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In this paper, the functional Quermassintegral of log-concave functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is discussed. We obtain the integral expression of the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral, which is similar to the integral expression of the $i$th mixed Quermassintegral of convex bodies.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{K}^n$ be the set of convex bodies (compact convex subsets with nonempty interiors) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the fundamental Brunn-Minkowski inequality for convex bodies states that for $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the volume of the bodies and of their Minkowski sum $K + L = \{x + y : x \in K, y \in L\}$ is given by

$$V(K + L)^{1/n} \geq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n},$$

with equality if and only if $K$ and $L$ are homothetic; namely, they agree up to a translation and a dilation. Another geometric quantity related to the convex bodies $K$ and $L$ is the mixed volume. The most important result concerning the mixed volume is Minkowski’s first inequality:

$$V_1(K, L) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{V(K + tL) - V(K)}{t} \geq V(K)^{(n-1)/n} V(L)^{1/n},$$

for $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$. In particular, when choosing $L$ to be a unit ball, up to a factor, $V_1(K, L)$ is exactly the perimeter of $K$, and inequality (2) turns out to be the isoperimetric inequality in the class of convex bodies. The mixed volume $V_1(K, L)$ admits a simple integral representation (see [1, 2]):

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L \, dS_K,$$

where $h_L$ is the support function of $L$ and $S_K$ is the area measure of $K$.

The Quermassintegrals $W_i(K) (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n)$ of $K$, which are defined by letting $W_0(K) = V_n(K)$, the volume of $K$; $W_n(K) = \omega_n$, the volume of the unit ball $B^*_n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and for general $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$,

$$W_{n-i}(K) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \int_{S_{i,n}} \text{vol}_i(K|_{\xi}) \, d\mu(\xi),$$

where $S_{i,n}$ is the Grassmannian manifold of $i$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $d\mu(\xi)$ is the normalized Haar measure on $S_{i,n}$, $K|_{\xi}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $K$ onto the $i$-dimensional subspaces $\xi$, and $\text{vol}_i$ is the $i$-dimensional volume on space $\xi$.

In the 1930s, Aleksandrov and Fenchel and Jessen (see [3, 4]) proved that for a convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a regular Borel measure $S_{n-1-i}(K) (i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$ on $S^{n-1}$, the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$, the following representation holds

$$W_i(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{W_i(K + tL) - W_i(K)}{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h_L(u) dS_{n-1-i}(K, u).$$
The quantity $W_i(K,L)$ is called the $i$th mixed Quermassintegral of $K$ and $L$.

In the 1960s, the Minkowski addition was extended to the $L^p$ ($p \geq 1$) Minkowski sum $h^p_{K+L} = h^p_K + th^p_L$. The extension of the mixed Quermassintegral to the $L^p$ mixed Quermassintegral due to Lutwak [1], the $L^p$ mixed Quermassintegral inequalities, and the $L^p$ Minkowski problem are established. (See [2, 5–13] for more about the $L^p$ Minkowski theory.)

The $L^p$ mixed Quermassintegrals are defined by

$$W_p,i(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W_i(K+\frac{t}{n} \cdot L) - W_i(L)}{t},$$

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. In particular, for $p = 1$ in (6), it is $W_i(K,L)$, and $W_{p,0}(K,L)$ is denoted by $V_p(K,L)$, which is called the $L_p$ mixed volume of $K$ and $L$. Similarly, the $L^p$ mixed Quermassintegral has the following integral representation (see [1]):

$$W_p,i(K,L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^n} h^p_K(u) dS_p(K,u).$$

The measure $S_p,i(K,\cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $S(K,\cdot)$ and has Radon-Nikodym derivative $dS_p,i(K,\cdot)/dS(K,\cdot) = h^p_K(\cdot)^{-1}$. In particular, $p = 1$ in (7) yields the representation (5).

Most recently, the interest in the log-concave functions has been considerably increasing, motivated by the analogy properties between the log-concave functions and the volume convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The classical Prékopa-Leindler inequality (see [14–18]) firstly shows the connections of the volume of convex bodies and log-concave functions. The Blaschke-Santaló inequality for even log-concave functions is established in [19, 20] by Ball (for the general case, see [21–24]). The mean width for log-concave function is introduced by Klartag and Milman and Rotem [25–27]. The affine isoperimetric inequality for log-concave functions is proved by Avidan et al. [28]. The John ellipsoid for log-concave functions has been established by Alonso-Gutiérrez et al. [29]; the LYZ ellipsoid for log-concave functions is established by Fang and Zhou [30]. (See [31–37] for more about the pertinent results.)

Let $f = e^{-w}$, $g = e^{-v}$ be log-concave functions, $\alpha, \beta > 0$, the “sum” and “scalar multiplication” of log-concave functions are defined as

$$\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g = e^{-w} - e^{-v}, \quad w^* = \alpha u^* + \beta v^*,$$

where $w^*$ denotes as usual the Fenchel conjugate of the convex function $w$. The total mass integral $I(f,g)$ of $f$ is defined by $I(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx$. In paper [38] of Colesanti and Fragnà, the quantity $\delta I(f,g)$ is called as the first variation of $f$ at $g$, $\delta I(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0} (I(f \oplus t \cdot g) - I(f))/t$, is discussed. It has been shown that $\delta I(f,g)$ is finite and has the following integral expression:

$$\delta I(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v^* d\mu(f),$$

where $\mu(f)$ is the measure of $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Inspired by the paper [38] of Colesanti and Fragnà, in this paper, we define the $i$th functional Quermassintegrals $W_i(f)$ as the $i$-dimensional average total mass of $f$:

$$W_i(f) = \frac{\omega_{n-i}}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{g_{n-i}} I_{n-i}(f) d\mu(\xi_{n-i}), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1,$$

where $I_{n-i}(f)$ denotes the $i$-dimensional total mass of $f$ defined in Section 4, $g_{n-1}$ is the Grassmannian manifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $d\mu(\xi_{n-i})$ is the normalized measure on $g_{n-i}$. Moreover, we define the first variation of $W_i(f)$ at along $g$, which is

$$W_i(f,g) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W_i(f \oplus t \cdot g) - W_i(f)}{t}.$$

It is a natural extension of the Quermassintegral of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$; we call it the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral. In fact, if one takes $f = h_K$, and dom $(f) = K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, then $W_i(f)$ turns out to be $W_i(K)$, and $W_i(h_K, X_L)$ equals to $W_i(K,L)$. The main result in this paper is to show that the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral has the following integral expressions:

**Theorem 1.** Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$, be integrable functions, $\mu(f)$ be the $i$-dimensional measure of $f$, and $W_i(f,g)$ be the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral of $f$ and $g$. Then,

$$W_i(f,g) = \frac{1}{n-i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{g_{n-i}} d\mu_{n-i}(f), \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1,$$

where $h_{g_{n-i}}$ is the support function of $g|_{g_{n-i}}$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some notations about the log-concave functions. In Section 3, the projection of a log-concave function onto subspace is discussed. In Section 4, we focus on how we can represent the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral $W_i(f,g)$ similar as $W_i(K,L)$. Owing to the Blaschke-Petkantschin formula and the similar definition of the support function of $f$, we obtain the integral representation of the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegral $W_i(f,g)$.

**2. Preliminaries**

Let $u : \Omega \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a convex function; that is, $u((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)u(x) + tu(y)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, where $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : u(x) \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the domain of $u$. By the convexity of $u$, $\Omega$ is a convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $u$ is proper if $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, and $u$ is of class $C^2$ if it is twice differentiable on $\mathrm{int}(\Omega)$, with a positive definite Hessian matrix. In the following, we define the subclass of $u$:
\[ \mathcal{L} = \left\{ u : \Omega \to (-\infty, +\infty) \mid u \text{ is convex, low semicontinuous, } \lim_{t \to -\infty} u(x) = +\infty \right\}. \]

(13)

Recall that the Fenchel conjugate of \( u \) is the convex function defined by

\[ u^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \langle x, y \rangle - u(x) \}. \]

(14)

It is obvious that \( u(x) + u^*(y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle \) for all \( x, y \in \Omega \), and there is an equality if and only if \( x \in \partial \Omega \) and \( y \) is in the subdifferential of \( u \) at \( x \), which means

\[ u^*(\nabla u(x)) + u(x) = \langle x, \nabla u(x) \rangle. \]

(15)

Moreover, if \( u \) is a lower semicontinuous convex function, then also \( u^* \) is a lower semicontinuous convex function, and \( u^{**} = u \).

The infimal convolution of \( u \) and \( v \) from \( \Omega \) to \((-\infty, +\infty] \) is defined by

\[ u \boxdot v(x) = \inf_{y \in \Omega} \{ u(x - y) + v(y) \}. \]

(16)

The right scalar multiplication by a nonnegative real number \( \alpha \) is

\[ (u \alpha)(x) = \begin{cases} au(x) & \text{if } \alpha > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha = 0. \end{cases} \]

(17)

The following proposition below gathers some elementary properties of the Fenchel conjugate and the infimal convolution of \( u \) and \( v \), which can be found in [38, 39].

**Proposition 2.** Let \( u, v : \Omega \to (-\infty, +\infty] \) be convex functions. Then,

\[ (u \boxdot v)^* = u^* + v^* \]

(18)

(1) \((u \alpha)^* = au^*, \alpha > 0\)

(2) \(\text{dom}(u \boxdot v) = \text{dom}(u) + \text{dom}(v)\)

(3) it holds \(u^*(0) = -\inf u\); in particular, if \( u \) is proper, then \(u^*(y) > -\infty; \inf u > -\infty \) implies \( u^* \) is proper

The following proposition about the Fenchel and Legendre conjugates is obtained in [39].

**Proposition 3** (see [39]). Let \( u : \Omega \to (-\infty, +\infty] \) be a closed convex function, and set \( \mathcal{C} = \text{int}(\Omega) \), \( \mathcal{C}^* = \text{int}(\text{dom}(u^*)) \).

Then, \((\mathcal{C}, u)\) is a convex function of Legendre type if and only if \(\mathcal{C}^*, u^*\) is. In this case, \((\mathcal{C}^*, u^*)\) is the Legendre conjugate of \((\mathcal{C}, u)\) (and conversely). Moreover, \(\nu u := \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^*\) is a continuous bijection, and the inverse map of \(\nabla u \) is precisely \(\nabla u^*\).

A function \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty] \) is called log-concave if for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( 0 < t < 1 \), we have \( f((1-t)x + ty) \geq f^{1-t}(x)f^t(y) \). If \( f \) is a strictly positive log-concave function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then there exists a convex function \( u : \Omega \to (-\infty, +\infty] \) such that \( f = e^u \). The log-concave function is closely related to the convex geometry of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). An example of a log-concave function is the characteristic function \( \chi_K \) of a convex body \( K \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), which is defined by

\[ \chi_K(x) = e^{-I_K(x)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin K, \end{cases} \]

(19)

where \( I_K \) is a lower semicontinuous convex function, and the indicator function of \( K \) is

\[ I_K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in K, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin K. \end{cases} \]

(20)

In the later sections, we also use \( f \) to denote \( f \) being extended to \( \mathbb{R}^n \):

\[ \tilde{f} = \begin{cases} f, & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \notin \Omega. \end{cases} \]

(21)

Let \( \mathcal{A} = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^n \to (0, +\infty) : f = e^u, u \in \mathcal{L} \} \) be the subclass of \( f \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The addition and multiplication by nonnegative scalars in \( \mathcal{A} \) are defined by the following (see [38]).

**Definition 4.** Let \( f = e^u \), \( g = e^v \in \mathcal{A} \), and \( \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \). The sum and multiplication of \( f \) and \( g \) are defined as

\[ \alpha \cdot f \odot \beta \cdot g = e^{-(\alpha|u|)(v + |v|\beta)} \]

(22)

That means,

\[ (\alpha \cdot f \odot \beta \cdot g)(x) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ f^\alpha(x/y)g^\beta(y) \}. \]

(23)

In particular, when \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta > 0 \), we have \( (\alpha \cdot f \odot \beta \cdot g)(x) = g(x/\beta)^\beta \); when \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( \beta = 0 \), then \( (\alpha \cdot f \odot \beta \cdot g)(x) = f(x/\alpha)^\alpha \); finally, when \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), we have \( (\alpha \cdot f \odot \beta \cdot g) = I[0] \).

The following lemma is obtained in [38].

**Lemma 5** (see [38]). Let \( u \in \mathcal{L} \), then there exist constants \( a \) and \( b \), with \( a > 0 \), such that, for \( x \in \Omega \),

\[ u(x) \geq a|x| + b. \]

(24)

Moreover, \( u^* \) is proper and satisfies \( u^*(y) > -\infty, \forall y \in \Omega \).

Lemma 5 grants that \( \mathcal{L} \) is closed under the operations of infimal convolution and right scalar multiplication defined in (16) and (17) which are closed.
Lemma 9. Let \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}' \) be two classes of functions, and \( \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \). Then, \( u \in \mathcal{L} \) if and only if \( u_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}' \) for some \( \alpha \). Similarly, \( \mathcal{L}' \) is a proper generalization of \( \mathcal{L} \).

Proposition 6 (see [38]). Let \( u \) and \( \nu \) belong both to the same class \( \mathcal{L} \), and \( \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \). Then, \( u \in \mathcal{L} \) if and only if \( \nu \in \mathcal{L} \).

Proposition 7 (see [30]). Let \( f \in \mathcal{L} \) and \( A \in GL(n) \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then,

\[
h_{f \cdot A}(x) = h_f(A^T x).
\]  

Lemma 8 (see [38]). Let \( f = e^{-u} \), \( g = e^{-u} \) be \( \mathcal{L} \). For \( t > 0 \), set \( u_t = u \in \mathcal{L}(t > 0) \), and \( f_t = e^{-u_t} \). Assume that \( \nu(0) = 0 \), then for every fixed \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \), \( u_t(x) \) and \( f_t(x) \) are, respectively, pointwise decreasing and increasing with respect to \( t \); in particular, it holds

\[
u_t(x) \leq u_t(x) \leq u(x), \quad f_t(x) \leq f(x) \leq f_1(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall t \in [0, 1].
\]

Lemma 9 (see [38]). Let \( u \) and \( v \) belong both to the same class \( \mathcal{L} \) and, for any \( t > 0 \), set \( u_t := u \in \mathcal{L}(t > 0) \). Assume that \( \nu(0) = 0 \), then

(1) \( \forall x \in \Omega, \lim_{t \to 0^-} u_t(x) = u(x) \)

(2) \( \forall E \subset \Omega, \lim_{t \to 0^-} \nabla u_t(x) = \nabla u \) uniformly on \( E \)

Lemma 10 (see [38]). Let \( u \) and \( v \) belong both to the same class \( \mathcal{L} \) and for any \( t > 0 \), let \( u_t := u \in \mathcal{L}(t > 0) \). Then, \( \forall x \in \text{int}(\Omega_t) \), and \( \forall t > 0 \),

\[
\frac{d}{dt} (u_t(x)) = -\psi(\nabla u_t(x)),
\]

where \( \psi = \nu^* \).

3. Projection of Functions onto Linear Subspace

Let \( \mathcal{G}_{i,n}(0 \leq i \leq n) \) be the Grassmannian manifold of \( i \)-dimensional linear subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). The elements of \( \mathcal{G}_{i,n} \) will usually be denoted by \( \xi_i \), and \( \xi_i^\perp \) stands for the orthogonal complement of \( \xi_i \) which is a \( (n-i) \)-dimensional subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{i,n} \) and \( f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \). The projection of \( f \) onto \( \xi_i \) is defined by (see [25, 41])

\[
f\big|_{\xi_i}(x) := \max \{ f(y) : y \in x + \xi_i^\perp \}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega|_{\xi_i},
\]

where \( \xi_i^\perp \) is the orthogonal complement of \( \xi_i \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \Omega|_{\xi_i} \) is the projection of \( \Omega \) onto \( \xi_i \). By the definition of the log-concave function \( f = e^{-u} \), for every \( x \in \Omega|_{\xi_i} \), one can rewrite (29) as

\[
f\big|_{\xi_i}(x) = \exp \left\{ \max \{ -u(y) : y \in x + \xi_i^\perp \} \right\} = e^{-u\xi_i}(x).
\]

Regarding the “sum” and “multiplication” of \( f \), we say that the projection keeps the structure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). In other words, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 11. Let \( f, g \in \mathcal{L} \), \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{i,n} \) and \( \alpha, \beta > 0 \). Then,

\[
(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i} = (\alpha \cdot f)|_{\xi_i} \oplus (\beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i}.
\]

Proof. Let \( f, g \in \mathcal{L} \), let \( x_1, x_2, x \in \xi_i \) such that \( x = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 \), then we have

\[
(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i}(x) \geq (\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2 + \xi_i^\perp) \quad \geq f(x_1 + \xi_i^\perp) \delta^\perp(x_2 + \xi_i^\perp) \beta.
\]

Taking the supremum of the second right-hand inequality over all \( \xi_i^\perp \), we obtain \( (\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i} \geq \alpha \cdot (f)|_{\xi} \oplus (\beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i} \). On the other hand, for \( x \in \xi_i, x_1, x_2 \in \xi_i \) such that \( x_1 + x_2 = x \), then

\[
(\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i}(x) = \sup_{s_1, s_2} \left\{ \max \left\{ f_0 \left( \frac{s_1}{\alpha} + \xi_i^\perp \right) \right\} \delta^\perp \right\} \sup_{s_1, s_2} \left\{ g_0 \left( \frac{s_2}{\beta} + \xi_i^\perp \right) \right\} \geq \sup_{s_1, s_2} \left\{ \max \left\{ f_0 \left( \frac{s_1}{\alpha} + \xi_i^\perp \right) \right\} \sup_{s_1, s_2} \left\{ g_0 \left( \frac{s_2}{\beta} + \xi_i^\perp \right) \right\} \right\} = (\alpha \cdot f \oplus \beta \cdot g)|_{\xi_i}(x).
\]
Since \( f, g \geq 0 \), the inequality max \( \{ f \cdot g \} \leq \max \{ f \cdot \max \{ g \} \) holds. So, we complete the proof.

**Proposition 12.** Let \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{int} \), \( f \) and \( g \) are functions on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), such that \( f(x) \leq g(x) \) holds. Then,

\[
 f|_{\xi_i} \leq g|_{\xi_i} \tag{34}
\]

holds for any \( x \in \xi_i \).

**Proof.** For \( y \in x + \xi_i^+ \), since \( f(y) \leq g(y) \), then \( f(y) \leq \max \{ g(y) : \ y \in x + \xi_i^+ \} \). So, \( \max \{ f(y) : \ y \in \xi_i \} \leq \max \{ g(y) : \ y \in x + \xi_i^+ \} \). By the definition of the projection, we complete the proof.

For the convergence of \( f \), we have the following.

**Proposition 13.** Let \( \{ f_n \} \) be functions such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f \), \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{int} \), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (f_n|_{\xi_i}) = f|_{\xi_i} \).

**Proof.** Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = f \), it means that \( \forall \epsilon > 0 \), there exist \( N_0 \), \( \forall n > N_0 \), such that \( f_0 - \epsilon \leq f_n \leq f_0 + \epsilon \). By the monotonicity of the projection, we have \( f_0|_{\xi_i} - \epsilon \leq f_n|_{\xi_i} \leq f_0|_{\xi_i} + \epsilon \). Hence, each \( \{ f_n|_{\xi_i} \} \) has a convergent subsequence; we denote it also by \( \{ f_n|_{\xi_i} \} \), converging to some \( f'_0|_{\xi_i} \). Then, for \( x \in \xi_i \), we have

\[
 f'_0|_{\xi_i}(x) - \epsilon \leq f'_0|_{\xi_i}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( f_n|_{\xi_i} \right)(x) \leq f'_0|_{\xi_i}(x) + \epsilon. \tag{35}
\]

By the arbitrary of \( \epsilon \), we have \( f'_0|_{\xi_i} = f|_{\xi_i} \), so we complete the proof.

Combining with Proposition 13 and Lemma 9, it is easy to obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 14.** Let \( u \) and \( v \) belong both to the same class \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n \) be the domain of \( u \), for any \( t > 0 \), set \( u_t = u(t|\Omega|) \). Assume that \( v(0) = 0 \) and \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{int} \), then

\[
 (1) \quad \forall x \in \Omega|_{\xi_i}, \lim_{t \to 0^+} u_t|_{\xi_i}(x) = u|_{\xi_i}(x) \tag{36}
\]

\[
 \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega|_{\xi_i}, \lim_{t \to 0^+} \nabla u_t|_{\xi_i} = \nabla u|_{\xi_i} \tag{37}
\]

Now, let us introduce some facts about the functions \( u_t = u(t|\Omega|) \) with respect to the parameter \( t \).

**Lemma 15.** Let \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{int} \), \( u \) and \( v \) belong both to the same class \( \mathcal{L} \), \( u_t = u(t|\Omega|) \), and \( \Omega \) be the domain of \( u_t \) ( \( t > 0 \)). Then, for \( x \in \Omega|_{\xi_i} \),

\[
 u_t|_{\xi_i}(x) = \nabla u|_{\xi_i}(x) dx,
\]

where \( f(t|\Omega|) \) is the projection of \( f \) onto \( \xi_i \), defined by (29) and \( dx \) is the \( i \)-dimensional volume element in \( \xi_i \).
Remark 17.

(1) The definition of $J_i(f)$ follows the i-dimensional volume of the projection a convex body. If $i = 0$, we defined $J_0(f) = \omega_n$, the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for the completeness.

(2) When taking $f = \chi_K$, the characteristic function of a convex body $K$, one has $J_i(f) = V_i(K)$, the i-dimensional volume in $\xi_i$.

Definition 18. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}^t$. Set $\xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{i,n}$ be a linear subspace and for $x \in \Omega_{\xi_i}$, the $i$th functional Quermassintegrals of $f$ (or the i-dimensional mean projection mass of $f$) are defined as

$$W_{i-1}(f) = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_i} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{i,n}} J_i(f) d\mu(\xi_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad (42)$$

where $J_i(f)$ is the $i$th total mass of $f$ defined by (41) and $d\mu(\xi_i)$ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathcal{G}_{i,n}$.

Remark 19.

(1) The definition of $W_i(f)$ follows the definition of the $i$th Quermassintegrals $W_i(K)$, that is, the $i$th mean total mass of $f$ on $\mathcal{G}_{i,n}$. Also, in a recent paper [42], the authors give the same definition of the Quermassintegrals of the support set for the quasiconcave functions.

(2) When $i$ equals to $n$ in (42), we have $W_0(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = J(f)$, the total mass function of $f$ defined by Colesanti and Fragalá [38]. Then, we can say that our definition of $W_i(f)$ is a natural extension of the total mass function of $f$.

(3) From the definition of the Quermassintegrals $W_i(f)$, the following properties are obtained (see also [42]):

- Positivity: $0 \leq W_i(f) \leq +\infty \quad (43)$
- Monotonicity: $W_i(f) \leq W_i(g)$, if $f \leq g$
- Generally speaking, $W_i(f)$ has no homogeneity under dilations. That is, $W_i(\lambda \cdot f(x)) = \lambda^{n-i} W_i(f(x))$, where $\lambda \cdot f(x) = \lambda f(x/\lambda), \lambda > 0$.

Definition 20. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^t$, $\oplus$, and $\cdot$ denote the operations of “sum” and “multiplication” in $\mathcal{A}^t$. $W_i(f)$ and $W_i(g)$ are, respectively, the $i$th Quermassintegrals of $f$ and $g$. Whenever the following limit exists,

$$W_i(f, g) = \frac{1}{(n - i)} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W_i(f \oplus t \cdot g) - W_i(f)}{t} \quad (44)$$

we denote it by $W_i(f, g)$ and call it as the first variation of $W_i$ at $f$ along $g$, or the $i$th functional mixed Quermassintegrals of $f$ and $g$.

Remark 21. Let $f = \chi_K$ and $g = \chi_L$, with $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$. In this case, $W_i(f \oplus t \cdot g) = W_i(K + tL)$, then $W_i(f, g) = W_i(K, L)$. In general, $W_i(f, g)$ has no analog properties of $W_i(K, L)$; for example, $W_i(f, g)$ is not always nonnegative and finite.

The following is devoted to proving that $W_i(f, g)$ exists under the fairly weak hypothesis. First, we prove that the first i-dimensional total mass of $f$ is translation-invariant.

Lemma 22. Let $\xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{i,n}$, $f = e^{-u}$, $g = e^{-v}$ in $\mathcal{A}^t$. Let $c = \inf u(0) = u(0)$, $d = \inf v(0)$, and set $\tilde{u}_i(x) = u(0)$, $\tilde{v}_i(x) = v(0)$, and $\tilde{y}_i(x) = \tilde{u}_i(x) - c$, $\tilde{v}_i(x) = v(0) - d$, $\tilde{y}_i(x) = \tilde{u}_i(x) - c$. Then, in $lim_{t \to 0}$ $(f_i, f_i)$ is the characteristic function of a $\mathcal{A}^t$, we have $\tilde{u}_i(0) = 0$, $\tilde{v}_i(0) = 0$, and $\tilde{y}_i \geq 0, \tilde{v}_i \geq 0, \tilde{y}_i \geq 0$. Further, $\tilde{y}_i(y) = y_i(y) + d$, and $\tilde{y}_i = e^{\tilde{y}_i}$. So,

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{J_i(f_i) - J_i(\tilde{f}_i)}{\tilde{f}_i} = \int_{\xi_i} \frac{\psi_i d\mu(\xi_i)}{\tilde{f}_i} \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, since $f_i \oplus t \cdot \tilde{g}_i = e^{(c+d)t\tilde{x}}(\tilde{f}_i \oplus t \cdot \tilde{g}_i)$, we have, $J_i(f_i \oplus t \cdot g) = e^{(c+d)t\tilde{x}}J_i(f_i \oplus t \cdot \tilde{g}_i)$. By derivation of both sides of the above formula, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{J_i(f_i \oplus t \cdot g) - J_i(f_i)}{t} = -de^{-\lim_{t \to 0} J_i(f_i \oplus t \cdot \tilde{g}_i)} dx + e^{-\tilde{f}_i} \int_{\xi_i} \frac{J_i(f_i) - J_i(\tilde{f}_i)}{\tilde{f}_i} \frac{d\mu(\xi_i)}{t}$$

$$= \int_{\xi_i} \psi_i d\mu(\xi_i). \quad (46)$$

So, we complete the proof.

Theorem 23. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^t$, with $-\infty \leq \inf (\log g) \leq +\infty$ and $W_i(f) > 0$. Then, $W_i(f, g)$ is differentiable at $f$ along $g$, and it holds

$$W_i(f, g) \in [-k, +\infty], \quad (47)$$

where $k = \max \{d, 0\} W_i(f)$. 

Proof. Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{G}_{\xi_0} \), since \( u|_{\xi} = -\log f \) and \( v|_{\xi} = -\log g \). By the definition of \( f' \) and Proposition 11, we obtain \( f|_{\xi} = (f \circ t \cdot g)|_{\xi} = f|_{\xi} \circ t \cdot g|_{\xi} \). Notice that \( v|_{\xi}(0) = v(0) \), set \( d = v(0) \), then \( v|_{\xi}(x) = v|_{\xi}(x) - d \), \( g|_{\xi}(x) = e^{-v|_{\xi}(x)} \), and \( f|_{\xi} = f|_{\xi} \circ t \cdot g|_{\xi} \). Up to a translation of coordinates, we may assume \( v = v(0) \). Lemma 8 says that for every \( x \in \xi \),

\[
f|_{\xi} \leq f|_{\xi} \leq f|_{\xi}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall t \in [0,1]. \tag{48}
\]

Then, there exists \( \tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} f|_{\xi}(x) \). Moreover, it holds \( \tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) \geq f|_{\xi}(x) \) and \( \tilde{f}|_{\xi} \) is pointwise decreasing as \( t \to 0^+ \). Lemma 5 and Proposition 6 show that \( f|_{\xi} \circ t \cdot g|_{\xi} \in \mathcal{G}^f \), \( \forall t \in [0,1] \). Then, \( J_i(f) \leq J_i(f) \leq J_i(f) \), \( -\infty \leq J_i(f) \), \( J_i(f) < \infty \). Hence, by monotonicity and convergence, we have \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} W_i(f|_{\xi}) = W_i(f) \). In fact, by definition, we have

\[
\tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) = e^{-\inf \{ u|_{\xi}(x-y) + tv|_{\xi}(y/t) \} < -\inf \ f|_{\xi}(x-y) - t - \inf \ v|_{\xi} \left( (x-y)/t \right). \tag{49}
\]

Note that \( -\infty \leq \inf \{ v|_{\xi} \} \leq +\infty \), then \( -\inf \ u|_{\xi}(x-y) - t \inf \ v|_{\xi} \( y/t \) \) is a continuous function of variable \( t \), then

\[
\tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) = f|_{\xi}. \tag{50}
\]

Moreover, \( \tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) \) is a continuous function of \( (t \in [0,1]) \); then, \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} W_i(f|_{\xi}) = W_i(f) \). Since \( f|_{\xi}e^{-dt} \tilde{f}|_{\xi}(x) \), we have

\[
\frac{W_i(f) - W_i(f)}{t} = \frac{W_i(f)}{t} e^{-dt} - 1 + e^{-dt} \frac{W_i(f)}{t} \tag{51}
\]

Notice that \( \tilde{f}|_{\xi} \geq f|_{\xi} \), we have the following two cases, that is, \( \exists \tau_0 > 0 : W_i(f|_{\xi}) = W_i(f) \) or \( W_i(f|_{\xi}) = W_i(f) \), \( \forall t > 0 \).

For the first case, since \( W_i(f|_{\xi}) \) is a monotone increasing function of \( t \), it must hold \( W_i(f|_{\xi}) = W_i(f) \) for every \( t \in [0, t_0] \). Hence, we have \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} (W_i(f|_{\xi}) - W_i(f))/t = -dW_i(f) \); the statement of the theorem holds true.

In the latter case, since \( f|_{\xi} \) is an increasing nonnegative function, it means that \( \log (W_i(f|_{\xi})) \) is an increasing concave function of \( t \). Then, \( \exists \log (W_i(f|_{\xi})) - \log (W_i(f)) \in [0, +\infty] \). On the other hand, since

\[
\log W_i(f|_{\xi}) - \log W_i(f) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \log W_i(f|_{\xi}) - \log W_i(f) = \frac{1}{W_i(f)} \tag{52}
\]

Then, \( \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{W_i(f|_{\xi}) - W_i(f)}{t} = W_i(f) > 0 \). \tag{53}

From above, we infer that \( \exists \lim_{t \to 0^+} (W_i(f|_{\xi}) - W_i(f))/t \in [0, +\infty] \). Combining the above formulas, we obtain

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{W_i(f|_{\xi}) - W_i(f)}{t} \in [-\max \{ d, 0 \} W_i(f), +\infty]. \tag{54}
\]

So, we complete the proof.

In view of the example of the mixed QuermassinTEGRAL, it is natural to ask whether, in general, \( W_i(f, g) \) has some kind of integral representation.

Definition 24. Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{G}_{\xi_0} \) and \( f = e^{-u} \in \mathcal{G}^f \). Consider the gradient map \( \nabla u : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \), the Borel measure \( \mu_i(f) \) on \( \xi_i \) is defined by

\[
\mu_i(f) := \frac{\left( \nabla u|_{\xi} \right)}{|\nabla u|^{n-1}} \# \left( f|_{\xi} \right). \tag{55}
\]

Recall that the following Blaschke-Petkantschin formula is useful.

Proposition 25 (see [43]). Let \( \xi_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\xi_0}(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) be linear subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( f \) be a nonnegative bounded Borel function on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_1} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\xi_0}} \int_{\xi} f(x) |x|^{n-1} dx d\mu_i(\xi_i). \tag{56}
\]

Now, we give a proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the definition of the \( i \)th QuermassinTEGRAL of \( f \), we have

\[
\frac{W_i(f)}{t} - \frac{W_i(f)}{t} = \frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{n-i}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\xi_{n-i}}} \int_{\xi_{n-i}} f(x) dx d\mu_i(\xi_{n-i}). \tag{57}
\]

Let \( t > 0 \) be fixed, take \( \mathcal{C} \subset \Omega|_{\xi_{n-i}} \), and by reduction \( 0 \in \text{int}(\Omega)|_{\xi_{n-i}} \), we have \( \mathcal{C} \subset \Omega|_{\xi_{n-i}} \), by Lemma 15, we obtain
\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{I_{\xi_n} (f_{t+h}) (x) - I_{\xi_n} (f_t) (x)}{h} = \int_{\xi_n} \psi (\nabla u_t |_{\xi_n} (x)) f_{t} \bigg|_{\xi_n} (x) dx,
\]

(58)

where \( \psi = h^{-1} |x|^n \). Then, we have

\[
\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{W_i (f_{t+h}) - W_i (f_t)}{h} = \frac{d}{dt} W_i (f_t) \bigg|_{t=0} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} W_i (f_t) \bigg|_{t=s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mu_{n-i} (f_t).
\]

(59)

So, we have \( W_i (f_{t+h}) - W_i (f_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mu_{n-i} (f_t) ds \). The continuity of \( \psi \) implies \( \lim_{s \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mu_{n-i} (f_s) ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mu_{n-i} (f) \). Therefore,

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W_i (f_t) - W_i (f)}{t} = \frac{d}{dt} W_i (f_t) \bigg|_{t=0} = \lim_{s \to 0} \frac{d}{dt} W_i (f_t) \bigg|_{t=s} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi d\mu_{n-i} (f). \]

(60)

Since \( \psi = h^{-1} |x|^n \), we have

\[
W_i (f, g) = \frac{1}{n-i} \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{W_i (f_t) - W_i (f)}{t} = \frac{1}{n-i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h^{-1} |x|^n d\mu_{n-i} (f).
\]

(61)

So, we complete the proof.

Remark 26. From the integral representation (12), the \( i \)th functional mixed Quermassintegral is linear in its second argument, with the sum in \( \mathcal{A}' \), for \( f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}' \), then we have \( W_i (f, g + h) = W_i (f, g) + W_i (f, h) \).
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