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ABSTRACT

Lower respiratory tract infections are among the most common infectious diseases of humans worldwide and continue to be a major cause of morbidity. This study focused on determining the microbial agents of lower respiratory tract infections and the susceptibility profile of bacterial isolates. A total of 585 samples provisionally diagnosed as suffering from lower respiratory tract infections were collected and subjected to microbiological investigations. Out of 585 samples, 345 (58.9%) showed growth of various bacteria. Gram negative bacteria constituted 65.5% of isolates whereas gram positive bacteria accounted for 34.5% of the isolates. Klebsiella species was the predominant isolate followed by Staphylococcus aureus and other bacteria. A low level of antibiotic resistance was noted in our study. Of the 191 enterobacterial species, 62 (32.7%) were ESBL producers and 9 (3.9%) of gram negative bacteria were MBL producers. Majority of the MBL producers were nonfermenting gram negative bacilli. Among the gram positive bacterial isolates 25 (22.5%) were MRSA strains. Majority of the antibiotic resistant strains were isolated from endotracheal tube secretions. Sensitivity to aminoglycosides and quinolones was good in our study. The present study reveals various pathogens involved in LRTI and their tendency towards antibiotic resistance.
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Introduction

Infections of the upper and lower respiratory tract continue to be a major cause of morbidity and mortality throughout the world (Reid et al., 2010). Infections in the LRT usually occur when the infecting organisms reach the lower airways or pulmonary parenchyma by passing the mechanical and other non specific barriers of the upper respiratory tract (Dylan et al.,). Infection involving the lungs is called pneumonia or lower respiratory tract infection and is a common clinical problem in the practice of respiratory care. In the late 1800s, Sir William Osler remarked that pneumonia is ‘captain of the men of death’ because of its poor prognosis in the preantibiotic era. More than a century later, pneumonia remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality around the world. Five million people die from pneumonia.
Pneumonia is the leading cause of death and the most common cause of infection–related mortality (Steven et al., 2009). Despite being the cause of significant morbidity and mortality, pneumonia is often misdiagnosed, mistreated, and underestimated.

Over the last decade or two, however patients presenting to the hospital have often been found to be infected with multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens previously associated with hospital-acquired pneumonia. The potential involvement of these MDR pathogens has led to a revised classification system in which infection is categorized as either community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) or health care–associated pneumonia (HCAP), with subcategories of HCAP including hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) (Lionel et al., 2012). It is the second most common hospital acquired infection and leading cause of hospital acquired infection associated death.

The etiological agents of LRTIs vary from area to area and from time to time. Rapid diagnosis of the causative agent of respiratory tract infections is crucial in reducing morbidity and avoiding excessive and inappropriate antibiotic use which promotes the development of antimicrobial resistance. This study was undertaken to know the etiology and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of LRTIs in our area.

Materials and Methods

This is a prospective study conducted over a period of 12 months from jan 2014 to dec 2014 at Department of Microbiology in a tertiary care teaching hospital. A total of 585 samples were collected from both inpatients and out patients, of all age groups and both sexes diagnosed provisionally as suffering from lower respiratory tract infections. Samples included 372 sputum, 49 bronchial wash, 101 endotracheal tube secretions and 63 pleural fluid. Samples were collected aseptically into well labeled sterile wide mouthed containers with screw caps and transported to the laboratory without delay.

Bacteriology

Films were made from the specimens and stained by Gram’s method. Gram stain with < 10 squamous epithelial cells and > 25 leucocytes / low power field (100 x magnifications) was subjected to cultural examination (Lionel et al., 2012).

Each sample was inoculated on blood, chocolate and MacConkey agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 hours. Emergent colonies were identified using standard methods (Elmer et al., 2006; Patricia et al., 2014).

Antibiotic sensitivity testing

Susceptibility tests were performed on all the bacterial isolates on Muller-Hinton agar by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion according to CLSI guidelines. The commercial available antibiotic discs used for the study are Ceftriaxone, Ceftazidime, Azithromycin, Amikacin, Ofloxacin, Amoxyclav, cotrimoxazole, Vancomycin, Imipenem, Tobramycin, Piperacillin. Methicillin resistance was detected using Cefoxitin 30 µg disc according to CLSI guidelines

Extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) detection

Was done by double disc diffusion method using Cefotaxime 30 µg/disc and Cefotaxime and Clavulanate 30/10 µg disc were used according to CLSI guidelines
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Metallobeta-lactamase detection (MBL)

Modified Hodge Test (MHT) was performed for detection of MBL.

Results and Discussion

Out of the 585 samples processed, 372 were sputum, 101 endotracheal aspirates, 49 bronchial wash and 63 were pleural fluid. Of the samples tested majority 429 (73.3%) were inpatients and 156 (26.7%) were outpatients. Of the samples processed 345 (58.9%) showed growth of various bacteria. Distribution of the samples and their positivity is shown in the table-1.

Isolation of gram negative bacteria was more frequent (65.5%) than the gram positive organisms culture positivity was more in inpatients 77.9% in comparison to the outpatients 22%. Klebsiella species was the predominant isolate recovered followed by Staphylococcus aureus, Coagulase Negative Staphylococci, Pseudomonas species, Escherichia coli, Enterococci, Acinetobacter and other enterobacterial species like Proteus, Citrobacter. Klebsiella species was the predominant gram negative organism and staphylococcus aureus was the predominant gram positive organism isolated. Among gram negative organisms enterobacteriaceae family predominated with 191 isolates (55.4%) and non fermenters constituted 35(10.1%) of the isolates. Of the 191 enterobacterial species, 62 (32.7%) were ESBL producers. Majority of the ESBL producers were the isolates from endotracheal tube secretions. 9(3.9%) of gram negative bacteria were MBL producers. Majority of the MBL producers were nonfermenting gram negative bacilli.

Table 1. Distribution and positivity of the samples

| Samples               | Total no | No of positives | % of positives |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------|
| Sputum                | 372      | 207             | 55.7           |
| Bronchial wash        | 49       | 30              | 61.2           |
| ET tube secretions    | 101      | 91              | 90.1           |
| Pleural fluid         | 63       | 17              | 26.9           |

Table 2. Sensitivity of the isolates to commonly used antibiotics

| Antibiotics          | Klebsiella S % | Staphylococcus aureus S % | CONS S % | Pseudomonas S % |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| Ceftriaxone          | 79.9           | 78.1                      | 86.8     | 58.1            |
| Ceftazidime          | 70.4%          | 72.6                      | 76.3     | 83.9            |
| Azithromycin         | -              | 63.0                      | 68.4     | -               |
| Amikacin             | 85.5%          | 65.8                      | 71.1     | 80.6            |
| Ofloxacin            | 75.5%          | 94.5                      | 73.7     | 77.4            |
| Amoxyclav            | 66.0           | 72.6                      | 55.3     | -               |
| Cotrimoxazole        | 66.7           | -                         | -        | -               |
| Vancomycin           | -              | 87.7                      | 84.2     | -               |
| Imipenem             | 84.9           | -                         | -        | 83.9            |
| Tobramycin           | -              | -                         | -        | 64.5            |
| Piperacillin         | -              | -                         | -        | 74.2            |
Among the gram positive bacterial isolates 25 (22.5%) were MRSA strains. Of them 20 isolates belonged to Staphylococcus aureus and 5 isolates to Coagulase negative staphylococci. The antimicrobial susceptibility profile of bacterial isolates is shown in table-2. Imipenem and amikacin were found to show greater activity against gram negative bacterial isolates where as vancomycin, amikacin, ofloxacin were effective against gram positive isolates.

The etiological agents of LRTIs vary from area to area and from time to time. Management of LRTIs is a challenge due to the emergence of multi drug resistance. In the present study 58.9% of samples showed growth of different bacteria which is consistent with the study conducted by Banerjee et al., 2014. Our study showed that infection rate with gram negative bacilli (65.5%) was higher than that of gram positive cocci (34.5%) which is in accordance with a study conducted by Ruoxi et al., (2014) Our study showed Klebsiella species accounting for 46.1% of all the isolates was the predominant organism isolated followed by Staphylococcus aureus which is similar to the study conducted by Supriya panda et al., and Christopher Aye Egbe et al., (2011) MRSA strains in our study was 22.5% which is slightly higher than that reported by Ramana et al., (2014) ESBL producers in our study was 32.7% which is slightly higher than that reported by Tripathi puri et al., MBL producers in our study was 3.9% similar to the study conducted by Mishra Acharya et al., (2012). Our study also showed that majority of the resistant strains were from ET tube secretions similar to one indicated by Navaneeth et al., (2002). Present study showed susceptibility to aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones was good when compared to other drugs tested.

We conclude that gram negative bacilli were the predominant isolates of lower respiratory tract infection with Klebsiella species as the common isolate. Occurrence of ESBL’s, MBLs, MRSA producing bacteria though low in our study, is a cause of concern. This study showed that isolates from ET tube secretions were found to be more drug
resistant than isolates from other respiratory samples indicating higher antibiotic usage in the critical care units. We emphasize the need for prompt clinical diagnosis coupled with microbiological observations along with appropriate treatment strategies in the management of both community and nosocomially acquired LRTI’s.
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