Isolation of a Novel Peroxisomal Catalase Gene from Sugarcane, Which Is Responsive to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses
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Abstract

Catalase is an iron porphyrin enzyme, which serves as an efficient scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS) to avoid oxidative damage. In sugarcane, the enzymatic activity of catalase is in a variety (Yacheng05~179) resistant to the smut pathogen Sporisorium scitamineum was always higher than that of the susceptible variety (Liucheng03~182), suggesting that catalase activity may have a positive correlation with smut resistance in sugarcane. To understand the function of catalase at the molecular level, a cDNA sequence of ScCAT1 (GenBank Accession No. KF664183), was isolated from sugarcane infected by S. scitamineum. ScCAT1 was predicted to encode 492 amino acid residues, and its deduced amino acid sequence shared a high degree of homology with other plant catalases. Enhanced growth of ScCAT1 in recombinant Escherichia coli Rosetta cells under the stresses of CuCl2, CdCl2, and NaCl indicated its high tolerance. Q-PCR results showed that ScCAT1 was expressed at relatively high levels in the bud, whereas expression was moderate in stem epidermis and stem pith. Different kinds of stresses, including S. scitamineum challenge, plant hormones (SA, MeJA and ABA) treatments, oxidative (H2O2) stress, heavy metal (CuCl2) and hyper-osmotic (PEG and NaCl) stresses, triggered a significant induction of ScCAT1. The ScCAT1 protein appeared to localize in plasma membrane and cytoplasm. Furthermore, histochemical assays using DAB and trypan blue staining, as well as conductivity measurement, indicated that ScCAT1 may confer the sugarcane immunity. In conclusion, the positive response of ScCAT1 to biotic and abiotic stresses suggests that ScCAT1 is involved in protection of sugarcane against reactive oxidant-related environmental stimuli.

Introduction

Sugarcane smut, a prevalent and worldwide disease of sugarcane, is caused by the basidiomycete Sporisorium scitamineum (S. scitamineum). The characteristic symptom of this disease is the emergence of black whips after three months of exposure to infection with smut [1]. The tainted buds may either produce symptoms, or exist as a latent infection and produce black whips in the following season [2]. The enormous quantity of teliospores as well as the quick spread within the sugarcane-producing area makes it almost impossible to completely eliminate this disease. Smut usually results in poor cane growth with profuse tillering, spindly shoots, and narrow leaves, therefore causing considerable loss in yield and sugar content [3]. The release of smut resistant sugarcane varieties, correct quarantine and integrated field management are three main pathways for the control this disease [1]. It is reported that the rates and patterns of colonization of S. scitamineum differ in resistant and susceptible sugarcane tissues [4]. Solas et al. found that buds of the resistant sugarcane cultivar were not subjected to intracellular penetration by S. scitamineum compared to that of the susceptible cultivar [5]. Susceptible cultivars produce a large number of sori which develop earlier than that in resistant cultivars [6]. Therefore, breeding for smut resistant sugarcane varieties has proved to be the most effective method [7].

Due to the complicated genetic background (a polyploid-aneuyploid genome) and pressures of breeding selection (the interaction among sugarcane, smut pathogen and environmental factors), many years and multipoint resistance evaluation tests are needed to obtain relatively high smut resistant sugarcane variety [8]. Alternatively, genetic modification, with directional improvement and molecular assisted breeding technology linked to a target trait, is an alternative way to obtain a resistant variety more quickly and efficiently [9]. By introducing disease-resistance genes to improve gene expression, or by silencing disease-susceptible genes to increase resistance, genetic engineering has made it practical to generate smut resistant sugarcane cultivars [10].
Catalase (EC 1.11.1.6; H$_2$O$_2$-H$_2$O$_2$ oxidoreductase; CAT) is an iron porphyrin enzyme, mostly localized in peroxisomes [11]. It serves as an efficient scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The main function of catalase is to remove excessive H$_2$O$_2$ (hydrogen peroxide) during developmental process or biotic/abiotic stress, to avoid oxidative damage [12]. Plant catalases are composed of a multi-gene family and have been reported in many plant species [13]. There are three members identified in Arabidopsis thaliana [14], Nicotiana tabacum and Zea mays [15,16], two in Hordeum vulgare [17], one in Solanum lycopersicum [10]. In the catalase gene family, different members encode distinct catalase proteins that exhibit different patterns of subcellular localization and expression regulation [19].

The expression of various plant catalase genes is regulated temporally and spatially and responds to developmental and environmental oxidative stimuli [11,13,20,21]. In Panax ginseng, PgCat1 gene was expressed at different levels in leaves, stems, roots of P. ginseng seedlings and was induced by different stresses including heavy metals, osmotic agents, plant hormones and high light irradiances [11]. Kwon and An cloned a Capsicum annuum catalase cDNA, and northern hybridization showed its transcript was more abundant in stems than in leaves and roots, and more in the early stages than in the mature stage of fruit development [19]. They also found that aluminum, sodium chloride (NaCl) and light treatment could induce its transcript. Previous research also revealed that the expression of three different maize catalase genes was regulated differentially in response to developmental phase or the fungal toxin cercosporin [22], ascisic acid (ABA) and salicylic acid (SA) [16,22]. Wang et al. found increased transcription of a catalase gene (MmeCAT) in resistant clam Mertesia meretrix which indicated that MmeCAT could most probably benefit the immune system of clams to defend against pathogen infection [23]. The positive response of catalase genes to various stimuli suggested that catalase may help to protect the plant against reactive oxidant related environmental stresses. It is therefore interesting to determine the role of sugarcane catalases and their encoding genes in response to biotic and abiotic stresses.

To date, a partial cDNA sequence (GenBank Accession No. CF572408.1) similar to catalase has been cloned from Saccharum hybrid cultivar Q117 [24], while its function remained unclear. In the present research, we analyzed the differences of sugarcane catalases and their encoding genes in response to biotic and abiotic stresses.

Materials and Methods

Isolation of a Catalase Gene from Sugarcane

Materials and Methods

Plant Materials and Treatments

Smut whips were collected in the most popular cultivar “ROC”22 in the Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Biology and Genetic Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture (Fuzhou, China), and stored at 4°C. Sugarcane varieties of Yacheng05–179 (smut resistant) and Liucheng03–182 (smut susceptible) were cultivated in the Key Laboratory of Sugarcane Biology and Genetic Breeding, Ministry of Agriculture (Fuzhou, China). All of the treatments were repeated independently three times.

For tissue distribution studies, six healthy 10 month old plants were selected. For each plant, the youngest fully expanded leaf viz. +1 leaf with a visible dewlap (the collar between the leaf blade and sheath), all the buds, stem epidermis and the stem pith were taken for RNA extraction.

During biotic treatments, two-bud sets of both sugarcane genotypes, Yacheng05–179 and Liucheng03–182, were inoculated with 0.5 μL suspension containing 5×10$^8$ spores·mL$^{-1}$ in 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20, while controls were mock inoculated with 0.01% (v/v) Tween-20 in sterile distilled water instead of spores [25,26]. All the inoculated sets were grown at 28°C in condition of 12 h light/12 h dark. Five buds from each of both genotypes were collected at each of the time point of 0 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 96 h. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C.

During abiotic treatments, uniform four-month-old sugarcane tissue cultured plantlets of Yacheng05–179 were grown in water for one week and then transferred to the following seven different treatments in conical tubes at 28°C with 16 h light/8 h dark. The plantlets were treated with 5 mM SA solution, 25 μM MeJa (methyl jasmonate) in 0.1% (v/v) ethanol and 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, 100 μM ABA, and 25% PEG (polyethylene glycol), and the plantlets were set to different periods of time (0 h, 6 h, 12 h and 24 h), respectively. In addition, plantlets were separately treated with 250 mM NaCl and 100 μM CuCl$_2$ (copper chloride) for 0 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h [27,28]. For H$_2$O$_2$ stress, the leaves were sprayed with 10 mM H$_2$O$_2$, and the sampling time points were 0 h, 6 h, 12 h and 24 h, respectively. After treatments, three sugarcane plantlets at each time point were collected and immediately fixed in liquid nitrogen, and then kept at −80°C until used for analysis.

Enzyme Extraction and Activity Assay

To analyze quantitative change in catalase activity in Ya-cheng05–179 and Liucheng03–182 after inoculation with smut pathogen, 0 h, 6 h, 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h and 96 h buds were sampled as above. Controls were mock inoculated with sterile distilled water. The frozen buds of 0.5 g were homogenized in a mortar and pestle with 3.0 mL of ice-cold phosphoric acid buffer (pH7.8) and a small amount of quartz sand. The supernatant was centrifuged at 4,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used as a crude enzyme solution. After incubation at 25°C (for blank control, incubated in a boiling water for 10 min), 0.2 mL supernatant was mixed with 1.5 mL phosphoric acid buffer (pH7.8) added with polystyrene pyrrolodine (PVP) and 0.3 mL 0.1 mol/L H$_2$O$_2$ in 10 mL tube, which initiated the reaction. The decrease in absorbance was recorded by Lambda 35 UV WinLab software (Perkin Elmer, China) followed by the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ at 240 nm, and measured for a total of 3 min [29]. One unit of enzyme activity (U) was defined as $A_{240}$ reduced 0.1 unit per min per g. The enzyme activity was calculated as follows:

$$U = \frac{ΔA_{240} \times V_T}{0.1 \times V_I \times t \times FW}$$

$$ΔA_{240} = A_{50} - \frac{A_{51} + A_{52}}{2}$$

Among them, $A_{50}$ means the absorbance of the blank control, $A_{51}$ and $A_{52}$ stand for the absorbance of the samples, $V_I$ means the total volume of the crude enzyme solution (mL), $V_T$ represents the
volume of the detected crude enzyme solution (mL), FW means the fresh weight of sample (g) and t means the time from adding \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to the last time (min). The activity of catalase was calculated by the activity level of inoculation minus the level of the mock at each corresponding time point.

RNA Extraction

Total RNA of Yacheng05–179 and Liacheng03–182 was extracted with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The quality of the RNA was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm, 280 nm (NanoVue plus, GE, USA), and the 28 S and 18 S were examined by electrophoresis. DNase I (Promega, China) was used to remove DNA contamination. The first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with the Prime-Script™ RT Reagent Kit (TaKaLa, China).

Isolation of Sugarcane Catalase Gene

Eighty-six sugarcane expressed sequence tags (ESTs), which share homology to the mRNA sequence of the sugarcane catalase gene (GenBank Accession No. CF572408.1), were obtained from sugarcane sequence database (cultivated sugarcanes (taxid:286192); wild sugarcane (taxid:62335); sugarcane (taxid:128810); sugarcane (taxid:4547)) in GenBank. The CAP3 sequence assembly program (http://pbil.univ-lyon1.fr/cap3.php) was used to construct a putative cDNA sequence of sugarcane catalase gene (ScCAT1). Then the cDNA of ScCAT1 was amplified with primers designed on the basis of the assembled sequence.

Amplification of ScCAT1 gene was performed with primers ScCAT1-cDNAF and ScCAT1-cDNR (Table 1) on first-strand cDNA template of Yacheng05–179 post 48 h \( S. \text{ scitamineum} \) inoculation in a Mastercycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The reaction was performed at 94 °C for 5 min, and then subjected to 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 57°C for 45 s and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The expected length of the amplified fragments was 1,658 bp. PCR products were gel-purified, cloned into the pMD18-T vector (TaKaLa, China) and sequenced (Shenggong, China).

Protein Structural Analysis and Phylogenetic Tree Construction

Sequence data were analyzed by ORF (open reading frame) Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orf2/orf.html), ProtParam (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/), SignalP 4.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/), TargetP 1.1 server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/), SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/), PSORT Prediction (http://psort.hgc.jp/form.html). After blast alignment, the amino acid sequence of ScCAT1 was aligned with published plant catalases, including \( S. \text{ bicolour} \) catalase (XP_002437631.1), \( Z. \) mays catalase (NP_001241808.1), \( O. \) sativa catalase (A2YH64.2), \( B. \) distachyon catalase (XP_003563243.1), \( P. \) chinampoensis catalase (ADN94253.1), \( H. \) vulgare catalase (P55307.1), \( T. \) aestivum catalase (P55313.1) and \( S. \) italica catalase (XP_004966515.1). Multiple alignment of the amino acid sequences was carried out using the Clustal W software. The phylogenetic tree was constructed following the neighbor-joining (NJ) method (1,000 bootstrap replicates) by using the MEGA 5.05 software [13].

Agrobacterium-mediated Transient Expression and Subcellular Localization Assay

For the studying of subcellular location constructs of pCAMBIA 2300-GFP were generated, ScCAT1 gene was PCR amplified from pMD18-T–ScCAT1 using primers ScCAT1-SublocF and ScCAT1-SublocR (Xba I and Spe I sites) as indicated in Table 1. The fragment was inserted into the vector of pCAMBIA 2300-GFP to construct the fusion protein expression vector of 3S::ScGluA1::GFP (Fig. S1). The recombinant plasmids were verified by PCR, double digestion and sequencing followed by transfection of the competent cells of \( A. \) tumefaciens strain EHA105.

The assay for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation referred to the method as previously described [30]. \( A. \) tumefaciens strain EHA105 carrying the indicated construct was grown overnight in LB liquid medium containing 35 \( \mu \)g/mL rifampicin and 50 \( \mu \)g/mL kanamycin. The suspension at \( OD_{600} = 0.8 \) (containing 200 \( \mu \)M acetosyringone) was infiltrated into 4–5 weeks old \( N. \) benthamiana leaves and cultured at 24°C for 2 days (16 h light/8 h darkness). The subcellular localization of the fusion protein was

---

**Table 1. Primers used in this study.**

| Primer          | Sequence                      | Strategy          |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| ScCAT1-cDNAF    | GCGGCTTCTCTACTCTCTGTCTCTT    | RT-PCR            |
| ScCAT1-cDNR     | GCGCTGCTTTTTTCTCTGTCAATC      | RT-PCR            |
| ScCAT1-SublocF  | TGCTCTAGATGGATCTGATCAGACAC   | Subcellular location vector construction |
| ScCAT1-SublocR  | GGACTAGTCTGCTGTTCTGCTCTAG    | Subcellular location vector construction |
| ScCAT1-32aF     | CCAGATCTGGATCTGCTGAAAGCAC    | prokaryotic expression vector construction |
| ScCAT1-32aR     | CTCCTGCTGCTGTTCTGCTCTAGT    | prokaryotic expression vector construction |
| GAPDH-QF        | CACGGCCACATTGAGGCA           | Q-PCR             |
| GAPDH-QR        | TTGGCTGCTCTGGAACGCC          | Q-PCR             |
| ScCAT1-QF       | CACGGCCACTCTCTATGAAAA         | Q-PCR             |
| ScCAT1-QR       | CACGGCCACTCTCTATGAAAA         | Q-PCR             |
| ScCAT1-1301F    | GCTCTAGATGGATCTGCTGAAAGCAGC | Over expression vector construction |
| ScCAT1-1301R    | TCCCCGCGGTACATGTTCTGCGTCA   | Over expression vector construction |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.t001
visualized using fluorescence microscopy (Axio Scope A1, Germany).

Expression in *Escherichia coli* Rosetta Cells

To study the function of *ScCAT1* in prokaryotes, the *ScCAT1* ORF was amplified by PCR from the identified cDNA clone using the primers *ScCAT1*-32aF and *ScCAT1*-32aR (Table 1) followed by 94°C for 4 min; 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1.5 min, 35 cycles; and 72°C for 10 min. The *ScCAT1* ORF with *EcoR I* and *Xho I* sites was subcloned into pET 32a (+(+) vector with *EcoRI*-XhoI sites in the *E. coli* Rosetta strains to generate the putative recombinant (pET 32a-*ScCAT1*). The desired recombinant plasmid was identified by PCR amplification, double digestion and sequencing. The prokaryotic expression product was induced in 1.0 mM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) for 8 h at 37°C and analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Meanwhile, LB medium with blank *E. coli* Rosetta strains (blank) or Rossetta+pET 32a (control) was each induced in IPTG for 8 h and also analyzed by SDS-PAGE [26,31].

During the response of *E. coli* cells to various abiotic stresses, the growth of *E. coli* Rosetta strains transformed with pET 32a and pET 32a-*ScCAT1* was analyzed using spot assay in different treatments of CuCl2, CdCl2 or NaCl. When OD600 of the LB medium (plus 170 μM NaCl) and 80 μM ampicillin) with *E. coli* cells reached 0.6, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1.0 mM, and then continued growth for 12 h at 37°C. Thereafter, the cultures were diluted to 0.6 (OD600), and then to two levels (10−3 and 10−4). Ten microlitres from each dilutions was spotted on LB plates (plus 170 μM NaCl and 80 μM ampicillin) containing CuCl2 (250, 500 and 750 μM), CdCl2 (250, 500 and 750 μM) or NaCl (250, 500 and 750 mM) [26,31]. All these plates were cultured at 37°C overnight and photographed.

The effect of 750 μM CuCl2, 750 μM CdCl2 and 250 mM NaCl on the growth of *E. coli* strains with pET 32a-*ScCAT1* or pET 32a was studied in LB medium followed with Su et al. [26]. As above, when cells were grown as earlier described and then diluted to 0.6 (OD600), 400 μL of cells were transferred into 10 mL of LB medium containing 170 μM NaCl and 80 μM ampicillin, 750 μM CuCl2 or 750 μM CdCl2 or 250 mM NaCl [32]. Cultures were shaken at 200 rpm at 37°C and growth of the cells was measured at every 2 h by Lambda 35 UV WinLab software (Perkin Elmer, USA).

Real-time Quantitative PCR Analysis

The each time point of 0 h, 6 h, 12 h, 48 h and 72 h during Yacheng05–179-mut incompatible interaction and Lucheng03–182-mut compatible interaction, as well as mock plants inoculated with sterile distilled water at each corresponding time point, were used to analyze the expression patterns of the *ScCAT1*. The relative expression of the target gene under certain biotic stress was calculated by the expression level of the inoculated sample minus the level of the mock at each corresponding time point. For tissue-specific expression of *ScCAT1*, the leaf, bud, stem epidermis and stem pith of sugarcane variety Yacheng05–179 were used as experimental materials. The expression of *ScCAT1* under the stresses of SA, MeJa, ABA, H2O2, PEG, CuCl2 and NaCl were also performed by real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR).

The method of Q-PCR followed the instruction of the SYBR Green Master (ROX) (Roche, China) on a 7500 Q-PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA). The *GAPDH* gene (*GAPDH-QF/ GAPDH-QR* (Table 1) was chosen as the internal control of the Q-PCR [26]. According to the sequence of *ScCAT1*, a pair of specific primers *ScCAT1-QF*/*ScCAT1-QR* was designed using the Primer Premier 5.0 software. Q-PCR was carried out with FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (ROX). 0.5 μM of each primer and 2.0 μL template (100× diluted cDNA). PCR with distilled water as template was performed as control. The Q-PCR reaction condition was held at 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. When the reaction was complete, the melting curve was analyzed. Each Q-PCR was repeated three times. The 2−ΔΔCT method was adopted to analyze the Q-PCR results [33].

Histochemical Assay

For analysis of defense response caused by *ScCAT1* overexpression, primers of *ScCAT1*-1301F/*ScCAT1*-1301R in Table 1 (*Xba I*-*Sma I* sites were used to construct binary vector expressing *ScCAT1* /*pCAMBIA 1301-ScCAT1*). *Agrobacterium* strain EHA105 containing recombinant vector and *pCAMBIA 1301* vector alone were grown overnight in LB liquid medium (plus 35 μg/mL rifampicin and 50 μg/mL kanamycin) at 28°C. Then cultures were pelleted and resuspended in MS liquid medium (plus 200 μM acetosyringone) at OD600 = 0.8 and infiltrated into *N. benthamiana* leaves at eight-leaf stage [34]. Plants were incubated at 24°C for 1–2 days (16 h light/8 h darkness), which were employed to following different tests.

DAB (3,3′-diaminobenzidine) staining. Agroinfiltrated leaves were incubated in 1.0 mg/mL DAB-HCl solution in the dark overnight. Then the leaves were destained by boiling in 95% ethanol for 5 min. The broming color of the leaves for H2O2 detection which generated in leaves after treatments was photographed [35].

Trypan blue staining. The infiltrated leaves were boiled for 5 min in lactophenol-ethanol trypan blue solution (10 mL glycerol, 10 mL lactic acid, 10 g phenol, 10 mg trypan blue, 30 mL absolute ethanol and 10 mL distilled water). Then the leaves were destained in 2.5 g/mL choloral hydrate in distilled water and the blue color indicates the cell death [30].

Measurement of ion conductivity. It was performed as previously described with some modifications [36]. Six leaf discs (11 mm in diameter per leaf) were cut and washed in distilled water and then incubated in 20 mL of distilled water and shaken slowly at room temperature for 60 min. After that, electrolyte leakage was measured using a conductivity meter (SevenEasy, METTLER TOLEDO, Switzerland).

Results

Enzyme Activity of Catalase

To analyze the correlation between catalase activity and smut resistance, the changes in enzyme activity in smut challenged Yacheng05–179 (resistant) and Lucheng03–182 (susceptible) cultivars were studied and different patterns of enzyme activity change were found. As shown in Fig. 1, activity of catalase in Yacheng05–179 increased at 6 h (118.19 U) and reached the peak value of 254.14 U at 24 h compared to its mock. It should be noted that the catalase activity in the resistant sugarcane variety (Yacheng05–179) was always higher than that of the mock at all the sampling time points, but the tendency was an increased at 0 h, 12 h and 120 h, decreased at 6 h and 24 h and almost unchanged at 0 h, 48 h, 72 h in the susceptible one (Lucheng03–182). In addition, when compared with the mock, the catalase activity was much higher in the resistant variety (from 40.00 U to 254.14 U) than that in the susceptible one (from −76.94 U to 52.97 U) at all the time points. These results suggest that there are positive
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S. bicolor related to S. italica and H. vulgare (XP_003563243.1) (93.29% identity), P. chinampoensis (A2YH64.2) (94.92% identity), B. distachyon O. sativa catalase (P55307.1) (91.67% identity), T. aestivum catalase (P53131.1) (91.26% identity), and S. italic atable (XP_004966515.1) (87.02% identity). These data suggested clearly that sugarcane ScCAT1 at the position of 344–352 (RIFSYADTQ). These data suggested that sugarcane ScCAT1 encoded a putative peroxisomal transmembrane helix domain, implying that ScCAT1 is not a catalase. Furthermore, it also predicted that ScCAT1 contains no active site signature, and the heme-ligand signature was detected by the Motif Scan Online program. 17 amino acids at the position of 54–70 (FDREPIERVVHARGAS) were reported to be a catalase active site signature, and the heme-ligand signature was detected at the position of 344–352 (RIFSYADTQ). These data suggested that sugarcane ScCAT1 encoded a putative peroxisomal catalase. Furthermore, it also predicted that ScCAT1 contains no transmembrane helix domain, implying that ScCAT1 is not a membrane located or secretory protein.

A GenBank Blastp comparison showed that ScCAT1 exhibited high identity with other plant catalases, including S. bicolor catalase (XP_002437631.1) (97.97% identity), Z. mays catalase (NP_001241808.1) (97.56% identity), O. sativa catalase (A2YH64.2) (94.92% identity), B. distachyon catalase (XP_005563243.1) (93.29% identity), P. chinampoensis catalase (ADN94253.1) (92.07% identity), H. vulgare catalase (P53131.1) (91.67% identity), T. aestivum catalase (P53131.1) (91.26% identity), and S. italic catalase (XP_004966515.1) (87.02% identity). Phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2) revealed that ScCAT1 was closely related to S. bicolor catalase (XP_002437631.1), Z. mays catalase (NP_001241808.1) and S. italic catalase (XP_004966515.1), with the homologies of 97.97%, 97.56% and 87.02%, respectively.

Subcellular Localization of ScCAT1

To further understand the function of ScCAT1 gene, its subcellular localization was conducted. ScCAT1 was recombined into plant expression vector pCAMBIA 2300 between the sites of the 35S promoter and GFP (Figs. S1 and S3), and its location was characterized by transient expression of the target gene and GFP in N. benthamiana leaves with Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. After 2 days of cultivation, the infiltrated leaves were harvested and the reporter protein GFP was observed under a fluorescence microscope. The results revealed that 55S:ScCAT1::GFP was located in plasma membrane and cytoplasm (Fig. 3). In contrast, GFP was shown in the nucleus, cytoplasm and plasma membrane cells transiently transfected with 55S:GFP.

Expressions of ScCAT1 in E. coli

As reported before, different stresses, such as copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), high temperature, wounding, ethylene (ET), H2O2, SA, jasmonic acid (JA), ABA and other inducers, could trigger an induction of plant catalases [11,13,21,37,38]. To study the function of ScCAT1 in response to different kinds of adverse environments in vivo, pET 32a-ScCAT1 (Fig. S4A) was transformed into E. coli Rosetta cell. The recombinant protein of 62 kDa was specifically induced and accumulated approximately after 8 h IPTG induction on the SDS-PAGE (Fig. S4B).

The growth of gene-expressed cells (Rosetta+pET 32a-ScCAT1) and mock (Rosetta+pET 32a) was analyzed on LB plates with different supplements (Figs. 4A, B, C, and D). After one day culture, Rosetta+pET 32a-ScCAT1 showed an increased number of colonies as compared to the control cells on LB plates containing CuCl2, CdCl2 and NaCl. The growth was also analyzed in the LB liquid medium containing 750 μM CuCl2, 750 μM CdCl2 and 250 mM NaCl (Figs. 4E, F, G and H). All the Rosetta+pET 32a-ScCAT1 cells showed faster growth as compared to that of the mock which revealed that ScCAT1 had an effect on increasing the tolerance to CuCl2, CdCl2 and NaCl. These results demonstrated that the recombinant protein of ScCAT1 enhanced growth ability of prokaryotic E. coli Rosetta strains in stress conditions.

Tissue-specific Expression Analysis of ScCAT1

The relative expression of ScCAT1 was detected in four kinds of sugarcane tissues, including leaf, bud, stem epidermis and stem pith. As showed in Fig. 5, the bud exhibited the highest mRNA expression, while the mRNA expression of stem epidermis and stem pith was at a moderate level. The leaf showed a relatively low level in comparison with the other three kinds of tissues.

ScCAT1 Expression in Response to Different Stress Treatments

Smut challenged sugarcane (Yacheng05–179 and Liucheng03–182) buds were detected by Q-PCR for examination whether the expression of ScCAT1 was induced or inhibited (Fig. 6). In order to eliminate the influence of wounding, the relative expression of the target gene was calculated by the expression level of the inoculated sample minus the level of the mock at each corresponding time point. As indicated in Fig. 6, after the inoculation of smut pathogen, the mRNA expression of ScCAT1 in resistant variety Yacheng05–179 was higher than that in susceptible variety Liucheng03–182. During the sugarcane-smut incompatible interaction, the transcript of ScCAT1 in Yacheng05–179 began to be elevated as early as 6 h post-inoculation (6 hpi), while that of ScCAT1 in Liucheng03–182 appeared delayed (12 hpi). Furthermore, the transcript of ScCAT1 in Yacheng05–179 and Liucheng03–182 reached the maximum at 48 h, but the expression in incompatible interaction was 1.55 times that of the compatible one, and then decreased in both. During the whole process of
interaction, the transcript of ScCAT1 in the incompatible cultivar almost always higher than that of the compatible, except at 12 h. These data reveal that the up-regulation of ScCAT1 expression was most probably associated with smut resistance in sugarcane.

Expression of ScCAT1 in response to various abiotic stimuli in Yacheng05–179 plantlets was checked after treatment with 5 mM SA, 10 mM H2O2, 25 μM MeJA, 100 μM ABA, 250 mM NaCl and 100 μM CuCl2, and the results shown in Fig. 7. Interestingly, ScCAT1 showed a positive response to exogenous stresses, including plant hormones stresses of SA, MeJA and ABA, oxidative stress of H2O2, hyper-osmotic stresses of PEG and NaCl, as well as mental stress of CuCl2. ScCAT1 transcription was always up-regulated and the expression level usually increased steadily from 0 h to 24 h or 48 h after post-treatment with these seven exogenous inducers. These results suggest that ScCAT1 may be a positive responsive component of abiotic stress in sugarcane.

Transient Over-expression of ScCAT1 in N. benthamiana Leaves Induces Hypersensitive Reaction Response

To test whether ScCAT1 can induce HR and immunity in plant, ScCAT1 was transient over-expressed in N. benthamiana leaves by infiltration with Agrobacterium EHA105 carrying pCAMBIA 1301 (mock) and pCAMBIA 1301-ScCAT1. The results showed that at the time point of 48 h after infiltration, a typical HR symptom, darker DAB staining and enhanced electrolyte leakage, was found in the leaves expressing the target gene (Figs. 8A and C). Furthermore, injected leaves 5 d after agroinfiltrated by 35S::ScCAT1 presented yellow symptoms (Fig. 8B). What is more, cell death measured by qualitative trypan blue staining showed a darker color than that in mock (Fig. 8B). These results indicate the involvement of ScCAT1 in cell death responses.

Discussion

Fungal disease is a major concern worldwide for sugarcane production and most other crops. During plant-pathogen interac-

Figure 2. Phylogenetic trees based on catalase amino acid sequences, showing the phylogenetic relationships between ScCAT1 (KF664183) and the catalases from other plant species. Neighbor-joining method was used.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.g002

Figure 3. Subcellular localizations of ScCAT1 and empty vector in Nicotiana benthamiana leaves 48 h after infiltration. The epidermal cells were used for taking images of green fluorescence, visible light and merged light. Read arrows 1, 2 and 3 indicated plasma membrane, nucleus and cytoplasm, respectively. Bar = 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.g003
tions, many antifungal components have been identified [39]. Peroxidase (POD) activity increased in resistant sugarcane varieties (and not in susceptible) implies that it may be related to smut resistance [40]. Our previous report showed that β-1,3-glucanase activity in the

Figure 4. Spot assays of Rosetta+PET 32a-ScCAT1 (a) and Rosetta+PET 32a (mock) (b) on LB plates with CuCl2, CdCl2, and NaCl (A–D). And liquid culture assay in LB liquid medium with 750 μM CuCl2, 750 μM CdCl2, and 250 mM NaCl (E–H). IPTG (isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside) was added to the cultures of Rosetta+PET 32a-ScCAT1 and Rosetta+PET 32a to induce the expression of recombinant protein. The cultures were adjusted to OD600 = 0.6. Ten microliters from $10^{-3}$ (left side of red line on plate) to $10^{-4}$ (right side of red line on plate) dilutions were spotted onto LB basal (A) plates or with CuCl2 (250, 500 and 750 μM) (B), CdCl2 (250, 500 and 750 μM) (C), NaCl (250, 500 and 750 mM) (D). For studying the growth analysis of ScCAT1, Rosetta+PET 32a-ScCAT1 and Rosetta+PET 32a were grown in LB liquid medium with LB basal medium (E) or with 750 μM CuCl2 (F), 750 μM CdCl2 (G), and 250 μM NaCl (H). All data points are means±SE (n = 3). CuCl2: copper chloride; CdCl2: cadmium chloride; NaCl: sodium chloride. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.g004
resistant variety increased faster and lasted longer than that of the susceptible one after challenged by *S. scitamineum*, which showed a positive relationship between the activity of the sugarcane β-1,3-glucanase and smut resistance [26]. Plant catalase, one of the scavenger enzymes, has been also shown to be involved in plant defense and development [41]. Wang et al. found that catalase could be induced by pathogen infection in resistant clam *Meretrix meretrix* [23]. As showed in Fig. 1, the activity of catalase increased in the resistant genotype (Yacheng05–179) after challenged by *S. scitami-neum* in comparison to the susceptible cultivar (Liucheng03–182). There appears to be a positive correlation between catalase activity and sugarcane smut resistance. This observation should be repeated in different resistant genotypes.

The capacity of a plant to scavenge H$_2$O$_2$ may result from increased activities of scavenger enzymes or up-regulated expression of genes increasing of the levels of the corresponding proteins [42]. Multiple catalase isozymes in plants have been observed. Previous research demonstrated that there were at least six catalase isozymes existing in *A. thaliana* encoded by a multi-gene family including three genes (cat1, cat2 and cat3) [14]. In *Z. mays*, three catalase isoenzymes encoded by three different structural genes were observed [36]. A sweet potato catalase *SPCAT1* was cloned from mature leaves treated with ethephon and found that it could alleviate ethephon-mediated leaf senescence and H$_2$O$_2$ elevation [13]. Until now, there has been no report on sugarcane catalase genes involved in the sugarcane-smut interaction. In this study, we isolated and characterized a full-length sugarcane catalase gene *ScCAT1* which encoded a polypeptide of 492 amino acids and had high identities with several other plant catalases. Using the method of *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation in *N. benthamiana* leaves, 35S::ScCAT1::GFP was located in plasma membrane and cytoplasm in cells (Fig. 3) which is consistent with a previous report that catalase mostly localized in peroxisomes, glyoxysome and cytoplasm [11,43].

Recent publications have reported that *E. coli* cells can be enhanced or inhibited under stress expressing recombinant proteins [27,31,32,44]. Some of the protective mechanisms were similar in both eukaryotes and prokaryotes under stress stimuli [45]. Gupta et al. studied an A-2 type DREB transcription factor from extreme halophyte *Salicornia brachiata* and found it conferred abiotic stress tolerance in *E. coli* cells under NaCl, PEG and mannitol treatments, which may be due to the stress regulated function by this transcription factor [32]. Guo et al. tested a sugarcane dirigent protein gene *ScDir* and a metallothionein gene *ScMT2-1-3* in the *E. coli* system, which indicated that they offered different tolerance against PEG, NaCl and mental stresses [27,31]. Chaurasia et al. studied that phytochelatin synthase gene *PCS*, when expressed in *E. coli*, provided better protection against the stresses of heat, salt, carbolutor, cadmium, copper and UV [44]. In the present study, the ScCAT1 recombinant protein expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta cells leads to a better growth under the stresses CuCl$_2$, CdCl$_2$ and NaCl. In eukaryote, the previous studies found that the increased tolerance to stress maybe due to the activity and expression of scavenging enzymes which increased in plants placed in different conditions [12]. It has been proposed that catalase, one
Figure 7. Q-PCR analysis of the ScCAT1 expression patterns in Yacheng05–179 plantlets with abiotic elicitors. Data are normalized to the GAPDH expression level. (A) The relative expression of ScCAT1 under the stresses of 5 mM SA, 10 mM H2O2, 25 μM MeJA and 100 μM ABA. (B) The relative expression of ScCAT1 under 25% PEG stress. (C) The relative expression of ScCAT1 under 250 mM NaCl stress. (D) The relative expression of ScCAT1 under 100 μM CuCl2 stress. All data points are means ± SE (n = 3). SA: salicylic acid; H2O2: hydrogen peroxide; MeJA: methyl jasmonate; ABA: abscisic acid; PEG: polyethylene glycol; NaCl: sodium chloride; CuCl2: copper chloride.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.g007

Figure 8. The effect of transient over-expression of ScCAT1 on immunity induction in Nicotiana Benthamiana leaves. (A) DAB staining with N. benthamiana leaves 48 h after 35S::ScCAT1-containing Agrobacterium strain infiltration to assess the H2O2 production; a: images taken by SONY camera; b: images taken by microscope. (B) Cell death measured by trypan blue staining of transient expression leaves 5 d after agroinfiltration; a: phenotypes of N. Benthamiana 5 d after infiltration taken by SONY camera; b: images of trypan blue staining taken by microscope. (C) Conductivity measurement of N. Benthamiana leaves infiltrated with 35S::ScCAT1-containing Agrobacterium strain after 48 h. Mock: Agrobacterium strain carrying 35S::00. Bar = 10 μm. All data points are means ± SE (n = 3).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0084426.g008
of the antioxidant enzymes, can be modulated and controlled in response to excessive iron stress, due to alterations in the electron transport chain and damages to the thylakoidal membranes [46]. Therefore, it is plausible to predict that the ScCAT1 encoded by ScCAT1 gene cloned in this study could be helpful for the tolerance/stresses of sugarcane to CuCl₂, CdCl₂ and NaCl.

The plant faces variable environmental stresses like soil salinity, temperature, drought and cold, and may often present a series of physiological and biochemical changes which are a highly complex and disturb plant growth and yield. To examine the accumulation of sugarcane catalase gene in different developmental processes and environmental conditions, the expression of ScCAT1 gene in sugarcane was analyzed by Q-PCR method (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). Results indicated that while expressed at moderate levels in stem epidermis and stem pith, ScCAT1 was expressed at a relatively high level in the bud (Fig. 5). Similar to other species of Ustilago, the S. scitamineum is a parasite of young meristematic tissues and gains entry into the host, exclusively through the bud scales [47]. From above, high expression of ScCAT1 in sugarcane bud may help to defend against the smut pathogen. In our study, the target transcript of ScCAT1 was found to be higher in the incompatible interaction than that in the compatible one during sugarcane-S. scitamineum interaction (Fig. 6). After the smut pathogen challenge in Yacheng05–179, the expression of ScCAT1 increased at 6 h and reached the maximum level at 48 h (1.5 times that in Liucheng03–182). As previous reported, the phenomenon of smut hypha entry into the sugarcane bud meristem occurs between 6 h and 36 h after the teliospore deposition [48]. It should be also noted that ScCAT1 expression decreased gradually after 48 h, but the expression level still maintained at a higher level than that at 0 h, and the gene expression pattern of ScCAT1 was coincident with the activity change of catalase in this study. So we assume that ScCAT1 may have a protective effect on smut penetration in sugarcane.

Q-PCR analysis of the expression of ScCAT1 in response to hydrogen peroxide and plant hormones showed that from 0 h to 24 h its levels increased under the stresses of 10 mM H₂O₂, 5 mM MeJA and 100 mM ABA (Fig. 7A). In Panax ginseng, PgCat1 transcript accumulated during 1–12 h of 10 mM H₂O₂ treatment [11]. Maize Cat1 gene transcript increased in developing embryos by the treatments of 1.5 mM SA, 50 mM MeJA, 100 mM JA and 1 mM ABA [38,49]. In the present study, for hyper-osmotic stress, ScCAT1 mRNA levels increased until 12 h then slightly decreased at 24 h and induced at 48 h under 250 mM NaCl treatment. ScCAT1 transcript was also stimulated till 24 h after 25% PEG stress (Figs. 7B and C). 500 mM NaCl stress induced the expression of Cat1 in Avicennia marina seedlings till 12 h then subsequently decreased [50]. In Panax ginseng, PgCat1 transcripts accumulated till 24 h then decreased till 72 h after 100 mM NaCl treatment [11]. Plants suffering from NaCl stress not only because of increased osmolarity but also oxidative stress caused by ionic character [51]. In our study, the ScCAT1 transcript increased 1.5 fold until 40 h under the stress of 100 mM CuCl₂. The maximum expression was observed to be 3.0 fold at 12 h after treatment. Previous study revealed that copper toxicity caused ultra structural damage which resulting in the increasing production of ROS [46]. The Panus coriadus Cat1 gene expression and enzyme activity were high for 10 days under 100 mM copper stress [52]. These results lead us to conclude that ScCAT1 may be a positive responsive component of abiotic stresses in sugarcane.

N. benthamiana leaves after 48 h infiltration and resulted in an increase of electrolyte leakage (Figs. 8A and C). Trypan blue staining exhibited a darker color post 5 d injection than that in mock (Fig. 8B). Previous studies have shown that there is a close relationship between HR and H₂O₂ accumulation [53]. It can be deduced from this study that H₂O₂ accumulation by transient over-expression of ScCAT1 may confer the HR cell death in sugarcane.

In conclusion, after inoculation with S. scitamineum, sugarcane catalase was found to significantly increase in the resistant variety and maintain at much higher level than that of the susceptible one which suggested a positive correlation between the activity of the catalase and the smut resistance in sugarcane. ScCAT1 was isolated from sugarcane buds and the recombinant protein resulted in a better growth of E. coli Rosetta cells under certain stresses. The expression of ScCAT1 was up-regulated by smut infection and by different stresses such as plant hormones (SA, MeJA and ABA) treatments, oxidative (H₂O₂) stress, heavy metal (CuCl₂) and hyper-osmotic (PEG and NaCl) stresses. ScCAT1 was located in plasma membrane and cytoplasm in cells. Histochemical assays indicated that ScCAT1 acted positively in sugarcane immunity. From these observations, we can conclude that ScCAT1 should be a positive responsive component of biotic and abiotic stresses in sugarcane.

Supporting Information

**Figure S1** Construction of subcellular localization vector 35S::ScCAT1::GFP. (TIF)

**Figure S2** Nucleotide acid sequences and deduced amino acid sequences of ScCAT1 obtained by RT-PCR. The deduced amino acid sequences were shown in one-letter code under the cDNA sequences. The underlines showed the catalase active site signature (FARERPVRHVAR) and the heme-ligand signature (RVFAYADTQ) of ScCAT1. (TIF)

**Figure S3** The enzyme digestion to identify the insert-integrated subcellular localization expression vector 35S::ScCAT1::GFP. 1, 15,000+2,000 bp DNA marker; 2, 35S::GFP/Xba I; 3, ScCAT1 ORF PCR product; 4, 35S::ScCAT1::GFP/Xba I; 5, 35S::ScCAT1::GFP/Xba I+Spe I; 6, 100 bp ladder DNA marker. (TIF)

**Figure S4** The enzyme digesting identification of insert-integrated prokaryotic expression vector pET 32a-ScCAT1 (A) and corresponding protein expressions in Escherichia coli Rosetta strains (B). (A) 1, 100 bp ladder DNA marker; 2, pET 32a/EcoRI; 3, ScCAT1 ORF PCR product; 4, pET 32a-ScCAT1/EcoRI; 5, pET 32a-ScCAT1/EcoRI+Xho I; 6, 15,000+2,000 bp DNA Marker. (B) 1, Protein marker; 2, blank without induction; 3, blank induction for 8 h; 4, control without induction; 5, control induction for 8 h; 6, pET 32a-ScCAT1 without induction; 7 and 8, pET 32a-ScCAT1 induction for 4 h and 8 h, respectively. The induced protein was shown by arrow. (TIF)
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