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Are Analogue or Digital Clocks Friendlier for People Living with Dementia?
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Abstract

Background: In ageing population, it is desirable to reduce the impact of cognitive decline on daily life. While various types of dementia-friendly environments have been proposed, the question still remains regarding whether analogue or digital clocks are friendlier for people with dementia. Methods: In clinical practice, we normally use our original clock reading test (10 analogue and 10 digital clocks) to assess patients’ ability to read a clock. In the present study, a retrospective medical record survey was conducted. Fifty-five participants who had done the test were identified. The result of the test was compared between analogue and digital clocks. Additionally, to assess specific ability to read analogue clocks, an “analogue-digital gap” was defined as the difference between patients’ performance for analogue and digital clocks. Univariate and multivariate analyses were conducted to detect significant factors associated with reading ability specific to analogue clocks. Results: The analogue clock proved less readable than the digital clock, even after adjusting for MMSE total score (p = 0.003). Multivariate analysis revealed reading ability of the analogue clock was significantly associated with MMSE calculation and clock drawing test (p = 0.009 and 0.040, respectively). Conclusions: In the present study, the digital clock was friendlier than the analogue clock for patients with dementia. Compared to the digital clock, reading analogue clocks might require more widespread cognition, such as working memory and visuospatial processing. While our finding was a general tendency, and individual assessment is necessary, it might help the development of personalized environmental adjustments.

Introduction

Population ageing is one of the most critical global social challenges, and as a consequence of ageing, the number of people with dementia across the world is reportedly over 50 million in 2019 and will increase to 152 million by 2050 [1]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to reduce the impact of cognitive decline due to both ageing and dementia on daily life. In current societies,
environments designed for people with physical decline, such as step-free access and availability of elevators, are already widespread. In contrast, environment designed for people with cognitive decline has not yet been fully implemented. Recently, various types of dementia-friendly designs have been proposed, in areas including bedrooms, bathrooms, toilets, lounges, entrances, gardens, and public places [2]. However, although particular clock designs are often recommended, there is little systematic research on the relative merits of different types of clocks, specifically the choice of analogue or digital clocks. We consider this question crucial because of the importance of clock time orientation and understanding in maintaining normal daily life in modern contexts.

Recognition of current time is one of the most important cognitive skills for human life. If a person lives without clocks, their circadian rhythm can be disrupted, and this can result in various kinds of mental problems [3]. Particularly, circadian rhythm disruptions are common in older people and are more severe in people with dementia [4, 5]. A clock is one of the most important items for people with delirium to mitigate their symptoms [6, 7]. In addition, circadian rhythm disruptions might also be a potential risk factor for developing dementia [4, 5]. Although light has a much stronger effect on circadian rhythm, recognition of current time using clocks might also be considered a key factor for maintaining good mental health in people with dementia.

In response to the importance of clocks, our routine clinical assessment includes our original clock reading test and traditional cognitive assessments, such as MMSE and clock drawing/copying tests. Our original clock reading test consists of 10 analogue clocks and 10 digital clocks which are used to assess the ability to read each type of clock (detailed procedure in the Methods section). It helps us to develop a personalized environment for each patient by identifying the most appropriate type of clock for them to use at home and in hospital rooms. In the present study, we analysed these clinical assessments to investigate the question of whether an analogue or a digital clock was more helpful for people with dementia and an advanced question regarding what kind of factors were associated with their ability to read clocks. Particularly, as there is convergent evidence regarding difficulties both with drawing and reading analogue clocks in the Alzheimer’s disease [8–10], we had a hypothesis that digital clocks might be friendlier for patients with dementia.

Recognition of current time is one of the most important items in older people and are more severe in people with dementia [4, 5]. A clock is one of the most important items for people with delirium to mitigate their symptoms [6, 7]. In addition, circadian rhythm disruptions might also be a potential risk factor for developing dementia [4, 5]. Although light has a much stronger effect on circadian rhythm, recognition of current time using clocks might also be considered a key factor for maintaining good mental health in people with dementia.

In the present study, we analysed these clinical assessments to investigate the question of whether an analogue or a digital clock was more helpful for people with dementia and an advanced question regarding what kind of factors were associated with their ability to read clocks. Particularly, as there is convergent evidence regarding difficulties both with drawing and reading analogue clocks in the Alzheimer’s disease [8–10], we had a hypothesis that digital clocks might be friendlier for patients with dementia.

Fig. 1. Our original clock reading task consists of 10 analogue clocks and 10 digital clocks to assess which ability to read has declined less.

Methods

The present study was conducted as a retrospective medical record survey, and the data were obtained from our medical records during October 2019–September 2020 at Shimofusa Psychiatric Medical Center, Japan. The data included patient characteristics (i.e., age, sex, and education level), Mini-Mental Scale Examination (MMSE) [11], Functional Assessment Staging Tool (FAST) [12], Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [13], reverse fox test [14], cube copying test [15], clock drawing/copying test [8], and our original clock reading test. Our clock reading test consists of 10 analogue clocks and 10 digital clocks used to assess which ability to read has declined less (see Fig. 1). First, patients were asked to read each analogue clock and then each digital clock. The order of each type of clock was pseudo-randomized. As the main purpose of the task was to assess ability to read clocks in daily life and to give some advice regarding their environment, the analogue clock face showed all numbers. This is different from a traditional clock reading task, in which numbers are not shown [10]. We used a clock with numbers because it is more appropriate for assessing reading ability in the real world. In addition, we allowed experimenters to support patients’ reading to compensate for poor attention. This was done to minimize the effects of mistakes caused by inattention.

In the present retrospective survey, the inclusion criteria were patients who had undergone at least the clock reading test. The exclusion criteria were patients without dementia-related conditions, that is, patients whose cognitive impairment could be explained from their mood disorder or schizophrenia itself and was not considered any potential prodromal symptom of dementia. As our hospital is a psychiatric hospital without any surgical department, no patients had postoperative delirium. Fifty-five participants were included (mean age: 81.6 [±8.6], female = 27, inpatient = 19, MMSE = 16.4 [±5.7]) (Table 1). Their dominant dementia types were diagnosed based on their history of illnesses, clinical follow-up, brain imaging, and neuropsychological tests except the clock reading test (i.e., clock reading test was used only for the assessment of their ability to read clocks to manage their environment, not for diagnosis) and were confirmed by 2 Board-Certified Dementia practitioners of the Japanese Society of Psychiatry and Neurology (A.K. and H.S.) according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder, fifth edition. In re-
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response to the current understanding of mixed brain pathology in dementia [16, 17], their most dominant dementia type was analysed in the present study. Their dominant dementia types were diagnosed as Alzheimer’s disease (AD, n = 21), vascular dementia (VaD, n = 17), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB, n = 8), frontotemporal dementia (n = 1), Korsakoff syndrome (n = 2), dementia not otherwise specified (n = 1), and mild cognitive impairment (MCI, n = 5).

To compare ability to read analogue and digital clocks (max 10 each), we conducted repeated measures analysis of covariance with MMSE total score as a covariate because there are 2 different conditions (analogue and digital) within 1 participant. Next, to investigate the differences in performance among dementia types (AD, VaD, DLB, and others), a Kruskal-Wallis test and a subsequent post hoc analysis were conducted. Finally, to investigate significant factors related to clock reading ability, especially analogue clocks, we began by calculating the analogue-digital gap, based on scores in each type of clock reading task. For example, if the score for analogue clock reading was 6 and digital was 10, the gap was 4. As there were many factors potentially associated with clock reading ability, such as MMSE subscale, we analysed the association between the analogue-digital gap and potential factors using univariate analyses, and subsequently, we conducted a stepwise logistic regression analysis with the analogue-digital gap as a dependent variable and only factors that showed significance in univariate analysis except MMSE total score as independent variables. Univariate analyses were conducted depending on the data type and distribution. In a logistic regression analysis, because the analogue-digital gap and MMSE subscales were not normally distributed, they were converted to binary data using median split. All analyses were conducted with R (4.0.2), which is the most widespread and powerful software environment for statistical computing, and p < 0.05 was considered significant. In a post hoc analysis of comparison between dementia types, a false discovery rate was used.

Dementia type, N
- AD: 21
- VaD: 17
- DLB: 8
- FTD: 1
- Others: 8

Table 1. Characteristics of patients (n = 55)

| Characteristic       | Value |
|----------------------|-------|
| Age, years, mean (±SD) | 81.6 (±8.6) |
| Sex, male/female, N   | 28/27 |
| Educational level, years, mean (±SD) | 16.4 (±5.7) |
| Outpatient/inpatient, N | 36/19 |
| MMSE, mean (±SD)      | 16.4 (±5.7) |

MMSE, Mini-Mental Scale Examination; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; VaD, vascular dementia; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; FTD, frontotemporal dementia.

Results

Our analysis revealed that the digital clock was more readable for patients with dementia than the analogue clock after the adjustment of MMSE total score (p = 0.003) (Fig. 2), with a finding that more severe cognitive impairment was linked to a greater analogue-digital gap. There was a significant difference in the analogue-digital gap among dementia types (p = 0.018), and the post hoc analysis revealed that AD showed greater gap than others (p = 0.001) and DLB also showed greater gap than others (p = 0.009) (Fig. 3). In the univariate analyses, the analogue-digital gap was significantly associated with CDR (p = 0.046), MMSE total (p = 0.003), time orientation (p = 0.040), place orientation (p = 0.024), immediate re-

Fig. 2. Comparison of reading abilities between analogue and digital clocks revealed that the digital clock was more readable for patients with dementia than the analogue clock after the adjustment of MMSE total score (p = 0.003).
call ($p = 0.017$), calculation ($p < 0.001$), naming objects ($p = 0.013$), clock drawing test ($p < 0.001$), clock copying test ($p = 0.010$), and reverse fox test ($p = 0.047$), while other factors did not reach significance (Table 2). In the multivariate analysis, CDR, time orientation, place orientation, immediate recall, calculation, naming objects, clock drawing test, clock copying test, and reverse fox test were initially included as independent variables in our stepwise logistic regression analysis. After the stepwise procedure, our model finally selected calculation and clock drawing test as dependent variables ($\text{AIC} = 60.4$), revealing that the analogue-digital gap ($\geq 2$ or not) was significantly associated with difficulty in the MMSE calculation ($\leq 1$ or not) ($\text{OR} = 9.9 \ [2.1–73.0]\ , \ p = 0.009$) and clock drawing test ($\text{OR} = 0.8 \ [0.6–0.98]\ , \ p = 0.040$) remained significant.

**Discussion**

This is the first report addressing the question regarding which of analogue or digital clocks is more readable for people with dementia. Our analysis showed that the digital clock was friendlier for patients with dementia. In addition, impaired reading ability of the analogue clock relative to the digital clock was seen in AD and DLB. Reading ability was significantly associated with abilities to do mental arithmetic and draw a clock.

![Fig. 3](image)

**Table 2. Analogue-digital gap and associated factors**

| Univariate analyses | Statistic | $p$ value |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Age                 | rho = 0.05 | 0.708     |
| Sex                 | $U = 326.5$ | 0.374     |
| Educational level   | rho = −0.24 | 0.088     |
| Outpatient/inpatient| $U = 366.5$ | 0.660     |
| FAST                | rho = 0.09  | 0.496     |
| CDR                 | rho = 0.27  | 0.046     |
| MMSE total          | rho = −0.39 | 0.003     |
| Time orientation    | rho = −0.28 | 0.040     |
| Place orientation   | rho = −0.30 | 0.024     |
| Immediate recall    | rho = −0.32 | 0.017     |
| Calculation         | rho = −0.51 | <0.001    |
| Delayed recall      | rho = −0.11 | 0.406     |
| Naming objects      | rho = −0.33 | 0.013     |
| Repeating sentence  | $U = 435$   | 0.240     |
| Commands            | rho = 0.07  | 0.599     |
| Reading             | $U = 251$   | 0.305     |
| Writing             | $U = 357.5$ | 1.000     |
| Intersecting pentagon copy | $U = 432.5$ | 0.318 |
| Clock drawing test  | rho = −0.45 | <0.001    |
| Clock copying test  | rho = −0.35 | 0.010     |
| Reverse fox test    | $U = 452$   | 0.047     |
| Cube copying test   | rho = −0.25 | 0.078     |

In the multivariate analysis, analogue-digital gap and MMSE subscales were converted to binary data using median split. A stepwise logistic regression analysis was done with significant factors in univariate analyses as dependent variables. FAST, Functional Assessment Staging Tool; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE, Mini-Mental Scale Examination; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Our findings are in line with existing studies regarding the clock reading task. Schmidtke and Olbrich [10] revealed difficulties in reading analogue clocks in patients with AD and DLB as well as patients with parietal lobe lesions. Fukui and Lee [9] also demonstrated difficulties in reading analogue clocks in patients with AD, and their difficulties were associated with their limitations in basic and instrumental activities of daily living. Saur et al. [18] completed a functional magnetic resonance imaging study of the clock reading task in both healthy controls and patients with MCI/early AD, illustrating lower performance in patients and quadratic correlation between their performance and task-related brain activations in the ventral visual stream and precuneus known to be in-
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Our finding suggests that the digital clock time using clocks is helpful for preventing or mitigating people with dementia [4, 5], and recognition of current delirium [25]. Circadian rhythm disruptions are severe in delirium and also the leading contributing factor of delirium. It is a core domain of delirium is a significant issue. Circadian rhythm disruption is highly related to delirium. It is a core domain of delirium and also the leading contributing factor of delirium [25]. Circadian rhythm disruptions are severe in people with dementia [4, 5], and recognition of current time using clocks is helpful for preventing or mitigating delirium [6, 7]. Our finding suggests that the digital clock might be better for people with dementia who are at risk of delirium. However, personalizing is important, and individual clinical assessment regarding clock reading ability is necessary.

There were several limitations. First, our sample may be highly biased because our psychiatric hospital has a role as a tertiary referral hospital, and a half of patients were referred to our hospital with the purpose of hospitalization. In addition, patients with severe dementia who could not perform the tests were excluded. Further research is necessary to generalize our findings. Second, this study was a cross-sectional study, and it was impossible to assess the longitudinal effect of maintaining clock reading. Third, as our hospital was a psychiatric hospital, most patients have behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD). Although the assessment was conducted after patients’ symptoms improved to the clinical level that they could perform the tests, it cannot be completely denied that their symptoms and drugs could affect their performance. However, there was no significant difference between inpatients and outpatients, and comparison between analogue and digital clocks could control their general effect of BPSD. Furthermore, our study was conducted in a clinical, not an experimental setting, and it may be easier to apply our finding in such clinical settings where patients usually show BPSD and have several medications. Fourth, there still remains a question regarding clocks that have both analogue and digital faces. This kind of a mixed clock might be helpful for patients with dementia or might be confusing for them. Further research is required to answer this question. Fifth, there could be a difference between reading and recognizing. In our assessment, the meaning of the current clock time was not asked, such as time to eat breakfast and time to sleep. Although recognizing current time should work based on reading clocks, there might remain a possibility that patients can recognize meaning of current time without reading it, because information processing in the brain might differ between them.

In conclusion, the digital clock was friendlier than the analogue for our sample, and the ability to read analogue clocks might be associated with both working memory and visuospatial processing. While our finding was a general tendency and individual assessment is important to develop personalized environment, it provided evidence for dementia-friendly design regarding clocks.
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