Topological magnon amplification
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Topology is quickly becoming a cornerstone in our understanding of electronic systems. Like their electronic counterparts, bosonic systems can exhibit a topological band structure, but in real materials it is difficult to ascertain their topological nature, as their ground state is a simple condensate or the vacuum, and one has to rely instead on excited states, for example a characteristic thermal Hall response. Here we propose driving a topological magnon insulator with an electromagnetic field and show that this causes edge mode instabilities and a large non-equilibrium steady-state magnon edge current. Building on this, we discuss several experimental signatures that unambiguously establish the presence of topological magnon edge modes. Furthermore, our amplification mechanism can be employed to power a topological travelling-wave magnon amplifier and topological magnon laser, with applications in magnon spintronics. This work thus represents a step toward functional topological magnetic materials.
While fermionic topological insulators have a number of clear experimental signatures accessible through linear transport measurements, noninteracting bosonic systems with topological band structure have a simple condensate or the vacuum as their ground state, making it more difficult to ascertain their topological nature. Their excited states, however, may carry signatures of the topology of the band structure, for example, in form of a thermal Hall response. There is great interest in certifying and exploiting topological edge modes in bosonic systems, as they are chiral and robust against disorder, making them a great resource to realize backscattering-free waveguides and potentially topologically protected traveling-wave amplifiers. It has been predicted that topological magnon insulators (TIMI) are realized, e.g., in kagome planes of certain pyrochlore magnetic insulators as a result of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. To date, there exists only indirect experimental proof, via neutron scattering measurements of the bulk modes and thus the magnetic order. Another key process present in such materials is the growth of edge modes can be achieved while preserving the stability of the bulk modes and thus the magnetic order. As we are interested in amplification around a small bandwidth, we neglect the momentum dependence of the coupling $g_k \approx g$ and damping $\gamma_k \approx \gamma$, arriving at a rotating frame with respect to $\sum_k (\Omega_0/2)\hat{a}^\dagger_k \hat{a}_k$. From the Hamiltonian, it is straightforward to derive the equations of motion, which couple particles at momentum $k$ with holes at $-k$. Neglecting fluctuations, we focus on the classical amplitudes of the fields $\hat{a}_k = (\hat{\alpha}_k)$ and include a phenomenological linear damping rate $\gamma_k$ to take into account the various damping processes present in such materials. As we are interested in amplification around a small bandwidth, we neglect the momentum dependence of the coupling $g_k \approx g$ and damping $\gamma_k \approx \gamma$, arriving at

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{A}_k = \left( \omega_k - i \gamma \frac{\mathcal{E}}{2} - \omega_{-k} - i \gamma \right) \mathbf{A}_k,$$

where we have introduced the frequency relative to the rotating frame $\tilde{\omega}_k = \omega_k - \Omega_0/2$, the vector $\mathbf{A}_k = (\hat{\alpha}_k, \hat{\alpha}_{-k}^\dagger)$, and the overall coupling strength $\mathcal{E} = g\beta$. The eigenvalues of the dynamical matrix Eq. (2) are the complex energies

$$\omega_{k,\pm} = \frac{\omega_k - \omega_{-k}}{2} \pm \frac{i \gamma}{2} \sqrt{\left(\omega_k + \omega_{-k}\right)^2 - 4 \mathcal{E}}.$$

If the coupling $\mathcal{E}$ exceeds the energy difference between pump photons and magnon pair (the detuning) $\omega_{\pm} = \omega_k + \omega_{-k} - \Omega_0$, the square root becomes imaginary. If further its magnitude exceeds $\gamma$, more particles are created than dissipated, causing an instability and exponential growth of the number of particles in this mode. Eventually the growth is limited by nonlinear effects, as discussed below. Despite its simplicity, Eq. (3) provides an accurate account of the fundamental instability mechanism in two-dimensional kagome TIMIs, as is illustrated by the quantitative agreement Fig. 2. This forms the key ingredient for directly observing chiral magnon edge modes.

**Microscopic model.** Turning to a more realistic model, we consider spins on the vertices of an insulating kagome lattice ferromagnet that interact via Heisenberg and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya

\[ H = H_0 + g \beta \left( \hat{a}_{k,\dagger}^\sigma \hat{a}_{k,\dagger}^\sigma \exp(-i \Omega_0 t) + \hat{a}_{-k,\dagger}^\sigma \hat{a}_{-k,\dagger}^\sigma \exp(-i \Omega_0 t) \right), \]
(DM) interaction
\[ \hat{H}_0 = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[ -J \hat{S}_i \cdot \hat{S}_j + D_{ij} \cdot (\hat{S}_i \times \hat{S}_j) \right] - g_\mu_B H_0 \cdot \sum_j \hat{S}_j, \]  

(4)

Here, \( D_{ij} \) is the DM vector that can in principle differ from bond to bond, but is heavily constrained by lattice symmetries. \( H_0 \) is an externally applied magnetic field, \( \mu_B \) the Bohr magneton, \( g_\mu \) the Landé g-factor, and \( J \) is the Heisenberg interaction strength. This model has been found to describe the thermal magion Hall effect in Eu2V2O7, as well as the bulk magion band structure of Cu(1,3-bdc)12.

The low energy excitations around the ferromagnetic order are magnons, whose bilinear Hamiltonian is obtained from a standard Holstein-Primakoff transformation to order 1/\( S \) along the direction of magnetization, i.e., \( S^+ = \sqrt{2} \hat{s}_a, S^- = \sqrt{2} \hat{s}_a^\dagger, S^z = s - \hat{s}_a^\dagger \hat{s}_a^\dagger a^{10,10}, \) yielding
\[ \hat{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} (J + iD_{ij}) \sum_{\langle mn \rangle} a_m^\dagger a_n + \text{H.c.} + h \sum_m a_m^\dagger a_m + K_0, \]  

(5)

where \( K_0 \) is a constant, the sum ranges over bonds directed counter clockwise in each triangle, and we have chosen the magnetic field to point along \( z \), introducing \( h \equiv \frac{g_\mu_B H_0}{\sqrt{2}} \).

To second order, the Hamiltonian only contains the component of \( D_{ij} \) along \( z \) (\( D_z \)), which is the same for all bonds due to symmetry. We take the unit cell to be one upright triangle (red in Fig. 1), with sites \( \rho_1 = (0,0), \rho_2 = (1, \sqrt{3})/4, \rho_3 = (1/2, 0) \). The unit cells form a triangular Bravais lattice generated by the lattice vectors \( \delta_1 = (1,0), \delta_2 = (1, \sqrt{3})/2, \delta_3 = \delta_2 - \delta_1 = (1, -\sqrt{3})/2 \). For nonzero \( D_z \), the bands in this model are topological10,12 causing exponentially localized edge modes to appear within the band gaps. The effect of an oscillating electric field on magnons in a TMI is characterized by the polarization operator, which can be amplified as a sum of single-spin terms, products of two spins, three spins, etc20. Lattice symmetries restrict which terms may appear in the polarization tensor20. In the pyrochlore lattice, the polarization due to single spins (linear Stark effect) vanishes, as each lattice site is a centre of inversion, such that the leading term contains two spin operators. The associated tensor can be decomposed into the isotropic (trace) part \( \alpha \), as well as the anisotropic traceless symmetric and antisymmetric parts \( \Gamma \) and \( D \), viz. \( \tilde{D}_\beta = \left( \pi a^{\beta \gamma} + \Gamma^{\beta \gamma} + D^{\beta \gamma} \right) S^{\gamma} S^\delta / 2 \) (sum over \( \beta, \gamma \) implied). Kagome TMIs generically have a nonzero anisotropic symmetric part, which implies the presence of anomalous magnon pairing terms in the spin-wave picture
\[ P_{mn} = \left( \Gamma^{xx}_{mn} - \Gamma^{yz}_{mn} - 2 \Gamma^{(xy)}_{mn} \right) a_m^\dagger a_n + \cdots \equiv Q_{mn} a_m^\dagger a_n + \text{H.c.} + \cdots. \]  

(6)

The polarization enters the Hamiltonian via coupling to the amplitude of the electric field, \( \hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 - E(t) \cdot \hat{\alpha} \), thus introducing terms that create a pair of magnons while absorbing a photon. Pair production of magnons is a generic feature of antiferromagnets (via \( \pi \))20, but since in ferromagnets it relies on anisotropy, it is expected to be considerably weaker. A microscopic calculation based on a third-order hopping process in the Fermi-Hubbard model at half filling reveals that \( |Q| = a e^{-t(U)^{1/2}}, \) where \( a \) is the lattice vector, \( e \) the elementary charge, \( t \) the hopping amplitude, and \( U \) the on-site repulsion (see Supplementary Note 1).

As in the chiral waveguide model, assume an oscillating electric field \( E(t) = E_0 \cos(\Omega t) \). We consider an infinite strip with \( W \) unit cells along \( y \), but remove the lowest row of sites to obtain a manifestly inversion-symmetric model. Note that this is a choice out of convenience and that inversion symmetry is by no means a requirement for our scheme. Diagonalizing the undriven Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}_0 \) (4), we label the eigenstates \( \hat{b}_{kx} \), whose momentum along \( x \) and an index \( s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3W - 2\} \). After performing the rotating-wave approximation, the full Hamiltonian reads
\[ \tilde{H} = \sum_{kx} \tilde{\omega}_{kx} \hat{b}_{kx}^\dagger \hat{b}_{kx} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ E_0 \cdot Q_{ss}(k) \hat{b}_{kx}^\dagger \hat{b}_{-kx} + \text{H.c.} \right], \]  

(7)

where we have introduced \( \tilde{\omega}_{kx} = \omega_{kx} - \Omega_0/2, \) and \( \tilde{Q}_{ss}(k) \), which characterizes the strength of the anomalous coupling between two modes. It is obtained from \( Q_{mn} \) through Fourier transform and rotation into the energy eigenbasis (cf. Supplementary Note 3).

As in the one-dimensional waveguide model, a pair of modes is rendered unstable if their detuning \( \Delta_{k,k'} = \omega_{kx} + \omega_{-kx'} - \Omega_0 \) is smaller than the anomalous coupling between them. The detuning \( \Delta_{k,k'} \) varies quickly as a function of \( k \) except at points where the slopes of \( \omega_{kx} \) and \( \omega_{-kx'} \) coincide to first order, which happens at \( k = 0, \pi \), where \( s = s' \). At those values of \( k \), the energy matching condition is fulfilled for a broader range of wavevectors, which leads to a larger amplification bandwidth. However, the edge modes are only localized to the edge around \( k = \pi \), such that driving around \( k = \pi \) is most efficient, which we consider here (cf. Fig. 1).
which we introduce another uniform parameter \( \gamma \) to estimate the time to reach the steady state to be of order \( t_{\text{ss}} \sim \mathcal{E}^{-1} \log(\mathcal{E}/\eta) \). 

**Experimental signatures.** TMIIs exhibit a magnonic thermal Hall effect at low temperatures\(^4,5\). A similar effect occurs when the magnon population is not thermal, but a consequence of coherent driving, realizing a driven Hall effect (DHE). 

We can calculate the steady-state edge magnon current from the occupation calculated above, 

\[
I_{\text{SS}} = \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq q^2 \left| \nu_{\pi-q} \right|^2.
\]

where \( \nu_{\pi-q} = \omega_0 + q \omega_0' \) is the group velocity and \( \Lambda = \sqrt{4E^2 - y^2} / (\omega_0') \) is the range over which the steady-state population is finite (which coincides with the range over which the modes become unstable). While the integral can be done exactly (cf. Methods) an approximation within \( \pm 5\% \) is given through 

\[
I_{\text{SS}}(\mathcal{E}) \approx \frac{5\sqrt{\mathcal{E}}}{6\pi^2} \left( \frac{4E^2 - y^2}{\omega_0'^2} \right)^{1/2} (2\mathcal{E} - y),
\]

For \( 2\mathcal{E} \gg y \), a characteristic scaling of steady-state current with driving strength appears, \( I_{\text{SS}} \propto \mathcal{E}^{1/2} \), distinct from the linear dependence one would expect for standard heating.

In Fig. 3b, c, we demonstrate that the steady-state edge current depends on the drive strength in a fashion that is well described by Eq. (10). The order-of-magnitude equilibration time can be estimated from the solution to \( \dot{\alpha} = (1/2)(\mathcal{E} - \eta|\alpha|^2)\alpha \), and for \( \eta/\mathcal{E} \gg 1 \) it evaluates to \( t_{\text{eq}} \sim \mathcal{E}^{-1} \log(\mathcal{E}/\eta) \sim 10^4 \text{s}^{-1} \) for our chosen values of \( \mathcal{E} \) and \( \eta \).
A DHE arises when a rectangular slab of size $L_x \times L_y$ is driven by a field with a gradient along $y$, as sketched in Fig. 3a. As $L_y \gg V_{\text{aq}}$, the edges equilibrate to a steady-magnon population governed by Eq. (10). The difference between the steady-magnon currents on top and bottom edge corresponds to a net energy current $J_{\text{net}}^y$ along $x$, which to first order in the drive strength difference $\Delta \mathcal{E}$ can be written

$$J_{\text{net}}^y = \kappa_{xy}(\mathcal{E}) \Delta \mathcal{E}, \quad \kappa_{xy}(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{dJ_{\text{SS}}(\mathcal{E})}{d\mathcal{E}}$$

(11)

where one should note that in this non-equilibrium setting $\kappa_{xy}$ is not a proper conductivity as in conventional linear response. The net edge current causes one side of the system to heat up faster, resulting in a temperature difference transverse to the gradient. As the edge magnons decay along the edge, the reverse heat current is carried by bulk modes. For small temperature differences the heat current follows the temperature gradient linearly and thus the averaged temperature difference $\Delta_T = \int dy[y(T_{\text{aq}}(T, y) - T(0,y))] / L_y = J_{\text{net}}^y / \kappa_{xy}$. The temperature difference can thus be written in terms of the applied field strength difference

$$\Delta_T = \frac{\Delta \mathcal{E}}{\kappa_{xx}} \kappa_{xy}(\mathcal{E}).$$

(12)

As a word of caution, we note that this relation relies on several key assumptions. To begin with, temperature is in fact not well defined along the edge, as there is a non-equilibrium magnon occupation. Edge magnons decay at a certain rate into phonons, which can be modelled as heating of the phonon bath. If the equilibration time scale of the latter is fast compared to the heating rate through magnon decay, one can at least associate a local temperature to the phonons. Similarly, the bulk magnon modes can be viewed as a fast bath for the magnon edge mode and similar considerations apply. Even if these assumptions are justified, the two baths do not need to have the same temperature. Next, the heat conductivity associated to magnons and phonons differ in general, such that the $\kappa_{xx}$ appearing in Eq. (12) can only be associated with the bulk heat conductivity if the temperatures of the two baths are equal. Some of these complications have been recognized to also play an important role in measurements of the magnon thermal Hall effect.

While the above-mentioned concerns make quantitative predictions difficult, the DHE is easily distinguishable from the thermal Hall effect, due to the strong dependence of the temperature difference $\Delta_T$ on drive frequency and polarization, as well as the fact that below the cutoff $2 \mathcal{E} = y$ no instability occurs and that $J_{\text{net}} \propto \sqrt{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{avg}}$ for $2 \mathcal{E}_{\text{avg}} \gg y$, rather than the linear dependence one would expect from standard heating. In certain materials such as Cu(1,3-bdc), the appearance or disappearance of the topological edge modes can be tuned with an applied magnetic field, a property that could be used to further corroborate the results of such an experiment.

A number of other experimental probes might be used to certify a large edge magnon current and thus the presence of edge states. On the one hand, with a large coherent magnon population in a given mode, the local magnetic field and electric polarization associated to that mode will be enhanced. In particular, techniques that directly probe local magnetic or electric fields, such as neutron scattering or x-ray scattering, which to date are not powerful enough to resolve edge modes, would thus have a coherently enhanced signal, for example, by almost two orders of magnitude when taking the conservative parameters in Fig. 2. On the other hand, heterostructures provide a way to couple the magnons out of the edge mode into another material, for example one with a strong spin Hall effect, in which they can be detected more easily. In this setup, again the fact that the edge magnons have a large coherent population should make their signal easily distinguishable from thermal noise.

### Material realizations

The model of a kagome lattice ferromagnet with DM interaction has been found to describe the thermal magnon Hall effect in Lu$_2$V$_2$O$_5$, as well as the bulk magnon band structure of Cu(1,3-bdc), as these materials are in fact 3D pyrochlore lattices, which can be pictured as alternating kagome and triangular lattices along the [111] direction. However, their topological properties can be captured by considering only the kagome planes (shown in Fig. 1), thus neglecting the coupling between kagome and triangular planes. It has been suggested that the effect of the interaction may be subsumed into new effective interaction strengths or into an effective on-site potential. Typical values for strength of the DM and Heisenberg interactions lie between $|D|/|J| \approx 0.18$, $J \approx 0.6 \pm 0.1$ meV $\approx 150 \pm 30$ GHz in Cu(1,3-bdc) and $|D|/|J| \approx 0.32$, $J \approx 3.4$ meV $= 0.82$ THz in Lu$_2$V$_2$O$_5$. The energy of the edge states close to $k = \pi$ is approximately $J$, such that the applied drive needs to be at a frequency $\omega_{\text{drive}} / 2 \pi \approx 0.3 \div 1.6$ THz. While experimentally challenging, low THz driving down to 0.6 THz has been achieved. Furthermore, the magnon energy can be tuned by applied magnetic fields.

An instability requires $E_{\text{q}}(\omega_{\text{drive}} / 2 \pi) \gg y$ with $a \approx 10^4$, $J \approx 1$ meV, and assuming $t / U \approx 0.1$, we can estimate the minimum field strength required to overcome damping $y_k \approx 10^{-6}$ T to be $E_o \approx 10^5$ V/m, although for quantitative estimates one would require both accurate values for the damping of the edge modes (at zero temperature) and $t / U$. This is accessible in pulsed operation, and perhaps in continuous operation through the assistance of a cavity.

Since the quantitative behaviour we describe can be derived from general and phenomenological considerations, we expect it to be robust and present in a range of systems, as long as they allow for anisotropy, i.e., if bonds are not centres of inversion. We thus expect that topological magnon amplification is also possible in recently discovered topological honeycomb ferromagnet CrI$_3$.}

### Discussion

We have shown that appropriate electromagnetic driving can render topological magnon edge modes unstable, while leaving the bulk modes stable. The resulting non-equilibrium steady state has a macroscopic edge magnon population. We present several strategies to certify the topological nature of the band structure, namely, implementing a driven Hall effect (DHE), direct detection with neutron scattering, or by coupling the magnons into a material with a spin Hall effect.

Our work paves the way for a number of future studies. As we have pointed out, edge mode damping plays an important role here. One might expect their damping to be smaller than that of generic bulk modes as due to their localization they have a smaller overlap to bulk modes. This suppression should be compounded by the effect of disorder, which may further enhance the feasibility of our proposed experiments. On the other hand, rough edges will have an influence over the matrix element between drive and edge modes, leading to variations in the anomalous coupling strength. Phonons in the material are crucial for robust thermal Hall measurements and could possibly mix with the chiral magnon mode, which motivates full microscopic calculations.

An exciting prospect is to use topological magnon amplification in magnon spintronics. There have already been theoretical efforts studying how magnons can be injected into topological edge modes with the inverse spin Hall effect. Given an efficient
mechanism to couple magnons into and out of the edge modes, our amplification mechanism may enable chiral travelling-wave magnon amplifiers, initially proposed in photonic crystals14. Even when simply seeded by thermal or quantum fluctuations, the large coherent magnon steady state could power topological magnon lasers14, with tremendous promise for future application in spintronics. In the near future, we hope that topological magnon amplification can be used for an unambiguous discovery of topological magnon edge modes.

Methods

Numerical calculation. For the numerical calculation, we choose a manifestly inversion-symmetric system obtained by deleting the lowest row of sites, a situation that is depicted in Fig. 1, where the tip of the lowest blue triangle is part of a unit cell whose other sites are not included. For example, repeating the star shown in Fig. 1 along x would result in an inversion-symmetric strip with $W = 3$. A Fourier transform of Eq. (5) along $x$ yields a $3W - 2$ by $3W - 2$ Hamiltonian matrix for each momentum $k$

\[
H_0 = H_0 - H_{\text{net}} + H_{\text{amp}}.
\]

In the steady state, $|a_k|^2$ is constant, so we use the ansatz $a_k = \exp(i\Delta t)\varepsilon$ and $a_k^{\dagger} = \exp(-i\Delta t)\varepsilon^{*}$ for some complex numbers $\varepsilon$, $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and real frequency $\Delta$. As we are only interested in a narrow range of momenta, we expand the dispersion relation to second order, as in the main text. The pump frequency is set to match the edge mode at $k = \pi$, i.e., $\Delta_0 = 2\omega_m$. As a consequence, $\bar{\omega}_{n,q} = \omega_m + q^2\omega_m/2 + O(q^4)$. If there is an instability, the solution $\varepsilon = 0$ is unstable. Assuming $\varepsilon \neq 0$ (thus $\varepsilon \neq 0$), and for $\Delta = \bar{\omega}_{n,q}$, we find the set of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2|a_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}i(\eta|a_k|^2) + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} &= 0, \\
\frac{1}{2}q^2|a_k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\eta|a_k|^2) - \frac{\gamma^2}{4} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Multiplying the second equation by $|\varepsilon|^2$, and subtracting the complex conjugate of the resulting equation from the first equation, one can show that $|\varepsilon|^2 = 1$. With this condition Eqs. (19) and (20) coincide, such that we can solve them for the intensity

\[
|a_k|^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(2\bar{\omega}_{n,q} - q^2\omega_m - \gamma).
\]

This equation has solutions if and only if $4\varepsilon^2 > q^2(\omega_m)^2 + \gamma^2$, which coincides with the condition for the instability. If this condition is fulfilled, we have

\[
|a_k|^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma}(\sqrt{4\varepsilon^2} - q^2(\omega_m)^2 - \gamma).
\]

The steady-state edge magnon current

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_{\varepsilon} \\
&= \frac{2\varepsilon}{3\pi\nu_1} \sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\Delta}{\nu_1}\right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\nu_1^2}{(4\varepsilon^2)}}
\end{align*}
\]

where $F(k, m)$ is the elliptic integral of the first kind.

Particle current operator. The particle current operator is obtained from the continuity equation for the number of magnons. We have

\[
\dot{n}_m - i[H_0, n_m] = \dot{n}_m - i \sum_n \{h_{mn}, n_n\} = 0,
\]

where $h_{mn}$ are local Hamiltonians defined through

\[
H_0 = \sum_m h_m.
\]

The second term in Eq. (24) can be interpreted as a sum of the particle currents from $n$ to the neighbouring sites $m$.
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