Clinicopathological Characteristics and Prognosis of Breast Cancer in Young Women – A Single Center Study in a Developing Country
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Objective: Breast cancer (BC) in young women tends to be more aggressive. This study highlights the clinicopathological features and outcomes of young breast cancer (YBC) patients in a developing country.

Methods: Consecutive patients aged 35 years or younger with a pathologically confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer treated and followed up at our department were included. Medical records and follow-up databases were reviewed and documented.

Results: The rate of breast conservation and reconstruction surgery is higher in YBC patients. YBC patients are more likely to have tumors over 5cm, high-grade, hormone receptor negative, triple negative and stage III than old patients. There was no statistically significant difference in the pathological type, lymph node metastasis, and HER2 status. The median follow-up time was 96 months. The disease-free survival (DFS) was significantly worse in the YBC group. However, the overall survival (OS) had no difference between the two groups.

Conclusion: YBC patients had more aggressive pathological features, such as hormone receptor negative, triple negative, high-grade and advanced stage, and poorer DFS than the old counterparts.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer in females and the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the United States.1 BC is typically diagnosed in females older than 60 years and rather rare in women younger than 35 years.2 A constant increase in BC diagnoses in women younger than 40 years has been recently reported in several countries,3,4 with approximately 11% of new cases diagnosed in women who are 45 years of age or younger.5 The definition of “young women” in the field of breast oncology is not standardized, but most of the literature refers to women aged ≤40 years in developed countries.

BC is also the highest incidence malignant tumor in Chinese women, but the age of onset is nearly 10 years earlier than that of European and American countries, and the average age of onset is 48.7 years.6 Therefore, in China, young breast cancer (YBC) specifically refers to breast cancer patients whose age of onset is ≤35 years old. YBC patients account for more than 10% of all breast cancer patients,
and very YBC patients with an age of onset ≤25 years old account for about 0.5% in our society.\textsuperscript{7,8}

In a recent analysis based on the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database from 2004 to 2008, YBC patients had significantly inferior overall and breast-cancer-specific survival than their older counterparts.\textsuperscript{9} There are several possible explanations, such as higher histological grade, lower or absent expression of estrogen receptors (ER) and/or progesterone receptors (PR), higher proportion of triple-negative and Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) over-expression, and higher lymphovascular invasion for the YBC patients.\textsuperscript{10,11} Conversely, some other reports showed no differences between young and old patients in clinical and histopathological features, such as tumor staging, histological grade, nodal status, ER status, and the molecular subtype of breast cancer.\textsuperscript{12} At present, most of the research related to this is done in developed countries, and there are few relevant data from developing countries. The purpose of this research is to study the clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of YBC patients from a single center in a developing country.

Materials and Methods
Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
Consecutive patients aged 35 years or younger with a pathologically confirmed diagnosis of breast cancer treated at our department between January 2010 and December 2013 were included. To ensure adequate follow-up time, we only included patients diagnosed till 2013. Women with previous history of breast cancer, stage IV and unresectable breast cancer or bilateral breast cancer were excluded.

A total of 880 breast cancer patients were treated in our institute from January 2010 to December 2013. Among them, 58 YBC patients have complete medical records and follow-up data with a mean age of 31.5 years. The mean age of breast cancer women in our department was 49.5 years in that 3 years and most patients aged 46~55 years. Hence, we randomly selected the same number of patients from the age of 46~55 years as our control group. The principle of our random selection is: patients aged 46~55 years old with complete medical records and follow-up data, who were operated by the same surgeon and the surgery date is closest to the young breast cancer patients. Medical records and hospital databases were reviewed and documented.

This study was approved by the ethics board of China-Japan Friendship Hospital. Data collection and review of medical records were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the board and the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. Because of the retrospective nature of our study and lack of patient identification, informed consent was waived for all participants involved in this study.

Diagnosis and Treatment
All patients underwent breast ultrasound and mammography examination before operation. The choice of surgery was determined by the consulting surgeon and the patient - a modified radical mastectomy with or without reconstruction and breast-conserving surgery (BCS). All patients were given regular adjuvant treatment according to the patient’s surgical method, postoperative pathology and molecular subtype.

Staging and Molecular Subtypes
Staging was assessed according to the American Joint Committee on cancer criteria (8th edition). The ER and PR results were screened and interpreted as positive when more than 1% of tumor cells showed positive nuclear staining. HER2 status was reported according to the American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines. To assign molecular subtypes, we used surrogate definitions based on immunohistochemistry (ER, PR, HER2, and Ki 67). The triple negative group was defined as ER, PR, and HER2 negative; whereas the HER2 over-expression group comprised ER and PR negative tumors with a positive HER2 receptor status. Ki 67 values were used to segregate Luminal A patients from Luminal B. Luminal A was defined as ER and PR positive, HER2 negative with a low Ki 67 value (<20%). Luminal B patients were defined as ER and PR positive and HER2 negative with a high Ki 67 value (>20%) or ER positive and HER2 positive with any PR and Ki 67 value. For analysis, Luminal A and B were combined into a single group (Hormone receptor positive).

Statistical Analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23.0 version (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were summarized as median (range) for continuous variables, and
number (percentage) for categorical variables. Continuous data with normal distribution were presented as means ± SD. The non-normally distributed continuous variables were presented as median and interquartile range (IQR). Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Information about the survival status and recurrence was captured from the electronic medical records and the telephone follow-up. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death from any causes. Disease-free survival (DFS) was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of locoregional recurrence, distant metastasis or death due to breast and non-breast causes. Survival curves were plotted by using the Kaplan-Meier curves. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
From 1st January 2010 to 31st December 2013, 880 female breast cancer patients were treated at our institute with a mean age of 49.5 years old. The YBC group is defined as women at or younger than the age of 35 years at diagnosis. The number of patients in each group is shown in Table 1. A total of 63 patients (7.2%) were enrolled in the YBC group. Among them, 5 cases were excluded due to incomplete medical data and fail to follow up. Fifty-eight YBC patients who had complete medical records and follow-up data with a mean age of 31.5 years were enrolled in our study. The median follow-up time was 96 months.

Diagnosis and Surgery Results
The diagnostic sensitivity of breast ultrasound in the control group is higher than the YBC group, and there was statistically significant difference between the two groups (100% in the control group and 86.2% in YBC group, p = 0.003). Mammography had a lower sensitivity than ultrasound for both groups in our study, but there was also statistically significant difference between the two groups (79.3% in the control group and 51.7% in YBC group, p = 0.002).

Pathological Characteristics
There are some similar pathological characteristics between the two groups, such as the histology (invasive carcinoma or carcinoma in situ) and lymph node metastasis. A greater proportion of the YBC patients presented with stage III disease than the older patients (24.2% vs. 10.3%, p = 0.049). There were 13.8% patients’ tumor size over 5 cm in the YBC group and none in the control group (p=0.003). There was a significantly higher incidence of high-grade (GIII) tumors in the YBC group (65.5% vs. 31%, p = 0.000). The incidence of hormone receptor negative tumors (55.2% vs. 34.5%, p = 0.025) and triple negative tumors (31.1% vs. 13.8%, p = 0.026) were significantly higher in the YBC group, whereas the HER2 positive tumors were similarly distributed between the two groups (24.1% vs. 20.7%, p = 0.656).

Table 2 Patients’ Operative Method

| Operative Method                      | Younger Group (n=58) | Older Group (n=58) | P value* |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Modified radical mastectomy without reconstruction | 34/58=58.6% | 48/58=82.8% | 0.004    |
| Breast-conserving surgery (BCS)      | 16/58=27.6% | 10/58=17.2% | 0.182    |
| Modified radical mastectomy with reconstruction | 8/58=13.8% | 0 | 0.003    |
| BCS and breast reconstruction       | 24/58=41.4% | 10/58=17.2% | 0.004    |

Notes: Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. *P value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Elderly patients are more inclined to choose modified radical surgery without reconstruction (82.8% vs. 58.6%, p=0.004). More YBC patients choose BCS, but the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant. None of the older patients choose reconstruction surgery after modified radical mastectomy. There were more patients in the YBC group opted for BCS and modified radical mastectomy with reconstruction as compared with their older counterparts (41.4% vs. 17.2%, p=0.004). The operative method of the two groups is summarized in Table 2.

| Age (Years) | ≤35 | 36~45 | 46~55 | 56~65 | >65 |
|-------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| No.         | 63  | 145   | 307   | 206   | 159 |
clinico-pathological details of the two groups are summarized in Table 3.

### Prognosis

The DFS was significantly worse in the YBC group (75.9% vs. 96.6%, \( p = 0.001 \)). However, there was no difference in the OS (93.1% vs. 96.6%, \( p = 0.404 \)) (Figures 1 and 2, respectively).

### Discussion

BC is the most common solid malignant tumors in women and the second leading cause of cancer-related death both in the United States and China. Most BC is diagnosed in women older than 60 years and rather rare in young women. BC patients younger than 40 years old account for less than 7% of all BC patients in developed countries. BC is associated with peculiar features in developing countries, such as median age at diagnosis is at least 10 years younger than that of developed countries. Although YBC patients compose a small proportion of all breast cancer diagnoses, these patients have been shown to have poor prognosis than older patients. BC is also the leading cause of cancer-related death among females younger than 35 years old.

A total of 880 female breast cancer patients were treated in our institute between 2010 and 2013 among which 63 (7.2%) were YBC patients. The incidence of YBC from our research seems lower than some other studies due to our inclusion criteria which excluded women with previous history of breast cancer, stage IV and unresectable breast cancer or bilateral breast cancer. Another developing country’s study showed 15% of YBC had stage IV disease at diagnosis. A clinical study in China showed that most young breast cancer patients had a family history of breast or ovarian cancer (8.8%), and...
30.8% were stage III or IV disease. Therefore, with the addition of stage IV YBC patients, the incidence is similar to those reported literature.

The sensitivity of mammography is very low in young women with dense breasts, so the ultrasound is the first-choice imaging tool for the young females, which is associated with higher sensitivity and no risks related to ionizing radiation exposure. In our study, the sensitivity of ultrasound and mammography for the young women is 86.2% and 51.7%, respectively (p = 0.000).

BCS and breast reconstruction can enhance patients’ body image and improve quality of life, especially in the young patients. A meta-analyse reported a high risk of local recurrence in younger women versus older women undergoing BCS. Matthews reported that YBC women had a higher local recurrence rate than older women after BCS and radiation, but the OS was higher for BCS compared with mastectomy. In our study, 16 (27.6%) YBC patients chose BCS which was higher than the control group (17.2%), but there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (p=0.182). Old patients are more likely to choose modified radical surgery without reconstruction (82.8% vs. 58.6%, p=0.004). Only 8 patients chose breast reconstruction in all patients. The rate of breast reconstruction in our department is lower than the developed countries. While cultural differences do exist but financial coverage could have been an important reason for this because breast reconstruction is expensive and not covered by insurance in China.

From our research, we found some special clinical and pathological features which imply poor prognosis in the YBC patients. The immunohistochemistry revealed a higher incidence of hormone negative tumors in the YBC group (55.2% vs. 34.5%, p = 0.025) which is in accordance with reports published from another developing country, India, ranging from 48.8% to 52.4%. Another study from a developed country observed only 33.7% tumors were ER negative in women younger than 40 years. So there might be a wide variation in the proportion of hormone negative tumors across different geographies. YBC women were found to have more advanced disease, and more likely to be diagnosed with high-grade (GIII) and triple negative breast cancer than older women in the present study. Triple negative breast cancer has been associated with a higher risk of recurrence and metastasis. Keegan’s study reported that YBC patients are more likely to have a diagnosis of higher-stage disease. In our study, the YBC patients were significantly more likely to present with stage III disease than the older patients (24.2% vs 10.3%, p=0.049). This is another reason why YBC patients have a poor prognosis.

65.5% and 55.2% of YBC women were diagnosed with high-grade (GIII) and triple negative breast cancer which were significantly higher than the control group in our study, consistent with other previous findings.

Figure 1 The DFS of the two groups.

Figure 2 The OS of the two groups.
In our study, we found no significant difference of the HER2 over-expression between the two groups (24.1% vs. 20.7%, \( p = 0.656 \)). A Sweden study showed that the HER2 positive tumors accounted for 20.4% in young women versus 8.2% in the older age group.\(^{35}\)

From our study, the DFS was significantly lower in the YBC group (75.9% vs. 96.6%, \( p = 0.001 \)), however, the OS did not show a statistically significant difference between the two groups (93.1% vs. 96.6%, \( p = 0.696 \)), but it did demonstrate a trend toward decreased survival in YBC women which is consistent with Hwang’s study.\(^{36}\) This could be caused by the long survival time of breast cancer even if recrudescence or metastasizes.

This study has several limitations, such as its retrospective feature and its potential for referral bias to a single centre. Additionally, this study excluded patients with stage IV and unresectable BC, so the results can only represent YBC patients with an early stage who admitted to the surgery department for operation. Finally, the limited number may have affected the statistical power. We plan to add more patients especially YBC patients and continue to follow up with these patients. We hope to have more credible results with the accumulation of more mature data in the future.

According to our study, mammography had a lower sensitivity than ultrasound for the YBC women. Much more YBC patients opted for breast-conserving and breast reconstruction surgery. The YBC patients had peculiar pathological characteristics, such as more high-grade (GIII) tumors, hormone receptor negative tumors, triple negative tumors and higher-stage tumors. This may explain that is why the YBC patients had a worse DFS as compared with their older counterparts. Clinical trials designed specifically to focus on YBC is urgently needed. Further research aimed at developing new treatment schedules for the YBC patients may improve their prognosis.
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