Nucleon-$J/\psi$ and nucleon-$\eta_c$ scattering in $P_c$ pentaquark channels from lattice QCD
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The lattice QCD simulation of $NJ/\psi$ and $N\eta_c$ scattering is performed at $m_x \simeq 266$ MeV in channels with all possible $J^P$. This includes $J^P = 3/2^\pm$ and $5/2^\pm$ where LHCb discovered $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ pentaquark states in proton-$J/\psi$ decay. This is the first lattice simulation that reaches the energies 4.3–4.5 GeV where pentaquarks reside. Several decay channels are open in this energy region, and we explore the fate of $P_c$ in the one-channel approximation in this work. Energies of eigenstates are extracted for the nucleon-charmonium system at zero total momentum for all quantum numbers, i.e., six lattice irreducible representations. No significant energy shifts are observed. The number of the observed lattice eigenstates agrees with the number expected for noninteracting charmonium and nucleon. Thus, we do not find any strong indication for a resonance or a bound state in these exotic channels within one-channel approximation. This possibly indicates that the coupling of the $NJ/\psi$ channel with other two-hadron channels might be responsible for $P_c$ resonances in experiment. One of the challenges of this study is that up to six degenerate $J/\psi(p)N(\mp-p)$ eigenstates are expected in the noninteracting limit due nonzero spins of $J/\psi$ and $N$, and we establish all of them in the spectra.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Gell-Mann indicated in his 1964 paper [1] that along simple baryons ($qqq$) and mesons ($q\bar{q}$) also states with more complex structure could exist. This could be particles with mesonic quantum numbers $qq\bar{q}$ (tetraquarks) or states with baryonic quantum numbers $qqqq\bar{q}$ or $qqqqq$ (pentaquarks and dibaryons). In recent years, a wide variety of those states has been observed by several experiments. Until 2015, almost all of the experimentally confirmed exotic hadrons—so-called XYZ states—carried mesonic quantum numbers. The confirmed exception with baryonic quantum numbers is a dibaryon deuteron.

In 2015, two peaks in proton-$J/\psi$ invariant mass with minimal flavor structure of $uudc\bar{c}$ were observed by LHCb [2]. Discovery was later confirmed by the model independent study in 2016 by the same collaboration [3]. Two resonances were observed: the lighter with the mass $M_1 \simeq 4380$ MeV has broad width $\Gamma_2 \simeq 205$ MeV, while the heavier state with $M_2 \simeq 4450$ MeV is narrower with $\Gamma_2 \simeq 40$ MeV. Resonances were identified as hidden charm pentaquarks $P_c$. LHCb finds the best fit for spin-parity assignments $(J_1^P, J_2^P) = (\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^+)$, while acceptable solutions are also found for additional cases with opposite parity, either $(\frac{3}{2}^+, \frac{5}{2}^-)$ or $(\frac{5}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^-)$.

Strong interaction allows $P_c \approx uudc\bar{c}$ to decay to a charmonium ($\bar{c}c$) and a nucleon ($uud$), as well as to different combinations of a charmed meson ($q\bar{c}$) and a charmed baryon ($qqc$). Some of the allowed decay channels are summarized in Table VII in the Appendix A.

There were several attempts to explain the origin and the structure of two charmed pentaquarks phenomenologically. They were predicted in the coupled-channel dynamics in Refs. [4,5], where the significant component $D^0\Sigma$ couples also to $NJ/\psi$ via the vector meson exchange. They were studied as hadronic molecules of a charmed meson and a charmed baryon, e.g., in Refs. [6–11], or charmonium and nucleon, e.g., in Ref. [12]. All listed studies report finding an indication for $P_c$. A review of hadronic molecules including $P_c$ can be found in Ref. [13]. These studies are often based on the phenomenological meson-exchange models [14–17]. Several studies considered compact diquark-diquark-antiquark internal structure and found $P_c$, e.g., Refs. [18–22]. The heavier $P_c$ was shown to be compatible with the kinematic effects of rescattering from $N\chi_c$ to $NJ/\psi$ [22]. Other attempts to explain $P_c$ as a...
coupled-channel effect consider rescattering with a charmed meson and a charmed baryon [23–25]. Enhancements corresponding to $P_c$ were also found as a consequence of the triangle singularity transitions in the kinematics of $Λ_b \to K^- pJ/ψ$ [22,26]. Further phenomenological studies can be found in the recent reviews [13,27–30].

On the other hand, there is no knowledge about $P_c$ resonances based on the first-principle lattice QCD at present. The lattice simulations of systems with flavor $c\bar{c}uud$ have never reached energies 4.3–4.5 GeV where the pentaquarks reside. They mostly considered interactions of a nucleon and a charmonium near the threshold. Slightly attractive interaction was found in the preliminary study of $NJ/ψ$ and $N\eta_c$ scattering for $m_c = 293–598$ MeV, where no bound state nor resonances appear [31]. A similar conclusion was previously in the quenched simulation [32] at $m_c ≈ 197$ MeV. Interestingly, the dynamical NPLQCD study of $N\eta_c$ scattering in the s-wave [33] rendered a bound state about 20 MeV below threshold for very heavy $m_c ≈ 800$ MeV. The simulation [34] presents preliminary results for $NJ/ψ$ and $N\eta_c$ potentials and phase shifts in the s wave using the HALQCD method in one-channel approximation. These were extracted up to the energies 0.2 GeV above threshold at a very heavy $m_{NJ}$. Attractive interaction was found in all channels explored but was not attractive enough to form bound states or resonances. A similar approach and conclusions were obtained in an earlier study [35,36]. The hadroquarkonium picture was considered in Ref. [37], where the static $c\bar{c}$ potential $V(r)$ was extracted for $m_c → ∞$ as function of distance $r$ in the presence of the nucleon. The potential was found shift down only by a few mega-electron-volts due to the presence of the nucleon.

Effective field theory for the $s$-wave quarkonium-nucleon system near the threshold is developed in Ref. [38], where low-energy constants are determined from lattice data [31,32,35,36]. This approach also does not feature bound states or near-threshold resonances. The aim of this lattice study is to establish whether $P_c$ resonances could appear in the one-channel approximation for $NJ/ψ$ or $N\eta_c$ scattering. This is the first lattice study that reaches energies 4.3–4.5 MeV where $P_c$ resonances are found in experiment. We neglect contributions from other channels and coupled-channel effects. This study could shed light on whether the experimental $P_c$ resonances are crucially related to the coupled-channel effects or not. The purpose is to calculate the eigenenergies of the interacting $NJ/ψ$ system on the lattice in the rest frame for all possible $JP$. This includes previously studied partial wave $ℓ = 0$ and for the first time also $ℓ > 0$. The eigenenergies are then compared with i) the energies expected in the limit when $N$ and $J/ψ$ do not interact and ii) the energies expected based on the experimental $P_c$ resonances in the one-channel approximation. An analogous approach is followed for the $NJ_c$ system. The results shed light on the fate of $P_c$ in the one-channel approximation.

Let us discuss the expectation for the spectra of the NM system ($M = J/ψ$ or $η_c$) in the limit when hadrons do not interact, as this will be an important reference case. The momenta $p = n \frac{2π}{L}$ of each hadron are discretized due to the periodic boundary conditions on our lattice with $L ≃ 2$ fm. The total energies of noninteracting (n.i.) $N(p)M(−p)$ system are

$$E^{n.i.} = E_N(p) + E_M(−p), \quad p = n \frac{2π}{L}, \quad ΔE = E − E^{n.i.}. \quad (1)$$

with $n ∈ N^3$, while $p$ will be denoted by $p$ for simplicity from here on. The $E_{H=N,M}(p)$ are single-hadron energies measured for various momenta on our lattice (Table I); they would satisfy $E_{H}(p) = (m_H^2 + p^2)^{1/2}$ in the continuum. The noninteracting energies (1) for both channels are presented in Fig. 1, together with the location of $P_c$ resonances from experiment. In order to capture the resonances region, we explore both channels up to the energy region which captures the states with relative momenta $p^2 ≤ 2(2π/L)^2$ and slightly above. The $NJ/ψ$ scattering is investigated up to approximately $E ≤ m_{sω} + 1.6$ GeV, while $N\eta_c$ is studied up to $E ≤ m_{sω} + 1.5$ GeV, where the reference energy is $m_{sω} = (m_{NJ} + 3m_{J/ψ})/4$ (16).

Furthermore, it is important to understand the effect of the nonzero spins of the scattering particles $N$ and $J/ψ$ in the noninteracting limit. Even in the continuum infinite-volume theory, several linearly independent eigenstates have degenerate energy in a given channel $J^P$. Those are eigenstates with different partial waves $ℓ$ and total spins $S$ that render certain $J^P$. For the $NJ/ψ$ channel with $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$, for example, there are two linearly independent physical states,

---

1Symbol $L$ denotes the lattice size, while $ℓ$ denotes the partial wave.
\[ J^p = \frac{1}{2} \quad \leftrightarrow \ell' = 1, \quad S = 1/2 \\
\quad \leftrightarrow \ell' = 1, \quad S = 3/2, \]

that have the same energy \((1)\) in the noninteracting limit and exist for relative momenta \(p > 0\) \((p = 0\) does not render partial waves \(\ell' > 0\)). Our aim is to find all linearly independent eigenstates, and we will indeed confirm this pair of nearly degenerate eigenstates. The effect of finite lattice implies that several channels \(J^p\) contribute to a given lattice irreducible representation (irrep), which further enhances the number of degenerate eigenstates \(N(p)M(-p)\) at given \(p\). Up to six degenerate eigenstates are expected at nonzero relative momenta for the \(NJ/\psi\) channel, and up to two are expected for \(N\eta_c\). One of the challenges is to extract all linearly independent eigenstates, and we indeed establish all of them in our simulation, as will be evidenced from high degeneracies in the Figs. 4 and 7.

The existence of a pentaquark resonance \(P_c\) would modify the eigenenergies of the nucleon-charmonium system with respect to the noninteracting case discussed above. We address the fate of \(P_c\) in one-channel approximation, i.e., when a given pentaquark resonance couples only to \(NJ/\psi\) or only to \(N\eta_c\), while it is decoupled from other two-hadron channels. If such a narrow resonance \(P_c(4450)\) exists with a given spin-parity \(J^P\), the Lüscher formalism predicts one additional eigenstate with respect to the noninteracting case in the irreducible representations that contains this \(J^P\). This extra state is expected to have an energy approximately \(M_{P_c} \pm \Gamma_{P_c}\), while nearby states can get shifted in energy. Analogous expectation applies for the broader \(P_c(4380)\), which is experimentally found in another spin-parity channel, while energy shifts would be larger in this case.

One of our goals is therefore to look for possible extra eigenstates in the spectrum, which could signal the presence of pentaquarks within one-channel approximation. This underlines the importance of finding a complete spectrum of eigenstates with all expected nearly degenerate energy levels. Only then, one could relate an extra level to a possible signature for \(P_c\). Spectra in all lattice irreducible representations are considered in our work, and those include also \(J^p = 3/2^\pm, 5/2^\pm\) that are particularly relevant for pentaquark searches.

The paper is organized as follows. The variational approach to extracting eigenenergies is reviewed in Sec. II. The operators for single hadrons and for nucleon-meson systems are discussed in Secs. III and IV, underlying complications arising from the fact that scattering particles \(N\) and \(J/\psi\) carry spin. Construction of two-hadron correlators is described in Sec. V, followed by details of the lattice simulation in Sec. VI. Section VII presents the results and compares them to previous simulations. We end with conclusions and an outlook.

II. EXTRACTING EIGENENERGIES

The aim of this work is to extract energies of the eigenstates for \(NJ/\psi\) and \(N\eta_c\) systems, as well as for the relevant single hadrons \(N, J/\psi, \text{and } \eta_c\). The eigenenergies of a certain system are obtained by computing \(N \times N\) correlation matrices \(C\) on the lattice

\[
C_{ij}(t) = \langle 0|O_i(t)\tilde{O}_j(0)|0 \rangle = \sum_n e^{-E_n t} \langle 0|O_i|n\rangle \langle n|\tilde{O}_j|0 \rangle, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{3}
\]

where \(O_{i=1 \ldots N}\) denote interpolators that have quantum numbers of the desired system. In order to reliably extract the excited states, it is favorable to employ a large number of relevant interpolators, like e.g., in Ref. [39]. The information on the eigenstates is obtained by inserting the complete sum of eigenstates \(|n\rangle\). We employ the widely used variational approach by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem \([40,41]\]

\[
C(t)u^{(n)}(t) = \lambda^{(n)}(t)C(t_0)u^{(n)}(t), \quad n = 1, \ldots, N. \tag{4}
\]

where \(t_0 = 2\) is employed. The eigenenergies \(E_n\) are extracted from the resulting eigenvalues

\[
\lambda^{(n)}(t)_{\text{largest}} = A_n e^{-E_n t}, \quad E^{(n)}_\text{eff}(t) = \ln \frac{\lambda^{(n)}(t)}{\lambda^{(n)}(t + 1)} \tag{5}
\]

by one-exponential fits in the plateau region.

III. SINGLE-HADRON OPERATORS

In order to determine the noninteracting energies of the nucleon-charmonium system, we separately compute nucleon and charmonium correlators with momenta \(p^2 = 0, 1, 2 \) \([\text{in units of } (2\pi/\Lambda)^2]\). Three standard nucleon operators,

\[
N(p, t) = \sum_x e_{abc} P^+ \Gamma^1 u(x,t) \Gamma^2 d(x,t) e^{ipx}
\]

\((\Gamma^1, \Gamma^2): (1, C\gamma_5), (\gamma_5, C), (1, i\gamma_i C\gamma_5)\), \tag{6}

and two standard operators for each charmonia,

\[
M(p, t) = \sum_x e(x,t) \Gamma c(x,t) e^{ipx}
\]

\((\Gamma(J/\psi): \gamma_i, \gamma_i \gamma_5, i = x, y, z\),

\((\Gamma(\eta_c): \gamma_5, \gamma_i \gamma_5)\), \tag{7}

are employed at each momentum \(p\).

---

They appear in irrep \(G^n_i\) that contains \(J^p = \frac{1}{2}^+\). There are two levels with \(p = 1\) in \(G^n_i\) of Fig. 4.
IV. TWO-HADRON OPERATORS AND EXPECTED DEGENERACIES IN THE NONINTERACTING CASE

The operators for two-hadron system are needed to compute eigenenergies of these systems from the correlation functions. We consider only the system with total momentum zero since parity $P$ is a good quantum number in this case, which simplifies the study. Operators are therefore of the form

$$O \simeq N(p)M(-p),$$

where each hadron is projected to a definite momentum $p^2 = 0, 1, 2$. All possible quantum numbers $J^P$ are considered since $J^P$ of $P_c$ pentaquarks are not reliably established from experiment yet. Therefore, we consider all six irreducible representations $\Gamma^P = G^+_1, G^+_2, H^+$ of the discrete lattice group $O_h$.

A. Operators in partial-wave method

The operators which have good total angular momentum $J$, its third component $m_J$, angular momentum $\ell$, and total spin of particles $S$ in the continuum are referred as to partial-wave operators [42,43]

$$O_{[J,\ell,S]} = \sum_{m_J,m_\ell,m_S} C^{Jm_J}_{\ell m_\ell,S m_S} C^{S m_S}_{m_J m_\ell m_S} \times \sum_{R \in \Gamma} Y_{\ell m_\ell}(R)p)N_{m_\ell}(Rp)M_{m_S}(-Rp).$$

The operator has good parity $P = P_1P_2(-1)^\ell$. The $N_{\ell_1}$ system carries $S = \frac{1}{2}$, while $NJ/\psi$ can have $S = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2}$. A given combination of $J^P$ can be obtained with multiple combinations of $(S, \ell)$, and such channels can be coupled in the continuum infinite-volume [e.g., example in Eq. (2)].

On the finite cubic lattice, the operators $O_{[J,\ell,S]}$ form a reducible representation with respect to the lattice group $O_h$. One has to employ operators which transform according to reducible representations $\Gamma^P$. Those are listed in Table I, where several $J^P$ contribute to a given irrep $\Gamma^P$. Therefore, partial-wave operators (8) are subduced to chosen row $r$ of irrep $\Gamma$.

| Irrep $\Gamma^P$ | $J^P$ |
|------------------|--------|
| $G^+_1$          | $\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{3}{2}$ |
| $G^+_2$          | $\frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{3}{2}$ |
| $H^+$            | $\frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{5}{2}$ |

TABLE I. Irreducible representations $\Gamma^P$ of the discrete lattice group $O_h$, together with a list of $J^P$ that a certain irrep contains.

where the subduction coefficients $S^{Jm_J}_{\ell m_\ell}$ are given in the Appendix of Ref. [44]. All these operators for nucleon-vector and nucleon-pseudoscalar systems with $p^2 = 0, 1$ are explicitly written in Appendix C of Ref. [43].

A simple example of the $NJ/\psi$ operator for $p = 0$ that transforms according to $G^+_1$ irrep is

$$O_{G^+_1, r=1} = N_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)\psi(0) + N_{-\frac{1}{2}}(0)(\psi(0) - i\psi(0)).$$

Let us explicitly present also a more nontrivial example, where $NJ/\psi$ with $p^2 = 1$ transform according to $H^-$. Here, the relations (8), (9) lead to a number of linearly dependent operators,

$$O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1} = N_{\frac{1}{2}}(e_x)(\psi_x(-e_x) - i\psi_y(-e_x)) + N_{\frac{1}{2}}(e_y)(\psi_x(-e_y) - i\psi_y(-e_y)) + N_{\frac{1}{2}}(e_x)(\psi_x(e_x) - i(e_x)) + N_{\frac{1}{2}}(e_y)(\psi_x(e_y) - i(e_y))$$

Other operators are linear combinations of three in the first line of Eq. (11), for example,

$$O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1} = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\pi}} O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1}$$

$$O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1} = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{14\pi}} O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1}$$

$$O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1} = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}} O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1}$$

$$+ 5\frac{3}{7\pi} O^{(J,\ell,S)=(\frac{2}{2},\frac{1}{2})}_{H^-,p^2=1}.$$
TABLE II. The number of the expected degenerate eigenstates $N(p\eta_c(-p))$ for each row of irrep within noninteracting limit. This number is equal to the number of the linearly independent operator types, which are listed in Appendix B.

| Irrep  | $N(p^2 = 0)$ | $N(p^2 = 1)$ | $N(p^2 = 2)$ | $N(p^2 = 0)$ | $N(p^2 = 1)$ | $N(p^2 = 2)$ |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| $G_1^+$ | 0            | 1            | 1            | 0            | 2            | 3            |
| $G_1^-$ | 1            | 1            | 1            | 1            | 2            | 3            |
| $G_2^+$ | 0            | 0            | 1            | 0            | 1            | 3            |
| $G_2^-$ | 0            | 0            | 1            | 0            | 1            | 3            |
| $H^+$   | 0            | 1            | 2            | 0            | 3            | 6            |
| $H^-$   | 0            | 1            | 2            | 1            | 3            | 6            |

TABLE III. Number $N$ of interpolators used to compute the $N \times N$ correlation matrix $C_{ij}$ for a given row of irrep. The study of $NJ/\psi$ scattering in $H^-$, for example, uses $N = 10 \times 6 = 60$ interpolators (ten operator types with three nucleon and two vector choices for each), so a correlation matrix of size $60 \times 60$ is computed.

| Irrep  | $N_{\eta_c}$ | $N_{NJ/\psi}$ |
|--------|--------------|---------------|
| $G_1^+$ | 3 $\times$ 6 | 2 $\times$ 6  |
| $G_1^-$ | 1 $\times$ 6  | 4 $\times$ 6  |
| $G_2^+$ | 1 $\times$ 6  | 10 $\times$ 6 |
| $G_2^-$ | 3 $\times$ 6  | 9 $\times$ 6  |

and these are not explicitly incorporated in the correlation matrix.

Along similar lines, we found linearly independent partial-wave operators for all irreducible representations and relative momenta. Our choices of linearly independent sets $(J, \ell, S)$ are given in Appendix B.\(^3\) The number of linearly independent operator types for each $|p|$ is provided in Table II. For each of the operator types listed in Appendix B, we in fact implement six operators: three choices for the nucleon [Eq. (6)] times two choices for charmonium [Eq. (7)]. The final number of operators used in the computation of the correlation matrix for each irrep is given in Table III.

We note that the number of linearly independent operator types in Table II agrees with the number of linearly independent operator types obtained using the projection method [43], helicity method [43], and Clebsch-Gordan decomposition in Refs. [45,46].

B. Expected number of degenerate states in the noninteracting limit

In the noninteracting limit, one expects several degenerate $N(p)M(-p)$ eigenstates for most of $J^P$ (or irreps) and relative momenta $p > 0$. Let us try to understand this first in the continuum limit of an infinite volume. Different combinations of $(\ell, S)$ lead to a given $J^P$ ($|\ell - S| \leq J \leq |\ell + S|$) due to the nonzero spins of the scattering particles. An example is given in Eq. (2), while more examples can be deduced from Tables VIII and IX. The linearly independent combinations $(\ell, S)$ represent linearly independent eigenstates, so each of them should feature as an independent eigenstate in the spectrum.

This will remain true on the lattice on a finite volume and the corresponding discrete symmetry group. However, in this case, also different spins $J^P$ can contribute to a given irrep $\Gamma^P$ as listed in Table I. Linearly independent combinations $(J, \ell, S)$ that subdue to given irrep $\Gamma^P$ now present linearly independent eigenstates. These are listed in Appendix B, and their number is summarized in Table II for each $\Gamma^P$ and relative momentum $p^2$. In the noninteracting limit, each of those linearly independent eigenstates should appear in the spectrum. Therefore, the expected number of degenerate eigenstates for each row is given in Table II. These degeneracies can be lifted by the presence of the interactions.

V. CONSTRUCTION OF TWO-HADRON CORRELATORS

In the one-channel approximation, there is no contraction connecting the charmonium meson and nucleon interpolator, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, single-hadron correlation functions can be simulated separately and then later combined to the two-hadron correlation function. All two-hadron correlators in our study can be expressed in terms of

$$
\langle 0| N_{m_i}(p') \gamma_{m_i}(p) | 0 \rangle c, \\
\langle 0| V_{ij}(p') V_{ij}^*(p) | 0 \rangle c, \\
\langle 0| P(p') P^*(p) | 0 \rangle c,
$$

where $V = J/\psi$, $P = \eta_c$, (13)

which are precomputed for all combinations of $p'$, $p = 0, 1, 2; i, i' = x, y, z$; and $m_i, m'_{i} = 1/2, -1/2$ as well as for all configurations $c$. We omit the Wick contractions with the disconnected charm contributions in the study of pentaquark as well as charmonium systems. These contractions induce charm decay to the light hadrons and have been omitted in most of the previous lattice studies related to charmoniumlike systems.

FIG. 2. Wick contractions considered in our simulation for one-channel approximation.
Let us give an example of the two-hadron correlator corresponding to operator \( O_{G^r_J} \) [Eq. (10)] at the sink and conjugate operator

\[
\bar{O}_{G^r_J} = \bar{N}_z(0)\bar{V}_x(0) + \bar{N}_{-z}(0)(\bar{V}_y(0) + i\bar{V}_y(0))
\]

at the source. Given that there are no contractions connecting \( J/\psi \) and \( N \) interpolators (Fig. 2), the two-hadron correlator can be expressed as

\[
\langle 0|O_{G^r_J} = \bar{O}_{G^r_J}|0 \rangle = \langle 0|N_z(0)\bar{N}_z(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_x(0)\bar{V}_x(0)|0\rangle_c + \langle 0|N_{-z}(0)\bar{N}_{-z}(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_y(0)\bar{V}_y(0)|0\rangle_c
\]

\[
- i\langle 0|N_z(0)\bar{N}_z(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_y(0)\bar{V}_y(0)|0\rangle_c + \langle 0|N_{-z}(0)\bar{N}_{-z}(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_x(0)\bar{V}_x(0)|0\rangle_c
\]

\[
+ i\langle 0|N_z(0)\bar{N}_{-z}(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_y(0)\bar{V}_x(0)|0\rangle_c + i\langle 0|N_{-z}(0)\bar{N}_z(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_y(0)\bar{V}_y(0)|0\rangle_c
\]

\[
+ \langle 0|N_{-z}(0)\bar{N}_{-z}(0)|0\rangle_c \langle 0|V_x(0)\bar{V}_y(0)|0\rangle_c.
\]

The two-hadron correlation function is a sum of products of precalculated single-hadron correlators. Products of nucleon and vector correlators, that are calculated on individual configurations \( c \), are averaged over configurations, as indicated by the angle brackets \( \langle \ldots \rangle \).

All \( \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \) correlation functions were constructed in a similar manner, where the number of interpolators \( n \) is given in Table III. We calculated the correlation functions for all rows of irreps and then averaged them over the rows to gain better statistical accuracy.

### VI. LATTICE SETUP

The simulation is performed on the \( N_f = 2 \) ensemble with parameters listed in Table IV, that was generated in context of the work [47,48]. Wilson Clover Action was used for light \( u/d \) quarks, while Fermilab approach [49] was employed for the charm quarks. The strange quark is not dynamical in this simulation; we expect that dynamical strange quark is not crucial for this channel, which does not involve strange valence quarks. Decay channels to hadrons with strange quarks also do not feature as important channels in the phenomenological studies of \( P_c \). Further details about the ensembles and treatment of the charm quark may be found in Refs. [47,48,50,51]. Periodic boundary conditions in space and antiperiodic boundary conditions in time are employed for fermions. The small spatial size (in comparison to other currently used lattices) \( L \approx 2 \text{ fm} \) of our lattice has a practical advantage since only levels up to relative momentum \( p^2 \leq 2(2\pi/L)^2 \) have to be extracted to cover the \( P_c \) resonance region. A larger spatial size would imply denser energies (1) and require extracting a larger number of energy states, which would be more challenging in view of degeneracies appearing in the spectra.

The quark fields are smeared according to the full distillation method [52], which allows the calculation of all the necessary correlation matrices. The smearing of the light quarks in the nucleon is based on \( N_s = 48 \) lowest Laplace eigenvectors \( \psi^k \) where \( k = 1, \ldots N_s \). The smearing of the charmed quarks in the charmonium is based on 96 eigenvectors. Perambulator \( \tau^k(t',t) \) represents the quark propagator from the Laplace eigenvector \( k \) at time \( t \) to the Laplace eigenvector \( k' \) at time \( t' \). All light-quark and charmed-quark perambulators are precomputed and saved for all distillation indices \( k, k' = 1, \ldots N_s \) and \( t, t' = 1, \ldots N_T \). From those, we compute charmonium two-point functions and nucleon two-point functions (13) needed for this study. The charmonium two-point function is given as a product to two perambulators in Eq. (11) of Ref. [52], while the nucleon two-point function is a product of three perambulators in Eq. (19) of Ref. [52]. The numerical cost of computing these correlators from given perambulators comes from summing over the distillation indices \( (\ldots) \). The cost is considerable for the nucleon two-point functions, which have to be evaluated for all combinations of momenta and polarizations at source and sink (13).

In the Fermilab approach to the discretization of charm quarks [49], one can attribute physical significance only to the quantities where the rest energy of the charm quarks cancels. This cancels, for example, in the difference between the energy of the \( c\bar{c}uud \) system and the charmonium \( \bar{c}c \). We choose the mass of the spin-averaged 1S charmonium

\[
m_{\bar{c}c,sa} = \frac{1}{4}(m_{cc} + 3m_{J/\psi})
\]

as the reference energy since this mass is least prone to the discretization errors. We will compare the lattice energies \( E_{\text{lat}} - m_{\bar{c}c,sa}^{\text{lat}} \) to the experimental masses \( m_{\bar{c}c,sa}^{\text{exp}} \).
TABLE V. Energies $E_H(p)$ and their errors $\sigma_E$ for single hadrons with $p^2 = 0, 1, 2$ in units of $(2\pi/L)^2$.

| Particle | $p^2$ | $E_H(p)\alpha$ | $\sigma_E\alpha$ | Fit range |
|----------|-------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
| $N$      | 0     | 0.701          | 0.019            | [6, 9]    |
|          | 1     | 0.769          | 0.028            | [7, 10]   |
|          | 2     | 0.849          | 0.054            | [7, 9]    |
| $J/\psi$| 0     | 1.539          | 0.001            | [10, 14]  |
|          | 1     | 1.576          | 0.001            | [10, 14]  |
|          | 2     | 1.613          | 0.001            | [9, 12]   |
| $\eta_c$| 0     | 1.472          | 0.001            | [9, 12]   |
|          | 1     | 1.515          | 0.001            | [6, 12]   |
|          | 2     | 1.551          | 0.001            | [9, 11]   |

VII. RESULTS

The resulting eigenenergies of the single hadrons ($N, J/\psi, \eta_c$) and two-hadron systems ($NJ/\psi, NJ/\eta_c$) are presented in this section. They are obtained from the correlated one-exponential fits (5), and the errors are determined using jackknife method.

A. Single-hadron energies

The single-hadron energies $E_H(p)$ on the employed lattice are needed to determine the noninteracting energies of two hadrons (1). The fitted energies for various momenta are collected in Table V. They arise from $2 \times 2$ correlation matrices where both meson operators [Eq. (7)] are used, while the first and the third operators [Eq. (6)] are used for the nucleon. Results for $E_H$ on each resampled jackknife will be used to determine $E^{\text{fit}}$ and energy shifts $\Delta E$ (1) in the next subsection.

B. Two-hadron energies

In the noninteracting limit, the two-hadron energies are equal to the sum of energies of the individual hadrons [Eq. (1)] given in Table V. Resonances in LQCD manifest themselves by the nonzero energy shifts with respect to the noninteracting ones [41,53–56]. Therefore, we calculate the energy spectrum for all irreducible representations $\Gamma^p$ of the lattice group $O_h$, which contain contributions from various $J^P$ as indicated in Table I. Channels with possible $P_c$ candidates are contained in the irreps $G^\pm_2$ for $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^\pm$ and in irreps $H^\pm_2$ for $J^P = \frac{5}{2}^\pm$ or $\frac{7}{2}^\pm$.

Eigenenergies are calculated from the correlation matrices as described in Sec. V. The size of the calculated correlation matrices for all irreps is displayed in Table III. These large correlation matrices render rather noisy eigenvalues; therefore, we restricted our analysis to a somewhat smaller subset in Table VI, where each operator type (listed in Appendix B) is represented by two meson operators (7) and the first nucleon operator from (6). All resulting eigenenergies are obtained from the correlated one-exponential fits of the eigenvalues in the time range $t = [7, 10]$.

The energies of the $ccuud$ system will be provided with respect to the spin-averaged charmonium mass $(m_{\eta_c} + 3m_{J/\psi})/4$, where the rest energies of the valence charm-quark pair cancel.

1. $NJ/\psi$ channel

The charmed $P_c$ resonances were observed as two peaks in the spectrum of proton-$J/\psi$ invariant masses. Therefore, this channel could be the promising one in which to look for the charmed pentaquarks.

The final eigenenergies of the $NJ/\psi$ system are presented in Fig. 4 and in Table XI for all six irreducible representations. An example of the effective energies in irrep $G^+_2$ is given in Fig. 3. The energies of the states that are not plotted are higher and have typically (much) larger error bars. Let us compare this spectrum

---

TABLE VI. Number of interpolators $N$ employed in the final analysis of the correlation matrix (3) for each irrep. The final analysis of $NJ/\psi$ scattering in $H^-$, for example, employs $N = 10 \times 2 = 20$ interpolators (ten operator types with two vector choices for each), so the correlation matrix of size $20 \times 20$ is analyzed.

| Irrep   | $G^+_2$ | $G^+_2$ | $G^-_2$ | $G^-_2$ | $H^-$ | $H^+$ |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| $N\eta_c$ | $3 \times 2$ | $2 \times 2$ | $1 \times 2$ | $1 \times 2$ | $3 \times 2$ | $3 \times 2$ |
| $NJ/\psi$ | $6 \times 2$ | $5 \times 2$ | $4 \times 2$ | $4 \times 2$ | $10 \times 2$ | $9 \times 2$ |

---

4Two-exponential fits starting from earlier time slices lead to compatible results. In a few cases, these are less stable and have larger errors.
FIG. 4. Energies of $NJ/\psi$ eigenstates in the one-channel approximation for all lattice irreducible representations. The quantum numbers $J^P$ that contribute to each irrep are listed on the top. Each box represents one eigenstate. The centre of the box represents its energy $E_n$, while height represents $2\sigma_{E_n}$. The ten lowest eigenenergies are, for example, shown in the irreducible representation $H^-$. The number of the observed near-degenerate states agrees with the expected number of states in the noninteracting limit. This number is given in Table II and indicated in the plot; it arises due to the nonzero spins of the nucleon and $J^P/\psi$. Dashed lines represent noninteracting energies $E_N(p) + E_{J^P/\psi}(-p)$ for different values of relative momentum $p$: black for $p^2 = 0$, red for $p^2 = 1$, and blue for $p^2 = 2$, where $p^2$ is given in units of $(2\pi/L)^2$. The dash-dotted (green and turquoise) lines correspond to experimental masses $P_c$ states. The observed spectrum shows no significant energy shifts or additional eigenstates. Energies are presented with a respect to spin-averaged charmonium mass $(m_\eta_c + 3m_{J^P/\psi})/4$ (16). Color coding of the eigenenergies is arbitrary.

FIG. 5. The energies of eigenstates in a scenario with Breit-Wigner–type $P_c(4450)$ or $P_c(4380)$ resonances, assuming that they are coupled only to the $NJ/\psi$ channel and decoupled from other two-hadron channels. This scenario renders additional eigenstates near $M_{P_c}$ with respect to the noninteracting case. The experimental masses $M_{P_c}$ are indicated by dashed-dotted lines. $P_c$ with the favored $J^P$ [2] are considered, where $\frac{5}{2}^+$ contributes to irreps $G_2^+$ and $H^+$, while $\frac{3}{2}^-$ contributes to $H^-$. The $P_c$ is assumed to reside in a single partial wave $(l', S)$, indicated above the plot. Dashed lines represent noninteracting energies $E_N(p) + E_{J^P/\psi}(-p)$ for different values of relative momenta $p$. Brown circles denote levels that are not shifted with respect to noninteracting energies, while orange circles denote levels that are shifted.
separately with the noninteracting limit and with a scenario featuring \( P_c \):

(i) First, we compare the resulting energies in Fig. 4 with the expectation from the noninteracting limit. The noninteracting energies of \( N(p)J/\psi (-p) \) [Eq. (1)] are given by the horizontal dashed lines; these energies are obtained as a sum of separate lattice energies of \( N \) and \( J/\psi \) given in Table V. The observed energies in Fig. 4 agree with the noninteracting energies within errors. The number of the expected degenerate eigenstates in the noninteracting limit is listed in Table II and in Fig. 4. Such multiplicities of levels arise due to the nonzero spin of the scattering particles \( N \) and \( J/\psi \). The energies in Fig. 4 indicate that we observe exactly the same pattern of degeneracy as in the noninteracting limit. The use of carefully constructed interpolators [42,43] was crucial for this. We find no extra eigenstates in addition to those expected in the noninteracting case. So, the observed lattice spectrum is rather close to the noninteracting case.

(ii) Next, we compare the energies with the analytic prediction based on the existence of \( P_c \) (4450) or \( P_c \) (4380) in Fig. 5. The aim is to explore the one-channel scenario where \( P_c \) is coupled to \( NJ/\psi \) and decoupled from other two-hadron decay channels. Such a scenario renders an additional eigenstates near \( M_{P_c} \pm \Gamma_{P_c} \) with respect to the noninteracting case (Fig. 5). The favored channels \( J^P = 5/2^+ \) for \( P_c \) (4450) and 3/2\(^-\) for \( P_c \) (4380) [2] are considered. We assume that \( P_c \) resides only in a single partial wave \( (\ell, S) \) and that there is no interaction in the other channels. The Breit-Wigner-type dependence of the phase shift is employed, with the resonance parameters \( M_{P_c} \) and \( \Gamma_{P_c} \) taken from experiment [2],

\[
\cot \delta_{(\ell,S)} = \frac{M_{P_c} - E^2}{2\Gamma_0(1; p^2(\frac{2\ell+1}{2})^2)} = \frac{2\Gamma_0(1; p^2(\frac{2\ell+1}{2})^2)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma p} = \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(M_{P_c})} \frac{2\ell+1}{E^2} M_{P_c}^2.
\]

The relation between the eigenenergies \( E \) and the phase shift \( \delta \) for the scattering of particles with arbitrary spin was derived in Ref. [55]. This reduces to the well-known Lüscher’s relation [41,53,54,56] for a resonance that appears only in the channel \( (J^P, \ell, S) \) (17). The analytic predictions for the energies (orange circles) are obtained by solving Eq. (17) for \( E \), assuming the continuum dispersion relation \( E(p) = (p^2 + m_N^2)^{1/2} + (p^2 + m_{J/\psi}^2)^{1/2} \) and employing \( m_{N,J/\psi} \) determined from the lattice. Other levels in a given irrep remain intact and have noninteracting energies (brown circles). The predictions in Fig. 5 show all possible irreps and choices of partial waves \( \ell \leq 2 \) where the \( P_c \) resonance with given \( J^P \) could reside.

The scenario with a \( P_c \) resonance in Fig. 5 features an additional eigenstate (with respect to the noninteracting case) in the energy range roughly \( M_{P_c} \pm \Gamma_{P_c} \), while some of the other levels near the resonance region get shifted. So, this scenario predicts one eigenstate more (with respect to Table II) in the explored energy region within the corresponding irreducible representation. We do not observe such an additional eigenstate, so such a scenario featuring \( P_c \) is not supported by our lattice data.

In summary, our lattice spectra in Fig. 4 are roughly in agreement with the predictions for almost noninteracting \( N \) and \( J/\psi \). These spectra do not support the scenario where a \( P_c \) resonance couples only to the \( N/J/\psi \) decay channel and is decoupled from other channels. These results indicate that the existence of \( P_c \) resonance within a one-channel \( NJ/\psi \) scattering is not favored in QCD. This might suggest that the strong coupling between the \( NJ/\psi \) with other channels might be responsible for the existence of the \( P_c \) resonances in experiment. Future lattice simulations of the coupled-channel scattering will be needed to confirm or refute this hypothesis.

### 2. \( N\eta_c \) channel

The final eigenenergies of \( N\eta_c \) system are presented in Fig. 7 and Table X for all six irreducible representations. An example of effective energies in irrep \( H^- \) is given in Fig. 6:

(i) First, we compare the resulting energies in Fig. 7 with the expectation from the noninteracting limit. The noninteracting energies of \( N(p)\eta_c (-p) \) [Eq. (1)] are indicated by the horizontal dashed lines. We find that the observed energies agree with the noninteracting \( N\eta_c \) within sizable errors of our calculation. The number of expected degenerate eigenstates in the noninteracting limit is listed in Table II and in Fig. 7. The degree of degeneracy is smaller than in the \( NJ/\psi \) case since \( \eta_c \) does not carry spin. We observe exactly the same pattern of degeneracy, while no additional eigenstates are found.

(ii) The scenario featuring \( P_c \), coupled dominantly to \( N\eta_c \) and largely decoupled from other channels,\(^7\) would predict an additional eigenstate in the energy

---

\(^5\) The same conclusions apply for other possible \( J^P \) listed in the Introduction.

\(^6\) The resonance mass in (17) is taken to be \( M_{P_c}^{\text{exp}} = m_{P_c}^{\text{exp}} + m_{l,a}^{\text{lat}} \), with \( m_{l,a} = (3m_{J/\psi} + m_{\eta_c})/4 \), in accordance with Fig. 4.

\(^7\) In reality, \( P_c \) cannot be coupled only to \( N\eta_c \), as it was experimentally observed in \( NJ/\psi \) decay.
range roughly \( M_\eta_c \pm \Delta M_\eta_c \). This is based on an analogous argument, presented in more detail for the \( NJ/\psi \) system. Such an additional eigenstate is not observed in Fig. 7, so this scenario is not favored by our lattice results.

In summary, Fig. 7 shows that no additional eigenstate nor significant energy shift is observed with respect to noninteracting \( N \) and \( \eta_c \). We conclude that there is no strong indication for a \( P_c \) resonance in one-channel approximation for \( N\eta_c \) scattering.

C. Comparison with previous lattice simulations

Finally, we compare our conclusions with previous lattice simulations of \( NJ/\psi \) and \( N\eta_c \) systems.

The \( s \)-wave interaction between a nucleon and \( J/\psi(\eta_c) \) was studied using the Lüscher formalism in dynamical [31] and quenched [32] QCD. All calculated scattering lengths \( a_0 \) are consistent with zero within 1 or 2 sigma, implying very small attractive interaction. As \( a_0 \propto \Delta E \), these results agree with our result \( \Delta E \approx 0 \) within errors.

The NPLQCD Collaboration observed the energy shift \( \Delta E \approx -20 \text{ MeV} \) of the \( N(0)\eta_c(0) \) ground state for very heavy \( m_\pi \approx 800 \text{ MeV} \) in the \( J = \frac{1}{2}^- \) (\( G^- \)) channel, which was almost independent of the volume \( L \approx 3.4-6.7 \text{ fm} \) [33]. We can neither confirm nor refute such an energy shift, given the errors of our present calculation and different \( m_\pi \). Further accurate studies are needed to explore the possible existence of such a bound state at physical quark masses. If this state is theoretically confirmed, looking for its experimental signatures will be of prime interest.

Two studies considered potential between \( N \) and \( M \) (\( M = J/\psi \) or \( \eta_c \)) in the \( s \) wave as a function of distance within the HALQCD method in a dynamical [34] and a quenched [35,36] simulation. The light-quark mass was larger than physical with the nucleon mass \( m_N \approx 1.8 \text{ GeV} \) in Ref. [34] and \( m_\eta_c = 640-870 \text{ MeV} \) in Ref. [35]. They found weakly attractive interaction near the threshold in the three channels explored: \( J^P = \frac{1}{2}^- , \frac{3}{2}^- \) for \( NJ/\psi \) and \( \frac{1}{2}^- \) for \( N\eta_c \). The resulting interaction was not strong enough to form bound states nor resonances, but the most interesting experimental region 4.3–4.5 GeV was not explored. The absence of very pronounced interactions in this system agrees with our conclusions.

Finally, the study [37] of the hadroquarkonium picture considered the static \( \bar{c}c \) (\( m_c \rightarrow \infty \)) as a function of distance between \( \bar{c} \) and \( c \) in the presence of the nucleon. The \( N_f = 2+1 \) CLS ensemble at \( m_\pi \approx 223 \text{ MeV} \) was employed. The shift of the potential due to the presence of the nucleon was extracted by an impressive precision. This shift was found to be down only by a few mega-electron-volts. Such a shift is compatible with our results, given the uncertainties on our eigenenergies.

Lattice results [31,32,35,36] were used for the determination of parameters in effective field theory [38]. No signs of the quarkonium-nucleon bound state
were found within the range of applicability for the described EFT.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We perform a \( N_f = 2 \) lattice QCD simulation of \( NJ/\psi \) and \( N\eta_c \) scattering in the one-channel approximation, where \( N \) denotes a proton or a neutron. This is the first study that reaches the energies, where the charmed pentaquarks \( P_c \) resonances were observed in \( NJ/\psi \) decay by the LHCb experiment. The resulting energies of eigenstates in Figs. 4 and 7 are compared to the analytic predictions of i) a scenario with a noninteracting nucleon-charmonium system and ii) a scenario featuring a \( P_c \) resonance coupled to a single channel. The noninteracting spectrum \( E = E_N(p) + E_{\bar{c}c}(-p) \) with \( p = n2\pi/L \) is discrete due to periodic boundary conditions on the lattice of finite size \( L \). We find that the extracted lattice spectra is consistent with the prediction of an almost noninteracting nucleon-charmonium system within errors of our calculation. The scenario based on a Breit-Wigner-type \( P_c \) resonance, coupled solely to \( NJ/\psi \) or to \( N\eta_c \), is not supported by our lattice data. The results indicate that the existence of \( P_c \) resonance within a one-channel scattering is not favored in QCD. This might suggest that the strong coupling between the \( NJ/\psi \) with other two-hadron channels might be responsible for the existence of the \( P_c \) resonances in experiment. Future lattice simulations of coupled-channel scattering are needed to investigate this hypothesis.

One of the challenges in extracting the eigenstates of the \( NJ/\psi \) system in the current simulation is related to the high number of almost-degenerate eigenstates. This degeneracy arises due to the nonzero spins of \( N \) and \( J/\psi \), since a number of different partial waves \((\ell, S)\) can couple to a certain channel \( J^P \). Furthermore, several \( J^P \) contribute to a certain lattice irreducible representation due to the reduced symmetry on the lattice. As a result, the noninteracting scenario predicts up to six degenerate linearly independent \( N(p)J/\psi(-p) \) eigenstates with the relative momentum \( p^2 = 2(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 \) for a given row and a given lattice irreducible representation. We establish all such eigenstates with \( p^2 \leq 2(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 \), together with the pattern of degeneracies expected from the noninteracting case. The use of carefully constructed interpolators \([42,43]\) was vital for this.

Our work is only the first step towards exploring the dynamics of the charmed pentaquark channels by means of the lattice QCD simulations. Although \( P_c \approx uud\bar{c}c \) resonances were experimentally observed so far only in the proton-\( J/\psi \) decay, they are allowed to strongly decay to a nucleon and a charmonium as well as to a charmed meson and a charmed baryon. The coupled-channel lattice study of the relevant channels is a challenging task left for the future simulations. These will contain also the Wick contractions connecting a meson and a baryon, which are absent for the case of a nucleon-charmonium system considered here. The number of eigenstates in a given irreducible representation will become even denser than in the present study. The extraction of the small nonzero energy shifts will require a particular effort in reducing the statistical error, especially for the underlying baryonic component at zero and nonzero relative momenta. The study of the systems with the nonzero total momentum will render additional information on the scattering matrices but poses additional challenges since spin \( J \) and parity \( P \) are no longer good quantum numbers, even in the continuum.
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Note added.—Recently, LHCb reported a discovery of a new pentaquark state \( P_c^+ (4312) \) that is also observed in the \( pJ/\psi \) invariant mass \([57]\). All the discovered narrow \( P_c \) lie near the \( \Sigma^+ \bar{D}^{0(\ast)} \) threshold, indicating that coupling of \( pJ/\psi \) to this channel might be important for giving rise to \( P_c \) in experiment. This might provide a possible explanation as to why \( P_c \) resonances are not observed in our lattice study of the \( pJ/\psi \) channel in the approximation where it is decoupled from all other channels. Recent LHCb results are in line with our conclusion that the coupling of \( pJ/\psi \) with other channels might be crucial for the existence of \( P_c \) resonances.

APPENDIX A: POSSIBLE STRONG DECAY CHANNELS FOR \( P_c^+ \)

Possible two-hadron strong decay channels for \( P_c \) are listed in Table VII, together with threshold locations in experiment. Spin and parities of mesons and baryons are
In Table VII, all possible channels for angular momentum \( l \) to obtain \( J^P \) are listed, as are the corresponding value of angular momentum \( l' \) to obtain \( P_x \) in a given channel with quantum number \( J^P \). In Table VII, all possible channels for angular momentum \( l' \leq 2 \) are listed.

### APPENDIX B: List of quantum numbers for linearly independent operators

The lists of employed linearly independent \( NJ/\psi \) and \( N\eta_c \) operators \( O^{J,\ell,S}_1 \) are given for all irreps \( \Gamma \). Here, in Tables VIII and IX, \( (J, \ell, S) \) indicate continuum quantum numbers.

#### TABLE VIII. Combinations of quantum numbers for linearly independent operators after subduction to chosen irrep for \( N\eta_c \) scattering.

| Irrep | \( p^2 \) | \( J \) | \( \ell \) | \( S \) |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| \( G_1 \) | 0        | 1/2   | 0     | 1/2   |
|        | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 0     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 0     | 1/2   |
| \( G_1^- \) | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
| \( G_2 \) | 2        | \( 5/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
| \( G_2^- \) | 2        | \( 5/2 \) | 3     | 1/2   |
| \( H^+ \) | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
| \( H^- \) | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 3/2 \) | 3     | 1/2   |

#### TABLE IX. Combinations of quantum numbers for linearly independent operator types after subduction to chosen irrep for \( NJ/\psi \) scattering.

| Irrep | \( p^2 \) | \( J \) | \( \ell \) | \( S \) |
|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| \( G_1 \) | 0        | \( 1/2 \) | 0     | 1/2   |
|        | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 0     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 0     | 1/2   |
| \( G_1^- \) | 1        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 1/2 \) | 1     | 1/2   |
| \( G_2 \) | 1        | \( 5/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 5/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 5/2 \) | 2     | 1/2   |
|        | 2        | \( 5/2 \) | 4     | 1/2   |

(Continued)
numbers of the operators before subduction to $\Gamma$. The number of linearly independent operators is equal to the number of eigenstates in the noninteracting limit, as discussed in the main text.

APPENDIX C: Resulting energies of eigenstates for $N_{\eta_c}$ and $NJ/\psi$

Resulting lattice eigenenergies in both $N_{\eta_c}$ and $NJ/\psi$ channels are presented in Tables X and XI.

TABLE X. Eigenenergies $E_n$ and their errors $\sigma_{E_n}$ for the $N_{\eta_c}$ system extracted from one-exponential correlated fits in $t = [7, 10]$.

| Irrep | state $n$ | $E_n, a$ | $\sigma_{E_n, a}$ |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| $G^+_1$ | 1 | 2.253 | 0.022 |
| | 2 | 2.351 | 0.020 |
| | 3 | 2.360 | 0.021 |
| | 4 | 2.511 | 0.028 |
| | 5 | 2.510 | 0.027 |
| | 6 | 2.518 | 0.029 |
| $G^+_2$ | 1 | 2.358 | 0.020 |
| | 2 | 2.354 | 0.021 |
| | 3 | 2.503 | 0.027 |
| | 4 | 2.511 | 0.027 |
| | 5 | 2.507 | 0.030 |
| $H^-$ | 1 | 2.357 | 0.021 |
| | 2 | 2.492 | 0.030 |
| | 3 | 2.514 | 0.028 |
| | 4 | 2.506 | 0.028 |
| $H^+$ | 1 | 2.357 | 0.020 |
| | 2 | 2.496 | 0.035 |
| | 3 | 2.523 | 0.026 |
| | 4 | 2.516 | 0.034 |
| $H^-$ | 1 | 2.259 | 0.023 |
| | 2 | 2.362 | 0.015 |
| | 3 | 2.371 | 0.016 |
| | 4 | 2.374 | 0.016 |
| | 5 | 2.517 | 0.025 |
| | 6 | 2.520 | 0.030 |
| | 7 | 2.519 | 0.023 |
| | 8 | 2.530 | 0.024 |
| | 9 | 2.527 | 0.023 |
| | 10 | 2.532 | 0.023 |
| $H^+$ | 1 | 2.369 | 0.015 |
| | 2 | 2.371 | 0.015 |
| | 3 | 2.375 | 0.016 |
| | 4 | 2.523 | 0.020 |
| | 5 | 2.524 | 0.020 |
| | 6 | 2.516 | 0.027 |
| | 7 | 2.527 | 0.021 |
| | 8 | 2.520 | 0.034 |
| | 9 | 2.529 | 0.022 |

TABLE XI. Eigenenergies $E_n$ and their errors $\sigma_{E_n}$ for the $NJ/\psi$ system extracted from one-exponential correlated fits in $t = [7, 10]$.

| Irrep | state $n$ | $E_n, a$ | $\sigma_{E_n, a}$ |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------------|
| $H^-$ | 1 | 2.294 | 0.020 |
| | 2 | 2.438 | 0.015 |
| | 3 | 2.456 | 0.030 |
| $H^+$ | 1 | 2.294 | 0.020 |
| | 2 | 2.450 | 0.029 |
| | 3 | 2.447 | 0.024 |
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