Molecular Epidemiology of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes Among Chronically Infected Patients in Pakistan
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Abstract

Background: A number of studies on the distribution of hepatitis C virus (HCV) genotypes among the chronically HCV-infected people have reported different patterns from various geographical regions of Pakistan. A relatively large scale epidemiological study was needed in order to figure out the distribution pattern of HCV genotypes.

Objectives: This research study was carried out to investigate the distribution pattern of hepatitis C virus genotypes in different geographical regions of Pakistan.

Methods: In the current study, 5259 randomly selected patients with different genders, ethnicity, age groups, belonging to 24 geographical locations of Pakistan were included. Blood samples from patients with a positive history of active HCV infection were collected from various healthcare units from February 2016 to September 2018. Hepatitis C virus RNA was detected in serum samples by qualitative PCR and confirmed by real-time PCR. Hepatitis C virus genotyping analysis was performed by type specific PCR.

Results: Our study revealed that out of 5259 HCV infected patients, HCV genotype 3a was the most frequent one (n = 4203, 79.9%) in all of the 24 regions of Pakistan, especially in Rawalpindi and Islamabad (Twin cities). Untypeable genotype (n = 415, 7.9%) was the second most frequent one after 3a followed by 3a3b co-infection (n = 355, 6.7%), 3b (n = 182, 3.5%), 2a (n = 92, 1.7%), 2b (n = 10, 0.2%), and 1b (n = 2, 0.03%). Interestingly, rarely detectable genotype 4 (n = 1, 0.01%) was also reported. The overall distribution pattern of HCV genotypes was the same in both the genders. Comparatively higher HCV chronic infection was reported among patient with age group (41 - 55).

Conclusions: Currently the existing distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in Pakistan is similar to slight variations in some regions. Comparative analysis of the HCV genotype distribution indicated that the dynamics of HCV genotypes has changed in different areas with the emergence of a relatively uniform pattern across the country.
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1. Background

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is among hazardous general medical issues around the world, with over 170 - 200 million infected individuals (1), including around 18 million in Pakistan (2). Hepatitis C virus is the main source of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. It has been assessed to cause around 27% of cirrhosis and 25% of hepatocellular carcinoma cases around the world (3). Approximately 350,000 individuals die from HCV every year (4). Hepatitis C virus belongs to the genus of Hepacivirus in the Flaviviridae family categorized into six noteworthy genotypes and different subtypes. These HCV genotypes and subtypes have variable distribution everywhere throughout the world. Genotype 1a and 1b are the dominant genotypes in the United States and Europe, respectively (5, 6). Hepatitis C virus genotype 2 is particularly prevalent in countries of West Africa (7). Genotype 3a is most abundantly found in Australia and South Asia (8), though genotype 4 is the overwhelming genotype in countries of Northern and Central Africa, especially Egypt. In addition, genotypes 5 and 6 are much of the time found in South Africa and Asia, respectively (7).

All these HCV genotypes demonstrate 31% - 34% heterogeneity in their nucleotide sequences and almost 30% heterogeneity in their amino acid chain sequence (9). More-
over, HCV genotypes have their own novel pattern of infection advancement and response to antiviral treatment (10). To our knowledge, few retrospective research studies have been conducted on distribution patterns of HCV genotypes in various geographical locations of Pakistan (11, 12). As the distribution pattern of HCV genotypes is changed with the passage of time, epidemiological investigations may uncover the distribution pattern of HCV genotypes among the Pakistani population to facilitate treatment alternatives and preventive strategies (11).

As the results of previous studies undertaken in Pakistan are conflicting and all the studies have relied on particular geographical locations with a limited number of samples, we conducted the current study to figure out the existing distribution pattern of HCV genotypes on a relatively larger scale, including 24 different geographical locations of Pakistan. This will help to assist the therapeutic options and will explore the prognostic implications of HCV infection in Pakistan.

2. Objectives

This research study was carried out to investigate the distribution patterns of hepatitis C virus genotypes in different geographical regions of Pakistan.

3. Methods

3.1. Patient Selection and Study Design

The current study was conducted at Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad and Comsats University Islamabad in collaboration with biotech molecular diagnostic laboratories Rawalpindi. Blood samples of the patients with a positive history of active HCV infection were collected from various healthcare units from February 2016 to September 2018. Out of 5704, a total of 5259 randomly selected patients from different genders, ethnicity, age groups, belonging to 24 geographical locations of Pakistan were included in the study. Initial screening of patient’s sera, qualitative PCR and genotyping were done in Biotech Molecular diagnostic laboratories Rawalpindi (Figure 1).

3.2. Confirmation of Active Hepatitis C Virus Infection

Active HCV infection was determined using real-time PCR amplification (Qiagen, Germany; equipment: Rotor Gene Q; Qiagen, Germany) according to the described protocol (www.qiagen.com/RotorGeneQ).

Figure 1. Flow sheet diagram (study design)

3.3. Hepatitis C Virus Genotyping

RNA extraction was carried out using RNA extraction kit (GF-1, Malaysia) according to the manufacturer's instructions (GF-1, www.vivantechnologies.com). Synthesis of cDNA and type-specific PCR for the detection of most common HCV genotypes (1a, 2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 4, 5a, and 6a) were conducted as described (13).

3.4. Primers Design

The following designed universal primers (Humanizing Genomics macrogen, South Korea), (www.dna.macrogen.com) on prescribed method (13) were used for HCV genotyping (Table 1).

3.5. Gel Electrophoresis and Documentation

Final PCR products (from viral genotyping and qualitative PCR) were administered to electrophoresis and isolated on 2% agarose gel. After staining with ethidium bromide, the gel was visualized under UV-transilluminator. Hepatitis C virus genotypes were confirmed by matching the amplified product of a specific genotype with a 100-bp DNA ladder marker (Fermentas, USA) as described previously (13).
Table 1. Primers for Hepatitis C Virus cDNA Synthesis and Genotyping in Type Specific PCR

| Primer Name | PCR Rounds | Primers Sequence | Bases |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-------|
| Qualitative Hepatitis C Virus | | | |
| MMDS-1/C | Round 1 | 5’CCCTGTGAGGAACTACTGTCTTCACGC3’ | 27mer |
| MMDS-2/C | Round 1, RT(rC DNA) | 5’ACTCGCAAGCACCCTATCAGGCAGTAC3’ | 27mer |
| MMDS-2A/C | Round 1, RT(rC DNA) | 5’ACTCGCAAGCACCCTATCAGGCAGTAC3’ | 27mer |
| MMDS-2B/C | Round 1, RT(rC DNA) | 5’ACTCGCAAGCACCCTATCAGGCAGTAC3’ | 27mer |
| MMDS-3/C | Round 2 | 5’GAAGGCTCTAGGCATGGGCG3’ | 20mer |
| MMDS-4/C | Round 2 | 5’CAAGGGCTTTCGGGAGCC3’ | 19mer |
| Hepatitis C Virus Genotyping | | | |
| G1 | Round 1 | 5’GGGAGGTCTCGTAGACCGTGCACCATG3’ | 27mer |
| G2 | Round 1, RT(rC DNA) | 5’GAGMGGATRFAACCCATGAGRTGGGCG3’ | 27mer |
| G3 | Round 1 | 5’AGACCTTGCCACCATGAGCAC3’ | 20mer |
| G4 | Round 1 | 5’AGACCTTGCCACCATGAGCAC3’ | 20mer |
| G5 | Round 1 | 5’GGCCGAGGCTTTCGGGAGCC3’ | 20mer |
| G6 | Round 1 | 5’CCCTGCGCTGGGCCGGTCTAR3’ | 20mer |
| G7 | Round 1 | 5’CAGGGCTTGCCACCATGAGCAC3’ | 20mer |
| G8 | Round 1 | 5’GGCCGAGGCTTTCGGGAGCC3’ | 20mer |
| G9 | Round 1 | 5’GGCCGAGGCTTTCGGGAGCC3’ | 20mer |
| G10 | Round 2 | 5’GGCCGAGGCTTTCGGGAGCC3’ | 20mer |
| G11 | Round 2 | 5’CCCGGGAACTTAACGTCCAT3’ | 20mer |
| G12 | Round 2 | 5’GAACCTCGGGGGAGAGCAA3’ | 20mer |
| G13 | Round 2 | 5’GGTCATTGGGGCCCCAATGT3’ | 20mer |
| G14 | Round 2 | 5’GGTCATTGGGGCCCCAATGT3’ | 20mer |

3.6. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive results were expressed in percentages (%). The SPSS version 21 software was used to analyze the data.

4. Results

4.1. Confirmation of Active Hepatitis C Virus Infection

A total of 5259 HCV-positive patients, (n = 3061, 58.2%) females and (n = 2198, 41.8%) males with mean age 43.93 ± 11.79 (range 06 - 98) years were analyzed by real time PCR for confirmation of active HCV infection. The results indicated that a total of 5259 patients had detectable HCV RNA in their sera as detected by real-time PCR.

4.2. The Existing Pattern of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes Distribution in Pakistan

Type-specific PCR-based HCV genotyping revealed that the overall existing distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in Pakistan is reported as (3a, untypeable, 3a3b, 3b, 2a, 2b, 1b, 4) as shown in Table 3.

4.3. Gender-Wise Distribution Pattern of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes

The distribution pattern of HCV genotypes was almost the same in both the gender. However, HCV infection was comparatively more abundant in female patients with HCV (Table 2).

4.4. Age-Wise Distribution Pattern of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes

Based on age, six distinct groups of genotyped samples were framed as 06 - 25 years, 26 - 40 years, 41 - 55 years, 56 - 70 years, 71 - 85, and 86 - 100 years. Comparatively, HCV genotypic distribution ratio was specifically higher in age groups between (41 - 55) (Figure 2).

4.5. Distribution of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes by Geographical Areas

Distribution of hepatitis C virus genotypes by geographical areas (cities) of Pakistan is shown in Table 3.
Table 2. Gender-Wise Distribution of Hepatitis C Virus Genotypes

| Genotypes | Total |
|-----------|-------|
| 1b        | 2 (0.0) |
| 2a        | 92 (1.7) |
| 2b        | 10 (0.2) |
| 3a        | 4203 (79.9) |
| 3a3b      | 355 (6.7) |
| 3b        | 182 (3.5) |
| 4a        | 415 (7.9) |
| Untypeable| 5259 (100.0) |

Values are expressed as No. (%).

Figure 2. Age wise genotype distribution in Pakistan

5. Discussion

The existing distribution pattern of hepatitis C virus genotypes in 24 different geographical locations of Pakistan indicated that HCV genotype 3a was the most abundant one with a prevalence rate of (n = 4203, 79.9%) (Table 3). Various investigations have recognized genotype 3a as the most common hepatitis C virus in Pakistan (14, 15). However in 2013 a study revealed that HCV 1a was abundant in the Baluchistan province of Pakistan (11). Contrary to the current study, the studies in 2011 and 2010 have demonstrated an increase in the frequency of genotype 2a, especially in the North-western region Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) (16, 17). This temporal change in the relative distribution of different genotypes needs upgraded methodologies used in order to develop a comprehensive understanding of HCV genotype distribution and evolutionary trends in Pakistan.

An interesting finding of our observation is the vast number of untypeable genotypes (n = 415, 7.9%) that produced no genotype-specific PCR fragments in our genotyping assay (Table 3). In contrast to our study, a review study in 2011 reported 3.30% untypeable genotypes in Pakistan (18). In the current study all the untypeable genotypes had adequate viral titer showing that the untypeability was not as a result of low HCV levels. Due to the high rate of mutations in the HCV genome, and also different elements (19) the rise of new subtypes or hereditary variations that determine untypeable genotypes by current techniques is inevitable.

There is a critical need not only to overhaul genotyping techniques by utilizing refreshed HCV sequence information, but also to build up a consensus reference genotyping method in order to avoid cross-methodology ambiguities (20, 21). In the present research study, mixed genotype 3a3b infections (n = 355, 6.7%) and 3b (n = 182, 3.5%) HCV genotypes were found in the HCV-infected individuals (Table 3). The number of HCV patients suffering from mixed infections 3a3b and 3b is comparable to that described by Idrees and Riazuddin. In contrast to the current study, in 2008 the report revealed a 4.8% prevalence of mixed 3a3b
Table 3. City-Wise Genotype Distribution of Hepatitis C Virus Patients Among Pakistani Populationa

| S. No./Location | Genotypes | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|
|                 | 1b | 2a | 2b | 3a | 3a3b | 3b | 4a | Untypeable |
| 1. AJK           | 1 (1.2) | 1 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 71 (83.5) | 7 (8.2) | 1 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (4.7) | 85 (100.0) |
| 2. Attock        | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (100.0) |
| 3. Buner         | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (50.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (50.0) | 2 (100.0) |
| 4. Chakwal       | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (100.0) |
| 5. Faisalabad    | 0 (0.0) | 6 (0.9) | 2 (0.3) | 547 (81.0) | 43 (6.4) | 20 (3.0) | 2 (0.3) | 1 (0.1) | 675 (100.0) |
| 6. Gojra         | 0 (0.0) | 1 (6.7) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (60.0) | 2 (13.3) | 2 (13.3) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (6.7) | 15 (100.0) |
| 7. Gujranwala    | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 20 (76.9) | 7 (26.9) | 1 (3.8) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (11.5) | 26 (100.0) |
| 8. Gujrat        | 0 (0.0) | 11 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | 743 (84.1) | 52 (5.9) | 30 (3.4) | 0 (0.0) | 47 (5.3) | 883 (100.0) |
| 9. Hafizabad     | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.8) | 0 (0.0) | 115 (91.3) | 5 (4.0) | 2 (1.6) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (2.4) | 126 (100.0) |
| 10. Islamabad    | 1 (0.1) | 14 (1.6) | 1 (0.1) | 642 (75.1) | 54 (6.3) | 35 (4.1) | 0 (0.0) | 108 (12.6) | 855 (100.0) |
| 11. Jhelum       | 0 (0.0) | 1 (5.9) | 0 (0.0) | 13 (76.5) | 1 (5.9) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (11.8) | 17 (100.0) |
| 12. Jhang        | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (63.6) | 2 (18.2) | 2 (18.2) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (18.2) | 17 (100.0) |
| 13. Jhumra       | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (100.0) |
| 14. Khanewal      | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (75.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (25.0) | 4 (100.0) |
| 15. Hazro        | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (63.6) | 2 (18.2) | 2 (18.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (100.0) |
| 16. Mardan        | 0 (0.0) | 6 (1.7) | 0 (0.0) | 119 (73.0) | 14 (8.6) | 7 (4.3) | 0 (0.0) | 17 (10.4) | 163 (100.0) |
| 17. Multan        | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 67 (76.1) | 1 (1.2) | 6 (7.1) | 0 (0.0) | 10 (11.9) | 88 (100.0) |
| 18. Muzaffargarh  | 0 (0.0) | 1 (3.3) | 0 (0.0) | 26 (86.7) | 1 (3.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (6.7) | 30 (100.0) |
| 19. Peshawar      | 0 (0.0) | 2 (1.5) | 1 (0.8) | 90 (69.2) | 14 (10.8) | 10 (7.7) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (2.4) | 130 (100.0) |
| 20. Rawalpindi    | 0 (0.0) | 30 (2.5) | 4 (0.3) | 933 (77.8) | 91 (7.6) | 38 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 104 (8.7) | 1200 (100.0) |
| 21. Sahiwal       | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 14 (63.6) | 4 (18.2) | 1 (4.5) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (13.6) | 22 (100.0) |
| 22. Sargodha      | 0 (0.0) | 4 (1.8) | 1 (0.4) | 201 (88.2) | 11 (4.8) | 5 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (2.6) | 228 (100.0) |
| 23. Swabi         | 0 (0.0) | 2 (1.6) | 0 (0.0) | 101 (81.5) | 9 (7.3) | 4 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 8 (6.5) | 124 (100.0) |
| Total            | 2 (0.0) | 92 (1.7) | 10 (0.2) | 4203 (79.9) | 355 (6.7) | 182 (3.5) | 1 (0.0) | 415 (7.9) | 5259 (100.0) |

Values are expressed as No. (%).

aand 17.5% 3b HCV genotype infections in Pakistan (11, 22). Due to the increasing burden of HCV infections in Pakistan, it seems plausible that mixed genotypic infection will also arise as HCV genotypes do not provide cross protection.

Hepatitis C virus genotype 2a was detected in (n = 92, 1.7%) HCV-positive patients in the current study (Table 3). In contrast to the current study retrospective studies have described a much higher number of HCV 2a from different parts of Pakistan (23). The lower frequency of HCV 2a in our study indicates that the dynamic of HCV 2a infection has also been affected over the past couple of years. Another important finding in our study was the detection of genotype 4a in Faisalabad (Table 3). Hepatitis C Virus genotype 4a seems to be very rare in Pakistan. However, in 2018 Wahid et al. (24) in Mardan and in 2010 Abdulkarim et al. (25) in Lahore, reported genotype 4a in Pakistan. The rare occurrence of genotype 4a has been reported in neighboring countries like Iran, Iraq and UAE (26). Regional migration is considered to be the main reason for HCV infection.

In the current study, the distribution pattern of HCV genotype was found the same in both genders with a higher frequency of HCV infection in female patients (Table 2). In contrast to this description of our study, no critical distinction was observed by Idrees and Riazuddin in the frequency distribution of HCV infection in gender and according to their study, variation among genotypes was not observed in this part of the world (11). This could be due to a general lack of awareness and differences in population samples selected for the study (27). When comparing the different age groups our findings demonstrated that high
prevalence rate 43.6% of HCV infection was found between the age group of 41-55 with a similar genotypic pattern as was found in case of the overall studied participants (Figure 2). Our results are in disagreement with retrospective published studies declaring that the highest frequency of HCV infection was observed in the age group of ≤ 40 years in comparison to ≥ 40 age group (28, 29).

Although the prevalence of HCV infection in different age groups is variable, which may be due to more exposure of particular age groups to certain risk factors, yet the distribution pattern of HCV genotypes is similar, indicating the fact that all age groups are exposed to the same HCV genotypes in different regions of the country. The existing distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in 24 cities of Pakistan was observed in this study (Table 3). In brief, in the capital city Islamabad, Jhelum, and Azad Jammu Kashmir (AJK) regions had the same distribution pattern of HCV genotypes. As it is the first ever effort to figure out distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in the above mentioned three geographical locations with a cumulative population size of 6.668 million (2017), no retrospective data is available for comparison; however, the results indicate the same pattern as observed in other geographical locations of Pakistan.

In comparison to the current study, a study conducted by Idrees and Riazuddin in 2008 (11) and a review study by Attaullah et al. in 2011 (18), show different distribution patterns of HCV genotypes in various geographical regions of Pakistan. To the best of our knowledge, so far 86 small scale studies (30) have been performed in order to find out the distribution patterns of HCV genotypes in different geographical regions of Pakistan and some of them (30) have reported different patterns. The molecular epidemiology of HCV genotypic pattern in Pakistan revealed different results in relation to earlier investigators, which may be due to different locality, time of samples collection, population sample size, different methodologies, and spread of some HCV genotypes due to peculiar risk factors specific to some areas. To address the valuable importance of HCV genotype distribution study in Pakistan, intermittent examinations are needed to monitor distribution patterns of HCV genotypes to encourage treatment choices and preventive strategies in Pakistan.

5.1. Conclusions

The current study concludes that HCV genotype 3a was the most frequent one in the overall existing distribution pattern of HCV genotypes in Pakistan, which accounts for the majority of the infections. The entire pattern of HCV genotypes distribution was reflected more or less the same way in case of different genders, age groups, and in 24 different geographical locations in Pakistan. The higher frequency of the untypeable HCV genotypes necessitates more accurate genetic characterization of such types in order to devise proper diagnostic and therapeutic strategies against these variants.
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