Quantitative parameters of bacterial RNA polymerase open-complex formation, stabilization and disruption on a consensus promoter
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ABSTRACT

Transcription initiation is the first step in gene expression, and is therefore strongly regulated in all domains of life. The RNA polymerase (RNAP) first associates with the initiation factor σ to form a holoenzyme, which binds, bends and opens the promoter in a succession of reversible states. These states are critical for transcription regulation, but remain poorly understood. Here, we addressed the mechanism of open complex formation by monitoring its assembly/disassembly kinetics on individual consensus lacUV5 promoters using high-throughput single-molecule magnetic tweezers. We probed the key protein–DNA interactions governing the open-complex formation and dissociation pathway by modulating the dynamics at different concentrations of monovalent salts and varying temperatures. Consistent with ensemble studies, we observed that RNAP-promoter open (RPO) complex is a stable, slowly reversible state that is preceded by a kinetically significant open intermediate (RPI), from which the holoenzyme dissociates. A strong anion concentration and type dependence indicates that the RPO stabilization may involve sequence-independent interactions between the DNA and the holoenzyme, driven by a non-Coulombic effect consistent with the non-template DNA strand interacting with σ and the RNAP β subunit. The temperature dependence provides the energy scale of open-complex formation and further supports the existence of additional intermediates.

INTRODUCTION

DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RNAP) is the molecular machine responsible for the RNA production, i.e. the first step of gene expression, in cells (1,2). The structural core of RNAP is conserved in bacteria, eukaryotes and archaea (3–5). RNAP is a versatile target for antimicrobials having more than ten distinct small-molecule binding sites (6), such as rifamycins and fidaxomycin to treat either tuberculosis or Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea, respectively. The bacterial RNAP, typically consisting of five subunits (α2ββ′ω), is structurally the simplest multi-subunits RNAP and has been widely employed as model system for molecular mechanisms of transcription and transcription regulation. The core RNAP cannot initiate promoter-specific transcription on its own. This deficit is compensated in bacteria by σ factors, which bind to the RNAP to form the transcription initiation competent RNAP holoenzyme complex (one σ per RNAP) (1,7).

Biochemical, structural and single-molecule studies have defined the basics of the transcription initiation process by bacterial RNAP–σ70 holoenzyme (from now on referred to as holo, and reviewed in (8,9)). This multistep mechanism begins with holo searching for promoters, embedded in the vast excess of non-promoter DNA. This search probably proceeds by a combination of modes, i.e. holo sliding along the DNA duplex (10–15), holo hopping from a DNA binding site to another nearby site (16) and holo diffusing through the bulk solution (17). The holo docks on the pro-
moter via concerted interactions with specific promoter regions known as UP (from around –60 to –40 region of the promoter relative to transcription start site at +1 position), –35, spacer and –10 elements (8,18–22). This initial unstable RNA polymerase–promoter complex (RPc, where no DNA melting has occurred) isomerizes to more stable forms when the upstream and downstream regions of the promoter bend along the RNA polymerase surface and into the DNA binding cleft, respectively (23–26). The formation of catalytically active holo–promoter open complex (RPo) is completed when the –11/+2 region of the promoter DNA duplex unwinds and the template DNA strand enters the active site cleft of the RNA polymerase (27–30). The non-template (nt) DNA remains trapped outside the active site by the interactions between the –10 element and σ70 region 2, with –11A and –7T being flipped from the ntDNA base stack to deep pockets in σ70 (28,31). ntDNA binding is further stabilized by the discriminator (-6/+1) interactions with the σ70 region 2 and the core recognition element with the RNA polymerase β subunit (27).

Promoter sequence, transcription factors and small solutes modulate the stabilities and interconversion rates of the intermediates on the RPo formation pathway and thus the level of gene expression (8).

Several studies have implied that not all formed RPo’s are structurally and functionally identical. Most biochemical studies report the existence of two (32–34) or three (35) open complex structures (RPo, intermediates), but also several closed complex intermediates have recently been identified (26). A recent cryoEM study from Darst and colleagues reported seven intermediate states towards RPo formation (36). In most cases, less than half of the apparent RPo’s instead get trapped at the promoter and only synthesize short RNAs, and such moribund complexes (32) appear to play a role in transcription regulation (41). What these RPo intermediates are, what interactions determine them, and what mechanisms allow their interconversion, remain poorly understood.

Single-molecule studies have revealed long-lived pausing and backtracking during transcription initiation (40,42). Instead of predefined RPo populations producing different RNA types, a single RPo could enter a pause/backtrack during initial RNA synthesis, before stochastically escaping the promoter. Controversy in data remains as a magnetic tweezers based single-molecule study reported only a single uniform RPo (43), whereas a smFRET based study reported an additional low occupancy RPo species with an unstable promoter conformation (44).

In the present study, we addressed the mechanism and heterogeneity of RPo formation using high-throughput magnetic tweezers by monitoring the kinetics of RPo formation and dissociation on the consensus lacUV5 promoter, a model system to study open complex dynamics. We probed the key protein–DNA interactions governing the RPo pathway by modulating the dynamics with monovalent salts as well as temperature. We observed two different open conformations, i.e. one intermediate open complex RP1 and one final open state RPo, and we report here the rate constants between these two states. We show that the identity of monovalent cation mainly affects DNA twist, whereas the ranking of the anion in the Hofmeister series correlates with its effect on the transition from RPc to RP1, indicating that this transition is driven by non-Coulombic interactions. Specifically, while physiological glutamate concentration favors rapid open complex formation, similar concentration of chloride promotes direct holo dissociation from the RP1 state to the degree that it does not populate the RPo state anymore. From the strong salt dependence, we suggest that the stabilization of RPo involves sequence-independent interactions between the DNA and the holo. One such candidate network of interaction takes place between the holo and the discriminator region of the promoter. Finally, the temperature dependence investigation revealed the energy landscape of open complex formation, the free energy difference between RPc and dissociated holo, and supports the existence of several intermediate states during dissociation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

High throughput magnetic tweezers

We used the high-throughput magnetic tweezers apparatus previously described in (45–47) to monitor 30–100 individual DNA tethers in parallel. In short, it is a custom inverted microscope with a 50× oil immersion objective (CFI Plan Apochromat 50 XH, NA 0.9, Nikon, Germany), on top of which a flow chamber is mounted. The streptavidin coated magnetic beads (1 μm MyOne, ThermoFisher, Cat # 65001) are tethered to the bottom of the glass coverslip by a DNA construct that contains the lacCONS +2 promoter for Escherichia coli RNA polymerase (see DNA construct fabrication) (40) (Figure 1). A typical field of view is shown in Supplementary Figure S1A with 500–700 tethers and a few reference beads. An attractive force is applied to the magnetic beads to stretch the nucleic acid tether (Figure 1A) using a pair of vertically aligned permanent magnets (5 mm cubes, SuperMagnete, Switzerland). The magnets are separated by a 1 mm gap and are positioned above the objective as described in Ref. (45). The vertical position and rotation of the beads are controlled by the M-126-PD1 and C-150 motors (Physik Instrumente PI, GmbH & Co. KG, Karlsruhe, Germany), respectively. The field of view is illuminated through the magnets’ gap by a collimated LED-light source located above, and is imaged onto a large sensor CMOS camera (Dalsa Falcon2 FA-80-12M1H, Stemmer Imaging, Germany). All data were recorded at 58 Hz acquisition frequency. For the change-point analysis, (see Dwell times detection) the raw data were averaged 10-times.

Preparation of vesicles

Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) were prepared by mixing DOPC (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) and PEG-PE (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-550]) (850375C, 880530C, respectively, Avanti Polar Lipids, USA) dissolved in chloroform in 95:5 molar fraction. After mixing, the solution was dried under a stream of nitrogen, followed by drying in a
Flow cell assembly and lipid bilayer preparation

We used a lipid bilayer passivation strategy in the RPo formation experiments, as our standard nitrocellulose passivation (45) failed to prevent non-specific sticking of the magnetic beads to the coverslip surface in the presence of holc. The glass coverslips used to assemble the flow cell (#1 thickness, 24 × 60 mm MenzelGlazer, Germany) were washed by sonication in a solution of Hellmanex III (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) diluted in demineralized water (1%, v/v). The coverslips were subsequently rinsed thoroughly under a stream of demineralized water, dried in an oven at 80°C, and stored in a 50 ml Falcon tube. A diluted stock of 1 μm polystyrene reference beads were prepared by diluting 1 μl stock (Sigma, Cat # LB11) 500-fold in mQ water, and rinsing them by repeating three times the following procedure: vortex, centrifugation at ~5000 rpm on a benchtop centrifuge for ~1 min, removal of the supernatant and resuspension in the same volume of mQ water. The washed reference beads are finally resuspended in 50 μl mQ water and stored at 4°C for further use. ~4 μl of the reference bead solution, obtained by diluting the secondary stock 10-fold in absolute ethanol, was then spread with the side of a pipet tip on the top surface of the bottom coverslip of the flow cell, and subsequently heated to ~130°C for ~2 min to melt the reference beads on the coverslip surface. Preceding the assembly of the flow cell, the side of the coverslips that forms the inner channel of the flow cell, were activated and made hydrophilic by thoroughly treating their surface with the electric discharge originating from a Corona SB (BlackHole Lab, Paris, France). The flow cell was then assembled by sandwiching a double layer of Parafilm (Sigma Aldrich, Germany, Cat # P7793) between two coverslips treated as described above. The flow cell was sealed by melting the Parafilm on a hot plate at ~100°C for 1 min, while firmly pressing on top. The flow cell was subsequently mounted on the magnetic tweezers setup and rinsed with 1 ml 1× Phosphate buffered saline (PBS). 50 μl of full-length anti-digoxigenin (0.5 mg/ml in PBS, Sigma Aldrich, Germany, Cat # 11333089001) were added and incubated for 30 min. The excess was subsequently flushed away with 1 ml of 1× PBS buffer containing 700 mM NaCl followed by 10 min incubation, and a rinsing step with 1 ml PBS. The buffer in the flow cell was exchanged with 1 ml vesicle dilution buffer (10 mM HEPES at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM sodium azide and 2 mM CaCl₂) to prepare the lipid bilayer assembly. The lipid bilayer was formed by flushing 1.2 ml of the SUV solution (see ‘Preparation of vesicles’) at 50 μg/ml in the vesicle dilution buffer (Sigma Aldrich, Germany), slowly through the flow cell at 0.1 ml/min for a total duration of ~15 min. The flow channel was then washed with 1 ml PBS to remove any excess SUVs. To finalize the surface passivation, bovine serum albumin (BSA) (New England Biolabs, USA) at 1 mg/ml in PBS was incubated for 30 min, and subsequently flushed away excess BSA with 1 ml PBS.

In the meantime, 10 μl of MyOne streptavidin-coated superparamagnetic Dynabeads (ThermoFisher, Germany, Cat # 65604D) were washed twice in PBS and diluted to 40 μl of PBS mixed with ~15 pM of DNA construct and 1 mg/ml BSA and incubated for a few minutes. The DNA tethered
magnetic beads were then flushed into the flow cell and incubated for ~5 min to ensure attachment of the digoxygenin-labelled DNA handle to the anti-digoxigenin adsorbed on the flow cell surface. Finally, the excess of magnetic beads was removed by flushing copious amounts of PBS with occasional gentle tapping on the exit tube connecting the flow cell to the withdrawing pump.

DNA construct fabrication

The long linear DNA construct (20,666 bp, sequence in Supplementary Data 1) was obtained by plasmid digestion and ligation with functionalized digoxigenin- or biotin-handles (850 bp), obtained from PCR on λ DNA (45). The fabrication of the 1.4 kb DNA construct (sequence in Supplementary Data 2) was done as described in (48). Briefly, the desired DNA fragments were amplified by PCR from a synthetic plasmid containing the E. coli RNAP LacCONS promoter sequence and selectively cleaved by nicking enzymes at multiple sites to obtain ssDNA of different lengths and partial complementarity. These fragments were annealed to form a double strand with functionalized biotin and digoxigenin handles. The resulting nicks were ligated to obtain a torsionally constrained molecule.

Protein purification and assembly

Escherichia coli RNAP and σ^70^ were expressed in E. coli and purified by using sequentially nickel affinity, heparin affinity and anion exchange chromatography steps as previously described in (49). Holoenzymes were assembled by incubating RNAP with 3-fold molar excess of σ^70^ for 30 min at 30°C as described in (40).

DNA tether selection

We first evaluated the extension and coilability of the DNA molecule by stretching the DNA from zero to 4 pN and rotating the magnetic bead first in negative and then in positive directions (±15–100 turns depending on the length of tether), while applying 4 pN force. For a coatable and single-tether DNA molecule, the extension should remain constant in the negative turn region but decrease in the positive turn region (50).

DNA rotation experiment in different monovalent salts

To determine the effect of monovalent salt on DNA twist, we used a coatable ~20.6 kb DNA molecule, fabrication of the construct was described elsewhere (51, 52). After selection of coatable DNA molecules, the flow cell was flushed with a reference buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA) with occasional mild tapping (without mounting the magnets) that allows the DNA to release any unwanted twist. The rotation experiments were performed by slowly rotating the magnets (2 turns/s) from ~70 to 70 turns at ~0.3 pN force, the zero twist in the tethers being defined by the maximum extension in the reference buffer. The buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 2 mM EDTA) containing the monovalent salt of interest was flushed in the flow cell while applying a high force to the DNA (8 pN) and at zero turn. After 3 min of incubation, the same rotation experiment was performed in the experiment buffer containing the monovalent salt. Finally, the flow cell was flushed with the reference buffer while clamping the DNA with high force (8 pN) and after 3 min of incubation, another measurement was performed in the reference buffer. The first and the last reference measurement were performed to check whether the DNA supercoiled state had been restored in reference buffer to eliminate tethers in which a change in twist may have occurred from the magnetic bead sticking to the surface. When changing salt condition, the flow cell was flushed into the reference buffer without the magnet to allow the DNA to relax and the same procedure was repeated. These experiments were performed at 25°C.

Magnetic tweezers holo open complex dynamics experiments

To monitor the open complex dynamics, we tethered magnetic beads with the ~1.4 kb coatable DNA molecules. The flow cell was rinsed with reaction buffer (40 mM HEPES, 10 mM MgAc2, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM cysteamine hydrochloride, 5% glycerol, pH 7.8 and the monovalent salt of interest at the indicated concentration) before the addition of the enzymes. The buffer was always pre-heated to the experiment temperature, i.e. 34°C if not indicated otherwise, before injecting into the flow cell. Preceding the measurement, we evaluated that the DNA tethers were relaxed. To this end, following data acquisition, DNA force-extension (varying the applied force from zero to high) and rotation-extension (twisting the tethers from ~5 to 5 turn at 0.3 pN) tests were performed before holo addition in the flow chamber at ~3 turns and 8 pN force (such force was used to prevent tethers twist change and magnetic bead sticking while flushing). The force was subsequently reduced to 0.3 pN and data acquisition was continued until the end of the experiment.

Dwell times detection

To identify the points at which the DNA transitions between states (open and closed state, OS and CS, respectively), the so-called change points, we used an offline change point detection. With this analysis method, change points in the recorded data can be identified through finding the abrupt changes in the average magnetic bead position, even though neither the location nor the number of break points were known. For our purpose, we work with the change point detection algorithm as implemented in the Python package Ruptures (53). Change point detection is defined by a search method, a cost function and a constraint. The search method defines the algorithm used to analyze the time series. Here, as the true number of change points is unknown, we use the Bottom-Up algorithm. A dataset of length n is separated into n/2 segments and the pair segments with the lowest cost are merged until crossing a user defined penalty (see below). Bottom-Up has been shown to outperform the Binary Segmentation algorithm (54). As a cost function, we used a least absolute deviation to detect the changes in the median position of the magnetic bead. With an unknown number of change points, a constraint (penalty) is needed to balance out the goodness of fit parameter. The penalty was determined by manual inspection of change point detection quality for a particular data
set. We use a penalty between 0.2 and 5 depending on the durations of the states. We embedded the Ruptures package into a GUI that is provided in our lab GitLab account (https://gitlab.com/DulinlabVU/change_point_analysis).

Maximum likelihood fitting

The procedure is described in detail in (40). Briefly, the dwell times τ are described according to a probability-distribution function consisting either one or two exponentials,

\[ f(t) = ke^{-kt} \]

and

\[ f(t) = p_+k_+e^{-k_+t} + p_-k_-e^{-k_-t} \]

respectively. Here, \( k_+ \) and \( k_- \) are characteristic rate constants, \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \) are probabilities normalized such that \( p_+ + p_- = 1 \). The number of exponential fit to the data was determined using the Bayes Schwarz Information Criterion (BIC) (55). We calculate the maximum likelihood estimate of the parameters (MLE) (56) by minimizing the negative of the likelihood function

\[ L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln(f(\tau_i)) \]

over the parameter set using minimization function with ‘L-BFGS-B’ algorithm in SciPy (SciPy.org). Here the \( \tau_i \) are the experimentally measured dwell times and \( N \) is the number of collected dwell times \( \tau_i \). The one standard deviation statistical error were extracted for each fitting parameter from 1000 bootstraps (57).

Kinetic description of the open state formation

We consider the formation of the first state of the open complex, i.e. RP_1, to be governed by the reaction scheme described in Figure 2C:

\[ R + P \xrightleftharpoons{k_{-1}}^{k_1} RP_C \rightarrow RP_1 \]

Previous studies have shown that holo binding equilibrates rapidly in comparison to the slow isomerization from RP_C to RP_1 with the rate constant \( k_2 \) (8). We therefore write the observed rate constant of OS formation \( k_{\text{open}} \) as (58)

\[ k_{\text{open}} = P_{RP_C}k_2, \quad P_{RP_C} = \frac{[R]}{[R] + K_D} \]

\[ K_D = k_{-1}/k_1 \]

(1)

where \( P_{RP_C} \) is the fractional occupancy of the RP_C state at equilibrium, and we have defined the equilibrium dissociation constant \( K_D \). Equation (1) coincides with the ensemble description of Ruff and co-workers (8).

Kinetic description of the OS to CS transition

The dissociation of the holo from the promoter is described by the kinetics of the transition from OS to CS. After we found the OS dwell time distribution was best fitted by a double exponential probability distribution function (pdf), we evaluated the first passage time distributions for several kinetic models to obtain the microscopic rate constants from the fit parameters \( k_+, k_- \) and \( p_- \) (Table 1). Models which did not result in a double exponential pdf for OS dwell times were discarded, as well as models that wouldn’t be rational based on literature. From this analysis, we concluded that the best model to describe the OS dwell time distribution corresponds to Model 4, Assumption 3 (Table 1):

\[ R + P \xrightleftharpoons{k_5}^{k_3} RP_I \xrightleftharpoons{k_{-3}}^{k_{-5}} RP_D \]

Under this assumption, we obtained a complete set of conversion relations for the fit parameters \( k_+, k_- \) and \( p_- \) to the microscopic rate constants \( k_5, k_{-3}, \) and \( k_3 \) (Supplementary information).

\[ k_5 = p_+k_+ + (1 - p_-)k_- \]

\[ k_3 = \frac{(k_+ - k_3)(k_5 - k_-)}{k_5} = \frac{p_+(1 - p_-)(k_+ - k_-)^2}{p_+k_+ + (1 - p_-)k_-} \]

\[ k_{-3} = \frac{k_+k_-}{k_5} = \frac{k_-}{p_+k_+ + (1 - p_-)k_-} \]

Note that since \( 0 \leq p_+ \leq 1 \) and \( k_+, k_- > 0 \) all the microscopic rate constants are positive, as should be.

Error propagation from the double exponential fits to the kinetic rates

We propagated the errors of the MLE fitting parameters (\( \Delta k_{\text{est},i} \)) to the rate constant of the kinetic model (Table 1) as \( \Delta k_{\text{mic},i}(\chi_i) = \sqrt{\sum_j \left( \frac{\partial k_{\text{mic},i}}{\partial \chi_j} \Delta \chi_j \right)^2} \) where \( k_{\text{mic},i} \) is either of the derived microscopic rate constants \( k_5, k_{-3}, \) or \( k_3 \), and \( \chi_i \) is either of the fit parameters \( k_+, k_- \) or \( p_- \). We use one standard deviation statistical error extracted from the bootstrap procedure.

Theoretical description of the temperature dependence of the kinetic rate constants

For a two-state transition, the temperature dependence of the forward reaction is empirically described by the Arrhenius equation for the reaction rate constant \( k_i \)

\[ k_i = k_i^0e^{-\Delta E_i/k_BT} \]

(2)

where \( k_i^0 \) is the attempt rate, \( \Delta E_i \) is the activation energy, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is the temperature. Inserting Equation (2) in Equation (1), we can express the OS-formation rate constant as

\[ k_{\text{open}} = \frac{k_5^0e^{-(\Delta E_5-k_BT)/k_BT}}{K_D^0e^{-(\Delta E_5-k_BT)/k_BT} + [R]} \]

(3)

Equation (3) was fitted to the data in Figure 6B with a least-mean-squares fitting routine in Igor Pro 8 (WaveMetrics, Oregon, USA) to extract the activation energies \( \Delta E_2 \) and \( \Delta E_{diff} = \Delta E_{-1} - \Delta E_1 \). The activation energies corresponding to the rate constants \( k_5, k_{-3} \) and \( k_{-3} \) were extracted from a linear least-mean-squares fit (routine in Python 3)
using the natural logarithm of Equation (2) to the temperature dependent values of the rate constants (Figure 6C–E).

RESULTS

High-throughput magnetic tweezers assay to study open complex dynamics for the bacterial RNA polymerase

We used a high-throughput magnetic tweezers assay to monitor the open complex dynamics for experiments up to several hours (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S1A). The magnetic bead was tethered to the top glass surface of the bottom cover slip of a flow cell by a ∼1.4 kb torsionally constrained DNA molecule, i.e. without nicks and with multiple attachment points between the handles and either the magnetic bead or the glass surface (Figure 1A) (Material and Methods). The flow cell surface was passivated using a lipid bilayer strategy, which significantly reduces the non-specific adhesion of magnetic beads and proteins to the flow cell surface (59–62). We provide a detailed protocol in the Materials and Methods section to establish such passivation strategy. The DNA sequence encodes the lacCONS + 2 promoter for E. coli holo (Supplementary Figure S1B), which is a consensus versions of the lacUV5 promoter (40), and has been extensively studied in ensemble (8) and single molecule experiments investigating bacterial transcription initiation (63).

The rotation of the magnets above the flow cell induces rotation of the magnetic bead, and concomitantly adds twist in the DNA tether. As the number of turns in the DNA molecule increases, torque also increases, up to the buckling transition at which the DNA starts forming plectonemes (50,64,65). Subsequent addition of turns to the DNA molecule is then converted into writhe, while keeping the torque constant, leading to a decrease of the DNA molecule end-to-end extension (50). In a rotation-extension experiment at ∼0.3 pN, the DNA extension is maximal at zero turn and decreases symmetrically when adding either positive or negative turns (Supplementary Figure S1C) (50). The linking number is the conserved sum of writhe and twist in a torsionally constrained nucleic acid molecule (66). The opening of the promoter (i.e. DNA unwinding or bubble formation) by the holo reduces the twist of the molecule, which is compensated by an increase in writhe for a positively supercoiled DNA molecule. This leads to a decrease of the end-to-end extension of the DNA molecule by $n \cdot \Delta z$, with $n$ being the number of open base pairs, and $\Delta z = 60 \pi \Delta \delta$ nm/bp (for a DNA helical pitch of ∼10.5 bp/turn) the rate at which the DNA molecule extension decreases per added twist (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure S1C), as de-
Table 1. Comparison of models describing a double exponential distribution of the OS dwell times. pdf: probability distribution function. The left column presents four models. The red diamond indicates the holo kinetic state at which the OS dwell time starts. Model 1 describes a reversed kinetic pathway to dissociation from RPO. Model 2 consider two parallel dissociation pathways dominated by an intermediate RP1 state. Model 3 hypothesizes a dissociation from RPO, with two specific cases, i.e. the holo starts in OS from either RPO or RP1, and eventually dissociates from RPO. Model 4 includes dissociation from RP1 and is solved in the context of three assumptions for which we have an analytical solution. The right column indicates the key parameter of the model, and the reason to either discard or keep a specific model.

| Kinetic models fitting OS dwell time distributions | Main consequence | Pros/Cons |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Model 1                                          | Dissociation from RP0 | × Resulting pdf does not describe the data |
| Model 2                                          | Branched kinetic pathways to dissociation | ✓ Double exponential pdf |
| Model 3, case 1                                   | Dissociation from RP0 | × Starting from RP0 |
| Model 3, case 2                                   | Dissociation from RP0 | × Resulting pdf does not describe the data |
| Model 4, Assumption 1                             | RP1 and RP0 in rapid equilibrium ($k_3, k_{-3} \gg k_4, k_3$) | × Fits give $k_4 < 0$ |
| Model 4, Assumption 2                             | $k_{-3} = 0$, i.e. irreversible RP0 | × Inconsistent with literature |
| Model 4, Assumption 3                             | $k_4 = 0$, i.e. dissociation from RP0 | ✓ Consistent with literature |

scribed by Strick and colleagues (43). Extracting the Allan deviation of the supercoiled tether (67,68), we measured the correlation time of the assay, i.e. its temporal resolution, to be $\tau_c = (45 \pm 8) \text{ ms}$ (Supplementary Figure S1D).

For a positively supercoiled DNA molecule and in the presence of holoenzyme, we clearly distinguished two main magnetic bead vertical positions, i.e. one indicating a DNA molecule without open complex that we coined the closed state (CS) and another one reporting a shorter DNA molecule end-to-end extension that signals a formed open complex and we coined the open state (OS) (Figure 1B) (43). The CS dwell time is the total time required for the holo to find, bind and open the promoter, while the OS dwell time is the total time the promoter is open until closing. As torque influences the open complex dynamics (43), we have only selected DNA molecules that were in a torsional state in the linear regime following the buckling transition in a rotation-extension experiment, where the torque is constant (Supplementary Figure S1C, E–H). We extracted the difference in extension between CS and OS for both positively and negatively supercoiled DNA, resulting in an average jump size of $(73 \pm 8)$ nm and $(42 \pm 6)$ nm, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1I). Using these values, we determined a transcription bubble size of $(10 \pm 1)$ bp, and a DNA bended length of $(16 \pm 5)$ nm. These values are in good agreement with what Strick and colleagues previously measured, i.e. $(13 \pm 1)$ bp and $(15 \pm 5)$ nm, respectively (43). Though we could measure indirectly the length of DNA bended, we could not observe directly this event, as it is very short-lived and beyond the assay temporal resolution (Supplementary Figure S1D). A visual inspection of a magnetic tweezers trace shows short-lived CS interrupted by either short or long-lived OS (Figure 1B). To quantify our observation, we use a Python-written custom graphic user interface (GUI), based on the change-point algorithm Ruptures (53) (Material and Methods, provided in https://gitlab.com/DulinlabVU/change_point_analysis), to automatically detect the transitions between OS and CS states in the magnetic tweezers traces. The measured CS and OS dwell times were subsequently assembled in distributions, which were fitted using a maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) procedure (56). The CS dwell time distributions were best fitted by a single exponential probability distribution function with a promoter opening rate constant $k_{open}$ (Figure 1C, Materials and Methods). In the presence of 150 mM potassium acetate (KAc), the OS dwell time distribution was best fitted by a double exponential probability distribution function with the fitting parameters $k_+, k_-$ and $p_-$, i.e. the characteristic rate constants of the first and second exponential, respectively, and the probability of the second exponential (Figure 1D) (Materials and Methods) (40). This double exponential distribution, which suggests the existence of an
intermediate open state (RP₁), was not reported in previous magnetic tweezers study of the OS dynamics (43). The exit rates and probabilities extracted from the MLE fits performed on the dwell time distributions of all experiments are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

A rapidly equilibrating binding followed by promoter opening describes open state formation

We first investigated the formation of open complex in 150 mM KAc at different holo concentrations. A direct observation of the traces shows a shorter CS dwell time when increasing holo concentration from 0.2 to 2 nM (Figures 1B and 2A). Extracting \( k_{\text{open}} \) from the CS dwell time distributions (Supplementary Figure S2), we found \( k_{\text{open}} \) to increase with holo concentration (Figure 2B) and be well described by a model where holo association to/dissociation from the promoter equilibrates quickly in comparison to the isomerization from \( \text{RP}_C \) to \( \text{RP}_O \) (Equation 1, Figure 2C), supporting previous magnetic tweezers observation by Strick and colleagues (43). From fitting Equation (1), we extracted the equilibrium dissociation constant \( K_D = (17 \pm 2) \text{nM} \) and the rate constant \( k_3 = (0.16 \pm 0.02) \text{s}^{-1} \) (Supplementary Table S3). The latter is only approximative, as we could not saturate the holo binding kinetics because of the insufficient temporal resolution of our assay (Supplementary Figure S1D), and of our inability to observe directly the \( \text{RP}_C \) state. The CS kinetics are consistent with a complete dissociation of the holo from the promoter following the transition from OS to CS, and the binding of a different holo preceding the next OS formation. This is further supported by the following three experiments. We first incubated the flow chamber with 0.5 nM holo in a reaction buffer to initiate and record CS-OS dynamics. The flow chamber was flushed about \( \sim 1800 \text{s} \) after the start of the recording either with reaction buffer (Supplementary Figure S3A), reaction buffer containing \( \sim 10 \text{nM} \) competing \( \text{lac} \) CONS + 2 DNA promoter fragment (Supplementary Figure S3B) or reaction buffer containing 100 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \) heparin (Supplementary Figure S3C). All three experiments showed that after the flushing step the CS never converted back to the OS (Supplementary Figure S3). This finding confirms that the observed transition from OS to CS is in connection with the full release of the holo from the promoter. In the next section, we investigate through which kinetic state the holo dissociation takes place.

Holo-promoter dissociations occurs from \( \text{RP}_I \)

The OS dwell times kinetics were insensitive to the holo concentration, and strictly double exponentially distributed at 150 mM KAc (Figures 1D and 2F, Supplementary Figure S2), and not by a single exponential as previously reported (43). This indicates that the open complex is not formed by a single state, but suggest the existence of at least one intermediate preceding \( \text{RP}_O \) (8). Furthermore, the CS dwell times distributions are strictly single exponentially distributed (Figure 1C, Supplementary Figures S2, S5, S7–S9), indicating that CS ends from a single transition from \( \text{RP}_C \) to a single open state. Here, we could describe all the data in any experimental conditions with a single intermediate (RP₁). The literature indicates that the holo very quickly isomerizes from \( \text{RP}_C \) to the first intermediate (rate constant \( k_2 \), Figure 2C) in comparison to the reverse reaction (slow, rate constant \( k_{-2} \)) and the forward reaction (8). The holo thus rapidly interconverts from \( \text{RP}_I \) to \( \text{RP}_O \). The OS dwell time distribution directly informs on the total duration of the open complex, i.e. from the promoter opening to its closing, which leads to holo dissociation. What is the kinetic pathway best describing the OS dwell times?

To answer this question, we discuss the merits of several kinetic models (Table 1). For each model, we determined the mathematical expressions of the rate constants describing the OS dwell time distribution. For the models describing a double exponential distribution, the absolute values of the rate constants were subsequently calculated using conversion expressions as a function of the MLE fit parameters (\( k_+, k_- \) and \( p_- \)) (Materials and Methods, Supplementary Information). We first considered a model where the holo dissociates from walking back the kinetic pathway of \( \text{RP}_O \) formation to eventually dissociate from \( \text{RP}_C \) (Model 1, Table 1). Though this model is highly unlikely, given that \( k_2 \) is much larger than \( k_- \) and \( k_{-2} \), we calculated the resulting probability distribution function (pdf) for such model (Supplementary Information). We found that the pdf would be the sum of a ‘peaked’ distribution (resembling a gamma distribution (69)) and an exponential decay, while we clearly observed a double exponential. The spatiotemporal resolution of the assay (2 \( \cdot \text{t} \sim 90 \text{ ms} \)) may prevent us to capture the rising part of the peak distribution for very short-lived \( \text{RP}_C \) state, i.e. \( k_{-1} \gg k_{-2}, k_2 \) (Table 1). In such a case, a double exponential description would be able to describe the OS dwell time distribution. However, this model would be inconsistent with previous ensemble studies of holo dissociation from the \( \text{AP}_R \) promoter (8,70). We therefore discarded this model, and we did not consider anymore dissociation from \( \text{RP}_C \) in the following models. Another possible model consistent with a double exponential function is Model 2 (Table 1), where the dissociation occurs through two parallel pathways, both being rate-limited by a different \( \text{RP}_I \) intermediate state. This model is unlikely, as Model 2 assumes that \( \text{RP}_I \) is an intermediate towards closing the open state, not opening, which is inconsistent with decades of literature (8), and, furthermore, suggests the existence of rapid irreversible intermediates preceding both \( \text{RP}_I \)’s, for which we have no proof. Therefore, we discarded Model 2. In Model 3, we consider holo dissociation only from \( \text{RP}_O \), which has two possible cases, i.e. starting the OS from either \( \text{RP}_O \) (case 1) or \( \text{RP}_I \) (case 2). The former, i.e. Model 3 case 1 (Table 1), is described by a peaked dwell time distribution (Supplementary Information), which is not supported by our data that consistently showed a double exponential behavior (Supplementary Figure S2). We therefore discarded this model. In Model 3 case 2, the open complex starts from \( \text{RP}_I \) and dissociates from \( \text{RP}_O \) and is analytically described by a double exponential pdf (Model 3, case 2 in Table 1, Supplementary Figure S4). While this model is mathematically correct, it is conceptually irrational to have the open complex starting from \( \text{RP}_O \), and not in \( \text{RP}_I \) as described in the last 40 years literature (8), and we therefore discarded this model.
We introduced a fourth model, i.e. Model 4, where the holo may also dissociate from RP1 with rate constant $k_5$.

$$\text{R} + \text{P} \overset{k_3}{\underset{k_{-3}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \text{RP}_1 \overset{k_4}{\rightarrow} \text{R} + \text{P} \quad (4)$$

A complete mapping of the fit parameters from the double exponential pdf, i.e. $p_+$, $k_+$, $k_-$, to the kinetic rate constants of this model ($k_3$, $k_{-3}$, $k_4$, $k_5$) cannot be made (Supplementary Information). We therefore proposed and test a set of simplifying assumptions that enable a complete mapping of the fit parameters to the underlying kinetic rate constants (Table 1, Supplementary Information). In Assumption 1, we assumed that RP1 and RP0 are in rapid equilibrium, i.e. $k_{-3}$ and $k_3$ are large in comparison to $k_4$ and $k_5$ (Table 1, Supplementary Information). Applying this model to our data resulted in negative values for $k_4$ (Supplementary Figure S4), which is unphysical and therefore rejected. In Assumption 2, we defined $k_{-3} = 0$, i.e. RP0 is an irreversible state leading to holo dissociation (Table 1, Supplementary Information). While this model results in a double exponential pdf and did not produce unphysical values for the rate constants (Supplementary Figure S4), it implies that the only way to rescue the holo from RP0 is dissociation, which is in contradiction with previous studies showing that such state should be reversible without dissociation (40,44). Finally, in Assumption 3, we defined $k_4 = 0$, i.e. the holo may enter RP0, but must return to RP1 to dissociate (Table 1, Supplementary Information). This model describes the OS dwell times with a double exponential pdf, without unphysical values for the rate constants and assumptions with the kinetic state lifetime (Supplementary Figure S4). In the following part of the study, we represented only the rate constants from Model 4 Assumption 3 (Table 1), which are provided in Supplementary Table S2. We subsequently investigate how the open-complex dynamics is affected by the nature and the concentration of monovalent salts, and the temperature in the context of this model.

Anions affect open complex dynamics and cations affect DNA twist

We first investigated how the identity of the monovalent ion affects the open complex dynamics. Previous ensemble studies showed that the DNA twist depends on the nature and the concentration of the monovalent cation (71). By affecting the DNA twist, the cation nature may impact bacterial transcription initiation kinetics (72), as the open complex dynamics is sensitive to torque (43) (Supplementary Figure S1C, E–H). We chose to compare potassium and sodium, the most common cations used in transcription studies, and ammonium, as it was shown to affect very strongly DNA twist (71). In addition to the data at 150 mM potassium acetate (KAc), we investigated open complex dynamics in the presence of 150 mM of either ammonium acetate (NH4Ac), potassium glutamate (KGlu), sodium chloride (NaCl) or potassium chloride (KCl) (Materials and Methods). We chose glutamate and chloride as anions because they are the most commonly used anions for in vitro transcription studies, and include acetate to complete the Hofmeister series ranking for the Coulombic interactions screening chloride > acetate > glutamate. We used 1 nM holo for all experiments except with chloride anion, where the holo concentration was increased to 10 nM to compensate for the stronger screening of electrostatic interactions by chloride that dramatically increase the CS lifetime (Figure 3). A direct observation of the activity traces shows very long CS and very short OS dwell times in the presence of NaCl and KCl, while the opposite trend is apparent when KGlu was used. KAc and NH4Ac presence induced an intermediate response, i.e. CS and OS having equal durations (Figure 3A–E). Analyzing the dwell time distributions showed that the CS dwell times are mono-exponentially distributed in most salts (Supplementary Figure S5), and $k_{\text{open}}$ is more than three-fold larger in KGlu than in other salts (Figure 3F), indicating that KGlu strongly favors the open complex formation. Furthermore, we found that the OS dwell times were very short and mono-exponentially distributed in the presence of 150 mM chloride anion, while they were double-exponentially distributed in the presence of the other anions (Figure 3G, Supplementary Figure S5). This result suggests that the complex never reaches the stable RP0 in the presence of high chloride concentration and can only populate the less stable RP1, by which the holo dissociates from the promoter (Model 4 Assumption 3, Table 1, Supplementary Information). In the presence of 150 mM KAc, NH4Ac and KGlu, the RP1 state is sufficiently stable (Figure 3I) for the holo to visit the RP0 state (second exponential appearing again in the OS dwell time distributions, Supplementary Figure S5). We could also estimate the isomerization rate constants to ($k_3$) and away from ($k_{-3}$) the RP0 state (Figure 3HI). The values indicate that the RP0 is most stable, i.e. $k_{-3}$ the smallest, in KGlu whereas KAc imposes rapid dynamics between the RP1 and the RP0 states.

Could the effect we observed here resulted from a change in the DNA twist due to the change of monovalent salt? Magnetic tweezers are a well-suited technique to characterize DNA twist variation, and we therefore investigated how the DNA twist (Δtwist) varied when changing the monovalent salt from NaCl to either KCl, KGlu, KAc or NH4Ac. Specifically, we performed extension-rotation experiments on a 20.6 kb coilable DNA tether (Supplementary Figure S6, Materials and Methods). We observed that changing the cation (sodium to potassium) in Tris-EDTA buffer induced a positive increase in twist by ($135 \pm 7^\circ$/kb); this cation effect was the same using either chloride, acetate or glutamate as the anion (Supplementary Figure S6A, B). Consistently with ensemble work (71), NH4Ac induces even larger increase in helical twist, i.e. ($331 \pm 7^\circ$/kb, in comparison to NaCl. When performing the same experiments in the holo reaction buffer, which contains 5 mM MgCl2, we observed a similar trend, though the effect is nearly two-fold smaller (Supplementary Figure S6B). Our data confirms that the cation affects the DNA helical twist and the strength of this effect follows the order Na+ < K+ < NH4+. In contrast, our data did not show that the anion nature affects the DNA twist. We hypothesized that an increase in DNA helical twist would lead to a shorter-lived and less populated OS, as previously suggested (72). However, the observed difference in open complex dynamics (Figure 3G–I) is not consistent with the cation ranking for the helical twist (Supplementary Figure S6AB). For example, $k_{\text{open}}$ is 6-fold...
monovalent salts affect bacterial holo open complex dynamics. (A–E) Holo open complex dynamics was observed at 34°C in the presence of 150 mM of the indicated monovalent salt. 10 nM holo was used in KCl and NaCl (A, B), and 1 nM holo was used in KAc, NH4Ac and KGlu (C–E). The red dashed lines indicate the transitions between OS and CS captured by the change-point analysis. (F–I) Dependence of $k_{\text{open}}$, $k_3$, $k_{-3}$ and $k_5$ on the monovalent salt type (Model 4, Assumption 3 in Table 1). The second exponential was absent from the dwell time distributions when using either NaCl or KCl, and we therefore extracted only $k_5$ for these conditions. Error bars in (F–I) are the propagated errors from the one standard deviation error extracted from 1000 bootstraps (Materials and Methods).

larger with KCl than with NaCl, though one would expect the opposite given the helical twist ranking effect, but 3-fold smaller for NH4Ac than for KAc. Overall, the anion nature has a much more significant impact on open complex dynamics than the monovalent cation, and we therefore performed the following experiments using only the physiological K+ cation.

Physiological concentration of glutamate favors open complex formation and stability

We next investigated how the changing concentration of chloride, acetate and glutamate affects the observed open complex dynamics at constant holo concentration. We chose these anions for specific reasons: glutamate is the most physiological anion; chloride is often used in vitro bacterial transcription studies (42,43,74,75); acetate ranks between chloride and glutamate in the Hofmeister series (76) and is therefore interesting to investigate how Coulombic versus non-Coulombic interactions impact open complex formation. We varied the KCl concentration from 50 to 150 mM while using 10 nM holo in the reaction buffer. The activity traces showed shorter OS and longer CS as the KCl concentration increased (Figure 4A). Indeed, $k_{\text{open}}$ decreased steadily with KCl concentration ($S_{k_{\text{open}}} = -2.3$), indicating a loss in holo affinity with the promoter (Figure 4E, Supplementary Figure S7A–D, Table S4). Surprisingly, we found that the OS dwell times distributions were well described by a double-exponential pdf for KCl concentration up to 100 mM. Specifically, we found that the second exponential, and therefore the RPO state, was completely depopulated for KCl concentration above 100 mM (Figure
Figure 4. The anion type and concentration affect the holo open complex dynamics. (A–C) Traces of holo open complex dynamics using reaction buffers containing different anions at the indicated concentrations at 34°C. We used 10 nM holo in KCl, and 1 nM holo in KAc and KGlu. (D) Traces of holo open complex dynamics using 5 nM holo, 300 mM KCl and negatively supercoiled (NS) DNA. The red dashed lines indicate the transitions between OS and CS captured by the change-point analysis. (E–H) Monovalent salt concentration dependence of $k_{open}$, $k_3$, $k_{-3}$ and $k_5$ for KCl (grey), KAc (blue), KGlu (red), and KCl negatively supercoiled (NS) DNA (black) for activity traces acquired as in (A–D). The solid lines connect the markers and are not fits. Error bars in (E–H) are the propagated errors from the one standard deviation error extracted from 1000 bootstraps (Materials and Methods).

In comparison to KCl, the holo-promoter interactions were affected in a very different way by KAc and KGlu, as $k_{open}$ values first maximized at ~150 mM ($Sk_{open,KAc} = 1.7$, $Sk_{open,KGlu} = 8$) to significantly decrease at higher salt concentrations ($Sk_{open,KAc} = -6.5$, $Sk_{open,KGlu} = -5$) (Figure 4B, C, E, Supplementary Figure S7B–D, Table S4). The stability of both RP0 and RP1 decreased with KAc concentration, i.e. $k_5$ and $k_{-3}$ increased by more than one order of magnitude ($Sk_5 = 2.4$, $Sk_{-3} = 2$), while the conversion rate constant from RP1 to RP0, i.e. $k_3$, surprisingly increases by more than 10-fold ($Sk_3 = 1.8$) (Figure 4F–H, Supplemen-
tary Figure S7B–D, Table S4). While glutamate shows a destabilizing effect on the open complex similarly to acetate, the glutamate effect saturates above 200 mM concentration. We could not measure RP_0 dynamics at KCl and KAc concentrations larger than 150 mM and 200 mM, respectively, as OS were hardly detected. RP_0 stability in the presence of acetate is intermediate between chloride and glutamate. Interestingly, 50 mM KCl showed a faster open complex formation than 150 mM KGl, while maintaining a comparable stability of the OS (Figure 4E–H). However, RP_0 state is able to resist higher concentrations of the physiological anion glutamate than chloride, supporting the hypothesis that glutamate is an open complex stabilizer (77,78).

As DNA in bacterial cells is naturally negatively supercoiled, we have investigated whether the dynamic observed with positively supercoiled DNA is conserved (Supplementary Figure SS1J). Because holo forms a very stable open complex on lacCONS promoter when negatively supercoiled (43), we needed to significantly increase the monovalent salt concentration to investigate the pathway towards dissociation. The open complex formed rapidly at 200 mM KCl with negatively supercoiled DNA, but showed almost no dynamics (Supplementary Figure S8A). We observed a similar behavior when replacing KCl with 300 mM KGl (Supplementary Figure S8B). Increasing KCl concentration to 300 mM was necessary to monitor open complex dissociation dynamics (Figure 4D). By extracting the dwell times of OS and CS, and fitting the respective distribution with MLE, we show that CS dwell time distribution is well described by a single exponential, while OS dwell time distribution is double exponentially distributed (Supplementary Figure S8CD). Interestingly, the open complex dynamics for negatively supercoiled promoter in 300 mM KCl is similar to the ones for positively supercoiled promoter in 300 mM KGl (Figure 4E–H). The open complex formation is strongly impaired by the high KCl concentration, similarly to the impact of 300 mM KAc and KGl when using positively supercoiled DNA (Figure 4E). The dissociation dynamics is also rather similar to the one observed at 300 mM KGl conditions on positively supercoiled DNA, with the exception that the transition from RP_1 to RP_0, i.e. k_3, increased almost 10-fold (Figure 4FGH). Our results show that the dissociation mechanism is conserved, independently of the supercoiling sign.

Having found the optimum concentration of chloride, acetate and glutamate, we investigated the open complex formation and stability as a function of holo concentration (Figure 5). We performed these experiments in either 100 mM KCl or 150 mM KGl, and represent these data next to the 150 mM KAc data presented in Figure 2. The CS dwell times visually shortened in magnetic tweezers traces with increasing holo concentration (Figure 5A, B). Extracting k_open from the CS dwell time distributions (Supplementary Figure S9) and representing it as a function of holo concentration for KCl and KGl, we observed a similar trend as for KAc and the data were well fitted by Equation (1), supporting that the holo rapidly dissociates from the promoter upon closing and is not recycled for the subsequent OS (Figure 5C). For KGl, we extracted an equilibrium dissociation constant K_D = (7 ± 1) nM and k_2 = (17 ± 0.02) s^{-1} (the latter value is only indicative as we could not reach saturation in holo concentration), while for KCl the fit was poor and the fit parameters were therefore unrealistic (Supplementary Table S3). As for KAc, the dynamics of the OS, i.e. k_1, k_2, and k_3, is mostly unaffected by holo concentration in both KCl and KGl (Figure 5D–F). We noted the values at 5 nM holo in KCl are ~2-fold higher than at other holo concentrations. We do not have an explanation for this behavior.

Open complex formation energy landscape probed by temperature-controlled magnetic tweezers

Temperature dependence of the bacterial open complex formation enables the exploration of the energy landscape of the reaction (33,79,80). We have recently developed a temperature-controlled magnetic tweezers assay (52), and we applied it to investigate how temperature affects the kinetics of the open complex dynamics in real-time. Because KGl induces extremely stable OS, we performed this study in 150 mM KAc and 5 nM holo to maximize the statistics of the open complex dynamics as a function of temperature (Figure 6A). Nonetheless, we expect our results to be conserved for KGl, as the open complex dynamics shows a similar trend in either acetate or glutamate (Figure 4).

We showed here that the CS dwell times distribution was strictly mono-exponential described by an exit rate constant k_open that is strongly holo concentration dependent (Supplementary Figure S10). Therefore, if the holo was degraded/denatured during the course of the experiment (several hours) at the elevated temperature, we would have expected the CS dwell times distribution to not be accurately fitted by a mono-exponential, but a probability distribution function representative of the holo concentration decrease over time, i.e. a multi-exponential. The observed CS dwell time distributions at all temperatures indicate that the holo remained functional for the entire duration of the experiment. The MLE fits revealed that k_open increased by ~4-fold when temperature was increased from 25°C to 37°C, and subsequently decreased by ~13-fold when the temperature further increased from 37°C to 45°C (Figure 6B). k_open cannot be fitted by a simple Arrhenius equation, as the Arrhenius plot does not appear curvilinear (Figure 6B, Supplementary Figure S10) (81). This behavior is similar to what was previously described for the temperature dependence of fibrinopeptide release by thrombin (82), and support the existence of a closed intermediate, i.e. RP_C, between R + P and RP_1 states, though we cannot directly observe it during the open complex formation (Figures 2C and 5C). The transition with the lowest activation energy, i.e. from RP_C to RP_1, dominates the reaction at low temperature, while the transition with the highest activation energy dominates at high temperature, i.e. holo dissociation from the promoter. To the best of our knowledge, such behavior was not described in the previous investigations of the temperature dependence of the open complex formation with strong promoters, likely because holo dissociation from RP_C never dominated (33,79,80). Using Equation (3) (Materials and Methods), we extracted the activation energy of the transition from RP_C to RP_1, i.e. \( \Delta E_2 = (22 ± 6) \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \) or \( (92 ± 25) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \), and the energy difference between the unbound
state R + P and RP_C, i.e. \( \Delta E_{diff} = \Delta E_{-1} - \Delta E_{1} = (107 \pm 12) \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \) or \((448 \pm 50) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\) (Figure 6B). Our evaluation of \( \Delta E_2 \) is in agreement with previous estimation made using the lacUV5 promoter (33,80).

From the OS dwell time distributions (Supplementary Figure S10), we extracted the temperature dependence of \( k_3, k_{-3} \) and \( k_5 \). Their respective Arrhenius plot were well described by Equation (2), and we extracted the activation energies \( E_3 = (-18.5 \pm 2.9) \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \) or \((-77 \pm 12) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \), \( E_{-3} = (-13.1 \pm 3.1) \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \) or \((-55 \pm 13) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \), and \( E_5 = (-17.1 \pm 1.7) \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \) or \((-72 \pm 7) \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \), respectively (Figure 6C–E). Interestingly, all these activation energies are negative. An elementary reaction must have a positive activation energy. However, if the observed reaction occurs via an unknown and stable intermediate, e.g. an open complex intermediate in the present situation, the complex may be trapped in this intermediate while increasing the temperature, resulting in a product apparently more stable at higher temperature (83). Furthermore, such intermediates are not rate-limiting in the forward reaction, i.e. open complex formation, as we do not have any kinetic indication of their existence, though a recent cryoEM study has suggested at least one open complex intermediate (36).

While for lacUV5 and \( \lambda P_R \), negative \( E_{-3} \) and \( E_5 \) were already observed, we also note that \( E_3 \) is negative, indicating the existence of another intermediate between RP_1 and RP_O, as previously suggested for \( \lambda P_R \) promoter, i.e. I_3 (35,84).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we have investigated the bacterial open complex formation and dissociation on a consensus lacUV5 (lacCONS) promoter using high-throughput magnetic tweezers. We have studied the impact of the nature of the monovalent salt, holo concentration and temperature on the kinetics of formation and dissociation. While some of these aspects have been investigated in ensemble studies with \( \lambda P_R \) and lacUV5 promoters, such investigations have never been performed at the single molecule level. Furthermore, we show here that the choice of the monovalent salt may have dramatic consequences on the kinetics observed at the single molecule level. Semi-natural work by Strick and colleagues using magnetic tweezers showed that the holo specifically binds at the promoter to form a RP_C and transits directly towards a stable RP_O, the lifetime of which varies as a function of the promoter sequence, the applied torque and the supercoiling sign (43). Interestingly, they reported no intermediate between RP_C and RP_O, and a single dissociation rate constant, though ensemble studies already reported at least one intermediate (8). Furthermore, a recent biochemical study has characterized several closed-promoter intermediates preceding RP_1, which originate from promoter bending and conformational rearrangement of the RNAP clamp to position the promoter towards opening (26), supporting recent cryoEM studies (25,36). A recent single-molecule FRET study has showed that the holo explores an RP_1 state, either transiently or permanently, in addition to the fully open RP_O state (44). One of the differences between the magnetic tweezers and the single molecule FRET studies was the nature of the monovalent salt: the former used NaCl, while the latter used KGlutamate. Record and colleagues have shown that the physiologically relevant glutamate has a stabilizing effect on protein folding and RPO formation over chloride, and specifically interacts with the holo to drive major conformational changes from RP_1 (called I_2 in \( \lambda P_R \) studies) to
RP₀ (78). These experiments were performed at rather high monovalent salt concentration, i.e. from 150 to 545 mM, and did not investigate lower, and more physiological, salt concentrations. We have filled this gap by investigating a range of monovalent salts from 50 to 300 mM. We show here that open complex dynamics are mainly affected by the type of the anions, i.e. Cl⁻, Glu⁻ and Ac⁻. In agreement with previous studies (77, 85), our direct observation of RP₀ formation using a torsionally constrained DNA molecule showed no effect of the monovalent cation, i.e. K⁺, Na⁺ and NH₄⁺ (Figure 3G–I), despite the increase in the DNA helical twist induced by potassium and ammonium in comparison to sodium (Supplementary Figure S6). Though the rate constant for open complex formation was similar at 50 mM KCl and 150 mM KGlu, it decreased exponentially with KCl concentration (Figure 4E), until no activity was detected above 150 mM. This result suggests that increasing chloride concentration screens the holo Coulombic interactions with the promoter, and consequently decreases the equilibrium association constant $K_1$. KGlu and KAc impacts the open complex formation in two different regimes, up to $\sim$150 mM, KGlu increases attractive interactions between the promoter and the holo, to eventually screen these interactions by Coulombic effect at higher concentrations.

We studied the dissociation kinetics of the holo from lacCONS promoter to determine the reaction pathway between RP₀ and RP₁. Kinetic modeling clearly supports a description where RP₀ is a stable but still slowly reversible state and holo dissociation occurs only via RP₁ (Model 4 Assumption 3, Table 1). This is in agreement with ensemble studies inducing dissociation with high salt upshift (70). How does anion concentration affect open complex stabil-
ity? The dissociation rate constant $k_3$, i.e. from $R_{PI}$ to $R + P$, increased exponentially with glutamate and acetate concentration, and even more so for chloride, up to the point that the holo did not enter $R_{PO}$ state at $>100$ mM chloride. The strong dependence of $R_{PI}$ dissociation on chloride could explain why a previous magnetic tweezers study reported only a single rate constant for $R_{PO}$ dissociation, as if the entire OS population was in the $R_{PI}$ state (43). Interestingly, a follow up study by the same group on initial transcription and promoter escape showed RNA synthesis activity in the same ionic condition, suggesting that $R_{PI}$ may be the catalytically competent state (74), as recently reported by Record et al. (84), and further supported by a recent structural work from Darst and colleagues (86). What could be the utility of such long-lived, catalytically incompetent $R_{PO}$? A recent magnetic tweezers study reported a long-lived backtrack state of the initially transcribing complex (ITC) using positively supercoiled DNA (42). This was described by a double exponential, with exit rates of ~0.003 and ~0.0002 s$^{-1}$, respectively (42). We show in another study that such state is catalytically incompetent, its escape is sensitive to the nucleotide concentration, and may serve as a mean to trap the holo at the promoter to regulate gene expression as a function of NTP concentration (40). We propose here that the holo is similarly capable to enter a long-lived inactive open state in the absence of RNA and NTPs, forming the so-called $R_{PO}$. Recovery from the inactive state is slow on the strong consensus promoter (~0.05 s$^{-1}$ in 100 mM KCl) but can be speculated to be faster on promoters with less ideal –10 or discriminator sequences, or when additional transcription factors bind and modulate the $R_{PO}$.

We could not observe a difference in transcription bubble size between $R_{PI}$ and $R_{PO}$, within the spatiotemporal resolution of our assay, indicating that this transition does not lead to an intermediate state with a different bubble size long-lived enough to be detected. It may rather originate from the rearrangement of the melted DNA strands inside the holo, as previously suggested (77), and/or involves conformation change in the holo. However, we cannot exclude the existence of rapid bubble expansion or reduction indicative of other intermediate state(s). These rearrangements tighten the holo–promoter interactions effectively preventing the dissociation from $R_{PO}$ state. In the $R_{PI}$ state, in contrast, these interactions remain weak enough to allow their stochastic disruption and thus direct dissociation from the $R_{PI}$ state without full reversal to the state preceding the open complex, i.e. $R_{PC}$. Furthermore, acetate shows an intermediate effect on the kinetics of $k_3$, $k_{-3}$ and $k_5$, following the Hofmeister series ranking of acetate between chloride and glutamate, and being also consistent with the idea that glutamate favors open complex formation via non-Coulombic interactions (77).

By investigating the temperature dependence of the $R_{PO}$ dynamics, we extracted the activation energies of the transitions between the different states. We showed that, in our experimental conditions, i.e. positively supercoiled DNA and 150 mM KAc, a simple Arrhenius equation could not describe the temperature dependent open complex formation kinetics (Figure 6B). We thus proposed a model, in which the transition from $R_{PC}$ to $R_{PI}$ ($k_5$ in Figure 2C) dominates the reaction at low temperature, while $R_{PC}$ to holo dissociation ($k_{-1}$ in Figure 2C) dominates the reaction at high temperature. To estimate how these changes in the rate-limiting steps affect the formation and relative abundance of different RNAP–promoter complexes, we simulated, using the experimental rate constants from Supplementary Table S2, the time and temperature dependent evolution of nascent RNAP–promoter population (Supplementary Figure S11). In each temperature, $R_{PI}$ concentration peaked ~60–120 s before $R_{PO}$ begins to accumulate significantly. The equilibrium binding of the holo to the promoter was most efficient in the physiologically optimal temperature as indicated by the fact that the relative free promoter concentration was 0.25 at 37°C, increasing to 0.70 or 0.58 towards the low (25°C) and high (45°C) end of the studied temperature range, respectively. Similar trend was observed for the $R_{PO}/R_{PI}$ ratio, i.e. 1.05 at 25°C, 2.42 at 37°C and 1.85 at 45°C. Overall, the simulation demonstrate that temperature modulates significantly both the overall holo binding to the promoter and the equilibrium levels between $R_{PI}$ and $R_{PO}$. We also note that there appears a time window (at about 10–60 s in Supplementary Figure S11), where $R_{PI}$ is the dominant complex with insignificant amount of $R_{PO}$ formed. It is therefore plausible to speculate that $R_{PI}$ can be kinetically selected as the predominant transcription initiation complex, i.e. $R_{PI}$ would initiate RNA synthesis before isomerization to $R_{PO}$ had time to occur. However, it should be noted that the simulation was carried using a simple three species mechanism (Supplementary Figure S11). As previously observed (33,84), the activation energies of the transition described by the rate constants $k_3$, $k_{-3}$ and $k_5$ were in fact negative, which indicates that these rates do not actually report on elemental reactions (83). This finding supports the existence of intermediate states between $R_{PO}$ and $R_{PI}$ (and vice versa) and between $R_{PI}$ and $R + P$, which are not kinetically significant in the net forward reaction of $R_{PO}$ formation.

A recent cryoEM study from Darst and colleagues has reported seven intermediates during the formation of E. coli holo open promoter complex on rpsT P2 promoter in combination with a transcription factor inhibitor trapping the open complex in different open complex state (36). Previous ensemble biochemical studies have reported on three distinct open intermediates on λP R, one rapidly equilibrating with the most stable $R_{PO}$ state, and therefore challenging to observe (8,33). Our recently published single molecule FRET investigation reported several open complex states with kinetic rate constants in the sub-second range (87), i.e. beyond the temporal resolution of our magnetic tweezers assay. However, the negative activation energies of the transitions towards dissociation we present here strongly support their existence. Could the seven states observed by cryoEM be a combination of the transient open states reported using single molecule FRET and the slower ones we report here? To answer this question, future studies will need to directly observe such intermediates using high resolution magnetic tweezers combined with single molecule FRET to reveal the complete cycle of open complex formation and dissociation. Furthermore, other promoter sequences may be more appropriate to reveal such intermediates, as open complex formation kinetics varies widely for different promoters (43).
Our study expands the understanding on how monovalent salts and temperature affect protein-nucleic acids interactions, and will therefore be of use to single molecule biophysicists. We provide the community a detailed protocol to establish a robust lipid bilayer passivation for single molecule assays, as well as a complete pipeline for data analysis using a custom Python routine. Furthermore, our single molecule study of bacterial RNA polymerase open complex dynamics corroborates the existence of open-state intermediates, and further expands our understanding of the interactions leading to a stable holo open complex.
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