Characterisation of different parts from *Moringa oleifera* regarding protein, lipid composition and extractable phenolic compounds
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**Abstract** – Fatty acids, amino acids, as well as total phenolic content and antioxidant activities, were presented from *Moringa oleifera* different parts (whole seeds, kernels, coats, pods and leaves). The investigated parts were a good source of protein (29.2, 37.8, 11.9, 10.1 and 22.8 g/100 g for whole seeds, kernels, coats, pods and leaves, respectively) with isoleucine, leucine, arginine, glycine, glutamine and tyrosine as amino acids in sufficient amount to meet the nutritional needs. Moringa seeds are also rich in oil. Oleic acid was the most abundant fatty acid in moringa seeds (66.2 and 65.8% for whole seeds and kernels, respectively). Leaves were rich in minerals such as P, K, Mg and Fe with the highest amount of total phenolic content. 70% ethanol, 80% methanol or water showed the highest yield with of total phenolic content from leaves reaching 11.8, 11.3 and 8.9 mg GAE/g, respectively. The lowest ED50 values were reported for extracts of leaves obtained by 70% ethanol and 80% methanol (0.51 and 0.54 mg), respectively, indicating more antioxidant activity than for the other solvents. For the ß-carotene-linoleic acid assay, leaves extract showed also the strongest effect for delaying the oxidation followed by extracts from pods and coats in a comparison with the control without antioxidants. From this comprehensive investigation of the different parts of MO, an assessment of the raw materials regarding their application as food is possible.
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**Résumé** – Caractérisation de différentes parties de *Moringa oleifera* en termes de composition en protéines, lipides et composés phénoliques extractibles. Différentes parties de *Moringa oleifera* (graines entières, amandes, enveloppes, gousses et feuilles) ont été évaluées en termes de composition en acides gras, acides aminés, composés de phénoliques totaux et des activités anti-oxydantes. Les parties étudiées se sont révélées être une bonne source de protéines (respectivement 29.2, 37.8, 11.9, 10.1 et 22.8 g/100 g pour les graines entières, les amandes, les enveloppes, les gousses et les feuilles) avec des acides aminés comme l’isoleucine, la leucine, l’arginine, la glycine, la glutamine et la tyrosine en quantité suffisante pour répondre aux besoins nutritionnels. Les graines de moringa sont également riches en huile, l’acide oléique étant l’acide gras le plus abondant (66.2 et 65.8% pour les graines entières et les amandes, respectivement). Les feuilles étaient riches en minéraux tels que P, K, Mg et Fe, avec la plus forte teneur en composés phénoliques totaux. L’éthanol à 70%, le méthanol à 80% ou l’eau offrent le rendement le plus élevé avec un contenu phénolique total des feuilles atteignant 11.8, 11.3 et 8.9 mg GAE/g, respectivement. Les valeurs ED50 les plus basses ont été rapportées pour les extraits de feuilles obtenus avec 70% d’éthanol et 80% de méthanol (0.51 et 0.54 mg), respectivement, indiquant une activité anti-oxydante plus importante que les extraits obtenus avec les autres solvants. Pour le test de blanchiment du ß-carotène, l’extraht de feuilles a également montré l’effet le plus fort pour retarder l’oxydation, suivi par les extraits de gousses et d’enveloppes, en comparaison avec le contrôle sans antioxydant. Cette étude complète des différentes parties de MO a permis d’évaluer les matières premières en vue de leur application alimentaire.

**Mots clés :** acides amínés / activité anti-oxydante / acides gras / *Moringa oleifera* / test de blanchiment du ß-carotène

*Correspondence: mohamedabdelbasetsalama@gmail.com*
1 Introduction

*Moringa oleifera* (MO) is the most famous species of the family Moringaceae and one of the thirteen members of the genus *Moringa* (*Morton, 1991*). The plant is a fast-growing tree that is resistant of drought, making it interesting for arid and semi-arid regions. The tree has many traditional names such as horseradish or drumstick. MO seeds are inside of pods and have a triangle or round shape. The kernels are covered by a coat that can be easily removed (*Abdulkarim et al., 2005*). About 400–1000 pods and 15 000–25 000 seeds could be taken from each tree per year. The ratio of the kernels to the coat is 75:25 (*Jahn, 1988*). Because of its many uses, tolerance to varieties of soils and climates, and ease of multiplication, MO has become extremely popular all over the world (*Kasolo et al., 2010*). Moreover, it is considered a good food ingredient because of its bioactive compounds content (*Brilhante et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2020*). Moringa leaves powder is used to fight malnutrition in children and pregnant women diets (*Kuku-Shittu et al., 2016*). In addition, it is used in water purification (*Rahman et al., 2010*).

Generally, all MO is very rich in oil with oleic acid as the main fatty acid, similarly to olive oil (*Gharby et al., 2017*; *Salama et al., 2020*). The oil has higher stability during frying than canola oil, soybean oil and palm olein (*Abdulkarim et al., 2005; Salama et al., 2020*). It smells like peanut (*Kleiman et al., 2008*). MO oil is used for perfume and cosmetic production, as lubricants in machinery and recently for biodiesel production (*Fernandes et al., 2015*). Resulting from the fatty acid composition, the most dominant polyunsaturated triacylglycerol (TAG) in MO oil is triolein “OOO” which reaches about 36.7% (*Abdulkarim et al., 2005; Salama et al., 2020*). If any part of MO is also used as vegetable food in some countries (*Siddhuraju and Becker, 2003*). It has been published that MO leaves contain a vegetable food in some countries (*Siddhuraju and Becker, 2003*). Phenolic acids are a sub-group of phenolic compounds, derived from hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids, and have many useful properties like anticancer, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties (*Verma et al., 2009*). Many parts of MO tree have a significant amount of essential amino acids (*Amagloh and Benang, 2009*). The protein from MO roots, leaves and seeds is suitable for animal feed and human diet (*Okereke and Akaninwori, 2013*). Furthermore, MO is a good source of vitamins and β-carotene (*Anwar et al., 2007*).

The aim of this research was to provide first information about fatty acids, amino acids, total phenolic contents and their antioxidant activity of the different parts of MO (whole seeds, kernels, coats, pods and leaves) beside chemical composition and minerals content.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant materials

Materials used in this investigation were purchased from Agricultural Research Center (Sakra, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt). Harvesting was carried out in August 2018 and care was taken to collect a representative sample. Mature MO pods were dried and then opened to collect the seeds from inside. The seeds were sun-dried. After that, the coat was removed before grinding the seed. Leaves were air-dried and stored until use.

2.2 Reagents

Petroleum ether (40–60 °C) was of analytical grade (> 98%; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Tert-butyl methyl ether, heptane (HPLC grade), tocopherol, tocotrienol standard compounds and Folin–Ciocalteu reagent were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Standard FAME was obtained from Restek (Bad Homburg, Germany). β-carotene was obtained from Fluka (Munich, Germany). Linoleic acid was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Ward Hill, Massachusetts, USA) and gallic acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Munich, Germany).

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Chemical composition

Moisture content was measured using air-oven (100 °C) following official methods of AOAC (2010) (Method No. 925.10) till constant weight. Crude protein content (N x 6.25) was determined in accordance with the Kjeldahl method (Method No.920.87). Ash content was assayed by incinerating the samples in a muffle furnace at 550 °C (Method No. 923.03). Crude fat was determined by Soxhlet extraction method using petroleum ether as the extract agent (60–80 °C). Carbohydrates were calculated by difference as follows: Carbohydrates = [100 – (% moisture + % lipids + % proteins + % total dietary fibre + % ash)].

2.3.2 Oil extraction

The oil extraction was performed according to method ISO standard (ISO 659, 2009) by hot extraction using a Twisselmann apparatus. In brief, 5 g of sample (whole seeds, kernels, coats and leaves) were grinded in a mill (IKA, model A11 BS000, Germany) and extracted using 75 mL petroleum ether in a Twisselmann apparatus for 6h. The solvent was evaporated by a rotary evaporator at 40 °C and 25 Torr (model RV 10C593, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen, Germany). The oil was dried by nitrogen after removing the solvent, filtered and stored at 4 °C until use.

2.3.3 Mineral composition

Minerals content were carried out using Atomic Absorption (NC. 9423-400-30042, England) by techniques described by AOAC (2010).
2.3.4 Amino acids composition

In brief, the sample (0.1 g) was hydrolysed using 10 mL of 6 N hydrochloric acid with 0.1% mercapto ethanol in an evacuated tube at 110 °C for 24 h. After cooling at room temperature, the hydrolyzed sample was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper after that the filtrate was diluted with distilled water to 25 mL. Five mL of the diluted filtrate was dried in a vacuum desiccator in the presence of potassium hydroxide. The residue was dissolved in 1 mL of sodium citrate buffer (pH 2.2) and stored at 4 °C until analysis by using amino acid analyzer (Beckman amino acid analyzer, Model 119CI) (Duranti and Cerletti, 1979). P-PER (predicted protein efficiency ratio) was measured using the followed equation: P-PER = −0.468 + 0.454 (Leu) – 0.105 (Tyr) (Alsmeyer et al., 1974).

2.3.5 Fatty acids composition

The fatty acids composition was determined following the DGF-C-VI 10 (13) (DGF, 2013) in combination with DGF-C-VI 11d (98) (DGF, 2013). Before analysis, fatty acids (FAs) were converted to fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) by dissolving MO oil sample (1 drop) in n-heptane (1 mL), after that sodium methylate (50 m) was added and for 60 s the tube was agitated at room temperature. After stopping the reaction by addition of water (100 mL), neutralization of the solution with 50 mL of HCl (1 mol with methyl orange (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany)) and drying with sodium hydrogen sulphate (20 mg). The upper phase of n-heptane was transferred to a vial and injected in GC (HP5890, Agilent Technologies Sales & Services GmbH & Co. KG, Waldbronn, Germany), equipped with a FID, with a capillary column, CP-Sil 88 (100 m long, 0.25 mm ID, film thickness 0.2 μm). The temperature program was as follows: from 155 °C; heated to 220 °C (1.5 °C/min), 10 min isotherm; injector 250 °C, detector gas 250 °C; carrier gas 36 cm/s hydrogen; split ratio 1:50; detector gas 30 mL/min hydrogen; 300 mL/min air and 30 mL/min nitrogen; manual injection volume less than 1 μL. The integration program was used to calculate the peak areas and by using direct internal normalization. Each individual FA was expressed as the relative percentage in the sample.

2.3.6 Extraction of phenolic compounds

One gram of each sample (leaves, coats, pods, whole seeds and kernels) was soaked in 10 mL of different solvents (acetone, methanol, ethanol, water, 80% methanol and 70% ethanol). Samples were sonicated for 30 min in a bath-type sonicator. Afterwards, the extract for 5 min was centrifuged at 3000 × g, the supernatant solvent was collected in a 500 mL flask and the resulting insoluble residue was treated twice as mentioned above. The combined supernatants were concentrated at 40 °C by a rotary evaporator (model RV10C S93, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Stauffen, Germany) and then stored in a fridge until use.

2.3.7 Total phenolic compounds

Moringa different parts (1 g) were dissolved in 10 mL of different solvents and extracted using ultrasonic for 30 min. After centrifugation (3000 × g for 5 min), the supernatant was filtered into a 50 mL flask. This method was repeated twice. The supernatant was evaporated at 40 °C by using rotary evaporator under vacuum. The residue was completely dissolved with methanol/water (1 mL) (60:40) (ultrasonic bath) and then transferred into a 5 mL flask. The 50 mL flask was washed twice with methanol/water (1 mL) (60:40). After that, the 5 mL flask was filled with 0.3% MeOH–HCl. A 100-μL aliquot of the resulting solution was added to 2% Na2CO3 (2 mL) and after 2 min, 50 μL of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was added. After a further 30 min at 750 nm, the absorbance was measured. The concentration was measured using gallic acid as standard and the results were expressed as milligrams gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per gram sample.

2.3.8 DPPH radical scavenging

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany) radical was used for the evaluation of the antioxidant activity by the DPPH assay. For each extract, different concentrations were tested. An aliquot (0.5 mL) of the DPPH solution (about 50 mg/100 mL) was diluted in 4.5 mL of methanol and 0.1 mL of an extract solution was added. The mixture was shaken and left in the dark (45 min). The reduction in absorbance was reported at 515 nm against a blank. From different amounts of extract, a calibration curve was done to calculate the ED50 (Hatano et al., 1988). The concentration of an antioxidant, which was used to quench 50% of the initial DPPH radicals, is called ED50.

2.3.9 β-carotene bleaching method

In brief, 40 mg of linoleic acid and 400 mg of Tween 20 were transferred into a flask, and a solution of β-carotene (1 mL) (6 mg/mL in chloroform) was added. Chloroform was removed at 40 °C by rotary evaporation (IKA, model RV 10 CS93). Then, distilled water (100 mL) was added to the residue and the solution was agitated to make an emulsion. To an aliquot of this emulsion (5 mL), 0.2 mL of an antioxidant solution was added and the absorbance was measured at 470 nm immediately, against a blank consisting of the emulsion without β-carotene. The tubes were put in a water bath (40 °C) (HAAKE C 10) and the absorbance was measured by spectrometer (Analytik Jena Spectord 250) every 15 min up to 60 min and then after 120 min (Taga et al., 1984).

2.3.10 Statistical analyses

Data were processed with the software of SPSS (Version 16.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) to test the variance by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) method. The means and the standard deviations were calculated of three repetitions.

3 Results and discussion

Different parts of MO are in use in many parts of the world, especially in arid regions to ensure the nutrition of the rural population. The most important material is the seeds, but meanwhile, applications for leaves, pods or the coat came in the focus of interest. For further evaluation of the suitability of these materials for use in nutrition or for food applications, more knowledge on the composition is necessary.
3.1 Proximate composition

For a comprehensive evaluation of the quality of the different parts of MO, the chemical composition was done. The further use for human or animal nutrition depends on the amount of protein and fat, but also on the content of carbohydrates and fibre. A high fat amount results in an interesting resource for oil processing. On the other side, a high value of protein is decisive for the use in human or animal nutrition. Figure 1 showed the chemical composition of the different parts of MO. The largest amount of oil and protein was represented in the kernels obtained after removal of the seed coat and wing with roughly same proportions of about 37%. The oil content was comparable to sunflower or rapeseed seeds but higher than for soybeans (Raß et al., 2008; Sultan et al., 2015; Xie et al., 2019). Looking on the protein content reveals a significant higher content than for sunflower seed but comparable to soybeans and rapeseeds (Carré et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2017; Seo et al., 2012). This shows that kernels from MO are combining the advantages of the oilseed rapeseed and the protein supplier soybean.

The removal of the coat from the seeds resulted in a better raw material for further use in human nutrition by enrichment of protein and fat, resulting from the removal of the high fibre content coat (62.9%). These data are in a harmony with the results mentioned by Abiodun et al. (2012) and Rahman et al. (2009) who reported that moisture, protein and ash content in the seeds were (4.7–7.1%), (28.0–31.8%) and (4.1–6.3%), respectively. Moreover, Anwar and Bhanger (2003) and Compaoré et al. (2011) mentioned that the protein amount in the seeds was 29.4% and 35.4%, respectively. El-Massry et al. (2013) found moisture (4.7%), protein (26.0%), fibre (4.9%), total carbohydrates (14.4%), and fat (44.8%) for seeds in the same range as in the current investigation. For the oil content of the seeds, Tsaknis et al. (1999), Lalas and Tsaknis (2002) and Anwar et al. (2005) reported 35.7%, 38.3% and 33.2%, respectively. Leaves and pods were characterized by a large content of carbohydrates in comparison to the other plant materials from MO (38.1% and 22.0%, respectively). A similar amount was also found by El-Massry et al. (2013) who reported 35.9% in dried leaves. This may be of particular interest for the production of carbohydrates from these materials. Besides the seeds, the leaves (22.8%) also contained remarkable high amount of protein giving an indication of their usefulness in human diet and especially as livestock feed. Similar amounts of protein were presented by El-Massry et al. (2013) (26.8%) and Mukunzi et al. (2011) (25.3% for leaves from Ruanda) while Moyo et al. (2011) (30.3%) and Mukunzi et al. (2011) (29.5% for leaves from China) found little higher amounts of protein in dry leaves. The protein amount found by El-Massry et al. (2013) for pods was higher than in the current investigation (17.2%). In comparison to the seeds and leaves, protein amount was low in coat (11.9%) and pods (10.1%), but they could still be used as high-fibre raw material to supplement animal feeds. Leaves (11.2%) and pods (13.1%) reported the highest amount of ash which is three times more than in the other materials and that makes leaves and pods a good source of minerals (Melesse, 2011) in human nutrition or as animal feed. Similar high amounts of ash were reported by Mukunzi et al. (2011) for dry MO from China (13.4%) and Rwanda (17.3%), while Moyo et al. (2011) and El-Massry et al. (2013) reported remarkable lower amounts of ash in dry leaves of MO (7.6% and 7.9%, respectively). The oil content in coats, pods and leaves in the present investigation was low with amounts of 3.9%, 1.0% and 5.2%, respectively, making these materials uninteresting for an economical oil extraction. This was close to other investigations showing also the low fat content of pods (0.4%) and leaves (5.0%) (El-Massry et al., 2013), and the variation of the fat content, depending on the origin of the leaves (7.6% China or 6.5% Rwanda) (Mukunzi et al., 2011). The results show that the different parts of MO are interesting raw materials for protein, carbohydrates or minerals beyond the fat content of the seeds. Especially, the leaves show a promising composition with regard to the content of carbohydrates, minerals, and protein, making a further use as food or in food applications interesting. Although coats and pods are only by-products of the production of kernels, they are usable in animal nutrition as fibre-rich raw material with a low content of protein.

3.2 Mineral composition

Data in Table 1 showed that MO dry leaves had a high amount of ash, resulting in a high content of P, Mg, Zn, K, Na, Fe and Mn (2565, 3820, 64.5, 16250, 694.5, 1300, and 64.5 mg/kg, respectively), in comparison to the seeds or the meal obtained from the seeds by removal of oil. Remarkable high is the content of Mg and K making the leaves a good source for these minerals. This is in agreement with values published by El-Massry et al. (2013) who also found remarkable high amounts for Mg (4036 mg/kg) and K (14988 mg/kg). Also, the results of the other minerals were close to the current work, P (3469 mg/kg), Zn (54.3 mg/kg), Na (725 mg/kg), Fe (277.6 mg/kg) and Mn (324.5 mg/kg). Gopalakrishnan et al. (2016) mentioned similar values for the minerals in leaves. In our paper, the minerals amounts in seeds and meal were significant lower than in the leaves for all compounds, but higher than reported by other researchers. Abiodun et al. (2012) found much lower values...
leaves could be a valuable source for minerals, but also the uptake with food or feed is with minerals in human and animal nutrition. Since the body different parts suggest that MO can contribute to the supply for Na (155 mg/kg) and Fe (31.0 mg/kg) in MO flour, but with a comparable dominance for Mg (220.1 mg/kg) and K (479 mg/kg). Sodium found in the current work was lower for Na (155 mg/kg) and Fe (31.0 mg/kg) in MO flour, but with a comparable dominance for Mg (220.1 mg/kg) and K (479 mg/kg). Sodium found in the current work was lower than the values observed by Nzikou et al. (2009) for seeds (225.0 mg/kg). The high amounts of minerals found in the different parts suggest that MO can contribute to the supply with minerals in human and animal nutrition. Since the body cannot produce them, the uptake with food or feed is necessary to avoid disadvantages when growing or maintaining of the body or regulatory functions. Especially, the leaves could be a valuable source for minerals, but also the meal after enriching the mineral content by extraction of oil.

### 3.3 Amino acid composition

Table 2 showed the essential and non-essential amino acids composition of whole seeds, kernels, coats and leaves. The total content of amino acids of MO leaves, coat, whole seeds and kernels were 91.8, 95.5, 79.5 and 80.4 g/100 g crude protein, respectively (Tab. 2).

The amino acid composition of all materials showed comparable amounts for both classes of amino acids with values between 35.8 g/100 g protein (seeds) and 41.9 g/100 g (leaves) for essential amino acids and between 43.2 g/100 g (kernels) and 54.5 g/100 g (pods) for non-essential amino acids. The essential amino acids valine, threonine, isoleucine, lysine and leucine showed significant higher amounts in leaves and coat in comparison to the seeds or kernels and also for non-essential amino acids higher values were found in leaves and pods in comparison to the seeds or kernels. Methionine had a low concentration in all the samples ranging between 1.5 and 2.0 g/100 g protein. This low amount of methionine content agrees with the fact that methionine is the most limiting essential amino acid in leguminous seeds. The highest amount of an individual amino acid was found for glutamine as non-essential amino acid with amounts between 6.0 and 6.3 g/100 g found in oil extracted from seeds, kernels and coats. Only in leaves, no vaccenic acid was found. Most edible oils are lower in vaccenic acid (5.6 (seeds) to 10.1 g/100 g (leaves)), content comparable to rapeseed and an amino acid composition which is as far as possible in line with the recommendations.

### 3.4 Fatty acid composition

Figures 2A and 2B showed the fatty acid composition of oil extracted from whole seeds, kernels, coats and leaves. The oil content of pods was too low to carry out the investigation of the fatty acid composition. The results showed that oleic acid was the main unsaturated fatty acid in whole seeds, kernels and coats (66.2, 65.8 and 57.8 g/100 g). This high amount of oleic acids is comparable to rapeseed oil and only a little lower than for olive oil making MO oil preferable in nutrition and cooking (Abdulkarim et al., 2005). Behenic acid was the second abundant fatty acid in seeds, kernels and coats of MO. Only in leaves, the amount of behenic acid was significant lower than in the other parts of the plant. In comparison to other edible oils, MO oil from different parts of the plant contained high amounts of behenic acid (2.4–7.3 g/100 g) while in oils such as rapeseed or sunflower oils only 0.5 to 2 g/100 g were found (Codex, 2019). Only peanut oil contains up to 3.25 g/100 g (Konuskani et al., 2019). The results for behenic acid found in the current paper were similar to others, reported by Abdulkarim et al. (2005), Anwar et al. (2005) and Rashid et al. (2008), respectively. Nguyen et al. (2011) extracted the oil from moringa kernels by using supercritical carbon dioxide and found an amount of 6.7 g/100 g. Behenic acid does not belong to the essential fatty acids, but in skincare, it is most commonly used to provide soothing relief for dry and sensitive skin (Banov et al., 2014). In addition, it is discussed as a structuring and solidifying agent in margarine, shortening, and foods containing semi-solid and solid fats, without the need of hydrogenation to the oil (FDA, 2001). Vaccenic acid was the third most dominant fatty acid in MO from different parts of the plant with amounts between 6.0 and 6.3 g/100 g found in oil extracted from seeds, kernels and coats. Only in leaves, no vaccenic acid was found. Most edible oils are lower in vaccenic acid (Kalo and Kemppinen, 2003). The amounts found in the current work are similar to Al Juhaimi et al. (2017). The oil from the different parts of MO contains, additionally to behenic acid, considerable amounts of saturated fatty acids, palmitic acid (5.6 (seeds) to 10.1 g/100 g (leaves)),

---

**Table 1.** Minerals composition (mg/kg) of different plants parts of *Moringa oleifera* (leaves, whole seeds and meal).

| Minerals | Leaves | Whole seeds | Meal |
|----------|--------|-------------|------|
| P        | 2565 ± 4 | 1430 ± 3 | 2220 ± 3 |
| Mg       | 3820 ± 6 | 2810 ± 1 | 3320 ± 3 |
| Zn       | 64.5 ± 1 | 28.5 ± 2 | 14.5 ± 3 |
| K        | 16250 ± 7 | 8405 ± 4 | 10265 ± 5 |
| Na       | 694.5 ± 3 | 72 ± 5 | 78 ± 2 |
| Fe       | 1300 ± 6 | 73.5 ± 2 | 80 ± 2 |
| Mn       | 64.5 ± 4 | 12.5 ± 2 | 14.5 ± 3 |

Meal = After oil extraction from the whole seeds.

P-PER is one quality parameter used for protein evaluation (FAO/UN/WHO, 1991). Results indicated that leaves had the highest P-PER (2.63) followed by coats (1.8) (Tab. 2). Much of protein benefits may be attributed to leucine due to its ability to stimulate protein synthesis, helping to turn on the body’s switch to build muscle and spare muscle when dieting (Layman and Walker, 2006). Leucine content above 5.0 g/100 g protein often results to appreciable P-PER (Amaechi et al., 2015). P-PER below 1.5 describes a protein of low or poor quality (Friedman, 1996). Even if the P-PER values of whole seeds and kernels were low, the results showed that the different parts of MO are valuable sources for the supply of amino acids either for human or animal nutrition. Especially, dry leaves are a promising source of valuable protein due to the high protein content comparable to rapeseed and an amino acid composition which is as far as possible in line with the recommendations. Only the low content of methionine is a limitation.
stearic acid (1.8 g/100 g (leaves) to 6.1 g/100 g (coats)) and arachidic acid (1.7 g/100 g (leaves) to 4.0 g/100 g (coats)). The oil from leaves also contain considerable amounts of myristic acid (2.0 g/100 g) typical for coconut or palm kernel fat. The third most abundant unsaturated fatty acid was gondoic acid with amounts between 1.6 g/100 g (coats) and 7.6 g/100 g (leaves). The results found for seed and kernel oil are comparable to other references (Lalas and Tsaknis, 2002; Anwar and Bhanger, 2003; Salama et al., 2020). Only in leaves, linoleic and linolenic acid were present in considerable amounts (4.8 g/100 g and 21.1 g/100 g, respectively) while in the other parts only traces were found. For oil from leaves other references also show a dominant amount of linolenic acid (44.6 g/100 g) and a higher content of palmitic acid (11.8 g/100 g) (Moyo et al., 2011). The results show that oil from MO seeds can be very good alternative oil, rich in oleic acid such as rapeseed or olive oils. Two disadvantages of MO oil in comparison to rapeseed oil are i) the three times higher amount of saturated fatty acids and ii) the absence of linoleic and linolenic acid.

Table 2. Amino acids composition of different plant parts of Moringa oleifera (whole seed, kernel, coat and leaves) (g/100 g protein).

| Amino acids | Leaves | Coat | Wholeseed | Kernel | FAO/WHO* |
|-------------|--------|------|-----------|--------|----------|
| Valine      | 5.5 ± 0.5 | 4.5 ± 0.1 | 3.5 ± 0.2 | 3.9 ± 0.1 | 5.0 |
| Threonine   | 4.1 ± 0.1 | 3.3 ± 0.1 | 2.5 ± 0.1 | 2.3 ± 0.1 | 3.4 |
| Isoleucine  | 4.4 ± 0.2 | 3.5 ± 0.1 | 2.9 ± 0.0 | 3.1 ± 0.0 | 2.8 |
| Lysine      | 4.8 ± 0.2 | 4.6 ± 0.2 | 2.2 ± 0.2 | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 5.8 |
| Methionine  | 1.5 ± 0.1 | 1.9 ± 0.1 | 1.8 ± 0.2 | 2.0 ± 0.1 | 2.5 |
| Phenylalanine | 5.9 ± 0.2 | 4.5 ± 0.1 | 4.1 ± 0.6 | 4.1 ± 0.1 | 6.3 |
| Histidine   | 2.4 ± 0.1 | 2.4 ± 0.2 | 2.2 ± 0.4 | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 2.8 |
| Leucine     | 7.7 ± 0.2 | 5.7 ± 0.2 | 4.9 ± 0.4 | 4.9 ± 0.2 | 1.1 |
| Arginine    | 5.8 ± 0.2 | 10.8 ± 0.3 | 11.8 ± 0.1 | 12.9 ± 0.2 | 5.2 |

Total essential amino acids

41.9 41.1 35.8 37.2 –

Glycine

4.9 ± 0.1 | 5.1 ± 0.2 | 5.0 ± 0.4 | 4.9 ± 0.3 | 2.2

Alanine

6.2 ± 0.1 | 4.6 ± 0.2 | 4.3 ± 0.4 | 4.0 ± 0.2 | 6.1

Serine

4.2 ± 0.1 | 3.7 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 2.7 ± 0.1 | 7.0

Aspartic

9.3 ± 0.2 | 8.5 ± 0.2 | 4.9 ± 0.3 | 4.1 ± 0.1 | 7.7

Glutamine

15.0 ± 0.2 | 17.5 ± 0.3 | 16.6 ± 0.3 | 16.3 ± 0.2 | 14.7

Tyrosine

3.6 ± 0.1 | 3.5 ± 0.3 | 2.3 ± 0.1 | 2.2 ± 0.1 | 1.1

Cysteine

1.6 ± 0.3 | 3.7 ± 0.2 | 3.1 ± 0.2 | 3.8 ± 0.2 | 3.0

Proline

5.1 ± 0.1 | 7.8 ± 0.2 | 4.8 ± 0.2 | 5.3 ± 0.1 | 10.7

Total non-essential amino acids

49.8 54.5 43.7 43.2 –

Total amino acids (TAA) g/100 g

91.8 95.5 79.5 80.4 –

P-PER

2.6 1.8 1.5 1.5 –

*FAO/UN/WHO (1991, Reference Pattern) (g/100 g protein).

Fig. 2. Fatty acids composition (%) of the different parts of Moringa oleifera (whole seeds, kernels, coats and leaves). (A) Saturated fatty acids. (B) Unsaturated fatty acids.
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3.5 Extraction rate and total phenolic compounds (TPC)

Figure 3A summarizes the extraction rate of different plant materials from MO with different solvents. The different materials contained remarkable amounts of total extractable compounds but the results also reveal that the extracting capacity of different solvents for the materials was different. In general, water was the most effective solvent to get high extraction rate from the samples. On the other side, acetone was the weakest extraction agent resulting in the lowest yield. Roughly, the amount of the extraction rate decreased with decreasing polarity of the solvent in the order water, ethanol, methanol, 80% methanol, 70% ethanol and acetone. This could be due to the polar moiety of phenolic compounds. The effect of different solvents were in agreement with Martinez-Correa et al. (2011) who indicated that aqueous extraction showed the highest amount of extraction rate from pitanga (Eugenia uniflora L.) leaves followed by ethanolic extraction and supercritical extraction. Barchan et al. (2014) found similar results about the amount of extraction rate by using water from Mentha species. They mentioned that using water as a solvent reported the major amount of extraction rate in the three species. Near findings were reported by Grigonis et al. (2005) who mentioned that extraction rate from sweet grass (Hierochloe odorata) was higher by using ethanol 95% (21.4%) than acetone (6%).

The extraction rate also strongly depends on the plant material with leaves showing the highest yield for the extraction with water (26.3%) followed by kernels (21.0%), whole seeds (17.7%), pods (12.7%) and coats (5.9%).

TPC of these total extractable compounds was determined by the colorimetric based Folin–Ciocalteau assay (Fig. 3B). The results were expressed in mg of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g extract. Although the use of solvent mixed with water (80% methanol and 70% ethanol) did not result in highest yield of extractable compounds the effectiveness of these solvents for the extraction of phenolic compounds was significantly better than of the pure organic solvents (methanol and ethanol) for all sample materials. Obviously, the selectivity of mixtures between water and organic solvents showed a higher selectivity for the extraction of phenolic compounds than water or organic solvents alone. This was similar to the results reported by Siddhuraju and Becker (2003). Other
papers also showed that phenolic compounds are better soluble in polar compounds due to their polar moiety (Barchan et al., 2014; Haminiuk et al., 2014). Leaves had the highest amount of TPC in comparison to the other materials and reached 11.8, 11.3 and 8.9 mg GAE/g by using 70% ethanol, 80% methanol and water, respectively. El Sohaimy et al. (2015) showed that methanol extract from MO leaves had the highest amount of TPC (48.4 mg GAE/g). The current results revealed also low amount of TPC by using ethanol (5.6 mg GAE/g) compared to methanol extract (7.1 mg GAE/g) in leaves.

This is in agreement with Gull et al. (2016) and Urias-Orona et al. (2017) who recorded that methanol moringa leaf extracts contained more TPC than ethanol extract. Using water as extraction solvent resulted in the highest values of TPC for coats (1.2 mg GAE/g), pods (1.7 mg GAE/g), whole seeds (1.6 mg GAE/g) and kernels (1.3 mg GAE/g), respectively. While using 70% ethanol recorded the highest value in leaves (11.8 mg GAE/g).

Using acetone resulted in the lowest yield of total extractable compounds and total phenolic contents. It was 4.6, 5.1, 1.4, 4.8 and 7.9% as total extractable compounds and 1.6, 0.3, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.2 mg GAE/g as total phenolic compounds, respectively, in leaves, coats, pods, whole seeds and kernels. This is comparable to Proestos and Komaitis (2006) who reported that using solvents low in polarity resulted in a decrease of the amount of phenolic compounds.

The results reveal that only leaves contain considerable amounts of phenolic compounds with highest yields for extraction with 70% ethanol and 80% methanol, respectively. For the other materials, TPC was below 2 which makes a use of these materials for the provision of phenolic compounds uninteresting if the antioxidant activity of the extracts is not unusually high. It also becomes clear that no correlation between the amount of extractable compounds and TPC was found.

It must be taken into consideration that the Folin–Ciocalteau reagent is not specific only for phenolic compounds but also for other reducing compounds such as sugars, ascorbic acid, amino acids, peptides, etc (Singleton and Rossi, 1965; Everette et al., 2010; Sánchez-Rangel et al., 2013). From this, it can be

![Fig. 4. Antioxidant activity of Moringa oleifera (leaves, pods and coats extracts) by using β-Carotene/linoleic acid bleaching method. (A) 5 mg/mL. (B) 10 mg/mL. (C) 30 mg/mL.](image-url)
assumed that the extraction with more polar solvents results in higher extraction rates for soluble solids but not necessarily to the extraction of higher levels of phenolic compounds. This explains why no correlation between total extractable and total phenolic compounds was found.

Water was found as the best solvent for the extraction rate but with low content of phenolic compounds. It can be assumed that by water mainly water soluble compounds such as sugars or organic acids were extracted (Miller et al., 1995).

3.6 Antioxidant activity by DPPH assay and β-carotene bleaching method

For the assessment of the quality of an extract from plants not only the total amount of phenolic compounds is decisive but more important is the antioxidant activity of the extract. This information gives some indication on the effectiveness of the extract to limit oxidative reactions.

In the present work, the antioxidant activity was measured by the DPPH assay (Fig. 3C) and by the β-carotene bleaching method (Figs. 4A–4C) measuring the degradation of β-carotene resulting from the oxidation of linoleic acid. In the first case, the extract provides the hydrogen atom to the free radical and in the second case linoleic acid is stabilized by the extract.

In general, the structure of the phenolic compounds, and here especially the number and position of the hydroxyl groups and the type of other substitutions of the aromatic ring determine the antioxidant activity (Balasundram et al., 2006).

3.6.1 DPPH assay

DPPH free radical protocol was used to measure the ability of the extracts obtained from the different parts of MO to stabilize free radicals by forming stable diamagnetic molecules (Singh et al., 2009). The result is given as amount of sample necessary to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. The lower the amount of extract necessary to reduce the amount of DPPH by 50%, the higher is the antioxidant activity of the extract.

The different extracts of MO were able to reduce the stable radical DPPH to the yellow colored diphenyl picryl hydrazine. For all solvents, leaves of MO showed the highest antioxidant activity in comparison to the other plant materials indicated by the lowest ED50 values (Fig. 3C). Extracts from leaves obtained by 70% ethanol and 80% methanol showed the highest antioxidant activities (0.51 and 0.54 mg) followed by methanol (0.83 mg), ethanol (0.98 mg), water (1.09 mg) and acetone (1.62 mg). These results were in a harmony with Urías-Orona et al. (2017) who mentioned that 100% methanol was better than 100% ethanol in DPPH assay, while 80% ethanol showed better results in DPPH assay than 80% methanol.

Of the other materials, only extracts from coats obtained by 80% methanol and water showed antioxidant activity comparable to extracts from leaves. The results also revealed that samples with higher content of TPC resulted in a better antioxidant activity.

3.6.2 β-carotene-linoleic acid assay

In this method, a model system made of β-carotene and linoleic acid undergoes a discoloration in the disappearance of an antioxidant. The free linoleic acid radical formed upon the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from a methylene group attacks the β-carotene molecules, which losses double bonds and, therefore, its special orange color.

Antioxidants can prevent the range of β-carotene-bleaching by neutralizing the linoleate-free radical and other free radicals in the system (Jayaprakash et al., 2001). Figures 4A–4C showed the absorbance of β-carotene in the existence of 70% ethanol extracts from leaves and pods and 80% methanol extract from coats (the highest results in DPPH assay) in comparison to the control without antioxidant and TBHQ as a standard antioxidant.

Since extracts from seeds and kernels only show a low antioxidant activity in the DPPH assay, they were not further investigated. The results showed that antioxidant activity measured by the β-carotene-linoleic acid model system, was in harmony with the DPPH data. Leaves extract showed the greatest delaying for the oxidation in all concentration followed by the extract from coats and pods.

Natural extract at 5 and 10 mg/mL from leaves had antioxidant activities more than TBHQ till 30 min, then TBHQ was more activity till 120 min, while extracts from coats and pods recorded antioxidant activities more than TBHQ till 15 min at 5 mg/mL only (Figs. 4A and 4B). Generally, phenolic compounds extract from leaves had more activity than the others.

TBHQ showed the high effective action for delaying the oxidation at 30 mg/mL (Fig. 4C). In the β-carotene-linoleic acid model system, it could be observed that results were in a harmony with the data recorded for DPPH test. The leaves extract had the highest delaying for the oxidation at all used concentrations followed by coats and pods extracts.

4 Conclusion

The results of this study have shown that MO seeds are a good source for high amounts of oleic acid. Unusual for common used edible oils is the high amount of behenic acid and vaccenic acid. In addition, the seeds are not only a good source for oil but also for protein and fibre that are enriched in the residue by oil extraction. Different parts of MO are also suitable to overcome a shortage of protein in animal and human nutrition. The residue of the oil extraction also contains high amounts of minerals with Mg and K as most important compounds making the residue to an interesting source for these compounds. The other parts of the plant such as pods, leaves or the coats from the seeds are also interesting sources for valuable compounds usable in nutrition. Especially, the leaves are high in minerals and antioxidant phenolic compounds, but they also contain higher amounts of protein (22%) and carbohydrates (38.1%). From this, the use of leaves from MO seems to be a recommendable addition to human nutrition. It is interesting that the content and the antioxidant activity of extracts from different parts of the plant with respect to a sustainable use of resources.
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