Efficacy and Mechanism of Action of Low Dose Emetine against Human Cytomegalovirus
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Abstract

Infection with human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a threat for pregnant women and immunocompromised hosts. Although limited drugs are available, development of new agents against HCMV is desired. Through screening of the LOPAC library, we identified emetine as HCMV inhibitor. Additional studies confirmed its anti-HCMV activities in human foreskin fibroblasts: EC₅₀ = 40 ± 1.72 nM, CC₅₀ = 8 ± 0.56 μM, and selectivity index of 200. HCMV inhibition occurred after virus entry, but before DNA replication, and resulted in decreased expression of viral proteins. Synergistic virus inhibition was achieved when emetine was combined with ganciclovir. In a mouse CMV (MCMV) model, emetine was well-tolerated, displayed long half-life, preferential distribution to tissues over plasma, and effectively suppressed MCMV. Since the in vitro anti-HCMV activity of emetine decreased significantly in low-density cells, a mechanism involving cell cycle regulation was suspected. HCMV inhibition by emetine depended on ribosomal processing S14 (RPS14) binding to MDM2, leading to disruption of HCMV-induced MDM2-p53 and MDM2-IE2 interactions. Irrespective of cell density, emetine induced RPS14 translocation into the nucleus during infection. In infected high-density cells, MDM2 was available for interaction with RPS14, resulting in disruption of MDM2-p53 interaction. However, in low-density cells the pre-existing interaction of MDM2-p53 could not be disrupted, and RPS14 could not interact with MDM2. In high-density cells the interaction of MDM2-RPS14 resulted in ubiquitination and degradation of RPS14, which was not observed in low-density cells. In infected-only or in non-infected emetine-treated cells, RPS14 failed to translocate into the nucleus, hence could not interact with MDM2, and was not ubiquitinated. HCMV replicated similarly in RPS14 knockout or control cells, but emetine did not inhibit virus replication in the former cell line. The interaction of MDM2-p53 was maintained in infected RPS14 knockout cells despite emetine treatment, confirming a unique mechanism by which emetine exploits RPS14 to disrupt MDM2-p53 interaction. Summarized, emetine may represent a promising candidate for HCMV therapy alone or in combination with ganciclovir through a novel host-dependent mechanism.
Author Summary

Infection with human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a growing and pressing problem, creating ongoing management and therapeutic challenges. Despite the availability of DNA polymerase inhibitors, development of new strategies for HCMV therapy is needed. We report for the first time on the efficacy of an old drug (emetine) against HCMV in vitro and mouse CMV in vivo, using exceedingly low drug doses. We also provide evidence for a specific host-dependent anti-CMV mechanism of emetine in vitro, thus uncovering a cellular function that can be further studied for drug development. Our work provides a novel direction for HCMV therapeutics through repurposing of an old agent, at substantially lower doses, and inhibiting HCMV indirectly through host activities critical for virus replication.

Introduction

Infection with Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV) continues to be a major threat for transplant recipients and patients with AIDS [1–3]. It is also the most common congenital infection worldwide [4]. The systemic anti-HCMV drugs all target the viral DNA polymerase and effectively suppress HCMV replication [5]. However, their use is associated with toxicities to the bone marrow (ganciclovir-GCV) and kidneys (foscarnet and cidofovir) [6,7]. GCV and its oral formulation val-GCV are the only drugs used for congenital HCMV infection, based on improved hearing and neurodevelopmental outcomes achieved in infected children [8,9]. Because of the limited drugs approved for HCMV, the side effects associated with them, and the emergence of resistant viral mutants during therapy [7,10,11], there is a pressing need to develop anti-HCMV compounds with novel mechanisms of action. HCMV inhibitors that target viral proteins other than the DNA polymerase have been identified; UL97 kinase inhibitor, maribavir [12,13] and the terminase inhibitor, letemovir [14,15]. These agents are in different stages of development [14–16], and because of the direct virus targets they also select for virus mutants.

As part of developing new therapeutics for HCMV, deciphering its complex and evolving interaction with the cellular machinery is necessary for identification of new targets required for HCMV replication. Towards this goal, we screened a library of pharmacologically active compounds (LOPAC1280) and identified emetine as potential HCMV inhibitor. We report on the in vitro anti-HCMV activities of emetine, in vivo activities in a mouse CMV (MCMV) model, and a novel host-dependent anti-viral mechanism of HCMV inhibition.

Results

Emetine inhibits HCMV and HSV replication at nM concentrations

Screening of the LOPAC library using a pp28-luciferase HCMV Towne identified emetine as a potential HCMV inhibitor. A dose response curve was generated to confirm the anti-HCMV activity of emetine. The EC50 of emetine against pp28-luciferase Towne was 40±1.72 nM, and the CC50 in non-infected human foreskin fibroblasts (HFFs)—8±0.56 μM, yielding a selectivity index of 200. The Hill slope of the concentration-response curve was 3.1, indicating a robust virus inhibition at higher concentrations [17] (Fig 1A and 1B). A ganciclovir (GCV)-resistant pp28-luciferase Towne was also inhibited by emetine. Inhibition of HCMV and mouse CMV (MCMV) by emetine was confirmed by plaque reduction assay (S1 Table). The activity of emetine against herpesvirus 1 (HSV-1) and HSV-2 was determined by luciferase and plaque assay in HFFs, respectively, revealing virus inhibition at nM concentrations (S1 Table). The expression of HCMV proteins IE1/2, UL44 and pp65 was significantly reduced by emetine.
at 72 hours post infection (hpi) (Fig 1C). Combination of emetine and GCV was synergistic in HCMV inhibition, as determined by the Bliss model (Fig 1D). These results indicate robust in vitro inhibition of HCMV, GCV-resistant HCMV, MCMV and HSVs at nM concentrations of emetine. At these concentrations emetine did not inhibit protein synthesis in non-infected or HCMV-infected cells (S1 Fig), in agreement with previous studies [18,19].

Emetine inhibits HCMV replication after entry but before initiation of DNA replication

Using immunofluorescence assay for pp65, neither emetine nor GCV inhibited viral entry, but CPG 2006 (a TLR9 ligand), used as positive control, did (Fig 2A). In add-on and removal assays emetine or GCV were added or removed at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, 48 and 60 hpi, and supernatants were collected at 72 hpi for titration of infectious virus by plaque assay. Addition of emetine after 12 h resulted in its loss of activity against HCMV (Fig 2B, \( p < 0.0001 \)). The removal assay revealed
Fig 2. Emetine Inhibits CMV via Novel Cellular Mechanism(s)

**A)** Emetine does not inhibit HCMV entry. Cells were treated with emetine (75 nM), GCV (10 μM), and CpG 2006 (10 μM) 24 h prior to infection. Cells were infected with HCMV and treated with compounds for 90 min. Immunofluorescence staining was performed with mouse monoclonal anti-pp65 antibody. The fluorescence of rhodamine anti-mouse IgG and DAPI was visualized and merged using a Nikon Eclipse E-800 fluorescence microscope. **B)** Emetine has an early activity against HCMV. Cells were infected with HCMV Towne, and compounds were added at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hpi (Add on). Culture supernatants (10%) were collected at 72 hpi for a plaque assay after 14 days. **C)** Cells were infected with HCMV Towne and treated with compounds immediately after virus adsorption. Compounds were removed at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36, and 48 hpi (Removal). Culture supernatants were collected at 72 hpi for titration by plaque assay. Data represent mean ± SE of triplicate determinations from a representative of two independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g002
that emetine was required for at least 24 h to fully inhibit HCMV (Fig 2C, \( p < 0.05 \)). Thus, HCMV inhibition occurred during the immediate-early to early stages of HCMV replication.

**Pharmacokinetics (PK) and efficacy of emetine against mouse CMV**

Since PK data with low dose emetine have not been reported before, we conducted a PK study in BALB/c mice after single oral administration of 0.1 mg/kg emetine. In vivo exposures of emetine in plasma, liver, lung and spleen were monitored (S2 Table). Emetine achieved levels that exceeded its in vitro EC\(_{50}\) against HCMV (Fig 3A) and its calculated half-life was 35 h.

The effect of emetine on MCMV replication was tested. BALB/c mice (3–4 week old) were infected intraperitoneally with tissue-culture derived MCMV [10\(^6\) plaque forming units (PFU)/mice] and treated with 0.1 or 1 mg/kg of emetine orally every three days beginning at 24 h or 72 h after infection until day 11 post infection. On day 14 post infection, mice were euthanized, intracardiac blood was collected and tissues were harvested and assayed for MCMV replication by plaque assay. Emetine treatment resulted in 2 to 4 log\(_{10}\) decrease in MCMV DNA copy number in blood, compared to infected control (Fig 3B, \( p < 0.001 \)). All treatment regimens resulted in 4–6 fold reduction of viral PFUs in salivary gland (Fig 3C) and 3–6 fold reduction in liver (Fig 3D, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Both doses of emetine were highly efficacious in MCMV inhibition, when administered at 24 or at 72 hpi.

**HCMV inhibition by emetine depends on cell confluency at the time of infection**

The following in vitro studies were undertaken to elucidate the mechanism of anti-HCMV activity of emetine and should not point to in vivo efficacy, since MCMV was inhibited with emetine, and most HCMV-infected cells in vivo are high-density. We observed that cell density at the time of infection determined HCMV inhibition by emetine. Lack of HCMV inhibition by emetine was not because of selection of resistant viruses. Increasing emetine concentration did not select for resistant mutants, while GCV selected for a C607Y mutation in UL97, confirmed by sequencing. To investigate the contribution of cell density to the anti-HCMV activities of emetine HFFs were seeded at 0.5–2 million cells/plate followed by infection and drug treatment. HCMV inhibition by emetine improved as cell confluence at the time of infection increased. There was no reduction in normalized pp28-luciferase activity by emetine at low cell density, whereas with increased cell density significant reduction was observed (Fig 4A). Western blots revealed decreased expression of viral UL44 and pp65 with emetine at high but not at a lower cell density (Fig 4B). Second cycle infection similarly showed dependence of HCMV inhibition by emetine on cell density; there was 1.5-fold increase in pp28-luciferase activity at 0.5 million cells/plate (\( p > 0.5 \)), and near complete inhibition at 1 and 2 million cells/plate (\( p < 0.001 \)), compared to infected control (Fig 4C). Plaque reduction assays showed 30-fold reduction in the number and size of plaques with emetine at 2 million cells/plate (\( p < 0.001 \)) as compared to 0.5 million cells/plate (Fig 4D, \( p > 0.05 \)). A plaque reduction assay of MCMV similarly showed a cell-density dependent response to emetine (Fig 4D, \( p < 0.001 \)). GCV inhibited HCMV and MCMV irrespective of cell density (\( p < 0.001 \)). These results suggest that cell cycle related activities may determine HCMV inhibition by emetine.

**Emetine induces an interaction between RPS14 and MDM2 in HCMV-infected cells**

Resistance to emetine in Chinese hamster ovary cells was associated with mutations in the ribosomal protein S14 (RPS14) [20]. This protein was reported to interact with MDM2-p53, major
Emetine achieves high tissue concentrations and is efficacious against MCMV replication. A) *In vivo* pharmacokinetics of emetine. Plasma, liver, lung and spleen samples were collected at the indicated time points after single oral administration of emetine at 0.1 mg/kg in male BALB/c mice. Concentrations were measured using UPLC-MS/MS methods. For plasma, the concentrations observed were mostly below the level limit of quantification. B) Quantitative real-time PCR of viral gB was performed on DNA extracted from blood at day 14 post infection. Plaque assay was performed from salivary glands C) or liver D) collected at day 14 post infection. Emetine was administered orally starting 24 hpi or 72 hpi at 0.1 or 1.0 mg/kg every 3 days. GCV dose was 10 mg/kg/dose administered intraperitoneally twice daily.

Fig 3. Emetine achieves high tissue concentrations and is efficacious against MCMV replication. A) *In vivo* pharmacokinetics of emetine. B) Quantitative real-time PCR of viral gB was performed on DNA extracted from blood at day 14 post infection. Plaque assay was performed from salivary glands C) or liver D) collected at day 14 post infection. Emetine was administered orally starting 24 hpi or 72 hpi at 0.1 or 1.0 mg/kg every 3 days. GCV dose was 10 mg/kg/dose administered intraperitoneally twice daily.
regulators of cell cycle progression [21]. We theorized that the regulation of RPS14 by emetine determines its anti-HCMV activities in high-density cells. RPS14 expression was measured in high-density and low-density cells, infected or non-infected. In high-density cells, RPS14 expression was induced at 72 hpi, and reduced with emetine (Fig 5A, left). However, in infected low-density cells RPS14 expression was unchanged (Fig 5A, right). At 24 hpi the expression level of RPS14 was unchanged in high-density or in low-density cells (Fig 5A, upper panel, left and right).Irrespective of cell density, there was no change in RPS14 expression in non-

Fig 4. The anti-HCMV activity of emetine is reduced in low-density HFFs. A) Cells were seeded at indicated densities in a 96-well plate, infected with pp28-luciferase HCMV Towne (MOI = 1) and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). Luciferase activity was measured at 72 hpi and normalized to the activity of infected untreated cells. Data represent mean ± SE of triplicate determinations from a representative of two independent experiments. B) Same cell lysates as in A were collected for Western blotting at 72 hpi and expression of viral proteins UL44 and pp65 was determined. C) Supernatants from each well as in A were used to infect cells (1 million cells in a 96-well plate) in the corresponding well and luciferase activity was measured at 72 hpi. D) Cells were seeded into 12-well plates at 0.5 and 2 million cells/plate, infected with 100 PFU/well of HCMV Towne or MCMV, and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). After 10 days (for HCMV) or 3 days (for MCMV) plaques were stained with crystal violet and the number of plaques enumerated. Data shown are average of 2 wells (±SD) for a representative experiment from two different experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g004
infected cells treated with emetine (Fig 5B). Thus, induction of RPS14 expression at 72 hpi and its reduction by emetine was specific to infected high-density cells. The anti-HCMV activity of emetine occurred at the immediate early-early stage of HCMV replication (Fig 2A and 2B), thus, we suspected that the changes in RPS14 expression at 72 hpi represent an outcome of an earlier event.

Since RPS14 was reported to interact with MDM2 during ribosomal stress [21], the outcome of the MDM2-RPS14 interaction during HCMV infection and emetine treatment was investigated. As an E3 ubiquitin ligase, MDM2 degrades proteins to which it binds [22], therefore experiments were performed in the presence of the proteasomal inhibitor, MG132. At 24 hpi, emetine strongly induced the interaction between RPS14 and MDM2 in high-density cells, but not in low-density cells (Fig 5C). Similarly, a reverse immunoprecipitation (IP) showed enhanced interaction between RPS14-MDM2 in high-density cells (Fig 5D). Anti-RPS19 and isotype control antibodies further confirmed the specificity of the RPS14-MDM2 interaction (S2A Fig). Emetine did not induce an interaction between RPS19 and MDM2. Since the inhibition of MCMV replication by emetine was also cell-density dependent in vitro (Fig 4D), the RPS14-MDM2 interaction was tested in MCMV-infected mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, S3A Fig), again revealing RPS14-MDM2 interaction only in high-density MEFs. In non-infected

Fig 5. Emetine induces an interaction between MDM2 and RPS14 in infected high-density cells. A) Emetine abrogates infection-mediated overexpression of RPS14 at 72 hpi. Cells were seeded at 0.5 or 2 million cells/plate, infected with Towne HCMV and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). Lysates were collected at 24 or 72 hpi for Western blotting. B) HFFs were seeded at 1 or 2 million cells/plate and treated with emetine or GCV. Lysates were collected at 72 hpi for Western blotting. C) Cells were seeded at 0.5 or 2 million/plate in 100 mm dishes, infected with Towne HCMV followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 24 h. MG132 (10 μM) was added after 12 h. At 24 hpi, lysates were collected and subjected to IP with anti-MDM2 followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS14 antibody or D) In reverse reaction, IP was performed with anti-RPS14 followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 antibody. Densitometry analysis was performed to normalize immunoprecipitated protein to its input level. E) A model showing the interaction of MDM2 and RPS14 in high-density but not in low-density cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g005

infected cells treated with emetine (Fig 5B). Thus, induction of RPS14 expression at 72 hpi and its reduction by emetine was specific to infected high-density cells. The anti-HCMV activity of emetine occurred at the immediate early-early stage of HCMV replication (Fig 2A and 2B), thus, we suspected that the changes in RPS14 expression at 72 hpi represent an outcome of an earlier event.

Since RPS14 was reported to interact with MDM2 during ribosomal stress [21], the outcome of the MDM2-RPS14 interaction during HCMV infection and emetine treatment was investigated. As an E3 ubiquitin ligase, MDM2 degrades proteins to which it binds [22], therefore experiments were performed in the presence of the proteasomal inhibitor, MG132. At 24 hpi emetine strongly induced the interaction between RPS14 and MDM2 in high-density cells, but not in low-density cells (Fig 5C). Similarly, a reverse immunoprecipitation (IP) showed enhanced interaction between RPS14-MDM2 in high-density cells (Fig 5D). Anti-RPS19 and isotype control antibodies further confirmed the specificity of the RPS14-MDM2 interaction (S2A Fig). Emetine did not induce an interaction between RPS19 and MDM2. Since the inhibition of MCMV replication by emetine was also cell-density dependent in vitro (Fig 4D), the RPS14-MDM2 interaction was tested in MCMV-infected mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, S3A Fig), again revealing RPS14-MDM2 interaction only in high-density MEFs. In non-infected
HFFs emetine did not induce an interaction between RPS14 and MDM2 (S4A Fig). A summary model shows the differences in RPS14 and MDM2 interaction between infected high-density and low-density cells with emetine treatment (Fig 5E). Since RPS14 expression was reduced at 72 hpi with emetine treatment (Fig 5A, left), the enhanced interaction between MDM2 and RPS14 at 24 hpi suggested that MDM2 may be targeting RPS14 for degradation in infected cells.

Emetine induces nuclear translocation of RPS14 in infected high-density cells and targets it for ubiquitination and degradation

To interact with MDM2, we predicted that RPS14 would translocate into the nucleus. Using confocal microscopy, RPS14 was located in the cytoplasm of non-infected or HCMV-infected cells (Fig 6, upper two panels). In high-density cells emetine treatment induced RPS14 translocation into the nucleus at 24 hpi (Fig 6A, 66% localization, \( p < 0.001 \)), but at 72 hpi nuclear localization of RPS14 decreased to a similar level as in non-treated cells (Fig 6B, 11% localization, \( p > 0.5 \)). However, in infected low-density cells nuclear localization of RPS14 was observed at both 24 and 72 hpi (Fig 7A & 7B, \( p < 0.001 \)), indicating that although emetine could initially

---

Fig 6. Emetine induces nuclear translocation of RPS14 in infected high-density cells at 24 hpi followed by cytoplasmic relocalization. A) Cells were seeded at 2 million/4-well chamber slide, infected and treated with either emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 24 h. Cells were stained with IE1/2 (Alexa 555:Red) for evidence of infection and RPS14 (FITC: Green) and nuclear DAPI. Stained slides were subjected to confocal microscopy and colocalization was studied and quantified using NIS elements software (Nikon). B) The same procedure was repeated at 72 hpi. The images shown are a representative of two independent experiments. Percent nuclear localization is represented as Mean ± SD from two different fields of at least 40 cells per condition.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g006
induce nuclear translocation of RPS14 in infected low-density cells, subsequent localization changes did not occur, likely because RPS14 did not interact with MDM2. Since in emetine treated high-density cells, RPS14 expression and its nuclear localization were decreased at 72 hpi (Figs 5A and 6B), while a strong interaction with MDM2 was observed at 24 hpi (Fig 5C and 5D) we conjectured that RPS14 may be targeted for degradation. MG132-treated samples were pulled-down with anti-Ubiquitin antibody and detected with anti-RPS14 antibody. In both cell densities, RPS14 ubiquitination mildly increased with infection. However, only in high-density cells, emetine increased both the mono and poly-ubiquitinated forms of RPS14 (Fig 8A, left). A reverse IP similarly showed increased RPS14 ubiquitination in high-density cells. In agreement with RPS14 ubiquitination, at 72 hpi there was almost no nuclear RPS14 in high-density infected emetine treated cells, indicating that emetine targets RPS14 for ubiquitination and degradation (Fig 6B). In infected low-density cells RPS14 ubiquitination was not increased with emetine, in agreement with its persistent nuclear localization at 72 hpi (Fig 8A, right). The rate of degradation of RPS14 in high- and low-density cells was tested with the protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide. As expected, RPS14 showed significant degradation in infected high-density cells, compared to infected only or infected GCV-treated cells (Fig 8B, left). However, there was no substantial degradation of RPS14 in the low-density cells under

**Fig 7. Nuclear localization of RPS14 persists during infection and emetine treatment in low-density cells.** A) Cells were seeded at 0.5 million/4-well chamber slide, infected and treated with either emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 24 h. Cells were stained with IE1/2 (Alexa 555:Red) for evidence of infection and RPS14 (FITC: Green) and nuclear DAPI. Stained slides were subjected to confocal microscopy and nuclear localization was quantified using NIS elements software (Nikon). B) The same procedure was repeated at 72 hpi. The images shown are a representative of two independent experiments. Percent nuclear localization is represented as mean ± SD of at least 25 cells per condition from two different fields in the slide.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g007
Fig 8. RPS14 is ubiquitinated and degraded in infected high-density cells. A) Cells were seeded at 0.5 or 2 million/plate in 100 mm dishes, infected with HCMV Towne followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5μM) for 72h. MG132 (10 μM) was added 12 h before harvest. Lysates were collected at 72 hpi and subjected to IP with anti-Ubiquitin antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS14 antibody or IP with anti-RPS14 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-Ubiquitin antibody. The mono- and poly- ubiquitinated forms of
any of the conditions used (Fig 8B, right). Taken together, emetine treatment of infected high-density cells results in early RPS14 translocation into the nucleus of infected cells followed by its relocalization into the cytoplasm for ubiquitination and degradation, ultimately resulting in decreased RPS14 expression at 72 hpi (Fig 5A, left).

Emetine disrupts HCMV-mediated MDM2-p53 interaction

Since emetine inhibited HCMV in high-density, but not in low-density cells, and induced an interaction between MDM2 and RPS14 in the former, the expression level of p53 and MDM2 was measured in the different cell densities at 24 and 72 hpi. MDM2 expression was reduced after infection and increased with emetine treatment at 72 hpi in high-density cells (Fig 9A). The expression of p53 was unchanged with infection and increased with emetine treatment at 72 hpi (Fig 9A). There was no difference in the expression of either protein among the different conditions at 24 hpi or in infected low-density cells at 72 hpi (Fig 9A). The downstream activity of p53 was measured by quantitative reverse transcriptase (qRT)-PCR for p21 (22). Emetine treatment resulted in 5.4- and 6.7- fold increase in p21 mRNA at 24 and 72 hpi, respectively in high-density cells (Fig 9B, p<0.01). In non-infected cells, the expression of p53 and MDM2 was increased with emetine, indicating both proteins were stabilized with emetine, irrespective of infection (Fig 9C).

RPS14 was reported to bind to the acidic domain of MDM2, which is also the binding site for p53 [23]. Therefore, the effect of infection and emetine treatment on MDM2-p53 interaction was studied. Since p53 is degraded by the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of MDM2 [24,25], MG132 treated samples were used for IP. The interaction between MDM2 and p53 was favored upon infection, disrupted with emetine in high-density cells, but not in low-density cells (Fig 9D). A reverse IP confirmed this interaction in infected cells and loss thereof with emetine (Fig 9E), and isotype control antibodies did not pull down either MDM2 or p53 (S2B Fig), indicating that emetine disrupts HCMV-induced interaction of MDM2-p53 (model, Fig 9F). Similar interactions were observed in MCMV-infected MEFs (S3B Fig). Since the expression of p53 and MDM2 was increased with emetine in non-infected cells, MDM2-RPS14 interaction was investigated in non-infected cells. Emetine could not induce MDM2-RPS14 interaction in non-infected cells (S4A Fig). Therefore, emetine could stabilize MDM2 and p53 irrespective of infection but its ability to associate RPS14 with MDM2 resulting in p53 activation was achieved only in infected high-density cells, probably because in non-infected cells it could not trigger RPS14 localization into the nucleus (S4B Fig).

Finally, the enhanced interaction between RPS14-MDM2 could have effects on HCMV proteins that bind to MDM2. The immediate early 2 (IE2) was reported to interact with MDM2 [26], therefore the effect of emetine on IE2-MDM2 interaction was tested in HEK293 cells. An IP was performed after IE1/2 transfection and emetine treatment. In emetine-treated cells, the interaction between MDM2 and IE2 was significantly decreased, while GCV had no effect on this interaction (S5 Fig). These results suggest that emetine-induced occupancy of MDM2 by RPS14 may prevent it from binding IE2.

RPS14 is indispensable for HCMV inhibition by emetine

As emetine induced nuclear translocation of RPS14 and its interaction with MDM2, we investigated the anti-HCMV activity of emetine in RPS14 knockdown cells (sh-RPS14). The expression
of RPS14 was reduced in sh-RPS14 cells as compared to its expression in TRC-control shRNA cells (Fig 10A). Cell viability was similar between control transduced and sh-RPS14 cells during infection and drug treatment (Fig 10B). In high-density sh-RPS14 cells, emetine was unable to inhibit HCMV, evident from luciferase assay at 72 hpi (Fig 10C), a plaque reduction assay, (Fig 10D) and expression of pp65, UL44 and IE1/2 at 72 hpi (Fig 10E), indicating the requirement of

Fig 9. Emetine disrupts HCMV mediated MDM2-p53 complex. A) MDM2 and p53 expression increases with emetine treatment. Cells were seeded at 0.5 or 2 million cells/plate, infected with HCMV Towne followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). Cell lysates were harvested at 24 or 72 hpi for Western blotting. B) Cells were seeded at 2 million cells/plate, infected with HCMV Towne and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). RNA was harvested and qRT-PCR was performed for p21. Data represent mean ± SE of triplicate determinations from a representative of three independent experiments C) HFFs were seeded at 1 or 2 million cells/plate and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). Cell lysates were harvested at 72 hpi for Western blotting. D) HFFs were seeded at either 0.5 or 2 million cells/plate in 100 mm dishes, infected with HCMV Towne followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 24h. MG132 (10 μM) was added after 12 h. At 24 hpi, lysates were collected and subjected to IP with anti-MDM2 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-p53 antibody or E) IP with anti-p53 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 antibody. F) A model depicting the mechanism by which emetine disrupts HCMV mediated MDM2-p53 interaction in high-density cells, but not in cycling low-density cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g009
RPS14 for emetine activities. In shRPS14 cells a stable interaction between MDM2 and p53 was observed despite emetine treatment, while as expected the interaction was disrupted in TRC control cells (Fig 10F). These results indicate that a certain level of RPS14 is required for its interaction with MDM2, in emetine treated HCMV-infected cells, resulting in displacement of IE2 and p53 from MDM2.

Discussion

The existing antiviral drugs effectively suppress HCMV replication, but their considerable side effects, and selection of resistant viral strains, call for the identification of new HCMV inhibitors [27]. HCMV perturbs a myriad of cellular signaling pathways for its own benefit of replication and survival [28], some of which could serve as novel targets for virus inhibition. We report here on the anti-HCMV activities of emetine at low nM concentration (Fig 1), and its mode of action through modifying the interaction of MDM2-RPS14/-p53, thus providing a novel host-dependent antiviral approach. Emetine inhibited GCV-resistant HCMV, MCMV
and HSV1&2 at nM concentrations as well (S1 Table). Inhibition of HCMV replication by emetine occurred after virus entry and before GCV activities (Fig 2). MCMV was inhibited at low 0.1 mg/kg. There was no significant difference in MCMV inhibition between 0.1 and 1 mg/kg. Plaque number was lower in the liver compared to the salivary gland (as expected), but virus was inhibited in both organs (Fig 3) [29].

The observed lack of activity of emetine against HCMV and MCMV in low-density as compared to high-density cells (Fig 4), and a previous study that correlated emetine resistance in Chinese hamster ovary cells with mutations in RPS14 [30], prompted us to investigate the functional role of RPS14 in HCMV infection and emetine treatment (summary model, Fig 11). HCMV induces multiple ribosomal proteins, but whether any of these ribosomal proteins may be utilized by drugs to inhibit virus replication has not been studied [31]. Our results show that HCMV induces RPS14, likely as a strategy for viral protein synthesis (Fig 5A). In low-density non-infected cells, higher expression of RPS14 was observed compared to high-density cells, suggesting the former are more active in synthesizing proteins for ongoing growth and division. Reduced RPS14 expression at 72 h in HCMV-infected emetine-treated cells was a result of its degradation, which was consequential to its interaction with MDM2. The reported interaction of RPS14 with MDM2 [21] directed us to investigate the role of RPS14 in the setting of MDM2-p53 interaction in HCMV replication (model, Fig 11). Emetine treatment improved

---

Fig 11. Model of HCMV inhibition by emetine. In high-density infected cells (A) emetine induces (1) nuclear translocation of RPS14 (2) followed by RPS14 binding to MDM2 (3 & 4) resulting in disruption of the interaction between MDM2-p53 (6) and MDM2- viral IE2 (5 & 7), and by RPS14 ubiquitination and degradation (8). In low-density infected cells (B) although emetine induces (1) nuclear translocation of RPS14 (2), it is unable to interact with MDM2 (4) which is already bound to p53 to facilitate virus replication (3).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005717.g011
the interaction between RPS14 and MDM2 in infected high-density cells. In non-infected cells (S4A Fig) the interaction of RPS14-MDM2 was not modified by emetine, likely because RPS14 did not translocate into the nucleus (S4B Fig). Therefore, infection facilitates nuclear translocation of RPS14 by emetine.

In infected cells emetine induced RPS14 translocation into the nucleus (Fig 6A), where it could interact with MDM2 and compete with viral proteins, such as IE2 (S5 Fig) and cellular proteins such as p53 on the acidic domain of MDM2 (Fig 9C and 9D). Although the binding site of MDM2-IE2 has not been characterized, it is possible that RPS14 bound MDM2 is incapable of binding to IE2. Later during infection, RPS14 relocalized to the cytoplasm, was ubiquitinated and degraded (Figs 6B, 8A & 8B). RPS14 interacted with MDM2 at 24 hpi (Fig 5C), but at this time point its expression level was similar in both low and high cell density (Fig 5A), suggesting that a balance between virus-induced RPS14 and its emetine-triggered degradation was still maintained at this time point. However, at 72 hpi, when virus replication was sufficiently inhibited, a significant reduction in the expression of RPS14 was observed in emetine-treated condition, indicating emetine-mediated RPS14 degradation (Fig 5A).

The dependence of emetine activity against HCMV on cell density (Fig 4) suggested that interaction of RPS14 with cell cycle regulators MDM2 and p53 may contribute to its activities. Reduced MDM2 expression during HCMV infection has been reported [26], and also shown here at 72 hpi (Fig 9A). Although infection at MOI 2–5 was reported to induce p53 expression, albeit reduction in p53 activity [32–35], at MOI 1 we did not observe changes in p53 expression. Emetine treatment resulted in increased p53 activity in infected cells, evidenced by p21 mRNA expression (Fig 9B). Levels of MDM2 and p53 were stabilized with emetine (Fig 9A and 9C), suggesting their interaction might be modified by the drug. Our data reveal that while the MDM2-p53 interaction is induced by HCMV, emetine disrupts it by 24 h, resulting in stabilization of each interacting partner (Fig 9D). In infected low-density cells in which HCMV escaped from emetine suppression (Fig 4), MDM2-p53 interaction was not disrupted by the drug (Fig 9D and 9E). Notably, in non-infected low-density cells the interaction between MDM2 and p53 was more significant than in high-density cells, suggesting that emetine fails to disrupt pre-existing interaction between MDM2-p53 in the low density cells (Fig 9D and 9E) [36,37].

The p53 protein binds to MDM2 at the acidic domain (amino acid residues 235–300) [23]. RPS14 also binds to the same acidic domain of MDM2 at an overlapping region with the p53 binding site (residues 215–300) [21]. Therefore, RPS14 may be competing with p53 for the same binding domain on MDM2. The reported mutations in the C-terminus domain of RPS14 that lead to emetine resistance; Arg-149-Cys and Arg-150-His [38], may imply altered binding to MDM2. Alternatively, haploinsufficiency of RPS14 may affect the interaction of MDM2-p53 [39]. Similarly, our RPS14 knockdown data indicate its necessity for the anti-viral activity of emetine, and that this activity affects the interaction of MDM2-p53 (Fig 10).

In HCMV-infected cells, binding of RPS14 to MDM2 was required for emetine activities. In low-density cells, MDM2 and p53 already interacted, suggesting the acidic domain of MDM2 was already occupied by p53. Therefore, RPS14 could not bind to MDM2, resulting in loss of emetine activity against HCMV. In contrast, in high-density cells, emetine induced RPS14 binding to the free MDM2-acidic domain and prevented virus-mediated interaction between MDM2-p53, resulting in stabilization of p53 and MDM2. These findings were also supported by the early activity of emetine (Fig 2B). If added after 12 hpi, emetine failed to inhibit HCMV, since by that time HCMV may engage p53 with MDM2, resulting in blocking of the RPS14 binding site on MDM2. Although in non-infected cells, emetine stabilized MDM2 and p53 in both cell densities (Fig 9C), it could not induce the nuclear translocation of RPS14 or its binding with MDM2 (S4 Fig). Future studies will address the consequences of
disruption of MDM2-p53 binding and stabilization of p53 and MDM2 as a cellular strategy for HCMV inhibition.

Our results show efficient inhibition of HCMV replication in vitro and MCMV replication in vivo, suggesting that repurposing of emetine at a much lower dose may provide therapeutic/prophylactic strategy for HCMV through a host-directed antiviral mechanism. Although the route of administration and potential cumulative toxicities must be tested, the doses required for virus inhibition are substantially lower than the traditional emetine doses that have been used in the past. For amebiasis, emetine has been administered daily at 1 mg/kg for up to 10 days (maximal dose of 600 mg). Severe side effects occurred rarely and were only observed at high doses. Emetine was well-tolerated when delivered intravenously at 1.5 mg/kg doses twice a week in clinical trials as an anti-tumor agent [40]. Patients treated with 1 mg/kg emetine daily for 10 days did not experience any toxicity [41]. We show that MCMV replication was inhibited using an oral dose of 0.1 mg/kg. Using allometric scaling this translates to a human dose of 0.008 mg/kg [42]. Based on our PK data, emetine can be administered every 3 days. For a 60 kg individual 0.5 mg/dose would be considered for HCMV therapy. In one month, 10 doses will result in a cumulative dose of 5 mg. Therefore, to reach the 600 mg amebiasis dose 120 months of HCMV therapy would need to be provided. The expected cumulative dose with regimens used in general for HCMV therapy would be substantially lower than the doses that have been used in the past. The therapeutic plasma concentration of emetine is 0.005–0.075 μg/mL [43]. Our in vitro data suggest that at therapeutic plasma concentration HCMV replication can be fully inhibited. In addition, our PK studies support wide and prolonged tissue distribution, which may be an important factor for HCMV inhibition. Although emetine displays activities against other intracellular pathogens [44–49], its mode of action has been largely unknown. The killing of Entamoeba histolytica was attributed to inhibition of protein synthesis, an activity that is distinct from HCMV inhibition. Our study provides evidence for use of an old agent with distinct cellular activities resulting in HCMV inhibition.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics statement**

Animal work was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The animal protocol (protocol number MO13M296) was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Johns Hopkins University.

**Compounds**

Ganciclovir (GCV), MG132, CPG 2006, cycloheximide and emetine dihydrochloride hydrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

**Viruses**

The pp28-luciferase HCMV Towne and a GCV-resistant pp28-luciferase were used [50,51]. The recombinant viruses express luciferase under the control of the late CMV gene promoter, pp28. Luciferase expression is activated 48–72 hours post infection (hpi). The recombinant viruses provide a highly-sensitive reporter system which correlates with plaque reduction assay [50]. The Towne HCMV strain (ATCC VR-977) was used for plaque reduction, quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR), and immunoprecipitation assays. Human herpes virus strains were: luciferase HSV1- KOS/Dlux/oriS [52] and clinical isolates of HSV2. The clinical isolates were provided by the clinical microbiology laboratory with no
identifiers that can link to a specific subject. Murid Herpesvirus (MCMV: ATCC VR-1399) was used for infection of mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) and mice.

**Cell culture, virus infection and anti-viral assays**

Human Foreskin Fibroblasts (HFFs) passage 12–16 (ATCC, CRL-2088) and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) passage 9–14 (ATCC, CRL-1658) were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C. Infection with HCMV or HSV was performed in HFFs, and infection with mouse CMV was performed in MEFs. For HCMV, cells were seeded in either a 96-well plate or 100 mm culture plates (Corning Costar, Sigma Aldrich) at 0.5 or 2 million cells /plate. Following 90 minute adsorption, media was removed and cells were washed with PBS. Media containing 4% FBS and compounds were added to each well. Infected treated HFFs were collected at 72 hpi and lysates were assayed for luciferase activity using a luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI) on GloMax-Multi+ Detection System (Promega). In second cycle assays, supernatants were collected from all conditions of the first cycle at 96 hpi and used for infection of fresh HFFs in 96-well plates. Luciferase activity was measured 72 h following second cycle infection. For HSV1-KOS/Dlux/oriS, a luciferase assay was performed at 24 hpi. Plaque assays were performed with clinical isolates of HSV2 and HCMV Towne (ATCC VR-977). HFFs were seeded at 3 million cells/plate in 12-well plates and infected 24 h later with HSV2 at 200 PFU/well. For HCMV or MCMV, HFFs or MEFs were seeded at 0.5 or 2 million cells /plate in a 12-well plate and infected 24 hours later at 100 PFU/well. Following virus adsorption (60 min and 90 min for HSV and HCMV, respectively), virus was aspirated, and DMEM containing 4% fetal bovine serum (FBS) with (for HSV) or without (for HCMV/MCMV) 0.5% carboxymethyl-cellulose, were added with the compounds at indicated concentrations into triplicate wells. After incubation at 37°C for 10 days (for HCMV), 3 days for MCMV or 2 days (for HSV), the overlay was removed and plaques were counted after crystal violet staining.

**Generation of drug resistant virus**

Screening of drug resistant virus was performed as reported [53]. Two million cells were plated on a 6-well plate and infected with HCMV (MOI 0.05). After 90 min cells were washed with PBS and 10 nM of emetine or 0.5 μM of GCV were added. The cells were maintained in DMEM with 4% FBS until plaques were observed. The supernatants from these plates were used to infect fresh HFFs in a 6-well plate and each time drug concentration was increased by two fold. The cells were passaged 5 times until a final drug concentration of emetine (2.4 μM) and GCV (10.5 μM). DNA extracted from supernatants collected at the last stage was used for UL97 sequencing.

**Cell viability**

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates, treated with various concentrations of emetine and incubated at 37°C for 3 days. Cell viability was determined by an MTT-based colorimetric assay (Sigma-Aldrich), and performed at the same time points as the antiviral assay.

**Drug combination**

The combined inhibitory effect of compounds on HCMV replication was determined in infected HFFs as previously reported [54]. The Bliss model, in which drug combination represents the product of two probabilistically independent events, was used for analysis [55,56].
Translation inhibition assay for emetine

One million HFFs were seeded in a 96-well black, clear bottom tissue culture plate. After 24 h, cells were infected with HCMV Towne and treated with 50, 100, 1000, 2000 and 5000 nM emetine for 24 h or 100 mM cycloheximide for 30 minutes. A protein synthesis assay kit (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, Michigan) was used according to manufacturer's protocol to quantify emetine-mediated translation inhibition using a fluorescence based assay [57].

Add-on and removal studies

HFFs were infected with HCMV Towne, and at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 hpi, emetine or GCV were added. For time-of-removal studies, medium containing the compounds was removed at 0, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 hpi, cells were washed three times with PBS, and drug-free medium was added. Culture supernatants were collected at 72 hpi and titration of infectious virus was performed after 14 days by plaque assay.

Immunofluorescence

Emetine, GCV and a human-specific Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) ligand, CpG 2006 [58], were used to determine inhibition of virus entry. Compounds were diluted in serum-free medium and added to HFFs seeded on chamber slides 24 h prior to infection. After infection and treatment, cells were fixed, permeabilized, and air-dried. Cells were incubated with mouse monoclonal anti-pp65 antibody at 37°C in humidified chambers for 1 h, washed three times with 0.1% Tween 20 in PBS (PBST), incubated with rhodamine conjugated anti-mouse IgG (Sigma Chemical Co) at 37°C in humidified chambers for 1 h, and washed with PBST (0.1% Tween 20). A drop of mount oil containing DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (Santa Cruz) was added to the slides before visualization with a Nikon Eclipse E-800 fluorescence microscope.

Pharmacokinetics (PK) and CMV inhibition in mice

Male BALB/c mice (n = 3 per time point) were treated with a single oral administration of 0.1 mg/kg emetine. The dosing solution was prepared in saline with a dosing volume of 10 mL/kg. Blood and tissue samples including liver, lung and spleen were collected at 0, 0.083, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 24, 30, 48, 72 and 96 hr. Emetine concentration in plasma and tissue homogenates was determined by LC-MS/MS. Pharmacokinetic parameters (Cmax, Tmax, AUC and t1/2) were calculated with a non-compartmental approach using the Pharsight WinNonLin software (Ver. 6.4). The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Division of Veterinary Resources, NIH.

For infection experiments BALB/c mice, 4–6 weeks old, were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, Indiana). The Animal Care and Use Committee of Johns Hopkins University approved the experimental procedures. After 2–3 days of adaptation to the housing environment, mice were randomly divided into seven groups as follows: control (5 mice), infected (12 mice), infected + emetine, 0.1 mg/kg treated at 72 hpi (10 mice), infected + emetine 1.0 mg/kg (10 mice) treated at 72 hpi, infected + emetine, 0.1 mg/kg treated at 24 hpi (8 mice), infected + emetine 1.0 mg/kg (8 mice) treated at 24 hpi and infected + GCV 10 mg/kg (10 mice). Mice were infected intraperitoneally with 106 PFU/mice (0.1 mL in 0.8% saline). Control mice received 0.1 mL of saline intraperitoneally. Emetine was administered orally every three days. GCV was administered intraperitoneally twice daily. A total of three doses of emetine and ten doses of GCV was administered. Control and infected mice received equivalent volumes of saline. Mice were sacrificed at day 14 after infection. Blood samples were collected by cardiac puncture. Salivary glands and liver were harvested and stored at -80°C. Organs were
homogenized in DMEM with 4% FBS at a final concentration of 100 mg/mL. Two million MEFs were seeded into 24-well plates. From each sample, 5% of the salivary gland homogenate or 10% of the liver homogenate was used for infection of MEFs in triplicates. Plaques were counted after three days. Whole blood viral load was measured at day 14 by real-time PCR of the glycoprotein B (gB) gene [59]. DNA was extracted using the DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Georgetown, MD).

RNA isolation and real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase (qRT) PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cultured cells using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). RevertAid first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas life sciences, Cromwell Park, MD) was used to synthesize first strand cDNA from total RNA using oligo-dT primers. Negative RT reactions were included to ensure the specificity of qRT-PCR reactions. Synthesis of first strand cDNA from mRNA template was carried out at 42°C for 1 h. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in triplicates using specific primers for p21 (F: 5’ TGG AGA CTC TCA GGG TCG AAA 3’; R: 5’ CGG CGT TTG GAG TGG TAG AA 3’) and SYBR green (Fermentas life science) with two-step cycling protocol (95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min). GAPDH (F: 5’ CGG AGT CAA CGG ATT TGG TCG TAT 3’; R: 5’ AGC CTT CTC CAT GGT GGT GAA GAC 3’) was used as the internal control.

SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and immunoblot analysis

Cell lysates were quantified for protein content using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL). Equivalent amount of proteins were used for Western blot analysis as described previously (11). Protein bands were visualized by chemiluminescence using Western Blotting Luminol Reagent (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA). The following antibodies were used for detection of HCMV proteins: mouse anti-IE1 and IE2, (MAb810); mouse anti-UL44 (Santa Cruz biotechnology); mouse monoclonal anti-pp65 (Vector laboratories, Burlingame, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody (Millipore, Billerica, MA); rabbit polyclonal anti-RPS14 and mouse monoclonal anti-MDM2 (AbCam, Cambridge, UK), mouse monoclonal anti-p53 (Santa Cruz); horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling); and HRP-conjugated sheep anti-mouse IgG, (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). For detection of co-immunoprecipitated proteins, protein A-HRP conjugate (Cell Signaling Technologies, Beverly, MA) was used as secondary antibody to eliminate the interfering IgG bands [60].

Transient transfections

HEK-293 cells seeded into 10 cm petri dishes were transfected with HCMV plasmid encoding IE1 and IE2 (pRL45) [61], using Lipofectamine 2000 in serum free medium. After 6 h, 10% FBS containing media was added along with 10 μM of MG132. Following overnight incubation emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) were added for 4 h. Cells were then harvested to prepare lysates for IP.

Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) and immunoblotting

Non-infected, infected or emetine-treated HFFs were treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 12h. Cells were harvested after 24 hpi and lysed with IP buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 50mM Tris pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA, 0.5% TritonX-100 and 0.5% NP-40. 1 mg of lysate was precleared with bead slurry for 30 min. The precleared lysates were incubated with anti-MDM2 (2 μg)
antibody overnight. The antibody complexes were isolated using protein A/G beads (Santa Cruz), washed three times with 50% IP buffer. The immunoprecipitate-protein A/G beads were boiled in SDS sample buffer, and the supernatant was analyzed on SDS-PAGE gels after immunoblotting as described previously. 1% of the cell lysate used for IP was loaded on gels as 'Input'. Confirmatory reverse IPs were performed as described in each experiment. Isotype control antibodies included: rabbit IgG polyclonal, mouse IgG2a kappa and mouse IgG2b kappa monoclonal (Abcam). Mouse monoclonal RPS19 antibody (Abcam) served as an additional negative control for the IPs. Densitometry analysis was performed to determine relative ratio of immunoprecipitated protein to its input level.

Confocal microscopy

Two million cells were plated on a chamber slide followed by infection with HCMV and treatment with emetine or GCV for 24 or 72 h. Cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature, permeabilized with ice cold methanol for 10 min at -20°C and blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in 0.5% Tween-20 for 20 min at room temperature. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, washed and incubated with fluorescently-labeled secondary antibodies for 2 h at 37°C. Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon EZ C1). All images were captured at 60X magnification and processed under identical conditions with constant parameters (including scan speed and excitation and emission wavelengths) using Nikon EZ C1 software. Data analysis (percent nuclear localization) was performed by NIS-Elements software (Nikon) for a minimum of 40 cells in the high-density and 25 cells in the low-density samples from two fields per condition.

RPS14 degradation

HFFs were plated at 0.5 or 2 million/plate in 6-well plates. Cells were infected and either untreated or treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM). At 24 hpi cells were treated with cycloheximide (100 μg/mL). Cell lysates were collected for RPS14 Western blot analysis at 0, 15 min, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h and 8 h post cycloheximide.

Lentivirus-mediated knockdown (KD) of RPS14

Human TRC lentiviral shRNA constructs (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for RPS14 knockdown (KD) in HFFs. Multiple validated shRNAs (Clone ID: TRCN0000008641-4) targeting different regions of RPS14 mRNA were used to generate stable cell lines [62,63]. TRC non-targeting control plasmid was used to rule out non-specific effects of shRNA constructs. Individual shRNA constructs were packaged using lentivirus as described [64,65]. Lentivirus particles containing shRNA were transduced into HFFs. Puromycin (2 μg/ml) was added to select for stably transduced cells. Control HFFs and RPS14 KD HFFs were counted and equal number of cells was plated prior to infection or treatment.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was assessed with GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, SD (n ≥ 3). Student’s t test was used to determine whether the mean of two groups are significantly different. In all analyses, two sided p values were used, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. For animal studies, one way Anova was used to determine significance. Densitometry analysis was performed with ImageJ.
Supporting Information

S1 Table. EC50 of emetine against different human herpesviruses and MCMV. (DOCX)

S2 Table. PK data of emetine concentrations in the tested organs. (DOCX)

S1 Fig. Low concentrations of Emetine do not inhibit protein synthesis in non-infected or HCMV-infected HFFs. One million cells were seeded in a 96 well black, clear bottom plate and mock or HCMV-infected (Towne) followed by treatment with the indicated doses of emetine for 24 h or CHX for 30 minutes. Puromycin analog O-Propargyl-puromycin (OPP) was added for termination of polypeptides. Protein synthesis was quantified as indicated in the materials and methods section. A) Cells were visualized using Nikon Eclipse E-800 fluorescence microscope. B) Fluorescence was measured using a filter to detect FITC (excitation/emission = 485/535 nm). Results are shown as the mean of the percent fluorescence intensities compared to non-emetine-treated control ± SD. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Specificity of protein interaction using anti-RPS19 and isotype control antibodies. HFFs were seeded at 2 million/plate in 100 mm dishes, infected with Towne followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5μM) for 24h. MG132 (10 μM) was added after 12 h. At 24 hpi, lysates were collected and subjected to IP with A) rabbit IgG isotype control followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS14. IP with anti-RPS14 antibody were used as a positive control. B) mouse IgG-2a isotype control followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 or anti-p53 antibody. IP with anti-MDM2 or anti-p53 antibody were used as a positive control. C) anti-RPS19 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2. Mouse IgG-2b was used as an isotype control. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Emetine induces RPS14 and MDM2 interaction in MCMV-infected MEFs and disrupts the interaction between MDM2 and p53. Cells were seeded at 2 million/plate in 100 mm dishes, infected with MCMV followed by treatment with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5μM) for 6h. MG132 (10 μM) was added after 2h. At 6 hpi, lysates were collected and subjected to IP with A) anti-MDM2 followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS14 antibody (upper panel). In reverse reaction, IP was performed with anti-RPS14 followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 antibody (lower panel). B) anti-MDM2 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-p53 antibody (upper panel) or IP with anti-p53 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 antibody (lower panel). C) Inputs from each lysate were detected for MDM2, p53 and RPS14 content. (TIF)

S4 Fig. RPS14 does not interact with MDM2 in non-infected emetine treated cells and is not localized in the nuclear compartment. A) Cells were seeded at 2 or 1 million/plate in 100 mm dishes and treated emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 24 h. MG132 (10 μM) was added after 12 h. Lysates were collected at 24 h and IP was performed with anti-MDM2 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-RPS14 antibody. B) Cells were seeded at 2 million/plate in a 4-well chamber slide, and treated with emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) for 72 h. Cells were stained with IE1/2 (Alexa 555:Red) and RPS14 (FITC: Green) and nuclear DAPI. Stained slides were subjected to confocal microscopy and colocalization was quantified using NIS elements. (TIF)
S5 Fig. Emetine disrupts MDM2-IE2 interaction. A) HEK293 cells were seeded in 100 mm dishes and transfected with pRL45 plasmid, followed by treatment with MG132 (10 μM) for 12h. Emetine (75 nM) or GCV (5 μM) were then added for 4h. An IP was performed with anti-IE1/IE2 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-MDM2 antibody or B) Reverse IP was performed with anti-MDM2 antibody followed by immunoblotting with anti-IE1/IE2 antibody.
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