Does grip strength predict lower limb global strength in subjects with stroke?
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Abstract

Introduction: Grip strength is an important clinical measure and has been used for several purposes in different populations, including those to predict the global strength of lower limbs (LL) and upper limbs. However, little is known about the association between grip strength and lower limb (LL) global strength in subjects with stroke. Objective: To investigate the relationship between grip strength and LL global strength in stroke with subjects at both subacute and chronic phases. Method: Measures of grip strength (handgrip dynamometer) and LL global strength (hand-held dynamometer) were obtained in 20 subjects in the subacute phase of the stroke and 18 in the chronic phase. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to investigate the correlation between grip strength and LL global strength (α = 0.05). Results: Subjects in the subacute phase showed a moderate statistically significant correlation between paretic grip strength and global strength of the non-paretic LL (r = 0.50; p < 0.05), but no correlation with the paretic LL was
found ($p = 0.25$). The non-paretic grip strength showed no statistically significant correlation with global strength of the paretic LL ($p = 0.93$) and of the non-paretic LL ($p = 0.64$). In chronic subjects, no statistically significant correlation ($0.50 \leq p \leq 0.97$) was observed. **Conclusion:** Grip strength does not seem to be an adequate indicator to predict LL global strength of subjects with stroke. This conclusion is different from that obtained for other populations.
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**Resumo**

**Introdução:** A força de preensão palmar (FPP) é uma importante medida clínica e vem sendo utilizada com diversos propósitos em diferentes populações, dentre eles para predizer a força global de membros inferiores (MMII) e superiores. Entretanto, pouco se sabe sobre a associação da FPP e força global de MMII pós-Acidente Vascular Encefálico (AVE). **Objetivo:** Investigar a relação entre FPP e força global de MMII em indivíduos pós-AVE, nas fases subaguda e crônica. **Método:** Foram incluídos 38 indivíduos pós-AVE (20 subagudos/18 crônicos). A FPP foi avaliada pelo dinamômetro de preensão palmar e a força global de MMII pelo dinamômetro portátil. Coeficiente de correlação de Pearson foi calculado para investigar a correlação entre FPP e força global de MMII ($\alpha = 0.05$). **Resultados:** Para os indivíduos da fase subaguda do AVE, foi observada correlação estatisticamente significativa entre FPP do lado parético e força global de MI do lado não-parético ($r = 0.50$; $p < 0.05$). Para o MI parético não houve correlação significativa ($p = 0.25$). Para FPP do lado não-parético, não foi observada correlação estatisticamente significativa com a força global de MMII tanto para o lado parético ($p = 0.93$) quanto para o não-parético ($p = 0.64$). Nos indivíduos crônicos, não foi observada nenhuma correlação estatisticamente significativa ($0.50 \leq p \leq 0.97$). **Conclusão:** A FPP parece não ser uma medida adequada para informar sobre a força global de MMII pós-AVE, diferentemente do observado em outras populações.

**Palavras-chave:** Acidente Vascular Cerebral. Força da Mão. Força Muscular. Extremidade Inferior. Avaliação da Deficiência.
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**Resumen**

**Introducción:** La fuerza de prensión de la mano (FPM) es una medida clínica importante y se viene usando con diversos propósitos en diferentes poblaciones, entre ellos para predecir la fuerza global de miembros inferiores (MI) y superiores. Sin embargo, poco se sabe acerca de la asociación entre la FPM y la fuerza global de los MI después del accidente cerebrovascular (ACV). **Objetivo:** Investigar la relación entre la FPM y la fuerza global de MI en sujetos después del ACV, en las fases subaguda y crónica. **Método:** Se obtuvieron medidas de la FPM con el dinamómetro hidráulico, de la fuerza global de MI con el dinamómetro digital, de 20 sujetos subagudos y 18 sujetos crónicos después del ACV. El coeficiente de correlación de Pearson se calculó para investigar la correlación entre la FPM y la fuerza global de MI ($\alpha = 0.05$). **Resultados:** Para los sujetos de la fase subaguda del ACV, se observó una correlación estadísticamente significativa entre FPM del lado parético y fuerza global de MI del lado no parético ($r = 0.50$; $p < 0.05$). Para el MI parético no hubo correlación significativa ($p = 0.25$). Para FPM del lado no parético, no se observó correlación estadísticamente significativa con la fuerza global de MI tanto para el lado parético ($p = 0.93$) como para el no parático ($p = 0.64$). Entre los sujetos crónicos, no se observó ninguna correlación estadísticamente significativa ($0.50 \leq p \leq 0.97$). **Conclusiones:** La FPM no parece ser una medida adecuada para informar sobre la fuerza global de MI en sujetos después del ACV, a diferencia de lo observado con otras poblaciones.

**Palabras clave:** Accidente Cerebrovascular. Fuerza de la Mano. Fuerza Muscular. Extremidad Inferior. Evaluación de la Discapacidad.
Introduction

Stroke is the leading cause of disability in developed and developing countries [1-3], being associated with important emotional and socioeconomic impacts [1, 3]. Despite the higher prevalence in older adults [4], its incidence have increased significantly in younger individuals [1], which, consequently, generates an increase in early retirement expenses and broadens the burden of stroke [3]. Therefore, stroke is a major non-communicable disease for public health [3].

Muscular weakness is one of the main post-stroke impairments [5, 6] being an important factor for motor disability in these individuals [7, 8]. Moreover, in post-stroke individuals, weakness of the lower limb muscles is associated with limited ability to perform daily living activities [8]. Recovering the independence to perform important functional activities such as sit-to-stand/stand-to-sit tasks [9, 10], walking up the stairs [6] and community gait [8, 11, 12] is a key goal, playing an important role in rehabilitation of post-stroke individuals. Thus, the measure of muscle strength is a relevant outcome that should be one of the pillars of the evaluation and rehabilitation process of these individuals.

Post-stroke individuals are classified according to typical stages of spontaneous motor recovery, which are determined based on the time at which important biological neural repair processes occurs [13, 14]. This recovery could be complete or incomplete, being directly related to the sequelae of the event such as loss of muscle strength and functional limitations [13]. Therefore, physical therapist’s clinical management and rehabilitation processes should consider the typical phases of post-injury progress, as each phase is associated with specific response patterns. For example, the spontaneous motor recovery process is more intense in the acute phase, progressing to stabilization in the chronic phase [13, 14].

Grip strength is an important clinical measure. This measure has been used for several purposes in different populations [15-18]. Garcia et al. [17] conducted a cross-sectional study to evaluate the relationship between different clinical measures of community-dwelling older adults and identify the best clinical parameter to screen reduced lower-limb muscle function in this population. The clinical measures evaluated in this study were lower extremity muscle function, calf circumference, grip strength, functional mobility and level of physical activity [17]. The results showed an association between lower-limb muscle function, grip strength and fast walking speed, suggesting the possibility of screening and identification of minor functional changes through simple clinical measures, as grip strength. This may favor early intervention and prevent disabilities in community-dwelling older adults with high activity levels [17].

Considering that the association between grip strength and lower-limb muscle function has been proved to be a relevant parameter to be investigated in older adult population [17], investigating this association in different populations with muscle weakness and disabilities becomes necessary. In this context, post-stroke individuals must be considered, since this population commonly present lower thresholds for muscle fatigue as well as muscle weakness [12, 19]. Thus, a fast and objective measure such as grip strength would optimize the clinical evaluation process by informing about lower-limb global strength. The use of this measure indicator would reduce not only strain on patients and professionals but also time and cost of evaluation when compared with extensive evaluations of different muscle groups. However, the effectiveness of the grip strength of subacute and chronic post-stroke individuals to inform about the lower-limb global strength is still unclear, as it has been used in the older adults [17].

Considering: a) the importance of muscle strength assessment—especially grip strength and lower-limb strength—for the rehabilitation process of post-stroke individuals; and b) the need of a fast and objective measurement that optimizes the clinical evaluation process and, consequently, generates less strain and costs for patients and professionals when compared with extensive evaluations of different muscle groups. Our study sought to investigate the relationship between grip strength and lower-limb global strength in individuals with stroke at both subacute and chronic phases.

Methods

Design and participants

This cross-sectional study [20], approved by Research Ethical Committee of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil (ETIC 0492.0.203.000-10) was conducted in
a university laboratory, participants' households, and community-based settings. Participants were selected from the general community by contact with professionals from outpatient clinics, rehabilitation centers, as well as from database of previously conducted research projects. The inclusion criteria were: clinical diagnosis of stroke between 3 and 6 months prior to the study (subacute phase), and more than 6 months prior to the study (chronic phase); age ≥ 20 years; and ability to execute all tests. The exclusion criteria were: presence of possible cognitive deficits identified by the Mini Mental State Examination, cut-off scores according to schooling levels (i.e. illiterate: 13 points; 1-7 years of education: 18 points; 8 or more years of schooling: 26 points) [21]; reports of any health condition that could compromise the strength lower-limbs and/or grip muscles; and complaints of pain during the assessment procedures.

All participants signed an Informed Consent Form based on the previous approval of the Research Ethical Committee of the University.

Sample size

G*Power software [22] was used to determine the sample size of individuals in subacute phase, considering a power = 0.8, r = 0.6 e a = 0.05. Data from Tavares et al. [19] and Faria et al. [23] were considered to determine the sample size of individuals in the chronic phase, considering correlation coefficient. It was found that 20 post-stroke individuals in the subacute phase and 18 in the chronic phase would be needed.

Outcome measures

Bilateral measures of grip strength and of seven lower-limb muscle groups were obtained (hip flexors/extensors/abductors, knee flexors/extensors, ankle dorsiflexors/plantar flexors). Strength of the grip strength and of lower-limb muscles were measured, respectively, by a hydraulic SAEHAN® grip dynamometer (Model SH5001; SAEHAN Corporation, YangdeokDong, Masan, South Korea) as shown in Figure 1 and by a microFET2® digital handheld dynamometer (Hoggan Health Industries, Inc, Draper, Utah, USA) (Figure 2). Both equipment devices are considered clinical gold standard methods for the assessment of isometric strength [24] and have adequate values of measurement properties for assessing the muscular strength of post-stroke individuals [19, 24]. The accuracy of both equipment is within 1% of reading [25, 26]. All equipment used were purchased factory-calibrated for the completion of our study and were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Figure 1 – Hydraulic SAEHAN® grip dynamometer.

Figure 2 – MicroFET2® digital handheld dynamometer.
Procedures

Data were collected on a single day by a trained examiner, who is a physical therapist with one-year experience in muscle strength measurements. First, demographic and clinical data were collected for all individuals (i.e. gender, age, body mass index, and motor impairments: Fulg-Meyer Motor Assessment Scale [27]). All participants were classified according to their physical activity level: vigorous, moderate, insufficient or sedentary. This classification was based on estimated metabolic cost of physical activity based on gender, age, frequency, duration and type of activity performed within the month preceding data collection [28].

Then, muscle strength was assessed. All muscle groups were assessed alternately, with each muscle group of the non-paretic side evaluated first, followed by that of the paretic side. The participants and their body segments were standardized positioned, according to previous protocols [15, 19, 29-31], as shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Positioning, stabilization, and device application

| Muscle group        | Participant’s position | Position of the segment                                      | Position of the device                                      | Stabilization |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Hip flexors         | Supine                 | Hip and knee flexed to 90° and ankle in neutral position       | Distal and anterior aspect of the thigh                      | None          |
| Hip extensors       | Supine                 | Hip and knee to 90° and ankle in neutral position             | Distal and posterior aspect of the thigh                     | None          |
| Hip abductors       | Supine                 | Hip in neutral and knee extended                              | Distal and lateral aspect of the thigh                      | None          |
| Plantar flexors     | Supine                 | Hip and knee to 90° and ankle in neutral position             | Proximal to metatarsophalangeal joints on the plantar        | Distal and anterior aspect of the leg |
|                     |                        |                                                                 | surfaces                                                   |               |
| Ankle dorsiflexors  | Supine                 | Hip and knee extended and ankle in neutral position           | Proximal to metatarsophalangeal joints on the plantar        | Distal and anterior aspect of the leg |
| Knee flexors        | Seated                 | Hip and knee flexed to 90°                                   | Distal and posterior aspect of the leg                      | Distal and posterior aspect of the leg |
| Knee extensors      | Seated                 | Hip and knee flexed to 90°                                   | Distal and anterior aspect of the leg                       | Distal and anterior aspect of the leg |
| Handgrip            | Seated                 | Shoulder adducted, elbow flexed to 90°, forearm in neutral   | Palm of the hand                                            | Distal aspect of the forearm |
|                     |                        | position, wrist with 0 to 30° extension                        |                                                             |               |

Immediately before the measurement of muscle strength, the procedures were performed for demonstration and familiarization [8]. Three trials of each assessed muscle groups were performed. During the tests, the individuals were instructed to perform a maximum isometric contraction for 5 seconds, and the peak value was recorded. A 20-second rest interval was allowed between the trials [19, 29, 31]. Participants received the following verbal encouragement: “Go, go, go, go...” [19, 29]. The measures were read and recorded by a second examiner, who was also previously trained [19, 29].

To prevent the participant from changing position frequently for the tests, the evaluation of the muscle groups was performed in the same sequence: hip flexors/extensors/abductors, ankle dorsiflexors/plantar flexors, knee flexors/extensors and handgrip strength.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics and test of normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) were performed for all variables. After, the lower-limb global strength was determined by the sum of the muscle strength values of the hip flexors/extensors/abductors, knee flexors/extensors, ankle dorsiflexors/plantar flexors. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to
investigate the associations between grip strength and lower-limb global strength. When the coefficient values were statically significant, the magnitudes of the correlations were classified as follows: very weak, ≤ 0.25; weak, 0.26-0.49; moderate, 0.50-0.69; strong, 0.70-0.89; and very strong, 0.90-1.00 [32]. All analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical package (SPSS Inc, Chicago IL, USA, 17.0), with a ≤ 0.05 significance level.

Results

Twenty post-stroke individuals at subacute phase (10 men e 10 women) and 18 post-stroke individuals at chronic phase (12 men and six women) were eligible and participated of the study. Mean age of individuals at subacute phase was 64 years, (SD = 11.94), and mean time since the onset of stroke was three months (SD = 0.69). Mean age of individuals at chronic phase was 58 years (SD = 15.43), and mean time since the onset of stroke was 102 months (SD = 89.95). Regarding the type of stroke, individuals from both subacute and chronic phase had mostly ischemic events. Considering the physical activity level, all individuals at subacute phase and most of the individuals at chronic phase were classified as inactive. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the participants are described in Table 2.

| Characteristics                        | Subacute (n = 20) | Chronic (n = 18) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Age (years): mean ± SD [min-max]       | 64.05 ± 11.94 [36-85] | 58.50 ± 15.43[30-86] |
| Time since the onset of stroke (months): mean ± SD [min-max] | 3.0 ± 0.69 [3-5] | 102.89 ± 89.95 [7-370] |
| Body mass index (kg/m²), mean ± SD [min-max] | 25.49 ± 4.21 [18.71-36.66] | 26.29 ± 3.48 [21.74-33.84] |
| Sex: Men, n (%)                        | 10 (50)           | 18 (75)         |
| Paretic side: right, n (%)             | 14 (53.8)         | 9 (25)          |
| Type of stroke: n (%)                  |                   |                 |
| Ischemic                               | 19 (73.1)         | 13 (72.25)      |
| Hemorrhagic                            | 1 (3.8)           | 2 (11.1)        |
| Both, Ischemic and Hemorrhagic         | –                 | 1 (5.55)        |
| Not reported                            | –                 | 2 (11.1)        |
| Fulg-Meyer: (0-100), n (%)             |                   |                 |
| Mild motor impairment                  | 7 (35)            | 3 (16.6)        |
| Moderately motor impairment            | 11 (55)           | 5 (27.7)        |
| Moderately Severe motor impairment     | 2 (10)            | 9 (50.15)       |
| Very Severe motor impairment           | –                 | 1 (5.55)        |
| Physical activity level: n (%)         |                   |                 |
| Vigorous active                        | –                 | 1 (5.6)         |
| Moderate active                        | –                 | –               |
| Insufficient                           | –                 | 4 (22.1)        |
| Inactive                               | 20 (100)          | 13 (72.3)       |

Note: SD = standard deviation.

As shown in Table 3, only the grip strength of the paretic side showed moderate significant correlations with the lower-limb global strength of non-paretic side of post-stroke individuals at subacute phase (r = 0.50; p < 0.05). We could not find any other significant correlation (0.25 ≤ p ≤ 0.97).
Table 3 – Correlation coefficients between the measurements of grip strength and variables related to lower-limb global strength of post-stroke individuals in subacute (n = 20) and chronic (n = 18) phases.

| Variables                          | Global strength of paretic lower-limb | Global strength of non-paretic lower-limb |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Subacute phase                    |                                       |                                          |
| Rip strength of non-paretic side  | $p = 0.93$                            | $p = 0.64$                               |
| Grip strength of paretic side     | $p = 0.25$                            | $r = 0.50; p < 0.05$                     |
| Chronic phase                     |                                       |                                          |
| Grip strength of non-paretic side | $p = 0.97$                            | $p = 0.50$                               |
| Grip strength of paretic side     | $p = 0.85$                            | $p = 0.62$                               |

Note: $r = $ Pearson correlation coefficient.

Discussion

Our study sought to investigate the relationship between grip strength and lower-limb global strength in individuals with stroke at both subacute and chronic phases. For the individuals at the subacute phase, we found a moderate correlation between the grip strength of the paretic side and the lower-limb global strength of the non-paretic side. However, regarding the grip strength of the non-paretic side, we could not observe any significant correlation between the grip strength and the lower-limb global strength, neither for the paretic or non-paretic side. For the post-stroke individuals at the chronic phase, we could not observe any significant correlation between the grip strength and the lower-limb global strength, both for the paretic and non-paretic side.

The results regarding the absence of significant correlation between most of the investigated variables differs from the results reported by previous studies performed in other populations: the grip strength has already been significantly correlated to the lower-limb global strength of children, adolescents, adults and older adults [15-18]. A possible explanation for this disagreement in results is the fact that post-stroke individuals have muscular strength deficits correlated, primarily, to a neurological disorder [33, 34], which is not observed in the populations aforementioned. Moreover, stroke commonly causes sensitive, cognitive and coordination alterations, spasticity, abnormal movement patterns, physical deconditioning and a sedentary lifestyle [33, 35]. Thus, the particularities associated to the origin and maintenance of the muscular weakness in these individuals might explain the absence of significant correlation between grip strength and most of the variables of our study related to the lower-limb global strength.

The fact that we found a moderate correlation between the grip strength of the paretic side and the lower-limb global strength of the non-paretic side in post-stroke individuals at the subacute phase is an important result. The possibility of assessment only of the grip strength of the paretic side to inform about the lower-limb of the non-paretic side might be useful to optimize clinical evaluation time of these individuals, considering that muscle strength assessment is a relevant outcome and should be considered during the process of rehabilitation of these individuals. Therefore, a concise evaluation not only requires less time, but also reduces the possibility of causing pain and fatigue, especially considering the low muscular fatigue threshold of post-stroke individuals [15, 19].

Immediately after a stroke, the central nervous system goes through a complex and dynamic process of anatomical and functional reorganization, which results in a spontaneous motor recovery, that, in general, occurs markedly at the first three months (acute phase), but also is present between the third and sixth months after stroke (subacute phase). Thus, spontaneous motor recovery reaches a plateau at six months (chronic phase) [13, 14]. Thereby, although classified as a unique population group, the post-stroke individuals present particularities regarding each phase of recovery, which could impact on muscle strength measurement and in the functional performance [36]. These particularities can explain the differences observed in the results of our study when analysing the correlations considering muscle strength of post-stroke individuals at the subacute phase and post-stroke individuals at the chronic phase.

Most of the participants in our study was classified as inactive when considered the level of physical activity. This is a relevant data, since physical activity practice is a fundamental component for the maintenance and improvement of the functional status, assisting in the prevention of secondary disabilities [37], in the promotion of health and functionality, besides being an important factor that directly influences the recovery and maintenance of muscle strength [38]. The physical deconditioning,
caused by inactivity, is a common finding in post-stroke individuals, and the high energetic cost presented by this population during daily life activities might contribute to the muscle weakness [35]. Thus, physical inactivity observed in the sample of our study, associated with alterations caused by the physical deconditioning of post-stroke individuals, reinforces the possible explanations previously mentioned for the absence of the correlation between grip strength and most of the other variables related to lower-limb global strength.

A limitation of our study was that most of the participants was classified as inactive, which is commonly observed in post-stroke individuals [33, 35]. This fact might influence on the relationship between grip strength and lower-limb global strength in this population, since the level of physical activity might directly affect the muscle strength. Thus, individuals with levels of physical activity different from inactive could present results different from those found in our study, and, therefore, these individuals must be included in future studies regarding the relationship between grip strength and lower-limb global strength of post-stroke individuals at the subacute and chronic phase. Although the sample size estimated was achieved, future studies, with larger samples, representing the population of individuals with a more active level of physical activity should be developed to identify if the measure of the strength of only one muscle such as grip strength could predict lower-limb global strength.

Conclusion

Only grip strength of the paretic side showed potential to moderately predict the lower-limb global strength, specifically the global strength of the lower limb of the non-paretic side of post-stroke individuals at the subacute phase. The results of our study indicate that grip strength, in general, does not seem to be adequate to predict lower-limb global strength in the post-stroke population, in both subacute and chronic phases, differently from that observed in other populations.
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