Kinetic investigation for the catalytic reduction of nitrophenol using ionic liquid stabilized gold nanoparticles†
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We demonstrate the synthesis of gold nanoparticles (AuNP) stabilized by 1-butyl-3-hexadecyl imidazolium bromide (Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br) and their use as a catalyst for the reduction of nitrophenol. The AuNPs show excellent stability in presence of [C₄C₁₆Im]Br ionic liquids for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol using NaBH₄ as a reducing agent. The detailed kinetics for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol were investigated and the catalytic activity of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br was evaluated. The pseudo first-order rate constant (k app) values for 4-nitrophenol was observed to be greater than that of 2-nitrophenol and explained on the basis of hydrogen bonding present in 2-nitrophenol. Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br showed good separability and reusability and hence, it can be used for the complete reduction of nitrophenols in multiple cycles. Further, the thermodynamic activation parameters such as activation energy, enthalpy of activation and entropy of activation were determined and explained using the temperature dependent kinetics for the reduction of nitrophenol using Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br. The above results reveal that the Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br nanocatalyst demonstrates excellent catalytic performance for the reduction of nitrophenol by NaBH₄ at room temperature.

1. Introduction

Metal nanoparticles of different sizes, shapes, and composition have many important catalytic applications1–4 as well as applications in biosensing,5 optoelectronics,6 petroleum industries, chemical conversion, biology,7 etc. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) have attracted considerable interest due to their exceptionally high surface-to-volume ratios and abundance of edge and corner atoms.8,9 Recently, gold nanoparticles have attracted enormous attention in the field of chemical processes due to their unique stability, selectivity, and catalytic activities for transformation reactions.10 The high surface energy of metal NPs makes the surface atoms very active, which leads fast aggregation of the metal NPs.11,12 It is essential to use a capping agent to prevent the aggregation of AuNPs.13,14 To synthesize stable metal NPs in solution, the addition of a stabilizing agent is required to prevent aggregation. Thiols have been most commonly used as a stabilizer for AuNPs. However, thiol-stabilized AuNPs are relatively inert. Hence, further replacement of thiols with other ligands may not be easy, thus affecting the labile nature of the AuNPs. Thus, surface bound ligands are used to maintain the nanostructures, but they can block the reactive surface sites, resulting in the reduction of catalytic activity. Therefore, to prepare stable colloidal NPs with sufficient lability, there needs to be a suitable selection of the surface ligand. Furthermore, the effect of capping agents on the catalytic activity of the NPs has been investigated and reported.14 An inverse relationship between stability and catalytic activity has been observed. Hence, it is necessary to maintain stability and catalytic activity of the NPs by selecting suitable stabilizing ligands. Ionic liquids are also used as suitable capping agents for the AuNPs.15,16 AuNPs stabilized with ionic liquids were synthesized and were observed to show excellent catalytic activity.17 The interaction of the NPs with ionic liquids is occurs through the imidazolium ring, while the alkyl chain remains away from NP surface.17

The reduction of 4-nitrophenol is a very simple reaction for the analysis of reaction kinetics and improvement of catalytic activity.18–21 Moreover, this reaction is a well-known model reaction for determining the activity of a catalyst because of the convenience of analyzing the progress of the reaction and the
absence of by-products.24 In general, nitrophenols are not reduced by NaBH₄ in aqueous or non-aqueous solutions without a catalyst. The reduction of nitro compounds to the corresponding amines is an important industrial reaction. Amines are the starting compounds for several synthesis processes as intermediates such as azo, imines, and amides and furthermore, these compounds can be converted to other important intermediates that have many applications in agriculture, pharmaceuticals, dyes, polymers and many of them are biologically active.25–27 However, the disadvantage of the reaction for nitro compound reduction is the use of high temperatures, long reaction times and most importantly the use of costly catalysts. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a method for the conversion of 4-nitrophenol to 4-aminophenol in aqueous solution under mild conditions by using an appropriate catalyst. An aqueous solution of 4-nitrophenolate ion shows a peak at λ_{max} = 400 nm. The disappearance of this peak to form a new peak at 300 nm corresponds to the formation of p-aminophenol. This reaction has been greatly studied by many groups using noble metal NPs, dendrimers and nanorods as catalysts.26–28 Several researchers have reported the reduction of nitrophenol by using AuNPs. Herein, we analyzed the efficiency of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br for the catalytic reduction of nitrophenol. It is reported that various nanostructured materials have been used as catalysts for the reduction of nitrophenols under mild reaction conditions. For example, Au₁₂₅ clusters, gold–palladium nanoalloys, gold nanowires and Au@Fe₃O₄ yolk–shell nanostructures, have been used as effective catalysts for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol.29–34 Many reports indicate that the NPs used for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol follow two mechanisms: (1) Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) mechanism and (2) Eley–Rideal (E–R) mechanism.25–27 Several research groups have analyzed the kinetics of the reaction reduction by varying the concentration of 4-nitrophenol and NaBH₄ for determining the reaction mechanism.27–29 It has also been illustrated that the mechanism for the reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol and NaBH₄ involves adsorption of 4-nitrophenol on the surface of the catalyst, and the reaction could proceed through the L–H mechanism. However, in the E–R mechanism, only one species is adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst, and the reaction is promoted.

In the present study, we synthesized ionic liquid [C₄C₁₆Im]Br-stabilized AuNPs for the catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol. We also studied the kinetics and catalytic activity of the reduction of nitrophenol using Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br. The L–H mechanism has been explained on the basis of kinetic data and catalytic activity of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br for the reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol. This study also proposes a deep insight into the kinetics of the reduction of nitrophenol from thermodynamic parameters such as activation energy (ΔEₐ), enthalpy of activation (ΔHᵣ) and entropy of activation (ΔSᵣ).

2. Experimental section

2.1. Kinetic measurements

An aqueous solution of 1 × 10⁻⁴ M of 4-nitrophenol was prepared and then, 20 mg of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br catalyst was added to this solution. Finally, an aqueous solution 1 × 10⁻³ M of NaBH₄ was added to above mixture. This reaction was performed in N₂ atmosphere. The reaction progress was monitored at 400 nm in UV, which is the λ_{max} of 4-nitrophenolate ion, by using a UV-vis adsorption spectrophotometer. The absorption peak at 400 nm decreases with time, indicating the reduction of 4-nitrophenol. The pseudo first-order rate constants (k_{app}) were calculated by fitting the first-order rate constant equation for ln(A₀/Aₜ) values against t (s) with a linear fit, where, A₀ and Aₜ are the initial absorbance and absorbance after a given time, respectively. The correlation coefficients, i.e., r values for this linear fits, varied from 0.96 to 0.99. The k_{app} values were obtained with an accuracy of ±5%. The experimental k_{app} values are the average values for triplicate experiments. The effect of temperature on the k_{app} values were studied by varying the temperature from 283.15 to 318.15 K.

2.2. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

To determine the morphology and size of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br present in the aqueous solution, one drop of solution was placed on a carbon-coated Cu grid and air dried. The image was taken by placing the sample-containing the grid in a TECHNAI G2 20 S-TWIN high-resolution transmission electron microscope operating with a LaB₆ filament and at a voltage of 200 kV.

3. Results and discussion

We synthesized ionic liquid-stabilized AuNPs according to a procedure reported in literature.27 For this, an aqueous solution of [C₄C₁₆Im]Br and a solution of HAuCl₄ were mixed in the molar ratio of 10 : 1. NaBH₄ is added to this mixture dropwise with constant stirring. The color of the solution turned red wine, confirming the formation of AuNPs, as shown in Fig. S1.† Centrifugation was performed immediately after the formation of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br to remove impurities and also obtain the AuNPs with an appropriate size distribution. The size of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br was observed to be about 10 nm, as shown in the TEM image (Fig. 1). The as-prepared solution of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br remains stable for more than a month without agglomeration in the aqueous solution. We confirmed the formation of AuNPs capped with [C₄C₁₆Im]Br with the help of UV-vis spectroscopy. The

Fig. 1 TEM image of Au@[C₄C₁₆Im]Br nanoparticles in water.
stability of the AuNPs was due to the higher alkyl chain length of the ionic liquid, which was confirmed by 1H-NMR and surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy. Thus, Au@[C4C16Im]Br is stable due to protection of the imidazolium ring via the C-2 proton as well as steric hindrance due to the alkyl chains of the imidazolium ionic liquid. Moreover, a charged layer of ions from the ionic liquids was produced around the AuNPs, which repelled other AuNPs and enhanced the stability of Au@[C4C16Im]Br.

3.1. Catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol using Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst

The catalytic reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol to their corresponding derivatives, 4-aminophenol and 2-aminophenol in the presence of NaBH4 was chosen as the model reaction to investigate the catalytic activity of Au@[C4C16Im]Br. Fig. 2 shows the time-dependent UV-vis absorption spectra for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol using the Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst. An aqueous solution of 4-nitrophenol shows an absorption peak at 318 nm in the UV visible region, but on addition of NaBH4, the peak shifts to 400 nm due to the formation of 4-nitrophenolate ions. The peak intensity at 400 nm immediately starts to decrease with the formation of a new additional peak at 300 nm, which corresponds to the formation of 4-aminophenol. In addition, the yellow color solution of 4-nitrophenol begins to disappear with time and a colourless solution is observed, which indicates the completion of the reaction. We have also performed the reduction of 4-nitrophenol in the absence of Au@[C4C16Im]Br. The peak corresponding to the phenolate ion at 400 nm remained unaffected with time, suggesting that the reduction of 4-nitrophenol does not occur in the absence of a catalyst.

A similar experiment was performed for 2-nitrophenol and similar results as for 4-nitrophenol were observed. Nitrogen was purged before the addition of NaBH4 to remove dissolved oxygen in water, which reacts at a faster rate with borohydride than nitrophenol.

3.2. Kinetic analysis of nitrophenols

The calculated rate constant of the reaction is a pseudo first-order rate constant ($k_{app}$) due to the presence of excess NaBH4 compared with nitrophenols. The apparent rate constant was calculated using eqn (1) for a first-order reaction.

$$\ln \left( \frac{A_t}{A_0} \right) = -k_{app}t$$  (1)

where $A_t$ is the absorbance of nitro compounds at time in second (s), $k_{app}$ is the apparent rate constant.

The reaction kinetics was investigated by measuring the $k_{app}$ values for the reduction of nitrophenol. The rate of reaction was determined by measuring the decrease in the absorption intensity at $\lambda_{max} = 400$ nm of the phenolate ion with time for the reduction of nitrophenols. $k_{app}$ was calculated from the slope obtained by plotting $\ln(A_t/A_0)$ vs. time. The $k_{app}$ values were determined for 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol and given in Table 1.

According Table 1, the $k_{app}$ value for 4-nitrophenol is $1.10 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, which is higher than that for 2-nitrophenol ($7.73 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) using the Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst. The difference in $k_{app}$ values is explained on the basis of the position of the hydroxyl substituent in the aromatic ring. In nitrophenols, lower $k_{app}$ value for 2-nitrophenol than that of 4-nitrophenol is achieved by merely changing the position of the hydroxyl group from 4 to 2 position. The reduction occurs by the donation of an electron from NaBH4 to the –NO2 group. The para mesomeric donation is more effective than ortho mesomeric donation. Thus, 2-nitrophenol is more stabilized due to the existence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the –OH and –NO2 groups and therefore, 2-nitrophenol is less reactive for the reduction reaction compared to 4-nitrophenol.

Furthermore, we determined the turnover number (TON) and the turn over frequency (TOF) of Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst to decide the efficiency of the catalyst. In a heterogeneous catalysis reaction, the TON of catalyst is the number of substrate molecules that can convert into products using 1 g of catalyst, while TOF is calculated as TON/time. The substrate and catalyst concentrations used for the reduction are 1.1 × 10$^{-4}$ M and 0.02 g L$^{-1}$, respectively. The TOF was found to be $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ molecules per g per s for Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst using the following equation:

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{m_i X_x}{100 \text{ wt}}$$  (2)

where $m_i$ is the initial number of moles nitrophenol, $X$ is the conversion of nitrophenol, $x$ is the molecular weight of nitrophenol, $w$ is the mass of catalyst used in the reaction (g), and $t$ is the reaction time (h).

We also tested the catalytic activity after recycling the Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst, and it was observed to be easily recyclable and reusable. The Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst was recycled from

| S. No. | Nitrophenols | $k_{app}$ (s$^{-1}$) |
|-------|-------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 4-Nitrophenol | $1.10 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 2     | 2-Nitrophenol | $7.73 \times 10^{-5}$ |
the reaction mixture by centrifugation. The catalytic activity of the recycled Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst was examined on the basis of $k_{app}$ values for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol. The concentration of the catalyst was 20 ppm. After recycling, the $k_{app}$ value was found to be $2.75 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$, which was noted to be lower than that of the pure catalyst. The lower $k_{app}$ value for the Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst may be due to loss of a certain amount of catalyst after the first catalytic cycle by the centrifugation and washing processes. We recorded TEM images of Au@[C4C16Im]Br after the first cycle, as shown in Fig. 3. The shape and size of AuNPs are not affected, which indicates that the AuNPs are stable enough to demonstrate catalytic activity. Thus, the decrease in $k_{app}$ value after the first use is due to the loss in amount of catalyst during recycling.

3.3. Reaction mechanism

The Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) mechanism has been proposed by Wunder et al. for investigating kinetically controlled surface catalytic reduction reactions of 4-nitrophenol by Au/Pt nanostructures immobilized with spherical poly-electrolyte brushes. According to this mechanism, the metallic nanostructure provides a surface for the catalytic reduction process to take place. The BH$_4^-$ ions adsorb on the surface of AuNPs and transfer hydrogen species to the surface of the AuNPs. At the same time, 4-nitrophenol also adsorbs on the surface and is reduced to 4-aminophenol by the BH$_4^-$ ions. Finally, 4-aminophenol detaches to free the surface of the NPs for the next catalytic cycle to begin. The catalytic reduction of the nitrophenols with Au@[C4C16Im]Br is a heterogeneous catalytic reduction reaction. The heterogeneous catalytic reduction reaction can take place either by the L–H or the E–R (Eley–Rideal mechanism). In the case of the E–R mechanism, only one of the reactant molecules is adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst and reacts with the other reactant molecules. In the case of the L–H mechanism, both substrate molecules, nitrophenol and BH$_4^-$, get adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst and then, the reaction is occurs. A reaction mechanism for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol can be investigated in two ways: (1) determination of $k_{app}$ values with different concentration of NaBH$_4$. In the L–H mechanism, the $k_{app}$ value decreases with the concentration of 4-nitrophenol, while it increases with the concentration of NaBH$_4$. However, in case of the E–R mechanism, the $k_{app}$ value increases with the concentration of 4-nitrophenol. We know that the reduction of 4-nitrophenol is a first-order reaction with respect to 4-nitrophenol. The $k_{app}$ values of the catalytic reduction reaction are proportional to the surface area of the materials. The kinetic rate constant can be determined as:

$$\frac{dC_{NP}}{dt} = k_{NP} = k_1 S_{NP}$$

In terms of the Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm

$$\theta_i = \frac{(k_i c_i)^n}{1 + \sum (k_i c_i)^n}$$

where $\theta_i$ is the surface coverage of substrate $i$, $k_i$ the adsorption constant of the respective components, $c_i$ the concentration and $n$ the heterogeneity of the surface materials. The rearrangement of eqn (4) gives an eqn (5), which can be used to model the catalytic activity.

$$-\frac{dC_{NP}}{dt} = \frac{kS(K_{NP}c_{NP})^n(K_{BH4}c_{BH4})^m}{(1 + (K_{NP}c_{NP})^n + (K_{BH4}c_{BH4})^m)^2} = k_{app}c_{NP}$$

Thus, $k_{app}$ is given by

$$\theta_i = \frac{kS(K_{NP}c_{NP})^{n-1}(K_{BH4}c_{BH4})^m}{(1 + (K_{NP}c_{NP})^n + (K_{BH4}c_{BH4})^m)^2}$$

where $k$ is the molar rate constant per square meter of catalyst, $K_{NP}$ is the adsorption coefficient of the nitrocompounds, and $K_{BH4}$ is the adsorption coefficient of BH$_4^-$. The plot of the $k_{app}$ values versus the concentration of 4-nitrophenol at a fixed concentration of NaBH$_4$ of $1 \times 10^{-3}$ M in the presence of Au@[C4C16Im]Br at 298.15 K are given in Fig. 4. The $k_{app}$ values

![Fig. 3 TEM image of Au@[C4C16Im]Br after first recycle.](image)

![Fig. 4 Plot of $k_{app}$ vs. conc. of 4-nitrophenol in the presence of Au@[C4C16Im]Br catalyst. Lines drawn to guide the reader’s eye.](image)
decreased with increase in concentration of 4-nitrophenol. Similarly, the plot of $k_{\text{app}}$ values versus the concentration of NaBH$_4$ with a fixed concentration of 4-nitrophenol of $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ M in the presence of Au@[C$_4$C$_{16}$Im]Br at 298.15 K is given in Fig. 5. The $k_{\text{app}}$ values increased with increase in the concentration of NaBH$_4$, thus, the nonlinear variation of the $k_{\text{app}}$ values with respect to the concentration of 4-nitrophenol and NaBH$_4$ shows that the reduction of 4-nitrophenol follows the L–H mechanism. The number of molecules adsorbed at the surface of the Au@[C$_4$C$_{16}$Im]Br nanocatalyst increases with the increase in the concentration of 4-nitrophenol and hence, the surface becomes saturated by 4-nitrophenol molecules.

This leads to a decrease in concentration of BH$_4^-$ ions approaching the surface of the Au@[C$_4$C$_{16}$Im]Br catalyst, hence lowering the rate of hydrogen transfer from BH$_4^-$ ion to the 4-nitrophenol molecule. This confirms that the Au@[C$_4$C$_{16}$Im]Br catalyzed reduction of nitrophenol occurs according to the L–H mechanism.

### 3.4. Thermodynamics study of kinetics of the reduction of nitrophenol

We investigated the temperature-dependent reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol catalyzed by Au@[C$_4$C$_{16}$Im]Br at five different temperatures. The $k_{\text{app}}$ values for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol increased with the increase in temperature, as given in Table 2, because of an increase in the diffusion of reactant molecules.

| S. No. | Temperature (K) | 4-Nitrophenol, $k_{\text{app}}$ ($s^{-1}$) ($10^{-4}$) | 2-Nitrophenol, $k_{\text{app}}$ ($s^{-1}$) ($10^{-4}$) |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 1      | 293.15          | 1.03                                         | 0.25                                         |
| 2      | 298.15          | 1.10                                         | 0.77                                         |
| 3      | 303.15          | 2.14                                         | 1.74                                         |
| 4      | 310.15          | 9.84                                         | 5.14                                         |
| 5      | 318.15          | 17.8                                         | 6.04                                         |

The activation energies ($E_a$) for the reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol were determined from the Arrhenius eqn (7):$^{34-45}$

$$
\ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}
$$

where $E_a$ is activation energy, $A$ is Arrhenius factor, $T$ is temperature and $R$ is the ideal gas constant. The values of $E_a$ were determined from the slope of the plot of $\ln k$ vs. $1/T$, as shown in Fig. 6 and Table 3.

The activation parameters for the catalytic reduction of nitrophenol using AuNPs are summarized in Table 3. The $E_a$ values for the reduction of nitrocompounds, mainly for 4-nitrophenol catalyzed by different metals and metal NPs, have been determined by many research groups. For example, the $E_a$ values for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol using dendrimer-encapsulated palladium NPs and for a palladium nanocage structure are 30 kJ mol$^{-1}$ and 109 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively.$^{44}$

In this study, the activation energies $E_a$ for 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol are 99.40 and 100.59 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively which are in good agreement with literature values and confirms that the reaction follows the L–H mechanism.

Thermodynamic parameters such as activation enthalpy ($\Delta H^\#$) and activation entropy ($\Delta S^\#$) for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol were determined using the Eyring equation (eqn (8)).$^{34}$

$$
\ln \frac{k_{\text{app}}}{T} = \ln \left( \frac{k_a}{h} \right) + \frac{\Delta S^\#}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\#}{RT}
$$

$$
\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S
$$

where $k_{\text{app}}$ is the rate constant ($s^{-1}$), $R$ is the universal gas constant, $T$ is temperature (K), $h$ is the Plank constant, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\Delta G$ is the Gibb’s free energy. The thermodynamic parameters $\Delta H^\#$ and $\Delta S^\#$ were obtained from Fig. S2$^\dagger$ and represented in Table 3.

The values of $k_{\text{app}}$ were 1.10 and 0.77 s$^{-1}$, corresponding to 4-nitrophenol and 2-nitrophenol, respectively. Moreover, the $E_a$
value is higher for 2-nitrophenol compared with that of 4-nitrophenol, which reflects the rate constant ($k_{app}$) data. Similar trend is observed for other activation parameters $\Delta H^\ddagger$ and $\Delta S^\ddagger$.

To proceed with the reduction reaction, for 2-nitrophenol to adsorb on the catalyst surface, it needs to cross a higher energy barrier compared with that for 4-nitrophenol. Thus, the reactivity of nitrophenol is dependent on the substitution position of the hydroxyl group, which forms the intramolecular hydrogen bond with the nitro group; this is also confirmed from the kinetic data.

The insignificant difference in reactivity of the nitrophenols is observed due to the different ability of the nitrophenols to form intramolecular hydrogen bonds. Hence, the AuNPs in the presence of [C$_4$C$_{14}$Im]Br show excellent catalytic activity. The detailed mechanism has been explained in the earlier section.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we synthesized and characterized ionic liquid-stabilized Au@[C$_4$C$_{14}$Im]Br in water with an average size of 10 nm. The catalytic activity of Au@[C$_4$C$_{14}$Im]Br for the reduction of nitrophenols in the presence of NaBH$_4$ was examined. (1) The kinetics of reduction of nitrophenols was investigated using Au@[C$_4$C$_{14}$Im]Br as a catalyst, and excellent catalytic activity with good recyclability was observed over multiple cycles of reduction of nitrophenols. (2) The $k_{app}$ values for the reduction of 4-nitrophenol is higher than that for the reduction of 2-nitrophenol because of the intramolecular hydrogen bonding present in 2-nitrophenol. (3) The L–H reaction mechanism for the reduction of nitrophenols by Au@[C$_4$C$_{14}$Im]Br was confirmed on the basis of the $k_{app}$ values and thermodynamic parameters. (4) Thermodynamic parameters such as $\Delta H^\ddagger$, $\Delta S^\ddagger$, $\Delta G^\ddagger$ and $E_a$ for the reduction of nitrophenol were determined by temperature-dependent kinetic studies using the Arrhenius and Eyring equations. The results obtained in this investigation are useful for the fabrication of highly efficient metal nanocatalysts using ionic liquids as stabilizing agents for the reduction of nitrophenols.
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