Spatiotemporal distribution and determinants of delayed first antenatal care visit among reproductive age women in Ethiopia: a spatial and multilevel analysis
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Abstract

Background: Antenatal care (ANC) is one of the four pillars of the initiative for safe motherhood. ANC helps to improve the health of pregnant women and reduce the risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. First ANC is used to know the health status of the mothers and the fetus, to estimate the gestational age and expected date of delivery. Our research aims to investigate the Spatio-temporal distribution of delayed first ANC visit and its predictors using multilevel binary logistic regression analysis.

Method: A total of 10,184 women (2061 in 2005, 3366 in 2011, and 4757 in 2016) were included for this study. The data were cleaned and weighted using STATA version 14. A multilevel binary logistic regression model was fitted to identify significant predictors of delayed first ANC visit. ArcGIS software was used to explore the spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visits and a Bernoulli model was fitted using SaTScan software to identify significant clusters of delayed first ANC visits.

Results: Overall, 77.69, 73.95, and 67.61% of women had delayed their first ANC visit in 2005, 2011, and 2016 EDHSs respectively. Women education [AOR = 0.71; 95%CI; 0.60, 0.84], unwanted pregnancy [AOR = 1.41;95%CI; 1.04, 1.89], and rural residence [AOR = 1.68;95%CI; 1.19, 2.38] have significantly associated with delayed first ANC visit. The spatial analysis revealed that delayed first ANC visit varies in each EDHS period. The SaTScan analysis result of EDHS 2005 data identified 122 primary clusters located between the border of Oromia and Eastern SNNPR regions (RR = 1.30, LLR = 32.31, P-value< 0.001), whereas in 2011 EDHS, 145 primary clusters were identified in entire Tigray, B/Gumuz, Amhara western part of Afar and northwest Oromia regions (RR = 1.30, LLR = 40.79, P-value< 0.001). Besides in 2016 EDHS,198 primary clusters were located in the entire SNNPR, Gambella, Northen B/Gumuz, and western Oromia regions. (RR = 1.35, LLR = 83.21, P-value< 0.001).
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Conclusion: In Ethiopia delayed first ANC visit was significantly varied across the country over time Women’s education, wanted the last child, and residence were significantly associated with delayed first ANC booking. The effect of each predictor was found to be different across regions of Ethiopia. Therefore, a targeted intervention program is required in highly affected areas of Ethiopia.
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Background

One of World Health Organization (WHO’s) top priorities is to promote maternal health, including antenatal care (ANC), which is one of the Healthy Motherhood Initiative’s four pillars [1]. Maternal health refers to the well-being of women before, during, and after pregnancy, as well as during childbirth and the postpartum period [2]. Antenatal care (ANC) can be defined as the care provided by skilled healthcare providers to pregnant women and adolescent girls to ensure the best maternal and child health during pregnancy [3]. Antenatal maternity care is a way for pregnant women to enter the health-care system and allows them to arrange the resources they need to have a stable pregnancy, a safe childbirth, and a healthy mother-baby pair [1, 4].

The ANC promotes the health of pregnant women and has been demonstrated to reduce the risk of unfavorable pregnancy effects, perinatal and fetal mortality, and morbidity [5, 6]. The ANC’s early visit allows health care workers to test and treat numerous maternal and fetal health issues as soon as possible, such as malnutrition, sexually transmitted diseases, congenital malformations, and other pregnancy complications [5]. The first step is to hit the maximum of success for healthy motherhood is antenatal care (ANC) [6]. For early detection of pregnancy-related problems and unfavorable pregnancy outcomes, like low birth weight, stillbirth, intrauterine fetal death and other complications, early initiation of ANC are essential, however, most of the mothers initiate ANC late [7, 8].

Prenatal care is more likely to be successful if women start receiving care in the first trimester of pregnancy and continue to receive care throughout pregnancy, according to agreed guidelines [9]. The first antenatal check-up is defined as the first time a pregnant woman attends to a maternity health services to receive health care. It is used to consider the health status of mothers and the fetus, to predict the gestational age (GA) and expected delivery date (EDD), and to launch plans for future follow-ups [7].

The first trimester of pregnancy is the fetus’s most rapid developing stage, during which all of its organs are fully developed and require special care [10]. ANC follow-up during this period indirectly saves the lives of babies and mothers by promoting and establishing good health and proper nutrition of both the child [11].

Malnutrition of the child in the first 1000 days which results from poor adherence to ANC is associated with poorer cognitive development and lower educational outcomes [12].

Pregnant women in developing countries should have at least four ANC checks, with the first one occurring before the gestational age of 12 weeks, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [13].

Daily, 830 women die in the world as a result of pregnancy and childbirth-related problems, and more than 303,000 women die annually [14]. Almost all of those deaths, occur in developing countries, and from this, Sub-saharan Africa alone accounts for about 66%, followed by Southern Asia 22% [14]. Furthermore, it is reported that 2.6 million babies are stillborn, with another 4 million newborns dying during the neonatal period. Many of these deaths may have been prevented without delay during the first visit by measures offered as part of basic antenatal care services [14, 15].

While the worldwide coverage of early prenatal care visits rose by 43% between 1990 and 2013, only less than half of all women in less developed countries had early prenatal care visits [16]. Maternal mortality continues to vary between developing and developed countries. The overall lifetime risk of a woman’s death due to pregnancy and related causes is estimated at 1 in 180 in developing countries, while it is around 1 in 4900 in developed countries [17].

Ethiopia is one of the Subsaharan African countries which have a high magnitude maternal mortality rate which was 401 per 100,000 live births in 2017 and remains among the highest in the world [10, 18]. In developing countries like Ethiopia, obstetric complications during pregnancy and childbirth are the leading causes of death among reproductive-aged women [19, 20]. The lack of access and insufficient use of antenatal care (ANC) during pregnancy is widely recognized as leading to adverse maternal health outcomes. Antenatal care uptake is one of the key indicators for monitoring the progress of improving maternal outcomes [8, 19–21].

A systematic study and meta-analysis conducted in Ethiopia found that the pooled magnitude of delayed ANC was 64% [17]. In another study in Ethiopia also showed 89% of women made their first antenatal care visit after the fourth month of pregnancy nationwide [10]. The study was conducted based on the 2011
Ethiopian demographic and health survey (EDHS) and, a study conducted in Addis Zemen primary hospital showed that 66.3 and 52.5% of women did not use ANC in the first trimester respectively [22, 23]. But in the study conducted in the Tigray region, Ethiopia it was 27.5% [24].

Different studies on determinants of antenatal care utilization of show that women living in urban residence [5], having old age [5], having low parity [5], being educated and having an educated partner [5, 24], being employed [5, 22], being exposed to mass media [5], being married [5, 25], and having an ANC follow up at a private hospital or clinic [25] had a positively associated with good ANC attendance and timeliness (Fig. 1).

However, having an unplanned pregnancy [5, 22, 24], having previous pregnancy complications [5], having more than six children [25], lack of husband’s support [5], increased distance to the health facility [5, 22, 25], and not having health insurance and high cost of services [5, 25], were found to be the cause for delayed antenatal visits (Fig. 1).

This study hypothesized that there is a variation in the distribution of delayed first ANC visits in time and region in Ethiopia and there are factors that contribute to these variations. Therefore this study aimed to investigate the spatiotemporal distribution of delayed first ANC visits and its predictors using multilevel binary logistic regression analysis which could certainly provide new evidence helpful for implementers for highly targeted and effective interventions.

The findings of this study are also essential in determining which factors are most important in postponing their first ANC visit, as well as answering the question of when and where delays in their first ANC visit are more common, and what are the causes for this spatiotemporal distribution.

**Methods**

**Study design, setting, and period**
A cross-sectional survey study design was conducted based on 2005, 2011, and 2016 EDHS. Ethiopia is an East African country with a population of 100,613,986
people, making it the second most populous country in Africa. Administratively, Ethiopia is decentralized at the federal level, subdivided into nine regions and two city administrations and regions are divided into zones, and zones, into administrative units called Woredas. Each Woreda is further subdivided into Kebeles, which are the smallest administrative units. Kebele is also subdivided into census enumeration areas (EAs), which are convenient for the implementation of census. The detail of the study design and setting are detailed elsewhere in Central Statistical Agency (CSA) Ethiopia [26].

Source and study population
All reproductive age women (15–49) in Ethiopia were the source population. The study population consisted of women who had given birth in the 5 years before to each survey and had ANC follow-up for the most recent birth. The study excluded women who did not have ANC follow-up after a recent birth, women who did not have birth records, and clusters with zero coordinates.

Sample size and sampling procedure
The poll was conducted in Ethiopia’s nine regions and two city administrations. In each survey year, participants were selected using a stratified two-stage cluster sampling technique (2005, 2011, and 2016). After excluding no birth records and clusters with zero coordinate, a total of 1720 clusters (527 clusters in 2005, 571 clusters in 2011, and 622 clusters in 2016) were included. The detailed sampling procedure was included in each EDHS reports from the Measure DHS website (www.dhsprogram.com). Weighted values were used to maintain the representativeness of the sample data and calculated from children’s record (KR) EDHS datasets. Finally, this study included a total weighted sample of 10,184 women (2061 in 2005, 3366 in 2011, and 4757 in 2016) was included for this study.

Outcome variable
The outcome variable of this study was delayed first ANC visit which means mothers who have first ANC booking after 12 weeks of gestational age [27] which have a binary response. A woman said having delayed first ANC visit if she books her first ANC visit after 12 weeks of pregnancy and coded as “1”, and women said having early first ANC visit if she books her first ANC before 12 weeks gestation and coded it as “0.” [4, 19].

Independent variables
Independent variables at the individual and community levels were considered. Individual socio-demographic variables such as maternal age, marital status, maternal education, household size, household head, and household wealth were included in the individual-level factors. Characteristics of pregnancy and maternal health, such as parity, ever had terminated pregnancy, insurance coverage, and pregnancy desirability were also considered. Finally, behavioral characteristic like media
exposure were included. Media exposure status is created from the frequency of reading a newspaper or magazine, watching TV, and listening to the radio. If a woman has at least one yes, she has considered to have media exposure. The community-level factors include the place of residence, region, perception of distance from the health facility, community-level media exposure, and community level poverty were considered. The level of poverty in the community was determined by the proportion of women in the poorest and poorest quintiles obtained from the wealth index results. It was coded as “0” for low (communities in which <50% women had poor and poorest wealth quintiles), “1” for high (communities in which ≥50% women had poorest and poorer wealth quintiles) poverty communities. Community-level media exposure was assessed by the proportion of women who had at least been exposed to one media. It employs “0” to indicate low-level and “1” to indicate high-level media coverage at the community level [4, 28].

**Data management and analysis**

After obtaining permission via an online request explaining our research purpose, this study was conducted

---

**Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents**

| Explanatory variable                  | EDHS years |
|---------------------------------------|------------|
|                                       | 2005 Frequency (percentage) | 2011 Frequency (percentage) | 2016 Frequency (percentage) |
| First ANC visit                       | Early      | 460(22.31) | 877(26.5) | 1541(32.39) |
|                                       | Delayed    | 2601(77.69) | 2489(73.95) | 3217(67.61) |
| Maternal age (years)                  | 15–24      | 588(28.51) | 947(28.13) | 1233(25.90) |
|                                       | 25–34      | 1002(48.58) | 1638(48.61) | 2488(52.29) |
|                                       | 35–49      | 473(22.91) | 783(23.26) | 10,377(21.81) |
| Mean ± SD                            | 28.36 ± 6.8 | 28.59 ± 6.62 | 28.7 ± 6.6 |
| Maternal education                   | No education | 1286(62.39) | 1780(52.89) | 2569(54.01) |
|                                       | education  | 776(37.61) | 1586(47.11) | 2188(45.99) |
| Marital status                       | Married    | 1868(90.62) | 2859(84.95) | 4419(92.89) |
|                                       | Not married | 194(9.38) | 507(15.05) | 339(7.11) |
| Head of household                    | Male       | 1753(85.06) | 2750(81.71) | 4066(85.48) |
|                                       | female     | 308(14.94) | 615(18.29) | 691(14.52) |
| House hold size                      | 1–4        | 663(32.15) | 1092(32.44) | 1677(35.24) |
|                                       | 5–22       | 1398(67.85) | 2271(67.59) | 3081(64.76) |
| Media exposure                       | No         | 962(46.66) | 917(27.23) | 2735(57.49) |
|                                       | Yes        | 2000(53.34) | 2447(72.69) | 2023(42.57) |
| Wealth index                         | Poor       | 508(24.65) | 1030(30.58) | 1727(36.30) |
|                                       | Middle     | 408(9.78) | 616(18.31) | 993(20.87) |
|                                       | Rich       | 1146(55.58) | 1720(51.11) | 2038(42.83) |
| parity                               | Primiparous | 413(20.04) | 753(22.37) | 1119(23.52) |
|                                       | Multiparous | 1649(79.96) | 2613(77.62) | 3638(76.48) |
| Ever had terminated pregnancy        | No         | 1853(89.9) | 3007(89.35) | 4322(90.68) |
|                                       | Yes        | 208(10.1) | 359(10.65) | 435(9.4) |
| Wanted last pregnancy                | Un Wanted  | 388(18.8) | 342(10.15) | 324(6.81) |
|                                       | Wanted     | 1674(81.2) | 3024(89.85) | 4433(93.19) |
| Residence                            | urban      | 439(21.32) | 894(26.54) | 870(18.29) |
|                                       | rural      | 1621(78.68) | 2472(73.46) | 3887(81.79) |
| Distance from health facilities       | Big problem | 1241(60.22) | 2144(63.71) | 2397(50.39) |
|                                       | Not big problem | 820(39.78) | 1219(36.29) | 2359(49.81) |
| Community media usage                | Low        | 696(33.75) | 1867(53.79) | 2773(58.29) |
|                                       | High       | 1366(66.25) | 1604(46.21) | 1984(41.71) |
| Community poverty level              | Low        | 245(1.19) | 1734(49.96) | 2438(51.24) |
|                                       | High       | 1816(88.81) | 1737(50.04) | 2320(48.76) |
using data from the three EDHSs received from the official DHS measure website www.measuredhs.com. We extracted the outcome and independent variables from the collection of Child data (KR) data [26]. Based on the Guide to DHS Statistics in Microsoft Excel and STATA version 14, data was cleaned and recoded. Before conducting any statistical analysis, we weighted for sample probabilities and non-response using the weighting factor provided in the EDHS data, as per the survey report’s suggestion, to restore the survey’s representativeness and obtain valid statistical estimates. The weighted proportion of delayed first ANC visit data were exported to ArcGIS. Then, for spatial distribution, spatial autocorrelation, incremental autocorrelation, spatial interpolation, and detection of hot spot areas, ArcGIS 10.7 software was used.

Model building
In multi level analysis four models were fitted. The first was the null model (Model 1) used to check the variability of delayed first ANC visits in the community and only containing the outcome variables. The second (model 2) and third (model 3) hierarchical models contain individual-level variables and community-level variables, respectively. In the fourth model (Model 4) both community and individual level variables with the delayed first ANC visit were fitted simultaneously.

Parameter estimation method
Fixed effects (a measure of association) are used to assess the relationship between likelihood of delayed first ANC visit and explanatory variables at both individual and community levels. Factors with a \( p \)-value \( \leq 0.2 \) in crude odds ratio (COR) were selected as candidates for the adjusted model. Finally the associations between dependent and independent variables were assessed and its strength was presented with adjusted odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals with a \( p \)-value of < 0.05.

Random-effects (a measure of variation) were estimated by the median odds ratio (MOR), ICC, and Proportional Change in Variance (PCV).

MOR is defined as the central value of the odds ratio between regions highest risk and the lowest risk when randomly picking out two clusters.

\[
MOR = \exp(\sqrt{2 \times VA} \times 0.6745), \quad \text{or} \quad MOR = e^{0.95 \sqrt{VA}}
\]

where; VA is the area level variance [28–30].

The PCV reveals the variation in delayed first ANC visits among reproductive-age women explained by factors. The PCV is calculated as:

\[
PCV = \frac{\text{Vnull} - VA}{\text{Vnull}} \times 100\% \quad \text{where; Vnull = variance of the initial model, and VA = variance of the model with more terms.}
\]

The ICC which reveals the variation of delayed first ANC visit between clusters is calculated as:

\[
ICC = \frac{VA}{VA + \frac{3}{2}} \times 100\% \quad \text{where; VA = area/cluster level variance [28–30].}
\]

Spatial distribution and autocorrelation
Spatial autocorrelation (Global Moran’s I) statistic measure was used to assess whether delayed first ANC visit was dispersed, clustered, or randomly distributed in Ethiopia [31].

Hot spot analysis
For hotspot analysis, the proportion of delayed initial ANC visits in each cluster was used as an input. Hot Spot Analysis of the z-scores and significant \( p \)-values (Getis-Ord Gi* statistic) identifies locations with hot or cool spot values concentrated in space. The hot spot areas indicated that there was a high proportion of delayed first ANC visits, while there was a low proportion in the cool spot areas.

Spatial interpolation
We used a geostatistical ordinary Kriging spatial interpolation technique using ArcGIS 10.7 software for predict delayed first ANC visits to unsampled areas based on sampled clusters.

| Parameters | Null model (null) | Model2 | Model3 | Model4 |
|------------|------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Community level variance (SE) | 0.779 (0.104) | 0.71(0.096) | 0.52(0.077) | 0.51(0.081) |
| ICC | 0.192 | 0.176 | 0.136 | 0.134 |
| MOR | 2.28 | 1.96 | 1.51 | 1.49 |
| PCV | Reff | 8.3% | 29.2% | 30.2% |
| Model fitness | Deviance | 6043.85 | 5904.43 | 5743.23 | 5508.62 |
| LR test vs. logistic model | 302.88*** | 114.85 *** | 158.62** | 195*** |
| Mean VIF | – | 1.43 | 2.78 | 2.28 |

* = \( p \)-value < 0.05. ** = \( p \)-value < 0.01. *** = \( p \)-value < 0.001

ICC Inter cluster correlation coefficient, MOR Median odds ratio, PCV proportional change in variance
### Table 3: A multilevel analysis of factors associated with delayed first ANC visit among reproductive age women in Ethiopia, data from 2016 EDHS

| Explanatory variable            | Model – 2 AOR, [95% CI] | Model – 3 AOR, [95% CI] | Model – 4 AOR, [95% CI] |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Age category**               |                          |                          |                          |
| 15–24                          | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| 25–34                          | 0.71 [0.57, 0.85]*       | –                        | 0.86 [0.63, 1.01]        |
| 35–49                          | 0.70 [0.55, 0.91]        | –                        | 0.79 [0.62, 1.02]        |
| **Maternal education**         |                          |                          |                          |
| Not educated                   | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Educated                       | 0.68 [0.57, 0.81]**      | –                        | 0.71 [0.60, 0.84]*****   |
| **Marital status**             |                          |                          |                          |
| Married                        | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Not married                    | 0.92 [0.68, 1.22]        | –                        | 0.98 [0.73, 1.31]        |
| **Head of household**          |                          |                          |                          |
| Male                           | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Female                         | 0.78 [0.62, 0.96]**      | –                        | 0.81 [0.65, 1.01]        |
| **Parity**                     |                          |                          |                          |
| Prim-pareous                   | 1.24 [1.00, 1.50]**      | –                        | 1.18 [0.96, 1.44]        |
| Multi-pareous                  |                          | –                        |                          |
| **Wanted pregnancy**           |                          |                          |                          |
| Wanted                         | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Unwanted                       | 1.43 [1.06, 1.93]**      | –                        | 1.41 [1.04, 1.89]**      |
| **Wealth index**               |                          |                          |                          |
| Poor                           | 1.16 [0.95, 1.44]        | –                        | 0.97 [0.78, 1.21]        |
| Middle                         | 1.06 [0.87, 1.31]        | –                        | 0.89 [0.72, 1.11]        |
| Rich                           | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| **Media exposure**             |                          |                          |                          |
| No                             | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Yes                            | 0.81 [0.68, 0.95]**      | –                        | 0.88 [0.75, 1.05]        |
| **Household size**             |                          |                          |                          |
| 1–4                            | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| 5–19                           | 0.12 [0.04, 0.32]        | –                        | 0.10 [0.05, 0.24]        |
| **Distance from health facilities** |                          |                          |                          |
| Big problem                    | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Not Big problem                | 1.01 [0.85, 1.18]        | –                        | 1.15 [0.97, 1.36]        |
| **Community level factors**    |                          |                          |                          |
| Residence                      |                          |                          |                          |
| Urban                          | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Rural                          | –                        | 1.94 [1.41, 2.67]*****   | 1.68 [1.19, 2.38]**      |
| Region                         |                          |                          |                          |
| Tigray                         | –                        | 1.79 [1.07, 3.01]*       | 1.67 [0.99, 2.83]        |
| Afar                           | –                        | 1.89 [0.78, 4.56]        | 1.67 [0.68, 4.11]        |
| Amhara                         | –                        | 1.49 [0.91, 2.44]        | 1.26 [0.77, 2.11]        |
| Oromia                         | –                        | 2.46 [1.50, 4.04]*****   | 2.33 [1.41, 3.86]**      |
| Somalia                        | –                        | 2.29 [1.20, 4.39]*       | 1.92 [0.99, 3.73]        |
| B’gumiz                        | –                        | 3.26 [1.43, 7.46]**      | 3.09 [1.34, 7.15]**      |
| SNNPR                          | –                        | 3.58 [2.15, 5.93]*****   | 3.38 [2.01, 5.67]*****   |
| Gambella                       | –                        | 1.49 [0.46, 4.89]        | 1.47 [0.44, 4.84]        |
| Harare                         | –                        | 0.81 [0.23, 2.82]        | 0.71 [0.19, 2.52]        |
| Dire dewa                      | –                        | 0.49 [0.19, 1.29]        | 0.46 [0.17, 1.23]        |
| Addis ababa                    | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| Com.poverty                    |                          |                          |                          |
| Low                            | 1.00                     | 1.00                     | 1.00                     |
| High                           | 1.00                     | 1.19 [0.77, 1.85]        | 1.21 [0.77, 1.89]        |
| Com. Media                     |                          |                          |                          |
| Low                            | 1.00                     | –                        | 1.00                     |
| High                           | 1.00                     | 1.00                     | 1.00                     |

* = P-value < 0.05, ** = P value < 0.01, *** = P value < 0.001

AOR: adjusted odds ratio; Com. Media: community media usage; CI: confidence interval; Com. Poverty: community poverty status.
Spatial scan statistics
The geographic location of statistically significant clusters for delayed first ANC visit was determined using Kulldorf’s SaTScan version 9.6 software [32]. The scanning window that moves across the study area in which women had delayed the first ANC visit were taken as cases and those women who had early first ANC visit taken as controls to fit the Bernoulli model. Using \( p \)-value and likelihood ratio tests the most probable cluster were determined based on 999 Monte Carlo replicates.

Ethical consideration
After describing the objective of the analysis, the data sets were downloaded with permission from the Measure DHS website http://www.dhsprogram.com.

No personal names or household addresses are in the data collection. The information was used specifically for
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Fig. 3 Spatial autocorrelation of delayed first ANC visit in Ethiopia EDHS 2005 (A), EDHS 2011 (B), and EDHS 2016 (C) plotted using arcMap 10.7
the registered study topic and was not shared with any person.

**Results**

**Background characteristics of study subjects**

A total of 10,184 women (2061 in 2005, 3366 in 2011, and 4757 in 2016) were included for this study. Overall, 77.69, 73.95, and 67.61% of women had delayed their first ANC visit in 2005, 2011, and 2016 EDHSs respectively (Fig. 2). From the three consecutive surveys, more than 45% of the mothers were in the age group of 25–34 years and had nearly similar mean ± SD age of 28 ± 6 years. All most (> 85%), of the women, were married in 5 years preceding the survey in three consecutive surveys. Regarding educational status, 37.3, 47, and 46% of women had formal education in each survey year respectively (Table 1).

**Multilevel model parameter results**

**Random effect and model comparison**

As indicated from Table 2, the ICC in the null model was 19% which indicate the variations of delayed first
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ANC visit among study subjects were attributed to the difference at the cluster level.

The MOR value (2.28) in the null model, also revealed that the odds of being delayed for first ANC booking among study subjects was different between clusters by this average median value.

Furthermore, the PCV value in the final model showed that about 30% of the variation in delayed first ANC visit among study subjects was explained by both the individual and community level factors. Deviance was used for model comparison and fit. Model four, which had the lowest deviation, was the best-fitted model (5508.62) (Table 2).

**Multi-level analysis of factors associated with delayed first ANC visit**

All variables (both individual-level and community-level variables) which have *p*-value< 0.20 in the bivariable analysis was eligible for multivariable analysis but ever had a
termination of pregnancy has a $p$-value = 0.8, so it was omitted from further analysis. Finally, the adjusted OR with 95% CI and $p$-value < 0.05 in the final model was reported.

Based on the final model result, maternal education wanted pregnancy for the last child, residence, and region were found to be significantly associated with delayed first ANC visit. But, the remaining independent variables had no significant association with the outcome variable. Educated women were 29% less likely to have delayed first ANC visit than women with have no formal education [AOR = 0.71; 95%CI; 0.60, 0.84]. Women who had an unwanted pregnancy for the last child were 1.41 times more likely to have delayed the first ANC visit as compared to those who had wanted pregnancy [AOR = 1.41;95%CI; 1.04, 1.89]. The odds of having delayed first ANC visit among women of rural residence was 1.68 times more as compared to women of urban [AOR = 1.68;95%CI; 1.19, 2.38]. The odds of having delayed first ANC visit among women who live in SNNRP, Benshangul Gumuz, and Oromia was 3.38 [AOR = 3.38; 95%CI: 2.01, 5.67], 3.09
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**A. Spatial distribution**

Spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visit in Ethiopia, EDHS 2016
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**B. Interpolation**

Kriging interpolation of delayed first ANC visit in Ethiopia, EDHS 2016

---

**Fig. 6** Spatial distribution (6A) and interpolation (6B) of delayed first ANC visit in Ethiopia EDHS 2016 plotted using arcMap 10.7
[AOR = 3.09; 95%CI: 1.34, 7.15] and 2.33 [AOR = 2.33; 95%CI: 1.41, 3.86] times higher as compared to Addis Ababa respectively (Table 3).

**Spatial and incremental autocorrelation analysis of delayed first ANC visit among reproductive-age women in Ethiopia, 2005, 20,011, and 2016 EDHS**

Spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visit among reproductive-age women showed significant spatial variation across the country over time. In 2005, 20011, and 2016 EDHS, the spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visit among reproductive-age women were found to be non-random (clustered) with Global Moran’s I value 0.58, 0.96, and 0.93 with (p < 0.0001) respectively (Fig. 3).

The incremental autocorrelation result showed that statistically significant z-scores indicated at one peak distance in both 2005 EDHS at 381.602Km; 8.60 (distances; Z-score) and 2011 EDHS at 160.23 Km; 26.4 (distances; Z-score). Whereas it has two peak distances 151.13 km; 27.73 (distances; Z-score) and 180.46Km; 28.19 (distances; Z-score) in 2016 EDHS in where spatial processes promoting clustering are most pronounced detected by 10 distance bands.

**Spatial distribution and interpolation of delayed first ANC visit in Ethiopia**

As shown in the following figures, The red dots represent a more concentrated clustering of delayed first ANC visits among reproductive-age women, whereas the green dots suggest a smaller proportion of delayed first ANC visits among reproductive-age women. Figure 4A, Fig. 5A and Fig. 6A showed that spatial variation was found in delayed first ANC visit at regional levels in each EDHS (Fig. 4A, Fig. 5A, and Fig. 6A).

Figures 4B, Fig. 5B, and Fig. 6B showed Kriging interpolation methods of predicting delayed first ANC visit among reproductive-age women in each consecutive EDHS over the area which were increases from green to red-colored areas. The red color denotes high-risk areas, while the green color denotes low-risk areas for reproductive-age women’s expected having delayed first ANC visit. The prevalence of high-risk areas predicted delayed first ANC visit was extremely high and ranges from 85 to 100% in all EDHS.

Figure 4B on 2005 EDHS revealed that eastern and western part of Amhara, Northeast B/Gumuz, eastern SNNP (south nation nationalities and peoples of Ethiopia),

---

**Fig. 7** Hot spot areas of delayed ANC first visit in Ethiopia, EDHS 2005 plotted using arcMap 10.7

*Source: Shape file CSA, Ethiopia, 2013*
and eastern Oromia regions have predicted more delayed first ANC visit compared to other regions. In contrast, the predicted lower delayed first ANC visit was found in Addis Ababa, Dire Dawa, Harari, and around the center of Amhara (Fig. 4B). Based on EDHS 2011, Kriging interpolation predict that the highest delayed first ANC visit was detected in the Northern part of Amhara, Northeast Afar, south B/Gumze, west and south Oromia regions and central SNNP whereas, predicted relatively lower delayed first ANC visit located in the Addis Ababa, Gambella, North Somali, and Eastern Afar regions (Fig. 5B). In the recent EDHS, EDHS 2016 data Kriging interpolation predicted that border of B/Gumz and Oromia, North SNNP, South Oromia, Northern Afar, and the horn of Somali region predicted the highest prevalence of unintended pregnancy, whereas southern Amhara, Addis Ababa, North Somali, DireDewa and Western Gambella regions predicted relatively low unintended pregnancy (Fig. 6B).

**Hot spot analysis (Getis-Ord Gi* statistic) of the three surveys**
The spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visit was almost similar in the three survey years. In EDHS 2005 hot spot areas of delayed first ANC visit were detected in entire of Tigray, northern west Amhara, and the southern part SNNRP region. Whereas, eastern Amhara, DireDawa, Harari, and Addis Ababa regions of Ethiopia were less risk area (Fig. 7).

In 2011, and 2016 EDHS high clustering of delayed first ANC visit detected in most parts of Tigray, the western part of Benshangul Gumuz, southern Oromia, and the northern part of the SNNPR region Whereas, cold spot area was detected in DireDawa, Harari, Addis Ababa and Gambella regions of Ethiopia (Figs. 8 and 9).

**Spatial scan statistics analysis of three survey years**
Most likely primary and secondary clusters of delayed ANC first visit among women of the reproductive age group were identified. In 2005 EDHS, among a total of 122 most likely clusters, 62 of them were primary clusters. These were located between the border of Oromia and Eastern SNNPR centered at 7.065937 N, 38.082394 E, with a 137.79 km radius. Women who were living in the SaTScan window were 30% more likely to have delayed their first ANC visit (RR = 1.30, LLR = 32.31, P-value< 0.001) (Table 4, and Fig. 10).
In 20,011 EDHS, among a total of 186 most significant clusters, 145 of them were primary clusters. These were located in the entire Tigray, B/Gumuz, Amhara western part of afar and northwest Oromia regions centered at 12.706300 N, 36.064266 E with 485.52 km radius. Similarly, with the 2005 EDHS, women who were living in the SaTScan window were 30% more likely to have delayed the first ANC visit (RR = 1.30, LLR = 40.79, P-value < 0.001) (Table 4, and Fig. 11).

In recent EDHS 2016, the spatial scan statistics identified a total of 229 significant clusters identified, among these 198 were primary clusters which were located in the entire SNNPR, Gambella, northern B/Gumuz, and western Oromia regions, centered at 7.059671 N,

| Table 4 | SaTScan analysis result of unintended pregnancy among reproductive age women in Ethiopia, 2005, 2011 and 2016 EDHS |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EDHS    | EDHS 2005                                                        | EDHS 2011                                                        | EDHS 2016                                                        |
|         | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary | Primary | Secondary |
| Number of clusters | 1 [62] | 2 [160] | 1 [145] | 2 [41] | 1 [198] | 2 [31] |
| Coordinate /Radius | 7.065937 N, 38.082394 E /137.79 km | 12.045916 N, 36.721581 E /393.93 km | 12.706300 N, 36.064266 E /485.52 km | 4.709865 N, 38.656818 E /320.45 km | 7.059671 N, 35.488608 E /412.55 km | 4.123765 N, 38.589911 E /89.50 km |
| Population | 309 | 711 | 1077 | 304 | 1588 | 316 |
| Cases    | 275 | 579 | 793 | 231 | 973.5 | 193.74 |
| RR       | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.35 | 1.25 |
| LRR      | 32.31 | 28.23 | 40.79 | 12.36 | 83.21 | 14.89 |
| P-value  | P = 0.001 | P = 0.001 | P = 0.001 | P = 0.004 | P = 0.001 | P = 0.001 |

Fig. 9 Hot spot areas of delayed ANC first visit in Ethiopia, EDHS 2016 plotted using arcMap 10.7
35.488608 E with 412.55 km radius. Women who were living in the SaTScan window were 35\% more likely to have delayed the first ANC visit (RR = 1.35, LLR = 83.21, \emph{P-value} < 0.001) (Table 4, and Fig. 12).

**Discussion**

This study shows that 67.6\% (95\%CI: 66.3 to 68.9\%) of women had delayed to take their first ANC visit in recent 2016 EDHS. This finding was almost similar to a systematic review and meta-analysis study conducted in Ethiopia (64\%) [17]. However, the research is done in Bangladesh revealed a small prevalence of delayed first ANC visit (13.5) [20]. This discrepancy is may be due to differences in health service coverage and health-seeking behavior of the societies in western countries.

According to our finding factors associated with delayed first ANC visit were women with no education, women with an unwanted pregnancy, and residence of women. In our study, as compared with educated women, uneducated women have more likely to have delayed first ANC visit. This finding was supported by a systematic review and meta-analysis study conducted in Ethiopia [17], research done in Debre Markos, Ethiopia [10], and research done on Tribal women of Bangladesh [20]. This shows that increasing women’s educational status has a positive impact on timely booking of their first ANC visit.

In this study women who had an unwanted pregnancy for their last child was associated with an increased occurrence of delayed first ANC visit. This is in line with a systematic review and meta-analysis study conducted in Ethiopia [17, 33], research done in Tanzania [34], a study in Rwanda [25]. This might due to lack of information, lack of decision-making authority, lack of resources, or cultural factors, women with unintended pregnancy may delay ANC initiation [33]. Unintended pregnancies are often linked to social and cultural determinants of health-seeking behaviors, such as sexual harassment, inadequate use of family planning, which are barriers to health care access, which leading to the late initiation of ANC [33].

Living in a rural area was found to be associated with an increased occurrence of delayed first ANC visit in women. This is in line with studies conducted in Ethiopia [17, 23]. This might due to that the the distance
from the healthcare facility and the quality of ANC services provided may be mediating such outcomes. Other factors like knowledge about the importance of timing and frequency of ANC visits also have a contribution [23]. The spatial distribution of delayed first ANC visit was almost similar in the three survey years. In EDHS 2005 hot spot areas of delayed first ANC visit were detected in entire of Tigray, Northern west Amhara, and the southern part SNNRP regions, whereas in 2011, and 2016 EDHS high clustering of delayed first ANC visit was detected in most parts of Tigray, the western part of Benshangul Gumuz, southern Oromia and the northern part of SNNPR regions. It was supported by multivariate analysis in this study which shows that women who live in SNNRP, Benshangul Gumuz, and Oromia regions had higher odds of delayed first ANC visit as compared to Addis Ababa. The inclusion of nationally representative datasets gave this study its merits, allowing it to be generalised to all reproductive-age women in the study context. Secondly, the estimates of the study were done after the data were weighted to make it representative at the national and regional levels. This study included the spatiotemporal distribution of delayed first ANC visit which was spatially autocorrelated. However, this study is not free from limitations, Since 21 clusters did not have coordinated data we excluded it in the analysis which may affect the estimated result. The data used in this study are cross-sectional data, which limit the conclusions about the causality of factors in the dependent variable. Since it was secondary data some important variables like behavioral factors and service utilization-related parameters were missed.

**Conclusion**

According to this study, even if the proportion of pregnant women who had delayed the first ANC visit decreased in each consecutive survey, the magnitude of the problem is still high. Maternal education was positively associated with delayed first ANC visit, whereas having unwanted pregnancy for the last child and rural residence have negatively associated with delayed first ANC visit. In Ethiopia delayed first ANC visit varies in each EDHS period and across regions. In recent two EDHS, high clustering of delayed first ANC visit was detected in most parts of Tigray, the western part of Benshangul Gumuz, Southern Oromia, and the northern part of SNNPR regions.
Therefore, The governmental and non-governmental organizations should work by giving special attention to those groups of women who had a higher prevalence of delayed ANC visit such as unwanted pregnancy for the last child and living in rural residences is needed. Women’s education should be encouraged and better expand. A targeted intervention program is also required in highly affected regions of Ethiopia. A further case-control study will be needed to dig out the proximal factors contributing to the delayed first ANC visit.
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