Genetic history from the Middle Neolithic to present on the Mediterranean island of Sardinia
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The island of Sardinia has been of particular interest to geneticists for decades. The current model for Sardinia’s genetic history describes the island as harboring a founder population that was established largely from the Neolithic peoples of southern Europe and remained isolated from later Bronze Age expansions on the mainland. To evaluate this model, we generate genome-wide ancient DNA data for 70 individuals from 21 Sardinian archaeological sites spanning the Middle Neolithic through the Medieval period. The earliest individuals show a strong affinity to western Mediterranean Neolithic populations, followed by an extended period of genetic continuity on the island through the Nuragic period (second millennium BCE). Beginning with individuals from Phoenician/Punic sites (first millennium BCE), we observe spatially-varying signals of admixture with sources principally from the eastern and northern Mediterranean. Overall, our analysis sheds light on the genetic history of Sardinia, revealing how relationships to mainland populations shifted over time.
he whole-genome sequencing in 2012 of “Ötzi”, an individual who was preserved in ice for over 5000 years near the I talo-Austrian border, revealed a surprisingly high level of shared ancestry with present-day Sardinian individuals1,2. Subsequent work on genome-wide variation in ancient Europeans found that most “early European farmer” individuals, even when from geographically distant locales (e.g., from Sweden, Hungary and Spain) have their highest genetic affinity with present-day Sardinian individuals3–5. Accumulating ancient DNA (aDNA) results have provided a framework for understanding how early European farmers show such genetic affinity to modern Sardinians.

In this framework, Europe was first inhabited by Paleolithic and later Mesolithic hunter-gatherer groups. Then, starting about 7000 BCE, farming peoples arrived from the Middle East as part of a Neolithic transition7, spreading through Anatolia and the Balkans3,9, while progressively admixing with local hunter-gatherers10. Major movements from the Eurasian Steppe, beginning about 3000 BCE, resulted in further admixture throughout Europe11–14. These events are typically modeled in terms of three ancestry components: western hunter gatherers (“WHG”), early European farmers (“EEF”), and Steppe pastoralists (“Steppe”). Within this broad framework, the island of Sardinia is thought to have received a high level of EEF ancestry early on and then remained mostly isolated from the subsequent admixture occurring on mainland Europe1,2. However, this specific model for Sardinian population history has not been tested with genome-wide aDNA data from the island.

The oldest known human remains on Sardinia date to ~20,000 years ago15. Archeological evidence suggests Sardinia was not densely populated in the Mesolithic, and experienced a population expansion coinciding with the Neolithic transition in the sixth millennium BCE16. Around this time, early Neolithic pottery assemblages were spreading throughout the western Mediterranean, including Sardinia, in particular vessels decorated with Cardium shell impressions (variably described as Impressed Ware, Cardial Ware, Cardial Impressed Ware)17, with radiocarbon dates indicating a rapid westward maritime expansion around 5500 BCE18. In the later Neolithic, obsidian originating from Sardinia is found throughout many western Mediterranean archeological sites19, indicating that the island was integrated into a maritime trade network. In the middle Bronze Age, about 1600 BCE, the “Nuragic” culture emerged, named for the thousands of distinctive stone towers, known as nuraghi20. During the late Nuragic period, the archeological and historical record shows the direct influence of several major Mediterranean groups, in particular the presence of Mycenaean, Levantine and Cypriot traders. The Nuragic settlements declined throughout much of the island as, in the late 9th and early 8th century BCE, Phoenicians originating from present-day Lebanon and northern Palestine established settlements concentrated along the southern shores of Sardinia21. In the second half of the 6th century BCE, the island was occupied by Carthaginians (also known as Punic), expanding from the city of Carthage on the North-African coast of present-day Tunisia, which was founded in the late 9th century by Phoenicians22,23. Sardinia was occupied by Roman forces in 237 BCE, and turned into a Roman province a decade later24. Throughout the Roman Imperial period, the island remained closely aligned with both Italy and central North Africa. After the fall of the Roman empire, Sardinia became increasingly autonomous24, but interaction with the Byzantine Empire, the maritime republics of Genova and Pisa, the Catalan and Aragonese Kingdom, and the Duchy of Savoy and Piemonte continued to influence the island25,26.

The population genetics of Sardinia has long been studied, in part because of its importance for medical genetics27,28. Pioneering studies found evidence that Sardinia is a genetic isolate with appreciable population substructure29–31. Recently, Chiang et al.32 analyzed whole-genome sequences33 together with continental European aDNA. Consistent with previous studies, they found the mountainous Ogliastra region of central/eastern Sardinia carries a signature of relative isolation and subtly elevated levels of WHG and EEF ancestry.

Four previous studies have analyzed aDNA from Sardinia using mitochondrial DNA. Ghirri et al.34 found evidence for more genetic turnover in Gallura (a region in northern Sardinia with cultural/linguistic connections to Corsica) than Ogliastra. Modi et al.35 sequenced mitogenomes of two Mesolithic individuals and found support for a model of population replacement in the Neolithic. Olivieri et al.36 analyzed 21 ancient mitogenomes from Sardinia and estimated the coalescent times of Sardinian-specific mtDNA haplogroups, finding support for most of them originating in the Neolithic or later, but with a few coalescing earlier. Finally, Matissow-Smith et al.37 analyzed mitogenomes in a Phoenician settlement on Sardinia and inferred continuity and exchange between the Phoenician population and broader Sardinia. One additional study38,39 covered β-thalassemia variants in three aDNA samples and found one carrier of the cod39 mutation in a necropolis used in the Punic and Roman periods38. Despite the initial insights these studies reveal, none of them analyze genome-wide autosomal data, which has proven to be useful for inferring population history39.

Here, we generate genome-wide data from the skeletal remains of 70 Sardinian individuals radiocarbon dated to between 4100 BCE and 1500 CE. We investigate three aspects of Sardinian population history: First, the ancestry of individuals from the Sardinian Neolithic (ca. 5700–3400 BCE)—who were the early peoples expanding onto the island at this time? Second, the genetic structure through the Sardinian Chalcolithic (i.e., Copper Age, ca. 3400–2300 BCE) to the Sardinian Bronze Age (ca. 2300–1000 BCE)—were there genetic turnover events through the different cultural transitions observed in the archeological record? And third, the post-Bronze Age contacts with major Mediterranean civilizations and more recent Italian populations—have they resulted in detectable gene flow?

Our results reveal insights about each of these three periods of Sardinian history. Specifically, our earliest samples show affinity to the early European farmer populations of the mainland, then we observe a period of relative isolation with no significant evidence of admixture through the Nuragic period, after which we observe evidence for admixture with sources from the northern and eastern Mediterranean.

Results

Ancient DNA from Sardinia. We organized a collection of skeletal remains (Supp. Fig. 1) from (1) a broad set of previously excavated samples initially used for isotopic analysis40, (2) the Late Neolithic to Bronze Age Seulo cave sites of central Sardinia41, (3) the Neolithic Sites Noedalle and S’isterridolzu23, (4) the Phoenician-Punic sites of Monte Sirai42 and Villamar43, (5) the Imperial Roman period site at Monte Carru (Alghero)44, (6) medieval remains from the site of Corona Molanta45, (7) medieval remains from the necropolis of the Duomo di San Nicola46. We sequenced DNA libraries enriched for the complete mitochondrial genome as well as a targeted set of 1.2 million single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)47. After quality control, we arrived at a final set of 70 individuals with an average coverage of 1.02× at targeted SNPs (ranging from 0.04× to 5.39× per individual) and a median number of 466,049 targeted SNPs covered at least once per individual. We obtained age estimates by either direct radiocarbon dating (n = 53), previously reported...
radiocarbon dates \((n = 13)\), or archeological context and radiocarbon dates from the same burial site \((n = 4)\). The estimated ages range from 4100 years BCE to 1500 years CE (Fig. 1, Supp. Data 1A). We pragmatically grouped the data into broad periods: Middle/Late Neolithic \(\text{‘Sar-MN’}, 4100–3500 \text{ BCE}, n = 6\), Early Copper Age \(\text{‘Sar-ECA’}, 3500–2500 \text{ BCE}, n = 3\), Early Middle Bronze Age \(\text{‘Sar-EMBA’}, 2500–1500 \text{ BCE}, n = 27\), and Nuragic \(\text{‘Sar-Nur’}, 1500–900 \text{ BCE}, n = 16\). For the post-Nuragic sites, there is substantial genetic heterogeneity within and among sites, and so we perform analysis per site when grouping is necessary \(\text{‘Sar-MSR’} \text{ and ‘Sar-VIL’ for the Phoenician and Punic sites of Monte Sirai, n = 2} \text{; and Villamar, n = 6; ‘Sar-ORC002’ for a Punic period individual from the interior site of S’Orcu’e Tueri, n = 1; ‘Sar-AMC’ for the Roman period site of Monte Carru near Alghero, n = 3; ‘Sar-COR’ for the early medieval individuals from the site of Corona Moltana, n = 2; and ‘Sar-SNN’ for the medieval San Nicola necropoli, n = 4}\). Figure 1 provides an overview of the sample.

To assess the relationship of the ancient Sardinian individuals to other ancient and present-day west Eurasian and North-African populations we analyzed our individuals alongside published autosomal DNA data \(\text{ancient: 972 individuals}^{8,9,10,13,48–50}; \text{modern: 1963 individuals from outside Sardinia}^{7} \text{ and 1577 individuals from Sardinia}^{32,33}\). For some analyses, we grouped the modern Sardinian individuals into eight geographic regions (see inset in panel c of Fig. 2 for listing and abbreviations, also see Supp. Data 1E) and for others we subset the more isolated Sardinian region of Ogliastra \(\text{‘Sar-Ogl’, n = 419}\) and the remainder \(\text{‘Sar-N’}\), \(n = 1158\). As with other human genetic variation studies, population annotations are important to consider in the interpretation of results.

Figure 1 Number of SNPs covered, sampling locations and ages of ancient individuals. a The number of SNPs covered at least once and age \(\text{(mean of 2e radiocarbon age estimates)}\) for the 70 ancient Sardinian individuals. b The sampling locations of ancient Sardinian individuals and a reference dataset of 961 ancient individuals from across western Eurasia and North Africa (with “jitter” added to prevent overplotting; see Supp Data 1E for exact locations).

**Similarity to western mainland Neolithic populations.** We found low differentiation between Middle/Late Neolithic Sardinian individuals and Neolithic western mainland European populations, in particular groups from Spain (Iberia–EN) and southern France \(\text{(France-N)}\). When projecting ancient individuals onto the top two principal components \(\text{(PCs)}\) defined by modern variation, the Neolithic ancient Sardinian individuals sit between early Neolithic Iberian and later Copper Age Iberian populations, roughly on an axis that differentiates WHG and EEF populations, and embedded in a cluster that additionally includes Neolithic British individuals (Fig. 2). This result is also evident in terms of genetic differentiation, with low pairwise \(F_{ST} = 0.005–0.008\), between Middle/Late Neolithic and Neolithic western mainland European populations (Fig. 3). Pairwise outgroup-\(f_s\) analysis shows a similar pattern, with the highest values of \(f_s\) \(\text{(i.e., most shared drift)}\) being with Western European Neolithic and Copper Age populations (Fig. 3), gradually dropping off for populations more distant in time or space (Supp. Fig. 10).

Ancient Sardinian individuals are shifted towards WHG individuals in the top two PCs relative to early Neolithic Anatolians (Fig. 2). Analysis using qpAdm shows that a two-way admixture model between WHG and Neolithic Anatolian populations is consistent with our data \(\text{(e.g., p = 0.376 for Sar-MN, Table 1)}\), similar to other western European populations of the early Neolithic (Supp. Table 1). The method estimates ancient Sardinian individuals harbor HG ancestry \(\approx 17 \pm 2\%\) that is higher than early Neolithic mainland populations \(\text{(including Iberia, 8.7 \pm 1.1\%)}\), but lower than Copper Age Iberians \(25.1 \pm 0.9\%\) and about the same as Southern French Middle-Neolithic individuals \(21.3 \pm 1.5\%\), Table 1, Supp. Fig. 13, \(\pm\) denotes plus and minus one standard error).

In explicit models of continuity \(\text{(using qpAdm, see Methods)}\) the southern French Neolithic individuals \(\text{(France-N)}\) are consistent with being a single source for Middle/Late Neolithic Sardinia \(p = 0.38\) to reject the model of one population being the direct source of the other; followed by other western populations high in EEF ancestry, though with poor fit \(\text{(qpAdm p-values < 10}^{-5}\text{, Supp. Table 2)}\). France-N may result in improved fits as it is a better match for the WHG and EEF proportions seen in Middle/Late Neolithic Sardinia (Supp. Table 1). As we discuss below, caution is
Fig. 2 Principal components analysis based on the Human Origins dataset. **a** Projection of ancient individuals’ genotypes onto principal component axes defined by modern Western Eurasians and North Africans (gray labels, see panel (c) for legend for all abbreviations but ‘Can’, for Canary Islands). **b** Zoom into the region most relevant for Sardinia. Each projected ancient individual is displayed as a transparent colored point in panel (a) and (b), with the color determined by the age of each sample (see panel (d) for legend). In panel (b), median PC1 and PC2 values for each population are represented by three-letter abbreviations, with black or gray font for moderns and a color-coded font based on the mean age for ancient populations. Ancient Sardinian individuals are plotted as circles with edges, color-coded by age, and with the first three letters of their sample ID (which typically indicates the archeological site). Modern individuals from the Sidore et al. sample of Sardinia are represented with gray circles and modern individuals from the reference panel with gray squares. See Fig. 5 for a zoomed in representation with detailed province labels for Sardinian individuals. The full set of labels and abbreviations are described in Supp. Data 1E, F. **c** Geographic legend of present-day individuals from the Human Origins and our Sardinian reference dataset. **d** Timeline of selected ancient groups. Note: The same geographic abbreviation can appear multiple times with different colors to represent groups with different median ages.
modern populations of interest. The full sharing matrices can be found in Supp. Figs. 10/11, where we also include post-Nuragic sites. For ancient JT (mtDNA haplotypes belong to macro-haplogroups HV) necessary as there is a lack of aDNA from other relevant ancient populations of the same period (such as mainland Italian Neolithic periods) and modern Sardinian individuals (grouped into within and outside the Ogliastra region) with each other (left), various ancient (middle), and modern populations (right) of interest. The full sharing matrices can be found in Supp. Figs. 10/11, where we also include post-Nuragic sites. For ancient groups, sample sizes and time-spans are provided in Fig 1d. The full set of labels and abbreviations are described in Supp. Data 1E, F.

**Fig. 3 Genetic similarity matrices.** We calculated $F_{ST}$ (upper panel) and outgroup-$f_3$ (lower panel) of ancient Sardinian (Middle/Late Neolithic to Nuragic periods) and modern Sardinian individuals (grouped into within and outside the Ogliastra region) with each other (left), various ancient (middle), and modern populations (right) of interest. The full sharing matrices can be found in Supp. Figs. 10/11, where we also include post-Nuragic sites. For ancient groups, sample sizes and time-spans are provided in Fig 1d. The full set of labels and abbreviations are described in Supp. Data 1E, F.

**Table 1 Results from fitting models of admixture with qpAdm for Middle Neolithic to Nuragic period.**

| Target | Proxy source populations | Admixture fractions | Standard error |
|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|        | a | b | c | p-value | a | b | c |                        |
| A      | Sar-MN | WHG | Anatolia-N | 0.376 | 0.177 | 0.823 | 0.014 | 0.014 | – |
|        | Sar-EC | WHG | Anatolia-N | 0.268 | 0.161 | 0.839 | 0.020 | 0.020 | – |
|        | Sar-EMBA | WHG | Anatolia-N | 0.049 | 0.161 | 0.839 | 0.007 | 0.007 | – |
|        | Sar-Nur | WHG | Anatolia-N | 0.134 | 0.163 | 0.837 | 0.009 | 0.009 | – |
| B      | Sar-MN | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.265 | 0.177 | 0.823 | 0.0000 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.026 |
|        | Sar-EMBA | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.032 | 0.162 | 0.838 | 0.009 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
|        | Sar-Nur | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.089 | 0.163 | 0.837 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.016 |
| C      | France-N | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.093 | 0.213 | 0.787 | 0.0000 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.027 |
|        | Iberia-EN | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.243 | 0.087 | 0.913 | 0.0000 | 0.012 | 0.017 | 0.019 |
|        | Iberia-CA | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.045 | 0.251 | 0.749 | 0.0000 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.018 |
|        | Iberia-BA | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 6.0 × 10⁻³ | 0.239 | 0.689 | 0.072 | 0.010 | 0.014 | 0.016 |
|        | CE-EN | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.656 | 0.046 | 0.954 | 0.0000 | 0.007 | 0.010 | 0.012 |
|        | CE-LBA | WHG | Anatolia-N Steppe | 0.105 | 0.128 | 0.4014 | 0.008 | 0.011 | 0.013 |

(1) Two-way models of admixture for ancient Sardinia using Western Hunter-Gatherer (WHG) and Neolithic Anatolia (Anatolia-N) individuals as proxy sources. (B) Three-way models of admixture for ancient Sardinia using Western Hunter-Gatherer (WHG), Neolithic Anatolia (Anatolia-N), and Early Middle Bronze Age Steppe (Steppe-EMBA, abbreviated Steppe in table) individuals as proxy sources. (C) Three-way models for select comparison populations on the European mainland. Full results are reported in Supp. Info. 4.

necessary as there is a lack of aDNA from other relevant populations of the same period (such as mainland Italian Neolithic cultures and neighboring islands).

For our sample from the Middle Neolithic through the Nuragic ($n = 52$ individuals), we were able to infer mtDNA haplotypes for each individual and Y haplotypes for 30 out of 34 males. The mtDNA haplotypes belong to macro-haplogroups HV ($n = 20$), JT ($n = 19$), U ($n = 12$), and X ($n = 1$), a composition broadly similar to other European Neolithic populations. For Y haplotypes, we found at least one carrier for each of three major Sardinia-specific Y founder clades (within the haplogroups I2-M26, G2-L91, and R1b-V88) that were identified previously based on modern Sardinian data. More than half of the 31 identified Y haplogroups were R1b-V88 or I2-M233 ($n = 11$ and 8, respectively, Supp. Fig. 6, Supp. Data 1B), both of which are also prevalent in Neolithic Iberians. Compared with most other ancient populations in our reference dataset, the frequency of R1b-V88 (Supp. Note 3, Supp. Fig. 6) is relatively high, but as we observed clustering of Y haplogroups by sample location (Supp. Data 1B) caution should be exercised with interpreting our results as estimates for island-wide Y haplogroup frequencies. The oldest individuals in our reference data carrying R1b-V88 or I2-M233 were Balkan hunter-gatherer and Neolithic individuals, and both haplogroups later appear also in western Neolithic populations (Supp. Figs. 7–9).

**Continuity from the Middle Neolithic through the Nuragic.** We found several lines of evidence supporting genetic continuity
from the Sardinian Middle Neolithic into Bronze Age and Nuragic times. Importantly, we observed low genetic differentiation between ancient Sardinian individuals from various time periods ($F_{ST} = 0.0055 \pm 0.0014$ between Middle/Late Neolithic and late Bronze Age, Fig. 3). Furthermore, we did not observe temporal substructure within the ancient Sardinian individuals in the top two PCs—they form a coherent cluster (Fig. 2). In stark contrast, ancient individuals from mainland regions such as central Europe show large movements over the first two PCs from the Neolithic to the Bronze Age, and also have higher pairwise differentiation (e.g., $F_{ST} = 0.0200 \pm 0.0004$ between Neolithic and Bronze Age individuals from central Europe, Supp. Fig. 11). A qpAdm analysis cannot reject a model of Middle/Late Neolithic Sardinian individuals being a direct predecessor of Nuragic Sardinian individuals ($p = 0.15$, Supp. Table 2, also see results for $f_2$ statistics, Supp. Data 2). Our qpAdm analysis further shows that the WHG ancestry proportion, in a model of admixture with Neolithic Anatolia, remains stable at $17 \pm 2\%$ through the Nuragic period (Table 1A). When using a three-way admixture model, we do not detect significant Steppe ancestry in any ancient Sardinian group from the Middle/Late Neolithic to the Nuragic, as is inferred, for example, in later Bronze Age Iberians (Table 1B, Supp. Fig. 13). Finally, in a five-way model with Iran Neolithic and Moroccan Neolithic samples added as sources, neither source is inferred to contribute ancestry during the Middle Neolithic to Nuragic (point estimates are statistically indistinguishable from zero, Supp. Fig. 14).

**From the Nuragic period to present-day Sardinia: signatures of admixture.** We found multiple lines of evidence for gene flow into Sardinia after the Nuragic period. The present-day Sardinian individuals from the Sidore et al. sample are shifted from the Nuragic period ancients on the western Eurasian/North-African PCA (Fig. 2). Using a “shrinkage” correction method for the projection is key for detecting this shift (see Supp. Fig. 23 for an evaluation of different PCA projection techniques). In the ADMIXTURE results (Fig. 4), present-day Sardinian individuals carry a modest “Steppe-like” ancestry component (but generally less than continental present-day European populations), and an appreciable “eastern Mediterranean” ancestry component (also inferred at a high fraction in other present-day Mediterranean populations, such as Sicily and Greece) relative to Nuragic period and earlier Sardinian individuals.

To further refine this recent admixture signal, we considered two-way, three-way, and four-way models of admixture with qpAdm (Table 2, Supp. Figs. 15–18, Supp. Tables 3–5). We find three-way models fit well ($p > 0.01$) that contain admixture between Nuragic Sardinia, one northern Mediterranean source (e.g., individuals with group labels Lombardy, Tuscan, French, Basque, Spanish) and one eastern Mediterranean source (e.g., individuals with group labels Turkish-Jew, Libyan-Jew, Maltese, Tunisian-Jew, Moroccan-Jew, Lebanese, Druze, Cypriot, Jordanian, Palestinian) (Table 2C, D). Maltese and Sicilian individuals can provide two-way model fits (Table 2B), but appear to reflect a mixture of N. Mediterranean and E. Mediterranean ancestries, and as such they can serve as single-source proxies in two-way admixture models with Nuragic Sardinia. For four-way models including N. African ancestry, the inferences of N. African ancestry are negligible (though as we show below, forms of N. African ancestry were already likely present in the eastern Mediterranean components).

Because of limited sample sizes and ancestral source misspecification, caution is warranted when interpreting inferred admixture fractions; however, the results indicate that complex post-Nuragic gene flow has likely played a role in the population genetic history of Sardinia.

**Refined signatures of post-Nuragic admixture and heterogeneity.** To more directly evaluate the models of post-Nuragic admixture, we obtained aDNA from 17 individuals sampled from post-Nuragic sites. The post-Nuragic individuals spread across a wide range of the PCA, and many shift towards the “eastern” and “northern” Mediterranean sources posited above (Fig. 2). We confidently reject qpAdm models of continuity from the Nuragic
period for all of these post-Nuragic samples, apart from a sample from S’Orcu’e Tueri (ORC002, Table 2E, Supp. Table 6). The ADMIXTURE results concur, most post-Nuragic individuals show the presence of novel ancestry components not inferred in any of the more ancient individuals (Fig. 4).

Consistent with an influx of novel ancestry, we observed that haplogroup diversity increases after the Nuragic period. In particular, we identified one carrier of the mtDNA haplogroup L2a at both the Punic Villamar site and the Roman Monte Carru site. At present, this mtDNA haplogroup is common across Africa, but so far undetected in samples from Sardinia. We also found several Y haplogroups absent in our Neolithic trough the Nuragic period sample (Supp. Fig. 6). R1b-M269, at about 15% frequency in modern Sardinian males, appears in one Punic (VIL011) and two Medieval individuals (SNN002 and SNN004). We also observed J1-L862 in one individual from a Punic site (VIL007) and two Medieval individuals (SNN002 and SNN004). We used individual-level qpAdm models to further investigate the presence of these new ancestries (Supp. Data 3). In addition to the original Neolithic Anatolian (Anatolia-N) and Hunter Gatherer (WHG) sources that were sufficient to model ancient Sardinians through the Nuragic period, we fit models with representatives of Steppe (Steppe-EMBA), Neolithic Iranian (Iran-N), and Neolithic North-African (Morocco-EN) ancestry as sources. We observe the presence of the Steppe-EMBA (point estimates ranging 0–20%) and Iran-N components (point estimates ranging 0–25%) in many of the post-Nuragic individuals (Supp. Fig. 14). All six individuals from the Punic Villamar site were inferred to have substantial levels of ancient North-African ancestry (point estimates ranging 20–35%, Supp. Fig. 14, also see ADMIXTURE and PCA results, Figs. 2 and 4). When fit with the same five-way admixture model, present-day Sardinians have a small but detectable level of North-African ancestry (Supp. Fig. 14, also see ADMIXTURE analysis, Fig. 4).

Models with direct continuity from Villamar to the present are rejected (Table 2F, Supp. Table 6). In contrast, nearly all the other post-Nuragic sites produce viable models as single sources for the
When we apply the same approach to present-day Sardinian individuals, subsampling Cagliari and Ogliastra to 100 individuals to avoid effects of unbalanced sampling. In both panels, each individual is labeled with an abbreviation that denotes the source location if at least three grandparents were born in the same geographical location (“small” three-letter abbreviations) or if grand-parental ancestry is missing with question mark. We also projected each ancient Sardinian individual on to the top two PCs (points color-coded by age, see Fig. 1 for the color scale).

We also carried out three-way admixture models for each post-Nuragic Sardinian individual using the Nuragic sample as a source or outgroup, and potential sources from various ancient samples that are representative of different regions of the Mediterranean. We found a range of models can be fit for each individual (Supp. Tables 7–8). For the models with Nuragic as a source, by varying the proxy populations, one can obtain fitted models that vary widely in the inferred Nuragic component (e.g., individual COR002 has a range from 4.4 to 87.8% across various fitted models; similarly, individual AMC001, with North-African mtDNA haplogroup U6a, had a range form 0.2 to 43.1%, see Supp. Tables 7–8). The ORC002 sample had the strongest evidence of Nuragic ancestry (range from 62.8 to 96.3%, see Supp. Tables 7–8). Further, the VIL, MSR, and AMC individuals can be modeled with Nuragic Sardinian individuals included as a source or as an outgroup, while the two COR and ORC002 individuals can only be modeled with Nuragic individuals included as a source. One individual from the medieval period San Nicola Necropoli (SNNN001) was distinct in that we found their ancestry can be modeled in a single-source model as descendant of a population represented by present-day Basque individuals (Supp. Table 8).

When we apply the same approach to present-day Sardinian individuals, we find models with the Nuragic sample as an outgroup fail in most cases (Supp. Table 9). For models that include Nuragic as a possible source, each present-day individual is consistent with a wide range of Nuragic ancestry. The models with the largest p-values return fractions of Nuragic ancestry that are close to, or higher than 50% (Supp. Table 9), similar to observed in our population-level modeling (Table 2).

Fine-scale structure in contemporary Sardinia. Finally, we assessed our results in the context of spatial substructure within modern Sardinia, as previous studies have suggested elevated levels of WHG and EEF ancestry in Ogliastra.

In the PCA of modern west Eurasian and North-African variation, the ancient Sardinian individuals are placed closest to individuals from Ogliastra and Nuoro (see Figs. 2 and 5a). At the same time, in a PCA of just the modern Sardinian sample, the ancient individuals project furthest from Ogliastra (Fig. 5b). Interestingly, individual ORC002, dating from the Punic period and from a site in Ogliastra, projects towards Ogliastra individuals relative to other ancient individuals.

Further, in the broad PCA results, the median of the province of Olbia–Tempio (northeast Sardinia) is shifted towards mainland populations of southern Europe, and the median for Campidano (southwest Sardinia) shows a slight displacement towards the eastern Mediterranean (Fig. 5a). A three-way admixture model fit with qpAdm suggests differential degrees of admixture, with the highest eastern Mediterranean ancestry in the southwest (Carbonia, Campidano) and the highest northern Mediterranean ancestry in the northeast of the island (Olbia, Sassari, Supp. Fig. 17). These observations of substructure among contemporary Sardinian individuals contrast our results from the Nuragic and earlier, which forms a relatively tight cluster on the broad PCA (Fig. 2) and for which the top PCs do not show any significant correlations with latitude, longitude, or regional geographic labels after correcting for multiple testing (Supp. Figs. 24–33).

Discussion
Our analysis of genome-wide data from 70 ancient Sardinian individuals has generated insights regarding the population history of Sardinia and the Mediterranean. First, our analysis provides more refined DNA-based support for the Middle Neolithic of Sardinia being related to the early Neolithic peoples of the Mediterranean coast of Europe. Middle/Late Neolithic Sardinian individuals fit well as a two-way admixture between mainland EEF and WHG sources, similar to other EEF populations of the western Mediterranean. Further, we detected Y haplogroups R1b-V88 and I2-M223 in the majority of the early Sardinian males. Both haplogroups appear earliest in the Balkans among Mesolithic hunter-gatherers and then Neolithic groups and later in EEF Iberians, in which they make up the majority of Y
haplogroups, but have not been detected in Neolithic Anatolians or more western WHG individuals. These results are plausible outcomes of substantial gene flow from Neolithic populations that spread westward along the Mediterranean coast of southern Europe around 5500 BCE (a “Cardial/Impressed” ware expansion, see Introduction). We note that we lack autosomal aDNA from earlier than the Middle Neolithic in Sardinia and from key mainland locations such as Italy, which leaves some uncertainty about timing and the relative influence of gene flow from the Italian mainland versus from the north or west. The inferred WHG admixture fraction of Middle Neolithic Sardinians was higher than that of early mainland EEF populations, which could suggest a time lag of the influx into Sardinia (as HG ancestry increased through time on the mainland) but also could result from a pulse of initial local admixture or continued gene flow with the mainland. Genome-wide data from Mesolithic and early Neolithic individuals from Sardinia and potential source populations will help settle these questions.

From the Middle Neolithic onward until the beginning of the first millennium BCE, we do not find evidence for gene flow from distinct ancestries into Sardinia. That stability contrasts with many other parts of Europe which had experienced substantial gene flow from central Eurasian Steppe ancestry starting about 3000 BCE11,12 and also with many earlier Neolithic and Copper age populations across mainland Europe, where local admixture increased WHG ancestry substantially over time10. We observed remarkable constancy of WHG ancestry (close to 17%) from the Middle Neolithic to the Nuragic period. While we cannot exclude influx from genetically similar populations (e.g., early Iberian Bell Beakers), the absence of Steppe ancestry suggests genetic isolation from many Bronze Age mainland populations—including later Iberian Bell Beakers. As further support, the Y haplogroup R1b-M269, the most frequent present-day western European haplogroup and associated with expansions that brought Steppe ancestry into Britain13 and Iberia14 about 2500–2000 BCE, remains absent in our Sardinian sample through the Nuragic period (1200–1000 BCE). Larger sample sizes from Sardinia and alternate source populations may discover more subtle forms of admixture, but the evidence appears strong that Sardinia was isolated from major mainland Bronze Age gene flow events through to the local Nuragic period. As the archeological record shows that Sardinia was part of a broad Mediterranean trade network during this period19, such trade was either not coupled with gene flow or was only among proximal populations of similar genetic ancestry. In particular, we find that the Nuragic period is not marked by shifts in ancestry, arguing against hypotheses that the design of the Nuragic stone towers was brought with an influx of people from eastern sources such as Mycenaeans.

Following the Nuragic period, we found evidence of gene flow with both northern and eastern Mediterranean sources. We observed eastern Mediterranean ancestry appearing first in two Phoenician-Punic sites (Monte Sirai, Villamar). The northern Mediterranean ancestry became prevalent later, exemplified most clearly by individuals from a north-western Medieval site (San Nicola Necropoli). Many of the post-Nuragic individuals could be modeled as direct immigrants or offspring from new arrivals to Sardinia, while others had higher fractions of local Nuragic ancestry (Corona Molanta, ORC002). Substantial uncertainty exists here as the low differentiation among plausible source populations makes it challenging to exclude alternate models, especially when using individual-level analysis. Overall though, we find support for increased variation in ancestry after the Nuragic period, and this echoes other recent aDNA studies in the Mediterranean that have observed fine-scale local heterogeneity in the Iron Age and later14,32–54.

In addition, we found present-day Sardinian individuals sit within the broad range of ancestry observed in our ancient samples. A similar pattern is seen in Iberia14 and central Italy54, where variation in individual ancestry increased markedly in the Iron Age, and later decreased until present-day. In terms of the fine-scale structure within Sardinia, we note the median position of modern individuals from the central regions of Olbia and Nuoro on the main PCA (Fig. 5a) are less shifted towards novel sources of post-Nuragic admixture, which reinforces a previous result that Olbia shows higher levels of EEF and HG ancestry than other regions55. At the same time, in the PCA of within Sardinia variation (Fig. 5b), differentiation of Olbia from other regions and other ancient individuals is apparent, likely reflecting a recent history of isolation and drift. The northern provinces of Olbia-Tempio, and to a lesser degree Sassari, appear to have received more northern Mediterranean immigration after the Bronze Age; while the southwestern provinces of Campidano and Carbonia carry more eastern Mediterranean ancestry. Both of these results align with known history: the major Phoenician and Punic settlements in the first millennium BCE were situated principally along the south and west coasts, and Corsican shepherds, speaking an Italian-Corsican dialect (Gallurese), immigrated to the northeastern part of Sardinia55.

Our inference of gene flow after the second millennium BCE seems to contradict previous models emphasizing Sardinian isolation12. These models were supported by admixture tests that failed to detect substantial admixture32, likely because of substantial drift and a lack of a suitable proxy for the Nuragic Sardinian ancestry component. However, compared with other European populations50,56, we confirm Sardinia experienced relative genetic isolation through the Bronze Age/Nuragic period. In addition, we find that subsequent admixture appears to derive mainly from Mediterranean sources that have relatively little Steppe ancestry. Consequently, present-day Sardinian individuals have retained an exceptionally high degree of EEF ancestry and so they still cluster with several mainland European Copper Age individuals such as Ötzi, even as they are shifted from ancient Sardinian individuals of a similar time period (Fig. 2).

The Basque people, another population high in EEF ancestry, were previously suggested to share a genetic connection with modern Sardinian individuals32,57. We observed a similar signal, with modern Basque having, of all modern samples, the largest pairwise outgroup-f2 with most ancient and modern Sardinian groups (Fig. 3). While both populations have received some immigration, seemingly from different sources (e.g., Fig. 4, ref. 14), our results support that the shared EEF ancestry component could explain their genetic affinity despite their geographic separation.

Beyond our focal interest in Sardinia, the results from individuals from the Phoenician-Punic sites Monte Sirai and Villamar shed some light on the ancestry of a historically impactful Mediterranean population. Notably, they show strong genetic relationships to ancient North-African and eastern Mediterranean sources. These results mirror other emerging ancient DNA studies37,58,59, and are not unexpected given that the Punic center of Carthage on the North-African coast itself has roots in the eastern Mediterranean. Interestingly, the Monte Sirai individuals, predating the Villamar individuals by several centuries, show less North-African ancestry. This could be because they harbor earlier Phoenician ancestry and North-African admixture may have been unique to the later Punic context, or because they were individuals from a different ancestral background altogether. Estimated North-African admixture fractions were much lower in later ancient individuals and present-day Sardinian individuals, in line with previous studies that have observed small but significant
African admixture in several present-day South European populations, including Sardinia32,59,60. As ancient DNA studies grow, a key challenge will be fine-scale sampling to aid the interpretation of shifts in ancestry. Our sample from Sardinia’s post-Nuragic period highlights the complexity, as we simultaneously observe examples of individuals that appear as novel immigrant ancestries (e.g., from Villamar and San Nicola) and of individuals that look more contiguous to the past and to the present (e.g., the two Corona Molitana siblings, the ORC002 individual, several of the Alghero Monte Carru individuals). This variation is likely driven by differential patterns of contact—as might arise between coastal versus interior villages, central trading centers versus remote agricultural sites, or even between neighborhoods and social strata in the same village. We also note that modern populations are collected with different biases than ancient individuals (e.g., the sub-populations sampled by medical genetics projects versus the sub-populations that are accessible at archeological sites). As such, caution should be exercised when generalizing from the coarse sampling typical for many ancient DNA studies, including this one. With these in mind, we find that genome-wide ancient DNA provides unique insights into the population history of Sardinia. Our results are consistent with gene flow being minimal or only with genetically similar populations from the Middle Neolithic until the late Bronze Age. In particular, the onset of the Nuragic period was not characterized by influx of a distinct ancestry. The data also link Sardinia from the Iron Age onwards to the broader Mediterranean in what seems to have been a period of new dynamic contact throughout much of the Mediterranean. A parallel study focusing on islands of the western Mediterranean provides generally consistent results and both studies make clear the need to add complexity to simple models of the sites, see Supplementary Information Section 1. Sardinia. Our results are consistent with gene

### Methods

#### Archeological sampling

The archeological samples used in this project derive from several collection avenues. The first was a sampling effort led by co-author Luca Lai, leveraging a broad base of samples from different existing collections in Sardinia, a subset of which were previously used in isotopic analyses to understand dietary composition and change in prehistoric Sardinia35. The second was from the Seulo Caves project41, an ongoing project on a series of caves that span the Middle Neolithic to late Bronze Age near the town of Seulo. The project focuses on the diverse forms and uses of caves in the prehistoric culture of Sardinia. The Neolithic individuals from Sassari province as well as the post-Nuragic individuals were collected from several co-authors as indicated in Supplementary Information Section 1. The third was a pair of Neolithic sites Noedalle and S Sud Sardegna, prot. 30918 dated 10 December 2019). For more detailed description of the sites, see Supplementary Information Section 1.

#### Processing of mtDNA data

Data originating from mtDNA capture were processed with schmutz20, which jointly estimates mtDNA contamination and recovers mtDNA consensus sequences that were assigned to the corresponding mtDNA haplogroups using Haplofind20 (Supp. Data 1C). The consensus sequences were also compared with rCRS71 to build a phylogenetic tree of ancient Sardinian mitogenomes (Supp. Data 1D) using a maximum parsimony approach with the software mtPhyl (http://eltech.org/mtp/mtphy.htm). We assigned haplogroups following the nomenclature proposed by the PhyloTree database build 17 (http://www.phylotree.org)72 and for Sardinian-specific haplogroups36.

#### Inference of Y haplogroups

To determine the haplotype branch of the Y chromosome of male ancient individuals, we analyzed informative SNP’s on the Y-haplotype tree. For reference, we used markers from https://isogg.org/tree (Version: 13.238, 2018). We merged this data with our set of calls and identified markers available in both to create groups of equivalent markers for sub-haplogroups. Our targeted sequencing approach yielded read count data for up to 32,681 such Y-linked markers per individual. As the conventions for naming of haplogroups are subject to change, we annotated them in terms of carrying the derived state at a defining SNP. We analyzed the number of derived and ancestral calls for each informative marker for all ancient Sardinian individuals and realigned male ancient West Eurasians in our reference dataset. Reified haplotype calls were based on manual inspection of ancestral and derived read counts per haplogroup, factoring in coverage and error estimates.

#### Merging newly generated data with published data

Ancient DNA datasets from Western Eurasia and North Africa: We downloaded and processed BAM files from several ancient datasets from continental Europe and the Middle East68–70. To minimize technology-specific batch effects in genotype calls and thus downstream population genetic inference, we focused on previously published ancient samples that had undergone the capture protocol on the same set of SNPs targeted for genetic disease variants pre-RSRS and nuclear (hg19) reference genomes were performed with BWA68 (parameters -n 0.01, seed disabled), duplicates were removed with DeDup45, and alignment qualities were applied to reads with a base quality score <20. We also removed the last 3bp on both sides of every read to reduce the effect of DNA damage on the resulting genotype calls.68 We used custom python scripts (https://github.com/mathii/gdc3) to generate pseudo-haploid genotypes by sampling a random read for each SNP on the capture array and setting the genotype to be homozygous for the sampled allele. We then screened for first degree relatives using a pairwise relatedness statistic, and identified one pair of siblings and one parent-offspring pair within our sample (Supp. Fig. 12).

Data originating from mtDNA capture were processed with schmutz20, which jointly estimates mtDNA contamination and recovers mtDNA consensus sequences that were assigned to the corresponding mtDNA haplogroups using Haplofind20 (Supp. Data 1C). The consensus sequences were also compared with rCRS71 to build a phylogenetic tree of ancient Sardinian mitogenomes (Supp. Data 1D) using a maximum parsimony approach with the software mtPhyl (http://eltech.org/mtp/mtphy.htm). We assigned haplogroups following the nomenclature proposed by the PhyloTree database build 17 (http://www.phylotree.org)72 and for Sardinian-specific haplogroups36.
in our study. We processed these samples through the same pipeline and filters described above, resulting in a reference dataset of 972 ancient samples. Throughout our analysis, we used a subset of 837 individuals from Western Eurasia and North Africa. For ancient samples, these groups were chosen manually, trying to strike a balance between reducing overlap in the PCA and keeping culturally significant groups (such as Iberia, Central Europe, and Balkans), and then further annotated each of these groups by different time periods.

**Contemporary DNA datasets from Western Eurasia and North Africa:** We downloaded and processed the Human Origins dataset to characterize a subset of Eurasian and North-African human genetic diversity at 594,924 autosomal SNPs7. We focused on a subset of 837 individuals from Western Eurasia and North Africa.

**Contemporary DNA dataset from Sardinia:** We merged in a whole-genome sequence Sardinian dataset (1577 individuals)22 and called genotypes on the Human Origins autosomal SNPs to create a dataset similar to the other modern reference populations. For analyses on province level, we used a subset where at least three grandparents originate from the same geographical location and grouped individuals accordingly (Fig. 2c, n = 1085 in total).

**Principal components analysis.** We performed principal components analysis (PCA) on two large-scale datasets of modern genotypes from Western Eurasia and North Africa (837 individuals from the Human Origins dataset) and Sardinia (1577 individuals from the SardiNIA project). For both datasets, we normalized the genotype matrix by mean-centering and scaling the genotypes at each SNP using the inverse of the square-root of heterozygosity73. We additionally filtered out rare variants with minor allele frequency (p<0.05).

To assess population structure in the ancient individuals, we projected them onto the pre-computed principal axes using only the non-missing SNPs via a least-squares approach, and corrected for the shrinkage effect observed in high-dimensional PC score estimation75 (see Supp. Note 7, Supp. Fig. 23). We also projected a number of out-sample sub-populations from Sardinia onto our PCs. Reassuringly, these out-of-sample Sardinian individuals project very close to Humans Origins Sardinian individuals (Fig. 2). Moreover, the test-set Sardinia individuals with grand parental ancestry from Southern Italy cluster with reference populations with ancestry from Sicily (not shown).

**ADMIXTURE analysis.** We applied ADMIXTURE to an un-normalized genotype matrix of ancient and modern samples75. ADMIXTURE is a maximum-likelihood based method for fitting the Pritchard, Stephens and Donnelly model76 using sequential quadratic programming. We first LD pruned the data matrix based off the modern Western Eurasian and North-African genotypes, using plink1.9 with parameters --indep-pairwise 200 25 0.4. We then ran five replicates of ADMIXTURE for values of K = 2, ..., 11. We displayed results for the replicate that reached the highest log-likelihood after the algorithm converged (Supp. Figs. 19–22).

**Estimation of f-statistics.** We measured similarity between groups of individuals through computing an outgroup-f3 statistic77 using the scikit-allel packages’s function average_patterson_f3, [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3238280]. The out-group-f3 statistic can be interpreted as a measure of the internal branch length of a three-taxa population phylogeny and thus does not depend on genetic drift or systematic error in one of the populations that are being compared77.

We used the ancestral allelic states as an outgroup, inferred from a multi-species alignment from Ensembl Compara release 59, as annotated in the 1000 Genomes Phase3 sites vcf90. We fixed the ancestral allele counts to n = 10^6 to avoid finite sample size correction when calculating outgroup f3.

The f3 and f4 statistics that test for admixture were computed with scikit-allel using the functions average_patterson_f3 and average_patterson_d that implement standard estimators of these statistics77. We estimated standard errors with a block-jackknife over 1000 markers (blen = 106 to avoid systematic error in one of the populations that are being compared). Another estimator, average_hudson_fst that could for instance mask a negative f3 signal of admixture was used to estimate f3 values.

**Estimation of FST-coefficients.** To measure pairwise genetic differentiation between two populations (rather than shared drift from an outgroup as the out-group f3 statistic does), we estimated average pairwise Fst, and its standard error via block-jackknife over 1000 markers, using average_patterson_fst from the package scikit-allel. When analyzing ancient individuals that were represented as pseudo-haploid genotypes, we analyzed only one allele. For this analysis, we removed first degree relatives within each population. Another estimator, average_hudson_fst gave highly correlated results (r^2 = 0.89), differing mostly for populations with very low sample size (n ≤ 5) and did not change any qualitative conclusions.

**Estimation of admixture proportions and model testing with qpAdm.** We estimated admixture fractions of a selected target population as well as model constants for models with up to five source populations as implemented in qpAdm (version 810), which relates a set of “left” populations (the population of interest and candidate ancestral sources) to a set of “right” populations (diverse out-groups)12. To assess the robustness of our results to the choice of right populations, we ran one analysis with a previously used set of modern populations as “outgroup”75 and another analysis with a set of ancient Europeans that have been previously used to disentangle divergent strains of ancestry present in Europe50. In the same qpAdm framework, we use a likelihood-ratio test (LRT) to assess whether a specific reduced-rank model, representing a particular admixture scenario, can be rejected in the fitted model.

### Data availability

The aligned sequences and processed genotype calls (including read counts) from the data generated in this study are available through the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA, accession number PRJEB35094). Processed read counts and pseudo-haploid genotypes are available via the European Variation Archive (EVA, accession number PRJEB36033) in variant call format (VCF). The contemporary Sardinia data used to support this study have allele frequency summary data deposited to EGA (accession number EGAS00001002212). The disaggregated individual-level sequence data (n = 1577) used in this study is a subset of 2150 samples (adult volunteers of the SardiNIA cohort longitudinal study) from Sidore et al. and are available from dbGAP under project identifier phs000313 (v4p2). The remaining individual-level sequence data originate from a case-control study of autoimmunity from across Sardinia, and per the obtained consent and local IIR, these data are available for collaboration by request from the project leader (Francesco Cucca, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy).

**Code availability**

The code used to process the raw-reads and create the figures in this manuscript can be found at [https://github.com/NovembreLab/ancient-sardinia](https://github.com/NovembreLab/ancient-sardinia). The code to perform bias correction in predicting out of sample PC scores is publicly available at [https://github.com/jhmarcus/pcshrink](https://github.com/jhmarcus/pcshrink).
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