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**Abstract**

**Introduction** The c.1-34T>C 5' promoter region polymorphism in cytochrome P450c17 (CYP17), a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of estrogen, has been associated with breast cancer risk, but most previous studies have been relatively small.

**Methods** We genotyped 1,544 incident cases of primary breast cancer and 1,502 population controls, all postmenopausal Swedish women, for the CYP17 c.1-34T>C polymorphism and calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) from logistic regression models.

**Results** No overall association was found between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and breast cancer risk, OR 1.0 (95% CI 0.8–1.3) for the A2/A2 (CC) carriers compared to the A1/A1 (TT) carriers, regardless of histopathology. We detected an interaction between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and age at menarche (P = 0.026) but regarded that as a chance finding as no dose-response pattern was evident. Other breast cancer risk factors, including menopausal hormone use and diabetes mellitus, did not modify the overall results.

**Conclusion** It is unlikely that CYP17 c.1-34T>C has a role in breast cancer etiology, overall or in combination with established non-genetic breast cancer risk factors.

---

**Introduction**

Estrogen is a central factor in the etiology of breast cancer [1]. One of the key enzymes in the synthesis of sex hormones, such as estrogens and androgens, is cytochrome P450c17 (CYP17). CYP17 catalyses the 17α-hydroxylation of pregnenolone and progesterone, and these intermediates are then converted to dehydroepiandosterone and androstenedione by the 17,20-lyase activity of the enzyme [2,3].

A single T (A1 allele) to C (A2 allele) base change in the 5' promoter region of CYP17 (c.1-34T>C) has been suggested to create an additional binding site for the transcription factor Sp1 [4]. This could theoretically lead to increased levels of the enzyme, but use of the extra binding site has not been confirmed experimentally [5]. Three groups have reported an association of the A2 allele with increased breast cancer risk [6-8] but others have failed to replicate those findings [5,9-21]. A recent meta-analysis of 15 case-control studies did not find any overall association [22] but this analysis was criticized by Feigelson and colleagues [23], who found a borderline significant association between the CYP17 polymorphism and advanced breast cancer.

CI = confidence interval; CYP17 = cytochrome P450c17; DASH = dynamic allele-specific hybridization; OR = odds ratio; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; RFLP = restriction fragment length polymorphism.
In a population-based study about causes of postmenopausal breast cancer, which included 1,544 cases and 1,502 controls, we evaluated the association between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and breast cancer risk among Swedish women. We also explored potential interaction between genotype and menopausal hormone use and diabetes mellitus as well as age at menarche, age at menopause, age at first birth, parity and body mass index.

Materials and methods

Founding study

This nation-wide case-control study included all Swedish women, 50 to 74 years of age and resident in Sweden between October 1993 and March 1995. During that period, all incident cases of primary invasive breast cancer identified at diagnosis through the six regional cancer registries in Sweden, to which reporting of all malignant cancer is mandatory. Controls that matched the cases in 5-year age strata were randomly selected from the Swedish Registry of Total Population. Of the eligible cases and controls, 3,345 (84%) and 3,454 (82%), respectively, participated in the study by providing detailed information via questionnaires about menopausal hormone use, reproductive history, and other lifestyle factors. Results from the founding study have been published [24-28].

Collection of biological samples

Only postmenopausal women with a first primary breast cancer without any previous malignancy (except carcinoma in situ of the cervix or non-melanoma skin cancer) were eligible for the present study. Premenopausal women and those with a previous malignancy were excluded. From the eligible participants, we randomly selected 1,500 cases and 1,500 age-frequency (in 5-year groups) matched controls. We were interested in exploring the interaction between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and menopausal hormones and diabetes mellitus as both are breast cancer risk factors, possibly acting through increased levels of exogenous and endogenous estrogens, respectively. Thus, in order to increase statistical power in the subgroup analyses, we further selected all remaining eligible women (191 cases and 108 controls) that had used menopausal hormones (estrogen only or any combination of estrogen and progestin) for at least 4 years and all women (110 cases and 104 controls) with self-reported diabetes mellitus. In addition, 345 eligible controls from the founding study, originally selected for a parallel endometrial cancer study but who fulfilled the inclusion criteria to the breast cancer study, were added to our control sample. In total, we selected 1,801 cases and 2,057 controls.

Paraffin-embedded, non-cancerous tissue samples were collected for deceased breast cancer cases as well as for those breast cancer cases that declined to donate blood but consented to our use of the tissue. We obtained blood samples or archived tissue samples for 1,322 and 247 breast cancer patients, respectively, and blood samples from 1,524 controls. Population-based participation rates (taking into account those that did not participate in the founding study but could have been selected) for cases and controls were 73% and 61%, respectively.

We isolated DNA from 3 ml of whole blood using a Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. From non-malignant cells in paraffin-embedded tissue, we extracted DNA using a standard phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol protocol [29]. The study was approved by the five Swedish Institutional Review Boards and was performed in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Genetic analyses

We used two methods for CYP17 c.1-34T>C (rs743572) genotyping: Multiplex fluorescent solid-phase minisequencing [30]; and dynamic allele specific hybridization (DASH) [31]. Results from the two methods were validated with PCR-RFLP [32]. Twenty-four percent of the samples were analysed with both minisequencing and DASH, and the genotypes obtained were identical. Primer and probe sequences and PCR reaction conditions are given in Additional file 1. The PCR reactions were performed on a Perkin Elmer GeneAmp 9700 system (PerkinElmer Life And Analytical Sciences, Inc., Boston, MA, USA).

Statistical analyses

Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using conditional logistic regression models with the A1/A1 genotype as the reference group. We conditioned the models on age (due to matching) and on duration of menopausal hormone use and self-reported diabetes mellitus (due to our over-sampling scheme). The conditioning variables were thus age (5-year age groups), menopausal estrogen only use (never, <4 years or ≥4 years), menopausal estrogen and progestin use (never, <4 years or ≥4 years) and self-reported diabetes mellitus (yes or no). We chose to condition because in the presence of interaction between any of the selection variables and CYP17 genotype, estimates of the main effect of CYP17 could be biased. We did not expect confounding by other known breast cancer risk factors as they most likely would be intermediates between the genetic variant and breast cancer. We nevertheless included important breast cancer risk factors as co-variates in the models and assessed changes in the estimates of association between CYP17 genotype and breast cancer to investigate if there were any indications of such interrelations. Breast cancer risk factors other than menopausal hormone use that were analyzed for gene-environment interaction were: Age at menarche (<2 years, >12–14 years or >14 years) and age at menopause (<49 years, 49–52 years or ≥52 years) (where 1st category included the 1st quartile of the data, 2nd category the 2nd and 3rd quartiles, and 3rd category the 4th quartile); age at first birth...
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Table 1

Breast cancer risk in relation to CYP17 genotype, stratified by breast cancer risk factors

|                        | All genotypes | CYP17 genotype | P for interaction |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                        | All cases     | A1/A1          | A1/A2             | A2/A2             |
|                        | A1/A1 | A1/A2 | A2/A2 | A1/A1 | A1/A2 | A2/A2 | A1/A1 | A1/A2 | A2/A2 | A1/A1 | A1/A2 | A2/A2 | A1/A1 | A1/A2 | A2/A2 |
| Age at menarche (years) | ≤12        | 314/262        | 1 (reference)    | 102/96            | 1 (reference)    | 138/128 | 1.1 (0.7–1.5) | 74/38 | 1.9 (1.1–3.0) |
|                        | >12–14      | 749/702        | 0.9 (0.7–1.1)    | 283/251           | 1.1 (0.8–1.5)    | 360/325 | 1.1 (0.8–1.5) | 106/126 | 0.8 (0.6–1.0) |
|                        | >14         | 300/258        | 1.0 (0.8–1.2)    | 107/94            | 1.1 (0.7–1.6)    | 152/126 | 1.2 (0.8–1.7) | 41/38 | 1.1 (0.6–1.8) | 0.026 |
| Age at menopause (years) | ≤49        | 330/347        | 1 (reference)    | 145/123           | 1 (reference)    | 141/165 | 0.7 (0.5–1.0) | 44/59 | 0.7 (0.4–1.1) |
|                        | 49–52       | 802/656        | 1.3 (1.1–1.6)    | 282/243           | 1.0 (0.7–1.3)    | 380/316 | 1.0 (0.8–1.4) | 140/97 | 1.2 (0.9–1.8) |
|                        | >52         | 357/323        | 1.2 (1.0–1.5)    | 119/117           | 1.0 (0.6–1.3)    | 184/150 | 1.1 (0.8–1.6) | 54/56 | 0.8 (0.5–1.3) | 0.062 |
| Age at first birth (years) | ≤24        | 616/639        | 1 (reference)    | 225/236           | 1 (reference)    | 310/315 | 1.1 (0.8–1.3) | 81/88 | 1.0 (0.7–1.4) |
|                        | 25–29       | 414/388        | 1.1 (0.9–1.4)    | 145/144           | 1.1 (0.8–1.5)    | 187/171 | 1.2 (0.9–1.6) | 82/73 | 1.2 (0.8–1.7) |
|                        | ≥30         | 242/187        | 1.4 (1.1–1.7)    | 100/67            | 1.6 (1.1–2.3)    | 107/89 | 1.3 (0.9–1.8) | 35/31 | 1.1 (0.7–1.9) | 0.752 |
| Parity                 | Nulliparous  | 224/124        | 1 (reference)    | 79/41             | 1 (reference)    | 105/63 | 0.9 (0.5–1.5) | 40/20 | 1.2 (0.8–2.3) |
|                        | 1 child      | 320/240        | 0.7 (0.5–0.9)    | 113/77            | 0.8 (0.5–1.2)    | 168/130 | 0.7 (0.4–1.0) | 39/33 | 0.6 (0.3–1.1) |
|                        | 2 children   | 569/515        | 0.6 (0.4–0.7)    | 215/195           | 0.6 (0.4–0.9)    | 254/238 | 0.5 (0.4–0.8) | 100/82 | 0.6 (0.4–1.0) |
|                        | >2 children   | 386/459        | 0.5 (0.4–0.6)    | 143/175           | 0.4 (0.3–0.7)    | 184/207 | 0.5 (0.3–0.7) | 59/77 | 0.4 (0.2–0.6) | 0.792 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | <25         | 716/669        | 1 (reference)    | 263/230           | 1 (reference)    | 337/329 | 0.9 (0.7–1.2) | 116/110 | 0.9 (0.7–1.3) |
|                        | 25 to <28    | 371/377        | 1.0 (0.8–1.1)    | 141/137           | 0.9 (0.7–1.2)    | 170/181 | 0.9 (0.7–1.2) | 60/59 | 0.9 (0.6–1.4) |
|                        | ≥28          | 403/278        | 1.2 (0.9–1.6)    | 198/121           | 1.4 (1.1–1.9)    | 60/41 | 1.4 (0.9–2.2) | 0.657 |

aIncluding only cases and controls with complete information on menopausal hormones and diabetes mellitus. bAnalyses were conditioned on age (5-year age groups), menopausal estrogen only use (never, <4 years or ≥4 years), use of estrogen in combination with progestin (never, <4 years or ≥4 years) and diabetes mellitus (yes or no). CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Results

We obtained CYP17 c.1-34T>C genotypes from 1,544 breast cancer cases and 1,502 controls. Among the controls, genotype frequencies were similar to previously published frequencies in Caucasian populations [9-1,20,21] and did not deviate from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (P = 0.927). Genotype frequencies among the cases did not differ between blood and tissue samples (P = 0.697).

We reproduced previously established breast cancer risk factor associations in this study population [24,25,33] (Table 1, first column). The one exception was age at menarche, which did not appear to be associated with overall breast cancer risk in this study [25].

The CYP17 c.1-34T>C was not associated with other breast cancer risk factors among the randomly selected controls (Table 2).

We found no overall association between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and breast cancer risk, regardless of histopathology (Table 3). This negative result was not modified by menopausal hormone use or diabetes mellitus (Table 3). We also did not detect any
association when we considered stage 1 (n = 389) and stage 2 or more advanced (n = 591) breast cancers separately (data not shown). None of these findings were altered by restricting the sample set to the randomly selected cases and controls or by including other breast cancer risk factors as co-variates in the logistic regression models.

In exploratory analyses, the A2/A2 genotype, compared to A1/A1, was associated with an increased breast cancer risk in women with age at menarche of 12 years or younger (OR 1.9, 95% CI 1.1–3.0; P for interaction = 0.026; Table 1). Furthermore, the A2 allele in carriers conferred a decreased risk in women with menopause before 49 years of age; OR 0.7 (95% CI 0.5–1.0) for heterozygotes and OR 0.7 (95% CI 0.4–1.1) for homozygotes) compared to A1/A1. There was, however, no dose-response pattern in these findings and we therefore regarded them as being due to chance. Age at first birth, parity or body mass index did not seem to modify the non-association between CYP17 c.1-34T>C genotype and breast cancer risk (Table 1). All estimates remained unaffected after we restricted the analyses to the randomly selected cases and controls.

**Discussion**

CYP17 c.1-34T>C was not associated with breast cancer risk overall, a result that did not seem to be modified by menopausal hormone use or diabetes mellitus. Incidentally, we detected a statistically significant interaction between CYP17 c.1-34T>C and age at menarche but regarded the finding as a chance result as no dose-response pattern was evident.

Our population-based study is one of the largest published to date, investigating the possible influence of CYP17 variation on breast cancer risk. Retrieval of extensive information on reproductive and lifestyle factors enabled us to test for gene-environment interactions. Misclassification of genotypes should be minimal as is indicated by the identical results from replicate genotyping with two different methods, all blinded to case-control status. Any genotype misclassification despite these measures is unlikely to have been differential and would consequently only bias our estimates towards the null.

Because pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer is conceived to have differing causal mechanisms, these two types of cancer are often investigated separately. We were interested in potential interaction between CYP17 genotype and menopausal hormone treatment, which motivated our restricting the study population to postmenopausal women. Although it would be interesting to know also if CYP17 genotype influences the risk of premenopausal breast cancer, had we included both pre- and postmenopausal women, the study size would have had to be increased to have the same power to address our main hypotheses. We were also interested in exploring the interaction with diabetes mellitus as insulin resistance can lead to increased levels of plasma insulin, lower levels of plasma sex hormone binding globulin and, consequently, increased levels of free estrogen [34]. We thus over-
sampled menopausal hormone users and diabetics in the design phase of the study so as to increase statistical precision in subgroup analyses. We explored only the effect of CYP17 c.1-34T>C on breast cancer risk in this article, but 13 variants in 7 genes were genotyped in the current phase of the study. Results from three of the studied genes in relation to breast cancer risk have previously been published [35-37].

A possible mechanism for the CYP17 c.1-34T>C polymorphism to influence breast cancer risk is through increased biosynthesis of and, thereby, increased levels of circulating estrogen. Contrary to the predicted effect of the A2 allele, one group found decreased CYP17 mRNA levels in A2 carriers [38]. Studies regarding association of CYP17 c.1-34T>C and circulating hormone levels as well as markers of hormonal status (i.e. age at menarche or menopausal hormone use) have recently been reviewed [39]. Increased estradiol levels have been associated with the A2 allele in premenopausal women [6,32] as well as in postmenopausal women [9,40], but three groups did not report any significant changes in hormone levels by genotype, two in postmenopausal women [17,41] and one in premenopausal women [42]. Results from seven studies have indicated a moderate association between the A2 allele and early menarche [8,11,15,18,43-45], an association that was not detected in our study, nor in five others.

Table 3

Breast cancer risk in relation to CYP17 genotype, stratified by histopathological type, menopausal hormones or diabetes mellitus

| CYP17 genotype | Cases/controls | OR (CI) | Cases/controls | OR (CI) | Cases/controls | OR (CI) | P for interaction |
|----------------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|-----------------|
| A1/A1          |                |         | A1/A2          |         | A2/A2          |         |                 |
| All cancers    | 550/488        | 1 (ref) | 711/638        | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) | 238/212        | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) |                 |
| Ductal cancers | 420/488        | 1 (ref) | 510/638        | 1.0 (0.8–1.1) | 180/212        | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) |                 |
| Lobular cancers| 56/488         | 1 (ref) | 90/638         | 1.3 (0.9–1.8) | 24/212         | 1.1 (0.6–1.8)  |                 |
| Duration of menopausal hormone use (all cancers) | | | | | | | |
| Never          | 390/370        | 1 (ref) | 469/473        | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 165/154        | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) |                 |
| Any kind       |                |         |                |         |                |         |                 |
| <4             | 59/50          | 1 (ref) | 96/74          | 1.2 (0.7–2.0) | 26/25          | 1.0 (0.5–2.1)  |                 |
| ≥4             | 101/68         | 1 (ref) | 146/91         | 1.2 (0.8–1.9) | 47/33          | 1.0 (0.6–1.7)  | 0.668           |
| Estrogen only  |                |         |                |         |                |         |                 |
| <4             | 28/22          | 1 (ref) | 43/33          | 1.1 (0.5–2.3) | 7/11           | 0.6 (0.2–1.8)  |                 |
| ≥4             | 39/23          | 1 (ref) | 42/30          | 1.0 (0.5–2.1) | 15/13          | 0.7 (0.3–1.9)  | 0.703           |
| Estrogen + progestin |          |         |                |         |                |         |                 |
| <4             | 42/41          | 1 (ref) | 75/66          | 1.2 (0.7–2.1) | 23/21          | 1.2 (0.6–2.5)  |                 |
| ≥4             | 64/47          | 1 (ref) | 108/62         | 1.3 (0.8–2.2) | 34/20          | 1.2 (0.6–2.4)  | 0.723           |
| Self-reported diabetes mellitus (all cancers) | | | | | | | |
| No             | 502/448        | 1 (ref) | 644/592        | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) | 217/194        | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) |                 |
| Yes            | 48/40          | 1 (ref) | 67/46          | 1.3 (0.7–2.2) | 21/18          | 1.1 (0.5–2.3)  | 0.259           |

a Including only cases and controls with complete information on menopausal hormones and diabetes mellitus. b Analyses were conditioned on age (5-year age groups), menopausal estrogen only use (never, <4 years or ≥4 years), use of estrogen in combination with progestin (never, <4 years or ≥4 years) and diabetes mellitus (yes or no). CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
Furthermore, previous investigators have posited that CYP17 genotype may be associated with use of menopausal hormones, an important risk factor for breast cancer, but results have been inconsistent [11,12,21,48-50]. We found no such association in our data.

We identified an interaction with age at menarche, but considered it unlikely that the A2/A2 genotype would increase breast cancer risk in women with age at menarche less than 13 years without also moderately increasing risk in women with age at menarche between 12 and 14 years. Instead, the A2/A2 genotype decreased risk in the latter group, which is difficult to explain biologically. A similar pattern was seen for the interaction with age at menopause. To our knowledge, other groups have not reported comparable findings and we therefore believe the results to be caused by chance alone.

Conclusion
CYP17 c.1-34T>C does not appear to have any major influence on breast cancer risk. Despite this negative finding, it would be prudent to investigate common variants covering the entire CYP17 gene before concluding that this gene has no role in breast cancer etiology.
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