Targeted delivery using membrane vesicles in prokaryotes

| Authors       | Tashiro Yosuke, Takaki Kotaro, Futamata Hiroyuki |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Journal or Publication title | Biophysics and Physicobiology |
| Volume       | 16                                               |
| Number       | 0                                                |
| Page range   | 114-120                                          |
| Year         | 2019                                             |
| Publisher    | The Biophysical Society of Japan                |
| Rights       | ©2019 THE BIOPHYSICAL SOCIETY OF JAPAN          |
| URL          | http://hdl.handle.net/10297/00026583             |
| doi          | 10.2142/biophysico.16.0_114                     |
Membrane vesicles (MVs) are lumen-containing spheres of lipid bilayers secreted by all prokaryotes into the extracellular milieu. They have multifunctional roles in stress response, virulence transfer, biofilm formation, and microbial interactions. Remarkably, MVs contain various components, including lytic enzymes, genetic materials, and hydrophobic signals, at high concentrations and transfer them effectively to the target microbial cells. Therefore, MVs act as carriers for bactericidal effects, horizontal gene transfer, and quorum sensing. Although the purpose of secreted MVs remains unclear, recent reports have provided evidence that MVs selectively interact with microbial cells in order to transfer their content to the target species. Herein, we review microbial interactions using MVs and discuss MV-mediated selective delivery of their content to target microbial cells.

**Key words:** bacterial interaction, DLVO theory, quorum sensing, horizontal gene transfer, membrane vesicles

Eukaryotic and prokaryotic organisms secrete extracellular follicles with structures similar to that of the cellular membrane. These extracellular vesicles, secreted by prokaryotes, are ordinarily termed membrane vesicles (MVs) or outer membrane vesicles (OMVs), particularly by gram-negative bacteria, and their diameter ranges from 10 to 400 nm (Fig. 1). They are mainly composed of an outer membrane of proteins and phospholipids and other components such as an inner membrane and periplasmic and cytoplasmic proteins, nucleic acids, and polysaccharides [1,2]. Their composition varies, even among the same species, and depends on the growth phase and the environmental conditions [3,4]. MVs are considered to play a crucial role in stress response, virulence transfer, and biofilm formation. MVs contain DNA, RNA, and in some cases, quorum sensing signals that they transfer to other bacterial cells. MVs also mediate the cell-to-cell interaction, resulting in bacterial membrane trafficking [5]. Interior substances are maintained at high concentrations in MVs, protected from degradation by exterior stressors and enzymes; therefore, the encapsulation provides an effective means of microbial interaction in bactericidal effects, horizontal gene transfer, and quorum-sensing, in contrast to diffusion-based interaction [5] (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the characteristics of the MV surface vary among species, MV-derived bacteria, and growth conditions, and this diver-
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MVs kills other bacteria in different pathways

Pathogenic bacteria have emerged by implementing MVs as tools to cause infection and diseases to host cells because MVs have the ability to transmit virulence factors, mediate biofilm formation, and modulate the immune response [6]. MVs act as aggressive tools not only by disseminating virulence factors to host cells, but also by invading other species to establish the niche in multi-species coexisting environments. The first evidence of the predatory nature of MVs is a report that showed that MVs derived from Pseudomonas aeruginosa kill other bacteria [7]. Several gram-negative bacteria, and P. aeruginosa possess MV lyse properties; P. aeruginosa MVs have the ability to lyse the broadest spectrum of bacteria among all other bacteria tested [8]. The high lytic activity of P. aeruginosa MVs is mainly due to murein hydrolase, which functions as an autolysin [9], and antimicrobial quinolones [10]. Gentamicin, which is an aminoglycoside antibiotic, induces MV secretion [11] and gentamicin associated MVs also contribute to the killing of other MV recipient bacteria [12]. Lytic effects fluctuate between gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria under different proposed mechanisms [7]. Virulence factors and peptidoglycan hydrolytic enzymes are concentrated in P. aeruginosa MVs; the MV membrane fuses with the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria, resulting in a transfer of lytic material to other bacterial cells. In contrast to gram-negative bacteria, the surface of gram-positive bacteria is covered by a peptidoglycan layer. P. aeruginosa MVs break open at the peptidoglycan layer when they are attached to gram-positive bacteria. The interior content released from MVs digest the gram-positive bacterial wall [13]. Lately, it has been shown that Bacillus subtilis is more susceptible to P. aeruginosa MVs than other gram-positive bacteria because its surface is more hydrophilic than the other tested bacteria [14]. Therefore, the physicochemical properties of the bacterial surface determine the mechanism and selectivity of the killing effect of MVs on bacterial cells.

MV-bacteria interaction leads to horizontal gene transfer

The existence of genetic material, DNA or RNA, in MVs has been previously reported by studies of MVs isolated from a variety of microbes [15]. The first study that demonstrated horizontal gene transfer (HGT) of plasmid DNA in MVs was in Neisseria gonorrhoeae [16]. Since then, HGT has been observed in gram-negative bacteria [17–22], gram-positive bacteria [23], and archaea [24,25]. MV-mediated transfer of plasmid DNA was not limited in the same species but also occurred beyond the genus [21,23,26]. A recent study showed that internal DNA has the potential for gene transfer; although most of the MV-associated DNA is located on the external surface of MVs, in contrast to its location on the internal membrane in P. aeruginosa [27]. Another study using Porphyromonas gingivalis also showed that DNase treatment decreases the HGT efficiency via MVs by only 30% [22]. These results suggest that both the external and internal MV associated DNA are important for the MV-mediated HGT. It has been considered that there are several pathways for MV-mediated gene transfer (Fig. 2). Fulsundar et al. suggested that HGT by DNA-containing MVs is categorized into two independent pathways: (i) the DNA-containing MVs, close to the recipient cells, are lysed, and released DNA is incorporated into the recipient cells by natural competence (ii) the MV membrane is fused with the recipient cells and DNA is transferred to the recipient cells [21]. In the former case, the possibility of the occurrence of HGT depends on the natural competence of the recipients, while in the latter case, it depends on the properties of the surface. Tran and Boedicker suggested that MVs constitute a general mechanism of transfer of genetic cargo in non-specialized bacterial species [26]. They added MVs packed with plasmid from donor strains (Aeromonas veronii, Enterobacter cloacae and E. coli) to recipient strains (A. veronii, Chromobacterium violaceum, E. cloacae, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa). Plasmid transfer was observed in all combinations tested. MVs packed with plasmid DNA derived from P. aeruginosa did not lead to any DNA transfer in...
between them. MVs that are secreted in the stationary phase, contain more PQS and have more interactions with \( P. \ aeruginosa \) cells compared to those secreted in the exponential phase [4]. \( P. \ aeruginosa \) secretes the extracellular protein TseF through the type VI secretion system H3 (H3-T6SS), and TseF interacts with iron-binding PQS localized in MVs. The TseF-PQS-Fe\(^{3+}\) complex associates with the Fe (III)-pyochelin receptors FptA and OprF localized at the outer membrane [34,35]. Therefore, TseF facilitates the delivery of iron and PQS associated with MVs to recipient bacterial cells. These functions may contribute to MV-mediated specific interactions where PQS is effectively transferred to target bacteria that possess pyochelin receptors (Fig. 3).

Another example of cell-to-cell interaction using MVs is observed in \( Paracoccus \ denitrificans \). This bacterium synthesizes \( N \)-hexadecanoyl-\( L \)-homoserine lactone (C16-HSL), a long chain \( N \)-acyl-\( L \)-homoserine lactone (AHL), as a QS signal, and this hydrophobic signal, associated with MVs, may be solubilized in the aqueous environment [36]. Interestingly, MVs secreted by \( P. \ denitrificans \) interacted mainly with \( P. \ denitrificans \) cells than with the other bacterial species tested, although the mechanism responsible for this selective interaction remains unknown. Various long-chain AHLs, synthesized by this and other bacteria, are able to associate with \( P. \ denitrificans \)-derived MVs that can sequester various signals of the environment by using the MVs for their own gene regulation [37]. Signal transfer using MVs would be effective for intraspecies communication considering that MVs contain a high concentration of signals and are able to transfer them to the same bacterial species.

**Figure 2** Proposed routes of gene transfer using membrane vesicles (MVs). Extracellular DNA is localized at both the surface of MVs and the interior. (A) MVs are close to the recipient bacterial cells and DNA on the surface of MVs is delivered to the recipient that has competency. (B) MVs are associated with the surface of target cells, lysed and the interior DNA is leaked and transported into the recipient with natural competency. (C) Adhesion of MVs to the surface of recipient cells leads to membrane fusion and DNA delivery.
by the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory, and this theory has been applied for both microbial adhesion and aggregation of lipid vesicles [38,39]. Similarly, this theory can be applied for MV interactions with bacterial cells [40]. In this theory, the interaction energy \( V_{\text{total}} \) between microbial cells and vesicles was calculated as the sum of an attractive London-van der Waals interaction energy (\( V_R \)) and an electric repulsive interaction energy (\( V_A \)):

\[
V_{\text{total}} = V_A + V_R
\]  

(1)

\( V_A \) was defined based on the following equation as per a previous report [39]:

\[
V_A = -\frac{A}{6} \left\{ \frac{2aa'}{R^2-(a+a')^2} + \frac{2aa'}{R^2-(a-a')^2} + \ln \frac{R^2-(a+a')^2}{R^2-(a-a')^2} \right\} 
\]  

(2)

\( R \) is the separation distance between the particles of cells and vesicles (from center to center), and expressed by the sum of their separation distance (\( r \)), the radii of the cells (\( a \)) and vesicles (\( a' \)):

\[
R = r + a + a'.
\]  

(3)

Hamaker constant \( A \) is described by the following equation:

\[
A = \pi^2\varepsilon^2\lambda
\]  

(4)

where \( \varepsilon \) is the volume density and \( \lambda \) is London-van der Waals constant. \( A \) of the phospholipid bilayer was expressed as \( 4 \times 10^{-20} \text{N} \) [41].

According to a previous report [39], \( V_R \) was defined by the following equation:

\[
V_R = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_0'a'(\varphi^2 + \varphi'^2)}{a'd}\left[ \frac{2\varphi\varphi'}{\varphi^2 + \varphi'^2} \ln \frac{1+\exp(-\kappa R)}{1-\exp(-\kappa R)} + \ln(1-\exp(-2\kappa R)) \right]
\]  

(5)

where \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi' \) are the Stern potentials of cells and vesicles, respectively, and \( \kappa \) is the Debye constant. The values of the Stern potentials were used as zeta potentials (\( \zeta \)) obtained experimentally. When the absolute values of \( \varphi \) and \( \varphi' \) were less than 60 mV (\( \kappa > 1 \)), \( V_R \) was approximated by the following equation:

\[
V_R = 2\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_0'kT \ln \{ \exp(2\kappa R) \} \left( \frac{2\rho\rho' - (\varphi^2 + \varphi'^2) \exp(-\kappa R)}{\varphi^2 + \varphi'^2} \right)
\]  

(6)

where \( \varepsilon \) is the relative permittivity of the medium, and \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of the vacuum. The Debye constant \( \kappa \) was expressed by the following equation:

\[
\kappa = \left( \frac{2000N_Ae^2c}{\varepsilon_0\varepsilon_0'kT} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(7)

where \( e \) is the elementary charge, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number, \( c \) is the ion concentration, \( z \) is the charge of ions, and \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant.

MV s derived from an enterobacterium \textit{Buttiauxella agrestis} interact with limited bacterial species and this specific interaction can be explained by the DLVO theory [40]. The interaction of MVs and bacterial cells was examined using 11 MV-producing strains. It was found that MVs derived from \textit{B. agrestis} interact exclusively with the same genus bacteria (Fig. 4A), whereas MVs from other bacteria do not display similar characteristics. The electron microscopic observation analysis suggests that MVs were not only attached to \textit{B. agrestis} cells but also fused with them (Fig. 4B). One of the mechanisms of such a unique interaction is based on the physicochemical characteristics of \textit{B. agrestis}. The cellular surface of \textit{Buttiauxella} spp. possesses significantly lower zeta potential compared to that of other gram-negative bacteria. Thereby, according to the DLVO theory, the primary maximum energy between \textit{B. agrestis} MVs and cells is at an extremely low level, unlike the energy of the interaction between MVs and the other bacterial cells tested in this study (Fig. 4C). These results suggest that the low primary maximum energy is one of the reasons for the specific inter-
Another study reported that zeta potentials of MVs released from *P. aeruginosa* vary according to growth phase, while those from bacterial cells are unchanged [4]. It is thought that the observed variance in zeta potentials results in decrease in electric repulsive interaction energy controlling MV-bacterium interaction in a certain bacterial species. Our results revealed that the classical form of DLVO theory was applied to the interaction between *B. agrestis* MVs and various bacterial cells, suggesting that hydrophobic and thermodynamic forces were not the driving force under our experimental conditions. It remains unknown, however, if this model is applicable to all MV-bacterium interactions.

Bacteria possess various appendages such as flagella and pilus, and it is these motility-related structures, and not Brownian motion, that organize bacterial movement and promote bacterial attachment. Given this, it is difficult to predict MV-bacterium movements using the present physico-chemical model. Further improvement of this model will promote increased understanding of MV-bacterium interactions across a broad range of species.

**Figure 4** The specific interaction of MVs based on DLVO theory. (A) Association of MVs derived from *B. agrestis* CUETM77-167 with various bacterial species: Corynebacterium glutamicum AJ2247 (C. g.), Micrococcus luteus JCM 1464 (M. l.), Bacillus subtilis C1, Flavobacterium johnsoniae JCM 8514 (F. j.), Rhizobium halotolerans JCM 17536 (R. h.), R. soli DS-42 (R. s.), Hydrogenophaga pseudoflava GA3 (H. p.), Buttiauxella agrestis CUETM77-167 (B. a.), Escherichia coli MG1655 (E. c.), Erwinia persicina HK2194 (E. p.), Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 (P. ae.), and *P. alcaligenes* JCM 20561 (P. al.) were used as recipient strains. (B) Interaction of fluorescein-4-isothiocyanate (FITC)-labelled MVs with *B. agrestis* cells. Bacteria-associated MVs were detected by small gold particles (black arrows) through the FITC antibody. The bar indicates 100 nm. (C) Primary maximum energy between each bacterial cell and *B. agrestis* MVs based on the DLVO theory. (D, E) Model for the free energy profile of the interaction between cells and MVs according to a generalized DLVO theory. (F) Relationship between MV association with cells and primary maximum energy. Blue plots show *Buttiauxella* strains, and red plots show other bacterial genera. Figure is reprint of Tashiro et al. [40] with modification.

action between *B. agrestis* MVs and cells (Fig. 4D, E). Interestingly, such specific interaction using MVs is conserved in the *Buttiauxella* genus [40]. The relationship between MV-cell interaction and primary maximum energy, according to the DLVO theory, showed that the low interaction energy affects the specific interaction between *B. agrestis* MVs and cells of *Buttiauxella* spp.; nevertheless, the possibility that other specific proteins may also facilitate the interaction of MVs is not excluded.

The zeta potentials of bacterial cells are generally influenced by the components of LPS and capsular polysaccharides located on the cellular surfaces. The chemical characteristics of LPS and capsular polysaccharides vary among bacterial strains, including strains within the same species. It is believed that MVs are considered to be formed from the specific sites at bacterial surfaces, and therefore the surface charge of MVs is not always similar to that of origin bacterial cells. For example, the negatively charged B-band LPS is contained in MVs naturally released from *P. aeruginosa*, but A-band LPS is absent in those MVs [11]. Another study reported that zeta potentials of MVs released from *P. aeruginosa* vary according to growth phase, while those from bacterial cells are unchanged [4]. It is thought that the observed variance in zeta potentials results in decrease in electric repulsive interaction energy controlling MV-bacterium interaction in a certain bacterial species. Our results revealed that the classical form of DLVO theory was applied to the interaction between *B. agrestis* MVs and various bacterial cells, suggesting that hydrophobic and thermodynamic forces were not the driving force under our experimental conditions. It remains unknown, however, if this model is applicable to all MV-bacterium interactions. Bacteria possess various appendages such as flagella and pilus, and it is these motility-related structures, and not Brownian motion, that organize bacterial movement and promote bacterial attachment. Given this, it is difficult to predict MV-bacterium movements using the present physico-chemical model. Further improvement of this model will promote increased understanding of MV-bacterium interactions across a broad range of species.
Conclusion and perspectives

Based on the findings of numerous laboratories, it is now clear that secreted MVs interact with limited microbial species. One of the underlying mechanisms is the physicochemical interaction energy based on the surface potentials; the DLVO theory is a method to estimate the interaction of MVs with the bacterial cells [40]. Conversely, a ligand-receptor relationship has also been observed in MVs and the bacterial surface [34], and it may contribute to specific interactions using MVs in microbial communities. Indeed, specific proteins located on the surface of MVs derived from pathogens, increase the association with epithelial cells in the host [42–44]. The MV surface that carries specific proteins enables MVs to deliver their content to target cells; therefore, such genetic engineering could contribute to the development of MVs for drug delivery purposes and vaccines [45–47]. Understanding targeted delivery using MVs will open a new avenue for controlling specific bacterial species in the microbial community.
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