Painless treatment is an integral element of quality pediatric dental care. Recent progress in the field of local anesthesia has led to development of newer agents, delivery devices and also modification in injection techniques. Their aim is to allow the clinician a treatment approach associated with the improved pain control and reduced risk of adverse effect essential for pediatric patients. This article reviews available information on current and alternative means, methods and technologies for achieving local anesthesia in pediatric patients intended to minimize the pain associated with the procedure.

BACKGROUND

Pain management is a critical aspect of pediatric dental care. Fear associated with seeing and experiencing needle penetration, sensation of swelling soft tissues and prolonged numbness as well as allergic reactions to the anesthetic fluid, are the most common factors causing patients and dental clinicians to experience anxiety regarding the use of infiltration local anesthesia. Exploring new alternative and atraumatic methods will help improving the quality of care in pediatric dentistry.

Recent advances in the field of anesthetic agents, delivery devices and techniques for local anesthesia, allow the clinician a treatment approach associated with improved pain control, reduced injection pain and fewer adverse effects. The current progress has led to development of newer agents, refined equipment and also modification in techniques. Their aim is to attain painless application, reduction of the area and duration of collateral soft-tissue anesthesia, as well as a prospect of rapid sensation recovery after manipulation.

This article reviews the available information on current and alternative means, methods and technologies for achieving local anesthesia in pediatric patients, intended for minimizing the pain associated with the procedure.

I. PHARMACOLOGICAL LOCAL PAIN MANAGEMENT

Chemically induced local anesthesia is achieved by creating a depot of an anesthetic agent in the tissues by a diffuse or vascular-diffuse mechanism. A classification of local anesthesia techniques has been developed lately based on this concept. The diffuse methods in it include topical, infiltration
and conduction anesthesia, while vascular-diffuse mechanism is observed in intraosseous, intraligamentary, intraseptal, and intrapulpal anesthesia. In this article, the recent advancements in local anesthesia are presented according to the induction mechanism of local anesthetic effect.

1. Diffuse local anesthesia

1.1. Topical anesthesia

a. Lidocaine patches

The lidocaine patch is a transoral delivery system of lidocaine via a mucoadhesive base attached to the oral mucosa. The anesthetic agent is absorbed by the mucosa and the reported onset of anesthetic effect is within 2 minutes of application and may last up to 30 minutes after removal.\(^1\) - \(^3\) Indications for use include superficial mucosal and gingival procedures, and topical analgesia before injectable local anesthesia.\(^1\) Although the lidocaine patch is considered a good alternative to conventional topical agents by some authors\(^2\), \(^4\), disadvantages include its high cost and poor adhesion to the oral mucosa.\(^5\) Currently there is little and inconclusive scientific data available about its minimum effective dose, clinical efficiency and duration of action.

b. EMLA - cream

Mixtures of anesthetic agents aimed for topical anesthesia are called eutectic mixtures for local anesthesia (EMLA). Such formulations are considered to have lower melting points, which promotes easier absorption in the oral mucosa.\(^6\) Indications for use include procedures on the oral soft tissues causing minor pain. Following the introduction of EMLA cream, a 1:1 mixture of 2.5% prilocaine and 2.5% lidocaine, as topical anesthetic in dermatology\(^2\), studies have been conducted to evaluate the safety and efficacy of administration to the oral mucosa. The use of EMLA in pediatric patients shows satisfactory results\(^7\), \(^8\), although some authors report no significant difference between its efficacy and that of 5% lidocaine.\(^9\) Despite the various findings related to the potential of EMLA cream for use in dentistry, manufacturers do not recommend the use of cream on mucous membranes. Further studies are needed to determine the appropriate dose and duration of exposure in children to prevent the risk of overdosing and adverse effects.

c. Intranasal spray

This is a novel technique for achieving local anesthesia of maxillary teeth by infiltration of an anesthetic solution through the nostrils by a metered device\(^6\) (Fig. 1). It is hypothesized that the anesthetic solution diffuses through the nasal mucous walls and affects the structures, involved in innervation of maxillary teeth.\(^10\) Its composition consists of a mixture of 3% tetracaine hydrochloride and 0.05% oxymetazoline. Besides its anesthetic properties, it also reduces the risk of bleeding due to the shrinkage of regional blood vessels without causing significant cardiovascular disturbance in healthy patients.\(^11\) The method allows dental clinicians to perform conservative dental manipulations requiring pulpal anesthesia of maxillary frontal teeth, canines and premolars in adults and children weighing more than 40 kg.\(^12\), \(^13\) More studies on the effectiveness and safety of administration of the method for pediatric patients are necessary.

Figure 1. Kovanaze\(^\text{®}\), St. Renatus - tetracaine HCL and oxymetazoline HCL in a metered device.

1.2. Infiltration anesthesia

a. Jet-injection

The jet-technology is also known as needleless injection (Fig. 2). It is based on the principle of using a mechanical energy source to generate pressure, allowing a thin stream of anesthetic fluid of sufficient strength to penetrate the soft tissues. It is assumed to have advantages over traditional infiltrative methods, presenting a rapid onset of soft tissue anesthesia, controlled delivery of anesthetic dose, obviation of intravascular injection and high patient acceptance in instances of needle-phobia. The absence of a needle in the manipulation suggests a positive psychological effect\(^14\), although results from clinical trials in children report preference of traditional infiltration over the jet-injector\(^15\). Limitations of the method include difficulty in positioning the device in the posterior region due to its size, contraindicated use for achieving nerve blocks, inadequate pulpal anesthesia and risk of small residual
hematomas. Conventional syringe infiltration has been found by some researchers to be more effective, acceptable, and preferred, compared with the needleless injection. There are very few controlled clinical studies involving pediatric patients. To date, the application of the jet-infiltration method in the dental practice is determined to be limited in comparison with classical infiltrative technique.

**Figure 2.** Med-Jet H-III – MIT, Canada – needle free injector.

**b. Vibrotactile devices**

The gate control theory of pain is a widely accepted concept of pain perception. In recent years, several innovative dental appliances have been developed on the basis of this theory - Accupal, DentalVibe (Fig. 3), Vibraject and others. Their concept is to reduce the pain of needle injection by applying pressure, vibration, micro-oscillations or a combination of them. The applied physical stimuli modify or interfere with pain signals by closing the neural gate of cerebral cortex, aimed to decrease the pain perception due to distraction. The number of clinical trials is insufficient to validate the method as effective in reducing injection pain, although some researchers report positive results.

**c. Modification in techniques - anterior middle superior alveolar (AMSA) and palatal approach to anterior superior alveolar (P-ASA) nerve blocks**

These are two recently defined techniques for maxillary teeth analgesia without occurrence of extraoral soft tissue anesthesia. In childhood dental care this feature is critical due to the reduction of self-injury risk as a result of collateral lips and cheeks numbness, occurring with the use of traditional infiltration methods. With the introduction of computer-controlled anesthetic delivery systems, the use of these techniques provides a minimal level of discomfort to patients in childhood. The AMSA nerve block achieves pulpal anesthesia of the maxillary incisors, canines and premolars on the side of the injection, while the P-ASA technique anesthetizes six frontal teeth, from canine to canine, as well as the palatal and labial gingiva, mucoperiostium and bone covering these teeth.

The available studies regarding comparison of injection pain of AMSA and P-ASA nerve blocks application with CCLAD and traditional syringe are insufficient. Further research is required for assessment of the effectiveness of both techniques, applied with traditional and computer-controlled anesthetic delivery systems.

2. **Vascular-diffuse local anesthesia**

**a. Computer-controlled intraligamentary anesthesia**

The computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery (CCLAD) systems present a technology for controlling the volume and flow rate of anesthetic solution through the needle. The STA (single tooth anesthesia) system is an intraligamentary CCLAD system with an additional function for dynamic pressure sensing, providing real time feedback to the practitioner (Fig. 4). The advantage is the rapid onset of profound pulpal anesthetic effect, with mild to moderate injection pain. The prolonged duration of the anesthetic administration could be considered a drawback for pediatric patients. Safety precautions should be considered when intraligamentary anesthesia is applied on primary teeth close to developing permanent teeth, as some researchers report risk of enamel hypoplasia occurrence.

An increasing number of clinical trials demonstrate measurable benefits of the technology. The effectiveness of the system in comparison to conventional syringe infiltration, in reducing dental anxiety and injection pain in pediatric patients, is a subject of clinical research with controversial results.

**b. Computer-assisted intraosseous anesthesia (CAIO)**

Intraosseous anesthesia is considered to be a successful alternative to conventional anesthetic infiltration by some authors, as the anesthetic agent
is injected directly into the cancellous bone adjacent to the tooth required to be anesthetized. Computer-controlled intraosseous anesthesia delivered with constant velocity and pressure of the anesthetic solution, is found to reduce the injection pain and the time of onset of the anesthetic effect (Fig. 5). The following are predisposing factors for successful application in pediatric patients. Two main techniques of CAIO application are mentioned by authors - trans-septal and osteocentral infiltration. Consideration should be given to the location of permanent teeth germs when applying the method in primary dentition.

II. Non-pharmacological local pain management

1. Laser analgesia
Laser analgesia is a non-invasive, non-thermogenic biomodulation of the dental pulp reactivity. It is based on the concept that parallel with the ablative laser action, simultaneous low-level laser therapy (LLLT) can be observed. This is not profound anesthesia (a complete lack of sensation), as the one achieved by infiltrative local anesthesia. Laser pulses alter the behavior of a neuronal cell membrane causing a temporary disruption of the Na-K pump. This leads to loss of impulse conduction, and thus an analgesic effect is achieved. The analgesic effect, together with the lack of contact and vibration in the manipulations, are prerequisites for accepting laser treatment as effective in reducing the anxiety associated with dental treatment in children and adolescents.

2. Electronic dental anesthesia (EDA)
Electronic dental anesthesia (EDA) is a technique for achieving local anesthesia by the application of electrodes, providing transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS). It is mainly used as a supplementary method to conventional local anesthesia. The limitations of this method include increased salivary flow and an inability to use metal instruments freely. Using TENS is found by some authors to have a positive impact on childhood behavior, reducing anxiety levels in needle-phobic patients. Although EDA cannot replace injectable local anesthesia, it can be used to relieve pain during various minor dental procedures in pediatric patients, due to its analgesic and nonpharmacological physiological effect.

3. “Virtual anesthesia”
Pain management for routine interventions in childhood dental care is considered to be of critical importance. The most widely used behavioral techniques for relieving dental anxiety are verbal. The current progress in the field of virtual reality devices presents more engaging forms of distraction. Despite some limitations, researchers in this field have successfully demonstrated its feasibility and patient satisfaction because of decreasing pain associated with medical interventions. Controlled clinical trials, albeit a few, report subjective and objectively assessed reduction in pain perception and anxiety levels during dental procedures in pediatric patients. These preliminary results suggest that immersive VR may be a potentially viable adjunctive...
nonpharmacologic analgesia for procedural dental pain (Fig. 6). Virtual reality may also have analgesic potential\(^47\), leading to new term creation - “virtual anesthesia”. Thus suggests that VR distraction may be a useful tool for dental clinicians and extensive research in this field is required to validate any efficacy of the method.

### III. REVERSAL OF LOCAL ANESTHESIA

The prolonged duration of soft-tissue anesthesia is often an undesirable effect of infiltrative local anesthesia in dental patients. Self-induced soft-tissue trauma, a sensation of altered face appearance, impaired speech and eating are some of the post-operative side effects of local analgesia. These effects are more disturbing in pediatric patients. Various means for reversal of the local anesthetic action have been developed for a faster recovery from anesthesia. Phentolamine mesylate (OraVerse) is a short-acting alpha-adrenergic antagonist, leading to an increased clearance of local anesthetic solution from the injection site, reducing the duration of action. Since it is an antagonist to the vasoconstrictor and not to the anesthetic agent compound, it is mainly recommended for use in non-surgical treatment.\(^48\) Controlled research reports high level of satisfaction of patients and dental practitioners.\(^48\)-\(^50\) Despite its limitations, phentolamine mesylate is described as safe and effective means for reducing the duration of soft-tissue anesthesia induced by local anesthetic infiltration, and its associated functional deficits in adults and children after 6 years of age.\(^50\)

### CONCLUSION

The safety and efficacy of the aforementioned products and techniques is a subject of current research studies. The integration of these advancements into the dental practice is still limited, due to their cost and low accessibility. Dental clinicians should be informed of the new developments in local anesthesia, as painless treatment is an integral element of quality dental care. In children and adolescents, it is an essential aspect of patient behavior management. The use of age-appropriate non-threatening terminology, distraction, topical anesthetics, as well as effective injection techniques, can serve to build up trust and promote dentist-patient relationship. The following is aimed to endorse positive dental health attitude throughout life.

### REFERENCES

1. Kreider KA, Stratmann RG, Milano M, et al. Reducing children’s injection pain: lidocaine patches versus topical benzocaine gel. Pediatr Dent 2001;23(1):19-23. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11242725. Accessed June 18, 2017.
2. Lee H-S. Recent advances in topical anesthesia. J Dent Anesth Pain Med 2016;16(4):237-44.
3. Hersh EV, Houpt MI, Cooper SA, et al. Analgesic efficacy and safety of an intraoral lidocaine patch. J Am Dent Assoc 1996;127(11):1626-34; quiz 1665-6.
4. Nakamura S, Matsuura N, Ichinohe T. A new method of topical anesthesia by using anesthetic solution in a patch. J Endod 2013;39(11):1369-73.
5. Siriram G. Advances in local anesthesia: a paediatric overview. Indian Journal of Dental Advancements 2014;6(3):1605-7.
6. Daneshkazemi A, Abrisham S, Daneshkazemi P, et al. The efficacy of eutectic mixture of local anesthetics as a topical anesthetic agent used for dental procedures: A brief review. Anesth Essays Res 2016;10(3):383-7.
7. Munshi A, Hegde A, Latha R. Use of EMLA: is it an injection free alternative? J Clin Pediatr Dent 2001;25(3):215-9.
8. Peedikayil F, Vijayan A. An update on local anesthesia for pediatric dental patients. Anesth Essays Res 2013;7(1):4-9.
9. Meechan JG, Donaldson D. The intraoral use of EMLA cream in children: a clinical investigation. ASDC J Dent Child 61(4):260-2.
10. Ciancio SG, Hutcheson MC, Ayoub F, et al. Safety and efficacy of a novel nasal spray for maxillary dental anesthesia. J Dent Res 2013;92(7 Suppl): 435-8S.
11. Giannakopoulos H, Levin LM, Chou JC, et al. The cardiovascular effects and pharmacokinetics of in-
transanal tetracaine plus oxymetazoline: preliminary findings. J Am Dent Assoc 2012;143(8):872-80.

12. Hersh EV, Pinto A, Saraghí M, et al. Double-masked, randomized, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the efficacy and tolerability of intranasal K305 (3% tetracaine plus 0.05% oxymetazoline) in anesthetizing maxillary teeth. J Am Dent Assoc 2016;147(4):278-87.

13. Hersh EV, Saraghí M, Moore PA. Intranasal tetracaine and oxymetazoline: a newly approved drug formulation that provides maxillary dental anesthesia without needles. Curr Med Res Opin 2016;32(11):1919-25.

14. Ram D, Peretz B. Administering local anesthesia to paediatric dental patients - current status and prospects for the future. Int J Paediatr Dent 2002;12(2):80-89.

15. Arapostathis KN, Dabarakis NN, Coolidge T, et al. Comparison of acceptance, preference, and efficacy between jet injection INJEX and local infiltration anesthesia in 6 to 11-year-old dental patients. Anesth Prog 2010;57(1):3-12.

16. Dabarakis NN, Alexander V, Tsirlis AT, et al. Needleless local anesthesia: clinical evaluation of the effectiveness of the jet anesthesia INJEX in local anesthesia in dentistry. Quintessence Int 2007;38(10):E572-6.

17. Chaudhry K, Shishodia M, Singh C. Comparative evaluation of pain perception by vibrating needle (Vibraject TM) and conventional syringe anesthesia during various dental procedures in pediatric patients: A short study. International Dental & Medical Journal of Advanced Research 2015;1:1-5.

18. Ching D, Finkelman M. LCY. Effect of the DentalVibe injection system on pain during local anesthesia injections in adolescent patients. Pediatr Dent 2014;36(1):51-5.

19. Elbay M, Şermet Elbay Ü, Yildırım S, et al. Comparison of injection pain caused by the DentalVibe Injection System versus a traditional syringe for inferior alveolar nerve block anesthesia in paediatric patients. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2015;16(2):123-8.

20. Shirmohammadi A, Faramarzi M, Lafzi A, Kashefimehr A, Malek S. Comparison of pain intensity of anterior middle superior alveolar injection with infiltration anesthesia technique in maxillary periodontal surgery. J Periodontal Implant Sci 2012;42(2):45-9.

21. Al Amoudi N, Feda M, Sharaf A, et al. Assessment of the anesthetic effectiveness of anterior and middle superior alveolar injection using a computerized device versus traditional technique in children. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2008;33(2):97-102.

22. Feda M, Al Amoudi N, Sharaf A, et al. A comparative study of children’s pain reactions and perceptions to AMSA injection using CCLAD versus traditional injections. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2010;34(3):217-22.

23. Jälevik B, Klingberg G. Pain sensation and injection techniques in maxillary dento-alveolar surgery procedures in children - a comparison between conventional and computerized injection techniques (The Wand). Swed Dent J 2014;38(2):67-75.

24. Versloot J, Veerkamp JSJ, Hoogstraten J. Pain behaviour and distress in children during two sequential dental visits: comparing a computerised anaesthesia delivery system and a traditional syringe. Br Dent J 2008;205(1):E2; discussion 30-1.

25. Nusstein J, Berlin J, Reader A, et al. Comparison of injection pain, heart rate increase, and postinjection pain of articaine and lidocaine in a primary intraligamentary injection administered with a computer-controlled local anesthetic delivery system. Anesth Prog 2004;51(4):126-33.

26. Brannstrom M, Lindskog S, Nordenvall KJ. Enamel hypoplasia in permanent teeth induced by periodontal ligament anesthesia of primary teeth. J Am Dent Assoc 1984;109(5):735-6.

27. Saxena P, Chandra A, Gupta S, et al. Advances in dental local anesthesia techniques and devices: An update. Natl J Maxillofac Surg 2013;4(1):19-24.

28. Garret-Bernardin A, Cantile T, D’Antò V, et al. Pain experience and behavior management in pediatric dentistry: a comparison between traditional local anesthesia and the wand computerized delivery system. Pain Res Manag 2017;2017:1-6.

29. Thoppe-Dhamodharan YK, Asokan S, John BJ, et al. Cartridge syringe vs computer controlled local anesthetic delivery system: Pain related behaviour over two sequential visits - A randomized controlled trial. J Clin Exp Dent 2015;7(4):e513-e518.

30. Asarch T, Allen K, Petersen B, et al. Efficacy of a computerized local anesthesia device in pediatric dentistry. Pediatr Dent 1999;21(7):421-4.

31. Gazal G, Fareed WM, Zafar MS. Role of intraseptal lasers for pain-free dental treatment. Saudi J Anaesth 2016;10(1):81-6.

32. Sixou J-L, Marie-Cousin A. Intraseptal anaesthesia in children with 4% articaine and epinephrine 1:400,000 using computer-assisted systems. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2015;16(6):477-81.

33. Chan A, Punnia-Moorthy A, Armati P. Low-power pulsed Nd:YAG laser irradiation for pre-emptive anaesthesia: A morphological and histological study. Laser Ther 2014;23(4):255-62.

34. Whitters CJ, Hall A, Creanor SL, et al. A clinical study of pulsed Nd: YAG laser-induced pulpal algiesias. J Dent 1995;23(3):145-50.

35. Walsh LJ. Laser analgesia with pulsed infrared lasers: theory and practice. J Oral Laser Appl 2008;8(1):7-16.

36. Genovese MD, Olivi G. Laser in pediatric dentistry: patient acceptance of hard and soft tissue therapy.
37. Poli R, Parker S. Achieving dental analgesia with the Erbium Chromium Scandium Gallium Garnet laser (2780 nm): a protocol for painless conservative treatment. Photomed Laser Surg 2015;33(7):364-71.

38. Eren F, Altinok B, Ertugral F, et al. The effect of Erbium:Yttrium-Scandium-Gallium-Garnet (Er,YSGG) laser therapy on pain during cavity preparation in paediatric dental patients: a pilot study. Oral Health Dent Manag 2013;12(2):80-4.

39. Kee YLI, Neelakantan P. Local anesthetics in dentistry - Newer methods of delivery. Int J Pharm Clin Res 2014;6(1):4-6.

40. Kasat V, Gupta A, Ladda R, et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) in dentistry - a review. J Clin Exp Dent 2014;6(5):e562-8.

41. Li C, Lv J, Liu M, et al. Electronic dental anaesthesia for managing pain during dental procedures. In: Li C, ed. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2016.

42. Agarwal N, Dhawan J, Kumar D, et al. Effectiveness of two topical anaesthetic agents used along with audio visual aids in paediatric dental patients. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11(1):ZC80-ZC83.

43. Nuvvula S, Alahari S, Kamatham R, et al. Effect of audiovisual distraction with 3D video glasses on dental anxiety of children experiencing administration of local analgesia: a randomised clinical trial. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 2014;16(1):43-50.

44. Asl Aminabadi N, Erfanparast L, Sohrabi A, et al. The impact of virtual reality distraction on pain and anxiety during dental treatment in 4-6 year-old children: a randomized controlled clinical trial. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2012;6(4):117-24.

45. Bagattoni S, D’Alessandro G, Sadotti A, et al. Effects of audiovisual distraction in children with special healthcare needs during dental restorations: a randomized crossover clinical trial. Int J Paediatr Dent 2018;28(1):111-20.

46. Mitra M, Asvanund Y, Arunakul M, et al. Effect of audiovisual eyeglasses during dental treatment in 5-8 year-old children. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2015;16(3):239-45.

47. Hoffman HG, Garcia-Palacios A, Patterson DR, et al. The effectiveness of virtual reality for dental pain control: a case study. Cyberpsychol Behav 2001;4(4):527-35.

48. Daublaender M, Liebaug F, Niedeggen G, et al. Effectiveness and safety of phentolamine mesylate in routine dental care. J Am Dent Assoc 2017;148(3):149-56.

49. Yagiela JA. What’s new with phentolamine mesylate: a reversal agent for local anaesthesia? SAAD Dig 2011;27:3-7.

50. Hersh EV, Moore PA, Papas AS, et al. Reversal of soft-tissue local anesthesia with phentolamine mesylate in adolescents and adults. J Am Dent Assoc 2008;139(8):1080-93.
Местная анестезия при педиатрических пациентах - обзор текущих и альтернативных методов, механизмов и техник

Елица Р. Венева, Ани Б. Белчева
Кафедра детской дентальной медицины, Факультет дентальной медицины, Медицинский университет, Пловдив, Болгария

Адрес для корреспонденции: Елица Р. Венева, Кафедра детской дентальной медицины, Факультет дентальной медицины, Медицинский университет, бул. „Христо Ботев” № 3, 4000, Пловдив, Болгария
E-mail: elitza.veneva@gmail.com
tel: +359898424151

Дата получения: 15 декабря 2017
Дата приемки: 19 января 2018
Дата онлайн публикации: 23 февраля 2018
Дата публикации: 28 сентября 2018

Ключевые слова: местная анестезия, дети, контроль боли, детская дентальная медицина, дентальные пациенты дети, анальгезия при детях, анестезия в детской дентальной медицине

Образец цитирования: Veneva ER, Belcheva AB. Local anesthesia in pediatric patients – a review of current and alternative methods, devices and techniques. Folia Med (Plovdiv) 2018;60(3):381-8.
doi: 10.2478/folmed-2018-0015

Безболезненное лечение является основным элементом качественной стоматологической помощи детям. Достижения последних лет в области улучшения местной анестезии привели к разработке новых агентов, механизмов применения, а также модификаций методов инъекций. Их цель - позволить клиницисту использовать подход к лечению для улучшения контроля боли и снижения риска побочных эффектов, которые имеют первостепенное значение для пациентов детского возраста. В этой статье представлен обзор доступной информации о существующих и альтернативных средствах, методах и технологиях применения местной анестезии для минимизации боли, связанной с процедурой.