Reactivity mapping with electrochemical gradients for monitoring reactivity at surfaces in space and time
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Studying and controlling reactions at surfaces is of great fundamental and applied interest in, among others, biology, electronics and catalysis. Because reaction kinetics is different at surfaces compared with solution, frequently, solution-characterization techniques cannot be used. Here we report solution gradients, prepared by electrochemical means, for controlling and monitoring reactivity at surfaces in space and time. As a proof of principle, electrochemically derived gradients of a reaction parameter (pH) and of a catalyst (Cu(I)) have been employed to make surface gradients on the micron scale and to study the kinetics of the (surface-confined) imine hydrolysis and the copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, respectively. For both systems, the kinetic data were spatially visualized in a two-dimensional reactivity map. In the case of the copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, the reaction order (2) was deduced from it.
Chemical reactions at surfaces are of great fundamental and applied interest, for example in biology, electronics and catalysis. Investigating and monitoring reactivity is therefore of paramount importance to evaluate a surface reaction’s scope and applicability. Monolayers are an excellent platform to investigate interfacial reactions and reactivity because of the ability to precisely control surface composition and the motion of water droplets or molecules. Many methods have been developed to create static surface gradient patterns on the sub-mm lengthscale. For the sub-μm lengthscale, not many methods are available to produce such gradients, which would be worthwhile from the perspective of, for example, studying the motion of individual (bio)molecules and the investigation and screening of nanomaterials. None of the existing (sub)μm gradient methods is compatible with switching on/off or dynamically changing gradient properties in time, which has been done at the sub-mm lengthscale for biological surfaces to study cell chemotaxis.

This report presents solution gradients, prepared by electrochemical means, for controlling and monitoring reactivity at surfaces in space and time in a high-throughput manner. Because the solution gradient provides a tunable and intrinsically predictable, spatial concentration variation of a chemically reactive species, and therefore of the reaction parameter, the reactions yield micron-scale surface gradients, and the kinetic data are directly correlated with a kinetic model of the surface reaction at hand. In this way, not only kinetic rate constants are obtained but also their spatial variation is directly visualized, yielding a reactivity map, and translated into the reaction rate order.

Results

The system. Figure 1 shows a schematic overview of the setup, showing the dimensions and overall design. As a proof of principle, electrochemically derived gradients of a reaction parameter (pH) or concentration of a homogeneous catalyst, Cu(I)) were employed to make surface gradients on the micron scale and to study the kinetics of the (surface-confined) imine hydrolysis and the copper(I)-catalysed azide-alkyne 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (CuAAC; ‘click’ reaction), respectively. The acid-catalysed imine hydrolysis belongs to the area of dynamic covalent chemistry, combining the reversible and dynamic properties characteristic of supramolecular chemistry with the strength and robustness of covalent bond chemistry. After introduction of the imine bond in surface chemistry, the imine formation and hydrolysis reactions, owing to their mild reaction conditions, are being used for bioapplications. The second (CuAAC) reaction is a widely used reaction because of its high yield, orthogonality and chemoselectivity, which makes it a useful reaction for surface functionalization.

Imine hydrolysis. Figure 2a shows the schematic representation of the imine hydrolysis in an electrochemically produced pH gradient in solution. An amine-functionalized rhodamine dye is immobilized by imine bond formation onto an aldehyde monolayer at a glass surface in between the electrodes of an interdigitated microelectrode array. On top of the full monolayer of the rhodamine dye, a pH gradient is applied. The pH gradient is generated via the electrolysis of water, thus generating H+ and OH− at the anode and cathode, respectively. A phosphate buffer was used to stabilize the pH gradient.

A finite-element model was set up to estimate the pH gradient. The modelled pH gradient, as a function of time, is shown in Supplementary Fig. S1 and indicates a pH gradient spanning roughly 1 pH unit. This pH range was confirmed experimentally by monitoring the fluorescence intensity of a pH-dependent dye attached at the glass surface in between the electrodes upon subjecting it to the pH gradient, as shown in Supplementary Figs S2 and S3. Imine hydrolysis is acid-catalysed and therefore the rhodamine dye is expected to be removed close to the anode while the rate of this reaction is dependent on the pH and thus, in our pH gradient setup, on the distance to the anode.

Figure 2b shows a fluorescence microscopy image with an overview of a sample and zoom-ins of the generated, surface-bound, dye gradient at the anode (low pH) and an unchanged boundary at the cathode (high pH) after applying the pH gradient for 240 min. Figure 2c shows untreated fluorescence intensity profiles near the anode at different reaction times, clearly visualizing the decrease of fluorescence intensity in time close to the anode (low pH), indicating the hydrolysis of the imine bond. Furthermore, Supplementary Fig. S4 shows a zoom-in near the cathode at different reaction times, which shows, as expected, negligible hydrolysis at high pH. The images and profiles show that this system can be used to make surface gradients at the low-micron scale.

To prove that hydrolysis is taking place, back to the original aldehyde, the hydrolysed, aldehyde-containing area close to the anode was backfilled by amine-functionalized fluorescein as shown online in Supplementary Fig. S5. Potentially, silane–silanol bond hydrolysis can be promoted under acidic and alkaline pH. From the estimation of the pH gradient (see above), this effect is negligible at the basic pH side, consistent with Supplementary Fig. S4. Moreover, physisorption of amine-functionalized fluorescein was found to be negligible as well (Supplementary Fig. S6), which indicates that the observed backfilling can be attributed to the specific binding to reformed aldehyde groups near the anode. The successful imine formation of the fluorescein-labelled amine reagent confirms the reversibility of the platform, and at the same time excludes the darkening occurring near the anode upon hydrolysis to be the result of destruction of the dye or of the reactive monolayer.
The results also show that we can reliably make μm-sized surface gradients, with control over on/off and dynamically changing the gradient properties in time, which is not shown before for μm-gradient methods and is worthwhile from the perspective of, for example, studying the motion of individual (bio)molecules and the investigations and screening of nanomaterials.

The fluorescence data of the imine hydrolysis, shown in Fig. 2c versus distance, was plotted versus time as shown in Fig. 3a, as a function of the distance from the anode. Figure 3a shows representative graphs of the fitted data at three specific distances. These time traces were fitted to an exponential decay function:

$$I(t) = (I_{\text{max}} - I_0) e^{-k_1 t} + I_0$$

where $I$ is the fluorescence intensity (a.u.) at time $t$ (s), $I_{\text{max}}$ is the starting fluorescence (a.u.), $I_0$ is the background fluorescence (a.u.) and $k_1$ is the reaction rate constant ($s^{-1}$). Because (i) the reaction mechanism can be assumed to be first-order in imine concentration, (ii) the reverse reaction can be assumed to be absent because of rapid diffusion and dilution of the desorbed rhodamine amine and (iii) the fluorescence intensity can be assumed to be linearly correlated with the imine surface density; $k_1$ is assumed to be the first-order rate constant of the hydrolysis reaction. The data in Fig. 3a appears to show two-phase kinetics. A two-phase kinetics has been observed before for imine hydrolysis but then a lower initial rate was followed by a faster consecutive reaction. This was attributed to the initially closely packed SAM, which may protect the imine bond from the solution. In our case, however, we may attribute the initially faster hydrolysis to a steeper pH gradient in the beginning as is evident from a higher current (see also Supplementary Fig. S7). However, because the pH gradient is not stable in those first few minutes, as is evident from Supplementary Fig. S1, only the data from 20 min onwards were fitted. For the overall analysis, this is not expected to pose any problem because of the relative timescales between the total hydrolysis period (3 h) versus the start-up phase (10 min).

The resulting averaged first-order rate constants for the imine bond hydrolysis versus distance from the anode are shown in Fig. 3b. As expected, $k_1$ is highest close to the anode, and decreases to a background value that is roughly 15 times lower than close to the anode. Reasons why $k_1$ does not go to zero can be: (i) the data are uncorrected for bleaching and (ii) the hydrolysis of an imine bond is primarily acid-catalysed but can occur over a large pH range. Figure 3c shows a reactivity map of the surface-confined imine hydrolysis, with the spatial parameters retained, showing (averaged) $k_1$ versus distance from the anode (x axis) and along the anode (y axis). It is envisaged that also in case of more complex (for example, two-dimensional) gradients, such a graphical representation of kinetic
data is powerful and can show interesting kinetic regimes as a function of one or more spatially mapped reaction parameters.

**Click reaction.** A schematic representation of the second (CuAAC) reaction studied is shown in Fig. 4a, in which a [Cu(I)] gradient is applied on top of an azide-terminated silane layer that is attached to the glass substrate areas between the electrodes of an interdigitated electrode array. The Cu(I) catalyst is formed in situ by an one-electron reduction of already present Cu(II)36, at the cathode (source), whereas oxidation of the generated Cu(I) back to Cu(II) at the anode (sink) makes sure a stable gradient is formed. The solution gradient of [Cu(I)] is then exploited in the electrochemically activated CuAAC (‘e-click’) of an alkyne from solution to the azide monolayer resulting in a surface gradient. The reaction is monitored ex situ via immobilization of an alkyne-modified fluorescein onto the azide-terminated monolayer. The rate of this reaction is expected to be the highest close to the cathode, at which electrode the reduction of Cu(II) to Cu(I) is expected to occur.

Figure 4b shows a fluorescence microscopy image of the resulting surface gradient after 4 min reaction time, showing clearly the highest intensity, and thus coverage, near the cathode. Figure 4c shows a fluorescence microscopy image, after overlaying of images made using the green and blue filters, of a bi-component surface chemical gradient by means of the ‘e-click’ (2 min) of first a fluorescein-labelled alkyne, followed by a switch of the polarity of the electrodes and performing a further ‘e-click’ (2 min) of a coumarin-labelled alkyne. This shows the chemical stability of the azide, even after the reaction, and the versatility of the system.

Figure 4d shows fluorescence intensity profiles versus distance to the cathode of a representative batch of ex situ samples (images are shown online in Supplementary Fig. S8) at varying reaction times, showing the increase of coverage upon prolonged reaction times. These profiles confirm that, close to the cathode (high [Cu(I)]), the fluorescence intensity increases more rapidly than near the anode (low [Cu(I)]). As a control experiment, a sample was exposed to the same reaction conditions, but without Cu(II) present in the solution. As expected, no reaction occurred (Supplementary Fig. S9).

The fluorescence data of the e-click, shown in Fig. 4d versus distance, was plotted versus time, shown in Fig. 5a, as a function of the distance from the cathode. Figure 5a shows representative...
graphs of the fitted data at four specific distances. These time traces were fitted to the following exponential function:

$$I(t) = 1 - e^{-k_{\text{obs}}t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $I$ is the normalized fluorescence intensity at time $t$ (s) and $k_{\text{obs}}$ is the rate constant (s$^{-1}$). In this way, we explicitly attempt to fit the complete intensity curves, instead of, for example, fitting only the linear initial parts, to provide a comprehensive model description of all data points regardless whether low or high conversion is reached. Because the alkyne in solution is present in excess, a first-order dependence on surface-bound azide is expected as well as a second-order dependence on [Cu(I)]$^{37}$. Because at each specific distance from the cathode we assume [Cu(I)] to be constant, which is supported by the fact that the [Cu(I)] gradient is relatively stable after 60 s as indicated by modelling (Supplementary Fig. S10) and amperometric I-t measurements (Supplementary Fig. S11), the asymptotic enhancement of fluorescence is directly correlated with the decrease in [azide] and concomitant increase in [triazole] on the surface. Therefore, $k_{\text{obs}}$ is assumed to be the pseudo first-order (in [azide]) rate constant of the e-click reaction.

Figure 5b shows the resulting reactivity map of the e-click data, with the spatial parameters retained, showing the average $k_{\text{obs}}$ versus distance from the cathode (x axis) and along the cathode (y axis). Supplementary Fig. S12 shows the average pseudo first-order rate constants with s.d. Because Cu(I) is generated at the cathode, $k_{\text{obs}}$ is, as expected, the highest close to the cathode, with a difference of more than an order of magnitude compared with values near the anode. The parabolic profile observed in Fig. 5b directly visualizes the expected second-order dependence on [Cu(I)].

A finite-element model was set up to estimate the [Cu(I)] gradient and to compare a surface reaction model with the experimental results. The resulting average [Cu(I)] solution gradient, as shown in Supplementary Fig. S13, was used to determine the rate order of Cu(I) in the e-click reaction. Figure 5c shows a plot of ln($k_{\text{obs}}$) versus ln([Cu(I)]), for data of the first 90 µm (see also Supplementary Fig. S14; only the last data point was excluded because it was evidently deviating from the linear fit, possibly because of less accurate determination of the conversion in the low-conversion regime). The linear fit of this data results in a slope of 2.06 ± 0.07 ($R^2 = 0.98$), confirming the second-order dependence on [Cu(I)], which is consistent with the literature$^{37}$.

**Discussion**

At the moment, our technique has spatial and temporal resolution limits, dictated by the fluorescence microscopy technique used here. The spatial resolution is on the order of 200 nm (at ×100 magnification), whereas the temporal resolution is limited by the minimally needed exposure time (on the order of 100 ms). Also the time needed to obtain a stable solution gradient has a role here, but this can be minimized by bringing the electrodes closer together and reducing the height of the solution on top of the sample effectively turning it into a two-dimensional diffusion case. Of course, with the present system also, other microscopy techniques (for example, total internal reflection microscopy) can potentially be used to increase the lateral resolution and sensitivity. Comparing our technique with scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM)$^{38}$, it is easier in our case to reach a lateral resolution in the nanometre regime, even in its current state. The temporal resolution, however, is probably worse than that of SECM, especially when going from ultramicroelectrodes to nanoelectrodes, as SECM records electrical current, which can be recorded at extremely high temporal resolution.

In an attempt to compare the obtained reaction rates to literature data, the reaction rates cannot be compared with solution studies. For the imine hydrolysis case, one report was found describing a surface reaction$^{33}$, but no reaction rates were determined. However, the timescale of the reaction is roughly the same as ours. In case of the click reaction, a $k_{\text{obs}}$ of 0.034 min$^{-1}$ has been reported$^{32}$. When recalculating $k_{\text{obs}}$ from the modelled trend of our data, a $k_{\text{obs}}$ value at the same Cu concentration (4 µM) amounts to 0.031 min$^{-1}$, which agrees very well and indicates that the reactivities of the click reactions in both systems are very similar.

The two chemical reactions probed here, imine hydrolysis and CuAAC, have been analysed to different depths. In the case of the imine hydrolysis, the kinetics is rather complex and the pH dependence may differ for different pH ranges. Experimentally, the pH gradient is not linear, also not when translated in a [H$^+$] gradient, in particular not near the anode at which the reaction takes place, which may be due to the presence of the buffer. Moreover, the distance range at which the imine hydrolysis takes place is so narrow (only a few micron) that we decided not to attempt a similarly rigorous kinetic treatment as done for the CuAAC case.

In conclusion, we show an electrochemical method for the fabrication of micron-scale surface gradients. These gradients are used to study the chemical reactivity of surface reactions in a highly parallel manner. Data is visualized directly as a spatial variation of an electrochemically controlled reaction parameter. It appears possible to derive the Cu(I) reaction order for the CuAAC reaction. As a proof of principle, 1D gradients are used and the detection was done with a fluorescence microscope, but this can potentially be extended to orthogonal gradients, varying two parameters in one experiment, and other surface-characterization techniques (that is, Raman spectroscopy) to remove the constraint of a fluorescent dye present. Furthermore, this method can also be extended to investigate the influence of substrate or reagent concentration by a static surface or solution gradient, respectively. It may even be possible to study reactions in a dynamic fashion, by controlling the solution gradient in time. Optimal use of the method of reactivity mapping will allow the study of a wide range of reactions in which one or more reaction parameters are varied in space and time, while it is envisioned that this method gives the possibility of identification of chemically reactive sites with high spatial resolution.

**Methods**

**Materials.** The following materials and chemicals were used as received without further purification: 11-bromoundecyltrichlorosilane (ABCR), sodium azide, hydrazine hydrate (Sigma Aldrich), 6-fluorescein 5(6)-isothiocyanate (Sigma), chloro-1-hexyne, copper sulfate pentahydrate (Aldrich), potassium phthalimide (Fluka), HMDS (BASF), LOR 5A (MicroChem), Olin OR 907-17 photoresist, Olin OPD 4262 developer (FujiFilm), rhodamine B ethylenediamine, 3-(aminocetamide)fluorescein (Invitrogen). Alkyne-modified coumarin was prepared as described previously$^{40}$, whereas the synthesis (see Supplementary Fig. S15 for the synthesis scheme) and characterization of alkyne-modified fluorescein is described in the Supplementary Methods.

**Measurements.** Fluorescence microscopy images were taken using an Olympus inverted research microscope IX71 equipped with a mercury burner U-RFL-T as light source and a digital Olympus DR70 camera for image acquisition. Ultraviolet excitation (330 nm ≤ $\lambda_{\text{ex}} ≤ 370$ nm) and blue emission (410 nm ≤ $\lambda_{\text{em}} ≤ 510$ nm) was filtered using an Olympus DAPI filter cube. Blue excitation (460 nm ≤ $\lambda_{\text{ex}} ≤ 490$ nm) and green emission ($\lambda_{\text{em}} > 520$ nm) was filtered using the Olympus U-MWB2 filter, whereas green excitation (510 ≤ $\lambda_{\text{ex}} ≤ 530$ nm) and red emission ($\lambda_{\text{em}} > 590$ nm) were filtered using the Olympus U-MWG2 filter. All fluorescence microscopy images acquired from the imine hydrolysis were taken with 100 µl of aqueous solution on top. The rest of the fluorescence microscopy images were acquired in air. Electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectra
were recorded using an LCT Mass spectrometer (Waters/Micromass). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity (300 MHz) spectrometer. 1H and 13C chemical shifts, measured at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively, are reported as δ (ppm) using the residual solvent signal as internal standard (7.26 ppm for CDCl3, and 2.50 ppm for dimethylsulphoxide-d6). The electrical current measurements were done with a Karl Süss probe station connected to a Keithley 4200 Semiconductor Characterization System. The electrochemical reactions were performed using 10 power supply (Delta Elektronica) with voltage range from 0 to 15 V and current range from 0 to 10 A.

Imine hydrolysis. The procedure for the formation of aldehyde monomers was adopted from literature. In short, the procedure went as follows. The glass substrates prepatterned with electrode arrays (see Supplementary Methods for the fabrication recipe) were activated by an oxygen plasma treatment (10 min, 50 mA, 200 mTorr). After the activation, the substrates were sonicated in toluene (30 s) and rinsed with toluene and ethanol to remove any excess of silanes, and blown dry with N₂. The bromide/azide dyes were recorded using an LCT Mass spectrometer (Waters/Micromass). 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity (300 MHz) spectrometer. 1H and 13C chemical shifts, measured at 300 and 75 MHz, respectively, are reported as δ (ppm) using the residual solvent signal as internal standard (7.26 ppm for CDCl3, and 2.50 ppm for dimethylsulphoxide-d6). The electrical current measurements were done with a Karl Süss probe station connected to a Keithley 4200 Semiconductor Characterization System. The electrochemical reactions were performed using 10 power supply (Delta Elektronica) with voltage range from 0 to 15 V and current range from 0 to 10 A. 

This pH gradient was generated by application of a potential difference of 1.6 V. The electrolysis system used a voltage source only. By leaving out a reference electrode, we made sure that the current generated at the anode and cathode are of the same magnitude but with opposite sign, which made sure that the two electrochemical reactions occurring are equal when no side reactions are occurring. This should ensure a stable solution gradient in between the interdigitated electrode array. Fluorescence imaging was done in situ. The reactions were performed in silicone containers (Electron Microscopy Sciences) on top of the electrode array with 100 μl of a solution containing 1 mM buffer, pH 7.1 (NaH2PO4/N a2HPO4) and 100 mM electrolyte (Na2SO4).

CuAAC. The procedure for the formation of azide monomers was adopted from literature. The glass substrates prepatterned with electrode arrays (see Supplementary Methods for the fabrication recipe) were activated by an oxygen plasma treatment (10 min, 50 mA, 200 mTorr). After the activation, the substrates were immersed in 0.1% vol% of 11-bromoundecylchlorosilane in dry toluene under argon atmosphere for 1 h at room temperature. Following monolayer formation, the electrodes were rinsed with toluene and ethanol to remove any excess of silane, and blown dry with N₂. The bromide/azide nucleophilic substitution was carried out with a saturated NaN₃ solution in dimethylformamide (DMF) for 48 h at 70 °C. The electrodes were thoroughly rinsed with MilliQ water and ethanol, and blown dry with N₂.

For performing 'reactivity mapping' of the hydrolysis of the imine bond on surfaces, the pH was changed from low (anode) to high (cathode) by the following electrochemical reactions, respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{e}^- \\
2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- & \rightarrow \text{H}_2
\end{align*}
\]

This gradient in [Cu(I)] was generated by application of a potential difference of 1.6 V. The electrolysis system used a voltage source only. By leaving out a reference electrode, we made sure that the current generated at the anode and cathode are of the same magnitude but with opposite sign, which made sure that the two electrochemical reactions occurring are equal when no side reactions are occurring. This should ensure a stable solution gradient in between the interdigitated electrode array. Fluorescence imaging was done in situ. The reactions were performed in silicone containers (Electron Microscopy Sciences) on top of the electrode array with 100 μl of a solution containing 1 mM buffer, pH 7.1 (NaH2PO4/N a2HPO4) and 100 mM electrolyte (Na2SO4).

Cu(I) + e⁻ → Cu(0) (5)

Cu(0) → Cu(I) + e⁻ (6)

This gradient in [Cu(I)] was generated by application of a potential difference of 1.6 V. Reactions with different reaction times were performed in silicone containers on top of the electrode array with 100 μl of a solution containing 1 mM alkyne-modified fluorescein and 1 mM Cu(I)SO4 in dimethylsulphoxide. After the reaction, the electrodes were quickly rinsed with DMF and ethanol to avoid any further progress of the reaction, and blown dry with N₂. Before fluorescence characterization, all samples were dipped in a 50 mM borax solution at pH 10 for 1 min to assure the activation of the fluorescein dye. Supplementary Fig. S16 shows the reproducibility of the click gradients.

In the case of the bi-component surface chemical gradient, the first gradient (fluorescein) is followed by switch of the polarity of the electrodes and performing a further ‘e-click’ (2 min) of a coumarin-labelled alkyne.

Modelling. The setup was modelled using the commercial Comsol Multiphysics finite-element software (version 4.3). For a complete description, see the Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Table S1. Furthermore, Supplementary Figs S17 and S18 show the modelled geometry of the pH and click gradient, respectively, and Supplementary Fig. S19 show the modelling results of the click reaction.
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