Geologic Mapping and Age Determinations of Tsiolkovskiy Crater
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Abstract: Tsiolkovskiy is a ~200 km diameter crater presenting one of the few mare deposits of the lunar far side. In this work, we perform a geological study of the crater by means of morpho-stratigraphic and color-based spectral mappings, and a detailed crater counting age determination. The work aims at characterizing the surface morphology and compositional variation observed from orbital data including the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Wide Angle Camera and Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio mosaics, and attempts a reconstruction of the evolutionary history of the Tsiolkovskiy crater through both relative and absolute model age determinations. The results show a clear correlation between the geologic and spectral units and an asymmetric distribution of these units reflecting the oblique impact origin of the crater. Crater counts performed using the spectral units identified on the smooth crater floor returned distinct age ranges, suggesting the occurrence of at least three different igneous events, generating units characterized by particular compositions and/or degree of maturity. This work demonstrates the scientific value of Tsiolkovskiy crater for a better understanding of the volcanic evolution of the Moon and, in particular, of its far side.
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1. Introduction

Among the main scientific goals and priorities for lunar exploration is an understanding of the thermal and compositional evolution of the Moon [1]. It is of pivotal importance to determine whether the composition of the lunar mantle is uniform from the near side to the far, and to establish the chemical evolution of magmas and the evolution of magma production rates over time.

It is thus necessary to collect samples of basalts from the less explored lunar far side, to investigate the subsurface with active subsurface sounding techniques, and to deploy a geophysical network for profiling the Moon’s internal structure [1].

In this work, we focused our attention on a mare flooded crater located on the lunar far side: the Tsiolkovskiy crater. This crater presents a pristine and particularly smooth resurfaced floor that allows us to obtain a perspective on the evolution of lunar magmatism on the far side.

The Tsiolkovskiy crater could help to answer the still open questions related to the sparse distribution of far side volcanic maria, the control exerted by the lunar crust and mantle on magma genesis and transport, as well as the vertical and lateral variability of the thickness and bulk composition of the lunar maria, crust, and mantle.

Previous regional maps produced of the Tsiolkovskiy crater were based on low resolution photographs and images taken by the crew of the Apollo 15 mission [2] and by the Lunar Orbiter 3 spacecraft [3,4]. The first unified 1:5 M scale global geologic map of the Moon was published only recently [5], produced by merging and redrafting the six
previous lunar geologic maps for the near [6], east [7], west [8], central far [9], north [10], and south [11] sides. This map, however, discriminates only the ejecta deposits and the basaltic infilling of the Tsiolkovskiy crater. A more detailed morphologic mapping, enabling the distinction of four geologic units and five facies of ejecta, was presented by [12]. However, this mapping does not discriminate morphologic and tectonic features, nor different crater rim units. Last but not least, none of the previous mappings took into consideration the color variations of the surface as seen from color basemaps.

Therefore, we performed a more comprehensive geological interpretation of the Tsiolkovskiy crater by means of a morpho-stratigraphic and color-based spectral mapping coupled with age determinations, aimed at understanding the geologic evolution of the area over time, highlighting the scientific significance of this crater.

2. Geologic Background of the Tsiolkovskiy Crater

The Tsiolkovskiy crater is a ~200 km diameter complex crater located at 20.4° S, 129.1° E. With its approximately 12,000 km² basaltic floor, it stands out in being one of the few mare exposures of the lunar far side. The crater presents an irregular shape, as do its ejecta deposits. The uneven ejecta distribution reflects the origin of Tsiolkovskiy through an oblique impact in the NW–SE direction [13]. In particular, evidence of this asymmetry lies in an ejecta forbidden zone extending outside the NW outer rim, and indicating an impact angle of < 45° with an azimuth of ~315°, and a shortened ejecta blanket marked by lobate margins in the SE direction [12].

Moreover, the formation of the Tsiolkovskiy crater is responsible for the generation of an apparently unique long runout landslide on the Moon, formed soon after the crater impact on its western rim [14]. The collapse of the crater rim led to an encroachment into the adjacent Pre-Nectarian, un-flooded, Fermi crater by the ~72 km long runout landslide, marked by lobes and parallel flow lines, which covered most of the Fermi crater floor (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Structure of Tsiolkovskiy (on the right) superposing on the ~230 km diameter Fermi crater (on the left). The white dashed circle identifies the approximate rim of Fermi; the black dashed and dotted line delimits Tsiolkovskiy’s landslide, modified from [14]; the blue arrow indicates the impact direction for Tsiolkovskiy.
In contrast to the fate of Fermi, Tsiolkovskiy was not affected by impacts of younger Eratosthenian nor Copernican craters and related ejecta rays. Thus, it still preserves a clear and pristine morphology. From the remarkable flat smooth floor, a ~6.5 km high well-preserved central peak formed by the rebound of the crust during the impact clearly stands out with respect to the dark color of the surrounding mare. A slightly uprange offset of the central peak itself is yet more evidence for the oblique origin of the crater [15]. The large size of Tsiolkovskiy and the height of its central peak, moreover, would suggest that the impact leading to the formation of this crater could have exhumed materials from the lower crust [16] or even from the upper mantle.

The mare deposit, of which the average thickness is calculated to be about 116 m [4], is thought to be the result of several small eruptive events [17] that has produced a difference in the present crater floor elevation of more than 450 m, higher in the NW sector and lower in the SE one [13,18]. Furthermore, the low-reflectance mare is characterized by slightly different reflectance values possibly indicating distinct lava flows with different chemical compositions and/or ages.

In terms of composition, more detailed information is discernible from the Clementine UVVIS Color Ratio mosaic [19]. Even at first glance, it is possible to appreciate the high color variegation of Tsiolkovskiy with respect to the surrounding highland crust. As observed from the multispectral analysis made by [16], the impact of Tsiolkovskiy led to the excavation of large amounts of anorthosites and norites mixed with highland soil along the crater rim. A more varied composition, additionally including the presence of troctolites [20], characterizes the central peak of the crater on which purest anorthosite [21,22], composed of nearly 100% anorthite, and olivine [23,24] have been detected. The peculiarity of this crater, however, is the basaltic mare material covering the crater floor which, despite its particularly dark color, is not as rich in TiO$_2$ as the mare exposures on the nearside [25].

Recent crater counts performed on the ejecta and landslide deposits of Tsiolkovskiy have determined a formation age of 3.55 ± 0.1 Ga [14], similarly to the Upper or Late Imbrian ages inferred by [2,7,26]. A lower limit age of 3.2–3.3 Ga [27–29] was, in contrast, derived from crater counting areas defined on the mare deposit.

3. Datasets and Methods

3.1. Basemaps

In the present work we produced two different maps: a morpho-stratigraphic map, derived from photo-geological interpretation, and a color-based spectral map, derived by associating compositional variations with identified morphological units.

The morpho-stratigraphic mapping was realized on the basis of the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Wide Angle Camera (LROC-WAC) [30] monochrome global mosaic, which has a mean resolution of ~100 m/pixel (Figure 2a). In order to discriminate small morphological features, in particular on the flat, dark, and shadow-less crater floor, a higher resolution mosaic produced using ~10 m/pixel images from the Kaguya Terrain Camera (TC) [31] (Figure 2c) was used in support of the LROC-WAC mosaic. An even higher resolution mosaic produced using Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Narrow Angle Camera (LROC-NAC) [30] images covering the entire crater would have been too large to be easily managed.

The panchromatic imagery was coupled with the topographic data derived from the Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter (LOLA) [32] and Kaguya TC Digital Elevation Model (DEM) [33] with a horizontal and vertical resolution of ~59 m/pixel and 3–4 m, respectively (Figure 2d). From the elevation data, we derived a topographic hillshade, with default azimuth of 315° and altitude of 45°, and we generated a surface slope map to discriminate surfaces within defined steepness thresholds, ranging from high (>40°) to low (<15°) (Figure 2e–f).
Figure 2. The lunar far side Tsioioksiy crater in equirectangular projection. (a) Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Wide Angle Camera mosaic (~100 m/pixel) [30] with boxes delimiting the crater size–frequency distribution measurements areas; (b) Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio mosaic (~200 m/pixel) [19]; (c) Kaguya Terrain Camera mosaic (~10 m/pixel) [31]; (d) Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter and Kaguya Terrain Camera DEM merge (~59 m/pixel and 3–4 m) [33] on top of the hillshade DEM-derived basemap; (e) surface slope map for slopes < 15° on top of the hillshade DEM-derived basemap; and (f) surface slope map for slopes > 40° on top of the hillshade DEM-derived basemap.

The color-based spectral mapping was produced using the ~200 m/pixel Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio mosaic (Red: 750/415 nm; Green: 750/1000 nm; and Blue: 415/750 nm, Figure 2b). According to [19], red colors are ascribable to low titanium or high glass contents, and thus also to pyroclastic deposits. High iron contents and
mafic minerals, in contrast, are represented by green colors. The combination of the presence of mafic minerals and agglutinitic glass-like coatings due to maturation processes is shown by yellow-green colors. Finally, blue colors represent high titanium contents and bright albedos.

The LROC-WAC and Clementine mosaics and the DEM merge basemap were downloaded from the USGS Astrogeology Science Center website, whereas the TC images were downloaded from the SELENE Data Archive.

3.2. Mapping Technique

The morpho-stratigraphic and color-based spectral mapping were performed in the Esri ArcGIS 10.4.1 software. The two mappings were carried out in equirectangular projection using the D_MOON datum. Due to their different basemap resolutions, the mapping scales were set to 1:200,000 and 1:400,000 for the morpho-stratigraphic and spectral mapping, respectively, following the rule defined by [34] according to which the mapping scale is set out from the equation:

\[ S_m = R_r \times 2000 \]  

where \( S_m \) is the mapping scale and \( R_r \) is the raster resolution.

A dedicated geodatabase was assembled to enable the distinction of linear features, such as contacts, morphologies, and structures, and polygonal vector files were used to represent the geological units. Firstly, we defined the geomorphological features and structures. Then, we delineated certain and approximate contacts for the units. Finally, the polygonal geologic units were generated on the basis of the geologic contacts. Figure 3 shows steps leading to the production of the morpho-stratigraphic map, up to the definition of the color map units, while Figure 4 shows the steps leading to the realization of the color-based spectral map.
Figure 3. Detailed view of the NE portion of the Tsiolkovskiy crater. (a) Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Wide Angle Camera (LROC-WAC) mosaic [30]; (b) DEM-derived hillshade superimposed by the surface slope map highlighting scarps with slopes > 40°; (c) LROC-WAC basemap with geologic contact linework; and (d) geologic units on top of the DEM-derived hillshade.

Figure 4. Detailed view of the SE portion of the Tsiolkovskiy crater. (a) Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio mosaic [19]; (b) RGB-IHS pan-sharpening transformation of (a); (c) same as (a) with contact linework; and (d) color-based spectral map. The double black arrows indicate equivalent spectral units showing characteristic combinations of color shades.
The final output scale was defined according to the USGS recommendations [35], which suggest using a scale n times larger than the mapping scale following the equation:

\[ S_{o,n} = S_m \times n \] (2)

where \( S_{o,n} \) is the recommended output scale and n ranges between 2 and 5. To achieve the best and clearest result we chose an n of 5, obtaining a final output scale of 1:1,000,000 and 1:2,000,000 for the morpho-stratigraphic and color-based spectral maps, respectively.

The GIS project for both maps, with related basemaps and mapping shapefiles, is available in the Supplementary Materials.

3.3. Age Measurements

As a last step, we performed crater size–frequency distribution (CSFD) measurements to determine the formation age of Tsiolkovskiy and the absolute stratigraphy of the different crater floor units which had been identified by the color-based spectral mapping.

The CSFDs were carried out on LROC-NAC [30] images with resolutions between 0.61 and 1.53 m/pixel (Appendix A) downloaded from the PILOT (Planetary Image Locator Tool from USGS) data portal.

The crater counts were performed on several areas of about 100 km² using the Crater-Tools add-on [36] for the Esri ArcGIS software and exported as a Spatial Crater Count (.scc) summary file. The summary files for all areas are available in the Supplementary Materials.

The exported data were then plotted in the Craterstats2 software [37] using pseudo-log binning [38] and references therein on cumulative plots and fitted with the [39] production and chronology functions. A randomness analysis [40] performed by means of the Mean 2nd-Closest Neighbour Distance (M2CND) measurement was used to verify the homogeneity of the crater population and, eventually, to exclude spatially non-random craters.

4. Results
4.1. Morpho-Stratigraphic Map and Formation Age for the Tsiolkovskiy Crater

The crater rim of the Tsiolkovskiy crater delimits the mapping area.

The morphology of this complex crater allowed to distinguish three main units on the crater floor and three more units on the crater walls. The stratigraphic correlations between the different geologic units were inferred determining their relative age by observing morphological evidence.

Here follows a brief description of the geologic units associated with unit labels enlisted in stratigraphic order.

1. **sm**—(floor unit) smooth material: particularly horizontal (mostly < 10°) and dark mare deposit punctured by minor impacts rarely reaching 5 km in diameter; this smooth material presents sharp boundaries with respect to adjacent materials and scattered wrinkle ridges and mare rilles.
2. **hm**—(floor unit) hummocky material: pre-mare material presenting rolling areas and high-standing bulges characterized by rough material reworked during the impact and debris collapsed from the crater walls.
3. **cp**—(floor unit) central peak: exclusively well-preserved and bright central peak morphology rising about 6.5 km above the crater floor.
4. **sp**—(wall unit) smooth ponds: smooth areas interpreted as melt ponds texturally contrasting with the surrounding steep and rough areas.
5. **ss**—(wall unit) steep scarps: exposed steep scarps (collapsed deposits were not included) with slopes > 40°.
6. **is**—(wall unit) inner slope: terraces and scarps < 40° generated by the inward collapse of the crater inner slope during the modification stage.

The northern side of the crater floor is marked by several mare rilles, reaching up to 16.14 km of length and mostly trending in the NNW–SSE direction. In terms of deformations and faulting, the crater inner walls exhibit many concentric normal faults, whereas
the crater floor is characterized by several wrinkle ridges, mostly arranged radially to the central peak.

The morpho-stratigraphic map is shown in Figure 5 on top of the DEM-derived hillshade basemap.

The CSFD measurements for determining the formation age of Tsiolkovskiy were performed on hummocky areas located to the NW and SW of the mare infilling (white polygons on Figure 2a) over an area of ~615 km² (Table 1). The results indicate a Late Imbrian age of ~3.6 Ga for the Tsiolkovskiy crater (Figure 6 and Table 1).
Figure 5. Morpho-stratigraphic map of Tsiolkovskiy visualized on top of the DEM-derived hillshade. Refer to the text for unit descriptions.
Table 1. The first column repeats the names of the areas considered for the crater size–frequency distribution (CSFD) measurements. The area locations are visible in Figure 2a. The second, third, and fourth columns contain the surface extent of each area, the number of craters selected as standard and as marked (e.g., irregular craters, possible secondary craters, or possible clusters of craters). The fifth, sixth, and seventh columns contain the diameter range of the selected craters and the relative age with correlated errors as from Craterstats2 [37].

| Area Name | Area (km²) | No. of Standard Craters | No. of Marked Craters | Fit Diameter Range (m) | Relative Age (Ga) | Relative Age Errors (Ga) |
|-----------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| hmN       | 354.44     | 406                     | 90                    | 60–1300                | 3.57              | +0.03                    |
| hmS       | 260.55     | 392                     | 107                   | 4–1100                 | 3.61              | +0.03                    |
| T1        | 100.00     | 325                     | 14                    | 4–800                  | 2.98              | +0.24                    |
| T2        | 99.30      | 413                     | 32                    | 4–800                  | 2.97              | +0.18                    |
| T3        | 99.30      | 361                     | 81                    | 5–1000                 | 2.88              | +0.15                    |
| P1        | 99.30      | 447                     | 104                   | 4–700                  | 3.32              | +0.06                    |
| P2        | 99.99      | 460                     | 175                   | 4–700                  | 3.47              | +0.03                    |
| P3        | 100.00     | 437                     | 38                    | 5–800                  | 3.46              | +0.03                    |
| Y1        | 100.00     | 299                     | 118                   | 5–900                  | 3.57              | +0.02                    |
| Y2        | 100.00     | 431                     | 122                   | 4–800                  | 3.57              | +0.02                    |

Figure 6. Crater size–frequency distribution (CSFD) measurements performed on hummocky areas for determining the formation age of Tsiolkovskiy. The randomness analysis results are shown in the panel above the CSFDs.
4.2. Color-Based Spectral Map and Age Determinations for the Crater Floor

The color-based spectral mapping was performed on the basis of the color variation as from the Clementine UVVIS Color Ratio mosaic (Figure 2b). The different colors of the units can be linked to the different degrees of maturity and/or composition of the materials [19].

In this case, color variegation led to us to identify and delimit different units showing different hues of the same color. However, due to the low resolution of the basemap there was insufficient confidence for constructing fully independent units and, thus, each color unit groups, within it, different shades of the same color (Figure 4c–d).

In order to discriminate possible age differences related to distinct eruptive events, and eventually determine their absolute stratigraphic relationships, we defined several areas on the crater floor units identified by the color-based spectral linework mapping for measuring the CSFDs.

In particular, we selected three areas inside the purple-blue color units (Figure 2a purple-blue polygons), three areas in the orange color units (Figure 2a orange polygons) and two areas inside the yellow color units (Figure 2a yellow polygons) covering an area of about 100 km² each (Table 1).

Figure 7 and Table 1 show the age results. In particular, the orange color unit stands out as the youngest basaltic unit with an average age of 2.94 Ga, followed by the 3.42 Ga intermediate purple-blue color unit. Conversely, the yellow color unit shows similar age results to the formation age of the Tsiolkovskiy crater itself, and thus emerges as the oldest crater floor unit with an age of 3.57 Ga.
Figure 7. Crater size–frequency distribution (CSFD) measurements performed for areas located on the Tsiolkovskiy crater floor. (a) Age results for the orange color unit (i.e., \( bp1 \) unit); (b) age results for the purple-blue color unit (i.e., \( bp2 \) unit); and (c) age results for the yellow color unit (i.e., \( bp3 \) unit). The randomness analysis results are shown in the panels above the CSFDs.

Here follows the spectral units that have been distinguished associated with unit labels and enlisted in stratigraphic order as suggested by the age determinations.

1. \( bp1 \)—basaltic plain 1: localized units with higher 750/415 nm (orange) ratio representative of younger events of lava emplacement, not necessarily indicating a change in composition; even if slight differences in composition cannot be excluded, the variation is more likely attributable to a lower degree of maturity due to a shorter exposition to space weathering.

2. \( bp2 \)—basaltic plain 2: localized units with a higher 415/750 nm (purple-blue) ratio, representative of intermediate events of lava emplacement, older than the \( bp1 \) unit and younger than the \( bp3 \) unit.

3. \( bp3 \)—basaltic plain 3: average ratios of 750/415 nm and 750/1000 nm (yellow) indicating a high concentration of weathered mafic minerals, indicative of the spectra of older lava emplacements; brighter hues are due to the excavation of fresher iron-bearing materials due to impacts subsequent to the emplacement of the mare infilling (Figure 8).

4. \( nt \)—noritic-anorthosite/troctolite: average 750/1000 nm and 415/750 nm ratios (cyan) indicating lithologies with minor amounts of Fe-bearing minerals such as noritic anorthosite and troctolite [16].

5. \( an \)—anorthosite: high 415/750 nm ratio (blue) indicating surfaces with a bright albedo related to a possibly mainly anorthositic composition [16].
6. *hs*—mature and reworked highland soil: high 750/415 nm ratio (red) indicating highland soil with a high glass content due to the reworking of pre-mare materials and to the maturation of the surface materials, associated with prolonged exposure to space weathering.

The color-based spectral map is shown in Figure 9 on top of the Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio basemap.
Figure 9. Color-based spectral map of the Tsiolkovskiy crater visualized on top of the Clementine UVVIS Warped Color Ratio mosaic [19]. Refer to the text for unit descriptions.
5. Discussion

The morpho-stratigraphic map presented here constitutes an advance with respect to previous maps [2–5,12] providing a more thorough geologic insight into the Tsiolkovskiy crater. Combined with the unprecedented color-based spectral map, the new map makes it possible to integrate morphological evidence and compositional information. Moreover, the detailed CSFD measurements permit a better understanding of the geologic evolution of this crater, in particular of its lava emplacements.

Even at first glance, it is possible to observe a correlation between the different units identified by the morpho-stratigraphic (Figure 5) and color-based spectral (Figure 9) maps. The most striking feature is the correlation between the sm geologic unit and the bp1, bp2, and bp3 spectral units attributable to Tsiolkovskiy’s crater floor. Conversely, the hummocky material of the hm unit is not as easily ascribable to a spectral color unit. Nonetheless, it can be associated in essence with the same material constituting the hs unit, with exposures from the nt and an units. This is reasonable, given the impact origin of the debris in this unit. The hs spectral unit, moreover, is predominantly correlated with the is unit, and it also includes the scattered sp units, which in the color-based spectral mapping appear homogenized with the surrounding hs unit material. Finally, the fresher deposits exposed by the excavation of the crater ascribed to the is, ss, and cp units are clearly associated with the nt and an spectral units.

Another evident characteristic is that the asymmetric distribution of Tsiolkovskiy’s ejecta is not the only asymmetry related to this crater. Indeed, the morpho-stratigraphic map shows that the hummocky material is largely distributed on the western margin of the smooth crater floor relative to the east side. In contrast, the steep scarps and the ponds of smooth material scattered in between the terraces of the rim are more widespread on the SE side of the crater rim than on the NW side.

This is also partially reflected in the irregular distribution of the units identified from the color-based mapping where the NW crater rim is largely made up of the hs unit, while the SE side of the crater rim is mostly made up of the nt and an units.

The peculiar distribution of the units therefore presumably reflects the oblique impact origin of Tsiolkovskiy. The SE inner slope proves to have been more affected by the impact than the NW one. It is indeed characterized by widespread rocky slumps and a larger number of steep scarps that led to the exhumation of greater amounts of nt and an unit materials. Furthermore, even the reduced presence of hummocky patches on the SE portion of the crater floor could be the result of the covering of these areas by larger volumes of effusion generated in the downrange portion of the crater. In the downrange direction of an oblique impact the thickness of crust excavated and mostly affected by the impact is greater than in the uprange direction [41] and references therein. The removal of larger amounts of material and the impact-induced fracturing could have led to an upwelling of lavas in greater quantities with respect to the uprange direction that were nonetheless insufficient to bridge the topographic gap between the NW sector and the SE one.

Finally, the crater counts performed on the hm unit (Figure 2a white polygons) and interpreted as impact melt material returned a formation age of about 3.6 Ga, which is in accordance with the results derived by [14] on ejecta and landslide deposits.

The apparent discrepancy of our ages with those obtained by [27–29] lies in the different reference area used for the crater counting. The sum of the ages we derived from the color variegated smooth crater floor (i.e., bp1, bp2, and bp3 units) returned an average age of 3.28 Ga, which is consistent with earlier authors’ results of 3.2–3.3 Ga obtained on the same geomorphologic unit.

Nevertheless, the CSFDs we performed on the color units of the mare infilling permit the identification of three different age ranges (i.e., 2.88–2.98 Ga, 3.32–3.47 Ga, and 3.57 Ga) clearly associated with each of the three units (i.e., bp1, bp2, and bp3 units, respectively) being the result of distinct eruptive events. In saying this, we do not presume that only three lava emplacements took place within Tsiolkovskiy, but that at least three events characterized by distinct compositions and ages are discernible.
It is noteworthy that there is strong correlation between the different eruptive events and their color variations from the Clementine UVVIS Color Ratio mosaic and the LROC-WAC-derived TiO$_2$ abundance estimation basemap by [42] (Figure 10). In particular, the yellow color and the brighter yellow-green hues of the oldest $bp3$ unit in the Clementine UVVIS Color Ratio mosaic indicate a basaltic unit exposed for a longer time to space weathering and thus characterized by a higher degree of maturity. A bluer Clementine color indicative of higher amounts of titanium characterizes instead the intermediate $bp2$ unit which shows a TiO$_2$ content of up to 4–6 wt%, while the youngest $bp1$ unit is characterized by a redder Clementine color, indicative of lower proportions of titanium, with a TiO$_2$ content < 4 wt%.

![Figure 10. Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Wide Angle Camera-derived TiO$_2$ abundance estimation basemap of Tsiolkovskiy’s mare infilling, modified from [42]. The color bar indicates 2 wt% bins in TiO$_2$ content.](image)

This suggests a compositional difference characterizing the flooding events between 3.6 and 3.4 Ga and around 3.0 Ga, probably indicating a shift in lunar far side volcanism. After the first lava emplacements (~3.6 Ga), the production of lavas relatively enriched in titanium content (between 3.6 and 3.4 Ga) could have been due to (i) the assimilation of TiO$_2$-enriched rocks, (ii) the involvement of a TiO$_2$-enriched plutonic body located at the crust-mantle boundary or within the lower crust, or (iii) a distinct magmatic source relatively enriched in titanium content. Subsequently, during the late-stage activity ($\leq$ 3.0 Ga), the lavas underwent a progressive depletion in the proportion of titanium.

Finally, the presence of younger basalts in particular on the south and west margins of the smooth floor could be the result of the load exerted by older lava emplacements that might have forced the new lavas to flow out into lower-pressure zones such as at the margins of the basaltic infilling.
6. Conclusions

A morpho-stratigraphic map of the Tsiolkovskiy crater, coupled with the color-based spectral map and CSFD measurements, permits inferences about its geologic evolution both in terms of compositional variations and the extent of exposure to space weathering of its geological units.

Although the two maps are based on different datasets, it is possible to identify significant correlations between them.

The arrangement of the ~3.6 Ga old Tsiolkovskiy crater can be summarized as the result of an oblique impact on highland crust. The impact led to the excavation and consequent exhumation of materials unaffected by space weathering, such as norites and anorthosites, that combined with mature highland soil to constitute the crater rim, the uplifted central peak and the hummocky crater floor. The crater floor was then partially filled by a basaltic deposit generated by at least three distinct eruptive events, distinguishable on the basis of their color, composition, and age.

In particular, color-based spectral mapping distinguished three different units for the crater floor, characterized by distinctive Clementine color ratio values (i.e., bp1, bp2, and bp3 units). The CSFD measurements, performed on three areas for the bp1 and bp2 units and on two areas for the bp3 unit, made it possible to define an approximate time frame for each unit, with average ages of 2.94, 3.42, and 3.57 Ga, respectively. These age determinations allowed the reconstruction of the evolutionary history of the crater floor, with the bp1 unit being the youngest basaltic unit, followed by the intermediate bp2 unit, and the oldest bp3 unit. The different compositions of the units, with the bp2 unit presenting the highest proportion of titanium, seem to imply different magma sources and/or suggest melting of TiO2-enriched material at around 3.4 Ga.

The oblique impact origin of Tsiolkovskiy is also reflected in the distribution of the units. Relative to the NW uprange portion of the crater, the SE downrange portion presents a greater quantity of excavated material (composing the nt and an units) and rocky slumps and sparse pre-mare material (the hm unit), with the latter probably due to the production of larger effusions in the downrange direction.

In conclusion, the key observations and related implications we provide in this work suggest that the Tsiolkovskiy crater represents a potential site for exploring compositional variability of lavas and magma sources through time on the far side of the Moon, the effects of an oblique impact on the subsequent effusion triggering and emplacement, and lunar lower crust and mantle lithological variability.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://researchdata.cab.unipd.it/id/eprint/513, the ArcGis project with basemaps, the mapping shapefiles, the Spatial Crater Count summary files and an explanatory “README.txt”.
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Appendix A

Table A1. List of Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Narrow Angle Camera (LROC-NAC) [30] images used for the crater size–frequency distribution (CSFD) measurements with relative mean resolution and incidence angle enlisted in ascending resolution order.

| LROC-NAC Product ID | Mean Resolution (m/pixel) | Incidence Angle (°) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| M146140171LE        | 0.61                      | 67.75               |
| M146140171RE        | 0.61                      | 67.85               |
| M1107453852LE        | 0.88                      | 59.16               |
| M1107453852RE        | 0.88                      | 63.33               |
| M103682642LE         | 0.89                      | 63.19               |
| M103682642RE         | 0.91                      | 76.05               |
| M165015246LE         | 0.91                      | 76.14               |
| M165015246RE         | 0.93                      | 64.45               |
| M1183978587LE        | 0.95                      | 62.56               |
| M1183978587RE        | 0.96                      | 62.41               |
| M1174571696LE        | 0.96                      | 56.82               |
| M1174571696RE        | 0.96                      | 56.96               |
| M1218112965LE        | 1.12                      | 78.49               |
| M1218112965RE        | 1.12                      | 78.61               |
| M1187511756LE        | 1.12                      | 80.09               |
| M1202818973LE        | 1.17                      | 81.30               |
| M1202818973RE        | 1.17                      | 81.42               |
| M1202797929LE        | 1.24                      | 81.46               |
| M1202797929RE        | 1.24                      | 81.59               |
| M1202797929LE        | 1.30                      | 86.44               |
| M1126296816LE        | 1.30                      | 81.65               |
| M1126296816RE        | 1.30                      | 81.78               |
| M180336439LE         | 1.32                      | 77.85               |
| M180336439RE         | 1.32                      | 77.98               |
| M1126303929LE        | 1.33                      | 82.37               |
| M1126303929RE        | 1.34                      | 82.52               |
| M1141858968LE        | 1.34                      | 83.28               |
| M1141858968RE        | 1.34                      | 83.42               |
| M1095658447LE        | 1.35                      | 79.75               |
| M1095658447RE        | 1.41                      | 80.39               |
| M1155723599LE        | 1.42                      | 80.54               |
| M1155723599RE        | 1.44                      | 86.48               |
| M123391551RE         | 1.44                      | 78.80               |
| M1155716479RE        | 1.45                      | 86.63               |
| M123391551LE         | 1.46                      | 77.95               |
| M1155716479LE        | 1.53                      | 87.27               |
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