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Abstract

This paper concerns a special class of $n$-point correlation functions of operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills theory. These are “maximal $U(1)_Y$-violating” correlators that violate the bonus $U(1)_Y$ charge by a maximum of $2(n-4)$ units. We will demonstrate that such correlators satisfy $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-covariant recursion relations that relate $n$-point correlators to $(n-1)$-point correlators in a manner analogous to the soft dilaton relations that relate the corresponding amplitudes in flat-space type IIB superstring theory. These recursion relations are used to determine terms in the large-$N$ expansion of $n$-point maximal $U(1)_Y$-violating correlators in the chiral sector, including correlators with four superconformal stress tensor primaries and $(n-4)$ chiral Lagrangian operators, starting from known properties of the $n = 4$ case. We concentrate on the first three orders in $1/N$ beyond the supergravity limit. The Mellin representations of the correlators are polynomials in Mellin variables, which correspond to higher derivative contact terms in the low-energy expansion of type IIB superstring theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ at the same orders as $R^4, d^4R^4$ and $d^6R^4$. The coupling constant dependence of these terms is found to be described by non-holomorphic modular forms with holomorphic and antiholomorphic weights $(n-4, 4-n)$ that are $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-covariant derivatives of Eisenstein series and certain generalisations. This determines a number of non-leading contributions to $U(1)_Y$-violating $n$-particle interactions $(n > 4)$ in the low-energy expansion of type IIB superstring amplitudes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. 
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1 Introduction and overview

The holographic relationship between the correlation functions of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory ($\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM) \[1\] with gauge group $SU(N)$ and type IIB superstring scattering amplitudes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is the best-studied example of the gauge/gravity correspondence \[2, 3, 4\]. The large-$N$ expansion of the gauge theory correlation functions corresponds to the low-energy expansion of the superstring amplitudes. This system possesses maximal supersymmetry, which has 32 supersymmetry components. In the gauge theory these supersymmetries form part of the superconformal symmetry $PSU(2, 2|4)$, which is also the super-isometry group of the superspace containing $AdS_5 \times S^5$ in which the string theory is embedded.

Both sides of the correspondence are also invariant under the action of the duality group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ SYM this is Montonen–Olive duality \[5, 6, 7\], which relates the theory at one value of the complex coupling constant $\hat{\tau} = \frac{g_{YM}}{2\pi} + i\frac{4\pi}{g_{YM}}$ to the theory defined at a value of $\tau$ that is transformed by an element of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\hat{\tau} \rightarrow \frac{a\hat{\tau} + b}{c\hat{\tau} + d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad ac - bd = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

The holographic image of Montonen–Olive duality is manifested as the invariance of type IIB superstring theory under S-duality \[9\], in which $\tau$ is a complex scalar field which transforms under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ the same way as $\hat{\tau}$ in (1.1). We may expand around a constant background value by setting $\tau = \tau^0 + \delta \tau$, with $\tau^0 = \chi^0 + i/g_s$ where $g_s$ is the string coupling constant and $\chi$ is an angular variable with period 1.

The holographic connection between the gauge theory and type IIB supergravity involves identifying the complex gauge theory coupling constant, $\hat{\tau}$, with the background value $\tau^0$. Furthermore, the string scale is related to $N$ by $\alpha'^2/L^4 = 1/(g_{YM}^2 N)$, where $L$ is the length scale of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background. The fluctuation $\delta \tau$ couples to the gauge theory “chiral Lagrangian” operator $O_\tau$, which is in the same $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supermultiplet as the stress tensor. Fluctuations of the other massless type IIB supergravity fields couple to the other operators in the same supermultiplet.

$U(1)_Y$ violation

The scalar field $\tau$ of type IIB supergravity parameterises the coset space $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/U(1)$, where $U(1)$ is identified with the R-symmetry that rotates the two fermionic supercharges

\[1\]More generally, following \[8\], S-duality relates a theory with a simply-laced gauge group $G$ and coupling $\tau$ to a theory with the GNO/Langlands dual gauge group $G^\vee$, and the duality group is a sub-group of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. If $G = SU(N)$ the dual group is $G^\vee = SU(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N$. The arguments in this paper are insensitive to the global distinction between $SU(N)$ and $SU(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N$ and the duality group is $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. 
into each other. However, in the superstring theory the coset space is subject to discrete identifications, which breaks the duality symmetry from $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ to $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the $U(1)$ is not a symmetry in the string theory, since the two supercharges move in opposite directions on the world-sheet. Therefore, they cannot be continuously transformed into each other but are interchanged by the discrete transformation that flips the orientation of the world-sheet. Combining this with the fact that the fermionic variables may also change sign leads to invariance under $\mathbb{Z}_4$ – which is the residual $U(1)$ symmetry embedded in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. This conclusion can also be deduced from the value of the coefficient of a chiral $U(1)$ anomaly in ten-dimensional type IIB supergravity \[10\]. Whereas $U(1)$ charge is conserved in tree-level supergravity amplitudes, there is a well-defined pattern of $U(1)$ charge violation in type IIB superstring amplitudes.\(^2\)

It was argued in \[11\] that a type IIB scattering amplitude with $n$ external supergravity states could violate the $U(1)$ charge by $|q_U| \leq 2(n - 4)$. Amplitudes that violate $U(1)$ by $(2n - 8)$ units are “maximal $U(1)$-violating” (MUV) and have special features \[12\] that were elucidated in \[13\]. Similarly, “minimal $U(1)$-violating” amplitudes (MUV) violate $U(1)$ by $-(2n - 8)$\(^3\).\(^3\)

The origin of these statements in the four-dimensional gauge theory was studied in \[14\] and \[15\] where it was suggested that there is a corresponding “bonus” $U(1)_Y$ symmetry. This was interpreted as an automorphism of $PSU(2, 2|4)$, which extends the symmetry to $U(1)_Y \times PSU(2, 2|4)$ where the $U(1)_Y$ factor in the semi-direct product is an R-symmetry that acts on the fermionic generators. It is again broken to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and is identified with the centre of the $SU(4)$ R-symmetry group. In \[14\] this was referred to as a “bonus” $U(1)_Y$ symmetry and it was conjectured that the pattern of $U(1)_Y$ violation in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlators would follow the same pattern as in the corresponding superstring scattering amplitudes.\(^4\) Both $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality and the bonus $U(1)_Y$ have interesting geometric interpretations in terms of the six-dimensional $(2, 0)$ theory when dimensional reduced to four dimensions \[16\].

\(^2\)This discussion refers to scattering amplitudes of massless string states, which are defined in terms of fluctuations of supergravity fields with respect to a fixed background geometry and fixed $\tau = \tau^0$. The invariance of the full type IIB superstring effective action under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ includes the transformations of the background, which compensates for the $U(1)$ violation.

\(^3\)All properties concerning maximal $U(1)$ violation have minimal $U(1)$ violation counterparts and so they do not need separate consideration in the following.

\(^4\)We stress that the “bonus $U(1)_Y$ symmetry” is really a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ symmetry in both $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and the type IIB superstring. It is enhanced to a $U(1)$ symmetry of the equations of motion in the abelian $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and in the supergravity limit of the type IIB superstring theory.
Connections between the large-\(N\) expansion and the low-energy expansion

A precise understanding of the connection between the correlation functions of \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM and the scattering amplitudes in type IIB superstring theory in \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) has emerged in recent times based largely on the study of the Mellin transform of the four-dimensional gauge theory position-space correlation functions [17]. As emphasised in [18] the large-\(N\) expansion of these Mellin amplitudes is closely related to the low-energy expansion of the string scattering amplitudes.

Much of this work has considered the four-point correlator and the limit in which \(N \to \infty\) with fixed \('t\) Hooft coupling, \(\lambda = g_{\text{YM}}^2 N\). In this limit each order in the \(1/N\) expansion corresponds to a particular order in string perturbation theory. A further expansion in powers of \(1/\lambda\) corresponds to the low-energy expansion of string perturbation theory in powers of \(\alpha'\)'s (where \(s\) represents a Mandelstam invariant) and \(\alpha'/L^2\). This limit has been the subject of a considerable amount of research using a mixture of bootstrap and localisation techniques [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37].

However, this version of the large-\(N\) limit suppresses the contribution of instantons and obscures \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) duality. In order to demonstrate the holographic relationship between instantons in the gauge theory and D-instantons in string theory we need to consider the limit in which \(g_{\text{YM}}\) is fixed as \(N \to \infty\) [38]. The \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) modular property of the large-\(N\) expansion of \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM four-point correlation function at finite \(g_{\text{YM}}\) has been recently studied in [39, 40] using the powerful tools of supersymmetric localisation [41].

**Modular properties of flat-space type IIB amplitudes**

The connections between modular functions and the coefficients of low-order terms in the low-energy expansion of the flat-space graviton scattering amplitudes were obtained by a number of arguments involving the interplay of M-theory dualities and supersymmetry [42, 43, 44]. These are in some sense to be thought of as BPS coefficients and are expected to be protected by maximal supersymmetry. Certain modular forms that arise at the same order as \(R^4\) were determined in [11, 45]. Particular emphasis was placed on the situation in which these coefficients were various Eisenstein series transforming with non-zero modular weights. As shown in [46], at the first non-trivial order in the low-energy expansion supersymmetry together with \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) covariance uniquely constrains the coefficients to satisfy Laplace eigenvalue equations in the hyperbolic plane that parameterises the coset space \(SL(2, \mathbb{R})/U(1)\) (see also [17] for an extension of this result to the first non-leading order). More recently, an efficient method for determining the Laplace equations satisfied by these coefficients was developed [48, 49] and has been extended [13] to determine the modular forms that arise in the low-energy expansion of \(U(1)\)-violating amplitudes in type IIB superstring theory up to terms with dimension 14.
1.1 Layout

The aim of this paper is to extend the study of correlation functions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM to the large-$N$ expansion of $n$-point correlators of $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS operators that violate the bonus $U(1)_Y$ maximally. This makes contact with the discussion of the corresponding flat-space amplitudes considered in [13] and will shed some light on properties of the corresponding scattering amplitudes in type IIB superstring theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The method will make use of the differential equations relating correlators of different $U(1)_Y$ charge that were discussed in [14, 15] and developed in [50, 51]. We will be able to make precise contact with the large-$N$ expansion of holographic amplitudes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ by explicit Mellin transform following [17, 18], as well as modern bootstrap approaches that have been developed for the study of holographic correlators. We will also make use of the harmonic superspace formalism [52] that was used to obtain analogous differential equations in [53]. In this formalism, which is particularly convenient for describing the $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS representations of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the $SU(4)$ flavour symmetry is described in terms of $SU(2) \times SU(2)' \times U(1)$ subgroup. This is implemented in terms of a superspace that includes bosonic coordinates that parameterise the coset space $S(U(2) \times U(2)') \backslash SU(4)$, and the Grassmann variables are charged under the bonus $U(1)_Y$ that is broken to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ (the centre of $SU(4)$ R-symmetry). The $\mathbb{Z}_4$ imposes selection rules on the correlation functions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

In section 2 we will review the structure of correlators of $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet with particular emphasis on the structure of MUV correlators. The harmonic superspace formalism used in [53] (see also [56, 57]), is particularly useful for discussing “chiral correlators”. These are correlators of chiral operators in the stress tensor multiplet, where a chiral operator has R-symmetry quantum numbers in one $SU(2)$ subgroup of $SU(2) \times SU(2)' \subset SU(4)$ and space-time symmetry in one $SU(2)$ sub-group of the Lorentz group. Chiral MUV correlators are a subset of all MUV correlators that possess special features that will simplify our analysis.

In section 3 we will discuss recursion relations that relate a $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-covariant derivative of a $(n-1)$-point correlator to a $n$-point correlator in which there is an insertion of the integral of the chiral Lagrangian operator, $\int d^4x_n\mathcal{O}_\tau(x_n)$. These modular covariant recursion relations are analogous to the soft dilaton relations that play an important rôle in determining the structure of $U(1)$-violating amplitudes in flat-space type IIB superstring theory [13]. The fact that $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ is an operator in the stress tensor multiplet, which also contains the supersymmetry and R-symmetry currents, is a special feature of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory. That means that its

---

5The aim of [53] was quite different from ours since it concerned the development of efficient methods for evaluating multi-loop perturbative contributions to correlators and did not study the non-perturbative $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ properties.

6Comments in [14] questioned whether there might be problems with the harmonic superspace approach, but these questions were answered in [54, 55].
OPE’s can be related to superconformal Ward identities \[51, 50\].

In section 4 we will see that these recursion relations are particularly simple for chiral MUV \(n\)-point correlators. For this particular class of correlators the dependence on the species of the \(n\) operators is contained in an overall prefactor which is fixed by the symmetries, and the non-trivial dependence of the correlator on the space-time coordinates as well as the coupling constant is common to all correlators with a given value of \(n\).

We will determine those \(n\)-point correlators that are obtained recursively starting from the four-point correlator, focussing on terms in the large-\(N\) expansion of order \(c^\frac{3}{4}\), \(c^\frac{3}{4}\) and \(c^{-\frac{3}{4}}\), where \(c = (N^2 - 1)/4\) is the conformal anomaly for \(SU(N)\ \mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM. These are the first three non-vanishing orders beyond the leading order, which is the supergravity limit of order \(N^2\). Our analysis will make use of recent results [39, 40], based on supersymmetric localisation methods that have determined the exact \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\)-invariant coefficients of terms at these orders in the \(1/N\) expansion of the Mellin transform of this correlator.\footnote{The integrated correlators, which are averaged over the space-time dependence, have been computed to much higher orders in the \(1/N\) expansion [39, 40].}

Inputting this information into the recursion relations uniquely determines the \(n\)-point MUV correlator of the chiral sector up to order \(N^{-1}\) (although we also need to input a piece of information concerning the flat space superstring six-particle scattering amplitudes obtained in [13]).

The space-time dependence of such correlators can be expressed in terms of the specific \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) Witten diagram “\(D\)-functions” and their coupling constant-dependant coefficients are specific \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\)-covariant modular forms. Making use of the Mellin transforms of the correlation functions together with the AdS/CFT dictionary, these results give precise expressions for interactions in the low-energy expansion of MUV \(n\)-point amplitudes of type IIB string theory in \(AdS_5 \times S^5\), generalising the flat-space \(U(1)\)-violating interactions that were studied in [13].

In section 5, our focus will be on demonstrating how the semi-classical evaluation of instanton contributions (which keeps only the leading order terms in the large-\(N\) expansion and in the \(g_{\text{YM}} \to 0\) limit) reproduces the anticipated form of such correlators that we obtained using recursion relations. Specific examples that will be discussed in section 5.1 include \(\langle O_2(1) \cdots O_2(4) \cdots O_\tau(4 + m) \rangle\), \(\langle \mathcal{E}(1) \cdots \mathcal{E}(8) \cdots O_\tau(8 + m) \rangle\) and \(\langle \Lambda(1) \cdots \Lambda(16) \cdots O_\tau(16 + m) \rangle\), where \(O_2\), \(\mathcal{E}\), \(\Lambda\) and \(O_\tau\) are operators in the stress tensor multiplet that are defined in (A.15) and have \(U(1)_\tau\) charges 0, 1, \(\frac{3}{2}\), 2, respectively.

We do not have a general expression for the structure of non-chiral MUV correlators. However, the semi-classical instanton calculations in such cases are very similar to the chiral cases and their structure will be discussed in section 5.2.

The semi-classical instanton contributions to the large-\(N\) limit of the correlators considered in sections 5.1 and 5.2 are particularly simple since only the 16 superconformal fermionic
moduli are of relevance in the instanton profiles of the \(1/2\)-BPS operators. We will end section 5 with a brief discussion of instanton contributions to more general classes of correlators. These examples include MUV correlation functions with non-zero Kaluza–Klein charges and specific non-MUV correlators. In these cases the semi-classical instanton contribution requires an understanding of extra fermionic moduli that enter into the ADHM construction of instanton moduli space in \(SU(N)\) \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM.

Finally, in section 6 we discuss these results and possible future directions. A number of technical details concerning \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM, Mellin amplitudes, as well as \(SL(2,\mathbb{Z})\) modular forms and the \(\alpha'\)-expansion of the flat-space superstring amplitudes are given in the appendices.

2 \(U(1)_{Y}\)-violating correlators of stress tensor multiplet

\(\mathcal{N} = 4\) SYM is invariant under sixteen supersymmetries (eight of each chirality) and sixteen conformal supersymmetries. Its field content may be described in terms of a superfield that is a function of sixteen Grassmann coordinates, \(\theta^A_{\alpha}\) and \(\bar{\theta}^A_{\dot{\alpha}}\), where \(A = 1, 2, 3, 4\) labels a \(4\) or \(\bar{4}\) of the R-symmetry group \(SU(4)\) and \(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}\) are chiral and anti-chiral spinor labels.

Correlators of \(1/2\)-BPS states can efficiently be expressed by use of the \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) harmonic superspace formalism \[52, 58, 59\] in terms of a superfield that depends on a total of eight anti-commuting coordinates, which is half the number of odd coordinates in \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) super Minkowski space. This can be made manifest by decomposing the Grassmann coordinates according to \(SU(2) \times SU(2)' \times U(1)\) so that

\[
\theta^A_{\alpha} = (\rho^{a}_{\alpha}, \theta^{a'}_{\alpha}), \quad \bar{\theta}^A_{\dot{\alpha}} = (\bar{\rho}^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{a}'}_{\dot{\alpha}}),
\]

where the indices \(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}\) label chiral and anti-chiral two-component space-time spinors and \(a, a' = 1, 2\) label the \((2,1)\) and \((1,2)\) representations. The Grassmann variables \(\rho^{a}_{\alpha}\) and \(\bar{\rho}^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\) are defined by

\[
\rho^{a}_{\alpha} = \theta^{a}_{\alpha} + \theta^{a'}_{\alpha} y^{a'}_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{\rho}^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\theta}^{\dot{a}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\theta}^{\dot{a}'}_{\dot{\alpha}} y^{\dot{a}'}_{\dot{\alpha}},
\]

where the (complex) bosonic coordinate \(y\) parameterises the eight-dimensional coset space \(SU(2) \times SU(2)' \backslash SU(4)\). From these definitions we see that \(y^{a}_{\alpha}\) and \(\bar{y}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}\) are bifundamentals of \(SU(2) \times SU(2)'\) and have \(U(1)\) charges equal to \(+2\) and \(-2\), respectively.

The on-shell \(\mathcal{N} = 4\) Yang–Mills Field strength multiplet of \((A.4)\) may be identified with the components of a scalar superfield, \(W(x, \rho, \bar{\rho}, y)\) that satisfies certain constraints and

---

8The instanton profile of an operator is its value in an instanton background, which depends on the bosonic and fermionic moduli.

9For the most part our conventions follow those of \[53\].
which takes values in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$. The $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS gauge-invariant superconformal primary $\mathcal{O}_p$ is the lowest component of the superfield $\mathcal{T}^p = \text{tr}(W^p)$. We will concentrate on the stress tensor supermultiplet, which is the $p = 2$ case $\mathcal{T} = \text{tr}(W^2)$ (dropping the superscript when $p = 2$), for which the primary $\mathcal{O}_2$ is the $20'$ of $SU(4)$. This may be defined in terms of the component fields by

$$\mathcal{O}_2(x, y) = Y_I Y_J \mathcal{O}_{20'}^{IJ}(x) = Y_I Y_J \frac{1}{g_{\text{YM}}^2} \left( \text{tr}(\varphi^I \varphi^J)(x) - \frac{1}{6} \delta^{IJ} \text{tr}(\varphi^K \varphi^K)(x) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where $I, J = 1, \ldots, 6$ and $Y_J$ is a fixed null vector satisfying $Y \cdot Y = 0$. This can be expressed in terms of $y^a_a'$ introduced in (2.2),

$$Y_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Sigma_I)^A B \epsilon_{ab} g_A^b g_B^a, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$g_A^b = (\delta_a^b, y_a^b), \quad (2.5)$$

and where $(\Sigma_I)^A B$ are Clebsch–Gordan coefficients that couple a 6 to two 4's of $SO(6)$. These satisfy $\sum_{I=1}^6 (\Sigma_I)^A B (\Sigma_I)^C D = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{A B C D}$, which implies $(Y_I)_I (Y_J)_J = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{a'b'} \epsilon_{ab} (y_{ij})_a (y_{ij})_b' \equiv (y_i - y_j)^2$. It follows from this that $Y_I$ has $U(1)$ charge equal to +2 and $\mathcal{O}_2$ has $U(1)$ charge equal to +4.

The operator $\mathcal{O}_2$ is annihilated by half the supersymmetries, which we will take to be the eight supersymmetry components, $Q^a_\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}^\dot{a}_\dot{\alpha}$. The descendant states in the short $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS stress tensor supermultiplet are then generated by the action of the remaining eight supersymmetry components on $\mathcal{O}_2$. The structure of the stress tensor supermultiplet is expressed in an efficient manner by

$$\mathcal{T}(x, \rho, \bar{\rho}, y) = \exp \left( \rho^a_\alpha Q^a_\alpha + \bar{\rho}^\dot{a}_\dot{\alpha} \bar{Q}^\dot{a}_\dot{\alpha} \right) \mathcal{O}_2(x, y). \quad (2.6)$$

The expansion of $\mathcal{T}$ in powers of $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ generates the super-descendants in the $\mathcal{O}_2$ multiplet. A special feature of the $p = 2$ multiplet, which has components listed in (A.13), is that terms of order $\rho^r \bar{\rho}^s$ with $r + s \geq 5$ vanish \[10\]

Since $Q^a_\alpha$ and $\bar{Q}^\dot{a}_\dot{\alpha}$ have $U(1)$ charges $-1$ and $+1$, respectively, $\mathcal{T}(x, \rho, \bar{\rho}, y)$ has a charge +4. As we will see, correlators of $\mathcal{T}(x, \rho, \bar{\rho}, y)$ are polynomials in $\rho_i, \bar{\rho}_i, y_i$ that are strongly constrained by requiring $U(1)$ invariance at each operator position. The bonus $U(1)_Y$ R-symmetry only acts on the fermionic coordinates, $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ (and not on $y$ or $x$). Since it is the holographic dual of the $U(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$ in the type IIB superstring, we will assign $U(1)_Y$

\[10\] This follows upon using the equations of motion.
charges $-\frac{1}{2}$ to $\rho$ and $+\frac{1}{2}$ to $\bar{\rho}$\[^{11}\]. This leads to charge assignments for the operators in the $O_2$ multiplet (the stress tensor multiplet) that are equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to those of the supergravity fields that act as sources for the operators according to the holographic relationship between the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and type IIB superstring theory. For example, the operator $O_\tau$ has $U(1)$ charge 2 while the conjugate supergravity field, which is the fluctuation of the complex type IIB dilaton (the field called $Z$ in [13]) has charge $-2$.

The $U(1)_Y$ charges of all the operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet are summarised in (A.13). These are correlated with the powers of $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$. In the following a general $U(1)_Y$ charge of a correlator will be denoted $q_U$ and the $U(1)_Y$ charge of a field $\Psi$ will be denoted $q_\Psi$. The dimension of such a field will be denoted $\Delta_\Psi$.

**2.1 Correlation functions of the stress tensor multiplet**

We are interested in properties of the correlation function of $n$ operators in the stress tensor multiplet. We may associate the coordinates $(x_i, \rho_i, \bar{\rho}_i, y_i)$ with each operator $T$ in the correlator so that all possible $n$-particle correlators are generated as coefficients in the expansion in powers of the Grassmann coordinates,

$$G_n = \langle T(1)T(2)\cdots T(n) \rangle = \sum_{\{k_r,\ell_r\} = 0}^{4} \hat{G}_{n;k,\ell}(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n) \rho_1^{k_1} \bar{\rho}_1^{\ell_1} \cdots \rho_n^{k_n} \bar{\rho}_n^{\ell_n}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the sums are subject to the following restrictions. Each component operator must lie in the $p = 2$ superconformal multiplet shown in (A.13), which requires

$$k_r + \ell_r \leq 4, \quad (k_r, \ell_r) \neq (1, 3) \text{ or } (3, 1), \quad \text{where } 1 \leq r \leq n \quad (2.8)$$

and we have defined $k$ and $\ell$ by

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} k_r = 4k, \quad \sum_{r=1}^{n} \ell_r = 4\ell. \quad (2.9)$$

Furthermore, as explained in [53], supersymmetry and superconformal symmetry imply that one can gauge away 16 $\rho$’s (and 16 $\bar{\rho}$’s), from which it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^{n} k_r - \sum_{r=1}^{n} \ell_r \right| = |4k - 4\ell| \leq 4n - 16. \quad (2.10)$$

The quantity $\hat{G}_{n;k,\ell}(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n)$ in (2.7) is a polynomial in $y_i$ of the form $\sum y_1^{p_1} y_2^{p_2} \cdots y_n^{p_n}$. The fact that $T(r)$ has $U(1)$ charge +4 implies a restriction $2p_r + k_r - \ell_r = 4$.

\[^{11}\]In the convention used in [14] these $U(1)_Y$ charges are ±1.
As discussed in [14], the correlation functions that are dual to type IIB supergravity contributions are invariant under $U(1)_Y$, which is a symmetry of type IIB supergravity. In particular, the leading terms in the large-$N$ expansion, which are of order $N^2$, correspond to tree-level supergravity contributions that preserve $U(1)_Y$. However, in general, the correlation functions are not $U(1)_Y$ invariant. Nevertheless the unbroken discrete $\mathbb{Z}_4$ subgroup imposes constraints on the structure of the polynomials in $\rho, \bar{\rho}$. This restricts the polynomials to terms of the form $\rho^4 \bar{\rho}^4 (\rho \bar{\rho})^w (u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z})$, which are invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}_4$, transformations $\rho \rightarrow \omega \rho$, $\bar{\rho} \rightarrow \omega^{-1} \bar{\rho}$, where $\omega^4 = 1$ [54]. The total $U(1)_Y$ charge of the correlator $\hat{G}_{n;k,\ell}$ is given by $\sum_{r=1}^n q_r = 2k - 2\ell$. The maximum $U(1)_Y$ violation arises when $k - \ell = n - 4$ and the $U(1)_Y$ charge is violated by $q_{uv}^{\max} = 2n - 8$ units. For chiral correlators this condition becomes $\ell_r = 0 \ \forall r$ and $\sum_r k_r = 4n - 16$, but there are many examples of non-chiral MUV correlators. Similarly, the minimum $U(1)_Y$ violation is $q_{uv}^{\min} = -2(n - 8)$, which arises when $\ell - k = n - 4$.

The correlator $\hat{G}_{n;k,\ell}(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n)$ is an expectation value of the form

$$\hat{G}_{n;k,\ell}(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{j_1}(x_1, y_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r, y_r) \cdots \mathcal{O}_{j_n}(x_n, y_n) \rangle, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ is defined by the functional integral

$$\langle \prod_{r=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r, y_r) \rangle = \int [d\Phi] e^{i \int d^4x L[\Phi]} \prod_{r=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r, y_r). \quad (2.12)$$

The index $j_i$ on an operator in the stress tensor supermultiplet in (2.7) and (2.11) labels its $U(1)_Y$ charge and its dimension, i.e. $j_i = (q_i, \Delta_i)$ [12].

Although we would ultimately like to describe any of these correlation functions, we are here focussing on the components of (2.11) that violate $U(1)_Y$ maximally, namely the MUV correlators.

### 2.2 Correlation functions of chiral operators

For technical reasons (soon to become apparent) many of our considerations will be restricted to the “chiral” sector, which is obtained by setting $\bar{\rho} = 0$. This gives the expression

$$\mathcal{T}^C(x, \rho, y) \equiv \mathcal{T}(x, \rho, 0, y) = \mathcal{O}_2(x, y) + \cdots + \rho^4 \mathcal{O}_\tau(x), \quad (2.13)$$

which contains the operators connected by the red arrows in (A.13) (the expressions for these operators in terms of the elementary fields are given in appendix A.3).

12The operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet are uniquely specified by $j = (q, \Delta)$, apart from the two-fold degeneracy of the operators with $q = 1, \Delta = 3$ and $q = -1, \Delta = 3$ as shown in (A.13).
A general \( n \)-point chiral correlator can be expanded in terms of Grassmann variables \( \rho \) in the form,

\[
G_n = \langle T^C(1)T^C(2) \cdots T^C(n) \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-4} \hat{G}_{n;k}(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n) \rho_1^{k_1} \cdots \rho_n^{k_n}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i = 4k, (2.14)
\]

where \( T^C(i) \equiv T^C(x_i, \rho_i, y_i) \) (and we have set \( \hat{G}_{n;k} \equiv \hat{G}_{n;k,0} \)). The expression \( \hat{G}_{n;k} \) has total degree \( 4k \) in the Grassmann variables \( \rho_i \), and as a consequence it describes a correlator that violates the \( U(1)_Y \) charge by \( 2k \). Here we will be interested in the special cases of MUV correlators, which have \( k = n - 4 \) and violate \( U(1)_Y \) by the maximum value of \( q_U = 2(n - 4) \)\(^{13}\). The only \( U(1)_Y \)-conserving example of such correlators has \( n = 4 \) and is given by\(^{14}\)

\[
\hat{G}_{4;0}(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4) = \langle O_2(x_1, y_1) O_2(x_2, y_2) O_2(x_3, y_3) O_2(x_4, y_4) \rangle, \quad (2.15)
\]

which is the component in (2.14) with \( n = 4 \) and \( k_i = 0 \ \forall i \).

The chiral MUV correlators have special properties. In particular, the dependence on \( y_i \) and \( \rho_r \) can be factored out into a prefactor \( \mathcal{I}_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) \)\(^{13}\) so that we can write a chiral MUV \( n \)-point correlator in the form

\[
\hat{G}_{n;n-4}(j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_n) = \mathcal{I}_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) \mathcal{G}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}). \quad (2.16)
\]

Importantly, the “reduced correlation function” \( \mathcal{G}_n \) depends only on the \( x_i \) and not on the particular species of operators in the MUV correlator so it has the same form for any chiral MUV correlator with a given value of \( n \). The prefactor \( \mathcal{I}_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) \), which is fixed by the superconformal symmetry, was explicitly constructed in\(^{13}\), and takes the following form,

\[
\mathcal{I}_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) = \int d^4 \epsilon' d^4 \xi' d^4 \tilde{\epsilon} d^4 \tilde{\xi} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta^4 \left( \rho_{i,a} - \left( \epsilon_{a}^{i} + \epsilon_{a}' y_{i,a}' \right) - x_{i,a} \left( \xi_{a}^{i} + \xi_{a}' y_{i,a}' \right) \right). \quad (2.17)
\]

This is a homogeneous polynomial in \( \{\rho_i\} \) of degree \( 4(n - 4) \) (using the fact that superconformal symmetry allows 16 of the \( \rho_{i,a} \) to be set equal to zero). It has \( U(1) \) charge 4 at each point, which implies that \( \mathcal{G}_n \) has no dependence on \( y_i \), as we emphasised earlier. Furthermore, \( \mathcal{I}_n \) is \( S_n \) symmetric and has conformal dimension \( -2 \) at each position \( x_r \), which

\(^{13}\)These were called “maximal nilpotent” correlators in\(^{13}\). The focus of\(^{13}\) is on the perturbation theory and the issue of \( U(1)_Y \) violation was not considered in that reference.

\(^{14}\)Two and three-point correlators also preserve \( U(1)_Y \), and are known to be independent of the coupling constant, \( \hat{\tau} \), so we will only consider the correlators with \( n \geq 4 \).
implies that the dynamical factor $G_{n}(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau})$ is also $S_n$ symmetric, and has conformal dimension +4 at each position to match the conformal weight of $T^C(j)$, which is +2.\footnote{When translated into Mellin space the prefactor $I_n({\tau})$ behaves as $(\gamma_{ij})^4$, where $\gamma_{ij}$ is the Mellin variable. The prefactor plays the role that the supersymmetry factor $\delta^6(Q)$ played in the structure of MUV type IIB superstring amplitudes \cite{12,13}. The structure of type IIB superstring amplitudes is reviewed in Appendix C.} The reduced correlation function $G_{n}(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau})$ plays a prominent rôle in the remainder of this paper.

A particular example is the chiral MUV correlator of four $O_2$ operators and $(n - 4) O_r$ operators
\begin{equation}
\langle O_2(x_1, y_1) \cdots O_2(x_4, y_4) O_r(x_5) \cdots O_r(x_n) \rangle,
\end{equation}
for which the prefactor has the form
\begin{equation}
I_n({\tau}; \rho_1, \rho_2, \cdots, \rho_n) = \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij}^2 \right) \times R(1, 2, 3, 4) \times (\rho_5)^4 \cdots (\rho_n)^4,
\end{equation}
where $R(1, 2, 3, 4)$ is the usual R-symmetry invariant of the four-point correlator \cite{60,61}, and is given by
\begin{align}
R(1, 2, 3, 4) &= \frac{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2 y_{16}^4}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{41}^2 x_{16}^2} (x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2 - x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 - x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2) + \frac{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2 y_{16}^4}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{41}^2 x_{16}^2} (x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2 - x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 - x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2)
\quad + \frac{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2 y_{16}^4}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{41}^2 x_{16}^2} (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 - x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2 - x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2) + \frac{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2 y_{16}^4}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{41}^2 x_{16}^2} (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 - x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2 - x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2) + \frac{y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4^2 y_{16}^4}{x_{12}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{41}^2 x_{16}^2} (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 - x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2 - x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2).
\end{align}

There are many other chiral MUV correlators that can be extracted from (2.16) by considering various powers of $\rho_i$. These are $n > 4$ correlation functions with a power $\prod \rho_i^{k_i}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i = 4n - 16$, so they violate the $U(1)_Y$ charge by $2(n - 4)$ units. We will call these "descendant chiral MUV correlators" since they involve products of less than four $O_2$ with a number of superconformal descendant (but conformal primary) operators. Some examples will be described in section 5; one of which is $\langle A(x_1) \cdots A(x_{16}) \rangle^4$, which has $n = 16$, $q_A^{16} = 24$. Since the operator $\Lambda$ (defined in (1.15)) is the component of $\mathcal{T}$ that is cubic in $\rho$ this correlator involves the terms proportional to $\rho_3^6 \cdots \rho_{16}^6$ in the expression for $I_n$ in (2.17).

These properties were used to construct $G(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau})$ at Born level in (53). The aim in that reference was to use the structure of these particular correlators in order to study $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM perturbation theory by relating the correlator of four $O_2$'s at $\ell$ loops to the correlator with $\ell$ insertions of the chiral Lagrangian at the Born level. Here our aim is different—we are interested in the large-$N$ expansion of $G(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau})$, and its non-perturbative $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$
modular properties. For \( n > 4 \) we anticipate that the large-\( N \) expansion has the form\(^{16}\)

\[
\mathcal{G}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) = c^{1/2} \mathcal{G}^{(0)}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) + c^{-1/2} \mathcal{G}^{(2)}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) + O(c^{-3/2})
\]

\[= \sum_{\alpha=0,2,3} c^{1-\alpha} \mathcal{G}^{(\alpha)}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) + O(c^{-3/2}), \tag{2.21}\]

where \( c = (N^2 - 1)/4 \). The terms with \( \alpha = 0, 2, 3 \) are the ones that are expected to be determined by supersymmetry – they are holographically dual to terms in the low-energy expansion of the MUV amplitudes of the type IIB superstring theory in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) of the same dimensions as \( R^4, d^4 R^4 \) and \( d^6 R^4 \). The flat-space limits of these superstring amplitudes were studied in [13].

3 Modular differential relations

We now want to study the detailed form of the differential relations between MUV correlation functions. This will make use of techniques suggested in [14] and [50]. We will again restrict most of our considerations to correlation functions of chiral operators, for which we have the most detailed understanding, although the results apply to the wider class of non-chiral MUV correlators.

3.1 \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) covariance of \( \frac{1}{2} \)-BPS operators and correlation functions

Before discussing relations between correlation functions with different \( U(1)_Y \) charge violation we will summarise some notational conventions. Under a \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) transformation

\[
\tau \rightarrow \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = 1, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.1}\]

a modular form with holomorphic and anti-holomorphic modular weights \((w, \hat{w})\) transforms as

\[
f^{(w, \hat{w})}(\tau) \rightarrow (c\tau + d)^w (c\bar{\tau} + d)^{\hat{w}} f^{(w, \hat{w})}(\tau). \tag{3.2}\]

\(^{16}\)The \( n = 4 \) case, which will be discussed in the next section, also has terms that are holographically related to the supergravity four-graviton scattering amplitude, which starts at order \( O(c) \). MUV correlators with \( n > 4 \) do not arise in supergravity since it conserves \( U(1)_Y \). Furthermore, as we will see, the \( \alpha = 1 \) term (of order \( c^0 \)) vanishes.
When $\hat{w} = -w$ the transformation is a $U(1)_Y$ transformation by an angle $\phi$, defined by

$$e^{2i w \phi} = \left( \frac{c \tau + d}{c \tilde{\tau} + d} \right)^w. \quad (3.3)$$

The modular covariant derivatives

$$D_w = i \left( \tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{i w}{2} \right), \quad \bar{D}_w = -i \left( \tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}} + \frac{i \hat{w}}{2} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

act on a $(w, -w)$ modular form as follows

$$D_w f(w, -w)(\tau) = f(w+1, -w-1)(\tau), \quad \bar{D}_w f(w, -w)(\tau) = f(w-1, -w+1)(\tau). \quad (3.5)$$

We may consider two distinct Laplace operators acting on a $(w, -w)$ modular forms, which are defined by

$$\Delta_{(-)w} = 4 D_{w-1} \bar{D}_{-w}, \quad \Delta_{(+)-w} = 4 \bar{D}_{-w-1} D_w, \quad (3.6)$$

so that when acting on a $(0, 0)$ form we have $\Delta_{(\pm)0} = 4 \tau_2^2 \partial_\tau \partial_{\bar{\tau}}$, which is the standard laplacian on functions. Noting that $\tau_2 = (\tau - \bar{\tau})/2i$ we see that

$$\Delta_{(-)w} - \Delta_{(+)-w} = 2w. \quad (3.7)$$

We will consider correlation functions of operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet, $O_{j_r}$, with conformal dimensions $\Delta_{j_r}$ and $U(1)_Y$ charges $q_{j_r}$, and the total modular weight $k = \sum_r w_{j_r} = n - 4$. The total $U(1)_Y$ charge is twice the holomorphic weight, or $q_U = 2k$.

### 3.2 $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$-covariant differential relations between correlators

We begin by recalling the renormalisation of $1/2$-BPS operators, such as $O_2$ defined in (2.3). This is the $p = 2$ example of the more general superconformal primaries, $O_p$, which are defined by

$$O_p = N \left( \frac{\hat{\tau}_2}{4\pi N} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} [\text{tr } \varphi^p](0,0). \quad (3.8)$$

With this normalisation a connected vacuum diagram that can be drawn on a surface of genus $g$ behaves as $N^\chi$, where the Euler character is $\chi = 2 - 2g$. Furthermore, with this normalisation the two-point function in the free theory is independent of $\hat{\tau}$. It follows from supersymmetry that every descendant operator in a supermultiplet has a linear dependence on $\hat{\tau}_2$. In the case of $p = 2$, which we will focus on, any operator in the multiplet satisfies

$$\hat{\tau}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\tau}} O_j = -\frac{i}{2} O_j, \quad \hat{\tau}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}} O_j = \frac{i}{2} O_j, \quad (3.9)$$
since \( \hat{\tau}_2 = (\hat{\tau} - \hat{r})/2i \).

Properties of the operator product expansions (OPE’s) of \( \mathcal{O}_r \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{O}}_r \) with other \( \frac{1}{2} \)-BPS operators were considered in [51, 50] (see also [54, 62]). These were obtained by performing a number of supersymmetry transformations on the OPE’s of the energy-momentum tensor, which are highly constrained by Ward identities. In [51] it was argued that these OPE’s have the schematic form

\[
\mathcal{O}_r(z) \mathcal{O}_j(x_j) = a_j \mathcal{O}_j(x_j) \delta^4(z - x_j) + a'_j \frac{1}{(z - x)^4} \mathcal{O}_{j'}(x_j) + \ldots
\]  

(3.10)

where \( j = (q_j, \Delta_j) \) and \( j' = (q_j + 2, \Delta_j) \) and the ellipsis corresponds to less singular terms involving conformal descendants, long operators and double trace operators. The first term on the right-hand side is a contact term that vanishes when the points \( z \) and \( x_j \) are separated, but it affects the integrated correlation function in a crucial manner. The second term conserves the \( U(1)_Y \) symmetry and can be determined from the three point correlator of \( 1/2 \)-BPS operators, \( \langle \mathcal{O}_r(z) \mathcal{O}_j(x) \mathcal{O}_{j'}(y) \rangle \). In much of the following we will take \( \mathcal{O}_j \) to be one of the operators in the chiral sector in (2.13), which have \( q_j = \Delta_j - 2 \). In this case the operator \( \mathcal{O}_{j'} \) has \( j' = (\Delta_j, \Delta_j) \) and does not correspond to any operator in the stress tensor multiplet and so it is not a short operator and the coefficient \( a'_{j'} = 0 \).

A similar equation to (3.10) applies when \( \mathcal{O}_r \) is replaced by \( \bar{\mathcal{O}}_r \). The coefficients in that case will be denoted by \( \bar{a}_j \) and \( \bar{a}'_{j'} \).

The coefficients \( a_j \) and \( \bar{a}_j \) of the contact terms can be determined from the requirement that correlation functions transform covariantly under \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) [50]. This is seen by considering the action of \( \partial/\partial \hat{\tau} \) and \( \partial/\partial \hat{r} \) on the correlation functions \( \bar{G}_{n:n-4}(j_1 \ldots j_n) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{j_1}(x_1) \ldots \mathcal{O}_{j_n}(x_n) \rangle \). This is a correlation function that violates the \( U(1)_Y \) symmetry and can be determined from the three point correlator of \( \mathcal{Y} \) operators in the correlation function. The second term on the right-hand side of these equations involves conformal descendants, long operators and double trace operators. The first term where the inhomogeneous term proportional to \( n/2 \) arises from differentiation of the \( n \) operators in the correlation function. The second term on the right-hand side of these equations comes from differentiating the factor of \( e^{-\int d^4z \mathcal{L}^E(z)} \), where \( \int d^4z \mathcal{L}^E(z) \) is the euclidean action.
We now separate the contribution of the contact terms from the integrals in the second terms on the right-hand sides of (3.11) and (3.12). This gives terms with coefficients $a_j$ and $\bar{a}_j$. The value of $a_j$ is determined by requiring that the appropriate covariant derivatives act on the weight-$(w, -w)$ correlation function. We have

$$
\left( i\hat{\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\tau}} + \frac{w}{2} \right) \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle = \frac{n + w}{2} \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int d^4z \left\langle \mathcal{O}_\tau(z) \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle
$$

$$
= \left( \frac{n + w + \sum_r a_r}{2} \right) \left\langle \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \int d^4z \left\langle \mathcal{O}_\tau(z) \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle. \tag{3.13}
$$

The symbol $\int_{\epsilon}$ in the second line indicates that the integration region excludes a small ball around each $x_r$, where $\epsilon \to 0$. This allows us to isolate the contact terms that gives the terms proportional to proportional to $a_r$. From now on we may suppress the $\epsilon$ with the understanding that the integration is performed by first evaluating the integrand at separated points. Therefore, the net effect of the final result is that we will consider correlators only at distinguished points.

The left-hand side of (3.13) is equal to $\mathcal{D}_w \left( \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right)$. In order for this equation to transform covariantly under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ it therefore follows that the coefficients of the contact terms must be given by

$$
a_r = -1 - \frac{q_r}{2}, \tag{3.14}
$$

which implies

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} a_r = -n - w. \tag{3.15}
$$

We then see that (3.13) becomes

$$
\mathcal{D}_w \left( \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4z \left\langle \mathcal{O}_\tau(z) \prod_{r=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{j_r}(x_r) \right\rangle. \tag{3.16}
$$

In summary, we see that a simple derivative on a correlator with respect to $\tau$ leads to the correlator with the insertion of the integral of the marginal operator $\mathcal{O}_\tau$. The presence of contact terms requires regularisation consistent with $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ covariance, which turns simple $\tau$ derivatives into $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$-covariant derivatives. The correlator in the integrand on the right-hand side of (3.16) is then defined with separated points and so is UV finite.\footnote{The rôle of contact terms and covariant derivatives has also been discussed recently in \cite{63} in the content of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric CFTs.}
Similarly, the action of $\bar{O}_r$ constrains the sum of the values of $\bar{a}_r$. In this case $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-covariance leads to the constraint
\begin{equation}
\sum_{r=1}^{n} \bar{a}_r = -n + w.
\end{equation}
However, the correlation function in the second term on the right-hand side of (3.12) is not a MUV correlator (and, in particular, is not a chiral correlator if all the $O_j$ are chiral). In that case its structure is more complicated, and we will comment on this further in section 5.

We will utilise the relation (3.16) as a recursion relation that imposes non-trivial constraints on the $(n+1)$-point correlator with a chiral Lagrangian inserted once we know the $n$-point correlator. The relation (3.16), which will be applied to MUV correlators in the next sections, mirrors the soft dilaton theorems in superstring amplitudes [13].

Relations of the general nature of (3.16) have arisen in related contexts. In particular, [14, 15] discussed consequences of the differential relation for correlators with the emphasis on cases with $n \leq 4$, rather than on the $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$-covariance of the $n > 4$ cases. Although $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ covariance was the main focus in [50], the arguments given there were incomplete. A similar relation to (3.16), but with the covariant derivative replaced by a simple derivative was used in [53] to construct loop integrands in perturbation theory, where $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ plays no role. This is equivalent to considering the combination of covariant derivatives that inserts the Lagrangian is $D_w + \bar{D}_{-w}$, which does not depend on the modular weight, $w$. As was discussed in [13], a similar issue arises in the study of the soft dilaton theorem in superstring perturbation theory [61, 65, 66].

4 Recursion relations between correlators

From (2.16) and (3.16) the recursion relation for chiral MUV correlators reduces to
\begin{equation}
I_{n-1}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x_n I_{n}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}; x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}).
\end{equation}
Since the operator at $x_n$ is $O_r$, it follows that $I_{n}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}; x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) = I_{n-1}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}; x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}; \hat{\tau})$ and therefore the factors of $I_{n}$ and $I_{n-1}$ cancel in this equation, resulting in a relation for $G$,
\begin{equation}
D_w G_{n-1}(x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x_n G_{n}(x_1, \cdots, x_n; \hat{\tau}),
\end{equation}
\footnote{An analogous relation was explored in [54, 51, 62] to prove the non-renormalisation theorems of three-point correlators using the fact that the four-point correlators cannot violate the $U(1)_Y$ symmetry.}
where the modular weight of the \((n-1)\)-point correlator is \(w = n - 5\).\(^{19}\)

We will now demonstrate how knowledge of the large-\(N\) expansion of the correlator of four \(\mathcal{O}_2\) operators obtained in \([39]\) and \([40]\) determines the large-\(N\) expansion of the MUV \(n\)-point correlators with \(n > 4\) by using the recursion relation \((4.2)\) in the large-\(N\) expansion. Our arguments mirror those in \([13]\), which concerned the low-energy expansion of flat-space MUV amplitudes in type IIB superstring theory.

Among the key properties that determine the structure of MUV correlators is their OPE structure

\[
\langle \mathcal{O}_{j_1}(x_1) \mathcal{O}_{j_2}(x_2) \cdots \mathcal{O}_{j_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}) \rangle \sim \sum_{j'} C_{j_1 j_2 j'} (x_1 - x_2) \langle \mathcal{O}_{j'}(x_2) \mathcal{O}_{j_3}(x_3) \cdots \mathcal{O}_{j_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}) \rangle + \cdots
\]

(4.3)

where the ellipsis indicates a sum of non-BPS long operators and double-trace operators. In the large-\(N\) limit with finite \(g_{\text{YM}}\) the long operators develop large anomalous dimensions. The \(U(1)_Y\) charge and dimension of \(\mathcal{O}_{j'}\) are given by \(j' = (q_{j_1} + q_{j_2}, \Delta_{j'})\), and its conjugate has \(j'' = (-q_{j_1} - q_{j_2}, \Delta_{j'})\). This follows because the three-point function \(C_{j_1 j_2 j'} \sim \langle \mathcal{O}_{j_1} \mathcal{O}_{j_2} \mathcal{O}_{j'} \rangle\) conserves \(U(1)_Y\) charge \([14]\). Therefore, the \(n\)-point correlator with \(\mathcal{O}_{j'}(x_2)\) on the right hand side of \((4.3)\) vanishes because it violates the \(U(1)_Y\) charge by \(2(n - 3) > 2(n - 4)\), which is beyond the maximal \(U(1)_Y\) charge of a \(n\)-point correlator. As a result, a MUV correlator is dual to a contact amplitude that has no single-trace operator poles. This implies that the large-\(N\) expansion of a MUV correlator is dual to the \(\alpha'\)-expansion of an \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) type IIB superstring amplitude that does not have any intermediate poles of light states.

This suggests that the Mellin amplitude derived from such a correlator should be a polynomial in the Mellin variables \(\gamma_{ij}\), and the degree of the polynomial is determined by the number of derivatives. The Mellin amplitudes corresponding to contact interactions are discussed in appendix \([3]\) following closely the discussion in \([18]\). Indeed, the poles of Mellin amplitudes correspond to exchange of single-trace operators and as we argued they are not present in MUV correlators in the large-\(N\) expansion. This is consistent with the fact that the flat-space MUV amplitudes of type IIB superstring theory do not have poles in the \(\alpha'\) expansion. The Mellin amplitude corresponding to a contact vertex with derivatives acting on it is displayed in \((3.15)\). This property will play an important rôle in the following discussion. In particular, it allows us to write down an ansatz for a given MUV correlator in the large-\(N\) expansion, with a few unknown coupling constant dependent coefficients, which are then fixed by the recursion relation \((3.16)\).

---

\(^{19}\)This relationship is the analogue of soft dilaton relations in superstring amplitudes in flat space, where the supersymmetry factor \(\delta^{16}(\sum Q_i)\) also cancels out when a dilaton is taken to be soft. See further comments in section \([5.2]\) and appendix \([C]\).
4.0.1 A note on notation

In the following we will use the notation \( G^{(\alpha)}_n(x_i; \hat{\tau}) \) to denote the contribution to the \( n \)-point correlator at order \( c(1-\alpha)/4 \) in the large-\( N \) expansion\(^{20}\)

\[
G^{(\alpha)}_n(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} F^{(\alpha)}_{n,m}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(m)}_n(x_i), \tag{4.4}
\]

where \( F^{(\alpha)}_{n,m}(\hat{\tau}) \) is an appropriate modular form with holomorphic and anti-holomorphic modular weights \((n-4, 4-n)\) for the MUV correlators we are considering\(^{21}\). \( \hat{\tau} \) is the complex coupling constant and \( A^{(m)}_n(x_i) \) form the kinematic basis, which we will construct explicitly, corresponding to the contact interaction with \( 2m \) derivatives acting on it. We will consider the cases where \( \alpha \) takes values \( 0, 2, 3 \) for the interactions of the same dimension as \( R^4, d^4R^4, d^6R^4 \), respectively. Note that in general when \( m \geq 3 \) there is more than one kinematic invariant. This will be of particular relevance when \( \alpha = 3 \) and \( n \geq 6 \). For such cases, we will have to introduce additional indices to distinguish a two-fold degeneracy of kinematic factors.

In order to make contact with the \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \) amplitudes we will discuss the Mellin transforms of these correlators, which have the form

\[
M^{(\alpha)}_n(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau}) = \sum_{m=0}^{\alpha} F^{(\alpha)}_{n,m}(\hat{\tau}) M^{(m)}_n(\gamma_{ij}), \tag{4.5}
\]

where \( M^{(m)}_n(\gamma_{ij}) \) is the Mellin transform of \( A^{(m)}_n(x_i) \), and is a symmetric polynomial in the Mellin variables \( \gamma_{ij} \) with weight \( m \). Some general properties of the Mellin representation of holographic correlators are reviewed in appendix \([3]\).

4.1 The four-point correlator

The correlation function of four \( O_2 \)'s provides initial data for determining \( n \)-point correlators using the recursion relation \((4.2)\) and so we will begin with a brief review of some of its properties. Its form is determined by a function of two independent cross-ratios \( U \) and \( V \) defined by

\[
U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}, \quad V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}. \tag{4.6}
\]

\(^{20}\)We will use the economic notation \( G^{(\alpha)}_n(x_i; \hat{\tau}) \equiv G^{(\alpha)}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \hat{\tau}) \), \( A^{(m)}_n(x_i) \equiv A^{(m)}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \), etc.

\(^{21}\)This notation makes contact with the notation used in \([13]\) for the coefficients of the low energy expansion of the holographically dual scattering amplitudes in flat-space type IIB superstring theory.

\(^{22}\)Note, for the case \( \alpha = 1 \), \( F^{(1)}_{n,m}(\hat{\tau}) = 0 \). This follows from the fact \( F^{(1)}_{4,m}(\hat{\tau}) = 0 \) together with the recursion relation \((4.2)\).
After stripping off the prefactor \( R(1, 2, 3, 4) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} x_{ij}^2 \), the correlator can be conveniently expressed in terms of an inverse Mellin transform

\[
G_4(x_i; \tau) = \frac{1}{x_{12}^4 x_{34}^4 x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \int \frac{d \sigma dt}{(4 \pi i)^2} U^{\frac{3}{2}} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma \left( 2 - \frac{s}{2} \right)^2 \Gamma \left( 2 - \frac{t}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{s + t}{2} \right)^2 \mathcal{M}_4(s, t; \tau) \tag{4.7}
\]

where \( \mathcal{M}_4(s, t; \tau) \) is the Mellin amplitude, and \( s, t \) are the Mellin variables. Some general properties of Mellin amplitudes are reviewed in appendix B.2.

The large-\( N \) expansion of \( \mathcal{M}_4(s, t; \tau) \) (or equivalently \( G_4(x_i; \tau) \)) has recently been determined up to order \( c^{-1/2} \) using supersymmetric localisation [39, 40], and takes the following form,

\[
\mathcal{M}_4(s, t; \tau) = c \frac{8}{(s - 2)(t - 2)(u - 2)} + c^{1/4} \frac{15 E(\frac{3}{2}, \tau)}{4 \sqrt{2 \pi^3}} + \mathcal{M}_{1\text{-loop}}(s, t) + c^{-1/4} \frac{315 E(\frac{3}{2}, \tau)}{128 \sqrt{2 \pi^3}} \left[ (s^2 + t^2 + u^2) - 3 \right] + c^{-1/2} \frac{945 E(3, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \tau)}{64 \pi^3} \left[ stu - \frac{1}{4} (s^2 + t^2 + u^2) - 4 \right] + O(c^{-3/4}),
\tag{4.8}
\]

where \( u = 4 - s - t \). The leading term of order \( c \sim N^2 \) is the classical supergravity contribution, which is independent of the coupling constant. Therefore its derivative with respect to the coupling vanishes, which is consistent with (4.2) and the fact that there are no \( U(1)_Y \)-violating \( n \)-point correlators in the supergravity limit for \( n > 4 \). A similar statement applies to the one-loop supergravity contribution, \( \mathcal{M}_{1\text{-loop}}(s, t) \). We will be interested in the higher-derivative terms that correspond to the string corrections.

The leading string correction arises at order \( c^{3/2} \sim N^3 \) (this is the \( \alpha = 0 \) term in (2.21)) and is associated with the higher-derivative interaction \( R^4 \), which has Mellin amplitude that is simply a constant. In order to apply the recursion relation (4.2) it is more convenient to express the correlators in space-time coordinates, which are given by \( D \)-functions

\[
G_4^{(0)}(x_i; \tau) = \frac{15 E(\frac{3}{2}, \tau)}{4 \sqrt{2 \pi^3}} A_4^{(0)}(x_i), \quad \text{with} \quad A_4^{(0)}(x_i) = D_{4444}(x_i).
\tag{4.9}
\]

The definitions of \( D_{4444}(x_i) \) (and \( D \)-functions with general conformal dimensions) and their Mellin transforms are reviewed in appendix B.2. The modular function \( E(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) \) is a non-holomorphic Eisenstein series with properties that are reviewed in appendix C. More generally, in the following we will encounter non-holomorphic Eisenstein series \( E_w(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) \) that are

---

\(^{23}\) Our normalisation differs from that of [40], by an overall factor of \( c^2 \) so that the leading term in the large-\( c \) (i.e. large-\( N \)) limit, which corresponds to tree-level supergravity, is of order \( c \sim N^2 \). Furthermore, we have corrected a typo in the prefactor of the \( c^{-\frac{3}{2}} \) term.
weight \((w, -w)\) modular forms,

\[
E_w(s, \hat{\tau}) = \frac{2^w \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s + w)} \mathcal{D}_{w-1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_0 E(s, \hat{\tau}).
\]  

(4.10)

The properties of \(E_w(s, \hat{\tau})\) are also reviewed in appendix \(\text{C}\). In the special case \(w = 0\) we will drop the subscript \(0\) and set \(E_0(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}) \rightarrow E(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})\).

The \(\alpha = 2\) term (of order \(c^{-\frac{1}{4}} \sim N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\)) in \(4.8\) that is proportional to \(s^2 + t^2 + u^2\) corresponds, in the flat-space limit, to the higher derivative \(\frac{1}{4}\)-BPS interaction, \(d^4 R^4\), of the \(AdS_5 \times S^5\) type IIB superstring. The other \(c^{-\frac{1}{4}}\) term is independent of \(s, t, u\) and corresponds to a correction to the \(R^4\) interaction proportional to \((\alpha')^2/L^4\). The \(c^{-\frac{1}{4}}\) contribution can be expressed in coordinate space in terms of the linear combination of \(D\)-functions,

\[
\mathcal{G}^{(2)}_4(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{315 E(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})}{32 \sqrt{2\pi^5}} \left[ A^{(2)}_4(x_i) - \frac{19}{4} A^{(0)}_4(x_i) \right],
\]  

(4.11)

where \(A^{(0)}_4(x_i)\) is defined in \(4.9\) and \(A^{(2)}_4(x_i)\) is given by

\[
A^{(2)}_4(x_i) = (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 + x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2 + x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2) D_{5555}(x_i),
\]  

(4.12)

where the prefactors of \(x_{ij}^2 x_{kl}^2\) result from the higher powers of \(s, t, u\) in the second line of \(4.8\).

This is seen by expressing \(\mathcal{G}^{(2)}_4(x_i; \hat{\tau})\) as an inverse Mellin transform by using \(\text{(B.14)}\) and \(\text{(B.15)}\)

\[
\mathcal{G}^{(2)}_4(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{315 E(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})}{32 \sqrt{2\pi^5}} \frac{1}{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \int \frac{d\gamma_{12} d\gamma_{13}}{2\pi i} U^{2-\gamma_{12}} V^{\gamma_{12}+\gamma_{13}-4} \Gamma(\gamma_{12})^2 \\
\times \Gamma(4 - \gamma_{12} - \gamma_{13}) \left(\gamma_{12} \gamma_{34} + \gamma_{13} \gamma_{24} + \gamma_{14} \gamma_{23} - \frac{19}{4}\right),
\]  

(4.13)

with the constraints \(\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = 4\) for all \(i\). The integrand translates into a function of \(s, t, u\) by using the definitions in \(\text{(B.3)}\), which imply \(\gamma_{12} = -s/2 + 2\) and \(\gamma_{13} = s/2 + t/2\). With this change of variables the integrand in \(4.13\) matches the coefficient of the \(c^{-\frac{1}{4}}\) term in \(4.8\).

Similarly, the \(\alpha = 3\) term (of order \(c^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sim N^{-1}\)) that is proportional to \(stu\) in the last line of \(4.8\) corresponds to the \(\frac{1}{3}\)-BPS interaction \(d^6 R^4\) in the flat-space limit of \(AdS_5 \times S^5\). The \(c^{-\frac{1}{2}}\) term proportional to \(s^2 + t^2 + u^2\) corresponds to a correction to the \(d^4 R^4\) interaction of order \(\alpha'/L^2\). The \(c^{-\frac{1}{2}}\) term that is independent of \(s, t, u\) corresponds to a \((\alpha')^3/L^6\) correction to the \(R^4\) interaction. After an inverse Mellin transform these terms of order \(c^{-\frac{1}{2}}\) package into the correlator

\[
\mathcal{G}^{(3)}_4(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = -\frac{945 E(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})}{32 \pi^3} \left[ A^{(3)}_4(x_i) + \frac{9}{2} A^{(2)}_4(x_i) - 32 A^{(0)}_4(x_i) \right],
\]  

(4.14)
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where $E(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})$ is the modular function that arises as the coefficient of $d^6R^4$ in the ten-dimensional type IIB effective action \[44\]. This is a generalised Eisenstein series that satisfies an inhomogeneous Laplace eigenvalue equation, as reviewed in appendix C. The functions $A_4^{(0)}(x_i)$ and $A_4^{(2)}(x_i)$ were defined previously and we have also introduced

$$A_4^{(3)}(x_i) = x_{14}^2 x_{24}^2 x_{34}^2 D_{5557}(x_i) + x_{13}^2 x_{23}^2 x_{34}^2 D_{5575}(x_i)$$

$$+ x_{12} x_{23} x_{24} D_{5755}(x_i) + x_{12} x_{13} x_{14} D_{7555}(x_i),$$

(4.15)

where $A_4^{(3)}(x_i)$ corresponds to a six derivative term in the Mellin transform $25$

The terms in the large-$N$ expansion that we have described up to this order are those that correspond to BPS interactions in the flat-space limit where they are completely fixed by supersymmetry together with $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ duality. They are also the terms that have been determined by holography in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ starting from the localised integrated correlation function. In this paper we will not consider terms of higher order than $c^{1/2}$ although a number of higher-order terms have been strongly motivated by the localisation arguments $[39, 40]$. Since the localisation analysis is based on the structure of integrated correlation functions it produces averaged information and can only determine linear combinations of the higher-order interactions in string theory.

Given the results of the first few terms in the $1/N$ expansion of the four-point correlator we will now determine higher-point MUV correlators using the recursion relation (4.2), with the four-point function as the initial data.

### 4.2 $n$-point correlators at order $c^{1/4}$

We start with the $\alpha = 0$ terms in (2.21) that arise at order $c^{1/4}$ (or $N^{1/2}$) in the large-$N$ expansion of a MUV $n$-particle correlator. These terms correspond to contact interactions in the low-energy expansion of the type IIB theory in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ of the form $R^4Z^{n-4}$ (and its supersymmetry completion), where $Z$ is the fluctuation of the complex scalar field $\tau$ that carries $U(1)_Y$ charge $q_Z = -2^{26}$. In order to illustrate the idea, we will begin by determining the five-point correlator from the known four-point result in the previous section. Dimensional analysis suggests that the five-point Mellin amplitude at this order should be a constant. Furthermore as discussed earlier $G_5^{(0)}(x; \hat{\tau})$ should have conformal dimension $+4$ at each operator position, which implies it is proportional to $D_{44444}(x_i)$. These properties

\[24\] This function was denoted $E(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})$ in $[44]$ and has often also been denoted $E_{(0,1)}(\hat{\tau})$.

\[25\] All the terms in $A_4^{(3)}(x_i)$ in (4.15) are in fact equal to each other. The expression as a sum makes the permutation symmetry manifest.

\[26\] The scalar field $Z$ is a reparameterisation of $\tau$ that is defined by $Z = (\tau - \tau^0)/(\tau - \tau^0)$ where $\tau^0$ is the constant background value of $\tau$ $[13]$. 
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together imply that it is given by
\[ G_5^{(0)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) A_5^{(0)}(x_i), \quad \text{with} \quad A_5^{(0)}(x_i) = D_{4444}(x_i), \quad (4.16) \]
where \( \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) \) will be determined from the recursion relation (4.2). Using (4.2) and the four-point result (4.9), we have the relation
\[ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) \int d^4 x_5 A_5^{(0)}(x_i, x_5) = \frac{15}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3} D_0 E_{\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}} A_4^{(0)}(x_i). \quad (4.17) \]
The \( D \)-functions can be integrated explicitly using the following general integral identity
\[ \int d^4 y \left[ (y - y_1)^2 \right]^m \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y - z)^2} \right)^{m+4} = \frac{\pi^2}{(m+2)(m+3)} \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_1 - z)^2} \right)^{-m}, \quad (4.18) \]
where the \( m = 0 \) case is relevant for current considerations. Using this identity, we obtain
\[ \int d^4 x_5 A_5^{(0)}(x_i, x_5) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times N_{44444;4444} A_4^{(0)}(x_i), \quad (4.19) \]
where the factor \( N_{44444;4444} \) is defined as
\[ N_{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \ldots, \Delta_n ; \Delta'_1, \Delta'_2, \ldots, \Delta'_{n-1}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(\Delta_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Gamma(\Delta'_i)} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta'_i - 2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_i - 2} \right), \quad (4.20) \]
which is correlated with the normalisation of the \( D \)-functions defined in (B.8).

It follows from (4.19) that the coefficient modular form \( \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) \) is determined in terms of the four-point modular function,
\[ \frac{\pi^2}{12} \times N_{44444;4444} \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{15}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3} D_0 E_{\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}} , \quad (4.21) \]
which implies,
\[ \mathcal{F}_5^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{945}{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3} E_{1, \hat{\tau}} , \quad (4.22) \]
where we have used \( N_{44444;4444} = \frac{1}{\hat{\tau}} \), and the definition for \( E_w(s, \hat{\tau}) \) given in (4.10).

The discussion of the \( c_4 \) correlators for general \( n > 4 \) is analogous. This gives
\[ G_n^{(0)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) A_n^{(0)}(x_i), \quad \text{with} \quad A_n^{(0)}(x_i) = D_{44444}(x_i), \quad (4.23) \]

\[ ^{27}\text{Here and in the following we are using a condensed notation for the integral over one variable of a function that depends on } n \text{ variables. The integrated variable is displayed explicitly so we write } A(x_i, x_n) \equiv A(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n), \text{ (where } i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \text{) as an example in which the variable } x_n \text{ is singled out.} \]
where, as indicated, the $D$-function has $n$ indices. Again, the space-time dependence is determined by the fact that the Mellin amplitude is constant and the correlation function should have conformal dimension 4 at each $x_i$. The integral given in (4.18) leads to

$$\int d^4 x_n A_n^{(0)}(x_i, x_n) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} N_{n-4;4;4}^{44} A_{n-1}^{(0)}(x_i), \quad (4.24)$$

where the normalisation factor is given by

$$N_{n-4;4;4}^{44} = \frac{3}{(n-2)(2n-3)}. \quad (4.25)$$

From (4.24) and (4.2), we determine that the modular form coefficients of MUV $n$-point correlators at leading order in the large-$N$ expansion have the form

$$F_n^{(0)}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n-2)\Gamma(n-\frac{5}{2})}{16\sqrt{2}\pi^{2n-6}} E_{n-4}(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}), \quad n \geq 4. \quad (4.26)$$

### 4.3 $n$-point correlators at order $c^{-\frac{1}{4}}$

At this order the correlators we are considering correspond to local interactions of supergravity fields of the form $d^4 R Z^{n-4}$ (and its supersymmetry completion in $AdS_5 \times S^5$). Therefore we expect the Mellin amplitude to be proportional to a polynomial of weight $(\gamma_{ij})^2$, as in the $\alpha = 2, n = 4$ case reviewed previously. We begin with the five-point correlator, which involves two independent kinematic invariants of order $(\gamma_{ij})^0$ and $(\gamma_{ij})^2$. The former corresponds to the position space correlator $A_5^{(0)}(x_i)$, which appeared at order $c^{1\frac{1}{4}}$ in the previous section. The higher-derivative terms correspond to a new position-space expression,

$$A_5^{(2)}(x_i) = (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 + x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2 + x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2) D_{55554}(x_i) + \text{perm}, \quad (4.27)$$

where “perm” indicates a sum over all independent permutations. The function $A_5^{(2)}(x_i)$ is constructed so that it has conformal dimension 4 at each point, and has permutation symmetry. Note that, up to terms that have less derivatives that will be included later, the choice of $A_5^{(2)}(x_i)$ is unique. This can be seen by noting that the Mellin amplitude $\gamma_{1234} + \text{perm}$ is the unique symmetric polynomial at order $(\gamma_{ij})^2$, again, up to lower-derivative terms.

Therefore the five-point MUV correlator at order $c^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ should take the following form,

$$G_5^{(2)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_5^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) A_5^{(2)}(x_i) + F_5^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) A_5^{(0)}(x_i), \quad (4.28)$$

The tensor contractions of the curvature $R$ and the derivatives, $d$, have been suppressed in this expression, as has the precise indication of which fields the derivatives act on.

---

28The tensor contractions of the curvature $R$ and the derivatives, $d$, have been suppressed in this expression, as has the precise indication of which fields the derivatives act on.
where the \( \hat{\tau} \)-dependent functions \( F_{5,2}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) \) and \( F_{5,0}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) \) will be shown to be uniquely determined by the recursion relation \((4.2)\) and the four-point result. To utilise \((4.2)\), we need to integrate both \( A_5^{(0)}(x_i) \) and \( A_5^{(2)}(x_i) \). The integral \( \int d^4x_1 A_5^{(0)}(x_1, x_5) \) reduces to \( A_1^{(0)}(x_i) \) as discussed previously. The integral of \( A_5^{(2)}(x_i, x_5) \) is evaluated by using \((4.18)\) for \( m = 0 \) and \( m = 1 \), which gives

\[
\int d^4x_1 A_5^{(2)}(x_1, x_5) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left[ N_{45555;5555}(x_{12}x_{34}^2 + x_{13}x_{24}^2 + x_{14}x_{23}^2)D_{5555}(x_i) + N_{45555;4455}(x_{12}D_{5544}(x_i) + x_{13}D_{5454}(x_i) + x_{14}D_{5454}(x_i)) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2}{72} \left[ A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{128}{7} A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \right].
\]

In arriving at this result we have used

\[
N_{45555;5555} = \frac{1}{12}, \quad N_{45555;4455} = \frac{4}{21},
\]

and the following identity satisfied by \( D \)-functions,

\[
x_{12}^2 D_{5544}(x_i) + x_{13}^2 D_{5454}(x_i) + x_{14}^2 D_{5454}(x_i) + x_{23}^2 D_{4545}(x_i) + x_{24}^2 D_{4545}(x_i) + x_{34}^2 D_{4455}(x_i) = 8 D_{4444}(x_i).
\]

This identity can be obtained by noting that each side of the equation has the same Mellin transform, which is simply equal to 8.

Therefore, using \((4.29)\), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x_1 G_5^{(2)}(x_1, x_5; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi^2}{144} \left[ F_{5,2}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{128}{7} F_{5,0}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \right] + \frac{12}{7} F_{5,0}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) A_4^{(0)}(x_i).
\]

This expression is related by the recursion relation \((4.2)\) to the covariant derivative acting on the four-point correlator. Using the expression \((4.11)\) leads to following relations

\[
F_{5,2}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{14175}{8\sqrt{2\pi^9}} E_1(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}, \hat{\tau}) , \quad F_{5,0}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{215}{16} F_{5,2}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}),
\]

where we have used \((4.10)\) for the definition of \( E_1(\frac{\hat{\tau}}{2}, \hat{\tau}) \). In summary, we find that the five-point MUV correlator at order \( c^{-\frac{3}{2}} \) is given by

\[
G_5^{(2)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_{5,2}^{(2)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_5^{(2)}(x_i) - \frac{215}{16} A_5^{(0)}(x_i) \right].
\]
More generally, we may consider the $n$-point correlator with any $n \geq 4$. Again, the Mellin amplitude at order $e^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ has two independent kinematic invariants. One of them is simply $A^{(0)}_n(x_i)$, and the other takes the following form in terms of $D$-functions,

$$A^{(2)}_n(x_i) = (x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 + x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2 + x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2) D^{555\ldots 4}_{n}(x_i),$$

which is the generalisation of $A^{(2)}_3(x_i)$ in (4.27). The correlator $G^{(2)}_n(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ is then a linear combination of $A^{(0)}_n(x_i)$ and $A^{(2)}_n(x_i)$.

$$G^{(2)}_n(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(2)}_n(x_i) + \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n,0}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(0)}_n(x_i).$$

To perform the integration over $x_n$, we use (4.24) and the generalisation of the five-point integral relation (4.29), which takes the following form,

$$\int d^4 x_n A^{(2)}_n(x_i, x_n) = \frac{\pi^2}{2(n-1)(2n-1)} \left( A^{(2)}_{n-1}(x_i) + \frac{16(n-3)(n-1)}{2n-3} A^{(0)}_{n-1}(x_i) \right),$$

where we have used

$$\mathcal{N}^{555\ldots 4}_{555\ldots 4} = \frac{3}{(n-1)(2n-1)}, \quad \mathcal{N}^{555\ldots 4}_{555\ldots 4} = \frac{48}{(n-1)(2n-3)(2n-1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x_n G^{(2)}_n(x_i, x_n; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi^2}{4(n-1)(2n-1)} \left[ \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(2)}_{n-1}(x_i) \right. + \left. \left( \frac{16(n-3)(n-1)}{2n-3} \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) + \frac{(n-1)(2n-1)}{(n-2)(2n-3)} \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n,0}(\hat{\tau}) \right) A^{(0)}_{n-1}(x_i) \right].$$

According to the recursion relation (4.2), the right hand side should match with a covariant derivative acting on the $(n-1)$-point correlator, namely,

$$D_{n-5} G^{(2)}_{n-1}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = D_{n-5} \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n-1,2}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(2)}_{n-1}(x_i) + D_{n-5} \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n-1,0}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(0)}_{n-1}(x_i).$$

This leads to recursion relations for the coefficients $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n-1,2}(\tau)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{n-1,0}(\tau)$. Solving the recursion relations, and using the four-point initial data in (4.11),

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{4,2}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{315 E(\frac{3}{2}; \hat{\tau})}{32 \sqrt{2} \pi^5}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{4,0}(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{19}{4} \mathcal{F}^{(2)}_{4,2}(\hat{\tau}),$$

\footnote{Due to identity (4.31) and its $n$-point generalisation, the function $A^{(1)}_n(x_i) = x_{12}^2 D^{55\ldots 4}_{n}(x_i) + \text{perm}$ is not independent of $A^{(0)}_n(x_i)$.}
we obtain,
\[ F^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n)\Gamma(n - \frac{3}{2})}{384\sqrt{2\pi}2^{2n-5}} E_{n-4}(\frac{3}{4}, \hat{\tau}) , \]
\[ F^{(2)}_{n,0}(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{(2n - 5)(4n^2 - 12n + 3)}{4(n - 1)} F^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) . \]

Therefore, the \( n \)-point MUV correlator at order \( c^{-\frac{1}{4}} \) is given
\[ G^{(2)}_{n}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A^{(2)}_{n}(x_i) - \frac{(2n - 5)(4n^2 - 12n + 3)}{4(n - 1)} A^{(0)}_{n}(x_i) \right] , \]
and in Mellin space it takes the following form,
\[ M^{(2)}_{n}(\gamma_{ij} \hat{\tau}) = F^{(2)}_{n,2}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ (\gamma_{12} \gamma_{34} + \text{perm}) - \frac{(2n - 5)(4n^2 - 12n + 3)}{4(n - 1)} \right] , \]
with the constraints \( \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = 4 \forall i \).

4.4 Correlators at order \( c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \)
In this sub-section, we consider the correlator at order \( c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \), corresponding to local interactions of supergravity fields of the form \( d^6R^4Z^{n-4} \) (and its supersymmetry completion) in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \). It contains three independent kinematic invariants. Two of these are \( A^{(0)}_{n}(x_i) \) and \( A^{(2)}_{n}(x_i) \) that we encountered earlier. We will introduce a new structure, which is a polynomial of degree 3 in the Mellin variables \( \gamma_{ij} \). We will see that there are in fact two independent kinematic invariants of degree 3 when \( n \geq 6 \), which we will denote by \( A^{(3)}_{n,1}(x_i) \) and \( A^{(3)}_{n,2}(x_i) \). This closely resembles properties of MUV type IIB superstring amplitudes with 6 or more massless external states \[13\]. Since the cases with \( n \geq 6 \) are special, we will discuss the cases with \( n = 5, n = 6 \) and general \( n \) separately in the following.

4.4.1 5-point correlator
We begin with the five-point correlator, which is uniquely determined by the four-point correlator via the recursion relations. Besides \( A^{(0)}_{5}(x_i) \) and \( A^{(2)}_{5}(x_i) \), the new structure \( A^{(3)}_{5}(x_i) \) takes the following form
\[ A^{(3)}_{5}(x_i) = x_{14}^2 x_{24}^2 x_{34}^2 D_{55574}(x_i) + \text{perm} . \]
It is constructed so that \( A^{(3)}_{5}(x_i) \) has the correct conformal dimension at all \( x_i \) and furthermore generates six derivatives which are implemented by the three pre-factors of \( x_{ij} \). The full correlator is given by the following linear combination,
\[ G^{(3)}_{5}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(3)}_{5,3}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(3)}_{5}(x_i) + F^{(3)}_{5,2}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(2)}_{5}(x_i) + F^{(3)}_{5,0}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(0)}_{5}(x_i) . \]
Again, the recursion relation (4.2) relates the above five-point correlator to the four-point correlator. To perform the integral of \( A_5^{(3)}(x_i) \), in addition to the identity (4.18), we will use another integration identity,

\[
\int d^4 y (y - y_1)^2 (y - y_2)^2 (y - y_3)^2 \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y - z)^2} \right)^7
= \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{30} \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_1 - z)^2} \right) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_2 - z)^2} \right) \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_3 - z)^2} \right) \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{5!} \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_1 - z)^2} \right) \right]^{-1} (y_2 - y_3)^2 \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (y_2 - z)^2} \right) \left( y_1 - y_3 \right)^2
\]

which leads to

\[
\int d^4 x_5 A_5^{(3)}(x_i, x_5) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left[ \mathcal{N}_{55574,5557} A_5^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{N}_{55574,5546} (x_{14} x_{24}^2 D_{5546}(x_i) + \text{perm}) + \frac{4}{5} \mathcal{N}_{55574,4444} D_{4444}(x_i) - \frac{1}{10} \mathcal{N}_{55574,5544} (x_{12} D_{5544}(x_i) + \text{perm}) \right].
\] (4.48)

Using the identity (4.31) the terms on the second line in this equation can be expressed in terms of \( A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \), and similarly, we have another type of \( D \)-function identity,

\[
x_{14} x_{24}^2 D_{5546}(x_i) + \text{perm} = -2 \left( x_{12} x_{34}^2 D_{5555}(x_i) + \text{perm} \right) + 32 D_{4444}(x_i)
= -2 A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + 32 A_4^{(0)}(x_i).
\] (4.49)

This means that altogether we have

\[
\int d^4 x_5 A_5^{(3)}(x_i, x_5) = \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left[ A_5^{(3)}(x_i) - 3 A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{416}{7} A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \right],
\] (4.50)

where we have used the form of the normalisation factor \( \mathcal{N}_{\Delta_1 \cdots \Delta_5; \Delta'_1 \cdots \Delta'_4} \) in (4.20). Therefore,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x_5 C_5^{(3)}(x_i, x_5; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi^2}{180} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{5,3}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left( A_5^{(3)}(x_i) - 3 A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{416}{7} A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \right) \right]
+ \mathcal{F}_{5,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left( \frac{5}{4} A_4^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{160}{7} A_4^{(0)}(x_i) \right) + \frac{15}{7} \mathcal{F}_{5,0}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_4^{(0)}(x_i). \] (4.51)
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The recursion relation implies that this should match with a covariant derivative acting on
the four-point correlator given in (4.14), which leads to

$$G^{(3)}_5(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = -\frac{42525}{16\pi^5} \mathcal{E}^{(3)}_{1,1}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A^{(3)}_5(x_i) + 6A^{(2)}_5(x_i) - \frac{320}{3} A^{(0)}_5(x_i) \right], \quad (4.52)$$

where we have defined,

$$\mathcal{E}^{(3)}_{w,1}(\hat{\tau}) = 2^w D_{w-1} \cdots D_0 \mathcal{E}(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}), \quad (4.53)$$

with $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}_{0,1}(\hat{\tau}) = \mathcal{E}(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau})$. The properties of the modular form $\mathcal{E}^{(3)}_{w,1}(\hat{\tau})$ and its applications to the low-energy expansion of flat-space MUV superstring amplitudes are reviewed in appendix C.2.

### 4.4.2 6-point correlator

As anticipated earlier, when $n \geq 6$ and $\alpha = 3$ there are two distinct $x_i$-dependent structures that can contribute to the MUV correlator. This reflects the fact that there are two independent kinematic factors in flat-space MUV $n$-particle scattering amplitudes with $n \geq 6$, as was discussed in [13] (these are the combinations of Mandelstam invariants in $\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_6(s_{ij})$ and $\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_6(s_{ij})$ in (C.7)). The corresponding independent structures that contribute to the gauge theory position-space correlators are proportional to

$$(a) \quad x^2_{14}x^2_{24}x^2_{34}D_{555744}(x_i), \quad (b) \quad x^2_{12}x^2_{34}x^2_{56}D_{555555}(x_i). \quad (4.54)$$

As we will shortly see, these terms have interesting properties:

1. Term (a) is a straightforward generalisation of the 4 and 5-point cases. The integral of term (a) over $x_6$ reproduces the form of $A^{(3)}_5(x_i)$ (together with terms with $\alpha < 3$).

2. Term (b) has a form that does not exist for $n < 6$. Furthermore, its integral over $x_6$ has the form of a five-point correlator with $\alpha = 2$ rather than $\alpha = 3$.

Although there is arbitrariness in the choice of two independent linear combinations of these structures, there is one particularly natural choice of basis motivated by our knowledge of the type IIB amplitudes in the flat-space limit. This suggests one special linear combination should be chosen to be

$$A^{(3)}_{6,1}(x_i) = (x^2_{14}x^2_{24}x^2_{34}D_{555744}(x_i) + \text{perm}) - \frac{3}{4} (x^2_{12}x^2_{34}x^2_{56}D_{555555}(x_i) + \text{perm}), \quad (4.55)$$

which has a Mellin transform that matches the corresponding flat-space amplitude given in [13] (up to an overall constant factor). In other words, in the flat-space limit the Mellin
transform of $A_{6,1}(x_i)$ reduces to

$$M_{6,1}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij})\bigg|_{\gamma_{ij} \to \infty} = \frac{5}{12} \sum_{i<j} \gamma_{ij}^3 + \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i<j<k} \gamma_{ijk}^3,$$

(4.56)

where we have defined $\gamma_{ijk} = \gamma_{ij} + \gamma_{ik} + \gamma_{jk}$. One may also translate $\gamma_{ij}$ to $s_{ij}$ using (B.3), which implies $\gamma_{ij} \to -s_{ij}/2$ in the flat-space limit. This expression is in agreement with the $s_{ij}$-dependence of the flat-space amplitude proportional to $O_{6,1}(s_{ij})$ in (C.7).

The contribution to the $n = 6$, $\alpha = 3$ correlator that contains $A_{6,1}(x_i)$ will be denoted $G_{6,1}^{(3)}$, and it has the following structure,

$$G_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_{6,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i) + F_{6,2,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_{6}^{(2)}(x_i) + F_{6,0,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_{6}^{(0)}(x_i).$$

(4.57)

This expression is required to satisfy the recursion relation, (4.2) which requires

$$\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x_6 G_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i, x_6; \hat{\tau}) = D_1 G_{5}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}).$$

(4.58)

The integral on the left-hand side can be evaluated using (4.18) and (4.47), which leads to

$$\int d^4 x_6 A_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i, x_6) = \frac{\pi^2}{132} \left[ A_{5}^{(3)}(x_i) - 3A_{6}^{(2)} + \frac{256}{3} A_{6}^{(0)}(x_i) \right].$$

(4.59)

This corresponds to item (1) above. Inputting the expression (4.52) for $G_{5}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ into the right-hand side of (4.58) determines all the coefficients in (4.57) and the result is

$$G_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = -\frac{1403325}{4\pi^7} E_{2,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{15}{2} A_{6}^{(2)}(x_i) - \frac{2592}{11} A_{6}^{(0)}(x_i) \right].$$

(4.60)

Since $G_{6,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ already obeys the recursion relation, and reduces to the five-point correlator upon integration, the second kinematic invariant must vanish upon integration. This determines $A_{6,2}^{(3)}(x_i)$ to be

$$A_{6,2}^{(3)}(x_i) = x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{56}^2 D_{555555}(x_i) + \text{perm},$$

(4.61)

that is term (b) in (4.54). We see that after integration, $A_{6,2}^{(3)}(x_i)$ reduces to a five-point correlator with a Mellin transform with $\alpha < 3$, as was mentioned in item (2) above. Explicitly, we have

$$\int d^4 x_6 A_{6,2}^{(3)}(x_i) = \frac{\pi^2}{165} \left( x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 D_{55554}(x_i) + \text{perm} \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{165} A_{5}^{(2)}(x_i),$$

(4.62)
and indeed the right-hand side is of order $\gamma_{ij}^2$ in Mellin space. With (4.62) at hand, it is straightforward to see that the combination

$$G^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(3)}_{6,3,2}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i) - \frac{2}{3} A^{(2)}_6(x_i) + \frac{128}{11} A^{(0)}_6(x_i) \right],$$

(4.63)

has the property that its integral vanishes, namely

$$\int d^4x_i G^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = 0.$$

(4.64)

Since $G^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ integrates to zero, we cannot determine the form of the coefficient $F^{(3)}_{6,3,2}(\hat{\tau})$ from the recursion relation. But we can deduce it from knowledge of the flat-space limit. In particular, the Mellin transform of $G^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ is equivalent to $\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34}\gamma_{56} + \text{perm}$ in the flat-space limit, which matches precisely with the flat-space kinematic invariant $O^{(3)}_{6,2}(s_{ij})$ given in (C.8). The associated modular form is denoted by $E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\hat{\tau})$, which has weights $(2, -2)$ and is reviewed in appendix C. Therefore the requirement that the flat-space results should be reproduced determines that the unknown modular form in (4.63) is given by

$$F^{(3)}_{6,3,2}(\hat{\tau}) = E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\hat{\tau}).$$

(4.65)

**4.4.3 n-point correlators**

In this sub-section, we will show that with the results of the six-point correlators at order $c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the recursion relation (4.2) completely determines all the higher-point correlators at this order without appealing to knowledge of the flat-space limit.

It is straightforward to see that $n$-point generalisations of $A^{(3)}_{6,1}(x_i)$ and $A^{(3)}_{6,2}(x_i)$ should take the following forms,

$$A^{(3)}_{n,1}(x_i) = \left( x_{14}^2 x_{24}^2 x_{34}^2 D_{555544 \ldots n}(x_i) + \text{perm} \right) - \frac{3}{4} \left( x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{56}^2 D_{555544 \ldots n}(x_i) + \text{perm} \right),$$

$$A^{(3)}_{n,2}(x_i) = x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2 x_{56}^2 D_{555544 \ldots n}(x_i) + \text{perm}. $$

(4.66)

Therefore, the two independent correlators at order $c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with $n \geq 6$ are given by expressions of the form

$$G^{(3)}_{n,1}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(3)}_{n,3,1}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(3)}_{n,1}(x_i) + F^{(3)}_{n,2,1}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(2)}_{n}(x_i) + F^{(3)}_{n,0,1}(\hat{\tau}) A^{(0)}_{n}(x_i),$$

(4.67)

---

30 In [13], the modular form $E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\hat{\tau})$ was determined apart from an overall constant, so the normalisation of $F^{(3)}_{6,3,2}(\hat{\tau})$ in this equation is arbitrary. However, we will see that once the six-point correlator is given, the higher-point correlators are uniquely determined.
and
\[ G_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_{n,3,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i) + F_{n,2,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_n^{(2)}(x_i) + F_{n,0,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) A_n^{(0)}(x_i). \]

All the coefficients in the above equations can be determined by using (4.2) and the \( n = 6 \) results. To utilise the recursion relation (4.2), we first note the \( n \) and the result of the six-point correlator (4.63), the second independent contribution to the \( n \)-point correlator at order \( \tau \), which leads to
\[
\int d^4 x_n A_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i, x_n) = \frac{\pi^2}{2n(2n - 1)} \left[ A_{n-1,1}^{(3)}(x_i) - 3A_{n-1}^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{16(n - 3)(3n - 2)}{2n - 3} A_{n-1}^{(0)}(x_i) \right].
\]

Using the above result, we obtain,
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int d^4 x_n G_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i, x_n; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\pi^2}{4n(2n - 1)} \left[ F_{n,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left( A_{n-1,1}^{(3)}(x_i) - 3A_{n-1}^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{16(n - 3)(3n - 2)}{2n - 3} A_{n-1}^{(0)}(x_i) \right) \right.
\]
\[
+ \left. F_{n,2,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left( \frac{n}{n - 1} A_{n-1}^{(2)}(x_i) + \frac{16(n - 3)n}{2n - 3} A_{n-1}^{(0)}(x_i) \right) + F_{n,0,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \frac{n(2n - 1)}{(n - 2)(2n - 3)} A_{n-1}^{(0)}(x_i) \right].
\]

The recursion relation identifies this integrated result with \( D_{n-5} G_{n-1,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) \), which leads to the relations
\[
F_{n,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{3 \Gamma(2n + 1)}{4096 \pi^{2n-5}} E_{n-4,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}), \quad F_{n,2,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{3(n - 1)}{2} F_{n,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}),
\]
\[
F_{n,0,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) = -\frac{4(n - 3)(n - 2)n(n + 3)}{2n - 1} F_{n,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}),
\]
with \( E_{n,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \) defined in (4.53). Therefore, the first contribution to the \( n \)-point correlator at order \( c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) is given by
\[
G_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_{n,3,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{3(n - 1)}{2} A_n^{(2)}(x_i) - \frac{4(n - 3)(n - 2)n(n + 3)}{2n - 1} A_n^{(0)}(x_i) \right].
\]

Similarly, using the following integral identity (with \( n > 6 \)),
\[
\int d^4 x_n A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i, x_n) = \frac{\pi^2}{2n(2n - 1)} \left[ A_{n-1,2}^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{4(n - 5)}{n - 1} A_{n-1}^{(2)}(x_i) \right],
\]
and the result of the six-point correlator (4.63), the second independent contribution to the \( n \)-point correlator at order \( c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) is given by
\[
G_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = F_{n,3,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i) - \frac{2(n - 4)(n - 5)}{n} A_n^{(2)}(x_i) \right.
\]
\[
+ \left. \frac{16(n - 5)(n - 4)(n - 2)A_n^{(0)}(x_i)}{3(2n - 1)} \right],
\]
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where the coefficient $F^{(3)}_{n,3,2}(\hat{\tau})$ is given by

$$F^{(3)}_{n,3,2}(\hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+1)}{\Gamma(13)\pi^{2n-12}} E_{n-4,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) ,$$

and we have defined

$$E_{w,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) = 2^{w-2} D_{w-1} \cdots D_2 E_{2,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) , \quad \text{with } w \geq 2 .$$

Note $2^{w-2} D_{w-1} \cdots D_2 E_{2,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau})$ is interpreted as $E_{2,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau})$ when $w = 2$.

In Mellin space, the correlators are given by

$$M^{(3)}_{n,1}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(3)}_{n,3,1}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ (\gamma_{14}\gamma_{24}\gamma_{34} + \text{Perm}) - \frac{3}{4} (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34}\gamma_{56} + \text{Perm}) 
+ \frac{3(n-1)}{2} (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34} + \text{Perm}) - \frac{4(n-3)(n-2)n(n+3)}{2n-1} \right] ,$$

and

$$M^{(3)}_{n,2}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau}) = F^{(3)}_{n,3,2}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34}\gamma_{56} + \text{Perm}) - \frac{2(n-4)(n-5)}{n} (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34} + \text{Perm}) 
+ \frac{16(n-5)(n-4)(n-3)(n-2)}{3(2n-1)} \right] ,$$

where the Mellin variables obey the constraints $\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = 4$ for all $i$. In the flat-space limit, $M^{(3)}_{n,1}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau})$ and $M^{(3)}_{n,2}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau})$ are in agreement with the known flat-space superstring amplitudes obtained in [13]. In particular, for the first case

$$M^{(3)}_{n,1}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau}) \Big|_{\gamma_{ij} \to \infty} = \frac{4}{3} F^{(3)}_{n,3,1}(\hat{\tau}) O_{n,1}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij}) ,$$

where $O_{n,1}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij})$ is the kinematic invariant that appears in the flat-space superstring amplitude and is given in (C.7). Similarly, for the second case, we have,

$$M^{(3)}_{n,2}(\gamma_{ij}; \hat{\tau}) \Big|_{\gamma_{ij} \to \infty} = \frac{1}{6} F^{(3)}_{n,3,2}(\hat{\tau}) O_{n,2}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij}) ,$$

where $O_{n,2}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij})$ is defined in (C.8).

### 4.5 Summary of main results in this section

We will here give a brief summary of the main results in this section since the details are reasonably complicated.
Using the recursion relations (4.2), we have considered the first few orders of the large-$N$ expansion of a general $n$-point MUV correlator,

$$
\hat{G}_{n; n-4}(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_n; \hat{\tau}) = \mathcal{I}_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) \times \sum_{\alpha=0,2,3} \sum_r c^{1-\alpha_r} G_{n,r}^{(\alpha)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}),
$$

(4.81)

where we are ignoring the supergravity contributions which conserve $U(1)_Y$ so they only contribute to $n = 4$ correlators. The index $\alpha$ labels contributions at order $c^{1-\alpha}$ and the index $r$ labels the distinct kinematic invariants at a given value of $\alpha$. This index is omitted for terms with $\alpha = 0, 2$ since in those cases there is a unique structure. However, when $\alpha = 3$ there are two independent kinematic invariants for $n \geq 6$, so the index takes the values $r = 1, 2$ for these cases.

The prefactor $\mathcal{I}_n$ is determined by the symmetries of the theory, and is given in (2.17). One can extract different chiral MUV correlators by choosing appropriate powers of $\rho_i$. The dynamic part of the correlator is contained in $G_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x_i; \hat{\tau})$ and is independent of the species of operators in the correlator. For $\alpha = 0, 2$ we find

$$
G_{n}^{(0)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n-2)\Gamma(n-\frac{3}{2})}{16\sqrt{2\pi}^{2n-6}} E_{n-4}(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}) A_{n}^{(0)}(x_i),
$$

$$
G_{n}^{(2)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n)\Gamma(n-\frac{3}{2})}{384\sqrt{2\pi}^{2n-5}} E_{n-4}(\frac{3}{2}, \hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{n}^{(2)}(x_i) - \frac{(2n-5)(4n^2-12n+3)}{4(n-1)} A_{n}^{(0)}(x_i) \right],
$$

(4.82)

where $E_w(s, \hat{\tau})$ is a non-holomorphic Eisenstein series with weights $(w, -w)$ as defined in (4.10), and $A_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x_i)$ is a sum of $D_{\Delta_1, \Delta_\alpha}(x_i)$ functions multiplied by powers of $x^2_{ij}$ (listed in (4.85) below).

When $\alpha = 3$, there are two independent contributions. One of these is connected to the $n = 4$, $\alpha = 3$ correlator by iterative use of the recursion relation and has the form

$$
G_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{3\Gamma(2n+1)}{4096\pi^{2n-5}} \mathcal{E}_{n-4,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i) + \frac{3n-1}{2} A_{n}^{(2)}(x_i) \right] - \frac{4(n-3)(n-2)n(n+3)}{2n-1} A_{n}^{(0)}(x_i),
$$

(4.83)

where $\mathcal{E}_{n-4,1}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau})$ is a weight-$(n-4, 4-n)$ modular form as defined in (4.53). The second $\alpha = 3$ contribution has the form

$$
G_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i; \hat{\tau}) = \frac{\Gamma(2n+1)}{\Gamma(13)} \frac{1}{\pi^{2n-12}} \mathcal{E}_{n-4,2}^{(3)}(\hat{\tau}) \left[ A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i) - \frac{2(n-4)(n-5)}{n} A_{n}^{(2)}(x_i) \right] + \frac{16(n-5)(n-4)(n-3)(n-2)}{3(2n-1)} A_{n}^{(0)}(x_i),
$$

(4.84)
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This contribution only arises when \( n \geq 6 \), and modular form \( S_{n-4,2}(\hat{\tau}) \) has weights \((n-4, 4-n)\), and it is given in (4.76).

Finally, the space-time dependent functions, \( A_n^{(0)}(x_i), A_n^{(2)}(x_i), A_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i), A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i) \) are defined in terms of \( D \)-functions. They are given by

\[
A_n^{(0)}(x_i) = D_{44...4}(x_i),
\]
\[
A_n^{(2)}(x_i) = (x_{12}^2x_{34}^2 + x_{13}^2x_{24}^2 + x_{14}^2x_{23}^2)D_{555544...4}(x_i) + \text{perm},
\]
\[
A_{n,1}^{(3)}(x_i) = (x_{14}^2x_{24}^2x_{34}^2D_{555744...4}(x_i) + \text{perm}) - \Theta(n-6) \left( \frac{3}{4}x_{12}^2x_{34}^2x_{56}^2D_{555554...4}(x_i) + \text{perm} \right),
\]
\[
A_{n,2}^{(3)}(x_i) = x_{12}^2x_{34}^2x_{56}^2D_{555554...4}(x_i) + \text{perm},
\]

(4.85)

where the step function \( \Theta(x) = 1 \) if \( x \geq 0 \) and \( \Theta(x) = 0 \) if \( x < 0 \), and each \( D \)-function has \( n \) indices. All \( A_n^{(\alpha)}(x_i) \) have conformal dimension 4 at each point, \( x_i \), and have a simple expression in Mellin space using the formalism in Appendix B.

\[
M_n^{(0)}(\gamma_{ij}) = 1, \quad M_n^{(2)}(\gamma_{ij}) = (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34} + \text{perm}),
\]
\[
M_{n,1}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij}) = (\gamma_{14}\gamma_{24}\gamma_{34} + \text{perm}) - \Theta(n-6) \left( \frac{3}{4}\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34}\gamma_{56} + \text{perm} \right),
\]
\[
M_{n,2}^{(3)}(\gamma_{ij}) = (\gamma_{12}\gamma_{34}\gamma_{56} + \text{perm}),
\]

(4.86)

where \( \gamma_{ij} \) satisfy the constraints \( \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = 4 \ \forall i \). The MUV correlators in Mellin space correspond to \( n \)-point contact terms in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \).

In the next section we will make further comments on MUV and non-MUV correlators involving descendant operators based on knowledge of instanton contributions to such correlators.

## 5 Semi-classical Instanton contributions

The expression (4.81) contains information about all possible chiral MUV correlators. The dependence on the particular operators in a chiral correlator is encoded in the expansion of \( I_n(\{x_i, \rho_i, 0, y_i\}) \) in powers of \( \rho_i \). The expression of any of these correlators is of the form (2.16), with the same function \( G_n(x_i; \hat{\tau}) \) as in the last section. In this section we will illustrate how semi-classical instanton calculations reproduce detailed information about the form of the instanton sector of these \( n \)-point correlators at leading order in the large-\( N \) expansion and at leading order in the perturbative expansion in powers of \( g_{YM} \). The semi-classical instanton contributions to these correlators will be constructed by starting with a lower-point chiral correlator (such as those computed in [67, 68]) and appending it with any number of \( O_\tau(x_i) \) operators. In the next sub-section we will review specific examples and demonstrate that
the results agree with the leading D-instanton contributions to chiral MUV correlators that we obtained using the recursion relations.

We do not have a general expression for the structure of non-chiral MUV correlators, which involve non-zero powers of both \( \rho_i \) and \( \bar{\rho}_i \). However, there is no problem, in principle, in evaluating the semi-classical instanton contributions to such correlators, as will be described in sub-section 5.2.

Information about the large-\( N \) behaviour of other classes of correlators for which we do not have a general expression analogous to (4.81) may also be obtained from instanton calculations. Some examples are briefly described in section 5.3. These include the structure of correlators involving \( p > 2 \frac{1}{2} \)-BPS operators, as well as chiral and non-chiral correlators that are non MUV. These examples are ones in which the instanton profiles of the operators in a correlator depend in important ways on fermionic moduli beyond those associated with broken superconformal symmetries.

Before discussing these examples we will briefly review some general features of the instanton calculations.

**Generalities concerning \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM instantons**

Recall that the contributions of Yang–Mills instantons to correlators in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM involve integration over the 16 exact superconformal fermionic moduli, \((\eta^A_\alpha, \bar{\xi}^A_\dot{\alpha})\) \[38\]. These correspond to the eight Poincaré supersymmetries and eight conformal supersymmetries that are broken in an instanton background. While \((\eta^A_\alpha, \bar{\xi}^A_\dot{\alpha})\) are the only fermionic moduli if the gauge group is \( SU(2) \) in the \( SU(N) \) case there are many more fermionic moduli, almost all of which develop moduli space interactions and so only the 16 superconformal fermionic moduli are exact moduli. This means that in order for a correlator to have a non-zero instanton contribution, a total of 16 superconformal fermionic moduli have to be supplied by the instanton profiles of the operators in the correlator. Integration over these results in a functional dependence of MUV correlation functions on \( \{x_i, y_i\} \) and \( g_{ym} \) that is independent of \( N \). However, in order to match the \( N \)-dependence of the coefficients in the large-\( N \) expansion, and to determine properties of multi-instanton contributions, it is important to consider the contribution of instantons in \( SU(N) \) gauge theory in the large-\( N \) limit.

The large-\( N \) limit of the ADHM construction of the \( k \)-instanton moduli space was studied in \[68\] using a string-inspired procedure. This determined the moduli space action for \( k \) \( SU(2) \) instantons embedded in \( SU(N) \). This involves large numbers of fermionic and bosonic coordinates associated with the relative orientation and positions in Euclidean space, as well as their relative gauge orientations. The 16 superconformal fermionic moduli that appear in the \( N = 2 \) case remain exact – they do not appear in the moduli space action. These are the moduli that are protected by supersymmetry. As a consequence the instanton measure is independent of these Grassmann coordinates and the instanton contribution will vanish.
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unless the instanton profiles of the operators in the correlator provide the 16 fermionic moduli, \( \eta^A_{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}} \), needed to saturate the integral.

However, the extra super-moduli that appear for \( N > 2 \) do appear in the action and are therefore pseudo-moduli. Integration over these moduli affects the instanton measure and the expressions for general correlation functions. In a tour de force, the procedure in [68] made use of a large-\( N \) saddle point technique to integrate over these extra super-moduli. This demonstrated, among other things, that to leading order in \( 1/N \) the \( k \)-instanton moduli space collapses to a point in \( AdS_5 \times S^5 \), which represents a charge-\( k \) D-instanton in the holographically dual type IIB superstring.

The general procedure is reasonably complicated, but simplifies greatly in the case of a single instanton (\( k = 1 \)). In that case the extra bosonic moduli are those associated with the coset space \( SU(N)/SU(N-2) \) that parameterises the embedding of \( SU(2) \) in \( SU(N) \). The fermionic super-partners of these extra bosonic moduli are Grassmann variables \( \bar{\nu}^A_u \) and \( \nu^A_u \) where \( u = 1, \ldots, N \), labels the fundamental representation of \( SU(N) \). After accounting for constraints satisfied by these coordinates they each have \( 4(N-2) \) independent components. Gauge invariant quantities, such as the instanton profiles of composite operators in the stress tensor multiplet, depend only on the gauge-invariant combination \( \sum_{u=1}^{N} \bar{\nu}^A_u \nu^B_u \), which decomposes into two \( SU(4) \) representations,

\[
(\bar{\nu}\nu)^{[AB]}_6 = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \bar{\nu}^{[Au}_u \nu^{B]}_u, \quad (\bar{\nu}\nu)^{(AB)}_{10} = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \bar{\nu}^{(Au}_u \nu^{B)}_u. \tag{5.1}
\]

To leading order in \( g_{YM} \) only the exact fermionic moduli, \( (\eta^A_{\alpha}, \bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}}) \), in the instanton profiles of operators in the \( O_2 \) multiplet affect MUV correlators. However, when considering non-MUV correlators or correlators of operators in \( O_p \) multiplets with \( p > 2 \) the extra fermion modes, \( \bar{\nu}^A_u \) and \( \nu^A_u \), enter in important ways.

These very general comments are sufficient for the considerations of this section although this is a much richer subject of intrinsic interest. We will now present a few examples of instanton contributions to correlators at leading order in perturbation theory (the semi-classical approximation).

### 5.1 Instanton contributions to chiral MUV correlators

In semi-classical instanton contributions of this type there are no contributions from fermionic moduli beyond the 16 broken superconformal supersymmetries, \( \eta^A_{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}} \).

The first example that we will consider is the correlator with four \( O_2 \) and \( m \ O_\tau \) insertions.
Following (4.81) and (4.82), we find that at the leading power of $c$ (i.e., of $N$), it is given by

$$
\hat{G}_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_4}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_2(x_1, y_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_2(x_4, y_4) \mathcal{O}_\tau(x_5) \cdots \mathcal{O}_\tau(x_{m+4}) \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{c^4 R(1, 2, 3, 4)}{16 \sqrt{2\pi}^{2m+2}} \prod_{1<i<j\leq 4} x_{ij}^2 \frac{\Gamma(2m + 16) \Gamma(2m + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2m + 1)} E_m(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\tau}) D_{44 \ldots 4}(x_i) + O(c^{-\frac{1}{2}}),
$$

(5.2)

where $E_m(\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\tau})$ is the weight $(m, -m)$ modular Eisenstein series defined in (C.20). We have only displayed the leading large-$N$ term since that is what emerges from the semi-classical instanton calculus, which we will now review.

The semi-classical one instanton contribution to this correlator in the $m = 0$ case (the four-point correlator) was obtained for $SU(2)$ gauge group in [67], and for $k$ instantons to leading order in the large-$N$ limit of $SU(N)$ in [68]. The latter result used a large-$N$ saddle point method to determine the absolute coefficient in the $k$-instanton sector at leading order in $g_{YM}$. After integration over the 16 superconformal fermionic moduli, the semi-classical $k$ instanton contribution to the four-point correlator has the form (ignoring an overall numerical factor) [31]

$$
\hat{G}_{\mathcal{O}_2}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_2(x_1, y_1) \mathcal{O}_2(x_2, y_2) \mathcal{O}_2(x_3, y_3) \mathcal{O}_2(x_4, y_4) \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{c^4 R(1, 2, 3, 4)}{16 \sqrt{2\pi}^{2m+2}} \prod_{1<i<j\leq 4} x_{ij}^2 \sigma_2(k) \sigma_3(\hat{\tau}) \int \frac{d\rho d^4 x}{\rho_0^5} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^2 + (x_i - x_0)^2} \right)^4 e^{2\pi i k \hat{\tau}}.
$$

(5.3)

Here $(\rho_0, x_0^\mu)$ are the bosonic moduli representing the size and the position of the instanton.

This instanton calculation generalises straightforwardly to include $m$ insertions of $\mathcal{O}_\tau$, each one of which inserts the classical instanton profile,

$$
\mathcal{O}_\tau(x_i) \big|_{\text{instanton}} \rightarrow \frac{24 k \hat{\tau}_2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^2 + (x_i - x_0)^2} \right)^4.
$$

(5.4)

This leads to

$$
\hat{G}_{\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_m}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_2(x_1, y_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}_2(x_4, y_4) \mathcal{O}_\tau(x_5) \cdots \mathcal{O}_\tau(x_{m+4}) \rangle
$$

$$
= \frac{c^4 k^{\frac{1}{2} + m} \sigma_2(k) \sigma_3(\hat{\tau})^m R(1, 2, 3, 4)}{16 \sqrt{2\pi}^{2m+2}} \prod_{1<i<j\leq 4} x_{ij}^2 \sigma_3(\hat{\tau}) \int \frac{d\rho d^4 x}{\rho_0^5} \prod_{i=1}^{m+4} \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^2 + (x_i - x_0)^2} \right)^4 e^{2\pi i k \hat{\tau}}.
$$

(5.5)

---

31 We have here included the dependence on $N$, $k$ and $\hat{\tau}_2$ by extending the discussion in [68], and also the R-symmetry factor $R(1, 2, 3, 4)$ [69].
which is in agreement with (5.2) after using the expansion of $E_m(\frac{\tau}{2}, \hat{\tau})$ in (C.24).

As emphasised in [70] for the $m = 0$ case, the contribution of anti-instantons to chiral correlators are suppressed by powers of $g_{YM}$. This suppression arises from the fact that in an anti-instanton background each operator picks up a number of extra fermionic pseudo-zero modes associated with the non-superconformal moduli. For example, the operator $O_\tau$ has an anti-instanton profile with eight fermionic modes. As a result the leading anti-instanton contribution to the correlator $G_{\Omega^4 O_{m}}$ in (5.2) is of order $(\hat{\tau}_2)^{-m}$ in contrast to the instanton contribution in (5.5). This is again in agreement with the expansion of the modular form $E_m(\frac{\tau}{2}, \hat{\tau})$.

Another example of a chiral MUV correlator we will consider is

$$
\hat{G}_{\Lambda^{16} O_{m}}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = \langle \Lambda(x_1, y_1) \ldots \Lambda(x_{16}, y_{16}) O_{\tau}(x_{17}) \ldots O_{\tau}(x_{16+\ell}) \rangle,
$$

where the operator $\Lambda$ is the $[0, 0, 1]_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}$ entry in (A.13) which is of order $\rho^3$ in $T_C(x, \rho, y)$ (and is the holographic dual of the dilatino of the type IIB superstring). When $m = 0$, it is an example of higher-point correlators without the insertion of $O_{\tau}$. In this case we need to expand $I$ in (2.17) to order $\rho_i^3$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 16$ and to order $\rho_i^4$ for $i = 17, \ldots, 16 + \ell$. Therefore the correlator is given by

$$
\hat{G}_{\Lambda^{16} O_{m}}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = c^I I_{\Lambda^{16} O_{m}}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}) \prod_{i=1}^{16} \rho_i^{3} \prod_{j=17}^{16+\ell} \rho_j^{4} \hat{E}_{12+\ell}(\frac{\tau}{2}, \hat{\tau}) D_{14-i}^{4-A}(x_i),
$$

where, dropping a multiplicative combinatorial coefficient, the prefactor is given by

$$
I_{\Lambda^{16} O_{m}}(\{x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r\}) \prod_{i=1}^{16} \rho_i^{3} \prod_{j=17}^{16+\ell} \rho_j^{4} = \int d^4 \epsilon d^4 \epsilon' d^4 \hat{\xi} d^4 \hat{\xi}' \prod_{i=1}^{16} \left( \epsilon^a_i + \epsilon^a_i \gamma^a_i + x^a_{i,\alpha} (\hat{\xi}^a_i + \hat{\xi}'^a_i \gamma^a_i) \right)
$$

$$
= \int d^8 \eta d^8 \bar{\xi} \prod_{i=1}^{16} \left( \eta^A_{i,\alpha} + x^A_{i,\alpha,\bar{\xi}^A_{i,\alpha}} \right) g^a_{A_i},
$$

which encodes the $SU(4)$ representations of the $\Lambda^A_i$ operators.

With $m = 0$ this again has the same form as the semi-classical calculation of the instanton contribution to $\langle \Lambda_1(x_1, y_1) \ldots \Lambda_{16}(x_{16}, y_{16}) \rangle$ derived in [67] for the $SU(2)$ case and in [68] for the $SU(N)$ case at leading order in the large-$N$ limit. The latter result determined the absolute coefficient in the $k$-instanton sector at leading order in $g_{YM}$. This instanton calculation again generalises very simply to include $m$ insertions of $O_{\tau}$, giving (ignoring an
The semi-classical relator \( \hat{\rho} \) is identical to the general \( \mathcal{I}_{A\mathbf{16}\mathbf{Q}^m} \) given in (5.8), and it also contains the correct \( D \)-function. The \( g_{YM} \)-dependent coefficient, as well as its dependence on the \( D \)-instanton number \( k \), agrees with the expectation from the flat-space amplitude, which has a coefficient proportional to \( E_{12+m} (\hat{\tau}, \hat{\tau}) \). This gives a factor (again dropping a multiplicative combinatorial coefficient),

\[
\mathcal{I}_{A\mathbf{16}\mathbf{Q}^m} \left( \{ x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r \} \right) \bigg|_{\Pi_{i=1}^8 \rho_i^2 \Pi_{j=0}^{8+m} \rho_j^4} = \int d^8 \eta d^8 \xi \prod_{i=1}^{16} \left( \eta_{A_i}^{(i)} + (x_i - x_0) \right) \epsilon^{\alpha_i \beta_i} \left( \eta_{B_i}^{(i)} + (x_i - x_0) \right) \hat{g}_{A_i}^{(i)} \hat{g}_{B_i}^{(i)}.
\]

The last example, considered explicitly in [67], is the instanton contribution to the correlator

\[
\hat{G}_{\mathcal{E}^8 Q^m}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = \langle \mathcal{E}(x_1, y_1) \cdots \mathcal{E}(x_8, y_8) \mathcal{O}_r(x_0) \cdots \mathcal{O}_r(x_{8+m}) \rangle, \tag{5.10}
\]

when \( m = 0 \). Here the operator \( \mathcal{E} \) is the \([0, 0, 2]_{(0,0)} \) entry in (A.13), which is of order \( \rho^2 \) in \( \mathcal{T}^C(x, \rho, y) \) (and is part of the holographic dual of the complex three-form of the type IIB superstring, where \( \mathcal{B} \) is the other part). In this case we need to expand \( \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}^8 Q^m} \) in (2.17) to give the terms of order \( \rho_i^2 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, 8 \), and \( \rho^4 \) for \( i = 9, \ldots, 8 + m \). This gives a factor (again dropping a multiplicative combinatorial coefficient),

\[
\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}^8 Q^m} \left( \{ x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r \} \right) \bigg|_{\Pi_{i=1}^8 \rho_i^2 \Pi_{j=0}^{8+m} \rho_j^4} = \int d^8 \eta d^8 \xi \prod_{i=1}^{16} \left( \eta_{A_i}^{(i)} + (x_i - x_0) \right) \epsilon^{\alpha_i \beta_i} \left( \eta_{B_i}^{(i)} + (x_i - x_0) \right) \hat{g}_{A_i}^{(i)} \hat{g}_{B_i}^{(i)}.
\]

The semi-classical \( k \)-instanton contribution to the correlator is a straightforward extension to general \( m \) of the \( m = 0 \) instanton calculation given explicitly in [67].

\[
\hat{G}_{\mathcal{E}^8 Q^m}(x_i, y_i; \hat{\tau}) = c^4 \left( \hat{\tau}_2 \right)^{12+M} k^{2+M} \sigma_2(k) e^{2\pi i k} \int d^4 x_0 d^8 \rho_0 \prod_{i=1}^{8+m} \left[ \frac{\rho_i^4}{|\rho_0^2 + (x_i - x_0)^2|} \right] \int d^8 \eta d^8 \xi \prod_{i=1}^{16} \left( \rho_0 \eta_{A_i} \right) \epsilon^{\alpha_i \beta_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho_0}} \left( \rho_0 \eta_{B_i} \right) \hat{g}_{A_i} \hat{g}_{B_i} \tag{5.12}
\]

(5.12)
where the prefactor follows implicitly from [68]. This again agrees with $I_{E^8 \text{O}_m \tau}$ given in (5.11) and the correct $D$-function, as well as the leading instanton contribution obtained from the large-$\tilde{\tau}$ expansion of the modular form $E_{4+m} (\frac{a}{\tau}, \tilde{\tau})$.

5.2 Instanton contributions to non-chiral MUV correlators

There are many non-chiral MUV $n$-point correlators with $n \geq 4$ that involve products of chiral, anti-chiral and mixed chirality $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS operators. All such correlators are holographic duals of scattering amplitudes that are contact interactions. In the flat-space limit these amplitudes are related by an overall prefactor of $\delta^{16} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \right)$, where $Q_i$ is the sixteen-component supercharge acting on the $i$th particle (and $\bar{Q}_i$ would be the conjugate supercharge).

Whereas the prefactor $I_n \{ x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r \}$ in (2.16) plays the same role for chiral correlators as $\delta^{16} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i)$, we do not know how to generalise this systematically to non-chiral correlators which have non-zero powers of both $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$. For that reason we do not have a general procedure for determining the coefficients in the large-$N$ expansion.

However, we know that the leading term in the flat-space limit should reproduce the ten-dimensional super-amplitude, which does not distinguish the chiral from the non-chiral cases. This is consistent with the fact that instanton contributions to this subset of correlators have the same features as in the chiral case, at least in the large-$N$ limit. In particular, at leading order in $1/N$ and in $g_{YM}$, the only fermionic moduli that are relevant are the 16 superconformal super-moduli, $(\eta^A_\alpha, \bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}})$. Therefore, the instanton calculations extend straightforwardly to include MUV non-chiral correlators. The only complication is that the instanton profiles of operators that accompany powers of $\bar{\rho}$ involve a greater number of factors of $\eta^A_\alpha$ and $\bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}}$.

One example, among many, with $n = 4$ is obtained by starting from the non-chiral four-point correlator $\langle \bar{O}_r \bar{O}_r O_r O_r \rangle$, the structure of which was considered in [71, 72]. Appending it with $m$ $O_r$ operators leads to the $(4 + m)$-point correlator of order $\rho^{8+4m} \bar{\rho}^8$,

$$\hat{G}^{\text{O}_r^2 \text{O}_r^2} (x_i; \tilde{\tau}) = \langle \bar{O}_r (x_1) \bar{O}_r (x_2) O_r (x_3) O_r (x_4) \cdots O_r (x_{m+4}) \rangle. \quad (5.13)$$

The correlator is related to $\langle \bar{O}_r \bar{O}_r O_r O_r \rangle$ by the recursion relations (3.16). Each factor of $O_r$ has an instanton profile containing the product of eight fermionic superconformal moduli $(\eta^A_\alpha, \bar{\xi}^A_{\dot{\alpha}})$ and the leading semi-classical instanton contribution to this correlator may be evaluated in the same manner as for the chiral cases in the last sub-section.

Another example starts with the non-chiral $n = 5$ MUV correlator $\langle \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$. Each $\mathcal{E}$ operator contains the product of 2 superconformal fermionic moduli, while $\mathcal{E}$ contains 6 and $\text{O}_2$ contains 4, so the correlator saturates the total of sixteen fermionic moduli, as in the

---

[32] The definition of the on-shell supercharges $Q_i$ and $\bar{Q}_i$ in terms of spinor helicity formalism is reviewed in Appendix C.
previous cases. Again, adding $m$ $O_τ$ operators leads to the $(5 + m)$-point MUV correlator of order $ρ^{6+4m}ρ^2$,

$$\langle \mathcal{E}(x_1, y_1)\mathcal{E}(x_2, y_2)\mathcal{E}(x_3, y_3)\mathcal{E}(x_4, y_4)O_2(x_5, y_5)O_τ(x_6)\ldots O_τ(x_{5+m}) \rangle,$$

which is related to $\langle \mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}O_2 \rangle$ by (3.16).

5.3 Instanton contributions to other classes of correlators

There are several situations in which the instanton contributions not only involve the 16 superconformal fermionic moduli, but the extra fermionic moduli $\bar{ν}_A$, $ν^A_a$ in the instanton profiles of $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS operators also play an important rôle. These will be outlined in this sub-section with few details. Much of this material is a straightforward extension of [70].

5.3.1 MUV correlators with higher Kaluza–Klein charges

The spectrum of type IIB supergravity after compactification on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ includes Kaluza–Klein excitations of the massless fields. These correspond holographically to gauge-invariant operators in multiplets with superconformal primaries $O_p$ with $p > 2$. The instanton profiles of such operators involve products of $η^A_α$, $ξ^A_α$, and one factor of the extra-mode bilinear, $(\bar{ν}ν)^{[AB]}_6$ for each Kaluza–Klein charge. To leading order in $1/N$ the Kaluza–Klein charges serve to restore the ten-dimensional Poincaré invariance of the flat-space theory. Therefore, the leading large-$N$ behaviour of correlators that are dual to Kaluza–Klein charges should reproduce the corresponding type IIB super-amplitude in flat ten-dimensional space-time.

For example, the gauge-invariant operator, $Λ^*$, that is dual to the dilatino with a single Kaluza–Klein excitation has an instanton profile linear in superconformal fermionic moduli and has a single factor of $(\bar{ν}ν)^{[AB]}_6$. Therefore, the correlator $Λ^{14}Λ^*O^m_τ$ has a total of 20 fermionic zero modes and is related by recursion to the $m = 0$ case. The leading semi-classical instanton contribution to this correlator matches the flat-space amplitude in the large-$N$ limit, as expected [70].

5.3.2 Chiral non-MUV $n$-point correlators

The class of non-MUV correlators of chiral operators is one in which the product of instanton profiles of operators again involves more that 16 fermionic moduli. In such cases there are extra factors of $(\bar{ν}ν)^{[AB]}_6$ that are important in determining the properties of the large-$N$ limit. Unlike in the case of MUV correlators, in non-MUV cases the large-$N$ limit is dual to scattering amplitudes that have poles.

There are many examples of such correlators, two of which are $G_{Λ^{14}χ^2O^p_τ}$, which involves a total of 20 fermionic moduli, and $G_{Λ^{16}O^2_τO^p_τ}$, which involves a total of 24 fermionic moduli.
In these examples the analysis of the instanton behaviour is more complicated, which is associated with the presence of poles in the holographic dual scattering amplitudes \[70\].

5.3.3 Non-MUV non-chiral $n$-point correlators

This class of correlators does not, in general, have simplifying features although special cases share features with the preceding examples. Of particular interest is the correlator obtained by differentiating a MUV $n$-point correlator with respect to $\bar{\tau}$. We saw earlier in (3.12) that this inserts a factor of $\int dx_{n+1} \bar{O}_r(x_{n+1})$ into the MUV correlator. The semi-classical instanton calculation we discussed previously can be generalised by taking into account the instanton profile of $\bar{O}_r$, that includes a factor of eight fermionic moduli, so such a correlator has a total of 24 fermionic moduli (including the 16 superconformal moduli). This illustrates how the recursion relation can impose integral constraints on non-MUV non-chiral correlators by relating them to MUV correlators.

6 Discussion

This paper has considered properties of the large-$N$ expansion of $n$-point correlators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM with gauge group $SU(N)$ that violate the bonus $U(1)_Y$ maximally. The maximal $U(1)_Y$ charge violation for a $n$-point correlator is $2(n - 4)$. These correlators are holographically dual to maximal $U(1)_Y$-violating scattering amplitudes of $n$ massless states in ten-dimensional type IIB superstring theory compactified on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The large-$N$ expansion of the correlators with fixed Yang–Mills coupling, $\hat{\tau}$, corresponds to the expansion of the string theory amplitudes in powers of $\alpha' s_{ij}$ and $\alpha'/L^2$ (where $L$ is the $AdS_5$ scale) with fixed background value of the complex scalar, $\tau^0 = \hat{\tau}$.

The systematics of $U(1)_Y$-violation is understood on both sides of the holographic correspondence. In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM it is governed by the fact that the “bonus $U(1)_Y$” is broken to $Z_4$, which is the centre of the R-symmetry $SU(4)$. Likewise, only a $Z_4$ remnant of the type IIB supergravity $U(1)$ R-symmetry survives in the type IIB superstring. This $Z_4$ is compatible with its embedding in the discrete $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ duality group and invariance under $Z_4$ restricts the possible $U(1)_Y$-violating terms.

The $n$-point MUV correlators that we have explicitly studied in this paper are those involving the “chiral” operators in the stress tensor multiplet that are related to the superconformal primary $O_2$ by successive applications of the four chiral supercharges $Q_\alpha^a$ that lie in one $SU(2)$ subgroup of the R-symmetry $SU(4)$. This includes, for example, the $n$-point correlators in (2.18), (5.6), and (5.10). Chiral MUV correlators have the property that the dependence on $\rho_r$ and $y_r$ factors out as in (2.16), where the function $\mathcal{I}(x_r, \rho_r, 0, y_r)$ is given
We used the integrated recursion relation (4.2), together with recent results concerning four-point correlators of superconformal primaries \[39, 40\], to determine coefficients in the large-\(N\) expansion of \(n\)-point chiral MUV correlators with any value of \(n \geq 4\). Explicit results were obtained for the modular covariant coefficients of the first three orders in the \(1/N\) expansion beyond the supergravity limit. These coefficients, as summarised in section 4.5, which are \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) modular forms with modular weights \((w, -w)\) (where \(2w = q_v = 2n - 8\)), determine the exact perturbative and non-perturbative dependence on the Yang–Mills coupling and \(\theta\) angle. These modular forms, which implement Montonen–Olive duality in the gauge theory, are the same kind of modular forms that entered into the construction of S-dual MUV amplitudes in flat-space type IIB string theory in [13], and the Mellin representation of the correlators reproduces the corresponding flat-space string amplitudes in the flat-space limit. The present results give a certain amount of information about \(\alpha'/L^2\) corrections to the low energy expansion of MUV string amplitudes beyond the flat-space limit of \(AdS_5 \times S^5\).

Non-chiral MUV correlators involve operators that are obtained by successive transformations of \(O_2\) by both \(Q_α^a\) and \(\bar{Q}_\dot{\alpha}^\dot{a}\). Although our understanding of the structure of the chiral cases is quite general this is not true of the non-chiral cases. Since non-chiral MUV correlators are related by superconformal symmetry to chiral MUV correlators, given the simplicity of chiral MUV correlators, we would expect that one may directly construct these non-chiral MUV correlators from the chiral ones. Although this has not been determined in general, suggestions for addressing this issue were proposed in [73] for correlators at the Born level. It would be interesting to explore whether the methodology of [73] can be generalised to the holographic correlators. Furthermore, we also expect a factor analogous to the holographic correlators. In the absence of a general analysis, the discussion in section 5 illustrates how semi-classical instanton calculations may be used to determine some features of both chiral and non-chiral MUV \(n\)-point correlators. In particular, the instanton analysis is useful for analysing non-chiral MUV correlators, such as the examples listed in section 5.2.

The following are other obvious avenues to explore.

Although we have concentrated on MUV correlators of operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet the recursion relation relation applies more generally. It would be of interest to understand the extent to which the systematics of bonus \(U(1)_Y\)-violation applies to more general correlators. For example, it seems likely that correlators of \(\frac{1}{2}\)-BPS operators in supermultiplets with \(p > 2\) have well-defined \(U(1)_Y\)-violating selection rules, generalising those of the \(p = 2\) case. Such operators are holographically dual to higher Kaluza–Klein modes on \(S^5\).

Another direction to explore is the extension from maximal \(U(1)_Y\)-violating to non-

\[33\] There is an equivalent class of “anti-chiral” correlators obtained by successive supersymmetry transformations of \(O_2\) by \(Q_α^a\).
maximal $U(1)_Y$-violating correlators, which correspond to Mellin amplitudes that have poles in $\gamma_{ij}$. A simple class of such correlators consists of $n$-point next-to-maximal $U(1)_Y$-violating (NMUV) correlators, which violate $U(1)_Y$ by $2(n - 5)$ units. The residue of a pole in a corresponding NMUV Mellin amplitude factorises into the product of a $(n - 1)$-point MUV amplitude and a three-point supergravity amplitude. This is reminiscent of properties of maximal helicity-violating (MHV) amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, which have played a prominent role in the modern developments of scattering amplitudes.

Our analysis made use of recent results concerning the large-$N$ expansion of the supersymmetric localisation of the correlator of four $O_2$ operators in [30, 39, 35, 40] as initial data in the recursion relation. An alternative procedure would be to extend the localisation analysis to directly determine the large-$N$ expansion of correlators of $U(1)_Y$-violating $n$-point correlators, with four $O_2$ and $(n - 4)$ $O_{\tau}$ operators. This involves generalising the analysis of the four-point correlator in [30, 39, 35, 40] by considering higher numbers of derivatives on the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ partition function.

Another particularly interesting challenge is to directly determine the action of the Laplace operator on the modular form coefficients in the $1/N$ expansion of MUV correlation functions. This would amount to a more consistent implementation of the procedure in [50, 51]. The Laplace operator acting on a $n$-point MUV correlator is $\Delta = 4\bar{D}_{-w-1}D_w$ (see (C.21)), where the modular weight is $w = n - 4$. Acting first with $\bar{D}_w$ brings down a factor of $\int dz_1 O_{\tau}(z_1)$. So the correlator becomes a $(n+1)$-point MUV correlator integrated over the $(n+1)$th position, $z_1$. Next, applying $D_{-w-1}$ inserts a factor of $\int d^4z_2 \bar{O}_{\tau}(z_2)$, which takes it into a “next-to-next-to-maximal” $U(1)_Y$-violating (NNMUV) correlator with $n + 2$ operators integrated over both $z_1$ and $z_2$. We know that this must result in the appropriate Laplace equations for the coefficients of terms in the $1/N$ expansion. However, in order to understand this in detail we need to understand properties of NNMUV correlators integrated over $z_1$ and $z_2$. Such correlators violate $U(1)_Y$ by $2(n - 6)$ units. They correspond to Mellin amplitudes that have poles with residues that factorise into the product of two MUV amplitudes (both with $n \geq 4$ points) or into the product of a three-point supergravity amplitude and a NMUV $(n - 1)$-point amplitude.

Finally, we have stressed that the recursion relations (4.2) take a form that is reminiscent of the soft dilaton relation of flat-space type IIB superstring amplitudes studied in [13], which relate a $(n + 1)$-particle amplitude with one soft dilaton to an $n$-point amplitude. However, soft theorems of flat-space amplitudes have much wider applicability to other theories and in diverse space-time dimensions. They have, for example, played important roles in the bootstrapping flat-space scattering amplitudes [74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79]. It would be of interest to explore analogous recursion relations, for instance, in the six-dimensional flat-space amplitudes of type IIB superstring theory compactified on $K3$, where soft theorems probe the moduli structure of $K3$ surfaces [80]. The corresponding holographic correlators are dual to $AdS_3 \times S^3$ amplitudes, which have recently been studied in the supergravity limit.
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A Notation and conventions

A.1 Spinor conventions and the elementary $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM fields

The spinor indices, are raised and lowered as follows:

\[ \psi^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta, \quad \bar{\chi}^\dot{\alpha} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \psi_\alpha = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \psi^\beta, \quad \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (A.1) \]

where the $\epsilon$ symbols have the properties:

\[ \epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{i\dot{j}} = 1, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\beta\gamma} = \delta^\gamma_\alpha, \quad \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} = \delta^{\dot{\gamma}}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (A.2) \]

Vectors are related to spinors using

\[ x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sigma^\mu_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} x_\mu, \quad x^{-\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}} = \tilde{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}}_{\mu} x^\mu \]
\[ x_\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad x^2 = x_\mu x^\mu = \frac{1}{2} x_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} x^{-\dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}} \quad (A.3) \]

where $\tilde{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\mu} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} (\sigma^\mu)_{\beta\dot{\gamma}}$.

The component fields of the Yang–Mills field strength supermultiplet are in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ and their $U(1)_Y$ charge assignments are as follows,

\[ q_U : \quad \phi^{AB} \quad \lambda_{A\alpha} \quad \bar{\lambda}^A_{\dot{\alpha}} \quad F_{(\alpha\beta)} \quad \bar{F}_{(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \quad (A.4) \]

The scalar fields $\phi^{AB}$ satisfy the reality condition

\[ \phi_{AB} = (\phi^{AB})^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ABCD} \phi^{CD}, \quad (A.5) \]

where $\varepsilon_{1234} = \varepsilon^{1234} = 1.$
The Yang-Mills field strength is defined by the commutator of two covariant derivatives, 
\[ [D_\mu, D_\nu] = -i F_{\mu\nu}, \]
where \( D_\mu = \partial_\mu - i A_\mu \) with \( A_\mu \in \mathfrak{su}(N) \). In spinor notation we have
\[
F_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma^\nu)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} F_{\alpha\beta},
\]
where \( F_{\alpha\beta} = F_{\beta\alpha} \) and \( F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = F_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \) are given by
\[
F_{\beta\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}(\sigma^\mu \sigma^\nu)_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \bar{F}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu}(\bar{\sigma}^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu)_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}.
\]
which implies
\[
F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right), \quad F_{\mu\nu} \bar{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} \bar{F}^{\alpha\beta} - \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right).
\]

A.2 The \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM Lagrangian

The \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM Minkowski space Lagrangian has the form
\[
\mathcal{L} = \text{tr} \left\{ \frac{1}{2g_{\text{YM}}^2} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) + \frac{1}{4} D_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \phi^{AB} D_{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} \phi^{AB} + \frac{1}{8} [\phi^{AB}, \phi^{CD}][\phi^{AB}, \phi^{CD}] \right. \\
+ i \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha A} D^{\alpha\alpha} \lambda^A - i (D^{\alpha\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha A}) \lambda^A - \sqrt{2} \lambda^{\alpha A} [\phi_{AB}, \lambda^B_{\alpha}] + \sqrt{2} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} A} [\phi^{AB}, \bar{\lambda}^A_{\dot{\beta}}] \\
\left. + \frac{i \theta_{\text{YM}}}{32 \pi^2} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} - \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) \right\}. \tag{A.9}
\]

The chiral and anti-chiral Lagrangian operators

The Lagrangian in (A.9) can be written as the sum of two complex conjugate parts
\[
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{i}{2 \tau_2} \left( \bar{\tau} \mathcal{O}_\tau - \bar{\tau} \mathcal{\bar{O}}_\tau \right), \tag{A.10}
\]
where \( \bar{\tau} = \theta_{\text{YM}}/2\pi + 4\pi i/g_{\text{YM}}^2 \). The composite operators \( \mathcal{O}_\tau \) and \( \mathcal{\bar{O}}_\tau \) are the chiral and anti-chiral Lagrangians that are defined by
\[
\mathcal{O}_\tau = \frac{\bar{\tau}}{4\pi} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + \sqrt{2} \lambda^{\alpha A} [\phi_{AB}, \lambda^B_{\alpha}] - \frac{1}{8} [\phi^{AB}, \phi^{CD}][\phi_{AB}, \phi^{CD}] \right\}, \tag{A.11}
\]
\[
\mathcal{\bar{O}}_\tau = \frac{\bar{\tau}}{4\pi} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \sqrt{2} \bar{\lambda}^A_{\dot{\alpha}} [\phi^{AB}, \lambda^B_{\dot{\alpha}}] - \frac{1}{8} [\phi^{AB}, \phi^{CD}][\phi_{AB}, \phi^{CD}] \right\}. \tag{A.12}
\]

In passing from (A.9) to (A.10) we have used the field equations and dropped terms that are total derivatives, apart from the topological term proportional to \( i \theta_{\text{YM}} \left( F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} - \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \right) \sim 48 \).
$2\theta_{\nu\mu} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^\mu\nu$, which is non-zero in an instanton background and plays a key rôle in the structure of the correlators.

Note that after substituting the solution of the equations of motion for $\lambda^A_\alpha$, $\bar{\lambda}^A_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\phi_{AB}$ into the Lagrangian (A.9) the dependence on these fields vanishes apart from boundary terms. In other words, the dependence on these fields in $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\tau}}$ (in (A.11) and (A.12)) cancels in the combination (A.10) modulo equations of motion. This means that the condition that (A.10) reproduce the on-shell Lagrangian in euclidean space does not uniquely determine the terms involving these fields in $\mathcal{O}_\tau$ and $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{\tau}}$. However, these expressions are uniquely determined by applying four chiral (or four anti-chiral) supersymmetry transformations to $\mathcal{O}_2$.

A.3 Short supermultiplets

The superconformal primary, $\mathcal{O}_p$, of a short BPS multiplet is proportional to $[\text{tr}\phi^{I_1} \cdots \phi^{I_p}][0,p,0]$. The descendants are characterised, following the discussion in [85] and [86] by their $SU(4)$ Dynkin labels $[k,p,q]$ and their spin labels $(j,j')$ under $SO(1,3) \approx SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$. The dimension of such short multiplets is $64p^2(p^2-1)/3$. The primary operator $\mathcal{O}_p$ has zero $U(1)_Y$ charge. The superconformal descendant components are obtained by acting with powers of the supercharges $Q^I_\alpha$ (which have $U(1)_Y$ charge $1/2$) and $\bar{Q}^{\dot{I}\dot{\alpha}}$ (which have $U(1)_Y$ charge $-1/2$) on $\mathcal{O}_p$. Therefore, any descendant has a well-defined $U(1)_Y$ charge that is determined by the number of supersymmetry transformations that relate the component to the primary $\mathcal{O}_p$.

The states of the $p = 2$ multiplet, which contains the stress tensor as well as the supercurrents and the R-symmetry current are shown in (A.13). These correspond to terms in the expansion of $\mathcal{T}(x,\rho,\bar{\rho},y)$ (defined in (2.6)) in powers of $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$. The sequence of red arrows indicates successive $\rho^I_\alpha \bar{Q}^{\dot{I}\dot{\alpha}}$ transformations associated with the chiral operators in (2.13), which are terms in the expansion in powers of $\rho$ with $\bar{\rho} = 0$. Likewise the blue arrows indicate the sequence of operators generated by successive applications of $\bar{\rho}^{\dot{I}\dot{\alpha}} \tilde{Q}^I_\alpha$ to $\mathcal{O}_2$. Note that the multiplet terminates after four supersymmetry transformations. Further supersymmetry transformations generate states that vanish upon using the equations of motion.
The assignment of $U(1)_Y$ charges to the operators in the stress tensor supermultiplet, corresponds to the assignment in [14] and [50].

The chiral stress tensor operators

The chiral operators connected by the red arrows in (A.13), are the components of the $\rho$ expansion with $\bar{\rho} = 0$ in (2.13). These are gauge-invariant composite operators that are given by the following expressions in terms of the component fields in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang–Mills super-multiplet [56], Defining

\begin{align*}
\phi^A_a &= \phi^{AB} g^a_B , \quad \lambda^a_A = \lambda^{AB} a^a , \quad \phi = -\frac{i}{2} g^a_A g_B^{\epsilon a b} \phi^{AB} ,
\end{align*}

(A.14)

with $g^b_A = (\delta^b_a, y^b_{a'})$ as given in (2.5), we have
\( \rho^0 : \quad O_2 = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} (\phi \phi) , \)

\( \rho^1 : \quad \lambda^\alpha_a = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} (\lambda^\alpha_a \phi) , \)

\( \rho^2 : \quad \mathcal{E}_{(a b)} = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} \left( \lambda^\alpha_{(a} \lambda^\beta_{b)} - \sqrt{2} [\phi^A_{(a} , \phi_{A,b)}] \phi \right) , \)

\( \rho^2 : \quad \mathcal{B}^{(\alpha \beta)} = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} \left( \lambda^{\alpha (a} \lambda^\beta_{b)} - i \sqrt{2} F^{\alpha \beta} \phi \right) , \)

\( \rho^3 : \quad \Lambda^\alpha_a = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} \left( F^\alpha_{\beta a} \lambda^\beta_a + i [\phi^A_a , \phi_{AB}] \lambda^{Ba} \right) , \)

\( \rho^4 : \quad O_\tau = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \text{tr} \left( - \frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} + \sqrt{2} \lambda^\alpha A [\phi_{AB} , \lambda^B_a] - \frac{1}{8} [\phi^{AB} , \phi^{CD}] [\phi_{AB} , \phi_{CD}] \right) \) (A.15)

where we have exhibited the power of \( \rho \) associated with each operator.

### B Mellin amplitudes and the flat-space limit

The scattering amplitude in \( \text{AdS}_{d+1} \) is obtained in terms of the Mellin transform of the correlator, which has the form \[18\]

\[ G(x_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{n-3}/2} \int_C \prod_{i<j}^{n} d\gamma_{ij} M(\gamma_{ij}) \prod_{i<j}^{n} (x_{ij}^2)^{-\gamma_{ij}} \Gamma(\gamma_{ij}) , \] (B.1)

where the integration contours \( C \) run parallel to the imaginary \( \gamma_{ij} \) axis. The Mellin variables \( \gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji} \), and are constrained by the conditions

\[ \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = \Delta_i , \quad \forall i . \] (B.2)

This can be re-expressed as

\[ \gamma_{ij} = k_i \cdot k_j = \frac{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - s_{ij}}{2} , \] (B.3)

with \( s_{ij} = -(k_i + k_j)^2 \), where \( k_i^\mu \) are Minkowski vectors satisfying \( \sum_i k_i = 0 \) and \( k_i^2 = -\Delta_i \).

The quantities \( s_{ij} \) play the rôle of Mandelstam invariants in the context of finite \( \text{AdS} \) radius. In taking the flat space limit one has to rescale these variables and recover the
scattering amplitude as a function of the conventional Mandelstam invariants $s_{ij}$. More precisely, the flat-space amplitude $T(s_{ij})$ is related to $M(s_{ij})$ by

$$M(s_{ij}) \approx \frac{R^n(1-d)/2+d+1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{\sum \Delta_i - d}{2} \right)} \int_0^\infty d\beta \beta^{\frac{1}{2} \sum \Delta_i - \frac{d}{2} - 1} e^{-\beta T(s_{ij})} \Big|_{s_{ij}=2\beta s_{ij}/R^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.4)

which may be inverted to give an expression for the flat-space amplitude in terms of a limit of the Mellin transformed correlator,

$$T(s_{ij}) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{\sum \Delta_i - d}{2} \right)}{R^n(1-d)/2+d+1} \lim_{R \to \infty} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}(d-\sum \Delta_i)} e^{\alpha} M(s_{ij}) \Big|_{s_{ij}=\frac{R^2}{2\alpha s_{ij}}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.5)

where the integration contour passes to the right of all the poles of the integrand. Therefore the flat-space amplitude is obtained from the Mellin amplitudes by taking the Mellin variables to infinity.

### B.1 Embedding in $SO(1,d+1)$

In order to describe a conformally invariant theory, which has $SO(2,d)$ symmetry it is useful, following Dirac [87], to use coordinates in $(d+2)$-dimensional space of signature $(2,d)$. In CFT it is conventional to continue to euclidean four-dimensional signature, which results in $SO(1,d+1)$ symmetry and coordinates $X \in \mathbb{M}^{d+2}$ subject to the constraints $X^2 = -R^2$, $X^0 > 0$ that parameterise euclidean AdS$_d$. The boundary coordinates are efficiently described in terms of a null vector $P \in \mathbb{M}^{d+2}$ satisfying $P^2 = 0$ and $P \sim \lambda P$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$). The boundary point $P$ and the bulk point can be parametrised as

$$P = (1, x^2, x^\mu), \quad X = \frac{1}{z_0}(1, z_0^2 + z^2, z^\mu),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.6)

where $x^\mu$ is a $d$-dimensional vector and we have set the radius $R = 1$. We see therefore that

$$P_{ij} = (P_i - P_j)^2 = -2P_i \cdot P_j = (x_i - x_j)^2, \quad -2P \cdot X = \frac{1}{z_0} (z_0^2 + (x-z)^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.7)

### B.2 $D$-functions and Mellin amplitudes

The $D$-functions are defined as

$$D_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_n} (x_i) = \frac{2 \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\Delta_i)}{\pi^{h} \Gamma \left( \frac{\sum \Delta_i - h}{2} \right)} \int_{AdS} dz K_{\Delta_1} (x_i; z) K_{\Delta_2} (x_i; z) \cdots K_{\Delta_n} (x_i; z),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B.8)

The normalisation factor is chosen so that the Mellin transforms of $D$-functions are simple.
where \( h = d/2 \), and the bulk-to-boundary propagator is defined as

\[
K_\Delta(x_i; z) = \left( \frac{z_0}{z_0^2 + (x_i - z)^2} \right)^\Delta,
\]

(B.9)

which can be expressed in terms of the six-dimensional embedding space formalism as

\[
K_\Delta(P_i; X) = \left( \frac{1}{-2P_i \cdot X} \right)^\Delta.
\]

(B.10)

The \( n \)-point function of a contact Witten diagram without derivatives is given by \( D \)-functions,

\[
A^{(0)}_{n}(x_i) = D_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_n}(x_i).
\]

(B.11)

In terms of embedding coordinates, the \( D \)-function can also be expressed as

\[
D_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_n}(P_i) = \frac{2}{\pi^h \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i}{2} - h\right)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdots \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \int_{\text{AdS}} dX e^{-2Q \cdot X},
\]

(B.12)

After the integration over \( X \), using

\[
\int_0^\infty \prod_i \frac{dt_i}{t_i} \int_{\text{AdS}} dX e^{2T \cdot X} = \frac{\pi^h \Gamma\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i}{2} - h\right)}{2 \pi i} \int_0^\infty \prod_i \frac{dt_i}{t_i} e^{t_i^2},
\]

we find

\[
D_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_n}(P_i) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdots \frac{dt_n}{t_n} e^{-\sum_{i<j} t_i t_j P_{ij}}
\]

\[
= \int_C \prod_{i<j} \frac{d\gamma_{ij}}{2 \pi i} \Gamma(\gamma_{ij})(P_{ij})^{-\gamma_{ij}},
\]

(B.14)

where we have used the Symanzik formula [88] in the last step. From the definition of the Mellin transform in (B.1) we conclude that the Mellin transform of a \( D \) function is simply given by \( \mathcal{M}(\gamma_{ij}) = 1 \).

Now consider terms with derivatives, for instance \( (x^2_{12})^\alpha D_{\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \cdots \Delta_n}(x_i) \), which can be expressed as

\[
A_{n}^{(\alpha, 12)}(P_i) = 2 (P_{12})^\alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \cdots \frac{dt_n}{t_n} e^{-\sum_{i<j} t_i t_j P_{ij}}
\]

\[
= \int_C \prod_{i<j} \frac{d\gamma_{ij}}{2 \pi i} \prod_{i<j} \Gamma(\gamma_{ij})(P_{ij})^{-\gamma_{ij}} (P_{12})^\alpha
\]

\[
= \int_C \prod_{i<j} \frac{d\gamma_{ij}}{2 \pi i} \prod_{i<j} \Gamma(\gamma_{ij})(P_{ij})^{-\gamma_{ij}} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_{12} + \alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma_{12})},
\]

(B.15)
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where the last equality follows after the change of integration variables \( \gamma_{12} \rightarrow \gamma_{12} + \alpha \), and the contours \( C \) run parallel to the imaginary axis. So the Mellin amplitude is \( M_{n}^{(\alpha;12)}(\gamma_{ij}) = \Gamma(\alpha)(\gamma_{12})_{\alpha} \) (where \( (x)_{\alpha} \) is the Pochhammer symbol), with the following conditions,

\[
\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = \Delta'_{i}, \quad \Delta'_{i} = \Delta_{i} - (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{i2})\alpha.
\]  

(B.16)

C Type IIB superstring amplitudes and modular forms

Low-energy expansions of MUV \( n \)-particle amplitudes in type IIB superstring theory were studied in [13]. The terms up to dimension 14 (the same order as \( d^{6}R^{4} \)) are BPS interactions and their coefficients are \( SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \) modular forms as we will briefly review in this appendix. The amplitudes can be conveniently expressed as

\[
A_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(x_{i};\tau_{0}) = F_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(\tau_{0}) \delta^{16}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}) \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(s_{ij}) .
\]  

(C.1)

The prefactor \( \delta^{16}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}) \) builds in type IIB supersymmetry. The supercharge \( Q_{i} \) (and \( \bar{Q}_{i} \)) associated with the particle \( i \) can be expressed in the ten-dimensional spinor helicity formalism by

\[
Q_{i}^{A} = \lambda_{i,a}^{A} \eta_{i}^{a}, \quad \bar{Q}_{i}^{A} = \lambda_{i,a}^{A} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{i}^{a}},
\]  

(C.2)

where the spinor variables are related to massless momenta by

\[
\lambda_{i,a}^{A} \lambda_{i,a}^{B} = p_{i,\mu}(\Gamma^{\mu})^{AB},
\]  

(C.3)

with \( A, B = 1,2,\cdots,16 \) labels a chiral \( SO(1,9) \) spinor index and \( a = 1,2,\cdots,8 \) labels a vector of the little group, \( SO(8) \). The ten-dimensional Minkowski-space momentum of a massless state, \( p_{i,\mu} \), is a null vector and \( \eta_{i}^{a} \) is a Grassmann variable. The on-shell massless states can be packaged into an on-shell “linearised superfield”

\[
\Phi(\eta) = Z + \eta^{a} A_{a} + \eta^{a} \eta^{b} \phi_{ab} + \cdots + \frac{1}{8!}(\eta)^{8} \bar{Z},
\]  

(C.4)

where \( Z \sim -i(\tau - \tau_{0})/(2\tau_{0}^{2}) \) is the linearised complex dilaton\(^{35}\)

The factor \( \delta^{16}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i}) \) is of order \( \eta^{8} \) and \( \mathcal{O}_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}(s_{ij}) \) is a symmetric degree-\( \alpha \) monomial of Mandelstam variables \( s_{ij} \). Here we will consider the cases of \( \alpha = 0,2,3 \). Note that,

\(^{35}\)The nonlinear definition is \( Z = (\tau - \tau_{0})/(\tau - \tau_{0}) \), which has \( SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \) modular weights \((-1,1)\) and therefore transforms with \( U(1) \) charge equal to \(-2\) [13].
\( \mathcal{O}^{(1)}_{n,r}(s_{ij}) = \sum_{i<j}^{n} s_{ij} = 0 \). The coefficient \( F^{(\alpha)}_{n,r}(\tau^0) \) is a \( SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \) modular form with holomorphic and anti-holomorphic modular weights \((n - 4, 4 - n)\).

The subscript \( r \) labels the independent monomials \( \mathcal{O}^{(\alpha)}_{n,r}(s_{ij}) \) that arise at order \( s^{\alpha} \). When \( \alpha = 0, 2 \) there is only one independent kinematic invariant and so the subscript \( r \) has one value and we will drop it for simplicity. This is also true when \( \alpha = 3 \) and \( n \leq 5 \). In those cases we will simply drop the subscript \( r \). For \( \alpha = 3 \) and \( n \geq 6 \) there are two independent kinematic invariants so that in those cases \( r = 1, 2 \). The explicit expressions when \( \alpha = 0, 2 \) are

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(0)}_{n}(s_{ij}) = 1, \quad \mathcal{O}^{(2)}_{n}(s_{ij}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} s_{ij}^2.
\]

With \( \alpha = 3 \), and \( n = 4, 5 \), the unique structure has the form

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n}(s_{ij}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} s_{ij}^3,
\]

whereas the two independent structures for \( n \geq 6 \) are given by

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n,1}(s_{ij}) = \frac{1}{32} \left( (28 - 3n) \sum_{i<j} s_{ij}^3 + 3 \sum_{i<j<k} s_{ijk}^3 \right),
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n,2}(s_{ij}) = (n - 4) \sum_{i<j} s_{ij}^3 - \sum_{i<j<k} s_{ijk}^3,
\]

with \( s_{ijk} = s_{ij} + s_{ik} + s_{jk} \).

An important feature that distinguishes these expressions is their behaviour in the limit that one of the momenta becomes soft (i.e., is taken to zero). For \( n > 6 \) they each reduce in the soft limit to the \( n - 1 \) expressions\(^{36}\)

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n,1}(s_{ij}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n-1,1}(s_{ij}), \quad \mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n,2}(s_{ij}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{n-1,2}(s_{ij}),
\]

but the soft limit limit in the \( n = 6 \) case is

\[
\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{6,1}(s_{ij}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{5}(s_{ij}), \quad \mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{6,2}(s_{ij}) \rightarrow 0.
\]

The modular forms \( F^{(\alpha)}_{n,i}(\tau) \) were determined by using \( SL(2,\mathbb{Z}) \)-symmetric versions of soft-dilaton relations (namely we take the particle \( Z \) to be soft) that relate \( n \)-point MUV amplitudes to \( (n - 1) \)-point MUV amplitudes,

\[
\mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}_{n,r}(s_{ij}; \tau^0)\big|_{p_n \rightarrow 0} = 2 \mathcal{D}_w \mathcal{A}^{(\alpha)}_{n-1,r}(s_{ij}; \tau^0),
\]

\(^{36}\)Clearly, for \( \alpha = 0, 2 \), we also have \( \mathcal{O}^{(\alpha)}_{n}(s_{ij}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{(\alpha)}_{n-1}(s_{ij}). \)
where $w = n - 5$ labels the modular weight, which is related to the $U(1)_Y$ charge violation. As we commented in (C.9) that the kinematic invariants $O_{n,2}^{(2)}(s_{ij})$ defined in (C.7) are consistent with (C.11). The structure of the superfield (C.4), together with (C.1), ensures that the factor of $\delta^{16}(\sum Q_i)$ in the superamplitudes cancels from (C.11). This relation has a form that is similar to the recursion relation (4.2) for MUV correlators in the gauge theory.

### C.1 Modular form coefficients of dimension 8 and 12 terms

These are the coefficients of higher derivative interactions in the low energy expansion of MUV amplitudes that have the same dimension as $R^4$ and $d^4 R^4$ (which are $\frac{1}{2}$-BPS and $\frac{1}{4}$-BPS, respectively) that arise in the expansion of the four-graviton amplitude, which is an example of a $n = 4$ MUV amplitude. In these $n = 4$ cases with $\alpha = 0, 2$ the coefficients $F_4^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ satisfy Laplace eigenvalue equations

$$ (\Delta_\tau - s_\alpha(s_\alpha - 1)) F_4^{(\alpha)}(\tau) = 0, \tag{C.12} $$

where the hyperbolic laplacian is $\Delta_\tau = 4\tau_2^2(\partial_\tau, \partial_{\bar{\tau}})$ and $s_0 = \frac{3}{2}, s_2 = \frac{5}{2}$. The function $F_4^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ is a $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ modular function that satisfies the boundary condition $\lim_{\tau_2 \to \infty} F_4^{(\alpha)}(\tau) < \tau_2^a$, where $a$ is a real number. The solution to this equation with appropriate boundary conditions is a non-holomorphic Eisenstein series, which has the form

$$ E(s, \tau) = \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \frac{\tau_2^s}{m+n\tau} 2^{2s} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k(s, \tau_2) e^{2\pi ik\tau_1}, \tag{C.13} $$

where the zero mode consists of two power behaved terms,

$$ F_0(s, \tau_2) = 2\zeta(2s) \tau_2^s + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{2})\xi(2s - 1)}{\Gamma(s)} \tau_2^{1-s}, \tag{C.14} $$

and the non-zero modes are D-instanton contributions, which are proportional to $K$-Bessel functions,

$$ F_k(s, \tau_2) = \frac{4\pi^s}{\Gamma(s)} |k|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{1-2s}(|k|) \sqrt{\tau_2} K(s - \frac{1}{2}, 2\pi |k| \tau_2), \quad k \neq 0, \tag{C.15} $$

where the divisor sum is defined by

$$ \sigma_p(k) = \sum_{d > 0, d | k} d^p, \quad \text{for} \quad k > 0. \tag{C.16} $$

We are generally interested in amplitudes with $n > 4$, for these cases $F_n^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ are modular forms with non-trivial weights $(w, -w)$, with $w = n - 4$. For these cases the coefficients
$F_n^{(\alpha)}(\tau)$ with $\alpha = 0, 2$ are determined by (C.11) to be non-holomorphic Eisenstein modular forms. These can be defined by
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{D}_w E_w(s, \tau) = \frac{s + w}{2} E_{w+1}(s, \tau), \tag{C.17}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{-w} E_w(s, \tau) = \frac{s - w}{2} E_{w-1}(s, \tau), \tag{C.18}
\end{equation}
using the definition of modular covariant derivatives given in (3.4). Iterating (C.17) leads to the expression
\begin{equation}
E_w(s, \tau) = 2^w \Gamma(s) \frac{\tau^2}{\Gamma(s+w)} \mathcal{D}_{w-1} \cdots \mathcal{D}_0 E(s, \tau), \tag{C.19}
\end{equation}
where $E_0(s, \tau) := E(s, \tau)$. It is straightforward to show that this implies
\begin{equation}
E_w(s, \tau) = \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0)} \left( \frac{m + n\tau}{m + n\tau^{2s}} \right) \frac{\tau^2}{|m + n\tau|^{2s}}. \tag{C.20}
\end{equation}
These modular forms satisfy the Laplace equations
\begin{equation}
\Delta_{(+)}^{(w)} E_w(s, \tau) := 4 \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{w-1} \mathcal{D}_w E_w(s, \tau) = (s + w)(s - w - 1) E_w(s, \tau), \tag{C.21}
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
\Delta_{(-)}^{(w)} E_w(s, \tau) := 4 \mathcal{D}_{w-1} \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{-w} E_w(s, \tau) = (s - w)(s + w - 1) E_w(s, \tau). \tag{C.22}
\end{equation}
The two laplacians acting on a weight-$(w, -w)$ modular form satisfy
\begin{equation}
\Delta_{(+)}^{(w)} - \Delta_{(-)}^{(w)} = -2w, \quad \Delta_{(+)}^{(0)} = \Delta_{(-)}^{(0)} = \Delta_{\infty}. \tag{C.23}
\end{equation}
These modular forms are periodic in $\tau_1$ and have interesting expansions as Fourier series. In the $s = 3/2$ case that is relevant for the coefficients of the terms of the order $R^4$, this has a Fourier expansion of the form
\begin{equation}
E_w(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) = 2\zeta(3) \tau^{3/2} + \frac{4\zeta(2)}{1 - 4w^2} \tau^{1/2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \mathcal{F}_{k, -w}(\frac{3}{2}, \tau_2) e^{2\pi ik\tau_1} + \mathcal{F}_{k, +w}(\frac{3}{2}, \tau_2) e^{-2\pi ik\tau_1} \right). \tag{C.24}
\end{equation}
The first two terms in (C.24) have the interpretation of contributions that should arise in string perturbation theory at tree-level and one loop, while the charge-$k$ D-instanton and charge-($-k$) anti D-instanton terms are contained in the sum over $k$, where
\begin{equation}
\mathcal{F}_{k, -w}(\frac{3}{2}, \tau_2) = (8\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_{-2}(k) (2\pi \tau_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j=-w}^{\infty} \frac{a_{4-w,j}}{(2\pi k \tau_2)^j} e^{-2\pi k \tau_2}, \tag{C.25}
\end{equation}
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and
\[ a_{n,j} = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^j (j - n + 4)!} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2}) \Gamma(j - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n - \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(-j - \frac{1}{2})}. \] (C.26)

The instanton sum in (C.25) begins with the power \( \tau^w \) for D-instantons (which have phases \( e^{2 \pi i k \tau_1} \)) while the series of corrections to the anti D-instanton (with phases \( e^{-2 \pi i k \tau_1} \)) starts with the power \( \tau^{-w} \). These powers are consistent with the requirement of saturating the fermionic zero modes that are present in the D-instanton background.

### C.2 Modular form coefficients of dimension 14 terms

These are the coefficients of higher derivative interactions with \( \alpha = 3 \) that have the same dimension as \( d^6 R^4 \), which is \( \frac{1}{8} \)-BPS. The modular forms that arise in these cases satisfy inhomogeneous Laplace eigenvalue equations so they will be called “generalised Eisenstein modular forms”.

#### C.2.1 Four-point interaction

The \( n = 4, \alpha = 3 \) coefficient is a modular function (it has modular weight \( w = 0 \)) that is the coefficient of the \( d^6 R^4 \) interaction in the low-energy expansion of the four-graviton amplitude. In [44] this function was shown to satisfy the inhomogeneous Laplace equation \(^{37}\)

\[ (\Delta_x - r(r + 1)) \mathcal{E}(r, s_1, s_2, \tau) = -E(s_1, \tau) E(s_2, \tau). \] (C.27)

What is relevant for the \( d^6 R^4 \) interaction is the case with \( r = 3, s_1 = s_2 = \frac{3}{2} \). Following [89], this equation may be solved in terms of its Fourier modes defined by

\[ \mathcal{E}(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \tau) = \sum_k \mathcal{F}_k(\tau_2) e^{2 \pi i k \tau_1}. \] (C.28)

These modes are conveniently written as double sums,

\[ \mathcal{F}_k(\tau_2) = \sum_{k_1} \sum_{k_2 = k - k_1} f_{k_1, k_2}(\tau_2), \quad k \neq 0, \] (C.29)

where \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) label the mode numbers of the \( E(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) \) factors in the source term in (C.27). The zero mode is given by the infinite sum

\[ \mathcal{F}_0(\tau_2) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} f_{k_1, -k_1}(\tau_2) = f_{0,0}(\tau_2) + \sum_{k_1 \neq 0} f_{k_1, -k_1}(\tau_2). \] (C.30)

\(^{37}\) As noted earlier, this function was denoted by \( \mathcal{E}(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})(\tau) \) in [44] and was denoted by \( \mathcal{E}(0,1)(\tau) \) in [89]. The notation adopted here is in accord with the notation in [40].
The function $f_{(0,0)}(\tau_2)$ is a sum of powers of $\tau_2$ that is given by

$$f_{0,0}(\tau_2) = \frac{2\zeta(3)2\tau_2^3}{3} + \frac{4\zeta(2)\zeta(3)\tau_2}{3} + \frac{4\zeta(4)}{2\tau_2} + \frac{4\zeta(6)}{27}\tau_2^{-3},$$

where the four terms are interpreted as contributions to string perturbation theory at genus 0 to genus 3. The terms with $k_1 \neq 0$ in (C.30) are bilinear in K-Bessel functions and have the form

$$f_{k_1,-k_1}(\tau_2) = \frac{32\pi^2}{315|k_1|^3}\sigma_2(|k_1|)\sigma_2(|k_1|)\sum_{i,j=0}^1 q_3^{i,j}(\pi|k_1|\tau_2)K_i(2\pi|k_1|\tau_2)K_j(2\pi|k_1|\tau_2),$$

where the coefficients $q_3^{i,j} = q_3^{j,i}$ are simple Laurent series of their arguments. In the $\tau_2 \to \infty$ limit (the weak string coupling limit) each term behaves as $f_{k_1,-k_1}(\tau_2) \sim e^{-4\pi k_1\tau_2}$, which is characteristic of an instanton/anti-instanton pair with opposite instanton charges.

The function $F_k(\tau_2)$ with $k \neq 0$ represents an infinite sum of instanton/anti-instanton pairs with total instanton number $k$. We will not reproduce details of the explicit solutions for these modes, which are presented in [44].

### C.2.2 Higher-point interactions

The modular forms associated with the coefficients of the low-energy expansion of $n$-point MUV amplitudes with $n > 4$ that are of dimension 14 (the same order as $d^6R^4$) are again determined using the soft relation (C.11) together with type IIB supersymmetry.

The coefficient of the 5-point interaction, which is the modular form $F_5^{(3)}(\tau)$, $n = 5$, is determined by (C.11) in terms of the four-point interaction, and is proportional the weight $(1, -1)$ modular form, $^{38}$

$$E_{1,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = 2D_0 E(3, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \tau).$$

For $n = 6$, there are two independent kinematic invariants $O_6^{(3)}(s_{ij})$ and $O_{6,2}^{(3)}(s_{ij})$ in (C.7), which are associated with two different modular forms of weight $(2, -2)$, which are denoted by $E_{2,1}^{(3)}(\tau)$ and $E_{2,2}^{(3)}(\tau)$. The first one is given by

$$E_{2,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = 2D_1 E_{1,1}^{(3)}(\tau),$$

which obeys inhomogeneous Laplace equation

$$\left(\Delta^{(2)}_{(-)} - 10\right)E_{2,1}^{(3)}(\tau) = -\frac{15}{2} \left(E_0(\frac{1}{2}, \tau)E_2(\frac{1}{2}, \tau) + \frac{3}{5}E_1(\frac{1}{2}, \tau)E_1(\frac{1}{2}, \tau)\right).$$

$E_{1,1}^{(3)}(\tau)$ was denoted $E_{1}^{(3)}(\tau)$ in [13] due to the fact that at five points, there is only a single kinematic invariant.
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The second modular form $E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\tau)$ is not related to $E(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \tau)$ by (C.11), and is an independent coefficient satisfying

$$\left(\Delta_{(-)}^{(2)} - 10\right) E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\tau) = -\frac{5c_1}{2} \left( E_0(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) E_2(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) - E_1(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) E_1(\frac{3}{2}, \tau) \right),$$

(C.36)

where the overall coefficient $c_1$ was not determined in [13].

Once the six-point coefficients are given, the modular functions associated with higher-point terms $O^{(3)}_{n,1}(s_{ij})$ and $O^{(3)}_{n,2}(s_{ij})$ are then determined from the soft dilaton relation, (C.11). They are given by covariant derivatives acting on $E^{(3)}_{2,1}(\tau)$ and $E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\tau)$. In general, the modular forms are given by

$$O^{(3)}_{n,1}(s_{ij}) : \quad E^{(3)}_{n-4,1}(\tau) = 2^{n-4} D_{n-5} \cdots D_0 E(3, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \tau),$$

$$O^{(3)}_{n,2}(s_{ij}) : \quad E^{(3)}_{n-4,2}(\tau) = 2^{n-6} D_{n-7} \cdots D_2 E^{(3)}_{2,2}(\tau),$$

(C.37)

where for $O^{(3)}_{n,2}(s_{ij})$ we restrict to the cases with $n \geq 6$.
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