Reflective amplification without population inversion from a strongly driven superconducting qubit
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Amplification of optical or microwave fields is often achieved by strongly driving a medium to induce population inversion such that a weak probe can be amplified through stimulated emission. Here we strongly couple a superconducting qubit, an artificial atom, to the field in a semi-infinite waveguide. When driving the qubit strongly on resonance such that a Mollow triplet appears, we observe a 7% amplitude gain for a weak probe at frequencies in-between the triplet. This amplification is not due to population inversion, neither in the bare qubit basis nor in the dressed-state basis, but instead results from a four-photon process that converts energy from the strong drive to the weak probe. We find excellent agreement between the experimental results and numerical simulations without any free fitting parameters. The device demonstrated here may have applications in quantum information processing and quantum-limited measurements.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Gy, 85.25.Cp

Superconducting qubit circuits are playing an important role in the development of solid-state quantum computation [1–3], where they already have been used in implementations of quantum logic gates and algorithms [4, 5]. However, in the past decade, superconducting qubit circuits have also become a prominent platform for quantum-optics research. This development originated from the achievement of strong coupling in the circuit version of cavity quantum electrodynamics, where a superconducting qubit acts as a substitute for the atom and a strip-line waveguide replaces the optical cavity [6]. In this context, a broad range of phenomena from atomic physics and quantum optics [7, 8], e.g., lasing [9–11], have been demonstrated in solid-state systems. Some of these phenomena, e.g., electromagnetically induced transparency [12–17], can be demonstrated with greater clarity and sophistication than in corresponding experiments with natural atoms.

The additional capabilities in quantum optics with superconducting circuits stems partly from the tunable and designable nature of the superconducting qubits as two-level (or multi-level) systems, and partly from the ease with which strong coupling can be achieved between these artificial atoms and quantum fields. The latter property has permitted the demonstration of ultrastrong coupling [18–21], going beyond the physics of the rotating-wave approximation and the Jaynes–Cummings model, and the dressed Zeno effect [22] with the coupling taking place in a resonator. With the coupling being between a superconducting qubit and an open waveguide [23–28] instead, it has made possible the demonstration of, e.g., the Mollow triplet [23, 29, 30] and single-photon routing [31]. If one truncates the open waveguide, forming a semi-infinite space with the qubit placed close to the endpoint (equivalent to placing an atom in front of a mirror [32]), the qubit becomes strongly coupled to a single input-output channel. Such a setup has been used to demonstrate a giant cross-Kerr phase shift with a probe and a signal field interacting with different transitions in a three-level artificial atom [33].

In this Letter, we leverage the excellent characteristics of a superconducting qubit at the end of a transmission line to demonstrate amplification in a two-tone experiment. We find that, when a strong resonant drive field splits the qubit transition into a Mollow triplet, a weak probe field is amplified if it is tuned to the frequencies between the resonances in that triplet. This is in accordance with another theoretical prediction by Mollow [34]. Previous experiments with many natural atoms [35] and a single quantum dot [36] have reported similar amplification, but only at levels of 0.4% and 0.005%, respectively. In our experiment, we measure amplitude gain reaching up to 7%. We note that the amplification mechanism does not rely on population inversion, as in another experiment with a superconducting three-level artificial atom in an open waveguide [37], nor even population inversion between dressed states, as in another such experiment [38] and some implementations of lasing without inversion [39]. Instead, the amplification can be explained in terms of higher-order processes with stimulated emission and transitions between dressed states [40].

The device used in our experiment is shown in Fig. 1(a). A transmon qubit [41] is embedded at the end of a one-dimensional transmission line with characteristic impedance $Z_0 \approx 50 \Omega$. We denote the ground state, the first excited state, and the second excited state...
the Josephson energy is the magnetic flux quantum. Due to the position of the Josephson energy can be tuned from its maximum value to the qubit in a cryostat cooled to room temperature, combined at Σ, and fed through attenuators to the qubit in a cryostat cooled to 12 mK. The output signal is measured in a vector network analyzer (VNA). (b) Two energy-level diagrams showing how the weak probe is amplified in our setup. The strong pump with amplitude Ωpump dresses the energy levels of the bare two-level qubit. The left part shows one of the two irreversible four-photon processes that leads to the stimulated emission of a photon at the probe frequency ωp (blue arrow) when ωpump + Ωpump > ωp > ωpump + √2Γγ / Ωpump, and the right part shows the same for the case ωpump − √2Γγ / Ωpump > ωp > ωpump − Ωpump. In these processes, two pump photons (green arrows) are absorbed and an additional photon (red wavy arrow) is scattered at frequency ωs = 2ωpump − ωp. An important part of the processes is that the two virtual states shown with dashed lines are connected by the strong pump. This increases the probability of the process occurring, since these virtual states are within the power-broadening width of the pump. The empty and filled circles and squares are used to mark the corresponding transitions in Fig. 3(b).

of the transmon by |0⟩, |1⟩, and |2⟩, respectively. The |0⟩ ↔ |1⟩ transition energy of the transmon is \( \Delta \) (Φ) = \( \sqrt{8E_J(\Phi)/E_C - E_C} \); it is determined by the charging energy \( E_C = e^2/2C_\Sigma \), where \( e \) is the elementary charge and \( C_\Sigma \) is the total capacitance of the transmon, and the Josephson energy \( E_J(\Phi) = E_J |\cos(\pi\Phi/\Phi_0)| \). The Josephson energy can be tuned from its maximum value \( E_J \) by the external flux \( \Phi \) of a magnetic coil; \( \Phi_0 = h/2e \) is the magnetic flux quantum. Due to the position of the transmon at the end of the transmission line, the field emitted from the transmon can only propagate in one direction. The diagram in Fig. 1(a) also illustrates the rest of the experimental setup. The pump field at frequency ωpump and the probe field of frequency ωp are fed into the transmission line via a combiner and several attenuators. The output signal is amplified and measured in a vector network analyzer (VNA) to determine the amplitude reflection coefficient \( r \) of the probe field.

The origin of the amplification is illustrated in Fig. 1(b), following Ref. [40]. The two-level structure of the qubit becomes dressed by the strong resonant (ωpump = ω10) pump with Rabi frequency Ωpump, forming the dressed states which have transitions at the Mollow-triplet frequencies ω10 and ω10 ± Ωpump. Since the drive is resonant, all states are equally populated; no population inversion occurs. When \( \omega_p = \omega_{10} \pm \Omega_{\text{pump}} \), the equal population of all states leads to absorption and stimulated emission being equally likely, which means that the probe experiences neither gain nor attenuation. If \( |\omega_p - \omega_{10}| > \Omega_{\text{pump}} \), a three-photon process that leads to absorption dominates. However, when \( \sqrt{2\Gamma\gamma / \Omega_{\text{pump}}} < |\omega_p - \omega_{10}| < \Omega_{\text{pump}} \) [34], four-photon processes like the ones shown in Fig. 1(b) dominate and lead to amplification. Here, \( \Gamma_1 \) is the relaxation rate and \( \gamma \) is the decoherence rate for the qubit.

Before performing the amplification experiments, we first characterize our device spectroscopically. In

Figure 1. Experimental setup and amplification mechanism. (a) A simplified schematic of the device and the setup for the experiment. The transmon qubit, our artificial atom, is formed by two superconducting islands (center of the image) coupled through two Josephson junctions and a large capacitance. The qubit sits at the end of the transmission line formed by the center conductor and ground planes pictured here. The microwave pump and probe tones are generated at room temperature, combined at Σ, and fed through attenuators to the qubit in a cryostat cooled to 12 mK. The output signal is measured in a vector network analyzer (VNA). (b) Two energy-level diagrams showing how the weak probe is amplified in our setup. The strong pump with amplitude Ωpump dresses the energy levels of the bare two-level qubit. The left part shows one of the two irreversible four-photon processes that leads to the stimulated emission of a photon at the probe frequency ωp (blue arrow) when ωpump + Ωpump > ωp > ωpump + √2Γγ / Ωpump, and the right part shows the same for the case ωpump − √2Γγ / Ωpump > ωp > ωpump − Ωpump. In these processes, two pump photons (green arrows) are absorbed and an additional photon (red wavy arrow) is scattered at frequency ωs = 2ωpump − ωp. An important part of the processes is that the two virtual states shown with dashed lines are connected by the strong pump. This increases the probability of the process occurring, since these virtual states are within the power-broadening width of the pump. The empty and filled circles and squares are used to mark the corresponding transitions in Fig. 3(b).

Figure 2. Spectroscopy of the transmon qubit. (a) Single-tone spectroscopy. Amplitude reflection coefficient \( |r| \) as a function of probe frequency ωp and flux Φ. The red dashed curve is a fitted theory curve for ω10. (b) Two-tone spectroscopy. We once again show \( |r| \) for the weak probe as a function of ωp and Φ, but in this experiment a second, strong microwave drive is applied at ω10. The broad green region that appears in the response corresponds to the |1⟩ ↔ |2⟩ transition at frequency ω21. The black dashed curve is a fitted theory curve for ω21. We also see the features of the Mollow triplet around ω10 and note that the features of this triplet can be tuned by the flux.

Before performing the amplification experiments, we first characterize our device spectroscopically. In
Fig. 2(a), we show the amplitude reflection coefficient $|r|$ of a weak probe (amplitude $\Omega_p \ll \gamma$) as a function of the external flux $\Phi$. We clearly see the $\Phi$ dependence of the $\omega_{10}$ transition frequency. The transmon also has higher levels. To see the next transition, between $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ at frequency $\omega_{21}$, we use two-tone spectroscopy. We saturate the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition by applying a pump at $\omega_{10}$ with pump power $P_{\text{pump}} = -119 \text{ dBm}$, and measure the reflection of a weak probe at $\omega_p$. As can be seen in Fig. 2(b), we observe photon scattering from the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transition, which appears as a dip in the reflection at $\omega_p = \omega_{21}$. We also observe that the strong resonant pump dresses the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition, giving rise to three resonances around $\omega_{10}$. This is the well-known Mollow triplet, which we study further in the amplification experiments below.

From Figs. 2(a,b), we extract $E_J = 7.97 \text{ GHz}$, $E_C = 390 \text{ MHz}$, $\omega_{10}(\Phi)$, and $\omega_{21}(\Phi)$. We then perform further single-tone scattering experiments at $\Phi/\Phi_0 = 0$ as in Ref. [33]. From the magnitude and phase of the reflection coefficient for a weak probe as a function of $\omega_p$, we extract $\Gamma_1$, the pure dephasing $\Gamma_\phi$, and $\gamma$. Measuring $r$ as a function of the probe power $P$, we extract the coupling constant $k$ relating the input power to the Rabi frequency according to $\Omega_p/2\pi = k\sqrt{P}$. All the extracted parameters are summarized in Table I. We note that $\Gamma_1$ is dominated by the coupling to the transmission line and greatly exceeds $\Gamma_\phi$, placing our system in the strong-coupling regime.

We now investigate the Mollow-triplet structure further by fixing the flux at $\Phi = 0$ and the pump frequency at $\omega_{\text{pump}} = \omega_{10}$. We record the reflection coefficient $|r|$ of a weak probe as a function of both $\omega_p$ and pump power, increasing $P_{\text{pump}}$ from $-130 \text{ dBm}$ to $-105 \text{ dBm}$. The result is shown in Fig. 3(a). To the left in the figure, around $\omega_p = 4.2 \text{ GHz}$, we see scattering from the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transition, which becomes possible because the resonant pump populates the first excited state of the qubit. As the pump power increases, this feature splits into two dips in the reflection, which corresponds to an Autler-Townes doublet [16, 17, 42]. Increasing the pump power, we also see the resonance around $\omega_{10}$ separate into a Mollow-triplet-like structure. The central transition becomes weaker at higher powers (the delta peak/dip in the data exactly at $\omega_{10}$ is an artifact of the pump, unrelated to the qubit response) and areas with greater-than-unity reflection, $|r| > 1$ (purple color), appear in-between the three dips. This is further illustrated in Fig. 3(c), which shows three line cuts at different pump powers. The two reflection peaks correspond to gain arising from conversion between pump and probe photons, mediated by the superconducting artificial atom, as explained in Fig. 1(b). We emphasize that this gain is not a result of population inversion, not even among the dressed states. The maximum gain we observe is about 7%, at $P_{\text{pump}} = -114 \text{ dBm}$. We note that the two gain peaks are asymmetric, unlike what Mollow predicted for a two-level atom. We attribute this asymmetry to influence from the second excited state of the transmon qubit.

In Figs. 3(b,d), we show numerical simulations corresponding to the experimental results in Figs. 3(a,c), respectively. These theoretical plots are done using the parameters in Table I. Even though no free fitting parameters are used, we see excellent agreement between theory and experiment. To model the two-tone spectroscopy results, we solve the master equation

$$
\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \hbar \Delta_m \langle m | \rho | m \rangle - i \frac{\Omega_{\text{pump}}}{2} (\Sigma_+ - \Sigma_-) \rho + \Gamma_\phi D \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} m \rho_1 \langle m-1 | \langle m | \rho \rangle + \Gamma_\phi D \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} m \rho_1 \langle m | \langle m-1 | \rho \rangle
$$

where $\rho$ is the density matrix for the qubit with en-
Table I. Extracted parameters of the device.

| $E_{J0}/\hbar$ [GHz] | $E_C/\hbar$ [GHz] | $E_{J0}/E_C$ | $\omega_{10}/2\pi$ [GHz] | $\omega_{21}/2\pi$ [GHz] | $\Gamma_1/2\pi$ [MHz] | $\Gamma_\Phi/2\pi$ [MHz] | $\gamma/2\pi$ [MHz] |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 7.97                 | 0.39             | 20.4        | 4.59                     | 4.2                      | 45                   | 2.7                  | 25.2                 |

Figure 4. Reflection coefficient $|r|$ of a weak probe as a function of $\omega_p$ (x axis) and $\omega_{\text{pump}}$ (y axis) at $\Phi = 0$ for four different pump powers: (a) $-130$ dBm, (b) $-125$ dBm, (c) $-120$ dBm, and (d) $-115$ dBm. The top row is experimental data and the bottom row is numerical simulations, performed in the same way as in Fig. 3 using the parameters in Table I (no free fitting parameters). As we increase the pump power, the features at $\omega_{10} = 4.59$ GHz and $\omega_{21} = 4.2$ GHz split into a more complicated response, which can be explained in terms of the Mollow triplet due to pumping of the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition [solid black lines in (d)] and the Autler-Townes doublet due to pumping of the $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transition [dashed black lines in (d)].

In summary, we have demonstrated amplification of a weak probe by about 7% using a single resonantly pumped superconducting artificial atom placed at the end of a transmission line. The amplification does not rely on population inversion, not even in the dressed-state basis. The device demonstrated here may have applications in quantum information processing and quantum-limited measurements with superconducting circuits, where extremely low-noise microwave amplifiers...
are needed.
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