The role of thermal analysis in the development of high-iron-content kaolinite-based photocatalysts
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Abstract
Dynamic and controlled-rate thermogravimetric analyses have been carried out on acid-treated (11 and 5.8 M HCl), high-iron-content kaolinites as potential photocatalysts. The mineral contaminants were determined by XRD, while the defect sites of reduced coordination number obtained by surface treatments were identified with 27Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. Upon heating, water is evolved from the surface-treated samples in three main stages: (1) removal of adsorbed water up to ~ 200 °C, (2) goethite dehydroxylation between 200 and 350 °C and (3) dehydroxylation of the clay in the 300–700 °C temperature range. Identification of water released from the above mass loss steps is difficult due to the significant overlap of steps 2 and 3, as well as to the presence of coordinated water at broken edges and defect sites (~OH2 groups). As a result, the thermal behavior of surface-treated kaolinites should be taken into account both in the preparation of hybrids/composites and in the acid–base characterization of the catalytic surface.
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Introduction

Kaolinite is a 1:1 type layered silicate with the general formula Al2Si2O5(OH)4 consisting of a two-dimensional arrangement of Si-centered tetrahedra and a two-dimensional arrangement of Al-centered octahedra. There are three types of OH groups located along octahedral edges: The so-called inner OH groups (iOHs) are in the plane common to both the tetrahedral and octahedral sheets and are not accessible by reagent molecules. The so-called inner-surface OH groups (isOH) form strong hydrogen bonds with the oxygen sheet of the next double layer. The OH groups located on the outermost surface of the particles and along broken edges are called as outer-surface OH groups (osOHs). The double layers held together via H bonds show a parallel orientation along the ‘c’ axis (book-type arrangement). The basal distance is around 7.1 Å. With suitable reagents (e.g., with short-chain fatty acids), the H bonds holding together the double layers can be broken up, leading to the formation of intercalation complexes. (The double layers are separated by individual reagent monolayers.) With cascade intercalation, the clay can be separated to individual double layers. (This process is called as exfoliation.) The OH groups along octahedral edges result in polar TO layers of hydrophilic nature [1].

In the last decade, the results on the composites and hybrids of the 1:1 type clay minerals along with their novel surface modification procedures opened new horizons of application such as the development of self-cleaning surfaces [1] or photocatalytic materials [2–8].

The iron contamination of clays is primarily due to the accompanying oxides, oxyhydroxides and carbonates. The contaminating oxides and oxyhydroxides are magnetite (Fe3O4), hematite (Fe2O3), lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH) and goethite (α-FeOOH), while the carbonates are mainly in the form of siderite (FeCO3). These contaminants can influence the porosity, while the Fe2O3 itself is a photocatalytic compound. Incorporation of iron in the crystal structure can also occur as a “structural” contaminant. In natural kaolinites, Fe3+ can substitute Al3+ in the octahedral sheets, amounting to even 2–6 m/m%. Little information is available on the possible effects of iron substitution as yet. Replacement of Al3+ ions (ionic radius 0.051 nm) by Fe3+ ions (ionic...
radius 0.064 nm), or less likely by Fe\textsuperscript{2+} ions (ionic radius 0.074 nm), in the octahedral layer can occur without a significant deterioration of the crystal structure. Incorporation of iron in the crystal lattice can most likely happen at three points: at structural discontinuities (broken particles), at stacking defects or at the outermost surfaces [9–13]. Due to its similar size, Fe\textsuperscript{3+} can replace the central Al atoms, resulting in catalytically important active acidic sites. In general, every surface treatment (e.g., thermal treatment or mechanical activation) results in some defect sites in the structure. Also, deposition of transition metal oxides onto the surface by heat treatment can cause defect sites due to the partial dehydration/dehydroxylation, leading to the reduction of the Al coordination number [14]. Therefore, the use of thermal analysis is of high importance from materials structure elucidation and technological points of view [15, 16]. Although thermal events are generally identified by peak temperature and enthalpy change data, it is important to emphasize that crystal modifications, the particle size, the overlapping processes, as well as the surface reactivity of the contaminants, can significantly influence the thermal behavior. In spite of these difficulties, thermal analysis plays a significant role in the development of clay-based catalysts, catalyst composites and hybrids. The application of kaolinites with iron-bearing contaminants in environmental technologies can be justified with their low price and environmentally friendly nature. On the other hand, their porosity is undesirable from catalytic points of view, which can be improved via surface modification (e.g., by acidic treatment). After acidification active centers are formed, significantly influencing the surface properties [17].

The surface properties (e.g., acid–base characteristics) of both kaolinites and OH-containing aluminosilicates are strongly influenced by the proton–acceptor interactions. Therefore, the thermoanalytical investigations are of utmost importance in the surface qualification of oxide catalysts of high surface OH content. In our analytical chemical approach, we demonstrate—through the example of an iron-containing kaolin as a potential photocatalyst—that with the use of thermoanalytical methods the methodological errors of structure and surface characterization techniques (e.g., XRD, TPD) can be eliminated.

**Materials and methods**

**Surface treatment of high-iron-content kaolin**

The kaolin sample (from Felsőpetény, Hungary) contains 5.05 ± 0.02 m/m\% 11 M HCl-soluble and 0.50 ± 0.02 m/m\% structural iron (in Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} equivalents). Defect sites were made in the kaolinite structure by acid treatment and exfoliation (with replacement intercalation). The samples with their designations as well as the ways of their treatment are summarized in Table 1. Since the preparation of the nano-kaolinite via replacement intercalation was published earlier [18], Table 1 contains the main preparation steps only.

| Designation | Way of surface treatment |
|-------------|--------------------------|
| K           | Raw kaolin, oxidic composition in m/m% SiO\textsubscript{2} Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} CaO MgO K\textsubscript{2}O Na\textsubscript{2}O Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}* H\textsubscript{2}O |
|             | 56 22 0.3 0.6 1.1 0.1 5.55 10–13 |
| Knano       | Nano-kaolinite obtained by replacement intercalation and exfoliation [18]: 1) Precursor (P): K+ potassium acetate (KAc) complex (K–KAc) K/KAc = 70:30 m/m\% mixture exposed to air for 5 days and then dried at 110 °C; 2) Intercalation with ethylene glycol (EG) complex (K–EG) K–KAc + EG, stirring for 3 h at 150 °C, centrifugation and drying 3) Intercalation with n-hexylamine (HA) complex (K–HA) 1 g K–EG + 5 mL HA, stirring at room temperature for 60 h in Ar atmosphere, centrifugation, drying at room temperature; this process repeated three times 4) Exfoliation: washing with toluene (T) 1 g K–HA + 5 mL T, stirring at room temperature for 5 h, centrifugation and drying at room temperature 5) surface cleaning: washing with isopropanol, acetone and Milli-Q water |
| Knano–11 M HCl | Nano-kaolinite (obtained by replacement intercalation and exfoliation) mixed in 11 M HCl for 6 h at room temperature |
| K–11 M HCl  | Kaolin, mixed in 11 M HCl for 6 h at room temperature |
| K–5.8 M HCl | Kaolin, mixed in 5.8 M HCl for 6 h at room temperature |

*5.05 ± 0.02 m/m\% 11 M HCl-soluble and 0.50 ± 0.02 m/m\% structural iron, in Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} equivalents
Determination of the photochemical activity

Thirty-five milligrams of surface-treated kaolinite was mixed into 35 mL $10^{-3}$ M (COOH)$_2$ solution. After mixing in a dark condition for 20 min, the residual oxalic acid was determined by permanganometric titration ($5 \times 10^{-3}$ M KMnO$_4$). In this way, the surface-adsorbed oxalic acid was determined. Then, the sample was irradiated with a $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ = 365 nm UV light for 240 min. Then, the residual oxalic acid was determined in the solution and the efficiency of the photochemical decomposition was determined.

Structure elucidation methods

XRD, SSA and porosity

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) measurements were taken using a Philips PW 3710-type instrument (CuKα radiation, $\lambda = 1.54056$ Å, 50 kV, 40 mA), in the 4° to 70° 2θ range with a scanning speed of 0.02°s$^{-1}$ and 1 s/step time. The finely powdered samples were loaded into back-packed mounts to eliminate preferential particle orientation.

The specific surface area, pore volume and pore size distribution in the micropore (1.7–2 nm), mesopore (2–50 nm) and the macropore (50–100 nm) diameter ranges were determined by nitrogen (N$_2$, Messer 99.995%) adsorption/desorption isotherms. These were measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2000-type instrument on samples (~ 1 g mass) previously outgassed in vacuum at 60 °C temperatures. Pre-treatment of samples was considered to be completed when the vacuum pressure dropped below 10 μmHg. The surface areas of the samples were determined by the BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) method from the corresponding nitrogen adsorption isotherms. The pore size distribution and pore volume values were calculated from the nitrogen desorption isotherms using the BJH (Barrett–Joyner–Halenda) model [19].

$^{27}$Al MAS NMR spectroscopy

All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 9.4T spectrometer equipped with a 4-mm MAS BBO probe head. Spinning frequency was varied between 8 and 12 kHz to differentiate peaks and spinning sidebands. Chemical shifts were referenced to an external standard. For all experiments, samples were packed under argon in a Si$_3$N$_4$ rotor and closed with a Kel-F cap. In a typical $^{27}$Al MAS experiment, 128 scans were acquired after a 90 degree pulse ($\nu_{RF}$ = 227 kHz) with 0.02-s acquisition time and 83 kHz spectral width allowing 50 Hz resolution. Additional relaxation delay was 5 s. $^1$H decoupling had no effect on the spectra; hence, it was switched off. The $^{27}$Al MAS spectra showed a small background signal at 109 ppm. During the $^{27}$Al CP MAS experiments, usually 512 scans were collected with the same window parameters and repetition rate as for the MAS experiment. The CP pulse strength for the $^{27}$Al was optimized to reach Hartmann–Hahn condition.

TG/DTG and CRTA measurements

The TG/DTG and CRTA measurements were taken in a Derivatograph PC-type (MOM, Hungary) thermoanalytical equipment in a ceramic crucible under static air atmosphere at a heating rate of 5 °C min$^{-1}$. The CRTA investigations were performed at a pre-set, constant rate of 0.05 mg min$^{-1}$.

TEM/STEM measurements

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) investigations were carried out using a FEI Talos F200X-type electron microscope with a X-FEG electron source, operated at 200 kV accelerator voltage. A SuperX EDX detector was used for the energy dispersive X-ray mode. The sample was prepared from an ultrasonicated aqueous dispersion (Milli-Q water), onto a lacy carbon-coated copper grid, which was dried at 60 °C prior to measurement.

Results and discussion

The effect of surface treatment on the mineral contaminants and on the porosity

Based on the XRD pattern of Fig. 1, the untreated kaolin contains illite, orthoclase, quartz and albite mineral contaminants (PDF numbers: hematite (00-033-0664), goethite (01-081-0464), kaolinite (00-014-0164), orthoclase (00-031-0966), albite (00-009-0466) and illite (00-026-0911)). The iron contamination is due to the presence of goethite and hematite. After treating with 5.8 M HCl for 6 h, only goethite can be identified, which also disappears with the treatment with concentrated HCl.

The effect of surface treatment on sample porosity is shown in Table 2. It can be stated that both the replacement intercalation/exfoliation (Knano, Knano–11 M HCl) and the acid treatment (K–5.8 M HCl, K–11 M HCl) result in the decrease of the SSA and the increase of the average pore diameter. It is interesting to see the behavior of samples Knano and Knano–11 M HCl. According to our experience, kaolins with iron-containing minerals show low exfoliation efficiency. Although now the thermal behavior of the samples is in focus, it is worth to pay attention to this interesting phenomenon. Based on the XRD data of the K–HA complex (c$^*$ basal distance——$d_{001}$—about 18–28 Å), it is supposed that hexylamine is present in the interlamellar gallery with a varying local geometry. Since the distance of the TO layers
Fig. 1 The effect of acid treatment on the iron-containing mineral contaminants

Table 2 The effect of acid treatment on the SSA values. The efficiency of the photocatalytic decomposition of (COOH)₂ is also given

| Sample (treated at 60 °C) | SSA-F \(_{BET}\)/m²g⁻¹ | SSA-F \(_{BJH}\)/m²g⁻¹ | \(F_{\text{micro}}/\text{cm}³\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(V_{\text{micro}}/\text{cm}³/\text{g}\) | \(V_{1.7-300}/\text{cm}³\text{g}^{-1}\) | \(D_{\text{average}}/\text{nm}\) | \(\eta\) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| K                     | 16.9            | 15.5            | 2.1              | 0.0009           | 0.0556           | 11.6             | 26     |
| Knano                 | 16.5            | 18.0            | n.a.             | n.a.             | 0.0608           | 12.2             | 23     |
| Knano–11 M HCl        | 7.4             | 8.9             | n.a.             | n.a.             | 0.0619           | 24.6             | 12     |
| K–11 M HCl            | 14.1            | 14.4            | 1.2              | 0.0004           | 0.0503           | 14.0             | 30     |
| K–5.8 M HCl           | 14.1            | 15.3            | 0.8              | 0.0002           | 0.0567           | 14.9             | 65     |

Type of pores: micro \(\times 2 \text{ nm}\); meso \(2 < \times \leq 50 \text{ nm}\); macro \(\times > 50 \text{ nm}\)

SSA-F \(_{BET}\)/m²g⁻¹, specific surface area figures estimated by the Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method. SSA-F \(_{BJH}\)/m²g⁻¹, estimated surface area values for cylindrical mesopores by the Barrett, Joyner and Halenda (BJH) theory. \(V_{1.7-300}/\text{cm}³\text{g}^{-1}\), the pore volume can be calculated for pores with 1.7 to 300 nm diameter with the Barrett, Joyner and Halenda (BJH) method. \(D_{\text{average}}/\text{nm}\), average pore diameter. \(\eta\), estimated photocatalytic decomposition efficiency of oxalic acid for surface-treated kaolin samples.
is big enough for the introduction of the iron-containing contaminant, the possible interaction between HA and the iron-containing mineral can stabilize the K–HA complex. This idea is also supported by the fact that while the efficiency of the replacement intercalation is ~95 ± 3%, the exfoliation efficiency (i.e., the separation of the layers into individual TO sheets) is 30 ± 5%, only. As a result, the Knano sample contains mixed phases (individual TO sheets and book-type layers). The calculation of the exfoliation efficiency from XRD data is more accurate when the re-ordering of the TO layers is negligible. If, however, with acidic treatment, washing (with toluene, methanol or water) or cleaning (with H2O2) of the surface the interaction between the nanosized particles (H bonds) increases, re-ordering can occur, resulting in the broadening/increased intensity of the d(001) reflection. This can cause uncertainties in the determination of the exfoliation efficiency.

The porosity data of the Knano and the Knano–11 M HCl samples can be interpreted as average values characteristic of the two phases. The acid treatment after exfoliation can increase the size and/or population of the mesopores, while the SSA value is drastically reduced, leading to the decrease of the catalytic efficiency.

However, from catalytic point of view, the increased pore size (caused by the surface treatment) is advantageous, since the diffusion is less controlled. It should be noted that the decrease of the SSA is not necessarily unfavorable, since the catalytic efficiency depends on several other factors, as well.

**Characterization of defect sites: 27Al MAS NMR spectroscopic investigations**

The change in Al coordination of the octahedral sheets was followed by 27Al MAS NMR spectroscopy. In Fig. 2, the rotational bands identified at 10 and 8 kHz are signed with "*" mark. Since the intensity of the coordinatively unsaturated sites is low, the determination of the band area ratios is uncertain. Thus, the spectra are useful for a qualitative comparison, only. The location and the symmetry of the bands are significantly influenced by the variety of the chemical environment. Based on literature values, the chemical shift data for the different Al coordinations are as follows: \( \text{VIAl: 4–7 ppm; } \text{VAl: 20–35 ppm; IVAl: 50–90 ppm} \) [20–24]. In our samples, in addition to VIAl, IVAl was identified, as well. Cross-polarization NMR spectra also showed that the tetrahedrally coordinated Al is mostly present inside of the sample.

**Thermogravimetric (TG/DTG) and controlled-rate thermogravimetric (CRTA) analyses**

Thermoanalytical investigations were aimed at the discovery of the connection between surface treatment and the thermal behavior of the samples. This is important for two reasons: (1) On the one hand, the inner-surface OH and outer-surface OH groups can be protonated and their bonding strength can be changed in the vicinity of defect sites obtained via surface modification (or at already existing ones along edges or broken surfaces) [14]; (2) on the other hand, it is important to know what temperature ranges are suitable to produce composites/hybrids without changing the secondary structure and, in an ideal case, to form defect sites to increase catalytic activity.

The results of the thermoanalytical measurements are shown in Fig. 3. The mass loss and the corresponding temperature range data are summarized in Table 3.
The DTG curves show three main decomposition ranges: (1) Up to about 200 °C, adsorption water is lost; (2) between 200 and 350 °C, the dehydroxylation of goethite and the liberation of coordinated water (–OH$_2^+$) take place, along with the traces of residual organics (Knano, Knano–11 M HCl); and (3) between 350 and 600 °C, the strongly coordinated water is lost simultaneously with the dehydroxylation of the clay.
1. The removal of adsorbed water takes place in at least two strongly overlapping processes. This is due to the controlled diffusion and to the distribution of the adsorption energy determined by the chemical environment (energy distribution of the H bonds).

2. The mass loss between 250 and 350 °C is due to the dehydroxylation of goethite (K, Knano, K–5.8 M HCl). In samples treated with 11 M HCl, the loosely bonded coordination water (formed as a result of acid treatment) is lost up to 350 °C. The origin of the decomposition products is also supported by the XRD data (see Chapter 3.1).

3. The dehydroxylation of the silicate backbone takes place in the 350–700 °C range. Dehydroxylation of the Knano and Knano–11 M HCl samples can be separated into two steps. The first step belongs to the exfoliated kaolinite phase (with DTG peak maxima at 423 °C and CRTA peak maxima at 385 °C), while the second belongs to the non-exfoliated mineral (with DTG peaks at 563 °C and CRTA peaks at 490–505 °C). It is important to note that the ratio of the exfoliated and the non-exfoliated phases (30% and 70%, respectively) is in harmony with that obtained from the XRD data. Due to the higher accuracy of mass measurements, XRD data can be validated with the TG/DTG results (cfr. Chapter 3.1). (The broadening of XRD peaks results in uncertainties in the determination of the phase ratios.)
The overlap of the evolution of the strongly coordinated and dehydroxylation water is shown by the DTG and CRTA curves in the ~350–450 °C range (especially for samples K–5.8 M HCl and K–11 M HCl). Also (as shown by the CRTA curves), the dehydroxylation of the clay backbone shows two strongly overlapping steps (Fig. 3). A possible explanation can be the difference in bonding energy between the inner-surface OH/outer-surface OH groups in the regular octahedra and those ones in the vicinity of the Fe$^{3+}$-substituted octahedra.

According to our experience, the liberation of the Zundel (H$_5$O$_2^+$ core)- and Eigen (H$_3$O$^+$ core)-type water strongly overlaps with that of the coordinated water. Also, dehydroxylation of the silicate backbone begins above 350 °C. The decomposition step between 300 and 400 °C is of importance because the evolving water can significantly influence the applicability of the TPD (temperate-programmed desorption) method aimed at the determination of the acid–base character of the surface [25–28]. To reduce measurement uncertainty, it is necessary to preliminary determine the pretreatment temperatures of the samples with thermal analysis.

On the other hand, the preparation of some of the mixed-oxide composites/hybrids takes place in the 250–400 °C range that partly overlaps with the dehydroxylation of the silicate backbone, resulting in the formation of coordinately unsaturated (defect) sites. Other oxides (e.g., ZnO) are formed in the upper temperature range of dehydroxylation (550-700 °C), where—depending on the amount of the remaining OH groups—a residual photochemical activity of 20–30% is experienced (unpublished result).

Table 4 contains the temperature ranges in which defect sites can be formed during the preparation of oxide composites. (With TPD experiments, systematic error can also occur due to the simultaneous liberation of the test material and water.) The temperature ranges of the individual steps are determined based on Fig. 1. The data of Table 4 show that—due to the strongly overlapping thermal events—the total amounts of water can significantly deviate from the average figures, independently of the fact that linear or CRTA heating was used. The overlapping thermal events have morphological reasons, mainly the presence of micropores (e.g., in sample K), the simultaneous presence of the book-type and

| Table 4 Mass loss (H$_2$O, mmol/100 g sample) and OH group (OH, mmol/100 g sample) values corresponding to the temperature ranges of composite/hybrid preparation |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Linear heating** | **CRTA heating** |
| **$\Delta T$/°C** | **H$_2$O** | **OH** | **H$_2$O** | **OH** |
| **$\Sigma$/mmol** | **/mmol** | **/mmol /(%)*** | **/mmol** | **/mmol /(%)*** |
| K | | | | |
| 315–380 | 0.011 | (3.5) | 292–361 | 0.011 | (3.6) |
| 380–690 | 0.289 | (91.3) | 361–484 | 0.150 | (49.1) |
| 690–760 | 0.016 | (5.2) | 484–675 | 0.133 | (43.7) |
| – | – | – | 675–800 | 0.011 | (3.6) |
| Knano | | | | |
| 322–360 | 0.011 | (2.6) | 298–324 | 0.050 | (1.3) |
| 360–475 | 0.105 | (25.3) | 324–435 | 0.105 | (25.3) |
| 475–640 | 0.255 | (61.3) | 435–518 | 0.195 | (46.7) |
| 640–800 | 0.044 | (10.8) | 518–620 | 0.072 | (17.3) |
| – | – | – | 620–771 | 0.039 | (9.4) |
| Knano–11 M HCl | | | | |
| 335–478 | 0.116 | (27.3) | 300–430 | 0.111 | (25.6) |
| 478–630 | 0.272 | (63.6) | 430–510 | 0.205 | (47.5) |
| 630–800 | 0.039 | (9.1) | 510–580 | 0.072 | (16.6) |
| – | – | – | 580–765 | 0.044 | (10.3) |
| K–11 M HCl | | | | |
| 355–695 | 0.316 | (96.6) | 332–482 | 0.183 | (51.5) |
| 695–800 | 0.011 | (3.4) | 482–652 | 0.133 | (37.5) |
| – | – | – | 652–770 | 0.039 | (11.0) |
| K–5.8 M HCl | | | | |
| 325–433 | 0.022 | (6.6) | 290–328 | 0.005 | (1.7) |
| 433–675 | 0.289 | (86.8) | 328–488 | 0.177 | (55.3) |
| 675–800 | 0.022 | (6.6) | 488–670 | 0.122 | (37.9) |
| – | – | – | 670–795 | 0.016 | (5.1) |

The total average amount of water lost in the dehydroxylation step is 0.356 mmol/100 g with linear and 0.367 mmol/100 g with CRTA heating, respectively.
exfoliated phase (Knano) and the diversity in the activation energy of the protonated OH groups.

**TEM investigations with element mapping (EDX)**

The TEM photographs of the pristine and surface-modified samples (K, K–5.8 M HCl, K–11 M HCl) are shown in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively, along with the Ti and Fe maps.

In accordance with the XRD results, the untreated mineral has well-crystallized goethite, hematite and (in a lower amount) TiO₂ phases (Fig. 4 A/1 and A/2-EDX (Ti, Fe)).

After the treatment with 5.8 M HCl, some goethite is still present on the surface of the clay particles. The acid treatment shows no influence on the TiO₂ phase (Fig. 5 B-EDX (Fe, Ti)). After the treatment with 11 M HCl, amorphous, spot-like Fe structures remain on the surface, which can be explained with precipitation of iron chloride from the acidic solution. With EDX, Cl was present at the detection level. In addition, it is reasonable to suppose the presence of Fe(OH)_2 and/or Fe(OH)_3, as well. In addition, ICP analysis showed the prolonged treatment with 11 M HCl dissolved in 0.11 ± 0.01 m/m% Al from the structure (which could be replaced by iron).

**Conclusions**

The development of clay-based catalysts requires the preparation of defect sites in the clay structure. From practical reasons, acid treatment is preferred, especially when iron-containing mineral contaminants are present.

The high iron content of the samples makes thermal analysis significantly more difficult. The iron contamination of clay minerals is usually caused by oxides, hydroxides and carbonates present as accompanying phases. In the kaolin samples studied, hematite (Fe₂O₃) and goethite (α-FeOOH) are present. Depending on the degree of crystallinity, dehydroxylation of goethite takes place in the 250–350 °C range [29]. Depending on the strength of acidic treatment and on the origin of kaolin, acid treatment can partially destruct the silicate backbone. It is proved, however, that HCl has no destructive effect [30]. On the other hand, the OH groups can be protonated at the surfaces and at the edges after acidic treatment and a cation exchange can occur (replacement of Al by Fe in the octahedra). The binding energy of the protonated OH groups is comparable with that of coordinated water molecules and—depending on their chemical environment—fall into the 50–200 kJmol⁻¹ range as determined by atomic-scale structural and energetic simulations. Thus, their dehydroxylation requires higher energy than for the removal of adsorbed water, but a lower one which is necessary for the removal of the structural OH groups [14]. This is of importance from several points of view. The dehydroxylation of goethite, the removal of the –OH₂⁺ groups and the dehydroxylation of the kaolinite show strongly overlapping
processes, leading to uncertain mass loss values. (In each step, only water is lost.) On the other hand, this could be disturbing (e.g., with the use of the TPD method), since the $-\text{OH}_2^+$ groups can have a blocking effect at the coordinatively unsaturated sites or the agent used for surface titration is liberated along with the loss of water. In both cases, uncertain measurement results can be obtained.

Thermal analysis can advantageously be used for modeling the conditions of preparation of kaolinite-based oxide catalysts and hybrid composites, since the extent of defect formation is also inferred from the measurement results.
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