Conversion of short-term potentiation to long-term potentiation in mouse CA1 by coactivation of β-adrenergic and muscarinic receptors
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Encoding new information requires dynamic changes in synaptic strength. The brain can boost synaptic plasticity through the secretion of neuromodulatory substances, including acetylcholine and noradrenaline. Considerable effort has focused on elucidating how neuromodulatory substances alter synaptic properties. However, determination of the potential synergistic interactions between different neuromodulatory systems remains incomplete. Previous results indicate that coactivation of β-adrenergic and cholinergic receptors facilitated the conversion of STP to LTP through an extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK)-dependent mechanism. ERK signaling has been linked to synthetically localized translation regulation. Thus, we hypothesized that costimulation of noradrenergic and cholinergic receptors could initiate the transformation of STP to LTP through up-regulation of protein synthesis. Our results indicate that a protocol which yields STP (5 Hz, 5 sec) when paired with coactivation of the β-adrenergic agonist, isoproterenol (ISO), and the cholinergic agonist, carbachol (CCh), induces translation-dependent LTP in mouse CA1. This form of LTP requires both β-adrenergic and M1 muscarinic receptor activation, as blocking either receptor subtype prevented LTP induction. Blocking ERK, mTOR, or translation reduced the expression of LTP induced with ISO + CCh. Taken together, our data demonstrate that coactivation of β-adrenergic and muscarinic receptors facilitates the conversion of STP to LTP through a mechanism requiring translation initiation.

Although the individual contributions of β- and muscarinic receptors to synaptic function have been investigated, the effects of simultaneous activation of these receptors have yet to be fully elucidated. Previous research has demonstrated that coactivation of α-adrenergic and muscarinic receptors facilitates the induction of long-term depression (LTD) (Scheiderer et al. 2008). LTD induced by α-adrenergic and M1 receptors is facilitated through a synergistic elevation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation. A similar effect on ERK stimulation was observed when the β-adrenergic receptor agonist, isoproterenol (ISO), was paired with carbachol (CCh), a broad-spectrum muscarinic agonist (Watabe et al. 2000). However, pairing ISO with CCh lowered the threshold for LTP induction in contrast to the facilitative effects of LTD observed when α-adrenergic and muscarinic receptors were costimulated. Given that ERK activation initiates translation (Banko et al. 2006; Gelinza et al. 2007), the results of the Watabe et al. (2000) study suggest that β- and muscarinic receptor agonists could facilitate the induction of LTP through a protein synthesis-dependent mechanism. We sought to further characterize the downstream signaling cascades mediating the conversion of short-term potentiation (STP) to LTP observed following costimulation of β- and muscarinic receptors in mouse CA1.

In line with previous data (Watabe et al. 2000), coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors lowered the threshold for the induction of LTP by brief low-frequency stimulation (LFS; 5 Hz, 5 sec). We identified the β1- and M1 receptor subtypes as the primary receptors responsible for enhancing LTP, as application of pirenzepine (M1 muscarinic receptor antagonist; 250 nM) or (+/-)-2-Hydroxy-5-[2-[[2-hydroxy-3-[4-[1-methyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)]-1H-imidazol-2-yl]phenoxyl]propyl] amino[jethoxy]-benzamide methanesulfonate salt (CGP) (β1-AR antagonist; 1 μM)
prevented the induction of LTP. We also observed that inhibition of ERK and mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) similarly prevented the maintenance of LTP induced by ISO (200 nM) paired with CCh (200 nM). To probe the role of translation, we applied the protein synthesis inhibitors emetine (20 μM) and cycloheximide (80 μM) overlapping with receptor stimulation. These inhibitors blocked the maintenance of LTP, indicating a requirement for translation. These data suggest that coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors recruits translation to enhance synaptic strength. As cholinergic and noradrenergic systems are associated with memory formation and neurodegenerative diseases (Caccamo et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2011), these data suggest that determining how different neuromodulatory systems interact to regulate synaptic plasticity should increase our understanding of how these processes may be altered in neurodegenerative disorders.

Results

As both cholinergic and noradrenergic receptors are capable of augmenting synaptic strength (Gelinas and Nguyen 2005; Dringenberg et al. 2008) and prior evidence suggested that interactions between these neuromodulatory receptors may influence synaptic plasticity (Watabe et al. 2000; Scheiderer et al. 2008), we sought to identify mechanisms mediating the potential synergistic effects of β- and muscarinic receptor activation. To determine if LFS alone is sufficient for inducing LTP, we applied 5-Hz, 5-sec stimulation in the absence of receptor agonists. LFS alone induced a transient potentiation of extracellular field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) which returned to baseline in <45 min (mean fEPSPs were 102 ± 3% of baseline 60 min after stimulation; n = 5) (Fig. 1A). Similarly, application of either ISO or CCh paired with LFS failed to induce LTP. Application of CCh paired with LFS induced transient potentiation which returned to baseline: mean fEPSPs were 100 ± 4% of baseline 60 min after stimulation (n = 10) (represented in histogram, Fig. 1D). Pairing ISO with LFS also resulted in decremental LTP; mean fEPSPs were reduced to 98 ± 4% of baseline 60 min post-LFS (n = 12) (Fig. 1D). To test if coapplication of ISO and CCh is sufficient for inducing synaptic plasticity, we coapplied both drugs while monitoring baseline fEPSPs. A 15-min application of ISO and CCh was insufficient for augmenting synaptic responses. When measured 60 min after termination of drug application, mean fEPSPs were 98 ± 6% (n = 10) of baseline (Fig. 1D). Coapplication of ISO and CCh followed 10 min later with 5-Hz, 5-sec stimulation resulted in enhanced LTP (mean fEPSPs were potentiated to 137 ± 9% of baseline 60 min post-LFS; n = 10) (Fig. 1B,D). Next, to control for upward baseline drift in response to application of ISO + CCh, the stimulation intensity was reduced 3 min prior to tetanization with 5 Hz. The stimulation intensity was maintained at this new set point for the remainder of the experiments. LTP was still observed with this protocol (fEPSPs were 123 ± 7%, n = 5) (Fig. 1C). An ANOVA comparing all groups revealed a significant effect of treatment (F(5,49) = 7.97; P < 0.05). A Tukey-Kramer post-hoc test revealed that persistent LTP was observed when ISO and CCh were coapplied and paired with low-frequency stimulation (5 Hz, 5 sec). Comparisons of fEPSP magnitudes 60 min post-stimulation showed that ISO + CCh + 5 Hz induced LTP which was significantly enhanced relative to all other groups (P < 0.05) (Fig. 1D), except the ISO + CCh + 5 Hz with reduced stimulation intensity prior to 5 Hz stimulation, which was not significantly different (P > 0.05).

β1- but not β2-ARs are required for LTP induced by ISO + CCh

To determine if β-adrenergic receptors are required for the induction of LTP by ISO and CCh, we applied a general β-adrenergic

Figure 1. (Legend on next page)
receptor antagonist, propranolol (PROP; 50 μM) overlapping with ISO + CCh stimulation. Application of PROP blocked the expression of long-lasting LTP; mean fEPSPs were 98 ± 4% of baseline (n = 9) 60 min after tetanization (represented in histogram, Fig. 1E). To further characterize the β-AR subtype responsible for the ISO-induced effects on LTP, we used the β1-specific antagonist CGP (1 μM). Application of CGP during ISO + CCh application blocked the induction of LTP (mean fEPSPs were 99 ± 4% of baseline 60 min post-stimulation, n = 9) (Fig. 1E). In contrast, inhibition of β2-ARs with the specific β-2 antagonist, (±)-1-[2,3-(Dihydro-7-methyl-1H-inden-4-yl)oxy]-3-[(1-methylethyl)amino]-2-butanol hydrochloride (ICI; 1 μM), failed to inhibit LTP (Fig. 1E). Sixty minutes after stimulation, mean fEPSPs were still potentiated to 128 ± 5% (n = 8) (Fig. 1E) of baseline. An ANOVA comparing groups treated with antagonists demonstrated a significant effect of drug treatment on LTP (F(3,30) = 15.44; P < 0.01) (Fig. 1E). Subsequent Tukey-Kramer post-hoc analysis revealed that both propranolol and CGP significantly reduced the persistence of LTP relative to slices treated with ISO, CCh, and 5-Hz stimulation in the absence of antagonists (Fig. 1E). Conversely, inhibition of β2 yielded LTP which was not significantly different from antagonist-free controls (P > 0.05).

Inhibition of the M1 muscarinic receptor subtype blocks ISO + CCh LTP

As an initial step in the characterization of the muscarinic component of ISO + CCh LTP, we applied the broad-spectrum muscarinic receptor antagonist atropine (50 μM). Application of atropine overlapping with stimulation of β- and muscarinic receptors prevented the induction of LTP. In the presence of atropine, fEPSPs returned to baseline (97 ± 5%) within 60 min of LFS (n = 8) (Fig. 2A). Next, we applied the selective M1 muscarinic receptor antagonist pirenzepine dihydrochloride (PIR; 250 nM). Similar to slices treated with atropine, LTP was not observed in slices exposed to PIR; mean fEPSPs were 103 ± 4% of baseline 60 min after tetanization; n = 12) (Fig. 2B). To determine if M3 receptors are required for ISO + CCh-LTP, we used the M3 antagonist, 4-diphenylacetoxymethylpiperidine methiodide (4-DAMP; 1 μM). Blocking M3 receptors did not prevent the expression of LTP. Mean fEPSPs were potentiated to 131 ± 5% (n = 8) (summarized in histogram, Fig. 2E) of baseline when assessed 60 min after 5-Hz stimulation. Next, we tested if M2/M4 receptors are engaged during ISO- and CCh-induced LTP. Induction of LTP in the presence of the M2/
M4 antagonist, methotrime (250 nM), yielded mean fEPSPs that were potentiated to 135 ± 6% of baseline 60 min after stimulation (n = 6) (Fig. 2E). An ANOVA was conducted to compare all slices exposed to inhibitors and control slices treated with ISO + CCh + 5 Hz. A significant effect of treatment was observed (F_{(4,37)} = 11.27; P < 0.01) (Fig. 2E). Tukey-Kramer post-hoc tests revealed that blocking muscarinic receptors nonselectively with atropine prevented the expression of LTP (P < 0.01). Similarly, inhibition of M1, but not M2, M4, or M3, prevented the expression of LTP (P < 0.01). Slices treated with 4-DAMP (M3) or methotrime (M2/M4) were indistinguishable from ISO + CCh + 5 Hz controls (P > 0.05) (Fig. 2E).

Inhibition of PKA prevents the expression of LTP following coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors

Both muscarinic and β-adrenergic receptors can modulate synaptic function through downstream activation of kinases, including PKA (Winder et al. 1999; Fernández de Sevilla and Buño 2010). Thus, we sought to determine if PKA is required for LTP induced by ISO and CCh. Application of a membrane permeant inhibitor of PKA, PKA Inhibitor 14–22 Amide (PKI; 1 μM), overlapping with ISO + CCh prevented the expression of LTP (mean fEPSPs were 110 ± 5% of baseline 60 min after stimulation; n = 11) (Fig. 2C). A subsequent comparison between slices treated with PKI and control slices in which PKA activation was intact (134 ± 7%; n = 8) (Fig. 2D) showed a significant difference between groups (P < 0.01) (Fig. 2E).

ERK and mTOR are required for LTP induced by ISO + CCh

Both β-adrenergic (Gelinas and Nguyen 2005) and muscarinic receptors (Feig and Lipton 1993) can promote translation-dependent LTP. Stimulation of β-adrenergic receptors increases translation through signaling cascades coupled to mTOR and ERK (Gelinas et al. 2007). To test the hypothesis that coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors can engage downstream mTOR activity to facilitate LTP, we used the mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin (RAP; 1 μM). Slices treated with RAP during simultaneous activation of β- and muscarinic receptors demonstrated decremented LTP (mean fEPSPs had returned to 97 ± 6% of baseline 90 min after 5-Hz stimulation; n = 6) (Fig. 3A). It has been shown that pairing ISO with CCh induces LTP mediated through ERK (Watabe et al. 2000). To determine if ERK activation was required, we applied the MEK inhibitor, 1,4-diamino-2,3-dicyano-1,4-bis[2-aminophenylthio]butadiene (U0126; 20 μM), during the coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors. Consistent with previous findings, inhibition of MEK prevented the expression of LTP (90 min after LFS in the presence of U0126, mean fEPSPs had diminished to 98 ± 7% of baseline; n = 8) (Fig. 3B). As both ERK and mTOR recruit translation regulation (Banko et al. 2006; Gelinas et al. 2007), we next explored whether inhibition of protein synthesis impairs LTP induced by coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors.

ISO and CCh engage translation to facilitate LTP

A requirement of protein synthesis has been observed in both long-term synaptic plasticity and long-term memory (Abraham and Williams 2008). Thus, we tested the hypothesis that protein synthesis is necessary for expression of LTP induced by ISO paired with CCh. We found that application of a translational repressor, emetine (EME; 20 μM), during ISO + CCh treatment generated LTP that reverted to baseline levels (mean fEPSPs were 101 ± 4%; n = 8) (Fig. 3C) when measured 90 min after LFS. To control for off-target effects of emetine, we conducted a second series of experiments using another protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (CHX; 80 μM). Treatment with CHX resulted in decaying LTP that was 102 ± 4% (n = 7) of baseline 90 min post-stimulation (Fig. 3D). A control synaptic pathway was monitored during cycloheximide experiments which did not significantly deviate from predrug baseline responses, suggesting slice health was not compromised (Fig. 3D). Subsequent comparisons (ANOVA) between slices treated with RAP, U0126, EME, CHX, or no inhibitor demonstrated a significant effect of treatment on the magnitude of LTP at 90 min post-stimulation (F_{(4,31)} = 6.64; P < 0.05) (Fig. 3F). Inhibition of mTOR, ERK, or translation resulted in LTP that was substantially reduced relative to ISO + CCh controls (Fig. 3E,F). No significant differences between EME-, cycloheximide-, RAP-, or U0126-treated groups were observed (P > 0.05).

Discussion

It is widely accepted that synaptic activity suprathreshold for engaging translation is critical for establishing enduring synaptic modifications (Stanton and Survev 1984; Frey et al. 1988; Nguyen et al. 1994). The ability of synapses to undergo activity-dependent plasticity can be bolstered through activation of neuromodulatory receptors which initiate translation regulation (Frey et al. 1988, 1991; Watabe et al. 2000; Gelinas and Nguyen 2005; Scheiderer et al. 2008). Through combined application of β-adrenergic and muscarinic receptor agonists, LTP induced by low-frequency stimulation (5 Hz, 5 sec) was significantly enhanced relative to slices treated with stimulation alone, or stimulation paired with either agonist alone. The functional importance of this form of LTP is demonstrated by a requirement for translation which links this form of LTP to evidence implicating protein synthesis-dependent LTP in long-term memory formation (Abel et al. 1997; Straube et al. 2003; Walling and Harley 2004; Herrera-Morales et al. 2007). A critically important question is how distinct neuromodulatory systems in the brain cooperate to influence synaptic plasticity, memory formation, and behavioral adaptations. Neuro-modulators, including noradrenaline and acetylcholine, can modify a diverse range of neural functions including synaptic plasticity (Watabe et al. 2000; Gelinas and Nguyen 2005; Scheiderer et al. 2008). Much research has focused on the effects of these neurotransmitters in isolation; however, few studies have addressed how combinations of neuromodulators affect regulation of synaptic plasticity. Previous research has established that coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors reduces the threshold for induction of LTP (Watabe et al. 2000) and that noradrenergic and muscarinic signals interact to augment the ability of hippocampal synapses to undergo long-term depression.
We have shown that the effects of ISO are mediated through HFS. Results in LFS. Sample traces were taken 10 min after commencement of baseline recordings and 90 min after inhibited with ISO to confirm slice health. (Dark gray squares) blocked the maintenance of LTP. A second pathway (S2; open circles) was monitored to confirm slice health. To control for non-specific effects of EME, we used a second translation repressor, cycloheximide. Similar to EME, CHX repression of translation using emetine (dark gray squares) blocked the maintenance of LTP. A second pathway (S2; open circles) was monitored to confirm slice health.

Repression of translation using emetine (n = 8) resulted in LTP which was significantly reduced relative to inhibitor-free controls (E; F; n = 8), when compared 90 min post-stimulation. To control for non-specific effects of EME, we used a second translation repressor, cycloheximide. Similar to EME, CHX (dark gray squares) blocked the maintenance of LTP. A second pathway (S2; open circles) was monitored to confirm slice health. (Summary histogram comparing fEPSP slopes obtained 90 min after LFS. Sample traces were taken 10 min after commencement of baseline recordings and 90 min after HFS. Results in F represent means ± SEM. (*) P < 0.05.

Figure 3. Coapplication of ISO and CCh engages protein synthesis to bolster LTP. (A) Application of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin overlapping with ISO and CCh prevented the induction of LTP (n = 6). (B) Slices treated with U0126 (MEK inhibitor) similarly exhibited decremental LTP (n = 8). (C) Repression of translation using emetine (n = 8) resulted in LTP which was significantly reduced relative to inhibitor-free controls (E; F; n = 8), when compared 90 min post-stimulation. (D) To control for non-specific effects of EME, we used a second translation repressor, cycloheximide. Similar to EME, CHX (dark gray squares) blocked the maintenance of LTP. A second pathway (S2; open circles) was monitored to confirm slice health. (Summary histogram comparing fEPSP slopes obtained 90 min after LFS. Sample traces were taken 10 min after commencement of baseline recordings and 90 min after HFS. Results in F represent means ± SEM. (*) P < 0.05.

(Scheiderer et al. 2008). Here, we have confirmed the initial results of Watabe et al. (2000) and extended the characterization of mechanisms by which β- and muscarinic receptors synergistically modulate long-lasting synaptic plasticity.

Our data suggest that, when paired together, submicromolar concentrations of isoproterenol and carbachol initiate intracellular signaling cascades capable of extending the duration of synaptic potentiation induced by a low-frequency stimulation protocol (5 Hz) which normally produces relatively transient (<45 min) STP. We have shown that the effects of ISO are mediated through β1- but not β2-adrenergic receptors, as the facilitative effects of ISO + CCh were blocked by CGP (β1 antagonist) but were preserved in the presence of ICI (β2 antagonist). Recent data suggest that prolonged β-stimulation paired with ISO application induces LTP requiring β2-ARs but not β1 (Qian et al. 2012). However, prior evidence generated using β1-AR KO mice found deficits in ISO + ISO LTP that was not observed in β2 KOs (Winder et al. 1999). An important difference between these protocols is the duration of stimulation (3 min and 5 sec, respectively) which may lead to a preferential engagement of one β-adrenergic receptor subtype over another. Indeed, prolonged β-stimulation in the presence of ISO increases the number of complex spikes which did not require the activation of PKA (Gelinas et al. 2008). As the more modest protocol used in our present study elicited LTP that was disrupted following inhibition of PKA, taken together, these results suggest divergence of receptor and downstream signaling that is dependent upon the duration of β-stimulation.

The relatively low concentrations of ISO or the presence of a second neuro-modulatory agonist may also explain the favored initiation of signal mechanisms downstream of β1-ARs, whereas the Qian et al. (2012) data were based on the presence of ISO alone which may necessitate the engagement of both receptor subtypes. Future research combining receptor subtype-specific agonists will be required to further delineate the putative interactions of these neuro-modulatory signaling mechanisms. Evidence suggests that the β1-AR couples to Gαs which elicits cAMP generation and activates downstream signaling kinases including PKA and ERK (Winder et al. 1999; Vanhoose and Winder 2003). Isoproterenol can activate β1-ARs (Fowler and O’Donnell 1988) which have been specifically implicated in β-frequency LTP protocols (Winder et al. 1999).

We have also further clarified the muscarinic receptor component which appears to require M1, but not M2/M4 or M3/M5, muscarinic receptors. Application of pirenzepine inhibited LTP, whereas methoctramine or 4-DAMP failed to block the enhancement of LTP observed in the presence of ISO and CCh. Similar to β-adrenergic receptors (Gelinas et al. 2007), M1 muscarinic receptors are capable of inducing ERK activation (Berkeley et al. 2001) which has been linked to translation-dependent long-term depression in rat visual cortex (McCoy and McMahon 2007).

What cellular mechanisms are initiated by coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors to convert STP to translation-dependent LTP? These results suggest that coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors engages PKA to increase the duration of LTP, which is associated with AMPAR trafficking and membrane insertion, highly dynamic processes subject to regulation through phosphorylation (Makino and Malinow 2009; Makino et al. 2011). Activation of β-adrenergic receptors increases membrane insertion of GluR1 through PKA-dependent phosphorylation (Esteban et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2007; Tenorio et al. 2010). Similarly, GluR1 insertion is up-regulated following in vitro treatment with ACh, an effect mediated through M1-dependent signaling (Fernández de Sevilla et al. 2008). Although not determined in the Fernández de Sevilla study, activation of PKC downstream of muscarinic receptors can increase phosphorylation of Serine-831 on GluR1, a key event implicated in AMPAR trafficking and insertion (Boehm et al. 2006). Future research is needed to determine the role of
AMPA receptor trafficking in LTP induced through coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors.

Inhibition of mTOR prevented the maintenance of LTP induced by ISO paired with CCh. Although evidence implicating muscarinic receptors in mTOR regulation is sparse, one report has implicated the M3 muscarinic receptor in mTOR regulation (Slack and Blusztajn 2008). As our results have implicated the M1 muscarinic receptor but not M3, this suggests that the β1-AR may be primarily responsible for mTOR regulation (Gelinas et al. 2007).

Previous research has implicated ERK in both long-term memory formation and synaptic plasticity (Atkins et al. 1998; Banko et al. 2006; Gelinas et al. 2007). Initiation of translation is enhanced following ERK activation, which is linked to long-lasting plasticity (Kelleher et al. 2004; Gelinas et al. 2007). Both β1-ARs (Roberson et al. 1999) and muscarinic receptors (Berkeley et al. 2001) are capable of ERK activation. Additionally, both muscarinic and β-adrenergic receptors can engage PKC and PKA signaling, respectively, to increase phosphorylation of ERK (Roberson et al. 1999; Gelinas et al. 2008). Consistent with previous data (Watabe et al. 2000), application of the MEK inhibitor, U0126, prevented the maintenance of LTP induced by coactivation of β- and muscarinic receptors. Taken together, our results suggest that costimulation of β-adrenergic and muscarinic receptors induces LTP, which requires mTOR and ERK activation. As both mTOR and ERK are capable of effecting translation regulation which has been implicated in long-lasting forms of synaptic plasticity (Banko et al. 2006; Gelinas et al. 2007), our results provide evidence for a potential dual neuromodulatory receptor-initiated mechanism for augmenting long-term synaptic plasticity.

The synthesis of proteins has been implicated in many forms of synaptic plasticity and memory formation (for review, see Klann et al. 2004; Richter and Sonenberg 2005; Costa-Mattioli et al. 2009). Persistent LTP requires translation (Stanton and Sarvey 1984; Frey et al. 1988; Kandel 2001; Scharf et al. 2002; Gelinas and Nguyen 2005). As both ERK and mTOR are capable of regulating translation through interactions with Mnk1 and 4E-binding proteins, respectively (Gelinas et al. 2007; Costa-Mattioli et al. 2009), our results suggest that translation regulation is a mechanism by which coapplication of ISO and CCh enhances the transformation of STP to LTP. Consistent with this postulate, inhibition of translation with emetine or cycloheximide prevented the maintenance of LTP induced by simultaneous activation of β- and muscarinic receptors. It should be noted that the observed effects of inhibition of protein synthesis appeared to be relatively rapid. The main reasons for this observation are twofold. First, using a mild stimulation protocol (5 Hz, 5 sec) may yield LTP that does not engage secondary cellular events (calcium influx, kinase activation) to the same extent as that of multitrain, high-frequency stimulation protocols. As such, the translation-independent component of LTP engaged by stronger LTP protocols may mask an early, translation-dependent component. Secondly, the translation-dependent processes initiated by this protocol may differ in the component of LTP affected (induction or maintenance) or the time course of the translational processes. Indeed, previous research suggests that mTOR-dependent translation of GluR1 is required within 15 min of inhibitory avoidance training for long-term memory formation (Slipczuk et al. 2009) which is within the timeframes reported here. The effects of coactivating β- and muscarinic receptors on memory formation in vivo have yet to be determined.

Understanding how distinct neuromodulatory receptors interact to regulate synaptic plasticity should provide novel insights into memory consolidation. As disruption of both cholinergic and noradrenergic neuromodulatory systems can impair synaptic plasticity and has been implicated in the pathology of neurodegenerative disorders that perturb memory consolidation (Caccamo et al. 2006; Yu et al. 2011), defining how neuromodulatory systems interact is critical for generating precise therapies for the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male C57BL/6 mice were used for all experiments. Animals were housed at the University of Alberta according to guidelines of Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC). All mice were maintained on a 12-h on/off light cycle in social housing, with food and water available ad libitum. Approximately 197 C57BL/6 mice (aged 7–14 wk) were used for these experiments.

Electrophysiology

Following cervical dislocation and decapitation, the brain was removed and placed in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF). Each hippocampal slice was dissected out of the remaining tissue and sliced in the transverse plane (400-μm thickness) (as described in Nguyen and Kandel 1997). Slices were then transferred to an interface chamber and maintained at 28°C (Gelinas and Nguyen 2005; Gelinas et al. 2007). ACSF was composed of (in mM) 124 NaCl, 4.4 KCl, 1.3 MgSO4, 1.0 NaH2PO4, 26.2 NaHCO3, 2.5 CaCl2 and 10 glucose, aerated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, and was applied at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min. Extracellular field excitatory postsynaptic potentials (fEPSPs) were recorded with a glass microelectrode filled with ACSF (resistances, 2–3 MΩ). Both the recording electrode and a bipolar nickel-chromium stimulating electrode were positioned in the stratum radiatum of area CA1. fEPSPs were elicited by stimulating Schaffer collateral (SC) fibers projecting onto CA1 apical dendrites. Maximal evoked fEPSPs were generated by increasing the stimulation intensity until no further increase in fEPSP amplitude could be obtained. Subsequent test pulses (1/ min; 0.08-ms pulse duration) were adjusted to elicit a fEPSP that was 40% of the maximum evoked value (Woo and Nguyen 2003; Gelinas and Nguyen 2005). fEPSPs elicited at this level constituted our baseline responses. 

β-AR- and muscarinic receptor-dependent LTP was induced by applying one train of low-frequency stimulation (5 Hz, 5 sec) following a 10-min coapplication of a β-AR agonist, isoproteinol (ISO; 200 nM), and a muscarinic receptor agonist, carbachol (CCh; 200 nM) (Watabe et al. 2000). Following LFS, ISO and CCh were applied for an additional 5 min.

Drugs

The β-AR agonist, R(-)-isoproterenol(+)-bitartrate (ISO, Sigma) and the muscarinic receptor agonist carbachol (CCh; Sigma) were prepared daily in distilled water as concentrated stock solutions at 1 mM, and coapplied at a final concentration of 200 nM each. The β-AR antagonist (+)-propranolol hydrochloride (PROP; 50 μM; Sigma) was also prepared daily in distilled water as a 50 μM stock solution. Both the specific β1-AR antagonist, (+)-2-Hydroxy-5-[2-[[2-hydroxy-3-[4-[[1-methyl-4-(trifluoro- methyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl]phenoxy]propyl]amino]ethoxy]benzamide methanesulfonate salt (CGP-20712A; CGP; Sigma) and β2-AR antagonist, (±)-1-[2,3-Dihydro-7-methyl-1H-inden-4-yl]oxyl-3-[1-methylethyl]amino]-2-butanol hydrochloride (ICI 118,551; ICI; Sigma) were prepared at stock concentrations of 1 mM and diluted to a final concentration of 1 μM each. The muscarinic receptor antagonist, atropine (Sigma) was dissolved in ethanol at 50-mM stock concentration and diluted to 50 μM prior to application. An M1 muscarinic receptor antagonist, pirenzepine dihydrochloride (PIR; Sigma), was dissolved in distilled water (1-mM stock) and applied at 250 nM. The M3/M5 muscarinic receptor antagonist, 4-diphenylacetoxyl-N-methylpiperidine methiodide (4-DAMP; 1 μM; Sigma), was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), yielding a stock concentration of 1 mM. Methoctramine, an M2/M4 antagonist, was prepared in distilled water at 1-mM stock concentration and diluted to 1 μM.
To assay for a requirement of activation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase, we applied a protein kinase A inhibitor, PKI (PKA inhibitor 14–22 Amide, cell-permeable, myristoylated; 1 µM; Calbiochem), dissolved in distilled water. PKI was applied 20 min prior to and overlapping with ISO + CCh. The MEK inhibitor, 1,4-diamino-2,3-dicyano-1,4-bis[2-aminophenylthio] butadiene (U0126; 20 µM; Sigma), was prepared in DMSO at a concentration of 20 mM. An mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin (RAP; 1 µM; Sigma), was dissolved in DMSO to make stock solutions at 1 mM. U0126 and RAP were perfused for 20 min prior to commencing experiments, overlapping with ISO application, and 10 min following ISO. A translation inhibitor, emetine (EME; Sigma), was dissolved to a stock concentration of 20 mM in distilled water and perfused at 20 µM, 20 min prior to ISO application. At lower concentrations than 20 µM, EME was shown to block protein synthesis by >80% in hippocampal slices (Stanton and Surawy 1984). A second protein synthesis inhibitor, cycloheximide (80 µM), was used to control for off-target effects of emetine. All drug experiments were performed under dimmed light conditions due to photosensitivity of the drugs. Drug experiments were interleaved with drug-free controls.

Data analysis

The initial slope of the fEPSP was measured as an index of synaptic strength (Johnston and Wu 1995). The average “baseline” slope values were acquired for 20 min before experimental protocols were applied. fEPSP slopes were measured at either 60 or 90 min after LFS for comparisons of LTP. Student’s t-test was used for statistical comparisons of mean fEPSP slopes between two groups, with a significance level of \( p < 0.05 \) (denoted with an asterisk [*] on graphs). One-way ANOVA and Tukey–Kramer post-hoc tests were done for comparison of more than two groups to determine which groups were significantly different from the others. The Welch correction was applied in cases in which the SDs of compared groups were significantly different. All values shown are means ± SEM, with \( n = \) number of slices.
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