Abstract

Pretreatment technologies have been developed to increase the bioconversion rate of biomass into fermentable sugar. The objective of this research was to investigate the effect of extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection at different melt temperatures 95, 115 and 135°C on functional properties, ethanol content and conversion (%) of corn starch extrudates. Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ATCC 24858) was used for ethanol production. In the present study, significant increase in ethanol production was achieved by the injection of thermostable α-amylase during extrusion process at melt temperature 115°C. The data clearly showed that thermostable α-amylase injection gave significantly increased (p<0.05) ethanol content at melt temperature 115°C from fermentation period from 24 to 48 hr. Industrial bio-ethanol production by direct fermentation following extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection and omitting the saccharification step will be very effective in reducing ethanol production costs in countries like U.S. Therefore, ethanol production from extruded corn starch with thermostable α-amylase injection is a significant finding that could be applied to improve bioconversion rate for ethanol production.
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Introduction

Starch-containing crops form an important constituent of the human diet. Besides the use of the starch-containing plant parts directly as a food sources, it is used as chemical or enzymatic processes into a variety of different products such as starch hydrolysates, glucose syrups, fructose, starch of maltodextrin derivatives, or cyclodextrins. Only few plants are able to produce industrial starch. The major industrial starch sources are maize, tapioca, potato, and wheat. Sugar sources such as starch and cellulose are potential candidates for ethanol production, biodiesel, and organic chemicals. Ethanol is produced directly as a food sources, it is used as chemical or enzymatic processes during fermentation substrate preparation. barley starch was liquefied using Bacillus licheniforms α-amylase in a twin-screw extruder and then the liquefied syrup was saccharified using Aspergillus niger glucoamylase [4]. Saccharification time can be reduced when starch is pre-treated using extrusion liquefaction technology [5]. The enzymatic hydrolysis provides many advantages over acid hydrolysis and is an important industrial process that consists of three steps: gelatinization, liquefaction and saccharification. In industry, a jet cooker is used to gelatinize starch by mixing the starch slurry with steam under pressure at 100-175°C [6]. Usually, thermostable α-amylase is used by mixing with starch before passing through the jet cooker [7]. Industrial gelatinization process in a jet cooker is usually carried out with 30-35% dry solids starch slurry. Increasing the substrate concentration during the enzymatic hydrolysis can yield higher productivity, and higher enzyme stability [8,9]. However, when the starch concentration increases, the temperature required to increase for complete gelatinization [10]. Moreover, the viscosity of the starch slurry increases with increasing starch content and this complicates further processing. Conventional jet cookers cannot be used anymore at high substrate concentrations due to the increased viscosity. Since the gelatinization temperature increases, addition of the enzyme during the gelatinization process is unfavorable, because it can lead to enzyme inactivation. A different process is therefore needed to handle more concentrated starch slurries. Therefore, extrusion enzyme liquefaction appears to be suitable for this purpose. Biomass pretreatment is critically important for cost-effective hydrolysis and
fermentation of feed stock into ethanol. Chemical (acid and alkali) pretreatments are expensive, require chemical resistant reactors and produce hydrolysates products that inhibit the subsequent fermentation process. One of the major problems in the production of ethanol is the amount of energy required in the conversion of starch to fermentable sugars, particularly during the gelatinization and liquefaction processes. The gelatinization of starch consumes up to 30% of the total energy needed for alcohol fermentation [11]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop environment-friendly, cost-effective and highly efficient enzymatic hydrolysis process for economic ethanol production [12].

Yeung [13] reported that dextrose equivalent (DE) of extruded barley flour with thermostable a-amylase injection decreased at 120 and 140°C due to inactivation of a-amylase at these temperatures. Furthermore, the efficiency of enzyme action was found to be decreased at temperature below 80°C (due to a low energy of activation) and beyond 150°C (due to enzyme denaturation). In view of these limitations, there is a continuing demand to improve the stability of enzymes to meet the requirement for specific application. Therefore, this study was conducted in a twin-extruder with a lower enzyme concentration (less than 1%) to determine the effect of thermostable a-amylase injection at different melt temperatures 95, 115 and 135°C on functional properties and the production of ethanol from extruded corn starch with thermostable a-amylase injection.

Materials and Methods

Materials and chemicals
Corn starch provided by Samyang Genex Co. (Korea) was used for extrusion. The thermostable a-amylase (Termamyl-supra 120 L; Novozyme, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was used for injection during extrusion process. Phenol and sulfuric acid were purchased from Daejung Chemicals and Metals Co. Ltd. (Korea) for total sugar determination. Pepsin (Samjun Chemical Ind. Co., Korea) was used for determination of protein digestibility. For determination of free amino nitrogen (FAN), the necessary chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich Co. Ltd.

Extrusion process
Extrusion was conducted in twin-screw extruder (THK31T, Incheon Machinery Co., Incheon, Korea). All experiments were conducted at screw speed of 150 rpm, feed rate of 120 g/min, water injection rate of 31.02 g/min, and the die diameter of 3 mm. The a-amylase (Termamyl-supra 120 L) was used at a concentration of 0.0675% (w/w), and added to a 0.675 g/kg dry corn starch. Feed moisture content was adjusted to 30%. Melt temperature was controlled at 95, 115 and 135°C with and without thermostable a-amylase injection. The corn starch extrudates were directly dried in an oven at 80°C for 4 hr and ground into powder less than 0.5 mm particle size and used as sample for functional properties analysis, and substrate for ethanol production.

Reducing sugar and enzyme activity
a-amylase activity was assayed by measuring the reducing sugar released during the enzymatic reaction. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme which produced 1 mM glucose per minute. Residual enzyme activity was measured by incubating the extrudates suspension directly dissolved in 50 mM phosphate-citrate buffer at 95°C, pH 6 for 10 minutes right after the extrusion process. Reducing sugar content was determined according to DNS method [14] using 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid. Glucose solution was used as standard.

Functional properties
Moisture content was analyzed by the standard method [15]. Total sugar content was measured using phenol-sulfuric acid method [16]. Two grams of sample was mixed with 20 mL of 70% ethanol solution and it was extracted at 80°C for 2 hr. Then, the extracted mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes. The supernatant was decanted and the volume was made up to 40 mL with distilled water. The sample solution (1 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of 5% phenol solution and 5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid. The mixture was left for 15 minutes for standing at room temperature and the absorbance was read at 550 nm against the blank containing distilled water in place of sample. Glucose was used for standard solution. Reducing sugar content was determined as glucose according to DNS method [14].

Protein digestibility (pepsin digestibility) was carried out as described by Mertz et al. [17]. Two hundred milligrams of samples were suspended in 35 mL of pepsin solution (1.5 g of enzyme/ 1L of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, (pH 2) and incubated at 150 rpm, 37°C for 2 hr. Pepsin activity was stopped by the addition of 2 mL of 2 M NaOH at the end of incubation period. The incubated slurry was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was decanted and the residues was washed with 10 mL of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 2) and centrifuged as before. Washing the residues with 10 mL of 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer was done for twice and freeze-dried. The free-dried samples were then weighed and analyzed for nitrogen content.

The total phenolics content of raw and extruded corn starch at different melt temperatures was determined according to the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method [18]. One gram of sample was extracted with 10 ml of 80% (v/v) methanol at room temperature for 12 hr. The mixture was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 30 minutes. 300 µl of the supernatant was mixed with 1.5 ml of 10% (v/v) Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and vortexed thoroughly and allowed for 5 minutes for reaction. Then, the mixture was supplemented with 1.5 ml of (60 g/L) sodium carbonate solution and incubated at room temperature for 2 hr. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm against the blank containing 80% methanol. The concentration of total phenolics content in the extracts was determined as mg of gallic acid equivalent per gram of dry sample using equation obtained from the standard gallic acid curve.

Free amino nitrogen
Free amino nitrogen (FAN) was analyzed according to the European Brewery Convention Method [19] with modification. Raw and extruded corn starch powder (150 mg) was mixed with 1.5 mL of deionized distilled water in a 1.5 mL micro centrifuge tube and vortexed and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 minutes using a micro high speed centrifuge (Micro 17TR, Hani Science Industrial Co., Ltd., Korea). The supernatant 1 mL was mixed with 1 mL of ninhydrin color reagent and it was heated in water bath at 100°C for 16 minutes. The tubes were transferred to a cold water bath and 5 mL of dilution reagent was added, mixed and the absorbance was read at 575 nm against a blank containing 1 mL of water in place of sample.

Microstructures
Raw and extruded corn starch powders were examined with a field emission scanning electronic microscope (MIRA II LMH, Tescan USA, Inc., Cranberry Township, PA). The samples were fixed in stubs containing a gold–palladium alloy before observation. All samples were examined using at an accelerated voltage of 10 kV.
Fermentation and ethanol content

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (S. cerevisiae, ATCC 24858) was used for ethanol fermentation. Yeast cells were maintained on YM agar medium (per liter) with 21 g YM powder, and 20 g agar. Yeast cells were cultured in a rotary shaker at 200 rpm and 30°C for 48 hr in a preculture media (2% glucose, 0.5% peptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 0.1% KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 0.05% MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O (pH 5.5)) [20]. Three grams of ground sample was suspended in 100 mL of fermentation medium containing (per liter): 3 g peptone, 1 g KH$_2$PO$_4$, and 1 g (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ at pH 3.8. This mixture was inoculated with 1 mL of activated yeast culture. Before and after fermentation the initial and residual reducing sugar contents were determined according to DNS method [14] using 3,5-dinitrosalicilic acid. Glucose solution was used as standard. The flasks were inoculated in rotary shaker (200 rpm) for 12, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 hr at 30°C.

After fermentation, the samples (5 mL) were taken and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 minutes to remove the cells and the supernatants was used for determination of residual reducing sugar and ethanol content. Ethanol content was determined by redox titration method [21]. Ethanol content was calculated by subtracting the average volume used for the blank titration. Conversion (%) was calculated as: (initial reducing sugar content-residual reducing sugar content/initial sugar content)×100 [22].

Experimental design and statistical analysis

Completely randomized design (CRD) was performed to determine the effect of thermostable α-amylase injection at melt temperatures 95, 115 and 135°C on functional properties, ethanol content and conversion (%) of extruded corn starch. Raw and extruded corn starches were determined in triplicates for functional properties, ethanol content and conversion (%). The data were analyzed by using the SAS program (version 6.12, SAS).

Results and Discussion

Reducing sugar and enzyme activity

The residual enzyme activity of the extrudates at different melt temperatures 95, 115 and 135°C was 3378.08, 7361.43 and 372.01 units/g enzyme respectively (Figure 1). The data showed that the highest residual enzyme activity was observed at 115°C followed by 95 and 135°C, indicating that the enzyme was more active and more stable at 115°C. Some other studies on the liquefaction of starch using α-amylase injection and protein denaturation, which may increase exposure of sites susceptible to enzymatic activity [28] and inactivation of trypsin and chemotrypsin inhibitors, leading to improved digestibility [29]. Duodu et al. [30] also reported that the addition of α-amylase increased the protein digestibility of sorghum flour. However, the protein digestibility of raw corn starch was significantly higher (p<0.05) than those of extruded corn starch without α-amylase injection. The increase in reducing sugar content of the extrudates without α-amylase injection was observed in the extrudates made from the highest moisture content (35%) [26]. In this study, feed moisture content was adjusted to 30%. A more recent study by Govindasamy et al. [5] reported that the degree of hydrolysis of sago starch in a twin-screw extruder was dependent on feed moisture content, enzyme concentration, and barrel temperature.

Protein digestibility has been used as a quality indicator for human foods and animal feeds. A protein with high digestibility potentially has better nutritional value than those with low digestibility. The protein digestibility of raw and extruded corn starch at different melt temperatures has been studied in vitro using pepsin solution because of the in vitro pepsin digestibility was found to be correlated well with in vivo digestive results [27], which make sense because humans and animals produce pepsin in their digestive tracts. In contracts, yeasts cannot produce any exoprotease for ethanol production. The data clearly showed that extrusion with α-amylase injection at 95 and 115°C significantly increased (p<0.05) the protein digestibility of corn starch extrudates than those of without α-amylase injection (Table 1). This may be due to two phenomena caused by extrusion with α-amylase injection and protein denaturation, which may increase exposure of sites susceptible to enzymatic activity [28] and inactivation of trypsin and chemotrypsin inhibitors, leading to improved digestibility [29]. Duodu et al. [30] also reported that the addition of α-amylase increased the protein digestibility of sorghum flour. However, the protein digestibility of raw corn starch was significantly higher (p<0.05) than those of extruded corn starch. This may be due to the effect of extrusion. Other authors also reported that reduction in in vitro protein digestibility was the result of extrusion-cooking [30,31]. A strong linear correlation was observed between protein digestibility of normal grain sorghum samples and their fermentation efficiency in ethanol production [32].

Total phenolics content of raw and extruded corn starch at...
different melt temperatures is presented in table 1. Extrusion with α-amylase injection at 115°C resulted in a significant decrease (p<0.05) in total phenolics content on average of 20% in comparison to the other melt temperatures 95 and 135°C. However, there was no significant difference between the extruded corn starch with and without α-amylase injection at 95 and 135°C. Additionally, extrusion-cooking also resulted in a significant decrease (p<0.05) in total phenolics content of corn starch. Minimum amount of toxic compounds after pretreatment is one of the key factors to take into consideration for an effective pretreatment for low-cost and advanced pretreatment process [33] because pretreatment lead to generation of toxic compounds derived from sugar decomposition that could affect the proceeding hydrolysis and fermentation steps [34]. Extrusion cooking has also been reported that to cause important changes on phenolics compounds that might produce adverse effects for human and animal nutrition [35,36].

**Free amino nitrogen**

The effect of α-amylase injection during extrusion significantly increased (p<0.05) the amount of free amino nitrogen at melt temperatures 115 and 135°C (Table 1). However, there was no significant difference at 95°C. Researchers have found that one of the factors limiting the production of high levels of ethanol by brewing yeast is nutritional deficiency [37]. Therefore, FAN content in a sample could be a useful indicator of a sample’s performance in ethanol fermentation because FAN is an essential nutrient for yeast growth during fermentation [38,39]. Yan et al. (2010) [40] and Yan et al. [41] also showed similar results which agree with results reported by several other researchers [42,43]. Mullins and Nesmith [44] studied ethanol fermentation with high-tannin sorghum and revealed that the addition of nitrogen accelerated the ethanol fermentation rate. It is known that the nitrogen level, in defined medium containing glucose as carbon source, can be adjusted to give an increase in the rate of ethanol production during fermentation by Saccharomyces [45]. The addition of nitrogen, 300 mg nitrogen/L total mass, as (NH₄)₂SO₄, gave the expected increase in the rate of ethanol production during 48 hr fermentation. The mash without added nitrogen requires 96 hr to obtain a similar value [44]. During extrusion with α-amylase injection, hydrolysis of starch might help the release of FAN content in the extruded sample [46,47] and increase FAN content in the mash, which would facilitate yeast growth, and increase the ethanol fermentation rate and efficiency. The nutritive quality as well as the sugar content of mash is important for high fermentation capacity. Thus, extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection is an effective pretreatment method that could improve the bioconversion rate of corn starch into fermentable sugar.

**Microstructures**

The effect of α-amylase injection during extrusion on the surface of extruded corn starch at different melt temperatures are shown in figure 2. The surface of the extruded corn starch granules with α-amylase injection at 135°C had many pores with crackers (Figure 2E). This may suggest that the pores formed during extrusion may be readily accessible for enzyme during enzymatic saccharification. While those of extruded corn starch without α-amylase injection were very smooth and without bearing any pores (Figure 2F). Extruded corn starch with α-amylase injection at 95°C had increase in pore size but number of pore was lower than those of without α-amylase injection. Extensive serratation, tunneling and surface erosion of extruded corn starch with α-amylase injection at 115°C probably led to greater loss of crystallinity and more susceptible to enzyme digestion. Therefore, extruded corn starches with α-amylase injection at 115°C were more susceptible to enzymatic digestion because water and enzyme can easily penetrate through these pores [48] resulting the highest reducing sugar yield for fermentation (Table 1). Production of smooth edges on the surface of extruded corn starch without α-amylase injection at 115 and 135°C were linked to restricted access to the glycosidic bonds away from

| Melt temp. (°C) | α-amylase injection | MC (%) | TS (mg/g) | RS (mg/g) | PD (% of protein) | TPC (mg GAE/100g) | FAN (mg/ml) |
|----------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 95             | with α-amylase injection | 8.66a  | 114.04b | 4.65b    | 3.81c            | 31.17c           | 287.63c     |
|                | without α-amylase injection | 7.4c   | 6.88d    | 0.73d    | 3.47e            | 30.65d           | 285.95e     |
|                | with α-amylase injection | 4.85f  | 92.72e   | 14.20d   | 3.88e            | 37.78e           | 373.88f     |
|                | without α-amylase injection | 4.51me | 2.78f    | 0.91d    | 3.46e            | 56.65d           | 308.96c     |
| 135            | with α-amylase injection | 4.29g  | 14.62c   | 2.16d    | 2.93c            | 58.80c           | 379.62c     |
|                | without α-amylase injection | 3.29h  | 3.58e    | 1.14d    | 3.06d            | 57.09d           | 275.26b     |
|                | Raw corn starch      | 12.34a | 3.07e    | 5.00b    | 78.97a           | 0.68d            |             |

1 moisture content, 2 total sugar, 3 reducing sugar, 4 protein digestibility, 5 total phenolics content, 6 gallic acid equivalent, 7 free amino nitrogen

Means of three replications, based on least significant difference (LSD) procedure at α=0.05 level.

Means with the same letter (a and b) in the same column are not significantly different.

**Table 1:** Functional properties of raw and extruded corn starch at different extrusion conditions.
95°C was low to complete gelatinization. For 135°C, the reason could be inactivation of α-amylase during extrusion and low reducing sugar for fermentation (Figure 1 and Table 1). The data showed that higher residual enzyme activity was observed only at melt temperature 115°C and consequently resulted in high reducing sugar and ethanol content (Figure 1, Tables 1 and 2). Jorgensen et al. [23] also reported that thermostable α-amylase is highly active even at a temperature of 130°C. The increase in ethanol production in case of fermentation periods (24-48 hr) as compared to other fermentation periods (60 and 72 hr) could be due to several reasons including the production of compounds other than ethanol like glycerol, acetic acid and CO₂ during fermentation. The intra-cellular ethanol exerts high toxicity on yeasts, and nutrient deficiency at final stage of fermentation [56]. Similar to the trend observed in ethanol content, conversion (%) of extruded corn starch with α-amylase injection at 115°C was greater than those of extruded corn starch without α-amylase injection in all incubation periods. In conclusion, extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection at 115°C can improve the bioconversion rate of corn starch because of its easy digestibility by enzyme and higher content of reducing sugar availability for fermentation.

The immediate site of hydrolysis [49], which was indicated by lower content of reducing sugar (Table 1).

**Ethanol content**

Table 2 shows the amount of ethanol production from extruded corn starch with and without α-amylase injection at different melt temperatures and different fermentation periods. In the present study, significant increase in ethanol production was achieved by the injection of thermostable α-amylase injection during extrusion process at melt temperature 115°C. The data clearly showed that thermostable α-amylase injection gave higher ethanol content at melt temperature 115°C from fermentation periods 24-48 hr. This increase in ethanol content could be due to high enzyme activity that provides high reducing sugar and mild conditions of temperature for fermentation. As a result, fermentation inhibiting compounds are absent and there is a reduction in the total environmental impact of the whole process [50,51]. In case of melt temperatures 95 and 135°C, no significant difference in reducing sugar and ethanol content was observed between extruded corn starch with and without thermostable α-amylase injection in tested fermentation periods. In the case of 95°C, decrease in reducing sugar and ethanol content may be due to incomplete gelatinization of corn starch during extrusion. Based on the findings of Planchot et al. [52] and Tester and Sommerville [53], it was expected that ungelatinized or crystalline starch would not be hydrolyzed completely by α-amylase. Consequently, it was expected that DE obtained after enzymatic hydrolysis would be affected by the degree of gelatinization. Grafelman and Meagher [54] and Gahlstrom et al. [55] reported that starch-water mixture with 17% w/w moisture content gelatinized at 120°C. In this case, the hydrolysis temperature (95°C) was low to complete gelatinization. For 135°C, the reason could be inactivation of α-amylase during extrusion and low reducing sugar for fermentation (Figure 1 and Table 1). The data showed that higher residual enzyme activity was observed only at melt temperature 115°C and consequently resulted in high reducing sugar and ethanol content (Figure 1, Tables 1 and 2). Jorgensen et al. [23] also reported that thermostable α-amylase is highly active even at a temperature of 130°C. The increase in ethanol production in case of fermentation periods (24-48 hr) as compared to other fermentation periods (60 and 72 hr) could be due to several reasons including the production of compounds other than ethanol like glycerol, acetic acid and CO₂ during fermentation. The intra-cellular ethanol exerts high toxicity on yeasts, and nutrient deficiency at final stage of fermentation [56]. Similar to the trend observed in ethanol content, conversion (%) of extruded corn starch with α-amylase injection at 115°C was greater than those of extruded corn starch without α-amylase injection in all incubation periods. In industrial bio-fuel production, extruded corn starch with thermostable α-amylase injection at 115°C could improve the bioconversion rate of corn starch because of its easy digestibility by enzyme and higher content of reducing sugar availability for fermentation.

**Conclusion**

Extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection at melt temperature 115°C gave enzyme accessible extrudates which showed optimum functional properties for fermentation substrate. Therefore, extrusion with thermostable α-amylase injection at 115°C can improve functional properties of extruded corn starch for ethanol production (Table 3).
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