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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the late Samba (September–January) season of 2018-19 to evaluate the growth, root characters, and yield of rice due to high-density planting. The soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture with a neutral reaction. The experiment consisted of eight treatments with different spacing and fertilizer levels such as T1 - 25cm x 25cm with 100% Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (RDF), T2 - 25cm x 20cm with 100% RDF, T3 - 25cm x 15cm with 100% RDF, T4 - 25cm x 15cm with 125% RDF, T5 - 20cm x 20cm with 100% RDF, T6 - 20cm x 15cm with 100% RDF, T7 - 20cm x 15cm with 125% RDF and T8 - Conventional cultivation (20cm x 10cm with 100% RDF) and replicated thrice. The results revealed that significantly taller plants were recorded with all SRI treatments (T1 to T7) compared to conventional planting in all the stages except at maturity, which resulted in non-significant results. A reverse trend was noted with leaf area index and straw yield. Root length and root volume of rice were higher in wider planted treatments (T1, T2, and T5) than other closer spaced treatments with the least in T8. Treatments T5, T4 and T3 produced significantly higher grain yield over other treatments with the minimum in T8. The results inferred that too wider and too closer spacing levels under the SRI method would lead to a reduction in yield though other growth parameters were recorded more.
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INTRODUCTION

Rice crop yield is determined mainly by temperature, solar radiation, moisture, and soil fertility. Sparse crop stand utilizes these resources less; whereas, the dense population may limit the availability of these factors. Maintaining optimum crop stand through proper planting methods found to increase the productivity of rice (Ghosh and Sharma, 1997). Presently, India has the largest area (43.5 mha) under rice (Indiastat, 2018) among all the countries across the globe with a production of 110 mt while the average rice productivity of the country is far below the global average (3.76 t.ha⁻¹) (NRRI, 2018). With the increasing demand for rice and scarcity of water and land resources, there exists a demand for higher productivity of rice to satisfy the world’s rising food needs.

The system of rice intensification (SRI) can be a better, efficient, and resource-saving approach in rice farming under rainfed and irrigated conditions. SRI is involved with changes in management practices, which render better growing conditions for rice plants, compared to traditional practices. In India, SRI has a potential area of 13.5 mha, which is 31 per cent of the total rice area (Mittal and Sharma, 2018). Currently, all over India, 25 x 25 cm spacing, is recommended in the SRI method. The loss of a few plants or poor tillering may cause yield losses. To address the issue, high-density planting using SRI principles is one option that ensures the required tillers/unit area and, in turn, the yield of rice. With high-density planting, a higher population compensates for the loss in rice yield due to the greater number of plants and tiller population/unit land area (Das et al., 1988). Hence, the present study was conducted to investigate the effect of high-density planting on growth, root characteristics, and yield of rice.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Location

A field experiment was conducted at the Wetland farms of Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore (11°02’ N latitude and 76°93’ E
longitude, with an altitude of 426.7 m above MSL and receives a mean annual rainfall of 731 mm over 48 rainy days) during late Samba (September–January) season, 2018-19 on clay-loamy soil. The soil was low in available N (226.8 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), medium in available P (19.25 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), and high in available K (571.1 kg ha\(^{-1}\)). Rice variety CO 52, with a duration of 130-135 days and an average yield of 6191 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was used for the study.

**Field experimentation**

The experiment consisted of eight treatments with three replications and was laid out in Randomized Completely Block Design (RCBD). The treatments comprised of T\(_1\) - 25cm x 25cm with 100% Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (RDF), T\(_2\) - 25cm x 20cm with 100% RDF, T\(_3\) - 25cm x 15 cm with 100% RDF, T\(_4\) - 25cm x 15cm with 125% RDF, T\(_5\) - 20cm x 20cm with 100% RDF, T\(_6\) - 20cm x 15 cm with 100% RDF, T\(_7\) - 20cm x 15 cm with 125% RDF and T\(_8\) - Conventional cultivation (transplanting 25-30 hills in available P (19.25 kg ha\(^{-1}\)), 100% RDF, T\(_6\) - 20cm x 15 cm with 125% RDF and T\(_8\) - Conventional cultivation (transplanting 25-30 days old seedlings at 20cm x 10 cm, 2-3 seedlings. hill\(^{-1}\)) with 100% RDF. SRI principles were practiced for treatments T\(_1\) to T\(_7\).

The recommended fertilizer dose for medium duration variety was 150:50:50 kg N:P:K\(_2\)O kg ha\(^{-1}\) which was applied through urea (46% N), single superphosphate (16% P\(_2\)O\(_5\)) and muriate of potash (60% K\(_2\)O). Full dose of phosphorus was applied as basal. Nitrogen and potassium were given in four equal split doses i.e., at basal, active tillering (50 DAT), panicle initiation (70 DAT), and flowering stages (100 DAT). All the other cultural operations were carried out as per CPG (2012).

**Observations**

Five hills were tagged randomly within the net plot and plant height and leaf area index at different stages of crop growth viz., active tillering, panicle initiation, flowering and maturity stages, were recorded. The plant height was measured from ground level to the tip of the longest leaf at active tillering and panicle initiation stages and up to the tip of panicle at flowering and maturity stages, and the mean value was expressed in centimetre. Leaf area index (LAI) was calculated as suggested by Palanisamy and Gomez (1974). The number of days taken to attain 50 per cent flowering and the number of days to physiological maturity from the date of sowing were recorded for each plot and expressed in days.

Five plants randomly selected from the sampling area and were uprooted at active tillering, panicle initiation and flowering stages. The roots were washed carefully, and the length of the root measured till the tip and was expressed in centimetre. The same roots were used to measure the root volume by displacement method using a measuring cylinder and were expressed in cc hill\(^{-1}\). Harvested plants from the net plot area were threshed, cleaned, dried and weighed to moisture content of 14 per cent and the grain and straw weight were expressed in kg ha\(^{-1}\). The data were subjected to statistical scrutiny at 5 per cent level of significance (Gomez and Gomez, 2010).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Growth characters**

There was a steady increase in plant height up to the flowering stage and declined thereafter (Table 1). At the active tillering stage, significantly higher plant height (59.4 cm) was recorded at a plant spacing of 25cm x 15cm fertilized with 125% RDF (T\(_4\)), which was statistically on par with all other treatments except control (20cm x 10cm with 100% RDF). Taller and non-significant results were noted in T\(_1\) to T\(_7\) treatments and shorter plants in T\(_8\) at panicle initiation and flowering stages too. Plant height at maturity stage was found statistically on par with all treatments. Shorter plants at the conventional system of planting than other treatment levels at three initial growth stages could be attributed to the late transplanting of the crop (30 DAS), which in turn led to slower growth.

**Table 1. Effect of high-density planting on the growth of rice under the modified system of rice intensification**

| Treatments                        | Plant height (cm) | Leaf area index | Days to flowering | Days to maturity |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
|                                  | AT    | PI    | FL    | MT    | AT   | PI   | FL    | MT    | AT   | PI   | FL    | MT    |
| T1: 25 x 25 cm + 100% RDF        | 59.1  | 84.7  | 112.5 | 106.7 | 1.60 | 4.60 | 3.97  | 1.89  | 92.0 | 122.0|
| T2: 25 x 20 cm + 100% RDF        | 58.1  | 86.4  | 114.7 | 111.0 | 2.17 | 5.30 | 4.43  | 2.10  | 92.0 | 122.0|
| T3: 25 x 15 cm + 100% RDF        | 58.4  | 82.7  | 113.2 | 104.8 | 2.50 | 5.60 | 4.67  | 2.40  | 92.0 | 122.0|
| T4: 25 x 15 cm + 125% RDF        | 59.4  | 86.0  | 109.2 | 105.0 | 2.57 | 5.77 | 4.70  | 2.51  | 93.2 | 123.5|
| T5: 20 x 20 cm + 100% RDF        | 58.4  | 85.6  | 113.0 | 109.1 | 2.40 | 5.57 | 4.63  | 2.31  | 92.0 | 122.0|
| T6: 20 x 15 cm + 100% RDF        | 55.7  | 87.5  | 113.8 | 107.3 | 2.97 | 6.73 | 5.50  | 2.91  | 91.6 | 122.0|
| T7: 20 x 15 cm + 125% RDF        | 58.2  | 85.7  | 111.2 | 105.2 | 2.77 | 6.53 | 5.30  | 2.70  | 93.1 | 123.6|
| T8: 20 x 10 cm + 100% RDF        | 48.8  | 78.2  | 104.1 | 105.3 | 2.47 | 7.17 | 5.82  | 3.40  | 96.4 | 127.0|
| **SEd**                          | 2.5   | 2.2   | 2.9   | 6.2   | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.29  | 0.12  | 3.8  | 5.1  |
| **CD (P=0.05)**                  | 4.1   | 4.8   | 6.2   | 0.24 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.27  | NS    | NS   | NS   |

AT – Active tillering stage; PI – Panicle initiation stage; FL – Flowering stage; MT – Maturity stage; NS – Non-significant

Seedlings transplanted at a young age (<15 days) under SRI had a more significant time to acclimatize to field conditions which consequently resulted in increased plant height (Kesh et al., 2017). Non-
significant plant height at maturity stage indicated that being a genetically made characteristic of the individual rice variety, it would not vary with the change of spacing and fertilizer levels at the end of its life cycle.

The computed mean data on the leaf area index of rice at different growth stages indicated an increase in LAI during active tillering to panicle initiation stages followed by a declining trend up to maturity stage (Table 1). At the active tillering stage, a significantly higher LAI (2.97) was recorded under 20cm x 15cm plant spacing at 100% RDF (T₁) than other treatments, but with 20cm x 15cm spacing applied with 100% RDF (T₇). The planting pattern of 25cm x 25cm with 100% RDF (T₈) recorded a lower LAI (1.60) compared to all other treatments. Conventional planting (T₈) recorded a perceptibly lower LAI (1.60) compared to all other treatments. It was statistically identical to plant spacing of 20 x 15cm at 100% and 125% RDF (T₇ and T₈) at panicle initiation and flowering stages. Wider plant spacing of 25 x 25cm applied with 100% RDF (T₈) registered significantly lower LAI at panicle initiation (4.60), flowering (3.97), and maturity (1.89) stages over other treatments. Generally, the leaf area index depends on the number of tillers/hill, the number of green leaves, and leaf area. Significantly higher LAI was observed under the conventional method of planting while lower LAI was recorded when spacing was increased and the least was noticed in the widest spacing level tested (25 x 25cm). Our findings are parallel with the results reported by Rajput et al. (2016). Higher LAI at closer spacing was due to the increase in number of tillers and hence, more number of leaves in a unit area without much variation in leaf breadth when compared to wider spacing levels. Despite a superior plant stature under wider spacing, it did not compensate for the collective leaf area due to reduced number of leaves.

Table 2. Effect of high-density planting on root characters of rice under the modified system of rice intensification

| Treatments                                      | Root length (cm) | Root volume (cc/hill) |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                 | AT   | PI    | FL    | AT   | PI    | FL    |
| T₁: 25 x 25 cm + 100% RDF                      | 29.20| 30.12 | 31.49 | 29.7 | 43.7  | 136.0 |
| T₂: 25 x 20 cm + 100% RDF                      | 27.43| 29.50 | 30.69 | 28.3 | 41.7  | 116.7 |
| T₃: 25 x 15 cm + 100% RDF                      | 26.96| 27.28 | 29.52 | 21.7 | 36.3  | 101.1 |
| T₄: 25 x 15 cm + 125% RDF                      | 26.32| 27.04 | 29.16 | 21.0 | 34.0  | 93.3  |
| T₅: 20 x 20 cm + 100% RDF                      | 27.00| 29.17 | 30.32 | 27.3 | 40.7  | 108.6 |
| T₆: 20 x 15 cm + 100% RDF                      | 24.64| 26.08 | 27.05 | 19.7 | 33.3  | 91.0  |
| T₇: 20 x 15 cm + 125% RDF                      | 24.09| 25.69 | 26.28 | 17.7 | 32.3  | 89.7  |
| T₈: 20 x 10 cm + 100% RDF                      | 21.50| 22.45 | 24.53 | 10.7 | 20.0  | 80.5  |

SEd (P= 0.05) 1.40 1.01 0.80 1.4 1.2 5.5

AT – Active tillering stage, PI – Panicle initiation stage, FL – Flowering stage

Days to flowering and days to maturity did not vary significantly due to spacing and fertilizer levels imposed during the study (Table 1) since they are genetic characteristics of the variety, which would not vary with different treatments applied in the study.

Root length and root volume

Root length and root volume are important parameters that reflect the crop growth. There existed variation in the root length of rice due to different spacing levels along with fertilizer doses imposed during the study (Table 2). At active tillering stage, significantly higher root length (29.20 cm) was recorded under 25 x 25cm fertilized with 100% RDF (T₁) than all other treatments except 25 x 20cm (27.43 cm), 20 x 20cm (27.00 cm) and 25 x 15cm (26.96 cm) all applied with 100% RDF and 25 x 15cm with 125% RDF (26.32 cm). Shorter roots (21.50 cm) were measured under closer planting of 20 x 10cm with 100% RDF (T₈), which was statistically identical to 20 x 15cm with 125% RDF (T₇). At panicle initiation and flowering stages also, significantly longer roots were noted in the same T₁ treatment (25 cm x 25cm with 100% RDF) as active tillering stage compared to other treatments. However, it maintained statistical parity with 25cm x 20cm with 100% RDF (T₂), 20cm x 20cm with 100% RDF (T₃) and 25cm x 15cm with 100% RDF (T₄). Shorter roots were produced under the conventional method of planting (T₈).

Irrespective of growth stages, planting geometry of 25 cm x 25 cm applied with 100% RDF (T₁) recorded a distinctly more root volume compared to all other spacing levels. At the active tillering stage, rice planted at 25cm x 25cm spacing level and fertilized with 100% RDF (T₁) produced copious roots by volume (29.7 cc/hill) than other treatments but was statistically on par with 25cm x 20cm (T₂), 20cm x 20cm with 100% RDF (T₃) and 25cm x 15cm with 100% RDF (T₄). Smaller results were obtained at panicle initiation and flowering stages also.
Under wider crop geometry, there is reduced competition for resources like nutrient, water, light, air and evidently improved the growth of individual plant (Thakur and Uphoff, 2010). Better root characteristics under SRI might be due to the effect of transplanting of young and single seedling/hill combined with suitable irrigation management practices and better soil aeration by the usage of rotary weeder. Also, there was no transplanting trauma due to the transplanting of younger seedlings, which facilitated the quicker establishment of the crop in the field. The availability of increased spacing for individual plants improved the foraging capacity by the horizontal spread of roots that in turn, increased the root length and root volume. These congenial growth conditions under SRI method allowed the crop to complete more number of phyllochrons, generating increased tillers and roots, before the flowering phase (Hidayati and Anas, 2016). The findings are in close vicinity with the findings of Chapagain and Yamaji (2010), Mishra and Salokhe (2010) and Bhavya et al. (2018).

Under the conventional system, cultural practices of transplanting of older seedlings at 3-4 seedlings/hill, continuous flooding of the field throughout crop would have resulted in poor root growth with quicker root degeneration.

**Grain and straw yields**

Distinct variation in grain yield of rice was observed due to the adoption of different spacings and fertilizer doses in the present study (Figure 1). Significantly higher grain yield (6392 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained under spacing of 20 cm x 20 cm with 100% RDF (T₇), where an increase in grain yield of 26.3 per cent was realized over the conventional system (T₀) and 12.8 per cent over SRI method (T₁). However, it was very much comparable to the yield recorded at 25 cm x 15 cm fertilized with 125% RDF (T₅, 6272 kg ha⁻¹), 25 cm x 20 cm with 100% RDF (T₆, 6259 kg ha⁻¹) and 25 cm x 15 cm with 100% RDF (T₅, 5951 kg ha⁻¹). Wider planting under SRI (5667 kg ha⁻¹) stood next in order. An increase in grain yield of 12.4 per cent, 10.4 per cent and 5.04 per cent, respectively due to T₅, T₆ and T₇ was recorded over the SRI method (T₁) being currently practised. The conventional system of planting had recorded significantly lower grain yield (5061 kg ha⁻¹) over other treatments. It was statistically on par with planting geometry of 20 cm x 15 cm with either 100% RDF (5163 kg ha⁻¹) or 125% RDF (5193 kg ha⁻¹). Higher yield under these treatments can be attributed to the optimum plant population and better yield attributes recorded from the treatment such as the number of productive tillers, total grains/panicle, and filled grains/panicle. In addition, fairly better performance of root characteristics resulted in improved nutrient foraging and dry-matter accumulation, which in turn paved the way for better yield attributes. Similar results reported by Baskar et al. (2013). Lower grain yield was also recorded under closer spacing by Jahan et al. (2017). Thavaprakaash et al. (2017) also reported an increased grain yield at the optimum spacing level and reduced yield under too closely and wider spacing levels in rice under the SRI method.

![Figure 1. Effect of high density on yield of rice](image)

**Spacings adopted and fertilizer doses applied**

In the study, a profound influence on the straw yield of rice. Significantly higher straw yield (8523 kg ha⁻¹) at harvest was recorded under the conventional system of planting (T₀), and it was 20.3 per cent higher than the straw yield obtained from SRI. However, it was statistically identical to 20 cm x 15 cm with either 100% RDF (8278 kg ha⁻¹) or 125% RDF (8090 kg ha⁻¹). Significantly lower straw yield (7084 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained under the SRI method of planting (T₁). But, the treatment T₅ was statistically on par with 25 cm x 20 cm with 100% RDF (7478 kg ha⁻¹), 20 cm x 20 cm with 100% RDF (7484 kg ha⁻¹), 25 cm x 15 cm with 125% RDF (7300 kg ha⁻¹) and 25 cm x 15 cm (7725 kg ha⁻¹) applied with 100% RDF. Higher straw yield can be ascribed to a higher number of tillers/m² without altering the number of leaves, increased leaf area index, and better dry-matter production recorded under conventional method of planting. Improved nutrient uptake, together with the delay in leaf senescence, led to more photosynthesis during later crop growth stages resulting in higher straw yield. Similar result was recorded by Thavaprakaash et al. (2017).

**CONCLUSION**

From the experimental results, it could be concluded that plants were taller at different growth stages while practising SRI (T₅ to T₇) when compared to the conventional method, whereas, the results were reverse with LAI and straw yield. Root characters such as root length and root volume were also higher in SRI method (T₅) compared to all spacing and fertilizer treatments studied in the experiment. However, plant spacing of 20 cm x 20 cm with 100% RDF and row spacing of 25 cm with a plant spacing of 20 cm and 15 cm applied with 100% and 125% RDF out performed other treatments in terms...
of grain yield. Hence, it is concluded that though the growth characters behaved differently, the grain yield was higher at a plant density of 2,00,000 to 2,66,000 plants ha$^{-1}$.
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