Insects are relatively small heterothermic animals, thus they are highly susceptible to changes in ambient temperature. However, a group of honey bees is able to maintain the brood nest temperature between 32°C and 36°C by either cooling or heating the nest. Nevertheless, how honey bees sense the ambient temperature is not known. We identified a honey bee Hymenoptera-specific transient receptor potential A (HsTRPA) channel (AmHsTRPA), which is activated by heat with an apparent threshold temperature of 34°C and insect antifeedants such as camphor in vitro. AmHsTRPA is expressed in the antennal flagellum, and ablation of the antennal flagella and injection of AmHsTRPA inhibitors impair warmth avoidance of honey bees. Gustatory responses of honey bees to sucrose are suppressed by noxious heat and insect antifeedants, but are relieved in the presence of AmHsTRPA inhibitors. These results suggest that AmHsTRPA may function as a thermal/chemical sensor in vivo. As shown previously, Hymenoptera has lost the ancient chemical sensor TRPA1; however, AmHsTRPA is able to complement the function of Drosophila melanogaster TRPA1. These results demonstrate that HsTRPA, originally arisen by the duplication of Water witch, has acquired thermal- and chemical-responsive properties, which has resulted in the loss of ancient TRPA1. Thus, this is an example of neofunctionalization of the duplicated ion channel gene followed by the loss of the functionally equivalent ancient gene.

Introduction

Insects have been very successful in adapting their habitats to various environments. Nevertheless, they are highly susceptible to changes in ambient temperature and humidity because they are heterothermic and have a larger ratio of surface area to volume than mammals because of their relatively small sizes. This may suggest that evolutionary processes of both thermosensation and hygrosensation are different between insects and mammals. These sensory modalities are known to depend on transient receptor potential (TRP) channels in Drosophila melanogaster (Tracey et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007; Hamada et al., 2008; Kwon et al., 2008). Drosophila TRPA1 (DmTRPA1) (Hamada et al., 2008; Kwon et al., 2008), Painless (Pain) (Tracey et al., 2003; Sokabe et al., 2008), and Pyrexia (Pyx) (Lee et al., 2005) respond to heat stimulation in vitro and are essential for various temperature-related behaviors. Nanchung (Nan) and Water witch (Wtrw) are essential for detecting dry and moist air, respectively in Drosophila (Liu et al., 2007). All of the above TRP channels are TRPA subfamily members except Nan, which is one of two Drosophila TRPV channels. Thus, in Drosophila, three of the four TRPA channels are involved in thermosensation. Honey bees (Apis mellifera) share the same thermal biology as other solitary insects, being heterothermic animals. However, honey bees exhibit unique thermal behaviors as eusocial insects; they maintain a brood nest temperature between 32°C and 36°C, with an optimal temperature of 35°C, to support the appropriate brood development (Seeley, 1995; Tautz et al., 2003). These results clearly demonstrate that honey bees are able to perceive fluctuations in nest temperature and respond with appropriate behaviors. Nevertheless, little is known regarding how honey bees sense the ambient temperatures, despite extensive investigations for almost 50 years (Heinrich, 1996). Electrophysiological and scanning electron microscope examinations of honey bee antennae have revealed that coelocapitular sensilla contain thermosensitive neurons (Yokohari et al., 1982; Yokohari, 1983). It has been shown that these sensilla are present in the flagellum; however, their role in honey bee thermosensation has not been reported to date.

To gain insight into the mechanism of honey bee thermosensation, we first screened for honey bee thermosensitive TRP channels and found that AmHsTRPA, a honey bee Hymenoptera-specific TRPA (HsTRPA), channel is activated by heat in a heterologous expression system. Hymenoptera contains the specific TRPA subfamily member HsTRPA that is absent in other...
insect orders. We showed previously that wasp (*Nasonia vitripennis*) HsTRPA was heat-activated and thus proposed that HsTRPA, originally created by the duplication of Wtrw, has gained the thermoresponsive property during evolution, which has resulted in the loss of TRPA1 in Hymenoptera (Matsuura et al., 2009). Characterization of AmHsTRPA and its physiological functions and evolution are discussed herein.

**Materials and Methods**

*Construction of the AmHsTRPA-expressing vector for mammalian cells.* Full-length AmHsTRPA cDNA was isolated by reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR with honey bee brain total RNA and two primers, 5′-TAAATGGCGCCGCACCTGGACGATACAAAGCATACACGGAG-A ACTCCAC-3′ and 5′-CTCATGACTTAGTCTGATTTTCGTCTTTTG- TGCCAGATTTTTTCACAACA-3′. Bold letters indicate the initiation codon. The PCR product was cloned in the mammalian expression vector as described previously (Sokabe et al., 2008). The AmHsTRPA protein expressed by this construct was tagged with a V5 epitope at the C terminus and was used for initial screening of temperature sensitivity. A construct expressing untagged AmHsTRPA protein was then prepared using the above DNA construct as a template, the above primer with the initiation codon, and the primer 5′-GGCCCACTAGTCAGTTATCTC-3′. This DNA construct was used for all of the experiments.

*Ca**2**+ imaging experiments.* For **Ca**2**+** imaging and **Dsr**1-transfected human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293T cells on cover glasses were incubated in culture medium containing 5 μM Fura-2-acetoxyethyl ester for 1–2 h at 33°C. The cover glasses were washed with a standard bath solution containing (in mM) 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 2 CaCl2, 10 HEPS, and 10 glucose at pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH, and Fura-2 fluorescence was measured in a standard bath solution. The Ca**2**+ (−) bath solution containing (in molar) 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 5 EGTA, 10 HEPS, and 10 glucose, pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH, was also used. The cover glasses were mounted in a chamber connected to a gravity flow system to deliver various stimuli and heated bath solutions. Thermal or chemical stimulation was applied by running a preheated and prechilled bath solution or a bath solution containing a chemical reagent. The cover glasses were mounted in a chamber connected to a gravimetric flow system to deliver various stimuli and heated bath solutions.

*Preparation of anti-AmHsTRPA antibody, immunostaining, and immunoprecipitation/Western blot.* The DNA fragment encoding the N-terminal domain of AmHsTRPA (209 aa, 75–284) was PCR-amplified with two primers, 5′-TGGCGGATCTTCACTCACAATAC-3′ and 5′-GGAAACAGGATCTGTGAGCAAC-3′. The resulting PCR products were sequenced to verify their identities.

*Electrophysiology.* For patch-clamp recording, 1 μg of AmHsTRPA expression vector and 0.1 μg of pGreen Lantern 1 vector were transfected to HEK293T cells cultured on 35-mm dishes using Lipofectamine Plus reagents. The standard bath solution containing 2 mM CaCl2 for the whole-cell patch-clamp methods was the same as that used for the Ca**2**+ imaging experiments. The same solution was used as the pipette solution for inside-out patch-clamp recording. The Ca**2**+ (−) bath solution for whole-cell patch-clamp (used for dose-response analysis of camphor) was the same as the one used for Ca**2**+ imaging experiments. A standard bath solution for inside-out patch-clamp methods was the same as the one used for Ca**2**+ imaging methods.

*Heat stimulation.* A pipette solution for the whole-cell patch-clamp and the bath solution for inside-out patch-clamp methods contained (in mM) 140 NaCl, 5 EGTA, and 10 HEPS at pH 7.4, adjusted with CsOH. For the cation permeability experiments, the bath solutions contained (in mM) 140 NaCl (or 140 KCl), 10 glucose, and 10 HEPS at pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH (or KOH) (for nonmonovalent cations), or 110 MgCl2 (or 110 CaCl2), 2 Mg(OH)2 (or 2 Ca(OH)2), 10 glucose, and 10 HEPS at pH 7.4, adjusted with HCl (for divalent cations). Whole-cell-recording data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 5 kHz for analysis (Axopatch 200B amplifier with pClamp software; Molecular Devices). Inside-out patch recording data were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz for analysis. The membrane potential was clamped at −60 mV in the whole-cell configuration and +80 mV in the inside-out configuration. Shift in liquid junction potential during heating (−2–4 mV) was corrected in the analysis. Analysis of the peak--clamp methods was performed at room temperature except during the cold and heat stimulation experiments. For heat stimulation, a preheated solution was perfused with gravity by 2.5°C/s near the thresholds, reaching a maximum of 40–46°C. For cool stimulation, a prechilled solution in ice was used as described for the heat stimulation. In an inside-out patch clamp mode, heat was applied with an stage heater connected to a chamber (CC-28 and PH1; Warner Instruments) by 0.2°C/s near the thresholds. The temperature was monitored with a thermocouple (TA-30; Warner Instruments) placed within 100 μm of the patch-clamped cell. Chemical stimulation was applied as described above for the Ca**2**+ imaging experiments. The current–voltage (I–V) relationship during stimulation was obtained using voltage ramps (−100 to +100 mV in 500 ms). Permeability ratios for the cations were calculated as described previously (Adams et al., 1980; Caterina et al., 1997). The permeability ratio for monovalent cations to Cs (Pc/Pc,rev) was calculated as follows: P+/(Pc,rev) = exp(ΔVrev/F/RT), where Vrev is the reversal potential, F is Faraday’s constant, R is the universal gas constant, and T is the absolute temperature. For measurement of divalent cation permeability, P+/(Pc,rev) was calculated as follows: P+/(Pc,rev) = 3[(Ca**2**+)exp(ΔVrev/F/RT) × (1 + exp(ΔVrev/F/RT))]/4)], where bracketed terms are activities. We assumed the activity coefficients were 0.75 for monovalent ions and 0.25 for divalent ions, which are included in the formula. The absolute current values were plotted on a log scale against the reciprocal of the absolute temperature (1/T) (Arrhenius plot). Q10 values were calculated from the slope of the linearly fitted lines in Arrhenius plots assuming that a slope is maintained up to 10°C higher from a flex point.

*RT-PCR.* Total RNA was isolated from the worker bee antennae, legs, proboscides, and wings and the brains of workers, males, and queen bees by using Trizol reagent. One microgram of total RNA was used for the RT reaction by using ReverTra Ace RT. The RT products were then used for PCR with Go TaqDNA polymerase and two primers, 5′-TGGCGGATCTTCACTCACAATAC-3′ and 5′-GGAAACAGGATCTGTGAGCAAC-3′. The resulting PCR products were sequenced to verify their identities.

*In situ hybridization.* In situ hybridization of honey bee antenna sections with digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes was performed as described previously (Tsuchimoto et al., 2005). For preparation of the riboprobes, partial AmHsTRPA cDNA (amino acids 401–590) was cloned in pBluecript II, and then the sense and antisense riboprobes were prepared with either T7 or T3 RNA polymerase and ribonucleotide triphosphates containing digoxigenin-uridine-5′-triphosphate. Hybridization was performed overnight at 42°C. High-stringency posthybridization washes were performed at the same temperature. Color development was monitored under a microscope and performed for the same periods on the samples hybridized with the sense and antisense riboprobes. The nuclei were simultaneously stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.

*Thermotaxis assay of honey bees.* To assay the temperature preference of honey bees, a temperature gradient of 28–48°C with a slope of 0.82°C/cm was produced in an aluminum block (27 cm long × 15 cm...
Proboscis extension reflex assay of honey bees. A proboscis extension reflex (PER) assay is based on the observation that when starved honey bee antennae encounter a sugar substance the honey bee responds by extending its proboscis. The probability of extension increases with the sugar concentration and decreases with an increase in the concentrations of aversive-tasting substances in the sugar solution (de Brito Sanchez et al., 2005). The anesthetized bees prepared as above were harnessed in small tubes such that they could move only their antennae and mouthparts, including the proboscis. Honey bees were held in the dark under high humidity for 2 h to starve them. Each subject was checked for intact PER before beginning the experiments. Animals that did not show the reflex were discarded. Sucrose solution was carefully delivered to the tip of the antennae by means of a 1-μl micropipette tip filled with a droplet of 10% sucrose solution containing either nothing, 3 mM camphor, 1 mM allyl isothiocyanate (AITC), 1 mM cinnamaldehyde (CA), 1 mM berberine, or 1 mM quinine. The same experiments were also performed in the presence of 10 μM ruthenium red (RuR). Hot sucrose solution was prepared by preheating 10% sucrose solution to 70°C, and then immediately delivering it to the antennae as above. The temperature of the hot sucrose solution was therefore 45–50°C at the time of delivery. Menthol was added only to the

Results
AmHsTRPA is a honey bee member of the HsTRPA channels activated by heat stimulation
AmHsTRPA expressed in HEK293 cells localizes primarily at the plasma membrane (Fig. 1A). Because many thermosensitive TRP channels show high permeability to Ca\(^{2+}\), we first performed Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging experiments by using Fura-2 to test whether AmHsTRPA increases intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration ([Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\)) with temperature changes. A robust [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{i}\) increase was ob-

Figure 1. AmHsTRPA is a honey bee thermosensitive TRP channel. A. Localization of AmHsTRPA expressed in HEK293 cells detected by immunostaining with an anti-AmHsTRPA antibody. B. AmhTRPA-expressing cells are identified using coexpressed DsRed protein. C–F. Representative results for Fura-2 Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging at 25.6°C (C), 12.5°C (D), and 43.1°C (E) and in the presence of ionomycin (5 μM) (F). The pseudocolor indicates intensity of the fluorescence ratio of 340/380 nm. G. Temporal changes in the Fura-2 ratio in AmhTRPA-expressing cells (top) and temperature (bottom) in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) (+). The average trace (red line) ± SD is shown at the top. Ionomycin was added at 240 s (Iono, gray bar). H. The same experiments as in G were performed in the absence of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) (–). The average trace (blue line) ± SD is shown at the top.
served in AmHsTRPA-expressing cells when temperature was raised above 30°C, but not at lower temperatures (~10°C) (Fig. 1 B–G). The heat-evoked [Ca^{2+}]i increase was completely abolished in the absence of extracellular Ca^{2+} (Fig. 1 H), demonstrating that Ca^{2+} influxes from extracellular space through AmHsTRPA.

We then characterized the electrophysiological properties of AmHsTRPA expressed in HEK293 cells with a patch-clamp method. In the whole-cell configuration, AmHsTRPA showed transient inward currents upon temperature increase from 15°C, but not decrease from 25°C, at a holding potential of −60 mV, indicating heat responsiveness of the channel (Fig. 2 A). The currents developed when the temperature was raised to 40°C, and then deactivated under constant heat stimulation (Fig. 2 A, B). Heat-evoked current activation was not observed in mock-transfected HEK293 cells (data not shown), suggesting that the currents are specifically mediated through AmHsTRPA. AmHsTRPA showed desensitization during the repeated heat application, and the channels were still inactivated even after long time recovery at 25°C (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Similar heat-evoked channel activation was also observed with a wasp HsTRPA, NvHsTRPA (Matsuura et al., 2009), confirming HsTRPA as a new thermosensitive TRP channel subtype. A temperature profile of AmHsTRPA activation showed that the current gradually began to develop above 24°C, followed by large transient currents toward 40°C (Fig. 2 B, C). An Arrhenius plot showed a flex point at 24.1 ± 0.8°C, and the mean Q_{10} value was slightly shifted from 1.4 ± 0.1 to 3.3 ± 0.3 (n = 8) (Fig. 2 D) at this temperature. However, Q_{10} values ~1–3 are seen in general enzymes and other ion channels (Hille, 2001) and are considerably lower than those of known thermosensitive TRP channels (Q_{10} > 10) (Sokabe et al., 2008). Notably, an Arrhenius plot showed the second flex point at 33.5 ± 0.7°C, and the mean Q_{10} value shifted to 7.1 ± 1.4 (n = 8) (Fig. 2 D), which was much higher than that at 24°C. Because the temperatures inside honey bee hives are usually higher than 30°C (Kronenberg and Heller, 1982; Fahrenholz et al., 1989), we attempted to measure the current activation of AmHsTRPA after preheating to 30°C. An AmHsTRPA-expressing cell was held at 30°C in a heating chamber after a whole-cell mode was achieved, and then stimulated with higher heat by using a perfusing prewarmed bath solution (Fig. 2 E, F). Under these conditions, a robust current was again generated at ~34°C (Fig. 2 G). Consistent with these results, the Arrhenius plot displays an explicit flex point at 33.9 ± 0.6°C (n = 10) (Fig. 2 H), which was almost identical to the temperature of a second flex point obtained when the cell was stimulated from 15°C (Fig. 2 D). The mean Q_{10} value increased to 17.2 ± 4.0 above the flex point, which is comparable with those of known thermosensitive TRP channels. Thus, we defined this temperature (33.9 ± 0.6°C) as a primary temperature threshold for AmHsTRPA activation. To test whether AmHsTRPA is directly activated by heat, we tried to obtain the heat activation currents at the single-channel level. In an inside-out patch-clamp configuration, single-channel currents were observed during heating, and its chord conductance at +80 mV was as small as 14.7 ± 0.9 picosiemens (pS) (n = 9) (Fig. 2 I). We therefore conclude that AmHsTRPA is a heat-sensitive ion channel activated around the optimal brood nest temperature.

**Activation of AmHsTRPA is modulated by chemical compounds**

It has been shown that mammalian thermosensitive TRP channels are not only activated by heat but also by various compounds (Clapham, 2007). We thus attempted to identify chemical compounds either activating or inhibiting AmHsTRPA. First, candidate activators of AmHsTRPA were screened through Ca^{2+} imaging experiments. Among the mammalian TRPA1 activators, AITC and CA, both of which are known to covalently modify

**Figure 2.** AmHsTRPA is activated by heat >34°C. **A,** Temporal changes in the current (top trace) in an AmHsTRPA-expressing cell and temperature (bottom trace) during temperature fluctuation. **B,** Expanded traces from A show the activation profile of AmHsTRPA during heating from 15°C. **C,** A representative temperature-response profile for heat-evoked AmHsTRPA current, as observed in B. The solid horizontal line indicates the basal level. **D,** An Arrhenius plot for the heat-evoked AmHsTRPA current shows dual flex points on temperature dependency. Data in B were converted. The crossing points of the three linearly fitted lines indicated as solid red lines (flex points) show changes in the temperature dependency of AmHsTRPA at 23.6°C and 33.4°C in this example. The Q_{10} value was calculated for each line (see Materials and Methods). **E,** Temporal changes in the AmHsTRPA current (top trace) and temperature (bottom trace) during slow heating (up to 29°C), followed by fast heating. **F,** Expanded traces from E show the activation profile of AmHsTRPA during fast heating from 29°C. **G,** A representative temperature-response profile for heat-evoked AmHsTRPA current, as observed in F. The solid horizontal line indicates the basal level. **H,** An Arrhenius plot for the heat-evoked AmHsTRPA current shown in F demonstrates a clear flex point for temperature dependency. The intersection of the two linearly fitted lines (a flex point) was defined as a primary temperature threshold for AmHsTRPA activation, which was 33.5°C in this example. Note that this temperature is quite similar to that of the second flex point in D. The Q_{10} for each line is also shown. **I,** Single-channel currents in AmHsTRPA-expressing excised membrane without (left) or with (right) heat stimulation in an inside-out patch-clamp mode at +80 mV holding potential. The dotted lines indicate the closed- and opened-channel levels. Amplitude histograms (gray bars) during heat application are shown at the far right. x and y axes indicate event numbers per 400 ms and amplitude (pA), respectively. Histograms were drawn with a bin width of 0.2 nanoampere (nA) and fitted with a Gaussian function. The averaged unitary amplitude was 1.18 ± 0.07 pA (n = 9).
AmHsTRPA is activated by chemical compounds. (A–C) Temporal changes in the Fura-2 ratio in AmHsTRPA-expressing cells (top traces) and temperature (bottom traces) during AITC (A), CA (B), or camphor (C) application in the presence of 2 mM extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\). The traces from individual cells indicated with various colors are shown at the top. AITC (1 mM) was added at 60–180 s (A, green bar), and ionomycin was added at 360 s (lono, gray bars). The same experiments were also performed with 1 mM CA (B, vermilion bar) and 5 mM camphor (C, black bar). D–F: Temporal changes in the current (top trace) in AmHsTRPA-expressing cells and temperature (bottom trace) during treatment with 1 mM AITC (D), 1 mM CA (E), or 5 mM camphor (F) in a whole-cell patch-clamp mode. Note that inactivation required a long time and partial activity usually remained after stimulation with AITC (D) or CA (E). In contrast, the response to camphor (F) was much faster and larger than those to AITC or CA. G: The dose–response profile of camphor for AmHsTRPA activation. Maximum values for the current density were obtained and fitted to Hill plots (n = 6). Higher concentrations of camphor (>10 mM) were not tested because of solubility. H: Single-channel currents in AmHsTRPA-expressing excised membrane during 10 mM camphor application in an inside-out patch-clamp mode at +80 mV holding potential. Note that the integrative currents of single-channel openings resemble the transient currents in intracellular cysteine residues (Hinman et al., 2006; Macpherson et al., 2007), activated AmHsTRPA (Fig. 3A,B); however, other TRPA1 agonists such as acrolein, nicotine, nifedipine, menthol, and TRP channel agonists failed to activate the channel (see supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Meanwhile, camphor, an inhibitor of mammalian TRPA1 (Xu et al., 2005), robustly activated AmHsTRPA (Fig. 3C). The effects of these compounds on AmHsTRPA were further tested using a patch-clamp method. Currents developed slowly by addition of AITC and CA followed by slow inactivation after washout in AmHsTRPA-expressing HEK293 cells (Fig. 3D,E). These currents were AmHsTRPA-dependent because the same cells responded to heat stimulation. Nevertheless, AmHsTRPA activation needs relatively higher doses of these activators compared with those for mammalian TRPA1. AITC-evoked current densities were 2.1 ± 0.4 picoamperes per picofarad (pA/pF) (0.1 mM; n = 6) and 13.7 ± 1.8 pA/pF (1 mM; n = 5), and CA-evoked current densities were 1.2 ± 0.9 pA/pF (0.1 mM; n = 4) and 11.5 ± 1.6 pA/pF (1 mM; n = 5). Meanwhile, addition of camphor generated a rapid and large current, and it was then quickly inactivated (Fig. 3F). The desensitization was also observed during repeated camphor application as repeated heat stimulation (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The dose-response analysis for camphor indicated that the EC\(_{50}\) for AmHsTRPA activation was 4.3 ± 0.2 mM with a Hill coefficient of 3.8 (Fig. 3G). Camphor-evoked single-channel currents were observed in an inside-out patch-clamp configuration, and its chord conductance at +80 mV was 14.8 ± 0.4 pS (n = 7) (Fig. 3H), which was similar to the value obtained by heat stimulation (Fig. 2). These results suggest that AmHsTRPA is a receptor for these herbal compounds.

We also tested the effects of several known inhibitors of TRP channels on AmHsTRPA activation and found that RuR...
(a broad inhibitor of TRP channels including mammalian TRPA1) and menthol (an activator of human TRPA1 and an activator and inhibitor of mouse TRPA1 at low and high concentrations, respectively) (Xiao et al., 2008) inhibit AmHsTRPA activation by heat stimulation (Fig. 4A–C). RuR significantly reduced heat-evoked AmHsTRPA activation (control: 41.8 ± 6.7 pA/pF, n = 15; 1 μM RuR: 8.4 ± 1.6 pA/pF, n = 11). A low concentration of menthol (100 nM) blocked heat-evoked currents to approximately half of the maximum activation (Fig. 4C). Inhibition of heat-evoked current activation either by RuR or menthol was reversible. To test whether RuR suppresses AmHsTRPA activation by AITC, CA, or camphor, 10 μM RuR was added immediately after the maximum activation of AmHsTRPA. We used this method because it was often difficult to completely remove RuR by washing out. The currents generated by AITC, CA, or camphor quickly returned to baseline in the presence of RuR (Fig. 4D–F). These current profiles were obviously different from those obtained without RuR treatment (see supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material for camphor). RuR thus inhibits AmHsTRPA activation by AITC, CA, and camphor and heat stimulation. The effects of menthol on chemical activation of AmHsTRPA were also examined by using the same protocol as that used for the RuR treatments. Interestingly, none of the chemical-induced current activations was inhibited by 100 μM menthol treatment, as opposed to RuR (3 mM camphor: 43.9 ± 5.6 pA/pF, n = 6; camphor with 100 μM menthol: 43.8 ± 5.8 pA/pF, n = 6, not significant) (Fig. 4G–I). We also tested another TRPA1 blocker, HC-030031 (Eid SR et al., 2008); however, it did not affect both heat- and chemical-evoked activation of AmHsTRPA (supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). These results indicate that the activation mechanism of AmHsTRPA by chemical compounds is different from that by heat stimulation. The chemical sensitivity of AmHsTRPA is unique but shares some common properties with mammalian TRPA1.

The ion selectivity of AmHsTRPA differs between heat and chemical stimulations

Because AmHsTRPA appeared to have different channel properties when activated by heat or chemical stimulation (based on the observed difference in sensitivity to menthol), we expected that the ion permeability of AmHsTRPA might be different between heat and chemical activation. The I–V relationship of AmHsTRPA upon heat stimulation showed dual rectification with slight positive reversal potentials of 5.4 ± 1.5 mV (n = 6) (Fig. 5A), suggesting that the channel had low selectivity for cations. The I–V relationship of AmHsTRPA-mediated current evoked by addition of camphor, AITC, or CA showed dual rectification with more positive reversal potentials than that observed with heat stimulation (Fig. 5B–D) (20.6 ± 1.5 mV for camphor, n = 8; 23.3 ± 4.0 mV for AITC, n = 5; and 23.1 ± 3.5 mV for CA, n = 5). These results suggest that the ion selectivity of AmHsTRPA gated by chemical compounds is different from that induced by heat stimulation. To address this possibility, we measured reversal potentials in various extracellular monovalent or divalent cation solutions with a CsCl pipette solution (Fig. 5E, F). The calculated relative permeabilities demonstrated that heat-activated AmHsTRPA was slightly more permeable to Mg$^{2+}$ than Na$^+$, K$^+$, or Ca$^{2+}$ (Fig. 5E, G) (Na$^+$/K$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$/Mg$^{2+}$ = 1.0:0.86:0.86:2.15:1.52). During camphor stimulation, however, the reversal potentials obtained with extracellular MgCl$_2$ and CaCl$_2$ showed distinct shifts to positive potentials, and the calculated relative permeabilities demonstrated that camphor-activated AmHsTRPA was much more permeable to Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ than to Na$^+$, K$^+$, or Cs$^+$ (Fig. 5F, G) (Na$^+$/K$^+$/Cs$^+$/Mg$^{2+}$/Ca$^{2+}$ = 1.0:0.86:0.86:2.15:1.52).
in the brains of male (drone) and queen bees (Fig. 6).

Camphor has been shown to be a potent activator of TRPA1 channels in other species. To determine if AmHsTRPA is also activated by camphor, the reversal potential for each cation solution was measured. The results showed that camphor activation leads to a reversal potential of 11.8 mV. Pretreatment with 0.5 mM camphor did not affect the reversal potential, indicating that camphor activation does not alter the gating mechanisms of AmHsTRPA.

We then tested whether heat and camphor have a synergistic effect. AmHsTRPA-expressing cells were pretreated with 0.5 mM camphor, which does not evoke current activation, and then stimulated with heated camphor. The reversal potential for each cation solution was 11.8 mV, indicating that heat and camphor stimulation do not alter the gating mechanisms of AmHsTRPA.

In summary, AmHsTRPA has multiple gating modes depending on the type of stimulus. It is expressed in a few discrete cells of the antennal flagellum, and its expression profile differs between male and queen bees. Further studies are needed to understand the physiological functions of AmHsTRPA in honey bees.

---

**Figure 5.** Heat- and chemical-induced gating of AmHsTRPA demonstrate different ion permeabilities. **A–D,** Representative I–V relationships for AmHsTRPA activation with heat (A), 5 mM camphor (B), 1 mM AITC (C), or 1 mM CA (D). Blue and red traces indicate control (15°C in A) and activation states, respectively. Heat-dependent shifts in the liquid junction potentials (∆JPH) were not corrected in the plots. **E, F,** I–V relationships for AmHsTRPA activation with heat (E) or 5 mM camphor (F) measured with NaCl, KCl, MgCl2, or CaCl2 bath solutions and a CsCl pipette solution. For heat activation, the reversal potential for each cation solution was 4.2 ± 0.9 mV (NaCl; n = 6), 0.2 ± 1.4 mV (KCl; n = 5), 5.2 ± 2.2 mV (MgCl2; n = 5), or −1.0 ± 1.0 mV (CaCl2; n = 6). For camphor activation, the reversal potential for each cation solution was 11.8 ± 1.3 mV (NaCl; n = 6), 4.4 ± 0.8 mV (KCl; n = 5), 30.1 ± 2 mV (MgCl2; n = 5), or 32.2 ± 3 mV (CaCl2; n = 5). Basal traces were subtracted in E and F, but ∆JPH values were not compensated for in E, G. Relative permeability ratios of K+, Cs+, Mg2+, and Ca2+ to Na+ of AmHsTRPA activated by heat (open bars) or 5 mM camphor (solid bars) are shown after compensating for ∆JPH values of the reversal potentials (n = 5–6). **H,** A representative I–V relationship for AmHsTRPA activation by heated camphor (0.5 mM). Camphor solution was warmed for <5 min just before the experiments to minimize evaporation and/or degradation. Blue and red traces were obtained from control (15°C) and activation states, respectively. ∆JPH were not corrected in the plots.
of the AmHsTRPA-expressing neurons and the coecolocipitular sensilla through in situ hybridization because of a lack of the specific marker.

Ablation of the antennal flagella and injection of AmHsTRPA inhibitors impair warmth avoidance of honey bees

It is well known that the temperature of the brood nest is regulated near 35°C to support optimal development of the eggs, larvae, and pupae (Kronenberg and Heller, 1982; Fahrenholz et al., 1989; Seeley, 1995). Furthermore, in a laboratory experiment, it was shown that a group of 10–20 honey bees preferred temperatures of 27–33°C when placed in a temperature gradient (10–50°C) chamber (Grodzicki and Caputa, 2005). When we measured the thermotaxis of honey bees on an aluminum block with a temperature gradient of 28–48°C, they were found to prefer temperatures of 34–35°C (Fig. 7A), similar to the results of the previous studies mentioned above. To suppress AmHsTRPA activation by heat stimulation, we injected Ringer’s solution (control), RuR, or menthol into the head between the two bases of the antennae. RuR and menthol circulate in both the brain and the antennae under these conditions, allowing AmHsTRPA activation to be inhibited in these regions. The thermotaxis behavior of the control bees injected with Ringer’s solution was comparable with that of the untreated bees; however, those injected with either 0.1 mM RuR or 0.5 mM menthol tended to select regions with warmer temperatures than the control bees (Fig. 7A, B). The peak temperatures selected by the RuR- and menthol-injected honey bees were 37°C and 39°C, respectively (Fig. 7A). Bilateral ablation of the antennal flagella also resulted in an increase of the fraction of honey bees in hot (>36.5°C) regions without an apparent peak (Fig. 7A, B). Injection of RuR or menthol, or ablation of the antennal flagella did not increase the fraction of honey bees in cool (<32.5°C) regions, demonstrating that these treatments do not affect honey bees’ perception of low temperatures (Fig. 7A, B). It should be noted that injection of menthol or RuR or ablation of the antennal flagella did not impair the motor ability of the honey bees (data not shown). These results demonstrate that the antennal flagellum and RuR- or menthol-sensitive ion channels, including AmHsTRPA, are essential for warmth avoidance of honey bees.

Suppression of honey bee PER to sucrose by noxious heat and insect antifeedants are relieved in the presence of AmHsTRPA inhibitors

All of the AmHsTRPA activators identified above are derived from plants and known to be repellent to various plant predators, including insects (Nerio et al., 2010). Thus, camphor, AITC, and CA are expected to function as aversive-tasting substances for honey bees. To address this possibility, we used a PER assay. When the honey bee antennae were touched with 10% sucrose, honey bees extended their proboscises in 65.9% of the trials (Fig. 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Intriguingly, the response probability was also reduced to 33.3% by a hot (45–50°C) sucrose solution (Fig. 7C). Nevertheless, these compounds did not activate AmHsTRPA by a patch-clamp method (supplemental Fig. 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). In contrast, the response probability was also reduced to 33.3% by a hot (45–50°C) sucrose solution (Fig. 7C). Nevertheless, these compounds did not activate AmHsTRPA by a patch-clamp method (supplemental Fig. 5, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).
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Figure 7. AmHsTRPA may physiologically function as a thermal/chemical sensor. A, The distribution of honey bees along a thermal gradient (28 – 48°C). Before assaying, the bees were left untreated or injected with Ringer’s solution, 0.5 mM menthol, or 0.1 mM RuR. Bees with bilateral ablation of flagellum were also tested. B, The percentage of bees in the 28 – 32.5°C region of the thermal gradient (left) (Untreated: 25.1 ± 4.1%; Ablation of flagella: 9.6 ± 2.5%; Ringer: 19.7 ± 4.3%; Menthol: 16.7 ± 1.7%; RuR: 10.9 ± 2.7%) and the 36.5–48°C region of the thermal gradient (right): Untreated: 50.7 ± 2.3%; Ablation of flagella: 86.9 ± 2.7%; Ringer: 48.0 ± 11.9%; Menthol: 77.7 ± 2.5%; RuR: 77.2 ± 4.9%). n represents the total number of bees tested for each condition. Asterisks indicate results significantly different from each control (*p < 0.001; **p < 0.03; ***p < 0.04). C, PER of honey bees tested with sucrose solution containing no chemicals (Control), 1 mM berberine, 1 mM quinine, 1 mM AITC, 1 mM CA, or 5 mM camphor. Hot sucrose solution (Heat) was also used. The same experiments were performed in the presence of 10 μM RuR (red bar) or 100 μM menthol (tested only with hot sucrose solution) (blue bar). Asterisks indicate results significantly different from each control (p < 0.001). D, PER of wild-type, trpA1-, and trpA1-expressing DmTRPA1 (trpA1-Gal4: DmTRPA1; trpA1), or AmHsTRPA (trpA1-Gal4: AmHsTRPA; trpA1) flies tested with sucrose solution containing no chemicals (Sucrose only), 2 mM AITC (+ AITC), 2 mM CA (+ CA), 5 mM camphor (+ Camphor), or 10 mM aristolochic acid (+ Aristolochic acid). Purple and blue bars represent PER frequency of the first offering and averaged PER frequency of the second to seventh offerings, respectively. Asterisks indicate results significantly different from trpA11 (p < 0.001).

AmHsTRPA is able to complement the defects of chemical avoidance of Drosophila trpA1 mutant flies

DmTRPA1 has recently been shown to function as a chemical sensor for AITC, CA, and N-methylmaleimide both in vitro and in vivo (Kang et al., 2010). We have also confirmed that DmTRPA1 responds to AITC, CA, and camphor (supplemental Fig. 6, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). To test whether AmHsTRPA can substitute for the functions of DmTRPA1 as a chemical sensor, AmHsTRPA was expressed with a trpA1-Gal4 driver under a trpA1-deficient background. Gustatory responses of wild-type but not trpA1 flies to sucrose were suppressed by AITC, CA, or camphor. Expression of DmTRPA1 or AmHsTRPA restores the avoidance responses of trpA1 flies to the above compounds (Fig. 7D).

We also tested whether AmHsTRPA can complement the function of DmTRPA1, which is indirectly required for aversive response to aristolochic acid (Kim et al., 2010). Expression of DmTRPA1 but not AmHsTRPA restores the avoidance responses of trpA1 flies to aristolochic acid (Fig. 7D). These results demonstrate that AmHsTRPA and DmTRPA1 are functionally comparable in Drosophila as a direct, but not indirect, chemical sensor for insect antifeedants.

Discussion

As we reported previously, honey bees have the two TRPV, one TRPON, one TRPM, three TRPC, one TRPML, and five TRPA channels, but lack the most ancient TRPP channel (Matsuura et al., 2009). The honey bee TRPA subfamily members include the orthologs of Drosophila Pain, Pyx, Wtrw, Hymenoptera- and Coleoptera-specific AmTRPA5, and AmHsTRPA. We tested all of the TRPA subfamily members, except AmPyx, for temperature sen-
sitivity with Ca$^{2+}$ imaging experiments (unpublished observation) and found that AmHsTRPA displayed a robust [Ca$^{2+}$], increase upon temperature fluctuation. It is unlikely that honey bees contain only one thermosensitive TRP channel. They likely have other thermosensitive TRP channels with different temperature thresholds for activation from that of AmHsTRPA. There are several possible explanations for the lack of a robust [Ca$^{2+}$], increase upon heat/cold stimulation in HEK293 cells expressing honey bee TRPA channels other than AmHsTRPA. For example, they may not be efficiently transported to the plasma membrane as a functional protein or have very low permeability to Ca$^{2+}$. Some channels might be inactivated during mammalian cell culture at 33°C. Alternatively, these channels may require association with other TRP channels or specific proteins for activation.

AmHsTRPA expressed in HEK293 cells apparently responds to heat but not cold. Rapid and robust current activation was observed at 33.9 ± 0.6°C when heat was applied from 30°C (Fig. 2E–H). This temperature threshold matches with honey bees’ thermo behaviors in the hive (see below). The initial activation at 24.1 ± 0.8°C when the temperature was raised from 15°C (Fig. 2A–D) could be an artifact of the in vitro experiments. If this also occurs in vivo, AmHsTRPA would be partially activated when the honey bees are inside the hive, where the temperature is maintained at >30°C (Kronenberg and Heller, 1982; Fahrenholz et al., 1989; Seeley, 1995). Nevertheless, we observed negligible activation of AmHsTRPA while maintaining the temperature at ~30°C (Fig. 2E, F). Whether AmHsTRPA is continuously activated in honey bee neurons at normal nest temperatures remains to be determined.

We have found that AmHsTRPA is also activated by AITC and CA, as is mammalian TRPA1 (Fig. 3A, B, D, E). These noxious compounds activate mammalian TRPA1 by covalently modifying N-terminal cysteine residues (Hinman et al., 2006; Macpherson et al., 2007). These cysteine residues targeted for covalent modification were conserved in mammalian and Drosophila TRPA1 but not in AmHsTRPA (supplemental Fig. 7, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This suggests that these electrophiles use different residues to activate AmHsTRPA, and they are probably not suitable for some electrophiles such as acrolein (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). AmHsTRPA has seven cysteine residues between the first ankyrin repeat domain and the first transmembrane domain, which might be the targets of AITC and CA. Camphor, an inhibitor of mammalian TRPA1, quickly and robustly activates AmHsTRPA (Fig. 3C, F), similar to mammalian TRPV1 and TRPV3 (Moqrich et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005). Camphor, AITC, and CA have long been known as insect antifeedants (Nerio et al., 2010), and this is also the case for honey bees (Fig. 7C). RuR-sensitive ion channels, including AmHsTRPA, are essential for suppressing the gustatory response to sucrose containing these aversive compounds in vivo (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, AmHsTRPA is able to complement the functions of DmTRPA1 as a chemical sensor in fruit flies (Fig. 7D) (Kang et al., 2010). Thus, it is likely that AmHsTRPA and DmTRPA1 are insect TRP channels responding to camphor, AITC, and CA, both in vivo and in vitro.

RuR inhibits AmHsTRPA activation by both heat and chemical stimuli (Fig. 4A, D–F). Meanwhile, menthol suppresses activation of AmHsTRPA in response to heat but not chemical stimuli (Fig. 4B, C, G–I). These results demonstrate that the activation mechanisms of AmHsTRPA by heat and chemical stimuli are different. Intriguingly, we have found that AmHsTRPA shows differential ion selectivity when activated by heat or chemical stimuli. It is more permeable to divalent cations (Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$) in response to camphor (and probably to AITC and CA) than in response to heat stimulation (Fig. 5G). Moreover, dual stimulation with heat and low concentration of camphor induced inward-rectified I–V relationship with slightly negative reversal potentials, which were different from either heat or camphor stimulation alone (Fig. 5H). These results suggest that the gating mode is not uniform, but rather flexible depending on the types of stimuli. We speculate that aversive compounds, heat, and their combination induce different conformational changes in the AmHsTRPA channel and may also result in subtle structural changes in the pore region. The ion selectivity of the channels has conventionally been considered invariant; however, recent studies have determined that several channels, including TRP channels (Tominaga et al., 1998; Chung et al., 2008; Chen et al., 2009) and the P2X channel (Fujiiwara and Kubo, 2004), can be modulated by activation time, type of stimulus, ligand concentration, channel density, and extracellular and intracellular ionic environments.

Similar to Drosophila TRP channels, AmHsTRPA is expressed in several appendages and the brain (Fig. 6) (Al-Anzi et al., 2006; Hamada et al., 2008). AmHsTRPA is likely to function as a thermal/chemical sensor in the appendages. Meanwhile, it may have different roles in the brain because the brain of the honey bee is much larger than that of Drosophila. Because antennae, legs, and proboscies contain AmHsTRPA-expressing neurons, these appendages would be able to sense the noxious compounds and heat. As shown in Figure 7A–C, this is indeed the case for antennae. The results of the honey bee thermotaxis assay demonstrated that groups of honey bees prefer temperatures ~35°C, comparable to a previous report (Grodzicki and Caputa, 2005). Moreover, this thermal preference is quite consistent with the temperature threshold for AmHsTRPA activation determined in vitro (33.9 ± 0.6°C) (Fig. 2H). Injection of menthol or RuR to suppress the activity of AmHsTRPA significantly increases the fraction of bees in warmer regions (Fig. 7A, B), demonstrating that menthol- or RuR-sensitive ion channels, including AmHsTRPA, are essential for avoidance of temperatures >36.5°C. Although we could not rule out the contribution of other RuR- or menthol-sensitive channels to thermal and chemical avoidance, the compensatory effect of AmHsTRPA expressed in dtrpA1-deficient flies in PER assay suggests that AmHsTRPA may play key roles in avoidance behaviors in honey bees (Fig. 7D). The AmHsTRPA inhibitors were used for the experiments because it was not possible to knock down the functions of AmHsTRPA by RNA interference in adult bees. As shown in Figure 7A and B, honey bees with the ablated antennal flagella accumulated in much warmer regions than those injected with either RuR or menthol. First of all, the inhibitors injected into the head may only partially suppress AmHsTRPA activation in the antennae. Under this condition, it is also possible to propose that the flagellum may have TRP or other behaviors in honey bees (Fig. 7D). The AmHsTRPA inhibitors were used for the experiments because it was not possible to knock down the functions of AmHsTRPA by RNA interference in adult bees. As shown in Figure 7A and B, honey bees with the ablated antennal flagella accumulated in much warmer regions than those injected with either RuR or menthol. First of all, the inhibitors injected into the head may only partially suppress AmHsTRPA activation in the antennae. Under this condition, it is also possible to propose that the flagellum may have TRP or other behaviors in honey bees (Fig. 7D). The AmHsTRPA inhibitors were used for the experiments because it was not possible to knock down the functions of AmHsTRPA by RNA interference in adult bees. As shown in Figure 7A and B, honey bees with the ablated antennal flagella accumulated in much warmer regions than those injected with either RuR or menthol. First of all, the inhibitors injected into the head may only partially suppress AmHsTRPA activation in the antennae. Under this condition, it is also possible to propose that the flagellum may have TRP or other behaviors in honey bees (Fig. 7D).
to detect their optimal temperature (Hamada et al., 2008). Furthermore, NvHsTRPA does not appear to have a specific temperature threshold for activation (Matsuura et al., 2009). Thus, development of AmHsTRPA could be considered one of the important steps in establishing sociality in honey bees. As we reported previously, HsTRPA has been arisen by the duplication of Wtrw gene, which is necessary for detecting moist air in *Drosophila*, and intriguingly Hymenoptera lacks TRPA1, which was present in the last common ancestor of Bilateria (Matsuura et al., 2009; Kang et al., 2010). As shown in Figure 7D, AmHsTRPA and DmTRPA1 are functionally equivalent as a chemical sensor, suggesting that AmHsTRPA has gained the chemical and thermal responsive properties during evolution, which has resulted in the loss of ancient TRPA1 in honey bees. As far as we know, this is the first example of “neofunctionalization” of duplicated ion channel gene followed by loss of the functionally equivalent ancient gene.
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