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Abstract
Expensive prices of houses compels an individual to make a purchase through a third party. This study aims to test the determinants of intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya. The sample was selected using purposive sampling form the population of Surabaya citizens who intended to use home ownership mortgage (Kredit Pemilikan Rumah, KPR). The data was analyzed is SmartPLS. This study finds that subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity significantly affects the intention to use mortgage. Furthermore, attitude, however, does not affect it. The result of this study benefits bankers so that they are able to actively inform consumers about their mortgage products, to raise consumer’s intention to use them. Consumers will also be able to choose mortgage products that suit them best, and they can have a sense of security and convenience during the loan term.
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Introduction
Shelter is one of the basic human needs which has to be fulfilled, besides food and clothing. According to the data, Surabaya recorded a 6.16% growth in house prices, while Bandung only recorded 5.79%, and Medan only 1.36% (Prabowo, 2018). Hence, more money is needed to purchase a house, which can be acquired through mortgage. Bank Indonesia stated in 2017 that mortgage was the first choice in financing property purchases with 75.93% of all purchases, stage payments with 16.77%, and 7.30% in cash. Approaching the end of 2017, the national mortgage trend growth reached 10.91% compared to 2016 (7.86%) followed by sharia mortgage with 20.69% (Bank Indonesia, 2018).

Some studies used Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) in individual behavior in financial services such as mortgage. According to TPB theory, there are three
factors affecting intention, they are attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control. Attitude is an evaluation, whether positive or negative, towards a certain behavior and someone’s belief about what is felt. Subjective norms refer to the perception of an individual’s agreement or disagreement towards a certain behavior. Perceived behavioral control describes the level of difficulty in the behavior as a reflection of past experiences as well as anticipation of obstacles (Ajzen, 1991; Xiao, 2008; Dalilaa, Latif, Jaafar, Aziz, & Afthanorhan, 2020). The intention to use mortgage is also affected by the knowledge of mortgage (Fürstenau & Hommel, 2019) and religiosity (Hamid & Masood, 2011; Conklin, Diop, & Qiu, 2021).

The decision to purchase a house using mortgage is affected by individual’s perception formed by his belief, where said belief comes from similar experiences or information from other individuals. The more information is accumulated, the stronger the belief of a person to realize his behavior will be. In addition, the religiosity of an individual also plays a role as a guide to direct the person to use certain products according to their religious beliefs (Essoo & Dibb, 2004; Adhikari & Agrawal, 2016). Studies related to individual’s behavior is carried out quite often. However, the belief that religiosity has a uniqueness that needs to be studied further, as the option to use mortgage in financing home ownership is showing a growing popularity in conventional mortgage which is switching to sharia mortgage in Indonesia.

The objective of this study is to measure the effect of attitude, subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya. This study provides benefits for mortgage banks to determine service strategies by understanding the behavior of prospective debtors in accordance to their beliefs and also for prospective debtors to gain insight regarding the interest in choosing mortgage products adjusted to their beliefs.

**Literature Review**

Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) states that an individual’s intention in behavior is affected by three factors. The first one is behavioral belief, which is the belief in the result of a certain behavior, and the evaluation, either positive or negative, of both advantageous and disadvantageous behavior. This belief and evaluation produces attitude. The second one is normative belief, which is an individual’s belief towards others or the environment in which he exist, whether they are approving or disapproving the individual’s behavior. This forms subjective norms. The third is control belief, which is an individual’s belief based on past experiences’ behavior and other things that encourage or discourage his perception on said behavior. This belief forms perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991; Dalilaa, Latif, Jaafar, Aziz, & Afthanorhan, 2020).

Attitude is an evaluation based on cognitive, affective, and behavioral intention which describes an individual’s feelings in general to either encourage or discourage a certain behavior. Attitude is a strong factor of an individual’s intention to do a certain action (LaMorte, 2019). Md-Taib, Ramayah, & Razak (2008) found a significant effect of attitude on the intention of choosing ways of financing home ownership. Amin, Ghazali, & Supinah (2010) stated that attitude rises as a deciding factor of an individual’s acceptance towards home financing product. The higher an individual’s attitude towards home financing, the higher the intention to use a financing product. This means that attitude has a significant effect on the intention to use mortgage (Amin, Abdul-Rahman, & Abdul-Razak, 2013).

H1: Attitude significantly affects the intention to use mortgage
Subjective norms show social pressure an individual feels to do or not do a certain action or behavior to meet the expectation of others (Alam & Sayuti, 2011; Al-Swidi, Huque, Hafeez, & Shariff, 2014). Subjective norms’ component is external, through influence from family members, friends and other people on how an individual behaves. Xiao (2008) stated that if A is an important person for B to approve on a certain behavior, then there is a tendency of person B to have a high intention to behave as A suggests. According to Bang, Odio, & Reio (2014), subjective norms are the best factor of an individual’s intention, as there might be a chance for homeowners are having difficulties as the result of being socially pressured in an effort of using mortgage. The higher an individual’s social expectation to use mortgage is, the higher his intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership will be. Furthermore, subjective norms state that an individual will use home financing products if a certain amount of people in his circle have adopted those products. Subjective norms are an influencing factor in explaining the intention to use mortgage (Md-Taib, Ramayah, & Razak, 2008; Usman & Mohd-Diah, 2016).

H2: Subjective norms significantly affect the intention to use mortgage

Perceived behavioral control is the ability and belief of an individual which is felt in his behavior. Ajzen (1991) defined perceived behavioral control as a perception in the convenience or inconvenience in behaving while reflecting on past experiences such as anticipated hurdles and obstacles. The more an individual feels that there are obstacles to do a certain behavior, the more he will perceive himself to be unnatural to said behavior, and vice versa. Amin, Abdul-Rahman, & Abdul-Razak (2013) and Usman & Mohd-Diah (2016) tested perceived behavioral control, which is the willingness or reluctance of an individual to use mortgage and his experience in making transactions in banking products. Home buyer’s perception is focused on the ability to choose home financing products. The result shows a buyer’s intention to choose financing options if he has the skill, knowledge, and financial resources, is available because of the privilege he has. If perceived behavioral control is high, then the intention to use mortgage to finance home ownership will also be high.

H3: Perceived behavioral control significantly affects the intention to use mortgage

The level of product knowledge of an individual affects his information and behavior in making decisions. Knowledge is comprised of two constructs, objective knowledge (accurate information on product class which is stored in long-term memory) and subjective knowledge (an individual’s perception about the information and the amount of knowledge he has on product class) which are interconnected. Subjective knowledge is considered as the level of belief of an individual regarding his knowledge, while objective knowledge refers to what he actually knows. If someone has a strong subjective product knowledge, he has more self-confidence on his ability to do consumption behavior (Han, 2019). The level of product knowledge affects consumers’ intention of purchasing. Consumers with higher product knowledge have better memory, acknowledgement, analysis, and logical capabilities than those with lower product knowledge. As a result, consumers with higher product knowledge tend to rely on intrinsic cues rather than stereotypes to make assessments on product quality, because they realize the importance of product information (Lin & Lin, 2007; Khairunnisa & Hendratmi, 2019). Livette (2006) and Yasin, Surati, & Herman (2021) proved that product knowledge affects a person’s intention to receive and use financing products.

H4: Knowledge of mortgage significantly affects the intention to use mortgage
Religiosity is a factor which guides the consumption habits of the society and at the same time has an effect on product usage, as consumers from various religions are pushed to comply with their respective religion in choosing products (Essoo & Dibb, 2004; Conklin, Diop, & Qiu, 2021). Religiosity is reflected from a person’s attitude and behavior, and it is dependent on the level of said person’s religiosity. Someone with a deep commitment to his religion will be able to consistently make decisions compliant with his religion (Sood & Nasu, 1995). Tameme & Asutay (2012) stated that a religion’s perception about a product is considered as a standard to product acceptance. An individual will consider buying a product if the product does not violate the principles his religion holds. Religiosity significantly affects the intention to use mortgage (Alam, Janor, Zanariah, Wel, & Ahsan, 2012; Hamid & Masood, 2011; Yasin, Surati, & Herman, 2021).

H5: Religiosity significantly affects the intention to use mortgage

**Figure 1. Theoretical Framework**

---

**Research Methods**

This associative study is conducted on the sample of people in Surabaya who were selected according to purposive sampling with the criterion of aged 21 to 60 years, have regular income, and have the intention to use mortgage to finance home ownership. As a result, 204 respondents who met the criteria are obtained. The data was collected by spreading questionnaires through google form. The variables, that are being studied using a Likert scale measurement of 1 to 5 starting from strongly disagree to strongly agree, are explained in Table 1.
Table 1. Variables and Indicators

| No | Variable                        | Operational Definition                                                                 | Empirical Indicator                                                                 |
|----|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Intention to use mortgage       | Efforts made by individual to use mortgage                                              | Statements about intention to use mortgage based on behavior, target, situation, and time (Ajzen, 2020) |
| 2  | Attitude                        | Individual’s attitude in responding to things that are liked or disliked                 | Statements about cognitive, affective, and conative attitude towards mortgage (Ostrom, 1969; Ajzen, 2020) |
| 3  | Subjective Norms                | Individual’s motivation in conforming to other’s opinion regarding a behavior he’s about to do | Statements from family, friends, and persons of interest to the individual who supports use of mortgage (Ajzen, 2020) |
| 4  | Perceived Behavioral Control    | Individual’s perception on whether or not executing behavior that reflects past experiences is easy | Statements about source of funds had, ability, and skill (Chiou, 1998; Ajzen, 2020) |
| 5  | Knowledge of Mortgage           | Knowledge of mortgage                                                                    | Statements on individual’s knowledge of mortgage products (Usman, Garba, & Abdullahi, 2014) |
| 6  | Religiosity                     | Individual’s faith reflected on his attitude and behavior                                 | Statements on individual’s faith in mortgage (Conklin, Diop, & Qiu, 2021)             |

After the data had been collected, they were then processed using SmartPLS (Partial Lease Square) 2.0, to test the relations between variables. SmartPLS is an equation based on components or variants, which is outer model and inner model. SmartPLS is able to process data that have a limited number of samples with a complex model. SmartPLS is also able to test formative and reflective SEM models with different indicator measurement scales in one model. In SmartPLS, the normality of the data distribution is not a requisite, due to the use of bootstrapping method (Hair, Hult, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2017). This study uses a two-tailed p-value with a decision to reject the null hypothesis of no difference.

**Result and Discussion**

In accordance with the sample criteria: aged 21-60 years, have regular income and have intention to buy a house by mortgage, 204 respondents were acquired. The demographic descriptions of the respondents can be seen in Table 2.
Table 2. Respondents’ Description

| Information          | Gender | Total | Percentage |
|----------------------|--------|-------|------------|
|                      | Male   | Female |            |
| **Age**              |        |        |            |
| 21-30                | 30     | 25     | 55         | 27%        |
| 31-40                | 52     | 21     | 73         | 36%        |
| 41-50                | 34     | 18     | 52         | 25%        |
| 51-60                | 9      | 15     | 24         | 12%        |
| **Education**        |        |        |            |
| High school          | 46     | 35     | 81         | 40%        |
| Undergraduate        | 69     | 40     | 109        | 53%        |
| Graduate and postgraduate | 10   | 4      | 14         | 7%         |
| **Occupation**       |        |        |            |
| Employee             | 51     | 23     | 74         | 36%        |
| Self-employed        | 50     | 24     | 74         | 36%        |
| Professional         | 17     | 25     | 42         | 21%        |
| Others               | 7      | 7      | 14         | 7%         |
| **Status**           |        |        |            |
| Not married          | 41     | 26     | 67         | 33%        |
| Married              | 84     | 53     | 137        | 67%        |
| **Income**           |        |        |            |
| < Rp 5.000.000       | 8      | 8      | 16         | 8%         |
| Rp 5.000.001 – 15.000.000 | 43   | 26     | 69         | 34%        |
| Rp 15.000.001 – 25.000.000 | 25 | 15     | 40         | 20%        |
| Rp 25.000.001 – 35.000.000 | 26 | 19     | 45         | 22%        |
| > Rp 35.000.000      | 23     | 11     | 34         | 17%        |
| **Domicile**         |        |        |            |
| Surabaya             | 97     | 50     | 147        | 72%        |
| Outside Surabaya     | 28     | 29     | 57         | 28%        |
| **Religion**         |        |        |            |
| Christian            | 56     | 24     | 80         | 39%        |
| Catholic             | 29     | 33     | 62         | 30%        |
| Muslim               | 18     | 9      | 27         | 13%        |
| Buddha               | 19     | 12     | 31         | 15%        |
| Hindu                | 3      | 1      | 4          | 2%         |

Table 2 shows that 36% of the respondents are 31-40 years old, 53% have undergraduate degree, works as an employee and self-employed (each is 36%). The majority is married (67%), with an income of Rp.5.000.001 to Rp.15.000.000 (34%) and Rp.25.000.001 to Rp.35.000.000 (22%). The majority is Christian (39%) and Catholic (30%). Table 3 shows the mean value of statements used to measure the variables being studied, which are attitude, subjective norms, perceived behavioural control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity.
### Table 3. Indicator Measurement

| Code | Summary                                                                 | Mean  |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| **Attitudes** |                                                                 |       |
| ATT1 | Using mortgage to buy a house is very effective                         | 4.11  |
| ATT2 | I think using mortgage is a good idea                                   | 4.06  |
| ATT3 | Using mortgage in financing home ownership is suitable to my needs     | 4.16  |
| ATT4 | Mortgage helps people to buy a proper house                             | 4.07  |
| ATT5 | Mortgage is the best way to finance home ownership                      | 4.00  |
| ATT6 | I think banks have the fund for home-ownership mortgage in the long-term| 4.14  |
| ATT7 | I firmly believe in mortgage-providing instances                       | 4.12  |
| **Subjective Norms** |                                                             |       |
| SN1  | People who are important to me use mortgage to finance their home ownership | 3.90  |
| SN2  | People who are important to me will approve if I use mortgage to finance home ownership | 4.00  |
| SN3  | People who are important to me think that getting a mortgage is wise   | 4.03  |
| SN4  | My family thinks I should use mortgage to finance home ownership       | 4.11  |
| SN5  | My friends think mortgage is a good idea                                | 4.04  |
| SN6  | My friends suggest mortgage is the best way to get a house             | 3.94  |
| **Perceived Behavioral Control** |                                                             |       |
| PBC1 | I have a source of fund to pay for mortgage interest                   | 3.82  |
| PBC2 | I have a source of fund to pay for mortgage principal                  | 3.83  |
| PBC3 | Mortgage funding is affordable for me                                  | 3.91  |
| PBC4 | I have a legal certificate of land ownership                           | 3.91  |
| PBC5 | I have the capabilities required to take out a mortgage                | 3.78  |
| PBC6 | It is easy for me to be granted a mortgage                             | 3.96  |
| PBC7 | I can handle the complicated procedures of getting a mortgage          | 3.34  |
| **Knowledge of Mortgage** |                                                             |       |
| KM1  | I have knowledge on mortgage interest rates                            | 3.69  |
| KM2  | I have knowledge on mortgage products                                  | 3.39  |
| KM3  | I have knowledge on mortgage procedures                                | 3.43  |
| KM4  | I have knowledge on due dates of mortgage payments                     | 3.76  |
| KM5  | I have knowledge on mortgage terms and conditions                      | 3.64  |
| KM6  | I have knowledge on how to calculate monthly payments of mortgage      | 3.59  |
| KM7  | I have knowledge on mortgage financing                                 | 3.62  |
| **Religiosity** |                                                             |       |
| RPM1 | My religion allows me to make transactions with interest payments      | 4.02  |
| RPM2 | I have reasons based on my religion, if mortgage payments are paid with interest | 3.99  |
| RPM3 | Non-legally-binding transactions (only based on buyer’s and seller’s assumption) is allowed in my religion | 3.09  |
| RPM4 | I have reasons based on my religion to use mortgage where the conditions show uncertainty (caused by changes in interest rates, political condition or economic growth) | 3.22  |
| RPM5 | In my perception, mortgage is good according to my religion            | 3.85  |
| RPM6 | Based on religious considerations, I am allowed to take out a mortgage  | 3.90  |
| RPM7 | In my opinion, my religion agrees to mortgage loans                    | 3.76  |
| **Intention** |                                                             |       |
| IUM1 | I will recommend the people who are of importance to me to take out home mortgage | 3.95  |
| IUM2 | I intend to take out a home mortgage right now                         | 3.93  |
| IUM3 | I intend to take out a home mortgage in the future                     | 4.01  |
| IUM4 | I intend to take out a home mortgage even though there are interests   | 3.63  |
| IUM5 | I intend to take out a home mortgage even though the conditions are uncertain (caused by changes in interest rates, political condition or economic growth) | 3.77  |
| IUM6 | I intend to take out a home mortgage                                  | 3.83  |
| IUM7 | My intention to take out a home mortgage is high                       | 3.84  |
The analysis was followed by measuring the Outer Model, which is the convergent validity. The result shows that ATT4 (0.454), RPM3 (0.350), RPM4 (0.265), and IUM5 (0.370) do not comply with the loading factor as their value is less than 0.5. Those indicators are then taken out of the variable back to running data to pass the loading factor requirement. Table 4 shows that the cross loading value of each indicator, which is higher in its own variable compared to other variables, meaning that the indicators in this study qualify the convergent validity.

| Indicator | ATT   | SN    | PBC   | KM    | RPM   | IUM   |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ATT1      | 0.7238| 0.5067| 0.1324| 0.1299| 0.1848| 0.3052|
| ATT2      | 0.7938| 0.5893| 0.1535| 0.1771| 0.2496| 0.3211|
| ATT3      | 0.7710| 0.5697| 0.1254| 0.1942| 0.2325| 0.3416|
| ATT5      | 0.7642| 0.4977| 0.1476| 0.1349| 0.1676| 0.2524|
| ATT6      | 0.5993| 0.3490| 0.2545| 0.2232| 0.1949| 0.2211|
| ATT7      | 0.6850| 0.4192| 0.2607| 0.1899| 0.2067| 0.2023|
| SN1       | 0.5375| 0.8312| 0.2172| 0.3024| 0.4051| 0.4130|
| SN2       | 0.5775| 0.8209| 0.1967| 0.2226| 0.3400| 0.5048|
| SN3       | 0.5854| 0.8084| 0.2765| 0.2101| 0.2810| 0.4209|
| SN4       | 0.5539| 0.7106| 0.0822| 0.1405| 0.2017| 0.3437|
| SN5       | 0.4516| 0.7513| 0.3011| 0.2583| 0.3031| 0.3523|
| SN6       | 0.4568| 0.6970| 0.2271| 0.2220| 0.3248| 0.2796|
| PBC1      | 0.1681| 0.2374| 0.8118| 0.4288| 0.1846| 0.3197|
| PBC2      | 0.1902| 0.2284| 0.8148| 0.3961| 0.2396| 0.3277|
| PBC3      | 0.1852| 0.2534| 0.7903| 0.3473| 0.2020| 0.2946|
| PBC4      | 0.0686| 0.1259| 0.6614| 0.3190| 0.1432| 0.2583|
| PBC5      | 0.2283| 0.2636| 0.8167| 0.4241| 0.1844| 0.3412|
| PBC6      | 0.1472| 0.1475| 0.7419| 0.3271| 0.1326| 0.2622|
| PBC7      | 0.2214| 0.2041| 0.6672| 0.4656| 0.2707| 0.3055|
| KM1       | 0.1555| 0.1816| 0.4366| 0.8279| 0.1957| 0.2514|
| KM2       | 0.2388| 0.2463| 0.3930| 0.8230| 0.2794| 0.3323|
| KM3       | 0.2014| 0.2508| 0.3946| 0.8522| 0.2866| 0.3801|
| KM4       | 0.1615| 0.2125| 0.4979| 0.8008| 0.1444| 0.3110|
| KM5       | 0.1898| 0.2938| 0.3816| 0.7803| 0.1773| 0.3366|
| KM6       | 0.2048| 0.2150| 0.4349| 0.8757| 0.2976| 0.3407|
| KM7       | 0.2182| 0.2890| 0.4715| 0.8850| 0.2351| 0.3839|
| RPM1      | 0.2734| 0.4208| 0.3044| 0.3237| 0.7723| 0.4778|
| RPM2      | 0.3031| 0.3733| 0.1923| 0.2638| 0.8208| 0.4800|
| RPM5      | 0.1554| 0.2509| 0.1575| 0.2031| 0.7560| 0.3966|
| RPM6      | 0.1538| 0.2559| 0.1420| 0.0825| 0.7010| 0.3282|
| RPM7      | 0.1619| 0.1857| 0.1567| 0.1385| 0.7390| 0.3968|
| IUM1      | 0.3130| 0.4256| 0.2981| 0.2051| 0.4962| 0.7109|
| IUM2      | 0.2454| 0.2494| 0.2558| 0.2800| 0.3581| 0.7481|
| IUM3      | 0.2505| 0.2869| 0.2402| 0.2732| 0.1872| 0.5536|
| IUM4      | 0.2146| 0.3514| 0.2260| 0.3133| 0.4607| 0.7152|
| IUM6      | 0.2596| 0.3364| 0.3153| 0.3029| 0.4127| 0.8464|
| IUM7      | 0.3832| 0.5193| 0.3810| 0.3883| 0.4363| 0.7724|
Table 5 shows that the value of Average Variance Extracted (AVE) of each variable is greater than 0.5, meaning that the variables in this study for the discriminant validity test requirement. Cronbach’s Alpha (CA) and Composite Reliability (CR) of each variable is greater than 0.7, so it can be concluded that the data has met the reliability requirement.

| Variable                        | CR     | CA     | AVE   |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Attitude                        | 0.8688 | 0.8215 | 0.5268|
| Subjective norms                | 0.8979 | 0.8644 | 0.5956|
| Perceived Behavioural Control   | 0.9050 | 0.8766 | 0.5782|
| Knowledge of Mortgage           | 0.9418 | 0.9278 | 0.6984|
| Religiosity                     | 0.8713 | 0.8171 | 0.5759|
| Intention to use mortgage       | 0.8708 | 0.8213 | 0.5327|
| R-square                        | 0.4658 |        |       |

R-square value of 0.4658 means the dependent variable, which is intention to use mortgage, can be explained by the independent variables, which are attitude, subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity, with the value of 46.58%. The remaining 53.42% is explained by other variables not included in the proposed model. Figure 1 shows that subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity significantly affect the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership, as the value of t-statistics is greater than 1.96. However, ‘attitude’ does not significantly affect it, as t-statistics is less than 1.96.

Figure 2. Final Model Path-analysis
Table 6. Path Coefficient Model

| Effect    | Original Sample | t-Stat | Decision |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| ATT -> IUM| 0.0406          | 0.5915 | Failed to reject H₀ |
| SN -> IUM | 0.2547          | 3.3793 | Reject H₀   |
| PBC -> IUM| 0.1529          | 2.3373 | Reject H₀   |
| KM -> IUM | 0.1408          | 2.0478 | Reject H₀   |
| RPM -> IUM| 0.3628          | 4.8845 | Reject H₀   |

The effect of attitude on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya

An individual’s attitude is aimed at the feeling, emotions, and opinion about mortgage products in home ownership (LaMorte, 2019). However, the test results show that ‘attitude’ does not significantly affect the intention to use mortgage. This is consistent with the previous study of Usman & Mohd-Diah (2016), that attitude does not significantly affect the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership. The transaction value of house purchasing points to buyer’s financial capability. If the capability is low, the attitude tends to reject the intention, and vice versa.

The effect of subjective norms on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya

Social pressure in the form of support given from the people who are important to a person on whether or not they approve of what said person is about to do, is felt by said person, hence having a strong influence (LaMorte, 2019). When the expectation to use mortgage from a respondent’s person of importance is high, his intention to fulfil the expectation and to act accordingly will rise. The variable of ‘subjective norms’ significantly and positively affects the intention to use mortgage. The background of respondents who are married leans toward the support from family to the intention to use mortgage being higher. Most of the societies in Indonesia have the spirit of mutual assistance. When a family is supporting the use of mortgage, then indirectly, they will also help with payments should he faces difficulties paying. The test result is similar as Md-Taib, Ramayah, & Razak (2008) study in Malaysia.

The effect of perceived behavioral control on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya

A person’s perception of the intention to use a mortgage, if he has the skill and knowledge, is available because of the privilege he has. Perceived behavioral control is the perceived ability and confidence an individual possesses in performing a behaviour. The more an individual perceive that he has the opportunities and availability of resources, the stronger his intention will be. Respondent’s convenience to take out mortgage contributes largely to his intention to do so. As shown in the test results that ‘perceived behavioral control’ significantly and positively affects the intention to use mortgage, according to the result of Usman, Garba, & Abdula (2014) that application of perceived behavioral control can predict the intention of using mortgage in financing homeownership in Nigeria.

The effect of knowledge of mortgage on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya

A person who has an advanced knowledge tends to affect his decision on the intention to use it. The result of ‘knowledge of mortgage’ test shows a significant positive
effect on the intention to use mortgage. The higher the knowledge on mortgage products is, the higher the intention to use the product will be. Most respondents have the knowledge about using mortgage, such as the amount of loan interest, the amount of installments, and the payment method, so the respondents have the intention to purchase a house through mortgage, supported by the respondent’s undergraduate education background. This result is in accordance with the result of Chooprayoon & Fung (2010) that knowledge of mortgage affects significantly the intention to use a product.

The effect of religiosity on the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership in Surabaya

An individual’s self-confidence is encouraged by the religious principles of his religion (Essoo & Dibb, 2004). This study proves that religiosity has significant positive effect on the intention to use mortgage. A person with a high level of religiosity will be inclined to use mortgage. Religiosity indicator contributes largely to religion, which is consumers are allowed to pay mortgage and make transactions with interest payments, because most respondents are Christian, they do not mind whether or not the products offered are sharia compliant. The belief someone holds will guide him regarding the intention to use mortgage. This result by Hamid & Masood (2011) that religiosity significantly affects the intention to use mortgage in financing home ownership.

Conclusion, Limitation and Recommendation

Subjective norms, perceived behavioral control, knowledge of mortgage, and religiosity significantly positive affect on the intention to use mortgage. On the other hand, attitude has no significantly affect. Attitude is an evaluation based on cognition and affective that it is a strong factor to intention. Conflicting results may be due to heterogeneous demographic conditions related to income and religiosity. Therefore, attitude needs to be further investigated and can be developed with the locus of control as the moderating variable in order to explain the intention and proceeds on the decision to use mortgages. Theoretically, the results of this study are very useful for understanding individual behavior from a psychological perspective in making decision related to financial sector. Practically, the benefits for banks that have mortgage products are to be able to develop marketing strategies for offering mortgage products by providing education related to conventional mortgage and sharia mortgages.
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