Smoking history: relationships with inflammatory markers, metabolic markers, body composition, muscle strength, and cardiopulmonary capacity in current smokers
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the relationships that smoking history has with inflammatory markers, metabolic markers, body composition, muscle strength, and cardiopulmonary capacity in current smokers.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study involving 65 smokers (age range: 18-60 years). On three non-consecutive days, each participant was evaluated in terms of smoking history, pre-existing comorbidities, lung function (by spirometry), peripheral muscle strength (by dynamometry), body composition (by bioelectrical impedance analysis), levels of metabolic/inflammatory markers, and maximum cardiopulmonary capacity (by treadmill exercise test). We evaluated the relationships that smoking history has with inflammatory markers, metabolic markers, body composition, muscle strength, and cardiopulmonary capacity, using logarithmic transformation of the data and calculating Pearson’s correlation coefficient and for partial correlations adjusted for age, gender, body mass index (BMI), and comorbidities. To identify the influence of smoking history on pre-existing comorbidities, we used a logistic regression model adjusted for age, BMI, and duration of smoking.

Results: Smoking history correlated significantly, albeit weakly, with triglyceride level (r = 0.317; p = 0.005), monocyte count (r = 0.308; p = 0.013), and waist circumference (r = 0.299; p = 0.017). However, those correlations did not retain their significance in the adjusted analysis. In the logistic regression model, smoking more than 20 cigarettes/day correlated significantly with the presence of metabolic diseases (OR = 0.31; 95% CI: 1.009-1.701; p = 0.043).

Conclusions: In this sample of smokers, smoking history correlated positively with the triglyceride level, the monocyte count, and waist circumference. The prevalence of metabolic disease was highest in those who smoked more than 20 cigarettes/day.

Keywords: Tobacco; Smoking; Triglycerides; Monocytes; Waist circumference; Body composition.

INTRODUCTION

Smoking is considered a chronic disease. Tobacco use causes more than 7 million deaths per year and is responsible for the development of various conditions, such as atherosclerotic diseases, which cause mild inflammation and can lead to dyslipidemias and sarcopenia through a catabolic response of the skeletal muscles. Smoking affects the lipid profile, reducing the level of HDL, which is cardioprotective, as well as increasing total cholesterol, LDL, and triglyceride levels. As a consequence, it causes endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, dyslipidemias, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular diseases.

Smoking can also change body composition, which, in turn, affects glucose homeostasis, diminishing pancreatic β-cell function and leading to insulin resistance. This process negatively influences the waist-to-hip ratio and the visceral fat profile of smokers, predictors of morbidity and mortality that are hallmarks of metabolic syndrome, which results in arterial hypertension, insulin resistance, abnormal cholesterol levels, and fat deposition in the abdominal region.

Smoking is an external agent that attacks the respiratory system, leading to an increase in local defense cells, such as monocytes, lymphocytes, eosinophils, leukocytes, and neutrophils. Chronic smoking affects the functioning of the peripheral immune system and can lead to low-grade chronic inflammation. In addition, it can predispose an individual to the development of COPD, generating an abnormal inflammatory response in the lungs, loss of skeletal muscle mass, and muscle dysfunction. The proteolysis triggered by tobacco use results in muscle...
atrophy and a consequent decrease in cardiopulmonary capacity.\textsuperscript{10,11}

The magnitude of the negative impact of smoking is related to what is known as the smoking history. Although smoking fewer cigarettes per day for a longer period of time has been shown to be more harmful than smoking more cigarettes per day for a shorter period of time,\textsuperscript{12} calculating the number of pack-years is still considered an appropriate way to investigate the relationship between smoking history and the risk profile in this population.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the relationships that smoking history has with inflammatory markers, metabolic markers, body composition, muscle strength, and cardiopulmonary capacity, in current smokers.

METHODS

We evaluated 65 smokers between 18 and 60 years of age (Figure 1). All of the procedures performed in this study were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Science and Technology of São Paulo State University, Presidente Prudente Campus (Reference no. 53299816.9.0000.5402). All participants gave written informed consent.

The study included smokers who were clinically stable and had a body mass index (BMI) < 40 kg/m\(^2\). Individuals who did not complete all evaluations were excluded from the study, as were those who had changed their medication in the last 30 days, those who had a pre-existing chronic respiratory diseases (FEV\(_1\)/FVC ratio < 0.7), those who had cancer, those who had an uncontrolled cardiac or metabolic disease, and those who used nicotine replacement therapy or antidepressants as smoking cessation aids.

**Study design**

This was a cross-sectional study in which participants were evaluated on three non-consecutive days. All tests were done in the morning in a temperature- and humidity-controlled environment (22.0°C ± 2.2°C and 56.6% ± 6.9%, respectively). Participants were instructed not to consume tobacco, alcohol, caffeine, analgesics, or barbiturates 12 h before the evaluations. All evaluations were overseen by specialized professionals.

On the first day of evaluations, participant histories were taken and personal data (gender, age, weight, and height) were collected, as were data related to smoking (duration of smoking, number of cigarettes/day, smoking history, and level of nicotine dependence) and pre-existing comorbidities (cardiovascular or metabolic diseases such as systemic arterial hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia). On the same day, lung function was evaluated by spirometry and peripheral muscle strength was evaluated by dynamometry. On the second day, body composition was evaluated by bioelectrical impedance analysis and peripheral venous blood was drawn for biochemical analysis. On the third day, each participant underwent a treadmill exercise test to determine maximum cardiopulmonary capacity and maximum oxygen consumption (VO\(_{2}\)\textsubscript{max}).

**Procedures**

Each participant answered questions about the number of cigarettes smoked per day and duration of smoking. Their level of nicotine dependence was assessed with the Fagerström test,\textsuperscript{13} which classifies nicotine dependence into five levels\textsuperscript{14}: very low (0 to 2 points); low (3 to 4 points); moderate (5 points); high (6 to 7 points); and very high (8 to 10 points). For each participant, the smoking history (pack-years) was calculated with the following formula: the number of cigarettes smoked per day divided by 20 and multiplied by the number of years of smoking.

The level of exhaled carbon monoxide was measured with a portable carbon monoxide monitor (Micro CO; Micro Medical Ltd., Rochester, England). Participants were instructed to take a deep breath and hold it for 15-20 s and then exhale slowly and constantly.\textsuperscript{15} The exhaled carbon monoxide level was measured before the other evaluations to make sure that they had gone 12 h without smoking. The cut-off point to be achieved in order to go on to the other tests was 10 ppm.\textsuperscript{16}

Lung function was evaluated with a portable MIR-Spirobank spirometer, version 3.6 (Medical International Research, Rome, Italy). The results were interpreted in accordance with the standards of the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society,\textsuperscript{17} and we employed reference values for the Brazilian population.\textsuperscript{18} The lung function pattern was established in relation to the lower limit of normal (LLN) for an obstructive pattern (FEV\(_1\)/FVC ratio < LLN and FVC ≥ LLN), a restrictive pattern (FEV\(_1\)/FVC ratio ≥ LLN and FVC < LLN), and a mixed pattern (FEV\(_1\)/FVC ratio < LLN and FVC ≥ LLN).\textsuperscript{19}

An electronic dynamometer (Power Din Standard; CEFISE, São Paulo, Brazil) was used in order to...
estimate peripheral muscle strength for the muscle groups responsible for the following movements: shoulder flexion, elbow flexion, shoulder abduction, knee extension, and knee flexion. Each test was performed three times, with specific dynamometer cables connected to the load cell and to the computer, and a one-minute interval between each attempt. Peak force and mean force were measured (in kgf).

The highest values obtained for each variable and segment were recorded for analysis.

The InBody 720 device (InBody, Cerritos, CA, USA) was used for assessing body composition, including weight, BMI, body fat percentage, muscle mass, fat mass, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio. The device has eight electrodes: four for each hand—in contact with the palm (E1 and E3) and thumb (E2 and E4); and four for each foot—in contact with the sole (E5 and E7) and heel (E6 and E8). Participants were instructed to fast for 12 h and not to engage in moderate or vigorous exercise for 24 h before the evaluation.

After the 12-h fast, 14-mL samples of peripheral venous blood were collected by qualified professionals using disposable and sterilized materials. The blood specimens were collected into three vacuum tubes, centrifuged for 15 min at 3,500 rpm to separate the product to be analyzed and have the levels of triglyceride, total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and glucose determined. The biological material was stored at −70°C, in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Glucose and lipid profile analyses were run on a spectrophotometer (SpectraMax Plus 384; Molecular Devices LLC, San Jose, CA, USA). Complete blood count and platelet count were performed by a specialized laboratory in an automated hematology analyzer (STKS; Coulter Electronics of Canada, Burlington, Canada).

For the evaluation of the maximal functional capacity, participants were submitted to a treadmill exercise test at an initial speed of 5.0 km/h, a constant incline of 1%, and speed increases of 0.5 km/h every 2 min. The test was continued until voluntary fatigue (VO2max) (≥ 0.4 and < 0.5), or strong (≥ 0.5). We then used a linear regression model adjusted for the same confounders. The logistic regression model adjusted for age, BMI, and duration of smoking was used in order to identify the influence of smoking history on pre-existing comorbidities. The participants were divided into two groups: those who smoked ≤ 20 cigarettes/day (n = 12) and those who smoked > 20 cigarettes/day (n = 53). Comorbidities were classified as present (1) or absent (0). The increase in relative risk was calculated with the following formula: \[ \text{Exp}(B) – 1 \] × 100. All analyses were carried out with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of our sample (N = 65), including smoking history, as well as demographic, anthropometric, and lung function data. Based on the LLN, 57 participants (88%) had a normal spirometry pattern, whereas 2 (3%) had an obstructive pattern, 4 (6%) had a restrictive pattern, and 2 (3%) had a mixed pattern.

Table 2 shows the data on inflammatory markers, metabolic markers, muscle strength, cardiopulmonary capacity, and the presence of comorbidities in our study sample. All of the participants with cardiovascular disease had systemic arterial hypertension. Of the 6 participants with metabolic disease, 5 had dyslipidemia and 1 had type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Figure 2 shows the analyses of the Pearson’s correlation coefficients, in which we found that smoking history showed weak positive correlations with the triglyceride level (r = 0.317; p = 0.005), monocyte count (r = 0.308; p = 0.013), and abdominal circumference (r = 0.299; p = 0.017). No significant correlations were found between smoking history and
the covariates in the partial correlations adjusted for age, gender, BMI, and comorbidities.

Finally, the logistic regression revealed that participants who smoked more than 20 cigarettes/day had an OR of 3.1 for metabolic diseases when compared with participants who smoked fewer than 20 cigarettes/day (p = 0.043; 95% CI: 1.009-1.701).

DISCUSSION

Although we found smoking history to correlate with monocyte count, triglyceride level, and waist circumference, the correlations were weak and did not withstand adjustment. Another important finding of our study was that participants who smoked more than 20 cigarettes/day were at a 31% higher risk of developing metabolic disease than were those who smoked fewer than 20 cigarettes/day.

Reynolds et al. (25) found that exposure to smoking causes changes in monocyte gene expression. When monocytes enter the subendothelial spaces of blood vessels, they can predispose the organism to the development of atherosclerosis. This happens because monocytes respond to chemotactic factors and start migrating and adhering to a layer of endothelial cells, potentially differentiating into macrophages thereafter. Those macrophages absorb low-density lipoproteins and turn into foam cells that gradually accumulate in the vessels, contributing to the development of atherosclerotic lesions. (26,27) Merianos et al. (28) found that adolescent smokers had increased levels of triglycerides. Consequently, such individuals are at an increased risk of atheromatous plaque formation. (27)

A study involving a sample of smokers and nonsmokers in Switzerland (all of whom were White and 35-75 years of age) identified a trend towards a dose-response effect between the number of cigarettes smoked and waist circumference. (29) In the present study, we also observed a weak positive correlation between smoking history and waist circumference. Waist circumference can also be associated with an increase in insulin resistance and have an indirect relationship with obesity, because an increased waist circumference is a marker for the development of diabetes, hypertension, metabolic syndrome, atherosclerosis, and cardiovascular diseases. (30,31)

According to a systematic review with meta-analysis, (32) a one-cigarette-per-day increase in consumption increases the waist circumference by

Table 1. General characteristics of the study sample (N = 65). *

| Characteristics                      | Results            |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Demographic data                     |                    |
| Gender (female/male), n/n            | 33/32              |
| Age, years                           | 43.0 (31.5-49.0)   |
| Anthropometric data                  |                    |
| Height, m                            | 1.7 (1.6-1.7)      |
| Weight, kg                           | 68.6 (60.6-80.0)   |
| Body mass index, kg/m²               | 25.5 (21.6-29.0)   |
| Body fat, %                          | 29.8 (22.8-35.9)   |
| Lean mass, kg                        | 25.8 (22.7-32.1)   |
| Fat mass, kg                         | 22.3 (14.0-27.7)   |
| Waist-to-hip ratio                   | 1.0 (0.9-1.0)      |
| Waist circumference, cm              | 88.0 (81.0-100.0)  |
| Visceral fat, cm²                     | 96.7 (59.5-118.5)  |
| Smoking history                      |                    |
| Cigarettes/day                       | 20.0 (10.0-20.0)   |
| Duration of smoking, years           | 23.0 (14.0-32.5)   |
| Smoking history, pack-years          | 18.5 (11.4-30.0)   |
| Fagerström test                      | 6.0 (4.0-7.0)      |
| Lung function, % of predicted        |                    |
| FVC                                  | 91.0 (84.5-101.5)  |
| FEV₁                                 | 91.0 (83.0-100.0)  |
| FEV₁/FVC                             | 99.0 (92.0-103.0)  |
| PEF                                  | 76.0 (67.0-87.0)   |
| FEF25-75%                            | 87.0 (74.0-112.5)  |
| Lung function pattern, n (%)         |                    |
| Normal                               | 57 (88)            |
| Obstructive                          | 2 (3)              |
| Restrictive                          | 4 (6)              |
| Mixed                                | 2 (3)              |

Table 2. Metabolic markers, inflammatory markers, muscle strength, cardiopulmonary capacity, and comorbidities in our sample of current smokers (N = 65). *

| Variables                      | Results            |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Metabolic markers, mg/dL      |                    |
| Total cholesterol             | 257.7 (220.4-311.8) |
| HDL                           | 41.8 (35.4-47.2)   |
| Triglycerides                 | 140.5 (130.9-167.7) |
| Glucose                       | 90.1 (83.0-106.8)  |
| LDL                           | 175.5 (140.4-243.6) |
| Muscle strength, kgf          |                    |
| Knee extension                | 22.0 (16.5-28.5)   |
| Knee flexion                  | 12.4 (9.0-16.4)    |
| Shoulder abduction            | 5.6 (3.5-7.6)      |
| Shoulder flexion              | 5.7 (3.8-7.5)      |
| Elbow flexion                 | 9.9 (6.2-13.7)     |
| Cardiopulmonary capacity     |                    |
| VO₂L/min                      | 1.8 (1.6-2.0)      |
| VO₂ mL/kg/min                 | 26.2 (22.2-32.6)   |
| Comorbidities, n              |                    |
| Cardiovascular                | 13                 |
| Metabolic                     | 6                  |

BMI: body mass index; and FEF25-75%: forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of FVC. *Data expressed as medians and interquartile ranges, except where otherwise indicated.
0.14% even when the BMI remains constant, that is, greater smoking intensity is associated with a propensity for central fat distribution. That may also explain the positive correlation that we found between waist circumference and smoking history, given that the magnitude of changes in the abdominal region is directly proportional to the number of cigarettes smoked.

In the present study, smoking history was not found to correlate with monocyte count, triglyceride level, or waist circumference after adjustments for gender, age, BMI, and comorbidities. Therefore, these factors may be interfering in this relationship in addition to the smoking history. Several factors can trigger the development of diseases; in this case, smoking is a modifiable risk factor, as are BMI and comorbidities, whereas gender and age are not. (33)

The fact that smoking history was not found to correlate with and lipid profile-related variables (total cholesterol, HDL, and LDL) corroborates the findings of a study conducted by Rom et al., (34) who also found no such correlations. However, in a review of the literature, Chelland Campbell et al., (6) found that smokers have higher levels of lipid-profile related variables than nonsmokers. Contrary to the findings of our study, Marano et al., (35) found that the levels of inflammatory markers were higher in smokers than in nonsmokers, a finding that could be explained by their small sample size.

Our finding that smoking history did not correlate with muscle strength or cardiopulmonary capacity corroborates those of Wüst et al. (36) who found that, in the absence of COPD, smokers did not present muscle weakness or changes in the contractile properties of the quadriceps muscle, although they did show more fatigue. It is likely that this correlation was not seen in our study because our sample was composed of current smokers without COPD. However, we can presume that the individuals in our sample are at a higher risk of developing COPD and other diseases, with the consequent loss of muscle mass related to the systemic inflammation caused by COPD and to smoking itself, which activates protein degradation pathways. (11,37)

Our study has some limitations, including the small sample size and the fact that we did not measure cytokine levels, as well as the lack of a control group, which could have helped us understand the results in a more consistent way. Therefore, we suggest that further prospective studies be carried out to investigate the relationship between smoking history and the development of metabolic diseases, drawing comparisons between smokers and healthy nonsmokers without comorbidities, as well as between normal-weight and overweight individuals.

Smokers are at an increased risk of developing various diseases, especially metabolic disorders, which, once developed, can lead to the appearance of other diseases. In clinical practice, professionals should be alert to the accumulation of fat in the abdominal region and the number of cigarettes smoked per day,
promoting smoking cessation or, when that is not possible, raising awareness about the importance of reducing the number of cigarettes smoked per day, engaging in physical activity, and having a more controlled, balanced diet.

In summary, we found a positive correlation between smoking history and triglyceride levels, monocyte count, and waist circumference. The prevalence of metabolic diseases was highest in those who smoked more than 20 cigarettes per day.
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