Production of Highly-Polarized Positrons Using Polarized Electrons at MeV Energies
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The Polarized Electrons for Polarized Positrons experiment at the injector of the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility has demonstrated for the first time the efficient transfer of polarization from electrons to positrons produced by the polarized bremsstrahlung radiation induced by a polarized electron beam in a high-Z target. Positron polarization up to 82% have been measured for an initial electron beam momentum of 8.19 MeV/c, limited only by the electron beam polarization. This technique extends polarized positron capabilities from GeV to MeV electron beams, and opens access to polarized positron beam physics to a wide community.

PACS numbers: 29.27.Hj, 41.75.Fr, 13.88.+e

Positron beams, both polarized and unpolarized, with energies ranging from a few eV to hundreds of GeV are unique tools for the study of the physical world. For energies up to several hundred keV, they allow the study of surface magnetization properties of materials [1] and their inner structural defects [2]. In the several to tens of GeV energy range, they provide the complementary experimental observables essential for an unambiguous determination of the structure of the nucleon [3]. In the several hundreds of GeV energy range, they are considered essential for the next generation of experiments that will search for physics beyond the Standard Model [4]. Unfortunately, the creation of polarized positron beams is especially difficult. Radioactive sources can be used for low energy positrons [5], but the flux is restricted. Storage or damping rings can be used at high energy, taking advantage of the self-polarizing Sokolov-Ternov effect [6], however, this approach is generally not suitable for external beams and continuous wave facilities.

Recent schemes for polarized positron production at such proposed facilities rely on the polarization transfer in the $e^+e^-$-pair creation process from circularly polarized photons [7, 8], but use different methods to produce the polarized photons. Two techniques have been investigated successfully: the Compton backscattering of polarized laser light from a GeV unpolarized electron beam [9], and the synchrotron radiation of a multi-GeV unpolarized electron beam travelling within a helical undulator [10]. Both demonstration experiments reported high positron polarization, confirming the efficiency of the pair production process for producing a polarized positron beam. However, these techniques require high energy electron beams and challenging technologies that limit their range of application.

A new approach, which we refer to as the Polarized Electrons for Polarized Positrons (PEPPo) concept [11, 12], has been investigated at the Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility (CEBAF) of the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (JLab). Taking advantage of advances in high polarization, high intensity electron sources [13], it exploits the polarized photons generated by the bremsstrahlung radiation of...
FIG. 1. Schematic of the PEPPo line and apparatus illustrating the principle of operation of the experiment based on the processes sequence $e^{-} \rightarrow T_{1}^{\perp} \gamma \rightarrow e^{+} \rightarrow T_{2}^{\perp} \gamma$. The exiting positrons can either be detected at a positron diagnostic target (AT) or refocused by a second solenoid (S2) through a magnetic beam line and subsequently detected by the CsI-array depending on the relative orientation of the photon and iron core polarizations. By reversing the sign of the positron polarization (via the electron beam helicity) or the target polarization (via S3 polarity), one measures the experimental Compton asymmetry

$$A_{C}^{p} = P_{\parallel} F_{T} A_{p} = \epsilon_{p} P_{e} F_{T} A_{p}$$

(1)

where $A_{p}$ is the positron analyzing power of the polarimeter and $\epsilon_{p}$ is the electron-to-positron polarization transfer efficiency. Knowing $F_{T}, P_{e}, A_{p}$ and measuring $A_{C}^{p}$ provide a measurement of $P_{\parallel}$ and $\epsilon_{p}$.

PEPPo used a polarized electron beam of $p_{e} = 8.19 \pm 0.04$ MeV/c to measure the momentum dependence of $\epsilon_{p}$ over the positron momentum range of 3.07 to 6.25 MeV/c. The magnetic beam line and polarimeter were first calibrated using electron beams of precisely measured polarization and with momenta adjusted to match the positron momenta to be studied. Only the polarity of the spectrometer was reversed when measuring positrons instead of electrons. The uncertainties on the positron momenta (Tab. I) arise from the electron calibration procedure and hysteresis effects under polarity reversal for positron collection. The experimental values of S1, D, and S2 currents agree well with those determined by a GEANT4 [17] model of the experiment using magnetic fields modeled with OPERA-3D [18].

![FIG. 2.](image-url) (Color online) Measured energy spectra in one of the annihilation detectors (AD) once T1 is inserted in (full line) or removed from (dash line) the electron beam path; the top right corner shows the difference between these spectra.)
The polarization of the electron beam, $P_e$, was measured to be $85.2\pm0.6\pm0.7\%$ with a Mott polarimeter [19]. The first uncertainty is statistical and the second is the total systematic uncertainty associated with the theoretical and experimental determination of the Mott analyzing power.

The AD diagnostic is used to demonstrate the presence of positrons exiting the DD spectrometer. When interacting with an insertable chromium oxide target (AT in Fig. 1) at the spectrometer exit, positrons annihilate into two back-to-back $511$ keV photons (Fig. 2) that are detected by a pair of NaI detectors (AD in Fig. 1).

The polarimeter’s CsI crystal array was read out by photomultipliers (PMT). The effective gain of each crystal was calibrated prior to beam exposure with $^{137}$Cs and $^{22}$Na radioactive sources, and monitored during data taking by controlling the position of the $511$ keV peak produced by the annihilation of positrons created in the iron core. This method insures a robust and stable energy measurement, intrinsically corrected for possible radiation damage or PMT-aging effects. A positron trigger was formed from a coincidence between the central crystal and a $1$ mm thick scintillator (TS in Fig. 1) placed between the beam line vacuum exit window and T2; it constitutes an effective charged particle trigger that considerably reduces the neutral background in the crystal array.

The electronic readout operated in two modes: single event mode; and integrated mode in which the PMT signal from the crystal was integrated over the total time associated with a fixed beam polarization orientation (helicity gate). This mode was used in high rate background-free situations.

Electron calibration data were recorded in integrated mode. The comparison of the total energy deposited ($E^\pm$) as the electron beam helicity is toggled ($\pm$) at $30$ Hz is formed and defines the experimental asymmetry. Occasional reversal of the sign of the experimental asymmetry was applied to suppress systematic effects of target polarization (reversing S3 polarity) or electron polarization (reversing polarization of the source laser with a half-wave plate). The results were combined statistically to provide the actual Compton asymmetries $A_C^e$ for electrons

$$A_C^e = P_e \frac{P_T}{P_T} A_e$$

where $A_e$ is the electron analyzing power of the polarimeter. Experimental values reported in Tab. I feature high statistical accuracy ($<1\%)$ and comparable systematic errors originating from the determination of the pedestal signal. Since the beam and target polarizations are known, these constitute measurements of $A_e$ (Eq. 2).

The experimental analyzing power increases with electron momentum, as expected (Fig. 3).

Positron data were recorded on an event-by-event basis and, because of the trigger configuration, involve only the central crystal. The experimental information consists of the energy deposited in that crystal and the coincidence time ($t_c$) between TS and the crystal. The energy yield was determined for each helicity state by summing the energy deposited for each event occurring during the corresponding helicity gate, normalized by the beam charge associated with that helicity state and corrected for electronic and data acquisition dead-time measured with specific helicity-gated scalers. Data were further corrected for random coincidences by an analysis of the time spectra. The statistical combination of the data for each S3 polarity and helicity configuration provides the Compton asymmetry $A_C^p$ (Eq. 1). Tab. I reports experimental asymmetries and uncertainties for each positron momentum, integrating over energy deposited above $511$ keV. The main sources of systematics originate from the energy calibration procedure, the random subtraction method, and the selection of coincidence events. They are quadratically combined to yield Tab. I values, whose dominant contributions are from the subtraction of random coincidence events.

The PEPPo beam line, magnet fields, and detection system were modeled using GEANT4, taking advantage of a previous implementation of polarized electromagnetic processes [20, 21]. The calibration of the analyzing power of the polarimeter relies on the comparison between experimental and simulated electron asymmetries. It allowed us to benchmark the GEANT4 physics packages and resolve related systematic uncertainties within the limits of the measurement accuracy. The excellent agreement between electron measurements and simulations (Fig. 3) indicates an accurate understanding of the beam line optics and the quality of the operation of the polarimeter. Finally, the analyzing power of the polarimeter for positrons may be directly simulated (Fig. 3). Conditions for the simulations are guided by the optics of the beam line leading to the vacuum window and bounded by the actual largest $e^+$ beam size that may reach T2. The combination of the supplementary $e^+\to\gamma$ annihilation conversion process together with the minimum energy
TABLE I. PEPPo asymmetry measurements and polarization data at the central crystal.

| Momentum (MeV/c) | Experimental asymmetries | Polarization data |
|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| p                | A_p^C (%)                | δA_p^C Sta. (%)   | A_p^C (%)    | δA_p^C Sta. (%)   | A_p^C (%)    | δA_p^C Sta. (%)   | A_p^C (%)    | δA_p^C Sta. (%)   | P_p (MeV/c) | δP_p (%) |
| 3.07             | 4.89 0.03                | 7.03 0.07         | 87.4 0.06   | 8.71 0.09         | 1.6 0.01     | 11.3 0.5           | 96.5 0.01   | 74.4 0.3         | 43.0        | 6.5 | 1.1 |
| 4.02             | 7.65 0.05                | 8.71 0.09         | 64.8 0.14   | 55.2 0.32         | 1.1 0.01     | 99.4 0.01          | 82.2 0.32   | 74.4 0.3         | 55.2        | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| 5.34             | 9.03 0.03                | 11.3 0.5          | 74.4 0.32   | 55.2 0.32         | 1.1 0.01     | 99.4 0.01          | 82.2 0.32   | 74.4 0.3         | 55.2        | 3.2 | 1.2 |
| 6.25             | 9.04 0.04                | 12.0 0.4          | 74.4 0.32   | 55.2 0.32         | 1.1 0.01     | 99.4 0.01          | 82.2 0.32   | 74.4 0.39        | 55.2        | 3.2 | 1.2 |

FIG. 4. (Color online) PEPPo measurements of the positron polarization (top panel) and polarization transfer efficiency (bottom panel); statistics and systematics are reported for each point, and the shaded area indicates the electron beam polarization.

deposited requirement (511 keV) leads to larger analyzing power for positrons than electrons. The energy cut effect is strong at low $e^+$ momenta where it removes a significant part of the energy spectra acting as a dilution of the polarization sensitivity.

The positron longitudinal polarization $P_\parallel$ and the polarization transfer efficiency $\epsilon_P$ as obtained from Eq. 1 are reported in Tab. I and Fig. 4. These data show large positron polarization ($P_\parallel > 40\%$) and polarization transfer efficiency ($\epsilon_P > 50\%$) over the explored momentum range. As a consequence of ionization energy loss in the production target, large polarization transfer ($> 80\%$) is observed down to positron momenta as low as 60% of the initial beam momentum. The bremsstrahlung of longitudinally polarized electrons is therefore demonstrated as an efficient process to generate longitudinally polarized positrons. The $e^+$ production efficiency deduced from the analysis of the photon rates at AD is $\sim 10^{-6}$, in agreement with expectations [14] and optical properties.

This experiment successfully demonstrated the PEPPo concept by measuring longitudinal positron polarization up to 82% corresponding to a polarization transfer approaching 100% from 8.19 MeV/c polarized electrons. These results expand the possibilities for the production of high intensity polarized positron beams from GeV to MeV accelerators.

Exploiting these conditions opens a large field of applications ranging from thermal polarized positron facilities to high energy colliders. These results can be extrapolated to any initial electron beam energy above the pair production threshold, depending on the desired positron flux and polarization. For each polarized positron source designed using the PEPPo concept, it will be essential to optimize the figure-of-merit incorporating the longitudinal and transverse emittance requirements of the application. For an accelerator like CEBAF, using the current polarized electron source, preliminary studies indicate that such an optimization would result in a polarized positron energy about half of the electron beam energy, a polarization transfer efficiency about 75%, and positron beam efficiencies about $10^{-4} e^+/e^-$ for initial beam momentum $\sim 100$ MeV/c [22].
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