Clinical and Microbiological Outcomes of Ceftazidime-Avibactam Treatment in Adults with Gram-Negative Bacteremia: A Subset Analysis from the Phase 3 Clinical Trial Program
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This exploratory analysis assessed efficacy and safety outcomes in patients with Gram-negative bacteremia treated with ceftazidime-avibactam or comparator across five phase 3, randomized, controlled, multi-center trials in adults with complicated intra-abdominal infection (cIAI), complicated urinary tract infection (cUTI)/pyelonephritis, hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP), and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).

Methods: In each trial, RECLAIM and RECLAIM 3 (cIAI; NCT01499290/NCT01726023), REPRISE (cIAI/cUTI; NCT01644643), RECAPTURE (cUTI; NCT01595438/NCT01599806), and REPROVE (HAP/VAP; NCT01808092), patients were ran-
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domized 1:1 to intravenous ceftazidime-avibactam (plus metronidazole for those with cIAI) or comparators (carbapenems in > 97% patients) for 5–21 days. Efficacy assessments included clinical and microbiological responses at the test-of-cure visit in the pooled Gram-negative extended microbiologically evaluable (GNeME) population (bacteremia subset). Safety outcomes were summarized for patients with positive bacterial blood culture(s) at baseline who received ≥ 1 dose of study treatment.

**Results:** The overall safety population included 4050 patients (ceftazidime-avibactam, n = 2024; comparator, n = 2026). The GNeME population (bacteremia subset) comprised 101 patients (ceftazidime-avibactam, n = 54; comparator, n = 47). Clinical cure rates (all indications combined) were 47/54 (87.0%) for ceftazidime-avibactam and 39/47 (83.0%) for comparators; favorable microbiological response rates were 43/54 (79.6%) and 32/47 (68.1%), respectively. Clinical and microbiological responses in the bacteremia subset were generally similar to those in the overall set. The pattern of adverse events in patients with bacteremia was similar between treatment groups and was consistent with the known safety profile of ceftazidime-avibactam.

**Conclusion:** This analysis provides supportive evidence of the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam in patients with Gram-negative bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or HAP/VAP.

**Keywords:** Bacteremia; Ceftazidime-avibactam; Complicated intra-abdominal infection; Complicated urinary tract infection; Efficacy; Hospital-acquired pneumonia
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**INTRODUCTION**

Bacteremia (the isolation of bacterial pathogens from blood culture) can arise either as a primary bloodstream infection or secondary to acute systemic infections [1], and when associated with infection can result in substantial morbidity and mortality [2]. Most cases of secondary bacteremia originate from lower respiratory tract and intra-abdominal and
urinary tract infections, while ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a common source of infection in the intensive care unit [3, 4].

Gram-negative species, including Enterobacterales and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, are frequently involved in serious infections in hospital and healthcare settings and are capable of expressing multiple antibiotic resistance mechanisms [2].

Multidrug-resistant (MDR) Gram-negative bacteremia represents a clinical challenge due to a lack of guidance on optimal duration of treatment as well as the limited treatment options available [5, 6]. As time to appropriate antibiotic therapy is a predictor of mortality in patients with MDR Gram-negative bacteremia, early appropriate treatment is vital for outcome optimization [4, 7].

Ceftazidime-avibactam, a combination of the cephalosporin ceftazidime and the novel non-β-lactam β-lactamase inhibitor avibactam, has demonstrated clinical and microbiological efficacy against target pathogens in a range of serious infections, including those caused by MDR and extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Gram-negative isolates [8–12]. Ceftazidime-avibactam has also demonstrated in vitro activity against ESBL-, AmpC-, and class A (Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase), class C, and some class D (OXA-48) serine carbapenemase-producing Gram-negative bacteria, but not metallo-beta-lactamase (class B) producers [13–15].

The adult clinical trial program formed the basis of the approval of ceftazidime-avibactam in the USA and EU for the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAIs) in combination with metronidazole, complicated urinary tract infections (cUTIs)/pyelonephritis, and hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP; also referred to as nosocomial pneumonia [NP]), including VAP, including for cases of bacteremia associated with these infections [16–18]. Ceftazidime-avibactam is also approved in the EU for the treatment of infections caused by aerobic Gram-negative organisms in adult patients with limited treatment options [16, 18]. In 2019, the US label was

**Fig. 1** Overview of analysis sets. GNMe Gram-negative extended microbiologically evaluable, mMITT microbiological modified intent to treat
Table 1 Demographic and disease characteristics at baseline in the bacteremia subset (microbiological modified intent-to-treat population)

|                | cIAI | cUTI/pyelonephritis | NP/VAP | Meropenem | All indications |
|----------------|------|----------------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|
|                | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 25) | Comparator (n = 24) | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 42) | Comparator (n = 39) | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 19) | Meropenem (n = 15) | Comparator (n = 78) |
| Age (years)    | Mean (SD) | 59.7 (16.7) | 55.6 (14.3) | 59.4 (19.5) | 63.6 (17.0) | 63.3 (17.4) | 62.4 (17.3) | 60.3 (18.1) | 60.9 (16.4) |
| Age group (years), n (%) | | | | | | | | | |
| ≥ 18–45        | 5 (20.0) | 6 (25.0) | 10 (23.8) | 5 (12.8) | 3 (15.8) | 2 (13.3) | 18 (20.9) | 13 (16.7) |
| 45–64          | 11 (44.0) | 9 (37.5) | 12 (28.6) | 12 (30.8) | 5 (26.3) | 4 (26.7) | 28 (32.6) | 25 (32.1) |
| 65–74          | 4 (16.0) | 6 (25.0) | 8 (19.0) | 11 (28.2) | 6 (31.6) | 6 (40.0) | 18 (20.9) | 23 (29.5) |
| ≥ 75– ≤ 90     | 5 (20.0) | 3 (12.5) | 12 (28.6) | 11 (28.2) | 5 (26.3) | 3 (20.0) | 22 (25.6) | 17 (21.8) |
| Sex, n (%)     | | | | | | | | | |
| Female         | 11 (44.0) | 10 (41.7) | 35 (83.3) | 31 (79.5) | 9 (47.4) | 3 (20.0) | 55 (64.0) | 44 (56.4) |
| Male           | 14 (56.0) | 14 (58.3) | 7 (16.7) | 8 (20.5) | 10 (52.6) | 12 (80.0) | 31 (36.0) | 34 (43.6) |
| Race, n (%)    | | | | | | | | | |
| White          | 15 (60.0) | 12 (50.0) | 23 (54.8) | 25 (64.1) | 13 (68.4) | 10 (66.7) | 51 (59.3) | 47 (60.3) |
| Black or African American | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.4) | 2 (5.1) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.2) | 2 (2.6) |
| Asian          | 8 (32.0) | 12 (50.0) | 14 (33.3) | 7 (17.9) | 6 (31.6) | 4 (26.7) | 28 (32.6) | 23 (29.5) |
| Other          | 2 (8.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (9.5) | 5 (12.8) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (6.7) | 6 (7.0) | 6 (7.7) |
| Weight (kg)    | Mean (SD) | 70.8 (15.7) | 67.3 (16.4) | 67.3 (18.1) | 70.6 (15.6) | 78.9 (18.5) | 74.9 (27.6) | 71.0 (17.9) | 70.4 (18.6) |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | Mean (SD) | 25.7 (4.9) | 23.2 (3.7) | 25.4 (5.5) | 27.1 (5.6) | 27.7 (6.4) | 25.5 (7.1) | 26.0 (5.6) | 25.6 (5.6) |
| APACHE II score* | Mean (SD) | 90 (5.9) | 10.2 (6.9) | NA | NA | 16.2 (4.7) | 16.1 (4.4) | 12.1 (6.5) | 12.5 (6.6) |
|                  | cIAI | cUTI/pyelonephritis | NP/VAP | All indications |
|------------------|------|---------------------|--------|----------------|
|                  | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 25) | Comparator (n = 24) | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 42) | Comparator (n = 39) | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 19) | Meropenem (n = 15) | Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 86) | Comparator (n = 78) |
| APACHE II score grouping, n (%) | | | | | | | | |
| < 10             | 13 (52.0) | 13 (54.2) | NA | NA | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 13 (15.1) | 13 (16.7) |
| 10–19            | 11 (44.0) | 7 (29.2) | NA | NA | 15 (78.9) | 10 (66.7) | 26 (30.2) | 17 (21.8) |
| 20–30            | 1 (4.0) | 3 (12.5) | NA | NA | 4 (21.1) | 5 (33.3) | 5 (5.8) | 8 (10.3) |
| Missing/not done | 0 (0.0) | 1 (4.2) | 42 (100) | 39 (100) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 42 (48.8) | 40 (51.3) |
| Renal status, n (%) | | | | | | | | |
| ≤ 30 ml/min CrCL | 1 (4.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (2.4) | 1 (2.6) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.3) | 1 (1.3) |
| 31–50 ml/min CrCL | 5 (20.0) | 6 (25.0) | 7 (16.7) | 10 (25.6) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (6.7) | 12 (14.0) | 17 (21.8) |
| 51–80 ml/min CrCL | 8 (32.0) | 9 (37.5) | 19 (45.2) | 22 (56.4) | 9 (47.4) | 8 (53.3) | 36 (41.9) | 39 (50.0) |
| ≥ 81 ml/min CrCL | 11 (44.0) | 9 (37.5) | 15 (35.7) | 6 (15.4) | 10 (52.6) | 6 (40.0) | 36 (41.9) | 21 (26.9) |
| Primary diagnosis, n (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Non-VAP          | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 7 (36.8) | 6 (40.0) | 7 (8.1) | 6 (7.7) |
| VAP              | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 12 (63.2) | 9 (60.0) | 12 (14.0) | 9 (11.5) |
| cUTI without acute pyelonephritis | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (9.5) | 4 (10.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (4.7) | 4 (5.1) |
| Acute pyelonephritis | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 34 (81.0) | 29 (74.4) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 34 (39.5) | 29 (37.2) |
| Traumatic perforation | 0 (0.0) | 1 (4.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.3) |
| Cholecystitis     | 3 (12.0) | 1 (4.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.5) | 1 (1.3) |
| Acute gastric and duodenal perforations | 3 (12.0) | 2 (8.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.5) | 2 (2.6) |
| Diverticular disease | 2 (8.0) | 3 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.3) | 3 (3.8) |
| Intra-abdominal abscess | 2 (8.0) | 3 (12.5) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.3) | 3 (3.8) |
expanded to include children aged 3 months and older for the treatment of cIAI in combination with metronidazole and cUTI/pyelonephritis [16]. The adult indications in the EU label have also recently been extended to include pediatric patients 3 months and older; this is the first European approval of an antibiotic to treat cUTI, cIAI, or HAP/VAP caused by resistant Gram-negative bacteria in children since at least 2011, when the European commission/World Health Organization agreed an “Action Plan against the rising threats from Antimicrobial Resistance” [17].

Safety data from two phase 2 and five phase 3 studies in the adult ceftazidime-avibactam clinical trial program [8–12, 19, 20] were also evaluated in a recent pooled analysis (referred to here as the overall safety population) [21]. Each of the five phase 3 studies in the ceftazidime-avibactam clinical trial program included a small number of patients with bacteremia at baseline [8–12]. Data from the subgroup of patients with bacteremia from these studies have not previously been described. As bacteremia is frequently a marker of more severe disease, subset analyses of patients with bacteremia may also provide additional supportive information on the use of ceftazidime-avibactam in patients with more serious Gram-negative infections. A descriptive analysis of pooled clinical trial data, discussed here, was undertaken to assess the clinical and microbiological outcomes and safety for the subset of patients with Gram-negative bacteremia across the ceftazidime-avibactam phase 3 clinical trials.

METHODS

Ethics

This subset analysis was based on five previously reported phase 3 ceftazidime-avibactam clinical trials. Ethics approval and patient consent to participate were obtained for each of the previously reported trials, and all trials were conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and its later amendments.
Study Designs and Patient Populations

An overview of the five phase 3 trials is provided in Table A1 (see supplementary online material). One study enrolled patients with NP: REPROVE (NCT01808092) [11]. Two studies enrolled patients with cUTI/pyelonephritis: RECAPTURE 1/2 (NCT01595438 and NCT01599806; data from the two trials were analyzed as a single study) [12] and REPRISE (NCT01644643) [8], and three studies enrolled patients with cIAI: RECLAIM 1/2 (NCT01499290 and NCT01500239; data from the two clinical trials were analyzed as a single study) [9], RECLAIM 3 (NCT01726023) [10], and REPRISE [8].

Detailed methods and results for each study have been reported previously [8–12]. In brief, hospitalized patients with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or NP/VAP were randomized 1:1 to receive either ceftazidime-avibactam or comparator (which was a carbapenem in most cases) for 5–21 days. Ceftazidime-avibactam dosage for patients with creatinine clearance > 50 ml/min was 2000/500 mg every 8 h (q8h) by 2-h intravenous (i.v.) infusions. Patients with cIAI randomized to ceftazidime-avibactam treatment also received metronidazole 500 mg (by 1-h i.v. infusion) q8h. Doses of ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators were adjusted for patients with renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 50 ml/min). The comparator regimen in RECLAIM and REPROVE was meropenem 1000 mg q8h (by 30-min i.v. infusion). In REPRISE and RECAPTURE, the comparator regimens were best available therapy (a carbapenem in ~ 97% of cases) and doripenem 500 mg q8h (by 30-min i.v. infusions), respectively.

Clinical cure was defined as complete resolution or significant improvement of signs and symptoms of the index infection such that no further antibacterial therapy, drainage, or surgical intervention was necessary. Favorable microbiological response included “eradication,” defined as absence of the causative pathogen from appropriately obtained specimens at the site of infection, and “presumed eradication” (repeat cultures were not performed/clinically indicated in a patient who had a clinical response of cure). Efficacy assessments included clinical cure and favorable microbiological responses at the test-of-cure (TOC) visit (~ 21–35 days after the last study treatment [RECLAIM, RECAPTURE, and REPROVE] or 7–10 days after the last infusion of study therapy [REPRISE]), and safety data were captured up to the late follow-up visit (28–52 days post-randomization).

Post Hoc Pooling and Subset Analysis

Analysis Populations

The overall safety population included the patients who received any dose of study drug treatment in the two phase 2 and five phase 3 ceftazidime-avibactam clinical studies.

Patients were categorized as having Gram-negative bacteremia at baseline if one or more study-qualifying pathogens were identified from a baseline blood culture. Confirmatory urine culture (of the same pathogen as detected in blood) was also required in RECLAIM 1/2.

For all efficacy and safety analysis bacteremia subsets, only patients from the phase 3 studies were included.

The microbiological modified intent-to-treat (mMITT) population included patients who received at least one dose of study drug, met the protocol-specific minimum disease criteria in each study, and had an etiological baseline pathogen isolated from the primary site of infection and/or blood, excluding those who were not expected to respond to either study treatment. Confirmatory urine culture (of the same pathogen as detected in blood) was also required in RECLAIM 1/2.

The Gram-negative extended microbiologically evaluable (GNeME) population was considered the primary analysis population; this was defined as a subset of the extended microbiologically evaluable population (i.e., patients who were protocol-compliant, had an evaluable clinical response, and had a study-qualifying baseline Gram-negative pathogen, regardless of susceptibility to study treatment), as specified in each individual study, limited to patients with aerobic Gram-negative bacteria isolated from the primary site of infection and/or blood. For
the bacteremia subset, this population was further limited to individuals with Gram-negative pathogens isolated from the blood at baseline.

The bacteremia safety subset comprised patients from the five phase 3 studies who received any dose of study treatment and had Gram-negative bacteremia at baseline.

Efficacy and Safety Analyses
Efficacy outcomes of ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators were pooled across all studies by indication and for indications combined. Clinical and microbiological response rates at TOC were summarized for the bacteremia subset (GNeME and mMITT populations), and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for treatment differences were calculated using the unstratified Miettinen and Nurminen method \[22\]. Safety data (including adverse events [AEs] and clinical laboratory assessments) up to the last visit were summarized by indication and for all indications combined for the bacteremia safety subset. There were no formal statistical hypotheses for these analyses; all data were summarized descriptively.

RESULTS
Analysis Populations
The overall safety population for the phase 2 and 3 studies comprised 4050 patients. The overall safety population for phase 3 studies included 3712 patients, of whom 183 comprised the safety population bacteremia subset. The overall mMITT population included 2585 patients, and the mMITT population bacteremia subset comprised 164 patients (ceftazidime-avibactam, \(n = 85\) [52.4%]; comparator, \(n = 78\) [47.6%]). The overall GNeME population included 1910 patients, and the GNeME population bacteremia subset comprised 101 patients (ceftazidime-avibactam, \(n = 54\) [53.5%]; comparator, \(n = 47\) [46.5%]). An overview of patient numbers included within each analysis set with the breakdown by treatment group is shown in Fig. 1.

Patient Characteristics and Baseline Pathogens
Baseline demographic characteristics for patients in the mMITT population (bacteremia subset) were generally similar between the ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator arms (Table 1). On average, patients in the bacteremia subset were slightly older (mean age \(\sim 60\) years) than in the overall safety population (mean age \(\sim 53\) years), and a larger proportion was female (\(\sim 64\%\) in the bacteremia subset versus \(\sim 47\%\) overall). The most common primary diagnoses were acute pyelonephritis and VAP (Table 1). Mean Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II scores at baseline were higher in the NP/VAP population than in the cIAI population (Table 1); APACHE II score data were not collected for the patients with cUTI/pyelonephritis in the RECAPTURE and REPRISE studies. For the indications where APACHE II scores were collected, mean (standard deviation [SD]) baseline APACHE II scores for the bacteremia safety subset were higher than for the overall safety population for both treatment groups (for example, in the ceftazidime-avibactam group and the cIAI indication, the mean [SD] APACHE II score for the bacteremia subset was 9.0 [5.9], whereas for the overall set it was 6.0 [4.3]).

The most frequently isolated pathogens in patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam in the bacteremia subset (GNeME population) were Escherichia coli (68.5%), P. aeruginosa (20.4%), K. pneumoniae (18.5%), and Enterobacter cloacae (7.4%) (Table A2). Proportions of patients with these pathogens were similar in the overall set (61.3%, 12.3%, 19.9%, and 5.4%, respectively). Ceftazidime-non-susceptible pathogens (minimum inhibitory concentration \(\geq 8\) \(\mu\)g/l for Enterobacterales and \(\geq 16\) \(\mu\)g/l for P. aeruginosa; or disk diffusion diameter \(\leq 20\) mm for Enterobacterales and \(\leq 17\) mm for P. aeruginosa), most frequently E. coli and K. pneumoniae, were identified in 30 (29.7%) patients in the GNeME population bacteremia subset (Table A2). Ceftazidime-non-susceptible pathogens were similar in the overall set, identified in 604 (31.6%) patients. Baseline
|                  | Bacteremia subset | Overall set |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                  | Ceftazidime-avibactam, n/N (%) | Comparator, n/N (%) | Difference (%) (95% CI)<sup>a</sup> | Ceftazidime-avibactam, n/N (%) | Comparator, n/N (%) | Difference (%) (95% CI)<sup>a</sup> |
| **Clinical cure** |                   |             |                             |                           |                           |                             |
| cIAI             | 9/11 (81.8)       | 9/10 (90.0) | -8.2 (-41.16, 27.12)       | 349/378 (92.3)            | 396/418 (94.7)            | -2.4 (-6.03, 1.02)          |
| cUTI/pyelonephritis | 28/28 (100.0)    | 25/29 (86.2) | 13.8 (0.71, 30.74)         | 409/423 (96.7)            | 418/435 (96.1)            | 0.6 (-2.01, 3.24)           |
| NP/VAP           | 10/15 (66.7)      | 5/8 (62.5)  | 4.2 (-33.32, 43.99)        | 96/125 (76.8)             | 103/131 (78.6)            | -1.8 (-12.13, 8.41)         |
| All indications  | 47/54 (87.0)      | 39/47 (83.0) | 4.1 (-10.21, 19.09)        | 854/926 (92.2)            | 917/984 (93.2)            | -1.0 (-3.34, 1.37)          |
| **Favorable microbiological response** |                   |             |                             |                           |                           |                             |
| All Gram-negative pathogens |                   |             |                             |                           |                           |                             |
| cIAI             | 9/11 (81.8)       | 9/10 (90.0) | -8.2 (-41.16, 27.12)       | 349/378 (92.3)            | 396/418 (94.7)            | -2.4 (-6.03, 1.02)          |
| cUTI/pyelonephritis | 26/28 (92.9)     | 20/29 (69.0) | 23.9 (3.58, 43.73)         | 357/423 (84.4)            | 320/435 (73.6)            | 10.8 (5.41, 16.24)          |
| NP/VAP           | 8/15 (53.3)       | 3/8 (37.5)  | 15.8 (-26.35, 51.79)       | 80/125 (64.0)             | 89/131 (67.9)             | -3.9 (-15.49, 7.66)         |
| All indications  | 43/54 (79.6)      | 32/47 (68.1) | 11.5 (-5.65, 28.72)        | 786/926 (84.9)            | 805/984 (81.8)            | 3.1 (-0.28, 6.41)           |
| **Ceftazidime-resistant pathogens**<sup>b</sup> |                   |             |                             |                           |                           |                             |
| All indications  | 6/11 (54.5)       | 9/19 (47.4) | 7.2 (-28.72, 41.08)        | 237/288 (82.3)            | 226/310 (72.9)            | 9.4 (2.71, 16.00)           |

CI confidence interval, cIAI complicated intra-abdominal infection, cUTI complicated urinary tract infection, MIC minimum inhibitory concentration, NP nosocomial pneumonia, TOC test of cure, VAP ventilator-associated pneumonia

<sup>a</sup> For clinical and microbiological response, this difference represents the difference in rates from the ceftazidime-avibactam treatment group minus rates in the comparator group. The CI for the difference was calculated using the unstratified Miettinen and Nurminen method

<sup>b</sup> Resistance to ceftazidime was defined as minimum inhibitory concentration $\geq 8 \mu g/l$ for Enterobacterales and $\geq 16 \mu g/l$ for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* or disk diffusion diameter $\leq 20$ mm for Enterobacterales and $\leq 17$ mm for *P. aeruginosa*
pathogens in the mMITT population were generally similar to those observed in the GNeME population (Table A3).

**Efficacy Assessments**

Efficacy results described here will focus on the GNeME population for the bacteremia subset and for the overall set. In the bacteremia subset, clinical cure rates at TOC for each of the four most commonly isolated pathogens were generally similar for ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators within each indication as well as combined across indications. Clinical cure rates for all indications combined were 47/54 (87.0%) for ceftazidime-avibactam and 39/47 (83.0%) for comparators and were generally similar to the overall set (Table 2). Clinical cure rates at TOC for each of the four most isolated pathogens in the bacteremia subset were generally similar between treatment groups, with numerical differences but wide-ranging CIs among individual pathogens (Table A4). For the four most commonly isolated pathogens at baseline, favorable microbiological response rates were, on average, higher for Enterobacterales than for *P. aeruginosa* in both the ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator groups (Table A7). Similar results were observed for the mMITT population (Table A8). Similar results were observed for the mMITT population (Table A5). Favorable per-pathogen microbiological response rates at TOC in the bacteremia subset were generally similar between treatment groups, with numerical differences and wide-ranging CIs among individual pathogens (Table A7). Of the four most commonly isolated pathogens at baseline, favorable microbiological response rates were, on average, higher for Enterobacterales than for *P. aeruginosa* in both the ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator groups (Table A7). Similar results were observed for the mMITT population (Table A8). Similar results were observed for the mMITT population (Table A5).

**Safety Assessments**

The median (range) duration of exposure to ceftazidime-avibactam was 7.0 (1–14) days in the bacteremia safety subset compared with 8.0 (1–21) days in the overall safety population. Most AEs occurred in only one patient in either treatment group and were mild in intensity; no patterns were identified. The most common AEs were known adverse drug reactions to ceftazidime-avibactam [18] (Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities Preferred Terms): diarrhea (12/99 [12.1%] for ceftazidime-avibactam) and nausea (9/99 [9.1%] for ceftazidime-avibactam) (Table 3). In the safety population bacteremia subset, serious AEs were reported for 12/99 (12.1%) and 8/84 (9.5%) patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators, respectively, compared with 176/2024 (8.7%) and 145/2026 (7.2%), respectively, in the overall safety population. The frequency of diarrhea in patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators, respectively, compared with 176/2024 (8.7%) and 145/2026 (7.2%), respectively, in the overall safety population. The frequency of diarrhea in patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam was 12/99 (12.1%) in the bacteremia safety subset compared with 150/2024 (7.4%) in the overall safety population.

In total, there were 3/99 (3.0%) deaths in patients in the bacteremia safety subset treated with ceftazidime-avibactam (Table A9); all were considered unrelated to study treatment. Of these, 2/99 (2.0%) were reported as AEs. The remaining death was due to disease progression. In the comparator arm (bacteremia subset), there were 3/84 (3.6%) deaths, one (1.2%) of
### Table 3
Summary of incidence of AEs, serious AEs, discontinuations due to AEs, and most common AEs occurring up to last visit (safety population bacteremia subset)

| AE category                                      | cIAI                      | Comparator (n = 24) | cUTI/pyelonephritis Comparator (n = 42) | NP/VAP Comparator (n = 84) | All indications combined Comparator (n = 99) |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **AE category**                                  | **Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 27)** | **Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 48)** | **Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 24)** | **Meropenem (n = 18)** | **Ceftazidime-avibactam (n = 99)** |
| Any AE                                           | 15 (55.6)                 | 15 (62.5)           | 35 (72.9)                              | 22 (52.4)                  | 19 (79.2)                                | 69 (69.7) |
| Any AE with outcome of death                     | 0 (0.0)                   | 0 (0.0)             | 1 (2.1)                                | 0 (0.0)                    | 1 (4.2)                                   | 2 (2.0)  |
| Any SAE                                          | 2 (7.4)                   | 6 (25.0)            | 6 (12.5)                               | 0 (0.0)                    | 4 (16.7)                                  | 12 (12.1) |
| Any AE leading to discontinuation of study drug  | 2 (7.4)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 3 (6.3)                                | 0 (0.0)                    | 1 (4.2)                                   | 6 (6.1)   |
| Any AE of severe intensity                       | 1 (3.7)                   | 4 (16.7)            | 2 (4.2)                                | 0 (0.0)                    | 3 (12.5)                                  | 6 (6.1)   |
| **AEs** MedDRA v19.0 preferred term              | **Diarrhea**              | 0 (0.0)             | 2 (8.3)                                | 3 (6.3)                    | 1 (2.4)                                   | 9 (37.5)  |
| Diarrhea                                         | 0 (0.0)                   | 2 (8.3)             | 3 (6.3)                                | 1 (2.4)                    | 9 (37.5)                                  | 12 (12.1) |
| Nausea                                           | 0 (0.0)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 5 (10.4)                               | 2 (4.8)                    | 4 (16.7)                                  | 9 (9.1)   |
| Constipation                                      | 1 (3.7)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 5 (10.4)                               | 3 (7.1)                    | 1 (4.2)                                   | 7 (7.1)   |
| Vomiting                                         | 2 (7.4)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 3 (6.3)                                | 0 (0.0)                    | 2 (8.3)                                   | 7 (7.1)   |
| Headache                                         | 0 (0.0)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 4 (8.3)                                | 4 (9.5)                    | 1 (4.2)                                   | 5 (5.1)   |
| Hypertension                                     | 2 (7.4)                   | 0 (0.0)             | 3 (6.3)                                | 2 (4.8)                    | 0 (0.0)                                   | 5 (5.1)   |
| Pyrexia                                          | 0 (0.0)                   | 4 (16.7)            | 5 (10.4)                               | 0 (0.0)                    | 0 (0.0)                                   | 5 (5.1)   |
| Urinary tract infection                          | 1 (3.7)                   | 2 (8.3)             | 2 (4.2)                                | 0 (0.0)                    | 2 (8.3)                                   | 5 (5.1)   |
| Pneumonia                                        | 2 (7.4)                   | 1 (4.2)             | 0 (0.0)                                | 1 (2.4)                    | 0 (0.0)                                   | 3 (16.7)  |

\(\Delta\) AEs
which was reported as an AE and two (2.4%) as disease progression.

**DISCUSSION**

This descriptive analysis provides supportive evidence of the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam in patients with Gram-negative bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or NP/VAP across five phase 3 studies. Comparator treatments represented standard therapies in the respective indications and were generally carbapenems. As one of the two phase 2 studies used a lower dose of ceftazidime-avibactam than that used in the phase 3 program, and as it was not possible to programmatically confirm bacteremia at baseline due to different methods of data collection in the phase 2 versus phase 3 studies, the limited numbers of bacteremic patients from the two phase 2 studies were not included in the current bacteremia subset analyses. Of note, in Europe, the ceftazidime-avibactam label has recently been extended to include patients with bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or NP/VAP [17, 18].

Favorable clinical and microbiological response rates at TOC in patients with Gram-negative bacteremia in the GNeME population were similar for ceftazidime-avibactam and comparators within each indication and across all indications combined, considering the low denominators. Across all indications combined, 87% of patients in the ceftazidime-avibactam group and 83% of those in the comparator group achieved clinical cure at TOC. Results in the mMITT population were largely consistent with those reported for the GNeME population. Of the four most commonly isolated bacteria at baseline (*E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa*, and *E. cloacae*), favorable microbiological response rates were generally high for Enterobacteriales infections and more variable for those caused by *P. aeruginosa*, for both ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator, consistent with observations from another recent analysis [23]. Of the 30 patients with ceftazidime-resistant pathogens, 54.5% of those treated with ceftazidime-avibactam and 47.4% of those patients who received comparators achieved clinical cure at TOC.
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treated with comparators had favorable microbiological response rates. However, the small patient numbers (< 5 in each treatment group for almost all pathogens) limit interpretation of these results.

Multiple factors may affect the outcome in bacteremia, including the site of primary infection, species of the infecting organism, prompt initiation of antibiotic therapy, adequate source control, and host comorbidities [6, 24, 25]. This analysis included patients who all had secondary Gram-negative bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or NP/VAP. Despite the fact that, in general, patients in the bacteremia subset were more severely ill at baseline versus the overall set, the observed clinical and microbiological efficacy results for bacteremic patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam showed favorable response rates that were broadly consistent with the respective overall analysis set for each of the indications as well as across all indications combined. Numerically, clinical cure rates were lower in patients with NP/VAP in the GNeME population bacteremia subset (66.7% and 62.5% in the ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator groups, respectively) than for the other indications, in which rates ranged from 86.2 to 100%. Differences in clinical outcomes between indications may, to an extent, reflect differences in baseline disease severity and comorbidities between cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, and NP/VAP patient populations. However, the observed response rates by primary indication in patients with secondary Gram-negative bacteremia remained broadly consistent with those observed for the overall set in each of the respective indications, including NP/VAP, lending support to use of ceftazidime-avibactam in this patient population in clinical practice.

Several recent observational studies provide further supportive evidence for the efficacy of ceftazidime-avibactam in patients with Gram-negative bacteremia [26–28]. Similar to the 87% favorable clinical response rates in the bacteremia subset in the current analysis, a retrospective study in patients with carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* bacteremia reported 85% 30-day clinical success rate in patients treated with ceftazidime-avibactam, which was significantly higher than for carbapenem-based regimens: 48% (carbapenem plus aminoglycoside [P = 0.04]); 40% (carbapenem plus colistin [P = 0.009]); 37% (others; including monotherapy with aminoglycoside or colistin [P = 0.004]) [28]. Similar findings have been reported in a meta-analysis of nine randomized controlled studies and three observational studies [29]. A recent retrospective study also identified ceftazidime-avibactam as the only independent predictor of survival among patients with bacteremia caused by carbapenemase-producing *K. pneumoniae* [30].

No new safety issues were identified for ceftazidime-avibactam. The safety profile of ceftazidime-avibactam in the bacteremia subset was in line with that observed for the pooled overall safety population [21], the established safety profile of ceftazidime alone, and the pattern of AEs expected for the patient population.

The most common AE for ceftazidime-avibactam was diarrhea, which is a known adverse drug reaction [16, 18]. The frequency of diarrhea in the ceftazidime-avibactam group was higher in the bacteremia safety subset (12.1%) than in the overall safety population (7.4%). On detailed analysis, this difference was found not to be clinically relevant and may be explained by the small denominator and the increased age of the patients in the bacteremia subset, as a similar increase in frequency of diarrhea was seen in patients > 75 years of age in the overall safety population [32/314 (10.2%)].

A higher frequency of serious AEs was reported in the bacteremia subset than in the overall safety population; however, this was not accompanied by an increase in the rate of mortality or AEs with a fatal outcome, which is in line with the frequency observed in the overall safety population (2.0%) [21]. With the caveat that this difference may be magnified by the smaller denominator, this can be explained by the difference in baseline condition of patients with Gram-negative bacteremia, who may be expected to be more unwell, as evidenced by higher baseline APACHE II scores in the patients with cIAI and NP/VAP, and to have associated symptoms such as tachycardia, fever, or sepsis.
CONCLUSION

This exploratory analysis included patients with secondary Gram-negative bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI/pyelonephritis, or NP/VAP. As this subset comprised a limited number of patients, these analyses were descriptive and therefore must be interpreted with caution. Despite these limitations, these data support the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam for the treatment of patients with Gram-negative bacteremia associated with cIAI, cUTI (including pyelonephritis), or NP/VAP.
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