GLOBAL ANALYSIS OF KOI-977: SPECTROSCOPY, ASTEROSEISMOLOGY, AND PHASE-CURVE ANALYSIS
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ABSTRACT

We present a global analysis of KOI-977, one of the planet host candidates detected by Kepler. The Kepler Input Catalog (KIC) reports that KOI-977 is a red giant, for which few close-in planets have been discovered. Our global analysis involves spectroscopic and asteroseismic determinations of stellar parameters (e.g., mass and radius) and radial velocity (RV) measurements. Our analyses reveal that KOI-977 is indeed a red giant, possibly in the red clump, but its estimated radius $(\gtrsim 20 R_\odot = 0.093$ AU) is much larger than KOI-977.01’s orbital distance $(\sim 0.027$ AU) estimated from its period $(P_{\text{orb}} \sim 1.35$ days) and host star’s mass. RV measurements show a small variation, which also contradicts the amplitude of ellipsoidal variations seen in the light curve folded with KOI-977.01’s period. Therefore, we conclude that KOI-977.01 is a false positive, meaning that the red giant, for which we measured the radius and RVs, is different from the object that produces the transit-like signal (i.e., an eclipsing binary). On the basis of this assumption, we also perform a light curve analysis including the modeling of transits/eclipses and phase-curve variations, adopting various values for the dilution factor $D$, which is defined as the flux ratio between the red giant and eclipsing binary. Fitting the whole folded light curve as well as individual transits in the short cadence data simultaneously, we find that the estimated mass and radius ratios of the eclipsing binary are consistent with those of a solar-type star and a late-type star (e.g., an M dwarf) for $D \gtrsim 20$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Transiting planets have attracted much recent attention due to their ability to characterize planetary orbits, atmospheres, and evolution history. In particular, the Kepler spacecraft (Borucki et al. 2010) has yielded a wide variety of planetary systems, including notably unique ones (e.g., Doyle et al. 2011; Lissauer et al. 2011; Rappaport et al. 2012; Hirano et al. 2012b; Huber et al. 2013; Quintana et al. 2014).

One nuisance concerning searches for transiting planets is that transit photometry is often contaminated by background/foreground sources, and such contaminants are sometimes responsible for the periodic light curve depressions that mimic planetary transits (so-called false positives). According to a recent statistical study, the false positive rate for Kepler Objects of Interest (KOI) is estimated to be 7%–18% depending on the size of planet candidates (Fressin et al. 2013). The false positive rate becomes vanishingly small when we focus only on multiple transiting systems (Lissauer et al. 2012), but it is still necessary for their confirmations to use independent techniques such as radial velocity (RV) measurements or transit timing variations (TTVs).

In this paper, we present a global analysis for KOI-977, one of the planet-host candidates reported by Kepler (Batalha et al. 2013) with the goal of confirming the planetary nature of KOI-977.01. The Kepler Input Catalog (KIC) reports that KOI-977 (KIC 11192141) is an evolved star (giant) with an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 4283$ K and a surface gravity of $\log g = 2.012$. Later studies confirmed KOI-977 to be a red giant from the medium-resolution spectroscopy in the near-infrared region (Muirhead et al. 2012, 2014) or photometric analysis (Dressing & Charbonneau 2013). Contrary to the situation for main sequence stars, only a few hot Jupiters have so far been reported around evolved stars (e.g., Johnson et al. 2010; Steffen et al. 2013; Lillo-Box et al. 2014) despite many dedicated RV surveys for those stars. The lack of close-in planets is often attributed to the outcome of tidal interactions (e.g., Sato et al. 2008; Schlaufman & Winn 2013; Jones et al. 2014), but there has been a debate on this paucity (e.g., Kunimoto et al. 2011). In this context, the detection or non-detection of a hot Jupiter around KOI-977 should become an important constraint on the occurrence rate and/or tidal evolution of giant planets around evolved stars.

With a quick look at the light curve for KOI-977, we noticed that the light curve folded with KOI-977.01’s period (Figure 5) shows a clear pattern of well-known flux modulations by ellipsoidal variation and emission/reflected light from KOI-977.01 (e.g., Faigler & Mazeh 2011). Indeed, giants/subgiants are ideal targets for phase-curve analyses since the amplitude of ellipsoidal variation is approximately proportional to the cube of stellar radius (e.g., Shporer et al. 2011). The short orbital period of KOI-977.01 $(P_{\text{orb}} = 1.3537763 \pm 0.0000063$ days), along with the moderate transit depth $(\sim 1.37$ mmag), makes this system an interesting target for further observations/analyses.

Our global analysis involves spectroscopic and asteroseismic determinations of the host star’s properties, RV measurements, and light curve analysis of the public Kepler data for KOI-977. In Sections 2 and 3, we show via spectroscopy and asteroseismology that the most luminous object in KOI-977 is indeed a red giant in the red clump, but its estimated radius is much larger than the estimated semi-major axis of KOI-977.01, inferred from Kepler’s third law. The observed RVs taken by the
High Dispersion Spectrograph (HDS) on the Subaru 8.2 m telescope show a small variation, and the best-fit model suggests a very eccentric orbit, which is incompatible with the phase-folded light curve for KOI-977.01 (Section 2). Therefore, we are obliged to conclude that KOI-977.01 is likely a false positive, meaning that the pulsating giant (for which we took RVs) is different from the object yielding the transit-like signal (i.e., eclipsing binary). Based on this assumption, we present the light curve analysis in order to put a constraint on the properties of the eclipsing binary in Section 4. Employing the EVIL-MC model by Jackson et al. (2012) with some revisions, we simultaneously model the phase-curve variation (i.e., ellipsoidal variation, Doppler boosting, and emission/reflected light from the companion) and transit/eclipse light curve. Section 5 is devoted to a discussion and summary.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

2.1. Observations and RV Measurements

We conducted spectroscopic observations on (UT) 2013 June 29 and July, and 2014 July 13 and 14 with Subaru/HDS and obtained RVs for KOI-977. We employed the “12b” setup in the 2013 campaign and the “12a” setup in 2014 with Image Slicer #2, with which we can achieve a spectral resolution of $R \sim 80000$ (Tajitsu et al. 2012). The spectra for RV measurements were taken with the iodine (I$_2$) cell for a precise wavelength calibration. On 2014 July 12, we also took high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectra without the I$_2$ cell for an RV template as well as to estimate basic spectroscopic parameters.

The raw spectra were reduced by the standard IRAF procedure; they were bias-subtracted, flat-fielded, and subjected to the scattered light subtraction before extracting one-dimensional spectra. All spectra were subjected to the wavelength calibration by Th-Ar emission spectra taken during the twilights, but for the exposures with I$_2$ cell, these rough wavelength solutions were later recalibrated based on I$_2$ absorption lines for a more precise calibration for the RV measurement (Sato et al. 2002). The resulting S/N for KOI-977 was typically $\sim 100$ pixel$^{-1}$ around sodium D lines.

Following the RV analysis pipeline by Sato et al. (2002), we compute the RVs for KOI-977. First, we estimate the instrumental profile (IP) for the night during which the stellar template spectrum was taken, from the flat-lamp spectrum transmitted through the I$_2$ cell. We then deconvolve the high S/N template with the IP and extract the intrinsic stellar spectrum. Each of the observed spectra with the I$_2$ cell is modeled and fitted using this intrinsic spectrum with the relative RV and other relevant parameters describing the observed spectrum (e.g., those for IP and relative depth of the I$_2$ absorption lines) being free. The result is summarized in Table 1; the RV precision (statistical error) is typically $\sim 4$ m s$^{-1}$. Since the I$_2$-cell RV technique only gives relative RVs, we roughly estimated the absolute stellar RV with respect to the barycenter of the solar system from the position of H$\alpha$ line as $\sim 44$ km s$^{-1}$.

2.2. Estimate for Spectroscopic Parameters

Making use of the same template spectrum used for the RV analysis, we determined the basic spectroscopic parameters. The procedure here to estimate the stellar parameters (e.g., stellar mass and rotational velocity $V \sin I_*$) is somewhat similar to that described in Hirano et al. (2012a, 2014): we first measure the equivalent widths for all the available Fe I and Fe II lines and estimate the stellar atmospheric parameters (the effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, surface gravity $\log g$, metallicity [Fe/H], micro-turbulent velocity $\xi$) from the excitation and ionization equilibrium conditions (Takeda et al. 2002, 2005). Creating a theoretical spectrum with the ATLAS9 model for the best-fit atmospheric parameters, we then optimized the rotational plus macroturbulence broadening kernel for the radial-tangential model (Gray 2005). As for the macroturbulence, we adopt the macroturbulent velocity of $\xi_{\text{RT}} = 1.7 \pm 0.5$ km s$^{-1}$ based on the empirical relation between $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $\xi_{\text{RT}}$ by Valenti & Fischer (2005).

We next convert the atmospheric parameters into the stellar mass $M_*$ and radius $R_*$ employing the Yonsei-Yale (Y2) isochrone model (Yi et al. 2001). We implement a Monte Carlo simulation varying the atmospheric parameters: we randomly generate $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\log g$, and [Fe/H] based on their spectroscopic errors assuming Gaussian distributions, and each set of the parameters is converted into the mass $M_*$ and radius $R_*$. The resulting distributions give the estimates and their uncertainties for $M_*$ and $R_*$. Thus, the derived spectroscopic parameters are summarized in Table 2 (A).

2.3. Fitting RV Data

The RV data obtained in Section 2.1 indicate that the system does not contain within a few days a massive companion, which
Figure 1. RV data obtained by Subaru/HDS folded with the orbital period of KOI-977.01. The blue solid and green dashed lines indicate the best Keplerian fits to the observed RVs with a noncircular and circular model, respectively.

leads to an RV amplitude of $\gtrsim 100$ m s$^{-1}$. Here we fit the RV data alone in order to put a rough constraint on the mass of the possible companion to KOI-977. The $\chi^2$ statistics for the RV fit is

$$
\chi^2_{\text{RV}} = \sum_i \frac{(v^{(i)}_{\text{obs}} - v^{(i)}_{\text{model}})^2}{\sigma_{\text{RV}}} \leq 10^{12} \sigma_{\text{RV}},
$$

(1)

where $v^{(i)}_{\text{obs}}$ and $v^{(i)}_{\text{RV}}$ are the $i$th observed RV and its error. As usual, RVs are modeled as a function of the true anomaly $f$ as

$$
v_{\text{model}} = K(\cos(f + \omega) + e \cos \omega) + \gamma,
$$

(2)

where $K$, $e$, $\omega$, and $\gamma$ are the RV semi-amplitude, orbital eccentricity, argument of periastron, and RV offset of our data set, respectively. Allowing $K$, $e \cos \omega$, $e \sin \omega$, and $\gamma$ to be free, we implement Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm to estimate the posterior distributions for those fitting parameters from the observed RVs. The median and 15.87 and 84.13 percentiles of the marginalized posterior distribution are taken to be the best-fit value and its $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainty.

As a result, we obtain $K = 21.0^{+4.2}_{-4.1}$ m s$^{-1}$, $e \cos \omega = -0.0829_{-0.0057}^{+0.0069}$, and $e \sin \omega = -0.750_{-0.058}^{+0.048}$, and the best-fit model is plotted by the blue solid line along with the RV data points (red) in Figure 1 as a function of orbital phase. Our result for the RV fit indicates that the orbit is highly eccentric ($e \approx 0.75$), which is remarkably inconsistent with the phase-folded LC light curve for KOI-977.01 shown in Figure 5. Figure 5 suggests that the local minima of the modulation by ellipsoidal variation occur at $\phi \approx 0.0$ and $\phi \approx 0.5$, an indication that $e$ is small. We also fit the observed RVs with a circular orbit ($e = 0$). The resulting RV semi-amplitude is $K = 3.16^{+1.85}_{-1.80}$ m s$^{-1}$ (the green dashed line in Figure 1), which is consistent with a nondetection within 2$\sigma$. There are a few RV data points that strongly disagree with both eccentric and circular models, but these could be ascribed to large RV jitters (10–20 m s$^{-1}$) by stellar pulsation of the red giant with a timescale of $\approx 1$ day. The implication of the small overall RV signal will be discussed in Section 4.1.

3. ESTIMATE FOR STELLAR PARAMETERS FROM ASTEROSEISMOLOGY

In this section, we also attempt to obtain an estimate for stellar parameters from asteroseismology using the Kepler public light curve.

3.1. Asteroseismology of Solar-like Pulsators

Solar-like pulsators are cool (i.e., $\lesssim 7000$ K) and low-mass (i.e., $\approx 0.8$–$2.5M_\odot$) stars, mostly characterized by an outer convective layer which excites pulsation modes. Asteroseismology, the science that studies these pulsations, enables high-precision estimations of stellar fundamental parameters (Lebreton & Montalban 2009; e.g., uncertainties of a few percent for their mass and radius).

For a spherically symmetric star, each mode is characterized by three quantum numbers: the angular degree $l$, the azimuthal order $m$ ($-l \leq m \leq +l$), and the radial order $n$. The degree $l$ corresponds to the number of nodal surface lines, while the azimuthal order $m$ specifies the surface pattern of the eigenfunction, with $|m|$ being the number of longitude lines among the $l$ nodal surface lines. The radial order $n$ corresponds to the number of nodal surfaces along the radius. In the absence of rotation, the radial eigenfunction and the frequency of each mode are independent of $m$ and show a $(2l + 1)$-fold degeneracy. Frequencies of high order, acoustic (or p) modes of the same low degree ($n \gg l \sim 1$) are regularly spaced and separated on average by a frequency spacing $\Delta \nu \propto \sqrt{\rho}$, sensitive to the mean stellar density $\rho$. Furthermore, mode amplitudes arise from a competition between damping and excitation that is a function of the frequency. The competition actually makes amplitudes follow a bell-shaped function that peaks at $\nu_{\text{max}}$, the frequency at the maximum amplitude.

When combined with the stellar effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}}$, $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu$ enable us to evaluate the stellar mass and radius using the so-called scaling relations (e.g., Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995; Huber et al. 2011).

$$
R_* = \left( \frac{v_{\text{max}}}{v_{\text{max},\odot}} \right) \left( \frac{\Delta \nu}{\Delta \nu_{\odot}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff},\odot}} \right)^{1/2}
$$

(3a)

$$
M_* = \left( \frac{v_{\text{max}}}{v_{\text{max},\odot}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{\Delta \nu}{\Delta \nu_{\odot}} \right)^{-4} \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T_{\text{eff},\odot}} \right)^{3/2}.
$$

(3b)

In these empirical relations, the Sun acts as a reference star and we adopted solar $T_{\text{eff},\odot} = 5777$ K (e.g., Kjeldsen & Bedding 1995), $\Delta \nu_{\odot} = 135.1 \pm 0.1$ $\mu$Hz, and $v_{\text{max},\odot} = 3150 \pm 50$ $\mu$Hz. The seismic values are obtained using the same method as for KOI-977 (see Section 3.3) but using photometry from the VIRGO instrument on board the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (Frohlich et al. 1997). Note that $v_{\text{max},\odot}$ differs slightly from that in Huber et al. (2011), who analyzed the same data, but remains consistent at $1\sigma$.

While for main sequence stars we observe only acoustic modes, subgiants and red giants also show a rich spectrum of so-called mixed modes (e.g., Beck et al. 2011; Bedding et al. 2011; Benomar et al. 2013; Huber et al. 2013). They have a dual nature, behaving as acoustic modes in the stellar envelope and as gravity modes in the core. They are therefore detectable at the stellar surface while also probing deep stellar layers, potentially providing stringent constraints on the stellar evolution stage. However, for the latest evolution stages (e.g., tip of the RGB and AGB phase), the observation duration required to resolve
the mixed modes is of several years and these could be difficult to measure even with the exquisite Kepler data.

3.2. Kepler Data Processing of KOI-977

We downloaded the light curve data from the Kepler MAST archive, and used the PDC-SAP flux, for which unphysical trends (e.g., instrumental artifacts) are designed to be removed (Smith et al. 2012; Stumpe et al. 2012). Among the public light curves for KOI-977, short-cadence (SC) data were available for Q8 only, which involve 15 transits of KOI-977.01. On the other hand, long-cadence (LC) data were available for all quarters (Q0 to Q17).

LC data are first appended and corrected from jumps between successive quarters in order to obtain a continuous smooth time series. Furthermore, we use the mean flux to convert the time series into ppm. The power spectrum (Figure 2) is computed by means of the Lomb–Scargle periodogram, suitable for unevenly sampled data (Scargle 1982). The power spectrum shows an excess of power around $\nu \approx 10 \mu$Hz due to stellar pulsations, which is compatible with an evolved red giant. We applied a similar procedure using the raw time series, but using a method similar to García et al. (2011) for removing artifacts and applying a median high pass filter to remove long-term variations (longer than 11.57 days). The power spectrum using PDC-SAP or raw data are nearly identical, indicating that the stellar signal is not affected by the data processing method. Finally, we used SC data to investigate the high-frequency pulsation due to hypothetical companions, but no evidence was found. Thus, if KOI-977 is a part of a multiple stellar system, none of its companions show measurable pulsations.

Figure 2 shows a narrow peak at 8.548 $\mu$Hz and its harmonics caused by the periodic eclipses. These peaks may pollute the stellar signal. However, as most of their power is confined within only two or three bins, we decided to simply ignore the few affected data points during the following analysis.

3.3. KOI-977 Seismic Analysis

The stellar signal analysis is threefold. First, we obtain an approximate mass and radius of the star using the scaling relations (Equations (3a) and (3b) in Section 3.1). The frequency $v_{\text{max}}$ is obtained by fitting a Gaussian on the excess of power due to the modes (see, e.g., Mathur et al. 2010; Benomar et al. 2012), while $\Delta v$ is obtained by performing the power spectrum autocorrelation, and by ignoring $l = 1$ mixed modes (gray areas in Figure 2).

We obtain $v_{\text{max}} = 9.1 \pm 0.2$ $\mu$Hz and $\Delta v = 1.375 \pm 0.040$ $\mu$Hz, which lead to $M_\star = 1.49 \pm 0.11 M_\odot$ and $R_\star = 24.3 \pm 0.8 R_\odot$.

These first estimates may suffer from systematics because the scaling relation is calibrated using the Sun for reference, whereas KOI-977 is a much evolved star. Thus, to confirm the mass and radius, we attempted to identify a stellar structure model that best match both individual pulsation frequencies and spectroscopic constraints.

Each individual acoustic mode can be seen as a stochastically excited oscillator whose limit spectrum is a Lorentzian. Therefore, the Lorentzian is often used to extract the pulsation mode characteristics (such as the mode frequency) in the power spectrum (e.g., Appourchaux et al. 1998, 2008; Chaplin et al. 1998).

Here, the fit was performed using an MCMC approach, ensuring both precision and accuracy (Benomar 2008; Benomar et al. 2009a, 2009b, 2014; Handberg & Campante 2011). Note that although $l = 1$ mixed modes are clearly visible in the power spectrum, their nearly identical frequencies and high number prevent us to resolve them individually. Thus, only modes of degrees $l = 0$ and $l = 2$ are fitted with Lorentzians in this analysis. We detected a total of 12 modes$^5$ and the best corresponding fit is shown in Figure 2. Note that we could not measure the internal rotation and the stellar inclination, due to the small number of measured non-radial modes.

Finally, stellar models that simultaneously match spectroscopic and seismic observables are found using the “astero” module of the Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics (MESA) evolutionary code (Paxton et al. 2010). Stellar models are calculated assuming a fixed mixing length parameter $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 2.0$ and an initial hydrogen abundance $X = 0.7$. The opacities are calculated using the OPAL opacities Iglesias & Rogers (1996) and the solar composition from Asplund et al. (2009). We use NACRE Nuclear reactions (Angulo et al. 1999) with updated $^{14}$N$(p, \gamma)\alpha^{16}$O and $^{12}$C$(\alpha, \gamma)\alpha^{16}$O reactions (Kunz et al. 2002; Formicola et al. 2004). We decided not to implement overshoot and diffusion. Because these processes enhance the mixing of the chemical elements within a star, neglecting them may significantly bias the stellar age. Finally, note that only mass $M_\star$, metallicity [Fe/H] and age are treated as free parameters.

Eigenfrequencies are calculated assuming adiabaticity and using ADIPLS (Christensen-Dalsgaard 2008). We did not apply the surface correction. The search for the best model involves a simplex minimization approach (Nelder & Mead 1965) using the $\chi^2$ criteria. Uncertainties are estimated by evaluating the $\chi^2$ for solutions surrounding the best model and by weighing the model parameters with the likelihood $\propto \exp(-\chi^2/2)$. Note that the reported uncertainties do not account of hardly quantifiable uncertainties due to the used physics.

Figure 3 shows the log $g-T_{\text{eff}}$ diagram along the evolution of the best matching model and Table 2(B) gives the fundamental parameters of the model. The model describes a star of

$^5$ The modes of degree $l = 0$ and $l = 2$ over 6 radial orders.
Figure 3. log $g$–$T_{\text{eff}}$ diagram of KOI-977. The blue line indicates the theoretical evolution of a $M = 1.51 M_\odot$ star. The model that best matches seismic and spectroscopic observables is indicated in red. Uncertainties from spectroscopy at 1$\sigma$ (inner gray box) and 2$\sigma$ (outer box) are also shown.

$M_\star = 1.51 \pm 0.04 M_\odot$ and $R_\star = 23.5 \pm 0.2 R_\odot$, compatible with our first seismic estimate and with the isochrone fitting (including spectroscopic constraints alone). The model has exhausted its hydrogen in the core and seems to have ignited helium fusion reaction, which indicates that it is in the red clump. To verify this, we attempted to measure the so-called observed period spacing of $l = 1$ mixed modes $\Delta P_l$, using the same approach as Bedding et al. (2011). This quantity is sensitive to the core structure and is a stringent indicator of the evolutionary stage of stars. Note that here we use a power spectrum, oversampled by a factor 10, to improve the precision of our measurement which relies on the autocorrelation of the power spectrum. We obtain $\Delta P_l \approx 200$–300 sec, depending on which cluster of $l = 1$ mixed modes we considered. According to Bedding et al. (2011), this is typical of red clump stars or of secondary clump stars, while stars that did not ignited helium fusion reaction (red giant branch) have a period spacing approximately five times smaller.

4. MODEL AND ANALYSIS OF KEPLER PHOTOMETRIC DATA

4.1. Reduction of the Kepler Light Curves

The analyses with spectroscopy and asteroseismology both indicate that the stellar radius of KOI-977 is no less than $\sim 20 R_\odot$, which corresponds to $\sim 0.093$ AU. Using Kepler’s third law, however, we obtain the estimated semi-major axis of $\sim 0.027$ AU from KOI-977.01’s orbital period and an assumed stellar mass of $M_\star = 1.51 M_\odot$. Therefore, if the pulsating giant KOI-977 is orbited by KOI-977.01, it has to be inside the star. In addition, the transit depth of KOI-977.01 ($\sim 0.08\%$) and the host star’s radius of $23.5 R_\odot$ translate into companion’s radius of $\sim 0.7 R_\odot$, which corresponds to a star rather than a planet. A star of this size would cause an RV variation of $K \sim 80$ km s$^{-1}$, which strongly contradicts the observed RV amplitude. These facts made us conclude that KOI-977.01 is likely a false positive, meaning that the eclipsing binary (or transiting planetary system) is different from the most luminous giant for which we estimated stellar parameters and measured RVs. According to the WIYN speckle images on CFOP Web site, KOI-977 may have a possible companion at a separation of $\sim 0.34$ with magnitude differences of $\Delta m \sim 3.94$ and $\Delta m \sim 4.06$ at 692 nm and 880 nm, respectively. Therefore, a natural explanation is that this faint companion is an eclipsing binary causing periodic depressions diluted by the red giant so that the depth of eclipse is comparable to that of a planetary transit ($\sim 10^{-3}$).

In the following discussion, we assume that KOI-977 is comprised of a red giant and an eclipsing binary, and search for a possible solution to the observed properties (i.e., transits and phase-curve variation). We call the pulsating giant and the brighter star in the eclipsing binary KOI-977A and KOI-977B, respectively, and call the fainter one KOI-977.01, which is orbiting KOI-977B. In order to extract system parameters from transits and phase-curve variations, we reduce the same public light curves for KOI-977 as those used for asteroseismology and phase-fold them via the procedure below. To avoid removing possible astrophysical signals, we decided not to further detrend the PDC-SAP light curves, and simply normalize them by diving the PDC-SAP flux by the median flux value for each quarter. Combining all the LC light curves from Q0 to Q17, we phase-fold the combined light curve with the orbital period and transit ephemeris reported by the official Kepler team. We later take into account the impact of incorrect transit ephemeris.

Next we bin the folded LC light curve. The number of bins is fixed at 65, which was adopted so that each bin approximately covers the time span of LC data point ($\sim 30$ minutes). We noticed that adopting a larger number of bins leads to a noisier binned light curve, supposedly due to a contamination of the quasi-periodic stellar pulsation. The binned flux for each bin is computed as the median flux value of that bin. As for the flux error for each bin, we first compute the root-mean-square (rms) of the flux residuals from the median flux, and divide the rms by the square root of the number of points within the bin. Thus, derived flux error, however, becomes much larger ($\gtrsim 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$) than the apparent dispersion of the binned flux. The quasi-periodic flux modulation from KOI-977A results in an apparent flux dispersion in the folded light curve, but its impact is likely alleviated when the light curve is binned. Hence, we decide to scale the binned flux error when we fit the light curves; the error bars are iteratively scaled so that $\chi^2$ for the binned LC light curve becomes equivalent to the degree of freedom for LC data fitting (the reduced $\chi^2$ of unity). In the following analysis, this scaling is always applied during the $\chi^2$ optimization.

As shown in Figure 5, the binned LC light curve shows a clear pattern of periodic flux modulation. This bimodal modulation that is synchronous with the orbital period of KOI-977.01 (two peaks within one period) is representative of a stellar ellipsoidal variation, but one exception is that the flux peaks at an orbital phase of $\phi \sim 0.75$. The flux modulation due to the Doppler boosting shows a flux peak around the orbital phase of $\phi \sim 0.25$. There are some possibilities that lead to such phase-curve behaviors; when the transiting object is a white dwarf, for instance, which is smaller in radius but as massive as a normal star, the phase-curve modulation may peak at the}

---

6 A cluster of mixed modes corresponds to an ensemble of mixed modes with very close frequency and of high amplitude. In Figure 2, each cluster of mixed modes is shown in gray and correspond to an almost indistinguishable forest of $l = 1$ mixed modes.

7 Stars too massive to have undergone a helium flash.

8 https://cfop.ipac.caltech.edu/
observed phase. In this case, the depression at $\phi \sim 0.0$ in Figure 5 corresponds to a "secondary eclipse" of KOI-977.01. One issue concerning this possibility is, however, that the phase-curve modulation due to the ellipsoidal variation should have a higher flux at the secondary eclipse ($\phi \sim 0.0$) than the flux around $\phi \sim 0.5$ in the absence of transits/eclipses (Jackson et al. 2012), but the reverse is true for Figure 5, suggesting that this scenario is very unlikely.

Another possibility is a "phase-shift" of the KOI-977.01's flux maximum from the superior conjunction. Because of the high luminosity of KOI-977B and close-in orbit, the dayside surface of KOI-977.01 is highly heated. There have been reports on the possibility that the emission/reflected light from close-in planets have shifted maxima, either eastward or westward, from the substellar point (e.g., Knutson et al. 2007; Demory et al. 2013; Esteves et al. 2014). Whether KOI-977.01 is a star or planet (brown dwarf), the high irradiation to KOI-977.01 may lead to a temperature inhomogeneity and the location with the highest intensity is not necessarily the substellar point. Below, as an "empirical" treatment for the asymmetric flux maximum, we introduce a phase-delay following Demory et al. (2013). We will revisit this issue in Section 5.

4.2. Light Curve Models

When the objects are very close to each other, a sinusoidal model does not give a good fit to the stellar ellipsoidal variation (e.g., Van Hamme & Wilson 2007). Our model for the binned LC light curve is based on the EVIL-MC model (Jackson et al. 2012), which is easy to compute and applicable to a wide range of the mass ratio and semi-major axis (see Figure 3 in Jackson et al. 2012). In the EVIL-MC model, the relative intensity at wavelength $\lambda$ at position $\mathbf{R}_s$ on the ellipsoidally distorted stellar surface is expressed as

$$I(f, \lambda, \mathbf{R}_s) \propto \left\{ 1 + \frac{\cos(\omega)}{1 - \exp(-\delta \Gamma)} \right\} \times \left\{ 1 + \left[ \frac{x}{1 - \exp(-\delta \Gamma) \cos(\omega)} \right] \right\} \times \left\{ 1 - u_2(1 - \mu) - u_2(1 - \mu)^2 \right\},$$

where $\mu$ is the gravity darkening exponent, $c$ is the speed of light, $x = hc/k_B \lambda T_{eff}$, $\mathbf{R}_0$ is the position vector that is normal to both star’s spin vector and planet’s position vector, $\mu$ is the cosine of the angle between our line of sight and normal vector to the local stellar surface, and $u_1$ and $u_2$ are the quadratic limb-darkening coefficients, respectively. Note that $\mu$ and $\mu_0$ are both normalized by $\mu_0$ (i.e., $\mu_0$ is a unit vector) in Equation (4).

The vector $\delta \Gamma$ represents the deviation of the normalized gravity vector from the unperturbed gravity vector at $\mathbf{R}_s$, and $\delta \Gamma_0$ is that at $\mathbf{R}_0$ (see Jackson et al. 2012, for the expressions of these quantities). The observed flux for a given orbital phase is obtained by integrating Equation (4) over wavelength $\lambda$ (through Kepler’s band) and visible stellar hemisphere.

We employ the EVIL-MC model in the discussion below, but we slightly revise the model on the following three aspects.

1. To allow for a nonzero orbital eccentricity, we allow for the variable distance between the planet and star, and multiply $a = a/R$ here in Equations (6) and (8) in Jackson et al. (2012) by

$$\frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \cos f}.$$

2. In the EVIL-MC model, the emission/reflected light from the planet is modeled by a simple sinusoidal model and the total flux from the planet $F_p$ is expressed as a function $f$ as

$$F_p = F_0 - F_1 \cos(2\pi \phi),$$

where $F_0$ is the flux offset arising from the homogeneous surface emission and $F_1$ is the flux variation amplitude of planet’s emission/reflected light. Here we take into account the effect of nonzero eccentricity and introduce an empirical phase-delay of KOI-977.01’s flux maximum from the superior conjunction as stated in Section 4.1:

$$F_p = F_0 - F_1 \left( \frac{1 + e \cos f}{1 - e \sin \omega} \right)^2 \sin(f + \omega - 2\pi \Delta \phi),$$

where $\Delta \phi$ is the phase-shift of the brightest part of KOI-977.01. The fluxes $F_0$ and $F_1$ are normalized by KOI-977B’s flux at $\phi = 0.5$, and $F_p$ is added to KOI-977B’s flux obtained by integrating Equation (4).

3. We incorporate the light curve models during the primary transit and secondary eclipse phases; here we simply call the occultation of KOI-977B by KOI-977.01 “transit” ($\phi \sim 0.0$) and the occultation of KOI-977.01 by KOI-977B “secondary eclipse” ($\phi \sim 0.5$). The model for phase-curve variations (described above) is multiplied by the analytic transit/ellipse model by Ohta et al. (2009), which is also applicable to eclipsing binaries. Thus, the parameters in phase-curve variations and transit/ellipse (e.g., $a/R$, $e \cos \omega$, and $e \sin \omega$) are simultaneously determined in fitting the observed light curve.

In addition to the above revisions, we introduce a dilution factor $D$ for KOI-977, which is defined as the flux ratio of KOI-977A to KOI-977B in the absence of KOI-977.01. The precise dilution factor for the Kepler band is not known, but we here assume $D = 37$ based on the contrasts at 692 nm and 880 nm. This dilution factor is added to the modeled (normalized) phase-curve for KOI-977B. We later try different values of $D$ and see the difference in the derived parameters.

Binned LC data are useful in the sense that flux variations originating from the stellar pulsation and/or activity of KOI-977A is cancelled out and we can extract the light curve modulation synchronous with KOI-977.01’s orbital period. However, it is difficult with LC data alone to precisely estimate the transit model parameters (e.g., the mid-transit time $T_c$ and limb-darkening parameters) and discuss a possibility of TTVs. Thus, we also make use of the available SC data, for which 15 transits are simultaneously fitted. The SC data in the global modeling also improves the accuracy of the fit and helps to break the degeneracy between correlated system parameters (e.g., the semi-major axis scaled by KOI-977B’s radius $a/R_B$, orbital inclination $I_o$, and the mass ratio $q$).

As Figure 4 shows, the unfolded light curve of KOI-977 has a strong modulation due to KOI-977A’s pulsation. We thus decide to cut the light curve into 15 segments, each of which covers a transit of KOI-977.01 along with a baseline before and after the transit. We adopt the length of the baseline as $\sim 0.2$ days from the predicted transit center toward both sides of the transit. Each light curve segment is modeled as a polynomial function (describing the flux baseline), which is multiplied by the analytic transit model by Ohta et al. (2009). The parameters relevant to
The SC light curve are $a/R_B$, $I_0$, $u_1$, $u_2$, $R_{01}/R_B$, $e$, $\varpi$, $\Delta T^{(j)}_c$ (the small correction for the mid-transit time from Kepler’s official ephemeris ($T_{p0} = 2455094.434370$) for segment $j$ of the SC data), and coefficients of polynomials. The order of polynomials is discussed in the next subsection.

4.3. Fitting Light Curves

Here we fit the light curves reduced in Section 4.1. In order to estimate system parameters, we simultaneously model and fit LC and SC light curves. We employ the following procedure to minimize the $\chi^2$ statistics in Equations (8)–(10) suggest that the fit coefficients of polynomials for SC light curve segments are sometimes captured at a local minimum when we start the chain from an arbitrary set of initial guess for the coefficients. We thus employ the following procedure to minimize the $\chi^2$ statistics and estimate the uncertainties of the fitting parameters. First, we compute the initial guess for the coefficients of polynomials (15 $\times$ (n + 1) parameters) by analytically solving the normal equations using the out-of-transit data for each of the SC light curve segments. Then, allowing all the parameters to be free, we next minimize $\chi^2$ by Powell’s conjugate direction method (e.g., Press et al. 2002). Starting with those optimized parameters, we implement MCMC algorithm to estimate the posterior distribution and look for possible correlations between free parameters. The best-fit value and its uncertainty are estimated from the median, and 15.87 and 84.13 percentiles of the marginalized posterior distribution for each fitting parameter.

We try several values for the order of polynomials $n$. In order to avoid overfitting the baseline, we compute Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) for each $n$ by the following equation:

$$\text{BIC} = \chi^2_{\text{best}} + N_{\text{para}} \ln(N_{\text{data}}),$$

where $N_{\text{data}}$ is the number of data points. After fitting the LC and SC light curves, we obtain BIC values of 10537.40, 10499.04, and 10578.21 for $n = 4$, 5, and 6, respectively, with $N_{\text{data}}$ being 8706. Therefore, $n = 5$ seems to be the best case for the baseline model and we adopt the best-fit parameters for $n = 5$ as the final result summarized in the second column of Table 3. Figures 5 and 6 plot the phase-folded LC data, and all SC data around the fifteen transits, respectively, for $D = 37$ and $n = 5$. The best-fit models are shown in blue solid lines. In Figure 6, each transit of KOI-977.01 has a vertical offset of 0.0013 for clarity. Note that the $\chi^2$ statistics in Equations (8)–(10) suggest that the fit of the transit curve is almost dominated by the SC data sets, and LC data have a very minor contribution in determining the transit parameters. On the other hand, LC data are responsible for the other parameters like the mass ratio $q$ and emission/reflection of the secondary. Both fitting results in Figures 5 and 6 are compatible with one another (e.g., the transit depth), which justifies our treatment.

Assuming that KOI-977B is a Sun-like star (with $M_B \sim 1 M_\odot$ and $R_B \sim 1 R_\odot$), the mass and radius ratios in Table 3 indicate

$$b = \frac{a \cos I_o}{R_B} \left( \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e \sin \omega} \right).$$

Assuming that each SC light curve baseline is expressed by an $n$th-order polynomial, the number of fitting parameters is $N_{\text{para}} = 13 + 15 \times (n + 2)$. For simplicity, we assume KOI-977B’s mass to be $M_B = 1.0 M_\odot$. The stellar mass $M_B$ is only relevant to Doppler boosting through Equation (11), and thus has little impact on the estimate for system parameters in the present case.

Due to the large number of fitting parameters, we found that the convergence of the fit was slow in case that we simply implement a burn-in with MCMC algorithm. In addition, the coefficients of polynomials for SC light curve segments are sometimes captured at a local minimum when we start the chain from an arbitrary set of initial guess for the coefficients. We thus employ the following procedure to minimize the $\chi^2$ statistics and estimate the uncertainties of the fitting parameters. First, we compute the initial guess for the coefficients of polynomials (15 $\times$ (n + 1) parameters) by analytically solving the normal equations using the out-of-transit data for each of the SC light curve segments. Then, allowing all the parameters to be free, we next minimize $\chi^2$ by Powell’s conjugate direction method (e.g., Press et al. 2002). Starting with those optimized parameters, we implement MCMC algorithm to estimate the posterior distribution and look for possible correlations between free parameters. The best-fit value and its uncertainty are estimated from the median, and 15.87 and 84.13 percentiles of the marginalized posterior distribution for each fitting parameter.

We try several values for the order of polynomials $n$. In order to avoid overfitting the baseline, we compute Bayesian Information Criteria (BIC) for each $n$ by the following equation:

$$\text{BIC} = \chi^2_{\text{best}} + N_{\text{para}} \ln(N_{\text{data}}),$$

where $N_{\text{data}}$ is the number of data points. After fitting the LC and SC light curves, we obtain BIC values of 10537.40, 10499.04, and 10578.21 for $n = 4$, 5, and 6, respectively, with $N_{\text{data}}$ being 8706. Therefore, $n = 5$ seems to be the best case for the baseline model and we adopt the best-fit parameters for $n = 5$ as the final result summarized in the second column of Table 3. Figures 5 and 6 plot the phase-folded LC data, and all SC data around the fifteen transits, respectively, for $D = 37$ and $n = 5$. The best-fit models are shown in blue solid lines. In Figure 6, each transit of KOI-977.01 has a vertical offset of 0.0013 for clarity. Note that the $\chi^2$ statistics in Equations (8)–(10) suggest that the fit of the transit curve is almost dominated by the SC data sets, and LC data have a very minor contribution in determining the transit parameters. On the other hand, LC data are responsible for the other parameters like the mass ratio $q$ and emission/reflection of the secondary. Both fitting results in Figures 5 and 6 are compatible with one another (e.g., the transit depth), which justifies our treatment.

Assuming that KOI-977B is a Sun-like star (with $M_B \sim 1 M_\odot$ and $R_B \sim 1 R_\odot$), the mass and radius ratios in Table 3 indicate
that KOI-977.01 is an M dwarf rather than a planet or brown dwarf. The depth of secondary eclipse approximately gives the flux ratio between KOI-977.01 and KOI-977B, which is roughly estimated as $F_0 + F_1 \simeq 0.0033$ from Equation (7). This is consistent with a typical flux ratio between a solar-type star and an M dwarf in the Kepler band. The negative value of $F_0$ is ascribed to the large value of $F_1$ (to keep $F_0 + F_1$ a constant), which is likely caused by the large difference between the two flux peaks at $\phi \sim 0.25$ and $\phi \sim 0.75$. As we noted in Section 4.2, KOI-977.01 should be highly heated by KOI-977B due to its proximity and have an inhomogeneous intensity distribution on its surface, even if it is self-luminous. Therefore, whether or not tidally locked, KOI-977.01 can have a flux variation as a function of $\phi$. Our model for this variation is a simple sinusoidal model with small corrections for the orbital eccentricity and phase shift, which may be responsible for the unphysical value for

---

**Table 3**

System Parameters for KOI-977B and KOI-977.01

| Parameter | Value ($D = 37$) | Value ($D = 30$) | Value ($D = 20$) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| $a/R_B$   | $3.203_{-0.015}^{+0.014}$ | $3.169_{-0.023}^{+0.019}$ | $2.921_{-0.027}^{+0.018}$ |
| $b$       | $<5.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | $0.076_{-0.037}^{+0.047}$ | $0.425_{-0.033}^{+0.024}$ |
| $u_1 + u_2$ | $0.210_{-0.088}^{+0.073}$ | $0.394_{-0.087}^{+0.077}$ | $0.357_{-0.069}^{+0.066}$ |
| $u_1 - u_2$ | $0.520_{-0.195}^{+0.213}$ | $0.166_{-0.201}^{+0.205}$ | $0.286_{-0.216}^{+0.219}$ |
| $q$       | $0.279_{-0.011}^{+0.011}$ | $0.290_{-0.012}^{+0.011}$ | $0.292_{-0.012}^{+0.012}$ |
| $F_0$     | $-0.00104_{-0.00031}^{+0.00031}$ | $-0.00076_{-0.00035}^{+0.00030}$ | $-0.00038_{-0.00019}^{+0.00014}$ |
| $F_1$     | $0.00433_{-0.00013}^{+0.00013}$ | $0.00348_{-0.00011}^{+0.00011}$ | $0.00222_{-0.00007}^{+0.00007}$ |
| $\Delta \phi$ | $0.150_{-0.060}^{+0.055}$ | $0.150_{-0.070}^{+0.069}$ | $0.152_{-0.067}^{+0.066}$ |
| $R_{01}/R_B$ | $0.17394_{-0.00079}^{+0.00076}$ | $0.15526_{-0.00083}^{+0.00090}$ | $0.12926_{-0.00075}^{+0.00070}$ |
| $e \cos \omega$ | $-0.0110_{-0.0031}^{+0.0031}$ | $-0.0111_{-0.0034}^{+0.0034}$ | $-0.0109_{-0.0036}^{+0.0036}$ |
| $e \sin \omega$ | $0.0071_{-0.0039}^{+0.0042}$ | $0.0035_{-0.0049}^{+0.0049}$ | $0.0035_{-0.0048}^{+0.0048}$ |
| $\Delta T^{(LC)}_e$ (day) | $0.00088_{-0.00022}^{+0.00023}$ | $0.00093_{-0.00025}^{+0.00025}$ | $0.00090_{-0.00026}^{+0.00026}$ |

**Figure 5.** Phase-folded LC light curve (red points with errorbars) along with the best-fit model (blue line) for $D = 37$.

**Figure 6.** SC data around the transits in Q8 (black dots) along with the best-fit transit model for $D = 37$. The baseline function (fifth-order polynomial) is subtracted from the original light curve.
A precise modeling of the scattered light and global map of surface intensity of close-in binaries would settle this issue.

Our best-fit model for $D = 37$ indicates a vanishingly small impact parameter $b$. This is because the SC transit data imply a relatively short duration of ingress and egress, and transit light curves are more U-shaped rather than V-shaped which is frequently seen for eclipsing binaries. Figure 6 suggests that the observed duration of ingress or egress is only $\sim 1/6$ of the duration of full transit, which is nearly equal to the radius ratio $R_{977B}/R_9$ constrained by the transit depth. This fact suggests that the dilution factor of $D = 37$ is almost the upper limit to account for the observed transit light curve; $D$ exceeding $\sim 40$ would result in a larger $R_{977B}/R_9$ from the transit depth, and lead to a more V-shaped transit light curve.

Since the dilution factor $D$ is highly uncertain for the Kepler band, we also try different values and estimate best-fit parameters for each case. Our visual inspection of KOI-977’s spectrum (without the I$_2$ cell) suggests that the spectroscopic contamination should not be so considerable ($D \gtrsim 20$), so that we decide to try the cases of $D = 30$ and 20. The fitting results for $D = 30$ and 20 are also summarized in the third and fourth columns in Table 3. As expected, both mass and radius ratios for smaller $D$ take smaller values, but still reside in the mass regime of late-type stars. According to the best $\chi^2$ values in Table 3, along with the reasonable values for $b$, the models with smaller $D$ are favored than the case of $D = 37$. A future deep direct imaging in different bands will allow a precise determination of $D$.

5. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

In this paper, we have performed a global analysis for KOI-977 using spectroscopy, asteroseismology, and phase-curve modeling with Kepler light curve data. Both spectroscopic and asteroseismic analyses indicate that KOI-977 is a giant with radius larger than $\sim 20 R_\odot$. Hence, the orbital period of KOI-977.01 implies that it is not orbiting the most luminous giant (KOI-977A), but likely a companion to another star (KOI-977B) eclipsing each other. The light curve folded with KOI-977.01’s period shows a clear modulation due to ellipsoidal variation. Assuming different values of the flux ratio between KOI-977A and 977B (the dilution factor $D$), we modeled the Kepler light curves and extracted system parameters such as the mass and radius ratios. For $D \gtrsim 20$, our fit to SC and folded LC light curves indicates that the mass and radius of KOI-977.01 are those of an M dwarf assuming that KOI-977B is a Sun-like star. The orbital eccentricity of KOI-977.01 is estimated to be very small ($e < 0.017$), which suggests a damping of $e$ by tidal interaction.

In the light curve analysis in Section 4.3, we allowed the 15 mid-transit times in the SC data to vary and searched for a possible TTV signal. Figure 7 shows the resulting $\Delta T_c$ as a function of the predicted transit center $T_c$ from Kepler’s official ephemeris. The dashed horizontal line indicates the best-fit $\Delta T_c^{(LC)}$ for the folded LC light curve. The SC and LC data are all consistent and no significant TTV is visible, suggesting that there is no nearby circumbinary companion around KOI-977B and KOI-977.01.

So far, we have not assumed that the eclipsing binary (KOI-977B and KOI-977.01) is bound to the pulsating giant KOI-977A: KOI-977A could comprise a triple system, or a foreground/background source aligned along the line of sight by chance. When we assume that KOI-977 is actually a triple system, and that KOI-977B and KOI-977.01 are both normal stars sharing the same age and metallicity as those for KOI-977A, we can put a further constraint on the stellar parameters of the eclipsing binary through an isochrone model. Since an isochrone for an arbitrary age (e.g., 3.25 Gyr) gives a relation between the stellar mass and radius, we can estimate the mass and radius for each star in the eclipsing binary from the observed mass and radius ratios. We define the following $\chi^2$ statistics as a function of stellar masses:

$$\chi^2(M_B, M_{01}) = \frac{(q_{\text{obs}} - M_{01}/M_B)^2}{\sigma_q^2} + \frac{((R_{01}/R_B)_{\text{obs}} - R_{01}/R_B)^2}{\sigma_{R_0/R_B}^2},$$

where $q_{\text{obs}}$ and $(R_{01}/R_B)_{\text{obs}}$ are the observed mass and radius ratios in Table 3, and $\sigma_q$ and $\sigma_{R_0/R_B}$ are their errors, respectively. The radius $R_B$ ($R_{01}$) is a function of $M_B$ ($M_{01}$) via isochrone.

We here adopt the Dartmouth isochrone model (Dotter et al. 2008) since this model also gives the absolute magnitude in the Kepler band ($M_{Kp}$) for an arbitrary stellar mass, so that we can directly compare the contrast between KOI-977A and 977B. Allowing $M_B$ and $M_{01}$ to vary, we minimized Equation (14) and estimated the stellar parameters of KOI-977B and KOI-977.01 for each case of $D$. For simplicity, we fix the metallicity and age at $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.07$ and 3.25 Gyr, respectively, and use the corresponding single isochrone when we convert the mass into radius. Table 4 summarizes the result of our fit. For all cases of $D$, our fit indicates that KOI-977B and KOI-977.01 are late-F and early-M dwarfs, respectively. Figure 8 plots the Dartmouth isochrone (black line) on the HR diagram, for $[\text{Fe/H}] = 0.07$ and age = 3.25 Gyr. The locations of KOI-977A, 977B, and 977.01 are plotted by the triangle, circles, and squares, respectively. Different colors for KOI-977B and 977.01 mean different $D$, with red, blue, and green corresponding to $D = 37$, 30, and 20, respectively.

The Kepler absolute magnitude for the best-fit model of KOI-977A is $M_{Kp} \approx -0.55$, while those for KOI-977B and 977.01 are listed in Table 4. The differences in $M_{Kp}$ for KOI-977A and 977B are translated into the dilution factors of $D_{\text{isochrone}} \approx 19, 24,$ and 71 for the assumed $D$ of 37, 30, and 20, respectively. Comparing these values, we speculate that the true dilution factor $D$ should be located between 20
and 30 (closer to 30). It is possible to fit the light curve for various D and iteratively estimate the stellar parameters of KOI-977B and 977.01 through the isochrone, or more directly to perform a more global analysis with D being free. However, the calculation above is based on a rather strong assumption that KOI-977A and the eclipsing binary are bound to each other composing a triple system, so that we do not try such an analysis here. The confirmation of the companion and its common proper motion by future direct imaging is important in order to apply the above discussion. We will also be able to discuss the physical association between KOI-977A and 977B by measuring the absolute RV of KOI-977B with a near infrared spectroscopy using adaptive optics, and comparing the value with KOI-977A’s absolute RV.

One remaining issue on the above scenario is that the phase-folded light curve shows an asymmetric flux maximum that cannot be explained by Doppler boosting. Our empirical treatment adopting a phase-shift in the emission/reflection of the secondary resulted in a westward phase-shift of Δφ ∼ 0.15, which is acceptable for a close-in giant planet (or brown dwarf) with an inhomogeneous cloud coverage on the surface (Esteves et al. 2014). However, this is only the case when the surface is relatively cool (Teq ≲ 2500 K) so that the surface cloud could be generated. Our analysis for the case that KOI-977 is a triple system indicates that KOI-977.01 is an early M star with Teff ≳ 3000 K, which is too hot to form a surface cloud. In addition, the magnitude of phase-shift (∼0.15) is too large for a stellar secondary.

In order to gain more insight into the asymmetric observed flux, we phase-fold the observed light curves with only five consecutive quarters instead of using all of the data. Figure 9 shows a comparison among the folded light curves for different epochs. The red circles, green triangles, and blue squares represent the folded (and binned) fluxes for Q1–Q5, Q6–Q10, and Q11–Q15, respectively. This figure suggests that even if we fold the light curve of a relatively long span (>400 days), the folded flux can vary significantly; while folded light curves for Q1–Q5 and Q6–Q10 exhibit the same feature (higher flux values at φ ∼ −0.25) as in Figure 5, the one for Q11–Q15 looks very different and the flux peaks at φ ∼ −0.25 and ∼0.25 have approximately the same height. This variation of folded fluxes suggests that the impact of stellar pulsation may not be completely canceled out by phase-folding with a timescale of a few years. This seems likely especially since the amplitude of KOI-977A’s pulsation is ∼5 × 10^{-3} (see Figure 4), which is 10 times greater than the amplitude of KOI-977B’s ellipsoidal variation. Therefore, we suspect that the higher peak at φ ∼ 0.75 in Figure 5 is not astrophysical, arising from an imperfect removal of stellar pulsation.

If the higher peak at φ ∼ −0.25 is indeed an artifact (i.e., unphysical), the second term in the right-hand side of Equation (7) should be interpreted as an empirical correction term (by a sinusoidal fit) for the residual of KOI-977A’s pulsation. A longer-term monitoring would uncover the origin of the apparent flux asymmetry in the observed data. It should be emphasized that the systematic errors arising from this artifact (e.g., in q) is much smaller than the uncertainty by the imperfect knowledge on D (Table 3), and our conclusion that the eclipsing binary is likely comprised of F and M dwarfs is not affected by the observed apparent asymmetry in the light curve.

Our analysis has clarified that KOI-977.01 is very likely a stellar secondary. This seems likely especially since the amplitude of KOI-977A’s pulsation is ∼5 × 10^{-3} (see Figure 4), which is 10 times greater than the amplitude of KOI-977B’s ellipsoidal variation. Therefore, we suspect that the higher peak at φ ∼ 0.75 in Figure 5 is not astrophysical, arising from an imperfect removal of stellar pulsation.

If the higher peak at φ ∼ −0.25 is indeed an artifact (i.e., unphysical), the second term in the right-hand side of Equation (7) should be interpreted as an empirical correction term (by a sinusoidal fit) for the residual of KOI-977A’s pulsation. A longer-term monitoring would uncover the origin of the apparent flux asymmetry in the observed data. It should be emphasized that the systematic errors arising from this artifact (e.g., in q) is much smaller than the uncertainty by the imperfect knowledge on D (Table 3), and our conclusion that the eclipsing binary is likely comprised of F and M dwarfs is not affected by the observed apparent asymmetry in the light curve.
information from spectroscopy, asteroseismology, and light curve analysis (transit, ellipsoidal variation, etc.), was initially developed for a planet confirmation and its characterization. In fitting ellipsoidal variations, parameters such as \( a/R, I_0, \) and \( q \) often have a degeneracy, and different sets of the parameters yield similar light curves (see, e.g., Equation (2) in Shporer et al. 2011). This degeneracy could be resolved by combining the phase-curve modeling with RV measurements and/or transit fits (for transiting systems). Basic stellar parameters estimated by asteroseismology and/or spectroscopy would further help to characterize the systems (e.g., ages and stellar obliquities). We hope to present somewhere else a new report of planet discoveries by the global analysis developed here.
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