SUMMARY

Introduction Early warning scoring systems are important for timely identification of the critically ill, but are they a relevant prognostic tool? Our objective was to test if Modified Early Warning Score (MEWS), lactate, and base excess (BE) have any prognostic value in high dependency unit patients.

Methods This was a prospective observational study that included 364 patients treated at a respiratory high dependency unit. The values of MEWS, lactate, and BE at admission were recorded with patients’ age, sex, and comorbidities. Negative outcome was defined as death or transfer to the intensive care unit. Independent predictors of negative outcome were identified with the use of multivariable logistic regression.

Results Of 369 patients, 203 (55%) were male. Mean age was 62 ± 16. There were 138 (37.4%) patients with negative outcome: 27.37% died, while 10.03% patients required intensive care unit transfer. The median length of hospital stay was 13 days (IQR 7–15). Patients with negative outcome had a significantly higher MEWS (3.68 ± 1.96 vs. 4.57 ± 2.33, p < 0.001), lower BE (-0.139 ± 7.48 vs. -3.751 ± 6.159, p < 0.001), and a higher lactate (2.299 ± 2.350 vs. 3.498 ± 3.578, p < 0.001). MEWS ≥ 4 (OR 1.90, CI 1.082–3.340, p = 0.026) was the only independent predictor of mortality. Area under the curve (AUC) for MEWS with regard to in-hospital mortality prediction was 0.633 (95% CI 0.569–0.697). When age was added to MEWS, the AUC was 0.76 (95% CI 0.707–0.814).

Conclusion Our findings support the prognostic value of MEWS for final outcome of patients admitted to the high dependency unit.
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INTRODUCTION

Various versions of early warning scores (EWS) are proposed for timely identification of the critically ill [1–4]. The ultimate goal is to timely recognize clinical deterioration, which facilitates early intervention. One of the wide spread scores in clinical practice is the Modified Early Warning Score (MEWS) [5, 6, 7]. In the most recent study, EWS were also proposed as a prognostic tool, but further validation is necessary [8]. Addition of laboratory findings to increase the value of clinical scores has been considered [9–22]. Since our respiratory high dependency unit (HDU) is mainly used for treating patients diagnosed with pneumonia and sepsis, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation, and pulmonary thromboembolism, we decided to test lactate, base excess (BE), and age in addition to MEWS, as predictors of final outcome.

METHODS

This study was prospective and observational. It took place at the respiratory HDU of the Institute for Pulmonary Diseases. The study was done in accordance with the Committee on Ethics of the Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina. During the time period from 2009 to 2014, the following data were recorded for 369 patients: age, sex, comorbidities, vital signs and the calculated MEWS at admission, as well as lactate and BE at admission, length of stay, and outcome. There were 501 patients treated at the respiratory HDU during the given time period; however, due to technical issues, it was not possible to measure lactatemia in 132 patients, and they were omitted from the study. The negative outcome was either intensive care unit (ICU) transfer or death, and the positive outcome was discharge from the hospital or transfer to the general ward. We used the following cut-off values: MEWS ≥ 4, lactate ≥ 2.5 mmol/l, age ≥ 65years and BE < -2 mmol/l.

We used percentages to present categorical variables and their comparison was performed with the help of either Fisher’s exact test or χ². Either mean (± SD) or median (interquartile range – IQR) were used to present continuous variables and the values were further compared using Student’s t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test. Odds ratios between individual factors
and the mortality were calculated with univariate logistic regression, followed by multivariable logistic regression in order to recognize independent mortality predictors. Sensitivity and specificity at the given cut-off of ≥ 4 points were determined for MEWS score, followed by the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve.

RESULTS

The mean age of 369 patients was 62 (± 16) years. There were 215 (58.3%) male patients. The leading diagnosis at admission was pneumonia for 151 patients (40.92%). As many as 341 (92.4%) had at least one comorbidity – mostly cardiovascular. Age, sex, co-morbidities, and initial diagnosis upon admission for all patients are listed in Table 1.

No difference was found in MEWS values between the patients with and without co-morbidities (Table 2).

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients

| Variables                  | n (%)        |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Sex                        |              |
| Male                       | 215 (58.3%)  |
| Female                     | 154 (41.7%)  |
| Comorbidities              |              |
| Cardiovascular             | 260 (70.5%)  |
| Respiratory                | 143 (38.8%)  |
| Neurological               | 73 (19.8%)   |
| Age                        |              |
| < 65                       | 180 (48.8%)  |
| ≥ 65                       | 189 (51.2%)  |
| Diagnosis at admission     |              |
| Pneumonia                  | 151 (40.92%) |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 78 (21.1%) |
| Sepsis                     | 59 (16%)     |
| Pulmonary embolism         | 28 (7.6%)    |
| Respiratory failure in neurological diseases | 8 (2.17%) |

Initial MEWS was taken in all the patients, as well as lactate, BE, and the length of stay. All the values were compared between the groups with positive and negative outcome. Two hundred thirty-one (62.6%) patients had the positive outcome. Patients with the negative outcome had a significantly higher MEWS (3.68 ± 1.96 vs. 4.57 ± 2.33, p < 0.001), lower BE (0.139 ± 7.48 vs. -3.751 ± 6.159, p < 0.001), and a higher lactate (2.299 ± 2.350 vs. 3.498 ± 3.578, p < 0.001). We found no difference in the length of stay between the groups with different outcome (17.00 ± 11.697 vs. 14.44 ± 18.709, p = 0.106).

We correlated initial MEWS with lactatemia and found a weak positive correlation (r = 0.245, p < 0.001).

We also compared initial MEWS with BE and found a weak positive correlation (r = 0.202, p < 0.001).

Median length of hospital stay was 13 days (IQR 7–15). We did not find that patients with MEWS ≥ 4 had more hospital days (17.00 ± 11.697 vs. 14.44 ± 18.709, p = 0.61). Odds ratio between individual factors and mortality were calculated with univariate logistic regression, and the identified factors that had a correlation with mortality were the following: MEWS ≥ 4 points, lactate ≥ 2.5 mmol/l, BE < -2 mmol/l, as well as the age ≥ 65 and the presence of comorbidities (Table 3).

In the following step potential independent mortality predictors were identified with the use of multivariable logistic regression – the results are shown in Table 4. Multivariate logistic regression showed that MEWS and age were independent mortality predictors. The strongest predictor of mortality was MEWS with OR of 1.9.

### Table 2. Modified Early Warning Score in patients with and without comorbidities

| Comorbidities | < 4 | ≥ 4 | Total |
|---------------|-----|-----|-------|
| n %           | n % | n % | n %   |
| Without       | 13  | 7.5%| 15    | 7.7%  | 28    | 7.6% |
| With          | 160 | 92.5%| 181  | 92.3% | 341  | 92.4% |
| Total         | 173 | 100%| 196  | 100%  | 369  | 100% |

The area under the curve (AUC) for MEWS was 0.633 (95% CI 0.57–0.7). The model which included the age and MEWS (AUC 0.76, 95% CI 0.707–0.814) was superior to MEWS alone (AUC 0.633, 95% CI 0.569–0.697). The calculated AUC for BE was only 0.338 with 95% CI 0.272–0.404 and AUC for lactate was 0.652 with 95% CI 0.585–0.718. The addition of both lactate and BE to the model which included MEWS and age did not improve the AUC (AUC 0.79, 95% CI 0.74–0.843).

### DISCUSSION

The rationale behind the use of EWS is quite straightforward – their crucial clinical role lies in timely recognition of clinical deterioration on the ward. Acute deterioration is most frequently preceded by changes in vital parameters, which constitute EWS [1–10]. In this study, we confirmed the predictive value of MEWS and age in identifying HDU patients at high risk for death or ICU admission. Further addition of BE and lactate were not found to improve the outcome prediction.

Alam et al. [3] presented the results of systematic review on impact of EWS on patient outcomes. Seven large studies
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were included, but meta-analysis was not possible due to heterogeneity. They concluded that there was a positive trend towards improved outcomes after EWS were introduced. The main limitation of this review was the fact that no single standardized EWS was used. One of the best validated variants of EWS is the MEWS. Implementation of this score has shown reduction in hospital mortality, number of ICU days and number of adverse events [5, 6, 7]. When our respiratory HDU was established in April of 2009, we choose to incorporate MEWS in the chart. One of the aims was to demonstrate its effectiveness in every day practice in order to introduce it to our general wards without too much resistance from the already overburdened staff.

The study was prospective and observational in design, but it has several limitations. The first limitation is that we excluded 132 patients due to the fact that our laboratory could not perform lactate testing at all times. Second limitation is that “initial” MEWS, along with lactate and BE, refers to the values measured upon admission to the respiratory HDU – more than half of the patients were transferred from the ward, while the rest were admitted directly to the HDU. Another limitation is that comorbidities were noted but Charlson comorbidity index was not calculated in order to better classify their burden and severity.

We found that 341 (92.4%) patients had at least one comorbidity, but there was no difference in initial MEWS values between the groups with and without comorbidities. In the study by Çıldır et al. [23] there was a significant difference between surviving patients and those who died, in both MEWS values and Charlson comorbidity index, but the two indices were not compared to each other.

Initial MEWS values were compared between the groups with different outcome. Due to the specific role of the HDU, we defined the positive outcome as either transfer to the ward or discharge from the hospital, while death and transfer to the ICU were defined as the negative outcome. A total of 231 (62.6%) patients had the positive composite outcome, and patients with the negative composite outcome had a significantly higher MEWS. This finding is in accordance with the results of Goldhill et al. [1] – they conducted a study on 1047 patients, in which they concluded that an increasing EWS was associated with higher hospital mortality. Burch et al. [5] conducted a study on 790 patients and they also found that increasing MEWS was associated with higher rates of intrahospital mortality. Similarly, EWS were previously tested as potential predictors of serious adverse events in hospitals. Ludikhuize et al. [6] performed a study which included 204 patients. They found that 81% patients had MEWS score three points or higher on at least one occasion during the 48-hour period preceding the adverse event. Recently, Liu et al. [2] performed a cohort study in patients with and without the infection comparing five EWSs regarding their potential role to predict in-hospital mortality and the combined outcome of ICU transfer or mortality. National Early Warning Score (NEWS) and MEWS had the highest discrimination power to predict the outcome in comparison with the Quick Sequential Sepsis-Related Organ Failure Assessment (qSOFA), and Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome (SIRS) [2].

The median length of hospitalization in our study was 13 days [IQR 7–15]. We did not find that patients with initial MEWS ≥ 4 had a longer length of stay. Also, we found no difference in the length of hospitalization between the groups with the positive and the negative outcome. In a large study for MEWS validation, Subbe et al. [7] showed that 7.1% of all patients had MEWS ≥ 5 at admission, compared to only 1.8% on the third day. However, in a recent study by Kruisselbrink et al. [4] in a resource-limited setting, the median duration of hospitalization was nine days. The authors found a much higher percentages of MEWS ≥ 5 after a median of nine days. Torsvik et al. [24] conducted a post-intervention study in a Norway hospital on 409 patients, and the intervention included introduction of a flow chart for sepsis identification including all vital parameters, doctors’ response time, and treatment. They found that the length of stay was 3.7 days shorter after the intervention. The explanation is that timely identification of high-risk patients leads to earlier intervention and/or shorter delay to ICU transfer. However, in a study by Paterson et al. [25], the results showed that the length of stay extended significantly in relation to increasing the EWS score, as well as that the EWS score of ≥ 4 resulted in doubling of the hospitalization length. Similarly, Groarke et al. [26] found that higher admission EWS correlated with longer hospital stay.

In our study, risk factors for higher mortality in the univariate analysis were the following: MEWS ≥ 4 points, lactate ≥ 2.5 mmol/l, BE < -2 mmol/l, the presence of comorbidities, and the age of ≥ 65.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis identified two independent mortality predictors – MEWS and age. In the study by Jacques et al. [2], BE of less than -5 mmol/l was also confirmed as a predictor of serious adverse events. Groarke et al. [26] found that admission EWS can be a valuable score for triage in acute medical admissions – they concluded that there was a higher risk for ICU admission, as well as death for each rise in the EWS category. Paterson et al. [25] designed a study to assess effects of a standardized EWS on patient outcomes in acute admissions – they included 848 patients, both medical and surgical. The results confirm that high admission EWS indicated higher risk of hospital mortality. Moreover, the medical staff filled a questionnaire where they indicated the use of a scoring system helped detect illness severity (80%) which prompted earlier interventions (60%). One of the most significant early studies for MEWS validation by Subbe et al. [7] found that MEWS of ≥ 5 points correlated with increased risk for mortality as well as ICU admission. Kruisselbrink et al. [4] found that MEWS above four points was associated with increased mortality. However, the most recent argument in favor of MEWS is the study by Churpek [8], whose results were published in 2016. The study compared four different scores in order to determine their value in predicting hospital mortality and transfer to the ICU. The scores were MEWS, qSOFA, NEWS, and SIRS. The study included 30,677 patients who first met the criteria for suspected infection from 2008 to 2016. The results show that NEWS was the best predictor of hospital mortality, and
MEWS was the second best. Authors concluded that the newly proposed qSOFA score was not a good substitute for EWS when it comes to identifying high-risk patients with suspected infection. Another study published in 2016, by Wang et al. [27], established that peri-arrest MEWS values predicted the outcome. On the other hand, an Italian study published in 2017 performed on 526 patients with sepsis states that even though increasing MEWS correlated with mortality, AUC did not show that MEWS had a sufficient sensitivity for predicting in-hospital mortality [28]. Mitsunaga et al. [29] showed that NEWS and MEWS predict hospital mortality in the elderly.

There are studies in which addition of biochemical markers increased the AUC for predicting intra-hospital mortality. Perera et al. [30] found that MEWS of ≥ 5 points, along with increasing age, predicted outcome. In order to increase the sensitivity of prediction, they suggested a combined score consisting of MEWS and several biochemical parameters: CRP, albumin, and platelet count. Ho et al. [11] showed that combining plasma lactate with qSOFA score significantly increases the ability to predict mortality in patients with infection [11]. Our study did not demonstrate additional benefit of adding BE and lactate level to the age and MEWS in predicting mortality risk in HDU patients. It is possible that this is due to heterogeneity of the population – we included patients with pneumonia, sepsis, but also acute chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation and pulmonary thromboembolism. Further research in each of these subgroups may show different results.

**CONCLUSION**

The findings of our study suggest that the MEWS, adjusted for age, represents a valuable prognostic tool for final outcome and an independent predictor of hospital mortality for HDU patients. According to the recent studies about the significance of EWS to predict outcome in hospitalized patients, the results of our study are another contribution to use them for identifying the patients who are at risk for in-hospital death or who are in need of transfer to the ICU.
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Да ли према старости коригована вредност скора MEWS пријема има прогностичку вредност у односу на коначан исход лења?

Душанка Обрадовић1,2, Биљана Јовеш1,2, Ивана Јуровић1, Марија Букоја1,2, Срђан Стефановић1, Станислава Совиљ-Гмизић1

1Институт за плућне болести Војводине, Сремска Каменица, Србија;
2Универзитет у Новом Саду, Медицински факултет, Нови Сад, Србија

Резултати Од укупно 369 болесника, 203 (55%) су били мушкарци, а просечна старост је била 62 ± 16 година. Неповољан исход лечења забележен је код 138 (37,41%) болесника: 27,37% је умрло; а 10,03% болесника премештено је у јединицу интензивног лечења. Просечна дужина хоспитализације била је 13 дана (IQR 7–15). Болесници са неповољним исходом имали су значајно веће вредности MEWS (3,68 ± 1,965 vs. 4,57 ± 2,33, p < 0,001), нижи БЕ (-0,139 ± 7,48 vs. -3,751 ± 6,159, p < 0,001), и виши лактат (2,299 ± 2,350 vs. 3,498 ± 3,578, p < 0,001). MEWS ≥ 4 (OR 1,90, CI 1,082–3,340, p = 0,026) се издвојио као једини независни предиктор морталитета. Површина испод криве (AUC) за MEWS у функцији предиктора морталитета била је 0,633 (95% CI 0,569–0,697). Корекцијом у односу на старост болесника, AUC је била 0,76 (95% CI 0,707–0,814).

Кључне речи: MEWS; лактат; БЕ; исход

САЖЕТАК
Увод Бодовни системи за рано препознање су важни за идентификацију критично оболелих, али да ли су и прогностички алат? Циљ је био проверити прогностичку вредност модификованог раноупозоравајућег бодовног скора (MEWS), лактата и базног ексцеса (БЕ) код болесника примљених у јединицу полуинтензивне терапије.

Методе Проспективна опсервациона студија обухватала је 369 болесника хоспитализованих у пулмолошку јединицу полуинтензивне терапије. Вредности MEWS скора, лактата и БЕ при пријему забележене су, као и доб болесника, пол и присуство коморбидитета. Негативни исход је дефинисан као смрт или премештај у јединицу интензивног лечења. Фактори за које је унавијантном анализом утврђена статистичка значајност анализирани уз помоћ мултиваријантне логистичке регресије, у циљу утврђивања независних предиктора неповољног исхода.

РЕЗУЛТАТИ Од укупно 369 болесника, 203 (55%) су били мушкарци, а просечна старост је била 62 ± 16 година. Неповољан исход лечења забележен је код 138 (37,41%) болесника: 27,37% је умрло; а 10,03% болесника премештено је у јединицу интензивног лечења. Просечна дужина хоспитализације била је 13 дана (IQR 7–15). Болесници са неповољним исходом имали су значајно веће вредности MEWS (3,68 ± 1,965 vs. 4,57 ± 2,33, p < 0,001), нижи БЕ (-0,139 ± 7,48 vs. -3,751 ± 6,159, p < 0,001), и виши лактат (2,299 ± 2,350 vs. 3,498 ± 3,578, p < 0,001). MEWS ≥ 4 (OR 1,90, CI 1,082–3,340, p = 0,026) се издвојио као једини независни предиктор морталитета. Површина испод криве (AUC) за MEWS у функцији предиктора морталитета била је 0,633 (95% CI 0,569–0,697). Корекцијом у односу на старост болесника, AUC је била 0,76 (95% CI 0,707–0,814).

Закључак Резултати студије потврђују прогностичку вредност MEWS бодовног система у односу на коначан исход лечења болесника јединици полуинтензивног лечења.

Кључне речи: MEWS; лактат; БЕ; исход