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Abstract

Person Re-identification (ReID) is to identify the same person across different cameras. It is a challenging task due to the large variations in person pose, occlusion, background clutter, etc. How to extract powerful features is a fundamental problem in ReID and is still an open problem today. In this paper, we design a Multi-Scale Context-Aware Network (MSCAN) to learn powerful features over full body and body parts, which can well capture the local context knowledge by stacking multi-scale convolutions in each layer. Moreover, instead of using predefined rigid parts, we propose to learn and localize deformable pedestrian parts using Spatial Transformer Networks (STN) with novel spatial constraints. The learned body parts can release some difficulties, e.g., pose variations and background clutters, in part-based representation. Finally, we integrate the representation learning processes of full body and body parts into a unified framework for person ReID through multi-class person identification tasks. Extensive evaluations on current challenging large-scale person ReID datasets, including the image-based Market1501, CUHK03 and sequence-based MARS datasets, show that the proposed method achieves the state-of-the-art results.

1. Introduction

Person re-identification aims to search for the same person across different cameras with a given probe image. It has attracted much attention in recent years due to its importance in many practical applications, such as video surveillance and content-based image retrieval. Despite of years of efforts, it still has many challenges, such as large variations in person pose, illumination, and background clutter. In addition, similar appearance of clothes among different people and imperfect pedestrian detection results further increase its difficulty in real applications.

Most existing methods for ReID focus on developing a powerful representation to handle the variations of viewpoint, body pose, background clutter, etc. \cite{7,10,18,19,22,27,41–43,50,51}, or learning an effective distance metric \cite{2,16,21,22,29,47,57}. Some of existing methods learn both of them jointly \cite{1,20,31,44}. Recently, deep feature learning based methods \cite{5,6,34,35}, which learn a global pedestrian feature and use Euclidean metric to measure two samples, have obtained the state-of-the-art results. With the increasing sample size of ReID dataset, the learning of features from multi-class person identification tasks \cite{30,39,40,52,55}, denoted as ID-discriminative Embedding (IDE) \cite{55}, has shown great potentials on current large-scale person ReID datasets, such as MARS \cite{52} and PRW \cite{55}, where the IDE features are taken from the last hidden layer of Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNN). In this paper, we aim to learn the IDE feature for person ReID using DCNN.

Existing DCNN models for person ReID typically learn a global full-body representation for input person image
(Full body in Figure 1), or learn a part-based representation for predefined rigid parts (Rigid body parts in Figure 1) or learn a feature embedding for both of them. Although these DCNN models have obtained impressive results on existing ReID datasets, there are still two problems. First, for feature learning, current popular DCNN models typically stack single-scale convolution and max pooling layers to generate deep networks. With the increase of the number of layers, these DCNN models could easily miss some small scale visual cues, such as sunglasses and shoes. However, these fine-grained attributes are very useful to distinguish the pedestrian pairs with small inter-class variations. Thus these DCNN models are not the best choice for pedestrian feature learning. Second, due to the pose variations and imperfect pedestrian detectors, the pedestrian image samples may be misaligned. Sometimes they may have some backgrounds or lack some parts, e.g., legs. In these cases, for part-based representation, the predefined rigid grids may fail to capture correct correspondence between two pedestrian images. Thus the rigid predefined grids are far from robust for effective part-based feature learning.

In this paper, we propose to learn the features of full body and body parts jointly. To solve the first problem, we propose a Multi-Scale Context-Aware Network (MSCAN). As shown in Figure 1, for each convolutional layer of the MSCAN, we adopt multiple convolution kernels with different receptive fields to obtain multiple feature maps. Feature maps from different convolution kernels are concatenated as current layer’s output. To decrease the correlations among different convolution kernels, the dilated convolution [45] is used rather than general convolution kernels. Through this way, multi-scale context knowledge is obtained at the same layer. Thus the local visual cues for fine-grained discrimination is enhanced. In addition, through embedding contextual features layer-by-layer (convolution operation across layers), MSCAN can obtain more context-aware representation for input image. To solve the second problem, instead of using rigid body parts, we propose to localize latent pedestrian parts through Spatial Transform Networks (STN) [13], which is originally proposed to learn image transformation. To adapt it to the pedestrian part localization task, we propose three new constraints on the learned transformation parameters. With these constraints, more flexible parts can be localized at the informative regions, so as to reduce the distraction of background contents.

Generally, the features of full body and body parts are complementary to each other. The full-body features pay more attention to the global information while the body-part features care more about the local regions. To better utilize these two types of representations, in this paper, features of full body and body parts are concatenated to form the final pedestrian representation. In test stage, the Euclidean metric is adopted to compute the distance between two L2 normalized person representations for person ReID.

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows: (a) We propose a multi-scale context-aware network to enhance the visual context information for better feature representation of fine-grained visual cues. (b) Instead of using rigid parts, we propose to learn and localize pedestrian parts using spatial transformer networks with novel prior spatial constraints. Experimental results show that fusing the global full-body and local body-part representations greatly improves the performance of person ReID.

2. Related Work

Typical person ReID methods focus on two key points: developing a powerful feature for image representation and learning an effective metric to make the same person be close and different persons far away. Recently, deep learning approaches have achieved the state-of-the-art results for person ReID [34,39,48,52,54]. Here we mainly review the related deep learning methods.

Deep learning approaches for person ReID tend to learn person representation and similarity (distance) metric jointly. Given a pair of person images, previous deep learning approaches learn each person’s features followed by a deep matching function from the convolutional features [1,3,4,20] or the Fully Connected (FC) features [31,37,44]. In addition to the deep metric learning, some work directly learns image representation through pair-wise contrastive loss or triplet ranking loss, and use Euclidean metric for comparison [5,6,34,35].

With the increasing sample size of ReID dataset, the IDE feature which is learned through multi-class person identification tasks, has shown great potentials on current large-scale person ReID datasets. Xiao et al. [39] propose the domain guided dropout to learn features over multiple datasets simultaneously with identity classification loss. Zheng et al. [52] learn the IDE feature for the video-based person reidentification. Xiao et al. [40] and Zheng et al. [55] learn the IDE feature to jointly solve the pedestrian detection and person ReID tasks. Schumann et al. [30] learn the IDE feature for domain adaptive person ReID. The similar phenomenon has also been validated on face recognition [33].

As we know, previous DCNN models usually adopt the layer-by-layer single-scale convolution kernels to learn the context information. Some DCNN models [5,31,44] adopt rigid body parts to learn local pedestrian features. Different from them, we improve the classical models in two ways. Firstly, we propose to enhance the context knowledge through multi-scale convolutions at the same layer. The relationship among different context knowledge are learned by embedding feature maps layer-by-layer (convolution or FC operation). Secondly, instead of using rigid parts, we utilize the spatial transformer networks with proposed prior
constraints to learn and localize latent human parts.

3. Proposed Method

The focus of this approach is to learn powerful feature representations to describe pedestrians. The overall framework of the proposed method is shown in Figure 2. In this section, we introduce our model from four aspects: a multi-scale context-aware network for efficient feature learning (Section 3.1), the latent parts learning and localization for better local part-based feature representation (Section 3.2), the fusion of global full-body and local body-part features for person ReID (Section 3.3), and our final objective function in Section 3.4.

3.1. Multi-scale Context-aware Network

Visual context is an important component to assist visual-related tasks, such as object recognition [24] and object detection [46, 56]. Typical convolutional neural networks model context information through hierarchical convolution and pooling [11, 17]. For person ReID task, the most important visual cues are visual attribute knowledge, such as clothes color and types. However, they have large variations in scale, shape and position, such as the hat/glasses at small local scale and the cloth color at the larger scale. Directly using bottom-to-up single-scale convolution and pooling may not be effective to handle these complex variations. Especially, with the increase number of layers, the small visual regions, such as hat, will be easily missed in top layers. To better learn these diverse visual cues, we propose the Multi-scale Context-Aware Network.

| layer | dilation | kernel | pad  | #filters | output |
|-------|----------|--------|------|----------|--------|
| input | -        | -      | -    | -        | 5×160×64 |
| conv0 | 1        | 5×5    | -    | 32       | 32×160×64 |
| pool0 | -        | 2×2    | -    | -        | 16×80×32 |
| conv1 | 1/2/3    | 3×3    | 2/3  | 32/32/32 | 96×80×32 |
| pool1 | -        | 2×2    | -    | -        | 48×40×16 |
| conv2 | 1/2/3    | 3×3    | 2/3  | 32/32/32 | 96×40×16 |
| pool2 | -        | 2×2    | -    | -        | 24×20×8 |
| conv3 | 1/2/3    | 3×3    | 2/3  | 32/32/32 | 96×20×8 |
| pool3 | -        | 2×2    | -    | -        | 12×10×4 |
| conv4 | 1/2/3    | 3×3    | 2/3  | 32/32/32 | 96×10×4 |
| pool4 | -        | 2×2    | -    | -        | 96×5×2   |

Table 1. Model architecture of MSCAN.

The architecture of the proposed MSCAN is shown in Table 1. It has an initial convolution layer with kernel size 5×5 to capture the low-level visual features. Then we use four multi-scale convolution layers to obtain the complex image context information. In each multi-scale convolution layer, we use a convolution kernel with size 3×3. To obtain multi-scale receptive fields, we adopt dilated convolution [45] for the convolution filters. We use three different dilation ratios, i.e. 1, 2 and 3, to capture different scale context information. The feature maps from different dilation ratios are concatenated along the channel axis to form the final output of the current convolution layer. Thus, the visual context information are enhanced explicitly. To integrate different context information together, the feature maps of current convolution layer are embedded through layer-by-layer convolution or FC operation. As a result, the visual cues at different scales are fused in a latent way. Besides, we adopt Batch Normalization [12] and ReLU neural activation units after each convolution layer.

In this paper, we use the dilated convolutions with dilatation ratios 1, 2 and 3 instead of the classic convolution filters with kernel size 3×3, 5×5 and 7×7. The main reason is that the classic convolution filters with kernel size 3×3, 5×5 and 7×7 overlap with each other at the same output position and produce redundant information. To make it clearer, we show the dilated convolution kernel (size 3×3) with dilation ratio ranging from 1 to 3 in Figure 3. For the same output position which is shown in red circle, the convolution kernel with larger dilatation ratio has larger receptive field, while only the center position is overlapped with other convolution kernels. This can reduce the redundant information among filters with different receptive fields.

In summary, as shown in Figure 2, we use MSCAN to learn the multi-scale context representation for full body and body parts. In addition, it is also used for feature learning in spatial transformer networks mentioned below.

3.2. Latent Part Localization

Pedestrian parts are important in person ReID. Some existing work [5, 10, 22, 44] has explored rigid body parts to develop robust features. However, due to the unsatisfying pedestrian detection algorithms and large pose variations, the method of using rigid body parts for local feature learning is not the optimal solution. As shown in Figure 1, when using rigid body parts, the top part consists of large amount of background. This motivates us to learn and localize the pedestrian parts automatically.

We integrate STN [13] as the part localization net in our proposed model. The original STN is proposed to explicitly learn the image transformation parameters, such as translation and scale. It has two main advantages: (1) it is fully differentiable and can be easily integrated into existing deep learning frameworks, (2) it can learn to translate, scale, crop or warp an interesting region without explicit region annotations. These facts make it very suitable for pedestrian parts localization.

STN includes two components, the spatial localization network to learn the transformation parameters, and the grid generator to sample the input image using an image interpolation kernel. More details about STN can be seen in [13]. In our implementation of STN, the bilinear interpolation kernel is adopted to sample the input image. And four transformation parameters \( \theta = [s_x, t_x, s_y, t_y] \) are used, where \( s_x \) and \( s_y \) are the horizontal and vertical scale transformation parameters, and \( t_x \) and \( t_y \) are the horizontal and vertical
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The first constraint is for the positions of predicted parts.

We expect the predicted parts to be near the prior center

points, so that the learned parts would be complementary to
each other. This is termed as the center constraint, which is
formalized as follows:

\[
L_{cen} = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, (t_x - C_x)^2 + (t_y - C_y)^2 - \alpha\} \tag{2}
\]

where \(C_x\) and \(C_y\) are prior center points for each part. \(\alpha\)
is the threshold to control the translation between estimated
and prior center points. In our experiments, we set the prior
center point \((C_x, C_y)\) to \((0, 0.6), (0, 0)\), and \((0, -0.6)\) for
each part. The threshold \(\alpha\) is set to 0.5.

The second one is the value range constraint on the pre-
dicted scale parameter. We hope the scale to be positive,
so that the predicted parts have a reasonable extent. The value range constraint on the scale parameter is formalized as follows:

\[
L_{pos} = \max\{0, \beta - s_x\} + \max\{0, \beta - s_y\}
\]

where \(\beta\) is threshold parameter and is set to 0.1 in this paper.

The last one is to make the localization network focus on the inner region of an image. It is formalized as follows:

\[
L_{in} = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, ||s_x \pm t_x||^2 - \gamma\} + \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, ||s_y \pm t_y||^2 - \gamma\}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the boundary parameter. \(\gamma\) is set to 1.0 in our paper, which means the cropped parts should be inside the pedestrian image.

Finally the loss for the transformation parameters in the part localization network is described as follows:

\[
L_{loc} = L_{cen} + \xi_1 L_{pos} + \xi_2 L_{in}
\]

where \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) are hyperparameters. The hyperparameters \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) are both set to 1.0 in our experiments.

3.3. Feature Extraction and Fusion

The features of full body and body parts are learned by separate networks and then are fused in a unified framework for multi-class person identification tasks. For the body-based representation, we use MSCAN to extract the global feature maps and then learn a 128-dimension feature embedding (denoted as FC_global). Then, we learn a 256-dimension feature as the final person representation.

For the overall network training, we use the classification and localization loss jointly. The final objective function is as follows:

\[
L = L_{cls} + \lambda L_{loc}
\]

where the \(\lambda\) is the hyperparameter, which is set to 0.1 in our experiments.

4. Experiments

In this paragraph, the datasets and evaluation protocols are introduced in Section 4.1. Implementation details are described in Section 4.2. Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods are discussed in Section 4.3. The effectiveness of proposed model is analyzed in Section 4.4 and Section 4.5. Cross-dataset evaluation is described in Section 4.6.

4.1. Datasets and Protocols

Datasets. In this paper, we evaluate our proposed method on current largest person ReID datasets, including Market1501 [53], CUHK03 [20] and MARS [52]. We do not directly train our model on small datasets, such as VIPeR [9]. It would be easily overfitting and insufficient to learn such a large capacity network on small datasets from scratch. However, we give some results through fine-tuning the model from Market1501 to VIPeR and make cross-dataset ReID on VIPeR for generalization validation. Related experimental results are discussed in Section 4.6.

Market1501: It contains 1,501 identities which are captured by six manually set cameras. There are 32,368 pedestrian images in total. Each person has 3.6 images on average at each viewpoint. It provides two types of images, including cropped and automatically detected pedestrians by the Deformable Part based Model (DPM) [8]. Following [53], 751 identities are used for training and the rest 750 identities are used for testing.

CUHK03: It contains 1,360 identities which are captured by six surveillance cameras in campus. Each identity is captured by two disjoint cameras. Totally it consists of 13,164 person images and each identity has about 4.8 images at each viewpoint. This dataset provides two types of annotations, including manually annotated bounding boxes, and bounding boxes detected using DPM. We validate our proposed model on both types of data. Following [20], we use 1,260 person identities for training and the rest 100 identities for testing. Experiments are conducted 20 times and the mean result is reported.

MARS: It is the largest sequence-based person ReID dataset. It contains 1,261 identities with each identity captured by at least two cameras. It consists of 20,478 tracklets and 1,191,003 bounding boxes. Following [52], we use 625 identities for training and the rest 631 identities for testing.

Protocols. Following original evaluation protocols in each dataset, we adopt three evaluation protocols for fair
comparison with existing methods. The first one is Cumulated Matching Characteristics (CMC) which is adopted on the CUHK03 and MARS datasets. The second one is Rank-1 identification rate on the Market1501 dataset. The third one is mean Average Precision (mAP) on the Market1501 and MARS datasets. mAP considers both precision and recall rate, which could be complementary to CMC.

4.2. Implementation Details

Model: We try to learn the pedestrian representation through multi-class person identification tasks using full body and body parts. To evaluate the effectiveness of full body and body parts independently, we extract two sub-models from the whole network of Figure 2. The first one only uses the full body to learn the person representation with identity classification loss. The second one only uses the parts to learn the person representation with identity classification and body parts localization loss. For person re-identification, we use the L2 normalized person representation and Euclidean metric to measure the distance between two pedestrian samples.

Optimization: Our model is implemented based on Caffe [14]. We use all the available training identities for training and randomly select one sample for each identity for validation. As the dataset can be quite large, in practice we use a stochastic approximation of the objective function. Training data is randomly divided into mini-batches with a batch size of 64. The model performs forward propagation on each mini-batch and computes the loss. Backpropagation is then used to compute the gradients on each mini-batch and the weights are updated with stochastic gradient descent. We start with a base learning rate of \( \eta = 0.01 \) and gradually decrease it after each 1 \( \times 10^4 \) iterations. It should be noted that the learning rate of part localization network is 1\% of that in feature learning network. We use a momentum of \( \mu = 0.9 \) and weight decay \( \lambda = 5 \times 10^{-3} \). For overall network training, we initialize the network using pretrained body-based and part-based model and then follow the same training strategy as described above. We use the model at 5 \( \times 10^4 \) iterations for testing.

Data Preprocessing: For each image, we resize it to 160 \( \times \) 64, subtract the mean value on each channel (B, G and R), and then normalize it with scale 1.0/256 for network training. To prevent overfitting, we randomly reflect each image horizontally in the training stage.

4.3. Comparison with State-of-the-art Methods

Market1501: For the Market1501 dataset, several state-of-the-art methods are compared, including Bag of Words (BOW) [53], Weighted Approximate Rank Component Analysis (WARCA) [15], Discriminative Null Space (DNS) [47], Spatially Constrained Similarity function on Polynomial feature map (SCSP) [2], and deep learning based approaches, such as PersonNet [38], Comparative Attention Network (CAN) [25], Siamese Long Short-Term Memory (S-LSTM) [35], Gated Siamese Convolutional Neural Network (Gate-SCNN) [34]. The experimental results are shown in Table 2.

| Evaluation metrics | Single query | Multiple query |
|--------------------|--------------|---------------|
|                    | R1 mAP       | R1 mAP        |
| BOW [53]           | 34.38 14.1   | 42.64 19.47   |
| BOW + HS [53]      | -            | 47.25 21.88   |
| WARCA [15]         | 45.16 -      | -             |
| PersonNet [35]     | 37.21 26.35  | 61.6 35.3     |
| S-LSTM [35]        | -            | 51.9 26.35    |
| SCSP [5]           | 51.9 26.35   | -             |
| CAN [7]            | 48.24 24.43  | -             |
| DNS [47]           | 55.43 29.47  | 71.56 46.03   |
| Gate-SCNN [34]     | 65.88 39.55  | 76.04 48.45   |
| Out-Part           | 76.25 53.31  | 84.12 62.90   |
| Out-Body           | 75.45 52.41  | 83.43 62.03   |
| Out-Fusion         | 80.31 57.53  | 86.79 66.70   |

Table 2. Experimental results on the Market1501 dataset. - means that no reported results are available.

Compared with existing full body-based convolutional neural networks, such as CAN and Gate-SCNN, the proposed network structure can better capture pedestrian features with multi-class person identification tasks. Our full-body representation improves Rank-1 identification rate by 9.57% on the state-of-the-art results produced by the Gate-CNN in single query. Compared with the full body, our body-part representation increases 0.80%. The main reason is that the pedestrians detected by DPM consists much more background information and the part-based representation can better reduce the influences of background clutter.

The full-body and body-part representations are complementary to each other. The full-body representation cares more about the global information, such as the background and body shape. The body-part representation pays more attention to parts, such as head, upper body and lower body. As shown in Table 2, the fusion model of full body and body parts improves Rank-1 identification rate by more than 4.00% compared with the body and parts-based models separately in single query. The mAP improves about 17.98% compared with the best result produced by Gate-CNN.

CUHK03: For the CUHK03 dataset, we compare our method with many existing approaches, including Filter Pair Neural Networks (FPNN) [20], Improved Deep Learning Architecture (IDLA) [1], Cross-view Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (XQDA) [22], PSD constrained asymmetric metric learning (denoted as MLAPG) [23], Sample-Specific SVM (SS) [49], Single image and Cross image representation (SI-CI) [36], Embedding Deep Metric (EDM) [31], Domain Guided Dropout (DGD) [39], DNS, S-LSTM and Gate-SCNN. On this dataset, we conduct experiments on both the detected and the labeled datasets. As presented in previous work [20], we use the CMC curve in the single shot case to evaluate the performance. The overall results are shown in the Table 3 and Table 4. The full CMC curves are shown in supplementary materials.
Table 3. Experimental results on the CUHK03 detected dataset.

| Dataset | Rank | 1 5 10 20 |
|---------|------|----------|
| FPNN [20] | 19.89 | 50.00 | 64.00 | 78.50 |
| IDLA [1] | 44.96 | 76.01 | 83.47 | 93.15 |
| XQDA [22] | 46.25 | 78.90 | 88.55 | 94.25 |
| MLPAP [23] | 51.15 | 83.55 | 92.05 | 96.90 |
| SS-SVM [39] | 51.20 | 80.80 | 89.60 | 95.50 |
| SI-CI [36] | 52.17 | 84.30 | 92.30 | 95.00 |
| DNN [47] | 54.70 | 84.75 | 94.80 | 95.20 |
| S-LSTM [35] | 57.30 | 80.10 | 88.30 | - |
| Gate-SCNN [34] | 61.80 | 80.90 | 88.30 | - |
| EDM [31] | 52.09 | 82.87 | 91.78 | 97.17 |
| Out-Part | 62.74 | 88.53 | 93.97 | 97.21 |
| Out-Body | 64.95 | 89.82 | 94.58 | 97.56 |
| Our-Fusion | 67.99 | 91.04 | 95.36 | 97.83 |

Table 4. Experimental results on the CUHK03 labeled dataset.

| Dataset | Rank | 1 5 10 20 |
|---------|------|----------|
| FPNN [20] | 20.65 | 51.30 | 66.50 | 80.00 |
| IDLA [1] | 54.74 | 86.50 | 93.88 | 98.10 |
| XQDA [22] | 52.20 | 82.23 | 92.14 | 96.25 |
| MLPAP [23] | 57.96 | 87.09 | 94.74 | 98.00 |
| Ensemble [23] | 60.10 | 89.10 | 94.80 | 98.10 |
| SS-SVM [39] | 57.00 | 85.70 | 94.30 | 97.80 |
| DNN [47] | 62.55 | 90.05 | 94.80 | 98.10 |
| EDM [31] | 61.32 | 88.90 | 96.44 | 99.94 |
| DGD [39] | 72.58 | 91.59 | 95.21 | 97.72 |
| Out-Part | 69.41 | 92.68 | 96.68 | 99.02 |
| Out-Body | 71.88 | 93.66 | 97.46 | 99.18 |
| Our-Fusion | 74.21 | 94.33 | 97.54 | 99.25 |

Table 5. Experimental results on the MARS with single query.

| Dataset | Single query | Evaluation metrics |
|---------|--------------|--------------------|
| Rank | 1 5 10 20 | mAP |
| CNN+XQDA [52] | 68.38 | 84.19 | 91.52 | 51.13 |
| Our-Fusion+XQDA | 69.24 | 85.14 | 92.17 | 53.00 |
| Out-Body+XQDA | 68.23 | 83.99 | 92.17 | 51.82 |
| Our-Fusion+XQDA | 71.77 | 86.57 | 93.08 | 56.05 |

Table 6. Experimental results on the MARS with multiple query.

| Dataset | Multiple query | Evaluation metrics |
|---------|---------------|--------------------|
| Rank | 1 5 10 20 | mAP |
| CNN+XQDA [52] | 68.30 | 82.00 | 89.60 | 49.30 |
| Our-Fusion+KISSME+MQ | 80.51 | 93.18 | 97.22 | 63.50 |
| Out-Body+XQDA+MQ | 83.03 | 93.69 | 97.63 | 66.43 |

To determine the effectiveness of MSCAN, we explore four variants of MSCANs to learn IDE feature based on the whole body image, which is denoted as MSCAN-\(k\), \(k = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\). \(k\) is the number of dilation ratios. For example, MSCAN-3 means for each convolution layer in Conv1-Conv4, there are three convolution kernels with dilation ratio 1, 2, and 3 respectively. With the increase of \(k\), the MSCAN captures larger context information at the same convolution layer.

The experimental results based on these four types of MSCANs on the Market1501 dataset are shown in Table 7. As we can see, with the increase of the number of dilation ratios, the Rank-1 identification rate and mAP improve step by step. However, the Rank-1 identification rate and mAP increase not much when \(K\) increase from 3 to 4. We think there is a suitable number of dilation ratios for feature learning. Considering the model complexity and accuracy improvements in Rank-1 identification rate, we adopt the MSCAN-3 as our final MSCAN model in this paper.

Table 7. Experimental results of four types of MSCAN using body-based representation for ReID on the Market1501 dataset.

| Query type | Single query | Multiple query |
|------------|--------------|---------------|
| Evaluation metrics | Rank-1 | mAP | Rank-1 | mAP |
| MSCAN-1 | 65.38 | 41.85 | 75.23 | 51.14 |
| MSCAN-2 | 72.21 | 49.19 | 82.22 | 59.03 |
| MSCAN-3 | 75.45 | 52.41 | 83.43 | 62.03 |
| MSCAN-4 | 76.25 | 53.14 | 84.09 | 62.95 |

4.4. Effectiveness of MSCAN

To compare with popular variant of MSCANs, we learn IDE feature based on the whole body image, which is denoted as MSCAN-\(k\), \(k = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\). \(k\) is the number of dilation ratios. For example, MSCAN-3 means for each convolution layer in Conv1-Conv4, there are three convolution kernels with dilation ratio 1, 2, and 3 respectively. With the increase of \(k\), the MSCAN captures larger context information at the same convolution layer.

The experimental results based on these four types of MSCANs on the Market1501 dataset are shown in Table 7. As we can see, with the increase of the number of dilation ratios, the Rank-1 identification rate and mAP improve step by step. However, the Rank-1 identification rate and mAP increase not much when \(K\) increase from 3 to 4. We think there is a suitable number of dilation ratios for feature learning. Considering the model complexity and accuracy improvements in Rank-1 identification rate, we adopt the MSCAN-3 as our final MSCAN model in this paper.

4.5. Effectiveness of Latent Part Localization

Learned parts vs. rigid parts To compare with popular rigid pedestrian parts, we divide the pedestrian into three
overlapped regions as predefined rigid parts. We use the rigid body parts instead of the learned latent body parts for part-based feature learning. Experimental results with rigid and learned body parts are shown in Table 8. Compared with rigid body parts, the learned body parts improve Rank-1 identification rate and mAP by 3.27% and 3.73% in single query, and by 1.70% and by 2.67% in multiple query. This validate the effectiveness of learned person parts.

For better understanding the learned pedestrian parts, we visualize the localized latent parts in Figure 4 using our fusion model. For these detected person with large background (the first row in Figure 4), the proposed model can learn foreground information with complementary latent pedestrian parts. As we can see, the learned parts consist of three main components, including upper body, middle body (combination of upper body and lower body), and lower body. Similar results can be achieved when original detection pedestrians contain less background or occlusion (the second row in Figure 4). It is easy to see that, the automatically learned pedestrian parts are not strictly head-shoulder, upper body and lower-body. But it indeed consists of these three parts with large overlap. Compared with rigid parts, the proposed model can automatically localize the appropriate latent parts for feature learning.

Effectiveness of localization loss To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed constraints on the latent part localization network, we conduct additional experiments by adding or deleting proposed $L_{loc}$ in the training stage of body parts network for ReID. Experimental results are shown in Table 9. As we can see, with the additional $L_{loc}$, the Rank-1 accuracy increases by 9.03%. We owe the improvements to the effectiveness of the proposed constraints on the part localization network.

### 4.6. Cross-dataset Evaluation

Similar with typical image classification task with CNN, our approach requires large scale of data, not only more identities, but also more instances for each identity. So we do not train the proposed model on each single small person ReID dataset, such as VIPeR. Instead, we conduct cross-dataset evaluation from the pretrained model on the Market1501, CUHK03 and MARS datasets to the VIPeR dataset. The experimental results are shown in Table 10. Compared with other methods, such as Domain Transfer Rank Support Vector Machines [26] and DML [44], the models trained on large-scale datasets have better generalization ability and have better Rank-1 identification rate.

To take further analysis of the proposed method, we also fine-tune the model from large dataset Market1501 to small dataset VIPeR. Experimental results are shown in Table 11. Our fusion-based model obtains better Rank-1 identification rate than existing deep models, e.g. IDLA [1] (34.8%), Gate-SCNN [34] (37.8%), SI-CI [36] (35.8%), and achieves comparable results with DGD [39] (38.6%).

### 5. Conclusion

In this work, we have studied the problem of person ReID in three levels: 1) a multi-scale context-aware network to capture the context knowledge for pedestrian feature learning, 2) three novel constraints on STN for effective latent parts localization and body-part feature representation, 3) the fusion of full-body and body-part identity discriminative features for powerful pedestrian representation. We have validated the effectiveness of the proposed method on current large-scale person ReID datasets. Experimental results have demonstrated that the proposed method achieves the state-of-the-art results.
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