; colon; liver | +       |
| 110/2009.19.110.          | Skripetz Klára        | 1788          | 18           | stomach             | -                               |
| 116/2009.19.116.          | Borsodi Terézia       | 1794          | 26           | Colon; liver        | +                               |
| 124/2009.19.124.          | Hummer Anna Mária     | 1774          | 50           | Stomach             | -                               |
| 145/2009.19.145.          | Praun István          | NA            | 30–34        | liver               | -                               |
| 254/2009.19.254.          | Vaizer Erzsébet      | 1755          | 26           | left lung; right lung; liver; colon | +       |

a Numbering and mummy name, age and year of death were kindly supplied by the Hungarian Natural History Museum.
b + positive for mitochondrial D-loop amplification–negative for mitochondrial D-loop amplification.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.t001
DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from mummified tissue using a modification of guanidine thiocyanate (GuSCN) method developed by Boom R et al. [37] and the silica-based purification method developed by Höss M & Pääbo S [38]. Around 500 mg of tissue was cut into small fragments of approximately 5 mm, placed in a sterile tube containing UV irradiated double distilled water (ddH2O) and incubated at 56°C overnight. The ddH2O were removed and 500 μL of extraction buffer, consisting of 4 M Guanidinium thiocyanate (GuSCN) (Sigma), 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 6.4 (Sigma), 0.02 M EDTA pH 8 (Biological Industries) and 1.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma), together with 10 μL of 25 mg/ml Proteinase K were added to the tissue. The tissue was further incubated at 56°C for 48 h. The samples were boiled at 94°C for 10 mins and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 3 mins. The supernatant (harboring the extracted DNA) was transferred to a new sterile tube. To extract the DNA from the supernatant 1 mL Sodium iodide (NaI) (6M, Merck), 10 μL linear acryl amide (5mg/ml, Ambion) and 8 μL silica (1g/ml, Sigma) were added. The samples were incubated at 4°C for 1h to enable the binding of the DNA to the silica beads. The silica beads were pelleted by centrifugation and the pellet was washed twice. The first wash was performed using washing buffer containing 0.01 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.05 M sodium chloride (NaCl) (Frutarum), 0.1 M EDTA pH 8 and 250 μL absolute ethanol (Biolabs) and ddH2O up to a volume of 500 μL. The second wash was with absolute ethanol. The obtained silica beads pellet was air-dried and the aDNA was eluted at 56°C with Tris-EDTA buffer (TE, 1M Tris pH 8 and 0.5 M EDTA pH 8). The extract aDNA was stored at -20°C.

DNA amplification

Amplification of the APC gene was conducted in a 25μL reaction mixture including 7μL of the aDNA extract with: 10X buffer, 25 mM MgCl2, 2.5mM dNTP’s, 10mM BSA (Biolabs), 12 pmol of each primer set and 1.25 units AmpliTaq Gold® 360 DNA polymerase (Applied Biosystems). The aDNA was amplified using a thermocycler with an initial hot-start phase at 95°C for 10 minutes followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C denaturation, 45 seconds annealing at 60–48°C (touch-down) and 45–60 seconds elongation at 72°C. A final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes was performed following the 45 cycles.

The aDNA extracts were amplified using two primer sets of the APC gene that were designed by the authors of this study using Primer 3.0 software and using two published primer sets of the hyper variable region in the human mitochondrial control region (d-loop) [39]. The APC primer sets were designed to amplify known mutational hot spots on the MCR region. The amplification of the mitochondrial d-loop was used as control to screen-out extracts that might be contaminated with modern DNA of researchers and as a further indication of the aDNA authenticity. The primer sets that were used for amplifications are described in Table 2.

Analysis of obtained sequences

Positive amplifications were sequenced at the DNA Sequencing Unit of the Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University using the ABI PRISM® 3100 Genetic Analyzer. The sequences obtained were initially verified using the National Center for Biotechnology Information BLAST algorithm [40]. Chromatograms were individually examined to confirm the quality of sequences, using Sequencher 4.9 [41]. Sense and antisense sequences were generated from each primer set as an additional control to rule out sequencing errors. The Sense and antisense sequences were assembled into a contig in Sequencher 4.9. Each individual contig was visually inspected and verified; any ambiguities were visually resolved. Sequences with poor-quality chromatograms were excluded from the study. A final contig of all sequences was generated using a published reference. Partial mitochondrial profiles were determined for the
mummies, for all staff working at the aDNA laboratory and for all sample collectors. To control for contamination during or after sampling, partial mitochondrial profiles and partial APC sequences obtained from mummy samples were compared to the reference sequences and to profiles of the laboratory staff (Tables 3 and 4). Sequences obtained from mummy samples were also compared to each other to control for cross-contamination. We note that the ancient partial mitochondrial profiles and the ancient chromosomal sequences might be influenced by postmortem deamination processes [42]. Thus, some observed transitions might be attributed to DNA damage and not to maternally inherited or chromosomal substitutions respectively. Postmortem DNA damage does not influence our analysis aimed to control for contamination by testing whether mummies share the same SNP pattern as researchers and does not affect any observed transversions. However, the implications of DNA damage should be considered in case the sequences are used for other purposes.

Results

APC is an important tumor suppressor gene. Mutations in APC are strongly associated with the development of colorectal adenomas and carcinomas [6]. To assess the presence of genetic predisposition to colorectal cancer in the pre-industrialization era we attempted to amplify the MCR region of the APC gene from DNA obtained from internal organs of the Vác mummies. Partial sequences of the APC gene MCR region were successfully obtained from three mummies. Two wild type APC sequences were obtained from two mummies numbers 51 and 63 (Table 3, Figs 1 and 2). The sequences of the APC gene MCR (position 4377–4484 and position 3956–4068) acquired from a colon tissue sample of mummy 88 (Table 3, Figs 1 and 2) indicated that this individual was homozygous to a missense mutation in codon 1317 (GAA to CAA) (Figs 1 and 3). This is a known APC genetic variation that substitutes glutamine an uncharged hydrophilic amino acid with glutamate an acidic hydrophilic amino acid (E1317Q). This mutation has been linked with a predisposition to the development of multiple colorectal adenomas and colorectal cancer [43]. The rest of the APC MCR partial sequence for this mummy was identical to the reference (NM_000038.5) that codes for the wild type protein.

Table 2. Primers in this study.

| Position in reference | Size of amplicon (bp) | Sequence (5′-3′) | Name | Reference |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------|-----------|
| Mitochondrial (NC_012920.1) 16004–16275 | 271 | Forward: AGCACCCAAAGCTAAGATTC Reverse: CTTTGGAGTTGCAGTTGATG | M1 | Faerman et al. 2000 [39] |
| Mitochondrial (NC_012920.1) 16210–16442 | 232 | Forward: CCCATGCTTACAAGCAGAAGTA Reverse: ATGGATTTCACGGAGGATGG | M2 | Faerman et al. 2000 [39] |
| APC (NM_000038.5) 3956–4068 | 112 | Forward: CAGACGACACAGAAGCAGA Reverse: GTGACACTGTGCAGAAGCAGA | APC1309 | Designed in this study |
| APC (NM_000038.5) 4377–4484 | 107 | Forward: TGCCACCAAGCAGAAGTAAA Reverse: TCCACTCTCTCTCTCTCTCTAGCA | APC1450 | Designed in this study |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.t002

Table 3. Summary of APC sequences obtained from mummies.

| Mummy number | Sample type | Sequences obtained within the APC gene | Comparison to Reference sequence (NM_000038.5) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 51           | Colon       | Position 4377–4484 (Primers APC1309) | No differences |
| 63           | Liver       | Position 3956–4068 (Primers APC1450) | No differences |
| 88           | Colon       | Position 4377–4484 (Primers APC1309) | APC E1317Q |
|              |             | Position 3956–4068 (Primers APC1450) | No differences |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.t003
The sequences of all the researchers that handled the sample or equipment were found to be identical to the reference.

Mitochondrial aDNA was preserved in 50% of the mummies tested (Table 1). Partial mitochondrial profiles (positions 16004–16442) were determined for the 3 mummies for whom the APC MCR sequences were obtained (Table 4). Mummy 51 and 63 showed a unique profile different from the Cambridge reference sequence (NC_012920.1), different from each other and different from the profiles obtained from all handlers. PCR amplification detects mitochondrial DNA with greater sensitivity compared with the detection of chromosomal DNA. This difference in sensitivity is explained mainly by a higher copy number per cell of the mitochondrial DNA [44,45]. Thus, if the sample had been contaminated with modern DNA it is likely modern mitochondrial DNA would have been detected. The unique profiles of the mummies indicate that the sequences obtained for the two mummies are authentic and that there was no cross-contamination between the mummy samples.

The partial mitochondrial sequence of mummy 88 was identical to the Cambridge reference sequence. Among the researchers, only the partial mitochondrial sequences of Dr. Rosin-Arbesfeld (R.R.A) and Prof. Hershkovitz (I.H), who participated in the collection of the samples, were identical to the Cambridge reference sequence as expected due to their European origin. Nevertheless, the observation of the E1317Q mutation could not be due to

---

**Table 4. Comparison of partial mitochondrial profiles.**

| Cambridge refseq (NC_012920.1) | Ancient DNAa | Lab staff |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Position | Base | Mummy No. 63 | Mummy No. 88 | Mummy No. 51 | M.F | L.H | R.R.A | E.H.S | G.K.B | H.M | N.Z |
|---------|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|-----|------|-------|-------|------|------|
| 16080   | A    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16124   | T    | C             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16145   | G    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16146   | A    | G             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16176   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16193   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16218   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16219   | A    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16223   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16224   | T    | C             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16234   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16274   | G    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16297   | T    | C             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16298   | T    | C             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16311   | T    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16325   | T    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16356   | T    | C             |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16360   | C    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16362   | T    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| 16390   | G    |               |               |               |     |     |      |       |       |      |      |
| Total   | 20   | 4             | 0             | 3             | 3   | 0   | 2    | 0     | 3     | 4   | 3   |

a The ancient partial mitochondrial sequences might be influenced by postmortem DNA damage. Thus, C to T and/or G to A transitions might be attributed to postmortem deamination and not to a maternally inherited substitution [42].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.t004
contamination of mummy 88 by DNA from Dr. Rosin-Arbesfeld or Prof. Hershkovitz since nor they or any other of the researchers, have the APC E1317Q mutation (Fig 1).

**Discussion**

We have found the APC nonsense mutation E1317Q in a sample from the large intestine tissue recovered from an 18th century mummy. The wild type APC sequences, at the same position, were obtained from two other mummies from the same collection.

**Fig 1. Comparison of partial APC sequences amplified from ancient samples and from the laboratory staff.** Partial APC sequences amplified with primers APC1309 compared to the NCBI reference sequence NM_000038.5. Laboratory staff members are indicated with initials. Ancient samples are indicated with a mummy number. The sequencing primer is underlined in the reference sequence. Mummy number 88 is the only carrier of the E1317Q mutation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.g001

**Fig 2. Partial APC sequences amplified from ancient samples.** Partial APC sequences that were amplified with primers APC1450 are compared to the NCBI reference sequence NM_000038.5. The sequencing primer is underlined in the reference sequence. These partial APC sequences of the two mummies were identical to the reference sequence that codes for the wild type protein.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0147217.g002
The ability to retrieve genetic materials from ancient tissue was a tremendous step forwards in understanding the evolutionary history of diseases. While most disease aDNA studies focused on the ancient pathogen DNA [46–48], genetic research of cancer in historical populations has been somewhat neglected. There are reports on tumors or benign neoplasms in ancient specimens; some even go back to the dinosaur era [49]. But, these are based mainly on the presence of specific bone lesions or histological studies and not genetic information. In Hungary cases of osteosarcoma; myeloma; and metastatic carcinoma were reported in historical specimens [50–53]. To the best of our knowledge, cancer or mutations associated with cancer have not yet been reported in ancient DNA studies.

The scarcity of reports on tumors in ancient soft tissue remains compared to the large number of autopsies carried out on mummies have led some scholars to hypothesize that malignancies were rare in past populations in comparison with modern times due to the short lifespan of individuals that precluded the development of cancer [15,24]. Conversely, paleopathological reports based on the investigation of skeletal remains suggest tumor rates were similar between the past and modern populations examined [20,23]. Historical accounts indicate that life expectancy was statistically lowered by infant and maternal mortality and yet many individuals did live to a sufficiently advanced age to develop other mid-old age diseases, such as degenerative diseases [15]. Another hypothesis trying to explain the rarity of tumors in ancient soft tissue is that tumors might not be well preserved in mummified tissue postmortem. However, experimental studies show that mummification preserves the features of malignancy [54]. Therefore, in an ancient society lacking surgical intervention, evidence of cancer, if existed in the tissue, should remain in all preserved mummified specimens. The fact archaeological soft tissue specimens are scarce compared to skeletal remains [55] present a challenge for the analysis of ancient cancer related genetic data due to the small sample size. This highlights the importance of accumulation of data from studies such as this, eventually creating a sufficient database for subsequent studies. In recent years, the use of next generation sequencing (NGS) has become common in ancient DNA research [56]. Shotgun sequencing was successfully implemented by Kay et al. 2015 to generate M. tuberculosis genome sequences from skeletal and soft tissue of the Vác mummies, demonstrating that bacterial whole genome data can be obtained from mummified tissue in general and from the Vác mummy collection in particular [33]. However, based on the data reported by Kay et al. [33], the average fold coverage for the human genomes is very low (not more than 0.09 fold average coverage), indicating targeted DNA enrichment would be required to analyze specific chromosomal regions such as the APC MCR region. Furthermore, human whole genome data has so far not been obtained from mummified tissue. Thus, we chose to employ the classical approach of PCR amplification and direct sequencing to characterize APC gene mutations from the Vác mummies. Since the classic approach is more limited in the ability to address contamination, strict measures were used to prevent DNA contamination during sample processing as described in the methods part; including the comparison of the APC sequences of the mummies with those of all sample handlers. Our findings confirm that the isolation of specific cancer related chromosomal regions...
from mummified tissue is feasible and might motivate future development of enrichment arrays aimed to capture DNA regions related to malignancy. Such approaches might increase DNA yields for these regions of interest and could be combined with NGS techniques to provide additional means of authentication and a broader outlook on cancer evolution.

Colorectal cancer arises as the cumulative effect of multiple mutations in many genes allowing the cell to escape from regulatory controls leading to uncontrolled proliferation. These mutations can be inherited or somatic and the latter can be largely affected by environmental factors (e.g. smoking, air pollution and nutrition) [57].

Studies examining the relationship between the APC E1317Q mutation and colorectal cancer have shown different results. While some studies suggest that the mutation contributes to a predisposition to colorectal adenomas and carcinomas with low and variable penetrance [58,59], others claim that the variant is associated with only a moderate increase in risk of colorectal cancer [60–62]. The choice of the control group in some of the studies that did not find a significantly higher risk of colorectal cancer due to E1317Q has been criticized and was proposed to be the cause of the contradiction regarding E1317Q effect on colorectal cancer [43]. Thus, a possible role for E1317Q in colorectal tumor genesis may exist and should be studied further. It has been suggested E1317Q has subtle effects on β-catenin sequestration or degradation but the exact molecular mechanism causing the predisposition for colorectal cancer is unknown [59].

Our data suggest that individual 88 may have had a predisposition for developing colorectal adenomas and carcinomas but we cannot tell whether those conditions actually manifested in this individual. The morphological preservation of the mummified colon tissue was not sufficient to visually differentiate adenomas or carcinomas from normal tissue. The fact that mummy 88 was homozygous for the APC E1317Q sequence somewhat increases the likelihood of manifestation as it is feasible to speculate that homozygosity was caused by a loss of heterozygosity event that is common in neoplasia and is commonly found in colorectal cancer patients that show APC loss of function [63]. As the E1317Q APC variant is rare in the general modern population (0.3%, NCBI SNP database rs1801166) [40], the chances of inheriting one mutated allele from each parent are very low which increases the possibility that a somatic mutation had indeed occurred. Nevertheless, we do not have data on mummy 88’s family history or of the allele frequency at 18th century Hungary, therefore we cannot rule out inheritance of homozygosity for E1317Q in this case. Absence of the mutation in tissues taken from other remaining organs, would have confirmed the mutation being somatic and not an inherited germ-line mutation. Unfortunately, the genomic DNA preservation level in the other tissues sampled from mummy 88 (liver) was not sufficient for successful amplification of the genomic APC sequences. In general, somatic mutations in this part of the MCR of the APC gene are more common in modern populations than germ line mutations [64].

Obesity, physical inactivity, a diet high in red or processed meat, alcohol consumption and long-term smoking are specific risk factors for colorectal cancer [3]. These risk factors were less frequent to non-existent in pre-industrialized 18th century Hungary [65,66]. Frequencies of cancer in historical populations, such as the 18th century Hungarian population might be linked with the absence of modern life environmental factors such as tobacco use or pollution [57,67]. Although the APC MCR is a genomic region frequently mutated in modern day population [68], the only mutation detected in the APC MCR sequences obtained from the 3 mummies was E1317Q in mummy 88. This data combined with future data from similar studies spanning different times and locations may elucidate the link between occurrence of colorectal cancer predisposing mutations and historical lifestyle. Human society has undergone enormous lifestyle and environmental changes during the last centuries. The ability to compare the spectrum of historical mutations to the modern spectrum seems important for
the understanding of the etiology and molecular pathogenesis of neoplasia. Our data, indicating the presence of a cancer predisposing mutation and possibly cancer in a person from the 18th century combined with data that will be accumulated from future aDNA studies may provide a fuller picture of cancer epidemiology.
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