Salivary MicroRNAs as Promising Biomarkers for Detection of Esophageal Cancer

Zijun Xie1,2*, Gang Chen3*, Xuchao Zhang4, Dongfeng Li5, Jian Huang6, Cuiqin Yang3, Pingyong Zhang6, Yuxuan Qin1, Yifan Duan1, Bo Gong1, Zijun Li1*

1 Department of Gastroenterology, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangdong General Hospital, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China, 2 Graduate School, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China, 3 Department of Thoracic Surgery, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China, 4 Guangdong Lung Cancer Institute, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China, 5 Research Center of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China, 6 Physical Examination Center of East Ward, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China

Abstract

**Background and Purpose:** Tissue microRNAs (miRNAs) can detect cancers and predict prognosis. Several recent studies reported that tissue, plasma, and saliva miRNAs share similar expression profiles. In this study, we investigated the discriminatory power of salivary miRNAs (including whole saliva and saliva supernatant) for detection of esophageal cancer.

**Materials and Methods:** By Agilent microarray, six deregulated miRNAs from whole saliva samples from seven patients with esophageal cancer and three healthy controls were selected. The six selected miRNAs were subjected to validation of their expression levels by RT-qPCR using both whole saliva and saliva supernatant samples from an independent set of 39 patients with esophageal cancer and 19 healthy controls.

**Results:** Six miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, miR-451, miR-486-5p, and miR-634) were identified as targets by Agilent microarray. After validation by RT-qPCR, miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 in whole saliva and miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, and miR-451 in saliva supernatant were significantly upregulated in patients, with sensitivities of 89.7, 92.3, 84.6, 79.5, 43.6, 89.7, and 51.3% and specificities of 57.9, 47.4, 57.9%, 57.9, 89.5, 47.4, and 84.2%, respectively.

**Conclusions:** We found distinctive miRNAs for esophageal cancer in both whole saliva and saliva supernatant. These miRNAs possess discriminatory power for detection of esophageal cancer. Because saliva collection is noninvasive and convenient, salivary miRNAs show great promise as biomarkers for detection of esophageal cancer in areas at high risk.

Introduction

Esophageal cancer (EC) is the eighth most common cancer and 6th leading cause of cancer mortality globally [1]. An estimated 482,300 new EC cases and 406,800 deaths occurred in 2008 worldwide. Incidence rates vary internationally by nearly 16-fold, with the highest rates in Southern and Eastern Africa and Eastern Asia and the lowest in Western and Middle Africa and Central America in both males and females. EC is 3 to 4 times more common among males than females [2]. Its incidence has increased rapidly in Western countries during the past half century [3]. The Chaoshan area of Guangdong Province in China has a high incidence of EC (>100/100,000) [4]. The death toll caused by EC in China accounts for more than 10% of all EC deaths worldwide [5].

The overall survival rate remains low; only 3–5% of diagnosed patients survive for 5 years [6]. In contrast, the survival rate increases to 90% in patients diagnosed with Stage I disease (T1N0M0) who undergo surgical resection [7]. Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment are vital. At present, the clinical diagnosis mainly depends on radiology and endoscopic biopsy. However, these tests are expensive, invasive, or cause discomfort to patients, and most patients are in a late stage of the disease when accurate diagnosis is attained. Therefore, it is necessary to identify a biomarker of early-stage EC.

Several studies have demonstrated that aberrant expression of miRNAs is closely related to the pathogenesis and development of cancer, and miRNAs possess discriminatory power as cancer biomarkers [8]. Several studies have reported that miRNAs are aberrantly expressed in cancer tissue and plasma in patients with EC [9,10]. However, miRNA expression in the saliva of patients with EC has not yet been reported. Due to the extensive blood supply in salivary glands, saliva is considered to be a terminal product of blood circulation, and molecules that are present in plasma are also present in saliva. Hence, saliva is believed to mirror systemic health and reflect conditions such as cancers, infectious diseases, cardiovascular diseases, etc. [11]. Tissue, plasma, and saliva miRNAs share similar expression profiles.
[12–16]. This study comprised two phases: the discovery and validation phases. In the discovery phase, six miRNAs that were dysregulated most significantly in whole saliva of patients with EC by Agilent microarray analysis were selected as targets. In the validation phase, the expression levels of the six target miRNAs were validated by RT-qPCR using both whole saliva and saliva supernatant samples.

Materials and Methods

Sample size estimation

In this formula, \( n = \frac{u_d^2}{\sigma^2} \times \frac{1}{a^2} = 1.96^2 \times 0.857 \times (1 - 0.857)/0.15^2 \approx 38.9 \approx 39 \). That is, in the validation phase of this study, the minimum number of cases needed for the EC group was 39, and 39 cases were chosen. According to the ability to attain the sample size for our study, we chose the values \( \alpha = 0.05 \), \( \sigma = 1.96 \), \( a = 0.11 \), and the values were substituted into the formula. The result was \( n = 1.96^2 \times 0.857 \times (1 - 0.857)/0.15^2 \approx 38.9 \approx 39 \). That is, in the validation phase of this study, the minimum number of cases needed for the EC group was 39, and 39 cases were chosen. According to the ability to attain the sample size for our study, we assumed the ratio of cases in the EC group to that in the healthy group to be 2:1. 19 cases in healthy group were chosen.

Subject selection

46 whole saliva and 46 saliva supernatant samples from 46 patients with EC and 22 whole saliva and 22 saliva supernatant samples from age-, gender-, and ethnically-matched healthy individuals were obtained from Guangdong General Hospital between July, 2011 and January, 2012. Patient histopathology results were confirmed by endoscopic biopsy, and the EC patients had no concomitant organic, systemic, or oral diseases, such as hepatitis, diabetes mellitus, etc. that could influence EC marker expression levels. Cancer staging was based on the UICC/TNM staging system [17]. Stages I, II, and III were based on the histopathology results after surgical resection. Stage IV was based on histopathology results of puncture biopsy of metastatic nodes or PET-CT results. “N/A (Not Available)” was assigned when patients refused further tests or treatments. Healthy subjects were collected as controls based on negative health examination results including blood tests, chest X-rays, oral examinations, abdominal ultrasound examinations, fecal occult-blood testing, and digital rectal examinations. None of these controls had been diagnosed with any type of malignancy either previous to the study or at the time of sample collection. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee at Guangdong General Hospital. All participants were provided written consent for their information to be stored in the hospital database and used for research.

Saliva collection

Subjects were asked to refrain from eating, drinking, smoking, and oral hygiene procedures for at least 2 hours before the collection. To stimulate glandular salivary flow, subjects received a 2% citric acid solution for application to the bilateral posterior lateral surfaces of the tongue with a cotton swab for 5 s every 30 s. The citric acid stimulation continued at 30-second intervals during the entire collection procedure. Up to 5 mL of saliva from each subject was collected in a 50-mL centrifuge tube. A total of 2 mL of saliva was removed from the tube as a whole saliva sample. The remaining 3 mL of saliva samples was centrifuged at 3,000 \( \times g \) for 15 min at 4°C to spin down exfoliated cells, and the supernatant was transferred into microcentrifuge tubes followed by a second centrifugation at 12,000 \( \times g \) for 10 min at 4°C to completely remove cellular components as saliva supernatant samples. Whole saliva is the saliva which has not been centrifuged. It may contain exfoliated esophageal cancer cells regurgitated from the esophagus due to the obstruction by esophageal tumor. Saliva supernatant is the saliva which has been centrifuged and it does not contain any exfoliated cells and other pellets. Hence saliva supernatant is considered to be the terminal product of blood circulation and it may reflect internal environment of our bodis. Samples were stored at \(-80^\circ C\) until use. The procedure mentioned above must be finished within 2 h [18].

Agilent microarray in discovery phase

Because this is the first research on salivary microRNAs for the detection of EC in the world, we just chose 10 cases to perform microarray. Seven whole saliva samples from the EC group and 3 from the healthy group were selected randomly. The pathology of all seven patients with EC was squamous cell carcinoma; one was stage I, one stage II, 3 stage III, and two stage IV. A total of 923 mature miRNA sequences were assembled and integrated into our miRNA microarray design. Raw data were normalized by Quantile algorithm, Gene Spring Software 11.0/Agilent technologies, Santa Clara, CA, US. 6 miRNAs were selected as targets, and their expression levels were validated by RT-qPCR in the
Table 2. Characteristics of EC patients and healthy controls.

| Characteristics | EC (n = 39) | Healthy controls (n = 19) | p value |
|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Gender          |            |                          |         |
| Male            | 31 (79.5%) | 15 (78.9%)               | 0.249   |
| Female          | 8 (20.5%)  | 4 (21.1%)                |         |
| Age             |            |                          |         |
| Mean ± SD       | 59.7±9.0   | 55.±8.8                  | 0.619   |
| Median (range)  | 58 (46–88) | 57 (42–77)               |         |
| Ethnicity       |            |                          |         |
| Han Chinese     | 39 (100%)  | 19 (100%)                |         |
| Chaoshanese     | Yes        | 25 (64.1%)               | OR = 41.984 | p = 0.000 |
|                 | No         | 14 (35.9%)               | 18 (94.7%) |
| Drinking/eating at high temperature¹ | Yes | 29 (74.4%) | 2 (10.5%) |
|                 | No         | 9 (25.6%)                | 17 (89.5%) |
| Smoking         |            |                          |         |
| Yes             | 30 (76.9%) | 6 (31.6%)                |         |
| No              | 9 (23.1%)  | 13 (68.4%)               |         |
| Alcoholic²      |            |                          |         |
| Yes             | 28 (71.8%) | 3 (15.8%)                |         |
| No              | 11 (28.2%) | 16 (84.2%)               |         |

Note: 1. High temperature: >60°C. 2. According to the standard of mainland China, the definition of an alcoholic is as follows: male, ≥40 g/d; female, ≥20 g/d; consumption of alcohol (g) = volume of alcohol (mL) × concentration of alcohol (%) × 0.8.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057502.t002

Validation phase

The expression levels of the 6 selected miRNAs were validated by RT-qPCR using both the 58 whole saliva samples and the 58 saliva supernatant samples from 39 patients with EC and 19 healthy controls. The mirVana PARIS Kit (Ambion, USA) was used to isolate total RNA from 1 mL of whole saliva or saliva supernatant, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Finally, RNA was eluted in 30 μL of preheated nuclease-free water (95°C) and stored at −80°C until use. The quality and concentration of isolated total RNA was determined using a NanodropND-1000 (Thermo Scientific, Worcester, MA), with the value by OD260/280 around 2.0 indicating high quality or purity. The reverse transcription reaction was first carried out with 11 μL of mixture containing 2 μL of RNA extract, 2 μL of RT primer (Ribo, China), and 7 μL of nuclease-free water. The 11-μL mixture was incubated at 70°C for 10 min and in ice for 2 min. Next, 5 μL of RT buffer, 2 μL of dNTP (2.5 mM), 0.5 μL of RNase inhibitor (40 U/μL), 0.5 μL of reverse transcriptase (200 U/μL), and 6 μL of nuclease-free water were added to the 11-μL mixture. The reverse transcription reaction continued at 42°C for 60 min, 70°C for 10 min, and 4°C for ∞. cDNA solution (5 mL) was amplified using 9 mL of SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, China), 2 μL of miRNA forward primer, 2 μL of miRNA backward primer, and 4 μL of nuclease-free water in a final volume of 20 mL. Quantitative PCR was run on a Biorad CFX96 2.1(Biorad Biosystems), and the reaction mixtures were incubated at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 5 s and 60°C for 10 s. At the end of the PCR cycles, melting curve analysis was performed to validate generation of the expected PCR product. The setting of melting curve was 65.0 to 95.0°C at increments of 0.5°C for 0.05 min + plate read. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. All Ct values were <36. The expression levels of each target miRNA were normalized to that of miR-16. miR-16 is the most widely used internal control microRNA in body-fluid samples, including plasma [10,19]. And most importantly, in the discovery phase and validation phase, our study demonstrated that miR-16 could stably express in saliva and work as an internal control for salivary miRNAs. The raw data in the discovery phase can be downloaded from the website of GEO: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/info/linking.html, and the accession number is GSE41268. The methodology, the raw data and pictures of the validation of miR-16 as an internal control in our research were presented in the
Figure 2. Bar charts of expression levels of aberrantly expressed miRNAs. A) whole saliva miR-10b*. B) whole saliva miR-144. C) whole saliva miR-451. D) saliva supernatant miR-10b*. E) saliva supernatant miR-144. F) saliva supernatant miR-21. G) saliva supernatant miR-451. miRNA expression levels were calculated by the \(2^{-\Delta\text{Ct}}\) method. These miRNAs were significantly upregulated in the EC (n = 39) compared with the healthy (n = 19) group.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057502.g002

Data S1. All expression levels were calculated utilizing the \(2^{-\Delta\text{Ct}}\) method [20]. Briefly: sample\(\Delta\text{Ct target miR} = \) sample\(\text{Ct target miR}\) - sample\(\text{Ct reference miR}\). The mean value of the target miR was calculated by forward LR. Each OR was compared using the Mann – Whitney U test or the Kruskall – Wallis H test. Genders were compared using the \(\chi^2\) test. A multivariate logistic regression model was established for the 4 risk factors: smoking, alcohol intake, drinking or eating at hot temperatures, and Chaoshanese nationality. The odds ratios (ORs) of the 4 risk factors were calculated by forward LR. Each OR was compared using the \(\chi^2\) test. Receiver-operating characteristics (ROC) curves were used to evaluate the discriminatory power of each miRNA for differentiation of patients and controls. The correlation of each miRNA expression level between whole saliva and saliva supernatant was analyzed by Spearman’s correlation test. The differences in the discriminatory powers of target miRNAs were compared with the method of Delong using the MedCalc12.2.1 software. Other statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS software, version 13.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). A \(p\) value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Whole saliva profile

Ten whole saliva samples (7 from patients with EC and 3 from healthy controls) were evaluated by Agilent microarray. A total of 923 mature miRNA sequences were assembled and integrated into our miRNA microarray design. A total of 461 miRNAs were detected in whole saliva (the probe signal value of these miRNAs was present in at least one sample). Of these 461 miRNAs, 452 were detected in EC samples and 391 in healthy control samples. A total of 232 miRNAs were detected in all 10 samples. A total of 261 miRNAs were detected in all 7 EC samples, and 243 miRNAs in all 3 control samples. Twenty-five miRNAs showed significant differences in expression between the EC group and the healthy group. 6 miRNAs (miR-144, miR-10b*, miR-21, miR-451, miR-486-5p, and miR-634) were subjected to validation of their expression levels by RT-qPCR. The number of miRNAs detected in each sample was presented in Table 1.

Characteristics of EC patients and healthy controls in the validation phase

In the validation phase, 39 patients with EC were selected, 32 (82.1%) of whom had squamous cell carcinoma, 4 (10.3%) had adenocarcinoma, and 3 (7.7%) had small cell carcinoma. Cancer staging of the patients with EC was as follows: 0 (0%) were in stage I, 12 (30.8%) in stage II, 11 (28.2%) in stage III, 10 (23.6%) in stage IV, and 6 were unavailable. Data regarding EC patients and healthy controls is presented in Table 2. All patients with EC were >40 years of age, mainly from Chaoshan, Guangdong Province, reported drinking or eating at high temperatures, and alcohol drinkers, and most were male smokers. According to the logistic regression model, the ORs of Chaoshanese individuals and drinking or eating at a high temperature were statistically significant (41.984 and 31.594, respectively).

Discriminatory power of whole saliva and saliva supernatant miRNAs for EC

The expression levels of the 6 selected target miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, miR-431, miR-406-5p, and miR-634) were validated by RT-qPCR using both whole saliva and saliva supernatant samples. 3 miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451) in whole saliva from the EC group were significantly upregulated (\(p = 0.001, 0.012, and 0.002\), respectively; fold-changes, 58.7, 45.6, and 42.4, respectively). The other 3 miRNAs (miR-21, miR-486-5p, and miR-634) showed no significant differences between the EC group and healthy group (\(p = 0.110, 0.078, and 0.157\), respectively). ROC curves were established to evaluate the discriminatory power of whole saliva miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451; the AUCs were 0.762, 0.706, and 0.756, respectively. An optimum cutoff value is needed for the ROC curve to define the discriminatory power. When the Youden index (Youden index = sensitivity + specificity -1) reaches the maximum value, the corresponding cutoff value will be the optimum cutoff value [21].

The cutoff values of the 3 whole saliva miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451) were determined to be 0.74530111, 0.78191771, and 0.79964271, respectively. Their sensitivities for EC detection were 89.7, 92.3, and 84.6% and specificities were 57.9, 47.4, and 57.9%, respectively.

4 miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, and miR-451) were significantly upregulated in EC group saliva supernatants (\(p = 0.013, 0.036, 0.015, and 0.006\), respectively; fold-changes, 4.5, 6.7, 9.7, and 5.4, respectively; AUCs, 0.702, 0.671, 0.698, and 0.725, respectively). The other two miRNAs (miR-486-5p and miR-634) showed no significant differences between the EC group and healthy group (\(p = 0.524\), respectively. By calculating the Youden index, the cutoff values of the 4 saliva supernatant miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, and miR-451) were determined to be 1.05078691, 0.808243248, 0.88851054, and 0.848817219, respectively. Therefore, their sensitivities for detection of EC were 79.5, 43.6, 89.7, and 51.3% and specificities were 57.9, 89.5, 47.4, and 84.2%, respectively.

The raw data of the expression levels of each target miRNAs and the information of the research subjects in our study were presented in Data S2. The bar charts of expression levels of aberrantly expressed salivary miRNAs mentioned above were presented in Figure 2. The ROC curves of the aberrantly expressed miRNAs were presented in Figure 3.

Comparison of AUCs

The larger the AUC, the seemingly more significant the discriminatory power. However, to diagnose a disease using the same collection of samples, it is not adequate to evaluate the discriminatory power simply by comparing AUC values [22,23]. To accurately compare different AUCs, we used the method of Delong with the MedCalc software, version 12.2.1. 3 whole saliva and 4 saliva supernatant miRNAs were able to detect EC. miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 could detect EC using both whole saliva and saliva supernatant. The AUCs indicated no significant differences among whole saliva miR-10b* and saliva supernatant miR-10b*, whole saliva miR-144 and saliva supernatant miR-144, and whole saliva miR-451 and saliva supernatant miR-451 (\(p = 0.3413, 0.7050, and 0.6067\), respectively). The discriminatory
powers of the same miRNAs in whole saliva and saliva supernatant were not significantly different. Furthermore, the AUCs of the 3 whole saliva miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451) that could detect EC were compared between two miRNAs. The results also showed no significant differences \[p = 0.2356 \text{ (miR-10b* vs. miR-144)}, \ 0.8959 \text{ (miR-10b* vs. miR-451)}, \text{ and 0.3248 (miR-144 vs. miR-451)}\]. Finally, the AUCs of the 4 saliva supernatant miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-21, and miR-451) that could detect EC were compared between two miRNAs. The results also showed no significant differences \[p = 0.8251 \text{ (miR-10b* vs. miR-21)}, \ 0.7699 \text{ (miR-10b* vs. miR-451)}, \ 0.7102 \text{ (miR-10b* vs. miR-144)}, \ 0.6079 \text{ (miR-21 vs. miR-451)}, \ 0.8729 \text{ (miR-21 vs. miR-144)}, \text{ and 0.3529 (miR-144 vs. miR-451)}\]. In conclusion, the discriminatory powers of all miRNAs in both whole saliva and saliva supernatant were similar.

Correlation between whole saliva and saliva supernatant expression levels

Whole saliva and saliva supernatant samples were collected from each subject. According to the above results, miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 were significantly upregulated in both whole saliva and saliva supernatant in the EC group. Spearman's correlation test was used to evaluate correlations of the expression levels of these 3 miRNAs between whole saliva and saliva supernatant. The expression levels of these 3 miRNAs in whole saliva and saliva supernatant showed significant correlations \[p = 0.000 \text{ (miR-10b*)}, \ 0.035 \text{ (miR-144)}, \text{ and 0.003 (miR-451)}\].

Relationships between miRNA expression levels and the clinical characteristics of EC patients

The expression levels of whole saliva miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 and saliva supernatant miR-21, miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 were not influenced by age, gender, residency, eating habits, smoking status, alcohol consumption, pathology type, cancer staging, cancer differentiation, or nodal metastasis of patients with EC (Table 3).

Discussion

miRNAs fall into two categories: cellular and extracellular. Extracellular miRNAs are present in plasma or other body fluids; they are also called secretory miRNAs. Several studies have found characteristic and stable miRNA profiles in bodily fluids. Extracellular miRNAs may be intercellular signaling molecules and transmit intercellular signals when flowing [24].

The mechanisms of how miRNA enters body fluids from cells are not clear. Kashioka et al. [25] found that miRNA released from cells is regulated by neutral sphingomyelinase 2 (nSMase2). When ceramide increases in cells, nSMase2 promotes miRNA release. Inhibition of nSMase2 by the chemical inhibitor GW4869 impedes miRNA release from cells.

RNase is present in plasma, saliva, urine, and other body fluids. However, several studies have found that miRNAs in body fluids are stable and can resist degradation at high and low temperatures, in strong acids and bases, and by RNase. This is likely because free miRNAs in bodily fluids are wrapped up by proteins or stored within vesicles [26–28].

Saliva is a complex liquid that comprises secretions from the major and minor salivary glands. There are 450 to 750 minor accessory salivary glands situated on the tongue, buccal mucosa, and palate excluding the anterior part of the hard palate and gums [29]. There is also an extensive blood supply to these glands; therefore, molecules present in plasma are also present in saliva, such as proteins, DNA, RNA, etc. Several studies have reported that salivary molecules can detect oral and other organic and systemic diseases. Li et al. [30] reported that salivary miRNAs of DUSP1, H3F3A, OAZ1, S100P, SAT, IL-8, and IL-1 can detect oral cancer more accurately than can plasmatic miRNAs of these genes. Mbulaiteye et al. [31] found that salivary tests could replace blood tests to detect EB virus infection. Also, salivary C-erbB-2 and CA153 proteins could be new biomarkers of breast cancer [32–34].

Weber et al. [14] reported the miRNA profiles of 12 bodily fluids and found a maximum of 458 miRNAs in saliva. Patel et al. [35] found that the expression levels of salivary miRNAs were stable and the miRNA levels are reproducible within subjects. Park et al. [15] evaluated both whole saliva and saliva supernatant miRNAs in patients with oral cancer, and found similar miRNA profiles. They also reported that salivary miR-125a and miR-200a were significantly downregulated and that both might be new biomarkers of oral cancer.

By Agilent microarray, we detected the expression levels of 923 miRNAs in 10 whole saliva samples; 461 were detected. The expression levels of 25 miRNAs showed significant differences between the EC and healthy groups. Using the same technology, Weber et al. [14] detected 458 whole saliva miRNAs from 5 healthy subjects. After validation by RT-PCR, three whole saliva miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451) and four saliva supernatant miRNAs (miR-10b*, miR-144, miR-451, and miR-21) were significantly upregulated in patients with EC. Based on Spearman's correlation test, whole saliva and saliva supernatant miR-10b*, miR-144, and miR-451 showed significant correlations.

The differences in AUCs were not statistically significant. This suggests that the discriminatory powers of whole saliva and saliva supernatant miRNAs were similar. However, the majority of patients with EC showed symptoms of difficulty swallowing, regurgitation, or vomiting, and their saliva may have contained exfoliated EC cells regurgitated from the esophagus because of esophageal obstruction by the tumor. Therefore, if salivary miRNA testing is used to screen EC in asymptomatic patients, saliva supernatant is a better choice because the miRNAs of EC patients are secreted directly into saliva from the tumor.

Biagioli F. et al. [36] show that microRNA-10b* is a master regulator of breast cancer cell proliferation and is downregulated in tumoural samples versus matched peritumoural counterparts. They also suggest that restoration of microRNA-10b* expression might have therapeutic promise. But in our study, miR-10b* was upregulated in the saliva of EC patients. Because the origin of circulating miRNAs is not clear currently, their expression levels are not consistent with tissular or cellular miRNAs sometimes. For instance, Coulouarn et al. [37] and Tsai et al. [38] reported that miR-122 was downregulated in liver cancer tissue, but Zen and Zhang [39] reported that it was upregulated in the serum of patients with liver cancer. miR-10b* was upregulated in the saliva of EC patients, but downregulated in breast cancer tissue. The reason for it may be related to the different expression of miR-10b* in the two different kinds of cancer. In addition, it may be also associated with the different distribution between tissue and...
Table 3. Relationships between miRNA expression levels (means ± SD) and clinical characteristics of EC patients.

| Feature                      | n   | W\textsuperscript{m} miR-10b\textsuperscript{*} | W\textsubscript{miR-144} | W\textsubscript{miR-451} | S\textsubscript{miR-10b\textsuperscript{*}} | S\textsubscript{miR-144} | S\textsubscript{miR-21} | S\textsubscript{miR-451} |
|------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Gender                       |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Male                         | 31  | 358±1238                                      | 184±498                  | 206±993                  | 26±52                                    | 24±67                    | 21±40                | 30±68                  |
| Female                       | 8   | 73±183                                        | 8±15                     | 7±7                      | 37±78                                    | 36±46                    | 37±62                | 63±142                 |
| Age (years)                  |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| ≤61                          | 20  | 261±992                                       | 116±382                  | 37±130                   | 34±75                                    | 44±84                    | 32±59                | 61±117                 |
| >61                          | 19  | 341±1247                                      | 181±517C                 | 300±1266                 | 21±31                                    | 8±12                     | 16±21                | 10±12                  |
| Chaoshanese                  |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Yes                          | 28  | 406±1300                                      | 178±519                  | 203±1043                 | 21±47                                    | 34±73                    | 23±42                | 33±92                  |
| No                           | 11  | 28±39                                         | 71±162                   | 69±172                   | 46±78                                    | 9±15                     | 27±54                | 45±74                  |
| Drinking or eating at high temperature |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Yes                          | 29  | 395±1278                                      | 71±170                   | 198±1025                 | 22±46                                    | 11±16                    | 23±41                | 32±91                  |
| No                           | 10  | 22±26                                         | 174±510                  | 181±72                   | 45±83                                    | 32±72                    | 28±57                | 48±77                  |
| Smoking                      |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Yes                          | 30  | 370±1258                                      | 90±505                   | 213±1009                 | 24±52                                    | 25±68                    | 42±60                | 30±69                  |
| No                           | 9   | 65±173                                        | 7±14                     | 6±7                      | 41±74                                    | 33±44                    | 19±39                | 59±134                 |
| Alcoholic                    |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Yes                          | 25  | 53±139                                        | 50±145                   | 49±155                   | 33±60                                    | 22±38                    | 29±50                | 54±116                 |
| No                           | 14  | 438±1372                                      | 202±545                  | 231±1103                 | 25±57                                    | 30±74                    | 22±42                | 27±66                  |
| Cancer staging               |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| II                           | 12  | 50±150                                        | 55±156                   | 57±166                   | 35±66                                    | 52±104                   | 25±40                | 35±83                  |
| III                          | 11  | 505±1643                                      | 194±632                  | 505±1655                 | 6±9                                      | 17±35                    | 9±6                  | 22±60                  |
| IV                           | 10  | 100±181                                       | 120±311                  | 15±18                    | 41±81                                    | 15±27                    | 45±73                | 67±133                 |
| N/A\textsuperscript{2}       | 6   |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Nodal metastasis             |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Yes                          | 12  | 50±150                                        | 55±156                   | 57±166                   | 35±66                                    | 52±104                   | 25±40                | 35±83                  |
| No                           | 21  | 411±1322                                      | 189±526                  | 214±1062                 | 25±54                                    | 16±29                    | 24±47                | 37±90                  |
| N/A\textsuperscript{2}       | 6   |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Pathology type               |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Squamous cell carcinoma      | 32  | 344±1219                                      | 129±462                  | 181±975                  | 31±69                                    | 19±42                    | 21±39                | 36±92                  |
| Non-squamous cell carcinoma  | 7   | 95±222                                        | 230±395                  | 93±218                   | 9±11                                     | 68±96                    | 39±67                | 37±67                  |
| Differentiation              |     |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |
| Moderate                     | 14  | 11±16                                         | 46±145                   | 48±155                   | 57±82                                    | 32±65                    | 16±30                | 40±89                  |
| Poor                         | 7   | 87±195                                        | 15±36                    | 11±15                    | 12±19                                    | 71±110                   | 53±65                | 77±149                 |
| Moderate-poor                | 5   | 1092±2440                                     | 422±937                  | 1106±2471                | 24±46                                    | 7±11                     | 12±23                | 9±16                   |
| N/A\textsuperscript{2}       | 13  |                                               |                          |                          |                                          |                          |                      |                        |

Note:\textsuperscript{3} W = whole saliva; S = saliva supernatant; N/A = not available due to patients’ refusal of further tests or treatments; meanwhile, some patients in stage IV could not undergo operations and resected tumors were not available, so the differentiation of the tumors in these patients could not be determined.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057502.t003
body fluids. Rosing et al. [40] found that miR-144 was downregulated in thyroid cancer tissue. Suresh et al. [41] reported that nanoparticle-based delivery of siDCAMKL-1 increased microRNA-144 and inhibited colorectal cancer tumor growth via a Notch-1-dependent mechanism. Konishi et al. [42] reported that the expression level of plasma miR-451 was increased in preoperative patients with gastric cancer and decreased postoperatively (AUC = 0.96). Thus, their data suggest that plasma miR-451 possesses excellent discriminatory power for gastric cancer. Beumer et al. [43] found that upregulation of tissue miR-451 was predictive of a poor prognosis for gastric cancer patients. In contrast, Bandres et al. [44] reported that downregulation of miR-451 in gastric or colon cancer tissues predicted a poor prognosis. In addition, overexpression of miR-451 in gastric and colorectal cancer cells reduced cell proliferation and increased sensitivity to radiotherapy. Thus, their data suggest that miR-451 is an important tumor suppressor miRNA. Last but not least, Wang et al. [45] reported that upregulated expression of miR-451 induced apoptosis and suppressed cell proliferation, invasion and metastasis in the esophageal carcinoma cell line EC9706. In addition, injection of miR-451 inhibited tumor growth in a xenograft model of esophageal cancer.

miR-144 and miR-451 are involved in the pathogenesis of myocardial ischemia and maintenance of erythroid homeostasis. Zhang et al. [46] reported that the synergistic effects of the GATA-4-mediated miR-144/451 cluster protected against simulated ischemia/reperfusion-induced cardiomyocyte death. Wang et al. [47] found that not only did the overexpression of miR-144/451 protect cardiomyocytes, but loss of the miR-144/451 cluster impairs ischemic preconditioning-mediated cardioprotection by targeting Rac-1. Rasmussen et al. [48,49] reported that the miR-144/451eGFP allele could resolve the erythroid potential of hematopoietic precursors and that miR-451 had a greater impact on target gene expression than did miR-144. To-date, no study has found that miR-144 or miR-451 are aberrantly expressed in samples from EC patients. Our data suggest miR-144/451 involvement in the pathogenesis of EC.

miR-21 is closely related to various cancers, especially those of the gastrointestinal tract. Cancer tissue and plasma miR-21 were significantly upregulated in EC, gastric cancer, liver cancer, pancreatic cancer, bile duct cancer, colorectal cancer, etc. Furthermore, miR-21 may act as oncomir, and is closely associated with the pathogenesis, development, and metastasis of cancer. Recent studies have found that miR-21 is related to cancer target therapy, chemotherapy sensitivity, and drug resistance [50]. miR-21 was upregulated in both esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma tissue, which suggests that its expression level is not influenced by pathology type [51]. Komatsu et al. [10] reported that plasma miR-21 can detect esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and that patients with a high plasma miR-21 level tend to have greater vascular invasion and more frequent recurrence. Patients with Barrett’s esophagus have a 30-fold higher risk of developing esophageal adenocarcinoma [52]. Smith et al. [53] reported that upregulation of miR-21 in the lesional epithelium of patients with Barrett’s esophagus predicted development of esophageal adenocarcinoma. Therefore, miR-21 may be an appropriate marker in patients with Barrett’s esophagus. In this study, whole saliva miR-21 was not upregulated in EC patients, but saliva supernatant miR-21 was upregulated significantly. This is possibly because the presence of normal exfoliated cells influences the levels of whole saliva miR-21. Meanwhile, it may be associated with the secretory mechanism of miR-21. In other words, miR-21 might not be upregulated in EC cancer tissues or cells [54], but due to the unknown mechanism, its expression level in circulation might be upregulated. Further studies are necessary in future to specify the secretory mechanism.

Therefore, if salivary miRNA testing is used to screen EC in asymptomatic patients, saliva supernatant is a better choice because the cellular components have been removed.

The Chaoshan area of Guangdong Province, China, has a high EC incidence (>100/100,000). The pathological types of EC are mainly squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. More than 80% of EC cases in China are squamous cell carcinoma, but the majority in the West are adenocarcinoma [55]. The risk factors for EC in Chaoshan are smoking, alcohol consumption, and drinking or eating at high temperatures [56,57]. The risk factors for EC in the West are gastroesophageal reflux disease and Barrett’s esophagus [58]. In our study, a Chaoshanese ethnicity and drinking or eating at high temperatures were important risk factors (OR >30). The optimum temperature for beverages and foods is 10–40°C, and at temperatures >60°C, the esophageal epithelium is damaged and inflammation occurs. Chronic damage and inflammation may induce esophageal malignancy. Furthermore, high temperatures increase the assimilation of carcinogens such as nitrates within the body [4]. The majority of patients with EC in our study were from Chaoshan, who like to drink or eat at high temperatures. Also, Chaoshanese individuals possess several EC-risk genes, such as the AG phenotype in the CYP1A1 gene [59], etc. Thus risk genes and drinking and eating habits are related to the high incidence of EC in the Chaoshan area.

Saliva collection is more convenient, noninvasive, and cheaper than blood collection, so shows great promise in disease screening. We analyzed the expression levels of salivary miRNAs and investigated their EC discriminatory power to our knowledge for the first time worldwide. Our data suggest salivary miRNAs to be a new biomarker of EC. Functional studies to show the connection between significant microRNAs and EC are needed in our future researches. In addition, the expression levels of salivary miRNAs were not influenced by cancer staging, pathology type, differentiation, nodal metastasis, etc. Feber et al. [51] reported that esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma shared similar microRNA profile in tissue. Thus aberrant expression of miRNAs in saliva may be an early molecular event in the pathogenesis of EC and do not show heterogeneity in EC patients. Because detection of the expression levels of salivary miRNAs is convenient and noninvasive, it may be an option for early screening of EC. In conclusion, the role of salivary miRNAs in EC is worthy of further study.

Supporting Information

Data S1 The methodology, the raw data and pictures of the validation of miR-16 as an internal control in our research. The methodology is in the first paragraph of the Word file, followed by an amplification curve of miR-16, Ct value of miR-16, and the concentration of total RNA of each sample from each research subjects.
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Data S2 The raw data of the expression levels of each target miRNAs and the information of the research subjects in our study. All expression levels were calculated utilizing the 2–ΔΔCt method. The information includes age, sex, ethnicity, Drinking/eating at high temperature, smoking status, alcoholic intake, Chaoshanese nationality, Differentiation, pathology types, node metastasis, cancer stage.
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