Switching 2D magnetic states via pressure tuning of layer stacking
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The physical properties of two-dimensional van der Waals crystals can be sensitive to interlayer coupling. For two-dimensional magnets1–3, theory suggests that interlayer exchange coupling is strongly dependent on layer separation while the stacking arrangement can even change the sign of the interlayer magnetic exchange, thus drastically modifying the ground state4–8. Here, we demonstrate pressure tuning of magnetic order in the two-dimensional magnet CrI₃. We probe the magnetic states using tunnelling4–8 and scanning magnetic circular dichroism microscopy measurements2. We find that interlayer magnetic coupling can be more than doubled by hydrostatic pressure. In bilayer CrI₃, pressure induces a transition from layered antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic phase. In trilayer CrI₃, pressure can create coexisting domains of three phases, one ferromagnetic and two antiferromagnetic. The observed changes in magnetic order can be explained by changes in the stacking arrangement. Such coupling between stacking order and magnetism provides ample opportunities for designer magnetic phases and functionalities.

In a van der Waals (vdW) material, a relative shift of a fraction of a lattice constant between adjacent layers can cause a drastic change in certain physical properties. In particular, if the material is magnetic it can modify the interlayer exchange pathways leading to a change in the magnitude4–9 and sign of the interlayer exchange coupling4–9. For example, bulk two-dimensional (2D) magnet CrI₃ has monoclinic stacking at room temperature and undergoes a transition to rhombohedral stacking at 220 K (ref. 10). This stacking has been reported to display ferromagnetic interlayer coupling below the critical temperature of 61 K (Fig. 1a)15. On the other hand, thin exfoliated CrI₃ has been found to act as a layered antiferromagnetic insulator in which adjacent ferromagnetic monolayers are antiferromagnetically coupled. Second harmonic generation measurements have revealed a C₃ᵥ symmetry in bilayer CrI₃ (ref. 16), consistent with recent theoretical proposals that antiferromagnetic coupling is associated with monoclinic layer stacking15 (Fig. 1b). Recently, puncturing of a thin flake of CrI₃ by a diamond probe tip at low temperature was found to switch the magnetic state from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic16, suggesting that mechanical force can change layer stacking. These findings highlight the opportunity provided by vdW magnets for realization of new magnetic configurations by controlling the layer stacking arrangement.

Hydrostatic pressure can be used for continuous control of interlayer coupling via interlayer spacing in vdw crystals. This has recently been shown to modify the bands in graphene/hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) moiré superlattices17 and transition metal dichalcogenides10, as well as correlated electronic phases in twisted bilayer graphene18. Pressure has also been applied to a number of bulk vdw magnets, successfully altering the critical temperature22–25. Here, by applying pressure to bi- and trilayer CrI₃, we demonstrate marked tuning of the critical field for spin-flip transition by control of interlayer spacing, as well as switching of interlayer magnetic order via pressure-induced structural transition.

Figure 1c shows a schematic of the experimental set-up. A magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) device is composed of a bi- or trilayer CrI₃ sandwiched by top and bottom multilayer graphene contacts. The entire MTJ is encapsulated by hBN to prevent sample degradation. The device is then held in a piston cylinder cell for application of hydrostatic pressure up to 2.7 GPa. Magnetic states are probed in situ using tunnelling measurements. After removal from the cell, reflective magnetic circular dichroism (RMCD) microscopy is performed on the samples with a helium–neon laser. All measurements are performed at a temperature of 2 K in an out-of-plane magnetic field (see Methods for details).

We first present results from a bilayer CrI₃ MTJ (device 1). Figure 1d shows the tunnelling current, I, versus magnetic field, H, swept up and down, at a series of pressures. At zero pressure it shows the typical behaviour of a layered antiferromagnetic bilayer22–25. Below 0.6 T, the two individual ferromagnetic layers in series form an antialigned spin filter that suppresses the tunnelling current to form a plateau. As the field is increased, a spin-flip transition occurs to a fully polarized state with a higher tunnelling current.

As the pressure is increased the critical field for spin-flip transition rises dramatically, to >1.3 T (Figs. 1d and 2a), more than double its zero-pressure value. Such an enhancement can be explained by reduced interlayer spacing, which increases the wavefunction overlap and thus the interlayer exchange strength22–25. I also increases substantially with pressure owing to reduced interlayer spacing. In contrast, the critical temperature, Tc, increases only slightly (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 1), consistent with it being determined mainly by intralayer exchange interactions that are relatively independent of interlayer spacing. Note that the steady background decrease in I, with magnetic field is due to positive magnetoresistance in the multilayer graphene contacts26. This background...
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magnetoresistance becomes more noticeable as the tunnelling magnetoresistance of CrI₃ is reduced—that is, at higher pressures.

Importantly, at the highest pressure of 2.7 GPa, the increase in $\mu I_t$ versus $\mu H$ due to spin-flip transition is absent, not due to the critical field moving out of the magnetic field sweeping range, and only the background multilayer graphene magnetoresistance remains. Figure 2b compares the temperature dependence of the zero-bias tunnelling conductance at $\mu H=0$ before application of pressure (black) with that at 2.7 GPa (red). Initially at zero pressure there is a kink near 44 K, consistent with $T_c$ reported for the layered antiferromagnet bilayer. Below $T_c$, $I_t$ decreases on cooling due to strengthening antiferromagnetic order. In contrast, at 2.7 GPa, $I_t$ increases on cooling, indicative of ferromagnetic order. In fact, this temperature dependence is similar to that of the fully spin-polarized state with $\mu I=1.5$ T applied at zero pressure (Supplementary Fig. 1a). These observations taken together imply that bilayer CrI₃ has switched from a layered antiferromagnetic state at low pressure to a ferromagnetic state at high pressure.

To further support this conclusion, we performed RMCD measurements after removal of the devices from the pressure cell. Device 1 was found to be broken, so RMCD was performed on a second bilayer device (device 2) which had been cycled to a comparable pressure (2.45 GPa) and temperature. Figure 2c shows the ambient pressure RMCD signal at 2 K, which exhibits a single pronounced hysteresis loop centred at $\mu H=0$, characteristic of ferromagnetism (see Supplementary Fig. 2 for the full dataset). This is distinct from the vanishing RMCD signal from a pristine CrI₃ bilayer (Fig. 2d). Figure 2e shows the Raman spectra of a pristine bilayer CrI₃ and graphene contacts, respectively; the yellow and green regions denote gold contacts and hBN flakes, respectively. Applied direct current bias, 50 mV.

Having demonstrated pressure control of magnetic order in bilayers, we now consider trilayer CrI₃. A pristine exfoliated trilayer has two layered antiferromagnetic ground states, which we now...
Fig. 2 | Effect of pressure on the magnetic properties of bilayer CrI₃. a, Extracted critical field for spin-flip transition (black circles) and critical temperature (blue squares) as a function of pressure. The error bar for the critical field is determined by the half-width of the spin-flip transition. For critical temperature, the error bar is determined by the temperature range over which dG/dT drops to 80% of peak value. b, Zero-bias tunnelling conductance versus temperature at zero pressure (black) and 2.7 GPa (red). c, d, RMCD signal from another bilayer (device 2) after removal from pressure cell where it was subjected to comparable pressure (2.45 GPa) and a thermal cycle to device 1 (c), and from a pristine bilayer CrI₃ (d). e, Raman spectra of bilayer device 2 after pressure (red trace) and of a pristine bilayer (black trace) in the parallel polarization channel. a.u., arbitrary units. Data are vertically shifted for clarity.

Fig. 3 | New pressure-induced magnetic states in trilayer CrI₃. a, b, Tunnelling current as a function of magnetic field at zero pressure (a) and 1.2 GPa (b). Applied bias, 70 mV. Insets in a are schematics indicating magnetic states—measurement geometry (bottom left) and an optical microscopy image of device 3 (bottom right). Purple shading denotes the trilayer CrI₃ flake. b, Inset: the extracted critical field for spin-flip transition (black circles) and critical temperature (blue squares) as a function of pressure. The error bar is determined as in Fig. 2a. c, Tunnelling current versus magnetic field at 2.45 GPa. Inset: tunnelling current measured while sweeping bias up (red) and down (blue). The sudden rise implies an irreversible change in sample configuration. Two magnetic phases, AFM I and AFM II, coexist at low fields (see text for details). d, Tunnelling current versus magnetic field measured after this switching event. Insets show only one of two possible AFM II magnetic configurations for the low-current level, as mentioned in the text. Also shown in the inset is a close-up view of the region indicated. Background quantum oscillations originate from the multilayer graphene contacts.

Label ↑↑↑ and ↓↓↓ with obvious notation. Figure 3a shows Iₜ versus H at zero pressure for a trilayer MTJ device (device 3). Either ground state presents two anti-aligned spin filters in series which, as in the bilayer case, produce a low-current plateau at low field. A spin-flip transition occurs at ~1.6 T to a fully polarized state (↑↑↑ or ↓↓↓), causing a sudden rise to a high-current plateau at higher
field. At a moderate applied pressure of 1.2 GPa (Fig. 3b), \( I – H \) traces have the same form as those at zero pressure but the critical field for spin-flip transition is increased. At the highest pressure of 2.45 GPa (Fig. 3c), this critical field peaks at 3.7 T, more than double the value at zero pressure (see inset in Fig. 3b, and Supplementary Fig. 4).

At high pressure, however, additional features appear that have not previously been seen in trilayer CrI\(_3\). Figure 3c shows initial \( I – H \) traces at 2.45 GPa. In addition to the usual low- and high-field current levels we observe a new intermediate level, suggesting that another degree of freedom is involved. After performing these measurements, we swept the direct current bias while monitoring \( I \) at a fixed magnetic field of +1.3 T, as shown in the inset in Fig. 3c. While first increasing the bias (red trace), at about \( V = -300 \) mV, we observed a sudden increase in \( I \) to a higher level. Thereafter, the current appeared to remain at the higher level as the bias was returned to zero (blue trace), indicating that a permanent change had occurred in the magnetic configuration. Such a permanent change could have been caused by a change in crystal structure, such as a reconfiguration of the stacking. Figure 3d shows an \( I – H \) trace after this reconfiguration had occurred. The lower field increase is in the same position as before (1.7 T, Fig. 3c), but the higher field jump has disappeared and the current at low field roughly doubled. These observations can be explained naturally as follows.

Before current-induced reconfiguration (Fig. 3c), in the low-field current plateau the sample contains coexisting domains of two different layered antiferromagnetic phases, which we call AFM I and II. AFM I is the phase found in the pristine trilayer, with two antiferromagnetic interfaces and hence one time-reversal pair of ground states, \{\( \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \}, \{\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \} \}. AFM II is a new phase, having one antiferromagnetic and one ferromagnetic interface, with two time-reversal pairs of possible magnetic configurations, \{\( \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \} \} and \{\( \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \} \}. This situation could have occurred as a result of a change in the stacking at just one of the two interlayer interfaces, with consequences similar to those for the single interface in the bilayer. The case with the upper interface ferromagnetic and lower antiferromagnetic is sketched as one of the insets in Fig. 3c. In either AFM I or II, as the field is increased at some point the Zeeman energy overcomes the antiferromagnetic coupling to produce a spin-flip transition. Since AFM II has only one antiferromagnetic interface, the AFMII domains flip to the fully polarized configuration at a lower field of 1.7 T, resulting in the intermediate current level. Since AFM I has two antiferromagnetic interfaces, the AFM I domains switch at roughly double the field, 3.7 T, to leave the sample fully polarized and result in the highest current level. After the current-induced reconfiguration (Fig. 3d), the disappearance of only the 3.7-T rise implies that most AFM I has been converted to AFM II. Closer inspection of Fig. 3d nevertheless reveals a tiny hysteresis remaining up to the higher critical field, indicating that a small amount of AFM I is still present.

After removing device 3 from the pressure cell, we performed spatially resolved RMCD measurements that confirmed the presence of multiple magnetic phases. Figure 4a shows a spatial map of the RMCD signal at a fixed field of 2 T, which is sufficient for full polarization of CrI\(_3\). (Note that, in addition to trilayer, we also see bi- and multilayer regions in this sample; see Supplementary Fig. 5.) Figure 4b–d shows the RMCD signal as a function of magnetic field at the three indicated laser site positions. At position P (Fig. 4b), RMCD exhibits the three-spin-flip AFM II behaviour of a pristine exfoliated trilayer. At position Q (Fig. 4c), near the tunnel junction area, there are again three spin-flip transitions but they occur at fields about half those for the pristine trilayer. This suggests that the magnetic state at Q is AFM II, consistent with the assignment from the tunnelling measurements. At position R (Fig. 4d), the RMCD signal shows a single hysteresis loop centred at zero field, characteristic of a ferromagnetic phase in which both interfaces in the trilayer have ferromagnetic coupling.

The different spin-flip transition fields can be used to uniquely identify domains of different phases. For example, when the field is swept down from 2.0 to 1.3 T, only AFM I has a spin-flip transition, with a change in RMCD signal of about 1.3 T. A map of AFM I domains can therefore be created by mapping the RMCD signal at these two fields and identifying the regions where the signal is different in the two cases (inset in Fig. 4b). A similar procedure yields maps of the AFM II and fully ferromagnetic domains (insets in Fig. 4c,d, respectively; details are given in Supplementary Fig. 6).

The existence of three different magnetic phases implies that at least three different stacking configurations in trilayer CrI\(_3\) can be accessed by application of pressure. As in the bilayer case, results from polarization-resolved Raman spectroscopy are consistent with multiple stacking arrangement (Supplementary Fig. 5). The coexistence of the different stacking configurations is likely to involve inhomogeneity in the sample, which results in the conditions for the first-order transition varying from point to point. In future work, atomically resolved imaging (for example, transmission electron microscopy) could enable identification of exact stacking configurations, details of domain boundaries and their precise connections with the various magnetic states. Our work highlights CrI\(_3\) as a model system for exploring reconfigurable magnetic structure via control of stacking, by either pressure or vdW assembly, potentially with the addition of twist-angle control. This suggests new
possibilities for engineering magnetism, such as the creation of designer real-space spin textures and manipulation of magnetic order to control electronic phenomena—for example, in a layered Chern insulator such as MnBi$_2$Te$_6$.

Similar results have been reported in this issue of Nature Materials.
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Methods

Device fabrication. Multilayer graphene and hBN flakes of 20–40 nm were mechanically exfoliated onto either 285- or 90-nm SiO2/Si substrates and examined by optical and atomic force microscopy under ambient conditions. Only atomically clean and smooth flakes were used for device construction. V/Au (5/50 nm) metal electrodes were deposited on the bottom hBN flakes and substrates using a standard electron beam lithography with a bilayer resist (A4 495 and A4 950 poly (methyl methacrylate)) and electron beam evaporation. CrI3 crystals were exfoliated onto 90-nm SiO2/Si substrates in an inert gas glove box with water and oxygen concentration <0.5 ppm. CrI3 flakes were identified by their optical contrast relative to the substrate using established optical contrast models of CrI3 (ref. 2). The layer assembly was performed in the glove box using a polymer-based dry transfer technique. The flakes were picked up sequentially: top hBN, top graphene contact, CrI3, bottom graphene contact. The resulting stacks were then transferred and released on top of the bottom hBN with pre-patterned electrodes. In the resulting heterostructure, the CrI3 flake is fully encapsulated and the top/bottom graphene flakes are connected to the prepatterned electrodes. Finally, the polymer was dissolved in chloroform for <1 min to minimize exposure to ambient conditions. The SiO2/Si substrates were diced to approximately 1.7 × 1.7 mm2 to fit within the inner bore of the pressure cell18.

Electrical measurements with pressure control. Electrical measurements at zero applied pressure were performed in a PPMS DynaCool cryostat (Quantum Design, Inc.) with a base temperature of 2 K. Measurements at high pressure were performed with a piston pressure cell in a VTI insert cryostat under similar experimental conditions. Figure 1c shows the schematic of the experimental set-up. For direct current measurement, a bias voltage (V) was applied to the top graphene contact with the bottom one grounded. The resulting tunnelling current (I) was amplified and measured by a current pre-amplifier (DL Instruments, 1211). For alternating current measurement, a standard lock-in technique was used by applying 500-µV alternating current excitation at a relatively low frequency of about 13 Hz (with Stanford Research Systems SR830).

Hydrostatic pressure was applied using a pressure cell. The device was first glued to a metal stage using epoxy, then Pt wires were affixed to the gold contacts using silver paste. A Teflon cup was filled with the pressure medium (oil) and carefully fitted over the device and onto the stage, such that the device was completely encapsulated in oil. The stage/Teflon cup was then fitted into the inner bore of a piston cylinder cell and a hydraulic press was used to compress the top of the Teflon cup, which was held in place by a locking nut. The pressure cell was then loaded into a cryostat for electrical measurement. The in situ pressure was determined by measuring the fluorescence response of a ruby crystal in the cell through a thin optical fibre at both ambient and low temperature. Increasing or decreasing pressure requires warming the sample to room temperature and reloading the cell in the hydraulic press before cooling again. This technique closely follows a previous study on vDW heterostructures with pressure18.

Reflective magnetic circular dichroism and Raman spectroscopy measurements. Reflective magnetic circular dichroism measurements were performed in a closed-cycle cryostat (attoDRY 2100) at a temperature of 2 K and an out-of-plane magnetic field up to 9 T. A 632.8-nm helium–neon laser was used to probe the device at normal incidence, with a fixed power of 100 mW. The alternating current lock-in measurement technique used to measure the RMCD signal closely followed the previous magneto-optical Kerr effect and RMCD measurements of the magnetic order in atomically thin CrI3 (refs. 2, 11). Low-frequency Raman spectroscopy was performed with a 632.8-nm helium–neon laser at a temperature of either 80 or 270 K.
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