Ischemic preconditioning delays the time of exhaustion in cycling performance during the early but not in the late phase
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Abstract — Aims: To investigate the early and late ischemic preconditioning (IPC) effect on the trained cyclists’ performance during incremental cycling test until exhaustion. Methods: Twenty-one male cyclists allocated to an IPC (2 x 5-min of blood flow occlusion at 50 mm Hg above systolic pressure followed + 5-min of deflation), SHAM (2 x 5-min at 20 mm Hg) or control (CON; no occlusion) interventions, performed three incremental cycling test (ICT) until exhaustion on separate days. The ICT were conducted pre interventions (baseline), 5-min and 24-h after interventions. The heart rate (HR) and power output (PO) were recorded during all ICT. Results: The IPC group increased ICT performance (4.4 ± 4.0 %; effect size (ES) = 0.27) 5-min post intervention, accompanied by HR mean reduction, compared to baseline (p < 0.05). However, there were no changes in SHAM (2.2 ± 4.2%; ES = 0.07) and CON (2.9 ± 5.0%; ES = 0.06) groups. In 24-h post intervention, SHAM (0.2 ± 4.7%; ES = 0.02) and CON (-1.0 ±1.6; ES = 0.03) maintained (p > 0.05) and IPC group decreased the performance (-4.6 ± 3.6 %; ES = 0.16) compared to 5-min post intervention (p < 0.05), but all groups were similar to baseline (p > 0.05). There were no difference (p > 0.05) among groups for PO peak, HR and ICT performance in all moments (baseline, 5-min and 24-h post intervention). Conclusion: The IPC increases early but not late incremental cycling test performance.
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Introduction

Beyond to the benefits of physical training, the exploration of the preconditioning strategies on the day of competition to enhance physical performance have increasingly aroused of sports researchers1,2. Considered as non-invasive, inexpensive and easy-to-apply strategy3, brief ischemia cycles followed by reperfusion in certain body limbs, known as ischemic preconditioning (IPC), showed effectiveness on the improvement exercise performance, mainly dependent on the aerobic energy system when applied minutes before exercise4. In this time window (begins immediately after reperfusion and lasts 3-4 hours; early phase5) researchers suggest that IPC cause an aerobic performance improvement due to increase oxygen extraction6 or faster uptake of acetyl coenzyme A by mitochondria, increasing the aerobically generate adenosine triphosphate (ATP) for exercise7.

On the other hand, clinical research8 suggests that IPC effects result also in a second phase, known as late phase or “second window”, which begins 12-24 hours after IPC intervention. In this phase, IPC cause an increase iNOS (one isoform of nitric oxide (NO) synthase) level9 and may produce NO, which was suggested for decrease the ATP cost of muscle force production6, perhaps via increased mitochondrial efficiency10. Thus, both early and late IPC phases could aid to increase exercise performance in the first and consecutive days of competition. In early phase, the IPC showed benefits on power output (W max) and performance during maximal incremental cycling test (ICT) in physically active subjects11. However, the IPC late phase has not yet been clearly elucidated12,13. Therefore, the current study aimed to investigate the early and late IPC phase’s effect on the trained cyclists’ performance during two consecutive days. We hypothesized that both early and late IPC phases will increase the maximal ICT performance.

Methods

Participants

Twenty-one male cyclists (Table 1) volunteered in this study that was approved by the local ethical committee (N. 2.250.458/2017) for human experiments and was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2000). All cyclists were healthy and trained. To be included in the study, cyclists needed to be able to reach the criteria for classification of trained level according to the study of Jeukendrup, Craig and Hawley14. The exclusion criteria were: i) have any cardiovascular or metabolic disease; ii) use of the caffeine supplement; iii) use of exogenous drugs, anabolic-androgenic steroids or any potentially substance that could promote improvement in exercise performance; iv) smoking history and v) musculoskeletal, bone or joint injury that could limit the execution of the proposed exercise. This information
were identified in the participants’ self-reports. Before the tests, 
the subjects giving their consent and were informed about the 
risks and procedures.

Table 1. General characteristics of subjects

| Characteristics          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Age (years)              | 28.4 ± 4.5 |
| Height (cm)              | 175.8 ± 4.5 |
| Body mass (Kg)           | 80.5 ± 10.4 |
| Body fat (%)             | 16.7 ± 4.0 |
| $P_{\text{O}_{\text{peak}}}$ (W) | 317.3 ± 45.6 |
| $P_{\text{O}_{\text{peak}}}$ (W·Kg$^{-1}$) | 4.0 ± 0.6 |

**Training History**

| Experience (years) | 3.1 ± 1.5 |
| Hours per week     | 4.9 ± 1.6 |

Data are mean ± SD. $P_{\text{O}}$ = power output in incremental cycling test.

**Experimental design**

This study was a single-blind (i.e. the tester was blind to the protocol interventions “received” by each cyclist) trial with SHAM, IPC and control interventions. According to counterbalanced peak power (W·Kg$^{-1}$) reached in the ICT, the cyclists were allocated into one of three interventions. They attended on four different days (48-, 48- and 24-h intervals respectively), at the same time of the day to prevent circadian influences. The tests were performed under monitored environment (23.1 ± 1.6 ºC; relative humidity: 79.2 ± 7.6%). At the first visit, cyclists were instructed to maintain their regular feeding routine, replicate then during the days of experiment, and did not perform moderate or intense physical exercise 48-h before the second visit. In addition, the anthropometric measurements and familiarization with perceptual scales were carried out. On the second visit, the recovery level of cyclists was checked and they performed the baseline ICT. After 48-h, at the third visit, again the recovery level was checked, applied IPC, SHAM or CON intervention and, 5-min after, was performed the ICT. The pain perception from IPC or SHAM application was evaluated immediately after the end of the application. To balance of information level and prevent the possible placebo and/or nocebo effect found in previous studies, we informed the cyclists that both interventions (IPC and SHAM) would improve their performance in ICT (5-min and 24-h after the intervention). At the fourth visit, 24-h after IPC, SHAM or CON application, the volunteers repeated the ICT. Figure 1 shows the experimental design of the study.

**Ischemic preconditioning**

For the IPC and SHAM intervention was used a traditional nylon blood pressure cuff (77 x 21.5) applied around the subinguinal region of the upper thigh. The IPC intervention was based on the study of Ghosh, Standen and Galiñanes, and consisted by 2 cycles of 5-min occlusion/5-min of reperfusion. The pressure cuff applied was 50 mmHg above systolic arterial pressure followed by 0 mm Hg during reperfusion phase. The occlusion and reperfusion phases were conducted alternately between the thighs, with subjects remaining in supine rest position. The SHAM intervention was identical to IPC interventions but with an absolute pressure of 20 mm Hg during the “occlusion phases”. During the inflation and deflation phases of the IPC and SHAM, the blood flow was constantly checked by auscultation of the tibial anterior artery to confirmed occlusion/reperfusion phases properly. The total duration of intervention (IPC and SHAM) was 20 minutes. The volunteers of control group spent the same time in rest without cuffs.

**Pain measurement and perceived recovery status**

The pain assessment of the IPC and SHAM interventions were performed after the application through a visual analogue scale (VAS). The VAS contained numbers with values between 0-10 and markings where: 0 is no pain; 1-2 mild pain; 3-7 moderate pain; and 8-10 severe pain. To ensure that cyclists were in the same recovery condition, the cyclists’ recovery level was evaluated through the perceived recovery scale (PR) before all ICT.
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Incremental cycling test (ICT)

All ICTs were performed in the same cycle ergometer (Monark 839 E, Sweden). The cycle ergometer setup (saddle and handlebar) were performed by the cyclists themselves and individually kept during all tests. A 4-minute warm-up at 40 W was carried out. The ICT power was initiated at 40 W, increasing by 20 W/min until voluntary exhaustion and keeping a constant cadence of 80-90 rpm. The test was interrupted when the cyclist was unable to maintain the cadence for more than 5 seconds or stopped voluntarily. Previous study have suggested a variation of the 2.6% in ICT performance, using fixed methods. Besides is a test well-established and often used in research, the psychophysiological variables evaluated by ICT are highly correlated with cycling performance.

Power output, heart rate and perceived exertion

During all the ICTs, the power output (PO), heart rate (HR) (Polar®, RS800CX, Finland) and rate of perceived exertion (RPE) adapted to cycling were recorded at the last 10 seconds of each stage.

Statistical analysis

The normality of the data was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted for intergroup difference analysis at baseline, 5-min post-and 24-h post-intervention, followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test. For measurements in the correlations between Power output and heart rate, the Pearson’s bivariate correlation test was performed. An independent Student t-test was used for IPC and SHAM intervention soreness comparisons. The effect size (ES) was calculated and the magnitude was classified as trivial (< 0.2), small (0.2-0.6), moderate (0.6-1.2), large (> 1.2) as recommended. Level of significance was of the 0.05. Data are presented as mean and standard deviation. The statistical program used to analyze the data was GraphPad (PRISM® 6, 6.0, San Diego, USA).

Results

All cyclists completed the four days of testing and reported no significant difference (p > 0.05) in PR (Table 2). The pain measurement showed significantly higher values (p < 0.05) in IPC (4.7 ± 2.1) compared to SHAM (0.1 ± 0.4) group.

The Figure 2 shows that IPC group increased performance (33.3 ± 29.4 s; 4.4 ± 4.0%; p = 0.022; ES = 0.27; small) 5-min post-intervention compared baseline. SHAM (17.4 ± 32.4 s; 2.2 ± 4.2%; p = 0.613; ES = 0.07; trivial) and CON (23.3 ± 39.2 s; 2.9 ± 5.0%; p = 0.502; ES = 0.06; trivial) groups also improvement ICT performance, but were not significant (p > 0.05), compared to baseline. There was no difference in the time change (delta) between baseline and 5-min post intervention among groups (p = 0.682). In 24-h post intervention, IPC group decreased the ICT performance (-37.8 ± 29.7 s; -4.6 ± 3.6%; p = 0.009; ES = 0.16; trivial) compared 5-min post intervention (Figure 2) and this reduction in the time change (delta) was significantly greater than SHAM (p = 0.043). Moreover, CON (-8.1 ±15.0 s; -1.0 ± 1.6%; p = 0.603; ES = 0.05; trivial) group decreased ICT performance, but SHAM (3.3 ± 36.3 s; 0.2 ± 4.7%; p = 1.0; ES = 0.03; trivial) group showed a small improvement. However, both were not significant. Finally, in 24-h post intervention, IPC, SHAM and CON were not different to baseline (p > 0.05). The Figure 3 shows individual cyclists’ ICT performance in baseline, 5-min and 24-h post intervention.

The mean HR was lower (p = 0.002) after 5-min but not 24-h post IPC intervention. Non-significant differences (p > 0.05) were found for other parameters (i.e., HR and Power) as it could be noted in Table 2. Additionally, non-significant correlations (p > 0.05) were found among variables.
In this study, we evaluated the early (5-min post intervention) and late (24-h post intervention) IPC effect on ICT performance and physiological parameters in trained cyclists. The main finding was that only the early phase produced a positive effect on ICT performance as well as significantly reduced the mean HR. Corroborating our data, recent studies reported improvements in incremental cycling test performance of highly trained (1.6%) and recreationally active subjects (3.7%)\(^1\) 5-min after IPC intervention, which indicates that our performance increments of 4.4% are in line with scientific literature. Our higher beneficial effects compared with another experiment\(^3\), could be due a different training level, since they evaluated a highly trained cyclists, while our study analyzed only trained cyclists. It could be speculated that responsiveness to IPC is related with the training level\(^29\).

It could be noted in Figure 3 that all subjects increased their time to exhaustion 5-min after IPC while their response 24-h later was non-homogeneous (1 present better and 6 worse performance). The SHAM and Control group presented a different pattern, with the same or weak performance both after 5-min and 24-h intervention. This response are in line with a previous study\(^30\) which also showed a non-consensus performance pattern in recreational swimmers (some of them increased while others reduced 100-m time trial).

Although the IPC mechanisms are still unclear, poorly understood and under-investigation, it has been reported that the intervention resulted in vasodilation\(^31\), increased oxygen extraction\(^32\) and speculation of faster uptake of acetyl-CoA (a breakdown product of glycolysis) by mitochondria\(^7\), facilitating the increase of the aerobic contribution during physical exercise. In addition, improving the efficiency of the excitation-contraction coupling\(^33\), maintaining the frequency of rapid force development.

Moreover, the IPC intervention is associated with an NO increase, which reduces the reactive oxygen species (ROS) amount through modulation mitochondrial ROS level or reacting with ROS\(^34\). These species, which increase during strenuous exercise, are known by play a causal role in contractile muscle function resulting in muscle fatigue\(^35\), and their reduction resulted in a delay of this fatigue during a maximal incremental cycling test\(^36\). It is suggested that ROS promote a delay in calcium reuptake intracellular\(^37\), decreasing calcium-activated force of muscle myofilaments\(^38\). Therefore, our findings suggest that IPC intervention could to reduce ROS generation during ICT, delaying skeletal muscle fatigue and improving performance.

A recent meta-analysis showed that some IPC studies improved performance, but did not present significant physiological

---

**Table 2. Results of incremental cycling test in baseline, 5-min (5-min post) and 24-h (24-h post) post interventions.**

| Groups | HRMean (bpm) | HRMax (bpm) | POMean (W) | POPeak (W) | POPeak (W.Kg) | PR (0-10) |
|--------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| IPC \((n = 7)\) | 157 ± 3 | 197 ± 3 | 151.4 ± 19.5 | 315.2 ± 16.8 | 4.0 ± 0.4 | 7.2 ± 0.6 |
| 5-min post | 150 ± 3 * | 197 ± 4 | 160.0 ± 20.8 | 319.7 ± 16.4 | 4.0 ± 0.3 | 7.0 ± 0.7 |
| 24-h post | 151 ± 4 | 194 ± 2 | 152.9 ± 18.9 | 306.1 ± 16 | 3.9 ± 0.4 | 7.6 ± 0.7 |
| SHAM \((n = 7)\) | 151 ± 4 | 192 ± 3 | 155.7 ± 19.0 | 315.6 ± 12.2 | 4.0 ± 0.9 | 8.1 ± 0.5 |
| 5-min post | 152 ± 4 | 196 ± 3 | 158.6 ± 21.2 | 324.7 ± 13 | 4.1 ± 0.9 | 8.3 ± 0.4 |
| 24-h post | 150 ± 5 | 192 ± 4 | 160.0 ± 22.4 | 323.3 ± 16.9 | 4.0 ± 1.1 | 7.3 ± 0.4 |
| CON \((n = 7)\) | 152 ± 4 | 192 ± 5 | 157.1 ± 25.6 | 321.4 ± 22.8 | 4.1 ± 0.7 | 8.6 ± 0.6 |
| 5-min post | 149 ± 5 | 195 ± 5 | 161.4 ± 27.3 | 334.2 ± 25 | 4.2 ± 0.7 | 7.9 ± 0.7 |
| 24-h post | 145 ± 5 | 191 ± 4 | 160.0 ± 25.2 | 327.2 ± 21.5 | 4.1 ± 0.7 | 7.9 ± 0.8 |

HR = heart rate; PO = power output; PR = perceived recovery; n = sample size per group. Data are mean ± SD. IPC = Ischemic preconditioning; SHAM = cuff administration with lower pressure; CON = Control.

*p = 0.002 = significantly different from IPC baseline.
changes\(^9\). Thus, we monitored the HR during the ICT, which is a popular method for measuring exercise intensity\(^9\), and we found a reduction of the HR mean (Table 2) 5-min after IPC intervention probably associated with performance improvement. As there is a linear relationship between heart rate and work rate\(^6\), we speculate that this HR mean attenuation during ICT can indicate a less effort for the same workload compared the baseline, without change precipitantly any factor related to the fatigue\(^4\), such as ROS generation. However, there was no relation between mean power output and mean HR.

Clinical research suggests that the IPC effects result in two phases\(^1\). The early phase, “first window” of protection, which starts soon after reperfusion and lasts 3 - 4 hours; and late phase, “second window”, which begins 12-24 hours after intervention. Crisafulli, Tangianu, Tocco, Concu, Mameli and Mulliri\(^12\) found improvements in the time to exhaustion of an incremental cycling test soon after IPC intervention. However, the IPC late phase on physical performance has not yet been clearly elucidated. It is believed that the IPC intervention effect is amplified (i.e. later phase) by recruiting several complex pathways of signal transduction (such as adenosine, bradykinin, opioids, cytokines or nitric oxide [NO]) recruited on the same day of IPC stimulus, which in turn activate transcription factors, resulting in the synthesis 12–24 h later of ‘distal mediators’ such as iNOS\(^42\). The iNOS (one isoform of NO synthase)\(^8\) can produce NO, which was suggested for decrease the ATP cost of muscle force production\(^7\), perhaps via increased mitochondrial efficiency\(^10\). Two studies investigated the IPC effect in early\(^11\) and late phases\(^12,13\). One on swimming performance in the 100 and 200 meters time trail\(^14\) and another on cycling performance during an incremental cycling test\(^15\).

This research has some limitations. We did not measure ROS markers such as oxidants or antioxidants to assess oxidative stress. These markers can be important to identify a possible IPC effect on muscle fatigue in endurance exercise\(^16\). Moreover, the lack of other aerobic measures (such as VO2max, blood lactate concentration, muscle oxygenation measurement) to check a possible improvement on performance. These are plausible pathways to future studies.

**Conclusion**

Our findings support a beneficial effect of ischemic preconditioning during early phase while this improvement was not present during the late phase. In addition, a small effect size for time to exhaustion was found, which should be carefully analyzed when considering the possibility of this intervention as an ergogenic aid.
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