Case series of *Salmonella* spp. infections confirmed by culture test at the hospital clinical laboratory in L’Aquila (Italy), years 2011-2018
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Summary

In the European Union between 2008 and 2017 there was a statistically significant decrease in the confirmed cases of salmonellosis. Nevertheless, it represents the first cause of food-borne outbreaks and the secondly most frequently reported zoonosis. Considering the pathogen diffusion and clinical consequences, monitoring the incidence of *Salmonella* spp. infections is a priority for public health. The study is aimed at describing the temporal trend of prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. positive tested and serotypes characteristics of the isolates by L’Aquila hospital laboratory microbiological analysis in 2011-2018, with a focus on the epidemic phase occurred from October 2013 to May 2014. The survey is a case series report, with a retrospective design.

During the period considered, 220 infected carriers were identified, in particular 160 positive samples for *Salmonella enterica* serogroup B, so it was the main group causing salmonellosis in the area of L’Aquila both in the epidemic period and in the non-epidemic period. Moreover, the proportion of cases in early paediatric age (from one to five years) resulted statistically higher in the epidemic period than in the non-epidemic period. About the possible causes of the outbreak, the hypothesis of an environmental contamination seems to be plausible.

Introduction

Almost 60% of the most frequent human pathogens has an animal origin (23) and the majority of food zoonosis are caused by *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* bacteria (10). Zoonoses are infectious diseases transmitted by animals to humans directly by contact with the animal or indirectly especially through contaminated water or food consumption (19). In the European Union (EU) between 2008 and 2017 there was a statistically significant decrease in the confirmed cases of salmonellosis (10) and, despite the constant decrease in the number of cases, salmonellosis represents the first cause of food-borne outbreaks and the second most frequently reported zoonosis. Recently, it has been estimated the overall incidence of human salmonellosis is 19.7 cases per 100,000 inhabitants each year in the general EU population (6) and 7.5 cases per 100,000 inhabitants in Italy (27).

*Salmonella* spp. are able to adapt to environmental conditions significantly different from their normal growth range (6). Infections caused by *Salmonella* spp. are distinguished in typhoid forms (S. Typhi and S. Paratyphi, responsible for typhoid fever and enteric fever in general), in which mankind represents the only reservoir of the microorganism, and non-typhoid forms, caused by the so-called minor *Salmonella* (as S. Typhimurium and S. Enteritidis), responsible for clinical forms with a prevailing gastroenteric manifestation. Indeed, gastroenteritis is the most common worldwide manifestation of *Salmonella* infections (26). The most affected by salmonellosis are very young (in developmental age) or very old individuals and those with reduced immunological defenses (20).

Considering the pathogen diffusion and clinical consequences, monitoring the incidence of *Salmonella* spp. infections is a priority for public health. Epidemiological studies have been carried out in terms of surveillance of notified clinical cases (12) as well as number of strains isolated in the routine activities of hospitals laboratories (28); in particular, various studies concerning the incidence of different *Salmonella* serogroups (15) and their pres-
ence in specific pathologies such as in the case of infectious enteritis (9) were conducted.

The study is aimed at describing the temporal trend of prevalence of Salmonella spp. positive tested and serotypes characteristics of the isolates by L’Aquila hospital laboratory microbiological analysis in 2011-2018, with a focus on the epidemic phase occurred from October 2013 to May 2014.

Materials and Methods

The study is designed as a retrospective analysis of case series which occurred in the period between June 2011 and July 2018. All the Salmonella spp. infection cases reported by San Salvatore L’Aquila hospital laboratory have been considered by extracting them from the electronic archive, in accordance with the legislation on the sensitive data use.

Different types of biological samples tested in the laboratory were considered: stool, urine, blood, peritoneal fluid, synovial fluid and tracheobronchial aspirate. The stool culture is carried out by sowing on a liquid medium, then GN broth (Liofilchem), Selenite and tracheobronchial aspirate. The stool culture is carried out by counting the colonies. The urine and tracheobronchial aspirate analysis were performed on only for 180/228 samples (78.9%) (Table 2) and led to the identification of:

- Salmonella enterica Group B in 89.9% of the samples (152 stool samples, 5 urine samples and 3 blood samples);
- Salmonella enterica Group C in 3.9% of the samples (6 stool samples and in the only positive sample of synovial fluid);
- Salmonella enterica Group D in 6.1% of the samples (10 stool samples and in 1 blood sample);
- Salmonella enterica Group E and Salmonella enterica Group G in the same stool sample, in which, moreover, a strain of Salmonella enterica from Group B was also found.

Serotyping was carried out more frequently during the epidemic period (88.9% of the samples) than the non-epidemic period (66.7%). Differences are found, also, in respect to serotypes identified:

- during the 2013-2014 epidemic period, almost all of typed strains, equal to 99.1% (111/112), were Salmonella enterica Group B (the only one that wasn’t, was found belonging to the Group C serotype);
- in the non-epidemic period, the distribution was less unbalanced: 72.1% (49 stool samples out of 68 serotyped) were Group B, 16.2% (10/58) Group D, 8.8 % (6/68) Group C and 1.5% Groups E and G (1/68).

Positive biological samples refer, overall, to male patients for 53.5% and to women for 46.5%.

Results

During the entire period examined (2011-2018) an outbreak phase emerged, demonstrated by an increase in the infection cases (positive tested samples), from October 2013 to May 2014.

Table 1 shows the cases distribution, stratified in ‘epidemic’ and ‘non-epidemic’ phases: in the whole period 228 biological samples positive for Salmonella spp. were identified, from the following cultures: stool, urine, blood, tracheobronchial aspirate, peritoneal fluid and synovial fluid. Eight of these samples refer to the same patient (subsequent bacterial cultures on the same type of sample or different types of biological samples), so the total number of infected carriers identified was 220 throughout the entire period.

The differences between epidemic and non-epidemic periods are considerable: in the first period (duration of 8 months) the infected carriers were, overall, equal to 123, with a monthly average of 15.4 ± 7.9 subjects; in the second period (77 months) they resulted equal to 97, with a monthly average of 1.3 ± 1.0 subjects (p <0.001 to Student’s t test).

Table 1. Absolute frequency of Salmonella spp. detections, no. of infected carriers, length of observational periods (in months) and average numbers of infected carriers per months, stratified by period (arithmetic mean ± standard deviation).

|                        | Non-epidemic period | Epidemic period | Total | P      |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| No. positive samples   | 102                 | 126             | 228   |       |
| No. infected carriers  | 97                  | 123             | 220   |       |
| Duration (months)      | 77                  | 8               | 85    |       |
| Average carriers/month | 1.3±1.0             | 15.4±7.9        | 2.6±4.8 | <0.001|

[Microbiologia Medica 2021; 36:9354]
The chronological age varies from a minimum value of 0 to a maximum value of 93.1 years.

Figure 2 shows the median value and the interquartile range of the age per year of detection and highlights the strong variability of these values over the observed time period: in the years of the outbreak (2013 and 2014) the lowest values are recorded and these differences were statistically significant at the Kruskall-Wallis non-parametric test (p<0.001).

This trend is confirmed by the distribution per age class and survey year shown by Figure 3 stratified by period (epidemic vs non-epidemic):
- the proportion of carriers in early pediatric age is statistically higher in the period of the outbreak 2013 - 2014: for the ‘less than 1 year’ group it is equal to 4.8% of cases in the epidemic period and 2.0% of cases in the non-epidemic period; for the ‘1-2’ years group, respectively, 34.9% vs 5.9%;
- for the higher age groups, the differences are reversed: in that ‘5-18’ years they are respectively equal to 18.3% of the cases of the epidemic period and to 25.5% of the cases of the non-epidemic period; in that ‘18 – 64’ years the proportions of subjects are, respectively, 9.5% vs 19.6% and, lastly, for the ‘65 years and more’, respectively 10.3% vs 22.5% (p<0.001 at the Chi Square test).

**Discussion**

From a public health perspective, one point of strength of this study is the continuative period of observation (from 2011 to 2018). A fairly constant level of human infections of pathogen *Salmonella* spp. was confirmed, except for the epidemic period (2013-2014). In the EU, *Salmonella* is the most frequently etiological agent detected in food-borne outbreaks. The most recent report by EFSA and ECDC reported a notification rate in the EU of 19.7 cases of salmonellosis per 100,000 population, a slightly lower rate (2.9% decrease) compared to 2016 (20.4 cases per 100,000 population) (10). As in the previous year, Italy was among the countries that recorded the lowest rates (<7.0 cases per 100,000 population). This confirms the decreasing trend of human infections recorded
since the nineties at global (17) and Italian level (5). The source of our data (analytical findings reported at the hospital laboratory) does not allow us to calculate the accurate incidence of the infection since they do not precisely match the notifications of human disease in the area of interest. Nevertheless, the number of human carriers of Salmonella spp. in terms of positive biological samples during the non-epidemic phase (1.3 carriers/months in average), compared to the population denominator of geographical area served by the hospital laboratory (circa 100,000 inhabitant) is 15.6 carriers/100,000 per year, so consistent with the epidemiological outline of Salmonellosis at European level but higher compared to the Italian incidence. During the epidemic period (2013-2014 outbreak) the value of 184.8 carriers/100,000 inhabitants was reached, so, more than tenfold.

Figure 2. Number of positive samples per year of investigation and chronological age of infected carriers expressed as median value and interquartile range.

Figure 3. Distribution of identified subjects positive for Salmonella spp. by age group in the ‘epidemic period’ and in the ‘non-epidemic’ period.
Food contamination can occur at any point in the supply chain, from ‘farm to fork’; it can, indeed, occur during production, collection, processing, storage, transport, retail sale and domestic handling (30). The food products mainly attributed to the onset of the infection are animal origin products such as milk, poultry and eggs. So, the implementation of new company control measures of Salmonella infection in food companies, such as the introduction of live vaccines in poultry, the improvement of hygiene and the consumers and workers education of the food industry has proved effective (17).

Notification of non-typhoidal salmonellosis in humans is mandatory in most EU member States. The diagnosis of infection is generally made by culture from human stool samples. Almost all the samples we analyzed showing positivity are faecal samples, just like the national data reporting the faecal biological matrix as the prevailing isolation matrix (21). Almost all member States, except Bulgaria, perform serotyping of the isolates. As in previous years, the three Salmonella serovars most commonly reported in 2017 were S. Enteritidis, S. Typhimurium and S. Typhimurium monophasic variant (belonging to the enterica subspecies) (14); these three serovars accounted for 78.1% of confirmed human cases acquired in the EU (10). According to European data, the American CDC reported that among the 20 serotypes most frequently associated with Salmonella spp. appear S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium (30). Most serovars show a marked seasonality, with an increase in cases during the summer months (with a peak in August/September), which may be related to the parallel trend of Salmonella spread in animal hosts and/or insufficient refrigeration and poor management of food during the warm months (29).

During the time observed there was an epidemic phase lasting from October 2013 to May 2014, during which the number of positive samples exceeded 10 per month. These levels are clearly in excess of those of previous and subsequent years. For example, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year, between 2005 and 2009, the average number of cases of salmonellosis reported in the province of L’Aquila amounted to nine per year.

These data underline the existence of an environmental circulation of this serogroup, confirming the hypothesis that during the epidemic period the greater circulation can be ascribed to a natural origin, i.e. from zoontic reservoirs that have contaminated the environment (land and then surface waters). While during the non-epidemic period the infection could have been caused by the consumption of contaminated food from other countries, i.e. eggs from other European countries. As matter of fact, a multi-country outbreak of S. Enteritidis, linked to eggs from Poland, has been ongoing in the EU/EEA for several years (11).

No information is available in the literature to explain the very high median age of 2018 cases compared to other years. It could be supposed that the reports belong to old people living in retirement homes. Indeed, human Salmonella infections frequently involve several subjects at the same time, especially if they live together (such as retirement homes) and if they have had access to the same food sources (such as canteens) (1). Even when standards of care and food hygiene are very high some infections are inevitable because of susceptibility of the population and the high typhoidal and non-typhoidal serogroups (24). Gastroenteritis is quite common in retirement homes and can occur in sporadic or epidemic form due to viral agents, but bacterial forms are also possible, especially from Salmonella spp., E. coli, Clostridium difficile (3).

In our study the most identified Salmonella spp. serogroup was Group B, both in the epidemic and in the non-epidemic period. In the previous investigations (Cito et al.; Nigro et al.), conducted on the data of the epidemic period, S. Typhimurium monophasic variant was indicated as the strain responsible for the outbreak, and this confirms our serological investigation for the epidemic period. That strain belongs to Group B (33) and this has been the most reported serovar in Italy since 2000 (17). However, this data contradicts the European ones. Fuche et al. reported in Europe in 2012, 42% of cases belonged to serogroup D followed by B (32.7%) and C (8.4%) serogroups (15). In fact, S. Enteritidis belongs to serogroup D (30) which was the mainly acquired serovar in Europe in 2017, almost two out of three (61.2%) of the reported cases were infected with S. Enteritidis (10).

Nonetheless, the environmental occurrence of Salmonella Group B in Italy is documented by literature. S. Typhimurium and Monophasic S. Typhimurium represent the first two serotypes isolated from veterinary matrices (animals and food) in Italy. This is a characteristic of our country, though generally in Europe the most isolated serotype remains S. Enteritidis (22). Confirming this, Gargiulo et al. (16) found Salmonella Group B in birds of prey, Chiari et al. (7) in wild boars and Botti et al. (2) in wild mammals and birds. In all of these investigations the most common isolated serovars was S. Typhimurium. Nevertheless, there are surveys which indicate that the frequent presence of Monophasic S. Typhimurium is not a phenomenon limited only to Italy. Helmhuth et al. reported in Denmark an outbreak of monophasic S. Typhimurium from October 2018 to January 2019; the most likely vehicle was medister sausage (18).

Carraturu et al. define the prevalence of Salmonella enterica in the final stage of the meat production chain, on samples collected from slaughterhouses in Italy; the most isolated serovar was S. Choleraesuis (62%) (4).

Perugini et al. isolated Salmonella enterica strains Typhimurium and Enteritidis in Italy from animals and food such as bovine, ovine, swine and chicken meat, shellfish, eggs, bovine ad bubaline milk (31).

These data underline the existence of an environmental circulation of this serogroup, confirming the hypothesis that during the epidemic period the greater circulation can be ascribed to a natural origin, i.e. from zoontic reservoirs that have contaminated the environment (land and then surface waters). While during the non-epidemic period the infection could have been caused by the consumption of contaminated food from other countries, i.e. eggs from other European countries. As matter of fact, a multi-country outbreak of S. Enteritidis, linked to eggs from Poland, has been ongoing in the EU/EEA for several years (11).

The presence of Salmonella enterica from Group B in a stool sample were Salmonella enterica Group E and Salmonella enterica Group G were found, so having three different serogroups in the same specimen, could be indicative of sample contamination.
Therefore, about the possible causes of the outbreak, the hypothesis of an environmental contamination seems to be plausible and supported by the identification, in other regions, of clinical cases due to the same strain only in patients who had made a trip to L’Aquila (29). Environmental contamination could result from animal sources. In the Salmonella epidemiological cycle, the animals act as main maintenance reservoirs. Environmental contamination of soil, surface waters, work environments, can promote the spread and contact of bacteria with receptive animal species and with humans (20). Indeed, there may be a correlation between the copious rainfall before the onset of the outbreak and the presence of Salmonella spp. in surface waters. Contamination of these waters may have occurred due to sewage water flooding because of heavy rainfall and there may have been problems with sewage treatment plants related to the persistent damage caused by the devastating earthquake in L’Aquila in 2009 (8).

Conclusions

In this survey we described the epidemiological trend of Salmonella spp. infections detected by microbiological analysis of human samples from June 2011 to October 2018 at the San Salvatore L’Aquila hospital microbiological analysis laboratory. In particular, the study evaluated the temporal trend of Salmonella spp. found during the observation period and serological characteristics of isolated strains. The findings support and integrate previous studies on the same outbreak which considered only the hospitalized paediatric cases (Nigro et al., 2016). The difference in patient’s age between epidemic and non-epidemic phases confirmed the high proportion of young patients involved, as well as the unusual feature of pathogen dissemination during the outbreak, with higher epidemiological impact and different serogroup distribution. Our study highlights the importance of the collaboration between health service laboratories and the public health sector, in the perspective of epidemiological surveillance at the local level.
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