Molecular identification of Trichinella species by multiplex PCR: new insight for Trichinella murrelli
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Abstract – In order to identify Trichinella at the species level, the commonly used test is a multiplex PCR, allowing the discrimination of nine out of the twelve taxa described so far. This test is based on five primer pairs amplifying fragments of the large subunit rDNA. Each taxon produces one or two bands of different sizes, resulting in a specific band pattern. By multiplex PCR, Trichinella murrelli shows two bands of 127 bp and 316 bp. However, a third band of 256 bp can occur. This band can lead to misidentification, since it is similar to the 253 bp band displayed by Trichinella britovi. BLAST analysis confirmed that the 256 bp band is from T. murrelli. The aim of this short note is to inform analysts that T. murrelli larvae may display either two- or three-band patterns.
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Résumé - Identification moléculaire des espèces de Trichinella par PCR multiplex : nouvel éclairage pour Trichinella murrelli. Afin d’identifier les Trichinella au niveau de l’espèce, le test couramment utilisé est une PCR multiplex, permettant la discrimination de neuf des douze taxons décrits jusqu’à présent. Ce test est basé sur cinq paires d’amorces amplifier des fragments de la grande sous-unité de l’ADN ribosomal. Chaque taxon produit une ou deux bandes de tailles différentes, résultant en un patron de bandes spécifique. Par PCR multiplex, Trichinella murrelli présente deux bandes de 127 pb et 316 pb. Cependant, une troisième bande de 256 pb peut s’observer. Cette bande peut être la cause d’une erreur d’identification, car elle est similaire à la bande de 253 pb affichée par Trichinella britovi. L’analyse BLAST a confirmé que la bande à 256 pb provient de T. murrelli. Le but de cette note est d’informer les analystes que les larves de T. murrelli peuvent présenter des patrons à deux ou trois bandes.

Introduction

Trichinella spp. are the causative agents of trichinellosis, a foodborne zoonotic disease acquired through the consumption of raw or undercooked meat infected by larvae in the muscle cells. The main sources of human infection are domestic pigs and wild boars [6,15]. Meat inspection of susceptible livestock (backyard and free-ranging pigs, horses) at slaughterhouses and game at game handling establishments is an important measure for preventing human infection [8]. On a routine basis, this inspection is internationally regulated with direct detection of larvae achieved through artificial digestion of infected muscle samples [2,3,11,16,23]. The isolation of Trichinella larvae from muscles of infected animals allows the removal of infected carcasses from the food chain and enables the identification of larvae at species or genotype level in order to acquire valuable epidemiological information to control these zoonotic pathogens [8,21]. To date, nine species and three genotypes have been recognized within the Trichinella genus [12]. Eight of these taxa have been proven to be infectious to humans, while the remaining four are considered as potentially infective to humans [21]. Species/genotypes within these taxa are morphologically indistinguishable (sibling species), and their identification relies on the use of biochemical or molecular assays [14,20,24].

*Corresponding author: gregory.karadjian@anses.fr

Available online at: www.parasite-journal.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
The North American species *Trichinella murrelli* [17] is known to circulate freely among wild carnivore mammals in the United States [9,18,22] and Canada [7], however this zoonotic pathogen has also been documented in domestic dogs and horses [4,10,19,21]. Although *T. murrelli* has not been recorded in European wildlife, this pathogen was the causative agent of a severe human outbreak, which occurred through the consumption of raw horse-meat imported from Connecticut (USA) to France in 1985 [1,5].

The most common molecular test for *Trichinella* taxon identification is a multiplex PCR analysis, which allows unequivocal identification of nine of the 12 recognized taxa on the basis of the generation of one- or two-band patterns [20]. This test is based on the use of five primer pairs amplifying the internal transcribed spacers ITS1 and ITS2 and the expansion segment V region (ESV) of the large subunit ribosomal DNA [24]. According to this method, *T. murrelli* shows a double-band pattern of 127 bp and 316 bp.

In 2016, as part of proficiency testing to identify the species/genotype of *Trichinella* larvae, the National Reference Laboratories (NRLs) for Parasites in European Union member states reported a three-band pattern for *T. murrelli* larvae instead of the expected two-band pattern [20,24]. The aim of this work was to investigate whether the extra band belongs to *T. murrelli* or is a faint band caused by slightly modified protocols (Table 1).

### Materials and methods

**Trichinella larvae**

Muscle larvae were collected from CD1 or OF-1 female mice infected by four *T. murrelli* isolates (codes ISS35, ISS246, ISS346, and ISS415; www.iss.it/Trichinella/), and by a *T. britovi* isolate (code ISS100) by HCl-pepsin digestion, according to a published protocol [3].

**DNA isolation**

The DNA was extracted using the DNA IQ System Kit (PROMEGA, DC6701) and the Tissue and Hair Extraction Kit (PROMEGA, DC6740) with a few modifications. Briefly, 20 μL of incubation buffer with DTT and proteinase K were added to larvae and incubated at 55 °C for 30 min shaking at 1,400 vibrations per min. Then, 40 μL of lysis buffer with DTT and 4 μL of paramagnetic resin were added. The entire solution was incubated at 25 °C for 5 min in a thermoblock without vibration, with a single vortexing step performed at mid time. Tubes were then placed in a magnetic separation stand for 1 min. The liquid phase was discarded. Then 100 μL of lysis buffer were added and resin particles were re-suspended before tubes were replaced on a paramagnetic stand and the liquid phase removed. The samples were washed four times using 100 μL washing buffer. The particles were then air-dried for 15 min and samples were eluted using 50 μL of elution buffer for 5 min at 65 °C shaking at 1,400 vibrations per min.

**Multiplex and uniplex PCR**

Five primer pairs were used in a multiplex PCR as described by Zarlinga et al. (1999) [24] (Primer set I, ESV target locus, 5'-GTTCCATGTGAAACACGCACT-3', 5'-CGAAACATACGACACACTGC-3'; primer set II, ITS1 target locus, 5'-GCTAACATCCTTGTATGCTT-3', 5'-AGACACAATA TCAAACCAGTACA-3'; primer set

---

**Table 1.** Multiplex PCR fragment sizes of the 12 taxa of the genus *Trichinella.*

| Primer set | Locusa | *Trichinella* taxon fragment size (bp) |
|------------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| I          | ESV    | Tsp 173, Tma/Tpat 127, Tbr/T8/T9 127, Tps 310–360, Tmz 127, T6 155, Tne 240, Tpa 264 |
| II         | ITS1   | 253 |
| III        | ITS1   |      |
| IV         | ITS2   | 316 |
| V          | ITS2   | 404 |

*Trichinella spiralis* (Tsp), *T. nativa* (Tna), *T. britovi* (Tbr), *T. pseudospiralis* (Tps), *T. murrelli* (Tmz), *T. nelsoni* (Tne), *T. papuae* (Tpa), *T. zimbabwenzis* (Tzi), *T. patagoniensis* (Tpat) and *Trichinella genotypes* T6, T8 and T9. The size (bp) of the ITS1 fragment of *T. murrelli* is in bold. The fragment sizes are those from Zarlinga et al. (1999) [24], Pozio and La Rosa (2010) [20] and Krivokapich et al. (2012) [13].

---

**Figure 1.** Electrophoretic profiles of *Trichinella murrelli* and *T. britovi* larva amplicons after multiplex PCR amplification. DNA extracts from 1 and 10 larvae of *T. murrelli* (isolate code ISS35) in lane 1 and lanes 2–4, respectively; and of *T. britovi* (isolate code ISS235) larva in lane 5. Lane L1 = 100 bp ladder.
III, ITS1 target locus 5’-GC(GAAGGATCATACT-3’, 5’-TTGATTACAAAGAAAACCATC-3’; primer set IV, ITS2 target locus 5’-TGAGCGTAATAAGGT(GAGCAG-3’, 5’-TTTCATCA-CAATGCTTCCACTA-3’; and primer set V, ITS2 target locus 5’-CAATTGAAAACCGCTTAGCGTTTT-3’, 5’-TGATCTGAGGTCGACATTCC-3’. Reactions were performed in 15 μL of 2X GoTaq® Hot Start Green MasterMix (PROMEGA, M5122), 9 μL of nuclease free water, 1 μL of total primers, and 5 μL of extracted DNA.

The uniplex PCR was performed using the same mix as above but with only primer set II for the ITS1 locus at a final concentration of 10 μM.

The PCR cycles for both multiplex and uniplex PCR were performed as follows: a pre-denaturation and polymerase activation step at 95°C for 2 min, then 35 amplification cycles (denaturation at 95°C for 10 sec, hybridization at 55°C for 30 sec, and elongation at 72°C for 30 sec), and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min.

Electrophoresis and sequencing

Agarose (Ozyme, LON50004) gels (2%) were prepared in TAE (2M Tris-acetate, 50 mM EDTA, pH 8.3) (Lonza, BE51216) solution with 5 ng/mL of ethidium bromide (Sigma, E1510). Electrophoresis was performed using 10 μL of PCR products with a 50 bp O’Range Ruler DNA ladder (Fermentas, SM0613) for 30 min at 100 V. PCR products were sequenced using the appropriate primers by Eurofins-MWG (Plateforme de l’Hôpital Cochin, Paris, France).

Results and Discussion

Following multiplex PCR amplification, T. murrelli larvae displayed two- or three-band patterns independently of the isolate and the laboratory where the test was performed. A three-band pattern of 127 bp, 256 bp and 316 bp was observed by the French NRL (Figure 1), whereas a two-band pattern (127 bp and 316 bp) or a three-band pattern (127 bp, 256 bp and 316 bp) were found by the European Union Reference Laboratory for Parasites (EURLP) in Rome. Using the same multiplex PCR analysis protocol, T. britovi larvae displayed the expected band pattern of 127 bp and 253 bp (Figure 1).

Since the 256 bp band produced by T. murrelli was unexpected, a uniplex PCR was performed to identify which couple of primers allowed the amplification of the extra band. The 256 bp band amplified with primer pair II for ITS1 (Figure 2) was sequenced and identified by BLAST. The result revealed 99.6% identity with T. murrelli (GenBank accession number KC006421). Only one base was different and corresponded to the last base of the forward primer-annealing region (Figure 3). It follows that the complementarity of the forward primer is not 100% and this may explain the intermittent amplification of the 256 bp product. Slightly different PCR conditions may affect annealing, resulting in two- or three-band patterns.

The appearance of a third unexpected band using DNA of T. murrelli larvae by the multiplex PCR analysis described by Zarlenga et al., (1999) [24] may be the cause of misinterpretation, leading the analyst to suppose a
T. murrelli / T. britovi hybrid or cross DNA contamination of the purified DNA sample under analysis. Incorrect identification of T. murrelli larvae occurred in 2016 during the proficiency testing organized by the EURLP for the NRLs to identify Trichinella larvae at the species level. Seven (33%) of the 21 participating laboratories failed to identify T. murrelli by multiplex PCR due to the appearance of the unexpected band of 256 bp (Final Report PT-Tm 1/2016; www.iss.it/dinary/crlp/cont/Final_report_PT_Tm_2016.pdf).

The appearance of the extra band of 256 bp in T. murrelli was previously documented [18], but since this band was generated intermittently, it was not considered diagnostic of T. murrelli and was consequently ignored.
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