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Abstract

**Background:** Non-ST Elevated Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI) diagnosis is dependent on elevation of high sensitivity troponin (hs-troponin). The current cutoff point for hs-troponin is highly sensitive but not specific for detecting obstructive myocardial infarction. Our aim is to better risk stratify patients by determining the significance of the current cut off point hs-troponin in determining obstructive myocardial infarction.

**Methods:** We studied 202 patients. All patients were assessed for their demographics, clinical history, laboratory and imaging results. Using SPSS version 22, the pooled cohort of patients were analyzed at significant level <0.05 and the data were test for significant correlations between two predetermined groups; A and B. Group A included patients with positive coronary angiography (CAG) results who had obstructive coronary artery disease and required intervention, and group B included patients with negative CAG results who had normal or near normal coronary arteries that required no intervention.

**Results:** Group A comprised 87.6% of the patients. Both groups had a median age of 53 years. In Group A, 91% were males, 54% were diabetics, 54% hypertensives and median hs-troponin was 145 ng/L. While in group B, 88% were males, 39% were diabetics, 60% hypertensives, and median hs-troponin was 54 ng/L. There is significant correlation between the two groups in the percentage of diabetes and median troponin level (p < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** A ROC curve was generated and identified a level of 127 ng/dL as the best cutoff of hs-troponin in detecting obstructive myocardial infarction (p=0.03). Interestingly, 60% of patients in group B had alternative diagnosis.

Background

Acute coronary syndrome with normal or near normal coronary arteries (ACSNNOCA) commonly known as Myocardial Infarction/Injury with Non-obstructive Coronary Arteries (MINOCA) also known as Troponin positive non obstructive coronary artery (TP-NOCA), can be caused by etiologies of coronary disorders, myocardial disorders or non-cardiac disorders.[1–3] These etiologies include coronary spasm, microvascular dysfunction, myocarditis, hypertensive heart disease, stroke, sepsis, pulmonary embolism in addition to others. Moreover, these diseases overlap with type 2 myocardial infarction. [1, 4] Therefore, the challenge arises in making a decision whether the patient is suffering a myocardial injury, ischemia or infarction requiring intervention. [1]

These challenging situations have increased currently with the introduction of high sensitivity cardiac troponin assay in view of its low specificity. [1] In a review article by Pasupathy et al. the prevalence of MINOCA was reported as 6%, ranging from 1–15%. Moreover, 14% of patients with acute myocardial infarction have been found to have non-obstructive coronary artery disease defined as coronary stenosis of less than 50%. Research has shown that two third of patient with MOINCA present and are admitted as Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (NSTEMI). [1, 3]
Risk stratification in patients with NSTEMI is of extreme importance especially since multiple scores have been developed; Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction score (TIMI), HEART score and Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). [4] Moreover, risk stratification is emphasized as VIRGO study found the prevalence of MINOCA to be five times more in women than men and in patients with lower traditional cardiac risk factors and by another study which found MINOCA to be higher in younger patients. [5–8]

Our research aims to better risk stratify patients by determining statically if the current cutoff value of high sensitivity troponin (hs-troponin) is significant to indicate obstructive coronary artery myocardial infarction in NSTEMI.

**Methods**

**Study design:**

A one-year retrospective cohort study including patient’s fulfilling the following inclusion criteria:

- Patients admitted between 1st of April 2018 through 31st of March 2019 in Rashid hospital as NSTEMI.
- Age > 18 years old.
- Patients that have underwent Coronary angiography in the same index admission.

**Data Collection:**

The data was answered in a structured questionnaire and present in an excel spreadsheet including the following variables:

- Demographics (age, gender and ethnicity)
- Previous risk factors for cardiac disease (smoking, previous myocardial infarction, hypertension or diabetes)
- Laboratory findings (Low Density Lipoprotein value, Hemoglobin-A1C, and Highest hs-troponin value).
- Previous medication use (aspirin, statin or angiotensin – converting- enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin ii receptor blockers)
- Coronary Angiography (CAG) finding:
  - Positive CAG: if patient had obstructive coronary artery disease or underwent a coronary intervention in the index admission.
  - Negative CAG: if patient had normal or non-obstructive coronary artery disease.

**Data Analysis:**

SPSS software version 22 was used for statically analysis with confidence interval of 95% (p-value equal to or less than 0.05 considered significant).
For variables were their numerical data is non-skewed the Mean is reported (Hemoglobin and LDL) while for variables with skewed data the Median is reported (all other variables with numerical data). On the other hand, for the categorical variables the percentage within the CAG finding is reported. Correlation was investigated using T-test for non-skewed numerical data, Mann-Whitney test for skewed numerical data and chi-square test for categorical data.

**Ethical Approval:**

An ethics approval was obtained from Dubai Scientific Research Ethics Committee, DHA holding the reference number of DSREC/RRP/2019/13.

**Results**

**Descriptive Analysis**

202 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria from the retrieved files. After which we grouped them into two groups. Group A (Positive CAG) included 177 patients (87.6%) of which 91% were males, meanwhile Group B (Negative CAG): 25 patients (12.4%) of which 88% were males. The median age in both groups was 53 years old and Table.1 illustrated different variables between the two groups.

| Variables                  | Group          | P-Value |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------|
|                            | CAG Positive   | CAG Negative |
| Smoker (%)                 | 37             | 40      | 0.799 |
| Diabetic (%)               | 54             | 39      | **0.014** |
| Hypertensive (%)           | 54             | 60      | 0.588 |
| Median hs-Troponin (ng/L)  | 145            | 54      | **0.030** |
| Median HBA1C (%)           | 6.100          | 5.750   | 0.135 |
| Mean LDL (mg/dL)           | 126.48         | 121.22  | 0.567 |

On further Analysis of Cofounding variables are shown in Table.2, in which all variables had a p value of > 0.05.
Table 2: Comparing cofounding variables between patients with positive coronary angiography finding to patients with a negative coronary angiography finding.

| Variable          | Group A (Positive CAG) | Group B (Negative CAG) |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Median Creatinine (mg/dL) | 0.9                    | 0.8                    |
| Mean Hemoglobin (g/dL)      | 14.25                   | 14.21                  |
| Median CPK (mg/L)          | 216                     | 191                    |

**Troponin as a diagnostic biomarker for myocardial infarction**

To statistically measure the diagnostic cutoff value of troponin as a biomarker for NSTEMI a receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was obtained (Figure 1). CAG was considered as the gold standard test. The ROC analysis showed an Area Under the Curve (AUC) of 0.634 (0.51-0.751) with a p value of 0.03 and confidence interval of 95%. Studying the sensitivities and specificities of the ROC curve; it was deduced that the best cutoff value for troponin is 127.

**Discussion**

Troponin has been acknowledged as a biomarker for diagnosing and management for myocardial infarction in NSTEMI by the American Heart Association (AHA), American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the European Society of Cardiology [9,10]. However, the ACC and AHA emphasize that elevation of troponin is not always due to atherosclerotic coronary artery disease but might be only myocardial injury [9].

Currently, 14 ng/L is considered as the cutoff value for a positive troponin result. In studying our ROC curve at value 14 the sensitivity for a positive CAG for myocardial infarction is 99.9% however a specificity of only 4%. Moreover, The AUC of the ROC curve was found to 0.634 indicating a low diagnostic accuracy (<0.7), although statistically positive (p<0.05) [11]. This directs that troponin is statistically significant as biomarker for myocardial infarction but clinically insignificant.

An alternative diagnosis was looked for in those that had a negative CAG for a myocardial infarction. Cardiac diagnosis was found in 32% of the negative CAG; included valvular diseases, arrhythmogenic cardiomyopathy, myopericarditis, myocarditis, chest trauma and arrhythmias; bigeminy, ventricle tachycardia and supraventricular tachycardia. This indicates that the elevated troponin in these patients was due to a myocardial injury rather than a myocardial infarction. Furthermore, 20% of the negative CAG group had other non-cardiac alternative diagnosis found were bladder cancer, sarcoidosis, H.Pylori infection, gastric ulcer and anemia. In these patients with non-cardiac alternative diagnoses their troponin results ranged from 26 – 126 ng/L supporting our ROC curve troponin cutoff point of 127. In addition,
several published articles support that the prior found alternative cardiac and non-cardiac diagnoses elevated troponin but have no significant cardiac coronary infarction [12-16].

**Conclusion**

Our research concludes several points. Firstly, in patients with low risk of myocardial infarction presenting as NSTEMI an alternative diagnosis should be looked for when hs-troponin level is lower than 127 ng/L. Moreover, although hs-troponin is a good biomarker in determining myocardial infarction in NSTEMI, it holds a low specificity at the current cutoff point of 14 ng/L. Therefore, Hs-troponin cannot be used alone as an indicator of myocardial infarction without other clinical evidences. Lastly, since more than 50% of our patients in the negative CAG group had alternative diagnosis; through history, physical examination, laboratory testing, radiological imaging and non-invasive measures are recommended for patients with hs-troponin levels below the purposed new level.
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