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Abstract

Objectives: Doctors who wish to become general practitioners (GPs) in China are required to attend and complete general practice resident training. In the early stages of the standardized GP training system, GP training supervisors play important roles. This study aims to explore how GP residents perceive their GP supervisors, factors that affect GP residents' satisfaction level, as well as to offer suggestions based on the implications of this study.

Design: We conducted a mixed quantitative and qualitative study. Firstly, with respect to the quantitative research, we conducted a survey to investigate training satisfaction through questionnaires, then extracted and analyzed the factors that influence training satisfaction. In the qualitative study, we conducted in-depth, semistructured interviews using qualitative research criteria (COREQ)—a 32-item checklist for interviews.

Participants: Participants in the quantitative survey included 1172 GPs whose training time was between 2008 and 2017. Afterwards, 100 participants were selected from this sample, filtered by stratified random sampling and by having provided extreme answers on the quantitative survey (less than 5% of the total sample). They were chosen for the qualitative research to conduct a more detailed investigation. This stratified random sampling was based on residents' grades, regions, and training levels (city level or county level). Extreme answers were identified as answers of “extremely good” or “extremely bad” to questions from the initial comprehensive survey of 1172 participants. Consequently, 30 participants with extreme answers were found, while the remaining 70 participants in the interviews were selected by stratified random sampling. Ultimately, this sample of participants met our information collection and sample estimation requirements.

Results: The results show that satisfaction with GP theoretical training supervisors, GP hospital-based training supervisors, and GP community-based training supervisors differed. Considering long-term averages, the hierarchy of satisfaction is as follows: GP theoretical training supervisors > GP community-based training supervisors > GP hospital-based training supervisors.

GP hospital-based training supervisors need to improve their conception of GPs, teaching methods and conscientiousness. GP community-based training supervisors need to improve their teaching methods, knowledge of clinical theory and practice ability.
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Conclusions: On the one hand, teams of GP supervisors in China have gradually been established over time. On the other hand, the satisfaction tendencies of residents with respect to their GP supervisors are quite different, and teams of GP supervisors must be promoted and improved.
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Background
Since reform of the health care system has become an important goal, general practice (GP) has been politically and practically improved in China [1, 2]. The national government established a target for human resources development in general practice, i.e., 2-3 ‘qualified GPs’ per 10,000 persons, and this figure is set to double by 2030 [3]. The Ministry of Health of China (MOH) regulates the training program for GP residents. This program includes ‘theoretical training,’ ‘hospital-based training’ and ‘community clinic training’ [4]. The satisfaction of the GP residents program has been studied by many scholars [5–10], but how their attitudes towards their GP supervisors need further study. This study aims to explore how GP residents perceive their GP supervisors and the factors that affect their satisfaction levels as well as to offer suggestions based on the implications of this study.

Design
Mixed quantitative and qualitative approaches were applied.

Quantitative study
At first, a quantitative survey was conducted to investigate GPs by collecting and analyzing their levels of satisfaction with their supervisors.

Qualitative study
Then, in-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted to complement the quantitative research and to investigate more deeply. Qualitative research criteria (COREQ) was used to assist the qualitative study design.

Setting
This study was conducted by the research team, and participants completed the survey and the interview at their workplace at a convenient time.

Participants
Between 2008 and 2017, a total of 1172 GP residents were enrolled in the training program in Shenzhen, China, and these individuals were received training at ten main GP training bases across different provinces in China (Eastern, Western and Middle of China). These training bases composed of the most important medical colleges and universities in the country and are representatives of the general practice training in China. A full sample population quantitative survey was conducted with these individuals as participants. The training program lasted for three years. In 2018, 532 participants had finished the program, and 640 participants were still in training. After the quantitative survey, qualitative research was conducted with 100 participants. For the qualitative research, 100 participants were investigated deeper, and these participants were chosen either by stratified random sampling or by having provided extreme answers on the quantitative survey (less than 5% of the total sample).

Questionnaire
Questionnaires were administered based on literature and previous relevant practices. In July 2018, the questions used on these questionnaires were scrutinized by a group of experts and researchers consisting of two educational scientists, two GPs, and two professors. The feedback from these experts and researchers was used to adjust the questionnaires. Two rounds of feedback from the experts and researchers contributed to the improvement of the questionnaires.

Independent variables
The first nine items in Table 1 were used as independent variables.

Dependent variables
Overall satisfaction was used as the dependent variable. A questionnaire was conducted to investigate aspects of GP training for supervisors that could be improved, as shown in Table 2.

We conducted pre-surveys and interviews to adjust the final questionnaire and list of prompts for interview questions. The final questionnaire featured a Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.90 and an expert validity of 0.97.

Interviews
For qualitative analysis, we conducted face-to-face, semi-structured interviews with GP residents,
GP supervisors, and certain agency managers. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed. List of prompts for interview questions is as following Table 3.

**Table 1** Questionnaire concerning GP resident satisfaction

| How satisfied are you with the following items (researchers were encouraged to express their opinions via the forced distribution method; a 6-point LIKERT scale was used, where a score of 1 was lowest and a score of 6 was highest; 1-3 indicated “unsatisfied”, while 4-6 indicated “satisfied”). |
|-------------------------------------------------|
| 1. The theoretical training process | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 2. The teaching skill of your theoretical supervisors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 3. The GP clinic training process | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 4. Your hospital-based training supervisors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 5. The GP community-based training process | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 6. Your community-based training supervisors | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 7. The training base infrastructure | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 8. The training organizational management | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 9. Your income during the training period | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 10. Your overall satisfaction | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |

**Table 2** Questionnaire regarding aspects of GP training for supervisors that could be improved

| Option Choice (multiple) |
|--------------------------|
| ① GP concept              |
| ② Theoretical knowledge and clinical competence |
| ③ Motivation and responsibility for training |
| ④ Training skills and teaching methods |
| ⑤ Reciprocal feedback channels |
| ⑥ Sufficient opportunities for independent practice |
| ⑦ Training experience |
| ⑧ Other |
| ⑨ No need to improve |

GP supervisors, and certain agency managers. The interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed. List of prompts for interview questions is as following Table 3.

**Analysis**

In this quantitative survey, we used descriptive statistical methods to analyze the data and the logistic regression method to analyze the factors influencing GPs’ satisfaction with their training supervisors. In the qualitative analysis, primary themes were identified and categorised by the coinvestigators.

**Results**

The basic information of GP resident students is reported in Table 4.

**Descriptive statistics**

Figure 1 shows the trend map of GP satisfaction with GP supervisors (shown in supplemental materials).

**Data statistics**

Figure 1 shows the ratio of “satisfied” to “unsatisfied”. As shown, overall satisfaction increases gradually and steadily. The results show that satisfaction with GP theoretical training supervisors grew rapidly from 2015 to 2017. Satisfaction with GP hospital-based training supervisors was relatively low at the early stage. Satisfaction rose gradually and steadily in general, but decreased during the periods...
2008-2010 and 2015-2017. The quantitative study used the alternative hypothesis two-sided test, test power=0.90, alpha=0.05, significant coefficient \( p<0.05 \), indicating significant difference. The logistic regression showed that the higher participants’ satisfaction with organizational management (OR = 2.55), theoretical learning (OR = 2.63), theoretical curriculum supervisors (OR = 1.77), clinical base supervisors (OR = 2.56) and community practice teaching (OR = 1.87) was, the higher their overall satisfaction with GP training.

In hospital clinical rotations, supervisors need to improve with respect to GP training, mainly with respect to general practice concepts (68.0%), training methods (54.8%), and teaching conscientiousness (53.3%). In the process of community practice training, the main aspects that supervisors need to improve are training skills and teaching methods (57.0%), theoretical knowledge and clinical ability (35.4%).

In the subgroup analysis, there were no significant differences in attitudes towards supervisors at different stages (completed training or in training). Further researches are needed to analyze the relationships among the quality of teachers, the expectation of residents with respect to their supervisors and their levels of satisfaction.

**Interview results**

GP residents described their experiences with their supervisors. These aspects are described in details in Table 5 with illustrative quotations based on the grounded theory study.

### Table 4 Basic information of GP residents

| Items                                      | Category      | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------|------------|
| Basic information of GP resident students (completed training) |               |        |            |
| Sex                                        | Male          | 226    | 42.60%     |
|                                           | Female        | 306    | 57.40%     |
| Age                                        | 26~30         | 23     | 4.20%      |
|                                           | 31~35         | 112    | 20.90%     |
|                                           | 36~40         | 148    | 27.90%     |
|                                           | 40+           | 249    | 47.00%     |
| Degree                                     | Others        | 169    | 31.90%     |
|                                           | Bachelor’s    | 317    | 59.60%     |
|                                           | Master        | 42     | 7.70%      |
|                                           | MD            | 4      | 0.80%      |
| Professional                               | Junior or other| 325    | 61.30%     |
|                                           | Mid-level     | 133    | 24.90%     |
|                                           | Vice-senior level | 64 | 11.90% |
|                                           | Senior level  | 10     | 1.90%      |
| Employer                                   | Hospital      | 301    | 56.60%     |
|                                           | Community health center (CHC) | 165 | 31.10% |
|                                           | Other         | 65     | 12.30%     |
| Subtotal                                   |               | 532    |            |
| Basic information of GP resident students (in training) |               |        |            |
| Sex                                        | Male          | 201    | 42.60%     |
|                                           | Female        | 439    | 57.40%     |
| Age                                        | 26~30         | 607    | 4.20%      |
|                                           | 31~35         | 33     | 20.90%     |
| Subtotal                                   |               | 640    |            |
| Total                                      |               | 1172   |            |

**Fig. 1** Trend map of GP satisfaction with GP supervisors
Discussion

Summary

GP residents were largely satisfied with their GP theoretical training supervisors. GP hospital-based training supervisors need to improve their conception of GP, teaching methods and teaching conscientiousness. GP community-based training supervisors need to improve their teaching methods, clinical theoretical knowledge and practice ability.

Reasons and analysis

The government is making great efforts to improve GP training. However, human resource construction with respect to GP supervisors has increased gradually and steadily. GP theoretical training supervisors, GP hospital-based training supervisors and GP community-based training supervisors have different backgrounds and different levels of success with respect to transitioning into their roles as GP supervisors.

Theoretical training supervisors are generally drawn from colleges and are willing to be theoretical training supervisors. During the theoretical training period, GP residents could learn more comprehensive GP concepts, cultivate international visions, and share their opinions smoothly; in addition, supervisors also have opportunities to share their general practice experience with each other. This situation is what affects GP residents’ satisfaction with theoretical training.

Table 5 The categorical relationships formed by the principal axis coding table

| Main coding | Submain coding (code frequency) | Participants’ initial information |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| GP theoretical training supervisors | Supervisors have a solid theoretical foundation and strong teaching conscientiousness (28) | I was satisfied with the lectures. It is hoped that some courses will be offered to help students to cultivate career professionalism. It is suggested that the theoretical courses should not be completed at once. Supervisors are experienced and responsible, and the combination of large classes, small lectures, and group discussions helps a lot. |
| GP hospital-based training supervisors | GP concept improvement (52) | Residents are more trained as specialists, and they have to tackle some difficult cases. Supervisors in some departments don’t treat patient via the whole-person concept. Teaching methods improvement (33) | Supervisors are very willing to teach residents, but their teaching methods are slightly lacking; there is no specific teaching method for GPs; the focus of teaching GPs should be prominent, not just writing history and cases. I hope that supervisors can be trained every two to three months to meet the needs of general practice. Separate teaching will be more effective. I hope supervisors can give students targeted guidance. |
| Conscientiousness improvement (51) | Teaching supervisors are quite different. Some supervisors can explain to us the diagnosis and treatment criteria of common and frequently occurring diseases in outpatient clinics and things to which we should pay attention. They can also recommend books for us to go back and see for ourselves, while others just hope that we can help them in their work; their conscientiousness regarding teaching is somewhat weak. |
| GP community-based training supervisors | Motivation (16) | Community doctors are more responsible. They not only teach various matters that need attention but also provide opportunities for independent medical treatment. At the same time, they also cultivate the awareness of the doctor-patient relationship and help us learn to communicate with patients. Teaching methods (34) | Teaching supervisors do not have systematic arrangements; sometimes they don’t have sufficient theoretical knowledge, and the opportunity to practice independently is relatively limited. Clinical theoretical knowledge and practice ability improvement (27) | Community-based training supervisors are more responsible, while some of them do not have perfect pedagogy and standard diagnosis and treatment of diseases. |
GP hospital-based training supervisors are generally drawn from relevant rotations in clinic departments. They are experts in their fields, but they must undertake a difficult transition into the role of GP supervisors, especially with respect to their conception of GP, teaching methods and teaching conscientiousness. The satisfaction of GP residents with GP hospital-based supervisors increased gradually and steadily aside from two periods of decrease during 2008-2010 and 2015-2017, which shows that these supervisors were not trained via a standard model and that the expectations of GP residents may exhibit constant change.

For GP community-based supervisors, the satisfaction of GP residents increased gradually and steadily, although it was relatively low at the early stage. The reality is that community health resources are not effectively used, and the GP community-based training process cannot fully realize its own value. More profound reforms are needed to address this issue.

Moreover, other problems occurred as well. Firstly, management standards for general discipline training are not standardized, and the regulation of management lacks a standardized basis. Secondly, training contents and time allocation need to be further improved. Next, communication and feedback paths are insufficient for residents. Finally, the implementation of relevant support policies is weak, and the incentive system is not perfect with respect to GP teaching.

Compared with this, some of the countries where family medicine is more popular have established their own standards [11–18]. In the UK, to become a general practitioner, one must complete 5-6 years of undergraduate medical education, 2 years of basic training (including clinical rotations in major internal medicine, major surgery, etc.), and 3 years of general practitioner professional training (18 months of comprehensive training and 18 month general practice practice). In the 3-year professional training process, each stage has corresponding requirements (including trainee evaluation, annual appraisal, trainee feedback, competitiveness evaluation, comprehensive evaluation, year-end evaluation, etc.) In the United States, the starting point of vocational training for general practice specialists is the graduates of medical schools (completed a four-year medical school education after graduation), and the training is divided into basic training (3 years) and advanced training (1~2 years). The 3-year basic training includes 2 years of hospital rotation and 1 year of community clinic training. 1-2 years of advanced training can choose a specialty related to general practice as a training program, such as geriatric medicine, rehabilitation medicine, maternal and child health care, tourism medicine, etc. Trained general practitioners must take a unified examination organized by trade associations before they can qualify as specialists in general practice.

At present, in China, although there is training curriculum published by the Chinese Medical Doctor Association in the current time, these is no uniform system among different training bases and across different provinces. The practice of general practitioner training in general hospitals is mainly based on the rotation of hospital department settings, and the community practice mainly includes general practice outpatient clinics, establishment of health records, common problems in the community, chronic disease management, focus group population health care, community prevention, etc. Although the training content of general practitioners at home and abroad is basically the same, due to the over-refinement of the specialized settings of domestic general hospitals, the limited business development of community general practitioners, and the lack of general practitioner teachers, the actual training content of general practitioners has become difficult. It is very different from the setting and cannot fully achieve the expected goal. Consequently, there is no uniform standard for GP supervisors in China at present.

GP supervisors training in China is different from those in UK and US in terms of quantity, quality, background, access, supervisor-trainee relationship and assessment methods. The background access and training curriculum are not as strict as those in above countries, and supervisor-trainee relationship is relatively loose. Many Chinese scholars have also discussed whether to formulate a standard for GP supervisors [19–22]. Among them, some suggestions are put forward on the basic concepts of GP supervisors, such as professional quality, clinical medical ability and teaching ability.

The comparison is as Table 6.

Policy suggestions

Implementing the whole-process tutoring system in the GP resident training program

To solve these issues concerning GP theoretical training supervisors, GP hospital-based training supervisors, and GP community-based training supervisors, the whole-process tutoring [3, 5, 6] system is highly recommended for GP resident training programs. These tutors can be responsible for the whole process of the GP resident training program and can share their experiences with GP supervisors and residents.

The whole-course tutor can provide residents with comprehensive help regarding their needs and can give advices at each stage and facilitate residents’
### Table 6: Comparison of the GP Training Program in UK, US, and China

| Country | Duration | Core competences | Content | Trainer training |
|---------|----------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| UK      | 10 years+, including 5 year undergraduate training, 2 year postgraduate training and 3 year GP Trainee (Hospital & Practice-Based). | Six core competence: Primary Care Management, Community Orientation, Special Problem Solving Capability, Integrated Programs, People-Centered Care, Whole-Person Care. | 1. Core curriculum statement which provides a full description of the knowledge, skills, attitudes and behaviors required of a GP in managing patients and their problems. 2. Professional, Life Stages, and Clinical Topic guides | Undertake an initial induction meeting reviewing the learning needs of the GP trainee and agreeing an educational plan for the post. Complete at least one WPBA (work problem-based assessment) for the trainee. Complete a Clinical Supervisors Report on the Portfolio at the end of the post. |
| US      | 8–9 years+, including 4 years of undergraduate, 4 years of medical school education, which is divided into basic training (3 years) and advanced training (1–2 years). Advanced training includes specialty related to general practice. | Six core competence: Medical Knowledge, Patient Care, Interpersonal & Communication Skills, Professionalism, Practice-Based Learning and Improvement, System-Based Practice, which is trained in different division and circumstances. | The program provide residents with regularly scheduled lectures, conferences, workshops or educational activities. Didactics shall be available for an average of at least five hours per week. Senior residents or fellows should serve in a supervisory role of junior residents in recognition of their progress toward independence, based on the needs of each patient and the skills of the individual resident or fellow. | |
| China   | 8 years+, including 5 year college education (simply conspectus class) 3 year GP resident training (including 27 Hospital & 6 months Practice-Based). | No unified and definite standard, but many research work on it | Theory training: cultivate the concept of GP hospital training. Rotation in different departments in hospital aiming to disease exposure and community health centre training. | 56 hours centralized training. Trainees mainly come from general practice, internal medicine, pediatrics, emergency department and other professional directions similar to general practice. |
theoretical study, clinical rotations, and community rotations [1, 4, 7–10].

Establishment of a unified standard and screening criteria for supervisors to ensure high-quality supervisors
The quality of teaching staff is an important factor in ensuring the quality of the standardized training of GPs. Specifically, teaching staff quality aims to standardize the qualification of supervisors in each of the training contexts so that senior doctors and associate senior doctors with high degrees of medical ethics, solid theoretical foundations, extensive clinical experience, rigorous clinical thinking, excellent academic standards, good communication skills, and prominent innovative capacity would be selected.

Strengthen the training and assessment of supervisors’ teaching ability
Supervisors should be trained regularly with respect to their teaching ability, and various training methods should be adopted to improve their attention to training and enhance their awareness of teaching.

The management department also needs to supervise and inspect the teaching quality of supervisors regularly and to receive feedback from staff. The final evaluation results can serve as an important basis for rewarding and punishing supervisors.

Study advantages
The study collects a large amount of information concerning the perspectives of general practice residents towards their supervisors and provides a macro-level view of residents’ satisfaction with GP supervisors. A mixed quantitative and qualitative study provides the reader with a comprehensive way to understand and investigate deeper general practice residents’ perspectives towards their supervisors.

Study limitation
Many factors influence the perspectives of general practice residents towards their supervisors, and the backgrounds of early GP supervisors vary, so further studies regarding other aspects of this topic are needed to find more implications.

Conclusions
Standardized training of general practitioners by the municipal government and related departments is widely viewed as an important aspect of GP education. General medical education is in line with international conventions, and teams of GP supervisors have been gradually established. Certain problems also remain with the teaching level of GP supervisors, the standards of general discipline training and the training content emphasised by GP supervisors, etc. This study analyzes the background and perspective of residents towards their supervisors and provides relevant suggestions to strengthen the GP supervisors’ teaching abilities to maintain and improve the quality of GP training.

Abbreviations
GP: General practice; CHC: Community Health Centre; WPBA: Work problem-based assessment.

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03442-3.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements
I would like to thank Prof. Long Youlin of the Evidence-Based Center of China for supporting this study.

Authors’ contributions
All authors participated in the design of the study and contributed to drafting the paper. RX designed the research and was a major contributor to writing the manuscript. LLLS and SQ guided the discussion section, and WX discussed the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
(1) National Steering Committee for Medical Professional Degree Education/Chinese Medical Doctor Association 2021 National General Practice Education and Teaching Research Project (B-YXGP20210402-01).
(2) Sichuan Province Science and Technology Support Program, 22RKX0567.

Availability of data and materials
All data and materials are available. The datasets generated for this study are available by contacting the corresponding author.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
All methods of this study were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations and the study was approved by the Shenzhen Health Ethics Committee of China. No human or animal experimental research was conducted as part of this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
There are no competing interests to declare. The research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as potential conflicts of interest.

Author details
1 Department of General practice, West China Hospital, SCU, Chengdu, China.
2 Institute of Hospital Management, West China Hospital, SCU, Chengdu, China.
3 Institute of Service Management, School of Business, SCU, Chengdu, China.
4 Department of Graduate medical education, West China Hospital/School of Medicine, SCU, Chengdu, China.

Received: 5 October 2021 Accepted: 27 April 2022
Published online: 31 May 2022
References

1. Wang R, He Z, Zhao W, et al. Research progress of general practice teacher training [J]. Chin Gen Pract. 2017;25:3144-3148.
2. Wang Y, Wang R, Zhang J, et al. Satisfaction study of GPs’ standardized training personnel on general medical science training in general hospitals [J]. Chin Gen Pract. 2018;3:1598-1602.
3. Klemenc-ketis Z, Svab I, Petek-stew M, et al. Twenty-five years of the international Bled course for teachers of family medicine in Europe: Glancing back and looking forward [J]. Eur J Gen Pract. 2016;4:262-6.
4. Hui Yang, Jianjun Han. Team construction of GPs in China: development, challenges and prospects [J]. Chin Gen Pract. 2019;22(19):2267–79.
5. Meli J-D, Ng A, Singer S, et al. General practitioner teachers’ job satisfaction and their medical students’ wish to join the field - a correlational study [J]. BMC Fam Pract. 2014;15:50.
6. O’Donoghue S, McGrath D, Cullen W. How do longitudinal clerkships in general practice/primary care impact on student experience and career intention? A cross-sectional study of student experience [J]. Educ Prim Care. 2015;3:75–106.
7. Wang XY, Rodriguez AC, et al. Challenges to implementation of medical residency program in China-a five-year study of attrition from west China hospital. Acad Med. 2010;85(7):1203–8.
8. Xuemei Jia, Junyong Zhu, Hongbo Lei, et al. Current status and thinking of general practice training in my country [J]. China Higher Med Educ. 2018;6–7:13.
9. Zhu Q, Jia J, Fan J, ect. Practice and exploration of clinical teacher training in “5+3” GPs standardized training [J]. Medical Education Management. 2019;3:222–224.
10. Communist Party of China (CPC) Central Committee and the State Council. Healthy China. 2030. [http://www.gov.cn/xinwen/2016-10/25/content_5124174.htm].
11. Zhang L, Yan X. Hidden curriculum and proposes suggestions on its research orientation and application in higher education. [J]. Northwest Medical Education. 2005;5:482-483.
12. World Health Organization. China Country Report. 2019. (https://www.who.int/countries/chn/en/ Retrieved from Zurich).
13. Royal College of General Practitioners. The RCGP Curriculum: Professional & Clinical Modules. London: Royal College of General Practitioners; 2016.
14. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. RACGP Standards for General Practices. 4th ed. East Melbourne: Royal Australian College of General Practitioners; 2010.
15. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Standards for General Practices. 4th ed. East Melbourne: Royal Australian College of General Practitioners; 2010.
16. American Academy of Family Physicians. Family Medicine Residency Curriculum Guidelines. Leawood: American Academy of Family Physicians; 2019. (https://www.aafp.org/medical-school-residency/program-directors/curriculum.html).
17. Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. The family medicine milestone project. J Grad Med Educ. 2014;6:74–86. https://doi.org/10.4300/JGME-06-01s1-05.
18. Working Party on Education. WONCA Global Standards for Postgraduate Family Medicine. Bangkok: World Organization of Family Doctors; 2013.
19. Communist Party of China (CPC) Central Committee and the State Council. Reforming and Improving the Incentive Mechanism for the Training and Use of General Practitioners; 2018. [http://www.gov.cn/ xinwen/2018-01/24/content_5260111.htm].
20. World Bank Group WHO, Ministry of Finance, National Health and Family Planning Commission, Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security: Deepening Health Reform in China: Building High-Quality and Value-Based Service Delivery. Washington, DC: 2016. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/24720.
21. Jingjing Ren, Ying Liu, Zhengfeng Chen, et al. The training system of GPs in the four countries and its enlightenment to my country [J]. Chinese Health Talents. 2012;3:76–8.
22. Meng Q. The development of foreign general medical education and the problems faced by general medical education in China and its thinking [J]. Chin Gen Pract. 2001;13(4):169–71.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.