Ge-on-Si modulators operating at mid-infrared wavelengths up to 8 μm
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Abstract: We report mid-infrared Ge-on-Si waveguide based PIN diode modulators operating at wavelengths of 3.8 μm and 8 μm. Fabricated 1 mm-long electro-absorption devices exhibit a modulation depth of >35 dB with a 7 V forward bias at 3.8 μm, and a similar 1 mm-long Mach-Zehnder modulator has a $V_\pi \cdot L$ of 0.47 V·cm. Driven by a 2.5 Vpp RF signal, 60 MHz On-Off Keying modulation was demonstrated. Electro-absorption modulation at 8 μm was demonstrated preliminarily, with the device performance limited by large contact separation and high contact resistance.
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1. Introduction

Silicon photonics has attracted great research interest over the last decade for its prospects in the creation of integrated devices for near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths, especially for use in optical interconnects and communications systems [1-6]. The motivation for investigating wavelengths further into the mid-infrared (MIR), defined here as wavelengths in the range 2-15 μm, comes from the applications that are possible for photonics in this range. Integration of MIR devices could be used to create “lab-on-a-chip” type sensors, which could be used for environmental pollution monitoring, toxic chemical detection for homeland security, non-invasive methods of early disease diagnosis, to name a few. In addition, the MIR range also contains two atmospheric transmission windows (3-5 μm and 8-12 μm), thus offering a new spectrum for free-space light transmission [7].

Silicon is now widely used for NIR photonics. Silicon-on-Insulator (SOI) has been used as an established photonic platform for the NIR, with several multi project wafer services that offer access to sophisticated, but affordable fabrication processes. However, silicon dioxide (SiO2) has high material absorption in the 2.6-2.9 μm range and beyond
3.6 μm [8], which limits the use of SOI platform. Many new platforms with extended transparency ranges have been proposed for MIR photonics. This includes Silicon-on-sapphire [9], Silicon-on-Nitride [10], Germanium-on-insulator [11], SiGe graded index-on-silicon (SiGe-on-Si) [12-14], Germanium-on-Silicon (Ge-on-Si) [15,16], Germanium-on-Nitride [17], and Chalcogenide glasses [18]. In germanium-rich graded Silicon-Germanium waveguides a low loss of 2-3 dB/cm [13] and supercontinuum generation [14] have both been demonstrated up to 8.5 μm wavelength. For MIR light transmission, Ge is recognized as an ideal material since it exhibits high transparency over nearly the entire MIR range (2-16 μm) [19]. Based on the transparency windows of bulk Ge and Si, the Ge-on-Si platform is expected to provide a wide MIR wavelength range together with facile processing. Low loss Ge-on-Si waveguide transmission has been shown in [16,20-22], with [21] demonstrating low losses up to 11.25 μm. Moreover, other Ge-on-Si devices like planar concave gratings [23], multimode interferometers [20], arrayed waveguide grating [24], as well as surface grating couplers [25] have been reported.

However, waveguide integrated modulators based on the Ge-on-Si platform have been little explored so far. The only previous demonstration is a thermo-optic modulator [26] operating at 5 μm. On-chip modulators might find a number of uses for mid-infrared wavelengths: i) Free-space communication systems exploiting the MIR transmission windows would require high-speed modulators. ii) Frequently, choppers are used in combination with lock-in amplifiers to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of MIR measurement systems [27, 28]. The chopper in such a system could be replaced by an on-chip modulator to make on-chip MIR systems more sensitive. iii) 1×2 switches would be useful for on-chip sensors, for example for switching light between a waveguide that is exposed to an analyte and a reference waveguide, to remove external sources of noise. iv) On-chip beam steering systems similar to those currently being explored in Si photonics in the NIR for LIDAR may be useful for a range of MIR applications, and would require waveguide integrated phase shifters.

Bulk Ge has a thermo-optic coefficient 3 times larger than in Si. However, Ge-on-Si thermo-optic modulators, as demonstrated in [26], exhibited comparatively low efficiency, since 700 mW power level was required for a 2π phase shift. The high thermal conductivity of the Si substrate was only improved by using Ge-on-SOI wafers [26] or creating suspended Ge waveguide membranes [29], both of which improved the thermal isolation of the waveguide. Aside from the power efficiency issue, the speed of the thermo-optic effect intrinsically limits modulation frequency to approximately 10-100 kHz. In order to improve both the efficiency and the bandwidth, it is necessary to explore other electro-optic effects in Ge.

Due to the centrosymmetric crystal structure of Ge, the Pockels effect is weak, while the Kerr effect is also weak in Ge, and the Franz-Keldysh effect is negligible for wavelengths longer than ~1.6 μm. The free-carrier plasma effect in Ge has been
numerically calculated from a combination of theory and experimental data in [30]. It was predicted that Ge modulators exploiting free-carrier absorption at a wavelength of 3.8 μm should be almost 4 times more effective than in Si [30]. An electro-absorption modulator on germanium-on-insulator (GeOI) platform was reported in 2016 [11]. However, high SiO₂ absorption limits the use of such a platform for wavelengths > 4 μm. We have previously reported in [31,32] Ge-on-Si absorption modulators based on free-carrier injection through a lateral PIN junction at a waveguide of 3.8 μm under DC operation. In this work, we present detailed device design, fabrication, and characterization, and we extend this work to demonstrate refractive index modulation at 3.8 μm, to investigate the RF performance of the devices, and to demonstrate modulators operating at 8 μm.

2. Design of Ge-on-Si carrier injection modulators

The modulators were based on the waveguides that were previously demonstrated in [15] and [20] for 3.8 μm and 8 μm respectively. Devices were implemented on the Ge-on-Si substrate with a core thickness (T₉b) of approximately 3 μm, a slab thickness (T₈lab) of 1.3 μm, and an etch depth of 1.7 μm. The core width (W₉b) was designed to be 2.7 μm for λ=3.8 μm, and 3.2 μm for λ=8 μm devices. Waveguides were designed for single mode propagation. The cross-section of the PIN diode is shown in the schematic in Fig. 1(a).

![Fig. 1. (a) Schematic of the PIN junction modulator cross section. (b) The mode profiles for Ge-on-Si rib waveguides at 3.8 μm and 8 μm wavelengths, modelled using Lumerical Mode Solutions.](image)

To avoid potential issues that might be caused by a non-uniform implant mask thickness resulting from the large rib waveguide etch depth, the doping implantation steps
were conducted before the waveguide etching. As a consequence, the contact doping had to be placed in the unetched Ge slab regions that were at least several micrometers away from the waveguide core, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The target doping concentration were \(10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) for both P++ and N++ doping. Since the etched region served as a lateral waveguide cladding, its width \(W_{\text{Gap}}\) had to be sufficiently large to suppress mode leakage towards unetched side slabs. In addition, the 3 \(\mu\text{m}\)-thick Ge layer could not be doped through the whole thickness. This would require excessively high doping energy. The target doping depth \(T_{\text{Doping}}\) was set to be 500 nm. \(W_{\text{Gap}}\) was varied between 6 \(\mu\text{m}\) and 8 \(\mu\text{m}\) for devices operating at 3.8 \(\mu\text{m}\), and was set to be 8 \(\mu\text{m}\) for the 8 \(\mu\text{m}\) modulator operation. The simulated mode profiles are shown in Fig. 1(b), and the simulations showed that these values of \(W_{\text{Gap}}\) were sufficient to both prevent mode leakage and optical absorption in the highly doped regions.

All devices operating at 3.8 \(\mu\text{m}\) were designed with grating couplers to afford out-of-plane light coupling between optical fibres and on-chip Ge-on-Si waveguides. The grating couplers were similar to those previously published in [25,33], but were re-designed for a 3 \(\mu\text{m}\)-thick Ge layer and 1.7 \(\mu\text{m}\) etching. The grating coupler was 26 \(\mu\text{m}\) wide, with a grating period of 3.06 \(\mu\text{m}\) and duty cycle of 0.596. A 1 mm-long inverse taper excitation was used at the input to the grating coupler, with a tip width of 1.1 \(\mu\text{m}\). This injection waveguide arrangements minimized back-reflections into the waveguide as described in [33].

The devices for 8 \(\mu\text{m}\) were designed with end-fire light coupling into the waveguide via a linear edge taper. The end waveguide width was 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) at the edge facet and 1 mm-long taper was connected to the single-mode waveguide. At the output, a grating coupler was used to re-direct light towards a long-wave infrared (LWIR) camera that was used for imaging. These grating couplers were the same as those described in [20], with an etch depth of 1 \(\mu\text{m}\) and were designed for imaging by the camera.

Two kinds of modulators were designed for 3.8 \(\mu\text{m}\) wavelength: 1. electro-absorption modulators (EAMs), which consisted of PIN diodes integrated with 1 mm-long straight waveguides. The optical absorption change created by free carrier injection into the waveguide directly creates an optical intensity change, and 2. Mach-Zehnder modulators (MZMs), in which a PIN diode is integrated with the waveguide in one arm of a Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI), and the change in refractive index introduced by free carrier injection into the waveguide core creates a phase change, which is converted into an intensity modulation by the MZI. 1 \(\times\) 2 multimode interference (MMI) couplers were used as the splitter and combiner in the MZI. The multimode region of the MMI was 14 \(\mu\text{m}\) wide and 106.5 \(\mu\text{m}\) long. The output ports have a center-to-center spacing of 7.25 \(\mu\text{m}\), and the input and output ports were tapered from the single mode waveguide width out to a maximum width of 6.75 \(\mu\text{m}\), over a 20 \(\mu\text{m}\) length. The MZI were imbalanced with a 1 mm-long phase shifter, and a 600 \(\mu\text{m}\)-long arm difference. Only EAM devices were investigated at a wavelength of 8 \(\mu\text{m}\), which had a
PIN diode length of 2 mm.

According to literature data, the SiO$_2$ top cladding is expected to be extremely lossy ($>10^4$ dB/cm [34]) at 8 µm, mode simulations indicate that it would introduce approximately 100 dB/cm to the waveguide propagation loss. In this fabrication run we chose to accept this source of excess loss to simplify fabrication, but an alternative option would be either to use a different cladding material with lower absorption, or to introduce another lithography step and etch away the SiO$_2$ directly above the waveguide.

3. Fabrication processes

Chip fabrication was carried out at the Southampton Nanofabrication Centre, University of Southampton. The process flow for the Ge-on-Si modulator fabrication is shown in Fig. 2. a) Commercially purchased 6” Ge-on-Si wafers with a 3-µm-thick Ge layer were used. b) The $\lambda$=8 µm gratings were defined using e-beam lithography, followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) etching. Alignment marks were also etched in this step, for use in all subsequent steps. c) 1.5 µm-thick SiO$_2$ was deposited on top by Plasma Enhanced Chemical Vapor Deposition (PECVD), serving as a hard mask for ion implantation. The hard mask was patterned with optical lithography using S1813 photoresist, followed by HF wet etching. The ion implantation was conducted at the Ion Beam Center, University of Surrey. Chain ion implantations of boron, and subsequently phosphorous were conducted to realize both a high concentration at the surface for good Ohmic contact and doping depths ($T_{Doping}$) of 500 nm. For the boron doping implant energies of 200 keV, 100 keV, 50 keV, and 20 keV with corresponding dose levels of 5e15 cm$^{-2}$, 2.5e15 cm$^{-2}$, 1.5e15 cm$^{-2}$, and 1e15 cm$^{-2}$ were used. After ion implantation, the oxide hard mask was totally removed by HF wet etching. d) The same process was followed to carry out the phosphorus implant, with doping energies of 200 keV, 70 keV, and 20 keV, with the corresponding doses of 2.2e15 cm$^{-2}$, 6.3e14 cm$^{-2}$, and 1.9e14 cm$^{-2}$. e) At this stage, e-beam lithography and ICP etching were used to etch the waveguides and grating couplers for 3.8 µm operation. f) A 100 nm SiO$_2$ layer ($T_{SiO2}$) was deposited by PECVD, and vias were etched through the SiO$_2$ to allow contacting the doped regions. A passivation step was carried out, after which 100 nm-thick aluminum electrodes were deposited by e-beam evaporation and patterned using the lift-off technique at chip scale. Fig. 3 shows an optical microscope image of the fabricated EAM working at 3.8 µm, and Fig. 4 shows a scanning electron microscope image of the top view of one of the PIN diodes.
Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of the process flow used for the fabrication of Ge-on-Si waveguide modulators.

Fig. 3. Optical microscope images of the EAM devices at 3.8 μm. The image is composed of two images that have been stitched together, since the 1 mm PIN diode length does not fit in the microscope field of view. The horizontal white strip near the middle of the figure separates the two parts.

Fig. 4. Top view scanning electron microscope image of the PIN diode. The waveguide and etched lateral cladding are in the middle of the image in grey. The blue shaded region on the left is N++ doped, while the red
region on the right is P++ doped. On both the P++ and N++ doped sides regions rough surfaces are visible, the 
areas in which vias through the SiO2 top cladding have been etched for the formation of Ohmic contacts.

4. Characterization and Analysis

4.1 Ohmic contact performance of 3.8 μm wavelength devices

Circular transmission lines were fabricated on some chips in order to measure the contact 
and sheet resistances [35]. The resistivity was calculated to be 1.8×10^{-3} Ω·cm, for P++ 
doping and 1.0×10^{-3} Ω·cm for N++ doping, respectively. According to [36], this 
corresponds to doping concentrations of 10^{19} cm^{-3} for both P++ and N++ doping. The 
contact resistivity was calculated to be 7.7×10^{-4} Ω·cm² for N-type and 1.8×10^{-4} Ω·cm² for 
P-type. The contact resistivity of the Ohmic contacts is much higher than the resistivity 
reported in literature, which was around 10^{-7} Ω·cm² [37]. The contact resistance of our 
device is calculated to be 2.57 Ω for N-type and 0.8 Ω for P-type. The high contact 
resistance would cause a large part of the forward bias voltage applied across a PIN diode 
to be dropped across the contacts. This way, the required driving voltage would be much 
higher than expected. The reverse bias leakage current measured across the PIN diodes 
was negligible (i.e. < 10^{-4} A under -4 V bias voltage in a 0.25 mm-long diode) compared 
to the same forward bias current. This shows that there is very little surface leakage 
current when a forward bias is applied across the PIN diodes.

4.2 Electro-absorption and electro-refraction modulators for 3.8 μm

The experimental setup for 3.8 μm device operation is shown in Fig. 5. Optical 
characterization was carried out using a MIR experimental setup that consists of a tunable 
quantum cascade laser (QCL) with a center wavelength of 3.8 μm and a custom built 
HgCdTe photodetector (Vigo Systems PVI-4TE-4) with a -3 dB high cut-off frequency 
larger than 400 MHz. The HgCdTe detector is AC coupled. This results in the DC 
component of any optical signal being filtered from its electrical output. The light 
polarization is adjusted for TE polarization (to suit the grating couplers) using a half-wave 
plate that is placed at the linearly polarized laser output. The light is then coupled into 
MIR fibers using a ZnSe lens. Single mode ZrF4 MIR fibers with 9 μm core and 125 μm 
cladding diameters are used to couple light to and from the chip.
For the DC measurements, a combination of a chopper in the optical beam operating at ~250 Hz and a lock-in amplifier connected to the detector output are used to improve the signal to noise ratio (SNR), and to add a periodic component to the optical beam so that it could be measured by the detector. For the RF measurement, a signal generator and Pulse Pattern Generator (PPG) are employed to generate a $2^7$–1 Pseudo-Random Binary Sequence (PRBS). The signal is then amplified using a microwave amplifier. Since the PIN junction operates in the carrier injection regime, a Bias-Tee is used to combine the RF signal and the DC bias. The output optical signal is directly detected by the HgCdTe detector and then sampled by a real-time storage oscilloscope. The eye diagram is then constructed in MATLAB from the recorded long bit sequence.

When testing the passive optical performance of the devices we observed a high degree of variation in the absolute values of the transmission through nominally identical normalization structures (e.g. straight waveguides). This limited reliable measurements of modulator insertion losses and waveguide propagation losses. The cause of this is unclear, but may be related to a sensitivity of the grating couplers to fabrication variations, or may be related to other fabrication defects.

The DC performance of the EAM with $W_{\text{gap}}$ of 6 μm operating at 3.8 μm wavelength is first investigated. Fig. 6(a) shows the normalized transmission of the device over the 3720-3820 nm wavelength range, under different DC bias voltages. The measured wavelength range was limited by the laser transmission range as well as by the grating coupler bandwidth. However, the modulator is intrinsically limited only by the waveguide bandwidth, since free-carrier absorption in Ge is very strong throughout the MIR [30]. Fig. 6(b) shows the modulation depth of the device under DC voltages from 0 V to 7 V, as measured at a wavelength of 3765 nm. The modulation depth reaches 35 dB under 7 V bias, with a corresponding current flow of 0.56 A. The measured current-voltage (I-V)
curve of this device is shown in the inset of Fig. 6(b).

Fig. 6. (a) The modulation depth as a function of the wavelength, under different bias conditions. (b) Modulation depth as a function of current at a wavelength of 3765 nm. Inset: current-voltage device characteristic.

Fig. 7. (a) The optical spectrum of the MZM under several DC voltages. The spectral transmission is normalized to the highest transmission, as seen through the device (so that the offset of transmission from zero does not represent the insertion loss); (b) Phase shift versus current of the MZM.

Next, we studied the DC performance of the fabricated MZM devices. The optical spectrum of the MZM with $W_{\text{Gap}}$ of 6 μm under different DC forward bias voltages is shown in Fig. 7(a). The DC extinction ratio is greater than 13 dB for a maximum achieved phase shift of 0.75 π under a voltage of 3.5 V as shown in Fig. 7(b). The wavelength dependent extinction ratio is decreased under higher bias voltage, which is caused by the free carrier loss. The design of an unbalanced MZI could be used to compensate the free carrier loss. The corresponding $V_x \cdot L$ is 0.47 V cm. We verified that the direction of the wavelength shift of the MZM transmission spectra with a bias is applied is consistent with a negative refractive index change, as would be expected from a change in the free carrier concentration. There is likely to be some heating in this device that would cause a positive
change in the refractive index of the waveguide, and would thus reduce the efficiency of the phase shifter.

![Eye diagrams measured at 60 MHz for (a) EAM and (b) MZM.](image)

The RF performance of the EAM devices was investigated at 3.8 μm. The 60 MHz eye diagrams generated by the EAM and MZM are shown in Fig. 8(a) and 8(b), respectively. The driving voltage for both was 2.5 Vpp with 1.9 V DC bias. Because the detector does not measure the DC component of the optical signal it is impossible to reliably measure the extinction ratio from the eye diagram. Nevertheless, it is clear that both devices still exhibit open eye diagrams at 60 MHz.

### 4.3 Electro-absorption modulator operating at 8 μm

The 8 μm device was measured using the setup described in detail in [20]. Light from a Pranalytica tunable QCL is coupled into a As2Se3 fiber using a ZnSe objective lens. The fiber leads to the waveguide edge facet. DC probes are used to apply a DC voltage across the PIN diode. A FLIR SC660 camera images the surface of the chip, and the grating at the waveguide output radiates light up towards the camera. The reasons for using this coupling scheme instead of other more conventional coupling schemes are discussed in [20].

![Captured LWIR camera image with the QCL tuned to λ = 8 μm. a) when the laser is emitting, b) when laser is not emitting is subtracted from when laser is emitting. White areas show high infrared light intensity, and](image)
dark areas show low intensity.

To measure the light transmission through a grating coupler as observed by the camera, at each applied DC voltage a video is recorded that contains frames with both the laser switched on and the laser switched off. The background is corrected by subtracting one of the “laser off” frames from one of the “laser on” frames (Fig. 9(a)), so that only laser light is visible in the resulting image, as shown in Fig. 9(b). The pixel intensities in an area around the grating coupler are summed together to give a value that is proportional to the total grating coupler transmission.

Fig. 10. (a) Extinction ratio as a function of the applied voltage for 2 mm-long EAM operating at a wavelength of 8 μm. Inset: Current per unit length versus voltage curves at a wavelength of 8 μm (2 mm-long diode) and of 3.8 μm (1 mm-long diode) EAM devices with the same 8 μm contact separation (W_{gap}) (b) Absorption coefficient versus current per unit PIN diode length at a wavelength of 8 μm (2 mm-long diode) and of 3.8 μm (1 mm-long diode) EAM devices with the same contact separation (W_{gap}).

Fig. 10(a) shows the resulting plot of modulation depth as a function of the varying DC forward bias voltages. As shown in Fig. 10(a), the maximum achieved modulation depth is 2.5 dB under 7 V voltage. The contact resistances of the EAM devices at 3.8 μm and 8 μm are different due to variation of the contact resistance among the different chips. As shown in inset of Fig.10(a), under the same voltage, the current per unit length of the long wavelength devices is much lower, which means worse contacts. Therefore, the modulation depth vs. voltage curves cannot be compared directly, but instead the current vs. modulation depth curves have been plotted in Fig. 10(b) for two devices with the same separation (W_{gap}=8 μm) between the waveguide edge and the Ohmic contacts. Since the PIN diodes are of different lengths, the x-axis is plotted as diode current per unit diode length, and the y-axis is the absorption introduced by the carrier injection in dB/cm. The only other difference between the two devices is the wider single mode waveguide width at the longer wavelength (i.e. 3.2 μm at λ=8 μm compared to 2.7 μm at λ=3.8 μm).

As a first order approximation, we fit a linear curve to both sets of current vs.
modulation depth data, and we find that at 3.8 μm wavelength, the fitted line has a slope of 3.43 dB/A, while at 8 μm wavelength, there is a 16.8 dB/A slope. Since in two PIN diodes with the same dimensions and the same injection current, the charge carrier concentration would be expected to be approximately the same, we can use this fitted slope as a proxy for comparing the absorption coefficient vs. carrier concentration relationships at the two wavelengths, and estimate that free carrier absorption is approximately 4.9 times greater at 8 μm than at 3.8 μm. Of course, since the longer wavelength waveguide is 0.5 μm wider, the injection volume is ~5 % larger, therefore the charge carrier concentration in the λ=8 μm is likely slightly lower, and we can expect that we slightly underestimate the relative difference in absorptions at the two wavelengths.

If we use the theoretical equations for \( \Delta \alpha \) from [30] for a charge carrier concentration of \( \Delta N_e = \Delta N_h \) in the range of \( 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) to \( 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), we would expect that \( \Delta \alpha \) is 4.7 to 4.9 times greater at \( \lambda=8 \) μm than at 3.8 μm, which agrees well with the experimentally measured difference.

5. Discussion

The total power consumption for the 1 mm long MZM device at \( \lambda=3.8 \) μm is calculated to be 595 mW (3.5 V × 0.17 A) for 0.75 π phase shift. Most of the power is consumed in the contact region and the undoped slab region. The measured devices exhibited significantly lower modulation efficiencies and higher power consumption than is routinely expected in NIR silicon photonic carrier injection modulators, despite the expectation of a very strong free carrier effect in Ge. There are several likely causes of this: (a) The large spacing between the P-type and N-type contacts in the PIN diodes used in this demonstration require for the carriers to be injected into a large region, therefore the overlap between the injected carriers and the waveguide mode is low. (b) The Ohmic contacts exhibit high resistance, thus reducing the injected carrier concentration for a given applied voltage. (c) A relatively high defect concentration in Ge at the Ge-Si interface, as a result of Si and Ge lattice constants mismatch, reduces the carrier lifetime, which coupled with the long distance over which carriers are injected, would substantially reduce the carrier concentration in the waveguide core. The device efficiency could be significantly improved by using a more optimized Ohmic contact fabrication process and by placing the Ohmic contacts much closer to the waveguide. In this work, the decision to use a large contact separation stemmed only from the choice of a very conservative fabrication process. Introducing additional lower concentration P and N type implantation steps in the slab region adjacent to the waveguide would also reduce the diode resistance and injection volume without introducing too much excess optical absorption.

It is worth noting that it is challenging to use these experimental measurements to directly verify the theoretical predictions of the strength of the free carrier effect in Ge presented in [30], since the actual carrier concentration in the waveguides is unknown, and cannot be readily measured.
If we compare the EAM and MZM devices for 3.8 μm, which have nominally the same PIN diode sections, we can try to estimate the absorption introduced in the phase shifter when applying a π phase shift. Based on Fig. 7 (b), for a π phase shift (in a situation where the device were to be driven by 3.5 V, but a 1.33 mm long PIN diode were used) a 0.23 A injection current would be required. If we assume that the same injection current results in the same charge carrier concentration in both devices, from Fig. 6 (b) we can say that a 0.23 A injection current produces 3.85 dB of absorption in the EAM device. For many phase shifter applications (such as beam steering) this level of excess loss will be unacceptable, and the problem will become even more severe at longer wavelengths where the free carrier absorption is stronger. In a conventional 1×2 MZI switch high excess free carrier loss will increase the insertion loss and cross-talk of the switch. Soref et al. have proposed alternative Ge waveguide based switch designs that use the free carrier absorption and refraction effects that would be expected to circumvent much of the parasitic effect of free carrier absorption on insertion loss and crosstalk [38,39].

6. Conclusions

In this work, mid-infrared electro-optic modulators based on the Ge-on-Si platform have been investigated at wavelengths up to 8 μm. A fabricated 1 mm-long EAM exhibited a DC modulation depth in excess of 35 dB at 3.8 μm wavelength, and a MZM with a 1 mm-long phase shifter at the same wavelength had a modulation depth of 13 dB with a \( V_a \cdot L \) of 0.47 V·cm. When driven by a RF signal, 60 MHz OOK modulation was demonstrated in both the EAM and MZM devices. An EAM device has also been demonstrated at a wavelength of 8 μm, with a 2.5 dB modulation depth for a 7 V DC forward bias in a 2 mm-long PIN diode. The measurements indicate that the injected free carrier absorption is more than 4.9 times greater at 8 μm than at 3.8 μm for the same carrier concentration, which is consistent with the theoretical predictions of [30]. The modulation efficiency of all of these modulators would be expected to increase significantly with optimization of the Ohmic contact separation and with improved Ohmic contact fabrication.

The devices that have been characterized represent the first demonstrations of free carrier injection based modulators in the Ge-on-Si material platform, and the modulation at 8 μm wavelength represents the longest wavelength at which modulation in a group-IV waveguide has been demonstrated to date. These results also show that PIN diode based carrier injection modulators can be extremely broadband, since the devices demonstrated here, which are identical in all aspects except the waveguide width, have been used at wavelengths that are separated by more than an octave in frequency.
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