One-Pot synthesis, characterization and adsorption studies of amine-functionalized magnetite nanoparticles for removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution: kinetic, isotherm and thermodynamic studies
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Abstract

Background: Discharge of heavy metals such as hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) and nickel (Ni (II)) into aquatic ecosystems is a matter of concern in wastewater treatment due to their harmful effects on humans. In this paper, removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution was investigated using an amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe3O4-NH2).

Methods: An amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe3O4-NH2) was synthesized by compositing Fe3O4 with 1, 6-hexanediame for removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution. The adsorbent was characterized by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), and Vibrating Sample Magnetometry (VSM). Also, the effects of various operational parameters were studied.

Results: According to our finding, Fe3O4-NH2 could be simply separated from aqueous solution with an external magnetic field at 30 s. The experimental data for the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions revealed that the process followed the Langmuir isotherm and the maximum adsorption capacity was 232.51 mg g\(^{-1}\) for Cr (VI) at pH = 3 and 222.12 mg g\(^{-1}\) and for Ni(II) at pH = 6 at 298 °K. Besides, the kinetic data indicated that the results fitted with the pseudo-second-order model (R\(^2\): 0.9871 and 0.9947 % for Cr (VI) and Ni (II), respectively. The results of thermodynamic study indicated that: standard free energy changes (\(\Delta G^\circ\)), standard enthalpy change (\(\Delta H^\circ\)), and standard entropy change (\(\Delta S^\circ\)) were respectively -3.28, 137.1, and 26.91 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Cr (VI) and -6.8433, 116.7, and 31.02 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) for Ni (II). The adsorption/desorption cycles of Fe3O4-NH2 indicated that it could be used for five times.

Conclusions: The selected metal's sorption was achieved mainly via electrostatic attraction and coordination interactions. In fact, Fe3O4-NH2 could be removed more than 96 % for both Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution and actual wastewater.
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Background
Discharge of heavy metals into aquatic ecosystems is a matter of concern in wastewater treatment due to their harmful effects on humans even at low concentrations [1, 2]. Among heavy metals, Cr (VI) is among the toxic elements that may enter the environment due to effluent discharge by some industries, such as tanning, textile, wood preservations, paint, metal and mineral processing, pulp, and paper industries [3, 4]. Evidence has shown that these elements can be carcinogenic and mutagenic to living organisms [5]. Nickel is also another heavy metal used in different industries, such as porcelain enameling, electroplating, storage batteries, dying, steel manufacturing, and pigment industries. The acceptance tolerance of nickel has been reported to be 0.01 mg L\(^{-1}\) in drinking water and industrial wastewater, respectively [6]. Due to the problems remarked above, some effective wastewater treatment approaches have to be employed for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) removal. Up to now, many methods have been used in this regard, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, membrane technologies, coagulation, electrocoagulation, reduction, bio sorption, filtration, adsorption, reverse osmosis, foam flotation, granular ferric hydroxide, electrolysis, and surface adsorption [7–11]. Most of these methods have economic and technical disadvantages and could not achieve the discharge standards. Yet, adsorption is an effective and flexible method, generating high-quality treated effluent [12].

Methods
Chemicals
Anhydrous sodium acetate, iron (III) chloridehexahydrat (FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O), potassium dichromate, ethanol, 1,6-hexanediame, ethylene glycol, nickel (II) chloride hexahydrat (NiCl\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O), sodium hydroxide, hydrogen chloride, which were of analytical grade, were purchased from Merck, Germany and were used without further purification. Potassium dichromate (99 %) and nickel (II) chloride hexahydrat (99 %) were used for preparation of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) solution. Additionally, doubly distilled deionized water was used throughout the work.

Synthesis of amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\)) by one-pot synthesis
Amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\)) was prepared according to hydrothermal reduction method. In doing so, a solution of 1, 6-hexanediame (13 g), anhydrous sodium acetate (4.0 g), and FeCl\(_3\)·6H\(_2\)O as a single Fe ion source (2.0 g) was added to ethylene glycol (80 mL). The above mixture was stirred at 50 °C under vigorous stirring for 30 min. Then, this solution was heated at 198 °C in a Teflonlined autoclave for 6 h. Thereafter, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature. The magnetite nanoparticles were collected with a magnet and were then washed with water and ethanol (3 times) to effectively remove the solvent and unbound 1, 6-hexanediame. Finally, the amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\)) was dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C before characterization and application [22]. The size and morphology of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were showed by SEM (Holland, company: Philips). Besides, the magnetic property (M-H loop) of the typical magnetic nanoparticles bound with 1, 6-hexanediame at 25 °C was characterized by VSM (MDKFD, Iran). The crystal structure and phase purity of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were also examined by XRD (Philips, Holland) using Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.1541\) nm) at 2\(\theta\) 20, 30 kV, and 30 mA. Finally, the TEM image of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) was examined using TEM, Model EM10C-100KV (Zeiss, Germany).

Adsorption experiments
The absorption experiments were conducted in 1000 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 ml Ni (II) and Cr (VI)
solutions at 5 to 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) concentrations and 0.05 g of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\). The mixtures were stirred (200 rpm) at room temperature from 10 to 90 min. After adsorption, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) with adsorbed Cr (VI) and Ni (II) was separated from the solution under the external magnetic field. The concentrations of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions in the solutions were measured by an Inductive Coupled Plasma (ICP-OES, Spectro arcos, Germany (Company: SPECTRO)).

In order to determine the effects of various factors, the experiments were performed at different Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) doses (0.1 to 0.3 g/L), initial concentrations of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) (5 to 100 mg/L), and temperatures (298.15 to 338.15 °K). Besides, each experiment was carried out in duplicate. The removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) by Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) and removal efficiency have been figured by equations in Table 1 [18].

### Results and Discussion

#### Characterization of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\)

The SEM, VSM, XRD, and TEM of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were recorded. The SEM of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) image has been shown in Fig. 1. Based on the results, the SEM image indicated that the size of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) was much smaller than that of naked particles, confirming the coating of 1, 6 hexanediamine [18].

The magnetic hysteresis loops measured at room temperature have been illustrated in Fig. 2. The M–H curves showed that Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were essentially super-paramagnetic. Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) have a magnetization saturation value of 73.25 and 91.57 emu g\(^{-1}\), respectively. According to Fig. 3, the magnetic Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) was dispersed in water. In addition, it could be collected by external magnetic field and be re-dispersed through slight shaking, making the solid and liquid phases separate easily.

The XRD patterns of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) have been shown in Fig. 4. In this study, the crystal structure and phase purity of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were examined by XRD using a Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.1541\) nm) at 2\(\theta\) of 30.1°, 35.5°, 43.1°, 44.0°, 51.1°, and 440°, at 30 kV and 30 mA. The XRD peaks of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were multi-cores to form a shell structure [22].

The TEM image of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) has been shown in Fig. 5. Accordingly, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) particles were multi-dispersed with an average diameter of around 25 nm. It has been reported that magnetic particles of less than 30 nm would show paramagnetism [24].

### The effect of initial concentration and pH on the adsorption properties and zeta potential analyses

The effect of initial concentration on the adsorption properties was intensively studied for Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) by varying \(C_0\) of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions at 5, 25, 50, and 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). The results have been presented in Figs. 6 and 7. Under corresponding pH values from 2.0 to 9.0, the adsorption efficiency of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) respectively decreased and increased with increase in the initial Cr (VI) and Ni (II) concentrations. Accordingly, the percentage of uptake of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions at the Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) concentration of 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) decreased from 98.02 to 36.85 % for Cr (VI) and increased from 46.21 to 93.03 % for Ni (II) with increasing the pH from 2.0 to 9.0. This can be justified by the fact that for a fixed absorbent dosage, the total available adsorption sites would be relatively settled. Thus, increasing the initial Cr (VI) and Ni (II) concentrations led to a decrease in the adsorption percentage of the adsorbate [25].

#### Table 1

| Kinetic models | Isotherm equations | Thermodynamic equations | Removal efficiency and equilibrium adsorption capacity | Ref. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Pseudo-first-order | \(\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln(q_0) - k_1t\) | \(\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K\) | \(q_t = \frac{C_0 q_e}{1 + \frac{C_0}{q_m}}\) | [31–38] |
| Pseudo-second-order | \(\frac{t}{m} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_m^2} + \frac{t}{q_m}\) | Free energy of adsorption \(\ln K = -\frac{\Delta H^\circ}{R} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{RT}\) | \(\% = \frac{q_t}{q_m} \times 100\) | [27, 31, 33–37, 39] |
|                |                    |                         |                                                     | [18, 25, 26] |

The particle size was obtained via XRD analysis through Debye-Sherrer’s formula [23]: \(D = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}\).

Where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-rays, \(\theta\) is the diffraction angle, and \(\beta\) is the corrected full width. The result of size distribution demonstrated that the size of the prepared Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) was under 90 nm. Additionally, the sharp and strong peaks of the products revealed its appropriate crystallinity. Moreover, the six characteristic peaks of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) showed that amino-groups did not cause any measureable alter in the phase property of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) cores. Therefore, the amino-groups were fixed on the surface of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) cores, making a core-shell structure. In other words, binding and amino-functionalization (NH\(_2\)) occurred only on the surface of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\) cores to form a core–shell structure [22].

The TEM image of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) has been shown in Fig. 5. Accordingly, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) particles were multi-dispersed with an average diameter of around 25 nm. It has been reported that magnetic particles of less than 30 nm would show paramagnetism [24].

The size of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) was dispersed in water. In addition, it could be collected by external magnetic field and be re-dispersed through slight shaking, making the solid and liquid phases separate easily.

The XRD patterns of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) have been shown in Fig. 4. In this study, the crystal structure and phase purity of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were examined by XRD using a Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.1541\) nm) at 2\(\theta\) of 30.1°, 35.5°, 43.1°, 44.0°, 51.1°, and 440°, at 30 kV and 30 mA. The XRD peaks of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) were multi-cores to form a shell structure [22].

The TEM image of Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) has been shown in Fig. 5. Accordingly, Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) particles were multi-dispersed with an average diameter of around 25 nm. It has been reported that magnetic particles of less than 30 nm would show paramagnetism [24].

### The effect of initial concentration and pH on the adsorption properties and zeta potential analyses

The effect of initial concentration on the adsorption properties was intensively studied for Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) by varying \(C_0\) of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions at 5, 25, 50, and 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). The results have been presented in Figs. 6 and 7. Under corresponding pH values from 2.0 to 9.0, the adsorption efficiency of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) respectively decreased and increased with increase in the initial Cr (VI) and Ni (II) concentrations. Accordingly, the percentage of uptake of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions at the Fe\(_2\)O\(_4\)-NH\(_2\) concentration of 5 mg L\(^{-1}\) decreased from 98.02 to 36.85 % for Cr (VI) and increased from 46.21 to 93.03 % for Ni (II) with increasing the pH from 2.0 to 9.0. This can be justified by the fact that for a fixed absorbent dosage, the total available adsorption sites would be relatively settled. Thus, increasing the initial Cr (VI) and Ni (II) concentrations led to a decrease in the adsorption percentage of the adsorbate [25].

### Table 1

| Kinetic models | Isotherm equations | Thermodynamic equations | Removal efficiency and equilibrium adsorption capacity | Ref. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Pseudo-first-order | \(\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln(q_0) - k_1t\) | \(\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K\) | \(q_t = \frac{C_0 q_e}{1 + \frac{C_0}{q_m}}\) | [31–38] |
| Pseudo-second-order | \(\frac{t}{m} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_m^2} + \frac{t}{q_m}\) | Free energy of adsorption \(\ln K = -\frac{\Delta H^\circ}{R} + \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{RT}\) | \(\% = \frac{q_t}{q_m} \times 100\) | [27, 31, 33–37, 39] |
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To assess the effect of pH, the study was conducted from pH 2 to 9 for both Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions. The maximum sorption was perceived at pH = 6 for Ni (II), but at pH = 3 for Cr (VI). The adsorption of Cr (VI) at lower pH levels was also observed in other magnetic materials, such as the mesoporous magnetic γ-Fe₂O₃ [26].

The pH value affected the adsorption efficiency due to its influence on the amino-groups modified on the surface of Fe₃O₄-NH₂. The plot of pH initial vs. pH final depicted that the pHzpc was 5.8 for Fe₃O₄-NH₂. Hence, at pH > 5.8, the surface charge of Fe₃O₄-NH₂ was negative and the electrostatic interactions between the metal ions and the adsorbent enhanced. Considering Ni (II), the interaction between the adsorbents and the Ni (II) ions might be defined by Equations 1–5 [14, 27].

\[
R - NH₂ + H⁺ ↔ R - NH₃⁺ (\text{amino protonate}) \quad (1)
\]
\[
R - NH₂ + Ni²⁺ ↔ R - NH₂Ni²⁺ \quad (2)
\]
\[
R - NH₂ + OH⁻ ↔ R - NH₂OH⁻ \quad (3)
\]
\[
R - NH₂OH⁻ + Ni²⁺ ↔ R - NH₂OH⁻...Ni²⁺ \quad (4)
\]
\[
\text{Or } R - NH₂OH⁻ + NiOH⁺ ↔ R - NH₂OH⁻...NiOH⁺ \quad (5)
\]

The protonation/deprotonation reactions of the Fe₃O₄-NH₂ amino-groups in the solution have been presented in Equation 1. Based on Equation 2, the ability of NH₂ to be protonated was weakened at higher pH levels, resulting in more –NH₂ on the surface of the adsorbent.
to coordinate with Ni (II). At higher pH levels, OH$^-$ in the solution is competitively adsorbed by amino-groups (−NH$_2$), and the electrostatic adsorption is prevailed gradually compared to coordination. Considering Cr (VI), a large number of H$^+$ exists under acidic conditions (pH levels: 2–3.5), causing amino-groups (−NH$_2$) to be protonated to NH$_3^+$ more easily and electrostatic attraction to occur between these two oppositely charged ions (Equation (6)) [14, 27].

$$[6] \quad R - \text{NH}_3^+ + \text{HCro}_4^- \rightarrow R - \text{NH}_3^+ ...... \text{HCro}_4^-$$

**Kinetic, equilibrium, and thermodynamic studies**

Adsorption isotherms of Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ were gained at pH = 3 for Cr (VI) and pH = 6 for Ni (II) with the initial concentrations of 5 to 100 mg L$^{-1}$. The relevant equations for kinetic, equilibrium, and thermodynamic studies have been shown in Table 1 [18]. Besides, the Langmuir and
Freundlich parameters, correlation coefficients ($R^2$), and separation factor ($R_L$) for the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ have been summarized in Table 2. The essential characteristics of the Langmuir isotherm can be expressed in terms of a dimensionless separation factor ($R_L$). The plot of $C_e$ vs. ($C_e/q_e$) for Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ gave a straight line with correlation coefficients of 0.998 and 0.994 for Cr (VI) and Ni (II), respectively. The $q_{max}$ and $K_L$ were derived from the slope and intercept of the line, respectively. The adsorption capacities ($q_m$, mg/g) using the Langmuir isotherm equation were as follows: $q_m$ Ni (II) (232.15) > $q_m$ Cr (VI) (222.12). Considering the larger adsorption capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ attributed to the amino-groups modified on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$, the amino-groups played a very important role in the adsorption process of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) in aqueous solution. This implies that increasing the percentage of nitrogen in the Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ could increase the value of $q_m$. The calculated $R_L$ values for adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) were also 0.03–0.39 and 0.02–0.34, respectively, which fall between 0 and 1. Thus, the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) onto NH$_2$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ was favorable. However, the correlation coefficients ($R^2 > 0.99$) and $R_L$ ($0 < R_L < 1$) proved that the Langmuir isotherm fitted better for adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) on NH$_2$-Fe$_3$O$_4$. On the other hand, the value of $1/n$ shows whether the adsorption is suitable for the
Freundlich isotherm [18]. The value of 1/n reported in Table 2 was less than 1; hence, adsorption by the Freundlich model was unfavorable. Kinetics of the adsorption process is essential for aqueous solution since it gives essential information on the rate of adsorbate uptake on the adsorbent and controls the equilibrium time. The results presented in Table 2 indicated that the adsorption capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) was high ($q_m$ for Ni (II) = 232.51 mg/g at pH = 6 and $q_m$ for Cr (VI) = 222.12 mg/g$^{-1}$ at pH = 3) compared to other adsorbents. Afkhami et al. also reported that the adsorption capacity of DNPH γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ for Ni (II) was 18.18 (mg g$^{-1}$) at pH = 5 and that the process followed the Langmuir isotherm [26]. In another study, the experimental data for the adsorption of Ni (II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$-GS revealed that the process followed the Langmuir isotherm and that the maximum adsorption capacity was 158.5 mg g$^{-1}$ at pH = 6 [28]. The parameters of the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order sorption kinetic models have been presented in Table 3. In order to evaluate the applicability of these kinetic models to fit the experimental data, $K_1$ and $K_2$ constants were determined experimentally from the slope and intercept of straight-line plots. The value of $q_e$ earned from the pseudo-second-order model was 28.25 mg g$^{-1}$ for Cr (VI) and 25.97 mg g$^{-1}$ for Ni (II) ions, which perfectly corresponded to the experimental values of $q_e$ (24.25 and 25.12 mg g$^{-1}$) for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions. Overall, the pseudo-second-order model ($R^2$: 0.9871 and 0.9947 % for Cr (VI) and Ni (II), respectively) was more efficient compared to the pseudo-first-order model ($R^2$: 0.8422 and 0.8862 % for Cr (VI) and Ni (II), respectively). Because all the correlation coefficients were higher than 0.98 %, Cr (VI) and Ni (II) adsorption onto Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ might take place through a chemical process involving valence forces through sharing or exchange of electrons [25]. In another kinetic study using Fe$_3$O$_4$-adsorbent, $R^2$ value of Ni (II) was 0.998 at the optimum pH of 5.5. Therefore, the results showed that Ni (II) adsorption on Fe$_3$O$_4$ could be followed by the Freundlich model [29]. In order to measure the thermodynamic parameters for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) adsorption on Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$, the adsorption studies were accomplished at 298.15 to 313.15 °K. The negative values of $\Delta G^0$ at different temperatures, positive value of $\Delta S^0$, and positive value of $\Delta H^0$ during the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ indicated that the adsorption was spontaneous, increased randomness at the solid-solution interface, and was endothermic in nature [18]. In addition, the slope and intercept of the plot of lnK vs. 1/T indicated the $\Delta H^0$ and $\Delta S^0$ values [18]. The values of standard enthalpy change ($\Delta H^0$) and standard entropy change ($\Delta S^0$), which were related to distribution coefficient ($K_d$), were calculated and presented in Table 2. Using the $\Delta H^0$ and $\Delta S^0$ values, standard free energy changes ($\Delta G^0$) for Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ were estimated. The results indicated that adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ could be followed spontaneously, was endothermic, and was entropy favored in nature. The positive value of $\Delta S^0$ proved increase in the randomness at the solid-solution interface during the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) on Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$. This indicated that the amino-functionalized magnetic Nano-adsorbent (Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$) could be regarded as an efficient and low cost adsorbent. The results of thermodynamic study in our research indicated that $\Delta G$, $\Delta H$, and $\Delta S$ were respectively $-3.28$, 137.1, and 26.91 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for Cr (VI) and $-6.8433$, 116.7, and 31.02 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for Ni (II). Shen et al. conducted a similar study using adsorbent DETA-NMPs and disclosed that $\Delta G$, $\Delta H$, and $\Delta S$ were $-13.7$, 8.41, and 72.83 kJ mol$^{-1}$, respectively for Cr (VI) [25]. Hence, the results indicated that adsorption of Ni (II) on DETA-NMPs could be followed spontaneously, was endothermic, and was entropy favored in nature [25]. One other study also reported that $\Delta G$, $\Delta H$, and $\Delta S$ were $-1.599$, 8.438, and 83.1, respectively for Ni (II) adsorption on Nano-HAP [30].

Overall, simple preparation, fast separation, and high adsorption capacity of Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ make it a potential
### Table 2: The kinetic and thermodynamic and isotherm constants for the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) by Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ and other adsorbents

| Tem. (k) | Adsorbent         | pH | Cr (VI) | Ni (II) | $K_2$ (g/mg$^{-1}$) (min)$^{-1}$ | $q_{es,calc}$ (mg/g$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | $\Delta G^0$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta H^0$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta S^0$ (J (mol K)$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|---------|--------------------|----|---------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| 308     | EDA-NMPs          | 2.5| -       | -       | 0.7862                        | -                          | 1     | −1.61                       | 10.59                       | 37.50                           | [25] |
| 308     | DETA-NMPs         | 2.5| -       | -       | 0.3688                        | -                          | 1     | −1.76                       | 9.67                        | 34.64                           | [25] |
| 308     | TETA-NMPs         | 2.5| -       | -       | 0.2191                        | -                          | 1     | −2.15                       | 23.15                       | 76.82                           | [25] |
| 308     | TEPA-NMPs         | 2.5| -       | -       | 0.0142                        | -                          | 1     | −2.36                       | 10.46                       | 38.04                           | [25] |
| 303     | Activated Alumina | 3  | -       | -       | 0.0757                         | 0.999                      | 9.78  | -                           | -                           | -                               | [40] |
| 298     | LewaitMP610       | 5  | -       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −10.40                      | −2.51                       | 35.49                           | [5]  |
| 298     | Fe$_3$O$_4$       | -  | 6       | 0.004   | 0.998                         | -                          | -     | -                           | -                           | -                               | [41] |
| 298     | ZnO               | -  | 6       | 0.002   | 0.998                         | -                          | -     | -                           | -                           | -                               | [41] |
| 298     | CuO               | -  | 6       | 0.019   | 0.995                         | -                          | -     | −1.46                       | 14.24                       | 49                              | [41] |
| 303     | Bagass Fly ash    | 5  | -       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −1.599                      | 8.438                       | 83.1                            | [30] |
| 298     | Nano-HAP          | -  | 6.6     | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −27.9                       | 7.8                         | 110                             | [29] |
| 293     | Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide | - | 5.5       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | 2.9                         | 7.8                         | 110                             | [29] |
| 293     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-GS    | 2  | -       | 0.055   | 17.29                         | 0.999                      | −4.182| 76.63                       | 18.28                       | [28] |
| 293     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-GS    | -  | 6       | 0.0203  | 22.07                         | 0.998                      | −3.456| 31.86                       | 5.965                       | [28] |
| 323     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-TW    | -  | 6       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | 10.02                       | 33.41                       | 0.5799                          | [6]  |
| 298     | Waste tea         | -  | 4       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −3.82                       | 17.07                       | 20.92                           | [43] |
| 313     | DETA-NMPs         | -  | 6       | 1.03    | 9                             | 0.999                      | −13.7 | 8.41                        | 72.83                       | [25] |
| 298     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$| 3  | -       | 0.002   | 28.25                         | 0.987                      | −3.2891| 137.1                      | $\Delta S^0$                 | $R^2$ This study               |      |
|         |                   |    |         |         |                               |                            |       |                             |                             | 26.91                          | 0.975                           |      |
| 298     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$| 3  | -       | 0.008   | 25.97                         | 0.994                      | −6.8433| 116.7                      | 31.02                       | 0.960                           | This study                     |
| 303     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$| Cr (VI) | Ni (II) | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | $\Delta G^0$Cr (VI)        | $\Delta G^0$Ni (II)          | This study                     |
|         |                   | 3  | 6       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −5.038                      | 8.424                       | −8.424                          | [46] |
| 308     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$| 3  | 6       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −9.7477                     | −10.045                     | This study                     |
| 313     | Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$| 3  | 6       | -       | -                             | -                          | -     | −13.234                     | −12.934                     | This study                     |

If: $RL > 1$, the adsorption is unfavorable. RL = 1, the adsorption is linear. 0 < RL < 1, the adsorption is favorable. RL = 0, the adsorption is irreversible.

If: $1/n < 1$, the adsorption is unfavorable. If: $0.1 < 1/n < 1$, the adsorption is favorable.
Table 2 The kinetic and thermodynamic and isotherm constants for the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) by Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} NH\textsubscript{2} and other adsorbents (Continued)

| Temperature (°C) | Adsorbent | q\textsubscript{e} (mg/g) | K\textsubscript{L} (L/mmol) | n | RL | KL | RL | 0.314 | 0.03-0.39 | 0.988 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|----|----|----|-----|----------|-------|
| 298             | TEPA- NMPs| 2.5             | 0.244           | 3.36| 0.989| 8.01| 2.98| 0.999 | [25] |
| 298             | Walnut    | 3.5             | 0.386           | 2.38| 0.992| 8.28| 4.42| 0.976 | [2]  |
| 298             | Hazelnut  | 3.5             | 0.153           | 2.68| 0.984| 3.40| 0.580| 0.972 | [2]  |
| 303             | Activated Alumina | 3 | 2.84 | 1.80 | 0.9880 | 25.57 | 0.467 | 0.991 | [40] |
| 298             | Mag       | 2.5             | 4.90            | 2.94| 0.729| 20.16| 0.262| 0.998 | [47] |
| 298             | MagDt-H   | 2.5             | 1.62            | 2.63| 0.984| 13.88| 0.030| 0.965 | [47] |
| 298             | Lewait MP 610 | 5 | - | - | - | 0.41 | - | 0.99 | [5] |
| 298             | PAC       | -               | 0.02            | 2.85| 0.708| 31.08| 0.27| 0.98   | [48] |
| 298             | Bagass    | -               | 1.4E-03         | 0.868| 0.868| 0.03| 0.95| 0.97   | [48] |
| 298             | Fly ash   | -               | 2.03E-04        | 0.696| 0.811| 0.001| 0.95| 0.98   | [48] |
| 298             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} | - | 6 | 1.550 | - | - | - | - | [41] |
| 298             | ZnO       | -               | 6               | 0.319| 0.991| - | - | - | [41] |
| 298             | CuO       | -               | 6               | 0.162| 0.992| - | - | - | [41] |
| 303             | Bagass Fly ash | 5 | - | 1.86 | 12.05 | - | 4.35 | 0.014 | 0.987 | [42] |
| 298             | NH\textsubscript{2}-MCM-41 | 5 | - | 2.759 | 2.2 | 0.900 | 12.36 | 0.2245 | 0.956 | [49] |
| 293             | Nano-HAP  | -               | 6.6             | 8.87| 0.934| 46.17| 0.07| 0.995 | [30] |
| 298             | DNP-F-H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} | - | 5 | 1.95 | 2.037 | 0.926 | 18.18 | 1.426 | 0.985 | [26] |
| 293             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-GS | 2 | - | 30.58 | 3.01 | 0.997 | 39.92 | 4.08 | 0.959 | [28] |
| 293             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-GS | - | 6 | 3.801 | 2.56 | 0.993 | 158.5 | 0.2830 | 0.966 | [28] |
| 323             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-TW | - | 6 | 4.85 | 1.78 | 0.975 | 38.30 | 0.085 | 0.996 | [6] |
| 298             | Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide | - | 5.5 | 0.113 | 0.213 | 0.986 | 0.189 mmol/g | 1.39 L/mmol | 0.999 | [29] |
| 298             | Waste tea | -               | 4               | 0.258| 0.93 | 0.922 | 15.26 | 0.088 | 0.996 | [43] |
| 333             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-CNTs | - | 2 | 7.23 | 3.05 | 0.981 | 65.96 | 0.42 | 0.997 | [44] |
| 313             | DETA-NMPs | - | 6 | 1.304 | 2.54 | 0.9006 | 43.24 | 0.288 | 0.999 | [25] |
| 298             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-NH\textsubscript{2} | 3 | - | 1.13 | 2.05 | 0.940 | 222.12 | KL | RL | 0.995 | This study |
| 298             | Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}-NH\textsubscript{2} | - | 6 | 1.44 | 1.83 | 0.979 | 232.51 | 0.383 | 0.02-0.34 | 0.988 | This study |

If: \( RL > 1 \), the adsorption is unfavorable. \( RL = 1 \), the adsorption is linear. \( 0 < RL < 1 \), the adsorption is favorable. \( RL = 0 \), the adsorption is irreversible.

If: \( 1/n < 1 \), the adsorption is unfavorable. If: \( 0.1 < 1/n < 1 \), the adsorption is favorable.
applicant for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) removal. Considering the larger adsorption capacity of Fe₃O₄-NH₂ attributed to the amino-groups modified on the surface of Fe₃O₄-NH₂, the amino-groups played a very important role in the adsorption process of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) in aqueous solution. This indicated that the increase of nitrogen percentage in Fe₃O₄-NH₂ could result in an increase in the value of qₘ. Similar results were also obtained by Shen et al. [27] and Zhao et al. [25].

Desorption and reusability of Fe₃O₄-NH₂

For practical application of a cost-effective adsorbent for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) removal, desorption of metal ions from adsorbent and regeneration of Fe₃O₄-NH₂ is of particular importance. Since the adsorption of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) onto Fe₃O₄-NH₂ highly depends on the solution pH, desorption of the two heavy metals can be achieved by adjusting the pH. In the present study, the adsorption reversibility of Cr (VI)-laden Fe₃O₄-NH₂ and Ni (II)-laden Fe₃O₄-NH₂ was examined using NaOH (0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 mol L⁻¹) and HNO₃ (0.001, 0.005, 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1 mol L⁻¹). For desorption studies, the metal-adsorbed modified Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were first washed by ultrapure water for three times to remove the unadsorbed metals loosely appended to the adsorbent. When the concentration of NaOH and HNO₃ was increased, the removal efficiency of desorption increased, as well. The best result was achieved with 2 min sonication in the presence of 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.05 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃. Finally, the Fe₃O₄-NH₂ was dried in an oven (at 50 °C) during regeneration. In our study, each adsorption/desorption process experienced a base and a heat treatment. The adsorption/desorption cycle results showed that the Fe₃O₄-NH₂ could be reused for 5 times. Besides, the results presented in Fig. 8 indicated that at the end of the fifth cycle, the Fe₃O₄-NH₂ maintained more than 76.19 % of its original Cr (VI) adsorption capacity and 77.13 % of its original Ni (II) adsorption capacity. Therefore, the great reusability Fe₃O₄-NH₂ demonstrated its good potential for practical application.

The effect of real water matrix

In this study, 1.0 and 5 mg L⁻¹ Cr (VI) and Ni (II) were spiked with tap water and industrial wastewater for evaluating the practical application of Fe₃O₄-NH₂. The initial concentration, pH, and removal efficiencies of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) after treatment with Fe₃O₄-NH₂ have been presented in Table 4. According to the results, the removal efficiency of Cr (VI) at the concentration of 1.0 mg L⁻¹ was 97.94 and 98.56 % for tap water and industrial wastewater, respectively. These measures were respectively obtained as 96.12 and 97.24 % for Ni (II) at the concentration of 1.0 mg L⁻¹. This implies the excellent potential of Fe₃O₄-NH₂ in water and wastewater treatment.

Conclusion

In this study, Fe₃O₄-NH₂ was prepared using a simple, cost-effective, and environmentally friendly method for the removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions from aqueous solution and was characterized by SEM, TEM, XRD, and VSM. The effects of controlling parameters, such as

| Table 3 Kinetic adsorption parameters obtained using Pseudo-first-order and Pseudo-second-order models |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|
| Metals         | K₁(min⁻¹)  | qₑ,exp(mg/g⁻¹) | qₑ,cal(mg/g⁻¹) | R²     | K₂(g/mg⁻¹)(min⁻¹) | qₑ,cal(mg/g⁻¹) | R²     |
| Cr (VI)        | 0.06       | 24.25        | 06.41           | 0.8422 | 0.002           | 28.25        | 0.9871 |
| Ni (II)        | 0.03       | 25.12        | 14.64           | 0.8862 | 0.008           | 25.97        | 0.9947 |

Fig. 8 Adsorption and desorption efficiency of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) by Fe₃O₄-NH₂ in adsorption—desorption cycle.
contact time, temperature, pH, Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ dose, and initial concentration of both heavy metals, were studied, as well. Based on the results, the Langmuir model fitted the isotherm data for both heavy metals and the maximum sorption capacity was 232.51 mg g$^{-1}$ at pH = 3 for Cr (VI) and 222.12 mg g$^{-1}$ at pH = 6 for Ni (II). Moreover, the adsorption kinetic data for Cr (VI) and Ni (II) were based on the assumption of a pseudo-second-order model and thermodynamic parameters showed that the adsorption process was endothermic, spontaneous, and entropy favored in nature. In addition, this nano-adsorbent was able to remove over 96 % of both heavy metals from tap water and industrial wastewater. The Fe$_3$O$_4$-NH$_2$ could be regenerated with acid after adsorption and the adsorption capabilities only decreased with 6-7 % for both metal ions after five cycles. Overall, this study indicated that an amino-functionalized magnetic nano-adsorbent was promising for removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) ions in field application.

**Highlights**

A sensitive method was developed for removal of Cr (VI) and Ni (II) from aqueous solution.

In-lab synthesized magnetic nanoadsorbent was developed by grafting amino-groups onto the surfaces of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles.

The adsorbent was characterized by Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Vibrating Sample Magnetometry (VSM), and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

The effects of pH, initial concentrations of Ni (II) and Cr (VI), adsorption kinetics, thermodynamics, and adsorption isotherm were studied.
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SEM, scanning electron microscope; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; VSM, vibrating sample magnetometry; XRD, powder X-ray diffraction
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