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Oregano Essential Oil Interactions with Photogenerated Singlet Molecular Oxygen
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ABSTRACT

Essential oils are a mixture of volatile compounds, products of the secondary metabolism of plants. Once extracted, they can be deteriorated losing their organoleptic and therapeutic properties due to various environmental factors, being light exposure in aerobic conditions the main cause. In this work, the oregano essential oil extraction and characterization from Origanum vulgare plants grown in the experimental field of the FTU-UNSL and its photodegradation in MeOH:H2O 60:40 v/v solvent were studied. Characterization by EIMS and NIST Mass Spectrometry indicates the main compounds of oregano essential oil, quantified in the extracted oil by GC-MS, are carvacrol (7.14%) and thymol (47.37%). Degradation of essential oil and its two major components can be caused by reactive oxygen species photogenerated from endogenous sensitzers as riboflavin. Our results suggest degradation occurs involving singlet molecular oxygen. Interaction of carvacrol and thymol with singlet oxygen is mainly a physical process, while essential oil has an important reactive component, which indicates there might be other constituents which could contribute to reactive photoprotection. The effect of simultaneous presence of oregano essential oil and tryptophan amino acid—used as a photooxidizable model under riboflavin-photosensitizing conditions—was studied in order to evaluate the possible photoprotection exerted by the essential oil.

INTRODUCTION

Essential oils (EO) are a mixture of aromatic volatile compounds present in specialized cells of certain plants which use them to attract pollinators as well as to protect themselves from predators and pests (1). Many EO extracted from the Lamiaceae family plants, such as lavender (2), lemon balm (3) and oregano (4,5), among others, have demonstrated antioxidant properties. In particular, antioxidant capacity of oregano essential oil (OEO) has been studied in various extracts obtained from several species of oregano cultivated in a wide variety of locations around the world such as Greece (6), Turkey (7), Colombia (8), China (9), Lithuania (5), Mexico (10) and among others.

To understand the antioxidant activity of OEO, its chemical composition must be analyzed. Several studies indicate OEO composition includes p-cymene, terpinenes, flavonoids and among others. However, around 50% of OEO consists of phenolic compounds, mainly carvacrol (CR) and thymol (TM), two positional isomers of isopropylmethylphenol (7,9,11,12). The structures of both compounds are shown in Fig. 1.

The amount of these major components varies when OEO is extracted from different genera (7), in different seasons or using different cultivation methods (10). It also depends on the age and the development state of the plant (13) and on the part of the plant used (9). Table 1 shows CR and TM composition of OEO extracted from flower and leaf of plants located in different countries.

For instance, OEO from Origanum compactum is a good scavenger of radicals as 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonicacid) (ABTS), property attributed to the presence of CR and TM (14).
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This activity is similar to the antioxidant activity of vitamin C (15). Furthermore, authors explain the antioxidant activity considering a possible synergy between the components of OEO (15).

The use of OEO in the pharmaceutical, food, and cosmetics industries has gained special interest. The limitation of synthetic food additives in the food industry has fostered their substitution with natural ones (16,17). OEO can be used as a stabilizer of edible oils or of meat products and it even increases the oxidative stability of other products such as fried chips (18). In addition, OEO can be degraded losing its antioxidant properties, the antimicrobial properties with natural ones (16,17). OEO can be used as a stabilizer of other products such as fried chips (18).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials.** All experiments were made with freshly prepared solutions at room temperature. OEO major components carvacrol (CAS 499-75-2) and thymol (CAS 89-83-8) were provided by Aldrich and Biopack, respectively. Riboflavin (Aldrich, CAS 83-88-5) and Rose Bengal (Anedra, CAS 11121-48-5) were used as sensitizers. Furfuryl alcohol (CAS 98-80-0) and the specific quencher sodium azide (CAS 26628-22-8), superoxide dismutase (CAS 9054-89-1) and catalase (CAS 9001-05-2) were purchased from Aldrich while L-tryptophan (CAS 73-22-3) was provided by Sigma Chem. All these compounds were used as received. Acetone (Aldrich, CAS 67-66-1) was used as solvent in the determination of OEO composition while deuterated water (D2O, Aldrich, CAS 7789-20-0) and deuterated methanol (MeOD, Aldrich, CAS 1455-13-06) were employed to enlarge singlet molecular oxygen phosphorescence lifetime in TRPD (22). In every other determination methanol HPLC quality (Merck, CAS 67-56-1) and triply distilled H2O were used as solvents in a MeOH:H2O 60:40 v/v ratio.

**OEO extraction.** Oregano essential oil (OEO) was extracted by hydrodistillation with Clevenger trap from *Origanum vulgare* plants in flowering stage collected in the experimental field of the FTU-UNSRL. This technique extracts OEO placing a mixture of the vegetable material (leaf and flower milled and dried in an oven) in a hydrodistillation pot. The heating releases the OEO, which evaporates due to its high volatility and the mixture of steam and essential oil flows to a condenser. The essential oil can be separated from the condensed liquid by its very low miscibility in water. Further details of this technique can be found in the literature (23).

**Photolysis.** Stationary aerobic photolysis of solutions containing CR, TM or OEO (0.2 mM) and RF or RB were carried out in a homemade photolyzer for nonmonochromatic irradiation (150 W quartz-halogen lamp) with a 400-nm cutoff filter, ensured that the light was only absorbed by the sensitizer. The Scheme 1 depicts the irradiation experiment.

**Sample preparation.** Individual stock solutions of every substrate (TM, CR and OEO) of known concentration were prepared using MeOH as solvent. The sensitizer solution (RF or RB) was prepared in a mixture of 60:40 MeOH:H2O, and 2.5 mL of this solution was placed in a quartz cell. An appropriate volume of stock solution was added such that the concentration of the substrate in the cell is 0.2 mM. The cell was irradiated, and the photolysis was followed by UV–Vis spectrophotometry.

**Detection of OEO composition.** The main components of OEO were characterized by EIMS and NIST Mass Spectrometry Data Center, using a Varian Saturn 2000 equipment and employing a capillary column Varian C.S. The qualitative analysis using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry was performed with a Finnigan Mat GCO plus Gas Chromatography equipment with a mass spectrometer (ion trap) detector, equipped with a Testek 5MS capillary column of 30 m length, 0.25 mm internal diameter and 0.25 μm film thickness. The injection mode used was in split with a ratio of 1:25. The mass spectra were obtained with in a mass range (m/z) of 28–400 u, with an ionization voltage of 70 eV.

In addition, CR and TM were quantified in the extracted oil by gas chromatography using a Clarus 500 Perkin-Elmer chromatograph, equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) using a Quadex capillary column, 007 methyl 5% phenyl silicone 30 m long, 0.25 mm internal diameter and 0.25 μm film thickness. The temperature program of the column employed was initial temperature 60°C maintained five minutes, followed by an increase to 180°C at a rate of 3°C min⁻¹ gradient and then, a gradient of 20°C min⁻¹ was used until reaching 280°C, maintaining the temperature for 10 min. The carrier gas nitrogen at a flow of 1 mL min⁻¹. The FID was maintained at a temperature of 250°C and the injector at 220°C.

**Spectrophotometric techniques.** An Agilent 8453 diode array spectrophotometer provided with an Agilent 89090A temperature controller was used to record UV–Vis spectra. A 1 cm pathlength quartz cell with hermetical Teflon cover was used.

**ROS deactivation.** The possible photogeneration of ROS in solutions containing riboflavin (RF) and/or their possible deactivation caused by OEO, CR or TM can be quantitatively evaluated through oxygen consumption in the presence of specific quenchers of ROS. Three specific quenchers were used in this work: sodium azide (NaN3) which physically deactivates O2(1Δg), and the enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT), which are capable of dismutate O2− and decompose H2O2, respectively (24–26).

To determine the rates of oxygen uptake (ROUs), the sample solution was poured into a 50-mL pyrex tube and the specific oxygen electrode Orion 810 A+ was submerged in it. The tube was hermetically sealed and the system was irradiated under magnetic stirring. The sample was irradiated employing a 150 W quartz-halogen lamp, and a 400-nm cutoff filter was used in order to assure neither OEO nor its major components absorb any incident light.

**Quenching of RF electronically excited states.** Oregano essential oil (OEO) or its major components could be able to quench ¹RFL**, first excited singlet state of RF (process (4), Scheme 2). In order to evaluate this deactivation, the rate constant, kq, was determined. A classical Stern–Volmer treatment was employed according to the expression:

$$I_o/I = 1 + k_q [Q],$$

where $I_o$ and $I$ are the respective stationary
Scheme 1. Diagram of irradiation experiment.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{RF} + \text{hv} & \rightarrow ^1\text{RF}^* \rightarrow ^3\text{RF}^* \quad (1) \\
^1\text{RF}^* + \text{Q} & \rightarrow \text{RF} + \text{R} \text{O} \text{P} \quad (2) \\
^3\text{RF}^* + \text{O}_2(3\Sigma_g^-) & \rightarrow \text{RF}^+ + \text{O}_2^- \quad (3) \\
^3\text{RF}^* + \text{Q} & \rightarrow \text{RF}^- + \text{Q}^+ \quad (4) \\
\text{RF}^- + \text{H}^+ & \rightarrow \text{RFH}^+ \quad (5) \\
2\text{RFH}^+ & \rightarrow \text{RF} + \text{RFH}_2 \quad (6) \\
\text{RFH}_2 + \text{O}_2(3\Sigma_g^-) & \rightarrow \text{RFH}_2^+ + \text{O}_2^- \quad (7) \\
\text{RFH}_2^- + \text{O}_2^- & \rightarrow \text{RF} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad (8) \\
\text{O}_2^- + \text{Q} & \rightarrow \text{P} \quad (9) \\
^3\text{RF}^* + \text{O}_2(3\Sigma_g^-) & \rightarrow \text{RF} + \text{O}_2(1\Delta_g) \quad (10) \\
\text{O}_2(1\Delta_g) & \rightarrow \text{O}_2(3\Sigma_g^-) \quad (11) \\
\text{O}_2(1\Delta_g) + \text{Q} & \rightarrow \text{O}_2(3\Sigma_g^-) + \text{Q} \quad (12) \\
\text{O}_2(1\Delta_g) + \text{Q} & \rightarrow \text{P} \quad (13) \\
\text{Being: } k_t & = k_i + k_q
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 2. Kinetic mechanism of RF as a sensitizer in the presence of an electron donor (Q) and oxygen donor (O₂).
fluorescence intensities in the absence and in the presence of different concentrations of quenchers: OEO or CR or TM, represented by [Q]. 

The rate constant for overall quenching of 3Rf*, triplet annihilation were avoided measuring the triplet decay at 8. Rf fluorescence lifetime (27). Stationary Rf fluorescence experiments were carried out in a Fluoromax-4 Horiba Jobin Yvon spectrophotometer at 25 ± 1°C. The excitation wavelength was 445 nm while emission wavelength was measured at 515 nm.

OEO or its major components could also deactivate 3Rf*, triplet electronically excited of Rf (process (5) Scheme 2), The rate constants, kR, for this quenching were determined using laser flash photolysis (LFP) method. In this method, Ar-saturated aqueous solutions of Rf were photolyzed using a Nd:YAG laser system (Spectron) and an excitation source at 355 nm, with a 150 W Xeon lamp as the analyzing light. A PTI monochromator and a red-extended photomultiplier (Hamamatsu R666) were used as detection system. The signal, acquired and averaged by a digital oscilloscope (Hewlett-Packard 54504A), was transmitted to a PC via a HPIB parallel interface where it was analyzed and stored. 3Rf* was transmitted to a PC where it was analyzed and stored. The signal, acquired and averaged by a digital oscilloscope (Hewlett-Packard 54504A), was transmitted to a PC via a HPIB parallel interface where it was analyzed and stored. 3Rf* was transmitted to a PC where it was analyzed and stored. 3Rf* was transmitted to a PC where it was analyzed and stored. 3Rf* was transmitted to a PC where it was analyzed and stored. The signal, acquired and averaged by a digital oscilloscope (Hewlett-Packard 54504A), was transmitted to a PC via a HPIB parallel interface where it was analyzed and stored.

**Table 2.** Identification of OEO components by GC: retention times (RT) and classification as major or minor component.

| Component         | RT (min) | Classification       |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------|
| o-cymene          | 9.30     | Minor component      |
| Trans-sabinene hydrate | 12.21   | Minor component      |
| 4-Terpinenol      | 15.70    | Minor component      |
| α-Terpinenol      | 16.11    | Minor component      |
| Thymol            | 20.68-20.79 | First major component |
| Carvacrol         | 21.06    | Second major component |

OEO composition

The main components of OEO were characterized by employing EI-MS and NIST Mass Spectrometry Data Center techniques. Figure 2 shows the obtained chromatogram which allowed the determination of several compounds in the OEO extracted. They are listed in Table 2 including their retention times (RT).

Considering the peak areas, the ROUs for Rf sensitized photooxidation of the systems, Trp, OEO and their mixtures were evaluated through the initial slope of oxygen consumption as a function of photoirradiation time, employing the specific oxygen electrode already described. Normalized rates were obtained as the quotient between the respective ROUs for a given sample and for the faster oxygen-consumer sample. The experiments were carried out until 10%–15% of oxygen consumption as a measure of the photooxidability of each studied system.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**OEO composition**

The main components of OEO were characterized by employing EI-MS and NIST Mass Spectrometry Data Center techniques. Figure 2 shows the obtained chromatogram which allowed the determination of several compounds in the OEO extracted. They are listed in Table 2 including their retention times (RT).

Considering the peak areas, the first major component in the OEO is TM while CR is the second major component. In order to quantify TM present in the OEO by means of gas method (29). According to this method, kR of a sample is determined from slopes of the first-order plots of oxygen uptake in the presence of a sample (OEO or its major components) and a reference (R) upon photosensitized irradiation using the following expression: slope/slopeR = kR/kR. The reference used was furfuryl alcohol (FFA), with a reported kR value of 3 × 10^4 L mol^-1 s^-1 in methanolic media (30). The ROUs were determined as described in Section 2.3.3

OEO and its major components as photo-protectors of Tryptophan. Relative rates for Rf sensitized photooxidation of the systems, Trp, OEO and their mixtures were evaluated through the initial slope of oxygen consumption as a function of photoirradiation time, employing the specific oxygen electrode already described. Normalized rates were obtained as the quotient between the respective ROUs for a given sample and for the faster oxygen-consumer sample. The experiments were carried out until 10%–15% of oxygen consumption as a measure of the photooxidability of each studied system.
chromatography, it was necessary to plot a calibration curve by an external standard quantification method, injecting solutions of 20, 60 and 80 mg mL$^{-1}$ of TM using acetone as solvent. As CR and TM have a similar chemical structure, the same calibration curve was used to calculate CR concentration.

Final results of TM and CR quantification showed OEO was 47.37% w/v TM and 7.14% w/v CR. This is consistent with the previously reported analysis which indicated around 50% of OEO consists of phenolic compounds, mainly CR and TM (7,9,11,12).

Since OEO is a complex mixture, the concentration of the major component (TM) was used as a way to express OEO concentration. This will be henceforth indicated as TM$_{OEO}$, and it means TM concentration in OEO.

### Photolysis of OEO in the presence of sensitizers

Before performing any stationary photolysis experiment, associations between sensitizers, Rf and RB, and OEO, CR or TM were evaluated. These evaluations were performed by recording the spectra of a solution of Rf and the same solutions with different concentrations of OEO, CR or TM. No associations were observed between these compounds, and no spectral changes were observed in absence of light (data not shown); hence, photolysis experiments were carried out.

In order to perform photolysis experiments, it was necessary to select a wavelength where only the sensitizer, Rf, absorbs. Figure 3 shows absorption spectra of the individual solutions of the three studied compounds and absorption spectrum of riboflavin. Considering these figure, a 400-nm cutoff filter proved to be useful to prevent absorption of any compound except Rf. In consequence, this filter was selected to perform photolysis experiments. The molar absorption coefficients of TM and CR were also evaluated at 274 nm, and results obtained are shown in Table 3.

Sensitized photolysis of aerated solutions of OEO in the presence of Rf vs Rf is shown in Fig. 4. Spectral evolution of Rf is also included (inset). Both systems experimented modifications in their respective absorption spectra which can be attributed to chemical changes involving the substrate, OEO, or the mixture substrate + Rf. The slight negative absorbance observed in the 450–500 nm wavelength regions corresponds to Rf degradation. Similar qualitative results were obtained for CR and TM (data not shown). This result means Rf electronically excited states and/or ROS generated from them may be responsible for the photoreactions.

In order to understand the mechanism of interaction of OEO, CR and TM with photoexcited Rf, Scheme 2 was employed. This scheme has been used in previous investigations carried out by our research group (31-35).

According to this scheme, the absorption of incident light by the sensitizer Rf leads it to electronically excited singlet and triplet states according to process (1). Both electronically excited states of Rf can be deactivated in presence of a quencher (Q), an electron donor which does not absorb in the wavelength range used through processes (2) and (4). $^3$Rf$^*$ can also interact with the ground state triplet molecular oxygen ($^3$O$_2$($^3$Σ$^g$)) through process (3). By means of an electron transfer reaction, process (5), the semireduced Rf and semioxidized Q forms are produced. Process (7) generates the reactive species $^1$O$_2$($^1$Δ$^g$) which can react with Q, process (9). P(2)--P(9) represent eventual photoproducts. An energy transfer reaction from the triplet state of Rf to O$_2$(^3Σ$^g$), which is dissolved in the medium, can take place yielding $^1$O$_2$(^1Δ$^g$), process (10). $^1$O$_2$(^1Δ$^g$) can decay by collision with surrounding solvent molecules, process (11), or by interaction with Q and/or Rf through an exclusive physical, process (12), or chemical way, process (13). An overall rate constant for $^1$O$_2$(^1Δ$^g$) quenching (k$_q$) is defined as the sum of the rate constants for processes (12) and (13).

### Interaction with photogenerated ROS

The participation of ROS was evaluated, as described previously through oxygen consumption experiments in the presence of specific quenchers. The quenchers used were as follows: sodium azide, a physical quencher of $^1$O$_2$(^1Δ$^g$), SOD, which dismutates $^1$O$_2$($^1$Δ$^g$) and CAT, an enzyme responsible for the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$. These selective quenchers in concentrations similar to those employed in this work have been used to confirm or discard the participation of any of those species in a given reaction mechanism (36,37).

| System | $k_q \times 10^{-10}$ | $k_g \times 10^{-9}$ | $k_r \times 10^{-6}$ | $k/k_0$ | % w/v | $e_{274nm}$ |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------------|
| TM     | 0.57            | 2.83            | 6.7             | 1.7    | 0.3   | 47.5        |
| CR     | 0.48            | 4.99            | 4.6             | 1.1    | 0.2   | 7.1         |
| OEO    | 1.74            | 4.17            | 5.0             | 2.4    | 0.5   | 2220.7      |

**Figure 3.** Absorption spectra of the individual solutions of the three studied compounds and absorption spectrum of riboflavin.
The study was first performed using the isomers CR and TM as substrates. Figure 5 shows the oxygen uptake results obtained upon photoirradiation of RF (0.04 mM), the mixture RF (0.04 mM) or TM (0.2 mM) and the mixtures RF (0.04 mM) + CR or TM (0.2 mM) + every individual quencher.

The use of specific ROS scavengers indicates that the photodegradation of both isomers is mainly caused by the presence of O$_2$(1$\Delta_g$) since a decrease in the ROU of the mixtures is produced in the presence of 5 mM NaN$_3$. Participation of the species H$_2$O$_2$ is suggested in the reaction mechanism of TM since a decrease in the ROU of the mixtures in the presence of CAT, which eliminates H$_2$O$_2$. This quencher is capable of decomposing H$_2$O$_2$ according to the following reaction:

$$2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{CAT}} 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2(\Sigma_g^-)$$ (15)

An increase of the ROU of the mixture is produced in the presence of 1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ SOD. SOD is a specific quencher responsible for the dismutation of O$_2^{2-}$ according to the following reaction:

$$2\text{O}_2^{2-} + 2\text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{\text{SOD}} \text{O}_2(\Sigma_g^-) + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$$ (16)

It has been reported that SOD can favor or inhibit the rate of an oxidative process mediated by O$_2^{2-}$ on different substrates in which H$_2$O$_2$ participates as an oxidative species (38). The peroxide, product of dismutation of O$_2^{2-}$ by SOD, oxidizes the substrate and contributes to the global consumption of oxygen.

CR reaction mechanism seems to be different since no effect is observed in the presence of 1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ SOD, suggesting that the species O$_2^{2-}$ is not involved, while the presence of 1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ CAT increases the rate of oxygen uptake. There is no conclusive explanation to justify the increase in oxygen consumption due to the presence of CAT, which eliminates H$_2$O$_2$ and generates molecular oxygen (reaction (15)). One possible explanation is that the latter is incorporated into the O$_2$(1$\Delta_g$) generation cycle (step (11) Scheme 2) and this species oxidizes CR in a more efficient reaction than the reaction against H$_2$O$_2$.

To increase the knowledge about the reaction mechanism involved in the interaction of OEO and ROS photogenerated from RF, a similar experiment was carried out replacing the isomers by OEO.

Figure 6, Left, shows that O$_2$(1$\Delta_g$) is the only ROS involved in the oxidation mechanism of the OEO. This can be inferred from the decrease in the ROU of the mixtures in the presence of 5 mM NaN$_3$. Participation of the species O$_2^{2-}$ and H$_2$O$_2$ can be discarded since no change was observed in the oxygen uptake profiles in the presence of their respective quenchers. The absence of changes in the ROU in the presence of CAT could be

---

**Figure 5.** Left: Profiles of oxygen uptake vs irradiation time of the mixtures: (a) RF + TM+NaN$_3$; (b) RF + TM+CAT; (c) RF + TM; (d) RF + TM+SOD. Right: Profiles of oxygen uptake vs irradiation time of the mixtures: (a) RF + CR+NaN$_3$; (b) RF + CR; (c) RF + CR+SOD; (d) RF + CR+CAT. [RF] = 0.04 mM; [TM] = [CR] = 0.2 mM; [NaN$_3$] = 5 mM; [SOD]= [CAT]=1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. Solvent: 60:40 MeOH:H$_2$O. $\lambda_{irr}$ > 400 nm.

**Figure 6.** Left: Oxygen consumption profiles vs irradiation time of the mixtures: (a) RF + OEO+NaN$_3$; (b) RF + OEO; (c) RF + OEO+CAT; (d) RF + OEO+SOD. [RF] = 0.04 mM; [OEO] = TM$_{OEO}$ = 0.2 mM; [NaN$_3$] = 5 mM; [SOD]= [CAT]=1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. Solvent: 60:40 MeOH:H$_2$O. $\lambda_{irr}$ > 400 nm. Right: Oxygen consumption profiles vs irradiation time of the mixtures: (a) RF + TM + CR + NaN$_3$; (b) RF + TM + CR + CAT; (c) RF + TM + CR; (d) RF + TM + CR + SOD. [RF] = 0.04 mM; [TM] = 0.2 mM; [CR] = 0.03 mM; [NaN$_3$] = 5 mM; [SOD]= [CAT]=1 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$. Solvent: 60:40 MeOH: H$_2$O. $\lambda_{irr}$ > 400 nm.
explained considering the opposite effect of CR and TM individually. In order to prove this hypothesis, the same experiment was repeated replacing OEO with a mixture of CR and TM in the same proportions found in the OEO (Fig. 6, Right). Results show no changes in the ROU of the mixture in presence of CAT, evidencing that the effect of this inhibitor is compensated by the combined actions of CR and TM individually. The presence of SOD produces an increase of the ROU of the mixture, the same effect observed for TM (Fig. 5, Left), suggesting other components might be interacting to eliminate this effect in the OEO.

To gain insight in the reaction mechanisms of OEO, CR and TM interaction with Rf photogenerated ROS, kinetic constants of quenching of 1Rf*, 3Rf* and total and reactive quenching of O2(1Dg) were investigated.

Quenching of Rf electronically excited states

Oregano Essential Oil quenches the first excited state of Rf, 1Rf*, as Fig. 7, stationary fluorescence measurements, indicates. The same behavior was observed for its major components TM and CR (Figures S1 and S2). The 1kq values were obtained by a Stern–Volmer treatment (Fig. 7, Inset) and are close to the diffusion limit and the three of them are listed in Table 3. These values are high enough to state that the use of quencher concentration ~10⁻³ M, concentrations employed in this work, does not appreciably deactivate the first excited state of Rf (process (2) Scheme 2).

Quenching of triplet excited state of Rf, 3Rf*, by OEO and its major components TM and CR was studied by LFP. Figure 7 shows the Stern–Volmer plots for every studied system while 3kq values are listed in Table 3. Cardoso et al. evaluated 1kq for CR and TM in methanol and 3kq in 1:1 acetonitrile/citrate buffer (pH 4.6, 10 mM) at 298 K (39). The authors informed rate constant values of the same order than the values determined in the present work, despite the fact that the reaction media is different in both cases.

The rate constant for the quenching of 1Rf* by OEO exceeds three times the value obtained for CR and TM individually, indicating other components must be responsible for this deactivation in the OEO.

Interaction with O2(1A₂)

The rate constant of total singlet molecular oxygen deactivation, kₗ, by OEO, TM and CR was determined by means of TRPD. Results are shown in Fig. 8, and kₗ values are informed in Table 3. The rate constants of reactive singlet molecular oxygen deactivation, kₗ, by OEO, TM and CR were evaluated employing...
the actinometrical method previously described. Results are shown in Fig. 8. Inset while Table 3 lists the obtained \( k_f \) values.

The values of \( k_f \) and \( k_i \) are similar for both isomers and they are of the same order that OEO \( k_i \) value. The \( k_f/k_i \) ratio was also evaluated and informed in Table 3. This ratio indicates the fraction of overall quenching of \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \) by every substrate that effectively leads to a chemical transformation. Results suggest that TM and CR are responsible for the deactivation of this oxidative species predominantly via a physical mechanism, a characteristic of interest for a protector against this oxidative species. The individual inhibitory capacity of both major components of OEO is not reflected in the same magnitude in the OEO \( k_f/k_i \) ratio, since half of the interactions between it and \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \) lead to a chemical transformation. The probable reason of this behavior is the occurrence of other reactive routes.

Photooxidation of tryptophan in the presence of OEO

The rate of oxygen consumption in systems where OEO and a model amino acid are present provides information to understand if any photoprotection of the protein residues is exerted by OEO. Trp interacts with \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \) and the \( k_f/k_i \) values are known: \( 7.2 \times 10^7 \, \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( 4.7 \times 10^7 \, \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), respectively (40).

To evaluate the photoprotection effect, the ROUs of mixtures of RF + Trp, RF + OEO and RF + Trp + OEO were performed (Fig. 9). The ROU of Trp in the presence of the OEO is lower than the rate of both individual substrates, which would indicate that the OEO protects the amino acid in the tested conditions. OEO could be considered a sacrificial scavenger due to the high reactive component of its deactivation process.

CONCLUSION

The ROS photogenerated by sensitization react with the OEO and its major components. OEO degradation proceed involving mainly \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \), and according to the \( k_f/k_i \) ratio value, the process of deactivation of this species occurs simultaneously through a chemical and a physical pathway resulting in a not negligible degradation of the OEO. CR and TM deactivate \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \) mainly in a physical fashion. The mechanism of the sensitized degradation of TM involves \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), ROS generated as a result of deactivation of TM and CR are responsible for the deactivation of this oxidative species predominantly via a physical mechanism, a characteristic of interest for a protector against this oxidative species. The individual inhibitory capacity of both major components of OEO is not reflected in the same magnitude in the OEO \( k_f/k_i \) ratio, since half of the interactions between it and \( \mathrm{O}_2(1 \Delta_g) \) lead to a chemical transformation. The probable reason of this behavior is the occurrence of other reactive routes.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1. Fluorescence emission spectra of RF in the presence of increasing concentration of TM. Solvent: MeOH:H_2O 60:40 v/v; \( \lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 445 \, \text{nm} \).

Figure S2. Fluorescence emission spectra of RF in the presence of increasing concentration of CR. Solvent: MeOH:H_2O 60:40 v/v; \( \lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 445 \, \text{nm} \).
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