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요 약

High efficiency video coding (HEVC)은 H.264/AVC와 같은 이전 비디오 압축 표준 보다 더 높은 압축 효율을 갖는 최신 비디오 압축 표준이다. 화면 내 예측에서 최대 압축 단위 (LCU)들은 quadtree 구조를 통해 64x64부터 8x8까지의 크기를 갖는 더 작은 압축 단위 (CU)들로 나누어지고, 이들은 다시 예측 단위 (PU)들로 나뉘어진다. 가능한 크기까지 CU를 분할하면서 RDO (Rate Distortion Optimization) 과정을 통해 최적의 CU 분할 형태가 선택된다. 이 과정에서 HEVC는 많은 계산량을 필요로 한다. 본 논문에서는 HEVC의 계산량을 줄이기 위해, FAST (Features from Accelerated Segment Test) 코너 검출을 이용하여 화면 내 예측을 위한 고속 CU depth 결정 방법 (FCDD)을 제안한다. 제안하는 방법은 기존의 HEVC와 비교하여 약 0.7%의 BDBR 만큼의 적은 압축 성능 감소와 함께 부호화기에서 약 53.73%의 계산 시간을 감소시켰다.

Abstract

The High efficiency video coding (HEVC) is the newest video coding standard that achieves coding efficiency higher than previous video coding standards such as H.264/AVC. In intra prediction, the prediction units (PUs) are derived from a large coding unit (LCU) which is partitioned into smaller coding units (CUs) sizing from 8x8 to 64x64 in a quad-tree structure. As they are divided until having the minimum depth, Optimum CU splitting is selected in RDO (Rate Distortion Optimization) process. In this process, HEVC demands high computational complexity. In this paper, to reduce the complexity of HEVC, we propose a fast CU mode decision (FCDD) for intra prediction by using FAST (Features from Accelerated Segment Test) corner detection. The proposed method reduces computational complexity with 53.73% of the computational time for the intra prediction while coding performance degradation with 0.7% BDBR is small compared to conventional HEVC.
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I. Introduction

The Joint Collaborative Team on Video Coding (JCT-VC) which is composed by ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG) and ISO/IEC Moving Pictures Experts Group (MPEG) developed the newest video compression standard, called High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)/H.265[1]. The aim of HEVC is to achieve bit rate reduction of 50% compared to previous video coding standard, H.264/AVC to support high quality video services such as ultra-high-definition television (UHDTV).

In HEVC, a picture is divided into square-shaped large coding units (LCUs) which correspond to CU at depth of 0. Using quad-tree structures, each LCU is recursively split into four CUs and its depth increases by one at a time as shown in Fig. 1. A CU can have sizes from 8x8 to 64x64, and its maximum depth (for luma) can be up to 3. The prediction units (PUs) are for prediction process such as intra and inter prediction. There are eight different ways in splitting a CU into PUs. A PU can be a single CU or can be partitioned into two or four square or rectangular PUs. In case of intra prediction, if CU is of 8x8 size, it can have 2 cases in splitting: a 8x8 PU and four 4x4 PUs and otherwise, it can only have one case having the same size[2]. The HEVC intra prediction has three different prediction modes: 33 distinct angular prediction modes, planar prediction mode, and DC prediction mode.

HEVC selects the best prediction mode among all possible CU sizes and 35 intra prediction modes to find the least rate distortion cost (RD-cost). In this process, it requires higher computational complexity. Many fast intra prediction methods have been proposed recently to achieve significant time saving with little loss in coding performance. Some of them use fast algorithm for HEVC intra prediction[3]-[10]: the fast intra mode decision using information of previous PUs and transform units (TUs) based on hierarchical structure[3], the method in rate-distortion optimization (RDO) process searching for minimum intra prediction mode using histogram based on gradient[4], the method only using adjacent modes of optimum direction without modes of impossible directions in measuring total gradient from four directions of Coding Tree Unit (CTU)[5], the intra prediction mode decision using edge in CT[6], the CU splitting and pruning method using Bayesian selection[7], the early skip mode decision without checking the rest according to rate distortion cost of Merge mode[8], the fast quantization method in RDO process choosing only optimum level of quantization[9], the fast mode decision for intra prediction with direction of the edges and neighboring CUs modes[10]. There are also fast algorithms for HEVC intra prediction specially using fast CU size decision[11][13], the fast CU size decision with the texture homogeneity and bypass strategy[11], the fast CU size decision using skipping some specific depth based on RD cost and intra prediction mode correlations among spatially nearby CUs[12], the fast

---

Fig. 1. Splitting 64x64 LCUs into CUs of 8x8 to 32x32 luma samples using quad-tree structure
CU depth decision with keypoint based on blob detection [13].

It is important to select a right depth for a CU because it directly affects the number of bits - when depth increases by one, a CU is split into four smaller CUs having a half size each, and it needs more number of bits as the number of CUs increases. So, selecting a smaller CU depth is more advantageous to encode video from the point of view of bit amounts. But distortion between original and reconstructed sequences is also related to CU depth. If the smallest CU depth is only selected, it is hard to predict a block using reference samples. This paper proposes a fast CU mode decision algorithm using the FAST (Features from Accelerated Segment Test) corner detector which can estimate homogeneity of current CU and decide the minimum CU depth.

The rest of this paper is as follows: Section II introduces the FAST corner detection and the proposed fast CU depth decision method with related thresholds. Section III shows the simulation results and performance of the proposed method. Finally, brief conclusion is given in Section IV.

II. Proposed Fast CU Depth Decision

1. FAST Corner Detection

The FAST (Features from Accelerated Segment Test) is a corner detection method which extracts features with high speed [14]. In the FAST, a pixel is determined whether it is corner or not considering a circle of its surrounding 16 pixels. Technically, in Fig. 2, a pixel, \( p \), is determined as a corner if all contiguous pixels in the circle of 16 pixels are brighter than \( I_p + Th \) or darker than \( I_p - Th \) where \( I_p \) is the intensity of selected pixel and \( Th \) is a threshold value. For high-speed test which is used to exclude a lot of non-corners, it examines only the four pixels at 1, 9, 5 and 13: pixels at 1 and 9 are tested first whether they are brighter or darker than the selected pixel; If so, then it further checks pixels at 5 and 13. \( p \) is considered as a corner if at least three of these pixels are brighter than \( I_p + Th \) or darker than \( I_p - Th \). Otherwise, \( p \) cannot be a corner. The FAST corner detection is good for detecting feature points rapidly with high performance. As shown in Fig. 3, a CU tends...
to have a larger depth if it has many feature points. Otherwise, it tends to have a small depth. So if the degree of frequency is measured for a LCU using the FAST corner detection, its depth can be decided.

2. Fast CU Depth Decision

In HEVC, intra prediction uses all CU sizes from 8x8 to 64x64 and 35 intra prediction modes so that it has critical impact on the encoding time. To reduce encoding time, the proposed fast CU Depth decision (FCDD) focuses on prediction. As shown in Fig. 4, the proposed algorithm has two stages: the counting feature points stage (CFS) and the depth decision stage (DDS). First of all, in the CFS, all feature points in a LCU is detected using the FAST corner detection in which its threshold value plays an important role in determining whether a pixel is feature point or not. But the FAST uses the original pixel values in deciding CU depths. So it is independent of QP value.
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\text{Fig. 3. The relationship between the number of feature points and CU splitting. (Colored circles indicate feature points)}

\text{Fig. 4. Flowchart of the proposed FCDD algorithm}
In HEVC, as the QP value influences the quality of reconstructed picture, the number of feature points in the same LCU is changed if QP value is changed. Therefore, we make the threshold value adaptive to have correlation with the quality of reconstructed picture: we confirm the number of feature points with different QP value in reconstructed picture and with different threshold value. Compared to original picture, we confirm how many number of feature points disappear in reconstructed picture and find out the tendency to have the correlation between the threshold value and QP value experimentally. Finally, we find the relation between the threshold and QP value as below:

\[ Th = 0.0053Q_p^3 - 0.432Q_p^2 + 11.731Q_p - 100.78 \] (1)

It counts pixels which are considered as feature points to check the texture homogeneity. When the number of feature points is large, it means that the current LCU has high frequency energy much. The homogeneity of LCU is related to its depth: if the texture of the current LCU is complex, it needs higher depth to keep the intra prediction performance higher in smaller CU; and if homogeneous, it is possible to have a smaller depth in larger CU. Therefore, by counting feature points, the degree of partition, or depth, can be decided. We check not only the number of feature points but also how feature points are dispersed in the current CU. The distribution of feature points are checked with the variance of distances between feature points and centre point which is measured by the average of positions of feature points as below:

\[ Cen_x = \frac{\sum p_x}{N} \] (2)
\[ Cen_y = \frac{\sum p_y}{N} \] (3)
\[ \sigma^2 = \frac{\sum (p_{x,y} - Cen_{x,y})^2}{N} \] (4)

where \( Cen_x \) and \( Cen_y \) are the x and y positions of centre point respectively, \( p_x \) and \( p_y \) are those of a feature point respectively, \( N \) is the number of feature points, and \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance of distances between feature points and its centre point. As shown in Table. 1, there are two data sets with 32x32 CU and QP=22 in HEVC: one case (see the first and second rows) is that two CUs having the same number of feature points and similar variance have different result on whether they split or not; another case (see the third to fifth rows) is that three CUs with the same number of feature points but different variance have different result on whether they split or not, specifically there is no relation between variance value and whether it split or not. So, we consider only the number of feature points in determining the depth of CU.

| Split or Non Split | Number of feature points | Variance value |
|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Non Split          | 32                       | 31.4575       |
| Split              | 32                       | 31.5013       |
| Non Split          | 13                       | 23.0998       |
| Split              | 13                       | 41.7179       |
| Split              | 13                       | 21.6080       |

The depth decision stage (DDS) determines the depth of the current LCU through three depth thresholds: the first depth threshold (\( TH01 \)) to decide whether a 64x64 CU, same as depth 0, splits or not; the second depth threshold (\( TH12 \)) to decide whether a 32x32 CU, same as depth 1, splits or not; the last depth threshold (\( TH23 \)) to decide whether a 16x16 CU, same as depth 2, splits or not. We count the number of feature points on the current CU and its possibility of being split.

As shown in Fig. 5, in the depth 0 to 1 and depth 1 to 2 which have similar tendency on the graph, the current
CU is not split when the number of feature points is equal to zero or near zero in case of high QP value, but almost split when we select larger case if non split and split cases are overlapped by less than 30%. In the depth 2 to 3, it is really hard to decide the TH23 value because both cases are overlapped by more than 30% except when the number of feature points is equal to zero. So, when the number of feature points is more than TH23, we need to use the conventional method, the rate distortion optimization (RD) process, to decide the depth of current CU. To find the
three depth thresholds, we used following two of sequences in Class C: 10 frames of “BQMall” and “BasketballDrill”, respectively. Finally we decided the depth threshold value as in Table 2.

| QP | TH1 | TH2 | TH3 |
|----|-----|-----|-----|
| 22 | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 27 | 0   | 0   | 0   |
| 32 | 0   | 0   | 1   |
| 37 | 0   | 0   | 1   |

### III. Experiment Result

The proposed FCDD algorithm is implemented on the HEVC reference software (HM 16.7) for performance evaluation to compare it with the original reference HEVC encoder as the anchor. The simulation platform is Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-4690 CPU @ 3.50GHz with quad cores and 8.00 GB RAM. All-Intra-Main profile is used for encoding. Simulation conditions are defined as follow: 100 frames; QPs are set to 22, 27, 32 and 37. Coding efficiency is measured using BDPSNR and BDBR[15], and the reduction of computational complexity is measured using the time saving of encoding as follows:

\[
TS = \frac{T_{HM,4.0} - T_{FCDD}}{T_{HM,4.0}} \times 100\%
\]  (5)

Table 3 shows performance results of the proposed FCDD algorithm which is shown to reduce the encoding time by about 53.73% with loss of coding efficiency of 0.7% in BDBR on average. This is because the proposed method uses the number of feature points based on corner detection for skipping the RDO process required for LCU splitting decision. It can be found that the loss of coding efficiency is not large considering fast intra prediction with a minimum of BDBR 0.0% on “ParkScene”, and maximum of BDBR 1.7% on “Basketball Drive”. However, although FCDD does not require the full RDO process, it still needs additional computation to carry out the FAST corner detection process. So, it achieved the minimum time saving of 47.6%.

| Sequence Information | Measurement |
|----------------------|-------------|
| Class | Frame Rate (Hz) | BDBR (%) | TS (%) |
| B (1080p) | BQTerrace | 100 | 60 | 0.6 | 60.1 |
| | BasketballDrive | 100 | 50 | 1.7 | 59.0 |
| | ParkScene | 100 | 24 | 1.1 | 57.1 |
| | Cactus | 100 | 50 | 1.2 | 54.0 |
| C (WVGA) | BasketballDrill | 100 | 50 | 0.6 | 52.9 |
| | BQMall | 100 | 60 | 0.8 | 53.6 |
| | PartyScene | 100 | 50 | 0.0 | 54.7 |
| | RaceHorses | 100 | 30 | 0.6 | 58.0 |
| D (WQVGA) | BasketballPass | 100 | 50 | 1.3 | 51.3 |
| | BQSqure | 100 | 60 | 0.1 | 47.9 |
| | BlowingBubbles | 100 | 50 | 0.1 | 47.6 |
| | RaceHorses | 100 | 30 | 0.4 | 48.5 |
| Average | | | 0.7 | 53.73 |
IV. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a fast CU mode decision algorithm for HEVC intra prediction by using the FAST corner detection and determining range of depth on LCU size. Experimental results showed that the proposed method can reduce about 53.73 % of the computational time at encoder and also maintain the coding performance with 0.7% BDBR loss. We need the proposed fast algorithm to overcome high computational complexity with only small loss of coding efficiency. So, the proposed algorithm can be effective for retaining the coding performance as the required computational time grows to support higher definition video. The proposed method can alleviate the increasing computation.
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