A Novel Chaos-Based Stream Cipher for Image Encryption
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce a novel chaos-based image encryption scheme. We perform our scheme using both the binary form and decimal form of chaotic maps. We also use two chaotic maps; one generates the initial values of the other. Thus, we utilize the best two properties of chaos ergodicity and sensitivity to the initial value. We increase the security of the cipher by introducing a reverse order round to resist cryptanalysis attacks.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the transmission of digital images over communication media has developed greatly. The problem of security in storage and transmission of confidential visual information is therefore growing in importance, and requires solutions for many applications. Recently, a number of chaos-based image encryption systems and random number generation algorithms based on discrete chaos were proposed [1,3,7,9-13], but security is generally not high enough. In fact, many chaos-based cryptography schemes have shortcomings. In this paper, we introduce a new scheme to encrypt an image. Its idea is to generate from one initial condition several chaotic initial conditions by the first chaotic map, e.g., Logistic map, for another chaotic map, e.g., PWLCM. Then, we use the resultant chaotic sequences of the second map in both forms, the binary form and the real number form, and apply it to diffuse and confuse the image. Also, we use a delay operation to increase the security.

ENCRIPTION SCHEME

In this section, we briefly discuss our proposed method to encrypt any colored image. Let us begin with the key design and then introduce the encryption process and decryption process to recover the plain image.

Key Design: The key is the basic part in the encryption-decryption processes. We set it to be composed of three real numbers between 0 and 1 (each part is 64 bits). As we will discuss below, we will use two different maps; one generates the initial conditions for the other. Hence, these three numbers will be chosen to be linearly mapped into the intervals identifying the initial condition $x_0$, the parameter $p_1$ for the first map and the second map parameter $p_2$. It is to be noted that we are concerned only with the parameters' intervals causing the chaotic behavior. Here we will use the Logistic map firstly and apply it for the PWLC map where $0 < x_0 < 1$, $3.56994657... < p_1 < 4$ and $0 < p_2 < 0.5$ (please refer to their bifurcation diagrams in [2]). Therefore, the total key length is 192 bits (3 times 64 bits).

Let the colored image has $M \times N$ pixels. Fig. 1 shows the encryption scheme diagram. To encrypt the image, we proceed as follows:

1) Take the initial condition and run the first map $N$ times.

2) Use each of the $N$ outputs as an initial value to the second map and run it up to $M$ iterates. So we get an $M \times N$ matrix of chaotic real numbers.

3) Now, we start with diffusion. We will take the horizontal form of $S$ to be horz$S$. Multiply it first by $M$ and considering the integer part only and calculate $\mathbf{q}_1$ vector by picking up the first different $M$ integer numbers and then by $N$ for the rest of horz$S$ to calculate $\mathbf{q}_2$ vector by the same way.

For example: if we have 3x4 image matrix in the form,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

and horz$S$=[0.5051 0.2320 0.8737 0.2745 0.5966 0.8769 0.2677 0.5819 0.9088 0.1982 0.7891 0.9369], then integer value of (horz$S$×3)= $[1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3]$, q1 = $[2 \ 1 \ 3]$. For q2, we multiply the rest of horz$S$×4 to get the sequence $[1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 3 \ 4]$ and q2 = $[1 \ 2 \ 4 \ 3]$.

4) Diffuse the image by permuting its columns and rows for each color using q1 and q2. Let the diffused image be $P$.

For the previous example,

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 8 & 7 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 9 & 10 & 12 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

5) Return to $S$ and change its decimal form to binary form for 24 bits. For example, let $S(i,j)=0.123456789012345$ then its digital form will be e=00011111110110110111101. Divide e into 3 bytes e1, e2 and e3. Put e3 in $E1(i,j)$, e2 in $E2(i,j)$ and e1 in $E3(i,j)$. We get a chaotic digital image $E$.

6) The delay element: we will use the $P$ image and $E$ image in a horizontal form and apply the pixels encryption delay by this way (it is similar to that in [3], but we will add Reverse order round in the next step):

$$C'(i)= E(i) \oplus (P(i) + C'(i-1)) \mod 256.$$  

7) Reverse order round: we run step 6) again but by taking the reverse order of $C'$ (i.e., $C'(MN)$, $C'(MN-1)$, $C'(MN-2)$, ..., $C'(1)$) instead of $P$. And reperform this equation

$$C(i)= E(i) \oplus (C'(i) + C(i-1)) \mod 256.$$  

Finally, the resulted image is the Cipher image $C$.  
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Fig. 1: Encryption scheme

Decryption:
It is easy to decrypt the cipher image. We can reverse these steps and get the original image as follows:
1) The inputs to the decryption are the cipher image C and the key. So we begin by extracting q1, q2 and the chaotic image E by using the key.
2) Apply the next formula:
   \[ C'(i) = (E(i) \oplus C(i) - C(i-1)) \mod 256. \]
3) Repeat step 2 with the reverse of \( C' \) instead of \( C \) to get the P image as follows,
   \[ P(i) = (E(i) \oplus C'(i) - C'(i-1)) \mod 256. \]
4) Use q1 and q2 to get the plain image.

EVALUATION CRITERIA
1) Histogram of the cipher: It illustrates how characters/pixels in a text/image are distributed by graphing the number of characters/pixels at intensity level. To avoid statistical attacks, the histogram of encrypted should be different from original plaintext and fairly uniform.
2) Correlation coefficient: it describes the relation between two sequences values x, y by the formula:
   \[ r_{x,y} = \frac{\text{cov}(x, y)}{\sqrt{D(x)D(y)}} \]
   where
   \[ \text{cov}(x, y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}), \quad D(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \]
3) Entropy: It is the most important feature of randomness. Let m be a character, and the entropy formula is
   \[ H(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{255} p(m_i) \log_2 \frac{1}{p(m_i)} \]
since there are $2^8$ characters, if we assume that each will appear with the same probability then $H(m)=8$ which shows that the cipher is random.

4) **The Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and the Mean Square Error (MSE):** The Mean Absolute Error (MAE) is calculated to measure how the cipher image $C$ is different from the plain image $P$. It is given by

$$MAE = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |P(i, j) - C(i, j)|$$

The Mean Square Error (MSE) is used to measure the difference between the plain image $P$ and wrong decrypted image $D$. It is calculated by

$$MSE = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (P(i, j) - D(i, j))^2$$

5) **Differential attacks:** It is used to analyze image pixels. If the encrypted image can cause significant changes even with one-bit difference in pixel of the original plain image, then, the algorithm can resist the known plaintext attacks and the chosen-plaintext attacks. The Number of Pixels Change Rate (NPCR) and the Unified Average Change Intensity (UACI) are defined as follows [11]:

$$D(i, j) = \begin{cases} 
0 & C(i, j) = C'(i, j) \\
1 & C(i, j) \neq C'(i, j)
\end{cases}$$

$$NPCR = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} D(i, j) \times 100\%$$

$$UACI = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left| \frac{C(i, j) - C'(i, j)}{255} \right| \times 100\%$$

where $C$ is the encrypted image and $C'$ is the encrypted image after one pixel change in the plain image. If NPCR is over 99% and UACI is near 33.4% then the encryption method can resist differential attacks efficiently.

6) **NIST tests:** The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) introduced the NIST Statistical Tests [8]. NIST tests are a group of different tests, we will perform 13 of them to investigate the randomness of the encrypted image.

**ANALYSIS**

We perform this scheme on Lena image of size $256 \times 256$ (as shown in Fig. 1). We apply the previous tests discussed in the previous section. Table 1 and Table 2 show the plain and cipher images analysis, respectively.

**Table 1. Plain image entropy and correlation analysis**

|                | Entropy | Corr-H | Corr-V | Corr-D |
|----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Red            | 7.3055  | 0.9762 | 0.9783 | 0.8879 |
| Green          | 7.6197  | 0.9671 | 0.9702 | 0.8893 |
| Blue           | 7.0723  | 0.9677 | 0.9706 | 0.8129 |
| Ave            | 7.3325  | 0.9703 | 0.9730 | 0.8634 |

**Table 2. Cipher Image analysis results**

|                | Entropy | Corr-H | Corr-V | Corr-D | MAE    | NPCR   | UACI   |
|----------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Red            | 7.9976  | -0.0120| -0.0134| 0.0106 | 84.5608| 99.6338| 33.3816|
| Green          | 7.9972  | -0.0174| 0.0132 | -0.0155| 78.3732| 99.6216| 33.7062|
| Blue           | 7.9974  | -0.0115| 0.0129 | -0.0110| 70.2240| 99.6552| 33.2839|
| Ave            | 7.9974  | 0.0136 | 0.0132 | 0.0124 | 77.7193| 99.6369| 33.4572|
The cipher image performed analysis shows that its pixels are truly uncorrelated and demonstrates very high entropy values compared to that of the plain image results. In Table 2, the entropy test is more than 7.99, which indicates highly randomized unpredictable values between 0 and 255 for the cipher images. The Histograms of Fig. 2 indicate also the uniform distribution of the pixel values. Pixel correlation coefficients for horizontal, vertical, and diagonal representations were around 0.013, implying that the encryption process produces ciphers with extremely low correlation between neighboring pixels (see also Fig. 3). The differential attack results show great independence between the two cipher images slightly different in their plain images; the NPCR was 99.6369 and its UACI was 33.4572.

Fig. 2: Histograms of the Plain Image (a) for the red color, (b) for the green color, (c) for the blue color, and that of the Cipher image (d) for the red color, (e) for the green color and (f) for the blue color.
Fig. 3: Red color Plain and Cipher images correlation analysis (a, d) the horizontal correlations, (b, e) vertical correlations and (c, f) the diagonal correlations, respectively.

In addition, Table 3 shows the NIST results where all the thirteen tests have been passed successfully.

Table 3. NIST tests results of the cipher images

| Test                     | Lena Image |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Test                     | PV         | PP         |
| Frequency                | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Block Frequency          | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Runs                     | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Longest Runs             | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Approximate Entropy      | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Universal                | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Linear Complexity        | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Overlapping Template     | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Non-overlapping Template | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Serial                   | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Cumulative Sum           | ✓          | 0.9996     |
| Random Excursion         | ✓          | 0.9999     |
| Random Excursion Variant | ✓          | 0.9958     |

SENSITIVE ANALYSIS

Any image encryption algorithm thought to be secure should have a large key space that makes brute attacks ineffective. In our proposed algorithm the key affects both the diffusion and confusion stages. So, a good encryption algorithm should be sensitive to the secret key and the plaintext. If the algorithm is sensitive to the secret key, then if we use another key with only small different for image encryption; the results should be very different. The sensitivity to the secret key can be quantified by the Mean Square Error (MSE). The MSE test is used to determine how much the original image is different from the wrong decrypted image.
For the Lena encrypted image, we used a key $K = (0.232323, 0.9534942480407069, 0.92)$ which are linearly mapped to be corresponding to $x_0 = 0.232323$, $p_1 = 3.98$, and $p_2 = 0.46$. Let us test the key sensitivity by decrypting the cipher image three times using $10^{-14}$ difference in the three key parts.

**Test 1:** If we take $k_0 = 0.232323000000001$ instead of $k_0 = 0.232323$, the decrypted images will be as shown in Figure 4(a).

**Test 2:** If we take $k_1 = 0.95349424804071$ instead of $k_1 = 0.95349424804070$, the decrypted images are as shown in Figure 4(b).

**Test 3:** If we take $k_2 = 0.92000000000001$ instead of $k_2 = 0.92$, the decrypted images are as shown in Figure 4(c).
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Fig 4: (a) Test 1, (b) Test 2, and (c) Test 3.

### Table 4. Entropy and MSE for the three sensitivity tests

|       | Test 1 |       | Test 2 |       | Test 3 |
|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
|       | Entropy | MSE   | Entropy | MSE   | Entropy | MSE   |
| Red   | 7.9969  | 10773.9726 | 7.9970  | 10682.5554 | 7.9973  | 10729.9917 |
| Green | 7.9972  | 9773.6320  | 7.9970  | 9147.4489  | 7.9973  | 9085.6175  |
| Blue  | 7.9967  | 7140.0783  | 7.9971  | 7233.5787  | 7.9972  | 7160.1420  |

Table 4 shows the MSE and entropy results of the three mentioned tests for the Lena wrong decrypted image. It is clear from the results that the key is very sensitive for a very small change and that the key space is $10^{42}$.

Now, we can compare our results to other references for colored Lena image of size 256 x 256 as follows:

### Table 5: Comparison between our proposed scheme results with other works

|       | Corr-H | Corr-V | NPCR  | UACI  |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Proposed | 0.0136  | 0.0132  | 99.6369 | 33.4572 |
| [9]    | 0.0112  | 0.0104  | 99.5051 | 33.4889 |
| [5]    | 0.0068  | 0.0033  | 99.6538 | 33.9218 |
| [1]    | 0.0209  | 0.0144  | 99.61  | 33.4 |
| [3]    | -0.01145 | -0.0192 | -------- | -------- |

Table 5 shows that our proposed scheme is good as other encryption methods in correlation and differential attack results.
CONCLUSION

In our encryption scheme, we introduced a novel image encryption method based on chaotic maps. We used the good randomness properties of the PWLC map and Logistic map to get a highly secured encryption process associated with a large key length to resist brute force attacks. We also introduced the reverse order round, which makes the cipher image highly sensitive for any bit change in any pixel of the plain image. The cipher image shows very good statistical analysis results, correlation coefficients, histogram distributions and differential attack measures.
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