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Abstract

Approximations to the many-fermion free energy density functional that include the Thomas-Fermi (TF) form for the non-interacting part lead to singular densities for singular external potentials (e.g. attractive Coulomb). This limitation of the TF approximation is addressed here by a formal map of the exact Euler equation for the density onto an equivalent TF form characterized by a modified Kohn-Sham potential. It is shown to be a “regularized” version of the Kohn-Sham potential, tempered by convolution with a finite-temperature response function. The resulting density is non-singular, with the equilibrium properties obtained from the total free energy functional evaluated at this density. This new representation is formally exact. Approximate expressions for the regularized potential are given to leading order in a non-locality parameter and the limiting behavior at high and low temperatures is described. The non-interacting part of the free energy in this approximation is the usual Thomas-Fermi functional. These results generalize and extend to finite temperatures the ground-state regularization by Parr and Ghosh (Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 83, 3577 (1986)) and by Pratt, Hoffman, and Harris (J. Chem. Phys. 92, 1818 (1988)) and formally systematize the finite-temperature regularization given by the latter authors.
I. INTRODUCTION

Interest in orbital-free density functional theory (OFDFT) has revived recently, driven by the unfavorable (polynomial in the number of states) cost scaling of conventional Kohn-Sham (KS) calculations used to drive \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics simulations of ion-population thermodynamics. High temperatures (compared to ambient), inherent to warm dense matter simulations, worsen the cost problem. Details are irrelevant here; discussion with extensive references can be found in Ref. [1]. Efforts to improve approximate OFDFT functionals inexorably involve consideration of known, well-characterized approximations. Of those, the Thomas-Fermi (TF) model [2, 3] is, without doubt, the most thoroughly studied in both physics and mathematics [4–9].

Improper limiting behavior of the electron number density \(n_{TF}(r)\) is among the defects of the TF scheme when applied to atoms. At \(T = 0\) K, for an atom of nuclear charge \(Z\), elementary TF (no exchange or correlation) gives

\[
n_{TF}(r) = \left\{ \left( \frac{3}{5c_{TF}} \right) \left[ \mu + \frac{Ze^2}{r} - v_H(r) \right] \right\}^{3/2}, \tag{1}
\]

with \(\mu\) the chemical potential, \(v_H(r)\) the Hartree potential,

\[
c_{TF} = \frac{3}{10m} \left( \frac{3h^3}{8\pi} \right)^{2/3}, \tag{2}
\]

\(m\) the electron mass, and \(e\) the electron charge magnitude. It is seen that \(n_{TF}(r) \sim r^{-3/2}\) as \(r \to 0\). In contrast, the correct behavior at the origin is non-singular [10–14],

\[
n(r) \sim (1 - 2Zr/a_0) + O(r^2), \tag{3}
\]

with \(a_0 = h^2/\pi me^2\) the Bohr radius. This difficulty extends to current problems of interest for systems of electrons and positive ions comprising warm dense matter.

Attention to this difficulty dates at least to the Scott correction [15], which Schwinger [16] rationalized by replacing the near-nucleus TF density with a Bohr-atom-like density grafted smoothly to \(n_{TF}\) in the exterior region. More recent work falls into two groups, schemes that modify the non-interacting energy or free energy with additive corrections to the TF result, and those that modify the Euler equation for the density with additive corrections to the TF Euler equation. In the former group are those approaches based on linear combinations of TF and von Weizsäcker [17] free energy functionals, e.g. Ref. [18], or ad hoc constraints
on the density, e.g. Ref. [19–22]. Phenomenological modifications of the Euler equation have been explored extensively in Ref. [23] by imposition of known exact limits. It is argued that the Euler equation is a more appropriate point at which to introduce approximations, rather than directly for the non-interacting energy or free energy functional. However, Euler equation methods determine only the density and a subsequent reconstruction of the corresponding non-interacting free energy is required for the thermodynamics.

Here we start with a formal solution to the exact Euler equation (including exchange and correlation) and write it in a TF representation by introduction of an effective potential. This is the approach of Ref. [24] introduced at zero temperature and extended to finite temperatures in Ref. [25]. Exact expressions for both the density and non-interacting free energy are given in TF representations. The density is given by the usual TF form in terms of the Kohn-Sham (KS) potential renormalized by the ideal gas non-linear response function. The relationship to other recent work at finite temperatures is discussed. The simplest approximation to these representations is shown to remove the problem of singular densities for singular potentials. The work is primarily an elaboration and completion of the ideas in Ref. [25].

II. THOMAS-FERMI AND THE PROBLEM FOR SINGULAR POTENTIALS

The essential element of finite-T DFT for electrons is a free energy functional $F(\beta \mid \cdot)$ that becomes the thermodynamic free energy when evaluated at the equilibrium density $n(r)$ [26, 27]. That density is determined from solution to the Euler equation

$$\frac{\delta F(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)} = \mu(r), \quad (4)$$

with $\mu_e$ the chemical potential, $\mu(r) \equiv \mu_e - v(r)$ the local chemical potential, and $v(r)$ the given external single-particle potential. The additional constraints of positivity, $n(r) \geq 0$, and total number of electrons $\int d^3r n(r) = N(\mu_e)$, are left implicit for the moment. The KS approach separates the free energy functional into its non-interacting functional $F^{(0)}(\beta \mid \cdot)$ and the remainder $F^{(1)}(\beta \mid \cdot)$. Here the specific form for $F^{(1)}(\beta \mid \cdot)$ is not required (nor is the typical further separation of it into a Hartree mean-field contribution and the rest, the exchange-correlation free energy). Equation (4) thus can be written equivalently as

$$\frac{\delta F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)} = \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n), \quad (5)$$
with \( \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \) identified as

\[
\mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) = \mu(r) - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)}.
\]  

If the chemical potential is extracted from \( \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \), the remainder is known as the (negative) KS potential

\[
\mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) = \mu_e - v_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n), \quad v_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \equiv v(r) + \frac{\delta F^{(1)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)}.
\]  

As recognized by Kohn and Sham \( \cite{28} \), (5) can be interpreted as the thermodynamic derivative for a non-interacting system in the presence of a local chemical potential \( \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \). The corresponding density is therefore (see Appendix A for the definitions of the exact quantum representations for the non-interacting system)

\[
n(r) = \langle r \mid e^{\left(\beta\left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu_{KS}(\hat{q},\beta \mid n)\right)\right)} + 1 \rangle^{-1} \mid r \rangle
\equiv n(r,\beta \mid \mu_{KS}),
\]  

where \( \langle r \mid \hat{X} \mid r \rangle \) denotes a single-particle matrix element in coordinate representation. In general, a caret over a symbol denotes it as a single-particle operator rather than one of its eigenvalues. The notation in (8) makes the dependence of the density upon the external potential explicit, an explicitness that will be useful in what follows. Evidently the condition \( n(r) \geq 0 \) is satisfied, and the condition \( \int drr(r) = N(\mu_e) \) is enforceable by the choice for \( \mu_e \) whenever solutions to (8) exist. Equation (8) is entirely equivalent to the Euler equation (5) for the determination of the density.

Of course, the exact “solution” (8) is only implicit since \( \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \) is a functional of the density through its dependence on \( F^{(1)} \). Furthermore, since \( \mu_{KS}(\hat{q},\beta \mid n) \) appears as a function of the coordinate operator \( \hat{q} \), the right side of (8) is a non-local functional of the density, beyond the dependence intrinsic to \( F^{(1)}(\beta \mid n) \) (because of the non-commuting operators \( \hat{p} \) and \( \hat{q} \)). A local approximation, wherein \( \mu_{KS}(\hat{q},\beta \mid n) \) is replaced by \( \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n) \) with \( r \) the same point as occurs on the left side of (8), leads to the familiar Thomas-Fermi approximation (now with full exchange and correlation).

\[
n_{TF}(\beta,\mu_{KS}(r)) = \frac{2}{\hbar^3} \int d\mathbf{p} \left( e^{\left(\beta\left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu_{KS}(r,\beta \mid n)\right)\right)} + 1 \right)^{-1}.
\]  
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From this expression it is clear that \( \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n) \) has the same relationship to the density as for the uniform ideal Fermi gas chemical potential \( \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n) \). Thus, an equivalent form for the Euler equation in the conventional TF approximation is

\[
\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n_{TF}(r)) \approx \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n_{TF}). \tag{10}
\]

The corresponding TF non-interacting functional \( F_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \) is (see Appendix A)

\[
F_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) = \int d{\mathbf{r}} f_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)), \tag{11}
\]

\[
f_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) \equiv -\frac{2}{\beta \hbar^3} \int d{\mathbf{p}} \ln \left(1 + e^{-\beta (\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)))}\right) + \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) n(r). \tag{12}
\]

The approximate Euler equation obtained from (5) by the replacement \( F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \rightarrow F_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \) is

\[
\frac{\delta F_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)} = \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n). \tag{13}
\]

It is easily checked that evaluation of the left side in (13) gives back (10) (as an equality). The explicit equations (9) or (10) for the density, and the corresponding expression for \( F_{TF}^{(0)} \) are the simplest example of an “orbital free” DFT (assuming that \( F^{(1)}(\beta \mid n) \) is also given in orbital free form).

Consider an attractive external potential which is singular at \( r = 0 \) e.g., the electron-ion Coulomb interaction. Then \( \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n) \rightarrow \infty \) as \( r \rightarrow 0 \). In turn, that implies \( n(r) \rightarrow \infty \) as \( r \rightarrow 0 \) (further details are provided in Appendix B). This unphysical consequence of the local approximation is precisely the singularity discussed in the Introduction, recovered here in the \( T \geq 0 \) K context. The representations of the next sections provide a natural removal of that singularity.

III. FORMALLY EXACT THOMAS-FERMI-TYPE REPRESENTATIONS.

In this section Thomas-Fermi-type representations are defined for the solution to the Euler equation (8) giving the equilibrium density \( n(r) \), and for the non-interacting free energy \( F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \) evaluated at that density. The results are exact but formal. Simple practical approximations are provided in the subsequent sections.
A. Representation for $n(r)$

A motivation for the following discussion is provided by extracting the TF free energy explicitly from $F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)$

$$F^{(0)} = F^{(0)}_{TF} + \Delta F^{(0)}. \quad (14)$$

Since the TF free energy results from a “local density approximation”, $\Delta F^{(0)}$ represents the non-local contribution to $F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)$. Then, we can write the exact Euler equation (5) in the equivalent form

$$\frac{\delta F^{(0)}_{TF}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)} = \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n) - \frac{\delta \Delta F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)}. \quad (15)$$

Note the distinction with the conventional TF approximation, equation (13). One thus sees that the exact solution to the Euler equation can be given the TF form, but with an effective external potential

$$n(r) = n_{TF}(\beta, z(r)) = \frac{2}{\hbar^3} \int dp \left( e^{\left(\beta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - z(r)\right)\right)} + 1 \right)^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

The effective potential $z(r)$ is identified as

$$z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) = \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n) - \frac{\delta \Delta F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n)}{\delta n(r)}. \quad (17)$$

A more constructive identification is obtained from the equality of (8) and (16)

$$\langle r \mid e^{\left(\beta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n)\right)\right)} + 1 \rangle^{-1} \equiv \frac{2}{\hbar^3} \int dp \left( e^{\left(\beta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} - z(r)\right)\right)} + 1 \right)^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

Since (16) has the same form as for the uniform ideal Fermi gas, $z(r)$ has the same relationship to the density

$$\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) = z(r), \quad (19)$$

similar to the TF result (10) except with $\mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n)$ replaced by $z(r)$. More explicitly, this relationship is determined from the dimensionless form

$$\lambda^3 n(r) = 2f_{3/2}(e^{\beta \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r))}), \quad (20)$$

where $\lambda = (2\pi\beta\hbar^2/m)^{1/2}$ is the thermal de Broglie wavelength and $f_{3/2}(x)$ is the Fermi integral

$$f_{3/2}(x) = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dy y^2 \left( x^{-1} e^{y^2} + 1 \right)^{-1}. \quad (21)$$
Accurate analytic fits to \( f_{3/2}(x) \) and its inverse are available \[30\].

More significantly, \[18\] defines \( z(r) \equiv z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) as a functional of \( \mu_{KS}(r) \). Construction of such a \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \), hence demonstration of its existence, is the heart of the present problem. If \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) can be determined to arbitrarily accurate approximation, its equality with \( \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) \) then provides an explicit equation to determine \( n(r) \) for a given \( \mu_{KS}(r) \),

\[
\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) = z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}),
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
n(r) = \frac{2}{\hbar^3} \int dp \left( e^{\left( \beta \left( \frac{p^2}{2m} - z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \right) \right)} + 1 \right)^{-1}.
\]

This is similar to the TF result \(8\), but now \(23\) is a formally exact TF-type representation of the Euler equation through the definition of \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \).

To illustrate a simple approximation to \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) and its consequences, return to \(17\) and retain the leading contributions to non-uniformity in \( \Delta F^{(0)} \) \[31\]

\[
z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \rightarrow \mu_{KS}(r, \beta \mid n) + \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_e} \left[ \frac{\nabla n(r)^2}{n^2(r)} - 2 \frac{\nabla^2 n(r)}{n(r)} \right].
\]

It can be shown that the term proportional to \( \nabla^2 n(r) \) leads to non-singular solutions with this \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) in \(22\) or \(23\) for the case of an external positive ion. Hence even the leading corrections to the local density approximation can cure the problem with singular potentials.

Of course, determination of \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) more generally is as difficult as the original problem of \(8\), namely, to determine \( n(r) \equiv n(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \). However, the expectation here is that simple systematic approximations to \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) directly from \(14\) will give significantly better approximations for \( n(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) than from conventional TF theory (neglect of \(\Delta F^{(0)}\) or phenomenological approximations to it). For this purpose an appropriate exact representation for \( z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \) in terms of the non-linear response function for the ideal Fermi gas is obtained in Appendix C with the result

\[
z(r, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) = \int dr' G(r, r', \beta \mid z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \mu_{KS}(r').
\]

Here \( G(r, r', \beta \mid z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \) is constructed from the ideal Fermi gas response functional for local chemical potential \( x(r) \)

\[
R(r, r', \beta \mid x) = \frac{\delta n(r, \beta \mid x)}{\delta x(r')}.
\]
such that \( G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta \mid z, \mu_{KS}) \) is the integral of this response function along a linear path in function space from \( z(\mathbf{r}) \) to \( \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \)

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta \mid z, \mu_{KS}) = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} dx R(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta \mid z + x\Delta\mu_{KS})}{\int_{0}^{1} dx R(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta \mid z + x\Delta\mu_{KS})}.
\]

(27)

Clearly, \( G \) is normalized to unity in the sense

\[
\int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta \mid z, \Delta\mu_{KS}) = 1.
\]

(28)

Finally, \( \Delta\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \) is the difference between \( \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \) at all points \( \mathbf{r}' \) and \( z(\mathbf{r}) \) at the chosen point \( \mathbf{r} \)

\[
\Delta\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \equiv \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') - z(\mathbf{r}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{r}'
\]

(29)

B. Representation for \( F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \)

Once the equilibrium density has been found it can be used in the given functional \( F^{(1)}(\beta \mid n) \) to get the interacting part of the thermodynamic free energy. However, the non-interacting contribution \( F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \) remains unknown to this point. One possibility would be to construct it exactly from (see Appendix B)

\[
F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ -\beta^{-1} \langle \mathbf{r} \mid \ln \left( 1 + e^{-\beta \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid n)\hat{F} \hat{F}^{\dagger})} \right) \right] |\mathbf{r} \rangle + \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid n)n(\mathbf{r}) \right],
\]

(30)

in terms of the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the KS Hamiltonian \( \hat{p}^2/2m + v_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid n) \). This is a straightforward calculation once \( n \) has been determined.

However, in the context of an approximate evaluation of \( z(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \), it is not clear that the approximate density from the corresponding form of (16) will be the same as that constructed from the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the KS Hamiltonian. An alternative approach is to construct the grand potential, \( \Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu_{KS}) \), from its functional derivative (see Appendix C)

\[
\frac{\delta\Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu_{KS})}{\delta\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r})} = -n(\mathbf{r}) = -n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid \mu_{KS})),
\]

(31)

and then to determine \( F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \) from the Legendre transform

\[
F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n) \equiv \Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu_{KS}) - \int d\mathbf{r}\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta\Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu_{KS})}{\delta\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r})} \]

\[
= \Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu_{KS}) + \int d\mathbf{r}\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r})n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta \mid \mu_{KS})).
\]

(32)

(33)
The last equalities of (31) and (33) have made use of the exact TF representation for the density (16). In this way, a TF-like representation for \( F(0)(\beta | n) \) also is obtained in Appendix C, with the results

\[
F(0)(\beta | n) = F_{TF}(\beta | n) + \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' d\mathbf{r}'' h(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}'', \beta | z, \mu_{KS}) \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}''), \tag{34}
\]

where \( h(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}'', \beta | \mu_{KS}) \) is a second-order response function given by eq. (C12) of Appendix C. Also, \( F_{TF}(\beta | n) \) is the usual TF free energy, (11), now evaluated at the exact density \( n \). The definition of \( \Delta \mu_{KS} \) is the same as in (29).

Equations (23) and (34) with (25) are the primary exact reformulations of DFT thermodynamics in a language most similar to the approximate TF results. The essential required input is the functional \( z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \), representing non-local corrections to \( \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | n) \) in the usual TF approximation. The next section shows that the simplest such correction removes the singular density problem of TF.

IV. APPROXIMATION

The foregoing formal analysis is expressed in terms of \( \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') \). It can be written as

\[
\Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') = \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') - z(\mathbf{r}) = - \int d\mathbf{r}'' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'', \beta | \mu_{KS}) (\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}'') - \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}')), \tag{35}
\]

which is seen to vanish for uniform systems. More generally, in this section it is considered to be small so as to obtain leading order approximations for \( z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \) and \( F(0)(\beta | n) \),

\[
z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \to \int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | z, \mu_{KS} = 0) \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}'), \tag{36}
\]

\[
F(0)(\beta | n) \to F_{TF}(\beta | n). \tag{37}
\]

Note that this is not the same as a gradient expansion since all higher order derivatives are retained in (36). Also, the density in (36) is determined from (16) using (36) so \( F_{TF}(\beta | n) \) differs from \( F_{TF}(\beta | n_{TF}) \) by all higher order derivatives as well.

At this leading order approximation

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | z, \mu_{KS} = 0) = G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' | \beta, n(\mathbf{r})) = \frac{R(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, \beta, z(\mathbf{r}))}{\int d\mathbf{r}'' R(\mathbf{r}'', \beta, z(\mathbf{r}))} \tag{38}
\]

where \( R(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, \beta, z(\mathbf{r})) \) is the response function for the homogeneous ideal Fermi gas as a function of \( z(\mathbf{r}) \),

\[
R(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, \beta, z(\mathbf{r})) = \left. \frac{\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \beta | x)}{\delta x(\mathbf{r}')} \right|_{x=z(\mathbf{r})}. \tag{39}
\]
Furthermore, from (19), $z(r)$ can be replaced by the known function of the density $\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r))$,

$$G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r)) = \frac{R(|r - r'|, \beta, \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)))}{\int dr'' R(r'', \beta, \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)))}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

This local linear response approximation for $z(r)$ gives the desired practical forms

$$z(r, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \rightarrow \int dr' G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r)) \mu_{KS}(r', \beta | n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

The corresponding equilibrium density is the solution to a TF form, except with a renormalized KS potential

$$n(r) = \frac{2}{\hbar^3} \int dp \left( e^{\left(\frac{\beta^2}{2m} + v_{KS}(r, \beta | n) - \mu_e\right)} + 1 \right)^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

$$\tilde{v}_{KS}(r, \beta | n) = \int dr' G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r)) v_{KS}(r', \beta | n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

The non-interacting TF free energy still is given by (11) and (12)

$$F^{(0)}_{TF}(\beta | n) = \int dr \left( -\frac{2}{\beta \hbar^3} \int dp \ln \left( 1 + e^{-\beta(\frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)))} \right) + \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r)) n(r) \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

with now the density determined from (42) instead of (9). Equations (42) - (44) comprise the simplest practical application of the present analysis.

The function $G(r, \beta, n(r))$ is calculated in Appendix C with the result

$$G(r, \beta, n) = \frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x p(x, \beta, \mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r))) \sin \left( x \frac{4 \sqrt{\pi} r}{\lambda} \right)}{x},$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

$$p(x, \beta, \mu^{(0)}) \equiv \frac{(e^{-\beta \mu^{(0)} x^2} + 1)^{-1}}{\int_0^\infty dx \left( e^{-\beta \mu^{(0)} x^2} + 1 \right)^{-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)

Note that all of the density dependence of $G(r, \beta, n)$ occurs through $\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(r))$. The non-degenerate limit of $G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r))$ occurs at high temperatures or low densities for which $\lambda^3 n \ll 1$

$$G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r)) \rightarrow \frac{2 \sqrt{\pi}}{\lambda^3} \frac{\lambda}{|r - r'|} e^{-4\pi(|r - r'|/\lambda)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

In this limit, $G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r))$ becomes independent of the density.

In the opposite limit, $T = 0$ K, $G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r))$ becomes

$$G(|r - r'|, \beta, n) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\ell_F^3} \left( \frac{\ell_F}{|r - r'|} \right)^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{4 \pi |r - r'|}{\ell_F},$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)
where $\ell_F$ is the density-dependent Fermi length and $j_1(x)$ is a spherical Bessel function

$$ \ell_F = \frac{2\pi}{k_F}, \quad k_F = \frac{2\pi}{(3n)\frac{1}{8\pi}}^{1/3}, \quad j_1(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} (\sin x - x \cos x). \quad (49) $$

Use has been made of the fact that $\mu^{(0)}(0) \rightarrow \hbar^2 k_F^2/2m$ at zero temperature.

In summary, the approximations introduced in this section lead to the TF form for the density except with a renormalized KS potential. That potential is “smoothed” over a length scale that depends on the temperature or degree of degeneracy. In this same approximation, zeroth order in $\Delta \mu_{KS}$, the non-interacting free energy of (34) becomes the TF result, except evaluated at the improved density.

V. SINGULAR EXTERNAL POTENTIALS

To see how the revised Thomas-Fermi form (42) removes the problem of the local form (9) for singular attractive potentials, consider the external potential from $N_i$ positive ions with charges $Z_\alpha$ and positions $\{R_\alpha\}$,

$$ v(r) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \frac{-Z_\alpha e^2}{|r - R_\alpha|}. \quad (50) $$

Obviously singular at the ionic sites, $\{R_\alpha\}$, its contribution to the renormalized KS potential (43) is

$$ \tilde{v}(r, \beta | n) = \int dr' G(|r - r'|, \beta, n(r)) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \frac{Z_\alpha e^2}{|r' - R_\alpha|} $$

$$ = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} Z_\alpha e^2 \int_0^\infty dr' r'^2 G(r', \beta, n(r)) \int d\Omega' \frac{1}{|r - R_\alpha - r'|}. \quad (51) $$

The angular integral can be performed to get

$$ \tilde{v}(r, \beta | n) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \frac{Z_\alpha e^2}{|r - R_\alpha|} S(|r - R_\alpha|, n(r)), \quad (52) $$

where

$$ S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n(r)) = 4\pi \left( \int_0^{r - R_\alpha} dr' r'^2 G(r', \beta, n(r)) + |r - R_\alpha| \int_{|r - R_\alpha|}^\infty dr' r' G(r', \beta, n(r)) \right) $$
\[ \frac{|r - R_\alpha|}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty dx p(x, \beta, \mu(0)(\beta, n(r))) \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda}{|r - R_\alpha|} \left( 1 - \cos \left( \frac{4x\sqrt{\pi}}{|r - R_\alpha|} \right) \right) \right) \right. \\
+ 4x\sqrt{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} - \text{Si} \left( \frac{4x\sqrt{\pi}}{|r - R_\alpha|} \right) \right) \right] \]  

(53)

and \( \text{Si}(x) \) is the Sine integral

\[ \text{Si}(x) = \int_0^x dx' \frac{\sin x'}{x'} . \]  

(54)

Note also that in (53) \( x \) is an integration variable, not a Cartesian component of \( r \).

For \( |r - R_\alpha| >> \lambda \), \( S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n) \to 1 \) and the Coulomb form for the potentials is recovered. However, for \( |r - R_\alpha| << \lambda \), one has

\[ S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n) \to 2\pi^{3/2} \frac{|r - R_\alpha|}{\lambda} \int_0^\infty dx xp(x, z) , \]  

(55)

and the Coulomb singularity is removed. At zero temperature, the length scale \( \lambda \) no longer is relevant and (53) becomes instead

\[ S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n) |_{\beta=\infty} = 1 - \frac{\ell_F}{4\pi |r - R_\alpha|} \sin \left( \frac{4\pi |r - R_\alpha|}{\ell_F} \right) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{4\pi |r - R_\alpha|}{\ell_F} \left( j_1 \left( \frac{4\pi |r - R_\alpha|}{\ell_F} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \pi - \text{Si} \left( \frac{4\pi |r - R_\alpha|}{\ell_F} \right) \right) . \]  

(56)

One sees that \( S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n(r)) |_{\beta=\infty} \to 1 \) for distances large compared to the Fermi length, and near \( |r - R_\alpha| = 0 \) behaves as

\[ S(|r - R_\alpha|, \beta, n) |_{\beta=\infty} \to \frac{|r - R_\alpha|}{\ell_F} . \]  

(57)

The singularity again is removed.

VI. PARR-GHOSH TF REGULARIZATION

As noted in the Introduction, the problem of singular densities within the TF approximation was addressed some time ago within zero temperature DFT. The resolution given then is somewhat different from that given here. Our analysis essentially extends the local TF approximation for the density to include non-local effects necessary to smooth the singularity. The earlier work of Parr and Ghosh \cite{19,20} addressed the problem instead within the context of the standard TF functionals, but constrained the class of densities to be considered. That type of analysis can be extended to finite temperatures also, as illustrated in the following.
Return to the solution to the Euler equation in the TF approximation (13)

\[
\frac{\delta F_{TF}^{(0)}[n]}{\delta n(r)} = \mu(r) - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}[n]}{\delta n(r)}.
\]

(58)

Consider again the case of an external potential due to \(N_i\) ions, (50). Then, in addition to the constraints of \(n(r) \geq 0\) and total number of electrons \(\int d\mathbf{r} n(r) = N(\mu_e)\), include the additional constraints (19, 32)

\[
\int d\mathbf{r} |\nabla_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha} n(r)|^2 = \text{finite}.
\]

(59)

This can be accomplished by introducing a corresponding term in the free energy,

\[
F[n] \rightarrow F[n] - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \lambda_{\alpha} \int d\mathbf{r} |\nabla_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha} n(r)|^2.
\]

(60)

The Euler equation then becomes

\[
\frac{\delta F_{TF}^{(0)}[n]}{\delta n(r)} = \mu_e + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \lambda_{\alpha} \nabla_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha} n(r) \right) - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}[n]}{\delta n(r)}
\]

\[
= \mu_e + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2 - 2\lambda_{\alpha} \partial_{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} n(r)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \lambda_{\alpha} \partial^2_{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} n(r) \right) - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}[n]}{\delta n(r)}.
\]

(61)

In the vicinity of \(\mathbf{R}_\alpha\), assume that \(n(r)\) depends only on the relative radial coordinate, i.e. \(n(r) \rightarrow \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha)\). Then, as \(\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_\alpha\)

\[
\frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \lambda_{\alpha} \nabla_{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha} n(r) \rightarrow \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2 - 2\lambda_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \lambda_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}'',
\]

(62)

where \(\pi_{\alpha}\) and \(\pi_{\alpha}''\) are the first and second derivatives of \(\pi_{\alpha}(x)\). The singularity is therefore removed by the choice

\[
\lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2}{2\pi_{\alpha}''}.
\]

(63)

This is only implicit since \(\pi_{\alpha}'\) is not known \textit{a priori}. Hence the non-singular Euler equation,

\[
\frac{\delta F_{TF}^{(0)}[n]}{\delta n(r)} = \mu_e + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{Z_{\alpha} e^2 - 2\lambda_{\alpha} \partial_{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} n(r)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} + \lambda_{\alpha} \partial^2_{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_\alpha|} n(r) \right) - \frac{\delta F^{(1)}[n]}{\delta n(r)},
\]

(64)

must be solved self-consistently with (63).
VII. DISCUSSION

Consideration of the relationship of our approach to that of Parr and Ghosh illustrates the difference between revising the TF scheme as we have done and amending (or repairing) it. The comparison proceeds as follows. The Euler equation of Section III in the form (22) is

\[
\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(\mathbf{r})) = \mu_e - v_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | n) - \int d\mathbf{r}' G(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, \beta, n(\mathbf{r})) [v_{KS}(\mathbf{r}', \beta | n) - v_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | n)],
\]

while the corresponding Parr-Ghosh type equation (64) is

\[
\mu^{(0)}(\beta, n(\mathbf{r})) = \mu_e - v_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | n) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_i} \lambda_\alpha \nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_\alpha} n(\mathbf{r}).
\]

Both provide non-local corrections to the local TF Euler equation to remove the singularity. The Parr-Ghosh form involves terms through second order in the gradients while the approach here is fully non-local. Both require changes in the TF free energy functional. The Parr-Ghosh form is an additive contribution from the Lagrange multiplier, while here the modification is via global reweighting through \( G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | u) \).

For at least two reasons, the present approach seems more systematic and general than previous ones. First, it does not involve \textit{ad hoc} choices of constraints or imposition of repairs. Second, it is valid at all temperatures. As noted in the introduction, the approach here is a formalization and extension of the idea proposed by Harris, Hoffman, and Pratt \[24, 25\]. In fact, eqs. (10) and (11) of Ref. \[25\] are effectively the same as the simple approximation of section IV here for the density.

In retrospect it is interesting to reflect on the TF limit itself. That corresponds to the choice \( z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) \). However, the exact result (25) gives for this choice

\[
\int d\mathbf{r}' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) (\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') - \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r})) = 0,
\]

which implies that the system must be uniform over length scales of the response function.
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Appendix A: Non-interacting functionals

The starting point for the non-interacting functionals in statistical mechanics is the grand potential $\Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu)$ for the grand ensemble. Its evaluation leads to the single particle form

$$
\Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu) = -\int d\mathbf{r} \beta^{-1} \langle \mathbf{r} \mid \ln \left( 1 + e^{-\beta \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} - \mu(\mathbf{r}) \right)} \right) \rangle |\mathbf{r}\rangle \tag{A1}
$$

where $\langle \mathbf{r} | \hat{X} | \mathbf{r} \rangle$ denotes the diagonal matrix element of the operator $\hat{X}$ in coordinate representation. The local chemical potential is given by $\mu(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mu_e - v(\mathbf{r})$, where $v(\mathbf{r})$ is the given external potential. The conjugate thermodynamic variable is the density, defined by

$$
\frac{\delta \Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu)}{\delta \mu(\mathbf{r})} = -n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = -\langle \mathbf{r} \mid e^{\beta \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} - \mu(\mathbf{r}) \right)} + 1 \rangle^{-1} |\mathbf{r}\rangle, \tag{A2}
$$

which follows by direct calculation. The non-interacting free energy is defined by the Legendre transform

$$
F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n^{(0)}) \equiv \Omega^{(0)}(\beta \mid \mu) + \int d\mathbf{r} \mu(\mathbf{r}) n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})
= \int d\mathbf{r} \left[ -\beta^{-1} \langle \mathbf{r} \mid \ln \left( 1 + e^{-\beta \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} - \mu(\mathbf{r}) \right)} \right) \rangle |\mathbf{r}\rangle + \mu(\mathbf{r}) n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \right]. \tag{A3}
$$

This definition gives the relation

$$
\frac{\delta F^{(0)}(\beta \mid n^{(0)})}{\delta n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})} = \mu(\mathbf{r}). \tag{A4}
$$

These equations give the non-interacting free energy as a functional of $n^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$, the density following from $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ for the non-interacting system. However, in the main text that free energy functional is required at the density $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})$ for the interacting system. That requirement is met by replacing $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ by the Kohn-Sham local chemical potential $\mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r})$ given in Eq. (7) in these non-interacting functional expressions.

Appendix B: Singularity of density

The dimensionless form of Eq. (9) written in terms of standard Fermi-Dirac integrals is

$$
\lambda^3 n_{TF}(\mathbf{r}) = 2f_{3/2}(e^{\beta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | n)}) \tag{B1}
$$
with \( \lambda = \hbar \sqrt{\beta/2\pi m} \) the thermal de Broglie wavelength. For large \( x \)

\[
f_{3/2}(x) \to \frac{4}{3\sqrt{\pi}}(\ln(x))^{3/2}.
\]  

(B2)

Sufficiently close to the negative singularity of the attractive Coulomb potential,

\[
e^{-\beta(\mu_{KS}(r,\beta|n) - \mu_e)} \gg 1,
\]

so (B1) goes as

\[
n_{TF}(r) \to \frac{8}{3\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{m}{2\pi \hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \mu_{KS}^{3/2}(r, \beta|n) = \left( \frac{3}{5c_{TF}} \right)^{3/2} (\mu_e - v_{KS}(r, \beta|n))^{3/2}.
\]

This agrees with Eq. (1).

### Appendix C: Formal representations for \( z(r) \) and \( F^{(0)} \)

In this Appendix \( z(r, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \) and \( F^{(0)}(\beta | n) \) are written in terms of the non-linear response to the spatial variations of \( \mu_{KS} \) relative to \( z \) at a particular point, \( \Delta \mu_{KS}(r') \).

Recall from (16) that this is a measure of the non-uniformity of the system. Consider first the density and write it is

\[
n(r, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = \langle r \left( e^{\beta \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} - \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}, \beta|n) \right)} + 1 \right)^{-1} | r \rangle = \langle r \left( e^{\beta \left( \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} - z(r) - \Delta \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}, \beta|n) \right)} \right) + 1 \right)^{-1} | r \rangle
\]

(C1)

with

\[
\Delta \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}, \beta|n) = \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}) - z(r, \beta | \mu_{KS}).
\]

(C2)

As shown in (35), \( \Delta \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}, \beta|n) \) is a tempered measure of the non-uniformity of \( \mu_{KS}(\hat{q}, \beta|n) \). A formal representation in terms of \( \Delta \mu_{KS} \) is obtained from the identity

\[
n(r, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = n(r, \beta | z) + \int_0^1 dx \left. \frac{d}{dx} n(r, \beta | z + x\Delta \mu_{KS}) \right|_{z=0} = n(r, \beta | z) + \int_0^1 dx \int dr' \frac{\delta n(r, \beta | u)}{\delta u(r')} \bigg|_{z+x\Delta \mu_{KS}} (\mu_{KS}(r') - z(r))
\]

(C3)

Since \( z \) is the fixed value \( z(r) \) at all points \( r' \), the first term of (C3) becomes the TF form (16) and is equal to \( n(r) \). As a consequence, the second term of (C3) must vanish

\[
\int_0^1 dx \int dr' \frac{\delta n(r, \beta | u)}{\delta u(r')} \bigg|_{z+x\Delta \mu_{KS}} (\mu_{KS}(r') - z(r)) = 0.
\]

(C4)
Equation (C4) gives the formally exact representation for $z(\mathbf{r})$ as a functional of $\mu_{KS}$

$$z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = \int d\mathbf{r} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | z, \mu_{KS}) \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') .$$

(C5)

Here $G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \beta | z, \mu_{KS})$ is constructed from the ideal Fermi gas response functional in terms of $\Delta \mu_{KS}$ as described by (26) and (27).

Next the grand potential $\Omega^{(0)}(\beta | \mu_{KS})$ is written in terms of its corresponding density $\omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu)$

$$\Omega^{(0)}(\beta | \mu) \equiv \int d\mathbf{r} \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu).$$

(C6)

Then a corresponding representation in terms of $\Delta \mu_{KS}$ follows from the identity corresponding to (C3)

$$\omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | z) + \int_0^1 dx \frac{d}{dx} \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | z + x\Delta \mu_{KS})$$

$$= \omega_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})) + \int_0^1 dx \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_{z + x\Delta \mu_{KS}} \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}') .$$

(C7)

The first term on the right is the TF result (local density approximation) since

$$\omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | z) = -\beta^{-1} \langle \mathbf{r} | \ln \left( 1 + e^{\beta \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \right)} \right) | \mathbf{r} \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\beta \hbar^2} \int d\mathbf{p} \ln \left( 1 + e^{\beta \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) \right)} \right) \equiv \omega_{TF}^{(0)}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})).$$

(C8)

Rewrite the second term of (C7) with the identity

$$\int_0^1 dx \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_{x\Delta \mu_{KS} + z} = \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dx' \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_{x'\Delta \mu_{KS} + z}$$

$$\Delta \mu_{KS}$$

$$= \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_{z + \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x dx' \int d\mathbf{r}'' \frac{\delta^2 \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}'') \delta u(\mathbf{r}'')} |_{x'\Delta \mu_{KS} + z} \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}'')$$

$$= \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_{z + \int_0^1 dx (1 - x) \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta^2 \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}') \delta u(\mathbf{r}'')} |_{x\Delta \mu_{KS} + z} \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}'')} .$$

(C9)

Also, note that

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | u)}{\delta u(\mathbf{r}')} |_z = \frac{\partial \omega_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}))}{\partial z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})} = -n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})).$$

(C10)

With these results (C8) becomes

$$\omega^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS}) = \omega_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})) + n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})) z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})$$

(C11)
\[ + \int dr \frac{\delta \omega^{(0)}(r, \beta | z)}{\delta z(r')} \mu_{KS}(r') + \int \int dr' dr'' h(r; r', r'', \beta | \Delta \mu_{KS}) \Delta \mu_{KS}(r') \Delta \mu_{KS}(r'') \] (C11)

where the non-linear response function \( h(r; r', r'', \beta | z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \) is
\[ h(r; r', r'', \beta | z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \equiv \int_0^1 dx (1 - x) \frac{\delta^2 \omega^{(0)}(r, \beta | u)}{\delta u(r')} \delta u(r'') |_{x \Delta \mu_{KS} + z} . \] (C12)

Finally, integrating over \( r \) gives the non-interacting grand potential in the form
\[ \Omega^{(0)}(\beta | \mu_{KS}) = \Omega_{TF}(\beta | z) - \int d\mathbf{r} n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})) \Delta \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) \]
\[ + \int \int dr dr' dr'' h(r; r', r'', \beta | z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \Delta \mu_{KS}(r') \Delta \mu_{KS}(r'') . \] (C13)

The non-interacting free energy follows directly from its definition as the Legendre transform
\[ F^{(0)}(\beta | n) \equiv \Omega^{(0)}(\beta | \mu_{KS}) + \int d\mathbf{r} n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r}, \beta | \mu_{KS})) \mu_{KS}(\mathbf{r}) \]
\[ = F_{TF}(\beta | n) + \int \int dr dr' dr'' h(r; r', r'', \beta | z, \Delta \mu_{KS}) \Delta \mu_{KS}(r') \Delta \mu_{KS}(r'') . \] (C14)

Here
\[ F_{TF}(\beta | n) = \Omega_{TF}(\beta | z) + \int d\mathbf{r} n_{TF}(\beta, z(\mathbf{r})) z(\mathbf{r}) , \] (C15)

This is the result quoted in the text.

**Appendix D: Response functions \( R(r, \beta, n) \) and \( G(r, \beta, n) \)**

The normalized response function \( R(r, \beta, n) \) is defined in terms of the ideal Fermi gas response function
\[ R(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|, \beta, z) = \frac{\delta n(\mathbf{r}, \beta | x)}{\delta x(\mathbf{r}')}) |_{x=z} = - \int_0^\beta dy \left\{ e^{y(H_N - z N)} \widehat{n}(\mathbf{r}') e^{-y(H_N - z N)} \widehat{n}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} - n^2 . \] (D1)

The Hamiltonian operator \( \widehat{H}_N \) is that for a uniform ideal Fermi gas, \( \widehat{n}(\mathbf{r}) \) is the number density operator, and the brackets \( \langle .. \rangle \) denote an average over the associated grand canonical ensemble. The calculation is straightforward leading to
\[ R(r, \beta, z) = - (2s + 1) \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ik \cdot r} \int \frac{dk_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\langle n(\epsilon_{|k-k_1|}) - n(\epsilon_{k_1}) \rangle}{\epsilon_{k_1} - \epsilon_{|k-k_1|}} , \] (D2)

where \( s \) is the particle spin, \( \epsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2/2m \), and
\[ n(\epsilon_k) = \left( e^{\beta(\epsilon_k - z)} + 1 \right)^{-1} . \] (D3)
Use cylindrical coordinates with the z axis along k to reduce (D2) further to

\[ R(r, \beta, z) = -(2s + 1) \frac{\beta}{k \lambda^4} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-ikr} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{1}{x - \kappa/2} \ln \left( \frac{1 + e^{\beta z} e^{-x^2}}{1 + e^{\beta z} e^{-\kappa/2}} \right) \]  

(D4)

where \( \kappa = k\lambda/2\sqrt{\pi} \) and \( \lambda = (2\pi \beta \hbar^2/m)^{1/2} \). Finally,

\[ R(r, \beta, z) = (2s + 1) \frac{\beta}{\pi \lambda^4} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^\infty dx \left( e^{-\beta z} e^{x^2} + 1 \right)^{-1} \sin \left( x \frac{4\sqrt{\pi} r}{\lambda} \right). \]  

(D5)

To construct \( G(r, \beta, n) \) from (31) the integral of \( R(r, \beta, z) \) is needed

\[ \int dx R(r, \beta, z) = 4\pi (2s + 1) \frac{\beta}{\pi \lambda^4} \int_0^\infty dx \left( e^{-\beta z} e^{x^2} + 1 \right)^{-1} \frac{\lambda}{4\sqrt{\pi}}. \]  

(D6)

Then

\[ G(r, \beta, n) = \frac{1}{\lambda \sqrt{\pi} r^2} \int_0^\infty dx \exp(x, \beta, z) \sin \left( x \frac{4\sqrt{\pi} r}{\lambda} \right). \]  

(D7)

with the normalized distribution

\[ p(x, \beta, z) \equiv \frac{\left( e^{-\beta z} e^{x^2} + 1 \right)^{-1}}{\int_0^\infty dx \left( e^{-\beta z} e^{x^2} + 1 \right)^{-1}} \]  

(D8)
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