The use of green walls and the impact on air quality and life standard
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Abstract. People living in urban areas are exposed to a number of threats related with dense urban tissue and high number of vehicles. These include air pollution, traffic noise and high temperatures. In addition, large cities are struggling with high energy consumption for heating and cooling purposes. One of the possibilities to reduce the mentioned undesirable effects is the use of vegetation on the walls. Plants absorb the pollutants of air, produced the oxygen, mounted on external walls create thermal insulation and positively affect the psychological aspect. Green walls can be used both indoors and outdoors. The article presents literature review on green walls, describes their benefits and presents the calculations SPBT and possible energy savings taking into account the transmission losses for small residential building.

1 Introduction

Large cities are struggling with many problems associated with dense urban tissue. Released heat and pollution in municipal and industrial processes, as well as the transformation of urban areas are anthropogenic factors that have the greatest impact on the city's climate [1]. The quality of life in urbanized areas is closely related to air parameters prevailing in the human environment. The most important are temperature, humidity and the degree of pollution level. This applies to both outdoor and indoor air. The number of deaths resulting from air quality is very high and amounts around the world about 800,000 annually by outdoor air pollution and 1.5 million annually by indoor air pollution [2].

Vegetation in urban areas is used due to a number of applications. First of all, it eliminates air pollution, which have direct effects on the air quality. It is also well known that plants positively affect the well-being of a person, and thus also his/her physical and mental health [3].

The ecological approach in construction industry around the world is constantly growing. This results in actions to reduce energy consumption, to rise comfort of human life and to reduce air pollution by creating green construction. A number of tools have been developed to help to assess whether a building can be described as green. For this purpose, a multi-criteria assessment was created. Currently, buildings in Poland can apply for five different certificates LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design), BREEAM
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Table 1. LEED® categories with credits (and associated points) that a green wall can help earn [5].

| Sustainable Sites | Credit 3: Integrated Pest Management, Erosion Control and Landscape Management Plan (1 point) | – |
| Credit 5: Site Development: Protect or Restore Open Habitat (1 point) | + |
| Credit 6: Stormwater Quantity Control (1 point) | – |
| Credit 7.1: Heat Island Reduction: Non-Roof (1 point) | – |
| Credit 8: Light Pollution Reduction (1 point) | – |
| Water Efficiency | Credit 3: Water Efficient Landscaping (1–5 points) | + |
| Energy & Atmosphere | Credit 1: Optimize Energy Efficiency Performance (1–18 points) | + |
| Materials & Resources | Credit 3: Sustainable Purchasing: Facility Alterations and Additions (1 point) | – |
| Indoor Environmental Quality | Credit 1.4: IAQ Best Management Practices: Reduce Particulates in Air Distribution (1 point) | + |
| Credit 2.1: Occupant Comfort: Occupant Survey (1 point) | + |
| Credit 3.6: Green Cleaning: Indoor Integrated Pest Management (1 point) | – |
| Innovation in Operations | Credit 1: Innovation in Operations (1–4 points) | + |

* Qualifies for LEED® credit
+ Positively effects LEED® qualification
– No negative effect on LEED® credit

The most common around the world is LEED certification system, which distinguishes seven main categories, among which subcategories have been separated. Benefits resulting from the use of green walls and definition of categories are described Table 1. The vegetation on walls is included in two categories with total extra points of 36 to earn.

The most common forms of vegetation occurring in the city landscape are trees, shrubs and parks. Green roofs and walls are relatively new solutions for the development of urban space. In particular, vertical gardens are gaining popularity because their requirements are not so strict in comparison with green roofs.

2 Possibility of use green walls in/on buildings

The first modern introduction of green walls to the public area took place about 30 years ago. The French botanist Patric Blanc designed the first living wall system (Fig. 1. 4) [5]. It is made using dedicated boxes or panels placed on the entire surface of the wall in which the soil substrate and plants are located [6]. Internal constructions can be made of wood or plastic, because they are not exposed to external factors. A drip irrigation system is necessary to maintain vertical gardens. Vegetation for proper growth needs water and nutrients that are distributed through the fertilizer injector [5].

The elements of external constructions that are exposed to external factors, should be made of materials resistant to their influence, namely aluminum, galvanized steel or stainless steel [5].

An indispensable advantage of a living walls is that they can be made in any size and freely changed. The baskets and panels with small dimensions need no irrigation installation, therefore manual irrigation of plants is necessary in such issues.

The most popular green walls solution in external conditions is the green facade (Fig. 1–3). A characteristic feature of this type of solution is that the plants are climbing directly the building external walls or indirectly on ropes. The soil substrate is located only in one
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place – at the foot of the wall where the greenery is planted or in dedicated planter boxes. This system is made using vines such as ivy.

Fig. 1. Typology of green walls 1. Direct green façade, 2. Indirect green façade, 3. Indirect green façade combined with planter boxes, 4. Living wall systems [6].

3 Using green walls as health aspects

The positive effect of vegetation on humans has been proven a long time ago. Vegetation uses absorption to remove gaseous pollutants [7] and produces an oxygen. However, this is just one of many possibilities and positive aspects of their application. Green walls can affect the spread of harmful substances and isolate places exposed to sound pollution. In addition, the flora affects humans directly, improving their well-being [3].

3.1 Noise reduction

One of the pollution in the urban area is noise. Over 44% of the population living in the European continent is exposed to traffic noise of 44dB. It is bothersome especially in the case of dense urban tissue and along fast roads [8].

There are many literature sources [9–11] in which noise reduction using urban green, roofs and walls is described. Vegetation has one of the greater coefficients of sound absorption compared to other building materials. For a roof covered with a biological layer of 12 cm and 20 cm, the reduction of unwanted noise level is 40dB and 50dB, respectively [11, 12]. The noise reduction can be of a different level when using various plants and thicknesses of soil substrate. The scientists from Singapore [8] found out that the use of green facades can reduce the local sound intensity up to 10dB. Other research [13] describes the experiment in laboratory conditions that resulted the noise reduction of 15dB.

3.2 Improving an indoor air quality

External air pollution is the cause of premature death. According to WHO, more than 4.2 million people died worldwide in 2016 [14]. The most harmful substances for our health occurring in the urban area are suspended dust, whose aerodynamic diameter is less than 10μm (PM_{10}), nitrogen dioxide (NO_{2}), sulfur dioxide (SO_{2}), ozone [15] and carbon compounds (CO, CO_{2}) and ultrafine particles (UFP, < 100 nm) [7].

Research [3, 7, 16] shows that green walls can reduce the level of air pollution and thus improve the indoor air quality threefolds:

a) absorption of gaseous pollutants through stomata in plant leaves,

b) settling / sticking solid particles to the leaf surface,

c) passive accumulation of pollutants on the plant's root-soil system [17].
These processes can be harmful to plants. Chemical processes in which the assimilation of gases (CO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}) may cause the formation of toxic compounds, degrading cells and plant tissues [16] therefore to create green wall solutions more resistant species need to be chosen. In addition, the atmospheric particulate matter are adsorbed on the surface layer and it the long term can damage plants and under the strong wind and rain conditions, may return to the atmosphere or be absorbed into the soil [3].

![Fig. 2. Percentage of reduction in pollutant concentration with green walls [7].](image)

The percentage of pollution reduction differs depending on the type of chemical compounds being analyzed. Carbon dioxide, in concentrations above 1000ppm (by volume) in rooms, can lead to health problems [18]. Vegetation uses the photosynthesis process. It absorbs CO\textsubscript{2} from the environment at the same time releasing O\textsubscript{2}, which is associated with the improvement of air quality [19]. Depending on the plants used, weather conditions and the wall orientation, the degree of CO\textsubscript{2} reduction may vary. Using vegetation on the walls, which are exposed on proper sun lighting, the CO\textsubscript{2} content in the air can be reduced by 250 μmol m\textsuperscript{-2}/s [18]. Fallow in the Fig. 2, which presents modeled values, the particulate matter PM\textsubscript{10} level may be reduced up to 50%, while the amount of NO\textsubscript{2} in the air may be decreased about 60% [7]. The largest reduction can be observed for the smallest particles, even up to 95%.

Vegetation maintains the moisture in the closest surrounding. This may cause moisture trapping in the walls and the condensation of water vapor on the surface of the wall [20] increasing the risk of mold occurrence. Therefore, attention should be paid to the protection of surfaces exposed to condensation and to ensure adequate care of plants.

### 3.3 Pollutant dispersion

Green walls in the urban area are also important in the case of the spread of pollutants, which is presented in the article [7]. Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 present two ways of the use of vegetation on the walls, in the street canyon and in the cross section of the open road.

The use of vegetation on the open road section has an impact on the speed of air and at the same time on the rate of pollution spreading (Fig. 3). The most effective solution is to make green screens (Fig. 3c). In particular, in areas where increased pedestrian traffic occurs.
People who are near the road lane are not directly exposed to pollution, which is the result of fuel combustion in cars and raised from the road through the movement of car wheels. When the road lane is in close proximity to the buildings, both the buildings themselves and the area around them are exposed to pollution, and thus the habitants. The use of vegetation can change the nearby conditions, as presented at Fig. 4. In addition, the use of green walls on the buildings protects their façades from physical damages and reduces the negative phenomenon of Urban Heat Islands [5, 21].

Fig. 3. Dispersion patterns of road pollutants under open road configurations (a) without vegetation barrier (b) with vegetation, and (c) with green wall [7].

Fig. 4. Description of flow and pollutant dispersion patterns in a street canyon with and without different types of vegetation: (a) vegetation free street canyon, (b) street canyon with trees, (c) street canyon with hedges, and (d) street canyon with green roof and green wall [7].

3.4 Well-being

According to a study [22] people spend about 90% of their time indoors. External air pollution affects the quality of the indoor air. The literature [5] proves that conditions in rooms can be even ten times worse than the outside. Contaminants can enter to the building from outside through windows, hatchways and leaks or can be produced internally by people or finishing materials. Undesirable substances may lead building users to discomfort and/or be related to their health problems described as sick building syndromes [5, 23]. This can be
reduced by green walls. Research [5, 24] show that closeness to nature reduces obesity and reduces the likelihood of having a heart attack by lowering blood pressure. In addition, the proximity of plants in the work environment improves the efficiency of employees, speeds up the reaction time by up to 12% and helps them to focus [5]. The improvement of well-being, the feeling of relaxation and better concentration are also a result of the interaction of living greenery.

4 Cost and benefits installation of green walls

The use of vertical gardens gives a number of benefits also economic. Research [5] indicates that prices of properties with gardens, green roofs and walls are approximately 15% higher than similar without greenery [5].

4.1 Installations costs

In section 2, green wall implementation systems are presented. Their differences result from the construction and complexity of solutions and from the vegetation used. This, in turn, causes differences in the price of execution and installation. The simplest and the cheapest one is the direct green facade. For its implementation, it is only necessary to determine the place of lichen vegetation and plant it at the foot of the barrier. The cost of making a green direct facade varies between 30 and 45 EURO/m² [6]. A more expensive solution is the indirect green facade, which is valued at 40–75 EURO/m². The higher cost results from the elements necessary for this kind of green wall. In this case, steel structures should be used [6]. Another solution is the indirect green facade, in which the soil substrate is located in a dedicated box. The material of the container affects directly the price of a square meter of green wall. The price of a system made of plastic costs from 100 to 150 euro/m², when for a system made of zinc-coated steel even up to 800 euro/m² [6]. The most expensive and the most complex solution is the living wall. There is a technology that uses panels or special baskets filled with soil substrate. As a result, the soil surface in which the planting plants are located is close to the wall surface. Its use requires a number of elements. The most cost-intensive is the implementation of an irrigation installation and all supporting constructions. The cost of planting with all the necessary elements in the case of a living wall is from 400 to 1200 EURO/m² depending on the materials used and the type of vegetation [6].

4.2 Energy savings

An important element, strongly influencing the operational costs of the building, is the level of its energy consumption, therefore lowering the energy usage can significantly reduce the energy bills. Literature [21] confirms that the green façade influences the reduction of wall heat transfer coefficient. Depending on initial raw construction of the external wall, the additional plant layer may reduce the heat transfer coefficient even by a dozen or so percent. The data given in [21] concerns a cold-green plant layer with the thickness of 35 cm. An additional aspect of the use of green facades is related to the policy of European cities aimed at intensifying the development of green areas in cities and encouraging residents to do so. In order to achieve this goal in Wroclaw, the City Council, adopted a resolution [25], in which city residents are exempt from property tax. In order to assess the impact of the green façade on the energy consumption and related costs, the calculations for an existing building located in Wroclaw, Poland were made. The outcome is presented below. The facility is located in the city center, among buildings of similar height. External walls are made of solid brick on
the mortar with heat transfer coefficient of 1.25 W/m²K. This multifamily building consist of 4 floors. The plan area of the building is 144 m². The vegetation was assumed to be on all buildings external walls. The calculations shows that the annual energy saving for heating, determined only on the basis of a reduction in the heat transfer coefficient, will amount to less than 200 PLN (Fig. 5).

![Graph showing energy demand vs. month](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Fig. 5.** Annual energy savings after adding of greenery at the façade and taking into account only static losses through the building envelope.

The statistical economic indicator SPBT (Simply Pay Back Time) was used to determine the simple payback period of implementation greenery. SPBT is based on the assumption a constant value of money throughout the payback period and is described by the equation (1) as follows:

$$SPBT = \frac{Nu}{\sum_{n} \Delta QrU}$$

(1)

$Nu$ – planned costs of works related to the reduction of heat transfer losses for the total area of the selected wall/with the replacement of window and door joinery [PLN]

$\Delta QrU$ – annual energy savings resulting from the use of the improvement [PLN/year].

The investment cost for analyzed building with direct green façade was determined following the data published in the literature [6]. Its value amounts to 6,000 PLN. Taking into account the annual energy savings and the aforementioned local law, the payback time (SPBT) for this investment was calculated to be 13 years.

### 5 Summary

Nowadays big cities struggle with urban heat islands, bad quality of outdoor air and high energy costs in buildings located in dense urban tissue. The perspective to use the vegetation on the external walls seem to have enough advantages, described in the paper, to be applied into everyday city and citizens life. Apart those connected with the air quality green façades have a positive effect on the level of buildings energy consumption and thus it is also desirous as the additional element of existing buildings. The purpose of this paper was to point out pros of using plants as the external wall covering, and to check the level of savings in heat energy consumption on the example of uninsulated building. The reduction of the heat transfer losses for analyze premise is not significant. The simple pay back time (SPBT) reaches quite high value that is lowered by the land tax exemption (guaranteed by the local city regulations). However it is important to underline, that there was no shading effect taken into account nor additional cooling effect during the summer was calculated. Apart this
outcome, using the greenery on buildings is constantly desired action by the city governors and should be considered and deeply investigated in terms of utilization of buildings located in dense urban tissue.

The work can be presented on the conference thanks to the founds of the Faculty of Environmental Engineering, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Poland. Ref No. 0401/0055/18.
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