Identification of QTLs for grain yield and other traits in tropical maize under *Striga* infestation
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Abstract

*Striga* is an important biotic factor limiting maize production in sub-Saharan Africa and can cause yield losses as high as 100%. Marker-assisted selection (MAS) approaches hold a great potential for improving *Striga* resistance but requires identification and use of markers associated with *Striga* resistance for adequate genetic gains from selection. However, there is no report on the discovery of quantitative trait loci (QTL) for resistance to *Striga* in maize under artificial field infestation. In the present study, 198 BC$_1$S$_1$ families obtained from a cross involving TZEEI 29 (*Striga* resistant inbred line) and TZEEI 23 (*Striga* susceptible inbred line) plus the two parental lines were screened under artificial *Striga*-infested conditions at two *Striga*-endemic locations in Nigeria in 2018, to identify QTL associated with *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits, including grain yield, ears per plant, *Striga* damage and number of emerged *Striga* plants. Genetic map was constructed using 1,386 DArTseq markers distributed across the 10 maize chromosomes, covering 2076 cM of the total genome with a mean spacing of 0.11 cM between the markers. Using composite interval mapping (CIM), fourteen QTL were identified for key *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits: 3 QTL for grain yield, 4 for ears per plant and 7 for *Striga* damage at 10 weeks after planting (WAP), across environments. Putative candidate genes which encode major transcription factor families WRKY, bHLH, AP2-EREBPs, MYB, and bZIP involved in plant defense signaling were detected for *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits. The QTL detected in the present study would be useful for rapid transfer of *Striga* resistance/tolerance genes into *Striga* susceptible but high yielding maize genotypes using MAS approaches after validation. Further studies on validation of the QTL in different genetic backgrounds and in different environments would help verify their reproducibility and effective use in breeding for *Striga* resistance/tolerance.

Introduction

In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the most widely cultivated cereal crop, playing important food and nutritional roles in the livelihoods of over 300 million households in the sub-region [1–3]. The parasitic purple witchweed *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. is a...
serious threat to food and nutrition security, adversely affecting the production of economically important cereal crops such as maize, pearl millet and sorghum [4, 5]. In the savannas of West and Central Africa (WCA), infestation of cereal production areas by Striga spp is estimated to be 40 million hectares whereas about 70 million ha have moderate levels of infestation [6]. As a result of the significant level of Striga infestation in this sub-region, several maize farmers have deserted their farms due to the complexity of managing Striga-infested fields and the high yield reduction in such fields. Damage caused by Striga parasitism has been reported to be intensified by low soil N and drought, the typical predominant conditions on smallholder farmers’ fields in Striga-prone regions in Africa [7]. The difficulty in controlling the devastating impacts of Striga on the growth and yield of cereals may be attributed to its dual mode of action (i) Striga plants compete effectively with the host for carbon, nitrogen and inorganic solutes, and (ii) the parasite has a ‘phytotoxic’ effect on the host plant within few days of attachment [4, 8]. A diverse array of control measures being employed to mitigate the effects of Striga includes intercropping, crop rotation, use of herbicides, hand pulling, trap and catch crops, high nitrogen fertilization, and planting of Striga resistant varieties [3]. Although no single control option is capable of achieving total control of the parasite, the breeding for genotypes that combine resistance and tolerance is widely recognized as the most economically feasible and sustainable strategy for controlling the parasite [9]. As a result, maize breeders at IITA have embarked upon breeding for host plant resistance in the past three decades as a major control option [10, 11] for sustainable control of Striga parasitism in maize. In Striga research, resistance to Striga refers to the ability of the host plant to stimulate the germination of Striga seeds but prevent the attachment of the parasites to its roots or kill the attached parasites. Under Striga infestation, the resistant genotype supports significantly fewer Striga plants and produces greater yield than a susceptible (converse of resistance) genotype [12–14]. In contrast, tolerance to Striga (converse of sensitivity) refers to the ability of the host plant to support equal levels of Striga infestation as the intolerant or sensitive genotype [9], without associated impairment of growth or grain yield losses [15, 16]. Striga resistance and tolerance are highly complementary defense mechanisms. A combination of these mechanisms is the most promising breeding strategy for reducing Striga infection and reproduction levels in infested fields, while maintaining yield levels and ensuring food security for the resource-poor farmers [9, 10, 13, 17, 18].

To employ host plant resistance/tolerance for effective and sustainable control of Striga hermonthica, several studies have been executed to understand the genetic basis of maize resistance/tolerance to the Striga parasitism [11, 19–23]. However, reports of earlier researchers on the mode of gene action of Striga resistance in maize have been contradictory, taking into consideration Striga resistance/tolerance indicator traits such as grain yield in Striga infested environments, severity of host plant damage and number of emerged Striga plants on the host. Results of some studies have indicated that resistance/tolerance to Striga is polygenically controlled with additive genetic effects more predominant than non-additive effects in regulating the host plant damage severity and grain yield under infestation [11, 19–22]. Contrarily, results of several other studies have revealed the predominance of non-additive gene action in regulating the inheritance of host plant damage, whereas additive gene action was more important in the inheritance of the number of emerged Striga plants [10, 21, 23–25]. The implication is that contrasting genes regulate the number of emerged Striga plants and host plant damage severity [11, 19]. The findings of far-reaching studies executed by IITA researchers have identified host plant damage severity, emerged Striga plants, ears per plant as well as high grain yield in Striga-infested environments as key traits for use in the genetic enhancement of maize for Striga tolerance/resistance [10]. As criteria for tolerance, host plant Striga damage severity was used whereas the number of emerged Striga plants indicated the level of resistance.
Nevertheless, the genotypic correlation between host damage syndrome rating and emerged Striga plants has been reported to be low, indicating that the two traits are under different genetic control [10, 11]. These findings corroborate the hypothesis of Kim [11] and Berner et al [19] who reported that several genes regulate Striga emergence and the severity of host plant damage.

During the past few years, the recent advances in molecular marker technologies have facilitated the construction of high-density genetic linkage maps and detection of novel QTL associated with quantitative traits in segregating populations and the characterization of the map positions in the genome of crop plants [26–28]. In maize, studies on QTL identification for complex traits have focused mainly on abiotic stresses such as drought [29–31] and low soil nitrogen [32, 33] and good progress has been made. Also, significant advances have been made in the identification of QTLs in segregating populations under biotic stresses such as the Maize Lethal Necrosis (MLN), Southern corn rust, and Tar Spot Complex (TSC). For example, Gowda et al [34] used GWAS on two diverse maize panels and mapped 24 SNP markers with major and minor effects linked to QTL for resistance to MLN. Wanlayaporn et al [35] used 157 SSR markers to map 15 QTL for partial resistance to southern corn rust, spread across the chromosomes in a mapping population of 69 tropical sweet corn recombinant inbred lines (RILs). However, very limited breakthroughs have been achieved in the identification of QTLs for Striga resistance/tolerance in maize.

The employment of marker-assisted selection (MAS) could be a rapid and efficient approach in breeding for resistance/tolerance to Striga parasitism. However, the efficiency of MAS is dependent on the identification of closely associated molecular markers or QTLs, which are cost-effective and easy to use. The QTL detected in breeding populations after validation could be useful for crop genetic enhancement through MAS approaches [36, 37]. Thus, identification of QTLs closely linked to Striga resistance/tolerance traits in maize is necessary to promote accelerated and efficient transfer of Striga resistance/tolerance genes into susceptible maize cultivars. The discovery of Striga resistance/tolerance QTL will enhance the understanding of the genetic basis of phenotypic variance and provide new insights for improving maize yields under Striga infestation in breeding programs in SSA. However, limited reports have been published on the identification of QTLs for Striga resistance/tolerance in maize. For example, Amusan [37] identified two putative loci for resistance to Striga on chromosome 6 of maize, using SSR markers and composite interval mapping (CIM) in a late maturing maize F2 mapping population. These two QTLs accounted for 55 per cent of the phenotypic variation (PV) with predominance of dominance genetic effects over additive genetic effects in the expression of the two Striga resistant QTLs. Similarly, Adewale et al [38] identified significant loci on chromosomes 9 and 10 of maize, closely linked to ZmCCD1 and amt5 genes, respectively which could be related to plant defense mechanisms against Striga parasitism. In another study, Badu-Apraku et al. [39] identified twelve QTLs for four Striga resistance/tolerance adaptive traits in an F2 mapping population involving the Striga resistant inbred line TZEEI 79 and the Striga susceptible inbred TZdEEI 11. The identified QTLs were found to be linked to candidate genes which may be associated with plant defense mechanisms in Striga infested environments.

The extra-early (80–85 days to physiological maturity) maturing inbred line TZEEI 29 developed in the IITA-MIP combines Striga hermonthica resistance/tolerance with tolerance to low soil N and drought [40]. The inbred line is also an outstanding tester characterized by significant and positive general combining ability (GCA) effects for grain yield under Striga infestation, low N and drought. Additionally, the inbred possesses negative and significant GCA effects for Striga damage and number of emerged Striga plants under Striga-infested environments. Furthermore, the inbred has negative and significant GCA effects for stay-
green characteristic under drought and low N. Finally, the inbred is the source of several outstanding, *Striga*-resistant commercial open-pollinated varieties and hybrids released in several countries in WCA and is serving as an outstanding inbred tester. Inbred TZEEI 29 was therefore considered a candidate inbred for QTL identification for MAS. The objectives of this study were to (i) identify QTLs associated with *Striga* resistance/tolerance using inbred TZEEI 29 and the extra-early *Striga* susceptible inbred, TZEEI 23, and (ii) identify putative candidate genes underlying the identified QTLs.

### Materials and methods
#### Plant materials
The two extra-early white maize parental inbreds used in the present study varied significantly in their responses under artificial *Striga* infestation. The inbred line TZEEI 29 is *Striga* resistant/tolerant while TZEEI 23 is highly susceptible to *Striga* parasitism. The two parental lines were selected from the results of previous studies conducted under *Striga*-infested environments [40]. The *Striga*-susceptible extra-early maize inbred line—TZEEI 23 (TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub> x 1368 STR S<sub>7</sub> Inb. 80) and *Striga* resistant/tolerant inbred line—TZEEI 29 (TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub> x 1368 STR S<sub>7</sub> Inb. 27) used in the present study were derived from a cross between TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub>, a *Striga* susceptible extra-early variety and the *Striga*-tolerant late maturing IITA inbred line TZi 3 (1368 STR) which were crossed to initiate the development of an extra-early maturing, *Striga* resistant population to serve as a source of *Striga* and drought tolerant inbred lines for hybrid development. The cross (TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub> x 1368 STR) F<sub>1</sub> was backcrossed twice to TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub> to recover extra-earliness. This resulted in the development of the extra-early mapping population, [(TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub> x 1368 STR) x TZEE-W SR BC<sub>5</sub>] which was taken through seven cycles of inbreeding and selection under artificial *Striga* infestation and induced moisture stress to obtain several *Striga* resistant/tolerant and drought tolerant inbred lines including TZEEI 29 and the *Striga* susceptible inbred line TZEEI 23. Thus, a cross between these genetically diverse maize genotypes resulted in the highly *Striga* resistant/tolerant parental line TZEEI 29 and highly susceptible line TZEEI 23. For the development of the BC<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> mapping population, crosses were made between TZEEI 29 and TZEEI 23 designated as P<sub>1</sub> and P<sub>2</sub> respectively, to obtain 1200 F<sub>1</sub> progenies. The F<sub>1</sub> hybrids along with the parental lines were planted and leaf samples were collected at 3 weeks after planting for quality control (i.e. verification of true-to-type F<sub>1</sub> progenies). The F<sub>1</sub> progenies were screened using two SSR primers (phi072 and umc1568) which were found to be polymorphic across the two parents [41]. Based on the results of the quality control, 650 true-to-type F<sub>1</sub> hybrids (S1 Table) were backcrossed to the susceptible inbred, TZEEI 23 to obtain 650 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> genotypes. After eliminating undesirable ears due to ear rot, 260 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> individuals were genotyped and selfed to obtain BC<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> families, for phenotyping in replicated trials at two locations. The 260 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> genotypes were further confirmed as true-to-type by employing the pedigree verification tool in Flapjack software [42], using SNP marker data (S1 Fig, S2 Table). Finally, 198 BC<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> families were selected based on the availability of both genotypic and phenotypic data for the linkage map construction and QTL analysis.

#### Field evaluations
The one hundred and ninety eight BC<sub>1</sub>S<sub>1</sub> families (selfed BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> individual plants) selected for the study were evaluated along with the two parental lines under artificial *S. hermonthica* infestation at Mokwa (9°18’N, 5°4’E, 210m a.s.l, 1100 mm yearly rainfall) and Abuja (9°16’N, 7°20’E, 445m a.s.l, and 1500 mm yearly rainfall) in the Southern Guinea savanna of Nigeria in 2018. The experimental field at Mokwa has a luvisol soil type while that at Abuja has ferric...
A 10 × 20 lattice design with two replications was used for the evaluation of the 200 genotypes at the two locations. Each experimental unit comprised 3 m long single row plots with an inter-row spacing of 0.75 m and within-row spacing of 0.4 m. The fields for artificial Striga infestation at Mokwa and Abuja were treated with ethylene gas at 2 wk before planting to induce suicidal germination of Striga seeds present in the soil. The S. hermonthica seeds used for the trials conducted were collected in the previous year from farmer’s sorghum fields around the test locations, Abuja and Mokwa. The artificial Striga infestation was carried out as proposed by the IITA Maize Program [10]. About a week before inoculation, the Striga seeds were carefully mixed with finely sieved sand at the ratio 1:99 by weight to ensure rapid and uniform infestation. A standard scoop calibrated to deliver about 5000 germinable Striga seeds per hill was used for the artificial infestation. Three maize seeds were placed into the holes infested with the sand-mixed S. hermonthica seeds. The seedlings were later thinned to two plants per stand at two weeks after emergence to obtain a target population density of 66,666 plants/ha. Fertilizer application on the maize plots was delayed till about 30 days after planting, in order to subject the maize plants to stress, a condition that was expected to enhance strigolactone production, thus ensuring enhanced germination of Striga seeds and attachment of Striga plants to the roots of host plants. At this stage of plant growth, 20–30 kg N/ha, 30 kg each of P and K were applied as NPK 15-15-15 depending on the fertility status of the soil. The reduced rate of fertilizer application was important because Striga emergence decreases at high N rate [10]. Data were recorded on the number of emerged Striga plants while host plant damage syndrome rating was recorded on a scale of 1–9 where 1 = normal plant growth, no visible symptoms, and 9 = complete scorching of the leaves, resulting in premature death or collapse of host plant with no ear formation [10]. In addition, the number of ears per plant (EPP) was estimated by dividing the total number of ears harvested per plot by the number of plants per plot. Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) was computed from field weight of ears per plot. A shelling percentage of 80 was adopted and the moisture content was adjusted to 15%. Moisture content at harvest was recorded for representative shelled kernels from each plot using a moisture meter.

**Statistical analysis of phenotypic data**

The data recorded on the number of emerged Striga plants as well as Striga damage severity score were subjected to natural logarithm transformation before analysis of variance (ANOVA). The means of data collected on measured traits per plot were subjected to ANOVA following the Bartlett’s test for homogeneity of variances [44]. The ANOVA was first carried out for each environment. Thereafter, combined ANOVA across environments (locations) was conducted with PROC GLM in SAS using a random statement with the TEST option [45]. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were calculated among the traits, using the adjusted means of the BC₁S₁ families. The replications and blocks within replications were considered as random and the BC₁S₁ families as fixed effects. Broad sense heritability of the traits (h²) across environments was estimated on a family-mean basis as described by Holland *et al.* [46].

**Genotyping**

Young leaves from single plants of the 198 BC₁F₁ individuals as well as bulked leaf samples from each of the two parents were collected, freeze-dried using liquid nitrogen and then used for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted using the DArTseq protocol ([www.diversityarrays.com/files/DArT_DNA_isolation.pdf](http://www.diversityarrays.com/files/DArT_DNA_isolation.pdf)). The quality of the extracted DNA
(concentration and purity) was assessed on agarose gel (2%w/v) and analyzed on the ND-1000 spectrophotometer platform (NanoDrop, Wilmington, DE, USA).

Whole-genome genotyping for the 198 BC, F1 individuals plus the two parents was carried out using DArTseq technology [47, 48]. Genome complexity reduction which involved the use of a combination of two restriction enzymes (PstI-MseI) was used to create a genome representation of the analyzed samples. All fragments generated were amplified and sequenced to identify the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using a proprietary analytical pipeline developed by DArT P/L. After a strict quality control process, which included parameters such as call rate, data reproducibility (~20% of samples replicated), and rate of monomorphism to eliminate mono-morphic markers, a number of 10,660 SNPs were extracted from the evaluated germplasm.

**Construction of genetic linkage map and QTL mapping**

The 10,660 SNPs were filtered for unmapped and duplicate markers, and polymorphic markers were selected. A chi-square goodness-of-fit test was performed to eliminate loci with significant deviation ($P$-value $\leq 0.01$) from the expected Mendelian ratio for a backcross (1:1) [49]. After quality filtering, a total of 1,386 markers distributed across the 10 chromosomes were identified as good SNP markers for genetic map construction.

Genetic linkage (GL) map was constructed, and recombination fractions and logarithm of the odds (LOD) scores were displayed using the R/qtl package (http://www.rqtl.org). The genetic distances were estimated using the "est.map" function with "kosambi" distance from the R/qtl package [50, 51]. SNP markers across the chromosomes were ordered with pairwise linkage analysis using the "est.rf" function. Linkage groups (LGs) were assigned using the genomic positions of SNP markers determined during the SNP calling. Quantitative trait locus mapping was carried out using the R/qtl software package with composite interval mapping (CIM) method [50, 52]. Each QTL interval was tested for significance using the likelihood-ratio (LOD score). The significance threshold of the LOD score ($P = 0.05$) was estimated using 1,000 permutations [53]. The additive (Add) and dominance (Dom) effects and the proportion of phenotypic variation explained (PVE%) by each QTL were estimated using the "fitqtl" function of R version 3.3.4. The sign of the additive effect of each QTL was used to identify the origin of the favorable alleles [54]. For Striga damage, negative additive effect was considered as the source of favourable allele for the resistant/tolerant parent whereas for grain yield and number of ears per plant positive additive effect was considered as the source of favourable alleles for the resistant/tolerant parent. Identified QTLs were named based on conventional method described by Bo et al [55]. For example, qgy3 represented the QTL identified for grain yield on chromosome 3.

** Identification of candidate genes**

In order to identify putative genes for Striga resistance/tolerance, the physical positions of the identified QTLs were mapped against the MaizeGDB database version 4 (RefGen_v4) using the reference genome of the maize B73 inbred line. Candidate genes were mined within the flanking sequences of QTL detected for the Striga resistance/tolerance indicator traits.

**Results**

**Phenotypic evaluation of the BC$_1$S$_1$ mapping population**

Under artificial Striga infestation, the target traits recorded in the BC$_1$S$_1$ population displayed a continuous distribution, varying from highly resistant/tolerant to completely susceptible (Fig 1). In the combined ANOVA, significant mean squares of genotypes and environments were observed for all traits and significant genotype x environment interaction (GEI) mean squares
only for the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 10 WAP (Table 1). Similarly, significant mean squares for the genotypes were observed for all traits assayed under *Striga* infestation at Mokwa and Abuja except for the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 10 WAP at Abuja. Across environments, the broad sense heritability of the traits varied from 8% for number of emerged *Striga* plants at 10 WAP to 48% for *Striga* damage at 10 WAP. Moderate heritability estimates (>41%) were recorded for grain yield, ears per plant and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP across research environments (Table 1). Furthermore, significant positive genotypic correlations were recorded between grain yield and ears per plant ($r_g = 0.84^{**}$) while significant negative genotypic correlations were observed between grain yield and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP ($r_g = -0.96^{**}$) as well as ears per plant and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP ($r_g = -0.77^{**}$) (Table 2). Similarly, significant positive phenotypic correlations were obtained between grain yield and ears per plant ($r_p = 0.70^{**}$) while significant negative correlations were observed between grain yield and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP ($r_p = -0.70^{**}$). Additionally, ears per plant displayed significant negative correlations with *Striga* damage at 10 WAP ($r_p = -0.63^{**}$).

**Construction of genetic linkage map and QTL mapping**

Identification of molecular markers uncovering enough polymorphism among parental lines is crucial for the construction of genetic linkage map. In the present study, the 198 BC$_1$F$_1$ families plus the two parental inbred lines were genotyped using 10,660 DArTseq derived SNP
markers. After quality filtering of the 10,660 SNPs for polymorphic markers, unmapped markers and markers with significant segregation distortions were eliminated, and the resulting 1,386 SNPs were used for the construction of a genetic linkage map (Fig 2, S2 Fig) in the BC1S1 mapping population. The 1,386 SNP markers were mapped to 10 linkage groups covering 2076 cM of the maize genome. The average marker interval was 0.11 cM. The number of markers mapped per linkage group varied from 86 to 183 while the differences in the lengths of the linkage groups ranged from 148.52 cM to 303.84 cM (Table 3).

QTL analysis of the BC1S1 mapping population revealed significant QTLs for *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits (that is grain yield, ears per plant, *Striga* damage) under *Striga* infestation, in each and across test environments. The QTLs were declared significant at the 5% level of probability threshold based on 1,000 permutations. QTLs associated with grain yield, *Striga* damage and number of ears per plant under *Striga* infestation and their chromosomal positions, peak and flanking markers, logarithm of odds (LOD), additive effects and the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by each QTL are summarized in Table 4, while the profiles of the LOD of the QTLs across the two environments are shown in S3 Fig. Fourteen QTLs were identified for the *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits in the BC1S1 mapping population.

Table 1. Mean squares of BC1S1 mapping population evaluated under artificial *Striga* infestation at Abuja, Mokwa and across locations during the 2018 growing season.

| Source           | Df | Yield, kg/ha | Ears per plant | *Striga* damage rating at 10 WAP | Emerging *Striga* plants at 10 WAP |
|------------------|----|--------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                  |    | Abuja        |                |                                  |                                     |
| Block (Rep)      | 38 | 1891936.1**  | 0.05**         | 1.65**                           | 1.46**                              |
| Rep              | 1  | 287496.0     | 0.07           | 1.44                             | 19.38**                             |
| Genotype         | 199| 662677.4**   | 0.04**         | 1.31**                           | 0.60                                |
| Error            | 161| 412841.8     | 0.03           | 0.68                             | 0.57                                |
| Heritability     |    | 0.42         | 0.33           | 0.51                             | 0.08                                |
|                  |    | Mokwa        |                |                                  |                                     |
| Block (Rep)      | 38 | 1807879.7**  | 0.18**         | 3.46**                           | 1.10**                              |
| Rep              | 1  | 6318217.2**  | 0.59**         | 1.21                             | 63.78**                             |
| Genotype         | 199| 414118.7**   | 0.05**         | 1.03**                           | 0.68**                              |
| Error            | 161| 261847.9     | 0.03           | 0.73                             | 0.39                                |
| Heritability     |    | 0.39         | 0.25           | 0.34                             | 0.44                                |
|                  |    | Across       |                |                                  |                                     |
| Env              |    |             |                |                                  |                                     |
| Block (Rep’Env)  | 76 | 176264.8**   | 0.10**         | 2.33**                           | 1.21**                              |
| Rep (Env)        | 2  | 3302856.6**  | 0.33**         | 1.33                             | 41.58**                             |
| Genotype         | 199| 701684.1**   | 0.06**         | 1.56**                           | 0.66**                              |
| Genotype’Env     | 199| 415402.2     | 0.03           | 0.81                             | 0.63**                              |
| Error            | 322| 366577.6     | 0.04           | 0.76                             | 0.49                                |
| Heritability     |    | 0.41         | 0.44           | 0.48                             | 0.08                                |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239205.t001

Table 2. Genotypic (lower diagonal) and phenotypic (upper diagonal) correlation coefficients between agronomic traits evaluated under artificial *Striga* infestation across Mokwa and Abuja, Nigeria in 2018.

|                      | Grain yield | Ears per plant | *Striga* damage rating at 10 weeks after planting | Emerging *Striga* plants at 10 weeks after planting |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Grain yield          | -           | 0.70**         | -0.70**                                          | -0.02                                             |
| Ears per plant       | 0.84**      | -              | -0.63**                                          | 0.05                                              |
| *Striga* damage rating at 10 WAP | -0.96**  | -0.77**        | -                                                 | -0.04                                             |
| Emerging *Striga* plants at 10 WAP | -0.05  | -0.61          | 0.56                                              | -                                                 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239205.t002
mapping population with the phenotypic variance explained (PVE) ranging from 3.0 to 18.5%, across environments.

Three QTLs positioned on chromosomes 3, 7 and 8 were discovered for grain yield across environments, accounting for 9.9 to 18.5% phenotypic variance. The largest effect QTL $q_{gy-3}$ for grain yield displayed a LOD of 7.3 and explained 18.5% phenotypic variation. This QTL was located between markers S3_167753408—S3_168260561 with an interval of 0.51 cM. Similarly, four QTLs with PVE ranging from 3.0 to 10.8% were identified for ears per plant. Of these QTL, the largest effect QTL for ears per plant, $q_{epp-6}$ recorded the highest PVE of 10.8% on chromosome 6 (S6_151829970—S6_152838752) with a LOD value of 3.9 and marker interval of 1.0. Furthermore, the QTL analysis identified a total of seven QTL for Striga damage across environments. The PVE of a single QTL ranged from 7.7 to 16.4%. The QTL $q_{sd-8}$ displayed the largest effect and PVE of 13.8%. It was located on chromosome 8 (S8_166781475—S8_176646370) with a LOD of 6.86. The QTL $q_{sd-3}$ and $q_{sd-10}$ were also identified in the
### Table 3. Summary of the genetic linkage map constructed from BC$_1$S$_1$ population derived from a cross between TZEEI 29 and TZEEI 23 using 1,386 markers.

| Chromosome | Marker number | Linkage group length (cM) | Average marker interval (cM) |
|------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1          | 183           | 303.84                    | 1.67                        |
| 2          | 139           | 243.16                    | 1.76                        |
| 3          | 155           | 234.35                    | 1.52                        |
| 4          | 137           | 245.09                    | 1.8                         |
| 5          | 142           | 221.79                    | 1.57                        |
| 6          | 147           | 165.55                    | 1.13                        |
| 7          | 111           | 179.44                    | 1.63                        |
| 8          | 116           | 176.24                    | 1.53                        |
| 9          | 86            | 157.74                    | 1.86                        |
| 10         | 170           | 148.52                    | 0.88                        |
| Total      | 1386          | 2075.72                   |                              |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239205.t003

### Table 4. Detection of QTL associated with Striga resistance/tolerance in the BC$_1$S$_1$ population derived from TZEEI 29 × TZEEI 23 under artificial Striga infestation.

| Trait                  | Location | QTL | Chr | Position (cM) | Left marker | Right marker | LOD | PVE (%) | Add Dom |
|------------------------|----------|-----|-----|---------------|-------------|--------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Yield                  | Across   | qgy-3 | 3   | 168.1         | S3_167753408 | S3_168265651 | 7.29| 18.5    | 106.30 -76.20 |
|                        |          | qgy-7 | 7   | 63.5          | S7_50192369  | S7_76137062  | 6.01| 9.9     | -31.60 31.70 |
|                        |          | qgy-8 | 8   | 167.9         | S8_167235689 | S8_176646370 | 6.06| 12.4    | 6.10 -5.90 |
|                        | Abuja    | qgy-3 | 3   | 168.1         | S3_167753408 | S3_169437737 | 9.52| 16.7    | 75.10 -74.80 |
|                        |          | qgy-7 | 7   | 63.5          | S7_50192369  | S7_76137062  | 8.45| 12.3    | -20.40 20.60 |
|                        |          | qgy-8 | 8   | 167.9         | S8_167235689 | S8_176646370 | 7.33| 12.9    | 26.50 -26.50 |
| Ears per plant         | Across   | qepp-1| 1   | 152.0         | S1_1502899  | S1_152647928 | 4.11| 5.0     | -14.20 12.52 |
|                        |          | qepp-6| 6   | 152.1         | S6_151829970 | S6_152838752 | 3.92| 10.8    | -14.20 11.30 |
|                        |          | qepp-7| 7   | 120.9         | S7_118687453 | S7_122993327 | 6.33| 7.5     | 0.04 -0.02 |
|                        |          | qepp-8| 8   | 88.3          | S8_86262321 | S8_88276242  | 4.85| 9.4     | 0.02 0.07 |
|                        | Abuja    | qepp-1| 1   | 152.0         | S1_152647928 | S1_152647928 | 4.11| 5.0     | 2.60 1.62 |
|                        |          | qepp-7| 7   | 120.9         | S7_118687453 | S7_122993327 | 6.74| 13.5    | 9.05 -8.10 |
|                        |          | qepp-8| 8   | 88.3          | S8_86262321 | S8_88276242  | 4.85| 9.4     | 0.02 0.07 |
| Ears per plant         | Mokwa    | qepp-7| 7   | 120.9         | S7_118687453 | S7_122993327 | 2.97| 5.6     | -0.01 0.07 |
| Striga damage          | Across   | qsd-1 | 1   | 285.9         | S1_283086643 | S1_286796894 | 5.29| 7.7     | -0.38 0.98 |
|                        |          | qsd-3 | 3   | 144.7         | S3_139083866 | S3_145234512 | 7.51| 15.7    | -0.06 0.06 |
|                        |          | qsd-6 | 6   | 152.1         | S6_151829970 | S6_153165363 | 5.92| 13.6    | 0.03 -0.03 |
|                        |          | qsd-7 | 7   | 130.4         | S7_128557564 | S7_133738830 | 8.33| 16.4    | 0.04 -0.04 |
|                        |          | qsd-8 | 8   | 167.9         | S8_167235689 | S8_176646370 | 6.86| 13.8    | 8.47 -8.04 |
|                        |          | qsd-9 | 9   | 150.6         | S9_150294105 | S9_154490555 | 4.81| 9.9     | -0.03 0.16 |
|                        |          | qsd-10| 10  | 138.5         | S10_13792359 | S10_139667199 | 5.70| 11.5    | -3.59 3.59 |
| Striga damage          | Mokwa    | qsd-3 | 3   | 144.7         | S3_139083866 | S3_145234512 | 2.97| 5.2     | -0.93 0.59 |
|                        |          | qsd-6 | 6   | 152.1         | S6_150865187 | S6_154341415 | 2.68| 3.2     | 0.40 -0.91 |
|                        |          | qsd-10| 10  | 138.5         | S10_137392359 | S10_140375515 | 2.65| 4.6     | -0.27 0.03 |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239205.t004
individual environments under *Striga* infestation. The favourable alleles for QTL *qgy*-3, and *qgy*-8 detected for grain yield, *qsd*-1, *qsd*-3, *qsd*-9, and *qsd*-10 for *Striga* damage, as well as *qepp*-1, *qepp*-7 and *qepp*-8 for EPP identified across environments were contributed by the inbred TZEEI 29 while the favourable allele from QTL *qgy*-7 for grain yield, *qepp*-6 for EPP as well as *qsd*-6, *qsd*-7 and *qsd*-8 for *Striga* damage were contributed by the inbred TZEEI 23 [54] (Table 4). It is striking that no LOD peaks were recorded above the significant thresholds for number of emerged *Striga* plants.

It is interesting that some of the QTLs identified for different traits were in the same region of a chromosome. QTL *qgy*-8 detected for grain yield was co-localized with *qsd*-8 identified for *Striga* damage at 10 WAP. The QTL *qgy*-8 and *qsd*-8 had the same peak positions and were flanked by the same markers S8_167766750—S8_167850168. Additionally, *qepp*-6 and *qsd*-6 identified for grain yield and EPP were co-localized at the same position 152.1cM (Table 4).

**Identification of putative candidate genes**

The genomic regions of the significant SNPs were examined to identify the protein-coding genes within the confidence interval of the identified QTLs using the SNP data obtained from the maize genetic database (http://www.maizegdb.org/). The QTL analysis led to the identification of 154 candidate genes associated with *Striga* resistance/tolerance traits (S3 Table). Of the 154 candidate genes, 30 were found to have functions related to plant defense responses (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Marker-assisted selection is an important tool for precision plant breeding as it allows for indirect selection of a trait of interest based on markers linked to such traits. Identification of genomic regions linked to *Striga* resistance/tolerance in maize would speed up the development of *Striga* resistant germplasm by improving the efficiency of deliberate introgression of novel *Striga* resistant/tolerant genes from diverse germplasm sources into high yielding but *Striga* susceptible genotypes [56]. The *Striga* adaptive traits assayed in the present study showed a normal frequency distribution indicating that the BC$_1$S$_1$ mapping population was a suitable source for QTL mapping. Significant mean squares of the genotypes under each and across environments revealed the presence of substantial genetic variability for resistance/tolerance to *Striga* among the genotypes which could be attributed to the differences in their genetic backgrounds. Differential responses of maize genotypes under *Striga* infestation have been reported by earlier researchers [57, 58]. The significant environmental variations observed for grain yield and other agronomic traits indicated that the research environments were distinct and provided unique information on the individual families of the mapping population. Genotype x environment interaction was not significant for grain yield, ears per plant and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP but was significant for emerged *Striga* plants at 10 WAP. This implied that most individual families performed similarly in the different research environments [3, 33].

Information on heritability is useful for making decisions on the number of years, locations, and replicates required for testing of genotypes and the breeding method that could be employed to improve the traits of interest. It also allows prediction of genetic gain that could be made from selection.

The moderate heritability estimates observed for most traits under each and across environments underscored the consistency in the expression of most traits under the different environmental conditions. The heritability estimates obtained in our study are greater than those reported by Badu-Apraku et al [3] at the most advanced cycle of selection, C$_3$ of the population improved through genomic selection and evaluated under *Striga* infestation. Similarly, the
heritability values obtained for grain yield and number of ears per plant were greater than those reported by Ribeiro et al [33], under low N conditions.

Significant positive genotypic and phenotypic correlations were recorded between ears per plant and grain yield whereas host plant Striga damage displayed significant negative genotypic and phenotypic correlations with grain yield and the number of ears per plant, such that the lower the host plant Striga damage, the higher was the grain yield under Striga infestation. However, the genotypic and phenotypic correlations between Striga damage and the number of emerged Striga plants were not significant, suggesting that the two traits are under different genetic control. Similar findings were reported by Badu-Apraku et al [21].

High-resolution linkage maps are needed for precise identification of QTLs linked with target traits. Genetic distances between markers on such linkage maps rely on chromosome recombination in large populations which is accurately phenotyped [59]. In the present study, a linkage map was constructed corresponding to the 10 chromosomes of the maize genome using 1,386 markers, spanning 2076 cM in length. The length of the linkage map constructed in this study was shorter than those reported by Ramekar et al [26], Wang et al [28], and Ertiro

| Trait                      | QTL   | LG: start-end position | Gene ID                                      | Sequence description                                      |
|----------------------------|-------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Grain yield                | qgy-3 | 3:167753408–168260561  | GRMZM2G085113 te1—terminal ear1            |                                                          |
|                            | qgy-7.1| 7:50192369–76137062    | GRMZM2G050550 myb153—sucrose responsive element binding protein |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G123119 ereb177—AP2-EREBP-transcription factor superfamily protein |                                                          |
| Ears per plant             | qep-7 | 7:118687453–122993327  | GRMZM2G027563                                |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G125653 wrky53—WRKY-transcription factor 53 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G169149 wrky104—WRKY transcription factor 104 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G141219 ereb143—AP2-EREBP-transcription factor 143 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G117244 myb140—MYB-transcription factor 140 |                                                          |
| Striga damage              | qsd-1 | 1:152035554–152647928  | GRMZM2G143204                                |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G028151 ereb184—AP2-EREBP-transcription factor 184 |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-3 | 3: 139083866–145234512 | GRMZM2G080355 myb51—MYB-transcription factor 51 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G041415 myb8—myb transcription factor8 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G146020 bzip8—bZIP-transcription factor 8 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G079290 psk2—phytosulfokine2          |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-7 | 7:128557561–133378830  | GRMZM2G045431                                |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G139535 wrky30—WRKY-transcription factor 30 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G082586 bhlh105—bHLH-transcription factor 105 |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-9 | 9:150294105–154490555  | GRMZM2G113060                                |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | AC149829.2_FG004 bhlh97—bHLH-transcription factor 97 |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-10| 10:137392359–139667199 | GRMZM2G301485                                |                                                          |
| Grain yield Striga damage  | qgy-8 | 8:167235689–176646370  | GRMZM2G030762                                |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-8 | 8:167235689–176646370  | GRMZM2G065971 mgt8—magnesium transporter8    |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G087875 cyp26—putative cytochrome P450 superfamily protein |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G056986 MYB-type transcription factor 79 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G038013 hcf60—high chlorophyll fluorescence60 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G169316 MYB transcription factor       |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G310431 hsp1—heat shock protein        |                                                          |
| Ears per plant, Striga damage | qep-6 | 6:151829970–153165363  | GRMZM2G180328 nactf20—NAC-transcription factor 20 |                                                          |
|                            | qsd-6 | 6:151829970–153165363  | GRMZM2G456568 nactf112—NAC-transcription factor 112 |                                                          |
|                            |       |                        | GRMZM2G002128 mybr3—MYB-related-transcription factor 3 |                                                          |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239205.t005
et al [60] but longer than those reported by Samayoa et al [61], Zhao et al [62] and Badu-Apraku et al [39]. The differences between our findings and those of earlier researchers could be attributed to the number of markers used and the type and size of the mapping populations.

A primary aim of this study was to identify QTLs linked to *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits using the extra-early maturing white mapping population derived from the *Striga* resistant inbred line, TZEEI 29 and the extra-early *Striga* susceptible inbred line, TZEEI 23 under artificial *Striga* infestation. So far, there are limited reports on the identification of QTLs for *Striga* resistance/tolerance in maize. However, several studies have identified QTL for grain yield and other measured traits in maize under drought [29–31], low soil N conditions [32, 33] and MLN infection [34]. Identification of QTLs for *Striga hermonthica* resistance/tolerance followed by validation of closely linked markers would speed up the breeding process and result in significant gains in selecting for *Striga* resistance/tolerance and grain yield. The QTL analysis identified 14 QTL for grain yield, number of ears per plant and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP under artificial *Striga* infested environments. The co-localization of *agy-8* for grain yield and *qsd-8* for *Striga* damage at 10 WAP on chromosome 8 as well as *qepp-6* for ears per plant and *qsd-6* for *Striga* damage at 10 WAP on chromosome 6 supported the strong phenotypic and genotypic correlations between these traits. The mapping of grain yield and *Striga* damage as well as ears per plant and *Striga* damage in the same regions indicated that this regions of the chromosome could be a hotspot for genetic improvement of the *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits and that transfer of this regions into maize genotypes through MAS would fast-track the development of maize varieties with improved grain yield in *Striga*-infested environments. Seven of the fourteen QTLs identified across environments had their marker intervals greater than 4cM from their linked markers, implying that it is necessary to further fine map these chromosomal regions to reduce the marker intervals [33]. The QTL *qsd-3* and *qsd-9* identified in the present study were found to be in the same region with those reported by Adepwale et al [38] on chromosomes 3 and 9, respectively. In contrast, QTL identified in the present study for *Striga* resistance/tolerance traits are different from those earlier reported by Badu-Apraku et al [39] in an extra-early maturing yellow F₂:₃ mapping population. This finding is not surprising because the sources of resistance of the extra-early white inbred lines used for the development of the extra-early white mapping population were the same as those used for the development of the early white inbred lines employed for the GWAS study. Contrarily, the sources of resistance of the extra-early yellow inbred lines used for the development of the extra-early yellow F₂:₃ mapping population were different from those used for the development of the extra-early white mapping population [40].

The use of the QTLs identified for grain yield, ears per plant and *Striga* damage at 10 WAP in MAS would fast-track the development of maize inbreds and hybrids with high grain yield for the *Striga* endemic zones of SSA. No QTL was identified for number of emerged *Striga* plants in the present study. However, the dependence on *Striga* tolerance resulting from the presence of QTL for *Striga* damage without the QTL for number of emerged *Striga* plants would allow the existing *Striga* seeds in the soil to germinate, become attached to the maize plants, emerge from the soil, flower and produce more *Striga* seeds each season. This would lead to increased *Striga* seed bank in the soil resulting in increased *Striga* infestation. In a recent study by Badu-Apraku et al [39] one QTL explaining 3.2% PVE was identified for number of emerged *Striga* plants on chromosome 3, across *Striga*-infested environments. Fortunately, even though no QTL was identified for the number of emerged *Striga* plants in the present study, early maturing maize inbred lines with positive and significant GCA effects for grain yield and significant negative GCA effects for number of emerged *Striga* plants as well as *Striga* damage have been detected in the IITA-MIP and are being used in the development of another mapping population for the identification of QTLs for number of emerged *Striga*
plants. It is anticipated that the identification and use of QTL for number of emerged *Striga* plants in addition to the QTL identified in the present study would lead to increased potential effectiveness of MAS in the transfer of *Striga* resistance/tolerance genes into *Striga* susceptible maize genotypes. This would prevent the increase in the *Striga* seed bank in the soil and thus accelerate the genetic gains from selection for *Striga* resistance/tolerance and grain yield and lead to enhanced maize production and productivity in *Striga* endemic zones of SSA.

The results of the QTL analysis across individual environments revealed *qgy*-3 as the largest effect QTL followed by *qgy*-7 and *qepp*-6. The identified QTL explained a reliable expression of phenotypic variation varying from 3.0 to 18.5%. The QTL *qgy*-3 with the largest effect was identified for *Striga* damage under *Striga* infestation on chromosome 3 with peak at 168.1cM. In the present study, detected QTL showed varying levels of additive and dominance effects for tolerance to *Striga*, indicating the relevance of both modes of gene action in controlling the inheritance of the trait. Positive and/or negative additive effects of QTL identified for grain yield, *Striga* damage and number of ears per plant suggested that favourable alleles from the *Striga* resistant/tolerant inbred, TZEEI 29 and *Striga* susceptible inbred, TZEEI 23 on the different chromosomes could be used to increase grain yield, number of ears per plant under *Striga* infestation as well as improve *Striga* tolerance through reduced *Striga* damage.

QTLs identified in the present study were used for the identification of potential candidate genes (Table 5). The QTL *qsd*-3 detected for *Striga* damage was associated with the gene model GRMZM2G0799290 which encodes the psk2 (phytosulfokine2) gene. The psk2 genes have been reported to be expressed specifically in the quiescent centers of the root apical meristem where it promotes cell growth [63]. The candidate genes GRMZM2G180328 and GRMZM2G456568 associated with ears per plant and *Striga* damage encode the NAC transcription factor proteins. The NAC proteins are key regulators of plant developmental processes which include formation of lateral roots, development of shoot apical meristem and secondary cell wall biosynthesis [64]. Another candidate gene associated with grain yield and *Striga* damage, GRMZM2G087875, encodes the putative cytochrome P450 superfamily protein (cyp26). The cytochrome P450 (CYP) superfamily plays crucial roles in promoting plant growth and development and protecting plants from stresses through several biosynthetic and detoxification pathways which include hormone metabolism and biosynthesis of phytoalexin and some other secondary metabolites [65, 66].

The gene model GRMZM2G065971 linked to the QTL for grain yield and *Striga* damage encodes a magnesium transporter protein, mgt8. Plants acquire magnesium needed for growth and development from the environment and distribute within the plants in the ionic form via Mg$^{2+}$-permeable transporters [67]. The Mg$^{2+}$ transporters mediate Mg$^{2+}$ uptake, translocation, and sequestration into cellular storage compartments [68, 69]. Furthermore, the gene model GRMZM2G085113 (*qgy*-3) associated with grain yield under *Striga* infestation encodes the terminal ear1 protein, te1. The terminal ear1 protein is involved in the regulation of leaf initiation rate and shoot development. It acts predominantly in the early stages of leaf development, rather than in the later stages [70, 71]. Five putative candidate genes linked to grain yield, *Striga* damage and ears per plant were found to be associated with the bHLH-transcription factors. The bHLH-transcription factors have been reported to regulate the jasmonic acid signal pathway and play essential roles in the regulation of plant defense and developmental processes [72–74].

Candidate genes GRMZM2G125653, GRMZM2G169149 and GRMZM2G143204 which encode the WRKY transcription factors were associated with grain yield and *Striga* damage and have been proven to play important roles in plant defense responses to attacks by several pathogens and parasitic weeds [75]. For example, Mutuku et al [76] found the WRKY transcription factor 45 as being essential in modulating resistance against *Striga hermonthica* by
positively regulating both salicylic acid/benzothiadiazole and jasmonic acid pathways. Some of the identified putative candidate genes were found to be associated with AP2-EREBP and bZIP transcription factors. The AP2-EREBP transcription factors characterized by the presence of highly conserved AP2/ERF DNA binding domain have been reported to be mainly involved in jasmonic acid and ethylene signal transduction, while WRKY and bZIP transcription factors are mostly involved in salicylic acid mediated signal transduction [77]. Phytohormones such as salicylic acid, ethylene, jasmonate and abscisic acid initiate effective defense responses by activating defense gene expression in plants [78]. Similarly, Badu-Apraku et al [39] identified the major transcription factor families AP2/ERF, MYB, bHLH, WRKY as well as bZIP involved in plant defense signaling to be associated with QTLs for *Striga* resistance/tolerance.

Further studies on the putative candidate genes identified in the present study could provide more insights into their potential use in *Striga* resistance/tolerance breeding. Validation of QTL is crucial for a successful molecular breeding program. Discovery of QTL has little contribution to crop improvement programs unless validated across environments and in different populations [79, 80]. Therefore, the QTLs expressed across *Striga* infested environments in the present study are mainly candidates for subsequent validation and gene introgression into other maize genotypes to verify their reproducibility in different environments and genetic backgrounds. In subsequent studies, fine mapping of QTLs identified in the present study would be performed to promote isolation of putative *Striga* resistance/tolerance genes by directly examining sequences in the QTL confidence intervals.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, a BC$_1$S$_1$ mapping population derived from the *Striga* resistant/tolerant inbred line, TZEEI 29 and the *Striga* susceptible inbred line TZEEI 23 were used to understand the genetic architecture of *Striga* resistance/tolerance in tropical extra-early maturing maize genotypes. A total of 14 QTLs linked to three *Striga* resistant/tolerant traits across the two environments were detected with the proportion of phenotypic variance explained ranging from 3.0 to 18.5%. Putative candidate genes which encode major transcription factor families WRKY, bHLH, AP2-EREBPs, MYB, and bZIP involved in plant defense signaling were detected for *Striga* resistance/tolerance indicator traits. Extra-early-white mapping populations of different genetic backgrounds are presently being developed at IITA for the validation of identified QTL so that rapid introgression of *Striga* resistance genes into *Striga* susceptible but outstanding maize genotypes using MAS approaches could be a reality in SSA.
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