HST observations rule out the association between Cir X-1 and SNR G321.9-0.3*
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Abstract. Cir X-1 is one of the most intriguing galactic X-ray sources. It is a \(\sim 16.6\) days variable X/radio source, a type-I X-ray burster and a QPO emitter. In spite of an uncertain optical counterpart classification, all these properties identify the source as an LMXB. The morphology of the surrounding radio nebula has suggested an association with the nearby (\(\sim 25\) arcmin) SNR G321.9-0.3, implying that Cir X-1 is a runaway binary originated from the supernova explosion \(\approx 10^5\) years ago. To investigate this hypothesis, we carried out a proper motion measurement of the Cir X-1 \(m \sim 19\) optical counterpart using a set of HST/WFC and WFPC2 observations taken \(\sim 8.6\) years apart. We obtained a \(3\sigma\) upper limit of \(\approx 5\) mas yr\(^{-1}\) on the source proper motion. Since the runaway hypothesis would have implied a proper motion due North ranging between 15 and 75 mas yr\(^{-1}\), depending on the actual age of the SNR, our result definitively rules out the association between Cir X-1 and SNR G321.9-0.3.
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1. Introduction.

Cir X-1 is a strong and persistently bright galactic X-ray source with a flux modulated over a 16.6 days cycle (Kaluzienski et al. 1976, Bignami et al. 1977), which is commonly believed to coincide with the orbital period of a binary system in a highly eccentric orbit (Murdin et al. 1980). Type-I X-ray bursts have been discovered by EXOSAT (Tennant, Fabian and Shafer 1986a,b), as well as high/low frequency QPO (Tennant 1987,1988) whose properties suggested that Cir X-1 might represent an ideal link between the classes of the canonical Z and atoll-type sources (Oosterbroek et al. 1995). In addition, long-term variations in the X-ray flux have been observed, probably due to a partial obscuration of the central source by the precession of a warped accretion disk (Oosterbroek et al. 1995). Recently, X-ray spectral evolution of Cir X-1 along the orbital cycle has been reported by Iaria et al. (2001). While the general X-ray properties of Cir X-1 (e.g. type-I bursts, QPOs) point towards a LMXB, its nature is still unclear. Although an optical counterpart has been identified (Moneti 1992; Mignani, Caraveo & Bignami 1997), no reliable spectral classification has been possible so far. The spectrum is dominated by a broad, asymmetric, H\(\alpha\) line (Duncan, Stewart & Haynes 1993; Mignani, Caraveo & Bignami 1997) with no prominent stellar emission/absorption features (Johnston, Fender and Wu 1999). The radio counterpart of Cir X-1 was identified by Johnston, Fender and Wu 1999. The radio picture features a point source which is embedded in a \(\approx 5 \times 10 \) arcmin\(^2\) nebula and is apparently the origin of elongated structures, extending on both arcmin (Stewart et al. 1993) and arcsec scales (Fender et al. 1998). Such a morphology has been interpreted as a jet-powered synchrotron nebula, making Cir X-1 the second galactic X-ray binary, besides SS433, which shows both radio jets and a radio synchrotron nebula. The shape of the radio nebula, protruding southward and pointing to the center of the nearby (\(\sim 25\) arcmin) radio SNR G321.9-0.3, is reminiscent of a residual wake left behind as the point source moves away from the SNR (see Fig.1, reproduced from Fig.1 of Stewart et al. 1993). This suggested a tantalizing scenario where Cir X-1 is a runaway binary system, with the neutron star member ejected by the supernova explosion responsible for SNR G321.9-0.3 (Stewart et al. 1993) between \(2 \times 10^4\) and \(10^5\) yrs...
ity between 400 and 2400 km s\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a transverse velocity with respect to the center of the SNR of \(v \sim 5\ \mu d_{\text{kpc}}\ \text{km s}^{-1}\), where \(\mu\) is in units of mas yr\(^{-1}\) and \(d_{\text{kpc}}\) is the SNR distance in units of kpc. From the combination of all the possible values of both the SNR age and distance, we would thus expect Cir X-1 to move Northward with a proper motion ranging between 15 and 75 mas yr\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a transverse velocity between 400 and 2400 km s\(^{-1}\).

Thus, the Cir X-1 angular displacement is a simple, yet powerful, tool to prove or disprove its association with SNR G321.9-0.3. Measuring a Northward angular displacement \(\geq 15\ \text{mas yr}^{-1}\) would secure the association, while the lack of such a displacement, or a displacement in a different direction, would rule it out. In principle, both the optical and radio counterpart could be used to gauge the precise position of the source. However, the dramatic fading of the radio point source (Stewart et al. 1991) hampers a measurement in the radio band and leaves the burden of the proof to astrometry of the optical counterpart.

The sharpness of view of HST makes it the ideal instrument to settle such a proper motion issue. Thus, we have taken advantage of an HST/WFC archival image of the field as well as of recently acquired WFPC2 ones to carry out the proper motion measurement. The observations and data reduction are described in Section 2 while the results are presented and discussed in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Observations.

2.1. The data sets

The first image of the Cir X-1 field was obtained with the pre-repair HST on October 20\(^{th}\), 1992 as a target acquisition image for spectroscopy observations with the Faint Object Spectrograph (Mignani et al. 1997). The observation was performed with the Wide Field Camera (WFC) (0.11/pixel) through the wide band filter 785LP “I-band filter” (\(\lambda = 9703\ \text{Å}; \Delta \lambda = 1669.5\ \text{Å}\)), where the source is brighter (\(m_{785LP} \simeq 18\)). The exposure time was 300 s. A new observation of Cir X-1 was performed on May 19\(^{th}\), 2001 with the Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2), as a snapshot programme. In order to achieve the highest positional accuracy, the target was positioned at the center of the Planetary Camera (PC) chip (0.0045/pixel). For consistency with the first observation, the 785LP filter was used. Three observations of 300 s each were obtained to allow for a better cosmic ray filtering. After the standard HST pipeline reduction (de-biasing, dark removal, flatfielding) the 2001 images have been combined with a median filter and stacked (Fig. 2). Residual cosmic ray hits were filtered out using specific IRAF/STSDAS routines.

2.2. The data analysis

The cleaned images are the starting point for our astrometric analysis. Following a robust method successfully applied in several previous astrometric works (see e.g. Caraveo et al. 1996; Caraveo & Mignani 1999; De Luca et al. 2000,2001; Mignani et al. 2000,2001; Caraveo et al. 2001), this relies on an accurate image superposition which is obtained by optimizing a linear coordinate transformation between two reference grids determined by a set of common reference objects.

In order to obtain an accurate position determination, the reference objects have to be carefully selected i.e. they must be (i) not too close to the CCD edges, (ii) not extended, (iii) not saturated but not too faint. In order to avoid problems with the inter-chip astrometry (see Caraveo & Mignani 1999), the selection of the reference objects was limited to the chip where the target star was imaged, i.e. WFC #2 in the 1992 observation and PC in
the 2001 one. In the rather crowded field of Cir X-1, 46 good reference sources, matching the above constraints, could be found. Their positions were computed with a 2-D gaussian fitting of their intensity profiles using centering boxes optimized in order to obtain stable values for both the centroids coordinates and their uncertainties (see De Luca et al. 2001 for a detailed discussion of both statistical and systematic errors involved in the procedure). The reference objects were positioned with an accuracy between 0.05 and 0.08 pixel (i.e. between 5 and 8 mas) in the WFC image and between 0.05 and 0.1 pixel (i.e. between 2.3 and 4.5 mas) in the PC one, depending on the object’s S/N. The position of the target star was computed in the same way, with an accuracy of about 0.07 pixel (7 mas) and of about 0.06 pixel (~3 mas) in the WFC and in the PC image, respectively. We note that the uncertainties on the centroids in both the WFC and the PC images are somewhat higher than the ones measured in other fields (see e.g. De Luca et al. 2000). This can be ascribed, at least in part, to the peculiar PSF in the long-pass F785LP filter, characterized by broad and relatively bright wings. This is the same effect observed in filter F1042M (it is known as the “F1042M PSF anomaly”) and it is due to the CCD detector becoming transparent at longer wavelengths, so that light is reflected and scattered by the back of the CCD producing a defocused halo (see WFPC2 Instrument Handbook for further details). In addition, for the 2001 snapshot observations the analysis of the spacecraft attitude showed a jitter of the telescope about 2 times larger than average (~5 mas rms compared to 3 mas rms) and distributed along a preferential direction. Moreover, we note that the 2001 observations were performed during the day/night transition, which occurred during the first exposure. Thermal effects, such as “breathing”, could thus be present, determining a slight defocussing of the camera. All these effects clearly influence the PSF, thus affecting the centering accuracy.

The derived sets of coordinates were then corrected for known systematics, like the "34th row defect" of the PC (Anderson & King 1999), and for the effects of the distortions of the WFC and the PC. While for the WFC only the geometric transformation of Gilmozzi et al. (1992) is available, for the WFPC2 we have used the most recent one provided by Casertano & Wiggs (2000), which accounts for the evolution of the distortion map of the WFPC2 due to the drift of the instrument in the Optical Telescope Assembly focal plane.

The superposition of the frames was performed using the PC image as reference. First, the PC image was aligned in Right Ascension and Declination, according to the telescope roll angle. Then, the WFC reference grid was registered on the PC one by fitting a linear coordinate transformation, accounting for 2 independent scale factors, 2 translation factors and a rotation angle. Following Caraveo et al. (2001), we checked for systematics due, e.g., to unknown displacements of the reference objects by repeating the frame superposition 46 times excluding, in turn, one of our reference stars. Four objects yielding relatively high deviations (between 0.5 and 1 PC pixel per coordinate) were thus identified and rejected. Different centering algorithms (e.g. separate gaussian fitting on the X and Y intensity distributions) and coordinate transformation routines were also tested. In all cases we obtained statistically indistinguishable results, showing that our procedure is correct and free of systematics.

The final frame registration yielded a rms values on the residuals of the reference objects’ coordinates of 0.33 PC pixel (15 mas) in Right Ascension and 0.25 PC pixel (11.4 mas) in Declination. Such rms values are a factor of 4 higher than those obtained by De Luca et al. (2000a) for a WFC-to-PC superposition in the Vela pulsar field. While the higher uncertainties in the centroids determination addressed above play a role, they can not account entirely for the larger residuals obtained in the image registration. This effect might be related to the likely dependance on the filter wavelength of the transformation coefficients used in the geometric correction. Unfortunately, since such dependance has been characterized for the WFPC2 (Trauger et al. 1995) but not for the WFC, the accuracy of the image registration might be affected by a non-optimized geometric correction.

3. Results.

We have plotted in Fig.3 the relative positions of our target together with the residuals on the reference stars positions. Since the difference between the positions of Cir X-1 is comparable with the residuals measured for the 42 refer-
4. Discussion.

Both the lack of a measurable proper motion for Cir X-1 and its resulting non-association with SNR G321.9-0.3 have interesting astrophysical implications. Firstly, it is important to note that our result frees the neutron star age from the tight constraints imposed by the proposed SNR association. Indeed, an age greater than 100,000 years would be more easily compatible with the estimated time scales of the accretion-driven decay of the neutron star magnetic field (see, e.g., Konar & Bhattacharya 1997, 1999 and reference therein) down to the values expected for the occurrence of type I X-ray bursts (Lewin & Joss 1983).

As far as the dynamics of the binary system are concerned, the null proper motion measurement sets a 3σ upper limit of 24 \( \frac{dv}{dpc} \) km s\(^{-1}\) on its relative transverse velocity, where \( d_{pc} \) is the source distance in kpc. Such a value would imply that Cir X-1 is not moving with an anomalously high velocity larger than, e.g., 400 km s\(^{-1}\) unless its spatial velocity is essentially radial. A possible indication for an high radial velocity (\( \approx 430 \) km s\(^{-1}\)) was indeed found in the spectroscopic observations of the Cir X-1 optical counterpart (Johnston, Fender & Wu 1999), although it could not be unambiguously confirmed by the more recent work of Johnston et al. (2001). Together with our constraint on the transverse velocity, an accurate spectroscopic measurement of the radial velocity would be critical to determine the dynamics of the binary system and to compute the kick velocity impressed by the SN explosion (Tauris et al. 1999). Moreover, the above constraints on the binary system dynamics may require a different scenario for the source interactions with the surrounding environment. In particular, the lack of a proper motion in the expected direction prompts for an alternative explanation for the morphology of the arc-shaped radio features observed in the synchrotron nebula, so far interpreted as jets trailing behind by a fast-moving source.

Last, but not least, our result calls, once again, for more caution in associating compact objects with SNRs purely on the basis of their proximity in the plane of the sky and on morphological arguments.
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