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The quantum formula of the fluctuation dissipation theorem (FDT) was given by Callen and Welton in 1951 [1] for the case of conductors, and then expanded by Kubo in 1966 [2, 3]. The drawback of these quantum relations concerns with the appearance of a zero-point contribution, $\hbar \omega/2$ with $\hbar$ the reduced Planck constant and $\omega$ the angular frequency of the considered photon, which implies a divergence of the fluctuation spectrum at increasing frequencies. This divergence is responsible for a vacuum-catastrophe, to keep the analogy with the well-known ultraviolet catastrophe of the classical black-body radiation spectrum. As a consequence, the quantum formulation of the FDT as given by Callen-Welton and Kubo introduces a Field Grand Challenge associated with the existence or less of a vacuum-fluctuations catastrophe for the energy-density spectrum. Here we propose a solution to this challenge by taking into account of the Casimir energy that, in turns, is found to be responsible for a quantum correction of the Stefan-Boltzmann law.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The quantum form of the fluctuation dissipation theorem (FDT) was given by Callen and Welton in 1951 [1] for the case of conductors, and then expanded by Kubo in 1957–1966 [2, 3]. The drawback of these quantum relations concerns with the appearance of a zero-point contribution, $\hbar \omega/2$ with $\hbar$ the reduced Planck constant and $\omega = 2\pi f$ the angular frequency of the considered photon, which implies a divergence of the energy-spectrum radiated by a physical system at a given temperature at increasing frequencies. This divergence is responsible for a vacuum-catastrophe, to keep the analogy with the well-known ultraviolet catastrophe of the classical black-body radiation spectrum. As a consequence, the quantum form of the FDT as formulated by Callen-Welton and Kubo (CWK) introduces a Field Grand Challenge associated with the existence or less of a vacuum-fluctuations catastrophe for the energy spectrum. Here we propose a solution to this challenge by taking into account of the Casimir force [4].

In the next section we reformulate the FDT by accounting for the presence of the Casimir energy and the associated Casimir force, a genuine quantum phenomena neglected by CWK. Major conclusions are then drawn in the final section.
2. FORMULATION OF THE FLUCTUATION DISSIPATION THEOREM

The essence of the problem addressed here is to consider $u(f, T)$, i.e., "the energy-spectrum radiated into a single mode of the electromagnetic field by our physical system (i.e., a black-body) coupled to a reservoir at temperature $T$ [5]." Accordingly, following Planck 1912 [6], Callen-Welton 1951 [1], and Kubo 1957–1966 [2, 3], the quantum formulation that includes zero-point contribution is found to be a universal function given by:

$$u(f, T) = K_B T \frac{x}{e^x - 1} + \frac{\hbar \omega}{2} + \frac{\hbar \omega}{2}, \quad (1)$$

where $K_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $x = \hbar \omega / (K_B T)$.

The quantity $u_p(f, T)$ refers to Planck 1901 [7] quantum formulation. Figure 1 reports the different shapes of $u(f, T)/u(0, T)$ as function of $hf/(K_B T)$ according to different models proposed in the literature [8–10]. The total energy, $U$, associated with the spectral density is defined as:

$$U(V, T) = \sum u(f, T) \quad (2)$$

where the sum is extended over all the photon modes, and $V$ is the volume of the physical system. The sum can be carried out in the frequency or in the wavevector space according to convenience.

By inserting $u_p(f, T)$ it is obtained [5]

$$U_p(V, T) = 2.7 N_p K_B T \quad (3)$$

where $N_p = 2.02 \times 10^7 VT^3$ is the mean number of photons inside the volume $V$ of the physical system at the given temperature. We notice that, by analogy with the case of a classical gas, Equation (3) expresses the Stefan-Boltzmann law in terms of the mean number of photon times the average photon-energy. We notice that, the Stefan-Boltzmann law is here associated with the $T^3$ increase of the average photon-number with temperature, a quantum effect associated with the Boson nature of photon statistics and implicitly accounted for by Boltzmann who set to zero the value of the chemical potential in his derivation of the law without any justification [11].

By adding the zero-point contribution, the quantum formulation including zero-point contribution leads to

$$U_{p,CW,K} \rightarrow \infty \quad (4)$$

the so called vacuum catastrophe, determined by the intuitive expectation that, using Callen-Welton words [1]: "...the $\hbar \omega/2$ term gives the familiar infinite zero-point contribution... Therefore, only the Planck-1901 form, $u_p(f, T)$, leads to a finite value of $U(T)$, in agreement with experiments and Stefan-Boltzmann law, as reported in Figure 1. In particular, the separation into two contributions of $u(f, T)$, as given by the last expression in the r.h.s. of Equation (1), is of most physical importance.

Indeed, the first term is the original Planck-contribution that represents a property of the coupling between the thermal reservoir and the physical system. As such, it is a universal function of the temperature and the volume of the system, which takes a finite value at any frequency and is independent of the external shape of the physical system. Furthermore, its spectrum can be directly measured by standard experimental techniques in a wide range of frequencies, typically from far infrared up to ultraviolet frequencies where excellent agreement between theory and experiments is a standard achievement, as reported in Figure 1. This contribution involves the photons inside the volume of the physical system and is the responsible of the thermal agitation at an atomic level of the electrical charge in conductors.

By contrast, the second term, $\hbar \omega/2$, represents a quantum property of the vacuum and, by definition, it involves all the photons outside and inside the physical system. Furthermore, being independent of temperature it does not vanish at $T = 0$. However, as considered by Casimir in 1948 [4], by accounting for the boundary conditions of the physical system its expectation value gives a finite value, $U_C$, that implies an attractive or a repulsive force, $F_C$, acting between opposite surfaces of the physical system. Calculations of $U_C$ are not easy to be performed [12-15], and here we report the results obtained by Casimir [4] for the simple case when opposite surfaces consist of two thin parallel conducting plates in vacuum. The final result gives for the Casimir energy a finite and negative value as

$$U_C = -\frac{\pi A \hbar c}{1440 L^3} \quad (5)$$

with $A$ the area of each metallic plate, $c$ is the light speed in vacuum, and $L$ the distance between the plates.

The negative value of the Casimir energy, $U_C$, implies an attractive force (the Casimir force) between opposite conducting...
plates given by

$$F_C = -\frac{\pi A h c}{(480 L^2)}$$

(6)

To date, these Casimir forces are thought to pertain to a more general family of so-called fluctuation-induced forces that are ubiquitous in nature covering many topics from biophysics to cosmology [16–20]. As a consequence of this force, the physical system becomes mechanically unstable and the opposite conducting plates forming the terminals of the physical system would tend to implode [21, 22] when left free to move. By following standard mechanical arguments, to keep the stability a reaction vincular force $$F_{RV} = -F_C$$, mostly attributed to the rigidity of the physical system (i.e., the black-body box) associated with its elastic properties [23, 24], should be introduced [25]. We remark, that for macroscopic physical systems of centimeter length scale both forces take negligible values (of the order of $$10^{-23} N$$) and the corresponding Casimir energy is of about 14 orders of magnitude less than the Planckian energy at room temperature [25]. By accounting for the Casimir force and the associated vincular reaction, at thermodynamic equilibrium the resultant of both forces is null, thus supporting the conjecture that once mechanical stability is established zero-point energy cannot be extracted, but its macroscopic effects are simply stored in the rigidity (implied by the mechanical stability) of the physical system [25]. Indeed, the omission of the whole zero-point energy in considering black-body radiation spectrum is often encouraged (without justification) for all practical calculations [26, 27]. This omission is here justified by the fact that “quantum agitation of vacuum does not interfere with carrier thermal-agitation in a medium, rather it can be exactly compensated by forcing the stability of the physical system” [5]. As a consequence, we are justified to drop the zero-point contribution in the expression (1) for the energy spectrum and recover the celebrated Planck distribution-law [7]. We conclude [5], that the original Planck 1901 [7] expression for the black-body radiation emission as well as the Nyquist relation for the electrical noise in dissipative conductors which replaces $$K_B T$$ by the Planck distribution (as originally suggested by Nyquist himself [28]) are physically justified for macroscopic physical systems, in full agreement with experimental evidence.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we reconsider the fluctuation dissipation theorem (FDT) in its quantum form as given in the seminal papers by Callen-Welton [1] and Kubo [2, 3] (CWK). We assert that the zero-point energy, that is present in the quantum formulation of CWK, does not contribute to the macroscopic electrical fluctuations but is responsible of the Casimir force [4], a genuine quantum-relativistic effect, that by implying a mechanical instability of the physical system under test should be exactly balanced by a reaction force in order to recover stability-conditions of the physical system. In most cases (e.g., macroscopic systems), the reaction force is negligible and can be absorbed by the elastic properties of the environment in which the physical system is embedded. As a consequence, the claim that CWK generalize Nyquist relation including quantum effects should more properly refer to the equation where zero-point contribution is neglected, as intuitively proposed by Nyquist himself in the very final paragraph of his 1928 paper [28]. Indeed, in the pioneer paper of Callen and Welton the sentence concerning the infinite zero-point contribution should be more properly changed in “…gives the Casimir energy that, when correctly evaluated: (i) its value is finite and depends on the geometry and the material of the physical system under test and, (ii) is responsible of an attractive or repulsive force between opposite plates of the physical system that can be independently detected.” By contrast, Kubo [2, 3] never discussed the problem of the infinite zero-point contribution, and in his work of 1957 simply remarked “…The situation is more complicated for the antisymmetric part of the static conductivity. If one wish to use the correlation function instead of the response function, one has to be careful about a quantum effect which appears as (a quantum time scale) $$\Gamma(t) = 2/(\pi h) \logcoth\pi K_B T|t|/(2h)$$ in Equation (7.15) and which replaces the classical value $$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \Gamma(t) = \delta(t)/(K_B T)$$, which is naturally to be expected.

We stress that the total energy associated with the radiation by the black-body should be written as the sum of two contributions:

$$U = \sum u(f, T) = U_P + U_C$$

(7)

Where $$U_P$$ can be referred to the original Stefan-Boltzmann law and $$U_C$$ to the quantum-relativistic Casimir contribution. In this we differ from CWK who did not evaluate the finite value of $$U_C$$ in the spirit of the Casimir effect. As a consequence, $$U_C$$ can be considered as a quantum correction to the Stefan-Boltzmann law that was originally obtained by using classical thermodynamics and classical electromagnetism only, thus without invoking explicitly any quantum arguments. The fact that for macroscopic systems $$U_C$$ takes negligible values is a reason why till now its contribution was not explicitly detected from fluctuations spectra. However, for microscopic systems (i.e., in the case of atomic scale lengths) and sufficiently low temperatures, its effect should become relevant, as evidenced by experiments on the Casimir effects [12–15]. An experimental analysis of the crossover from the Planck to the Casimir energy remains a mandatory issue to be investigated.

We finally remark that a set of further relevant properties concerning the FDT appeared in the literature after the CWK seminal papers. To this purpose the interested reader is sent to a recent review by the same Authors [5] that provides a historical revisitation of the FDT since the middle of the nineteenth century. Also, it is worth mentioning the importance of the formulation presented here for a current cosmological problem, such as the horizon of black holes [29].
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