Perception of dental care among children
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Abstract

Children that come to dental offices with fear and anxiety usually tend to resist conditioning mechanisms. Aim: To evaluate children’s perception of dental treatment and to identify the factors influencing this perception. Methods: For this study, we selected a random sample of 100 children of both genders aged 3 to 12, treated at the Department of Dentistry of a University (Group I) and at a Children’s Hospital (Group II). A structured questionnaire about the child’s perception about dental care was applied and the children were asked to draw a picture of this topic. Results: Most children expressed a positive perception in the questionnaire and in the drawings (93.8%). This positive perception was more accentuated in Group I (94%) and in children aged 3 to 5 years (100%), particularly in girls (78%). The main cause of fear was the use of needles (42.4%). Many children (24.2%) reported to prefer the noninvasive procedures. Conclusions: A positive perception of dental treatment was observed in most children. Therefore, dental pediatricians must be aware of the children’s perception for establishing a better conditioning.
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Introduction

Knowledge of the child’s perception about dental care helps understanding the causes of the fear and anxiety, as well as about what is happening in this universe during dental treatment. The false image of torture in the dentist chair seems to occupy the imagination of many children that arrive at dental offices with this pre-established concept and resist conditioning mechanisms. It is therefore important to make sure that children feel comfortable during their dental visit and consequently have a positive experience.¹⁻³ Negative experiences are difficult to overcome, especially when they were the child’s first impression of dental care.¹⁻⁴⁻⁵ Safe methods to identify the feelings of children are important to establish the adequate dental treatment. For this purpose, questionnaires are useful tools to evaluate a child’s perception of dental treatment by the professional, which use exclusively the verbal information provided by the patients.¹⁻⁵⁻⁷. Drawings are better since they do not require direct responses and help express feelings which the child is not aware of or unable to express verbally.⁸⁻¹⁰ Identification of the causes of fear and anxiety is of utmost importance for the adequate management of pediatric patients. In this context, the aim of this study was to evaluate children’s perception of dental treatment and to identify factors that influence this perception.
Material and methods

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN) (protocol 375/10). The parents or legal guardians received detailed information about the objectives and methods of the study and agreed to their children’s participation by signing the free informed consent form.

The sample size calculation was performed using the literature data on the proportion of dental anxiety in children as being 84%, absolute precision of 10% and a significance level of 5%. The sample size was 52 for each group, totaling 104 individuals. There was a loss of 4 individuals from the sample size (3.84%). A random sample of 100 children of both genders was selected, with ages ranging from 3 to 12 years. Fifty children were seen at the Department of Dentistry, UFRN (Group I), and 50 were recruited from the Varela Santiago Children’s Hospital, Natal, RN, Brazil (Group II).

A structured questionnaire with objective and subjective questions about the perception of dental care was applied to each child. The researcher filled out the questionnaire according to the verbal answers of the child.

After application of the questionnaire, the child received a white paper, a box of wooden color pencils, a box of color crayons, a pencil and an eraser. The box of pencils was opened and all available colors were shown to the child. The child was then asked to make a drawing about the topic “How do you perceive dental care?” According to Marshman and Hall, drawings may be used in several ways to investigate children and their relationship with dentistry. There was no time limit for this task.

The child received no verbal encouragement during drawing and the researcher waited for the signal from the child when he/she finished the task. The researcher then collected the drawing and recorded the caption dictated by the child. On the back of the sheet, the examiner recorded the child’s name and age, date, code corresponding to the sample number and description of the drawing as reported by the child, asking the child to confirm the contents. The figure caption contained the identification and description of each element drawn by the child, as well as the feelings present in the image.

The perception of dental care expressed in the drawing was classified according to the child’s verbal interpretation of the drawing (Figure 1) to psychological interpretation, categorized in four groups: positive, negative, ambivalent or neutral. The drawings were analyzed by the method proposed by Taylor et al., based on the occurrence (frequency) of some items. It was classified as positive when the image and caption revealed positive feelings about dental care (Presence of elements of a cheerful and calm environment, such as a smile on the face of the child or the dentist); negative, when these elements revealed negative feelings about dental care (presence of sad or fearful elements, such as when the child’s face showed fear, crying, angry, sad or the dentist’s figure as a torturer or a bad guy); ambivalent, when positive and negative elements were perceived during interpretation of the drawing (when the design presented elements both positive and negative, as in the previous categories and we were not able to determine the child’s feeling); neutral (when it was not possible to establish the feeling, but it was categorized when we were unable to verify any well-defined characteristics; neither positive or negative) present in the drawing and especially in the explanation present in the legend given after the finalization of the drawing (Figures 1a to 1c).

Figure 1 - Classification of the drawings. a) Drawing with ambivalent perception. The figure on the left side of the image was called by the child a “good dentist”. The one on the right side was called a “bad dentist”. Note the light colors, harmony of lines and cheerful aspect of the figure on the left, whereas strong colors, irregular lines and a face with empty eyes and somber expression are seen on the right. b) Drawing with positive perception. This drawing was collected from Group II. Observe the harmony of smiling individuals. Notice the influence of the hospital environment on the representation of the dental chair which resembles a gurney. c) Drawing with negative perception. Notice the sad expression on the face of the figure on the right, as well as the absence of color throughout the drawing. Natal/RN, Brazil, 2011.
For the purpose of statistical and descriptive analysis of the child’s perception found in the drawing in relation to the results of the questionnaire, the question “Do you like to go to the dentist?” was considered as indicative of the child’s perception, obtained with the questionnaire. The dichotomous answers “yes” or “no” corresponded to a positive or negative perception, respectively.

The collected data were entered in a database and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Questionnaire data were compared with the psychological interpretation of the child’s perception of dental care obtained from the drawings. The results were reported as means, absolute and relative frequencies, compared by the chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test at a 5% statistical significance level.

**Results**

Most children expressed a positive perception of dental care both in the drawing (91%) and in the questionnaire (81%). This positive perception was also observed when the questionnaire and drawings were analyzed together (72%).

Table 1 shows the distribution of dental care perception expressed in the questionnaire according to gender, age group, institution and getting little gifts. No significant difference in the perception of dental care was observed between genders (p = 0.682). There was a significant association between the perception of dental care and the institution where the participants were enrolled (p = 0.005), with a higher frequency of positive perception among children from the Department of Dentistry of UFRN (Group I).

**Table 1 - Distribution of dental care perception expressed in the questionnaire according to gender, age group, institution and getting little gifts. Natal/RN, Brazil, 2011.**

| Variable | Gender | Age | Group | Gifts | Positive – n (%) | Negative – n (%) | p-value |
|----------|--------|-----|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------|--------|
|          | Female | 3-5 years | I | No | 34 (82.9) | 7 (17.1) | 0.682* |
|          | Male | 47 (79.7) | 19 (30.3) | 81 (81.0) | 19 (19.0) | |
|          | 10 (100.0) | 0 (0.0) | 6-8 years | 33 (80.5) | 8 (19.5) | 0.255** |
|          | 48 (86.0) | 1 (2.0) | 9-12 years | 81 (81.0) | 19 (19.0) | |
|          | Group I | 46 (56.8) | 4 (21.1) | 15 (78.9) | 15 (78.9) | 0.585** |
|          | Group II | 35 (43.2) | 15 (78.9) | 81 (81.0) | 19 (19.0) | |
|          | Yes | 22 (27.2) | 4 (21.1) | 59 (72.8) | 15 (78.9) | |
|          | Total | 59 (81.0) | 15 (19.0) | 22 (27.2) | 4 (21.1) | |

*Chi-square test. **Fisher’s exact test.

Table 2 shows the distribution of dental care perception expressed in the drawings, according to gender, age group, institution and getting little gifts. Descriptive analysis of the psychological interpretation of the drawings revealed a higher percentage of positive perception among boys compared to girls. As regards the age group, the results were similar to those obtained with the questionnaire, showing a higher frequency of positive perception in children aged 3-5 years, followed by those aged 6-8 years and 9-12 years. Analysis of the institution from which the children were recruited showed a higher percentage of positive perception in Group I compared to Group II. There was no association between the perception expressed in the drawing and getting or not little gifts, similar to the results obtained from the questionnaire.

**Table 2 - Distribution of dental care perception expressed in the drawing according to gender, age group, institution and getting little gifts. Natal/RN, Brazil, 2011.**

| Variable | Positive | Negative | Neutral | Ambivalent |
|----------|----------|----------|---------|------------|
| Gender | | | | |
| Female | | | | |
| Male | | | | |
| 3-5 years | | | | |
| 6-8 years | | | | |
| 9-12 years | | | | |
| Group I | | | | |
| Group II | | | | |
| Yes | | | | |
| Total | | | | |

The frequency of dental treatment fear was higher among boys (63.6%) than girls (36.4%). Fear was reported by 16 (39.0%) children aged 6-8 years and by 17 (34.7%) aged 9-12 years. With respect to the institution from where the children were recruited, the percentage of fear of dental treatment was higher in Group II (46.0%) than in Group I (20.0%).

The reasons for preference for tooth extraction in the dental office or in any other place are presented in Table 3. Attitudes of the dentist that children do not like are shown and attitudes that the dentist could use improve the visit are shown in Table 4.

**Table 3 - Distribution of the sample according to preference of tooth removal in the dental office or at any other place. Natal/ RN, Brazil, 2011.**

| Reasons for preference for tooth extraction in the dental office or at any other place | Office | Other place |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------|
| 10 (37.03%) Positive feelings to the dentist* | 11 (44%) Less pain |
| 8 (29.62%) They feel less pain | 7 (28%) Less fear |
| 3 (11.1%) They feel less afraid | 4 (16%) Fear of anesthesia |
| 3 (11.1%) Receive anesthesia | 1 (4%) Preferred dental floss |
| 1 (3.4%) Difficulty in getting elsewhere | 1 (4%) Fear of plier extraction |
| 1 (3.4%) Increased bleeding outside the office | 1 (4%) Not like the office |
| 1 (3.4%) Can cry without recrimination | |
| 24 (50.0%) Total | 24 (25.0%) Total |

* Attitudes and characteristics of the dentist, reported by the children, which provide more confidence during the visit, for example, patience of the dentist, an affective approach and the fact that the dentist explains the procedure before performing it.
Table 4 - The attitudes or procedures of the dentist that the child reported not to like and the opinion of the child about the attitudes the dentist could assume to improve the visit. Natal/RN, Brazil, 2011.

| Attitudes of the dentist reported not to like | Attitudes the dentist could assume to improve the visit |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Invasive procedures                           | Positive behavior of dentist                          |
| Use of needles                                | They couldn't answer                                  |
| They couldn’t answer                          | Receiving gifts                                       |
| Negative behavior of the dentist              | No anesthesia and no needle                           |
| Nothing unpleasant                           | Getting braces put on                                 |
| High and low speed dental                     | Using anesthesia                                       |
| Non-invasive procedures                       | X-rays                                                |
| Staying long with the mouth open              | Visit no anesthesia and extraction                    |
| Light reflector on the eyes                   | Use of triple syringe                                 |
| I do not like when the dentist messes in my teeth | Extractions                                          |
|                                               | Oral prescription medication                          |
|                                               | Toys in the office                                    |
|                                               | Don’t need nothing, the dentist is already nice      |

Discussion

The technical advances and increasing progress in prevention of oral diseases over the past decades led to the improvement of pain management in dental practice. However, many patients are still afraid of the dentist. Fear of dental treatment has been recognized as one of the leading problems in pediatric dentistry. Children with fear tend to visit the dentist less often, compromising their oral health. However, the etiology of this fear is not completely understood.

The anxiety and fear of dental treatment in pediatric patients have been recognized as potential problems for the management of these patients. Early recognition and management of dental fear are critical to win the child’s confidence and for efficient treatment. In the present study, the prevalence of fear during dental treatment was 33%. Similar results have been reported by Nicolas et al., who observed a prevalence of fear of 24.3%, and by Oliveira and Colares who found a prevalence of dental anxiety of 34.7%.

Most of the children who reported to be afraid to go to the dentist (33.3%) were boys (63.6%) aged 6 to 8 years (39.0%). Some studies have found higher prevalence of anxiety among girls. According Nicolas et al., this finding is likely to be associated with cultural influences such as the fact that boys are uncomfortable to admit their fear and anxiety since this is not the behavior of a “manly man”.

On the other hand, studies observed no significant difference of influence in dental fear and dental anxiety between genders. A possible explanation could be the different factors able to trigger dental fear among boys and girls. A recent study using the Children’s Fear Survey Schedule - Dental Subscale showed some differences between girls and boys. For girls, four factors were found (‘fear of usual dental procedures and injections’; ‘fear of strangers’; ‘fear of general medical aspects of treatment’; ‘fear of health care personnel’). However, for boys, five factors were identified (‘fear of usual dental procedures’; ‘fear of general medical aspects of treatment’; ‘fear of invasive dental procedures’; ‘fear of health care personnel and injections’; ‘fear of strangers’). Thus, further studies are required to identify the gender peculiarities in relation to dental fear, and not just to find which gender has more prevalence of fear or which has a higher association with dental fear.

Studying the influence of age, the fear and anxiety appear to decrease with increasing age, due to the progressively greater number of experiences with oral problems and dental care. Dutt et al. found a predominance of dental fear among older children. In contrast, Lee et al. reported that children younger than 4 years were significantly more anxious than children over 7 years. In another study, younger children cried more frequently during dental treatment than older children, a finding that can be explained by the fact that crying is usually a physiological expression of aggressive behavior in younger children. These results disagree with the present study in which only children aged 3-5 years were not afraid to go to the dentist and children aged 9-12 years were less afraid than children of the 6-8 year age group. In contrast, the above studies did not observe significant association between age and dental anxiety. The influence of age on anxiety during dental treatment is still unclear, since few studies have investigated this topic.

The results of the present study did not reveal a significant association between the child’s perception of dental care expressed in the drawing or questionnaire and the fact of getting or not little gifts. Thus, this factor does not seem to influence the child’s perception of dental care.

In the present study, the child’s perception of dental care was positive in most cases, as expressed by the drawings and questionnaires. This finding agrees with the results reported by AlSarheed who used a questionnaire to evaluate children’s attitudes towards dentists and observed that most children reported liking their visit to the dentist, whereas a low percentage of the sample stated they did not like the visit to the dentist and feel afraid. Few studies used children’s drawings as an instrument to evaluate subjective feelings. However, drawings have been shown to be a useful and valid tool to capture the emotional state of a child in dental settings, since drawing is easier, familiar and more enjoyable for the child patient. Children present difficulties.
with the abstract task of describing subjective experiences using verbal language and rather match internal states better with pictorial representations of their emotions. Studies suggested that projective techniques are a more adequate measure to collect data about a child’s perception. Children’s drawings and their description can provide a unique window into their inner experiences, particularly when they experience stress or anxiety. In clinical practice, drawings have been used for decades by child psychiatrists and psychologists to analyze the subjectivity of children’s feelings, their fears, anxiety, concerns and anger. The use of non-verbal assessment tools like drawings is more appealing since this method overcomes language limitations and cultural barriers that might be encountered with traditional verbal tests. Drawing helps children organize their narrative which, in turn, gives them the opportunity to find their voice. The drawings of hospitalized children have been shown to be a valuable assessment tool to measure their emotional state.

In the present study, the frequency of dental fear was higher in the group of hospitalized children (Group II, 46%) than among children seen at the Dentistry Department of a University (Group I, 20%). According to Themessl-Huber et al., dental fear in hospitalized children is usually associated with negative medical and dental experiences of these children. The frequency of dental fear in hospitalized children ranged from 5% to 20% in another study. This percentage was 33% in the present investigation.

The use of needles and tooth extraction were the main reasons of dental fear reported by most children of the sample. Similar results have been reported, like local anesthesia, mainly the use of a needle and the tooth extraction associated with pain, were the most common reasons cited by children who did not like dental treatment.

When the children were asked about their preference of undergoing tooth extraction in the dental office or at any other place, half the children (50%) preferred to be treated in the dental office. The most frequently reported reason for this preference was the positive attitude of the dentist (37%). Most children (31%) provided the same answer, i.e., a positive attitude of the dentist, when they were asked about what the dentist could do to improve the visit. According to AlSarheed, dental surgeons have to be aware of the perception, preferences and fears of the patient, since children who have a positive interaction with their dentist are less likely to develop fear during the visits.

Several factors, such as experience of oral problems, number of tooth extractions, number of invasive treatments, number of visits before the first invasive treatment, management of behavior problems, parents’ fear of dental treatment and classification of dental fear, are significantly associated with the level of the child’s fear of dental treatment. Studies have shown that visiting the dentist more regularly and without previous traumatic dental experiences made the patient less anxious. Berge et al. observed that children with more noninvasive visits are less likely to develop dental fear than those with a previous invasive experience. These findings agree with the present study where most children cited noninvasive procedures as the ones they liked most during dental treatment. Tickle et al. also showed that a history of extractions and asymptomatic dental visits were significantly associated with anxiety, suggesting that the etiology of dental anxiety in children is multifactorial.

A study about the understanding of children enrolled in an Oral Health Education Programs on tooth care showed satisfactory knowledge, mainly on positive and negative aspects related to the oral health-disease process, and not associating with negative professional aspect and dental care. Programs like this must be encouraged to disassociate oral care form negative aspects. Besides that, the results of the present study indicate the need for strategies designed to promote constant asymptomatic visits to the dentist at an early age. Dental professionals need to understand the etiology of dental anxiety in children and its development over time in order to provide a more effective care to their patients and therefore, to minimize the risk of developing anxiety. Employing scales that measure fear, as Children’s Fear Survey Schedule - Dental Subscale, the dentist may recognize which item(s) of the scale reported by the child were related to a particular fear. Accordingly, the dentist can approach the child and guide his/her behavior during the dental visit. In most cases, anxiety towards dental treatment has its origin in childhood and, if not overcome, may extend into adult life, compromising oral health.

Our study verified that most children of the sample expressed a positive perception of dental care in both the drawing and questionnaire. The diverse instruments used in our study for the evaluation of the child’s perception of dental care, are important tools for the investigation of perceptions, attitudes and behaviors of child patients designed to improve the quality of dental care in this population. Besides that, dental professionals have to be aware of the perception, preferences and fears of the patient for better conditioning and for a good doctor-patient relationship.
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