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Abstract Lapatinib adds to the efficacy of trastuzumab in preclinical models and also in the neo-adjuvant setting. This study assesses the safety and feasibility of adding lapatinib to paclitaxel and trastuzumab (THL) as part of the adjuvant therapy for HER2-positive breast cancer (HER2+ BC). In this single-arm phase II study, patients with stages I–III HER2+ BC received standard anthracycline-based chemotherapy followed by weekly taxane, with concurrent standard trastuzumab, plus daily lapatinib for a total of 12 months. The primary endpoint was symptomatic congestive heart failure, secondary endpoints included overall safety. A total of 109 eligible patients were enrolled. Median follow-up is 4.3 years. No patients experienced congestive heart failure while on treatment. Mean left ventricular ejection fraction at baseline and at the end of THL were 63.6 % (N = 109, SD = 5.7) and 59.8 % (N = 98, SD = 8.1), respectively [mean change −3.95 % (N = 98, SD = 8.3), p < 0.001]. One hundred and two patients initiated post-AC treatment; of them, 31 % experienced grade 3 (no G4) diarrhea with lapatinib at 750 mg/day. The addition of lapatinib to paclitaxel and trastuzumab following AC does not add cardiac toxicity. Lapatinib dose of 750 mg/day in combination with standard chemotherapy plus trastuzumab has acceptable overall tolerability.
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THL Paclitaxel, trastuzumab, and lapatinib
AC Cyclophosphamide
HL Trastuzumab and lapatinib
CHF Congestive heart failure
LVEF Left ventricular ejection fraction
G3 Grade 3
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Introduction

The human epidermal growth factor type 2 (HER2) is a trans-membrane receptor with intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity [1]. Approximately 15–20% of invasive breast cancers are HER2 positive by gene amplification or protein overexpression [1–4]. The up-regulation of this pathway conveys an increased risk for disease relapse, disease progression, death [5], and an increased relative resistance to various anticancer therapies [6, 7].

Trastuzumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against the extracellular domain of HER2 [8]. The addition of trastuzumab to adjuvant chemotherapy regimens of patients with early stage HER2+ BC leads to a significant improvement in disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) as compared with chemotherapy alone [8–12]. However, despite the use of adjuvant trastuzumab plus chemotherapy [8, 13, 14], there is still a risk of relapse of approximately 25% at 5 years. Thus, the search to improve the outcome of these patients continues [15].

Lapatinib is an oral dual HER1 (EGFR: epidermal growth factor receptor) and HER2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor targeting the intracellular domains of these receptors [16]. Lapatinib is currently approved by regulation agencies in different parts of the world for the treatment of HER2+ metastatic breast cancer (MBC) in combination with chemotherapy (capecitabine) or with endocrine therapy (letrozole) [17]. The different mechanisms of action of lapatinib and trastuzumab against the HER2 receptor lead to synergism of this combination in HER2 overexpressing breast cancer cells, in both the metastatic and the neo-adjuvant settings [18–21]. Consequently, the combination of lapatinib and trastuzumab may lead to improved outcomes as adjuvant therapy for patients with early stage HER2+ BC. This combination strategy is currently being evaluated in the ALTTO (Adjuvant Lapatinib And/Or Trastuzumab Treatment Optimisation) trial [22, 23].

Cardiotoxicity is an uncommon, but important side effect of anti-HER2 therapy. Although the exact pathophysiologic mechanism is not fully understood, the mechanism appears more distinct than the one caused by the anthracyclines or other anticancer agents [24, 25]. The four largest adjuvant trastuzumab-based trials (N9831, NSABP B-31, HERA, and BCIRG-006) have demonstrated an incidence of asymptomatic decrease in LVEF between 7.4 and 17.3%, with an incidence of severe heart failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III/IV) ranging from 0.6 to 4.1% [9–11]. Lapatinib-related cardiac events appear to occur at a much lower rate (1.6%), even in patients previously treated with anthracyclines and trastuzumab [26]. The RC0639 study reported herein is a multicenter single-arm phase II clinical trial designed to assess the cardiac safety and feasibility of the addition of lapatinib to the standard adjuvant chemotherapy plus concurrent trastuzumab regimen similarly as provided on Arm C of the N9831 clinical trial [8, 10, 15]. Of note is that while we were conducting this pilot trial, there was another pilot study conducted by Dang et al. [27]. Our manuscript herein provides longer follow-up data and serves to put our results in the context of the data by Dang et al. [27] and the upcoming results of the large phase III ALTTO study.

Patients and methods

Patient eligibility

Eligible patients had centrally confirmed HER2-positive, surgically resected, invasive breast cancer. HER2 status was determined by an immunohistochemistry (IHC) score of 3+ (by Dako HercepTest FDA approved guideline) or by the presence of gene amplification as determined by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) defined by a ratio of greater than or equal to 2.0. This was reviewed at two laboratories (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN and Scottsdale AZ). A LVEF greater than or equal to 50% measured by multiple-gated acquisition (MUGA) scan or echocardiogram (ECHO) within 14 days of study entry was mandatory. Other selected eligibility requirements included age (patients must be at least 18 years of age), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status less...
than or equal to two, and adequate hematologic, hepatic, and renal function. Patients with myocardial infarction within 6 months prior to registration, active angina pectoris, history of congestive heart failure (CHF), uncontrolled hypertension, or other clinically significant cardiac disease were ineligible. Patients with gastrointestinal problems that might interfere with the absorption of lapatinib were also excluded. An Institutional Review Board approval and patient informed consents were obtained from all patients.

Study design and treatment

The primary endpoint of this trial (RC0639) was cardiac safety, defined as the proportion of patients experiencing severe symptomatic CHF (NYHA Class III or IV with a drop in LVEF of at least 10 percentage points to below 50 % as confirmed by a cardiologist). Secondary endpoints included overall safety profile, disease-free survival and overall survival, and selected quality of life (QOL) response by questionnaire. Tertiary endpoints included translational biomarkers.

The treatment schedule consisted of four cycles of doxorubicin (A: 60 mg/m² day 1) and cyclophosphamide (C: 600 mg/m² day 1) every 2 or 3 weeks, with or without the use of pegylated-G-CSF, followed by 12 weeks of THL: paclitaxel (T: 80 mg/m² weekly), trastuzumab (H: 4 mg/kg loading dose on week one followed by 2 mg/kg weekly), and lapatinib (L: 1,000 mg PO daily), followed by 40 weeks of HL: trastuzumab (6 mg/kg day 1, every 3 weeks) and L: lapatinib (1,000 mg orally daily). The study was amended in July 2008, reducing the dose of L when given concurrently with T and H (from 1,000 to 750 mg/day) because of the high incidence of grade 3 and/or 4 (G3/4) diarrhea observed in the ongoing study.

Patients received radiation and adjuvant endocrine therapy according to standard treatment guidelines based on prior surgical approach, stage, and tumor characteristics.

Toxicity evaluation

The adverse effects were graded according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE) version 3.0. Initiation of THL was not allowed in patients who developed significant cardiac events while receiving AC, or in asymptomatic patients with a significant decrease in the LVEF (greater than or equal to 16 % from baseline or less than or equal to 15 % but with an LVEF less than 50 %), upon completion of AC. Evaluation of LVEF was done within 14 days before registration, at the end of Cycles 4, 8, and 12, and at 18 months, then again at 2, 3, 4, and 5 years post-randomization.

Treatment dose modifications

Treatment dose modification algorithms were designed for the management of cardiac toxicity and diarrhea (Figs. 1, 2). T and L were permanently discontinued if the patient had a LVEF decrease of 10 % or more plus a LVEF less than 40 %. If LVEF was between 40 and 49 %, we omitted the dose and re-evaluated LVEF in 3 weeks. If LVEF was less than or equal to 50 % or between 45 and 49 % with a decrease less than 10 % of baseline, then the patient continued T (without dose modification) and L (with

Fig. 1 Algorithm for the management of cardiac toxicity in RC0639
consideration of dose reduction). If LVEF remained less than 50% and it represented a decrease of 10% or more from baseline value, T and L were stopped permanently.

One dose reduction in lapatinib (from 1,000 to 750 mg/day during HL or from 750 to 500 mg/day during THL after the mentioned amendment) was also permitted for other G3/4 lapatinib-related AE. Treatment could be delayed for at least 3 weeks to allow for resolution of the AE. If treatment had to be delayed for more than 3 weeks, then patients were taken off the study. In case of G3/4 confirmed interstitial pneumonitis, lapatinib was to be discontinued permanently. Management of treatment-related diarrhea is outlined in Fig. 2.

Statistical considerations

The primary endpoint of this trial was the proportion of patients experiencing CHF during the first 6 months of treatment. A true CHF rate of 3.5% or less was considered acceptable, and a true CHF rate of 10% or more would be unacceptably high. A two-stage design called for the treatment of 42 eligible patients in the first stage and a total of 101 eligible patients treated to test the null hypothesis that the true CHF rate in the given patient population was at most 3.5%.

Statistical results presented herein primarily include descriptive statistics. Additionally, disease-free survival was defined as time from registration to first breast cancer recurrence, new primary cancer or death due to any cause. Overall survival was defined as time from registration to death due to any cause. Disease-free survival and overall survival were estimated using Kaplan–Meier. Post-baseline LVEF was compared to baseline using paired t tests.

Results

Patient baseline characteristics

From April 2007 to October 2008, 122 patients were enrolled. Median follow-up was 4.3 years (range 0.1–5.2). Ten patients were deemed ineligible due to uncorroborated HER2 positivity (by the central laboratory evaluation), one patient withdrew prior to treatment, one patient withdrew after beginning treatment, and one patient had a major treatment violation.

Characteristics of the remaining 109 patients are outlined in Table 1. The median age was 51.2 (28–72) years, and 53.2% were postmenopausal at the time of registration. Fifty-five percent of patients had node-positive disease, and 50.5% of patients had ER-/PR-negative tumors. Mastectomy and radiation therapy was performed in 65 patients (59.6%). Most patients (78.9%) received AC therapy in a dose-dense fashion.

Table 2 outlines reasons for ending active treatment. Twenty-three percent were due to treatment-related AEs,
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**Fig. 2 Algorithm for the management of diarrhea in RC0639**
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mainly before the protocol amendment in May 2008 due to the higher than expected incidence of G3/4 diarrhea in the first 68 eligible patients treated with THL.

Cardiac safety and cardiac events

No patients experienced CHF or cardiac death while on active treatment. However, there was one patient with confirmed CHF, and one patient who may have died due to myocardial infarction (MI) after they went off the study treatment. The patient with CHF experienced grade 1 left ventricular systolic dysfunction in Cycle 4 and G1 diastolic dysfunction in Cycles 4 and 6 and refused further treatment after completing 2 cycles of THL. Clinically symptomatic CHF occurred 1.8 months post-THL discontinuation with an LVEF of 45 % and dyspnea on exertion. The patient received an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, a beta blocker and a diuretic for ongoing management of CHF for the next 2 years. One year after the confirmed CHF episode, this patient was reported to be asymptomatic with an LVEF of 59 %. Two years after the confirmed CHF event, the patient’s LVEF was 60 % and the patient was reported to be asymptomatic and taking no medications.

The other patient who sustained a cardiac event went off study treatment due to G3 diarrhea and died suddenly 2.9 months post-discontinuation of therapy. It was presumed by the local physician that the event was related to a massive MI, although an autopsy was not performed. Because in these two patients the cardiac events occurred up to 3 months after coming off study, it seems warranted to continue monitoring cardiac symptoms for a few months upon discontinuation of dual anti-HER2 therapy.

Five patients (4.6 %) had other G3/4 cardiac adverse events (CAEs) during active treatment, three (2.8 %) presented with G3 left ventricular dysfunction, and two (1.8 %) with G4 thrombosis. Regarding the three patients with G3 left ventricular failure, one patient’s reported that LVEF remained less than 50 % for 12 months and recovered after 18 months with three LVEF reports of over 50 % each 1 year apart. Another patient had continued LVEF reports less than 50 % 2 years after discontinuing treatment, and the other patient recovered to have an LVEF

### Table 1: Patient baseline characteristics (N = 109)

| Characteristic                                      | No. of patients | %   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Median age, years (range)                           | 51 (Range 28–72)|     |
| Race                                                |                 |     |
| White                                               | 94              | 86.2|
| Black or African-American                           | 12              | 11  |
| Asian                                               | 2               | 1.8 |
| American Indian or Alaska native                    | 1               | 0.9 |
| ECOG performance status                             |                 |     |
| 0                                                   | 91              | 83.5|
| 1                                                   | 18              | 16.5|
| T Stage                                             |                 |     |
| 1                                                   | 43              | 39.4|
| 2                                                   | 58              | 53.2|
| 3                                                   | 6               | 5.5 |
| Missing                                             | 2               | 1.8 |
| Nodal status                                        |                 |     |
| N0                                                  | 49              | 45  |
| N1                                                  | 32              | 29.4|
| N2                                                  | 12              | 11  |
| N3                                                  | 14              | 12.8|
| SLN+ without full axillary dissection               | 2               | 1.8 |
| Hormonal status                                     |                 |     |
| ER and/or PR positive                               | 54              | 49.5|
| ER and PR negative                                  | 55              | 50.5|
| HER2 status                                         |                 |     |
| Positive                                            | 109             | 100 |
| FISH Amp/IHC Pos                                    | 93              | 85.3|
| FISH Amp/IHC Neg                                    | 8               | 7.3 |
| FISH Not Amp/IHC Pos                                | 6               | 5.5 |
| FISH not done/IHC Pos                               | 2               | 1.8 |
| Median LVEF % (range)                               | 63.3 (Range 52–78) |   |
| Smoking status                                      |                 |     |
| Never                                               | 60              | 55  |
| Former                                              | 24              | 22  |
| Data not available                                  | 25              | 22.9|
| Current use of hypertensive medication              |                 |     |
| Yes                                                 | 28              | 25.7|
| No                                                  | 81              | 74.3|
| History of diabetes                                 |                 |     |
| Yes                                                 | 10              | 9.2 |
| No                                                  | 99              | 90.8|
| Menopausal status                                   |                 |     |
| Premenopausal                                       | 43              | 39.4|
| Perimenopausal                                      | 8               | 7.3 |
| Postmenopausal                                      | 58              | 53.2|

ECOG PS Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status

### Table 2: Reasons for ending active treatment

| Reason for ending active treatment                    | No. of patients | %   |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Completed study per protocol                          | 55              | 50.5|
| Refused further treatment                             | 20              | 18.3|
| • Unacceptable side effects                           | 9               | 8.3 |
| • Reason not given                                    | 7               | 6.4 |
| • Non-compliant                                       | 2               | 1.8 |
| • Patient choice                                      | 2               | 1.8 |
| Adverse event                                         | 25              | 22.9|
| Disease progression                                   | 1               | 0.9 |
| Alternate treatment                                   | 1               | 0.9 |
| Other medical problems                                | 2               | 1.8 |
| Other                                                 | 5               | 4.6 |
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over 50 % 3 months after discontinuing treatment, but had the LVEF drop to less than 50 % 8 months later. Table 3 summarizes all CAEs.

Serial evaluation of LVEF

Table 4 shows mean and median values and ranges of LVEF for each evaluation point and the absolute LVEF changes from baseline evaluation. Statistically significant decreases from baseline in the LVEF were observed at the Cycle 8, Cycle 12, 18 months and 5-year evaluation points. Despite the statistical significance at some evaluation points, the mean changes were less than 5 % from baseline and therefore not clinically significant in most cases.

Adverse event profile

Considering all AEs from Cycle 1 and later, the most common AEs were fatigue (94 %), diarrhea (91 %), neuropathy-sensory effects (70 %), acneiform rash (61 %), myalgia (59 %), arthralgias (61 %), bone pain (54 %), and decrease in LVEF (53 %; G1/2: 50 %). Diarrhea was, by far, the most common G3/4 AE (38 %). Due to the high incidence of diarrhea G3/4 during the early accrual, the study was amended reducing the dose of lapatinib when given concurrently with paclitaxel (dose reduced from 1,000 to 750 mg/day for Cycles 5–8, that is, THL; 1,000 mg/day dosing was resumed after treatment with paclitaxel had ended). This dose reduction, along with a more aggressive and early treatment of the diarrhea, as outlined in Fig. 2, allowed for an improvement in the incidence of G3/4 diarrhea events, which led to an increased number of patients being able to receive lapatinib concomitantly with trastuzumab and paclitaxel during Cycles 5–8. Among patients initiating THL at the 1,000 mg/day lapatinib dose, G3 diarrhea following THL initiation was 41 % (30/73) and G4 2.7 % (2/73); and at the 750 mg/day lapatinib dose, G3 was 31 % (9/29) and no G4 was reported. Mild liver transaminitis were common (22 and 23 % G1/2 increase in AST/ALT, respectively), but severe abnormalities of these liver enzymes were rare (G3/4 < 3 %). Leukopenia/neutropenia were the most common hematologic AEs (G3/4 occurring with a frequency of 22.9 and 27.5 %, respectively). Table 5 summarizes all the AEs occurring with an incidence of 20 % or more.

Table 3 All cardiac adverse events

| Cardiac adverse event           | All treatment | Post-AC |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| G1 left ventricular failure     | 45 (41.3 %)   | 41 (40.2 %) |
| G2 left ventricular failure     | 10 (9.2 %)    | 9 (8.8 %) |
| G3 left ventricular failure     | 3 (2.8 %)     | 3 (2.9 %) |
| G1 diastolic dysfunction        | 10 (9.2 %)    | 10 (9.8 %) |
| G2 diastolic dysfunction        | 1 (0.9 %)     | 1 (1.0 %) |
| G2 hypotension                  | 1 (0.9 %)     | 1 (1.0 %) |
| G4 thrombosis                   | 2 (1.8 %)     | 2 (1.8 %) |

All treatment: N = 109; Post-AC: N = 102

CTCAE v3.0: left ventricular failure G1 = <60–50 %, G2 = <50–40 %, G3 = <40–20 % and symptomatic CHF responsive to intervention; Diastolic dysfunction: G1 = Asymptomatic, intervention not indicated, G2 = Asymptomatic, intervention indicated

Table 4 LVEF for each evaluation point

| Visit    | N   | Mean (%) | SD (%) | Median (%) | Range (%) | LVEF absolute changes from baseline Mean (%) | SD (%) | p*  | Median (%) | Range (%) |
|----------|-----|----------|--------|------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|--------|-----|------------|-----------|
| Baseline | 109 | 63.6     | 5.7    | 63.3       | 52–78     | -0.58                                       | 5.6    | 0.29| 0          | -15–16    |
| End cycle 4 | 105 | 63.3     | 6.4    | 63         | 48.5–78   | -3.95                                       | 8.3    | <0.001| -2.6       | -32–22    |
| Cycle 8  | 98  | 59.8     | 8.1    | 60         | 20–77     | -4.45                                       | 7.2    | <0.001| -5         | -26–13    |
| Cycle 12 | 95  | 59.3     | 7.1    | 60         | 41–79     | -3.51                                       | 6.9    | <0.001| -4.5       | -16.4–15  |
| 18 Months| 87  | 60.1     | 6.8    | 60         | 45–86     | -1.67                                       | 7.6    | 0.07| -1.2       | -24.7–14.2|
| 2 Years  | 71  | 62.1     | 7.4    | 62         | 43–84     | -2.05                                       | 8.5    | 0.06| -2         | -32.1–15  |
| 3 Years  | 61  | 61.5     | 7.4    | 61         | 30–80     | -2.01                                       | 7.7    | 0.07| -1         | -20–15    |
| 4 Years  | 51  | 61.6     | 6.6    | 62         | 35–75     | -3.83                                       | 9.2    | 0.03| -3.5       | -30–12    |
| 5 Years  | 20  | 57.7     | 6.9    | 58.1       | 40–68     | -1.83                                       | 9.2    | 0.03| -3.5       | -30–12    |

SD standard deviation
* Paired t test p value
Despite the significant benefit of the addition of trastuzumab and chemotherapy [28]. Additionally, the BC seem to be no better and in some trials even inferior to trastuzumab (30.3 %; p = 0.04) [29]. However, several sources of preclinical and clinical data suggest that combined anti-HER2 approaches may be optimal for efficacy. The dual HER2 blockade seems to significantly improve the pCR rate of patients receiving this combination in the neo-adjuvant setting, and achieving a pCR in patients with HER2 + disease is associated with improved long-term outcome [21, 29]. The neo-adjuvant neo-ALTTO trial randomized 455 patients who received either lapatinib or trastuzumab, or lapatinib with trastuzumab for a total of 6 weeks. Patients then continued on the same targeted therapy plus weekly paclitaxel for a further 12 weeks until surgery. This demonstrated that the combination led to a 51.3 % pCR rate compared with either trastuzumab or lapatinib alone (29.5 % vs. 24.7 %, respectively; p < 0.01 for both) [19], corroborating that dual blockade is more effective than a single anti-HER2 agent alone.

Our study evaluated the cardiac safety of the addition of lapatinib to the standard chemotherapy plus trastuzumab regimen provided in arm C of the N9831 pivotal trial [8, 10]. The 3-year cumulative incidence of cardiac events (AE or cardiac deaths) observed in the N9831 adjuvant treatment regimen was 3.3 % [26]. In the current RC0639 clinical trial, no cases of symptomatic CHF were noted during on-study treatment and only 3 % of the patients were classified as having grade 3 decrease in LVEF (EF 20–40 %). The incidence of CHF noted in this trial is actually numerically lower than that reported in a smaller phase II trial of dose-dense AC therapy followed by THL reported by Dang et al. [27]. In the Dang et al. trial, the chemotherapies and trastuzumab doses were similar to our study, and lapatinib was given at 1,000 mg orally daily, for up to 12 months along with trastuzumab. Median follow-up was 22 months (range 18–31 months). Three out of 95 patients (3 %) in this study developed congestive heart failure, and three patients dropped out because of significant asymptomatic LVEF decline during THL followed by HL. An additional three patients had a significant asymptomatic LVEF decline but were subsequently able to resume anti-HER2 therapy. The conclusion of the authors was that this combination was not feasible, not because of excessive cardiotoxicity, but due to excessive problems with diarrhea (70 % of patients had diarrhea within the first cycle of treatment, and the overall incidence of grade 3 diarrhea on the patients who received THL therapy was 29 %). Overall, 43 % of patients had a lapatinib dose reduction, mostly because of grade 3 or unacceptable grade 2 or less diarrhea despite active management.

One of the limitations of our present study is that only 50.5 % of patients enrolled completed the entire treatment as specified in the protocol, which could limit the evaluation of cardiac toxicity. The main reasons for the high dropout rate were patient refusal or intolerable AEs, particularly diarrhea, as also noted by Dang et al. However, the subsequent dose modification of lapatinib and early, aggressive management of the diarrhea alleviated symptoms and allowed more patients to adhere to the on-protocol treatment. Similar findings were encountered in a 3-cohort phase I trial of 63 patients receiving the combination of THL. When lapatinib was prescribed at 1,000 mg/day, the most frequently reported AEs for all cohorts was diarrhea (83 %), but this AE was significantly improved with the reduction in the lapatinib dose to 750 mg/day and early institution of loperamide (grade 3 diarrhea improved from 62 to 20 %) [30].

Diarrhea seems to be a frequent and challenging toxicity in regimens using the combination of lapatinib–paclitaxel
The experience gained in this pilot study as well as in the subsequent NCCTG N083E pilot study (adjuvant docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab, and lapatinib; TCHL) was extremely helpful in defining the management algorithm for Arm D of the large phase III adjuvant ALTTO trial (chemotherapy plus trastuzumab plus lapatinib as given in these 2 pilot trials—RC0639 and N083E). These data helped with the decision to use a starting dose of 750 mg/day of lapatinib during the combination of a taxane and the dual HER2 blockade as well as helped to better define the need for aggressive intervention for the management of diarrhea. Another important observation of our study is that the lapatinib dose can be further reduced to 500 mg/day during THL and increased to 1,000 mg/day during the HL phase depending on tolerability.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, our data suggest that lapatinib given concurrently with paclitaxel and trastuzumab does not add toxicity to the cardiac safety profile of the standard AC-TH regimen, that the dose of lapatinib with concurrent taxane and trastuzumab should not exceed 750 mg daily, that diarrhea is the most common serious AE of this regimen and early aggressive management of it is absolutely necessary, and that monitoring of cardiac symptoms for a few months upon discontinuation of dual anti-HER2 therapy is warranted.
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