Electronic and Magnetic Structure of Possible Iron Based Superconductor BaFe$_2$Se$_3$
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We present results of LDA calculations (band structure, densities of states, Fermi surfaces) for possible iron based superconductor BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ (Ba123) in normal (paramagnetic) phase. Results are briefly compared with similar data on prototype BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and (K,Cs)Fe$_2$Se$_2$ superconductors. Without doping this system is antiferromagnetic with $T^{\alpha}_{N} \sim 250$K and rather complicated magnetic structure. Neutron diffraction experiments indicated the possibility of two possible spin structures (antiferromagnetically ordered “plaquettes” or “zigzags”), indistinguishable by neutron scattering. Using LSDA calculated exchange parameters we estimate Neel temperatures for both spin structures within the molecular field approximation and show $\tau_1$ (“plaquettes”) spin configuration to be more favorable than $\tau_2$ (“zigzags”).

PACS: 71.20.-b, 74.70.-b, 75.10.-b, 75.25.+z

1. INTRODUCTION

During the recent years novel iron based high-temperature superconductors [1] attracted a huge number of both experimental and theoretical investigations (for review see [2, 3]). This flow of papers is still continuing uninterrupted. Major chemical classes of iron based superconductors are pnictides [1] and chalcogenides [4] (for comparative study see Ref. [5]).

Recently BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ (Ba123) system [6] was proposed as possible superconductor (analogous to (K,Cs)Fe$_2$Se$_2$) with experimental indications of $T_c \sim 11$K. However, later study claimed no superconductivity in this system down to 1.8K [7]. Both papers [6, 7] exploited neutron diffraction and discovered Ba123 to be antiferromagnetic spin-ladder system with Neel temperature of about 250K, and proposed two possible spin configurations. In Ref. [6] these spin configurations (antiferromagnetically ordered “plaquettes” or “zigzags”) were reported to be equivalent in a sense of diffraction picture and thus indistinguishable. Ref. [7] is rather in favour of “plaquettes” pattern.

Most recent investigations of the Ba123 system shown that with doping (Fe deficiency) it becomes a semiconductor with the energy gap about 0.2 eV at room temperature [8, 9]. In Ref. [9] LSDA calculations were also used to calculate total energies of phases with different magnetic structures. From these results it can be seen that more favorable is apparently the “plaquettes” configuration.

In this work we present LDA calculated electronic structure, densities of states and Fermi surfaces for BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ system in (high-temperature) paramagnetic phase (probably most promising for superconductivity) and compare the results with those for the previously studied systems, such as BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and (K,Cs)Fe$_2$Se$_2$. For antiferromagnetic phase we use LSDA calculated values of Heisenberg model exchange parameters to calculate Neel temperatures for “plaquettes” and “zigzags” spin structures, to compare relative stability of both structures. To this end we conclude that “plaquettes” configuration is more favorable.

2. ELECTRONIC STRUCTURE

We start from crystal structure of Ba123, which belong to orthorhombic $Pnma$ space group [6]. Main structural motif of the Ba123 system is a two-leg ladder going along $b$-axis. This ladder is formed by Fe ions which are surrounded by tetrahedrally coordinated Se ions. Those ladders form a kind of “checker board” structure perpendicular to $b$-axis. This structure is obviously quite different from previously studied BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and AFe$_2$Se$_2$ systems (see Refs. [5, 10, 11]), which have body centered tetragonal crystal structure.

Using this experimentally established crystal structure (lattice parameters and all atomic positions used in our calculations were taken from Ref. [6]) we performed LDA band structure calculations within the linearized muffin-tin orbitals method (LMTO) [12] using default settings.

In Fig. 1 we present LDA calculated band disper-
sions (on the right side) and densities of states (DOS) (on the left side). Similarly to Ba122 [10] and AFe2Se2 [11] systems electronic states on the Fermi level and around it (from 1 to -2 eV) are mostly formed by Fe-3d orbitals. The Se-4p orbitals form bands at energies below -2 eV. Hybridization between Fe-3d and Se-4p states is rather moderate.

In Fig. 3 we present LDA calculated Fermi surfaces (FS) of Ba123. Overall shape of the Ba123 FS (upper panel of Fig. 4) is very different from those in typical iron pnictides or chalcogenides [10, 11]. First of all it looks pretty three-dimensional and does not have any well established cylinders. Near Γ-point we see a jabot-like structure and near Y-point we observe a kind of “bottomless pot” FS sheet. In the lower panel of Fig. 3 we show FS cross-section for the \( k_z = 0 \) plane. Fermi sheets closest to Γ-point are electron like, while those FS sheets near Y-point are hole-like.

Further on in Fig. 2 we show band dispersions of Ba123 in close proximity of the Fermi level. We can see that these band dispersions are very much different from typical bands of previously studied pnictides or chalcogenides [10, 11]. Close to Γ-point there are two electron pockets and near the Brillouin zone border (Y-point), there are three hole-like pockets (see also Fig. 3, lower panel). Close to the Fermi level there are several band crossings and Van-Hove singularities. Thus Fermi surface topology can be changed rather easy upon doping as in AFe2Se2 system [11], stressing the potential importance of doping for superconductivity search.

3. MAGNETIC STRUCTURE

Neutron scattering experiments [6, 7] on magnetic structure of Ba123 at \( T \ll T^\text{N}_\text{exp} \sim 250 \text{K} \) can be interpreted as indicating two possible types of spin configurations, corresponding to irreducible representations \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) of the \( Pnma \) space group, giving the same diffraction peaks structure. Since neutron diffraction
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The electronic and magnetic properties of BaFe$_2$Se$_3$ are studied. The Heisenberg exchange interaction parameters are calculated using the local spin density approximation (LSDA) and are presented for both magnetic configurations within the mean-field approximation. The Neel temperature for each configuration is determined by computation of the linearized equation for the average value of the order parameter.

\[ H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,n,j,m} I_{i,n,j,m} \vec{S}_{i,n} \cdot \vec{S}_{j,m} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,n,j,m} I_{i,n,j,m} S^2 \vec{e}_{i,n} \cdot \vec{e}_{j,m}, \] (1)

where $I_{i,n,j,m}$ is the exchange integral between sites $i$ of sublattice $n$ and site $j$ of sublattice $m$, $S$ is the classical spin vector length, and $\vec{e}_{i,n}$ is the unit vector of spin direction.

Due to translation invariance of the crystal, the values of $\sigma_n = \sigma_n$ are independent of site number in magnetic sublattices. Then Neel temperature $T_N$ is determined by solving the full system of linear equations for $\sigma_n$ for all magnetic sublattices.

The values of exchange integrals for both spin configurations ($\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$) in Ba123 antiferromagnet for classical Heisenberg model at $T = 0$ were calculated using the method proposed in Ref. [13]. The results are shown by numbers (in K) on bonds in Fig. 4.

Note that both $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ structures can be represented as a set of 16 collinear sublattices with 8 with “spin up” and 8 with “spin down” orientations. The absolute value of $S$ at $T = 0$ on each Fe ion in all sublattices is the same. However spins on different sublattices are connected with their magnetic surroundings by different sets of exchange integrals. Thus, at finite temperature the values $|\sigma_n| = |<S^2_{i,n}>|$ will be different for different sites. We restrict ourselves to the account of only nearest and next nearest exchange bonds of a given ion in the spin-ladder where it belongs and also coupling of nearest neighbors in adjacent ladders.

For $\tau_1$ spin structure, using the symmetry of nearest magnetic environment (see Fig. 4, upper panel), we can see that the following relations should be fulfilled:

\[ \sigma_1 = \sigma_3 = -\sigma_5 = -\sigma_7 = -\sigma_{10} = -\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{14} = \sigma_6, \]
\[ \sigma_2 = \sigma_4 = -\sigma_6 = -\sigma_8 = -\sigma_9 = -\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{13} = \sigma_{15}. \] (3)

Then the linearized equation for the average value of $z$-projection of $\sigma_1$ spin on the magnetic sublattice number 1 becomes:

\[ T_N \sigma_m = \frac{S^3}{3} \sum_{jm} I_{m,jm} \sigma_{jm}. \] (2)
\[ T_N \sigma_1 = \frac{S^3}{3} \left[ J_{1,2}^0 \sigma_2 + J_{1,3}^0 \sigma_3 + J_{1,4}^0 \sigma_7 + J_{1,4}^0 \sigma_1 + J_{1,8}^0 \sigma_8 + 2J_{1,14}^0 \sigma_{14} + 2J_{1,12}^0 \sigma_{12} + 2J_{1,16}^0 \sigma_{16} \right], \tag{4} \]

where \( J_{1,2}^0, J_{1,3}^0 \) and \( J_{1,7}^0 \) are nearest exchange parameters for selected site of the sublattice 1, with neighbors in 2, 3 and 7 sublattices within the ladder, to which the selected site belongs; \( J_{1,4}^0 \) and \( J_{1,8}^0 \) are next nearest exchange parameters within the ladder to which the selected site belongs; \( J_{1,14}^0, J_{1,12}^0 \) and \( J_{1,16}^0 \) are adjacent ladder nearest exchange parameters.

Using Eq. (3) the Eq. (4) can be reduced to:

\[ T_N \sigma_1 = \frac{S^3}{3} \left[ (J_{1,2}^0 + J_{1,4}^0 + J_{1,8}^0) \sigma_1 + (J_{1,12}^0 + J_{1,14}^0 + J_{1,16}^0) \sigma_2 \right]. \tag{5} \]

Similar equation for \( \sigma_2 \) takes the form:

\[ T_N \sigma_2 = \frac{S^3}{3} \left[ (J_{2,1}^0 + J_{2,3}^0 + J_{2,7}^0) \sigma_1 + (J_{2,8}^0 + J_{2,14}^0 + J_{2,16}^0) \sigma_2 \right]. \tag{6} \]

Employing the calculated values of exchange parameters given (in K) in Fig. 4, together with calculated value of magnetic moment on iron \( \mu_{Fe} = 2.55 \mu_B \) (corresponding classical spin vector value \( S=1.275 \)), one can get from Eqs. (5) and (6) the Neel temperature \( T_N(\tau_1)=217K \), which is quite close to the experimental value of \( T_{N_{exp}}^\tau \sim 250K \). Note that the account of exchange bonds with adjacent ladders \( \tilde{J} \) only slightly influences the value of \( T_N \) (without the account of \( \tilde{J} \) we get \( T_N(\tau_1)=204K \)). However, the presence of \( \tilde{J} \) makes symmetry of exchange surrounding different for sublattices 1 and 2. This significantly influences the values and signs of exchange bonds along right and left sides of the spin ladder.

Antiferromagnetic structure with spin configuration \( \tau_2 \) is more complicated, however, using magnetic environment symmetry one can establish the following equalities between average values of \( z \)-projections of spins over all 16 sublattices (see Fig. 4, lower panel).

\[ \sigma_1 = -\sigma_5 = -\sigma_{10} = \sigma_{14}, \quad \sigma_2 = -\sigma_6 = -\sigma_9 = \sigma_{13}, \quad \sigma_3 = -\sigma_7 = -\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{16}, \quad -\sigma_4 = \sigma_8 = \sigma_{11} = -\sigma_{15}. \tag{7} \]

Then following the same line of arguments as for calculation of \( T_N(\tau_1) \) (Eqs. (4-6)), one can get four equations for \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \) and \( \sigma_4 \). Again using the calculated value of iron local magnetic moment \( \mu_{Fe} = 2.43 \mu_B \) \((S=1.215)\) and exchange integral values (in K) (see Fig. 4, lower panel), one can obtain \( T_N(\tau_2)=186K \). This value is 36K lower than \( T_N(\tau_1) \). This tells us that spin configuration \( \tau_1 \) is, in fact, more favorable. It is probable that one of the reasons for this result is the presence of strong antiferromagnetic exchange \( J_{1,2}^0 = J_{8,6}^0 = 54K \) in \( \tau_2 \) structure.

4. CONCLUSION

In this work we have studied the band structure, density of states and Fermi surfaces of recently discovered possible Fe-based superconductor \( \text{BaFe}_2\text{Se}_3 \) (Ba123). In contrast to typical representatives of iron pnictide and chalcogenide systems \([10, 11]\) and despite rather similar chemical composition, Ba123 has completely different crystal structure. Instead of planes with square lattice of Fe-ions, Ba123 is a spin-ladder system with two-leg ladders of Fe ions, which form checkerboard pattern in the plane perpendicular to the ladder direction. Thus, not unexpectedly, the band dispersions and Fermi surfaces are quite different from those in \( \text{BaFe}_2\text{As}_2 \) and \((\text{K, Cs})\text{Fe}_2\text{Se}_2 \). Complicated band structure of Ba123 in the vicinity of the Fermi level illustrates the importance of experiments on different kinds of doping in search for possible superconductivity in this system.

For the undoped Ba123 spin-ladder system, there is a problem of correct choice among two possible antiferromagnetic spin configurations, not easily discernible by neutron scattering (“plaquettes” versus “zigzags”). We could distinguish these configurations by calculating the Neel temperature for classical Heisenberg model, within the molecular field approximation. Corresponding Heisenberg exchange integral values were obtained from LSDA calculations. From our estimates we conclude that more favorable is “plaquette” configuration with (calculated) \( T_N(\tau_1)=217K \) (in contrast to “zigzags” with calculated \( T_N(\tau_2)=186K \)). This conclusion agrees with experimental evidence of Ref. [7] and total LSDA energy calculations of Ref. [9].
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