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1. Mass spectrometry and proteomics

Proteomics (large-scale analysis of proteins) can directly reflect and characterize the biological function, pathways, activities and subcellular distributions, and thus is most promising and applicable in biomedicine [1]. Mass spectrometry (MS) has become a mainstream and dominant analytic tool for studying proteomics due to high sensitivity, specificity and high throughput in protein characterization including posttranslational modifications [2, 3]. Given powerful technology to decipher biological processes, ever more investigators apply MS-based proteomics to clinical research. This review provides an uncomplicated but broad overview of background and issues in MS-based proteomics: protein digestion, instrumentation, ionization methods, database search, quantitative proteomics. We also discuss MS-based proteomic strategy applied in Chest Medicine, Gerontology, and Nephrology.

1.1. Sample preparation: gel- and solution-based digestion

For identification, proteins can be analyzed with intact form for top-down analysis or enzymatically into peptides for bottom up analysis. Since MS techniques are more sensitive for peptides than for proteins, most proteomic applications adopt bottom-up analysis; enzymatic (such as trypsin) digestion, is widely used to digest proteins into peptides in gels or in solution prior to MS analysis. Gel-based digestion is often used when complex proteins are separated on one- or two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. After separation, proteins trapped in gel spots are excised, washed, then digested with trypsin in situ. Digested peptides were often extracted from gel pieces with sequential extraction of 0.1% formic acid (FA), 50%ACN/0.1%FA and pure ACN. Because urea, detergents (SDS, Triton X-100) and salts greatly reduce analyte signals in ESI-MS and MALDI-MS while impairing LC separation, removal of contaminants is a key step in sample preparation [4]. One advantage of gel-based digestion: surfactants and salt contaminants are expunged from gels by washing steps without significant protein loss [5, 6]. Practical gel-assisted digestion for surfactant-enriched protein sample preparation starkly increased membrane proteome recovery [7]. Still, digested peptide recovery of gel-based digestion is often limited by lower extraction...
efficiency of trapped peptides from gel spots; excised gel spots must be cut into smaller pieces for better digestion and extraction efficiency.

In solution-base digestion, urea, detergent or heat is usually added to denature protein for efficient enzymatic digestion. Without trapping proteins in gel, solution-based digestion benefits from higher peptide recovery. However, salts, urea and detergents for digestion must be removed by solid phase extraction (C18 stationary phase) before MS analysis. Recently, a simple universal sample preparation by a filter-aided method developed by M. Mann [8] allowed researchers to use higher amount of detergent or urea for comprehensive proteome analysis. Its lone drawback is longer processing time in multiple centrifugations. Trypsin is most commonly used, owing to high cleavage efficiency and specificity in targeting arginine and lysine at C-terminal. Tryptic peptides are primarily of ideal size and multiply charged suited for identification by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) [9, 10]. In analyzing complex proteome, additional enzyme of endoproteinase Lys-C can be used with trypsin to boost protein digestion efficiency by eliminating the majority of missed cleavages.

2. Ionization methods of ESI and MALDI

ESI and MALDI are two chief ionization methods for charging and transforming proteins/peptides into gas phase available for MS analysis [11]. ESI, meaning dissipate liquid sample homogeneously, was not applied to analysis of large molecules until 1988. John Fenn et al. demonstrated its capacity for analyzing large biomolecules [12]. By applying positive or negative direct-current (DC) voltage (+2~4 kV or -2~4 kV) at an electrically conducted spray tip, sample solution is dispersed by electrospray into a fine aerosol. Sprayed fine aerosol were charged and continuously evaporated based on ion evaporation model and charge residue model, which allow analyte charged in gas phase and transferred into MS analyzer [13]. When operating flow rate is above the optimal spray flow rate of the ESI tip orifice, ESI ion signals increase linearly with analyte concentrations until it saturates in MS analyzer system [14]. For more sensitive ESI-MS analysis, Wilm and Mann have introduced nanoelectrospray (nanoESI) technique [15] that uses extremely small needle orifices (nano-spray tips with 20 μm orifice is commercially available) for spray flow rate below 1 μl/min. Initial created smaller droplets enable establishment of high surface-volume ratio of droplets, early fissions without extensive evaporation, thus increasing sampling efficiency and tolerating higher salt contamination. Since nanoESI is operated in nanoliter flow rate, nanoESI is broadly coupled to nanoLC (LC flow rate: 200-400 nl/min) for more sensitive analysis in proteomics [16].

MALDI is a technique involving serial energy transfer and ionization processes. Samples are first mixed with MALDI matrix (i.e. α-Cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA), 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB), Sinapinic Acid (SA)) on a spot of a MALDI plate. After air-dry and cocystallization, sample and MALDI matrix are coloconized in crystals. With laser beam irradiation on the crystals, MALDI matrix absorbs laser energy and help analytes desorb from crystals into gas phase [17]. Homogenous crystals can be observed by video camera set up in MALDI ion source and can provide better signal reproducibility and sensitivity. When applying matrix on samples, the ratio of matrix and analyte sometimes should be optimized for better sensitivity. In addition, thicker crystals significantly reduce peak resolution. Because sample spot homogeneity is the major concern to influence signal reproducibility in MALDI, hydrophobic MALDI target has improved sample homogeneity as well as concentrate analytes [18]. MALDI has been broadly used in analyzing small molecules, polymer, peptides, proteins, oligonucleotide sequencing, and DNA [19]. Compared with ESI ionization method, MALDI has advantages of rapid sample preparation, and more tolerance of salts and detergents. However, because MALDI is usually suffered from poor reproducibility in absolute signal intensity from sample well-to-sample well, MALDI is not commonly used in absolute quantitative approach unless an internal control signal was introduced [20].

3. Basic description of mass analyzer

In the growing field of proteomics, some major types of mass analyzers are frequently used, such as triple quadrupole, ion trap, orbitrap, fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) and TOF instruments [21]. Each analyzer has its superiority and limitations in performance: e.g., intra-spectrum dynamic range (the range over which the ion signal is linearly proportional to the analyte concentration), sensitivity, mass range, scan speed, scanned duty cycle, accuracy, and resolving power (the ability to differentiate two adjacent peaks). These analyzers can operate alone or couple in series, named hybrid mass spectrometer: e.g., quadrupole-TOF, quadrupole-orbitrap, ion trap-orbitrap, ion trap-TOF, ion trap-FTICR etc., to provide a better performance by merging the strengths of each [22].

In quadrupole-MS, ion mass scan is carried out by creating time-varying electric fields constructed by DC and RF voltage on four hyperbolic rods positioned symmetrically along one axis. Potential of DC applied to adjacent rods are opposite to each other. Combined DC and RF voltage can then create a stability potential diagram for a given ion mass stably pass through the quadrupole and be detected [23]. Thus, quadrupole can act as a mass filter for ion mass scan by varying the RF and DC voltages or as an ion guide for ion transmission ion by setting RF voltage only. In tandem MS of triple quadrupoles, the first quadrupole (Q1) act as a mass filter for ion scan or ion selection, the second quadrupole (Q2) act as ion guide with RF only mode for collision induced dissociation of ions, which were then scanned by the third quadrupole (Q3). Figure 1 shows different scanning modes by MS/MS. This tandem MS (MS/MS) in space includes precursor ion scan, product ion scan, neutral loss scan, selected ion monitoring (SRM), and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM), which can greatly reduce chemical noises to improve sensitivity. MRM, a scan mode of multiple SRM transitions within the same MS analysis, detects precursor/fragment ion pairs. Due to superior sensitivity of MRM function, nanoLC-ESI triple quadruple have been developed for biomarker validation in large sample size in target proteomics instead of ELISA and Western blot [24, 25].

Similar to quadrupole-MS, the operating principle of ion trap is based on electric fields constructed by a ring (RF voltage) and two end caps (alternating current (AC) voltage), which create a stable potential diagram for storage a given mass ion in an ion trap. For scanning ions of an ion trap, ions are detected after they exited the end cap electrode by ramping RF voltage on ring electrode or by causing resonant ejection on end cap electrodes [26]. Advantages of ion trap analyzer include fast scan speed, MS’ ability (e.g. MS, MS/MS and MS/MS/MS), and high sensitivity [27].
Ion trap can perform MS/MS in time with product ion scan and MRM. Yet when overloading ions in the ion trap, space-charge effect will result in poor peak resolution and mass-shift. Therefore, most ion trap equipped with pre-analysis function (automatic gain control, AGC) to estimate proper ion loading time [28]. The geometries of electrotrodes have revolutionized from three-dimensional to linear ion trap to upgrade efficiency and capacity, sensitivity, detection dynamic range, and scan rate [29].

In TOF analyzer, mass-to-charge (m/z) ratio of each ion is determined by flight time of charged ions over a vacuum tube of specified length inversely proportional to [30]. Because TOF can record all ions simultaneously and separate ion based on each m/z ratio, it is superior of fast scan speed. However, the resolution and mass accuracy of TOF is dampened by several factors including sample thickness difference, initial ion velocities different, and turn around effects, etc. [31]. Design of delay extraction and reflectron have greatly improved resolving power, mass accuracy, and prompt TOF as high-resolution mass analyzer [32]. Nowadays TOF is frequently coupled with another TOF (such as MALDI TOF-TOF) or quadrupole (Q-TOF) for high-quality MS/MS spectrum. Owing to feasibility with LC-ESI system, nanoLC-ESI-Q-TOF has been widely used in bottom-up proteomics for high resolution, high scan speed, and high mass accuracy [33].

In FT-ICR-MS, with applying a homogenous unidirectional magnetic field, ions of specific m/z will undergo cyclotron motion with corresponding frequency characteristic of their m/z ratio after excited by resonant rf electric field [34]. All ions of the same m/z travel in a spatially coherent packet. Each ion packet induces current on a pair of opposed electrodes to yield time-domain signal then deconvoluted by Fourier transformation to obtain their corresponded m/z [35]. Among current mass analyzers, FT-ICR affords highest mass resolving power (~1,000,000 at FWHM) and mass accuracy (<1 ppm) [36]. High accuracy of measuring mass of analyte ion can help to determine its accurate elemental composition. In proteomics, high resolution power of FT-ICR has superiority in identifying protein either using “bottom-up” or “top-down” approach [37, 38]. Still, with scan speed of FT-ICR MS slower, FT-ICR MS is not widely used in quantitative proteomics, yielding less peptide fragmented ion spectra in complex proteome samples [37].

Orbitrap-MS can be viewed as a modified form of Kingdom trap or modified form of ion trap. The difference between orbitrap and ion trap is that the field of orbitrap is electrostatic while the field of quadrupole ion trap is electrodynamic [29]. Advantages of orbitrap include high mass accuracy, and less space-charge effects thus wilder dynamic range and higher high mass/charge range [29]. However, because the mass signals were based on the imaging current, orbitrap is still limited by its slower scan speed compare to ion trap and TOF systems. Linear ion trap triple quadrupole (LTQ) and quadrupole have been both successfully hybrid to orbitrap by insertion of a c-trap, which can storage ions and reduce kinetic energy of ions from ion source and then injected ions into orbitrap for analysis. In initial development of LTQ-orbitrap design, orbitrap was used for precursor ion scan (MS scan) to obtain accurate ion mass with high resolution, and LTQ was used for product ion scan (MS/MS scan) to obtain abundant MS/MS spectra with high throughput. Parallel scans can dissolve slow scan rate problem of orbitrap in proteomics [39]. Recently, higher energy collision dissociation (HCD) cell was adjacent to orbitrap for performing quadruple-like MS/MS without losing low mass ions. This improved MS/MS function allows LTQ orbitrap-MS applicable to iTRAQ quantitative proteomics, in which low mass ion tags (114, 115, 116, 117 m/z) in MS/MS spectra were used for

Fig. 1 - Scan modes of tandem mass spectrometry. (1) Product ion scan: select Q1 precursor ion and scan Q3 production. (2) Selected ion monitoring: select precursor ion in Q1 and monitor one or more fragment ions in Q3. (3) Neutral loss scan: scan all ions in Q1 and select ions with neutral loss in Q3. (4) Precursor ion scan: scan precursor ion in Q1 and select certain fragment ion in Q3, all collision induced dissociation carried out in Q2.
quantitation. More recently, high field of orbitrap has improved scan speed to 18 Hz at resolution setting of 15,000 at 200 m/z. (Q Exactive HF, Thermo).

4. Database searching and protein identification

MS-based approaches are current popular methods for protein identification based on well-established genomic and protein databases as well as bioinformatics tools. Higher mass accuracy and resolution of MS data can provide more confidence in protein identifications. The “peptide mass fingerprinting” (PMF) method is the fastest method to identify proteins recovered from 2DE-based proteomics. In PMF, proteins are first digested, then detected by MS full scan to obtain peptide signals as many as possible. Detected peptide signals are compared with theoretically expected peptide masses in a protein database. A score was used to describe results of each comparison [40]. For protein identification with MS/MS spectra, de novo sequencing and “peptide fragment fingerprinting” are widely used. De novo methods are used to identify proteins when genomes are not known and utilize computational approach to deduce (partial) sequence of peptides directly from experimental MS/MS spectra [41]. Peptide fragment fingerprint entails comparing experimental MS/MS spectra against those theoretically generated peptide candidates [42]. There are numbers of searching algorithms for protein identification: e.g., probability-based scoring in MASCOT, cross correlation scoring in SEQUEST, and hypergenomic scoring in X!TEM [43-45]. Searching algorithms can only identify proteins with sequences in database, while bottom-up method has limitations: e.g., unanticipated tryptic cleavage by-products, limited identification rates of LC-MS/MS runs [46].

4.1. Quantitative proteomics

MS-based quantitation has been a major wok in proteomic research [47]. The current available quantitative methods divide into gel-based and gel-free nano LC-MS/MS quantitative proteomics [48, 49]. In gel-based proteomics, complicated protein mixture was analyzed by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2DE). Protein mixture was first separated on an immobilized pH gradient strip according to isoelectrical points of proteins. Then, the strip was put on the top of SDS-PAGE for the second dimensional separation according to their molecular weight. 2DE presents a quantitative map of proteome, providing information about the estimated pI and molecular weight of proteins, the levels of protein expression, and post-translation modification [6]. Each individual sample or pooled sample group is performed on each gel. Replicated runs should be performed to reduce quantitative errors. In quantitation, 2D-gels of different sample groups were recorded by image software, which can calibrate spot location and intensity, and output relative quantitative information.

Gel-based approaches have several important advantages for complex protein mixtures: high resolution for separating complex proteins, visualized post-translational modifications and removable salts/detergents in gel-based protein digestion procedure [50, 51]. However, the well-recognized limitations of 2DE include low reproducibility, and inability of analyzing membrane proteins. To improve reproducibility and accuracy in quantitation of 2DE, difference gel electrophoresis (DIGE) approach was introduced by the principle of fluorescence pre-labelling sample proteins before 2D electrophoresis. Proteins of different sample group are separately labeled with different fluorescence reagents (cyanine dyes with different excitation and emission wavelengths) and then pooled into a sample mixture, followed by 2D electrophoresis analysis on a single gel. The different extracted proteins can be visualized under corresponding excitable wavelength and then enable the comparative quantitation among these proteins [48]. However, the colorless DIGE gels should be stained with Coomassie blue or silver stain and carefully recalibrated of spot position before exciting interested protein spot for MS analysis.

The other major quantitative proteomic strategy is the gel-free approach using nano LC-MS/MS which can be further divided into reagent labeled and label free approaches.

The label-based technologies are based on the principle that labelled peptides in different sample groups with a combination of non-radiative isotopes (e.g. C\(^{13}\), H\(^2\), N\(^{15}\)). The different sample groups labeled with different tags were then mixed into the same sample solution prior to nano LC-MS/MS analysis. In nano LC-MS/MS analysis, peptides of same amino sequence from different sample group labeled with different mass tags exhibit the same chromatographic and ionization properties but can be distinguished from each other by a mass-shift signature in MS spectra or mass tags in MS/MS spectra [52]. The label-based technologies include chemical labeling methods of isotope-coded affinity tags (ICAT), isotope-coded protein labelling (ICPL), isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ), tandem mass tag (TMT), metabolic labeling SILAC, and \(^{15}\)N/N\(^{14}\) Labelling [53-56]. These labeling techniques contain well-designed isotope reagents to label peptides (ICPL, ICAT, iTRAQ, TMT) or proteins (ICPL, SILAC) for comparing protein expression changes in different biological samples.

Compared with label-based quantitation approaches, label free quantitation has the advantages of lessening the time and complexity of multi-step labeling process, minimizing the sample loss, and the cost of reagents [57]. In label-free methods, each sample or pooled sample group should be separately analyzed without mixing with other sample group. There are two kinds of label-free quantitation approaches based on spectra counting and ion intensity. Spectra counting, defined as by comparing the number of identified MS/MS spectra, is a semi-quantitative approach providing a low cost and rapid evaluation of protein expression difference. Liu et al. have observed a strong linear correlation between MS/MS spectra counts and relative protein abundance [58]. Many strategies and statistical tools have been developed for analyzing spectral count data and reducing the variations from replicated runs [59-62]. emPAI (exponentially modified PAI) has been developed to estimate the abundance of proteins [59], which has been incorporated into MASCOT (a commonly-used protein searching engine) for rapid evaluation of protein abundance. However, spectra counting results are only acceptable for samples with relative large quantitative differences and for proteins having the number of identified peptides exceeding a certain threshold [63].

Label-free quantification approaches based on ion peak intensities by extracting ion chromatogram (EIC) from MS spectra is more acceptable due to its better quantitation results. In each nanoLC-MS run, the intensity and elution time of each peptide ions was processed, recoded as a quantitative “molecular feature” and form a feature map. These feature ions of different feature maps acquired from different nano LC-MS runs are aligned according to their accurate masses and reproducible LC retention time. Comparison of feature abundances on different maps (representing nano LC-MS runs of different samples) reveals relative
5. MS-based proteomics in Chest Medicine, Gerontology, and Nephrology

The field of MS-based proteomics has been recognized for its potential in finding biomarkers of lung cancer from several perspectives. These include lung cancer tissue, serum, saliva, bronchoalveolar fluid, and exhaustive air [69-73]. Several screening tools as sputum cytology, interval chest x-rays, and computed tomography scans in smokers have proven cost-ineffective in reducing lung cancer mortality rates [87]. MS-based proteomics strategy has shown potential in finding the biomarkers of lung cancers from several perspectives inclusive of proteome of lung cancer tissue, serum, saliva, bronchoalveolar fluid, and exhaustive air [69-73]. Protein profiles of lung cancer tissue, serum, saliva, bronchoalveolar fluid, and exhaustive air [69-73]. Since the pathogenesis of lung cancer involves the complex interaction of host genetic predisposition and environment, it is unlikely to diagnose lung cancer based on the incomplete picture provided by gene profiling, and exposed environmental factors of individuals [86]. Several screening tools as sputum cytology, interval chest x-rays, and computed tomography scans in smokers have been promising in detecting lung cancer with AUC up to 0.90 [72, 73].

5.1. Chest Medicine

The majorities of proteomic studies in Chest Medicine have been straightforward focusing on major diseases: e.g., lung cancer, obstructive airway diseases like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Some MS-based proteomics studies of lung cancer, COPD and asthma were summarized in Table 1 [69-81]. Lung cancer is the cancer leading high mortality worldwide [82]. The delayed diagnosis at last advanced stage of lung cancer accounts for its high cancer-related death rate. Several studies have identified certain mutation of susceptible genes to lung cancer, including epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) gene and nucleotide excision repair genes [83, 84]. Smoking, radon, second-hand tobacco smoke, and other indoor air pollutant are well-recognized environmental carcinogens of lung cancer [85]. Since the pathogenesis of lung cancer involves the complex interaction of host genetic predisposition and environment, it is unlikely to diagnose lung cancer based on the incomplete picture provided by gene profiling, and exposed environmental factors of individuals [86]. Several screening tools as sputum cytology, interval chest x-rays, and computed tomography scans in smokers have been promising in detecting lung cancer with AUC up to 0.90 [72, 73].

MS-based proteomic...
strategy is not only used in diagnosing lung cancer, further it can also be used in predicting the response to target therapy for lung cancer. For the majority of patients with advanced lung cancer, the most important biosignature is in predicting response to target treatment to achieve the goal of “personalized therapy”. Taguchi et al. developed MALDI MS algorithm to predict prognosis of non-small cell lung cancer patients after treatment with epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors, which may help in the pretreatment selection of appropriate subgroups of lung cancer patients [74]. Although the results seem promising, these proteomic strategies remain investigational and await future validation of the application in screen, diagnosis, and pre-treatment selection of patients of lung cancer before they can be carried out in clinical practice.

5.2. Aging and Gerontology

The study of elderly people whose age is more than 65 years is termed as geriatrics. In addition to the chronological definition, aging could still be defined biologically, physically and mentally. Biological aging represents a fundamental process that has a higher risk in the development of cancer, neurodegenerative, and cardiovascular diseases (CAD) than non-elderly [88].

With increasing longevity and decreased fertility rate, the elderly population is getting steadily increased worldwide. Age-related chronic diseases, termed comorbidity and multimorbidity started to catch clinicians’ attentions [89]. CAD, Alzheimer’s disease, and cancer can be considered as accumulating disease predominantly observed in the aging period. Proteomics approach can reveal the phenotype of aging and may provide an insight for investigating the mechanism of these chronic diseases. Some important studies related to aging disease are listed in Table 2 [90-108].

MS can examine chemical structure and organizing process of amyloid beta-protein from Alzheimer’s brain [95, 109]. In addition to apply MS-based techniques in probing etiology and mechanism of Alzheimer’s disease, more studies have adopted MS-based proteomics for biomarker discovery of Alzheimer’s disease. Cerebral spinal fluid and serum have been the material for MS-based non-target proteomics and target-proteomics for discovering and validating biomarkers of Alzheimer’s disease, respectively [96, 97]. Likewise, MS-based proteomics lent insight into the pathogenic role of deregulated protein in pathophysiology of Alzheimer’s disease, which is helpful as a treatment target for drug discovery [97-99, 110]. In addition to effects of aging on developing disease, it is also observed that age had similar detrimental influence on proteins. Age-related modification (phosphorylation, oxidation, glycation, racemization, nitration, etc.) are also observed and may induce disease [106, 107].

5.3. Nephrology

Some MS-based proteomic studies encompassing ischemic acute kidney injury, contrast nephropathy, urolithiasis, kidney rejection, and lupus nephritis were also listed in Table 3 [69, 111-130]. The gold standard of diagnosing glomerulonephritis (GN) is renal biopsy, which is invasive and risky. MS-based proteomic studies have uncovered new biomarkers and pathophysiology of GN. Beck et al. have used MS approaches for renal tissue specimen analysis from patients with idiopathic membrane nephropathy. to identify M-type phospholipase A2 receptor, as a potential marker that differentiates patient groups between idiopathic membrane nephropathy and other GN [122]. A pattern consisting of 22 polypeptides from a capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry (CE-MS) study has successfully distinguished IgA nephropathy from healthy controls, diabetic nephropathy, minimal change disease, and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis with 100% sensitivity [131]. There have been proteomic studies on peritoneal dialysate from patients receiving peritoneal dialysis [132]. It is believed that proteomics of peritoneal dialysate can enhance understanding of peritoneal dialysis and lend potential biomarkers for predicting peritoneal damage [128].

5.4. Omics-based personalized medicine: an evolving art of clinical practice

The revolution of medicine has entered a new era, with major
achievements in recent decades. The challenging progress is the eager to pursue personalized medicine. Personalized medicine, meaning to take into consideration the whole system biologic status of an individual enables the public health scientists and clinicians to choose and tailor the appropriate screening strategy, intervention, drugs to fit the need of biological variability of each individual as possible [133]. Certainly, considering the heterogeneity of genome, epigenome, and the resulting associated phenotype, it is unlikely and cost to design a specific examination or create a medication just unique to one patient. American officials have defined personalized medicine with greater precision as “ability to classify individuals into subpopulations that differ in their susceptibility to a particular disease or their response to a specific treatment” [134].

In the past, universal personalized medicine seems impossible to carry out either in Western or Chinese clinical practice. In Western medicine, what most time physician spent in clinical practice is disease recognition and decision making. Physicians are trained to cure disease regardless of biological variance among individuals. Conceptually different, traditional Chinese medicine considered ill individual as a whole, thought of system medicine, and aimed to achieve system balance based on the con-
cepts of yin–yang, Qi and Blood, and Zang-fu organ [135, 136]. However, traditional Chinese medicine could not be carried out and quantified uniformly by each practitioner, since the practice of Chinese medicine depends largely on imagery, intuitive, and holistic thinking [137].

Completion of Human genome project allows illumination of the human genome and eager in maturing of personalized medicine to resolve irreconcilable differences of philosophies between Western medicine and Chinese medicine [138]. Despite the availability of complete genome sequence, researchers cannot predict manifestation of diseases of physiological process very precisely, given expression of organism activity is much closer to level of functional genome rather than that of genome. Awareness of dynamic complexity of biological activity within human body lead personalized medicine moving beyond genomics, epigenomics, transcriptomics, and finally proteomics to get direct levels of functional insight. Chen et al. studied the proteome of individual colorectal cancer tissues of each patient and used it to establish a pilot model of MS-based proteomics in personalized medicine [139]. This study offers a roadmap for future related studies of personalized medicine; MS-based proteomics of personalized medicine, a key strategy to reform healthcare, is still in its infancy. Issues in clinical aspects of personalized medicine merit attention: well-controlled study for subgrouping; cut-off value and threshold of biomarkers for disease detection and treatment response variant among persons; effects of environment, genetics, and disease variability in a population. Proteotype within the organism is dynamic and varies with time. How to summarize and signify results of this dynamic proteome across samples and individuals poses a challenge in near future.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was funded by grants from the National Science Council, chronic kidney disease (BM102021124), diabetes (BM102010130) and stroke biosignature (BM10 2021169) projects from Academia Sinica, Taiwan.

Open Access This article is distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided original author(s) and source are credited.

REFERENCES

[1] Pandey A, Mann M. Proteomics to study genes and genomes. Nature 2000; 405: 837-46.
[2] Witze ES, Old WM, Resing KA, Ahn NG. Mapping protein post-translational modifications with mass spectrometry. Nature Methods 2007; 4: 798-806.
[3] Wu CC, MacCoss MJ, Howell KE, Yates JR. A method for the comprehensive proteomic analysis of membrane proteins. Nature Biotechnology 2003; 21: 532-8.
[4] Chen CJ, Chen WY, Tseng MC, Chen YM. Tunnel frit: a nonmetallic in-capillary frit for nanoflow ultra high-performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry applications. Anal Chem 2012; 84: 297-303.
[5] Görg A, Postel W, Günther S. Two-dimensional electrophoresis. The current state of two-dimensional electrophoresis with immobilized pH gradients. Electrophoresis 1988; 9: 531-46.
[6] Rogowska-Wrzesinska A, Le Bihan M-C, Thaysen-Andersen M, Roepstorff P. 2D gels still have a niche in proteomics. J Proteomics 2013; 88: 4-13.
[7] Lu X, Zhu H. Tube-Gel Digestion A Novel Proteomic Approach for High Throughput Analysis of Membrane Proteins. Mol Cell Proteomics 2005; 4: 1948-58.
[8] Wiśniewski JR, Zougman A, Nagaraj N, Mann M. Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Methods 2009; 6.
[9] Shevchenko A, Jensen ON, Podtelejnikov AV, Sagliocco F, Wilm M, Vorm O, et al. Linking genome and proteome by mass spectrometry: large-scale identification of yeast proteins from two dimensional gels. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996; 93: 14440-5.
[10] Loewenthal MS, Liang Y, Pinney KW, Stein SE. Quantitative Bottom-Up Proteomics Depends on Digestion Conditions. Analyst Chem 2013; 86: 551-8.
[11] Zhang Y, Fonslow BR, Shan B, Baek MC, Yates JR, 3rd. Protein analysis by shotgun/bottom-up proteomics. Chem Rev 2013; 113: 2343-94.
[12] Fenn JB, Mann M, Meng CK, Wong SF, Whitehouse CM. Electrospray ionization for mass spectrometry of large biomolecules. Science 1989; 246: 64-71.
[13] Wilm M. Principles of electrospray ionization. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011; 10: M111 009407.
[14] Tang L, Kebarle P. Dependence of ion intensity in electrospray mass spectrometry on the concentration of the analytes in the electro-sprayed solution. Analyst Chem 1993; 65: 3654-68.
[15] Wilm M, Mann M. Analytical properties of the nanoelectrospray ion source. Analyst Chem 1996; 68: 1-8.
[16] Juraschek R, Dülcks T, Karas M. Nanoelectrospray—more than just a minimized-flow electrospray ionization source. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 1999; 10: 300-8.
[17] Karas M, Hillenkamp F. Laser desorption/ionization of proteins with molecular masses exceeding 10,000 daltons. Anal Chem 1988; 60: 2299-301.
[18] Chen CJ, Lai CC, Tseng MC, Liu YC, Lin SY, Tsai FJ. Simple fabrication of hydrophobic surface target for increased sensitivity and homogeneity in matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry analysis of peptides, phosphopeptides, carbohydrates and proteins. Anal Chim Acta 2013; 783: 31-8.
[19] Bonk T, Huyen M. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of protein and DNA. The Neuroscientist 2001; 7: 6-12.
[20] Bucknall M, Fung KYC, Duncan MW. Practical quantitative biomedical applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2002; 13: 1015-27.
[21] Ahmed FE. Utility of mass spectrometry for proteome analysis: part I. Conceptual and experimental approaches. Expert Rev Proteomics 2008; 5: 841-64.
[22] McLafferty F. Tandem mass spectrometry. Science 1981; 214: 280-7.
[23] Ma F, Taylor S. Simulation of ion trajectories through the mass filter of a quadrupole mass spectrometer. IEE Proceedings-Science, Measurement and Technology 1996; 143: 71-6.
[24] Anderson L, Hunter CL. Quantitative mass spectrometric multiple reaction monitoring assays for major plasma proteins. Mol Cell Proteomics 2006; 5: 573-88.
[25] Keshishian H, Addona T, Burgess M, Kuhn E, Carr SA. Quantitative, multiplexed assays for low abundance proteins in plasma by targeted mass spectrometry and stable isotope dilution. Mol Cell Proteomics 2007; 6: 2212-29.

[26] Wong PS, Graham Cooks R. Ion trap mass spectrometry. Curr Sep 1997; 16: 85-92.

[27] Cooks RG, Glisz G, Mc Luckey SA, Kaiser RE. Ion trap mass spectrometry. Chemical and Engineering News; (United States) 1991; 69.

[28] Cristoni S, Bernardi LR. Development of new methodologies for the mass spectrometry study of bioorganic macromolecules. Mass Spectrom Rev 2003; 22: 369-406.

[29] Hu Q, Noll RJ, Li H, Makarov A, Hardman M, Graham Cooks R. The Orbitrap: a new mass spectrometer. J Mass Spectrom 2005; 40: 430-43.

[30] Yates JR, Cociorva D, Liao L, Zabrousakov V. Performance of a linear ion trap-Orbitrap hybrid for peptide analysis. Anal Chem 2006; 78: 493-500.

[31] Mamyrin B. Time-of-flight mass spectrometry (concepts, achievements, and prospects). Int J Mass Spectrom 2001; 206: 251-66.

[32] Doroshenko VM, Cotter RJ. Ideal velocity focusing in a reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 1999; 10: 992-96.

[33] Morris HR, Paxton T, Dell A, Langhorne J, Berg M, Bordoli RS, et al. High sensitivity collisionally-activated decomposition tandem mass spectrometry on a novel quadrupole/orthogonal-acceleration time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 1996; 10: 889-96.

[34] Amster IJ. Fourier transform mass spectrometry. J Mass Spectrom 1996; 31: 1325-37.

[35] Scigelova M, Hornshaw M, Giannakopulos A, Makarov A. Fourier transform mass spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011; 10: M111. 009431.

[36] Savory JJ, Kaiser NK, McKenna AM, Xian F, Blakney GT, Rodgers RP, et al. Parts-Per-Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Measurement Accuracy with a “Walking” Calibration Equation. Analyt Chem 2011; 83: 1732-6.

[37] Bogdanov B, Smith RD. Proteomics by FTICR mass spectrometry: Top down and bottom up. Mass Spectrom Rev 2005; 24: 168-200.

[38] Ge Y, Lawhorn BG, ElNaggar M, Strauss E, Park J-H, Begley TP, et al. Top down characterization of larger proteins (45 kDa) by electron capture dissociation mass spectrometry. J Am Chem Soc 2002; 124: 672-8.

[39] Yates JR, Cociorva D, Liao L, Zabrousakov V. Performance of a Linear Ion Trap-Orbitrap Hybrid for Peptide Analysis. Anal Chem 2005; 78: 493-500.

[40] Eriksson J, Chait BT, Fenyo D. A statistical basis for testing the significance of mass spectrometric protein identification results. Anal Chem 2000; 72: 999-1005.

[41] Hughes C, Ma B, Lajoie GA. De novo sequencing methods in proteomics. Methods Mol Biol 2010; 604: 105-21.

[42] Rappsilber J, Mann M. What does it mean to identify a protein in proteomics? Trends Biochem Sci 2002; 27: 74-8.

[43] Geer LY, Markey SP, Kowalak JA, Wagner L, Xu M, Maynard DM, et al. Open mass spectrometry search algorithm. J Proteome Res 2004; 3: 958-64.

[44] Qian W-J, Liu T, Monroe ME, Strittmatter EF, Jacobs JM, Kangas LJ, et al. Probability-based evaluation of peptide and protein identifications from tandem mass spectrometry and SEQUEST analysis: the human proteome. J Proteome Res 2005; 4: 53-62.

[45] Eng JK, McCormack AL, Yates JR. An approach to correlate tandem mass spectral data of peptides with amino acid sequences in a protein database. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 1994; 5: 976-89.

[46] Sadygov RG, Cociorva D, Yates JR. Large-scale database searching using tandem mass spectra: looking up the answer in the back of the book. Nat Methods 2004; 1: 195-202.

[47] Ong S-E, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics turns quantitative. Nat Chem Biol 2005; 1: 252-62.

[48] Marouga R, David S, Hawkins E. The development of the DIGE system: 2D fluorescence difference gel analysis technology. Anal Bioanal Chem 2005; 382: 669-78.

[49] Ono M, Shitashige M, Honda K, Isobe T, Kuwabara H, Matsuzuki H, et al. Label-free quantitative proteomics using large peptide data sets generated by nanoflow liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2006; 5: 1338-47.

[50] Shevchenko A, Lodoba A, Ens W, Schraven B, Standing KG, Shevchenko A. Archived polyacrylamide gels as a resource for proteome characterization by mass spectrometry. Electrophoresis 2001; 22: 1194-203.

[51] Shevchenko A, Tomas H, Havli, shreve J, Olsen JV, Mann M. In-gel digestion for mass spectrometric characterization of proteins and proteomes. Nat Protoc 2007; 1: 2856-60.

[52] Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature 2003; 422: 198-207.

[53] Schulze WX, Usadel B. Quantitation in mass-spectrometry-based proteomics. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2010; 61: 491-516.

[54] Wu WW, Wang G, Baek SJ, Shen R-F. Comparative study of three proteomic quantitative methods, DIGE, cICAT, and iTRAQ, using 2D gel-or LC-MALDI TOF/TOF. J Proteome Res 2006; 5: 651-8.

[55] Gygi SP, Rist B, Gerber SA, Turecek F, Gelb MH, Aebersold R. Quantitative analysis of complex protein mixtures using isotope-coded affinity tags. Nat Biotechnol 1999; 17: 994-9.

[56] Ong S-E, Blagoev B, Kratchmarova I, Kristensen DB, Steen H, Pandey A, et al. Stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture, SILAC, as a simple and accurate approach to expression proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics 2002; 1: 376-86.

[57] Bantscheff M, Schirle M, Sweetman G, Rick J, Kuster B. Quantitative mass spectrometry in proteomics: a critical review. Anal Bioanal Chem 2007; 389: 1017-31.

[58] Liu H, Sadygov RG, Yates JR. A model for random sampling and estimation of relative protein abundance in shotgun proteomics. Anal Chem 2004; 76: 4193-201.

[59] Ishihama Y, Oda Y, Tabata T, Sato T, Nagasu T, Rappsilber J, et al. Exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI) for estimation of absolute protein amount in proteomics by the number of sequenced peptides per protein. Mol Cell Proteomics 2005; 4: 1265-72.

[60] Colinge J, Chiappe D, Lagache S, Moniatte M, Bougueleret L. Differential proteomics via probabilistic peptide identification scores. Anal Chem 2005; 77: 596-606.

[61] Zybailov B, Mosley AL, Sardiu ME, Coleman MK, Florens L, Washburn MP. Statistical analysis of membrane proteome expression changes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Proteome Res 2006; 5: 33
Lu P, Vogel C, Wang R, Yao X, Marcotte EM. Absolute protein expression profiling estimates the relative contributions of transcriptional and translational regulation. Nat Biotechnol 2007; 25: 117-24.

Szabo Z, Szomor JS, Foeldi I, Janaky T. Mass spectrometry-based label free quantification of gel separated proteins. J Proteomics 2012; 75: 5444-53.

Vasilj A, Gentzel M, Ueberham E, Gebhardt R, Shevchenko A. Tissue proteomics by one-dimensional gel electrophoresis combined with label-free protein quantification. J Proteome Res 2012; 11: 3680-9.

Nahnsen S, Biełow C, Reinert K, Kohlbacher O. Tools for label-free peptide quantification. Mol Cell Proteomics 2013; 12: 549-56.

Lu JJ, Tsai FJ, Ho CM, Liu YC, Chen CJ. Peptide biomarker discovery for identification of methicillin-resistant and vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus strains by MALDI-TOF. Anal Chem 2012; 84: 5685-92.

Tang N, Tornatore P, Weinberger SR. Current developments in SELDI affinity technology. Mass Spectrom Rev 2004; 23: 34-44.

Altelaar AM, Munoz J, Heck AJ. Next-generation proteomics: towards an integrative view of proteome dynamics. Nat Rev Genet 2013; 14: 35-48.

Yanagisawa K, Shyr Y, Xu BJ, Massion PP, Larsen PH, White BC, et al. Proteomic patterns of tumour subsets in non-small-cell lung cancer. The Lancet 2003; 362: 433-9.

Rahman SJ, Shyr Y, Yildiz PB, Gonzalez AL, Li H, Zhang X, et al. Proteomic patterns of preinvasive bronchial lesions. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2005; 172: 1556.

Zhakov TA, Johanson RA, Cantor AB, Clark RA, Tockman MS. Discovery of distinct protein profiles specific for lung tumors and pre-malignant lung lesions by SELDI mass spectrometry. Lung Cancer 2003; 40: 267-79.

Xiao H, Zhang L, Zhou H, Lee JM, Garon EB, Wong DTW. Proteomic Analysis of Human Saliva From Lung Cancer Patients Using Two-Dimensional Difference Gel Electrophoresis and Mass Spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2012; 11.

Conrad D, Goyette J, Thomas P. Proteomics as a Method for Early Detection of Cancer: A Review of Proteomics, Exhaled Breath Condensate, and Lung Cancer Screening. J Gen Intern Med 2008; 23: 78-84.

Taguchi F, Solomon B, Gregorc V, Roder H, Gray R, Kasahara K, et al. Mass Spectrometry to Classify Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer Patients for Clinical Outcome After Treatment With Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors: A Multicohort Cross-Institutional Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007; 99: 838-46.

Vilij A, Gentzel M, Ueberham E, Gebhardt R, Shevchenko A. Oxidative stress and protein aggregation during biological aging. Exp Gerontol 2001; 36: 1539-50.

Marenongi A, Angleman S, Melis R, Mangialasche F, Karp A, Garman A, et al. Aging with multimorbidity: a systematic review of the literature. Ageing Res Rev 2011; 10: 430-49.

Duran MC, Mas S, Martin-Ventura JL, Meilihac O, Michel JB, Gallego-Delgado J, et al. Proteomic analysis of human vessels: application to atherosclerotic plaques. Proteomics 2003; 3: 973-8.

Vivanco F, Martin-Ventura JL, Duran MC, Barderas MG, Blanco-Colio L, Darde VM, et al. Quest for novel cardiovascular biomarkers by proteomic analysis. J Proteome Res 2005; 4: 1181-91.

Bagnato C, Thumar J, Mayya V, Hwang SI, Zebroski H, Claffey KP, et al. Proteomics analysis of human coronary atherosclerotic plaque: a feasibility study of direct tissue proteomics by liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry. Mol Cell Proteomics 2007; 6: 1088-102.

80. Coppinga JA, Cagney G, Toomey S, Kislinger T, Belton O, McRedmond JP, et al. Characterization of the proteins released from activated platelets leads to localization of novel platelet proteins in human atherosclerotic lesions. Blood 2004; 103: 2096-104.

81. Tsai L-H, Madabhushi R. Alzheimer’s disease: A protective factor for the ageing brain. Nature 2014; 507: 439-40.

82. Mori H, Takan K, Ogawara M, Selkoe D. Mass spectrometry of purified amyloid beta protein in Alzheimer’s disease. J Biol Chem 1992; 267: 17082-6.
Profiling 10 Years Prior to Development of Nephropathy. Diabetes Care 2007; 30: 638-43.

[128] Brewis IA, Topley N. Proteomics and peritoneal dialysis: early days but clear potential. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2010; 25: 1749-53.

[129] Raaijmakers R, Pluk W, Schröder CH, Gloerich J, Cornelissen EAM, Wessels HJCT, et al. Proteomic profiling and identification in peritoneal fluid of children treated by peritoneal dialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008; 23: 2402-5.

[130] Dihazi H, Muller CA, Mattes H, Muller GA. Proteomic analysis to improve adequacy of hemo- and peritoneal dialysis: Removal of small and high molecular weight proteins with high- and low-flux filters or a peritoneal membrane. Proteomics Clin Appl 2008; 2: 1167-82.

[131] Haubitz M, Wittke S, Weissinger EM, Walden M, Rupprecht HD, Floege J, et al. Urine protein patterns can serve as diagnostic tools in patients with IgA nephropathy. Kidney Int 2005; 67: 2313-20.

[132] Yang MH, Wang HY, Lu CY, Tsai WC, Lin PC, Su SB, et al. Proteomic profiling for peritoneal dialysate: differential protein expression in diabetes mellitus. Biomed Res Int 2013; 2013: 642964.

[133] Burke W, Psaty BM. Personalized medicine in the era of genomics. JAMA 2007; 298: 1682-4.

[134] President’s Council of Advisors on Science and Technology. Priorities for personalized medicine. 2008. Sep, http://www.ostp.gov/galleries/PCAST/pcast_report_v2.pdf.: Accessed June 19, 2009.

[135] Normile D. Asian medicine. The new face of traditional Chinese medicine. Science 2003; 299: 188-90.

[136] Mehl-Madrona L, Katz M, Curry EP, Bribiesca LB. Alternative views on alternative medicine. Science 2000; 289: 245b-6b.

[137] Jenkins TN. Chinese traditional thought and practice: lessons for an ecological economics worldview. Ecol Econ 2002; 40: 39-52.

[138] Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, et al. The Sequence of the Human Genome. Science 2001; 291: 1304-51.

[139] Han C-L, Chen J-S, Chan E-C, Wu C-P, Yu K-H, Chen K-T, et al. An informatics-assisted label-free approach for personalized tissue membrane proteomics: case study on colorectal cancer. Mol Cell Proteomics 2011; 10: M110. 003087.

[140] Poduri A, Bahl A, Talwar KK, Khullar M. Proteomic analysis of circulating human monocytes in coronary artery disease. Mol Cell Biochem 2012; 360: 181-8.

[141] Theodorescu D, Wittke S, Ross MM, Walden M, Conaway M, Just I, et al. Discovery and validation of new protein biomarkers for urothelial cancer: a prospective analysis. Lancet Oncol 2006; 7: 230-40.