The present work describes the robust synthesis of Ru alkylidene complexes \((\text{PCy}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}=\text{CHR}\) – precursors for metathesis catalysts. Moreover, the dynamic behavior of complexes where \(R = 2\)-naphthyl and 2-thienyl was studied. \(^1\)H NMR techniques were employed to establish the preferred conformations in solution for both complexes and the energy barrier for rotation around single (Ru=)CH–C(thienyl) bond was estimated \((\Delta G^\neq_{303K} = 12.6 \text{ kcal/mol})\).

We report herein on an improved protocol for the synthesis of the ethylidene complex \((\text{PCy}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}=\text{CHMe} \ (1a)\) by direct reduction of RuCl\(_3\) with Mg/ClCH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)Cl in THF in the presence of excess PCy\(_3\) and hydrogen followed by subsequent reaction with acetylene [10].

We report herein on an improved protocol for the synthesis of the ethylidene complex \((\text{PCy}_3)_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}=\text{CHMe} \ (1a)\) under mild conditions which is an efficient precursor for the preparation of wide variety of other alkylidene complexes.

**Results and Discussion**

Van der Schaaf and co-workers published in 2000 a simple one-pot procedure for the synthesis of the ruthenium benzylidene complex \((\text{iPr}_3\text{P})_2\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}=\text{CPh} \ [8]\). It was mentioned that also \((\text{PCy}_3)_3\text{Cl}_2\text{Ru}=\text{CPh}\) could be similarly prepared. To our surprise, by following exactly the given protocol using DBU as...
Scheme 1: Synthesis of complex 1a.

In sharp contrast, the use of 1-phenyl-2-trimethylsilylacetylene or 1-trimethylsilyl-1-hexyne gave the vinylidene complexes 2 and 3 in only moderate isolated yields (see Scheme 2).

Scheme 2: Synthesis of complexes 2 and 3.

Compound 1a is remarkably stable below room temperature and readily exchanges the ethylidene moiety with other alkenes. Thus, compound 1a is an ideal precursor for a variety of other ruthenium alkylidene complexes. Compounds 1b–i (Scheme 3) were readily isolated and characterized spectroscopically. It is noteworthy, that with the exception of 1e and 1g, all isolated complexes decompose slowly in chlorinated organic solvents.

Therefore, cross metatheses in toluene in general led to alkylidene complexes with higher isolated yields.

The NMR spectra of compounds 1b,c,e–i displayed more or less broad signals at ambient temperature. In particular, lowering the temperature of solutions of 1e and 1g in CD2Cl2 caused further broadening of the NMR resonances which only become properly resolved for the aromatic and methylidene signals at −80 °C. The slow exchange resonances of compound 1g are better resolved due to the lower concentration of the minor isomer. A 1H,1H-COSY experiment at −80 °C enabled the identification of the aromatic resonances in the low temperature spectrum (Figure 1). The singlet at 8.49 ppm is assigned to H1 and the doublet at 9.01 ppm to H3 on the basis of the observed weak coupling 4J(H1H3). The strong coupling of 3J(H3H4) = 8.2 Hz with doublet at 9.01 ppm allows the assignment of H4 (7.78 ppm). Other coupling patterns are consistent with the resonances of the residual protons H5 (8.12, d, J = 8.2 Hz), H6 (7.50, t, J = 7.0 Hz) and H7/H8 (7.67-7.75, m).

Figure 1: Naphthyl-group region of 1H,1H-COSY NMR for 1g in CD2Cl2 at −80 °C.

Scheme 3: Synthesis of complexes 1b–i.
Strong NOE enhancement of H1 upon saturation of the carbene proton at 19.75 ppm (see Figure 2) is consistent with preferred conformer 1g in which the naphthyl moiety is directed away from the phosphine ligand (see Scheme 4).

Figure 2: 1H NMR (top) and NOE difference spectrum (bottom) of 1g in CD2Cl2 at −80 °C, saturating the methylidene H signal at δ = 19.75 ppm.

Scheme 4: Conformational isomerism in complex 1g.

At low temperature both isomers of 1e are visible in the NMR spectrum due to comparable concentrations (obtained enthalpy difference ΔH = 1.3 kcal/mol, see Supporting Information File 1). A number of NOE experiments at −40 °C allowed the assignment of the resonances of both isomers 1e and 1e’. Saturation of the carbene proton at 18.9 ppm led to strong NOE enhancement of the singlet at 7.68 ppm (Figure 3) and allowed the assignment of this signal to the H3 proton of the thienyl moiety and was consistent with the s-trans isomer 1e being the preferred conformer (see Scheme 5). The EXSY effect made it possible to assign the signal at 8.80 ppm to H3’ of the minor s-cis conformer. Enhancement of the signal at 6.99 ppm (Figure 4) by saturation of the signal at 8.07 ppm and EXSY inversion of the resonance at 7.79 ppm allowed the assignment of the signals for H5 (8.07 ppm), H4 (6.99 ppm), H5’ (7.79 ppm) and H4’ (7.03 ppm).

Figure 3: Olefin and alkylidene-proton region of the 1H NMR (top) and NOE difference spectrum (bottom) of 1e in CD2Cl2 at −40 °C, saturating the methylidene H signal at δ = 18.9 ppm.

Scheme 5: Conformational isomerism in complex 1e.

The thiophene region of the 1H NMR spectrum of 1e was simulated and iteratively fitted to the experimental spectra in order to evaluate the rate constants at various temperatures (Supporting Information File 1). Linear regression analysis of these data gave activation enthalpy ΔH‡ = 13.7 kcal/mol. From the rate constant at 303 K the value of free energy of activation (∆G‡303K = 12.6 kcal/mol) was also calculated. This is substantially higher than several calculated (Ea = 4.4 kcal/mol) [11,12] and experimentally estimated (Ea = 5.7 kcal/mol) [13] internal rotation barriers of styrene, 2-vinylthiophene (Ea =
Figure 4: Olefin and alkyl group region of the $^1$H NMR (top) and NOE difference spectrum (bottom) of $1e$ in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ at $-40$ °C, saturating the thienyl-H5 signal at $\delta = 8.07$ ppm.

4.8 kcal/mol) [14] and is comparable with rotation barrier of the aryl ring in chromium carbene complexes ($\Delta G_{298K}^\neq = 13.0–16.2$ kcal/mol) [15].

**Experimental**

Routine, 2D-correlation spectra ($^1$H-$^1$H-COSY) and SELNOE experiments were recorded on a Bruker Avance-400 (BFO-Plus with Z gradient) spectrometers. $^1$H NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to TMS at 0 ppm. IR spectra were recorded on a Tensor 27 FT-IR Spectrometer (Bruker) with MKII Golden Gate Single Reflection Diamond ATR System. For ESI-MS spectra, a Q-TOF Premier (Waters) was used. All solvents used were anhydrous grade purchased from Aldrich. Commercially available compounds were used without further purification. 2-Vinylthiophene [16], 2-vinylfuran [17], 1-vinylcyclohexene [18], 1-vinylcyclopentene [18] and nopadiene [19] were prepared according to known procedures.

2-Vinylindene was prepared by a slightly modified literature procedure [18]: A solution of 2-indanone (5 g, 38 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added over 10 min to a cooled (ice bath) and stirred solution of vinylmagnesium chloride (1.6 M in THF, 36 mL, 57 mmol). The mixture was stirred at 60 °C for a further 30 min and then cooled, quenched with saturated NH$_4$Cl solution, and finally extracted thoroughly with ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in pyridine (30 mL). POCl$_3$ (4.5 mL, 45 mmol) was slowly added to this solution at $4$ °C under an argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred for further 10 h in an ice bath and then slowly allowed to warm to ambient temperature overnight. The resulting dark brown mixture was poured into ice water and the product extracted with ether. The extracts were washed successively with 2N HCl and then brine. After drying and filtration through a short pad of silica gel, the crude product was purified by distillation to yield 2-vinylindene (2.59 g, 18.2 mmol, 48%) as a colorless liquid, bp 93–94 °C/200 mbar.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(ethylidene)ruthenium(II) ($1a$): 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (3.3 mL, 22 mmol) and tricyclohexylphosphine (6.17 g, 22 mmol) were added under an argon atmosphere to a suspension of dichloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)ruthenium(II) (2.8 g, 10 mmol) in isopropanol (100 mL). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h. THF (150 mL) was added to the resulting brick-red suspension which was allowed to cool to 15 °C prior to the addition of 2M HCl in ether (12 mL). After stirring for 5 min, trimethylsilylacetylene (4.2 mL, 30 mmol) was added and the resulting purple colored mixture stirred in an ice bath for 3 h. THF was then evaporated at 4 °C in order to complete the precipitation. The solid product was filtered by suction, washed thoroughly with chilled methanol and vacuum dried at 0–5 °C to give 6.85 g (90%) of purple crystals. $^{31}$P NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 35.8$ ppm; $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 19.30$ (q, $J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.60 (d, $J = 5.5$ Hz, 3H), 2.60–2.52 (m, 6H), 1.88–1.22 (m, 60H) ppm.

**General procedure A for the synthesis of alkylidene complexes**: (PCy$_3$)$_2$Ru=CHMe ($1a$) (1 mmol) was added to a stirred and cooled (ice bath) solution containing a four-fold excess of the respective olefin in degassed CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (25 mL). Argon was bubbled through the resulting dark violet solution for 2 h at 4 °C and then for a further 30 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was again chilled in ice bath. Degassed methanol (20 mL) was added and the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ removed in vacuo at 0–5 °C. To complete the precipitation another portion of degassed chilled methanol (10 mL) was added and the precipitated product was filtered by suction. The resulting solid was washed thoroughly with chilled methanol, sucked as dry as possible, washed with hexane and dried under vacuum.
General procedure B for the synthesis of alkylidene complexes: (PCy₂)Cl₂Ru=CHMe (1a) (1 mmol) was added to a stirred and cooled (ice bath) solution containing a four-fold excess of the respective olefin in degassed toluene (25 mL). Argon was bubbled through the resulting dark violet solution for 2 h at 4 °C and then for a further 30 min at room temperature. Toluene was removed in vacuum at 20 °C and the residue triturated with chilled methanol (20 mL). The precipitated product was filtered by suction, washed thoroughly with chilled methanol and dried under vacuum.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(cyclopenten-1-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1b): The product (violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure B in 80% yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 37.26 ppm; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 19.30 (s, 1H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 3.14 (m, 2H), 2.60 (m, 6H), 1.95–1.11 (m, 64H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: ð = 285.83, 164.61, 139.83, 36.97, 34.80; 31.95 (t, J = 9.1), 29.63, 27.91 (t, J = 5.0), 26.64, 25.15.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(cyclohexen-1-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1c): The product as a toluene adduct (intensive violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure B in 46% yield. ³¹P NMR (CD₂D₆): ð = 36.53 ppm; ¹H NMR (CD₂D₆): ð = -19.08 (s, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 2.87 (m, 2H), 2.60 (m, 6H), 1.95–1.11 (m, 66H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: ð = 296.4 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 172.34 (br.), 141.71 (br.), 121.54 (br.), 115.44, 32.11 (t, J = 9.0), 29.62, 27.85 (t, J = 5.1), 26.56.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(benzylidene)ruthenium(II) (1d): The product (violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure A in 81% yield. The NMR spectra were in agreement with the spectra reported in the literature [5].

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(thien-2-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1e): The product (dark violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure A in 71% yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 35.96 ppm; ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): ð = 19.05 (s, 1H), 8.09 (s, br., 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (m, 6H), 1.75–1.60 (m, 30H), 1.39–1.35 (m, 12H), 1.20–1.12 (m, 18H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 269.11, 163.84 (br.), 133.09 (br.), 129.22, 32.26 (t, J = 9.1), 29.68, 27.85 (t, J = 5.0), 26.55. IR (ATR): λ⁻¹ = 2919 (vs), 2848 (s), 2169 (w), 2051 (w), 1936 (w), 1901 (w), 1443 (m), 1403 (m), 1353 (m), 1263 (m), 1005 (m), 734 (vs) cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): m/z (%) = 828 (21) [M⁺], 793 (9), 281 (100).

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(fur-2-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1f): The product (dark violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure A in 56% yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 37.04 ppm; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 18.79 (s, 1H), 8.12 (s, br., 1H), 7.74 (s, br., 1H), 6.43 (dd, J = 3.6 Hz, J = 1.7, 1H), 2.64 (m, 6H), 1.81–1.67 (m, 30H), 1.48–1.41 (m, 12H), 1.27–1.14 (m, 18H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: ð = 259.90 (d, J = 105.1), 172.34 (br.), 141.71 (br.), 121.54 (br.), 115.44, 32.11 (t, J = 9.0), 29.62, 27.85 (t, J = 5.1), 26.56.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(naphth-2-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1g): The product (dark violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure A in 56% yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 37.43 ppm; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 20.12 (s, 1H), 8.82 (s, br., 1H), 8.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.63 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.42 (m, 1H), 2.63 (m, 6H), 1.90–1.60 (m, 30H), 1.46–1.37 (m, 12H), 1.30–1.10 (m, 18H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: ð = 292.71, 150.48, 133.98, 133.11, 130.56, 129.77, 129.04, 128.35, 128.05, 127.23, 126.86, 32.19 (t, J = 9.1), 29.70, 27.85 (t, J = 5.1), 26.54. IR (ATR): λ⁻¹ = 2922 (vs), 2848 (s), 2358 (w), 2003 (w), 1443 (m), 1265 (m), 1004 (m), 733 (vs) cm⁻¹. MS (ESI): m/z (%) = 872 (2) [M⁺], 333 (100).

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(inden-2-ylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1h): The product (brick-red solid) was prepared according to general procedure A in 37% yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 36.93 ppm; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 19.64 (s, 1H), 7.94 (s, br., 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (m, 3H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 2.63 (m, 6H), 1.81 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 18H), 1.70 (dd, J = 23.7, J = 11.9, 12H), 1.47 (dd, J = 23.7 Hz, J = 11.9 Hz, 12H), 1.28–1.17 (m, 18H) ppm.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(norpinanylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1i): The product (violet solid) was prepared according to general procedure B in 43 % yield. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 36.54 ppm; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): ð = 19.12 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 3.02 (m, 1H), 2.48 (m, 6H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.09–1.12 (m, 67H), 0.70 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR: ð = 291.46, 163.88, 134.87, 49.14, 34.66, 38.84, 34.77, 31.98 (t, J = 9.0), 31.84, 29.72 (d, J = 11.0), 27.93 (t, J = 4.7), 26.60, 26.45, 20.77 ppm.

Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(2-phenylvinlylmethylene)ruthenium(II) (1j): 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (0.75 mL, 5.2 mmol) and a 20% solution of tricyclohexylphosphine in toluene (7.7 mL, 5.9 mmol) were added under argon atmosphere to a suspension of dichloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene)ruthenium(II) (660 mg, 2.35 mmol) in isopropanol (20 mL). The mixture was heated at reflux under an argon atmosphere for 1 h. Toluene (24 mL) was added to the resulting brick-red suspension and the mixture heated for further 30 min at reflux and then allowed to cool to 5–10 °C. 1-Phenyl-2-trimethylsilylacetylene
(1.4 ml, 7 mmol) was added followed 10 min later by HCl in ether (2M, 2.4 ml, 4.8 mmol). The resulting purple colored mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2h and then concentrated. The residue was treated with 40 ml of chilled methanol and the precipitated product was filtered by suction. The solid was washed thoroughly with chilled methanol and dried under vacuum to yield 826 mg (42%) of a red-brown solid. The precipitated product was filtered by suction. The solid was treated with 40 mL of chilled methanol and dried under vacuum at 0–5 °C to yield 826 mg (42%) of a violet solid.

**Dichlorobis(tricyclohexylphosphine)(2-butylvinylidene)ruthenium(II) (3):** 18-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (0.75 mL, 52 mmol) and 20% solution of tricyclohexylphosphine in toluene (7.7 mL, 5.9 mmol) were added under an argon atmosphere to a suspension of dichloro(1,5-cyclooctadiene) ruthenium(II) (660 mg, 2.35 mmol) in isopropanol (20 mL). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2h and then concentrated. The residue was treated with 40 mL of chilled methanol and the precipitated product was filtered by suction. The solid was washed thoroughly with chilled methanol and dried under vacuum to give 720 mg (38%) of a red-brown solid.

**Supporting Information**

Features variable-temperature and simulated $^1$H NMR spectra of various compounds, Arrhenius plot of the equilibrium constants for 1e and Eyring plot of the rate constants for 1e interconversion.

**Supporting Information File 1**

Detailed experimental data.
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