Role of Bioinoculants for Improving Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoshuses culentum*)
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Abstract Elevated use of agrochemicals has been the backbone of sustainable crop production. However, the environmental hazards, soaring cost and stagnant production are the foremost issues affiliated with them. Exploitation of stumpy cost and environment friendly plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) has certain encouraging results regarding sustainability in agricultural production. A glass house study was conducted at Soil Bacteriology Section, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad to check the efficacy of different PGPR for growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoshuses culentum*). Treatments were control, *Azotobacter* sp inoculation, *Azospirillum* sp inoculation, *Bacillus* sp inoculation, *Pseudomonas* sp inoculation and *Rhizobium* sp inoculation. Results revealed that there was significant effect of all inoculants on growth and yield of okra crop. An increase of 23.5% and 21.0% in green pod yield was recorded with *Pseudomonas* sp and *Bacillus* sp, respectively. Positive consequence was observed in all other parameters where *Pseudomonas* inoculation was applied as compared to no inoculation. This study suggests that PGPR may be a dynamic biofertilizer to boost the yield of okra and other agricultural crops.
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1. Introduction

Influential role of soil beneficial microorganism is not only restricted to plant growth but also have a key function in sustainable agriculture development and environmental protection. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) that resides in the rhizosphere of plants, increase growth by direct and indirect mechanisms like nitrogen fixation, solubilization of nutrients (P, K, Zn etc.) and siderophore production etc. Bhattacharya and Jha,[29] Alternatively PGPR supplement the role of chemical fertilizers, pesticides and other inputs. Plant and rhizobial interaction may be positive, negative or neutral Whipps., [19]. Organic substances i.e. biofertilizer restrain the innumerable microbes that increases the root growth as applied to the seed or directly on soil in the vicinity of plants. *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Bradyrhizobium*, *Mesorhizobium*, and *Bacillus* are considered as the efficient PGPR strains for their aptitude to execute as biofertilizer by Vessey., [39]. These bacteria build colonies in rhizosphere to form relationship on the external surface or even intercellular spaces of roots McCully., [26]. Plant growth regulators like IAA, gibberellic acid, and cytokinins produced by PGPR amend the root structure; therefore prop up plant development Kloeper et al., [20]. In literature, various PGPR, free-living rhizobacteria and symbiotic species are reported to excrete auxin and gibberellic acid in the rhizosphere playing proficient role in improving the surface area of root Han et al., [18]. Although nitrogen and phosphorus are fundamental nutrients but P is more frequently required for the smooth completion of plant’s life cycle. Nevertheless, a small amount of P is available to plants in spite of huge pools of total soil P. This sparse availability of P is due to insoluble forms of soil P while plants can absorb it only in the form of monobasic (HPO$_4$) and dibasic (H$_2$PO$_4$) ions. Different phosphate solubilizing microorganisms (PSMs) are reported, which transform the insoluble form of P to soluble form by the releasing organic acids and producing proton through acidification Richardson et al.,[6] which help exchange and chelation process Hameeda et al., [7]. For P solubilization, different saprophytic bacteria and fungi also share chelation-mediated mechanisms Whitelaw., [25]. Similarly, plant root exudates and organic compounds may also modify the P concentration in soil solution Hinsinger.,[28]. Nitrogen is the liveliest nutrient for plants but due to rainfall, land mineral and leaching losses it has become a restrictive factor in the agricultural production. However, instead of chemical fertilizers, use of various plant growth promoting, symbiotic and non-symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacteria in soil, may improve crop yield Vessey., [39]. In legumes, symbiotic N$_2$ fixation with inoculation of effective PGPR is well known. Rhizobium is the major symbiotic N$_2$ fixer, while non-symbiotic N- fixation is
regulated by free-living microbes like diazotrophs Bashan and de-Bashan., [40]. Numerous researchers have studied plant growth improvement with the combined inoculation of symbiotic and non-symbiotic microorganisms. A number of PGPR are already well-recognized for the emergence of seed, enhanced plant growth, improved crop production and development of agriculture Minorsky,.[30]. Inoculation of PGPR improves different agronomic properties of plants like total biomass, chlorophyll contents and leaf area Baset Mia et al.,[24]. The significant focus of PGPR use in agriculture has been the rising demand of food and improving environment quality Dobbelaere et al., [23]. For the study the isolates with highest auxin biosynthesis were selected.

Phosphate Solubilization of Isolates

The solubilization capacity of Pseudomonas Ps1, Ps2, Ps3, Ps4, Ps5 and Bacillus isolates Bs1, Bs2, Bs3, Bs4, Bs5, were checked on Pikovskaya’s medium containing (g L⁻¹): glucose 10, Ca₃(PO₄)₂ 5.0, (NH₄)₂SO₄ 0.5, NaCl 0.2, MgSO₄.7H₂O 0.1, KCl 0.2, yeast extract 0.5, MnSO₄.H₂O 0.002, and FeSO₄.7H₂O 0.002 and agar 17 while the pH was adjusted to 7 before autoclaving Pikovskaya., [17]. These isolates were capable to solubilize insoluble phosphates in the Pikovskaya’s medium by halo zone formation. The growth and solubilization diameter were determined after incubation at 28 ± 2°C for seven days. On the basis of diameter of clearing halo zones, solubilization efficiency, SE, Gaur., [5], Nguyen et al., [9] was calculated by the formula;

\[ SE = \frac{\text{solubilization diameter} \times 100}{\text{Growth diameter}} \]

Bacterial Inoculum Preparation

Inocula of Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Azotobacter and Azospirillum were prepared in their selective media while Rhizobium culture was prepared in yeast extract mannitol (YEM) medium Nautiyal., [34]. All the media were inoculated in 500 ml conical flasks containing 250 ml medium and incubated at 28 ± 2°C and shaken at 100 rpm for three days.

Seed Bacterization

Peat as carrier was sterilized at 121°C and 15 psi pressure for one hour then inoculated with broth culture. Peat-based inoculum was incubated at 28±2°C by adding 10 % sugar solution to raise the microbial population. For inoculation, the desired suspension of inoculum (10⁷–10⁸CFUml⁻¹; 250mlkg⁻¹ peat) was mixed with sterilized peat and incubated for 24 h at 28± 2°C before use for seed coating (seed to peat ratio 1.25:1 w/w). Okra seed dressing was prepared with the inoculated peat, mixed with 10% sterilized sugar (sucrose) solution, in a 10:1 ratio. In the case of un-inoculated, control, seeds were coated with the sterilized peat treated with sterilized broth and 10% sterilized sugar solution.

Determination of Auxin Production

Auxin production of Rhizobium, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Azotobacter and Azospirillum was determined colorimetrically in terms of IAA equivalents. The isolates were cultured on their respective broth media for 72 hours. After centrifugation, 3ml of supernatants were mixed with 2 ml Salkowski’s reagent (2 ml of 0.5M FeCl₃ + 98 ml of 35% HClO₄), incubated the mixture at room temperature for 30 min for color development and absorbance was measured at 535 nm using spectrophotometer. Auxin concentration produced by bacterial isolates was determined with the help of standard curve Sarwar et al., [23].

2. Materials and Methods

Isolation of Bacteria:

Dilution plate technique was used for isolation of Azotobacter, Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Azospirillum. Bacillus was isolated by subjecting rhizospheric soil suspension to heat shock at 80°C in an oven for 30 minutes by Claus., [11] and specific medium was got inoculated after cooling that soil suspension Nautiyal., [34]. These Inoculated streaked petri plates with the specific medium were incubated at 28 ± 2°C for one week. Growth was picked and sub cultured to get a pure culture on selective medium. For the isolation of Rhizobium sp. roots were washed gently with tap water to remove soil. The nodules were detached from roots and consigned in Petri-plates. For sterilization, the nodules were dipped into 95% ethanol for three days. Seed Bacterization for three days. Rhizobium sp. roots were picked and sub cultured to get a pure culture on selective medium and incubated at 28 + 2°C and shaked at 100 rpm in 500 ml conical flasks containing 250 ml medium and incubated at 28 + 2°C and shaked at 100 rpm for three days.

Bacterial Inoculum Preparation

Inocula of Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Azotobacter and Azospirillum were prepared in their selective media while Rhizobium culture was prepared in yeast extract mannitol (YEM) medium Nautiyal., [34]. All the media were inoculated in 500 ml conical flasks containing 250 ml medium and incubated at 28 ± 2°C and shaken at 100 rpm for three days.

Seed Bacterization

Peat as carrier was sterilized at 121°C and 15 psi pressure for one hour then inoculated with broth culture. Peat-based inoculum was incubated at 28±2°C by adding 10 % sugar solution to raise the microbial population. For inoculation, the desired suspension of inoculum (10⁷–10⁸CFUml⁻¹; 250mlkg⁻¹ peat) was mixed with sterilized peat and incubated for 24 h at 28± 2°C before use for seed coating (seed to peat ratio 1.25:1 w/w). Okra seed dressing was prepared with the inoculated peat, mixed with 10% sterilized sugar (sucrose) solution, in a 10:1 ratio. In the case of un-inoculated, control, seeds were coated with the sterilized peat treated with sterilized broth and 10% sterilized sugar solution.
Glass House Study

A pot experiment was conducted at Soil Bacteriology Section, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad, Pakistan, to check the effectiveness of different plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on the plant growth and yield of okra. Thoroughly mixed air dried soil passed through 2-mm sieve and tested for various physicochemical characteristics. i.e. Medium textured soil with pH 7.8, EC 1.9 d Sm⁻¹, N 0.030 % and available P 9.8 mg kg⁻¹. Surface sterilization of okra seeds were carried out by using 3 % solution of sodium hypo chloride for 2 min, followed by 2-3 washings (one minute duration) with sterile distilled water. No microbial growth was observed against nutrient agar plates while checking the effectiveness of surface sterilization of seeds. Four seeds pot⁻¹ were sown in a pot containing 16 kg of soil. After germination two plants were maintained in each pot. Pots of every treatment with three repeats were placed in the glass house by using a completely randomized design (CRD). Recommended dose of N, P, and K fertilizers (100-70-50 kg ha⁻¹) was used to all pots and the same amount of water was applied to the pots whenever they required.

Bioinoculant Treatments

Following treatments were used: 1) Un inoculated, 2) Azotobacter sp, 3) Azospirillum sp, 4) Bacillus sp, 5) Pseudomonas sp, 6) Rhizobium sp. Inoculum treated slurry was used for coating of seed. Slurry with sterilized LB broth was used for control treatment.

Plant Growth Parameters

Data regarding growth and yield parameters of okra was recorded before and after harvesting.

Agronomic Parameters

Plant height, internodal distance and root length were recorded using measuring tape. Number of leaves plant⁻¹ and Number of pods plant⁻¹ were counted at reproductive growth stage. Green pod yield plant⁻¹, root, shoot fresh and dry weight were recorded after harvesting of okra. The soil from each pot was washed away to collect root samples. Both shoot and root samples were thoroughly washed with distilled water and then blotted dry with tissue papers before sun drying. Sun dried plants were placed in an oven at 72°C for 72 h until constant weight was achieved.

Screening of Isolates

In laboratory study, all the isolates were screened on the basis of auxin (expressed as IAA equivalents, P-solubilization efficiency and some biochemical tests. Isolates Ps9 and Bs2 showed highest biosynthesis potential and phosphate solubilization were selected for experimentation. Efficient strains were mentioned in table 3.

Soil and Plant Analysis

Pre and post soil analysis were carried for determination of N and P. Fruit N and P concentration was determined after crop harvest.

Statistical Analysis

To analyzed data software Statistix 8.1 (Analytical Software, USA) was used. Least significant difference test Steel et al., [12] was used to compare means of treatments.

3. Results

Response of bioinoculants on okra growth and yield is shown in Table 1, and 2. The response of PGPR applications on yield of okra was statistically significant.

Yield Parameters

Highest green pod yield (26.12 g plant⁻¹) and number of pods plant⁻¹ (6.75) in T₄ was produced with *Pseudomonas* inoculation followed by *Bacillus* inoculation (25.66 g plant⁻¹) and (6.25) respectively as compared to un-inoculated control i.e. 21.14 g plant⁻¹, 4.5. Hundred seed weight was higher in case of *Azotobacter, Azospirillum* and *Rhizobium* inoculation as compared to control but maximum (6.5g) weight was achieved in case of *Bacillus* sp application.

Agronomic Parameters

Effects of different bacterial culture on root length, root fresh and dry biomass, are presented in table 2. Significant increase in the root length, root fresh and dry biomass was observed by inoculations of different plant growth promoting bacteria enhanced as compared to non-inoculated plant. Maximum root length, root fresh and dry mass were obtained in *Bacillus* treatment viz. 46.80 cm, 10.90 and 2.20 g plant⁻¹ followed by *Pseudomonas* seed treatment (46.50cm, 9.10 and 1.8 g plant⁻¹). Minimum plant height and shoot fresh and dry mass were obtained without inoculation i.e. 32.25 cm, 32.70 and 6.0 g plant⁻¹ while maximum plant height and shoot fresh and dry mass were observed in T₄ (45cm, 37.20 and 9.0 g plant⁻¹ respectively).

Physiological Parameters

Maximum number of leaves per plant (10.70) was recorded in T₃ as compared to uninoculated i.e. 6.0. Maximum (3.7cm) internodal distance was observed where *Bacillus* sp was applied as compared to other treatments and minimum (3.08cm) distance was measured without inoculation.

Chemical Parameters

Regarding soil analysis, maximum soil N (0.040%) was observed in case of *Azotobacter* inoculation followed by
Azospirillum (0.037%), Pseudomonas (0.038%), Bacillus (0.035%) and Rhizobium (0.036%) at 100-70-50 kg NPK ha⁻¹. While maximum soil P (12.9 ppm) was observed with Pseudomonas inoculation as compared to control (9.5 ppm) which did not differ significantly from Bacillus inoculation.

Nitrogen % obtained in okra fruit was 2.46, 2.69, 2.64 and 2.57 where seed were treated with Azospirillum, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Rhizobium, respectively, as compared to control (2.18%) however, maximum (3.05%) N was observed where Azotobacter was used. Highest P (0.49 ppm) contents were recorded where Bacillus inoculation was done as compared to control (0.28 ppm).

4. Discussion

The results exposed that plant physiology and growth can be enhanced by PGPR inoculation. It was due to higher survival rate, more plant root/shoot weight and yield when compared with non-treated. Increase in plant height with the application of PGPR was also observed by Asghar et al., [16] and Gholami et al., [2]. It is recommended that PGPR applications like Pseudomonas and Bacillus species can revitalize plant growth and boost yield in tomato and pepper Sahin et al., [15], Çakmakci et al., [31], sugar beet by Elkoca et al., [13] and barley Salantur et al., [4]. Eşitken et al., [1] concluded that plant growth can be increased by N-fixing capability of PGPR. These findings were similar to our results where Pseudomonas and Bacillus produced highest green pod yield (26.12 g, 25.66 g respectively) than untreated one (21.14g). Results of several studies validated that seed inoculation with PGPR have increased the plant growth parameters and several crops yield Gravel et al., [36,37]. In our findings, maximum leaf area and leave numbers were observed following seeds inoculation with Azotobacter sp.

Appliance of bacterial inoculum on rice, wheat and maize like cereal sand sugar beet has reportedly fixed nitrogen, solubilized phosphorus and produced plant growth hormones and ultimately increased yield Salantur et al., [4], Egamberdiyeva et al.,[10]. Similarly, PGPR inoculated okra also showed significant increase in plant root and shoot biomass in comparison to the un-inoculated. Maximum shoot mass 37.2g plant⁻¹ was obtained in treatment that was inoculated with Pseudomonas with respect to control (32.7g plant⁻¹). Inoculated maize with PGPR found significant response in plant biomass compared to the control. The maximum increase of 53.72% and 108.71% for root and shoot weight, respectively, was obtained in plants inoculated with Pseudomonas fluorescens. Numerous bacterial mechanisms along production of hormones, may have controlled growth of root and development Mantelin and Touraine.,[36]. The highest root fresh weight 21.75g was observed where seeds were treated with Bacillus sp when compared with control (11.54g).

Plant growth promoting hormones like IAA and GA3, released by Azotobacter and Rhizobium strains, improve the plants growth. Productions of organic acids by P solubilizing bacteria perk up the P availability and thus stimulate plant growth Maliha et al., [32].

Seed treatment with PGPR is a well-recognized practice to augment yield and growth of crops. Yildirim et al., [14] also suggested an increase in micro and macro nutrients uptake by broccoli when treated with bacterial inoculum.

This study also endorsed the buoyant effects of PGPR application on the yield and growth of okra pods. Inoculation of okra seeds by Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Rhizobium is an effectual approach for sustainable yield of agricultural crops. Hence, the findings of the study recommend that PGPR may be used as biological fertilizer to enhance the yield of okra.

| Treatments       | Plant height (cm) | No of leaves plant⁻¹ | Internodal distance (cm) | No of pods plant⁻¹ | Green Pod Yield (g plant⁻¹) |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| T1: Un-inoculated| 32.25 d          | 6.00c                | 3.08bc                   | 4.50c             | 21.14c                      |
| T2: Azotobacter  | 37.25 bc         | 9.00b                | 3.10bc                   | 6.00 ab           | 23.16b                      |
| T3: Azospirillum | 35.00 cd         | 10.70a               | 3.20b                    | 6.00 ab           | 24.05b                      |
| T4: Bacillus     | 45.00 a          | 9.33ab               | 3.70a                    | 6.25 ab           | 25.66a                      |
| T5: Pseudomonas  | 40.00 b          | 10.00ab              | 2.93c                    | 6.75 a            | 26.12a                      |
| T6: Rhizobium    | 36.00 c          | 10.33ab              | 2.48d                    | 5.63 b            | 25.20a                      |
| LSD              | 3.4928           | 1.6240               | 0.2519                   | 1.676             | 2.432                       |

* Values are the mean of three repeats same letters are not statistically different at P<0.05 according to the least significant difference (LSD) test.
Table 2. Effect of different plant growth promoting bacteria on root parameter and soil analysis after harvesting

| Treatments        | Root length (cm) | Root fresh biomass (g plant⁻¹) | Root dry biomass (g plant⁻¹) | At Crop Harvest |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|                   |                  |                                |                               | N content (%)   | Available P (ppm) |
| T1: Un-inoculated | 30.80e           | 5.8d                           | 1.2d                          | 0.031c          | 9.5c              |
| T2: *Azotobacter* | 39.90b           | 7.3c                           | 1.5c                          | 0.040a          | 11.0d             |
| T3: *Azospirillum*| 35.10c           | 7.2c                           | 1.4c                          | 0.035b          | 11.9b             |
| T4: *Bacillus*    | 46.55a           | 10.9a                          | 2.2a                          | 0.037ab         | 12.5a             |
| T5: *Pseudomonas* | 46.80a           | 9.1b                           | 1.8b                          | 0.038ab         | 12.9a             |
| T6: *Rhizobium*   | 32.90d           | 8.9b                           | 1.8b                          | 0.036b          | 11.7b             |
| LSD               | 1.115            | 0.884                          | 0.169                         | 3.533           | 0.4547            |

* Values are the mean of three repeats same letters are not statistically different at P<0.05 according to the least significant difference (LSD) test.

Figure 1. Response of different PGPRs to shoot fresh biomass (g plant⁻¹)

Figure 2. Response of different PGPRs to shoot dry biomass (g plant⁻¹)
Figure 3. Response of different PGPRs on 100 seed weight (g)

Figure 4. Response of different PGPRs on N concentration in fruit (%)

Figure 5. Effect of different PGPRs on P concentration in fruit (%)
Solubilization Efficiency and Biochemical tests

| Isolates | IAA equivalents (µg mL⁻¹) | Solubilization Efficiency (SE) | Methyl red Test | Citrate Test |
|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Az 10    | 13.0                     | -                            | +              | +           |
| As6      | 11.4                     | -                            | +              | +           |
| BS2      | 16.6                     | 235.0                        | +              | +           |
| PS 9     | 18.2                     | 243.0                        | +              | +           |
| Rh5      | 10.2                     | -                            | +              | +           |

*Values are the mean of three repeats same letters are not statistically different at P<0.05 according to the least significant difference (LSD) test.
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