Future soft singularities, Born-Infeld-like fields and particles
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We consider different scenarios of the evolution of the universe, where the singularities or some non-analyticities in the geometry of the spacetime are present, trying to answer the following question: is it possible to conserve some kind of notion of particle corresponding to a chosen quantum field present in the universe when the latter approaches the singularity? We study scalar fields with different types of Lagrangians, writing down the second-order differential equations for the linear perturbations of these fields in the vicinity of a singularity. If at least one of two independent solutions has a singular asymptotic behavior, then we cannot define the creation and the annihilation operators and construct the vacuum and the Fock space. This means that the very notion of particle looses sense. We show that at the approaching to the Big Rip singularity, particles corresponding to the phantom scalar field driving the evolution of the universe must vanish, while particles of other fields still can be defined. In the case of the model of the universe described by the tachyon field with a special trigonometric potential, where the Big Brake singularity occurs, we see that the (pseudo) tachyon particles do not pass through this singularity. Adding to this model some quantity of dust, we slightly change the characteristics of this singularity and tachyon particles survive. Finally, we consider a model with the scalar field with the cusped potential, where the phantom divide line crossing occurs. Here the particles are well defined in the vicinity of this crossing point.

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of cosmological singularities has been attracting attention of theoreticians working in gravity and cosmology at least since the early fifties. In the sixties general theorems about the conditions for the appearance of singularities were proven [1,2] and the oscillatory regime of approaching the singularity [3] was discovered. Intuitively, when one hears the word “cosmological singularity” one thinks about a universe with a vanishing cosmological radius, i.e. about the Big Bang and the Big Crunch singularities.

Basically, until the end of nineteens almost all discussions about singularities were devoted to the Big Bang and the Big Crunch singularities, which are characterized by a vanishing cosmological radius. However, kinematical investigations of Friedmann cosmologies have raised the question about the possibility of sudden future singularity occurrence [4], characterized by a diverging $\dot{a}$ whereas both the scale factor $a$ and $\dot{a}$ are finite. Then the Hubble parameter $H = \dot{a}/a$ and the energy density $\rho$ are also finite, while the first derivative of the Hubble parameter and the pressure $p$ diverge. Until recent years, however, the sudden future singularities attracted rather a limited interest of researchers. The situation has changed in the new millennium, when plenty of publications devoted to such singularities have appeared [6–23].

In the investigations devoted to sudden singularities one can distinguish three main topics. The first of them deals with the question of the compatibility of the models possessing soft singularities with observational data [13,23,25]. The second direction is connected with the study of quantum effects [6,9,13,26,32]. Here one can see two subdirections: the study of quantum corrections to the effective Friedmann equation, which can eliminate classical singularities or, at least, change their form [7,14,25], and the study of solutions of the Wheeler-DeWitt equation for the quantum state of the universe in the presence of sudden singularities [27,31]. The third direction is connected with the opportunity of the crossing of sudden singularities in classical cosmology [6,13,38].

A particular feature of the sudden future singularities is their softness [33]. As the Christoffel symbols depend only on the first derivative of the scale factor, they are regular at these singularities. Hence, the geodesics have a good behavior and they can cross the singularity [33]. One can argue that the particles crossing the singularity will generate the geometry of the spacetime, providing in such a way a soft rebirth of the universe after the singularity crossing [30]. Note that the opportunity of crossing of some kind of cosmological singularities were noticed already in the early paper by Tipler [37]. A rather close idea of integrable singularities in black holes, which can...
give origin to a cosmogenesis, was recently put forward in [38, 39].

Another remarkable feature of the soft future singularities is their capacity to induce changes in the equations of state of the matter present in a universe under consideration. Moreover, the form of the matter Lagrangian can also be changed. These effects were considered in [40–42]. The effects of the matter transformation occur sometimes also without singularities, but only in the presence of some non-analyticities in the geometry of the spacetime [43, 44]. These phenomena have also some kinship with those of the singularity crossing [41–42]. In the present paper we shall study another aspect of the presence of soft singularities and non-analyticities of geometry - we are interested in the behavior of quantum particles in the vicinity of these particular spacetime points.

It is well known that the very notion of particle becomes complicated when one considers the quantum field theory on a curved spacetime background [45–47]. Let us recapitulate the general procedure for the definition of the particles in the example of a scalar field filling a flat Friedmann universe with the metric

\[ ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t)dx^2, \]  

(1)

The Klein-Gordon equation for the minimally coupled scalar field \( \phi \) with the potential \( V(\phi) \) is

\[ \Box \phi + V'(\phi) = 0, \]  

(2)

where \( \Box \) is the d’Alambertian. One can consider a spatially homogeneous solution of this equation \( \phi_0 \), depending only on time \( t \) as a classical background. A small deviation from this background solution can be represented as a sum of Fourier harmonics satisfying linearized equations

\[ \ddot{\phi}(k, t) + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\dot{\phi}(k, t) + \frac{k^2}{a^2}\phi(k, t) + V''(\phi_0(t))\phi(k, t) = 0. \]  

(3)

The corresponding quantized field is represented in the following form

\[ \hat{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) = \int d^3 k \hat{a}(\vec{k})u(k, t)e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + \hat{a}^+(\vec{k})u^*(k, t)e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}, \]  

(4)

where the creation and the annihilation operators satisfy the standard commutation relations:

\[ [\hat{a}(\vec{k}), \hat{a}^+(\vec{k}')] = \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'), \]  

(5)

while the basis functions \( u \) satisfy the linearized equation [4]. These basis functions should be normalized so that the canonical commutation relations between the field \( \phi \) and its canonically conjugate momentum \( P \) were satisfied

\[ [\phi(\vec{x}, t), \mathcal{P}(\vec{y}, t')] = i\delta(\vec{x} - \vec{y}). \]  

(6)

Taking into account the fact that for the minimally coupled scalar field the momentum is

\[ \mathcal{P}(\vec{x}, t) = a^3\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t) \]  

(7)

the commutation relation (5) and the Fourier representation for the Dirac delta function, one easily shows that the relation (6) is satisfied if

\[ u(k, t)\dot{u}^*(k, t) - u^*(k, t)\dot{u}(k, t)u^*(k, t) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3a^3(t)}. \]  

(8)

The linearized equation (3) has two independent solutions. As for functions \( u \), one can take different linear combinations of these solutions chosen in such a manner that the Wronskian relation (8) is satisfied. Different choices of these functions determine different choices of the creation and the annihilation operators and different vacuum states on which the Fock spaces can be constructed. In the Minkowski spacetime a preferable choice simply corresponds to the plane waves. In the de Sitter spacetime it is common to define the Bunch-Davies vacuum [48], which in the limit of large wave numbers is close to the Minkowski vacuum. In any case, in order to have some definition of particle it is necessary to obtain two independent non-singular solutions of Eq. (3). However, it is a non-trivial requirement in the situations when a singularity or other kind of irregularity of the spacetime geometry occurs. One can easily understand that this is connected with the presence of the time-dependent scale factor \( a(t) \) in the right-hand side of the relation (8). As we have already mentioned, in the present paper we study what happens with quantum fields in curved spacetimes in the vicinity of singularities or non-analyticities and analyze when the regular solutions of the corresponding linearized equations exist. The structure of the paper is the following: in the second section we consider the traditional Big Bang - Big Crunch and Big Rip singularities. The third section is devoted to some models based on tachyon fields, revealing the Big Brake and other soft future singularities and the effects of transformations of matter fields [8]. In the fourth section we consider a particular cosmological model [43, 44], describing the smooth transformation between the standard and phantom scalar fields. The final section includes some conclusive remarks.

II. BIG BANG – BIG CRUNCH, BIG RIP AND PARTICLES

At the Big Bang or the Big Crunch singularity a universe has a vanishing volume or in the case of homogeneous and isotropic Friedmann universe, which we consider in this paper, the vanishing scale factor \( a \). This means that the Wronskian, which is inversely proportional to \( a^3 \) (see Eq. (8)), becomes singular. This points out that it could be impossible to construct the nonsingular basis functions in the vicinity of the singularity, and, correspondingly, one cannot introduce a Fock vacuum and the operators of creation and annihilation. To confirm this statement let us consider a simple case of a flat Friedmann universe filled with a perfect fluid with
the equation of state
\[ p = w \rho, \]  
(9)
where \( p \) is the pressure, \( \rho \) is the energy density and \( w \) is a constant such that \(-\frac{1}{3} < w \leq 1\). The law of expansion of the universe is
\[ a(t) = a_0 t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}. \]  
(10)

We can consider, for example, a free massive scalar field living in this universe. Then Eq. (3) looks as
\[ \ddot{u}(\vec{k}, t) + \frac{2}{(1+w)t} \dot{u}(\vec{k}, t) + \frac{k^2}{a_0^2 t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}} u(\vec{k}, t) + m^2 u(\vec{k}, t) = 0. \]  
(11)

Obviously, considering Eq. (11) at \( t \to 0 \), we can neglect the massive term with respect to the term inversely proportional to \( t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}} \). After this it is easy to find that
\[ u(\vec{k}, t) = c_1 t^{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} J_{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} \left( \frac{3k(1+w)}{a_0(1+3w)} t^{\frac{1}{(1+w)(1+3w)^2}} \right) \]
\[ + c_2 t^{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} Y_{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} \left( \frac{3k(1+w)}{a_0(1+3w)} t^{\frac{1}{(1+w)(1+3w)^2}} \right). \]  
(12)

Here, \( J \) and \( Y \) are the corresponding Bessel functions.

We see that the term, proportional to the function \( Y \) becomes singular when \( t \to 0 \) and, hence, we do not have two independent non-singular solutions for the basis functions and cannot construct the vacuum and the Fock space.

Now, let us consider an extreme opposite case - the Big Rip singularity \([49–51]\). The simplest model, where this singularity arises, is the Friedmann universe filled with a perfect fluid with a constant equation of state parameter \( w \) such that \( w < -1 \). In this case the scale factor behaves as
\[ a(t) = a_0 (-t)^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}, \]  
(13)

and when \( t \to 0_- \) the scale factor tends to \( \infty \). The equation for the perturbations of the massive scalar field on this background have the same form as Eq. (11), but now we can neglect the term \( \frac{k^2}{a_0^2 t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}} u(\vec{k}, t) \), which tends to zero as \( t \to 0_- \). Thus, the solution of the corresponding equation is
\[ u(\vec{k}, t) = c_1 (-t)^{\kappa_1} J_{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} (-mt) \]
\[ + c_2 (-t)^{\kappa_2} Y_{\frac{w-1}{2(1+w)}} (-mt). \]  
(14)

Both independent solutions are now regular at \( t \to 0_- \) and we can construct the Fock vacuum. Thus, nothing special happens with particles when universe enters into the Big Rip singularity.

Let us consider a slightly more complicated situation when the evolution of type \( (13) \) is provided by the presence of the phantom scalar field with the negative kinetic term and an exponential potential:
\[ L = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - V_0 \exp(-\alpha \phi). \]  
(15)

The Friedmann equation is now
\[ \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V_0 \exp(-\alpha \phi), \]  
(16)

while the Klein-Gordon equation is
\[ \Box \phi + \alpha V_0 \exp(-\alpha \phi) = 0. \]  
(17)

If we choose
\[ V_0 = \frac{2(1-w)}{9(1+w)^2}, \]  
(18)

and
\[ \alpha = 3 \sqrt{-1 + w}, \]  
(19)

then we have the evolution \((13)\) and the background solution for the phantom scalar field is
\[ \phi(t) = \frac{2}{3 \sqrt{-1 + w}} \ln(t). \]  
(20)

Before writing down the equation for the linear perturbations we should substitute into the Klein-Gordon equation \((17)\) the expression for \( \frac{2}{3} \) following from the Friedmann equation \((16)\). Then we have the equation which includes only the scalar field and its derivatives. The equation for the linear perturbations is now
\[ \ddot{u}(\vec{k}, t) + \frac{1-w}{(1+w)t} \dot{u}(\vec{k}, t) + \frac{k^2}{a_0^2 t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w)}}} u(\vec{k}, t) \]
\[ + \frac{1-w}{(1+w)t^2} u(\vec{k}, t) = 0. \]  
(21)

In the vicinity of the Big Rip singularity \( t \to 0_- \), the solution of Eq. \((21)\) behaves as
\[ u(\vec{k}, t) = c_1 (-t)^{\kappa_1} + c_2 (-t)^{\kappa_2}, \]  
(22)

where
\[ \kappa_1 = \frac{w}{1+w} + \sqrt{\frac{2w^2-1}{(1+w)^2}} > 0, \]  
(23)
\[ \kappa_2 = \frac{w}{1+w} - \sqrt{\frac{2w^2-1}{(1+w)^2}} < 0. \]  
(24)

Thus, the second solution in \((22)\) is singular as \( t \to 0_- \) and we cannot construct the Fock space for it.

### III. TACHYON MODEL AND SOFT SINGULARITIES

The discovery of cosmic acceleration \([52]\) stimulated searches of the so-called dark energy responsible for this effect \([53, 54]\). One of the possible candidates for this
role was tachyon field, arising in string theories [55–58]. As a matter of fact what is called tachyon field is a modification of an old idea of Born and Infeld [59], that the kinetic term of a field can have a non-polynomial form. The Lagrangian of the tachyon field $T$ has the form

$$L = -V(T)\sqrt{1 - g_{\mu\nu}T_{,\mu}T_{,\nu}},$$

(25)

which for a spatially homogeneous field becomes

$$L = -V(T)\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}.$$  

(26)

The energy density corresponding to (26) is

$$\rho = \frac{V(T)}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}},$$

(27)

while the pressure is negative and equal to

$$p = -V(T)\sqrt{1 - \dot{T}^2}.$$  

(28)

The negativity of the pressure makes the tachyon field a good candidate for the dark energy role. The field equation for the tachyon field is

$$\frac{\dot{\dot{T}}}{1 - T^2} + 3H\dot{T} + \frac{V_T}{V(T)} = 0.$$  

(29)

There is also a great freedom for the choice of the potential $V(T)$. In the paper [8], a very particular potential, depending on the trigonometrical functions was chosen:

$$V(T) = \frac{\Lambda}{\sin^2 \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\Lambda(1+w)T}},$$

(30)

where $\Lambda$ is a positive constant and $-1 < w \leq 1$. What is the origin of this potential? If one consider a flat Friedmann model filled with the cosmological constant $\Lambda$ and a perfect fluid with a constant barotropic index $w$ then one can find an exact solution for the cosmological evolution. Then it is possible to reconstruct the potential $V(T)$ of the tachyon field generating this exact solution as a particular solution of the system which includes the Friedmann equation and Eq. (29). This potential is nothing but the potential (30) from the paper [8]. However, the dynamics of the Friedmann model based on the tachyon field with the potential (30) is more rich than that of the model with two fluids, because the model with tachyon has more degrees of freedom. The case when the parameter $w$ is positive is particularly interesting. To study this case it is convenient to rewrite the Klein-Gordon-type equation (29) as a dynamical system of two first-order differential equations:

$$\dot{T} = s,$$

(31)

$$\dot{s} = -3\sqrt{V(1 - s^2)}\frac{3}{2} s - (1 - s^2)\frac{V_T}{V}.$$  

(32)

The phase portrait for this dynamical system is presented on the figure below, which was taken from the paper [8]. One can see that the potential (30) is well defined inside the rectangle, where $-1 \leq s \leq 1$ and $T_3 \leq T \leq T_4$, with

$$T_3 = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{(1+w)\Lambda}} \frac{1}{\arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w}}}.$$  

(33)

$$T_4 = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{(1+w)\Lambda}} \left( \frac{\pi - \arccos \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+w}}}{\sqrt{1+w}} \right).$$  

(34)

The analysis of this dynamical system shows that there are two families of the trajectories, one of them tends to the center of the rectangle, where $s = 0$ and $T = \frac{\pi}{3\sqrt{(1+w)\Lambda}}$. Such a cosmological evolution is very close to one in the standard $\Lambda$CDM model. Another family includes the trajectories which tend to corners of our rectangle: one with $s = -1$ and $T = T_3$ and the symmetric one with $s = 1$ and $T = T_4$.

What happens with the universe approaching, for example, the lower left corner? The expression under the square root in the potential (30) tends to zero and the kinetic expression $\sqrt{1 - s^2}$ tends to zero and it looks like we cannot cross the corner. At the same time it is easy to see there is no cosmological singularity here. Moreover, the differential equations are also regular. In paper [8] the only possible way out was suggested. The Lagrangian changes its form in such a way that the equations of motion conserve their form. The new Lagrangian is

$$L = W(T)\sqrt{T^2 - 1},$$  

(35)

where

$$W(T) = \frac{\Lambda\sqrt{(1+w)\cos^2 \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\Lambda(1+w)T}} - 1}{\sin^2 \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\Lambda(1+w)T}},$$

(36)
and the new field (or a new form of the old field) is called pseudotachyon \([8]\). This field arises when the universe enters into the left lower infinite strip on the figure. Note that the Friedmann equation for the universe filled with the pseudotachyon field is

\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{W(T)}{\sqrt{T^2 - 1}}.
\]  

(37)

Let us describe in detail what happens with the field when it crosses the corner. The spatially homogeneous part of the field \(T\) behaves as

\[
T = T_3 + \tilde{T},
\]

(38)

where \(\tilde{T}\) is a small function, while

\[
s = -1 + \bar{s}.
\]

(39)

Substituting the formulas \((38)\) and \((39)\) into Eq. \((29)\), we find that the functions \(\tilde{T}\) and \(\bar{s}\) satisfy a simple equation

\[
\frac{d\bar{s}}{dT} = \frac{\bar{s}}{\tilde{T}}.
\]

(40)

Its general solution is

\[
\bar{s} = C\tilde{T},
\]

(41)

where \(C\) is a positive constant. Remembering that \(s = \tilde{T}\) and choosing (for convenience) that the moment of crossing is equal to \(t = 0\) we can also note that our field crosses the corner so that

\[
\tilde{T} = -t,
\]

(42)

and

\[
\bar{s} = -Ct.
\]

(43)

It is interesting to notice that in paper \([25]\) the predictions of the model, suggested in paper \([8]\), were compared with the supernovae type Ia data and it was discovered that there were cosmological trajectories going towards the corners which were compatible with these data.

Now, before going inside the strip to study the cosmological evolution there, let us consider what happens with particles during the transformation of the tachyon into the pseudotachyon. To do this, we add to Eq. \((29)\) the terms responsible for the contribution of the spatial derivatives

\[
\frac{\ddot{T}}{1 - T^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}T_i T_i} + \frac{3}{a} \frac{\dot{T} T_i}{V} + \frac{\dot{T} T_i T_i}{V} + \frac{\dot{T} T_i T_i}{V} + \frac{\dot{T} T_i T_i}{V} - \frac{1}{a^2} \Delta T = 0.
\]

(44)

Now, expressing \(\frac{\dot{T}^2}{a^2}\) through the Friedmann equation

\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \rho,
\]

and representing the tachyon field as

\[
T = T_0 + \tilde{T},
\]

where \(T_0\) is the solution of the tachyon field equation for the spatially homogeneous background mode and \(\tilde{T}\) is the linear perturbation, we obtain the following equation for the linear perturbations

\[
\frac{\ddot{T}}{1 - T_0^2} + \left( \frac{2T_0 \tilde{T}_0}{(1 - T_0^2)^2} + \frac{3\sqrt{V(2 - T_0^2)}}{2(1 - T_0^2)^{5/4}} \right) \frac{\dot{T}}{T_0} + \left( \frac{3V T_0}{2\sqrt{V(1 - T_0^2)^{1/4}}} \frac{\dot{T}^2}{T_0} - \frac{V^2_T}{V} - \frac{k^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{\dot{T}}{T_0} = 0.
\]

(45)

Then we substitute the expressions \((38)\) and \((39)\) into Eq. \((45)\) instead of \(T_0\), and omitting subleading terms we obtain the following differential equation for the linear perturbations

\[
\ddot{T} - \frac{1}{t} \frac{\dot{T}}{t} + \frac{C}{t} \frac{\dot{T}}{t} = 0.
\]

(46)

The solution is

\[
\tilde{T} = c_1 t J_2 \left( \sqrt{Ct} \right) + c_2 t Y_2 \left( \sqrt{Ct} \right),
\]

(47)

where \(J\) and \(Y\) are the Bessel functions. Both solutions are regular at \(t \to 0\) and the particles quietly pass through the corner. The same analysis can be carried out in the upper left corner, where the pseudotachyon field is transformed into the tachyon field while the universe is expanding.

Let us remember what happens with the pseudotachyon field and the universe after the crossing the left lower corner. As it was described in \([8]\) at some finite moment of time and at some finite value of the tachyon field the universe encounters the Big Brake singularity, where the scale factor has a finite value too, its time derivative is equal to zero, while the deceleration tends to infinity. Choosing the moment of arriving to the Big Brake as \(t = 0\) we can write down the expressions for the pseudotachyon field and the cosmological scale factor as follows \([36]\):

\[
T_0(t) = T_{BB} + \left( \frac{4}{3W(T_{BB})} \right)^{1/3} (t)^{1/3},
\]

(48)

\[
a(t) = a_{BB} - \frac{3}{4} a_{BB} \left( \frac{9W^2(T_{BB})}{2} \right)^{1/3} (t)^{4/3}.
\]

(49)
Taking into account the fact the Friedmann equation is given now by \( [37] \), the equation for the linear perturbation becomes slightly different from Eq. \([45]\):

\[
\frac{\ddot{T}}{1 - T^2_0} + \left( \frac{2T_0T_0'}{(1 - T^2_0)^2} + 3 \sqrt{W(2 - T^2_0)} \right) \dot{T}
+ \left( \frac{3}{2 \sqrt{W(T_0^2 - 1)^{1/4}}} + W_{TT} - \frac{W_T^2}{W} + \frac{k^2}{a_{BB}^2} \right) \dot{T} = 0. \tag{50}
\]

Using the expression \([48]\), we reduce Eq. \([50]\) to the following simple form (keeping only the leading terms)

\[
\ddot{T} + \frac{5}{3t} \dot{T} + \frac{B^2}{t^2} \dot{T} = 0, \tag{51}
\]

where

\[
B^2 = -\frac{W_{TT}(T_{BB})}{16} \left( \frac{4}{3W(T_{BB})} \right)^{4/3} > 0. \tag{52}
\]

The general solution of Eq. \([51]\) is

\[
T(t) = c_1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} J_2 \left( Bt^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + c_2 t^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y_2 \left( Bt^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \tag{53}
\]

Obviously, the second term in the right-hand side of Eq. \([53]\) is singular at \( t \to 0 \). and we cannot use two independent solutions of the differential equation \([51]\) to construct the Fock space. Thus, when approaching the Big Brake singularity the particles in some way disappear.

It is interesting to consider a little bit different situation when the universe encounter a more general soft singularity \([40]\). Suppose that our universe is filled not only with the tachyonic field with the potential, described above \([8]\), but also with some quantity of dust. What will happen in such universe when the energy density of the pseudotachyon field tends to zero, while its pressure tends to infinity? In this case the deceleration also tends to infinity, while the energy density of the dust is finite and, hence, the universe should continue its expansion. However, if the universe continues the expansion the energy density of the pseudotachyon field becomes imaginary. Thus, we have some kind of a paradox \([60]\). The solution of this paradox was first found for the case of the anti-Chaplygin gas - perfect fluid with the equation of state

\[
p = \frac{A}{\rho}, \quad A > 0,
\]

which represents the simplest model, where the Big Brake singularity arises. The solution of the problem \([40]\) consists in the fact that the equation of state of this gas undergoes a transformation and it becomes the standard Chaplygin gas, but with a negative energy density. This solution was extended to the case of the pseudotachyon, which transforms itself into the quasitachyon with the Lagrangian

\[
L = W(T) \sqrt{T^2 + 1}. \tag{54}
\]

Let us present in detail what happens with the pseudotachyon field when the universe in the presence of dust is running towards the future soft singularity. It behaves as

\[
T(t) = T_s - \frac{2}{\sqrt{6H_S}} \sqrt{-t}, \tag{55}
\]

where the value of the Hubble constant at the singularity \( H_S \) is found from the Friedmann equation for the universe filled with dust

\[
H_S^2 = \frac{\rho_0}{a_S^3}, \tag{56}
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is a positive constant. To get the correct equation for the linearized perturbations of the pseudotachyon field in the vicinity of the singularity we use the Friedmann equation in the presence of both the pseudotachyon field and dust

\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = H_S^2 + \frac{W(T_0)}{T^2 - 1}. \tag{57}
\]

As a result we obtain the following equation for the linear perturbations of the pseudotachyon field (where as before we keep only the leading terms in the coefficients before \( \ddot{T}, \dot{T} \) and \( T \)):

\[
\ddot{T} - \frac{1}{2t} \dot{T} + \frac{B^2}{6H_S t} \dot{T} = 0, \tag{58}
\]

where

\[
B^2 = \frac{W_{TT}(T_S)}{W(T_S)} - \frac{W_T^2(T_S)}{W(T_S)} + \frac{k^2}{a_S^2} > 0.
\]

The solution of this equation is

\[
T(t) = c_1 t^{3/4} J_2 \left( \frac{B}{\sqrt{6H_S} t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) + c_2 t^{3/4} Y_2 \left( \frac{B}{\sqrt{6H_S} t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right). \tag{59}
\]

Thus, we see both solutions of Eq. \([58]\) are regular, and we can safely construct the creation and the annihilation operators and the Fock space. It seems that the presence of the dust saves the particles of the pseudotachyon field from the disappearance.

\[\text{IV. PHANTOM DIVIDE LINE CROSSING AND CUSPED POTENTIALS}\]

We have already written in the section II about the phantom cosmology and the Big Rip singularity. Since the moment of the discovery of the cosmic acceleration
there is a discussion about the possibility of such a cosmological evolution, where the stage of the superacceleration with \( w < -1 \) is a temporary one, substituted at some moment by the transition to the normal acceleration with \( w > -1 \). This hypothetic phenomenon is called “phantom divide line crossing”. This phenomenon can be described by models, including two scalar fields - a standard one and a phantom. More interesting option involves the consideration of the scalar field nonminimally coupled to gravity where such effect is also possible [61] [62]. In papers [43] [44] one more opportunity was considered: the cosmological evolution driven by a scalar field with a cusped potential. Remarkably, a passage through the point where the Hubble parameter achieves a maximum value implies the change of the sign of the kinetic term. Though a cosmological singularity is absent in these cases, this phenomenon is a close relative of those, considered in the preceding sections, because here we also find some transformation of matter properties induced by a change of geometry. In this aspect the phenomenon of the phantom divide line crossing in the model [43] [44] is analogous to the transformation between the tachyon and pseudotachyon field in the Born-Infeld model with the trigonometric potential considered earlier.  

Consider the phantom scalar field with a negative kinetic term and the potential which has the following form

\[
V(\phi) = \frac{V_0}{(1 + V_1 \phi^2)^2}. \tag{60}
\]

The Klein-Gordon equation for the homogeneous part of the phantom scalar field has the form

\[
\ddot{\phi} + 3 \frac{\dot{\phi}}{a} \dot{\phi} + \frac{4V_0V_1}{3(1 + V_1 \phi^2)^3} \phi \dot{\phi} = 0. \tag{61}
\]

The Friedmann equation is

\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = -\frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + \frac{V_0}{(1 + V_1 \phi^2)^2}. \tag{62}
\]

We are interested in a special solution of these equations, when at some moment (we can choose it as \( t = 0_+ \)) the phantom scalar field and its time derivative tend to zero. Such a solution exists and it looks as follows

\[
\phi(t) = \phi_0(-t)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \tag{63}
\]

\[
\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \sqrt{V_0}, \tag{64}
\]

where

\[
\phi_0 = \left(-\frac{16}{9}V_0V_1 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad V_0 > 0, \quad V_1 < 0. \tag{65}
\]

The analysis of the equations of motion (63) and (64) shows [43] [44] that the smooth evolution of the universe compatible with the particular initial conditions chosen in such a way to provide this regime is possible if at \( t = 0_+ \) the phantom field transforms itself into the standard scalar field. This kind of the transition is indeed smooth because the kinetic term changes its sign, passing through the point where it is equal to zero.

To explain better what happens at this passage through the point when both the field and its time derivative vanish we can recall briefly a simple mechanical analogy [44]. Let us consider a one-dimensional problem of a classical point particle moving in the potential

\[
V(x) = \frac{V_0}{(1 + x^{2/3})^2}, \tag{66}
\]

where \( V_0 > 0 \). The equation of motion is

\[
\ddot{x} - \frac{4V_0}{3(1 + x^{2/3})^3x^{1/3}} = 0. \tag{67}
\]

There are three types of possible motions, depending on the value of the energy \( E \). If \( E < V_0 \), the particle cannot reach the top of the potential at the point \( x = 0 \). If \( E > V_0 \), the particle passes through the top of the hill with a nonvanishing velocity. The case \( E = V_0 \) is exceptional. In the vicinity of the point \( x = 0 \) the trajectory of the particle is

\[
x(t) = C(t_0 - t)^{3/2}, \tag{68}
\]

where

\[
C = \pm \left(\frac{16V_0}{9}\right)^{3/4} \tag{69}
\]

and \( t \leq t_0 \). Independently of the sign of \( C \) in Eq. (69), the signs of the particle coordinate \( x \) and its velocity \( \dot{x} \) are opposite and hence, the particle can arrive in finite time to the point of the cusp of the potential at \( x = 0 \). Another solution reads as

\[
x = C(t - t_0)^{3/2}, \tag{70}
\]

where \( t \geq t_0 \). This solution describes the particle going away from the point \( x = 0 \). Thus, we can combine the branches of the solutions (68) and (70) in four different manners and there is no way to choose if the particle arriving to the point \( x = 0 \) should go back or should pass the cusp of the potential [69]. It can stop at the top as well. To observe an analogy between this problem and the cosmological one we can try to introduce a friction term into the Newton equation (67)

\[
\ddot{x} + \gamma \dot{x} - \frac{4V_0}{3(1 + x^{2/3})^3x^{1/3}} = 0. \tag{71}
\]

If the friction coefficient \( \gamma \) is a constant, one does not have a qualitative change with respect to the discussion above. However, if \( \gamma \) is

\[
\gamma = 3\sqrt{\frac{x^2}{2} + V(x)}. \tag{72}
\]
then
\[ \dot{\gamma} = \frac{3}{2} x^2 \]  
(73)

and
\[ \ddot{\gamma} = -3 \dot{x} \dot{x} \]  
(74)

just like in the cosmological case, where the role of the friction coefficient is played by the Hubble parameter. The trajectory arriving to the cusp with a vanishing velocity is still described by the solution (68). Consider the speed of the particle coming to the cusp from the left (\( C < 0 \)). It is easy to see that the value of \( \dot{\gamma} \) at the moment \( t_0 \) tends to zero, while its second derivative \( \ddot{\gamma} \) given by Eq. (74) is
\[ \dot{\gamma}(t_0) = \frac{9}{8} C^2 > 0. \]  
(75)

Thus, it looks like the friction coefficient \( \gamma \) reaches its minimum value at \( t = t_0 \). Let us suppose that the particle is coming back to the left from the cusp and its motion is described by Eq. (70) with negative \( C \). A simple check shows that in this case
\[ \dot{\gamma}(t_0) = -\frac{9}{8} C^2 < 0. \]  
(76)

Thus, from the point of view of the subsequent evolution this point looks as a maximum for the function \( \gamma(t) \). In fact, it simply means the second derivative of the friction coefficient has a jump at the point \( t = t_0 \). It is easy to check that if instead of choosing the motion to the left, we shall move forward our particle to the right from the cusp (\( C > 0 \)), the sign of \( \dot{\gamma}(t_0) \) remains negative as in Eq. (70) and hence we have the jump of this second derivative again. If one would like to avoid this jump, one should try to change the sign in Eq. (74). To implement it in a self-consistent way one can substitute Eq. (72) by
\[ \gamma = 3 \sqrt{\frac{x^2}{2} + V(x)} \]  
(77)

and Eq. (71) by
\[ \dot{x} + \frac{4V_0}{3(1 + x^{2/3})^{3/2}} = 0. \]  
(78)

In fact, it is exactly that what happens automatically in cosmology, when we change the sign of the kinetic energy term for the scalar field, crossing the phantom divide line. Naturally, in cosmology the role of \( \gamma \) is played by the Hubble variable \( H \). The jump of the second derivative of the friction coefficient \( \gamma \) corresponds to the divergence of the third time derivative of the Hubble variable, which represents some kind of a very soft cosmological singularity. Thus, when we change in a smooth way the sign of the kinetic term of the scalar field, it means that whenever possible we prefer the smoothness of the spacetime geometry to the conservation of the form of the equations of motion for the matter fields.

Now, as in the preceding sections, we write down the equation for linearized perturbations of the phantom field approaching the moment of the phantom divide line crossing. Using Eqs. (61) and (62), we obtain
\[ \ddot{\phi} + 3 \sqrt{V_0} \dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{4 \ell^2} \dot{\phi} = 0. \]  
(80)

Using the relations (64) and (65), we reduce the previous equation to the following simple form
\[ \ddot{\phi} + 3 V_0 \dot{\phi} + \frac{1}{4 \ell^2} \dot{\phi} = 0. \]  
(81)

Here, as in all the preceding considerations we have omitted the subleading contributions to the coefficients at \( \dot{\phi} \) and its derivatives. The solution of this equation in the vicinity of \( t = 0 \) looks as
\[ \ddot{\phi}(t) = c_1 \sqrt{-t} + c_2 \sqrt{-t} \ln(-t). \]  
(81)

We see that both the independent solutions of Eq. (81) are non-singular at \( t \to 0 \). Moreover, both of them tends to zero, while their Wronskian is constant. Thus, it looks like we can construct some kind of the Fock space, but it looks quite different with respect to more customary situations.
V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have considered different scenarios of the evolution of the universe with singularities or some non-analyticities in the geometry of spacetime. We tried to answer a simple question: is it possible to conserve some kind of notion of particle corresponding to a chosen quantum field present in the universe when the latter is approaching the singularity? For simplicity we only considered scalar fields with different types of Lagrangians. As usual we wrote down the second order differential equations for the linear perturbations of these scalar fields and studied the asymptotic behavior of their solutions in the vicinity of the singularity or some other particularity of the spacetime geometry. If at least one of two independent solutions has a singular asymptotic behavior, then we cannot define the creation and the annihilation operators and to construct the vacuum and the Fock space. It means that the very notion of particle losess sense. This is exactly what happens when the universe is close to the Big Bang or the Big Crunch singularity. This result looks quite natural intuitively. The situation with the Big Rip singularity, studied at the end of the second section, is little bit more involved. Considering the approach to the Big Rip singularity, we saw that the Klein-Gordon equation for a standard scalar field has two regular solutions and, consequently, the particles still exist. If, instead, we consider the perturbations of phantom scalar field responsible for the super-acceleration of the universe, one of two solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation is singular, and, hence, the particles cannot be defined.

The third section was devoted to the study of a particular cosmological model based on the tachyon field with a trigonometrical potential. Two peculiar effects distinguish this model. First, there are transformations between different kinds of Born - Infeld type fields -tachyons, pseudotachyons and quasi-tachyons. Second, the appearance of the future Big Brake singularity or, in the vicinity of the singularity or some other particularity of the spacetime geometry. If at least one of two independent solutions has a singular asymptotic behavior, then we cannot define the creation and the annihilation operators and to construct the vacuum and the Fock space. It means that the very notion of particle losess sense. This is exactly what happens when the universe is close to the Big Bang or the Big Crunch singularity. This result looks quite natural intuitively. The situation with the Big Rip singularity, studied at the end of the second section, is little bit more involved. Considering the approach to the Big Rip singularity, we saw that the Klein-Gordon equation for a standard scalar field has two regular solutions and, consequently, the particles still exist. If, instead, we consider the perturbations of phantom scalar field responsible for the super-acceleration of the universe, one of two solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation is singular, and, hence, the particles cannot be defined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of A.K. was partially supported by the RFBR grant No 18-52-45016. O.G. acknowledges support by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001. O.G. thanks the theoretical physics group at the University of Bologna for its hospitality.

[1] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser A 314, 529 (1970).
[2] R. Penrose, Structure of space-time, (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1970).
[3] V. A. Belinsky, I. M. Khalatnikov and E. M. Lifshitz, Adv. Phys. 19, 525 (1970).
[4] C. W. Misner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1071 (1969).
[5] J. D. Barrow, G. J. Galloway and F. Tipler, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 223, 835, (1986).
[6] J. D. Barrow, Phys. Lett. B 235, 40 (1990).
[7] Yu. Shtanov and V. Sahni, Class. Quantum Grav. 19, L101 (2002).
[8] V. Gorini, A. Y. Kamenshchik, U. Moschella and V. Pasquier, Phys. Rev. D 69, 123512 (2004).
[9] P. Tretyakov, A. Toporensky, Yu. Shtanov and V. Sahni, Class. Quantum Grav. 23, 3259 (2006).
[10] J. D. Barrow, Class. Quantum Grav. 21, L79 (2004).
[11] J. D. Barrow, Class. Quantum Grav. 21, 5619 (2004).
[12] J. D. Barrow, A. B. Batista, J. C. Fabris and S. Houndjo, Phys. Rev. D 78, 123508 (2008).
[13] M. P. Dabrowski, T. Denkiewicz and M. A. Hendry, Phys. Rev. D 75, 123524 (2007).
[14] J. D. Barrow and S. Z. W. Lip, Phys. Rev. D 80, 043518 (2009).
