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Abstract As the largest virialized structures in the universe, galaxy clusters continue to grow and accrete matter from the cosmic web. Due to the low gas density in the outskirts of clusters, measurements are very challenging, requiring extremely sensitive telescopes across the entire electromagnetic spectrum. Observations using X-rays, the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect, and weak lensing and galaxy distributions from the optical band, have over the last decade helped to unravel this exciting new frontier of cluster astrophysics, where the infall and virialization of matter takes place. Here, we review the current state of the art in our observational and theoretical understanding of cluster outskirts, and discuss future prospects for exploration using newly planned and proposed observatories.
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1 What are the physical processes unique to cluster outskirts?

In recent years, the exploration of galaxy cluster outskirts has emerged as one of the new frontiers for studying cluster astrophysics and cosmology. It is a new territory for understanding the physics of intracluster medium (ICM) and intergalactic medium (IGM), and it is particularly important for interpretation of recent X-ray and SZ observations and cluster mass estimates based on these observations. In the hierarchical structure formation model, galaxy clusters grow and evolve through a series of mergers and accretion from the surrounding large-scale structure in their outer envelope. Hydrodynamical cosmological simulations predict that accretion and mergers are ubiquitous and important for cluster formation. The accretion physics leaves distinctive marks especially in the outer envelopes of galaxy clusters, giving rise to caustics in the dark matter (DM) density profile, an inhomogeneous gas density distribution, internal bulk and turbulent gas motions, and non-equilibrium electrons in the ICM. We describe all these effects in detail in the subsections that follow.

1.1 Splashback and Shock Radii

Recent works have shown that accreting DM forms the so-called “splashback radius” (Diemer and Kravtsov [2014]), which defines a natural boundary for a DM halo. The splashback radius ($R_{sp}$) arises from the recently accreted DM particles piling up at the apocenter of the halo as they re-emerge from the halo center after first infall (Adhikari et al. [2014]). This process creates a caustic (see the dashed curves on the left panels of Fig. 1) that can be identified as a sharp drop in the DM density profiles and is reflected also in the phase space structure of the DM (Mansfield et al. [2017] Diemer et al. [2017]). Since the splashback process is governed by the infall velocity of DM particles and the gravitational potential of the halo, the splashback radius also depends on the mass accretion rate (MAR) of the DM halo (More et al. [2015]), as shown in the right panel of Fig. 1.
Fig. 1  **Left panels:** Gas entropy, DM density, gas temperature and gas pressure maps of the simulated cluster extracted from the non-radiative Omega500 hydrodynamical cosmological simulation. The inner dashed lines indicate the splashback shell computed using the method from Mansfield et al. (2017), whereas the outer white lines indicate the shock shell found by the discontinuous jump in entropy as well as pressure. Note that the accretion shocks are located outside of the splashback radius. **Right panels:** The splashback radius \( R_{sp} \) (red points) and the accretion shock radius \( R_{sh} \) (blue points), normalized by the halo radius \( R_{200m} \), plotted as a function of the MAR of the cluster-size halos, \( \Gamma_{200m} \). The solid line is the \( R_{sp} - \Gamma \) relation from DM-only cosmological simulations (Mansfield et al. 2017). The dashed line indicates the fitted relation of \( R_{sh}/R_{sp} \) measured in the Omega500 simulation. Figures taken from Aung et al. in preparation and reprinted with permission.

The dynamics of accreting gas, on the other hand, is distinct from that of collisionless DM, because the collisional gas is shock heated during its first infall (Aung et al. in prep.). The accretion shock (with radius \( R_{sh} \)) thus defines the spatial extent of the hot gas in DM halos (see the solid curves on the left panels in Fig. 1) and plays an important role in a variety of cluster science, such as modeling of the ICM thermodynamics for X-ray and microwave surveys. This accretion shock is referred to as an “external shock” in the previous studies of shocks in galaxy clusters (e.g. Ryu et al. 2003; Molnar et al. 2009). The external accretion shock is due to the infall of low density gas from the void regions onto the cluster potential, and is different from “internal shocks” due to mergers and filamentary accretion. It is the external accretion shock that forms the outer boundary of the gas halo. Because the accretion shock directly relates to the infall process, it also serves as an important probe for mass assembly of the cluster gas.

In practice, the mass of the cluster is usually quoted as \( M_\Delta \equiv (4\pi/3)\Delta\rho_{crit}(z)r_\Delta^3 \), where \( \rho_{crit}(z) \) is the critical density of the universe at the redshift of the cluster, and \( r_\Delta \) is the radius within which the mean enclosed density is \( \Delta \) times higher than \( \rho_{crit}(z) \). \( \Delta = 500 \) roughly corresponds to the radius out to which the current Chandra and XMM-Newton cluster observations can reliably measure gas density and temperature profiles of the ICM. As such, any regions...
between $R_{500c}$ and the shock radius $R_{sh}$ are considered the outskirts of galaxy clusters, where the ratios of various radius definitions are approximately given by $R_{500c} : R_{200c} : R_{200m} : R_{sp} : R_{sh} = 1 : 1.4 : 3 : 4 : 6$. Perhaps the most common reference radius in cluster outskirts studies is $R_{200c}$, often denoted more simply as $r_{200}$ and referred to as the virial radius. When scaling by the mean mass density of the universe rather than the critical density of the universe, the subscript letter changes from $c$ to $m$. In this review, when it is not explicitly specified, the radii and masses are scaled by the critical density of the universe.

Fig. 2 Radial ICM profiles at $z = 0$ from the nIFTy radiative simulation cluster comparison project, showing gas density (top-left), entropy (top-right), temperature (bottom-left), and gas fraction (bottom-right). The top panel shows the difference between each simulation and the reference G3-MUSIC simulation. The dashed line indicates to $R_{2500c}$ and the dotted line to $R_{500c}$ for the reference G3-MUSIC values. Figures taken from Sembolini et al. (2016), reprinted with permission.
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1.2 Radial Profiles of the Thermodynamical Properties in the ICM

Figure 2 shows the radial ICM profiles of a $M_{200c} = 1.1 \times 10^{15} h^{-1} M_\odot$ cluster at $z = 0$ from the nIFTy radiative simulation cluster comparison project (Sembolini et al. 2016). Starting from the same initial condition, these simulations were performed using 10 different codes (RAMSES, 2 incarnations of AREPO and 7 of GADGET) and modeling hydrodynamics with full subgrid physics of galaxy formation, including radiative cooling, star formation and thermal active galactic nucleus (AGN) feedback. This demonstrates that, while there are still significant variations in the simulated ICM profiles in the inner regions ($r < R_{2500c}$), the prediction of the ICM profiles in the outskirts of clusters ($r > R_{500c}$) is remarkably robust to the numerical schemes as well as the still uncertain cluster core physics.

Figure 3 shows the evolution of the ICM profiles in the Omega500 adiabatic cosmological simulation performed by using the ART code (Lau et al. 2015). This figure illustrates that the inner ICM profiles are self-similar when the profiles are normalized with respect to the critical density of the universe, while the ICM profiles in the outskirts are self-similar when they are normalized with respect to the mean mass density of the universe. This trend arises from the fact that the inner regions have formed early when the universe was still in matter dominated ($\Omega_M \approx 1$), while the cluster outskirts have accreted materials recently and their mass accretion rate is affected by the repulsive force of dark energy.
1.3 Infalling substructures and density inhomogeneities

In X-ray analyses of galaxy clusters, it is commonly assumed that the ICM is a single phase medium characterized by one temperature and gas density within each radial bin. If this approximation holds, then the thermodynamical properties of the ICM inferred from X-ray studies should follow closely the radial trends predicted by the numerical simulations shown in the previous section. However, if the ICM is clumpy, the gas density inferred from the X-ray surface brightness is overestimated by \( \sqrt{C(r)} \), with

\[
C \equiv \left( \frac{\langle \rho_{\text{gas}}^2 \rangle}{\langle \rho_{\text{gas}} \rangle^2} \right)^{1/3}
\]

Although the bias in the inferred ICM mass is moderate (\(< 10\%\)) in the high-pressure regions in the interior of galaxy clusters (Mathiesen et al. 1999), gas clumping can become significant in the outskirts \((r > r_{200})\) and could serve as a major source of systematic bias in X-ray measurements of ICM profiles (Nagai and Lau 2011; Roncarelli et al. 2013). Fig. 4 shows that the clumping factor of the X-ray emitting gas \((T > 10^6 \text{ K})\) expected from numerical simulations is \(C \sim 1.3\) at \(r = r_{200}\), and it increases with radius, reaching \(C \sim 5\) at \(r = 2r_{200}\). From the definition of entropy \(S \equiv T_e/n_e^{2/3}\), the overestimate of gas density due to clumping causes an underestimate of the observed entropy profile by \(C(r)^{1/3}\) if the clumps have the same temperature as the ambient medium.

One might expect the survivability of the gas clumps to thermal conduction and hydrodynamic instabilities during the infall to depend on details of the
ICM microphysics – therefore, in addition to being a source of bias that must be addressed and quantified, the level of gas clumping can ultimately hold important clues about the physics of large-scale structure growth.

1.4 Bulk motions, turbulence and deviations from hydrostatic equilibrium

Merging and accretion events generate a significant level of bulk and turbulent gas motions within the ICM and affect its thermodynamic structure (see the left panel of Figure 5). Hydrodynamical simulations predict that the non-thermal pressure provided by these gas motions increases from $\approx 10\%$ at $r = R_{500c}$ to $\approx 30\%$ at $r = 2R_{500c}$ (Lau et al. 2009; Vazza et al. 2009; Battaglia et al. 2012) on average. The non-thermal pressure fraction also depends on the mass accretion rate (Nelson et al. 2014; Shi et al. 2015) which gives rise to a scatter for cluster-size halos with any given mass (see the right panel of Fig. 5).

Understanding the non-thermal pressure in galaxy clusters is especially important because X-ray and SZ observations typically measure only the thermal pressure of the gas. The non-thermal pressure, if neglected, introduces biases in our physical understanding of the ICM profiles as well as the hydrostatic mass estimation. The increasing kinetic energy fraction at larger radii in particular can lead to suppressed temperatures and flatter entropy profiles in cluster outskirts. Gas motions also contribute to the support against gravity which leads
Fig. 6 Left panels: Sliced maps of $T_e/T_{\text{gas}}$ for the most relaxed and least massive cluster from the Omega500 non-radiative simulation. The colorbar indicates $T_e/T_{\text{gas}}$. The dimension for each panel is $15.6 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc} \times 15.6 \, h^{-1} \, \text{kpc}$, with depth of $7.6 \, h^{-1} \, \text{kpc}$. The circle in dashed line shows $R_{200m}$ of the cluster. Between $1.0 < R/R_{200m} < 1.5$, $T_e/T_{\text{gas}}$ is close to unity in the filamentary gas with high momentum flux entering the cluster well, but is significantly less than unity in the diffuse gas at the same cluster-centric radii. Right panels: Black lines show the average profiles of the mass-weighted temperature bias binned by mass for a sample of 65 simulated clusters at $z = 0$ and their mainline progenitor data at $z = 0.5$, 1, and 1.5 prior to binning the profiles by mass. The number of clusters in each mass bin denoted in parentheses in the legend label. Red lines show the fitting function with input masses that correspond to the average mass in each bin. Figures taken from Avestruz et al. (2015), reprinted with permission.

to biases in cluster mass estimates based on the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium (HSE) (Rasia et al. 2006; Nagai et al. 2007; Nelson et al. 2012, 2014a; Shi et al. 2016). The HSE mass bias remains one of the primary sources of systematic uncertainties in the calibration of cluster observable-mass relations and cosmological parameters derived from clusters (Allen et al. 2008; Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration et al. 2013a).

1.5 Electron-Ion Equilibration in Cluster Outskirts

X-ray and SZ measurements of the hot gas in galaxy clusters are often interpreted under a number of simplifying assumptions on the poorly understood physics of the ICM. One such assumption is that the electrons are in thermal equilibrium with the surrounding ions; i.e., $T_e = T_i$. However, this assumption is untenable in the low-density outskirts of galaxy clusters (see Fig. 6) due to the extended timescale for electrons to reach equilibrium via Coulomb collisions (Avestruz et al. 2015 and references therein). When an electron-ion plasma passes through a shock, most of the kinetic energy goes into heating the heavier ions, causing $T_i \gg T_e$. After the shock, electrons and ions slowly equilibrate via Coulomb interactions, each converging to the mean gas temperature, $T_{\text{gas}} = (n_e T_e + n_i T_i)/(n_e + n_i)$, over a typical electron-ion equilibration
timescale, $t_{ei}$. The evolution of the electron temperature is given by,

$$\frac{dT_e}{dt} = \frac{T_i - T_e}{t_{ei}} - (\gamma - 1) T_e \left( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right),$$

(2)

where the second term accounts for adiabatic compression heating and cooling. For the fully ionized ICM, including contributions from both protons and $\text{He}^{++}$, the timescale for equilibration is given by

$$t_{ei} \approx 6.3 \times 10^8 \text{ yr} \left(\frac{T_e}{10^7 \text{K}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{n_i}{10^{-5} \text{cm}^{-3}}\right) \left(\ln \Lambda / 40\right),$$

(3)

[Spitzer 1962]. In the outskirts of galaxy clusters, the collision rate of electrons and protons becomes longer than the age of the universe. The resulting deviation between electron and ion temperatures is larger in more massive and less relaxed systems, ranging from 5% in relaxed clusters to 30% for clusters undergoing major mergers (Fig. 6, right panel). The presence of non-equilibrium electrons leads to a significant suppression of the SZ effect signal at large cluster-centric radius. The suppression of the electron pressure also leads to an underestimate of the hydrostatic mass. Merger-driven, internal shocks may also generate significant populations of non-equilibrium electrons in the cluster core, leading to a 5% bias on the integrated SZ mass proxy during cluster mergers [Rudd and Nagai 2009]. Note, however, that the Spitzer timescale adopted in these simulations is an upper limit of the true equilibration time, as there are other physical mechanisms such as plasma instabilities that can couple the temperatures of the different ion species. For example, since the gyroradii are considerably smaller than the mean free path, it is possible that the electrons and ions equilibrate near-instantaneously via interactions mediated by the magnetic field. Future studies of the difference between the electron and ion temperatures will shed new insights into the microphysics of how the gas is heated in galaxy clusters.

2 What tools do we have for investigating cluster outskirts physics?

2.1 Weak lensing and galaxy distribution

The weak gravitational lensing (WL) effect leads to small distortions of the apparent shapes of background galaxies located behind a massive object (e.g., Bartelmann and Schneider 2001). WL analysis, which utilizes these coherent distortions in a statistical way, is a powerful technique to reconstruct the mass distribution from the central cluster region all the way to the cluster outskirts, without invoking assumptions about the dynamical state. Notably, the advent of wide-field cameras installed on large ground-based telescopes (e.g., Subaru/Suprime-cam, Subaru/HSC, and Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope) enables us to measure the cluster mass distribution beyond $R_{500c}$. Since cluster outskirts are significantly affected by mass accretion flows, WL analysis
provides us with an important direct probe of the mass distribution of galaxy clusters and their surrounding environments. Recently, Sereno et al. (2018) showed that the lensing signal can be detected out to a remarkable distance of \( \sim 30 \) Mpc around a massive SZ-selected cluster halo, with a measured shear that is very large and marginally consistent with \( \Lambda \) CDM predictions.

Along with a tremendous improvement of the observing instruments, wide field surveys, such as Hyper Suprime-Cam Subaru Strategic Program (HSC-SSP; Aihara et al. 2018), Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey (CFHTLS; Shan et al. 2012), the Dark Energy Survey (DES; Dark Energy Survey Collaboration et al. 2016), and Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Eisenstein et al. 2011) can and will compile a considerable number of galaxy clusters and measure an average mass profile stacked over the sample, with little statistical uncertainty.

Due to their relatively collisionless nature, member galaxies are also expected to trace dark matter (e.g. Okabe and Umetsu 2008), providing an excellent proxy for the mass distribution even when WL measurements are difficult. The complementary techniques of galaxy and WL mass distributions provide us with a unique opportunity to map cluster outskirts, especially in a radial range of \( R_{500c} \lesssim r \lesssim R_{200m} \).

Since it is challenging to detect diffuse gas beyond \( r_{200} \) through X-ray and SZ measurements, WL and galaxy distributions are a compelling route for understanding the physics of cluster outskirts around \( R_{200m} \), roughly corresponding to the predictions of the splashback radius (e.g. Oguri and Hamana 2011; Diemer and Kravtsov 2014; Adhikari et al. 2014; More et al. 2015).

2.2 X-ray Observations

The hot, tenuous ICM is generally well described as a collisionally ionized, optically thin plasma. Particularly in the low-density regions located at the cluster outskirts, optical depth effects are expected to be negligible, enabling a straightforward interpretation of X-ray cluster spectra. Three fundamental processes contribute to this X-ray emission. At the high temperatures (>2 keV) typical for the plasma found in massive galaxy clusters, the dominant of these is thermal bremsstrahlung (free-free emission); additional contributions to the spectrum arise from recombination radiation caused by the capture of an electron by an ion following ionization (free-bound emission), and from deexcitation radiation of an electron changing the quantum level in an ion (bound-bound emission). Both the shape of the bremsstrahlung continuum and ratios between the strengths of various emission lines can be used as a diagnostic for the plasma temperature. It is important to note that all the radiative processes listed above depend on the encounter between an electron and an ion, implying that the X-ray emissivity is always proportional to the square of the plasma density. The strength of the X-ray signal therefore decreases rapidly as the density drops from \( \sim 10^{-1} \) cm\(^{-3}\) in the cluster cores to \( \sim 10^{-5} \) cm\(^{-3}\) near the virial radii.
Hence, a detailed understanding of the instrumental and cosmic backgrounds, and their associated systematic uncertainties, is of utmost importance for enabling robust measurements of the properties of the X-ray faint cluster outskirts. X-ray satellites located in a low-Earth orbit (LEO) are at an advantage for these studies, because the Earth’s magnetic field shields the detectors onboard, resulting in a reduced and more stable particle background. Among the X-ray telescopes in LEO, the work horses of cluster outskirts studies to date have been the Röntgensatellit (ROSAT), launched in 1990 and led by the German Aerospace Center, and Suzaku, launched in 2005 by the Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency. While the limited energy band and spectral resolution of ROSAT only allow robust measurements of the emissivity and gas density (e.g. Neumann and Arnaud 1999; Ettori and Fabian 1999), Suzaku has provided the first X-ray spectroscopic constraints on the gas temperature and metallicity near the virial radii of galaxy clusters (Reiprich et al. 2009; George et al. 2009; Bautz et al. 2009; Fujita et al. 2008; Simionescu et al. 2011). In terms of the cosmic foregrounds and backgrounds, X-ray spectroscopy with Suzaku has largely benefited from complementary data sets obtained from the ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS), which played a crucial role in constraining the soft X-ray foreground from the Galactic halo, and from Chandra, whose exquisite spatial resolution allowed for the identification and removal of distant point sources contaminating the cluster outskirts signal.

The exciting physics near galaxy clusters’ virial radii initially hinted at by early Suzaku observations sparked a new effort to improve the background modeling of other telescopes not located in LEO; despite their highly elliptical orbit and more variable particle background, Chandra and XMM-Newton also succeeded in placing spectroscopic constraints on the properties of the ICM in the outskirts of some systems (Urban et al. 2011a; Bonamente et al. 2013a). In addition to spectroscopy, deep, high-resolution imaging with Chandra has provided complementary information on the structure of the material being accreted onto clusters of galaxies. Likewise, the magnitude of surface brightness fluctuations in ROSAT and XMM-Newton images have provided constraints on the surviving clumps of gas falling into the ICM from the surrounding large-scale structure.

2.3 Cluster Outskirts via the Thermal Sunyaev-Zel’dovich Effect

Due to its linear dependence on thermal electron pressure, the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect (Sunyaev and Zel’dovich 1972, SZ effect) is a promising tool for probing the cluster outskirts. The surface brightness of the thermal SZ effect is proportional to the Compton parameter, where $y = \sigma_T/m_e c^2 \int P_e(\ell) d\ell$ (see e.g. Carlstrom et al. 2002 and the SZ review in these proceedings). Stacked and ensemble-averaged results using e.g. the Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT), Bolocam, the Planck satellite probe of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), and the South Pole Telescope (SPT) have been used to place constraints on the outer slopes of cluster pressure profiles (Planck Collaboration).
Fig. 7 Left panel: Model Compton $y$ profile of a $3 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ cluster at $z = 0.5$ assuming an Arnaud et al. (2010) pressure profile. The black dashed line marks $R_{500}$, and the red dashed line marks $6 \times R_{500}$. Right panel: Planck measured Compton $y$ profile for Abell 2319, from Ghirardini et al. (2018b) reprinted with permission. The dotted and dashed vertical lines show the location of $R_{500}$ and $2 \times R_{500}$ respectively. Note that this cluster is more massive than the toy model shown in the left panel, which explains the higher Compton $y$ value at $R_{500}$.
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brightness and the thermal pressure $P_{SZ}$ can be directly measured from the SZ effect, the two quantities can be directly combined through the ideal gas equation to recover the gas temperature $kT = P_{SZ}/n_X$ and the entropy $K = P_{SZ}n_X^{-5/3}$. The X-ray/SZ method has the advantage of bypassing the use of spectroscopic X-ray information, which requires high signal-to-noise data and is prone to potential systematics in the background-dominated regime of cluster outskirts. Conversely, the joint X-ray/SZ technique only requires a detection of the X-ray signal in a soft energy band where the sensitivity of current X-ray instruments peaks, thus allowing our measurements to extend to larger radii. The combination of X-ray and SZ data thus represents a promising avenue towards accurate measurements of the cluster properties out to the virial radius. This technique was pioneered by [Eckert et al. (2013a, b)] using a sample of 18 clusters with available ROSAT/PSPC and Planck SZ data.

The XMM-Newton Cluster Outskirts Project (X-COP, Eckert et al. 2017) applies a similar technique on a sample of nearby clusters with high signal-to-noise ratio in the Planck survey. The project provides deep XMM-Newton mapping for 13 massive clusters covering almost uniformly the region extending out to $2R_{500} \approx R_{1000}$. After the pilot studies targeting A2142 (Tchernin et al. 2016) and A2319 (Ghirardini et al. 2018) that demonstrated the potential of XMM-Newton and Planck to reconstruct jointly the thermodynamic properties with high precision all the way out to $R_{1000}$, Ghirardini et al. (2018a) presented the final results on the profiles of the most relevant thermodynamic quantities (gas density, temperature, pressure and entropy) recovered over two decades in radius, assessing the level of their "universality" (once rescaled only for the mass within a given overdensity) and the amount of their intrinsic scatter. The combination of these X-ray/SZ based profiles allowed also to constrain the hydrostatic mass with a relative (statistical) median error of 3% at $R_{500}$ and 6% at $R_{200}$ (Ettori et al. 2018).

2.4.2 X-ray+WL

As discussed in Section 1.4, the fraction of non-thermal pressure due to gas motions compared to the thermal pressure is expected to increase significantly towards the cluster outskirts, introducing uncertainties in the mass determination when using X-ray data alone and relying on the assumption of HSE. In addition, the possible presence of gas clumping can affect the X-ray gas density and consequently also lead to biases in the mass and overdensity radius.

On the other hand, gravitational lensing studies are complementary to X-ray measurements, because lensing observables do not require any assumptions on the cluster dynamical state or ICM microphysics. WL mass estimates are, however, sensitive to assumptions about the 3D shapes and halo orientations (e.g. Oguri et al. 2005) and substructures (e.g. Okabe et al. 2014) in the cluster gravitational potential, as well as any other large-scale structure between the lensed sources and the observer (e.g. Hoekstra 2003).

A comparison of X-ray and WL masses for a large number of clusters is a powerful route to test the validity of the HSE assumption in the cluster...
outskirts. The mass bias, $b$, can be estimated by the geometric mean for the target clusters,

$$1 - b = \exp \left[ \left( \sum_i \ln \left( \frac{M_{\text{HE}}/M_{\text{WL}}}{w_i} \right) \right) \left( \sum w_i \right)^{-1} \right], \quad (4)$$

where $w_i$ is a weight function ($w_i = 1$ for a uniform weight), or equivalently by fitting with their lognormal quantities. The two independent measurements indirectly constrain non-thermal pressure components involved in turbulence and/or bulk motions and their radial dependence.

As discussed in Section 1.2, the ICM temperature and number density profiles are expected to follow ‘universal’ profiles when normalized by the mass parameters, as follows:

$$f_n(M_{\Delta}, r/r_{\Delta}) = n_0 E(z) \left( \frac{M_{\Delta} E(z)}{10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^a g_n(r/r_{\Delta})$$

$$f_T(M_{\Delta}, r/r_{\Delta}) = T_0 \left( \frac{M_{\Delta} E(z)}{10^{14} h_{70}^{-1} M_{\odot}} \right)^b g_T(r/r_{\Delta}).$$

Here, $M_{\Delta}$ is the enclosed mass within $r_{\Delta}$ and $a$ and $b$ are slopes of the mass dependence ($a = 0$ and $b = 2/3$ for a self-similar solution); $g_n$ and $g_T$ are the radial functions for the temperature and density scaled by $r_{\Delta}$, respectively.

Given the WL masses and X-ray observables, one can simultaneously fit the gas density and temperature profiles with WL masses, self-consistently taking into account their uncertainties. The joint likelihood for the number density and the temperature profiles is given by

$$-2 \ln \mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j} \ln(\det(C_{ij})) + v_{ij}^T C_{ij}^{-1} v_{ij}, \quad (5)$$

$$v = \left( \ln(n(\tilde{r})) - \ln(f_n(M_{\Delta}, \tilde{r})) \right),$$

$$C = C_{\text{stat}} + C_{\text{int}}$$

Here, $i$ and $j$ denote the $i$-th cluster and $j$-th radial bins, respectively. $C_{\text{stat}}$ is the error covariance matrix for the data vector $v$, $C_{\text{int}}$ is the intrinsic covariance and $\tilde{r} = r/r_{\Delta}$. When one considers a single observable, the off-diagonal elements in $C$ are set to be zero. The pressure and entropy profiles can be computed by a combination of these functions. A tremendous advantage of this method is that it does not need to assume or fix the radial slopes of X-ray observables based on theoretical predictions. The best-fit slopes directly provide us with the radial changes of the investigated X-ray quantities (Okabe et al. 2014b).
2.4.3 X-ray+Optical

In the hierarchical structure formation scenario, it is expected that mass accretion flows onto clusters are still on-going, in particular along filamentary structures. The ICM in the cluster outskirts should be significantly affected by the surrounding environment. It is therefore of vital importance to investigate correlations between the ICM properties in cluster outskirts at \( r < r_{200} \) and large-scale structure at \( r > r_{200} \). The temperature and density of cluster outskirts can be measured by the Suzaku X-ray satellite. Since WL signals from structures at \( r > r_{200} \) are too weak to reconstruct the two-dimensional mass distribution, galaxies are a good tracer to identify the low- and high-density regions. Since many blue galaxies, in addition to red member galaxies, reside in cluster outskirts, photometric redshifts are a powerful tool to select, quantify, and visualize the galaxy distribution in the surrounding environments of clusters (Kawaharada et al. 2010; Ichikawa et al. 2013). Assuming that the catastrophic error of photometric redshifts is positionally independent, the spatial variation of the galaxy density indicates the directions of filaments and voids. Quantifying the correlation coefficient between various ICM properties determined from the X-ray data and the galaxy distribution is an important step in understanding the hot-spot of structure formation.

2.4.4 X-ray+Radio

The bulk of the enormous amount of energy (up to \( \sim 10^{59-60} \) J) released during structure formation is used to heat the intergalactic gas to X-ray emitting temperatures, while a fraction of the remaining energy goes into accelerating particles into the non-thermal regime, where they can be detected in the radio band. Although these energies are critical to understanding the structure formation history, there is a lack of knowledge of both how and how much energy is distributed into these distinct channels.

Gravitational energy is converted into heating of the ICM and particle acceleration by shocks, likely via the mechanism of Diffusive Shock Acceleration (DSA) and turbulence. Even though shock structures and turbulent motions are the main physical processes for energy conversion in galaxy clusters, the detailed properties (i.e. the heating mechanism and the efficiency of the acceleration) are still not well understood.

Particle acceleration by shocks and turbulence is believed to be the origin of synchrotron emission in galaxy clusters, which is observed at radio wavelengths. These shocks/turbulence can be detected using X-ray observations as a discontinuity of the ICM temperature and surface brightness, and line broadening, respectively. X-ray and radio multi-wavelength studies have revealed that radio emission is a good tracer of shock structures in galaxy clusters. Therefore, the combination of X-ray and radio observations is a powerful tool for investigating the physics behind cluster evolution.

For the progress in this field we refer the reader to the review in this series focusing on diffuse radio emission from clusters (van Weeren et al.)
3 What are the latest constraints on the physical processes that shape the cluster outskirts?

3.1 Splashback radius

Recent studies using galaxy and WL mass distributions have provided a deeper understanding of the splashback radius that is a physical boundary of galaxy clusters (as explained in Section 1.1). More et al. (2016) discovered significant evidence for the splashback radius using the projected number density profiles of photometric galaxies (Fig. 8). They computed the stacked surface number densities around each cluster from the redMaPPer cluster catalog (Rykoff et al. 2014; Rozo and Rykoff 2014) obtained from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, as a function of comoving projected distance from the cluster center. The surface densities show a clear, sharp steepening around scales of $\sim 0.9^{+0.05}_{-0.06}\, h^{-1}\, \text{Mpc}$ (Figure 8), in good agreement with predictions of the splashback radius (e.g. Diemer and Kravtsov 2014; Adhikari et al. 2014; More et al. 2015) in three-dimensional space. The mis-centering effect has little impact on the inferred radial distance of this steepening.
More et al. (2016) also subdivided their galaxy cluster sample into two subsamples, namely high and low galaxy concentrations, and found a 6.6σ difference in the clustering amplitudes of the two surface density profiles. Busch and White (2017) pointed out that such an apparent assembly bias would be caused by the classification based on projected positions of cluster members, and that it does not appear in the three-dimensional distribution of matter around clusters.

Additional studies using photometric galaxies have been carried out (e.g., Baxter et al. 2017; Nishizawa et al. 2018). Baxter et al. (2017) found using SDSS data that the logarithmic slope of one-halo profile reaches about $-5$ over a narrow range of radius, and galaxy colors become abruptly redder within the location of the steepening of the density profile, suggesting at the quenching of star formation. Nishizawa et al. (2018) investigated whether the splashback radius could be seen using the HSC-SSP data, however the relatively small survey area of $\sim 200$ deg$^2$ makes it difficult to detect because of statistical uncertainty. They found that red galaxies are significantly more concentrated toward cluster centers and blue galaxies dominate the outskirts of clusters.

Umetsu and Diemer (2017) have carried out a stacked weak-lensing analysis for 16 clusters from the Cluster Lensing And Supernova survey with Hubble (CLASH) program. Since the data area is limited within the field-of-view of the Subaru/Suprime-Cam ($\sim 0.25$ deg$^2$), the study provided a lower limit on the splashback radius of the clusters at the median redshift $z \simeq 0.35$, of $R_{3D}^{sp} > 0.89 \, r_{200}$, corresponding to $R_{3D}^{sp} > 1.83 \, h^{-1}$Mpc.

Chang et al. (2017) measured the galaxy number density and weak-lensing mass profiles around redMaPPer galaxy clusters in the first year Dark Energy Survey (DES) data. They found strong evidence of a splashback-like steepening of the galaxy density profile, $R_{sp} = 1.16 \pm 0.08 \, h^{-1}$Mpc, and the first detection of a splashback-like steepening in weak lensing signals, $R_{sp} = 1.28 \pm 0.18 \, h^{-1}$Mpc. Chang et al. (2017) show that a change of slope in the weak-lensing signal around the splashback-like radius is weaker than that in the projected galaxy distribution. This is because lensing signals correspond to $\Sigma(< R) - \Sigma(R)$, where $\Sigma(R)$ is the projected mass density at the projected distance $R$ and $\Sigma(< R)$ is the average of the projected mass density within $R$. They found that the two independent measurements of $R_{sp}$ are in good agreement.

3.2 Thermal properties of the gas

The recent advances in our ability to measure the thermodynamic properties of the ICM in the outskirts of galaxy clusters have come through two different avenues. With Suzaku (2005-2015), X-ray astronomy for the first time had a low and stable background, high effective area, CCD spectral resolution X-ray satellite capable of accurate spectroscopy at and beyond $r_{200}$. This allowed direct temperature and thus entropy measurements of the ICM near the cluster virial radii to be achieved through X-ray spectral fitting. With Planck (2009-
2013), highly sensitive SZ observations of a large sample of clusters has been compiled, allowing the ICM pressure to be measured out to $r_{200}$. By combining these SZ pressure profiles with X-ray surface brightness data from ROSAT and XMM, independent measurements of the ICM properties in the cluster outskirts have been achieved.

While early progress concentrated on exploring the outskirts of individual systems, over the last 5 years these studies have expanded to samples of clusters and lower mass groups, allowing general trends in the cluster population to be studied. The current samples of clusters observed are summarized in the Venn diagram in Fig. 9, which shows the coverage available from different observatories. For each cluster in this diagram, thermodynamic profiles (temperature, density, entropy and pressure) can be extracted out to at least $\approx r_{200}$. Table 1 tabulates all of the works available on the outskirts of these objects.

Of particular interest is the radial profile of the gas entropy $K(r)$, which is related to the gas temperature ($kT$) and the electron density ($n_e$) by $K = kT/n_e^{2/3}$. Numerical simulations of the formation of galaxy clusters (Voit et al. 2005) which include only gravitational physics have found that as clusters grow their entropy increases radially as a powerlaw. When clusters are scaled by the self similar entropy $K_{500}$, and the scale radius $R_{500,c}$, these simulations predict that the entropy profile should follow the equation $K(r)/K_{500} = \ldots$
Table 1 List of references for studies of the outskirts of individual galaxy clusters shown in Fig. 9.

| Object name   | References                   | Object name   | References                   |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| Perseus       | Simionescu et al. (2011)     | Abell 1835    | Okabe et al. (2014b)         |
|               | Simionescu et al. (2012)     |               | Ichikawa et al. (2013)       |
|               | Urban et al. (2014)          |               | Bonamente et al. (2013b)     |
|               | Simionescu et al. (2013a)    |               | Morandi et al. (2012)        |
|               | Akamatsu et al. (2013a)      | Abell 2029    | Walker et al. (2012)         |
|               | Urban et al. (2013)          | Abell 2142    | Akamatsu et al. (2012)       |
| Virgo         | Simionescu et al. (2017)     | Abell 2204    | Reppich et al. (2009)        |
|               | Akamatsu et al. (2013a)      | Abell 2255    | Girardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Okabe et al. (2016)          | Abell 2319    | Girardini et al. (2017)      |
|               | Sato et al. (2012)           | Abell 2377    | Ibaraki et al. (2013)        |
|               | Okabe et al. (2016)          | Abell 3158    | Girardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Walker et al. (2013)         | Abell 3266    | Girardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | PKS0745                      | Abell 3376    | Akamatsu et al. (2012b)      |
|               | Walker et al. (2012b)        | Abell 3667    | Akamatsu et al. (2016b)      |
| Sculptor      | Sato et al. (2011)           | Abell 3732    | Akamatsu et al. (2013b)      |
|               | Abell 3732                   | CIZA2242.8    | Akamatsu et al. (2016b)      |
|               | Abell 399/401                | Abell 478     | Okabe et al. (2014b)         |
|               | Akamatsu et al. (2017)       | Abell 478     | Okabe et al. (2014b)         |
|               | Morandi and Cui (2014)       | Abell 478     | Okabe et al. (2014b)         |
|               | Abell 478                    | Okabe et al. (2014b) |                     |
|               | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     | Abell 644     | Akamatsu et al. (2013b)      |
|               | Sato et al. (2014)           | ZWCl2341.1    | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Abell 1246                   | ZW1215        | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Rosino et al. (2010)         | RXJ1159+5531  | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     | Humphrey et al. (2012) |             |
|               | Abell 1644                   | RXC1825       | Ghiardini et al. (2018b)     |
|               | Okabe et al. (2014b)         | ESO 3060170   | Su et al. (2013)             |
|               | Kawaharada et al. (2010)     | UGC 03957     | Tohline et al. (2016)        |
| Abell 1750     | Bulbul et al. (2016)         |               |                             |
| Abell 1795     | Bautz et al. (2009)          |               |                             |

$1.47(r/R_{500c})^{1.3}$. Since these simulations include only gravitational physics, any observed deviation from this baseline entropy profile must be due to non-gravitational physics. Studies of the central regions of clusters have found the entropy to exceed this baseline prediction (e.g. Pratt et al. 2010), most likely due to energy dissipated into the ICM through AGN feedback and mergers. By comparing the outskirts entropy with the baseline profile, we can begin to search for the presence of physics beyond just simple gravitational collapse.

Samples of the X-ray brightest clusters observed by Suzaku in the cluster outskirts have been compiled to investigate general trends. In early attempts (Walker et al. 2012b), where the entropy profile shapes were simply compared with one another, it was found that most Suzaku clusters had entropy profiles following a roughly similar shape, flattening at around $R_{500c}$ before unphysically turning over. In Walker et al. (2013), the outskirts for a sample of 13 X-ray bright Suzaku clusters were compiled, and their temperature, density and entropy profiles were compared to the predicted profiles from simulations which follow the baseline entropy profile from purely gravitational collapse (Voit et al. 2005) and the universal pressure profile (Arnaud et al. 2010). These profiles were appropriately scaled by mass and redshift to factor out the self similar behaviour.
Here we expand upon the analysis of Walker et al. (2013) by including all the clusters studied by Suzaku in the outskirts published to date, which increases the sample size to 24 clusters (Fig. 10 and Fig. 11). The Suzaku entropy profiles (Fig. 10 top left) rise from the core, before flattening and intersecting the baseline entropy profile around $R_{500c}$. Between $R_{500c}$ and $r_{200}$ the entropy profiles remain flat. For 11 objects, entropy measurements beyond $r_{200}$ are possible. When the sample is divided into mass bins (Fig. 10 top right), we see that clusters with $M_{500} > 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ tend to lie well below the baseline prediction for the entropy profile in these regions (by a factor of around 0.5). In contrast, low mass clusters and groups with $M_{500} < 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ tend to have entropies consistent with the baseline profile beyond $r_{200}$, though the number of such low mass systems in the sample is small. Instead, however, the entropy excess over the baseline prediction at small radii is much more pronounced in these low-mass systems, presumably because, in shallower gravitational potential wells, the impact of AGN heating is relatively more important than in high-mass clusters.

The observed pressure profiles for non-merging clusters tend to be in reasonable agreement with the universal pressure profile derived in Arnaud et al. (2010) from XMM-Newton observations of the central regions of clusters combined with simulations (the solid black line in the bottom panels of Fig. 10). They also agree well with the range found by stacking Planck clusters in Planck Collaboration et al. (2013b), shown by the shaded cyan region. For clusters where merging is taking place (blue points), the pressure profiles tend to lie above the universal pressure profile, though the scatter is large.

When the self-similarly scaled temperature profiles are compared to the predicted profile (Fig. 11 top panels) the temperatures out to $r_{200}$ are generally in good agreement with expectations (the solid black line). The self-similarly scaled density profiles on the other hand (Fig. 11 bottom panels) tend to be higher than the expected profile. This causes the entropy profiles to lie preferentially below the baseline entropy profile between $R_{500c}$ and $r_{200}$. This tendency for the gas density to be higher than predicted is qualitatively consistent with the cluster outskirts beyond $R_{500c}$ being increasingly clumpy. If we have cooler, denser, unresolved gas clumps in pressure equilibrium with the hotter, more diffuse intracluster medium, this could account for the high densities and low temperatures (and hence low entropies) that have been observed. This is discussed further in section 3.3.1 on observational constraints on gas clumping.

For the massive clusters ($M_{500} > 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$) where a temperature measurement is possible outside $r_{200}$, the scaled temperatures tend to lie below the expectations from simulations (Fig. 11 top right), driving the entropy down even further (Fig. 10 top right). By contrast, the scaled temperature measurements for low mass systems ($M_{500} < 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$) and merging systems outside $r_{200}$ tend to be higher, and in better agreement with theoretical expectations. Larger samples of groups will need to be assembled in the future to determine whether this trend is significant.
Fig. 10 Figures adapted and expanded from Walker et al. (2013) to include all the Suzaku outskirts results to date. **Top panels:** Entropy profiles scaled by self-similar entropy at $R_{500c}$, and compared to the baseline entropy profile (black line). **Bottom panels:** The self-similarly scaled Suzaku pressure profiles are compared to the universal pressure profile (black line) and the range of the Planck cluster pressures profiles (cyan region). In the left hand column all the clusters are plotted with individual plot symbols. In the right hand column they are grouped into clusters with $M_{500} > 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, $M_{500} < 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, and merging clusters.

The Suzaku density profiles, meanwhile, are generally in good agreement with the profiles obtained by stacking ROSAT and Chandra data, as shown in the bottom right of Fig. 11. Merging systems, marked in blue, tend to have higher densities than non merging systems in the outskirts.

Large, deep Suzaku programs covering the most nearby, X-ray bright clusters (Perseus – Simionescu et al. 2011, Urban et al. 2014, Centaurus – Walker et al. 2013, Coma – Simionescu et al. 2013, and Virgo – Simionescu et al. 2017), have provided the most detailed view yet of individual systems (Fig.
Fig. 11 Figures adapted and expanded from Walker et al. (2013) to include all the Suzaku outskirts results to date. Top panels: The self-similarly scaled Suzaku temperature profiles in the outskirts (outside 0.4r_{200}). Bottom panels: Self-similarly scaled Suzaku density profiles in the outskirts. The solid black curves on the left panels show the predicted temperature and density profile shapes obtained by assuming both the baseline entropy profile and the universal pressure profile to hold true. In the left hand column all the clusters are plotted with individual plot symbols. In the right hand column they are grouped into clusters with M_{500} > 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot (red), M_{500} < 2 \times 10^{14} M_\odot (black), and merging clusters (blue). In the bottom right panel we compare the Suzaku densities to those obtained by stacking ROSAT (grey) and Chandra (shaded cyan) clusters.

The azimuthal variations seen in Perseus in particular have shown how dramatically a ‘relaxed’ cluster can deviate from spherical symmetry, with large scale gas sloshing (Simionescu et al. 2012; Walker et al. 2018) reaching out to roughly half the virial radius, and possibly much further out. Such large scale gas sloshing out to half the virial radius has also been identified in Abell 2142 (Rossetti et al. 2013) and RXJ2014.8-2430 (Walker et al. 2014).
Fig. 12 Results from the Large Suzaku Projects on the outskirts of Coma (Simionescu et al. 2013, left), Perseus (Urban et al. 2014, centre) and Virgo (Simionescu et al. 2017, right), reprinted with permission. The Suzaku mosaics are shown in the top row. The bottom row shows the entropy profiles (the Coma figures include the density and pressure profiles as well). For Perseus and Virgo, the shape of the entropy profile agrees with the average best fit shape of the sample of clusters studied in Walker et al. (2012b) (shown by the black curve).

The highest signal to noise in the outskirts with Suzaku is achievable for the Perseus cluster, the brightest cluster in the X-ray sky, whose large angular extent allows detailed thermodynamic profiles to be studied even with Suzaku’s large PSF (a half power diameter of ~2 arcmin). From an initial two strips from the core to the outskirts (Simionescu et al. 2011), the mosaic has grown to have 8 strips equally spaced around the cluster (Urban et al. 2014) (Fig. 12, centre column). The azimuthally averaged entropy profile for Perseus follows the same general trend for the sample of clusters studied in Walker et al. (2013), namely flattening at \( r_{500c} \), and remaining below the baseline entropy profile outside \( r_{200} \) (Fig. 12, centre bottom panel). When the density profiles are considered, these again tend to lie systematically above the predicted level. For the Virgo and Coma clusters, on the other hand, the entropy at \( r_{200} \) is similar to the predictions from the baseline entropy profile, while it fails to match the theoretical expectations at lower radii, presumably at least in part because of the unrelaxed dynamical state of these systems. Interestingly, the shape of the entropy profile in Virgo agrees with the empirical shape found in Walker et al. (2012b) by fitting the profiles of a sample of X-ray bright clusters, but with a higher overall normalization; instead of following the baseline entropy power-law shape at small radii and flattening out below it at large radii, in
Virgo, the entropy is above the baseline at almost all radii, and flattens out to meet the expected profile at $r_{200}$ (Fig. 12, lower right panel).

Since one cannot rule out the possibility that the assumed baselines of radial profiles of X-ray observables may mislead or bias our understanding of thermodynamics in the cluster outskirts, Okabe et al. (2014b) have carried out a joint X-ray and WL analysis for four clusters which were observed by both the Suzaku satellite and the Subaru telescope. Because WL mass measurements are free from cluster dynamical states, this is a direct and powerful way to compare two independent observables. By simultaneously fitting the radial profiles of X-ray observables with WL masses, they found that the temperature
Fig. 14 Comparison of temperature deviations $\Delta T / T_{\text{AH}}$ in the cluster outskirts ($r_{500} \lesssim r \lesssim r_{\text{vir}}$) and number density contrast $\delta = \Delta n / \langle n \rangle$ of large-scale structure for the region of $(1-2)r_{\text{vir}}$ (left) and $(2-4)r_{\text{vir}}$ (right). Red circles and blue diamonds represent the results for Abell 1835 and Abell 1689, respectively. Errors are the 68% CL uncertainty. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, $r_s$, gives that these correlations do not occur by accident more than 90% (left) and 67% (right) confident. Figure is taken from Ichikawa et al. (2013), reprinted with permission.

The normalized pressure profile is in good agreement with the Planck pressure profile.

As the Suzaku data sometimes only cover part of the cluster azimuth at the virial radius, it is possible that some of the measurements are partially affected by accretion flows from the surrounding large-scale structure, which produce azimuthal variations of the outskirts temperature. Kawaharada et al. (2010) and Ichikawa et al. (2013) have investigated the correlation between outskirts temperatures obtained with Suzaku and the galaxy number density from the SDSS DR7 catalog for the very massive clusters A1835 and A1689. The number densities of galaxies were computed by slices of photometric redshifts in order to include red and blue galaxies. They found spatial temperature variations in the cluster outskirts ($R_{500} \lesssim r \lesssim r_{\text{vir}}$) and a correlation between the outskirts temperatures and galaxy number density surrounding the clusters (14). This suggests that cluster outskirts are directly affected by mass accretion flows from the surrounding large-scale environment. In order to fully understand the environmental effects and cluster thermal evolution, more data to cover large samples of clusters with full azimuthal coverage are vitally important.

Using ROSAT data alone, Eckert et al. (2012) explored the density profiles of a sample of 31 local clusters, having full azimuthal coverage out to $r_{200}$, consisting of 14 cool core and 17 non-cool cores (shown in the bottom right hand panel of Fig. 11 as the solid pink region). They found a significant difference between the densities in the outskirts of cool core and non-cool...
cores: cool cores tended to have systemically lower densities in the outskirts than non-cool cores. When the observed density profiles were compared to both non-radiative numerical simulations and those including cooling and star formation, the densities in the outskirts were found to be higher than the simulations. The azimuthal scatter in the X-ray surface brightness was found to increase out into the outskirts, reaching around 60-70 percent at $r_{200}$, with no significant difference between the scatter between cool core and non-cool core clusters in the 0.7-1.2$r_{200}$ radial range. The ROSAT analysis was further developed in Eckert et al. (2015), in which it was found that the azimuthal median of the surface brightness provides a better way to recover the true underlying density profiles of clusters in the presence of inhomogeneities (such as gas clumping).

Further recent progress has been made through the XMM Cluster Outskirts project (X-COP, Eckert et al. 2017), which uses deep XMM observations of the clusters with the highest signal to noise in the Planck SZ survey, combining

---

Fig. 15 The top two panels show figures from Tchernin et al. (2016), reprinted with permission. Top left: The XMM mosaic for A2142. Top right: Entropy profile obtained by combining the X-ray surface brightness profile from XMM-Newton with the Planck pressure profile for A2142. When clumping is not corrected for, the entropy profile flattens (cyan profile). When the median X-ray surface brightness profile is used to clumping correct the X-ray data (green profile), the entropy profile is in better agreement with the baseline entropy profile shown as the solid black line. The bottom two panels show figures from Ghirardini et al. (2018b), reprinted with permission. Bottom left: The XMM-Newton mosaics for the X-COP clusters. Bottom right: Clumping corrected entropy profiles for the X-COP sample.
X-ray surface brightness profiles with Planck SZ derived pressure profiles to obtain measurements of the temperature, entropy and mass profiles. Tchernin et al. (2016) presented results for the first cluster from the X-COP project, Abell 2142 (top panels of Fig. 15). Combining the Planck pressure profile with the non-clumping corrected density profile from XMM results in an entropy profile that flattens beyond \( R_{500c} \), similar to the Suzaku results. When clumping is taken into account, using the azimuthal median density profile following Eckert et al. (2015), the resulting decrease in the outskirts density causes the entropy profile to continue to rise, in better agreement with the Voit et al. (2005) baseline entropy profile.

Ghirardini et al. (2017) then presented results from Abell 2319 (the strongest Planck detection in X-COP with a signal to noise of 51). Again, when the non-clumping corrected density profile is combined with the Planck pressure profile, an entropy profile which flattens beyond \( r_{500} \) is found. However, in this case, even when the densities are clumping corrected, the entropy profile remains flat beyond \( r_{500} \). The pressure profile is also flatter and higher than the average Planck profile, and the universal pressure profile. These results suggest that, in this particular system, a non-thermal pressure support of about 40 percent of the total pressure is present at \( r_{200} \).

Ghirardini et al. (2018b) have studied the thermodynamical profiles for the full X-COP sample, shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 15. When clumping is taken into account, the entropy profiles are mostly consistent with the Voit baseline entropy profile except for Abell 2319, which remains flat at \( r_{200} \) because of the high level of non-thermal pressure discussed in Ghirardini et al. (2017). The mean and median entropy profiles, however, closely follow the predictions of gravitational collapse models out to the largest radii probed in the study (\( \sim 1.8R_{500} \)) once clumping is taken into account using the azimuthal median technique (Eckert et al. 2015).

3.3 Virialization of the accreted gas

3.3.1 Clumping

In the first Suzaku measurements of the outskirts of the Perseus cluster, Simionescu et al. (2011), found the gas mass fraction to rise well above the mean cosmic baryon fraction in the outskirts. The authors estimated the mass profile near the virial radius by extrapolating a parametrized NFW profile constrained primarily by the data at smaller radii, in order to avoid significant bias due to the possible deviations from HSE discussed in Section 1.4. It was concluded that gas clumping must be biasing high the measurements of the gas density at the cluster’s edges.

Because the X-ray emission is proportional to the square of the gas density, unresolved dense clumps will increase the observed X-ray luminosity and bias the inferred gas density high by a factor of \( \sqrt{C} \), where \( C \) is the clumping factor defined in Equation 1. Since \( \sqrt{C} \) is what is mostly directly found from
observations, this is the quantity we will discuss. By requiring that the true gas mass fraction equal the mean cosmic baryon fraction, an early estimate of this ‘clumping factor’ was obtained, which rose steadily from from unity at $0.5r_{200}$, to $\sqrt{C} \approx 4$ at $r_{200}$ (Simionescu et al. 2011). This early result was, however, based on only one arm of the Perseus mosaic, which probed only a small fraction (around 5 percent) of the cluster azimuth.

Walker et al. (2013) assembled a sample of the X-ray brightest clusters studied in the outskirts with Suzaku and explored their self-similar scaled thermodynamic profiles. As already shown in Fig. 10, the entropy profiles of the clusters lie systematically below the baseline entropy profile for purely gravitational hierarchical structure formation from around $0.5r_{200}$ outwards. Deviations from this baseline entropy profile must be due to non-gravitational processes. If it is assumed that gas clumping is the only non-gravitational process operating in the outskirts, it is possible estimate the level of gas clumping by calculating the overestimate of the gas density needed to bring the entropy profiles back into agreement with the baseline entropy profile. Walker et al. (2013) calculated these estimated clumping factor profiles for the Suzaku clusters. Again, $\sqrt{C}$ tends to increase from unity at $\sim 0.5r_{200}$ up to around a factor of 1.5-2 at $r_{200}$.

Outside $r_{200}$, the estimate of $\sqrt{C}$ increases to much higher values, ranging from 2-10. However, when Walker et al. (2013) compare the temperature and density profiles against their theoretical predications (Fig. 11), they find that outside $r_{200}$ the entropy decrement below the baseline entropy profile is driven not only by gas clumping but also by the gas temperatures being lower than expected. This will therefore lead to an overestimate of the gas clumping factor if all of the entropy decrement below the baseline entropy profile is assumed to be caused by an overestimate of the gas density. When the gas densities alone are compared to theoretical expectations (Fig. 11 bottom panels), we see that the densities typically lie at a constant level above the theoretical expectation, with an overestimate (i.e. a $\sqrt{C}$ estimate) in the range $\sqrt{C} \approx 1-2$.

A similar method of estimating the level of gas clumping by solving for the density overestimate that would bring both the observed entropy and pressure in agreement with their expected baseline profiles was applied to the full 8-arms Suzaku Key Project mosaic of the Perseus Cluster by Urban et al. (2014). They found that the northwestern arm for which clumping was measured in Simionescu et al. (2011) showed the second highest clumping factor of the eight directions considered (see top right panel of Figure 16), and that the average clumping level over the 8-arms azimuth had a lower value of $\sqrt{C} \approx 1.6$ at $r_{200}$, in good agreement with other clusters in the Walker et al. (2013) sample. For the Coma and Virgo clusters also covered by Suzaku Key and Large Projects, the situation is complicated by the disturbed dynamical nature of these systems. The Planck and Suzaku pressure profiles for the Coma Cluster appear to be in agreement with each other out to $r_{200}$, and no significant overestimation of the X-ray gas density through clumping is required by the data between $R_{500c}$ and $r_{200}$ (Simionescu et al. 2013). In the Virgo Cluster, the
average pressure profile obtained from Suzaku does lie higher than the Planck measurement for the same system, concomitant with a marked flattening of the entropy profile; qualitatively this suggests clumping must be important in the Virgo Cluster but, due to the unrelaxed nature of the system, a quantitative estimation of the clumping level and disentangling the contributions of clumping versus non-thermal pressure is difficult (Simionescu et al. 2017).

With the higher spatial resolution and full azimuthal coverage afforded by ROSAT, Eckert et al. (2015) found that is possible to estimate the clumping factor by comparing the median X-ray surface brightness profiles of clusters with the mean profile. The azimuthal median can be optimally estimated by
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adaptingly binning the X-ray image with a target binning of 20 counts per bin. The median of the surface brightness distribution in each annulus was found to be insensitive to the presence of outliers. The resulting clumping factors for the ROSAT clusters are shown in the bottom left hand panel of Fig. 16. From 0.5r_{200} to r_{200} these rise gradually from unity to a range of \sqrt{C} \approx 1.1-1.5, agreeing with the range of \sqrt{C} \approx 1-2 found in the Suzaku estimates obtained using entropy profile arguments. Outside r_{200} the ROSAT clumping factors are in the range \sqrt{C} \approx 1-1.6. This range is reasonably consistent with the range of clumping factor that is needed to bring the observed Suzaku densities into agreement with the theoretical expectations (Fig. 16, top left hand panel).

Eckert et al. (2015) also compared the measured clumping factor profiles with the predictions of several sets of numerical simulations (Roncarelli et al. 2013; Vazza et al. 2013). Models including baryonic physics (cooling, star formation and feedback processes) provide an excellent match to the observed clumping factor (see also Planelles et al. 2017). Conversely, non-radiative simulations tend to overpredict the level of clumping in the ICM. The combined effect of cooling and AGN feedback is responsible for the difference between the various baryonic physics models. Cooling removes the most structured gas phase from the X-ray emitting phase in the central regions of infalling halos, whereas AGN feedback pushes the gas away from and smooths the density profiles, thus lowering the level of inhomogeneities in the ICM.

Using this sample method of comparing the median and mean X-ray surface brightness profiles, the X-COP project has produced constraints for individual clusters, using XMM-Newton observations of the outer regions of clusters which have the highest signal to noise in the Planck cluster catalog. The profile for \sqrt{C} obtained for Abell 2142 is shown in the bottom right hand panel of Fig. 16. This profile again increases from unity around R_{500c}, reaching \sqrt{C} \approx 1.4 just outside r_{200}.

With deep high spatial resolution observations of the cluster outskirts using Chandra, it is possible to put direct constraints on the level of gas clumping by exploring 2-dimensional fluctuations in the X-ray surface brightness, and searching for excess variance over the Poisson noise. Morandi et al. (2013) employed this method to study gas clumping in Chandra observations of Abell 1835, and later in Morandi and Cui (2014) used the same method on deep observations of the outskirts of Abell 133. In both cases a clumping factor of around 2-3 (implying \sqrt{C}=1.4-1.7) at r_{200} is found. Due to the need to bin the data to achieve sufficient signal to noise, gas clumping on very small scales (less than 10kpc in the case of Abell 1835) cannot be constrained using this method, so these estimates are lower limits to the true level of gas clumping.

Despite early disagreements and uncertainties, it is remarkable that the levels of clumping inferred from very different methods (Suzaku thermodynamic profiles, the mean versus median X-ray brightness in ROSAT and XMM-Newton, and direct Chandra imaging) seem to give consistent ranges for the gas clumping factor in recent works.

In principle it should be possible to directly image gas clumps with sufficiently deep observations having the requisite sensitivity. For example, in Chandra...
three possible gas clumps have been identified in the merging cluster Abell 85 using Chandra observations. These gas clumps, whose X-ray luminosities are in the range $1-2 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, show features of ram-pressure stripping.

It is, however, difficult to discover such X-ray faint diffuse emission from gas clumps with existing instruments because it requires deep mosaicked observations. One of the most efficient observation strategies is to use optical and/or weak-lensing information. Subaru weak-lensing mass maps covering most of the Coma cluster have revealed the presence of many dark matter subhalos with masses above a few $10^{12} M_\odot$ (Okabe et al. 2014a). Tangential shear profiles stacked over the dark matter subhalos show sharp drops outside specific radii, suggesting that the subhalos are tidally truncated and located inside the clusters. Thus, they are remnants of group-size objects. Dark matter subhalos are associated with known optical groups. X-ray follow-up studies (Andrade-Santos et al. 2013; Sasaki et al. 2015, 2016) using Chandra, XMM-Newton and Suzaku discovered evidence that diffuse X-ray emission is associated with some of the subhalos. Dark matter subhalos are also associated with the known X-ray gas clump of NGC 4839 which shows ram-pressure stripping.

In order to fully understand the interplay between gas clumps and the ICM, multi-wavelength approaches combining X-ray, optical and weak-lensing are essential to future studies.

3.3.2 Non-equilibrium ionization

As described in section 1.5, the ICM in the cluster outskirts can be in a non-equilibrium ionization state, with the ion temperatures being higher than the electron temperatures. Since our X-ray spectroscopic measurements using CCDs only allow us to observe the electron temperature at present, this could cause our temperature measurements in the outskirts to be biased low compared to the true gas temperature. Simulations indicate that this effect could lead to up to a 10-15 percent underestimate of the gas temperature at $R_{200c}$ for massive clusters (see Fig. 6, where $R_{200c}$ is roughly $0.5 R_{200m}$). It is possible that this may contribute to the lower than expected temperatures found by Suzaku beyond $R_{200c}$ in massive clusters (Fig. 11, top panels).

In early works, Hoshino et al. (2010) and Akamatsu et al. (2012a) attempted to examine the possibility of such an ion-electron two temperature structure in the clusters A1413 and A3667 respectively using Suzaku data. In these works the authors compared the observed entropy profile shapes with the baseline $r^{1.1}$ powerlaw shape. If it is assumed that any flattening from this profile shape is due to the gas temperature being underestimated due to non-equilibrium ionization, then this can provide a basic upper limit on the magnitude of this effect. This approach does however omit to factor in the effects of gas clumping on flattening the entropy profile shape.

It is possible to characterize the electron-ion two temperature structure if we could measure the ion temperature directly from the line widths. This will
be available from high-resolution spectroscopy of the ICM with the upcoming ATHENA mission (Nandra et al. 2013), which promises to transform this field.

### 3.3.3 Non-thermal pressure support

The presence of non-thermal pressure in cluster outskirts can be investigated by searching for deviations of the measured thermodynamic properties and gas fraction of the ICM from the predictions of gravitational collapse. In particular, the gas fraction reconstructed using the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium is highly sensitive to the level of non-thermal pressure, which induces an additional pressure contribution to balance gravity and biases total masses estimated assuming hydrostatic equilibrium towards low values (Lapi et al. 2010; Kawaharada et al. 2010; Fusco-Femiano and Lapi 2014; Ichikawa et al. 2013). Since the most massive clusters are expected to be fair archives of the universal baryon budget (e.g. White et al. 1993; Evrard 1997; Nagai et al. 2007; Semboloni et al. 2016), the effective level of non-thermal pressure support can be estimated by comparing hydrostatic measurements of the baryon fraction with the cosmic value ($\Omega_b/\Omega_m = 0.156 \pm 0.003$, Planck Collaboration 2015).

Generally speaking, the effects of gas clumping and non-thermal pressure on the gas fraction both induce a positive bias in the measurements of $f_{\text{gas}}$ in cluster outskirts. The interpretation of excess gas fractions alone is thus not unique. Recently, Eckert et al. (2018) applied the azimuthal median technique (see Sect. 3.3.1) to clean the estimated gas density profiles from the effects of clumping, which allowed the authors to disentangle clumping and non-thermal pressure support. The clumping-corrected profiles were used to reconstruct hydrostatic mass profiles with a precision of $< 5\%$ at $R_{500c}$ for all X-COP clusters (Ettori et al. 2018).

In the left-hand panel of Fig. 17 we show the measured hydrostatic gas fractions for the 12 X-COP clusters (Eckert et al. 2018). With one exception, all the measurements lie very close to the expected hot gas fraction, which implies that the average level of non-thermal pressure in the sample is low. The corresponding levels of non-thermal pressure are shown in the right-hand panel. The median non-thermal pressure fractions in the sample are 6\% and 10\% at $R_{500c}$ and $r_{200}$, respectively. A detailed comparison of the mass estimates (Ettori et al. 2018) also shows that X-COP hydrostatic masses agree with WL-based measurements for the same clusters within 10\%, which supports this conclusion. In the CLASH sample, Siegel et al. (2018) reached a similar conclusion using a combination of WL, X-ray and SZ data and set an upper limit of 11\% on the level of non-thermal pressure at $r_{500}$.

Subaru WL observations can cover the entire regions of galaxy clusters and can thus constrain $M_\Delta$ where $\Delta \sim 2500 - 100$. From a comparison of Suzaku and Subaru masses at $r_{200}$ for a small sample of four clusters, Okabe et al. (2014b) found that the mass bias (the mean mass ratio between
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1 A detailed discussion of hydrostatic mass reconstruction techniques and the corresponding systematics and biases is described in another review of this series (Pratt et al.).
Fig. 17 Constraints on non-thermal pressure support in cluster outskirts in the X-COP sample (reproduced from Eckert et al. [2018], reprinted with permission. The left-hand panel shows the hydrostatic gas fraction corrected for gas clumping estimated at $R_{500c}$ (blue points) and $R_{200}$ (red). The green shaded area shows the cosmic baryon fraction from Planck (Planck Collaboration 2015) whereas the magenta line and shaded area represents the expected hot gas fraction and uncertainties after accounting for baryon depletion and stellar mass. In the right-hand panel we show the inferred level of non-thermal pressure acting against gravity for the 12 X-COP clusters. The curves and shaded areas show the median non-thermal pressure and dispersion from two different sets of numerical simulations (Nelson et al. 2014b, blue; Rasia et al. private communication, green).

hydrostatic equilibrium masses and WL masses) is on average $1 - b \sim 0.5$ at $\Delta = 200$. However, the low angular resolution of Suzaku alone does not allow the degenerate effects of gas clumping and non-thermal pressure support to be disentangled, which may cause this measurement to be overestimated. Future studies comparing WL masses with the total mass derived from joint X-ray and SZ analyses for large samples will be important for improving our constraints on the non-thermal pressure in cluster outskirts.

4 What progress can future missions bring?

The last decade has brought about a transformation in our understanding of the outskirts of galaxy clusters. Owing to the extremely low density of the gas in the outskirts, these measurements remain highly challenging. Here we conclude by reviewing the capabilities of new planned and proposed missions across the electromagnetic spectrum, which will continue to unlock the physics in the outskirts of galaxy clusters.

4.1 Ongoing and planned missions

4.1.1 eROSITA

The extended Roentgen Survey with an Imaging Telescope Array (eROSITA) is the main instrument on the upcoming Russian Spektrum-Roentgen-Gamma
34 Stephen Walker et al.

(SRG) mission slated for launch in 2019 in an orbit around L2 (Predehl et al. 2010). With its large grasp, eROSITA will perform the first all sky X-ray imaging survey since ROSAT in the early 1990s, achieving a sensitivity $\sim 30$ times higher than that of ROSAT in the soft X-ray band (0.5-2.0keV). It will perform the first ever all sky X-ray imaging survey at high X-ray energies (2.0-10keV). It is expected that eROSITA will detect all galaxy clusters with masses greater than $\sim 3 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ in the observable universe (Pillepich et al. 2012). The dramatic increase in sample size afforded by eROSITA promises a transformational advance in our ability to use galaxy clusters as probes of cosmological parameters. Additionally, the eROSITA telescopes feature a short focal length of 1.6m, which effectively reduces the background rate per unit area by about an order of magnitude compared to other instruments located on a high orbit (XMM-Newton, Chandra). The combination of wide field of view, moderately high angular resolution and low background will make eROSITA very sensitive to low surface-brightness regions such as cluster outskirts.

4.1.2 XRISM/XARM

The X-Ray Imaging and Spectroscopy Mission (XRISM), formerly XARM (the X-ray Astronomy Recovery Mission, Tashiro et al. 2018) is an upcoming NASA/JAXA collaboration (with contributions from ESA) to replace the ill-fated Hitomi satellite that was lost after only 37 days of operation in 2016. XRISM centers on its high spectral resolution X-ray microcalorimeter (Resolve), which is identical to that flown on Hitomi. Running in parallel is a wide field X-ray imager (Xtend), with a field of view around 4 times that of Suzaku. XRISM is currently scheduled to launch in 2021.

Importantly for cluster outskirts research, XRISM will launch into the same low Earth orbit as Suzaku, providing it with a low and stable background level. While observations of the cluster outer edges with the Resolve instrument would likely be prohibitively expensive in terms of the required exposure time, extensive studies of the thermodynamical properties in the outskirts of clusters can be carried out using Xtend, particularly in the later, cryogen-free phase of the mission. Xtends large field of view (40 $\times$ 40 arcmin) will provide a much more complete coverage of cluster outskirts, minimizing the need for multiple mosaicked observations that represented the standard observing strategy for Suzaku. In addition, XRISM will also have a better point spread function than Suzaku (1.2 arcmin HPD compared to 2 arcmin). This means that more spatially resolved proles will be attainable, allowing us to produce moderate spatial resolution maps of cluster temperature, entropy and metal abundance to the virial radius, with high azimuthal coverage.

4.1.3 Athena

The Advanced Telescope for High Energy Astrophysics (ATHENA) is a major X-ray observatory being developed by ESA for launch in the early 2030s. ATHENA will use new mirror technologies (silicon pore optics) to achieve a
huge effective area of 1.4 m$^2$ at 1 keV with an angular resolution of 5 arcseconds for a low mass. The combination of a large effective area and moderate angular resolution allows ATHENA to avoid photon starvation, low sensitivity to point sources and source confusion. The unique cluster science enabled by ATHENA’s capabilities is discussed in detail in Ettori et al. (2013), and briefly summarized below.

The ATHENA mirror serves a high spectral and spatial resolution microcalorimeter (the X-ray Integral Field Unit, X-IFU), which will achieve an energy resolution of 2.5 eV with a spatial resolution of 5 arcseconds (over 10 times better than XRISM) over a 5 arcmin field of view (Barret et al. 2018). The effective area will be 150 times better than XRISM at soft X-ray energies (0.6 keV), and over 12 times better at 6 keV, near the Fe-K complex. This will allow high spatial resolution mapping of gas motions and turbulence in clusters throughout their volumes for the first time, and will reveal the contributions of non-thermal pressure support in the outskirts of clusters. The high level of sensitivity of the X-IFU will enable measurements of X-ray line absorption and emission from both the cluster outskirts and the warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) permeating large-scale structure filaments.

ATHENA also features a wide field X-ray imager (WFI), with a field of view of 40×40 arcmin (Meidinger et al. 2017). The combination of a large field of view and unprecedented effective area will allow the outskirts of clusters to be routinely unlocked for X-ray spectroscopy, allowing temperature and entropy measurements out to the virial radius and beyond for large samples of clusters. The WFI instrument will feature a low and stable instrumental background, such that it will be extremely well suited for the study of cluster outskirts. The capabilities of ATHENA/WFI are highlighted in Fig. 18 where we show a simulated temperature profile for a local galaxy cluster with a mean temperature of 8 keV at $z = 0.1$, i.e. similar to Abell 2142 and PKS 0745. This fiducial cluster is assumed to follow Universal profiles of temperature and emission measure in the radial range [0 − 1.2] $r_{200}$. We used the Reiprich et al. (2013) parametrization of the temperature profile, which was calculated from a linear fit to a compilation of Suzaku temperature profiles in the radial range [0.3 − 1.15] $r_{200}$. For the Universal emission measure profile, we used the mean self-similar scaled emission measure determined by Eckert et al. (2012) from a sample of 31 nearby clusters observed with ROSAT/PSPC (see Table C.1 of that paper). The metal abundance of the cluster was assumed to be flat with a mean value of $0.3 Z_\odot$.

Our simulations show that 100ks observations of local clusters with the WFI will be able to measure the gas temperature with a precision of just 3% at $r_{200}$. Given the expected stable instrumental background level (reproducibility of 2%), systematics in the reconstruction are expected to be minimal.

### 4.1.4 Upcoming SZ instrumentation

The current capabilities of state of the art and near future instrumentation for SZ observations is discussed in detail in the review ‘Astrophysics with the
Spatially & Spectrally Resolved Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect’ in these proceedings (see Mroczkowski et al. 2019). We briefly summarize some of the upcoming experiments and instrumentation here, with most of the major facilities listed in Table 2. There are two broad categories for SZ instrumentation: survey instruments targeted for studies of the CMB, and user instruments to which scientists can propose observations. There are currently 2 major SZ survey instruments in operation, the Advanced ACTpol camera on the 6-meter Atacama Cosmology Telescope (Thornton et al. 2016; Crowley et al. 2018), and SPT3G on the 10-meter South Pole Telescope (Benson et al. 2014; Bender et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2018). Both achieve ~arcminute resolution in their SZ decrement bands, roughly centered at 90 and 150 GHz. Future upgrades included the Simons Observatory and CMB-S4, both of which can improve results with stacking of large cluster samples.

Targeted observations at subarcminute resolution with, for example, upgrades to ALMA (Kitayama et al. 2016; Basu et al. 2016), MUSTANG-2 (Dicker et al. 2014), NIKA2 (Catalano et al. 2018) and new instruments such as TolTEC (Austermann et al. 2018; Bryan et al. 2018) will enable more detailed mapping of the thermal SZ effect. Spectrally resolved measurements with the intensity mapping experiments TIME (Crites et al. 2014) and CONCERTO (Lagache 2018) will allow better constraints on the product of velocity and electron opacity of the cluster gas through the kinetic SZ effect and on the mass-weighted gas temperature through relativistic corrections to the thermal SZ effect (Itoh et al. 1998; Chluba et al. 2012; Erler et al. 2018). While not likely to replace X-ray measurements, instruments capable of SZ measurements already provide a complementary handle on ICM thermal properties.
Table 2: A list highlighting a few current and near-future instruments capable of measuring the SZ effect. Currently fielded instruments are separated from upcoming and proposed instruments by a horizontal line. The primary observing mode – survey or targeted observation – is designated by an ‘S’ or ‘T.’

| Name           | Frequencies (GHz) | Location                | Type |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Adv.ACTpol     | 30–270            | 6-m in Atacama Desert, Chile | S    |
| SPT3G          | 95/150/220        | South Pole Station, Antarctica | S    |
| ALMA           | 35–950            | 66 elements in the Atacama Desert, Chile | T    |
| MUSTANG-2      | 90                | 100-m Green Bank Telescope, USA | T    |
| NIKA2          | 150/260           | IRAM 30-m, Spain        | T    |
| TolTEC         | 150/220/270       | 50-m Large Millimeter Telescope, Mexico | S/T  |
| Simons Obs.    | 27–280            | 6-m in Atacama Desert, Chile | S    |
| CONCERTO       | 200–360           | 12-m APEX, Atacama Desert, Chile | S    |
| TIME           | 183–336           | 12-meter ARO on Kitt Peak | S/T  |
| AtLAST         | 35–950            | Future ~ 50-m in Atacama Desert, Chile | S/T  |

On-going and future imaging surveys with limiting magnitudes less than about 25 are summarized in Table 3. Deep and wide imaging surveys enable us to systematically carry out the science of cluster galaxies and weak-lensing. The KiDS, DES and HSC-SSP surveys are underway and have published initial science outputs. The LSST will have first light in 2020, and start the operations phase in 2022.

We also mention other imaging surveys; CIFS and Euclid. The CIFS survey using the CFHT will cover ~ 5000 deg$^2$ with the r-band and ~ 10000 deg$^2$ with the u-band by Jan. 31st 2020. The depth will be 24.1 mag and 23.6 mag, which is defined by 10$\sigma$ aperture magnitudes for point sources within 2′′ diameter, for the r-band and the u-band, respectively. The Euclid satellite will
| Survey | Telescope | Depth $r$ (5σ) | Area $[\text{deg}^2]$ | Seeing | Status |
|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|
| KIDS    | VST       | 25.2          | 1,500                 | 0.7    | Underway |
| DES     | DES       | 25.0          | 5,000                 | 0.9    | Underway |
| HSC-SSP | Subaru    | 26.0          | 1,400                 | 0.7    | Underway |
| LSST    | LSST      | 26.9          | 20,000                | 0.7    | First light 2020? |

Table 3. Major optical imaging surveys. The depth is defined by the 5σ aperture magnitude within 2″ diameter.

be launched in 2021 and cover 15,000 deg$^2$ in the near-infrared bands with a limiting magnitude of $\sim 24$ mag. Its deep layer covers 40 deg$^2$ with two magnitudes deeper than that of the wide one.

These deep and wide optical surveys will give us unique opportunities to discover a considerably large number of galaxy clusters. Furthermore, combined with cluster catalogs which will be obtained by future X-ray, SZ, optical or WL projects, the surveys will be able to constrain well the splashback radii and their redshifts and mass dependences using optical galaxy distributions and/or tangential shear profiles.

4.2 Mission concepts

4.2.1 Advanced X-ray Imaging Satellite: AXIS

The Advanced X-ray Imaging Satellite (AXIS) is a NASA Probe Mission concept (mission cost less than 1 billion USD) being considered for the 2020 decadal survey (Mushotzky 2018). The concept consists of a CCD imager served by a large effective area telescope (at least 10 times the effective area of Chandra) over a much larger bandpass of 0.1-16 keV, with high spatial resolution over a large field of view of around 25×25 arcmins (0.4 arcsec HPD on axis, increasing only slightly to 1 arcsec at the edge of the field of view). It would fly in a low earth orbit, providing it with a detector background around 4-5 times lower than that of Chandra. For background limited observations, the figure of merit for the detectability of low surface brightness X-ray emission (effective area/(focal length$^2 \times$ background)) is a factor of 65 better than Chandra, and would be the highest of any X-ray observatory ever flown (see Fig. 19). AXIS’s high spatial resolution is designed to allow it to complement ATHENA (in much the same way that Chandra’s high spatial resolution complements the lower spatial resolution but higher effective area of XMM-Newton). In the right hand panel of Fig. 19 we show the improvement in sensitivity of AXIS over Chandra in simulated 100ks observations of the outskirts of a massive (8 keV) cluster at redshift 0.1. With AXIS the 1–2$r_{200}$ region of clusters would be routinely opened up to X-ray spectroscopy and imaging.
4.2.2 Lynx

Lynx is a NASA large class X-ray mission concept being considered for the 2020 decadal survey (Gaskin et al. 2017). Lynx would feature the largest X-ray telescope ever built with a collecting area of 2 m$^2$ at 1 keV, over a 10 arcmin radius field of view. Its PSF would be similar to Chandra’s on-axis (0.5 arcsec HPD), but will be sustained at less than 1 arcsec HPD over the whole field of view. In addition to the imaging detector, an X-ray grating spectrometer and an X-ray microcalorimeter are planned for high spectral resolution work. Similar to Athena, Lynx would launch to L2. With an effective area around 3 times larger than AXIS, and a background level expected to be around 4 times higher than AXIS, Lynx’s sensitivity to low surface brightness X-ray emission would be roughly the same as AXIS’s. Together with Athena, Lynx’s high resolution spectroscopy capabilities can bring important further progress in understanding the dynamics and electron-ion equilibration balance in cluster outskirts.

4.2.3 HUBS

The Hot Universe Baryon Surveyor (HUBS) is a Chinese led concept focusing on looking for the ‘missing baryons’ in intergalactic and circumgalactic space. The mission concept aims for a large effective area (∼ 1000 cm$^2$), 1 arcmin angular resolution and in particular a large field of view (∼ 1 square degree) with high spectral resolution (2 eV at 0.6 keV) in the soft X-ray band (0.1 to ∼ 2 keV). A superconducting Transition Edge Sensor (TES) will be employed as the main spectrometer, which will have a similar number of pixels to the
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*Fig. 19* Left: Figures of merit for the detectability of low surface brightness X-ray emission, given by: effective area/(focal length$^2$ × background), reprinted with permission. Right: Comparing 100ks simulated exposures of cluster outskirts with Chandra (left) and AXIS (right). AXIS’s high sensitivity would allow it to routinely probe the 1-2$r_{200}$ region and to view the connections between cosmic web filaments and clusters. Figures taken from Mushotzky (2018).
Athena X-IFU but with a larger absorber. With a low Earth and low inclination orbit giving it a low instrumental background, the mission aims to study the OVII (0.57 keV) and OVIII (0.65 keV) lines in both emission and absorption. HUBS plans to perform three different observational programs: deep pointings, a medium survey, and an all-sky survey. As a secondary objective, HUBS will also investigate wider science cases including the hot interstellar medium, the diffuse X-ray background, supernova remnants, as well as charge exchange processes in the solar system.

4.2.4 Super-DIOS

Super-DIOS (Diffuse Intergalactic Oxygen Surveyor) is a Japanese led concept \cite{Ohashi2018} for a high spectral resolution X-ray spectroscopy mission in the early 2030s, which aims to detect the WHIM through its redshifted emission lines from OVII, OVIII and other ions. The mission concept features a high energy resolution of 2 eV at 1 keV over a large field of view of 30 arcmin, with a spatial resolution of 10 arcsec over a large effective area ($\sim$ 2000 cm$^2$ at 1.0 keV). The number of pixels for the TES detector would be 10 times that of Athena. The science with Super-DIOS has its base in the DIOS mission concept \cite{Ohashi2016} and will extend it further. The main purposes of the mission concept are as follows:

1. Detection and survey of the inter/circum-galactic medium in the redshift range $z < 0.3$ over $5 \times 5$ degrees.
2. Characterization of the ICM around the virial and splash-back radii.
3. Probing the metal synthesis in the early Universe using X-ray afterglows of gamma-ray bursts as background light.

The large effective area, low background, high spectral resolution, and large field of view of both HUBS and Super-DIOS are ideal for detailed studies of the thermal, dynamical, and chemical structure in the outskirts of nearby clusters of galaxies, and the surrounding large-scale structure filaments that connect to them.

4.2.5 AtLAST

The Atacama Large Aperture Submillimeter/millimeter Telescope (AtLAST) is a 50-meter class wide field of view ($>1$ deg$^2$) single dish telescope currently under study by the community.\footnote{http://atlast-telescope.org} This would provide coverage of SZ/CMB bands, complementing ALMA both by mapping large regions $>10^5 \times$ faster than ALMA can, and by recovering spatial scales from $\sim 5$ arcseconds to a few degrees. ALMA is currently limited to recover $\lesssim 1''$ scales, while expansion to lower frequencies will only improve this by a factor of $2.5 \times$. AtLAST will be able to measure cluster masses through CMB lensing, while also simultaneously providing (sub)millimeter constraints. Such constraints are important
for reliable background subtraction in sensitive kinetic and thermal SZ studies, as discussed in the SZ review chapter in these proceedings.

Acknowledgements

S.W. was supported by an appointment to the NASA Postdoctoral Program at the Goddard Space Flight Center, administered by the Universities Space Research Association through a contract with NASA. A.S. gratefully acknowledges support by the Women In Science Excel (WISE) programme of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). N.O. was supported by the Funds for the Development of Human Resources in Science and Technology under MEXT, Japan and Core Research for Energetic Universe in Hiroshima University (the MEXT program for promoting the enhancement of research universities, Japan). T.M. is supported for scientific activities by ESO's Directorate for Science. H.A. acknowledges the support of NWO via a Veni grant. S.E. acknowledges financial contribution from the contracts NARO15 ASI-INAF I/037/12/0, ASI 2015-046-R.0 and ASI-INAF n.2017-14-H.0. SIRON is supported financially by NWO, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research.

References

R. Adam, A. Adane, P.A.R. Ade, P. André, A. Andrian asbestos, H. Aussel, A. Beelen, A. Benoît, A. Bideaud, N. Billot, O. Bourrion, A. Bracco, M. Calvo, A. Catalano, G. Coiffard, B. Comis, M. De Petris, F.-X. Désert, S. Doyle, E.F.C. Dreissen, R. Evans, J. Goupy, C. Kramer, G. Lagache, S. Leclercq, J.-P. Leggeri, J.-F. Lestrade, J.F. Macías-Pérez, P. Mauskopf, F. Mayet, A. Maury, A. Monfardini, S. Navarro, E. Pascale, L. Perotto, G. Pisano, N. Ponthieu, V. Revéret, A. Rigby, A. Ritacco, C. Romero, H. Roussel, F. Ruppin, K. Schuster, A. Sievers, S. Triqueneaux, C. Tucker, R. Zylka, The NIKA2 large-field-of-view millimetre continuum camera for the 30 m IRAM telescope. A&A 609, 115 (2018). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201731503

S. Adhikari, N. Dalal, R.T. Chamberlain, Splashback in accreting dark matter halos. ArXiv e-prints (2014)

H. Aihara, N. Arimoto, R. Armstrong, S. Arnouts, N.A. Bahcall, S. Bickerton, J. Bosch, K. Bundy, P.L. Capak, J.H.H. Chan, M. Chiha, J. Coupon, E. Egami, M. Enoki, F. Finet, H. Fujimori, S. Fujimoto, H. Furusawa, J. Furusawa, T. Goto, A. Goulding, J.P. Greco, J.E. Greene, J.E. Gunn, T. Hamana, Y. Harikane, Y. Hashimoto, T. Hattori, M. Hayashi, Y. Hayashi, K.G. Helminiak, R. Higuchi, C. Hikage, P.T.P. Ho, B.-C. Hsieh, K. Huang, S. Huang, H. Ikeda, M. Imanishi, A.K. Inoue, K. Iwasawa, H. Iwata, T. Jaelani, H.-Y. Jian, Y. Kamata, H. Karoji, N. Kashikawa, N. Koyama, S. Kawanomoto, I. Kayo, J. Koda, M. Koike, T. Kojima, Y. Koniyama, A. Konno, S. Koshida, Y. Koyama, H. Kusakabe, A. Leauthaud, C.-H. Lee, L. Lin, Y.-T. Lin, R.H. Lupton, R. Mandelbaum, Y. Matsuda, E. Medezinski, S. Mineo, S. Miyama, H. Miyatake, S. Miyazaki, R. Momose, A. More, S. More, Y. Moritani, T.J. Mori, T. Morokuma, S. Mukae, R. Murata, H. Murayama, T. Nagao, F. Nakata, M. Niida, H. Niikura, A.J. Nishizawa, Y. Ogbuehi, Y. Oguri, Y. Oishi, N. Okabe, S. Okamoto, Y. Okura, Y. Ono, M. Onodera, M. Onoue, K. Osato, M. Ouchi, P.A. Price, T.-S. Pyo, M. Sako, M. Sawicki, T. Shihuya, K. Shimakawa, A. Shimosho, M. Shirasaki, J.D. Silverman, M. Simet, J. Speagle, D.N. Spergel, M.A. Strauss, Y. Sugahara, N. Sugiyama, Y. Suto, S.H. Suyu, N. Suzuki, P.J. Tait, M. Takada, T. Takata, N. Tamura, M.M. Tanaka, M. Tanaka, Y. Tanaka, T. Terai, Y. Terashima, Y. Toba, N. Tomimaga, J. Toshikawa, E.L. Turner, T. Uchida, H. Uchiyama, K. Umetsu, F. Uraguchi, Y. Urata, T. Usuda, Y. Utsumi, S.-Y. Wang, W.-H. Wang, K.C. Wong, K. Yabe, Y. Yamada, H. Yamanoi, N. Yasuda, S. Yeh, A. Yonehara, S. Yuma, The Hyper Suprime-Cam SSP Survey: Overview and survey design. PASJ 70, 4 (2018). doi:10.1093/pasj/pez066

H. Akamatsu, A. Hoshino, Y. Ishizaki, T. Ohashi, K. Sato, Y. Takei, N. Ota, X-Ray Study of the Outer Region of Abell 2142 with Suzaku. PASJ 63, 1019–1033 (2011). doi:10.1093/pasj/63.sp3.S1019
Torrejon, B. Frezouls, H. Geoffray, P. Pellé, C. Aicardi, J. André, C. Daniel, A. Clénet, C. Etcheverry, E. Gloaguen, G. Hervet, A. Jolly, A. Ledot, I. Paillet, R. Schmisser, B. Vella, J.-C. Damery, K. Boyce, M. Dípiro, S. Lotti, D. Schwander, S. Smith, B.-J. Van Leeuwen, H. van Weers, N. Clerc, B. Cobo, T. Dauser, C. Kirsch, E. Cucchetti, M. Eckert, P. Ferrando, W. Natalucci, The ATHENA X-ray Integral Field Unit (X-IFU), in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 10699, 2018, p. 106991. doi:10.1117/12.2312409

M. Bartelmann, P. Schneider, Weak gravitational lensing. Phys. Rep. 340, 291–472 (2001). doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00082-X

K. Basu, M. Sommer, J. Eder, D. Eckert, F. Vazza, B. Magnelli, F. Bertoldi, P. Tozzi, ALMA-SZ Detection of a Galaxy Cluster Merger Shock at Half the Age of the Universe. ApJ 829, 23 (2016). doi:10.3847/2041-8205/829/2/L23

N. Battaglia, J.R. Bond, C. Pfrommer, J.L. Sievers, On the Cluster Physics of Sunyaev-Zel’dovich and X-ray Surveys III: Measurement Biases and Cosmological Evolution of Gas and Stellar Mass Fractions. ArXiv e-prints (2012)

M.W. Bautz, E.D. Miller, J.S. Sanders, K.A. Arnaud, R.F. Mushotzky, F.S. Porter, K. Hayashida, J.P. Henry, J.P. Hughes, M. Kawasaki, K. Makishima, M. Sato, T. Tamura, Suzuki Observations of Abell 1795: Cluster Emission to r200. PASJ 61, 1117 (2009)

E. Baxter, C. Chang, B. Jain, S. Adhikari, N. Dahal, A. Kravtsov, S. More, E. Rozo, E. Rykoff, R.K. Sheth, The Halo Boundary of Galaxy Clusters in the SDSS. ApJ 841, 18 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa6f0

A.N. Bender, P.A.R. Ade, A.J. Anderson, J. Avva, Z. Ahmed, K. Arnold, J.E. Austermann, R. Basu Thakur, B.A. Benson, L.E. Bleem, K. Byrum, J.E. Carlstrom, F.W. Carter, C.L. Chang, H.M. Cho, J.F. Cliche, T.M. Crawford, A. Cukierman, D.A. Czaplewski, J. Ding, R. Divan, T. de Haan, M.A. Dobbs, D. Dutcher, W. Everett, A. Gilbert, J.C. Groh, R. Guyser, N.W. Halverson, A. Harke-Hosemann, N.L. Harrington, K. Hattori, J.W. Henning, G.C. Hilton, W.L. Holzapfel, N. Huang, K.D. Irwin, O. Jeong, T. Khaire, M. Korman, D. Kubik, C.L. Kuo, A.T. Lee, E.M. Leitch, S. Lindgren, S.S. Meyer, C.S. Miller, J. Montgomery, A. Nadelson, K. Natoli, H. Nguyen, V. Novosad, S. Padin, Z. Pan, J. Pearson, C.M. Posada, A. Rahlin, C.L. Reichardt, J.E. Ruhl, B.R. Salwanchik, J.T. Sayre, J.A. Shariff, I. Shirley, E. Shirokoff, G. Smirch, J. Sobrin, L. Stan, A.A. Stark, K. Story, A. Suzuki, K.Y. Tang, L.L. Thompson, C. Tucker, K. Vanderlinde, J.D. Vieira, G. Wang, N. Whitehorn, V. Yefremenko, K.W. Yoon, Integrated performance of a frequency domain multiplexing readout in the SPT-3G receiver, in Millimeter, Submillimeter, and Far-Infrared Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy VIII. Proc. SPIE, vol. 9914, 2016, p. 99141. doi:10.1117/12.2232146

B.A. Benson, P.A.R. Ade, Z. Ahmed, S.W. Allen, K. Arnold, J.E. Austermann, A.N. Bender, I.E. Bleem, J.E. Carlstrom, C.L. Chang, H.M. Cho, J.F. Cliche, T.M. Crawford, A. Cukierman, T. de Haan, M.A. Dobbs, D. Dutcher, W. Everett, A. Gilbert, N.W. Halverson, D. Hanson, N.L. Harrington, K. Hattori, J.W. Henning, G.C. Hilton, G.P. Holder, W.L. Holzapfel, K.D. Irwin, R. Keisler, L. Knox, D. Kubik, C.L. Kuo, A.T. Lee, E.M. Leitch, D. Li, M. McDonald, S.S. Meyer, J. Montgomery, M. Myers, T. Natoli, H. Nguyen, V. Novosad, S. Padin, Z. Pan, J. Pearson, C. Reichardt, J.E. Ruhl, B.R. Salwanchik, G. Simard, G. Smirch, J.T. Sayre, E. Shirokoff, A.A. Stark, K. Story, A. Suzuki, K.L. Thompson, C. Tucker, K. Vanderlinde, J.D. Vieira, A. Vikhlinin, G. Wang, V. Yefremenko, K.W. Yoon, SPT-3G: a next-generation cosmic microwave background polarization experiment on the South Pole telescope, in Millimeter, Submillimeter, and Far-Infrared Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy VII. Proc. SPIE, vol. 9153, 2014, p. 91531. doi:10.1117/12.2057305

F. Bertoldi, The Atacama Large Aperture Submm/mm Telescope (AT LAST) Project, in Atacama Large-Aperture Submm/mm Telescope (ATLAST), 2018, p. 3. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1158842

M. Béthermin, H.-Y. Wu, G. Lagache, I. Davidson, N. Ponthieu, M. Cousin, L. Wang, O. Doré, E. Daddi, A. Lapi, The impact of clustering and angular resolution on far-infrared and millimeter continuum observations. A&A 607, 89 (2017). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201730866
M. Bonamente, D. Landry, B. Maughan, P. Giles, M. Joy, J. Nevalainen, Chandra X-ray observations of Abell 1835 to the virial radius. MNRAS 428, 2812–2823 (2013a). doi:10.1093/mnras/sts202

M. Bonamente, D. Landry, B. Maughan, P. Giles, M. Joy, J. Nevalainen, Chandra X-ray observations of Abell 1835 to the virial radius. MNRAS 428, 2812–2823 (2013b). doi:10.1093/mnras/sts202

S. Bryan, J. Austermann, D. Ferrusca, P. Mauskopf, J. McMahon, A. Montana, S. Simon, G. Novak, D. Sanchez-Arguelles, G. Wilson, for the ToTCoT Team, Optical Design of the ToTCoT Millimeter-wave Camera. ArXiv e-prints (2018)

E. Bulbul, M. Markevitch, A. Foster, E. Miller, M. Bautz, M. Loewenstein, S.W. Randall, R.K. Smith, Searches for the 3.5 keV Line in the Stacked Suzaku Observations of Galaxy Clusters. ApJ 831, 55 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/831/1/55

P. Busch, S.D.M. White, Assembly bias and splashback in galaxy clusters. MNRAS 470, 4767–4781 (2017). doi:10.1093/mnras/stx1584

J.E. Carlstrom, G.P. Holder, E.D. Reese, Cosmology with the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich Effect. ARA&A 40, 643–680 (2002)

A. Catalano, R. Adam, P.A.R. Ade, P. André, H. Aussel, A. Beelen, A. Benoît, A. Bideaud, N. Billot, O. Bourrion, M. Calvo, B. Comis, M. De Petris, F.-X. Désert, S. Doyle, E.F.C. Driessen, J. Goupy, C. Kramer, G. Lagache, S. Leclercq, J.-F. Lestrade, J.F. Macias-Pérez, P. Mauskopf, F. Mayet, A. Monfardini, E. Pascale, L. Perotto, G. Pisano, N. Ponstieh, V. Revéret, A. Ritacco, C. Romero, H. Roussel, F. Ruppin, K. Schuster, A. Sievers, S. Triqueneaux, C. Tucker, R. Zylka, E. Barria, G. Bres, P. Camus, P. Chauhihi, G. Dounier-Valentin, O. Exahaw, G. Garde, A. Gerardin, J.-P. Leggeri, F. Levy-Bertrand, C. Guttin, C. Hoarau, M. Grollier, J.L. Mocellin, G. Pont, H. Rodenas, O. Tissot, G. Galvez, D. John, H. Ungerechts, S. Sanchez, P. Mellado, M. Munoz, F. Pierferderici, J. Penalver, S. Navarro, G. Bosson, J.-L. Bouly, J. Bouvier, C. Geraci, C. Li, J. Menn, N. Ponchent, S. Roni, S. Roudier, J.P. Scordillii, D. Tourres, C. Vescovi, A. Barbier, D. Billon-Pierron, A. Adane, A. Andrianasolo, A. Bracco, G. Coiffard, R. Evans, A. Maury, A. Rigby, The NIKA2 Instrument at 30-m IRAM Telescope: Performance and Results. Journal of Low Temperature Physics (2018). doi:10.1007/s10909-018-1884-5

C. Chang, E. Baxter, B. Jain, C. Sánchez, S. Adhikari, T.N. Varga, Y. Fang, E. Rozo, E.S. Rykoff, A. Kravtsov, D. Gruen, E.M. Huff, M. Jarvis, A.G. Kim, J. Prat, N. MacCrann, T. McClintock, A. Palmese, D. Rapetti, R.P. Rollins, S. Samuroff, E. Sheldon, M.A. Treu, R.H. Wechsler, Y. Zhang, J. Zuntz, T.M.C. Abbott, F.B. Abdalla, S. Allam, J. Annis, K. Bechtol, A. Benoît-Lévy, G.M. Bernstein, D. Brooks, E. Buckley-Geer, A. Carnero Rosell, M. Carrasco Kind, J. Carretero, C.B. D’Andrea, L.N. da, C. Davis, S. Desai, H.T. Diehl, J.P. Dietrich, A. Drljać-Wagner, T.F. Eifler, B. Flaugher, P. Fosalba, J. Frieman, J. García-Bellido, E. Gaztanaga, D.W. Gerdes, R.A. Gruendl, J. Gschwend, G. Gutierrez, K. Honscheid, D.J. James, T. Jeltema, E. Krause, K. Kuehn, O. Lahav, M. Lima, M. March, J.L. Marshall, P. Martini, P. Melchior, F. Menanteau, R. Miquel, J.J. Mohr, B. Nord, R.L.C. Ogando, A.A. Plazas, E. Sanchez, V. Scarpine, R. Schindler, M. Schubnell, I. Sevilla-Noarbe, R.C. Smith, M. Smith, M. Soares-Santos, F. Sobreira, E. Suchyta, M.E.C. Swanson, G. Tarle, J. Weller, The Splashback Feature around DES Galaxy Clusters: Galaxy Density and Weak Lensing Profiles. ArXiv e-prints (2017)

J. Chiba, D. Nagai, S. Sazonov, K. Nelson, A fast and accurate method for computing the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich signal of hot galaxy clusters. MNRAS 426, 510–530 (2012). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21741.x

A.T. Crites, J.J. Bock, C.M. Bradford, T.C. Chang, A.R. Cooray, L. Duband, Y. Gong, S. Hailey-Dunsheath, J. Hunacek, P.M. Koch, C.T. Li, R.C. O’Brien, T. Prouve, E. Shiromok, M.B. Silva, Z. Staniszewski, B. Uzgil, M. Zemcov, The TIME-Pilot intensity mapping experiment, in Millimeter, Submillimeter, and Far-Infrared Detectors and Instrumentation for Astronomy VII. Proc. SPIE, vol. 9153, 2014, p. 91531. doi:10.1117/12.2057207

K.T. Crowley, J.E. Austermann, S.K. Choi, S.M. Duff, P.A. Gallardo, S.-P.P. Ho, J. Hubmayr, B.J. Koopman, F. Nati, M.D. Niemack, M. Salatino, S.M. Simon, S.T. Stagg, J.R. Stevens, J.N. Ullom, E.M. Vavagiakis, E.J. Wollack, Advanced ACTPol TES Device Parameters and Noise Performance in Fielded Arrays. ArXiv e-prints (2018)

Dark Energy Survey Collaboration, T. Abbott, F.-B. Abdalla, J. Aleksic, S. Allam, A. Amara,
Zeldovich effect. MNRAS 476, 3360–3381 (2018). doi:10.1093/mnras/sty327
S. Ettori, A.C. Fabian, ROSAT PSPC observations of 36 high-luminosity clusters of galaxies: constraints on the gas fraction. MNRAS 305, 834–848 (1999). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02460.x
S. Ettori, G.W. Pratt, J. de Plaa, D. Eckert, J. Nevalainen, E.S. Battistelli, S. Borgani, J.H. Croston, A. Finoguenov, J. Kaasstra, M. Gaspari, F. Gastaldello, M. Gitti, S. Molendi, E. Pointecouteau, T.J. Ponman, T.H. Reiprich, M. Roncarelli, M. Rossetti, J.S. Sanders, M. Sun, G. Trinchieri, F. Vazza, M. Arnaud, H. B¨oringher, F. Brighenti, H. Dahle, S. De Grandi, J.J. Mohr, A. Moretti, S. Schindler, The Hot and Energetic Universe: The astrophysics of galaxy groups and clusters. ArXiv e-prints (2013)
S. Ettori, V. Ghirardini, D. Eckert, E. Pointecouteau, F. Gastaldello, M. Sereno, M. Gaspari, S. Ghizzardi, M. Roncarelli, M. Rossetti, Hydrostatic mass profiles in X-COP galaxy clusters. ArXiv e-prints (2018)
A.E. Evrard, The intracluster gas fraction in X-ray clusters - Constraints on the clustered mass density. MNRAS 292, 289 (1997). doi:10.1093/mnras/292.2.289
Y. Fujita, N. Tawa, K. Hayashida, M. Takizawa, H. Matsumoto, N. Okabe, T.H. Reiprich, High Metallicity of the X-Ray Gas Up to the Virial Radius of a Binary Cluster of Galaxies: Evidence of Galactic Superwinds at High-Redshift. PASJ 60, 343 (2008)
R. Fusco-Femiano, A. Lapi, Entropy Flattening, Gas Clumping, and Turbulence in Galaxy Clusters. ApJ 783, 76 (2014). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/783/2/76
J.A. Gaskin, R. Allured, S.R. Bandler, S. Basso, M.W. Bautz, M.F. Baysinger, M.P. Biskach, T.M. Boswell, P.D. Capitzzo, K.-W. Chan, M.M. Civitani, L.M. Cohen, V. Cotroneo, J.M. Davis, C.T. DeRoo, M.J. DiPirro, A. Dominguez, L.L. Fabiinski, A.D. Falcone, E. Figueroa-Feliciano, J.C. Garcia, K.E. Gelms, R.K. Heilmann, R.C. Hopkins, T. Jackson, K. Kilaru, T. Liu, R.S. McClelland, R.L. McIntaffer, K.S. McCarley, J.A. Mulqueen, F. ¨Ozel, G. Pareschi, P.B. Reid, R.E. Riveros, M.A. Rodriguez, J.W. Rowe, T.T. Saha, M.L. Schattenburg, A. Schnell, D.A. Schwartz, P.M. Solly, R.M. Sugge, S.G. Sutherland, D.A. Swartz, S. Toller-McKinstry, J.H. Tutt, A. Vikhlinin, J. Walker, W. Yoon, W.W. Zhang, Lynx Mission concept status, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 10397, 2017, p. 103970. doi:10.1117/12.2273911
M.R. George, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, A.J. Young, H.R. Russell, X-ray observations of the galaxy cluster PKS0745-191: to the virial radius, and beyond. MNRAS 395, 657–666 (2009). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14547.x
V. Ghirardini, S. Ettori, A. Amodeo, R. Capasso, M. Sereno, On the evolution of the entropy and pressure profiles in X-ray luminous galaxy clusters at z greater than 0.4. A&A 604, 100 (2017). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201630209
V. Ghirardini, D. Eckert, S. Ettori, E. Pointecouteau, S. Molendi, M. Gaspari, M. Rossetti, S. De Grandi, M. Roncarelli, H. Bourdin, P. Manzotta, E. Rasia, F. Vazza, The universal thermodynamic properties of the intracluster medium over two decades in radius in the X-COP sample. ArXiv e-prints (2018a)
V. Ghirardini, S. Ettori, D. Eckert, S. Molendi, F. Gastaldello, E. Pointecouteau, G. Hurier, H. Bourdin, The XMM Cluster Outskirts Project (X-COP): Thermodynamic properties of the intracluster medium out to R_{200} in Abell 2319. A&A 614, 7 (2018b).
M. Hilton, M. Hasselfield, C. Sifón, N. Battaglia, S. Aiola, V. Bharadwaj, J.R. Bond, S.K. Choi, D. Crichton, R. Datta, M.J. Devlin, J. Dunkley, R. Dünner, P.A. Gallardo, M. Gralla, A.D. Hincks, S.-P.P. Ho, J. Huhmayer, K.M. Huenneberger, J.P. Hughes, B.J. Koopman, A. Kosowsky, T. Louis, M.S. Madhavacheril, T.A. Marriage, L. Maurin, J. McMahon, H. Miyatake, K. Moodley, S. Nuss, F. Nati, L. Newburgh, M.D. Niemack, M. Oguri, L.A. Page, B. Partridge, B.L. Schmitt, J. Sievers, D.N. Spergel, S.T. Staggs, H. Trac, A. van Engelen, E.M. Vavagiakis, E.J. Wollack, The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: The Two-season ACTPol Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect Selected Cluster Catalog. ApJS 235, 20 (2018). doi:10.3847/1538-4365/aaa6cb
H. Hoekstra, How well can we determine cluster mass profiles from weak lensing? MNRAS 339, 1155–1162 (2003). doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06264.x
A. Hoshino, J.P. Henry, K. Sato, H. Akamatsu, W. Yokota, S. Sasaki, Y. Ishisaki, T. Ohashi,
M. Bautz, Y. Fukazawa, N. Kawano, A. Furuzawa, K. Hayashida, N. Tawa, J.P. Hughes, M. Kokubun, T. Tamura, X-Ray Temperature and Mass Measurements to the Virial Radius of Abell 1413 with Suzaku. PASJ 62, 371–389 (2010). doi:10.1093/pasj/62.2.371

P.J. Humphrey, D.A. Buote, F. Brighenti, H.M.L.G. Flohic, F. Gastaldello, W.G. Mathews, Tracing the Gas to the Virial Radius (R_{100}) in a Fossil Group. ApJ 748, 11 (2012). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/748/1/11

Y. Ibaraki, N. Ota, H. Akamatsu, Y.-Y. Zhang, A. Finoguenov, Suzaku study of gas properties along filaments of A2744. A&A 562, 11 (2014). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201322806

K. Ichikawa, K. Matsushita, N. Okabe, K. Sato, Y.-Y. Zhang, A. Finoguenov, Y. Fujita, Y. Fukazawa, M. Kawaharada, K. Nakazawa, T. Ohashi, N. Ota, M. Takizawa, T. Tamura, K. Nagai, Suzaku Observations of the Outskirts of A1835: Deviation from Hydrostatic Equilibrium. ApJ 766, 90 (2013). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/766/2/90

Y. Ichinohe, N. Werner, A. Simionscru, S.W. Allen, R.E.A. Canning, S. Ehler, F. Mernier, T. Takahashi, The growth of the galaxy cluster Abell 85: mergers, shocks, stripping and seeding of clumping. MNRAS 438, 2971–2986 (2015). doi:10.1093/mnras/stv217

N. Itoh, Y. Kohyama, S. Nozawa, Relativistic Corrections to the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect for Clusters of Galaxies. ApJ 502, 7–15 (1998). doi:10.1086/305876

M.J. Jee, A. Stroe, W. Dawson, D. Wittman, H. Hoekstra, M. Brüggen, H. Röttgering, D. Sobral, R.J. van Weeren, MC ²: Constraining the Dark Matter Distribution of the Violent Merging Galaxy Cluster CIZA J2242.8+5301 by Piercing through the Milky Way. ApJ 802, 46 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/802/1/46

M. Kawaharada, N. Okabe, K. Umetsu, M. Takizawa, K. Matsushita, Y. Fukazawa, T. Hamana, S. Miyazaki, K. Nakazawa, T. Ohashi, Suzaku Observation of A1689: Anisotropic Temperature and Entropy Distributions Associated with the Large-scale Structure. ApJ 714, 423–441 (2010). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/423

T. Kitayama, S. Ueda, S. Takakuwa, T. Tsutsumi, E. Komatsu, T. Akahori, D. Iono, T. Izumi, R. Kawabe, K. Kohno, H. Matsuo, N. Ota, Y. Suto, M. Takizawa, K. Yoshikawa, The Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect at 5’: RX J1347.5-1145 imaged by ALMA. PASJ 68, 88 (2016). doi:10.1093/pasj/psw082

G. Lagache, Continuum and Line Emission Simulation of Star-Forming Galaxies and Development of a New Sub-mm Inte, in Atacama Large-Aperture Submm/mm Telescope (AtLAST), 2018, p. 22. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1159045

A. Lapi, R. Fusco-Femiano, A. Cavaliere, Probing the astrophysics of cluster outskirts. A&A 516, 34 (2010). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201014218

E.T. Lau, A.V. Kravtsov, D. Nagai, Residual Gas Motions in the Intracluster Medium and Bias in Hydrostatic Measurements of Mass Profiles of Clusters. ApJ 705, 1129–1138 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/705/2/1129

E.T. Lau, D. Nagai, C. Avestruz, K. Nelson, A. Vikhlinin, Mass Accretion and its Effects on the Self-similarity of Gas Profiles in the Outskirts of Galaxy Clusters. ApJ 806, 68 (2015). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/806/1/68

P. Mansfield, A.V. Kravtsov, B. Diemer, Splashback Shells of Cold Dark Matter Halos. ApJ 841, 34 (2017). doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aa7047

B. Mathiesen, A.E. Evrard, J.J. Mohr, The Effects of Clumping and Substructure on Intracluster Medium Mass Measurements. ApJ 520, 21–24 (1999)

N. Meidinger, M. Barbera, V. Emberger, M. Fürmetz, M. Manhart, J. Müller-Seifritz, K. Nandra, M. Plattner, A. Rau, W. Treberspurg, The Wide Field Imager instrument for Athena, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 10397, 2017, p. 103970. doi:10.1117/12.2271844

S.M. Molnar, N. Hearn, Z. Haiman, G. Bryan, A.E. Evrard, G. Lake, Accretion Shocks in Clusters of Galaxies and Their SZ Signature from Cosmological Simulations. ApJ 696, 1640–1656 (2009). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/696/2/1640

A. Morandi, W. Cui, Measuring the gas clumping in Abell 133. MNRAS 437, 1909–1917 (2014). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt2021

A. Morandi, D. Nagai, W. Cui, Non-parametric method for measuring gas inhomogeneities from X-ray observations of galaxy clusters. MNRAS 436, 1123–1129 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1636

A. Morandi, M. Limousin, J. Sayers, S.R. Golwala, N.G. Czakon, E. Pierpaoli, E. ...
N. Okabe, K. Umetsu, Subaru Weak Lensing Study of Seven Merging Clusters: Distributions of Mass and Baryons. PASJ 60, 345–375 (2008)

N. Okabe, T. Futamase, M. Kajisawa, R. Kuroshima, Subaru Weak-lensing Survey of Dark Matter Subhalos in the Coma Cluster: Subhalo Mass Function and Statistical Properties. ApJ 784, 90 (2014a). doi:10.1088/0004-637X/784/2/90

N. Okabe, K. Umetsu, T. Tamura, Y. Fujita, M. Takizawa, Y.-Y. Zhang, K. Matsushita, T. Hamana, Y. Fukazawa, T. Futamase, M. Kawaharada, S. Miyazaki, Y. Mochizuki, K. Nakazawa, T. Ohashi, N. Ota, T. Sasaki, K. Sato, S. Tam, Universal profiles of the intracluster medium from Suzaku X-ray and Subaru weak-lensing observations*. PASJ 66, 99 (2014b). doi:10.1093/pasj/psu075

N. Okabe, H. Akamatsu, J. Isayama, Y. Fujita, Y. Zhang, M. Tanaka, K. Umetsu, Radio relics tracing the projected mass distribution in CIZA J2242.8+5301*. PASJ (2015).
doi:10.1093/pasj/psv085

S.C. Parshley, J. Krohn, J. Blair, M. Young, G.J. Stacey, A. Bazarko, F. Bertoldi, R. Huston, D.B. Campbell, S. Chapman, N. Cothard, M. Devlin, J. Eder, M. Fich, P.A. Gallardo, R. Giovanni, U. Graf, S. Granato, M.P. Haynes, R. Hills, M. Limon, J.G. Mangum, J. McMahon, M.D. Niemack, T. Nikola, M. Omlor, D.A. Riechers, K. Steeger, J. Stutzki, E.M. Vavagiakis, CCAT-prime: a novel telescope for sub-millimeter astronomy, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series. Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, vol. 10700, 2018, p. 107005. doi:10.1117/12.2314046

A. Pillepich, C. Porciani, T.H. Reiprich, The X-ray cluster survey with eRosita: forecasts for cosmology, cluster physics and primordial non-Gaussianity. MNRAS 422, 44–69 (2012).
doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20443.x

Planck Collaboration, Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters. ArXiv e-prints (2015)

Planck Collaboration, P.A.R. Ade, N. Aghanim, Armitage-Caplan, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, M. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, A.J. Banday, et al., Planck 2013 results. XX. Cosmology from Sunyaev-Zeldovich cluster counts. ArXiv e-prints (2013a)

Planck Collaboration, P.A.R. Ade, N. Aghanim, M. Arnaud, M. Ashdown, F. Atrio-Barandela, J. Aumont, C. Baccigalupi, A. Balbi, A.J. Banday, et al., Planck intermediate results. V. Pressure profiles of galaxy clusters from the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect. A&A 550, 131 (2013b). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201220040

S. Planelles, D. Fabjan, S. Borgani, G. Murante, E. Rasia, V. Biffi, N. Truong, C. Ragone-Figueroa, G.L. Granato, K. Dolag, E. Pierpaoli, A.M. Beck, L.K. Steinborn, M. Gaspari, Pressure of the hot gas in simulations of galaxy clusters. MNRAS 467, 3827–3847 (2017).
doi:10.1093/mnras/stx318

G.W. Pratt, M. Arnaud, R. Piffaretti, H. Böhringer, T.J. Ponman, J.H. Croston, G.M. Voit, S. Borgani, R.G. Bower, Gas entropy in a representative sample of nearby X-ray galaxy clusters (REXCESS): relationship to gas mass fraction. A&A 511, 85 (2010).
doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200913309

P. Predehl, R. Andräischke, H. Böhringer, W. Bornemann, H. Bräuninger, H. Brunner, M. Brusa, W. Burkert, V. Burwitz, N. Cappelluti, E. Churazov, K. Dennerl, J. Eder, J. Ellis, M. Freyberg, et al., eROSITA on SRG, in Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2010: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray. Proc. SPIE, vol. 7732, 2010, p. 77320. doi:10.1117/12.856577

E. Rasia, S. Ettori, L. Moscardini, P. Mazzotta, S. Borgani, K. Dolag, G. Tormen, L.M. Cheng, A. Diaferio, Systematics in the X-ray cluster mass estimators. MNRAS 360, 2013–2024 (2006)

T.H. Reiprich, D.S. Hudson, Y. Zhang, K. Sato, Y. Ishisaki, A. Hoshino, T. Ohashi, N. Ota, Y. Fujita, Suzaku measurement of Abell 2204’s intracluster gas temperature profile out to 1800 kpc. A&A 501, 899–905 (2009). doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200810404

T.H. Reiprich, K. Ban, S. Ettori, H. Israel, L. Lovisari, S. Molendi, E. Pointecouteau, M. Roncarelli, Outskirts of Galaxy Clusters. Space Sci. Rev. 177, 195–245 (2013).
doi:10.1007/s11214-013-9983-8

C.E. Romero, B.S. Mason, J. Sayers, T. Mroczkowski, C. Sarazin, M. Donahue, A. Baldi, E. Clarke, A.H. Young, J. Sievers, S.R. Dicker, E.D. Reese, N. Czakon, M. Devlin, F.M. Kornigut, S. Golwala, Galaxy Cluster Pressure Profiles as Determined by Sunyaev Zel'dovich Effect Observations with MUSTANG and Bolocam. II. Joint Analysis of 14
in the strongest cool core REXCESS galaxy cluster, RXJ2014.8-2430. MNRAS 441, 31–35 (2014). doi:10.1093/mnrasl/slu040
S.A. Walker, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, M.R. George, Galaxy cluster outskirts: a universal entropy profile for relaxed clusters? MNRAS 427, 45–49 (2012a). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2012.01343.x
S.A. Walker, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, M.R. George, Galaxy cluster outskirts: a universal entropy profile for relaxed clusters? MNRAS 427, 45–49 (2012b). doi:10.1111/j.1745-3933.2012.01342.x
S.A. Walker, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, M.R. George, Y. Tawara, X-ray observations of the galaxy cluster Abell 2029 to the virial radius. MNRAS 422, 3503–3515 (2012c). doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.20960.x
S.A. Walker, A.C. Fabian, J.S. Sanders, A. Simionescu, Y. Tawara, X-ray exploration of the outskirts of the nearby Centaurus cluster using Suzaku and Chandra. MNRAS 432, 554–569 (2013). doi:10.1093/mnras/stt497
S.A. Walker, J. ZuHone, A. Fabian, J. Sanders, The split in the ancient cold front in the Perseus cluster. Nature Astronomy 2, 292–296 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41550-018-0401-8
S.D.M. White, U.G. Briel, J.P. Henry, X-ray archaeology in the Coma cluster. MNRAS 261, 8–12 (1993)
K.-W. Wong, J.A. Irwin, D.R. Wik, M. Sun, C.L. Sarazin, Y. Fujita, T.H. Reiprich, Suzaku X-ray Observations of the Nearest Non-Cool Core Cluster, Antlia: Dynamically Young but with Remarkably Relaxed Outskirts. ApJ 829, 49 (2016). doi:10.3847/0004-637X/829/1/49