Integrative analysis of key candidate genes and signaling pathways in ovarian cancer by bioinformatics
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Abstract

Background: Ovarian cancer is one of the most common gynecological tumors, and among gynecological tumors, its incidence and mortality rates are fairly high. However, the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer is not clear. The present study aimed to investigate the differentially expressed genes and signaling pathways associated with ovarian cancer by bioinformatics analysis.

Methods: The data from three mRNA expression profiling microarrays (GSE14407, GSE29450, and GSE54388) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Differentially expressed genes between ovarian cancer tissues and normal tissues were identified using R software. The overlapping genes from the three GEO datasets were identified, and profound analysis was performed. The overlapping genes were used for pathway and Gene Ontology (GO) functional enrichment analysis using the Metascape online tool. Protein–protein interactions were analyzed with the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING). Subnetwork models were selected using the plugin molecular complex detection (MCODE) application in Cytoscape. Kaplan–Meier curves were used to analyze the univariate survival outcomes of the hub genes. The Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database and Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) were used to validate hub genes.

Results: In total, 708 overlapping genes were identified through analyses of the three microarray datasets (GSE14407, GSE29450, and GSE54388). These genes mainly participated in mitotic sister chromatid segregation, regulation of chromosome segregation and regulation of the cell cycle process. High CCNA2 expression was associated with poor overall survival (OS) and tumor stage. The expression of CDK1, CDC20, CCNB1, BUB1B, CCNA2, KIF11, CDCA8, KIF2C, NDC80 and TOP2A was increased in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues according to the Oncomine database. Higher expression levels of these seven candidate genes in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues were observed by GEPIA. The protein expression levels of CCNA2, CCNB1, CDC20, CDCA8, CDK1, KIF11 and TOP2A were high in ovarian cancer tissues, which was further confirmed via the HPA database.

Conclusion: Taken together, our study provided evidence concerning the altered expression of genes in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. In vivo and in vitro experiments are required to verify the results of the present study.
Introduction
Ovarian cancer is one of the most common gynecological tumors associated with poor survival in women worldwide [1, 2]. The majority of newly diagnosed ovarian cancer patients are treated with radical surgery, followed by six to eight cycles of adjuvant platinum and taxane combination chemotherapy [3]. Three or more cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to debulking surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy are alternative options for some patients [3]. However, the 5-year survival rate of ovarian cancer patients is approximately 30% [4]. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has become widely available and is used to determine a patient’s precise genetic profile and to identify novel mutations to serve as new drug targets [5]. Furthermore, NGS-based mutation panels profile multiple genes simultaneously, allowing for the reporting of numerous genes while saving labor and resources [5]. Gene expression microarrays have been used in many studies to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and pathways associated with ovarian cancer.

A comprehensive analysis of the interactions between DEGs and enriched pathways will contribute to the understanding of the physiopathology of ovarian cancer progression and tumorigenesis. With the increase in the number of publications about GEO datasets, GEO has become a potential resource for bioinformatics studies.

In this study, we downloaded three datasets (GSE54388, GSE14407, and GSE29450) from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) database. Furthermore, R software with the limma Bioconductor package [7]. The criteria for DEG screening were FDR adjusted P < 0.05 and \(|\log FC| \geq 1\). Volcano plots and heatmaps were generated with R (Bioconductor, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo NY, USA).

Functional enrichment analyses of overlapping DEGs
We used the online software Venn diagram (http://www.bioinformatics.com.cn/static/others/jvenn/example.html) to identify the overlapping genes in the 3 GEO datasets [8]. These common genes were submitted to the Metascape online tool [9] and used for Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analyses. Thresholds were set to P-value < 0.05, minimum count of 3, and enrichment factor of > 1.5. The top 20 GO and KEGG terms were selected and are shown in the graphs.

PPI network
These common genes were submitted to the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING; http://string-db.org) (version 11.0) and visualized using Cytoscape. The minimum required interaction score was set to 0.9, and disconnected nodes in the network were hidden. Then, we used the MCODE app of Cytoscape to indentify the significant modules in the PPI network (maximum depth = 100, node score cutoff = 0.2, degree cutoff = 2, and k-core = 2) [10]. The top five MCODE models are listed, and the top ten hub genes were used for further analyses.

Material and methods
Ethics committee or institutional review board approval was not needed for this study, as all the data originated from a public database.
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Boxplots, stage plots and survival analyses for these hub genes were further performed using the GEPIA database (http://geopia.cancer-pku.cn/).

Moreover, the HPA database (http://www.proteinatlas.org/) was searched and retrieved to compare the protein expression of hub genes in cancerous specimens with that in normal specimens. The HPA database, comprising more than 10 million pictures showing human protein expression patterns in tissues and cells, is publicly available to allow researchers to freely study the human proteome [11].

![Fig. 1 Differentially expressed genes in GSE54388, GSE14407, and GSE29450. A Box plot comparing the distribution of the expression values for all the samples after normalization in GSE54388. B Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE29450. Green dots represent downregulated genes and red dots represent upregulated genes in breast cancer tissue; black dots represent normally expressed genes. C Box plot comparing the distribution of the expression values for all the samples after normalization in GSE14407. D Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE14407. Green dots represent downregulated genes and red dots represent upregulated genes in breast cancer tissue; black dots represent normally expressed genes. E Box plot comparing the distribution of the expression values for all the samples after normalization in GSE29450. F Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in GSE29450. Green dots represent downregulated genes and red dots represent upregulated genes in breast cancer tissue; black dots represent normally expressed genes.](image-url)
Results
Identification of DEGs in ovarian cancers
First, we normalized the read counts for each sample. The median values of each sample were almost consistent, suggesting that the data were eligible for further analysis (Fig. 1 A, C and E). A total of 3486 DEGs (upregulated = 1950; downregulated = 1536) were identified in GSE14407 (Fig. 1 B), and the top ten DEGs are listed in Table 1. A total of 4780 DEGs (upregulated = 2407; downregulated = 2373) were identified in GSE29450 (Fig. 1 D), and the top ten DEGs are listed in Table 2. A total of 1368 DEGs (upregulated = 625; downregulated = 743) were identified in GSE54388 (Fig. 1 F), and the top ten DEGs are listed in Table 3. Then, 708 overlapping genes were finally selected for further analysis (Fig. 2).

KEGG and GO enrichment analyses
Next, we identified enriched gene ontology and KEGG pathway annotations associated with the overlapping genes. The top twenty GO and KEGG terms were as follows (Fig. 3): mitotic sister chromatid segregation, regulation of chromosome segregation, regulation of cell cycle process, PID AURORA B Pathway, gonad development, regulation of cell division, attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochore, NABA CORE MATRISOME, epithelial cell differentiation, extracellular structure organization, cellular response to organic cyclic compound, muscle tissue development, factors involved in megakaryocyte development and platelet production, blood vessel development, cell cycle, development growth, regulation of protein serine/threonine kinase activity, PID FOXM1 PATHWAY, cerebral cortex development, and response to inorganic substance.

PPI network and significant module analysis
We set the minimum required interaction score to 0.09 in the STRING database. There were 656 nodes and 1231 edges in this PPI network (Fig. 4). The most significant module, identified using the MCODE app in Cytoscape, is shown in Fig. 5.

We selected the top 10 hub genes (CDK1, CDC20, CCNB1, BUB1B, CCNA2, KIF11, CDCA8, KIF2C, NDC80 and TOP2A, Fig. 6) according to the joint points from the STRING database.

Table 1 Top ten differentially expressed genes in ovarian cancer than normal tissue in GSE14407

| Gene     | logFC  | AveExpr | t     | P Value | adj.P.Val | B   |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|-----|
| NELL2    | -5.0322| 11.33906| -17.8843| 3.62E-16| 7.84E-12  | 26.13203|
| TXNIP    | -3.38158| 11.92188| -14.8911| 2.85E-14| 3.09E-10  | 22.23984|
| ARHGAP18 | -3.83976| 11.43925| -14.4286| 5.96E-14| 4.30E-10  | 21.56643|
| RERG     | -3.69045| 9.294558| -13.0174| 6.38E-13| 2.85E-09  | 19.37512|
| AQP9     | -4.36576| 9.957475| -12.9985| 6.59E-13| 2.85E-09  | 19.34429|
| REEP1    | -4.97147| 9.464285| -12.6144| 1.30E-12| 4.69E-09  | 18.70929|
| FRY      | -2.55897| 8.842311| -12.2756| 2.40E-12| 7.41E-09  | 18.13482|
| ANKRD29  | -3.29046| 8.646326| -11.7771| 6.03E-12| 1.58E-08  | 17.26458|
| DPYD     | -3.52501| 9.751954| -11.7269| 6.62E-12| 1.58E-08  | 17.17536|
| ITLN1    | -7.58571| 10.52319| -11.6755| 7.30E-12| 1.58E-08  | 17.0836|

Table 2 Top ten differentially expressed genes in ovarian cancer than normal tissue in GSE29450

| Gene     | logFC  | AveExpr | t     | P Value | adj.P.Val | B   |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|-----|
| BNC1     | -7.25722| 8.828002| -21.0627| 4.69E-16| 1.02E-11  | 25.517|
| CALB2    | -7.84629| 10.12555| -17.457| 2.32E-14| 2.51E-10  | 22.25684|
| ITLN1    | -8.65669| 11.21177| -14.8908| 5.86E-13| 3.38E-09  | 19.40216|
| LINCD1105| -4.83459| 7.945648| -14.8442| 6.24E-13| 3.38E-09  | 19.34546|
| LINCD0842| -4.79777| 5.78064| -14.489| 1.01E-12| 3.88E-09  | 18.90674|
| CLEC4M   | -6.52877| 8.317774| -14.4461| 1.07E-12| 3.88E-09  | 18.85291|
| C21orf62 | -4.94547| 7.809939| -13.7446| 2.89E-12| 8.39E-09  | 17.94981|
| GPR133   | -6.31894| 7.815952| -13.6959| 3.10E-12| 8.39E-09  | 17.88546|
| PEX5L    | -3.90997| 6.84449| -13.4133| 4.67E-12| 1.12E-08  | 17.50705|
| SERTM1   | -5.97807| 9.900058| -13.3287| 5.29E-12| 1.15E-08  | 17.39221|
Validation of the hub genes

To determine differences in the expression of these ten hub genes in ovarian cancer tissues and normal tissues, the hub gene mRNA levels in ovarian cancer tissues and normal tissues were further analyzed using the Oncomine database. The results revealed that CDK1, CDC20, CCNB1, BUB1B, CCNA2, KIF11, CDCA8, KIF2C, NDC80 and TOP2A were all expressed at higher levels in ovarian cancer tissues than in normal tissues (Fig. 7 and 8).

Correlations between CDK1, CDC20, CCNB1, BUB1B, CCNA2, KIF11, CDCA8, KIF2C, NDC80 and TOP2A expression and tumor stage were further analyzed in ovarian cancer patients (GEPIA). The distribution of BUB1B and CCNA2 expression correlated with tumor stage.

The high protein expression levels of CCNA2 in the cancerous samples were further confirmed by the results from the HPA dataset (Fig. 9). The KIF2C and BUB1B protein data were missing from the HPA dataset. Finally,

Table 3  Top ten differentially expressed genes in ovarian cancer than normal tissue in GSE54388

| Gene    | logFC | AveExpr | t     | P Value | adj.P.Val | B     |
|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|-------|
| LINC01105 | 3.53815 | 0.858675 | 50.96816 | 4.99E-25 | 1.08E-20 | 40.50396 |
| ITLN1   | 6.462358 | 1.614636 | 29.20985 | 1.37E-19 | 1.48E-15 | 32.75399 |
| WNT2B   | 3.157712 | 0.658476 | 23.96666 | 1.09E-17 | 7.89E-14 | 29.30784 |
| ABCA8   | 2.207886 | 0.566936 | 20.76557 | 2.51E-16 | 1.36E-12 | 26.65956 |
| ADH1C   | 2.551191 | 0.469443 | 19.17573 | 1.41E-15 | 6.11E-12 | 25.14902 |
| CLDN15  | 2.384036 | 0.47813  | 18.51044 | 3.01E-15 | 1.07E-11 | 24.47264 |
| MGARP   | 4.30019  | 1.069266 | 18.39105 | 3.46E-15 | 1.07E-11 | 24.34831 |
| CALB2   | 3.26602  | 0.818659 | 17.43105 | 1.09E-14 | 2.95E-11 | 23.31443 |
| PRG4    | 4.229521 | 1.027993 | 16.90125 | 2.10E-14 | 4.27E-11 | 22.71661 |
| LHX2    | 2.50154  | 0.618326 | 16.86466 | 2.20E-14 | 4.27E-11 | 22.67457 |

Fig. 2  Venn diagram presenting the number of overlapping genes among three GEO datasets (GSE54388, GSE14407, and GSE29450)
we identified CCNA2 as the hub gene that is crucial for ovarian cancer progression.

Discussion
The present study is the first to explore DEGs between ovarian cancer tissues and normal tissues with three GEO datasets. We finally determined that CCNA2 that was more highly expressed in ovarian cancer, and an increased CCNA2 RNA expression level was associated with poor PPS in all patients with ovarian cancer. These findings may contribute to the understanding of the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer and thus aid in the improvement of diagnosis, treatment and patient outcome.

To identify DEGs between ovarian cancer tissues and normal tissues, we first analyzed three GEO datasets...
and identified 708 overlapping genes. Then, the Metascape online tool was used to further confirm the function of these genes. These DEGs were mainly enriched in the regulation of cell cycle process, cell division and blood vessel development. Finally, we identified ten hub genes (CDK1, CDC20, CCNB1, BUB1B, CCNA2, KIF11, CDCA8, KIF2C, NDC80 and TOP2A) for further analysis. Overexpression of CDK1, CCNB1 and CDC20 in tumor tissues predicted poor survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma [12]. The results of this study suggest that these genes are also involved in regulating the tumorigenesis and progression of hepatocellular carcinoma. However, the survival rate associated with the high expression and low expression of these 3 genes, as
assessed via the Kaplan–Meier method, was not significantly different.

According to Oncomine and GEPIA, these ten hub genes were more highly expressed in ovarian cancer tissues than in normal tissues. Finally, we identified CCNA2 and BUB1B as candidate hub genes for ovarian cancer progression, as their high expression was correlated with tumor stage and overall survival of ovarian cancer.

CCNA2 protein expression was further confirmed by the HPA database, which suggested that CCNA2 has potential prognostic and therapeutic significance in ovarian cancer. CCNA2 upregulation is also reportedly associated with the progression of other malignancies, including gastric cancer [13], hepatocellular carcinoma [14] and lung squamous cell carcinoma [15]. CCNA2 is a key regulatory protein that promotes the transition from S phase to G2/M phase [16].

Gene ontology analysis revealed that CCNA2 was mainly enriched in mitotic sister chromatid segregation, cell division and the cell cycle. Mitotic DNA repair is thought to primarily involve sister chromatids. Abnormal mitotic DNA repair is closely related to tumorigenesis and progression [17].

The repair of DNA damage is crucial for the maintenance of genomic integrity. Cells cannot properly repair their DNA during replication without the complete set of DNA repair proteins at a damage site. In these circumstances, the outcome is mitotic catastrophe and subsequent cell death. The proteins encoded by the breast cancer gene 1/2 (BRCA1/2) genes participate in the repair of DNA double strand breaks. The loss of function
Fig. 7  A BUB1B expression and overall survival analyses in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in the Oncomine database and GEPIA database, B CCNA2 expression and overall survival analyses in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in the Oncomine database and GEPIA database, C CCNB1 expression and overall survival analyses in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in the Oncomine database and GEPIA database, D CDC20 expression and overall survival analyses in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in the Oncomine database and GEPIA database, E CDCA8 expression and overall survival analyses in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues in the Oncomine database and GEPIA database
of these genes renders cancer cells more dependent on alternative DNA repair mechanisms, such as single-strand DNA repair. Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibition in breast cancer mutant tumor cells induces synthetic lethality and has emerged as a promising anticancer therapy, especially in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers [18].
In tumorigenesis, the apoptotic cell division ratio is altered [19]. Cancer cells undergo uncontrolled cell division without programmed cell death or apoptosis [20]. Dysregulation of cell cycle progression is also considered a common characteristic of cancer [21]. CCNA2 is involved in biological processes of the cell cycle. Therefore, studies with a larger number of samples will be used to verify the results of the present study in the future.

The BUB1B gene is located at chromosome 15q15 and plays a vital role in chromosome segregation [22]. Ding et al. [23] revealed that BUB1B, CDK1, CCNA2, TOP2A, BUB1B, and KIF11 were hub genes in the progression of colorectal cancer, and these genes were all differentially expressed in ovarian cancer. Similar findings were reported by two other research groups and showed that BUB1B participates in tumor growth and the progression of prostate cancer and lung adenocarcinoma [24, 25]. A previous study performed KEGG enrichment analysis and indicated that BUB1B was associated with the cell cycle [26]. Halting cell cycle progression is crucial for the development of tumorigenesis and tumor progression [27].

This study has some limitation. First, the pathological data were incomplete and were not included in the results of this study. Second, there was no experimental verification.

Conclusion
Taken together, our study provided evidence concerning the altered expression of genes in ovarian cancer tissues compared with normal tissues. In vivo and in vitro experiments are required to verify the results of the present study.
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