HIGHER LEVEL VERTEX OPERATORS FOR $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$
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Abstract. We study graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras and we prove that they can be generated by certain sets of vertex operators. As an application, we consider the family of graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras $V_{c,1}$, $c \geq 1$, associated with the principal subspaces $W(c\Lambda_0)$ of the integrable highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$-modules $L(c\Lambda_0)$. Using quantum integrability, we derive combinatorial bases for $V_{c,1}$ and compute the corresponding character formulae.

Introduction

In their work [LP], J. Lepowsky and M. Primc found the, so-called integrability condition for the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$,

$$x_\alpha(z)^{c+1} = 0 \quad (0.1)$$

on a level $c$ integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$-module. In general, (0.1) holds on an arbitrary level $c$ integrable $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, when the simple root $\alpha$ is replaced by the maximal root of the untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Relation (0.1) led to a construction of combinatorial bases for integrable highest weight $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$-modules (cf. [FKLMM],[MP]) and, consequently, to a new series of combinatorial Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities. Furthermore, integrability relations played an important role in the construction of monomial bases for certain substructures of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules such as principal subspaces (cf. [FS],[G],[Bu1],[Ka]) and Feigin-Stoyanovsky’s type subspaces (cf. [P1],[P2],[JP],[T]). For more information on principal subspaces the reader may consult, for example, the papers [CLM1]–[CLM3],[S1],[S2] and the references therein. Using Drinfeld realization of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}})$ (see [D]) and Frenkel-Jing realization of its integrable highest weight modules (see [FJ]) J. Ding and T. Miwa found in [DM] quantum integrability relations,

$$x_1^+(z_1)x_1^+(z_2)\cdots x_i^+(z_{c+1}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad z_1/z_2 = z_2/z_3 = \cdots = z_c/z_{c+1} = q^{\mp 2}, \quad (0.2)$$

on a level $c$ integrable $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}})$-module.

In this paper, we continue our research on vertex algebraic structures arising from Frenkel-Jing operators $x_1^+(z)$ for $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, which was initiated in [Ko3]. So far there were several fruitful approaches to associating vertex algebra-like theories with the various quantum objects, such as quantum affine algebras or Yangians, which resulted in some fundamental results and important constructions (cf. [AB],[B],[EK],[FR],[L1]–[L4]). However, motivated by the role of integrability (0.1) in the representation theory of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, we introduce graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras, certain new structures which are designed to make use of quantum integrability (0.2).
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The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we establish the notation and recall some well-known results from the theory of quantum affine algebras. Although the exposition is to a great extent similar to the preliminary section in [Ko3], we decided to include it in order to make this paper as self-contained as possible.

In Section 2, we introduce the notion of graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra, which was motivated by [BK] and [L1]. Roughly speaking, graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra is a triple $(V, Y, 1)$, where $V = \bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} V(u)$ is a vector space over field $\mathbb{F} \supset \mathbb{C}(q)$ of characteristic zero, which satisfies all the axioms of vertex algebra (cf. [LL]) except Jacobi identity, which is replaced by associativity,

$$Y(a, z_0 + z_2)Y(b, z_2 q^a)c = Y(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2)c \quad (0.3)$$

for all $a \in V(u)$, $b, c \in V$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. The variables $z_0$ and $z_2$ in (0.3) satisfy the noncommutative constraints

$$z_2 z_0 = q z_0 z_2$$

and certain natural grading restrictions are imposed on $V$ and $Y$. Naturally, we expect that in a more general setting a weaker form of (0.3) should be regarded.

For a vector space $L$ over field $\mathbb{F} \supset \mathbb{C}(q)$ of characteristic zero define

$$\mathcal{E}(L)_t = \text{Hom}(L, L((z))) \otimes \mathbb{F}[t].$$

Our goal is to construct graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras generated by certain subsets of $\mathcal{E}(L)_t$. The space $\mathcal{E}(L)_t$ is considered instead of $\mathcal{E}(L) = \text{Hom}(L, L((z)))$ because it allows us to efficiently introduce the $r$th products, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, among vertex operators, which utilize quantum integrability (0.2). The products are defined for the operators satisfying a certain technical requirement, quasi-commutativity, which may be considered as a more restrictive version of quasi-commpatibility introduced by H.-S. Li (cf. [L1]). The main result in this section is the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.14.** Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a quasi-commutative subset of $\mathcal{E}(L)_t$. There exists a unique smallest graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra $V \subseteq \mathcal{E}(L)_t$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq V$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{S}$ consists of homogeneous elements, we have

$$V = \text{span} \left\{ a_1(z, t)_{r_1} \cdots a_k(z, t)_{r_k} 1 : a_j(z, t) \in \mathcal{S}, r_j < 0, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.$$

As an application of Theorem 2.14 we construct graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras generated by quantum current operators $x^+_i(z)$ or $x^-_i(z)$ acting on an arbitrary restricted $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module, where $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra of type $(ADE)^{(1)}$. Some of the ideas and results in this section rely upon Li’s theory of nonlocal vertex algebras (cf. [L1],[L2]) even though different products and structures are considered.

In Section 3, we study graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras $V_{c,1}$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, generated by the operator $x(z, t) := x^+_i(z) \otimes t \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t$ for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1})$, which acts on the integrable $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1})$-module $L = L(\Lambda_0)_{c} \supset L(c \Lambda_0)$ of level $c$. Using (quantum) quasi-particles $x_m(z, t)$, $m = 1, \ldots, c$, from [Ko1] we define the following subset of $V_{c,1}$:

$$\mathcal{B}_{c,1} = \left\{ x_{m_1}(z, t)_{r_1} x_{m_2}(z, t)_{r_2} \cdots x_{m_k}(z, t)_{r_k} 1 : r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \leq -2, r_k \leq -1, 1 \leq m_j \leq c, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\},$$

where $1$ is the vacuum vector in $V_{c,1}$. By employing the second part of Theorem 2.14 and Koyama’s realization of intertwining operators for $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{1})$ (see [Koy]), we prove the main result of this section:
Theorem 3.10. The set $\mathcal{B}_{c,1}$ forms a basis for $V_{c,1}$.

For $c = 1$ the similar basis was already found in [Ko3]. However, this was a basis for $W_{1,\mathbf{q}}$, a certain subspace of a much bigger (nongraded) nonlocal $\mathbf{q}$-vertex algebra generated by $x(z) = x_1^+(z) \in \mathcal{E}(L(\Lambda_0))$ (which satisfied a slightly modified version of (0.3)). The space $W_{1,\mathbf{q}}$ did not have any additional vertex algebraic structure, which was caused by a lack of grading restrictions. In this paper, as a consequence of the imposed grading restrictions, the operator $x(z) \otimes t$ (on level 1) generates graded nonlocal $\mathbf{q}$-vertex algebra $V_{1,1}$, which gives rise to the same character formula as $W_{1,\mathbf{q}}$.

It is important to emphasize that the use of the space $\mathcal{E}(L)_t$ instead of $\mathcal{E}(L)$ did not affect the form of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{c,1}$. More precisely, we have:

Corollary 3.11. The set $\{a(z,t) |_{t=1} : a(z,t) \in \mathcal{B}_{c,1}\}$ is linearly independent.

Corollary 3.11 allows us to transfer the graded nonlocal $\mathbf{q}$-vertex algebra structure from $V_{c,1}$ to $V_c = (V_{c,1})_{|_{t=1}} \subset \mathcal{E}(L)$. Hence we obtain the construction of the graded nonlocal $\mathbf{q}$-vertex algebra $V_c$ generated by $x(z) \in \mathcal{E}(L)$.

In the end, we show that, for a suitably defined character $\text{ch}_\mathbf{q}$, we have

Theorem 3.13.

$$\text{ch}_\mathbf{q} V_{c,1} = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{r^2}}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^r)} c^r.$$  

It is not surprising that for $c > 1$ the character formula does not coincide with the classical case. The analogous basis for $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ is derived using integrability (0.1) and commutativity of vertex operator products, while vertex operator products for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are no longer commutative, so only quantum integrability (0.2) can be used. Finally, in view of the close connection between the representation theory of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities, it is worth noting that the character formula from Theorem 3.13 equals the left-hand side in

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{r^2}}{(1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^r)} c^r$$

$$= \left(1 + \sum_{s \geq 0} (-1)^s (1 - cq^{2s}) c^{2s} q^{s(5s - 1)/2} \frac{(1 - cq) \cdots (1 - cq^{s-1})}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^s)} \right) \prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - cq^r},$$

one of the standard identities which can be used to derive both Rogers-Ramanujan identities ([HW], cf. also [A]).

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Quantum calculus. This subsection contains some elementary notions of quantum calculus. For more details the reader may consult [KC]. Fix an indeterminate $\mathbf{q}$. For any two integers $m$ and $l$, $l \geq 0$, define $\mathbf{q}$-integers, $\mathbf{q}$-factorials, $\mathbf{q}$-binomial coefficients:

$$[m]_\mathbf{q} = \frac{\mathbf{q}^m - 1}{\mathbf{q} - 1} = 1 + \mathbf{q} + \ldots + \mathbf{q}^{m-1};$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

$$[0]_\mathbf{q}! = 1, \quad [l + 1]_\mathbf{q}! = [l + 1]_\mathbf{q}[l]_\mathbf{q} \cdots [1]_\mathbf{q};$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

$$\binom{m}{l}_\mathbf{q} = \frac{[m]_\mathbf{q}[m-1]_\mathbf{q} \cdots [m-l+1]_\mathbf{q}}{[l]_\mathbf{q}!}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)
Denote by $z_0$ and $z$ two noncommutative variables satisfying

$$z_0z = qz_0z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

In the rest of this paper we shall assume that all formal variables are commutative, unless stated otherwise (as above). We have the following $q$-analogue of the binomial theorem:

**Proposition 1.1.** For every integer $m$ and variables $z_0, z$ satisfying (1.4) we have

$$(z + z_0)^m = \sum_{l \geq 0} \binom{m}{l}_q z^{m-l}z_0^l.$$  

Let $V$ be a vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$ and let $a(z) \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ be an arbitrary Laurent series. Define $q$-derivation of $a(z)$ as

$$\frac{d_q}{dz}a(z) = \frac{a(zq) - a(z)}{z(q - 1)} \in V[[z^{\pm 1}]].$$

In order to simplify our notation we will denote the $n$th $q$-derivation of $a(z)$ as $a^{[n]}(z)$. The operator $\frac{d_q}{dz}$ is obviously a linear operator and it satisfies the general Leibniz rule:

**Proposition 1.2.** For every nonnegative integer $m$ and $a(z), b(z) \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, V((z)))$ satisfying $a(z_1)b(z) \in \operatorname{Hom}(V, V((z_1, z)))$ we have

$$(a(z)b(z))^{[m]} = \sum_{l = 0}^{m} \binom{m}{l}_q a^{[l]}(z)b^{[m-l]}(zq^l).$$

1.2. Quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\g})$. First, we recall some facts from the theory of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras (see [K] for details). Let $\hat{\g} = (a_{ij})_{i,j = 0}^n$ be a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type and let $g = \text{diag}(s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be a diagonal matrix of relatively prime positive integers such that the matrix $S^\hat{\g}$ is symmetric. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$ with a basis $\{\alpha^\vee_0, \alpha^\vee_1, \ldots, \alpha^\vee_n, d\}$. Denote by $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ linear functionals from $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $\alpha_i(\alpha^\vee_j) = a_{ij}$ and $\alpha_i(d) = \delta_0$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. Define the set of simple roots, $\hat{\Pi} = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ and the set of simple coroots, $\hat{\Pi}^\vee = \{\alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_n^\vee\}$. Denote by $\hat{\g}$ the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with the matrix $\hat{\Pi}$. Let $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$ be the fundamental weights, i.e. the elements of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ satisfying

$$\Lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}, \quad \Lambda_i(d) = 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n.$$  

Imaginary roots of $\hat{\g}$ are integer multiples of $\delta = d_0\alpha_0 + d_1\alpha_1 + \ldots + d_n\alpha_n \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, where integers $d_i$ are given in [K]. Define the integral dominant weight as any nonzero element $\Lambda$ of the free Abelian group generated by $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n, \delta/d_0$, which satisfies $\Lambda(\alpha_j^\vee) \geq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$. The invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ is given by $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = s_i a_{ij}$, $(\delta, \alpha_i) = (\delta, \delta) = 0$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n$.

Denote by $\g$ a simple Lie algebra associated with the Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j = 1}^n$. Let $\mathfrak{t} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\g$, which is generated by the elements $\alpha_0^\vee, \alpha_1^\vee, \ldots, \alpha_n^\vee$. Let $Q = \bigoplus_{i = 1}^n \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset \mathfrak{t}$ be the classical root lattice and $P = \bigoplus_{i = 1}^n \mathbb{Z}\lambda_i \subset \mathfrak{t}$ the classical weight lattice, where elements $\lambda_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ satisfy $\lambda_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

In this paper, we will mostly use $q$-numbers defined in (1.1)–(1.3). However, the definition of quantum affine algebra is usually given in terms of (differently defined) $q$-numbers, so
we recall them as well. Fix an indeterminate $q$. For any two integers $m$ and $l$, $l \geq 0$, define $q$-integers, $q$-factorials and $q$-binomial coefficients:

$$ [m]_q = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}; $$

$$ [0]_q! = 1; \quad [l + 1]_q! = [l + 1][l] \cdots [1]; $$

$$ \left[\frac{m}{l}\right]_q = \frac{[m][m - 1] \cdots [m - l + 1]}{[l]!}. $$

We present the Drinfeld realization (see [D]) of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

**Definition 1.3.** Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra with Cartan matrix $\widehat{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^n$. The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})$ with unit 1 generated by the elements $x_+^i(k), a_i(l), K_i^{\pm 1}, \gamma^\pm 1/2$ and $q^\pm d, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \neq 0$, subject to the following relations:

1. $[\gamma^\pm 1/2, a] = 0$ for all $a \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})_0$, (d1)
2. $K_i K_j = K_j K_i, K_i K_i^{-1} = K_i^{-1} K_i = 1$, (d2)
3. $[a_i(k), a_j(l)] = \delta_{k+l} \frac{[a_{ij}]_q}{k} \gamma^k - \gamma^{-k}$, (d3)
4. $[a_i(k), K_j^{\pm 1}] = [q^d, K_j^{\pm 1}] = 0$, (d4)
5. $q^d x_+^i(k) q^{-d} = q^k x_+^i(k)$, $q^d a_i(l) q^{-d} = q^k a_i(l)$, (d5)
6. $K_i x_+^i(k) K_i^{-1} = q^{x_i^0(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} x_+^i(k)$, (d6)
7. $[a_i(k), x_+^j(l)] = \pm \frac{[a_{ij}]_q}{k} \gamma^{|k|/2} x_+^j(k + l)$, (d7)
8. $x_+^i(k + 1) x_+^j(l) - q^{x_i^0(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} x_+^j(l) x_+^i(k + 1) = q^{x_i^0(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} x_+^i(k) x_+^j(l + 1) - x_+^j(l + 1) x_+^i(k)$, (d8)
9. $[x_+^i(k), x_-^j(l)] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q_l - q_i^{-1}} \left( \gamma^l \phi_i(k + l) - \gamma^{-l} \phi_i(k + l) \right)$, (d9)
10. $\text{Sym} \sum_{l_1,l_2,\ldots,l_m} (-1)^s \left[ \frac{m}{s} \right]_{q_i} = 0$, for $i \neq j$, (d10)

where $m = 1 - a_{ij}, q_i = q^{s_i}$ and the elements $\phi_i(-r)$ and $\psi_i(r), r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, are given by

$$ \phi_i(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi_i(-r) z^r = K_i^{-1} \exp \left( -(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_i(-r) z^r \right), $$

$$ \psi_i(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_i(r) z^{-r} = K_i \exp \left( (q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_i(r) z^{-r} \right). $$

If $q_i = q$ we will usually omit the index $q_i$ and write $[m]$ instead of $[m]_{q_i}$. Denote by $x^\pm_i(z)$ the series

$$ x^\pm_i(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} x^\pm_i(r) z^{-r-1} \in U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})[[z, z^{-1}]]. $$

(1.5)
We shall continue to use the notation $x_i^\pm(z)$ for the action of (1.5) on an arbitrary $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$-module $V$:

$$x_i^+(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} x_i^+(r) z^{-r-1} \in \text{(End} V) [[z, z^{-1}]].$$

Drinfeld gave the following Hopf algebra structure for his realization of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$ (cf. also [D1]):

\[
\Delta(q^{c/2}) = q^{c/2} \otimes q^{c/2}, \\
\Delta(x_i^+(z)) = x_i^+(z) \otimes 1 + \phi_i(zq^{c/2}) \otimes x_i^+(zq^{c}), \\
\Delta(x_i^-(z)) = 1 \otimes x_i^-(z) + x_i^-(zq^{-2}) \otimes \psi_i(zq^{c/2}), \\
\Delta(\phi_i(z)) = \phi_i(zq^{-c/2}) \otimes \phi_i(zq^{c/2}), \\
\Delta(\psi_i(z)) = \psi_i(zq^{c/2}) \otimes \psi_i(zq^{-c/2}), \\
\varepsilon(q^c) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(x_i^+(z)) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\phi_i(z)) = \varepsilon(\psi_i(z)) = 1; \\
S(q^c) = q^{-c}, \\
S(x_i^+(z)) = -\phi_i(zq^{-c/2})^{-1} x_i^+(zq^{-c}), \\
S(x_i^-(z)) = -x_i^-(zq^{-c}) \psi_i(zq^{-c/2})^{-1}, \\
S(\phi_i(z)) = \phi_i(z)^{-1}, \quad S(\psi_i(z)) = \psi_i(z)^{-1},
\]

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $q^{c}$ denotes the action of the center $\gamma = q^c$ on the first tensor factor while $q^{c}$ the action on the second tensor factor. For $l \geq 1$ set

$$\Delta^{(0)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^{(l)} = (1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta)^{l-1} / \Delta.$$  

The coproduct formula applied on the tensor product of $c$ integrable highest weight modules gives

$$\Delta^{(c-1)}(x_i^+(z)) = \sum_{l=1}^{c} x_i^{+(l)}(z), \quad (1.6)$$

where

$$x_i^{+(l)}(z) = \phi_i(zq^{1/2}) \otimes \phi_i(zq^{3/2}) \otimes \cdots \phi_i(z^{l-1}q^{1/2}) \otimes x_i^+(zq^{l-1}) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1. \quad (1.7)$$

1.3. **Representations of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$**. The algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is generated by the elements $x_i^+(k)$, $a_1(l)$, $K_1^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma_{\pm 1/2}$ and $q^{\pm d}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \neq 0$. In order to simplify the notation, we will omit index ”1” and write $x^+(k)$, $a(l)$, $K_{1}^{\pm 1}$, $\phi(z)$ instead of $x_1^+(k), a_1(l), K_1^{\pm 1}, \phi_1(z)$. We recall Frenkel-Jing realization of the integrable highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-modules $L(\Lambda_0)$ and $L(\Lambda_1)$ (see [FJ]). The Heisenberg algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})$ of level 1 is generated by the elements $a(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and the central element $\gamma_{\pm 1} = q^{\pm c}$ subject to the relations

$$[a(r), a(s)] = \delta_{r+s, 0} \frac{[2r][r]}{r}, \quad r, s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (1.8)$$

Algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})$ has a natural realization on the space $\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}^-)$ of the symmetric algebra generated by the elements $a(-r)$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, via the following rule:

$$\gamma_{\pm 1} \cdots \text{multiplication by } q^{\pm 1},$$
Denote the resulted level 1 irreducible $U_q(H)$-module by $M(1)$. Define the following operators on $M(1)$:

$$E^+_\pm(a,z) = \exp\left(\pm \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^{\frac{r^2}{2}}}{[r]} a(-r) z^r\right), \quad E^-_\pm(a,z) = \exp\left(\pm \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^{\frac{r^2}{2}}}{[r]} a(r) z^{-r}\right).$$

Let $\mathbb{C}\{Q\}$ be the group algebra of the classical weight lattice $Q = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1$ generated by $e^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in Q$. The space $\mathbb{C}\{P\} = \mathbb{C}\{Q\} \oplus \mathbb{C}\{Q\} e^{\lambda_1}$ is a $\mathbb{C}\{Q\}$-module. For $\alpha \in Q$ define an action $z^{\partial_\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{C}\{P\}$ by $z^{\alpha} e^{\beta} = e^{(\alpha,\beta)} e^{\beta}$. Set

$$L_0 = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{Q\}, \quad L_1 = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{Q\} e^{\lambda_1}.$$

**Theorem 1.4 [FJ].** By the action

$$x^\pm(z) = E^+_\pm(-a,z) E^-_\pm(-a,z) \otimes e^{\pm \alpha_1 z^\pm \partial_{\alpha_1}},$$

the space $L_i$, $i = 0, 1$, becomes the integrable highest weight $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-module with the highest weight $\Lambda_i$.

The following theorem is a special case of the, so-called quantum integrability, which was found by Ding and Miwa.

**Theorem 1.5 [DM].** On every level $c$ integrable $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$-module

$$x^\pm(z_1) x^\pm(z_2) \cdots x^\pm(z_{c+1}) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad z_1/z_2 = z_2/z_3 = \ldots = z_c/z_{c+1} = q^{-2}.$$  \hfill (1.9)

In the end, following [Koy] we define the vertex operator $\mathcal{Y}(z)$ on the space $V = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{P\}$:

$$\mathcal{E}_-(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^{r^2/2}}{[2r]} a(-r) z^r\right), \quad \mathcal{E}_+(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^{r^2/2}}{[2r]} a(r) z^{-r}\right);$$

$$\mathcal{Y}(z) = \mathcal{E}_-(z) \mathcal{E}_+(z) \otimes e^{\lambda_1} (-z)^{\partial_{\lambda_1}} \in \text{Hom}(V, V((z^{1/2}))).$$

The relations in the next proposition can be verified by a direct calculation.

**Proposition 1.6.** The following relations hold on $V$:

$$x^\pm(z_1) x^\pm(z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - q^{-2} z_2) : x^\pm(z_1) x^\pm(z_2) :,$$ \hfill (1.10)

$$x^\pm(z_1) \phi(z_2 q^{1/2}) = q^{2} \frac{1 - q^{-2} z_1}{1 - q^{2} z_1} \phi(z_2 q^{1/2}) x^\pm(z_1),$$ \hfill (1.11)

$$x^\pm(z_1) \mathcal{E}_-(z_2) = \left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) \mathcal{E}_-(z_2) x^\pm(z_1),$$ \hfill (1.12)

$$\phi(z_1) \mathcal{E}_-(z_2) = \mathcal{E}_-(z_2) \phi(z_1).$$ \hfill (1.13)

2. **Graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras**

2.1. **Definition.** Let $V$ be an arbitrary vector space over the field $\mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{C}(q)$ of characteristic zero and $1_V$ the identity $V \to V$. 
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Definition 2.1. A graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebra is a triple \((V, Y, 1)\), where

\[
V = \prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} V(u)
\]

is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded vector space equipped with a linear map

\[
Y(\cdot, z_0) : V \to (\text{End } V)[[z_0^{\pm 1}]]
\]

\[
a \mapsto Y(a, z_0) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r z_0^{-r-1}
\]

and with a distinguished vector \( 1 \in V \) such that the following conditions hold: For every \( a, b, c \in V \) and \( s, u \in \mathbb{Z} \)

\[
a r b = 0 \quad \text{ for sufficiently large integer } r;
\]

\[
Y(1, z_0) = 1_V;
\]

\[
Y(a, z_0) 1 \in V[[z_0]] \quad \text{ and } \lim_{z_0 \to 0} Y(a, z_0) 1 = a;
\]

\[
a r V(a) \subseteq V(s + u - r - 1) \quad \text{ if } a \in V(u);
\]

\[
Y(a, z_0 + z_2)Y(b, z_0 q^u) c = Y(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2)c \quad \text{ if } a(z) \in V(u) \text{ and } z_2 z_0 = q z_0 z_2.
\]

The definition requires some further explanations. We use the following conventions in (v6). On the left-hand side we assume that \( z_0 + z_2 \) appears to the right of \( z_2 \):

\[
Y(a, z_0 + z_2)Y(b, z_0 q^u)c = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r(b_s c)(z_0 q^u)^{-s-1}(z_0 + z_2)^{-r-1}.
\]  

The expression \((z_0 + z_2)^{-r-1}\) is expanded in nonnegative powers of \( z_2 \) using Proposition 1.1. On the right-hand side of (v6), we assume that the variable \( z_0 \) appears to the left of \( z_2 \):

\[
Y(Y(a, z_0)b, z_2)c = Y(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} a_r b z_0^{-r-1}, z_2)c = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_r b_s c) z_0^{-r-1} z_2^{-s-1}.
\]

As a consequence of (v6), using (2.1) and (2.2), we get

\[
(a_r b_s c) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \left[ \frac{(-r - 1)}{l} \right] q^{(s+1)l(r+u+1)} a_{r-l}(b_{s+l} c) \quad \text{ for all } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

2.2. Construction. Let \( L \) be an arbitrary vector space over the field \( \mathbb{F} \supseteq \mathbb{C}(q) \) of characteristic zero. We will use the following notation:

\[
\mathcal{E}(L) = \text{Hom}(L, L((z))), \quad \mathcal{E}(L)_{t} = \text{Hom}(L, L((z))) \otimes \mathbb{F}[t].
\]

Our goal is to construct graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebras generated by the subsets of \( \mathcal{E}(L)_{t} \). For \( A(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, \ldots, z_n))) \) we will denote by \( \lim_{z_j \to z} A(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) or \( \lim_{z_1, \ldots, z_n \to z} A(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) an element of the space \( \text{Hom}(L, L((z))) \) which is obtained from \( A(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) by replacing all the variables \( z_1, \ldots, z_n \) by \( z \). Notice that \( \lim_{z_j \to z} B(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) need not be well-defined for an arbitrary \( B(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \text{Hom}(L, L[[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_n^{\pm 1}]])) \). First, we recall a few well-known technical results which will be often used in this section.

Lemma 2.2. Let \( A(z_1, z) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z))) \), \( B(z_2, z_1, z) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_2, z_1, z))) \) and \( p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z] \). Then

(a) \( \lim_{z_1 \to z} A(z_1, z) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} \lim_{z_2 \to z_1} A(z_1, z) \);
(b) \[ \lim_{z_2; z_1 \to z} B(z_2, z_1, z) = \lim_{z_2 \to z} B(z_2, z_1, z); \]
(c) \[ \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1) A(z_1, z) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1) \lim_{z_1 \to z} A(z_1, z). \]

Proof. Equality (a) is trivial while equalities (b) and (c) follow from more general results proved in [MP, Section 5].

Denote by \( \mathbb{F}(q)[z_0] \) the following set of polynomials:
\[ \mathbb{F}(q)[z_0] = \{ p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z] : p(1) = 1 \text{ and } p(q^n) \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} . \]
Obviously, \( \mathbb{F}(q)[z_0] \) is closed under multiplication. We shall consider the space
\[ \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m)))_t = \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m))) \otimes \mathbb{F}(q)[t]. \]
For a homogeneous element \( a(z) \otimes t^n \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t \) we write
\[ \text{wt}(a(z) \otimes t^n) = \text{deg}(a(z) \otimes t^n) = \alpha. \] (2.4)
The function \( \text{wt} \) will define a gradation on the graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebras which will be constructed in this section.

**Definition 2.3.** A sequence \( (a_1(z) \otimes t^{n_1}, \ldots, a_m(z) \otimes t^{n_m}) \) in \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \) is said to be quasi-commutative if there exists a polynomial \( p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0 \) such that
\[
\left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} p(z_{ji}/z_{ij}) \right) a_1(z_1) a_2(z_2q^{\alpha_1}) a_3(z_3q^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}) \cdots a_m(z_mq^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_m-1}) 
\in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m))).
\] (2.5)
In general, a sequence \( (\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} a_{1,j}(z) \otimes t^{n_{1,j}}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{N_m} a_{m,j}(z) \otimes t^{n_{m,j}}) \) in \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \) is said to be quasi-commutative if for every choice of \( (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \), where \( 1 \leq j_k \leq N_k \), \( k = 1, \ldots, m \), the sequence \( (a_{1,j_1}(z) \otimes t^{n_{1,j_1}}, \ldots, a_{m,j_m}(z) \otimes t^{n_{m,j_m}}) \) is quasi-commutative.

Notice that if \( \left( a_1(z) \otimes g_1(t), \ldots, a_m(z) \otimes g_m(t) \right) \) is a quasi-commutative for some polynomials \( g_j(t), j = 1, \ldots, m \), then for all integers \( \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_m \) the sequence \( \left( a_1(zq^{\alpha_1}) \otimes g_1(t), \ldots, a_m(zq^{\alpha_m}) \otimes g_m(t) \right) \) is also quasi-commutative.

**Definition 2.4.** Let \( (a(z, t), b(z, t)) = (\sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i(z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i}, \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j(z) \otimes t^{\beta_j}) \) be a quasi-commutative pair in \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \). For an integer \( r \) we define
\[
a(z, t)_{-r-1} b(z, t) \in (\text{End} L)[[z^{\pm 1}]]_t = (\text{End} L)[[z^{\pm 1}]] \otimes \mathbb{F}(q)[t]
\] by
\[
a(z, t)_{-r-1} b(z, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (a_i(z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i})_{-r-1} (b_j(z) \otimes t^{\beta_j})
\] (2.6)
where, for \( r \geq 0 \)
\[
(a_i(z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i})_{-r-1} (b_j(z) \otimes t^{\beta_j}) = \lim_{z \to z_1} p_{ij}(z/z_1) a_i^{[r]}(z_1) b_j(zq^{\alpha_i+r}) \otimes t^{\alpha_i+\beta_j+r}
\] (2.7)
and \( p_{ij}(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z_0] \) is any polynomial satisfying
\[
p_{ij}(z/z_1) a_i^{[r]}(z_1) b_j(zq^{\alpha_i+r}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z)))
\] (2.8)
while for \( r < 0 \) we set
\[
a(z, t)_{-r-1} b(z, t) = 0.
\]
Notice that the polynomial \( p_{ij}(z) \) in Definition 2.4 does depend on the choice of the integer \( r \). At this point, it is not clear whether this definition, more precisely (2.7), depends on the choice of the polynomial \( p_{ij}(z) \). This issue is resolved in the next proposition.

**Proposition 2.5.** Definition 2.4 is independent of the choice of the polynomials \( p_{ij}(z) \) in \( \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0 \) satisfying (2.8).

**Proof.** The proof goes similarly as the proof of [L2, Lemma 3.3]. It is sufficient to consider only homogeneous elements \( a(z) \otimes t^a, b(z) \otimes t^b \in \mathcal{E}(L) \). Let \( p_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0, k = 1, 2, \) be two polynomials satisfying

\[
p_k(z/z_1) a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z)))
\]

for some \( r \geq 0 \). Using Lemma 2.2 we get

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p_1(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r}
= p_2(1) \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p_1(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r}
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} p_2(z/z_1) \frac{p_1(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r}
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} p_1(z/z_1) \frac{p_2(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r}
= p_1(1) \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p_2(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r}
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p_2(z/z_1)}{[r]q!} a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{a+r}) \otimes t^{a+b+r},
\]

as required. \( \square \)

The following generalization of Proposition 2.5 can be proved analogously.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \((a_1(z) \otimes t^{a_1}, \ldots, a_m(z) \otimes t^{a_m})\) be a quasi-commutative sequence in \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \) and let \( p_k(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0, k = 1, 2, \) be polynomials satisfying

\[
A_k(z_1, \ldots, z_m) := \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} p_k(z_j/z_i) \right) a_1(z_1) a_2(z_2q^{a_1}) a_3(z_3q^{a_1+a_2}) \cdots a_m(z_mq^{a_1+\cdots+a_{m-1}})
\in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m))) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.
\]

Then

\[
\lim_{z_1, \ldots, z_m \to z} A_1(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = \lim_{z_1, \ldots, z_m \to z} A_2(z_1, \ldots, z_m).
\]

Let \((a(z) \otimes t^a, b(z) \otimes t^b)\) be a quasi-commutative pair in \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \). Set

\[
Y(a(z) \otimes t^a, b(z_0) \otimes t^b) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (a(z) \otimes t^a)_{r-1}(b(z) \otimes t^b)z_0^r
\tag{2.9}
\]

and then extend \( Y \) by linearity. Set

\[
1 = 1_{\mathcal{E}(L)} \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t. \tag{2.10}
\]

The following properties of the \( r \)th products follow directly from (2.4), (2.9), (2.10) and Definition 2.4.
Corollary 2.7. Let \((a(z,t), b(z,t))\) and \((c(z) \otimes t^a, d(z) \otimes t^b)\) be two quasi-commutative pairs in \(\mathcal{E}(L)_t\) and \(r\) an arbitrary integer.
(a) \(a(z,t), b(z,t) \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t\) for \(r \in \mathbb{Z}\);
(b) The pairs \((a(z,t), 1)\) and \((1, a(z,t))\) are quasi-commutative;
(c) \(Y(1, z_0) = Y(L(L), z_0)\);
(d) \(Y(a(z,t), z_0)1 \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t[[z_0]]\) and \(\lim_{z_0 \to 0} Y(a(z,t), z_0)1 = a(z,t)\);
(e) If \(e(z,t) := (c(z) \otimes t^a)(d(z) \otimes t^b) \neq 0\), then \(\text{wt}(e(z,t)) = \alpha + \beta - r - 1\).

The following lemma is one of the key results in this section.

Lemma 2.8. Let \((a_1(z,t), \ldots, a_m(z,t))\) and \((a_k(z,t), a_{k+1}(z,t))\) for some \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, m - 1\) be two quasi-commutative sequences in \(\mathcal{E}(L)_t\). Then for every integer \(r\) the sequence
\[
(a_1(z,t), \ldots, a_{k-1}(z,t), a_k(z,t)_{r-1}a_{k+1}(z,t), a_{k+2}(z,t), \ldots, a_m(z,t))
\]
is quasi-commutative.

Proof. Let \(r \geq 0\). It is sufficient to consider only the sequence of homogeneous elements \((a_1(z) \otimes t^{a_1}, \ldots, a_m(z) \otimes t^{a_m})\). Let \(p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0\) be a polynomial satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
p(z_{k+1}/z_k)a_k(z_k)a_{k+1}(z_{k+1}q^{a_k}) & \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_k, z_{k+1}))) \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \ldots, r; \quad \text{(2.11)} \\
p(z_{k+1}/z_k)a_k(z_{k+1}q^{-a_k})a_{k+1}(z_{k+1}q^{r-a_k}) & \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_k, z_{k+1}))) \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \ldots, r; \quad \text{(2.12)} \\
\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} p(z_j/z_i) & a_1(z_1)a_2(z_2q^{a_1}) \cdots a_m(z_mq^{a_1+\ldots+a_m-1}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, \ldots, z_m))). \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
\]

Note that (2.12) implies
\[
p(z_{k+1}/z_k)a_k^{[r]}(z_k)a_{k+1}(z_{k+1}q^{r-a_k}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_k, z_{k+1}))).
\]

Since \((a_k(z) \otimes t^{a_k})_{r-1}(a_{k+1}(z) \otimes t^{a_{k+1}})\) is a \(\mathbb{F}(q)[z^{-1}]\)-linear combination of
\[
(a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_k}) \otimes t^{r-a_k})_{r-1}(a_{k+1}(z) \otimes t^{a_{k+1}}), \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, r,
\]
it is sufficient to prove that the sequence
\[
(a_1(z) \otimes t^{a_1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}(z) \otimes t^{a_{k-1}}, a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_k}) \otimes t^{a_{k+1}-1}(a_{k+1}(z) \otimes t^{a_{k+1}}), a_{k+2}(z) \otimes t^{a_{k+2}}, \ldots, a_m(z) \otimes t^{a_m})
\]
is quasi-commutative for every \(l = 0, 1, \ldots, r\). First, (2.13) implies
\[
\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} p(w_j/w_i) \quad a_1(w_1)a_2(w_2q^{a_1}) \cdots a_m(w_mq^{a_1+\ldots+a_m-1}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, \ldots, z_m))), \tag{2.15}
\]
where \(w_u = z_u\) for \(u < k\), \(w_k = z_kq^{a_k}\) and \(w_u = z_uq^{r}\) for \(u > k\). Notice that \(p(w_j/w_i)\) is an element of \(\mathbb{F}(q)[z_j/z_i]_0\) for all \(1 \leq i < j \leq m\). Expression (2.15) can be written as
\[
\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} p(w_j/w_i) \quad a_1(w_1) \cdots a_{k-1}(w_{k-1}q^{a_1+\ldots+a_{k-2}}) \\
\cdot (p(w_{k+1}/w_k)a_k(w_{k}q^{a_1+\ldots+a_{k-1}})a_{k+1}(w_{k+1}q^{a_1+\ldots+a_{k}})) \\
\cdot a_{k+2}(w_{k+2}q^{a_1+\ldots+a_{k+1}}) \cdots a_m(w_mq^{a_1+\ldots+a_m-1}). \tag{2.16}
\]
Applying (2.16) on an arbitrary vector \( v \in L \), we get a finite number of negative powers of \( z_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \). Therefore, applying the limit \( \lim_{z_k \to z_{k+1}} \) on (2.16) and using (2.11) we get, up to a nonzero multiplicative scalar,

\[
\left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m \atop i, j \neq k} p(w_j/w_i) \right) \left( \prod_{j > k+1} p(w_j/(w_{k+1}q^{-r})) \right) \left( \prod_{i < k} p((w_{k+1}q^{-r})/w_i) \right) 
\]

(2.17)

\[
\cdot a_1(w_1) \cdots a_{k-1}(w_{k-1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-2}}) \\
\cdot a_k(w_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}+l-r})^{-1}a_k(w_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_k}) \\
\cdot a_{k+1}(w_{k+2}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k+1}}) \cdots a_m(w_mq^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{m-1}}) \\
\in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}, z_{k+1}, \ldots, z_m))),
\]

where

\[
a_k(w_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}+l-r})^{-1}a_k(w_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_k}) = a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}+l})^{-1}a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_k+r})
\]

denotes the first tensor factor of

\[
(a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}}) \otimes t^{a_k+r})^{-1}(a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}}) \otimes t^{a_k+1})
\]

in (2.18) denotes the first tensor factor of

\[
\left( a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}}) \otimes t^{a_k+r} \right)^{-1} \left( a_k(z_{k+1}q^{a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1}}) \otimes t^{a_k+1} \right)
\]

Since polynomials in (2.17) do not have any zeroes in the set \( \{ q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} \), we conclude that (2.14) is quasi-commutative.

Denote by \( R_{z_j} \) the operator

\[
R_{z_j} : a(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto a(z_1, \ldots, z_{j-1}, z_{j}q, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{n}).
\]

We will need the following three technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2.9.** For every nonnegative integer \( s \) and a polynomial \( p(z) \in F(q)[z]_0 \) we have

\[
\left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} R_z + \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z} \right)^s = \sum_{l=0}^{s} \binom{s}{l} R_z^l \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1^{s-l}} \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z^{s-l}}.
\]

(2.19)

\[
R_z \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} p(z/z_1) = -\frac{z}{z_1^2} \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} p(z/z_1).
\]

(2.20)

**Proof.** Equality (2.19) can be proved by induction over \( s \), using

\[
\frac{\partial_q}{\partial z} R_z = q R_z \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z}, \quad \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} R_z = R_z \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1}
\]

and Proposition 1.1; Equality (2.20) can be verified by a direct calculation. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.10.** Let \( a(z), b(z) \in \mathcal{E}(L) \) and \( p(z) \in F(q)[z]_0 \) such that

\[
p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z), \ p(z/z_1)a^{[1]}(z_1)b(z), \ p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b^{[1]}(z) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z))).
\]

Then

\[
\frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z_1} R_z + \frac{\partial_q}{\partial z} \right) p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z);
\]

(2.22)
\[
\frac{d_q}{d_z} \lim_{z \to z_1} p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1) \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} R_z + \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} z \right) a(z_1)b(z). \tag{2.23}
\]

**Proof.** Equality (2.22) is obvious. Let us prove (2.23). We have

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} R_z + \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} z \right) p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z) \tag{2.24}
\]

\[
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} R_z \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} p(z/z_1) \right. a(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) \tag{2.25}
\]

\[
+ \lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} p(z/z_1) \right. a(z_1) b(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b(z_1) \tag{2.26}
\]

Note that (2.21) implies that limits (2.25) and (2.26) do exist. Using (2.20) we can express (2.25) as

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} R_z \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} p(z/z_1) \right. a(z_1) b(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b(z_1) \tag{2.27}
\]

Combining (2.24) and (2.27) we get

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} R_z + \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} z \right) p(z/z_1)a(z_1)b(z)
\]

\[
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_q}{\partial_z} p(z/z_1) \right. a(z_1) b(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b(z_1) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b(z_1) \tag{2.28}
\]

\]
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Since

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( -\frac{\partial_a}{\partial z} p(z/z_1) \right) z(z - 1) + \frac{z_1}{z} p(zq/z_1) = 1,
\]

Assumption (2.21), together with (2.28), implies

\[
\lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{\partial_a}{\partial z_1} R_z + \frac{\partial_a}{\partial z^2} \right) p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b(z)
= \lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( p(z/z_1) a^{[1]}(z_1) b(zq) + p(z/z_1) a(z_1) b^{[1]}(z) \right).
\]

Finally, Equality (2.23) follows from (2.22).

Using (2.19) and Lemma 2.10 one can prove:

**Lemma 2.11.** Let \((a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, b(z) \otimes t^\beta)\) be a quasi-commutative pair in \(E(L)_t\), \(r, s\) nonnegative integers and \(p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0\) a polynomial satisfying

\[
p(z/z_1) a(z)b(zq^a) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z))); \quad p(z/z_1) a^{[r+\ell]}(z_1) b^{[s-\ell]}(zq^{a+l+r}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z))) \quad \text{for} \quad \ell = 0, 1, \ldots, s.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{\partial_a}{\partial z_1} \left( \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z_1/z_3) a^{[r]}(z_3) b(z_1q^{a+r}) \right)
= \sum_{l=0}^{s} \left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ell \\ l \end{array} \right) q^{(s-\ell)(r+\alpha)} \lim_{z_3 \to z_1} p(z_1/z_3) a^{[r+\ell]}(z_3) b^{[s-\ell]}(z_1q^{a+l+r}).
\]

The set \(S \subseteq E(L)_t\) is said to be quasi-commutative if every finite sequence in \(S\) is quasi-commutative. The quasi-commutative set \(S \subseteq E(L)_t\) is said to be closed if \(a(z, t), b(z, t)\) is an element of \(S\) for all \(a(z, t), b(z, t) \in S, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}\).

**Lemma 2.12.** Let \(V\) be a closed, quasi-commutative subspace of \(E(L)_t\), such that \(1 \in V\). Then \((V, Y, 1)\) satisfies all the axioms of the graded nonlocal \(q\)-vertex algebra, except maybe grading restrictions (v0) and (v5).

**Proof.** The definition of the operator \(Y\) is given in (2.9), so (v1) is satisfied. Definition 2.4 implies (v2). The definition of the vector \(1 \in E(L)_t\) is given in (2.10) and Corollary 2.7 implies (v3) and (v4).

Let us prove (v6). It is sufficient to consider an arbitrary quasi-commutative sequence of homogeneous elements \((a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, b(z) \otimes t^\beta, c(z) \otimes t^\gamma)\), whose all subsequences are also quasi-commutative. Fix nonnegative integers \(r_0, s_0\). Let \(p(z) \in \mathbb{F}(q)[z]_0\) be a polynomial satisfying

\[
p(z/z_1) a^{[r]}(z_1) b(zq^{r+\alpha}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z))); \quad p(z_1/z_3) a^{[r+l]}(z_3) b^{[s-\ell]}(zq^{a+l+r}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_3, z_1))); \quad p(z/z_1) p(z_1/z_3) a^{[r]}(z_3) b^{[s-\ell]}(zq^{a+l+r}) c(zq^{a+r+s+k}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_3, z_1, z))); \quad p(z/z_1) b^{[r]}(z_1) c(zq^{\beta+r}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z)))
\]

for all

\[
r = 0, 1, \ldots, r_0 + s_0, \quad k, s, v = 0, 1, \ldots, s_0, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, s.
\]
Using (2.31), (2.32) and Lemma 2.2 we get
\[
\lim_{z_1, z_3 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)p(z/z_3)p(z_1/z_3)a[v](z_3)b[v](z_1q^{0+u})c(zq^{0+\beta+u+v})}{z_3 - z_1} = \lim_{z_3 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)p(z/z_3)p(z_1/z_3)a[u](z_3)b[v](z_1q^{0+u})c(zq^{0+\beta+u+v})}{z_3 - z_1} = \lim_{z_3 \to z} p(z/z_3)^2a[u](z_3) \lim_{z_3 \to z} p(z_1/z_3)b[v](z_1q^{0+u})c(zq^{0+\beta+u+v})
\]
(2.33)
for all \( u = 0, 1, ..., r_0 + s_0, v = 0, 1, ..., s_0 \). Using (2.30), (2.31) and Lemma 2.2 we get
\[
\lim_{z_1, z_3 \to z} p(z/z_1)p(z/z_3)p(z_1/z_3)a[r+l](z_3)b[s-l](z_1q^{0+\beta+r+s})c(zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s}) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1)p(z/z_3)p(z_1/z_3)a[r+l](z_3)b[s-l](z_1q^{0+\beta+r+s})c(zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s}) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1)^2 \lim_{z_3 \to z_3} \left( p(z_1/z_3)a[r+l](z_3)b[s-l](z_1q^{0+\beta+r+s}) \right) \left( zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s} \right) = \lim_{z_1 \to z} p(z/z_1)^2 \lim_{z_3 \to z_3} \left( p(z_1/z_3)a[r+l](z_3)b[s-l](z_1q^{0+\beta+r+s}) \right) \left( zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s} \right)
\]
(2.34)
for all \( r = 0, 1, ..., r_0, s = 0, 1, ..., s_0, l = 0, 1, ..., s_0 \).

For Taylor series \( A(z_0, z_2), B(z_0, z_2) \in E(\mathbb{C}[[z_0, z_2]]) \), we write \( A(z_0, z_2) = B(z_0, z_2) \) if the coefficient of \( z_0^s z_2^t \) in \( A(z_0, z_2) - B(z_0, z_2) \) equals zero for all \( r \leq r_0 \) and \( s \leq s_0 \). In the following calculations we assume that the variables \( z_0, z_2 \) satisfy (v7). We use the relation ”\( \equiv \)” because the polynomial \( p(z) \) satisfying (2.29)–(2.32) depends on the choice of nonnegative integers \( r_0, s_0 \). Using (2.29), (2.34) and Lemma 2.11 we get
\[
Y(Y(\alpha(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0)b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2)(c(z) \otimes t^\gamma)
\]
\[
= Y\left( \sum_{r=0}^{r_0} \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)^2}{[r]_q^1} a[r](z_1)b(zq^{0+\alpha}) \otimes t^{\alpha+r} \right) \left( z_0^r, z_2 \right)(c(z) \otimes t^\gamma)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{r_0} \sum_{s=0}^{s_0} \sum_{l=0}^{s-r_0} \frac{q^{(s-l)(\alpha+r)}}{[r]_q^1 ![r]_q^1 ![s-l]_q^1} \left( \lim_{z_1 \to z_1} \frac{p(z_1/z_3)}{[r]_q^1} a[r](z_3)b(zq^{0+\alpha}) \right) \left( z_0^r, z_2 \right)(c(zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s}) \otimes t^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+r+s} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{r_0} \sum_{s=0}^{s_0} \sum_{l=0}^{s-r_0} \frac{q^{(s-l)(\alpha+r)}}{[r]_q^1 ![r]_q^1 ![s-l]_q^1} \left( \lim_{z_1 \to z_1} \frac{p(z_1/z_3)}{[r]_q^1} a[r](z_3)b(zq^{0+\alpha}) \right) \left( z_0^r, z_2 \right)(c(zq^{0+\beta+\delta+r+s}) \otimes t^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+r+s} \right)
\]
(2.35)
Using (2.32) and (2.33) we get
\[
Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0 + z_2)Y(b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2q^{wt(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha)})(c(z) \otimes t^\gamma)
\]
\[
\equiv Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0 + z_2) \sum_{v=0}^{s_0} \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)q^{tv}}{[v]_q!}b^{[v]}(z_1)c(zq^{\beta + v} \otimes t^{\beta + \gamma + v} z_2')
\]
\[
\equiv \sum_{u=0}^{r_0 + s_0} \sum_{v=0}^{s_0} \lim_{z_1 \to z} \left( \frac{p(z/z_1)^2 a^{[u]}(z_3)}{[u]_q!} \left( \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)q^{tv}}{[v]_q!}b^{[v]}(z_1q^{u + \alpha})c(zq^{\alpha + \beta + u + v}) \right) \right) \otimes t^{\alpha + \gamma + u + v} z_2'(z_0 + z_2)^u
\]
\[
= \sum_{u=0}^{r_0 + s_0} \sum_{v=0}^{s_0} \sum_{l=0}^{u} \left[ \frac{q^{v(u - l)}}{[u - l]_q! [l]_q! [v]_q!} \cdot \lim_{z_3 \to z} \left( \frac{p(z/z_3)^2 a^{[u]}(z_3)}{[u]_q!} \left( \lim_{z_1 \to z} \frac{p(z/z_1)q^{tv}}{[v]_q!}b^{[v]}(z_1q^{u + \alpha})c(zq^{\alpha + \beta + u + v}) \right) \right) \right) \otimes t^{\alpha + \gamma + u + v} z_2'^{u - l} z_2^l
\]
\[
= \sum_{u=0}^{r_0 + s_0} \sum_{v=0}^{s_0} \sum_{l=0}^{u} \left[ \frac{q^{v(u - l)}}{[u - l]_q! [l]_q! [v]_q!} \cdot \lim_{z_1, z_3 \to z} \left( \frac{p(z/z_1)p(z/z_3)a^{[u]}(z_3)b^{[v]}(z_1q^{u + \alpha})c(zq^{\alpha + \beta + u + v}) \right) \right) \otimes t^{\alpha + \gamma + u + v} z_2'^{u - l} z_2^l.
\]
(2.36)

Applying the substitutions \( u = r + l \) and \( v = s - l \) to (2.36) we get (2.35) \( \equiv (2.36) \), i.e.
\[
Y(Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0)b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2)c(z) \otimes t^\gamma \equiv Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0 + z_2)Y(b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2q^{\alpha})c(z) \otimes t^\gamma.
\]
Finally, since \( r_0 \) and \( s_0 \) were arbitrary nonnegative integers, we conclude
\[
Y(Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0)b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2)c(z) \otimes t^\gamma = Y(a(z) \otimes t^\alpha, z_0 + z_2)Y(b(z) \otimes t^\beta, z_2q^{\alpha})c(z) \otimes t^\gamma.
\]

**Lemma 2.13.** Let \( V \) be a maximal quasi-commutative subspace of \( \mathcal{E}(L)_t \). Then

(a) \( V \) is closed.
(b) \( V \) contains 1.
(c) If \( \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i} \in V \), then \( a_i(z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i} \in V \) for \( i = 1, 2, ..., N \).
(d) \( V \) is a graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebra.

**Proof.** Statement (a) follows from Lemma 2.8. Maximality of \( V \), together with Corollary 2.7, implies (b). Statement (c) is a consequence of the maximality of \( V \) and Definition 2.3.
Finally, let \( V(a) \) be a subspace of \( V \) consisting of all homogeneous elements \( a(z) \otimes t^a \in V \).
Lemma 2.12, Statements (a)–(c) and Corollary 2.7 imply (d).

The following theorem is the main result in this section.
Recall definitions of the vertex operator map and operator products given by Definition 2.13. The grading is defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.13. Therefore, we can construct $V$ as the intersection of all graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebras containing $\mathcal{S}$, whose grading is defined as in the proof of Lemma 2.13.

Let us prove (2.37). Denote the right-hand side in (2.37) by $R$. It is evident that $R \subseteq V$. By (v6) (cf. also (2.3)) $R$ is closed and by Lemma 2.8 $R$ is quasi-commutative. Therefore, since $1 \in R$, by Lemma 2.12, $R$ is graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra (notice that grading restrictions (v0) and (v5) hold because $\mathcal{S}$ consists of homogeneous elements). By construction, $V$ is the smallest graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra containing $\mathcal{S}$, so $V \subseteq R$. □

Remark 2.15. By taking $r = s = -1$ in (2.3) we get $(a_{-1}b)_{-1}c = a_{-1}(b_{-1}c)$ for vertex operator products given by Definition 2.4. Hence the graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra $V$ constructed in Theorem 2.14 becomes a unital associative algebra with product being defined by $a \cdot b = a_{-1}b$ for all $a, b \in V$.

2.3. Examples. Consider the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by Definition 1.3, when $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra of type $ADE^{(1)}$ and $\hat{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^n$ is its Cartan matrix. Let $L$ be a restricted $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$-module. Set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{ x_i^+(z) \otimes 1 : i = 1, 2, ..., n \} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(L)_t \quad \text{and} \quad q = q^2.$$ 

Recall definitions of the vertex operator map $Y$ and the vacuum vector $1$ in (2.9) and (2.10).

Theorem 2.16. There exists a unique smallest graded nonlocal $q$-vertex algebra $(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, Y, 1)$ which contains $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, $(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle)$ is spanned by

$$\{ (x_i^+(z) \otimes 1)_{r_1} \cdots (x_m^+(z) \otimes 1)_{r_m} 1 : 1 \leq i_j \leq n, r_j < 0, j = 1, ..., m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}.$$ 

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that every finite sequence in $\mathcal{S}$ is quasi-commutative. Then, we can apply Theorem 2.14. First, by considering relations (d8) and (d10) we see that every pair in $\mathcal{S}$ is quasi-commutative. Note that for $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$ relation (d8) gives us

$$(z_1 - z_2)x_i^+(z_1)x_j^+(z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)x_j^+(z_2)x_i^+(z_1),$$

so we have to use (d10) to establish quasi-commutativity.

The rest of the proof goes analogously as the proof of [L1, Lemma 3.2]. Assume that every sequence of length $m$ in $\mathcal{S}$ is quasi-commutative. We shall prove that every sequence of length $m + 1$ in $\mathcal{S}$ is quasi-commutative, so the statement will follow by induction. Consider the sequence $(a_1(z), ..., a_{m+1}(z))$ in $\mathcal{S}$. Let $p_1(z), p_2(z), p_5(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z_0], p_4(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z]$ be polynomials satisfying

$$p_1(z_1/z_2) a_1(z_1) a_2(z_2) = p_4(z_1/z_2)a_2(z_2)a_1(z_1) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2))); \quad (2.38)$$

$$P_2(z_2, ..., z_{m+1})a_3(z_2) \cdots a_{m+1}(z_{m+1}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_2, ..., z_{m+1}))); \quad (2.39)$$

$$P_3(z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1})a_1(z_1)a_3(z_3) \cdots a_{m+1}(z_{m+1}) \in \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1}))); \quad (2.40)$$
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where

\[ P_2(z_2, ..., z_{m+1}) = \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq m+1} p_2(z_j/z_i), \quad P_3(z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1 \atop i, j \neq 3} p_3(z_j/z_i). \]

Of course, existence of polynomials \( p_1(z) \) and \( p_4(z) \) satisfying (2.38) is a consequence of (d8) and (d10). Using (2.38)–(2.40) we get

\[ p_1(z_2/z_1)P_2(z_2, ..., z_{m+1})P_3(z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1})a_1(z_1)a_2(z_2)a_3(z_3) ... a_{m+1}(z_{m+1}) = p_1(z_2/z_1)P_2(z_2, ..., z_{m+1})P_3(z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1})a_2(z_2)a_1(z_1)a_3(z_3) ... a_{m+1}(z_{m+1}) \]

(2.41)

Since (2.41) is an element of \( \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1))((z_2, z_3, ..., z_{m+1}))) \) and (2.42) is an element of \( \text{Hom}(L, L((z_2))((z_1, z_3, ..., z_{m+1}))) \), we conclude that the both sides are contained in \( \text{Hom}(L, L((z_1, z_2, z_3, ..., z_{m+1}))) \). Since \( p_1(z), p_2(z), p_3(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z]_0 \), the statement follows by induction.

Naturally, there is an analogous result for negative current operators. Set

\[ T = \{ x_i^- (z) \otimes 1 : i = 1, 2, ..., n \} \subset \mathcal{E}(L)_t \quad \text{and} \quad q = q^{-2}. \]

**Corollary 2.17.** There exists a unique smallest graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebra \((\langle T \rangle, Y, 1)\) which contains \( T \). Furthermore, \( \langle T \rangle \) is spanned by

\[ \{(x_i^- (z) \otimes 1, r_1) \cdots (x_m^- (z) \otimes 1, r_m) 1 : 1 \leq i_j \leq n, r_j < 0, j = 1, ..., m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \]

By repeating the proof of Theorem 2.16 almost verbatim, one can prove:

**Corollary 2.18.** For every \( (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \) in \( (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \) there exists a unique smallest graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebra \((V, Y, 1), q = q^{\pm 2}\), generated by the set

\[ \{ x_i^\pm (z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i} : i = 1, 2, ..., n \} \subset \mathcal{E}(L)_t. \]

Furthermore, \( V \) is spanned by

\[ \{(x_i^\pm (z) \otimes t^{\alpha_i}, r_1) \cdots (x_m^\pm (z) \otimes t^{\alpha_m}, r_m) 1 : 1 \leq i_j \leq n, r_j < 0, j = 1, ..., m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}. \]

**Remark 2.19.** In this paper, we are mainly concerned with the vertex algebraic structures arising from \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) \). Even though the construction of a much broader class of graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebras was here presented, the role of these examples was to clarify the application of Theorem 2.14. The case \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \), which is studied in the next section, gives rise to the structures whose character formulae greatly resemble those from the classical theory. However, the definition of vertex operator products for \( \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \), which would provide the analogous correspondence, might require the use of bigger spaces \( \mathcal{E}(L) \otimes F[[t_1, ..., t_n]] \) in a similar vein as in [Ko2].

3. **Graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebras for \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) \)**

3.1. **Quasi-particles.** In this section, we consider quantum affine algebra \( U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2) \). Let \( c \geq 1 \) and

\[ L = (L_0)^{\otimes c} = (M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C} \{Q\})^{\otimes c}. \]

In the rest of this paper, we will denote the operator \( x_i^+(z) \in \mathcal{E}(L) \) by \( x(z) \) and the operator \( \phi_1(z) \in \mathcal{E}(L) \) by \( \phi(z) \). By Corollary 2.18 for every \( n \geq 0 \) the operator \( x(z) \otimes t^n \in \mathcal{E}(L)_t \) generates a graded nonlocal \( q \)-vertex algebra, which will be denoted by \( V_{c,n} \). Our goal is
to construct monomial bases for $V_{c,1}$ and, consequently, obtain corresponding character formulae. The main building blocks of basis elements will be quasi-particles.

**Definition 3.1.** For a positive integer $m$ we call the operator

$$x_{m,n}(z,t) = \left( (x(z) \otimes t^n)_{-1} (x(z) \otimes t^n)_{-1} \ldots (x(z) \otimes t^n)_{-1} \right)_m 1 \in V_{c,n}$$

a quasi-particle of charge $m$.

**Proposition 3.2.** For all $m, k \geq 1, n \geq 0$ we have in $V_{c,n}$

$$x_{m,n}(z,t)_{-1} x_{k,n}(z,t) = x_{m+k,n}(z,t). \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** The statement is a consequence of Remark 2.15. \hfill \Box

**Remark 3.3.** Definition 3.1 and Equality (3.1) coincide with the properties of the original quasi-particle operators for the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras (cf. [FS], [G], [Bu2]). Also, in [Ko1], (quantum) quasi-particle operators for quantum affine algebra $U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$,

$$x_{ma_1}^+(z) := \lim_{z_j \to z^{q^j}} \prod_{r=1}^{m-1} \prod_{s=r+1}^{m} \left( 1 - q^2 \frac{z_s}{z_r} \right) x(z_1) \ldots x(z_m) \in E(L), \quad (3.2)$$

were considered. Using quantum integrability (1.9) it was proved that

$$x_{ma_1}^+(z) = 0 \text{ on any level } c < m \text{ integrable highest weight module.} \quad (3.3)$$

From now on, we shall consider only quasi-particles $x_{m,1}(z,t) \in V_{c,1}$. In order to simplify our notation we will denote $x_{m,1}(z,t)$ by $x_m(z,t)$.

**Proposition 3.4.** For $m \geq 1$ we have

$$x_m(z,t) = 0 \text{ in } V_{c,1} \text{ if and only if } m > c.$$ 

**Proof.** Denote by $x_m(z) \in E(L)$ the left tensor factor of the element in $E(L)_t = E(L) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[t]$ which is obtained by setting $t = 1$ in $x_m(z,t)$. More precisely,

$$x_m(z) \otimes 1 = (x_m(z,t)) \big|_{t=1}.$$

The operator $x_m(z)$ is equal, up to a nonzero multiplicative factor, to the operator $x_{ma_1}^+(z)$ in (3.2). Furthermore, (3.3) (see [Ko1, Proposition 16]) implies that $x_m(z,t) = 0$ if $m > c$. If $m \leq c$, [Ko1, Theorem 38] provides a basis for a certain subspace of $L$. The basis consists of the monomials of the operator’s $x_m(z)$ coefficients acting on a certain vector $v \in L$. This implies that the operator $x_m(z)$ has some nonzero coefficients, hence $x_m(z,t) \neq 0$. \hfill \Box

### 3.2. Basis for $V_{c,1}$

**Corollary 2.18 implies**

**Proposition 3.5.** The set

$$S_{c,1} = \left\{ x_1(z,t)_{r_1} x_1(z,t)_{r_2} \ldots x_1(z,t)_{r_k} 1 : r_j < 0, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

spans $V_{c,1}$.

By setting $r = -1$ in (2.3) we get

$$(a_{-1}b)_s c = \sum_{t \geq 0} q^{-u(s+t+1)} a_{-1-t}(b_{s+t}c) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.4)$$
where \( a \in (V_{c,1})_u \) for some integer \( u \geq 0 \) and \( b, c \in V_{c,1} \). Rewriting (3.4) we get
\[
\bar{q}^{-u(s+1)}a_{-1}(b,c) = - \sum_{l \geq 1} \bar{q}^{-u(s+l+1)}a_{-1-l}(b_{s+c}) + (a_{-1}b)_sc.
\] (3.5)

Formula (3.5) and Proposition 3.2 imply that an element \( x_1(z, t)r_1 \ldots x_1(z, t)r_k \mathbf{1} \) of \( S_{c,1} \) satisfying \( r_1, \ldots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1} < -1 \) and \( r_j = -1 \) for some \( j < k \) can be written as a linear combination of the summands
\[
x_1(z, t)r_1 \ldots x_1(z, t)r_{j-1}x_1(z, t)s_j \ldots x_1(z, t)s_k \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{for some } s_j, \ldots, s_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ s_j < -1,
\]
and the summand
\[
x_1(z, t)r_1 \ldots x_1(z, t)r_{j-1}x_1(z, t)r_{j+1}x_1(z, t)r_{j+2} \ldots x_1(z, t)r_k \mathbf{1}.
\]

Applying such a procedure an appropriate number of times on every element of \( S_{c,1} \) and using Proposition 3.2, we can construct a spanning set for \( V_{c,1} \), which consists of the elements
\[
x_{m_1}(z, t)r_1x_{m_2}(z, t)r_2 \ldots x_{m_k}(z, t)r_k \mathbf{1} \quad \text{such that } \text{if } r_j = -1 \text{ then } r_{j+1} = \ldots = r_k = -1,
\] (3.6)
where \( r_j < 0, m_j \geq 1, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \). Finally, Propositions 3.2 and 3.4 imply

**Proposition 3.6.** The set
\[
B_{c,1} = \{ x_{m_1}(z, t)r_1x_{m_2}(z, t)r_2 \ldots x_{m_k}(z, t)r_k \mathbf{1} : \ r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \leq -2, r_k \leq -1, 1 \leq m_j \leq c, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \} \quad (3.7)
\]
spans \( V_{c,1} \).

Our next goal is to prove that the set \( B_{c,1} \) is linearly independent. The space \( L_0 = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C} \{ Q \} \) is an \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}) \)-module, so the space \( L = (L_0)^{\otimes c} \) has also a \( U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}) \)-module structure given by Drinfeld’s coproduct formula, as explained in Section 1.2. For an element
\[
a(z, t) = x_{m_1}(z, t)r_1x_{m_2}(z, t)r_2 \ldots x_{m_k}(z, t)r_k \mathbf{1} \in B_{c,1} \quad (3.8)
\]
define a \( c \)-tuple
\[
r_{a(z, t)} = (r^{(1)}, r^{(2)}, \ldots, r^{(c)}),
\]
where \( r^{(j)} \) denotes the number of indices \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) such that \( m_i \geq j \). Define the following subspaces of \( L_0 \):
\[
(L_0)_s = \{ v \in L_0 : Kv = q^{2s}v \}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]
Then \( L_0 = \bigsqcup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} (L_0)_s \) and we have Georgiev’s projection ([G], cf. also [Ko1])
\[
\pi_{a(z, t)} : (L_0)^{\otimes c} \rightarrow (L_0)_{r^{(1)}} \otimes (L_0)_{r^{(2)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (L_0)_{r^{(c)}}.
\]
The mapping \( \pi_{a(z, t)} \) can be generalized to the space of the formal Laurent series with coefficients in \( (L_0)^{\otimes c} \), so we can consider the projection
\[
\pi_{a(z, t)} : (L_0)^{\otimes c}[[z_{\pm 1}^1, z_{\pm 1}^2, \ldots]] \rightarrow ((L_0)_{r^{(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes (L_0)_{r^{(c)}})[[z_{\pm 1}^1, z_{\pm 1}^2, \ldots]].
\]

The operator \( a(z, t) \) in (3.8) can be expressed as a linear combination of the monomials
\[
A_{j_1, k_1, m_1}(z, t) - 1 A_{j_2, k_2, m_2}(z, t) - 1 \cdots A_{j_{k-1}, k_{k-1}, m_{k-1}}(z, t) - 1 A_{j_k, k_k, m_k}(z, t),
\] (3.9)
where
\[
A_{j, k, m}(z, t) = (z^{r_j+1} \otimes t^{-r_j-1}) x(z^a_{M_j+l_j} t_{-1}) x(z^a_{M_j+l_j+1} t_{-1}) \ldots x(z^a_{M_j+l_j+m_j-1} t_{-1}) \mathbf{1},
\]
\( l_j = 0, 1, \ldots, -r_j - 1, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \ M_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} (-r_i - 1). \) (3.10)

All the summands in a such linear combination have the same degree \( \deg_t \) in variable \( t \).

The operator
\[
A_{1,0,m_1}^r (z, t) - 1 A_{1,0,m_2}^r (z, t) - 1 \cdots A_{k-1,0,m_k-1}^r (z, t) - 1 A_{k,0,m_k}^r (z, t)
\]
will be called the leading term of \( a(z, t) \).

**Lemma 3.7.** The leading term of any operator \( a(z, t) \in B_{c,1} \) is nonzero.

**Proof.** Using the analogous arguments as in the proof of (2.33) one can show that leading term (3.11) of the operator \( a(z, t) \) in (3.8) can be written as
\[
A(z, t) = \lim_{z,j,s \to z} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq m_i} p(z, j, s) \right)
\]
for some polynomial \( p(z) \in \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z] \). Applying \( A(z, t) \) on the vector \( 1 = 1^\otimes \in (L_0)^{\otimes c} = L \) and setting \( t = 1 \) we get an element of \( L((z)) \):
\[
\lim_{z,j,s \to z} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq m_i} p(z, j, s) \right)
\]
\[
\cdot k \left( z_{j}^{r_1+1} x(z_{j,1}^{M_j}) x(z_{j,2}^{M_j+1}) \cdots x(z_{j,m_{j}}^{M_j+m_{j}-1}) \right) 1. \quad (3.13)
\]

Recall the notation in (1.6) and (1.7). By applying the projection \( \pi_{a(z, t)} \) on (3.13) we get the Laurent series with coefficients in \( L = (L_0)^{\otimes c} \),
\[
\lim_{z,j,s \to z} \left( \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq m_i} p(z, j, s) \right)
\]
\[
\cdot k \left( z_{j}^{r_1+1} x^{(m_j)}(z_{j,1}^{M_j}) x^{(m_j-1)}(z_{j,2}^{M_j+1}) \cdots x^{(1)}(z_{j,m_{j}}^{M_j+m_{j}-1}) \right) 1. \quad (3.14)
\]

Formula (3.14) follows from [Ko1, Lemma 18] (cf. also [DF]). Roughly speaking, the projection \( \pi_{a(z, t)} \) forces the operators \( x(z_{j,1}^{M_j}), \ldots, x(z_{j,m_{j}}^{M_j+m_{j}-1}) \), \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), appearing in (3.13) to spread along the \( m_j \) leftmost tensor factors of \( (L_0)^{\otimes c} \) in the ordering as above, i.e.
\[
x(z_{j,1}^{M_j}, t) \text{ appears on the tensor factor } m_j;
\]
\[
x(z_{j,2}^{M_j+1}, t) \text{ appears on the tensor factor } m_j - 1;
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
x(z_{j,m_{j}}^{M_j+m_{j}-1}, t) \text{ appears on the tensor factor } 1.
\]
For more details the reader may consult [Ko1, Subsection 4.1].

Consider the expression under the limit in (3.14). Formulas (1.6), (1.7), (1.10) and (1.11) imply that its every tensor factor consists (up to a nonzero multiplicative scalar) of the operators positioned in the following order:

\[ \phi(\cdot) \cdot \phi(\cdot) : x(\cdot) \cdot x(\cdot) \cdot z, \]

(with the appropriate arguments) and multiplied by some polynomials in \( \mathbb{C}(q^{1/2})[z_{j,s}/z_{i,r}] \). Hence, the limit \( \lim_{z_{j,s} \rightarrow z} \) of each tensor factor, when applied on \( 1 \in L_0 \), is nonzero, so the statement of Lemma clearly follows.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.8.** The set of all nonzero monomials

\[ A_{1,i_1,m_1}(z,t) - A_{2,i_2,m_2}(z,t) - \cdots - A_{k-1,i_{k-1},m_{k-1}}(z,t) + A_{k,i_k,m_k}(z,t), \]

(3.15)

\[ r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \leq -2, \quad r_k \leq -1, \quad 0 \leq l_j \leq -r_j - 1, \quad 1 \leq m_j \leq c, \quad j = 1, \ldots, k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \]

is linearly independent.

**Proof.** Assume that nonzero monomials in (3.15) are not linearly independent. Let

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i A_i(z,t) = 0 \]

be a linear combination of the given nonzero monomials

\[ A_i(z,t) = A_{1,i_1,m_1}(z,t) - A_{2,i_2,m_2}(z,t) - \cdots - A_{k-1,i_{k-1},m_{k-1}}(z,t) + A_{k,i_k,m_k}(z,t), \]

where

\[ r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \leq -2, \quad r_k \leq -1, \quad 0 \leq l_j \leq -r_j - 1, \quad 1 \leq m_j \leq c, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \]

such that all the scalars \( \gamma_i \) are nonzero and \( n > 1 \) is minimal. Set \( C_i = m_{1,i} + \cdots + m_{k,i} \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Applying the operator \( q^a \otimes \cdots \otimes q^a \) on (3.16) we get

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{2C_i} \gamma_i A_i(z,t) = 0. \]

Since \( n \) was minimal, this implies \( C_i = C_j \) for all \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). We will denote \( C_j \) by \( C \).

Set

\[ D_i = C - r_{1,i} - \ldots - r_{k,i} - k_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \]

(3.17)

Then \( \deg_j A_i(z,t) = D_i \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Without loss of generality we can assume that \( D_1 \leq D_2 \leq \ldots \leq D_n \). Multiplying (3.16) by \( t^{-D_1} \), setting \( t = 0 \) and then multiplying the resulting equality by \( t^{D_1} \) we get another linear constraint among \( A_i(z,t) \). If \( D_i \neq D_j \) for some \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), this gives a contradiction to minimality of \( n \). Therefore, we can assume that \( D_i = D_j \) for all \( i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), i.e. \( \deg_{\tau} A_i(z,t) = \deg_{\tau} A_j(z,t) \).

Every \( A_i(z,t) \) can be expanded as in (3.12):

\[ A_i(z,t) = \lim_{z_{j,s} \rightarrow z} \left( \prod_{1 \leq u \leq v \leq k} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq m_r} p_t(z_{u,v}/z_{u,r}) \right) \]
\[
\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \left( (z^{r_{j,i}+1} t^{-r_{j,i}-1}) x(z_j, t) \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \left( (z^{r_{j,i}+1} t^{-r_{j,i}-1}) x(z_j, t) \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \left( (z^{r_{j,i}+1} t^{-r_{j,i}-1}) x(z_j, t) \right) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k_i} \left( (z^{r_{j,i}+1} t^{-r_{j,i}-1}) x(z_j, t) \right)
\]

where \( A_i(z, t) \) and \( M_i(z, t) \) are defined in \( 3.18 \).

We can assume that the monomials \( A_i(z, t) \) are different. Consider the powers of \( q \) in the arguments of the operators \( x(\ ) \) in \( 3.18 \),

\[
q^{M_{j,i}+l_{j,i}}, q^{M_{j,i}+l_{j,i}+1}, \ldots, q^{M_{j,i}+l_{j,i}+m_{j,i}-1}.
\]

There exists an integer \( p \) such that \( q^p \) appears in \( 3.19 \) for \( i = n \) and does not appear in \( 3.19 \) for \( i = 1 \). Define the (invertible) operators \( E_c^\pm(z) \) on \( (L_0)^{\otimes c} \) by

\[
E_c^\pm(z) = E_-(z q^p)^{\pm 1} \otimes E_-(z q^{p+1})^{\pm 1} \otimes \cdots \otimes E_-(z q^{p+c-1})^{\pm 1}.
\]

Recall \( 1.12 \) and \( 1.13 \). Applying these operators on \( 3.16 \) we get

\[
0 = E_c^{-1}(z) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i A_i(z, t) \right) E_c(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i E_c^{-1}(z) A_i(z, t) E_c(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i \beta_i A_i(z, t),
\]

for some scalars \( \beta_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), such that \( \beta_1 \neq 0 \) and \( \beta_n = 0 \), which is in contradiction to minimality of \( n \).

As we observed earlier, the elements \( a(z, t), b(z, t) \in B_{c,1} \) can be expressed as linear combinations of monomials \( 3.9 \). Certain summands in such linear combinations for \( a(z, t) \) and \( b(z, t) \) may coincide. However, each element in \( B_{c,1} \) is uniquely determined by its leading term; \( a(z, t) \) and \( b(z, t) \) are equal if and only if their leading terms are equal. Notice that Lemmas \( 3.7 \) and \( 3.8 \) imply that all the elements in \( B_{c,1} \) are nonzero.

We can introduce a linear ordering among leading terms. Let

\[
A(z, t) = A_{t,0,m_1}(z, t) \cdots A_{t,0,m_k}(z, t) \quad \text{and} \quad B(z, t) = B_{t,0,n_1}(z, t) \cdots B_{t,0,n_l}(z, t).
\]

We write

\[
A(z, t) \prec B(z, t) \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{k} (m_j - r_j) - k < \sum_{j=1}^{l} (n_j - s_j) - l
\]

or

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{k} (m_j - r_j) - k = \sum_{j=1}^{l} (n_j - s_j) - l \quad \text{and} \quad (m_1, r_1, m_2, r_2, \ldots, m_k, r_k) \prec (n_1, s_1, n_2, s_2, \ldots, n_l, s_l),
\]

where \( \prec \) in \( 3.20 \) is the usual lexicographic ordering.

**Lemma 3.9.** The set \( B_{c,1} \) is linearly independent.

**Proof.** Let

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i a_i(z, t) = 0
\]

be a linear combination of different elements \( a_i(z, t) \in B_{c,1} \) such that the scalars \( \gamma_i \) are nonzero. Suppose that the leading term of \( a_j(z, t) \) is greater, regarding the ordering \( \prec \),
implies that the restriction of the evaluation homomorphism and holds. Moreover, the leading terms and Lemma 3.16 following way:

for all $a(z,t)$, $i \neq j$, as a linear combination of summands (3.9), none of these summands equals the leading term of $a_j(z,t)$. Therefore, Lemma 3.8 implies $\gamma_j = 0$, which is in contradiction to our choice of scalars. □

Finally, the main result of this section follows from Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.9:

**Theorem 3.10.** The set $\mathcal{B}_{c,1}$ forms a basis for $V_{c,1}$.

The fact that we considered $\mathcal{E}(L)_i$ instead of $\mathcal{E}(L)$ did not affect the form of the basis $\mathcal{B}_{c,1}$, i.e. the size of the space $V_{c,1}$:

**Corollary 3.11.** The set $\{a(z,t) \mid t = 1 : (z,t) \in \mathcal{B}_{c,1}\}$ is linearly independent.

**Proof.** By checking the proofs of Lemmas 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9, we see that all the arguments except one remain valid for $a(z,t) \mid t = 1$. In the proof of Lemma 3.8, we considered linear combination (3.16), $\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i A_i(z,t) = 0$ and showed that we can assume that all the elements $D_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, defined by (3.17), are equal. Even though such a conclusion does not hold for $t = 1$, we can always derive from (3.16) a new linear combination, $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j(z)B_j(z,t) = 0$, where $\beta_j(z)$ are nonzero polynomials in $z^{-1}$, $B_j(z,t) \neq B_k(z,t)z^l$ for all $j, k = 1, 2, ..., m$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \neq k$ and $B_j(z,t) \in \{A_1(z,t), A_2(z,t), ..., A_n(z,t)\}$ for all $j = 1, ..., m$. Note that $\beta_j(z)B_j(z,t) \neq 0$ for all $j = 1, ..., m$. Now, with this linear combination, we can proceed in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. □

Corollary 3.11 implies that the restriction of the evaluation homomorphism $\varphi: a(z) \otimes g(t) \mapsto a(z) \otimes g(1)$ on $V_{c,1}$ is injective, so $\varphi: V_{c,1} \rightarrow V_c$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces $V_{c,1}$ and $V_c = \text{Im} \varphi$. Hence, we can define the structure of graded nonlocal $\mathfrak{q}$-vertex algebra on $V_c \subset \mathcal{E}(L)$ in the following way:

$$Y(a(z), z_0)b(z) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(a(z)), r \varphi^{-1}(b(z)))z^{-r-1}; \quad (3.21)$$

$$1 = 1_{\mathcal{E}(L)}; \quad (3.22)$$

$$(V_c)_s = \varphi((V_{c,1})_s) \quad (3.23)$$

for all $a(z), b(z) \in V_c$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $x(z)$ is an element of $V_c$, so, in view of (3.21)–(3.23), we can say that $V_c$ is the graded nonlocal $\mathfrak{q}$-vertex algebra generated by $x(z)$.

**Remark 3.12.** It is not clear whether the techniques, which we used to prove the linear independence of the set $\mathcal{B}_{c,n}$ for $c \geq 1$, $n = 1$, can be applied to the case $c > 1$, $n = 0$. The similar spanning set for $V_{c,0}$ can be easily constructed, but some of its elements have zero leading terms and, furthermore, some different elements have equal (nonzero) leading terms.

Recall the notation from Definition 3.1. The case $c = 1$, $n = 0$ was solved in [Ko3] using the slightly different vertex algebraic setting. The results therein imply that the set

$$\mathcal{B}_{1,0} = \{x_{1,0}(z,t)r_1, x_{1,0}(z,t)r_2 \ldots x_{1,0}(z,t)r_k : r_1, ..., r_k \leq -3, r_k \leq -1, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

forms a basis for $V_{1,0}$ and that the analogue of Corollary 3.11 holds. Moreover, the leading terms of $\varphi(\mathcal{B}_{1,0})$ and $\varphi(\mathcal{B}_{1,1})$ coincide up to a nonzero multiplicative factor.
Figure 1. Diagram for \( \mathbf{b}(z, t) = x_7(z, t) - 3x_4(z, t) - 2x_5(z, t) - 2x_6(z, t) - 1 \)

On the other hand, construction of similar bases for \( c \geq 1, n > 1 \) is straightforward since, due to the definition of the vertex operator products, quantum integrability relations (1.9) are not applicable anymore. The set

\[
B_{c,n} = \{ x_{m_1,n}(z,t)r_1x_{m_2,n}(z,t)r_2 \cdots x_{m_k,n}(z,t)r_k : r_1, \ldots, r_{k-1} \leq -2, r_k \leq -1, m_j \geq 1, j = 1, \ldots, k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \}
\]

forms a basis of \( V_{c,n} \) and its linear independence can be proved by using the arguments from Lemma 3.8.

3.3. Character formulae. Let

\[
a(z, t) = x_{m_1}(z, t)r_1x_{m_2}(z, t)r_2 \cdots x_{m_k}(z, t)r_k \in B_{c,1}
\]

be an arbitrary basis element. We can associate a colored Young diagram with \( a(z, t) \) in the following way. The diagram will have \( k \) columns: the first column will have \( -(r_1 + \cdots + r_k) \) rows, the second column will have \( -(r_2 + \cdots + r_k) \) rows, ..., the last column will have \( -r_k \) rows. Boxes in column \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) will be labeled by \( m_j \).

For the basis element \( a(z, t) \) in (3.24) set

\[
\deg a(z,t) = -(r_1 + 2r_2 + 3r_3 + \cdots + kr_k).
\]

Note that \( \deg a(z,t) \) is equal to the number of boxes in the diagram for \( a(z, t) \). Next, define \( \deg 1 = 0 \).

Example 1. The diagram for the basis element

\[
b(z, t) = x_7(z, t) - 3x_4(z, t) - 2x_5(z, t) - 2x_6(z, t) - 1 \in B_{c,1}
\]

is given in Figure 1. Of course, we assume here that \( c \geq 7 \). The degree \( \deg a(z, t) \) equals 17.

The space \( V_{c,1} \) admits a decomposition

\[
V_{c,1} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} (V_{c,1})_r, \quad (V_{c,1})_r = \{ a(z, t) \in V_{c,1} : \deg a(z, t) = r \}.
\]
and all the subspaces \((V_{c,1})_r\) are finite-dimensional. Hence, we can define

\[
\text{ch}_q V_{c,1} = \sum_{r \geq 0} \dim(V_{c,1})_r q^r.
\]

Naturally, parameters \(q\) and \(\bar{q}\) in the above formula are not related.

For the basis element \(a(z,t)\) in (3.24) and \(j = 1, 2, ..., k\) set

\[
a_j(z,t) = x_{m_j}(z,t) r_j x_{m_{j+1}}(z,t) r_{j+1} \cdots x_{m_k}(z,t) r_k \ 1 \in B_{c,1};
\]

\[
a_{k+1}(z,t) = 1 \in B_{c,1}.
\]

Notice that the defining conditions for the basis \(B_{c,1}\) in (3.7),

\[
r_j \leq -2, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, ..., k - 1; \tag{3.26}
\]

\[
r_k \leq -1 \tag{3.27}
\]

can be expressed in terms of \(\text{deg}_q\) by

\[
\left(\text{deg}_q a_{j+1}(z,t) - \text{deg}_q a_{j+2}(z,t)\right) - \left(\text{deg}_q a_{j}(z,t) - \text{deg}_q a_{j+1}(z,t)\right) \leq -2
\]

\[
\text{for all } j = 1, ..., k - 1; \tag{3.28}
\]

\[
\text{deg}_q a_k(z,t) \leq -1. \tag{3.29}
\]

**Theorem 3.13.**

\[
\text{ch}_q V_{c,1} = \sum_{r \geq 0} q^{r^2} (1 - q)(1 - q^2) \cdots (1 - q^r) c^r. \tag{3.30}
\]

**Proof.** Let \(c = 1\). Coefficient of \(q^n\) in the \(r\)th summand \(q^{r^2} (1 - q)^{-1} \cdots (1 - q^r)^{-1}\) equals the number of partitions of \(n\) into \(r\) parts such that the difference among consecutive parts is at least two. Therefore, equivalence of (3.26), (3.27) and (3.28), (3.29) implies that the character formula (3.30) holds for \(c = 1\). For an arbitrary level \(c\), the basis \(B_{c,1}\) consists of monomials of quasi-particles, which have charges \(1, 2, ..., c\) and satisfy the same conditions (3.28) and (3.29), while the factor \(c^r\) in (3.30) counts all the possible choices of charges in a monomial consisting of \(r\) quasi-particles. \(\square\)

**Remark 3.14.** In contrast to the function \(\text{deg}_q\), defined in (3.25), the original grading for \(V_{c,1}\), which is given by the function \(\text{wt}\) in (2.4), depends on the labels \(m_1, ..., m_k\) of the element \(a(z,t)\) in (3.24). Defining conditions (3.26) and (3.27) can be expressed in terms of \(\text{wt}\) by

\[
\text{wt} a_j(z,t) - \text{wt} a_{j+1}(z,t) \geq m_j + 1 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, ..., k - 1;
\]

\[
\text{wt} a_k(z,t) \geq 1.
\]

Furthermore, the value \(\text{wt} a(z,t)\) can be easily obtained from the corresponding diagram:

\[
\text{wt} a(z,t) = (\text{number of rows}) - (\text{number of columns}) + (\text{sum of labels}).
\]

For example (see Figure 1),

\[
\text{wt} b(z,t) = 8 - 4 + (7 + 4 + 5 + 6) = 26.
\]
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