Effects of Sleep Deprivation on Working Memory: Change in Functional Connectivity Between the Dorsal Attention, Default Mode, and Fronto-Parietal Networks
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Sleep deprivation (SD) is very common in modern society and has a profound effect on cognitive function, in particular on working memory (WM). This type of memory is required for completion of many tasks and is adversely affected by SD. However, the cognitive neural mechanism by which SD affects WM, remains unclear. In this study, we investigated the changes in the brain network involved in WM after SD. Twenty-two healthy subjects underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging scan while in a state of resting wakefulness and again after 36 h of total SD and performed a WM task before each scanning session. Nineteen main nodes of the default mode network (DMN), dorsal attention network (DAN), fronto-parietal network (FPN), salience network (SN), and other networks were selected for functional analysis of brain network connections. Functional connectivity measures were computed between seed areas for region of interest (ROI)-to-ROI analysis and to identify patterns of ROI-to-ROI connectivity. The relationship between the significant changes in functional connectivity in the brain network and WM performance were then examined by Pearson’s correlation analysis. WM performance declined significantly after SD. Compared with the awake state, the functional connectivity between DAN and DMN significantly increased after SD while that between FPN and DMN significantly decreased. Correlation analysis showed that the enhanced functional connectivity between DAN and DMN was negatively correlated with the decline in WM performance and that the decline in functional connectivity between FPN and DMN was positively correlated with decreased WM performance. These findings suggested that SD may affect WM by altering the functional connectivity among DMN, DAN, and FPN.
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INTRODUCTION

Sleep is very important in both humans and animals. However, the pace and pressure of modern life is increasing, and an ever-increasing number of individuals are reporting sleep problems. Sleep deprivation (SD) has many negative physical and psychological effects, one of which is an adverse impact on cognitive function (Frenda et al., 2014; Boardman et al., 2018; Kusztor et al., 2019). Research on sleep disorders may help to improve our understanding of sleep and the negative outcomes associated with sleep disorders.

Working memory (WM) is a limited memory system that processes and temporarily stores information and plays an important role in many complex cognitive activities (Baddeley, 2010). It is also the basis for higher cognitive functions, including understanding, learning, and reasoning. The role of WM in advanced cognitive activities is important and much research effort has been focused on its composition and structure. Baddeley (2007) divided the WM system into three parts: the central executive system, which is the core component, and two other subordinate systems, the visuospatial sketchpad, which can maintain and operate visual and spatial information, and the phonological loop, which can passively store and actively retell voice information. Baddeley (2012) subsequently added another subordinate system, the episodic buffer, which can temporarily integrate multiple information from different coding systems. In addition to Baddeley’s multi-component WM model, there are other WM models, including Cowan’s embedded-processes model and Oberauer’s concentric model (Cowan, 1999; Oberauer, 2002; Chein et al., 2003). However, the most widely accepted model is the multi-component model devised by Baddeley.

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is an important brain region for central executive function and is the core subcomponent of WM (Jones and Harrison, 2001; Jemery and Volker, 2019). A large number of studies have shown that the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and PFC, which includes the ventrolateral PFC (VLPFC) and the dorsolateral PFC (DLPFC), contain areas that are important for WM (Jansma et al., 2000; Collette and Linden, 2002; Curtis and D’esposito, 2003; Bunge et al., 2005; Badre and Wagner, 2007). For example, the DLPFC plays an important role in task coordination and attention distribution in executive control (Blumenfeld and Ranganath, 2007). Furthermore, there are many studies on the relationship between WM and functional connectivity in the brain (Luerding et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2017). Many functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies on the mechanism of WM in the brain suggest that resting-state fMRI is an effective tool for exploring the neural mechanisms of WM (Heuvel and Pol, 2010; Biswal, 2012).

Sleep deprivation causes a decline in many cognitive functions, one of the most sensitive of which is WM (Chee et al., 2006; Preece, 2012; Martinez-Cancino et al., 2015). Behavioral studies of WM after SD found that the longer the duration of SD, the longer the response time and the lower the accuracy rate (Lu, 2016; Tempesta et al., 2017; Harrington et al., 2018). fMRI studies have found that several brain regions, including the VLPFC, DLPFC, ACC, and posterior parietal cortex (PPC) are involved in processing of WM (Greicius et al., 2003; Owen et al., 2005; Badre and Wagner, 2007; Wu et al., 2014) and that the DLPFC, ACC, and posterior parietal lobe (PPL) are associated with WM load (Cappell et al., 2010; Yoon et al., 2016). There are changes in the connections between these brain regions after SD, as well as changes in the functional connectivity networks within these regions, which include the Default Mode Network, Dorsal Attention Network, Frontoparietal Network, and Salience Network (DMN, DAN, FPN, and SN, respectively) (Mu et al., 2005; Gujar et al., 2010; Havas et al., 2012). The changes in the functional connectivity in these brain regions may help us to understand the physiological basis of the effects of SD on WM. Therefore, these four brain function networks were our focus in this study.

Although there have many explorations of the neural mechanism involved in the decline in cognitive function and WM performance in sleep-deprived subjects, there is limited information on the changes in WM performance and the functional brain network after SD. The existing research has not clarified the impact of changes in network node functional connections. Analyses of WM based on a theoretical model should be closely related to the cognitive mechanism of attention but are rare. Therefore, this study selected the main nodes of the functional brain network that are closely related to WM to analyze the relationship between changes in the functional connections within these networks and the decrease in WM based on the WM model. Our research assumed that the mechanism of the decline in WM after SD was related to changes in functional connections between the FPN, DAN, and DMN.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The study participants were recruited by advertisements placed at Beihang University. The inclusion criteria were right-handedness, normal or corrected vision, normal cognitive function, and at least average intelligence (confirmed by Combined Raven Test score of ≥50%). Participants were excluded if they had neurological or cardiovascular disease, cataract or glaucoma, pulmonary disease, hearing problems, as well as substance abusers. Participants also had to be free of sleep disorders. All participants were counseling to avoid smoking and drinking coffee and to aim for 8 h of regular sleep per day during the week before the experiment. Finally, 22 individuals were eligible to participate in the study. The study was approved by the ethics committee of The General Hospital of PLA (Beijing, China). All study participants fully understood the protocol and provided written informed consent before participating in the experiment.

Experimental Paradigm

The experiment was performed at Beihang University. All study participants had access to medical staff for rescue intervention at any time outside the laboratory for the duration of the study. For continuous behavioral monitoring, we matched participants with a partner to help them stay awake at night. Participants could...
not leave the laboratory after the start of SD and were escorted to the scanning room.

All the participants arrived at the laboratory at 4 pm on day 1 and completed a demographic questionnaire and the Self-Rating Anxiety Scale, Self-Rating Depression Scale, and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index tests. At approximately 8 pm on day 1, fMRI was performed when the subject was in a state of resting wakefulness (RW), after which WM tests were performed. After a routine nocturnal sleep period, total sleep deprivation (TSD) was started at 8 am on day 2 and ended at 8 pm on day 3. The study participants were required to remain awake for the entire 36-h TSD session (Terán-Pérez et al., 2012). The participants underwent fMRI scanning at 8 pm on day 3 and then repeated the WM tests. The experimental design is shown in Figure 1.

**WM Task Paradigm**

In recent decades, the n-back paradigm has been widely used in the study of WM because the task is of moderate difficulty and sensitivity. This paradigm is often used to measure executive control in WM and to explore the neural mechanism of WM based on resting-state fMRI data (Martínez-Cancino et al., 2015; Soveri et al., 2017; Costers et al., 2020). The task requires the subject to monitor a stimulus sequence to determine if the stimulus currently presented is the same as that presented before several trials. So, participants need to timely monitor, update, and manipulate the information that is remembered (Seidman et al., 2006). Our study evaluated the WM performance of each subject through the 2-back task of visual WM. We adopted “Something Special” as the 2-back task with English letters as the external stimuli, although all the participants were native Chinese speakers. The participants received a series of visual letter stimuli on a computer screen and were asked to press the confirmation button on the keyboard as soon as possible, if the current letter was identical to that presented two trials back. The stimulus material included 15 upper case letters and 15 lower case letters (excluding letters similar to glyphs, such as L and M), a total of 122 letters with a letter size of 2.0 × 2.0 cm (width 1.5°, height 1.5°), and a test time of about 5 min. In the experiment, a prompt message (a white “+”) was displayed in the center of the display for a duration of 200 ms. After the prompt message had disappeared for 1 s, letters were displayed in the center of the screen one by one with a duration of 400 ms for each letter. The stimulus interval was 1600 ms. There were three 2-back blocks, each consisting of 30 stimuli, including 10 target letters. Before each task block started, an introduction instructed the subject how to perform the task. Finally, the study used the correct response per second to assess WM performance. The WM task was performed out of the scan and immediately after the fMRI scan was completed. To calculate the correct response per second, the most rapid 10% responses of each subject were selected as the most accurate test of WM, drawing lessons from the calculation method of the psychomotor vigilance test (PVT) indicator (Basner and Dinges, 2011; Chen et al., 2018).

**Resting State Paradigm**

All participants underwent structural MRI and fMRI twice, in the resting state on both occasions. During the scans, participants were requested to stay awake with eyes open, remain still, and not think about anything in particular.

---

**FIGURE 1** | Experimental design and protocol. All the participants enter the laboratory at 16:00 on Day 1, and then complete the regular test. At approximately 20:00 on Day 1, fMRI scanning under RW condition will be done, followed by behavioral tests (working memory). After a routine nocturnal sleep period, TSD started at 8:00 on Day 2 and ended at 20:00 at on Day 3. During the whole TSD session, the participants needed to keep awaking for 36 h. The participants performed fMRI scanning after TSD at 20:00 on Day 3, and then had behavioral tests (working memory).
Data Acquisition

Standard static functional images and T2* weighted echo-planar image (EPI) sequences were obtained at 309th Hospital of PLA using a 3.0T Signa scanner (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) with a birdcage RF head coil. The scanning protocol consists of (i) localization, (ii) T1-flair anatomy (TR = 2 s, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 12°, FoV = 256 × 256 mm, Sag slice number = 192, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm, no slice gap), and (iii) one resting-state session (TR = 2 s, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, FoV = 256 × 256 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, Slice = 35, Slice thickness = 3 mm, Slice gap = 1 mm). The scan duration was 480 s. The subject was placed in the scanner with the head comfortably restricted by foam padding to reduce head movement and earplugs to attenuate the noise of the scanner. During the resting-state scan, all participants was asked to keep their eyes open, lie as still as possible, and not think about anything too specific. A pulse oximeter was attached to a finger to record heart activity. All study participants also wore pressure bands around the waist to record breathing activity. The cardiac and respiratory signals collected were synchronized with the fMRI data to ensure that physiological changes would be removed during regression analysis. To ensure that participants did not fall asleep during the scan, the researcher monitored them using a camera and reminded them of the need to stay awake through a microphone before each scan if necessary. After each trial, the participants were asked if they had remained awake and all reported that they had done so.

Data Preprocessing

The functional images were preprocessed using SPM12 (University College London1) and CONN toolbox v17a (<Neuroimaging Informatics Tools and Resources http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/>)}

---

**TABLE 2 | DMN, DAN, FPN and SN networks main node and location in resting Networks.**

| network | ROI | ROI name | MNI center |
|---------|-----|----------|------------|
| DMN     | ILP | Left paracentral lobule | −39, −77,33 |
|         | MPFC | Medial prefrontal cortex | 1,55, −3 |
|         | PCC | Posterior cingulate | 1, −61,38 |
|         | rLP | Right paracentral lobule | 47, −67,29 |
| DAN     | rIFEF | Right frontal eye field | −27, −9,64 |
|         | rIPS | Right intraparietal sulcus | −39, −43,52 |
|         | rFEF | Right frontal eye field | 30, −6,64 |
| FPN     | rLPFC | Right lateral prefrontal cortex | 41,38,30 |
|         | rPPC | Right posterior parietal cortex | 52, −52,45 |
| SN      | ACC | Anterior cingulate | 0,22,38 |
|         | rAInsula | Right anterior insula | 47,14,0 |
|         | rIPFC | Right rostral prefrontal cortex | 32,46,27 |
|         | rSMG | Right supramarginal gyrus | 62, −35,32 |

DAN, dorsal attention network; DMN, default mode network; FPN, fronto-parietal network; SN, salience network.

---

**FIGURE 2 | Worse working memory performance contrasting in RW vs. TSD: After 36 h TSD, correct response per second decreased by a paired t-test [**p < 0.0001]. TSD, total sleep deprivation; RW, rested wakefulness.**

**FIGURE 3 | DMN, DAN, FPN, and SAN node location in resting Networks: According to the network template in CONN, 19 main nodes of the four networks related to working memory are selected, and their locations are shown in the figure. Their specific names and coordinates are shown in Table 2. DAN, dorsal attention network; DMN, default mode network; FPN, fronto-parietal network; SAN, salience network.**
Clearinghouse) software implemented in the 2016 version of MATLAB. CONN is a MATLAB-based cross-platform software for computing and analyzing functional connections in brain regions based on fMRI signals. This software has been widely used and its reliability has been well documented (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). The first 10 volumes of the functional time series of each epoch were discarded so that the signal was stable, and participants were accustomed to scanning noise. Rotation and movement of all participants was within 2 mm or 2 degrees in the x, y, and z planes, respectively. Next, slice-timing and head-motion corrections were performed. Volumes were normalized to the standard EPI template in the Montreal Institute of Neuroscience space and restored to $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm. The resulting images were spatially smoothed with a 6 mm full width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel (Lu et al., 2020). In order to minimize the impact of motion and physiological noise factors, such as cardiac and respiratory signals, the CompCor approach was used for spatial and temporal preprocessing to define and remove confounds in the BOLD signal (Behzadi et al., 2007).

**Functional Connectivity Analysis**

We scanned the ROI-ROI connectivity matrix, tested the hypotheses, and visualized data using the CONN toolbox implemented in the 2016 version of MATLAB version and SPM12. Nineteen ROIs from the DAN, DMN, FPN, and SN in the brain were drawn from the CONN software template (conn/rois/networks.nii). All the ROIs in the four networks were imported into the CONN toolbox. Functional connectivity measures were computed between seed areas for ROI-to-ROI analysis and to identify patterns of ROI-to-ROI connectivity. Partial correlation was used to estimate the functional connectivity between two nodes (Li et al., 2020). We compared functional connectivity between the RW and TSD scans using two-tailed paired $t$-tests. The significance of ROI-to-ROI connectivity was determined based on FDR-corrected $p$-Values. The analysis of TSD versus RW connectivity was set at an FDR-corrected $p$-Value of 0.05 for the voxel-level height threshold in both ROI-to-ROI and seed ROI tests.

**Behavioral Correlation**

The relationship between the decline in WM and changes in functional connectivity were examined using correlation analysis. Correlations between changes in the correct response time (the 10% most rapid WM trials) and altered functional connectivity before and after SD were calculated. The significance level was kept at $p < 0.05$ to obtain the correlation between ROI and behavior.

**RESULTS**

**Physiological Data**

Table 1 shows the demographic data, psychological features, and sleep characteristics of the study participants. All participants were monitored for breathing and heart rate during the fMRI scans. The paired $t$-test was used to compare the mean respiration

---

**FIGURE 4** | ROI-to-ROI connectivity of four networks in panels (A) RW and (B) TSD scans [$p < 0.05$ FDR set wise corrected for all comparisons across the entire network]. ROI, region of interest; TSD, total sleep deprivation; RW, rested wakefulness. DAN, dorsal attention network; DMN, default mode network; FPN, fronto-parietal network; SAN, salience network.
TABLE 3 | Resting state functional connectivity ROI pairs within- and between-DAN, DMN, FPN, and SN network for RW scans (t-test).

| Network | ROI-to-ROI | t  | p-FDR |
|---------|------------|----|-------|
| Within-SN | ACC-lAInsula | 7.3 | 0.0000 |
|          | ACC-lRPFC | 3.89 | 0.0022 |
|          | ACC-lISMG | 5.76 | 0.0001 |
|          | ACC-lAInsula | 6.54 | 0.0000 |
|          | ACC-lRPFC | 3.18 | 0.0089 |
|          | ACC-lISMG | 2.92 | 0.0148 |
|          | lAInsula-lRPFC | 6.02 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lISMG | 6.58 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lAInsula | 11.91 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lRPFC | 3.51 | 0.0053 |
|          | lAInsula-lISMG | 5.09 | 0.0002 |
|          | IRPFc-lISMG | 4.45 | 0.0013 |
|          | IRPFc-lAInsula | 4.06 | 0.0025 |
|          | IRPFc-lRPFC | 8.25 | 0.0000 |
|          | IRPFc-lISMG | 3.85 | 0.0028 |
|          | ISMG-lAInsula | 9.51 | 0.0000 |
|          | ISMG-lRPFC | 3.77 | 0.0028 |
|          | ISMG-lISMG | 7.87 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lRPFC | 10.58 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lISMG | 9.67 | 0.0000 |
|          | lRPFC-lISMG | 6.96 | 0.0000 |
| Within-FPN | ILPFc-lPPC | 8.47 | 0.0000 |
|          | ILPFc-lLPFC | 5.30 | 0.0001 |
|          | ILPFc-lRPFC | 6.79 | 0.0000 |
|          | iPPC-rLPFC | 7.88 | 0.0000 |
|          | rLPFC-rPPC | 14.18 | 0.0000 |
| Within-DMN | ILP-lMPFC | 4.71 | 0.0003 |
|          | ILP-PCC | 5.48 | 0.0001 |
|          | ILP-lLP | 13.49 | 0.0000 |
|          | MPFC-PCC | 3.30 | 0.0154 |
|          | MPFC-lLP | 5.36 | 0.0005 |
|          | PCC-lLP | 5.63 | 0.0002 |
| Within-DAN | IFEF-lIPS | 4.37 | 0.0048 |
|          | IFEF-lFEF | 3.56 | 0.0168 |
|          | IFEF-lIPS | 3.04 | 0.0371 |
|          | IIPS-rFEF | 3.12 | 0.0187 |
|          | IIPS-rIPS | 10.22 | 0.0000 |
|          | rFEF-lIPS | 4.57 | 0.0030 |
| SN-FPN | rPPC-lAInsula | 2.96 | 0.0468 |
|          | rSMG-lLPFC | 3.58 | 0.0040 |
|          | ACC-lPPC | 4.54 | 0.0005 |
|          | ISMG-lPPC | 3.73 | 0.0028 |
|          | ISMG-lLPFC | 3.50 | 0.0043 |
|          | ISMG-rPPC | 4.71 | 0.0003 |
|          | ISMG-lLPFC | 3.31 | 0.0055 |
|          | ISMG-lPPC | 2.89 | 0.0122 |
|          | rPPC-lLPFC | 3.54 | 0.0062 |
|          | rPPC-lPPC | 2.98 | 0.0161 |
|          | ACC-lPPC | 4.74 | 0.0004 |
|          | ACC-lLPFC | 4.72 | 0.0004 |
|          | ACC-lLPFC | 3.49 | 0.0050 |

(Continued)

and heart rate values in each subject before and after SD. No difference in the heart rate \((t = \frac{-0.258}{0.05})\) or respiration rate \((t = 0.960, p > 0.05)\) was found between the RW and TSD conditions (Table 1).

### Changes in WM Performance Before and After SD

Using a paired \(t\)-test, we found that SD led to a significant decline in WM \((t_{21} = 9.508, p < 0.0001, \text{see Figure 2 and Table 1})\), which is consistent with previous studies (Drummond et al., 2012; Martinez-Cancino et al., 2015; Lu, 2016; Tempesta et al., 2017; Harrington et al., 2018).

### Resting Networks

According to the CONN template, 19 brain regions in the DMN, DAN, FPN, and SN were selected as ROIs. The DMN includes the left and right paracentral lobules (lLP and rLP), medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC), and posterior cingulate cortex (PCC); the DAN includes the left and right frontal eye fields, left intraparietal sulcus, and right intraparietal sulcus (rIPS); the FPN includes the left lateral prefrontal cortex, right lateral prefrontal cortex (rLPFC), left and right posterior parietal cortices; and the SN includes the ACC, left and right anterior insulae, left and right...
TABLE 4 | Resting state functional connectivity ROI pairs within- and between-DAN, DMN, FPN, and SN network for TSD scans (t-test).

| Network | ROI-to-ROI | t    | p-FDR |
|---------|------------|------|-------|
| Within-SN | ACC-lAInsula | 11.44 | 0.0000 |
|          | ACC-rAInsula | 7.87  | 0.0000 |
|          | ACC-rSMG    | 3.83  | 0.0025 |
|          | ACC-rRPFC   | 4.12  | 0.0017 |
|          | rAInsula-IPFC | 6.02 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-lSMG | 7.79 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-rAInsula | 11.61 | 0.0000 |
|          | lAInsula-rRPFC | 4.70  | 0.0003 |
|          | lAInsula-rSMG | 5.18  | 0.0001 |
|          | rAInsula-rSMG | 8.12  | 0.0000 |
|          | rSMG-lAInsula | 7.72  | 0.0000 |
|          | rAInsula-lSMG | 8.66  | 0.0000 |
|          | rRPFC-rSMG  | 8.07  | 0.0000 |
| Within-FPN | ILPFC-lPPC | 7.51  | 0.0000 |
|           | ILPFC-rPFC | 4.90  | 0.0003 |
|           | ILPFC-lPPC | 6.21  | 0.0000 |
|           | rPFC-lPFC | 6.50  | 0.0000 |
|           | rPFC-rPFC | 11.36 | 0.0000 |
|           | rPFC-lPPC | 6.30  | 0.0000 |
| Within-DMN | ILPFC-MPFC | 6.18  | 0.0000 |
|           | ILP-PPC | 6.52  | 0.0000 |
|           | ILP-rLP | 13.70 | 0.0000 |
|           | MPFC-PPC | 5.60  | 0.0001 |
|           | rPFC-rLP | 6.86  | 0.0000 |
|           | rLP-rLP | 5.35  | 0.0002 |
| Within-DAN | IFEF-ILPS | 4.31  | 0.0028 |
|           | IFEF-rFEF | 5.42  | 0.0004 |
|           | IFEF-rIPS | 3.03  | 0.0230 |
|           | rIPS-rFEF | 4.11  | 0.0018 |
|           | rIPS-rIPS | 13.56 | 0.0000 |
|           | rFEF-rIPS | 3.69  | 0.0062 |
| SN-FPN | ACC-lILPC | 4.64  | 0.0006 |
|           | ACC-IPPC | 2.60  | 0.0302 |
|           | ACC-rLPFC | 4.88  | 0.0005 |
|           | lAInsula-ILPC | 3.80 | 0.0021 |
|           | rSMG-rLPFC | 4.11  | 0.0012 |
|           | rIPFC-lILPC | 5.04  | 0.0002 |
|           | ISMG-IPPC | 6.43  | 0.0000 |
|           | ISMG-lILPC | 3.27  | 0.0060 |
|           | ISMG-rLPFC | 2.96  | 0.0113 |
|           | rAInsula-ILPC | 3.41 | 0.0053 |
|           | ACC-PPC | 3.52  | 0.0041 |
|           | rPFC-lILPC | 5.79  | 0.0000 |
|           | rRPFC-rPFC | 2.41  | 0.0460 |

(Continued)

| Network | ROI-to-ROI | t    | p-FDR |
|---------|------------|------|-------|
| SN-DAN | lAInsula-lIPS | 7.89  | 0.0000 |
|         | lAInsula-lPFC | 3.95  | 0.0019 |
|         | lAInsula-rLP | 2.29  | 0.0491 |
|         | rAInsula-rLP | 6.61  | 0.0000 |
|         | rSMG-IPPC | 2.47  | 0.0045 |
|         | rSMG-lILPC | 2.83  | 0.0181 |
|         | rSMG-ILPC | 5.50  | 0.0001 |
|         | rSMG-lPFC | 9.55  | 0.0000 |
|         | rSMG-rLP | 3.77  | 0.0034 |
| FPN-DAN | rLPFC-lILPC | 10.79 | 0.0000 |
|         | rLPFC-ILPC | 4.63  | 0.0004 |
|         | rLPFC-lIPPC | 2.47  | 0.0045 |
|         | rLPFC-lLLPC | 2.83  | 0.0181 |
|         | rLPFC-lPFC | 5.50  | 0.0001 |
|         | rLPFC-lPPC | 9.55  | 0.0000 |
|         | rLPFC-lrPPC | 3.77  | 0.0034 |
|         | rLPFC-lIPPC | 2.47  | 0.0045 |
|         | rLPFC-lrPPC | 2.83  | 0.0181 |
|         | rLPFC-lPFC | 5.50  | 0.0001 |
|         | rLPFC-lPPC | 9.55  | 0.0000 |
|         | rLPFC-lrPPC | 3.77  | 0.0034 |

FDR, false discovery rate; ROI, region of interest; TSD, total sleep deprivation. p < 0.05 FDR set-wise corrected for all comparisons across the entire network. DAN, dorsal attention network; DMN, default mode network; FPN, fronto-parietal network; SN, salience network.

Changes in Four Brain Network Connections Before and After SD

After TSD, the functional connectivity was higher between DAN and DMN (rIPS-lLP) (t(21) = 4.22, p = 0.0045, FDR-corrected) and lower between FPN and DMN (rLFPC-rLP) (t(21) = −4.11, p = 0.0045, FDR-corrected) when compared with the RW condition. The results are shown in Figure 5 and Table 5.
Correlations Between Changes in Strength of Connectivity and WM

In order to explore the relationship between changes in functional connectivity and WM, we calculated the correlation between the change in correct responses per second of WM and that of the two functional connectivity before and after SD. The change in the number of correct responses per second was negatively correlated with increased functional connectivity of rIPS-rLP (DAN-DMN) and positively correlated with decreased functional connectivity of rLPFC-rLP (FPN-DMN). The results are shown in Figure 6.

DISCUSSION

Our study analyzed functional connectivity in the resting state of healthy subjects before and after SD. We found that functional connectivity increased between the DAN and DMN after SD and decreased between the FPN and DMN networks. Moreover, there was a significant positive relationship between enhancement of functional connectivity between the DAN and DMN and the decline in WM performance and a significant negative relationship between decreased functional connectivity between the FPN and DMN and the decline in WM performance. This finding indicates that enhanced connectivity between the DAN and DMN may have an important effect on the decline in WM after SD.

The main finding of this study was that SD enhances the functional connectivity between DAN and DMN and that this change is significantly related to a decline in WM performance. From the perspective of the WM model, DAN should be the core brain network for processing and maintaining visuospatial sketchpad (Fairhall et al., 2009; Tamber-Rosenau et al., 2018). The main function of DAN is to provide top-down attention to the outside world and participate in the completion of exogenous
TABLE 5 | ROI-to-ROI functional connectivity statistics of network: comparisons between RW and TSD scans ($t$-test).

| Network          | ROI-to-ROI     | $t$  | $p$-FDR |
|------------------|----------------|------|---------|
| DAN-DMN          | rIPS-rLP       | 4.22 | 0.0045  |
| FPN-DMN          | rLPFC-rLP      | -4.11| 0.0045  |

ROI, region of interest; FDR, false discovery rate; RW, rested wakefulness; TSD, total sleep deprivation. $p < 0.005$ FDR set wise corrected for all comparisons across the entire network. DAN, dorsal attention network; DMN, default mode network; FPN, fronto-parietal network; SN, salience network.

The main function of DMN is the individual’s continuous attention to self, which antagonizes the function of DAN (Lei et al., 2013). DMN mainly includes the PFC, PCC, and ACC, which is the neural basis of the self and is related to maintaining the awake state (Moran et al., 2013). DMN is very special among brain networks because it is often more activated in the resting state than in the task state (Qin and Northoff, 2011). One possible explanation for this is that the DMN can interfere with attention to specific tasks (Schilbach et al., 2008). The enhanced functional connection between the DAN and DMN found in this study indicates that SD reduces the ability of the individual to distinguish between internal and external sources, which may result in inefficient allocation of attention resources. Moreover, there was a significant negative relationship between the enhanced connectivity between the DAN and DMN and the change in WM scores, confirming that changes in brain network connectivity do affect cognitive function in humans.

Another main finding of this study was that the functional connectivity between FPN and DMN declines after SD, which is in accordance with previous research showing that the functional connectivity of DMN and its anti-networks declines after SD (Gujar et al., 2010; Havas et al., 2012). Further analysis found a significant positive relationship between this decline and changes in WM performance. FPN does not have left-right symmetry; hence, we need to distinguish the right FPN from the left. The left FPN is mainly related to verbal expression and memory (Zhu et al., 2017), and the right FPN basically participates in any task that requires cognitive control, such as WM and activity suppression (Seo et al., 2012). The FPN is considered a central actuator in the WM model and responsible for the macro-control of WM information processing (Sakagami and Tsutsui, 1999; Jones and Harrison, 2001; Wang et al., 2010; Jemery and Volker, 2019). There is a difference between DAN and FPN in terms of WM tasks that require constant monitoring and manipulation of information. In short, DAN is mainly involved in information manipulation, while FPN plays a more important role in information monitoring (Champod and Petrides, 2010).

Our results are in line with those of many other behavioral studies (Van et al., 2012; Lu, 2016; Tempesta et al., 2017; Harrington et al., 2018) but not all of them. Dunsmoor et al. (2015) found that sleep could selectively effect memory of related events but that sleep is not necessary to strengthen memory. The time interval between the experience itself and recall may be more important. Gerhardsson et al. (2019) found no impairment of WM in sleep-deprived elderly subjects but found differences in effects of SD on WM in people of different ages. In an electroencephalographic (EEG) study, memory performance worsened after SD and there was a significant change in the correlation between the left and right PFC, indicating that SD impairs memory by affecting the PFC (Li et al., 2014). This result is consistent with the finding by Yeung et al. (2018) in a study using near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) that the frontal cortex was inhibited after SD. In studies of event-related potentials, it was found that performance on memory tasks decreased after SD and that there was a change in the values of some EEG...
components, including N2, P3, and P6 (Mograss et al., 2008, 2009; Qi et al., 2010).

Neuroimaging studies of SD have found that many changes in cognitive function are related to changes in DMN and other brain network connections (Havas et al., 2012; Esposito et al., 2018; Jemery and Volker, 2019). Our research found similar results. WM models address situations where cognitive resources are sufficient and cognitive function is adjusted. However, it has been found that these models are affected by significant changes in attention resources after SD (Anderson and Horne, 2006; Lim and Dinges, 2010). Therefore, attentional resource factors should be added to this model to make it applicable to interpretation of generation of WM and changes in its mechanisms under a wider range of conditions. Future research should evaluate the correlation between collaborative changes in brain networks and changes in WM under different attention resources.

LIMITATIONS

The main shortcoming of this study is that it only recruited young male subjects, so its results cannot be extrapolated to women or individuals in other age groups. Previous studies have shown that effects of SD on WM can vary with age (Gerhardsson et al., 2019). Therefore, subsequent research should include subjects of both sexes and a broader range of ages. Second, although we asked the subjects to stay awake during the resting scan and took measures to keep them awake, we cannot exclude the possibility that some may have fallen asleep during the scanning procedure. If this occurred, the effect of SD will be affected. Therefore, future researchers should include EEG to obtain objective data to identify whether the subjects actually fall asleep. Third, we used only the 2-back paradigm to measure WM, which cannot analyze changes in WM load and functional connectivity within the brain network.

CONCLUSION

In short, current study observed significant changes in connections between brain networks and found a link between changes in brain networks and changes in WM after SD. This may help us to clarify the brain network mechanism that sleep affects WM, the relationship between different brain regions and brain networks and WM, and to treat some memory disorders.
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