Translational Potential of Epigenetic-Based Markers on Fine-Needle Aspiration Thyroid Specimens
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The awareness of epigenetic alterations leading to neoplasia attracted the attention of researchers toward its potential use in the management of cancer, from diagnosis to prognosis and prediction of response to therapies. Our group has focused its attention on the epigenomics of thyroid neoplasms. Although most of the epigenetic studies have been applied on histological samples, the fact is that cytology, through fine-needle aspiration, is a primary diagnostic method for many pathologies, of which thyroid nodules are one of the most paradigmatic examples. This has led to an increasing literature report of epigenetic studies using these biological samples over the past decade. In this review, our group aimed to document recent research of epigenetic alterations and its associated assessment techniques, based on cytology material. Our review covers the main epigenetic categories—DNA methylation, histone modification, and RNA-silencing—whose evidence in thyroid cytology samples may represent solid soil for future prospectively designed studies aiming at validating patterns of epigenetic alterations and their potential use in the clinical management of thyroid neoplasms.
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INTRODUCTION

Epigenetic alterations are potential candidates for the identification of specific markers for cancer detection, diagnosis, and prognosis (1). The utility of epigenetic markers in thyroid cytology should be scoped under the diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive translational extensions, aiming for a more personalized management of patients with a thyroid nodule. For thyroid cytologists, two key questions need to be answered in clinical practice: (1) Is this a case within the group of follicular-patterned lesions? (2) Does this malignant case hold an aggressive morphology? The former question assumes that benign, precursor, or borderline (low-grade malignancies) categories are generally encompassed in a gray zone group of lesions. This is the category that downgrades the diagnostic power of thyroid cytology. To make this first question concrete, if we consider patients with an increased thyroid suppression hormone (TSH) and with a cytology suspicious for follicular or Hüttel cell neoplasm, they should undergo lobectomy or total thyroidectomy, unless a molecular testing would predict a low risk of malignancy. When a surgical decision...
is made, one needs to consider risk factors for Thyroid carcinoma (TC), clinical and imaging considerations, and patients’ informed consent about benefits and risks of diagnostic procedures, including surgery. Surgical complications increase when performing total thyroidectomy compared to lobectomy (2, 3). Prognosis and the need to anticipate risk of recurrence and metastatic disease are clinical challenges that fall under the scope of the second question. Despite the diagnostic power of fine-needle aspiration (FNA) as a gold-standard technique for the presurgical diagnosis of thyroid nodules, the diagnosis of indeterminate categories, especially the lesions falling under atypia of undetermined significance/follicular lesion of undetermined significance and suspicious for follicular neoplasm/follicular neoplasm categories, still remains a challenge in cytopathology practice. Indeed, ~30% of the cases lack the morphological features to provide a definitive classification and are diagnosed as “indeterminate.” With the purpose of reducing unnecessary surgery and predicting malignancy, many panels based on genetic alterations were developed in the past decades (4). These commercially available tests were built on the concept of either rule out or rule in malignancy, based on high-throughput technologies—a targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) of DNA and RNA. Despite the improvement of diagnosis, ~30% of TC cases are in a genetic “dark zone,” with no well-established driver mutations.

In the past two decades, TC research has started to enlighten the contribution of epigenetic changes to the deregulation of gene transcription and its link with oncogenic pathway activation. The available evidences point to the fact that, beyond genetic factors, the differentiation and proliferation traits of TC cells are strongly influenced by epigenetic alterations. As an example, PTEN promoter presents with hypermethylation in ~50% of papillary thyroid carcinomas (PTCs) and nearly 100% of follicular thyroid carcinomas (FTCs) and follicular adenomas (FAs), suggesting that it may be involved in thyroid tumorigenesis (5). Activating mutations of BRAF in PTCs were linked to altered methylation of other genes, including TIMP3, SLCA5A8, DAPK, and RARb2 (6), which were associated with an aggressive behavior in thyroid neoplasms (7). Approximately 30% of benign and malignant thyroid tumors, including anaplastic thyroid carcinoma (ATC), present promoter methylation involving the RAS association family 1A (RASSF1A) tumor-suppressor gene (8–10), known to have a role in regulating several key cell processes, suggesting that this change may occur early in the tumorigenesis.

In this review, our group aimed to provide a brief overview of the epigenetic alterations and their translational potential on thyroid cytology specimens.

**EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS CONTRIBUTING TO THYROID TUMOGENESIS**

The term “epigenetic” was eloquently coined by Waddington (11) in 1942 as describing heritable changes inside the genome leading to an altered gene expression pattern without affecting the main core of DNA. “DNA methylation,” “histone modification,” and “RNA silencing” are considered as main mechanisms of epigenetic regulation of gene expression through the modulation of chromatin structure. In particular, DNA methylation and histone modification are not independent mechanisms; they both act in an orchestrated fashion to regulate chromatin states by using DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) and a large number of histone-modifying enzymes. Chromatin structure has two different states, “heterochromatin” (a closed chromatin), which is associated with transcriptional repression, and “euchromatin” (an open chromatin) favorable to transcription (12). Each of these effector mechanisms involves enzymes that transfer the modification (“writers”), enzymes that modify or revert a modification (“erasers”), and enzymes that mediate the interactions of proteins or protein complexes with the modification (“readers”), contributing directly or indirectly, through the creation or elimination of protein- or protein complex–binding sites regulating gene expression, to the ectopic transcription of several genes, including oncogenes or proto-oncogenes, or the suppression of tumor suppressor gene transcription. Cancer presents with frequent alterations to the epigenome, including mutations in genes controlling the epigenetic players, silencing several tumor suppressor genes whose roles are implicated in almost all cancer-relevant signaling pathways, such as apoptosis, cell proliferation, cell migration, and DNA repair (13, 14). It has also been well-documented that epigenetic alterations play a significant role in the differentiation and proliferation properties of thyroid cancer (11, 12). Of those, DNA methylation is the most widely studied mechanism, which is responsible for adding a methyl group to the 5′ position of cytosine, predominantly in the context of CpG dinucleotides. Aberrant DNA methylation is the prevalent epigenetic dysregulation in cancer, consisting of both losses (DNA hypomethylation) and gains (DNA hypermethylation) of 5-methyl-cytosine within the CpG dinucleotides. As DNA methylation, histone modification patterns are also dysregulated in cancer. Some histone modifications are associated with gene activation (i.e., trimethylation on histone H3, lysine 4 [H3K4me3]), and others are associated with gene repression (i.e., H3K9me3) (15). Histone modifications are posttranslational modifications, including acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, and glycosylation, among others (15, 16). Histone modifications are the result of the balance between different groups of enzymes, some with antagonist activity. Acetyl groups are added to lysine residues in histone tails by enzymes called histone acetyltransferases causing chromatin decondensation, generally leading to gene activation, and are removed by histone deacetylases (HDACs) (15). Methyl groups are added to lysine and arginine residues by histone methyltransferases, which promote or inhibit transcription, depending on the residue alteration. As an example, methylation of lysine 9 of histone 3 leads to repression, whereas methylation of lysine 4 of the same histone results in gene activation. Methyl groups are removed by histone demethylases (15, 17).

In the last decade, non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) have been linked to the development and progression of cancer and have been proposed as markers for diagnosis, including of TC (18–24). They can be classified as small interfering RNAs, microRNAs
(miRNAs), piwi-associated RNAs, long ncRNAs (lncRNAs), and enhancer RNAs. Of those, the most well-studied ncRNAs are miRNAs, which are known to have either oncogenic or tumor-suppressive roles (25, 26). Interestingly, mutations in DICER1, a member of the RNase III family with a pivotal role in the maturation of miRNAs, have already been described in different human tumors, including TC (27); miRNAs are small single-stranded ncRNAs of ~22 nucleotides transcribed from endogenous DNA and later processed to mature miRNAs, which target and bind to transcripts interfering with protein translation or causing messenger RNA degradation, with an impact on protein production (28).

**TRANSLATIONAL APPLICATION OF EPIGENETIC ALTERATIONS IN TC**

**Contribution of Epigenetic to Cytology Diagnostic Challenges**

FNA is a standard method for diagnosis of thyroid nodules, but it holds some limitations. Evidence exists supporting the hypothesis that epigenomic profiling could identify markers to differentiate benign from malignant thyroid neoplasms.

DNA methylation is an epigenetic mechanism normally tested in the diagnosis of thyroid nodules. Keelawat et al. (29) assessed the global hypermethylation status in a series of 15 FAs, 18 FTCs, and 17 PTCs. Although no statistically significant difference was found in methylation levels between FAs, FTCs, and PTCs (P = 0.44), as assessed by a combined bisulfite restriction analysis polymerase chain reaction (PCR) protocol, the immunohistochemical staining 5-methylcytidine score was significantly higher in tumors in comparison with normal tissue counterparts, for FAs (P < 0.001), FTCs (P = 0.04), and PTCs (P = 0.02). Interestingly, PTCs showed the highest expression among all other tumors, which was significantly different from FTCs (P = 0.015), but not FAs (P = 0.09) (29). Several studies have addressed the methylation pattern in thyroid tumors and have demonstrated variable results. DNA hypomethylation is not involved in the development of well-differentiated thyroid cancer; nor is it involved in progression from benign (adenoma) to malignant disease (carcinoma). In line with other tumors, such as renal cell carcinoma, TC is associated with global hypermethylation, contributing to the silencing of tumor suppressor genes (29). Stephen et al. (30) analyzed 21 genes for the presence of methylation in FNA and corresponding matched postsurgical fresh thyroid tissue from two cases, using quantitative methylation-specific PCR, and have identified 6 genes (NIS, RASSF1, TSHR, SERPINB5, SLC26A4, TPO), which represented a concordant presence of methylation results. This study pointed to the usefulness of methylation markers where FNA shows limitations by the histopathologic nature of lesions.

In 2008, Nikiforova et al. (24) analyzed miRs expression profiles in FNA material. They found a distinctive expression pattern associated with FTCs by real-time PCR using the TaqManTM MicroRNA panel: miR-155, −187, −221, −222, and −224 were found to be highly overexpressed in conventional FTCs, whereas miR-183, −187, −197, −221, −222, and −339 were overexpressed in the oncocytic variants of FTCs, in 60 surgically removed thyroid neoplastic and non-neoplastic samples and in 62 FNA samples. Mazeh et al. (31) analyzed the miRNA expression profiles of 79 malignant and 195 benign thyroid nodules by NGS. The authors selected a 19 miRNAs-based diagnostic panel whose expression was statistically different between benign and malignant samples. This panel was validated in 35 thyroid nodules (22 malignant and 13 benign nodules), which were previously reported as indeterminate in cytology, presenting sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), positive predictive value, and overall accuracy scores of 91, 100, 87, 100, and 94%, respectively. Noteworthy, the overall accuracy of this panel is potentially higher than the commercially available genetic tests. There are also miRNA-based commercially available tests such as ThyraMIR®, a miRNA gene expression classifier based on 10 miRNAs detected by PCR. Similarly, the RosettaGX RevealTM assays 24 miRNAs and is also designed to stratify indeterminate thyroid nodules as benign or suspicious for malignancy by using a single FNA stained smear (32). Although quality assurance review of the commercially available tests cannot be underemphasized, only few literature reports assessed the performance of such tests (33). More recently, in a validation study of a new miRNA-based thyroid molecular classifier test (mir-THYpe) based on the expression of 96 miRNA candidates using FNA smear slides, a performance comparison with other five molecular classifiers was done (34). All tests—genomic and miRNA-based—were predicted to perform with an NPV >90% assuming the cancer prevalence used by the genomic Airma GSC study (23.7%) (34, 35). However, with the cancer prevalence used by the ThyroSeq v3 study (52.6%), only mir-THYpe, ThyroSeq v3, and ThyroidPrint tests were predicted to have an NPV higher than 90% (34, 36). With mir-THYpe, out of the 76 cancer and 97 benign lesions, 70 and 83, respectively, were correctly classified (34). Expression deregulation of lncRNAs has an important role in carcinogenesis. Besides their involvement in genomic imprinting, inactivation of chromosome X, maintenance of pluripotency, and the formation of different organs via changes in chromatin, transcription, and translation, they are also known to act as tumor suppressor genes or oncopgenes. Recently, Possier et al. (37) analyzed six cancer-associated lncRNAs (MALAT1, NEAT1, HOTAIR, H19, PVT1, MEG3) in 135 FNA samples, with MALAT1, PVT1, and HOTAIR showing a significant differentiation capability between malignant and benign nodules (P < 0.0001).

**Contribution of Epigenetic to TC Patient Management**

The utility of thyroid cytological samples' epigenetic signatures for the management of TC can be seen under the lens of an improvement of prognosis and disease risk stratification, or under a more farfetched objective—targeting epigenetic changes as a mechanism of disease to modulate cancer hallmarks with a treatment intent. The former bases its usefulness on the assumption that specific epigenetic marks detected on a cytology sample could guide the surgical approach and the decision to implement adjuvant treatment with radioactive
iodine (RAI), including dosages, and TSH suppression. The latter assumes that epigenetic changes can be reverted by means of a pharmacological compound with the possibility of reverting radioiodine refractoriness, potentially prolonging disease-free survival (DFS).

**Disease Prognosis and Risk Stratification**

Under the context of cytology specimens, epigenetic alterations should be able to support a presurgical prognostic assessment to be applied in clinical practice, as validated in the form of a molecular signature. Such clinical application would ideally cover Bethesda categories III–VI, where not only the malignancy risk is increasingly higher, but also the need for an assessment of estimated risk of recurrence is needed. One of the main treatment objectives of TC is to minimize the risk of recurrence and metastatic dissemination, and therefore adequate surgery is the most important treatment influencing prognosis (38).

Within the prognosis and risk stratification fields, the most promising epigenetic markers that can be detected in FNA are miRNAs. Yip et al. (23) showed that miR-146b and miR-222 upregulation and miR-34b and miR-130b downregulation were associated with aggressive behavior of PTCs. In their study, miR-146b showed a strong association with aggressive PTCs in BRAF-positive tumors, and MET was identified as a potential target for the downregulated miR-34b and miR-130b, with a significantly higher MET expression in aggressive PTCs. Chou et al. (39) have also shown that high levels of miR-221, miR-222, and miR-146b expression correlated with extrathyroidal invasion in PTCs. In addition, Dettmer et al. (20) have reported an upregulation of miR-183-3p in poorly differentiated thyroid carcinoma (PDTC) in comparison with well-differentiated PTCs or follicular variants of PTC, which was also associated with decreased patient survival. In this study, a significant association with tumor relapse and tumor-specific death was found for miR-23b and miR-150, respectively, in PDTC. Petric et al. (18) analyzed a series of Hürthle cell carcinoma (HCCs) and have found that miR-138 and miR-768-3p were both downregulated in HCC in tumors with metastases vs. those without metastases (P = 0.030 and P = 0.048, respectively). In addition, they showed that miR-183, miR-221, and miR885-5p were significantly downregulated in HCC with metastases (P = 0.027, P = 0.019, and P = 0.024, respectively).

Regarding methylation markers indicative of invasive disease, Stephen et al. (30) have found that DAPK1 and ESR1 methylation were significantly associated with extrathyroidal extension (P = 0.014 and P = 0.036, respectively) and in FTC and HCC cases. In the same study, methylation of DAPK1 and ESR1 was significantly associated with late-stage disease (P = 0.034 and P = 0.035, respectively). Various studies have shown the potential of RASSFIA hypermethylation as a biomarker of aggressive tumors (8, 40), both in PTCs and FTCs, including the meta-analysis by Niu et al. (41), where the RASSFIA promoter methylation was found to be associated with poor DFS. These data support RASSFIA methylation as a putative epigenetic prognostic biomarker. Noteworthy, Mancikova et al. (42) had previously proposed that etoposide-induced 2.4 (Eli24) and Wilms tumor 1 (WT1) could be candidate prognostic markers related to recurrence-free survival both in PTCs and FTCs. On the same study, kallikrein 10 gene (KLK10) was hypermethylated and overexpressed in BRAF-mutated tumors, which was recently supported by the evidence by Buj et al. (43), showing that the overall KLK family is altered in PTCs, with specific epigenetic marks strongly associated with BRAF\(^{V600E}\) or RAS mutations. In this study and based on a proposed KLK algorithm, a new PTC subtype emerged showing favorable prognosis. More recently, Klein Hesselinck et al. (44) reported an increasing Alu hypomethylation in distant metastatic DTC, PDTC, and ATC, as compared to low-risk DTC and pediatric PTCs, which did not show the same hypomethylation pattern. According to the authors, this could involve a global pattern of hypomethylation in a subset of TC with advanced disease and cell dedifferentiation.

Although with a prerequired prospective validation, the assessment of these markers could further help characterize the invasive or aggressive nature of the primary tumor and support the decision of adopting a more intensive surgical approach and further guide the need for RAI remnant ablation, adjuvant RAI treatment and dosage, and the level of TSH suppression.

**Epigenetic Changes as Therapeutic Target in TC**

Russo et al. (45) have elegantly summarized the main epigenetic strategies potentially applied to TC treatment: (1) based on the redifferentiation of tumors while resensitizing them to radioiodine therapy and (2) through the epigenetic activation of tumor suppressors affecting cell proliferation, growth, and invasion. In particular, evidence showing hypermethylation-based silencing of key iodine-handling genes, such as NIS and TSHR, has been reported (46–49), including in proteins that seem to be involved in the transport of iodine in the apical membrane of thyrocytes (6, 50). The thyroid master regulator TTF1 gene promoter was also found to be hypermethylated and was a target of histone modifications in PDTC (51). Interestingly, the use of DNMT (46, 48, 52) and HDAC inhibitors in vitro has reverted the expression of these genes (53–60).

The majority of the compounds that have shown to reverse epigenetic alterations inhibit either DNMT or HDAC, and some are already being used in clinical practice in hematologic malignancies. Most of those drugs alter acetylation and DNA methylation, thereby having an effect on cancer differentiation and proliferation. Many phases 1–2 trials are being conducted to assess the effect of DNMT and HDAC inhibitors in patients with TC and other solid tumors. Finally, in what treatment application is concern, mi-RNAs are still far from being a druggable target, and most evidence of its antitumoral effect in TC is based on in vitro studies (19).

**EPIGENETIC TECHNIQUES FOR FNA MATERIALS**

For DNA methylation detection, cytology smears or cell blocks can be used for bisulfite sequencing, methylation-specific PCR,
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TABLE 1 | Advantages and limitations of the different epigenetic detection techniques.

| Epigenetic alteration | Technique | Advantages | Limitations |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| DNA methylation       | Bisulfite sequencing (BS) (61) | Highly quantitative Single-site resolution | Dedicated equipment |
|                       | Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) (62) | Inexpensive Easy to perform | Qualitative/semi-quantitative No single-site resolution |
|                       | Real-time qPCR (63) | Quantitative Equipment easily accessible | Low precision No single-site resolution |
|                       | Immunostaining (64, 65) | Allows the visualization of methylation pattern simultaneously in normal adjacent tissue | Need for specific antibodies Lower sensitivity |
|                       | NGS (66–69) | Accurate High throughput | Very expensive Dedicated equipment Need for bioinformatics experts |
| Chromatin modification | DNase sensitivity (70, 71) | Inexpensive | Limited to known regions of DNA |
|                       | ChiP (72) | Evidence of endogenous interaction at a specific gene High sensitivity Do not require expensive instrumentation Quick technique | Requires a specific and validated antibody; crosslinking may induce artifacts Only known modifications can be studied Limited by the availability, specificity, and performance of antibodies Lack high-throughput capabilities High cost for large-scale studies |
|                       | Mass spectrometry (73) | Identify multiple modifications in single peptides Allows simultaneously monitoring of multiple PTMs Accurate relative quantification of global changes of histone PTMs Allow discovery of previously unknown modification patterns Can be used in a high-throughput manner | Does not require specific antibodies Laborious Limited in high sensitivity High expertise is required for data analysis Validation of the MS results with antibody-based techniques is still recommended, as it is more widely accepted strategy |
| miRNA detection       | Real-time qPCR (74, 75) | Needs only a small amount of starting material Quantitative Equipment easily accessible | Low precision Cost |
|                       | Arrays (75) | High throughput | Requires miRNA library |
|                       | NGS (77–79) | Allows expression and sequencing at the same time High throughput | Requires high-quality RNA Requires miRNA library Very expensive Dedicated equipment Need for bioinformatics experts |

real-time PCR, immunostaining, and NGS. Advantages and disadvantages of these techniques are outlined in Table 1.

Although all these techniques can be applied on cytology material, the presence of “contaminant cells” can be a practical issue. Studies have shown that 17% of thyroid nodules miss malignant- or benign-specific DNA methylation changes. The absence of epigenetic signatures is frequently linked to lymphocytic thyroiditis. In fact, a group of thyroid nodules with thyroiditis had a DNA methylation pattern very similar to lymph nodes (Figure 1). In addition, 62% of adjacent thyroid tissues and 40% (2 of 5) of malignant tissues with 75% or more of adjacent thyroid tissues were undiagnosable according to Diagnostic DNA Methylation Signature. These data suggest that the presence of cells other than follicular epithelial cells in a specimen may lead to the absence of epigenetic signatures. Such contamination is frequently found in FNA biopsies of thyroid nodules and may include white blood cells, skeletal muscle, blood vessels, or adjacent normal tissue (80).

CONCLUSIONS

Despite the improvement of diagnosis through these molecular advances, even the most recent molecular tests present a wide confidence interval for cancer probability, resulting in a lack of established marker(s) that either provide a clear-cut diagnosis or accurately predict the prognosis. Meanwhile, advances in the epigenetic field supporting the differentiation between benign, borderline, and malignant lesions, as well as their role as prognostic factors in malignant neoplasms...
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FIGURE 1 | Translational potential of epigenetic markers based on fine-needle aspiration (FNA) thyroid specimens.

of various organ systems, such as breast, prostate, lung, or liver, are increasingly acknowledged. Recently, thyroid also started to be studied by various epigenetic techniques. The application of epigenetic-based methods on tissue material of thyroid and various organs has been targeted by researchers in the past two decades, leading to a growing need to establish its applicability to FNA material. Following what has been reported so far, this review amassed the potential usage and adoption of epigenetic-based techniques on thyroid FNA material aimed to better understand the oncobiology of thyroid neoplasia.
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