Pooled safety analysis of tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia
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ABSTRACT

Tisagenlecleucel, an anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy, has demonstrated efficacy in children and young adults with relapsed/refractory B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) in two multicenter phase 2 trials (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02435849 (ELIANA) and NCT02228096 (ENSIGN)), leading to commercialization of tisagenlecleucel for the treatment of patients up to age 25 years with B-ALL that is refractory or in second or greater relapse.

Methods A pooled analysis of 137 patients from these trials (ELIANA: n=79; ENSIGN: n=58) was performed to provide a comprehensive safety profile for tisagenlecleucel.

Results Grade 3/4 tisagenlecleucel-related adverse events (AEs) were reported in 77% of patients. Specific AEs of interest that occurred ≤8 weeks postinfusion included cytokine-release syndrome (CRS; 79% (grade 4: 22%), infections (42%; grade 3/4: 19%), prolonged (not resolved by day 28) cytopenias (40%; grade 3/4: 34%), neurologic events (36%; grade 3: 10%; no grade 4 events), and tumor lysis syndrome (4%; all grade 3). Treatment for CRS included tocilizumab (40%) and corticosteroids (23%). The frequency of neurologic events increased with CRS severity (p<0.001). Median time to resolution of grade 3/4 cytopenias to grade ≤2 was 2.0 (95% CI 1.87 to 2.23) months for neutropenia, 2.4 (95% CI 1.97 to 3.68) months for lymphopenia, 2.0 (95% CI 1.87 to 2.27) months for leukopenia, 1.9 (95% CI 1.74 to 2.10) months for thrombocytopenia, and 1.0 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.87) month for anemia. All patients who achieved complete remission (CR/CR with incomplete hematologic recovery experienced B cell aplasia; however, as nearly all responders also received immunosuppressive agents, few grade 3/4 infections occurred >1 year postinfusion.

Conclusions This pooled analysis provides a detailed safety profile for tisagenlecleucel during the course of clinical trials, and AE management guidance, with a longer follow-up duration compared with previous reports.

BACKGROUND

Tisagenlecleucel, an anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy, is effective for the treatment of children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (B-ALL) and adults with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL).2–5 Clinical efficacy has also been demonstrated for other CAR T cell products for various B cell malignancies.6–17 CD19 CAR T cell products have been associated with clinically significant adverse events (AEs), particularly cytokine-release syndrome (CRS) and neurologic events.18,19 The magnitude and timing of these AEs vary depending on the CAR T cell construct, disease, and patient characteristics.18

A single-center phase 1/2a trial (NCT01626495)20 of tisagenlecleucel in 59 children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B-ALL demonstrated a 1 month complete remission (CR) rate of 93% and long-term disease control without additional therapy. CRS of any grade occurred in 88% of patients. Severe CRS (graded according to the University of Pennsylvania grading scale)21,22 occurred in 27% and was manageable with supportive measures and administration of the anti-interleukin 6 receptor antibody tocilizumab, often in combination with steroids and occasionally other immunosuppressive agents.20 Based on these single-center results, two phase 2 multicenter trials of tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B-ALL were initiated. ENSIGN (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT02228096), conducted in the USA, was
the first multicenter CAR T cell therapy trial and the first to use centrally manufactured cell product. ELIANA (NCT02435849) was the first global CAR T cell therapy trial and also used centrally manufactured cell product. CRS occurred in 81% (grade 3–4: 33%) and 77% (grade 3–4: 48%) of patients in ENSIGN and ELIANA, respectively, with no deaths attributable to CRS in either study. Neurologic events occurred in 33% and 13% of patients, respectively. The objective of this pooled safety analysis of ELIANA and ENSIGN is to provide a comprehensive, long-term evaluation of the tisagenlecleucel safety profile and AE management in a larger group of 137 children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B-ALL, particularly for lower frequency events that may have had limited characterization in earlier analyses.

METHODS

Study design

ELIANA and ENSIGN were phase 2, single-arm, multicenter trials of tisagenlecleucel in children and young adults with relapsed or refractory B-ALL. The trials had similar designs, eligibility criteria, and dosing, permitting pooling of data for characterization of tisagenlecleucel safety.

Eligibility criteria included age ≥3 years at screening and ≤21 years at initial diagnosis, relapsed/refractory B-ALL (second or greater bone marrow relapse, or any bone marrow relapse after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant [HSCT] and ≥6 months from HSCT at time of infusion, or refractory disease defined as not achieving CR after two cycles of standard chemotherapy after initial diagnosis or one cycle of standard chemotherapy after relapse), and ≥5% lymphoblasts in bone marrow at screening. Patients with active central nervous system (CNS) leukemia involvement defined as CNS-3 were excluded from ELIANA. In ENSIGN, patients with active CNS-3 (except for CNS parenchymal/ocular disease, cranial nerve involvement, or significant leptomeningeal disease) were eligible if reduced to CNS-1/2 and there was documented evidence of disease stabilization for ≥3 months preinfusion.

The study designs comprised screening; pretreatment (leukapheresis, tisagenlecleucel product preparation, bridging chemotherapy per physician discretion, and protocol-defined lymphodepleting chemotherapy); tisagenlecleucel infusion; and primary, secondary, and survival follow-up.

Leukapheresis material was collected from patients, cryopreserved within 24 hours, and shipped to the central manufacturing facilities. Tisagenlecleucel was manufactured ex vivo using autologous T cells (described previously). On final product release testing, cryopreserved tisagenlecleucel cells were shipped to clinical sites for infusion. In both studies, the protocol-specified dose range was 0.2×10^6–5.0×10^6 CAR-positive viable T cells/kg for patients with ≤50 kg body weight, and 0.1×10^6–2.5×10^6 CAR-positive viable T cells for patients >50 kg.

Multidisciplinary teams at clinical trial sites completed extensive training and education on leukapheresis, tisagenlecleucel-specific product infusion, patient logistics, and AE management.

Safety assessment

The safety population included all patients enrolled in ELIANA or ENSIGN who received tisagenlecleucel infusion. AEs that started or worsened after informed consent were recorded and assessed throughout the study until initiation of new therapy. After new therapy was initiated, AE collection was focused on the monitoring of delayed AEs per health authority guidance for AEs/serious AEs (ie, severe AEs potentially related to tisagenlecleucel, new incidence or exacerbation of pre-existing neurologic disorders, rheumatologic or other autoimmune disorders, etc). Safety assessment and collection was the same for both trials and is continuing for up to 15 years postinfusion.

AEs were assessed per the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, V.4.03, except for CRS (graded according to the University of Pennsylvania grading scale), and graft-versus-host disease (GVHD; graded using protocol-defined criteria). Serious AEs were defined per protocol (online supplemental file). Certain AEs (CRS, febrile neutropenia, prolonged cytopenias based on hematologic laboratory parameters, infections, non-infectious neurologic events, and tumor lysis syndrome) occurring ≤8 weeks postinfusion were considered to be of interest based on experience from ongoing tisagenlecleucel clinical studies. CRS, neurologic events, and neurologic episodes were defined per protocol (online supplemental file), and CRS was managed according to a protocol-specified algorithm (online supplemental table 1). Neurologic events were managed by the treating physician; the studies did not include a recommendation for corticosteroids. Prolonged cytopenias are defined as grade 3/4 cytopenias that are not resolved to grade ≤2 by day 28 postinfusion. Cytopenias occurring before tisagenlecleucel infusion were considered to be persistent if all reported laboratory results prior to infusion indicated grade 3/4 severity, with the last planned assessment occurring prior to the administration of lymphodepleting chemotherapy.

Statistical analysis

Duration of events was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method with unresolved events censored using the data cut-off date, death date, or discontinuation date, whichever came first. Nominal p values were calculated using χ² tests for post hoc subgroup analyses, without adjusting for multiplicity. Time to resolution of grade 3/4 cytopenias to grade ≤2 were analyzed via the Kaplan-Meier method with unresolved events censored at last assessment, and 95% CIs were calculated using the log–log transformation within PROC LIFETEST (SAS V.9.3). Time from onset of remission to B cell recovery (≥1% CD19-positive cells in viable white cell count or ≥3% among lymphocytes...
in peripheral blood) was analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier method with continuing B cell aplasia censored at last assessment.

RESULTS

Patients

Patient disposition and dosing in ELIANA (data cut-off: April 13, 2018) and ENSIGN (data cut-off: October 6, 2017) are described in table 1; demographics and baseline characteristics are shown in table 2. This analysis included 137 patients who received tisagenlecleucel infusion at a median of 43 days after enrollment and with a median follow-up of 24 months (table 1).

Overall safety

Most patients (77%) experienced grade 3/4 AEs suspected to be related to tisagenlecleucel. The most common grade 3/4 tisagenlecleucel-related AEs were CRS (42%) and febrile neutropenia (28%). A complete listing of common (>20%) AEs suspected to be related to tisagenlecleucel is provided in online supplemental table 2. Certain AEs, such as grade 4 CRS, grade 3/4 neurologic events, and grade 3/4 infections, are of specific interest in this patient population (table 3). These complications were common in the first 8 weeks from infusion: grade 4 CRS developed in 22% of patients, grade 3/4 neurologic events occurred in 10% of patients, and grade 3/4 infections occurred in 19% of patients. There was no convincing evidence that the likelihood of these events depended on patient age, sex, or if the patient had previously undergone allogeneic HSCT (table 4). There were three patients who died due to AEs that were possibly related to tisagenlecleucel (cerebral hemorrhage in the setting of coagulopathy on day 15, and embolic infectious stroke (mucormycosis) on day 25. Both were due to infections possibly related to tisagenlecleucel treatment: systemic candidiasis associated with prolonged pancytopenia on day 62, and HHV-6-positive encephalitis associated with a history of prolonged neutropenia and lymphopenia on day 53. One patient died due to pneumonia on day 506, veno-occlusive disease following HSCT on day 359, other complications from HSCT on day 461, acute respiratory failure on day 125, and unknown reason on day 464. AE, adverse event; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; HHV-6, human herpesvirus 6; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant.

Cytokine-release syndrome

CRS occurred in 108 (79%) patients (table 3), with all but three (3%) of cases occurring within 14 days of infusion. The median time to CRS onset was 3 (range: 1–22) days and to resolution was 8 (range: 1–36) days. The median time to resolution of grade 3/4 CRS was 10 (range: 4–36) days (table 5). CRS recurred in four patients 2–5 days after the resolution of the first episode and at the same grade (grades 2–3) as the first episodes.

Table 1 Disposition and dosing of infused patients

| Disposition | Patients |
|-------------|----------|
| Received tisagenlecleucel infusion, n* | 137 |
| In ELIANA, n | 79 |
| In ENSIGN, n | 58 |
| Median time from enrollment to infusion, days (range) | 43 (24–133) |
| Median follow-up duration from infusion, months (range)† | 24 (0.1–36.5) |
| Median dose, CAR-positive viable T cells (range) | 1.0×10⁸ (0.03×10⁸–2.6×10⁸) |
| In patients >50 kg (n=46), cells (range) | 1.7×10⁸ (0.1×10⁸–2.5×10⁸) |
| In patients ≤50 kg (n=91), cells/kg body weight (range) | 3.3×10⁶ (0.2×10⁶–5.4×10⁶) |
| Death following tisagenlecleucel infusion, n/N (%) | 44/137 (32) |
| ≤30 days postinfusion | 4 (3) |
| Leukemia progression | 2 (1) |
| AE‡ | 2 (1) |
| >30 days postinfusion | 40 (29) |
| Leukemia progression | 33 (24) |
| Other, prior to any further anticancer therapy§ | 2 (1) |
| Other, following treatment with additional anticancer therapy¶ | 5 (4) |

*Screening for ELIANA began April 8, 2015 at 25 sites in 11 countries across four continents.†Screening for ENSIGN began August 14, 2014 at 13 sites in the USA.‡ Due to cerebral hemorrhage possibly related to tisagenlecleucel treatment in the setting of coagulopathy on day 15, and embolic infectious stroke (mucormycosis) on day 25. § Both were due to infections possibly related to tisagenlecleucel treatment: systemic candidiasis associated with prolonged pancytopenia on day 62, and HHV-6-positive encephalitis associated with a history of prolonged neutropenia and lymphopenia on day 53. ¶ Due to pneumonia on day 506, veno-occlusive disease following HSCT on day 359, other complications from HSCT on day 461, acute respiratory failure on day 125, and unknown reason on day 464. AE, adverse event; CAR, chimeric antigen receptor; HHV-6, human herpesvirus 6; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant.
managed using a protocol-specified algorithm (online supplemental table 1). Anti-cytokine therapy, including tocilizumab, was administered in 44 (41%) patients. Of the 45 patients who received tocilizumab, 42 (98%) had grade 3–4 CRS and 1 patient had grade 2 CRS. In the patients who received tocilizumab, the median onset of CRS was 2 (range: 1–22) days, median time to administration of tocilizumab from onset of CRS was 5 (range: 1–19) days, and the median duration of CRS was 11 (range: 5–33) days. There were no deaths attributed to CRS.

Grade 4 CRS occurred in 27% (25/94) of patients who had ≥50% bone marrow blasts at the time of enrollment and in 12% (5/43) of patients with <50% blasts (p=0.081), but these results need to be interpreted cautiously as tumor burden at the time of tisagenlecleucel infusion was not assessed and patients received bridging treatment between enrollment and infusion. Hypotension that required intervention developed in 56% of patients with CRS and high dose vasopressors were used in 31%. Fifty per cent of patients received oxygen supplementation and 17% were intubated. Hypofibrinogenemia was seen in 8% (9/108) of patients with CRS; hypofibrinogenemia occurred more frequently and was most severe in patients with grade 4 CRS (online supplemental table 3).

### Neurologic events

Within 8 weeks after infusion, 50 patients (36%) experienced 55 neurologic episodes, defined as overlapping or successive neurologic events, at a median of 8 (range: 2–53) days from infusion. Common neurologic toxicities during this period are listed in table 3. The median time to resolution was 6 days for episodes of any grade and 10.5 days for grade 3/4 episodes. Most (91% (50/55)) of these episodes occurred in patients who also developed CRS but not necessarily at the same time. Three patients developed neurologic symptoms up to 2 days prior to CRS, 35 patients developed neurologic symptoms during CRS (median time to onset, 7 (range: 2–29) days postinfusion), and 9 patients developed neurologic symptoms after resolution of CRS. The post-CRS symptoms developed at a median of 5 (range: 1–39) days after CRS resolution. The time of onset of CRS and neurologic events in patients who experienced both events are shown in figure 1.

Neurologic events occurring ≤8 weeks postinfusion correlated with CRS severity (p<0.001; online supplemental figure 1 and table 4). These neurologic events occurred in 57% (13/23) of patients with a history of preinfusion neurologic events (eg, central nervous system hemorrhage, cerebrovascular accident, and non-infectious encephalopathy/delirium in medical history) versus 32% (37/114) of patients without such disease history (p=0.051). However, neither a prior diagnosis of CNS leukemia (yes: 37% vs no: 37%) nor prior history of CNS radiotherapy (yes: 31% vs no: 42%) correlated with frequency of neurologic events (although it should be noted that patients with CNS-3 leukemia were excluded). Neurologic events occurring >8 weeks postinfusion were rare (occurring in 4.3% of 116 patients >8 weeks to 1 year postinfusion and in 1.7% of 59 patients >1 year postinfusion). Neurologic events were managed with supportive care and anticonvulsants (online supplemental table 5).

### Prolonged grade 3/4 cytopenias

All patients experienced cytopenias postinfusion. Median time to resolution of grade 3/4 cytopenia to grade ≤2 was 2.0 (95% CI 1.87 to 2.23) months for neutropenia, 2.4 (95% CI 1.97 to 3.68) months for lymphopenia, 2.0 (95%
| Specific AEs of interest, n (%) | All infused patients (n=137) | Any grade | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| CRS* | 108 (79) | 27 (20) | 30 (22) |
| Neurologic events† | 50 (36)‡ | 14 (10)§ | 0 |
| Confusion | 13 (9) | 0 | 0 |
| Encephalopathy | 12 (9) | 6 (4) | 0 |
| Delirium | 11 (8) | 3 (2) | 0 |
| Agitation | 7 (5) | 0 | 0 |
| Tremor | 7 (5) | 0 | 0 |
| Somnolence | 6 (4) | 2 (1) | 0 |
| Hallucination | 5 (4) | 0 | 0 |
| Irritability | 5 (4) | 0 | 0 |
| Seizure | 5 (4) | 2 (1) | 0 |
| Mental status changes | 4 (3) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Cognitive disorder | 3 (2) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Dysarthria | 3 (2) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Lethargy | 3 (2) | 0 | 0 |
| Muscular weakness | 3 (2) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Depressed level of consciousness | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Dysphagia | 2 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 |
| Febrile neutropenia¶ | 46 (34) | 44 (32) | 2 (1) |
| Prolonged cytopenias** | 55 (40) | 21 (15) | 25 (18) |
| Prolonged white cell count decrease | 23 (17) | 7 (5) | 11 (8) |
| Prolonged neutrophil count decrease | 15 (11) | 2 (1) | 11 (8) |
| Prolonged platelet count decrease | 15 (11) | 4 (3) | 8 (6) |
| Prolonged thrombocytopenia | 11 (8) | 3 (2) | 7 (5) |
| Prolonged anemia | 9 (7) | 5 (4) | 0 |
| Prolonged lymphocyte count decrease | 9 (7) | 3 (2) | 3 (2) |
| Prolonged neutropenia | 7 (5) | 2 (1) | 4 (3) |
| Prolonged febrile neutropenia¶ | 4 (3) | 4 (3) | 0 |
| Prolonged lymphopenia | 2 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 |
| Prolonged pancytopenia | 2 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 |
| Infections¶ | 58 (42) | 22 (16) | 4 (3) |
| Viral infectious disorders | 19 (14) | 5 (4) | 1 (1) |
| Rhinovirus infection | 5 (4) | 0 | 0 |
| Oral herpes | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Herpes simplex | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Human herpesvirus 6 infection | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Encephalitis viral | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 1 (1) |
| Gastroenteritis norovirus | 2 (1) | 0 | 0 |
| Bacterial infectious disorders | 24 (18) | 13 (9) | 0 |
| Staphylococcal infection | 7 (5) | 3 (2) | 0 |
| Staphylococcal bacteremia | 3 (2) | 3 (2) | 0 |
| Clostridium difficile infection | 5 (4) | 3 (2) | 0 |
| Clostridium difficile colitis | 4 (3) | 1 (1) | 0 |
| Fungal infectious disorders | 8 (6) | 2 (1) | 1 (1) |

Continued
CI 1.87 to 2.27) months for leukopenia, 1.9 (95% CI 1.74 to 2.10) months for thrombocytopenia, and 1.0 (95% CI 0.95 to 1.87) month for anemia (figure 2). Prolonged thrombocytopenia was more common in patients with thrombocytopenia before infusion compared with those who had normal platelet counts at the time of infusion (11/14 (79%) vs 47/123 (38%); p=0.009). Prolonged neutropenia was also more common in patients who were neutropenic prior to infusion compared with patients who were not (24/31 (77%) vs 57/106 (54%); p=0.03).

B cell aplasia was seen in all patients with CR/CR with incomplete hematologic recovery (CRi) and was managed with immunoglobulin replacement therapy per institutional guidelines. An estimated 66% of evaluable patients in ongoing response at both 12 and 24 months continued to have B cell aplasia (online supplemental

### Table 3  Continued

| Specific AEs of interest, n (%) | All infused patients (n=137) | Any grade | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Candida infection             | 3 (2)                      | 0         | 1 (1)  |
| Oral candidiasis              | 2 (1)                      | 0         | 0      |
| Infections-pathogen unspecified | 29 (21)            | 8 (6)     | 2 (1)  |
| Conjunctivitis                | 5 (4)                      | 0         | 0      |
| Pneumonia                     | 3 (2)                      | 2 (1)     | 0      |
| Oral infection                | 2 (1)                      | 0         | 0      |
| Gastroenteritis               | 2 (1)                      | 1 (1)     | 0      |
| Nail infection                | 2 (1)                      | 0         | 0      |
| Tumor lysis syndrome          | 5 (4)                      | 5 (4)     | 0      |

*Graded according to the University of Pennsylvania grading scale.21 22
†Neurologic events is a group term for events under the standard Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities queries for non-infectious encephalopathy and delirium; headache is not included in the definition. The specific events listed are those that occurred in >1 patient.
‡Twelve grade 1/2 neurologic events in eight patients were unresolved at time of death (n=6) or data cut-off (n=2). Median duration was 23 (range, 1–62) days. Five events were assessed as related to tisagenlecleucel (confusion, encephalopathy, dysarthria, tremor, and agitation). §Two grade 3 neurologic events in two patients were unresolved at time of death: muscular weakness (8 days) and dysarthria (8 days); neither was assessed as related to tisagenlecleucel.
¶Compared with febrile neutropenia reported as an AE, grade 3/4 neutropenia with fever ≥38.3°C occurred in 63% of patients within 8 weeks after infusion.

**Prolonged cytopenias are defined as grade 3/4 cytopenias that are not resolved to grade ≤2 by day 28 postinfusion. The specific events listed are those that occurred in >1 patient.

AE, adverse event; CRS, cytokine-release syndrome.

### Table 4  Specific AEs of interest by patient subgroups

| Subgroup | Patients, n | AEs within 8 weeks after tisagenlecleucel infusion, N (%) |
|----------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|          |             | Grade 4 CRS* | Grade 3/4 neurologic events | Grade 3/4 infections |
| All infused | 137 | 30 (22) | 14 (10) | 26 (19) |
| Age, years |       |            |            |            |
| 3–9       | 51 | 11 (22) | 6 (12) | 11 (22) |
| ≥10–<18   | 63 | 13 (21) | 5 (8) | 8 (13) |
| ≥18       | 23 | 6 (26) | 3 (13) | 7 (30) |
| Sex       |       |            |            |            |
| Male      | 72 | 16 (22) | 5 (7) | 11 (15) |
| Female    | 65 | 14 (22) | 9 (14) | 15 (23) |
| Prior HSCT |     |            |            |            |
| Yes       | 74 | 13 (18) | 5 (7) | 14 (19) |
| No        | 63 | 17 (27) | 9 (14) | 12 (19) |

*Graded according to the University of Pennsylvania grading scale.21 22 Data for patients with grade 4 CRS only are shown to better characterize the most severe events.

AE, adverse event; CRS, cytokine-release syndrome; HSCT, hematopoietic stem cell transplant.
Since immunoglobulin replacement was often initiated prior to observation of hypogammaglobulinemia in patients with B cell aplasia, the rate of hypogammaglobulinemia (57/237 patients (42%)) is lower than that of B cell aplasia.

Table 5  CRS characterization

| Characteristic, median (range) | Patients with CRS (N=108) |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Time to onset, days           | 3 (1–22)                   |
| Time from onset of CRS to grade 4 CRS, days | 4.5 (1–18) |
| Duration, days                | 6 (1–36)                   |
| Any grade CRS                 | 10 (4–36)                  |
| Grade 3/4 CRS                 | 8 (1–36)                   |
| Fever ≥38.6°C, n (%)          | 103 (95)                   |
| Time to onset, days           | 3 (1–22)                   |
| Duration, days                | 6 (1–36)                   |
| ICU admission, n (%)          | 58 (54)                    |
| Time to ICU admission, days   | 6 (1–24)                   |
| Duration of ICU stay, days    | 7.5 (1–66)*                |
| Anticytokine therapy, n (%)† | 44 (41)                    |
| Tocilizumab                   | 43 (40)                    |
| 1 dose                        | 23 (21)                    |
| 2 doses                       | 14 (13)                    |
| 3 doses                       | 6 (6)                      |
| Corticosteroids               | 25 (23)                    |
| Siltuximab                    | 5 (5)                      |
| Other                         | 7 (6)                      |
| Hypotension that required intervention, n (%) | 60 (56) |
| High-dose vasopressors, n (%)‡| 33 (31)                    |
| Oxygen supplementation, n (%)| 54 (50)                    |
| Intubation, n (%)             | 18 (17)                    |
| Duration of intubation, days  | 8 (4–26)                   |
| Dialysis, n (%)§              | 12 (11)                    |
| Duration of dialysis, days    | 13.5 (2–61)                |
| Fibrinogen <1.0 g/L, n (%)    | 9 (8)                      |
| Outcome, n (%)                | 106 (98)†                  |
| Recovered/resolved            | 2 (2)**                    |
| Not recovered/resolved        |                            |

Only the first CRS episode is summarized for each patient. Data are median (range) unless otherwise specified.

*Excluding outliers (ie, one patient with an ICU stay of 66 days), median (range) is 7 (1–34) days.
‡Administered per the protocol-specific CRS management algorithm (online supplemental table 1). 24 of the 25 patients who received corticosteroids also received tocilizumab. All five patients who received siltuximab also received tocilizumab and corticosteroids. Of the seven patients who received ‘other’ anticytokine therapy, all experienced grade 4 CRS and received etanercept in addition to tocilizumab and corticosteroids, two also received siltuximab, and one also received infliximab.
†High-dose vasopressors (defined in the University of Pennsylvania grading scale21–23) included vasopressin, norepinephrine, dopamine, phenylephrine, and epinephrine.
§Patients were dialyzed during CRS to manage fluid overload and/or acute kidney injury with only five patients having grade 3/4 creatinine elevation.
††In one patient, CRS resolved, but the patient died due to HHV-6 encephalitis.
**Both patients died due to leukemia progression with CRS ongoing. 
CRS, cytokine-release syndrome; HHV-6, human herpesvirus-6; ICU, intensive care unit.

Infections
Infections developed in 49/137 (36%) patients ≤4 weeks postinfusion (grade 3/4, 14%) and in 18/126 (14%) patients 4–8 weeks postinfusion (grade 3/4, 8%; table 3). Twenty-eight of 81 (35%) patients with prolonged grade 3/4 neutropenia developed grade 3/4 infections after day 28. Three patients in ELIANA had fatal infections (systemic candidiasis on day 62 in the setting of prolonged grade 3/4 pancytopenia, HHV-6 encephalitis on day 53 in the setting of prolonged grade 3/4 neutropenia and lymphopenia, and lower respiratory tract infection on day 506 following treatment with additional anticancer therapy). Among those with ≥1-year follow-up, 7/59 patients (12%) reported grade 3/4 infections ≥1 year postinfusion in the absence of leukemia relapse, all of which resolved. These include respiratory infections (upper respiratory tract infection, respiratory syncytial viral bronchiolitis, and pneumonia), otitis media, bacterial meningitis (pneumococcal), herpes zoster, sepsis, and Campylobacter and Clostridium difficile infection. All patients were receiving immunoglobulin. The case of bacterial meningitis occurred in a patient on regular immunoglobulin replacement and was caused by a pneumococcal serotype not covered by the 23 polyvalent vaccine.

Other events
Cardiac toxicities primarily developed during the first 8 weeks of the study (43/137, 31%; grade 3/4, 7%) and were rare thereafter (7/116 (6%) from 8 weeks to 1 year post-infusion; none thereafter). The most common cardiac toxicity was tachycardia (26%; online supplemental table 6); cardiac events of interest included arrhythmia,
cardiac dysfunction, valvular dysfunction, and pericardial effusion. Importantly, cardiac events were transient and resolved in all survivors with the exception of one patient who had ongoing left ventricular dysfunction at the time of last contact.

Acute kidney injury developed in 32/137 (23%) patients (grade 3/4, 14%) and was largely transient. Twelve patients required dialysis during CRS (table 5). Reversible grade 3/4 liver function abnormalities including increased levels of aspartate aminotransferase (16%; all had CRS), alanine aminotransferase (14%; all had CRS), and total bilirubin (9%; 11/12 had CRS) were reported.

Among the 74 patients with prior HSCT, GVHD was uncommon following tisagenlecleucel infusion. Two cases (3%) were reported, including a case of grade 1 skin GVHD on day 26, and a case of grade 2 gastrointestinal GVHD on day 73, both of which resolved.

No replication-competent lentivirus was detected in any patient postinfusion. Secondary malignancies were reported in three patients, one with an underlying TP53 germline mutation who later developed glioblastoma multiforme and two with myelodysplastic syndrome (one of which was transient and completely resolved within 3.5 months). No cases of secondary malignancy related to insertional mutagenesis were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

This pooled safety analysis from two phase 2 multicenter studies in patients with relapsed or refractory B-ALL is the longest follow-up of the largest dataset in this patient population and provides a robust investigation of the tolerability and safety profile for tisagenlecleucel occurring during these trials and their management strategies.
Given the evolution in grading of CRS and management of CAR T therapy-related AEs since the time of these studies, these data represent a historical reference point for future research in this patient population and help guide the management of complications for patients receiving treatment outside of clinical trials. In these analyses, we identified risk factors for common AEs following tisagenlecleucel infusion. In particular, patients with high tumor burden at enrollment had higher rates of severe CRS than patients with low tumor burden; patients with severe CRS were more likely to experience neurologic events compared with patients without CRS; and patients with preinfusion thrombocytopenia or neutropenia were more likely to experience prolonged cytopenias postinfusion. It should be noted that the nominal p values provided in this analysis were not adjusted for multiplicity and should be interpreted with caution. Toxicities typically occurred ≤8 weeks postinfusion, decreased thereafter and, with the exception of B cell aplasia, were usually transient. No replication-competent lentivirus was detected in any patients and no cases of secondary malignancy related to insertional mutagenesis were observed. Death ≤30 days postinfusion occurred in 3% of patients, half of which were attributed to leukemia progression.

This study used the University of Pennsylvania (Penn) CRS grading scale.\textsuperscript{21, 22} Other scales, such as the CRS Revised Grading System developed by Lee et al\textsuperscript{23} or the ASTCT CRS Consensus Grading scale\textsuperscript{33} are also in use and a recent analysis of adult patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL who experienced CRS following tisagenlecleucel infusion\textsuperscript{34} demonstrated that the Penn scale graded CRS higher than the Lee or ASTCT scales. Higher tumor burden has been demonstrated as a risk factor for more severe CRS symptoms regardless of the grading scale used.\textsuperscript{35, 36} To safely employ a therapy associated with known severe, but reversible, CRS in multiple centers worldwide, we developed a CRS treatment algorithm (online supplemental table 1) and a specialized site training program, with ultimately no deaths attributable to CRS. Interleukin 6 receptor blockade with tocilizumab along with corticosteroids and appropriate supportive care resulted in rapid improvement of symptoms in most patients, similar to prior trials.\textsuperscript{3, 7, 38}

Many individual AEs are associated with CRS, such as fever, febrile neutropenia, tachycardia, and coagulopathy, and may be under-reported due to collection under the syndrome ‘CRS’ as opposed to being reported separately, a potential limitation of this analysis.

Neurologic events were common, strongly associated with CRS, occurred mostly during CRS or shortly after its resolution, and their frequency and severity increased with higher grade CRS. Although we did not find evidence that a prior history of CNS leukemia was a risk for neurologic events, it should be noted that patients with active CNS leukemia at screening were excluded. Based on prior clinical trial experience with tisagenlecleucel and observations during the current trials, we developed clinical guidelines to support the management of neurotoxicity associated with tisagenlecleucel. We recommend a thorough baseline neurologic evaluation prior to treatment with tisagenlecleucel, particularly in patients with a history of pre-existing CNS disorder or leukemia. Prophylactic anticonvulsants (eg, levetiracetam) may be considered in patients with history of seizure, prior neurotoxicity, or history of focal CNS symptoms or lesions, as these may increase the risk of neurotoxicity\textsuperscript{39} and create a focus for seizure activity. As subclinical seizures can contribute to encephalopathy, we recommend continuous electroencephalogram be considered in patients with depressed level of consciousness. At the time these studies were conducted, corticosteroids were not recommended except in cases of severe CRS refractory to tocilizumab due to the concern for potential cytolytic effects on CAR T cells. Since these studies were completed, corticosteroids, siltuximab, and anakinra have been reported to aid the management of neurologic events associated with other CAR T cell treatments.\textsuperscript{19, 40, 41}

Cardiac events, including arrhythmia, cardiac dysfunction, valvular dysfunction, and pericardial effusion, mostly occurred ≤8 weeks postinfusion (especially during CRS) and resolved in a large proportion of patients; there were no such treatment-related events >8 weeks postinfusion. The mechanisms behind cardiovascular toxicity associated with CAR T cell therapies are not well understood, although they may be a consequence of CRS.\textsuperscript{42, 43} Data from retrospective analyses show that these events occur early and are associated with CRS,\textsuperscript{44} consistent with our results. A recent analysis of patients who survived >1 year following CAR T cell infusion did not describe any cardiovascular toxicities occurring or continuing >90 days after infusion.\textsuperscript{44}

Prolonged cytopenias can occur with chemoimmunotherapies and CAR T cell therapies.\textsuperscript{44–46} Our pooled analyses demonstrated that pre-existing cytopenia is a risk factor for prolonged cytopenias, most infections occurred during the prolonged but ultimately transient neutropenia and lymphopenia that developed in most patients, and that infections were rarely fatal.

B cell aplasia, which serves as a surrogate for CD19-directed CAR T cell function, occurred in all responding patients from antigen-specific, on-target, off-tumor effect on CD19-positive B cells and was prolonged in many cases in this analysis and in the previous analysis from ELIANA.\textsuperscript{4} In this population of children and young adults with B-ALL, immunoglobulin replacement was used in nearly all patients with CR/CRi. As a result, a high rate of hypogammaglobulinemia was not observed. Taken together with the low rate of grade 3/4 infections occurring >1 year postinfusion, these data suggest that the risk of life-threatening infections in this population can be managed with intravenous immunoglobulin replacement and appropriate supportive care therapy. Data from a phase 1/2 clinical trial of CD19 CAR T cells in patients with relapsed or refractory ALL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma, or chronic lymphocytic leukemia also demonstrated that the majority of late infections were mild.\textsuperscript{44}
Of note, evaluation of longer term outcomes related to prolonged B cell aplasia is limited by the current length of follow-up in these studies. Long-term safety events are being monitored for up to 15 years postinfusion, as required by health authorities.

The severity of hypofibrinogenemia occurring in patients with CRS in our analysis correlated with CRS severity, consistent with other studies of CD19-targeted CAR T cell therapy. Clinical guidelines were developed for the use of fibrinogen replacement to treat tsigeneleucel-associated coagulopathy with hypofibrinogenemia.

Our pooled analysis also allowed for the evaluation of rare events such as GVHD, which occurred in only two patients in these studies. Nevertheless, we recommend avoiding leukapheresis for CAR T cell manufacture in patients with active GVHD. Furthermore, the current recommendation is to stop systemic GVHD therapies for ≥14 days prior to leukapheresis, to confirm no grade 2–4 acute or extensive chronic GVHD occurred after leukapheresis, and to ensure that ≥12 weeks had elapsed from HSCT at the time of leukapheresis.

Overall, results of this large pooled safety analysis from two multicenter studies of tsigeneleucel establish a comprehensive safety profile in children and young adults with B-ALL in the context of the clinical trials performed prior to regulatory approvals, including characterization of rare and long-term events. This analysis, the longest follow-up of the largest dataset in this patient population, provides guidance to clinicians who care for these patients. Although the AEs of tsigeneleucel can be severe, they are manageable and do resolve. Site training and adherence to a defined CRS treatment algorithm are of key importance, as are standard supportive measures for infections, coagulopathy, and cytopenias. Long-term follow-up shows that late events are rare. Further understanding of the pathogenesis of CAR T related AEs and data from real-world analyses will guide refinement of treatment or prevention in the future.
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