Analysis of global gene expression profile of rice in response to methylglyoxal indicates its possible role as a stress signal molecule
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Methylglyoxal (MG) is a toxic metabolite produced primarily as a byproduct of glycolysis. Being a potent glycation agent, it can readily bind macromolecules like DNA, RNA, or proteins, modulating their expression and activity. In plants, despite the known inhibitory effects of MG on growth and development, still limited information is available about the molecular mechanisms and response pathways elicited upon elevation in MG levels. To gain insight into the molecular basis of MG response, we have investigated changes in global gene expression profiles in rice upon exposure to exogenous MG using GeneChip microarrays. Initially, growth of rice seedlings was monitored in response to increasing MG concentrations which could retard plant growth in a dose-dependent manner. Upon exposure to 10 mM concentration of MG, a total of 1685 probe sets were up- or down-regulated by more than 1.5-fold in shoot tissues within 16 h. These were classified into 10 functional categories. The genes involved in signal transduction such as, protein kinases and transcription factors, were significantly over-represented in the perturbed transcriptome, of which several are known to be involved in abiotic and biotic stress response indicating a cross-talk between MG-responsive and stress-responsive signal transduction pathways. Through in silico studies, we could predict 7–8 bp long conserved motif as a possible MG-responsive element (MGRE) in the 1 kb upstream region of genes that were more than 10-fold up- or down-regulated in the analysis. Since several perturbations were found in signaling cascades in response to MG, we hereby suggest that it plays an important role in signal transduction probably acting as a stress signal molecule.
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Introduction

Methylglyoxal (MG) is a highly reactive cytotoxic α-oxoaldehyde that is formed endogenously via both enzymatic and non enzymatic reactions, mainly as a byproduct of glycolysis from triose phosphates. In addition, MG is also generated as a side-product of amino acid and acetone metabolism (Kalapos, 1999). At higher than physiological levels, MG inhibits cell proliferation
(Ray et al., 1994) which is likely due to its ability to readily react with and modify macromolecules such as DNA, RNA, and proteins, forming advanced glycation end products (AGEs) (Thornalley, 2008). In animals, MG-mediated post-translational protein modifications are believed to be one of the causative factors of aging as well as of a number of diseases, including cancer and diabetes (Ramasamy et al., 2006). MG levels also rise to toxic concentrations in plants on exposure to abiotic and biotic stresses. Its concentration in rice at physiological conditions is 2 μmol/g fresh weight and rises two- to six-fold in response to saline, drought, and cold conditions (Yadav et al., 2005a; Ghosh et al., 2014). To keep its levels under control, several detoxification mechanisms exist in living systems. The glutathione (GSH)-dependent glyoxalase system comprising glyoxalase I and glyoxalase II enzymes, is believed to be the major detoxification route for MG, converting it to D-lactate. Other enzymes involved in MG detoxification include GSH-independent glyoxalase III enzyme, aldo-keto reductase, and dehydrogenases (Kalapos, 1999).

Interestingly, despite its adverse effects on cell growth, *E. coli*, and other microorganisms possess enzymes which generate MG through catalytic reactions. MG synthase is one such enzyme which converts dihydroxyacetone phosphate, a glycolytic intermediate (Ferguson et al., 1998) to MG and inorganic phosphate. When MG is so toxic, its catalytic synthesis raises questions regarding its physiological role in the living systems. It is however proposed that in bacteria, MG production might allow cells to control carbon influx in case an imbalance in metabolism occurs (Booth et al., 2003). Also, MG is known to induce Ca²⁺ transients in *E. coli*; apparently by opening Ca²⁺ channels in a dose-dependent manner (Campbell et al., 2007). This suggests an important role for this metabolite in bacterial-host cell signaling. Likewise in yeast, MG has been shown to act as a signal initiator during oxidative stress (Maeta et al., 2005). On the other hand, the probable role of MG as a signal molecule in plants is yet to be examined. Nonetheless, some indications can be taken from the previous reports which demonstrate that MG is never completely depleted from the system, maintaining a threshold level under normal growth conditions (Singla-Pareek et al., 2003, 2006; Yadav et al., 2005a, b; Hossain et al., 2009), pointing toward its potential role in signal transduction.

In order to understand the physiological and molecular details underlying MG response, in the present study, we have investigated changes in rice transcriptome upon exogenous application of MG. We analyzed physiological changes in MG-exposed rice seedlings followed by a whole genome microarray analysis. Several genes were found to be up- and down-regulated upon MG application. Interestingly, we observed a significant alteration in genes involved in signal transduction. Further, analysis of upstream sequences of MG-responsive genes (up- and down-regulated genes) led to the identification of few conserved motifs which may serve as MG-response element (MGRE). Overall, the present study strongly indicates an important role of MG in signal transduction and provides first information on global expression profile of MG-responsive transcriptome in plants, suggesting diverse biological functions of MG in plants.

### Material and Methods

#### Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Plants of rice cultivar IR64 were grown in controlled conditions in growth chamber at 28 ± 2°C and 16 h photoperiod. The seeds were surface sterilized with 1% Bavistin for 20 min and allowed to germinate in a hydroponics culture system. Germinated seeds were supplied with the modified Yoshida medium (Yoshida et al., 1972).

#### Morphological Analysis

For seedling growth experiments, 7 day old seedlings of IR64 rice were transferred to Yoshida medium supplemented with different concentrations of MG (5, 7.5, 10, 15, and 20 mM) for 16 h. Untreated seedlings were used as control. After 16 h, seedlings were rescued from MG medium and transferred to Yoshida medium for recovery. Growth of the seedlings was monitored for 4 days after recovery and root and shoot length were measured from control and MG treatment to assess plant growth.

#### RNA Extraction and GeneChip Microarray Experiment

IR64 rice cultivar was chosen for microarray analysis. Seven day old seedlings were treated with 10 mM MG for 16 h in hydroponics. Shoot tissue was harvested and total RNA was isolated from the control and MG-treated samples as described previously (Kaur et al., 2013). RNA samples were used for Affymetrix rice chip hybridization experiment and data analysis. A total of four hybridizations were carried out as two biological replicates for each condition (control and MG treatment). Single color Affymetrix rice chip was used, where only one dye (Cy3 dye) was used per sample/per hybridization and the arrays were processed further as per the GeneChip microarray (Affymetrix) manufacturer’s protocol. Quality control analysis was carried out prior to cDNA synthesis followed by its labeling and hybridization and the expression data of 57,381 probe sets was generated. Overall quality of the prepared hybridized chip was assessed using sample correlation and principle component analysis (PCA) (Table S1 and Figure S1).

#### Microarray Data Analysis

The Robust Multiarray Average (RMA) algorithm was used for normalization and probe summarization. The resultant normalized expression values were log₂ transformed and utilized for further analysis. In order to reduce false positives which can arise due to poor reproducibility among the replicates, we used statistical stringency of p ≤ 0.05.

Linear modeling approach was used for the assessment of differential expression. The limma library from R package was used to construct linear models with arbitrary coefficients and contrasts of interest. To obtain differentially expressed genes, moderated t-statistic has been implemented. The multiplicity of testing was performed using Benjamin and Hochberg (BH) correction adjusting for the false discovery rate (FDR). A threshold adjusted p-value was set to 0.05, and the fold-change threshold was set to 1.5. Only the transcripts with a minimum
1.5-fold increase or decrease in signal over the control were identified as “MG-responsive” genes. The functional categories were obtained from the annotation of the probes using NetAffx software developed for Affymetrix microarray chips. Further, clustering analysis was performed within conditions using Euclidean distance metric and Centroid linkage rule (Figure S2).

**Prediction of MG-responsive Motifs**

For the identification of probable MG-responsive cis-regulatory elements in the promoter region of genes being 10-fold or more induced or repressed upon MG treatment, we downloaded 1 kb sequences upstream of ATG initiation codon of "MG-responsive" genes from the Rice genome annotation project database (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/index.shtml). The conserved motifs were predicted using the Multiple Expectation for Motif Elicitation (MEME) software (Bailey et al., 2009) and validated in silico across the members of glyoxalase family reported in Mustafiz et al. (2011).

**Results**

**Exogenously Supplied Methylglyoxal Inhibits Growth of Rice Seedlings**

To study the effect of exogenous application of MG on growth of rice plants, 7 day old seedlings of IR64, a high yielding but salt-sensitive cultivar of rice were subjected to different concentrations (0, 5, 7.5, 10, 15, and 20 mM) of MG for 16 h. After 16 h, seedlings were allowed to recover for 4 days following which root and shoot length was measured to determine the effect of MG application on plant growth. MG exposure adversely affected growth of rice seedlings with profound effect on root elongation (Figure 1). Upto 10 mM MG concentration, MG exposure caused reduction in growth of rice seedlings in a dose-dependent manner. But at higher than 10 mM concentration, growth inhibition was observed to be very severe causing more than 50% decrease in both root and shoot length (Figure 1). Thus, MG could be clearly seen to affect the growth of rice seedlings with severe retardation in growth of plants exposed to concentrations greater than 10 mM MG. Hence, for subsequent analysis, 10 mM MG concentration was used.

**MG Causes a Global Change in Rice Gene Expression Profile**

Gene expression profiles were determined using 1 week-old IR64 rice seedlings exposed to 10 mM MG for 16 h. Detailed analysis of the transcriptome profile obtained through microarray experiment revealed several intriguing facts. The rice transcriptome showed a global alteration upon MG exposure. Using an Affymetrix GeneChip microarray containing 57,381 probe sets, we identified 1685 probe sets which displayed greater than 1.5-fold change in expression upon application of exogenous MG compared to the untreated control. Of these, 719 probe sets were down-regulated and 966 were up-regulated. While main emphasis is usually given to the identification of the up-regulated genes, the down-regulation of gene expression also contributes to the adaptation of plants to a given stimuli. A reasonable assumption is that genes are down-regulated because their product may not be suited to the new physiological conditions caused by the external stimuli (Chandler and Robertson, 1994). A ProDH gene is down-regulated during water stress, leading to the accumulation of proline which helps in restoring osmotic balance during stress (Yoshiba et al., 1997). Also, down-regulation of some genes may be required for reprogramming of protein synthesis under stress such as, a 60S ribosomal protein L32 encoding gene, rpL32, is down-regulated at the transcriptional level under abiotic stress through the removal of transcription factors from the cis-elements in its promoter region (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2011) in turn contributing to reprogramming mechanisms.

The MG-responsive genes obtained from the microarray experiment could be classified into 10 categories: (1) Signal transduction, (2) Transcription and translation, (3) Transposons/retrotransposons, (4) Transport, (5) Stress and defense response, (6) Metabolism, (7) Cell structure and biogenesis, (8) Protein degradation/apoptosis, (9) Growth and development, and (10) Unknown function (Figure 2). The genes encoding unknown proteins formed the largest category. About 32% of the total up-regulated and 40% of the total down-regulated genes lied in this category (Figure 2). These expressed proteins can open up new avenues for the identification of novel proteins important for MG response. The next largest category belonged to genes involved in metabolism, with 17% of genes being up-regulated and equal percentage being down-regulated upon MG application. This category included genes involved in primary metabolism, i.e., carbohydrate (e.g., hexokinase, triose phosphate isomerase), lipid (e.g., fatty acid hydroxylase, omega-3 fatty acid desaturase), and amino acid (e.g., shikimate kinase, serine hydroxymethyltransferase) metabolism; and also secondary metabolism. An alteration in the metabolic pathways is expected since MG is an inevitable byproduct of glycolysis, a carbohydrate metabolic pathway. A rise in MG concentration possibly acts as a signal for the system to adjust its energy needs through alteration in metabolic pathways as a whole.

Further, genes involved in signal transduction formed another significant category, with 18% of the total genes being up-regulated and 14% being down-regulated indicating a
large-scale alteration in signaling pathways upon exposure to exogenous MG. We also observed a change in the expression of around 13% genes involved in transcription and translation processes, which is probably required for reprogramming cellular machinery during adaptation to MG. Notably, 9% of the MG-responsive transcriptome involved genes required for degradation and apoptosis. This is because MG can irreversibly modify proteins at arginine and lysine residues, with arginine having the highest probability among all amino acids for location at functional sites of proteins often leading to functional impairment, and in turn triggering cellular proteolysis (Rabbani and Thornalley, 2014). In this context, a dicarbonyl proteome has been defined which includes proteins susceptible to modifications by MG such as albumin, hemoglobin, transcription factors, mitochondrial proteins, and other proteins linked to mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and apoptosis (Rabbani and Thornalley, 2012). Furthermore, several stress and defense responsive genes were also altered possibly as a part of general response to combat adverse conditions. Overall, we could observe a global alteration in rice transcriptome upon MG application.

Identification of MG-responsive Signal Transduction Pathways

Of all the genes affected by exogenous application of MG, the cluster comprising genes involved in signal transduction formed a significant part (32% of the total up/down regulated genes) (Figure 2). The signal transduction pathway is a complex cascade of genes working in a fine-tuned manner to regulate gene transcription patterns in response to extracellular stimuli. The gene regulatory signals are transmitted through the cytoplasm via protein kinases, which are essential for communication between the cytoplasm and nucleus, and act by carrying out signal-dependent phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of transcription factors.

Detailed analysis revealed perturbations in the expression pattern of various transcription factors as well as protein kinases. Also, other signal transduction related genes such as, those involved in hormone signaling, chromatin remodeling, and cell-cell signaling were affected. Importantly, transcription factors constituted a major fraction of MG-responsive signal transduction category, with 49% of the up-regulated and 41% of the down-regulated genes in the signal transduction category being transcription factors (Figure 3). Several transcription factors, such as, bZIP, AP2 domain-containing protein, NAM, WRKY, and zinc finger proteins were found to be induced in response to exogenous MG (Table 1). We could also identify several protein kinases being perturbed in response to MG, with 25% down-regulated and 12% up-regulated genes in the signal transduction category belonging to the kinase family (Figure 3). Various protein kinases such as, MAP kinase (Mitogen-activated protein kinase), calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CDPKs), Ser/Thr protein kinase, histidine kinase, and receptor-like kinase showed perturbations in expression. A complete list of protein kinases affected by MG is given in Table 2. Notably, about seven genes of the MAPK pathway were found to be regulated in response to MG. The MAPK superfamily is known to play important roles in response to a variety of cellular stresses (Mizoguchi et al., 1997). In animals and yeast, some reports describe the activation of MAPK pathway even in response to MG (Miyata et al., 2002; Maeta et al., 2005), thereby indicating a role of MAPK pathway in MG-responsive signaling cascade.

Another important observation was the effect of elevated MG levels on the expression of stress-responsive components of the signal transduction machinery. Transcript levels of several stress-inducible transcription factors like DREB, MYB, NAC, WRKY, and AP2 domain containing proteins, and protein kinases such as OsRR2 type-A response regulator, were altered upon MG exposure (Tables 1, 2). In case of MYB family transcription

FIGURE 2 | Functional classification of MG-responsive genes. Genes showing more than 1.5-fold change in expression were grouped into 10 functional categories. In total, 966 probe sets were up-regulated and 719 were down-regulated in response to MG.
The ability of MG, with severe retardation at concentrations higher than 10 mM MG, to directly react with DNA prompted us to identify conserved motifs in the 1 kb upstream region of MG-responsive genes which can potentially act as MG-responsive elements (MGREs). For this purpose, genes whose expression was altered (either up- or down-regulated) more than 10-fold in response to MG were selected for analysis.

We retrieved the upstream sequences of around 160 genes being more than 10-fold altered in expression upon MG treatment including the promoter sequences of the members of the glyoxalase family as well. Screening of the upstream region using Multiple Expectation for Motif Elicitation (MEME) software predicted the presence of 7–8 bp long conserved motifs (Figure 4). Most of the predicted motifs were found to be C/G rich regions, like CTXXCTC and GGCGGCCGX. Though, as an exception an all A/T rich motif was also observed during the motif search. The results of the in silico analysis however, need to be validated through biological experiments. Nevertheless, the data gives some indication toward the presence of MG-responsive elements which regulate gene expression upon perception of increasing MG levels.

**Discussion**

Despite the recognized toxic nature of MG in living systems, little is known about the molecular mechanisms determining MG response and subsequent growth arrest in plants. In the present study, we have demonstrated the toxic effects of MG on growth of rice seedlings followed by a microarray-based expression profiling study to investigate changes in the gene expression patterns upon exogenous MG application.

MG affected the growth of rice seedlings in a dose-dependent manner similar to that observed in Arabidopsis (Hoque et al., 2012), with severe retardation at concentrations higher than 10 mM MG. Analysis of gene expression patterns revealed large-scale perturbations in the rice transcriptome upon exposure to 10 mM MG concentration affecting diverse biological processes such as, metabolism, transport, signal transduction, transcription, and translation. A similar MG-mediated genome-wide alteration in expression profile has been earlier reported in human endothelial cells as well (Lee et al., 2011). MG-derived modifications can be either through direct interaction with DNA and/or RNA or indirectly by modifying the activity of proteins involved in diverse biological pathways (Thornalley, 2008).

What is more interesting is the strong representation of genes involved in signal transduction pathway. MG, like nitric oxide (NO) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) perturbs a significant proportion of signaling genes. NO and H₂O₂ are important signaling molecules and in fact, many similarities can be drawn between the two related to transcriptional responses induced by them, with both exhibiting significant cross-talk with general stress-responsive pathways (Neill et al., 2002). Like NO and H₂O₂, MG could induce the transcript levels of various protein kinases and transcription factors, with many known to be involved in abiotic stress response. MG is probably perceived as a stress signal by the system through some sensor proteins, as happens in yeast through Sln1 (Maeta et al., 2005) and subsequently signals the activation of several components of signaling pathways. For MG to really act as a specific signaling molecule, mechanisms must exist to sense elevation in its levels in cells, which is possible through MG-mediated reversible modification at cysteine residues within proteins (Thornalley, 2008). This redox modulation in turn can alter the protein conformation and thereby triggering a cellular response.

For example, MG is known to modify Akt1/PKB, a protein kinase, at Cys residue in L6 muscle cells thereby increasing its protein conformation and thereby triggering a cellular response. What is more interesting is the strong representation of signaling genes. NO and H₂O₂ are important signaling molecules and in fact, many similarities can be drawn between the two related to transcriptional responses induced by them, with both exhibiting significant cross-talk with general stress-responsive pathways (Neill et al., 2002). Like NO and H₂O₂, MG could induce the transcript levels of various protein kinases and transcription factors, with many known to be involved in abiotic stress response. MG is probably perceived as a stress signal by the system through some sensor proteins, as happens in yeast through Sln1 (Maeta et al., 2005) and subsequently signals the activation of several components of signaling pathways. For MG to really act as a specific signaling molecule, mechanisms must exist to sense elevation in its levels in cells, which is possible through MG-mediated reversible modification at cysteine residues within proteins (Thornalley, 2008). This redox modulation in turn can alter the protein conformation and thereby triggering a cellular response.
### TABLE 1 | List of transcription factor genes differentially regulated by methylglyoxal (MG) treatment along with their fold change.

| Probe ID | Accession No.         | Description                                      | p-value | Fold change |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| UP-REGULATED |
| Os.7329.1.S1_s_at      | LOC_Os08g42550        | AP2 domain containing protein, expressed         | 0.04    | 2.58        |
| Os.6367.1.S1_at        | LOC_Os01g48060        | Auxin response factor, putative, expressed       | 0.02    | 2.75        |
| Os.10817.3.S1_x_at     | LOC_Os11g32110        | Auxin response factor, putative, expressed       | 0.01    | 2.28        |
| Os.6367.1.S1_a_at      | LOC_Os01g48060        | Auxin response factor, putative, expressed       | 0.05    | 1.82        |
| OsAffx.26536.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os04g9230         | B3 DNA binding domain containing protein, expressed | 0.05    | 8.80        |
| OsAffx.15137.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os05g47650        | B3 DNA binding domain containing protein, expressed | 0.03    | 2.11        |
| OsAffx.25130.1.S1_x_at | LOC_Os03g18210        | Basic helix-loop-helix, putative, expressed      | 0.02    | 2.54        |
| Os.11132.1.S1_s_at     | LOC_Os08g08120        | B-box zinc finger family protein, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 4.60        |
| Os.27522.1.S1_x_at     | LOC_Os03g58330        | BHLH transcription factor, putative, expressed   | 0.03    | 1.89        |
| Os.1503.1.S1_s_at      | LOC_Os06g45140        | bZIP transcription factor domain containing protein, expressed | 0.03    | 2.35        |
| OsAffx.13332.1.S1_s_at | LOC_Os03g34800        | DFRP family protein, putative, expressed         | 0.02    | 1.53        |
| Os.54721.1.A1_at       | LOC_Os05g51550        | DNA binding protein, putative, expressed         | 0.00    | 2.50        |
| Os.14023.2.S1_at       | LOC_Os06g01370        | DNA-binding protein-related, putative, expressed  | 0.05    | 2.36        |
| Os.14023.2.S1_x_at     | LOC_Os06g01370        | DNA-binding protein-related, putative, expressed  | 0.02    | 2.30        |
| Os.7574.1.S1_s_at      | LOC_Os06g48534        | GATA transcription factor 25, putative, expressed | 0.02    | 2.29        |
| OsAffx.24974.1.S1_x_at | LOC_Os03g05160        | GATA zinc finger domain containing protein, expressed | 0.05    | 5.97        |
| Os.49626.1.S1_s_at     | LOC_Os03g57260        | GRF zinc finger protein, expressed               | 0.03    | 2.37        |
| Os.50790.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os03g52320        | GRF-interacting factor 1, putative, expressed    | 0.02    | 4.14        |
| OsAffx.29777.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os09g09480        | harpin-induced protein 1 domain containing protein, expressed | 0.00    | 9.11        |
| Os.38400.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os09g23830        | Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding domain containing protein, expressed | 0.05    | 3.78        |
| OsAffx.6120.1.S1_x_at  | LOC_Os08g43070        | Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding domain containing protein, expressed | 0.05    | 2.66        |
| Os.14287.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os01g64560        | Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding protein, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 1.64        |
| OsAffx.23994.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os01g70890        | Histone-like transcription factor and archael histone, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 5.63        |
| Os.49747.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os04g55590        | Homeobox domain containing protein, expressed    | 0.02    | 2.83        |
| Os.35731.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os07g38080        | Homeodomain-like, putative, expressed            | 0.04    | 2.73        |
| Os.13960.2.S1_x_at     | LOC_Os01g73560        | Leucine rich repeat domain containing protein, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 2.04        |
| Os.19190.3.A1_a_at     | LOC_Os03g121230       | Leucine-rich repeat family protein, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 1.78        |
| Os.14823.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os03g20090        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.00    | 16.99       |
| Os.55708.1.A1_at       | LOC_Os02g42870        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 5.34        |
| Os.55636.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os05g48010        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 3.22        |
| Os.47453.1.A1_s_at     | LOC_Os12g39640        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.05    | 2.06        |
| Os.14008.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os02g53670        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.05    | 2.03        |
| OsAffx.6404.1.S1_at    | LOC_Os09g26170        | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.03    | 1.79        |
| Os.52524.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os07g48596        | Myb transcription factor, putative, expressed    | 0.04    | 2.69        |
| OsAffx.2486.1.S1_at    | LOC_Os02g20370        | Myb-like DNA-binding domain containing protein, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 8.53        |
| OsAffx.24305.1.A1_at   | LOC_Os02g18460        | NAM, putative, expressed                         | 0.02    | 2.85        |
| OsAffx.13243.2.S1_at   | LOC_Os03g9100        | No apical meristem protein, expressed            | 0.04    | 2.57        |
| OsAffx.30184.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os09g38000        | No apical meristem protein, putative, expressed  | 0.04    | 2.54        |
| Os.6124.1.S1_a_at      | LOC_Os10g21560        | No apical meristem protein, putative, expressed  | 0.01    | 2.06        |
| OsAffx.23563.1.S1_s_at | LOC_Os01g38780        | Nucleic acid binding protein, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 3.44        |
| Os.28015.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os01g52680        | OsMADS32—MADS-box family gene with MKCCc type-box, expressed | 0.02    | 1.82        |
| Os.4175.1.S1_at        | LOC_Os01g69850        | OsMADS65—MADS-box family gene with MKCC* type-box, expressed | 0.02    | 1.67        |
| Os.53339.1.S1_a_at     | LOC_Os07g49380        | PWWP domain containing protein, expressed       | 0.01    | 2.62        |
| OsAffx.15318.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os06g08820        | RING-H2 finger protein, putative, expressed      | 0.03    | 2.90        |
| OsAffx.17313.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os08g35330        | TCP family transcription factor, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 2.17        |
| Os.57588.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os04g51000        | Transcription factor FL., putative, expressed    | 0.03    | 2.23        |
| OsAffx.1957.1.S1_at    | LOC_Os01g07880        | Transcription factor HYS, putative, expressed    | 0.04    | 2.83        |
| Os.6016.1.A1_s_at      | LOC_Os06g37810        | Transcription factor like protein, putative, expressed | 0.04    | 2.38        |
| OsAffx.11921.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os02g07800        | Transcription factor, putative, expressed        | 0.03    | 5.31        |
| OsAffx.17359.1.S1_at   | LOC_Os08g08450        | Transcription regulator, putative, expressed     | 0.03    | 2.16        |
| Os.50527.1.S1_at       | LOC_Os03g50110        | Transcription regulator, putative, expressed     | 0.00    | 2.02        |

(Continued)
### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Probe ID       | Accession No.       | Description                                                                 | p-value | Fold change |
|----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| Os.26946.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g09900  | WRKY118, expressed   |                                                                              | 0.03    | 3.93        |
| Os.19013.1.S1_at LOC_Os12g40570  | WRKY94, expressed    |                                                                              | 0.02    | 2.13        |
| Os.49773.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g11600  | YABBY domain containing protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 2.29        |
| Os.11823.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g41590  | YIF1B, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 5.30        |
| Os.15533.1.S1_at LOC_Os02g06584  | Zinc finger C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H type family protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 2.81        |
| OsAffx.13605.1.S1_at LOC_Os04g01160 | Zinc finger family protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 4.61        |
| Os.27108.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g36774  | Zinc finger family protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 3.40        |
| OsAffx.24591.1.S1_at LOC_Os02g36000 | Zinc finger family protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 1.90        |
| Os.33152.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g09750  | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 2.97        |
| Os.37432.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g08920  | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 2.88        |
| Os.33683.1.S1_at LOC_Os12g43930  | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 2.62        |
| Os.22596.1.S1_s_at LOC_Os05g28730 | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 2.06        |
| OsAffx.26842.1.S1_at LOC_Os05g11860 | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 2.02        |
| Os.7498.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g42640  | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.06    | 2.01        |
| OsAffx.17384.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g37760 | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 1.56        |
| Os.30907.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g45110  | AP2 domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 10.15       |
| Os.50189.2.S1_at LOC_Os06g46910  | ZOS6-07—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 7.91        |
| OsAffx.11292.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g46995 | Armadillo/beta-catenin repeat family protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 13.10       |
| Os.5537.1.S1_s_at LOC_Os07g03880  | Basic region leucine zipper domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 2.83        |
| OsAffx.17824.1.S1_at LOC_Os07g01410 | Basic region leucine zipper domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 1.71        |
| Os.24550.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g57160  | Zinc ion binding protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 1.56        |
| OsAffx.11389.1.S1_at LOC_Os01g39430 | Anthocyanin regulatory protein, putative |                                                                              | 0.04    | 3.38        |
| Os.38983.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g05590  | AP2 domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.06    | 10.15       |
| Os.30907.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g45110  | AP2 domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 4.25        |
| OsAffx.5131.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g46999 | Armadillo/beta-catenin repeat family protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 13.10       |
| OsAffx.27282.2.S1_s_at LOC_Os01g57580 | bHelix-loop-helix transcription factor, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 5.26        |
| OsAffx.11963.1.S1_at LOC_Os02g09830 | bZIP transcription factor domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 7.71        |
| Os.20062.1.S1_at LOC_Os12g40920  | bZIP transcription factor domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 3.36        |
| Os.16422.1.S1_s_at LOC_Os08g15050  | CCTB-box zinc finger protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.00    | 3.01        |
| OsAffx.6090.1.S1_at LOC_Os08g40030  | CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON2, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 5.77        |
| OsAffx.27442.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g03670 | Dehydration-responsive element-binding protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 4.16        |
| OsAffx.23943.1.S1_s_at LOC_Os01g66570 | ZOS1-19—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 1.65        |
| Os.50189.2.S1_at LOC_Os06g46910  | ZOS6-07—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 1.93        |
| Os.16203.1.S1_at LOC_Os01g74590  | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 4.78        |
| Os.14536.1.S1_at LOC_Os05g04210  | MYB family transcription factor, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.00    | 6.69        |
| Os.6232.1.S1_x_at LOC_Os01g09640  | Myb transcription factor, putative |                                                                              | 0.04    | 2.10        |
| OsAffx.27282.2.S1_s_at LOC_Os01g57580 | bHelix-loop-helix transcription factor, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 1.72        |
| Os.26946.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g09900  | WRKY118, expressed   |                                                                              | 0.03    | 2.68        |
| Os.49773.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g11600  | YABBY domain containing protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 1.78        |
| Os.11823.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g41590  | YIF1B, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 1.79        |
| Os.15421.1.S1_at LOC_Os02g50000  | Zinc finger CCOH-type with G patch domain-containing protein, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.01    | 7.44        |
| Os.55651.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g11450  | Zinc finger, C3HC4 type domain containing protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.02    | 2.68        |
| Os.19944.1.S1_at LOC_Os12g43560  | Zinc finger, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 1.78        |
| Os.12918.2.S1_x_at LOC_Os04g58320 | Zinc finger, RING-type, putative, expressed |                                                                              | 0.03    | 1.79        |
| Os.49688.1.S1_at LOC_Os03g11930  | ZOS3-15—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 1.81        |
| Os.15874.1.A1_at LOC_Os03g60570  | ZOS3-22—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.05    | 18.84       |
| Os.56344.1.S1_at LOC_Os06g20020  | ZOS6-03—C2H2 zinc finger protein, expressed |                                                                              | 0.04    | 2.79        |
TABLE 2 | List of protein kinase genes differentially regulated by methylglyoxal (MG) treatment along with their fold change.

| Probe ID | Accession No. | Description | p-value | Fold change |
|----------|--------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| **UP-REGULATED** | | | | |
| Os.5636.1.S1_at | LOC_Os05g14750 | AGC_PVPK_like_kin82y.12—ACG kinases include homologs to PKA, PKG, and PKC, expressed | 0.03 | 8.97 |
| OsAffx.9832.1.S1_x_at | LOC_Os08g27780 | OsWAK77—OsWAK receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase OsWAK-RLCK, expressed | 0.04 | 5.70 |
| Os.338.3.S1_x_at | LOC_Os03g17980 | CAMK_KIN1/SNF1/Nm1_1ike_AMPKh.2—CAMK includes calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinases, expressed | 0.00 | 3.43 |
| Os.52734.1.S1_at | LOC_Os11g17080 | OsMPK15—Putative MAPK based on amino acid sequence homology, expressed | 0.05 | 2.72 |
| Os.338.2.S1_x_at | LOC_Os08g37800 | CAMK_KIN1/SNF1/Nm1_1ike_AMPKh.4—CAMK includes calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinases, expressed | 0.01 | 2.29 |
| Os.436.1.S1_at | LOC_Os01g17250 | BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1-associated receptor kinase 1 precursor, putative, expressed | 0.04 | 2.25 |
| Os.17915.1.S1_at | LOC_Os06g17290 | Phosphatidylinositol 3- and 4-kinase family protein, putative, expressed | 0.01 | 2.24 |
| Os.17824.1.S1_at | LOC_Os02g42620 | Protein kinase, putative, expressed | 0.05 | 2.22 |
| Os.26917.1.A1_at | LOC_Os02g47220 | CjUQRF20—conserved peptide uORF-containing transcript, expressed | 0.01 | 2.18 |
| Os.3356.1.S1_at | LOC_Os10g25090 | STRUBBELIG-RECEPTOR FAMILY 6 precursor, putative, expressed | 0.05 | 2.18 |
| Os.8740.1.S1_at | LOC_Os02g14530 | Protein kinase domain containing protein, expressed | 0.06 | 2.05 |
| Os.56163.1.S1_at | LOC_Os04g04000 | ECT protein, putative, expressed | 0.01 | 2.03 |
| Os.49462.1.S1_at | LOC_Os02g44642 | STE_MEKK_ste11_MAP3K.10—STE kinases include homologs to sterile 7, sterile 11, and sterile 20 from yeast, expressed | 0.00 | 1.89 |
| Os.52830.1.S1_at | LOC_Os02g44430 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase, putative, expressed | 0.04 | 1.86 |
| Os.11626.1.S1_at | LOC_Os04g56530 | STE_MEKK_ste11_MAP3K.1—STE kinases include homologs to sterile 7, sterile 11, and sterile 20 from yeast, expressed | 0.01 | 1.80 |
| Os.19371.1.S1_at | LOC_Os12g29580 | AGC_PVPK_like_kin82y.19—ACG kinases include homologs to PKA, PKG, and PKC, expressed | 0.01 | 1.72 |
| Os.23942.1.A1_at | LOC_Os02g35180 | OsRR2 type-A response regulator, expressed | 0.02 | 1.65 |
| Os.20399.1.S1_s_at | LOC_Os01g62080 | Serine/threonine-protein kinase AFC1, putative, expressed | 0.03 | 1.63 |
| Os.12648.1.S1_at | LOC_Os09g08420 | AGC_PVPK_like_kin82y.15—ACG kinases include homologs to PKA, PKG, and PKC, expressed | 0.01 | 1.63 |

| **DOWN-REGULATED** | | | | |
| OsAffx.15056.1.S1_s_at | LOC_Os05g11270 | CAMK_CAMK_like.4—CAMK includes calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinases, expressed | 0.05 | 5.97 |
| Os.10274.4.S1_at | LOC_Os12g03810 | CAMK_KIN1/SNF1/Nm1_1ike.37—CAMK includes calcium/calmodulin dependent protein kinases, expressed | 0.02 | 3.87 |
| Os.55776.1.S1_x_at | LOC_Os01g06876 | Cj2, putative, expressed | 0.02 | 2.55 |
| Os.14999.3.S1_x_at | LOC_Os01g47530 | CGMC_MAPKCMGC_2.6—CGMC includes CDA, MAPK, GSK3, and CLK3 kinases, expressed | 0.02 | 2.12 |
| Os.10273.1.S1_at | LOC_Os07g03970 | Lectin-like receptor kinase 7, putative, expressed | 0.01 | 23.36 |
| OsAffx.29778.1.S1_at | LOC_Os09g09500 | Lectin-like receptor kinase, putative, expressed | 0.01 | 6.57 |
| Os.12660.1.S1_at | LOC_Os07g38800 | Lectin-like receptor kinase, putative, expressed | 0.02 | 4.74 |
| Os.50228.1.S1_at | LOC_Os07g19540 | Legume lectins beta domain containing protein, expressed | 0.05 | 11.24 |
| Os.29772.1.S1_at | LOC_Os03g0250 | Leucine Rich Repeat family protein, expressed | 0.03 | 2.72 |
| OsAffx.31317.1.S1_at | LOC_Os11g35960 | Leucine rich repeat N-terminal domain containing protein, putative, expressed | 0.05 | 3.69 |
| Os.27458.1.S1_at | LOC_Os06g04810 | Leucine rich repeat protein, putative, expressed | 0.03 | 1.51 |
| Os.50342.1.S1_at | LOC_Os04g25780 | Leucine-rich repeat receptor protein kinase EXS precursor, putative, expressed | 0.02 | 1.82 |
| OsAffx.20258.1.S1_at | LOC_Os10g33080 | Leucine-rich repeat receptor protein kinase EXS precursor, putative, expressed | 0.03 | 2.55 |
| OsAffx.18277.1.S1_at | LOC_Os10g17910 | OsWAK114—OsWAK receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase OsWAK-RLCK, expressed | 0.00 | 7.20 |
| Os.19575.1.A1_at | LOC_Os04g30010 | OsWAK45—OsWAK receptor-like protein kinase, expressed | 0.02 | 4.60 |
| Os.14874.2.S1_at | LOC_Os01g47330 | Protein of unknown function DUF1296 domain containing protein, expressed | 0.01 | 5.02 |
| OsAffx.7310.1.S1_at | LOC_Os11g38690 | Receptor kinase, putative, expressed | 0.01 | 1.75 |

(Continued)
(Maeta et al., 2005). In addition, MG also stimulates Yap1, a bZIP transcription factor that is predominantly distributed in the cytoplasm under normal conditions in yeast but upon MG stimulation translocates to the nucleus and functions in regulating gene expression (Maeta et al., 2004). In plants, little to nothing is known regarding the role of MG in signaling. Based on the existing reports in animals and yeast (reviewed by Kaur et al., 2014a), we suggest that even in plants similar MAPK pathway might be involved in MG signaling (Figure 5). In agreement, we observed an induction in the transcript levels of a putative histidine kinase gene and six MAPK genes (mentioned in Figure 5). In plants, MAPKs are usually known to be activated in response to various environmental signals such as drought, cold, osmotic stress, and pathogen challenge (Mizoguchi et al., 1997). In fact, MG has also been shown to induce the p38/MAPK pathway in animals, regulating various cellular processes (reviewed by Kaur et al., 2014a). The MAPK cascade is now considered as a central pathway mediating tolerance to various stresses (Směkalová et al., 2014), and may be to MG stress also. Further, even in response to NO and H₂O₂, MAPK cascade is believed to be the focal point of signal transmission and also convergence with various stress-inducible signaling pathways (Neill et al., 2002).

Since MG and abiotic stresses are inextricably linked (Yadav et al., 2005a; Kaur et al., 2014b), we could detect various stress-inducible transcription factors to be induced upon MG application. For instance, several NAC genes were found to

(Maeta et al., 2005). In addition, MG also stimulates Yap1, a bZIP transcription factor that is predominantly distributed in the cytoplasm under normal conditions in yeast but upon MG stimulation translocates to the nucleus and functions in regulating gene expression (Maeta et al., 2004). In plants, little to nothing is known regarding the role of MG in signaling. Based on the existing reports in animals and yeast (reviewed by Kaur et al., 2014a), we suggest that even in plants similar MAPK pathway might be involved in MG signaling (Figure 5). In agreement, we observed an induction in the transcript levels of a putative histidine kinase gene and six MAPK genes (mentioned in Figure 5). In plants, MAPKs are usually known to be activated in response to various environmental signals such as drought, cold, osmotic stress, and pathogen challenge (Mizoguchi et al., 1997). In fact, MG has also been shown to induce the p38/MAPK pathway in animals, regulating various cellular processes (reviewed by Kaur et al., 2014a). The MAPK cascade is now considered as a central pathway mediating tolerance to various stresses (Směkalová et al., 2014), and may be to MG stress also. Further, even in response to NO and H₂O₂, MAPK cascade is believed to be the focal point of signal transmission and also convergence with various stress-inducible signaling pathways (Neill et al., 2002).

Since MG and abiotic stresses are inextricably linked (Yadav et al., 2005a; Kaur et al., 2014b), we could detect various stress-inducible transcription factors to be induced upon MG application. For instance, several NAC genes were found to
MG induces the expression of signal transduction genes, mainly protein kinases, and transcription factors, which subsequently regulate various cellular processes, such as metabolism, transport, stress, and defense response, and protein degradation.

Taken together, through this study we provide first report on the effect of MG on global gene expression profile of rice. We propose that plants perceive MG as a stress signal and trigger a response via induction of several protein kinases and transcription factors which then affect the expression of downstream targets involved in various biological pathways, thereby causing a global change in plant transcriptome (Figure 6). In addition, other mode of action may also exist, involving direct modification of effector proteins by MG, thereby regulating their expression and activity.
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