Iterative Entanglement Distillation: Approaching full Elimination of Decoherence
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The distribution and processing of quantum entanglement form the basis of quantum communication and quantum computing. The realization of the two is difficult because quantum information inherently has a high susceptibility to decoherence, i.e. to uncontrollable information loss to the environment. For entanglement distribution, a proposed solution to this problem is capable of fully eliminating decoherence; namely iterative entanglement distillation. This approach builds on a large number of distillation steps each of which extracts a number of weakly decohered entangled states from a larger number of strongly decohered states. Here, for the first time, we experimentally demonstrate iterative distillation of entanglement. Already distilled entangled states were further improved in a second distillation step and also made available for subsequent steps. Our experiment displays the realization of the building blocks required for an entanglement distillation scheme that can fully eliminate decoherence.

If two or more subsystems are in an entangled state, the physical realities of these subsystems cannot be seen as independent from each other, although they do not interact and may be separated by an arbitrarily large distance. For maximally entangled states, a measurement performed on an individual subsystem (a so-called local measurement) provides a completely random result that, surprisingly, exhibits a correlation, stronger than any classical correlation, with the also locally random measurement result from another subsystem. This remarkable phenomenon is exploited in quantum communication and quantum computing. Examples are secure communication via quantum cryptography or quantum teleportation.

Entanglement can be efficiently distributed by using entangled pairs of photons or entangled pairs of light modes. The transmission channels, be it optical fibres or free space as envisioned in satellite-based quantum cryptography, are however inevitably lossy, and the coupling to the environment leads to decoherence and to the degradation of the initial entanglement. The decoherence thus imposes a fundamental limit on communication distance, information processing time and complexity beyond which the entanglement is completely destroyed and the advantages of using quantum – rather than classical – systems are lost.

It was therefore one of the great discoveries in the early years of quantum information science that the deleterious effect of noisy channels on entanglement distribution can be counteracted a-posteriori by operations performed locally on each part of the shared entangled state and classical communication. The conceptually simplest approach is the single-copy entanglement distillation whereby a local quantum filter is applied to one part of the shared entangled state. Successful filtration results in a probabilistic increase of entanglement of the shared state. However, this method is intrinsically limited in its ability to overcome decoherence and enhance the entanglement and purify the state. A more sophisticated approach is a distillation protocol that uses the local interference of two copies of the entangled state. Such entanglement distillation schemes were demonstrated recently, but a single application of these protocols does not allow to completely eliminate decoherence or the transmission over an arbitrary distance. A solution to the problem of decoherence is provided by the iterative distillation scheme. Such schemes involve the distillation of input states that have already been distilled in a previous step, and enable the application of subsequent steps. The entanglement of the distilled state increases with each successful iteration, and under certain conditions the protocol asymptotically converges to a maximally entangled pure state.

Here we report on the first experimental demonstration of iterative entanglement distillation. We implemented a two-step distillation protocol that used three decohered copies of an entangled state shared between two parties A and B, as shown in Fig. 1. The first distillation step used two decohered copies of the shared entangled state. At both locations the local parts of the two states were superimposed on a balanced beam splitter with one output port at each site detected by a balanced homodyne detector. A comparison of the measurement results via classical communication yielded a probabilistic signal for the success of distillation. The protocol provided one output copy with increased shared entanglement and partially eliminated decoherence. The second two-copy distillation step then used the already distilled state and a third decohered copy. Again local measurements and classical communication were used to prepare an iteratively distilled state with even less decoherence and even higher entanglement. After successful two-step distillation the state was available for subsequent distillation steps, or, alternatively e.g. for a quantum teleportation protocol. The output state was characterized by means of a full two mode quantum state tomography.

Our experiment used three copies of continuous-variable entangled pairs of continuous-wave laser beams. Each entangled pair was generated by splitting a squeezed laser beam at 532 nm originated by operations performed locally on each part of the shared entangled state and classical communication. The conceptually simplest approach is the single-copy entanglement distillation whereby a local quantum filter is applied to one part of the shared entangled state. Successful filtration results in a probabilistic increase of entanglement of the shared state. However, this method is intrinsically limited in its ability to overcome decoherence and enhance the entanglement and purify the state. A more sophisticated approach is a distillation protocol that uses the local interference of two copies of the entangled state. Such entanglement distillation schemes were demonstrated recently, but a single application of these protocols does not allow to completely eliminate decoherence or the transmission over an arbitrary distance. A solution to the problem of decoherence is provided by the iterative distillation scheme. Such schemes involve the distillation of input states that have already been distilled in a previous step, and enable the application of subsequent steps. The entanglement of the distilled state increases with each successful iteration, and under certain conditions the protocol asymptotically converges to a maximally entangled pure state.

Here we report on the first experimental demonstration of iterative entanglement distillation. We implemented a two-step distillation protocol that used three decohered copies of an entangled state shared between two parties A and B, as shown in Fig. 1. The first distillation step used two decohered copies of the shared entangled state. At both locations the local parts of the two states were superimposed on a balanced beam splitter with one output port at each site detected by a balanced homodyne detector. A comparison of the measurement results via classical communication yielded a probabilistic signal for the success of distillation. The protocol provided one output copy with increased shared entanglement and partially eliminated decoherence. The second two-copy distillation step then used the already distilled state and a third decohered copy. Again local measurements and classical communication were used to prepare an iteratively distilled state with even less decoherence and even higher entanglement. After successful two-step distillation the state was available for subsequent distillation steps, or, alternatively e.g. for a quantum teleportation protocol. The output state was characterized by means of a full two mode quantum state tomography.

Our experiment used three copies of continuous-variable entangled pairs of continuous-wave laser beams. Each entangled pair was generated by splitting a squeezed laser beam at 532 nm origi-
inatating from a monolithic solid state laser (Nd:YAG) operating at 1064 nm. All three OPA outputs showed about 5 dB of squeezing and 9 dB of anti-squeezing at modulation frequencies ranging from 5 MHz to 15 MHz.

All the three entangled pairs generated were distributed to two locations A and B and were intentionally exposed to independent random phase fluctuations which led to decoherence and to a degradation of the entanglement and state purity. In our experiment the phases of each of the six light fields involved were individually diffused by piezo actuated phase shifters driven by Gaussian quasi-random voltages that were generated by a PC sound card. Random phase fluctuations are a rather natural decoherence source, which produces non-Gaussian statistics of the states. This non-Gaussian property of phase noise allowed us to use Gaussian operations within our iterative distillation step. Note that for a purely Gaussian framework a no-go theorem for distillation applies. The local operations and quantum measurements in our iterative distillation experiment involved the interference of sixteen laser beams on eight beam splitters. Four beam splitters were required to pairwise interfere the distributed parts of the three entangled copies for the two distillation steps. Those in the first stage were balanced while those in the second stage were required to pairwise interfere the distributed parts of the two light fields on eight beam splitters. Four beam splitters were integral parts of the four balanced homodyne detector (BHD). In each stage a trigger signal was generated from two BHDs photo-current outputs: A1 and B1 or A2 and B2, respectively (Fig. 1). In both BHDs of a stage the phases of the local oscillators were controlled such that the quantum-correlated field quadratures of the signal beams were measured. The difference of the photo-currents provided information about how likely it was to have better than on average entanglement on the second unmeasured output of the preceding distillation beam splitter, as shown in Refs. The difference photo-currents of the two distillation stages were amplified by home-made electronics, converted into voltages and electronically mixed with an electronic local oscillator at the radio-frequency of 7 MHz which in our case corresponded to the regime of highest initial entanglement. The voltage signals were then anti-alias filtered with a bandwidth of 400 kHz and synchronously sampled with 1 MHz. The result were two time series of voltage values fluctuating around zero. If an absolute voltage value was below a fixed but variable threshold value of our choice, the trigger for successful distillation was positive. If both triggers were positive, successful iterative distillation was indicated ("go"). The lower the thresholds were, the stronger the distillation and purification effect was and the lower the total distillation yield became.

In order to completely characterize the distillation protocol we performed a full tomographic reconstruction of the iteratively distilled states at the output ports of the experiment for several different values of the trigger thresholds. The elements of two-mode density matrix in the Fock state ba-
sis $\rho_{nklm} = \langle nk|\tilde{\rho}|lm\rangle$ were obtained by averaging the appropriate pattern functions $S_{nk}^{lm}$ over the recorded homodyne data. Since the magnitude of the matrix elements decays rapidly for higher photon numbers, the reconstruction was truncated for $\{n, k, l, m\} \geq 5$. Fig. 2 presents the result of our work derived from our tomography data and illustrates that our iterative distillation protocol increases the entanglement and purity, and outperforms the corresponding single-step distillation protocol. We plotted the logarithmic negativity (a), the purity (b), and the total variance (c), respectively, versus the trigger threshold value. The latter was kept equal in both stages since our explicit data analysis showed that the best performance is generally achieved if the threshold values of both stages are the same. Our measurement data is given as a solid line including their error bars dominated by sample statistics. A precise Monte Carlo simulation of our experimental setting is given as a short dashed line. Our model reproduces the measurement very well. Since the same sample size was used the size of the statistical fluctuations also corresponded to the measurement error bars. The comparison with the corresponding single-stage distillation protocol is again given as a precise numerical simulation (dotted line). Note that a comparison with experimental data from a single-stage distillation protocol would be less accurate since a complete re-arrangement of the experiment was necessary. The long dashed lines characterize the initially prepared states without phase noise. The dot-dash lines display the impact of the intentional phase diffusion. Note, the mixing of the input copies in the distillation stages further lowered the logarithmic negativity and the purity (see the left end of the individual figures).

The logarithmic negativity $E_n$ as given in Fig. 2a) is a computable monotone measure of the entanglement strength. Presence of entanglement is certified if $E_n > 0$, and, furthermore, the higher the value of $E_n$ the stronger the entanglement is. Fig. 2b) shows that the entanglement strength of the distilled states increased with lower (more strict) threshold values and exceeded the value of the input states (dot-dash line). This break even point corresponded to a probability of success of more than 70%/50% for the two/three copies protocols. Indeed, the iterative protocols yielded a faster increase than in the corresponding single-stage protocol. When changing the sample number $N$ in our Monte Carlo simulations we found that the logarithmic negativity slightly decreased with increasing number of samples. This effect was also observed in Ref. Since the results shown in Fig. 2b) were all obtained for the same number of samples (measured and simulated) a fair comparison is guaranteed. Fig. 2b) demonstrates that also the purity of the distilled state, as given by $P = \Tr(\rho^2)$, did increase beyond the input states, again taking advantage from the iterative two-stage protocol for lower threshold values. In Fig. 2c) we used another measure of entanglement which is relevant for entanglement with a Gaussian statistics and for downstream applications within a purely Gaussian setting, such as the teleportation of Gaussian states. Plot c) shows the corresponding states’ total variance $\mathcal{I}$ whose definition is based upon the variances of the difference and sum of the amplitude quadrature measurement results and the phase quadrature measurement results at parties $A$ and $B$ ($X_A$, $X_B$, $P_A$, $P_B$), respectively: $\mathcal{I} = \Var(X_A - X_B) + \Var(P_A + P_B)$. In this work we normalized the quadrature variance of an individual mode in its ground state to 1/4. Then, according to Ref. the state is entangled if $\mathcal{I} < 1$. Note that for this entanglement measure a smaller value of $\mathcal{I}$ corresponds to stronger (Gaussian) entanglement.

An interesting question is how the improved performance due to the second distillation stage effects the overall rate of the distillation yield. Remarkably, Fig. 3 shows that in the relevant regime of a significant distillation effect the iterative...
In our experiment we quantitatively and qualitatively analyzed the entanglement shared between two separated locations A and B when an iterative distillation protocol was applied in order to counteract decoherence. We have successfully shown that an already distilled state can be further distilled when another decohered copy of shared entanglement was integrated. Complete evidence was provided by the first realization of a full two-mode continuous-variable tomographic reconstruction of the entangled states. A remarkable result of our experimental and theoretical analysis is that our entanglement distillation protocol, though iterative, provides a surprisingly high efficiency. The protocol provides a significant distillation and purification effect combined with a high total distillation yield of the order of 10%. We also emphasize that our iterative distillation protocol does not depend on the characterization at the output ports and that the distilled states can be used in any downstream quantum protocol. The distilled states can therefore also be used as the input for further distillation stages. In combination with a de-Gaussification protocol as recently used in Ref.\(^{18}\), our iterative distillation experiment can be used in order to counteract also optical loss and not only phase diffusion as considered here. We therefore experimentally realized the necessary building blocks for a feasible full elimination of decoherence. Our result might stimulate applications in quantum communication since it was experimentally demonstrated how the main handicap, the existence of decoherence, can be overcome.

J.F. acknowledges the financial support of the Future and Emerging Technologies (FET) programme within the Seventh Framework Programme for Research of the European Commission, under the FET-Open grant agreement COMPAS, number 212008 and from MSMT under projects Center of Modern Optics (LC06007) and Measurement and Information in Optics (MSM6198959213). R.S. acknowledges financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Project No. SCHN 757/2-1 and the Centre for Quantum Engineering and Space-Time Research QUEST.

1. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777–780 (1935). “Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete?”
2. G. Alber “Quantum information” Springer-Verlag (2001).
3. M. Nielsen and I. Chuang “Quantum computation and quantum information” Cambridge University Press (2000).
4. A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 661–663 (1991). “Quantum cryptography based on Bell’s theorem”.
5. N. Gisin, G. Ribordy, W. Tittel and H. Zbinden, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 145–195 (2002). “Quantum cryptography”.
6. D. Bouwmeester, J. W. Pan, K. Mattle, M. Eibl, H. Weinfurter and A. Zeilinger, Nature 390, 575–579 (1997).
7. A. Furusawa, J. L. Sorensen, S. L. Braunstein, C. A. Fuchs, H. J. Kimble and E. S. Polzik, Science 282, 706–709 (1998). “Unconditional Quantum Teleportation”.
8. W. P. Bowen, N. Treps, B. C. Buchler, R. Schnabel, T. C. Ralph, H. A. Bachor, T. Symul and P. K. Lam, Phys. Rev. A 67, 032302 (2003). “Experimental investigation of continuous-variable quantum teleportation”.
9. M. Aspelmeyer et al., Science 301, 621–623 (2003). “Long-Distance Free-Space Distribution of Quantum Entanglement”.
10. C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, S. Popescu, B. Schumacher, J. A. Smolin and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 722–725 (1996). “Purification of Noisy Entanglement and Faithful Teleportation via Noisy Channels”.
11. D. Deutsch, A. Ekert, R. Jozsa, C. Macchiavello, S. Popescu and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2818–2821 (1996). “Quantum Privacy Amplification and the Security of Quantum Cryptography over Noisy Channels”.
12. C. H. Bennett, H. J. Bernstein, S. Popescu and B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. A 53, 2046–2052 (1996). “Concentrating partial entanglement by local operations”.
13. J. Eisert, D. E. Browne, S. Scheel and M. B. Plenio, Annals of Physics 311, 431–458 (2004). “Distillation of continuous-variable entanglement with optical means”.
14. P. G. Kwiat, S. Barraza-Lopez, A. Stefanov and N. Gisin, Nature
“Experimental entanglement distillation and ‘hidden’ non-locality.”.

R. Dong, M. Lassen, J. Heersink, C. Marquardt, R. Filip, G. Leuchs and U. L. Andersen, Nature Phys. 4, 919–923 (2008). “Experimental entanglement distillation of mesoscopic quantum states.”.

H. Takahashi, J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, M. Takeuchi, M. Takeoka, K. Hayasaka, A. Furusawa and M. Sasaki, Nature Phot. 4, 178–181, supp (2010). “Entanglement distillation from Gaussian input states”.

N. Linden, S. Massar and S. Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3279–3282 (1998). “Purifying Noisy Entanglement Requires Collective Measurements”.

J. Fiurášek, P. Marek, R. Filip and R. Schnabel, Phys. Rev. A 75, 050302 (2007). “Experimentally feasible purification of continuous-variable entanglement”.

J. W. Pan, S. Gasparoni, R. Ursin, G. Wehns and A. Zeilinger, Nature 423, 417–422 (2003). “Experimental entanglement purification of arbitrary unknown states”.

Z. Zhao, T. Yang, Y. A. Chen, A. N. Zhang and J. W. Pan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 207901 (2003). “Experimental Realization of Entanglement Concentration and a Quantum Repeater”.

B. Hage, A. Samblowski, J. DiGuglielmo, A. Franzen, J. Fiurášek and R. Schnabel, Nature Phys. 4, 915–918 (2008). “Preparation of distilled and purified continuous-variable entangled states”.

P. Marek, J. Fiurášek, B. Hage, A. Franzen, J. DiGuglielmo and R. Schnabel, Phys. Rev. A 76, 053820 (2007). “Multiple-copy distillation and purification of phase-diffused squeezed states”.

U. Leonhardt, M. Munroe, T. Kiss, T. Richter and M. G. Raymer, Optics Communications 127, 144–160 (1996). “Sampling of photon statistics and density matrix using homodyne detection”.

M. G. Raymer, D. F. McAlister and U. Leonhardt, Phys. Rev. A 54, 2397–2401 (1996). “Two-mode quantum-optical state measurement: Sampling the joint density matrix”.

S. Chelkowski, H. Vahlbruch, B. Hage, A. Franzen, N. Lastzka, K. Danzmann and R. Schnabel, Phys. Rev. A 71, 013806 (2005). “Experimental characterization of frequency-dependent squeezed light”.

A. Franzen, B. Hage, J. DiGuglielmo, J. Fiurášek and R. Schnabel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 150505 (2006). “Experimental Demonstration of Continuous Variable Purification of Squeezed States”.

J. Eisert, S. Scheel and M. B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 137903 (2002). “Distilling Gaussian States with Gaussian Operations is Impossible”.

G. Giedke and J. Ignacio Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 66, 032316 (2002). “Characterization of Gaussian operations and distillation of Gaussian states”.

G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 65, 032314 (2002). “Computable measure of entanglement”.

The dependence of $E_n$ on $N$ could be described by an empirical relation $E_n = a + b/N^c$, with $c \approx 0.6$, which is consistent with Ref.16.

L. M. Duan, G. Giedke, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2722–2725 (2000). “Inseparability Criterion for Continuous Variable Systems”.