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Seb Rumsby

Department for Politics and International Studies, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

ABSTRACT
Contrary to modernist assumptions, millenarianism has not died out but continues to influence the politics of many marginalised groups in upland Southeast Asia, including the Hmong. This article summarises and analyses post-World War II Hmong millenarian activity in Vietnam, focusing on three case studies from the 1980s onwards, within the political backdrop of ongoing government suspicions of ethnic separatism and foreign interference. Far from being isolated or peripheral, Hmong millenarian rumours and movements interact with overseas diasporas, human rights agencies and international religious networks to influence state responses, sometimes in unexpected ways.
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Police forces destroy a funeral house belonging to the Dương Văn Minh sect (reprinted with permission from VETO! Human Rights Defenders Network - http://veto-network.org/featured/the-25-year-persecution-of-the-hmongs-duong-van-minh-religion.html).

In May 2011, several thousand Hmong assembled at a village in Mường Nhé, a remote district of upland Northwest Vietnam bordering Laos and China (see Figure 1). Attracting the attention of major international news websites including BBC (2011a) and Reuters (Ruwitch 2011), the gathering was described by contradicting reports as mass protests, a religious event and/or attempts to establish an independent kingdom. This incident...
lasted just a few weeks before crowds were forcibly dispersed by combined army and police forces (with reports of lethal clashes), however it has had seemingly disproportionate impacts on Vietnam’s ethnic politics and government agendas, as well as human rights discourses and transnational migration. Although small-scale land protests and ‘rightful resistance’ against Vietnamese state actors are more common than often assumed (Kerkvliet 2014), rallies which are not organised by the government and manage to mobilise thousands are rare.

While the ‘re-enchantment’ of post-socialist Vietnam has been acknowledged for some time now (Taylor 2007), its political implications are yet to be fully explored. With some exceptions, Southeast Asian religious politics usually focuses on either the role of religions in nation building (Chong 2010), the harnessing of religion in capitalist expansion (Rudnyckyj 2010) or perennial ethno-religious conflict in borderlands regions (Liow 2016). Religion may be conceptualised as primordial, constructivist or instrumentalist, with both culturalist and modernisation paradigms having a tendency to essentialise religion and culture (Hamayotsu 2008). Alternatively, there has been some engagement with the process of ‘religionization’ in Southeast Asia as Western constructs of religion are appropriated and merged onto disparate local practices, often bringing about a politicisation of religion (Picard 2017). A nuanced report of how transnational and state actors interact with – and struggle to categorise or control – heterodox Hmong millenarian activity in Vietnam can aid our awareness of the political agency of marginalised groups in agrarian societies (Kerkvliet 2009).

Upland Southeast Asia and southern China have witnessed heterodox religio-political movements which strived to radically transform society over the past two hundred years at least. Hmong historiography indicates the importance of millenarianism in
political mobilisation and social transformation, from uprisings in Guizhou and colonial Indochina to mass heterodox Christian conversions in southwest China and Laos (Cheung 1996; Gunn 1986; Jenks 1994; Lee 2015; Tapp 1989). While early-twentieth century millenarian movements in Vietnam’s lowlands have largely died out or become institutionalised (Tai 1983), millenarianism continues to manifest in rural highlands, contrary to predictions about its demise with modernity (Rumsby, forthcoming). There is some fascinating research on recent millenarian activity in Laos, Thailand and the United States (Baird 2013b; Hickman 2018), but less is available about the Hmong population in Vietnam. This is partly due to official restrictions for non-nationals studying ‘politically sensitive’ issues in Vietnam (Turner 2013), whilst national academics and state officials often fall into the trap of uncritically replicating lowland ethnic majority prejudices (Koh 2004) – with some notable exceptions (c.f. Ngô 2016).

This article addresses a gap in the literature by combining existing English- and Vietnamese-language literature with fresh fieldwork conducted in Vietnam’s highlands. Firstly, we consider the relevance of existing analytical lenses with which to view millenarianism, also noting the recurring significance of rumours as a tool for mobilising resistance against dominant narratives. After a historical contextualisation of Vietnam’s northern highlands, three related cases of recent Hmong millenarianism will be described: the Vàng Chứ (Protestant) movements, the Dương Văn Minh sect, and the 2011 Muong Nhé affair. Among other cultural idiosyncrasies, it will be argued that the multifarious perceptions and interpretations of causes and consequences are not only inherent features of millenarianism, but are also crucial to understanding its enduring political efficacy.

**Analytical lenses**

Within existing literature, relative deprivation theory holds that the primary reason for millenarian activity is a communal feeling of injustice or disparity within one group in comparison to another group or to a previous, sometimes mythical, time in the group’s past: ‘Communities that feel themselves oppressed anticipate the emergence of a hero who will restore their prestige’ (Chinnery and Haddon 1917, 445). For example, Michael Adas argues that the huge socio-economic changes imposed on non-Western societies by colonialism disrupted and threatened established social norms and hierarchies:

> significant numbers of individuals and whole groups among the colonized came to feel that a gap existed between what the felt they deserved in terms of status and material rewards and what they possessed or had the capacity to attain (Adas 1987, 44).

More than sheer oppression or grinding poverty, it is the consciousness of perceived deprivation which provides a platform for prophets or revolutionaries to predict a radical transformation of society. Adas’ modernist focus on the fundamental changes wrought by colonialism portrays millenarianism as essentially an indigenous reaction to Western civilisation, although millenarian activity in Southeast Asia actually pre-dates and outlasted colonialism. Nevertheless, relative deprivation is obviously still a relevant concept, given the position of Hmong communities at the bottom of Vietnam’s ethnic hierarchy (Ngô 2009).

The above lens does not, however, explain why some severe relative deprivation does not lead to significant resistance, or why some societies develop millenarianism while others form different types of social movement. Instead, some anthropologists prefer
revitalisation theory, which introduces stress as an additional variable: ‘The essence of revitalization lies in the need of a society under excessive stress to reinforce itself or die’ (Corlin 2000, 105). Viewed through this lens, millenarian activity is a response not primarily to perceived deprivation, but to a perceived threat of elimination in some sense – perhaps military defeat, a looming famine or economic crisis, or the loss of cultural values or traditional worldviews. Revitalisation movements are ‘not unusual phenomena but rather recurrent features in human history’ (Wallace 1956, 267); its leaders attempt to reformulate cultural patterns, communicate their message and reorganise society to fit the new times.

For example, Corlin highlights an important creation story shared among many groups across upland Southeast Asia about the origins of present inequality between dominant lowland groups and marginalised highlanders, which arose after a universal flood (Corlin 1995). For these otherwise disparate groups, the millenarian myth becomes the ‘logical supplement’ to creation myths by seeking to restore an original, primordial balance between different ethnic groups (Corlin 2000, 116). Tapp’s extensive research of the Hmong also fits into this analytical lens, as he attributes extensive messianic activity to a unique interaction between invading ideologies (particularly Christianity) and more ‘indigenous’ myths and constructions of history which lead them to expect a messiah (Tapp 1989).

A third analytical lens to view Southeast Asian millenarianism is through James Scott’s The Art of Not Being Governed (2009), which argues that time groups like the Hmong have adapted their cultural sensibilities, social structure and religious activity to maintain their autonomy and evade assimilation attempts from lowland state oppression. With messianic charisma acting as a temporary glue to mobilise otherwise dispersed groups, Scott sees millenarianism as a dramatic last resort for upland peoples to ward off state power if less confrontational strategies have failed. Although their expressed aims are never fully realised,

such movements have created new social groups, reshuffled and amalgamated ethnicities, assisted the founding of new villages and new states, provoked radical shifts in sustenance routines and customs, set off long-distance moves, and, not trivially, kept alive a reservoir of hope for a life of dignity, peace, and plenty in the teeth of very long odds (Scott 2009, 322).

Scott’s arguments have been strongly contested, not least due to his cherry-picking of examples (the Hmong feature heavily in his chapter on millenarianism). Jonsson argues that a more holistic historical overview would reflect not so much ‘a desire for evasion but for a selective and favourable incorporation’ (Jonsson 2010, 204). Baird (2013a) also questions Scott’s anti-state, pro-autonomy emphasis by illustrating how millenarian movements often have more complex and ambiguous relationships with states, sometimes opposing and sometimes being supported by other states.

Mai Na Lee views millenarian rebellion as ‘more of a means of negotiating with the state to loosen its policies, not necessarily an attempt to escape its control’ (Lee 2015, 35). Despite also critiquing Scott, Mai Na Lee’s more nuanced framework nevertheless overlaps with this analytical lens of autonomy vis-à-vis the state. She conceptualises Hmong social history in cycles between the secular political broker and the prophet or messianic leader; when the state becomes too oppressive, the Hmong reject the political broker and engage in millenarian rebellion, before eventually being defeated. Nevertheless, the state is forced to reassess over-exploitation and loosen its grip, giving the Hmong more bargaining power. ‘Submission to the state is almost always temporary’, however, as subsequent
‘extraction, corruption, and onerous demands combined with the state’s ambition to impose cultural and linguistic assimilation inevitably lead once again to a volcanic eruption of revolt’ (2015, 35).

Rumours and millenarianism

In earlier work, Scott locates rumours in between the ‘public transcript’ of official/dominant discourse and the ‘hidden transcript’ of subordinates’ true feelings; this intermediate realm hosts ‘politics of disguise and anonymity that takes place in public view but is designed to have double meaning or to shield the anonymity of the actors’ (Scott 1990, 19). Exploring ‘superstitious’ rumours during the famine of China’s Great Leap Forward, 1961–65, Smith points out how rumours can be particularly ‘threatening to a regime that aspired to control the ways in which social and political reality was represented’ (Smith 2006, 425).

Firstly, they are dynamic: the ‘Chinese whispers’ effect means they can suddenly gain new, perhaps political, implications as details are passed on, amended or added to. Secondly, rumours are ‘democratic’, transmitted horizontally between communicator and audience, unlike vertically transmitted official discourse. Thirdly, they are ‘irresponsible’ in the sense that the rumour’s origin is unknown and can easily be denied by individuals should they be called to account by authorities.

Given that rumours are ‘one of the quotidian ways in which deep-seated anxieties … [are] expressed during a period of social and economic upheaval’ (Young, Pinkerton, and Dodds 2014, 62), the above features also make them inherently fitting for millenarian communication and mobilisation. Their dynamism allows for the urgent message of imminent salvation to be quickly and democratically dispersed and updated, by groups who are marginalised from dominant narratives but can use rumours to bypass them. Furthermore, their irresponsibility offer the hope for continued millenarian hope after the apparent failure of specific prophecies (Burridge 1971), as their sources can be disclaimed while new predictions emerge.

Highlands history

While early historiography of Hmong religio-political activity is often framed through problematic imperialist narratives (either Western or Han Chinese), this has in turn been critically analysed by historians and complemented by work based on Hmong oral histories. Vietnamese academics also have more freedom from self-censorship when writing about history than current affairs, although some nationalist reframing of Hmong millenarian movements as ‘patriotic’ resistance against colonialism is still present (cf. Vượng 2005a).

Hmong speakers first migrated to upland Vietnam in the mid-nineteenth century, in search of new agriculture land or fleeing from social upheavals in the aftermath of widespread rebellions in Southern China (Michaud 2000). With the wet-rice land already inhabited by other groups including the Thái (Tai), Tày, Nùng and Khmu, most Hmong newcomers settled further uphill, steeper land and practiced shifting cultivation as well as growing opium (Lentz 2011, 84). In Hà Giang, on the other hand, Hmong immigrants were more numerous and some carved out more desirable territory by force, with strongmen wielding their own armies – and in turn exploiting other neighbouring ethnic groups. The extent to which these self-declared ‘kings’ tapped into millenarian appeal varied, with
Xiong Tai (1860–96) being attributed with miraculous powers such as being able to sow beans which sprouted into armies (Lunet de Lajonquiere 1906, 299). No such reports are associated with the Vương kings of Động Văn, who colluded with French colonial rule in the early twentieth century and then tactically switched sides to the Viet Minh (Lee 2015, 254), their descendants being rewarded with government positions to this day.

However, the majority of Hmong found themselves in marginalised areas, often exploited or oppressed by strongmen from other ethnic minorities in collaboration with the French regime. This triggered more Hmong millenarian uprisings, the two most disruptive led by Xiong Mi Chang (1910–12) and Pa Chay Vue (1918–21), which have been thoroughly analysed by Mai Na Lee (2015) via French colonial archives. Vietnamese-language sources mention other Hmong movements in a similar time period, such as one Giàng Sìa Lừ from Lai Châu province, who was ‘proclaimed king’ [xưng vua] in order to oppose the French (Vương 2005a, 123). Vương Duy Quang, a descendant of the aforementioned Vương kings, notes the ‘irresponsibility’ of such messianic prophets who deflected the ultimate source of rumours away from themselves:

The one claiming or proclaiming ‘a king’ would put themselves in a position of subordination to the king never actually claiming themselves to be the king. They would call themselves sons of the king, or messengers of the king … Rumours held that buffalos, oxen, chickens and pigs could be materialized from rocks and stones. (Vương 2005a, 124)

Trần Hữu Sơn records a Hmong ‘wizard and blacksmith’ Giàng Sran from Lao Chài commune, Sa Pa district, who entered into a trance, proclaimed himself king in 1918 and brought messages ‘corresponding to the people’s expectations and aspirations of a prosperous life, and their desire for independence’ (1996, 61). Despite a large following, he was apparently tricked by the French colonialists, imprisoned and executed in prison. With no further references or details available in the sources, these cases appear to be distinct from the contemporaneous Pa Chay rebellion, although perhaps not unconnected.

In later decades, the Viet Minh offered a credible option for many, though by no means all, disaffected Hmong communities to rally to. During the decisive battle of Điện Biên Phủ in 1954, during which ethnic minorities are acknowledged to have made a vital contribution to the Viet Minh victory, an aspiring young Hmong leader named Vang Pao led 850 soldiers through the mountains of Sam Neua province (Laos) in a vain attempt to relieve the French garrison, arriving too late in the end (McCoy 1972, 50). The significant Hmong faction in Laos who sided with America during the Cold War was a serious cause of concern for Vietnamese communists, who would continue to see the Hmong as a potential fifth column until the present day (Ngô 2016, 97).

Soon after seizing power in the North, in 1955–6 the Democratic Republic of Vietnam (DRV) established two autonomous zones in the areas where most Hmong lived (see Figure 1), but their extent of autonomy is questionable and only lasted 20 years before being disbanded. Lentz describes the turbulence caused by the DRV’s attempts to assert its authority in the Northwest and tax opium, a crucial cash crop for the Hmong. Facing famines and poverty, various groups of disaffected Hmong, Dao (Iu Mien) and Khmu again turned to millenarianism between 1955–58:

A political movement offered a vision of a just king who would appear in response to expressions of popular devotion such as fasting, animal sacrifice, dance, and prayer. Once
the supernatural sovereign appeared on earth, prophecies told how he would vanquish enemies and deliver followers from their present miseries, especially taxes and labor service, into wealth, bounty, and happiness. Followers quit work, abandoned their fields, staged protests, built hideouts deep in the forest, and offered cutting critiques of the postcolonial social order. (Lentz 2017, 20–21)

At the time DRV officials blamed Western hostile forces for stirring up trouble and, after a deadly confrontation with protesting villagers in Mộc Châu district in 1957, a military crackdown brought the movement to an end (Lentz 2017, 22). This occurred within the context of the brutal ‘eliminate the bandits’ (tiễu phi) programme from 1950 to 78 which targeted alleged ‘counter-revolutionary’ activity among the Northern highlands, mostly among the Hmong (Ngô 2016, 28). Among the thousands of Hmong arrested was one Ho A Tru of Tủa Chùa district (between Mường Nhé and Lai Châu), who had proclaimed himself king in 1967 and was rumoured to be able to miraculously provide airplanes, tanks, guns and ammunition (Vương 2005a, 125) – at a time where general Vang Pao’s Hmong army across the border were being provided with exactly these resources by the CIA to fight the Pathet Lao.

Over the twentieth century, Hmong millenarian activity has generally become less overtly violent and confrontational as in Southeast Asia as state military and governance technologies became increasingly powerful and penetrated further up into the highlands (Rumsby, forthcoming). Yet, in more covert forms it remains an attractive option for marginalized Hmong, and is still considered threatening by Vietnamese authorities, as evidenced by more recent movements explored below.

**Vàng Chứ and/or Protestant Christianity**

By the 1980s government campaigns had resulted in the majority of Vietnam’s Hmong population being sedenterized in ‘semi-socialist’ cooperatives, forced to abandon shifting cultivation and opium production (Ngô 2016, 31). However, the economic reforms of Đổi Mới in 1986 resulted in dramatic cuts in subsidies for ethnic minorities, causing another bout of extreme hardship and widespread food shortages. This set the scene for the next major wave of Hmong millenarian movements, known initially as Vàng Chứ in Vietnamese (sometimes Vàng Trữ, or Vang Tsu in Hmong). Lào Cai provincial minister Trần Hữu Sơn narrates:

> Hmong people have tended to reject traditional family religious beliefs, as well as ancestor worship, faith in and festivals for household spirits and follow a new faith called ‘Vàng Chứ’ (God of heaven), from the Christian and Protestant outlook … A number of people also stopped production and entered the deep forests to gather and spread religious messages according to the Manilla radio, practising to go to heaven or dig shelters. (H. S. Trần 1996, 175)

The ‘Manilla radio’ was in fact the Far East Broadcasting Company (FEBC), an evangelistic radio station that had been disseminating Christian messages in Hmong language since the 1960s, but which reached Vietnamese Hmong listeners in the late 1980s. The Hmong radio pastor, based in USA, used a traditional Hmong name Vàng Chứ, imbued with cultural and mythical significance, to denote the Christian God who had sent his son Jesus Christ ‘to save the Hmong from their sins and would some day return to earth to bring about a righteous kingdom’ (Lewis 2002, 88). Tâm Ngô (2009) recounts the excitement these broadcasts generated in Vietnam’s highlands, as new converts
travelled from village to village spreading the message to tune into the radio station. The scale of this movement is phenomenal: from 1985 there were practically no Hmong Protestant communities; there are now probably over 300,000 followers (about a third of Hmong in Vietnam) of ‘the New Way’, as they call Protestant Christianity.

However, before long rumours began to spread about the imminent return of Vàng Chứ, as early as 1990, and recurring dozens of times in various locations. These messianic predictions persuaded many Hmong to travel long distances for gatherings, stop farming and sometimes sell possessions to pay for certain items or make contributions required for salvation (Ngô 2016, 84). Unsurprisingly, this caused serious concerns among government officials who tried to repress all new religious activity, often by heavy-handed means, resulting in widespread religious persecution (c.f. Reimer 2011). Vietnamese academics saw the FEBC as a tool of ‘hostile’ or ‘reactionary forces’ and accused them of exploiting ‘low intellectual levels, backward customs and economic hardship to deceive the people and force them to follow Vàng Chứ religion’ (Cao 2001, 11) by promising an end to suffering and a new life of total abundance after the Messiah’s return. The FEBC was accused of ‘calling Hmong to abandon Communism and follow Vàng Chứ and reminding them not to trust in authorities’ (Lương 2001, 54) – a claim which the radio broadcasters deny (Ngô 2016, 101). Tâm Ngô quotes an ominous phrase banded about by government officials: ‘To follow Vàng Chứ is to follow Vang Pao’ (Ngô 2016, 98).

Prominent themes emerging from the millenarian discourses include an immanent end to hardship replaced by prosperity and a redressing of the unequal social balances in the favour of the Hmong. For example, one among several articulations of Vàng Chứ’s message was reported on official news:

\[Vàng Chứ is a star in the sky. The sun and moon feed Vàng Chứ. Lord Jesus is above all things … the whole world belongs to lord Jesus. Lord Jesus goes to all places to share with the sufferings of the poor. Every wrong, every mistake will be overwritten. Then Jesus will return with the sea, the sky and the earth. That will be the time Jesus returns. Jesus will meet the spirits of everybody in the heavens. Jesus will bring everyone to three feasts …\] (Lù 2011)

Another prediction was of a flood which will envelop the whole land up to the mountains, with only followers of Vàng Chứ being saved (T. T. Trần 2002), introducing a topographical element to millenarian narratives. Likewise, Vương Duy Quang documents a rumour that ‘in 2000, Vàng Trứ will change the face of the earth to make it flat, the Hmong will no longer have to live on high mountains’ (Vương 2005b, 189), echoing Corlin’s theory of the millenarian myth reversing present inequality, here conceived in spatial terms.

Given these heterodox millenarian elements present among this new religious movement, should Vàng Chứ be considered as Christian, Protestant, or something else? Vietnamese websites and articles have confused the matter by wrongly claiming Vàng Chứ means ‘king proclamation’ (xưng vua) (M. Q. Nguyễn 2001), when in fact it means ‘Lord above all’ or ‘God of heaven’ in Hmong. Most official literature considers Vàng Chứ to be at best a ‘distortion’ of Christianity and at worst a political movement opposed to the government (Lewis 2002, 105), which most Hmong have now abandoned by changing to Protestantism (Lương 2001, 53). Conversely, Reimer portrays Hmong millenarian activity small cults infiltrating the wider Protestant community, and accuses Vietnamese authorities of deliberately conflating Christianity with Vàng Chứ in order to warrant widespread religious repression (Reimer 2011, 83). However, his assertion over the involvement of
‘Eastern Lightning’, a Chinese millenarian sect portrayed as a terrorist organisation by authorities, appears unfounded.

During fieldwork from 2013 to 2017 in the Vietnamese highlands, I have conducted in-depth interviews and focus groups with hundreds of Hmong research participants about religious and socio-economic change, in addition to witnessing informal conversations through participant observation. While most of the following interview data came from private and confidential discussions, without the presence of official research assistants, these are nevertheless highly sensitive issues in which any deviation from the Party line can be controversial to air openly. Therefore this valuable information source should be contextualised within the political environment of Vietnam (Turner 2013).

Many Hmong Christians who were interviewed had initially believed in Vàng Chứ from FEBC broadcasts with little knowledge of Christian doctrine. Their beliefs and religious practice have certainly become more orthodox over time, with greater exposure to transnational Christian teaching and access to Bibles, but most interviewees did not consider this process to be a change of religion, as the state discourse claims. They also accepted that participants of millenarian activity were indeed Christians, not members of another cult – except for the Dương Văn Minh sect described below. Nevertheless, most Hmong Protestants in Vietnam have never taken part in such activity, and many are anxious to distance themselves from anything overtly political. Having spent decades facing discrimination and repression, most church leaders would rather persuade local authorities about the social ‘beneﬁts’ of Christianity and want nothing to do with ‘reactionary’ activities would could result in further hardship for their communities (Ngô 2016, 99).

The Dương Văn Minh sect

One Hmong group which is singled out as distinct, by both the government and the wider Christian community, is the Dương Văn Minh (DVM) sect. As a very politically sensitive topic, there is little information available about DVM apart from oﬃcial documents and human rights watchdogs, which often make contradicting claims. This movement started in 1989 when Mr Dương Văn Minh, aged 28 at the time, fell into a trance and had a vision from God, after which he declared that he was God’s youngest son and God had sent (or entered) him to instruct mankind for three months (Vu 2013, 16). He taught his Hmong community in Tuyên Quang that the time of making offerings to spirits was over and that shamans or elaborate, expensive funerals were no longer needed, possibly inspired by similar messages broadcast by the FEBC. This message also resonated with the government’s own campaign attempting to rid ethnic minorities of ‘backwards customs’, including ‘superstitions’ and ‘wasteful’ ceremonies. A unique feature of the DVM sect is the construction of funeral houses for new ceremonies. Minh’s message spread quickly across the northern provinces of Tuyên Quang, Cao Bằng, Bắc Kạn and Thái Nguyên but was soon opposed by local authorities; Minh was arrested and imprisoned for ﬁve years for allegedly ‘spreading superstition with serious consequence’ and ‘defrauding others’ (Vu 2013, 17). After being released, Minh was constantly monitored until he ﬂed and went into hiding. Meanwhile, many Hmong continued to believe in and follow the oﬃcially unrecognised DVM sect; a credible current estimate is 10,000 followers, although it is also likely that some communities turned from DVM to Protestant Christianity over time, and perhaps vice versa (Vương 2007, 11). The sect only
gained wider publicity in 2013 after hundreds of Hmong came down to Hanoi to protest against the destruction of their funeral houses by army and police forces, and to demand that the ailing Minh, who was being denied medical treatment, be allowed to enter hospital for dialysis. Hmong protestors were forcibly dispersed, and their communities continue to face harassment (Vu 2013, 17–18).

The only related academic research undertaken I have found is by Vương Duy Quang, who claims that in addition to abolishing spirit worship and reforming funeral practices, Minh also prophesied:

In 2000 the earth and sun will collide into each other and everyone will die, whoever wants to live must pray to the Father of Heaven and follow Minh. Whoever follows Dương Văn Minh will be raised to heaven, there the Hmong will have a nation, will be able to read without studying, be able to work with machinery, have a life of happiness with golden bowls and chopsticks, the young will not age, the old will regain their youth and the dead will rise again … (Vương 2005b, 209)

This remarkable passage touches on traditional Hmong millenarian themes – the desire for literacy, access to modern technology and a vision of abundance (Tapp 1989) – plus a possible Christian influence of resurrection. Almost every other government report or website reporting about the DVM sect has replicated this ‘quote’ from Vương’s book, adding other negative assertions: that DVM teachers oppose state policies and propagate about a ‘Hmong state’ with Minh as president (Tùng Duy 2014), that senior leaders financially exploit the followers’ ‘blind faith’ (Anh Tuấn 2014), that Minh had coerced sexual relations with female followers and had two wives (Anonymous 2013), etc. One article even accuses the DVM sect of mobilising thousands of Hmong to the Mường Nhé affair and warns readers: ‘don’t let resentment overwhelm reason’ (Ngô Trần 2013).

All these claims were denounced by Dương Văn Minh himself in recent interviews with VETO! (a human rights agency) in Hanoi, when Minh was trying to access medical care. In 2014, Minh said he had only been supernaturally inspired for three months in 1989, after which he became a normal human again, and emphasised the ‘rational’ elements of his teaching about making funerals less costly. He also denied that the DVM sect was a ‘religion’ (đạo), nor was it a ‘faith’ (tin ngưỡng) but was just a change in ‘customs’ (phong tục) (J.B Nguyễn 2014). Minh’s definitional evasiveness seems to be an attempt to carve out a legitimate social space for his movement, since if DVM is considered a religion then it can be accused of being an illegal, unregistered religion. Vietnam only guarantees its citizens the freedom to worship as per a narrow definition of ‘lawful’ religion, heavily influenced by the ‘world religions’ paradigm (Asad 1993) which movements like DVM are ultimately a victim of. Nevertheless, perhaps this strategy has been partly successful, since religious persecution towards DVM has eased somewhat in recent years, with Minh living back in Tuyên Quang at present.¹

Meanwhile, DVM followers interviewed by VETO! denied having ever heard about the alleged year 2000 millenarian prophecy, and asserted that financial contributions had been made voluntarily, not under coercion (Vu 2013, 16–17). Conversely, during another interview conducted by Radio France Internationale, a DVM follower from Tuyên Quang quoted Minh’s early teaching that ‘in Heaven are nine dragons, under Earth are seven

¹Personal communication with VETO! Human Rights Defenders’ Network (August 2017).
tigers, who desire that everyone on this earth lives by a humane heart of love for each other, helping one another, to help prevent this world from being overwhelmed by the sea waters’ (Trọng Thanh 2014). At the very least this quote shows a divergence from orthodox Christian teaching, and possibly also carries an implicit millenarian warning.

Most Hmong people I interviewed knew very little about the DVM sect, unless they lived nearby to the villages of followers. One research participant from Thái Nguyên considered Minh to be a catalyst for the Hmong to start thinking about ‘spiritual’ things, to abandon their altars and ‘follow modernity’. He said that the DVM sect shares some beliefs with Christians, such as the Biblical creation story, but that followers also see Minh as a powerful saviour from heaven. He also claimed Minh had deceived many people into giving him money to buy ‘magic’ cigarettes, which echoes other Vàng Chứ millenarian activity described by Tam Ngo (2015, 84–5). A Christian from Cao Bằng, whose parents had followed DVM for a few years before changing to Protestantism, claimed that the sect was ‘not a religion of God, but a religion of rebellion’. He also notes that while both DVM and Hmong Christians experienced intense religious persecution, the DVM followers were more confrontational and more likely to retaliate against the authorities.

How can these discrepancies be reconciled? Has Vietnamese government discourse confused DVM with other Vàng Chứ millenarian activity, without bothering to understand the nuances between them? Did DVM followers tone down previous millenarian claims when being interviewed in Hanoi, aware of their precarious situation and keen to frame the conflict in terms of human rights? Or did millenarian rumours spread which may not have originated from Minh but which have added to his aura? This article is unable to fully answer these questions but can act as a point of departure for further academic research, which should be undertaken in a way which gives voice to the followers of DVM, unlike state or orthodox Christian narratives. Nevertheless, striking parallels and interesting comparisons emerge from even this limited information presented. Similar questions are raised in the final case of Mường Nhé, which has been subject to more (though still limited) independent research.

**Conflicting reports of Mường Nhé**

Perhaps Mường Nhé is only an extreme example of the millenarian activity associated with Vàng Chứ, but it is unique in its scale and amount of attention received from official state-sanctioned news, human rights watchdogs, international news agencies, overseas diasporas, religious networks and other blogs. Tâm Ngô gives a partial account of Mường Nhé based on one interpretation of events, largely based on FEBC sources (2016, 95–101), but she fails to represent other voices and perspectives. With wildly differing claims and scant evidence, the full contestation of discourses reveals how people and events have been portrayed and will be remembered, regardless of what actually happened.

Based on official statistics Mường Nhé is the poorest district in all of Vietnam with 93% of inhabitants living in poverty (World Bank 2009), two thirds of whom are Hmong. According to one retrospective news report, district security forces had been trying to combat ‘psychological warfare’ rumours that ‘USA and Vang Pao are about to attack Laos and Vietnam’, in circulation since 2003, in addition to sporadic ‘king proclamation’ and ‘Hmong kingdom’ activity every few years since (Lê Tùng 2015). Mường Nhé was also a migration destination for Hmong fleeing religious persecution from other parts of North
Vietnam (Hồ 2013, 38). Unfortunately, the new Christian arrivals encountered equally hostile local authorities and early 2011 saw several incidents of religious repression, which apparently ‘further inflamed simmering discontent by Hmong Protestants’ (Association of Hmong In Exile 2013, 5).

In the first few days of May 2011, the outside world became aware of a large Hmong gathering in Huổi Khon village, Mường Nhé district; estimates varied between 5,000 and 11,000 people (Anonymous 2011b; BBC 2011a), including many from the Central Highlands. The Center for Public Policy Analysis (CPPA), an anti-communist US diaspora group often accused of exaggerating or falsifying information for propaganda purposes, claimed the gathering was sparked by the beatification of Pope John Paul II on 1st May – inspiring non-violent demonstrations by Catholics, Protestants and Animists for ‘religious freedom, land reform and an end to illegal logging’ by state-owned companies (CPPA 2011b). Alternatively, a religious persecution watchdog reported that American preacher Harold Camping, who taught that the world would end on 21st May, ‘gathered a following among the Hmong after Hmong-language materials were distributed’ by radio and text messaging through Hmong diaspora networks (CSW 2012). According to Ngô, a Hmong man from Mường Nhé adapted Camping’s message by predicting that on 21st May a Hmong king would appear, instead of Jesus Christ. This new prophet was rumoured to have miraculous powers, and on that day ‘an army would rise up out of the dust on the ground, and the rocks on the hills would become weapons, guns, and armaments to destroy his enemies’ (Ngô 2016, 96).

On the other hand, official Vietnamese sources identified a different Hmong man from Lào Cai who was branded the instigator and would-be messiah (Nam Hoàng 2014). Police sealed off the district and prevented foreign journalists from entering the area, initially blaming ‘bad elements’ within the Hmong of duping the uneducated, ‘gullible’ people into believing a ‘supernatural force’ would lead them into a ‘promised land’, as well as ‘forcing’ people to demand an independent kingdom (Anonymous 2011a). Later, foreign ‘extremist’ forces were being credited with inciting the movement, intent on undermining the Vietnamese state and unconcerned about the welfare of the Hmong people (Long Ngữ 2017). One article reported the rumour (in order to discredit it) that all existing property and money would turn into dust upon Vàng Chứ’s imminent arrival, before the Hmong king distributed the new land and money to Hmong families who were present at Mường Nhé (Gia Huy and Ngọc Hà 2011). According to the above reports, this recurring theme of redistribution caused many people to sell possessions and donate it to exploitative leaders, gaining nothing but misery in return.

If there is little consensus regarding the triggers of the Mường Nhé incident, the details of proceedings are equally contested. The district authorities claimed to have ‘stabilised’ the region as early as 8th May, while regretting the death of one child due to ‘poor sanitary conditions’ (BBC 2011b). According to the district head of police, security forces found 300 tents surrounded by a fence, with stores of provisions, torches, petrol and the like (N. T. Nguyễn 2015). The official narrative claims that once the Hmong realised they had been duped and that no king had arrived, most wanted to leave but were trapped in by the ringleaders, until the police saved them (Dan Que 2013) – although this story doesn’t add up if the crowds were waiting for 21st May, since police arrived long before then. In stark contrast, diaspora networks claimed that dozens of Hmong were killed by army troops, using attack helicopters and chemical weapons on Hmong who were fleeing into Laos, with thousands of Vietnamese and Laotian security forces combining
in a joint operation (Association of Hmong In Exile 2013; Blair 2011). However, it seems highly unlikely that Vietnam would need or want to rely on Lao troops, whilst similar unfounded rumours about chemical weapons used on Hmong dissidents in Laos are commonly circulated among the US diaspora (Pribbenow 2006).

Hmong interviewees asserted that most or all participants at Mường Nhé were Protestants; many travelled in secret on the pretext of attending a relative’s wedding. They attributed the speed of the gathering to Hmong clan structure, gullibility or ‘curiosity’; apparently, many people did not necessarily believe the rumours but came just to see what would happen. Common topics raised were about the Hmong kingdom and the return of God or Jesus to Mường Nhé. Given the prevalence of ‘protest’ on online sources, it is notable that people rarely called the event a protest, and no-one cited religious persecution as a reason contributing to the gathering. A few people mentioned the involvement of former Hmong soldiers from Laos who had fought against the communists in the Cold War, who still had some weapons. No-one knew how many casualties there were, but one interviewee’s brother-in-law had died there.

**Interpretations, consequences and rumours**

The divergent understandings of Mường Nhé’s causes and proceedings led to multifarious interpretations and consequences, probably quite different to those intended by the millenarian participants. Initially, official news reacted by blaming Christian conversion for luring the Hmong by the ‘false promise’ of ‘material enrichment without labour’ and ‘curing illness without modern medicine’, instead of actually addressing what happened in Mường Nhé (Ngô 2016, 126). The Hmong population were depicted as mostly loyal but ignorant citizens, led astray by malign leaders (or foreign troublemakers). According to one interviewee, the Vietnamese state sees the ‘invisible hand’ of the enemy behind all politically subversive activity, especially among borderlands ethnic minorities. In March 2012 eight Hmong men were given 30 months’ imprisonment for ‘public disorder’, four more men in December for ‘plotting to overthrow the government’ (one man received seven years), and one more in August 2013 after fleeing to China and being returned by Chinese authorities (BBC 2012, 2013). Official sources noted that the culprits were illiterate and confessed their crimes with ‘tears of repentance’ (Chu 2012), while international sources considered sentences to be relatively lenient considering the serious crimes they were convicted of.

On another level, events in Mường Nhé acted as a catalyst for state-led development and territorialisation initiatives in the district, as they attempted to prevent anything similar from reoccurring. Subsequent news reports publicised how local authorities were implementing measures such as poverty eradication loans to all poor households, without discriminating against millenarian participants (Nam Hoàng 2014); another article highlights the start of adult literacy classes in 2013, to increase people’s ‘awareness’ so that they would not be enticed by false propaganda again (Vũ Toàn 2015). A Hmong interviewee verified the government’s response of improving living standards in Mường Nhé, demonstrating that even failed millenarian movements have occasionally resulted in positive outcomes for the Hmong, as happened after the Pa Chay rebellion of 1918–21 (Gunn 1986). However, a recent article complains about continued uncontrolled migration after 2011, illegal deforestation as newcomers claim farmland and small protests
against local authority restrictions on deforestation, apparently mobilised by Hmong Christians. According to the report, these ongoing disturbances ‘show that Protestant activity is “full of potential” for hostile forces who oppose Vietnam, through the guise of human rights and religious freedom’ (Long Ngũ 2017).

While the government clearly did not want any martyrs and denied killing of participants, overseas diaspora groups and human rights groups asserted a conflicting narrative with emotive headlines such as ‘Attack Helicopters Unleashed Death on Hmong’ and ‘Vietnam Forces Kill 72 Hmong, Hundreds Arrested and Flee’ (CPPA 2011a, 2011b). These websites portrayed the event not as a separatist or religious movement but primarily as reasonable demonstrations against economic or religious discrimination, amplifying the brutality of the government’s response. In January 2012, Vietnamese-American human rights agency Boat People SOS (BPSOS 2012) released a report compiled from direct interviews with Hmong witnesses of the Mường Nhé incident, who fled to Bangkok as refugees along with hundreds of other Hmong Christians seeking asylum. The US Hmong diaspora seized this narrative to lobby senators about human rights violations, although Washington’s desire to strengthen ties with Hanoi at the time meant concerns fell largely on deaf ears. Even Vang Pao’s son Neng Chu Vang waded into the debate, asking Vietnamese authorities to ‘go easy’ on arrested Hmong (Đ. Đ. Trần 2011). This transnational development supports Salemink’s observation that ‘conflicts in Vietnam’s Central Highlands are changed by their increased framing in human rights terms by or for international human rights institutions’ (Salemink 2006, 34).

Unlike US-based diasporas and human rights activists, however, local Christian networks and missionaries strongly criticised the ‘protest’ narrative and denied that the Hmong had called for independence. According to Ngo, this reflects a desire to ‘tame’ or subdue the millenarian threat, having spent so long persuading the Vietnamese government to recognise Hmong Christians (Ngò 2016, 101). The FEBC warned listeners not to attend Mường Nhé, and most Christian leaders denounced the gathering as a cult. A Hmong denominational leader told me that Mường Nhé was started by false teachers exploiting Biblical themes such as the Exodus and promised land for separatist motives, accusing participants of then passing on false information to overseas networks that they were just praying and fasting, so that foreigners would not sympathise with how the authorities handled it. Professor of religion James Lewis blamed Vietnam’s ban on printing the Bible in Hmong language, meaning Christians were more easily led into unorthodox political activity (Việt Hà 2011).

A striking feature of all three case studies is the power of rumours in attracting both millenarian support and government concern. They were remarkably far-reaching, persuading Hmong from the Central Highlands to travel thousands of miles north to Mường Nhé in 2011. Themes were adapted from Hmong traditions as well as Christian discourse and repeated or rearticulated by different actors, apparently not losing their efficacy despite previous millenarian prophecies not coming to pass. Apocalyptic predictions of a radical upheaval of existing power relations posed a challenge to the Vietnamese state’s legitimacy, with narratives shifting from implicit critiques of social inequality to explicit aspirations for Hmong independence. This is not to deny that rumours were also used to deceive or coerce people, but one effect has nevertheless been ‘to open up spaces of alterity and opposition within the grid of state power … in ways that complicate the dichotomy of domination and resistance’ (Smith 2006, 425).
Perhaps for this reason, the Vietnamese state responded to the above movements with severe repression and attempts to discredit them. Web articles and entire books were published in Vietnamese and Hmong language denouncing Vàng Chứ and related rumours (e.g. Vi Hoàng 2001), although their impact is questionable given the low levels of Hmong literacy and access to such materials. The ‘irresponsibility’ of rumours is seen when DVM and his followers denied older prophecies attributed to him, but he was unable to escape culpability as they became too strongly associated with his name. Related official texts routinely ridicule and lament Hmong gullibility in believing incredible rumours and being duped by ‘bad elements’ exploiting millenarian fervour. However, this misses the point that the purpose of rumours (at least partially) is to provide ‘the terms and tropes through which people caught up in changing worlds may vex each other, question definitions of value, form alliances and mobilize oppositions’ (Comaroff and Comaroff 1993, xxiii). Seen in this light, we can better understand the ‘curiosity’ of many people who travelled to Mường Nhé in 2011 with different aspirations and agendas, without necessarily believing all of the rumours.

Conclusions

By way of closing, it is instructive to apply different analytical lenses of millenarianism to Vàng Chứ activity, the Dương Văn Minh sect, and the Mường Nhé events of 2011. Relative deprivation is certainly relevant to the Hmong, who are keenly aware of their unenviable socio-economic position at the bottom of the ethnic hierarchy in Vietnam – more so than other marginalised minorities (Turner, Derks, and Hanh 2017). Legends of a past Hmong kingdom may have served as a contrast for disgruntled Hmong, but more frequently interviewees compared their situation negatively with the religious freedom and relative prosperity experienced by Hmong in Thailand. Adas (1987, 186) describes how the ‘failure or absence of alternative means of protest’ enhances millenarian appeal; one unsubstantiated theory is that Vang Pao’s death in January 2011 encouraged messianic activity in Mường Nhé as the Hmong gave up any remaining secular political goals.²

Revitalisation theory sees the trigger of millenarian activity as a perceived threat of social or cultural extinction. The post-Đổi Mới period was extremely traumatic for Hmong communities who lost the economic support of subsidies but still faced the growing pressure of cultural assimilation to the ethnic majority (McElwee 2004). The Vàng Chứ and DVM movements represent a radical break from the past in some respects, but they also offered hope for the Hmong to preserve their identity and ethnic distinctiveness – hence why Vàng Chứ millenarian activity often stipulated the wearing of handmade hemp clothes, ‘a mark of authentic Hmong culture’ (Ngô 2016, 85). Alternatively, from Tapp’s perspective, recent heterodox millenarianism could also be seen as ‘indigenous’ counter-movements in reaction to the mass Christian conversions.

The third analytical lens of autonomy from the state is somewhat less useful in understanding recent Hmong millenarian activity. While a desire for autonomy clearly contributed to the Mường Nhé gathering, the discourse was not about freedom or ‘anarchy’ but rather about setting up a new state or kingdom. This reflects other critiques of

²Email exchange with Dr Robert Cooper (May 2014).
Scott that he ignores the numerous historical state-making projects by highlanders themselves in the Southeast Asian Massif (Michaud, 2017, 7). Mai Na Lee’s conceptualisation of millenarianism as negotiation with the state is more relevant, as the DVM sect and Vàng Chứ activity have attempted to carve out autonomous social space.

Given the dynamic and religious nature of Hmong millenarianism combined with its occurrence in remote areas and the marginal status of participants, the extraordinary diversity of rumours and interpretations should not be surprising. As with Salemink’s (2003) analysis of the colonial ‘Python God’ millenarian movement in Vietnam’s Central Highlands, varying accounts of millenarian activity have been used and construed by different actors to promote their own agendas. Indeed, this uncertainty and ambivalence is arguably key to millenarianism’s continued potency; although the movements never achieve their ultimate goals of salvation and redemption, they can cause other, unexpected effects. In the case of Vietnam, Hmong millenarian activity has stimulated international debates on human rights and religious freedom, triggered temporary and permanent migration, and stimulated new state development initiatives.

Although the article has dealt with Vàng Chứ, Dương Văn Minh and Muông Nhé separately, the extent to which they are distinct movements is debatable (further research on DVM sect could shed more light on this). Burridge noted that millenarian movements tend to outlive the apparent failure of their prophecies, since ‘the failure to gain the millennium is in itself, given the ambience, a guarantee that the activities will occur again or continue in more muted form’ (1971, 73). Nor is this likely to be the final time we hear about Hmong millenarianism in Vietnam’s highlands. The present lack of political space in Vietnamese society, which illegalises almost all forms of social discontent, forces those in desperate situations to consider more radical measures.
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