Case Report

Accidental Puncture of the Pulmonary Artery during a Subclavian Central Venous Catheterization
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The complications associated with central venous catheterization are common and well known. Common malplacement locations have been described in the literature. We report the case of an accidental direct puncture of the pulmonary artery during a subclavian central venous catheterization.

1. Introduction

The complications associated with subclavian central venous catheterization (CVC) ranged from 6 to 11% [1]. Specific complications associated temporally with placement of a subclavian line include hemothorax and pneumothorax, air embolism, arterial puncture, and aortic perforation [1–4]. Common malplacement locations include placement transverse to the contralateral subclavian vein or internal jugular vein [1]. We report a case of an accidental direct puncture of the pulmonary artery during a subclavian CVC.

2. Observation

A cachectic 79-year-old man (weight 45 kg and height 1.65 m) with a history of ischemic heart disease and triple bypass surgery and advanced fibrosing interstitial pneumonia presented with an acute respiratory decompensation related to pneumonia (SpO2 at 90% via a high concentration oxygen mask; 10 L/min). The methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus was found and required intravenous vancomycin. Because of difficulties for peripheral venous access, a left subclavian central venous catheter (plastimed Seldiflex 20 cm, Prodimed, France) was implanted. The left side was chosen because of a better skin condition. This was done by a first-term intensive care resident who had very limited technical experience (less than 20 CVC) and had not received any previous medical training. He was supervised by a senior anaesthesiologist throughout the procedure.

The catheterization was performed according to the Aubaniac method at the junction of the medial third and middle third of the left clavicle, close to the lower edge [5]. Blood reflux was observed from the first puncture without encountering any technical difficulties and the catheter was introduced using the Seldinger technique. A radiological follow-up examination was performed and showed an aberrant course of the catheter in the middle of the chest without showing evidence of any pleural effusion (Figure 1).

After inspection of the patient, it was found that the puncture was made under the third rib. A radiographic control was performed by administration of contrast products. It shows the route of the catheter through the 2 pulmonary arteries (Figure 2).

It was decided to remove the central venous catheter without further treatment and perform a CT scan a few hours later. No complication was observed. A new CVC was implanted without difficulty in the left subclavian vein. The patient died three days afterwards after worsening of the lung disease.
adhesions and thus could have prevented the formation of a possible hemothorax and/or pneumothorax. Furthermore, the puncture was performed in a medial position and the needle could be introducing directly into the mediastinum without involving the lung parenchyma.

Lejus and colleagues have shown that residents initially observed two or three procedures performed by seniors, but did not have theoretical lectures in 30 to 50% of cases [8]. Despite the presence of experienced anaesthesiologists during the first attempts, there was a high morbidity rate which was considered by many anaesthesiologists as a loss of benefit for the patients [8]. It was shown that above the threshold of 50 procedures made, the complication rate was decreased by 50% [9]. The introduction of a tutorial with a description of the main objectives under the supervision of seniors associated with the simulation is recommended [10, 11]. Even though the contribution of simulation in the training sequence is positive, the investment in equipment and time associated with this educational approach is made possible only at university centres with ad hoc procedures.

Now, the ultrasound guidance to insert central venous catheter has became the gold standard. It increases the success rate, reduces the risk of complications, and induces an important reduction in the risk of failure [12, 13]. In this case report, ultrasound guidance would have shown unusual structures leading to reconsidering the landmarks initially taken.

4. Conclusion

We describe an exceptional case of direct puncture of the pulmonary artery during subclavian central venous catheterization which can mainly be explained by the inexperience of the operator.

Abbreviations

CVC: Central venous catheterization
CT: Computed tomography.
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