Growth-promoting effects of marine microalgae species using tropical forest soil extracts

Kasturi Arumugam1, Nor Suhaila Yaacob1,2*, Hasdianty Abdullah3, Mohd Fadzli Ahmad1, Maegala Nallapan Maniyam1, Emi Fazlina Hashim1, Fridelina Sjahri1, Wan Muhammad Ikram1, Kazuhiro Komatsu5, Victor S. Kuwahara4

1Faculty of Engineering Life Sciences, Department of Science Biotechnology, Universiti Selangor, Selangor, Malaysia.
2Institute of Bio-IT Selangor, Universiti Selangor, Selangor, Malaysia.
3Centre for Foundation and General Studies, Universiti Selangor, Selangor, Malaysia.
4Faculty of Education Graduate School of Engineering, Soka University, Hachioji-Shi, Japan.
5Regional Environmental Conservation Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received on: June 30, 2021
Accepted on: September 04, 2021
Available online: February 15, 2022

Key words:
Growth, microalgae, soil extracts, sustainability, treatment

ABSTRACT

Microalgae are a source of high-value substances beneficial for industrial applications. To augment the mass production of microalgae in an artificial culture medium, growth-promoting nutrients are essential. The effect of soil extracts (SEs) on four microalgae species was investigated in this study. Artificial Conway media were supplemented with SE collected from the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve and Raja Musa Forest Reserve (RM), tested using a microplate-incubation technique. The samples were cultured for nine days at 25°C and 30°C, with a light intensity of 33.75 mol photons m−2 seconds−1 and a 12-hour light/dark cycle. Incubation at 25°C showed a higher specific growth rate (SGR) for Nannochloropsis oculata (0.32–0.39 d−1), while, at 30°C, a higher SGR was observed for Dunaliella tertiolecta in all soil treatments (0.31–0.34 d−1). In terms of SEs, media + RM SE showed a higher SGR from 0.25 to 0.39 d−1 for four microalgae. Chlorella sorokiniana and N. oculata grew well at 25°C in all soil treatments, while D. tertiolecta and Dunaliella primolecta grew well at 30°C. This study shows that the RM medium was the optimum soil treatment for all microalgae tested due to the higher concentration of organic matter.

1. INTRODUCTION

Soils are dynamic and diverse natural ecosystems composed of rich organic matter derived from water, minerals, and living organism residues undergoing decomposition processes [1]. Some soils provide an eutrophic environment containing all the fundamental nutrients ideal for microalgae growth relative to artificial media [2]. Artificial seawater media for microalgae growth were developed by eliminating soil extracts (SEs) and substituting them with vitamins, trace metals, chelators, and other organic additives, as initially revealed by [3]. Growth-promoting SE activity was mainly ascribed to the humic component’s chelating action, leading to reduced toxicity or increased trace metal accessibility [3]. As a result of its soil organic matter, SE could play a key role in increasing microalgal biomass. However, due to the high cost and low yield of microalgal products, commercial-scale applications of microalgal biomass are still limited [4]. However, few studies have tested the impact of growth-promoting effects from natural systems on aquatic microalgae. Moreover, no studies have been conducted on mass-culture systems designed to produce commercial-scale applications of microalgae biomass using natural growth-enhancing nutrients.

SE microelements are beneficial for algae cultivation [5]. Soil organic matter consists of various components such as carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), magnesium (Mg), and small amounts of sulfur (S), phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), nitrogen (N), and potassium (K) [6]. The different concentrations of SE influence microalgal growth and their protein content [7]. The higher concentration of SE increases the cell number without affecting algal morphology and their development in an extended period. The nitrogen and manganese content in the culture
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medium contribute by the SE promoted microalgal growth [5]. Furthermore, the carbon content in the soil also supports the algal growth along with other essential elements such as nitrogen and phosphorus [8]. According to Ramaraj et al. [9], most of the studies use the chemical medium to enhance algal growth.

Fertilizer-based culture media can increase microalgal development at a lower cost than an artificial culture medium with the same nutrient composition [10]. Refined and expensive chemicals were predominantly employed for microalgae bulk cultivation, which is not cost-effective for mass culturing [11]. Okauchi [12] also noted that the mass production of Chlorella in vitro using pure chemical media is costly. As a result, the search for less expensive sources of nutrients is always desirable. In addition to being cost-effective, adding a natural growth stimulant to the medium is another way to obtain high algae output.

In this study, soil samples from two tropical forest reserves, the Ayer Hitam Forest Reserve (AH, mineral soil) and Raja Musa Forest Reserve (RM, peat soil), were studied to identify if they have any natural growth-promoting properties in microalgae. Mineral soil is produced by wind or water that causes rock weathering and/or mineral material deposition. Meanwhile, peat soil is the accumulation of waterlogged plants, trunks, and root debris over 1,000 of years formed due to insufficient oxygen [13–15]. These two soil types have adequate carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus content, which is crucial for microalgae. Cruz et al. [16] stated that the lack of any one of the organic matters could affect algal growth. The goal of this project is to assess the growth effects of tropical rainforest minerals and peat SEs on marine microalgae. More specifically, the goal is to discover new natural growth-enhancing material for microalgal mass culture.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. SEs

Two tropical rainforests were selected based on their pristine natural condition, which is undisturbed by human activity and remote from the marine environment. The AH soil was collected at 3°00′27.7″N 101°38′46.9″E, while the RM soil was collected at 3°26′45.2″N 101°19′20.9″E. A random sampling technique was conducted according to the United States Department of Agriculture method. Soil surface or O horizon was removed, and samples were collected from the A horizon, with a maximum depth of 5 to 15 cm. The randomly selected soil samples were mixed into one composite soil sample to form a 3 kg mixture for each site. Roots, woods, and stones were removed by hand, and the samples were oven-dried for 1 week at 60°C. The dried soils were then ground using a 700 g Swing Type Electric Herbal Powder Grinder (Weifang City, Shandong, China), sieved using a 1 mm sieve, and homogenized. The samples were collected from three points (triangle) at a 1 m distance.

The soil samples were treated with aqueous extraction. Water was used as the solvent to prepare the SEs [17] because it is a chemical substance that dissolves a wide range of materials better than any other liquid. Around 20 g of each soil sample was mixed with Milli-Q water (ultrapure water) at a 1:10 ratio and autoclaved at 105°C for 1 hour, followed by centrifugation at 700 × g for 15 minutes (Allegra-30R Centrifuge, Beckman, Indiana, United States). A 0.7 mm glass fibre filter (GF/F, Whatman) was used to filter the supernatant (about 150 ml) of SE. Each soil’s filtered samples were stored at 4°C for further use. Chemical analysis of AH and RM SE filtrates was performed. The MD600/MaxiDirect photometer system was used to measure total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) and total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) (Lovibond Tintometer, Amesbury, United Kingdom). The nitrogen and phosphorus levels were determined in mg l–1 using TDN and TDP of AH and RM SE filtrates, according to the instruction manual. The dissolved organic carbon (DOC) of each SE filtrate was analyzed using Total Organic Carbon Analyser (TOC)-L CSH (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

2.2. Microalgae

In this investigation, the microalgal species employed were Chlorella sorokiniana [National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES)-2168], Nannochloropsis oculata (NIES-2145), Dunaliella tertiolecta (NIES-2258), and Dunaliella primolecta (NIES-2256). The primary mineral solution, trace metal solution, vitamin solution, silicate solution, and nitrate solution were all used to make Conway media [18]. In an autoclaved conical flask, 1,000 µl of stock microalgal culture was inoculated into 50 ml of sterile Conway medium. On a 12-hour light: 12-hour dark cycle, the cultures were grown at 25°C ± 0.5°C with a light intensity of 33.75 µmol photons m–2 seconds–1. The strains were utilized to test on SEs after 2 weeks of incubation to acclimate to the experimental conditions before the experiment.

High-throughput screening methods have been developed using microplates [19,20]. The microplate-incubation technique was conducted on the microalgae species in two types of SEs using a 96-well microplate, where the microplate was divided into several zones. To prevent evaporation, the microplate’s border wells were filled with Milli-Q water up to 200 µl. The third column (blank) had 195 µl of media + 5 µl of SE, whereas the fourth column had 175 µl of media + 5 µl of SE + 20 µl of microalgae (C. sorokiniana, experiment), to capture the exponential phase of microalgae, as illustrated in Figure 1. The 5th through 10th columns of the microplate were treated in the same way, with N. oculata (Column 6), D. tertiolecta (Column 8), and D. primolecta (Column 10). On the four distinct microalgae in another microplate, a medium without SE was employed as a control.

The total volume of each well in the microplate (8 rows and 12 columns) was 200 µl. The microplates were sealed with parafilm to prevent evaporation, preserve the microplate’s air humidity, and avoid contamination. Under the settings, all microplates were incubated for nine days described above at different temperatures. According to Lürling et al. [22], Cyanobacteria and Chlorophyte species showed positive growth between 20°C and 35°C, reported as the optimum temperature. Hence, the temperatures of 25°C and 30°C were used for the incubation. The optical density (OD) at 680 nm was measured every 24 hours using the Infinite M200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan, Austria) to determine microalgal growth. The OD at 680 nm is described as the absorption of visible radiation (the chlorophyll absorption peak is approximately 680 nm), representing microalgal development [23]. Before the OD
measurement, an eight-channel Eppendorf pipettor was used to mix each of the wells containing controls and samples.

2.3. Data analysis

Each control and sample had six-well replicates in a column. Therefore, the sensitive mean value (SMV) of six-well OD replicates were calculated as follows:

\[ SMV = \frac{\text{Sum (6)} - \text{Minutes (1)} - \text{Max (1)}}{4} \]  

Microalgae specific growth rate (SGR) (\( \mu \)) and division rate (\( k \)) were estimated as follows:

\[ \mu = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{N_2}{N_1} \right)}{t_2 - t_1} \]  

(2)

\[ k = \frac{\mu}{\ln 2} \]  

(3)

where \( N_2 \) and \( N_1 \) are the OD at times \( t_2 \) and \( t_1 \).

Student’s \( t \)-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) corresponding to SMV of microalgae growth were used to analyze the DOC, TDN, and TDP content, as well as microalgae growth and maximum OD.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Chemical composition of SEs

SEs from the tropical forest in Malaysia were used as an addition to the Conway media to grow four marine microalgae. Humic substances in the AH and RM SE are shown in Table 1. The DOC, TDN, and TDP in the RM SE are higher than those of AH SE. The ratio of C:N in RM SE is relatively higher than in AH SE.

In contrast, the ratios of C:P and N:P in AH SE are higher than those in RM SE. However, no significant differences (\( p > 0.05 \)) are detected between organic matter ratios in both SEs.

The three primary biogenic components are C, N, and P, renowned for their roles as mass and energy flow carriers in ecosystems [24]. According to Yu et al. [25], soil nutrients could be modified by plants, yielding a forest-type Redfield ratio that can be used to test the stability of the ecosystem. Furthermore, the C, N, and P distribution patterns dictate the stoichiometric features of C:N:P, and their influencing variables indicate the connections between ecological biogeochemistry and peatland structures, functions, and processes [26]. Thus, the Redfield C:N:P ratio was employed to determine the content of soil organic matter in a tropical forest SE in this study.

The Redfield ratio refers to a well-constrained C:N:P proportion in a plankton population of an aquatic ecosystem [27]. As mentioned by [26], the C:N ratios would remain relatively constant in a narrow range, whereas C:P and N:P ratios differed significantly, depending on the ecosystems. However, the C:N, C:P, and N:P in this study showed no significant differences between AH and RM SE. The C:N:P ratios for AH SE and RM SE were 239:18:1 and 147:6:1. As stated by [28], on average, the C:N:P ratio for the terrestrial ecosystem in worldwide soil was 186:13:1. This proved that AH and RM SEs have higher C, N, and P composition, which could enhance microalgal growth.

3.2. The effects of modified SEs and incubation temperatures on the microalgae species

Temperature is a typical environmental variable that has a considerable impact on algae growth efficiency [29]. Due to nutritional conditions and light intensity, different microalgae require different optimal temperatures to grow. Previous research has found that temperature has a significant impact on algae
species’ nutrient intake, carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) uptake, cellular chemical composition, and growth rates [30–33]. As a result, the growth of microalgae may be affected by a wide range of temperatures.

All four microalgal species exhibit a positive growth pattern in all three media, including control, media + AH SE, and media + RM SE at the incubation temperatures of 25°C and 30°C. The exponential growth of \textit{C. sorokiniana} is observed from days 4 to 6 at the incubation temperature of 25°C, followed by a stationary phase until the end of cultivation (Fig. 2a). The maximum OD of \textit{C. sorokiniana} incubated at 25°C is the highest in media + RM SE (Table 2). In comparison, at 30°C, the control and media + RM SE showed a higher value of maximum OD than media + AH SE, as shown in Figure 2b. However, the growth of \textit{C. sorokiniana} in the control, media + AH SE, and media + RM SE did not show any significant differences (p > 0.05) according to Student’s \textit{t}-test. The overall growth of \textit{N. oculata} at incubation temperatures of 25°C and 30°C is enhanced in modified RM and AH SE based on the OD, as shown in Figure 3a and b. The growth pattern at both incubation temperatures indicated no significant differences (p > 0.05) between the control and modified SEs. The maximum OD of \textit{N. oculata} at 25°C and 30°C is higher in the media + RM SE than in other conditions (Table 2).

Figure 4a and b illustrates that at both temperatures the OD of \textit{D. tertiolecta} increases in media + RM SE, but Student’s \textit{t}-test showed no significant differences (p > 0.05) between the control, media + AH SE, and media + RM SE. The maximum OD of \textit{D. tertiolecta} at 25°C and 30°C showed the highest value in media + RM SE (Table 2). Moreover, Figure 5a and b depicts that the

### Table 1: Soil organic matter in AH and RM SEs.

| SE       | DOC (mg l\textsuperscript{-1}) | TDN (mg l\textsuperscript{-1}) | TDP (mg l\textsuperscript{-1}) | C:N | C:P | N:P  |
|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| AH SE    | 90.65 ± 0.00\textsuperscript{a}| 7.00 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{c} | 0.38 ± 0.00\textsuperscript{b} | 12.95 | 238.55 | 18.20 |
| RM SE    | 1,032.10 ± 0.04\textsuperscript{a} | 45.20 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 6.90 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{c} | 22.83 | 149.57 | 6.55 |

\(a\)\textsuperscript{c} Mean values with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05) using ANOVA and Turkey’s post hoc.

### Table 2: Effect of different incubation temperatures and modified SEs on the maximum OD and division rate of \textit{C. sorokiniana}, \textit{N. oculata}, \textit{D. tertiolecta}, and \textit{D. primolecta}.

| Incubation temperature | Species       | Modified SE   | Maximum OD | Division rate \(k (d\textsuperscript{-1})\) |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| 25°C                   | \textit{C. sorokiniana} | Control       | 1.20 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{a} | 0.46 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{a} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 1.08 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.41 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 1.47 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.50 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{N. oculata} | Control       | 1.03 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.50 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 1.07 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.47 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 2.01 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.56 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{D. tertiolecta} | Control       | 0.29 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.43 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 0.28 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.43 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 0.45 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.50 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{D. primolecta} | Control       | 0.27 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} | 0.40 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 0.25 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.33 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 0.26 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.37 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
| 30°C                   | \textit{C. sorokiniana} | Control       | 0.83 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.44 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 0.78 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.38 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 0.81 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.40 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{N. oculata} | Control       | 1.02 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.45 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 1.14 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.47 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 1.44 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.49 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{D. tertiolecta} | Control       | 0.50 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.49 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + AH SE | 0.53 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.45 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 0.68 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | 0.49 ± 0.01\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        | \textit{D. primolecta} | Media + AH SE | 0.59 ± 0.03\textsuperscript{b} | 0.43 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |
|                        |               | Media + RM SE | 0.64 ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} | ± 0.02\textsuperscript{b} |

\(a\)\textsuperscript{b} Mean values with different superscripts are significantly different (p < 0.05) using ANOVA and Turkey’s post hoc.
OD of *D. primolecta* increases in the control instead of media + AH SE and RM SE at 25°C and 30°C. Likewise, increased OD is observed in the media + RM SE, but no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) are observed between the control and modified SE. The maximum OD of *D. primolecta* at 25°C is higher in control, and, at 30°C, a higher value is observed in media + RM SE (Table 2).

Thus, no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) are observed between the OD of four microalgae species on modified SE at both incubation temperatures. In terms of maximum OD, *C. sorokiniana*, *N. oculata*, and *D. tertiolecta* have higher maximum OD in media + RM SE than the control and media + AH SE at 25°C. However, at 30°C, a higher maximum OD is observed in media + RM SE for *N. oculata*, *D. tertiolecta*, and *D. primolecta*.

SGR of *N. oculata* is higher in all three types of media, while, at 30°C, *D. tertiolecta* shows a higher SGR than the other microalgae species in the three media. The incubation temperature of 25°C is the optimum temperature for *C. sorokiniana* and *N. oculata*, while, for *D. tertiolecta* and *D. primolecta*, 30°C is optimum for their growth.

This study compared the growth of marine microalgae at different incubation temperatures on modified media. The SGR of *C. sorokiniana* and *N. oculata* were higher at 25°C, while *D. tertiolecta* and *D. primolecta* demonstrated a higher SGR observed at 30°C. Student’s $t$-test, on the other hand, revealed no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between the microalgae growth at both incubation temperatures. The optimum temperature for various microalgae and macroalgae was found to be between 20°C and 30°C [33]. *Chlorella minutissima* grows best at temperatures between 10°C and 30°C; however, at temperatures beyond 35°C, the particular growth rate decreases [34]. The *Chlorella* strain’s growth was impeded at temperatures above 30°C, and the rate of growth was lowered by 17% at 35°C compared to 30°C. An increase in temperature, according to Converti *et al.* [35], would have resulted in an abrupt disruption of *Chlorella vulgaris’s* further development and consequent cell death. Another study found that 30°C was the best temperature for *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* growth [36].
sp. isolated from severe habitats could grow at temperatures as high as 44°C–51°C [37]. As a result, different microalgae species have varied optimum growth temperatures, and their starting habitat also affects microalgal growth at specific temperatures.

The AH is the most valuable piece of lowland dipterocarp forest remains covering 1,248 ha currently managed by Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). According to Neto et al. [38], soil taken from the AH at various depths and based on the measurement of trees above ground demonstrates a high percentage of carbon content. Likewise, the RM is a significant block of a remaining peat forest in Peninsular Malaysia and plays an important role in carbon storage, to prevent global warming and to maintain biodiversity conservation [39]. It is also called a peat swamp forest as it forms carbon-rich soil by aggregating partially decomposed organic matter under waterlogged conditions. The carbon in the soil could support algal growth and other essential elements such as nitrogen and phosphorus [8].

Modified media, which contain SEs, may show outstanding results compared to artificial ones. SEs are thought to have a significant impact in the augmentation of microalgae growth due to their composition [2]. In the present study, the growth of microalgae on the two SEs showed no significant differences among different microalgae compared to the control. The SGR in media + RM SE showed a significantly higher growth rate for all species at 25°C. In addition, media + AH SE did not show significant differences for microalgae in comparison to the control. These findings suggested that higher soil organic matter in RM SE enhances the growth of microalgae. To prove this, [5] noted that Nannochloropsis sp. grows well in a modified Walne and F/2 medium containing 3.5% and 7.0% SE concentration, respectively. The addition of SEs as organic nutrients or mineral salts to the well-known artificial media can increase microalgae biomass.

Microalgal mass-culture systems were developed for their massive usage in human nutrition, aquaculture feeds, biofertilizers, cosmetics, food colorants, and biofuels [40,41]. Based on the taxonomy and physiology of microalgae, there is a growing demand for sophisticated microalgae products [40]. Recently, microalgal product companies have come up with novel innovations for the manufacturing of biomass and have downstreamed this biomass into highly differentiated products [40]. Along with that, a modified culture medium with SE could assist the mass culture of microalgae. Mass-culturing microalgae in an artificial culture medium would be expensive, which could be compensated using SE to provide low-cost, high biomass production. The natural growth promoters in soils could increase the microalgae growth rate compared to other artificial media.
4. CONCLUSION

The SEs of AH and RM were utilized as natural growth promoters, which increased the growth of *C. sorokiniana*, *N. oculata*, *D. tertiolecta*, and *D. primolecta* compared to control without SE. At the incubation temperature of 25°C, media + RM SE showed higher SGR than the control for all microalgae. Apart from that, control and media + AH SE did not show any significant differences in microalgal growth. The RM SE, composed of higher soil organic matters, influences microalgae growth at both incubation temperatures. However, the overall growth of *C. sorokiniana* and *N. oculata* was reduced at 30°C compared to 25°C, indicating that 25°C is the optimum temperature for these two algal species. In contrast, *D. tertiolecta* and *D. primolecta* have an optimum temperature at 30°C. It can be concluded that media + RM SE has the best natural growth-enhancing nutrient for microalgae, and different microalgae grew well at different optimum temperatures. As a result, the findings of this study will enhance the microalgae production industry by lowering the cost of mass crop enrichment with SEs while also potentially increasing microalgae growth and nutritional value.
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