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Abstract
Computational simulations of turbulent flows indicate that the regions of low dissipation/enstrophy production feature high degree of local alignment between the velocity and the vorticity, i.e., the flow is locally near-Beltrami. Hence one could envision a geometric scenario in which the persistence of the local near-Beltrami property might be consistent with a (possible) finite-time singularity formation. The goal of this note is to show that this scenario is in fact prohibited if the sine of the angle between the velocity and the vorticity is small enough with respect to the local enstrophy.
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1 Introduction
The motion of 3D incompressible, viscous, Newtonian fluid is described by the 3D Navier–Stokes (NS) equations,

\[ u_t + (u \cdot \nabla) u = \nu \Delta u + \nabla p + f, \quad \text{div} \ u = 0 \]

where \( u \) is the velocity of the fluid, \( p \) the pressure, \( \nu \) the viscosity, and \( f \) the external force. This is supplemented with the initial condition \( u_0 \) and the appropriate boundary
conditions. For simplicity, set the viscosity to be 1, and suppose that the external force is of the potential type.

Since the pioneering work of Leray (1934) in 1930s, it has been known that if the initial condition is regular enough, the solution remains regular at least over a finite interval of time, the length of which depends on a suitable norm of the initial condition. The question of whether this time interval can be extended to infinity for arbitrary large initial data remains open and is usually referred to as the NS regularity problem.

Taking the curl of the velocity–pressure formulation leads to the vorticity–velocity formulation of the 3D NS equations,

\[ \omega_t + (u \cdot \nabla)\omega = \Delta \omega + (\omega \cdot \nabla)u; \]

here, \( \omega = \text{curl } u \) is the vorticity, the left-hand side represents transport of the vorticity by the velocity, the first term on the right-hand side is the diffusion, and the second one is the vortex-stretching term (which is absent in the 2D case where it is a routine exercise to show that the flow remains regular for all times).

Geometric studies of the NS regularity problem were initiated by Constantin (1994) where he presented a singular integral representation of the stretching factor in the evolution of the vorticity magnitude featuring a geometric kernel that was depleted by the local coherence of the vorticity direction. This led to a fundamental result (Constantin and Fefferman 1993) stating that as long as the vorticity direction remains Lipschitz (in the regions of intense vorticity) the flow remains regular. Subsequently—among the other results—the Lipchitz condition was scaled down to \( \frac{1}{2} \)-Hölder (Beirao da Veiga and Berselli 2002) and the spatiotemporal localization of various geometric, analytic, and hybrid geometric–analytic regularity criteria was presented in Grujić (2009) and Grujić and Guberović (2010). Let us remark that the local coherence of the vorticity direction is—in a sense—a locally near-2D property.

The aforementioned approach was inspired by the computational simulations of turbulent flows revealing that the regions of intense vorticity are dominated by coherent vortex structures and in particular vortex tubes/filaments. This type of geometry plausibly exhibits local coherence of the vorticity direction field. However, the simulations also indicate the formation of local structures in which the vortex lines ‘meet’ transversely or near-transversely (cf. She et al. 1991; Holm and Kerr 2002) hinting at the possibility of a discontinuity in the vorticity direction field. These structures—at the same time—feature a local near-alignment of the velocity and the vorticity, i.e., they are locally near-Beltrami. Note that being near-Beltrami is not a near-2D property.

The analysis of the probability density function for the distribution of the angle between velocity and vorticity conditionally sampled in the regions of high and low dissipation given in Pelz et al. (1985) revealed the tendency to evenly distribute and align, respectively. (In particular, this was the case in Taylor–Green vortex flows.) In addition, the statistical analysis of the data sourced from a direct numerical simulation of forced isotropic turbulence performed in Choi et al. (2009) demonstrated a strong correlation between the local helicity and the local enstrophy. Hence, on the one hand, there are indications that the local near-Beltrami structures are a signature of the regions of intense fluid activity, and persistence of the local near-Beltrami property might be consistent with possible formation of a singularity. On the other hand, the
exact Beltrami flows are essentially linear (the vorticity satisfies the 3D heat equation), and it is natural to wonder whether it would be possible to effectively analyze the locally near-Beltrami flows as the locally ‘near-linear’ flows.

In the special case of the globally near-Beltrami flow, it was shown in Beirao da Veiga (2012) (in the case of the stress-free boundary conditions) that as long as the sine of the angle between the velocity and the vorticity is uniformly small with respect to the global enstrophy, the solution remains regular. In this note, we demonstrate that the geometric depletion of the nonlinearity induced by the local near-Beltrami structure is effective in the setting of the spatiotemporal localization of the flow to an arbitrarily small parabolic cylinder. More precisely, we show that if the sine of the angle between the velocity and the vorticity is small enough (on a local parabolic cylinder) with respect to the local enstrophy, no singularity can form.

Several related results on the regularity issue for the 3D NSE using the Beltrami property are proved in Berselli and Cordoba (2009) and Chae (2010). In Berselli and Cordoba (2009), the authors show that if the velocity and the vorticity are near-orthogonal (the helicity is nearly zero) at each point, then the solution of the 3D NSE is smooth. The flow in this case is “anti-Beltrami” and resembles the 2D geometric situation. In Chae (2010), regularity criteria for 3D NSE are proved under a number of different conditions on the helicity vector \(u \times \omega\) including boundedness in \(L^{3,\infty}\).

At the end, let us mention that there are results in the literature in which suitably defined helical invariances and helical projections come to play. In particular, in Mahalov et al. (1990) the authors obtained the global regularity for the helically symmetric solutions to the 3D NS equations, while Biferele and Titi (2013) demonstrated the global regularity for solutions living in the subspace of a sign-definite helicity.

2 The Main Result

The standard vorticity–velocity formulation of the 3D NS equations recalled in Introduction can be written in the form suitable for the study of local helicity, namely,

\[
\omega_t - \Delta \omega + \nabla \times (\omega \times u) = 0. \tag{1}
\]

Let \((x_0, t_0)\) be a point in the space–time, and let \(Q_r(x_0, t_0)\) be the parabolic cylinder \(B(x_0, r) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)\). Define \(\psi(x, t) = \phi(x)\eta(t)\) to be a spatiotemporal cutoff function such that: \(0 \leq \phi \leq 1\) is supported in \(B(x_0, 2r)\) with

\[
\phi(x) = 1, \quad x \in B(x_0, r); \quad \frac{\lvert \nabla \phi \rvert}{\phi^\delta} \leq \frac{C}{r},
\]

for some \(\delta \in (0, 1)\), and \(0 \leq \eta \leq 1\) is supported in \((t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)\) with

\[
\eta(t) = 1, \quad t \in [t_0 - r^2, t_0]; \quad \lvert \eta' \rvert \leq \frac{2}{r^2}.
\]

Denote by \(Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0)\) the parabolic subcylinder of \(Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0)\), \(Q_{2r}^*(x_0, t_0) = B(x_0, 2r) \times (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)\), where \(t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)\).
For \((x, t) \in Q_{2r}\), define
\[
\alpha(t) = \sup_{x \in B(x_0, 2r)} \sin (\theta(x, t))
\]
where
\[
\theta(x, t) = \angle(\omega(x, t), u(x, t)).
\]

For a (large) number \(M > 0\) define
\[
S = \{(y, s) : |\omega(y, s)| > M\} \cap Q_{2r},
\]
and
\[
S^t = \{(y, s) : |\omega(y, s)| > M\} \cap Q^t_{2r}
\]
for every \(t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)\). In addition, define
\[
S_y = \{y : |\omega(y, s)| > M\} \cap B(x_0, 2r),
\]
\[
S_y^t = \{s : |\omega(y, s)| > M\} \cap (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0),
\]
and
\[
S^t_y = \{s : |\omega(y, s)| > M\} \cap (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)
\]
for every \(t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)\).

**Theorem 1** Let \(u\) be a Leray-Hopf solution of the 3D NSE in \(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)\) with initial data \(u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\). Given \((x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)\) and \(r > 0\), suppose that the flow is smooth in the open cylinder \(Q_{2r}(x_0, t_0)\), up to its parabolic boundary, and assume that there exists a constant \(c\) such that
\[
\alpha(s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S_y)}^{1/2} \leq c
\]
for every \(s \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)\). Then, the localized enstrophy remains bounded in \(Q_r(x_0, t_0)\), i.e.,
\[
\sup_{t \in (t_0 - r^2, t_0)} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |\omega|^2(x, t) \, dx < \infty
\]
and—consequently—\((x_0, t_0)\) is a regular point.

**Proof** Multiply equation (1) by \(\psi^2\omega\) and integrate over the subcylinder \(Q^t_{2r}\).
\[
\int_{Q_{2r}} \omega_s \cdot (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds - \int_{Q_{2r}} \Delta \omega \cdot (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds
= - \int_{Q_{2r}} \nabla \times (\omega \times u) \cdot (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds. \tag{2}
\]

Integration by parts yields
\[
- \int_{Q_{2r}}' \Delta \omega \cdot (\omega \psi^2) \, dy \, ds = \int_{Q_{2r}} \nabla \omega \cdot \nabla (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds
= \int_{Q_{2r}} \nabla \omega \cdot \nabla [(\psi \omega) \psi] \, dy \, ds
= \int_{Q_{2r}} \left[ \nabla (\psi \omega) \cdot (\psi \nabla \omega) + (\psi \omega) \cdot (\nabla \psi \nabla \omega) \right] \, dy \, ds
= \int_{Q_{2r}} \left[ \nabla (\psi \omega) \cdot (\nabla (\psi \omega) - (\nabla \psi) \omega) + (\psi \omega) \cdot (\nabla \psi \nabla \omega) \right] \, dy \, ds
= \int_{Q_{2r}} \left[ |\nabla (\psi \omega)|^2 - |\nabla \psi|^2 |\omega|^2 \right] \, dy \, ds. \tag{3}
\]

Notice that
\[
\int_{Q_{2r}}' \omega_s \cdot (\omega \psi^2) \, dy \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2r}} |\omega|^2 \psi^2 \, dy \, ds
= - \int_{Q_{2r}} |\omega|^2 \phi^2 \eta_s \, dy \, ds
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\omega|^2 (y, t) \phi^2 (y, t) \, dy. \tag{4}
\]

At this point, it is beneficial to recall the following identity,
\[
\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times f) \cdot g \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot (\nabla \times g) \, dx + \int_{\Gamma} (n \times f) \cdot g \, d\Gamma.
\]

Since \( \phi = 0 \) on \( \partial B(x_0, 2r) \),
\[
\int_{Q_{2r}}' \nabla \times (\omega \times u) \cdot (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds = \int_{t_0 - (2r)^2}^t \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} \nabla \times (\omega \times u) \cdot (\psi^2 \omega) \, dy \, ds
= \int_{t_0 - (2r)^2}^t \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} (\omega \times u) \cdot \left( \nabla \times (\psi^2 \omega) \right) \, dy \, ds
= \int_{Q_{2r}}' (\omega \times u) \cdot \left( \nabla \times [\psi (\psi \omega)] \right) \, dy \, ds
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
= \int_{Q_{2r}} \psi (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
+ \int_{Q_{2r}'} (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \psi \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds, 
\end{align*}
\]

where we used the standard formula in vector analysis:

\[
\nabla \times (\phi A) = \phi (\nabla \times A) + (\nabla \phi) \times A.
\]

Combining (2) with (3)–(5), we arrive at the following

\[
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q_{2r}'} \left| \nabla (\psi \omega) \right|^2 dy \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} \left| \omega \right|^2 (y, t) \phi^2 (y, t) \, dy \\
\leq \int_{Q_{2r}'} \left| \omega \right|^2 \left( \phi^2 ||\eta|| ||\eta_s|| + |\nabla \psi|^2 \right) \, dy \, ds \\
- \int_{Q_{2r}'} \psi (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds - \int_{Q_{2r}'} (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \psi \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
\equiv I_1 - I_2 - I_3. 
\end{align*}
\]

It is plain that

\[
I_1 \leq \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q_{2r}'} \left| \omega \right|^2 \, dy \, ds \\
\leq \frac{c}{r^2} \int_{Q_{2r}'} \left| \nabla u \right|^2 \, dy \, ds
\]

where \(c\) denotes a generic constant that may change from line to line.

Splitting the local flow into the regions of low and high vorticity,

\[
I_2 = \int_{Q_{2r}' \cap \{||\omega|| \leq M\}} \psi (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
+ \int_{Q_{2r}' \cap \{||\omega|| > M\}} \psi (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
\equiv I_2' + I_2'',
\]

and

\[
I_3 = \int_{Q_{2r}' \cap \{||\omega|| \leq M\}} (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \psi \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
+ \int_{Q_{2r}' \cap \{||\omega|| > M\}} (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \psi \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \\
\equiv I_3' + I_3''.
\]
In the regions of low vorticity,

\[
|I_1'| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2r}^c \cap \{|\omega| \leq M\}} \psi^2 |u|^2 |\omega|^2 \, dy \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2r}^c \cap \{|\omega| \leq M\}} |\nabla (\psi \omega)|^2 \, dy \, ds \\
\leq 2M^2 r^2 \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_{2r}(x_0,2r))}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2r}^c} |\nabla (\psi \omega)|^2 \, dy \, ds,
\]

and

\[
|I_1' | \leq \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty(Q_{2r}^c)} M^2 \int_{Q_{2r}^c} |u| \, dy \, ds \\
\leq \frac{cM^2}{r} \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \int_{B(x_0,2r)} |u| \, dy \, ds \\
\leq \frac{cM^2}{r} \times 4r^2 \times \left( \frac{4}{3} (2r)^3 \pi \right)^{1/2} \times \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))} \\
\leq cr^{5/2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}.
\]

Next, we estimate the nonlinear terms in the regions of high vorticity. Start with \(I_2''\).

\[
|I_2''| = \left| \int_{Q_{2r}^c \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} \left( (\psi \omega) \times u \right) \cdot \nabla \times (\psi \omega) \, dy \, ds \right| \\
\leq \int_{Q_{2r}^c \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} \alpha(s) |u| \|\psi \omega\| \|\nabla \times (\psi \omega)\| \, dy \, ds.
\]

Using Hölder’s inequality w.r.t. \(y\), Sobolev embedding and Ladyzhenskaya’s inequality give

\[
|I_2''| \leq \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \alpha(s) \|u\|_{L^6(S_s)} \|\psi \omega\|_{L^3(B(x_0,2r))} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))} \, ds \\
\leq c \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \alpha(s) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_s)} \|\psi \omega\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}^{1/2} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}^{3/2} \, ds,
\]

and utilizing Hölder’s inequality w.r.t. \(s\), followed by Young’s inequality, yields

\[
|I_2''| \leq c \left( \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \alpha^4(s) \|\psi \omega\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_s)}^4 \, ds \right)^{1/4} \\
\times \left( \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}^2 \, ds \right)^{3/4} \\
\leq c \sup_{s \in (t_0-(2r)^2,t)} \left( \alpha(s) \|\psi \omega(s)\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r))}^{1/2} \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S_s)}^{1/2} \right).
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&\times \left( \int_{t_0-(2r)^2}^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(S_s)}^2 \, ds \right)^{1/4} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}^{3/2} \\
&\leq c \sup_{s \in (t_0-(2r)^2, t)} \left( \alpha(s) \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S_s)}^{1/2} \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}^{1/2} \\
&\times \left( \frac{3}{4} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sup_{s \in (t_0-(2r)^2, t)} \|\psi \omega(s)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}^2 \right). 
\end{align*}
\]

Applying a similar argument as above, Hölder’s inequality w.r.t \( y \), Sobolev embedding and Ladyzhenskaya’s inequality yield

\[
|I_3''| = \left| \int_{Q_{2r}^t \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} (\omega \times u) \cdot [\nabla \psi \times (\psi \omega)] \, dy \, ds \right|
\leq \int_{Q_{2r}^t \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} \alpha(s) |\nabla \psi| |\omega| |\psi \omega| \, dy \, ds
\leq \int_{Q_{2r}^t \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} \alpha(s) |\nabla \phi \eta| |\omega| |\psi \omega| \, dy \, ds
\leq C r^{-1} \int_{Q_{2r}^t \cap \{|\omega| > M\}} \alpha(s) |\omega| |\psi \omega| \, dy \, ds.
\]

while Hölder’s inequality w.r.t. \( s \) in conjunction with Young’s inequality implies

\[
|I_3''| \leq cr^{-1} \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}^{1/2} \sup_{s \in (t_0-(2r)^2, t)} \left( \alpha(s) \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S_s)}^{1/2} \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}
\leq cr^{-2} \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))} \sup_{s \in (t_0-(2r)^2, t)} \left( \alpha(s) \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S_s)}^{1/2} \right)^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}^2
+ \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla (\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r}^t)}^2.
\]

Combining estimates (7)–(11) with (6), we get the following bound

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{2r}^t} |\nabla (\psi \omega)|^2 \, dy \, ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\omega|^2(y, t) \phi^2(y, t) \, dy
\]
\[
\leq c \left( r, M, \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})}, \sup_{s \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)} (\alpha(s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S)}^{1/2}) \right) \\
+ c \sup_{s \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)} (\alpha(s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}^{1/2}) \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})}^{1/2} \\
\times \left( \|\nabla(\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})}^2 + \sup_{s \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)} \|\psi \omega(s)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}^2 \right),
\]
for every \( t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0) \). Since
\[
\sup_{s \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t)} (\alpha(s)\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2(S)}^{1/2}) \leq c,
\]
for every \( t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0) \), taking the supremum in \( t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0) \) in (12) yields
\[
\int_{Q_{2r}} |\nabla(\psi \omega)|^2 \, dy \, ds + \sup_{t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\omega|^2(y, t)\phi^2(y, t) \, dy \\
\leq c \left( r, M, \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \right) \\
+ c\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})}^{1/2} \left( \|\nabla(\psi \omega)\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})}^2 + \sup_{t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)} \|\phi \omega(s)\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}^2 \right).
\]
Since \( u \) is a Leray solution, \( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} < \infty \), and
\[
c \left( r, M, \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \right) < \infty.
\]
Moreover, \( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \to 0 \) as \( r \to 0 \); hence, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \rho(\varepsilon) > 0 \) such that \( \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \leq \varepsilon \) for every \( r \leq \rho \). Setting \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{4c\varepsilon^2} \) yields
\[
\int_{Q_{2r}} |\nabla(\psi \omega)|^2 \, dy \, ds + \sup_{t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\omega|^2(y, t)\phi^2(y, t) \, dy \\
\leq c \left( r, M, \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \right),
\]
for any \( r \leq \rho = \rho(\varepsilon) \). Consequently,
\[
\sup_{t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)} \int_{B(x_0, r)} |\omega|^2(y, t) \, dy \leq \sup_{t \in (t_0 - (2r)^2, t_0)} \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\omega|^2(y, t)\phi^2(y, t) \, dy \\
\leq c \left( r, M, \|u_0\|_{L^2(B(x_0, 2r))}, \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(Q_{2r})} \right) < \infty,
\]
for any \( r \leq \rho \). If \( r > \rho \), covering \( B(x_0, r) \) with finitely many balls \( B(z_0, \rho) \) for suitable \( z_0 \)s and redoing the proof on each cylinder \( B(z_0, 2\rho) \times (t_0 - (2\rho)^2, t) \) yields the desired bound.
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