Oral rhabdomyosarcoma in an adult male: A rare case report
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Abstract
Rhabdomyosarcoma is a malignant neoplasm of mesenchymal cells, showing varying degrees of striated muscle cell differentiation. It predominantly occurs in children while rarely found in adults and involvement of the oral cavity accounts for only 10%–12% of all head-and-neck cases. Herein, we present a rare case of spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma in a 52-year-old male, involving the mandibular gingiva, and describe the clinical, radiological, histopathological and immunohistochemical findings.
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INTRODUCTION

Sarcomas of the head-and-neck region are found only in 1% of all head-and-neck malignancies.[1] Among the soft-tissue sarcomas, rhabdomyosarcoma predominantly occurs in children (60%) while is rarely found in the adults (2%–5%).[2,3] Rhabdomyosarcoma is a malignant neoplasm of mesenchymal cells, showing varying degrees of striated muscle cell differentiation.[4] The common sites of occurrence are the head-and-neck region (35%), genitourinary tract (23%), retroperitoneum and the extremities (17%).[5] Rhabdomyosarcomas of the oral cavity are extremely rare, accounting for only 10%–12% of all head-and-neck cases.[5] In the oral cavity, it mostly involves the tongue followed by soft palate, hard palate and the buccal mucosa, may also involve the gingiva in very rare cases.[2,5]

Rhabdomyosarcoma often poses difficulty in diagnosis, as it exhibits a spectrum of histologic appearance which includes embryonal, botryoid, spindle cell, alveolar and undifferentiated variants.[6]

In 1992, Cavazzana et al. in their study reported 21 embryonal rhabdomyosarcomas composed predominantly (>80%) of elongated spindle cells which mimicked the fetal myotubes, at the late stage of cellular differentiation. On immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy, the cells showed a high degree of skeletal muscle differentiation. The term spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma was coined to distinguish this entity from the usual embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma due to its more favorable clinical course.[7] This variant of rhabdomyosarcoma was first reported in adults by Rubin et al.[1] These adult-type spindle cell lesions have several distinctive features, including a predilection for the head-and-neck region, a greater degree of cytologic atypia in the spindle cells, focal areas resembling pseudovascular sclerosing rhabdomyosarcoma and a more aggressive clinical course than pediatric lesions.[7]
Herewith, we report a rare case of spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma of mandibular gingiva in a 52-year-old male.

**CASE REPORT**

A 52-year-old male patient reported to the Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, with the chief complaint of growth in the left lower back region of jaw for the past 4 months. The patient gave the history of caries in 36 which led to the fracture of crown 8 months back. He had habit of gutka chewing 2–3 times a day and alcohol intake for 20 years.

On extra-oral examination, a diffuse swelling was noticed, [Figure 1a] extending anteroposteriorly from corner of the mouth to 1 cm anterior to the angle of the mandible and superoinferiorly from the corner of the mouth to the inferior border of the mandible. The left submandibular lymph node was palpable, mobile and nontender.

Intraoral examination revealed an exophytic, lobulated, sessile growth on buccal gingiva [Figure 1b] measuring 4 cm × 2.5 cm extending from the left mandibular first molar to the distal of third molar, obliterating buccal vestibule. Expansion of buccal cortical plate was noticed. 37 and 38 showed Grade-1 mobility.

Orthopantomogram revealed an irregular bone loss [Figure 2a] extending from 35 to 38 region. Root pieces of 36 and floating teeth appearance with 37 and 38 was noted. Cone beam computed tomography showed the destruction of both buccal and lingual cortical plates [Figure 2b] along with erosion of superior border of mandibular canal.

Incisional biopsy was performed under local anesthesia after obtaining patient's written consent.

The H and E stained section showed a highly cellular connective tissue stroma covered by parakeratotic stratified squamous epithelium. The connective tissue consisted of three types of cells as follows: (1) cells with vesicular nuclei (2) hyperchromatic spindle-shaped nuclei and (3) large polyhedral cells with hyperchromatic condensed nuclei at periphery [Figure 3a]. High power magnification view showed cellular and nuclear pleomorphism, nuclear hyperchromatism and abnormal mitotic figures [Figure 3b].

Immunohistochemistry revealed focally positive cells for both cytokeratin and vimentin in the connective tissue. Based on the above findings, a diagnosis of spindle cell variant of squamous cell carcinoma was given.

The patient was then referred to the higher center for further treatment. Positron emission tomography scan was performed to rule out any metastatic foci. Left hemimandibulectomy with radical neck dissection was done and the excised mass was sent for histopathological evaluation. The H and E stained section of excisional biopsy showed highly cellular connective tissue stroma composed of elongated spindle-shaped cells with hyperchromatic nuclei, arranged in fascicles [Figure 4a]. Marked cellular and nuclear pleomorphism were noted [Figure 4b]. Numerous large eosinophilic cells with vesicular nuclei and prominent nucleoli resembling rhabdomyoblast were also noted. The overlying stratified squamous epithelium, however, did not show any dysplasia.

Further immunohistochemistry was performed, tumor was strongly and diffusely positive for desmin [Figure 4c];
diffusely and focally positive for MyoD1 and myogenin [Figure 4d and e]; Tumor also showed weak positivity for AE1/AE3 [Figure 4f]; while negativity for CK5/6, P63 [Figure 4g]; S100 and SMA. Based on the above findings, the diagnosis of spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma was given.

The patient is subjected to chemotherapy for the past 1 year and postoperative follow-up did not reveal any recurrence.

DISCUSSION

Rhabdomyosarcoma was initially described by Weber in 1854 in a tongue lesion,[8] but first documentation was published by Arthur Purdy Stout in 1946.[9] Horn and Enterline devised the first classification scheme in 1958, based on the clinical and pathologic features of these tumors. This scheme, also known as the “conventional scheme,” recognized embryonal, botryoid, alveolar and pleomorphic subtypes. From 1987 to 1991, the Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Studies (now recognized as the Soft Tissue Sarcoma Committee of the Children’s Oncology Group) conducted a comparative study of the various classification systems for rhabdomyosarcoma. Based on the reproducibility and prognostic significance of each of these systems, this group proposed a classification scheme, known as the International Classification of Rhabdomyosarcoma.[7]

International Classification of Rhabdomyosarcoma (1994) as follows:[10]

- Superior prognosis
- Botryoid rhabdomyosarcoma
- Spindle cell rhabdomyosarcoma
- Intermediate prognosis
- Embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma
- Poor prognosis
- Alveolar rhabdomyosarcoma
- Undifferentiated sarcoma
- Subtypes whose prognosis is not presently evaluable
- Rhabdomyosarcoma with rhabdoid features.[7]

According to this classification, our case can be placed as a spindle cell RMS having superior prognosis than the embryonal RMS.

Histopathology of RMS is analogous to myogenesis in the developing embryo, yielding clues to the biology of these lesions.[11] As the skeletal muscle fibers are permanent cells that do not divide after birth, it is unlikely for rhabdomyosarcoma to arise from skeletal muscle fibers themselves.[12] Thus, RMS is considered as a tumor derived from primitive mesenchyme, exhibiting a profound tendency toward myogenesis.[11]

Little is known about the underlying cause of the rhabdomyoblastic proliferations and the stimulus that induces their growth. Genetic factors are implicated by the association of RMS with other neoplasms such as congenital retinoblastoma, familial adenomatous polyposis, multiple lentigines syndrome, type 1 neurofibromatosis, Costello syndrome, Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome and a variety of congenital anomalies.[7,13]

Each of the rhabdomyosarcoma subtypes occur in a characteristic age group.[7] The embryonal type presents the subtypes including classic, spindle cell and botryoid and is the most common variant, occurring in children between birth to 15 years of age. However, our case was reported in a 52-year-old male patient, which falls in the rare age group. The embryonal RMS contains a mixture of spindle and undifferentiated round cells and immature striated muscle-like cells (rhabdomyoblasts) with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm either tightly or loosely packed in a myxoid stroma. The botryoid tumor is named so because of gross resemblance to cluster of grapes. Histologically,
A careful histological examination is required to differentiate such lesions from other poorly differentiated round and spindle cell sarcomas such as Ewing's sarcoma, neuroblastoma, peripheral primitive neuroectodermal tumors and malignant lymphomas. Many immunohistochemical markers have been applied to the diagnosis of rhabdomyosarcoma, but their diagnostic value, sensitivity and specificity vary substantially. Commitment and maintenance of skeletal muscle differentiation during normal skeletal muscle myogenesis, as well as in rhabdomyosarcoma, is regulated by a family of closely related genes (e.g. MyoD1, myogenin, myf5 and MRF4), termed the MyoD family. These genes encode a series of DNA-binding proteins that control the activation and transcription of genes encoding muscle enzymes and proteins, such as creatine kinase and desmin. Therefore, desmin, MyoD1 and myogenin are considered useful markers in diagnosing rhabdomyosarcomas and for differentiating it from other soft-tissue tumors. Inadequately treated tumors grow in an infiltrative, destructive manner and recur in a high percentage of cases. Bone does not constitute an effective barrier to the growth of the tumor, and bone invasion is a frequent finding, particularly with RMS in the head-and-neck region. Metastases develop during the disease and are present at the time of diagnosis in about 20% of cases. Major metastatic sites include the lung, lymph nodes and bone marrow. However, the present case showed only regional lymph node metastasis.

**Treatment and prognosis**

Since the early 1960s, overall 5-year survival rate of patients with rhabdomyosarcoma increased from 25% to 73% due to a multidisciplinary therapeutic approach that consists of surgical removal of the neoplasm and multi-agent chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy.

**CONCLUSION**

The ubiquitous distribution and diverse histologic pattern
of RMS possess a challenge to the diagnosis; judicious use of immunohistochemical markers may help in diagnosis by ruling out other differential diagnosis.[4] RMS is a rare disease in adulthood and therefore, the clinic-pathological characteristics, natural history and treatment options are not as well established in this population. However, recognition of the correct diagnosis and histological subtype of RMS is of critical importance in the treatment and prognosis of this disease.[5]
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