Development and validation of a bovine macrophage specific cDNA microarray

Citation for published version:
Jensen, K, Talbot, R, Paxton, E, Waddington, D & Glass, E 2006, 'Development and validation of a bovine macrophage specific cDNA microarray' BMC Genomics, vol 7, 224. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2164-7-224

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1186/1471-2164-7-224

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
BMC Genomics

Publisher Rights Statement:
© 2006 Jensen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Development and validation of a bovine macrophage specific cDNA microarray

Kirsty Jensen*1, Richard Talbot2, Edith Paxton1, David Waddington1 and Elizabeth J Glass1

Address: 1Division of Genetics & Genomics, Roslin Institute, Roslin, Midlothian, Edinburgh, EH25 9PS, UK and 2ARK-Genomics Facility, Roslin Institute, Roslin, Midlothian, Edinburgh, EH25 9PS, UK

Email: Kirsty Jensen* - Kirsty.Jensen@bbsrc.ac.uk; Richard Talbot - Richard.Talbot@bbsrc.ac.uk; Edith Paxton - Edith.Paxton@bbsrc.ac.uk; David Waddington - Dave.Waddington@bbsrc.ac.uk; Elizabeth J Glass - Liz.Glass@bbsrc.ac.uk

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Background: The response of macrophages to danger signals is an important early stage in the immune response. Our understanding of this complex event has been furthered by microarray analysis, which allows the simultaneous investigation of the expression of large numbers of genes. However, the microarray resources available to study these events in livestock animals are limited.

Results: Here we report the development of a bovine macrophage specific (BoMP) cDNA microarray. The BoMP microarray contains 5026 sequence elements (printed in duplicate) and numerous controls. The majority of the clones incorporated on the microarray were derived from the BoMP cDNA library generated from bovine myeloid cells subjected to various stimuli, including over 900 sequences unique to the library. Additional clones representing immunologically important genes have been included on the BoMP microarray. The microarray was validated by investigating the response of bovine monocytes to stimulation with interferon-γ and lipopolysaccharide using amplified RNA. At 2 and 16 hours post stimulation 695 genes exhibited statistically significant differential expression, including; 26 sequences unique to the BoMP library, interleukin 6, prion protein and toll-like receptor 4.

Conclusion: A 5 K cDNA microarray has been successfully developed to investigate gene expression in bovine myeloid cells. The BoMP microarray is available from the ARK-Genomics Centre for Functional Genomics in Farm Animals, UK.

Background

Macrophages (mφ) play a key role in the immune system, acting as a bridge between the innate and adaptive immune responses. Mφ are sentinel cells that instigate the removal of invading pathogens, either by phagocytosis or by signalling to other components of the immune system. These signals include the release of various cytokines and the ability of mφ to act as antigen-presenting cells.

Mφ become activated when they interact with conserved, pathogen-specific stimuli, e.g. pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and double-stranded RNA, characteristic of gram-negative
bacterial or viral infections respectively. In addition cytokines, e.g. interferon-γ (IFN-γ) produced by T lymphocytes and other immune cells, activate mφ. Activation results in extensive remodelling of the mφ transcriptome, leading to morphological and physiological changes in the cell, including: alterations in shape, mobility and cell surface marker expression. These modifications equip the mφ to deal effectively with the invading threat. However, many pathogens have evolved the ability to circumvent the action of mφ and several have developed the ability to exist undetected within the mφ. These include a number of protozoan parasites including *Leishmania* species, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Theileria annulata* [1,2].

The complexity of the mφ response to activation and infection is ideally suited for analysis by microarray technology, which allows the expression of thousands of genes to be investigated simultaneously. Numerous microarray experiments have now been published on the response of human and murine mφ to a range of stimuli, including: various pathogens, PAMPS and cytokines [reviewed in [3]]. In contrast, only a handful of experiments have been reported for livestock species. For example, five publications have investigated the response of bovine mφ and monocytes to stimuli [4-8]. Three of these studies have involved the use of commercially available human arrays. The most recent study used an in house bovine cDNA microarray [8], whilst the fifth study used a commercially available bovine array, which contains a limited number of immunologically important genes [6]. This partly results from an under-representation of immune genes in the EST datasets, caused by the generation of cDNA libraries from unactivated or uninfected immune tissue [9]. Although there are over 490,000 published bovine ESTs, IFN-γ and interleukin-2 (IL2) are only represented by one and two bovine ESTs respectively, whilst no bovine ESTs have been published that represent IL4. This problem is not restricted to livestock species, Wells and co-authors [10] have shown that mφ transcripts are poorly represented within the RIKEN mouse EST set, one of the most extensive collections of mouse transcripts currently available [11,12]. Eighteen percent of clones from a murine mφ cDNA library were novel, failing to match any published sequences [10]. Similarly, we have recently reported the construction of a bovine mφ (BoMP) specific cDNA library, in which over a third of clones appear to be novel, although this figure is decreasing as more bovine sequences become available [13]. The high percentage of novel sequences results from the inclusion of RNA samples in the library template that were collected from myeloid cells subjected to a range of different stimuli to maximize the number of transcripts associated with the immune response.

Here we report the use of BoMP library clones to construct a 5 K bovine macrophage specific (BoMP) cDNA microarray, which contains a large number of unique sequences. We also report the proof-of-principle experiment carried out using the BoMP microarray to investigate the response of bovine peripheral monocytes to LPS and IFN-γ stimulation, which stimulate cellular pathways of importance in the *in vivo* inflammatory and infectious response of myeloid cells.

**Results**

**Characterization of the BoMP microarray**

In total the BoMP microarray comprises 5026 clones printed in duplicate and numerous controls. Over 52% of clones match annotated gene sequences, a further 28% match ESTs and the remaining clones are currently unique to the BoMP library (Table 1 & Additional file 1). The majority of the clones were selected from the previously described BoMP cDNA library [13]. Approximately 10,000 clones from this library were considered for inclusion on the BoMP microarray. Clones that matched published sequences with E values less than e-20 were included on the microarray, including those that only matched EST sequences (3599 clones). In addition, a representative clone from each cluster of sequences unique to the BoMP library was included on the microarray (220 clones). The remaining sequences were analysed using ESTScan [14,15], a web-based program that detects coding sequence by taking into account sequencing errors that frequently occur in single-pass sequences and can cause frame-shift mutations (174 clones). A further 592 unique BoMP clones were also randomly chosen for inclusion on the microarray.

| BoMP cDNA library clones |
|--------------------------|
| BLASTN hits              | 2185 (43.5%) |
| EST hits – bovine        | 1139 (22.7%) |
| human                    | 156 (3.1%)   |
| other                    | 119 (2.4%)   |
| Unique clusters          | 220 (4.4%)   |
| ESTscans                 | 174 (3.5%)   |
| Unique singletons        | 592 (11.8%)  |
| **Total**                | **5026**     |

The percentage of clones included on the BoMP microarray from various sources is listed along with the annotation available for the BoMP library clones.
resulted in the presence of tick sequence containing clones in the library [13]. Eight clones known to contain non-bovine sequences have been included on the microarray; including C0006007g13 [EMBL: AJ817072] and C0006019k23 [EMBL: AJ820886], which match tick and parasite sequences respectively. These clones have been included as additional controls for a T. annulata infection experiment which will be reported elsewhere (Jensen et al., in prep.).

A number of immunologically important genes have not been detected in the BoMP library to date, partly because it has not been mined deeply. Therefore, a list of over 400 genes desirable for inclusion on the array was compiled. Where possible representative clones for these genes were selected for inclusion on the microarray from the various libraries housed at the ARK-Genomics facility (381 clones). The majority of these clones came from the Meat Animal Research Centre (MARC) and Beltsville Agricultural Research Centre (BARC) libraries MARC 1–4 BOV and BARC 5 BOV [16,17], e.g. macrophage migration inhibition factor (MIF) and integrin beta 6. Clones were not available for 60 genes on our compiled list. Therefore we generated amplicons for each of these clones using publicly available sequence information to design oligonucleotides suitable for amplification, e.g. IL6, caspase 3 (CASP3) and Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) (Table 2).

The BoMP microarray has been constructed at the ARK-Genomics Centre for Functional Genomics in Farm Animals [18] and submitted to ArrayExpress as ARK-Genomics Bovine Macrophage Specific-BoMP-cDNA microarray 5K v1.0 and has the accession number A-MEXP-495 [19,20].

**Analysis of microarray quality**

Five randomly selected microarray slides from the print run were hybridized with Panomer 9 oligonucleotides (Molecular Probes). This hybridization visualized the size and shape of all printed spots, which allowed us to ensure uniformity in the amount of DNA spotted by each pin and to check the integrity of each spot. This test hybridization confirmed that the microarray printing had been successful (data not shown).

Normalized data from the experiment described below was used to investigate the consistency of the intensity measurements for replicate spots. The contributions of slide, gene, replicate spots and channel to the variability of the logarithm of the intensities were assessed by fitting them as random effects in a linear model. The fixed effects of animal and time were also included in the model. Intensity differences between genes were the largest contributor, accounting for 79% of the variation (Table 3, variance %). Almost all the remaining variation was accounted for equally between replicate spots and between channels, leaving little contribution from slides. Therefore, approximately 80% of the overall measured variation was of biological rather than technical origin. This summary is an oversimplification, which is illustrated by the variation observed in values when the time points are examined separately (Table 3, last 6 columns) and results from the failure to allow for the effects of differentially expressed genes in the 2 and 16 hour samples. The results for the 0 hour samples would be expected to be similar to that from a self-self hybridization and they do show more agreement that the other time points. The correlations between channel log(intensities) for the 0, 2 and 16 hour time points were 0.95, 0.89 and 0.88 respectively (calculated as (100-channel%)/100 from the last 3 columns of Table 3).

**Microarray analysis of monocyte activation**

As a further evaluation of the BoMP microarray, it was used to investigate the response of bovine peripheral monocytes to stimulation. As part of a larger experiment, peripheral monocytes were isolated from six Holstein-Friesians under cold conditions before stimulation with LPS and IFN-γ. RNA was isolated from the cells of each animal at 0, 2 and 16 hours post activation. The quantity of total RNA (totRNA) isolated from these cells was too low for direct use in microarray experiments and therefore amplified RNA (aRNA) was generated.

The parameters used to identify genes exhibiting statistically significant differences in gene expression during the time course were a 2 fold or greater change in gene expression and a false discovery rate value (FDRmax) less than 0.01. Using these criteria 713 clones exhibited statistically significant changes in gene expression during the time course. Several of these clones represented the same gene and therefore a total of 695 genes exhibited differential expression (Additional file 2). Twenty-six of these were sequences unique to the BoMP library [13]. These included C0006011g04 [EMBL: AJ818203] that was up-regulated 8.4 fold by 16 hours post activation and C0005209g19 [EMBL: AJ816691] that was down-regulated 13.2 fold by 16 hours post activation. A further 171 sequences that exhibit differential expression currently only match ESTs. Putative gene names have been assigned to the remaining 498 sequences. The gene ontology information that is available for 398 of these genes illustrates the broad range of biological processes affected by activation with LPS and IFN-γ, including; signal transduction, transcription, immune response, apoptosis, cell proliferation, metabolism, intracellular transport, translation and proteolysis. Furthermore, 190 of the genes have been incorporated into KEGG pathways, with the largest number of genes being involved in cytokine-cytokine receptor interactions, haematopoietic cell lineage, TLR sig-
| Gene                                | Symbol | Accession No. | Size (bp) | Forward Primer 5’-3’ sequence | Reverse Primer 5’-3’ sequence |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Annexin-A5                          | ANXA5  | CO88355       | 700       | ATG GCA CAG GTT CTC AGA GG     | CCA AAT TGC CAG AAG TGT CC    |
| Baculoviral IAP-repeat containing 5 | BIRC5  | BE486047      | 451       | CCT GCC AGC TCT ACC TCA AG     | CCC TGG AAA CGA CGT GTG TG    |
| B-cell CLL/lymphoma 3               | BCL3   | CK711927      | 537       | CTT GTT GAC CCT CGG TGT TC     | GAC CAG AGG CAA TTT ACT ACC   |
| Capping protein (actin filament) gelosin like | CAPG  | AY192899      | 615       | TAT GGA ATC TGG AGA AGC TG     | TGG GAC CCA AGA CCT GTA CC    |
| Caspase 3                           | CASP3  | CK958902      | 679       | GTG GAT GCA GCA AAC CTC AG     | CCA TTT GCT CAC TGG CAC TAC   |
| Caspase 7                           | CASP7  | CK436554      | 549       | TCT TCC AAA GTC ACC ACC AG     | GGA GTG GCT CTT GTG TTG TAC   |
| Caspase recruitment domain family member 4 | CARD4 | CO886987      | 630       | GAA CAC TGG CAG CTC AAG CAA    | TTG CTG CTG AAG TGG TGC       |
| CD2 antigen                         | CD2    | CN789613      | 544       | ACA AAT TGG TAG CAY AAG CAG    | GAA AAT CAG CAY AGG CAA TAC   |
| CD3 antigen                         | CD3    | CD45323       | 518       | GAA GGA GTG CCG CAG TCA AG     | TGG TGA GAA TAG CCA ATG AC    |
| CD40 ligand                         | CD40L  | Z48452        | 652       | TAA CCG AGC ATG ATC GAA AC     | AGA ACT GTG GTG TGG AGC       |
| CD63 antigen                        | CD63   | A012589       | 506       | TTC TCG TGC TGG TCT TCT CCA   | GGA CCT CCA CCA AGG TAC TGC  |
| CD8 antigen                         | CD80   | BF039039      | 522       | GGT ACT CCA ACC AGC CTC TAC   | AGG TGT AGG TGC CAC TGC CC    |
| CD86 antigen                        | CD86   | A194175       | 674       | GCC TCC TCC AAC GAC ACT GC     | AGA ATC CAG AGG ATG TGC TCC  |
| CD200 receptor I                    | CD200R1| CK831048      | 552       | GCC TGC AAG CAA AGG AGA GC     | GTT GCT GAC TAC TTT ACT CAG   |
| Chemokine (C motif) ligand 1        | CXCL1  | AF354057      | 411       | TCA GCC TCT TAC TGC ACA GC     | AAA TGA GTG GGC TGG TGA TGC  |
| Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 8      | CCL8   | S67754        | 667       | GGT CCT GAT GCT CCA AGC AG     | AGT GGA AGG TCA TCA CTG       |
| Colony stimulating factor 2         | CSF2   | U123285       | 602       | GAG GAT GTG GCT GCA GAA AC     | CTC GTA TGG GCA TCA AGG CAG   |
| Colony stimulating factor 2 receptor α | CSF2RA | BE480577     | 455       | CCA AAT GGC AGC CTA AAT GTC   | CGG GAG GTC TGG GAT GTG AC    |
| Complement component 5 receptor I   | CSR1   | X65861        | 396       | ATT ATC CAG CAC AAC CAC TG     | GCG GAA GGA AGG TAC AGC       |
| FcγRⅠx (CD84)                       | FCR1A  | AF162866      | 630       | TCG GGT CAA CCT GAG CTG TG     | TAA GAT GCC AAG GGA GTT GC    |
| FcγRⅠlb (CD16b)                     | FCR3B  | AE132036      | 502       | CTT TCA GCT GAC ACG CAA AC     | TTT CCT GCT GCT CCC AGA A    |
| Inhibitor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells, kinase β | IKKBKB | A1941556     | 688       | GTT GAC AAC AGG AGG GTG TCC   | TGC AGA CCA CGG TCT TAC TG    |
| Inhibitor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells, kinase γ | IKKBG  | A1941557     | 694       | ATG GAG TGC CAC TGG TAG GG     | AGA GAG GAC CTC ACC TTG TGC  |
| Insulin-like growth factor 1        | IGF1   | X15726        | 661       | GTG ATC TGA GGA GCC TGC AG     | AGG TGA TGG AGA AGG TAC TGC  |
| Integrin αV (CD51)                  | ITGA5  | BM431105      | 505       | CAT ATC TGG GCA ATG AAT TGG    | TCC ATC TCT GAT TTC GGG TTG   |
| Integrin α6 (CD49)                  | ITGA6  | CK70520       | 554       | TAC CCT GAT GCT GTC GGG TG     | TGG TGA GGC TCT CAC CAT CCG   |
| Integrin β1 (CD29)                  | ITGB1  | AF468058      | 697       | TGG GCC CAAT CCT GAA ATG CAG   | GTT GCT GTC ATC CCT GCT AGG   |
| Integrin β3 (CD61)                  | ITGB3  | CN791459      | 530       | TGG TCT GCA CTT GGT AGC ATG    | TTC TCA CCA ATG CAG TCG CC    |
| Intercellular adhesion molecule (CD50) | ICAM1 | L41484        | 628       | CCA AGA GAT TGG CTG CAA AG     | GCC GCA ATG CCT TAC CAC TCG   |
| Interferon α                        | INFNA  | Z46508        | 439       | GGT GCC GCT CTT CCT GAC AC     | CCT CCA GAT TGG TGG AGG AAG   |
| Interferon β1                       | INFNB1 | M15477        | 568       | TCT CCA CTT TGC CAA TCG TCC   | TCT CAC CTC AAA GTC ACA TTG   |
| Interferon γ                        | INFNG  | M39867        | 561       | GGA GCT ACC GTT TCC AAT TCC C  | GCA GGC AGG ACC ATT ACG TGC   |
| Interferon regulatory factor 2      | IRF2   | I4900936      | 686       | AAA CTG GCC CAT CCA TAC AG     | TGG TGC AGG TCA AAG TGG AGG   |
| Interleukin 1β                      | IL1B   | M37211        | 699       | GAG GCT CAC TCG CTC CTC TCC   | TGG TGC ATC AGA AGA TGCT ACG  |
| Interleukin 1 receptor-associated kinase 1 | IRAK1 | BG692698     | 405       | GAG TGC GTG TGA CAG CAA AG     | GGG GCA AAT GGC CTT TGC AAG CTC |
| Interleukin 2                        | IL2    | M13204       | 498       | GTC CTC GCC TCA ACC CAA AG     | GAG GCC TCA ATG AGA AGA TGC   |
| Interleukin 4                        | IL4    | M27120        | 451       | GCA TGG TTA GGC TCT CTC GGT A  | CTT CAT AAT CGT TTA TAA TCA TCC |
| Interleukin 6                        | IL6    | W85172       | 620       | AGA TCC TGG GCC TCC AAG AC     | TAC TAT ATG AGA ATG GTT CTC   |
| Interleukin 8                        | IL8    | AFI337044     | 660       | CAG ACC AGG CAA CCA CCA TAC   | TGG TGC ATC AGA AGA TGCT CTC  |
| Interleukin 12A                      | IL12A  | U14416        | 350       | CAG CAA CAC GCT ACA GAA AC     | CTT GCA CTC CAG CAA TA TCA   |
| Interleukin 12B                      | IL12B  | U18185        | 553       | CCT GTC ACA AAG GAG CCG AGG A  | CGT CAG GGA GAA TCA ATA GTC AGG |
**Table 2: Amplicons generated to represent 60 immunologically important genes. (Continued)**

| Gene Name and Description | Accession | Length | Forward Primer Sequence | Reverse Primer Sequence |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Interleukin 13            | IL13      | 477    | CCT CAA TTT CTT CTC GTG GCTG | ATG GTC AGG ATC TGC CTC TG |
| Interleukin 15            | IL15      | 323    | ATC CAG TGC TAC TTG TGT TTA C | GCT GTT TGC TAG CAT GGT AAG |
| MHC class II DYA          | BOLA-DYA  | 623    | ACC ACT TGG AAC AGC CAC TC | CAG TGC TCC ACT CTG CAG TC |
| Myeloid differentiation primary response gene | MYD88      | 431    | GTG GTG GTG GTG TCT GTG CAT GAC G | GTG CCA CGT GTT CTA TGC AG |
| Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 2 | NFKB2    | 411    | GGC TAT ACC CAG TCC ACC TG | TGA GGT CAA GAG GTG TGT GG |
| Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 | PTGS2    | 651    | CCA GAG CTC TTT CTC CTG TG | GCA GCT CTG GGT CAA ACT TC |
| Selectin P ligand (CD162) | SELPLG    | 421    | ACC ATC TCC CTC GTG ACC TC | CTA CCG GAG GTT CTG TTT GC |
| SH3-domain kinase binding protein 1 | SH3KBP1   | 340    | GTG AGG GCT TGA AAG GGA AG | GCC TTC TGT TCC TGC CAT AC |
| Signal transducer & activator or transcription I | STAT1    | 519    | GCG AGT CAG ATG ACC CAA GAT AG | ATC CTG AAG GTT AGT CTT GC |
| Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 | TIMP2    | 617    | GGG CCA AAG CAG TCA ATA AG | TTC ATG CTG TGT CCA GGA AG |
| Toll-like receptor 7 | TLR7      | 693    | GCC TTA TGA TTT CCA GCA TC | TTT GTG AGG CAC CTG ATT TG |
| Toll-like receptor 8 | TLR8      | 362    | GGT GAT GAA TGA TGG CTC GG C | CTT TGG CAA AAG AAC CCC TG |
| Toll-like receptor 9 | TLR9      | 694    | TCA CCA GCC TCT CTT TAA TCT C | GAG AGA ACT GTC CTT CAA CAC C |
| Transforming growth factor α | TGFβ1    | 668    | GCC ATA CTG GCC CTT TAC AA | GCT GAC GAA CAC AGC ATG TC |
| Transforming growth factor β | TGFβ3    | 374    | AGG TGG TGA AAT CAG CAT CC | CTG AGC AGA AGT TGG CAT AG |
| Transforming growth factor β receptor I | TGFBR1   | 701    | GTG TTG AGG GAG CCA TCT TC | AAC CTG CAG GAG TAC CTG AC |
| Transforming growth factor β receptor II | TGFBR2   | 660    | AGC TGG CGG AGG AGG TGTC TC | CAG GGC GAT GAT CCC AAA GTA GAC C |
| Tumour necrosis factor α | TNF       | 645    | TTC GGA AGA AGC GTA TGG AG | CCT GTG GAT AGG CAT GTG TG |

List of the genes chosen for amplicon construction. The sequences used to design the primers and the sizes of the clone inserts are listed.
nalling, mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) signalling, Jak-STAT signalling, apoptosis, focal adhesion, complement and coagulation cascade, regulation of actin cytoskeleton and calcium signalling.

The limited number of time points sampled in this experiment restricts the information that can be obtained from cluster analysis. However, the 695 genes can be separated into groups exhibiting 8 broad expression patterns. The genes up-regulated at 2 hours fall into 3 groups; those that remain up-regulated (133 genes) including chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 (CCL2) and prion protein, those that return to resting levels (132 genes) including v-rel reticulendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog (REL) and finally TIMP metallopeptidase inhibitor 1 (TIMP1) that is down-regulated beyond the resting state level. The genes down-regulated at 2 hour post activation can be grouped in a similar manner; those that remain down-regulated (48 genes) including v-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene (FOS), those that return to resting levels (114 genes) including chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2 (CCR2) and finally C0006007l14 [EMBL: AJ817952] which is up-regulated above the resting state level. The remaining genes exhibit a delayed alteration in expression that was observed only at 16 hours, and were either up-regulated (110 genes) e.g. fibronectin 1 (FN1) or down-regulated (132 genes) e.g. CD86 antigen.

Eighteen differentially expressed genes are represented by more than one clone. The duplicate clones of 13 of these genes are grouped together by expression pattern, e.g. FN1 and CCR1. The duplicate clones for the remaining 5 genes, e.g. IL12A, fall into different groups. Further analysis shows that the duplicate clones exhibit similar hybridization patterns, but the differential expression detected by one clone lies outside the threshold criteria used to identify differentially expressed genes.

**2 hours post LPS & IFN-γ stimulation**

A total of 444 genes exhibited differential expression in monocyes at 2 hours post activation compared to resting monocytes (≥ 2 fold, FDRmax ≤ 0.01). Of these 279 were up-regulated and the top 25 genes exhibiting greatest up-regulation are listed in Table 4. Eight of these are chemokines, including IL8 and CCL2. Interestingly the prion protein was up-regulated on average 28.1 fold after 2 hours activation. Three of the most up-regulated genes are only represented by ESTs.

A further 165 genes are down-regulated 2 hours post activation compared to resting cells and the top 25 genes are listed in Table 5. These include IL16, CCR2 and MAPK14. Several of the most down-regulated genes are transcription factors, e.g. CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), TAF7 RNA polymerase II, TATA box binding protein-associated factor (TAF7) and nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2) (NFE2).

**16 hours post LPS & IFN-γ stimulation**

A total of 457 genes exhibit differential expression in monocyes at 16 hours post activation compared to resting monocytes (≥ 2 fold, FDRmax ≤ 0.01). Of these 253 are up-regulated and the top 25 genes exhibiting greatest up-regulation are listed in Table 6. There is considerable overlap between the top 25 genes up-regulated at 2 and 16 hours post activation, including IL8 and prion protein. Other up-regulated genes include IL1β, IL2 receptor β chain (IL2RB) and actinin α1.

A further 204 genes are down-regulated at 16 hours post activation and the top 25 genes are listed in Table 7. These include the previously mentioned unique sequence C0005209g19 [EMBL: AI81691] and five sequences that only match ESTs. Two ribonucleases are down-regulated, as well as cell surface receptors; IL6 receptor (IL6R), mannose receptor, C type 1-like 1 (MRC1L1) and CD59. Only 2 genes are found in the top 25 down-regulated genes at 2 and 16 hours post activation; IL16 and chromosome 6 open reading frame 32 (C6orf32) protein.

**Validation of microarray results**

To validate the results from the microarray experiment, thirty genes were chosen for reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis. The mRNA levels of all thirty appeared to follow the same pattern as seen on the microarray (data not shown). The correct identification of the representative clones for these 30 genes was confirmed by sequence analysis (data not

---

**Table 3: Factors affecting intensity measurements.**

| Effect       | Variance | SE (variance) | Variance % | Variance 0 hours | Variance 2 hours | Variance 16 hours |
|--------------|----------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Slide        | 0.032    | 0.015         | 2          | 0.035            | 0.161            | 0.054            |
| Gene         | 1.146    | 0.006         | 79         | 1.126            | 1.152            | 1.160            |
| Replicate Spots | 0.135    | 0.001         | 9          | 0.174            | 0.123            | 0.107            |
| Channel      | 0.145    | 0.001         | 10         | 0.069            | 0.179            | 0.186            |

The contributions to variation in log(intensity) of slide, gene, replicate spots and channel, together with their standard errors and percentage variance accounted for. Equivalent estimates and percentages are presented separately for the 3 time points.
shown). Ten of these genes were selected for further quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis to verify the abundance of mRNA present in the original totRNA samples from the six Holstein-Friesians used to generate the aRNA for the microarray experiment (Table 8). These genes were chosen because they displayed a range of differential expression during the time course. CCL2, prion protein and IL6 were highly up-regulated during the experiment, whilst FOS was down-regulated. FN1, CCR1 and complement component 1, r subcomponent (C1R) were up-regulated by 16 hours post activation, whilst CD86 was down-regulated by this time-point. CD9 and CD44 did not differ significantly during the time course.

The correspondence between the qRT-PCR and microarray expression measurements is shown in Figure 1. There is good agreement between the average log(fold changes) for the 10 genes, with an overall correlation of 0.78 (Figure 1A). The means for 6 genes; C1R, CCR1, CD86, FN1, FOS & PRNP, lie close to the line of equality. CD9 and CD44 exhibit 2–3 times higher fold changes by qRT-PCR analysis than microarray analysis and CCL2 results are 5 and 13 times greater. The fold change was dramatically different for IL6, being 70 and 130 times greater by qRT-PCR analysis than microarray analysis. The correlation between the qRT-PCR and microarray data was further investigated by comparing the results for individual animals. The individual
ual animal values for each gene at 2 hours (data not shown) and 16 hours (Figure 1B) post activation cluster together for 9 of the 10 genes. The CCL2 values have the most spread and one outlying animal influences the difference between the average microarray and qRT-PCR results (Figure 1A). However, the results for IL6 cluster together, illustrating the consistency of the disparity between qRT-PCR and microarray results for this transcript. Investigation of the raw data failed to identify any obvious reason for the lower fold changes measured by microarray analysis, in particular the disparity could not be explained by saturation effects nor excessively low channel intensities (data not shown).

## Discussion

Microarray analysis provides an ideal methodology to investigate the gene expression profile of thousands of genes simultaneously, which allows a broader understanding of complex events, such as mφ activation and differentiation. Here we describe the construction of a bovine macrophage specific cDNA microarray. The majority of the 5026 clones present on the BoMP microarray have been selected from a normalized bovine mφ specific cDNA library [13]. The library was generated from Bos taurus and B. indicus derived monocytes and mφ subjected to various stimuli, including infection with the protozoan parasite T. annulata, to maximize the number of tran-

### Table 5: The top 25 genes exhibiting decreased mRNA abundance 2 hours post activation.

| Clone       | E value | Accession No. | Gene Symbol | Gene | Fold Decrease | FDRmax | P value  |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|------|---------------|--------|----------|
| C0006018h16 | 0.0     | NM_031483     | ITCH        | Itchy homolog E3 ubiquitin protein ligase | -5.36  | 2.55E-10   | 2.79E-12 |
| MARC_2BOV_14N13 | e-151   | XM_582744     | IL16        | Interleukin 16 | -4.94  | 9.35E-10   | 1.41E-11 |
| C0006011p15 | 0.0     | NM_003400     | XPO1        | Exportin 1 | -4.11  | 3.28E-03   | 4.70E-04 |
| C0006011d04 | e-112   | NM_000199     | SGSH        | N-sulfoglucoamline sulfohydrolase | -4.05  | 4.14E-05   | 2.88E-06 |
| C0005202b11 | 0.0     | NM_006565     | CTCF        | CCCTC-binding factor | -3.83  | 9.04E-06   | 4.90E-07 |
| C0006014p20 | 0.0     | NM_005642     | TAF7        | TAF7 RNA polymerase II, TATA box binding protein (TBP)-associated factor, 55kDa | -3.74  | 7.65E-09   | 1.61E-10 |
| MARC_1BOV_107K4 | 0.0 | XM_584158 | CCR2        | Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2 | -3.70  | 4.81E-06   | 2.40E-07 |
| C0005203a5  | 6.00E-54| NM_032804     | C10orf22    | Chromosome 10 open reading frame 22 | -3.69  | 2.77E-04   | 2.68E-05 |
| C0006005d04 | 0.0     | XM_588702     | UNG         | Uracil-DNA glycosylase | -3.62  | 4.24E-10   | 5.00E-12 |
| C000783D18  | 0.0     | BM887483      | -           | EST         | -3.60  | 2.00E-03   | 2.63E-04 |
| C0006007e03 | e-153   | NM_016046     | EXOSC1      | Exosome component I | -3.51  | 6.69E-07   | 2.57E-08 |
| C0006019n08 | 0.0     | XM_881615     | -           | Hypothetical protein LOC616204 | -3.51  | 8.67E-06   | 4.67E-07 |
| C0005205c2  | 2.00E-70| NM_049393     | RBM35B      | RNA binding motif protein 35B | -3.50  | 7.22E-08   | 2.15E-09 |
| C0005207d22 | 0.0     | NM_013444     | UBQLN2      | Ubiquilin 2 | -3.48  | 1.36E-08   | 3.13E-10 |
| C0005198b2  | 7.00E-58| NM_139914     | MAPK14      | Mitogen-activated protein kinase 14 (p38) | -3.41  | 1.36E-08   | 3.15E-10 |
| C0006005h08 | 0.0     | NM_052911     | ESCO1       | Establishment of cohesion 1 homolog I | -3.36  | 9.89E-06   | 5.48E-07 |
| C0005202i16 | 4.00E-42| XM_545369     | C6orf32     | Chromosome 6 open reading frame 32 | -3.32  | 2.43E-10   | 2.61E-12 |
| MARC_2BOV_105E23 | e-119 | XM_153425 | TRADD       | TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain | -3.18  | 3.95E-07   | 1.45E-08 |
| C0006007c20 | e-111   | NM_001001806  | ZFP36L2     | Zinc finger protein 36, C3H-type like 2 | -3.18  | 3.22E-09   | 5.75E-11 |
| C0005209h6  | e-108   | NM_006163     | NFE2        | Nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2), 45kDa | -3.13  | 3.40E-06   | 3.27E-07 |
| C0006019p19 | e-106   | XM_868699     | TOB2        | Transducer of ERBB2, 2 | -3.13  | 8.37E-07   | 3.31E-08 |
| C0005202g13 | 0.0     | AVS94592      | -           | EST         | -3.11  | 7.31E-04   | 8.25E-05 |
| C0005208j1  | 0.0     | CB221804      | -           | EST         | -3.10  | 6.65E-05   | 5.18E-06 |
| C0006012f10 | 1.00E-67| XM_145037     | FAM55C      | Family with sequence similarity 55, member C | -3.08  | 1.03E-11   | 6.98E-14 |
| C0005208h20 | 0.0     | CB420023      | -           | EST         | -3.01  | 1.67E-05   | 9.91E-07 |

Summary of the top 25 genes exhibiting decreased mRNA abundance 2 hours post activation, including clone name, accession number, e-value and identity of the best BLAST match and average fold change. The P and FDRmax values denote the probability from the moderated t-test and the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate values respectively from the analysis of the resting cell values compared to the average activated sample values (Pre v Post).
scripts present in the library. A normalized rather than a subtracted library was generated to ensure that non-mφ specific genes were represented, as we were interested in the complete picture of events during host-mφ activation and not just the subset unique to mφ. Furthermore, the majority of murine mφ genes exhibiting differential expression after LPS stimulation were not mφ specific [10]. Therefore all sequenced clones that matched published sequences were included on the BoMP microarray. The BoMP library also contains a large number of unique sequences and a proportion of these were also included on the microarray. Additionally, a further nearly 450 genes were selected for inclusion on the microarray. Therefore the BoMP microarray is focussed towards investigating the response of myeloid cells, but is of sufficient size and diversity to allow for successful normalization of microarray data.

The BoMP microarray has been validated by investigating the response of bovine monocytes to IFN-γ and LPS activation. These compounds are potent, natural activators of myeloid cells and are frequently used, individually or together, to experimentally stimulate monocytes [reviewed in [3]]. A common reference design was used for this microarray experiment. Because only three time-points, or treatments, were investigated it would have been straightforward to use a loop design, which allows the direct comparison of treatments resulting in higher statistical power than can be obtained from a common reference design [21]. However, the experiment described here forms part of a larger experiment investigating variation in the response of bovine monocytes derived from Holstein-Friesians (B. taurus) and Sahiwal (B. indicus) cattle. Therefore a common reference design was used to allow multifactorial analyses to be carried out looking

| Clone          | E value | Accession No. | Gene Symbol | Gene          | Fold Increase | FDRmax | P value |
|----------------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| pIL8           | 0.0     | NM_173925     | IL8         | Interleukin 8 | 60.09         | 1.23E-18 | 4.91E-22 |
| MARC_1BOV_94L3 | 0.0     | NM_174300     | CXCL6       | Chemokine (C-X-C motif ligand 6 | 27.46 | 1.72E-11 | 1.23E-13 |
| C0006060m10    | 0.0     | NM_174299     | CXCL2       | Chemokine (C-X-C motif ligand 2 (GRO/IL8) | 25.14 | 1.25E-15 | 1.24E-18 |

Summary of the top 25 genes exhibiting increased mRNA abundance 16 hours post activation including clone name, accession number, e-value and identity of the best BLAST match and average fold change. The P and FDRmax values denote the probability from the moderated t-test and the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate values respectively from the analysis of the resting cell values compared to the average activated sample values (Pre v Post).

Table 6: The top 25 genes exhibiting increased mRNA abundance 16 hours post activation.
across the time course and between cattle breeds. In addition, the same common reference sample has been used in a separate microarray experiment investigating the response of bovine monocytes to *T. annulata* infection (Jensen et al., in prep).

There are an increasing number of microarrays available to investigate bovine gene expression [reviewed by [3]]. A sub-set of these have been designed to specifically investigate the immune response. The majority of publications have made use of versions of the bovine total leukocyte (BoTL) microarray [22]. The latest version, BoTL-5 contains 1391 genes and controls [23]. Other arrays include the bovine immune-endocrine cDNA microarray containing amplicons representing 167 genes [24]. Recently a bovine innate immunity microarray has been described which contains over 6800 clones [25]. The majority of these clones have been selected from normalized and subtracted libraries and have not been sequenced. Therefore there is likely to be considerable redundancy on this microarray and its full scope is not known.

However, microarray studies investigating the response of bovine monocytes and mφ to infection and activation have not made use of these focussed microarrays. The response of monocytes to *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 LPS was investigated using Incyte Genomics UniGEM V 1.0 human cDNA microarray [4]. Cells were activated for 3 hours and bovine monocyte RNA hybridized with approximately 80% of the gene targets. A total of 44 differentially expressed genes were identified, 21 of which are represented on the BoMP microarray. However, only 13 of these genes exhibited differential expression in the study reported here, including pentraxin-related gene, rapidly induced by IL1β (PTX3), CASP8 and FADD-like apoptosis regulator (CFLAR) and TIMP3. The remaining 8 genes identified in the earlier study as being differentially expressed during activation are not exhibiting differential expression across the time course and between cattle breeds. In addition, the same common reference sample has been used in a separate microarray experiment investigating the response of bovine monocytes to *T. annulata* infection (Jensen et al., in prep).

### Table 7: The top 25 genes exhibiting decreased mRNA abundance 16 hours post activation.

| Clone       | E value | Accession No. | Gene Symbol | Gene      | Gene Fold Decrease | FDRmax | P value  |
|-------------|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|----------|
| C0006017i16 | 0.0     | BM088889      | -           | EST       | -22.29             | 2.39E-11| 1.94E-13 |
| C0005199i3  | 0.0     | BT021551      | RNASE4      | Ribonuclease, RNase A family, 4 | -21.08 | 1.43E-09| 2.33E-11 |
| C0005209g19 | -       | A2M           | Unique singleton | -13.17 | 5.49E-13| 2.07E-15 |
| C0005198b19 | 1.00E-48 | NM_00014      | A2M         | A2M       | -12.22             | 6.56E-07| 2.50E-08 |
| C0006067m24 | -       | NM_174934     | RNASE6      | Ribonuclease k6 | -8.88  | 9.11E-12| 5.79E-14 |
| C0006020f22 | 0.0     | XM_886762     | CLEC4A      | C-type lectin domain family 4, member A | -8.45  | 2.80E-06 | 1.27E-07 |
| C0005202i16 | 4.00E-42 | XM_545369     | C6orf32     | Chromosome 6 open reading frame 32 | -8.21  | 2.43E-10| 2.61E-12 |
| BARC_SBOV_46G22 | 0.0 | NM_001037446 | CDS9        | CDS9 antigen | -8.15  | 2.11E-07| 7.20E-09 |
| C0005206d13 | 4.00E-57 | NM_001009567 | MRC1L1      | Mannose receptor, C type 1-like 1 | -8.15  | 5.55E-10| 7.83E-12 |
| C0005198b18 | 1.00E-34 | AY112657      | FGL2        | Fibrinogen-like 2 | -8.04  | 5.69E-07| 2.16E-08 |
| C0006012b04 | 0.0     | DY132956      | EST         | EST       | -6.79              | 8.65E-10| 1.29E-11 |
| C0005206a23 | e-163   | CK954547      | EST         | EST       | -6.34              | 1.27E-06| 5.27E-08 |
| C0005920c16 | 0.0     | DN742393      | EST         | EST       | -6.12              | 7.62E-08| 2.29E-09 |
| MARC_SBOV_43C11 | e-101 | NM_172087    | TNFSF13     | Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 13 (APRIL) | -5.81  | 1.51E-08| 3.57E-10 |
| C0005201e6  | e-100   | NM_005923     | MAP3K5      | Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 5 | -5.65  | 5.83E-04| 6.40E-05 |
| C0006018d03 | 2.00E-41 | DV320110      | EST         | EST       | -5.55              | 3.13E-06| 1.46E-07 |
| C0006005m10 | e-121   | NM_00103733   | CALML4      | Calmodulin-like 4 | -5.47  | 2.06E-07| 6.98E-09 |
| C0005210k15 | 0.0     | XM_613642     | IQGAP2      | IQQ motif containing GTPase activating protein 2 | -5.45  | 1.58E-07| 5.13E-09 |
| C0005199e6  | 0.0     | NM_001033990 | SCP2        | Sterol carrier protein 2 | -5.44  | 3.32E-09| 5.99E-11 |
| C0005209h7  | 7.00E-95 | NM_0013186   | DITPS2L2    | Dihydropyrimidinase-like 2 | -5.16  | 8.42E-08| 2.58E-09 |
| MARC_SBOV_14N13 | e-151 | XM_582744   | IL16        | Interleukin 16 | -5.12  | 9.35E-10| 1.41E-11 |
| C0006016k03 | e-124   | XM_607564     | FLI1        | Friend leukemia virus integration 1 | -4.88  | 1.90E-05| 1.14E-06 |
| C0005209c4  | 0.0     | XM_583952     | IL6R        | Interleukin 6 receptor | -4.88  | 2.49E-11| 2.08E-13 |
| MARC_SBOV_42H5 | 0.0 | NM_001014945 | CIQA        | Complement component 1, q subcomponent, alpha | -4.86  | 8.14E-06| 4.32E-07 |
| C0006018b05 | 0.0     | XM_615814     | FKBP5       | FK506 binding protein 5 | -4.65  | 7.14E-09| 1.46E-10 |

Summary of the top 25 genes exhibiting decreased mRNA abundance 16 hours post activation, including clone name, accession number, e-value and identity of the best BLAST match and average fold change. The P and FDRmax values denote the probability from the moderated t-test and the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate values respectively from the analysis of the resting cell values compared to the average activated sample values (Pre v Post).
expression during the time course reported here, e.g. CASP4 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF-\(\alpha\)). These results could indicate a genuine difference in the response to the two different stimuli, be due to other technical differences between the two studies or be due to the failure of the DNA from the representative clone to hybridize correctly. The latter is true for TNF-\(\alpha\), the expression of which we have previously shown to differ during monocyte activation (data not shown). The failure of this clone has prompted us to include additional clones representing TNF-\(\alpha\) on the next version of the microarray, which is currently under construction.

There is a considerable difference in the number of differentially expressed genes identified in LPS stimulated cells (44 genes) compared to the study reported here (695 genes). The earlier study compared gene expression in LPS stimulated cells with cells cultured in medium only and therefore the response of the cells to LPS alone was investigated [4]. In contrast, the study reported here has investigated the response of cells to LPS and IFN-\(\gamma\) compared to resting cells and therefore the cells are also responding to being in culture conditions for the first time. Furthermore, our cells were stimulated immediately after isolation, whilst the previous study used cells that were cultured overnight before treatment [4].

Bovine derived microarrays have been used to investigate gene expression in bovine monocytes and m\(\phi\) in two studies [[6] &[8]]. The response to alveolar m\(\phi\) to infection with virulent and attenuated strains of Mycobacterium bovis has been investigated using an in house cDNA microarray [8]. In addition, a commercially available bovine microarray produced by Pyxis Genomics [26] was used to compare gene expression of bovine monocyte-derived m\(\phi\) (MDM) infected with M. avium subspecies paratuberculosis and M. a. avium [6]. This cDNA microarray was constructed from bovine spleen and placental cDNA libraries; however, immunologically important genes are poorly represented on the microarray. For example, the principal proinflammatory cytokines, IL1\(\beta\), IL6 and TNF-\(\alpha\), are not represented. Therefore the microarray is of limited use when investigating aspects of the immune system.

Microarray analysis has been used to investigate the response of human and murine derived m\(\phi\) to LPS and IFN-\(\gamma\) [reviewed in [3]]. A total of 219 genes exhibited differential expression 6 hours post LPS treatment in the murine cell line RAW264.7 [27]. Of these 85 (38.8\%) are represented on the BoMP microarray and 42.4\% (36) of these exhibit similar fold changes. The m\(\phi\) response to a range of pathogens and PAMPs has been investigated simultaneously [28,29]. A shared activation program of expression changes in 191 human m\(\phi\) genes was found in response to a range of bacterial pathogens, the majority of which were also activated by LPS [28]. Ninety-four of these genes are represented on the BoMP microarray and 64\% of up-regulated genes in the activation program were also up-regulated in the current study, e.g. ninjurin 1 (NINJ1), prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2

### Table 8: Details of the qRT-PCR primers and amplicons.

| Gene                                      | Gene Symbol | Clone      | Direction | Sequence (5’-3’) | Size (bp) |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| CD9 antigen                               | CD9         | BE751736   | F         | TTG GAC TAT GGC TCC GAT TC | 261       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | CCT TGA TCA CCT CCT TG   |           |
| CD44 antigen                              | CD44        | AJ816969   | F         | TAC CCA CCC ACA AGA GAA CC | 102       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | AAC CCA TTA CCA GTC ATC CTT G   |           |
| CD86 antigen                              | CD86        | pCD86      | F         | GAA GGT CCC AAG GAT TGG TT | 136       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | GAT GAG CAC GTC AAA TTG ATG A   |           |
| Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2            | CCL2        | AJ821161   | F         | TCG CCT GCT GCT ATA CAT TC | 274       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | AGA GGG CAG TTA GGG AAA GC   |           |
| Chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 1          | CCR1        | BE756795   | F         | AAA TGA GAA GAA GGC CAA AGC | 140       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | TGC TCT GCT CAC ACT TAC AG   |           |
| Complement component 1, r subcomponent    | C1R         | AJ818500   | F         | AGA TTT GTC GTG CTG CCA GT | 183       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | CCC AGC GGT CAT TCT TCT TA   |           |
| Fibronectin 1                             | FNI         | AJ819936   | F         | TAT CTT GGT GCC ATT TGC TC | 258       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | ATC CAC GCT TGT TCT TCT GG   |           |
| Interleukin 6                             | IL6         | pIL6       | F         | TGA GTG TGA AAG CAG CAA GG | 289       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | CAG CAG GTC AGT GTT GTG GG   |           |
| Prion protein                             | PRNP        | AJ821011   | F         | TGT GTA TGG TGG GAT TGG AA | 197       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | GCT GGG TCA TCA AAG AAG TG   |           |
| V-fos FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene | FOS        | BE755117   | F         | CTT CTC CAG CAT GGG TTC TC | 257       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | TCT GAG CTC TGC CTC GTG CTG TC   |           |
| Chromosome 13 open reading frame 8        | C13orf8     | AJ817183   | F         | AGC AGT GAC CAA GAG CAG GT | 205       |
|                                           |             |            | R         | TCA TAG CAC GAC AGC AAC AA   |           |

F and R denote forward and reverse primers respectively.
Figure 1
Scatterplots comparing the differential expression measured by qRT-PCR and microarray analysis for 10 investigated genes at 2 time points after activation. A, average log(differential expression). The numbers indicate the time post activation. B, log(differential expression) of individual animals at 16 hours post activation. The 10 investigated genes were C1R (black circle), CCL2 (red square), CCR1 (green diamond), CD44 (blue triangle), CD86 (orange triangle), CD9 (pink triangle), FN1 (purple triangle), FOS (black cross), IL6 (red cross) and PRNP (green star). The dotted lines denote the lines of equality (y = x).
(PTGS2), nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells inhibitor, alpha (NFKBIA) and jagged 1 (JAG1).

The expression of 10 genes was investigated by qRT-PCR to validate the microarray results. The same RNA samples were used for this analysis, rather than the collection of additional samples, because the principal aim was to confirm results obtained from the microarray experiment rather than to investigate the expression of particular genes. Overall there was good correlation between the microarray and qRT-PCR results, with a correlation value of 0.78. This level of correlation is comparable to that seen in a large scale validation study of over 1300 genes recently reported [30]. However, the dominant pattern observed in the comparison of microarray and qRT-PCR results reported here closely follows the line of equality, which was not observed in the large scale study [30]. The average logarithms of differential expression for the two time points were remarkably similar for 6 of the 10 genes. The qRT-PCR analysis was more sensitive for the remaining 4 genes and detected increased differential expression over the microarray analysis, particularly for IL6, which was underestimated by as much as 100 fold. There are several possible explanations for the disparity between these methodologies, including; fluorescence saturation and specificity. However, analysis has confirmed that the disparity in IL6 results is not caused by the saturation of the fluorescence on the microarray (data not shown). Closely related gene-family members, sharing more than 80% sequence homology, can cross-hybridize in cDNA microarray experiments resulting in false positive results [31]. However, this can not explain the results for IL6, which is not part of a closely related gene family and the hybridization signals were lower than expected. The most probable cause of the disparity in IL6 results is sub-optimal hybridization of IL6 on the microarray. In contrast, the qRT-PCR amplification is optimized on a gene basis.

Due to the limited amount of totRNA generated from the peripheral monocytes, the microarray experiment was carried out using aRNA. The suitability of this approach has been validated by previous studies [32]. The qRT-PCR was carried out on the original totRNA samples used to generate the aRNA used in the microarray experiment. The similarity between the majority of the results supports the use of aRNA for microarray analysis. However, variable amplification of transcripts may account for the disparity between the qRT-PCR and microarray results for IL6. This could be investigated by repeating the qRT-PCR analysis using the aRNA samples. Unfortunately, this was not possible due to limited amounts of the samples remaining. The log(fold changes) were encouragingly consistent between animals for all but one of the genes examined. A comparison of the results of statistical significance tests for the two methodologies has not been attempted. The microarray results would require recalculating for a fair comparison, as they are penalized for the multiple testing of over 5000 genes and also have the residual variances for each gene "shrunk" towards the median residual variance to improve the false discovery rate for the list of top genes exhibiting differential expression.

Further investigation of the validity of the microarray results concentrated on the top gene lists (Tables 4, 5, 6, 7), by in silico analysis, searching the literature for corroborative data. Unfortunately, corroborative data has not been found for a proportion of the genes. Several of the most differentially expressed genes currently only match ESTs or are unique to the BoMP library. Exploration of these may provide insights into novel pathways involved in the reprogramming of the innate immune cell after infection or inflammation. Further work on the bovine genome sequencing project should provide more information on these sequences in the future.

Results for two of the top differentially expressed genes are inconsistent with previously published data. Dihydropyrimidinase-like 2 (DPYSL2) is down-regulated over 5 fold in this study. However, expression of the gene has been reported as up-regulated upon monocyte activation [33]. Similarly, previous studies have shown that C1Q is up-regulated during LPS and IFN-γ stimulation [34]. In the current study both C1QA and C1QB are down-regulated 4.8 fold and 2.9 fold respectively. The disparity between the results may result from differences in experimental design, cell types or species differences, or from other technical differences between the studies.

Many of the top differentially expressed genes have been shown to be differentially expressed in activated myeloid cells by previously microarray analysis. Sixteen of the genes were listed in the mφ activation program, including: N-sulfoglucosamine sulfohydrolase, adrenomedullin and ribonuclease k6 [28]. Similarly seven of the top genes were also described in the study of gene expression in RAW264.7 cells, e.g. exportin 1 [27] and three of the top genes were differentially expressed in the only other published paper on bovine monocyte gene expression, e.g. TNF-α induced protein 3 (TNFAIP3) [4].

The biological function of prion protein is still unclear, although mRNA and protein levels increase upon activation of many leukocytes, including T lymphocytes [35]. Mφ from prion protein knockout mice show lower rates of phagocytosis and recruit a different subset of leukocytes, suggesting that the protein plays an important role in mφ function [36]. Differentiation of monocytes to dendritic cells (DC) increases surface expression of prion protein
[37] and IFN-γ stimulation triggers increased prion protein expression in CD14+ peripheral cells [35].

Exposure to IFN-γ also induces phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein 1 (PMAIP1) expression, which disrupts the mitochondrial outer membrane integrity and is involved in apoptosis [38]. Apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme, catalytic polypeptide-like 3A (APOBEC3A) acts to inhibit retroviral replication and expression of the closely related proteins APOBEC3B and APOBEC3C are stimulated by IFN-γ [39].

An additional 13 genes from the top differentially expressed genes have been shown to be differentially expressed in myeloid cells after LPS treatment. These include up-regulation of IL2RB [40] and down-regulation of IL6R [41], CCR2 [42] and CD59 [43]. Similarly, the nuclear receptor subfamily 4, group A, member 3 (NR4A3), which is mediated by NF-κB signalling is up-regulated in RAW264.7 stimulated with LPS [44]. Furthermore, the zinc transporter solute carrier family 39 member 8 (SLC39A8) is expressed at very low levels in unstimulated monocytes, but levels increase after LPS stimulation [45].

The information described in this report, particularly the expression changes in unique or unknown genes, may in the future suggest new candidates for disease resistance genes. Furthermore, the results may suggest new ways to effectively stimulate the innate immune system, leading to improvements in the design of vaccines and adjuvants.

**Conclusion**

Here we report the construction of a 5 K bovine macrophage specific cDNA microarray, which has been validated by investigating the response of bovine monocytes to culturing and stimulation with IFN-γ and LPS. Over 690 differentially expressed genes were identified and the results for a selection of these genes have been confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis. Therefore the BoMP microarray is a useful resource for investigating gene expression in bovine myeloid cells. A second version of the microarray is currently under construction, which contains an additional 500 clones to improve the representation of various biological pathways on the microarray. Both versions of the BoMP microarray will be publicly available through the ARK-Genomics Centre for Functional Genomics in Farm Animals [18].

**Methods**

**Construction of the BoMP microarray**

**Clone selection**

5026 sequence elements have been chosen for inclusion on the BoMP microarray, see Additional file 1 for a complete annotated list. These have been derived from a number of resources (Table 1). The majority of the clones (4585 clones) were derived from the normalized BoMP cDNA library [13], generated from RNA samples collected from *B. taurus* and *B. indicus* derived cells subjected to various stimuli. A further 381 clones were selected from the MARC 1--4 BOV libraries and others available at the ARK-Genomics facility [16,17]. In addition, 60 amplicons were generated to represent immunologically important genes that were not available in the libraries at our disposal, e.g. IL6 and IL8.

**Generation of amplicons**

Sixty immunologically important genes were selected for amplicon generation (Table 2). Oligonucleotides were designed for the 60 genes using the Primer3 website [46,47]. First strand cDNA was reverse transcribed from 0.5 µg myeloid cell totalRNA using oligo(dT) primer and Superscript II (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two microlitres of the cDNA was amplified using the gene specific primers in a 100 µl polymerase chain reaction (PCR) containing the following: 1 × PCR buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTP mix, 200 nM forward and reverse primers and 0.5 units Taq polymerase (ABgene). PCR amplification was carried out using the following cycle profile: one cycle of 95°C for 3 minutes, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 45 seconds, followed by 39 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 45 seconds. The final cycle had an extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) before being cloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting plasmids were sequenced to ensure amplification of the specific product (data not shown).

**Microarray construction**

The BoMP microarray was constructed from the 5026 clones described above and additional controls, including: chicken and bovine genomic DNA, calf thymus DNA, salmon sperm DNA, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), γ-actin, landing lights, spotting buffer and the Alien SpotReports 1–10 (Stratagene). The controls are distributed evenly across the microarray. The plasmid DNA was purified using MagAttract 96 Miniprep chemistry on a Biorobot 8000 platform (Qiagen). The cDNA inserts were PCR amplified using the oligonucleotides CGATTAAGTTGGGTAACGC and CAATTTCACACAGGAAACAG in 50 µl reactions using 1 µl Taq polymerase (ABgene) with an extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were amplified using the following cycle profile: one cycle of 95°C for 3 minutes, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 45 seconds, followed by 39 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 45 seconds. The final cycle had an extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. The PCR products were purified using QiAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) before being cloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The resulting plasmids were sequenced to ensure amplification of the specific product (data not shown).
DNA was resuspended to 150 ng/µl in spot buffer (150 mM sodium phosphate, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulphate) before being spotted in duplicate on to amino-silane coated GAPPSII slides (Corning) using a Biorobotics Microgrid II spotter (Genomic Solutions). The microarray is laid out in a 12 × 4 grid, where each block corresponds to one of 48 print tips. Each block comprises a 16 × 15 grid of spots.

Printed microarray slides were treated using succinic anhydride and 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (Sigma) to block unbound amino groups, followed by a wash in 95°C MilliQ water before hybridization.

**Sample collection**
Periperal blood was isolated from 6 Holstein-Friesian (B. taurus) cattle maintained at the Roslin Institute, UK. These animals, 2 females and 4 males, were between 1–3 years of age and kept on pasture. The blood was collected aseptically into acid citrate dextrose and immediately stored on ice. Under cold conditions peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were separated by density gradient centrifugation on Lymphoprep (Axis-Shield), washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended at 10⁷ cells/ml in PBS supplemented with 1% foetal bovine serum (FBS). Peripheral monocytes were isolated from PBMC by positive selection using a predetermined optimum concentration of the monoclonal antibody IL-A24, which recognizes signal-regulatory protein alpha (SIRPA) [48], and the MACS system (Miltenyi Biotec). FACS analysis confirmed that the cell purity exceeded 95% (data not shown).

The purified monocytes from each animal were resuspended at 10⁷cells/ml in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 20 ng/ml LPS derived from E. coli serotype 055:B5 (Sigma) and 300 U/ml recombinant ovine IFN-γ, kindly supplied by Dr. G. Entrican (Moredun Research Institute). Cells from each animal were divided into 3 aliquots and incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ incubator. The cells were harvested at 0, 2, and 16 hours post activation. The activated monocytes were pelleted, washed with PBS and stored in RNAlater (Ambion) at 4°C before RNA extraction.

**RNA preparation**

totRNA was extracted from the 18 cell samples using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The quality and quantity of the resulting RNA was determined by gel electrophoresis, spectrophotometry at 260 nm and 280 nm and by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. Linearily amplified aRNA was generated from 0.5 µg totRNA using the MessageAmp aRNA kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and incorporating modified 5-(3-aminomethyl)-UTP. All totRNA samples underwent a single round of amplification. The quality and the quantity of the resulting aRNA was analyzed by spectrophotometry and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

**RNA labelling & hybridizations**
Fluorescent cyanine (Cy) dyes, either Cy3 or Cy5 as appropriate, were indirectly incorporated into each 1.2 µg aRNA sample by coupling to the modified UTP using the protocol described on the ARK-Genomics website [18]. The labelled aRNA was purified using a DyeEx spin column (Qiagen) and the labelling efficiency was determined by running 0.5 µl of each sample on a 1% agarose gel.

Hybridizations were carried out in a GeneTac automated hybridization station (Genomic Solutions). The Cy3 and Cy5 labelled aRNA were mixed and added to 125 µl hybridization solution (ARK-Genomics protocols [18]) and hybridized onto the BoMP microarray for 12 hours. The microarray slides were then washed in wash buffers of increasing stringency (Genomic Solutions). After removal from the hybridization station the microarray slides were sequentially washed in post wash buffer and isopropanol for one minute before being dried by centrifugation at 220 g for 6 minutes. The dried slides were scanned in a Scanarray 5000 XL scanner (GSI Lumonics) at constant laser power of 80% and 78% for Cy3 and Cy5 respectively.

**Experimental design**
The experiment described here was part of a larger microarray experiment of 36 microarray slides comparing the response of monocytes derived from 6 Holstein-Friesian (B. taurus) and 5 Sahiwal (B. indicus) cattle to stimulation with IFN-γ and LPS, which will be described elsewhere (Jensen et al., in prep). The RNA samples from each animal and time point were analyzed separately. The resulting aRNA from each sample was hybridized in competition with a pooled reference sample, made up of 5 resting monocyte aRNA samples; 3 Sahiwal and 2 Holstein samples. A common reference design was used to simplify the multifactorial analysis of the complete experiment. The reference sample was labelled with Cy3 and the treatment sample with Cy5 on each microarray slide. The microarray data has been submitted to ArrayExpress and assigned the accession number E-MAXD-16 [19,20].

**Microarray data analysis**
Microarray spot intensity and quality data were extracted from the scanned images using the BlueFuse software version II (BlueGnome). Each slide was normalized separately, using the log₂-ratios of treatment to reference intensities for all the non-control spots. Normalization and analysis was based on the Limma package of Biocon-
dilution 1:50 for all genes except FOS, when the cDNA was
manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting cDNA was
reverse transcribed from 0.5 µg totRNA using oligo(dT)
primer and Superscript II (Invitrogen) according to the
manufacturer’s instructions. The resulting cDNA was
diluted 1:10. FOS was down-regulated during activation
and therefore a more concentrated cDNA sample was
required for successful qRT-PCR amplification of FOS in
the 16 hour time points.

The mRNA levels of each transcript were quantified by
PCR using the Platinum SYBR Green qPCR Supermix
UDG kit (Invitrogen). Reactions were carried out in 20 µl
volumes containing: 1 × supermix (Sybr Green, Platinum
taq DNA polymerase, dNTPs, UDG and stabilizers), 0.4 µl
Rox dye, 1 µl forward and reverse primers at predeter-
mined optimal concentrations and 5 µl diluted cDNA.
Amplification and detection of products was carried out
using a Mx3000P PCR machine (Stratagene) with the fol-
lowing cycle profile: 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 2 min-
tutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and
60°C for 30 seconds. The detection of a single product
was verified by dissociation curve analysis. Each PCR
experiment was carried out in triplicate and contained
several non-template controls and a log_{10} dilution series
of the representative clone from the array or activated
monocyte RNA. The relative quantities of mRNA were
 calculated using the method described by Pfaffl [55]. The
qRT-PCR results for Chromosome 13 open reading frame
8 (C13orf8) [EMBL: AJ817183] were used to calculate dif-
fferences in the template RNA levels and thereby standard-
ize the results for the genes of interest. C13orf8 was
selected from microarray and qRT-PCR analyses as a con-
stitutively and moderately expressed gene in activated, T.
amulata-infected and resting Holstein and Sahiwal
derived monocytes (data not shown). Where Pfaffl [55]
uses ratios of the control gene to target gene values, we use
the differences between the corresponding logarithms of
these values. The qRT-PCR values are then comparable
with the log(intensity ratios) from the microarray analy-
sis, both in scale and as estimates of the log(geometric
mean) values.
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Figure 2

**Representative spatial heat maps and M-A plots of raw and normalized data.** A, spatial heat map for a representative microarray slide before and after normalization. The footnote gives the range of M values for the slide (z-range) and the range excluding the extreme 5% tails of the distribution of M values (saturation) which are excluded from the plot. B, M-A plots for the same representative microarray slide before and after normalization. Green spots denote control genes and the coloured lines represent the curve for each print tip.
Additional material

Additional File 1

Annexation of the BoMP microarray. An excel file of the annexation of the BoMP microarray is available as supplementary material and is also found on the Roslin Institute website [56]. Where possible the best human RefSeq hit has been listed for analysis purposes. Clones highlighted in blue denote those containing non-bovine sequences.

Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content-supplementary/1471-2164-7-224-S1.xls]

Additional File 2

List of clones exhibiting statistically significant differential expression during monocyte activation with IFN-γ and LPS. An excel file listing the 695 genes exhibiting differential expression during monocyte activation (≥2 fold, P < 0.01, FDRmax < 0.01). Fold increases and decreases are highlighted in orange and green respectively. Genes shown in bold are represented by more than one clone. The calculated P values and FDRmax values are included for the 2 analyses of the effect of time; Pre v Post, rest sampling compared to activated samples; 2 hr vs 16 hr, 2 hour values compared to 16 hour values.

Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content-supplementary/1471-2164-7-224-S2.xls]
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