Design of Single-Stage Flyback PFC Converter for LED Driver
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Abstract
A light emitting diode (LED) driver based on single-stage power factor correction (PFC) is presented in this paper. The designed LED driver using flyback topology can achieve power factor correction and constant-current drive LED in boundary conduction mode. The circuit principle is described in detail, the formulas for MOS switch-on time, switching frequency and the main impact factor of power factor are proposed. The experiment results show that the designed LED driver has high power factor, stable output and it can drive the LED with high efficiency.
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1. Introduction
Light Emitting Diode (LED) called “green lighting” is the solid illuminant with many advantages, such as small size, high efficiency, long lifetime and no poison mercury content compared with the conventional fluorescent lamp. The Power Factor Correction (PFC) has been widely used to achieve low Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) and high Power Factor (PF) in LED driving power.

Many converter topologies can be used to drive the LED string, such as boost, buck-boost, SEPIC, flyback, half bridge converter and forward converter [1-4]. The flyback converter is the most commonly used topology for low power offline applications, especially when the isolation is necessary. LED lights drive by a constant current can be considered as a constant power load, and can work steadily at lower bandwidth. So when the output power is less than 100W, the flyback converter is a better solution for the LED driver.

The flyback converter can operate in continuous conduction mode (CCM), discontinuous conduction mode (DCM) and boundary conduction mode (BCM). The CCM and DCM operation modes for LED lamp applications have been discussed in several papers [5-9]. In the DCM operation mode, the single-stage flyback PFC converter can easily achieve unity power factor, however, the conduction loss, current stress, and voltage stress on the switch will significantly increase. Therefore, for high power applications, the continuous current mode (CCM) is suggested to achieve higher efficiency and higher power factor, but the control is more complex, and sometimes poor stability. In the BCM operation mode, the output rectifier diode of the flyback converter works under the Zero Current Switching (ZCS), thus improving the conversion efficiency of the converter. Meanwhile, the power factor correction can be achieved easily due to the linear relationship between the average input current and the input voltage. A large input filter used for eliminating the current harmonics in the DCM converter is unnecessary.

This paper proposes a single-stage single LED power supply circuit. It adopts a flyback converter which operates in boundary conduction mode (BCM). The proposed circuit is compact and minimizes the circuit components. The advantages of using flyback converter in the proposed circuit topology will be discussed and the operating principles will be given in details. The feasibility and performance of the proposed circuit will also be verified through a laboratory prototype.
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2. Principle analysis

Figure 1 is a flyback LED driver power supply circuit. Assume that the input line voltage is the ideal sinusoidal wave and the rectifier bridge is the ideal rectifier bridge, so the input voltage after rectifier bridge can be expressed as:

\[ v_{in}(t) = V_{pk} \cdot |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)| \]  

(1)

Where, \( V_{pk} \) is the peak value of the line voltage, and \( f_L \) is the frequency of the line voltage.

By adjusting the parameters of R5, R11 and C4 in the control circuit, the bandwidth of the control loop is lower than the frequency of the line voltage, so that in half power frequency cycle, the output of the error amplifier (EA-out) in the controller U1 is constant. The peak current of the transformer primary side is proportional to the line voltage after the rectification, and the original side peak current is a sine curve[10], expressed as:

\[ i_{pk}(t) = i_{pk} \cdot |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)| \]  

(2)

Assuming the turns ratio of the transformer is \( n \), the efficiency is 1 and the winding is tightly coupled, so the peak current of the transformer secondary is:

\[ i_{pk}(t) = n \cdot i_{pk}(t) \]  

(3)

The turn-on time of the switch Q1 is given as:

\[ T_{on} = \frac{L_p \cdot i_{pk}(t)}{v_{in}(t)} = \frac{L_p \cdot i_{pk} \cdot |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)|}{v_{pk} \cdot |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)|} = \frac{L_p \cdot i_{pk}}{V_{pk}} \]  

(4)

Where, \( L_p \) is the inductance of the transformer primary side. It can be seen from Equation (4) that the turn-on time of the switching is fixed under the condition of a certain input voltage and load.

The turn-off time of the switch Q1 is given as:
\[ T_{\text{off}} = \frac{L_s \cdot i_{pk}(t)}{v_o + v_f} = \frac{(L_p / n^2) \cdot n \cdot i_{pk}(t)}{v_o + v_f} \]

\[ = \frac{L_p \cdot i_{pk} \cdot |\sin(2\pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)|}{n \cdot (v_o + v_f)} \] (5)

Where, \( L_s \) is the inductance of the transformer secondary side, \( v_o \) is the output voltage of the converter, and \( v_f \) is the positive voltage drop of the output diode \( D_4 \).

If the circuit is working in boundary conduction mode, then the switching period of the switch is the sum of the turn-on time and the turn-off time, i.e. \( T = T_{\text{on}} + T_{\text{off}} \), so the duty ratio is:

\[ D = \frac{T_{\text{on}}}{T} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{v_{pk} \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)}{n \cdot (v_o + v_f)}} \] (6)

The switching frequency is:

\[ f_s = \frac{1}{T} = \frac{v_{pk}}{L_p \cdot i_{pk} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{v_{pk}}{n \cdot (v_o + v_f)} \cdot |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)| \right]} \] (7)

It is observed that the switching frequency changes with the input voltage. When \( |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)| = 0 \), the maximum switching frequency can be obtained nearby the zero crossing point of the line voltage.

\[ f_{s(\text{max})} = \frac{v_{pk}}{L_p \cdot i_{pk}} \] (8)

When \( |\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_L \cdot t)| = 1 \), the minimum switching frequency can be get at the peak of the line voltage.

\[ f_{s(\text{min})} = \frac{v_{pk}}{L_p \cdot i_{pk} \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{v_{pk}}{n \cdot (v_o + v_f)} \right]} \] (9)

In the case of high input voltage and light load, the turn-on time of the switch will become very short from Equation (4). The minimum turn-on time is influenced by the controller \( U_1 \) and the turn-off delay of the switch. When the turn-on time reaches the minimum value, the boundary conduction mode cannot maintain anymore, that is, the energy flowing into the converter in each work cycle is greater than that the load needed. The controller \( U_1 \) skips a number of switching periods by control loop to make the input and output energy balanced.
It is seen from Figure 2. that in the boundary conduction mode, the current waveform of the primary side in turn-on course is the triangular wave and the average current value of the primary side is half of the peak current, so the average current value can be obtained as:

\[ i_a(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot i_{pk}(t) \cdot D = \frac{i_{pk}}{2} \cdot \frac{\sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_s \cdot t)}{1 + K \cdot \sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_s \cdot t)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where,

\[ k = \frac{v_{pk}}{n \cdot (v_o + v_f)} \]

is the ratio of the peak voltage to the reflected voltage of the secondary side. The smaller \( k \) is, the closer to the ideal sinusoidal wave \( i_{in}(t) \) is and the higher the power factor is. So theoretically, the flyback topology can achieve high power factor.

Likewise, according to the current relationship between the primary side and secondary side, we can derive the current of the secondary side as:

\[ i_s(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot i_{pk}(t) \cdot (1 - D) = \frac{i_{pk}}{2} \cdot \frac{\sin^2(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_s \cdot t)}{1 + K \cdot \sin(2 \cdot \pi \cdot f_s \cdot t)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Where, \( i_{pk} \) is the peak current of the secondary side.

3. Experimental Results Analysis

The main indicators of the circuit are as follows: input voltage range: 90 ~ 270 VAC; output voltage: 26V~36V; output current: 3.2A; output power: 100W; conversion efficiency: ≥86%.

The main parameters of the components are given as follows. The material is PC40, the magnetic core is PQ32/25, the turns of the primary winding is 27, the turns of the secondary winding is 10, the switch is 17N80C3, the output rectifier diode is Schottky diode 10CTQ150, and the output capacitor is three capacitors of 1000uF in parallel.
Figure 3. is the input current waveform measured with different input voltages (90 V, 220V and 270V) and the output loaded with 100 W. Clearly, the input current is close to the standard sinusoidal wave and the measured power factor is greater than 0.96. The relationship between the input voltage and the measured power factor is shown in Figure 4. It can be seen that the power factor decreases as the input voltage increases, but the value is always larger than 0.96. This is consistent with the conclusion from Equation (10), the lower the input voltage is, the smaller the coefficient k of the Equation (10) is, and then the closer the power factor is to 1.

Figure 5 shows the output current waveform when the input voltage is 220V and the output is full-load. It can be seen that the output current is constant at 3.2 A, the ripple current peak is 150 mA, the output current ripple is 4.7%, and the output current is superimposed with the ripple current whose frequency is 2 times of the mains frequency (about 100HZ). Improving the working speed of the control loop and increasing the capacitance of the input capacitor can reduce the output ripple, but would reduce the power factor of the circuit. On the other hand, increasing the capacitance of the output capacitor (such as multiple capacitors in parallel) can also reduce the output ripple, but would increase the cost of the circuit. Therefore, it is necessary to balance the output ripple, the power factor and the cost when designing a circuit.

Figure 6. is the measured relationship curve between the input voltage and the efficiency. It is clear that in the input voltage of 90-270V, the efficiency with full-load output is over 88%. When the input voltage is 220 V, the efficiency is more than 90%, so the circuit works with high efficiency.
4. Conclusion

A high power factor single-stage flyback converter for LED lighting application has been studied in this paper. The stability of the flyback topology operating in boundary conduction mode was analyzed in details. Based on these analyses, a prototype of 100W flyback LED driving power has been designed and tested. The experimental results show that the LED drive power supply with fewer components and lower dissipation can achieve power factor correction and constant current control of LED. When the input voltage is 220V, the power factor is greater than 0.97 and the conversion efficiency is more than 90%, thus it has great practical application values.
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