Induction of Differential Growth in vitro by High-risk Human Papillomavirus in Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines is Associated with Caspase Dysregulation
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Abstract

Introduction

Many viruses have been associated with human breast cancers, including Epstein-Barr and Cytomegalovirus. New evidence has revealed the frequent presence of high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) strains HPV16 and HPV18 in breast carcinoma biopsies. These findings raise the question of whether HPV may infect developing cancers and mediate their growth and development, as was recently observed with oral cancers. The goal of this study is to test the hypothesis that these high-risk HPV strains are sufficient to significantly alter phenotypes of already transformed human breast cancer cell lines.

Materials and methods

A series of in vitro experiments, including proliferation, adhesion and viability assays, were used to quantify the effects of HPV16 and HPV18 on the human breast cancer cell lines, T-47D and MCF7, following transient transfection with the full length HPV virus. Normal breast and fibroblast cell lines, MCF10A and Hs27, were used as non-cancerous controls.

Results

HPV16 and HPV18 significantly inhibited proliferation and adhesion of T-47D cells, although viability was not affected. Differential effects on proliferation were observed in MCF7 cells; HPV16 inhibited proliferation, while HPV18 stimulated proliferation. No measurable effects in adhesion or viability in MCF7 cells were observed. The phenotypic changes in T-47D and MCF7 cells were associated with changes in mRNA expression of caspase-2,-3 and -8, but not p53 or GAPDH. No measurable changes in proliferation or viability were observed following HPV transfection in the normal human breast cell line, MCF10A, or the normal human fibroblast cell line, Hs27, although adhesion was differentially affected.

Conclusions

Although HPV is a primary cause of virtually all cervical cancers, it is found as a concomitant infection in many other tumors. While HPV may initiate carcinogenesis in these tumors, recent studies suggest HPV may also modulate the progression or malignancy process in already transformed cancers. Determining what effects HPV has on already transformed breast cancers may therefore become an important step towards understanding the factors that will lead to more effective treatment options for a significant proportion of breast cancer patients.
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Viruses, including Epstein-Barr, Cytomegalovirus, and Herpes simplex virus, have been implicated in the etiology of human breast cancers (Lawson et al., 2001; Lawson et al., 2006; Tsi et al., 2007). Recent evidence now suggests that high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) strains HPV16 and HPV18, primarily associated with cervical cancers, are also present in as many as half of breast carcinoma biopsies (Kan et al., 2005; de Villiers et al., 2005). HPV has been implicated as the causative agent in many intraepithelial neoplasias and invasive squamous cell carcinomas, with the HPV16 and HPV18 strains most frequently observed, with HPV16 the most prevalent among non-cervical cancers, such as oral and breast cancers (Herrero et al., 2003; Munoz et al., 2003; Kan et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2007).

Infection with high-risk HPV plays a central role in the carcinogenesis and etiology of nearly all cases of cervical cancer, therefore HPV may also function to transform oral and breast tissue (Walboomers et al., 1999; Kreimer et al., 2005; Syrjanen 2005). However, evidence from oral biopsies reveals a comparatively low presence of HPV in pre-malignant oral lesions (10%), suggesting a role other than transformation (Kreimer et al., 2005). Although HPV is a primary cause of virtually all cervical cancers, it is found as a concomitant infection in many other tumors. While HPV may initiate carcinogenesis in these tumors, recent studies suggest HPV may also modulate the progression or malignancy process in already transformed cancers. Determining what effects HPV has on already transformed breast cancers may therefore become an important step towards understanding the factors that will lead to more effective treatment options for a significant proportion of breast cancer patients.
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The recent detection of HPV in a subset of breast cancers raises the question of whether, in addition to a role in carcinogenesis, HPV may also preferentially infect already developing tumors and subsequently mediate their growth (Kan et al., 2005; de Villiers et al., 2005). New evidence to determine the specific role of HPV in breast carcinogenesis suggests HPV may be involved in the transformation process in a majority of the cases examined (Khan et al., 2008). However, these data further suggest that in a significant subset of cases, other factors were likely responsible for initiation of carcinogenesis and that HPV may have preferentially infected these tumors, but not the surrounding tissue. Based upon this information, this study was designed to understand what effects HPV may have on already existing breast cancer cells.

Researchers hypothesize that several of the same risk factors for developing cancer may also enhance the risk for acquiring HPV infection. The main risk factors associated with breast cancer include family history of disease, levels of hormones such as estrogen and progesterone, as well as cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption (Dean, 2008; Mahoney et al., 2008). These risk factors are not exclusive to breast cancer risk and may also be strongly linked with HPV infection.

Interestingly, one recent study found that two HPV genes, E6 and E7, were sufficient to induce changes to metastatic phenotypes in MCF7 and BT20 breast cancer cells, in vitro and in vivo (Yasmeen et al., 2007a; Yasmeen et al., 2007b). To date, no studies have yet examined the effects of the full-length HPV16 or HPV18 virus on the proliferative phenotype of established breast cancers. This study is among the first to provide direct evidence that elucidates the ability of HPV infection to alter the proliferative phenotype of breast cancers in vitro.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The human breast cancer cell lines used in this study, MCF7 (HTB-22) and T-47D (HTB-133), as well as the non-tumorigenic epithelial breast cell line MCF10A (CRL-10317) and normal human fibroblast cell line Hs27 (CRL-1634), were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC: Manassas, VA). In addition, CaSkii (CRL-1550: epidermoid carcinoma, cervix) and GH354 (CRL-13003: human cervical adenocarcinoma) were also obtained from ATCC as HPV 16 and HPV 18 positive controls, respectively. Hs27 fibroblast control and GH354 cervical adenocarcinoma cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) with 4 mM L-Glutamine, adjusted to contain 3.7 g/L sodium bicarbonate and 4.5 g/L glucose, from Hyclone (Logan, UT). T-47D and CaSkii cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium with 2mM L-Glutamine, adjusted to contain 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 4.5 g/L glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate. MCF7 cells were maintained in Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) with 2.00 mM L-Glutamine with Earle’s Balanced Salts. MCF10A breast control cells were maintained in DME/F-12 with 2.50 mM L-Glutamine and 15 mM HEPES buffer with 0.5 mg/ml hydrocortisone, 10 µg/mL EGF, 5 mg/ml insulin and 100 ng/ml cholera toxin. Media for all cell lines were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and with 1% Penicillin (10,000 units/ml)-Streptomycin (10,000 µg/ml) solution (HyClone), except GH354 which was supplemented with 20% FBS and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin. Cell cultures were maintained in 75 cm² BD Falcon tissue-culture treated flasks (Bedford, MA) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in humidified chambers.

HPV screening

To determine if these cell lines already harbor HPV, DNA was isolated from 1.5 x 10⁶ cells from each cell line using the GenomicPrep DNA isolation kit (Amersham Biosciences: Buckinghamshire, UK), using the procedure recommended by the manufacturer. To confirm the absence of HPV DNA in each cell line prior to transfection and presence of HPV DNA in each cell line post-transfection, PCR was performed with the Fisher exACTGene complete PCR kit (Fisher Scientific: Fair Lawn, NJ) and a Mastercycler gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf: Hamburg, Germany) using the following primers synthesized by SeqWright (Houston, TX):

- HPV16 forward primer, ATGGCGCGGTTTTAGGATCC;
- HPV16 reverse primer, CCTCACGTCGCAGTAACTGT;
- HPV18 forward primer, ATGGCGCGCTTTGAGGATCC;
- HPV18 reverse primer, GCA TGCGGTA TACTGTCTCT.

One µg of template DNA was used for each reaction. The initial denaturation step ran for 1 minute at 94°C. Thirty amplification cycles were run, consisting of 30 second denaturation at 94°C, 60 seconds of annealing at 58°C, and 6.5 minutes of extension at 68°C. Final extension was run for 5 minutes at 68°C. Reaction products were separated by gel electrophoresis using RepliAmp 4% NuSieve® 3:1 Plus agarose gels (Lonza: Rockland, ME). Bands were visualized by UV illumination of ethidium-bromide-stained gels and captured using a Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System and 1D Image Analysis Software (Eastman Kodak: Rochester, NY).

Transfection

MCF7 (HTB-22), T-47D (HTB-133), MCF10A (CRL-10317) and Hs27 (CRL-1634) cells were transfected with HPV16 and HPV18. Briefly, cells were seeded in 25cm² BD Falcon tissue-culture treated flasks in appropriate media (as described) and allowed to achieve 70% confluence. Cells were then transiently transfected by adding 1 µg/ml of full-length HPV type 16, cloned into the pBluescript SK-vector (ATCC #45113) or HPV type 18, cloned into the pBR322 vector (ATCC #45152). The transfections were performed using the Stratagene Mammalian Transfection Kit (La Jolla, CA) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol for CaPO₄ transfection. Mock transfecants (mTF) of these four cell lines were also established by performing the same transfection protocol, but without using virus (empty vector).

To test the effectiveness of the transient transfections, RNA
was isolated from 1.5 x 10^7 cells of each of the experimental and control cell lines, using ABgene Total RNA Isolation Reagent (Epsom, Surrey, UK) and the procedure recommended by the manufacturer. To quantify the expression of HPV mRNA, RT-PCR was performed on total RNA using the ABgene Reverse-iT One-Step RT-PCR Kit (ReadyMix Version) and a Mastercycler gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf: Hamburg, Germany) using the HPV18 and HPV16 primers synthesized by SeqWright (Houston, TX).

One µg of template (total RNA) was used for each reaction. The reverse transcription step ran for 30 minutes at 47°C, followed by denaturation for 2 minutes at 94°C. Thirty-five amplification cycles were run, consisting of 20 second denaturation at 94°C, 30 seconds of annealing at 58°C, and 6.5 minutes of extension at 72°C. Final extension was run for 5 minutes at 72°C. Reaction products were separated by gel electrophoresis using Reliant 4% NuSieve® 3:1 Plus Agarose gels (Lonzia: Rockland, ME). Bands were visualized by UV illumination of ethidium-bromide-stained gels and captured using a Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System and 1D Image Analysis Software (Eastman Kodak: Rochester, NY). Quantitation of RT-PCR band densitometry and relative mRNA expression levels was performed using Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA) imaging software, Image Analysis tools.

Proliferation

Proliferation assays were performed with MCF7, T-47D, MCF10A, and HS27 cells with the appropriate complete media in Corning Costar 96-well assay plates (Corning, NY), using HPV16 or HPV18 transfected cells, mock-transfected cells, and non-transfected controls. Cells were seeded at a concentration of 1.2 x 10^3 cells per well and proliferation was measured over three days at four time points (day 0 - day 3), as previously described (Kingsley et al., 2006; Reddout et al., 2007). Cultured cells were fixed using 10% buffered formalin and subsequently stained with crystal violet 1% aqueous solution (Fisher Scientific: Fair Lawn, NJ). Relative absorbance was then measured at 630 nm using a Bio-Tek ELX808 microplate reader (Winooski, VT) and data were analyzed and graphed using Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA). Three separate, independent replications of each assay were performed.

Adhesion

Adhesion assays were performed at a concentration of 1.2 x 10^3 cells per well (100 µl of 1.2 x 10^3 cells/ml solution suspended in serum-free DMEM, MEM, DME:F-12 or RPMI with no additives) in uncoated Corning Costar 96-well assay plates (Corning, NY) as previously described (Plopper et al., 1995; Wagner et al., 2002; Reddout et al., 2007). Cells were allowed to attach for 30 minutes at 37°C with one modification to the standard adhesion assay. The modified adhesion assays used in this study eliminated the plate suspension step, in which non-adherent cells are generally removed by suspending the plate upside-down in a rotating tank of PBS, which greatly enhances the sensitivity of this assay, as previously described (Kingsley et al., 2006). Following the incubation period, the cells were fixed using 50 µl of 10% buffered formalin and subsequently stained with crystal violet 1% aqueous solution (Fisher Scientific: Fair Lawn, NJ). Relative absorbance was then measured at 630 nm using a Bio-Tek ELX808 microplate reader (Winooski, VT) and data were analyzed and graphed using Microsoft Excel (Redmond, WA). Three separate, independent replications of each assay were performed.

Viability

Prior to plating cells for adhesion and proliferation assays, aliquots of trypsinized cells were stained using Trypan Blue (Sigma: St. Louis, MO), and live cells were enumerated by counting the number of Trypan-blue negative cells using a VWR Scientific Counting Chamber (Plainfield, NJ) and a Zeiss Axiosvert 40 inverted microscope (Göttingen, Germany). At each time point (day 0-3), several wells were processed using the Trypan stain, and live cells were enumerated using this procedure (Felscher et al., 2000; Kingsley et al., 2002).

RT-PCR

RNA was isolated from 1.5 x 10^7 cells of each of the experimental and control cell lines, using ABgene Total RNA Isolation Reagent (Epsom, Surrey, UK) and the procedure recommended by the manufacturer three days after transfection. RT-PCR was performed on total RNA using the ABgene Reverse-iT One-Step RT-PCR Kit (ReadyMix Version) and a Mastercycler gradient thermocycler (Eppendorf: Hamburg, Germany), as described above. The following primers for p53 (Vakifahmetoglu et al., 2006), caspase-2 and caspase-3 (Kugu et al., 1998), caspase-8 (Ruiz-Ruiz et al., 2004), and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Wolter et al., 2003), synthesized by SeqWright (Houston, TX), were used:

- p53 forward primer, ACCAGGGCGACGTACCGTTTC
- p53 reverse primer, CCTGGGCCATCCTTGGTCC
- caspase-2 forward primer, TGGCATATTAGGTGAGTCTC
- caspase-2 reverse primer, TGTTCTGTAGGCTTTGGGCA
- caspase-3 forward primer, ACATGGAAGCGAATCAATGG
- caspase-3 reverse primer, AAGGACTCTAAATTCTGTGCC
- caspase-8 forward primer, GATATTGGGGGGAACATCTGG
- caspase-8 reverse primer, CATGTCATCATCCAGTTTGCA
- GAPDH forward primer, ATCTCCAGGGAGCAGATTT
- GAPDH reverse primer, ACCACTGCACTTGGCGATG

Bands were visualized by UV illumination of ethidium-bromide-stained gels and captured using a Kodak Gel Logic 100 Imaging System and 1D Image Analysis Software (Eastman Kodak: Rochester, NY). Quantitation of RT-PCR band densitometry was performed using Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA) imaging software, Image Analysis tools.

Statistics

The differences between treatments were measured using a t distribution (α=0.05). All samples were analyzed using two-tailed t-tests, as departure from normality can make more of a difference in a one-tailed than in a two-tailed t-test. As long as the sample size is even moderate (>20 for each group), quite severe departures from normality make little practical difference in the conclusions reached from these analyses (Hays, 2006).
1994). However, analyses involving multiple two sample t-tests have a higher probability of Type I error, leading to false rejection of the null hypothesis, H₀ (Hays, 1994). To confirm the effects observed from these experiments and minimize the possibility of Type I error, further analysis of the data was facilitated with ANOVA using SPSS (Chicago, IL) to more accurately assess relationships and statistical significance among and between groups.

Results

HPV screening

To confirm that each cell line did not already harbor HPV, DNA was isolated from cultured cells and PCR performed using primers specific for HPV16 and HPV18. In addition, two HPV-positive cervical cancer cell lines, CaSki (HPV16) and GH354 (HPV18), were included as positive controls (Figure 1). These results demonstrated that T-47D, MCF7, MCF10A, and Hs27 were not found to contain endogenous HPV, while confirming that CaSki and GH354 harbor HPV16 and HPV18, respectively. Furthermore, the presence of HPV DNA was not observed in mock transfectants, but could be verified post-transfection.

Transfection

Effectiveness of the transient transfections and expression of HPV mRNA was assessed by performing RT-PCR on total RNA isolated from each cell line post-transfection and on the cell lines known to harbor endogenous HPV (CaSki, GH354). These results demonstrate that each HPV16-transfectants (Hs27-HPV16 and MCF10A-HPV16), expressed roughly equivalent amounts of virus mRNA, while MCF7-HPV16 and T-47D-HPV16 had slightly higher HPV mRNA expression profiles (Figure 2). Comparison with CaSki HPV16-specific mRNA reveals that endogenous HPV expression was approximately equivalent to the transfectants, although slightly lower among the normal cell lines. HPV18-transfectants (Hs27-HPV18, MCF10A-HPV18, MCF7-HPV18, and T-47D-HPV18) expressed roughly equivalent amounts of virus mRNA, while comparison with GH354 HPV18-specific mRNA revealed that endogenous HPV mRNA expression was slightly higher than that of HPV18 transfectants.

Previous studies with oral cancers revealed that transfection with the full-length HPV16 and HPV18 genome significantly altered cellular proliferation, adhesion, and viability. To test the hypothesis that HPV16 and HPV18 strains similarly alter the phenotypes of breast cancer cells, in vitro assays were performed following transfection with HPV16 and HPV18.

HPV inhibited proliferation and adhesion in T-47D cells

Transfection of T-47D cells with HPV16 resulted in lower proliferation compared with non-transfected controls (-42%), as did HPV18 (-39%) (p<0.01, n=216) (Figure 3A). Because these analyses involved multiple two-sample t-tests and a higher probability of Type I error, ANOVA was performed. This analysis confirmed that both HPV16 and HPV18 inhibited cellular proliferation in T-47D cells. T-47D-HPV16 proliferation was not significantly different from T-47D-HPV18 proliferation, however, they were both significantly different from the mock and non-transfected controls.

Based upon the results of the proliferation assay, we sought to determine if this response correlated with measurable alterations to cellular adherence or cellular viability. Baseline T-47D adhesion (without HPV) was significantly reduced by both HPV16 (-16%; n=72, P<0.01) and HPV18 (-15%; n=72, P<0.01) (Figure 3B), although measurements of cell survival and viability revealed no significant differences between groups (Table 1).
Transfection of the MCF7 cells with HPV16 reduced proliferation (-9.9%), while HPV18 stimulated proliferation (+10.4%) in comparison to non-transfected controls (p<0.05, n=216) (Figure 4A). Two-tailed t-tests and one-way ANOVA confirmed this differential response was statistically significant between both experimental groups and the controls.

In contrast to the differential response in proliferation observed following HPV transfection, no significant changes to adhesion were observed in MCF7 cells (Figure 4B). Analysis of cellular viability revealed only minor, non-significant reductions in cellular viability between the HPV-transfected MCF7 cells and controls (Table 1).

To contextualize the HPV-induced changes in the setting of breast cancer phenotypes that were observed, the responses of a non-cancerous cell line were also assayed using the normal human breast cell line, MCF10A. These experiments found no significant differences between transfected (HPV16 or HPV18) and non-transfected cells (Figure 5A). Two-tailed t-tests and ANOVA were used to confirm that no statistical significance was evident between these groups.

Although HPV exhibited no significant effects on the growth or proliferation of MCF10A cells, cellular adhesion and viabil-
Researchers have established that the principle tumorigenic activity of HPV early genes, including E6, may be the targeting, subsequent degradation, and down regulation of the tumor suppressor p53 (Scheffner et al., 1990; Sun et al., 2008). Because damaged genes and other molecular pathways in cancerous cells may involve deactivation of key tumor suppressors, such as p53, total RNA was extracted from cells and relative endpoint (RE) RT-PCR was performed to assess p53 expression in these cells (Figure 7). Wild type p53 expression was observed in both T-47D (Figure 7A) and MCF7 (Figure 7B) breast cancer cell lines, confirming earlier observations (Ho et al., 2005; Parssinen et al., 2008). The expression levels of p53 mRNA, however, were not significantly altered by HPV16 (+9.5%, p<0.01, n=144) and HPV18 (+11.06%, p<0.01, n=144) compared with non-transfected controls (Figure 5B), although no differences in viability were observed (Table 1).

HPV induced minor changes to adhesion in the normal fibroblast cell line, Hs27

To further compare and contrast the HPV-induced phenotypic changes that were observed between the cancerous and non-cancerous cell lines tested, the responses of another non-cancerous cell line were also assayed using the normal human fibroblast cell line, Hs27. The results revealed no significant differences between the proliferation of Hs27 cells transfected with HPV16 or HPV18 and non-transfected Hs27 controls (p>0.05, n=72) (Figure 6A). Two-tailed t-tests confirmed no statistical differences in proliferation were found between the groups (Hs27-HPV16, p>0.05; Hs27-HPV18, p>0.05). ANOVA confirmed there were no significant differences between or within the experimental and control groups.

In addition to testing the effects of HPV16 and HPV18 on the proliferation of Hs27 cells, cellular adhesion and viability were assessed. Adhesion of the non-transfected Hs27 cells was significantly inhibited by HPV16 (-19.25%, p>0.05, n=72) but not by HPV18 (+13.12%, p>0.05, n=72) (Figure 6B). In addition, no significant changes in viability were observed with Hs27-HPV16 or Hs27-HPV18 transfectants (Table 1).

RT-PCR

Researchers have established that the principle tumorigenic activity of HPV early genes, including E6, may be the targeting, subsequent degradation, and down regulation of the tumor suppressor p53 (Scheffner et al., 1990; Sun et al., 2008). Because damaged genes and other molecular pathways in cancerous cells may involve deactivation of key tumor suppressors, such as p53, total RNA was extracted from cells and relative endpoint (RE) RT-PCR was performed to assess p53 expression in these cells (Figure 7). Wild type p53 expression was observed in both T-47D (Figure 7A) and MCF7 (Figure 7B) breast cancer cell lines, confirming earlier observations (Ho et al., 2005; Parssinen et al., 2008). The expression levels of p53 mRNA, however, were not significantly altered by HPV16 or HPV18 transfection in either cell line over the time course of these assays (Day 3 shown; Figure 7A, B), as was recently demonstrated in some oral cancer cell lines transfected with HPV (Chatelain et al., 2008).

Recently, other studies have suggested that HPV viral genome amplification may also be dependent upon caspase-mediated cleavage within the infected cells, which in turn may influence differentiation and cellular replication rates (Moody et al., 2007;...
Figure 6: HPV16 and HPV18 did not significantly alter Hs27 phenotypes in vitro.

HPV-transfected and control Hs27 cells were plated in 96-well assay plates with media containing 10% FBS and were allowed to proliferate for three days. (A) HPV16- and HPV18-transfected cell proliferation was similar and not significantly different from control cells (p>0.05, n=216). (B) Hs27 cell adhesion was significantly inhibited by HPV16 (-19.25%), but not by HPV18 (-13.12%), significantly different from control cells (p>0.05, n=72).

To quantify the relative changes in mRNA expression levels from the experimental and control groups, scanning densitometry was performed. Specifically, HPV16 increased expression of the apoptosis initiators caspase-2 (+28.55%) and caspase-3 (+47.55%), as well as the apoptosis-effector caspase-8 (+32.02%) in T-47D cells; HPV18 also increased expression of caspase-2 (+26.37%), caspase-3 (+53.87%) and caspase-8 (+50.63%), revealing that caspase mRNA expression levels were significantly altered by HPV16 or HPV18, but not levels of p53 or GAPDH mRNA. The differential response of MCF7 cells, however, revealed that HPV16 reduced expression of caspase-2 (-14.5%), while HPV18 increased caspase-2 mRNA expression (+18.34%).

Discussion

Persistent infection with high-risk, oncogenic HPV strains has been firmly established as the primary cause of virtually all cases of cervical cancer (Walboomers et al., 1999; Castellsague, 2008). In contrast, ample evidence now confirms that HPV is present in a subset of oral cancers and more recently, in breast cancers that may be unrelated to carcinogenesis (Kan et al., 2005; de Villiers et al., 2005; Kreimer et al., 2005; Syrjanen, 2005). Some evidence now suggests that HPV infection in these tissues may contribute to, rather than directly cause, the malignancy or transformation process.

For example, a recent study explored the potential roles of HPV in breast cancer patients. This study revealed that approximately two-thirds of normal epithelial tissue samples taken from sites adjacent to HPV16-expressing breast carcinomas also contained HPV16 DNA (Khan et al., 2008), suggesting that HPV may have induced transformation in the larger subset of these tissues.
breast cancer patients. However, these data also provide strong evidence that HPV was not involved in the etiology of the smaller subset, which did not contain any discernable evidence of a localized HPV infection. Although many studies suggest that specific oncogenic HPV strains may be present in up to half of breast cancer biopsies, few studies to date have investigated the possibility that HPV infection may, in fact, mediate specific phenotypes associated with the malignancy process (Kan et al., 2005; de Villiers et al., 2005). This study is among the first to provide direct evidence that HPV is capable of modulating the proliferative phenotypes of existing breast cancers.

Based upon results from other studies demonstrating HPV mediates the proliferative phenotypes of oral cancers (Kingsley et al., 2006; Reddout et al., 2007), the current study investigated whether high-risk HPV16 and HPV18 strains induce significant and measurable changes in breast cancer phenotypes, including proliferation. The results indicated that either HPV strain is sufficient to alter growth rates in both of the breast cancer cell lines examined, but not normal cells. Although previous evidence has demonstrated that HPV16 and HPV18 were sufficient to immortalize a normal human mammary epithelial cell line, these studies were not designed to explore the possibility that HPV might have differential effects in already transformed cells (Band et al. 1990). Moreover, previous studies of HPV-mediated effects on normal cell lines, including human foreskin keratinocytes and normal breast tissues, were designed to assay HPV-induced immortalization, or escape from senescence, rather than the relative proliferation or turnover rates of these cells in culture (Band et al., 1990; Hawley-Nelson et al., 1999). Finally, new evidence is emerging which suggests HPV infection in some oral cancers may actually increase patient survival under certain conditions, suggesting a clinical correlation with these differential proliferative responses (Fischer et al., 2009; Jung et al., 2009).

The experimental design of this study was employed specifically to reveal the presence of differential responses, not only between the cell lines, but also between different HPV strains within the same cell line. These data now suggest complex inter-relationships between the effects of HPV infection and intrinsic cellular or cytogenetic differences. Moreover, these results bear some resemblance to previous work from this laboratory that demonstrated these high risk HPV strains do not elicit equivalent responses among all oral cancer cell lines tested, and that different HPV strains may inhibit or increase cell proliferation within the same cell line (Reddout et al., 2007).

HPV elicits cellular responses as part of the transformation process in cervical epithelia, yet those specific responses were not observed in the breast cancer cell lines in this study. For instance, HPV viral replication proteins influence cellular proliferation in most cervical cancers by inactivating degrading, and subsequently down regulating tumor suppressor proteins, such as p53 (Schelfner et al., 1990; Werness et al., 1990). However, in this study, HPV transfection did not significantly alter p53 expression in either breast cancer cell line, which may seem at odds with the evidence from cervical cancers.

Recent work now confirms that many viruses have evolved alternative mechanisms to inhibit p53 function. For example, p53 deactivation may occur via site-specific serine phosphorylation in some cells without mRNA down regulation (Shin et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2008). Data indicating that wild-type p53 expression was not reduced by HPV transfection in these breast cancers might suggest that HPV in these cell lines may instead utilize other mechanisms, such as phosphorylation-mediated inactivation of p53. Future studies are now planned to explore these potential mechanisms.

Although deregulation or deactivation of p53 and other tumor suppressors may be critical to the mechanisms of the HPV life cycle, other work has demonstrated that HPV viral genome amplification may also be dependent upon caspase-mediated functions within infected cells (Moody et al., 2007; Coelho et al., 2008). These studies reveal that the productive viral life cycle of HPV is significantly enhanced by caspase-mediated cleavage that may, in fact, be integral to the HPV viral life cycle. Aside from their incidental involvement in the HPV life cycle, caspases function primarily as potent activators of cellular apoptosis and are known to inhibit cellular proliferation. Caspase up-regulation and proliferation inhibition have also been observed in previous work using cervical cancer cells (Moody et al., 2007; Coelho et al., 2008; Singh and Singh, 2008). Intriguingly, the anti-cancer mechanism of Taxol (Paclitaxel), a potent treatment for ovarian, lung and breast cancers, was recently determined to involve activation of members of the caspase family, more specifically caspase-8 (Lee et al., 2005).

Despite the growing evidence that high-risk HPV strains are present in many breast tumors, few studies have examined the ability of HPV to modulate cellular phenotypes in these cancers. Although epidemiologic studies suggest other risk factors, such as genetic predisposition and tobacco or alcohol use, are likely responsible for breast oncogenesis, new evidence suggests concomitant HPV infection may significantly alter tumor growth. This study provides direct evidence that HPV16 and HPV18 can modulate the proliferative phenotypes in existing breast cancer cell lines, although more research is needed to understand the complex inter-relationships between the observed effects of HPV infection and specific intrinsic or cytogenetic differences that control this phenomenon. Determining the effects of HPV on already transformed breast cancers is thus an important step towards understanding the factors that will ultimately lead to more accurate prognosis and more effective treatment options for breast cancer patients with concomitant HPV infections.
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