The pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an unprecedented situation that we have never experienced during the last century. To cope with this situation in the absence of curative treatment or vaccine, we must turn to universal infection control resources; hand hygiene, environmental control, personal protective equipment (PPE) and quarantine. All of these resources are limited and should be used rationally. The global supply chains of PPE have collapsed, so that PPE is not available for the person, place and time requiring it the most, while it is overused than needed elsewhere. Public use of PPE should be prudential when long-term reign of COVID-19 pandemic with multiple waves is expected.

Here I purposed to break the myth of a high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) mask for everyone-mask-wearing policy based on previous reports and one simple experiment. How to prevent the spread of common cold and flu are well understood from plentiful resources. First, the respiratory viruses are transmitted more commonly via contact than droplet. Secondly, the control measure to reduce spread of respiratory viruses should therefore focus on contact precaution. The single most important protective measure is hand washing, rather than mask wearing. Lastly, the person who wear a face mask to prevent the droplet transmission is the one who have respiratory symptoms.

Because COVID-19 is an infection caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, the seventh coronavirus to infect human all above mentioned measures are applicable for COVID-19 too. Unlike other respiratory virus infections, COVID-19 has shown many cases with mild or no presenting symptoms, who are highly infectious during asymptomatic period. Consequently, new policy has been implemented in the COVID-19 pandemic era, that everyone in public area wears masks not to transfer their germ to others. Long-term implementation of such policy might be difficult without the threat of a looming pandemic. Although proper assessment on the feasibility of this policy has not been made, it appears to settle in as the ‘new normal’ these days. Therefore it is important what type of mask is appropriate for the policy.

The most important factor in choosing mask type will be how well it can contain user-generated droplets. Indeed, HEPA mask filters can collect particles more efficiently than surgical mask filters, only when the mask fits to the face sealing the boundary (Table 1). However, the compliance will be affected by how comfortable is mask-wearing.
for a long-term use. HEPA masks such as KF94 or N95 are more uncomfortable, such as demanding tedious cautions (Table 1). Surgical masks are used to protect the operation field from droplets generated from body fluids of users’ nasal and oral mucosa by talking or coughing (Table 1). Its effectiveness has long been proven in practice, although it is loose-fitting, allowing possible leakage of aerosol droplets through open boundaries. Homemade masks made of cotton also have significantly reduced droplet and particle transmission when coughing, but their efficiency is only one third of surgical masks. The surgical mask is a pleated rectangular three-layer mask, efficiently preventing wetting to the outer surface by user generated droplets (Fig. 1). HEPA filters are affected by the moisture in the exhaled air and it is not recommended to use single mask for longer than 4 hours. When the more tightly the HEPA mask fits to the face, droplets will be better contained inside it and will break the mask filter faster. If symptomatic patients wear the HEPA mask properly-fitted, they are possibly suffocated by own secretions, otherwise the mask will be unsealed and useless.

In conclusion, a surgical mask is the best type for a person wearing a mask to prevent the droplet transmission, with or without symptoms. A cotton mask rather than a HEPA mask can be the last resort for the person without respiratory symptoms.

| Table 1. Features of surgical mask, HEPA filter mask and cotton mask |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Features | Surgical mask | Cotton mask | HEPA filter mask |
| Approval by FDA | Therapeutic device 32100 | None | KF80 |
| | | | KF94/N95 |
| Intended use | To protect the patient from large particles expelled from the wearer-such as spit and mucus. | To avoid touching nose and mouth. | To help reduce the wearer’s exposure to fine dust particles. |
| | To use as a fluid barrier. | To help contain spit or mucus expelled by the wearer, similar to covering a cough or sneeze with a face tissue. | Filter efficiency 80%, small and large particles. |
| | | | Filter efficiency 95%, small particle aerosols to large droplets. |
| Fit | Fits loosely, leaving gaps between face and the mask. | Fits loosely, leaving gaps between face and the mask. | Designed to fit tightly, creating a seal between face and the mask. |
| Cautions | Disposable | Reuse after cleaning | 1. Conduct a user seal check every time. |
| | | | 2. Respirators can be worn until they are dirty/wet, damaged/deformed or difficult to breathe. |
| | | | 3. Disposable: possible contamination of filter with bioaerosols after use. |
| | | | 4. Relative contraindication: pregnancy, respiratory illness, children/elderly, closed space in low oxygen air < 18%. |
| | | | 5. Do not insert anything (tissue, gauze, etc.) between face and boundary of mask. |
| | | | 6. Hand hygiene before and after wearing. |
| | | | 7. No routine decontamination and reuse. |
| | | | 8. Cleanshaven in the respiratory seal area. |
| | | | 9. Medical evaluation required for occupational (long-term) users. |
| Basic structure | 3-layer construction | Usually rectangular mask with earloop | 4-layer construction |
| | Pleated rectangular mask | 3-fly flat fold or cup shaped | Nosepiece wire |
| | Nosepiece wire | | Headband or earloop with hook fastener |
| Tie or earloop | | | |
| Filter materials | Non-woven fibrous filter | Cotton | Non-woven fibrous filter |
| Fluid Resistance | Yes | No | No/Yesa |

HEPA = high efficiency particulate air, FDA = Ministry of Food and Drugs.
*N95 cleared for use as surgical mask by FDA in US; aThe highest level of fluid resistance is required for surgical N95 respirator.
This table was adapted from Korea Ministry of Food and Drugs information (https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr) and 3M PPE information and guidelines (https://www.3m.com/SM/en_US/medical-us/coronavirus/ppe-information-and-guidance/#protection).
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