CXCL9 and CXCL10 predict survival and are regulated by cyclooxygenase inhibition in advanced serous ovarian cancer
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Background: Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are associated with improved survival in several epithelial cancers. The two chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10 facilitate chemotactic recruitment of TILs, and their intratumoral accumulation is a conceivable way to improve TIL-dependent immune intervention in cancer. However, the prognostic impact of CXCL9 and CXCL10 in high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSC) is largely unknown.

Methods: One hundred and eighty four cases of HGSC were immunohistochemically analyzed for CXCL9, CXCL10. TILs were assessed using CD3, CD56 and FOXP3 staining. Chemokine regulation was investigated using the ovarian cancer cell lines OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3.

Results: High expression of CXCL9 and CXCL10 was associated with an approximately doubled overall survival (n = 70, CXCL9: HR 0.41; P = 0.006; CXCL10: HR 0.46; P = 0.010) which was confirmed in an independent validation set (n = 114; CXCL9: HR 0.60; P = 0.019; CXCL10: HR 0.52; P = 0.005). Expression of CXCR3 ligands significantly correlated with TILs. In human ovarian cancer cell lines the cyclooxygenase (COX) metabolite Prostaglandin E2 was identified as negative regulator of chemokine secretion, whereas COX inhibition by indomethacin significantly upregulated CXCL9 and CXCL10. In contrast, celecoxib, the only COX inhibitor prospectively evaluated for therapy of ovarian cancer, suppressed NF-kB activation and inhibited chemokine release.

Conclusion: Our results support the notion that CXCL9 and CXCL10 exert tumour-suppressive function by TIL recruitment in human ovarian cancer. COX inhibition by indomethacin, not by celecoxib, may be a promising approach to concomitantly improve immunotherapies.
However, indispensable for the success of these immunotherapies is a sufficient trafficking of the immune effector cells into the tumour (Abastado, 2012).

The two chemokines and CXCR3 receptor ligands CXCL9 and CXCL10 mediate the recruitment of tumour-suppressive CXCR3+ T cells and natural killer (NK) cells into solid cancers (Yang et al., 2006; Gorbachev et al., 2007; Wendel et al., 2008). Correspondingly, a high intratumoral concentration of these chemokines is associated with a higher lymphocytic infiltrate and an improved survival in several malignancies (Zhang et al., 2003; Kondo et al., 2004; Suyama et al., 2005; Specht et al., 2009; Denkert et al., 2010).

Raising intratumoral chemokine concentrations could thus improve immunosurveillance by an intensified infiltration of TILs, which could in turn augment the efficacy of immunotherapeutic approaches that depend on the presence of these cells (Kohrt et al., 2012; Shuptrine et al., 2012). Recently, two genomic classifications of ovarian cancer described CXCR3-binding chemokines as characteristic features of so-called ‘immunoreactive subtypes’ associated with a higher lymphocytic infiltrate and a more favourable prognosis (Torti et al., 2008; The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, 2011). However, CXCL9 and CXCL10 can also mediate chemotaxis of tumour-promoting cells such as regulatory T cells (Redjimi et al., 2012), and their expression is associated with a worse prognosis in some entities (Hsin et al., 2013; Lunardi et al., 2014; Tymoszuk et al., 2014). Although most studies indicate a tumour-suppressive function of CXCR3 chemokines, regulation and prognostic impact that provide an indication of their actual net effect have not been investigated in ovarian cancer so far.

The COX system is a potential pharmacologic target to augment intratumoral CXCR3 ligand concentrations (Bronger et al., 2012). Preclinical data show that an overexpression of both COX isoenzymes COX-1 and COX-2 is significantly associated with a lower number of CD8+ TILs and a worse prognosis in human ovarian cancer (Denkert et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009). We therefore hypothesise that COX inhibition may lead to enhanced lymphocytic tumour infiltration by inducing the expression of CXCR3-binding chemokines. Considering the number of available COX inhibitors with well-defined pharmacologic profiles this approach seems conceivable as an adjunct for ovarian cancer immunotherapy.

In the present study, we explored the prognostic impact of CXCL9 and CXCL10 in high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSC). We demonstrate that the expression of both chemokines is a strong and independent predictor of improved patient survival. In synopsis with our in vitro results presented below, we propose COX inhibition with indomethacin as a pharmacologically feasible way to improve immune therapies in ovarian cancer by increasing TILs through augmenting CXCL9 and CXCL10 release from ovarian cancer cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Human tissue samples and patient characteristics. For the immunohistochemical studies, we used formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens from 184 patients with HGSC stage FIGO III or IV (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics). Patient characteristics for the discovery cohort (n = 70) and the validation cohort (n = 114) are given in Supplementary Table 1. Patients were treated at the Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technical University of Munich (Munich, Germany), between 1992 and 2010. All patients underwent standard debulking surgery, followed by adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy. Complete pelvic and paraaortal lymphadenectomy was usually performed in most FIGO III patients, also in some FIGO IV patients according to the consensus recommendations at that time. In ~15% of patients, no lymphonodectomy was performed. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Two distinct but overlapping patient cohorts were utilised for assessment of chemokine expression by immunohistochemistry (discovery set, n = 70) and by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA; n = 39), including 22 patients belonging to both cohorts. For the quantitative assessment of chemokine content by ELISA, 39 tumour extracts derived from fresh-frozen ovarian cancer samples were utilised. Seventeen out of 39 (43.6%) patients had no residual tumour after surgery, 11 out of 39 (28.2%) had residual tumour below 1 cm and 11 out of 39 (28.2%) above 1 cm. Twelve patients had node-negative disease (30.8%), 19 had node-positive disease (47.8%) and 8 patients had an unknown nodal status (20.5%).

Reagents and cell lines. OV-MZ-6 (Möbus et al., 1992) and SKOV-3 (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) human ovarian cancer cell lines were cultured in a humidified 5% (v/v) CO2 atmosphere at 37 °C in DMEM supplemented with glutamine, 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum, 10 mM HEPES and 20 μg ml−1 gentamycin.

Antibodies. Monoclonal mouse antibodies were raised against CXCL9 (clone 49106, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), CXCL10 (clone sc-101500, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), CD3 (MRQ-39), CD56 (MRQ-42, Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA), FOXP3 (236A/E7, eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), COX-1 (clone COX111, Invitrogen, Camarillo, CA, USA), COX-2 (clone CX229, Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH, clone 6C5, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Burlington, ON, USA).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical staining for CXCL9 and CXCL10 was performed similar to our previously described protocol (Bronger et al., 2012). Consecutive sections were used for different antibodies to allow for comparability between stainings. Normal serous epithelium from unaffected fallopian tubes was used as control tissue on each slide. For CXCL10 staining, an avidin–biotin protocol (Bronger et al., 2012) was applied before blocking with goat serum. Final antibody concentrations were as follows: anti-CXCL10 1 μg ml−1, anti-COX-1, anti-COX-2 10 μg ml−1 and anti-FOX3 3.5 μg ml−1 in antibody diluent (Dako, Glostrup Denmark, S2022). Staining for CD3 and CD56 was performed on a BenchMark XT automated IHC staining instrument (Ventana, Tucson, AZ, USA), using XT ultraView DAB v3 (Zytomeds Systems, Berlin, Germany) staining procedure according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Following deparaffinization, antigen retrieval was performed by incubation for 30 min at 95 °C, pH 8.4. Primary antibodies (CD3 1: 500, CD56 1: 4) were incubated for 30 min at RT, followed by the detection of primary antibody using the UV HRP UNIV MULT and UV DAB kits (Ventana) and counterstaining with haematoxylin.

For CXCL9, CXCL10, COX-1 and COX-2, cytoplasmic staining of tumour cells was assessed semi-quantitatively as absent (0), weak (1+), moderate (2+) or strong (3+) staining intensity. As no considerable intratumoral heterogeneity in staining was observed for all antibodies used, the immunohistochemical assessment of protein expression was based on staining intensity alone. For each antibody, a control block of HGSC tissue previously classified as 2+ was mounted on every glass slide to account for in-run and inter-run differences in staining intensity. For evaluation of CD3+ TILs or CD56+ NK cells, only inflammatory cells within tumour cell islets or in intra- or peritumoral stroma (defined as 100 μm peritumoral margin) were counted. Inflammatory infiltrate was classified as weak (1+) when loosely scattered inflammatory cells were present, moderate (2+) when at least 2 intra- or peritumoral foci of > 20 clustered
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inflammatory cells were detected and strong (3+) when 5 or more intra- or peritumoral foci of >20 clustered inflammatory cells were detected. FOXP3+ lymphocytes were counted in 10 high-power fields (HPFs) and scored as 0 (no cells), 1+ (1–10 cells/10 HPFs), 2+ (11–40 cells/10 HPFs) and 3+ (>40 cells/10 HPFs).

Assessment of CXCL9 and CXCL10 concentrations in human tissue extracts. Fresh ovarian cancer tissue homogenates were prepared as described (Schmafeldt et al, 1995). Tissue Extracts were diluted 1:4 in 1% BSA/PBS and subjected in duplicates to the analysis, using the DuoSet ELISA kit (R&D Systems).

Western blot analysis. Immunoblotting onto the nitrocellulose membranes and immunostaining were performed as described (Bronc et al, 2005, 2012). Primary antibodies were applied as follows: anti-COX-1 2 μg ml−1, anti-COX-2 2 μg ml−1, anti-GAPDH 0.1 μg ml−1.

Modulation of chemokine secretion from human ovarian cancer cells. OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3 cells were plated on 12-well cell culture plates and grown to ~70–80% confluency, washed in PBS and starved for 24 h in FCS-free medium. The medium was then replaced and the respective test reagents added as indicated. After 24 h, cell culture supernatants were collected and stored at −20 °C until further use. Each experiment was performed in triplicates and repeated at least three times. Subsequently, the culture supernatants were subjected to ELISA for assessment of CXCL9 and CXCL10 concentrations, using the DuoSet ELISA kits DY392 and DY266 (R&D Systems). MTT assays were performed as described (Bronc et al, 2012).

Transient cell transfection and luciferase reporter gene assay for Rel transcription factors (assessment of NF-κB activation). For monitoring Rel transcription factor activations upon stimulation by tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α and celecoxib, reporter gene assays were conducted as previously described (Müller et al, 2013).

Statistical analysis. Univariate survival analyses were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method and analysed with the log-rank test. For multivariate survival analyses a Cox proportional hazard model was used. Spearman’s rank coefficient was used to describe the correlations between CD3, CD56, FOXP3, COX-1, COX-2 and the CXCR3 ligands, respectively. Results of the cell culture stimulation experiments were evaluated using mean values taken from at least three independent experiments and analysed using the Mann–Whitney test (SPSS Statistics Software, Version 21.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results are given as mean ± s.e.m., if not indicated otherwise. Statistical significance was defined as *P<0.05, **P<0.005 or ***P<0.001.

RESULTS

Expression and cellular localisation of CXCL9 and CXCL10 in serous ovarian cancer tissues. CXCL9 and CXCL10 expression was analysed semi-quantitatively by immunohistochemical staining of 70 (discovery set) and 114 (validation set) surgical specimens of patients with HGSC. In line with prior reports (Furuya et al, 2007; Rainczuk et al, 2014), both proteins were localised predominantly in the cytoplasm of the tumour cells (Figure 1A and B). In addition, weak background staining was observed in some endothelial cells, leukocytes and in the extracellular matrix. In the discovery set, tumour cell CXCL9 expression was scored 0 (2 out of 70, 3%), 1+ (13 out of 70, 19%), 2+ (38 out of 70, 54%) and 3+ (17 out of 70, 24%). Tumour cell CXCL10 expression was scored 0 (4 out of 64, 6%), 1+ (18 out of 64, 28%), 2+ (29 out of 64, 45%) and 3+ (13 out of 64, 21%). In six cases, CXCL10 expression was not assessable due to technical difficulties. In the validation set, tumour cell CXCL9 expression was scored 0 (4 out of 104, 4%), 1+ (39 out of 104, 37%), 2+ (48 out of 104, 46%) and 3+ (13 out of 104, 13%). Tumour cell CXCL10 expression was scored 1+ (34 out of 111, 31%), 2+ (63 out of 111, 57%) and 3+ (14 out of 111, 12%). Thus, the majority of serous ovarian cancer samples showed a strong expression (scores 2+ and 3+) of both chemokines (66.7% for CXCL9 and 68% for CXCL10). There was no correlation between the immunohistochemical expression of CXCL9 and CXCL10 with each other.

For absolute quantification of CXCL9 and CXCL10 protein, we measured both chemokines by ELISA. Concentrations were determined in tumour extracts derived from 39 HGSCs (Figure 1C). CXCL9 (2.49 ± 0.4 μg ml−1 total protein) was approximately sevenfold more abundant than CXCL10 (0.35 ± 0.07 μg ml−1 total protein; P<0.0001). There was a moderate correlation between the expression levels of CXCL9 and CXCL10 (r = 0.518; P = 0.001; Figure 1D).

CXCL9 and CXCL10 expression is associated with improved patient survival in advanced HGSC. Among clinicopathological parameters, residual tumour mass after surgery was the only parameter significantly associated with reduced patient survival in both the discovery and the validation cohort (Supplementary Table 2).

To back our hypothesis that CXCR3 ligands exert a tumour-suppressive function in human ovarian cancer, we determined the association of their expression with patient survival. Tissue specimens from both cohorts were divided into a low (scores 0 and 1+) and a high expressing group (scores 2+ and 3+) for each chemokine. There was no statistically significant difference in PFS between CXCL9 and CXCL10 high or low expressing tumours. However, high expression was associated with a significantly better, approximately doubled, overall survival (OS) in the discovery set (Figure 2). For CXCL9 median, OS extended from 19 ± 5.8 to 48 ± 3.5 months (HR 0.41, 95% CI 0.22–0.77, P = 0.006). For CXCL10 median, OS was 27 ± 6.5 and 49 ± 3.8 months (HR 0.46, 95% CI 0.26–0.83; P = 0.01) for the low- and high-expression groups, respectively. This could be validated in the validation set: for CXCL9 median OS was 24 ± 3.9 vs 48 ± 5.9 months (HR 0.60, 95% CI 0.39–0.92, P = 0.02), for CXCL10 31 ± 5.4 vs 46 ± 6.1 months (HR 0.52, 95% CI 0.33–0.82, P = 0.005) for the low and high expressing tumours, respectively. In the combined cohort, this survival advantage was more pronounced in patients without residual tumour (CXCL9: HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.19–0.79, P = 0.009; CXCL10: HR 0.35, 95% CI 0.16–0.77; P = 0.009) than in patients with residual tumour (CXCL9: HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.42–0.94, P = 0.02; CXCL10: HR 0.70, 95% CI 0.47–1.06; P = 0.09; Supplementary Figure 1).

The combined overexpression of both chemokines had additional positive prognostic value. CXCL9high/CXCL10high tumours were indicative of a significantly better overall patient survival than tumours overexpressing only one of the two chemokines (HR 0.48, 95% CI 0.26–0.88, P = 0.019; median OS 58 ± 10.4 vs 30 ± 12.8 months: Figure 2). Patients with CXCL9low/CXCL10low had the worst prognosis with only 14-month median OS. There was no statistically significant difference in OS between the CXCL9low/CXCL10high and the CXCL9high/CXCL10low groups. The survival benefit of CXCL9high/CXCL10high tumours could be confirmed in the validation cohort (Figure 2).

Next, we performed a multivariate analysis using a COX proportional hazard model including postsurgical residual tumour mass, lymph node involvement, age and FIGO stage as covariates. In this model, CXCL9 and CXCL10 were identified as independent markers for a favourable patient prognosis in HGSC (Table 1).

TILs are positively correlated with CXCR3 ligands in HGSC. Next, we assessed whether the extent of infiltrating CD3+ T cells, CD56+ NK cells or FOXP3+ lymphocytes was correlated with the intratumoral concentration of CXCR3-binding chemokines.
Twenty-two HGSCs were available for parallel assessment of CXCL9 and CXCL10 concentration by ELISA and immunohistochemical staining of CD3 and CD56, 35 for the correlation with FOXP3. We observed a significant correlation between CD3⁺ T cells and CXCL10 expression levels ($r = 0.547; P = 0.019$) as well as between CD56⁺ NK cells and CXCL10 ($r = 0.588; P = 0.017$; Figure 3A). A weak trend was observed for CXCL9 and the two lymphocytic subsets (Figure 3B;CD3: $r = 0.418; P = 0.085$; CD56: $r = 0.314; P = 0.236$). CXCL9 was significantly associated with FOXP3⁺ infiltration ($r = 0.570; P < 0.001$), a weak trend was observed for CXCL10.

**CD3⁺, CD56⁺ and FOXP3⁺ TILs, and patient prognosis.** We further evaluated the prognostic impact of infiltrating lymphocytes, known to be recruited by CXCR3 ligands (Gorbachev et al, 2007; Wendel et al, 2008). Complete absence of infiltrating CD3⁺ or CD56⁺ inflammatory cells (score 0) was not observed. Low (score 1–2⁺) and high infiltration (score 3⁺) by CD3⁺ T cells were observed in 75.4% and 24.6%, respectively. Low (score 1⁺) and high infiltration (score 2–3⁺) by CD56⁺ NK cells were observed in 44% and 56%, respectively. Analysis of consecutive microscopic sections of the cancer tissues identified only a small population of CD3⁺/CD56⁻ double-positive NKT cells. A high amount of infiltrating CD3⁺ T cells was significantly associated with an improved PFS compared with low CD3⁺ infiltration (HR 0.42, 95% CI 0.22–0.80; $P = 0.008$; median PFS 39 ± 19.7 vs 14 ± 1.0 months; Supplementary Figure 2A). A similar trend for better OS was observed, but was not statistically significant (HR 0.58, 95% CI 0.30–1.14; $P = 0.12$; median OS 83 ± 21.4 vs 39 ± 8.2 months). We further investigated the prognostic impact of intraepithelial tumour-infiltrating CD3⁺ T cells, defined as T cells in direct cell-to-cell contact with tumour cells, which were suggested as an even better prognostic marker than the total CD3⁺ T-cell counts (Zhang et al, 2003). Intraepithelial tumour-infiltrating CD3⁺ T cells were present in 68.7% of cases, but were not associated with PFS or OS in our cohort (Supplementary Figure 2B).
Monitoring the extent of infiltrating CD56
þ
NK cells we observed an improved but not statistically significant OS associated with a high infiltration (median OS 58 ± 25.2 vs 30 ± 5.0 months, 
\( P = 0.17 \); Supplementary Figure 2C). In addition, there was a higher 5-year OS in those patients whose tumours displayed a high lymphocytic infiltrate (CD3: 59% vs 29%; CD56: 50% vs 29%).

Figure 2. Prognostic significance of CXCL9 and CXCL10 expression in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. Kaplan–Meier curves for overall survival according to high or low CXCL9 (A) and CXCL10 (B) expression as determined by immunohistochemistry in the discovery set (left panels, \( n = 70 \)) and in the validation cohort (right panels, \( n = 114 \)). (C) Kaplan–Meier curves considering both CXCL9 and CXCL10 show a significantly better overall survival of patients whose tumours overexpress both chemokines compared with tumours overexpressing only one. There was no difference in survival between the CXCL9
high
/CXCL10
low
and CXCL9
low
/CXCL10
high
groups.

Table 1. Cox multivariate analysis of CXCL9 and CXCL10 as independent favourable prognostic factors for the overall survival of advanced high-grade serous ovarian cancer

| Variable | Hazard ratio | 95% CI  | \( P \) value |
|----------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| CXCL9 expression (high vs low) | 0.62 | 0.42–0.84 | 0.023 |
| CXCL10 expression (high vs low) | 0.61 | 0.39–0.95 | 0.029 |
| Postsurgical residual tumour | 3.84 | 2.30–3.90 | < 0.001 |
| Nodal status (positive vs negative) | 0.84 | 0.56–1.27 | 0.409 |
| Age | 1.01 | 0.99–1.03 | 0.182 |
| FIGO stage (IV vs III) | 2.00 | 1.28–3.13 | 0.003 |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; FIGO= International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

CXCL9 and CXCL10 are synergistically induced by inflammatory cytokines in human ovarian cancer cells. Our immunohistochemical analyses had revealed that CXCL9 and CXCL10 were mainly localised in the tumour cells. Thus, we used the two human ovarian cancer cell lines OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3 as an in vitro model to explore the regulation of CXCR3-binding chemokines in ovarian cancer.

Ovarian cancer cells were exposed to different concentrations of interferon (IFN)-\( \gamma \) and TNF-\( \alpha \), two cytokines present in the ovarian cancer environment and well characterised as strong inducers of CXCR3 ligands (Takeyama et al, 1991; Rabinowich...
Bars indicate median values.

After 24 h of incubation, the content of secreted CXCL9 and CXCL10 was measured in the cell culture supernatants by ELISA (Figure 4A and B). Untreated SKOV-3 or OV-MZ-6 cells did not secrete either chemokine. Stimulation with IFN-γ alone was only capable of inducing CXCL10, not CXCL9. Stimulation with both cytokines revealed a strong synergistic effect: 10 ng ml⁻¹ IFN-γ in combination with 10 ng ml⁻¹ TNF-α increased the release of CXCL9 14-fold and 5-fold compared with 10 ng ml⁻¹ IFN-γ alone in OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3 cells, respectively. Similarly, CXCL10 secretion was increased 100-fold and 4-fold, respectively (Figure 4A and B).

IFN-γ- and TNF-α-induced chemokine release is mediated through the JAK/STAT and NF-κB pathways. Next, we investigated the JAK/STAT and NF-κB pathways both known to be involved in IFN-γ and TNF-α receptor signalling (Clarke et al., 2010). Ovarian cancer cells were exposed to the JAK/STAT inhibitor JAK inhibitor I or the NF-κB pathway inhibitors BAY 11–7082 (inhibiting IκB-α kinase) or TPCK (preventing IκB-α degradation) 30 min before adding IFN-γ or TNF-α. All three inhibitors blocked both IFN-γ- and TNF-α-mediated chemokine release in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 4C). This was also true when cells were stimulated with IFN-γ and TNF-α at the same time (data not shown). These results provide evidence for an involvement of the JAK/STAT and NF-κB pathways in both IFN-γ- and TNF-α-induced CXCR3 ligand release in human ovarian cancer cells.

PGE₂ inhibits IFN-γ-induced CXCL9 and CXCL10 release from human ovarian cancer cells. As overexpression of COX enzymes correlates with a reduced number of TILs in ovarian cancer (Liu et al., 2009), we evaluated the effect of major COX-metabolite PGE₂ (Dannenberg and Subbaramaiah, 2003) on chemokine release from ovarian cancer cells. Thirty minutes before adding IFN-γ, ovarian cancer cells were exposed to different concentrations of PGE₂. Although both cell lines display endogenous expression of both COX-1 and COX-2 (Figure 5A), additional PGE₂ further suppressed IFN-γ-mediated secretion of CXCL9 and CXCL10 in a dose-dependent manner: 30 µM PGE₂ approximately halved the secretion of each chemokine in both cell lines (Figure 5B).

COX inhibitor indomethacin increases IFN-γ-induced CXCL9 and CXCL10 release from human ovarian cancer cells. Next, we tested whether inhibition of both COX isoenzymes by indomethacin can increase chemokine release from ovarian cancer cells. Indomethacin (30 µM for 24 h) enhanced the IFN-γ-mediated release of CXCL9 ~2.2-fold in OV-MZ-6 cells and 1.8-fold in SKOV-3 cells (Figure 5C). CXCL10 secretion was augmented 1.4-fold in OV-MZ-6 cells and 3.2-fold in SKOV-3 cells. Indomethacin alone without the inflammatory cytokines did not induce CXCL9 or CXCL10 in ovarian cancer cells (data not shown). MITT assays were conducted to rule out any bias of chemokine release due to changes in cell viability. No changes in cell viability were observed over 72 h of treatment with either PGE₂ or indomethacin compared with unstimulated controls (data not shown).

High doses of the COX-2 selective inhibitor celecoxib decrease CXCL9 and CXCL10 release from human ovarian cancer cells through COX-independent effects. We next tested the effects of the COX-2 selective inhibitor celecoxib on CXCR3 ligand release, the only COX inhibitor prospectively evaluated therapeutically in human ovarian cancer (Reyners et al., 2012). In contrast to indomethacin, celecoxib did not significantly increase CXCL10 release from OV-MZ-6 cells (Figure 5D). In contrast, an inhibition of chemokine secretion was observed with 30 µM celecoxib. In SKOV-3 cells, we noticed increased CXCL10 secretion at low celecoxib concentrations with a maximal increase by 2.4-fold at a concentration of 1 µM. Similar to OV-MZ-6, at higher concentrations of celecoxib, CXCL9 secretion was decreased.
concentrations, celecoxib significantly decreased CXCL10 release from SKOV-3 cells. Similar results were obtained for CXCL9 in both cell lines (data not shown). When the same experiments were conducted using TNF-α treatment instead of IFN-γ, we observed a significant decrease in CXCL10 release even at low celecoxib concentrations of 0.1 μM (Figure 5D).

Subsequently, we assessed whether the observed inhibition of CXCR3 ligand secretion by celecoxib could be attributed to COX-independent effects. We therefore monitored NF-κB activation in OV-MZ-6 cells after stimulation with TNF-α in the absence or presence of different concentrations of celecoxib. TNF-α (10 ng ml⁻¹) induced NF-κB activation by ~6-fold when compared with unstimulated control cells. Celecoxib (1 μM) did not influence this NF-κB activation, whereas 30 μM celecoxib resulted in a 50% decrease (Figure 5D). These differences were not attributable to the changes in cell viability, as demonstrated by MTT assays performed in parallel (data not shown).

**COX-2 expression is inversely correlated with the intratumoral CXCL10 concentration.** To find further support for a regulation of CXCR3 ligand secretion by cyclooxygenases in HGSC, we compared the relative chemokine concentrations measured by ELISA with COX expression detected by immunohistochemical staining in 23 patient samples (Figure 5E). COX-2 was inversely correlated with intratumoral CXCL10 concentration ($r = -0.46$; $P = 0.05$, Figure 5E). However, no correlation was observed between COX-2 and CXCL9 expression or between COX-1 and chemokine expression. COX-1 and COX-2 expressions were not associated with patient survival (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we identified the CXCR3-binding chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10 as independent favourable prognostic markers in advanced HGSC. The positive prognostic effect of high chemokine expression was independent of each other and of other clinicopathologic parameters. Our results further suggest that the recruitment of TILs by these chemokines may be an important mechanism of their tumour-suppressive function in ovarian cancer.

The number of CD3⁺ TILs was previously demonstrated to be a strong and independent prognostic marker in epithelial cancers including HGSC, possibly through recognition and eradication of cancer cells (Zhang et al., 2003; Tomsova et al., 2008; Chen and Mellman, 2013). In our study, a high frequency of CD3⁺ TILs was significantly associated with an improved PFS of 39 months compared with 14 months in patients with low CD3⁺ infiltration and a higher 5-year OS, which is in line with these previous reports. In contrast, Sato et al. (2005) found only the CD8⁺ subpopulation of intratumoral T lymphocytes and especially the CD8⁺/regulatory T-cell ratio to be associated with improved survival, whereas no association was found for total CD3⁺ T cells or other subtypes of TILs. In agreement with other reports (Sato et al., 2005; Clarke et al., 2009; Mariya et al., 2014), we did not observe an association of intraepithelial CD3⁺ T cells with survival, which had been shown by the first mentioned studies (Zhang et al., 2003; Tomsova et al., 2008). Different cutoff values, a heterogeneous study population or different staining protocols...
Figure 5. Modulation of CXCL9 and CXCL10 release from human ovarian cells by the COX system. (A) Western blots showing intrinsic COX-1 and COX-2 expression in OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3 cells. (B) OV-MZ-6 and SKOV-3 cells were stimulated with increasing concentrations of PGE2 (10 and 30 μM) for 30 min before adding IFN-γ. In both cell lines, PGE2 suppressed IFN-γ-induced CXCL9 and CXCL10 release up to ~50%. (C) The unselective COX inhibitor indomethacin was added 30 min before IFN-γ on OV-MZ-6 or SKOV-3 cells and significantly enhanced the secretion of both chemokines. (D) Differential effect of the COX-2-specific inhibitor celecoxib on CXCL10 secretion from human ovarian cancer cells. In OV-MZ-6 cells, there was no increase in CXCL10 secretion, in contrast to the effect seen for COX inhibition by indomethacin. In SKOV-3 cells, there was an increase in CXCL10 release only at low celecoxib concentrations (0.1 and 1 μM). In both cell lines, there was a significant inhibition of chemokine release at high (30 μM) celecoxib concentrations. NF-κB activity measured by a reporter gene assay is increased approximately sevenfold 4 h after stimulation with 10 ng ml⁻¹ TNF-α in OV-MZ-6 cells. Celecoxib (1 μM) did not have any effect on NF-κB activity compared with vehicle control (‘1’), whereas 30 μM celecoxib significantly reduces TNF-α-induced NF-κB activity. (E) Correlation of COX-2 expression and CXCL9 and CXCL10 expression in human ovarian cancer. COX-2 expression was assessed semi-quantitatively by immunohistochemistry in primary high-grade serous ovarian cancer samples. Correlation of COX-2 expression with the intratumoral chemokine concentration measured by ELISA (n = 18) reveals no correlation between COX-2 and CXCL9, but a significant inverse correlation with CXCL10. Scale bars in E indicate median values. Asterisks mark significant differences compared with controls unless indicated otherwise.
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might account for these discrepancies. Moreover, tumour-promoting subpopulations of CD3⁺ T lymphocytes such as FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells might account for the less strong effect of CD3 as compared with CD8.

CXCL9 and CXCL10 have previously been demonstrated to be involved in the chemotactic recruitment of tumour-suppressive TILs in mouse models of epithelial cancers (Yang et al., 2006; Gorbachev et al., 2007; Wendel et al., 2008). Zhang et al. (2003) demonstrated that ovarian cancers containing intratumoral CD3⁺ T lymphocytes exhibit higher levels of CXCL9 (Mig) messenger RNA (mRNA) than tumours lacking T cells. Similarly, CXCL9 and CXCL10 mRNA has been shown to be associated with the CD8⁺ T-cell infiltration (Kryczek et al., 2009). Our study extends these results by demonstrating a direct correlation between CXCL10 protein and higher CD3⁺ lymphocytic infiltration in serous ovarian cancers. Although CXCL9 protein expression was also significantly associated with better prognosis, overexpression did not correlate with TILs. This antitumour activity may partly be explained by mechanisms other than lymphocyte recruitment such as CD3 activation, which was recently demonstrated in a mouse model of breast cancer (Markosyan et al., 2013). There was only a moderate correlation between intratumoral CXCL9 and CXCL10, which might be explained by different regulatory mechanisms within the tumour microenvironment, illustrated, for example, by the different sensitivity to TNF-α-mediated induction in ovarian cancer cells in our experiments (Figure 4).

A novelty of our results is the demonstration of a strong and independent prognostic value for both CXCL9 and CXCL10, suggesting a tumour-suppressive net effect in serous ovarian cancer. There is evidence that both chemokines can also exert tumour-promoting effects in addition to their tumour-suppressive function, such as through chemotactic recruitment of tumour-promoting regulatory T cells (Redjimi et al., 2012) or chemotaxis inhibition by truncated CXCL10 (Rainczuk et al., 2014). Regulatory T cells may secrete VEGF, which in turn impairs the angiostatic activity of CXCR3 chemokines and may indirectly enhance lymphocyte maturation towards regulatory T cells (Gasparri et al., 2013). Hence, there might be a synergistic effect of CXCL9/10 upregulating agents and anti-VEGF treatment. Indeed, we also observed a positive correlation between FOXP3⁺ regulatory T-cell infiltration and intratumoral CXCR3 ligand concentration, which supports the data by Redjimi et al. (2012). However, our survival analyses suggest that the recruitment of tumour-suppressive T-cell subsets and possibly additional antitumor effects such as T-cell activation outbalance possible tumour-promoting effects of CXCR3 ligands in ovarian cancer.

It remains speculative at this time if CXCR3 ligands are a priori present in the tumour microenvironment, for example, through the secretion by macrophages or tumour cells and subsequently attract T lymphocytes, or if T cells initially recruited into the tumour by stimuli other than CXCR3 ligands secrete IFN-γ that in turn causes chemokine production by tumour cells. Nevertheless, augmenting CXCR3 ligand secretion from cancer cells may enhance antitumour immunity through recruitment of tumour-suppressive TILs. We therefore investigated potential pharmacologic mechanisms to modulate chemokine secretion from ovarian cancer cells.

COX overexpression in clinical samples of ovarian cancer was previously shown to be significantly associated with a lower number of TILs and worse patient prognosis (Denkert et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2009). We therefore investigated the COX system as a potential target to enhance TIL recruitment. Unselective COX inhibition by indomethacin significantly increased CXCL9 and CXCL10 secretion from human ovarian cancer cells. In support of these in vitro findings, we demonstrated that CXCL10 and COX-2 expression levels are inversely correlated in clinical samples of HGSC. Our suggestion of therapeutic COX modulation is further supported by functional studies, in which indomethacin hampered tumour growth and metastatic spread in an NK cell or T-cell-dependent manner in murine cancer models (Kundu and Fulton, 2002; Kundu et al., 2005). Similarly, COX inhibition enhanced the CD4⁺ Th1 lymphocytic infiltrate and improved the efficacy of cancer vaccines in preclinical lung cancer models (Sharma et al., 2005; Haas et al., 2006). In murine colon cancer cells, the addition of indomethacin to an IFN-based treatment improved ex vivo CD8⁺ T-cell recruitment (Muthuswamy et al., 2012). Our results provide a scientific basis for the future implementation of COX inhibitors as an adjunct to chemotherapeutic and/or immunotherapies in therapeutic trials of high-grade serous cancer. The therapeutic modulation of chemokine secretion and TILs through COX inhibition is particularly interesting, as a number of different inhibitors with well-defined pharmacologic profiles is available for clinical use.

Targeting COX in ovarian cancer is not a new approach. However, to our knowledge the only COX inhibitor tested so far in a prospective, randomised clinical trial of ovarian cancer is the COX-2-specific inhibitor celecoxib. Its addition to a standard first-line chemotherapy of carboplatin plus docetaxel did not improve patient survival and had severe side effects, particularly skin reactions, leading to premature stopping of celecoxib in 24% of patients (Reyners et al., 2012). The current study provides a potential explanation for this contradictory finding in ovarian cancer: whereas COX inhibition by indomethacin increased chemokine secretion from ovarian cancer cells, celecoxib reduced CXCL9 and CXCL10 release in a dose-dependent manner through the COX-independent effects, most likely through the inhibition of NF-κB. This is in line with prior reports favouring unspecific or COX-1 inhibition in ovarian cancer (Gupta et al., 2003; Daikoku et al., 2005).

In conclusion, we demonstrated for the first time that the two CXCR3-binding chemokines CXCL9 and CXCL10 are independent predictors of an improved OS in HGSC. Furthermore, we identified the COX system as a suitable pharmacologic target to augment intratumoral chemokine concentrations. On the basis of our results, the addition of indomethacin, rather than celecoxib, to immunotherapies in future clinical trials should be explored, with the goal to improve their efficacy by enhancing the tumour-suppressive lymphocytic infiltration in ovarian cancer.
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