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Potential energy surfaces for three unimolecular elimination reactions: \((\text{CH}_3)_3\text{C}=\text{OH} \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}\), \(\text{CH}_3\text{–CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{–CF}_2 + \text{HF}\), and \(\text{CH}_3\text{–CH}_2\text{–CH}_2\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{–CH} = \text{CH}_2 + \text{HCl}\) were calculated using a variety of quantum chemical methods. It was shown that, in all the three cases, the transition state in the first step of the reaction leads to the production of the complex intermediates based on van der Waals interactions. In addition to the fact that the three complexes appear as intermediates on the potential energy surfaces, which means that they are not free entities, the entropy values of the two elimination products are far above those of the complexes due to their additional Sackur-Tetrode entropy. Moreover, the three vibrational frequencies of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) group in the \((\text{CH}_3)_3\text{COH}\) complex and the \(\text{H–Cl}\) and \(\text{H–F}\) stretch frequencies in \(\text{CH}_3\text{CF}_3\) and \(\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}\) are quite different (see the various tables). The energy levels of the complexes were found to be below those of the corresponding decomposition products. Rate constants for the elimination processes were calculated from the potential energy surfaces using transition-state theory and were compared to available experimental data.

1. Introduction

There is a large number of thermal unimolecular elimination reactions where part of a molecule is eliminated from a large organic one. Among them, elimination of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), \(\text{HCl}\), \(\text{HF}\), and others from various types of organic molecules such as alcohols and halogenated hydrocarbons [1–6]. The potential energy surfaces describing the unimolecular eliminations were believed for quite a while to be rather simple processes where the reactant generates the products via one transition state with no intermediates.

The structure and the energetics of complexes, such as van der Waals complexes, hydrogen bonds, and others have recently been discussed in the literature [7–10]. We have shown in the past [11] that the potential energy surfaces of hydrogen bond, and van der Waals complex existed, for example, prior to the \(\text{H}_2\) elimination from 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran to produce benzofuran and hydrogen. In the present study we have looked at three additional systems for further support of our previous findings in the \(\text{H}_2\) elimination process from 2,3-dihydrobenzofuran. We could not find much additional support in the literature for the fact that such complexes are found in unimolecular gas phase elimination processes. A recent work by El-Nahas et al. [12] describes a very reliable structures and energetics of several unimolecular eliminations on the basis of quantum chemical calculations, but it hardly provides a discussion of whether these proceed via one or two step mechanisms.

We have found in the following three reaction systems

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{-CH}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{HCl} \quad (1)
\]

see [1, 2]

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{-CF}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{=CF}_2 + \text{HF} \quad (2)
\]

see [3–5]

\[
(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{C}=\text{OH} \rightarrow (\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3)
\]

see [6], that the single transition state on the surface does not lead directly to the formation of the elimination products, but it is rather transferred prior to the elimination step to
2. Computational Details

The inability of most common DFT methods to accurately predict the potential energy surfaces of weak noncovalent interactions is well known [13]. The weak electrostatic interactions are difficult to estimate using most theoretical methods, including also \textit{ab initio} methods such as MP2 [13]. In many cases DFT methods give binding energy with considerably smaller errors than MP2 [13]. For these reasons, we decided to use a number of quantum chemical computational methods owing to our desire to prove without any doubt that the intermediate complex is still an independent entity and we cannot trust a single method.

In this investigation geometry optimization and harmonic frequencies evaluation were performed using different methods including DFT, MP2, and CCSD with aug-cc-pVDZ [14, 15] basis set. We used long range corrected functionals such as CAM-B3LYP (new hybrid exchange-correlation functional using the Coulomb-attenuating method) [16] and LC-wPBE (long-range-corrected version of wPBE) [17–20]. Better agreement between calculated and experimental energy barriers, in many cases, could be achieved by CCSD(T) method with optimized structures by one of several hybrid functionals, including long-range correction (CAM-B3LYP or LC-wPBE). The two methods B2PLYP [21] and mPW2PLYP [22] where performance for noncovalent bound system reach relatively large computational accuracy have also been used. Both methods used, that is, B2PLYP-D and mPW2PLYP-D, include also empirical dispersion correction [23].

The following are the methods of calculation that have been used in the present study, including the symbols (a, b, c, and d) that appear in the tables. The additional methods that have also been discussed before gave approximately the same results:

\begin{itemize}
\item [(a)] CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ,
\item [(b)] CCSD(T)//CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ,
\item [(c)] LC-wPBE/aug-ccPVDZ,
\item [(d)] CCSD(T)//LC-wPBE/aug-ccPVDZ.
\end{itemize}

Basis set superposition error (BSSE) calculations for noncovalent interactions in intermolecular complexes were done using counterpoise (CP) correction proposed by Boys and Bernardi [24, 25]. The calculations were carried out using the Gaussian-09 package [26].

The nature of the van der Walls interactions in the examples shown in this study is interactions between the slightly positively charges hydrogen atom and the π-electrons of the C=C double bonds.

Evaluation of the high-pressure limit first-order rate constants of the eliminations based on the quantum chemical calculations was done in order to compare the calculated results to existing experimental data. For this the relation

\[ k_\infty = \sigma \left( \frac{kT}{h} \right) \exp \left( \frac{\Delta S^*}{R} \right) \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta H^*}{RT} \right) \]  

was used [27, 28], where \( \sigma \) is the degeneracy of the reaction coordinate. Since we deal with unimolecular reactions, \( \Delta H^* = \Delta E^* \), where \( \Delta E^* \) is the energy difference between the transition state and the reactant.

3. Results

3.1. Tert-Butanol \( \rightarrow \) Isobutene + H$_2$O. Figure 1 shows a schematic reaction pathway taken from the potential energy surface of the reaction: \textit{tert}-butanol \( \rightarrow \) isobutene + H$_2$O.

As can be seen, the distance between a hydrogen atom of the H$_2$O group and the center of the C=C double bond is very large, \( \sim 2.4 \) Å (calculate at CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ level of theory), that is far above a normal bond distance involving hydrogen atoms, but nevertheless it is still part of the original \textit{tert}-butanol. As has already been mentioned before, the van der Walls interaction in the system is the interaction between the slightly positively charged hydrogen atom and the π-electrons of the C=C double bonds.

In Table 1 a comparison between the entropy of the complex intermediate, the original reactant, and the final products is shown, for four different quantum chemical methods that have been used in the calculations. The entropy of
the complex, although higher than that of the original tert-butanol in view of its loose structure, is still much lower than that of the sum of the entropies of the two elimination products, isobutene and water. The additional rotational and the Sackur-Tetrode translational entropies in the two final products, isobutene and water. The additional rotational and the distribution of the partial electrostatic charge in the complex calculated using the Mulliken method. As can be seen, a straight line that extends from the H–F bond meets the C=H bond very close to the carbon atom of the CH2 group owing to the large difference in the partial charge on the CH2 group (+0.32 elementary units) and the CH2 group (+0.4) units that attracts the positively charged hydrogen atom.

Table 1: Entropy and free energy values of the tert-butanol → iso-butene + H2O reaction.

| Method of calculation | Molecule | Complex | Isobutene + H2O |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|
|                       | S²       | S       | ΔG              |
| (a)                   | 77.32    | 92.93   | −6.74           |
| (b)                   | 7.68     |         | −26.91          |
| (c)                   | 77.29    | 93.34   | −3.17           |
| (d)                   | −7.72    |         | −26.91          |

1See Section 2.
2Entropies at 1100, in cal/(K mol).
3Free energy at 1100 K, in kcal/mol. ΔG is calculated relative free energy in the molecule.

Table 2: The frequencies (in cm⁻¹) of the complex in comparison with the corresponding ones in free H2O (at CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ level of theory). In parenthesis the value of peak intensity is also presented (KM/mole).

| Complex            | Free H2O | Assignment |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
|                    | 1624.0  | (33)       |
|                    | 3754.5  | (201)      |
|                    | 3915.4  | (130)      |

| Complex            | Free H2O | Assignment |
|--------------------|---------|------------|
|                    | 1612.0  | (76)       |
|                    | 3835.7  | (7)        |
|                    | 3944.7  | (73)       |

1See Section 2.
2Entropies at 1100 K, in cal/(K mol).
3Free energy at 1100 K, in kcal/mol. ΔG is the calculated relative free energy in the molecule.

3.2. 1,1,1-Trifluoroethane → 1,1-Difluoroethylene + HF. Figure 3 shows a schematic reaction pathway for 1,1,1-trifluoroethane → 1,1-difluoro-ethylene + HF, taken from the potential energy surface of this reaction.

The distance between the hydrogen atom of the HF entity to the C=C double bond in the complex is very large, more than 2 Å (as calculate at CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ level of theory), that is, incompatible with H atom bonds namely, far above a normal bond distance, but nevertheless, it is still part of the original 1,1,1-trifluoroethane.

In Figure 4 we show the structure of the complex in detail and the distribution of the partial electrostatic charge in the complex calculated using the Mulliken method. As can be seen, a straight line that extends from the H–F bond meets the C=C double bond very close to the carbon atom of the CH2 group owing to the large difference in the partial charge on the CH2 group (−0.32 elementary units) and the CH2 group (+0.4) units that attracts the positively charged hydrogen atom.

In Table 3, a comparison between the entropy of the complex intermediate and the final products is shown. The entropy of the complex, although higher than that of the original trifluoroethane, is much lower than that of the sum of the entropies of the two elimination products, difluoroethylene and HF, indicating again that the HF in the complex is not a free entity. Moreover, the vibrational stretch frequency of HF in the complex is 3843 cm⁻¹, whereas it is 4089 cm⁻¹ in the free HF molecule.

Table 3: Entropy and free energy values for 1,1,1-trifluoroethane → 1,2-difluoroethene + HF reaction.

| Method of calculation | Molecule | Complex | Difluoroethene + HF |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|
|                       | S²       | S       | ΔG                  |
| (a)                   | 70.84    | 82.81   | 15.85               |
| (b)                   | 14.85    |         | −7.25               |
| (c)                   | 70.80    | 82.86   | 19.23               |
| (d)                   | 14.67    |         | −7.24               |

1See Section 2.
2Entropies at 1100 K, in cal/(K mol).
3Free energy at 1100 K, in kcal/mol. ΔG is the calculated relative free energy in the molecule.
3.3. **1-Chloropropane → Propylene + HCl.** Figure 6 shows a schematic reaction pathway of the reaction: n-chloropropane → propylene + HCl.

Similar to the CH3–CF3 → CH2=CF2 + HF reaction, the distance between the H atom in the HCl entity and the center of the C=C double bond in the complex is very large, ~2.4 Å (as calculated at CAM-B3LYP/aug-ccPVDZ level of theory), that is far above a normal bond distance, but nevertheless it is still part of the original n-chloropropane.

Figure 7 shows the structure of the complex in detail and the distribution of the partial electrostatic charge in the complex calculated using the Mulliken method. In this system, the extension of the H–Cl line meets the C=C double bond close to the middle of the C=C double bond in view of the very close positive fractional charge on the two carbon atoms, namely, +0.90 and +0.98. Here the interaction is merely between the hydrogen atom and the π electrons of the C=C double bond.

In Table 4 a comparison between the entropy of the complex intermediate and the final products is shown, indicating also the quantum chemical methods that have been used. As can be seen, the entropy of the complex, although higher than that of the original n-chloropropane, is much lower than that of the sum of the entropies of the two elimination products. An additional support for the fact that the HCl in the complex is not a free entity is the difference between the stretch frequency of HCl in the complex and
in the free molecule. Whereas the frequency in the complex 2749 cm$^{-1}$, it is 2960 cm$^{-1}$ in the free molecule.

Rate constant for the unimolecular n-chloropropane $\rightarrow$ propylene + HCl elimination was calculated using CCSD(T)/lc-wPBE/aug-cc-pVDZ method as compared to the experimental values [1] (see Figure 8). The calculated rate constant is approximately a factor of three higher than the experimental points.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

The conclusion regarding the existence of intermediate complex molecules in addition to their presence on the potential energy surfaces was reached on the basis of the following three major indications.

(1) The entropy of the intermediate (complex), although higher than that of the reacting molecule as it has a looser structure, is far from that of the two decomposition products, owing to the fact that additional rotations and the high translational Sackur-Tetrode entropy that the two reaction products possess are not added to the entropy of the complex.

(2) Among the 3n–6 vibrational frequencies, there are no imaginary frequency indicating that the complex molecule is an intermediate that stays at a local minimum.

(3) The calculated energy level of the complex is around 2 kcal/mol below that of the two decomposition products.

The question that arises is to what extent the complex intermediate plays a role from kinetics viewpoint, namely, whether the kinetics of the decomposition is affected by the existence of this complex. We have tried to locate a transition state going from the complex to the decomposition products, using a variety of methods of calculations but they were unsuccessful.

In view of the fact that the energy of the transition states connecting the original molecule with the complex is high, the complex must be, to some extent, vibrationally excited, even at high pressures when the deexcitation is efficient and...
has a kinetics meaning is a question of semantics. question as to whether the existence of the complex molecule are no excited levels the complex is stable. However, the butanol, 1,1,1-trifluoroethane, and 1-chloropropane, respectively. data points for the reaction CH\(_3\)-CH\(_2\)-CH\(_2\)-Cl → CH\(_3\)-CH=CH\(_2\) + HCl.

particularly so when the single bond that has to be broken is concerned. It is thus possible that the transition to the decomposition products bypasses the complex although the quantum chemical calculations clearly support the fact that it is part of the potential energy surface of the decomposition process. It should be added that the zero-point energies of the vibrational mode in the long weak bonds of the complex molecules are 0.17, 0.15, 0.21 kcal/mole in tert-butanol, 1,1,1-trifluoroethane, and 1-chloropropane, respectively. This means that at very low temperatures when there are no excited levels the complex is stable. However, the question as to whether the existence of the complex molecule has a kinetics meaning is a question of semantics.
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