Unifying first-principles theoretical predictions and experimental measurements of size effects in thermal transport in SiGe alloys
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We demonstrate the agreement between first-principles calculations and experimental measurements of size effects in thermal transport in SiGe alloys without fitting parameters. Transient thermal grating (TTG) is used to measure the effect of the grating period on the temperature decay. The virtual crystal approximation under the density-functional-theory framework combined with impurity scattering is used to determine the phonon properties for the exact alloy composition of the measured samples. With these properties, classical size effects are calculated for the experimental geometry of reflection mode TTG using the recently developed variational solution to the phonon Boltzmann transport equation, which is verified against established Monte Carlo simulations. We find agreement between theoretical predictions and experimental measurements in the reduction of thermal conductivity (as much as fourfold of the bulk value) across grating periods spanning one order of magnitude. This paper provides a framework for the study of size effects in thermal transport in opaque materials.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Deviations from the Fourier regime of thermal transport occur when length scales become on the order of the mean free paths of thermal energy carriers. Geometries in which the dimensions of heating or sample size can be shrunk to such a scale have provided experimentalists with a tool for probing size effects in thermal transport. For instance, Hu et al. used nanoscale metal heaters exposed to optical heating in the time-domain thermoreflectance (TDTR) configuration to measure size effects in bulk substrates [1], and Cuffe et al. used the transmission mode transient thermal grating (TTG) geometry to study the effect of film thickness in silicon membranes [2].

The nanoheater technique requires careful fabrication and microscopic knowledge of the thermal interface between the heaters and substrate for an accurate description of the transport. The transmission TTG requires optically thin and mechanically free membranes, limiting the range of materials that can be studied. Thus, a technique that overcomes these disadvantages is desirable. First used by Johnson et al. to observe nondiffusive transport in GaAs [3], the reflection mode TTG technique is a simple geometry that is not obfuscated by an interface or limited to thin membranes. The objective of this work is to use a bottom-up theoretical approach and apply the framework to the reflection mode TTG. In doing so, we are able to unify the pictures obtained from the macroscopic observables of experiment to the microscopic properties from theory.

Our candidate material is a silicon-germanium (SiGe) alloy, as this system has proven to be a canonical case for the study of thermal transport in a mass-disordered yet crystalline system, evidenced by the plethora of work dating back to the original work by Stohr [4], Toxen [5], and Abeles [6,7], where it was noted that the mass disorder scatters short-wavelength phonons, consequently shifting the dominant contribution to thermal conductivity to long-wavelength phonons.

The concept of a large contribution to thermal conductivity from long-wavelength phonons with large mean free paths (MFP) was used to explain the observation from Koh et al., who reported a modulation frequency-dependent estimate of thermal conductivity under the Fourier model of the experimental geometry of TDTR [8]. The authors suggested that the frequency dependence corresponds to a reduction in the contribution to thermal conductivity of the large MFP phonons. This result led to a series of theoretical explanations [9–13]. However, each of these explanations invoked a set of fitting parameters to accurately capture the experimental observable.

Inspired by the multiple theoretical attempts to explain the experimental observations, we present theoretical predictions that accurately capture our experimental observables without relying upon fitting parameters or unnecessary approximations. The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we present the phonon properties obtained using density-functional theory. In Sec. II B, the variational solution to the phonon Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) for the TTG experimental geometry is developed. In Sec. III, results obtained from TTG are presented and compared with our BTE-based predictions. Finally, we close with a discussion and outlook in Sec. IV.

II. THEORY

A. First-principles calculations

We follow the general procedure established by Broido [14,15] and Esfarjani [16] to obtain the phonon properties for SiGe. While the details can be found in these works, an outline of the procedure is included for the sake of completeness.

For a nonalloy system, the harmonic phonon properties are obtained using density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT). The underlying premise is to treat the mechanical displacement corresponding to the wave vector of a phonon as a linear perturbation to the electronic Hamiltonian, from which atomic forces can be calculated under the self-consistent criteria.
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of density-functional theory (DFT). These forces are then converted into harmonic force constants and used to construct the dynamical matrix for the perturbing wave vector, which can then be diagonalized to obtain the corresponding frequencies. The anharmonic properties can be obtained by extending the perturbation to higher orders [17]. The approach we follow begins with constructing a symmetry-reduced set of atomic displacements in a supercell, where each member of the set undergoes a standard DFT self-consistent calculation, each yielding the force field for the configuration. With this set of force fields, the third-order force constants are extracted. Phonon lifetimes are related to the third-order force constants through the application of Fermi’s golden rule. Integrating the modal thermal conductivity over the Brillouin zone, under the relaxation-time approximation to the phonon BTE, yields the lattice thermal conductivity. This full procedure is implemented in the SHENGBTE package [18].

To extend the above procedure to a crystalline alloy, approximations are necessary. As discussed by Toxen [5] and Abeles [6], the SiGe alloys are ideal candidates for studying the validity of the virtual crystal approximation (VCA). Following Garg et al. [19], we use the VCA to modify the DFT calculations. Within this approximation, two paths can be taken. One can compositionally average the pseudopotentials for the constituent atoms, and then proceed with the usual procedure. Alternatively, one can calculate the harmonic and third-order force constants for the unalloyed crystalline versions of the constituent atoms, take the mass normalized compositional average, and then proceed to calculate the phonon properties:

\[ A_{\text{VCA}} = xA^\text{Si} + (1-x)A^\text{Ge}, \]

where \( x \) is the percent composition of silicon, and \( A^i \) is a placeholder for the harmonic force constants, the third-order force constants, the atomic masses, and the lattice constants [20] of the constituent atoms. We have followed both VCA procedures and find a negligible difference in the phonon properties (see the Supplemental Material [21]).

The penultimate step in the alloy calculation is to include the effect of mass disorder. Again, following Garg’s work, the phonon lifetimes are modified under Matthiessen’s rule using the theory established by Tamura [22] to treat isotope scattering as an elastic perturbation. Garg et al. went a step further to estimate the anharmonic shifts due to disorder through supercell calculations. Feng et al. used molecular dynamics to show that the application of Matthiessen’s rule leads to an overestimation of thermal conductivity by more than ~20% in SiGe due to neglecting four- and five-phonon processes [23]. Our experimental results will show that the harmonic mass disorder approximation under Matthiessen’s rule produces reasonable theoretical predictions. We note that the procedure followed in this work will not capture the frequency shifts that can be observed in the SiGe Raman spectra [24,25] (see the Supplemental Material [21]). It is expected that these Raman active modes do not significantly contribute to thermal conductivity, as their group velocities are small and their lifetimes have been reduced by mass disorder scattering. The phonon properties are reported in Fig. 1, and details of the DFT calculations are available in the Supplemental Material [21].

FIG. 1. Si_{93.4}Ge_{6.6} MFP accumulation of thermal conductivity and heat capacity at 300 K.

B. Solving the Boltzmann transport equation

Given the bulk phonon properties of Si_{93.4}Ge_{6.6}, we now turn to the study of the effect of grating period length on thermal transport in the reflection mode TTG geometry. The diffusive temperature profile has previously been obtained in order to analyze the temperature signal using TTG for opaque materials [26]. For the experimental conditions of a spatially periodic heat source defined by wave vector \( q = \frac{2\pi n}{d} \), the temperature is given by \( T(x,z,t) = T_0 + T_0 e^{iqx} h(z,t) \) in complex form, and this serves as a definition of the nondimensional temperature \( h \). The temperature \( T_0 \) is the background equilibrium temperature of the system, for example the room temperature. The heating by the laser is incorporated with a volumetric heat generation term, given by the functional form

\[ Q = \delta(t) e^{iqx} U_0 \beta e^{-\beta z}, \]

where \( U_0 \) represents the energy per unit area deposited into the substrate by the pulse, and \( \beta \) is the inverse penetration depth of the heating profile. The derivation found in [26] takes into consideration different in-plane and cross-plane thermal conductivities, however the experimental signal is mostly sensitive to the in-plane thermal conductivity. For simplicity, we show the derivation for an isotropic system, where the Fourier heat conduction equation simplifies to

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -a q^2 h + \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\beta U_0}{CT_0} e^{-\beta z} \delta(t) \]

with the initial and boundary conditions given by

\[ h(z,t = 0^-) = 0, \]

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \big|_{z=0} = 0, \]

\[ h(z \to \infty, t) = 0, \]

which assumes an adiabatic surface at \( z = 0 \), and that the system starts at equilibrium prior to the energy deposited by
the laser. We present the solution in the Laplace transformed
domain for convenience:
\[
\hat{h}(z,s) = \frac{\beta U_0}{CT_0} \frac{e^{-\beta t}}{s + \alpha (q^2 - \beta^2)} \left( e^{-\beta z} - \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{q^2 + \frac{z}{\alpha}}} e^{-\sqrt{q^2 + \frac{z}{\alpha}}} \right).
\]
(5)

We intend to utilize this Fourier heat conduction temperature
profile in our variational solution of the BTE. Taking the
inverse Laplace transform of this yields the temperature as a
function of the depth into the substrate and time:
\[
h(z,t) = \frac{\beta U_0}{2CT_0} e^{-\alpha t(q^2 - \beta^2)} \left[ e^{\beta t} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha t} + \frac{z}{2\sqrt{\alpha t}} \right) + e^{-\beta t} \text{erfc} \left( \sqrt{\alpha t} - \frac{z}{2\sqrt{\alpha t}} \right) \right],
\]
(6)
where the surface temperature is
\[
h(z = 0,t) = \frac{\beta U_0}{CT_0} e^{-\alpha t(q^2 - \beta^2)} \text{erfc}(\beta \sqrt{\alpha t}).
\]
(7)

1. Temperature integral equation

We begin with the spectral Boltzmann transport equation
under the relaxation-time approximation (RTA):
\[
\frac{\partial g_{\omega}}{\partial t} + V_{\omega} \cdot \nabla g_{\omega} = \frac{g_{\omega} - g_{\omega}^0}{\tau_{\omega}} + \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi},
\]
(8)
where $g_{\omega}$ is the phonon energy density per unit frequency
interval per unit solid angle above the reference back-
ground energy, related to the distribution function as
$g_{\omega} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi}[f_{\omega} - f_0(T_0)]$, $V_{\omega}$ is the group velocity, $\tau_{\omega}$ is the
relaxation time, and $g_{\omega}^0$ is the equilibrium energy density, given
by $g_{\omega}^0 \approx \frac{1}{\pi} C_{\omega}(T - T_0)$ in the linear-response regime. The
sinusoidal heating profile in the $x$ direction (in-plane), given
by the pulse form $Q_{\omega}(x,z,t) = \delta(t) e^{i\omega x} Q_{\omega}(z)$, means we can
expect that the spectral and equilibrium energy densities will
also obey a sinusoidal profile $g_{\omega} = e^{i\omega x} g_{\omega}$, and the equilibrium
distribution will simplify accordingly to $g_{\omega}^0 = \frac{C_{\omega}}{4\pi} h(z,t)$. By
inputting this in-plane sinusoidal profile and utilizing the Laplace
transform (denoted by the $\hat{}$ symbol) in the time domain,
the BTE simplifies to
\[
\frac{\partial \hat{g}_{\omega}}{\partial z} + \hat{g}_{\omega} \left( 1 + s \tau_{\omega} + i \eta_{\omega} \mu_z \right) = \frac{\hat{g}_{\omega}^0 + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi}}{\Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z},
\]
(9)
where we have defined $\eta_{\omega} = \frac{\hbar}{\tau_{\omega}}$. For convenience, we define the parameter $V = \frac{1 + i f_{\omega} + f_{0}(T_0)}{2\tau_{\omega} \mu_z}$ to group the variables in a
compact form for the following solution of the BTE:
\[
\hat{g}_{\omega}(z,s,\mu_x,\mu_z) = e^{-Vz} \hat{g}_{\omega}(z = 0,s,\mu_x,\mu_z) + \int_0^z dz' e^{-V(z-z')} \hat{g}_{\omega}(z',s) + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi \Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z}.
\]
(10)
The boundary conditions are taken to be
\[
\hat{g}_{\omega}(z = L,s,\mu_x,\mu_z < 0) = 0,
\]
\[
\hat{g}_{\omega}(z = 0,s,\mu_x,\mu_z > 0) = \sigma.
\]
(11)
The first boundary condition takes an imaginary blackbody
wall at length $L$ into the substrate at the background tempera-
ture to account for the semi-infinite substrate, where this length
approaches infinity. The second boundary condition provides
the adiabatic boundary condition with diffuse scattering,
where $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int d\Omega \Theta(\mu_z) \mu_z \hat{g}_{\omega}(z = 0,s,\mu_x,\mu_z)$, which is
proportional to the specular heat flux approaching the surface.
We have utilized the Heaviside step function to reduce the
integration over the solid angle only to consider phonons
approaching the surface. Applying the boundary conditions
and taking the artificial length $L$ to infinity yields the formal
solution to the BTE for the spectral energy density in terms of
the equilibrium energy density:
\[
\hat{g}_{\omega}(z,s,\mu_x,\mu_z) = -\Theta(-\mu_z) \int_z^\infty e^{-V(z-z')} \hat{g}_{\omega}(z',s) + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi \Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z} + \Theta(\mu_z) \int_0^z e^{-V(z-z')} \hat{g}_{\omega}(z',s) + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi \Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z} + \int_0^\infty 2e^{-V(z')} F_2(z') \hat{g}_{\omega}(z',s) + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi \Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z}.
\]
(12)
where we have defined the following solid-angle integral function:
\[
F_\omega(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega \Theta(\mu_z) \mu_z^{-2}(e^{-Vz}).
\]
(13)
The first term represents phonons moving toward the surface
of heating at $z = 0$, whereas the second term represents phonons
moving away from the surface.

The temperature can be derived by utilizing the equilibrium
condition obtained by integrating Eq. (12) with respect to
frequency and the solid angle [27]. The equilibrium condition
in this case can be expressed as
\[
4\pi \int d\omega \frac{1}{\tau_{\omega}} \hat{g}_{\omega}(z,s) = 4\pi \int d\omega \frac{1}{\tau_{\omega}} \int d\Omega \hat{g}_{\omega}(z,s,\mu_x,\mu_z).
\]
(14)
Performing the solid-angle integral, and inputting the
expression for the nondimensional temperature expression
$\hat{g}_{\omega} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} \hat{h}(z,s)$, we obtain the integral equation for the
temperature distribution:
\[
\hat{h}(z,s) \int d\omega \frac{C_{\omega}}{\tau_{\omega}} \hat{g}_{\omega} = \int d\omega \frac{C_{\omega}}{2\Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left[ F_\omega(|z| - z') + 2F_\omega(z) F_\omega(z') \right] \hat{h}(z',s) + \tau_{\omega} \frac{Q_{\omega}}{4\pi \Lambda_{\omega} \mu_z}.
\]
(15)
This is an integral equation in the spatial variable $z$ for the
nondimensional temperature in the Laplace domain, which,
after solving, requires an inverse Laplace transform in order
to obtain the full temperature solution in the time domain. For
the thermal distribution, the spectral heat generation takes the form
\[
\hat{Q}_{\omega}(z) = \frac{C_{\omega}}{C} U_0 \beta e^{-\beta z}.
\]
(16)
Note that $\frac{C}{C}$ is a weighting of the contribution of a given
mode to heat generation under the assumption of thermalized
distribution [28]. While other distributions can be taken, we
utilize this form in order to compare to the Fourier heat conduction solution.

2. Variational solution

Equation (15) can be numerically solved using finite-difference methods [29] or Monte Carlo methods [30,31]. In this work, we extend a variational approach previously presented for the one-dimensional (1D) TTG [32] and thin-film TTG geometries [33] to the reflection mode TTG geometry. The starting point of this approach is to select a trial function. The simplest trial function is to take the diffusive temperature profile and allow just the thermal diffusivity to be a variational parameter. In general, the size effects exhibited by the BTE will affect both the temporal as well as the spatial distributions of the temperature. However, the simple variational solution that varies only one parameter, namely the thermal diffusivity, performs well by approximately solving for the thermal decay from the BTE over a broad range of grating period length scales. We proceed by taking the Fourier heat conduction solution of Eq. (5) as a trial function, and we use the thermal diffusivity as the variational parameter.

To solve for the variational parameter, we can utilize mathematical optimization methods such as least squares on scales. We proceed by taking the Fourier heat conduction solution.

3. Comparison between the variational solution and Monte Carlo simulations

To study the effect of the optical penetration depth in the case of a diffuse surface boundary condition, we first plot the kernels $f$ and $g$ as a function of $\eta$ for the extremal limits of $K_n$. The one-dimensional limit of $K_n \to 0$ and the surface heating limit of $K_n \to \infty$ define the envelope of curves between which the kernels for arbitrary values of the penetration depth must lie. As the Knudsen number increases, the size effect due to the optical penetration depth increases, which physically results in a decrease of the effective thermal conductivity under the imposed size effects, explicitly given as

$$f(\omega, K_n) = \frac{3}{\eta} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \eta \right) \left( \frac{1}{\eta + 1} - \frac{1}{\eta + \eta_0} \right),$$

$$g(\omega, K_n) = \frac{1}{\eta} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \eta \right) \left( \frac{1}{\eta + 1} - \frac{1}{\eta + \eta_0} \right).$$

We have defined the following solid-angle integral functions:

$$\Psi(x, z) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\Omega}{\Omega} \Theta(\mu_z) \left( 1 + i x \mu_x + i x \mu_y \right).$$

If we take the limit of $K_n \to 0$, i.e., the case of very long penetration depth, the solid-angle integrals vanish as $\Psi(\omega, K_n \to 0) \propto K_n$ and we recover the one-dimensional TTG limit described by the previously derived effective thermal conductivity [32]. The more interesting case for this problem is the reduction to surface heating, i.e., $K_n \to \infty$. In this case, the kernel functions simplify to

$$f(\omega, K_n \to \infty) = \frac{3}{2\eta^3} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \eta \right) \left( \frac{1}{\eta + 1} - \frac{1}{\eta + \eta_0} \right),$$

$$g(\omega, K_n \to \infty) = \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{1}{\eta + 1} - \frac{1}{\eta + \eta_0} \right).$$

For the general case of arbitrary penetration depth, the solid-angle integral functions can be calculated analytically (available in the Supplemental Material [21]), which allows for a fully analytical effective thermal conductivity for any penetration depth into the substrate.
FIG. 2. Kernels of the effective conductivity in Eq. (20). (a) The numerator kernel $f$ that shows the size effects and appears beside the differential conductivity and (b) the denominator kernel $g$ that shows the size effects and appears beside the spectral heat capacity.

cost conductivity. This occurs due to the decrease in the numerator kernel $f$ and the increase of the denominator kernel $g$. However, the variational solution produces a one-dimensional limit and a surface heating limit that are practically indistinguishable (Fig. 2), suggesting that the effective thermal conductivity due to a diffuse boundary experiences weak effects from the optical penetration depth.

Utilizing the derived kernels to calculate the effective thermal conductivity for Si$_{93.4}$Ge$_{6.6}$, we show in Fig. 3 the effective thermal conductivity in the various limits. Note that the effective thermal conductivity is quite similar in the one-dimensional limit and in the surface heating limit. As expected, when the thermal grating period is much smaller than the optical penetration depth, the effective thermal conductivity takes on values of the one-dimensional limit, as the transport is mostly in-plane. In the opposite case, when the grating period is much larger than the optical penetration depth, the effective thermal conductivity approaches the surface heating limit.

Figure 3 demonstrates that the variational technique predicts that transport has a weak dependence on the optical penetration depth, a consequence of the kernels’ weak dependence on the optical penetration depth. In the limit of $q/\beta \gg 1$, the one-dimensional TTG is recovered. In the limit of $q/\beta \ll 1$, the modified Fourier approach fails to capture the short-time behavior. In this regime, the use of effective thermal conductivity (obtained either using the variational approach or otherwise) is insufficient to characterize thermal transport. An example of this failure is presented in the Supplemental Material [21]. Even with such a limitation, our variational approach sufficiently characterizes the intermediate regime.

In the limit of $q/\beta \ll 1$, the variational method, using the Fourier temperature profile as input, reveals that the thermal conductivity that best recovers this behavior is the bulk value. This can be understood as a consequence of the constraint imposed by the equilibrium condition of Eq. (17), which dictates the behavior of the variational temperature profile in the large time limit where transport is diffusive. To ensure that this limitation is not present in the current experimental study, we compare against established Monte Carlo simulations of the RTA-BTE [30,31].

As is seen in Fig. 4, agreement at a grating period of 100 nm and an optical penetration depth of 10 nm and for a grating period of 10 $\mu$m and an optical penetration depth of 1 $\mu$m is observed. As our experiments have penetration depths on the order of 1 $\mu$m for Si$_{93.4}$Ge$_{6.6}$ [35], and use grating periods of between 1 and 13.5 $\mu$m, we are not in the $q/\beta \ll 1$ regime and we can move forward with our variational solutions.

FIG. 3. Effective thermal conductivity for Si$_{93.4}$Ge$_{6.6}$ in the one-dimensional limit and the surface heating limit. The effective thermal conductivity using the gray suppression function for one-dimensional TTG [Eq. (24)] is also shown [32].

III. EXPERIMENT

A. Sample specifications

The SiGe sample was fabricated by metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD). Briefly, SiH$_4$ and GeH$_4$ enter the reactor, which break up into Si, Ge, and H$_2$ from exposure.
to high temperatures (750–800 °C). The composition is controlled by tuning the flow rates of SiH₄ and GeH₄. A single-crystal sample consisting of 93.4% Si, 6.6% Ge with a thickness of 6 μm on a [1 0 0] oriented Si wafer with 6° off-cut toward the [1 1 1] plane was used for this work. Details of the sample fabrication and characterization can be found in previous work [36].

B. Transient thermal grating measurements

Transient grating spectroscopy is a variant on four-wave-mixing spectroscopic techniques that can be used to measure thermal transport dynamics over a well-defined in-plane length scale. In this technique, two pump laser pulses (515 nm, 60 ps full width at half-maximum) are crossed at the surface of the sample, where they interfere to yield a sinusoidal intensity pattern. Absorption by the sample creates a matching temperature profile, which evolves as a function of time through in-plane and cross-plane transport. The time dynamics of this “transient grating” are measured by the diffraction of a quasicontinuous probe beam (532 nm), and phase-specific information is extracted through heterodyned detection of the TTG signal by superposition of the diffracted signal with a reference beam (local oscillator) derived from the probe beam source. The signal is detected using a fast photodiode (1 GHz bandwidth) and recorded on an oscilloscope (4 GHz bandwidth). Specific details of the optical setup can be found elsewhere [26,37,38], and a depiction of the TTG setup is shown in Fig. 5.

The TTG signal will in principle have both real and imaginary field contributions due to “amplitude-grating” and “phase-grating” responses, respectively. The phase grating contributions contain decay components that correspond to thermal expansion and the imaginary part of the thermoreflectance [26]. Analysis of the amplitude-grating contribution is simpler due to the single contribution, and so this term was isolated during the measurements by optimizing the heterodyne phase to minimize the SAW signal, which only appears in the phase-grating response [26].

C. Results

All measurements of the Si₉₃.₄Ge₆.₆ sample were conducted at room temperature. Figure 6(a) shows two examples of raw TTG data along with the fits obtained from using Eq. (7). These fits yield an effective thermal conductivity as shown in
of the deviation from a bulk thermal conductivity. For dependence in TDTR measurements [8]. This explanation effects in SiGe grew out of the observation of the frequency draw on past interpretations to provide some context for this conductivities of theory and experiment. In this section, we agreement between the observables and effective thermal parameters) the recorded observable of TTG experiments, i.e., tional solution to the RTA-BTE to predict (without any fitting experimentally. We then used these properties and the varia-
tions to match the exact composition of the sample studied (a)
Fig. 6(b) alongside the prediction from the variational solution using properties obtained from first-principles calculations following Sec. II. We have used an optical penetration depth of 1500 nm, according to [35]. The effect of uncertainty in the penetration depth is presented in the Supplemental Material [21]. There is good agreement between theory and experiment, in the context of reflection TTG is shown in Fig. 7. While the gray BTE solution has been used to provide suppression to fully spectral interpretations in the context of reflection TTG is shown in Fig. 7. While the gray BTE solution has been used to provide suppression functions for the MFP reconstruction problem [1,40], we demonstrate that this assumption is invalid. Extending the MFP reconstruction problem to allow for fully spectral solutions is the subject of future work.

As mentioned earlier, one of the first explanations of size effects in SiGe grew out of the observation of the frequency dependence in TDTR measurements [8]. This explanation relied on the application of thermal penetration depth, $L_{\text{pdl}} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{\omega}}$, as a heuristic approximation to estimate the magnitude of the deviation from a bulk thermal conductivity. For Si$_{63}$Ge$_{37}$. $\alpha_{\text{bulk}} = 1.2358 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s, with 10 MHz, yields $L_{\text{pdl}} \sim 1 \mu$m. Under this approximation, we can take the MFP thermal conductivity accumulation function at 1 $\mu$m, yielding $0.4k_{\text{bulk}} = 7.3$ W/mK [39]. If we apply the same reasoning to our TTG measurements, we arrive at a clear inconsistency: $\lambda = 1 \mu$m yields $0.25k_{\text{bulk}} = 4.5$ W/mK, indicating that the MFP thermal conductivity accumulation function alone is insufficient to estimate the deviation from bulk. The next natural step in the interpretation of deviations from bulk required theory to go beyond the Heaviside cutoff of the thermal penetration depth and obtain a gray suppression function, $S_{\text{gray}}(\eta_{\omega})$, from solving the gray BTE [40–42],

$$S_{\text{gray}}(\eta_{\omega}) = \frac{3}{\eta_{\omega}} \left(1 - \frac{\arctan(\eta_{\omega})}{\eta_{\omega}}\right) \left(\frac{\eta_{\omega}}{\arctan(\eta_{\omega})}\right). \quad (24)$$

This function is then used as a kernel in the effective thermal conductivity integral, i.e., $k_{\text{eff,gray}} = \frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} C_{\omega} \eta_{\omega} \Lambda_{\omega} S_{\text{gray}}(\eta_{\omega}) d\omega$. This picture has also turned out to be an oversimplification, since the fully spectral solution to the BTE has no suppression function due to the presence of the denominator term in Eq. (20). The presence of this term is a general feature of effective thermal conductivity expressions that is not specific to the reflection mode TTG geometry [29,32]. Our work confirms this fact by demonstrating that a fully spectral solution to the BTE is required to characterize experimental observables. The progression from thermal penetration depth to gray suppression to fully spectral interpretations in the context of reflection TTG is shown in Fig. 7. While the gray BTE solution has been used to provide suppression functions for the MFP reconstruction problem [1,40], we demonstrate that this assumption is invalid. Extending the MFP reconstruction problem to allow for fully spectral solutions is the subject of future work.

In contrast to the interpretation of the thermal penetration depth of TDTR, the length scales in TTG do not depend on the intrinsic value of a material’s transport coefficient, and they are therefore physically well-defined independent variables. Although the information concerning the optical penetration depth is required, this is well within current characterization technology [43]. Given that the variational solutions to the 1D and surface heating TTG geometries predict approximately the same effective thermal conductivity dependence on the grating period, we have obtained estimates for the regimes in which the experiment is expected to match theory (i.e., when $q/\beta$ is not much less than 1). In doing so, we have presented a theoretical framework that is testable, given that experimental deviations from theory can be understood as departures from
the approximations used in this work: the VCA, the RTA-BTE, and the specific trial solution for the temperature profile used in the variational method. These approximations can be lifted and are left for future work. With the methodology presented here, the TTG can be used to study in-plane transport in opaque thin films that require a supporting substrate.

While TDTR measurements are sensitive to the cross-plane transport, the TTG provides a complementary tool for measuring in-plane transport. The variational method can be extended to more complicated geometries, such as layered systems with interfaces, ideally suited for providing insight into the interpretations of TDTR and TTG measurements. Such an extension would provide a path toward unifying the interpretations of the measurements from TDTR and TTG.

V. CONCLUSION

Our TTG experimental results augmented with DFT-based modeling and the variational BTE solution indicate that this experimental geometry is capable of meeting the predictive criteria necessary for studying size effects on thermal transport in complex materials, such as the SiGe alloy studied here. Interestingly, questions can now be asked, such as in what systems or at what length scales can we expect to find a breakdown of the VCA? Moreover, this geometry will likely prove useful in the study of systems in which the relaxation-time approximation fails, such as graphene, graphite, and diamond. The TTG experiment provides a path toward tabletop studies of the microscopic properties of thermal transport.
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