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Abstract

**Background:** Phytochemicals and plant extracts are showing promising anticancer potentials. In the current study the volatile faction (essential oil) of *Nigella sativa* seeds was evaluated against some hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The essential oil was extracted and characterized by chromatographic techniques to reveal its chemical composition, especially thymoquinone. Then, the oil was fabricated in two nanoemulsion formulations (F1 and F2), which differ in their composition of surfactants. The cytotoxicity and apoptotic activities of the essential oil and its nanoemulsions were evaluated *in vitro* against HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines. Normal WI-38 cell line was also included in that evaluation to study the selectivity and safety of the different formulations on normal cells.

**Results:** Gas chromatographic analysis indicated that the essential oil is composed mainly of p-cymene (40.0%), thymoquinone (31.2%) and *trans*-α-thujene (12.8%). Particle size of the nanoemulsions ranged between 9.4 nm to 119.7 nm depending on the type of surfactant used in the formulation process. The pure essential oil and its two nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) showed dose-dependent antiproliferative activity against both HCC cells. This activity reached its highest cell inhibition in the case of nanoemulsion (F2) where the proliferation percentage was only 21.9% and 9.2% against HepG2 and Huh-7 cells, respectively. The same nanoemulsion (F2) also showed the lowest IC\textsubscript{50} values (55.7 and 35.5 µg/ml) against both HepG2 and Huh-7 cells, respectively, compared to 100 µg/ml for the reference drug Doxorubicin. Flow cytometric analysis also confirmed that nanoemulsion (F2) has the highest apoptotic activity compared to nanoemulsion (F1) and the pure unformulated essential oil. Genetic expressions of pro-apoptotic (Bax) and the anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2) gene markers evaluation revealed that nanoemulsion (F2) has better activity in up-regulating (Bax) and down-regulate (Bcl-2) with the highest Bax/Bcl-2 ratio (69) was found against Huh-7 cells. All *N. sativa* nanoemulsions showed minimal cytotoxicity on the normal WI-38 cell, indicating wide safety margins due to selective properties.

**Conclusion:** Overall, the study revealed the potentials of *N. sativa* essential oil, after formulation in specially tailored nanoemulsion for application as potential adjuvant liver anticancer agent.

**Background**

Liver cancer is prevailing in different parts of the world especially Asia and North Africa. There are different potential causes of this disease including hepatitis (Abutaleb et al. 2021) and excessive alcohol consumption (Thomsen et al. 2020). In addition, mycotoxins and non-alcoholic fatty liver can also be considered as causals of liver cancer (Claeys et al. 2020; Dhamija et al. 2019). Different therapeutic strategies can be used for treatment of liver cancer including hormonal, biochemical, chemotherapeutic and molecular targeted therapies (Shaaban et al. 2014). In addition, early and recent studies showed the promising participation of phytochemicals and herbal medicine in liver cancer therapy strategies (Abdel-Hamid et al. 2018; Fan et al. 2018; Li et al. 2014; Li and Martin 2011). Formulation of phytochemicals in the form of nanoparticles enhances its therapeutic activity due to different factors and mechanisms which are fully discussed (Manivannan et al. 2021; Beg et al. 2020). Polymeric nanoparticles, dendrimers,
solid lipid nanoparticles, micelles, etc. are some examples of nanocarriers that are used for delivering phytochemical or synthetic drugs for treatment of liver cancer (Ruman et al. 2020; Sajid et al. 2018). Nanoemulsions are ideal colloidal systems that are used to fabricate and delivery anti-cancer drugs, including liver cancer, in the form of nanoparticle (Miranda et al. 2021; Groo et al. 2020; Upadhyay et al. 2020). Phytochemicals from aromatic plants like volatile oils (also called essential oils) are also fabricated in nanoemulsion for evaluation against different kinds of cancer cells (Tubtimsri et al. 2021; Salehi et al. 2021).

Among the different phytochemicals and plant extracts, *Nigella sativa* pressed oil occupies a distinctive place in suppression of different types of cancer cells in vitro (Ahmad et al. 2021; Majdalawieh and Fayad 2016). That is due to its content of a volatile oil fraction (essential oil) which bears a natural robust anticancer agent namely thymoquinone (TQ) (Schneider-Stock et al. 2014). The role of TQ as liver anticancer agent either alone or in combination with other drugs was investigated (Zhang et al. 2021; Bashira et al. 2020; Jehan et al. 2020). Studies on the anticancer activity of *N. sativa* essential oil (EO) are numerous, however, relevant investigations on its nanoemulsion are generally scarce. For instance, Periasamy et al. (2016) applied the high energy ultrasonication technique to formulate *N. sativa* EO nanoemulsion for evaluation against breast cancer. No other significant work relevant on *N. sativa* EO nanoemulsion is found.

Based on that, the current study aimed at examining the anticancer activity of *N. sativa* EO and its nanoemulsions on two hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, namely HepG2 and Huh-7. Unlike the previous study of Periasamy et al. (2016), the nanoemulsions in the current study were fabricated using the low energy spontaneous emulsification technique, which is simpler and does not require sophisticated high energy equipment. In addition, this technique was elaborated in our study to give high physically stable nanoemulsion that does not separate. Evaluation of the antiproliferative and apoptotic activity of *N. sativa* EO as well as its nanoemulsions was evaluated *in vitro*. Different factors affecting the anticancer activity of the EO were studies including the effect of nano-emulsification, the type of surfactant(s) and the type of HCC cells. Safety assessment of the different formulation of *N. sativa* EO was also performed using WI-38 as model for normal cells.

**Materials And Methods**

**Materials**

An authentic cold pressed oil of *Nigella sativa* was obtained from the oil expression unit at the National Research Center, Cairo Egypt. Tween 80 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monooleat) and Tween 20 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate) and 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin/streptomycin (P/S), l-glutamine, trypsin/EDTA, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were obtained from Life Technologies, Gibco (Grand Island, NY, USA). Invitrogen RNA extraction kit, RevertAid First strand cDNA synthesis kit, and Annexin V FITC/
propidium iodide (PI) kit were obtained from (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). MiScript SYBR Green PCR kit was purchased from (Qiagen, Valenica, CA, USA).

**Isolation of the essential oil**

Different batches (150 g each) of cold pressed N. sativa oil were subjected to hydro-distillation for 2.5h using Clevenger-type apparatus. The condensed essential oil layer was collected from the side arm of the apparatus, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and kept in brown glass vial under freezing conditions (-4.0ºC) till utilized in the different formulations and evaluations that is running in this study.

**Characterization of N. sativa essential oil**

Gas chromatographic (GC) and Gas chromatographic-mass spectroscopic (GC-MS) analysis were used to separate and identify the volatile constituents of N. sativa essential oil.

First, GC analysis: 10 μL of the essential oil were dissolved in 1.0 ml diethyl ether, then 2.0 μl of this mixture were injected (at a split ratio 10:1) into a Perkin Elmer XL GC equipped with FID. A fused silica capillary column (60m length x 0.32 mm internal diameter x 0.25 μm film thickness) coated with DB-5 (5% phenyl, 95% methyl polysiloxane) was used to separate the different EO components. The oven temperature was programmed from 50°C to 230°C at a rate of 3°C/min. The injector and detector temperatures were 230°C and 250°C respectively. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min. Each essential oil constituent was quantified as peak area percentage relative to the total peak areas, after flame ionization detector (FID). All values of area percent reported in Table (3) were mean of two injections from two different EO extractions ± SD. The available authentic samples were used to identify the separated volatile constituents of N. sativa EO by matching their retention times.

Second, GC-MS analysis: That was performed in order to identify the rest of the compounds which have no available authentic sample for their identification. In addition, GC-MS confirm the identity of those compounds which were previously identified by authentic samples in the GC analysis. For that purpose, the same procedure used for GC analysis was repeated using a Hewlett-Packard 5972 GC-MS system equipped with the same column and under the same conditions as used in GC analysis. The ionization voltage was 70 eV and the ion source temperature was 170°C. The scan range was from 29 to 300 AMU at 2.76 scans per second. Components identification was conducted by automatic matching of their fragmentation patterns (m/z) with standard components stored in the electronic mass spectral library (NIST: National Institute of standards and Technology) that was built-in the GC-MS software.

**Formulation of nanoemulsion**

N. Sativa EO was formulated in two different water-based nanoemulsions, denoted as (F1) and (F2), using the low energy spontaneous emulsification method (Anton and Vandamme 2009). The procedure was modified by the authors to give the desired characteristics of the nanoemulsions according to our previous study (El Sayed et al. 2017). The ingredients of the two nanoemulsions (F1 and F2) are quantitatively the same but differ from one another in the composition of the surfactants according to
Table (1). The formulation procedure was started by mixing the surfactant(s) of each formula with propylene glycol (PG) in glass vial followed by mixing using vortex till both mixtures became homogeneous. Then, *N. Sativa* EO was added to each vial containing the surfactant(s)/PG mixture with continuous stirring using vortex to end up with two sets of (surfactants/PG/EO) concentrates. The concentrates were left to equilibrate for 30 min, then each concentrate was titrated dropwise (with continuous magnetic stirring) to adequate amount of distilled water sufficient to make 1.0% water-based EO nanoemulsions. The process was repeated twice, then all nanoemulsions (F1 and F2 and their duplicates) were kept in dark glass bottles with caps and left for 48h to equilibrate at 25ºC. After that the samples were subjected to physical characterization and for biological evaluations.

**Characterization of nanoemulsions**

*Appearance*

Glass vials containing the different nanoemulsions were examined visually against bright light to check their opacity or translucency. The UV-Vis absorption values of nanoemulsions were also evaluated *spectrophotometrically* using Shimadzu (UV-160 1PC spectrophotometer, Japan) at wave length $\lambda = 600$ nm to establish a quantitative measure for the visual examination of transparency of the samples. The absorption values (A) are an average of two measurements from two different formulations.

*Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)*

Particle morphology of the formulated nanoemulsions was examined using TEM (Philips CM-10 FEI, ln., Hillsboro, OR, USA). Fifty µl of each nanoemulsion was dropped into Formvar-coated copper grids and left to dry. Then the samples were stained using 2% w/v uranyl acetate as a negative staining agent. Image capture and analysis was done using Digital Micrograph and Soft Imaging Viewer soft ware (Electron Microscope Unite services), NRC, Egypt.

*Particle sizing*

The particle size of the nanoemulsions was measured using the dynamic light scattering instrument Zetasizer (Nano-ZS model ZEN3600, Nanoseries, Malvern Instruments, UK). Measurements were done at $20^\circ$C unless otherwise is specified, with a fixed angle of $173^\circ$. Before measurement, all samples were filtered through 0.20 µm single use syringe filter unit (Minisart®, Sartoirus Stedium Biotech GmbH Germany) to remove impurities. Each sample was diluted before measurement with distilled water to only 0.05% to prevent multiple scattering. The measurements are based on the Brownian motion of the hydrated particles thus, it provides information on the hydrodynamic diameter (nm) of the EO particles. Sizes quoted are the z-average mean of the EO droplet's hydrodynamic diameter (nm) obtained from 6 measurements for each sample (2 duplicate x 3 measurements each). The particle size distributions curves (Fig. 3) are plotted from dynamic light scattering data as average of duplicate samples.
Storage stability

Small portion (10 ml) of each of the two freshly formulated nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) was spared in a capped transparent glass vials and left to stand undisturbed on the bench at room temperature (25°C±2) for 6 months. During this period, the samples were regularly inspected visually to detect any change in nanoemulsion appearance such as cloudiness, oil separation or creaming.

Hepatic cancer cell cultivation

The hepatic cancerous HepG2 and Huh-7 cell lines as well as the normal WI-38 cell line were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) supplied from VCSERA and cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 100 U/mL streptomycin, and 100 U/mL penicillin at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Cell proliferation assay

HepG2, Huh-7, and normal WI-38 cells were seeded in 96-well plates and incubated for 24h then, they subsequently treated with 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 µg/ml of F0, F1, and F2 for 24 h incubation. On the other hand, control cells were treated with PBS up on medium. After that, the cells were incubated with 1 mg/ml of MTT reagent at 37°C for 4 h and then it was discarded. The formed formazan crystals were dissolved using 100 ml of DMSO, followed by incubation and shaking. Finally, colorimetric analysis using a multiplate reader was measured at 540 nm.

Measurement of the half inhibitory concentration

The half maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀) values, the concentrations inhibit 50% of cell viabilities, were obtained by plotting the percentages of cell viabilities versus the concentrations of the sample using polynomial concentration–response curve fitting models (OriginPro 8 software). Finally, the fold changes of nano-formulations versus their free pure compounds and the positive control (Doxorubicin; DOX) were measured for all cells.

Flow cytometry-based apoptosis assay

Flow cytometry was used to detect the early and late apoptotic cell distributions and healthy populations. All cells were treated with the IC₅₀ of the F0, F1, and F2 showed in (Table 1) and cultivated for 24 h incubation. After a day, all cells were stained with Annexin V FITC and propidium iodide (PI) (Thermo Scientific). Apoptotic distributions were measured using Flow Cytometry and analyzed using its software.

Quantitative Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRTPCR)

Total RNA Extraction: Total RNA was extracted from HepG2, Huh-7, and WI-38 cells with the IC₅₀ dosage of the proposed treatments using the Invitrogen RNA Purification kit (Thermo Fisher) according to the
manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration and the purity of RNA were assessed by Nanodrop Technologies at 260/280 ratio.

Conversion of RNA to cDNA: First-strand cDNA was synthesized with 1 \( \mu g \) of total RNA using a RevertAid First strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. These samples were subsequently frozen at a temperature of -80°C until use for determination of the expression levels of Bax and Bcl-2 genes using real-time PCR.

Real-Time PCR reactions: Quantitative real-time PCR was performed on DT-lite Real-Time PCR System (English/Russian system) using the MiScript SYBR Green PCR kit which was purchased from (Qiagen, Valenica, CA, USA), in addition to the forward and reverse primers for each gene. The nucleic acid sequences of the forward (F) and reverse (R) primers of Bax and Bcl-2 genes compared to GAPDH as a housekeeping gene were illustrated in (Table 2).

Real-time PCR mixture consisted of 10 \( \mu L \) of SYBR PCR Master Mix, 1 \( \mu L \) of each primer (400nM ), 1 \( \mu L \) cDNA, and 7 \( \mu L \) Rnase-free water in a total volume of 20 \( \mu L \). Amplification conditions and cycle counts were a temperature of 95°C for 15 min for the initial activation, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and elongation at 72°C for 30 s. Melting curves were performed after real-time PCR to demonstrate the specific amplification of single products of interest. A standard curve assay was performed to determine the amplification efficiency of the primers used. Relative fold changes in the expression of target genes were accomplished using the comparative 2\(^{-\Delta \Delta Ct} \) with the \( \beta \)-actin gene as an internal control to normalize the level of target gene expression. \( \Delta \Delta CT \) is the difference between the mean \( \Delta CT \) (treatment group) and mean \( \Delta CT \) (control group), where \( \Delta CT \) is the difference between the mean CT gene of interest and the mean CT internal control gene in each sample. Logarithmic transformation was performed on fold change values before being statistically analyzed, using the fold change values of three replicates for each gene measured.

Results & Discussion

Characterization of \textit{N. sativa} essential oil

Table (3) showed the chemical composition of \textit{N. sativa} EO which is evaluated \textit{in vitro} as a natural plant extract against some HCC cells. Three major volatile components represented 84.0\% of the total identified compounds were reported. These components include \( \alpha \)-thujene (12.8\%), p-cymene (40.0\%) and thymoquinone (TQ, 31.2\%). In addition, there are some other identified components which are less abundant compared to the three major ones (Table 3). In general, the identified components are considered to be qualitatively classical and conforming with previous studies (Edris et al. 2018; Shaaban et al. 2015) with no new components to report. However, from the quantitative viewpoint, there is a difference in the percentage of these components from those reported in the previous studies. For instance, the content of the three major constituents \( \alpha \)-thujene, p-cymene and TQ were previously found to be 0.7\%, 20.0\% and 68.1\%, respectively (Hamed et al. 2017). Such significant difference in the
composition of the main constituents of *N. sativa* EO is commonly experienced by different researchers. That is due to some factors related to genetic diversity of the seeds and/or the climatic variations from one geographical location to another. Generally, the content of TQ in the essential oil participate significantly to the anticancer activity of *N. sativa* EO due to the role of this component in apoptosis, as previously reviewed in the introduction section.

**Formulation, characterization and stability of the nanoemulsions**

The EO of *N. sativa* was formulated in two water-based nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) using the low energy spontaneous emulsification method. This method is simple and can be scaled up easily to the industrial level without obligation to use expensive high energy equipment. Nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) differ from one another in the composition of the surfactants (Table 1). Nanoemulsion (F1) was fabricated using a mixture of surfactants including Tween 80 plus Tween 20 at (2:1) weight ratio. This mixture was selected based on the fact that combination of surfactants can affect better emulsification, stability and smaller particle size compared to single surfactant (Galindo-Alvarez et al. 2011). The ratio of (2:1) was optimized after different trials by the authors aiming to find the most appropriate weight fractions that give smaller and stable nanoparticles.

On the other hand, nanoemulsion (F2) was fabricated using a single surfactant (Tween 80), which is widely used as drug formulation vehicle for anticancer drugs like the taxane anticancer agents that include paclitaxel and docetaxel (Tije et al. 2003).

Figures (1-3) shows the different approaches which were used to characterize the formulated nanoemulsions regarding the appearance, particles morphology and particle size distribution.

Figure (1) indicates that the appearance of both formulae is transparent or translucent but not cloudy, giving a preliminary impression of small nanoparticles that does not scatter light. The nanoemulsion formulated using a mixture of surfactants (F1) looked transparent (Fig. 1, right) while that formulated using single surfactant (F2) appeared translucent (Fig. 1, left). That indicate more light scattering and relatively larger particles compared to (F1). UV-vis absorption at 600nm confirmed the visual appearance where the absorption value of (F1) was 0.023 which is lower than that of (F2) which recorded 0.194. These spectroscopic data indicate two nanoemulsions in which the EO is dispersed homogenous as nanoparticles in the approximate range of 10-100 nm, as predicted from the low visible light absorption and the minimally scatter light.

Figure (2) shows the particles’ morphology of (F1) and (F2) using the negative staining transmission electron microscope (TEM). The figure indicates that both nanoemulsions have a spherical-shaped particles which have a diameter in the targeted nano-size range (~ 100 nm, Fig. 2). However, TEM analysis just gave an approximation on the particle size of the nanoemulsion. That is because it is hard to find a field that cover all particle size populations in the nanoemulsion due to the low oil droplets concentration (1.0%). In addition, artifact formation due to negative staining of the nanoemulsions and the high vacuum of operation may also alter the real size of the particles.
Therefore, for more comprehensive data about the particle size diameter of both nanoemulsions, dynamic light scattering analysis was conducted (Fig. 3). The figure shows that nanoemulsion (F1) has two particles populations, the first has droplets of 9.4 nm (intensity 84.6%) and the second has droplets of 72.3 nm (intensity 15.4%). Similarly, nanoemulsion (F2) also showed two relatively larger particles populations, the first is 11.6 nm (65.5%) and the second is 119.7nm (34.5%). These data correlated well with the appearance of both nanoemulsions illustrated in Fig. (1), especially (F2) which appeared translucent with more light scattering due to the second population of particles that have 119.7nm.

From the above-mentioned findings (Fig. 1-3) we can confirm the formulation of *N. sativa* EO in two nanoemulsion (F1 &F2) which differ slightly from one another in their average particle size due to surfactants’ composition.

These nanoemulsions were also found to be physically stable against gravitational separation after 6-month storage period at 25ºC. Visual observation of the nanoemulsions at regular intervals during that storage period did not detect any opacity, oil separation or creaming, which indicate high physical stability of both formulae. What was remarkable is that nanoemulsion (F2) which looked translucent right after formulation (Fig. 1, left) became more transparent after storage. The measured UV-vis absorbance at λ600 nm confirmed that visual observation in which the value changed from 0.194 right after formulation (zero time) to become 0.02 after 6-months storage. This indicate that the particles of the formulated nanoemulsions are dynamic and tend to change their size continuously to the smaller during storage till they reach a final equilibrium state. This is considered to be an advantage of the formulated nanoemulsions which proved to be both thermodynamically and kinetically stable. That is due to the meticulous choice of the ingredients and their ratios in both nanoemulsions (Table 1).

After formulation, characterization and stability evaluation of the nanoemulsions, all formulation including the pure distilled unformulated EO (F0) were subjected to the different anticancer evaluations as will be tackled in the next passages.

**Assessment of cytotoxicity of *N. sativa* essential oil and its nanoemulsions**

The cytotoxic effect of the distilled *N. Sativa* EO (F0) as well as its two nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) was evaluated against some hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) cell lines, namely, HepG2 and Huh-7 cells. These cell lines are commonly used as models for *in vitro* evaluation of cytotoxicity of chemotherapeutic drugs which is used in advanced liver cancer (Dubbelboer et al. 2019). The cytotoxic effect of the different *N. sativa* EO formulations was evaluated in two terms, cell proliferation percentage and IC\textsubscript{50} values.

**Cytotoxicity in terms of cell proliferation percentage**

In this assay, the percentage of HCC cells which remained alive after treatment with gradient doses (20-100 µg/ml) of each *N. sativa* EO formulation was evaluated and illustrated in Figure (4). The results revealed that there is a dose-dependent decrease in HCC cell proliferation percentage upon treatment with the different formulations.
In details, the unformulated distilled EO of *N. sativa* (F0) inhibited HCC cell proliferation to 47.2% and 42.1% for HepG2 and Huh-7 cells, respectively after treatment with 100 µg EO/ml (Fig. 4). This means that the inactivation of both HCC cells reached 52.8% and 57.9% respectively after treatment with the highest tested dose of (F0).

The antiproliferative activity of (F0) is likely to be attributed to the presence of thymoquinone (TQ, 31.0%) among the chemical constituents of *N. sativa* EO (Table 3). This compound per se is an active anticancer agent which manifested its own antiproliferative and protective activity against different cancer cell models (Ha et al. 2020; Bhattacharjee et al. 2020, including HCC (Bimonte et al. 2019). Generally, TQ has been found to modulate a battery of signaling pathways that prevents tumor progression (Zhang et al. 2020; Afrose et al. 2020; Fatfat et al. 2019; Schneider-Stock et al. 2014). In more specific discussion regarding HCC, an earlier study by Ashour et al. (2014) indicated that TQ can cause G2M cell cycle arrest and inhibit expression of NF-jB and suppressed IL-8 and its receptors, beside upregulation of TRAIL death receptors. More recently, Aslan et al. (2021) added that TQ showed antiproliferative activity against HepG2 cells by inducing ceramide accumulation and ER stress in conjunction with decreasing S1P, C1P and NF-κB mediated cell survival. All these effects can promote HepG2 cancer cell death by triggering apoptosis. In addition, TQ can sensitize HepG2 cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis via oxidative DNA damage and by inducing the death receptor pathway (Zhang et al. 2021).

Based on the above mentioned, we should link the antiproliferative activity of the EO (F0) to its content of TQ which was found to be only 31.2% of the constituents of *N. sativa* EO (Table 3). This percentage is considered to be low compared with other values (56.2% and 68.1%) which was previously reported by our group (Hamed et al. 2017) using different seeds of *N. sativa*. That illustrates the importance of screening for the seeds that bear high content of TQ. In addition, the method of extracting the EO from the seeds also has significant effect on the content of TQ in the oil (Edris et al. 2018; Edris 2010).

So, we preliminary presume that the previously illustrated example of EO with high TQ content (68.1%, Hamed et al. 2017) would have shown about double the antiproliferative activity compared to the EO evaluated in the current study which contained only 31.0 % TQ. However, we should be careful regarding this statement because the relationship between TQ content in EO and antiproliferative activity may not be linear as we presume. Therefore, it will be interesting in the future to examine this statement using different seeds that bear variable percentages of TQ among their EO. In this regard, seeds from *Nigella hispanica* is recommended as a negative control for comparison, because its EO has 0.0% TQ (Kokoska et al. 2012), with no other antiproliferative active ingredients in that EO.

Due to the diversity of volatile components in the EO of *N. sativa* (Table 3), it could be possible that other components participate together with TQ in the overall antiproliferative activity of the EO. For instance, the EO contain considerable amounts of terpenes like p-cymene (40.0%) and trans-a-thujene (12.8%) in combination with other minor components (Table 3). To our knowledge, we did not find reports which proclaim cytotoxic effect of each individual components of these terpenes. However, Silva et al. (2020)
emphasis on the important role of these terpenic compounds (all together, without TQ) on the overall cytotoxic activity of EO of *N. sativa* against MCF-7 cells.

One of the interesting findings that we can extract from Fig. 4 is that Huh-7 cells are more sensitive to the EO of *N. sativa* (F0) than HepG2. The same observation was previously reported in another study (Ashour et al. 2014) where pure TQ was used as an antiproliferative agent. The reason of that phenomena is not known so far, but we assume that it is related to the receptors on the surface of the cell wall of both HepG2 and Huh-7 cells. It worth indicating that one of the main differences between these cells is on p53 expression. HepG- cells carry wild-type p53, whereas Huh-7 cells have null and point mutations at p53 codon 220, respectively.

**Effect of nanoemulsions on the cell antiproliferation percentage**

The EO of *N. sativa* was formulated in two water-based nanoemulsion formulae (F1 & F2) which differ from one another in the composition of the surfactants (Table 1). The structure of the nanoemulsion in the current study is typically composed of a continuous pool of water which bears nanoparticle composed of spherical layers of surfactant(s) encapsulating the EO of *N. sativa* in its inner lipophilic core. This nanoemulsion is described as one of the phytomedicine-based delivery systems which is used for therapeutic strategies in liver cancer (Kumar et al. 2020). It is also suitable for oral or parenteral administration routs due to its water-based nature.

Figure 4 showed that both nanoemulsion systems (F1 & F2) inhibited the proliferative activity of HCC cells more than the non-formulated EO (F0). For instance, nanoemulsion (F1), showed inhibition in proliferative activity to become only 28.7% and 15.5 % against HepG2 and Huh-7 cells, respectively. Interestingly, nanoemulsion (F2) showed the same trend with even more proliferation inhibition activity which was further dropped to 21.9% and 9.2% against HepG2 and Huh-7 cells, respectively. From these data it is clear that the activity of *N. sativa* EO (F0) is greatly enhanced upon formulation in nanoemulsion. That, can be attributed to the better diffusion and enhanced permeability and retention of nanoparticles into the cancer cells (Yao et al. 2020; Zhu et al. 2015). That in turn can lead to increase tumor cell response to the model drug (Karasulu et al. 2009).

Fig. 4 also indicates that nanoemulsion (F2) has better inhibition of HCC cells proliferation than (F1). That confirms clearly the effect of nanocarrier material, i.e. the surfactant, on the activity of cancer drug models formulated in nanoemulsion. So far, we do not have enough clear evidence to justify why nanocarrier made of 100% Tween 80, as nanoemulsion F2, gave better inhibition in proliferation compared to (F1) which is made of a mixture of Tween 80 (66.6%) and Tween 20 (33.3%). However, that can be due to the inherent cytotoxic effect of Tween 80 on some cancer cells (Kubis et al. 1979; Kay 1965. It could be also be due to the difference in the carbon chain length of the fatty acid moiety of both surfactant nanocarriers which host the EO in the inner core. Tween 80 have 18 carbon atoms while Tween 20 have only 12 carbon atoms. This difference in the volume faction of the inner fatty acid part of the nanocarrier may differentially effect on the interaction and hence the release of the EO to HCC cells. More work is still needed to justify this issue on an evidence-based background.
Cytotoxicity in terms of IC\textsubscript{50}

IC\textsubscript{50} is another manifestation of cytotoxicity of certain drug model against cancer cells. It is defined as the concentration of the drug necessary to kill half of the cancerous cells, therefore, the smaller the value of IC\textsubscript{50} the more cytotoxic is the drug. Results in Table (4) shows that the different formulations of \textit{N. sativa} EO (F0, F1 & F2) have lower IC\textsubscript{50} values compared to the reference drug Doxorubicin (100 \(\mu\)g/ml). The lowest IC\textsubscript{50} compared to that of Doxorubicin was found for nanoemulsion (F2) against Huh-7 cells.

The ratio of IC\textsubscript{50} of the drug relative to that of F0, F1 and F2 is denoted as fold change (a), which shows the magnitude of enhanced activity of the EO formulations relative to Doxorubicin (Table 4). This value is always > 1 indicating that all formulations are more cytotoxic than the reference drug, especially nanoemulsion (F2) against Huh-7 cells where the fold change is 2.81.

From Table (4) it is also evident that the nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) have lower IC\textsubscript{50} value, i.e. higher cytotoxicity, relative to (F0). That confirms the potentials of nanoparticles for enhancing the cytotoxicity and inhibitory activity of \textit{N. sativa} EO. The ratio of IC\textsubscript{50} of (F0) relative to that (F1) and (F2) is denoted as fold change (b), which was also always > 1, indicating higher IC\textsubscript{50} values for (F0). The fold change (b) reached its highest value for nanoemulsion (F2) against Huh-7 (2.54), indicating that (F0) is much lower in cytotoxicity compared to nanoemulsion (F2).

Comparing our results with previous investigations we found that the IC\textsubscript{50} of pure TQ against HepG2 was 6.16 \(\mu\)g/mL (El-Najjar et al. 2010; Fröhlich et al. 2017). In addition, a plant extract isolated from \textit{Indigofera zollingeriana} also showed low IC\textsubscript{50} values against HepG2 (6.8 ug/ml) and Huh-7 cells (8.7 ug/ml) (Vo et al. 2020). However, we can justify their lower IC\textsubscript{50} values compared to ours based on the prolonged contact time between the HCC cells and the plant extract, which was double (48h) the contact time that we applied (24h) in the current study. One should also bear in mind that the wide spread cultivation of \textit{N. sativa} and its abundance all over the world make it more available for practical pharmaceutical applications on large scale.

Apoptosis

After studying the cytotoxic effect of \textit{N. Sativa} EO and its two nanoemulsions against HCC cells, this section investigates the mechanistic approach of killing cancerous cells. The tools used for that purpose include flow cytometric analysis and genetic expressions of pro-apoptotic (Bax) and the anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2) gene markers.

Flow cytometric analysis is one of the most popular applications of studying apoptosis. It offers the ability to study large numbers of cells individually rather than a mixed population. The technique can also be used to detect and quantify the level of apoptosis in a population of cells at static points or in a time course. Figure (5) showed the apoptotic diagram of the two HCC cells and normal WI-38 cells after treatment with the different formulations of \textit{N. sativa} EO. From the figure it is evident that the count
percent of HCC cells in the quadrant of negative annexin V/negative PI were decreased gradually in a significant manner after treatment with F0, F1, and F2, respectively. The same treatment shifted the cells into positive annexin V/positive PI quadrants leading to apoptotic cells. From Fig. 5 it is clear that nanoemulsion (F2) caused the highest significant induction rate of apoptosis compared to (F0) and (F1). In addition, Huh-7 cells showed less count percent than HepG2 cell line, indicating more sensitivity toward the treatment. These two conclusions came in accordance with our previous finding in the results concerning cytotoxicity evaluations. Figure (6) represents the graphs of the flow cytometric apoptotic screening diagram which was presented previously in Fig. (5). Cell viability percentage (Fig 6a) and apoptotic cell percentage (Fig. 6b) elicit in detailed the same results that we previously discussed in Fig. (5).

**Gene expression of Bax and Bcl-2 genes**

Genetic expressions of pro-apoptotic (Bax) and the anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2) gene markers where evaluated in the current study (Fig. 7) to verify (support) the results obtained from the previously discussed apoptotic diagrams (Fig. 5). Data in Fig. (7a) indicate that F0, F1 & F2 showed upregulation of Bax gene expression compared to the untreated normal cells (control). Nanoemulsion (F2) showed the highest upregulating activity, and Huh-7 cells was the most responding to the treatment, as previously shown in the cytotoxic and apoptotic evaluations. In addition, nanoemulsion (F2) caused the highest significant downregulation of Bcl-2 genetic expression especially in Huh-7 compared to the normal cells (Fig. 7b).

The ratio of genetic expressions of pro-apoptotic (Bax) and anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2) is very important to confirm the apoptotic effect of any new treatment. Therefore, this ratio was calculated in the current study and shown in Table 5. The data indicates that nanoemulsion (F2) has the highest Bax/Bcl-2 ratios especially for Huh-7 (69) followed by HepG2 (18) compared with normal cells (1.56). Nanoemulsion (F1) also showed high Bax/Bcl-2 ratio, but next to (F2) in activity, in which the ratio was 9.25 and 7.0 for HepG2 and Huh-7, respectively. On the other hand, the unformulated pure *N. sativa* EO (F0) recorded the least Bax/Bcl-2 ratios for HepG2 (2.25) and Huh-7 (2.71) compared with normal cells (1.09). This result indicates the significance of specially tailored *N. sativa* EO nanoemulsion (F2) for modulating the pro-apoptotic and the anti-apoptotic genes for the favor of apoptosis.

**Safety of *N. sativa* and its nanoemulsions**

One of the common draw backs of anticancer chemotherapeutic drugs is its lack of selectivity (non-specificity), in their cytotoxic effect. This means that they could be cytotoxic to malignant as well as normal body cells resulting in little improvement on patient case. Therefore, the authors in the current study were keen to include a model of normal cells like WI-38 beside HCC cells in the evaluation of the cytotoxic and apoptotic activity of the different formulae of *N. sativa* EO. Data illustrated in Figs. (4-7) and Table (5) showed that the EO and its nanoemulsions have a minimal harmful effect on the normal WI-38 cells. These figures reflect the specificity of *N. sativa* EO formulae against HCC cells, while sparing normal WI-38 cells without harmful effects. That could be due to the reported selectivity of TQ, (31.2% of EO composition) for induction of HCC to apoptosis (Jehan et al. 2020).
Conclusions

This *in-vitro* study illustrates the cytotoxic and apoptotic potential of *N. sativa* essential oil against hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. Formulation in nanoemulsion increased the cytotoxicity of the essential oil as revealed by inhibiting cell proliferation, decreasing IC$_{50}$, enhancing apoptosis and upregulating the expression of apoptotic genes. The composition of the nanoemulsion especially the type of surfactant plays a significant role in enhancing the cytotoxicity of the essential oil. *N. sativa* EO and its nanoemulsions were found to be safe on normal cells, which indicate selective cytotoxicity. Overall, the results of this study provide additional insights on the application of *N. sativa* essential oil nanoemulsion as a potential adjuvant formula that selectively target HCC cells for treatment of liver cancer.
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Tables

**Table 1** Composition of the different formulae of *N. sativa* essential oils used for the evaluation of anticancer activity.

| abbreviation | Definition                                                                                       | Composition            | Delivery media |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| F0           | Distilled unformulated essential oil                                                            | Volatile oil (1.0%)    | DEMSO          |
| F1           | Essential oil formulated in nanoemulsion using binary surfactant mixture<sup>a</sup>            | Volatile oil (1.0%)    | Water          |
|              |                                                                                                 | Surfactant mixture<sup>a</sup> (2.0%) |                |
|              |                                                                                                 | PG (0.8%)              |                |
|              |                                                                                                 | Water (96.2%)          |                |
| F2           | Essential oil formulated in nanoemulsion using single surfactant<sup>b</sup>                   | Volatile oil % (1.0%)  | Water          |
|              |                                                                                                 | Surfactant<sup>b</sup> (2.0%) |                |
|              |                                                                                                 | PG (0.8%)              |                |
|              |                                                                                                 | Water (96.2%)          |                |

<sup>a</sup> Tween 80/Tween 20 (2:1 weight ratio)

<sup>b</sup> Tween 80 only

PG propylene glycol
Table 2: Primer sequences

| Gene  | Primer sequence                  |
|-------|----------------------------------|
| BCL-2 | F 5'-CTGCACCTGACGCCCTTACCC-3'   |
|       | R 5'-CACATGACCCCCACCGAATCAAAGA-3' |
| Bax   | F 5'-ATGGCTTCTATGAGGCTGAG-3'    |
|       | R 5'-CGGCCCCAGTTGAAGTTG-3'      |
| GAPDH | F 5'-GTCTCCTCTGACTTCAAACAGCG-3' |
|       | R 5'-ACCACCTGTTGCTGAGCACA-3'    |

F: forward primer
R: Reverse primer

Table 3 Chemical composition of *N. sativa* essential oil after gas chromatographic (GC) and gas chromatographic-mass spectroscopic (GC-MS) analysis
| Identified compounds | Peak area (%) (from GC analysis) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| trans-α-thujene<sup>a</sup> | 12.8±0.8 |
| α-pinene<sup>b</sup> | 2.6±0.1 |
| sabinene<sup>b</sup> | 1.0±0.05 |
| β-pinene<sup>b</sup> | 2.6±0.1 |
| p-cymene<sup>b</sup> | 40.0±1.0 |
| cis-4-methoxythujan<sup>a</sup> | 3.2±0.05 |
| trans-4-methoxythujan<sup>a</sup> | 31.2±2.4 |
| Thymoquinone<sup>b</sup> | 0.9±0.07 |
| Carvacrol<sup>b</sup> | 0.9±0.09 |
| Longifolene<sup>a</sup> | 95.7 |
| Total identified | | |

<sup>a</sup> Compounds identified by GC-MS

<sup>ab</sup> Compounds identified by GC-MS and confirmed with authentic samples injection.

Table 4 IC<sub>50</sub> values of <i>N. sativa</i> essential oil (F0) and its nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) against HepG2 and Huh-7 hepatocellular carcinoma cells.

|   | HepG2 |   | Huh-7 |   |
|---|-------|---|-------|---|
|   | RD<sup>a</sup> | F0 | F1   | F2 | RD<sup>a</sup> | F0 | F1   | F2 |
| IC<sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) | 100.0 | 98.39 | 65.27 | 55.74 | 100.0 | 90.44 | 52.38 | 35.5 |
| Fold change (a) | --- | 1.01 | 1.53 | 1.79 | --- | 1.10 | 1.90 | 2.81 |
| Fold change (b) | --- | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.76 | --- | 1.00 | 1.72 | 2.54 |

<sup>a</sup> RD: reference drug (Doxorubicin).
Fold change (a): (IC$_{50}$ RD / IC$_{50}$ F0, F1 or F2).

Fold change (b): (IC$_{50}$ F0 / IC$_{50}$ F1 or F2).

**Table 5** The ratio of pro-apoptotic (Bax) to the anti-apoptotic (Bcl-2) gene markers (Bax / Bcl-2).

|                  | F0    | F1    | F2    |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| HepG2 cells      | 2.25  | 9.25  | 18    |
| Huh-7 cells      | 2.71  | 7     | 69    |
| Normal WI-38 cells | 1.09  | 1.64  | 1.56  |

**Figures**

**Figure 1**

appearance of the water-based N. sativa essential oil nanoemulsions (after 48h equilibration at 25ºC). Vials from left: nanoemulsion (F2), middle: pure unformulated essential oil, right: nanoemulsion (F1).
Figure 2

TEM photos of N. sativa essential oil nanoemulsions after negative staining. Left nanoemulsion (F1), right nanoemulsion (F2)
Figure 3

Particle size distribution of N. sativa nanoemulsions (F1) and (F2) evaluated using dynamic laser scattering.
Figure 4

Cytotoxicity of N. sativa essential oil (F0) and its nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) against hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (HepG2 and Huh-7) in comparison to normal WI-38 cells. *Doses are expressed in μg/ml. Bars represent average of 3 replicates ± SD.

Figure 5

Apoptotic screening diagram using flow cytometry of the tested formulas against hepatocellular cancer cell lines HepG2 (A) and Huh-7 (B) versus normal WI-38 cells (C).
Figure 6

Apoptotic graphs showing the un-apoptotic cells (A top) and apoptotic cells (B bottom) of N. sativa essential oil (F0) and its nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) against hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines HepG2 and Huh-7 in comparison to normal WI-38 cells. Control: untreated cells. Bars represent average of 3 replicates ± SD.
Figure 7

Effect of N. sativa essential oil (F0) and its nanoemulsions (F1 & F2) against pro-apoptotic Bax gene and anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 gene. Control: untreated cells. Bars represent average of 3 replicates ± SD.
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