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Abstract: Here we look at self-assembled amino-acid appended perylene bisimides (PBIs) that when processed into thin films change their resistivity in response to being bent. The PBIs assemble into structures in water and form thin films upon drying. These normally delicate thin films can be tolerant to bending, depending on the aggregates they form. Furthermore, the films then reversibly change their resistivity in response to this mechanical stimulus. This change is proportional to the degree of bending of the film giving them the potential to be used quantitatively to measure mechanical movement, such as in wearable devices.

There is significant attraction towards using flexible conductive materials when designing “Smart Materials”, this is due to the potential of them being used for wearable electronics.[11] Flexibility can be used to describe a variety of properties, such as, being bendable, stretchable, resilient or lightweight enough to facilitate movement.[11] When referring to smart textiles these are, passive smart, which only sense the environment around them; active smart, which only sense the environment and adapt and react based on the information they have collected. Such materials can be achieved by weaving conductive fibres,[5] or using conductive ink on the desired substrate.[5] Regardless of application and substrate these materials need to be processed in order to ensure flexibility, robustness and durability.[6] This is an area where metal-based materials have triumphed, as metals are highly conductive, durable and can be processed into fibres or nanoparticles for example.[6] Development of strain responsive systems are core to such concepts as robotic skin, which require thousands of separate sensors packed onto a flexible substrate.[5] They not only need to sense strain, but also pH, moisture and temperature, like real skin. This is not currently possible with metal based or Si-based electronics. Organic field effect transistors have been suggested as a possible solution.[7] Organics have the advantage of being able to be simply processed, such as by screen printing, and so can cover large areas with thousands of separate sensors easily.[3, 6] There are however problems associated with organics, like, durability, longevity, and degradation. More recently there are now examples of organics matching and now surpassing the outputs of their metal alternatives such as in OLEDs,[8] H2 evolution,[9] and solar cells.[11] A group of materials we have significantly investigated the chromic, and semi-conductor behaviour of are amino acid appended perylene bisimides (PBIs). We have found them to be thermally robust, air stable, moisture insensitive, processable with a remarkably long-lived radical species.[12] Others groups have used PBIs for s variety of sensing, (blood oxygen,[13] amine,[14] temperature,[15] and pH sensors)[16] showing they could be the ideal candidates for robotic skin.[17] One drawback of these materials is inflexibility. When PBI based materials are used in flexible films, they are often combined with polymers, polymerised themselves or appended to a polymer in order to achieve flexibility.[18] When conductivity was measured in these systems the effect of strain or stress was not carried out. An example where the resistance was measured the PBIs were assembled with graphene and used a photo sensor. These materials displayed an increase in resistivity as the material was bent to 5 mm.[19] It is however difficult to compare between different materials due to the differences in sample preparations and experimental set up.

Here we show how the structure of simply functionalised PBIs in water affects the flexibility of the thin films formed on a flexible substrate, and how bending of the film effects the resistivity of material. Initially three different amino acid functionalised PBIs were investigated, L-histidine (PBI-H), L-alanine (PBI-A) and L-phenylalanine (PBI-F) (Fig. 1).

![Chemical structures of the PBIs.](https://example.com/structures.png)

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the PBIs.

From previous work, we saw that PBI-A and PBI-H gave the largest photoreponse, (12% and 8% radical respectively) and so were suitable to test. Whereas PBI-F showed little radical anion (less than 1%), so would be an interesting comparison.[12a, 12b] At 0.5 wt% in water these PBIs assemble, as characterised by small angle neutron scattering and rheology.[12b] PBI-F and PBI-H fit to a flexible cylinder model, with radii of 51 Å, whereas PBI-F and PBI-H fit to a an elliptical cylinder with radii of 11 Å and 72 Å respectively. These structures persist upon drying into a thin film which show photo-responsive behaviour to UV light[12a, 12b] allowing us to investigate whether the structure effects the flexibility. Before analyzing the response of the thin films, the structures in solution were examined. We used shear induced alignment, to image the
samples under cross polarized light whilst a shear force is applied (Fig. 2)\cite{29}. Alignment of the structures result in a Maltese cross, with more anisotropic materials appearing brighter in the images. Using a shear rate of 1000 s\(^{-1}\), PBI-A showed the most alignment, PBI-H showed some alignment and PBI-F showed no alignment. This difference in alignment on this length scale shows that the aggregates formed from each of the perylenes are different. Structures formed from PBI-A must be sufficiently long, persistent or numerous enough to align under shear, as are structures from PBI-H. The intensity of alignment may suggest that the lengths of aggregates are longer in PBI-A than PBI-H. However, PBI-F’s lack of alignment indicates smaller or few structures in solution. These agree with the previous SANS data collected.

Next we used \(^{23}\text{Na}\) NMR spectroscopy to probe the size and charge of the structures and whether the structures can align under the magnetic field of the spectrometer. Following previous work, we again observe the alignment of PBI-A structures in the magnetic field which causes a residual quadrupolar coupling of the \(^{23}\text{Na}\) resonance to be observed (Fig. 3)\cite{21}. The \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) relaxation times of \(^{23}\text{Na}\) are also reduced relative to a solution in the absence of PBI due to the interaction of \(^{23}\text{Na}\) with the structures present\cite{22}. Lorentzian deconvolution of the spectra yields a \(T_2\) of 38 ms for the central peak and 3 ms for the quadrupolar satellites. The separation between the quadrupolar satellites is 211 Hz. For comparison, the \(T_2\) of \(^{23}\text{Na}\) in small molecule solution is singular at ca. 55 ms\cite{29}. In contrast to PBI-A, for PBI-F the \(^{23}\text{Na}\) resonance is a single Lorentzian peak with a fitted \(T_2\) relaxation time of 23 ms. The \(^1\text{H}\) resonances of PBI-F are also broad suggesting a degree of aggregation (Fig. S4). However, the \(^1\text{H}\) resonance of D\(_2\)O does not exhibit quadrupolar splitting, again suggesting the absence of any alignment in the magnetic field. We conclude that PBI-F is aggregated to some extent, but the structures are too small to exhibit either magnetic or shear alignment. PBI-H exhibits a splitting of the D\(_2\)O resonance indicating alignment of the structures with the spectrometer field. The quadrupolar satellite peaks of \(^{29}\text{Na}\) are broadened beyond detection while the central peak yields a fitted \(T_2\) value of 6 ms.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used to probe the structures that may persist or form upon drying.

![Figure 2. Shear induced alignment imaged under cross polarised light at 1000 s\(^{-1}\) (a) PBI-A, (b) PBI-H and (c) PBI-F.](image)

The full experimental details for AFM analyses are presented in the Supporting Information. The films were studied on both glass and treated plastic and the general topographies were consistent between the two substrates (Fig. S5). PBI-A and PBI-H both showed bunched, thin fibrous structures upon drying, whereas PBI-F showed a rough surface with no defined structures, agreeing with the NMR and shear alignment data. PBI-A showed a generally more uniform surface compared to PBI-H, with a larger number of gaps appearing between the fibres in the PBI-H films. This can be shown by calculating the number of holes and area of the films. For example, in the plastic substrates, PBI-A showed 27 holes with a total area of 204.9 \(\mu\text{m}^2\) whilst PBI-H had 35 holes with a total area of 211.2 \(\mu\text{m}^2\). It would be expected that the more uniform PBI-A surface will contribute to improved photoconductivity. Similarly, the more complete coverage of the PBI-A film would likely be less prone to cracking upon bending.

To test the flexibility of the PBI films, the PBIs were drop cast onto PVC plastic to allow for bending of the substrate. The plastic was plasma treated to give better wettability (Fig. S6 and S7) and to improve the contact of the material and the substrate. The better contact angle allows for a more uniform distribution of the material and better-quality films. The UV-vis absorption spectra for samples cast on glass compared to plasma treated plastic showed no difference in the absorption of the material (Fig. S8) making our data comparable to that previously collected.

Current-voltage (IV) plots were collected for the films on plastic, in the dark and after irradiation with 365 nm light for 5 minutes. From previous work we know that these PBIs only respond to light <400 nm. All films showed ohmic contact with currents of less than 2 \(\mu\text{A}\) at 4 V. As seen with previous trends on glass PBI-A (\(\mu\text{A}\) range) has the greatest response and PBI-F the smallest (nA range), with PBI-H being in between (Fig. S9).

Upon inspection of the films under a microscope, PBI-F appeared cracked, the reason for decreased current upon multiple measurements (Fig. S10). PBI-H and PBI-A showed a continuous film (Fig. S11 and S12). PBI-F films were not suitable to be used for the rest of the study due to the degradation in the material. This could be due to PBI-F being more hydrophobic than the other molecules, so even on the treated plastic they did not form a robust film, or the structures formed are less likely to form a uniform film.
Bending of the films was tested using a series of 3D-printed holders to ensure that each of the films were bent in the same way and controllably (Fig. 4a and S1-3). Angles of 0°, 9.5°, 11.5°, 14.3° and 19.1° were tested, with 0° being the least bent and 19.1° the most. The effect of the degree of bending on the resistance of the films was carried out under constant irradiation with 365 nm. From chronoamperometry, the current stabilized after 5 minutes. From previous EPR and UV-vis absorption measurements, it could be assumed the sample was saturated with radical anion so change in the current is a result of bending. A blank set up was tested to ensure that results were from the films not the substrate itself (Fig. S13). PBI-H films showed no ohmic contact for all angles greater than 0° (Fig. S14). After the measurement the microscope showed the films were covered in small cracks (Fig. S15). The PBI-H films were not flexible, and these cracks disrupted the contiguous pathway stopping the conductivity. PBI-A films did not show cracking under the microscope and kept ohmic contact during these measurements (Fig. S16). Making them suitable for testing. Bending the films decreased the current, with the largest angle having the biggest effect (Fig. 4b, Fig. S17 and S18a) returning to around the original value upon being straightened (Fig. S18b). The films could be bent again to show a similar value to that of the first time it was bent (Fig. 4c and Fig S19).

Encouragingly all the PBI-A films showed a proportional decrease in current to the degree of bending, with a linear relationship, R², between -0.98 and -0.95 (Fig. S17). The PBI-A films were then subjected to more vigorous bending at the largest angle. After 20 bending cycles fine cracks on the surface of the films started to appear but had no impact on the resistance of the films (Fig. S20).

The differences between PBI-H and PBI-A upon bending could be due to the length of the fibres. From the SANS, NMR and alignment data we can assume that PBI-A has longer structures than PBI-H. It is therefore our hypothesis that with longer fibres, upon bending the PBI-A fibres act like layers that can slide over each other, increasing the length of the film and therefore other creating longer pathways for the current and increasing the resistivity.[23] PBI-H has shorter structures and bending creates gaps in the film. Moreover, AFM and microscopy images show that PBI-A surface is generally more uniform than the surface of PBI-H, so will be less prone to cracks forming as a result of bending.[24] The residual stress from the substrate may be too much for the PBI-H films and results in detrimental cracks.[24] The lack of structures with PBI-F results in a material without a contiguous film. Im et al. saw similar observations when working with macroscopically aligned fibrous PBIs, noting they had better mechanical properties and flexibility than isotropic, or non-fibrous films.[18b]

To conclude we demonstrate a reversibly mecha-noresponsive material from PBI-A with a response proportional to the degree of bending, which would be suitable for a movement sensor. Unlike other PBI based examples, the PBI does not need to be assembled with polymers or graphene to be flexible. Furthermore, we have shown the ability to form these flexible films is determined by the structures present in solution. We believe the fibrous structures produce conductive flexible films with the longer fibres being responsible for the flexible responsive behavior.
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Exploring how the self-assembly of three different perylene bisimide structures in solution has an effect on the final properties of a dried thin film. We characterize these structures by aligning under shear and under a magnetic field. We have found that how they dry into a film has a major effect on their use in flexible conductive materials and demonstrate how this resistivity is proportional to the angle they are being bent at.
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