Torrefaction of Straw from Oats and Maize for Use as a Fuel and Additive to Organic Fertilizers—TGA Analysis, Kinetics as Products for Agricultural Purposes
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Abstract: This publication presents research work which contains the optimum parameters of the agri-biomass: maize and oat straws torrefaction process. Parameters which are the most important for the torrefaction process and its products are temperature and residence time. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed as well as the torrefaction process using an electrical furnace on a laboratory scale at a temperature between 250–525 °C. These biomass torrefaction process parameters—residence time and temperature—were necessary to perform the design and construction of semi-pilot scale biomass torrefaction installations with a regimental dryer and a woody and agri-biomass regimental torrefaction reactor to perform a continuous torrefaction process using superheated steam. In the design installation the authors also focused on biochar, a bi-product of biofuel which will be used as an additive for natural bio-fertilizers. Kinetic analysis of torrefaction process using maize and oat straws was performed using NETZSCH Neo Kinetics software. It was found that kinetic analysis methods conducted with multiple heating rate experiments were much more efficient than the use of a single heating rate. The best representations of the experimental data for the straw from maize straw were found for the n-order reaction model. A thermogravimetric analysis, TG-MS analysis and VOC analysis combined with electrical furnace installation were performed on the maize and oat straw torrefaction process. The new approach in the work presented is different from that of current scientific achievements due to the fact that until now researchers have worked on performing processes on oat and maize straws by means of the torrefaction process for the production of a biochar as an additive for natural bio-fertilizers. None of them looked for economically reasonable mass loss ratios. In this work the authors made the assumption that a mass loss in the area of 45–50% is the most reasonable loss for the two mentioned agri-biomass processes. On this basis, a semi-pilot installation could be produced in a further BIOCARBON project step. The kinetic parameters which were calculated will be used to estimate the size of the apparatuses, the biomass dryer, and biomass torrefaction reactor.
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1. Introduction

Worldwide, big agriculture, agri-food processing and individual farmers produce a very large amount of agri-waste each year. Taking into account straw, husks plus other various types of husk and shell, and also biomass from energy crops, it accounts for 50 billion metric tons per year. The mass of straw collected in Poland is estimated at 25–33 million Mg per year [1]. A huge amount of oats and maize waste is produced annually, as maize is one of the most common staple crops worldwide. Little research [2–4] has been carried out on the handling or reuse of oats and maize waste as biomass. The latter two biomasses are converted through processes such as drying and roasting to homogenize their physicochemical properties. In this research, the torrefaction process of the most available and quite low-cost effective feedstock, oats and maize waste, was carried out in a thermogravimetric analyzer and laboratory scale furnace in the presence of nitrogen, argon, and CO$_2$ gas. Other methods to treat oat and maize straw are conversion to bioethanol and to a natural fertilizer using bio-treatment methods [5]. Nevertheless, those conversion techniques generate a large amount of waste [6]. In effect the soil is acidic, poor, and usually has a yellowish color grubbing up and clearing the forest quickly: most cultivated plants quickly deteriorate on it [7]. Biochar as an additive for fertilizer has a high utility potential in agriculture [8], as a base for natural dedicated fertilizers for horticulture and greenhouse cultivation and for large-scale agriculture. In addition to litter and fodder in industrial breeding of poultry and animals, it is a liquid manure absorber and dynamic of manure composter. Its use will respond to market needs related to improving soil properties, limiting the use of mineral fertilizers and plant protection chemicals and carbon sequestration in soil. The effects of soil biochar application include PH regulation, improvement of soil water properties and soil thermal properties, better use of fertilizers by plants, reduction of water pollution, positive impact on growth and yield of plants, impact on increasing activity of microorganisms, better soil (process improvement soil-forming), long-lasting effects, carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) sequestration, impact on mitigation of climate change, increase of the organic content in soil, increase of soil moisture, and impact on increasing the mass and quality of the system root. The application of biochar in 2014 contributed to an increase in root colonization by mycorrhizal fungi; vegetable growth; and yield of apple, peach, and nectarine trees in 2015. The application of biochar also contributed to an increase in the water status of soil and plants [7]. Biochar, due to its properties, is also an excellent structuring additive for composting: it improves the air and water conditions of the process [9]. It significantly reduces ammonia emissions, thus reducing nitrogen losses and improving the quality of the fertilizer received. It reduces gas emissions. Using biochar in soil aids retention, thermal properties, and gas. Biochar, thanks to its ionic capacity, promotes better use of fertilizers by plants and reduces the amount of pollution outflow [10]. The global biochar market size was estimated at 283.2 Gg in 2015. The worldwide increase of consumption of bio-organic food and the capacity of biochar to increase soil fertility and plant growth is expected to be a most important factor moving market growth. The global market is composed of regimented and unregimented producers of biochar. In China, Brazil, Japan, and Mexico large amounts of production come from the unregimented sector. The global biochar market is expected to reach USD 3.14 billion by 2025. According to the European Biochar Foundation, the volume of biochar production in Europe at the end of 2014 was over 9000 Mg per year. This is constantly increasing, which, taking into consideration the slow pyrolysis (30% output of biochar from feed), gives an annual volume of biomass of 30,000 Mg, and with fast pyrolysis up to approx. 100,000 Mg per year. The European biochar market is predicted to increase its revenue from $193 million to $875 million in the period 2017 to 2025, growing at an estimated compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 17.70%. Currently, the entire production of certified biochar in Europe is carried out in seven industrial lines located in four countries: Germany, Austria, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. It is estimated that the volume of biochar production in Europe is even higher due to the large number of local, small installations (perhaps more than 100). The development of the biochar market in Poland will be driven by the demand not only for biochar as a product but primarily for the products manufactured by its use [11]. Straw from maize and oats are used in Poland for fertilizing fields. After deduction of the
needs for litter and feed, as well as the amount needed for plowing, there are overflows for different use. The volume of extra production of straw according to different sources is approx. 8–13 million Mg annually [12,13]. One solution for this situation is to use straw overflows in the energy and power sector. The heating value of straw ranges from 14.3 to 15.2 MJ/kg. In terms of energy, 1.5 Mg of straw are equivalent to about one Mg of coal [14–16]. The mass of straw that can be combusted as a solid biofuel in the energy sector is equal to a calorific value of approx. 14 million Mg of coal, which is 10% of annual coal mining production in Poland. The limit in the widespread use of straw in the energy and power sector is its dispersed diversification of physicochemical properties [1,17–20]. Another very important factor is that straw is a volumetric material, which has an impact on logistic costs such as transport and storage [21–23]. The implementation of the European Commission’s policy on sustainable development, the reduction of natural resources, the development and application of waste-efficient technologies, and the development of new biodegradable and environmentally friendly products is driving the growth of this market.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Methods

The experimental work focused on two types of agri-biomass: straw from oats and straw from maize. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to estimate the weight loss kinetics of carbonized maize and oat straw before the design of a semi-scale set-up where a continuous working dryer and biomass torrefaction reactor. In this work, a TG 209 Tarsus Netzsch TGA was used to perform the torrefaction process. Installation with a regimental biomass dryer and regimental reactor feed with steam will be designed in the next stage to achieve the optimal mass loss to energy loss ratio (to obtain the highest energy density). The biomass torrefaction process was done using a specifically designed set-up with a TGA analyzer for torrefaction process in inert nitrogen atmosphere—Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Principle of oats and maize straw torrefaction process with process conditions temperature and optimal mass loss using superheated steam for production of biofuel, activated carbon, and additive for natural fertilizers.](image-url)
Agri-biomasses were subjected to analysis in accordance with ISO standard [24–27]. A proximate and ultimate analysis were also done after the torrefaction process. High heating values (HHVs) of the maize and oat straw after the torrefaction process were estimated in accordance with ISO standard [28]. The HHVs were determined using a bomb calorimeter (Parr Instrument Co., Model 1672, Moline, IL, USA). C, H, N, S, and O estimation analysis of the untreated biomass and torrefied samples was performed using an elemental analyzer.

2.2. Thermal Gravimetric Analysis and Kinetics

The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the oats and maize straw torrefaction was done using 10 mg samples. To get an inert atmosphere, nitrogen was injected with a flow rate of 20 mL/min. The samples of oats and maize straws were placed inside the TGA analyzer furnace. The laboratory set-up with electrical furnace and TOC analyzer was calibrated with a precise (0.01 g resolution) electronic balance for the estimation of the mass drop during the process. The parameters (temperature and sample mass) were recorded using PC. For TG-MS analysis a thermogravimetric Luxx 409 PG Netzsch, coupled with a mass spectrometer QMS 403D Aeolos Netzsch were used. The tests were carried out in an argon atmosphere at 25 mL/min. Due to the need to stabilize the weight with a water jacket, the initial temperature of the 40 °C content was set. The weight of the biomass samples for the torrefaction process using TG-MS analysis was 5.0 mg. The samples were placed in 6 mm diameter Al₂O₃ crucibles.

The kinetics were determined using special NETZSCH Kinetics Neo software. Software allowed us to obtain reaction kinetics or chemical kinetics. This measures the rates of chemical processes and helps to determine reaction rates. It also takes the factors that control these rates into consideration. Information related to those points can give deep insights into the detailed molecular mechanisms behind elementary reactions. The above software is used to analyze chemical processes. NETZSCH Kinetics Neo software allows for the analysis of the biomass torrefaction process and other temperature-dependent processes. One result of such an analysis is a kinetics model which correctly corresponds to the nature of experimental data under varied temperature conditions [29]. Use of the kinetic model helps to predict a chemical system’s behavior under user-defined temperature conditions [30]. Alternatively, this kind of model could be used for process optimization.

2.3. Composition of Total Organic Carbon

The value of the pollutant emission index (VOC) \( W_z \) (in mg of the pollutant tested per 1 g of fuel burned) was calculated on the basis of the relationship

\[
W_z = \frac{Q \cdot c_{avr} \cdot \tau}{m_p} \tag{1}
\]

where: 
- \( Q \) — air flow rate (m³/s),
- \( m_p \) — sample mass (mg),
- \( \tau \) — sample torrefaction time (s),
- \( c_{avr} \) — average concentration of pollutants (mg/m³), calculated from the dependencies:

\[
c_{avr} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau c(\tau) \, d\tau \tag{2}
\]

3. Experimental Procedure and Device

The samples were dried so as to have homogeneous experimental conditions in an electrical oven for 4 h at 110 °C. In the experiment, each sample was processed using 2 g of sample under atmospheric pressure. In this work, a horizontal tubular reactor with a length of 600 mm and an
internal diameter of 150 mm was used to perform the biomass torrefaction process, as schematically shown in Figure 2. A specific amount of biomass sample was weighed and placed in a crucible. Carbon dioxide flushing was done until the concentration of oxygen in the electrical furnace reactor was less than 1%. After flushing the reactor with CO$_2$ (2 L/min) the temperatures of the electrical oven chamber were increased to different temperatures set between 250 and 350 °C at a constant heating rate of 10 °C/min. After the torrefaction temperature reached the necessary experimental conditions, the heating reactor was stopped, and the inert gas was shut down. The torrefied biomass sample was then instantly removed and was weighed. The tests for the biomass torrefaction holding time were done at various holding times from 0 min to 50 min for 250, 300, 350 and 525 °C. In this experiment, the residence time in the biomass torrefaction process means the holding time after reaching a certain temperature [2,31].

![Figure 2. Installation for VOC analysis in ‘torgas’ during torrefaction process using electrical furnace.](image)

The torrefaction process was conducted in a resistance electric oven PR-45/1350M in a carbon dioxide atmosphere. A previously dried sample weighing 2–2.5 g was placed on a quartz glass boat, which was put into an oven heated to the appropriate temperature for 1 h. The analysis was carried out at the following temperatures: 225, 250, 275, 300, and 525 °C. The carbon dioxide flow was 1 L/min. During this time, the emission of the sum of volatile organic compounds recorded in the JUM FID 3-500 stationary analyzer was measured. The frequency of VOC reading was 10 s. Three repetitions were performed for each temperature value. After the torrefaction process, the sample was weighed again to determine mass loss.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Kinetics

Kinetic analysis of the pyrolysis process of two biomass samples, maize and oats, was made based on thermogravimetric measurements using three heating rates (5, 10, and 20 K/min.). Sample weights were 10.0 ± 2 mg. For the kinetic analysis, data in a temperature range of 150–500 °C was used. Data analysis was performed using Netzsch Kinetics 3 software. Isoconversion analysis was first to be performed. This allows us to calculate the activation energy without knowing the reaction model [30]. Results of TGA analysis for the maize and oat straws are shown in Figures 3 and 4.
After performing TGA analysis on maize and oat straws using 3 heating ratios, 5, 10, and 20 K/min the kinetic analysis was done using NETZSCH Kinetics Software. The first method used was a Kissinger analysis in accordance with ASTM E698 based on the assumption that the maximum (in this case the maximum of the DTG curve, i.e., the temperature at which the mass loss rate is the highest) of a one-step reaction is achieved with the same degree of conversion regardless of the heating rate. Although this assumption is only partly correct, the resulting errors are low. The Kissinger method based on Equation (1) allows the calculation of the activation energy of the thermal decomposition reaction of a solid by plotting the logarithm of the sample heating rate as a function of the inverse of temperature at the moment of the highest mass loss speed [31].

Figure 3. TG curves for three heating rates recorded for a maize straw sample.

Figure 4. TG curves for three heating rates recorded for a oat straw sample.
\[
\ln \frac{\beta}{T_{max}^2} = -\frac{E_a}{RT_{max}}
\]

where: \(\beta\)—sample heating speed \([K/min]\), \(T_{max}\)—temperature at which the sample mass loss rate is the highest \([K]\), \(A\)—pre-exponential coefficient \([-\]), \(E_a\)—activation energy \([J/mol]\), \(R\)—gas constant \([J/mol \cdot K]\).

\[f(T) = Ae^{E_a/RT}\]

Reference [31], where
\(A\)—pre-exponential factor (rate constant) \((1/s)\),
\(E_a\)—activation energy \((J/mol)\),
\(R\)—gas constant \((J/mol \cdot K)\),
\(T\)—temperature \((K)\).

Friedman analysis consists of determining the logarithm of the degree of conversion and the function of temperature inverse according to Equation (5) [31]

\[
\ln \frac{dx}{dt} = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT_{max}} + \ln f(x)
\]

The Ozawa–Flynn–Walla method is based on the integral form of the equation [31]

\[G(x) = \int \frac{dx}{f(x)} = \frac{A}{\beta} \int_0^T \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right)dt\]

Assuming the stability \(f(x)\) for a given degree of conversion, this method allows plotting the logarithm \(dx/dt\) as a function of temperature inverse and calculating activation energy (Figures 5 and 6) and pre-exponential coefficients for individual conversion levels without knowing the reaction mechanism which is presented on Figures 7 and 8.

**Figure 5.** Graph of \(dx/dt\) logarithm as a function of temperature inverse of Ozawa–Flynn–Wall method for an oat straw sample.
Figure 6. Graph of $\frac{dx}{dt}$ logarithm as a function of temperature inverse of Ozawa–Flynn–Wall method for a maize straw sample.

Figure 7. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of Ozawa–Flynn–Walla conversion for an oat straw sample.

Figure 8. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of Ozawa–Flynn–Walla conversion for a maize straw sample.
For the analyzed biomass samples, it was assumed that the torrefaction process proceeds in one step according to the scheme

$$A \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow B$$

where:

- \(B\)—is the biomass after torrefaction process

Under dynamic conditions, the kinetic equation becomes a thermo-kinetic equation [31]. If

$$\beta = \frac{dT}{dt} = \text{const} > 0, \quad \frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{f(T)}{\beta} f(X)$$

(7)

In linear form, the thermokinetic equation takes the form [31]

$$\ln \frac{g(X)}{T} = \ln \frac{A}{\beta} - \frac{E}{RT}$$

(8)

On the basis of the TG curves obtained as a function of temperature, using the Kinetics 3 program, an attempt was made to mathematically fit one of the models of thermal decomposition reaction. Taking into account the assumed reaction model, kinetic parameters were determined, i.e., the velocity constant (pre-exponential factor) \(k\) and activation energy \(E_a\), as well as the fitting factor \(R^2\) (Tables 1 and 2). In Appendix A: Table A3 summarizes the theoretical equations of the solid-state distribution models of \(g(X)\), which were used to find the best fit.

**Table 1.** Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Ozawa–Flynn–Walla method for a maize straw sample.

| Fract. Mass Loss | Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | \(\lg (A/s^{-1})\) |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.02             | 130.22 ± 44.53            | -11.16             |
| 0.05             | 100.17 ± 1.63             | 5.01               |
| 0.10             | 98.25 ± 1.55              | 10.66              |
| 0.20             | 124.47 ± 15.81            | 9.34               |
| 0.30             | 143.37 ± 19.33            | 10.98              |
| 0.40             | 157.89 ± 18.67            | 12.14              |
| 0.50             | 159.71 ± 9.28             | 12.09              |
| 0.60             | 160.44 ± 3.21             | 11.98              |
| 0.70             | 167.82 ± 14.10            | 12.49              |
| 0.80             | 189.33 ± 7.20             | 14.11              |

**Table 2.** Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Ozawa–Flynn–Walla method for an oat straw sample.

| Fract. Mass Loss | Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | \(\lg (A/s^{-1})\) |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 0.02             | 8.09 ± 41.76              | -3.68               |
| 0.05             | 48.59 ± 73.95             | 1.34                |
| 0.10             | 130.83 ± 79.65            | 9.86                |
| 0.20             | 150.43 ± 62.49            | 11.53               |
| 0.30             | 159.74 ± 45.50            | 12.24               |
| 0.40             | 164.08 ± 11.53            | 12.47               |
| 0.50             | 156.15 ± 14.22            | 11.61               |
| 0.60             | 146.78 ± 28.35            | 10.66               |
| 0.70             | 141.47 ± 40.23            | 10.08               |
| 0.80             | 180.60 ± 111.79           | 13.19               |
The best fit for the experimental data for the oat straw sample was found for the n-order reaction model in Appendix A: Table A3 for n = 3.4235. The $R^2$ correlation coefficient was 0.9972, the pre-exponential coefficient 10.8466, and the activation energy 142 kJ/mol [1]. Figures 9 and 10 represent the fit of the equation with estimated coefficients fit to the experimental data.

![Figure 9](image1.png)

**Figure 9.** Fitting the calculated kinetic model to the experimental data for the oat straw sample.

The best fit for the experimental data for the maize straw sample was obtained for the n-order reaction model for n = 2.8859. The $R^2$ correlation coefficient was 0.9966, the pre-exponential coefficient 8.1309, and the activation energy 112 kJ/mol. Figure 9 shows the fit of the equation with calculated coefficients to the experimental data.

![Figure 10](image2.png)

**Figure 10.** Fitting the calculated kinetic model to the experimental data for the maize straw sample.
It should be borne in mind that the calculated kinetic triplet is only the optimal mathematical fit of the equation to the experimental data and has no strict physical significance (reaction order) in this case. The activation energy calculated in this way is apparent: it only serves to correlate the model with the experimental data and has no meaning as in the definition [32]. It was also concluded that the methods of kinetic analysis using various heating rate results were more efficient than the method involving a single heating rate [2].

4.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermogravimetric Analysis of Oat Straw in N₂ Atmosphere Using TGA Netzsch Tarsus 209 FC

Figure 11 represents the TG curves of an oat straw sample torrefied in a nitrogen atmosphere. The lower value in the weight fraction up to 110 °C was correlated with moisture loss. The beginning of the biomass torrefaction process could be observed at temperatures of approx. 257.5 °C upon the thermal degradation of the organic components contained within the oat straw. The thermal decomposition of the oat straw was finished at a temperature of approx. 420 °C [33]. On the next increase of temperature, it was observed that there was a specific tendency for a heating rate of 20 °C/min after 400 °C. This is due to the difference of ash content caused by the inhomogeneity of the samples. Overall, it is hard to determine the proper torrefaction temperature which represents the best technical and economic feasibility levels. A decrease in mass yield results in a lower energy yield [2]. Accordingly, in this work, a kinetic analysis of the torrefaction of oat and maize straw was conducted in a temperature range which led to a conversion level of 30% for use as a carbonized solid biofuel, 50% for use as a carrier for fertilizer, and 75% for use as an activated carbon, after the removal of the moisture. It is important to obtain the kinetic parameters to get information for the proper designing of the reactor [34]. In this publication the main goal of the performed research was not to describe the fundamental chemical mechanisms for torrefaction of oats and straw. This research focuses on the calculations of apparent kinetic parameters useful for the engineering design of chemical processes and further design stage of the continuous biomass regimental dryer and biomass torrefaction regimental reactor.

![Figure 11](image-url)

**Figure 11.** Thermogravimetric analysis of oat straw for the production of carbonized solid biofuel at temperature 420 °C in N₂ atmosphere.
4.3. Elemental Analysis

The results of the elemental analysis of oat and maize straw before and after the thermo-chemical conversion process are shown in Table 3. It is obvious that the weight percentage of C in the biomass torrefaction process products rose with an enhancement in the straw torrefaction process temperature, as opposed to the weight percentages of CaH and O which showed a decreasing trend. This can be explained by the fact that dehydration occurs and de-carbonization during the agri-biomass torrefaction process. It is obvious that the emission of CO₂, CO, or H₂O will result in a decrease in the H and O contents of oats and maize straw. An increasing percentage of the carbon content did not rise significantly while the chlorine and sulphur content did not change much with a growth in the torrefaction temperature. The rise of nitrogen can also be explained as the result of a relative increase due to a reduced level of oxygen.

Table 3. Elemental analysis and technical analysis of maize and oat straws before and after torrefaction process.

| Biomass Type         | Moisture, (%) | Cₐd (%) | Nₐd (%) | Hₐd (%) | Sₐd (%) | Cl (%)  | Volatile ad (%) | Ash (%) | High Heating Value, (MJ/kg) |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Maize straw          | 7.7           | 42.3    | 0.63    | 5.61    | 0.07    | 0.115   | 82.4           | 4.2    | 16.86                    |
| Torrefied maize straw| 3.1           | 51.90   | 0.36    | 4.97    | 0.05    | 0.014   | 63.78          | 4.84   | 21.35                    |
| (2575 °C, 10 min)    | 1.8           | 54.04   | 0.25    | 4.63    | 0.05    | 0.013   | 51.11          | 6.97   | 22.21                    |
| (300 °C, 7 min)      | 1.3           | 58.29   | 0.15    | 3.47    | 0.04    | 0.012   | 37.23          | 10.12  | 26.98                    |
| (525 °C, 5 min)      | 7.8           | 44.10   | 0.65    | 5.87    | 0.09    | 0.230   | 77.8           | 5.0    | 17.74                    |
| Oat straw            | 7.8           | 44.10   | 0.65    | 5.87    | 0.09    | 0.230   | 77.8           | 5.0    | 17.74                    |
| Torrefied oat straw  | 3.8           | 53.79   | 0.23    | 5.64    | 0.01    | 0.012   | 64.80          | 5.54   | 21.54                    |
| (2575 °C, 9 min)     | 2.5           | 55.45   | 0.22    | 5.42    | 0.01    | 0.012   | 49.98          | 7.26   | 22.74                    |
| (300 °C, 6 min)      | 2.1           | 58.95   | 0.14    | 4.12    | 0.01    | 0.010   | 36.61          | 10.4   | 27.09                    |

The di ff erences between the O/C ratios due to the torrefaction process in isothermal condition residence time were not significant, regardless of temperature [2,10].
4.4. Mass Yield, Energy Yield, Volatile Fraction, Higher Heating Value, and Ash Content

The trajectory of the oat straw torrefaction process curves shown in Figure 11 in the case of each of the torrefaction process curves in the drawing with green points shows the end of the heating process and the beginning of holding the torrefied material at a specified temperature. As can be seen in the case of the maize straw torrefaction process carried out up to 420 °C during 10 min of holding, the sample mass reduction was 83.93%. During storage at 525 °C the mass decreased by 0.3%, as can be seen from the analysis of the trajectory of the curves presented at 525 °C. The material has already been completely degassed to illustrate the course of the process under study, and a set of TG–DTG curves is provided together with the set maximum process speeds (minima on DTG curves). Energy yield per dry raw biomass indicates the total energy preserved in the torrefied biomass. This was calculated from mass yield and higher heating values using Equation (9) and expressed as a percentage of energy content of untreated dry biomass.

\[
\text{Mass Yield } Y_{mass} (\%) = \frac{m_{\text{torrefied}}}{m_{\text{initial}}} \times 100\% \tag{9}
\]

where: \( m_{\text{torrefied}} \) = mass of biomass feedstock measured after torrefaction expressed on dry basis, \( m_{\text{initial}} \) = mass of untreated (raw) biomass feedstock measured before torrefaction expressed on dry basis.

\[
\text{Energy Yield } Y_{\text{energy}} (\%) = Y_{mass} \times \frac{HHV_{\text{torrefied sample}}}{HHV_{\text{raw sample}}} \times 100\% \tag{10}
\]

where, \( E_{\text{torrefied}} \) = specific energy content of biomass feedstock after torrefaction expressed on dry basis, \( E_{\text{initial}} \) — dry basis = specific energy content of biomass feedstock before torrefaction expressed on dry basis.

As can be seen in Figure 13, the initial evaporation of moisture from the sample is the fastest around 80 °C. In the case of torrefaction to 257 °C, the maximum process speed is 3.42 %/min at a temperature of approx. 265 °C (maximum instantaneous temperature of this process during stabilization to 257.5 °C). For maize straw torrefaction up to 300 °C, the maximum process speed is 5.99 %/min at a temperature 284.5 °C, and in the case of torrefaction up to 525 °C, the maximum process speed is 7.39 %/min at a temperature of 329 °C, with an additional minimum of 287 °C visible on the DTG curve (6.25 %/min) which indicates a two-stage distribution of the tested biomass.
The trajectory of torrefaction curves shown in Figure 13, in the case of each of the torrefaction process curves in the drawing with blue points, shows the end of the heating process and the beginning of holding the torrefied material at a specified temperature. As can be seen in the case of the oat straw torrefaction process carried out up to 257 °C during 10 min of holding, the sample mass reduction was 10.62% when kept for 6 min at 300 °C, the weight reduction was 11.68%, while during storage at 525 °C the mass decreased by 0.13%, and as can be seen from the analysis of the trajectory of the curves presented at 525 °C the material has already been completely degassed to illustrate the course of the process under study. A set of TG-DTG curves is provided together with a set of maximum process speeds (minima on DTG curves). As can be seen in Figure 14, the initial evaporation of moisture from the oat straw sample is the fastest around 70 °C in the case of torrefaction to 257 °C, and the maximum process speed is 8.01 %/min at a temperature of approx. 262 °C (maximum instantaneous temperature of this process during stabilization to 257.5 °C). For oat straw torrefaction up to 300 °C, the maximum process speed is 8.01 %/min at a temperature around 300 °C, and in the case of torrefaction up to 525 °C, the maximum process speed is 8.81 %/min at a temperature of 305 °C.

Figure 13. Summary of maize straw torrefaction curves with DTG curves.

Figure 14. Summary of oat straw torrefaction curves with DTG curves.
In this paper, the torrefaction process of oat and maize straw was performed to find the optimal torrefaction temperature for different industrial applications such as fuel and as an additive for natural fertilizers and activated carbon [39,40]. The experiments with respect to torrefaction temperature were conducted at temperatures of 250–525 °C. In most of the available publications the torrefaction process is commonly performed at temperatures of around 250–300 °C, and the thermal treatment above 300 °C is referred to as pyrolysis. The HHV both for oat and maize straw of all samples rises with the increasing torrefaction temperature. A visible rise in the HHV up to 400 °C is related to the removal of oxygen and hydrogen. A rise in the temperature above 500 °C shows a reduction in the HHV. This can be explained by the fact that the pyrolysis reaction occurred at a higher temperature above 450 °C. Calculations of the energy yields are useful measures during the torrefaction process of oat and maize straws. They can be used to derive from the mass yield, as was done by Bridgeman et al. [11]. In this paper, the energy yield decreased slowly from 92% to 35% with a rise in the temperature of the biomass torrefaction process. The energy yield delivered in this study is based on the HHV [2]. The same procedure like for biomass torrefaction process of oat straw was done for maize straw Figure 15a–c. The lowest mass reduction for oat straw occurs at a temperature of 257.5 °C and holding time 5 min, and it represented 19.44% of the untreated oat straw (Figure 16a). At a 257.5 °C temperature regime it was found that a holding time of 10 min represents the closest to 30% mass loss, 24.21%, which is expected for torrefaction products used as a fuel. Mass reduction of oat straw during a torrefaction process at 300 °C shows the closest to a 50% mass reduction during the experiment when the sample was held for 6 min and mass loss corresponding to this resident time was 48.98%. These are the input data that were used to calculate the profitability of using torrefied oat straw and straw from maize as additives to organic fertilizers. It is essential for the economy [40–45] of the overall torrefaction process that there is a high carbonization rate correlated with a low energy input for the process. Therefore, it was assumed that oat and maize straw use after the torrefaction process as additives for natural fertilizers should not exceed 50% of the mass reduction and the holding time to achieve it should be the lowest as possible. For the production of activated carbon, it was assumed that the mass loss should not exceed 75%, and during an oat straw torrefaction process at 525 °C it was found that the shortest residence time so as not to exceed this mass reduction was 6 min.

In Figure 16a–c the mass residua of oat straw torrefaction products are shown proceeding in three temperatures, 257.5, 300 and 525 °C, in different holding times under isothermal conditions (from 5 to 10 min) using three different heating rates, 5, 10, and 20 K/min. Thanks to the use of three different heating ratios, data were confirmed on the elemental composition and thermal stability of the isotherm research material. Thanks to analysis performed at three temperatures of 525 °C (process conditions for activated carbon), 300 °C (biocarbon for carrier of natural bio-fertilizer) and 257.5 °C (carbonized solid biofuel), it can be concluded that the mass loss ratio rises with the length of the isotherm in each case. A change can be seen in the sample at 300 °C, which represents very large variance between the values before and after biomass torrefaction process—max. (before) 52.04% and min. (after) 21.28%. Nevertheless, at a temperature of 257.5 °C the residual masses are the largest of all three temperatures. This is a very good example showing the reduction in mass with increase of temperature, and the percent of mass of the biomass at 525 °C is the lowest of all measurements. At 257.5 and 525 °C, as the isotherm time increases, a slight increase in weight loss is noted. During extension of the isotherm at 300 °C, the mass of oat straw decreases. This research presents the preservation of straw mass from oats and maize after thermogravimetric analysis. Samples with maize straw and oats were subjected to dynamic and isothermal measurements at a 10 K/min heating rate. Thanks to that, information on thermal stability and the elemental composition of the isotherm research material were obtained, divided into five samples, from 5 to 10 min, according to the measurements found at three temperatures of 525, 300, and 257.5 °C [1].
This work presents a thermogravimetric analysis of oat and maize straw. We subjected biomass to dynamic and isothermal measurements. Maize straw was subjected to thermal analysis, which includes measuring the change in sample mass depending on temperature or time. The change in sample mass depends on the temperature or time $\frac{dm}{dt}$ and is recorded by means of a thermogravimetric curve. TGA maize straw results were compared with oat straw TGA analysis. We divided isotherms into 5 parts with lengths of 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 min at three different heating rates, 5, 10, and 20 K/min. Our sample consisted of five maize elements: crumb, bark, leaves, grains from the cob and stigmas of corn stalks. According to the measurements found at three temperatures of 525, 300, and 257.5 °C for both types of straw, it could be found that the mass loss ratio rises with the length of the isotherm in each case. For maize straw at 300 °C, the weight loss value for the 5-min isotherm is 43.22%, and for 10 min under isothermal conditions the mass loss was 51.89%. In the case of oat straw and 300 °C temperature, this loss is slightly larger, because for 5 min under isothermal conditions the weight loss was 47.96% and for 10 min it was 78.72%. Other results were obtained in the analysis of oat straw, because at a temperature of 257.5 °C the weight loss is proportional to the isothermal time and is estimated to be a difference of about 5%. During the extension of the isotherm at 300 °C, the mass of straw from...
maize and oats dropped sharply (in the case of oats in higher values). Therefore, we dare say that not every temperature and type of biomass lengthening of the isotope results in a sudden change in mass. Oat straw is a biomass more susceptible to the effects of low temperatures than straw from maize. We presented the TGA result in the form of a curve in which the mass is plotted depending on the temperature. To supplement the chart with more accurate data, we used the first derivative graph, which illustrates the speed of change in sample mass during the measurement. This curve clearly shows the maxima and minima that correspond to the changes in mass. In addition, we made a bar chart containing the results of all 18 measurements. This presents the weight loss at each temperature and isotherms in percentage form.

![Figure 15](image1.png)

**Figure 15.** Maize straw torrefaction process TGA analysis results and process conditions as in Figure 16a heating rate 5 K/min, Figure 16b 10 K/min, Figure 16c 20 K/min.

### 4.5. Effect of the Torrefaction Residence Time

A TGA analysis of oat and maize straws found that torrefaction process temperatures above 300 °C resulted in mass yields and decreased energy. Effects of the torrefaction process residual time were studied for temperatures below 400 °C. In Figures 15 and 16a correlation between the effects of...
the agri-biomass torrefaction residence time on the characteristics of oats and maize straw torrefaction can be observed. It was concluded that the effect of torrefaction residence time on volatile matter and ash content was not as big as biomass torrefaction process temperature. In Figures 16 and 17, the mass yield reduces with an increase in the torrefaction residence time. This could be explained by the reduction in the water content and volatile content of the straw. Nevertheless, there was a meaningful mass loss at the beginning of the biomass torrefaction process while the change of mass yield was not so important with a longer torrefaction residence time. This can be explained by the decomposition of more reactive components at the beginning of the torrefaction [20]. It was also concluded that the mass yield reduces with the torrefaction residence time. It can be inferred from Figure 10 that the HHV rises with a longer torrefaction time. However, the biomass torrefaction residence time has been shown to be less significant than the temperature in all experiments conducted thus far [20]. The shortest torrefaction residence time can differ depending on the torrefaction temperature, biomass type, the physical and chemical properties of the biomass, and its intended use for a specific sector [46]. Arias et al. [7] concluded that there was a small improvement in biomass grind ability at 240 °C if the torrefaction residence time was longer than 30 min. In another study, Bergman et al. [21] founded that torrefaction should be performed for 17 min at 280 °C for co-firing applications [2].

![Figure 17. TG curve and ion current curves for the maize straw torrefaction process carried out up to 525 °C.](image)

4.6. TG-MS Analysis of the Gaseous Products

For each process, tests were carried out on the evolution of gases using a mass spectrometer coupled with a thermogravimetric analyzer. TG-MS analysis was done to find out the gaseous compounds of torgas during the torrefaction process of oat and maize straw. Signals from the gas spectrometer were recorded in bar graph mode, i.e., a range from 3 to 84 [amu] was scanned. The data obtained were subjected to detailed analysis and it was found that during the implemented processes only increases in ionic currents corresponding to such gases were visible: H₂O, CH₄, CO, CO₂, and SO₂. The trajectory of ionic currents for the main ions of the above gases are shown in Figures 17 and 18 and in Appendix A: Figures A7–A10. Analysis shows that during higher torrefaction, temperature corresponds to the generation of CO, which takes place at a temperature of 300 °C for oat straw and 295 °C for maize straw.
As can be seen from the analysis of the curves in Figures 17 and 18, no SO\textsubscript{2} secretion was observed in any of the processes studied. In addition, for processes carried out up to temperatures of 257.5 and 300 °C, one maximum emission of such gases is visible, such as CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4}, and two excretion maxima for water. The temperatures of these maxima correspond to the temperatures read from the DTG curves. In the case of a process carried out at a temperature of 525 °C there are two maxima of evolution of gases such as CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, and CH\textsubscript{4} and three secretion maxima for H\textsubscript{2}O. The temperatures of these maxima correspond to the temperatures read from DTG curves. In Figure 18, characteristic change can be found in samples at 525 °C, which shows differences between values over 12%; but in TGA straw from oats, this change took place at 300 °C and reached a difference of over 30%. Attention should be paid to a temperature of 257.5 °C, because the residual masses are the largest from all three temperatures. The percentage values of remaining mass at 525 °C are the smallest of all measurements for both biomass types [1]. At 257.5 °C for maize straw, the defect is non-standard and disproportionate (it varies within a few per cent). The reason for this may be a too low temperature test for the tested biomass.

4.7. Composition of Total Organic Carbon

The mass yield of oats and maize straw after a torrefaction process at temperatures up to 300 °C indicated a reduction in mass similar to that of the untreated straw before the torrefaction process. This showed that the extent of torrefaction for the oats and maize straw up to 300 °C was insignificant equated to these values above 300 °C. There were two main causes of the reduction in mass of the dried or torrefied products. First is moisture loss at the beginning of the process up to 110 °C, with the other being thermal decomposition forming volatile gaseous products such as H\textsubscript{2}O, CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, acetic acid, and other organics (Figures 18 and 19 and Figures A7–A10). The reduction in the mass yield is correlated with well known thermal effects which is shown in the loss of moisture, followed by the depolymerization of the secondary cell-wall constituents hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin Figure 16. The decrease in mass during a torrefaction process at a lower temperature is explained as mainly caused by the loss of water content. To be accurate, torrefaction did not appear in oat and maize straw samples at temperatures below 300 °C. In a biomass torrefaction process at temperatures above 300 °C, the decrease is related to the thermal decomposition of the biomass [2]. The volatile parts show a reduction while the ash content increases with biomass torrefaction temperatures up to 525 °C. It is more important that the volatile matter content rose while the ash content reduced at temperatures above 525 °C [47]. The high heating value constantly increases with the rise of volatile materials and the decrease of ash content.
temperatures above 525 °C [47]. The high heating value constantly increases with the rise of volatile materials and the decrease of ash content.

Figure 19. Mass loss of maize and oat straw after torrefaction process in CO2 atmosphere using electrical furnace.

Figures 20 and 21 shows measurement results of VOC for maize and oat straws.

Figure 20. (a) VOC emission in ppm of maize straw during torrefaction process in electrical furnace in CO2 atmosphere. (b) VOC emission in ppm of oat straw during torrefaction process in electrical furnace in CO2 atmosphere.
Research results show that torrefaction of maize straw at temperatures lower than 370 °C is more suitable for the production of solid biofuels. A process temperature above 370 °C is a temperature at which hydrocarbon gas emissions begin, which has a negative impact on the energy efficiency of overall process. From Figure 14, temperatures between 290 and 310 °C were considered as the optimum torrefaction temperatures for maize straw to obtain mass loss in a range of 45–55%. Above 310 °C the HHV remained at 21 MJ/kg in this area and the energy yield remained above 70%. The tradeoff of the energy yield against the HHV limits the torrefaction region. Nevertheless, the torrefaction area is different with the initial condition of the untreated material, the heating rate, and the chemical composition [2,48].

5. Conclusions

In this paper, two kinds of agri-biomass (oat straw and maize straw) torrefaction process conditions were measured to obtain three kinds of products as follows. The authors quantified different biomass torrefaction process parameters (kinetics, optimal torrefaction process temperature, and residence time for specific mass loss ratio). An analysis of the above data shows that above 400 °C, the sample torrefaction process is completed. These results can be explained by the fact that compounds with oxygen are emitted at temperatures lower than those for hydrocarbon gases. It was concluded that biomass the torrefaction process temperature had a bigger effect on the torrefaction of maize and oat straw compared with the torrefaction residence time. From an elemental analysis, the weight percentage of C in the maize and oat straw rose with an increase in the torrefaction temperature. On the other hand, the weight percentages of H and O tended to reduce. Accordingly, the O/C and H/C ratios decreased with a rise in the torrefaction temperature. From an analysis of the gaseous products formed during biomass torrefaction, it was concluded that the compounds with oxygen were emitted at a temperature lower than that for hydrocarbon gases. From this study, it was found that temperatures between 290 and 330 °C are the most optimum torrefaction temperatures for maize and oat straw, although the actual temperature can be different depending on the initial condition of the untreated material, the heating rate, and the chemical composition of the raw biomass [2]. It was also found that kinetic analysis methods using multiple heating rate experiments are more efficient compared to the use of a single heating rate. The biomass torrefaction process proceeds with the release of volatile organic compounds. This emission is very rapid in the initial period, although it decreases over time. VOC emission is the result of anaerobic decomposition of organic matter forming biomass and is higher when increasing the temperature of the torrefaction process. Maximum VOC concentrations in torgas rises up to 10,000 ppm, while the emission factor (related to mass unit) reaches a value of several mg/g
of torrefied biomass. High VOC concentrations in the torgas provide a chance for use as a source of heat (fuel) supplied to the torrefaction process.
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**Appendix A**

Figures A1 and A2 present data analysis for a sample of oats and maize straws by the Kissinger method (ASTM E698).

![Figure A1. TG curves for three heating rates recorded for a oat straw sample.](image)

The activation energy values obtained are 119 and 125 kJ/mol for oats and maize, respectively. Note the very high relative error of this method (51 and 34 kJ/mol for oats and maize, respectively). The degree of conversion and reaction speed were determined from the formulas [31]

\[
X = \frac{m_0 - m}{m_0 - m_k}
\] (A1)

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{dm}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{m_k - m_0}
\] (A2)

where:
- \(m\)—mass at a given time \(t\) (mg),
- \(m_0\)—initial mass (mg),
- \(m_k\)—mass remaining after the reaction (mg)

The reaction rate is a function of temperature (31):

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = f(X) \cdot f(T)
\] (A3)

where:
- \(t\)—time (s),
- \(X\)—conversion level,
- \(f(X)\)—reaction kinetic model,
- \(f(T)\)—Arrhenius equation, given by

Because \(f(x)\) is constant for a given conversion, the log with \(dx/dt\) as a function of temperature inverse gives straight lines with a slope equal to \(E_a/R\). Figures A3 and A4 show logs of \(dx/dt\) logarithm as a function of temperature inverse determined by Friedman’s method for maize and oat straw samples.

Friedman’s method also makes it possible to present the value of activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion. Figures A5 and A6 present these graphs for a sample of oats and maize.
Figure A2. TG curves for three heating rates recorded for a maize straw sample.

The activation energy values obtained are 119 and 125 kJ/mol for oats and maize, respectively.

Note the very high relative error of this method (51 and 34 kJ/mol for oats and maize, respectively).

The degree of conversion and reaction speed were determined from the formulas

\[ X_0 = m_0 - m/m_0 - m_k \]  
\[ \frac{dX}{dt} = \frac{dm}{dt} \cdot \frac{1}{m_k - m_0} \]

where:

- \( m \) — mass at a given time \( t \) (mg),
- \( m_0 \) — initial mass (mg),
- \( m_k \) — mass remaining after the reaction (mg)

The reaction rate is a function of temperature:

\[ \frac{dX}{dt} = f(X) \cdot f(T) \]

where:

- \( t \) — time (s),
- \( X \) — conversion level,
- \( f(X) \) — reaction kinetic model,
- \( f(T) \) — Arrhenius equation, given by

Because \( f(x) \) is constant for a given conversion, the log with \( dx/dt \) as a function of temperature
inverse gives straight lines with a slope equal to \( E_a / R \). Figures A3 and A4 show logs of \( dx/dt \) logarithm as a function of temperature inverse determined by Friedman's method for maize and oat straw samples.

Figure A3. Log \( dx/dt \) graph as a function of temperature inverse using the Friedman method for an oat straw sample.

Figure A4. Graph of \( dx/dt \) logarithm as a function of temperature inverse using the Friedman method for a maize straw sample.

Friedman’s method also makes it possible to present the value of activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion. Figures A5 and A6 present these graphs for a sample of oats and maize.

Figure A5. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for an oat straw sample.

These results were also collected in tabular form (Tables A1–A3).

Table A1. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for a maize straw sample.

| Fract. Mass Loss | Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | lg (A/s⁻¹) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|------------|
| 0.02            | 98.35 ± 0.15               | 7.31       |
| 0.05            | 90.03 ± 6.69               | 6.16       |
| 0.10            | 107.15 ± 5.50              | 7.62       |
| 0.20            | 141.67 ± 23.30             | 10.70      |

Figure A6. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for a maize straw sample.

Analysis of Figures A5 and A6 shows that the activation energies of oat and maize samples vary depending on the extent of conversion.
Analysis of Figures A5 and A6 shows that the activation energies of oat and maize samples vary depending on the extent of conversion.

**Figure A6.** Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for a maize straw sample.

These results were also collected in tabular form (Tables A1–A3).

**Table A1.** Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for a maize straw sample.

| Fract. Mass Loss | Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | lg (A/s⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 0.02             | 98.35 ± 0.15                | 7.31       |
| 0.05             | 90.03 ± 6.69                | 6.16       |
| 0.10             | 107.15 ± 5.50               | 7.62       |
| 0.20             | 141.67 ± 23.30              | 10.70      |
| 0.30             | 163.62 ± 19.12              | 12.52      |
| 0.40             | 165.03 ± 12.55              | 12.37      |
| 0.50             | 157.00 ± 5.09               | 11.44      |
| 0.60             | 164.05 ± 7.43               | 11.95      |
| 0.70             | 189.61 ± 37.88              | 13.97      |
| 0.80             | 214.67 ± 99.54              | 15.65      |

The variability of the activation energy value as a function of the degree of conversion most probably indicates the fact that the pyrolysis reactions of oat and maize samples are not homogeneous and consist of many overlapping and parallel thermal decomposition processes of individual components of these biomass types.

**Table A2.** Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Friedman method for an oat straw sample.

| Fract. Mass Loss | Activation Energy (kJ/mol) | lg (A/s⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 0.02             | 21.63 ± 51.95               | -1.54      |
| 0.05             | 83.53 ± 61.91               | 5.01       |
| 0.10             | 141.68 ± 62.75              | 10.66      |
| 0.20             | 155.12 ± 49.02              | 11.71      |
| 0.30             | 159.65 ± 12.10              | 11.92      |
| 0.40             | 152.36 ± 34.47              | 11.10      |
| 0.50             | 138.92 ± 51.86              | 9.81       |
| 0.60             | 133.57 ± 56.59              | 9.26       |
| 0.70             | 152.72 ± 71.48              | 10.75      |
| 0.80             | 305.52 ± 234.60             | 22.83      |
Table A3. Activation energy and pre-exponential coefficient as a function of the degree of conversion by the Ozawa–Flynn–Walla method for an oat straw sample.

| Model | Process | Function g(x) |
|-------|---------|---------------|
| D1    | One-dimensional diffusion | $x^2$ |
| D2    | Two-dimensional, cylindrical diffusion | $(1 - x)\ln(1 - x) + x$ |
| D3    | Three-dimensional, spherical diffusion | $[1 - (1 - x)^{1/3}]^2$ |
| D4    | Three-dimensional diffusion | $1 - (2x/3) - (1 - x)^{2/3}$ |
| A2    | Random nucleation, Avrami’s equation | $[-\ln(1 - x)]^{1/2}$ |
| A3    | Random nucleation, Avrami’s II equation | $[-\ln(1 - x)]^{1/3}$ |
| A4    | Random nucleation, Avrami’s II equation | $[-\ln(1 - x)]^{1/4}$ |
| R1    | Linear controlled reaction, linear | $x$ |
| R2    | Phase interface, surface controlled reaction | $1 - (1 - x)^{1/2}$ |
| R3    | Phase boundary controlled reaction, volumetric | $1 - (1 - x)^{1/3}$ |
| F1    | Random nucleation, one embryo per molecule | $-\ln(1 - x)$ |
| F2    | Random nucleation, two embryos per molecule | $[1/(1 - x)] - 1$ |
| F3    | Random nucleation, three embryos per molecule | $[1/(1 - x)^2] - 1$ |
| Fn(n-order) | Random nucleation, n embryos per molecule | $[1/(1 - x)^n - 1] - 1$ |

Figure A7. TG curve and ion current curves for the maize straw torrefaction process carried out up to 257.5 °C.

Figure A8. TG curve and ion current curves for the maize straw torrefaction process carried out up to 300 °C.
Figure A9. TG curve and ion current curves for the oat straw torrefaction process carried out up to 257.5 °C.

Figure A10. TG curve and ion current curves for the oat straw torrefaction process carried out up to 300 °C.
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