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Application of hydroxyapatite/collagen composite material for maxillary sinus floor augmentation
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to clarify whether hydroxyapatite/collagen composite material (HAp/Col) could be useful as a graft material for maxillary sinus floor augmentation (MSFA). MSFA and implant placement were performed simultaneously. When the lateral approach was employed, 3 out of 19 implants failed in 3 maxillary sinuses (success rate; 84.2%), and in these cases the alveolar bone heights, cortical bone thicknesses and values of the implant stability quotient were smaller. If alveolar bone thickness, cortical bone thickness, and healing period are optimized, HAp/Col can be a useful graft material for MSFA.
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Introduction

Although autologous bone grafting is still the gold standard for bone augmentation—including maxillary sinus floor augmentation (MSFA)—because of its osteogenicity, donor site morbidity is unavoidable. Therefore, to minimize the degree of surgical invention, several materials have been developed as alternatives to autologous bone. Jambhekar et al. [1] have reported that alloplastic material for bone augmentation markedly induced vital bone. However, some alloplastic materials remain in situ over a long period, and may influence the outcome of dental implant treatment, with a potential to cause infection [2]. Ideally, therefore, any alloplastic material should induce sufficient bone formation and be remodeled and entirely replaced with host bone. Hydroxyapatite/collagen composite (HAp/Col) (ReFit, HOYA Techno-surgical, Tokyo, Japan) is made up of 80% HA and 20% collagen, and can be completely replaced with native bone. Its pore size is appropriate for cell migration into the material [2]. Moreover, HAp/Col is highly porous (95% porosity) and shows sponge-like characteristics under wet conditions, allowing close contact with surrounding bone within the bone defect. Accordingly, HAp/Col is expected to facilitate early bone formation with good handleability. In fact, the previous study has shown that HAp/Col preserved alveolar bone volume in tooth extraction sockets and disappeared completely within 3 months after surgery [3].

The aim of the present study was to clarify whether the indications for HAp/Col could be expanded to MSFA. As this was a first attempt at HAp/Col use for this purpose, its safety and efficacy for MSFA were assessed.

Materials and Methods

This study was reviewed and approved by the Nagasaki University Hospital Clinical Research Ethics Committee (15102603) and was also registered in the University Hospital Medical Information Network Center (UMIN000027566).
lateral approach was used.

**Lateral approach group (Figure 3)**

For the successful and failed implants, the periods required for healing from the first operation to the second were 5.2 ± 1.7 months and 5.2 ± 1.0 months, respectively (Fig. 3).

A) In the successful and failed groups, the alveolar bone heights were 4.6 ± 2.0 mm and 3.5 ± 0.7 mm, respectively.

B) In the successful and failed groups, the cortical bone thicknesses were 0.77 ± 0.22 mm and 0.65 ± 0.23 mm, respectively.

C) In the successful and failed groups, the implant torque values were 21.9 ± 3.8 Ncm and 21.7 ± 2.9 Ncm, respectively.

D) In the successful and failed groups, the ISQ values were 61.0 ± 13.3 and 47.6 ± 12.6, respectively.

Although there were no significant differences in these 4 parameters between the successful and failed groups, the values of A, B, and D in the failed group were lower than those in the successful group.

**Details of the three failed cases** (Table 1)

**Case 1.** A 59-year-old male who had severe habitual bruxism. An implant was placed at position 26 with the use of MSFA. The ISQ value was 59/61 at the second operation, performed 5.5 months after the first one. No untoward event was observed until the provisional restoration. The implant was rotated without any infection symptoms when the abutment was set at 35 Ncm in the second month after the provisional restoration. The ISQ value was 79/79 at the second operation, 6 months after the implant replacement. The final restoration was set 8 months after provisional restoration with adjustment of the occlusal splint for severe bruxism.

**Case 2.** A 69-year-old male who was receiving treatment for diabetes mellitus (HbA1c: 6.7) and rheumatoid arthritis with anti-interleukin 6 (IL-6) monoclonal antibody. The ISQ value was 35/35 at the second operation, 4 months after implant placement at position 26. The implant was removed because it had rotated while setting the healing abutment without any symptoms of infection. A wider implant was placed again, and the ISQ value was 63/60 at the second operation, 6 months after implant replacement. The final restoration was set 4 months after provisional restoration. The implant was rotated without any infection symptoms when the abutment was set at 35 Ncm in the second month after the provisional restoration. The ISQ value was 79/79 at the second operation, 6 months after the implant replacement. The final restoration was set 8 months after provisional restoration with adjustment of the occlusal splint for severe bruxism.

**Case 3.** A 65-year-old female who had no notable systemic diseases. Three implants (at positions 25, 26, and 27) were placed in the maxillary sinus, and the middle one rotated in the second operation, 6 months after the first, without any symptoms of infection. At this time the ISQ values were 65/59 at position 25 and 63/57 at position 27.
Discussion

The implant survival rate in this study was 82.4%, in comparison with 86.7-90.9% reported previously for MSFA using the lateral approach [5,6]. No adverse events occurred, suggesting that HAp/Col can be used safely. However, since the number of cases examined was small, further cases should be studied to determine the applicability of HAp/Col for MSFA.

The most specific characteristic of HAp/Col is its sponge-like form, in contrast to most commercialized graft materials, which are granular. The material can be pinched with forceps and thus easily crammed into any available space for MSFA (Fig. 1). Granular material, on the other hand, needs to be scooped and is sometimes scattered around the wound, potentially causing postoperative infection. In addition, distribution of granules within the available space takes longer than is the case for HAp/Col. Damage to the maxillary sinus membrane and infection are critical factors affecting the success of MSFA. The soft, sponge-like nature of HAp/Col makes it less likely that tearing of the sinus membrane will occur [2]. In a study of MSFA, Barbato et al. [6] found that infection occurred in 35% of maxillary sinus implants and such infection was not controlled in 7%. In the present study, no symptoms of infection were observed, suggesting that the use of HAp/Col has a lower risk of postoperative infection. Moreover, in view of its appropriate pore size for cell migration, HAp/Col encourages the formation of mature bone [2]. These characteristics make HAp/Col suitable for MSFA.

López et al. [7] showed that the ISQ (degree of osseointegration) value was 63.4 in the 10th week after implant placement. In the present study, the ISQ in failed case 2 was 35/35 and that in failed case 3 was not even measurable, suggesting a lack of osseointegration in these cases. Although failed case 1 showed a reasonable ISQ (59/62), an abnormal bite force might have caused the implant to fail, as the patient had severe bruxism, which is one of the causes of poor outcome after dental implant treatment. Nolan et al. [8] reported that the survival rate of implants was 73.7% when the alveolar bone height was less than 3 mm. In addition, cortical bone thickness of the alveolar bone ridge also contributes to outcome. In failed case 1, the alveolar bone height was 2.8 mm (<3.0 mm), and the cortical bone thickness (0.43 mm) was less than that in the successful group (0.77 mm).

In failed case 2 the ISQ was 35/35 in the second operation, 4 months after implant placement, and at 6 months after the replacement the ISQ was 63/60 without additional bone augmentation. The patient had been receiving an anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody for treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). As autoimmune diseases including RA decrease bone remodeling activity [9], a prolonged period for osseointegration was possible in this case. Furthermore, the anti-IL-6 antibody might have suppressed bone remodeling as it inhibits osteoclastogenesis via suppression of Th17 cells. Therefore, in such a case, healing might have taken longer even if HAp/Col had encouraged early bone formation [4].

The dislodged implant in failed case 3 was located between two other adjacent implants at the time MSFA. The ISQs of the adjacent implants were 65/59 and 63/57, indicating sufficient osseointegration. It is possible that the area occupied by the middle implant might have stayed empty due to the high water absorption ability of HAp/Col, and that blood might have been completely absorbed by HAp/Col in the maxillary sinus. Thus the middle implant may not have been in contact with not only the HAp/Col but also blood in the sinus.

In conclusion, HAp/Col appears to be an appropriate material for MSFA in view of its low risk of infection and good handleability. However, alveolar bone height and cortical bone thickness may influence the success rate of MSFA using HAp/Col. The main limitation of this study was its small sample size. Therefore, further research should be conducted to assess whether this material can provide a reliable outcome in MSFA.

Some minor modifications, such as increasing the volume of the filled material and soaking a sufficient amount of normal saline or peripheral blood into the material within the cavity, should be examined.

With optimization of the alveolar bone height, cortical bone thickness, and healing period, HAp/Col can be a useful graft material for MSFA with a low risk of infection.
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