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Abstract
This paper deals with the relationship between weblog content and time. With the proposed temporal mutual information, we analyze the collocations in time dimension, and the interesting collocations related to special events. The temporal mutual information is employed to observe the strength of term-to-term associations over time. An event detection algorithm identifies the collocations that may cause an event in a specific timestamp. An event summarization algorithm retrieves a set of collocations which describe an event. We compare our approach with the approach without considering the time interval. The experimental results demonstrate that the temporal collocations capture the real world semantics and real world events over time.

1. Introduction
Compared with traditional media such as online news and enterprise websites, weblogs have several unique characteristics, e.g., containing abundant life experiences and public opinions toward different topics, highly sensitive to the events occurring in the real world, and associated with the personal information of bloggers. Some works have been proposed to leverage these characteristics, e.g., the study of the relationship between the content and bloggers’ profiles (Adamic & Glance, 2005; Burger & Henderson, 2006; Teng & Chen, 2006), and content and real events (Glance, Hurst & Tornkiyo, 2004; Kim, 2005; Thelwall, 2006; Thompson, 2003).

In this paper, we will use temporal collocation to model the term-to-term association over time. In the past, some useful collocation models (Manning & Schütze, 1999) have been proposed such as mean and variance, hypothesis test, mutual information, etc. Some works analyze the weblogs from the aspect of time like the dynamics of weblogs in time and location (Mei, et al., 2006), the weblog posting behavior (Doran, Griffith & Henderson, 2006; Hurst, 2006), the topic extraction (Glance, Hurst & Tornkiyo, 2004; Kim, 2005; Thelwall, 2006; Thompson, 2003), etc.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines temporal collocation to model the strength of term-to-term associations over time. Section 3 introduces an event detection algorithm to detect the events in weblogs, and an event summarization algorithm to extract the description of an event in a specific time with temporal collocations. Section 4 shows and discusses the experimental results. Section 5 concludes the remarks.

2. Temporal Collocations
We derive the temporal collocations from Shannon’s mutual information (Manning & Schütze, 1999) which is defined as follows (Definition 1).

Definition 1 (Mutual Information) The mutual information of two terms \(x\) and \(y\) is defined as:

\[
I(x, y) = P(x, y) \log \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x)P(y)}
\]

where \(P(x, y)\) is the co-occurrence probability of \(x\) and \(y\), and \(P(x)\) and \(P(y)\) denote the occurrence probability of \(x\) and \(y\), respectively.

Following the definition of mutual information, we derive the temporal mutual information modeling the term-to-term association over time, and the definition is given as follows.

Definition 2 (Temporal Mutual Information) Given a timestamp \(t\) and a pair of terms \(x\) and \(y\), the temporal mutual information of \(x\) and \(y\) in \(t\) is defined as:

\[
I(x, y | t) = P(x, y | t) \log \frac{P(x, y | t)}{P(x | t)P(y | t)}
\]

where \(P(x,y|t)\) is the probability of co-occurrence of terms \(x\) and \(y\) in timestamp \(t\), \(P(x|t)\) and \(P(y|t)\) denote the probability of occurrences of \(x\) and \(y\) in timestamp \(t\), respectively.

To measure the change of mutual information in time dimension, we define the change of temporal mutual information as follows.

Definition 3 (Change of Temporal Mutual Information) Given time interval \([t_1, t_2]\), the change of temporal mutual information is defined as:

\[
C(x, y, t_1, t_2) = \frac{I(x, y | t_2) - I(x, y | t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}
\]

where \(C(x,y,t_1,t_2)\) is the change of temporal mutual information of terms \(x\) and \(y\) in time interval \([t_1, t_2]\), \(I(x,y|t_1)\) and \(I(x,y|t_2)\) are the temporal mutual information in time \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), respectively.

3. Event Detection
Event detection aims to identify the collocations resulting in events and then retrieve the description of events. Figure 1 sketches an example of event detection. The weblog is parsed into a set of collocations. All collocations are processed and monitored to identify the plausible events. Here, a regular event “Mother’s day” and an irregular event “Typhoon Chanchu” are detected. The event “Typhoon Chanchu” is described by the words...
The architecture of an event detection system includes a preprocessing phase for parsing the weblogs and retrieving the collocations; an event detection phase detecting the unusual peak of the change of temporal mutual information and identifying the set of collocations which may result in an event in a specific time duration; and an event summarization phase extracting the collocations related to the seed collocations found in a specific time duration.

The most important part in the preprocessing phase is collocation extraction. We retrieve the collocations from the sentences in blog posts. The candidates are two terms within a window size. Due to the size of candidates, we have to identify the set of tracking terms for further analysis. In this paper, those candidates containing stopwords or with low change of temporal mutual information are removed.

In the event detection phase, we detect events by using the peak of temporal mutual information in time dimension. However, the regular pattern of temporal mutual information may cause problems to our detection. Therefore, we remove the regular pattern by seasonal index, and then detect the plausible events by measuring the unusual peak of temporal mutual information.

If a topic is suddenly discussed, the relationship between the related terms will become higher. Two alternatives including change of temporal mutual information and relative change of temporal mutual information are employed to detect unusual events. Given timestamps $t_1$ and $t_2$ with temporal mutual information $MI_1$ and $MI_2$, the change of temporal mutual information is calculated by $(MI_2 - MI_1)$. The relative change of temporal mutual information is calculated by $(MI_2/MI_1 - 1)MI_1$.

For each plausible event, there is a seed collocation, e.g., “Typhoon Chanchu”. In the event description retrieval phase, we try to select the collocations with the highest mutual information with the word $w$ in a seed collocation. They will form a collocation network for the event. Initially, the seed collocation is placed into the network. When a new collocation is added, we compute the mutual information of the multiword collocations by the following formula, where $n$ is the number of collocations in the network up to now.

$$Multiword\ Mutual\ Information = \prod_{i=1}^{n} MI_i$$

If the multiword mutual information is lower than a threshold, the algorithm stops and returns the words in the collocation network as a description of the event. Figure 2 sketches an example. The collocations “Chanchu’s path”, “Typhoon eye”, and “Chanchu affects” are added into the network in sequence based on their MI.

We have two alternatives to add the collocations to the event description. The first method adds the collocations which have the highest mutual information as discussed above. In contrast, the second method adds the collocations which have the highest product of mutual information and change of temporal mutual information.

4. Experiments and Discussions

4.1. Temporal Mutual Information versus Mutual Information

In the experiments, we adopt the ICWSM weblog data set (Teng & Chen, 2007; ICWSM, 2007). This data set collected from May 1, 2006 through May 20, 2006 is about 20 GB. Without loss of generality, we use the English weblog of 2,734,518 articles for analysis.

To evaluate the effectiveness of time information, we made the experiments based on mutual information (Definition 1) and temporal mutual information (Definition 2). The former called the incremental approach measures the mutual information at each time point based on all available temporal information at that time. The latter called the interval-based approach considers the temporal mutual information in different time stamps. Figures 3 and 4 show the comparisons between interval-based approach and incremental approach, respectively, in the event of Da Vinci Code.

We find that “Tom Hanks” has higher change of temporal mutual information compared to “Da Vinci Code”. Compared to the incremental approach in Figure 4, the interval-based approach can reflect the exact release date of “Da Vinci Code.”

4.2. Evaluation of Event Detection
We consider the events of May 2006 listed in wikipedia\(^1\) as gold standard. On the one hand, the events posted in wikipedia are not always complete, so that we adopt recall rate as our evaluation metric. On the other hand, the events specified in wikipedia are not always discussed in weblogs. Thus, we search the contents of blog post to verify if the events were touched on in our blog corpus. Before evaluation, we remove the events listed in wikipedia, but not referenced in the weblogs.

---

\(^1\) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/May_2006

---

Table 1 and Table 2 list the top 20 collocations based on these two approaches, respectively. The results of the first approach show that some collocations are related to the feelings such as “fell left” and time such as “Saturday night”. In contrast, the results of the second approach show more interesting collocations related to the news events at that time, such as terrorists “zacarias moussaoui” and “paramod mahajan.” These two persons were killed in May 3. Besides, “Geena Davis” got the golden award in May 3. That explains why the collocations detected by relative change of temporal mutual information are better than those detected by change of temporal mutual information.

Table 1: Top 20 collocations with highest change of temporal mutual information

| Collocations            | CMI     | Collocations     | CMI     |
|-------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| May 03                  | 9276.08 | Current music    | 1842.67 |
| Illegal immigrants      | 5833.17 | Hate studying    | 1722.32 |
| Feel left               | 5411.57 | Stephen Colbert  | 1709.59 |
| Saturday night          | 4155.29 | Thursday night   | 1678.78 |
| Past weekend            | 2405.32 | Can’t believe    | 1533.33 |
| White house             | 2208.89 | Feel asleep      | 1428.18 |
| Red sox                 | 2208.43 | Ice cream        | 1373.23 |
| Album tool              | 2120.30 | Oh god           | 1369.52 |
| Sunday morning          | 2006.78 | Illegalimmigration | 1368.12 |
| Sunday night            | 1992.37 | Pretty cool      | 1316.56 |

Table 2: Top 20 collocations with highest relative change of temporal mutual information

| Collocations            | CMI     | Collocations     | CMI     |
|-------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| casinos online          | 618.36  | Diet sodas       | 32.50   |
| zacarias moussaoui      | 154.68  | Ving rhames      | 31.63   |
| Tsunami warning         | 107.93  | Stock picks      | 29.09   |
| Conspirator zacarias    | 71.62   | Happy hump       | 28.45   |
| Artist formerly         | 57.04   | Wong kan         | 28.34   |
| Federal Jury            | 41.78   | Sixapartcom      | 28.13   |
| Wcd 3                   | 39.20   | Aaron echolls    | 27.48   |
| Pramod mahajan          | 35.41   | Phnom penh       | 25.78   |
| BBC Version             | 35.21   | Livejournal      | 23.83   |
| Geena davis             | 33.64   | George yeo       | 20.34   |

---

4.3. Evaluation of Event Summarization

As discussed in Section 3, we have two methods to include collocations to the event description. Method 1 employs the highest mutual information, and Method 2
utilizes the highest product of mutual information and change of temporal mutual information. Figure 7 shows the performance of Method 1 and Method 2. We can see that the performance of Method 2 is better than that of Method 1 in most cases.

Figure 7: Overall Performance of Event Summarization

The results of event summarization by Method 2 are shown in Figure 8. Typhoon Chanchu appeared in the Pacific Ocean on May 10, 2006, passed through Philippine and China and resulted in disasters in these areas on May 13 and 18, 2006. The appearance of the typhoon Chanchu cannot be found from the events listed in wikipedia on May 10. However, we can identify the appearance of typhoon Chanchu from the description of the typhoon appearance such as “typhoon named” and “Typhoon eye”. In addition, the typhoon Chanchu’s path can also be inferred from the retrieved collocations such as “Philippine China” and “near China”. The response of bloggers such as “unexpected typhoon” and “8 typhoons” is also extracted.

Figure 8: Event Summarization for Typhoon Chanchu

5. Concluding Remarks

This paper introduces temporal mutual information to capture term-term association over time in weblogs. The extracted collocation with unusual peak which is in terms of relative change of temporal mutual information is selected to represent an event. We collect those collocations with the highest product of mutual information and change of temporal mutual information to summarize the specific event. The experiments on ICWSM weblog data set and evaluation with wikipedia event lists at the same period as weblogs demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed temporal collocation model and event detection algorithms.

Currently, we do not consider user groups and locations. This methodology will be extended to model the collocations over time and location, and the relationship between the user-preferred usage of collocations and the profile of users.
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