Comparison of Parecoxib and Proparacetamol in Endoscopic Nasal Surgery Patients

Vigal Leykin,1 Andrea Casati,2 Alessandro Rapotec,3 Massimiliano Dalsasso,3 Luigi Barzan,4 Guido Fanelli,5 and Tommaso Pellis3

1Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Santa Maria degli Angeli Hospital, Via Montereale 24, 33170 Pordenone; 2Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Parma, 43100 Parma; 3Department of Anaesthesia and Intensive Care, Santa Maria degli Angeli Hospital, Via Montereale 24, 33170 Pordenone; 4Department of ENT, Santa Maria degli Angeli Hospital, Via Montereale 24, 33170 Pordenone; 5Department of Anaesthesiology, University of Parma, 43100 Parma, Italy.

Purpose: The aim of the study was to compare the efficacy of parecoxib for postoperative analgesia after endoscopic turbinate and sinus surgery with the prodrug of acetaminophen, proparacetamol. Materials and Methods: Fifty American Society of Anaesthesiology (ASA) physical status I-II patients, receiving functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) and endoscopic turbinectomy, were investigated in a prospective, randomized, double-blind manner. After local infiltration with 1% mepivacaine, patients were randomly allocated to receive intravenous (IV) administration of either 40 mg of parecoxib (n = 25) or 2 g of proparacetamol (n = 25) 15 min before discontinuation of total IV anaesthesia with propofol and remifentanil. A blinded observer recorded the incidence and severity of pain at admission to the post anaesthesia care unit (PACU) at 10, 20, and 30 min after PACU admission, and every 1 h thereafter for the first 6 postoperative h.

Results: The area under the curve of VAS (AUC VAS) calculated during the study period was 669 (28 - 1901) cm‧min in the proparacetamol group and 635 (26 - 1413) cm‧min in the parecoxib group (p = 0.34). Rescue morphine analgesia was required by 14 patients (56%) in the proparacetamol group and 12 patients (48%) in the parecoxib group (p ≥ 0.05), while mean morphine consumption was 5 - 3.5 mg and 5 - 2.0 mg in the proparacetamol groups and parecoxib, respectively (p ≥ 0.05). No differences in the incidence of side effects were recorded between the 2 groups. Patient satisfaction was similarly high in both groups, and all patients were uneventfully discharged 24 h after surgery.

Conclusion: In patients undergoing endoscopic nasal surgery, prior infiltration with local anaesthetics, parecoxib administered before discontinuing general anaesthetic, is not superior to proparacetamol in treating early postoperative pain.
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INTRODUCTION

Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) and endoscopic turbinectomy have been developed in recent years in order to minimize surgical invasiveness.1,2 These procedures are assumed to be more comfortable and less painful to patients; nonetheless, they are somehow associated with mild to moderate postoperative pain, which is related to both surgical trauma and nasal packing.3 When the expected postoperative pain ranges from mild to moderate, routine analgesic treatment is usually based on non-opioid analgesics with rescue opioids.4 However, the optimal analgesic regimen after endoscopic nasal surgery has not been wholly accepted and an opioid-oriented treatment is still often used.5

Acetaminophen is an effective and safe non-opioid analgesic used to relieve mild to moderate pain. It is poorly soluble and unstable in aqueous solution, however its prodrug, proparacetamol, is water-soluble and can be administered intravenously for the treatment of postoperative pain. In the blood, proparacetamol is rapidly and completely converted by plasma esterase into 50% paracetamol.6 Parecoxib is a water-soluble prodrug which undergoes complete and rapid biotransformation to valdecoxib, a potent selective inhibitor of COX-2.
cyclooxygenase-2 (anti-COX-2 agent). The COX-2 inhibitors offer the advantage of less gastric toxicity and bleeding complications than traditional nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Studies on oral administration of rofecoxib (COX-2 inhibitor) and the combination of hydrocodone/acetaminophen after endoscopic ENT surgery have shown to be safe and effective postoperative analgesia, but oral administration may limit the use of these agents in the early postoperative period. The parenteral formulations of valdecoxib and acetaminophen have never been compared after endoscopic nasal surgery. We, therefore, conducted this prospective, randomized, double-blinded study to compare the efficacy of parecoxib for early postoperative analgesia after endoscopic turbinate and sinus surgery with the efficacy of proparacetamol for the same postoperative pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

With institutional ethics committee approval and patients’ written consent, 50 ASA physical status I-II patients, aged 18 - 65 years, undergoing FESS (uncinectomy, middle antrostomy, and further procedures like ethmoidectomy and sphenoid exploration when indicated) and turbinate surgery were prospectively enrolled. Patients unable to cooperate or had history of gastric bleeding, impaired liver (transaminases ≥ twice upper limit) and/or renal function (creatinine ≥ 2.0 mg/dL), history of drug or alcohol abuse, chronic pain requiring major analgesics, sedatives, or corticosteroids, prothrombotic tendencies, and known allergy to NSAIDs or other drugs used in the study were excluded.

No premedication or prophylactic antiemetic drugs were given. All patients received total IV anaesthesia with propofol (2 mg/kg bolus IV, followed by a continuous infusion at 4 - 6 mg/kg/h) and remifentanil (infusion rate ranging between 0.1 and 0.25 μg/kg/min). Muscle relaxation with rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg) was given to facilitate tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation. Standard monitoring was used including electrocardiography (lead II), heart rate, noninvasive arterial blood pressure, and pulse oximetry. The attending anaesthesiologist who managed intraoperative anaesthesia was blinded to patient grouping. The same 2 surgeons performed all surgical procedures. Preoperative surgical field infiltration with 100 mg of 1% mepivacaine and 0.25 mg of epinephrine was performed by a surgeon.

Fifteen min before stopping remifentanil infusion, patients were randomly allocated to receive either 40 mg of parecoxib (group parecoxib, n = 25) or 2 g of proparacetamol (group proparacetamol, n = 25) using a computer generated sequence of random numbers. Since treatment drugs have different presentations and mode of administration, a double-dummy design was applied. Proparacetamol was administered by slow infusion over 15 min whereas parecoxib was injected by rapid bolus. Each patient received both an active product and the placebo of the other product. The physicians preparing the placebo and the study drugs were not further involved in patient management.

At the end of surgery, bilateral nasal packs (Merocel-hydroxylated polyvinyl acetate, Medtronic Xomed, Jacksonville, FL, USA) were placed in all patients. IV anaesthetics were then discontinued, the patients were extubated after recovery of adequate spontaneous ventilation, and transferred to the PACU.

An independent blinded observer recorded the degree of pain at PACU admission and after 10, 20, and 30 min, then at every h for the first 6 h after surgery. At the same time, hemodynamic variables and occurrence of untoward events were also recorded. The degree of pain was measured using a 10 cm visual analogue scale (VAS, where "0" is no pain, "10" the worst imaginable pain). In case of inadequate pain relief (VAS ≥ 4 cm), rescue IV analgesia was given with 2 mg of boluses of morphine injected at 10-min intervals until adequate pain relief, and morphine consumption during the first 6 h after surgery was recorded.

After 6 h, patients meeting PACU discharge criteria were transferred to the surgical ward. Pain was evaluated by surgical nursing staff. Following the 6th postoperative h, rescue analgesia for VAS ≥ 4 was no longer morphine but 2 g of proparacetamol IV since this drug is the standard postoperative pain medication on ward for patients undergoing FESS and turbinate surgery. The intensity of pain reported at 12 and 24 h after surgery was also recorded by surgical nursing
staff, since it is as routine at our institution.

Patient satisfaction was evaluated at 24 h after surgery using a 4-point numeric verbal scale (1 = not satisfied; 2 = partially satisfied; 3 = satisfied; 4 = extremely satisfied). Both on the day of surgery and at 24 h, a surgeon who was blinded to patient grouping evaluated the presence of excessive bleeding with a subjective scale based on clinical experience.

**Statistical analysis**

The hypothesis under test was that IV administration of parecoxib before completion of endoscopic ENT surgery might reduce the degree of pain compared to proparacetamol. To objectify the exposition to pain of patients, we calculated the AUC\textsubscript{VAS}. The AUC for pain was estimated using the trapezium method by multiplying the time interval between 2 VAS measurements by their average, and is reported as a summary measure with cm·min as the units; we calculated the AUC for pain during the first 6 h (AUC\textsubscript{VAS-6h}) and 24 h after surgery (AUC\textsubscript{VAS-24 h}).

To calculate the sample size required, we considered the results of previous studies that evaluated the degree of pain reported after endoscopic ENT surgery.\textsuperscript{5,10} The main outcome variable was the AUC\textsubscript{VAS-24 h}. We considered a reduction in median AUC\textsubscript{VAS-24 h} of 800 cm·min with an effect size to standard deviation ratio (E/S) of 0.8 as clinically relevant. A total of 25 patients per group were required to detect the designed difference in the AUC\textsubscript{VAS}, accepting a 2-tailed α error of 5% and β error of 20%\textsuperscript{31}

Statistical analysis was based on an intention-to-treat analysis and performed using the program Systat 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Gaussian distribution of collected data was first evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Continuous variables were then analysed using student’s t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test as appropriate. Changes over time were also assessed using a 2-way non-parametric analysis for repeated measures. Fisher’s exact test and Sheffe’s test were also used for post hoc analysis. Categorical variables were analyzed using contingency table analysis and Fisher’s exact test. Data are presented as mean (± SD), median (range) and number (percentage) as appropriate. A \( p \) value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

No differences in demographic parameters (Table 1) as well as in intraoperative and postoperative hemodynamic variables (data not presented) were observed between the 2 groups.

No differences were reported between the 2 groups in the median (range) AUC\textsubscript{VAS} calculated during the first 6 and 24 h, or in the proportion of patients requiring rescue morphine and total morphine consumption before PACU discharge, and need for rescue analgesia during the first 24 h after surgery (Table 2). Fig. 1 shows mean VAS changes during the first 6 h after surgery in the 2 groups.

**Table 1. Anthropometric Parameters of Studied Patients**

| Parameter     | Group proparacetamol (n = 25) | Group parecoxib (n = 25) |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (yrs)     | 34 ± 12                       | 32 ± 10                  |
| Gender (M/F)  | 19 / 6                        | 18 / 7                   |
| Height (cm)   | 168 ± 9                       | 175 ± 9                  |
| Weight (kg)   | 73 ± 12                       | 74 ± 13                  |
| Surgical procedure |                  |                          |
| FESS          | 16 (64%)                      | 15 (60%)                 |
| Turbinectomy  | 9 (36%)                       | 10 (40%)                 |

Results are presented as mean (± SD) or number (%).
Table 3 shows the incidence of side effects recorded in the 2 groups. Side effects were not related to the need for rescue doses of morphine, and bleeding was controlled simply by changing nasal cavity packing without surgical revision.

Patient satisfaction was similarly high in both groups [4 (3 - 4) in group paracetamol and 4 (3 - 4) in group parecoxib (p = 0.99)], and all patients were discharged uneventfully 24 h after surgery. However, satisfaction of patients who did not require rescue analgesia during the first day after surgery (morphine during the first 6 h and proparacetamol thereafter) was significantly higher than that of patients who received supplementary analgesia [4 (4 - 4) vs 3 (3 - 4), respectively, (p < 0.001)].

**DISCUSSION**

This study compared the analgesic efficacy and tolerability of COX-2 selective inhibitor parecoxib to proparacetamol for postoperative analgesia after endoscopic ENT surgery. The results of this prospective, randomized, double-blinded investigation showed that the administration of 40 mg of parecoxib IV was not preferable to 2 g of proparacetamol IV in managing postoperative pain. The proportion of patients requiring rescue

---

**Table 2. Area Under the Curve of Pain, Need for Rescue Morphine and Morphine Consumption**

|                          | Group proparacetamol (n = 25) | Group parecoxib (n = 25) | p value |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| AUC\(_{\text{VAS}-6\ h}\) (cm·min) | 669 (28 - 1901)               | 635 (26 - 1413)          | 0.47    |
| AUC\(_{\text{VAS}-24\ h}\) (cm·min) | 3675 (1800 - 7560)           | 2860 (1260 - 5600)       | 0.17    |
| Need for rescue morphine in PACU | 14 (56%)                     | 12 (48%)                 | 0.99    |
| Morphine consumption in PACU (mg) | 5 ± 3.5                      | 5 ± 2.0                  | 0.89    |
| Rescue analgesia from 6th to 24th h | 3 (12%)                      | 4 (16%)                  | 0.99    |

Area under the curve of pain over time during the first 6 (AUC\(_{\text{VAS}-6\ h}\)) and 24 hours (AUC\(_{\text{VAS}-24\ h}\)), need for rescue morphine and morphine consumption before post anaesthesia care unit (PACU) discharge, and need for rescue analgesia after PACU discharge during the first day after surgery in patients receiving either proparacetamol (group Proparacetamol, n = 25) or parecoxib (group Parecoxib, n = 25) after endoscopic ENT surgery. Results are presented as mean (± SD) or median (range) based on normal distribution of data or number (%).

**Table 3. Incidence of Adverse Events Reported during First 24 h After Surgery in Patients Receiving Proparacetamol or Parecoxib After Endoscopic ENT Surgery**

|                          | Group proparacetamol (n = 25) | Group parecoxib (n = 25) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| PONV                     | 3 (12%)                       | 2 (8%)                   |
| Gastric pain             | 0 (0%)                        | 0 (0%)                   |
| Minor bleeding           | 0 (0%)                        | 2 (8%)                   |

PONV, post operative nausea and vomit.
Results are presented as number (%).
morphine and total morphine consumption as well as the incidence of side effects did not differ between the 2 groups.

The degree of pain reported after endoscopic sinus surgery was of moderate intensity,\(^3,10\) nonetheless, routine use of local anaesthetic infiltration or topical bupivacaine packing is not sufficient for the treatment of postoperative pain after nasal surgery, requiring additional systemic analgesia to achieve an opioid-sparing effect.\(^3,12\) Friedman et al. reported no differences in postoperative pain after endoscopic sinus surgery between infiltration with long acting (bupivacaine) or short acting (lidocaine) local anaesthetics.\(^3\)

Postoperative pain score and the need for systemic analgesics were similar between the 2 groups.

Pain after nasal and sinus surgery is usually maximal in the first few postoperative h.\(^3\) During this time, oral opioids are commonly prescribed as analgesics for symptomatic relief, but these drugs are frequently associated with adverse effects such as sedation, nausea, vomiting, urinary retention, pruritus, and respiratory depression.\(^5\) For this reason, commonly used protocols of postoperative analgesia are based on a multimodal approach including non-opioid analgesics in order to achieve an opioid-sparing effect with concomitant reduction of opioid-related side effects.\(^6\) NSAIDs are the most commonly used non-opioid analgesics for such purposes.\(^13\)

Although parecoxib and propacetamol belong to the same pharmacological family, they act by different mechanisms. The analgesic action of parecoxib results from the inhibition of the COX-2 isoenzyme that plays an important role in the synthesis of prostaglandin E2 while propacetamol only weakly inhibits of prostaglandine synthesis and minimizes the typical actions of NSAIDs such as antiplatelet activity and gastrototoxicity.\(^7,14\) The efficacy of parecoxib in postoperative pain relief is still controversial. Ng et al.\(^15\) reported that 40 mg of parecoxib IV is less effective than 30 mg of ketorolac IV in the first h after minor gynaecological laparoscopic surgery. On the contrary, Bikhazi et al.\(^16\) reported that a single dose of 40 mg of parecoxib was as effective as 30 mg of ketorolac after open gynaecologic surgery while Papadima et al.\(^19\) reported that 40 mg of parecoxib and 8 mg of lornoxicam were equianalgesic in the management of pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.\(^17\) Concerning propacetamol, Van Aken et al. showed a similar analgesic efficacy between single dose of 2 g of propacetamol IV and 10 mg of morphine IM in controlling acute postoperative pain after dental surgery.\(^18\) Peduto et al.\(^19\) demonstrated a significant morphine sparing effect of propacetamol after orthopaedic surgery while Varrassi et al.\(^20\) and Zhou et al.\(^21\) reported similar analgesic efficacy between propacetamol and ketorolac after gynaecologic and orthopaedic surgery.\(^19-21\)

Parenteral formulations of valdecoxib and acetaminophen allow intraoperative administration with a short onset of action\(^6,7\) while postoperative parenteral formulation of acetaminophen provides a significantly better and longer analgesic effect than the same dosage in oral form.\(^9\)

Little information is available in the current literature evaluating the comparative efficacy of parecoxib and propacetamol. A recently published trial compared the effects of these 2 drugs only after inguinal hernia repair.\(^22\) The authors investigated the intensity of postoperative pain, morphine consumption, and patient satisfaction after a single injection of 40 mg of parecoxib or 2 injections of 2 g of propacetamol within the first 12 postoperative h. Total morphine consumption did not differ between the 2 groups, and pain was slightly less intense in the parecoxib group at rest, but pain did not differ while coughing. The incidence of side effects was similar and patient satisfaction was higher in the parecoxib group, although at an increased cost.\(^22,23\)

In conclusion, this prospective, randomized, double blinded study showed that 40 mg of parecoxib administered IV before discontinuing general anaesthesia is not superior to 2 g of propacetamol IV in covering early postoperative pain after endoscopic ENT surgery.
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