Genetic genealogy uncovers a founder deletion mutation in the cerebral cavernous malformations 2 gene
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Abstract
Cerebral cavernous malformations (CCM) are vascular malformations consisting of collections of enlarged capillaries occurring in the brain or spinal cord. These vascular malformations can occur sporadically or susceptibility to develop these can be inherited as an autosomal dominant trait due to mutation in one of three genes. Over a decade ago, we described a 77.6 Kb germline deletion spanning exons 2–10 in the CCM2 gene found in multiple affected individuals from seemingly unrelated families. Segregation analysis using linked, microsatellite markers indicated that this deletion may have arisen at least twice independently. In the ensuing decades, many more CCM patients have been identified with this deletion. In this present study we examined 27 reportedly unrelated affected individuals with this deletion. To investigate the origin of the deletion at base pair level resolution, we sequenced approximately 10 Kb upstream and downstream from the recombination junction on the deleted allele. All patients showed the identical SNP haplotype across this combined 20 Kb interval. In parallel, genealogical records have traced 11 of these individuals to five separate pedigrees dating as far back as the 1600-1700s. These haplotype and genealogical data suggest that these families and the remaining “unrelated” samples converge on a common ancestor due to a founder mutation occurring centuries ago on the North American continent. We also note that another gene, NACAD, is included in this deletion. Although patient self-reporting does not indicate an apparent phenotypic consequence for heterozygous deletion of NACAD, further investigation is warranted for these patients.

Introduction

Cerebral Cavernous Malformations (CCM) are a common vascular anomaly of the central nervous system consisting of clusters of dilated, fragile venous vessels. Although sometimes benign, hemorrhage from these lesions can cause headaches, neurologic deficits, seizures and hemorrhagic stroke (Zabramski et al. 1994; Horne et al. 2016; Al-Shahi Salman et al. 2012). Typically, CCMs occur either sporadically, presenting as a solitary lesion, or as an inherited, autosomal dominant condition characterized by multiple lesions. Heterozygous germline mutations in KRIT1 (Sahoo et al. 1999; Laberge-le Couteulx et al. 1999), CCM2 (Liquori et al. 2003; Denier et al. 2004), and PDCD10, (Bergametti et al. 2005) are the cause of familial CCM.

Since the discovery of the three CCM genes, diagnostic DNA testing of patients has revealed a large number of potential mutations in each of the three genes (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar), all consistent with loss-of-function. As with other diseases caused by loss-of-function mutations, most mutations in the CCM genes are specific to a single family. There are cases of mutations occurring in multiple, apparently unrelated families, but these have been shown instead to be families that are distantly related to an ancestral mutation founder. Examples include the so-called “Common Hispanic Mutation” in KRIT1 in families of Mexican–American heritage (Sahoo et al. 1999), the Sardinian KRIT1 mutation in CCM families from that geographical location (Cau et al. 2009) and the Ashkenazi Jewish mutation in CCM2 that is only found in Jewish CCM families (Gallione et al. 2011).

A large deletion in CCM2, first described in 8 CCM families, was also posited to possibly be a founder mutation (Liquori et al. 2007). This 77.6 Kb deletion has excised exons 2–10...
of CCM2 and appears to have been seeded by the illegitimate recombination of two distant Alu repeats. However, the limited family histories available at that time did not reveal any common ancestors among the nuclear families. Although all 8 families were self-reported of European descent, there did not appear to be a common ethnic origin that might further support a founder mutation. Segregation analysis of linked, microsatellite markers in these families revealed a single deletion haplotype proximal to the deletion, and two different haplotypes distal to the deletion. The authors concluded that this mutation may have arisen at least twice in the 8 CCM families but were uncertain on the question of whether this was indeed an authentic founder mutation.

Since the initial identification of this deletion, numerous other CCM patients and families have been found to carry the apparently identical deletion. In this study, we examined high resolution SNP haplotypes flanking the deletion in the original 8 (Liquori et al. 2007) and 19 newly identified families. In parallel, we investigated deeper family histories through examination of genealogical records. Using this combination of “genetic genealogy”, we were able to solve the question as to whether this deletion mutation is yet another example of a founder CCM gene mutation.

Methods

Study participants

All persons participating in this study were enrolled after informed consent and under the approval of the institutional review boards. The cohort of 27 people was all non-Hispanic individuals of European descent and all of the participants had been diagnosed with CCM through either a positive MRI and/or they had received a positive clinical test for the CCM2 exons 2–10 deletion. Eight of these individuals were from the original cohort (Liquori et al. 2007). The remaining 19 were recruited by Angioma Alliance through two channels: 1) an Angioma Alliance Facebook group specifically dedicated to families with CCM2 exon 2–10 deletion, and 2) the Angioma Alliance patient contact registry. By interviewing patients about previous research participation, researchers were assured there was no duplication between these 19 Angioma Alliance recruits and the original cohort. None of these families were known to each other before their diagnosis.

DNA was extracted from either blood (Gentra PureGene Blood Kit, Qiagen) or saliva (Oragene, DNA Genotek Inc) samples according to manufacturers’ instructions.

Deletion confirmation

The participants were screened for the CCM2 deletion using the primers and conditions described previously (Liquori et al. 2007).

Sequencing of affected alleles

LongAmp Taq (NEB) was used for the long-range amplification on the affected alleles according to the manufacturer’s directions. PCR reactions were electrophoresed on 1% SYBR Green agarose gels and products of the expected sizes were excised from the gels and prepared for either Sanger sequencing or PacBio long range sequencing using GeneClean Turbo (MPBio). Sanger sequencing was performed using the BigDye Terminator v1.1 cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) and run on an ABI Prism 3130. Sequences were analyzed using Sequencher v.5.4.6 (GeneCodes). PacBio SMRT sequencing for 13 samples covering approximately 5 Kb in both directions from the recombination junction was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions and was carried out at the Duke Sequencing and Technologies Core Facility.

Genealogy

In 2012, members of four families with the CCM2 exons 2–10 deletion created a private Facebook group to share information with other families with this deletion. As more patients associated with Angioma Alliance were identified with this mutation, they joined the group. Several families came to the group with extensive family genealogy, some of which had been professionally prepared. In all cases, sources and conclusions were independently confirmed or corrected by the researchers. Per Board for Certification of Genealogists’ standards (Genealogy Standards 2019), research was conducted using vital records (birth, death, marriage), wills, court records, military records, and land transactions where available. These were obtained in online databases (Ancestry.com, FamilySearch.com) and at the Family History Library in Salt Lake City, UT. As research progressed, it became evident that families shared a common ancestral geography, primarily in the Southeastern states, but it was not until 2019 when a newly identified family joined the Facebook group, that the crucial, connecting genealogical link was discovered. Initially, this family and two others already in the group were connected through a common ancestor, a couple born in the 1760s in Anson County, North Carolina.
After this initial connection, a more concentrated effort was made to determine if and how other CCM2 deletion families were related. Because of the confidential nature of the information being collected, a PC-based password-and VPN-protected genealogy database was created using RootsMagic 7.0. Living family member names were not included and only one researcher had access to the entire data set. Families submitted their personal family trees and access was granted to their online genealogy on the Ancestry.com website. Diagnosed individuals were also encouraged to submit saliva samples to AncestryDNA for analysis, which would uncover shared historic family names.

Haplotype frequency

The haplotype frequency was calculated using the LDHap program (ldlink.nci.nih.gov) with the CEU (Utah residents (CEPH) from North and West Europe) and GBR (British in England and Scotland) filters as these most closely represented the reported backgrounds of the families. All of the examined SNPs were tested on the program in numerous combinations to determine the range and to look for SNPs that were in linkage disequilibrium. From these results, we chose 11 tagging SNPs to determine the final haplotype frequency of the region approximately 10 Kb upstream and downstream of the recombination junction.

Results

Deletion testing

We collected DNA from 27 apparently unrelated individuals who by diagnostic testing were reported to exhibit the previously published CCM2 exons 2–10 deletion (Liquori et al. 2007). To ensure that these individuals did indeed harbor this deletion, we re-tested each sample using PCR amplification primers designed to only amplify a product if the deletion is present (Liquori et al. 2007) (Fig. 1). All members of this cohort exhibited the expected deletion-spanning PCR product (Online Resource 1).

Deletion-associated haplotype analysis

We then determined if these individuals shared the same deletion SNP haplotype by sequencing approximately 10 Kb proximally and distally across the recombination site of the deletion. The SNP haplotype across the 20 Kb deletion interval is identical in all 27 patient samples (Fig. 2). Note that each 10 Kb half of this haplotype is normally separated by 77.6 Kb so the extent of this haplotype identity is even more striking. Most of the 62 SNPs present exhibit the major (most frequent) allele, with only one SNP, rs7792895, exhibiting the minor allele (Online Resource 2). There were no private polymorphisms identified in any members of the cohort.

![Fig. 1](url) Schematic diagram of the CCM2 exons 2–10 deletion. The 77.6 Kb deletion begins in an AluSx site in intron 1 of CCM2 (orange bar) and extends to an AluSg site in the intergenic region between NACAD and TBRG4 (yellow bar). The red arrows represent the primers used to test for the deletion in the samples (Liquori et al. 2007). The green and purple arrows represent amplification primers for sequencing. Due to the size of the deletion, only the affected allele will amplify in these reactions.

![Fig. 2](url) SNPs evaluated approximately 10 Kb upstream and downstream of the AluSx/AluSg recombination junction. The disease haplotype is noted above the SNP names. The base pair location on Chromosome 7 is indicated under the line (Hg38) and the small marks indicate 1 Kb increments.
We used the LDHap program (ldlink.nci.nih.gov) to determine the frequency of this SNP haplotype. Using the SNP allele frequencies for the CEU and GBR populations based on the self-identified ethnic backgrounds of our cohort, we chose a tagging SNP set to determine the haplotype frequency (Online Resource 3). These SNPs showed the deletion-associated SNP haplotype frequency to be 12.9%. Choosing a different set of tagging SNPs had no appreciable difference in the haplotype frequency. Thus, this deletion is found on a modestly-common SNP haplotype.

**Alu/Alu-mediated recombination**

This deletion occurs due to a recombination event between an AluSx sequence and an AluSg sequence which while highly homologous (83.1%, LALIGN36), are not identical. We used the interval level prediction algorithm for Alu/Alu-mediated rearrangements (AAMR) (Song et al. 2018); (http://alualu.cnvpredictor.research.bcm.edu:3838/) to determine the likelihood for these two specific Alu sites to recombine. These two sites were not predicted to pair together during recombination. This analysis strengthens the argument that the specific recombination event leading to this CCM2 exon 2–10 deletion would be infrequent.

**Additional gene loss discovered**

Comparing the updated version of the human genome reference sequence (Dec. 2013, GRCH38/hg38) to the version from when this CCM2 deletion was first described (Mar. 2006, NCBI136/hg18), we noticed that an entire gene, NACAD, located distal to the last exon of the CCM2 gene, is included in the deletion. NACAD is Nascent-Polypeptide-Associated Complex, alpha domain, named for its putative role in trafficking of non-secretory proteins as they exit the ribosome and for its sequence homology to the NACA protein. There are no published reports on NACAD’s function and no reported loss-of-function mutations in this gene in the biomedical literature or in ClinVar. A recent, single report has suggested a long-Covid related phenotypic consequence of an in-frame deletion polymorphism in NACAD present at 4% in the population (Reddy et al. 2021). Since the CCM patients harboring the CCM2 2–10 deletion are haploid for NACAD, we were curious whether the heterozygous loss of this gene had any phenotypic consequences. NACAD is expressed in the brain and neuronal tissues (http://biogps.org) and GWAS studies have identified SNPs near NACAD for various phenotypes including eosinophil counts (Vuckovic et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2020; Kichaev et al. 2019) and colorectal cancer (Sakaue et al. 2021; Huyghe et al. 2019). However, a preliminary analysis of self-reported clinical information comparing patients with point mutations in CCM2 to these CCM2 deletion patients did not reveal any notable differences between these groups (Online Resource Table 1). Patients did not report colon cancer or any immunological phenotypes.

**Genealogical analysis**

In addition to the genetic work supporting a founder mutation, we used genealogical tools to try to connect the families with the CCM2 2–10 deletion. Beginning in 2012, a group of four separate families started a private Facebook group for patients with the CCM2 2–10 deletion. In 2016, the CCM patient support group Angioma Alliance began offering free diagnostic testing for individuals exhibiting multiple CCMs on MRI, a strong indicator of a germline mutation (Morrison and Akers 1993). In the first year that such testing was offered, 5/18 families tested exhibited the CCM2 deletion, a surprising outcome for a single mutation. These families were unknown to each other and did not know any of the previously identified CCM2 deletion families. After 2016, Angioma Alliance began making special efforts to find more CCM2 deletion families. This resulted in an increase to 29 families joining the Facebook group. In addition, other families harboring the CCM2 deletion are known to Angioma Alliance that have not joined the group.

In 2019, shared information on the Facebook group led to members of three of the families being able to connect their ancestors to a couple born in Anson County, North Carolina in the 1760s. With this discovery, efforts to trace the other CCM2 deletion families increased. Using genealogical research methods described above, additional connections between families were discovered. While no other families in the 2019 Facebook group could be traced to the 1760s North Carolina couple, researchers identified four common ancestral couples connecting eight additional families (2 present-day families per 1 ancestral couple) including a connection in 1800s Missouri, two unique connections in 1700s South Carolina, and a connection in 1600s Varina, Henrico, Virginia. The pedigrees of the five largest families tracing back at least 200 years each as well as the pedigrees of the smaller families are shown in Fig. 3. Note that two individuals from the original study (Liquori et al. 2007) have been connected in one of the large families (Family R/H, Fig. 3). The pedigrees include only family members who were included in haplotype analysis. Since 2019, four new families have entered the Facebook group who can be traced to the original 1760s North Carolina couple but were not included in this study cohort.
Fig. 3 Family pedigrees. The trimmed pedigrees of the families represented in the sequencing cohort. The five larger families are noted with the founders’ birth centuries and locations. The individuals included in this study are indicated by numbers below the dark symbols. The dark blue represents a clinical diagnosis of CCM, the light blue represents inferred CCM, and the dot represents a positive deletion test. Individuals from the original cohort (Liquori et al. 2007) are marked with an asterisk*. Note that two of these are now connected through genealogical records in Family R/H. The smaller families are trimmed to show first degree relatives with a positive MRI for CCM. The additional 11 patients sequenced were individuals not known to be connected to any of these families nor to each other.
Interestingly and possibly coincidentally, the common ancestor in Varina, Henrico, Virginia shares a surname with one of the South Carolina ancestors from the 1700s. We have traced three families in this study to the same period of 1600s Varina, Henrico, Virginia. Based on wills and land transactions, these ancestral families were acquainted, but we have not yet found the specific family connection between them.

Strikingly, none of the families in our cohort are from the Northeast US although there are currently family members in many Southern, Midwestern and Western states. Figure 4 follows the spread of family members from the five large pedigrees across the US based on their location and half-decade of birth. While this mutation has spread across the US there are no reported cases in the UK, the EU or Brazil (Jonathan Berg, University of Dundee, Scotland; Elisabeth Tournier-Lasserve, INSERM, Paris, France; Jorge Marcondes de Souza, UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) consistent with this CCM2 deletion mutation having a US founder.

Discussion

There are numerous cases of the identical “founder” mutation occurring more than once in the same or different populations. For example, a three-bp deletion in DFNA5 causing familial deafness is a founder mutation in the East Asian population yet is also found in a family of European ancestry with no East Asian background (Booth et al. 2020). In Lynch Syndrome, a missense mutation in MLH1, c.2059C > T, p.Arg687Trp is a founder mutation in the Swedish population but the same mutation is seen in families from other countries on different haplotypes indicating that the mutation occurred independently in those cases (Salome et al. 2017). Another mutation in MLH1, c.589-2A > G, was
What is most notable is that this identical SNP haplotype is shared between all sequenced members of this CCM2 patient cohort. Through genealogical research, many of these individuals have now been connected to one of these five multi-generational families but this same haplotype is also shared with individuals from smaller, unconnected families. Thus, the genetic and genealogical data combined support the hypothesis that this mutation arose once on this haplotype and that all of these people are related to a single, common founder. We may never be able to connect all of these individuals and families using genealogical tools due to the paucity and fragmentary nature of historical records in the United States. We are, however, able to connect these individuals genetically, based on their shared haplotype across this mutation. This combination of genetics and genealogy allows us to observe this founder mutation as it spread into the population across the country over at least the last four centuries.

We also note that an entire gene, NACAD, is included in the deletion but was not noted previously (Liquori et al. 2007). Our preliminary investigation of patients with the large NACAD—including deletion and those with point mutations only affecting CCM2 did not uncover any phenotypic differences between these groups. More work will be needed to determine which potential clinical phenotypes may be affected by NACAD deletion, especially as a contiguous gene deletion with the CCM2 gene.

Uncovering founder mutations helps to understand the natural history of the mutation in the population, its spread and impact in affected groups. When a certain disease-causing founder mutation is known to be present at an increased level within a specific group of people or in a certain location, healthcare professionals are better able to recognize the disease and provide appropriate care for these patients and their families. This care might include targeted genetic testing and pre-symptomatic diagnosis leading to early interventions with the goal of an improved quality of life for the patients.

When making recommendations for genetic testing, the frequency of a mutation in the population undergoing genetic testing should guide the determination whether any “common” mutations will initially be evaluated before sequencing a larger panel of genes. When a specific, common mutation is present at a relatively high frequency within the testing population, it is reasonable to test for that specific mutation before proceeding to other more extensive and expensive DNA sequencing gene panels. For example, Hispanic CCM patients are generally tested first for the common Hispanic nonsense mutation in the KRIT1 gene (Sahoo et al. 1999) before undergoing DNA sequencing of the three known CCM genes. For this population, the cost benefit is quite high.
In the present case, the nature of the mutation itself increases the cost benefit of a stand-alone test. This CCM2 exons 2–10 deletion is invisible to routine DNA sequence analysis. It can only be uncovered by laboratory techniques that are generally employed only after a sample is considered “mutation-negative” by DNA sequencing. Thus, for individual laboratories in the southern United States (Fig. 4), diagnostic laboratories might consider targeted genetic testing for this deletion. Importantly, the test can be performed with a simple, cost-effective, PCR-based assay (Liquori et al. 2007) (also Online Resource 1). Furthermore, presumed affected individuals may know or believe that they are related to other individuals in this ever-expanding CCM2 deletion pedigree. Here especially, it would be cost effective to assay for this deletion before any further testing is performed. Nonetheless, each diagnostic laboratory will generate CCM gene mutation frequency data for their unique testing population, and this data can be used to determine their priorities for CCM genetic testing.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-022-02458-5.
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