Elastic intramedullary nailing in paediatric diaphyseal both bone forearm fractures
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Abstract
Both bone forearm fractures are prevalent orthopaedic injuries. Optimal treatment in paediatric population remains conservative management with closed reduction and immobilization with above elbow cast application. Although fracture unites readily with acceptable alignment due to structural realignment owing to its ability to remodel with remaining growth, malunion is very common. Stiffness of joints and compartment syndrome are other complications with conservative management. Irreducible, unstable, and open fractures usually require operative stabilization with K wires, Plate and screws, or intramedullary nailing. Plating has the advantage of being familiar to many surgeons and theoretically superior in its ability to restore radial bow with added disadvantages. Recently intramedullary nailing has changed the treatment scenario of diaphyseal both bone fractures in the children and adolescents.

The study aims to analyze the outcome and complications after elastic stable intramedullary nailing in paediatric diaphyseal forearm fractures.

Material and methods: Our series present 23 patients aged 5-15 years with displaced both bone forearm fractures with closed reduction and elastic stable intramedullary nailing under the C arm image intensifier. Among 23 patients, 15 patients were males, and 8 were females with a mean age of 11 years having 4 cases as open fractures.

Result: Most of the fractures healed within 5-8 months. We analyzed the results using the reference to union, symptoms, and range of motion of adjacent joints using Price et al. criteria for outcome evaluation. In our series, 18 cases (78.26%) had excellent, 3(13.04%) cases had good, 1(4.34%) case had fair, and 1(4.34%) had poor result due to delayed union. There was one case of delayed union, one case of transient palsies of the superficial radial nerve and 1 case with skin irritation over prominent ulnar hardware requiring early removal and infection in external nail insertion site in Radius in 1 patient and one case of tendon injury. At the final follow up after 8-9 months, we did implant removal with pain-free and without limitation of ADL.

Conclusion: One can achieve better functional and cosmetic outcomes by elastic stable intramedullary nailing. It is simple, cost-effective, less time consuming and having fewer complications.
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Introduction
Diaphyseal fractures of both bone forearms are the third most common fractures in paediatric population and account for 13-40% of all paediatric fractures [1]. Fracture severity falls along a continuum from plastic deformity to significant displacement. The standard treatment of these fractures remains closed reduction and immobilization with the above-elbow cast application for 4-6 weeks. Although fracture unites very readily, malunion is very common. Stiffness and compartment syndrome are other complications with conservative management. Operative treatment is indicated for irreducible, unstable open or closed fractures, and those which displace in a cast either with closed reduction and k wire fixation or open reduction with the plate and screws. K wires don’t provide stability. Plating has the advantages, being familiar to many surgeons, and it provides an excellent rigid fixation. But it is associated with a prolonged hospital stay, big scar, extensive periosteal stripping, compartment syndrome, and intrasosseous membrane damage. The elastic nail has revolutionized the treatment of displaced fractures of both bone forearm in children and adolescents. Surgical management with elastic nail has been first described by Mataizeau and Ligur [2]. This provides a 3 point fixation and adequately prevents rotation. It acts as an internal splint and maintains alignment. The elastic nature of the
nail maintains the radial bow throughout the bone healing process as it has the benefits of immediate stability to the involved bone segment. This permits early mobilization and returns to normal activities with fewer complications.

**Material and Methods**
A prospective study was done from March 2014 to September 2019.23 paediatric and adolescent patients with displaced diaphyseal forearm fractures were treated with stainless/titanium elastic nail in Hi-Tech Medical College, Rourkela, Orissa. Among 23 patients, 15 patients were males and 8 were females.

**Inclusion criteria**
Age: 5-15 years
Displaced and open diaphyseal fractures

**Exclusion criteria**
Children <5 and > 15 yrs.
Physseal injury
With proximal and distal radio ulnar joint disruption

**Surgical Technique**
We put the patient in a supine position on OT Table with the affected forearm placed on a radiolucent table. In diaphyseal fracture forearm in children and adolescents 2mm, 2.5 mm, 3 mm diameter nail was used after calculating medullary diameter. Forearm nail size-2X medullary canal diameter in the midshaft forearm, the nail diameter should not be more than 40% of the width of the medullary canal size. Fixation usually starts with the bone that is easier to reduce. (Figure 1: showing 7 Years old child having compound fracture both bone forearm and Figure 5: showing preoperative x-ray showing fracture both bone forearm). A small 1 cm long incision performed on the lateral margin of the forearm, 1 cm proximal to the distal growth cartilage of radius. An entry hole is made at this level, avoiding radial vascular injury. Then the nail is advanced into the radius up to the fracture site. By positioning the elbow in extension and forearm in pronation or supination position at maximal angulation is detected. The nail tip is oriented towards the radial head and its impacted by using the hammer. A similar procedure is carried out for the ulna, using the antegrade technique with the entry point on the medial margin of olecranon or retrograde way on medial side 1 cm proximal to the distal ulnar epiphysis (Figure 2 and 3: showing elastic nail in both bones forearm). After insertion of the IM Nail, we examined the limitation of the forearm pronation and supination intra operatively to avoid potential rotational malunion. The distal end of the nails was buried under the skin in all the cases. Closure of the wound was done. A/ E Slab applied for 6 weeks (Figure 4: shows the follow up).

**Results**
A total of 23 patients, 15 patients (65.2%) males and 8(34.78%) female with diaphyseal fractures of the forearm were included in this study. The mean age of the patients were 11 years old (Range 5-15 years). Out of 23 patients ,18 patients (78.26%) had right sided fractures and 5 patients(21.73%) had fractures on the left side.17 cases presented early i.e. within 7 days and 6 cases presented late i.e. after 1 week. The fracture pattern was transverse in 16(69.56%), oblique in 4 (17.39%), comminuted in 2 patients (8.69%) and spiral in 1 (4.34%) patient. The fracture location was middle third in 18 (78.26%) patients, proximal third in 3(13.04%) and distal third in 2(8.69%) patients.3 (13.04%) were open type 1 fractures due to RTA and the remainder (86.95%) were closed fractures due to fall on outstretched hand (FOOSH) and were irreducible or seemed to be of an unstable configuration requiring proper reduction and stabilization. The mean diameter of nail used was 2.5(72%). CRIF was done for 15 patients and ORIF was done for the remaining 8 cases.5 out of 6 patients presenting late were reduced by ORIF with limited opening and all compound fractures were reduced by ORIF. The patients were followed up at 4, 8, 12, 24, maximum up to 12 months (Figures 6,7 and 8: showing follow up). Early exercises were started. The average time to fracture union, defined as presence of a bridging callus on anteroposterior (AP) and Lateral view was 7.9 weeks (Range 6-12 weeks).

**Table 1: Price et al criteria**

| Outcome     | Symptoms                        | Loss of Forearm Rotation* |
|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Excellent   | No complaints with strenuous activities | <15˚                      |
| Good        | Mild complaints with strenuous activities | 15-30˚                    |
| Fair        | Mild complaints with daily activities | 31-90˚                    |
| Poor        | All other results               | >90˚                      |

**Case 1**

![Fig 1: Showing compound fracture](image1)

![Fig 2: Preoperative X-ray](image2)
Complication
Out of the 23 patients, 4(17.39%) patients had minor complications, such as neuropraxia involving radial nerve (Tourniquet palsy) in 1(4.34%) case, skin irritation over prominent ulnar hardware in 1 patient requiring early removal and infection in external nail insertion site in Radius in 1 patient (4.34%) and 1 case of tendon injury. One case presented with delayed union. Major complications such as limb length discrepancy affecting the limb functions, angular or rotational deformity, synostosis or restricted elbow, and wrist movement were not encountered. In this study, 18 cases (78.26%) had excellent, 3(13.04%) cases had good, 1(4.34%) case had fair, and 1(4.34%) had poor result due to delayed union.

Discussion
Closed reduction and cast application is still an effective method of treatment of diaphyseal forearm fractures of children and adolescents, but it has many drawbacks like failure of reduction [5], refractures, prolonged immobilization, associated with stiffness, compartment syndrome, disuse muscle atrophy, and malunion. Following failure of closed reduction, intramedullary nailing is well performed. Flynn and Myers in their studies recommended intramedullary nailing in which cast failed [6].

Primary open reduction and plate fixation is one of the commonly employed methods of treatment of forearm fractures. Various authors have shown good to excellent results with union rate ranging from 96% to 98% with 85% satisfying results [7], 97% union and 80% satisfactory function [8] and 98% union rate and 93% patient satisfaction [9]. The limited contact dynamic compression plate (LCDCP) and
point contact fixator has been termed as biological fixation
[10]. Excellent results are reported by Leung and Chow [11].
Complication of plates such as compartment syndrome in
10% [12], sepsis in 3 to 9% [13], delayed union/ nonunion in 2%
and refractures after plate removal in 3.5 to 22% of the cases
have been described [14]. High frequency of intraoperative
nerve injuries has also been reported [15].

The reported incidence of transient radial nerve palsy is 7 to
10% of all patients with radius fracture treated by plate [9].
Incidence of radio ulnar synostoses after plate fixation
reported in literature is 2 to 9% [16]. The results with
intramedullary nailing by Kirschner wires, Steinmann pins
and Rush pins have been disappointing with a very high rate
of nonunion (20%) has been reported by various authors [15,
17]. Myers et al. reviewed a series of 55 cases with elastic
stable intramedullary nailing of Radius or ulna or both [6]. They
recommended percutaneous internally fixation of the bone
that had the most initial displacement then checking the
stability clinically by pronation and supination.VAS pain
score was assessed by comparing pain on 3rd postoperative
day with preoperative pain and there was significant
improvement in 74% cases. The average radiological union
time in our study was 6-8 weeks. Time taken for open
fractures to unite was slightly more compared to closed
fractures. Kapoor, Theruvil B, Edwards SE, Taylor GR
achieved bony union of all fractures by an average 7 weeks
[18]. In present study, 72% cases were treated with 2.5 diameter
titanium elastic nail. Metaizeau et al. described the elastic
stable intramedullary nailing of paediatric forearm fractures
with small diameter 1.5-2.5 diameter nails [19]. In our study
CRIF was performed for 15 patients under C arm IITV and
ORIF was done for 8 cases. Out of 6 patients presenting late
were reduced by ORIF with limited opening and all
compound fractures reduced by ORIF. Kirkos JM, Papavasiliou VA
did a retrospective study on 50 children with unstable diaphyseal both bone forearm fractures where closed
reduction was a failure and open reduction and internal
fixation to a single bone was done [20]. Results proved to be
excellent after follow up including good alignment of ulna.
Complication-In present study, 4(17.39%) patients had minor
complications such as neuropraxia involving radial nerve
(Tourniquet palsy) in 1 case (4.34%), skin irritation over
prominent ulnar hardware in 1 patient requiring early removal
and superficial nail insertion site in Radius infection in 1
(4.34%) patient and 1 case of Extensor pollicis brevis tendon
injury.

Major complications such as limb length discrepancy
affecting the extremity functions, angular or rotational
deformity, synostoses or restricted elbow or wrist movement
were not encountered. Only one case (4.34%) presented with
delayed union, which later healed. Atul Bhaskar [21] described
intramedullary nailing as an excellent technique in children as
it is safe, less invasive and associated with fewer complications. Garg NK, Ballal MS, Malek IA, Webster RA,
Bruce CE has achieved good functional outcome and
complications were modest and transient [22]. Removal of
implants was performed in 12 patients (52.17%) and
remaining cases are still under follow up. The average time
of removal was 9 months (8-10 months).

In this study, 18 cases (78.26%) had excellent, 3 (13.04%)
cases had good, 1 (4.34%) case had fair and 1 (4.34%)
had poor result due to delayed union. Finally all cases achieved
good range of movement with no functional deformity or
complaints. Lascombes et al obtained excellent results and
full range of movement in 92% of 85 forearm fractures treated
with intramedullary nail1. In the study done by Houshian S,
Bajaj SK in single bone fixation of both bone forearm with
radiological union at a median of 6-7 weeks and at follow up,
a full range of elbow and wrist movement were found in all
cases [23].

Conclusion
Conservative management is still the first line of treatment for
diaphyseal forearm fractures especially in children and
adolescents. Presently if operative intervention is required,
both plate fixation wires and elastic flexible nailing are
acceptable treatment options. We think that cosmesis is
perhaps the most important to our patients because the
wounds are small and less conspicuous than the traditional
operative approach for plating which leaves a long unsightly
forearm scar. Elastic intramedullary nailing is simple, time
sparing, acceptable and attractive treatment of displaced and
unstable diaphyseal forearm fracture in children and
adolescents.
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