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Microtubule-associated proteins promote microtubule generation in the absence of γ-tubulin in human colon cancer cells
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The γ-tubulin complex acts as the predominant microtubule (MT) nucleator that initiates MT formation and is therefore an essential factor for cell proliferation. Nonetheless, cellular MTs are formed after experimental depletion of the γ-tubulin complex, suggesting that cells possess other factors that drive MT nucleation. Here, by combining gene knockout, auxin-inducible degron, RNA interference, MT depolymerization/regrowth assay, and live microscopy, we identified four microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs), ch-TOG, CLASP1, CAMSAPs, and TPX2, which are involved in γ-tubulin-independent MT generation in human colon cancer cells. In the mitotic MT regrowth assay, nucleated MTs organized noncentriolar MT organizing centers (ncMTOCs) in the absence of γ-tubulin. Depletion of CLASP1 or TPX2 substantially delayed ncMTOC formation, suggesting that these proteins might promote MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin. In contrast, depletion of ch-TOG or CAMSAPs did not affect the timing of ncMTOC appearance. CLASP1 also accelerates γ-tubulin–independent MT regrowth during interphase. Thus, MT generation can be promoted by MAPs without the γ-tubulin template.

Introduction

Microtubules (MTs) are cytoskeletal filaments essential for various cellular activities, such as chromosome segregation, cell division, cell polarization, and organelle transport. MTs are formed via the polymerization of α- and β-tubulin heterodimers. MT formation begins with MT nucleation, where tubulin dimers assemble into oligomers and form a “critical nucleus” (Roostalu and Surrey, 2017). The MT nucleus then recruits more tubulin dimers, leading to persistent MT polymerization or growth, until the MTs pause or start depolymerization. This entire reaction can take place solely with a high concentration of pure tubulin with GTP in the test tube. However, the initial nucleation step is assumed to be a challenging process in vivo, where the tubulin amount is limited and some factors destabilize MTs. The discovery of another class of tubulin, γ-tubulin, and its associated subunits, called gamma-tubulin complex proteins (GCPs), provided key insights into how eukaryotic cells efficiently nucleate MTs (Liu et al., 2021; Oakley and Oakley, 1989; Tovey and Conduit, 2018). The ring-shaped γ-tubulin complex (γ-TuRC) serves as the structural template for the initial tubulin assembly, thereby accelerating the initial lag phase (Zheng et al., 1995). However, the γ-TuRC alone is not an efficient MT nucleator, and efficient nucleation requires association with other proteins, such as CDK5RAP2, XMAP215/ch-TOG, TPX2, and augmin (Alfaro-Aco et al., 2020; Choi et al., 2010; Consolati et al., 2020; Flor-Parra et al., 2018; Tariq et al., 2020; Thawani et al., 2018). Some of these activators likely alter the conformation of γ-TuRC to better fit the ends of MT protofilaments (Consolati et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Wieczorek et al., 2020), whereas others cooperate with γ-TuRC (Consolati et al., 2020; Flor-Parra et al., 2018; King et al., 2020; Thawani et al., 2018). It is now well established that γ-TuRC, with its activators, is the dominant MT nucleator in most eukaryotic cell types. However, there remains another enigma regarding γ-TuRC, as cellular MTs are still present after γ-TuRC depletion or perturbation in every system examined to date, including inhibitor treatment and RNAi in animals and plants (Chinen et al., 2015; Hannak et al., 2002; Nakaoka et al., 2015; Rogers et al., 2008; Sallee et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2015). For instance, RNAi or tissue-specific degradation systems reportedly depleted >90% of γ-TuRC from Caenorhabditis elegans cells, yet MTs were still nucleated from the centrosome in the early embryo (Hannak et al., 2002) or acentrosomal MT organizing centers (MTOCs) in intestinal epithelial cells (Sallee et al., 2018). This phenomenon is possibly due to the sufficient amount of residual γ-TuRC for a certain degree of MT nucleation. This is
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not an ignorable caveat. Recent reconstitution studies indicate that a partial complex with eight γ-tubulin subunits is as potent as the full complex of 14 γ-tubulin in facilitating MT nucleation (Wieczorek et al., 2021). To establish the dispensability of γ-tubulin, the best approach is to genetically delete γ-tubulin. However, because γ-tubulin is an essential gene for mitosis in every cell type, it has been impossible to establish a stable cell line in which γ-tubulin genes are deleted. In one study, CRISPR-based genome editing transiently created γ-tubulin gene-deleted cells, which failed to assemble functional spindles (McKinley and Cheeseman, 2017); however, the amount of residual γ-tubulin proteins in each cell was unclear. Another possible explanation for the remaining MTs after γ-tubulin deletion or inhibition is that cells have other factors that can nucleate MTs independent of γ-tubulin. Indeed, several MT-associated proteins (MAPs), whose major activity may not be considered MT nucleation, can promote MT nucleation in vitro when mixed with tubulin (Brunet et al., 2004; Imasaki et al., 2021; King et al., 2020; Roostalu et al., 2015; Slep and Vale, 2007), and they are candidates for γ-tubulin-independent nucleators in cells. The aim of this study was to identify the proteins required for γ-tubulin–independent MT nucleation in a single cell type in humans. We first verified that MTs can be nucleated in cells with undetectable levels of γ-tubulin and then searched for the MAPs required for MT generation under these conditions. Our study suggests that multiple factors, including CLASP1 and TPX2, are cellular MT nucleators that are normally masked by the dominant γ-TuRC machinery.

Results
MT formation with undetectable levels of γ-tubulin
Most previous studies used population assays to assess the contribution of γ-tubulin to MT nucleation, which did not correlate the reduction level of γ-tubulin with MT nucleation potential at the single-cell level. In this study, one of the two γ-tubulin genes in humans (tubulin gamma 2: TUBG2) was knocked out, and the other TubG1 protein was tagged biallelically with mini-auxin-inducible degron (mAID)-mClover (Figs. 1 A and S1, A and B). mClover intensity indicated the total γ-tubulin protein level in the cell, whereas mAID allowed acute degradation of the tagged protein via the proteasome. We selected the human HCT116 cell line for this study, which is a stable diploid line derived from colon cancer (Brattain et al., 1991). This cell line is amenable to CRISPR-Cas9–based genome editing and RNAi, and its mitosis has been studied in our laboratory (Okumura et al., 2018; Tsuchiya et al., 2021; Tungadi et al., 2017).

Prior to studying MT nucleation, we performed a basic characterization of this cell line. First, TubG1–mAID-mClover was localized to the centrosome and spindle MTs, consistent with immunostaining in various human cell lines (Lüders et al., 2006; Fig. 1 D and Video 1). Second, mitotic progression (31 ± 8 min ± SD, n = 49; Fig. 1 E) was comparable to that in the control cell line (34 ± 8 min; Tsuchiya et al., 2021). Finally, we performed sucrose gradient centrifugation, followed by immunoblotting (Fig. 1, B and C). The results indicated that TubG1-mAID-mClover was assembled into the large γ-TuRC complex. Given the addition of 14 copies of mAID-mClover tag (each ~30 kD), it was reasonable that the peak of the tagged protein was shifted by one lane to a larger fraction than endogenous TubG1. A slightly smaller complex was also detected for tagged TubG1 (lane 10 in Fig. 1 C); this may be because the tag partially prevents incorporation into the complete γ-TuRC.

Moreover, the result is consistent with the observation that endogenous TubG1 can be completely replaced with TubG1–mAID-mClover in this human cell line. Upon indole acetic acid (IAA) treatment, cells showed different levels of mClover signals (as observed using a spinning-disc confocal microscope) owing to varying degradation levels in interphase and mitosis (Fig. 2, A–C). This was confirmed by the quantification of the mClover signal intensity (Fig. 2, D and E). The cells in which we could not detect residual γ-tubulin signals by manual inspection always returned low signal values after quantification (colored pink in the graph). However, the opposite was not true; the cells with very low signals in quantification did not always represent γ-tubulin null based on manual inspection; they included cells with faint punctate mClover signals at the centrosome, which did not contribute markedly to the total intensity. Therefore, in the subsequent analysis, we manually inspected the acquired images and selected “mClover signals undetectable” cells; these cells were closest to γ-tubulin null. The neighboring cells with mClover signals served as internal controls. Regardless of the presence or absence of mClover signals, MTs visualized with SIR-tubulin were present in every cell during interphase (Fig. 2 A). Furthermore, the cells assembled tiny spindles in mitosis and could not enter anaphase (Fig. 1, D and E; and Video 2). These results support the presence of γ-tubulin–independent MT nucleation during interphase and mitosis.

MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin
To assess MT generation ability without γ-tubulin in living cells, we performed an MT depolymerization/regrowth assay, in which MTs were first depolymerized with the MT drug nocodazole, followed by drug washout (Fig. 3 A and Video 3). The experiments were mostly performed at 25°C, as MTs reappeared too quickly after drug washout at 37°C; MT nucleation took place before image acquisition. For normal HCT116 cells, 25°C is a challenging temperature, as evidenced by the fact that bipolar spindle formation requires >30 min (Fig. S2, A and B). However, this was compensated for in the regrowth assay, as the initial tubulin concentration was higher than that in normal cycling cells due to complete MT depolymerization beforehand. In cells that retained TubG1–mClover signals (circled yellow), cytoplasmic MTs were observed 10 min after drug washout, with the centrosome being the most prominent MTOC (43 out of 45 cells showed cytoplasmic MT network at 10 min; Fig. 3 B). In contrast, cytoplasmic MTs were hardly detected until 20–30 min in the absence of γ-tubulin, with no clear MTOCs (circled red; 33 out of 42 cells showed no cytoplasmic MT networks at 10 min).

To further demonstrate that MT nucleation occurs in the absence of γ-TuRC as the nucleator, we observed the cells
undergoing MT regrowth using oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy, which is sensitive enough to detect a single γ-tubulin complex containing >10 fluorescent mClover molecules and an occasional MT nucleation event (Nakaoka et al., 2015). We observed many punctate signals in control cells, each likely representing a cytoplasmic γ-TuRC near the cell cortex (Fig. 4 A, yellow circle). We could not identify a MT nucleating event from the observed γ-TuRC spots; MT emergence under these conditions represented MT plus ends grown from the other focal plane. This was because MT nucleation predominantly occurred at the centrosome, which could not be localized to the focal plane in this microscopy. In contrast, after

Figure 1. Basic characterization of TubG1 mAID-mClover cell lines. (A) Immunoblotting of γ-tubulin and α-tubulin for TubG1-mAID-mClover lines (TubG2 intact and KO lines) and the parental line. (B and C) Sucrose gradient centrifugation followed by immunoblotting of γ-tubulin for the parent line (B) and the TubG1-mAID-mClover line (C). (D) Spindle dynamics in TubG1-depleted cells (TubG2 KO background) and control cells. Time 0 corresponds to nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD). (E) Mitotic duration (NEBD to anaphase onset) of γ-tubulin–depleted cells. Ana, anaphase; Dox, doxycycline; Int, interphase; Meta, metaphase; Telo, telophase; Cyto, cytokinesis.
degron treatment, some cells hardly showed punctate signals of mClover, despite the presence of MTs (Fig. 4 B, red circle). MTs were generated in the absence of γ-tubulin (i.e., undetectable levels of mClover signals), albeit more slowly (Fig. 4 C). Under these conditions, we occasionally identified MT nucleating events, in which MT punctae diffused in 2D, which is an indicator of nucleation rather than plus-end growth from the off-focal plane (Fig. 4 D, arrows). Furthermore, we observed at higher frequency the MT loop formation in which both ends were clearly in the focal plane (Fig. 4 B, right; Fig. 4 D, bottom right; and Video 4); the diameter of the loop was 0.85 ± 0.26 µm (±SD, n = 29), which resembles what has been observed in an in vitro MT gliding assay (Liu et al., 2011).

To determine whether there is a possible artifactual effect of SIR-tubulin, we selected and used a cell line in which endogenous ch-TOG was tagged with mCherry (Figs. S1 C and S2 C; and Video 1). The data indicate that the effect of SiR-tubulin on MT nucleation and growth is mild (Fig. S2, D and E).

Taken together, we concluded that γ-TuRC constitutes the dominant, but not essential, mechanism of MT nucleation in the interphase cytoplasm.

ch-TOG, CLASP1, and CAMSAPs are critical for interphase MT generation in the absence of γ-tubulin

To identify the factors responsible for γ-tubulin-independent nucleation, we conducted an RNAi screen of 11 candidate genes (or gene family) using the γ-tubulin degron line (Fig. 5 A). The MT regrowth assay was performed, and the cells that retained or lacked γ-tubulin-mClover signals were analyzed. In the screening, we identified “MT regrowth” when one or more MTs were detected within 30 min under a spinning-disc confocal...
When γ-tubulin was present, MTs were observed normally in all RNAi samples. In contrast, we observed that more than half of the γ-tubulin–degraded cells failed to regrow MTs in 30 min when ch-TOG, CLASP1, or CAMSAP1/2/3 were depleted by RNAi (Fig. 5, B–E). In contrast, depletion of CDK5RAP2 or PCNT had no effect on γ-tubulin–independent MT generation, although it has been shown to promote cytoplasmic nucleation in the presence of γ-tubulin in other cell types (Choi et al., 2010; Gavilan et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2016).

To confirm and specify the responsible genes, we performed loss-of-function analyses after generating new cell lines (Fig. 6, A–C and Video 3). The critical contribution of ch-TOG (Xenopus XMAP215 orthologue), best known as MT polymerase (Brouhard et al., 2008), was confirmed by degron treatment of the line expressing TubG1-mAID-mClover and ch-TOG-mAID-mCherry (Fig. S1 C). When neither signal was observed, MT regrowth was undetectable for 30 min in >70% of the cells (Fig. 6, A and D). The requirement of AKAP450 (Gavilan et al., 2018; Rivero et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2016) was excluded from the observation of MT regrowth in their verified knockout (KO) lines (Figs. 6 D, S1 F, and S3 A). CLASP proteins are best known as MT stabilizers (Aher et al., 2018; Al-Bassam et al., 2010; Moriwaki and Goshima, 2016; Yu et al., 2016) and are also required for Golgi- and γ-tubulin–dependent MT nucleation in RPE1 cells (Efimov et al., 2007). CLASP1 was crucial for MT regrowth, as revealed by the generation of a CLASP1-mAID-mCherry degron line in the background of γ-tubulin degrom (Fig. 6, B and D; and Fig. S1 D). CAMSAP family members have been characterized as minus-end stabilizers (Goodwin and Vale, 2010; Jiang et al., 2014), in which the CAMSAP3 KO line showed normal regrowth of MTs (Fig. S1 G; and Fig. S3, B and C). However, when CAMSAP1 or CAMSAP2 was depleted by RNAi in the CAMSAP3 KO line, MT regrowth was not observed in >25% of the cells (Fig. 6, C and D; and Fig. S3, D–G). Quantification of MT intensity at 30 min supported these findings (Fig. 6 E). These results indicate that ch-TOG, CLASP1, and CAMSAPs are involved in MT generation during the interphase of γ-tubulin–depleted cells.

An identical assay was performed at 37°C (Fig. 6 F). Even under this more favorable condition for MT nucleation and growth, we observed a significant delay in MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin. Unlike at 25°C, MTs were observed within 30 min in the majority of cells after codepletion with ch-TOG or CLASP1. Interestingly, however, the first appearance of MT was delayed when CLASP1, but not ch-TOG, was codepleted with γ-tubulin (Fig. 6 F). These results suggest that CLASP1 is involved in the early stage of MT formation, possibly in the nucleation step, in the absence of γ-tubulin during interphase.
No accumulation of ch-TOG and CLASP1 at the γ-tubulin–independent nucleation site

We investigated the possibility that ch-TOG or CLASP first forms an assembly or seed, from which MT nucleates and regrows in the absence of γ-tubulin in cells. To this end, the ch-TOG-mCherry or CLASP1-mCherry constructs, which did not have the AID tag, were integrated into the TubG1-mClover-mAID/TubG2-KO line, and oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy was performed after γ-tubulin degradation. We observed MT nucleation and growth after the drug washout. However, ch-TOG or CLASP1 was undetectable at the emergence of MTs; they were later visible near the other end of MTs (Fig. S4). Considering that the SIR-tubulin signal stronger than the background was detected in three consecutive frames (i.e., 6 s), it is unlikely that ch-TOG or CLASP1 was abundantly present at the nucleation site. We concluded that a detectable level of assembly of ch-TOG or CLASP1 at MT minus ends was not involved in γ-tubulin–independent MT regrowth.

γ-tubulin–independent MT nucleation and MTOC formation during prometaphase

Next, we tested the involvement of γ-tubulin in mitotic MT nucleation through MT depolymerization and regrowth assay in prometaphase (Video 5). MTs were depolymerized by 24-h nocodazole treatment and 4-h incubation on ice (Fig. 7 A). In control cells with γ-tubulin, MTs were undetectable, except for one or two spots (Fig. 7 B, 0 min). These most likely reflected centriole-dependent MTs, as MT foci were colocalized with centrin-2 signals in immunostaining images (Fig. S5 A), and the punctate signal was not observed when centriole was depleted by a total of 12 d of incubation with centrinone, a chemical inhibitor of the centriole duplication factor Plk4 (Wong et al., 2015;...
Fig. S5 B). Upon nocodazole washout and returning the cells to 25°C, MTs were immediately and predominantly nucleated from the centrosomes (Fig. 7 B). In the cells in which γ-tubulin was undetectable, MTs similarly disappeared from the mitotic cytoplasm, retaining one or two punctate signals at the centriole (Fig. 7 C, 0 min). Upon nocodazole washout, MT regrowth was observed, albeit more slowly, in the absence of γ-tubulin signals (Fig. 7 C, 20–30 min). Impaired regrowth was consistent with the results of a previous study in which a γ-TuRC component was depleted in HeLa cells (Lüders et al., 2006). Interestingly, in addition to centriolar MTOCs (blue arrow at 6 min 30 s), noncentriolar MTOCs (ncMTOCs) appeared in HCT116 cells, from which MTs later emanated radially (Fig. 7 C, right, green arrows). These MTOCs did not have detectable γ-tubulin signals, indicating that MTs are nucleated independent of γ-tubulin or preexisting MTs. We confirmed that SiR-tubulin staining had negligible impact, as ncMTOCs (visualized by TPX2-mCherry) appeared at similar times and numbers with or without SiR-tubulin staining (Fig. S5, C–E). Thus, γ-tubulin is not essential for MT nucleation in prometaphase.

TPX2 and CLASP1 promote mitotic MTOC formation, whereas ch-TOG is critical for mitotic MT growth, in the absence of γ-tubulin

The ncMTOC is formed through MT nucleation, initial growth, stabilization, and clustering. We investigated the impact of ch-TOG, CAMSAPs, or CLASP1 depletion on ncMTOC formation in the absence of γ-tubulin.

First, in the absence of ch-TOG alone, ncMTOCs that were clearly separated from centrioles were observed in ~50% of the cells, probably because centrosomal MT growth was suppressed and tubulins were available for other MTOC formation (Fig. S5, F and I). ncMTOCs were also observed in CLASP1 knockdown;
however, centrosomal MTOCs were dominant over ncMTOCs in this case (Fig. S5, G and I). In both cases, MT regrowth from MTOCs was not suppressed (Fig. S5 J).

Next, we conducted a regrowth assay after codepletion with γ-tubulin. MT depolymerization removed most MTs, except one or two punctate centriolar signals, similar to control or single γ-tubulin-depleted cells (Fig. 7, D and E, 0 min). In C. elegans, codepletion of γ-tubulin and the ch-TOG orthologue does not result in additional loss of MT regrowth in mitotic cells (Hannak et al., 2002). However, when γ-tubulin and ch-TOG were

Figure 6. CLASP1 promotes γ-tubulin-independent MT generation. (A–C) Suppression of MT regrowth by double depletion of γ-tubulin and ch-TOG (A), CLASP1 (B), or CAMSAP1/2 (C, CAMSAP3 KO background) at 25°C. Depleted cells are marked in red circles, whereas the surrounding cells with γ-tubulin and MAPs acted as the internal controls. Scale bars, 10 µm. (D and E) Frequency of MT appearance (D) and MT intensity (E) in the indicated lines (25°C, 30 min after nocodazole washout). Means and SDs are as follows (from left to right): 304 ± 200, 156 ± 76, 200 ± 124, and 121 ± 72. The one-way ANOVA detected significant differences between groups (F = 8.437, P < 0.0001). The post-hoc test was performed by Tukey’s test (P = 0.0009, P = 0.0313, and P < 0.0001). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01. (F) Time of MT appearance after nocodazole washout at 37°C in the indicated lines. N values are listed within each graph.
Figure 7. **CLASP1 and TPX2 promote γ-tubulin–independent MTOC formation in mitosis.** (A) Flowchart of MT regrowth assay in mitosis. (B–F) MT regrowth after drug washout in the indicated cell lines. The cells with undetectable levels of γ-tubulin and MAPs are marked in red circles, whereas the control
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codepleted, mitotic MT formation was severely suppressed, consistent with the results of the interphase (Fig. 7 D). Interestingly, the initial ncMT OC formation was not substantially affected, indicating that MT nucleation occurred, but the subsequent growth was impaired (Fig. 7 D, green arrows, and Fig. 7 G). Similarly, depletion of CAMSAPs did not affect the timing of ncMT OC formation (Fig. 7 G). In contrast, after depletion of CLASP1, the appearance of ncMT OCs was dramatically delayed (Fig. 7, E and G). These results suggest that ch-TOG is essential for MT growth, but not the initial nucleation step, whereas CLASP1 contributes to ncMT OC formation in the absence of γ-tubulin.

TPX2 plays a role in noncentrosomal MT formation during mitosis in multiple cell lines (Gruss et al., 2002). In the MT regrowth assay using LLC-PK1 and HeLa cells, TPX2 was found to be responsible for noncentriolar MT formation in the presence of γ-tubulin (Cavazza et al., 2016; Katayama et al., 2008; Tulu et al., 2006). We reasoned that this protein might also contribute to ncMT OC formation in the absence of γ-tubulin. We first selected the degron line (Fig. S1 E) and performed the mitotic MT depolymerization/regrowth assay in the presence of γ-tubulin. Similar to the ch-TOG degron, ncMT OCs were observed in ~50% of the cells, and MTs regrew from the MTOCs (Fig. S5, H–J). This is somewhat different from what has been observed in other studies using different cell lines; in our HCT116 cells, TPX2 was dispensable for ncMT OC formation. To test the contribution of TPX2 in the absence of γ-tubulin, we selected a double-degron line of TPX2 and γ-tubulin and, furthermore, combined TPX2 RNAi with γ-tubulin single or CLASP1/γ-tubulin double degrons. We observed a delay in the appearance of ncMT OCs in either case, indicating that TPX2 promotes ncMT OC formation (Fig. 7, F and G). However, ncMT OCs were eventually formed in >50% of the cells in either sample, suggesting that other unknown factors might also nucleate MTs in the absence of γ-tubulin during mitosis.

Roles of Aurora and Plk1 kinases

Three mitotic kinases have been implicated in mitotic MT generation in previous studies: Plk1/Polo (Cavazza et al., 2016) and Aurora A at the centrosome (Katayama et al., 2008; Magnaghi-Jaulin et al., 2019) and Aurora B in chromosome-proximal regions independent of the centrosome (Carmena et al., 2012). In C. elegans, centrosomal MT generation was additionally suppressed by depleting γ-tubulin and Aurora A (Motegei et al., 2006). We tested the contribution of these kinases to γ-tubulin–independent MT regrowth in prometaphase by preventing their kinase activity with specific inhibitors in γ-tubulin–depleted cells (Fig. 8 A).

When the Plk1 inhibitor BI2536 was supplied, ncMT OCs formed at normal timing, similar to the control γ-tubulin single-depleted cells (Fig. 8, B, C, and F). In contrast, the inhibition of Aurora B inhibitor by ZM447439 or Aurora A kinase by alisertib delayed ncMT OC formation in the absence of γ-tubulin (Fig. 8, D–F). The effect of Aurora B was reproduced by RNAi knockdown of Aurora B. Aurora A and B may be partly involved in noncentriolar MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin.

Discussion

MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin

The γ-TuRC is the dominant and arguably the only established cellular MT nucleator in a wide variety of cells. However, experimental perturbation of γ-TuRC in various cell types has never led to a complete loss of cellular MTs. Using a single cell–based assay that monitors both MTs and endogenous γ-tubulin, we unambiguously demonstrated that MTs could be nucleated in the absence of γ-TuRC in human colon cancer cells.

Our functional analysis suggested a possible involvement of MAPs in nucleation. The mitotic MT regrowth assay provided valuable information about non–γ-tubulin MT nucleators, as ncMT OC was visible in almost all cells when γ-tubulin was depleted. The data indicated that TPX2 and CLASP1 contribute to MT formation. In Xenopus egg extracts and by sophisticated in vitro reconstitution, TPX2 was shown to activate augmin- and γ-tubulin–dependent branching nucleation (Alfaro-Aco et al., 2020) and promote template-based nucleation (Wieczorek et al., 2015). Our data suggest that TPX2 not only stimulates the γ-tubulin–dependent process but also potentiates template-free MT nucleation independent of γ-tubulin in the cell. This is consistent with the finding that recombinant TPX2 can promote MT nucleation in vitro (Brunet et al., 2004; Gruss et al., 2002; Roostalu et al., 2015). From in vitro studies, CLASP1 is best known as a MT stabilizer acting on the MT plus ends and the lattice; it inhibits MT catastrophe and promotes rescue and pausing (Aher et al., 2018; Al-Bassam et al., 2010; Moriwaki and Goshima, 2016; Yu et al., 2016). In mitosis, the kinetochore function of CLASP1 has been extensively analyzed; however, to our knowledge, the MT nucleation functions have not been extensively discussed (Logarinho et al., 2012; Maffini et al., 2009). CLASPs are known to promote Golgi-mediated MT nucleation during the interphase (Efimov et al., 2007). This function involves AKAP450 and γ-tubulin and is therefore considered to promote γ-tubulin–dependent nucleation (Efimov et al., 2007; Gavilan et al., 2018; Rivero et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2016). It is possible that CLASP1 binds to tubulins and MTs, contributing to the formation of the critical nucleus independent of γ-tubulin. However, because MTOC formation requires not only MT nucleation but also initial growth, stabilization, and clustering, it cannot be ruled out that TPX2 and CLASP1 regulate the latter three processes.

γ-tubulin–independent ncMT OC formation was observed in the absence of ch-TOG or CAMSAPs during prometaphase, suggesting that they are not essential for mitotic MT nucleation. MT growth from these ncMT OCs was also observed frequently in the absence of CAMSAPs. In contrast, MT growth from both...
Figure 8. Aurora kinases contribute to γ-tubulin-independent MTOC formation in mitosis. (A) Flowchart of MT depolymerization-regrowth assay in prometaphase combined with AID and drug treatment. (B–E) MT regrowth after drug washout in the indicated cell lines and treatment. The cells with
centriolar and ncMTOCs was inhibited in the absence of ch-TOG and γ-tubulin. We interpret that ch-TOG is dispensable for noncentriolar MT nucleation, at least in the presence of CLASP1 and TPX2, but is critical for MT polymerization, which is consistent with the established role of ch-TOG as the MT polymerase. Regarding centriolar MTOCs, an intriguing possibility is that ch-TOG catalyzes centriole-based MT nucleation, independent of γ-tubulin. This is consistent with the proposal on C. elegans centrosomes, where the ch-TOG homologue is recruited and concentrates tubulin for nucleation (Woodruff et al., 2017). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that ch-TOG catalyzes plus-end polymerization from nocodazole-resistant MTs at the centriole.

ch-TOG, CAMSAPs, and CLASP1 were important during γ-tubulin–dependent MT generation in interphase. Interestingly, the assay conducted at 37°C distinguished the phenotype of ch-TOG and CLASP1, which is a favorable condition for tubulin to nucleate and polymerize MTs; MT appearance was delayed specifically in the absence of CLASP1, consistent with the mitosis results. Thus, CLASP1 might be considered involved in the nucleation step, whereas ch-TOG is more critical in MT polymerization in this cell line. The specific role of CAMSAPs remains unclear, as it is required for MT minus-end stabilization (Jiang et al., 2014) and might also drive nucleation (Imasaki et al., 2021). In C. elegans, the CAMSAP homologue promotes the assembly of noncentrosomal MT arrays in parallel with γ-tubulin (Wang et al., 2015).

Are there other factors redundant with CLASP1 and TPX2 for nucleation? Our protein-depletion experiments did not provide all-or-none results. Most notably, we still observed ncMTOCs in ~30% of the cells after the depletion of γ-tubulin, CLASP1, and TPX2. This might reflect the incomplete depletion of target proteins by AID and RNAi. Alternatively, other MT nucleation factors may also exist. In any case, our data indicate that MT nucleation can be promoted by multiple MAPs in human cells (CLASP1 and TPX2 at the minimum, and possibly more).

The mechanism by which CLASP1 or TPX2 promotes nucleation at the molecular level remains unclear. Our imaging suggests that CLASP1 does not form clusters large enough to be visualized by oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy. Thus, the mechanism would be fundamentally different from γ-tubulin–mediated nucleation, where the ring arrangement of 13 γ-tubulin molecules drives nucleation. These MAPs may enhance the longitudinal and lateral contact between tubulins (Roostalu and Surrey, 2017). Regarding TPX2, phase-separated condensates might act as the tubulin concentrator and thereby the MT nucleator (King and Petry, 2020). Furthermore, an interesting in vitro study has been published recently, in which the critical nucleus was visualized by electron microscopy (Ayukawa et al., 2021). In their model, the nucleus was characterized by straight tubulin oligomers, which are different from curved tubulin dimers in solution. Provided that this model is correct, TPX2 and CLASP might be considered to convert the curved structure to straight via binding. This is a testable hypothesis in vitro.

Does γ-tubulin–independent nucleation take place in the presence of γ-tubulin?

γ-tubulin–dependent nucleation at the centrosome is predominant in the HCT116 cell line, and therefore, this study could not determine whether γ-tubulin-independent nucleation occurs in normal HCT116 cells. However, a few reports, besides that of a simple mutant analysis, show that the γ-tubulin–independent mechanism is operating and perhaps important in MT generation in other cell types (Roostalu and Surrey, 2017). One system is the protonepal tissue of the moss Physcomitrium patens in which oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy was applied, and the γ-tubulin complex and MTs could be simultaneously observed (Nakaoka et al., 2015). While 90% of the nucleating MTs had γ-tubulin signals at the minus ends, no signals were identified in the other 10% of wild-type cells. The stability of these MTs was explained by the identification of the plant-specific minus-end binding and stabilizing protein Spiral2 (Leong et al., 2018). Another notable system is the noncentrosomal fat body cell in Drosophila, where γ-tubulin is dispensable for perinuclear MTOC formation, despite being localized at the perinuclear region with the activators (Zheng et al., 2020). In these cells, MTs are generated by CAMSAP and ninein, which recruit ch-TOG. These MTs play critical roles in nuclear positioning. However, single MT or minus ends cannot be specifically visualized in live in this system; it is possible that γ-tubulin also contributes to MT nucleation under normal conditions. Finally, using electron tomography of the metaphase spindle, MT ends associated with γ-TuRC (ends are closed) and without γ-TuRC (ends are open) were detected (Kamasaki et al., 2013; O’Toole et al., 2003). The open ends represent either plus ends of MTs or the minus ends of MTs nucleated independent of γ-tubulin. Taken together, it can be assumed that the γ-tubulin–independent mechanism operates and plays a role in the activity of at least certain animal and plant cell types.

Materials and methods

Plasmid, cell culture, and cell line selection

Plasmids for CRISPR-Cas9-mediated genome editing and AID were constructed with PCR, ligation, and the In-Fusion Cloning Kit (Takara Bio). The plasmids and sgRNA sequences used in this study are listed in Table S1 and Table S2, respectively. In the normal passage, the HCT116 cell line possessing doxycycline (DOX)-inducible tet-OsTIR1 was cultured at 37°C with McCoy’s 5A medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% serum and 1% antibiotics (Natsume et al., 2016). Knockin and KO lines were generated by CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing essentially as previously described (Okumura et al., 2018). Namely, CRISPR-Cas9
and donor plasmids were cotransfected into the cell lines using Effectene (Qiagen). For drug selection, 1 µg/ml puromycin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries), 800 µg/ml G418 (Roche), 200 µg/ml hygromycin B (Wako Pure Chemical Industries), and 8 µg/ml blasticidin S hydrochloride (Funakoshi Biotech) were used. Selection medium was replaced with fresh selection medium 4–5 d after starting selection. After 10–14 d, colonies grown on a 10-cm culture dish were washed once with PBS, picked up with a pipette tip under a microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific; EVOS XL) located on a clean bench, and subsequently transferred to a 96-well plate containing 50 µl trypsin-EDTA. After a few minutes, the trypsinized cells were transferred to a 24-well plate containing 500 µl of the selection medium and then further transferred to a 96-well plate (200 µl per well) for the preparation of genomic DNA. For the preparation of genomic DNA, cells in the 96-well plate were washed once with PBS and lysed by 90 µl of 50 mM NaOH. After boiling for 10 min, the solution was equilibrated by 10 µl of 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0). To confirm the genomic insertion, PCR was performed using 1–2 µl of the genomic DNA solution and Tks Gflex DNA polymerase (Takara Bio). The primers used are listed in Table S3. For the TubG2-KO/TubG1-mClover-mAID line, γ-tubulin and α-tubulin were immunoblotted with monoclonal antibodies GTU88 (Sigma; 1:10,000) and DM1A (Sigma; 1:2,000), respectively, and the lack of untagged γ-tubulin protein was confirmed. Proper tagging to ch-TOG, CLASP1 and TPX2, and biallelic deletion of CAMSAP3 and AKAP450 were confirmed by immunoblotting with specific antibodies as follows: ch-TOG (QED Bioscience, #34032, 1:1,000), CLASP1 (Abcam; ab108620, 1:1,000), TPX2 (anti-rabbit, 1:200, a gift of Dr. Isabelle Vernos, Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain; Gruss et al., 2002), CAMSAP3 (anti-rabbit, 1:200, a gift of Dr. Masatoshi Takeichi, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe, Japan; Tanaka et al., 2012), and AKAP450 (anti-rabbit, 1:1,000, a gift of Dr. Yoshitaka Ono, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan; Takahashi et al., 1999). All tagged lines grew in a manner that was indistinguishable from the parental line, indicating that the tag did not substantially affect protein function. To activate auxin-inducible degradation, cells were treated with 2 µg/ml doxycycline for 20–24 h and 500 µM IAA for the duration indicated in each figure. RNAi was performed using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) and specific primers listed in Table S4, following manufacturer’s instruction.

Biochemistry
Immunoblotting was performed using a standard protocol with SDS sample buffer, except for ch-TOG detection, which might be prone to degradation during this procedure. For ch-TOG, cells were treated with 4 M urea-containing sample buffer for 10 min at room temperature (Ito and Goshima, 2015). Sucrose gradient centrifugation was performed using previously reported methods (Choi et al., 2010; Teixidó-Travesa et al., 2010). Confluent cells on three 10-cm culture dishes were lysed with 800 µl lysis buffer (50 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% NP-40, 100 µM GTP, and protease inhibitors), followed by 27-G needle passages. After two rounds of centrifugation (13,000 rpm, 15 min in a tabletop centrifuge and 50,000 rpm, 15 min in TLA100.3 rotor [Beckman]), 500 µl supernatant was loaded onto a 10–40% sucrose gradient in a SETON tube (#7022), which was prepared using Gradient Station (BIOCIP), and centrifuged in an MLS-50 rotor (Beckman) at 50,000 rpm for 3 h 45 min at 4°C. Fractionation was performed with Gradient Station attached to MicroCollector (ATTO; AC-5700P). Aldolase (7.4S) and thyroglobulin (19S) were used as size markers.

Microscopy
Imaging was mostly performed using spinning-disc confocal microscopy with a Plan Apo 60× 1.40 NA lens (Nikon). A CSU-XI confocal unit (Yokogawa Electric Corporation) and an electron-multiplying charge coupled device camera (Hamamatsu Photonics; ImagEM) were attached to a Ti-E inverted microscope (Nikon) with a perfect focus system. Several differential interference contrast images were acquired with another spinning-disc confocal microphone, in which CSU-W1 and ORCA-Flash4.0 digital CMOS camera (Hamamatsu Photonics) were attached to Ti-E (courtesy of Dr. Tomomi Kiyomitsu, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Okinawa, Japan). Oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy was performed following the method used for plant cell imaging (Nakaoka et al., 2015). Briefly, the cortical region of interphase cells on the glass-bottom dish was imaged every 2 s with a Nikon Ti-E microscope equipped with an electron-multiplying charge coupled device camera (Roper; Evolve) and the total internal reflection fluorescence unit and an Apo 100 × 1.49 NA lens (Nikon). A fragment of broken glass was placed on the sample to flatten the cells. Imaging for regrowth assay was performed mostly at 25–26°C and sometimes at 37°C as indicated in the figures. Time-lapse imaging of regular mitosis and the degron efficiency analysis were performed at 37°C. The microscopes were controlled using NIS-Elements software (Nikon). Centrin-2 immunostaining was performed with a specific antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; rabbit, sc-27793-R, 1:500) after methanol fixation. All image analyses of live spinning discs were based on maximum projection images, whereas a single focal plane was shown for the immunofluorescence image and measurement of SiR-tubulin signals. To optimize the image brightness, the same linear adjustments were applied using Fiji. MT growth in prometaphase was determined at 30 min; the appearance of filamentous signals emanating from MTOCs were the indicator of MT regrowth.

MT regrowth assay
The flowcharts are shown in the figures. In one mitosis experiment, typically three or four analyzable cells were obtained; to obtain n ≥ 10, at least three independent experiments were performed. Cells were cultured in four-well glass-bottom dishes (Greiner Bio-One; CELLview, #627870) and maintained in a stage-top incubator (Tokai Hit). 5% CO2 was supplied. The heater was not turned on, and the experiment was performed at room temperature (∼25°C). MTs were stained with 50 nM SiR-tubulin (Spirochrome) for >1 h before image acquisition (Lukiniäiviä et al., 2014; Okumura et al., 2018). Cells in a four-well glass-bottom dish were treated with 40 ng/ml nocodazole on ice for 4 h (interphase) or at 37°C for 20–24 h (prometaphase),
followed by drug washout by medium exchange twice (1 min each, 700–800 µl, at room temperature). This incubation time on ice was set as residual MTs or dead cells were detected by shorter or longer incubation, respectively. The specimen was immediately set under a microscope, and images were acquired. In most experiments, the cells were kept at room temperature immediately set under a microscope, and images were acquired. Shorter or longer incubation, respectively. The specimen was on ice was set as residual MTs or dead cells were detected by (Manfredi et al., 2011) and 0.04 µM (Davis et al., 2015), which is the reported IC50 value of alisertib in HCT116 was 0.032 µM concentrations of 2 µM or higher (Dreier et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010). Moreover, we reproduced the phenotype using RNAi. The reported IC50 value of alisertib in HCT116 was 0.032 µM (Manfredi et al., 2011) and 0.04 µM (Davis et al., 2015), which is much lower than our applied concentration (0.5 µM). Other studies have shown that p53 is fully activated at 0.4 µM or above (Marxer et al., 2014) or that apoptosis is observed similarly at 0.1 µM and 1 µM (Pitts et al., 2016).

Statistical analysis and presentation All statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism software using unpaired two-tailed t-test with Welch’s collection to compare two groups and one-way ANOVA with post-hoc comparison by Tukey’s test to compare four groups (Fig. 6 E). Data distribution was assumed to be normal, but this was not formally tested (ns, P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01). Error bars in the graph represent the SD of each group.

Online supplemental material Fig. S1 presents construction and confirmation of the cell lines used in this study (PCR and immunoblotting). Fig. S2 validates the MT regrowth assay conducted in this study. Fig. S3 presents additional data on MT regrowth ability in interphase cells. Fig. S4 shows ch-TG and CLASP1 localization during γ-tubulin–independent MT nucleation in the interphase cytoplasm. Fig. S5 presents additional data on MTOC formation and MT regrowth in mitosis. Video 1 shows mitotic localization of tagged proteins. Video 2 shows the phenotype after γ-tubulin depletion. Video 3 and Video 4 present MT regrowth after depolymerization in interphase, which was imaged with a spinning-disc confocal microscope or oblique illumination, respectively. Video 5 shows MT regrowth after depolymerization in mitosis. Table S1 lists plasmids used for homologous recombination. Table S2 lists sgRNA sequences used for CRISPR-Cas9–mediated genome editing. Table S3 lists PCR primers used to confirm gene editing. Table S4 lists primers used for RNAi.
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γ-tubulin-independent microtubule nucleation
Figure S1. Construction and confirmation of the cell lines used in this study. Gene-targeting strategy, PCR strategy, PCR results, and immunoblotting results are shown for the cell lines used in this study. “P” marks at the top of the panels represent parental lines, whereas numbers (e.g., 4 and 16) indicate line identifications. M, size marker. Asterisks indicate nonspecific bands. (A) mAID-mClover (mAC) tagging to TubG1. PCR amplified a 3.7-kb fragment in the tagged line. Immunoblotting results are shown in Fig. 1 A. (B) Confirmation of TubG2 KO by PCR. The lack of bands derived from exons was confirmed in the KO line. The TubG1 exon and TubG2 UTRs were amplified as positive controls. (C) mAID-mCherry (mACh) or mCherry (mCh) tagging to ch-TOG. PCR amplified a 5.2-kb fragment in the tagged line. Immunoblotting results are shown on the right; the band is shifted upwards with the tag. (D) mAID-mCherry (mACh) tagging to CLASP1. PCR amplified a 5.3-kb fragment in the tagged line. Immunoblotting results are shown on the right; the band is shifted upward with the tag. (E) mAID-mCherry or mCherry tagging to TPX2. PCR amplified a 3.0-kb fragment in the tagged line. Immunoblotting results are shown on the right; the band is shifted upwards with the tag. (F) PCR and immunoblotting confirmation of AKAP450 KO cells. DNA amplification was not observed when a primer targeting an exon was used for the KO line, whereas the hygromycin cassette was amplified with the primers designed at UTRs (this primer set did not amplify very long AKAP450 genes in the parental line). Immunoblotting showed a specific >250-kD band only in the parental line. (G) PCR and immunoblotting confirmation of CAMSAP3 KO. DNA amplification was not observed when a primer targeting an exon was used for the KO line. Immunoblotting showed a specific ~150-kD band only in the parental line. Puro, puromycin; Neo, neomycin; BSD, blasticidin; Hyg, hygromycin.
Figure S2. Validation of MT regrowth assay. (A) Mitosis of HCT116 cell line at 25°C. Time 0 corresponds to NEBD. (B) Mitotic duration at 25°C (NEBD to anaphase onset). (C) MT nucleation in the absence of γ-tubulin, without SiR-tubulin staining, in interphase. The cells with undetectable levels of γ-tubulin are marked in red circles. MTs were visualized using ch-TOG-mCherry (arrows). (D and E) MT dynamics of γ-tubulin–depleted cells based on ch-TOG-mCherry signals with or without SiR-tubulin staining. MT growth rate was determined based on kymographs of ch-TOG-mCherry. Data are presented as mean ± SD with SiR-tubulin (59 ± 30 nm/s, 11 ± 6 min) and without SiR-tubulin (72 ± 60 nm/s, 14 ± 4 min). Statistical evaluation was performed using unpaired t test with Welch’s correction (P = 0.3644 and P = 0.1315). n values are listed within each graph.
Figure S3. **Additional data on MT regrowth ability in interphase cells.** (A, B, and D–F) MT regrowth in various lines. The cells marked in red circles show no detectable γ-tubulin signals. (C and G) Frequency of MT regrowth. MT appearance was assessed 30 min after nocodazole washout. The TubG1 degron data in C are the duplicates of Fig. 6D. *n* values are listed within each graph.
Figure S4.  

**ch-TOG and CLASP1 localization during γ-tubulin–independent MT nucleation in the interphase cytoplasm.** Oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy of TubG1-mAID-mClover, ch-TOG-mCherry (or CLASP1-mCherry), and SiR-tubulin. (A–D) Three-color images were acquired to show γ-tubulin depletion in the first frame (A and C), followed by two-color imaging every 2 s (B and D). A part of the cytoplasm (boxed in A and C) is magnified to show a nucleation event (B and D). Cells marked in red circles showed no detectable γ-tubulin signals. Arrows indicate MT minus ends.
Figure S5. Additional data on MTOC formation and MT regrowth in mitosis. (A and B) Centriolar MTs remain even after rigorous MT depolymerization with nocodazole (arrows). (C) MTOC formation in the absence of γ-tubulin, with or without SiR-tubulin in mitosis. The cells with undetectable levels of γ-tubulin are marked in red circles. Arrows indicate noncentriolar MTOCs. TPX2-mCherry was used to visualize MTs. (D) The time of new MTOC appearance after nocodazole washout in the absence of γ-tubulin with or without SiR-tubulin staining (18 ± 6 min [SD], 16 ± 5 min, \( P = 0.483 \), unpaired t test with Welch’s correction). (E) Total MTOC numbers did not change with or without SiR-tubulin staining. (F–H) MT regrowth after ch-TOG, CLASP1, or TPX2 degron treatment. Circles indicate the cells with undetectable levels of proteins. Note that γ-tubulin is intact in these lines. Scale bars, 10 µm. Blue and green arrows on the right panel indicate the centriole and noncentriolar MTOCs, respectively. (I and J) Total MTOC numbers per cell and the frequency of cells with MTs at 30 min after nocodazole washout. \( n \) values are listed within each graph.
Video 1. **Mitotic localization of tagged proteins.** mClover-tagged TubG1 and mCherry-tagged ch-TOG, CLASP1, and TPX2 were imaged with MTs (visualized with SiR-tubulin) using spinning-disc confocal microscopy. Time indicates hours and minutes. Video plays at 2.5 fps. Scale bar, 10 µm.

Video 2. **Spindle phenotype after γ-tubulin depletion.** Mitotic progression after γ-tubulin depletion. MTs (green) were visualized using SiR-tubulin, and imaging was performed using spinning-disc confocal microscopy. Magenta; TubG1-mClover. Video plays at 5 fps. Time is shown in hours and minutes.

Video 3. **MT regrowth after depolymerization in interphase.** Indicated proteins were depleted by AID in cells marked in white circles. MTs were visualized by SiR-tubulin and imaged using spinning-disc confocal microscopy. Video plays at 30 fps. Time indicates minutes and seconds.

Video 4. **MT regrowth after depolymerization in interphase-oblique illumination.** MT regrowth in a γ-tubulin–depleted cell was observed using oblique illumination fluorescence microscopy. The region near the cell cortex was visualized using microscopy. Ring-shaped MTs are indicated by arrows. Video plays at 10 fps. Time indicates minutes and seconds.

Video 5. **MT regrowth after depolymerization in mitosis.** The indicated proteins were depleted by AID in the white-circled cells. MTs were visualized by SiR-tubulin and imaged using spinning-disc confocal microscopy. Multiple ncMTOCs were detected in the γ-tubulin single-depleted cells. Video plays at 10 fps. Time indicates minutes and seconds.

Four supplemental tables are provided online. Table S1 lists plasmids used for homologous recombination. Table S2 lists sgRNA sequences used to CRISPR-Cas9–mediated genome editing. Table S3 lists PCR primers used to confirm gene editing. Table S4 lists primers used for RNAi.