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**ABSTRACT.** Tomato mottle virus (ToMoV) is a silverleaf whitefly (Bemisia argentifolii Bellows and Perring n. sp.) transmitted, bipartite geminivirus that infects tomatoes (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.). Inbred lines resistant to ToMoV were derived from *Lycopersicon chilense* Dunal accession LA 1932. Inheritance was studied using a family developed from the crossing of a resistant inbred with a susceptible tomato inbred over two seasons. The F₁ had resistance intermediate to the parents and generation means analysis of F₁ and F₂, backcross and parental populations suggested that the action of at least two additive genes with high heritability (h² = 0.87) controlled ToMoV resistance. When data from the two seasons were combined, an acceptable fit to an additive-dominance genetic model was obtained. Single plant comparisons, bulk comparisons, and tallies of F₂ populations segregating for ToMoV resistance derived from LA 1932 identified randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers using eight hundred 10-mer oligonucleotide primers. The F₂ populations used for inheritance studies were screened for polymorphic markers, and 12 RAPD markers associated with the ToMoV resistant line were linked to the morphological markers self-pruning (sp) and potato leaf (c) on chromosome 6. RAPD markers that were associated with ToMoV resistance segregated into two linked regions flanking either side of the sp and c loci. The molecular studies suggested that the action of at least two additive regions controlled ToMoV resistance which supported the inheritance analysis.

The silverleaf whitefly (Bemisia argentifolii) appeared in the Western Hemisphere in the mid-1980s and was able to reproduce and feed more efficiently on tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) than the local whitefly biotype(s) (Schuster et al., 1990). In 1989 tomato plants with unusual viruslike symptoms were identified in southwestern Florida (Kring et al., 1991) and the pathogen was identified as a unique whitefly-transmitted bipartite geminivirus now known as tomato mottle virus (ToMoV) (Abouzid et al., 1992). More than a dozen whitefly-transmitted geminiviruses that infect tomato have now been identified in the Western Hemisphere (Polston and Anderson, 1997), including tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV). There are at least five geminiviruses called TYLCV. The best characterized is the monopartite geminivirus known as TYLCV-I (Navot, 1991). TYLCV-I causes extensive losses in the Middle East, and host plant resistance to this pathogen has been studied extensively. Inheritance studies on TYLCV resistance genes have revealed different types of genetic control: partially dominant tolerance genes derived from *L. pimplenifolii* (L.) Mill. and *L. chilense* (Pilowsky and Cohen, 1974; Zamir et al., 1994), a single dominant gene *Ty* derived from *L. pimplenifolii* (Basrawi, 1989), a recessive tolerance gene from *L. cheesmanii* Riley (Hassan et al., 1984), a multigene dominant resistance from *L. hirsutum* Humb and Bonpl. (Hassan et al., 1984), and a recessive tolerance based on five genes from *L. peruvianum* (L.) Mill. (Pilowsky and Cohen, 1990). A partially dominant major gene (*Ty*-1) on chromosome 6 of tomato was introgressed from LA 1969 (an accession of *L. chilense*) and conferred tolerance to TYLCV-I (Zamir et al., 1994). In general, resistance to TYLCV-I has often been inadequate, and control of whiteflies with the systemic insecticide Admire (imidocloprid (Bayer Corp., Kansas City, Mo.)) has been necessary to avoid extensive losses.

No genetic studies have been conducted on bipartite tomato geminiviruses, including ToMoV. ToMoV causes leaf curling, epinasty, stunting of growth, and reduction in leaf size and yield (Abouzid et al., 1992). In 1990, wild *Lycopersicon Mill.* sp. were screened for resistance to ToMoV and the best resistance was found in accessions of *L. chilense* (Scott and Schuster, 1991; Scott et al., 1995). Resistance to ToMoV was found in several *L. chilense* accessions, with the most promising resistant lines derived from accessions LA 1932, LA 1938, LA 1961, LA 1968, and LA 2779. Introgression of resistance into *L. esculentum* resulted in a low percentage of backcross plants in the higher resistance categories (3.4%), suggesting that ToMoV resistance was controlled by more than one gene. ToMoV resistant lines derived from *L. chilense* have exhibited less severe symptoms in indeterminate (sp+) plants than in determinate (sp) plants (Scott et al., 1995) indicating possible linkage of ToMoV resistance to the sp locus on the long arm of chromosome 6. The presence of susceptible sp+ plants indicated that this was not caused by outgrowing the virus. Therefore, the objectives of this investigation were to 1) determine the inheritance of ToMoV resistance derived from LA 1932, 2) find randomly amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers linked to ToMoV resistance genes and 3) compare the presence of markers with ToMoV disease severity. The F₂ populations used in the inheritance studies segregated the sp gene, which provided a
well-characterized phenotypic marker to detect possible linkage of other markers on chromosome 6.

**Materials and Methods**

**GERmplasm evaluated.** Indeterminate ToMoV resistant inbred Fla. 960719 (719) and determinate resistant inbred Fla. 960729 (729) with potato leaf (c gene) were derived from *L. chilense* accession LA 1932. Crosses were made between the resistant inbreds and determinate susceptible breeding lines Fla. 7324 (7324) and Fla. 7613 (7613), respectively. The F1 plants derived from the 719 x 7324 cross were self-pollinated to provide an F2 population segregating for the self-pruning gene (sp) and backcrossed to the parents 719 (P1) and 7324 (P2) to obtain backcross populations BC1 and BC2, respectively. The six generations from the 719 x 7324 cross were used for inheritance studies, and RAPD polymorphisms associated with ToMoV resistant plants were used to test for linkage to ToMoV resistance in the F2 population. ToMoV resistant line 719 and bulked DNAs from the tailends of the F2 population derived from the 719 x 7324 cross were used for identification of RAPD markers. The F2 population derived from the 729 x 7613 cross was used to study segregation of the potato leaf gene (c) and associated markers. Indeterminate ToMoV susceptible (960724 (724)), and determinate resistant [960744 (744)] sister lines of 719 were also used in the identification of molecular markers.

**INOCULATION.** A colony of ToMoV viruliferous whiteflies was maintained on the dwarf tomato ‘Florida Lanai’ in a controlled temperature room with a 14-h photoperiod under cool white fluorescent lights with irradiance of 50 mmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ at 25 °C. Six weeks before inoculation, viruliferous whitefly numbers were increased by adding additional noninfected ‘Florida Lanai’ plants with 7 to 10 leaves to screened cages. Seed of lines to be inoculated were sown in flats containing Black Beauty spent coal (Reed Minerals Div., Highland Industries, Tampa, Fla.). Seedlings were transplanted at the cotyledon stage to styrofoam trays (cell size = 3.8 cm²) where they were grown to the three-leaf stage in a fiberglass house with ambient light and temperature. The trays were moved to a greenhouse with whitefly-proof screens and were inoculated for 14 d, using one infested source plant for every 512 seedlings (four trays). Trays were moved periodically, plants were fertilized at necessary, and source plants were shaken daily to provide a uniform distribution of whiteflies in the chamber. Viruliferous whiteflies on the inoculated seedlings were moved to the field with the transplants where they were allowed to reproduce freely.
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Fig. 1. Frequency distributions of ToMoV severity ratings 84 d after inoculation began for P1, P2, F1, BC1, BC2, and F2 generations for the cross 719 x Fla. 7324.
Evaluation. Inoculated 35-d-old seedlings were transplanted in Aug. 1997 and Feb. 1998 into field beds (EauGallie fine sand) that were 20 cm high, 76 cm wide, and were spaced on 152 cm centers. Plants were spaced 45 cm apart within rows. The beds were fumigated previously (67% methyl bromide: 33% chloropropirin at 239 kg·ha$$^{-1}$$) and covered with black polyethylene mulch in the spring or white polyethylene mulch in the fall. Plants were rated for ToMoV severity at 21 d intervals: 42, 63, and 84 d after inoculation began (DAI). The rating scale was from 0 to 4 as documented by Scott et al. (1995), where 0 = no symptoms and 4 = severe symptoms and stunting. Intermediate scores (0.5, 1.5, etc.) were incorporated to obtain more accurate distributions of disease severity ratings. Data from the rating 84 DAI were used for inheritance analysis to record the most accurate resistance levels of plants and to minimize the possibility of escapes. This was possible, as any seedlings escaping inoculation in the screen chamber were infected in the field by this time (Griffiths, 1998).

Identification of polymorphisms. DNA was extracted from new leaves (Doyle and Doyle, 1990) and stored at –20 °C. Random fragments of DNA were amplified (Williams et al., 1990) using single 10-mer oligonucleotide primers (Biotechnology Lab., University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) in a modified polymerase chain reaction (PCR) buffer (0.5 M Tris pH 8.3, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM Tartrazine, 14% w/v Ficol), and fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel. RAPD polymorphisms were generated using standard PCR procedures (Williams et al., 1990) for 45 cycles in a Stratagene ribocycler. Each cycle consisted of 60 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 35 °C and 90 s at 72 °C. Gel banding patterns were visualized under ultraviolet light after staining with ethidium bromide (4 ng·mL$^{-1}$), and gel sequences were recorded on Type 55 Polaroid film (Polaroid Corp., Cambridge, Mass.). Polymorphic RAPD bands were identified and recorded.

Statistical analysis. Adequacy of the additive-dominance model was tested using the joint scaling test which uses weighted least squares estimates based on the generation means (Cavalli, 1952). Goodness of fit to the model was tested using chi-square distribution. If the joint scaling test indicated adequacy of the additive-dominance model, epistatic effects were considered insignificant. The generation means analysis was based on the means and standard errors of the P1, P2, F1, BC1, BC2, and F2 populations derived from 719×7324, and seven of these segregated for indeterminate (sp) and determinate (sd) ToMoV resistance. The BC2 population mean was between the P1 and F1 means, and the BC2 population mean was between the F1 and P2 means. The F2 population segregated continuously, with its mean (2.33) near the midparent value. Thus, the data indicated that the gene action was mostly additive, but not entirely. The data had an acceptable fit to the additive-dominance model using the joint scaling test for the combined data [χ$^2$ (df 3) = 6.83, P = 0.1 to 0.05], indicating that additive and dominance effects accounted for most of the genetic variation without significant epistasis.

Estimates of the additive and dominance effects were made and the variation was found to be mainly additive, with a small dominance effect (Table 1). Additive effects were significant (P < 0.001), but no significant dominant effects were found (P > 0.1). Broad-sense heritability and narrow-sense heritability were high (0.95 and 0.87, respectively), again indicating that the majority of the genetic variation was caused by additive gene action. The data suggested involvement of 2.29 effective factors.

Of the 800 oligonucleotide primers used (UBC 001–UBC 800) for amplification, a total of 34 polymorphic bands [26 coupling (+) and 8 repulsion (–)] were identified between 719 and 7324. One of the primers (UBC 061) amplified both a coupling and repulsion polymorphic band that were linked in repulsion indicating they represented a RAPD length polymorphism between resistant and susceptible plants at this locus. Twelve of the polymorphisms segregated with consistent repeatability in the F2 populations derived from 719×7324, and seven of these segregated.

| Component                  | Estimate |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Additive variance          | –1.68    |
| Dominance variance         | 0.09     |
| Environmental variance     | 0.22     |
| Broad-sense heritability   | 0.95     |
| Narrow-sense heritability  | 0.87     |
| Effective factor number    | 2.29     |

Table 1. Estimates of components of variation, heritability, and effective factor number from a 719 (LA 1932) × Fla. 7324 cross using combined data from Fall 1997 and Spring 1998.
Heterozygotes were intermediate to the homozygotes for both markers, suggesting the effects were additive (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Inheritance and molecular studies complemented one another as they suggest involvement of at least two genes for ToMoV resistance in the populations studied. Determination of gene number was made through effective factor estimates, number of RAPD marker linkage groups associated with ToMoV resistance, and proportions of resistant plants in the F$_2$ generations. A distance of =40 cM was calculated between regions R1 and R2, and the regions appear to be separated by a sufficient distance such that the linkage does not affect the statistical estimate of effective factor number.

The resistance obtained was not complete, as minor symptoms were evident in some of the plants of the resistant parent (Fig. 1). Results confirm earlier evidence that more than one gene controlled ToMoV resistance (Scott et al., 1995). As the F$_2$ resistance was intermediate, both parents would require resistance to develop hybrids with adequate resistance. As two genes are involved, this will require considerable effort in a breeding program.

The two linkage groups identified in this study were mapped to phenotypic markers on the long arm of chromosome 6. The TYLCV-I resistance gene Ty-1 bred from LA 1969 was mapped previously to the short arm of chromosome 6. Thus, the two genes introgressed from LA 1932 for ToMoV resistance differ from the Ty-I gene introgressed from LA 1969 for TYLCV resistance. ToMoV resistant plants derived from accessions LA 1938 and LA 2779 contain markers that have been mapped to chromosome 6 in a homologous region to the Ty-I gene (Griffiths, 1998). Other ToMoV resistance genes probably exist in other chromosomal regions, particularly in LA 2779 derived material. Combining genes from geminivirus resistant lines derived from different accessions of *L. chilense* may result in higher levels of resistance to ToMoV and/or other geminiviruses. Moreover, combining *L. chilense* genes with genes from other sources might be useful in obtaining resistance to various geminiviruses (Vidavsky et al., 1998). Available markers could be useful in synthesizing gene combinations for testing against various geminiviruses to identify the most effective combinations.

It was noted previously that indeterminate plants had greater ToMoV resistance than determinate plants (Scott et al., 1995). Analysis of the segregation of the sp gene with ToMoV resistance revealed a significant association of indeterminate plants (sp+)

---

**Table 2.** Linkage of primers generating polymorphisms in two regions (R1 and R2) on chromosome 6 based on linkage data from two F$_2$ populations derived from *L. chilense* accession LA 1932.

| Primer no. | Linkage group | Map distance (cM) |
|------------|---------------|------------------|
| UBC 169    | R1            | 0                |
| UBC 462    | R1            | 0.4              |
| UBC 395    | R1            | 0.7              |
| UBC 35     | R1            | 0.9              |
| UBC 768    | R1            | 1.5              |
| sp locus   | ---           | 5.7              |
| c locus    | ---           | 12.4             |
| UBC 131    | ---           | 39.7             |
| UBC 137    | R2            | 41.7             |
| UBC 112    | R2            | 42.8             |
| UBC 236    | R2            | 43.1             |
| UBC 61     | R2            | 43.6             |
| UBC 110    | R2            | 44.3             |

---

**Table 3.** Effect of regions R1 and R2 on ToMoV resistance determined using primers UBC 169 (+), UBC 035 (–), and UBC 061 (+/–).

| Region | Marker genotype | 42 d | 63 d | 84 d |
|--------|-----------------|------|------|------|
| [1] R1 (+/–), R2 (+/–) | 169, 061(+) | 0.6 c | 0.8 d | 1.0 d |
| [2] R1 (+/–), R2 (+–) | 169, 061(+), 061(–) | 1.0 bc | 1.3 cd | 1.3 cd |
| [3] R1 (+/–), R2 (–/–) | 169, 061(–) | 1.4 abc | 1.8 bc | 1.8 bc |
| [4] R1 (+–), R2 (+/–) | 169, 035, 061(+) | 0.8 bc | 1.0 d | 1.0 d |
| [5] R1 (+–), R2 (+–) | 169, 035, 061(+) | 1.3 bc | 1.8 bc | 1.8 bc |
| [6] R1 (+–), R2 (–/–) | 169, 035, 061(–) | 1.6 ab | 2.2 ab | 2.2 b |
| [7] R1 (+–), R2 (+/–) | 035, 061(+) | 1.5 abc | 2.4 ab | 2.8 a |
| [8] R1 (+–), R2 (+–) | 035, 061(+), 061(–) | 1.6 ab | 2.5 ab | 3.2 a |
| [9] R1 (+–), R2 (–/–) | 035, 061(–) | 2.2 a | 2.9 a | 3.1 a |

---

*Rating time in days after inoculation began (DAI).*

*Mean separation within columns by Duncan’s multiple range test, at $P \leq 0.05$.*
with ToMoV resistance, with a larger influence on resistance later in the season. To determine linkage of polymorphic RAPD markers to this locus, one determinate and two indeterminate (sp+) ToMoV resistant lines were crossed to susceptible lines to produce F₂ populations segregating at sp/sp+ and for the RAPD markers. Not all RAPD markers identified were suitable due to inconsistencies in amplification. Markers within 5.3 cM of sp were located in ToMoV resistant lines derived from accession LA 1932, indicating that a gene controlling ToMoV resistance was very close to sp. The close linkage to this locus explains some of the difficulties involved in breeding determinate lines with high levels of ToMoV resistance. A repulsion marker UBC 035 was mapped into this linkage group, allowing determination of heterozygous genotypes from this region.

Potato leaf is caused by the c gene, which is recessive and located close to the sp locus on the long arm of chromosome 6 (Rick and Butler, 1956). However, its exact location is ambiguous because it has been mapped on the centromeric side (Tanksley et al., 1992) of the sp locus, and on the telomeric side (Khush and Rick, 1968; Weide et al., 1993). Although the c gene has been described as a recessive gene, the trait inherited from *L. chilense* segregated an intermediate leaf shape, which was presumed to be heterozygous. Designation of the *L. chilense* c gene was not always clear from the phenotype, which may have been due in part to ratings that were taken later in the season when potato leaf is less clear than at the seedling stage. Potato leaf was only observed in determinate plants derived from *L. chilense* accession LA 1932 in this experiment, suggesting an epistatic interaction prevented expression of this phenotype in indeterminate plants. Furthermore, the c gene originated from LA 1932 which is indeterminate, and does not express c. There was not a clear association of the c gene to resistance. This was probably due to more than one gene conferring resistance in this population and to problems in determining the precise genotype at the c locus due to ambiguities in classification of the leaf shape phenotype. It is possible that a different locus conferring potato leaf in this population was located at a different chromosomal location on chromosome 6 than the c locus mapped previously. Potato leaf was also observed in TYLCV resistant lines received from Henri
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**Fig. 2.** Effect of region R1 and region R2 genotypes on ToMoV disease severity rating 42 and 84 d after inoculation (DAI) in an F₂ population derived from the cross 719 (LA 1932 derived) x Fla. 7324.

Laterrot (derived from *L. chilense* LA 1969 and ‘TyKing’) and in the TYLCV tolerant landrace ‘TyKing’. These genotypes also had resistance to ToMoV (data not presented) and may have a geminivirus resistance gene in the same region as LA 1932 derived lines.

Region R1 will probably be the most difficult region to select due to its close linkage to the sp locus. A determinate inbred more resistant than 729 has been developed and has been comparable to most resistant indeterminate inbreds (data not presented). Crossovers to reduce linkage drag will be required for cultivar development to succeed, but the high resistance level indicates that resistance genes have been transferred to a determinate inbred. Consequently, it should be possible to develop determinate cultivars with resistance derived from LA 1932. RAPD markers that can be dissociated from deleterious traits also need to be identified before resistance can be selected efficiently with molecular markers. Use of marker-assisted selection (MAS) would greatly facilitate development of ToMoV resistant cultivars by allowing two crosses per year, as opposed to the present system of one cross every 2 years. MAS would also reduce the need for inoculation with viruliferous whiteflies that can serve as a source of unwanted ToMoV contamination for surrounding tomato production. The ToMoV resistance derived from *L. chilense* may also be useful against other geminiviruses. Several ToMoV resistant lines have been tested against geminiviruses, including TYLCV, in various regions around the world, and many have shown resistance (Scott et al., 1995 and data not presented). However, no single genotype has been resistant to all geminiviruses tested, indicating the need for further work to determine the combination of genes required for resistance to the geminivirus of interest. Genes with broad spectrum resistance would be desirable given the proliferation of geminivirus diseases (Polston and Anderson, 1997) and the likelihood of new viruses emerging should an existing one decline due to the use of resistant cultivars.
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