Renormalization, Wilson lines, and transverse-momentum dependent parton distribution functions
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We perform an analysis of transverse-momentum dependent parton distribution functions, making use of their renormalization properties in terms of their leading-order anomalous dimensions. We show that the appropriate Wilson line in the light-cone gauge, associated with such quantities, is a cusped one at light-cone infinity. To cancel the ensuing cusp anomalous dimension, we include in the definition of the transverse-momentum dependent parton distribution functions an additional soft counter term (gauge link) along that cusped transverse contour. We demonstrate that this is tantamount to an “intrinsic (Coulomb) phase”, which accumulates the full gauge history of the color-charged particle.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental goal of QCD is to provide an accurate description of parton distribution functions (PDFs) which contain the nonperturbative strong dynamics. While integrated PDFs can be defined in a gauge-invariant way that is compatible with factorization, ensuring multiplicative renormalizability and DGLAP evolution, the definition of unintegrated or, equivalently, transverse-momentum dependent (TMD), parton distributions, poses severe problems (see, e.g., \cite{1,2,3}): (a) Additional, so-called rapidity, divergences \cite{4} appear, related to lightlike Wilson lines (or the use of the light-cone gauge $A^+ = 0$) \cite{5}, that cannot be taken care of by ordinary ultraviolet (UV) renormalization alone. In the integrated case these divergences also appear but they mutually cancel \cite{4,6}, allowing a probabilistic interpretation. (b) Moreover, in the light-cone gauge, the result depends on the applied pole prescription in the gluon propagator. Only with the advanced boundary condition, which sets the transverse gauge link to unity, one recovers the results obtained in the Feynman gauge \cite{7}. (c) The reduction to the integrated case is at least not straightforward \cite{8}. (d) Universality is in general broken \cite{4}, an issue outside the scope of our analysis, given that we concentrate on unpolarized PDFs only. This point will be briefly addressed in the last section. Let us discuss these issues in more detail.

The first issue, i.e., the treatment of the rapidity divergences, is on the focus of our investigation and will be discussed in detail below. The second question has been addressed by Belitsky, Ji, and Yuan (BJY) \cite{7} (see also \cite{10} and \cite{11}), where a transverse gauge link was introduced in order to exhaust the gauge freedom of the TMD PDF. The third problem becomes trivial in our approach because it is avoided ab initio by the proposed definition of the TMD PDF. In particular, one may note the

- Collins-Soper (CS) approach \cite{4} (or cutoff method)
- Collins-Hautmann approach \cite{12} (or subtractive method).

These authors were the first to address issue (a) and to propose a solution of the problem by adopting either a non-lightlike axial gauge or by shifting the integration contour slightly off the light cone. This, however, entails the introduction of an additional rapidity parameter $\zeta = (p \cdot n)^2 / n^2$ (with $n^2 \neq 0$) to encode the deviation from the light cone. To establish independence from this arbitrary variable, an additional evolution equation to the standard one has to be fulfilled causing the reduction to the integrated case questionable. Besides, factorization off the light cone also becomes problematic.

In our work we will follow another strategy, based on the renormalization properties of TMD PDFs in terms of their anomalous dimensions. The reason is that anomalous dimensions (within perturbative QCD) encode the key characteristics of Wilson lines in local form. In contrast, gauge contours are global objects and, hence, difficult to handle within a local-field theory framework. A properly defined TMD PDF should respect collinear fac-
torization. But this turns out to be in conflict with the gauge link because the Wilson line contains not only longitudinal gluons that could be eliminated by imposing the light-cone gauge, it also comprises transverse ones, with a distinct region of $k_\perp$, that are accumulated after the quark has been struck by the hard current and changes its direction from $x^+$ to $x^-$. As a result, one cannot define a TMD PDF by introducing a straight lightlike line between the quarks (i.e., a "connector" [12]). The reason is that the two quark fields have a separation also in the transverse coordinate space and hence the gluons originating from this are not collinear to the struck quark (they mismatch in the gluon rapidity). The common assumption to avoid this problem is to use a combined contour which joins the quarks through light-cone infinity. Our analysis shows that such a contour cannot be a smooth one, as usually tacitly assumed, but it has to contain some obstruction in the transverse direction (not specified yet) which will inevitably contribute to the total anomalous dimension of the TMD PDF. Therefore, in order to be able to reproduce the well-known result in the Feynman gauge, one has to define the TMD PDF in such a way as to cancel this unwanted anomalous-dimension term. To this end, we seek to recast the Wilson line in the axial light-cone gauge in the form of the TMD PDF that provides the same anomalous dimension as the one in the Feynman gauge. Section II deals with the interpretation of the soft gauge-invariant counter term, introduced in Sec. III, as an "intrinsic Coulomb phase" in analogy to the QED case [18]. Some comments on universality and our conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. CALCULATION OF THE ANOMALOUS DIMENSION OF THE TMD PDF

A. Transverse gauge link

The standard definition of the TMD PDF [4], for a quark in a quark distribution supplemented by a transverse link [7], reads

$$f_{q/g}(x, k_\perp) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\xi^- d^2 \xi_\perp}{2\pi (2\pi)^2} e^{-ik^+ \xi^- + i k_\perp \cdot \xi_\perp} \langle q(p)|\psi(\xi^- \xi_\perp)|\psi(\xi^- \xi_\perp; \infty^- \xi_\perp; \infty^- \xi_\perp; \infty^- \xi_\perp)\rangle |_{\xi^- = 0}$$

$$[\infty^-, z_\perp; z^-, z_\perp] \equiv P \exp \left[ ig \int_0^\infty d\tau t^a n_\mu A_\mu(z + n\tau) \right] , [\infty^-, \infty_\perp; \infty^-, \xi_\perp] \equiv P \exp \left[ ig \int_0^\infty d\tau t^a \mathbf{l} \cdot A_\mathbf{a}(\xi_\perp + \mathbf{l}\tau) \right]$$

(1)

where $\mathbf{l}$ represents an arbitrary vector in the transverse direction and $P$ denotes path ordering. The displayed gauge links $[\infty^-, z_\perp; z^-, z_\perp]$ and $[\infty^-, \infty_\perp; \infty^-, \xi_\perp]$ involve gauge contours extending to light-cone infinity in the lightlike and in the transverse direction, respectively. Analogous expressions hold for the other gauge links entering [11]. Belitsky, Ji, and Yuan [7] have shown that the extra transverse gauge link is indispensable for the restoration of gauge invariance in the light-cone gauge in which the gauge potential does not vanish asymptotically.

The necessity of the additional transverse gauge link in Eq. (1) can be most easily understood from the point of view of a complete gauge fixing in the axial light-cone gauge. Using the spacetime picture of the interaction of a quark, moving fast in the plus light-cone direction, with the hard spacelike photon, as depicted in Fig. 2, one can treat the "classical" current

$$j_\mu(y) = g \int dy' \delta^{(4)}(y - y') , \quad y'_\mu = v_\mu \tau ,$$

(2)
as a source of the gauge field. The gauge field related to such a current has the form

$$A_\mu(\xi) = \int d^2 y D_{\mu\nu}(\xi - y) j_\nu(y) ,$$

(3)

where $D_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon Green’s function. Appealing to the spacetime structure of this process, illustrated in Fig.
The (singular) gauge transformation, which connects these two field representations (i.e., the gauge-field components in the light-cone gauge and those in a covariant gauge), is given by

$$A^\mu_{\text{LC}} = A^\mu + \partial_\mu \phi, \quad \phi(\xi) = - \int_{-\infty}^\xi d\xi' A^\mu(\xi') \ .$$  

Equation (9) reflects exactly the gauge freedom remaining after fixing the light-cone gauge $A^\mu = 0$. We appreciate that a complete gauge fixing can only be achieved by inserting the additional singular gauge transformation

$$U_{\text{sing}}(\xi, \gamma) = [1 - ig \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} dz A_{\text{source}}(z, \gamma)] \ .$$

which contains the cross-talk effects of the struck parton with the light-cone source. Therefore, the product of two (local) quark field operators in the completely fixed light-cone gauge (marked below by a wide hat) differs from that in a covariant gauge by two phase factors and attains the form

$$[\bar{\psi}(\xi, \gamma) \gamma^+ \psi(0, \gamma)]_{\text{LC}} = \bar{\psi}_{\text{LC}}(\gamma)^P \exp \left[ -ig \int_{\xi}^{\infty} dz A_{\text{source}}(\xi, \gamma) \right].$$

in agreement with Eq. (1).

B. Anomalous dimensions

Within the CS approach, where $n^2 \neq 0$, the anomalous dimension associated with $f_{q/x}(x, k_\perp)$ is

$$\gamma_{\text{CS}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln Z_f(\mu, \alpha_s; \epsilon) = \frac{3 \alpha_s}{4 \pi} C_F + O(\alpha_s^2)$$

where $Z_f$ is the renormalization constant of $f_{q/x}(x, k_\perp)$ in the MS scheme. As long as one assumes that the deformation of the Wilson line in the transverse direction off the light cone preserves the smoothness of the gauge contour, the associated anomalous dimension is only due to the endpoints and, therefore, equals that of the connector insertion \(\gamma_{\text{smooth}}\). Hence, as far as the renormalization of the pure gauge link with a finite contour is concerned, the straight lightlike line is enough to supply its anomalous dimension because other contour characteristics, e.g., its length, are irrelevant.

In general, in renormalizing the distribution $f_{q/x}$ of a quark in a quark, one faces UV divergences stemming from the momentum integration that can be renormalized in the usual way. But, using the light-cone gauge...
are regularized according to

\[ f(x, k_L) \]

which contribute to \( f_{q/g}(x, k_L) \) in the light-cone gauge (\( A \cdot n^- = 0, (n^-)^2 = 0 \)), by using dimensional regularization. The poles \( 1/q^+ \) of the gluon propagator

\[ D_{\mu\nu}^{LC}(q) = \frac{1}{q^2} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}n^-_{\nu} + q_{\nu}n^-_{\mu}}{q^+} \right) , \]  

are regularized according to

\[ \frac{1}{|q^+|} = \frac{1}{q^+ \pm i\Delta} . \]  

In what follows, we keep \( \Delta \) small but finite.

The UV divergent part of diagrams (a) and (d) in Fig. 2 receives contributions owing to the \( p^- \)-dependent term

\[ \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{UV}(\alpha_s, \epsilon) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F 2 \left[ \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( 3 + \ln \frac{\Delta}{p^+} \right) - \gamma_E + \ln 4\pi \right] \]  

in addition to those originating from the standard UV renormalization. In deriving expression (15), we find that the contribution associated with the transverse gauge link at infinity (diagram Fig. 1(d)) exactly cancels against the term entailed by the adopted pole prescription in the gluon propagator. This confirms the previous results by BJY and establishes the dependence of the result on local quantities only. Therefore, the corresponding anomalous dimension is given by

\[ \gamma_{LC} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F \left( \frac{3}{4} + \ln \frac{\Delta}{p^+} \right) = \gamma_{\text{smooth}} - \delta \gamma . \]  

The difference between \( \gamma_{\text{smooth}} \) and \( \gamma_{LC} \) is exactly that term induced by the additional divergence which has to be compensated by a suitable redefinition of the TMD PDF. Note that \( p^- = (p \cdot n^-) \sim \cosh \chi \) defines, in fact, an angle \( \chi \) between the direction of the quark momentum \( p_{\mu} \) and the lightlike vector \( n^- \). In the large \( \chi \) limit, \( \ln p^- \sim \cosh \chi \sim \chi \). Thus, we can conclude that the “defect” of the anomalous dimension, \( \delta \gamma \), can be identified with the well-known cusp anomalous dimension [19]

\[ \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s, \chi) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F (\chi \coth \chi - 1) , \]  

\[ \frac{d}{d \ln p^-} \delta \gamma = \lim_{\chi \to \infty} \frac{d}{d \chi} \gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s, \chi) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F . \]  

This provides formal support for our previous statement concerning the appropriate choice of the Wilson line in the definition of the TMD PDF.

As one knows from the renormalization of contour-dependent composite operators in QCD (see [20] and also [19, 21, 22] and earlier references cited therein), the standard UV renormalization procedure has to be generalized in order to be able to subtract angle-dependent singularities stemming from obstructions, like cusps or self-intersections. Having recourse to these techniques, we compute the extra renormalization constant associated with the soft counter term [12] and show that it can be expressed in terms of a vacuum expectation value of a specific gauge link. In order to cancel the anomalous dimension defect \( \delta \gamma \), we introduce the counter term

\[ R \equiv \Phi(p^+, n^-|0) \Phi^+(p^+, n^-|\xi) \]  

where

\[ \Phi(p^+, n^-|\xi) = \left\langle 0 \left| P \exp \left[ ig \int_{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}} d\zeta^\mu \, t^\alpha A^\alpha_{\mu}(\zeta + \xi) \right] 0 \right\rangle \]  

and evaluate it along the non-smooth, off-the-light-cone integration contour \( \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \), defined by

\[ \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}: \quad \zeta_{\mu} = \{ [p^+_\mu s', -\infty < s' < 0] \]  

\[ \cup \quad [n^-_{\mu} s', 0 < s' < \infty] \cup [l_{\perp} \tau, 0 < \tau < \infty] \]  

with \( n^-_{\mu} \) being the minus light-cone vector, illustrated in Fig. 3.

The one-loop gluon virtual corrections, contributing to the UV divergences of \( R \), are shown in Fig. 4. For the UV divergent term we obtain

\[ \Sigma_{\mu\nu}^{UV} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F 2 \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{\Delta}{p^+} - \gamma_E + \ln 4\pi \right] \]  

and observe that this expression is equal, but with opposite sign, to the unwanted term in the UV singularity, related to the cusped contour, calculated before.

Hence, it is reasonable to redefine the conventional TMD PDF and absorb the soft counter term in its definition. Then we have
which is one of the main results of our work. For the
renormalization of
\[ f_{\text{ren}}(x, k_\perp) = Z_f^{\mod}(\alpha_s, \epsilon) f^{\mod}(x, k_\perp, \epsilon) \]  
the standard UV renormalization is sufficient. It yields the following renormalization constant
\[ Z_f^{\mod} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \frac{2}{\epsilon} \left( -3 - 4 \ln \frac{\Delta}{p^+} + 4 \ln \frac{\Delta}{p^+} \right) \]
which in turn gives rise to the anomalous dimension
\[ \gamma_f^{\mod} = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln Z_f^{\mod}(\mu, \alpha_s, \epsilon) = \frac{3\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F + O(\alpha_s^2). \]

It is obvious that (at least at the one-loop order) this expression coincides with \( \gamma_{\text{smooth}} \) given by Eq. (12).

III. INTRINSIC COULOMB PHASE

The physical meaning of the introduced soft counter term can be described as follows. Appealing to the exponentiation theorem for non-Abelian path-ordered exponentials \[ 1 \text{)} \), the vacuum average \[ 1 \text{)} \) can be recast in the form
\[ \Phi(u, n^-) = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_s}{n!} \Phi_n(u, n^-) \right], \]
where the functions \( \Phi_n \) have, in general, a complicated structure. Nevertheless, the leading term in this series, \( \Phi_1 \), is just a non-Abelian generalization of the Abelian expression
\[ \Phi_1(u, n^-) = -4\pi C_F \int_{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}} dx_\mu dy_\nu \theta(x-y) D^{\mu\nu}(x-y). \]

By virtue of the current
\[ j^b_\nu(z) = l^b \nu_\nu \int_{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}} d\tau \delta^{(4)}(z-v\tau), \]
evaluated along the contour \( \Gamma_{\text{cusp}} \) (cf. Eq. (23)) and where the velocity \( v_\nu \) equals either \( u_\nu \), \( n^- \), or \( l_\perp \) (depending on the segment of the contour along which the
integration is performed), one can rewrite (27) as follows
\[ \Phi_1(u, n^-) = -t^n 4\pi \int_{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}} dx_\mu \int d^3z \delta^{eb} D^{\mu\nu}(x-z) j^b_\nu(z). \]

This result proves that the additional soft counter term \( R \) can be treated within Mandelstam’s manifestly gauge-invariant formalism and appears there as an “intrinsic Coulomb phase” \[ 18 \) originating from the long-range interactions of a colored quark, created initially at the “point” \(-\infty^+\) together with its oppositely color-charged counterpart, then travelling along the plus light-cone ray to the origin, where it experiences a hard collision with the off-shell photon, subsequently changing its route and venturing along the minus ray to \(+\infty^-\). Within such a context, the soft counter term can be conceived of as that part of the TMD PDF which accumulates the residual effects of the primordial separation of two oppositely color-charged particles, created at light-cone infinity and being unrelated to the existence of external color sources.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The study presented above was performed for the semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS). Before we conclude, it is appropriate to make some comments concerning the Drell-Yan lepton-pair production. In this case, it is known \[ 9 \) that the direction of the integration contours in the gauge links should be reversed. In the light-cone gauge, this corresponds to a change of sign of the additional regulator \( \Delta \) (cf. Eq. (14))
\[ \Delta_{\text{SIDIS}} = -\Delta_{\text{DY}}. \]

In the non-polarized case, this affects only the imaginary parts, and, therefore, it does not contribute to the final expressions. In other words, the UV anomalous dimension of the non-polarized TMD PDF’s is universal as regards the SIDIS and the DY processes. This, however,
may not be true for the spin-dependent TMD PDF’s, since in that case the imaginary parts play a crucial role and, thus, a sign change (expressed in (30)) might indeed affect the renormalization-group properties and the corresponding evolution equations. These issues will be considered elsewhere.

Let us summarize the cornerstones of our work:
(i) We performed an analysis of TMD PDFs based on anomalous dimensions that encapsulate the relevant Wilson-line characteristics in local form.
(ii) We showed by explicit calculation at the one-loop level that the appropriate Wilson contour in the light-cone gauge is a cusped one, contributing an angle-dependent anomalous dimension to the TMD PDF, that has to be compensated in order to render it compatible with the collinear factorization. The validation of this cancellation in next-to-leading order is currently in progress.
(iii) We outlined how this new contribution can be included in the definition of the TMD PDF by means of a soft counter term, as proposed by Collins [9]. We found that this new term can be written as an “intrinsic Coulomb phase” that keeps track of the full gauge history of the colored quarks [18].

This phase may be given the following interpretation: Before the quark is being struck it is escorted only by longitudinal gluons that can be formally eliminated by imposing the light-cone gauge. However, when it leaves the (hard) interaction region, it is not lying on the minus light-cone direction and exchanges soft transverse gluons with the quark spectator. Hence, one cannot trivialize the interaction of the struck quark with the gauge field by imposing a single gauge choice on a lightlike ray, because the struck quark enters and leaves the hard-interaction vertex with different four-velocities, as it becomes evident from Eq. (25). This complies with the interpretation given by Belitsky, Ji, and Yuan [11] in terms of final-state interactions of the struck quark with the gluon field of the target spectators. As long as one disregards polarization effects, the direction of the Wilson line (expressed by means of the $i\epsilon$ prescription in the gluon propagator), appears only in intermediate steps of the calculation and cancels at the end because it is only a phase. In conclusion, our analysis may lead to a deeper insight of the dynamics of TMD PDFs and have wide-range phenomenological applications.
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