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During a course of study in the Anatomy Department of the University of Cape Town under Professor Wells, specimens of vertebral anomalies were worked on and I thought they would be of interest to physiotherapists as some of them must undoubtedly have given rise to symptoms of backache.

As the causation of some of these conditions are developmental a little background embryology is necessary to discussing the anomalies themselves.

EMBRYOLOGY

After differentiation of the cells of the embryo into the three layers of ectoderm, mesoderm and entoderm, and the formation of the neural tube for the spinal cord with the notochord (a structure running the length of the embryo) lying beneath it, a condensation of mesodermal cells comes to lie beneath and lateral to the neural tube. These cells migrate around the tube to form the first template, as it were, of the neural arch. Cells below the tube move medially and condense to form the pattern of the vertebral body, enclosing the notochord. Longitudinally this mass is marked off into segments of dense and less dense cell masses.

When the embryo is about five weeks old, these primitive mesenchymal cells, as they are now called, start to form cartilage. There is a pattern of chondrification which is closely allied to that of later ossification, with centres appearing in the body to form the first template, as it were, of the neural arch. Cells below the tube move medially and condense to form the pattern of the vertebral body, enclosing the notochord. Longitudinally this mass is marked off into segments of dense and less dense cell masses.

Fig. 1. Diagramatic cross-section embryo showing migrating mesoderm.

Fig. 2. Diagram of vertebra at birth and epiphyses at puberty.

Vertebral at birth
epiphyses

Fusion, i.e. complete bony bridging of the neural arches occurs progressively up to three years of age and fusion of the neural arches to the body occurs between 3 and 7 years. At puberty secondary ossification centres appear on the tips of the spinous processes, the transverse processes and on the inferior and superior surfaces of the body—here in the form of a peripheral ring of ossification. These secondary centres fuse to the main part of each vertebra at about 25 years of age. The sacrum is a little more involved with longitudinal fusing of costal elements to form the lateral mass and a merging of secondary centres to form the auricular epiphysial plate for the sacro-iliac joint and the tubercles. The bodies also fuse from below upwards to form the mass of the sacrum.

SEGMENTATION AND FORMATION OF INTER-VERTEBRAL DISC

Longitudinally the original mesodermal mass shows successive areas of dense and less dense cells, giving a segmental pattern. A division occurs in the dense areas and they form cranial and caudal halves. Each vertebral body is made up of a cranial and a caudal part of two segments. The dense areas left become the intervertebral disc.

Fig. 3. Diagram of formation of vertebral body from original mesoderm segments.

It will be remembered that the cells forming the body surrounded the notochord and this structure regresses, remaining only in the centre of the intervertebral disc as the nucleus pulposus. This nucleus is at first a mucoid substance which is gradually replaced by fibro-cartilage, remaining semi-fluid in the young and thus freely adaptive to movement. In the middle-aged and elderly more replacement by fibro-cartilage renders it less adaptable and more susceptible to degeneration.
The conversion of the mesenchymal cells surrounding the nucleus to fibro-cartilage forms the annulus fibrosus. Of interest is the fact that the nucleus pulposus lies more posteriorly than centrally, due to growth of the vertebral body anteriorly with the posterior part of the annulus therefore thin and weaker than the anterior part. Also, with the development of the cervical and lumbar curves, the disc becomes compressed posteriorly, further weakening the annulus as unsupported fibrous tissues, i.e. not in a bony matrix can withstand tensile force but not compression. These two factors predispose to injury and degeneration of the fibres of the annulus in this region.

The Ilium
With its three centres of ossification, this bone is formed from a condensation of mesenchyme. The superior part lies opposite vertebral segments 21–23, and moves down to lie opposite segment 25, making this vertebra the most usual sacral I, with 24 pre-sacral vertebrae formed by the well-known regions into seven cervical, twelve thoracic and five lumbar.

LIGAMENTS
These are well known, being divisible into:
(a) Longitudinal group—anterior and posterior on bodies, attached to the intervertebral discs, and the supraspinous ligament attached along the tips of the spinal processes.
(b) Intervertebral group—the ligamentum flavum uniting lamina to lamina anteriorly and being highly elastic is the most important of these. The spines and transverse processes are also united by interspinous and intertransverse ligaments, leaving only pedicles un-united to allow the passage of spinal nerves.
(c) Articular capsules—surrounding the joints of the vertebral arches.
(d) Lumbo-sacral region—the additional anterior, posterior and interosseous sacro-iliac ligaments stabilise the area. The tendency of L5 to be displaced forward on the sacrum due to the weight of the body and the backward angulation of the sacrum at this point is counteracted by the strong ilio-lumbar ligament running from the transverse processes of L5, to the iliac crest.

MUSCLES
These are numerous and rather complicated in their arrangement. They appear to form a deep group of small muscles more or less from vertebra to vertebra; an intermediate group of longer muscles bridging 4–6 vertebra and a superficial group forming long columns successively up the back. From a functional point of view, the deep muscles would be fitted more for balance adjustment vertebra on vertebra, with the superficial group responsible for grosser movements of the trunk. The intermediate group could assist in both functions. The names and arrangements of these muscles can be found in any recognised textbook of anatomy.

Of interest is recent work by Professor Trevor Jones showing a wedge of muscle, made up of successive laminæ uniting the spinous and transverse processes of the lumbar spine individually to the sacrum and iliac crest. This arrangement further supports the vulnerable lumbo-sacral and sacro-iliac region.

BONY ABNORMALITIES
This term refers here to some developmental anomalies and functional conditions and not to gross pathological deformities.
Levels of Incidence
It would appear that where the character of the vertebra is changing from one form to another, the likelihood of abnormality is greatest. Sacral I is the vertebra with the highest incidence of abnormality with the other regional junctions of C1, C7 and T1, T12 and L1 following with varying degrees of incidence.

DEVELOPMENTAL ANOMALIES
Spina Bifida
Non-union of the neural arch posteriorly accounts for this condition. The cleft is usually through the middle of the process, the two sides being symmetrical. If the cleft is paramedian, the preponderance of bone tends to be on the right side. The incidence of this condition is said to be in the region of 1.2% which although not high, is higher than most of the other conditions. This slight bony defect is of no great clinical significance but must weaken ligamentous support, particularly in the lumbo-sacral region. Where there is gross separation of the arches and herniation of the cord and meninges, meningomyelocele results with all its complications and problems. It is thought to be lack of initial chondrification and therefore no final ossification.

Absence of Spinous Process
This may be associated with the above condition or the neural arch may have fused but no spinous process formed. Once again ligamentous and muscular support is weakened.

Cervical and Lumbar ribs
C7 and L1 occasionally have costal elements actually forming a small rib which may be completely separated from the vertebra by synovial joints or partly or completely fused to it. In the cervical region symptoms arise from stretching of the brachial plexus over this rib with perhaps vascular symptoms as well from stretching of the subclavian artery.

Wedged and Hemivertebrae
Although not common these two conditions lead to either a kyphosis or scoliosis. Wedging of the anterior body of the vertebra is the cause of the former and failure of one half of the body to develop causes the latter. This defect appears to be an early fault in the laying down of the mesodermal model.

Foramina
A foramen may be present in the lateral mass of S1 or in L5 which appears to be rather akin to the transverse foramen for the vertebral artery in the cervical region. This is formed by a vascular anastomosis in the cartilage model causing rarefraction. Various abnormalities occur in the lateral process of the cervical vertebra with failure to form the complete foramen either anteriorly or posteriorly, or with narrowing of the foramen. This could cause vascular symptoms affecting the vertebral artery.
Absent Anterior Arch of the Atlas

In one specimen complete failure of the anterior arch of the Atlas was seen. The specimen was of a five-year-old child and it is possible that there was hypermobility in the area. There also appears to be failure of the posterior arch to unite and a defect in the costal elements forming the transverse foramen anteriorly. The failure of the bone elements to fuse to form the complete bone is thought to be due to the normal cartilage model not being laid down originally in the mesodermal mass or that an area of cartilage degenerates before ossification could occur.

Fig. 6. Drawing from specimen of absence of anterior arch of atlas.

Lumbo-sacral Abnormalities

With the normal strain imposed on this area in weight-bearing in the erect position, any abnormality which may further weaken the area is likely to cause strain on ligaments and soft tissues and to cause reactive bony outgrowths all of which could contribute to symptoms of backache.

Level of the Fulcral Vertebra and Assimilation of the last Pre-sacral Vertebra

The normal numbering of vertebrae makes T1 the 8th Vertebra, L1 the 20th and S1 the 25th. There are thus twenty-four pre-sacral vertebrae with the 25th being the most cranial articulating with the ilium and known as the fulcral vertebra. This term is not to be confused with S2 when referring to the axis of the sacro-iliac joint. However this pattern is not always adhered to in development. With the descent of the ilium and subsequent segmentation of the mesenchymal condensation forming the vertebral column the fulcral vertebra may be the 24th or 26th, the ilium in these cases having moved down a further segment or not descended far enough as the case may be.

If the 24th vertebra is the fulcral vertebra there will only be four lumbar vertebra and the sacral will have six segments. This upper segment may be completely fused to the sacrum or it may be partly fused by the lateral masses in the fulcral arch. It may participate in the sacro-iliac joint or the auricular surface may still extend only as far as the 25th vertebra. If incompletely incorporated in the sacro-iliac joint there is a tendency for the lateral mass to become secondarily mobilised. Where the fulcral vertebra is the 26th the first coccygeal segment is often fused to the sacrum at the base giving a five piece sacrum rather than a four piece which is rarely seen. Sometimes a transitional vertebra is seen with the last presacral vertebra completely fused on one side to the sacrum and exhibiting completely normal lumbar 5 on the other side.

In development, lack of segmentation of L5 from S1 lateral mass in the primitive mesenchyme may result in a similar abnormality to some of the above. The cartilage laid down follows the mesenchymal pattern with L5 having unusually large downward lateral processes continuous with the costal elements forming the lateral mass of the sacrum. Since ossification proceeds more slowly and the area is already under strain from post-natal use, the cartilage at this point of junction breaks down before becoming ossified and an articulation is formed between the lateral processes of L5 and that of S1. With continued use the strain on this articular area may cause reactive bony proliferation and in the specimen illustrated on the right encroachment of the anterior intervertebral foramen is likely to have caused pressure on L5 nerve root. The specimen on the left illustrates complete spina bifida in the sacrum as well.

Several other factors may predispose to this articulation of L5 on S1 lateral mass. Variations in the L5 lateral processes can be seen from the diagram with the lower more anterior costal element becoming larger and projecting more inferiorly thus increasing the likelihood of it meeting the sacral lateral mass.

Added to this is a factor introduced by the angle formed between the body of the sacrum and its lateral mass. If the lateral masses incline upwards in relation to the body—the sacrum is said to be hyperbasal—the possibility of an articulation with L5 increases. If the masses are horizontal—hombasal—or slope downwards—hypobasal—the possibility is

Plate 1. Specimen of 4-piece sacrum with spina bifida and last presacral vertebral showing enlarged lateral processes with secondary joint formation with lateral mass of sacrum on the left.

Fig. 7. Drawings from specimens of lumbar 5 vertebrae showing differences in lateral processes.
Plate 2. Specimen of 5-piece sacrum, secondary mobilisation of lateral mass with last presacral vertebra and bony proliferation encroaching on anterior intervertebral foramen on left.

Fig. 8. Diagram showing hyperbasal, homobasal and hypobasal sacra.

less. This different slope is thought to be partly associated with the ilium and the final position it adopts in its descent.

Still a further factor in the formation of this abnormality is functional where a scoliosis with increased weight and approximation on one side may ultimately cause a secondary articulation. Similarly degeneration of the L5 intervertebral disc may cause this vertebra to settle on the lateral mass of the sacrum if its own lateral masses are already large and downward projecting.

Spondylolysis and Spondylolisthesis

Much confusion always seems to exist in students' minds over these two conditions. The former refers to a defect in the lamina of the neural arch between the articular processes. The latter refers to the slipping forward of a vertebra on the one below with or without a spondylolysis being present.

The mechanics of the lumbosacral junction where this condition is most common, are well known to the physiotherapist. The transference of the weight of the trunk borne on L5 to the backwardly angled sacrum is a hazardous procedure. The spinal ligaments play their part in stabilising the area but require help from the ilio-lumbar ligament holding L5 lateral process to the iliac crest. This ligament may be weakened due to a hypobasal sacrum lengthening its course or a small process on L5 affording too little room for attachment for a ligament of any strength. Continued usage of the area causes forward strain of L5 on SI until a stress fracture occurs through the lamina separating body, pedicles and superior articular processes from laminae, inferior articular processes and spinous process. This is spondylolysis.

The anterior portion is now free from the locking mechanism of the inferior processes over the superior processes of the sacrum and can move forward carrying the rest of the vertebral column with it. This is spondylolisthesis.

Probably the most common cause of spondylolisthesis without spondylolysis is degeneration of the articular joints of the vertebral column. If added to this the plane of articulation between L5 and SI is more sagittal than frontal, the inferior processes of L5 slip through the superior processes of SI on the medial side and the whole column then moves forward on the sacrum. The specimen illustrated is a good example of this condition, with the lengthened articulating surfaces of the inferior articular processes well seen. Protective bony outgrowths on the body of the sacrum and L5 to try to prevent the forward slide are also evident.

One specimen described in the literature showed such gross slipping that a bony ridge had been formed on the sacrum on which at least one third of the body of L5 was resting. In this instance it was thought to have caused the death of a patient by rupturing the uterus during labour.

Lastly, if the pedicle or laminae between the articular processes is rather elongated and thin, forward slipping is possible without a break in the bone, though this may occur later with increased strain. The disease osteogenesis imperfecta in children is one of the causes of the above.
Three instances of spondylolisthesis in the cervical spine have been recorded, in conjunction with spina bifida. This raises the question whether there is a hereditary or congenital factor in the causation of spondylolisthesis. Hereditary instances have been sited both in families and population groups and it is known to occur in conjunction with spina bifida. However it has not been seen in the new-born and has been recorded as having developed over a period of years observing a spondylolisthesis first. It may be that the hereditary factor is due to the spina bifida and shallow articulating facets predisposing towards a weakness of ligamentous support of the area. With the strain of use, stress fracture develops or L5 slips forward over the inadequate superior facets of S1. The theory that there are two ossification centres for the neural arch on either side and that spondylolysis is a failure of ossification of these two centres does not enjoy much support. However in the specimen illustrated the unilateral division of the laminae is not totally consistent with stress in the region which is more likely to cause a bilateral lesion. Furthermore the lamina on the interrupted side is very much thinner than on the uninterrupted side. It may be that some vascular deficiency was the cause of the faulty ossification here.

Bony Spurs in the Ligamentum Flavum

These bony spurs have been recorded by several authors and the illustration here is from a specimen in the University of Cape Town collection, which also shows absence of the spinous process.

Bony outgrowths are seen on both the superior and inferior attachments of the ligamentum flavum. The most common site for these outgrowths is in the thoracic region where a dorsal curve already exists. As the function of this ligament is to check undue forward flexion it would seem that this is a reaction to strain in the area.

Other anomalies which are seen tend to be at the transitional levels of vertebrae related to the characteristics of the articular processes. For example L1 may exhibit the form of T12 articular processes. Increased or decreased mobility by one segment is not really remarkable. The deficiency in S1, superior articular facet has already been discussed in relation to spondylolisthesis.
SUMMARY

The ossification of the vertebral column is briefly discussed with bony abnormalities following developmental phenomena being shown, such as spina bifida, cervical rib and various abnormalities of the lumbo-sacral junction.

Spondylolisthesis and spondylothesis is discussed with reference to causation from a stress fracture, degeneration of the joints of the vertebral arch and attenuated pedicles or pars inter-articularis. A note on the possibility of hereditary factors in this condition is added.

Other minor anomalies such as transverse foramen deficiencies in the cervical spine, differences in the plane of articular facets and spurs in the ligamentum flavum are noted.

One specimen of absence of the anterior arch of the atlas is described.
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BACK EXERCISES IN PERSPECTIVE

MRS. H. P. MAREE, B.Sc. Physiotherapy (Rand), Diploma in Phys. Ed. (National Teacher's), Teacher's Certificate in Physiotherapy, U.C.T.

This article is not a learned discourse with references because this is a result of practical experience in several fields; among school children, Health Clinics (good and bad), gymnastic clubs, refresher courses for Physiotherapy and Physiotherapy students and patients.

The standard of performance varies. It is obvious that the result, i.e. the result aimed at, depends upon accurate performance of the exercise and is not just a means of using up energy. The Olympic gymnast has this in common with the patient receiving exercise therapy. In general we are inclined to use our special techniques such as Proproceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation, as accurately as our experience allows, but when we teach an active exercise we are less stringent in observing the rules of accurate performance.

Whether the patient has previously been treated by mobilising techniques or manipulations, or has had exercises, the purpose of these treatments is lost if the patient himself cannot retain the correct alignment achieved during these treatments. It is well known that a mobile joint demonstrates aches and pains less frequently than a stiffer joint, but it is necessary to be able to adequately control the movements of such a joint.

Back exercises are closely allied to abdominal and postural exercises, and must be considered in the same way as "Posture" i.e. as static or dynamic. Starting positions are of utmost importance and should be as perfect as possible or the movement that follows will be of inferior quality and value. This is clearly demonstrated by the developmental sequence progressed from positions on the mat to standing and shows that purposive movement is superimposed upon a stable background. In no less a manner is this demonstrated by a gymnast, and to perfection in a gymnast of Olympic standard. An exactly correct starting position is necessary to the precision movement which follows. The extraordinary mobility of the gymnast's joints is precisely controlled throughout the movement by the strong and well-trained muscles of the trunk.

There is no question of which muscles are the most important in maintaining or performing the postures or movements. All the trunk muscles are of equal importance and co-operate with and support one another perfectly: the back muscles, the muscles of the posterior abdominal wall, and the muscular corset, and the muscles of the hip joints and pectoral girdle. The area of the "back" is both extensive and deep. "Longitudinally" it includes the area from the base of the skull to the insertion of the hip joint muscles into the femurs. The "depth" is from ventral to dorsal surfaces.

The controversy of back extension exercises as opposed to back flexion exercises is, in my opinion, merely a theoretical argument. The back muscles themselves are both deep and superficial, and the curve in the thoracic area is covered and controlled by groups of more superficial muscles in relation to the pectoral girdle. In the lumbar area one must consider the muscles of the abdominal corset, the hip flexors and the hamstrings in relation to the pelvic girdle. Although the "back" may be divided into areas by the spinal curvatures, the function of the back must be considered as a whole, and hyperextension is dangerous because this is limited to the secondary curvatures, i.e. cervical and lumbar areas.

The aim of "back exercises" is to ensure the alignment of the back as a whole. This stabilising in the good postural position will ensure a more practical and harmonious balance through the back as a whole, and the correct balance of the pelvis over the lower limbs is thus ensured. Exercises done in isolated areas with the sole purpose of strengthening muscles, might well accentuate cervical or lumbar lordosis and malalignment of the bones and joints. Correct alignment of the trunk structure and the pectoral and pelvic girdles in relation to it, does not infer rigidity, but mobility of joints