Understanding Muscle Protein Dynamics: Technical Considerations for Advancing Sarcopenia Research
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Sarcopenia, which is the loss of muscle mass and strength that occurs with aging, involves imbalanced muscle protein turnover (i.e., protein breakdown exceeding synthesis), which in turn exacerbates other clinical conditions such as type 2 diabetes mellitus, obesity, osteoporosis, and cancer, thereby worsening the quality of life in older adults. This imbalance is attributed in part to the resistance of aged muscle to anabolic stimuli such as dietary protein/amino acids and resistance exercise known as anabolic resistance. Despite research efforts, no practical therapeutics have been successfully discovered possibly because of a lack of understanding of the dynamic nature of muscle protein, and the use of indirect assessments of muscle mass. Herein, we briefly discuss the regulation of protein turnover in response to the abovementioned anabolic stimuli with respect to anabolic resistance and optimal protein intake, followed by methodological considerations for advancing sarcopenia research, including assessments of muscle mass and dynamics.
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animal and human studies. Furthermore, it is important to accurately determine muscle mass to evaluate the efficacy of therapeutic candidates (e.g., nutrition, exercise, and/or drugs) using minimally invasive techniques; however, no commonly used methods (such as dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry [DEXA]) directly assess muscle mass. Therefore, this short review discusses the following topics: (1) regulation of muscle protein dynamics in response to anabolic stimuli such as resistance exercise and amino acid (AA)/protein nutrition, (2) anabolic resistance to those anabolic stimuli in older adults, (3) importance of dietary protein or balanced essential AAs (EAA) for effective muscle protein synthesis (MPS), and (4) methodological considerations for advancing medical research in sarcopenia, including the critical role of stable isotope tracer methodologies to assess muscle protein dynamics and muscle mass.

REGULATION OF MUSCLE PROTEIN KINETICS: EFFECTS OF RESISTANCE EXERCISE AND DIETARY PROTEINS/AMINO ACIDS

The muscle protein pool is in a constant state of turnover (i.e., protein synthesis and protein breakdown). Thus, the muscle protein pool (mass) is determined by the balance between rates of protein synthesis and breakdown. As long as the two rates are constant, muscle mass will not change, regardless of the actual rates. If the MPS rate exceeds that of breakdown, muscle mass will hypertrophy over time. In contrast, muscle atrophy will occur in the opposite state (i.e., protein breakdown > synthesis) (Fig. 1).

Resistance exercise and dietary EAA/protein are the two most prominent anabolic stimuli. In the resting fasted state, muscle protein breakdown (MPB) typically exceeds MPS, implying a net negative protein balance. Resistance exercise stimulates muscle protein turnover, with MPS increasing to a greater extent than MPB, leading to an improvement in the net protein balance. However, the net protein balance remains negative (MPB > MPS) because MPB remains greater than MPS. Therefore, resistance exercise alone does not lead to a net positive protein balance (i.e., muscle hypertrophy) until the provision of nutrients, particularly high-quality dietary protein or balanced EAAs. In contrast, dietary protein alone can induce a net positive protein balance (i.e., anabolic response: MPS > MPB) even without resistance exercise, although the anabolic effect is relatively transient (approximately 2–3 hours post-feeding). The anabolic response to dietary protein or AAs is achieved by stimulating MPS with no apparent change in MPB after the intake of AAs/proteins. This may not be the case following the intake of mixed meals containing proteins; however, no definite evidence with respect to MPB is currently available. The stimulatory effect of dietary protein consumption on MPS is attributable to the EAA component because the consumption of non-essential AAs (NEAAs) alone or with EAAs failed to further stimulate MPS. Resistance exercise can amplify and prolong anabolic responses to dietary protein/EAA.

![Fig. 1. Muscle (protein) mass is regulated by the balance between muscle protein synthesis (MPS) and muscle protein breakdown (MPB). The pool size of the muscle protein (i.e., mass) is represented as the volume in the water tank. Muscle hypertrophy is defined as MPS > MPB and muscle atrophy as MPS < MPB.](www.e-agmr.org)
Anabolic Resistance to Dietary Protein/EAA and Resistance Exercise in Older Adults with Sarcopenia

It was once believed that age-related sarcopenia resulted from alterations in protein kinetics in the basal fasted state. However, using state-of-the-art stable isotope tracer methodologies with muscle biopsy and arteriovenous balance techniques, it was demonstrated that no difference in basal fasted protein kinetics between older adults with sarcopenia and normal healthy young adults exists. Instead, the efficiency of stimulation of net muscle protein synthetic response to a small EAA intake was attenuated in older adults compared with that in healthy young adults (intake of 6.7 g EAA), a phenomenon termed anabolic resistance. However, the attenuated response to dietary protein/EAA was rescued when the amount of EAA intake (contained in beef steak) was doubled. Similarly, anabolic resistance occurs in response to resistance exercise in older adults with sarcopenia. For example, Kumar et al. determined MPS after resistance exercise at a wide range of intensities while holding the volume of exercise constant in young and older subjects. They found that MPS increased linearly as a function of intensity until 60% repetition maximum (a maximal weight to be lifted once) in both age groups, but to a greater extent in young subjects than in older subjects at all intensities. Anabolic resistance could be partly because of the attenuated activation of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) in response to anabolic stimuli such as dietary protein/EAA or resistance exercise. Consistent with this notion, increasing the proportion of leucine in AA mixtures overcomes the blunted anabolic response in rest and post-exercise conditions in older adults. Although leucine may have a therapeutic potential to counteract muscle wasting owing to its potency in activating mTORC1, the activation of mTORC1 is only one of several important components required for the complete synthesis of new proteins, including the availability of precursor AAs.

All AAs Work Together to Make New Proteins

Appreciation of the process of protein synthesis makes clear the requirement that all AA precursors must be present in adequate quantities to produce a protein. The requirement for all AAs can be envisioned with the following analogy. If you bought a sports car, you can drive at 100 mph as long as you have gasoline in the tank. However, you cannot go without gasoline (i.e., no AAs) despite having a powerful engine (i.e., a fully activated mTORC1). In short, to make new proteins, all AAs required for protein synthesis need to be available. As discussed above, because the consumption of NEAAs does not lead to the stimulation of MPS and because they are sufficiently produced endogenously, the consumption of balanced EAA should be mainly considered. Consistent with this notion, no clinical studies have shown the positive effects of leucine supplementation on lean body mass and strength, particularly in older adults. In contrast, EAA or protein supplementation improved lean body mass in older adults, with or without exercise training. Moreover, at the whole-body level, the consumption of balanced EAA was more effective in inducing a greater anabolic response than that of protein in young and older adults. However, leucine alone could have nutraceutical potential in counteracting sarcopenia if the following two criteria are met: (1) acceleration of protein breakdown drives loss of muscle mass and (2) leucine effectively inhibits protein breakdown. First, the underlying kinetic mechanism may vary depending on muscle-wasting conditions (e.g., sarcopenia vs. cachexia). Cachexia is a much stronger driver of accelerated MPB than sarcopenia. Thus, it is important to determine protein kinetics to understand if the underlying mechanisms reside in the alteration of protein breakdown or synthesis. Second, an anti-proteolytic potential of leucine that involves mTORC1 activation, which in turn suppresses autophagy through the phosphorylation of an important autophagy initiating kinase, Unc-51-like autophagy-activating kinase 1 (ULK1), has been reported. However, its quantitative contribution to muscle wasting remains to be determined.

Optimal Dietary Protein Intake for Maximal Anabolic Response

Protein dose-response studies in older adults have largely been conducted with protein or AA alone. However, most of these studies only quantified the synthesis side of the protein balance equation. In this respect, the optimal amount of protein, i.e., the minimum amount of protein that induces a maximal anabolic response, ranges from 20 to 35 g per meal or more specifically 0.24 g protein/kg body weight per meal for healthy young adults. The corresponding amount for healthy older adults is 0.40 g protein/kg body weight per meal, reflecting 70% anabolic resistance. Based on these data, distributing the total amount of protein evenly throughout the day rather than the more conventional approach of consuming one large meal containing most of the dietary protein (typically dinner) may provide a near-maximal anabolic response per meal. For example, if an older adult weighing 70 kg consumes 1.2 g protein/kg/day (84 g/day), corresponding to 1.5 times the recommended dietary allowance (0.8 g/kg/day), a typical distribution pattern might be 20% (0.24 g/kg or 16.8 g per meal) at breakfast, 30% (0.36 g/kg or 25.2 g per meal) at lunch, and 50% (0.48 g/kg or 42 g per meal) at dinner. With this uneven pattern of protein intake, a near-maximal anabolic response can occur only at dinner (above 0.4 g/kg per meal).
However, if consumed evenly throughout the day (one-third per meal), the same person can achieve maximal anabolic response by consuming the optimal 0.4 g/kg at every meal. While this theory appears to be logical, the optimal dose (0.4 g/kg per meal) may be underestimated in the real world for several reasons. First, the optimal protein dose was based on the assessment of the anabolic response to increasing doses of high-quality (animal source) protein, whereas a normal diet contains proteins with varying degrees of quality. Second, for most (>95%) older adults to achieve maximal anabolic response, they may need to consume approximately 0.6 g protein/kg/meal (3 meals × 0.6 g/kg/meal = approximately 1.8 g/kg/day). Third, and most importantly, people consume most protein in the context of mixed meals and not in isolation, which induces different physiological responses such as higher insulin and lower EAA responses in the blood for a given amount of protein or AA consumed. Consistent with this notion, we showed an increased whole-body anabolic response following the consumption of protein above the amount considered optimal. Furthermore, the response was dose dependent (dose range: approximately 6.4–91.7 g per meal), with an increasing protein intake in the context of mixed meals largely improving the net protein balance by suppressing protein breakdown via insulin-dependent and insulin-independent pathways. The results of a 12-week chronic study support our findings by showing a close correlation between lean body mass and the amount of protein intake within a wide range of protein intake. However, the role of the suppression of protein breakdown in inducing an anabolic response in muscle remains to be confirmed because the rate of MPB with increasing amounts of protein or EAA intake has not been directly measured. Furthermore, despite its major role in inducing an anabolic response, it is still unclear if inducing an anabolic response by slowing protein turnover, as shown in our previous studies, is optimal for muscle health and the quality of life in older adults. A high rate of protein turnover presumably replaces older proteins with new functional proteins. Thus, further investigations of the optimal total amount of dietary protein intake and the pattern of consumption are warranted.

METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR ADVANCING MEDICAL RESEARCH IN SARCOPENIA: A ROLE FOR STABLE ISOTOPE TRACER METHODOLOGIES

To better understand the pathological alterations in sarcopenic skeletal muscle and test the efficacy of potential therapeutics developed to counteract sarcopenia, two important variables need to be accurately assessed, namely (1) muscle protein kinetics and (2) muscle mass. However, quantifying these parameters presents several challenges. First, the assessment of muscle protein turnover requires a minimum of one, and often several, muscle biopsies, which is invasive and may limit participant recruitment. Second, despite the critical importance of an accurate assessment of changes in muscle mass owing to therapeutic interventions (nutrition, exercise, and/or drugs), the approaches commonly utilized in clinical research such as DEXA are indirect measurements of muscle mass, which are highly susceptible to errors. However, these challenges can be overcome by recent technological advancements with minimal invasiveness, including (1) a deuterium-labeling method combined with “virtual” biopsy (no muscle biopsy) to determine muscle protein dynamics and (2) a D2O-creatinine dilution method to directly determine muscle mass. In the following section, we will briefly discuss (1) the basic principles of determining muscle protein turnover, (2) the deuterium oxide labeling method combined with virtual biopsy, and (3) the D2O-creatinine dilution method.

Exploration of Protein Turnover Dynamics

To maintain proteostasis in the body, all proteins are in varying rates of turnover, resulting in a dynamic balance between protein synthesis and breakdown (Fig. 1). In normal conditions, muscle mass is maintained through a close match between the rates of protein synthesis and breakdown in a daily basis. That is, the portion of the day in which protein balance is positive as the result of anabolic stimuli, such as exercise and nutritional intake, is closely balanced with the portion of the day in which protein balance is negative as the result of catabolic stimuli such as overnight fasting and/or post-absorptive periods. However, in muscle-wasting conditions such as sarcopenia, negative protein balance (i.e., loss of muscle mass) predominates owing to protein breakdown exceeding protein synthesis over time. Hence, an understanding of the dynamic nature of protein turnover in the body is of critical importance for elucidating in vivo proteostasis, which can be assessed by stable isotope tracers.

The MPS rate is generally assessed using stable isotope tracers and is reported as a fractional term, namely the fractional synthetic rate (FSR, %/unit time). Briefly, following the administration of a tracer AA (i.e., phenylalanine tracer) that monitors the fate of the trace AA into the body (typically intravenously), muscle protein FSR is estimated by determining the rate of tracer phenylalanine incorporation into muscle protein over time. To obtain the absolute rate of MPS, the muscle protein FSR is multiplied by the pool size (i.e., muscle protein mass), which underpins another reason to correctly determine muscle (protein) mass. In contrast, the rate of
MPB is determined similarly in principle, except that the precursor of free AAs is muscle protein. More comprehensive information regarding the principles of stable isotope tracer methodology is available elsewhere. 49

Assessment of Muscle Dynamics: Deuterium Oxide and Virtual Biopsy Method

The assessment of muscle protein FSR using the stable isotope tracer methodology typically requires muscle tissue obtained by needle biopsy. However, muscle biopsy is invasive, thus limiting subjects’ willingness to participate. Furthermore, obtaining muscle tissue by biopsy may be difficult in older adults with sarcopenia owing to their greatly reduced muscle mass. The heavy water labeling method combined with “virtual biopsy” allows researchers to avoid problems of obtaining muscle samples.49,50 The method comprises two parts (Fig. 2), namely (1) heavy water labeling of muscle proteins and (2) measurement of labeling of a circulating protein almost exclusively released from skeletal muscle into the blood (e.g., muscle creatine kinase, mCK). To assess the MPS rate using this method, individuals consume a small amount (approximately 100 mL) of heavy water (deuterium oxide, 2H2O) daily from a few days to months. Deuterium from heavy water rapidly equilibrates with the existing body water pool, both of which are then rapidly exchanged with free AAs via transamination and deamination reactions41 and then incorporated into muscle proteins, including mCK. The advantages of measuring mCK include (1) consistent detection in the blood, (2) exclusive derivation from muscle (> 90%), and (3) a shorter half-life than that in muscle (approximately 2 months) (Fig. 1).49,52 Therefore, circulating mCK levels reflect the levels in muscle with respect to deuterium labeling and thus the protein FSR of mCK.49 Furthermore, mCK FSR is well equated with the muscle contractile protein FSR.49

Direct Assessment of Skeletal Muscle Mass: The D3-Creatine Dilution Method

The accurate measurement of changes in muscle mass is crucial for assessing the efficacy of potential therapeutics for sarcopenia. DEXA, electrical impedance, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging have been commonly utilized40 to measure muscle mass. However, none of these techniques directly measures skeletal muscle mass because muscle mass is not distinguished from bone and tendon-like connective tissues and the results are significantly affected by hydration status.40 The recently described D3-creatine dilution method more directly estimates muscle mass via the dilution principle of deuterium-labeled creatine (i.e., D3-creatine). The basic principle of the method is based on the calculation of the magnitude of the dilution of D3-creatine in all skeletal muscles in the body (reflected in urine creatinine enrichment) following the oral consumption of a known small amount of D3-creatine.53,49 Briefly, orally consumed creatine mostly enters skeletal muscle via a creatine transporter against a concentration gradient. In muscles, creatine is converted to creatinine by irreversible, non-enzymatic dehydration, whereupon the creatinine is released into the blood and is excreted by the urinary system (Fig. 3). The advantage of using the D3-creatine dilution method to measure muscle mass is that approximately 98% of the total creatine pool is found in skeletal muscle and that creatine is exclusively turned over in muscle and converted to creatinine. Thus, urine enrichment of creatinine reflects creatine enrichment in muscle, enabling the calculation of the total creatine pool size, which is directly related to muscle mass.40

In summary, the heavy water labeling method combined with virtual biopsy and D3-creatine dilution method provided precise,
accurate, and convenient tools to analyze protein turnover and muscle mass, respectively, with minimal invasiveness. The application of these techniques can facilitate and advance clinical muscle research, particularly in the field of sarcopenia.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Sarcopenia, which is the progressive loss of muscle mass and strength with aging, is a public health problem affecting the quality of life of older adults. While research efforts to reverse sarcopenia progression have heavily focused on “static” molecular and cellular mechanisms, improved understanding of “kinetic” mechanisms is needed as the muscle protein pool is in a dynamic state of constant turnover. The determination of muscle protein kinetics traditionally requires muscle biopsy, which slows sarcopenia research. In this regard, heavy water labeling combined with “virtual” biopsy is an important tool for determining muscle protein kinetics in free-living conditions without requiring an actual muscle biopsy. Furthermore, the accurate assessment of muscle mass is required to determine the efficacy of potential therapeutics. To date, muscle mass has been indirectly estimated using methods such as DEXA. These indirect methods can be replaced by a direct muscle mass assessment using the D$_3$-creatine dilution method. The virtual biopsy and D$_3$-creatine methods can use stable isotope tracers to quantify the dynamic nature of the muscle proteome and accurately measure muscle mass. These new methods will allow the development of therapeutics based on a quantitative understanding of the physiological basis of sarcopenia.
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