Activated chemical bonds in nanoporous and amorphous iridium oxides favor low overpotential for oxygen evolution reaction
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To date, the search for active, selective, and stable electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) has not ceased and a detailed atomic-level design of the OER catalyst remains an outstanding (if not, compelling) problem. Considerable studies on different surfaces and polymorphs of iridium oxides (with varying stoichiometries and dopants) have emerged over the years, showing much higher OER activity than the conventionally reported rutile-type IrO_2. Here, we have considered different metastable nanoporous and amorphous iridium oxides of different chemical stoichiometries. Using first-principles electronic structure calculations, we investigate the (electro)chemical stability, intercalation properties, and electronic structure of these iridium oxides. Using an empirical regression model between the Ir-O bond characteristics and the measured OER overpotentials, we demonstrate how activated Ir-O bonds (and the presence of more electrophilic oxygens) in these less understood polymorphs of iridium oxides can explain their superior OER performance observed in experiments.
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To achieve sustainable energy production, solar-driven (electro)conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O to value-added solar fuels and O\textsubscript{2} is a promising means to correct the global carbon balance and provide a sustainable alternative to conventional fossil fuels\textsuperscript{2–3}. Here, the anodic reaction—commonly known as the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), is an important half-cell reaction where H\textsubscript{2}O is catalytically split to evolve O\textsubscript{2}. However, due to the intrinsic sluggish kinetics of the OER, this leads to an overall poor catalytic performance in general.

Thus, to improve the long-term efficacy of this anodic reaction, the search for active, selective, and stable OER electrocatalysts has been on the rise, and amongst them, oxides (and oxyhydroxides) of iridium and ruthenium are known for their outstanding stability of the amorphous iridium oxide catalyst\textsuperscript{10}. It was also reported that the intercalation of alkaline earth metal cations in the nanopores of these channel-type microstructures adds stability to the overall catalyst structure\textsuperscript{11–13}. Though these accounts demonstrate improved OER performance over conventional crystalline rutile-structured IrO\textsubscript{2}, a fundamental atomic-scale understanding of these nanopore-containing amorphous iridium oxides is very much lacking. Thus, it greatly hinders the establishment of a design rule for further performance improvement.

In an effort to fill this lack, a very recent high-throughput computational study\textsuperscript{14} (assisted by an generalizable active-learning accelerated algorithm) has attempted to investigate the role of polymorphism in both IrO\textsubscript{2} and IrO\textsubscript{3} (and their surfaces) for OER activity. Using a machine-learning-based surrogate model, they were able to rationalize that under OER technical catalysis conditions, the \(\alpha\)-phase of IrO\textsubscript{3} was, in fact, predicted to have higher thermodynamic stability and high OER activity, as compared to that of rutile-type IrO\textsubscript{2}. However, nanoporous (i.e. crystal structures containing nanopores and nanochannels) and amorphous iridium oxides—which have been proposed in various experiments\textsuperscript{11–13}—have not been included nor examined in this study.

Moreover, from the OER reaction mechanistic point-of-view, there is still an ongoing debate as to whether the direct formation of O\textsubscript{2} molecule proceeds via the adsorbate evolving mechanism (AEM, where concerted electron–proton transfer steps are involved)\textsuperscript{15,16}, or by means of the lattice oxygen mechanism (LOM, where lattice oxygen participates via a Mars van Krevelen-type process)\textsuperscript{17}. O isotope-labeling experiments have revealed and suggested that the LOM is more likely on the surface of IrO\textsubscript{2} where the Mars van Krevelen-type process has also been proposed for non-oxide compounds (e.g. in sulfides and chlorides)\textsuperscript{17}. Specifically, for the LOM, it has been proposed in many reports that the presence of electrophilic oxygen on the surface of iridium oxide will play an important role in the adsorption of the nucleophilic H\textsubscript{2}O molecule via the so-called flexible charge state of Ir cations in the different phases of iridium oxide\textsuperscript{10,18–21}.

In addition, the chemical characteristics of the Ir–O bond has been suggested as a key descriptor for both O\textsubscript{2} sorption and the adsorption of H\textsubscript{2}O on iridium oxides\textsuperscript{15}. In the same vein, the use of the metal–sulfur bond strength as a descriptor for Mars van Krevelen-type dehydroxysulfurization has also been deliberated in a previous report\textsuperscript{17}. These suggested atomistic features (e.g. the correlation between the flexibility of the charge state of Ir cations and the presence of electrophilic oxygen, and Ir–O bonding characteristic) are still poorly understood, especially for experimentally observed nanoporous and amorphous iridium oxides.

In this work, to bolster the work of Flores et al.\textsuperscript{14}, we expand and include experimentally motivated IrO\textsubscript{2} polymorphs (such as nanoporous hollandite-, romanechite-, and todorokite-type IrO\textsubscript{2} with K-intercalation) as well as amorphous structures of iridium oxides of varying chemical stoichiometry. Here, using first-principles density-functional theory (DFT) calculations, we show that the nonequivalent connectivity in the amorphous iridium oxide structures greatly improves the flexibility of the Ir charge states, and hence promoting the presence of electrophilic oxygens in them, when compared to their crystalline counterparts. We also demonstrate that a Pauling-like relation between the Ir–O bond length versus bond strength for the Ir–O bonds exist in amorphous iridium oxides, corroborating with the proposal of flexible charges states and activated bonds for more efficient OER catalysis. Via an empirical regression model between the Ir–O bond characteristics and the measured OER overpotentials, we propose that these less understood metastable nanoporous and amorphous iridium oxides may indeed afford a lower OER overpotential, reconciling their superior OER catalytic performance in recent experiments.

**Results**

**Crystalline and nanoporous phases of iridium oxides.** Following a recent survey of iridium oxide polymorphs by machine learning approaches\textsuperscript{14}, we have adopted the low-energy polymorphs of IrO\textsubscript{2} and IrO\textsubscript{3}—namely, the rutile phase of IrO\textsubscript{2} (R-IrO\textsubscript{2}; Fig. 1a), and the \(R\text{\textsuperscript{2}c}\) (R-IrO\textsubscript{3}; Fig. 1j) and \(P\text{\textsubscript{6}2\text{\textsubscript{2}}2}\) (P-IrO\textsubscript{3}; Fig. 1k) phases of IrO\textsubscript{3}. In addition, we have also included the experimentally proposed MnO\textsubscript{2}–like nanoporous structures of IrO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{10,12,13} (which were not included in the previous computational studies)—in particular, the hollandite (Ho-IrO\textsubscript{2}), romanechite (Ro-IrO\textsubscript{2}), and todorokite (To-IrO\textsubscript{2}) phases (as presented in Fig. 1b, d, f, respectively). These nanoporous or nanochanneled oxide structures are typically intercalated with alkali metal ions to improve structural stability and have also been proposed to improve OER catalytic activities\textsuperscript{10,12}.

To take the ion intercalation into account, in Fig. 1c, e, g, we show the K ion intercalated IrO\textsubscript{2} hollandite structure (1K + Ho-IrO\textsubscript{2}), the K ion intercalated IrO\textsubscript{2} romanechite structure (2K + Ro-IrO\textsubscript{2}), and the K ion intercalated IrO\textsubscript{2} todorokite structure (4K + To-IrO\textsubscript{2}), respectively. To further extend our theoretical investigation on non-stoichiometric iridium oxides, fictitious crystal structures of IrO\textsubscript{3.5} (or Ir\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{5}) have been included in this work—namely the coronudum phase (C-IrO\textsubscript{3.5}; Fig. 1h) and the bixbyte phase (B-IrO\textsubscript{3.5}; Fig. 1l).

Using the optB86b xc functional, we have computed the optimized lattice parameters (with the corresponding space groups) for all crystalline phases of IrO\textsubscript{2}, IrO\textsubscript{3}, and IrO\textsubscript{3} polymorphs and listed their values in Table 1. In our DFT calculations, the calculated lattice parameters are well within 1–2% agreement with available experimental values (e.g. the experimentally reported \(a, b,\) and \(c\) lattice constants for rutile R-IrO\textsubscript{2} are 4.51, 4.51, and 3.16 Å, respectively)\textsuperscript{22}. It is worth noting that there has been a previous attempt to experimentally expound on the crystallography of the hollandite-type iridates\textsuperscript{23}. For the hollandite-type iridates, our DFT calculations consistently favor the lower symmetry monoclinic C2/m phase (as opposed to the tetragonal phase), regardless of ion intercalation. We also find that the volumetric changes to the intercalated nanoporous IrO\textsubscript{2} defer according to channel size. For instance, the volume of 2K + Ro-IrO\textsubscript{2} shrinks by about 3% while that of 4K + To-IrO\textsubscript{2} expands by about 5%.

**Amorphous phases of iridium oxides.** Besides the commonly reported crystalline phases of active IrO\textsubscript{2} for OER, recent experimental reports of an amorphous IrO\textsubscript{x} phase could well be responsible for the high OER activity observed\textsuperscript{12,20,24}. To generate
approximate atomistic models of amorphous IrO₃, we perform aMD calculations (following the melt-and-quench method) for various chemical stoichiometries (henceforth labeled as a-IrO₂, a-IrO₁.₅, and a-IrO₃, accordingly) while ensuring numerical convergence with supercell size.

We calculate and plot the calculated partial radial distribution function, g(r) of Ir–O (in black), O–O (in red), and Ir–Ir (in blue) bond pairs (cf. Supplementary Eq. (1) for a-IrO₂, a-IrO₁.₅, and a-IrO₃ in Fig. 2). For all models presented here for amorphous iridium oxide, we do not observe any long-range ordering beyond 4 Å. For a-IrO₂, the representative g(r) peaks (indicated by the vertical dashed lines; 2.00, 2.78, and 3.56 Å for the Ir–O, O–O, and Ir–Ir bond pairs) are shown in Fig. 2a, agreeing very well with the reported experimental values of 2.00 Å for the Ir–O bond distance. When considering the much larger supercell of 216 atoms (in Fig. 2b), we find that the representative g(r) peaks are somewhat unchanged, and thus lending support that our smaller 96-atom supercell may be appropriate for modeling a-IrO₂. Likewise, for both a-IrO₁.₅ (in Fig. 2c, d) and a-IrO₃ (in Fig. 2e, f), similar agreement is met and we can draw the conclusion that amorphous iridium oxide yields an averaged Ir–O, O–O, and Ir–Ir bond distances of 2.0, 2.7, and 3.6 Å, respectively—irregardless of its chemical stoichiometry. Incidentally, we note that the very small g(r) peak for a-IrO₃ near 1.5 Å is attributed to small oxygen clusters in the model. In addition, the calculated mass densities for a-IrO₂, a-IrO₁.₅, and a-IrO₃ are found to be 10.7, 12.2, and 8.9 g/cm³, respectively. When compared to the experimentally reported mass density of crystalline IrO₂ (i.e. 11.7 g/cm³), our calculated value for a-IrO₂ appears somewhat smaller and this is inline with that found for other materials. This difference may be attributed to the lower average coordination number of cations in the amorphous phase when compared to the crystalline phase. Moreover, a weak correlation between our MD-determined mass densities and the oxygen content is also suggested from our calculations.

Thermodynamic and electrochemical stability. To address the thermodynamic stability for the various iridium oxide structures we considered in this work, we calculate the formation enthalpy, ΔH°, via Supplementary Eq. (2) and are tabulated in Table 1. From our calculations, agreeing with previous studies, rutile IrO₂ is found to be the thermodynamic ground state structure for iridium dioxide, while both R-IrO₃ (R3c) and P-IrO₃ (P6₃22) are the representative ground state structures for iridium trioxide (differing only by 1 meV/f.u.). For the hypothetical IrO₁.₅, the corundum phase, C-IrO₁.₅ is taken as the lowest energy structure. The nanoporous Ho-, Ro-, and To-IrO₂ are all found to be metastable with respect to rutile IrO₂ and additional stability is gained upon K intercalation. To analyze the intercalation
energetics in these nanoporous iridium oxide structures, we have also calculated their intercalation energy, \( \Delta F^{\text{int}} \) (cf. Supplementary Eq. (4)) and are tabulated in Table 1. They are found to be largely exothermic and concur with earlier experimental and theoretical reports where cation intercalation in nanoporous oxides are known to add stability to the overall structure\(^{11,23,32,33}\). Considering the amorphous analogs to these crystalline iridium oxides, \( a-\text{IrO}_2 \), \( a-\text{IrO}_{1.5} \), and \( a-\text{IrO}_3 \) are also determined to be metastable with respect to their crystalline ground state counterparts. Thermodynamic metastability in other amorphous oxides (e.g. \( \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5 \)) are well discussed in literature.

To account for thermal vibration contributions to the overall thermodynamic stability in these iridium oxides, using the Debye model, we estimate their vibrational energy, \( F^{\text{vib}} \) (cf. Supplementary Eq. (3)) and plot the variation of \( F^{\text{vib}} \) with temperature, \( T \) in the Supplementary Fig. 1. For comparison, we have also included the Gibbs energy of formation, \( \Delta G^f \) for the amorphous phases. \( a-\text{IrO}_2 \), \( a-\text{IrO}_{1.5} \), and \( a-\text{IrO}_3 \) are well discussed in literature.

Now, to further discuss the stability of these oxides under technical catalysis or synthesis growth conditions, we examine their thermodynamic stability under an electrochemical environment\(^5,24\). To do this, we construct the DFT-derived Pourbaix phase diagram by considering the reaction energy, \( \Delta \mu \) and various relevant ionic species (cf. Supplementary Eq. (5) and Supplementary Table 1) and is presented in Fig. 3. Taking a recent experimental report\(^{10}\) as a reference, the ratio of K and Ir, and the concentration of the ion species are taken as 5:1 and \( 10^{-3} \) mol/L, respectively.

Within the considered range of pH and the electrode potentials in Fig. 3, marked as the shaded region (with gray lines), the thermodynamic ground state \( R-\text{IrO}_2 \) is predicted to be stable under low applied potentials and under both acidic and basic conditions. This is in accord with previous reports where the rutile \( \text{IrO}_2 \) phase exhibits good electrochemical stability\(^{14}\). However, under certain growth conditions that kinetically hinder the formation of the rutile phase, it is interesting to find that the metastable nanoporous Ho-\( \text{IrO}_2 \) phase can be synthesized within a relatively narrow window of stability (as in the turquoise shaded region in Fig. 3). More importantly, under more basic conditions as shown in the yellow shaded region of Fig. 3, \( 1\text{K} + \text{Ho-}\text{IrO}_2 \) \((K_{0.25}\text{IrO}_2)\) may form when assuming the kinetic hinderance of \( R-\text{IrO}_2 \) formation, and has been realized in recent electrochemical experiments\(^{10,22}\). It has been argued that the residual presence of \( K^+ \) ions in the nanoporous framework of amorphous iridium oxide (containing local structures of \( 1\text{K} + \text{Ho-}\text{IrO}_2 \)) might improve the overall catalytic performance and stability\(^{10}\).

For much higher applied potentials, the calculated stability region of \( \text{IrO}_3 \) coincides well with previous studies\(^{14}\). Given that many non-equilibrium structures may be obtained by controlled synthesis conditions and a careful choice of reactants/precursors\(^{10,22}\), we are hopeful that more metastable iridium oxides (e.g. the amorphous phases and other nanoporous structures; see Supplementary Fig. 2) can be investigated for their (electro)chemical stability.

Electronic structure. For the adsorption of the nucleophilic water molecule on the iridium oxide surface, the presence of an electrophilic oxygen atom may play a pivotal role as the susceptible
adsorption site to bind the water molecule on the iridium oxide surface. Here, we note that although the oxygen–oxygen bond formation does not necessarily involve the nucleophilic attack of a water molecule, following refs. 15,35,36, we collectively combine both the nucleophilic water attack and the oxygen–oxygen bond formation within the same mechanistic step (cf. Supplementary Eq. (16)). In past literature, there have been several discussions on how the so-called flexibility of thecharge state of iridium atom in the Ir6 octahedra (e.g. the varying ratio of $I_{5+}/I_{6+}$) is correlated to the enhanced activity of oxygen oxides for OER. However, a clear theoretical consensus is yet to be reached. Moreover, an apparent relationship between the flexible charge state of Ir and its structural motifs (e.g. in nanoporous and amorphous iridium oxides) is still lacking.

To uncover the influence and relationship of the atomic charges of Ir and O atoms and the associated structural motifs, in Fig. 4, we first calculate and present the population histogram for the Bader charge of Ir and O atoms in the various iridium oxide systems. For R-IrO2, the Bader charge of the Ir atom is calculated as $-2.06e$, while that of crystalline IrO1.5 and IrO2 are determined to be between $-1.52$ to $+1.66$ and $-2.33$ to $+2.42$, respectively. In the case of nanoporous IrO2 polymorphs, the Bader charges of Ir are calculated to be within the range of $+1.81$ to $+1.93e$, which is somewhat less than that in R-IrO2 ($+2.06e$). Upon intercalation of K, the Bader charges of Ir ($+1.52$ to $+1.85e$) are slightly reduced when compared to their pristine counterparts.

This reduction in the Bader charges of Ir can be correlated to the changes in the projected density-of-states (pDOS) for Ir in both the pristine and K-intercalated iridium oxides. In Supplementary Fig. 3, the Ir 5d states are calculated and plotted according to their Wyckoff positions. Noting the down-shift in the Ir 5d states to lower energy and the decreased Bader charges, it is indicative that electron transfer has occurred from the intercalated K atom to the nanoporous IrO2 host structure.

Now, turning to the atomic charges of O atoms, we find a negative Bader charge value of $-1.04e$ for R-IrO2, and the corresponding values for O atoms in crystalline IrO1.5 and IrO2 are between $-1.14$ to $-1.02$ and $-0.85$ to $-0.74e$, respectively. Here, we find that the oxygen atoms in the K-intercalated nanoporous iridium oxides become more nucleophilic (i.e. more negative in value) when compared to that of the pristine counterparts. For instance, the calculated Bader charges of O atoms in 1K + Ho-IrO2 are between $-1.05$ to $-1.00e$, as compared to that in pristine Ho-IrO2 ($-0.99$ to $-0.94e$). Referring to Fig. 4, similar trends are observed for 2K + R-IrO2 and 4K + To-IrO2. It now appears that K intercalation in these nanoporous iridium oxides adds extra thermodynamic stability but may not have a positive effect in generating more electrophilic oxygens needed for better OER performance.

Along the same vein of discussion, we notice a more flexible range of charge states for both the Ir and O atoms in the amorphous iridium oxides (namely, a-IrO2, a-IrO1.5, and a-IrO3). From Fig. 4i, the Bader charges of Ir and O atoms of a-IrO2 are calculated to be between $+1.62$ to $+2.61$ and $-1.29$ to $-0.62$, respectively (in contrast to $+2.06$ and $-1.04e$ for R-IrO2). Similarly, the spread (hence, its flexibility) of the calculated Bader charges for a-IrO1.5 (Fig. 4j) and a-IrO3 (Fig. 4k) are found to range between $+0.47$ to $+2.40$ for Ir and $-1.44$ to $-0.64e$ for O, and $+1.75$ to $+2.54$ for Ir and $-1.17$ to $+0.12e$ for O, respectively.

It is worth noting that the very small positive values in the Bader charges of O atoms for a-IrO2 are attributed to oxygen clustering, as mentioned above. The variations in Bader charges of Ir atoms in amorphous iridium oxides are wider as compared to the crystalline and nanoporous analogs—indicating the possible presence of mixed valence states of Ir (i.e. Ir$^{3+}$-Ir$^{6+}$; see Supplementary Fig. 4) in these amorphous structures. It is clear that the diversity (or flexibility) found for the atomic charges can be attributed to the non-equivalent connectivity of the IrO6 polyhedra, given more severe local structural distortions/disorders can be found in these amorphous iridium oxides. Thus, results from our DFT calculations now gravitate towards the same deduction and observation reported in recent experiments where the flexibility of the charge state of Ir (hence, the possibility of electrophilic O species) is determined as a key descriptor for enhanced OER performance in amorphous iridium oxides.

Besides the adsorption of nucleophilic water molecules on the surface of the OER catalysts, the desorption/evolution of the oxygen molecule is also a dominant step in the LOM scheme of OER. To tie the electronic structure argument back to its chemical bonding characteristics, we calculate and report the averaged projected crystal orbital Hamilton population (pCOHP; cf. Supplementary Eq. (6)) between the Ir and O atom pairs in these oxides in Fig. 5. We note that it is by convention to report the negative of these values. From the pCOHP, one can numerically discern the regions of bonding, anti-bonding, and non-bonding characteristics for a bond pair. Thus, one can infer the bonding nature and strength of the Ir–O bond which will be important in aiding the understanding of the atomic processes of OER on IrOx catalysts. For the iridium oxide structures considered in this work, the Ir–O bond length ranges from 1.5 to 2.5 Å.

From Fig. 5, the anti-bonding states of the Ir–O bond (corresponding to the negative values of the pCOHP values) are found close to the Fermi-level for all iridium oxides. Upon K-intercalation for the nanoporous IrO2 structures, a down-shift to lower energies in the pCOHP plot is observed, corroborating well with the pDOS in Supplementary Fig. 3. To further aid our ionic-covalent bonding character analysis in iridium oxides, we calculate the integrated pCOHP (IpCOHP) by integrating the pCOHP of specific bonding pairs of interest.
In the case of nanoporous IrO$_2$, the electronic structure, chemical bonding, and atomic structure of these iridium oxides of various stoichiometries and polymorphic forms, we will now plot the specific $\Delta$IpCOHP values versus the Ir–O bond length for each given iridium oxide, considering the varying stoichiometries and polymorphic forms in Fig. 6a. The nonlinear relationship between the $\Delta$IpCOHP (i.e. the index for Ir–O bond strength) and Ir–O bond length nicely follows Pauling’s empirical relation on bond length versus bond strength$^{37,41}$, indicating that a longer bond would typically result in a weaker bond in a nonlinear fashion.

More importantly, from Fig. 6a, this nonlinear behavior is well captured for the amorphous iridium oxides where a wider assortment of strong/weak Ir–O bonds (hence, shorter/longer Ir–O bonds) is found. Unlike the amorphous oxides of iridium, their crystalline and nanoporous counterparts show a highly linear behavior for a narrower range of bond lengths and bond strengths. This provides a rather intuitive picture as to why in previously reported experiments$^{10,18,19,21,43}$, the amorphous forms of iridium oxide seem to outperform their crystalline analogs in OER. It is now evident that amorphous IrO$_2$ of various stoichiometries can accommodate both strong and weak Ir–O bonds simultaneously to substantially provide sufficient thermodynamic stability and higher reactivity (e.g. in the case of OER), respectively. This then further lends support to the presence of flexible charge states of Ir (and thus, the ability to tailor a population of more electrophilic O species) in the amorphous iridium oxides, and explaining the enhancement in OER performance.

Extending this argument from the bulk phases of iridium oxides to their surfaces, we have chosen and constructed four different surface models—namely, R-IrO$_2$(110), Ho-IrO$_2$(100), a-IrO$_x$(001), and a-IrO$_y$(001)—and their calculated $\Delta$IpCOHP values as a function of Ir–O bond length are shown in Fig. 6a. Once again, the Pauling-like behavior for the surface bonds in these iridium oxides holds true and thus affords an appealing descriptor to discuss the impact of flexible bonds on the OER—even on the surfaces of these oxides. To address the ongoing debate as to whether the AEM or the LOM mechanism occurs on these iridium oxide surfaces, we calculate the Gibbs energy, $\Delta G$ for the AEM and LOM mechanistic steps$^{36}$ via the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) approach$^{44}$ while considering the effect of surface coverages under reaction conditions$^{45,46}$. The Gibbs energy diagrams for O$^*$-covered Ho-IrO$_2$(100) and O$^*$-covered a-IrO$_x$(001) are presented in Fig. 6b, c, respectively. (The corresponding results for 2O$^*$$_{uls}$-covered R-IrO$_2$(110) and O$^*$-covered a-IrO$_x$(001) can be found in Supplementary Fig. 7a. Further details are tabulated in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

Generally, for the crystalline phases of IrO$_2$ (including the nanoporous IrO$_2$ systems), the calculated value of $\eta_{LOM}$ (~2 V) is almost two times larger than that of $\eta_{AEM}$ (~1 V), as shown for O$^*$-covered Ho-IrO$_2$(100) in Fig. 6b and 2O$^*$$_{uls}$-covered R-IrO$_2$(110) in Supplementary Fig. 7a. This is in line with previous theoretical calculations$^{15,36}$, and is in line with recent experiments$^{47}$ where the contribution of the LOM mechanism to the OER on polycrystalline IrO$_2$ is deemed negligible. In contrast, the calculated overpotential values for the amorphous (non) stoichiometric IrO$_2$ phases differ less (e.g. the calculated $\eta_{AEM}$ and $\eta_{LOM}$ for O$^*$-covered a-IrO$_x$(001) are 0.59 and 0.44 V, respectively; see Fig. 6c) and are lower in magnitude when compared to their crystalline counterparts. In the same vein of discussion, we deduce that the smaller (and more similar) values of $\eta_{AEM}$ and $\eta_{LOM}$ for OER on the amorphous IrO$_2$ surfaces may stem from their ability to display a more flexible range of Ir–O bond lengths (and hence bond strengths) as captured by the Pauling’s empirical relation in Fig. 6a.

To provide a perspective of how activated Ir–O bonds (i.e. stretched Ir–O bonds) may be correlated to the overall OER performance, we put forth a simple linear regression model to...
estimate the OER overpotential of these amorphous and nanoporous iridium oxides. From literature, we obtain the experimentally measured overpotential $12$ for R-IrO$_2$ (0.40 V) and $1K^+\text{Ho-IrO}_2$ (0.34 V) and correlate that to our DFT-calculated $-\text{IpCOHP}$ for R-IrO$_2$ (3.54) and $1K^+\text{Ho-IrO}_2$ (3.21).

From literature$^{12,48-51}$, we have further collected the measured OER overpotentials for various oxides—namely, RuO$_2$, SrIrO$_3$, Sr$_2$IrO$_4$, Sr$_4$IrO$_6$, CuFeO$_3$, SrFeO$_3$, and CaFeO$_3$—and performed $-\text{IpCOHP}$ calculations using their DFT-optimized lattice parameters (where the initial lattice constants are taken from the Materials Project database$^{52}$). Details of these values are tabulated in Table S3 of the Supplementary Information.

By using the experimentally measured overpotential values and our DFT-calculated $-\text{IpCOHP}$ values of bulk R-IrO$_2$, 1K + Ho-IrO$_2$, SrIrO$_3$, Sr$_2$IrO$_4$, and Sr$_4$IrO$_6$, a least-squared linear regression is plotted in Fig. 7a. In general, we find that RuO$_2$ and the iridates (SrIrO$_3$, Sr$_2$IrO$_4$, and Sr$_4$IrO$_6$) scatter close to the linear regression line while the ferrites (CuFeO$_3$, SrFeO$_3$, and CaFeO$_3$) deviate from this linear behavior, highlighting our simple regression line may capture the needed chemistry to estimate the OER potentials of iridium oxides studied in this work. In this line of argument, RuO$_2$ exhibits a larger overpotential due to stronger Ru–O bonds while the iridates may show comparable or even better OER performance as compared to the reference R-IrO$_2$.

**Discussion**

Using this empirical linear regression model (based on the Ir-based oxides), we can now empirically estimate the OER overpotential of the crystalline, nanoporous, and amorphous iridium oxides from their corresponding calculated $-\text{IpCOHP}$ values. In particular, pristine nanoporous Ho-, Ro-, and To-IrO$_2$ are predicted to exhibit a slightly lowered overpotential of 0.37, 0.37, and 0.36 V, respectively, in comparison to experimentally measured overpotential 0.4 V for R-IrO$_2$.$^{12}$ Upon K-intercalation, a further decrease in overpotential values to 0.32 and 0.29 V is seen for $2\text{K}^+\text{Ro-IrO}_2$ and $4\text{K}^+\text{To-IrO}_2$, respectively. For crystalline IrO$_{1.5}$, the estimated overpotential values are comparatively lower at 0.26 V while that for crystalline IrO$_3$ is the largest at 0.52 V.

For the bulk amorphous iridium oxides, given a Pauling-like non-linear variation of $-\text{IpCOHP}$ versus bond length (for both bulk and surfaces of iridium oxides), a schematic perspective plot (Fig. 7b) may be drawn to illustrate this empirical relationship between the bond length, bond strength, and OER overpotential values where flexible charges states and activated bonds may explain highly efficient Ir-based OER catalysts.
regression model between the Ir–O bond length and the observed overpotential values for the amorphous iridium oxides is provided from the linear regression model by considering the dispersion of Ir–O bond length. For these large supercell structures, the Brillouin zone is folded to the equivalent of 1 fs is used for the integration of the equations of motion.

Methods

The DFT calculations are performed employing the projector augmented wave (PAW) method as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)45,52. The 6s and 5d states of Ir, 2s and 2p states of O, and 3s, 3p, and 4s states of K are explicitly considered as the valence states within the PAW approach. The optB86b exchange-correlation (xc) functional is used, treating the DFT xc energy using a self-consistent van der Waals-corrected semi-local generalized gradient approximation56. The optB86b xc functional has been shown to adequately describe various physiochemical properties of iridium oxides57. All DFT calculations have been tested for convergence of kinetic energy cutoff and k-points, with total energies and forces not change more than 20 meV and 0.02 eV Å⁻¹, respectively. A planewave kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV and a Γ-centered k-point grid spacing of 0.15 Å⁻¹ are used.

Ab initio molecular dynamics (aMD) calculations are conducted at constant pressure (i.e. using the NPT ensemble) following the method of Parrinello and Rahman58,59. The Langevin thermostat is used to control the temperature modification with a friction coefficient of 10 ps⁻¹. To model the amorphous phases of iridium oxide with different stoichiometry, the 96-atom and 216-atom supercells of the cubic IrO₂ pyrite phase, the 80-atom cell IrO₂, bixbite phase, the 240-atom IrO₂₃ corundum phase, and the 96-atom and 192-atom supercells of the β₇ phase of IrO₃ are adopted as initial atomic configurations for the respective stoichiometries. For these large supercell structures, the Brillouin zone is folded to the Γ-point and the kinetic cutoff energy is lowered to 300 eV. The initial structures are randomized from 3000 K to 10 ps (mimicking the melting process) and then quenched from 3000 to 100 K in 5 ps, with a cooling rate of 580K/ps. We further equilibrate the quenched structures at 300 K for another 10 ps in order to get more representative geometries at the temperature. For all aMD calculations, a time step of 1 fs is used for the integration of the equations of motion.

The methods used to analyze the structural descriptors52, the (electro)chemical thermodynamic stability, and chemical bonding and orbital population via the LOBSTER code50,52-53 are detailed in the Supplementary Information (SI).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary information. Specifically, atomic structures generated in this work have been deposited in Zenodo under accession code https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5733499.
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