Revisiting the Concept of Vigilance
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Vigilance deficits can be observed after a period of prolonged, continuous wakefulness. In this context there has been extensive research targeting the impact of sleep deficits on different aspects of vigilance, but the underlying concept of vigilance was hardly ever addressed and discussed. One reason for this shortcoming is the unclear and ambiguous definition of the term vigilance, which is commonly used interchangeably with sustained attention and even wakefulness. This confusion is the result of a wide range of misleading definitions, starting in the 1940s, as psychologists redefined the concept of vigilance suggested by British Neurologist, Henry Head, in 1923. Nevertheless, the concept of vigilance is still useful and innovative, especially in treating sleep problems in children and young adults. This paper reviews the current usage of the term vigilance in sleep-wake-research and describes not only the benefits, but even more clearly, its limitations. By re-focusing on the definitions given by Henry Head, the concept of vigilance is an innovative way to gather new insights into the interplay between sleep- and daytime behaviors. In addition, future research on vigilance should consider three perspectives: 1st vigilance perceived as a process to allocate resources, 2nd vigilance associated with compensatory behaviors and 3rd the role of vigilance in human environmental interactions. This approach, understood as a conceptual framework, provides new perspectives by targeting sleep-wake behaviors as a ‘real life’ outcome measure, reflecting both physical and cognitive performance as well as sleep quality and quantity.
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THE MANY FACETS OF THE CONSTRUCT VIGILANCE

Hardly any other concept has caused as much confusion with its definition in psychology, physiology, and sleep research than the term vigilance. In everyday language, vigilance (derived from the Latin word, vigilantia) is primarily associated with being highly alert or having sustained attention. In the scientific context, the term vigilia has long been used to describe sleeplessness, but in current usage, the psychological definition as a state of increased and longer-lasting responsiveness has prevailed. These approaches have little to do with the concept of vigilance, as suggested in 1923 by Henry Head, a British neurologist (1). He referred to vigilance as the organism’s ability to reorganize itself and restore damaged functions. After trauma, the first signs of “vigilance” were the reappearance of reflexes, followed by automatic actions and gestures, and finally the regaining of the ability to differentiate between sensory stimuli (readiness to respond). In Head’s opinion, the reappearance of sensory processing is purely physiological in nature and independent of higher cognitive functions such as consciousness, motivation or interest. Therefore, vigilance is neither
a cognitive skill nor a matter of consciousness. However, consciousness requires vigilance and adequate processing of sensory inputs or a functioning autonomic nervous system (e.g., to control blood pressure, body temperature, etc.). In this context, vigilance is a universal property of animals and humans in order to react adequately to environmental stimuli and to ensure the survival of the individual.

Head defined three sub-categories as essential for his concept of vigilance: 1st perception, to guarantee that a stimulus is registered by the sensory system according to their modality. 2nd behaviors, a category which includes all kinds of observable behavior, whereby complex behavior is associated with higher levels of vigilance (and vice versa). And 3rd reorganization, referring to the ability of injured organisms to reorganize and restructure their neuronal connections in order to take over or compensate for the function of damaged structures. The goal of reorganization is to ensure the survival of the individual, which is by far the key function of vigilance (1).

Nevertheless, the reorganization aspect of vigilance caused confusion and criticism because it was not entirely clear what it meant. This was partly due to Head's inconsistent use of the term vigilance, which he sometimes referred to as vital energy, in relation to both nervous and mental processes. More than that, it remains unclear whether vigilance is per se the reorganizing force or only the result of this process (2).

THE CONCEPT OF VIGILANCE IN EXPERIMENTAL PSYCHOLOGY

In the view of test psychologists, vigilance can be measured by a simple S-R model (stimulus-response). This approach assumes that the presentation of a stimulus leads to similar responses in all individuals or to the same class of responses, observed over a distinct period of time. These time-on-task effects are seen particularly in behavioral automatisms. However, the dynamic aspect of Head's concept of vigilance (as a self-organizing system) is not addressed by conventional S-R models. For this purpose, more sophisticated approaches such as dynamic self-regulation models are necessary. Regardless of these possibilities, in the 1940s, NH. Mackworth developed, on behalf of the British Airforce, vigilance tasks (utilizing the Mackworth clock-test) in order to recruit suitable personnel for radar surveillance activities. Systematic studies with the clock-test (lasting for more than 2 h) demonstrate that even highly motivated individuals found it difficult to maintain their attention at a high level for such a long time without making mistakes. Mackworth (3) defined the ability to be attentive over long time periods as vigilance (or sustained attention) and fluctuations in attention as vigilance decrement, which was by far closer to the everyday understanding of vigilance (in the sense of being highly alert) than to Head's conceptual framework.

As a matter of fact, much of the vigilance research was conducted at the beginning of the Cold War in the 1950s, a time when slogans such as "constant vigilance" were common rhetorical figures in political communication in the Western World and the Soviet Union. Under these circumstances, vigilance research became an important discipline and subject of military defense strategies (4). But the military influence on vigilance research was criticized and not commonly accepted in the research community (5). In addition, the requirements for air traffic controllers had changed radically since the time Mackworth developed his test methods. Instead of reacting to rare events in monotonous situations, the increasing frequency in commercial air traffic generates a continuous stream of information and requires other skills such as a high degree of flexibility and the ability to deal simultaneously with different kinds of stimuli. In comparison, the conditions of the Mackworth clock-test are far less complex. Besides the assumption that vigilance tests should mimic detection performance during prolonged watch-standing conditions, the necessity of additional characteristics to classify a vigilance task was evident. Otherwise, vigilance monitoring would not differ from research on simple reaction time, which is still a common viewpoint (6). Although this issue was excessively discussed, there is still no agreement on the main characteristics of a vigilance task (e.g., test duration, type of stimulus and their temporal order) and obligatory outcome measurements (performance characteristics, response definitions, etc.). Even Mackworth's assumption that vigilance tests should last sufficiently long (e.g., 2 h and more) has become obsolete since the release of the 10 min version of the PVT (7, 8).

The lack of standardization for measuring vigilant performance and their interpretation led to an extensive and unreflective use of otherwise well-established psychometric test procedures such as simple reaction time tests, forced choice- or go/no-go tasks. This opened the door for an increasing number of alternative explanations and terms such as tonic alertness (9) or vigilant attention (10). Regardless of these developments, the Mackworth clock-test is still in use, even in slightly modified and computerized versions (11, 12). And besides its spongy definition, most studies with sleep deprived subjects refer to the concept of vigilance to describe the significant impairments caused by less or inadequate sleep (10, 13, 14).

Reconsidering Head's concept of vigilance, terms such as sustained or vigilant attention, understood not only as a cognitive skill but also influenced by motivation, experiences and expectations (e.g., assumed rewards), cannot be equated with vigilance per se. In the view of test psychologists, vigilance is reflected by behaviors, and adequate reactions are not possible without appropriate stimulus perception. But Head's third aspect, the reorganizing function of vigilance, is not addressed by the usual sustained or vigilant alertness tasks; concepts other than a simple S-R model [e.g., dynamic self-regulation models (15)] may support this aspect but are not commonly in use.

THE NEUROPHYSIOLOGICAL CONCEPT OF VIGILANCE

Findings in neurophysiology in the first half of the twentieth century proved to be largely supporting Head's concept of vigilance. For example, Hess (16) studies on the autonomic nervous system or, most importantly, the investigations of Bremer, Moruzzi and Magoun (17) on the ascending reticular
activation system (ARAS). This pathway turned out to be significantly involved in maintaining wakefulness and alertness, as well as for short-term (phasic) and long-lasting (tonic) activation. Also in sleep, these neurobiological mechanisms are suspected to play a key role in sudden activations (arousals) of the cortex (18, 19). Although arousals show several similarities to Head's concept of vigilance, there are substantial differences. According to Head, vigilance describes a fundamental principle of living organisms rather than the performance of specific anatomical areas such as the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus, which is the case in arousals (20).

Technical innovations were another reason for the increasing interest in the neurophysiology of vigilance. At the end of the 1950s, the recording of brain activity utilizing multi-channel EEG systems was available in many neurobiological research units. Dieter Bente was among the first scientists in Europe creating a classification scheme of wake states, analogous to sleep stages. Attempts at classifying the waking state have existed since the late 1950s by Lindsley (20) and Roth (21). Fluctuations in wakefulness, visualized by flattening and slowing of the EEG-signals, were assigned to corresponding vigilance levels such as relaxed wakefulness (alpha waves; alert, vigilant), tense wakefulness (beta waves; active, overexcited, hypervigilant), or decreased alertness (alpha-theta waves; drowsy, hypo-vigilant) and "sub vigil stages" for the transition to sleep (22). The EEG was considered to be the ideal representative of vigilance because it enables the time-synchronous coupling of neuronal activity with observable behavior (23), which is not the case when solely using psychometric testing. However, there are different opinions on whether sleep stages should be included into the nomenclature of vigilance stages (24). The onset of sleep marks the boundary beyond which wakefulness definitely ends and in this context a semantic ambiguity becomes evident: in many EEG-studies, the term vigilance is synonymously used as wakefulness or even alertness and this vagueness is still evident (25). In addition, also other methodological issues dispatched [e.g., the concept of "local/global" vigilance (26)] and there is an ongoing controversy about the correct definitions of hyper-, hypo-, sub-, super- or supra-vigilance as compared to "normal" vigilance states (see Figure 1). Many of these concepts and definitions are deemed to be incompatible with Head's idea of vigilance as an integrative, non-divisible entity (2, 24).

To date, none of the classification schemes for wakefulness have gained acceptance (unlike sleep stages), neither in clinical medicine nor in basic research. As an alternative to define wake stages, a number of EEG-based vigilance indicators (27) such as the alpha slow wave index, the absolute delta power or the 'vigilance index' (28) have been proposed, but still, there is no consensus on which method is most suitable for measuring vigilance. Despite the fact that EEG studies are considered to be the gold standard for identifying fluctuations in vigilance, there are other psychometric methods (6, 29–32) which have proven to be sensitive and even more suitable for long term vigilance monitoring (33).

Head saw an important, if not the most essential function of vigilance in its reorganizing power. But this aspect was also not addressed in the neurophysiological discussion on vigilance. Bente (22) was one of the few who repeatedly pointed out the integrative function of vigilance and was convinced to determine the current level of neuronal organization (understood as an expression of vigilance) by the analysis of spontaneous brain electrical activity. This idea was picked up by Ulrich (2), a student of Bente, who defined vigilance in relation to a closed biological system which is in constant interaction with the environment. In this interplay of disorganization (i.e., partial opening of a closed biological system) and reorganization (i.e., functional development, restoration and system closure), vigilance could be the “force” behind these processes. Reframing the concept of vigilance with cybernetic and system-theoretical models (Norbert Wiener, Ludwig von Bertalanffy) could set new perspectives, especially with regard to the role of sleep as a homeostatic- and restorative process.

VIGILANCE AND THE SLEEP-WAKE CYCLE

Although some scientists consider sleep as a non-vigilant state and hence not a topic of interest, the transition from wake to sleep was intensively discussed in vigilance research. A wide range of hypotheses, classifications and assumptions were suggested to define the line between being awake, conscious, vigilant and asleep (24). In terms of sleep physiology, the process of falling asleep is clearly determined by visual polysomnographic criteria for sleep stages N1 and N2 (34), without further distinctions into sub-stages.

According to current opinion, the transition from wakefulness to sleep is not a succession of stages, but a continuous process, accompanied by highly selective deactivations of different brain areas. This is in line with the presumption that sleep may occur locally and not strictly as a global event (35, 36). In 1988, Koella (37) suggested a theoretical model for sleep/wake regulation, centered on a system which he called the “vigilance controlling apparatus” (VCA). In this model wake and sleep are not fundamentally opposite entities; they differ phenomenologically (37) only by their vigilance profiles (e.g., during relaxed wakefulness vigilance is at an intermediate level, whereas in sleep it is relatively low). The variability in these profiles depends on the level of local vigilance [another concept by Koella (26)], which is detectable by behavioral observation (e.g., the intensity, quality, precision and adequacy of behavior). Although Koella’s considerations elicit only minor reactions in the sleep community, they are worth being reviewed, not only in the context of the “local/global sleep”-concept, but also in the discussion about default mode-networks (38, 39) and resting state phenomena (40, 41). This reorientation and re-definition of the concept vigilance in the context of sleep is crucial, because the term vigilance/vigilance states is often used interchangeably with sleep stages, sleepiness or wakefulness (25).

In the diagnosis of sleep disorders, vigilance tasks are important to point out the consequences of poor sleep on daytime sleepiness, fatigue or tiredness (42). Besides psychometric tasks such as the PVT or simple reaction time tests also the MSLT, MWT or other psychophysiological
measurements (e.g., heart-rate, actigraphy, evoked potential, pupillography) are in use (6) (see Table 1). The diagnostic value of such procedures does not always justify the great effort behind some of these tests (57). Moreover, the correlation between the different tasks is rather poor (58), particularly in patients whose subjective ratings of fatigue and tiredness rarely fit with objective measurements (59, 60).

One reason for these shortcomings is the lacking comprehensive concept of vigilance in basic sleep research; an attempt at such a concept by Posner and Rafal (the attention model) has yet to be updated (61). Approaches with attention triggered by cues, inwards (e.g., linked to mind wandering and daydreams) or outwards orientated (directed or selective) or reinforcing behaviors over time (as a sign of vigilance) may foster new insights as well as discussing the role of awareness in the context of vigilance (62). Currently, vigilance testing in sleep medicine is characterized by a cocktail of test methods (6, 30, 42) and confusing definitions taken over from neighboring disciplines, particularly psychology (see Table 1). New insights may offer functional magnetic resonance imaging studies with sleep deprived subjects (25, 63, 64).

REVISITING THE CONCEPT OF VIGILANCE

It is certainly not necessary to reinvent the concept of vigilance; A look at the extensive literature on this topic proves that there are already enough concepts and ideas. Looking back and anticipating Head’s genuine considerations is enough to gather new ideas and perspectives. As a first step, it is necessary to clearly distinguish vigilance from other concepts such as alertness, attention or arousal (43). By doing this, we suggest a second step to reframe the concept of vigilance as a mindset for collecting data on sleep-wake behaviors (e.g., psychometric testing, sleep studies, behavioral observation, subjective data, etc.) and their interpretation (see Figure 1). Research on vigilance should consider three perspectives:

Vigilance—Allocation of Resources
From a neurophysiological point of view, tiredness, fatigue, and decrements in attention and concentration are the consequences of neuronal inhibition, habituation or, more generally speaking, the decrease of alertness-promoting compounds (monoamines, acetylcholine). Subsequently, substances inhibiting neuronal signal processing accumulate [e.g., adenosine (65)] and thus produce “sleep pressure”. We consider wakefulness and alertness as biological resources which guarantee adequate reactions, ultimately for survival. If these resources tail off, compensatory actions are initiated, which are observable (e.g., behavioral patterns such as stretching, yawning) and measurable. In our opinion, the process of allocating resources is a matter of vigilance.

Vigilance—Compensatory Behaviors
Sleepy subjects try to keep themselves awake through auto-stimulating behavior (yawning, stretching, lolling, singing, whistling) (66, 67). These subsidiary behaviors (68, 69) are an expression of compensatory mechanisms to replace or mitigate diminishing alertness in order to fight against falling asleep. For example, children sometimes show hyperactive behavior at bedtime as a countermeasure for sleepiness. We think that compensatory actions such as subsidiary behaviors are a sign of the vigilant subject and thus relates to Head’s 3rd sub-service of vigilance (reorganization). Therefore, the identification and documentation of compensatory or subsidiary behaviors should be an essential part of vigilance diagnostics. With the use of video recordings and image processing tools, behavioral observation is feasible without consuming too much time and guarantees objective data analysis (66, 67, 70, 71).
Vigilance—(Human) Environmental Interactions

Many situations in daily life necessitate increased levels of attention and concentration. Activities like driving on the motorway for several hours at night, paying attention in class, or simply crossing a busy road require a substantial level of attention, not only to the environment, but also to one’s ‘inner’ world (i.e., emotions, motivation). The interplay between self-perception (e.g., ‘I’m sleepy because I didn’t sleep the night before’) and environmental demands (e.g., the consequences of errors) produce tension and activation, which are not considered by conventional vigilance test settings. For example, studies on driver fatigue demonstrate that car driving simulators do not reflect the situations of driving on a road at night. In real life, drivers are less tired and sleepy as compared to experimental settings in a lab (72). Therefore, we suggest including information about the test setting in clinical practice as well as in basic scientific research.

CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER PERSPECTIVES

Good sleep has numerous effects such as recovery from physical and emotional stress, and being well-rested, alert, concentrated, and productive during wakefulness. Vigilance is essential to guarantees adequate reactions to any kind of stimuli in order to ensure adaptation to changing environmental conditions. Conceived as a theoretical model (or construct), vigilance can be indirectly measured through psychophysiological methods or observed through visible behavioral cues, in particular by the degree (e.g., intensity, speed etc.) of ordered reactions including automatic behaviors. In our opinion, behavioral

TABLE 1 | Overview of the different definitions of vigilance, attention (vigilant, sustained), alertness, sleepiness, fatigue, tiredness and related tasks paradigms (measurements).

| Definitions | Measurements |
|-------------|--------------|
| vigilance   | - ‘conventional’ vigilance tests: monotonuous, long lasting, with infrequently appearing target stimuli [e.g., Mackworth Clock Tests (3)] - alternatively: short tasks, but with more target stimuli [e.g., Psychomotor Vigilance Test (PVT) (8), reaction time tasks (RTT), go/no-go tasks, forced choice tasks (FCT) - electroencephalography (EEG), polysomnography (PSG), evoked potential (EP) - heart rate variability (HRV) - pupillometry - electrodermal activity (EDA) - functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) - videometry |
| attention   | - psychometric tests for attention, concentration [e.g., Attention Network Test (44)], go/no-go tasks, FCT - EEG, EP, fMRI |
| vigilant attention, sustained attention | - Mackworth Clock Test, PVT, RTT, FCT, go/no-go tasks - EEG, PSG, HRV, fMRI |
| alertness   | - EEG, PSG, EP, HRV |
| sleepiness  | - PVT, RTT, go/no-go tasks, FCT - EEG, PSG, EP, HRV |
| fatigue, tiredness | - subjective (cognitive) measurements: Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS) (47), Stanford Sleepiness Test (SSS) (48), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) (49) - objective (physiological) measurements: Multiple Sleep Latency Test (MSLT) (50), Maintenance of Wakefulness Test (MWT) (51), pupillometry, videometry |
| - fatigue = subjective sense of tiredness; influenced by two biological factors: sleep-homeostasis and circadian processes; depends on the time-awake, time-on-duty or time-on-task; “fatigue” is often interchangeable used with sleepiness or tiredness; therefore it is important to distinguish between mental and physical fatigue (52); tiredness = diurnal fluctuations of wakefulness, contrary to sleepiness because (daytime) sleepiness is a sign of non-restorative sleep (63). |
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observation plays a key role in vigilance monitoring. Alertness, awareness or attentiveness are vigilance-associated processes, but not equivalents of vigilance. We suggest three new directions in future research on vigilance: 1st the role of vigilance in allocating resources (as a conceptual and explanatory mindset), 2nd vigilance as a trigger for subsidiary behaviors (“measured” by behavioral observations), and 3rd vigilance embedded in environmental interactions (which consider information about the test setting to be essential for classifying vigilant behaviors). Some of these suggestions have already been implemented with promising results (66, 67, 70, 71, 73).

Vigilance, re-defined as a system of allocation and reorganization of biological resources, provides a better understanding of sleep-wake behaviors and allows for the consequences of non-restorative sleep to be assessed in more detail. This approach may also improve the validity of biomathe
cal models in fatigue risk management to predict fatigue-related decrements in performance (74).
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