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ABSTRACT: Cystic echinococcosis (CE), one of the world’s most geographically widespread diseases, still represents a considerable economic and public health significance, although a variety of methods has been used to control the disease. It has been demonstrated that genetic factors, especially variations in MHC loci, can influence the outcome of CE infection in the human population. The study described here was designed to determine whether variation in MHC-DQB1 was associated with susceptibility or resistance to CE in sheep. If so, it would lay a theoretical foundation for breeding disease resistance sheep in future. This study was carried out on 204 Chinese Merino sheep, including 101 CE sheep and 103 healthy controls. The polymorphism of MHC-DQB1 exon 2 was detected by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method, and χ² test was used to compare genotype frequencies between CE sheep and healthy controls. A total of 22 alleles and 42 genotypes were identified in DQB1 exon 2 in Chinese Merino sheep. In addition, χ² test showed that frequencies of DQB1-Taqlaa and DQB1-HaelIIm genotypes were significantly higher in the healthy group (82.5% and 57.3%, respectively) than that in the CE group (57.4% and 28.9%, respectively) (both p values = 0, OR = 0.286, 0.303, respectively), suggesting that these genotypes appeared to be associated with resistance to CE. Whereas, frequencies of DQB1-Taqlab and DQB1-HaelIIm genotypes were significantly higher in the CE group (36.9% and 32.0%, respectively), as compared with the healthy group (16.5% and 11.15%, respectively) (p = 0.001, 0.001 and OR = 2.963, 3.629, respectively), indicating that these genotypes might be associated with susceptibility to CE. It is concluded that the genetic polymorphism within MHC-DQB1 might influence immune responses to pathogens, thus leading to the development of CE or protection against CE in Chinese Merino sheep, which would pave the way for breeding disease resistance sheep in future. (Key Words: Chinese Merino Sheep, MHC-DQB1 Exon 2, Genotype, Cystic Echinococcosis)

INTRODUCTION

Cystic echinococcosis (CE), a chronic parasitic zoonosis, is caused by infection with the larval stage of the cestode *Echinococcus granulosus* (*E. granulosus*), resulting in the development of cysts in humans and domestic animals (Conchedda et al., 2008). The disease presents high risk to human health in areas of poor sanitary and hygiene conditions. Also, CE causes considerable health problems in animals and economical disadvantages due to production loss, as animals infected with this disease often suffer reductions in live weight gain, milk yield, fertility rates and the value of wool or other products (Torgerson, 2003). Hence, CE control is a vital part of health and production management in domestic animals, especially in sheep, because sheep appear to be highly susceptible to infection (Lightowlers et al., 1999). A variety of methods have been applied to minimize the impact of CE, including grazing management, medical control and vaccination. These methods have the advantage of being easy to plan and record, and historically, have been effective (Zhang et al., 2003). However, using drugs frequently can cause problems like parasites developing resistance to drugs and public concern about chemical residues in animal products and the environment (Sangster, 1999). On the other hand, vaccination is expensive for pastoral areas in northwestern China. A new approach that relies on sustainable methods, therefore, should be employed.

Major histocompatibility complex (MHC), an organized cluster of tightly-linked genes, encodes the molecules that bind processed peptide antigens including parasite-derived peptides and presents them to T-lymphocytes, thereby triggering antigen-specific immune responses (Millot, 1978). In sheep, the MHC gene family includes two major
subfamilies: class I and class II genes (Klein, 1986). Among sheep MHC class II genes, the expressed DRB1 and DQB1 loci have been found to be highly polymorphic (Woodal et al., 1997; Konnai et al., 2003b; Sun et al., 2004). In particular, a high polymorphism level is present in exon 2, which encodes the antigen-binding site (Oitteridge et al., 1996; Konnai et al., 2003a, b). Variation in these genes may impact immune responses to pathogens, which may lead to variation in disease resistance. In the recent years, a large number of meaningful association studies have been carried out in sheep, especially on resistance to: i) nematodiasis such as gastronintestinal nematodiasis (Charon et al., 2002; Sayers et al., 2005; Stear et al., 2005); ii) bacterial diseases like bacterial footrot (Escayg et al., 1997); and iii) viral diseases such as BLV-induced ovine lymphoma, Maedi Visna and pulmonary adenocarcinoma viral diseases (Aida, 2001; Konnai et al., 2003b; Larruskin et al., 2010). However, to our knowledge, no study on the MHC-DQB1 gene and CE association in sheep has been published, although we previously found that different MHC-DRB1 genotypes were associated with different susceptibilities of CE in Kazakh sheep, a native Chinese sheep breed (Li et al., 2010).

Chinese Merino sheep, well known for their characteristics of good wool and meat production, is beneficial to local sheep industry; however, they are relatively more susceptible to CE (Hui et al., 2012). The prevalence of CE in Chinese Merino sheep causes considerable economic problems due to the loss of production. These losses are of especial significance in Xinjiang (northwestern China) a region of low economic output where sheep production is of particular importance. Hence, it is of great urgency to treat and manage CE in Chinese Merino sheep. An alternative method is to selectively breed sheep for disease resistance using genetic markers.

Our previous study has shown that different MHC-DQB1 mRNA expression levels were detected between different outcomes of CE infections in Chinese Merino sheep (Hui et al., 2012). So, in this study, we were curious to see whether variation in MHC-DQB1 was associated with susceptibility or resistance to CE in Chinese Merino sheep. If so, it would lay a theoretical foundation for future breeding disease resistance sheep.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Animals

All sheep included in this study were 1-yr-old unrelated female Chinese Merino sheep from the farm located in Mission 165, agricultural division 9, Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps. According to the recommendation of WHO-IWG, ultrasonography and serological methods were combined to distinguish between CE sheep and healthy individuals in the flock. If the sheep were negative for antibodies to hydatid cyst fluid (HCF) antigen, assayed by a commercial ovine hydatidosis ELISA kit (Shenzhen Combined Biotech Co.), and no hydatid cysts present in internal organs as detected by ultrasonography (50 S Tringa Vet, Pie Medical, Netherlands), they were designated as the healthy cohort. If the sheep were positive for antibodies to HCF antigen, and had cysts in the internal organs as determined by ultrasonography, and further had protoscolices visualized by microscopy, they were designated as the CE cohort. Of all the selected sheep, there were 101 CE sheep and 103 healthy controls.

**DNA extraction**

Genomic DNA was isolated from the whole blood using phenol-chlorophorm extraction, and stored at -20°C until analysis (Major reagents were from Promega Company and Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology And Service Co, Ltd.).

**PCR amplification for MHC-DQB1 gene**

The second exon of DQB1 was amplified with primers FW: 5'-CTC CCG CTG CCA GGT CAC CTA CTC TCT GCT-3' and REV: 5' ACC TCG CCG CTG GGT-3'. The condition of PCR amplification for MHC-DQB1 were 1 cycle of incubation for 5 min at 94°C, followed by 33 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 67°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, with final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

**Polyorphism detection by RFLP**

RFLP protocol was carried out to examine the nucleotide sequence variability at the second exon of DQB1 locus, according to the method described by Konnai et al. (2003a), with modifications. Aliquots (10 µl) of DQB1 PCR product were digested with 5 U of MroXI, SacI, SacII, NciI, TaqI, MvaI, and HaeIII, respectively. The condition of restriction enzymes digestions and fragment visualizations can be seen in Table 1. Gene typing method and genotype nomenclature is in accordance with Konnai et al. (2003a) and Li et al. (Table 2).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed with the Statistical Package for Social Science version 13.0 for Windows. In order to assess the statistical significance of association of different genotype and genotype frequencies with CE susceptibility or resistance, the x² test with Yates' correction for continuity
was applied. The degree of association was calculated using odds ratio (OR; Dorak, 2006). Significance was accepted at 0.05 for all of the tests.

RESULTS

PCR amplification of MHC-DQB1 exon 2 genes

Ovar-DQB1 exon 2 was amplified by PCR with primers FW and REV, and one specific band of 280 bp was observed on 2% agarose.

MHC- DQB1 RFLP typing

The following numbers of alleles and RFLP patterns detected with each restriction enzyme were observed in MHC-DQB1: MroXI, 2, 3; Scal, 2, 3; SacII, 4, 7; NciI, 2, 3; TaqI, 3, 4; MvaI, 6, 16 and HaeIII, 3, 6 (Tables 3 and 4). A total of 22 alleles and 42 RFLP patterns were identified in DQB1 exon 2 in Chinese Merino sheep. Allele frequencies were also calculated at each restriction enzyme site (Table 4). In addition, the Hardy-Weinberg test was performed to detect whether individual variants were in equilibrium at the locus detected. The Chi-Square values of the seven restriction enzymes MroXI, Scal, SacII, NciI, TaqI, MvaI, and HaeIII were 5.9568 (p<0.05), 80.1527(p<0.01), 24.3947 (p<0.01), 134.3837 (p<0.01), 2.3968 (p<0.05), 359.7055 (p<0.01) and 78.1706 (p<0.01), respectively. The results suggested that the sites of MroXI and TaqI were within Hardy-Weinberg balance, while the sites of the others were not within Hardy-Weinberg balance.

Table 2. The genotypes of PCR-RFLP in ovar-DQB1 exon 2

| Restriction enzymes | The genotypes of each restriction enzyme |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| TaqI                | aa(165 bp/115 bp)                       |
|                     | bb(231 bp/49 bp)                       |
|                     | ab(231 bp/165 bp)                      |
|                     | ac(280 bp/165 bp/115 bp)               |
|                     | bb(192 bp/88 bp)                       |
| MroXI               | ab(280 bp/192 bp/88 bp)               |
| Scal                | aa(280 bp)                            |
|                     | bb(174 bp/106 bp)                      |
|                     | ab(280 bp/174 bp/106 bp)               |
|                     | gg(280 bp)                            |
|                     | xx(140 bp)                            |
|                     | xg(280 bp/140 bp)                      |
| SacII               | aa(280 bp)                            |
|                     | bb(140 bp/140 bp)                      |
|                     | ac(280 bp/217 bp/63 bp)                |
|                     | cc(217 bp/63 bp)                       |
|                     | ad(280 bp/140 bp/77 bp/63 bp)          |
| HaeIII              | aa(213 bp/67 bp)                       |
|                     | bb(127 bp/67 bp/52 bp/34 bp)           |
|                     | ab(213 bp/179 bp/67 bp/34 bp)          |
|                     | ac(213 bp/179 bp/67 bp/34 bp)          |
|                     | bb(266 bp/14 bp)                      |
|                     | zc(102 bp/96 bp/48 bp/20 bp/14 bp)     |
|                     | yy(150 bp/96 bp/20 bp/14 bp)           |
|                     | bc(266 bp/198 bp/68 bp/14 bp)          |
|                     | cd(280 bp/198 bp/68 bp/14 bp)          |
| MvaI                | aa(198 bp/82 bp)                       |
|                     | bb(266 bp/14 bp)                      |
|                     | zc(102 bp/96 bp/48 bp/20 bp/14 bp)     |
|                     | bc(266 bp/198 bp/68 bp/14 bp)          |
|                     | cd(280 bp/198 bp/68 bp/14 bp)          |
|                     | ab(198 bp/102 bp/96 bp/68 bp/48 bp/20 bp/14 bp) |
|                     | bb(266 bp/150 bp/96 bp/20 bp/14 bp)    |
|                     | cd(198 bp/102 bp/96 bp/68 bp/48 bp/20 bp/14 bp) |

* Represents recognition site; ‡ Represents recognition of A or T.
Table 3. Distribution of MHC-DQB1 genotypes in CE sheep and healthy controls

| Genotype       | CE sheep n = 42 | Healthy sheep n = 103 | $\chi^2$ with correction | p value | OR  | 95% CI |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----|--------|
| MvaI-RFLP (3)  |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| MvaI aa        | 28(43.8%)       | 31(44.9%)             | 0                        | 1, NS   |     |        |
| MvaI ab        | 25(39.1%)       | 24(34.8%)             | 0.11                     | 0.74, NS|     |        |
| MvaI bb        | 11(17.2%)       | 14(20.3%)             | 0.055                    | 0.814,NS|     |        |
| ScoI-RFLP (3)  |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| ScoI aa        | 14(19.7%)       | 10(11.8%)             | 1.319                    | 0.251,NS|     |        |
| ScoI ab        | 57(80.3%)       | 74(87.1%)             | 0.865                    | 0.352,NS|     |        |
| ScoI bb        | 0(0%)           | 1(1.2%)               | 0                       | 0, NS   |     |        |
| NciI-RFLP (3)  |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| NciI xx        | 66(70.2%)       | 60(70.6%)             | 0                        | 1, NS   |     |        |
| NciI gg        | 20(21.3%)       | 16(18.8%)             | 0.049                    | 0.824,NS|     |        |
| NciI xg        | 8(8.5%)         | 9(10.6%)              | 0.07                     | 0.792,NS|     |        |
| SacII-RFLP (7) |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| SacII aa       | 37(59.7%)       | 25(44.6%)             | 2.098                    | 0.147,NS|     |        |
| SacII bb       | 0(0%)           | 3(5.4%)               | 1.589                    | 0.207,NS|     |        |
| SacII cc       | 7(11.3%)        | 5(8.9%)               | 0.014                    | 0.905,NS|     |        |
| SacII ab       | 4(6.5%)         | 10(17.9%)             | 2.651                    | 0.103,NS|     |        |
| SacII ac       | 8(12.9%)        | 12(21.4%)             | 0.974                    | 0.324,NS|     |        |
| SacII ad       | 5(8.1%)         | 1(1.8%)               | 1.279                    | 0.258,NS|     |        |
| SacII bd       | 1(1.6%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0                       | 1, NS   |     |        |
| TaqI-RFLP (4)  |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| TaqI aa*       | 58(57.4%)       | 85(82.5%)             | 14.152                   | 0, HS   | 0.286| 0.150-0.544|
| TaqI bb        | 1(1%)           | 1(1%)                 | 0                       | 1, NS   |     |        |
| TaqI ab**      | 41(36.9%)       | 17(16.5%)             | 10.278                   | 0.001,HS| 2.963| 1.551-5.661|
| TaqI ac        | 1(1.6%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0                       | 0.992,NS|     |        |
| MvaI-RFLP (16) |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| MvaI aa        | 3(3.6%)         | 7(7.4%)               | 0.576                    | 0.448,NS|     |        |
| MvaI bb        | 1(1.2%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0.005                    | 0.946,NS|     |        |
| MvaI cc        | 18(21.7%)       | 13(13.7%)             | 1.455                    | 0.228,NS|     |        |
| MvaI dd        | 4(4.8%)         | 12(12.6%)             | 2.419                    | 0.12, NS|     |        |
| MvaI zz        | 16(19.3%)       | 22(23.2%)             | 0.2                     | 0.655,NS|     |        |
| MvaI yy        | 14(16.9%)       | 15(15.8%)             | 0                       | 1, NS   |     |        |
| MvaI ad        | 0(0%)           | 1(1.1%)               | 0                       | 1, NS   |     |        |
| MvaI az        | 1(1.2%)         | 3(3.2%)               | 0.137                    | 0.711,NS|     |        |
| MvaI bc        | 1(1.2%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0.005                    | 0.946,NS|     |        |
| MvaI bd        | 1(1.2%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0.005                    | 0.946,NS|     |        |
| MvaI bz        | 1(1.2%)         | 0(0%)                 | 0.005                    | 0.946,NS|     |        |
| MvaI by        | 0(0%)           | 2(2.1%)               | 0.38                     | 0.537,NS|     |        |
| MvaI cd        | 6(7.2%)         | 3(3.2%)               | 0.799                    | 0.371,NS|     |        |
| MvaI cz        | 14(16.9%)       | 7(7.4%)               | 2.983                    | 0.084,NS|     |        |
| MvaI dz        | 2(2.4%)         | 9(9.5%)               | 2.692                    | 0.101,NS|     |        |
| HaeIII-RFLP (6) |                 |                       |                          |         |     |        |
| HaeIII aa      | 0(0%)           | 3(3.1%)               | 1.376                    | 0.241,NS|     |        |
| HaeIII mm*     | 32(33.0%)       | 24(25.0%)             | 1.133                    | 0.287,NS|     |        |
| HaeIII nn*     | 28(28.9%)       | 55(57.3%)             | 14.767                   | 0, HS   | 0.303| 0.167-0.550|
| HaeIII am      | 3(3.1%)         | 1(1.0%)               | 0.245                    | 0.621,NS|     |        |
| HaeIII an      | 3(3.1%)         | 2(2.1%)               | 0                       | 1, NS   |     |        |
| HaeIII mm**    | 31(32%)         | 11(11.5%)             | 10.736                   | 0.001,HS| 3.629| 1.699-7.755|

OR = Odds ratio, CI = Confidence interval, HS = Highly significant, S = Significant, NS = Not significant.

"*" Means that these genotypes are associated with resistance to CE, while "**" means that these genotypes are associated with susceptibility to CE.
Table 4. Frequencies of MH-DQB1 alleles in CE sheep and healthy controls

| Alleles  | CE sheep | Healthy sheep |
|----------|----------|---------------|
| Alleles  | Number   | Frequencies   | Number   | Frequencies   |
| MroxI- (2) |          |               |          |               |
| MroxI a   | 81       | 63.28%        | 86       | 62.32%        |
| MroxI b   | 47       | 36.72%        | 52       | 37.68%        |
| Scal- (2) |          |               |          |               |
| Scal a    | 85       | 59.85%        | 94       | 55.29%        |
| Scal b    | 57       | 40.15%        | 76       | 44.71%        |
| NciI- (2) |          |               |          |               |
| NciI x    | 140      | 74.46%        | 129      | 75.88%        |
| NciI g    | 48       | 25.54%        | 41       | 24.12%        |
| SacII- (4) |         |                |          |               |
| SacII a   | 91       | 73.39%        | 73       | 65.18%        |
| SacII b   | 5        | 4.03%         | 16       | 14.29%        |
| SacII c   | 22       | 17.74%        | 22       | 19.64%        |
| SacII d   | 6        | 4.84%         | 1        | 0.86%         |
| TaqI- (3) |          |               |          |               |
| TaqI a    | 187      | 90.78%        | 158      | 78.22%        |
| TaqI b    | 19       | 9.22%         | 43       | 21.29%        |
| TaqI c    | 0        | 0%            | 1        | 0.51%         |
| Mval- (6) |          |               |          |               |
| Mval a    | 7        | 4.22%         | 18       | 9.47%         |
| Mval b    | 5        | 3.01%         | 2        | 1.05%         |
| Mval c    | 57       | 34.34%        | 36       | 18.95%        |
| Mval d    | 18       | 10.84%        | 38       | 20%           |
| Mval y    | 29       | 17.47%        | 33       | 17.34%        |
| Mval z    | 50       | 30.12%        | 63       | 33.16%        |
| HaeIII- (3) |         |                |          |               |
| HaeIII a  | 6        | 3.08%         | 9        | 4.69%         |
| HaeIII m  | 98       | 50.52%        | 60       | 31.25%        |
| HaeIII n  | 90       | 46.4%         | 123      | 64.06%        |

CE group, which might be associated with resistance to CE (Table 3). In contrast, we found that the frequencies of DQB1-TaqI and DQB1-HaeIII genotypes were significantly higher in the CE group (36.9% and 32.0%, respectively) than those in the healthy group (16.5% and 11.15%, respectively) (p = 0.001, 0.001 and OR = 2.963, 3.629, respectively), which might be associated with susceptibility to CE (Table 3).

Results of agarose gel electrophoresis for the two enzymes (TaqI and HaeIII), are illustrated in Figure 1 and 2.

DISCUSSION

It has been demonstrated that there are two main approaches, using polymorphisms, in the identification of genes involved in polygenic diseases. The first is examining inheritance patterns for genetic polymorphisms in family studies and the second is population case-control studies which compare genotype frequencies for candidate genes in individuals with the disease and healthy controls (Daly and Day, 2001). An MHC gene or region is considered to be associated with a particular disease if one or more alleles or genotypes are found to be more or less common in diseased individuals compared to control groups (Shiina et al., 2004).

In this study, we investigated MHC-DQB1 loci and CE association in Chinese Merino sheep by comparing the frequency of genotypes in CE sheep and those in healthy individuals. This approach is feasible and has already been applied in MHC-DRB1 loci and CE association studies in Kazak sheep (Li et al., 2010), although the MHC loci detected is different.

Different methods have been employed for typing the MHC-DQB1 gene in various sheep breeds and have revealed extensive polymorphism at these loci. Feichtlbauer et al. (2000) used SSCP methods to detect MHC-DQB1 in Scottish Black-face sheep, who found 16 new alleles. In the present study, we applied the PCR-RFLP method to identify DQB1 exon 2 polymorphisms in Chinese Merino sheep. A total of 22 alleles and 42 RFLP patterns were identified. The polymorphisms existed at the positions of the 226, 246th, 231st, 217th, 213th, 198th, 192nd, 174th, 161st, 140th, 96th and 34th base pairs. The extensive diversity at many MHC loci provides a valuable source of genetic
markers for examining the complex relationships between host genotype and disease resistance or susceptibility. For example, Sayers et al. (2005) suggested that the MHC gene plays an important role in the enhanced resistance of Suffolk sheep to nematode infection. In this study, the high polymorphism of MHC-DQB1 gene suggested that Chinese Merino sheep are excellent material for studying the relationship between MHC and disease resistance or susceptibility.

In the present study, we found that genotypes of DQB1-TaqIa and DQB1-HaeIIIIm showed a negative statistically significant association with the occurrence of CE in Chinese Merino sheep, indicating that these genotypes might be suitable genetic resistant markers against CE. On the other hand, we also found that genotypes of DQB1- TaqIab and DQB1-HaeIIIm showed a positively significant association, indicating that they might be genetic markers of susceptibility to CE. Our previous study (Li et al., 2010) analyzed the relationship between MHC-DRB1 gene polymorphism and CE in Kazakh sheep by PCR-RFLP method, and found that the genotype of Mvalbc, HinIId, HaeIIIId, HaeIIId, and SacIIId appeared to confer protection against CE in Kazakh sheep, while genotypes of Mvalbb, SacIIaa, HinIibb and HaeIIIf were found to confer susceptibility to the disease. Comparing the present results with the above finding, however, there were also variation existing in the genotypes in terms of genetic markers, which may be related to the different loci of MHC genes, different sheep breed, or particularly due to genetic variation within the *Echinococcus sp*.

In conclusion, in the current circumstance there is a lack of information about the relationship between MHC and CE, this study reports within-breed genetic variation to parasite susceptibility/resistance to CE disease in Chinese Merino sheep, the results emphasized that MHC were significant factors for the development of CE or protection against CE in Chinese Merino sheep, which would pave the way for breeding disease resistance sheep in future. However, regarding that MHC-disease association is influenced by multiple factors, such as parasite disease biology, genetic background of animal, sample size and especially ovar-MHC typing method, further work (e.g., sample amplification and experimental infection with *E. granulosus*) is required to carry out, which will provide more meaningful association.
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