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Human Dendritic Cells Acquire a Semimature Phenotype and Lymph Node Homing Potential through Interaction with CD4\(^+\)/CD25\(^+\) Regulatory T Cells

Jagadeesh Bayry,\(^2\,\dagger\) Frédéric Triebel,\(\ddagger\) Srini V. Kaveri,\(\dagger\) and David F. Tough\(^2,3\,*\)

Interactions between dendritic cells (DC) and T cells are known to involve the delivery of signals in both directions. We sought to characterize the effects on human DC of contact with different subsets of activated CD4\(^+\) T cells. The results showed that interaction with CD25\(^{high}\)/CD4\(^+\) regulatory T cells (Tregs) caused DC to take on very different properties than contact with naive or memory phenotype T cells. Whereas non-Tregs stimulated DC maturation, culture with Tregs produced DC with a mixed phenotype. By many criteria, Tregs inhibited DC maturation, inducing down-regulation of costimulatory molecules and T cell stimulatory activity. However, DC exposed to Tregs also showed some changes typically associated with DC maturation, namely, increased expression of CCR7 and MHC class II molecules, and gained the ability to migrate in response to the CCR7 ligand CCL19. Both soluble factors and cell-associated molecules were shown to be involved in Treg modulation of DC, with lymphocyte activation gene 3 (LAG-3) playing a predominant role in driving maturation-associated changes. The data show that Tregs induce the generation of semimature DC with the potential to migrate into lymphoid organs, suggesting a possible mechanism by which Tregs down-modulate immune responses. The Journal of Immunology, 2007, 178: 4184–4193.

The central role of dendritic cells (DC)\(^4\) in controlling immunity is linked to the ability of these cells to modify their properties in response to external stimuli. In general, DC are considered to switch from an immature state, in which they are adept at Ag capture but are poor stimulators of T cells, into a mature state, in which they are potent T cell activators (1). This is associated with two contrasting functions of DC: the maintenance of self-tolerance through abortive stimulation of T cells by immature DC and the induction of immune responses via the productive activation of T cells by mature DC (2). DC maturation involves multiple alterations, including changes in Ag processing/presentation and expression of MHC molecules, costimulatory molecules, cytokines, and chemokines, which affect their ability to attract and regulate the differentiation and activation of T cells. The expression of chemokine receptors on DC also changes following the receipt of maturation-inducing stimuli, shifting from receptors specific for chemokines expressed in peripheral tissues to those recognizing chemokines produced in secondary lymphoid organs (3, 4).

Different classes of stimuli have been shown to modulate the properties of DC. One of these is infection, to which DC can respond either directly or indirectly. Direct responses to infectious agents are mediated by DC-expressed innate receptors, such as the members of the TLR family, which recognize conserved features of pathogens and can initiate signals for maturation (7). Indirect, infection-induced signals that influence DC behavior include cytokines as well as molecules released by dying cells (8–10). A second type of stimulus that is well established for its influence on DC is that mediated by contact with T cells. Thus, presentation of Ag to specific T cells not only initiates signaling in the T cells, but also results in the delivery of signals to the APC. The reciprocal stimulation of DC by T cells appears to be required for the development of DC with full T cell stimulatory activity, at least in the context of some types of immune responses. For example, prior interaction with CD4\(^+\) T cells generates DC that are competent for the induction of CD8\(^+\) T cell responses by cross-priming, a process referred to as “DC licensing” (11, 12). CD4\(^+\) T cells have also been shown to influence the quality of immune response that DC elicit. In one report, DC pre-exposed to T\(_{h3}\) phenotype memory T cells were shown to induce a similarly polarized response when subsequently used to stimulate naïve T cells (13). Modulation of DC function in this way, which the authors called “DC education”, was dependent on IL-4, IL-10, and some other undefined factor(s) produced by the memory T cells. Conversely, DC licensing for cross-priming was shown to be mediated by CD40 ligand (CD40L), which is expressed on activated T cells and delivers...
signals to the DC through the cross-linking of CD40 (14). Although CD40L-CD40 interaction is the best-characterized T cell-mediated DC maturation stimulus, a variety of other DC cell surface molecules possessing ligands on activated T cells have been demonstrated to deliver signals to DC. In addition to cytokine and chemokine receptors, these include MHC class II, TNF-related activation-induced cytokine receptor, Fas, CD80, and CD86 (15–19).

As suggested by the studies on DC “education,” the way in which interactions with T cells affect DC will depend on the properties of the specific T cells involved. In this respect, understanding how DC function is influenced by contact with regulatory T cells (Tregs) is important. Naturally occurring CD25+CD4+ Tregs have been shown to play a key role in down-modulating immune responses, contributing both to the maintenance of self-tolerance and to prevention of excessive responses against infection (20). Tregs inhibit the proliferation and cytokine production by conventional T cells, and a deficiency of these cells is associated with autoimmune disease in mice and humans (20–25). The way in which Tregs suppress immune responses remains a subject of debate.

Studies of Treg activity in vitro have generally indicated that suppression of T cell responses requires direct cell-cell contact, but soluble factors, particularly TGF-β and IL-10, have also been implicated in Treg activity (26). Moreover, Tregs may act on multiple target cells. Thus, while some studies suggest that Tregs can act directly on responding T cells (27), there is evidence that effects of Tregs on DC are also important (26). Most notably, recent work in the field has demonstrated that interactions with Tregs can influence immune responses to DC in a manner that is both dependent and independent of direct cell-cell contact.

Whereas non-Tregs stimulate classical DC maturation, Tregs cause DC maturation by TLR agonists, day 6 DC were stimulated with poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml/0.5 × 10^6 cells) for 24 h. DC were washed extensively with RPMI 1640/10% FCS before further culture. TLR-stimulated DC showed a mature phenotype with high expression levels of HLA-DR, CD80, CD86, CCR7, and the DC maturation marker CD83 (data not shown).

**Isolation of T cell subsets**

CD4+CD45RO+ T cells were purified from PBMC by negative selection using a kit from Dynal Biotech. CD4+CD45RO+CD25high Tregs were isolated from purified CD4+CD45RO+ T cells by positive selection using CD25 beads. The flow-through population, depleted of CD25high cells but not CD25low cells, was used for comparison to Tregs. Purified CD4+CD45RO+CD25high Tregs represented 0.86% of PBMC (range, 0.55–1.6%, n = 17) and 4.4% of CD4+CD45RO− T cells (range, 1.6–10.4%). CD4+CD45RA- T cells were isolated from PBMC by a two-step negative selection process, involving initial purification of CD4+ T cells and subsequent depletion of CD45RO+ T cells using CD45RO beads (Miltenyi Biotec). The purity of the various T cell subsets was >95% (data not shown).

**DC-T cell coculture**

Day 7 DC were cultured in medium containing GM-CSF and IL-4 or were cocultured with T cell subsets at a 1:5 ratio for 72 h. T cells from allogeneic donors were added to DC without prior activation. Autologous T cells were pre-stimulated with immobilized anti-CD3 mAbs (1 μg/ml) for 24 h. T cells were deleted from DC-T cell cocultures using CD3 beads (Miltenyi Biotec).

**Proliferation assay**

CD4+ T cells were isolated by negative selection using a kit from Miltenyi Biotec and labeled with CFSE (0.2 μl of 5 mM for 10 × 10^6 cells) for 5 min at 37°C. After quenching the labeling reaction by addition of 4% FCS-PBS, the cells were washed extensively. CFSE-labeled responder CD4+ T cells (1 × 10^6 cells/200 μl/well) were cultured with purified DC (1 × 10^6 cells) in round-bottom 96-well plates for 96 h in X-vivo 15 medium (Cambrex). To avoid the effect of FCS on the stimulation of T cells, we used FCS-free culture condition in the proliferation assay. T cell proliferation was determined by CFSE dilution analysis on a FACS caliber (BD Biosciences).

**Chemokaxis assay**

Migration of DC was measured by chemokaxis through a 5-μm pore polycarbonate filter in 24-well Transwell chambers (Costar). One hundred nanograms of CCL4, CXCL12, or CCL19 per ml was placed in the lower chamber in a 600-μl volume and 100 μl of DC were placed in the upper chambers at a concentration of 4 × 10^6/ml. After 2 h of incubation at 37°C, migration of DC was assessed by counting the cells in the lower chamber. To calculate the chemotactic index, the number of cells that migrated in the presence of chemokines was divided by the number of cells migrating in medium alone.

**Cytokine production assay**

Cytokines were quantified in cell-free culture supernatants using BD CBA Human Inflammation and Th1/Th2 Cytokine kits (BD Biosciences).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical significance was determined using the Mann-Whitney U test.

**Results**

**Tregs and non-Tregs differentially modulate DC phenotype and function**

We initially characterized the effects on DC of contact with three phenotypically defined subsets of CD4+ T cells: Tregs, naïve phenotype T cells, and memory phenotype T cells, each of which were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy donors. Tregs, which are enriched among CD25high/CD45RO− CD4+ T cells (34–40), were extracted from purified CD4+CD45RO− T cells by positive selection of CD25high cells. The residual, CD25low-depleted
CD4+ CD45RO+ T cells were used as a source of enriched memory cells (hereafter referred to as RO cells). CD4+ CD45RA+ (CD45RA+ T cells were purified separately and represented an enriched naive T cell population (hereafter called RA cells). The isolated CD45RO+ CD25intCD4+ T cells were shown by intracellular FACS staining to express the transcription factor forkhead box P3 (FoxP3), whereas RO and RA T cells were essentially negative for FoxP3 expression (data not shown), consistent with the former cell population being enriched for Tregs. Moreover, these Tregs failed to proliferate after stimulation with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 mAbs and suppressed the proliferation of cocultured conventional T cells in a dose-dependent manner (data not shown).

T cells were cocultured with immature monocyte-derived DC for 3 days, and the expression of various cell surface molecules was examined (Fig. 1). The DC and T cells were from unrelated donors so that presentation of alloantigens served as a stimulus for T cell activation; essentially identical results were observed when T cells were preactivated by anti-CD3 Abs and mixed with autologous DC (data not shown). DC cultured in the absence of T cells (but with GM-CSF and IL-4 to prevent reversion to a monocyte/macrophage phenotype) exhibited the phenotype typical of immature DC. In particular, Tregs induced greater up-regulation of some chemokine receptors associated with DC maturation, namely, CCR7 and CXCR4 (3, 4), than did the non-Treg populations. In addition, interaction with Tregs caused increased expression of HLA-DR on DC (Fig. 1B). Therefore, interaction with activated Tregs caused immature DC to take on some phenotypic characteristics of mature DC. Similar results were observed when Tregs were preactivated and mixed with autologous DC (data not shown).

We further investigated whether Tregs could modulate the properties of DC when non-Tregs were also present. Immature DC were cultured alone, with Tregs, with RO T cells, or with the two T cell types mixed at different ratios (Fig. 1C). Analysis of CD80 and CD86 expression on the DC after culture with T cells showed that the down-regulation of costimulatory molecules varied inversely with the ratio of Tregs:non-Tregs. Notably, even at a ratio of 1:16, the presence of Tregs reduced the up-regulation of CD80 and CD86 stimulated by RO T cells; when cultured with these cells at a 1:8 ratio, DC expression of the costimulatory molecules was lower than on DC cultured in medium alone. Thus, Tregs can influence DC maturation even when they represent a low proportion of total T cells. Overall, the data imply that the outcome of DC-T cell interaction under physiological conditions will depend on the relative level of presentation of Ag to (and subsequent activation of) Tregs vs non-Tregs; this could vary with both the specific peptides presented and the composition of T cell subsets at a given anatomical site.

To determine whether contact with different activated T cell subsets induced functional differences in DC, we first assessed the production of cytokines in the DC-T cell cocultures. Three
days after combining DC and T cells, supernatants were analyzed for the presence of various cytokines (Fig. 2, A and B). As expected, T cell-derived cytokines (IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, TNF-α, and IFN-γ) were present in cocultures containing RO or RA T cells (Fig. 2B). These were essentially absent in Treg-DC cocultures, further confirming the hyporesponsive phenotype of these cells. Notably, several DC-associated cytokines, including IL-12, IL-1, IL-10, IL-6, and IL-8, were produced in greater amounts when DC were cultured with RO and RA cells than with Tregs (Fig. 2A). Compared with cultures of DC alone, only IL-10 (which may be produced by either DC or T cells) was secreted in higher concentrations when Tregs were present.

These data provide additional evidence that Tregs are deficient in stimulating DC maturation.

Functional maturation of the conditioned DC was further assessed by measuring their ability to stimulate T cell proliferation. DC were purified from DC-T cell cocultures and added to CFSE-labeled third-party CD4+ T cells (Fig. 2C). As expected, immature DC that had been precultured in medium alone elicted minimal T cell proliferation (93% of T cells had not divided after 4 days). DC that had been pre-exposed to RO T cells were more effective T cell stimulators (62% undivided cells). However, Treg-conditioned DC failed to induce T cell proliferation (95% undivided cells). Thus, the ability of the DC to stimulate
T cell proliferation correlated with their expression of costimulatory molecules.

The relevance of changes in chemokine receptor expression was assessed by measuring the migration of T cell-conditioned DC in response to three chemokines: CCL19, CXCL12, and CCL4, which act through CCR7, CXCR4, and CCR5, respectively. Again, the functional capacities of the DC matched their phenotypes (Fig. 2D). Compared with DC precultured in medium alone, DC that had been pre-exposed to either RO T cells or Tregs exhibited a substantial increase in their migratory response to CXCL12, consistent with the up-regulation of CXCR4 in both cases. Both types of DC also showed enhanced migration in response to CCL19,

FIGURE 3. Tregs modulate the phenotype and function of poly(I:C)-treated DC. A. DC induced to mature by treatment with poly(I:C) (10 μg/ml/0.5 × 10⁶ cells for 24 h) were washed and cultured alone (none) or cocultured with allogeneic Tregs, RO T cells, or RA T cells at a 1:5 ratio for 72 h. DC were purified from DC-T cell cocultures and analyzed for the expression of surface markers. The percentage of cells expressing the indicated markers are shown in A, while mean fluorescence intensities are shown in B. Data are represented by box and whisker plots as described in Fig. 1. Results from up to 6 donors are presented. Statistical significance (*, p < 0.05), as determined by the Mann-Whitney U test, is indicated. C and D. Effect of coculture of poly(I:C)-treated DC with T cells on the secretion of DC (C) and T cell (D)-cytokines. Poly(I:C)-treated DC were cultured alone (none) or cocultured with allogenic Tregs, RO T cells, or RA T cells at a 1:5 ratio for 72 h. Supernatants from the cocultures were assayed for the indicated cytokines. Results from up to five donors are presented. Statistical significance (*, p < 0.05), as determined by the Mann-Whitney U test, is indicated.
although a much stronger response was exhibited by Treg-conditioned DC. Conversely, increased migration to the CCR5 ligand CCL4 was observed only for DC that had been precultured with Tregs (Fig. 2D), in accordance with CCR5 up-regulation on Treg- but not RO-conditioned DC (Fig. 1A).

**Tregs modulate the phenotype and function of TLR-stimulated mature DC**

During the course of an immune response, full maturation of DC is likely to involve a two-step process: initial stimulation by an infection-associated signal and subsequent triggering by activated T cells (41). To investigate how different T cell subsets affect DC that have received the first of these signals, DC were treated for 24 h with polyinosinic polycytidylic acid (poly I:C), an agonist of TLR3 and inducer of DC maturation (42), before coculture with T cells. As shown in Fig. 3, addition of RA or RO cells to the poly(I:C)-treated DC had relatively minor effects on expression of maturation markers. Small increases in CCR7 and CD86 were observed, while the expression of most markers remained unchanged compared with DC cultured in medium alone. Conversely, DC cultured with Tregs had a significantly lower expression of CD80 and CD86 and higher expression of CCR5 than either DC cultured alone or DC cultured with RA or RO cells. Thus, Tregs were able to exert an inhibitory effect on DC maturation after these cells had been exposed to a TLR3 agonist. Very similar results were observed when LPS (a TLR4 agonist) was used as the DC maturation signal (data not shown). Interestingly, as with immature DC, poly(I:C)-treated DC expressed significantly higher levels of CCR7 after coculture with Tregs than after exposure to RO T cells or when cultured in medium alone (Fig. 3A).

To further characterize the effects of Tregs on TLR-stimulated DC, cytokines were measured in the supernatants of the cocultures (Fig. 3, C and D). As observed for immature DC, T cell-derived cytokines were detected in cultures containing RO or RA T cells but not Tregs (Fig. 3D). In addition, culture of RA or RO cells with poly(I:C)-treated DC further stimulated the secretion of IL-6, IL-8 (with RO cells only), and IL-10, while IL-12 and IL-1 remained unchanged compared with DC cultured in medium alone (Fig. 3C). Conversely, only IL-10 was secreted in significantly higher concentrations when Tregs were present, providing further evidence that Tregs are deficient in stimulating TLR-matured DC.

To investigate how interaction with the T cell subsets affected the function of TLR agonist-stimulated DC, DC were purified from the DC-T cell cocultures and tested for their ability to stimulate third-party allogeneic CD4+ T cells (Fig. 4). Poly(I:C)-treated DC that had been precultured in medium alone were able to induce some T cell proliferation (33% divided), and very similar results were observed when Treg-pretreated DC were used as APC.
Conversely, much higher proliferation was induced by DC that had been precultured with RO cells (82%), indicating that these cells had further promoted the maturation of the poly(I:C)-treated DC. Thus, by this criterion, Tregs failed to enhance the T cell stimulatory activity of DC, but they did not reduce this activity compared with DC that were not conditioned by T cells.

We also measured the quantities of multiple cytokines present in the secondary DC-T cell cocultures (Fig. 4B). Preculture of DC with RO cells led to altered production of several cytokines compared with preculture of DC in medium alone. This included increases in IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-4, and IL-5 and decreases in IL-10 and IL-2; the reduction in the latter presumably reflects use of the cytokine by activated T cells in the culture. This result provided additional evidence for the ability of RO cells to enhance the maturation of poly(I:C)-treated DC. In contrast, reduced concentrations of most cytokines were observed in cultures stimulated with Treg-conditioned DC vs DC precultured in medium alone. This was apparent for IL-12, IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, IL-10, IFN-γ, and IL-2. Therefore, these data indicated that pre-exposure of TLR-stimulated DC to Tregs largely suppressed cytokine production by both T cells and DC upon subsequent presentation of Ag to non-Tregs.

Multiple mechanisms contribute to Treg-mediated modulation of DC

Activated T cells express a variety of molecules that can influence the properties of DC. Hence, differences in expression of some of these molecules by Tregs vs non-Tregs could contribute to their differential effects on DC. We analyzed the expression of two activation-induced cell surface molecules that might be expected to contribute to T cell-mediated DC modulation, namely, LAG-3 and CD40L. LAG-3 is a high-affinity ligand for MHC class II, and a LAG-3Ig fusion protein has been shown to trigger signals in DC (43, 44). In the mouse, Tregs have been reported to express much higher levels of LAG-3 than non-Tregs following activation, and LAG-3 was shown to contribute to the suppressive activity of Tregs in vitro and in vivo (45). Conversely, CD40L is well recognized for its positive role in T cell DC cross-talk, and cross-linking CD40 on the surface of DC promotes maturation (14).

We measured LAG-3 expression on Tregs, RO cells and RA cells that had been stimulated for 24 h with allogeneic DC (Fig. 5A). All three populations of T cells were found to up-regulate LAG-3 to similar levels, although a slightly lower percentage of RA than RO or Tregs was LAG-3+ after activation (57% vs 70% and 80%, respectively). In contrast, a much lower percentage of Tregs than RO cells expressed CD40L after activation (Fig. 5B). Thus, after activation, a high percentage of Tregs expresses LAG-3, whereas only a low proportion expresses CD40L.
To determine the role of specific molecules in regulating the outcome of DC-Treg interaction, we tested the effects of interventions that would modulate the activity of various receptor-ligand pairs in cocultures of Tregs and allogeneic DC (Fig. 6, A and B). Given the high vs low expression of LAG-3 vs CD40L by activated Tregs, we assessed the effect of either blocking LAG-3 with anti-LAG-3 blocking Abs or adding an exogenous signal for CD40 cross-linking (soluble CD40L trimers). In addition, the effects of neutralizing Abs to CTLA-4, TGF-β, and IL-10, all of which have been reported to contribute to Treg activity in certain contexts, were examined. Immature DC were cocultured with allogeneic Tregs for 72 h, after which DC phenotype and the production of cytokines was compared in cultures receiving specific treatments or isotype control Abs.

Remarkably, all treatments were found to affect the outcome of the DC-Treg coculture, with no single intervention completely reversing the Treg effects. Blocking of LAG-3 was found to inhibit up-regulation of CCR7, CXCR4, and HLA-DR and led to reductions in expression of CD80, CD86, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-8. Therefore, expression of LAG-3 by activated Tregs appeared to be responsible for the positive effects of these cells on DC maturation. Conversely, the data indicated that a number of different mechanisms contributed to Treg-mediated inhibition of DC maturation. Addition of CD40L trimers promoted several maturation-associated changes, including increased CD80 and IL-8 expression and reduced CCR5 and CCR8 expression, suggesting that the poor expression of CD40L by activated Tregs may play a part in the weak ability of Tregs to induce these responses. Anti-TGF-β treatment led to large increases in CD80 and CD86 expression and a small increase in CCR7; this treatment also had an inhibitory effect on IL-10 production (Fig. 2A). Addition of anti-CTLA-4 blocking Abs enhanced expression of CD80, CD86, IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-8, while neutralization of IL-10 led primarily to an increase in IL-6 production, with small increases in CD80 and TNF-α also observed. Overall, therefore, the data indicate that multiple mechanisms contribute to the generation of semimature DC by activated Tregs.

**Discussion**

Although DC are generally thought of as the controlling partner in the DC-T cell relationship, it is clear that feedback from T cells also affects DC function. As shown here, interaction with different types of T cells can endow DC with distinct properties. In particular, Tregs and conventional CD4+ T cells were found to exert contrasting effects on DC, with DC acquiring unique and intriguing characteristics following presentation of alloantigens (or self-Ags in experiments involving autologous Tregs, data not shown) to Tregs. Previous studies have shown that Tregs can inhibit some aspects of DC maturation (29–33), and this was also observed here. However, we demonstrated that Tregs also stimulate DC to exhibit changes typically associated with DC maturation; this included increased expression of MHC class II, CCR7, and CXCR4 and was accompanied by augmented responsiveness to the relevant chemokines. Therefore, Treg-conditioned DC can be described as being semimature.

Skin-derived DC with similar properties to those exhibited by Treg-conditioned DC (CD80low/CD86low/MHC class IIhigh) have been shown to be present in mouse skin-draining lymph nodes under steady-state conditions, and these DC trigger abortive, tolerance-inducing responses upon Ag presentation to T cells (46). Constitutive migration of these semimature DC from skin into lymph nodes was shown to be CCR7 dependent, as has similar movement of DC from the intestinal lamina propria to mesenteric lymph nodes under noninflammatory conditions (47). These findings implied that CCR7 up-regulation could occur independently of DC maturation, but the stimulus for this response was unknown. Based on our data, one mechanism by which this could occur is through interaction of DC with Tregs. Interestingly, CCR8, which was up-regulated by Tregs but not non-Tregs, has also been implicated in the migration of monocyte-derived DC into lymph nodes (48). Because a significant proportion of Tregs found in human peripheral blood possess skin-homing capabilities (49), these cells have the potential to interact with skin resident DC under steady-state conditions. Therefore, Treg recognition of self-Ags presented by DC in peripheral tissues such as skin could be one trigger for “constitutive” DC migration to lymph nodes and might contribute to the maintenance of self-tolerance in this way.

In addition to modulating the properties of immature DC, our data indicated that Tregs could inhibit DC maturation initiated by exposure to TLR ligands. This result appears to contrast with what has been observed for mouse bone marrow-derived DC, where pretreatment with maturation-inducing stimuli such as anti-CD40, LPS or CpG DNA was shown to render DC insensitive to the negative regulatory effects of Tregs (30). However, this could reflect a difference between mouse and human DC, since Tregs have been shown to inhibit the maturation of human monocyte-derived DC that were pre-exposed to anti-CD40 (32). In speculating about the physiological significance of Treg inhibition of TLR ligand-induced DC maturation, two issues should be taken into consideration. First, as shown here, the ratio of Tregs to non-Tregs recognizing Ag on DC was a key factor in determining the overall effect on DC maturation. This ratio could be affected by the relative presentation of self-protein-derived vs pathogen-derived peptides. Notably, DC have been shown to preferentially present, in association with MHC class II, Ags phagocytosed in combination with TLR ligands rather than Ags taken up without a TLR (50). Hence, DC exposed to infectious agents would be expected to be biased in presentation of pathogen-associated rather than self-Ags and the ratio of non-Tregs:Tregs recognizing Ags on these DC should be high. Treg down-modulation of DC maturation could provide a fail-safe mechanism, should presentation of self-Ags predominate on a TLR-stimulated DC, helping to prevent induction of autoimmunity during the context of infection. Second, in addition to their role in maintaining self-tolerance, Tregs have been shown to participate in the control of immune responses against infection, helping to avoid pathology that could be associated with excessive responses (51–53). Down-modulatory effects on DC maturation could contribute to this aspect of Treg function as well.

We demonstrated that LAG-3 participates in Treg-induced up-regulation of CCR7 and CXCR4 on DC. Previous studies showed that treatment of DC with a soluble LAG-3Ig fusion protein induces increases in DC expression of costimulatory molecules and cytokines, including TNF-α and IL-12 (44, 54). Signaling for this response is triggered by high-affinity binding of LAG-3 to MHC class II (55). The more limited effects observed here for Treg-expressed LAG-3 than previously reported for the fusion protein could indicate differences in the signals initiated by cell-associated vs soluble LAG-3. Alternatively, many of the maturation-associated changes triggered by LAG-3 might have been negated by other inhibitory Treg effector mechanisms (see below). Notably, LAG-3 expression has been shown to play a role in the suppressive activity of mouse Tregs (45), which would seem to conflict with the ability of LAG-3 to induce DC maturation. However, cross-linking of LAG-3 on T cells has been shown to negatively regulate T cell activation (56, 57), suggesting that LAG-3 may directly affect Treg activity. Our data imply that LAG-3 could also contribute to Treg function in vivo through control of steady-state DC migration.
In addition to LAG-3, a number of other molecules were shown to play a role in the modulation of DC properties by Tregs. Direct evidence for the participation of IL-10, TGF-β, and CTLA-4 was provided by experiments using the relevant neutralizing Abs, while the ability of CD40L trimeres to reverse some Treg effects suggested that low CD40L expression by activated Tregs contributes to their overall effects on DC. Interestingly, each factor was involved in mediating a unique combination of responses by the DC. For example, adding CD40L to DC-Treg cultures triggered up-regulation of CD80 (but not CD86) and down-regulation of CCR5 and CCR8, whereas blocking TGF-β led to increased expression of CD80 and CD86, but did not affect CCR5 or CCR8 expression. The data demonstrate that the Tregs differ from non-Tregs in their CD80 and CD86, but did not affect CCR5 or CCR8 expression.

The potential to fine-tune the function of DC.

In summary, we have shown that human Tregs modulate the properties of monocyte-derived DC, generating APC that are poor T cell stimulators with the capacity to migrate into the T cell areas of secondary lymphoid organs. The data have implications for steady-state maturation of immature DC and for the mechanisms by which Tregs maintain self-tolerance.
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