Structural and Theoretical Investigation of Anhydrous 3,4,5-Triacetoxybenzoic Acid

Paulo S. Carvalho Jr.1,2, Leonardo R. Almeida1,2, João H. Araújo Neto2,3, Ana Carolina Q. D. Medina1, Antonio C. S. Menezes2, José E. F. Sousa2, Solemar S. Oliveira2, Ademir J. Camargo2, Hamilton B. Napolitano2*

1 Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, 13560–970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil, 2 Ciências Exatas e Tecnológicas, Universidade Estadual de Goiás, 75132–400, Anápolis, GO, Brazil, 3 Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 13565–905, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

* hamilton@ueg.br

Abstract

A comprehensive investigation of anhydrous form of 3,4,5-Triacetoxybenzoic acid (TABA) is reported. Single crystal X-ray diffraction, Thermal analysis, Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and DFT calculations were applied for TABA characterization. This anhydrous phase crystallizes in the triclinic P1 space group (Z' = 1) and its packing shows a supramolecular motif in a classical R2(4) ring formed by acid-acid groups association. The phase stability is accounted in terms of supramolecular architecture and its thermal behaviour. Conformation search at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory shows the existence of three stable conformers and the most stable conformation was found experimentally. The reactivity of TABA was investigated using the molecular orbital theory and molecular electrostatic potential. The calculation results were used to simulate the infrared spectrum. There is a good agreement between calculated and experimental IR spectrum, which allowed the assignment of the normal vibrational modes.

Introduction

Solid-state characterization of biologically active compounds plays an important role in all areas of pharmaceutical and material sciences [1–3]. As crystalline materials, the supramolecular chemistry of new solid forms of a molecule have been proving significant contributions to obtaining a more effective and active compounds [4–7]. The architecture of molecules in crystal, even in different solid forms arrangement (i.e. anhydrous, hydrates, cocrystals, polymorphs), opens the door to design functional materials and also to understanding the recognition process of molecules for biological targets. Furthermore, the characterization of different solid forms of compound has implications for fundamental understanding of the assembly of molecules in the solid state and also in the in the understanding of its solid stability and phase transitions, such as dehydration and conformational changes.

In the cocrystallization field, the Gallic acid (GA) and their derivatives represent important cocrystal coformers [8–13] due their ability to form multiple hydrogen bonds (HB) with active pharmaceutical ingredients. Additionally, this class of compound exhibit many significant
biological properties, such as antioxidant[14], anti-inflammatory [15], antifungal [16] and carcinogenic [17]. Particularly, esters of GA are attractive chemicals used in the food and pharmaceutical industry, e.g., in the synthesis of propyl gallate and trimethoprim [18, 19]. Among them, the 3,4,5-triacetoxibenzoic acid, TABA (Fig 1), is a stable molecular form of the GA since all hydroxyl groups are protected as acetates, hence, are less reactive [20, 21]. Noteworthy, this structural modification of GA gives to compound an increase in the effectiveness of the antifungal activity [22]. The TABA exist as a crystalline hydrate [23] in which two conformers are observed in the asymmetric unit. Although to acid-acid supramolecular synthon have recurrent formation between carboxylic acid groups (COOH), in this forms, the water molecule is included in the lattice by the formation of large supramolecular unit with COOH groups. Until now, no anhydrous forms have been reported to TABA.

Considering the TABA functionalities and their potential co-crystallization applications, we report the synthesis, crystallization and solid-state investigation of the anhydrous forms of TABA. In this investigation we use a combination of Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction, Infrared spectroscopy, Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), Thermogravimetric (TGA), Hot Stage Microscopy techniques and DFT calculations to solid-state characterization of compound

**Experimental and Computational Procedure**

**Synthesis and crystallization**

A mixture of gallic acid (1.88g – 11 mmol), acetic anhydride (10 mL) and H2SO4 (1 mL, 1 mol. L⁻¹) was stirred under reflux for 4 hours. After the cooling, 50 mL of cold water was added to system providing the precipitation of a white crystalline solid. This powder was filtered and

Fig 1. (a) Structural formula of TABA. Bold bond emphasizes the α (C4–O3–C8–O4), β (C5–O5–C10–O6) and γ (C6–O7–C12–O8) torsion angles. (b) The ORTEP diagram of ellipsoids at 30% probability level with the atomic numbering scheme for asymmetric unit of anhydrous form of TABA. All bonds are in the normal range and hydrogen atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii. The atomic numbering was used in the theoretical calculation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158029.g001
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washed with water. Then, the resultant solid was further purified by crystallization in methanol at room temperature (25°C). The solvents used in the manipulations were purified by standard methods. The gallic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, acetic anhydride and sulphuric acid were purchased from Synth. Yield: 2.95 g (90%). 

\[ \text{1H NMR (400.132 MHz, DMSO-} d_6, \text{298 K): (ppm): 7.880 (2H, s, ortho), 2.327 (3H, s, para acetyl) and 2.320 (6H, s, meta acetyl).} \]

\[ \text{13C NMR (100.62 MHz, CDCl}_3- d, \text{δ (ppm): 169.58 (1C, meta C=O acetyl), 167.68 (2C, para C=O acetyl), 166.45 (1C, COOH), 143.52, 139.35, 127.46, 122.83 (6C, aromatic), 20.55 (CH}_3 \text{ meta acetyl), 20.16 (CH}_3 \text{ para acetyl).} \]

**Crystal structure determination**

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data (SCXRD) for the anhydrous TABA were performed on an Enraf-Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer (95 mm CCD camera on κ-goniostat) using graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation (0.71073 Å) at room temperature (298K). Using the Olex2 software, the structures were solved by direct methods and the models obtained were refined by full–matrix least squares on \(F^2\) (SHELXTL–97). All the hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined with fixed individual displacement parameters \(U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}\) or \(1.5U_{eq}\) according to the riding model (C–H bond lengths of 0.97 Å and 0.96 Å, for aromatic and methyl groups, respectively). Molecular representations, tables and pictures were generated by Olex2, MERCURY 3.2 and Crystal Explorer v2.1 programs. The crystallographic information files of the anhydrous TABA molecule (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8 and S9 Tables) were deposited in the Cambridge Structural Data Base under the code CCDC 1470502. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

**Hirshfeld surface analysis**

The Hirshfeld surface and the 2D fingerprint plots for the TABA in the anhydrous phase were carried out using Crystal Explorer 3.1. The surface were generated on basis of the normalized contact distances defined: \(d_i\) (i.e., distance from the surface to the nearest atom in another molecule) and \(d_e\) (i.e., the distance from the surface to the nearest atom in the molecule itself). The high resolution default of \(d_{norm}\) surfaces were mapped over the colour scale ranging from –0.71 (red) to 1.37 Å (blue), with the fingerprint plots using the standard 0.6–2.8 Å view of \(d_e\) vs. \(d_i\).

**Thermal Analysis**

Thermogravimetric analyses were carried out on a Shimadzu TGA-60 thermobalance. Approximately 3.5 mg of sample were placed on an alumina pan and heated from room temperature up to 200°C, at 10°C·min\(^{-1}\), under nitrogen flow (50 mL min\(^{-1}\)). Differential Scanning Calorimetric measurements were performed on a Shimadzu DSC-60 instrument. Samples (3.5 ± 0.5 mg) were aluminum pans and heated from room temperature up to 400°C, at 10°C·min\(^{-1}\), under nitrogen flow (50 mL min\(^{-1}\)). All data were processed using the Shimadzu TA-60 thermal data analysis software. Microscopy was performed on a Leica DM2500P microscope connected to the Linkam T95-PE hot-stage equipment. Data were visualized with the Linksys 32 software for hot stage control. The crystals of anhydrous TABA acid were placed on a 13 mm glass coverslip, placed on a 22 mm diameter pure silver heating block inside of the stage. The sample was heated at a ramp rate of 10°C/min up to a final temperature of 180°C but discontinued on melting of all material.

**Vibrational spectroscopy**

The vibrational spectroscopy in the infrared region was recorded on a FT-IR Bomem Michelson 102 spectrometer in the 4000–250 cm\(^{-1}\) region using KBr pellets.
Computational procedures

As first step, a conformational search was carried out on TABA in order to explore the degrees of freedom of the dihedral angles $C_4\rightarrow O_3\rightarrow C_8\rightarrow O_4$, $C_5\rightarrow O_5\rightarrow C_{10}\rightarrow O_6$, and $C_6\rightarrow O_7\rightarrow C_{12}\rightarrow O_8$ using the molecular mechanics method with MM+ force field as implemented in hyperchem 7.5 package of programs. These dihedral angles were varied randomly and simultaneously. The acceptance energy criterion was set up at maximum of 6 kcal/mol above the best. The pre-optimization skipped if the atoms were closer than 0.5 Å and if torsion were within 15° of previous. The structures were considered duplicated if energies were within 0.5 kcal/mol. The search was stopped after 500 interactions. All conformation found in the search and after Gaussian optimization at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level fell into one of three conformations; namely, TABA-$\alpha$, TABA-\(\beta\), and TABA-$\gamma$. The further electronic structure computations on TABA were carried out using Gaussian 09 package of programs\[29\]. Density Functional Theory (DFT) with the 6–311++G(2d,p) basis set Becke’s three parameter hybrid functional(B3)\[30\] combined with gradient corrected correlation functional Lee-Yang-Parr(LYP) \[31\] were employed for structural and electronic computations. The dimer geometrical parameters and the dimer interaction energy was carried out at B97D/6-311++g(2d,p) level of theory. The dimer interaction energy with BSSE corrections were computed using the counterpoise method as implemented in g09 program according to formula

\[ \Delta E_{\text{corr}}(G) = E_{\text{corr}}(G,AB) - \left[ E_A(G,AB) + E_B(G,AB) \right] , \]  

where $\Delta E_{\text{corr}}(G)$ stands for counterpoise corrected interaction energy and $E_A(G,AB)$ and $E_B(G,AB)$ stand for total energies of monomers $A$ and $B$ computed with the dimer basis set $AB$ at geometry $G$.

The characterization that the conformers find in a minimal on the hypersurface of the potential energy were accomplished by the absence of imaginary frequencies on the calculated vibrational spectra for the optimized structures. The TABA infrared spectra was carried out using the same level of theory as used for geometry optimizations. The computed electrostatic potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ on molecular surface, MEP, shows how the electrons are distributed in three dimensions around a molecule. The $V(\mathbf{r})$ created at a point $\mathbf{r}$ by the nuclei and electrons of a molecule is given by \[32\]

\[ V(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{|\mathbf{r}_\alpha - \mathbf{r}|} - \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}|} \, d\mathbf{r}', \]  

where $Z_{\alpha}$ stands for charge on nucleus $\alpha$ located at point $\mathbf{r}_\alpha$ and $\rho(\mathbf{r}')$ is the charge density distribution function. $V(\mathbf{r})$ is an observable of the wave function and it can be calculated theoretically and measured experimentally by diffraction methods \[33\]. The MEP surface can be a positive or negative quantity. It will be positive if the positive charges (from nuclei) predominate over the negative charge (from electrons) and conversely. The graphical visualization of the frontier molecular orbitals and the molecular electrostatic potential surface (MEPS) were obtained with the help of Gaussview 5 \[34\].

Results and Discussion

Crystallization and solid state characterization

A notorious characteristic of GA and their derivatives is the tendency to crystallize as hydrates \[8,10,11,35–37\]. The 3,4,5-triacetoxybenzoic acid (TABA) molecules is a GA derivative that exhibits multifunctional groups represented by carboxylic acid, phenol and ketone (Fig 1) which makes the molecule a strong hydrogen bond acceptor. Depending on the crystallization
conditions, it is possible the existence of an anhydrous or a hydrate form of TABA[23]. In our experiments, the crystallization of the TABA from methanol, ethanol and acetone solvents resulted in an anhydrous solid form. A hydrate phase is only obtained when the water is predominant in the crystallization medium, solvent/water ratio of 1:2. The anhydrous TABA acid crystallizes in the Triclinic centrosymmetric $P\bar{1}$ space groups with $Z' = 1$ (Fig 1, Table 1) and has the unit cell volume two time lower than its parent hydrate form[23].

The substituents of aromatic ring of TABA are conformationally flexible. The three acetoxyl groups adopt different synperiplanar orientations with respect to the benzene ring (the $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ torsion angles, Fig 1A, S1 Table) so that there is an alternation in the spatial orientation of groups ketones minimizing the steric effect between them. It is worth noting that TABA exhibit different conformations. The Fig 2 shows the main differences between the TABA acid from hydrated and anhydrous form once superimposing by benzoic moieties. Two conformation are found to TABA and the most relevant differences between them are related to the orientations of the acetoxyl groups. The conformation from the anhydrous form shows agreement with conformers from hydrate one. Since conformational differences are noted to TABA in anhydrous and hydrate forms, the existence of them is related to conformational flexibility of TABA molecule together with consequent variations in the hydrogen bonding schemes of the conformers that provide the inclusion of water molecule into the crystal lattice.

The molecular packing of anhydrous TABA is stabilized by the presence of dimeric synthons generated by classical and non-classical HBs. The prominent one consists in the usual acid–acid homodimers of graph set $R_2^2(8)$ forming a 2(TABA) unit. Along the [01T] direction, adjacent units merge each other by of C11–H11B⋯O6 interaction to form a non-classical

### Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for TABA.

| Property                      | Value                          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Empirical formula             | $C_{13}H_{12}O_8$              |
| Formula weight                | 296.23                         |
| Crystal system                | Triclinic                      |
| Space group                   | $P\bar{1}$                     |
| $a$/Å                         | 8.3990(11)                     |
| $b$/Å                         | 8.4870(8)                      |
| $c$/Å                         | 9.8050(11)                     |
| $\alpha$/°                    | 87.999(7)                      |
| $\beta$/°                     | 82.508(6)                      |
| $\gamma$/°                    | 88.714(7)                      |
| Volume/Å³                     | 692.42(14)                     |
| $Z$                           | 2                              |
| $\rho_{ave}$/g.cm$^{-3}$      | 1.421                          |
| $\mu$/mm$^{-1}$               | 0.120                          |
| $F$(000)                      | 308.0                          |
| Radiation                     | MoKα ($\lambda = 0.71073$)    |
| 2θ range for data collection/°| 6.274 to 53.48                 |
| Reflections collected         | 2915                           |
| Independent reflections       | 2915 [$R_{B.F} = 0.038$]       |
| Data/restraints/parameters    | 2915/0/193                     |
| Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$      | 1.028                          |
| Final R indexes [I > 2σ (0)]  | $R_I = 0.0479$, $wR_2 = 0.1313$|
| Final R indexes [all data]    | $R_I = 0.0621$, $wR_2 = 0.1417$|
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å$^{-3}$ | 0.30/0.23                  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158029.t001
Similarly, a dimeric arrangement is formed by the C7–H7⋯O6 interactions and also by C9–H9A⋯O8 interactions forming different dimers. The packing view for anhydrous TABA is shown in Fig 3 and Hydrogen bonds are listed in the Table 2.

The Hirshfeld surface (HS) defines a volume to a molecule in the crystal built on the partitioning of an electron density calculated as the average sum electron density of the spherical atom [38–40]. The fingerprint plots consist in a diagram of the associated $d_e$ vs $d_i$. Thus, this tools summarizes information about intermolecular contacts. Fig 4 shows the Hirshfeld surface and the fingerprint plots of the TABA in the anhydrous form. On the HS, interaction areas (red) located on the O-atom of carboxylic group. From 2D fingerprint, the following features are observed: (a) Two long and sharp lateral spikes with minimum $(d_i + d_e) \approx 1.8$ Å are evident in the diagram. This is the assigned to O–H⋯O interactions from the $R_2^2(8)$ homodimer formed by COOH groups (Fig 3, Table 2). These contacts are dominant in the packing of structure corresponding to 43.9% of HS. (b) The absence of typical lateral wing at $1.6 < (d_i + d_e) < 2.6$ Å remarks the inexistence of C–H⋯π interactions in the structure of anhydrous one ($Z' = 1$). (c) Furthermore, the HS of TABA in the anhydrous form present a great contribution of H⋯H contacts (33.5% of HS total area) indicating that that structure is also stabilized by van der Walls contacts.

With a view to fully characterize the crystalline structure of anhydrous TABA, the DSC/ TGA and Hot-stage Microscopy analysis have been performed to this phase and the results are presented in Fig 5. The DSC measurement shows two endothermic event centered at 150.84°C and 172.53°C, respectively. Since no weigh loss is detected in the TGA curve until ~200°C, the first endothermic event is associated to a solid-solid phase transition. This transformation is irreversible, since no corresponding event is occurring during the cooling-reheating cycles of DSC (blue trace, Fig 5). A phase transformation without mass change may occurs due the rearrangement of molecule in the solid state or conformational changes of molecule. Since this solid-solid phase transition does not become single crystals (Fig 5), the SCXR analysis was not
Fig 3. Crystal packing of anhydrous forms of TABA. The main motifs of structure generating different dimeric arrangements.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158029.g003

Table 2. Hydrogen bond geometry for TABA acid.

| D-H  | A     | D-H-A (Å) | H-A (Å) | D-H-A' (°) | Symmetry codes   |
|------|-------|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|
| C7-H7...O6 | 3.434(1) | 2.685(1) | 137.61(1) | x+1,-y,-z+1    |
| O1-H1...O2  | 3.503(6) | 2.900(6) | 121.88(1) | x+1,-y-1,-z    |
| O1-H1...O1  | 3.785(6) | 2.831(6) | 172.52(1) | x+1,-y,-z     |
| C9-H9A...O8 | 3.531(5) | 2.605(5) | 162.16(1) | x+2,-y,-z      |
| C13-H13B...O5 | 3.648(4) | 2.779(4) | 150.97(1) | x+2,-y,-z+1    |
| C11-H11A...O8 | 3.7000(3) | 2.851(3) | 147.92(1) | x+2,-y,-z+1    |
| C11-H11B...O6 | 3.531(5) | 2.605(5) | 162.16(1) | x+1,-y+1,-z+1  |
| C9-H9C...O2  | 3.785(6) | 2.831(6) | 172.52(1) | x+y+z+1        |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0158029.t002
The occurrence of different conformations of the TABA in the anhydrous and hydrated forms provides an opportunity to study the influence of crystal forces on molecular conformations [41–43]. For this purpose, a valuable approach is the comparison of the molecular structure in the solid state with those correspondent ones in the gaseous state, where it is expected that the minimum energy conformation predominates. A search for conformations on the potential surface of TABA have shown several energetic minima, namely TABA-α, TABA-β and TABA-γ (S1 Fig). In order to investigate the relative stability between them, their geometry were fully optimized without constraint at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory. This hybrid exchange-correlation functional has demonstrated a realistic results for organic molecules [42, 44]. The TABA-β and TABA-γ energies differ from the TABA-α conformer by just 0.249 kcal. mol⁻¹ and 3.613 kcal. mol⁻¹, respectively. The lowest energy conformation, i.e. TABA-α, is achieved when the acetoxyl groups are alternately orientated above and below to to main plane of molecule. For TABA dimer full optimized at B97D/6-311++G(2d,p) level in gas phase, the TABA-α geometry is characterized by \(\alpha = -107.37^\circ\), \(\beta = 96.70^\circ\) and \(\gamma = 112.02^\circ\) torsion angles and it is
remarkably similar to that observed in x-ray data for anhydrous form (S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S8 and S9 Tables; and also S1 Fig). Otherwise, the higher energy minimum TABA-β (α = -123.80°, β = -119.60° and γ = -122.22°) and TABA-γ (α = -69.88°, β = -70.78°, and γ = -120.37°), although different, present no alternance of acetoxyl orientations.

S1 Table shows a comparison between the geometric parameters of experimental (x-ray data) and calculated structures. The TABA-α monomer conformation optimized at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) has a very good agreement with x-ray data with mean absolute error of 0.014°Å for the bond and 1.17° for the bond angles. The main disagreement values arise in the COOH group. The calculated C1−O1 bond is 6.2% greater than the correspondent in the x-ray data and C1−O2, which it is a double bond, the situation is inverse, i.e., the x-ray value is 3.5% greater than the calculated. Also, the C2−C1−O1 and C2−C1−O2 calculated bond angles differ significantly from experimental data. The first one is larger than x-ray value in about 3%, while the theoretical value for second angle is smaller than x-ray in 4.4%. As shown in Fig 5, the calculated torsion angles that encompassing the acetoxyl groups are the ones that most diverge from the X-ray data. However, the TABA-α dimer optimized at B97D/6-311++G(2d,p) level, as seen in S1 Table, show results closer to experimental data for COOH group. These results support that the geometric disagreements may be explained by the fact that calculations for the monomer were carried out in gas phase for the monomer and the experimental data were measured in solid state in which the O1 and O2 atoms are involved in strong and dominant hydrogen bonds.

Besides the conformational aspects, the occurrence of anhydrous phase can be also related to stability provided by the homo synthon formed by the COOH groups (graph set: \(R_2^2(8)\), section 3.1). Although the lattice energy does not take in account, an important approach is to determine the energetic contribution of \(R_2^2(8)\) homo-synthon (Fig 3) to structure as a whole. The interaction energy obtained at B97D/6-311++G(2d,p) level for the \(R_2^2(8)\) synthon is of -20.99 kcal.mol\(^{-1}\). Note that, the \(R_2^2(8)\) dimeric arrangement require only one stable conformation in the
anhydrous form while in hydrate one a conformational multiplicity is present. It suggest that, the water molecule provide the energetic balance for the presence of more than one energetic state of TABA in the hydrated crystal structure of TABA acid.

Infra-red Spectroscopy

Vibrational properties have been shown an important tool for analyzing of structural and supramolecular features of a molecule in the solid state. The experimental and calculated wave-numbers of TABA-α with their respective relative intensities and the correspondent assignments are shown in Table 3. Experimental Spectrum is presented in Fig 6. The calculated frequencies of TABA-α were carried out on the prior fully optimized geometry at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory, i.e. TABA-α, and were scaled by 0.9686 factor [45,46] in order to make a better comparison with the experimental values. The Gauss view program package [34] were used to identify atomic displacements corresponding to each vibrational mode. The TABA-α belongs to the C1 point group symmetry and, as a result, all 93 normal vibrational modes present A symmetries. The calculated IR intensities are important to simplify the assignment of those vibrational modes that are close in frequencies. As shown in Table 3, the calculated frequencies are in good agreement with the experimental values. The disagreements between them are mostly smaller than 25 cm⁻¹. This similarity allowed to identify the nature of the vibrational modes. For comparative purpose, the scaled data were used in the follow discussions.

According to our DFT calculations, the bands at 2957, 3013, and 3060 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the C−H asymmetric stretching from the methyl groups. The experimental values for these bands are not available in the Table 3. The C−H stretching bands from benzene ring are calculated at 3113 and 3131 cm⁻¹. These bands differ at 18 cm⁻¹ from experimental data. It occurs due to chemical environment differences for these H-atoms. In TABA-α structure, the C7−H7 fragment is associated to O6 atoms by C7−H7/C1/C1/O6 HB while C3−H3 is involved in hydrophobic contacts. The O−H bond-stretching band is computed at 3647 cm⁻¹ and it display a very intense high relativity intensity.

The bands observed at about at 1767 and 1793 cm⁻¹ are assigned to symmetric stretching of C = O from acetoxyl groups. These bands are very intense in the IR spectra and theirs values are very close to the theoretically data. Furthermore, the intense band at 1696 cm⁻¹ is ascribed to the C = O stretching of the−COOH group. The classical R₂(8) motif formed by acid−acid association turns the vibration of C = O group different from those related to acetoxyl groups.

The wavenumbers observed at 1496, 1556, 1594 cm⁻¹ are mixing modes of symmetric stretching ring and in plane ring−H bending. These bands are weak and their values agree very well with the quantum chemical calculations. The scissoring vibration modes of the methyl groups are attributed to strong band at 1432 cm⁻¹. This experimental band split in six computed peaks very close each other (see Table 3). The medium band observed at 1415 cm⁻¹ is ascribed mainly to C = C asymmetric stretching of the benzene ring. The umbrella movement of the methyl groups give rise to a medium peak at 1373 cm⁻¹. This observed band is also split in three computed peaks.

At 1320 cm⁻¹, the medium band observed is mostly attributed to plane bending of C−O−H. The band observed at 1262 cm⁻¹ appears in the calculated IR spectra as a weak band and is mainly assigned to benzene ring breathing. The strong peaks at band 1167−1186 cm⁻¹ are mostly ascribed to angle deformation modes of C−C−H. A mixing mode of C−O−H in plane bending and ring−H in plane bending give rise to two medium/weak peaks at 1051 and 1095 cm⁻¹. The C−C−H angle bending give rise to a broad peak with medium intensity at 1011 cm⁻¹. The ring−H wagging and ring−H twisting vibrational modes display medium peaks at 816, 854, 869, 894, 910, and 919 cm⁻¹ in the infrared spectra of the TABA.
Table 3. Calculated and experimental Vibrational spectra for TABA-α. The calculated harmonic frequencies were scaled by a factor of 0.9686.

| Mode | B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) | Intensity | Experimental | assignment |
|------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| v1   | 21                   | 20        | 1.22          | τ(acetox)  |
| v2   | 28                   | 27        | 0.29          | τ(acetox)  |
| v3   | 30                   | 29        | 1.10          | τ(acetox)  |
| v4   | 43                   | 41        | 2.27          | τ-CH3      |
| v5   | 50                   | 48        | 1.20          | τ-CH3      |
| v6   | 53                   | 51        | 1.70          | τ-CH3      |
| v7   | 55                   | 53        | 1.40          | τ-COOH     |
| v8   | 58                   | 56        | 2.70          | τ-CH3      |
| v9   | 68                   | 66        | 1.85          | τ-CH3      |
| v10  | 81                   | 78        | 0.72          | τ-CH3      |
| v11  | 84                   | 82        | 0.68          | τ(-CH3)   |
| v12  | 88                   | 85        | 3.24          | τ(CH3)    |
| v13  | 109                  | 106       | 0.71          | γ(ring)   |
| v14  | 150                  | 146       | 1.52          | ω(-COOH) + ω(acetox) |
| v15  | 180                  | 174       | 2.99          | 202vw      | β(COOH) |
| v16  | 205                  | 199       | 1.25          | 224m       | β(-COOH) + ω(acetox) |
| v17  | 272                  | 264       | 4.37          |            | τ(acetox) |
| v18  | 274                  | 266       | 2.52          | 265vw      | π(acetox) |
| v19  | 292                  | 283       | 2.05          |            | τ(acetox) |
| v20  | 300                  | 291       | 2.73          | 298w       | τ(acetox) |
| v21  | 315                  | 305       | 0.26          | 309vw      | τ(acetox) |
| v22  | 342                  | 331       | 0.11          | 322vw      | τ(acetox) |
| v23  | 386                  | 374       | 0.74          | 366w       | ω(acetox) |
| v24  | 434                  | 420       | 13.45         |            | α(acetox) |
| v25  | 456                  | 442       | 15.46         | 439vw      | α(acetox) + β(C2C1O) |
| v26  | 525                  | 508       | 38.87         | 481m       | π(ring) + ω(O-H) |
| v27  | 538                  | 521       | 7.08          |            | α(08C12C13) |
| v28  | 551                  | 534       | 11.59         | 533w       | β(ring) |
| v29  | 563                  | 546       | 1.77          |            | γ(ring) |
| v30  | 581                  | 563       | 7.80          | 551m       | α(acetox) |
| v31  | 593                  | 575       | 19.51         |            | α(acetox) + ω(O-H) |
| v32  | 596                  | 578       | 7.24          | 578w       | τ(acetox) |
| v33  | 599                  | 580       | 34.16         |            | τ(acetox) |
| v34  | 625                  | 606       | 11.98         | 592w       | ω(C-H) + ω(O-H) |
| v35  | 651                  | 630       | 17.07         | 620w       | β(C-H) + β(O-H) |
| v36  | 666                  | 645       | 52.68         |            | β(ring) + β(O-H) |
| v37  | 704                  | 683       | 9.32          | 649vw      | β(ring) + ν(CH3) |
| v38  | 716                  | 693       | 4.67          | 689w       | β(ring) + ν(CH3) |
| v39  | 741                  | 718       | 11.49         | 690w       | ω(ring-H) + β(O-H) |
| v40  | 785                  | 761       | 36.91         | 755w       | ω(ring-H) + γ(COOH) |
| v41  | 835                  | 809       | 19.42         |            | θ(ring) |
| v42  | 856                  | 829       | 25.00         | 816w       | tw(ring-H) + α(C-C-H) |
| v43  | 873                  | 845       | 60.92         | 854w       | ω(ring-H) + α(C-O-C) |
| v44  | 910                  | 882       | 49.56         | 869m       | ω(ring-H) + ν(C-OCH3) |
| v45  | 926                  | 897       | 5.86          | 894m       | tw(ring-H) |
| v46  | 943                  | 913       | 10.34         | 910m       | ω(ring-H) |

(Continued)
Table 3. (Continued)

| Mode | Nonscaled | Scaled | Intensity | Experimental | assignment |
|------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| $\nu_{47}$ | 963       | 933    | 23.99     | 919w         | $\beta$(ring) |
| $\nu_{48}$ | 1003      | 972    | 4.75      | 964vw        | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{49}$ | 1017      | 986    | 68.35     |               | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{50}$ | 1024      | 992    | 78.06     |               | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{51}$ | 1065      | 1032   | 221.09    | 1011m        | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{52}$ | 1066      | 1033   | 59.01     |               | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{53}$ | 1067      | 1034   | 1.76      |               | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{54}$ | 1068      | 1035   | 188.99    |               | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{55}$ | 1106      | 1071   | 15.80     | 1051m        | $\beta$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{56}$ | 1164      | 1127   | 535.87    | 1095w        | $\beta$(C-O-H) + $\beta$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{57}$ | 1187      | 1150   | 262.35    |               | $\beta$(C-O-H) + $\beta$(ring-H) + $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{58}$ | 1199      | 1161   | 719.07    | 1167s        | $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{59}$ | 1221      | 1183   | 302.67    | 1186s        | $\nu$(O-COCH$_3$) + $\alpha$(C-C-H) |
| $\nu_{60}$ | 1234      | 1196   | 36.30     |               | $\beta$(ring-H) + $\beta$(ring) |
| $\nu_{61}$ | 1243      | 1204   | 5.74      | 1202s        | $r$($\text{ring-H}$) |
| $\nu_{62}$ | 1308      | 1268   | 89.21     | 1262w        | $\theta$(ring) + $\beta$(C-O-H) |
| $\nu_{63}$ | 1332      | 1291   | 1.66      |               | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(ring) |
| $\nu_{64}$ | 1379      | 1336   | 235.09    | 1320m        | $\beta$(C-O-H) + $\theta$(ring) |
| $\nu_{65}$ | 1401      | 1357   | 27.02     |               | $\mu$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{66}$ | 1402      | 1358   | 65.05     |               | $\mu$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{67}$ | 1403      | 1360   | 38.53     | 1373m        | $\mu$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{68}$ | 1456      | 1410   | 95.71     | 1415m        | $\nu_{\text{asym.}}$(ring) |
| $\nu_{69}$ | 1470      | 1424   | 8.48      |               | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{70}$ | 1471      | 1426   | 30.65     | 1432s        | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{71}$ | 1472      | 1426   | 1.04      |               | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{72}$ | 1476      | 1430   | 7.92      |               | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{73}$ | 1477      | 1431   | 15.04     |               | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{74}$ | 1477      | 1431   | 3.78      |               | $\delta$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{75}$ | 1519      | 1472   | 40.31     | 1496w        | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(ring) + $\beta$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{76}$ | 1625      | 1574   | 49.54     | 1556vw       | $\nu_{\text{asym.}}$(ring) + $\beta$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{77}$ | 1646      | 1595   | 15.98     | 1594w        | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(ring) + $\beta$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{78}$ | 1780      | 1725   | 383.03    | 1696s        | $\nu$(C = O) of COOH |
| $\nu_{79}$ | 1823      | 1766   | 27.50     | 1767s        | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(C = O) of acetoxy |
| $\nu_{80}$ | 1825      | 1769   | 131.73    |               | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(C = O) of acetoxy |
| $\nu_{81}$ | 1829      | 1772   | 468.55    | 1793s        | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(C = O) of acetoxy |
| $\nu_{82}$ | 3052      | 2957   | 1.18      |               | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{83}$ | 3052      | 2957   | 1.10      |               | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{84}$ | 3053      | 2958   | 1.23      |               | $\nu_{\text{symm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{85}$ | 3110      | 3013   | 2.91      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{86}$ | 3110      | 3014   | 2.75      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{87}$ | 3111      | 3014   | 3.06      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{88}$ | 3158      | 3059   | 4.87      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{89}$ | 3158      | 3060   | 5.01      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{90}$ | 3158      | 3060   | 4.61      |               | $\nu_{\text{asymm.}}$(CH$_3$) |
| $\nu_{91}$ | 3213      | 3113   | 4.26      |               | $\nu$(ring-H) |
| $\nu_{92}$ | 3232      | 3131   | 3.00      |               | $\nu$(ring-H) |
The peaks observed in the 592–755 cm\(^{-1}\) region are of weak intensities and they are mixing modes of C–H wagging, in plane ring deformation, C–CH\(_3\) stretching and ring–H wagging. The acetoxyl angle bending give rise to band at 551 cm\(^{-1}\) and the torsion mode of acetoxyl give rise to a band at 578 cm\(^{-1}\). Several weak peaks are observed in 265–439 cm\(^{-1}\) region and they are mainly assigned to the torsion of the acetoxyl groups.

### Frontier molecular orbitals and molecular electrostatic potential (MEP)

Because the GA derivatives have appreciable antioxidant properties, it is important to characterize the nature of TABA-\(\alpha\) in terms of chemical reactivity. Usually, the study of frontier orbitals is a useful to this purpose. Fig 7 shows a graphical representation of the HOMO, HOMO-1, LUMO and LUMO+1 frontier molecular orbitals. Both HOMO and HOMO-1 orbitals present \(\pi\) bonding symmetries and are situated predominantly on the aromatic ring. The LUMO and LUMO+1 molecular orbitals are both \(\pi^*\) antibonding symmetries and they are spread out mainly on the aromatic ring. All oxygen atoms contribute significantly with \(p\) orbitals in the formation of the LUMO-1. However, the contribution of the \(p\) orbitals from the oxygen atoms in the HOMO orbital formation is lower than that observed in the HOMO-1. It is also observed

![Figure 6. Experimental infrared spectrum of TABA.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158029.g006)
that the oxygen of the OH fragment has no contribution in the HOMO orbital formation. The $p$ orbitals from the oxygen atoms also contribute for the LUMO and LUMO+1 formations. The LUMO+1 has a higher contribution of the $p$ orbitals from the oxygen of the acetoxyl groups, while the oxygen atoms from the carbonyl and hydroxyl groups contribute markedly in the LUMO formation. The LUMO energy of -1.929 eV shows that the TABA-$\alpha$ acid is a good electrophile and the energy gap (HOMO—LUMO) of 5.464 eV shows that this molecule also has a very high chemical stability.

Fig 8 shows a graphical representation of the MEP of TABA-$\alpha$ computed at $\rho(r) = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ electrons/bohr$^3$ contour encompassing the molecule. The positive and negative values on the MEP surface correspond to electron density is locally depleted and locally concentrated, respectively. The minima (the most negative values) on the MEP surface is observed on the oxygen atoms and they represent regions of higher electron density and, in turn, sites for nucleophilic attacked. On the O-atoms from COOH group, the MEP energy is of about -27 kcal/mol, while the O-atoms from acetoxyl group are about -11 kcal/mol. On the aromatic ring, the MEP energy is of about -5 kcal/mol. Based on this interpretation, the oxygen sites of TABA acid susceptible to nucleophilic attack can be ranked as follow: C = O $>$ $-\text{O}$ $>$ aromatic ring

The maximum on the MEP surface occur on hydrogen atom from COOH group in which the MEP energy is of about 33 kcal/mol. This large positive value (blue color) indicate that this region is relatively depleted in electrons density and this site tend to attract chemical species that are rich in electrons. Another maximum region is remarked to H-aromatic and methyl
hydrogen atoms (11 kcal/mol and 16 kcal/mol, respectively). Considering the depletion of the
electron density, the hydrogen atoms of the TABA-α acid can also be ranked, from the most
depleted to the least depleted, as follow: O\(^{-}\) H > H\(^{-}\) CH\(_3\) > H\(^{-}\) aromatic.

**Concluding Remarks**

Anhydrous structure of 3,4,5-triacetoxibenzoic acid (TABA) was investigated by a combined
experimental and theoretical analysis using X-ray diffractions, FT-IR techniques and DFT cal-
culations. A detailed supramolecular analysis of anhydrous TABA reveals that the acid-acid
supramolecular motif is the main build block of structure. The thermal analysis shows an irre-
versible solid-solid transition phase at 155°C. This event is evident in HSM images. This transi-
tion occurs generating an additional anhydrous TABA phase. Conformational searches at
B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory show that exist three stable conformations. The most
stable conformation resulting from the conformational analysis is the conformation observed
experimentally in its anhydrous form. Furthermore, X-ray data agree very well with the geo-
metrical parameters obtained from theoretical calculation. The reactivity of TABA was investi-
gated by analysis of the Frontier molecular orbitals and MEP surface. These analyses show that
the oxygen sites of TABA are sites of nucleophilic attack and they can be ranked as C = O >
−O− > aromatic ring. There is a good agreement between experimental and calculated infrared
spectra allowing the assignment of the vibrational normal modes. The present investigation
advances the understanding of the structural and supramolecular features of TABA, which is
important for biological applicability.
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S1 Table. Geometric parameters by X-ray for TABA and theoretical calculations at B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,p) and B97D/6-311++G(2d,p) level of theory for the monomer and dimer, respectively. The bond lengths are given in angstroms and the bond angles and dihedral angles are given in degrees. All the calculations were carried out at gas phase.

S2 Table. Crystal data and structure refinement for the TABA.

S3 Table. Fractional Atomic Coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters ($\AA^2 \times 10^3$) for shelxl. $U_{eq}$ is defined as 1/3 of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{ij}$ tensor.

S4 Table. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ($\AA^2 \times 10^3$) for shelxl. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[h^2a^2U_{11}+2hkab^*U_{12}+...].$

S5 Table. Bond Lengths for TABA.

S6 Table. Bond Angles for TABA.

S7 Table. Torsion Angles for TABA.

S8 Table. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates ($\AA \times 10^4$) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ($\AA^2 \times 10^3$) for TABA.

S9 Table. Check-Cif report for TABA.

Acknowledgments
The authors would like to thank the Instituto de Física de São Carlos for X-ray data collection.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: PSC LRA JHAN ACQDM ACSM JEFS SSO AJC HBN. Performed the experiments: PSC LRA JHAN ACQDM ACSM JEFS SSO AJC HBN. Analyzed the data: PSC LRA JHAN ACQDM ACSM JEFS SSO AJC HBN. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: PSC LRA JHAN ACQDM ACSM JEFS SSO AJC HBN. Wrote the paper: PSC LRA JHAN ACQDM ACSM JEFS SSO AJC HBN. X-ray data: PSC LRA HBN.

References
1. Hilfiker R, Blatter F, Raumer Mv. Relevance of Solid-state Properties for Pharmaceutical Products. Polymorphism: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; 2006. p. 1–19.
2. Chen J, Sarma B, Evans JMB, Myerson AS. Pharmaceutical Crystallization. Crystal Growth & Design. 2011; 11(4):887–95. doi:10.1021/cg101556s
3. Miller SPF, Raw AS, Yu LX. Scientific Considerations of Pharmaceutical Solid Polymorphism in Regulatory Applications. Polymorphism: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; 2006. p. 385–403.
4. Desiraju GR. Supramolecular Synthons in Crystal Engineering—A New Organic Synthesis. Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English. 1995; 34(21):2311–27. doi:10.1002/anie.199523111

5. Aakeroy CB, Salmon DJ. Building co-crystals with molecular sense and supramolecular sensibility. CrystEngComm. 2005; 7(2):439–48. doi: 10.1039/b505883j

6. Cacialli F, Samori P, Silva C. Supramolecular architectures. Materials Today. 2004; 7(4):24–32. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-7021(04)00186-5.

7. Desiraju GR. Crystal engineering: A brief overview. Journal of Chemical Sciences. 2010; 122(5):667–75. doi: 10.1007/s12039-010-0055-2

8. Kaur R, Perumal SSRR, Bhattacharyya AJ, Yashonath S, Guru Row TN. Structural Insights into Proton Conduction in Gallic Acid–Isoniazid Co-crystals. Crystal Growth & Design. 2014; 14(2):423–6. doi: 10.1021/cg0401880

9. Martins ICB, Sardo M, Santos SM, Fernandes A, Antunes A, André V, et al. Packing Interactions and Physicochemical Properties of Novel Multicomponent Crystal Forms of the Anti-Inflammatory Azaeleic Acid Studied by X-ray and Solid-State NMR. Crystal Growth & Design. 2016; 16(1):154–66. doi: 10.1021/acs.cgd.5b01057

10. Kaur R, kaur K, Gupta L, dhir N, Gaganpreet. Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Gallic acid Peptide Derivatives. Int J Pharm Sci Rev Res. 2014; 28(1):16–21.

11. Lu JJ, Wei Y, Yuan QP. Preparative separation of gallic acid from Chinese traditional medicine by high-speed counter-current chromatography and followed by preparative liquid chromatography. Separation and Purification Technology. 2007; 55(1):40–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2006.10.022

12. Sartori G, Ballini R, Bigi F, Bosica G, Maggi R, Righi P. Protection (and deprotection) of functional groups in organic synthesis by heterogeneous catalysis. Chemical Reviews. 2004; 104(1):199–250. doi: 10.1021/cr0200769 PMID: WOS:000188217400006.

13. Pinto J, Silva D, Honorato J, Menezes A, Pinto E, Naves P. Antifungal Activity and Toxicity of the 3,4,5-Trihydroxybenzoic and 3,4,5-Tris(Acetyloxy)Benzoic Acids. Advances in Microbiology. 2015; 5:517–22. doi: 10.4236/aim.2015.57053

14. Li Z-H, Guo M-L. 3,4,5-Triacetoxybenzoic acid hemihydrate. Acta Crystallographica Section E. 2007; 63(3):e167–8. doi: 10.1107/S1600536807070049

15. Dolomanov OV, Bourhis LJ, Gildea RJ, Howard JAK, Puschmann H, OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 2009; 42(2):339–41. doi: 10.1107/S0021889808042728

16. Shelrick G. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallographica Section A. 2008; 64(1):112–22. doi: 10.1107/S0001889807043930
26. Macrae CF, Edgington PR, McCabe P, Pidcock E, Shields GP, Taylor R, et al. Mercury: visualization and analysis of crystal structures. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 2006; 39(3):453–7. doi:10.1107/S002188980600731X

27. Wolff S, Grimwood D, McKinnon J, Jayatilaka D, Spackman M. CrystalExplorer 2.1. University of Western Australia, Perth. 2007.

28. Allen F. The Cambridge Structural Database: a quarter of a million crystal structures and rising. Acta Crystallographica Section B. 2002; 58(3 Part 1):380–8. doi:10.1107/S0108768102003890

29. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, et al. Gaussian 09, Revision B.01. Wallingford CT2009.

30. Becke AD. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. The Journal of Chemical Physics. 1993; 97(7):5648–52. doi:10.1063/1.464913

31. Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Physical Review B. 1988; 37(2):785–9.

32. Sjoberg P, Pollitzer P. Use of the electrostatic potential at the molecular surface to interpret and predict nucleophilic processes. The Journal of Physical Chemistry. 1990; 94(10):3959–61. doi:10.1021/j100373a017

33. Naray-Szabo G, Ferenczy GG. Molecular Electrostatics. Chemical Reviews. 1995; 95(4):829–47. doi:10.1021/cr00036a002

34. GaussView. GaussView. Version 5 ed. Shawnee Mission, KS: Dennington, Roy; Keith, Todd; Millam, John; 2009.

35. Braun DE, Bhardwaj RM, Florence AJ, Tocher DA, Price SL. Complex Polymorphic System of Gallic Acid—Five Monohydrates, Three Anhydrates, and over 20 Solvates. Crystal Growth & Design. 2013; 13(1):19–23. doi:10.1021/cg301506x

36. Clarke HD, Arora KK, Wojtas L, Zaworotko MJ. Polymorphism in Multiple Component Crystals: Forms III and IV of Gallic Acid Monohydrate. Crystal Growth & Design. 2011; 11(4):964–6. doi:10.1021/cg2001865

37. Ermer O, Neudörfl J. Crystal Structure of a Hydrated Molecular 1 : 2 Complex of Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide (NAD+) and Gallic Acid: Polar Alignment of the Phenolic Partner Molecules. Helvetica Chimica Acta. 2012; 95(6):872–84. doi:10.1002/hlca.201200017

38. Mark AS. Molecules in crystals. Physica Scripta. 2013; 87(4):048103.

39. Spackman MA, Jayatilaka D. Hirshfeld surface analysis. CrystEngComm. 2009; 11(1):19–32. doi:10.1039/b818330a

40. Spackman MA, McKinnon JJ. Fingerprinting intermolecular interactions in molecular crystals. CrystEngComm. 2002; 4(66):378–92. doi:10.1039/b203191b

41. Nangia A. Conformational Polymorphs, Multiple Z' Crystal Structures and Phase Transformations. Journal of the Indian Institute of Science. 2007; 87(2):133–47.

42. Reutzel-Edens SM, Russell VA, Yu L. Molecular basis for the stability relationships between homochiral and racemic crystals of tazofelone: a spectroscopic, crystallographic, and thermodynamic investigation. Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2. 2000;(5):913–24. doi:10.1039/a909259e

43. Bernstein J. Polymorphism in Molecular Crystals: Clarendon Press; 2002.

44. Bernstein J. Crystal growth, polymorphism and structure-property relationships in organic crystals. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics. 1993; 26(8B):866.

45. Merrick JP, Moran D, Radom L. An Evaluation of Harmonic Vibrational Frequency Scale Factors. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A. 2007; 111(45):11683–700. doi:10.1021/jp073974n PMID: 17948971

46. HyperChem(TM) Professional 7.51, Hypercube, Inc., 1115 NW 4th Street, Gainesville, Florida 32601, USA.