Enantioselective alkylation of β-keto esters promoted by dimeric Cinchona-derived ammonium salts as recoverable organocatalysts
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Abstract
Dimeric anthracenyldimethyl-derived Cinchona ammonium salts are used as chiral organocatalysts in 5 mol% for the phase-transfer enantioselective alkylation reaction of 2-alkoxycarbonyl-1-indanones with activated bromides. The corresponding adducts bearing a new all-carbon quaternary center are obtained usually in high yield and with moderate and opposite enantioselectivity (up to 55%) when using ammonium salts derived from quinidine and its pseudoenantiomer quinine as organocatalysts. These catalysts can be almost quantitatively recovered by precipitation in ether and reused.
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Introduction

The enantioselective generation of a quaternary stereogenic center is probably one of the most challenging tasks for a synthetic organic chemist,¹ and results particularly interesting when is carried out on substrates such as β-keto esters which offer ample opportunity for further structural elaboration.² Among the transformations suitable for this purpose, the enantioselective alkylation of α-substituted β-keto esters has been performed under palladium catalysis,³ although the development of metal-free alkylation procedures based on the fast forwarding topic of enantioselective organocatalysis⁴ is nowadays desirable.

Among the organocatalytic methodologies, phase transfer catalysis (PTC) is one of the most simple and convenient, its use in many enantioselective transformations being profuse and
successful. The most frequently employed chiral catalysts in enantioselective PTC transformations are ammonium salts derived from *Cinchona* alkaloids, due to its availability and low price.

Surprisingly, the use of the PTC methodology for the enantioselective alkylation of α-substituted β-keto esters leading to quaternary stereocenters has been rather limited. Thus, a dimeric mandelamide-derived phosphonium salt was pioneeringly used in the liquid-liquid phase transfer catalyzed alkylation of tert-butyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate, giving enantioselectivities up to 50%. More recently a binaphthyl-derived with C2-symmetry ammonium salt has afforded high ee’s in the alkylation of several cyclic β-keto esters. However, the use of the popular *Cinchona* alkaloid-derived ammonium salts as phase-transfer organocatalysts has been limited to the use of cinchonine-, cinchonidine- and quinine-derived ammonium salts in the solid-liquid phase transfer benzylaion of tert-butyl 2-oxocyclopentanecarboxylate achieving enantioselections in the range 7-46%, the highest value being obtained using the cinchonine-derived salt 1. In addition, very high ee’s have been obtained with some electrophiles using the cinchonine-derived salt 2 in the alkylation reaction of different cyclic β-keto esters under solid-liquid phase transfer conditions.

![Structures 1 and 2](image)

Our group has been working in the last years on developing recoverable unsupported and supported *Cinchona* alkaloid-derived ammonium salts for their use as chiral organocatalysts in enantioselective transformations. The recovery of the organocatalyst is an important problem when scaling up a synthetic procedure, the development of recyclable organocatalyst being therefore attractive for industrial purposes. Particularly interesting has been the preparation of a series of dimeric anthracenylidimethyl-derived ammonium salts from *Cinchona* alkaloids, which have been employed as recoverable organocatalysts in enantioselective reactions such as asymmetric alkylation and Michael addition reactions of glycinate Schiff bases for the enantioselective synthesis of α-amino acids, being also used in enantioselective cyanoformylations. Recently, these dimeric ammonium salts have been employed in the conjugate addition of cyclic β-keto esters and related substrates achieving enantioselectivities up to 94% ee. Now we report the use of these dimeric ammonium salts in the alkylation of cyclic β-keto esters leading to the enantioselective generation of quaternary stereocenters.
Results and Discussion

The benzylation of 2-tert-butoxycarbonyl-1-indanone 5a using different dimeric Cinchona-derived ammonium salts was used as a model reaction in order to optimize the reaction conditions (Table 1). Thus, 2-tert-butoxycarbonyl-1-indanone 5a reacted with benzyl bromide in the presence of dimeric cinchonidine-derived ammonium salt 3a (5 mol%) as a phase transfer catalyst using solid potassium carbonate (5 eq) as base and a mixture of toluene/chloroform 7/3 v/v as solvent at room temperature. This solvent mixture has afforded good results when working with this type of dimeric ammonium salts. Under this conditions, the corresponding adduct (S)-6aa, bearing a new quaternary stereocenter, was obtained in 30% ee (Table 1, entry 1), its absolute configuration being assigned according to the HPLC retention time of the corresponding enantiomers in the literature. However, when the O-allylated cinchonidine-derived ammonium salt 3b was used as catalyst under these reaction conditions, the enantioselectivity for (S)-6aa dropped dramatically (Table 1, entry 2). When the quinine-derived dimeric ammonium salt 3c was employed as phase-transfer catalyst, the enantioselectivity for (S)-6aa raised up to 31% (Table 1, entry 3), whereas exchanging the chloride counteranion in 3c by a tetrafluoroborate 3d or a hexafluorophosphate 3e, an anion exchange that increases in some cases the efficiency of these type of dimeric catalysts, gave rise to lower enantioselections (Table 2, entries 4 and 5).

![Chemical structures](image)

3a, R^1 = H, R^2 = H, X = Cl
3b, R^1 = H, R^2 = allyl, X = Br
3c, R^1 = OMe, R^2 = H, X = Cl
3d, R^1 = OMe, R^2 = H, X = BF_4
3e, R^1 = OMe, R^2 = H, X = PF_6
4a, R = H
4b, R = OMe
Table 1. Enantioselective benzylolation of β-keto ester 5a. Optimization reactions

| Entry | Catalyst (mol%) | Base | Solvent      | \( T \) (°C) | \( t \) (h) | Yield (%)\(^a\) | ee (%)\(^b\) |
|-------|-----------------|------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1     | 3a (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 5 | 66 | 30 (S) |
| 2     | 3b (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 5 | 72 | 5 (S) |
| 3     | 3c (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 5 | 61 | 31 (S) |
| 4     | 3d (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 5 | 39 | 20 (S) |
| 5     | 3e (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 5 | 98 | 24 (S) |
| 6     | 4a (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 42 | 98 | 27 (R) |
| 7     | 4b (5)          | K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 43 | 98 | 42 (R) |
| 8     | 4b (5)          | Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 16 | 98 | 36 (R) |
| 9     | 4b (5)          | LiOH (s)       | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 19 | 27 | 0 |
| 10    | 4b (5)          | NaOH (s)       | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 16 | 67 | 22 (R) |
| 11    | 4b (5)          | KOH (s)        | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 1 | 98 | 55 (R) |
| 12    | 4b (5)          | CsOH H\(_2\)O (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 1 | 98 | 48 (R) |
| 13    | 4b (5)          | K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) H\(_2\)O (s) | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 8 | 98 | 42 (R) |
| 14    | 4b (5)          | 50% aq KOH     | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 1 | 98 | 30 (R) |
| 15    | 4b (5)          | KOH (s)        | PhMe           | 25 | 2 | 98 | 50 (R) |
| 16    | 4b (5)          | KOH (s)        | CH\(_2\)Cl \(_2\) | 25 | 2 | 98 | 53 (R) |
| 17    | 4b (5)          | KOH (s)        | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 0 | 6 | 98 | 53 (R) |
| 18    | 4b (5)          | KOH (s)        | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | -40 | 7 | 30 | 16 (R) |
| 19    | 4b (5)          | iPr\(_2\)EtN    | CH\(_2\)Cl \(_2\) | 25 | 3 | 32 | 11 (R) |
| 20    | 4b (10)         | KOH (s)        | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 2 | 98 | 51 (R) |
| 21    | 3c (5)          | KOH (s)        | PhMe/CH\(_2\)Cl \(_3\) | 25 | 1 | 85 | 9 (S) |

\(^a\) Determined by \(^1\)H NMR (300 MHz) using diphenylmethane as internal standard.  
\(^b\) Enantioselectivities and absolute stereochemistry determined by chiral HPLC (see Experimental Section).

When the pseudoenantiomer of 3a, the cinchonine-derived ammonium salt 4a was used as phase-transfer catalyst, the expected opposite enantioinduction was observed and the benzylolation adduct (R)-6aa was obtained in 27% ee (Table 1, entry 6). However, the use of the pseudoenantiomeric salt of 3c as catalyst, the quinidine-derived salt 4b, afforded the corresponding adduct (R)-6aa in a higher 42% ee (Table 1, entry 7). The change of the potassium carbonate as base by cesium carbonate diminished the obtained enantioselectivity for (R)-6aa.
The use of solid hydroxide-based bases was then explored, observing that lithium hydroxide gave no stereoinduction at all (Table 1, entry 9), whereas the use of solid sodium hydroxide afforded 22% ee for (R)-6aa (Table 1, entry 10). When solid potassium carbonate was used as base, the reaction rate increased notably and the benzylated adduct (R)-6aa was obtained in 55% ee (Table 1, entry 11), lower enantioselectivities being obtained when using monohydrated cesium hydroxide or potassium phosphate as solid bases (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). In addition, liquid-liquid phase-transfer catalyzed conditions were attempted using 50% aqueous potassium hydroxide and the mixture toluene/chloroform as solvent at room temperature, but the enantioselectivity for (R)-6aa resulted in only 30% (Table 1, entry 14).

The use of other solvents such as toluene or dichloromethane under the above mentioned solid-liquid phase-transfer conditions using potassium hydroxide as base, gave slightly lower enantioselectivities for (R)-6aa than when using the mixture toluene/chloroform 7/3 (Table 1, entries 15 and 16). In addition, lowering the reaction temperature to 0 ºC proved ineffective (Table 1, entry 17), whereas an even lower reaction temperature (-40 ºC) showed clearly detrimental (Table 1, entry 18). Moreover, the use of homogeneous reaction conditions achieved using diisopropylethylamine as base and dichloromethane as solvent, a method that has shown effective in the enantioselective Michael addition reaction of cyclic β-keto esters catalyzed by these dimeric ammonium salts,¹⁵ gave rise to much lower enantioinduction for (R)-6aa (Table 1, entry 19). Furthermore, using twice the loading of catalyst 4b (10 eq) did not improve the observed ee for (R)-6aa (Table 1, entry 20). Finally, when the quinine-derived pseudoenantiomeric ammonium salt 3c was employed as catalyst, instead of 4b, under the most appropriate reaction conditions conditions, the corresponding (S)-6aa was obtained in only 9% ee (Table 1, entry 21).

Once the most effective catalyst and reaction conditions were established [4b (5 mol%), KOH(s), PhMe/CHCl₃, 25 ºC], we explored the scope of this enantioselective alkylation reaction by changing the β-keto ester pro-nucleophile and the electrophile, the obtained results using 4b as phase-transfer organocatalyst being shown in Table 2. Thus, when the tert-butyl group present in the starting pro-nucleophile 5a was changed by the methyl group present in 5b, the corresponding adduct (R)-6ba was obtained, after reaction with benzyl bromide, in a much lower enantioselectivity (24% ee) (Table 2, compare entries 1 and 2). Therefore, a tert-butyl was set as the group of choice.

Then we proceed to check the influence of different substituents on the aromatic ring of the electrophile. Thus, the presence of a tert-butyl or a methyl group gave rise to lower and similar enantioselectivities for the corresponding adducts (R)-6ab and (R)-6ac, respectively (Table 2, entries 3 and 4), whereas the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents such as cyano or trifluoromethyl groups raised up again slightly the enantioselectivity for the corresponding adducts (R)-6ad and (R)-6ae (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). Moreover, using 2-(bromomethyl)naphthalene as electrophile in the alkylation of 5a, the alkylated adduct (R)-6af was obtained in 50% ee (Table 2, entry 7).
Table 2. Enantioselective alkylation organocatalyzed by ammonium salt 4b$^a$

| Entry | Keto ester | No. | Electrophile | t (h) | Product | No. | Yield (%)$^b$ | ee (%)$^c$ |
|-------|------------|-----|--------------|------|---------|-----|---------------|------------|
| 1     | ![image](image1.png) | 5a  | ![image](image2.png) Br | 1    | ![image](image3.png) | 6aa | 98            | 55         |
| 2     | ![image](image4.png) | 5b  | ![image](image5.png) | 1    | ![image](image6.png) | 6ba | 87            | 24         |
| 3     | ![image](image7.png) | 5a  | ![image](image8.png) Br | 2    | ![image](image9.png) | 6ab | 98            | 48         |
| 4     | ![image](image10.png) | 5a  | ![image](image11.png) | 5    | ![image](image12.png) | 6ac | 86            | 47         |
| 5     | ![image](image13.png) | 5a  | ![image](image14.png) Br | 3    | ![image](image15.png) | 6ad | 89            | 50         |
| 6     | ![image](image16.png) | 5a  | ![image](image17.png) Br | 1    | ![image](image18.png) | 6ae | 97            | 52         |
| 7     | ![image](image19.png) | 5a  | ![image](image20.png) Br | 1    | ![image](image21.png) | 6af | 98            | 50         |
| 8     | ![image](image22.png) | 5a  | ![image](image23.png) Br | 2    | ![image](image24.png) | 6ag | 59            | 41         |
| 9     | ![image](image25.png) | 5a  | ![image](image26.png) Br | 2    | ![image](image27.png) | 6ah | 98            | 48         |
| 10    | ![image](image28.png) | 5a  | ![image](image29.png) Br | 8    | ![image](image30.png) | 6ai | 92            | 40         |
| 11    | ![image](image31.png) | 5c  | ![image](image32.png) Br | 2    | ![image](image33.png) | 6ca | 98            | 40         |
| 12    | ![image](image34.png) | 5d  | ![image](image35.png) Br | 2    | ![image](image36.png) | 6da | 86            | 33         |
| 13    | ![image](image37.png) | 5e  | ![image](image38.png) | 2    | ![image](image39.png) | 6ea | 98            | 38         |

$^a$ Reaction conditions: Catalyst 4b (5 mol%), KOH (s) (5 eq), PhMe/CHCl$_3$ (7/3 v/v), 25 ºC.

$^b$ Determined by $^1$H NMR (300 MHz) using diphenylmethane as internal standard.

$^c$ Enantioselectivities and absolute stereochemistry determined by chiral HPLC (see Experimental Section).
In addition, the use of allylic bromides as electrophiles was also attempted, giving rise to the products \((R)-6ag\) and \((R)-6ah\) in 41 and 48% ee when using allyl bromide and \((E)-(3\text{-bromoprop-1-en-1-yl})\text{benzene}, respectively, as allylating reagents (Table 2, entries 8 and 9). Furthermore, the use of propargyl bromide as electrophile afforded the corresponding adduct \((R)-6ai\) in 40% ee (Table 2, entry 10).

We also explored the influence of substituents on the β-keto ester pro-nucleophile on the enantioselectivity of the benzylation reaction. Thus the use of indanone \(5c\) bearing a 5-methoxy group was not beneficial and the adduct \((R)-6ca\) was obtained in 40% ee, a value that was lower when using as pro-nucleophile the 5,6-dimethoxy-containing indanone \(5d\) (Table 2, entries 11 and 12). In addition, the use of 5-chloro-containing indanone \(5e\) gave rise to the corresponding adduct \((R)-6ea\) in 38% ee (Table 2, entry 13).

It is interesting to remark that the ammonium salt \(4b\) can be recovered by filtration in a 95% yield, once the reaction was completed, after separation of the base by filtration, evaporation of the solvent and addition of ethyl ether. The recovered ammonium salt has been reused up to three times in the model reaction (Table 2, entry 1) giving rise to almost identical yields and enantioselectivities.

We conclude that quaternary stereocenters can be created in moderate enantioselectivity and usually high yields by an alkylation reaction between cyclic β-keto esters using Cinchona-derived dimeric ammonium as chiral organocatalysts under solid-liquid phase-transfer conditions. The corresponding quinine-derived ammonium salt gave opposite enantioselectivity that its pseudoenantiomer from quinidine, which afforded higher enantioselection values. These organocatalysts can be separated from the reaction medium by precipitation in ether, and reused without loss of activity.

**Experimental Section**

**General.** All the reagents and solvents employed were of the best grade available and were used without further purification. Melting points are uncorrected. IR data were collected on a Nicolet Impact 400D-FT spectrometer. The \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectra were recorded at 25 ºC on a Bruker AC-300 or a Bruker Advance 400 at 300 MHz and 75 MHz, and 400 MHz and 100 MHz, respectively, using CDCl\(_3\) as solvent and TMS as internal standard. MS (EI, 70 eV) were performed on a HP MS-GC-5973A. HRMS analyses were carried out on a Finnigan MAT 95S. Elemental analysis were performed on a Carlo Erba CHNS-O EA1108 analyzer. Enantioselectivities were determined by chiral HPLC using Chiralcel columns and \(n\)-hexane/2-propanol mixtures as eluent. Reference racemic samples of adducts \(6\) were obtained by performing the enantioselective alkylation reaction using \(n\)-tetrabutylammonium bromide as catalyst. Ammonium salts \(3a, 3b, 3c-e, 4a, 4b\) have been prepared following reported procedures. Compounds \(5\) were prepared following a literature procedure. Absolute...
configuration for adducts $6\text{aa,ag,ah}^\text{b}$ and $6\text{ba}^{10}$ was determined according to the described order of elution of their enantiomers in chiral HPLC. The absolute configuration of other adducts was assigned by analogy.

**Alkylation reactions under PTC conditions. Typical procedure.** To a mixture of $5\text{a}$ (232 mg, 1 mmol) and $4\text{b}$ (46 mg, 0.05 mmol) in toluene/chloroform (7/3) (6 mL) was added benzyl bromide (143 µL, 1.2 mmol) and KOH (281 mg, 5 mmol) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred during 1 h until reaction completion (TLC) and filtered to remove the solid base. The solvent was evaporated (15 Torr) and diethyl ether (6 mL) was added to precipitate $4\text{b}$ which was recovered by filtration. The filtrate was diluted with water (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 5 mL). The combined organics were washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated in vacuo (15 Torr) to afford $(R)-4\text{aa}$.

Analytical and spectroscopical data for compounds $6\text{aa,ag,ah}^\text{b}$ and $6\text{ba}^{10}$ have been reported. Data for the other obtained compounds 6 follow.

**(R)-tert-Butyl 2-(4-(tert-butyl)benzyl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ab).** Colorless oil, IR (film) ($\nu_{\text{max}}$, cm$^{-1}$): 738, 844, 921, 1020, 1150, 1215, 1252, 1367, 1464, 1607, 1709, 1738 and 2963. $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_H$ 1.24 (9H, s, C₆H₅C(CH₃)₃), 1.38 (9H, s, CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 3.12 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 17.3$ Hz, C(3)-Hₐ indanone), 3.16 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 13.9$ Hz, CH₄H₅C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 3.45 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 14.2$ Hz, CH₄H₅C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 3.57 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 17.2$ Hz, C(3)-Hₐ indanone), 7.08 (2H, d, $^3J_{HH} = 8.3$ Hz, C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 7.19 (2H, d, $^3J_{HH} = 8.4$ Hz, C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 7.30-7.36 (2H, m, C(4)-H, C(6)-H indanone), 7.51 (1H, td, $^3J_{HH} = 7.4$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 1.2$ Hz, C(5)-H indanone), 7.73 (1H, d, $^3J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, C(7)-H indanone). $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_C$ 27.8 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 31.2 (C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 34.3 (C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 35.7 and 38.9 (2C, CH₂C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃ and C(3) indanone), 62.7 (C(2) indanone), 82.0 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 124.5, 125.0, 126.1, 127.3, 129.6, 133.8, 134.9, 149.4 and 153.4 (10C, ArC), 169.7 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 202.6 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 322 (M-C₄H₈, 67), 277 (100). HRMS (EI), m/z calcld for C₂₁H₂₂O₂ (M-C₄H₈) 322.1569, found 322.1891. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, $\lambda = 210$ nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 99:1, 1.0 mL/min, $t_r = 6.9$, 7.9 min (26:74).

**(R)-tert-Butyl 2-(3-methylbenzyl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ac).** Colorless oil, IR (film) ($\nu_{\text{max}}$, cm$^{-1}$): 702, 748, 781, 846, 936, 1027, 1152, 1215, 1255, 1368, 1464, 1607, 1711, 1737, 2927 and 2977. $^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_H$ 1.38 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 2.24 (3H, s, CH₃), 3.11 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 17.2$ Hz, C(3)-Hₐ indanone), 3.18 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 14.0$ Hz, CH₄H₅C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 3.43 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 14.1$ Hz, CH₄H₅C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 3.56 (1H, d, $^2J_{HH} = 17.2$ Hz, C(3)-Hₐ indanone), 6.97-6.93 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.08-7.04 (1H, m, Ar-H), 7.35-7.29 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.51 (1H, td, $^3J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, $^4J_{HH} = 1.2$ Hz, C(5)-H indanone), 7.73 (1H, d, $^3J_{HH} = 7.7$ Hz, C(7)-H indanone). $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), $\delta_C$ 21.3 (C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃), 27.7 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 35.6 and 39.3 (2C, CH₂C₆H₄C(CH₃)₃ and C(3) indanone), 62.5 (C(2) indanone), 82.0 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 124.4, 126.1, 126.9, 127.3, 127.4, 128.0, 130.7, 135.0, 135.4, 136.8, 137.7 and 153.4 (12C, ArC), 169.7 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 202.6 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 280 (M-C₄H₈, 75), 235 (100). HRMS
(R)-tert-Butyl 2-(4-cyanobenzyl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ad). White solid, mp 118-120 °C, IR (KBr) (v_{max}, cm^{-1}): 574, 737, 762, 848, 857, 1142, 1243, 1289, 1369, 1608, 1705, 1739, 2231, 2932 and 2972. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_H$ 1.39 (9H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 3.06 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_A$ indane), 3.11 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$C$_6$H$_4$CN), 3.45 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$C$_6$H$_4$CN), 3.58 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_B$ indane), 7.28 (2H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$CN), 7.32-7.37 (2H, m, C(4)-H, C(6)-H indane), 7.47 (2H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.2 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$CN), 7.55 (1H, td, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 1.0 Hz, C(5)-H indane), 7.73 (1H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.3 Hz, C(7)-H indane). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_C$ 27.7 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 35.7 and 39.2 (2C, CH$_2$CH$_2$CN and C(3) indane), 61.8 (C(2) indane), 82.5 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 110.6 (ArC), 118.7 (CN), 124.6, 126.1, 127.7, 130.7, 131.9, 135.1, 135.4, 142.5 and 152.8 (9C, ArC), 169.3 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 202.0 (C=O indane). MS, m/z (%) = 247 (M-CO$_2$CH$_3$, 41), 131 (100).

HRMS (EI), m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{13}$NO (M-CO$_2$C$_8$H$_8$) 247.0997, found 247.0983. Anal. Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{21}$NO$_3$ (347.15): C, 76.06; H, 6.09; N, 4.03%. Found: C, 76.05; H, 6.10; N, 3.99%. HPLC: DAICEL Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 95:5, 1.0 mL/min, $\tau_r$ = 9.7, 11.5 min (75:25).

(R)-tert-Butyl 1-oxo-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ae). White solid, mp 87-89 °C, IR (KBr) (v_{max}, cm^{-1}): 764, 821, 847, 908, 1015, 1068, 1125, 1158, 1235, 1324, 1607, 1617, 1700, 1717, 2919, 2969 and 2990. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_H$ 1.37 (9H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 3.06 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_A$ indane), 3.31 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$C$_6$H$_4$CF$_3$), 3.49 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$C$_6$H$_4$CF$_3$), 3.58 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_B$ indane), 7.32-7.27 (2H, m, C(4)-H, C(6)-H indane), 7.35 (2H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.5 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$CF$_3$), 7.44 (2H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 8.1 Hz, C$_6$H$_4$CF$_3$), 7.54 (1H, td, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.4 Hz, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 0.9 Hz, C(5)-H indane), 7.74 (1H, d, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.6 Hz, C(7)-H indane). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_C$ 27.7 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 35.7 and 38.9 (2C, CH$_2$CH$_2$H$_4$CF$_3$ and C(3) indane), 62.1 (C(2) indane), 82.4 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 124.6, 125.1, 125.1, 125.2, 126.1, 127.6, 130.3, 135.2, 135.3, 141.1 and 153.0 (11C, 10 x ArC and CF$_3$), 169.4 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 202.2 (C=O indane). MS, m/z (%) = 334 (M-C$_4$H$_4$S, 45), 157 (100). HRMS (EI), m/z calcd for C$_{18}$H$_{13}$F$_3$O$_3$ (M-C$_4$H$_4$S) 334.0817, found 334.0844. Anal. Calcd for C$_{22}$H$_{21}$F$_3$O$_3$ (390.14): C, 67.68; H, 5.42%. Found: C, 67.80; H, 5.35%. HPLC: Daicel Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 99:1, 1.0 mL/min, $\tau_r$ = 6.3, 7.3 min (76:24).

(R)-tert-Butyl 2-(naphthalen-2-ylmethyl)-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6af). White solid, mp 77-79 °C, IR (KBr) (v_{max}, cm^{-1}): 729, 747, 811, 845, 1152, 1282, 1368, 1437, 1464, 1604, 1709, 1726, 2924 and 2978. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_H$ 1.39 (9H, s, C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 3.17 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_A$ indane), 3.42 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$Naph), 3.58 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H$_B$ indane), 3.62 (1H, d, $^2$J$_{HH}$ = 14.1 Hz, CH$_A$H$_B$Naph), 7.32-7.27 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.42-7.38 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.48 (1H, td, $^3$J$_{HH}$ = 7.5 Hz, $^4$J$_{HH}$ = 1.1 Hz, Ar-H), 7.66-7.62 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.75-7.71 (3H, m, Ar-H). $^{13}$C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$), δ$_C$ 27.8 (CO$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 35.6 and 39.4 (2C, CH$_2$Np and C(3) indane), 62.5 (C(2) indane), 82.1
(CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 124.5, 125.5, 125.9, 126.1, 127.4, 127.5, 127.5, 127.8, 128.2, 128.7, 132.2, 133.2, 134.5, 135.0, 135.3 and 153.3 (16C, ArC), 169.7 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 202.6 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 272 (M-CO₂C₆H₅, 52), 141 (100). HRMS (El), m/z calcd for C₂₀H₁₅O₆ (M- 
CO₂C₆H₅) 272.1201, found 272.1201. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₄O₃ (372.17): C, 80.62; H, 6.49%. Found: 80.31; H, 6.42%. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 97:3, 1.0 mL/min, tᵣ = 10.9, 12.3 min (25:75).

(R)-tert-Butyl 1-oxo-2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ai). White solid, mp 93-95 °C, IR (KBr) (νmax, cm⁻¹): 646, 664, 771, 844, 935, 1034, 1147, 1158, 1255, 1292, 1333, 1370, 1429, 1466, 1606, 1701, 1729, 2935, 2983 and 3287. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δH 1.37 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 1.81 (1H, t, ⁴JHH = 2.6 Hz, CH₂H₂C≡CH), 2.79 (1H, dd, ²JHH = 16.8 Hz, ⁴JHH = 2.6 Hz, CH₂H₂C=CH), 2.96 (1H, dd, ²JHH = 16.8 Hz, ⁴JHH = 2.6 Hz, CH₂H₂C=CH), 3.35 (1H, d, ²JHH = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H₂ indanone), 3.65 (1H, d, ²JHH = 17.3 Hz, C(3)-H₃ indanone). 7.39 (1H, t, ³JHH = 7.7 Hz, C(6)-H indanone), 7.51 (1H, d, ³JHH = 7.7 Hz, C(4)-H indanone), 7.63 (1H, td, ³JHH = 7.6 Hz, ⁴JHH = 1.1 Hz, C(5)-H indanone), 7.77 (1H, d, ³JHH = 7.7 Hz, C(7)-H indanone). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δC 23.6 (CH₂=CH₂), 27.6 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 36.7 (C(3) indanone), 59.7 (C(2) indanone), 70.2 (C≡CH), 79.6 and 82.3 (2C, CO₂C(CH₃)₃ and C=CH), 124.6, 126.2, 127.6, 135.2, 153.4 (6C, ArC), 166.9 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 201.4 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 214 (M-C₆H₅, 77), 157 (100). HRMS (El), m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₀O₃ (270.13): C, 75.53; H, 6.71%. Found: C, 74.97; H, 6.68%. HPLC: Daicel Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 99:1, 0.5 mL/min, tᵣ = 19.4, 21.8 min (30:70).

(R)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5-methoxy-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ca). Colorless oil, IR (film) (νmax, cm⁻¹): 702, 844, 1027, 1090, 1153, 1262, 1368, 1454, 1493, 1600, 1705, 1735, 2931 and 2977. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δH 1.39 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 3.05 (1H, d, ²JHH = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H₂ indanone), 3.24 (1H, d, ²JHH = 14.1 Hz, CH₂H₂Ph), 3.42 (1H, d, ²JHH = 14.1 Hz, CH₂H₂Ph), 3.52 (1H, d, ²JHH = 17.2 Hz, C(3)-H₂ indanone), 3.83 (3H, s, C(5)-OCH₃), 6.76 (1H, d, ²JHH = 1.9 Hz, C(4)-H indanone), 6.84 (1H, dd, ³JHH = 8.5 Hz, ²JHH = 2.2 Hz, C(6)-H indanone), 7.18-7.10 (5H, m, Ph), 7.65 (1H, d, ³JHH = 8.5 Hz, C(7)-H indanone). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δC 27.7 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 35.5 and 39.3 (2C, CH₂Ph and C(3) indanone), 55.5 (OCH₃), 62.6 (C(2) indanone), 81.9 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 109.1, 115.5, 126.2, 126.5, 128.1, 128.5, 129.9, 137.0, 156.4 and 165.5 (10C, ArC), 169.9 (CO₂C(CH₃)₃), 200.6 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 296 (M-C₆H₅, 44), 161 (100). HRMS (El), m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₅O₄ (M-C₆H₅) 296.1049, found 296.1057. HPLC: Daicel Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 97:3, 1.0 mL/min, tᵣ = 8.8, 10.3 min (70:30).

(R)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5,6-dimethoxy-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6da). Pale brown solid, mp 158-160 °C, IR (KBr) (νmax, cm⁻¹): 706, 864, 1029, 1109, 1151, 1223, 1276, 1315, 1368, 1455, 1471, 1501, 1592, 1703, 2937 and 2981. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δH 1.39 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 3.01 (1H, d, ²JHH = 17.0 Hz, C(3)-H₂ indanone), 3.27 (1H, d, ²JHH = 14.1 Hz, CH₂H₂Ph), 3.41 (1H, d, ²JHH = 14.3 Hz, CH₂H₂Ph), 3.46 (1H, d, ²JHH = 16.9 Hz, C(3)-H₂ indanone), 3.91, 3.89 (6H, 2 x s, C(5)-OCH₃, C(6)-OCH₃), 6.75 (1H, s, C(4)-H indanone),
7.16-7.13 (6 H, m, C(7)-H, Ph). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3), δC 27.8 (CO2C(CH3)3), 35.3 and 39.3 (2C, CH2Ph and C(3) indanone), 56.0 and 56.1 (2C, C(5)-OCH3 and C(6)-OCH3), 62.6 (C(2) indanone), 81.9 (CO2C(CH3)3), 104.7, 106.9, 126.5, 128.1, 128.1, 129.9, 137.0, 148.9, 149.4 and 155.7 (10C, ArC), 170.1 (CO2C(CH3)3), 201.1 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 282 (M-CO2CH3, 81), 191 (100). HRMS (EI), m/z calcld for C18H18O3 (M-CO2CH3) 282.1256, found 282.1260. Anal. Calcd for C23H26O5 (382.18): C, 72.23; H, 6.85%. Found: C, 71.63; H, 6.85%. HPLC: Daicel Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 92:8, 1.0 mL/min, tr = 8.3, 9.9 min (67:33).

(R)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5-chloro-1-oxo-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (6ea). White solid, mp 95-96 ºC, IR (KBr) (νmax, cm−1): 701, 841, 935, 1148, 1250, 1368, 1423, 1578, 1601, 1706, 1733, 2931 and 2977. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3), δH 1.38 (9 H, s, C(CH3)3), 3.09 (1 H, d, 2JHH = 17.4 Hz, C(3)-H), 3.29 (1 H, d, 2JHH = 14.1 Hz, CHA-HPh), 3.39 (1 H, d, 2JHH = 14.0 Hz, CHA-HPh), 3.53 (1 H, d, 2JHH = 17.5 Hz, C(3)-Hb), 7.12-7.21 (5 H, m, Ph), 7.28-7.32 (2 H, m, C(4)-H, C(6)-H), 7.64 (1 H, d, 3JHH = 8.1 Hz, C(7)-H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3), δC 27.7 (CO2C(CH3)3), 35.2 and 39.2 (2C, CH2Ph and C(3) indanone), 62.5 (C(2) indanone), 82.3 (CO2C(CH3)3), 125.5, 126.3, 126.8, 128.3, 128.3, 129.9, 133.9, 136.4, 141.5 and 154.7, (10C, ArC), 169.4 (CO2C(CH3)3), 201.3 (C=O indanone). MS, m/z (%) = 300 (M-C4H8, 63), 91 (100). HRMS (EI), m/z calcd for C17H13ClO3 (M-C4H8) 300.0553, found 300.0539. Anal. Calcd for C21H21ClO3 (356.12): C, 70.68; H, 5.93%. Found: C, 71.18; H, 5.88%. HPLC: Daicel Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm, n-hexane/2-propanol, 99:1, 0.5 mL/min, tr = 15.9, 18.7 min (69:31).
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