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INTRODUCTION
Workplace innovation is the progression centric domain which includes evolving innovatively the ideas by improving the propensity of business. This arrangement of innovation in workplace covers the dominion of work structures, operations, and business processes (Santoro, Vrontis, Thrassou, & Dezi, 2017). Wikhamn, Ambrecht and Wikhamn (2018) describe this arrangement as the implementation of new and improved systems of productions, methods applied, promotional features, and industrial setups, where widely applying the up to date approaches and tactics within workplace (Simao & Franco 2018), which can represent both the technological and non-technological aspects of amendments towards managing domain and operation of business (Kim & Lui, 2015). Where the focus is on establishment of new ideas and approaches of work arrangements overall (Hafeez, Mohd Shariff & Mad Lazim, 2013). From this viewpoint workplace innovation encourages change, creativity and efficiency (Vrontics, Thrassou, Santoro & Papa 2017). Innovation contributes the businesses to establish base with current developing needs concerning market demand. The impact of workplace innovation has the capability to face the challenges imposed by the changing
arrangements and demand of market (Zafar, Hafeez & Mohd Shariff, 2015). Which outcome as growth, development, insistent improvement, effectiveness, capacity building, competitiveness and excellence (Laeeque, Babar & Ahmad, 2017) and most importantly ensuring position in local and global market (Ganter & Hecker, 2014). This represents that organizational innovation is the dynamic need of businesses (Merchandize or services) following to survive and continue in the market while equally facing the local and global struggle (Ferreria, Raposo & Fernandes, 2013), as services and industrial domain both demand for innovativeness (Tether, 2005).

Workplace innovation consists of multiple phases where companies widely focus on transmuting practical thoughts in better approaches, produces, and projection (Baregheh, Rowley & Sambrook, 2009), which represents the domain of innovation as a psychosomatic paradigm that established to ascertain the behavioral aspect of advancement practices by workforce in organizational activities enabling organizations to compete effectively in a competitive environment (Rupp, Shapiro, Folger, Skarlicki & Shao, 2017). Many studies has been focused on innovation concerned conceptions and attitude encouraging innovation within workplace, Scott and Bruce (1994) examined the attitudinal aspect of workforce and established that leaders and effective team work impact widely on the attitude of employees towards workplace innovation. Similarly, transformation leaders having significant impact on workplace innovation (García-Morales, 2008). As leaders who are encouraging devotion towards quality, building effective teams are likely to support creativeness and innovation (Gumusluoglu & Ilsev, 2009). Where, leaders inspire the work structures to ensure best performance of individual which also focus on learning domain of workforce to encourage workplace innovation (Erickson & Jacoby, 2003). Further, the determinants of workplace innovation includes welfare, knowledge and employee’s aptitude (Wang, Fang, Qureshi & Janssen, 2015).

However, Workplace innovation is a multilevel domain which emphasis on the various prospects of innovation including 1) role of individual in innovation 2) role of teams towards innovation 3) organizational focus on innovation 4) and organization’s environment for innovation (McMurry & Dorai, 2003). The first domain is established on the attitude of employees towards aiding, reviving, and expediting innovation within workplace (Tidd, Bessant & Pavitt., 2001). Secondly, the workplace innovation activities are centric into cooperation and teamwork. Which largely required clarity of objects and vision, leaders, clarity of roles, and most importantly relatedness of tasks (Tidd et al., 2001). Further, the third element of workplace innovation is concerned with the role of organization towards innovation like visionary approaches, determination, strategically aligning activities to encourage innovation (Camelo-Ordaz, Fernández-Alles, De la & Valle-Cabrera, 2008). Fourth element is connected with environ and how employees perceiving it in performances, processes and activities which encourage creativity and innovation within workplace (Van der Vegt & Janssen, 2003). The resilient environment for innovation promotes the new and practically valuable thoughts and at the same time vitalize workforce for learning (Kang, Matusik, Kim & Phillips, 2016). Consistent with the discussion above, most of the studies conducted in context of large firms, and found appropriate measure of innovation. The question arises, does these measures of workplace innovation are suitable for SMEs as well? Literature backed this multi-element context of workplace innovation (McMurry, Islam, Sarro & Pirola-Merlo, 2013) in SMEs (Muenjohn & McMurry, 2016). The position of SMEs are...
decisive in terms of progression, innovativeness, growing of economies and sustenance, because of their number, extensiveness and entrepreneurial setups. Therefore, this fragment is contemplate as the vital part of economy of Pakistan (Wadood & Shamsuddin, 2012).

As the role of SMEs on economic domain is crucial especially for developing country, which widely contribute towards GDP, export, generating employment opportunities and growth of local businesses (Vaqar, Mahmood, Wahab & Mustafa, 2011; Charoenrat, Harvie & Amornkitvikai, 2013). Whereas, literature shows that there are many serious obstacles restricting the growth and efficiency of SMEs especially in developing nations including, financial constraints, HR practices, innovation, technological support and governmental support (Muenjohn & McMurray, 2016). Where SMEs are consider as the utmost substantial driving agent of innovation in several economies including Asian countries (MasterCard Worldwide, 2013; Molnar, Nguyen & Homolka, 2012).

In Pakistan, SMEs are the vital contributors towards the economic sustainability of Pakistan. As in Pakistan, amongst 3.2 million businesses, 90% of businesses are categorized in the domain of SMEs (Shafique et al., 2010) which greatly influencing the GDP, exports and per capita income of Pakistan (Syed, Ahmadani, Shaikh, & Shaikh, 2012). However, regardless of SMEs support on the economy (Widyastuti, Qosasi, Noor & Kurniawati, 2017), The pressure for survival and performing capabilities on Pakistani SMEs to face the challenges of market locally and globally are greater due to many limitations. For example, limitation of funds, skilled workforce and innovation (are the key barricades towards the growth of SMEs (SMEDA, 2017). Literature backed the fact that workplace innovation has vital influence on the development and progression of SMEs and ensures survival and facing effectively challenges of market worldwide (Janseen, Van Den Bosch & Volberda, 2006). According to Tseng (2012) and Rujirawanich, Addison and Smallman (2011) SMEs in South East Asian countries and developing countries have to embrace innovation carry out in workplace. Whereas, in case of Pakistan, according to ‘Global Innovation Index’ Pakistan holds 119th position (Dutta, Lanvin & Wunsch-Vincent., 2017). Which depicts that SMEs in Pakistan are still on developing period (Subhan, Mehmood & Sattar,2013). Where lack of innovation restricting their efficiency and productivity up to the requirements of market (Shafique et al., 2010). According to Yusuf (2009) businesses should devote more towards development and innovation practices. As the businesses will lead and ensure survival with innovation and progression. Where SMEs with innovation focus, perceive, ascertain, construe and applying knowledge efficiently in business practices and procedures (Wadood & Shamsuddin, 2012). Though, regardless of growing attentiveness on SMEs and innovation, Up to the researcher’s knowledge, the literature focus on workplace innovation and the influences on this domain are not been widely explored from the perspective of Pakistani SMEs especially. Therefore, there is vast need to critically analyze and intensely study the influences which can shape workplace innovation in SMEs and to understand how SMEs in Pakistan can be innovative. The commercial globalization has transformed the shape of economies globally while imposing latest challenges and favorableness. Where for developing country like Pakistan businesses survival in such competitive situation is challenging without adapting innovation to effectively react towards the development needs of market (Wadood & Shamsuddin, 2012).

This study will add significantly in the literature of workplace innovation in the domain South-Asian country (Developing nation). The contribution of this study is that, the focus is
broadly centric towards understanding this multifaceted dominion of workplace innovation and looking on the influences of several factors shaping the workplace innovation. Where also discussing the connectivity between those antecedents which can result in workplace innovation. Where up to our knowledge, prior studies focused on the domain of technological aspects of innovation mostly, where the purview of workplace innovation (while understanding the role of team, individual, climate, and organization aspects on innovation and interrelation amongst them under theoretical projections) is less. Prior studies often dealt with the paradigm of workplace innovation from the context of developed countries, where the challenges due to lack of innovation in South-Asian countries like Pakistan is critical. Which make it crucial to add in the literature of innovation (workplace innovation) especially in context of Pakistan. Therefore, this study thoroughly review the literature on the factors and associations that can shape the workplace innovation.

According to Amabile (1988) employee creativity, domain/ job related skills, team work, employee’s attitude towards innovation and intrinsic motivation can significantly leads towards organizational innovation. This study is laying on understanding the connection of LMX and learning orientation of workplace innovation through creative self- efficacy, work context, and creative performance of employees under theoretical projections and linkages. After the literature review, this study offering the model for workplace innovation, which can be apply in the domain of SMEs in Pakistan to understand the context and antecedents can shape workplace innovation.

THEORETICAL PROSPECTS
The conceptual framework proposed by this study is absorbed into “Leader-member exchange Theory”, “the social cognitive Theory”, Theory of organizational Creativity” and “componential theory of individual creativity” based on the dominant toning with the notion and philosophy of study. One of the prominent theories in explaining the essence of quality association between leader and member is “Leader- member exchange theory” given by Graen and Scandura (1987), which is rooted in the social exchange theoretical projections (Blau, 1964). That broadly focused on the part of mutuality and interchange aspect of association. ‘LMX’ emphases on the excellence of social exchange interrelationship amongst workforce
Innovation described as multilevel progression amongst leadership, employees and workplace concern mechanisms (McMurry et al., 2013), which consisting of transforming prospects into fresh ideas and applying them into routine practices (Tidd et al., 2001). Where workplace innovation represent as psychosomatic construct and situation/setting concerned phenomena, where organizational innovation, organization’s climate for innovation, individual innovation and team innovation are the main dimensions associated with workplace innovation (Mcmurry & Dorai, 2003).

Dynamics of Workplace Innovation: Theoretical Framework

Creativity has the capacity to establish innovation (Amabile, 1996), which is connected with the work setting and collaborative efforts (Wongtada & Rice, 2008). Model of creativity and innovation is given by Amabile (1988) that explain the domain of creativity and innovation broadly. Which represents that organizational innovation is the effectual application of creatively constructed ideas and thoughts in workplace for example, production, procedures and methods connected with business and workplace. The conceptual framework this study is building is absorbed into the notions of model of creativity and innovation. Where theoretic dynamics are driven from LMX, social cognitive theory and theory of organizational
creativity, and componential theory of individual creativity. The key elements established in this model are domain concerned expertise, creativity concerned skills, and intrinsic motivation (Amabile, 1988). The study conducted by Bilal and Ahmad (2019) applied the model of creativity and innovation by Amabile 1988 in context of services sector of Pakistan, and concluded that workforce with sturdy intrinsic motivation demonstrates excellence in creativity while performing their job tasks. Similarly, Khan, Sarwar, Malik and Ahmed (2014) asserted the significance of creativity as the back bone of workplace innovation in telecommunication sector of Pakistan to effectively deal with the need of change and conclusions supported the past studies like (Amabile, 1988 and Oldham & Cummings, 1996). Rahman, Batool, Akhtar and Ali (2015) confirms Amabile’s componential model of creativity while having significant information interchange in work relationships between teams. As this exchange relationship will significantly improves employee’s job and domain concern skills which positively impact on creativity of individual.

Hassan, Malik, Hasnain, Faiz and Abbas (2013) checked the impact of worker’s creativity on workplace innovation concerning banking sector in Pakistan. The study asserted the role of job context influences like (work intricacy and connection with supervisor) on worker’s intrinsic motivation and creativeness of workforce which significantly influence innovation in organizational domain and overall performance of company. Results supported the projections concerning significant impact of intrinsic motivation and worker’s creativity towards organizational innovation and performing ability. As creativeness is the driving agent of innovation, which is crucial for businesses to deal efficiently with the demand of progression, development and entrepreneurial survival needs in context of businesses in Pakistan (Khan & Khan, 2019).

**Domain Concerned Skills**

These skills are the foundation on which performance have to continues. These skills includes know-how on all the aspects of job and practical abilities, which leads to intellectual ways to handle the challenges or performing job (Amabile, 1996). These domain concerned skills are the key constituents of creativity or the basic component of creative stance within workplace. That signifies the fundamental comprehension and process concerned skills which are crucial within specific domain (Amabile, 2004). The domain concerned abilities serves as a primitive source for creative performance of individuals. Indeed, without abilities in domain the employees cannot be performing creatively. These domain concerned skills be contingent on instinctive intellectual, instinctive, and technical aptitude and at the same time educational expertise in the area of industry (Amabile, 1988).

**Creativity Concerned Skills**

Domain concerned skills are insufficient in the absence of creative skills. This skills are associated with the capability to see the problems with the innovative perspective in order to establish new and creative ways of doing things which are more auspicious to the situation. These creative skills can be the combination of expertise like personal capacities, diversified way of thinking, team player, intelligent, and cognitive abilities (Majdar & Shalley, 2008). These expertise can result in looking into the problem core in order to find the new ways of resolving those (Jaskyte & Kisieliene, 2006) and at the same time establishing the innovation concerned work setting for creating the potential for resolution and handling problems. Consequently, employee creativeness results in creativity domain of teams that positively influence the workplace innovation (Bilal, Majid & Shahid, 2014).
**Intrinsic Motivation**

Amabile & Gryskiewicz (1988) asserted that motivation has noticeable impact on the individual creativity. Even absence of intrinsic motivation makes creativity and domain concerned skills less effective within workplace (Dewett, 2007). Motivation has the capacity to cause transformation between “what workforce has the capacity to perform” and “what workforce will execute”. This intrinsic motivational domain regulate the level of employee’s creativity and domain concerned skills, and where motivation can be influenced by various workplace dimensions and stimuluses (Amabile, 2000).

![Figure 1: Theoretical framework of workplace innovation “Stages of Creative process” (Amabile, 1988)](image)

**Leader Member Exchange**

Leadership is considering as the crucial facet in impacting SMEs productivity and competitiveness, where the role of leader is accepted as major influence of innovation (Saad & Mazzarol, 2010). As the Leadership are ruminate as one of the crucial determining factor of workplace innovation (Afsar & Masood, 2017). According to Carneiro (2008) there are three vital pedestals of leadership including, awareness, innovation defiance and necessity of transformation, which upsurges forces for innovation. Where entrepreneurs approach and strategies for innovation adds a significant impact on SMEs to embrace innovation (Marcati, Guido & Peluso, 2008). Which establish the demand for a leader to be insightful in understanding the potential of employees and to ensure innovation in organization (Tierney & Farmer, 2011). However, Innovation is described as the multilevel system amongst the leader, employees and crucial workplace elements (McMurry et al., 2013). That contains process of revolving opportunities in to latest and innovative thoughts and plans, where also shaping them in practical applications within organization (Tidd et al., 2001). Literature acknowledged the influence of leader on innovation (Directly/indirectly). Leadership role is consider as one of the important influences on workforce/member creativity and performing capabilities amongst the other workplace elements (Amabile, 1998). However, leader is the key component connected to workplace innovation (Jaskyte, 2004). Literature suggests that leader-member association is mainly persuasive towards the encouragement of employees focus on innovation (Wang et al., 2015).

Leader-member exchange (LMX) focuses on the mutual interconnection between the leader and employees (Volmer et al., 2012). While, the strength of this relationship are resultant as trust, honesty and appreciation, whereas, contrary the weakness of the relationship between the leader and employees are resultant as outraging, lack of trust and admiration for each other (Marrow, Suzuki, Crum & Ruben, 2005). Employees with better association with the composition of crucial work elements along with the string LMX provides the ground for creativity and innovation within workplace (Qu, Janseen & Shi, 2017). Rank, Pace and Frese (2004) asserted strong association between the LMX and workplace innovation particularly when members are motivated (Zhang & Bartol, 2010). The prospects of intrinsic motivation affluences the productive output of leader-member association on applying creativity.
Members can apply innovation and creativity when having strong LMX and are intrinsically motivated (Bibi & Asfar, 2018, Zhang & Bartol, 2010).

**LMX and Learning Orientation**

Leaders play a crucial role in empowering and enabling innovation in work settings, leaders impact as mediator towards the employees creativity and innovation prospects (Slatten, Svensson & Svaeri, 2011). Literature has been focusing on the impact of LMX and leadership on the domain of workplace, where less work has been done on the collaboration of LMX and learning orientation (Atitumpong & Badir, 2018, Schermuly, Meyer & Dämmer, 2013). Learning orientation represents workers devotion and focus for improving his/her ability, capacity, skills and proficiency (Gong et al., 2009), which encourages workers to expand and develops the level of adeptness (Dweck, 2000). Where at the same time learning advances the propensity towards innovation and widely recognized as a vigorous basis of workplace innovation (Amara, Landry, Becheikh & Quinet, 2008, Wu, Chinag & Jiang, 2002). Innovation within workplace is not only the establishing of new way of thinking but also application of those notions into practice (DeJong & Hartog, 2007). LMX helps in applying innovative thoughts significantly (Schermuly et al., 2013). Similarly, learning orientation shapes the employees aptitude towards creativity and innovation (Gong, Huang & Farh, 2009). Employees on this aspect learn the perspective and required skills needed for creativity viewing the problems and work aspects, while also attain assistance from the supervisor for the practical application of creative and innovative thoughts creatively (Atitumpong & Badir, 2018, Agashae & Bratton, 2001).

**Creative Self-Efficacy**

The domain of creative self-efficacy is associated with the individual stated propensity for molding creativity and innovative thinking (Carmeli & Schaubroeck, 2007, Tierney & Farmer, 2002). The theoretical prospects of “social cognitive theory (Bandura, 1986) demonstrates the connection of leadership on creativity and its influence on creative self-efficacy which has strong influence on the ability and competency of workforce (Adil, Khan, Khan & Qureshi, 2018). As, the conception of creative self-efficacy widely symbolize the workers capability towards creativity and creative performance (Tierney & Farmer, 2002). Consequently, employees with creative self-efficacy are more into performing tasks and objectives efficiently as they believe on possibility of success (Shin et al., 2012). Here leaning of these employees are more on performance excellence and added determination while performing innovatively and creatively, moreover these workers have the potential to advances the reasoning and cognitive capabilities while performing (Adil et al., 2018). Therefore, creative self-efficacy act as the mediating tool towards the creative performance of members with the assistance of strong LMX association (Gong et al., 2009, Shin et al., 2012). Where, employees focus positively on performing tasks creatively with the application of rational and analytical understanding (Mokhtari & Reichard, 2002). The prospect that employees are more creative when having creative self-efficacy been supported by (Gong et al., 2009, Tierney & Farmer, 2002, Adil et al., 2018). Where learning orientation significantly impact employees creative self-efficacy. Employees determined for learning can attain proficiency and skills over time. This extant of proficiency and skills shapes the creative self-efficacy (Adil et al., 2018), Gong et al (2009), also leadership antedates the potency of employees, where employees improves the self-confidence and experience and outcomes in creativity and innovatively looking into problems by continuous learning and support of this association (Hon, Chan & Lu, 2013, Tierney & Farmer, 2002).
Creativity consider as the basis for organizational/workplace innovation (Amabile, 1996). That is acknowledged as a vital element of advancement and modification of organization while responding to the changing and competitive demands of market (Choi, 2004). Employee’s creative performance is asserted as outcome of complicated interchange between the workforce and the setting they are involved in (Zhou & George, 2001). According social cognitive theory Bandura (1977), four aspects are important towards creative self-efficacy consisting of expertise, engagement, participation, voiced, and affectual significances. These facets function as foundation for creative self-efficacy which is crucial dynamic cause of creative performance (Gong et al., 2009, Hirst, Kippenberg, Zhou, Quintane & Zhou, 2015). Hence, creative self-efficacy has influence on creative performance due to its intrinsic focus domain that induce individuals for creatively performing the tasks and looking creatively to problems (Tierney & Farmer, 2002). Literature been focusing on the mediating position of creative self-efficacy associated with the domain of creativity, rationality, and creative performance. For example, Carmeli and Schaubroeck (2007) determined the moderating position of creative self-efficacy between the attitudes and viewpoint of individual and creativity/ creative performance. Conclusion demonstrates the significant association between these variables. Shin et al (2012) asserted the association between the team diversity and creative self-efficacy, the results found positive impact in occurrence of creative self-efficacy. Similarly, Ghafoor, Qureshi Azeemi and Hijazi, (2011) studied the connotation between the creative self-efficacy and creative performance of bank employees and found significant association between them. Jaussi, Randel and Dionne (2007) also confirmed the affirmative association between creative self-efficacy and creative performance.

Creative Self-Efficacy and Creative Performance

The framework presented by this study focus on the association between the creative self-efficacy and creative performance, however this path between the creative self-efficacy and creative performance is not direct, it is defined by the mediating positioning of work context which is representing by 1) Thriving at work, 2) work significance and 3) task interdependence.

Creative Self-Efficacy and “Thriving at Work”

Thriving at work is asserted as psychological state of individual concerned with work, it is more intrinsic positioning of employees associated with work and tasks (Roberts, Dutton, Spreitzer, Heaphy & Quinn, 2005). Thriving is the product of individual state that assists the workers for measuring the tasks they are supposed to perform and how this performance can improve in progressively, this extent of progression is the sign of individual erudition of modifying the work systems and adapting (Spreitzer, Sutcliffe, Dutton, Sonenshein & Grant, 2005). Employees are different on the extent of thriving related to work. The individuals with thriving at work are more determination, ambition, motivation and growing potential (Spreitzer et al., 2005). Thriving at work is the combination of 1) Vitality at work and 2) Learning (Porath, Spreitzer, Gibson & Garnett 2011). Vitality is linked with the certainty that individual has the attainable drive and dynamism, however, learning, is linked with domain of improvement in terms of knowing and understanding to improve in applying of skills (Porath et al., 2011, Spreitzer et al., 2005). Relating to this notion Quinn and Dutton (2002) stressed in the domain of work context, that individual with vitality are able to see things innovatively and differently, where at the same time individual with the learning focus they are capable of
thinking and exploring the innovative ways to perform. Employees with thriving at work are focused towards performing creatively, thus results in innovation domain (Porath et al., 2011, Spreitzer & Porath, 2012), because with thriving individuals are having more revelation to learning and progress, these individuals are capable of understanding the complications and also giving specific elucidation and solving them (Walumbwa, Christensen-Salem, Hsu & Misati, 2018). These projections has been maintained by Abid, Iram and Alia (2015) that thrive at work meaningfully impact on organizational innovation and creative domain of employees which is also supported in context of Pakistan. As Creativity contains elements like intelligence and perspicacity (Sternberg & O’Hara, 1999), creativity self-efficacy (Carmeli & Schaubroeck, 2007, Tierney & Farmer, 2002) that have potential for innovation (Amabile, Barsade, Mueller & Staw, 2005). Whereas, one vital component for thriving at work is creative self-efficacy (Walumbwa et al., 2018). That establishes that creative self-efficacy perform as determining cause of thriving because it enhance the persistence level and energies towards encounters (Tierney & Farmer, 2014, Bandura, 1977).

Creative Performance Through “Work Significance”

Creative self-efficacy domain are interrelated with comprehensive outcome of skills and capacity for work and assigned tasks. The elements shaping this skills and capacity can be individual, work, and work setting (Bandura, 1986, Wood & Bandura, 1989). However, creative self-efficacy level can be different with the availability of information, creative margin and skills needed for performing those work tasks. Hence, individuals with the common aptitude may achieve otherwise based on arrangement and use of skills and merging those skills as per need of work and its significance associated (Bandura, 1988). Individual evaluate the competences and work setting in order to appraise the accessibility of available resources or hindrances on different levels of work (Walumbwa et al., 2018). The context can be including elements like skills, objectives and ambition and most importantly the “work” that have vital impact on performing aptitude. However, those judgements converts into daily performance as engagement with work grows (Richter, Hirst, Knippenberg & Baer, 2012). According to Walumbwa et al., (2018) individuals continuously assesses the work and tasks to develop efficacy, however work setting and elements attached with work can hinder or grow the creative self-efficacy which in turn affects the creative performance. 

Creative Performance Through “Task Interdependence”

Interdepending element between tasks of job regulates the element of interconnectedness between the co-workers and dependence while accomplishing the targets or objectives (Van der Vegt & Janseen, 2003). The members of the team are interconnected in terms of objectives and performance (Sundstrom, De Meuse & Futrell, 1990). However the level of interdependence between tasks with in a work structure can be different on the basis of elements like arrangement discrepancy, resources availability, objectives, clarity in understanding the objects and appreciation received (Wageman, 2001). Innovation is combined and collective set of activities, that is institute, embolden, considered, accustomed by teams overall (Van der Vegt & Janseen, 2003). As the tasks and activities are interconnected with each other, it is vital to assess the impact of creative self-efficacy via task interdependence on creativity which in turn affecting work place innovation. Anderston, Potocnik & Zhou, (2014) asserted that task interdepending element act as influencing factor on efficacy, which also shapes the creativity or creative performance can be directly or through other factors (Gilson & Shalley, 2004).
The prompt rise in struggle of competitiveness amongst business is challenging. Businesses are struggling to ensure their survival and differentiation from competitors with the help of innovation. For business, innovativeness can be a vital component in sustaining an apt with challenges efficiently (Devloo, Anseel, Beuckelaer & Salanova, 2014). The existing modern knowledge economic systems depicting a latest stance of present trend of globalization and demands for up to date work structures and solutions for businesses up to new description of entrepreneurship (Ayub, Kausar & Qadri, 2017).

In developing countries like Pakistan, SMEs are the backbone of economic systems and contribute significantly to deal with the problems concerning unemployment, income, poverty (Subhan, Mahmood & Sattar, 2014). As in Pakistan, 90 percent of businesses are SMEs, so their impact on the economy overall is crucial, contributing 13.8 percent in GDP (Pakistan Economic survey, 2017-2018). However, SMEs in Pakistan are dealing with many important concerns and challenges like lack of advancement, in terms of innovation and progression (SMEDA, 2017) due to poorer advancement set-up, lacking in not up to date production mechanisms, work processes and work structures (Dar, Ahmed & Raziq, 2017). Consequently, due to current influences globally, technological stresses, and shifting in consumer’s needs and demands. SME sector of Pakistan is fronting forceful competition. For ensuring survival in such pressure, SMEs should be encouraging creativeness and innovation to efficiently deal with challenges (Hassan, Malik, Hasnain, Faiz & Abbas, 2014). Where innovation is the key for progression and advancement locally and globally. Businesses can accomplish competitiveness by practices encouraging innovativeness (Abid, Irum & Alia, 2015). In unsettled and emerging businesses innovation is the fundamental prospect to achieve competitivenss (Gomezelj & Gomezelj, 2016). The attainment and survival of SMEs are mainly reliant on their aptitude for innovation (Khan & Khan, 2019).

In such situation, implying workplace innovation is the need for making certain businesses endurance, advancement and perseverance especially in context of developing countries like Pakistan.

Up to the knowledge of researcher, literature on this domain of workplace innovation concerning SMEs in Pakistan is narrow. This study contribute significantly in this purpose. Which established important connection between constructs of LMX and learning orientation, creative self-efficacy, work context and creative performance. The model describes that LMX and learning orientation can influence workplace innovation, where this influence is indirect with creative self-efficacy, work context and creative performance. LMX and learning orientation enhance creative self-efficacy of workforce, which can influence on creative performance with the effect of psychological constructs which include thrive at work, work significance and task interdependence, as a result stimulus workplace innovation.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The prompt rise in struggle of competitiveness amongst business is challenging. Businesses are struggling to ensure their survival and differentiation from competitors with the help of innovation. For business, innovativeness can be a vital component in sustaining an apt with challenges efficiently (Devloo, Anseel, Beuckelaer & Salanova, 2014). The existing modern knowledge economic systems depicting a latest stance of present trend of globalization and demands for up to date work structures and solutions for businesses up to new description of entrepreneurship (Ayub, Kausar & Qadri, 2017).

In developing countries like Pakistan, SMEs are the backbone of economic systems and contribute significantly to deal with the problems concerning unemployment, income, poverty (Subhan, Mahmood & Sattar, 2014). As in Pakistan, 90 percent of businesses are SMEs, so their impact on the economy overall is crucial, contributing 13.8 percent in GDP (Pakistan Economic survey, 2017-2018). However, SMEs in Pakistan are dealing with many important concerns and challenges like lack of advancement, in terms of innovation and progression (SMEDA, 2017) due to poorer advancement set-up, lacking in not up to date production mechanisms, work processes and work structures (Dar, Ahmed & Raziq, 2017). Consequently, due to current influences globally, technological stresses, and shifting in consumer’s needs and demands. SME sector of Pakistan is fronting forceful competition. For ensuring survival in such pressure, SMEs should be encouraging creativeness and innovation to efficiently deal with challenges (Hassan, Malik, Hasnain, Faiz & Abbas, 2014). Where innovation is the key for progression and advancement locally and globally. Businesses can accomplish competitiveness by practices encouraging innovativeness (Abid, Irum & Alia, 2015). In unsettled and emerging businesses innovation is the fundamental prospect to achieve competitiveness (Gomezelj & Gomezelj, 2016). The attainment and survival of SMEs are mainly reliant on their aptitude for innovation (Khan & Khan, 2019).

In such situation, implying workplace innovation is the need for making certain businesses endurance, advancement and perseverance especially in context of developing countries like Pakistan.

Up to the knowledge of researcher, literature on this domain of workplace innovation concerning SMEs in Pakistan is narrow. This study contribute significantly in this purpose. Which established important connection between constructs of LMX and learning orientation, creative self-efficacy, work context and creative performance. The model describes that LMX and learning orientation can influence workplace innovation, where this influence is indirect with creative self-efficacy, work context and creative performance. LMX and learning orientation enhance creative self-efficacy of workforce, which can influence on creative performance with the effect of psychological constructs which include thrive at work, work significance and task interdependence, as a result stimulus workplace innovation.

IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

This study was two folded, firstly, reviewing the literature available concerning workplace innovation in SMEs. Secondly, understanding the context of workplace innovation and its possible antecedents, therefore, coming up with the model for ‘workplace innovation’ which enhance the SMEs competitivenss. This model can be implied in the domain ‘workplace innovation’, the study will add significantly in the literature of workplace innovation by
establishing the important association and connections of constructs shaping workplace innovation. Further, the model established in this study highpoints to many implications for forthcoming researches. Empirical testing of the antecedents in context of SMEs will establish the confirmation on the strength and weakness of relationship in determining the workplace innovativeness model in SMEs operating globally in general and Pakistan in particular. We suggests that testing the conceptual framework on individual and team context separately will also establish the relevance of the framework in both domains. The framework can also be tested on male and female employees to determine that the affiliation amongst the rudiments presented in the framework, work similarly for male and female or not.

REFERENCES
Amara, N., Landry, R., Becheikh, N., & Ouimet, M. (2008). Learning and novelty of innovation in established manufacturing SMEs, Technovation, 28(7), 450-463.
Amabile, T.M. (1982). Social psychology of creativity: a consensual assessment technique, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 43(5), 997-1013.
Amabile, T.M. (1988). A model of creativity and innovation in organizations, Research in Organizational Behavior, 10(1), 123-167.
Amabile, T. M., & Gryskiewicz, S.S. (1988). Creative Human Resources in the R&D Laboratory: How Environment and Personality Impact Innovation. Handbook for Creative and Innovative Managers, edited by R. L. Kuhn. New York: McGraw-Hill.
Amabile, T.M. (1996). Creativity in Context: Update to the Social Psychology of Creativity, Westview Press, Boulder, CO.
Amabile, T. M. (1998). How to kill creativity. Harvard Business Review, 76, 77-87.
Amabile, T. (2000). Stimulate creativity by fueling passion, The Blackwell Handbook of Principles of Organizational Behavior, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, 331-341.
Amabile, T.M., Schatzel, E.A., Moneta, G.B., & Kramer, S.J. (2004). Leader behaviors and the work environment for creativity: perceived leader support, The Leadership Quarterly, 15, 5-32.
Amabile, T. M., Barsade, S. G., Mueller, J. S., & Staw, B. M. (2005). Affect and creativity at work. Administrative Science Quarterly, 50, 367-403.
Atitumpong, A., Badir, Y.F. (2018). Leader-member exchange, learning orientation and innovative work behavior, Journal of Workplace Learning, 30(1), 32-47.
Adil, M.S., Khan, M.N., Khan, I., & Qurishi, M.S. (2018). Impact of leader creativity expectations on employee creativity: assessing the mediating and moderating role of creative self-efficacy, International Journal of Management Practice, 11(2), 171-189.
Afsar, B., & Masood, M. (2017). Transformational leadership, creative self-efficacy, trust in supervisor, uncertainty avoidance, and innovative work behavior of nurses, The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 54 (1), 36-61.
Agashae, Z., & Bratton, J. (2001). Leader-follower dynamics: developing a learning environment, Journal of Workplace Learning, 13(3), 89-103.
Abid, G., Irum, Z., & Alia, A. (2015). Mediated mechanism of thriving at work between perceived organization support, innovative work behavior and turnover intention, Pakistan Journal of Commerce and social sciences, 9(3), 982-998.
Ayub, U., Kausar, A.R., & Qadri, M.M. (2017). Linking Human capital and organizational innovative capabilities of financial institutions: Evidence from a developing country of south Asia, Journal of Information and Knowledge Management, 16(4), 1-23.
Anderson, N., Potocnik, K., & Zhou, J. (2014). Innovation and Creativity in Organizations: A state of the science review, prospective commentary and guiding framework, *Innovation and creativity*, 1-71.

Bilal, A., Majid, F., & Shahid, M. (2014). The Impact of intrinsic motivation on creative expressions: Does Pro-Social culture makes any difference?, *European Journal of Business and Management*, 6(36), 152-158.

Bilal, A., & Ahmad (2019). Impact of team goal orientation and information exchange on creativity and innovation in advertising creative teams of Pakistan, *Business and Economic review*, 11(1), 145-160.

Bibi, A., & Afsar, B. (2018). Leader-Member exchange and innovative work behavior: the role of intrinsic motivation, psychological empowerment and creative process engagement, *Perspectives of Innovations, Economics and Business*, 18(1), 25-43.

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Towards a unifying theory of Behavioral change, *Psychological Review*, 84, 191-215.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: *A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Blau, P. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.

Baregheh, A., Rowley, J., & Sambrook, S. (2009). Towards a multidisciplinary definition of innovation, *Management Decision*, 47, 1323–1339.

Carmeli, A., & Schaubroeck, J. (2007). The influence of leaders’ and other referents’ normative expectations on individual involvement in creative work. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18, 35-48.

Camelo-Ordaz, C., Fernández-Alles, M., De la, L., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2008). Top management team’s vision and human resources management practices in innovative Spanish companies, *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(4), 620–638.

Choi, J.N. (2004). Individual and contextual predictors of creative performance: the mediating role of psychological processes, *Creativity Research Journal*, 16, (2/3), 187–199.

Charoenrat, T., Harvie, C., Amornkitvikai, Y. (2013). Thai manufacturing small and medium sized enterprise technical efficiency: Evidence from firm-level industrial census data, *Journal of Asian Economics*, 27(0), 42-56.

Carneiro, A. (2008). When leadership means more innovation and development, *Business Strategy Series*, 9(4), 176-84.

Dutta, S., Reynoso, R.E., & Garanasvili, A. (2017). The Global Innovation Index 2019, *Innovation Policies for Development*. 101 January-June 2020 JISR-MSSE Number 1 Volume 18 R&D environment, *R&D Management*, 37, 197–208.
Erickson, C. L & Jacoby, S. (2003). The effect of employer networks on workplace innovation and training. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 56*, 203–223.

Ferreira, J. J. M., Raposo, M. L., & Fernandes, C. I. (2013). Does innovativeness of knowledge-intensive business services differ from other industries? *The Service Industries Journal, 33*, 734–748.

Ghafoor, A., Qureshi, T. M., Azeemi, H. R., & Hijazi, S. T. (2011). Mediating role of creative self-efficacy. *African Journal of Business Management, 5*, 11093–11103.

Graen, G. B., & Scandura, T. A. (1987). Toward a psychology of dyadic organizing. In L. L. Cummings & B. M. Staw (Ed.), *Research in organizational behavior* (175–208). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Graen, G. B., & Uhl-Bien, M. (1995). Relationship-based approach to leadership: Development of leader member exchange (LMX) theory of leadership over 25 years: Applying a multi-level multi domain perspective. *Leadership Quarterly, 6*, 219-247.

Ganter, A., & Hecker, A. (2014). Configurational paths to organizational innovation: Qualitative comparative analyses of antecedents and contingencies, *Journal of Business Research, 67*, 1285–1292.

Gumusluoglu, L., & Ilsev,A. (2009). Transformational leadership, creativity and organizational innovation, *Journal of Business Research, 62*, 461–473.

García-Morales, V. J. (2008). Influence of transformational leadership on organizational innovation and performance depending on the level of organizational learning in the pharmaceutical sector, *Journal of Organizational Change Management, 21*, 188–212.

Gong, Y., Huang, J. C., & Farh, J. L. (2009). Employee learning orientation, transformational leadership, and employee creativity: the mediating role of employee creative self-efficacy, *Academy of Management Journal, 52*(4), 765-778.

Gomezelj, D. O., & Gomezelj, D. O. (2016). A systematic review of research on innovation in hospitality and tourism. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management, 28*(3), 516-558.

Gilson, L.L., & Shalley, C.E. (2004). A little creativity goes a long way: an examination of team engagement in creative processes, *Journal of Management, 30*(4), 453-470.

Hassan, H.U., Malik, A.A., Hasnain, A., Faiz, M.F., & Abbas, J. (2013). Measuring employee creativity and its impact on organization innovation capability and performance in the Banking sector of Pakistan, *World applied Science Journal, 24*(7), 949-959.

Hafeez, M. H., Mohd Shariff, M. N. & Mad Lazim, H. (2013). Does Innovation and Relational Learning Influence SME Performance? An Empirical Evidence from Pakistan, *Asian Social Science, 9*(15), 204

Hon, A.H.Y., Chan, W.W.H., & Lu, L. (2013). Overcoming work related stress and promoting employee creativity inn hotel industry: The role of task feedback from supervisor, *International Journal of Hospitality Management, 1-9*

Hirst, G., Van Knippenbergg, D., Zhou, J., Quintane, E., & Zhu, C. (2015). Heard it through the grapevine: Indirect networks and employee creativity, *Journal of Applied Psychology, 100*(2), 567-574.

Jaussi, K. S., Randel, A. E., & Dionne, S. D. (2007). I am, I think I can, and I do: The role of personal identity, self-efficacy, and cross-application of experiences in creativity at work. *Creativity Research Journal, 19*, 247–258.

Jaskyte, K. (2004). Transformational leadership, Organizational culture and Innovativeness in nonprofit organizations, *Nonprofit Management and Leadership, 15*(2), 153- 168.
Jaskyte, K., & Kisieliene, A. (2006). Determinants of employee creativity: A survey of Lithuanian non-profit organizations, *Voluntas*, 17, 133–141.

Janseen, J. J. P., Van Den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2006). Exploratory innovation, exploitative innovation, and performance: Effects of organizational antecedents and environmental moderators. *Management Science*, 52(11), 1661-1674.

Khan, M.M., Sarwar, A., Malik, S.A., & Ahmed, M. (2014). Influence of transformational leadership on organizational innovation in telecommunication industry in Pakistan, *Asian Journal of Business Management*, 6(3), 138-145.

Khan, S., & Khan, M.A. (2019). Customer Orientation of service employees and enabler of creativity and innovation: A case of ICT sector of Pakistan, *Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 7(1), 2305-6533.

Kim, L., & Lui, S. (2015). The impacts of external network and business group on innovation: do the types of innovation matter? *Journal of Business Research*, 1-10.

Kang, J.H., Matusik, J.G., Kim, T.Y., & Phillips, J.M. (2016). Interactive effects of multiple organizational climates on employee innovative behavior in entrepreneurial firms: A cross-level investigation, *Journal of Business Venturing*, 31(6), 628–642.

Laeche, S.H., Babar, S.M., & Ahmad, A.M. (2017). The integrative determinants of innovation performance: the role of learning organization and knowledge creation, *Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences*, 11(1), 166-183.

Madjar, N., & Shalley, C.E. (2008). Multiple tasks and multiple goals, effect on creativity: forced incubation or just a distraction? *Journal of Management*, 34, 786–805.

Marcati, A., Guido, G., & Peluso, A.M. (2008). The role of SME entrepreneur’s innovativeness and personality in the adoption of innovation, *Research Policy*, 37, 1579-1590.

Marrow, P.C., Suzuki, Y., Crum, M.R., & Ruben, R. (2005). The role of Leader-member exchange in high turnover work environment, *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 20(8), 681-694.

McMurry, A.J., Islam, M. Md., Sarro, J.S., Pirola-Merlo, A. (2013). Workplace Innovation in a nonprofit organization, *Nonprofit Management & Leadership*, 23(3), 367-388.

McMurray, A. J., & Dorai, R. (2003). Workplace Innovation Scale: A New Method for Measuring Innovation in the Workplace, *Proceedings of the fifth European Conference on Organizational Knowledge, Learning, and Capabilities (OKLC 2003)*, Barcelona, Spain.

Muenjohn, N., & McMurray, A.J. (2016). The impact of leadership on workplace innovation in Thai and Vietnamese SMEs, *The Journal of Developing Areas*, 50(5), 479–486.

Mokhtari, K., & Reichard, C.A. (2002). Assessing students’ metacognitive awareness of reading strategies, *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94 (2), 249–259.

MasterCard Worldwide (2013). New Wave of Growth in China Innovation through Developing SMEs, White Paper.

Molnar, Z., Nguyen, H.H., & Homolka, L. (2012). Knowledge Management as a solution for the shortage of competent employees in SMEs at the developing country (Case study: Vietnam), *Journal of Systems Integration*, 3, 38-46.

Oldham, G.R., & Cummings, A. (1996). Employee creativity: Personal and contextual factors at work, *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(3), 607-634.

Pakistan Economic Survey (2017-2018), a yearly publication of Ministry of Finance, Government of Pakistan, Retrieved from http://www.finance.gov.pk/survey/chapters_17/03-Manufacturing.pdf
Porath, C., Spreitzer, G., Gibson, C., & Garnett, F.G. (2011). Thriving at work: towards its measurement, construct validation and theoretical refinement, *Journal of organizational behavior*, 33, 250-275.

Qu, R., Janssen, O., & Shi, K. (2017). Leader–member exchange and follower creativity: the moderating roles of leader and follower expectations for creativity, *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(4), 603-626.

Quinn, R. W., Dutton, J.E. (2005). Coordination as energy-in-conversation: A process theory of organizing, *Academy of Management Review*. 30(1) 38-57.

Rank, J., Pace, V. L., & Frese, M. (2004). Three avenues for future research on creativity, innovation, and initiative. *Applied Psychology*, 53(4), 518-528.

Richter, A.W., Hirst, G., Knippenberg, D.V., & Baer, M. (2012). Creative self-efficacy and individual creativity in team context: cross level interactions with team informational resources, *Journal of applied Psychology*, 97(6), 1282-1290.

Rahman, S., Batoool, S., Akhtar, N., & Ali, H. (2015). Fostering Individual creativity through proactive personality: A multilevel perspective, *Journal of Management sciences*, 9(2), 162-178.

Richards, D.A., & Hackett, R.D. (2012). Attachment and emotion regulation: Compensatory interactions and leader-member exchange, *The Leadership Quarterly*. 23(4), 686-701.

Roberts, L.m., Dutton, J.E., Spreitzer, G., Heaphy, E., & Quinn, R.E. (2005). Composing the reflected best self: building pathways for becoming extraordinary in work organization, *Academy of management review*, 30(4), 712-736.

Rupp, D.E., Shapiro, D.L., Folger, R., Skarlicki, D.P., & Shao R. (2017). A critical analysis of the conceptualization and measurement of organizational justice: Is it time for reassessment? *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(2), 919 –959.

Rujirawanich, P., Addison, R., & Smallman, C. (2011). The effects of cultural factors on innovation in a Thai SME, *Management Research Review*, 34 (12), 1264-79.

Saad, M. S. M., & Mazzarol, T. (2010). The impact of leadership on organizational innovation performance among Malaysia’s multimedia super corridor (MSC) SME, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied Business Research (ICABR), Ras Al Khaimah, UAE.*

Strech, D., & Søfaer, N. (2012). How to write a systematic review of reasons, *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 38(2), 121–126.

Scott, S.G., & Bruce,R.A. (1994). Determinants of innovative behavior: A path model of individual innovation in the workplace, *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(3), 580–607.

Santoro, G., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., & Dezi, L. (2017). The internet of things: Building a knowledge management system for open innovation and knowledge management capacity, *Technological forecasting and social change*, 1-8.

Schermuly, C. C., Meyer, B., & Dämmer, L. (2013). Leader-member exchange and innovative behavior, *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 12, 132-142.

Spreitzer, G., Sutcliffe, K., Dutton, J., Sonenshein, S., & Grant, A.M. (2005). A socially embedded model of thriving at work, *Organization Science*, 16(5), 537-549.

Sternberg, R.J., & O’Hara, L.A. (1999). Creativity and intelligence, in Sternberg, R.J. (Ed.), *Handbook of Creativity*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 251-272.

Sundstrom, E., De Meuse, K. P., & Futrell, D. (1990). Work teams: Applications and effectiveness, *American Psychologist*, 45,120–133.
Simao, L., & Franco, M. (2018). External knowledge sources as antecedents of organizational innovation in firm workplaces: a knowledge-based perspective, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 22(2), 237-256.

Slatten, T., Svensson, G., & Svaeri, S. (2011). Empowering leadership and the influence of a humorous work climate on service employee’s creativity and innovative behavior in frontline service jobs, *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 3(3), 267-284.

Sutton, R.I., & Staw, B.M. (1995). What theory is not, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40(3), 371-384.

Shin, S.J., Kim, T., L., J.Y., Biian, L. (2012). Cognitive team diversity and Individual member creativity: A cross-level interaction, *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 197-212.

SMEDA (2017). State of SMEs in Pakistan, Retrieved from http://www.smeda.org: http://www.smeda.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&idid=7:state-of-smesinpakistanandcatid=15

SMEDA (2017). SME Policy development, Retrieved from http://www.smeda.org: http://www.smeda.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&artid=58:sme-policydevelopmentandcatid=2andItemid=101

Subhan, A.Q., Mehmood, M.R., & Sattar, A. (2013). Innovation in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) and its impact on economic development of Pakistan, *Proceedings of the 6th international business and social sciences research conference*.

Shafique, M.R, Rizwan, M., Jhangir, M.M., Mansoor, A., Akram, S., & Hussain, A. (2010). Determinants of entrepreneurial success/failure from SMEs perspective, *IOSR, Journal of Business and Management*, 83-92.

Subhan, Q.A, Mahmood, T., & Sattar, A. (2014). Innovation and Economic Development A Case of Small and Medium Enterprises in Pakistan, 52.

Syed, A.A.S.G., Ahmadani, M.M., Shaikh, N., & Shaikh, F.M. (2012). Impact Analysis of SMEs Sector in Economic Development of Pakistan: A Case of Sindh, *Journal of Asian Business Strategy*, 2(2), 44–53.

Tseng, M.L. (2012). Mediate effect of technology innovation capabilities investment capability and firm performance in Vietnam, *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 817-829.

Tidd, J., Bessant, J., & Pavitt, K. (2001). *Managing Innovation Integrating Technological, Market and Organizational Change*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.

Tether, B. S. (2005). Do Services Innovate (Differently)? Insights from the European Innobarometer Survey, *Industry and Innovation*, 12(2), 153–184.

Tierney, P., & Farmer, S.M. (2002). Creative self-efficacy: its potential antecedents and relationship to creative performance, *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(6), 1137-1148.

Tierney, P., & Farmer, S.M. (2011). Creative self-efficacy development and creative performance over time, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(2), 277-289.

Volmer, J., Spurk, D., & Niessen, C. (2012). Leader–member exchange (LMX), job autonomy, and creative work involvement, *The Leadership Quarterly*, 23(3), 456-465.

Van der Vegt, G.S., & Janseen, O. (2003). Joint impact of interdependence and group diversity on innovation, *Journal of Management*, 29, 729-751.

Vaqar, A., Mahmood, H., Wahab, M.A., & Mustafa, A. (2011). Effectiveness of HRD for developing SMEs in South Asia, *SAARC Human resource development Center*, 3-61.
Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., Santoro, G. & Papa, A. (2017). Ambidexterity, external knowledge and performance in knowledge-intensive firms, *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 42(2), 374-388.

Wang, X.H.F., Fang, Y., Qureshi, I., & Janssen, O. (2015). Understanding employee innovative behavior: integrating the social network and leader–member exchange perspectives, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(3), 403-420.

Widysstuti, S., Qosasi, A., Noor, L.S., & Kurniwati, D. (2017). Enhancing the competitive advantage of SMEs through innovation: The role of market and entrepreneurship orientation, learning organization, *International Journal of Economic research*, 14(20), 203-221.

Wikhamn, W., Ambrecht, J., Wikhamn, B.R. (2018). Innovation in Swedish hotels, *International Journal of Contemporary hospitality Management*, 1-18.

Wood, R., & Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of Organizational Management, *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(3). 361-384.

Woodman, A.W., & Schoenfeldt, L.F. (1990). Interactionist Model of creative Behavior, *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 24(4), 279-290.

Woodman, R.W. & Sawyer, J.E., & Griffin, R.W. (1993). Towards a theory of organizational creativity, *The academy of Management Review*, 18(2), 293-321.

Wu, W.Y., Chiang, C.Y., & Jiang, J.S. (2002). Interrelationships between TMT management styles and organizational innovation, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 102(3), 171-183.

Wadood, F., & Shamsuddin, A. (2012). Key Factors enhancing innovativeness among VSMEs of Pakistan, *Proceedings of the 2012 International conference on Innovation, Management and Technology Research*, Malaysia.

Wageman, R. (1995). Interdependence and group effectiveness. Administrative Science Quarterly, 40: 145–180.

Walumbwa, F. O., Christensen-Salem, A., Hsu, I. C., & Misati, E. (2018). Creative self-efficacy and creative performance: understanding the underlying mechanisms, *Academy of Management Proceedings* (2018)(1), 171-183.

Wongtada, N., & Rice, G. (2008). Multidimensional latent traits of perceived organizational innovation: differences between Thai and Egyptian employees, *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 25(3), 537-562.

Yusuf, S. (2009). From creativity to innovation. *Technology in Society*, 31, 1–8.

Zafar, H., Hafeez, M. H., & Mohd Shariff, M. N. (2015). Mediating Impact of Innovation on Relationship between Market Orientation, Organizational Learning, Organizational Culture and Organizational Performance, *Proceedings of Kuala Lumpur International Business, Economics and Law Conference* 7(2).

Zhou, J., & George, J.M. (2001). When Job dissatisfaction leads to creativity: Encouraging the expression of voice, *Academy of Management Journal*, 1-36.

Zhao, J., Song, F., Chen, Q., Li, M., Wang, Y., & Kong, F. (2018). Linking shyness to loneliness in Chinese adolescents: the mediating role of core self-evaluation and social support, *Personality and Individual Differences*, 125(3), 140-144.

Zhao, X., & Bartol, K. M. (2010). Linking empowering leadership and employee creativity: The influence of psychological empowerment, intrinsic motivation, and creative process engagement. Academy of Management Journal, 53(1), 107-128.