Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol in Japanese Patients with Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis: 52-Week Results
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Certolizumab pegol (CZP), an Fc-free, PEGylated anti-tumour necrosis factor biologic, dosed at 400 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W) and 200 mg Q2W over 16 weeks, resulted in improvements in Japanese patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (PSO); no new safety signals were identified. We present 52-week efficacy and safety results.

Methods: Patients ≥ 20 years with PSO ≥ 6 months [Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) ≥ 12, body surface area ≥ 10%, Physician’s Global Assessment (PGA) ≥ 3] were randomised 1:2:2 to placeboQ2W, CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W (400 mg weeks 0/2/4) for 16 weeks. Week 16 PASI 50 responders continued through week 52; CZP 200 mg Q2W-randomised patients were re-randomised 1:1 to CZP 200 mg Q2W or CZP 400 mg Q4W; patients initially randomised to other treatment groups continued in the same group. Outcomes included PASI 75/90/100, PGA 0/1, Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) 0/1, Itch Numeric Rating Scale (INRS) 0, modified Nail Psoriasis Severity Index (mNAPSI), durability of response for week 16 PASI 75/90 responders, and safety.

Results: Of 26/53/48 patients randomised to placebo, CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W, 2/47/39 completed week 52, respectively. PASI 75/90 responses were generally maintained from weeks 16 to 52 for all CZP doses. Most week 16 PASI 75/90 achievers maintained their response through week 52. PASI 75/90/100 responses at week 52 in the CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W groups were 83.0/81.1/41.5% and 72.9/60.4/18.8%, respectively; DLQI/INRS remission rates were 64.2/50.9% in CZP 400 mg Q2W and 58.3/27.1% in
CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients. Reductions in mNAPSI observed for CZP-treated groups were maintained through week 52. No new safety signals were identified. **Conclusion**: CZP treatment resulted in improvements in signs and symptoms of PSO, which were maintained through week 52. The 400 mg Q2W dose could provide additional clinical benefit. **Trial Registration**: NCT03051217.
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**Key Summary Points**

**Why carry out this study?**

Certolizumab pegol (CZP) is an Fc-free, PEGylated anti-tumour necrosis factor biologic.

In a phase 2/3 trial, CZP dosed at 400 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W) and 200 mg Q2W over 16 weeks showed clinically meaningful improvements in Japanese patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (PSO).

Due to the chronic nature of PSO, patients are required to remain on treatment for many years. Therefore, it is desirable that treatments remain durable over the longer term.

Here we report the 52-week efficacy and safety of CZP in Japanese patients with PSO.

**What was learned from the study?**

Treatment with CZP was associated with improvements in signs and symptoms of PSO, which were maintained through week 52.

In general, numerically higher response rates were observed with the CZP 400 mg Q2W dose through weeks 0–52. The 400 mg Q2W dose could provide additional clinical benefit.

**DIGITAL FEATURES**

This article is published with digital features, including a summary slide, to facilitate understanding of the article. To view digital features for this article, go to https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14262083.

**INTRODUCTION**

Psoriasis manifests as raised, red, demarcated papules and plaques on the skin, often covered by white or silver scales [1]. Histologically, it is characterised by epidermal hyperplasia, vascular hyperplasia and recruitment of leukocyte subsets [2, 3]. Psoriatic arthritis may also develop in patients with psoriasis, which may result in pain, joint deformation and disability [1], leading to impairment in the quality of life (QoL). Nail deformity may also sometimes co-exist in psoriatic patients [4]. Psoriasis has been considered as a systemic disease [5], and conditions associated with chronic systemic inflammation, such as obesity, are known risk factors [6–8]. Itching, pain, a negative body image due to skin lesions, nail deformities, anxiety and depression impair the QoL in patients with psoriasis [4, 9, 10]. Therefore, the management of psoriasis involves the control of skin symptoms as well as the management of other comorbidities and impaired QoL.

Tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), a cytokine mainly produced by dendritic cells, plays a critical role in the production of self-amplifying inflammatory responses in keratinocytes [3, 11]. Consequently, TNF-α inhibition is an effective strategy to manage psoriasis [12, 13].

Certolizumab pegol (CZP) is a unique Fc-free, PEGylated, anti-TNF biologic. PEGylation increases the half-life of CZP to 14 days [14]. Unlike other anti-TNF agents, CZP lacks the IgG Fc region that binds the neonatal Fc receptor for IgG (FcRn). Indeed, recent prospective studies have shown minimal to no placental transfer of CZP from mothers to infants [15]. CZP was first approved in the USA and EU in 2008 and 2009, respectively, for the treatment of rheumatoid
arthritis (RA). Currently, it is additionally approved for the treatment of moderate to severe plaque psoriasis (PSO), psoriatic arthritis (PsA) and axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) [comprising both ankylosing spondylitis (AS) and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (nr-axSpA)] [16, 17]. In the USA and Switzerland, it is also approved for the treatment of Crohn’s disease [16, 18]. In Japan, CZP is currently approved for the treatment of RA, PsO, PsA, generalised pustular psoriasis (GPP) and erythrodermic psoriasis (EP) [19].

In a 2014 review of all phase 3 studies of biologic therapies (adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab and ustekinumab) used in the treatment of psoriasis, approximately a third of patients receiving biologics tended to lose their PASI 75 (at least 75% reduction from baseline in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index) response during 0.8–3.9 years of follow-up [20], indicating the need for treatments that have long-lasting efficacy profiles to address the chronic nature of the disease.

In a phase 2/3, multi-centre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled Japanese clinical trial, which evaluated the safety and efficacy of CZP for the treatment of moderate to severe PSO in Japanese patients (NCT03051217), CZP dosed at 400 mg every 2 weeks (Q2W) and 200 mg Q2W over 16 weeks showed clinically meaningful improvements in moderate to severe PSO, with no new safety signals identified compared with previously reported data [21]. Here, we present 52-week outcomes from the same trial.

METHODS

Study Design

Patients were enrolled across 33 medical institutions in Japan, and were randomised 1:2:2 to placebo Q2W, CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W (with a loading dose of CZP 400 mg Q2W at weeks 0, 2 and 4), for 16 weeks of treatment (Fig. 1). Patients who achieved PASI 50 (at least 50% reduction from baseline PASI) response at week 16 continued through to week 52 as follows: patients initially randomised to CZP 400 mg Q2W continued to receive CZP 400 mg Q2W, patients initially randomised to CZP 200 mg Q2W were re-randomised (1:1) to receive either CZP 200 mg Q2W or CZP 400 mg every 4 weeks (Q4W) (with placebo administered on alternate dosing weeks to maintain the blind) and patients initially randomised to placebo continued to receive placebo. Patients who did not achieve a PASI 50 response at week 16 entered the escape arm and received open-label CZP 200 mg Q2W (CZP 400 mg Q2W loading dose for the first three visits). Patients who received open-label CZP 200 mg Q2W in the escape arm and did not achieve a PASI 50 response could have their dose increased to CZP 400 mg Q2W, at the discretion of the investigator. All double-blind CZP and placebo treatments were administered subcutaneously by trained institutional personnel not involved in any other study procedures.

This study protocol was reviewed by the institutional review board (IRB) of each institution prior to implementation. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was carried out in accordance with the applicable regulatory and International Council for Harmonization Good Clinical Practice requirements and the Helsinki Declaration of 1964, 739 and its later amendments.

Study Participants

The trial enrolled patients ≥20 years of age with moderate to severe PSO of disease duration ≥6 months with baseline PASI ≥12 and affected body surface area (BSA) of ≥10% and Physician’s Global Assessment (PGA) ≥3 on a 5-point scale. All patients were candidates for systemic psoriasis therapy, phototherapy or chemophototherapy. Patients were excluded if they had a history of primary failure to any biologic (i.e. no response within the first 12 weeks of treatment) or secondary failure to >2 biologics (i.e. patient initially responded then discontinued treatment owing to loss of response after 12 weeks of treatment); a diagnosis of any inflammatory arthritis other than
psoriatic arthritis; or guttate, drug-induced, erythrodermic or pustular psoriasis. Full inclusion and exclusion criteria have been published previously [21].

Efficacy Evaluations

The primary efficacy endpoint of the study and other secondary outcomes (efficacy and safety) over 16 weeks of CZP treatment were previously reported [21]. Outcomes reported here were other outcomes of the study.

The blinded maintenance treatment groups summarised patients on the basis of the treatment group assigned at week 16. Efficacy outcomes through weeks 16–52 were presented according to the blinded maintenance treatment groups. All other outcomes were presented according to the randomised treatment groups, which summarised patients on the basis of original assignment at baseline, and consisted of placebo, CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W (includes patients who received CZP 200 mg Q2W and CZP 400 mg Q4W during the maintenance period) groups.

Clinical efficacy during the maintenance treated period was assessed as the proportions of patients achieving PASI 75, PASI 90 (at least 90% reduction from baseline PASI), PASI 100 (100% reduction from baseline PASI) and PGA 0/1 [PGA score of 0 or 1 (‘clear’ or ‘almost clear’) with ≥2-point improvement from baseline]. Durability of response was assessed as the proportion of patients achieving PASI 75 and PASI 90 from weeks 16 to 52, in patients who achieved PASI 75 or PASI 90 at week 16. The proportion of patients achieving PASI 75/90/100, PGA 0/1, absolute PASI scores of <1, <2, <3 and <5, and absolute PASI scores for individual patients from weeks 0 to 52 were also reported.

Patient-reported outcomes (PRO) were assessed by Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) and Itch Numeric Rating Scale (INRS). The proportion of patients who achieved DLQI and INRS remission (i.e. DLQI 0/1 and INRS 0) from weeks 0 to 52 was reported. A DLQI absolute score of ≤1 indicates DLQI remission (i.e. no or small impact of the disease on health-related quality of life) [22]. The INRS is a simple, single-item instrument to assess the patient-reported severity of itch at its highest intensity during the past 24-h period. A score of 0 indicates itch remission [23]. The modified Nail

Fig. 1 Study design. *Patients received a loading dose of CZP 400 mg at weeks 0, 2 and 4; †patients initially randomised to CZP 200 mg Q2W were re-randomised (1:1) to receive either CZP 200 mg Q2W or CZP 400 mg Q4W (with placebo administered on alternate dosing weeks to maintain the blind); ‡patients who achieved PASI 50 continued therapy to week 52; §patients who did not achieve PASI 50 entered an open-label escape arm and received CZP 200 mg Q2W (CZP 400 mg Q2W loading dose for the first three visits). CZP certolizumab pegol, LD loading dose, PASI 50 ≥50% reduction from baseline in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index, Q2W every 2 weeks, Q4W every 4 weeks.
Psoriasis Severity Index (mNAPSI) was assessed in patients with psoriatic nail disease at baseline. The most-affected nail at baseline was selected as the target nail and was the only one assessed through the study.

Safety Evaluations

Safety data for all patients who received ≥ 1 dose of CZP through weeks 0–52, under double-blind or open-label escape-arm treatment conditions, were reported. Adverse events (AEs) were classified according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) v.18.1. Serious AEs were defined as AEs that met one or more of the following criteria: death; life threatening; significant or persistent disability or incapacity; congenital anomaly or birth defect (including that occurring in a foetus); an important medical event that may jeopardise the patient and may require surgical intervention; or initial inpatient hospitalisation or prolongation of hospitalisation. Treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) were defined as events with onset at the time of or after the first dose of study medication and up to 70 days after the final dose of study medication. The pre-defined AEs of interest (AEOI) were serious infections, malignancies, including lymphoma; congestive heart failure; demyelinating-like disorders; aplastic anaemia, pancytopenia, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia and leukopenia; serious bleeding events; lupus and lupus-like illness; serious skin reactions such as Stevens–Johnson Syndrome, toxic epidermal necrosis and erythema multiforme; and potential Hy’s law case, defined as ≥ 3 × the upper limit of normal (ULN) in alanine transaminase (ALT) or aspartate transaminase (AST) with co-existing ≥ 2 × ULN in total bilirubin, in the absence of ≥ 2 × ULN in alkaline phosphatase (ALP), with no alternative explanation for the biochemical abnormality. Incidence rates (IR) and associated confidence intervals (CI) were calculated as incidence of new cases per 100 patient-years (PY).

Statistical Analyses

The following data were generated post hoc: PASI 75/90/100, PGA 0/1, absolute PASI thresholds, DLQI 0/1 and INRS 0 responder rates, over weeks 0–52. Other analyses were prespecified in the protocol.

For PASI 75/90/100, PGA 0/1, absolute PASI < 1, < 2, < 3 and < 5, DLQI 0/1 and INRS 0 responder rates, missing data were
imputed using non-responder imputation (NRI); for mNAPSI, last observation carried forward (LOCF) was used. Patients who entered the escape arm were imputed as non-responders at the point of escape. Those who discontinued were imputed as non-responders from the point of premature withdrawal through week 52. Safety data were analysed on the safety set, for patients who received CZP from weeks 0–52 under double-blind and open-label escape treatment conditions. Data were summarised using descriptive statistics only. Simple proportions were calculated to estimate responder rates. No statistical tests were performed.

| Table 1 Demographics and baseline disease characteristics |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Characteristic**                                      | Placebo Q2W | CZP 400 mg Q2W | CZP 200 mg Q2W |
| Demographics                                             |             |               |               |
| Age, years, mean ± SD                                    | 47.9 ± 11.4 | 52.4 ± 11.6   | 48.4 ± 13.5   |
| Male, n (%)                                              | 21 (80.8)   | 42 (79.2)     | 36 (75.0)     |
| Weight, kg, mean ± SD                                    | 75.1 ± 15.8 | 71.6 ± 14.3   | 72.6 ± 14.3   |
| Height, cm, mean ± SD                                    | 165.7 ± 7.1 | 165.6 ± 7.5   | 167.5 ± 7.5   |
| BMI, kg/m², mean ± SD                                    | 27.3 ± 5.1  | 26.0 ± 4.3    | 26.0 ± 5.5    |
| Baseline disease characteristics                         |             |               |               |
| Duration of disease, years, mean ± SD                   | 12.7 ± 8.6  | 13.2 ± 9.3    | 12.7 ± 10.1   |
| Concurrent PsA, n (%)                                    | 5 (19.2)    | 10 (18.9)     | 6 (12.5)      |
| PASI, mean ± SD                                          | 24.5 ± 10.4 | 26.5 ± 11.0   | 24.5 ± 12.6   |
| DLQI, mean ± SD                                          | 10.5 ± 7.2  | 9.2 ± 7.4     | 10.5 ± 6.6    |
| INRS, mean ± SD                                          | 6.1 ± 2.5   | 5.7 ± 2.6     | 5.6 ± 2.4     |
| BSA affected, %, mean ± SD                               | 38.3 ± 17.2 | 39.7 ± 21.4   | 38.7 ± 21.9   |
| PGA score, n (%)                                         |             |               |               |
| 3: moderate                                              | 17 (65.4)   | 31 (58.5)     | 31 (64.6)     |
| 4: severe                                                | 9 (34.6)    | 22 (41.5)     | 17 (35.4)     |
| Any systematic PSO treatment, n (%)                      | 20 (76.9)   | 37 (69.8)     | 39 (81.3)     |
| Prior biologic use, n (%)                                |             |               |               |
| One therapy                                              | 8 (30.8)    | 16 (30.2)     | 14 (29.2)     |
| Two therapies                                            | 0 (0)       | 3 (5.7)       | 0 (0)         |
| Anti-TNF                                                 | 1 (3.8)     | 3 (5.7)       | 3 (6.3)       |

Full analysis set. BMI body mass index, BSA body surface area, CZP certolizumab pegol, DLQI Dermatology Life Quality Index, INRS Itch Numeric Rating Scale, PASI Psoriasis Area and Severity Index, PGA Physician's Global Assessment, PsA psoriatic arthritis, PSO plaque psoriasis, Q2W every 2 weeks, SD standard deviation, TNF tumour necrosis factor
RESULTS

Patient Disposition and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Of the 168 participants screened, 127 patients were randomised to placebo (n = 26), CZP 400 mg Q2W (n = 53) and CZP 200 mg Q2W (n = 48) (Fig. 2). Of the randomised patients, 2/26 placebo-treated patients, 47/53 CZP 400 mg Q2W-treated patients and 39/48 CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients completed week 52 (Fig. 2). Demographics and baseline disease characteristics were balanced across treatment groups (Table 1).

Table 2 Efficacy outcomes during maintenance treatment period

|                | CZP 400 mg Q2W/ CZP 400 mg Q2W (n = 49) | CZP 200 mg Q2W/ CZP 200 mg Q2W (n = 20) | CZP 200 mg Q2W/ CZP 400 mg Q4W (n = 20) | CZP 200 mg Q2W combined (n = 40) |
|----------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PASI 75, n (%) |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                  |
| Week 16        | 46 (93.9)                              | 17 (85.0)                              | 17 (85.0)                              | 34 (85.0)                        |
| Week 52        | 44 (89.8)                              | 18 (90.0)                              | 17 (85.0)                              | 35 (87.5)                        |
| PASI 90, n (%) |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                  |
| Week 16        | 40 (81.6)                              | 12 (60.0)                              | 13 (65.0)                              | 25 (62.5)                        |
| Week 52        | 43 (87.8)                              | 15 (75.0)                              | 14 (70.0)                              | 29 (72.5)                        |
| PASI 100, n (%)|                                        |                                        |                                        |                                  |
| Week 16        | 9 (18.4)                               | 6 (30.0)                               | 5 (25.0)                               | 11 (27.5)                        |
| Week 52        | 22 (44.9)                              | 4 (20.0)                               | 5 (25.0)                               | 9 (22.5)                         |
| PGA 0/1, n (%) |                                        |                                        |                                        |                                  |
| Week 16        | 35 (71.4)                              | 11 (55.0)                              | 13 (65.0)                              | 24 (60.0)                        |
| Week 52        | 38 (77.6)                              | 15 (75.0)                              | 15 (75.0)                              | 30 (75.0)                        |

Responder rates are from the BM Full Analysis Set (IA definition) and summarised according to blinded maintenance treatment group. Missing values were imputed using NRI. Only two patients continued placebo treatment to week 52; therefore, placebo data are not shown. BM blinded maintenance, IA interim analysis, NRI non-responder imputation, PASI 75/90/100 at least 75%/90%/100% improvement from baseline in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index, PGA Physician’s Global Assessment, Q2W every 2 weeks, Q4W every 4 weeks.

Efficacy During the Maintenance Treatment Period

The efficacy of CZP during weeks 0–16 has been previously shown [21]. Among patients who were PASI 50 responders at week 16 and who received CZP treatment during the maintenance treatment period, PASI 75 responder rates were generally maintained from weeks 16 to 52 for all treatment groups, with ≥ 85.0% PASI 75 responders in each group at week 52 (Table 2). Similar trends were observed for PASI 90, PASI 100 and PGA 0/1. For patients who were initially treated with CZP 200 mg Q2W and re-randomised at week 16 to either CZP 200 mg Q2W or CZP 400 mg Q4W, similar responder rates were observed between the treatment groups during the maintenance treatment period.
a  PASI 75 response over time in Week 16 PASI 75 responders

b  PASI 90 response over time in Week 16 PASI 75 responders

c  PASI 90 response over time in Week 16 PASI 90 responders
In patients who achieved PASI 75 at week 16, 91.3% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 94.1% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients maintained their response to week 52 (Fig. 3A). Of the patients who achieved PASI 75 at week 16, 87.0% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 73.5% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients also achieved PASI 90; 89.1% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 79.4% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients maintained the PASI 90 response to week 52 (Fig. 3B). In patients who achieved PASI 90 at week 16, 92.5% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 84.0% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients maintained their PASI 90 response to week 52 (Fig. 3C).

**Efficacy Outcomes over 52 Weeks**

A higher proportion of patients achieved PASI 75 in both CZP groups versus placebo at week 16 (placebo: 7.7%; CZP 400 mg Q2W: 86.8%; CZP 200 mg Q2W: 70.8%) (Fig. 4A); the proportion of responders in the CZP groups were maintained through to week 52. Similar trends were observed with PASI 90, PASI 100 and PGA 0/1 (Fig. 4B–D). PASI 75 response in patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q2W was numerically greater compared with CZP 200 mg Q2W from week 8 onwards (Fig. 4A). At week 52, 81.1% CZP 400 mg Q2W patients achieved PASI 90 (Fig. 4B), and 41.5% achieved total skin clearance (PASI 100) (Fig. 4C); 84.9% CZP 400 mg Q2W-treated patients and 75.0% CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients achieved PASI < 3 at week 52 (Fig. S1 in Supplementary Material). Absolute PASI thresholds were reached by a higher proportion of patients in the CZP 400 mg Q2W group than in the CZP 200 mg Q2W group. The absolute PASI for each patient in both CZP groups decreased over weeks 0–52 (Figs. S2 and S3 in Supplementary Material). The mean absolute PASI of the CZP 400 mg Q2W group was lower than that of CZP 200 mg Q2W group at week 52 (1.5 versus 2.6, respectively) (Fig. S2B in Supplementary Material).

**Other Efficacy Outcomes**

Higher DLQI remission rates were observed for both CZP groups versus placebo at week 16 (placebo: 7.7%; CZP 400 mg Q2W: 62.3%; CZP 200 mg Q2W: 56.3%) (Fig. 5A). The response to CZP was maintained to week 52 for both CZP doses. At week 52, 64.2% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 58.3% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients achieved DLQI remission. The DLQI 0/1 response rates were higher in patients receiving CZP 400 mg Q2W compared with CZP 200 mg Q2W from weeks 8 to 52. Similar trends were observed for INRS remission. At week 52, 50.9% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 27.1% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients achieved INRS remission (Fig. 5B). Reductions in mNAPSI score were observed for both CZP-treated groups from baseline to week 16, and these were maintained through to week 52. At week 52, there was a mean (standard deviation; SD) decrease of −3.7 (2.7) and −3.0 (2.1) in the CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W groups, respectively (Fig. 5C).

**Safety Assessments**

The safety of CZP versus placebo up to week 16 has been reported previously [21]. After 52 weeks of treatment, the patient exposures were 62.9, 63.5 and 126.5 patient-years in the CZP 400 mg Q2W, CZP 200 mg Q2W and All
The IRs (95% CI) for any TEAE were 261.0 (196.1–340.5) for the CZP 400 mg Q2W and 352.7 (271.0–451.3) for the CZP 200 mg Q2W treatment group. The most common TEAE was nasopharyngitis, with an IR of 56.7 (42.5–74.2) in the All CZP group. In total, nine serious TEAEs were reported in eight CZP-treated patients (6.6%, IR 6.6, 95% CI 2.8–12.9): six were considered drug-related by the investigator (thrombocytopenia, herpes zoster, neutropenia, sarcoidosis and two latent tuberculosis). No other AEOIs were reported from weeks 0 to 52 in that there were no opportunistic infections (including active tuberculosis), malignancies (including lymphoma), TEAEs related to congestive heart failure, serious cardiovascular events, serious skin disorders (such as Stevens–Johnson syndrome or lupus erythematosus) or deaths. One case of increased anti-nuclear antibody and two cases of increased cell marker (KL-6) were reported.

DISCUSSION

In this Japanese phase 2/3 trial, treatment with CZP dosed at either 400 mg Q2W or 200 mg Q2W resulted in improvements in signs and symptoms of PSO compared with placebo to week 16 [21], and these improvements with CZP were sustained through week 52. Responder rates were generally sustained at each visit from week 16 to 52 for all CZP-treated groups and there were no meaningful differences in efficacy in patients who were re-randomised to either CZP 200 mg Q2W or CZP 400 mg Q4W. In general, greater response rates through weeks 0–52 were observed with the CZP 400 mg Q2W dose; week 52 PASI 90 and PASI 100 responses in this group were comparable to other biologics evaluated in Japanese patients with PSO [24–26]. In this Japanese study, week 52 PASI 75
a **DLQI remission rate over time**

![Graph showing DLQI remission rate over time for Placebo and CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W groups from Week 0 to Week 52.](image)

b **INRS remission rate over time**

![Graph showing INRS remission rate over time for Placebo and CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W groups from Week 0 to Week 52.](image)

c **Change from baseline over time in mean mNAPSI**

![Graph showing change from baseline over time in mean mNAPSI for Placebo and CZP 400 mg Q2W and CZP 200 mg Q2W groups from Week 0 to Week 52.](image)
response was achieved by 83% of patients in the CZP 400 mg Q2W arm. Based on current literature of other anti-TNF agents, PASI 75 was achieved by 67% of Japanese patients with PSO on adalimumab [27]. Compared with other classes of biologics, the week 52 PASI 90 response observed with CZP 400 mg Q2W in this trial was comparable to the response of brodalumab (anti-IL-17A) [24], guselkumab (anti-IL-23) [25], ixekizumab (anti-IL-17A) [26], risankizumab (anti-IL-23) [28] and secukinumab (anti-IL-17A) [29] in Japanese patients with PSO (41–93%), and similar trends were also observed for PASI 100 (39–56%) [24–26, 28].

The results of this Japanese study were comparable to the two larger global phase 3 studies examining the efficacy of CZP in PSO patients (CIMPASI-1 and CIMPASI-2). Three-year efficacy data pooled from the CIMPASI-1 and CIMPASI-2 phase 3 trials demonstrated sustained and durable response to CZP dosed at either 400 mg or 200 mg Q2W; additional clinical benefits may be conferred with the higher dose [30].

In this study, we found that the majority of the initial week 16 responders were able to maintain their response through to week 52. Due to the chronic nature of psoriasis, patients are required to remain on treatment for many years. Therefore, it is desirable that treatments remain durable over the longer term. Evidence of loss of response over time has been observed with some biologics used in the treatment of PSO; over 33–52 weeks, 24% of patients receiving adalimumab in a phase 3 clinical trial were reported to lose their PASI 75 response [20], and in a real world study, 19% of patients receiving secukinumab reported loss of efficacy at around 24–32 weeks of therapy [31].

Increasing expert opinion recommends the use of absolute PASI for the assessment of treatment efficacy; absolute PASI ≤ 3 has been suggested to be a suitable treatment target for PSO [32, 33]. In this study, the absolute PASI in both CZP groups decreased over weeks 0–52, with 85% of CZP 400 mg Q2W- and 75% of CZP 200 mg Q2W-treated patients achieving PASI < 3 at week 52.

DLQI and INRS are important PRO tools to confirm that any documented clinical improvements in PSO are meaningful to the patient [9, 23]. The notable improvements in QoL from CZP treatment at week 16 as demonstrated by the DLQI and INRS remission, was maintained through to Week 52 in this study. Over 50% of patients receiving CZP reported DLQI remission at week 52, indicating that disease was no longer impacting their QoL. In a survey on patient perspectives in the management of PSO, 43% of patients indicated itching to be among the most bothersome signs or symptoms of PSO [34], highlighting the need for suitable treatments that will improve the patients’ QoL. In this study, the proportion of patients receiving CZP who were itch-free increased over time through week 52, with half of the CZP 400 mg Q2W-treated patients being itch-free at week 52.

No new safety signals were identified in this study as compared with previously reported data for CZP in other indications, and to other anti-TNF medications approved for PSO [35–39], and the incidence rates of TEAEs did not increase with longer exposure [21].

One of the limitations of this trial was the lack of an active comparator. The trial also did not include patients with a history of primary failure to biologic therapy. As all clinical trials have strict inclusion and exclusion criteria which may affect the generalisability of these results to clinical practice, there is a need to use real-world data in registries to monitor efficacy and safety of CZP over the longer term.
Table 3  Summary of TEAEs from baseline to week 52

| Number of patients (% of patients) | IR (95% CI) | CZP 400 mg Q2W | CZP 200 mg Q2W | All CZP (N = 122) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                                   |             | (n = 64)       | (n = 72)       | Patient exposure: |
|                                   |             | Patient exposure: | Patient exposure: | 126.5 PY         |
| Any TEAE                          | 54 (84.4) [187] | 63 (87.5) [230] | 111 (91.0) [417] | 307.5 (252.9–370.3) |
|                                   | 261.0 (196.1–340.5) | 352.7 (271.0–451.3) | 307.5 (252.9–370.3) |                  |
| Most commonly reported TEAE (PT ≥ 10% in All CZP group) | | | | |
| Nasopharyngitis                    | 28 (43.8) [48] | 25 (34.7) [30] | 53 (43.4) [78] |                  |
|                                   | 64.1 (42.6–92.6) | 49.1 (31.8–72.5) | 56.7 (42.5–74.2) |                  |
| Psoriasis                         | 5 (7.8) [5] | 8 (11.1) [8] | 13 (10.7) [13] |                  |
|                                   | 8.1 (2.6–18.9) | 13.1 (5.7–25.9) | 10.6 (5.7–18.2) |                  |
| Serious TEAEs                     | 6 (9.4) [7] | 2 (2.8) [2] | 8 (6.6) [9] |                  |
|                                   | 10.1 (3.7–22.1) | 3.2 (0.4–11.5) | 6.6 (2.8–12.9) |                  |
| Blood and lymphatic system disorders | 1 (1.6) [1] | 1 (1.4) [1] | 2 (1.6) [2] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 1.6 (0.2–5.8) |                  |
| Neutropenia                       | 0 | 1 (1.4) [1] | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Thrombocytopenia                  | 1 (1.6) [1] | 0 | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Eye disorders                     | 1 (1.6) [1] | 0 | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Eyelid ptosis                     | 1 (1.6) [1] | 0 | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.9) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Gastrointestinal disorders        | 1 (1.6) [1] | 0 | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–9.0) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Large intestine polyp             | 1 (1.6) [1] | 0 | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–9.0) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Immune system disorders           | 0 | 1 (1.4) [1] | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.8) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Sarcoidosis                       | 0 | 1 (1.4) [1] | 1 (0.8) [1] |                  |
|                                   | 1.6 (0.0–8.8) | 0.8 (0.0–4.4) |                 |                  |
| Infections and infestations       | 2 (3.1) [3] | 0 | 2 (1.6) [3] |                  |
|                                   | 3.3 (0.4–11.8) | 1.6 (0.2–5.8) |                 |                  |
Additionally, this trial had a small sample size. However, both safety and efficacy results were comparable to those from the larger global studies of CZP in PSO patients.

**CONCLUSION**

Overall, these data show that CZP is an effective treatment in Japanese patients with moderate to severe PSO over 52 weeks of treatment, with no new safety signals identified as compared with previously reported data. After initial improvements observed at week 16, PASI 75 and PASI 90 responses remained high through to week 52. CZP could provide a suitable treatment option for patients with PSO in Japan.
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