Modeling the potential for Zika Virus in Cairns, Australia
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Abstract

Australia is at risk to invasion by Zika virus (ZIKAV) due to its geographical proximity to the epidemic region of ZIKAV in the western Pacific [1]. There is some confusion as to how an arbovirus invades a new geographic area, whether through invasion of an infected mosquito or through importation by an infected human. Some researchers and government officials believe the route of ZIKAV introduction will be through humans infecting local mosquitoes. However, the introduction of infected mosquitoes through air or marine ports is also likely and should not be underestimated. There is sometimes failure by public health officials to recognize these two avenues. For example, in a recent CBS 60 Minutes broadcast, Dr. Anthony Fauci (the head of infectious diseases at the U.S. National Institutes of Health) stated, “The mosquito didn’t fly from Rio de Janeiro to Florida. The mosquito flies 500 feet in a lifetime. It’s the people who travel” [2]. However, there is absolutely no reason to rule out either or both import of ZIKAV through infected humans and/or mosquitoes, as they are both viable.

One must gather all the relevant evidence and consider all possibilities when attempting to interdict an invasive species. For example, dengue, another Flavivirus, may have been introduced in several cities in Western Australia by mosquitoes through either air or sea ports [3,4]. The Cairns seaport, located on the east coast of Queensland, serves cargo and cruise ships arriving from several countries in the region [5]. It is therefore at risk for invasion and subsequent spread of ZIKAV. Public health officials in Cairns would benefit by having information they could use to reduce the risk of invasion and spread of ZIKAV in Cairns as part of their integrated mosquito management (IMM) plan. The Bioagent Transport and Environmental Modeling System (BioTEMS) was used to assess the risk of invasion by ZIKAV through the port area in Cairns and provide information for IMM planning. The BioTEMS has previously been used for modeling biological weapons defense and infectious diseases in several countries and utilized for consequence management and during special events, e.g. presidential inauguration [6]. BioTEMS utilizes up to several hundred abiotic and biotic factors to produce risk and vulnerability assessments for biological agents and infectious diseases. Examples of biotic and abiotic factors include pathogen strain, vector/host relationship, vectorial capacity, host/vector physiology, colonization ability, population dynamics of hosts and vectors, soil, shade, and weather conditions, such as wind, temperature, precipitation, and shade. Analytical methods within BioTEMS include artificial intelligence, fuzzy logic, niche analysis, and general additive regression. The BioTEMS model predicted ZIKAV invasion through ports in Brazil and Florida, USA, where external data was used to validate the model [7,8]. BioTEMS was used in the present study to provide information that public health officials can use to prevent or mitigate the effects in the event ZIKAV enters through the Cairns marine port.

The BioTEMS, ArcMap (ESRI, Inc.), and Statistica (Quest Software, Inc.) were used to analyze geographic information and conduct data analysis. The BioTEMS TIGER model was developed to assist in identifying areas at highest risk for invasive mosquito species, associated pathogens and optimize surveillance and control efforts [9]. The acronym TIGER represents the steps in the invasion of a mosquito species or haplotype: Transport- identifies the point of origin, method and rate of transport to a locality. Introduction- identifies point or area of initial invasion/entry of species or haplotypes and preliminary spread into a locality.Gap- determines the area where vector/pathogen infiltrates and initially spreads once it has gained a foothold. Escalade- incorporates abiotic and biotic factors as possible resistance to invasion. Residence and recruitment - incorporates factors and area where vector/pathogen adds to genetic diversity or becomes endemic and recruits con-specifics/haplotypes. In predictive modeling, it is imperative to use external data to validate the model where possible [10,11]. In this study, external data from [12,13] were used to validate the BioTEMS model for Cairns. Collection data of Aedes aegypti (L.) from the Cairns suburb was also supplied by Commonwealth of Science and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO). The BioTEMS and ArcView were used to produce output into Google® Earth.
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The city of Cairns appears to be at high risk for invasion by ZIKAV through the port area. Based upon validation using the external data of [13], the BioTEMS TIGER model was accurate in predicting the directional movement and distribution of *Ae. aegypti* in Cairns. The BioTEMS TIGER model identified an area at high risk of Introduction/invasion of approximately 6 km² (Figure 1). Inspection and mosquito control of incoming ships, surveillance and testing of mosquitoes, and epidemiological surveillance in the human population should be conducted in I-zone in Cairns. An area of 35 km² was identified as the Gap zone should ZIKAV invade through the port area and infected mosquitoes disperse or personnel infect local mosquitoes. Epidemiological and mosquito surveillance/testing should be conducted in the G-zone and control measures implemented in the event ZIKAV is detected. The BioTEMS output was similar to information previously published by other researchers, supporting their conclusions as well as the output from the BioTEMS TIGER model. The BioTEMS I and G zones overlapped 95% with high density areas and breeding sites of *Ae. aegypti* identified by [13] in Cairns. Recommended surveillance sites for *Aedes* mosquitoes were identified area wide in Cairns (Figure 1). The BioTEMS predicted a northwesterly direction of dispersal of 309° should ZIKAV infected *Aedes* mosquitoes enter through the port in Cairns.

The distance individual *Ae aegypti* disperse varies geographically, from a few meters up to 3,000 meters [14,15]. In Cairns, the reported distance of dispersal of *Ae aegypti*, averaged 78 m in [12] and 94 m (CSIRO data). The movement of released mosquitoes was dependent on environmental factors such as trees, shade, and road barriers [12]. Using the release point in [12] as a theoretical ZIKAV affected individual or infected mosquito, the I-zone in Edge Hill encompasses 100% of the area of the dispersed mosquitoes released in the CSIRO study. The area of the I-zone is 3.5 km² and indicates where IMM would be conducted should such an event occur. The G-zone encompasses approximately 10 km²; mosquito surveillance sites are also identified (Figure 2). The BioTEMS model of dispersing infected *Aedes* mosquitoes was 99.4% similar to the mark-release-recapture study of [12] (Figure 2). The BioTEMS predicted a dispersal direction of 318° for ZIKAV infected mosquitoes, whereas, the average direction of dispersal described in [12] was 316°. In the Edge Hill suburb, 89.2% of the mosquito capture sites in the CSIRO study were within 10 m of optimal surveillance sites identified using BioTEMS (Figure 2).

Another factor affecting invasion may be pesticide resistance within species and among haplotypes. For example in Malaysia, both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* Skuse were shown to have variation in resistance to
pyrethroids and malathion depending on geographic location. Ships arriving from ports where pesticide use is common, mosquito control programs must be able to test and adapt should resistant mosquitoes be detected. In addition to the importation of infected mosquito species, local *Aedes* may become infected through feeding on humans or having contact with infected haplotypes. If venereal and vertical transmission of ZIKAV occurs as is the case in several other arboviruses [17-20], the potential for ZIKAV to be maintained within the mosquito population makes invasion and the risk of the virus becoming endemic more likely. Public health and mosquito control officials should consider several factors when designing the IMM plan for the prevention and control of ZIKAV infected mosquitoes, e.g. species, dispersal range, pesticide resistance, seasonality, temperature, humidity, density of breeding sites, density of hosts, vector-pathogen interactions, etc. Area and direction of dispersal of *Aedes* within a locality should be considered in the IMM plan when possible.

In conclusion, ships arriving from cities where ZIKAV is endemic, pose a significant risk for the introduction of ZIKAV into Cairns. Should a cargo ship arriving from Malaysia contain infected mosquitoes and/or crew, the short trip of 11 days [5] may not be long enough time to clear ZIKAV infection from humans before arriving in Cairns. The crew may infect other personnel through sexual contact or they may infect local resident mosquitoes as they travel in the city. Infected *Aedes* mosquitoes may also invade through the port and infect human residents and possibly other conspecifics. Determining the area of an effective control zone will vary depending on locality and other abiotic and biotic factors. Public health officials should incorporate risk assessment modeling in the development of their IMM plans. Proactive preventive deployment of environmentally safe and economic pesticides may be utilized in surrounding an infected patient’s home to prevent infecting local competent vector species and in port areas to reduce the risk of the establishment of invasive mosquito species/haplotypes [9]. Expanded epidemiologic surveillance of mosquitoes and clinical/serological testing of the community living in I and G zones should be conducted. Models such as BioTEMS can be useful in assisting in IMM planning and mitigation.
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