Frustrated minority spins in GeNi$_2$O$_4$
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PACS 75.10.Jm – Quantized spin models
PACS 75.25.+z – Spin arrangements in magnetically ordered materials (including neutron and spin-polarized electron studies, synchrotron-source, X-ray scattering, etc.)
PACS 75.50.Ee – Antiferromagnetics

Abstract – Recently, two consecutive phase transitions were observed, upon cooling, in an antiferromagnetic spinel GeNi$_2$O$_4$ at $T_{N1} = 12.1$ K and $T_{N2} = 11.4$ K, respectively (Crawford M. K. et al., Phys. Rev. B, 68 (2003) 220408(R)). Using unpolarized and polarized elastic neutron scattering we show that the two transitions are due to the existence of frustrated minority spins in this compound. Upon cooling, at $T_{N1}$ the spins on the $\langle 111 \rangle$ kagome planes order ferromagnetically in the plane and antiferromagnetically between the planes (phase I), leaving the spins on the $\langle 111 \rangle$ triangular planes that separate the kagome planes frustrated and disordered. At the lower $T_{N2}$, the triangular spins also order in the $\langle 111 \rangle$ plane (phase II). We also present a scenario involving exchange interactions that qualitatively explains the origin of the two purely magnetic phase transitions.

When viewed along the $\langle 111 \rangle$ direction, the pyrochlore lattice can be described as alternating layers of a kagome (of corner-sharing triangles) and a triangular lattice (with 1/3 as many spins per layer as the kagome layer). We have performed polarized and unpolarized elastic neutron scattering measurements on a single crystal of GeNi$_2$O$_4$.
to understand the nature of the phase transitions. Our results show that upon cooling, at $T_{N1}$ the kagome (majority) spins order ferromagnetically in the (111) plane and antiferromagnetically between the planes (phase I). Our polarized elastic neutron scattering experiments indicate that the kagome spins are aligned along a high-symmetry direction in the kagome plane. The antiferromagnetic stacking of the kagome planes induces zero internal magnetic field at the triangular spins that lie between the kagome planes and leaves the triangular spins frustrated. The triangular spins order in the (111) plane only at the lower $T_{N2} = 11.4$ K. In order to understand the experimental findings, we have considered a spin Hamiltonian with superexchange interactions among Ni$^{2+}$ ions up to the fourth nearest neighbors. The model shows that dominant ferromagnetic $J_1$ and antiferromagnetic $J_4$ are the key interactions driving the first phase transition at $T_{N1}$, which is consistent with the Goodenough-Kanamori rules. However, it calls for ferromagnetic $J_3$ and antiferromagnetic $J_4'$ to describe the triangular-layer behavior, which does not seem to obey the rules.

A single crystal of GeNi$_2$O$_4$ was grown by the chemical vapor transport method using TeCl$_4$ as a transport agent. The crystal has the shape of an octahedron, an edge of which is typically about 1 mm long. Details of the sample characterization are described elsewhere.

The neutron scattering experiments were carried out on the thermal neutron triple-axis spectrometer TAS2 installed at the guide hall of JRR-3 at Japan Atomic Energy Agency. The energy of scattered neutrons was fixed to be 14.7 meV. The horizontal collimator sequences were guide-80'-S-80'-80' or guide-80'-S-80'-open. Polarized neutron scattering experiments were carried out on the thermal neutron triple-axis spectrometers TAS-1 installed at the beam hall of JRR-3. Heusler alloy (111) fixed to 14.7 meV was used as monochromator and analyzer. A flipping ratio of $\sim 25$ was obtained on some nuclear Bragg peaks. The single crystal was mounted with the [111] and [011] axes in the horizontal scattering plane, while a vertical guide field was applied. Contamination from higher-order beams was effectively eliminated using PG filters.

Bertaut et al. have performed neutron diffraction measurements [14] on a powder sample of GeNi$_2$O$_4$ and showed that at 4 K spins are in a long-range ordered state with a characteristic wave vector $Q = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$. They have proposed as the ground state a collinear spin structure in which, as shown in fig. 2(a), the spins in kagome and triangular planes are aligned ferromagnetically in each plane and are stacked antiferromagnetically along the (111) direction. Our polarized elastic neutron scattering on a single crystal of GeNi$_2$O$_4$ confirmed the characteristic wave vector being $Q = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$. Figure 1(a) shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic Bragg intensities at $Q = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ and $(1/2, 1/2, -1/2)$, obtained from the single crystal of GeNi$_2$O$_4$. Both data show a sharp increase in intensity around 12.1 K (phase I) with another sharp increase around 11.4 K (phase II), which indicates the existence of two distinct magnetic transitions and is consistent with the recent neutron powder diffraction data [13].

Our single-crystal data, however, allow us to study the nature of the phase transitions in more detail than the previous powder diffraction studies. The ratio of the intensities of the two reflections, $I((1/2, 1/2, 1/2))/I((1/2, 1/2, -1/2))$, changes as the system goes from phase I to phase II. This indicates that phases I and II have different spin structures. In order to investigate the ordered states in detail, we have performed unpolarized elastic scattering at nine independent magnetic Bragg reflections at 7 K (phase II) and 11.5 K (phase I). The scans were made along the longitudinal directions in the momentum space. Their integrated intensities are summarized in a log scale in figs. 1(c) and (d). The data were fitted to spin structures that are allowed by a linear combination of the basis vectors of irreducible representations for the $Fd3m$ group with $Q = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ [15, 16]. Since there is a 3-fold symmetry
Within either triangular or kagome planes, the calculated intensities were averaged among three equivalent spin orientations.

Interestingly, the collinear spin structure proposed by Bertaut et al. (fig. 2(a)) [14] could not reproduce our phase-I data. Furthermore, none of the coplanar models where all spins lie on the same plane could fit our data, as long as both kagome and triangular spins are ordered (see the pink on-line line in fig. 1(c)). Instead, the data best fitted to a spin structure with only the kagome spins ordered with $\langle M \rangle_{\text{kag}} = 1.3 \mu_B$ and with the triangular spins disordered (the black on-line line in fig. 1(c)). This ordering of partial spins can be understood when we consider the internal magnetic field at the triangular sites due to the neighboring kagome spins. Since the triangular plane is sandwiched by two kagome planes below and above, the internal magnetic fields due to the two kagome planes cancel each other on the triangular sites. Thus, even when the system cools down and the kagome spins order, the triangular spins lying in between the kagome planes cannot order.

When the system cools further down to phase II, the relative intensities of the magnetic Bragg reflections change (fig. 1(d)), indicating that the spin structure is different from that of phase I. Since the unpolarized neutron scattering data are rather insensitive to the orientation of the weak triangular spins, the data can be reproduced equally well by several models: any coplanar models (see figs. 2(a) or (c)) with both the triangular and the kagome spins ordered within the (111) plane with $(M)_{\text{tri}}^H = 1.8\mu_B$ (purple on-line line in fig. 1(d)), to which the Bertaut’s model belongs, and non-coplanar models where the triangular spins are aligned perpendicular to the (111) plane whereas the kagome spins are in the plane. In the non-coplanar model, the best fit was obtained with the triangular spins having smaller moments, $(M)_{\text{tri}}^H = 1.3\mu_B$, than the kagome spins, $(M)_{\text{kag}}^H = 2.0\mu_B$ (green on-line line in fig. 1(d)), which is more or less what a recent powder diffraction study has proposed [17]. In any case, our unpolarized neutron scattering results clearly show for the first time that the two phase transitions in GeNi$_2$O$_4$ are due to changes in the triangular layers, and it makes sense that these are harder to order since their interactions are more frustrated. Our finding also explains the origin of the two distinct types of magnetic environment found in a recent muon-spin relaxation study [18].

Figure 1(b) shows the temperature dependence of the spin-flip (SF) and non-spin-flip (NSF) intensities of the reflection. The measured ratio of SF to NSF intensities is $I_{\text{exp}}(\text{SF})/I_{\text{exp}}(\text{NSF}) = 8.7(8)$ after the correction for the imperfect polarization efficiency, and it stays almost constant. The measured ratio can be best reproduced when we assume that all spins lie in the (111) plane, $I_{\text{cal}}(\text{SF})/I_{\text{cal}}(\text{NSF}) = 8.27$, and the agreement rapidly worsens when the spins are allowed to have an out-of-plane component. So we conclude that in phase II both kagome and triangular layer spins lie in the (111) plane with an unknown angle between them.

Can we interpret the ordering pattern in terms of exchange interactions? Since Ni$^{2+}$ has $e_g^2$ electronic configuration, the dominant interactions are due to superexchange between the $e_g^2$ electrons and surrounding 2p electrons of O$^{2-}$. It is well known as the Goodenough-Kanomori rules that such superexchange interactions are sensitive to the bond angle, $\theta$. The interactions are very weak if $\theta \sim 90^\circ$ while they are antiferromagnetic if $\theta > 90^\circ$ with the strength that increases with increasing $\theta$ up to $180^\circ$. We considered the first five of these that involve one to two Ni-O-Ni or Ni-O-Ge superexchange steps (see fig. 2(a) and table 1). The Goodenough-Kanomori rules for these exchange paths imply that $J_1$, $J_2$, and $J_3$ should be weak, whereas $J_3$ and $J_4$ are comparably strong and antiferromagnetic (= positive in our convention). This contrasts with ACr$_2$O$_4$ (A = Zn, Cd, Hg) where Cr$^{3+}$ ions have $t_{2g}^2$ configuration and the nearest-neighbor interactions due to direct overlap of neighboring $t_{2g}$ orbitals are dominant.

---

1Note that the observed intensities averaged among three S-domains are independent of the spin orientations within kagome planes.
Table 1: List of the $n$-th nearest-neighbor interactions in a spinel, $\text{AB}_2\text{O}_4$, up to $n = 4$ and their possible superexchange paths via anions (O) and A- or B-site transition metal ions. $J$, $d$, $\theta$, $n_B$, and $Z$ represent the coupling constant, the bond distance in a unit of $a/4$, the bond angle, the number of the exchange path, and the number of bonds, respectively. The symbol (I) stands for couplings being in (111) planes, (K) and (T) for those with neighboring kagome and triangular layers, respectively. $Z_K$ and $Z_T$ are the number of bonds per a kagome and per a triangular spin, respectively. According to Goodenough-Kanamori rules, when B ions have $e_g$ electrons, the superexchange BOB is sensitive to the bond angle: it can be ferromagnetic (for $\theta \leq 90^\circ$) or antiferromagnetic (for $\theta > 90^\circ$).

| $J$ | $d$ | Path | $\theta$ | $n_B$ | $Z_K$ | $Z_T$ |
|-----|-----|------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| $J_1$ | $\sqrt{2}$ | BOB | $90^\circ$ | 2 | 4 (I), 2 (T) | 6 (K) |
| $J_2$ | $\sqrt{6}$ | BOAOB | 125$^\circ$, 125$^\circ$ | 1 | 4 (I), 4 (K), 4 (T) | 12 (K) |
| | | BOBOB | $90^\circ$, $90^\circ$ | 4 | |
| $J_3$ | $\sqrt{8}$ | BOAOB | 125$^\circ$, 125$^\circ$ | 2 | 2 (I), 4 (K) | 6 (I) |
| $J_3^*$ | $\sqrt{8}$ | BOBOB | $90^\circ$, $90^\circ$ | 4 | 4 (I), 2 (K) | 6 (T) |
| $J_4$ | $\sqrt{10}$ | BOAOB | 125$^\circ$, 125$^\circ$ | 1 | 8 (K), 4 (T) | 12 (K) |

It is to be noted that, as there is no structural distortion, the spin layers form through a spontaneous symmetry breaking (in which one of the four $\{1, 1, 1\}$ ordering vectors is arbitrarily chosen). In fact, the “triangular” sites just consist of one of the four fcc sublattices of the pyrochlore structure, while the “kagome” sites are the union of the other three fcc sublattices. We considered candidate states with collinear spins in which each sublattice might independently have ordering vector $Q = \{1, 0, 0\}$, $Q = \{1, 1, 0\}$, or $Q = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ (either aligned or not with that sublattice’s unique $\{111\}$ axis). Using three spin arrangements competitive with the observed $Q = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ pattern, when $J_3$, and $J_4$ are assumed strong and antiferromagnetic. In the observed structure, the triangular and the kagome layers have different mean-field energies per spin:

$$E_{\text{kag}} = 2J_1 - J_3 + J_3^* - 4J_4,$$

(1a)

$$E_{\text{tri}} = 3J_3 - 3J_3^*,$$

(1b)

obtained using the counts in table 1. This gives a net energy/spin $E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{2}{3}E_{\text{kag}} + \frac{1}{3}E_{\text{tri}} = \frac{2}{3}J_1 - 3J_4$. By comparison, structures built from $Q = \{100\}$ or $\{110\}$ would give $E_{\text{tot}} = -J_3 - J_3^* + 3J_0 \pm |J_1 - 2J_2 + 2J_4|$. Thus necessary condition to stabilize the actual structure is $E_{\text{tot}} < E_{\text{tot}}^*$, implying

$$J_4 \geq \frac{5}{4}J_1 - 2J_2 + J_3 + J_3^*,$$

(2)

which is plausible for $\text{GeNi}_2\text{O}_4$. Note that when $J_4$ dominates, the observed phase-I pattern of kagome layers with disordered triangular layers is the most stable mode in a mean-field approach.

As to the ordering temperatures, mean-field theory predicts $k_B T = \frac{\pi}{3}E_{\text{kag}}$ and $k_B T = 2\pi E_{\text{tri}}$. If $T < T_{\text{J1}}$ implies (using (1a) and (1b)) that $J_4 - J_4/2 > J_3^* - J_3$, which is consistent with the Goodenough-Kanamori rules. However, those rules would suggest $E_{\text{tot}} \approx 3J_3 > 0$, so it is surprising that $T < T_{\text{J1}}$. Indeed, to ensure that the triangular spins order with the same $Q = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ as the others (rather than the competing wave vectors), we must have $J_4^* > J_3^*$; $J_3^*$ may be antiferromagnetic and $J_3$ ferromagnetic.

A remaining question is, what causes both kagome and triangular spins to point within the plane of the layers? It cannot be the uniaxial anisotropy of each spin (with respect to its local threefold axis): when averaged over the three sublattices forming the kagome spins, this must have a sign opposite to the anisotropy of the triangular spins (since the anisotropy of all four sublattices averages to zero). Nor can it be interlayer Dzyalohinski-Moriya (DM) couplings: these cancel by symmetry since the spins are ferromagnetic in each layer. The simplest explanation of the observed spin orientations is thus dipolar anisotropy. Anisotropic exchange couplings will also generate an effective anisotropy for either kind of spin layer, the sign of which depends on the details of the anisotropy and the competition among the exchange interactions.)

In conclusion, our analysis suggests that in $\text{GeNi}_2\text{O}_4$, $J_4$ is the key interaction driving the first phase transition at $T_{\text{J1}}$. However, two discrepancies suggest our exchange-interaction model is incomplete in describing the triangular-layer behavior: i) the exchange theory would actually predict helimagnetic order with $Q = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$, in analogy to a $J_1$-$J_2$ chain with $J_2 \gg |J_1|$, contrary to the observation in $\text{GeNi}_2\text{O}_4$ (as well as related compounds). ii) The exchange theory indicates $J_3$ and $J_4$ has a ferromagnetic or AFM $J_4$, which is unlikely according to the Goodenough-Kanamori rules. A complete understanding may require models with anisotropic interactions or disorder, as well as spin-wave experiments to better constrain the $\{J_i\}$.
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