Matrix glycoconjugate characterization in multispecies biofilms and bioaggregates from the environment by means of fluorescently-labeled lectins
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Environmental biofilms represent a complex mixture of different microorganisms. Their identity is usually analyzed by means of nucleic acid-based techniques. However, these biofilms are also composed of a highly complex extracellular matrix produced by the microbes within a particular biofilm system. The biochemical identity of this extracellular matrix remains in many cases an intractable part of biofilms and bioaggregates. Consequently, there is a need for an approach that will give access to the fully hydrated structure of the extracellular matrix or at least a major part of it. A crucial compound of the matrix identified as carbohydrate-based polymers represents major structural and functional constituents. These glycoconjugates can be characterized by using fluorescently-labeled lectins in combination with confocal laser scanning microscopy. The lectin approach is defined previously, as fluorescence lectin barcoding (FLBC) and fluorescence lectin-binding analysis (FLBA), where FLBC is equal to the screening of a particular sample with all the commercially available lectins and FLBA is the actual analysis of the matrix throughout an experiment with a selected panel of lectins. As the application of immune-based techniques in environmental biofilm systems is impossible, the lectin approach is currently the only option for probing lectin-specific glycoconjugates in complex biofilms and bioaggregates. From all the commercially available lectins tested, the lectins such as AAL, HAA, WGA, ConA, IAA, HPA, and LEA showed the highest binding efficiency. Furthermore, 20 of the overall lectins tested showed an intermediate signal intensity, nevertheless very useful for the assessment of matrix glycoconjugates. With the data compiled, we shall virtually shed more light on the dark matter of the extracellular matrix and their 3-dimensional distribution in environmental biofilm systems. The results will be helpful in future studies with a focus on the extracellular matrix glycoconjugates present in environmental microbial communities.
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Introduction

The extracellular matrix of microbial communities, such as biofilms and bioaggregates, represents an essential part of their structure and functionality. This self-produced matrix is a complex mixture of different biochemical constituents, such as polysaccharides, proteins, extracellular nucleic acids, amphiphilic compounds, and microbial-derived refractory compounds (Neu and Lawrence, 2017). Usually, a mixture of these constituents summarized as extracellular polymeric substances (EPSs) built the matrix. However, several recent publications used the term extracellular matrix (ECM). The pivotal significance of the matrix in a wider sense was discussed in several overviews with a focus on the following aspects: general matrix facts and issues (Flemming and Wingender, 2010), giving structure to the matrix (Hobley et al., 2015), sensing and signaling of the matrix (Steinberg and Kolodkin-Gal, 2015), the functionality of matrix constituents (Neu and Lawrence, 2017), and matrix function in a social context (Dragoş and Kovács, 2017).

Nevertheless, analyzing the seemingly intractable matrix remains a major challenge. There are several approaches to analyzing the matrix. First, establishing extraction strategies and subsequent biochemical analysis (D’Abzac et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Pellicer-Nacher et al., 2013; Loustau et al., 2018); second, new extraction methods (Felz et al., 2016; Pronk et al., 2017; Boleij et al., 2018; Wong et al., 2020); third, taking advantage of genomics and proteomics techniques to examine the extracellular space (Dumas et al., 2008; Paes Leme et al., 2008; Cao et al., 2011; Albertsen et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2016); and fourth, in-situ 3-dimensional visualization by confocal laser scanning microscopy (Neu and Lawrence, 2014; Schlafer and Meyer, 2017).

The continuous challenge in analyzing the hydrated matrix in situ by means of visual techniques lies in its biochemical heterogeneity and the absence of a general contrasting agent. Ideally, a single probe for the extracellular matrix would be very useful either as a direct stain or as a labeled probe. However, even for one of the major matrix components, e.g., polysaccharides as a prominent example, an overall fluorescence staining approach is not available. Consequently, a compromise had to be established by taking advantage of fluorescently-labeled lectins and their glycoconjugate specificity (Neu and Lawrence, 1999; Neu et al., 2001). The lectin approach is inevitable for environmental samples, as there is no alternative such as the production and application of antibodies. Although immune-based techniques are powerful, they may be only applicable to pure culture studies.

This manuscript represents a follow-up on the lectin screening results already published on several pure culture studies with biofilms and bioaggregates (Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017). At the time, we defined the actual lectin screening as fluorescence lectin barcoding (FLBC) and the subsequent lectin analysis as fluorescence lectin-binding analysis (FLBA). FLBC requires a whole list of commercially available lectins for testing a particular sample type. FLBA stands for the tailor-made employment of selected lectins in a defined experiment. In contrast to the previous publication, this manuscript presents lectin data compiled from several environmental studies. We grouped the various FLBC results into the following biofilm systems: (1) derived from rivers, (2) developed in biofilm reactors, (3) wastewater reactor granules, (4) marine samples, and (5) miscellaneous. The lectin data presented in form of a barcoding table may be useful for similar applications and should serve as a guideline for the selection of lectins in studies on glycoconjugates of multispecies and environmental biofilm systems.

Materials and methods

Samples examined

Many samples examined by fluorescence lectin barcoding originate from a range of collaborative projects having very different backgrounds and motivations (see Section Acknowledgments). Publication of major results from these projects included a few selected lectin image data sets. Nevertheless, the presentation of the original and extensive lectin screening data is missing. Details of sample origin and development compiled in form of a table with reference to the original articles are given in Table 1.

Lectins staining and screening

Commercially available lectins purchased from various suppliers, such as Sigma-Aldrich, EY Laboratories, Vector Laboratories, and Molecular Probes (Supplementary Table 1), had labels of green-emitting fluorochromes. They comprised fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), fluorescein, or Alexa Fluor 488. For lectin combinations, orange-/red-/far red-labeled lectins are possible, such as tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC), Texas Red, Cy5, or various Alexa fluorochromes. Conjugation of unlabeled lectins with Alexa fluorochromes using a kit from Molecular Probes according to their protocol allows the attachment of any fluorochrome matching sample properties. The lectins purchased (1 mg/ml buffer) were divided into aliquots and kept at −20°C. This stock solution was diluted at 1:10 for fluorescence staining of the biofilm matrix. Additional details reported elsewhere give further information (Neu and Lawrence, 1999, 2014).

For staining a particular sample, one biofilm or aggregate needs incubation with one lectin. Thus, a screening using, e.g., 80 different lectins requires 80 subsamples. Lectin staining is straightforward and was described in detail previously.
TABLE 1 List of habitats and origin of biofilm samples together with the reference of originally published data.

| Habitat                        | References                  |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rivers, creeks                 | Zippel and Neu, 2011        |
| Westerhöfer creek, tufa        | Zippel et al., 2007         |
| Deinschwanger creek, tufa      | Zippel and Neu, 2011        |
| Chrishbach, column             | Desch et al., 2018          |
| Chrishbach, flow cells         | Staudt et al., 2003         |
| Elbe, river snow               | Luef et al., 2009           |
| Danube, river snow             | Luef et al., 2009           |
| Flow lanes, reactors           | Zippel et al., 2007         |
| WWTP, flow lane, low light     | Zippel et al., 2007         |
| WWTP, flow lane, high light    | Staudt et al., 2003         |
| RAR, Elbe river water          | Staudt et al., 2003         |
| RAR, Elbe river water, and glucose | Staudt et al., 2003     |
| RAR, Elbe river water, and methanol | Staudt et al., 2003 |
| Paper mill, white water, reactor | Milerstedt et al., 2012   |
| Cooling tower, industry        | http://dottoratobec.\ uniroma2.it/files/2018/ 03/Di-Gregorio.pdf | Gagliano et al., 2018

Reactor granules

- Anaerobic granules, low salt
  - Gagliano et al., 2018
  - Bojzej et al., 2018, 2020

- Anaerobic, anammox granules,
  - EPS glycopolymers
  - Weissbrodt et al., 2013

- Aerobic reactor, flocs and granules
  - Pronk et al., 2017

- Aerobic granules, WWTP and acetate,
  - Acid soluble EPS
  - de Graaff et al., 2019

- Aerobic granules, WWTP and seawater,
  - Sialic acids
  - Felz et al., 2020

- Aerobic granules, hyaluronic
  - Acid-like/glycosaminoglycans
  - Tomás-Martínez et al., 2021

Marine

- Hypersaline mat, zone 3
  - Arp et al., 2012

- Hypersaline mat, zone 6
  - Arp et al., 2012

- Hypersaline mat, zone 12
  - Arp et al., 2012

- North sea, marine snow
  - Bollweg et al., 2013

Miscellaneous

- Iron snow, lignite mining lake
  - Lu et al., 2013

- Microbial mat, hot spring
  - Ward et al., 2006

- Cave snotties
  - Karwautz et al., 2018

RAR, rotating annular reactor, WWTP, wastewater treatment plant.

or a suitable medium (no complex carbohydrate-containing constituents). There are several options for removing unbound lectins according to sample properties and fragility (Neu and Lawrence, 2014). If paraformaldehyde (PFA) fixed samples are used, replacement of PFA with water or buffer is necessary. The overall procedure of lectin staining was critically examined in an earlier report (Neu et al., 2001).

Sample mounting and assessment

The mounting of samples was according to their origin, properties, and appearance. Biofilms are usually grown on solid surfaces, e.g., plastic cut into pieces of a few cm². One piece was glued into a small 5 cm petri dish using a silicone sealant. The biofilms were stained with the lectin and washed based on their stability using a variety of options (Neu and Lawrence, 2014). Then, the petri dish was flooded with water or buffer and examined with water-immersible (dipping) lenses. To avoid squeezing the structure of flocs, aggregates, or granules, CoverWell chambers with various spacers proved to be ideal for mounting. After staining and washing bioaggregates, examination of the chamber using water immersion lenses through a No. 1.5H coverslip gave the best results.

In the first step, sample assessment was visually in the epifluorescence mode to identify those with no binding or weak binding patterns (no signal or brownish-green signal). If samples showed a strong or intermediate binding (bright green signal), a reference data set recorded in confocal mode is rather helpful for later comparison of lectin staining patterns.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy

In the course of our study, two confocal laser scanning microscopes were available: a TCS SP1 and a TCS SP5X both with an upright microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany). The TCS SP1 system was equipped with traditional laser sources (argon 488 nm, DPSS 561 nm, and HeNe 633 nm), controlled by the LCS software version 2.61. The TCS SP5X system was equipped with a supercontinuum laser light source (470–670 nm) and controlled by the software LAS-AF version 2.4.1. For recording image data sets, usually, excitation at 488 nm and collection of the emission signal from 500 to 550 nm (FITC, fluorescein, and Alexa Fluor 488) became standard for green-emitting fluorochromes. In most cases, the following objective lenses were employed: (1) 25× NA 0.95 VISIR Fluotar and 63× NA 0.9 HCX APO both water immersible (biofilms mounted in Petri dishes without a coverslip) and (2) 25× NA 0.95 VISIR Fluotar and 63× NA 1.2 corr CS HCX PL APO both water immersion lenses (flocs, aggregates, and granules in CoverWell chambers with coverslip).
Image recording and data presentation

For most of the data sets, the general settings of recording parameters comprised as follows: 8-bit data depth, format or pixel resolution 512 × 512 (TCS SP1) or 1,024 × 1,024 (TCS SP5X), scan speed medium (TCS SP1) or 400 Hz (TCS SP5X), step size 1 μm, no average, and no zoom. As a standardized procedure, all the data sets recorded by using the lookup table “glow over under” (GOU) assured an optimal signal-to-noise ratio. Further, the pixel intensities showed only a few saturated pixels and a background level just above zero. Hence, with GOU, the full dynamic range of the pixel intensities is used.

The results of the screening may be presented as a binary color pattern with only black (binding) and white (no binding) information. More information extracted from a heat map facilitates the differentiation of several binding efficiencies. For this purpose, the voltage settings of the photomultiplier tube (PMT) are available as a convenient measure. The following PMT voltage settings defined 400–600 as a strong signal, 600–800 as an intermediate signal, and 800–1,000 as a weak signal. Compilation of the barcoding patterns presented in form of a color-coded Excel sheet (Microsoft) allows the selection of potential lectins for a more detailed assessment. For the final digital image analysis, the software Imaris (Bitplane) for visualization, Huygens (SVI) for deconvolution, and Photoshop (Adobe) for the presentation were available.

Results

Epifluorescence microscopy

For the characterization of the extracellular glycoconjugates in a new unknown biofilm or bioaggregate sample via FLBC, a screening with many different lectins was required as a basis for a more detailed examination. The commercially available lectins comprised about 70–80 different types. However, their supply changed depending on the availability of raw materials, biochemical isolation, and legal regulations. Hence, the number of available lectins required the same number of samples subsequently examined visually by epifluorescence microscopy. Samples stained with FITC-, fluorescein-, or Alexa Fluor 488-labeled lectins usually showed different shades of green. Weak binding patterns appeared as a brown-green signal, whereas a bright green signal indicated a strong binding signal. In any case, the signal should be matching a microbiological structure. In other words, one should consider the glycoconjugate structures in relation to microbial features (cells, microcolonies, films, flocs, aggregates, granules, and mats) potentially expected. If a positive lectin signal was identified in the epifluorescence mode visually, a sample data set in confocal mode by using the GOU lookup table was recorded for documentation. Any artificial fluorescence signals originating, e.g., from geometric minerals or detritus particles, were neglected. Examples from various screenings given in Figure 1 show different patterns of lectin-specific glycoconjugate signals from environmental samples.

Binary barcoding

By means of an FLBC screening, often a Yes/No answer was only needed. For this purpose, a listing of the photomultiplier tube (PMT) setting in form of the voltage (sensitivity) for all the positively tested lectins may be enough. This identified the strongest binding pattern by showing the lowest PMT voltage setting. In addition, the transformation of the results into a simple binary barcoding pattern generated a graphic presentation based on a self-defined cutoff value meaning good binding or weak/no binding. There was, however, one aspect to consider, the nature of the lectin signal, meaning the structure of interest in view of the research focus. For example, the significance of glycoconjugates, e.g., on the cell surface, in microcolonies, throughout the extracellular biofilm matrix, and microbe–microbe or microbe–eukaryote interactions.

Heat map barcoding

More information revealed by grouping the results into several intensity clusters gave further details on lectin binding characteristics (Figure 2). The basis for this heat map was again the voltage setting of the PMT. From experience, we arbitrarily defined three binding efficiencies meaning three PMT ranges: 400–600, 600–800, and 800–1,000 V. As a result, the low sensitivity of the photomultiplier tube (400–600 V) indicated strong glycoconjugate signals, intermediate sensitivity of the photomultiplier tube (600–800 V) indicated good glycoconjugate signals, and high sensitivity of the photomultiplier tube (800–1,000 V) indicated weak glycoconjugate signals. Of note, the image data recorded using the lookup table GOU may look similar in intensity although recorded at different voltages. Furthermore, CLSM data recorded with a high voltage setting may contain some background noise. Therefore, it was necessary to use background subtraction, filtering, or deconvolution to improve the resolution of the data sets. In a second step, the FLBC data from the heat map provided a frame to perform a more detailed FLBA with selected lectins to follow glycoconjugate patterns throughout, e.g., a time experiment, interaction study, or any other biofilm experiment.

Lectin information

The lectin applicability as revealed by FLBC should serve as a guideline for similar studies. This would avoid buying all the
FIGURE 1
Examples of different lectin patterns from environmental biofilm and bioaggregate samples. The multichannel, 3-dimensional data sets recorded by CLSM and projected as maximum intensity projection (MIP) indicate a variety of lectin-specific glycoconjugates. (A) Reactor granule

(Continued)
lectins commercially offered. Purchasing the full range of lectins, some of them with different fluorochrome labels would mean an investment in the range of 15–20 K calculated in €.

The signal intensity derived from image data lends itself to counting and shortlisting. In Table 2, those lectins are compiled showing a strong binding efficiency (dark green shading in Figure 2). The lectins listed according to their frequency of binding provided a shortlist of extremely valuable lectins. Table 2 also indicates the inhibitory carbohydrate if known in the literature. Consequently, Table 2 offers a powerful hint of which lectin to select for imaging lectin-specific glycoconjugates within a defined biofilm system. The most frequent lectin identified, AAL, has specificity for α-Fuc. The next best lectins, HAA and WGA, inhibited by β-GlcNAc, have also other specificities (see Table 2). The ConA is probably the most often applied lectin, as it is well characterized, has a bit broader specificity, is readily available, and is also one of the cheapest lectins. The lectin IAA is not well characterized but often showed a rather strong signal. Further down the list, the two lectins, namely HPA and LEA, are specific for α-GalNAc and β-GlcnAc, which were also very successfully applied (see Table 2).

The lectins compiled in Table 3 with intermediate binding efficiency are still very useful for the examination of environmental biofilm systems for their lectin-specific glycoconjugates. The top scorer in this list, GS-I, has specificity for α-Gal and α-GalcNAc. The second lectin, AIA, has again specificity for α-Gal. Interestingly, the next four lectins in the list (LEA, IAA, WGA, and HPA) are also present, as shown in Table 2. This implies that they should be in focus if defining a selection of lectins. The lectins selected either applied as an individual lectin to a single biofilm or as a combination of lectins with different labels applied to one biofilm reveal additional information. The lectins further down the list show a variety of binding specificities and represent valuable probes too. Although some of the lectins might be similar in binding specificity according to the supplier’s datasheet, they may show useful signals as these lectins may bind differentially.

The results of Figure 2 can also be evaluated with respect to the habitat and sample origin. Four samples showed a strong binding efficiency with more than 10 lectins, with the “anaerobic reactor–low salt” binding 21 lectins. Four samples showed an intermediate binding efficiency with more than 30 lectins, again with the “anaerobic reactor–low salt” binding of 43 lectins. In general, with all the sample types tested, there were usually enough lectins to select for a more detailed FLBA study. If there are multiple options to choose from, it will be logical either to consider the specificity of the lectins or to look at the lectin signal pattern of interest. By this means, the establishment of a solid base for selecting a panel of useful lectins for a detailed FLBA investigation is feasible.

In view of a possible relationship between lectin binding vs. nonlectin binding and sample type, no clear pattern could be established. The reason might be the different origins of the samples (e.g., rivers and creeks) and the selective enrichment of a specific and differential microbial community (e.g., reactors, flow lanes, and reactor granules).

Discussion

Visualization of the intact matrix in biofilm systems remains a challenge. Nevertheless, there are attempts to contrast the overall matrix. One approach based on reflection raises some issues and is not established in the field (Swearingen et al., 2016). Another approach, although promoted as a fluorescent matrix stain, the so-called “FilmTracer SYPRO Ruby biofilm matrix stain”, remains questionable. In fact, SYPRO Ruby as a general protein-specific fluorochrome will stain all the proteins, including the ones at the cell surface. In the case of polysaccharides as a major matrix polymer, there is no fluorochrome available that binds to all the types of polysaccharides. One fluorochrome sometimes used for matrix visualization is calcofluor white M2R. However, it will detect only one type of polysaccharides having a defined linkage type ($\beta 1 \rightarrow 3$, $\beta 1 \rightarrow 4$) as, for example, in cellulose (Rasconi et al., 2009). Furthermore, the option of using antibodies, as, for example, in pure culture studies, is not applicable in environmental samples. Consequently, the lectin
Detailed barcoding results of the lectin screening (FLBC) by means of a three color heat map. The signal intensities were color-coded equal to signal intensity: high signal (PMT voltage 600–800), intermediate signal (PMT voltage 400–600), and low signal (PMT voltage 800–1,000). Color coding: strong lectin binding–dark green, intermediate lectin binding–bright green, and low lectin binding–soft green. For abbreviations of lectins, see Supplementary Table 1.
TABLE 2 Shortlist of lectins bound with a strong binding efficiency (see dark green shading in Figure 2).

| Lectin | Specificity |
|--------|-------------|
| AAL    | α-Fuc       |
| HAA    | α-GlcNAc, α-GalNAc |
| WGA    | β-GlcNAc, sialic acid |
| ConA   | α-Man, α-Glc, α-GlcNAc |
| IAA    | n. d.       |
| HPA    | α-GalNAc    |
| LEA    | β-GlcNAc    |

Lectins are listed according to their binding frequency. n. d.—lectin specificity not determined.

TABLE 3 Shortlist of lectins bound with an intermediate binding efficiency (see bright green shading in Figure 2).

| Lectin | Specificity |
|--------|-------------|
| GS-I   | α-Gal, α-GalNAc |
| AIA    | β-Gal       |
| LEA    | β-GlcNAc    |
| IAA    | n.d.        |
| WGA    | β-GlcNAc, sialic acid |
| HPA    | α-GalNAc    |
| UEA    | α-Fuc, β-GlcNAc |
| ECA    | α-Gal, β-Gal, α-GalNAc, β-GalNAc |
| PSA    | α-Man, α-Glc, α-GlcNAc |
| ASA    | α-Man      |
| SBA    | α-GalNAc, β-GalNAc |
| PNA    | β-Gal       |
| VVA    | α-Man, α-GalNAc |
| AMA    | n. d.       |
| CSA    | β-Gal       |
| MPA    | α-Gal, α-GalNAc |
| PA-I   | Gal        |
| PTA    | β-Gal, α-GalNAc, β-GalNAc |
| UDA    | β-GlcNAc    |
| VFA    | α-Man, α-Glc, α-GlcNAc |

Lectins listed according to their binding frequency. n. d.—lectin specificity not determined.

approach applied to environmental microbiological samples, such as biofilms and bioaggregates, currently represents the only method to detect different matrix glycoconjugates. FLBC and FLBA in combination allow glycoconjugate imaging in hydrated samples, in 3-dimensions and in situ. The lectins selected for FLBA can be combined with other fluorochromes as contrasting agents, e.g., for staining cell distribution with nucleic acid-specific fluorochromes. A smart combination of different staining and imaging approaches will allow visualization of a complex 3-dimensional biofilm landscape in multiple channels (Neu and Lawrence, 2015; Lawrence et al., 2016, 2018).

It is important to be aware that if applying lectins, the direction of their binding specificity is not only toward polysaccharides but also to glycoconjugates in general. Glycoconjugates in microbial communities are present in form of glycolipids, glycoproteins, and polysaccharides. Hence, staining biofilm systems with a lectin will reveal different structural features. These may include (1) microbial cell surfaces such as capsules or sheaths (Zippel and Neu, 2011), (2) microbial footprints or holdfasts (Neu and Marshall, 1991; Neu, 1992; Baumgartner et al., 2016), (3) matrix structures within microcolonies (Lawrence et al., 2007, 2016), (4) microbe-microbe or microbe-eukaryote interactions (Kline et al., 2009; Bennke et al., 2013; Ielasi et al., 2016), and (5) overall matrix of the extracellular space (Staudt et al., 2004; Neu et al., 2005). Consequently, one should be aware of the biochemical and structural diversity to which the fluorochrome-labeled lectin may bind.

Lectin application is possible as individual probes and in combination. As indicated, many lectins are available with green-emitting fluorochromes. Other labels comprise orange or red and far-red emitting dyes. If lectins carrying these fluorochromes are not available, there are staining kits, which allow conjugation of any fluorescent color, e.g., with various Alexa dyes. This may be important if there are sample properties occupying a spectral window (e.g., in the far-red by chlorophyll A) or if other fluorochromes are added in combination (e.g., in the green part of the emission spectrum such as SYTO 9). Nevertheless, there is one option of separating multiple green fluorochromes based on the fluorescence lifetime. By means of fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM), separation of fluorochrome emitting in the same region is feasible, if they show a different lifetime. The FLIM technique, however, requires additional hardware and software. Although the FLIM option can be attached to a confocal laser scanning microscopy, it was hardly used in studies of microbial communities. Some examples with a focus on biofilm systems in combination with two-photon laser scanning microscopy are measuring pH in biofilms (Vroom et al., 1999), examination of bacterial activity (Walczynska et al., 2008), and microbial behavior under high pressure (Bourges et al., 2020). To the best of our knowledge, there is no report of FLIM for imaging the complexity of biofilm matrix constituents. In any case, if two or more lectins are applied, one has to make sure that they do not recognize each other forming a precipitate. Pairwise testing of two lectins with different fluorochromes on a microscope slide will easily show potential precipitates (Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017). Thus, a report on the application of a mixture with 20 different lectins has to be looked at critically (Fanesi et al., 2019).

As the lectin information collected by CLSM ends up as a digital data set, it is amenable to quantification. After
thresholding, the pixel (2-dimensional) or voxel (3-dimensional) information may serve as a measure for glycoconjugate production. However, due to the many parameters to be controlled during CLSM, this will be only semiquantitative (Pawley, 2000). Nevertheless, there is a very recent tutorial for quantitative confocal microscopy with guidance to use the CLSM appropriately (Jonkman et al., 2020). Yet another aspect often raised applies to control and inhibition experiments. This issue already discussed reveals differential results, which need evaluation and control with respect to lectin binding characteristics (Zippel and Neu, 2011; Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017).

In the first manuscript on FLBC with pure cultures, the advantages and disadvantages have been elaborated (Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017). A positive aspect is the commercial availability of lectins, whereas a negative aspect may be the limited variation of their specificity. New specificities explored by means of the so-called carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) might offer additional probes (Nguyen et al., 2014; Ojima et al., 2015). Other candidates are lectins derived from microbial pili or fimbriae. Clearly, there is a need to have more lectins specific for some of the rare carbohydrates produced by microorganisms and lectins binding to the unique linkages between different microbial monosaccharides. This aspect was addressed in a statistical analysis of the Bacterial Carbohydrate Structure Database (Herget et al., 2008). Furthermore, the potential of lectin microarrays was discussed, as it will allow high-throughput analysis of many samples (Campanero-Rhodes et al., 2020), although this advantage was proven for only pure cultures (Yasuda et al., 2011).

**Conclusion**

Fluorescence lectin barcoding constitutes a useful basis for shortlisting many lectins to perform a more detailed fluorescence lectin-binding analysis (FLBA). Thereby, assessment of glycoconjugate distribution and glycoconjugate typing becomes possible (Lawrence et al., 2007, 2016). The lectin approach combined with FISH or CARD-FISH enables the allocation of glycoconjugates to phylogenetic groups of bacteria (Böckelmann et al., 2002; Bennke et al., 2013). In addition to the previous manuscript with a focus on pure culture biofilms (Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017), there is a further important aspect concerning complex environmental biofilm systems. The key point and advantage of the lectin approach, including FLBC and FLBA, lies in its unique feature for detecting diverse glycoconjugates and its direct applicability on hydrated biofilm and bioaggregate samples. This aspect is crucial; as for environmental samples, it is impossible to apply immune techniques, e.g., producing antibodies against the vast diversity of potential matrix glycoconjugates. In terms of the lectin approach, new specificities are needed either by searching for new lectins or looking for similar proteins such as carbohydrate-binding modules as discussed previously (Neu and Kuhlicke, 2017). Finally, there is still a need for a fluorescent carbohydrate stain, which will allow contrasting the overall polysaccharide matrix in biofilm systems. Very likely, multiple strategies are necessary to address the large variety of polysaccharides, carbohydrate linkages, and the partly unique and exotic glycoconjugates present in microbial communities. All of these strategies should ideally match the techniques employed for in-situ visualization and analysis of other major matrix compounds, such as extracellular proteins and extracellular nucleic acids, amphiphilic compounds, and microbial-derived refractory constituents.
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