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Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), an NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase, regulates a host of target proteins, including peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor (PPAR)-γ coactivator-1α (PGC-1α), a transcriptional coregulator that binds to numerous transcription factors in response to deacetylation to promote mitochondrial biogenesis and oxidative metabolism. Our laboratory and others have shown that adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) increases the activity of the nuclear receptor PPAR-α, a PGC-1α binding partner, to promote fatty acid oxidation. Fatty acids bind and activate PPAR-α; therefore, it has been presumed that fatty acids derived from ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis act as PPAR-α ligands. We provide an alternate mechanism that links ATGL to PPAR-α signaling. We show that SIRT1 deacetylase activity is positively regulated by ATGL to promote PGC-1α signaling. In addition, ATGL mediates the effects of β-adrenergic signaling on SIRT1 activity, and PGC-1α and PPAR-α target gene expression independent of changes in NAD⁺. Moreover, SIRT1 is required for the induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α target genes and oxidative metabolism in response to increased ATGL-mediated lipolysis. Taken together, this work identifies SIRT1 as a critical node that links β-adrenergic signaling and lipolysis to changes in the transcriptional regulation of oxidative metabolism.

Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), an NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase, has emerged as an important metabolic sensor that coordinates changes in energy metabolism. Upon activation, SIRT1 deacetylates target proteins to promote oxidative metabolism and stress resistance. SIRT1 ablation in specific tissues results in deranged oxidative metabolism and inflammation (1–3), whereas SIRT1 overexpression improves metabolic function and insulin sensitivity (4,5). In addition, SIRT1 is a key signaling node in life span determination (6) and is required for the effects of calorie restriction on life span extension as observed in numerous species (7–9).

SIRT1 is highly regulated through both transcriptional and posttranscriptional mechanisms. Regarding the latter, interaction of SIRT1 with active regulator of SIRT1 (AROS) promotes its activity (10), whereas interaction with deleted in breast cancer 1 (DBC1) is inhibitory (11,12). SIRT1 is regulated through numerous posttranscriptional covalent modifications, including phosphorylation. In response to β-adrenergic signaling, the cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) pathway activates SIRT1 to promote downstream oxidative metabolism (13). SIRT1 is also highly regulated by the concentration of its substrate NAD⁺ (14), thereby coupling energy status to SIRT1 activity.

Peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor (PPAR)-γ coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) is a principal target of SIRT1 that coordinates transcriptional changes in response to SIRT1 activity (15). Upon deacetylation and activation, PGC-1α binds numerous transcription factors involved in regulating oxidative metabolism and mitochondrial biogenesis (16). One such transcription factor that partly mediates the downstream signaling effects of PGC-1α is PPAR-α, which is highly expressed in the liver and coordinates the induction of fatty acid oxidation in response to fasting (17). SIRT1 directly binds PPAR-α and facilitates PGC-1α/PPAR-α interactions (18). Consistent with these effects, SIRT1 is required for the induction of liver oxidative gene expression in response to fasting (18).

Our laboratory and others have shown that adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL) increases the activity of PPAR-α to promote fatty acid oxidation (19–22). Fatty acids bind
and activate PPAR-α (23,24); therefore, it has been presumed that fatty acids derived from ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis act as PPAR-α ligands. However, administration of a PPAR-α agonist to mice with ablated hepatic ATGL was unable to normalize oxidative gene expression (19), suggesting that ATGL regulates PPAR-α independent of ligand binding. In addition, ATGL does not influence hepatic free fatty acid levels and mediates PPAR-α signaling independent of liver fatty acid–binding protein, the major fatty acid carrier in the liver (25). Given the importance of SIRT1 in regulating PPAR-α, we tested whether ATGL manipulations alter SIRT1 activity as a mechanism to regulate the expression of oxidative genes. We characterize a novel axis involving β-adrenergic signaling, ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis, and SIRT1 activation that governs PGC-1α/PPAR-α signaling and oxidative metabolism.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Mice and Adenovirus Administration

All animal protocols were approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Male 6–8-week-old C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Harlan Laboratories and housed under controlled temperature and lighting (20–22°C; 12-h light-dark cycle). The mice were fed a purified control diet (TD 94045; Harlan Teklad Premier Laboratory) and acclimatized for 1 week before adenovirus injections. Adenoviruses to manipulate ATGL expression were provided by Andrew Greenberg (Tufts University) and generated as described previously (26,27), and adenovirus encoding mouse SIRT1 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) was provided by X. C. Dong (Indiana University School of Medicine). For single adenovirus treatments, mice were injected with 1 × 10⁸ plaque-forming units adenovirus through the tail vein. Exactly 1 week after adenovirus injection, mice were sacrificed for tissue and serum collection after a 4-h fast (Ad-ATGL adenovirus treatments) or a 16-h fast (ATGL shRNA adenovirus treatments). For combination adenovirus treatments, four groups of mice were injected with either Ad-green fluorescent protein (GFP) and control shRNA, Ad-GFP and SIRT1 shRNA, Ad-ATGL and control shRNA, or Ad-ATGL and SIRT1 shRNA. Exactly 1 week after adenovirus injection, the mice were sacrificed for tissue and serum collection after a 4-h fast.

Cell Culture

Primary hepatocytes were isolated as described previously (28) and cultured in M199 media (Invitrogen) containing 23 mmol/L HEPES, 26 mmol/L sodium bicarbonate, 10% FBS, 50 IU/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, 100 mmol/L dexamethasone, 100 mmol/L insulin, and 11 mmol/L glucose for 4 h followed by the same media with 10 mmol/L dexamethasone and no insulin or FBS. One hour before treatment with 1 mmol/L of the cAMP analog 8-bromoadenosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate, the lipase inhibitors bromoenol lactone (BEL) (2 μmol/L) or Astatstatin (Astat) (30 μmol/L) were added. Hepatocytes with ATGL shRNA knockdown were isolated from mice exactly 3 days after adenovirus injection of ATGL shRNA through the tail vein. Hepatocytes isolated from mice treated with nontargeting shRNA were used as control. SIRT1 knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were provided by Michael McBurney (University of Ottawa).

SIRT1 Assays

SIRT1 activity was determined according to kit protocol (Enzo Life Sciences) with 0.5 mmol/L acetylated Fluor-de-Lys peptide and 10 mmol/L NAD⁺. Nuclear proteins were isolated by sucrose gradient centrifugation. The crude protein lysate and substrate were incubated for 15 min, and the reaction was quenched by the addition of a developer reagent. The developer was incubated for a further 45 min before reading with an excitation wavelength of 360 nm and emission at 460 nm. SIRT1 activity was normalized to protein content and expressed as percentage change over control.

PPAR-α and PGC-1α Reporter Assay

MEFs were transfected with indicated firefly luciferase reporter plasmids (TK-MH-UASLuc), control Renilla luciferase (pRLSV40), and indicated GAL4-PPAR-α or PGC-1α constructs using Effectene Transfection Reagent (QIAGEN). For PPAR-α reporter activity, pSG5-GAL4-PPARα-LBD construct was transfected into cells. For PGC-1α reporter activity, pCMX-GAL4-PGC1α, provided by Brian Finck (Washington University in St. Louis), was transfected into cells. For ATGL overexpression studies, MEFs were transduced with 60 multiplicities of infection of Ad-ATGL or Ad-GFP for 18 h. To inhibit SIRT1 activity, MEFs were incubated for 18 h with 10 μmol/L EX-527. Cells were stimulated with 1 mmol/L cAMP analog for 4 h. Following treatments with indicated adenovirus or drugs, luciferase activity was measured using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the coexpressed Renilla luciferase activity.

Immunoprecipitation for Acetylated Proteins

PGC-1α acetylation was determined by immunoprecipitation of PGC-1α from mouse liver lysates using PGC-1α antibody (EMD Millipore) followed by immunoblotting for acetylated lysine (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). FOXO1 acetylation was determined by immunoprecipitation with acetylated lysine antibody followed by immunoblotting for FOXO1 (Cell Signaling Technology).

Western Blotting

Protein isolation, electrophoresis, and immunoblotting were performed as described previously (19). ATGL, SIRT1, p38-mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and phospho-p38-MAPK antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. β-Actin antibody was obtained from LI-COR Biotechnology (Lincoln, NE).

β-Hydroxybutyrate Assay

β-Hydroxybutyrate was determined from mouse serum samples using a β-hydroxybutyrate LiquiColor kit (Stanbio Laboratory, Boerne, TX) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
NAD\(^+\) and NADH Measurements
NAD\(^+\) and NADH concentrations were determined using a colorimetric, enzyme-based NAD\(^+\)/NADH quantification kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA Isolation and RT-PCR Analysis
RNA was extracted with TRIzol from liver tissues followed by reverse transcription with SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) to generate cDNA. Gene expression was quantified as described previously (19).

Mitochondrial DNA Copy Number Measurement
DNA was extracted from mouse liver tissues using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN). As described by Yatsuga and Suomalainen (29), 25 ng of total DNA was used as a template in RT-PCR, and the level of mitochondrial MTRNR1 (forward 5′-AGGAGCCTGTTCTATAATCGATAAA-3′ and reverse 5′-GATGCGGTATATAGGCTGAA-3′) was normalized against the nuclear RBM15 (RNA-binding motif protein 15) gene (forward 5′-GGACACTTTGTCTTGGGCAAC-3′ and reverse 5′-AGTTTGGCCCTGTGAGACAT-3′).

Statistical Analysis
Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analyses were performed using Student t test or ANOVA where appropriate. Differences were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS
ATGL Regulates Hepatic PGC-1\(\alpha\) and Mitochondrial Biogenesis
Given the previous links between lipolysis and mitochondrial biogenesis, we first tested whether hepatic ATGL could influence PGC-1\(\alpha\), which is well documented to govern mitochondrial biogenesis. Indeed, previous studies have shown that ATGL influences PGC-1\(\alpha\) and its target genes COX1, NRF1, NDUFS1, and ATP5B (Fig. 1A). Consistent with these data, ATGL overexpression increased PGC-1\(\alpha\) and its target genes (Fig. 1B). The ATGL shRNA used here has been shown to successfully reduce ATGL mRNA in the liver by ∼70% and ATGL protein by ∼80% (19). Furthermore, administration of adenoviral vectors to overexpress ATGL successfully increases protein expression of hepatic ATGL in mice approximately threefold (25). We further tested the effects of ATGL on tissue mitochondria content. Quantification of mitochondria from electron micrographs revealed that livers treated with the ATGL knockdown adenovirus had fewer mitochondria (Fig. 1C and D). Hepatic mitochondrial DNA copy number was also lower following ATGL knockdown (Fig. 1E). Taken together, these results demonstrate that ATGL regulates PGC-1\(\alpha\) and its downstream effects on mitochondrial biogenesis.

ATGL Activates SIRT1
We next pursued mechanisms through which ATGL could influence PGC-1\(\alpha\). SIRT1 is known to positively regulate both PGC-1\(\alpha\) and PPAR-\(\alpha\) signaling; thus, we tested whether ATGL affected SIRT1 deacetylase activity. Hepatic SIRT1 activity was suppressed by ∼50% in mice treated with adenovirus harboring shRNA targeted to ATGL, whereas adenoviral-mediated overexpression of ATGL increased hepatic SIRT1 activity (Fig. 2A). ATGL overexpression or knockdown did not influence SIRT1

Figure 1 — ATGL affects PGC-1\(\alpha\) target genes and mitochondrial biogenesis. A: Hepatic ATGL knockdown in vivo leads to changes in hepatic PGC-1\(\alpha\) target gene expression \( (n = 6) \). B: Hepatic ATGL overexpression in vivo increases PGC-1\(\alpha\) target gene expression \( (n = 6) \). C and D: ATGL knockdown leads to a decrease in mitochondrial biogenesis. Livers from mice treated with the indicated adenovirus were analyzed by electron microscopy, and the number of mitochondria per frame were quantitated \( (n = 2) \). E: Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) copy number are decreased in ATGL knockdown livers \( (n = 6) \). *P < 0.05.
protein abundance, suggesting that the changes in SIRT1 activity were not due to altered SIRT1 expression (Fig. 2B). We next explored whether the changes in SIRT1 activity coincided with altered acetylation of the SIRT1 substrates PGC-1α and FOXO1. Consistent with the decrease in SIRT1 deacetylase activity, PGC-1α and FOXO1 were hyperacetylated in response to ATGL knockdown (Fig. 2C and D). Thus, these data identify for the first time to our knowledge that ATGL influences SIRT1 activity and target protein acetylation.

ATGL Is Required for the β-Adrenergic Induction of SIRT1 and PGC1-α/PPAR-α Target Gene Expression

β-Adrenergic signaling and the downstream activation of PKA are robust activators of lipolysis (30). In addition, the PKA pathway activates SIRT1, leading to the induction of oxidative gene expression (13,31). Given that both pathways are induced by PKA and the aforementioned data showing that ATGL activates SIRT1, we tested whether lipolysis could influence the effects of cAMP/PKA on SIRT1 activation. For these studies, we examined primary mouse hepatocytes in the presence of the cell-permeable cAMP analog. We found that cAMP stimulation of SIRT1 activity was attenuated by pharmacological inhibition of ATGL. Pretreatment of primary mouse hepatocytes with ATGL inhibitors, either BEL or Astat, blocked the ability of cAMP to stimulate SIRT1 activity (Fig. 3A and B). Consistent with its inhibition of SIRT1, BEL also blocked the induction of PGC-1α activity in response to cAMP in MEFs (Fig. 3C). Attenuating cAMP/PKA signaling with a PKA inhibitor (H89) blocked SIRT1 activation by cAMP in primary hepatocytes (Fig. 3D), suggesting that the PKA arm of the β-adrenergic signaling cascade is responsible for the observed effects. We found no changes in NAD+ levels when ATGL was knocked down or overexpressed (Fig. 3E), supporting previous studies showing that SIRT1 can be activated independent of NAD+ concentrations (13,31). Phosphorylation of p38-MAPK, a robust activator of PGC-1α (32), was unaltered in response to ATGL knockdown or overexpression (Fig. 3F), suggesting that it is not involved in the ATGL-mediated regulation of SIRT1 and PGC-1α signaling.

Figure 2—ATGL activates SIRT1. A: ATGL alters SIRT1 deacetylase activity. SIRT1 activity was determined by fluorometric kinetic assay from nuclear lysates from liver samples of mice treated with knockdown or overexpression adenoviruses (n = 6). B: ATGL manipulation does not affect total SIRT1 protein levels. Liver samples were analyzed by Western blot. C and D: PGC-1α and FOXO1 are hyperacetylated in response to ATGL knockdown. The left panel shows representative Western blots of whole-liver tissue homogenate (input) or protein precipitates. The right panel shows fold change over control in densitometry of acetylated proteins, normalized to total protein (n = 3). *P < 0.05. IB, immunoblot; IP, immunoprecipitation.
We next evaluated whether lipolysis mediated the effects of cAMP on oxidative gene expression. Similarly to the effects on SIRT1 and PGC-1α activity, BEL blocked the induction of PPAR-α and PGC-1α and their target genes in cultured primary hepatocytes in response to cAMP (Fig. 4A). These effects appear to be specifically due to ATGL inhibition because an ATGL-specific inhibitor, Astat, and targeted shRNA knockdown of ATGL also attenuated cAMP-induced expression of PPAR-α and PGC-1α target genes (Fig. 4B and C). These findings demonstrate that ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis is required for the cAMP/PKA-mediated activation of SIRT1 and induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α target genes.

SIRT1 Mediates the Effects of ATGL on PGC-1α/PPAR-α Signaling and Oxidative Metabolism

The aforementioned studies show that ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis is an important regulator of SIRT1 activity, but they do not show the importance of SIRT1 in mediating the effects of ATGL on PGC-1α/PPAR-α signaling and oxidative gene expression. To further test the importance of SIRT1 in this signaling axis, we used a combination of adenoviruses to simultaneously overexpress ATGL and knockdown SIRT1 in vivo (Fig. 5A and B). We sacrificed mice after a short-term 4-h fast for these studies because this is a time when ATGL is not yet overexpressed (33) and when NAD/NADH levels, which profoundly influence SIRT1 activity, are unaltered (34). The latter likely explains why SIRT1 activity was not altered in response to SIRT1 knockdown despite reduced protein abundance. As expected, overexpression of hepatic ATGL increased SIRT1 activity (Fig. 5C) and PGC-1α/PPAR-α target gene expression (Fig. 5D), but this effect was abolished when SIRT1 was knocked down. Indicative of liver fatty acid oxidation, serum β-hydroxybutyrate levels were increased with ATGL overexpression, and this increase was blocked with SIRT1 knockdown (Fig. 5E). Consistent with changes on PGC-1α target genes, ATGL overexpression increased mitochondrial DNA copy number, but this induction was attenuated in mice treated with SIRT1 shRNA (Fig. 5F). We further tested whether SIRT1 was required to mediate the effects of cAMP and/or ATGL on PGC-1α and PPAR-α.
activity in MEFs. As expected, PGC-1α activity was stimulated by both cAMP and ATGL overexpression and even more so by a combination of the two (Fig. 6A). However, this induction in response to cAMP or ATGL overexpression was abolished in SIRT1 knockout MEFs. Similarly, administration of the SIRT1 inhibitor EX-527 completely blocked the effects of cAMP and/or ATGL overexpression on PGC-1α (Fig. 6B) or PPAR-α (Fig. 6C) activity. Taken together, these data demonstrate that SIRT1 is required for ATGL-mediated induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α signaling to control oxidative metabolism.

DISCUSSION

Numerous studies have linked lipolysis, mediated through manipulations of ATGL or other lipid droplet proteins, to changes in PPAR-α and oxidative gene expression in multiple tissues, including heart, liver, adipose, and intestinal (20–22,26,29,35,36). Because fatty acids are ligands for PPAR-α, it has been presumed that fatty acids generated from lipolysis act as agonists for PPAR-α (36). However, we have shown that administration of fenofibrate, a PPAR-α agonist, results in a similar fold induction of PPAR-α target genes in the livers of mice treated with control or ATGL shRNA adenoviruses but was unable to normalize gene expression between the two groups (19). These data suggest that a mechanism other than PPAR-α agonism accounts for the effects of ATGL and lipolysis on oxidative gene expression. The present data showing that ATGL activates SIRT1 provide strong evidence of an alternate signaling mechanism linking lipolysis to PPAR-α and PGC-1α activity. The present data also show that SIRT1 is required for ATGL-mediated induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α signaling and downstream changes in oxidative metabolism. Consistent with these data, administration of a PPAR-α agonist could not normalize PPAR-α target gene expression in fasted mice with ablated hepatic SIRT1 (18). In contrast to the present studies, administration of the PPAR-α agonist WY-14643 normalizes cardiac mitochondrial function and prevents the cardiomyopathy that occurs in ATGL knockout mice (21). It is unclear whether tissue-specific differences in ATGL/SIRT1/PGC-1α signaling or whether different PPAR-α ligands and dosages account for these discrepancies.

β-Agonism is a major signal responsible for inducing lipolysis (37). Although downstream PKA activation is not believed to regulate ATGL directly, phosphorylation of the ATGL coactivator CGI-58 and perilipin proteins facilitates access of ATGL to lipid droplets to promote triglyceride hydrolysis (38). Of note, we found that blocking ATGL through shRNA or chemical inhibition prevented the induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α/ target gene expression in response to cAMP. Knocking down ATGL in brown adipocytes also reduced β-adrenergic induction of PGC-1α/PPAR-α target genes (36), whereas ATGL had an opposite
effect in white adipocytes (39). Previous work has shown that β-adrenergic signaling activates SIRT1 independent of changes in NAD+ (13,31). Consistent with these findings, we also did not observe changes in NAD+ in response to ATGL manipulations. Although these data suggest that ATGL regulates SIRT1 through an NAD+-independent mechanism, we cannot exclude a role for NAD+. Ongoing studies are attempting to elucidate the biological mechanism through which ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis regulates SIRT1 deacetylase activity.

When ATGL was discovered, it was generally assumed that inhibitors of ATGL would be viable targets to reduce adipose tissue lipolysis, the subsequent increase in serum free fatty acids, and their ectopic deposition in nonadipose tissue (40). However, adipose-specific overexpression of ATGL does not alter serum free fatty acid levels; instead, it reduces body fat, promotes resistance to diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance, and increases adipose mitochondrial biogenesis and whole-body O2 consumption (41). Consistent with these findings, adipose-specific ATGL ablation reduces brown fat UCP1 expression, O2 consumption, and mitochondrial biogenesis (22). Work from other groups also points toward a beneficial role of ATGL in insulin sensitivity. Overexpression of hepatic ATGL improves insulin signaling in the liver (42), and cardiac ATGL overexpression alleviates diabetes-induced cardiomyopathy (43). The lone study to show no benefit of ATGL overexpression is the recently described muscle-specific ATGL transgenic mouse (44). However, this study did not test mice under exercised conditions when β-adrenergic signaling would be activated. Thus, in general, studies that evaluated tissue-specific ATGL overexpression highlighted very positive outcomes on insulin sensitivity. These data are also consistent with the therapeutic benefits of SIRT1 activation, although the importance of SIRT1 in mediating the effects of ATGL on metabolic disease risk has yet to be determined.

One of the most well-studied roles of SIRT1 is life span extension. Overexpression or activation of SIRT1, or its homologs, increases life span, and SIRT1 ablation results in reduced life span (45). Consistent with a link between ATGL and SIRT1, studies in fly and worm models have
implicated lipolysis as a pathway that regulates life span. Specifically, inhibiting lipolysis, such as through the ablation of the *Drosophila* ATGL homolog *brummer*, reduces life span, whereas activating lipolysis extends life span (46–48). Although the mechanism through which altering lipolysis affects life span has not been tested, the aforementioned data strongly suggest that lipolysis-mediated activation of SIRT1 may be a primary signaling node underlying these effects.

In summary, these data identify a novel link between ATGL-catalyzed lipolysis and SIRT1 activation that explains the growing body of literature linking lipolysis to oxidative metabolism. Given the broad benefits of SIRT1 activation, these results highlight ATGL and lipolysis as an important signaling node that influences cell function well beyond simply altering lipid catabolism.
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