Global methyl halide emissions from biomass burning during 2003–2021
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Methyl halides (CH3Cl, CH3Br, and CH3I) are ozone-depleting substances. Biomass burning (BB) is an important source of methyl halides. The temporal variations and global spatial distribution of BB methyl halide emissions are unclear. Thus, global methyl halide emissions from BB during 2003–2021 were estimated based on satellite data. A significant decreasing trend (p < 0.01) in global methyl halide emissions from BB was found between 2003 and 2021, with CH3Cl emissions decreasing from 302 to 220 Gg yr −1, CH3Br emissions decreasing from 16.5 to 11.7 Gg yr −1, and CH3I emissions decreasing from 8.9 to 6.1 Gg yr −1. From a latitudinal perspective, the northern high-latitude region (60°–90° N) was the only latitude zone with significant increases in BB methyl halide emissions (p < 0.01). Based on an analysis of the drivers of BB methyl halide emissions, emissions from cropland, grassland, and shrubland fires were more correlated with the burned area, while BB emissions from forest fires were more correlated with the emissions per unit burned area. The non-BB emissions of CH3Cl increased from 4749 Gg yr −1 in 2003 to 4882 Gg yr −1 in 2020, while those of CH3Br decreased from 136 Gg yr −1 in 2003 to 118 Gg yr −1 in 2020 (global total CH3I emissions are not available). The finding indicates that global CH3Cl and CH3Br emissions from sources besides BB increased and decreased during 2003–2020. Based on our findings, not only searching for unknown sources is important, but also re-evaluating known sources is necessary for addressing methyl halide emissions.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Society for Environmental Sciences, Harbin Institute of Technology, Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
halides [8,9]. In fact, BB emissions of CH₂Cl, CH₃Br, and CH₃I account for 9.7% [2], 20.2% [2], and 3.0% [6] of their global emissions, respectively. According to Nicewonger et al. and Yvon-Lewis et al. [7,10], BB is a key factor explaining the interannual variability of atmospheric CH₃Br mole fractions during the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Thus, CH₃Br emissions from BB play a non-negligible role in the total CH₃Br emissions.

Currently, several approaches are available for estimating BB emissions, including the bottom-up emission ratio method [8,9], bottom-up satellite-derived burned area (BA) method [11,12], bottom-up satellite-derived fire radiative power (FRP) method [13–16], and top-down inversion method using atmospheric mole fraction observations and global transport models [6,17]. The estimates for BB CH₂Cl emissions markedly vary among studies, especially those using different approaches. Xiao et al. used the inversion method and estimated BB CH₂Cl emissions of 917 ± 198 Gg yr⁻¹, which accounts for 22 ± 5% of the global total CH₂Cl emissions [17]. By using the satellite-derived BA method, BB CH₂Cl emissions were estimated at 355 (142–569) Gg yr⁻¹ [2], which were significantly lower than that reported by Xiao et al. [17]. Compared to CH₂Cl, the estimated gaps in the BB CH₃Br and CH₃I emissions were lower. The global BB CH₃Br and CH₃I emission values were approximately 10–50 and 1.6–8.0 Gg yr⁻¹, respectively [2,8,9]. Most of the previous studies, for example, Andreae et al. and Blake et al. [8,9] used CO or CO₂ as the tracer gas, measured the ratio of methyl halides to CO (or CO₂) in the local BB smoke, and calculated the target methyl halides emissions using the emission ratio and tracer emissions. However, this method may introduce great uncertainties in extrapolation from the local BB of a single biomass-burning type to the globe. Therefore, global BB CH₃Br and CH₃I emissions must be assessed using other methods, such as the satellite-derived FRP method.

Previous studies on BB methyl halide emissions rarely provided the spatial-temporal variation of emissions on a long-term scale. BB not only includes prescribed fires, such as human-planned ignition for landscape and agriculture management purposes, but also wildfires, such as forest, grassland, and savanna fires [18]. Human factors, such as land use and artificial ignition, have been linked to BB methyl halide emissions [19]. Frequent wildfires may lead to increased emissions of methyl halides [20,21]. Therefore, combined with variations in prescribed fires and wildfires, global BB methyl halide emissions may change in both time and space in the long term, which requires a comprehensive investigation.

In this study, satellite-derived burned dry matter mass (DM) data were employed to construct a high spatial resolution (0.05° × 0.05°) global BB emission inventory of CH₂Cl, CH₃Br, and CH₃I. The temporal trends and spatial distribution of global BB methyl halide emissions were analyzed, and the most important emission regions were identified. Our results on long-term-scale global emissions and detailed spatial distribution information are useful for further understanding the variations in BB emissions of methyl halides. Further implications of BB emissions for non-BB source emissions and the global budget were also presented in this study.

2. Methods and data

2.1. Calculating BB methyl halide emissions

The global BB emissions of three methyl halides (CH₂Cl, CH₃Br, and CH₃I) during 2003–2021 at a spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05° were estimated. The emissions for each methyl halide were calculated by multiplying the DM by the corresponding emission factor for each land-use type. The FRP method was developed owing to the introduction of fire observations in the MODIS era [13,14]. As a representative of the FRP method, the GFASv1.2 database (https://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/cams-gfas/, last accessed on April 10, 2022) operates within the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) project and provides global daily average FRP, burned dry matter, and BB emissions of 41 species. The GFASv1.2 database was extensively used in recent BB studies [22,23]. Herein, dry matter data from the GFASv1.2 database were employed to construct the BB methyl halide emission inventory. The emissions of methyl halides from BB were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Emis}_{i,j} = D M_{i,j} \times A r e a_{i,j} \times E F_{i,j}
\]

where \(D M_{i,j}\) is the DM combustion rate (kg m⁻² s⁻¹), \(Area_{i,j}\) is the area (m²) of the \((i, j)\) grid, \(EF_{i,j}\) are the emission factors (g kg⁻¹) of the \(s\)th species in the \((i, j)\) grid, and \(\text{Emis}_{i,j}\) is the BB emission (kg s⁻¹) of the \(s\)th species in the \((i, j)\) grid. The accuracy of the above products used in this study has been validated [14]. However, note that since BB is only one emission source of methyl halides, our emission estimates are not directly validated by their global atmospheric observations.

2.2. Classifying the latitudes and biomass types

As the same biomass may have different emission factors at different latitudes [24], the latitudes were divided into six latitude zonal bands, similar to that performed in a previous study [7], including northern low-latitude (0°–30° N), northern mid-latitude (30°–60° N), northern high-latitude (60°–90° N), southern low-latitude (0°–30° S), southern mid-latitude (30°–60° S), and southern high-latitude (60°–90° S). To calculate the gridded emission factors, biomass was divided into six types according to Andreae [24]: boreal forest (60°–90° N and 60°–90° S), temperate forest (30°–60° N and 30°–60° S), tropical forest (0°–30° N and 0°–30° S), grassland, shrubland, and cropland (Table 1).

2.3. Generating gridded emission factors

In this study, globally gridded BB emission factors were generated for CH₂Cl, CH₃Br, and CH₃I at a spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°. The emission factors of each grid were determined based on the corresponding biomass type [24]. Further, the biomass type of each grid was determined based on the grid’s land-use type and latitude. Thereafter, the emission factors of the grid were derived. By traversing the annual land-use type matrix and assigning the emission factor of each grid, the emission factor matrix for the current year can be generated.

Global land-use type data from 2003 to 2020 were obtained from the MODIS satellite-derived product, MCD12C1 (https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/archive/allData/6/MCD12C1, last accessed on March 25, 2022), which provides global annual gridded land-use type data at a spatial resolution of 0.05° × 0.05°. Of note, as data for 2021 are currently unavailable in this product, we assumed there was no significant change in 2021 compared to 2020 and used the 2020 land-use type data as a replacement for 2021. In the MCD12C1 product, the global land-use types were divided into 17 categories based on the International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification standard, as shown in Table 1. For land-use types without BB (e.g., urban and built-up lands), the emission factors were set to 0. For the land-use type with BB, the land-use type was found to correspond with the above six biomass types (see Table 1). The emission factors for each type of flammable biomass were derived from Andreae [24]. The emission factors corresponding to each land-use type are summarized in Table 1. Note that Andreae [24] did not distinguish emission factors by types of forests (evergreen needleleaf forests, evergreen broadleaf
forests, deciduous needleleaf forests, deciduous broadleaf forests, and mixed forests).

2.4. Calculating global top-down emissions with a one-box model

To estimate the changes in non-BB methyl halide emissions, a one-box model and global annual mean mole fractions of CH$_3$Cl and CH$_3$Br (note that there were no CH$_3$I observation data) from AGAGE (https://agage.mit.edu/, last accessed on June 20, 2022) were employed to calculate the top-down emissions of CH$_3$Cl and CH$_3$Br. The following formulas were for the one-box model [25].

$$\frac{dC_{ij}}{dt} = F_i \times E_{ij} - \frac{C_{ij}}{\tau_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Equation (2) can be transformed into equation (3):

$$E_{ij} = \frac{dC_{ij}}{dt} + \frac{C_i}{\tau_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $C_{ij}$ is the mole fraction (ppt; parts per trillion) of substance $i$ in year $j$, $E_{ij}$ is the annual emissions (kg yr$^{-1}$; kilogram per year) of substance $i$ in year $j$, $\tau_i$ is the lifetime (years) of substance $i$, and $F_i$ is a factor linking the emissions to the global mole fractions (ppt kg$^{-1}$).

$$F_i = \frac{N_A}{N_a} \frac{F_{surf}}{M_i} = 5.68 \times 10^{-9} \frac{F_{surf}}{M_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $M_i$ is the molecular weight (kg mol$^{-1}$) of the substance $i$, $N_A$ is the Avogadro number, $N_a$ is the number of global atmospheric molecules, and $F_{surf}$ is a factor that links the global mean surface mole fractions to the global mean atmospheric mixing ratios (1.07 is used in this study for methyl halides) [1].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Temporal and spatial variations of emissions

In this study, the global emissions and corresponding CFC-11-equivalent emissions of three methyl halides (CH$_3$Cl, CH$_3$Br, and CH$_3$I) from BB were estimated during 2003—2021. The corresponding CFC-11-equivalent emissions during 2003—2021. The global average annual BB emission of CH$_3$Cl was 249 Gg yr$^{-1}$ (3.7 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CFC-11-eq), while that of CH$_3$Br was 14 Gg yr$^{-1}$ (7.8 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CFC-11-eq), and that of CH$_3$I was 7.2 Gg yr$^{-1}$ (0.12 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CFC-11-eq). The global methyl halide emissions from BB displayed a downward trend in general ($p < 0.01$), from 302 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 16.5 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 8.9 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2003 to 220 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 11.7 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 6.1 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2021. Although the general trend of emissions displayed this declining trend, increases were recorded in individual years, such as 2009—2012 and 2013—2015 (Fig. 1), which reflects the randomness of BB to some extent. BB is affected by various factors, such as climatic conditions and human activities [26]. Drought and temperature changes caused by ENSO exacerbate the occurrence of global fires [7], resulting in increased CH$_3$Br emissions from BB during certain

### Table 1

| Land-use type                          | Biomass type            | CH$_3$Cl (g kg$^{-1}$) | CH$_3$Br (g kg$^{-1}$) | CH$_3$I (g kg$^{-1}$) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Water bodies                           | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Forests                                | Boreal Forest           | 0.06                   | 0.0029                 | 0.0004                 |
|                                        | Temperate forest        | 0.042                  | 0.0015                 | 0.0005                 |
|                                        | Tropical forest         | 0.029                  | 0.0078                 | 0.0068                 |
| Closed shrublands                      | Shrublands              | 0.063                  | 0.0027                 | 0.0007                 |
| Open shrublands                        | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Woody savannas                         | Grassland               | 0.063                  | 0.0027                 | 0.0007                 |
| Savannas                               | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Grasslands                             | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Permanent wetlands                     | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Croplands                              | Cropland                | 0.17                   | 0.0011                 | 0.0002                 |
| Cropland/natural vegetation mosaics    | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Urban and built-up Lands               | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Permanent snow and ice                 | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |
| Barren                                 | –                       | 0                      | 0                      | 0                      |

“-” indicates that the land-use type has no biomass covered.

Fig. 1. Time series of global BB methyl halide emissions and CFC-11-eq emissions during 2003—2021: a, CH$_3$Cl; b, CH$_3$Br; c, CH$_3$I.
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Fig. 1. Time series of global BB methyl halide emissions and CFC-11-eq emissions during 2003—2021: a, CH$_3$Cl; b, CH$_3$Br; c, CH$_3$I.
years. As shown in Fig. 2, the boreal frigid zone was identified as the only latitude zone whose BB methyl halide emissions generally increased ($p < 0.01$) during 2003–2021. As shown in Fig. 2f, the proportion of BB methyl halide emissions from the boreal frigid zone to the total global emissions increased to 14% by 2021. In particular, BB methyl halide emissions from the boreal frigid zone increased from $0.28 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CFC-11-eq}$ in 2015 to $1.4 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CFC-11-eq}$ in 2021. The boreal frigid zone has been warming twice as fast as the whole globe [27], which has led to the rapid green-up of vegetation in North America and Eurasia [28], providing more fuel for BB. The water content of combustible biomass significantly decreases with climate warming, which indicates that the possibility of wildfires increases in the boreal frigid zone [29].

Herein, the changes in the global spatial distribution and the top ten emitter countries of BB methyl halides were presented (Figs. 3 and 4). As shown in Fig. 3, global BB methyl halide emissions presented spatial heterogeneity, and some regions were identified as emission hotspots, including central South Africa, South America, northern Australia, southeastern Russia, northwestern Canada, and southeast Asia. From the perspective of latitude, the tropical zone ($0^\circ$–$30^\circ S$ and $0^\circ$–$30^\circ N$) contributed the largest emissions, with an average annual methyl halide emission of $192 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$, $12 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Br}$, and $5.9 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{I}$ during 2003–2021, followed by the temperate zone ($30^\circ$–$60^\circ S$ and $30^\circ$–$60^\circ N$), with an average annual methyl halide emission of $44 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$, $1.5 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Br}$, and $1.1 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{I}$. The boreal frigid zone ($60^\circ$–$90^\circ N$) contributed the smallest BB methyl halide emissions, with an average annual of $12 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Cl}$, $0.54 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{Br}$, and $0.14 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{I}$. Fig. 4 shows the top ten emission countries (ranked by average values of CFC-11-eq emissions during 2003–2005 and 2019–2021) and their corresponding continents. Although the BB methyl halide emissions of nearly all countries have decreased, Russia’s BB methyl halide emissions have increased from $1.08 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CFC-11-eq}$ between 2003 and 2005 to $1.18 \text{ Gg yr}^{-1} \text{CFC-11-eq}$ between 2019 and 2021. Russia also ranked first globally in terms of BB methyl halide emissions between 2019 and 2021.

### 3.2. Change in emissions by land-use type

The impact factors of global BB emissions are complex, and include fire weather, fuel availability, land-use change, and man-made ignition [29,30]. Global BB emissions increased during 1700–1900, peaked in the 1910s, and then began to decrease, and that land-use change is the main driver of the decrease in global BB emissions since the 1910s [31]. Herein, the global area during

---

**Fig. 2.** Time series of different latitude zones (tropical, temperate, and boreal frigid) for BB methyl halide emissions during 2003–2021: a, northern low-latitude; b, northern mid-latitude; c, northern high-latitude; d, southern low-latitude; e, southern mid-latitude; f, proportions of latitude zones (%).
2003–2020 was obtained from the MCD12C1 product of MODIS (https://ladsweb.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/archive/allData/6/MCD12C1/) and burned areas during 2003–2016 for the forest area, cropland area, grassland area, and shrubland area were obtained from the GFED4s database (https://www.geo.vu.nl/~gwerf/GFED/GFED4/, last accessed on July 14, 2022). As shown in Fig. 5, the global forest area decreased by 36 Mha (1 Mha = 10^6 ha = 10^4 km^2), and BB methyl halide emissions from forest decreased by 7.0 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Cl, 2.4 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Br, and 1.9 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)I. The global cropland, grassland, and shrubland areas increased by 23, 29, and 10 Mha, respectively, from 2003–2005 to 2019–2020. Agricultural expansion is the main driver of the decrease in the global forest area, especially tropical forests in Africa [32]. Agricultural expansion has led to a reduction in global BB [33]. Besides, as shown in Fig. 5, BB methyl halide emissions decreased by 13.2 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Cl, 0.09 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Br, and 0.02 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)I from cropland; 53.6 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Br, 2.3 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)I from grassland; and 0.03 Gg yr\(^{-1}\) CH\(_3\)Cl from shrubland (the decrease in CH\(_3\)Br and CH\(_3\)I emissions could be ignored). The increasing cropland, grassland, and shrubland areas did not increase the corresponding BB methyl halide emissions.

As shown in Fig. 6, the correlation (Pearson's \(r = 0.950\)) of BB methyl halide emissions from forest fires with emissions per unit burned forest area is stronger than the correlation (Pearson's \(r = 0.692\)) of BB methyl halide emissions from forest fires with the global burned forest area. Therefore, compared with the burned forest area, the combustion intensity per unit burned area plays a more important role in global forest fire emissions. To some extent, this conclusion is consistent with that of Zheng et al. [34], who reported that global forest fire emissions display a trend that differs from the trend of global burned area, indicating that burned area is not a dominant factor of forest fire emissions. Accordingly, other factors, such as combustion intensity per unit burned area, may have a greater influence on forest fire emissions. In contrast to forest fire emissions, BB methyl halide emissions from cropland, grassland, and shrubland fires might be more correlated with the global burned area of the corresponding biomass types (Fig. 6). The aboveground biomass loading per unit area of these three biomass

![Fig. 4](https://example.com/figure4.png) Changes in the top ten emitter countries of BB methyl halide emissions from 2003 to 2005 (a) to 2019–2021 (b). The different colors of the bars represent different continents that those countries are located in (e.g., the purple represents Africa).

![Fig. 5](https://example.com/figure5.png) Change in land-use areas from 2003–2005 to 2019–2020 (a) and changes in BB methyl halide emissions by land-use type from 2003–2005 to 2019–2021 (b, CH\(_3\)Cl; c, CH\(_3\)Br; d, CH\(_3\)I). The emissions and areas are presented as average values for 2003–2005 and 2019–2021 (or 2019–2020).

![Fig. 6](https://example.com/figure6.png) Correlations of BB methyl halide emissions with global burned area and emissions per unit burned area by land-use type from 2003 to 2016: a, cropland; b, forest; c, grassland; d, shrubland. The pink solid triangles and pink solid lines indicate the correlations of BB methyl halide emissions with global burned area. The green hollow circles and green dotted lines indicate the correlations of BB methyl halide emissions with emissions per unit burned area.
types was smaller than that of the forest. As a result, their combustion completeness was greater than that of forest fires. Overall, compared to the emissions per unit burned area, the global burned area of these three biomass types is a dominant factor in their BB methyl halide emissions.

3.3. Comparison with previous studies

As shown in Fig. 7, we compared the BB emissions of CH$_3$Cl, CH$_3$Br, and CH$_3$I obtained in this study with those obtained in other studies. Considering the different years of this study and previous studies [8,9,35], we linearly extrapolated BB methyl halide emissions during 2003–2021 to 1990–2002 (Fig. 7). The decreasing trend obtained by linear regression is consistent with the trend of global BB emissions [26,31], which verifies the reasonability of our linear extrapolation. As shown in Fig. 7, the extrapolated BB CH$_3$Cl emissions are 345 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 1990 and 336 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 1992, which are still significantly lower than the results of 910 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 1990 by Lobert et al. [35], 1100 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 1992 by Andrea et al. [8], and 900 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 1992 by Blake et al. [9]. These researchers used the emission ratio method to calculate global BB CH$_3$Cl emissions by extrapolating the emission ratios in a local fire of a single biomass type (such as a forest fire in Africa) to the globe. Under this extrapolation, the emission ratios of different biomass types and latitudes were set to be the same, enabling the extrapolation to introduce large biases or uncertainties. The emission ratios of different biomass types in different regions of the world vary significantly (Fig. 8). The CH$_3$Br/CO$_2$ emission ratio of tropical forests was almost five-fold higher than that of temperate forests. For example, previous studies [36,37] used the emission ratio method and estimated the BB CH$_3$Cl emissions 611 ± 38 and 515 (226–9044) Gg yr$^{-1}$ lower than those of studies used the same method [8,9,35]. The such finding indicates that the estimates were markedly affected by the emission ratio used in the study.

Our estimates of CH$_3$Br are consistent in magnitude with those of Nicewonger et al. [7], who estimated global annual BB CH$_3$Br emissions using dry matter burnt data from the GFED4s dataset and emission factors from Andreae [24]; however, few differences were found in the temporal trend (Pearson’s $r = 0.706$, Fig. S1). The decreasing trend in this study is more significant than that in the study by Nicewonger et al. [7], with linear regression slopes of −0.28 and −0.11 Gg yr$^{-1}$, respectively. The differences between this study and that of Nicewonger et al. [7] were derived from burned dry mass matter calculated using the two databases (GFED4s and GFASv1.2) and the emission factor matrix generated by the land cover product. To explore the key factor leading to the differences in this study and Nicewonger et al. [7], we calculated global BB CH$_3$Br emissions with DM from the GFED4s dataset and analyzed the correlations of BB CH$_3$Br emissions in this study (DM from GFASv1.2), this study (DM from GFED4s), and Nicewonger et al. [7] (DM from GFED4s). As
shown in Fig. S1, the correlation (Pearson’s $r$ value) between this study (DM from GFASv1.2) and this study (DM from GFED4s) is 0.687, which is weaker than that of 0.928 between this study (DM from GFED4s) and Nicewonger et al. [7] (DM from GFED4s). Therefore, burned dry mass matter calculated by GFASv1.2 and GFED4s datasets rather than the emission factors matrix is the key factor leading to the different emission estimates in this study (DM from GFASv1.2) and Nicewonger et al. [7]. Good correlations were found between BB methyl halide emissions in this study and BB CO$_2$, CO, CH$_4$, and total particulate matter (TPM) emissions in the GFED4s and GFASv1.2 databases (Table 2 and Fig. S2), aligning with the co-emission of these gases during BB.

Table 2

| Substance (database) | CH$_3$Cl (This study) | CH$_3$Br (This study) | CH$_3$I (This study) | $r$ | p value | $r$ | p value | $r$ | p value |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|---------|
| CO (GFAS1.3)         | 0.73                  | 0.003                 | 0.87                  | 0.000 | 0.86 | 0.000 |
| CO (GFED4)           | 0.54                  | 0.048                 | 0.79                  | 0.001 | 0.84 | 0.000 |
| CO$_2$ (GFAS1.3)     | 0.90                  | 0.000                 | 0.92                  | 0.000 | 0.82 | 0.000 |
| CO$_2$ (GFED4)       | 0.67                  | 0.009                 | 0.91                  | 0.000 | 0.92 | 0.000 |
| CH$_4$ (GFAS1.3)     | 0.77                  | 0.001                 | 0.95                  | 0.000 | 0.96 | 0.000 |
| CH$_4$ (GFED4)       | 0.31                  | 0.286                 | 0.58                  | 0.029 | 0.67 | 0.009 |
| TPM (GFAS1.3)        | 0.94                  | 0.000                 | 0.93                  | 0.000 | 0.85 | 0.000 |
| TPM (GFED4)          | 0.67                  | 0.009                 | 0.88                  | 0.000 | 0.90 | 0.000 |

3.4. Implications for global and regional budget

To explore the changes in the global methyl halide budget throughout the study period, the non-BB emissions were equal to global total emissions minus BB emissions. Due to that bottom-up estimates for the latest year are not available [2], and top-down estimates have been updated to the 2020 year using a one-box model (Table S2), we adopted top-down estimates for the global total methyl halide emissions. Our top-down estimate of CH$_3$Cl emissions is 5001 Gg yr$^{-1}$ on average during 2003–2020, which is close to 4715 Gg yr$^{-1}$ on average estimated using the stable carbon isotope ratios method by Keppler et al. [38]. To some extent, this can validate our top-down emission estimates using a one-box model. As shown in Fig. 9a, non-BB CH$_3$Cl emissions increased from 4749 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 2003 to 4882 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 2021. Therefore, more attention should be paid to non-BB sources of CH$_3$Cl, including known sources and potential new sources. Bahmann et al. [4] reported that CH$_3$Cl emissions from tropical plants were over-estimated by 1370 Gg yr$^{-1}$. Under these conditions, the global sink and source gap of CH$_3$Cl will be 2118 Gg yr$^{-1}$ instead of the value of 748 Gg yr$^{-1}$ reported by WMO [2]. Besides, a new CH$_3$I emission source was discussed by Jiao et al. [39], who found that the use of pesticides based on Cu$^{2+}$ resulted in CH$_3$I emissions via an abiotic pathway from soil and seawater. Re-evaluating emissions from known sources and finding new sources are thus necessary to improve our understanding of the global CH$_3$Cl budget.

On a regional scale, our BB CH$_3$Br emission estimation is helpful for reducing the gap between top-down and bottom-up estimates. Choi et al. [40] reported a nearly 2.9 Gg yr$^{-1}$ emissions gap between top-down emissions and bottom-up emissions in China. Furthermore, these researchers calculated 1.5 Gg yr$^{-1}$ of unreported emissions, including rapeseed, agricultural residue burning, and agricultural harvest treatments, leaving 1.4 Gg yr$^{-1}$ to be fully attributed to China’s illegal emissions. In addition to agricultural residue burning, forest burning, grassland burning, and shrubland burning are BB sources of CH$_3$Br [24]. Herein, the CH$_3$Br emission of all BB types in China was 0.15 Gg yr$^{-1}$ (average for 2008–2019), which is 0.08 Gg yr$^{-1}$ higher than the value of 0.07 Gg yr$^{-1}$ reported by Choi et al. [40] (only agricultural residue burning emissions). Therefore, if emissions from these three BB types are added, the gap between the top-down and bottom-up estimates is less than 1.4 Gg yr$^{-1}$.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we estimated the global BB emissions of CH$_3$Cl, CH$_3$Br, and CH$_3$I during 2003–2021 based on satellite-derived burnt dry matter data from the GFASv1.2 database. The global BB methyl halide emissions generally declined from 302 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 16.5 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 8.9 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2003 to 220 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 11.7 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 6.1 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2021. The boreal frigid zone was the only latitude zone whose BB methyl halide emissions increased (from 11.0 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 0.47 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 0.12 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2003 to 34.4 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Cl, 1.48 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$Br, and 0.37 Gg yr$^{-1}$ CH$_3$I in 2021). The global spatial distribution of BB methyl halide emissions revealed obvious spatial heterogeneity. Central South Africa, South America, northern Australia, southeastern Russia, northwestern Canada, and Southeast Asia were the six emission hotspots. BB methyl halide emissions from all four biomass types declined from 2003 to 2021. Furthermore, for cropland, grassland, and shrubland, the global burned area may be the dominant driver (Pearson’s $r$ values are 0.856, 0.622, and 0.966, respectively) of their BB methyl halide emissions; however, the emissions per unit burned area may be the main driver (Pearson’s $r$ value is 0.950) of BB methyl halide emissions in forests. Overall, this study improves the current understanding of the temporal variation and spatial distribution of global BB methyl halide emissions. Further, non-BB emissions of CH$_3$Cl were found to increase from 4749 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 2003 to 4882 Gg yr$^{-1}$ in 2021, implying that further research should focus on non-BB emission studies, and include a search for new emission sources and better estimates of known sources.
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