Dendritic cell profiles in the inflamed colonic mucosa predict the responses to tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitors in inflammatory bowel disease
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Background. Dendritic cells play crucial roles in the control of inflammation and immune tolerance in the gut. We aimed to investigate the effects of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa) inhibitors on intestinal dendritic cells in patients with inflammatory bowel disease and the potential role of intestinal dendritic cells in predicting the response to treatment.

Patients and methods. Intestinal biopsies were obtained from 30 patients with inflammatory bowel disease before and after treatment with TNFa inhibitors. The proportions of lamina propria dendritic cell phenotypes were analysed using flow cytometry. Disease activity was endoscopically assessed at baseline and after the induction treatment.

Results. At baseline, the proportion of conventional dendritic cells was higher in the inflamed mucosa (7.8%) compared to the uninflamed mucosa (4.5%) (p = 0.003), and the proportion of CD103+ dendritic cells was lower in the inflamed mucosa (47.1%) versus the uninflamed mucosa (57.3%) (p = 0.03). After 12 weeks of treatment, the proportion of conventional dendritic cells in the inflamed mucosa decreased from 7.8% to 4.5% (p = 0.014), whereas the proportion of CD103+ dendritic cells remained unchanged. Eighteen out of 30 (60%) patients responded to their treatment by week 12. Responders had a significantly higher proportion of conventional dendritic cells (9.16% vs 4.4%, p < 0.01) with higher expression of HLA-DR (median fluorescent intensity [MFI] 12152 vs 8837, p = 0.038) in the inflamed mucosa before treatment compared to nonresponders.

Conclusions. A proportion of conventional dendritic cells above 7% in the inflamed inflammatory bowel disease mucosa before treatment predicts an endoscopic response to TNFa inhibitors.
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Introduction

Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic progressive disorder of the gastrointestinal tract with multifactorial pathogenesis. It results from a complex interplay between genetic susceptibility, environmental factors, epithelial barrier defects and altered gut microbiota, which together lead to a dysregulated immune response.1

Dendritic cells (DCs) play a central role in the pathogenesis of IBD by maintaining immune homeostasis by regulating the intestinal T-cell response.2-5 DC activation and maturation occurs after exposure to microbial stimuli or proinflammatory cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFa).6-8 Stimulation is followed by the upregulation of the expression of the costimulatory and activation molecules CD80, CD86, CD83, and HLA-DR.
and the promotion of inflammation by the release of various cytokines.6-10 DCs obtain the ability to polarize naïve T-cells into type 1 helper (Th1), type 2 T helper (Th2), type 17 T helper (Th17) or T-regulatory (Treg) cells after maturation.11 The inflammatory microenvironment (immune cells and cytokines, such as TNFα) in IBD has many similarities to the cancer microenvironment. The interaction between cytokines and the immune response plays major roles in inflammation and colitis-associated cancer.12

The gastrointestinal mucosa contains an extensive network of conventional dendritic cells (cDCs) and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) that maintain immune tolerance towards luminal antigens in the healthy mucosa.4,13-16 It is hypothesized that disturbances in the proportions of pDCs and cDCs and changes in their phenotypes drive uncontrolled inflammation in IBD. Several studies have shown a lack of immature DCS in the peripheral blood of IBD patients and an increased number of activated DCs in the inflamed gut tissue.17-18 A high concentration of TNFα in the inflamed mucosa drives the activation of DCS.21-22 TNFα inhibitors, such as infliximab and adalimumab, induce mucosal healing in patients with IBD by suppressing TNFα driven DC activation.23-24

Current treatment goals are the induction and maintenance of remission to provide a better quality of life, to reduce the need for long-term corticosteroid use and to reduce the incidence of negative long-term outcomes such as colorectal carcinoma (CRC).20 Over the past thirty years, the overall risk of IBD-associated CRC has declined, and one of the reasons may be effective medical therapy for IBD.32 Therefore, it has been proposed that effective disease control and successful mucosal healing may reduce the CRC risk in individual patients with IBD.25

TNFα inhibitors have revolutionized the treatment of IBD. They are able to induce and maintain mucosal healing in patients with IBD and therefore may provide additional chemoprevention by reducing long-standing chronic inflammation. However, up to 40% of patients fail to respond to this therapy26 and the mechanism of primary resistance is not known. We have therefore focused our study on the role of DCs in primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors. We also believe that understanding primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors is important for the prevention of IBD-associated CRC.

The primary aim of our study was to evaluate the impact of TNFα inhibitors on the proportions and phenotypes of DCs in the colonic mucosa in IBD. Furthermore, we also studied whether patients who respond to treatment have different proportions and phenotypes of DCs in the mucosa before or after treatment compared with those who do not respond.

Patients and methods

Patients

In this prospective study, we included 30 consecutive patients with IBD (16 Crohn’s disease (CD) and 14 ulcerative colitis (UC) patients) and 10 healthy individuals (with a normal ileocolonoscopy performed for colorectal cancer screening without any clinical, biochemical or endoscopic signs of inflammation).

All included IBD patients were refractory or intolerant to conventional immunesuppressives, such as thiopurines or methotrexate and were also naïve to TNFα inhibitors at the time of inclusion (Table 1). Disease activity was assessed clinically (simple clinical colitis activity index [SCCAI] for ulcerative colitis and the Harvey Bradshaw severity index [HBSI] for Crohn’s disease), biochemically and endoscopically at baseline and again after completing treatment at week 12.29-32 Active disease was defined for ulcerative colitis as a SCCAI score ≥ 3 and for Crohn’s disease as an HBSI ≥ 5. Biochemical activity assessment included the measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP) (ADVIA 1800 Chemistry System, Siemens) and faecal calprotectin levels (Calprest assay with a range from 15.6 mg/kg - 500 mg/kg, Eurospital, Trieste, Italy). Endoscopic disease activity (defined as SES-CD ≥ 3 or Mayo endoscopic score ≥ 2) was confirmed in all patients with ileocolonoscopies at the time of inclusion.33,34

Induction treatment and the assessment of responses

All included patients received induction treatment with TNFα inhibitors (infliximab in 13 patients and adalimumab in 17 patients). Infliximab was administered intravenously at a dose of 5 mg/kg at baseline, followed by infusions at week 2 and week 6. Adalimumab was administered subcutaneously at a dose of 160 mg at baseline, followed by 80 mg at week 2 and 40 mg every other week thereafter.35 The doses of the TNFα inhibitors were not optimized during induction. The dose of azathioprine was kept stable during induction, but corticoster-
oid doses were tapered after starting the TNFα inhibitors.

An endoscopic response to induction at week 12 was defined by a Mayo endoscopic sub score of 0 to 1 in ulcerative colitis or ≥ 50% decrease in the SES-CD from the baseline colonoscopy in Crohn’s disease.

Mucosal biopsies and the characterization of the lamina propria dendritic cells

In total, 40 pinch biopsies were obtained from each IBD patient during the study. Twenty were collected during the baseline colonoscopy (10 biopsies from the inflamed colonic mucosa and 10 from the un-inflamed mucosa). The remaining 20 biopsies were obtained from the same colonic segments on week 12 after the induction treatment was completed.

One colonoscopy was performed on each healthy control. We performed 10 biopsies per colonoscopy from all colonic segments.

All colonic tissue samples were obtained with biopsy forceps and placed in containers with normal saline. We started the dissociation of DCs within 3 hours of sample collection. The intestinal biopsies were dissociated into single-cell suspensions by combining mechanical dissociation with enzymatic degradation as previously described.36 Briefly, the intraepithelial cells were isolated by incubating the biopsies for 20 min at 37°C with HBSS-EDTA two times (Gibco, Paisley, Scotland, UK) (HBSS without Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ and containing 5% FCS, 10 mM Hepes, 5 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The lamina propria (LP) mononuclear cells (LPMCs) were isolated by digesting the biopsies in HBSS containing 5% FCS, 1.25 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), 1 mg/ml collagenase VIII (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), 1 mg/ml DNase I (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and 1 mg/ml Dispase (Invitrogen, Oslo, Norway) for 30 min at 37°C with agitation. Following the digestion, the biopsies were further disrupted using a GentleMACS® dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). The supernatants were collected by filtering the cell suspensions through nylon mesh, and single-cell suspensions of lamina propria MNCs were enriched for CD45+ cells by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). The supernatants were collected by filtering the cell suspensions through nylon mesh, and single-cell suspensions of lamina propria MNCs were enriched for CD45+ cells by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany).

We used the following antibodies: anti-HLA-DR APC-Cy7/FITC, anti-CD3 PerCP Cy5.5, anti-CD19 PerCP Cy5.5, anti-CD16 PerCP Cy5.5, anti-CD14 PerCP Cy5.5, anti-CD11c PE/APC, anti-CD11b PE, anti-CD123 PE/PE Cy7, anti-CD303 FITC, anti-CD103 FITC, anti-CD80 FITC/APC, anti-CD83 PE, and anti-CD86 BD Horizon V450/PE-Cy7. All antibodies were obtained from BD Biosciences.
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Lamina propria DCs were analysed on a BD Canto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) using DIVA software (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA). The proportion of cells positive for a given marker was determined by referencing unstained cells. FMO (fluorescence minus one) controls were used to identify and gate cells. A total of 50,000 cells were acquired. The data analysis was performed using FlowJo version 10.1 software (TreeStar, Ashland, USA) as previously described. Lamina propria DCs were identified as HLA-DR-positive and lineage cocktail (CD3/CD14/CD16/CD19)-negative cells. The data for pDCs and cDCs are presented as the frequency within the lamina propria mononuclear cells. CD103+/CD103- DC data is presented as the frequency among the cDCs. The expression of HLA-DR, CD86, CD80 and CD83 on cDCs is given as the median fluorescent intensity (MFI) (Figure 1). Table 2 describes the surface markers of the measured DC populations.

Statistical analysis
SPSS 17.0 software (IBM) and GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software) were used to perform all appropriate statistical analyses. The data are presented as the mean and standard error of the mean. Differences between independent groups were analysed with an unpaired Student’s t-test. Predictive cut-off values were identified using receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations
All patients and volunteers gave informed consent for the study. The National Ethics Committee approved the study protocol with the registration number 129/06/13.
Results

Clinical outcomes

An endoscopic response to treatment was observed in 7/14 (50%) patients with UC and 11/16 (69%) CD patients at week 12 (Table 3). CRP and faecal calprotectin concentrations decreased following the induction treatment. However, neither the baseline CRP nor the baseline faecal calprotectin concentration was predictive of the response to treatment, data not shown.

Proportions of dendritic cells in the colonic mucosa

We analysed biopsies from 59 colonoscopies from 30 patients (29 patients had colonoscopies performed before and after treatment, while one patient only had a baseline endoscopy performed). The proportions of the pDC, cDC and CD103⁺ DC subsets were higher in the inflamed mucosa of IBD patients compared to the mucosa of healthy controls. However, the proportion of the CD103⁺ subset was lower in the inflamed mucosa than in the mucosa of healthy controls (Table 4).

At baseline, the proportion of cDCs was higher in the inflamed mucosa compared to the uninfamed mucosa of IBD patients, but the proportion of the CD103⁺ DCs subset was lower in the inflamed mucosa (Table 5).

When comparing the pretreatment inflamed mucosa of UC and CD patients, we found no differences in the proportions of the pDC, cDC, CD103⁺ and CD103⁻ DCs subsets, and we did not observe any differences in the expression of the costimulatory molecule CD86.

Effect of the treatment with TNFα antagonists on DC expression patterns

The proportion of cDCs decreased after the treatment with TNFα inhibitors in the inflamed mucosa, while the proportions of the pDC and CD103⁺ DC subsets remained unchanged (Table 6).

Responders to the treatment had a significantly higher proportion of cDCs in the inflamed mucosa.

| TABLE 2. Phenotypes of the measured dendritic cells (DC) |
|----------------|----------------|
| Abbreviation   | Phenotype      |
| Plasmacytoid DC| pDC HLA-DR⁺, CD123⁺, CD303⁺, CD11c⁺, CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD16⁺, CD19⁺ |
| Conventional DC| cDC HLA-DR⁺, CD11c⁺, CD123⁺, CD303⁺, CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD16⁺, CD19⁺ |
| Mature conventional DC| CD86⁺ DC HLA-DR⁺, CD80⁺, CD86⁺, CD3⁺, CD11c⁺, CD123⁺, CD303⁺, CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD16⁺, CD19⁺ |
| Activated mature conventional DC| HLA-DR DC HLA-DR⁺, CD80⁺, CD86⁺, CD11c⁺, CD123⁺, CD303⁺, CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD16⁺, CD19⁺ |
| Intestinal CD103⁺ DCs important in maintaining intestinal immune homeostasis| CD103⁺ DC CD103⁺, HLA-DR⁺, CD11c⁺, CD123⁺, CD303⁺, CD3⁺, CD14⁺, CD16⁺, CD19⁺ |

| TABLE 3. Clinical, biochemical and endoscopic data of the patients before and after treatment with TNFα inhibitors |
|----------------|----------------|
| Before treatment | After treatment |
| WEEK 0 | WEEK 12 |
| ULCERATIVE COLITIS (n = 14) | | |
| SCCAI 8.4 (0.9) | 3.1 (0.5) |
| CRP (mg/l) 8.8 (2.9) | 7.8 (2.4) |
| Fecal calprotectin (mg/kg) 351 (41.7) | 254 (58.2) |
| Endoscopic Mayo Score 2.4 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.3) |
| CROHN’S DISEASE (n = 16) | | |
| HBSI 9.5 (1.3) | 3.3 (0.8) |
| CRP (mg/l) 17.5 (3.1) | 10.5 (3.6) |
| Fecal calprotectin (mg/kg) 341 (40) | 209 (48) |
| SES-CD 12.9 (1.1) | 5.5 (1.8) |

The data are presented as the mean and standard error of the mean. CRP = C-reactive protein; HBSI = Harvey Bradshaw severity index; SCCAI = simple clinical colitis activity index; SES-CD = simple endoscopic score for Crohn disease.

| TABLE 4. Subpopulations of dendritic cells (DC) in the inflamed inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) mucosa and the mucosa of healthy controls (HC) before the treatment with TNFα inhibitors |
|----------------|----------------|
| IBD-inflamed | HC | p value |
| pDC (%) | 1.7 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.005 |
| cDC (%) | 7.8 (0.9) | 0.5 (0.1) | < 0.001 |
| CD86 (MFI) | 1248 (231) | 430 (43) | 0.04 |
| HLA-DR (MFI) | 10918 (767) | 11808 (711) | 0.52 |
| CD103⁺ (%) | 47.1 (3.1) | 66.3 (3.3) | 0.002 |
| CD103⁻ (%) | 52.8 (3.2) | 32.1 (4.1) | 0.001 |

Proportion and median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and conventional DCs (cDCs) in the inflamed IBD mucosa (n = 30) and the mucosa of healthy controls (HC) (n = 10) before the treatment with TNFα inhibitors. The results are presented as the mean with the standard error of the mean (SEM). To compare means, we used an unpaired Student’s t-test.
We prospectively investigated the in vivo effects of TNFα inhibitors on mucosal DCs in 30 IBD patients. This study confirmed previous observations on cDC changes after treatment with TNFα inhibitors. Our most important finding was that a proportion of cDCs above 7% in the inflamed IBD mucosa before treatment reliably predicted an endoscopic response to TNFα inhibitors, as 93% of the patients with a proportion of cDC above this threshold responded to treatment.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates primary resistance to treatment with TNFα inhibitors from the perspective of DCs. Primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors is observed in up to 40% of patients with IBD and is associated with poor outcomes. Ineffective induction treatment can lead to serious adverse effects and high costs. Therefore, the identification of predictors of patient responsiveness to TNFα inhibitors, which would guide the selection of patients most likely to benefit from this therapy, is eagerly awaited.

The results of our study add important knowledge to this field as we identified significant differences in DC profiles in the pretreatment colonic mucosa of patients with primary resistance compared to that of patients who responded to the induction treatment with TNFα inhibitors. The proportion of cDCs in the pretreatment colonic mucosa was higher in responders to TNFα inhibitors compared to nonresponders. Furthermore, in patients with primary resistance, there was a lack of activated cDCs, as evidenced by the lower expression of the activation marker HLA-DR. We also observed that responding patients had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DC subset. This was particularly pronounced in patients with UC. We predicted the response to TNFα inhibitors with 93% specificity before treatment compared to nonresponders. The expression of HLA-DR was also higher in the responders (Figure 2, Table 7).

There were no differences in these parameters between the two IBD types; however, only UC responders had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DCs subset in the inflamed mucosa before the treatment compared to nonresponders (Table 8).

Patients with a higher proportion of cDCs in the inflamed mucosa before treatment were more likely to respond to the TNFα inhibitors. A total of 93% of patients with a proportion of cDCs above 7% responded to the therapy. The cut-off value of 6% was still 100% specific and 88% sensitive for the response to TNF-α inhibitors (Figure 3). Similarly, an HLA-DR MFI > 12450 had 90% specificity and 45% sensitivity for the response (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

We prospectively investigated the in vivo effects of TNFα inhibitors on mucosal DCs in 30 IBD patients. This study confirmed previous observations on cDC changes after treatment with TNFα inhibitors. Our most important finding was that a proportion of cDCs above 7% in the inflamed IBD mucosa before treatment reliably predicted an endoscopic response to TNFα inhibitors, as 93% of the patients with a proportion of cDC above this threshold responded to treatment.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates primary resistance to treatment with TNFα inhibitors from the perspective of DCs. Primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors is observed in up to 40% of patients with IBD and is associated with poor outcomes. Ineffective induction treatment can lead to serious adverse effects and high costs. Therefore, the identification of predictors of patient responsiveness to TNFα inhibitors, which would guide the selection of patients most likely to benefit from this therapy, is eagerly awaited.

The results of our study add important knowledge to this field as we identified significant differences in DC profiles in the pretreatment colonic mucosa of patients with primary resistance compared to that of patients who responded to the induction treatment with TNFα inhibitors. The proportion of cDCs in the pretreatment colonic mucosa was higher in responders to TNFα inhibitors compared to nonresponders. Furthermore, in patients with primary resistance, there was a lack of activated cDCs, as evidenced by the lower expression of the activation marker HLA-DR. We also observed that responding patients had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DC subset. This was particularly pronounced in patients with UC. We predicted the response to TNFα inhibitors with 93% specificity before treatment compared to nonresponders. The expression of HLA-DR was also higher in the responders (Figure 2, Table 7).

There were no differences in these parameters between the two IBD types; however, only UC responders had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DCs subset in the inflamed mucosa before the treatment compared to nonresponders (Table 8).

Patients with a higher proportion of cDCs in the inflamed mucosa before treatment were more likely to respond to the TNFα inhibitors. A total of 93% of patients with a proportion of cDCs above 7% responded to the therapy. The cut-off value of 6% was still 100% specific and 88% sensitive for the response to TNF-α inhibitors (Figure 3). Similarly, an HLA-DR MFI > 12450 had 90% specificity and 45% sensitivity for the response (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

We prospectively investigated the in vivo effects of TNFα inhibitors on mucosal DCs in 30 IBD patients. This study confirmed previous observations on cDC changes after treatment with TNFα inhibitors. Our most important finding was that a proportion of cDCs above 7% in the inflamed IBD mucosa before treatment reliably predicted an endoscopic response to TNFα inhibitors, as 93% of the patients with a proportion of cDC above this threshold responded to treatment.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that investigates primary resistance to treatment with TNFα inhibitors from the perspective of DCs. Primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors is observed in up to 40% of patients with IBD and is associated with poor outcomes. Ineffective induction treatment can lead to serious adverse effects and high costs. Therefore, the identification of predictors of patient responsiveness to TNFα inhibitors, which would guide the selection of patients most likely to benefit from this therapy, is eagerly awaited.

The results of our study add important knowledge to this field as we identified significant differences in DC profiles in the pretreatment colonic mucosa of patients with primary resistance compared to that of patients who responded to the induction treatment with TNFα inhibitors. The proportion of cDCs in the pretreatment colonic mucosa was higher in responders to TNFα inhibitors compared to nonresponders. Furthermore, in patients with primary resistance, there was a lack of activated cDCs, as evidenced by the lower expression of the activation marker HLA-DR. We also observed that responding patients had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DC subset. This was particularly pronounced in patients with UC. We predicted the response to TNFα inhibitors with 93% specificity before treatment compared to nonresponders. The expression of HLA-DR was also higher in the responders (Figure 2, Table 7).

There were no differences in these parameters between the two IBD types; however, only UC responders had a higher proportion of the CD103+ DCs subset in the inflamed mucosa before the treatment compared to nonresponders (Table 8).

Patients with a higher proportion of cDCs in the inflamed mucosa before treatment were more likely to respond to the TNFα inhibitors. A total of 93% of patients with a proportion of cDCs above 7% responded to the therapy. The cut-off value of 6% was still 100% specific and 88% sensitive for the response to TNF-α inhibitors (Figure 3). Similarly, an HLA-DR MFI > 12450 had 90% specificity and 45% sensitivity for the response (Figure 4).
based on the DC profile of the pretreatment mucosa. Our results, if confirmed in a larger cohort, are likely to guide treatment decisions. Patients with an unfavourable DC profile could expect a greater benefit from other non-TNFα-based treatments for IBD, such as ustekinumab or vedolizumab.40,41

The strengths of our study are the prospective inclusion of patients with endoscopically confirmed inflammation in the colonic mucosa at baseline and the use of the robust endpoint of mucosal healing after induction treatment, which has been shown to be associated with long-term remission in patients with IBD.42,43 These conclusions are further strengthened by our finding that objective markers of inflammation (CRP and faecal calprotectin concentrations) before treatment had no predictive value for the response to TNFα inhibitors. Therefore, we believe that changes in the DC profile after the successful induction of mucosal healing with TNFα inhibitors predict long-term control of disease.

Our data also showed that CD and UC patients display the same DC imbalance in the inflamed intestinal mucosa compared to mucosa of healthy controls, which is consistent with previously published data.44

The inclusion of healthy controls enabled us to study differences in healthy and diseased colonic mucosa. We observed a higher frequency of the CD103+ DC subset and an inverse ratio of the CD103+/CD103− DC subsets in the inflamed mucosa compared to the mucosa of the healthy controls. The reduced proportion of the CD103+ DC subset reflects the disturbance in gut tolerance and explains the high levels of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-12 and IL-23, in the inflamed mucosa of patients with IBD.45-50 In line with this, we observed a shift towards a more favorable CD103+/CD103− ratio in UC responders compared to UC nonresponders. The successful induction of mucosal healing in patients with CD was not associated with changes in the CD103+/CD103− DC ratio. This underlines the different pathological mechanisms of the two diseases.5,14,51

In our study, patients with more activated cDCs in the pretreatment colonic mucosa responded better to TNFα inhibitors than patients with a lower proportion of cDCs in the colonic mucosa. The increased amount of activated cDCs in responders could be a reflection of a higher local amount of mucosal TNFα in responders compared to nonresponders. A possible explanation for the favourable response to TNFα inhibitors in patients with a high local concentration of TNFα was provided by Yarur et al.52, who observed increased local accumulation of TNFα inhibitors in patients with more TNFα expression in inflamed colonic tissue. In our patients who did not respond, inflammation could be driven by non-TNFα mediators, such as IL-23. In such cases, IL-23, which maintains chronic inflammation through the Th17 cell pathway, could be a better treatment target.40,53 Interestingly, many genetic polymorphisms linked to primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors have been identified in the Th17 pathway.54

The CD86 costimulatory molecule primes T cells during antigen presentation and represents a marker of DC maturation.55 It is therefore not surprising that we confirmed higher expression of this molecule in IBD patients compared to healthy controls.56 This is also in agreement with our observation that CD86 expression decreased more
TABLE 8. Subpopulations of dendritic cells (DCs) in the inflamed ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease mucosa in responders and nonresponders before the treatment with TNFα inhibitors

|                          | Responders | Nonresponders | p value |
|--------------------------|------------|---------------|---------|
| **Ulcerative colitis**   |            |               |         |
| pDC (%)                  | 2.5 [0.6]  | 1.7 [0.4]     | 0.9     |
| cDC (%)                  | 10.1 [1.5] | 4.7 [1.2]     | 0.04    |
| CD86 (MFI)               | 1595 (523) | 552 [40]      | 0.2     |
| HLA-DR (MFI)             | 12182 (3613) | 6693 (3614)    | 0.01    |
| CD103+ (%)               | 61.4 [7.0] | 34.8 [6.5]    | 0.02    |
| CD103 (%)                | 38.4 [7.1] | 65.2 [2.7]    | 0.02    |
| **Crohn’s disease**      |            |               |         |
| pDC (%)                  | 1.6 [0.4]  | 0.9 [0.3]     | 0.2     |
| cDC (%)                  | 9.7 [1.6]  | 4.2 [1.0]     | 0.04    |
| CD86 (MFI)               | 1452 (434) | 1019 [335]    | 0.5     |
| HLA-DR (MFI)             | 13152 (1319)| 10983 (1368) | 0.3     |
| CD103+ (%)               | 50.8 [4.9] | 50.2 [9.9]    | 0.9     |
| CD103 (%)                | 49.2 [4.5] | 49.8 [9.9]    | 0.9     |

Proportion and median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) and conventional DCs (cDCs) in the inflamed inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) mucosa of responders and nonresponders with ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease (n = 29) before the treatment with TNFα inhibitors. The results are presented as the mean with the standard error of the mean (SEM). To compare means, we used an unpaired Student’s t-test.

in responders to TNFα inhibitors compared to nonresponders (although our finding needs further conformation due to the limited sample size, which possibly prevented us from reaching statistical significance).57 Interestingly, we also found increased expression of CD86 in macroscopically uninflamed segments of colon from patients with IBD compared to those from healthy controls – a finding that underlines that chronic inflammation is also present in colonic segments that appear endoscopically normal (Table 4).

In our study, we confirmed more pDCs in patients with CD and UC compared to healthy controls.20,35-60 However, we did not observe any differences in the amount of pDCs in the inflamed mucosa compared to the uninflamed mucosa in patients with IBD. Additionally, TNFα inhibitors did not affect the proportion of pDCs in the colonic mucosa.

The small number of patients included in this study represents a limitation, which we tried to mitigate by assessing the treatment with the robust and objective criteria of mucosal healing instead of clinical parameters. This eliminated the placebo effect, which has been observed in many IBD studies in up to 30% of patients. Fifty-seven percent of our patients were being treated with concomitant immunosuppressants at the time of study inclusion. This could have influenced our results; however, the dose of the immunosuppressants was stable during the induction treatment up to the time point of the evaluation endoscopy. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis did not show any impact of immunosuppressants on DC maturation.61

The second limitation of our study is that the IBD group (on average 39 yrs old) and healthy controls (on average 58 yrs old) were not completely age-matched. There are very few reports evaluating age-related DC numbers in intestinal tissue. In children, Teig et al.62 reported a change in the numbers of different DC subpopulations according to age. However, Bella et al.63 did not find any significant age-related changes in the numbers of DC subpopulations, but DCs from elderly individuals (older than 60 yrs) were more mature and impaired in the production of IL-12 compared to those from younger individuals. In our study, DCs from the healthy control group expressed fewer markers of maturation (CD86). We believe that age differences between our groups did not influence the proportions and phenotypes of the DCs.

Our findings are limited to colonic DCs since we did not include small bowel biopsies in the analysis. This is important to note, as it has been shown that ileal involvement represents a distinct IBD phenotype.54,65

In conclusion, we prospectively investigated the effect of TNFα inhibitors on colonic DC profiles in 30 IBD patients. Our findings of differential pre-treatment DC profiles and differential expression of HLA-DR in responders compared to nonresponders to TNFα inhibitors add important additional insight into the mechanism of primary resistance to TNFα inhibitors.

We identified a pretreatment mucosal DC profile that was linked to successful mucosal healing after induction therapy with TNFα inhibitors. According to this profile, we were able to predict the response to TNFα inhibitors with 93% specificity and 88% sensitivity.

The pretreatment mucosal DC profile may be a powerful tool to predict the response to TNFα inhibitors and thus assist clinicians in choosing the most effective treatment for individual patients.
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