Snake Venom Vascular Endothelial Growth Factors (VEGF-Fs) Exclusively Vary Their Structures and Functions among Species*5
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Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A) and its family proteins are crucial regulators of blood vessel formation and vascular permeability. Snake venom has recently been shown to be an exogenous source of unique VEGF (known as VEGF-F), and now, two types of VEGF-F with distinct biochemical properties have been reported. Here, we show that VEGF-Fs (venom-type VEGFs) are highly variable in structure and function among species, in contrast to endogenous tissue-type VEGFs (VEGFs) of snakes. Although the structures of tissue-type VEGFs are highly conserved among venomous snake species and even among all vertebrates, including humans, those of venom-type VEGFs are extensively variegated, especially in the regions around receptor-binding loops and C-terminal putative coreceptor-binding regions, indicating that highly frequent variations are located around functionally key regions of the proteins. Genetic analyses suggest that venom-type VEGF gene may have developed from a tissue-type gene and that the unique sequence of its C-terminal region was generated by an alteration in the translation frame in the corresponding exons. We further verified that a novel venom-type VEGF from Bitis arietans displays unique properties distinct from already known VEGFs. Our results may provide evidence of a novel mechanism causing the generation of multiple snake toxins and also of a new model of molecular evolution.

Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A)5 and its family proteins are well known angiogenic and lymphangiogenic regulators. The VEGF family has been expanded and currently comprises seven members: VEGF-A, VEGF-B, placenta growth factor (PIGF), VEGF-C, VEGF-D, viral VEGF (also known as VEGF-E), and snake venom VEGF (also known as VEGF-F) (1, 2). These proteins consist of central VEGF homology domains (VHD), which are composed of 92–96 amino acids and share 29–64% identity among the family, and N- and C-terminal extensions. Eight cysteine residues in VHD, which are predicted to form a cystine knot, are strictly conserved among all members. In contrast to the ligands, three receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are known as VEGF receptors: Flt-1 (fms-like tyrosine kinase-1, VEGFR-1), KDR (kinase insert domain-containing receptor, VEGFR-2), and Flt-4 (VEGFR-3). Flt-1 and KDR are mainly distributed on vascular endothelial cells and mediate several major angiogenic activities such as endothelial cell proliferation and migration, whereas Flt-4 is limited to the lymphatic endothelium and involves lymphangiogenesis. VEGF members have been shown to bind these three RTKs with different affinity and selectivity; e.g. VEGF-A binds both Flt-1 and KDR but with 10-fold different affinity, whereas VEGF-B and PIGF are specific to Flt-1. In addition to RTKs, two non-RTK-type receptors, neuropilin-1 (NP-1) and heparin (physiologically heparan sulfate proteoglycan), have also been shown to work as VEGF coreceptors. Some isoforms of VEGF-A, VEGF-B, and PIGF bind NP-1 or heparin/heparan sulfate via their C-terminal regions, resulting in the modulation of RTK-mediated signaling and vessel guidance (3).

For four decades, snake venom proteins/peptides have been used to elucidate the complicated physiology of mammals because of their unique and specific action to target molecules (4). Venom proteins/peptides are generally thought to be developed from endogenous proteins or their domains and often display significant molecular diversity (4). We have previously found snake venom VEGF-Fs named vammin and VR-1 from the venoms of Vipera a. ammodytes and Daboia r. russelli (5). Vammin and VR-1 bind only KDR with high affinity (similar to VEGF-A) but not to other VEGF subtypes and possess short C-terminal positively charged tails that bind heparin (8, 9). Recently, two novel VEGF-Fs, Tf-svVEGF and Pm-VEGF from the venoms of Trimeresurus flavoviridis and Protobothrops mossouquamicus, respectively, have been shown to bind Flt-1 in preference to KDR, unlike vammin and VR-1 (10, 11). These findings suggest the possibility that VEGF-Fs are functionally diversified similar
to other snake venom proteins (2). In the present study, we have demonstrated that the venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs) of snakes are widely distributed in several viper venoms and that their structure and function are extensively variagated among species, in contrast to endogenous tissue-type VEGFs (VEGF-A). Moreover, genomic analyses of venom- and tissue-type VEGFs from *T. flavoviridis* (Habu snake) strongly suggest that the venom-type VEGF gene developed from a tissue-type gene via a unique mechanism. This is the first report showing that snake venom genes are efficiently diversified to generate multiple toxins separately from the gene encoding the endogenous protein.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cloning, Sequencing, and Genetic Analysis**—The cDNAs encoding vammin and VR-1 were cloned using the following sets of degenerate primers for PCR amplification, designed based on the highly conserved amino acid sequences: 5′-GC(any) GT(A/G) TG(C/T) TC(any) (A/G)T(A/G) AA(C/T) TTT GA(T/any) AC(A/C) TCC AT-3′ for 5′-RACE and 5′-CA(A/G) GA(A/G) (C/T)(A/C) CC(any) GA(C/T) GA(A/G) AT(not G) (A/T)(G/any) GA(C/T) AT(not G) TT-3′ for 3′-RACE. Then, venom-type VEGFs-specific primer pairs were designed based on the conserved nucleotide sequences: 5′-GCA GCA GCC (A/G)C(C/T) (A/G)CA TCG CAA C-3′ for 5′-RACE and 5′-TTC TGA GCA GCT GTG AAG CCA GGA-3′ for 3′-RACE. The cDNAs encoding VEGF-As of snakes were cloned using the following sets of primers, which are specific to VEGF-As, designed using the conserved nucleotide sequences among several VEGF-As of vertebrate species including *Homo sapiens* and *Bitis gabonica* (12): 5′-GG(C/T) CTG CAT TCA CA(G/T) (not A)C(C/T)G(T) (not A)T(A/G) TGC T-3′ for 5′-RACE and 5′-ATG AAC TTT CTG CTC (A/T)CTT GGG-3′ for 3′-RACE. The genomic DNA from *T. flavoviridis* was a gift from Dr. Hideko Atoda. PCR was performed by using specific primers that were designed based on the exon sequences encoding *Tf* (9), respectively (see Fig. 5). All structures were constructed with color levels (red = 4.0; white = 4.0) by Swiss PDB Viewer, version 3.7, and drawn by POVRay, version 3.6.

**RESULTS**

**Multiple Structures of Venom-type VEGFs**—To explore the genetic distribution and diversification of venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs), we screened for venom gland transcripts from 15 venomous snake species using reverse transcription-PCR. Specific amplification was found in the cDNAs from 10 Viperidae snakes but not from four Elapidae snakes and one Colubridae snake. Amplification fragments from *V. a. ammodytes* and *D. r. russelli* cDNAs encode vammin and VR-1, respectively. We sequenced three of eight amplified fragments and identified three cDNAs encoding novel venom-type VEGF-like proteins (named barietin, apisin, and cratin, from *B. arietans*, *A. p. piscivorus*, and *C. atrox*, respectively). Barietin, apisin, and cratin cDNAs encode proteins comprising 124–150 amino acids, which show ~50% identity with human VEGF-A165 (*Hs*-VEGF-A) and VEGF-A (10). Homology searching of the nucleotide sequences was performed by using Genetix version 7.0. The *Ks* and *Ks* values were calculated using DnaSP software version 4.0.9.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**—The phylogenetic tree in Fig. 1 was constructed by Genetix version 7.0 using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic based on the amino acid sequences. The distance matrix for the alignment sequences was calculated by using the two-parameter method of Kimura as implemented in a computer program (31).

**Purification of Barietin from B. arietans Venom**—Three hundred mg of lyophilized venom of *B. arietans* (Latoxan, Valence, France) was dissolved in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0. After centrifugation to remove a small amount of insoluble particulate, the supernatant was applied onto a Superdex 200-50 g gel filtration column with the same buffer. The fractions reacted with anti-vammin antiserum by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay were pooled and then loaded onto a Q Sepharose high performance column with the same buffer. Barietin could not be retained on the anion-exchange column, and the flow-through fractions that reacted with anti-vammin antiserum were pooled and loaded onto a Hi-Trap heparin column with the same buffer. The column was developed with a linear gradient of NaCl (from 0.2 M up to 0.7 M) (supplemental Fig. 4A). The average molecular mass of purified barietin was determined by MALDI-TOF MS with a Voyager-DE (see supplemental Fig. S4B). The N-terminal and internal peptide sequences were analyzed as described previously (5).

**Biacore Analysis**—Kinetics measurements were performed with a Biacore 3000 SPR biosensor (Uppsala, Sweden). Four recombinant extracellular domains of VEGF receptors/Fc chimeras (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) were immobilized onto the carboxymethylated dextran biosensor surface CM5 by the amine coupling method. Each protein prepared in concentrations of 1–30 nM with HEPES-buffered saline containing EDTA and surfactant P20 (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, containing 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM EDTA, and 0.005% surfactant P20) was injected into the flow cells at a flow rate of 20 μl/min. Comparison between sensograms was carried out by subtracting the responses in the control flow cell. All kinetic parameters were determined by nonlinear regression analysis using the BIAevalution version 3.2 software provided by the manufacturer.
tigrinus) snakes. Unlike the venom-type VEGF-like transcripts described above, all cysteine residues of these tissue-type VEGFs are identical to those of Hs-VEGF-A165, including the C-terminal coreceptor-binding region (supplemental Fig. S1A). From these results, we conclude that Viperidae snakes specifically develop venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs) as toxins separately from endogenous tissue-type VEGFs.

To further understand the development of venom-type VEGFs, we generated a phylogenetic tree based on their VHD sequences (Fig. 1). Venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs) branch separately from tissue-type VEGFs (Fig. 1), and group nearly according to the present venomous snake taxonomy (available through the NCBI Entrez Taxonomy Browser (Serpentes)). Supplemental Table SI shows the sequence identity in VHDs among tissue- and venom-type VEGFs at both the nucleotide and the amino acid levels. Tissue-type VEGFs display high nucleotide and amino acid identities with each other (>90%), whereas the amino acids of venom-type VEGFs have far lower identity (<60%) as compared with the nucleotide level (>80%) (supplemental Table SI, colored area). Fig. 2 shows the variation frequency of amino acid positions of tissue- and venom-type VEGFs among snake species. Although significant variation frequency is only observed in a few places in tissue-type VEGFs (Fig. 2A), venom-type VEGFs are highly variegated (Fig. 2B). Variable residues among venom-type VEGFs are particularly observed around receptor-binding loops 1 and 3 and the C-terminal putative coreceptor-binding region (Fig. 2B, dotted boxes), whereas other areas such as the signal peptide region are uniformly conserved. In other words, variations are more pronounced in functionally key regions of mature proteins. This shows that venomous snakes have diversified venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs), especially in functionally key regions, and therefore suggests that their evolutionary process has been distinct from tissue-type VEGFs (VEGF-As).

**Gene Structures of Tissue- and Venom-type VEGFs**—To clarify the genetic development of venom-type VEGFs, we determined the complete gene sequences encoding tissue-type and venom-type VEGFs from *T. flavoviridis* (Habu snake). The tig...
sue-type VEGF (Tf-VEGF-A) gene is composed of 23,205 bp with eight exons in a structure similar to Hs-VEGF-A, whereas the venom-type VEGF (Tf-svVEGF) gene is composed of 3,137 bp with six exons (Fig. 3, supplemental Fig. S2A and S2B, and supplemental Table SIIA). In both genes, the signal peptide and N-terminal extension regions are encoded in exons I and II, VHD is encoded in exons III and IV, and the C-terminal regions of tissue- and venom-type VEGFs are encoded in exons V–VIII and V–VI, respectively (Fig. 3). The introns of venom-type VEGF gene are significantly shorter than those of the tissue-type gene (385 and 3,762 bp on average, respectively) (supplemental Table SIIA). It is generally known that immature mRNAs with shorter introns are more efficiently processed to mature forms than those with longer introns, suggesting that the shorter introns of the venom-type gene may be effective for high level expression of venom proteins in the venom glands. In comparing the nucleotide sequences of both genes, high identities were found not only in the exons (45–58%) but also the introns (43–46%) (supplemental Table SIIB and supplemental Fig. S2C), although a 317-bp sequence nonhomologous to the tissue-type VEGF gene was found in intron 4 of the venom-type VEGF gene (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S2B). Interestingly, despite no amino acid sequence homology in the C-terminal regions (encoded in exons V and VI), the nucleotide sequences in exons V and VI of both genes demonstrated ~50% identity, similar to other exons (supplemental Table SIIB). A sequence alignment of both genes revealed that exons V and VI (C-terminal coreceptor-binding regions) of both genes are translated in frames distinct from each other, resulting in no sequence identity at the amino acid level (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S3). These data suggest that the venom-type VEGF gene developed from an endogenous tissue-type gene but that the unique sequence of the C-terminal region of venom-type VEGF may have been generated by an alteration in the translation frame of tissue-type gene during its evolution.

Identification of a Venom-type VEGF with Novel Receptor Selectivity—Among the above mentioned newly cloned venom-type VEGF-like proteins, barietin from B. arietans was predicted to display unique properties; the receptor-binding loop 1 region of barietin is rich in basic amino acid residues rather than acidic residues seen in other known VEGFs. We isolated barietin from the venom of B. arietans by three chromatography steps: gel filtration, anion-exchange, and heparin affinity chromatography (supplemental Fig. S4A). Barietin is a 22-kDa protein under nonreducing conditions and an 11-kDa protein under reducing conditions according to SDS-PAGE (supplemental Fig. S4A), indicating that barietin is a
dimeric protein similar to other VEGFs. The N-terminal sequence of purified barietin is EVRPF starting at amino acid 25 from the initial methionine, similar to vammin and VR-1 (supplemental Fig. S1). The putative mature barietin might be composed of 126 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of ~28.2 kDa as homodimer. The average molecular mass of purified barietin was 22,071.6 kDa as determined by MALDI-TOF MS analysis (supplemental Fig. S4C), an unexpected 6.1 kDa smaller than the molecular mass predicted from its nucleotide sequence. These data suggest that the C-terminal portion barietin is cleaved during maturation. To clarify this, we next performed enzymatic digestion of alkylated mature protein and determined the peptide sequence of barietin. As a result of peptide sequencing and MALDI-TOF MS analyses, the C terminus of mature barietin was found at Ser-97, meaning it almost completely lacks its C-terminal tail (supplemental Fig. S4). (Because it does not include a Lys residue on its C-terminal end in Lys-C digestion, peptide K2 was predicted as the C-terminal peptide. The calculated molecular weight of barietin comprising 97 amino acids is 22,092 as a dimer, which is nearly identical to the determined molecular mass of purified protein.) Because the C-terminal regions of some VEGF isoforms have been shown to interact with heparin/heparan sulfate (supplemental Fig. S1, underline) (14, 16–18), we regarded barietin as having no affinity to heparin; however, barietin was able to bind to a heparin affinity column more tightly than vammin and equally as well as Hs-VEGF-A165 (supplemental Table SIIIA). To obtain detailed biochemical data for barietin, we performed receptor-ligand binding experiments using Biacore. Barietin exhibited a high binding affinity to KDR with a $K_d$ of $4.0 \times 10^{-10}$ M, an affinity essentially equal to that of vammin and Hs-VEGF-A165. Barietin also bound Flt-1 with 10-fold less affinity than to KDR ($K_d = 3.3 \times 10^{-9}$ M) but did not bind Flt-4 or NP-1 (Fig. 4 and supplemental Table SIIIIB). These data indicate that barietin has unique binding properties, unseen in other known VEGFs.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we have shown that venom-type VEGFs are efficiently diversified in their structure, especially in functionally key regions such as receptor-binding loops and C-terminal putative coreceptor-binding regions (Fig. 2B). Diversification of the genes encoding snake venom proteins/peptides has been shown to be caused by a mechanism called accelerated evolution (19–21). In this mechanism, nucleotides of venom protein/peptide genes are preferentially substituted in their mature protein coding exons rather than introns, untranslated region, and signal peptide coding exons. In addition, the rate of non-synonymous substitutions (amino acid substitutions, $K_a$) are unusually high as compared with that of synonymous (neutral substitutions, $K_s$) (19–21). The $K_a$ and $K_s$ values for the pairwise combinations among venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs) and tissue-type VEGFs (VEGF-As) are shown in supplemental Table SIV. When the ratio of $K_a/K_s$ is larger than 1 (ordinary genes are known to be in the range of 0.1–0.2), it could be
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assumed that the genes have been subjected to positive selection (22). Although the frequency of $K_A$ for the VHD-coding sequence of venom-type VEGFs was 7–18%, much greater than that of tissue-type VEGF (0.5–4%), the ratio of $K_A/K_S$ of venom-type VEGFs (0.65–0.79) is slightly greater than in tissue-type VEGFs (0.09–0.58), indicating that modest accelerated evolution occurred in the VHD-coding region of venom-type VEGFs. Fig. 5 shows the result of homology modeling of VHDs of several tissue- and venom-type VEGFs constructed based on the crystal structures of $Hs$-VEGF-A (23) and vammin (9). Surface electric potential models of venom-type VEGFs are variable among the snakes, whereas those of tissue-type VEGFs are uniformly positively charged in a manner similar to $Hs$-VEGF-A (Fig. 5); these data suggest that the functions of venom-type VEGFs may be extensively variegated, whereas those of tissue-type VEGFs would be universally conserved. In fact, here we have identified a novel venom-type VEGF (named barietin) that shows receptor binding selectivity distinct from other known VEGFs; barietin was able to bind KDR with essentially equal affinity to vammin and $Hs$-VEGF-A$_{165}$, able to bind Flt-1 to a lesser degree, and also tightly bind heparin (Fig. 4 and supplemental Table SIII). Fig. 1 shows a phylogenetic tree of VEGFs and their receptor and coreceptor binding potentials. In this tree, venom-type VEGFs can be classified into three groups based on their structure and receptor binding potentials: vammin-type (vammin, VR-1, and HF), which selectively bind KDR and heparin (S), $Tf$-svVEGF-type ($Tf$-svVEGF and $Pm$-VEGF), cDNAs, despite their lower sequence identity at the amino acid level, especially in receptor-binding loops and the C-terminal putative coreceptor-binding region (supplemental Figs. S1 and S5). In contrast to the modest accelerated evolution observed in VHD (supplemental Table SIV), the diversification in the C-terminal region may be caused by a distinct mechanism; several frameshift mutations generated by insertions/deletions can be seen in exons V and VI (supplemental Fig. S5, highlighted in yellow). For example, distinct stop codons are in-frame in the cDNAs of barietin and aspin as compared with other venom-type VEGF cDNAs (supplemental Fig. S5). From this aspect, we speculate that the diversity of the C-terminal region of venom-type VEGFs is caused by frameshift mutations in the corresponding exons. Genomic sequence analyses of tissue- and venom-type VEGFs of $T. flavoviridis$ ($Tf$-svVEGF and $Tf$-VEGF-A) revealed that an additive sequence not seen in tissue-type VEGF genes is found in intron 4 of the venom-type VEGF gene (Fig. 3 and supplemental Fig. S2B), and consequently, the splicing site of the corresponding exons may be altered (supplemental Fig. S3). An $Hs$-VEGF-A mutant, in which the C-terminal tail is replaced with that of vammin, fully retained vascular permeability enhancement activities, although another VEGF-A mutant with the C-terminal tail of VEGF-B significantly reduced the activity.4 These mutants show similar receptor
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selectivity to known VEGF receptors; they could bind Flt-1 and KDR with essentially equal affinity to Hs-VEGF-A_{165} but not Flt-4, NP-1, and heparin. These data strongly suggest that the C-terminal region of snake venom VEGF may affect another undefined molecule in addition to known VEGF receptors.

The C-terminal regions of Hs-VEGF-A_{165} (Ala-111 to Arg-165) and vammin (Arg-94 to Arg-110) are shown to act as basic heparin-binding domains (supplemental Fig. S1, underlined) (8,14). The heparin-binding domain of VEGF-A is highly conserved among vertebrate species including humans (supplemental Fig. S1). Despite its binding ability to heparin, barietin apparently does not possess a C-terminal basic tail-like vammin and other heparin-binding VEGFs (supplemental Fig. S1). From homology modeling of the tertiary structure of barietin, it appears that barietin possesses highly basic clusters consisting of six residues (Lys-30, Lys-33, Gln-70, Lys-74, Lys-79, and Lys-81; supplemental Fig. S1B, bold letters) around predicted receptor-binding loops (Fig. 5I, arrows), indicating that barietin may form unique heparin-binding site that are not seen in other VEGFs. To further understand the consequence of the unique heparin-binding region of barietin, we tested the effects of heparin on barietin; however, we could not find any apparent effect of heparin on the biochemical and biological activities of barietin, such as its receptor-biding ability and effect on endothelial cell growth (data not shown). Although we did not check the receptor phosphorylation induced by barietin here, the effect of heparin on receptor phosphorylation should be tested in future.

Snake venom proteins are known to be variegated in their structures, resulting in the acquisition of specific and potent functions unseen in related proteins. In this study, we demonstrated that venom-type VEGFs (VEGF-Fs) are diversified in their structures and functions in contrast to endogenous tissue-type VEGFs. We have also shown that venom-type VEGF genes would have developed from tissue-type VEGF genes via a unique mechanism. We believe that these data suggest the existence of a novel mechanism causing the generation of multiple snake toxins.
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