A kilometer-scale asteroid inside Venus’s orbit
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**One-sentence summary**

The discovery by the Zwicky Transient Facility of the first asteroid interior to the orbit Venus, 2020 AV$_2$, may imply an additional source of asteroids in the inner Solar System.

**Abstract**

Near-Earth asteroid population models predict the existence of asteroids located inside the orbit of Venus. However, despite searches up to the end of 2019, none have been found. Here we report the discovery by the Zwicky Transient Facility of the first known asteroid located inside of Venus’ orbit, 2020 AV$_2$, possessing an aphelion distance of 0.65 au and $\sim$2 km in size. While it is possible that 2020 AV$_2$ is the largest of its kind, we find that its discovery is surprising in the context of population models where the expected count is close to zero. If this discovery is not a statistical fluke, then 2020 AV$_2$ may come from a yet undiscovered source population of asteroids interior to Venus, and currently favored asteroid population models may need to be adjusted.

**Main Text**

Almost all 1 million known asteroids are exterior to Earth’s orbit with only a fraction of a percent located entirely inside its orbit (1, 2). No asteroids have yet been directly observed that exist entirely within the orbit of Venus despite dynamical models extrapolated from the known population of asteroids that predict their existence in small numbers (3–5). Here we report the first discovery of an asteroid interior to the orbit of Venus, 2020 AV$_2$ (6), that was first detected by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) on the Samuel Oschin Telescope (7, 8) on 2020 January 4 in four separate 30 s $r$-band exposures and moving $\sim$1 degree per day (Fig. 1A-B).
The initial detection of 2020 AV₂ was made in the evening twilight sky while it was \( \sim 40 \) degrees from the Sun in what we call the Twilight Survey (Fig. S1). Additional data obtained with the Spectral Energy Distribution Machine (SEDM) on the Palomar 60-inch telescope (9) on 2020 January 8 and the Kitt Peak Electron Multiplying CCD Demonstrator (KPED) mounted on the Kitt Peak 84-inch telescope (10) on 2020 January 9 confirmed this asteroid as having an aphelion distance of \( \sim 0.65 \) au. Follow-up data obtained by our team and other observers during 2020 January 4-23 confirmed its aphelion distance of \( 0.653817 \pm 0.000825 \) au, or within the 0.72 au perihelion distance of Venus at \( \sim 80-\sigma \) significance, confirming the discovery of the first inner-Venus asteroid (Fig. 2).

Spectroscopic observations of 2020 AV₂ made using the Keck telescope on 2020 January 23 indicate a reddish surface corresponding to colors of \( g-r = 0.65 \pm 0.02 \) mag, \( r-i = 0.23 \pm 0.01 \) mag and an absorption band at \( \sim 900 \) nm corresponding to \( i-z = 0.11 \pm 0.02 \) mag (Fig. 3). These data favor a silicate S-type asteroid-like composition (11, 12) consistent with an origin from the inner Main Belt where S-type asteroids are the most plentiful (13) and in agreement with the expectations of near-Earth asteroid (NEA) models that predict asteroids with the orbital elements of 2020 AV₂ (Tab. S1, Fig. S2) should originate from the inner Main Belt (5, 14). Such models also predict that 2020 AV₂ will have a surface reflectivity of \( \sim 22\% \) (15–17). If so, 2020 AV₂ has a size of \( \sim 1.5 \pm 0.5 \) km with the main source of uncertainty resulting from measurements of its brightness. NEA population models predict \(<1\) inner-Venus asteroid of this size implying that 2020 AV₂ is one of the largest inner-Venus asteroids in the Solar System (5). In addition, dynamical N-body simulations of 2020 AV₂ indicate that its orbit is stable on \( \sim 10 \) Myr timescales, entering into temporary resonances with the terrestrial planets and Jupiter before its orbit evolves onto close-encounter paths with the gas giant leading to its eventual ejection from the Solar System (Fig. S3, see also refs. 18).

We estimated the number of inner-Venus asteroids expected to have been discovered by
ZTF by using synthetic inner-Venus asteroids generated by the NEA population model (Fig. 4, see also ref. 5) combined with ZTF’s completeness at recovering known asteroids during the ZTF survey (Figs. S1 and S4). The number of inner-Venus asteroids generated in our Synthetic population within a 68.2% confidence interval encompassing 2020 AV₂’s size is $0.29 \pm 0.57$ with the main source of uncertainty being from the estimate on 2020 AV₂’s size. The completeness of detecting inner-Venus asteroids is $0.18 \pm 0.02$ (Fig. 4), therefore, we expect $0.05 \pm 0.09$ inner-Venus asteroids to have been discovered during our observations. Despite its low probability, a possible explanation for our detection of 2020 AV₂ is a random chance discovery from the near-Earth asteroid population. However, history has shown that the first detection of a new class of objects is usually indicative of another source population c.f., such as the Kuiper Belt with the discovery of the first Kuiper Belt Objects 1992 QB₁ and 1993 FW (19). Therefore, 2020 AV₂ could have originated from a source of asteroids located closer to the Sun, such as near the stability regions located inside the orbit of Mercury at ~0.1-0.2 au where large asteroids could have formed and survived on time scales of the age of the Solar System (20, 21).
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Figure 1: (A) Discovery 30 s r-band image of 2020 AV$_2$ taken on 2020 January 4 UTC where 2020 AV$_2$ is the detection located in the circle. (B) Composite image containing the four discovery 30 s r-band exposures covering 2020 AV$_2$ made by stack on the rest frame of the background stars over a 22 minute time interval. The first detection has been labeled. The asteroid was moving $\sim$1 degree per day in the northeast direction while these images were being taken resulting in a $\sim$15 arcseconds spacing between the detections of 2020 AV$_2$. The cardinal directions and spatial scale are indicated for reference.
Figure 2: Orbital configuration of 2020 AV$_2$, Earth, Venus and Mercury at the time of 2020 AV$_2$’s discovery looking from above the orbital plane of the inner Solar System. The orbit plotted for 2020 AV$_2$ in this figure is taken from the orbital elements in Tab. S1. The perihelion directions of 2020 AV$_2$ and the planets are plotted with dotted lines. The aphelion directions of 2020 AV$_2$ and the planets are plotted with solid straight lines.
Figure 3: Visible wavelength reflectance spectrum taken of 2020 AV$_2$ with the LRIS instrument on Keck I on 2020 January 23 plotted as blue dots. The error bars on the spectrum data points correspond to 1-$\sigma$ uncertainty. The spectrum has been normalized to unity at 550 nm indicated by the black cross. The spectrum presented was obtained by combining two spectra from the blue camera using the 600/4000 grating and the red camera using the 400/8500 grating with a 560 nm dichroic ($22, 23$). The data have been rebinned and smoothed by a factor of 10 using an error-weighted mean. The spectral range of S, V and C-type asteroids from the Bus-DeMeo asteroid taxonomic catalogue (12) are over-plotted with the S-type spectrum most closely resembling the spectra of 2020 AV$_2$. 

![Visible wavelength reflectance spectrum](image-url)
Figure 4: Comparison between the (A) semi-major axis, $a$, (B) eccentricity, $e$, (C) inclination $i$ and (D) absolute magnitude $H$ distribution of the number of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids generated from the NEA model (5) (grey histograms) and the completeness of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids detected in the survey simulation (red histogram). The 1-$\sigma$ error bars on the completeness are determined assuming Poissonian statistics. The vertical dashed black line indicates the value of the element for 2020 AV$_2$ from Tab. S1. The absolute magnitude range of 15 to 18 corresponds to asteroids in the size range of $\sim$1-3 km assuming a 20% surface reflectivity. The number of objects from the NEA model has been oversampled by a factor of 1,000.
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Methods

Discovery, follow-up and orbital determination: The initial discovery observations of 2020 AV$_2$ on 2020 January 4 were made in four sidereally-tracked 30 s r-band exposures by the 48-inch Samuel Oschin Telescope. The observations were made during evening astronomical twilight while the telescope was pointing at 25.5 degrees elevation and the center of the telescope’s field of view was pointing through 2.3 airmasses. The brightness of 2020 AV$_2$ during its discovery observations were $r \sim 18.1$ and was moving approximately 0.85 degrees/day. The observations were taken during average seeing conditions for the Palomar site with nearby stellar objects of similar brightness to 2020 AV$_2$ having FWHM $\sim 2.1"$. The sky plane rate of motion
of 2020 AV₂ during the observations was 2.1”/min resulting in no significant trailing losses of
the individual detections of 2020 AV₂ in the discovery images (Fig. 1, A-B).

The preliminary orbit of 2020 AV₂ determined from the discovery observations was first
classified as an Apollo-like orbit based on the initial discovery observations with \( a \sim 1.53 \)
au and eccentricity \( e \sim 0.45 \) with a near-Earth asteroid (NEA) digest2 score of 98 (24). Af-
der including the SEDM and KPED observations made on 2020 January 8 and 9, the semi-
major axis became \( a \sim 0.551 \) au\( \pm 0.004 \), eccentricity \( e \sim 0.191 \) \( \pm 0.008 \) resulting in an aphelion
\( Q \sim 0.657 \) \( \pm 0.001 \) au. Additional observations taken by other groups up until January 23 later
revised to \( Q = 0.654 \) \( \pm 0.001 \), a >50-\( \sigma \) confidence interval smaller than the 0.72 au perihelion
distance of Venus (Supplementary Material Tab. S1 and Fig. S2). No pre-discovery observa-
tions of 2020 AV₂ were located in the ZTF archive.

**Twilight Survey strategy:** Astronomical twilight time is used by ZTF to search for Solar Sys-
tem objects at small Solar elongations <60 degrees from the Sun in a program called the “Twi-
light Survey” during which 2020 AV₂ was discovered. Searching for Solar System objects so
close to the Sun during twilight is accomplished by using the 47 sq. degree field of view and
minimum elevation of the 48-inch Samuel Oschin Telescope to point the telescope as close to
the horizon as possible during evening and morning Astronomical twilight when the Sun is \( \sim 18 \)
degrees below the horizon (25). This results in being able to search the night sky to as close as
\( \sim 35 \) degrees from the Sun, corresponding to potentially detecting objects as close as \( \sim 0.57 \) au
from the Sun.

ZTF uses a nearly contiguous 10 field pattern when executing the Twilight Survey, providing
\( \sim 470 \) square degrees of sky coverage per Twilight Survey session and covering an area of the
sky with \( \sim 20 \) degrees elevation and airmass <2.9 in the evening and morning sky implemented
by the ZTF survey scheduler (25). Each Twilight Survey session lasts 20-25 minutes where
each field is image four times with 30 s exposures in \( r \)-band, resulting in a \( \sim 5 \) minute spacing
between exposures in each of the 10 fields. This allows for the positive identification of objects moving as slow as $\sim 0.05$ degrees/day to as fast as $>10$ degrees/day by the ZTF processing system (26, 27). The limiting magnitude in each of the $30$ s $r$-band exposures is degraded due to the extinction at its higher airmass observations and higher sky background resulting in a limiting magnitude closer to $V \sim 20.6$ compared to the nominal ZTF limiting magnitude of $V \sim 21.2$ (7, 8).

The Twilight Survey that our results are based on began on 2019 September 20 and was based on an earlier version that ran during Winter 2018 and Summer 2019 (28). The current Twilight Survey is ongoing, but poor weather in February and March limits this analysis to survey dates between 2019 September 19 and 2020 January 30. The current Twilight Survey executes its 10 field coverage each night, weather-permitting, alternating between evening and morning twilight. The preliminary version of the Twilight Survey ran on a more sporadic cadence where it only operated on a 3-day cadence alternating between evening and morning twilight. We sought to improve our survey coverage and rate of self-recovery of candidate discoveries by moving to the current every night cadence. In total, the Twilight Survey was carried out 90 times between 2019 September 20 and 2020 January 30 split into 47 mornings and 43 evenings. The complete Sun-centered sky coverage of these 100 observing sessions is presented in Fig. S1. In total, $\sim 50,000$ square degrees of the sky was covered four times during the entirety of the Twilight Survey discussed in this analysis.

**Discovery, follow-up and characterization observations:**

**Zwicky Transient Facility, ZTF:** The ZTF camera consists of 16 separate 6144 x 6160-pixel arrays on a single CCD camera mounted on the 48-inch Samuel Oschin Telescope at Palomar Observatory and is robotically operated. The plate scale of the camera is 1.01 arcseconds/pixel and has a 7.4-degree x 7.4-degree field of view (7, 29). The data processing pipeline produced images differenced from reference frames and removes or masks most detector artifacts. Tran-
sient are extracted from the images and several algorithms are used to identify slower moving objects that appear as round PSF detections in the images (26) and to extract fast-moving objects that appear as streaked detections (27, 30). Moving objects can be identified in images taken in each of its g, r and i band filters. For the purpose of the Twilight Survey, 30 s exposures were used with the r filter. Seeing was measured to be \(\sim 2.1\) arcsec of stars near the detection of 2020 AV\(_2\) in the discovery images.

**Spectral Energy Distribution Machine, SEDM:** Observations taken by the SEDM used the Rainbow Camera consisting of two identical Princeton Instruments Pixis 2048B eXelon model 2048 x 2048 pixel CCDs mounted on the Palomar 60-inch telescope and is robotically operated (9, 31). The Rainbow Camera has a 13 arcminute x 13 arcminute field of view divided into four \(\sim 6\) arcminute quadrants with each having coverage of a single filter, u, g, r and i and a 0.125 arcseconds/pixel spatial scale. Only the r filter quadrant was used for our follow-up observations with SEDM with non-sidereally tracked 30 s exposures. Seeing conditions were \(\sim 1.75\) arcsec as measured for background stars in the follow-up images.

**Kitt Peak Electron Multiplying CCD Demonstrator, KPED:** The KPED instrument is mounted on the Kitt Peak 84-inch telescope and consists of a 1024 x 1024 pixel Electron Multiplying CCD camera and is robotically operated (10). The camera has a spatial scale of 0.26 arcseconds/pixel and a 4.4 arcminute x 4.4 arcminute field of view. The camera is capable of reading out at a rate of 1 Hz and of individual exposures times up to 10 s. Our observations used 10 s exposures in r-band and were sidereally tracked due to the short exposure time. Seeing conditions were \(\sim 1\) arcsec as measured for background stars in the follow-up images.

**Keck I Telescope:** The Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS) (22) on the Keck I telescope was used to observe 2020 AV\(_2\) on 2020 January 23 in spectroscopy mode (Program ID C272, PI M. Graham). Both the blue camera consisting of a 2 x 2K x 4K Marconi CCD array and the red camera consisting of a science grade Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
2K x 4K CCD array were used. Both cameras have a spatial resolution of 0.135 arcsec/pixel. The 1.0-arcsecond wide slit was used with the 560 nm dichroic with \( \sim 50\% \) transmission efficiency in combination with the 600/4000 grating for the blue camera and the 400/8500 grating for the red camera providing a spectral resolution of 0.4 nm and 0.7 nm, respectively (22, 23). A total exposure time of 600 s over two integrations were taken in seeing conditions of \( \sim 0.6 \) arcseconds measured at zenith, however, the observations were taken at the large airmass of \( \sim 3.4 \) significantly degrading the seeing to \( \sim 1.2 \) arcsec. Wavelength calibration was completed using the HgCdZn lamps for the blue camera and the ArNeXe lamps for the red camera. Flux calibration was completed with the G191-B2B and Feige 34 standard stars for the blue and red camera respectively and a Solar analog 2MASS 22462446+0029244 was used to produce the reflectance spectrum as seen in (Fig. 3).

**Visual imaging/spectroscopy reduction and astrometry:** All visual imaging data was reduced using custom code for bias and flat field detrending. The LRIS spectroscopic data were reduced using flat field, dark current and arc lamp exposures with the LPipe spectroscopy reduction software (32). The *Gaia* data release 2 catalog (33, 34) was used with the ZTF data reduction pipeline (26) to produce an astrometric solution on ZTF data and with the Astrometria software (35). Photometric calibration was performed using the Pan-STARRS1 catalog database (36, 37).

**Dynamical evolution:** We used the rebound N-body orbit integration package (38) using the IAS15 adaptive time step integrator (39) to determine the orbital history of 2020 AV₂. Using the multi-variate distribution of the orbital parameters presented in Tab. S1 and Fig. S1, we integrated several 100 clone orbits of 2020 AV₂ forwards and backward 30 Myrs with a nominal timestep of 14 h. Because it is an adaptive time-step integrator, IAS15 will decrease the time of this time step during close encounters to avoid discrepancies in the orbits of test particles resulting from too coarse time steps. We find that 2020 AV₂ has experienced numerous,
~0.01 au close encounters with Mercury and Venus that are as frequent as every few hundred to thousands of years.

One of the main features of the long term orbital evolution of 2020 AV$_2$ is its occasional capture into mean motion resonances with Venus such as the 3:2 mean motion resonance at ~40,000 y seen as in Fig. S3 (A) for the orbit of one particular clone. The amplitude of libration is initially large but dramatically shrinks after close encounters with Mercury after 50,000 y resulting in a correlation with an increase in the minimum approach distance to Venus as seen in Fig. S3 (B) protecting it from close encounters with the planet similar to the effect of the 2:3 mean motion resonances between Neptune and Pluto protecting the latter from encounters with the former (40). At the precision of the current orbit, 2020 AV$_2$ will remain in resonance with Venus for ~1 Myr, though subsequent observations of 2020 AV$_2$ during its next apparition in the fall of 2020 may improve the orbital accuracy to allow for longer-term investigation of this resonant behavior (18,41). In any case, the orbit of 2020 AV$_2$ is firmly confined within the orbit of Venus with having an aphelion distance of <0.72 au for the majority of its orbital evolution for the previous and next 10 Myrs as seen for the evolution of one clone in Fig. S3 (B).

While the present precision of the orbit of 2020 AV$_2$ prevents predicting its orbital behavior on timescales exceeding a few 10 Myrs, it is apparent from its orbital evolution that it is a transitory inhabitant of the inner Venus region of the Solar System. The majority of orbital clones have several lunar distances encounters with Venus and the Earth within 10-20 Myr that have the effect of exciting their orbits onto trajectories crossing with the orbit of Jupiter. The crossing of the orbit of Jupiter has the effect of exciting test particles’ orbits onto hyperbolic trajectories after a close encounter with the giant planet sending them out of the Solar System as seen for one of the orbital clones in Fig. S3 (C). The majority of the clones are ejected from the Solar System during close encounters with Jupiter after ~20 Myr consistent with the mean ~10 Myr lifetimes of near-Earth objects originating from the Main Belt (5,17) and independent
integrations by Greenstreet 2020.

**Comparison with the NEA population:** One of the dynamical pathways for inner-Venus asteroids is to originate from the Main Asteroid belt through source regions located near various major planetary resonances (42). If we assume that 2020 AV₂ originated from the Main Belt as an asteroid family fragment (43) before crossing inside of the orbit of Venus, asteroids with orbits similar to 2020 AV₂ according to the Granvik et al. (2018) NEA model most likely originate with a \(\sim 77\%\) probability from the \(\nu_6\) resonance that forms the boundary of the inner Main Belt at 2.2 au (44). The second most likely source of 2020 AV₂ with a \(\sim 18\%\) probability are the Hungaria asteroid population located just exterior to the Main Belt at 2.0 au (45) and the third most likely at \(\sim 4\%\) being the 3:1 mean motion resonance with Jupiter located in the Main Belt at 2.5 au (46).

Given its dynamical preference for originating from the inner Main belt, it is no surprise that 2020 AV₂ has a S-type spectrum as seen in Fig. 3 since the majority of asteroids located in the inner Main Belt have spectra similar to S-types (13). We can take the spectral and dynamical classification one step further by comparing its source region probability with the NEA albedo model of Morbidelli et al. (2020) to estimate its surface reflectivity as an independent constraint on its spectral type. Comparing our source region probabilities with the NEA albedo model, it is likely that 2020 AV₂ has an albedo of \(\sim 0.2\), consistent with the typical albedo for S-type asteroids (15). Combining our albedo estimate from the NEA albedo model with our estimate of the absolute magnitude of \(H = 16.4 \pm 0.8\) for 2020 AV₂ in the \(H-G\) system (47) from our determination of its orbit, we measure a diameter of \(\sim 1.5 \pm 0.5\) km making it one of the rare remaining undiscovered km-scale NEAs (5). Our uncertainties on the diameter of 2020 AV₂ are conservatively estimated by assuming a 1-\(\sigma\) uncertainty of \(\sim 0.7\), which is greater than the \(\sim 0.3\) magnitudes scatter on \(H\) of asteroids from the Minor Planet Center catalog (48), roughly taking into account the uncertainty caused by the unknown phase function of 2020 AV₂ and the
large $\sim 100^\circ$ phase angle the asteroid was observed at in 2020 January (49). In addition, the NEA model predicts that there are $\sim 1,100$ asteroids in the absolute magnitude range between $15 < H < 18$ of which $\sim 0.22\%$ or $\sim 2$ are inner-Venus asteroids (5). This number of inner-Venus asteroids predicted by the NEA model shrinks to $0.29 \pm 0.57$ when we only consider asteroids brighter than in the $1-\sigma$ range of the $H$ of 2020 AV$_2$, $< H = 16.4 \pm 0.8$.

**Estimating the ZTF inner-Venus asteroid population completeness:** We generate a synthetic population of inner-Venus asteroids to compare with our discovery of 2020 AV$_2$ with the predicted inner-Venus asteroid population from the NEA model (5). We oversample a medium resolution version of the NEA model with $d_a = 0.05$ au, $d_e = 0.02$, $d_i = 2.0^\circ$, $dH = 0.25$ by a factor of 1,000 generating 1,168,279 asteroids with $15 < H < 18$ of which 2,521 are inner-Venus asteroids by definition with an aphelion distance $< 0.718$ au. The $a$, $e$, $i$ and $H$ distributions of our synthetically generated inner-Venus asteroids from the NEA population models are plotted in Fig. 4 (A-D).

To simulate the discovery and observations of our synthetic inner-Venus asteroids by ZTF, we use the complete list of ZTF telescope pointings used during the Twilight Survey between 2019 September 20 UTC and 2020 January 30 UTC plotted in Fig. S2 with a survey simulator (50). We took into account trailing-losses on objects’ apparent brightnesses in the survey simulation. The output of the survey simulator produces a list of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids detections from the survey simulation that can be used to roughly estimate the completeness of the ZTF survey in detecting inner-Venus asteroids. We refine our synthetic inner-Venus asteroid observation estimates by calculating completeness for each synthetic inner-Venus asteroid detection in each field. The per object per field completeness is estimated by comparing actual detections of known moving objects serendipitously observed in the Twilight Survey fields with the predicted number of known objects detected in the fields. The Twilight Survey per object
completeness as a function of V magnitude is presented in Fig. S4 (C). A function of the form

\[ \epsilon(V) = \epsilon_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{V - V_{\text{lim}}}{V_{\text{width}}} \right]^{-1} \]  

(1)
is used to interpolate the per-field completeness with \( \epsilon_0 = 0.87 \) representing the maximum possible completeness for detecting moving objects, \( V_{\text{lim}} = 20.60 \) mag, representing the limiting magnitude of the survey where the completeness drops to half for detecting moving objects and \( V_{\text{width}} = 0.74 \) representing the width of the transition in the drop of the completeness in detection faint moving objects (49) and is plotted as a red line in Fig. S4 (C). We note that the value of \( \epsilon_0 = 0.87 \) is remarkably close to the fill factor of ZTF (7) suggesting that the limiting factor in detecting bright moving objects by ZTF is the detector layout rather than the ZTF processing pipeline (26). In addition to the limiting magnitude, trailing losses due to the sky plane motion of the inner-Venus asteroids could further decrease the completeness calculated with Eq. (1) (49). However, as seen in Fig. S4 (B), the vast majority of synthetic inner-Venus asteroid detections have a sky plane rate of motion of 1.6 degrees/day or slower which doesn’t result in significant trailing of the detections given the typical 2 arcseconds seeing at the Palomar observing site. The lack of preference for slower-moving objects seen in the rate of motion distribution of the detected number of objects plotted in red in Fig. S4 (B) compared to the rate of motion distribution of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids suggests that losses due to trailing within the inner-Venus asteroid population are negligible.

The per inner-Venus asteroid, \( j \), per session, \( n \), completeness, \( \epsilon_{j,n}(V_{j,n}) \) is given by its per session field visible magnitude, \( V_{j,n} \) using equation Eq. (1). If a synthetic inner-Venus asteroid is not seen >3 times or not seen at all during a given session \( n \), \( \epsilon_{j,n}(V_{j,n}) = 0 \). The vast majority of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids have \( V \) magnitude <20 as seen in Fig. S4 (A) resulting in the majority of inner-Venus asteroid detections located in Twilight Survey fields having \( \epsilon_{j,n}(V_{j,n}) > 70\% \).
The probability of detecting a single Synthetic inner-Venus asteroid, \( j \), \( p_j \), over the total \( n_{\text{max}} \) Twilight Survey sessions is given by the following equation

\[
p_j = 1 - \prod_{n=0}^{n_{\text{max}}} \left[ 1 - \epsilon_{j,n}(V_{j,n}) \right]
\]  

(2)

where \( n_{\text{max}} = 89 \) corresponding to 90 individual Twilight Survey sessions. The number of detected synthetic inner-Venus asteroids is weighted per synthetic inner-Venus asteroid \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) bin by each detected object’s \( p_j \). The completeness of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids detected by the synthetic ZTF survey per synthetic inner-Venus asteroid \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) bin is calculated by dividing the weighted number of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids detected per synthetic inner-Venus asteroid \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) bin by the total number of synthetic inner-Venus asteroids generated from the NEA model per synthetic inner-Venus asteroid \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) bin. The completeness of inner-Venus asteroids detected per \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) bin is plotted with a red line in Fig. 4 (A-D). Marginalizing over the complete \( a, e, i \) and \( H \) distribution of the synthetic inner-Venus asteroid population results in an integrated completeness of \(~0.15\) and a completeness of \(~0.18\) when considering inner-Venus asteroids within a 68.2% confidence interval encompassing 2020 AV2’s \( H \) magnitude.
Figure S1: Skyplane distribution of Twilight Survey coverage occurring between 2019 September 19 UTC and 2020 January 30 UTC. The star plots the discovery locations of inner-Venus asteroid 2020 AV$_2$. The color scale is the number of ZTF visits per square degree as a function of ecliptic longitude and latitude.
Table S1: Orbital elements of 2020 AV₂ based on observations collected between 2020 January 4-23 UTC. The orbital elements are shown for the Julian date (JD) shown using the software Find.Orb by Bill Gray. The 1-σ uncertainties are given in parentheses. The value and 1-σ uncertainties for $H$ is provided by the JPL Small-Body Database Browser entry for 2020 AV₂.

| Element                        | Value                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Epoch (JD)                     | 2,458,871.5            |
| Time of perihelion, $T_p$ (JD) | 2,458,907.045±(0.019)  |
| Semi-major axis, $a$ (au)      | 0.55536±(8.51x10⁻⁵)    |
| Eccentricity, $e$              | 0.177296±(0.000222)    |
| Perihelion, $q$ (au)           | 0.456893±(0.000192)    |
| Aphelion, $Q$ (au)             | 0.653817±(0.000825)    |
| Inclination, $i$ (°)           | 15.880±(0.006)         |
| Ascending node, $Ω$ (°)        | 6.7065±(0.0032)        |
| Argument of perihelion, $ω$ (°)| 187.305±(0.017)        |
| Mean Anomaly, $M$ (°)          | 275.350±(0.019)        |
| Absolute Magnitude, $H$        | 16.4±(0.8)             |
Figure S2: Corner plot of 30,000 samples from the multivariate distribution of orbital elements of 2020 AV₂ from the covariance obtained with the orbit fit from observations between 2020 January 4-23 UTC. The central value and the 1-σ uncertainty for each parameter value is given at the top of each column in the corner plot.
Figure S3: (A) Evolution of the semi-major axis of 2020 AV$_2$. The plateaus in the evolution of the semi-major axis separated by jumps are due to 2020 AV$_2$ crossing resonances with Venus and Jupiter. At around 0.05 Myrs, 2020 AV$_2$ entered a 3:2 mean motion resonance with Venus that lasts for a few 0.1 Myrs. (B) The evolution of the aphelion (orange) and perihelion (blue) distances of 2020 AV$_2$ integrated to ±10 Myrs. The current aphelion (dashed line) and perihelion distances (dash-dot line) are plotted as horizontal lines for Venus (cyan) and Mercury (red) and Earth (purple). The aphelion distance of 2020 AV$_2$ spends the majority of the simulation within the perihelion distance of Venus (0.718 au) and the perihelion distance less than the aphelion distance of Mercury (0.467 au) for most of the simulation and crosses Mercury’s perihelion distance (0.307 au) at 6 Myrs during the simulation having a perihelion of ~0.25 au. (C) The same as the top panel, except extending the orbital evolution to 30 Myrs. The aphelion (dashed line) and perihelion distances (dash-dot line) are plotted as horizontal lines for Mars (black) and Jupiter (green). A close encounter with the Earth of ~0.01 au at ~22 Myrs and subsequent perturbations from the other planets results in 2020 AV$_2$ eventually increasing in its aphelion distance until it encounters Jupiter and is ejected from the Solar System at ~28 Myrs. The majority of the clones of 2020 AV$_2$ are lost in a similar manner after 10-20 Myrs.
Figure S4: (A) Comparison of the synthetic inner-Venus asteroid apparent V magnitude distribution with the weighted V magnitude distribution of detected inner-Venus asteroids in the survey simulation. Detections are weighted using Eqs. (1) and (2). (B) Same as (A), but for the synthetic inner-Venus asteroid’s rate of motion. The vertical dashed lines in (A) and (B) are the values of the apparent V magnitude and rate of motion of 2020 AV₂ on 2020 January 4 UTC. (C) Detection efficiency as a function of V magnitude. The 1-σ error bars are determined assuming Poissonian statistics. Eq. (1) using \( \epsilon_0 = 0.87, V_{lim} = 20.60, V_{width} = 0.74 \) is plotted in red.