Acute Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: Less Severe Bleeding in More Frail and Older Patients, Comparison Between Two Time Periods Fifteen Years Apart
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Abstract

Background: Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (AUGIB) remains a common medical emergency with considerable morbidity and mortality. The aim of this study was to describe the patient characteristics, diagnoses and clinical outcomes of patients presenting with AUGIB nowadays and compare these with those of patients 15 years ago.

Methods: This was a single-center survey of adults (> 16 years) presenting with AUGIB to a tertiary hospital. Data from 401 patients presenting with AUGIB in a tertiary hospital between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2020 were analyzed and compared with data from 434 patients presenting with AUGIB at the same hospital between January 1, 2004 and December 31, 2005.

Results: Nowadays, patients were older, mean age was 69.5 (± 15.4) vs. 66.2 (± 16.0) years, they had more frequently coexisting diseases (83.5% vs. 72.8%), especially cardiovascular diseases (62.3% vs. 52.5%), and more individuals were inpatients at onset of bleeding (8.2% vs. 4.1%). In addition, more patients were under anticoagulants (18.5% vs. 6.2%), but less were under acetylsalicylic acid ± clopidogrel (36.9% vs. 33.9%). Carlson Comorbidity Index was higher nowadays (5.6 ± 6.4 vs. 3.4 ± 2.3). Moreover, a peptic ulcer was less frequently found as the cause of bleeding (38.4% vs. 56.9%), while more often nowadays endoscopy was negative (12.7% vs. 3.5%). In patients with peptic ulcer, active bleeding on endoscopy was less frequent (7.1% vs. 14.2%). Also, bleeding spots requiring hemostasis were less common on endoscopy (39.6% vs. 49.4%) and more patients were without spots of recent bleeding (49.4% vs. 38.9%). Finally, the rate of rebleeding statistically decreased (7.8% vs. 4.2%), while overall mortality remained relatively unchanged (5.0% vs. 6.2%).

Conclusions: AUGIB episodes nowadays are less severe with less peptic ulcer bleeding, but the patients are older and with more co-morbidities.
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Introduction

Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (AUGIB), originating from a variety of lesions proximal to the ligament of Treitz, remains a relatively common emergency with considerable morbidity and mortality, despite advances in diagnosis and management. Peptic ulcer (gastric and duodenal ulcer) is the most prevalent cause of bleeding, with declining prevalence, but a variety of other lesions may be responsible with variable prognostic importance. Acid peptic disease is followed in prevalence by variceal bleeding, gastric and duodenal erosive disease, esophagitis, Mallory-Weiss tears and malignancies. Approximately, half of AUGIB episodes are caused by peptic ulcers according to previous studies around the world. In most cases, bleeding is self-limited without recurrence and without adverse outcome. In contrast, in less than 20% of patients, bleeding recurs and therapeutic intervention, mostly endoscopic, is needed to stop bleeding and/or prevent rebleeding [1-4].

Over the past decades, diagnosis and treatment of patients with peptic ulcer disease, cirrhosis and portal hypertension has been improved with the introduction of new management algorithms. Better handling of patients with peptic ulcer disease and portal hypertension might have reduced the number of patients suffering from bleeding complications from these causes [5-8]. On the other hand, the population is aging and due to increasing comorbidity, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are widely consumed leading to a parallel increase in bleeding complications. Acetylsalicylic acid and adenosine diphosphate P2Y12 receptor blockers are gradually more prescribed for primary and secondary prophylaxis of adverse cardiovascular events and ischemic attacks. Moreover, in recent years, not only vitamin K antagonists but also newer non-
tamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) have been increasingly used for the prevention of venous thromboembolic events [9, 10]. It has been shown that despite medical advances in both diagnostic and therapeutic approach of patients with AUGIB, mortality remained stable over the years around 5-10%. Also, the majority of deaths are not related directly to the bleeding event itself, but rather to coexisting diseases, especially cardiovascular [11].

The aim of this study was to analyze demographic characteristics, laboratory and endoscopic findings and clinical outcome of patients with AUGIB treated in a tertiary hospital over the previous 2 years and to compare these data with those collected 15 years ago in the same hospital over a 2-year period.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a prospective wide audit of adults presenting to our hospital with AUGIB for a 2-year period and a retrospective study of patients presenting with AUGIB in the same hospital 15 years ago. The methodology of this study was similar to previously conducted national audits on AUGIB. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of University General Hospital of Patras and written informed consent was obtained from all the patients or their next of kin. This study was conducted in compliance with the ethical standards of the responsible institution on human subjects as well as with the Helsinki Declaration.

Participants

Data from all patients treated in our hospital with AUGIB in 2-year period of time, from January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2020, were prospectively collected. These data were compared with data from a historical control group in the same hospital 15 years ago, from January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2005. We included all patients over 16 years of age with AUGIB treated in our hospital during these two periods. No patients were excluded because of age, comorbidity or presentation of bleeding during hospitalization for other reason.

Definitions

AUGIB was defined as the presence of melena and/or hematemesis, as well as any other clinical or laboratory evidence of acute bleeding proximal to the ligament of Treitz. The clinical outcomes were analyzed according to the number of blood units transfused per patient, the hospitalization days, the rebleeding and emergency surgery rates and mortality. Mortality was defined as death within the hospitalization period either due to bleeding itself or any other reason.

The management of patients with AUGIB was in general the same during the two periods. Emergency endoscopy during the first 24 h of admission, in the majority of patients, was the standard practice in both periods. In patients with massive bleeding, urgent endoscopy immediately after resuscitation was performed. All patients underwent endoscopy except two (0.5%) in each period. Endoscopy was performed under sedation with midazolam and local pharyngeal anaesthesia with xylocaine spray. Forrest classification was used to classify stigmata of active or recent bleeding: Ia, active spurting bleeding; Ib, active oozing bleeding; IIa, non-bleeding protruding visible vessel; IIb, adherent clot on the bleeding site; IIc, red or black spots. A non-bleeding visible vessel (NBVV) was defined as a resistant to washing protruding red spot. In both periods, endoscopic hemostasis was performed in all patients having active spurting or oozing bleeding. NBVV and adherent clot during emergency endoscopy. Combination of adrenaline injection with a thermal or mechanical method was the standard of care in both periods while argon plasma coagulation (APC) was used in special cases like angiodysplasias.

All patients with non-variceal AUGIB were treated with standard doses of proton pump inhibitors, intravenously on the admission to the hospital. Variceal bleedings were managed with somatostatin intravenously for 5 days and additionally variceal band ligation for esophageal varices and histoacryl injection for gastric varices. Sengstaken-Blakemore tube was rarely used as a bridge to endoscopy.

Data collection

Patients’ data on age, gender, co-existing illnesses, laboratory tests on admission, endoscopic findings and clinical outcome were registered in standardized database categories. Previous or current treatment with aspirin, NSAIDs, adenosine diphosphate P2Y12 receptor blockers, vitamin K antagonists and newer NOACs were registered. Charlson Comorbidity Index was calculated according to patients’ history.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were described as mean ± standard deviation and were compared by using Student’s t-test. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and differences between groups were tested for significance by using the χ² test. The criterion for statistical significance was P < 0.05. All other analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

Results

A total of 401 patients hospitalized with AUGIB in the recent period (January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2020) and 434 in the former period (January 2004 through December 2005) were analyzed. Patient characteristics including comorbidity impact, degree of hemodynamic disturbance, laboratory findings and Charlson Comorbidity Index are summarised in Table 1.

Mean age of patients increased from 66 to 70 years (P = 0.003) and most patients were men in both time periods (74.2% vs. 69.6%). Coexisting illnesses were more common in the re-
cent period (335/401, 83.5% vs. 316/434, 72.8%, P = 0.03) and cardiovascular disease was the most common comorbidity in both periods with increased frequency in the recent (62.3% vs. 52.5%, P = 0.004). Charlson Comorbidity Index was higher nowadays (6 points vs. 3 points, P = 0.01). Also, more bleeding events occurred in the recent period in already hospitalized patients for other causes (33/401, 8.2% vs. 18/434, 4.1%, P = 0.0001).

One-third of the patients were under acetylsalicylic acid ± adenosine diphosphate (P2Y12) receptor inhibitors treatment and almost one-fifth under warfarin or NOACs. The percentage of patients under anticoagulation treatment, either with warfarin or NOACs on admission, was higher in the recent period (74/434, 18.5% vs. 27/434, 6.2%, P = 0.0001), while treatment with acetylsalicylic acid ± clopidogrel was common and not different between the two periods (160/434, 36.9% vs. 136/401, 33.9%). Peptic ulcer remains (in period 2019 - 2020) the most common cause of bleeding (38.4%) followed by varices (13.2%), vascular malformations (8.5%), tumors/polyps (7.3%) and gastroduodenal erosions (6%) (Fig. 1).

A peptic ulcer was less frequently the cause of bleeding (154/401, 38.4% vs. 247/434, 56.9%, P = 0.0001), as well as gastroduodenal erosions (24/401, 6.0% vs. 43/434, 9.9%, P = 0.0001) in comparison between the two time periods (Table 2).

In contrast, more frequent causes of bleeding were varices (53/401, 13.2% vs. 32/434, 7.4%, P = 0.043) and vascular malformations (34/401, 8.5% vs. 13/434, 3%, P = 0.0004). Also, more often nowadays upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was negative, without any identifiable source of bleeding (51/401, 12.7% vs. 15/434, 3.5%, P = 0.011) (Fig. 2).

Recently, in peptic ulcer bleeding patients, active bleeding on endoscopy was less frequent (11/154, 7.1% vs. 35/247, 14.2%, P = 0.03), as well as stigmata of recent bleeding requiring hemostasis (61/54 vs. 122/247, P = 0.055). Conversely, more patients were without spots of recent bleeding (76/154, 49.4% vs. 96/247, 38.9%, P = 0.04) (Table 3, Fig. 3).

Seventeen out of 401 patients rebled (4.2%) and 11/401 (3%) underwent emergency surgery. The rate of rebleeding statistically decreased (from 34/434, 7.8% to 17/401, 4.2%, P = 0.03), while rates of emergency surgical hemostasis were low and not different between the two periods (2.7% vs. 3.0%). Also, hospitalization days and transfused blood units were not different between the two periods. A total of 20 patients died during the period of hospitalization giving an overall mortality of 5% and remained unchanged between the two periods (20/401, 5.0% vs. 27/434, 6.2%) (Table 4).

### Discussion

In this study, we analyzed clinico-epidemiological characteristics and clinical outcomes of patients with AUGIB treated in our hospital over the previous 2 years. We also compared these data with those collected 15 years ago in the same hospital over a 2-year period. We found that nowadays, patients are older with more comorbidities, especially cardiovascular and more often under antithrombotic treatment. Peptic ulcer remains the most frequent cause of bleeding, but with less frequency, while varices and vascular malformations presented with increased frequency. Moreover, increased percentage of patients was without an identifiable source of bleeding. Active bleeding peptic ulcers are less common and rebleeding is less frequent. However, overall mortality remained unchanged.

The reduction in the prevalence of peptic ulcer as cause of bleeding is due to the declining incidence of peptic ulcer disease in developed countries, the cure of patients with chronic duodenal ulcers with the eradication of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) and the prevention of aspirin and NSAIDs-related ulcer bleeding.

Several studies have shown that the incidence and com-

### Table 1. Characteristics of Patients With AUGIB

|                      | 2004 - 2005 (N = 434) | 2019 - 2020 (N = 401) | P       |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Male sex             | 322                   | 279                   | 0.137   |
| Age (mean ± SD)      | 66.19 ± 16.04         | 69.48 ± 15.37         | 0.003   |
| Inpatient onset of bleeding | 18              | 33                    | 0.0001  |
| Hb on admission      | 10.24 ± 2.74          | 9.35 ± 2.32           | 0.001   |
| Urea on admission    | 82.83 ± 53.77         | 86.32 ± 65.82         | 0.419   |
| INR                  | 1.27 - 2.03           | 1.49 - 1.89           | 0.155   |
| BP on admission (mean ± SD) | 122.41 ± 22.92 | 114.46 ± 16.98        | 0.001   |
| PR on admission (mean ± SD) | 86.76 ± 17.46 | 85.78 ± 13.83         | 0.562   |
| Patients with comorbidity (%) | 316          | 335                   | 0.03    |
| Cardiovascular diseases | 228                   | 250                   | 0.004   |
| Carlson Comorbidity Index | 3.4 ± 2.3       | 5.6 ± 6.4             | 0.01    |
| Anticoagulants       | 27                    | 74                    | 0.0001  |
| Aspirin ± clopidogrel| 160                   | 136                   | ns      |

AUGIB: acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding; SD: standard deviation; INR: international normalized ratio; BP: blood pressure; PR: pulse rate.
Figure 1. Causes of bleeding (%) during period 2019 - 2020.

Table 2. Causes of AUGIB

|                      | 2004 - 2005 (N = 434) | 2019 - 2020 (N = 401) | P    |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|
|                      | N  | %   | N  | %   |    |
| Peptic ulcer         | 247| 56.9| 154| 38.4| 0.0001 |
| Variceal bleeding    | 32 | 7.4 | 53 | 13.2| 0.043 |
| Polyps/tumors        | 35 | 8.1 | 29 | 7.3 | 0.651 |
| Gastroduodenal erosions | 43 | 9.9 | 24 | 6.0 | 0.03 |
| Esophagitis          | 12 | 2.8 | 16 | 4.0 | 0.325 |
| Angiodysplasia Dilaphoy’s | 13 | 3.0 | 34 | 8.5 | 0.0004 |
| Mallory-Weiss tear   | 18 | 4.1 | 20 | 5.0 | 0.560 |
| Gastric antral vascular ectasia | 2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.5 | ns |
| Portal gastropathy   | 3  | 0.7 | 4  | 0.1 | ns |
| Cameron erosions     | 2  | 0.5 | 2  | 0.5 | ns |
| Post sphincterotomy bleeding | 6 | 1.4 | 6 | 1.5 | ns |
| Hemobilia            | 1  | 0.2 | 1  | 0.2 | ns |
| Post polypectomy bleeding | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.5 | ns |
| Impossible           | 2  | 0.5 | 2  | 0.5 | ns |
| Aortoenteric fistula | 2  | 0.5 | 0  | 0.0 | ns |
| Rinoragia            | 0  | 0.0 | 1  | 0.2 | ns |
| Negative endoscopy   | 15 | 3.5 | 51 | 12.7| 0.011 |

AUGIB: acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding.
lication rates of peptic ulcers around the world have been decreased during the last three decades [12-15]. The reduction in the incidence of *H. pylori* infection worldwide is the main reason for these changes as it is the main causative agent for peptic ulcer disease [13, 16]. Improvement in the socio-sanitary conditions in developed countries explains the low acquisition rate of the organism. Also in peptic ulcer patients, ulcer recurrences as well as ulcer bleeding and rebleeding rates are rare following successful eradication of *H. pylori*, which can be achieved in over 90% of patients with peptic ulcer [17, 18].

However, despite a decreasing incidence of *H. pylori* infection and the widespread use of successful *H. pylori* eradication regimens, peptic ulcer disease remains the most common cause of AUGIB [19]. Guo et al in a previously published study on the reduction in peptic ulcer disease-related hospitalizations from 2005 to 2014 in USA found that the rate of decline decreased from -7.2% per year before 2008 to -2.1% per year after 2008 [20]. This may be explained by the increasing use of

**Table 3.** Stigmata of Recent Bleeding in Peptic Ulcer Bleeding Patients

|                | 2004 - 2005 (N = 247) | 2019 - 2020 (N = 154) | P     |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
|                | N        | %       | N        | %       |       |
| Active bleeding| 35       | 14.2    | 11       | 7.1     | 0.03  |
| Ia             | 16       | 1       | 1        | 1       |       |
| Ib             | 19       | 10      | 10       | 6.4     | 0.20  |
| Ila            | 54       | 21.7    | 36       | 23.4    | 0.82  |
| Ilb            | 33       | 13.4    | 14       | 9.1     | 0.20  |
| Iic            | 29       | 11.7    | 17       | 11      | 0.83  |
| III            | 96       | 38.9    | 76       | 49.4    | 0.04  |
| Ia, Ib, Ila, Iic | 122     | 49.4    | 61       | 39.6    | 0.055 |
| Iic, III       | 125      | 50.6    | 93       | 60.4    | 0.055 |
aspirin and/or NSAIDs especially in older patients. Prescriptions for NSAIDs in the UK had been increased by about 13% and aspirin 75 mg by 460% in the general population in a previous study [21]. In our study, one-third of patients were under treatment with aspirin and this percentage was similar to that observed 15 years ago. Gastric ulcers in contrast to duodenal ulcers are more likely due to aspirin and/or NSAIDs use than to *H. pylori* infection. Although gastroprophylaxis with proton pump inhibitors in patients receiving NSAIDs especially in the elderly is the current practice, expanding indications for aspirin and/or NSAIDs use may counteract the beneficial effect of prophylactic treatment on the prevention of peptic ulcer formation and bleeding in patients taking NSAIDs [22, 23]. In this study, patients are older nowadays and about two-thirds suffered of some type of cardiovascular disease. Moreover, over-the-counter use of these medications even for not appropriate indications and inadequate access to medical services may contribute. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) selective inhibitors are associated with significantly fewer gastroduodenal erosions and complications but are contraindicated in patients with cardiovascular diseases and cannot substitute NSAIDs in general.

On the other hand, we observed a higher use of oral anticoagulants, either warfarin or NOACs, in our patients with AUGIB recently and probably use of these medications will

![Figure 3. Active bleeding or stigmata of recent bleeding in patients with peptic ulcer bleeding (%) in comparison with the two time periods.](image)

Table 4. Clinical Outcome of Patients With AUGIB

|                         | 2004 - 2005 (N = 434) | 2019 - 2020 (N = 401) | P   |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----|
|                         | N/mean ± SD           | %                     | N/mean ± SD           | %                     |     |
| Rebleeding              | 34                    | 7.8                   | 17                    | 4.2                   | 0.03 |
| Blood transfusion (units)| 2.10 ± 2.26           | 1.86 ± 2.30           | 0.382                 |
| Emergency surgical hemostasis | 13                   | 3.0                   | 11                    | 2.7                   | 0.832 |
| Hospitalization (days)  | 6.90 ± 5.00           | 7.50 ± 4.66           | 0.210                 |
| Death (%)               | 27                    | 6.2                   | 20                    | 5.0                   | 0.420 |

AUGIB: acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding; SD: standard deviation.
expand in the future due to the increasing indications of oral anticoagulants in a variety of diseases, especially in the elderly population. Orlowski and colleagues compared the time periods of 2011 - 2014 versus 2014 - 2017 in the UK, using National Health Service data, and demonstrated a dramatic increase (over 85%) in oral anticoagulation prescribing, mostly due to the increased use of NOACs [24]. NOACs, which are increasingly prescribed, are associated with the same risk of major gastrointestinal bleeding compared with conventional vitamin K antagonists [25]. Especially, dabigatran due to tartaric acid coating has been proposed to directly affect the intestinal lumen, therefore enhancing the bleeding risk [26, 27]. Increased use of anticoagulation may explain the increased frequency of angiodysplasias as cause of bleeding as well as the substantial number of patients with no obvious cause of bleeding [28, 29]. Usually, these agents are not ulcerogenic and produce AUGIB from minor pre-existing lesions like angiodysplasias. Also patients with undiagnosed cancers and/or polyps are at increased risks of acute bleeding [30]. Augustson et al in a recent study found that oral anticoagulant users were more likely to bleed from polyps, mucosal erosions and angiodysplasias compared to those not on oral anticoagulants [31].

Rebleeding rates were lower recently in comparison with the previous period. Most rebleedings in AUGIB patients occur in patients with peptic ulcer and varices. Endoscopic hemostasis today may be more efficient either due to better experience of the endoscopists or to the availability of more sophisticated methods like clips and bands. Also, peptic ulcers in the second period might be more suitable for endoscopic hemostasis compared with the previous period. Actively bleeding peptic ulcers which are more difficult for successful endoscopic hemostasis were less frequent recently. This may be due to reduced prevalence of chronic ulcers which in contrast to acute ulcers produce deformation of the duodenal and gastric wall and erode deeper and larger vessels which give rise to more severe bleeding and make endoscopic hemostasis difficult or even impossible [32-34].

Overall mortality rates in our patients were similar in both periods in our area. It is known that the majority of deaths in patients with AUGIB are not related to exsanguination but rather to coexisting diseases, especially cardiovascular due to inability of these patients to recover from rebleeding or surgery [11]. A recent meta-analysis reported UGIB secondary to peptic ulcer bleeding patients with comorbidities were at several-fold higher risk of overall mortality when compared to patients without comorbidities [34]. Although patients are older and have more comorbidities, rebleeding rate which is associated with mortality was lower in the second period. Lower rebleeding rates may counteract the increased deaths due to comorbidities because less frequently the patients are exposed to blood loss which is poorly tolerated by these patients and so they are less vulnerable to destabilization and death. Also, AUGIB under anticoagulation had similar clinical outcome or even better in previous studies [28, 29]. Pannach et al in a previous study found that patients under NOACs had shorter hospitalization and in-hospital mortality (1.6%) compared with those under warfarin (5.6%) and those under antiplatelet agents (11.9%).

In conclusion, patients with AUGIB nowadays, despite being older, more often with comorbidities and under anticoagulation therapy, suffer from less severe bleeding with less rebleedings and without increased in-hospital mortality.
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