Human Genetic Variants Associated with COVID-19 Severity are Enriched in Immune and Epithelium Regulatory Networks
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Abstract
Human genetic variants can influence the severity of symptoms infected with SARS-COV-2. Several genome-wide association studies have identified human genomic risk single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) severity. However, the causal tissues or cell types underlying COVID-19 severity are uncertain. In addition, candidate genes associated with these risk SNPs were investigated based on genomic proximity instead of their functional cellular contexts. Here, we compiled regulatory networks of 77 human contexts and revealed those risk SNPs’ enriched cellular contexts and associated risk SNPs with transcription factors, regulatory elements, and target genes. Twenty-one human contexts were identified and grouped into two categories: immune cells and epithelium cells. We further aggregated the regulatory networks of immune cells and epithelium cells. These two aggregated regulatory networks were investigated to reveal their association with risk SNPs’ regulation. Two genomic clusters, the chemokine receptors cluster and the oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) cluster, showed the strongest association with COVID-19 severity, and they had different regulatory programs in immune and epithelium contexts. Our findings were supported by analysis of both SNP array and whole genome sequencing-based genome wide association study (GWAS) summary statistics.
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Introduction
Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an infectious disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Most people infected with the virus will experience mild-to-moderate respiratory illness and recover without special treatment (World Health Organization 2022). However, some people will become seriously ill and require medical attention. Scientists from all over the world have made great efforts to understand the genetic mechanism of COVID-19 severity, which may lead to efficient prevention stratagem and effective cures. Genome-wide association analysis (GWAS) has been a routine option to find genetic variants...
associated with phenotypes. Recently, the application of GWAS to COVID-19 phenotypes, such as infection and severe respiratory symptoms, has helped us identify some risk loci associated with COVID-19. For example, the “The Severe COVID-19 GWAS Group” conducted a GWAS involving 1980 patients with severe COVID-19 symptoms and detected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) rs11385942 at locus 3p21.31 and rs657152 at locus 9q34.2 (Severe Covid 2020). The GenOMICC (Genetics of Mortality in Critical Care) group used GWAS to study 2244 critically ill COVID-19 patients from 208 UK intensive care units and found rs10735079 on 12q24.13 in a gene cluster encoding antiviral restriction enzyme activators (2′-5′-Oligoadenylate Synthetase 1/2/3, OAS1/2/3), rs2109069 on 19p13.2 near the gene Tyrosine Kinase 2 (TYK2), rs2109069 on 19p13.3 within Dipeptidyl Peptidase 9 (DPP9), and rs2236757 on 21q22.1 in the interferon receptor gene Interferon Alpha And Beta Receptor Subunit 2 (IFNAR2) (Pairo-Castineira et al. 2021). “The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative” conducted several GWAS, including “very severe respiratory confirmed COVID” and “hospitalized COVID”, which also found many potential loci (The 2020). These identified human risk loci allowed us to further understand the underlying mechanism of COVID-19 severity.

However, interpreting these COVID-19-associated human genetic variants remains challenging since most genetic variants are located in the non-coding regulatory regions with high linkage disequilibrium (Claussnitzer et al. 2015; Kumar et al. 2012; Smemo et al. 2014) and are functional in time- and space-specific contexts (Andersson et al. 2014). Those discoveries imply that we must elucidate these genetic variants’ functions in the proper cellular contexts. Several works have been done to uncover the regulatory mechanism of genetic variants. For example, FUMA (Watanabe et al. 2017) and GREAT (McLean et al. 2010) used the routine way to link SNPs to the nearby genes. SMR (Zhu et al. 2016) and Sherlock (He et al. 2013) utilized the expression information to find causal SNP affecting gene regulation. However, these methods did not consider the effect of SNPs on either the direct cis-regulation or the whole biological networks. Recently, we developed a method, Paired Expression and Chromatin Accessibility (PECA), to infer regulatory networks with paired expression and chromatin accessibility data from diverse cellular contexts (Duren et al. 2017) and then, extended it to PECA2 to reconstruct context-specific regulatory network for a single sample (Duren et al. 2020). The reconstructed regulatory networks have been successfully applied to reveal critical regulations for time-course data (Xin et al. 2020). The availability of the public available paired expression and chromatin accessibility data, such as the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) and the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics Mapping data (ROADMAP), ensured the diversity in cell types and the germ layer lineages. This allows us to use PECA2 to systematically construct a regulatory network atlas of diverse human contexts, which will serve as a valuable resource for genetic variants interpretation in multi-cellular contexts.

Here, we utilized these 77 regulatory networks to interpret COVID-19-severity-associated SNPs. We found the relevant tissues of COVID-19 severity can be categorized into two main cell types: immune cells and epithelium cells. Then, in these two cell type categories, we illustrated the detailed SNP-associated regulations: SNPs that were located in regulatory elements (REs) influenced the binding of upstream transcription factors (TFs) and the expression of downstream target genes (TGs). We found that two gene clusters (chemokine receptors and OAS cluster) showed different regulation patterns in two cell type categories. Our COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory networks will be promising to serve as a valuable perspective for studying COVID-19.

**Methods**

**Construction of Regulatory Network from Paired Expression and Chromatin Accessibility Data**

We utilized PECA2 to infer genome-wide and context-specific regulatory networks based on gene expression and chromatin accessibility data (Duren et al. 2020). Given paired RNA-seq and ATAC-seq data for a sample, PECA2 hypothesized that TF regulated the downstream TG by binding at REs. The regulatory strength of a TF on a TG was quantified by the trans-regulation score, which was calculated by integrating information from multiple REs that were bound by the TF to regulate the TG. A prior TF-TG correlation across external public data from ENCODE database was included in the trans-regulation score definition to distinguish the TFs sharing the same binding motif (i.e., TFs from the same family).

We collected paired expression and chromatin accessibility data of 76 human tissues or cell lines (Table S1) and applied PECA2 to these data to obtain regulatory networks of 76 human contexts. Furthermore, we recently constructed a high-quality regulatory network of cranial neural crest cells (Feng et al. 2021), and we included it in our analysis to form a regulatory network atlas of 77 human contexts.

**Fold Enrichment Score of SNPs in the Given Region Set**

Given a group of SNPs and a RE set, we defined the fold enrichment (FE) score as follows:

\[
FE = \frac{\text{number of SNPs in the given region}}{\text{size of the given region}}
\]

Given a group of SNPs and a RE set, we defined the fold enrichment (FE) score as follows:
Here, $P_r$ was the number of SNPs in REs. $L_r$ was the length of the REs. $P$ was the total number of SNPs. $L$ was the genome length. A higher FE score indicated stronger association between SNPs and RE sets.

We calculated the FE score of 542 SNPs of SNP array based GWAS for COVID-19 severity in RE sets of 77 human contexts and used a criterion of $FE \geq 3$ to find 21 contexts that were associated with COVID-19 severity. The FE score was then computed with the 1322 SNPs of whole genome sequencing data based GWAS. Under the same criterion, 18 contexts were found to be relevant to the severity of COVID-19.

**Extraction of SNP-Associated Regulatory Network in Given Contexts and Two Categories**

Given a context, we checked every RE in its regulatory network. If there was at least one SNP that was located in this RE, we extracted this RE and its upstream TFs and downstream TGs. Then, we added SNPs to the regulatory network and linked the SNPs in RE and this RE with edges. The SNPs, REs, TFs, and TGs formed a SNP-associated regulatory network in this context.

For ten tissues of immune cells, we first aggregated the SNPs, REs, TFs, and TGs. We assigned an edge to SNP-RE if this regulatory pair existed in at least one immune cell type’s SNP-associated regulatory network, assigned an edge to TF-RE if this regulatory pair existed in at least one immune cell type’s SNP-associated regulatory network, and assigned an edge to RE-TG if this regulatory pair existed in at least one immune cell type’s SNP-associated regulatory network. After the node union and edge assignment, we constructed the SNP-associated regulatory network of immune cells. The SNP-associated regulatory network of 11 epithelium cell types was constructed with the same procedure.

**Results**

**Human Genetic Variants of COVID-19 Severity are Enriched in Immune and Epithelium Cells**

We first collected paired expression and chromatin accessibility data from 76 human contexts and constructed a human regulatory network atlas. The samples covered all three germ layers, such as “Frontal cortex” (ectoderm), “Primary T cells” (mesoderm), and “Upper lobe of left lung” (endoderm). With each sample’s paired expression and chromatin accessibility data as input, we used the PECA2 model (Duren et al. 2020) to construct a regulatory network (“Methods”). The basic unit of the regulatory network is the TF-REs-TG triplet, and each triplet denotes that a TF binds on the REs and regulates a nearby TG. We also included the regulatory network of cranial neural crest cells (Feng et al. 2021), a migratory cell population in early human craniofacial development, into our regulatory network atlas of 77 human contexts. Then, we applied this regulatory network atlas to interpret genetic variants of COVID-19 severity.

We fetched the 542 SNPs with significant associations ($p \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with “very severe respiratory confirmed COVID” (“A2_ALL” GWAS study in “The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiatives”). To evaluate the relevance between these SNPs and 77 human contexts, the fold enrichment (FE) scores of these 542 SNPs in RE sets of 77 human contexts were calculated (“Methods”). We set the FE score threshold to be 3.0 and found that 21 contexts were relevant to COVID-19 severity, such as “Primary monocytes” and “Upper lobe of left lung” (Fig. 1a). Furthermore, these 21 contexts could be classified into two categories. The first category was immune cells, including ten cell types: “Primary monocytes”, “Primary B cells”, “Jurkat”, “GM12878”, “Primary natural killer cells”, “CD4 primary cells”, “Fetal thymus”, “Primary T cells”, “CD8 primary Cells”, and “Hematopoietic multipotent progenitor cell”. The second category was epithelium cells and consisted of 11 cell types: “Vagina”, “Omental fat pad”, “Gastric”, “Upper lobe of left lung”, “Lower leg skin”, “Esophagus squamous epithelium”, “Esophagus muscularis mucosa”, “Fetal spleen”, “Subcutaneous adipose tissue”, “Spleen”, and “T47D”.

We further extracted sub-network that was associated with SNPs of COVID-19 severity in every relevant context (“Methods”). For example, “Primary monocytes” was one of the immune cell types. And 12 SNPs were located in the eight REs in the regulatory network of “Primary monocytes” (Fig. 1b). These REs were predicted to regulate nine TGs, such as C–C Motif Chemokine Receptor 1 (CCR1) and FYVE And Coiled-Coil Domain AutoPhagy Adaptor 1 (FYCO1). CCR1 played a key role in T-cell-mediated respiratory inflammation (Schaller et al. 2008). These REs were bound by immunity-associated TFs, such as CCAAT Enhancer Binding Protein Beta/Delta (CEBPBD) (Chinery et al. 1997; Kinoshita et al. 1992; Pless et al. 2008; Roy et al. 2002) and Fli-1 Proto-Oncogene (FLI1) (Wang et al. 2020). On the other hand, “Upper lobe of left lung” was one of the epithelium cell types (Fig. 1c), and eight REs were associated with 14 SNPs of COVID-19 severity and regulated five TGs, such as CCR1 and OAS1. Polymorphisms of OAS1 have been reported to affect susceptibility to a variety of viral diseases (Burgner et al. 2006; Noguchi et al. 2013). TFs...
regulating these REs were linked to lung epithelium, such as CEBPD (Cassel and Nord 2003) and ETS Proto-Oncogene 2 (ETS2) (Kabbout et al. 2013).

In summary, we built a regulatory network atlas of 77 human contexts and leveraged their regulatory elements to reveal relevant contexts to human genetic variants of COVID-19 severity. Two categories of cell types were found: the first was epithelium cells which might be involved with susceptibility to viral diseases; the other category was immune cells and possibly linked to severity after being infected with disease. The relevance to two categories of cell types was reproduced by a more recent GWAS with a larger cohort from “The COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiatives” (Fig. S1).

**Construction of SNP-Associated Regulatory Networks of Immune and Epithelium Cells**

To further understand SNPs’ functions in two categories of cell types, we constructed COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory networks in immune cells and epithelium cells, respectively. Briefly, we aggregated the SNPs-associated networks of ten immune cell types into a COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network of immune cells. Similarly, we aggregated the SNPs-associated regulatory networks of 11 epithelium cell types into a COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network of epithelium cells (“Methods”).

In the COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network in immune cells, there were 17 TFs, 25 REs, and 15 TGs that were associated with 38 SNPs (Fig. 2a). This sub-regulatory network of “Primary monocytes”, which is an immune cell type. e COVID-19 SNP-associated regulatory network of “Upper lobe of left lung”, which is an epithelium cell type.
Fig. 2 COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory networks. a COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory network of immune cells. b COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory network of epithelium cells.
network was highly associated with immune functions. For example, Transcription Factor 3/7/12 (TCF3/7/12) were important upstream TFs in the regulatory network of immune cells and played vital roles during T-cell development (Chen et al. 2019). Interferon Regulatory Factor 1/3/4 (IRF1/3/4) were critical TFs in the cellular differentiation of hematopoietic cells and the regulation of gene expression in response to pathogen-derived danger signals (Tamura et al. 2008). C–C Motif Chemokine Receptor 5 (CCR5) was one of the downstream TGs in this regulatory network, and it encoded a protein on the surface of white blood cells that are involved in the immune system (Jiao et al. 2019). We found that two TGs (CCR5 and C-X-C Motif Chemokine Receptor 6 (CXCR6)) of the 15 immune TGs were also differentially expressed in blood samples of fatal COVID-19 patients (Wargodsky et al. 2022) \( (p \leq 0.014) \), which proved that our reconstructed regulatory networks of immune cells were significantly associated with severity of COVID-19.

In the COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory network in epithelium cells, there were 16 TFs, 24 REs, and 10 TGs that were associated with 42 SNPs (Fig. 2b). This SNP-associated regulatory network was involved with the functions of epithelium cells. For example, ETS2, a core TF in the regulatory network of epithelium cells, could promote epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in renal fibrosis (Kabbout et al. 2013). E74 Like ETS Transcription Factor 3 (ELF3) was also essential for mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (Sengez et al. 2019). Neurobeachin Like 2 (NBEAL2) was a TG in the epithelium regulatory network, and the Nbeal2-deficient mice exhibited impaired development of functional granulation tissue due to severely reduced differentiation of myofibroblasts in the model of excisional skin wound repair (Deppermann et al. 2013). The literature showed that our reconstructed SNP-associated regulatory networks were promising to reveal COVID-19 severity-associated regulations in immune and epithelium cells.

We further overlapped our SNP-associated regulatory network with the expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) dataset. Our SNP-associated regulatory network revealed the link between SNPs and genes by SNP’s location in REs. For example, SNP “12:113364332:A:G” was located in RE “chr12:113364318–113364636” and “chr12:113364318–113364636” regulated OAS1, which gave the association between SNP “12:113364332:A:G” and TG OSA1. In this way, we obtained 91 SNP-TG associations from immune and epithelium regulatory networks. Then, we collected significant eQTL variants-gene association of 49 tissues from GTEx v8. We found that our SNP-TG associations were highly reproducible by eQTL variants-gene pairs. First, there were 78 (85.7%) SNP-TG associations that could be validated as an eQTL variant-gene association in at least one tissue. On the other hand, when we ranked the 49 tissues by their overlapping number of eQTL with our SNP-TG associations, we found that the top-ranked tissues were also immune and epithelium cell types (Fig. 3). For example, “esophagus mucosa”, one of the epithelium cell types, ranked first among 49 tissues. Some other tissues were related to epithelium cells, such as “Cells Cultured fibroblasts”, “Skin Not Sun Exposed Suprapubic”, “Spleen”, “Skin Sun Exposed Lower leg”, and “Lung”. And there were also immune tissues among the top-ranked tissue, such as “Artery Tibial” and “Whole Blood”. To check if our SNP-TG associations were causally responsible for COVID-19 severity, we conducted the colocalization analysis with GWAS.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Overlapping of SNP-TGs inferred from our regulatory networks with eQTL of 49 tissues in GTEx. The tissues are ranked by the number of overlapped eQTL.
summary statistics of COVID-19 severity and eQTL from GTEx by SMR (Zhu et al. 2016). SMR gave 57 variant-gene pairs in 49 tissues, and we found that two of our 91 SNP-TG associations were validated by SMR colocalization analysis, which was a significantly overlapping \( (p = 1 \times 10^{-8}) \). These two SNP-TG associations were related to \( OAS2 \), revealing that “12:113376320:C:T” and “12:113375983:T:C” might causally regulate the expression of \( OAS3 \) and influence the severity of COVID-19 symptoms. These results showed that our COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network could be supported by the independent eQTL dataset.

In summary, we have constructed COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network of immune cells and epithelium cells. These two regulatory networks were tightly linked to immune and epithelium functions and could be validated by eQTL of immune and epithelium tissues in GTEx.

**Associating Regulatory Networks of Two Cell Type Categories Revealed Shared Regulatory Structure**

After reconstructing and validating the regulatory networks of immune and epithelium cells, we next compared these two cell types to find their conservation and divergence. We first compared the TFs, TGs, REs, and SNPs in the regulatory networks of immune and epithelium cells. For TFs, seven TFs were shared by two cell types, such as ETS Proto-Oncogene 1 (\( ETS1 \)) and \( IRF1 \). There were ten immune-specific TFs [such as \( TCF7 \) and \( E74 \) Like ETS Transcription Factor 1 (\( ELF1 \))] and nine epithelium-specific TFs [such as \( ETS1 \) and \( ELF3 \)]. For example, in the regulatory network of immune cells, \( ETS1, TCF3 \) and \( GATA3 \) were classified into this class. Contrary to the broad regulation of the first class, the second class of TFs was only responsible for a small part of the regulatory network. For example, in the immune regulatory network,
CEBPD, CEBPB, TCF7, Fos Proto-Oncogene (FOS), JunB, Proto-Oncogene (JUNB), Jun Proto-Oncogene (JUN), and ELF1 only regulated REs in the chromosome 3 regulatory cluster. And IRF3, IRF4, and MYC Proto-Oncogene (MYC) were only responsible for regulations in the chromosome 12 regulatory cluster. In the epithelium regulatory network, CEBPD, JUNB, IRF1, Inhibitor of DNA Binding 1 (ID1), RAR Related Orphan Receptor A (RORA), MYC, and KLF4 were only involved with the regulation of the chromosome 3 regulatory cluster. Inhibitor of DNA Binding 2 (ID2), IRF3, Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor (AHR), Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 2 (STAT2), and ELF3 were associated with the chromosome 12 regulatory cluster.

Through comparing regulatory networks of two cell types, we found these two cell types shared many TFs, TGs, REs, and SNPs. The regulatory structure (four regulatory clusters and two classes of upstream TFs) was conserved in two cell types. And there were also many cell type-specific TFs, TGs, REs, and SNPs.

**Chromosome 3 and 12 Clusters Showed Distinct Regulatory Programs in Immune and Epithelium Cells**

From the above analysis of regulatory structure, we noticed that two relatively denser regulatory clusters: chromosome 3 and chromosome 12 clusters, indicating that they might play an essential role in COVID-19 severity. Here, we focused on these two regulatory clusters.

For the regulatory cluster in chromosome 12, these regulatory programs were mainly involved with the OAS cluster (OAS1/2/3) in 10 immune and nine epithelium cell types. This OAS cluster was strongly associated with immune and epithelium functions. For example, OAS1 polymorphisms played potential roles in respiratory infection from human bronchial epithelial cells (Noguchi et al. 2013). The OAS2 protein was a well-known innate immune activated antiviral enzyme catalyzing the synthesis of 2′-5′-oligoadenylate for RNase L activation and inhibition of viral propagation (Mozzi et al. 2015). We found that the REs of this cluster were mainly distributed around the promoter of OAS3 and there were many COVID-19 severity-associated SNPs within these REs (Fig. 5a), which was consistent among immune and epithelium cell types. To add additional evidence of regulation in this area, we collected previously published promoter-capture Hi-C (PChi-C) data of primary blood cell types (Javierre et al. 2016). We used the PChi-C interactions that have interaction score ≥ 5 in at least one analyzed cell type and found a loop between the promoters of OAS3 and OAS2. This observation induced a hypothesis that the REs around the promoter of OAS3 were cis-regulatory elements of OAS2 in immune cells. To validate this hypothesis, we first checked the REs regulating the three OAS genes in ten immune cell types. We found that all 15 REs were predicted to regulate OAS2, 13 REs were predicted to regulate OAS1, and only seven REs were predicted to regulate OAS3, which supported that REs in this locus regulated OAS2. Then, we checked the expression of three OAS genes and found that OAS2’s expression was the highest in immune cell types (Fig. 5b). We also computed the averaged correlation between the openness score of REs and the expression of three OAS genes across 148 samples of human (Table S2). The result showed that the accessibility of these REs was more correlated to the expression of OAS2 (Fig. 5c). In summary, the evidence of the PChi-C loop, regulatory network, expression data, and RE-TG correlation indicated that in immune cells, the REs around OAS3’s promoter were more likely to regulate OAS2.

The regulatory program of cluster in chromosome 3 were mainly involved with chemokine receptors (CCR1/2/5/9, CXCR6, and XCR1) in eight immune cell types and eight epithelium cell types. In literature, there were many reports that these chemokine receptors were associated with the function of both immune and epithelium cells (Jiao et al. 2019; Schaller et al. 2008). We found five main regulatory areas associated with SNPs and regulated these chemokine receptors (we named them LOC1-LOC5, Fig. 6a). Some of these REs’ regulations were shared by two cell types. For example, LOC3 was predicted to regulate CCR1 in both immune and epithelium cells. And there was also a PChi-C loop between LOC3 and CCR1. The other LOCs’ regulatory programs were different. For example, LOC1 and LOC2 were immune-specific REs and regulated CCR9 and CXCR6. While REs in LOC4 were shared by two cell types, they were predicted to regulate CCR5 in immune cells but regulate CCR1 in epithelium cells. LOC4 and CCR5 were also contacted by a PChi-C loop in blood cells. LOC5 regulated CCR2/5 in immune cells but only regulated CCR2 in the epithelium. The expression of these chemokine receptors supported the regulations above: CCR1/2/5/9 and CXCR6 have relatively higher expression in immune cells but only CCR1 and CCR2 were expressed in epithelium cells (Fig. 6b). Then, we checked the RE-TG correlation and found LOC1 showed the highest correlation with CCR9, LOC2 was more correlated with CXCR6 and CCR9, LOC3 was most associated with CCR1, LOC4 showed higher correlation with CCR1 and CCR5, and LOC5 was correlated with CCR5 (Fig. 6c), which were consistent with the regulations revealed above.
Taken together, by detailed study of two main COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory clusters on chromosomes 3 and 12, we found some shared and distinct regulatory programs in immune and epithelium. These conserved and divergent regulations might give us biological insights into how virus infected human through epithelium cells and how human defended SARS-COV-2 with our immune system.

**Analyzing Whole Genome Sequencing-Based GWAS Summary Statistics Reproduced Relevant Immune and Epithelium Cells and Refined the Regulatory Networks**

Microarray genotyping-based GWAS have been the most common approach for identifying disease-associated...
genetic variants across the whole genome and can interrogate over four million SNP markers per sample (Uffelmann et al. 2021). Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) provides a comprehensive base-by-base method for interrogating the 3.2 billion bases of the human genome, which can give higher-quality GWAS summary statistics. WGS-based GWAS analysis holds the promise to reveal richer and more accurate genetic variants. We noticed that Kousathanas et al. used WGS of a larger cohort to conduct GWAS analysis and found 1322 SNPs with significant association ($p \leq 5 \times 10^{-8}$).

Fig. 6 Regulatory network of chemokine receptors cluster. a SNPs, REs, and PCHi-C loop associated with chemokine receptors. The RE tracks consisted of 11 immune cell types and nine epithelium cell types. PCHi-C loops were from blood samples. The labels around REs were indicators of TGs: C1-CCR1, C2-CCR2, C5-CCR5, C6-CXCR6, C9-CCR9, and X1-XCR1. b Expression of chemokine receptors in immune and epithelium cells. c Correlations between the accessibility of REs and expression of chemokine receptors.
with the severity of COVID-19 (Kousathanas et al. 2022). We then used this independent data to validate and refine our relevant contexts and regulatory networks.

We first performed the SNP fold enrichment analysis on these 1322 significant SNPs to find relevant contexts. 18 contexts obtained FE scores greater than 3.0 and were also grouped into two categories: immune cells such as “Primary monocytes”, “CD4 primary cells” and epithelium cells such as “Upper lobe of left lung”. These results faithfully reproduced our results in microarray genotyping-based GWAS data that the genetic variants of COVID-19 severity were enriched in immune and epithelium cells. Furthermore, this WGS-based GWAS also revealed that immune cells were more associated with the severity of COVID-19 than epithelium cells (Fig. 7a). Next, we sought to refine our regulatory networks of immune and epithelium cells with the overlapped SNPs of the WGS-based GWAS and microarray-based GWAS. We found that among the 38 SNPs in the previous reconstructed regulatory network of immune cells, 25 SNPs were reproducible in the WGS-based GWAS. These 25 SNPs formed a refined regulatory network of immune cells, including 12 TGs such as CCR1/2/5/9, 14 TFs such as FLI1, JUNB, CEBPD, and 20 REs (Fig. 7b). And for epithelium cells, we found that among the 42 SNPs in the above reconstructed regulatory network, 22 SNPs were also significant in the WGS-based GWAS. These 22 SNPs gave a refined regulatory network of epithelium cells, which include six TGs such as CCR1/2, FYCO1, 14 TFs such as ETS1/2, KLF4, and 16 REs (Fig. 7c).

In summary, we conducted regulatory network analysis with a recently published WGS-based GWAS and validated our findings that human genetic variants of COVID-19 severity were enriched in immune and epithelium cells. We also used the reproducible SNPs to obtain refined regulatory networks of immune and epithelium cells.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has influenced human life all over the world. Scientists made their efforts to gain more understanding of the pathology of COVID-19 and to find effective cures. GWAS is a powerful tool to discover suspicious loci for interesting traits. By profiling the phenotype and genotype of coronavirus infected people, the “COVID-19 host genetics initiative” has conducted GWAS analysis with increased power (Pairo-Castineira et al. 2021). Through these GWAS, many risk loci that may be associated with COVID-19 infection and severity were found. Following these discoveries, we used regulatory network atlas to interpret these risk loci and sought to gain biological insights into COVID-19.

First, we found that COVID-19 severity-associated SNPs were mainly enriched in two categories of human contexts: immune cells and epithelium cells. We used another GWAS of COVID-19 severity with a larger cohort (https://www.covid19hg.org/results/r6/) to show the association between immune cells and epithelium cells was quite reproducible (Fig. S1). We then reconstructed the COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory network in the two cell type categories. The COVID-19-severity-associated regulatory networks were validated by recently published RNA-seq data of COVID-19 patients with severe symptoms and eQTL from GTEx. And further analysis revealed the regulatory structure of COVID-19-associated regulatory networks: the four regulatory clusters and two classes of upstream TFs. The regulatory structure showed both conservation and divergence between two cell type categories. Then, we focused on two crucial regulatory clusters (OAS cluster and chemokine receptor cluster) and revealed the causal genes and differential regulations between two cell type categories. Finally, analysis based a recent WGS-based GWAS with a larger cohort validated the two COVID-19 severity’s relevant cell type categories and refined the associated regulatory networks.

Several factors hindered the post-GWAS analysis of COVID-19 severity. First, one more powerful GWAS with a larger cohort is in need for such a complex trait. In this paper, the GWAS only detected 542 SNPs that were significantly associated with COVID-19’s symptoms, which was not comparable to normal phenotypes, such as height or body mass index. Some efforts have been made to improve the power of GWAS. For example, the most recent GWAS conducted by “COVID-19 host genetics initiative” has included more than 18,000 very severe respiratory confirmed cases in the analysis (https://www.covid19hg.org/results/r7/). On the other hand, a more comprehensive regulatory network atlas is promising to understand the COVID-19 severity better. Although our current regulatory network atlas has covered many tissues, it is still far from complete and is limited in cell-type resolution. As the symptoms of COVID-19 are involved with many organs, a more comprehensive regulatory network atlas, even at the cell type level from single cell multi-omics data, will interpret more genetic variants associated with COVID-19.
Whole-genome sequencing-based GWAS reproduces and refines regulatory networks of two cell type categories. 

Analyzing WGS-based GWAS summary statistics revealed 18 COVID-19 severity relevant contexts, which are ranked by fold enrichment (FE) scores. Red: immune cells. Blue: epithelium cells. 

Refined COVID-19 severity-associated immune regulatory network. 

Refined COVID-19 severity-associated epithelium regulatory network.
Human Genetic Variants Associated with COVID-19 Severity are Enriched in Immune and Epithelium…

Conclusion

We constructed regulatory networks atlas of 77 human contexts and conducted the post-GWAS analysis to interpret genetic variants of COVID-19 severity. We found SNPs of COVID-19 severity were enriched in 21 human contexts, and these 21 human contexts can be grouped into two categories: immune cells and epithelium cells. We further constructed the COVID-19 severity-associated regulatory networks in immune cells and epithelium cells. The immune-epithelium crosstalk was investigated to show their association with severity-risk SNPs' regulation. Two genomic clusters, the chemokine receptors cluster, and the OAS cluster showed the strongest association with COVID-19 severity and different regulations in immune and epithelium contexts. Our findings were supported by analysis of both SNP array and whole genome sequencing-based GWAS.
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