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Abstract
The exceedance of nitrate and nitrite concentrations over the water standard quality has caused potential human health dangers such as blue baby syndrome and the growth of aquatic plants (eutrophication). In this work, a Purolite A400 anion exchange resin impregnated by Cu (Purolite A400-Cu) is used to remove nitrate and nitrite in wastewater. High saturation capacities of 0.76 mg N/g and 0.88 mg N/g-nitrate and 0.10 mg N/g and 0.11 mg N/g-nitrite are obtained from Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu. Scanning electron microscope measurement shows that the surface of Purolite A400-Cu is rough due to other deposited materials that originate from Cu deposition. Energy dispersive spectroscopy measurement indicates that the increase in adsorption is due to Cu impregnation with the addition of a positive surface charge on the resin by Cu. The adsorption capacities of nitrate and nitrite decrease with increases in sulphate, phosphate and chloride concentrations. Data are obtained from a fixed-bed column using the Thomas equation model. The breakthrough curve shows the Cₜ/C₀ ratio values in Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu. Large Cₜ/C₀ values of 0.55 and 0.52-nitrate and 0.48 and 0.03-nitrite are obtained from Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu.
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Abstrak
Kepekatan nitrat dan nitrit yang melebihi piawaian kualiti air akan berpotensi membahayakan kesehatan manusia, sindrom biru-bayi dan pertumbuhan tumbuhan akuatik (eutrofikasi). Dalam kajian ini, resina anion exchanger Purolite A400 dipenuhi Cu (Purolite A400-Cu) digunakan untuk mengurangkan nitrat dan nitrit dalam air sisa. Kapasiti kapas tertinggi 0.76 mg N/g dan 0.88 mg N/g-nitrat dan 0.10 mg N/g dan 0.11 mg N/g-nitriti diperolehi dari Purolite A400 dan Purolite A400-Cu. Pengukuran pengukuran dengan menggunakan spektrometri serakan tenaga (EDS) membuktikan bahawa peningkatan penjerapan adalah disebabkan oleh impregnasi Cu dengan penambahan caj permukaan positif pada resin oleh Cu. Kapasiti penjerapan nitrat dan nitrit menurun apabila kepekatan sulfat, fosfat dan klorida bertambah. Data diperolehi daripada turus lapisan penjerap tetap menggunakan model persamaan Thomas. Lengkap bulus menunjukkan nilai nisbah Cₜ/C₀ dari Purolite dan Purolite-Cu. Nilai Cₜ/C₀ terbesar diperolehi pada Purolite dan Purolite-Cu masing-masing 0.55 & 0.52-nitrat dan 0.48 & 0.03-nitrit.

Kata kunci: penjerapan nitrit, penjerapan nitrat, resin Purolite A400, rawatan air sisa, impregnasi Cu
Introduction
Nitrogen is an essential element for all living things and can be oxidised to nitrate and nitrite. The content of nitrates and nitrites in water bodies significantly affects water quality. Nitrates are stable and water-soluble ions that have a low tendency of adsorption or co-precipitation [1]. Nitrate concentrations that exceed the water quality standard are caused using nitrate-containing fertilisers and human and animal wastes that are not pre-treated [2]. The consequences of nitrate contamination are potential human health hazards such as methemoglobinemia in infants (called blue baby syndrome) and crop growth (eutrophication) [3]. Therefore, nitrate and nitrite should be removed to enable the produced pollutant load to meet the determined minimum concentration standard.

The processing methods for nitrates and nitrites can be physical, chemical or biological. Physical techniques include reverse osmosis [4], ion exchange [5], catalyst reduction [6], electro-dialysis [7] and carbon activation [8]. Chemical methods include chemical denitrification [9]. Biological techniques include thermophilic nitrification–denitrification [10], ion exchange membrane bioreactor [11] and microbial denitrification [12]. A simple, cost effective and easily implemented adsorption method should be selected to achieve significant efficiency in the uptake of ions and remove secondary pollutants [13]. Adsorption through ion exchange is an efficient method of removing several oxy-anions because adsorbents can be regenerated and reused [14]. The adsorbents, which are also natural resins, applied by the research institute, are modified zeolites with the addition of H₂SO₄ [15], amine group quarter-modified chitosan beads [14] and alloy Zr(IV) with sugar beet pulp [16]. Synthetic resins used in nitrate and nitrite removal are strong base anion exchange resins with considerable adsorption capacity, such as ion-exchange resin Dowex 21K XLT with modified ferrous metals [17], Purolite A100 [18], Purolite A520E [19-20], A-250 IXR [21], Purolite A300 [20] and Indion NSSR [22].

Complementary ions, such as chloride, sulphate and phosphate, that are present in influent solutions can reduce the efficiency of nitrate and nitrite removal. The effect of complementary ions on nitrate and nitrite removal depends on their amount of charge. One-charged chloride ions do not affect removal efficiency, whereas sulphate ions with more than one charge significantly reduce removal efficiency. In previous studies that analysed the effects of complementary ions [20], an increase in the concentration of sulphate complementary ions on Purolite A300 resins decreased the nitrate removal efficiency in modified and unmodified resin types. According to [17], a low concentration of complementary phosphate ions reduces nitrate adsorption capacity, and complementary chloride ions slightly decrease nitrate adsorption capacity with same charge amounts of nitrate ions. Reference [23] indicated that the sequence of anion exchange resin decreases in the sequences of SO₄²⁻ > NO₃⁻ > Cl⁻ > HCO₃⁻.

A metal impregnation method is applied to increase the adsorption capacity of an anion exchange resin and enlarge the positive charge of the resin surface [24]. The impregnated resin increases the attraction between the positively charged metal ion and negatively charged nitrate and nitrite ions, thereby resulting in an increase in adsorption power. Reference [17] showed Fe impregnation in Dowex 21K XLT resin and obtained maximum nitrate adsorption capacities of 27.6 and 75.3 mg N/g in Dowex and Dowex-Fe. Metal impregnation has also been conducted on resins and natural adsorbents, such as Zr(IV) in sugar beet pulp [16], Fe(III) in limestone [25] and Mn(II) in zeolites [26].

In this study, nitrate and nitrite removal was performed using a Purolite A400 resin adsorbent modified with copper (Cu) metal. The nitrate and nitrite adsorption capacities were determined using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu in fixed-bed columns. The Cᵢ/C₀ ratio values were determined on the effect of the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture and the concentration of complementary ions in the Thomas equation model.

Materials and Methods
Purolite A400 resin impregnation
Purolite A400 resin is a strong base anion exchange resin that takes the form of faded yellow spherical beads with a particle size of 300–1200 μm and a density of ± 1.08. The resin comprises a cross-linked polystyrene polymer structure with divinylbenzene and has a quaternary ammonium functional group of 1. Purolite A400 resin was obtained from Purolite Pt. Ltd., Singapore. In this resin, the chloride ion is exchanged with another anion [27].
Purolite A400 resin was impregnated with Cu to enlarge its adsorption capacity. Purolite A400 resin (10 g) was mixed into 1 L CuCl₂·2H₂O solution and then stirred for 1 hour at 120 rpm. The pH was increased to 8.0 by adding a 1 M NaOH solution that was stirred for 1 hour at 30 rpm. The resin was filtered and washed with distilled water and then oven-dried for 24 hours at ± 45 °C [17].

**Influent solution** 
Synthetic wastewater was obtained from the crystalline powders of EMSURE NaNO₃ and EMSURE NaNO₂ dissolved with distilled water with 50 and 5 mg/L concentrations, respectively. The concentrations were 60, 90 and 150 mg/l or 1:1 for the study of the effect of the complementary ions obtained from the crystal powder of EMSURE Na₂SO₄, EMSURE Na₃PO₄ and EMSURE NaCl with determined concentrations for each complementary ion of 45 and 75 mg/l and for the study of the effect of the initial concentration of NaNO₃ + NaNO₂ mixtures.

**Nitrate and nitrite analysis** 
The final concentration (Cᵢ) of nitrate was analysed by a UV analyser Secomam RS232 Pastel tool [28], and the nitrite content was analysed by Cary 50 Conc UV-visible spectrophotometry-variant at a wavelength of 543 nm [29, 30].

**Fixed-bed column study** 
The fixed-bed column used a chromatograph column made of Pyrex tube with a diameter of ± 2.0 cm, height of ± 20 cm, anion resin height in a column of 15 cm and an influent rate to the column at 10 mL/min. The influent wastewater was pumped using a 12 V DC peristaltic pump with downflow through an infusion hose, I.V. needle 21 G x 11/2 and AC–DC adapter NAD-012 Namichi. The effluent wastewater samples were collected every 10 minutes, and the nitrate and nitrite contents were analysed using a UV analyser Secomam and a UV-visible spectrophotometer. The adsorption capacities of removed nitrate and nitrite (mg N/g) from the influent solution that passed through the column is expressed in q, and qₑₜₜ (total of adsorbed nitrate) in the fixed-bed column is the area under the absorbed nitrate concentration curve C_ad (C_ad = C_o−Cᵢ) (mg/l) against the effluent time (t, min) and calculated by equation 1 [19, 31].

\[
q_{\text{total}} = \frac{Q}{1.000} \int_{t=0}^{t=\text{end}} (C_o - C_i) \, dt 
\]

(1)

The equilibrium adsorption capacity (mg N/g) is calculated by equation 2.

\[
q_{\text{eq}} = \frac{q_{\text{total}}}{w} 
\]

(2)

where w is the mass of the adsorbent (g) used in the fixed-bed column.

**Adsorption model** 
The Thomas model used in the fixed-bed column is calculated by equation 3 as follows [32]:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{C_e}{C_i} \right) = -\left( k_{\text{Thomas}} q_m \right) \frac{V_{\text{eff}}}{Q} t 
\]

(3)

where \( V_{\text{eff}} \) = volume of effluent and \( V_{\text{eff}} = Q.t \). Thus, equation 4 can be written as follows [19]:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{C_e}{C_i} \right) = -\left( k_{\text{Thomas}} q_m \right) \frac{V_{\text{eff}}}{Q} t - k_{\text{Thomas}} C_o t 
\]

(4)

where \( k_{\text{Thomas}} \) = Thomas rate constant (mL / min mg), \( q_o \) = maximum solute concentration (nitrate) in solid phase (mg N/g), \( m \) = mass of adsorbent in column (g), \( Q \) = volumetric flow rate (mL/min), \( C_o \) = inlet nitrate concentration (mg
N/L), $C_t$ = concentration of nitrate outlet at time t (mg N/L) and $t$ = filtration time (min). $k_T$ and $q_o$ values are determined from the slope and intercept in the linear line plot, which is $\ln \left( \frac{C_0}{C_t} - 1 \right)$ against time t.

**Results and Discussion**

**Characteristics of anion exchange resin**

The morphology and elemental composition of Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resins were analysed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM)-Jeol 6510-LA and an energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS)-Jeol 6510-LA. The test results are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The surface of Purolite A400 resin is smooth, as shown in Figure 1a, whereas the surface of Purolite A400-Cu resin is rough, as shown in Figure 1b. This finding is due to the presence of other deposited materials on the surface that originated from Cu deposition. Furthermore, the surface of Purolite A400 resin impregnated with Purolite A400-Cu contains Cu ion. The concentration value of impregnated Cu ion impregnated is presented in Table 1. As shown in Figure 2, the content of Cu in Purolite A400-Cu resin is 19.34%.

As shown in Table 1, Cl ion content in Purolite A400 resin also increases after impregnation from 7.98% to 9.67%. The chloride ion (Cl⁻) in the resin is an interchangeable main anion [27].
Table 1. Composition of Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu

| Adsorbent     | C (wt.%) | O (wt.%) | Cl (wt.%) | Cu (wt.%) | Al (wt.%) | Si (wt.%) |
|---------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Purolite A400 | 86.64    | 5.38     | 7.98      | -         | -         | -        |
| Purolite A400-Cu | 64.30 | 5.79     | 9.67      | 19.34     | 0.40      | 0.50     |

Adsorption capacity in fixed-bed columns

The adsorption capacities of Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resins in nitrate and nitrite removal are shown in Tables 2 and 3. The adsorption capacities of nitrate with Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resins at the 1st test column of Table 2 are 13.49 and 17.58 mg N/g, respectively. The equilibrium capacities of nitrate with Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu are 0.67 and 0.88 mg N/g, respectively. Similarly, the adsorption capacities of Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu against nitrite at the 1st test column in Table 3 are 1.99 and 2.05 mg N/g, respectively. The equilibrium capacities are 0.099 and 0.102 mg N/g. The difference between the nitrate and nitrite adsorption capacities using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu are 4.09 and 0.05 mg N/g, respectively.

Table 2. Adsorption capacity of nitrate removal using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu

| No. | Test                     | Purolite A400 | Purolite A400-Cu |
|-----|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|
|     |                          | $C_t$ (mgL$^{-1}$) | $q_{total}$ (mg N/g) | $q_{eq}$ (mg N/g) | $C_t$ (mgL$^{-1}$) | $q_{total}$ (mg N/g) | $q_{eq}$ (mg N/g) |
| 1.  | Nitrate + Nitrite        | 3.3           | 13.49            | 0.67              | 1.8           | 17.58            | 0.88              |
|     | (60 mg/L)                |               |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)       | 4.2           |                  | 1.9               | 3.2           |                  |                   |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)       | 5.9           |                  | 3.2               | 3.3           |                  |                   |
|     | Phosphat (60 mg/L)       | 10.8          |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
| 2.  | Nitrate + Nitrite        | 3.2           | 14.35            | 0.72              | 5.7           | 15.95            | 0.80              |
|     | (75 mg/L)                |               |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
|     | Chloride (45 mg/l)       | 3.5           |                  | 5.9               | 3.7           |                  |                   |
|     | Sulphate (45 mg/l)       | 3.7           |                  | 6.1               | 9.3           |                  |                   |
|     | Phosphat (45 mg/l)       | 9.3           |                  | 6.3               |               |                  |                   |
| 3.  | Nitrate + Nitrite        | 1.8           | 15.09            | 0.76              | 2.7           | 15.20            | 0.76              |
|     | (75 mg/L)                |               |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
|     | Chloride (75 mg/L)       | 3.4           | 15.09            | 0.76              | 3.8           | 15.20            | 0.76              |
|     | Sulphate (75 mg/L)       | 4.4           | 15.09            | 0.76              | 4.0           | 15.20            | 0.76              |
|     | Phosphat (75 mg/L)       | 10.2          | 15.09            | 0.76              | 16.0          | 15.20            | 0.76              |
| 4.  | Nitrate + Nitrite        | 4.1           | 13.55            | 0.68              | 1.9           | 17.59            | 0.88              |
|     | (90 mg/L)                |               |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)       | 6.6           |                  | 2.0               | 10.6          |                  |                   |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)       | 10.6          |                  | 2.0               | 11.8          |                  |                   |
|     | Phosphat (60 mg/L)       | 11.8          |                  | 2.0               |               |                  |                   |
| 5.  | Nitrate + Nitrite        | 1.9           | 12.22            | 0.61              | 3.0           | 15.10            | 0.76              |
|     | (150 mg/L)               |               |                  |                   |               |                  |                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)       | 3.3           |                  | 1.8               | 3.3           |                  |                   |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)       | 4.2           |                  | 1.8               | 5.9           |                  |                   |
|     | Phosphat (60 mg/L)       | 5.9           |                  | 1.8               |               |                  |                   |
The nitrate adsorption capacity using Purolite A400 is 13.49 mg N/g at the adsorption column volume (bed volume) of 9.24 BV. This finding is smaller than using Purolite A400-Cu, which is 17.58 mg N/g at the adsorption column volume (bed volume of) 10.19 BV. Similarly, the nitrite adsorption capacity using Purolite A400 resin with a bed column volume of 20.70 BV is 1.99 mg N/g. This finding is smaller than using Purolite A400-Cu, which is 2.05 mg N/g resin at a bed volume of 18.31 BV. The bed volume values between Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu does not have significant difference. Thus, the bed volume value is the same on Purolite A400.

Table 3. Adsorption capacity of nitrite removal using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu

| No. | Test                  | C<sub>t</sub> (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) | q<sub>total</sub> (mg N/g) | q<sub>eq</sub> (mg N/g) | C<sub>t</sub> (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) | q<sub>total</sub> (mg N/g) | q<sub>eq</sub> (mg N/g) |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1.  | Nitrate + Nitrite     | 0.040                            | 0.006                      | 1.99                   | 0.10                             | 2.05                      | 0.10                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)    | 0.043                            | 0.006                      |                        |                                  |                           |                        |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)    | 0.049                            | 1.99                       | 0.10                   | 0.459                            | 2.05                      | 0.10                   |
|     | Phosphite (60 mg/L)   | 0.057                            |                            |                        | 0.865                            |                           |                        |
| 2.  | Nitrate + Nitrite     | 0.042                            | 0.041                      | 1.99                   | 0.10                             | 2.18                      | 0.11                   |
|     | Chloride (45 mg/L)    | 0.056                            | 0.047                      |                        |                                  |                           |                        |
|     | Sulphate (45 mg/L)    | 0.066                            | 1.99                       | 0.10                   | 0.049                            | 2.18                      | 0.11                   |
|     | Phosphite (45 mg/L)   | 0.126                            |                            |                        | 0.055                            |                           |                        |
| 3.  | Nitrate + Nitrite     | 0.030                            | 0.006                      | 2.03                   | 0.10                             | 2.22                      | 0.11                   |
|     | Chloride (75 mg/L)    | 0.031                            | 0.023                      |                        |                                  |                           |                        |
|     | Sulphate (75 mg/L)    | 0.036                            | 2.03                       | 0.10                   | 0.064                            | 2.22                      | 0.11                   |
|     | Phosphite (75 mg/L)   | 0.054                            |                            |                        | 0.097                            |                           |                        |
| 4.  | Nitrate + Nitrite     | 0.150                            | 0.091                      | 1.27                   | 0.06                             | 1.57                      | 0.08                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)    | 0.784                            | 0.396                      |                        |                                  |                           |                        |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)    | 2.288                            | 1.27                       | 0.06                   | 0.723                            | 1.57                      | 0.08                   |
|     | Phosphite (60 mg/L)   | 3.226                            |                            |                        | 3.214                            |                           |                        |
| 5.  | Nitrate + Nitrite     | 0.036                            | 0.052                      | 1.08                   | 0.05                             | 1.47                      | 0.07                   |
|     | Chloride (60 mg/L)    | 2.235                            |                            |                        | 0.615                            |                           |                        |
|     | Sulphate (60 mg/L)    | 2.869                            | 1.08                       | 0.05                   | 1.581                            | 1.47                      | 0.07                   |
|     | Phosphite (60 mg/L)   | 3.248                            |                            |                        | 3.396                            |                           |                        |

Breakthrough curve on nitrate removal
The nitrate adsorption breakthrough curve using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resins with influent nitrate concentrations of 50 mg/L is shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively. The effect of complementary ion concentration influences adsorption capacity (q<sub>t</sub>) and Thomas (k<sub>Th</sub>) constant. The Thomas model uses a linear regression equation where ln (C<sub>t</sub>/C<sub>1</sub>-1) is the ordinate (x-axis) and time (t) is the abscissa (x-axis). The ln (C<sub>t</sub>/C<sub>1</sub>) value plot of t represents a straight line with a linear equation as y = a + bx, where a is the intercept that indicates the value of adsorption capacity (q<sub>t</sub>) and b is the slope that indicates the value of Thomas’ constant (k<sub>Th</sub>).
The model parameters for the fixed-bed columns using equation 4 are shown in Table 4, where flow rate Q = 10 ml/min, influent nitrate concentration \( C_o = 50 \) mg/l and resin height \( t = 15 \) cm. As shown in Table 4, the value of \( k_{Th} \) increases with the increase of complementary ion concentration (chloride, sulphate and phosphate) and decreases when the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture increases in the nitrate removal using Purolite and Purolite-Cu. This finding shows the decrease in mass transfer rate due to the difference between the concentration of complementary ions in the resin and the concentrations of influent nitrate [33].

### Table 4. Parameter of Thomas equation on nitrate removal

| Test                        | \( kT \) | \( q_0 \) | \( kT \) | \( q_0 \) |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Nitrate + Nitrite (60 mg/L) | 0.044    | 3.199     | 0.024    | 3.578     |
| Chloride + Sulphate + Phosphate (45 mg/L) | 0.036 | 3.238 | 0.004 | 2.087 |
| Chloride + Sulphate + Phosphate (75 mg/L) | 0.060 | 3.915 | 0.063 | 3.735 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (90 mg/L) | 0.042    | 2.769     | 0.020    | 3.527     |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (150 mg/L)| 0.098    | 4.132     | 0.062    | 3.389     |

Each figure shows that the nitrate removal using Purolite A400 with an initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 60 mg/L has a smaller \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of 0.536 compared with concentrations of 90 and 150 mg/L of 0.549 and 0.543 mg/L. For Purolite A400-Cu, \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of 0.52 was slightly smaller at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 90 mg/L compared with the ration of 0.524 at 60 mg/L concentration. However, the value of \( C_t/C_o \) ratio obtained was 0.564 at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 150 mg/L. For the effect of the concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate supplementation using Purolite A400, \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of 0.529 at 45 mg/L is smaller than the ratio of 0.536 at 75 mg/L concentration. Meanwhile, \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of 0.506 at 45 mg/L was significantly lower than \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of 0.556 at 75 mg/L concentration when using Purolite A400-Cu chloride, sulphate and phosphate. The value of \( C_t/C_o \) ratio affected final concentration \( (C_t) \) and filtration time when the resin surface absorbed nitrate ions in the column.

Figures 3 and 4 shows that the \( C_t/C_o \) ratio of Purolite A400-Cu is smaller than that of Purolite A400 without impregnation. This condition is because the time to reach saturation is longer because the active side of Purolite A400-Cu is larger, and more nitrate ions are adsorbed. According to Kalaruban et al. [17], the time to reach saturation is faster using Purolite A400 than Purolite A400-Cu because the number of active sides on Purolite A400 resin surface is less than Purolite A400-Cu when complementary ions exist in the influent solution. The resin is rapidly saturated when the concentration of chloride ions is added to a solution that contains nitrate ions, and the saturation level is achieved when the ratio value of effluent concentration to influent concentration \( (C_t/C_o) \) in the column is large [20].

The breakthrough curve characteristic is sharply increased in Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu. The faster the saturation is achieved, the higher the \( C_t/C_o \) ratio will be obtained. This condition occurs because the residence time in the column does not require considerable time to reach the adsorption equilibrium and the effluent nitrate solution leaves the column before the equilibrium is reached because the contact time between nitrate ions with Purolite A400 is short and the allowance efficiency decreases in saturation time [33].
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Figure 3. Breakthrough curve on nitrate removal based on the effect of initial concentration of nitrate and nitrite blends using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu

Figure 4. Breakthrough curve on nitrate removal based on the effect of compound ion concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu

**Breakthrough curve on nitrite allowance**

Nitrite adsorption breakthrough curves using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resins with influent nitrite concentrations of 5 mg/L are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. The model parameters for the fixed-bed column shown in Table 5 are expressed in equation 4, where flow rate \( Q = 10 \) mL/min, influent nitrite concentration \( C_o = 5 \) mg/L and resin height \( t = 15 \) cm. As shown in Table 3, the value of \( k_{th} \) increases with the increase of complementary ion concentration (chloride, sulphate and phosphate) and reduces when the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture increases at the nitrite removal using Purolite A400-Cu. This condition shows the decrease in mass transfer rate due to the difference between the concentration of complementary ions in the resin and the concentrations of influent nitrate [33].
Each figure shows that nitrite removal using Purolite A400 with an initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 60 mg/L has a greater C_t/C_o ratio of 0.48 compared with the ratios of 0.25 and 0.17 at 90 and 150 mg/L concentrations. For Purolite A400-Cu, the C_t/C_o ratio value at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture 60 mg/L was significantly smaller than the C_t/C_o ratio of 0.03. The value of C_t/C_o ratio decreased to 0.20 at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 90 mg/L. Meanwhile, the value of C_t/C_o ratio slightly decreased to 0.14 at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite mixture of 150 mg/L. For the effect of the concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate ions using Purolite A400, a smaller C_t/C_o ratio value was obtained at a concentration of 45 mg/L 0.42 compared with the ratio of 0.45 at 75 mg/L concentration. However, a large C_t/C_o ratio of 0.48 was obtained at 45 mg/mL concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate when using Purolite A400-Cu. Meanwhile, the value of C_t/C_o ratio was 0.22 at 75 mg/L concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate. The C_t/C_o ratio
value influenced final concentration ($C_t$) and filtration time when the resin surface absorbed nitrite ions in the column.

### Table 5. Parameter of Thomas Equation on nitrite removal

| Test                              | $k_T$ | $q_0$ | $k_T$ | $q_0$ |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Purolite                          |       |       |       |       |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (60 mg/L)       | 0.012 | 4.948 | 0.197 | 9.254 |
| Chloride + Sulphate + Phosphate (45 mg/L) | 0.035 | 5.195 | 0.009 | 4.866 |
| Chloride + Sulphate + Phosphate (75 mg/L) | 0.019 | 5.384 | 0.092 | 7.384 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (90 mg/L)       | 0.137 | 4.614 | 0.143 | 5.505 |
| Nitrate + Nitrite (150 mg/L)      | 0.171 | 5.332 | 0.171 | 5.914 |
| Purolite-Cu                       |       |       |       |       |

Figures 5 and 6 show that the $C/C_0$ ratio using Purolite A400-Cu is significantly small and the time to reach saturation is long because the active side of Purolite A400-Cu resin has many pores and the adsorbed nitrite ions are greater than Purolite A400. However, the $C/C_0$ ratio using Purolite A400 is smaller than Purolite A400-Cu for the influence of the 45 mg/L concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate 45. This finding is inconsistent with existing theory on the role of $k_T$ and $q_0$ values in $C/C_0$ ratio. If the values of $k_T$ and $q_0$ using Purolite A400-Cu are relatively small, then the $C/C_0$ ratio value is greater than Purolite A400. The breakthrough curve sharply increases due to the influence of the initial concentration of the mixture of nitrate + nitrite 60 mg/L and the effect of complementary ion concentration chloride, sulphate and phosphate of 75 mg/L. According to Li and Yang [23], breakthrough curves increased enormously because they dealt with complementary ion in the influent nitrite solution and mass transfer rate. A breakthrough point was achieved in a high influent concentration, and the increased concentration of influent adsorbate diminished the breakpoint time because the resin active site binding adsorbate rapidly saturated [32]. According to Babu and Gupta [33], the value of external mass transfer coefficient had a significant influence on the breakthrough curve. If the value of the external mass transfer coefficient is greater, the $C/C_0$ ratio value is not close to 1 (close to few constant values, value <1 and asymptotically constant after a certain time). The value of $C/C_0$ ratio asymptotically becomes constantly close to 1 when the value of external mass transfer coefficient is small.

### Conclusion

Adsorption capacity was increased by modifying the adsorbent with metal impregnation that aimed at enlarging the surface positive charge. Cu content was obtained from Purolite A400 resin of 19.34%, and the adsorption capacities of nitrate and nitrite removal using Purolite A400 and Purolite A400-Cu resin were 13.49 (nitrate) and 17.58 mg N/g (nitrate) and 1.99 (nitrite) and 2.05 mg N/g (nitrite), respectively. The ratio of $C/C_0$ to nitrate and nitrite removal using Purolite A400-Cu resin was small, and the saturation time was achieved with a long time because the active side of Purolite A400-Cu was large, and many nitrate and nitrite ions were adsorbed. The value of $C/C_0$ in nitrate and nitrite removal was 0.506 at 45 mg/L concentration of chloride, sulphate and phosphate, and the value of $C/C_0$ was 0.03 at the initial concentration of nitrate + nitrite 60 mg/L mixture ratio.
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