Detection of deletion/insertion polymorphism profiles from single human hair shafts
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Abstract

Background Hair is a frequently encountered biological evidence in personal identification. The amount of nuclear DNA that can be extracted from a single strand of rootless hair is most limited, making the detection of short tandem repeat (STR) polymorphisms difficult. To overcome these limitations, deletion/insertion polymorphisms (DIP) as a new type of genetic marker have shown their benefits in detecting low-copy-number DNA. The Investigator DIPplex kit contains 30 biallelic autosomal DIP and amelogenin. The analysis of DIPs combines the advantages of both STR and single nucleotide polymorphism analyses. Thus, this study aimed to detect the DIP distribution of individual hair shafts from individuals.

Methods and results DNA was extracted from the shaft of fresh, aged, and shed hair. After DNA was evaluated, the DIP profiles were detected by capillary electrophoresis. The results indicated that the amount of DNA extracted from hair roots was much higher than that from the hair shafts in the same individual for all samples. The degradation index values of DNA from the aged hair shafts were highest. It is classified to be “mildly degraded.” Compared with their hair roots, the full DIP profiles were detected for fresh hair, 70% for aged hair, and 92% for shed hair. Contrarily, except for fresh hair shafts, only three STR loci of the aged and shed strands of hair could be genotyped using AmpFlSTR MiniFiler PCR Amplification Kit.

Conclusions These results indicate that the detection of DIP profile is an effective tool for personal identification from hair shafts, including aged hair.
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Introduction

Hair is one of the common sources of DNA for personal identification such as that in forensic medicine. Human hair forms in the hair follicle, with 70–50 telogen strands of hair usually being lost per day [1]. Human hair comprises two parts: the hair root and the hair shaft. Fresh hair roots contain quite a number of somatic cells in which there is nuclear DNA (nuDNA). It can be used for personal identification via short tandem repeat (STR) polymorphism analysis [2–4]. Meanwhile, the hair shaft has only a small amount of scattered nuDNA, which is rarely sufficient for STR examination. In forensic medicine, most of the hair samples obtained from the scene for personal identification are naturally shed hair and typically do not contain hair roots. Even if there is a small number of somatic cells attached to the root of the hair, the number of somatic cells will decrease over time, making STR identification difficult.

The quality and quantity of recovered DNA and the presence of amplification inhibitors are often the key factors affecting DNA-based identification. For better detection and differentiation of these degraded DNAs, several commercial DNA kits have recently been developed on the basis of multiplex genetic markers; such kits include the AmpFISTR MiniFiler PCR Amplification Kit, the InnoTyper 21 Kit, and the Investigator DIPplex Kit. These markers reflect shorter nuDNA nucleotide sequences than those of commonly used STRs. These kits can also detect DNA polymorphic markers in degraded samples while at the same time amplifying XY chromosomal markers. They have also been reported as powerful tools for individual identification based on degraded DNA [5–7].

Analysis of biallelic deletion/insertion polymorphisms (DIPs) combines the advantages of both STR and single
nucleotide polymorphism analyses. DIPs are particularly useful in anthropological or population genetic studies. Additionally, stutter peaks occur when STR is copied by DNA polymerase during the PCR process. This is affected by typing determination of the mixed sample. However, DIP analysis can eliminate stutter peaks and is useful for the analysis of mixed samples [8]. The Investigator DIPplex Kit was developed for multiplex amplification of 30 biallelic DIPs plus amelogenin; these markers can easily be differentiated using capillary electrophoresis [9]. Indels are considered to be promising markers in forensic work. Thus, in the present study, we utilized the Investigator DIPplex Kit to examine the hair shafts of Japanese donors and compare the results of fresh and aged samples to evaluate the applicability of DIP analysis for personal identification on the basis of hair shaft DNA.

**Materials and methods**

**Hair samples**

Plucked and naturally shed strands of hair from the scalp were collected from Japanese volunteers who were not related to each other. Fifty plucked fresh samples and naturally shed hair samples were temporarily stored at −80 °C until analyzed. Additionally, a total of 21 plucked strands of hair were stored at room temperature for approximately 8 years. To know the difference in the amount of DNA with a single hair shaft, 2 cm and 5 cm lengths of different fresh hair shafts were cut for DNA extraction. Approximately 1.5 cm of the single hair shaft was cut to obtain the hair root sample and then cut again at a site of approximately 2 cm or 5 cm from the previously cut portion to obtain a hair shaft sample. All hair samples were examined under a microscope to confirm the presence of hair roots. As control samples of DNA for comparison with the DIP profile of the hairs, we collected blood samples from the hair donors by venipuncture. Table 1 summarizes the collection and storage status of hair samples.

**DNA extraction and quantification**

Each DNA of hair roots and shafts was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Investigator Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions [10]. Before the DNA extraction, each hair shaft fragment was washed with 100% ethanol (the hair roots were not washed with ethanol), and the DNA was eluted with 50 µL of distilled water. DNA extraction of the blood was performed using the QIAamp DNA Blood Investigator Kit (QIAGEN Inc.).

The quality and quantity of recovered DNA were assessed by the Quantifiler® Trio DNA Quantification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Oyster Point, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions [11]. The primary quantification targets (small autosomal and Y) consist of relatively short amplicon to improve the detection of degraded DNA samples. Also, the kits each contain a large autosomal target with a longer amplicon to aid in determining if a DNA sample is degraded. The kit contains all the necessary reagents for the amplification, detection, and quantification of two human-specific DNA targets and a human male-specific DNA target. Quantitative PCR was performed using the QuantStudio™ 5 Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and the degradation index (DI) was calculated according to the manufacturer’s protocol and software.

**Amplification and analysis**

Thirty biallelic autosomal indels and amelogenin were genotyped using the Investigator® DIPplex Kit (Qiagen), per the manufacturer’s protocols, using the ProFlex™ PCR system thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems). The kit was developed for multiplex amplification of 30 biallelic DIPs plus Amelogenin. PCR reactions were conducted in volumes of 25 µL, containing 5 µL of reaction Mix A, 5 µL of Primer Mix, 0.6 µL of Multi Taq2 DNA Polymerase, and 14.4 µL of template DNA (Most 5-cm-long fresh hair samples were collected with more than 5 pg/µL DNA). The PCR cycling protocol was based on the following condition: 94 °C for 4 min; 32 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 61 °C for 120 s, and 72 °C for 75 s; amplification was terminated via a final step at 68 °C for 60 min. PCR products denatured using Hi-Di formamide were analyzed using the ABI Prism 310 capillary electrophoresis system (Applied Biosystems), followed by DNA sequence data analysis using GeneMapperID v3.2 (Life Technologies).

Standard curves were created using the Quantifiler THP DNA standard genomic DNA (100 ng/µL) included in the kit, and the software was set to recognize only above 50 relative fluorescent units as a peak of DIP.

STR markers were amplified from hair samples using the AmpFISTR MiniFiler PCR Amplification Kit (Applied Biosystems), PCR amplification, and capillary electrophoresis were performed following the manufacturer’s protocols. The PCR products were analyzed using the ABI Prism 310 capillary electrophoresis system.

**Results**

To assess the quantity and quality of hair DNA from Japanese donors, 5 cm of fresh, aged, and shed hair and a single root were tested. Additionally, another 2 cm of fresh hair shaft was used to compare the amount of DNA. Figure 1A presents the average concentration of DNA extracted from
| Sample ID | Fresh C.D | Fresh S.C | Shed C.D | Shed S.C | Aged C.D | Aged S.C |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 2         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 3         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 4         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 5         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 6         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 7         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 8         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 9         | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 10        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 11        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 12        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 13        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 14        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 15        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 16        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 17        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 18        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 19        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 20        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 21        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 22        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 23        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 24        | 2011      | Freezer   | 2011     | Freezer  | 2011     | R.T      |
| 25        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 26        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 27        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 28        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 29        | 2013      | freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 30        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 31        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 32        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 33        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 34        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 35        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 36        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 37        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 38        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 39        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 40        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 41        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 42        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 43        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 44        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 45        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 46        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 47        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 48        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
| 49        | 2013      | Freezer   | 2013     | Freezer  | 2013     | Freezer  |
different hair samples. As shown in the data, the amount of DNA obtained from the hair shaft with a length of 2 cm was much lower than that from the fresh hair shaft with a length of 5 cm; hence, it was not used for the examination of the aged strands of hair and the shed strands of hair. Whether it was fresh, aged, or naturally shed hair, the amount of DNA extracted from hair roots was much higher than that from the hair shafts. Particularly, the DNA content of fresh hair roots was more than 2000 times higher than that of the hair shafts. For aged samples and naturally shed hair, the DNA content of the hair roots was more than 200 times higher than that of the hair shafts. Furthermore, in this study, individual differences were observed in the amount of DNA obtained from fresh hair roots. The hair root with the highest amount of DNA was about 7 times as much as the hair root with the lowest amount of DNA. Given that the amount of DNA extracted from the hair shafts was too small, we attempted to use more distilled water to recover DNA from the filter membrane when extracting DNA. Before PCR amplification, the DNA tube was closed using a parafilm with small holes and placed in the refrigerator (4 °C). After evaporating the water overnight, hereby further concentrating the DNA, and then determine the respective DNA concentrations. This method does not centrifuge, thus avoiding the risk of DNA damage due to elevated tube temperatures and contamination of each other by centrifuging many samples together.

The Quantifiler Trio DNA Quantification Kit was used to evaluate the DI of all hair samples. (Fig. 1B). The average DI values of DNA from fresh hair were below 1 for both the roots and shafts. Although the DI values of DNA from the aged hair shafts were highest in all samples, they did not exceed a DI value of 4, and the DNA from these samples could be categorized as “mildly degraded.” Hence, the level of degradation of DNA from aged shafts should not have too much influence on the detection of the DIP according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Additionally, the range in DI values for aged hair and naturally shed hair was relatively high, especially for hair shaft samples; this could be due to the differences between individuals [12].

Although the root size of aged or shed hair was found to vary from individual to individual, both the amplified DIP profiles reached approximately 90%. Although the DI values of DNA from aged hair shafts were highest among all samples, it is also “mildly degraded” in the DI classification. The results of DIP detection of hair roots were showed complete for fresh strands of hair, 70% for aged strands of hair, and 92% for shed hair (Fig. 2). This means that although the DIP detection rate of aged hair roots is lower than that of fresh and shed hair roots, most of the loci may still be amplified by the profiler. For fresh hair, the complete loci of DIP were confirmed in the 5-cm-long hair shafts, whereas only 30% DIP loci were detected in the 2-cm-long hair shafts. Thus, to amplify the DIP profile from a single hair shaft, a certain length of the hair shaft is required. Comparing the donor’s blood with the complete DIP profiles detected in each root and hair shaft, as shown in Fig. 2, no genotypes that were inconsistent with each other could be identified.

The number of detected DIP alleles and their relationship with DI were evaluated from all hair samples. The numbers of the DIP alleles in the hair shafts were amplified between 14 and 26 (mean = 19.3, S.D. = 3.1) for the aged hairs, 23 and 30 (mean = 25.4, S.D. = 2.3) for the shed hairs. As the DI value increases, fewer DIP alleles are amplified, especially in the aged hair (Fig. 3). The DI value was highest for aged hair, followed by shed hair shaft. For detection of DIP alleles, only an average of 19 was amplified on the aged hair shaft, but an average of 25 on the shed hair shaft. From this study, it was suggested that the DNA of hair shafts were degradation after stored for a long time, and that the number of DIP alleles was detected but decreased.

In aged hair, the DIP peak detected in the roots of the same donor was not partially amplified from their hair shaft. For example, as shown in Fig. 4, a total of 17 peaks were amplified from the hair root sample, excluding the amelogenin locus (Fig. 4A) in the blue channel loci; however, only 14 peaks were detected in the DNA from the hair shaft; thus, alleles D131+, D56−, and D56+ were not detected in the hair shaft DNA (Fig. 4B). In the same way, another channel presents 12 peaks amplified from a naturally shed hair root sample (Fig. 4C); however, only 10 alleles were amplified from the hair shaft, with the alleles D39 and D39+ missing (Fig. 4D). Hence, the allele dropouts in some aged and shed hair shafts are considered to be the main causes of the decrease in the DIP locus in this study.

The MiniSTR multiplex PCR for the analysis of degraded DNA has been described previously [13, 14]. The AmpFISTR MiniFiler PCR Amplification Kit amplifies eight autosomal STR loci and amelogenin in a single PCR reaction; the loci span a range of 70–280 nucleotides. Regarding DNA from fresh hair root samples, complete MiniFiler STR

| Sample ID | Fresh | Shed | Aged |
|-----------|-------|------|------|
|           | C.D   | S.C  | C.D  | S.C  | C.D  | S.C  |
| 50        | 2013  | Freezer | 2013 | Freezer | 21   |
| Total     | 50    | 50   | 21   |

C.D. collected ·year, S.C. Storage conditions, R.T. room temperature
Fig. 1  

A  Average DNA concentration of a single hair root and its hair shaft in freshly plucked, aged and naturally shed hair samples. The amount of DNA obtained from the hair roots were much higher than the hair shafts. The amount of DNA obtained from the 2 cm long hair shaft was less than the 5 cm long aged hair shafts and shed hair shaft. 

B  Average DI of each hair DNA. It was less than 2 for hair roots and fresh hair shafts and more than 2 for aged hair shafts and shed hair shafts.
Fig. 2 Detection of average numbers of DIP loci and MiniFiler loci from a single hair sample. The detected locus was more in DIP than in MiniFiler in all samples.

Fig. 3 Analysis of the relationship between changes in DI and amplified alleles. In hair shaft samples, when DI values increased the number of DIP alleles reduced.
Fig. 4  A & B Electropherograms of a single aged hair root (A) and its hair shaft (B) amplified with the Investigator ® DIPplex Kit for Blue channel loci. The alleles of D131+, D56- and D56+ amplified in the root of aged hair did not appear in the hair shaft. C & D Electropherograms of a single shed hair root (C) and its shed shaft (D) amplified with the Investigator ® DIPplex Kit for Red channel loci. The alleles of D39- and D39+ amplified in the root of shed hair did not appear in the hair shaft. (Color figure online)
profiles could be amplified; however, no more than four STR loci could be detected in other types of hair, and the length of the amplified STR fragments were less than 157 bp.

**Discussion**

Analysis of DIP profile is similar to STR. The peak height is related to the amount of the amplified product. STR target fragments usually range from 100 to 400 base pairs (bp) in length; however, the fragments size of the hair shaft is usually less than 100 bp, which is because of the degradation of organelles and nucleic acids during the keratinization process [15]. The effect of DIP profile amplification due to the increase in DI value was shown. Approximately 37% of alleles were not detected, especially in aged hair shafts, but the complete DIP profiles were found in fresh hair shafts, and more than 83% of alleles were accurately amplified in shed hair shafts. Many of the evidence materials have no hair roots, and the results of this study showed that the number of DIP alleles detected in aged hair shafts decreased, but a certain number of alleles could be confirmed. STR is widely used for personal identification, but it is quite difficult for hair shafts without hair roots. This study found that DIP obtained genotyping from the hair shaft rather than the MiniFiler STR, which is also an important method for aged hair shafts.

Regarding the sensitivity of DIP detection, the complete profiles were reported to be as low as 8 pg of template DNA [16]. We used the positive control DNA9948, for which, at least an approximately 10 pg template is required. However, for the amplification of DIP loci from aged hair shaft samples, more than 15 pg of template DNA proved necessary, perhaps because of the quantity and quality of DNA.

The amplification of LCN samples often results in at least two artifacts: One is an allele drop-in. This is the case when alleles are accidentally added because of contamination. The other is the allele dropout, which mostly happens because of insufficient DNA, resulting in some alleles not being able to be amplified. However, allele drop-ins are usually not reproducible, and the probability of detecting the same drop-in allele is less than 1% when two individual PCR reactions are performed [17, 18]. Thus, in this study, the DIP profiler was run twice to confirm reproducibility. These results show that DIP analysis is an effective personal identification tool, when using not only DNAs extracted from fresh hair shafts but also those from the naturally shed strands of hair and the aged strands of hair.

**Conclusions**

Because of the limited amount of nuDNA in a single hair shaft, amplifying multiple STR markers for personal identification is difficult. In this study, fresh hair, aged hair preserved at room temperature, and naturally shed hair were compared in terms of the detection of DIP and STR. The DNA content in the hair shaft was very little, and the DNA quality also declined following long-term storage; however, more genetic information could be obtained by DIP profilers than by STR profilers. No difference was observed in comparing the DIP profiles of the roots and hair shafts and in the two times reproducibility tests for each sample. Thus, when only the hair shafts are available and used as evidence of DNA, DIP may be a new method of choice.
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