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Abstract

Kinetic study of the reaction between p-Nitrophenyl benzoate (PNPB) by hydrazine (HYN) in the presence of Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)/Chloroform/Hexane reverse micellar medium shows that the reaction obeys first order kinetics with respect to each of the reactants. The rate of the reaction is much slower in reverse micellar medium compared to aqueous medium under identical conditions ($k_{Aq} = 2.84 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $k_{rm} = 1.34 \times 10^{-4} \text{ sec}^{-1}$). The rate constants for the reaction in the reverse micellar medium have been determined at different values of W ($W=[H_2O]/[CTAB]$) and at different concentrations of CTAB. It was found that the observed rate constant decreases with W. This kinetic behaviour was interpreted by using modified Berezin pseudo phase model, taking into consideration the distribution of the reactants, PNPB and hydrazine between the three pseudo phases, i.e., water pool, interface an organic phase.
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1. Introduction

The surfactant aggregates formed spontaneously in organic solvents are called reverse micelles and water is readily solubilized in the polar core of reverse micelle forming a water pool, characterized by a parameter W ($W=[H_2O]/[CTAB]$) [1–3]. This solubilized water exhibits special properties like lower dielectric constant, higher ionic strength (in the case of reverse micelles made of ionic surfactants) and altered nucleophilicity compared to bulk water [4–8]. Since the water pool has different properties compared to ordinary water, the rates of reactions and mechanisms can be expected to be different.

Reverse micelles are known to solubilise both polar and non-polar solutes [9–12] and because of these unique properties they are widely used as reaction media to design variety of reactions and synthesize novel materials [13–15]. This media is also very useful for the synthesis of nanoparticles with desired shape and size [16–20]. Three reactions, kinetics of dissociation of [Fe(tpz)₃]²⁺, oxidation of [Fe(phen)]²⁺, indigo carmine and toluidine blue by periodate in the presence of CTAB reverse micelles have been earlier reported from this laboratory [21–23]. In the kinetics of dissociation of [Fe(tpz)₃]²⁺, low
dielectric constant of the water pool facilitates the formation of ion pair between complex and Br⁻ ion of CTAB and leads to increase in rate of reaction. In the study of kinetics of oxidation of [Fe(phen)₃]²⁺ in CTAB reverse micelles, kinetic results have been quantitatively explained based on ionic strength of the water pool using Guggenheim equation. In the study of kinetics of oxidation of Indigo Carmine by periodate in CTAB reverse micelles, it was found that water molecule exists in the rate determining step of the reaction mechanism and the observed kinetic results have been quantitatively explained using Berezin pseudo phase model. In order to further explore the applications of reverse micelles and to develop kinetic models for a variety of reactions, an organic reaction (SN₂ reaction) between Paranitrophenyl benzoate and hydrazine in CTAB reverse micellar medium, was chosen for study. The results of the kinetic study of this reaction along with the kinetic model are presented in this paper.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Stock solutions of PNPB (99% pure, Merck, India) and hydrazine (99% pure, Merck, India) were prepared in double distilled water. Chloroform and hexane were used after distillation. Stock solutions of CTAB (Cetyl tri methyl ammonium bromide, 98% pure, Sigma, India) were prepared in 3:2 (v/v) chloroform-hexane mixtures.

2.2 Preparation of Reverse Micellar Medium and Initiation of the Reaction

An amount of 0.04 mL of hydrazine solution of concentration 2.5 mol.dm⁻³ was added into 10 mL of 0.1 mol.dm⁻³ CTAB solution using a micro pipette. 0.02 mL of PNPB solution of concentration 0.02 mol.dm⁻³ was then added to initiate the reaction. The reaction mixtures were shaken sufficiently to obtain a homogenous reverse micellar medium. The reaction was studied by changing the value of W in the range 3.33 to 20.0 by varying the molar ratio of [Water] to [CTAB].

2.3 Experimental Method of Following the Reaction

The reaction was monitored by measuring the increase in absorbance of the product p-Nitro phenol where it has maximum absorbance at a wave length of 400 nm, using a SHIMADZU UV-1800 double beam spectrophotometer. The reaction was carried out under pseudo first order conditions, [HYD] >> [PNPB] and the pseudo first order rate constants k’ were obtained from plots of log(Aₒ−Aₜ) versus time. The second order rate constants, k₂, were obtained by dividing the pseudo first order rate constants, by the overall concentration of hydrazine. The kinetic data obtained are the averages from triplicate runs with reproducibility less than ±4%.

3. Results and Discussion

The kinetic investigations of the reaction have been carried out under the conditions, [[HYD]>>[PNPB]], and plots of log(Aₒ−Aₜ) versus time were found to be good straight lines showing first order dependence with respect to PNPB (Figure 1). The pseudo first order rate constant k’, was found to be directly proportional to concentration of hydrazine showing first order kinetics with respect to hydrazine (Figure 2). The reaction has also been carried out in aqueous medium under identical condi-
tions and similar kinetic features were observed. The reaction is much faster in the presence of aqueous medium (approximately twenty five times) compared to CTAB reverse micellar medium (Table 1).

The slow rate of reaction in the presence of reverse micelles can be explained as follows: the reaction follows an SN2 mechanism and the transition state develops a partial negative charge compared to the reactants which are neutral (Scheme 1). Since high dielectric constant conditions favours such a transition state, the reaction is much faster in aqueous medium compared to CTAB reverse micelles.

3.1 Effect of W on Rate of Reaction

Three different sets of experiments were conducted at three different concentrations of CTAB. At each fixed concentration of CTAB, a series of experiments were carried out at different values of W (Table 2). It can be observed from the table that the pseudo first order rate constants k', decrease with increase in W. Hydrazine is highly hydrophilic species and therefore can exist in the aqueous water pool and interface. Since PNPB is an organic compound, found to be distributed between the three pseudo phases. $K_{HY}$ and $K_{PNPB}$ represent distribution constants between the water pool and the interface and $Q_{PNPB}$ is the distribution constant between the water pool and the oil phase and are given by the equations;

$$K_{HY} = \frac{[HYD]}{[HYD]_w}; K_{PNPB} = \frac{[PNPB]}{[PNPB]_w}; Q_{PNPB} = \frac{[PNPB]}{[PNPB]_o} \quad (1)$$

Accordingly, the mechanism shown in Scheme 2 is considered to explain the kinetic behaviour [25,26].

Table 1. Comparison of rate constants in the aqueous medium and in the presence of reverse micelles (0.1 mol.dm⁻³ CTAB) at same ionic strength (μ), $[HYD] = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ mol.dm⁻³; $[PNPB] = 5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ mol.dm⁻³; $T = 304 \pm 0.1$ K.

| μ (mol.dm⁻³) | $k'_{aq,med} \times 10^3$ (sec⁻¹) | $k'_{rev, mic} \times 10^4$ (sec⁻¹) |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 3.46        | 2.84                            | 1.34                             |
| 4.16        | 2.84                            | 1.91                             |

Scheme 1. Reaction mechanism

Copyright © 2021, ISSN 1978-2993
each region by the mass balance Equations (2) and (3).

\[ [HYD]_{\text{tot}} = [HYD]_w \phi_w + [HYD]_s \phi_s \]  

(2)

\[ [PNPB]_{\text{tot}} = [PNPB]_w \phi_w + [PNPB]_s \phi_s + [PNPB]_o \phi_o \]  

(3)

where,

\[ \phi_w, \phi_s \] and \[ \phi_o \] represent the volume fractions of the aqueous droplets, the interface and the oil phase, respectively. The overall second order rate constant, \( k_2 \) is given by Equation (7).

\[ k_2 = \frac{k' [PNPB]}{[HYD]_0 (\phi_w + k'_w [HYD]_0 + k'_s [HYD]_0 + k'_o [HYD]_0)} \]  

(7)

where, \( \phi_w, \phi_s \) and \( \phi_o \) represent the volume fractions of the aqueous droplets, the interface and the oil phase, respectively. The overall second order rate constant, \( k_2 \) is given by Equation (7).

\[ k_2 = \frac{k' [PNPB]}{[HYD]_0 (\phi_w + k'_w [HYD]_0 + k'_s [HYD]_0 + k'_o [HYD]_0)} \]  

(7)

Equation (7) can be simplified by assuming that the hydrophobic PNPB reside preferentially in the oil phase compared to aqueous pools and that \( \phi_o \) is larger than either \( \phi_w \) and \( \phi_s \). As a consequence, Equation (7) is reduced, after an appropriate rearrangement and by the use of Equation (4) to Equation (6). In this equation, the denominator involved the parameter \( W_{\text{CTAB}} \).

Table 2. Effect of \( W \) and \([\text{CTAB}] \) on observed first order rate constant (\( k' \)): \([\text{PNPB}] = 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3} \); \([\text{HYD}] = 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3} \); \( T = 302 \text{ K} \).

| CTAB (mol.dm\(^{-3}) \) | [Br\(^{-}\)] \(_o\) | \( W \) | \( k' \times 10^4 \) (sec\(^{-1}\)) | \( k_2 \times 10^2 \) (= \( k' [/\text{HYD}]_0 \)) |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.1                      | 22.2           | 2.5  | 20.0           | 10.0           |
|                          | 15.6           | 3.5  | 13.6           | 6.80           |
|                          | 12.1           | 4.5  | 8.92           | 4.46           |
|                          | 8.47           | 6.5  | 5.81           | 2.90           |
|                          | 6.53           | 8.5  | 4.10           | 2.05           |
|                          | 4.16           | 13.0 | 1.91           | 0.95           |
|                          | 3.46           | 16.0 | 1.34           | 0.67           |
|                          | 2.77           | 20.0 | 0.92           | 0.46           |
| 0.2                      | 22.2           | 2.5  | 19.2           | 9.6            |
|                          | 15.6           | 3.5  | 13.4           | 6.7            |
|                          | 12.1           | 4.5  | 8.80           | 4.4            |
|                          | 8.47           | 6.5  | 5.43           | 2.71           |
|                          | 6.53           | 8.5  | 3.80           | 1.9            |
|                          | 4.16           | 13.0 | 1.78           | 0.89           |
|                          | 3.46           | 16.0 | 1.28           | 0.64           |
|                          | 2.77           | 20.0 | 0.86           | 0.43           |
| 0.3                      | 22.2           | 2.5  | 20.0           | 10.0           |
|                          | 15.6           | 3.5  | 13.2           | 6.60           |
|                          | 12.1           | 4.5  | 8.64           | 4.32           |
|                          | 8.47           | 6.5  | 5.63           | 2.81           |
|                          | 6.53           | 8.5  | 3.0            | 1.5            |
|                          | 4.16           | 13.0 | 1.82           | 0.91           |
|                          | 3.46           | 16.0 | 1.32           | 0.66           |
|                          | 2.77           | 20.0 | 1.04           | 0.52           |
which is important in determining the observed decreasing trend of $k_2$ with increasing molar ratio $W$.

$$k_2 = \frac{1.80 \times 10^{-3} k_2 W + k_1 \phi H \phi K_{PNPB}}{Q_{PNPB} \left(1.80 \times 10^{-3} W + K_{PNPB} \phi\right)}$$

(8)

The partition coefficient of hydrazine can be assumed to be much less than 1 since there is no exchange between hydrazine with the counter ions Br- of CTAB. Since the values of $\phi$ lie in the range 0.89–0.95 the term $K_{HYD}\phi$ can be neglected and Equation (8) now becomes,

$$k_2 = \frac{1.80 \times 10^{-3} k_2 W + k_1 \phi H \phi K_{PNPB}}{Q_{PNPB} \left(1.80 \times 10^{-3} W \right)}$$

(9)

$$k_2 = \frac{k_w}{Q_{PNPB}} + \frac{k_1 \phi H \phi K_{PNPB}}{Q_{PNPB} \left(1.80 \times 10^{-3} W \right)}$$

(10)

According to Equation (10), a plot of $k_2\phi$ versus 1/W should be linear. Such a plot was obtained and Figure 3 shows that the plots of $k_2\phi$ versus 1/W are good straight lines for all concentrations of CTAB. At low values of $W$ (3.33–4.44) small deviation from linearity was observed. This linear trend implies the absence of special properties of the water pool and their effect on the reaction rate. Since the properties exist at $W < 4$, there is a deviation from linear trend at low $W$ values.

4. Conclusions

The SN$^2$ reaction of the PNPB by hydrazine obeys first order kinetics with respect to each of the reactants in aqueous as well as CTAB/Hexane/Chloroform reverse micellar medium. The reaction has been found to be inhibited around twenty times in the presence of CTAB reverse micelles compared to aqueous medium which can be attributed to low dielectric constant of water pool. The second order rate constant decreases with $W$ and is independent of CTAB concentration. The kinetic results are interpreted by applying Berezin pseudo phase model and accordingly the plots of $k_2\phi$ versus 1/W are good straight lines for all concentrations of CTAB.

Acknowledgements

The authors (K. Bhargavi and P. Shyamala) are thankful to Ministry of Earth Sciences (MOES), National Center for Coastal Research (NCCR), India for financial support under major project No.MOES/ICMAM-81/2017. K.V. Nagalakshmi is thankful to the management of Gayatri Vidya Parishad College of Engineering, Visakhapatnam for their support and encouragement.

References

[1] Michael, R., Branka, M.L, Nancy, E.L., Kenneth, W.H. (2004). Water motion in reverse micelles studied by quasielastic neutron scattering and molecular dynamics simulations. J. Chem. Phys., 121(16), 7855-7868. DOI: 10.1063/1.1792592.3

[2] Amanda, J.M., John, W., Melanie, M.B. (2014). NMR and molecular dynamics study of the size, shape, and composition of reverse micelles in a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)/n-hexane/pentanol/water microemulsion. J. Phys. Chem. B, 118(36), 10767-10775. DOI: 10.1021/jp504585k

[3] Lubica, K., Eva, M., Peter, S., Petr, S., Petr, K. (2015). Nature of CTAB/Water/Chloroform Reverse Micelles at Above- and Subzero Temperatures Studied by NMR and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. Langmuir, 31(30), 8284. DOI: 10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b01776

[4] Mario, J.P., Chaimovich, H. (1986). Water activity in reversed sodium bis(2-ethylhexyl) sulfosuccinate micelles. J. Phys. Chem., 90(2), 282-287. DOI: 10.1021/j100274a016

[5] Liu, Q., Gao, M., Zhang, J., Zhang, R., Li, J., Chen, S., Chen, G. (2020). Synthesis of interface activity of cetyl trimethylammonium benzoate, Russ. J. Phys. Chem. B, 14, 73–80. DOI: 10.1134/S19907930120010066

[6] Menger, F.M., Donohue J.A., Williams, R.F. (1973). Catalysis in water pools. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95(1), 286-288. DOI: 10.1021/ja00782a075
APPENDICES

A. Expression for Rate and Rate Constant

\[ \text{Rate} = k \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_{\text{OVR}} \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_{\text{OVR}} \]

\[ \text{Rate} = k' \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_{\text{OVR}} \quad \text{where} \quad k' = k \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_{\text{OVR}} \quad \text{(PNPB is isolated)} \]

\[ k' = \frac{\text{Rate}}{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_{\text{OVR}}} \]

\[ \text{Rate} = k_w \phi_w \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w + k_P \phi_P \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_P \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_P \]

\[ k' = \frac{k_w \phi_w \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w + k_P \phi_P \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_P \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_P}{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w + \phi_P \left[ \text{PNPB} \right] + \left[ \text{PNPB} \right] \phi_P} \]

\[ k_2 = \frac{k'}{\left[ \text{HYD} \right]_{\text{OVR}}} = \frac{k_w \phi_w \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w + k_P \phi_P \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_P \left[ \text{HYD} \right]_P}{\left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w \phi_w + \left[ \text{HYD} \right] \phi_P + \left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w \phi_P + \left[ \text{PNPB} \right] \phi_P} \]

Dividing with \([\text{HYD}]_w[\text{PNPB}]_w\) both numerator and denominator and replacing

\[ K_{\text{HYD}} = \frac{\left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w}{\left[ \text{HYD} \right]_w}; K_{\text{PNPB}} = \frac{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w}{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w}; Q_{\text{PNPB}} = \frac{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w}{\left[ \text{PNPB} \right]_w} \]

We get

\[ k_2 = \frac{k_w \phi_w + k_P \phi_P K_{\text{HYD}} K_{\text{PNPB}}}{(\phi_w + K_{\text{HYD}} \phi_P)(\phi_w + K_{\text{PNPB}} \phi_P + Q_{\text{PNPB}} \phi_P)} \]
B. Product of Reaction between Para Nitro Phenyl Benzoate and Hydrazine

The product analysis was carried out by reacting $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ mol.dm$^{-3}$ para nitrophenyl benzoate with equivalent amount of hydrazine in aqueous and CTAB reverse micellar medium. After completion of the reaction, the yellow coloured product obtained was subjected to column chromatography to separate the two products and then NMR and mass spectrum of the product was obtained (Figure S1 & Figure S2). The spectra were found to be exactly identical with the spectra of para nitro phenol.

![Figure S1. Product chromatogram](image1)

![Figure S2. NMR mass spectrum of the product](image2)