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Abstract: The oxidative addition of aryl halides is a common entry point in catalytic cycles for cross-coupling and related reactions. In the case of phosphine-supported nickel(0) fragments, the formation of reactive Ni(i)-aryl products often competes with the production of Ni(ii) species. Here, recent advances in the mechanistic understanding of these reactions are highlighted. In particular, the denticity of the supporting ligand has a significant influence on the outcome of the reaction.
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1. Introduction

The oxidative addition of aryl halides to a reduced metal center is often the activation step through which these substrates enter the catalytic cycle of cross-coupling reactions.[1] A fundamental mechanistic understanding of this reaction is crucial for the rational development of more efficient cross-coupling catalysts. While the field of transition-metal catalyzed cross-couplings is dominated by palladium catalysis,[2] nickel is an attractive alternative for reasons that are not limited to sustainability. Oxidative addition to a Ni(0) center is generally easier even for challenging bonds as C–O,[3] due to its lower reduction potential and lower electronegativity.[4] Another innate characteristic of nickel is the more facile accessibility of open-shell electronic configurations as Ni(t) and Ni(III), because of a higher electron pairing energy due to a smaller nucleus radius when compared to palladium.[4] The latter characteristic allows nickel to react through single-electron transfers,[5] depending on the reaction, these pathways can be either productive[6] or detrimental,[7] leading in that case to off-cycle species and/or byproducts.[8,9] Generally speaking, the competition between several pathways can be modulated by the surrounding ligands,[6] and an understanding of the relationship between the ligand structure and the branching ratio between different pathways is desirable.

Phosphine donor ligands occupy a place of choice in nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling methodologies because of their soft character, allowing them to stabilize reduced Ni(0) intermediates.[10] In a simplified catalytic cycle (Scheme 1), the reaction of an aryl halide with a Ni(0) center bearing phosphine ligands affords Ni(i)-aryl halide complexes, which then undergo transmetallation with a carbon nucleophile and reductive elimination to generate the coupling product and regenerate the active Ni(0) complex.[4] However, Ni(i) species are often observed both in stoichiometric reactions and under catalytic conditions.[5,11] While Ni(i) species are thought to be involved as catalytically competent intermediates with several N- and C-donor supporting ligands,[12] evidence suggests that they are off-cycle species in P-donor systems.[8,9] In this short account, we present some relevant recent mechanistic studies on the oxidative addition of aryl halides to a Ni(0) center bearing phosphine ligands. The stability in solution of Ni(i)-aryl halide complexes and the selectivity ratio between Ni(ii)/Ni(i) species are discussed, as well as the influence of the denticity of the supporting phosphine ligands. In particular, the ability of a tridentate PPP pincer ligand to favor clean oxidative addition to Ni(0) to form stable 5-coordinate aryl–Ni(II) was studied experimentally and computationally as a collaboration between Utrecht University and KU Leuven (A. Darù, Prof. J. N. Harvey), facilitated by the NoNoMeCat Network.

2. Monodentate Phosphine ligands

Early on, the reaction of para-substituted aryl halides with (PEt3)4Ni0 complex was studied in detail by Kochi and coworkers.[13] They suggested that, after the dissociation of one ligand, an electron transfers from the nickel center to the aryl halide to...
form a solvent-caged radical ion pair \([\text{Ni}^0(\text{PEt}_3)_3\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\) (Scheme 2). This intermediate can decay in two ways: either (1) the aryl radical is trapped by the Ni(0) center to form the Ni(II) oxidative addition product \([\text{Ni}^\text{II}(\text{PEt}_3)_2\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\), or (2) the aryl radical diffuses out of the solvent cage, ultimately abstracting an H-atom from the solvent, and the Ni(II) complex \([\text{Ni}^\text{II}(\text{PEt}_3)_2\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\) is formed. The \(\text{Ni}^\text{II}/\text{Ni}^0\) ratio decreased with increasing C–X bond strength, with lower polarity solvents, and with electron withdrawing substituents on the aryl moiety. Similar competition between Ni(0) and Ni(II) production have been observed with different phosphine ligands. An additional complication arises from the fact that the formed Ni(II)–aryl species can decay to Ni(0) via bimetallic reductive elimination as recently described by Baird and Budzelaar\(^{[14]}\) in the case of trans-Ni\(^{II}(\text{PPh}_3)_2(\text{Ph})\text{Cl}\).

These experimental results were recently slightly reinterpret- ed in computational studies by Maseras and coworkers (Scheme 3).\(^{[15]}\) Instead of the common intermediate \([\text{Ni}^\text{II}(\text{PR}_3)_2\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\) proposed by Kochi, they proposed two parallel reaction pathways: (1) the formation of \([\text{Ni}^\text{II}(\text{PR}_3)_2\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\) goes through a bimolecular, S$_2$-like oxidative addition mechanism, and (2) the formation of \([\text{Ni}^\text{II}(\text{PR}_3)_2\text{Ar}^-\text{X}^+]\) goes through a halogen atom abstraction mechanism passing by a open-shell singlet transition state. The activation energies for the halide abstraction mechanism increase with the energies of the $\sigma^*$ orbitals for the carbon halide bonds in the aryl halide substrates. These results match well with the experimental observations reported by Kochi. The chemical nature of the halide seems to be not relevant for the value of the activation energy for the S$_2$-like oxidative addition mechanism. On the other hand, the number of phosphines bound to the nickel center showed to be relevant for the oxidative addition mechanism, a tris(phosphine) complex being necessary for energetically accessible transition states.

3. Bidentate Phosphine Ligands

Bidentate phosphine ligands generally form more stable ad- ducts because of the chelate effect and are often applied in Ni- catalyzed cross coupling reactions. The reactions of aryl halides with a Ni(0) center bearing a bidentate phosphine ligand also yield Ni(II) aryl halide complexes and Ni(0) species in a ratio that strongly depends on the chosen ligand. For example, electron-rich diphosphines such as dippe (dippe = 1,2-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ethane)\(^{[16]}\) and dcpp (dcpp = 1,3-bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)propane)\(^{[17]}\) led to the exclusive formation of well-defined Ni(II) aryl halide complexes, as does the narrow bite angle diphosphine BINAP (2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl).\(^{[8]}\) On the other hand, when the wide angle diphosphine XantPhos is used, only Ni(0) halide species are obtained.\(^{[18]}\)

Nelson and coworkers studied in detail the mechanism of the reaction of ortho- and para-substituted aryl halides with the
well-defined (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(COD) complex\(^{[9]}\). They proposed a fast exchange pre-equilibrium between the COD and the aryl halide, followed by the oxidative addition going through a three-center concerted transition state leading to the formation of (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar)X complexes (Scheme 4A). This proposal was supported by a nil experimental activation entropy and a small positive rho value (\(\rho = 1.15\)) determined by Hammett analysis. Complexes (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar)X are short-lived and only observable when ortho substituted aryl halides are used as substrates, presumably due to steric stabilization (ortho effect)\(^{[20]}\). They are unstable in solution due to their fast reaction with unreacted (dpff)Ni\(^{0}\)(COD), leading to the formation of (dpff)Ni\(^{0}\)X and (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar) by comproportionation (Scheme 4B). The authors suggest that complexes (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar) regenerate the complex (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(COD), either by a sequence disproportionation – reductive elimination or a hydrogen atom abstraction from the solvent\(^{[9,10]}\).

### 4. Tridentate Phosphine Ligands

The computational results discussed above highlighted the higher reactivity of tris(phosphine) Ni(0) complexes for \(S_n^2\)-like oxidative addition. We reasoned that a tridentate phosphine could favor the oxidative addition over the halogen atom abstraction, and possibly also stabilize the Ni(II) aryl halide complexes in solution, preventing its decomposition by comproportionation. Using this hypothesis as a starting point, we recently reported a combined experimental and computational mechanistic study of the oxidative addition of aryl halides to a Ni(0) center bearing the tridentate ligand PPP\(^{pol}\) (PPP\(^{pol}\) = bis(2-bis(p-tolyl)phosphinophenyl)-phenylphosphine)\(^{[21]}\). The reaction between (PPP\(^{pol}\))Ni\(^{II}\)(BPI) (BPI = benzophenone imine, a labile coligand) and aryl halides cleanly produced stable pentacoordinated (PPP\(^{pol}\))Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar)X complexes (Scheme 5). The X-ray crystal structure analysis showed a trigonal bipyramidal structure with a facial coordination for the tridentate ligand, an axial aryl ligand and an equatorial halide ligand. This geometry contrasts with the square-planar geometry observed for the overwhelming majority of phosphine-supported aryl–Ni(II) species. A kinetic study of the reaction suggested an equilibrium between the coligand BPI and the aryl halide, followed by a concerted oxidative addition process passing by a polarized transition state. The nature of the transition state is supported by an experimental negative entropy of activation (\(\Delta S^\ddagger = -18(2) \text{ cal K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}\)) and by a positive rho value (\(\rho = +2.6\)) determined by Hammett analysis. DFT calculations predict activation parameters that are consistent with experiment (\(\Delta G^\ddagger = 23.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\) compared to \(\Delta G^\ddagger = 21.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}\)). Additionally, computed Hammett analyses are in good agreement with the observed acceleration of the reaction rate by electron withdrawing substituents on the aryl group.

### 5. Conclusions and Outlook

In conclusion, the outcome of the reaction of aryl halides with a Ni(0) center strongly depends on the choice of supporting ligand. In this short perspective, we highlighted recent studies using phosphine ligands, focusing on the effect of the denticity of the ligand. These studies highlight how the selectivity between Ni\(^{II}\) and Ni\(^{0}\) products is affected by the supporting ligand, which is of importance for the development of efficient cross-coupling catalysts relying on two-electron mechanisms. When monodentate phosphines are used, a non-selective mixture of Ni\(^{II}\) and Ni\(^{0}\) species is often produced by parallel mechanisms: oxidative addition and halogen atom abstraction. Additionally, the stability of [Ni\(^{II}\)(PR\(_2\))\(_2\)(Ar)X] species in solution is compromised by the lability of the phosphine ligand. In the case of bidentate phosphines, clean Ni\(^{II}\) complexes can be obtained with electron-rich phosphines. For complexes bearing the widely used dpff ligand, the oxidative addition of aryl halides to the Ni(0) center is thought to proceed through a three-center, concerted transition state, but the stability of the resulting complexes (dpff)Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar)X depends on the steric hindrance of the aryl ligand to avoid the fast formation of (dpff)Ni\(^{0}\)X complexes by comproportionation. Finally, the use of pincer triphosphine ligand PPP\(^{pol}\) selectively leads to the production of unusual pentacoordinate (PPP\(^{pol}\))Ni\(^{II}\)(Ar)X displaying a high stability in solution. Further applications of this
coordination motif for the development of efficient catalysts and their mechanistic understanding are currently under investigation in our laboratory.
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