Peripheral Nerve Block: Does it Affect Pain Perception in Acute Compartment Syndrome?
A Systematic Review

Ashraf Elazab1,3*, Ahmed Abd-Elgawad2 and Mohamed Attia2

1Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Dammam Medical Complex, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
2Department of Anesthesia, Dammam Medical Complex, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
3Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Elsenbellaween, and Mansoura international hospital, Egypt

Submission: June 23, 2018; Published: August 24, 2018

*Corresponding author: Ashraf Elazab, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Elsenbellaween and Mansoura international hospital, Egypt,
Tel: +2-010-274-70066; 2-050-454-2520; +2-050-2160017; Fax: +2- 050-468-4045; Email: montocristo2003@yahoo.com;
Ahmed Abd-Elgawad, Department of Anesthesia, Dammam Medical Complex, Dammam, KSA; Email: aabdelpgawad72@yahoo.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of peripheral nerve block (PNB) on perception of pain induced by compartment syndrome (CS) in orthopedic surgery. Studies emphasized or discussed the relation between PNB and CS until March 2017 were identified in databases. Nine studies were eligible according to our selection criteria. All were case reports. Outcome including pain perception, duration to decompression and tissue necrosis were extracted from selected studies and analyzed. Pain perceived in seven out of nine cases. Decompression time range from 0 to 4 hours from pain perception and 140 min to 48 hours from operation time. Tissue necrosis was observed in three out of nine cases. In conclusion there is no evidence in the literatures supporting the assumption that PNB prevent the perception of CS pain. Accordingly, it could be considered safe for postoperative pain control in orthopedic surgery with special precautions in high-risk patients.
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Introduction

In modern anesthesia practice the treatment of postoperative pain is a basic human right and an essential part of perioperative care [1]. Regional analgesic techniques were introduced aiming to minimize systemic narcotic usage which could reduce the incidence of known adverse effects including tiredness, nausea, respiratory depression, decreased intestinal motility and urinary retention [2]. Moreover, it correlates with improved patient satisfaction, better short-term outcomes and decreased length of hospital stay [3–6]. Peripheral nerve block (PNB) as a regional analgesic technique widely used for postoperative control in orthopedic surgery. However, its efficacy for postoperative pain control raised concerns for the possible masking of the ischemic pain which is the cardinal diagnostic symptom of the compartment syndrome (CS). That may lead to delay in diagnosis with its catastrophic consequences. CS is an orthopedic emergency, which occurs when perfusion pressure of fascial compartment falls below the tissue pressure with resultant ischemia of muscles and nerves of the compartment [7]. Irreversible tissue damage can occur within 4-6 hours after the onset of symptoms; however, nerves are already severely damaged after 2 hours of increased compartment pressure [8,9].

Diagnosis of CS is mainly clinical. Signs and symptoms include: pain out of proportion to the injury and exacerbating by passive stretching of the involved muscles, swelling and coldness. Late signs of paraesthesia, pulslessness and paralysis follow [10]. Pulslessness may not occur and diagnosis is assisted by invasive measuring of intra compartmental pressure. Urgent faciotomy is the definitive treatment. In the literature, some authors blamed PNB in delaying the diagnosis of CS while others defended. Our purpose was to evaluate the effect of PNB on perception of pain induced by CS in orthopedic surgery.

Materials and Methods

Search methods

We searched the databases including PubMed, Cochrane library, Web of Science, Embase, and reference lists of included studies using the following terms: (peripheral nerve block,
regional anesthesia, CS, limb ischemia, and ischemic limb pain). Articles discussing the effect of PNB on CS pain perception were identified until the date of last research on 30 April 2017.

**Selection Criteria**

The inclusion criteria were studies which reported the effect of PNB on compartmental syndrome pain perception in the extremities whether it mask this pain, delay its perception or had no effect. Outcome including pain perception, duration to decompression and tissue necrosis. The pre-specified criteria were used to select the eligible studies by two reviewers (Elazab, A, Abd-Elgawad, A). Any disagreement between the two reviewers about the selected studies was resolved by consulting a third reviewer (Attia, M).

**Data extraction**

Data regarding the publishing date, patient characteristics, intervention, outcomes including presence of pain, duration to intervention, and tissue necrosis were extracted from the selected studies.
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**Figure 1: Flow chart of literature screening.**

**Results**

**Search results and Description of Studies**

Among initially identified 268 articles that were searched in the databases, 7 duplicates were excluded by using EndNote program and 224 citations were excluded after screening the titles and abstracts. After reading full texts, 27 citations, which did not fulfill inclusion criteria, were excluded (Table 1). Ten studies with 10 patients fulfilled the inclusion criteria [1,11-19]. One of them [16] was excluded because the CS occurred outside the blocked area. Lastly 9 cases were included in the analysis all of them were case reports (Figure 1).

These 9 cases were published between 1997 and 2015 and contain 6 males and 3 females with their age ranging from 4 to 75 years. Four of them were in the upper extremities and 5 were in lower extremities.

The type of the injected local anesthetic material varied. It was ropivacaine 7.5 % in one study, ropivacaine 0.5 % in one study, ropivacaine 0.2 % in three studies, 0.25% bupivacaine in one study, 0.125% levobupivacaine in one study, one study used a combination of bupivacaine 0.5 % plus lidocaine 2%, and in one study the type of local anesthetic was not clear (Table 2). The nerve block procedure was done preoperatively in six cases, and immediately postoperatively in three cases. General anesthesia was used in eight trials; however, PNB was used as a sole anesthetic only in one trial. The types of intervention were fasciotomy ± debridement and release of external pressure in all cases. Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the included studies. Pain perceived in seven out of nine cases. Decompression time ranged from 0 to 4 hours from pain perception and 140 min to 48 hours from operation time. Tissue necrosis was observed in three out of nine cases.
Table 1: Patient’s characteristic, surgical, anesthetic interventions, and outcomes.

| Article | Patient age/gender | Surgery | Anaesthesia | Postoperative analgesia | Local anaesthetic used | Significant other issues | Presenting symptoms | Classic CS manifestations | Intracompartimental pressure | Outcome |
|---------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Aguirre JA, et al. [1] | 47/F | ORIF of distal humerus fracture. | GA. | Continuous infraclavicular BP block. | Ropivacaine 0.5% 30 ml bolus + PCRA ropivacaine 0.3% | BMI 41.5, DM, Obesitis, Crohn’s disease. | Severe pain. | Pain, passive stretch pain, paresis, and paraesthesia. | 40 mmHg. | Full recovery. |
| Cometa MA, et al. [5] | 15/M | Distal femur and proximal tibia osteotomy with external fixation. | GA. | Continuous femoral and sciatic nerve blocks. | Bolus of 30 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine through each of the catheters then continuous infusion with 0.2% ropivacaine. | Blount’s disease Weight 150 kg. | Severe pain. | Hyperalgesia, pain on passive stretch. | 30 mmHg. | Full recovery. |
| Ganeshan RM, et al. [18] | 75/M | Redo ORIF fracture radius. | Axillary BP block. | Single Shot axillary BP block. | Not mentioned | Heart failure, sleep apnea, osteoarthritis and impaired vision. | Fingers anesthesia and multiple swellings. | Pain on passive finger extension, paralysis, increased capillary refill time, and reduced sensation. | 50 mmHg. | Small area of paraesthesia and decreased motor power by 25% |
| Munk-Andersen H, et al. [16] | 12/M | External fixation of tibia and fibula. | GA. | Continuous distal sciatic nerve block. | A bolus of lidocaine 2% 10 ml then infusion of ropivacaine 0.2% 4ml/h. | None. | Severe pain and pain with passive movement. | Not measured. | Full recovery. |
| Noorpuri BS, et al. [19] | 37/F | Revisional arthroplasty of the forefoot. | GA. | Single shot ankle block. | 30 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine. | None. | Severe pain. | Severe pain, swelling, paresis, altered sensation, delayed capillary refill, and severe pain on extension. | Not measured. | Full recovery. |
| Sermeus L, et al. [20] | 4/M | Exision of osteochondroma from distal radius. | GA. | Continuous Infraclavicular BP block. | Bolus of 7ml of levobupivacaine 0.125% then infusion 3 ml/h. | None. | Severe pain. | Severe pain, impaired motor function, swelling and reduced capillary refill. | Not measured. | Full recovery. |
| Uzel AP, et al. [22] | 26/M | Intramedullary rod fixation of closed femoral fracture. | GA. | Single shot femoral nerve block. | 20 ml of ropivacaine 0.75%. | None. | Severe pain. | Severe pain, the anterior thigh compartment was very taut. | 54 mmHg. | Full recovery. |
| Walker BJ, et al. [23] | 19/F | Calcaneal lengthening osteotomy and Achilles tendon lengthening. | GA. | Continuous popliteal sciatic nerve block. | 15 ml of bupivacaine 0.5% then infusion of ropivacaine 0.2% 8 ml/h. | None. | Pain and decreased sensation. | Pain, passive stretch pain, paresis, and paraesthesia. | Not measured. | Full recovery. |
| Jassim Raof, et al [11] | 19/M | Redo ORIF for fracture radius. | GA. | Single shot suprapelvicular BP block. | 10 ml of bupivacaine 0.5% + 10 ml of lidocaine 2%. | None. | Severe pain. | Severe pain, swelling, and absent radial pulse. | Not measured. | Full recovery. |

M: Male; F: Female; BMI: Body Mass Index; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; Dis: Disease; PCRA: Patient Controlled Regional Analgesia.

Discussion

As pain is the cardinal and first alarming symptom of the CS we searched for the perception of pain under a well-functioning nerve block. The main findings in this study were that pain was perceived in 7 [1,11,12, 14,15,18,19] of the 9 cases with well-functioning nerve block and in 3 of them [11,14,18] ischemic pain could be perceived under dense sensory and motor block Table 2. In 6 of these 7 cases where pain could be perceived, there was no any muscle necrosis or long-term neural deficit. While in one case [12] some anterior and lateral musculature of the leg was found to be non-viable and removed but without neurological deficit. In this case fasciotomy was done 4 hours after the patient had reported severe pain and his pain cannot be controlled by extra dose of local anesthetic. During this period intra compartmental pressure was measured twice (2 hrs and 3 hrs after pain) and it was high in both readings (more than...
Table 2: Type of local anesthetic and its effect on pain perception and outcome.

| Article                          | Local Anesthetic concentration | Pain during block | Duration to decompression From end of operation | Tissue necrosis |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Aguirre [A, et al. [1]].        | Ropivacaine 0.5%               | Yes               | 14 h                                          | No              |
| Cometa M A, et al. [5].         | Ropivacaine 0.2%               | Yes               | 37 h                                          | Minimal         |
| Ganesan R M, et al. [8].        | Not clear                      | No                | 30 h                                          | No              |
| Munk-Andersen H, et al [16].    | Ropivacaine 0.2%               | Yes               | 1.5 days                                      | No              |
| Noorpuri B S, et al. [18].      | Bupivacaine 0.25%              | No                | 12 h                                          | No              |
| Sermeus L, et al. [20].         | Levobupivacaine 0.125%         | Yes               | 18 h                                          | No              |
| Uzel A P, et al. [22].          | Ropivacaine 0.75%              | Yes               | 20 h                                          | No              |
| Walker B J, et al. [23].        | Ropivacaine 0.2%               | Yes               | 1 d                                           | No              |
| Jassim Raffi, et al. [11].      | Bupivacaine 0.5% + Lidocaine 2%| Yes               | 2 h 20 min                                    | No              |

H = hour, min = minute.

In the remaining two cases [6,13] the patients did not complain of severe pain during the effect of nerve block. In the first one of them [17] the patient was given ankle block for foot surgery and she was pain free for about 12 hours then complained of severe pain which was not relieved by oral analgesics and CS was diagnosed on clinical basis and immediate fasciotomy done. All muscles were found to be completely viable and there was no any sequel. Because we cannot know when the CS started, there are two possible scenarios. First, we can assume that CS started less than 4 hours from onset of pain but pain was not perceived until the block torn off after 12 hours (which is uncommon for ankle block with bupivacaine 0.25% to stay for 12 hrs). The other possible scenario assumes that the CS did not occur until 12 hours and pain perceived normally at the onset. So, in this case we cannot conclude neither the patient can perceive pain under nerve block nor cannot.

In the last case [13] the patient was a 72 years old male with multiple co morbidities who was operated for redo open reduction internal fixation of his left radius under axillary brachial plexus block and presented after 24 hours from operation with multiple swellings over the forearm and hand with loss of sensation of his fingers. Examination revealed edema of the forearm with multiple hemorrhagic blisters, painful passive movement of the fingers, reduced capillary refill and reduced sensation over the median nerve distribution. Diagnosis of acute CS was confirmed with intra compartmental pressure measuring which revealed high pressure of 50 mm Hg in the anterior compartment. In this case the patient did not complain of pain, and pain was not the presenting complaint 24 hours after the operation. So, we can explain missing of pain in this case by one of three scenarios.

First assumes that the action of nerve block was prolonged for 24 hours (which is very uncommon) and masked the perception of pain during this period. In second scenario we will assume that pain of CS was masked by the nerve block and the reduced perfusion caused nerve damage that prevented perception of pain after the block had worn off. These two scenarios are refused because the anterior compartment muscles are supplied by both median and ulnar nerves and at presentation the median nerve only was affected while the ulnar was well functioning. Munk et al. [15] showed that surgical pain is primarily mediated through the thin unmyelinated C-fibers and myelinated Aδ-fibers whereas ischemic pain primarily mediates through the thicker A-β fibers. Thus, by using local anesthesics in dilute concentrations, it is possible to obtain sufficient post-operative pain relief without excluding the possibility of ischemic pain being felt by the patient. This explanation is logic but still alone does not explain the cases where ischemic pain was felt with the use of concentrated local anesthetic.

30 mm Hg) and lastly fasciotomy done after 4 hours of pain. Of course, we cannot know exactly when the CS started but if we assume that it was started with the first complain of pain, it is possible to get muscle necrosis after 4 hours [9]. So, in this case we can consider as a case of delay in intervention rather than a delay in diagnosis and PNB cannot be blamed in masking the pain as it was clear that the pt can perceive pain under the functioning block.

From end of operation: 2 h, 20 min, 18 h, 1.5 days, 30 h. From start pain: 2 h, 4 h, 1.5 hs, 12 h, 14 h. Tissue necrosis: No, Yes.
anesthesia and dense motor block which means that A alpha fibers (thicker and more resistant to block than A beta fibers) are blocked.

Another explanation is that ischemic pain has a different mechanism which is more intense and sustained than surgical pain. Cometa et al. [12] showed that in ischemic tissue it is postulated that bradykinin, serotonin, acetylcholine, adenosine, potassium ions, and hydrogen ions are some of the substances responsible for ischemic pain [15,22]. Tissue acidosis evidently initiates the pain pathway as increasing levels of hydrogen ion concentration may act on skeletal muscle nociceptors resulting in pain impulse transmission [17]. The hormonal markers of inflammation and injury are thought to undergo tachyphylaxis after nociceptor activation [23]; however, hydrogen ion excitation, in particular, produces non-adapting activation of nociceptors [23,24]. These two explanation theories of different pathway and different mechanism can together give explanation for transmission of pain via a blocked nerve although still not definite; and more experimental work is needed.

**Conclusion**

There is no evidence in the literatures supporting the assumption that PNB prevent the perception of CS pain. Accordingly, it could be considered safe for postoperative pain control in orthopedic surgery with special precautions in high-risk patients.
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