Interaction of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles with endothelial cells: internalization and inhibition of angiogenesis in vitro through the PI3K/Akt pathway
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Abstract: Nano-hydroxyapatite (nano-HAP) has been proposed as a better candidate for bone tissue engineering; however, the interactions of nano-HAP with endothelial cells are currently unclear. In this study, HAP nanoparticles (HANPs; 20 nm np20 and 80 nm np80) and micro-sized HAP particles (m-HAP; 12 μm) were employed to explore and characterize cellular internalization, subcellular distribution, effects of HANPs on endothelial cell function and underlying mechanisms using human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) as an in vitro model. It was found that HANPs were able to accumulate in the cytoplasm, and both adhesion and uptake of the HANPs followed a function of time; compared to np80, more np20 had been uptaken at the end of the observation period. HANPs were mainly uptaken via clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis, while macropinocytosis was the main pathway for m-HAP uptake. Unexpectedly, exposure to HANPs suppressed the angiogenic ability of HUVECs in terms of cell viability, cell cycle, apoptosis response, migration and capillary-like tube formation. Strikingly, HANPs reduced the synthesis of nitric oxide (NO) in HUVECs, which was associated with the inhibition of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and phosphorylation of eNOS. These findings provide additional insights into specific biological responses as HANPs interface with endothelial cells.
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Introduction

As a representative of the bioactive ceramic, hydroxyapatite (HAP) exhibits excellent osteoconductivity and biocompatibility, rendering it a good candidate for bone tissue engineering and components of dental implants.1–3 However, insufficient mechanical strength and slow biodegradability of HAP restrict extensive application, and the limitations of HAP reignite intense interest in the development of nano-HAP, to some degree mimicking the inorganic component of native bone matrix. Recently, nano-HAP has been reported as a better candidate for bone repairs and implants such as scaffolds, filling materials and bioactive coatings on materials such as titanium and its alloys.4–6 Investigations have revealed that nano-HAP powders possess improved densification and sinterability due to increased surface area, which could ameliorate fracture toughness, and other mechanical properties.7 In addition, nano-HAP, compared to HAP, showed favorable effect on cell proliferation of human osteoblast-like cells in vitro and stimulated hard tissue regeneration in vivo.8,9 Nevertheless, the exact role of nano-HAP in bone repair, especially the actual relationship between nano-HAP and important cells involved in bone regeneration, is far from being clarified, and the biocompatibility of nano-HAP is still a matter of debate.
Once HAP nanoparticles (HANPs) have entered the body as artificial bone materials, endothelial cells (ECs) lining the lumen of blood vessels will inevitably come into contact with them. ECs not only are implicated in angiogenesis but also serve as biological barrier, mediating removal of nanoparticles (NPs) to maintain body homeostasis. Therefore, interactions of HANPs with ECs are one of the most important items to understand the bioactivity and biocompatibility of nano-HAP. A general consensus exists that a detailed understanding of the process and mechanisms underlying cellular NPs uptake is crucial for exploring the effects of nanomaterials on biological systems and evaluating their potential harm to organisms, which will promote a safer and more efficient application of nanomaterials in biomedical fields. So far, a study by Bauer et al revealed that HANPs as drug and gene delivery system could be internalized by liver cancer cells through clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Santos et al found that HANPs were readily uptaken by osteoblastic cells via endocytosis. However, to our knowledge, there are few reports on the internalization of HANPs in ECs and the precise mechanisms that might mediate such process.

Bone repair highly relies on angiogenesis to provide metabolic needs, such as nutrients, renewable autologous cells and various growth factors. Since ECs account for vascular formation, an ideal bone substitute should have stimulatory ability for EC functions. Therefore, it is central to understand the influence of HANPs on EC actions, such as cellular proliferation and migration. It has been reported that ECs maintain biochemical and morphological markers of healthy endothelium in the presence of HAP nanocrystals. Holmes et al conjugated polyactic acid (PLA) scaffolds with nano-HAP. Their experiments showed that ECs could grow on the surface of the scaffolds, and nano-HAP improved cell adhesion. However, in spite of their use as bone substitute, our understanding of the effects of nano-HAP on ECs remains fragmentary. Recently, the production of nitric oxide (NO) through eNOS activation has often been reported as a mechanism of the growth of ECs, apoptosis, migration and angiogenesis, suggesting a potential role of NO in changes of biological behavior in ECs. Previous studies have shown that NO activation was involved in porous β-CaSiO₃/L-Poly-D,L-Lactide-Glycolide (PDLGA) composite scaffold-induced increased angiogenic activity of ECs. Whether NO plays a role in the effect of HANPs on ECs is an interesting issue.

In the current study, HANPs were employed to elucidate their uptake by ECs with a specific focus on internalization pathways and uptake kinetics. In addition, to investigate the direct influence of HANPs on the biological behavior of ECs, we conducted a series of evaluations including cell survival, apoptosis response and angiogenic activity in human umbilical vein ECs (HUVECs) after exposure to HANPs. Furthermore, we also measured levels of NO and related proteins to determine whether HANPs affect EC functions through the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway.

Materials and methods

Particles and their characteristics

Two types of HANPs (20 nm np20 and 80 nm np80) and one type of micro-sized HAP particles (m-HAP, 12 μm) at ≥99.0% purity were purchased from the Nanjing Emperor Nano Material Company Ltd. (Nanjing, China). The morphologies of HANPs were observed using transmission electron microscopy (TEM; FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit Bio-Twin, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy ( Nicolet Nexus870, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to detect the chemical composition of HAPs. The crystal structures of HAP particles (HAPs) were examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD; Bruker Optik GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany). The hydrodynamic size, surface charge and polydispersibility index of HANPs in EC medium (ECM; Sciencell, Carlsbad, CA, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Sciencell, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were determined using the Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK). HAPs were sterilized with epoxyethane.

HAPs were labeled via fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) to explore cellular uptake. Briefly, 0.05 g HAPs and 10 mL 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) were mixed in 50 mL anhydrous ethanol and stirred for 3 h at 74°C. Subsequently, 0.025 g FITC was added into the suspension and allowed to react for 6 h. The treated HAPs were filtered and washed with anhydrous ethanol and ultrapurified water, respectively. FITC-labeled HAPs (FITC-HAPs) were obtained by freezing and lyophilizing.

Cell preparation and culture

All experimental protocols were approved by the ethics committee of Nanjing Medical University. HUVECs were isolated from the human umbilical cord as previously described, with written informed consent of the donors. Briefly, the umbilical vein was rinsed thrice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 100 μg/mL penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco®; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), digested with 0.1% collagenase I (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) and ultrapurified water, respectively. FITC-labeled HAPs (FITC-HAPs) were obtained by freezing and lyophilizing.
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HUVECs in six-well plates were exposed to 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for a range of different times at 4°C. Cells were rinsed twice with PBS and incubated in HAPs-free medium at 37°C for 3 h prior to measurement by flow cytometry.

To quantify the uptake activity of HAPs by HUVECs, the cells in six-well plates were stimulated by 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for the indicated times at 37°C. After few washes, HUVECs were fixed by 1% paraformaldehyde, and the cell fluorescence of HAPs was explored using flow cytometry.

Assessment of cell cycle
For cell cycle analysis, HUVECs exposed to HAPs were collected after 24 h, washed with PBS and then fixed with 75% cold ethanol for 24 h at −20°C. Subsequently, HUVECs were washed with PBS and stained with propidium iodide (PI) in RNase at 37°C for 30 min. All samples were assessed via flow cytometry (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Cell viability assay
Cell viability was evaluated via 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-5-[3-carboxymethoxyphenyl]-2-[4-sulfophenyl]-2H-tetrazolium (MTS), inner salt (Bestbio, Shanghai, China) colorimetric assay. Briefly, HUVECs were seeded in 96-well plates, endocytosis inhibitors were added, and incubated for 30 min, or serial dilutions of HAPs were added and incubated for 24 and 72 h, respectively. The MTS assay was performed following the manufacturer’s instructions. The optical density was measured at 490 nm using a microplate reader (SpectraMax M2; Molecular Devices LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, USA).

Assessment of cell apoptosis
The percentage of apoptotic cells was determined using the Annexin V-PI apoptosis detection kit (BD Biosciences). Briefly, HUVECs were treated with HAPs for 24 h and then harvested and washed with PBS. Subsequently, cells were incubated with Annexin V-FITC and PI before the samples were analyzed with a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

Uptake activity by TEM and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)
TEM and CLSM were used to visualize cellular uptake of HAPs. Briefly, HUVECs were seeded in six-well plates and cultured with 25 μg/mL HANPs for 1, 2 and 4 h, respectively. After incubation, HUVECs were collected and fixed by 2.5% glutaraldehyde to view the uptake and intracellular distribution of HANPs by TEM.

For CLSM imaging, after exposure of HUVECs to 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for 1, 2 and 4 h, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with rhodamine–phalloidin and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Images were taken with a CLSM (LSM710; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany).

Kinetics of HAPs’ adhesion and uptake
The adhesion properties of HAPs to the cell membrane were measured via flow cytometry according to a previous study.25 HUVECs in six-well plates were exposed to 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for a range of different times at 4°C. Cells were rinsed twice with PBS and incubated in HAPs-free medium at 37°C for 3 h prior to measurement by flow cytometry.

To quantify the uptake activity of HAPs by HUVECs, the cells in six-well plates were stimulated by 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for the indicated times at 37°C. After few washes, HUVECs were fixed by 1% paraformaldehyde, and the cell fluorescence of HAPs was explored using flow cytometry.

Uptake mechanisms for HAPs in HUVECs
To clarify uptake routes, internalization of HAPs into HUVECs were examined in the presence of endocytosis inhibitors. Briefly, cells were exposed to 25 μg/mL FITC-HAPs for 2 h after 30 min preincubation with different endocytic inhibitors, respectively. Three inhibitors were applied in these experiments.24,25 Chlorpromazine (cpz) inhibits clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Methyl-β-cyclodextrin (mβcd) specifically inhibits clathrin- as well as caveolamediated endocytosis. Cytochalasin D (cytoD) can be used as an inhibitor for actin-dependent phagocytosis and macropinocytosis. Subsequently, images were taken using CLSM and cell fluorescence was quantified by flow cytometry.

Measurement of NO production
The detection of NO was based on the measurement of the nitrite level using the Griess reagent, containing nitrate reductase. Briefly, after incubation with HAPs for 24 h, the supernatants of HUVECs were collected. Nitrite concentration was quantified by a commercial NO Detection Kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell migration assays (Transwell)
Chemotactic motility of HUVECs was assessed using Transwell with 6.5 mm-diameter filters (8 μm pore size) according to a previous study.26 Briefly, ECM (5% FBS) containing HAPs was added to the lower wells. HUVECs were seeded in the upper chambers and cultured with serum-free ECM. Cells were fixed and stained with 1% crystal violet after 12 h. Images were captured, and cells that migrated to the lower side of the filter were counted.

Tube formation assay
In vitro angiogenesis was evaluated by tube formation assay as previously described.27 Briefly, ice-cold matrigel (BD Biosciences) was pipetted into a 96-well plate and allowed to solidify at 37°C for 1 h. HUVECs were then plated onto the matrigel and incubated with 50 μg/mL HAPs for 8 h. The capillary-like tube formation was viewed with
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**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)**

To quantify the production of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), media from HUVECs cultured with 50 μg/mL HAPs were collected after 24 h. The amount of VEGF was measured using a commercial Immunoassay Kit (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blot analysis**

HUVECs were exposed to HAPs for 24 h and lysed in ice-cold lysis buffer (Applygen, Beijing, China) for 30 min. Equal amounts of proteins (40 μg) were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham plc, Amersham, UK). After blocking in 5% nonfat milk, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-p-Akt, Akt, β-actin (1:1,000, rabbit polyclonal antibodies; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc, Danvers, MA, USA), anti-eNOS (1:500, a rabbit polyclonal antibody; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc) and anti-p-eNOS (1:1,000, a rabbit monoclonal antibody; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc), washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST) and incubated with appropriate horse-radish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Immunoreactive bands were detected using chemiluminescence gel imaging system (LAS4000M; GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences AB, Uppsala, Sweden).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as mean ± SD of three separate experiments. Statistically significant differences \( (P<0.05) \) among the groups were determined using one-way ANOVA. All statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Characterization of HAPs**

As shown in Figure 1A1 and A2, the TEM images of HANPs confirmed that np20 particles were near-spherical with...
approximate diameters of 20 nm, while np80 particles were rod like, ~20 nm in width and ~80 nm in length.

The FTIR spectra (Figure 1B) of HAPs and FITC-HAPs featured phosphate absorption bands. The asymmetric stretching and bending vibrations of characteristic phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) were at 1,030 and 565 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, while the peaks at 3,445.79 and 1,639 cm$^{-1}$ indicated remaining water. In addition, the peaks at 1,420 and 876 cm$^{-1}$ corresponded to CO$_3^{2-}$, indicating incorporation of carbonate ions, which is a common phenomenon during the fabrication of HAPs.

The representative XRD patterns (Figure 1C) of three types of HAPs showed that their diffraction peaks matched with the typical pattern of the standard HAP (JCPDS09-0432) and no other phases were found, indicating high purity. The hydrodynamic sizes of np20 and np80, as estimated by dynamic light scattering, were 108.8 and 309.6 nm, respectively. The polydispersibility indexes were 0.307 and 0.264 for np20 and np80, respectively, suggesting that these HANPs were well dispersed in the ECM. The results of zeta-potential indicated that the HANPs presented a negative surface charge in ECM (Table 1).

Effect of HAPs on cell cycle
Treatment of HUVECs with HANPs (50, 100 and 200 μg/mL) and m-HAP (100 and 200 μg/mL) significantly increased the cell population in the G2 and S phases ($P<0.05$); however, no dose effect was observed in three groups (Figure S1).

Effect of HAPs on cell viability
To assess the effect of HAPs on cell metabolic activity, cell viability was assayed. As shown in Figure 2A1–A3, cell viability progressively decreased in a dose-dependent manner, and the effects of HANPs on cell viability were more obvious than that of m-HAP. Significant difference of cell viability was observed when incubated with 50, 100 and 200 μg/mL HANPs for 24 and 72 h compared to control. In comparison, only the highest concentrations of cell viability was observed when incubated with np20 and m-HAP for 24 h compared to control ($P>0.05$); in comparison, HUVECs exposed to 100 and 200 μg/mL np80 for 24 h showed a significant increase in apoptotic rate as compared to control (Figure 2C).

Kinetcs of HAPs’ adhesion and uptake
To further explore the biological impact of HAPs on HUVECs, apoptosis and necrosis in HUVECs were evaluated. As depicted in Figure 2B, HUVECs’ exposure to HAPs (25, 50, 100 and 200 μg/mL) did not show any significant change in necrosis. Moreover, no significant difference of apoptosis was detected when incubated with np20 and m-HAP for 24 h compared to control ($P>0.05$); in comparison, HUVECs exposed to 100 and 200 μg/mL np80 for 24 h showed a significant increase in apoptotic rate compared to control (Figure 2C).

Uptake of HAPs by HUVECs
A comprehensive investigation on cellular uptake would contribute to a clearer understanding of the biological impact that NPs may exert. As shown in Figures 3 and 4, HANPs first adhered to cell membrane, then entered cells. HANPs either located in the cytoplasmic vesicles or were distributed like cluster in the cytoplasm of the HUVECs and did not enter the cell nucleus. With prolonged incubating time, more HANPs were uptaken by HUVECs, which caused nucleus deformation, condensed chromatin, mitochondrial swelling and a large number of vacuoles that occurred within the cytoplasm (Figure 3B4, B7 and B8). Furthermore, the cytoskeletons were not clear and the cell edges were crimped. However, only a small number of m-HAP were observed in cells during the observation, and they did not significantly affect cellular morphology compared to HANPs (Figure 4).

Table 1 Characterization of the particle parameters of HANPs

| Material | Hydrodynamic diameter in ECM with 10% FBS (nm) | Zeta-potential in ECM with 10% FBS (mV) | Polydispersibility index |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| np20     | 108.8                                         | -10.6                                 | 0.307                    |
| np80     | 309.6                                         | -9.65                                 | 0.264                    |

Abbreviations: ECM, endothelial cell medium; FBS, fetal bovine serum; HANPs, hydroxyapatite nanoparticles.
m-HAP was much lower compared to HANPs, and it slightly increased during the observation.

The activation of internalization pathways in HUVECs by HAPs
To determine the cytotoxicity of endocytosis inhibitors on HUVECs in vitro, cell viability was measured using the MTS assay. As depicted in Figure S2, after treatment with cpz, mβcd and cytoD, the viability of HUVECs slightly decreased to 81.6%, 92.91% and 92.33%, respectively.

Having established that the HANPs could be uptaken by HUVECs, we investigated the endocytic pathways involved in the regulation of internalization. As shown in Figure 6, for cpz, mild reduction of cell fluorescence was observed in all groups; however, the decrease in np80 was not significant compared to that of no inhibitor group. The addition of mβcd significantly suppressed cell fluorescence of HAPs, especially for np20 and np80 (by about 50% less as compared to no inhibitor samples). CytoD also resulted in noticeably fewer HAPs' uptake activity, and the cell fluorescences in np20, np80 and m-HAP decreased to 73%, 52% and 26%, respectively. Moreover, we found that cell viability slightly decreased in the presence of three inhibitors (Figure S2).

Effect of HAPs on HUVEC function
To understand the interactions of HAPs with HUVECs, cell migration, tube formation and VEGF secretion were explored. As indicated in Figure 7A and B, HANP treatment significantly decreased HUVEC migration in a dose-dependent manner compared to m-HAP and control; moreover, the migratory activity of HUVECs cultured with np20 was higher than that for np80 (P<0.01), while there was no significant difference between m-HAP and control. We further examined the effect of HAPs on VEGF production, and as shown in Figure 7C, np20 induced VEGF secretion slightly (P<0.05); however, there were no remarkable differences among the remaining three groups (P>0.05). Consistent with these results, HANPs suppressed tube formation at a concentration of 50 μg/mL, especially for np80 (Figure 7D and E).

The inhibition of eNOS through the Akt-dependent pathway in HUVECs by HAPs
To explore the role of NO in effects of HAPs on ECs, we examined NO release. There was a decrease in NO production with increasing concentrations of HAPs; moreover, np80 resulted in the most significant decrease in NO production, with the highest concentration (100 μg/mL) giving rise to...
an approximately 60% decrease. Comparing samples treated with 25 μg/mL m-HAP and np20, no significant difference of NO expression was observed, while at doses up to 50 and 100 μg/mL NO production was significantly higher in m-HAP (P<0.01; Figure 8B). We next determined whether HAPs reduced NO production through a PI3K/Akt-dependent eNOS pathway in ECs. As depicted in Figure 8A1–A3, the effects of HAPs to reduce NO production were associated with a decrease in p-eNOS expression in HUVECs. In comparison to control, phosphorylation of Akt and eNOS was diminished in a dose–response manner when HUVECs were cultured with HAPs for 24 h, whereas the expression of total Akt and eNOS remained unaltered. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 8C and D, the decrease in NO production and cell viability was effectively restored by the PI3K activator IGF-1.

**Discussion**

With the rapid technological advancement in biomaterials, artificial bone substitutes have provided a promising and practical alternative approach for bone repair. Consequently, the interaction of biological systems such as living cells with bone grafting materials has become a fascinating area of regenerative medicine. Evidence is accumulating implying that nano-HAP may serve as a bone substitute and may exert beneficial effects on bone regeneration. However, the internalization profile of HANPs in ECs, and potential health effects that may be introduced by HANPs, especially on the functions of vascularization is far from being completely understood. A comprehensive investigation on the biological responses that HANPs may elicit would contribute to explore its potential for bone repair and help to devise strategies to minimize related risks.
The evaluation of cellular compatibility is a preliminary step in assessing the biological behavior of biomaterial. In our study, exposure to both types of HANPs caused a decline in cell viability and elevated apoptosis with increasing HANP concentration (Figure 2A and C), and the HANPs (50–200 μg/mL) also triggered a slight increase in cell population in S and G2 phases, compared to the control group (Figure S1). The cytotoxic effect of np20 and np80 on HUVECs could be attributed to the induction of oxidative stress by HANPs as well as elevated intracellular calcium concentration due to substantial uptake of HANPs (Figures 3–5).[^30][^31]

To date, the cytotoxicity of HANPs still remains a matter of debate. It has also been reported that HANPs were compatible to mouse bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells.[^32] This might be partly due to the different cell type. Increasing evidence in the literature indicated that cytotoxicity of NPs was cell dependent.[^33] Zhao et al[^34] synthesized HANPs of four different shapes – needle (nano-HAP-ND), plate (nano-HAP-PL), sphere (nano-HAP-SP) and rod (nano-HAP-RD) – and recorded the most significant induction of
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**Figure 4** CLSM micrographs of internalization and subcellular distribution of HAPs in HUVECs exposed for 1, 2 and 4 h.

**Notes:** Cells stained for nuclei (blue) and actin (red). HAPs are shown in green. Scale bar: 50 μm.

**Abbreviations:** CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; HAP, hydroxyapatite; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; m-HAP, micro-sized HAP particles.

---

**Figure 5** Kinetics of HAPs’ adhesion and uptake.

**Notes:** Kinetics of adhesion of HAPs onto HUVECs (A). Kinetics of uptake of HAPs by HUVECs (B).

**Abbreviations:** HAP, hydroxyapatite; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; m-HAP, micro-sized HAP particles.
cell death by nano-HAP-ND and nano-HAP-PL in BEAS-2B cells; nevertheless, no significant cell death was noted in RAW264.7 cells treated with any of the nano-HAPs. Yuan et al. also found HANPs had little impact on the cell viability of human L-02 cells; however, in human hepatoma HepG2 cells, HANPs caused distinct growth inhibition and apoptosis. However, our results were contrary to a report by Pezzatini et al, who suggested that HAP nanocrystals did not induce any cytotoxic effect on ECs. A possible reason was that the dose used in their research was lower (10 μg/mL), which
may probably account for the different effects of HANPs on the same cells.

Given the key role of nanomaterial internalization and subcellular localization toward biological outcomes, we investigated the uptake profile and biodistribution of HAPs. The results revealed that HANPs could be transported into HUVECs, and no evidence of HAPs entering the cell nucleus could be found (Figures 3 and 4). A previous study has indicated that the HANPs, only within the cell nucleus, could induce cytotoxicity, while the HANPs that remained

---

**Figure 7** Effects of HAPs on HUVEC function.

**Notes:** (A and B) Images and quantification of migrated cells treated with HAPs in Transwell plates for 12 h. (C) VEGF secretion of HUVECs cultured with HAPs for 24 h. (D and E) Micrographs and quantification of tube-forming activity in HUVECs cultured with HAPs for 8 h. Cells without HAP treatment were used as the control group. 

**Abbreviations:** HAP, hydroxyapatite; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; m-HAP, micro-sized HAP particles.
in the perinuclear region or cytoplasm only caused local modifications to the morphology and structure of the cell membrane and exerted negligible inhibitory effects.\textsuperscript{35,36,37} However, our results suggested that HANPs might interact with organelles, such as chondriosome and lysosomes (Figure 3), thus interfering with HUVEC survival and function although they concentrated in the cytoplasm (Figures 2 and 7). The uptake of NPs originated from an event of NPs adhering to the cell membrane, and this process was followed by the actual internalization via energy-dependent uptake pathways.\textsuperscript{38,39} Thus, there is a direct link between the uptake efficiency of NPs and initial adhesion of NPs to cells. Nevertheless, it is difficult to assess the adhesion profile of NPs to the cell membrane in the presence of simultaneous internalization. At 4°C, the uptake of NPs had been shut down;\textsuperscript{40,41} therefore, it was possible to reproduce and quantify the adhesion of NPs to cell membrane by following the exposure to NPs at 4°C with an incubation in NP-free medium at 37°C prior to evaluation.\textsuperscript{21} In this way, we found that although the number of HANPs adhering to the cell membrane was lower as compared to the number of HANPs inside HUVECs, similar trends were observed in their kinetics, suggesting the adhesion profile of HANPs as important determinants of uptake rates of HANPs.

In this work, it was noted that the spherical np20 exhibited higher internalization than the rod-shaped np80, while Motskin et al\textsuperscript{42} demonstrated enhanced ingestion of rod-shaped HANPs compared to spherical HANPs in human monocytes-derived macrophages. These observations collectively shed light on the fact that cellular uptake of NPs is highly dependent on cell type and further affected by particle size and shape. Another interesting result of our study was the unusual correlation between cellular uptake and biological responses caused by HANPs. Some previous data indicated a direct link between cytotoxicity and particle–cell association.\textsuperscript{43,44} However, our results revealed that np20 showed higher uptake, while more significant inhibitory effects on the ECs function were detected upon incubation with np80 (Figures 5B and 7), implying cellular uptake was not the only determinant of biological outcome; other factors, such as shape, may be involved in the interaction. Indeed, elegant experiments have demonstrated the significant role of the shape of NPs in the bioactivity.\textsuperscript{34}

Since we have shown that HANPs could be internalized by HUVECs, it is interesting to determine their endocytosis pathway during the process. It is well accepted that several endocytic pathways, such as clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis as well as macropinocytosis, are involved in the

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure8}
\caption{HAPs suppress angiogenesis through the inhibition of PI3K/Akt-dependent eNOS/NO pathway.}
\textbf{Notes:} The expression profile of key proteins in the PI3K/Akt/eNOS pathway in HUVECs cultured with np20 (A1), np80 (A2) and m-HAP (A3) for 24 h. (B) NO levels of HUVECs incubated with HAPs for 24 h. $^\text{a}$P<0.01 versus control, $^\text{b}$P<0.01 versus m-HAP group, $^\text{c}$P<0.05; $^\text{d}$P<0.01 versus np20 group. Cells without HAPs treatment were set as the control group. (C) NO levels and (D) cell viability of HUVECs exposed to HAPs in the presence or absence of IGF-1, respectively. $^\text{e}$P<0.05; $^\text{f}$P<0.01 versus vehicle control.

\textbf{Abbreviations:} HAP, hydroxyapatite; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; m-HAP, micro-sized HAP particles; NO, nitric oxide.
\end{figure}
internalization of NPs. Recent investigations have suggested that caveola-mediated endocytosis is an important pathway that transports gold NPs and polystyrene NPs into ECs. In this study, the results showed that HAPs were less uptaken in the presence of cpz, mβcd and cytoD, but to a different degree (Figure 6). For np20 and np80, mβcd had the most obvious inhibitory effects, followed by cytoD, implying clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis as the crucial internalization pathways that HANPs enter HUVECs, and actin-dependent macropinocytosis also played an important role in the process. This might be partly due to HANP agglomeration. In comparison, the inhibitory effect of cytoD on m-HAP was most significant, which was a good indication that m-HAP were mainly uptaken through macropinocytosis, and these findings corresponded with reports describing that ingestion of particles above 500 nm size typically occurred via triggered macropinocytosis or phagocytosis. According to previous studies, caveolin-mediated endocytosis-formed caveolaes have an average size of about 120 nm, and the average size of clathrin-mediated endocytosis-formed vesicles was about 150–200 nm. These are smaller than the size of our m-HAP; however, it is noteworthy that our observations showed that clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis were also implicated in the internalization of m-HAP in HUVECs. Further investigations are indispensable in this study. In summary, these results indicated that the uptake of NPs via different endocytic pathways was highly dependent on cell- and material type, while the size of NPs was not the only thing that mattered, and it was likely that several endocytic pathways acted synergistically in the internalization of HAPs in HUVECs.

The internalization of HANPs in HUVECs raised our concern about the influence of HANPs on angiogenesis. The migration and capillary-like tube formation of ECs play a key role in vascular remodeling and regeneration. In this study, unexpectedly, we observed a decrease in migration of HUVECs with increasing concentrations of HANPs (Figure 7A and B), and this trend was also observed in the experiment of tube formation (Figure 7D and E). Our findings were in agreement with a recent study showing that HUVECs cultured on pure PLA scaffolds exhibited larger and more highly developed vascular structures compared to those on the nano-HAP-conjugated scaffolds. These observations collectively indicated a negative effect of HANPs on the function of ECs. The biological responses of HANPs may be the results of either their direct impacts on ECs or via the modulation of other factors, such as VEGF, which is a well-known angiogenic factor. To validate this possibility, the expression of VEGF in HUVECs was evaluated. Treatment with np20 triggered up to a mild increase in expression level of VEGF. Conversely, no significant change in the expression of VEGF was observed in the np80 and m-HAP groups (Figure 7C). These results suggested that the effects of HANPs on HUVEC function do not exert by the modulation of VEGF expression, indicating HANPs may act as a direct negative regulator of ECs. Synthesis of NO, under the regulation of the eNOS, plays an essential role in the maintenance of EC homeostasis, reparative vasculogenesis and postnatal angiogenesis. In this context, we investigated whether NO might participate in the influence of HAPNs on ECs. As expected, we observed a concentration-dependent decline in NO production when cells were treated with HAPs, and the overall results were in line with the trends seen in cell migration and tube formation (Figure 8B). To gain further insights into the mechanism by which HAPNs could possibly impair angiogenic function in ECs, we examined the PI3K/Akt/eNOS pathway that had been demonstrated to exert a marked impact on endothelial repair and regeneration while actively stimulating postnatal neovascularization and reparative vasculogenesis. Specifically, previous investigations have revealed that nanocomposites and cytokine could regulate the function of ECs via this mechanism. In the current study, we made the novel observation that HAPs suppressed phosphorylation of eNOS remarkably and in a dose-dependent manner, and the suppression of p-eNOS paralleled the downregulation of p-Akt by HAPs (Figure 8A). In addition, the HAPs-inhibited NO production and cell viability of HUVECs could significantly be restored via treatment with IGF-1, a specific PI3K/Akt activator (Figure 8C and D). These observations collectively suggested that HAPs might serve to regulate EC function through the PI3K/Akt/eNOS signaling (Figure 9).

Conclusion
To deeply comprehend the bioactivity and biocompatibility of nano-HAP as bone graft substitution, using commercially available HANPs (20 and 80 nm) as model, we investigated the interaction of HANPs with ECs in terms of uptake and impacts on the function of cells in HUVECs. Compared to m-HAP, a large amount of HANPs adhered to the cell membrane and were subsequently uptaken by HUVECs mainly through clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis. HANPs were distributed in the cytoplasm and not detected in the nucleus. However, only a small number of m-HAP could get into HUVECs mainly via macropinocytosis. Furthermore, we made the novel observation that HANPs distinctly inhibited the angiogenic ability of HUVECs in a dose-dependent manner. This might be induced by reducing NO synthesis...
and phosphorylation of eNOS protein in HUVECs via the PI3K/Akt pathway. These findings collectively suggested that further studies about the nature of interaction of nano-HAP with biological systems are required before they can be used for bone reconstruction.
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Supplementary materials

Figure S1 Effects of HAPs on the cell cycle of HUVECs.
Notes: Cell cycle of HUVECs after treatment with np20 (A), np80 (B) and m-HAP (C) for 24 h. *P<0.05 versus control. Cells without HAP treatment were set as the control group.
Abbreviations: HAP, hydroxyapatite; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; m-HAP, micro-sized HAP particles.
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Figure S2 Effects of endocytosis inhibitors on cell viability.
Notes: The viability of HUVECs cultured with cpz, mβcd and cytoD for 30 min. *P<0.01 versus control. Cells without endocytosis inhibitors treatment were set as control group.
Abbreviations: cpz, chlorpromazine; cytoD, cytochalasin D; HUVECs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells; mβcd, methyl-β-cyclodextrin.