TLC-spectrotdensitometric method for simultaneous determination of dapagliflozin and rosuvastatin in rabbit plasma: stability indicating assay and kinetic studies†
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Herein, a sensitive and reliable eco-friendly TLC-spectrotdensitometric method has been established for the simultaneous determination of dapagliflozin (DAPA) and rosuvastatin (ROSV) for the first time. TLC separation was carried out on silica gel F254 using ethyl acetate : methanol (5: 0.1, v/v) as a mobile phase and UV measurement at 243 nm. The method was fully validated according to ICH guidelines. Acceptable separation was achieved with Rt values of 0.23 and 0.44 for DAPA and ROSV, respectively. Regression plots revealed linear relationships in the concentration range 20–2500 ng per band and 10–2500 ng per band with LODs of 6.60 and 3.57 ng per band for both DAPA and ROSV, respectively. The relative standard deviations (RSDs%) were found to be 1.35 and 0.53 for DAPA and ROSV, respectively. Moreover, kinetic studies were conducted for measurement of degradation rate constant (k) and half life time (t1/2) of DAPA and ROSV via forced photo-degradation.

1. Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a complex long-term metabolic disorder, which induces malfunctioning of cholesterol biosynthesis. As per the current American Diabetes Association guidelines, all adults with diabetes should be managed to achieve a low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol less than 100 mg dL−1 employing statins for first-line therapy.1 As a result, a combination of oral hypoglycemic drugs and anti-hyperlipidemic agents e.g. statins is effective at decreasing cardiovascular problems. This combination has shown high efficacy and good recovery levels in patients treated with drugs. For examples, atorvastatin mainly used with glimepiride and metformin while simvastatin used with sitagliptin. Some hypoglycemic drugs e.g. glibenclamide when taken with statins have the potential to increase the maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and the area under the curve (AUC) by up to 20%. Fortunately, a meta-analysis found that SGLT2 inhibitors may mildly increase in LDL and HDL levels, so co-administration with statins is suggested. Recently, many studies found that the low dose of rosuvastatin (ROSV) is more effective to reduce LDL than the high dose, and help treatment of low HDL in patients with type II diabetes and dyslipidemia than other statins. ROSV is preferred to co-administer with dapagliflozin (DAPA) where there is no pharmacokinetic interaction between them so, no need to dose adjustment.

In addition, the high-fat high-fructose diet (HFF) in obesity can favor lipid accumulation in liver and kidney, which related to insulin resistance and lipolipidosis induced cellular damage. So, co-administration of dapagliflozin (DAPA) and rosuvastatin (ROSV) could improve the lipid-accumulation induced kidney and liver injury in HFF induced insulin resistance, lipogenesis and lipotoxicity-induced renal oxidative stress, inflammation, fibrosis and apoptosis leading to kidney dysfunction recovery. Liver injury-associated inflammation was also improved by these two regimens. Notably, the reduced lipid accumulation in liver and kidney that linked to an improvement of lipid oxidation was prominent in the combination treatment.

DAPA, a member of sodium-glucose transport protein 2 (SGLT2), has high ability to inhibit SGLT2 receptor leading to reduce glucose reabsorption. It involves in the direct elimination of glucose by the kidney (insulin-independent mechanism).

There are various methods for individual determination of DAPA and ROSV such as RP-HPLC,12,13 LC-MS/MS,14,15 spectrophotometry,16,17 spectrofluorometry,18,19 capillary electrophoresis,20,21 HPTLC22 and RP-UPLC/UV.23 DAPA and ROSV were co-administered in many mixtures such as DAPA-saxagliptin,24...
DAPA–metformin, DAPA–glimepiride, ROSV–atorvastatin, ROSV–aspirin and ROSV–propranolol. To the best of our knowledge there is no analytical method for simultaneous determination of DAPA with ROSV.

Spectrodensitometric methods of analysis have many advantages such as simplicity, rapidity, sensitivity, and inexpensive tools. In comparison to HPLC method, TLC methods need small volumes of mobile phases that is considered economic point of view.

Inspired by these reports, simple and reliable TLC method was developed for analysis of DAPA–ROSV binary mixture in standards, dosage forms and spiked rabbit plasma. Moreover, stability indicating and kinetic studies were applied using photo-degradation at different time intervals, and to calculate degradation rate constant (k) and half lifetime (t1/2).

2. Experimental

2.1. Instrumentation

The sample application was done in the form of bands using Camag 100 μL sample syringe (Hamilton, Bonaduz, Switzerland) applied by Camag Linomat V (Muttenz, Switzerland) sample automatic applicator and CAMAG TLC Scanner III operated using UV lamp short-wavelength 254 nm (Vilber Lournate 220 V 50 Hz, Marne-la-Vallee, Cedex, France). The absorption spectra were recorded over the wavelength range from 200 to 400 nm with slit dimensions of 3 × 0.45 mm and scanning speed of 20 mm s⁻¹. The spectrophotometric measurements were carried out using a double beam UV-visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) model UV-1601 PC connected to an IBM compatible computer, with UV PC personal spectroscopy software version 3.7.

2.2. Chemicals and reagents

DAPA and ROSV with purity of 99% were obtained as a gift from NODCAR, El-Dokki, Giza, Egypt. Methanol (HPLC grade) was purchased from Fisher, Loughborough, UK. Ethyl acetate was purchased from El-Nasr pharmaceutical, Chemical Co, Abu-Zabaal, Cairo, Egypt. All reagents and chemicals were of analytical grade. TLC plates (20 × 20 cm) pre-coated with silica gel F254 were purchased from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. The standard solutions of DAPA and ROSV were prepared in methanol. FORXIGA® tablets were purchased from AstraZeneca for Pharmaceutical, Cairo-Egypt, and labeled to contain 10 mg of DAPA per tablet. ROsvuvast® tablets were purchased from Chemipharm for Pharmaceutical Industries, Cairo-Egypt, and labeled to contain 10 mg of ROSV per tablet.

2.3. Preparation of standard solution and working solution

Stock solutions of 1.0 mg mL⁻¹ of DAPA and ROSV were prepared in methanol. Different aliquots were diluted with methanol in 10.0 mL standard flasks to prepare concentration ranges of 20–500 μg mL⁻¹ for DAPA and ROSV. A stock standard solution for forced degradation was prepared by dissolving 10 mg of DAPA and ROSV in 10 mL flask in methanol then further dilution with water to give the concentration of 200 μg mL⁻¹ for DAPA and ROSV.

2.4. Chromatographic conditions

Firstly, the silica gel G 60 F254 TLC plates were cut into 20 cm × 7 cm, 0.2 mm thickness was used as a stationary phase and prewashed with methanol.

Then, the samples were spotted in the form of bands of width 4 mm with a Camag 100 μL sample syringe by sample automatic applicator. A constant application rate of 150 nL s⁻¹ was used, and spots were spaced 10 mm from the bottom edge of the plate. For the application of samples by a spray-on technique, the application volume was optimized, and the actual amount used was 5.0 μL. The slit dimension was kept at 3 × 0.45 mm and 20 mm s⁻¹ scanning speed were used. Ethyl acetate : methanol (5 : 0.1, v/v) as a mobile phase was developed over a distance of 65 cm of the plate in a closed container saturated with mobile phase for 30 min; the plates were completely dried. Spectrodensitometric scanning was carried out using Camag TLC scanner III in the reflectance/absorbance mode at 243 nm (the optimum wavelength for both). Data were integrated using WIN CATS Software. The development of mobile phase was carried out at room temperature (25 ± 2 °C).

2.5. Applications

2.5.1. Pharmaceutical tablets. Ten tablets of FORXIGA® and ROsvuvast® were weighed separately and finely ground in a mortar. An accurate weight equivalent to 100 mg was transferred into a 100 mL standard flask to give a concentration of 1 mg mL⁻¹. Then the powder was dissolved in 80 mL methanol with sonication for 15 min. The volume was completed to 100 mL with methanol, and the insoluble excipients were removed using filter paper (Watman, England). Suitably diluted samples were measured the same as standard solutions.

2.5.2. Application to rabbit plasma. The study was performed on healthy white male rabbits. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for care and use of Laboratory Animals of Faculty of medicine, Assiut University and approved by the Committee of Animal care, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt. The average weight of rabbits was 2.5 kg. The rabbits were kept under standard feeding and housing conditions and at room temperature. Into clean and dry centrifugation tubes, pipette 500 μL of rabbit plasma (free of drugs), then add 100 μL of the studied standard drugs (DAPA and ROSV) in the concentration range (20.0–500.0 μg mL⁻¹) for both DAPA and ROSV, then the samples were mixed for 3 min, then adding methanol to complete the volume to 2.0 mL to precipitate protein components of plasma. Finally, the contents of the tubes were vortexed for 5.0 min and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min. After centrifugation, the clear supernatant was taken and filtered using a filter disc, then 5.0 μL of the filtrate applied to TLC plates and measured as described under Section 2.5. The extraction recovery of DAPA and ROSV was calculated.
2.6. Method validation

The proposed method was validated according to (ICH) guidelines including linearity, selectivity, precision, accuracy, and robustness.

2.7. Forced degradation study

The stability indicating properties and specificity of the proposed method was assessed by applying forced degradation studies. In order to quantify drugs in the presence of their possible degrades; the test solution of DAPA and ROSV were exposed to stress conditions to generate their degradation products. Intentional degradation was performed according to

Fig. 1  UV spectrum of DAPA (500 ng per band) and ROSV (500 ng per band) in methanol as a solvent.

Fig. 2  3D and 2D spectrodensitograms of 500 ng per band of DAPA and ROSV at 225 nm (A), 286 nm (B) and 243 nm (C), respectively in methanol.
the recommendation of regulatory guidelines (ICH Q1A, Q2A) for the necessity of developing and validating stability-indicating methods for the drugs. These guidelines were used to select the type of the stress conditions depending on the nature of individual analytes present. Forced degradation conditions of the drugs were based on the above ICH guidelines in addition to different previously reported researches.

The light effect is considered an important factor in the stability of drugs. Great problems of stability arise by the light of the shorter and longer wavelength, in particular from ultraviolet and visible.

Degradation of samples were prepared by dissolving 10 mg of DAPA and ROSV in methanol in 10 mL standard flasks and successive dilutions with water to prepare 200 μg mL⁻¹ of DAPA and ROSV and then subjected to UV light at 365 nm. After exposing to UV light at the 6 time interval (30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 min), and take a sample after each stress time. After that, the solutions were allowed to cool at room temperature prior to application of the proposed spectrodensitometric method.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Spectral analysis

The UV absorption spectra of the DAPA and ROSV demonstrate that the two drugs have maximum absorbance at 225 nm and

| Parameters                        | 243 nm | ROSV | 225 nm | ROSV | 286 nm |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|
| Linearity range, (ng per band)    | 20–2500 | 10–2500 | 200–2500 | 33–2500 | 130–2500 | 430–2500 |
| Limit of detection (LOD), (ng per band) | 6.60 | 3.57 | 66.44 | 11.09 | 390.76 | 142.70 |
| Regression equation               | \( Y = 3.7457x + \) 892.94 | \( Y = 4.4748x + \) 1028.7 | \( y = 3.7319x + \) 1019 | \( y = 4.4637x + \) 598.14 | \( y = 3.7126x + \) 974.93 | \( y = 4.4547x + \) 1864.3 |
| Intercept (a) ± SD                 | 892.94 ± 7.50 | 1028.66 ± 4.84 | 1018.97 ± 75.14 | 727.20 ± 14.75 | 974.92 ± 145.07 | 1670.89 ± 192.63 |
| Slope (b) ± SD                     | 3.75 ± 0.05 | 4.47 ± 0.02 | 3.73 ± 0.08 | 4.38 ± 0.04 | 3.71 ± 0.10 | 4.45 ± 0.27 |
| Correlation coefficient (r)       | 0.9828 | 0.9819 | 0.9729 | 0.9817 | 0.9790 | 0.9810 |

\( ^\text{a} \) Average of three replicates.

Fig. 3 Representative 2D spectrodensitogram for separation of DAPA and ROSV using ethyl acetate : methanol with ratio of 5 : 0.1 v/v using saturation time of 30 min.
243 nm, respectively. To detect DAPA and ROSV simultaneously, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 243$ nm was used to measure the binary mixture (Fig. 1). Fig. 2 shows the comparison between 2D and 3D TLC spectrodensitograms of binary mixture at 225 nm, 243 nm and 286 nm. Moreover, the quantitative parameters for analysis of the binary mixture at different wavelengths were introduced in Table 1. It is seen that the lowest LODs for DAPA and ROSV were obtained at $\lambda = 243$ nm.

### 3.2. Optimization of variables

Different mobile systems of different compositions and ratios, and different saturation times were tried for separation of binary mixture. The selection of mobile phase based on well separated peaks, symmetric bands and reasonable $R_f$ values (Table S1†). The optimum mobile phase composition was found to be ethyl acetate : methanol with ratio of 5 : 0.1 v/v (Fig. 3). Different saturation times were tested (15–45 min.) and it was found that the best saturation time with low % RSD for $R_f$ values at 30 min. After this time there is no significant change in the $R_f$ obtained as indicated in Table S2.† The choice of the best saturation time was based on the least time gave the best separation between two bands and the most robust results of $R_f$ as represented by % RSD.

### 3.3. Validation

#### 3.3.1. Linearity, LOD, and LOQ.

The calibration curves of DAPA and ROSV were constructed over the range of 20–2500 ng per band and 10–2500 ng per band for both DAPA and ROSV, respectively. The 3D chromatograms and linearity plots were shown in (Fig. 4) for DAPA and ROSV. Important quantitative parameters are shown in Table 1. The quantitation (LOQ) and detection (LOD) limits were statistically estimated as $3.3 \sigma/b$ and $10\sigma/b$, respectively, where $b$ is the slope and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation. The theoretical values for $t$- and $F$-test at 95% confidence limit ($n = 3$) were 3.18 and 19, respectively.

### Table 2 Analysis of DAPA and ROSV in their dosage forms using the proposed method ($n = 3$)

| Pharmaceutical tablets | Amount of sample taken | Proposed method Recovery (%) $\pm$ SD$^a$ | Reported method$^{18,19}$ Recovery (%) $\pm$ SD$^b$ | $F$ and $t$-values$^d$ |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                        |                        |                                          |                                          |                        |
| FORXIGA®               | 250                    | 98.5 $\pm$ 4.58                          | 98.6 $\pm$ 3.28                          | $F = 1.80$             |
|                        | 750                    | 100.4 $\pm$ 4.46                         | 100.1 $\pm$ 2.16                         | $t = 1.50$             |
|                        | 1500                   | 98.2 $\pm$ 2.12                          | 98.4 $\pm$ 1.22                          |                         |
| ROSUVAST®              | 250                    | 99.9 $\pm$ 4.80                          | 99.5 $\pm$ 3.25                          | $F = 2.78$             |
|                        | 750                    | 100.1 $\pm$ 4.65                         | 99.9 $\pm$ 2.24                          |                         |
|                        | 1500                   | 98.3 $\pm$ 2.23                          | 98.5 $\pm$ 1.56                          | $t = 1.58$             |

$^a$ Average of three determinations. $^b$ Theoretical values for $t$- and $F$-test at 95% confidence limit ($n = 3$) were 3.18 and 19, respectively.

### Table 3 Recovery results for determination of DAPA and ROSV in rabbit plasma by the proposed TLC method ($n = 3$)

| Drug | Amount added (ng per band) | Amount found (ng per band) | Recovery (%) | RSD (%) |
|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| DAPA | 100                         | 98.33                       | 98.3         | 2.52    |
|      | 500                         | 499.81                      | 99.9         | 1.92    |
|      | 1500                        | 1489.30                     | 99.2         | 2.31    |
|      | 2000                        | 2003.12                     | 100.1        | 0.95    |
|      | 2500                        | 1476.56                     | 98.4         | 3.21    |
| ROSV | 100                         | 99.54                       | 99.5         | 3.63    |
|      | 500                         | 492.89                      | 98.5         | 1.67    |
|      | 1500                        | 1487.23                     | 99.1         | 1.20    |
|      | 2000                        | 1998.03                     | 99.9         | 2.32    |
|      | 2500                        | 2505.21                     | 100.2        | 2.54    |
deviation of intercept. The LODs of DAPA and ROSV were found to be 6.60 ng per band and 3.57 ng per band, respectively.

3.3.2. Intra-day and inter-day precision. The precision of the proposed analytical method was proved by repeatability (intra-day) and reproducibility (inter-day) precision studies for DAPA and ROSV. Intra-day precision was performed using three different concentrations 500, 1000, 1500 ng per band for both in six replicates. Inter-day precision was performed using the same three different concentrations 500, 1000, 1500 ng per band for DAPA and ROSV for three consecutive days. The % RSD value was calculated to determine any intraday and inter-day variation as indicated in Table S3.† The results demonstrated that RSD% did not exceed 4%, which confirms the high precision of the proposed method.

Fig. 5 Representative 2D spectrodensitogram of (a) plasma and (b) urine samples after oral administration of DAPA and ROSV.
3.3.3. Robustness. Robustness is the indication of the efficiency of an analytical procedure to remain unchanged by small change in procedure parameters. It was performed by slight variation in experimental parameters, such as mobile phase composition, migration distance (±0.3 cm), and saturation time (±3.0 min). The acceptable values of recoveries% and RSDs% as shown in Table S4† indicate the robustness of the method.

3.4. Applications of the TLC method

The proposed method was applied for analysis of DAPA and ROSV in their tablet dosage forms. Three replicates were made in each one at three concentration levels and the recovery% was found to be in the range of 98.2–100.0%. The results were compared with those obtained by the reported methods38,39 as shown in Table 2. After applying t- and F-tests at a 95% confidence level indicating (p = 0.05), there are no significant differences between the investigated and reported methods, indicating the accuracy and precision of the method. The standard addition method was also applied by using three different concentration levels of the standard at 50%, 100% and 150% of the target concentration 1000 ng per band of DAPA and 500 ng per band of ROSV in triplicate and calculating the recoveries% (Table S5†).

The method is applied also for the determination of DAPA and ROSV in rabbit plasma, extraction recovery of DAPA and ROSV was calculated and it ranged from 98.3 to 100.1% (for DAPA) and from 98.5 to 100.2% (for ROSV). These results indicate that the effect of the plasma matrix on the studied drug extraction was (Table 3). It is worth mentioning that due to low sensitivity of UV detection (in μg level), this do not allow the determination of DAPA and ROSV metabolites (identified in ng levels). Fig. 5 shows the plasma and urine spectrodensitograms after oral administration of binary mixture where no metabolites peaks were observed.

3.5. Specificity

The comparative spectra of standards and samples for DAPA and ROSV at the peak start (s), peak apex (m) and peak-end (e) positions of the band were investigated, the values were found to be very close to 1, which indicate the closeness in these positions between dosage forms and standards., as shown in Table S6,† which indicates that all peaks from different peak sites were attributable to the investigated drugs. The recovery obtained upon dividing the response of samples by that of standards using the same concentration level 1000 ng per band (DAPA) and 500 ng per band (ROSV) for both sample and standard by applying standard addition method, were in the ranges of 99.95 ± 0.25% to 100.01 ± 0.16%, which indicates suitable peak purity and selectivity. Moreover, the appearance of DAPA and ROSV spots at specific Rf different from each other and from their degrades indicates the specificity of the proposed method. Developed spectrodensitograms were observed for resolution of degraded products and spots were assessed for peak purity (Fig. 6).

3.6. Kinetic study

3.6.1. Forced degradation study. In the current investigation, the degradation rate of DAPA and ROSV in water under UV lamp at 365 nm was studied summarize these results (Figs. S1,†
7 and Table 4). Under the optimized chromatographic conditions, degradation products of DAPA and ROSV were observed. The % degradation was calculated from the peak area of undegraded drug remained.

During stressed degradation experiments, it was noticed that DAPA and ROSV were more sensitive toward photolysis in water solution than in methanol as, the DAPA is relatively stable in pure methanol,\textsuperscript{40,41} and a mixture of a buffer with organic

Fig. 7 (a) 2D and (b) 3D spectrodensitograms for photodegradation of DAPA and ROSV (1000 ng per band) at (0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180 min).
### Table 4  Photo-degradation of DAPA and ROSV under UV at 365 nm (n = 3)

| Time (min) | DAPA Content remained (ng per band) ± SD<sup>a</sup> | % recovery | ROSV Content remained (ng per band) ± SD<sup>a</sup> | % recovery |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 0          | 1000.00 ± 1.06                                   | 100.0      | 1000.00 ± 0.36                                  | 100.0      |
| 30         | 840.77 ± 1.31                                    | 84.1       | 802.16 ± 0.33                                   | 80.2       |
| 60         | 700.11 ± 1.24                                    | 70.0       | 520.43 ± 0.45                                   | 52.0       |
| 90         | 620.70 ± 0.52                                    | 62.1       | 400.37 ± 1.72                                   | 40.0       |
| 120        | 534.18 ± 1.66                                    | 53.4       | 275.42 ± 1.65                                   | 27.5       |
| 150        | 240.77 ± 0.61                                    | 24.1       | 153.98 ± 1.54                                   | 15.4       |
| 180        | 190.03 ± 0.74                                    | 19.0       | 113.94 ± 0.55                                   | 11.4       |

<sup>a</sup> Average of three determinations.

### Scheme 1  The proposed mechanism of photo-degradation of ROSV in aqueous medium at 365 nm.

---

(R,S,R)-2
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solvent while ROSV is more degradable in pure water than other organic solvent at 365 nm, and found that degradation of DAPA depends on the time, but ROSV depends on initial concentration at the same conditions.

The degradation rate constant (k) and the regression coefficient (r²) of the two drugs calculated from Table 4. From this data can conclude that there is a linear relationship between remained concentration and time in case of DAPA which suggests zero-order reaction mechanism for degradation of DAPA and linear relationship between logarithm of concentration and time in case of ROSV which suggests first-order reaction mechanism for the degradation of ROSV which is similar to the previous reports, as the regression coefficient values were 0.9899, 0.9882 for DAPA and ROSV, respectively.

The zero-order rate constant (Kobs) for the degradation of DAPA was obtained from the slopes of the linear plots of concentration vs. time in min (Kobs = -slope). It was determined at 4.52 min⁻¹ and the t½ obtained from rate constant (Kobs) value equal (t½ = a/(2 × Kobs)) was determined at 110.6 min, while the first order rate constant for the degradation of ROSV obtained from the slope of log concentration versus time in min (K = -slope × 2.303). It was determined at 5.4 min⁻¹ and t½ obtained from rate constant K(t½ = 0.693/k) was determined at 128.3 min.

3.7. Mechanism of degradation

Devrukhakar and Shankar have reported degradation pathways for DAPA under different stressed conditions. These conditions included acid, alkaline and neutral degradation using 2 N HCl, 2 N NaOH and H2O, respectively at 60 °C reflux for one day. Moreover, they identified the degrades of DAPA after exposure to heat at 50 °C for one day, and 3% H2O2 for 5 days at ambient condition. Many studies proved the stability of DAPA in methanol under UV light at (254 nm). In this paper, our study was based on the exposure of DAPA to UV light at (365 nm) for 180 min in presence of neutral conditions. Exposure of DAPA to UV light and water resulted in one degradation product, probably of low polarity than DAPA, by certain pathway (Fig. 7), which needs specific tools for its identification such as 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR and MS. Our future studies will be focused on the elucidation of DAPA degradation pathways under UV light and/or in presence of neutral conditions.

Scheme 1 shows the photo-degradation of ROSV where stains are very sensitive to light and easily decomposed to form different types of degradation products. Photo-degradation of ROSV yields diastereomeric dihydrophenanthrenes, which are more polar than ROSV so that they were eluted after ROSV peak (Fig. 5a).

In a first step ROSV is cyclized by the action of irradiation to yield unstable diastereomers 8a,9-dihydrophenanthrenes 1a and 1b, characterized with two novel chiral centers. Due to loss of aromaticity, these intermediates are unstable and undergo thermal intramolecular sigmatropic 1,5-hydrogen shift to yield stable dihydrophenanthrenes (R,S,R)-2 and (S,S,R)-2.

3.8. Stability

The time may affect the stability of samples in solution when they are left in the solvent before chromatographic development. For this purpose, solutions of DAPA and ROSV were prepared and stored in dark at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the spectrodensitograms were analyzed for the appearance of any additional bands or changes in peak areas or positions. The average of the % recovery obtained for methanolic solutions and aqueous solutions was 98.7% and 94.5% for DAPA and 99.3% and 93.2% for ROSV, respectively. This test proves the stability of DAPA and ROSV in methanolic solution rather than the aqueous solutions. Apparently, this may be the cause of solid formulations (tablets) are preferred over the aqueous formulations.

4. Conclusion(s)

TLC spectrodensitometric method was developed using ethyl acetate : methanol (5 : 0.1 v/v) as mobile phase for simultaneous separation and determination of DAPA and ROSV in bulk, dosage forms and rabbit plasma for the first time. The method offers high selectivity and sensitivity with acceptable reproducibility. Regression plots revealed linear relationships in the concentration range 20–2500 ng per band and 10–2500 ng per band with LODs of 6.60 and 3.57 ng per band for both DAPA and ROSV, respectively. The forced degradation was carried out according to ICH guidelines which indicate that DAPA and ROSV undergo degradation to a different extent under UV light. The investigated TLC spectrodensitometric method was capable of resolving analytes in the presence of their degradation products. From the peak purity profile studies, it was found that the peaks of the analytes were not interfered with the degradation products.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1 A. D. Association, *Diabetes Care*, 2003, 26(Suppl 1), S33–S50.
2 D. Sangeetha and M. Vadlamudi, *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2019, 81, 365–372.
3 S. R. Polagani, N. R. Pilli, R. Gajula and V. Gandu, *J. Pharm. Anal.*, 2013, 3, 9–19.
4 M. Rahman and M. Begum, *Am. J. Pharmaco. Toxicol.*, 2016, 10, 1–7.
5 H. M. Lotfy, M. A. M. Hegazy and S. A. N. Abdel-Gawad, *Eur. J. Chem.*, 2013, 4, 414–421.
6 H. E. Bays, P. Sartipy, J. Xu, C. D. Sjöström and J. A. Underberg, *J. Clin. Lipidol.*, 2017, 11, 450–458 e451.
7 T. Katabami, M. Murakami, S. Kobayashi, T. Matsui, M. Ujihara, S. Takagi, M. Higa, T. Ichijo, A. Ohta and Y. Tanaka, *J. Int. Med. Res.*, 2019, 47, 1–19.
8 J. Tuomilehto, L. Leiter and D. Kallend, *Int. J. Clin. Pract. Symp. Suppl.*, 2004, 58, 30–40.
9 J. Tuomilehto, L. Leiter and D. Kallend, *Int. J. Clin. Pract., Suppl.*, 2004, 58, 30–40.
26 A. Patel and D. D. Maheshwari, Y. Shah, Z. Iqbal, L. Ahmad, A. Khan, M. I. Khan, S. Nazir and T. Deepan, M. V. B. Rao and M. D. Dhanaraju, J. Gawad, S. Bari and G. Sugandhi, Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Sci., 2013, 3, 173–184.

30 L. Cai, Current protocols, 2014, 2014, 6.3.1–6.3.18.

31 I. H. T. GUIDELINE, International Conference on Harmonization, 2005, pp. 1–17.

32 I. C. H. GUIDELINES, International Conference on Harmonization, 2003, pp. 1–16.

33 G. Chakravarty, N. Seth and V. Sharma, Int. Res. J. Pharm., 2013, 4, 36–39.

34 I. C. H. GUIDELINE, International Conference on Harmonization, 1996, vol. 4, pp. 1–15.

35 B. Prathap, G. H. S. Rao, G. Devdass, A. Dey and N. Harikrishnan, Int. J. Innovative Pharm. Sci. Res., 2012, 3, 229–237.

36 Y. Rini, S. Iyan and M. Muchtaridi, Int. J. Appl. Pharm., 2018, 10, 38–42.

37 B. A. Moussa, M. A. Mahrouse and M. G. Fawzy, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2018, 1, 15–29.

38 K. Priya Chitra, M. C. Eswaraiah and M. V. B. Rao, J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2015, 7, 45–49.

39 B. Uyar, M. Celebier and S. Altinoz, Pharmazie, 2007, 62, 411–414.

40 H. M. Ahmed, M. A. Omar, H. A. Batakoushy and M. A. Abdel Hamid, Microchem. J., 2019, 1–21.

41 S. Sura, R. R. Modalavalasa and C. B. Kothapalli, Der Pharma Chemica, 2018, 10, 93–102.

42 N. Singh, P. Bansal, M. Maithani and Y. Chauhan, NewJ.Chem., 2018, 42, 2459–2466.

43 F. Belal, F. Ibrahim, A. Khedr and T. Elawady, J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol., 2014, 37, 1114–1132.

44 T. C. Machado, T. M. Pizzolato, A. Arenzon, J. Segalin and M. A. Lansarin, Sci. Total Environ., 2015, 502, 571–577.

45 P. S. Devrukhakar and M. S. Shankar, Chromatographia, 2020, 83, 1233–1245.

46 M. Litvić, K. Smic, V. Vinković and M. Filipan-Litvić, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2013, 252, 84–92.

47 A. Astarita, M. DellaGreca, M. R. Iesce, S. Montanaro, L. Preverita and F. Temussi, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2007, 187, 263–268.