Maintaining healthy sleep patterns and frailty transitions: a prospective Chinese study
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Abstract

Background: Little is known about the effects of maintaining healthy sleep patterns on frailty transitions.

Methods: Based on 23,847 Chinese adults aged 30–79 in a prospective cohort study, we examined the associations between sleep patterns and frailty transitions. Healthy sleep patterns included sleep duration at 7 or 8 h/d, without insomnia disorder, and no snoring. Participants who persisted with a healthy sleep pattern in both surveys were defined as maintaining a healthy sleep pattern and scored one point. We used 27 phenotypes to construct a frailty index and defined three statuses: robust, prefrail, and frail. Frailty transitions were defined as the change of frailty status between the 2 surveys: improved, worsened, and remained. Log-binomial regression was used to calculate the prevalence ratio (PR) to assess the effect of sleep patterns on frailty transitions.

Results: During a median follow-up of 8.0 years among 23,847 adults, 45.5% of robust participants, and 10.8% of prefrail participants worsened their frailty status, while 18.6% of prefrail participants improved. Among robust participants at baseline, individuals who maintained sleep duration of 7 or 8 h/ds, without insomnia disorder, and no snoring were less likely to worsen their frailty status; the corresponding PRs (95% CIs) were 0.92 (0.89–0.96), 0.76 (0.74–0.77), and 0.85 (0.82–0.88), respectively. Similar results were observed among prefrail participants maintaining healthy sleep patterns. Maintaining healthy sleep duration and without snoring, also raised the probability of improving the frailty status; the corresponding PRs were 1.09 (1.00–1.18) and 1.42 (1.31–1.54), respectively. Besides, a dose-response relationship was observed between constantly healthy sleep scores and the risk of frailty transitions (P for trend < 0.001).

Conclusions: Maintaining a comprehensive healthy sleep pattern was positively associated with a lower risk of worsening frailty status and a higher probability of improving frailty status among Chinese adults.
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Background

Frailty, which usually occurs in the elderly, can increase vulnerability due to the decline in function and energy reserve of multi-organ systems. It also affects young adults with chronic diseases or other disorders [1, 2]. Frailty status can worsen due to biological aging or illness and improve due to body recovery. Besides, maintaining a healthy lifestyle could also improve the frailty status. A
recent study based on China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) reported that adherence to a healthy lifestyle (i.e., non-smoking, non-heavy alcohol drinking) could lower the risk of frailty worsening [3].

Nowadays, people who complain about poor sleep quality have been getting younger. Unfortunately, young adults are more vulnerable to acute and chronic sleep deficiency than older adults [4]. Previous prospective studies explored the associations between sleep behaviors at baseline and the subsequent frailty status. However, the effects of unhealthy sleep duration were still inconclusive [5–7]. A prospective study with a small sample reported the association between sleep difficulties and worsening frailty [8]. Few studies focused on the effect of snoring, a more common sleep problem in adults, which was associated with risks of major chronic diseases [9, 10]. In addition, sleeping patterns, consisting of behaviors above, their overall effect on frailty transitions was unclear yet. Besides, evidence about the impact of maintaining healthy sleep patterns on frailty transitions was scarce, and no studies examined the associations among young and middle-aged adults.

Therefore, we conducted the present study based on the large population-based cohort, aimed to examine the effect of different sleep patterns and maintaining healthy sleep patterns on frailty transitions, and the dose-response relationship between healthy sleep scores and frailty transitions among middle-aged adults and the elderly.

**Methods**

**Study design and participants**

The CKB study is a prospective cohort study that recruited 512,725 adults aged 30–79 years living in 10 regions across China. Extensive questionnaire data, physical measurements, and blood samples were collected upon baseline assessment in 2004–2008, led by trained health workers. Besides, periodic resurveys were conducted in 2008 and 2013–2014 in a random sample of 5% surviving participants. The second resurvey added several detailed items in the questionnaire and physical examination. Details of CKB design have been described previously [11]. The CKB study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Beijing, China, 005/2004) and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee, University of Oxford (Cambridge, United Kingdom, 025–04). All participants provided written informed consent in the CKB study.

In the present study, we included the participants who completed both the baseline and second resurvey without missing values on the variables for frailty index (FI) construction. We excluded frail participants at baseline from the present analyses to avoid reverse causality.

**Assessment of sleep pattern**

Detailed information on sleep patterns and other covariates was collected through a laptop-based questionnaire at baseline and resurvey, including sociodemographic characteristics (age, sex, study areas, highest education), smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Questionnaire for sleep patterns in CKB surveys was shown in the Additional file 1: Text S2. The present study defined the following sleep patterns. (a) Short/long habitual sleep duration: participants were asked, “How many hours do you typically sleep per day (including naps)?” Sleep duration could only be reported on an hourly basis. Short sleep duration was defined as 6 h/d or less, while long sleep duration was defined as 9 h or more, according to the American National Sleep Foundation [12]. (b) Insomnia disorder: participants were asked for the insomnia symptoms for at least 3 days/week in the past month, which were having difficulties in initiating or maintaining sleep (DIMS), early morning awakening (EMA), daytime dysfunction (DDF), and having to take medicine (herbal or sleeping pills) at least once a week to help sleep. According to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental disorders (version 4) (DSM-4), those who reported either DIMS or EMA and reported DDF, or those who reported taking medicines to help sleep, were classified as having insomnia disorder [13, 14]. (c) Snoring: participants self-reported their usual snoring status during sleep as often, sometimes, and never/I do not know. Those who chose the first two options were classified into the snoring group, and others were in the no-snoring group. In our study, sleep duration (Spearman correlation coefficient $= 0.63$) and snoring (weighted-Kappa $= 0.69$) showed good reproducibility among 15,720 participants who completed a repeated questionnaire survey within 1–2 weeks after baseline. (d) Baseline healthy sleep scores: Previously, Fan and the colleagues developed an index score for healthy sleep pattern from multiple sleep behaviors (i.e., sleep duration, insomnia, snoring). It was replicated independently in a large cohort [15, 16]. In our study, participants were given one point for each of the three sleep patterns at baseline (sleep duration: 7 or 8 h/d, without insomnia disorder, without snoring). (e) Maintaining healthy sleep patterns: For each sleep pattern, participants were categorized into 2 groups (yes or no) according to whether the participants maintained the healthy sleep pattern both at the baseline and the second resurvey and were assigned 1 or 0 points for each sleep pattern. The total constantly healthy sleep scores were the number of maintaining healthy sleep patterns.
Frailty index (FI) was one of the widely used measures of frailty status, which was developed by Mitnitski and colleagues [17]. FI included multiple health deficits across diverse physiological systems. Based on CKB baseline survey, we have constructed FI following a standard procedure, in which 28 deficits were selected. And the accelerated aging, measured by the FI, was associated with the risk of mortality [18]. The deficits included 14 self-reported diagnoses of diseases (i.e., coronary heart disease, diabetes, cancer), 10 self-reported symptoms (i.e., insomnia symptoms, frequently cough, bowel movements), and 4 physical measurements (i.e., body mass index [BMI], waist-to-hip-ratio [WHR], heart rate) [18]. Among them, BMI (kg/m²) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the square of standing height (m), WHR was the ratio of waist circumference to hip circumference, and daily physical activity was calculated by multiplying the metabolic equivalent of tasks (METs) for each kind of activity by the number of hours spent on the corresponding activity per day and then summing up the MET-hours for all activities [19].

The FI construction for the second resurvey was slightly different on disease history. For example, chronic heart disease (CHD) was included in the baseline survey, but in more detailed categories in the second resurvey, including acute myocardial infarction, angina, or other ischemic heart diseases, individuals with any of the 3 subtypes were categorized as the CHD patients. In addition, we included the incident cases during the follow-up period. All other variables were measured in the same way.

In the present study, we removed the insomnia symptoms from the construction of FI, leaving 27 items in the FI construction for both surveys. Then, we cut frailty into three statuses according to FI: robust (FI ≤ 0.10), prefrail (0.10 < FI < 0.25), and frail (≥ 0.25) [18]. Accordingly, the frailty transitions were defined by the change of frailty status from baseline to the second resurvey, which included robust remained, robust worsening (from robust to prefrail or frail), prefrail remained, prefrail improvement (from prefrail to robust), and prefrail worsening (from prefrail to frail).

Results

Descriptive analysis
Among the 512,725 CKB participants, 25,041 completed both the baseline and second resurvey. After excluding those with missing values for FI construction (1 missed BMI, 4 missed WHR, 779 missed forced vital capacity) or those who were frail at baseline (n = 410), a total of 23,847 were included in this study (Fig. 1).

During the median 8.0 (interquartile range: 7.4–8.6) years follow-up, 45.5% had worsening frailty status in the secondary resurvey among the 14,325 robust participants at baseline. Of the 9522 baseline prefrail participants, 10.8% became frail, and 18.6% became robust in the second resurvey.

Compared with participants who remained in their baseline frailty status, those who worsened were more likely to be elder, urban residents, more likely to have cardiovascular diseases or diabetes and the corresponding medication, and more likely to have long or short sleep duration at baseline. In contrast, participants...
who improved their frailty status were more likely to be younger, rural residents, more likely to sleep 7–8 h/d, and more unlikely to snore during sleep at baseline ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

**Associations between sleep patterns and frailty transitions**

For the participants robust at baseline, short sleep duration had a 5% increased risk (95% CI: 1.01–1.10) of worsening frailty status in the secondary resurvey than those who slept 7 or 8 h/d at baseline; those who snored at baseline had 13% (95% CI: 1.09–1.17) increased risk of worsening frailty status than those who did not snore, while among the participants prefrail at baseline, those who slept 6 h/d or less or those with insomnia disorder at baseline had 40% (95% CI: 1.23–1.58) and 53% (95% CI: 1.23–1.89) increased risk of frailty in the secondary resurvey respectively, and those who snored at baseline were less likely to improve their frailty status (PR = 0.78, 95% CI: 0.71–0.84) (Table 2). Similar associations were observed among individuals aged < 60 and in analysis additionally adjusting for major diseases and medication statuses at baseline (see Additional file 1: Table S1, S2).

As to constantly healthy status, constantly healthy sleep duration (7 or 8 h/d), constantly without insomnia disorder, and constantly no-snoring of baseline robust participants, were associated with 8% (PR = 0.92, 95% CI: 0.89–0.96), 24% (PR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.74–0.77), and 15% (PR = 0.85, 95% CI: 0.82–0.88) lower risk of worsening frailty status. Among the baseline prefrail participants, constantly healthy sleep duration (PR = 0.74, 95% CI: 0.65–0.84) and without insomnia disorder (PR = 0.27, 95% CI: 0.25–0.29) could lower the risk of worsening frailty status. Besides, constantly healthy sleep duration and no snoring could increase the possibility of improving the frailty status of baseline prefrail participants (Table 3). Associations for sensitivity analysis adjusting for major diseases and medication statuses at baseline were similar to the results above (see Additional file 1: Table S3), while constantly healthy sleep duration was not associated with improving the frailty status among those younger than 60 years old (see Additional file 1: Table S4).

**Dose-response relationship between sleep scores and frailty transitions**

We observed a dose-response relationship between sleep scores and the frailty transitions. With the increasing baseline healthy sleep scores, the risk of robust or prefrail worsening decreased, and the possibility of prefrail improvement increased ($P$ linear trend < 0.001). Among participants robust at baseline, compared with those who scored 0–1 point, the PRs (95% CI) of worsening the frailty status were 0.95 (0.91–0.98) and 0.85 (0.81–0.89) for those who scored 2, 3 points, respectively. Similar results were observed in prefrail worsening participants. While among participants prefrail at baseline, compared with those who scored 0–1 points, the corresponding PRs (95% CI) of improving the frailty status were 1.09 (0.98–1.21) and 1.34 (1.19–1.50) for those who scored 2 and 3 points (Fig. 2A, see Additional file 1: Table S5).

Consistent with baseline healthy sleep scores, dose-response relationships were observed between constantly healthy sleep scores and the risk of frailty transitions during follow-up (all $P$ linear trend < 0.001) (Fig. 2B, see Additional file 1: Table S5). Furthermore, the beneficial effect of constantly healthy sleep scores was larger than that of the baseline scores. Besides, we observed similar dose-response relationships for those aged < 60 years and in the analysis adjusting for major diseases and medication statuses at baseline (see Additional file 1: Table S1, S2, S3 and S4).
Table 1  Baseline characteristics of 23,847 participants by transitions of frailty

| Baseline characteristics       | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                               | Remained (%)    | Worsening (%)     | Improvement (%) | Remained (%)      | Worsening (%)   | P                 |
| N (%)                         | 7808 (54.5)     | 6517 (45.5)       | 1775 (18.6)     | 6716 (70.5)       | 1031 (10.8)     | <0.001            |
| Sociodemographic              |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| Male                          | 38.9            | 38.3              | 40.6            | 37.8              | 33.0            | 0.485             |
| Urban                         | 41.3            | 44.3              | 38.5            | 43.9              | 54.7            | <0.001            |
| Age (years)                   | 46.7            | 51.4              | 50.4            | 55.1              | 59.1            | <0.001            |
| Lower than middle school      | 46.8            | 49.5              | 59.0            | 58.1              | 59.0            | 0.001             |
| Lifestyle factors             |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| Current smoker                | 26.4            | 28.4              | 26.8            | 27.3              | 29.5            | 0.001             |
| Current drinker               | 52.7            | 53.1              | 50.5            | 49.5              | 46.9            | 0.028             |
| Diseases status and medication|                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| Had cardiovascular diseases and medication | 0.9            | 1.9              | 4.4            | 8.6               | 10.4            | <0.001            |
| Had diabetes and medication   | 0.2             | 0.5              | 0.8            | 3.2               | 5.4             | <0.001            |
| Sleep duration (h/d)          |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| 7 or 8                        | 64.5            | 61.9              | 60.9            | 58.8              | 52.0            | <0.001            |
| ≤ 6                           | 18.7            | 20.6              | 21.7            | 23.5              | 30.4            |                   |
| ≥ 9                           | 41.8            | 17.5              | 17.4            | 17.8              | 17.7            |                   |
| Insomnia                      |                 |                   |                 |                   | 0.168           | 0.001             |
| No                            | 98.3            | 97.9              | 95.6            | 95.6              | 92.6            |                   |
| Yes                           | 1.7             | 2.1               | 4.4            | 4.4               | 7.4             |                   |
| Snoring                       |                 |                   |                 |                   | <0.001          | <0.001            |
| No                            | 61.8            | 54.8              | 52.5            | 43.9              | 42.6            |                   |
| Yes                           | 38.2            | 45.2              | 47.5            | 56.1              | 57.4            |                   |
| Baseline healthy sleep scores |                 |                   |                 |                   | <0.001          | <0.001            |
| 0–1                           | 14.0            | 17.7              | 20.0            | 24.1              | 30.7            |                   |
| 2                             | 47.0            | 49.3              | 49.5            | 52.1              | 48.7            |                   |
| 3                             | 39.0            | 33.0              | 39.4            | 32.5              | 20.6            |                   |

Baseline characteristics were adjusted for sex, age, and study areas, except for the 3 variables.

Table 2  Associations between baseline sleep patterns and frailty transitions

| Baseline sleep patterns | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                         | Worsening (%)   | PR (95%CI)        | Worsening (%)   | PR (95%CI)        | Worsening (%)   | PR (95%CI)        | Worsening (%)   | PR (95%CI)        | Worsening (%)   | PR (95%CI)        |
| Sleep duration (h/d)    |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| 7 or 8                  | 44.0            | 1.00              | 9.5             | 1.00              | 19.5            | 1.00              | 19.5            | 1.00              | 19.5            | 1.00              |
| ≤ 6                     | 51.5            | 1.05 (1.01–1.10)  | 15.2            | 1.40 (1.23–1.58)  | 15.8            | 0.95 (0.85–1.06)  | 15.8            | 0.95 (0.85–1.06)  | 15.8            | 0.95 (0.85–1.06)  |
| ≥ 9                     | 44.0            | 1.03 (0.98–1.08)  | 9.5             | 1.07 (0.90–1.26)  | 19.6            | 0.94 (0.85–1.04)  | 19.6            | 0.94 (0.85–1.04)  | 19.6            | 0.94 (0.85–1.04)  |
| Insomnia                |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| No                      | 45.4            | 1.00              | 10.6            | 1.00              | 18.6            | 1.00              | 18.6            | 1.00              | 18.6            | 1.00              |
| Yes                     | 50.2            | 1.08 (0.97–1.20)  | 15.7            | 1.53 (1.23–1.89)  | 19.1            | 1.05 (0.87–1.26)  | 19.1            | 1.05 (0.87–1.26)  | 19.1            | 1.05 (0.87–1.26)  |
| Snoring                 |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |                 |                   |
| No                      | 41.8            | 1.00              | 10.2            | 1.00              | 21.6            | 1.00              | 21.6            | 1.00              | 21.6            | 1.00              |
| Yes                     | 50.7            | 1.13 (1.09–1.17)  | 11.3            | 1.07 (0.95–1.20)  | 16.2            | 0.78 (0.71–0.84)  | 16.2            | 0.78 (0.71–0.84)  | 16.2            | 0.78 (0.71–0.84)  |

The multivariable model was adjusted for sex, age, study area, highest education, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Abbreviations: PR prevalence ratio.
Discussion

In the present study, we found that sleep behaviors, including sleep duration ≤ 6 h/d, insomnia, or snoring, increased the risk of worsening the frailty status, while snoring decreased the possibility of improving the prefrail status. Maintaining healthy sleep patterns could prevent the worsening of frailty status and improve their frailty status. Such associations showed in dose-response relationships for both baseline and constantly healthy sleep scores.

Existing cross-sectional studies showed mixed results on the relationship between sleep duration and frailty [23–25]. Few studies explored relationships in prospective cohort study design. A Mexican cohort study of 309 older adults reported that participants who slept less than 5 h/d had a higher risk of frailty at 4.4 years of follow-up (RR = 1.80, 95% CI: 1.04–3.11) [5]. A Spanish prospective study of 1309 older adults demonstrated that adequate sleep duration (7~8 h/d) was associated with a substantial reduction in the risk of frailty [7]. Our study observed similar results. Short sleep duration could be an early marker of poor health status (such as heart disease or obesity). Such poor condition leads to an increased risk of frailty [26]. Though we did not observe the association

Table 3  Associations between constantly healthy sleep patterns and frailty transitions

| Constantly healthy sleep patterns | Baseline robust | Baseline prefrail |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                                  | Worsening (%)   | PR (95% CI)       | Worsening (%) | PR (95% CI) | Improvement (%) | PR (95% CI) |
| Constantly healthy Sleep duration (7 or 8h/d) |                   |                   |               |             |                 |             |
| No                               | 48.2            | 1.00              | 12.2          | 1.00        | 17.5            | 1.00        |
| Yes                              | 41.5            | 0.92 (0.89–0.96)  | 8.3           | 0.74 (0.65–0.84)  | 20.7          | 1.09 (1.00–1.18)  |
| Constantly without insomnia disorder |                   |                   |               |             |                 |             |
| No                               | 58.8            | 1.00              | 19.0          | 1.00        | 16.1            | 1.00        |
| Yes                              | 43.8            | 0.76 (0.74–0.77)  | 9.4           | 0.27 (0.25–0.29) | 19.1          | 1.12 (0.99–1.26)  |
| Constantly no–snoring            |                   |                   |               |             |                 |             |
| No                               | 49.3            | 1.00              | 11.3          | 1.00        | 16.5            | 1.00        |
| Yes                              | 40.3            | 0.85 (0.82–0.88)  | 9.9           | 0.90 (0.79–1.02) | 23.3          | 1.42 (1.31–1.54)  |

Constantly healthy sleep patterns mean maintaining the healthy sleep patterns at baseline and secondary resurvey. The multivariable model was adjusted for sex, age, study area, highest education, smoking status, and alcohol consumption.

Abbreviations: PR prevalence ratio

Fig. 2  Dose-response Relationships between sleep scores and frailty transitions. The forest plots showed the relationships between baseline (panel A), constantly healthy sleep scores (panel B), and robust worsening (left panel), prefrail worsening (middle panel), prefrail regression (right panel), which were with the semi-log horizontal axis. Multivariable model was adjusted for sex, age, study area, highest education, smoking status, and alcohol consumption. P for trend were all < 0.001
between long sleep duration and frailty, some previous studies reported an adverse effect of long sleep duration; the possible reason was that they focused on cognitive frailty, which was different from physical frailty in the present study [5, 6, 27].

The associations between insomnia and frailty were consistent in both prospective and cross-sectional studies [25, 28, 29]. A prospective study of 14,208 older adults used sleep medication as a proxy for insomnia disorder and reported an increased risk of frailty (hazard ratio \( HR = 1.35, 95\% CI: 1.13–1.61 \)) [30]. Besides, another prospective study of 3844 older adults showed a bidirectional relationship between insomnia and frailty, which indicated that insomnia might be an early index of frailty [28].

Snoring was reported as an unhealthy sleep behavior among Chinese and western population. Participants with habitual snoring had increased risks of cardiovascular diseases [9, 31] and diabetes [32], due to the hypoxia caused by stenosis of the upper airway [33], the process of atherosclerosis led by large swings in pleural pressure during snoring [34], while only one prospective cohort study (3220 Korean women) focused on the associations between snoring and frailty. Consistent with us, it found that snoring increased the risk of frailty (\( HR = 1.68, 95\% CI: 1.16–2.43 \)) [35].

The present study was the largest prospective study on the relationship between transitions of sleep patterns and frailty status. Previous studies with small sample sizes have considered frailty transitions during follow-up. A prospective study based on National Social Life, Health and Aging Project, with a 5-year follow-up (\( n = 615 \)), adjusted for the baseline frailty status when examining the associations between sleep fragmentation and the frailty [36]. While another cohort study from 2013 to 2018 (\( n = 306 \)) calculated the frailty transition between the two waves of their study, they only found out that sleep difficulties were positively associated with the transition from robust to prefrail status (odds ratio \( OR = 1.76, 95\% CI: 1.07–2.90 \)) [8]. In addition, the present study used healthy sleep scores to integrate multiple dimensions of sleep behaviors and observed the cumulative effects on frailty transitions. Such beneficial effects of constantly healthy sleep scores were more prominent than baseline, highlighting the importance of maintaining healthy sleep patterns for frailty prevention.

The biological mechanisms by which sleep affects frailty were complex. Short sleep duration or insomnia caused an immediate reduction of testosterone levels and therefore resulted in oxidative stress and chronic inflammation. Also, it might aggravate the hormone secretion imbalance, which is suggested to be related to frailty [5, 37, 38]. While snoring caused the interruptions of breathing during sleep, leading to the disruption of rapid-eye-movement sleep and nocturnal hypoxemia, the latter was suggested to be a pathway of sympathetic activation and frailty [39, 40].

To our best knowledge, the present study was the first to examine the association between maintaining healthy sleep patterns and the frailty transitions between the two waves of a large cohort study in Chinese adults. Our results showed the benefit of maintaining healthy sleep patterns for participants with different frailty statuses, for it could not only lower the risk of worsening frailty status, but it could also elevate the probability of improving frailty status. In addition, our study assessed comprehensively sleep behaviors in a broader age span, as sleep problems were common among young adults. Besides, the dose-response relationship in both baseline and maintaining healthy sleep with frailty transitions highlighted the cumulative effect of different sleep patterns on frailty transition. However, our study had several limitations. First, the CKB study did not evaluate the cognitive function deficit at baseline, therefore, we could not construct the FI representing cognitive decline. Second, although questions for sleep patterns were from standardized questionnaires [13, 14], these questions had not been validated in our population, which might lead to the possibility of misclassification. However, measurement errors may be non-differential in prospective study design, and the associations were more likely to be biased toward the null, while further research using validated activity monitors and other devices was necessary to measure sleep behaviors objectively. Third, considering the fluctuation of the insomnia symptoms, we only collected the symptoms within one month might not be long enough to capture participants with chronic insomnia disorder [41, 42]. Fourth, we did not measure some variables relevant to potential biological mechanisms and potential modification effects, such as hormone levels, and oxyhemoglobin saturation, we could not estimate their effects on the association between sleep and frailty. In addition, due to the nature of observational study, we could not estimate the causal relationship. Future Mendelian randomization studies were necessary for the causal inference. Last, only the survival participants could complete the secondary resurvey. The possible selection bias should be considered when we extend the findings to a broader population.

Conclusions
In this prospective cohort study of Chinese adults, keeping healthy sleep patterns was positively associated with lower risks of frail and prefrail worsening and a higher probability of prefrail status improving. Such relationships were in a dose-response manner, especially for those maintaining healthy sleep patterns. Our study
highlighted the importance of adherence to a long-term healthy sleep pattern to better frailty status.
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