Effect of Seed Rate on Seedling Quality for Mechanical Rice Transplanting
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ABSTRACT

A study was conducted in the Farm Machinery and Postharvest Technology Division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Gazipur in the irrigated dry season (Boro) of 2012-13. It was aimed at identifying the optimum seed rate of different graded rice variety for mat type seedlings preparation and suitable seedling adjustment option of the rice trans planter to maintain required number of seedlings dispensed per stroke by the rotary picker of the trans planter. Walk behind type 4-rows rice trans planter (DP 480) was used to conduct the study. The experiment was followed as two factorial completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications. Three categories of rice variety as short and bold grain (cv. BR3), medium and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan28) and extra-long and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan50) were considered as main factor whereas seed rate of 100, 120, 130, 140, 150 and 160g per tray (280×580×25 mm) were considered as sub-factor. Irrespective of rice category, seedling emergence decreased with the increase of seed rate. Seedling emergence decreased from 77 to 56, 74 to 57 and 77 to 54% in BR3, BRRI dhan28 and BRRI dhan29 respectively with the increase of seed rate from 100 to 160g per tray. Seed rate did not affect the seedling height significantly whereas it was varied with the rice varities only and BRRI dhan50 produced higher seedling length. However, number of leaf, stem thickness and shoot dry weight decreased and root-shoot ratio increased significantly with the increase of seed rate. The highest shoot dry weight was observed in BR3 followed by BRRI dhan50. Seedling strength also decreased with the increase of seed rate. The highest seedling strength (0.043 mg cm⁻¹) was observed for the seed rate of 100g of BR3 and the lowest (0.020 mg cm⁻¹) for the seed rate of 160g of BRRI dhan28. The number of seedling increased and percentage of missing hills decreased with the increase of both the seed rate and seedling adjustment option of the rice transplanter irrespective of variety. In case of BR3, seedling dispensed per stroke and percentage of missing hills varied from 4.3 to 5.7 and 6.8 to 7.8 for the seed rates of 140, 150 and 160 g of seeds tray⁻¹ for 5 to 7 seedling adjustment option of the rice transplanter respectively. Seedlings per stroke and percentage of missing hills of BRRI dhan28 for the seed rate of 130, 140 and 150 g of seeds tray⁻¹ was found almost same for 5 to 7 seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter (4.2 to 6.3 and 5.7 to 9.8). However, there was minimum difference of seedlings per stroke and percentage of missing hills among 120, 130 and 140 g of seeds tray⁻¹ for the option of 4 to 7 (4.0 to 5.6 and 3.9 to 7.8 respectively) for BRRI dhan50. It can be concluded that 140 g of seeds tray⁻¹ for short and bold grain (BR3) and 130 g of seeds tray⁻¹ for medium and slender grain (BRRI dhan28) under the seedling adjustment options of 5 to 7 and 120 g of seeds tray⁻¹ for extra-long and slender grain (BRRI dhan50) under the seedling adjustment options of 4 to 7 may be used for desired seedlings per hill and minimum missing hills along with good quality of seedling.
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INTRODUCTION

Bangladesh is predominantly an agrarian country. Agriculture plays a vital role in her economy in terms of food security, value addition, employment and export earning, like many other Asian countries. Agricultural contribution to the economy has been declining over the decade (Kabir, 2005). The dominant food crop of Bangladesh is rice, accounting for about 75 percent of agricultural land use and 28 percent of GDP (Munir and Muaz, 2008).
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Historically, rice cultivation is a labour-intensive task that could not be accomplished easily. Labour cost accounts for the highest input cost in rice production (Clayton, 2010). Bangladesh agriculture has been facing serious challenges of labour scarcity not only in peak period but also in normal time due to increase in non-farm job opportunities having higher wage, migration of labour force to cities and low status of agricultural labourer in the society (Ziauddin and Ahmmed, 2010). Mechanization is the only option to minimize the labour crisis during peak and normal time of rice cultivation. Agricultural mechanization using small scale machinery to agricultural production has been one of the outstanding developments in the developed countries (Osunbitana et al., 2005).

Manual transplanting of seedling is the most widely adopted and the most ancient method of rice transplanting. In Bangladesh, rice seedlings are normally transplanted manually by hired labour, which causes labour shortage throughout the peak period of transplanting. The labourers do not care for the plant spacing and keep the plant population at sub optimum level (Mann and Ashraf, 2001).

The total labour requirement for rice production in one hectare of land is 156.2 man-days of which 44.5 man-days are consumed by seedling raising and transplanting, which is 28.24% of the total labour requirement (Rahman, 1997) whereas only 9-10 man-days ha\(^{-1}\) required in mat type seedling raising suitable for mechanical transplanting (Islam et al., 2016). Aged seedlings is suitable to uproot and transplant manually, which limits the number of tillering during the growing period. Manual transplanting is tedious and time consuming, which is often the cause of delayed planting. The rice yield loss due to delayed planting were 60, 55 and 9 kg ha\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) in the Boro, Aman and early wet (Aus) seasons, respectively, in Bangladesh (Sattar, 1999). Mechanized rice transplanting is seen as a solution of labour problems. The mechanical transplanting reduces cost, saves labour, ensures timely transplanting and attains optimum plant density that contributes to high productivity (Manjunatha et al., 2009).

Both root-washed and soil attached seedling is used for mechanical transplanting. The attached soil with seedling works as bonding elements, which helps to maintain uniformity, stand-up seedlings, reduces transplanting shock and prevents floating, which is crucial prerequisite for mechanical transplanting. The smooth operation of the rotary picker and successful isolation of seedling hills from its mat is largely determined by whether the seedlings were properly bounded by the soil and evenly distributed (CAME, 2007a).

Mechanization of rice cultivation, including seedling raising and transplanting, is expanding in Bangladesh in order to reduce the cost of production. In manual transplanting, 30-40-day-old root washed seedlings are used whereas mat type seedlings are used in mechanical transplanting. Mat type seedlings are raised either on plastic tray \((280 \times 580 \times 25\) mm\) or on a polythene sheet with the help of frames. The mat type seedlings are raised with 20-25 mm thick sieved soil layer mixed with farm yard manure or organic manure placed in trays or over polythene sheets. The mat thickness for best results of seedling raising is about 20 mm (Anoop et al., 2007).

The success of rice transplanter depends on the seedling quality. However, seeding density in the seedling tray has considerable influence on seedling quality, and hence on plant establishment and the percentage of missing hills in the field after transplanting. Optimal rice seeding rate is also important in the establishment of a uniform stand with an adequate plant population (Runsick and Wilson, 2009).

There are combined effects of seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter
and seedling density on number of plants per hills and percentage of missing hills. Rice grain size and shape in terms of length, breadth and length-breath ratio differs among rice varieties. Thus, a study needs to be conducted to identify the optimum seed rate for quality seedling production to minimize the percentage of missing hills. In addition, suitable seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter for different seedling densities also need to be identified to maintain optimum number of seedlings per stroke (plants hill\(^{-1}\)) by the rotary picker of the transplanter. On the basis of discussion, it was hypothesized that seedling quality would be improved with the desired seed rate of different graded rice varieties that could relate the suitable seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter for maintaining desired number of seedlings per stroke by the rotary picker of the transplanter.

**The objectives of the study**

On the basis of the above discussion, the following objectives were set for the study to-

- Identify the optimum seed rate for different rice variety to produce quality seedlings and minimize the missing hills of mechanical transplanting.
- Identify suitable seedling adjustment option to dispense optimum number of seedling per stroke (seedlings hill\(^{-1}\)) of the rotary picker of rice transplanter

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was conducted in the Farm Machinery and Postharvest Technology Division, BRRI, Gazipur during irrigated dry (Boro) season 2012-13. Seedling growing medium was same for all the treatments.

**Seedling raising**

Plastic tray (280×580×25 mm) was used to raise seedlings. Clod-free sandy loam soil was mixed with organic fertilizer and filled up the trays to a depth of 20 mm. Sprouted seeds were spread uniformly on each tray. Sprouted seeds are ready to broadcast when the radicals and coleoptiles elongate to 1/3 of seed length. After sowing, fine and loose soil was spread over the seeds to 3-5 mm depth and the trays were kept under shade. After two days, the trays were placed on the ground in the field and irrigated to saturate the soil and allowed to drain the excess water (Fig. 1).

**Size and shape of the rice variety**

Table 1 shows the size and shape of the sample paddy was identified in terms of length according to FAO standards (FAO, 1972) along with those used by USDA rice workers (Adair et al., 1973). Rice varieties BR3 (short and bold), BRRI dhan28 (medium and slender) and BRRI dhan50 (extra-long and slender) were selected to conduct the study (Fig. 2)

![Fig. 1. Seedling raising on plastic tray and polythene sheet.](image_url)

| Variety            | Average | L/B ratio | 1000 grain wt at 14% mc (g) | Type and sub-type         |
|--------------------|---------|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                    | Length, L (mm) | Breadth, B (mm) |                           |                           |
| BR3                | 8.52    | 3.08      | 2.77                       | 27.75                     | Short and bold            |
| BRRI dhan28        | 9.28    | 2.40      | 3.87                       | 22.63                     | Medium and slender        |
| BRRI dhan50        | 11.48   | 1.85      | 6.21                       | 19.64                     | Extra-long and slender    |

Table 1. Size and shape of the rice variety.
General feature of the transplanter
Korean made walking type 4 rows rice transplanter (DP 480) was used to conduct the study (Fig. 3). Major transplanting specifications of the studied transplanter are-

- Transplanting mechanism: Rotary
- Number of rows: 4
- Row to row distance (mm): 300
- Plant to plant distance (mm): 110, 130, 150
- Planting pitch control: Adjustable
- Transplanting speed (m s\(^{-1}\)): 0.6 to 1.0

Experimental design and treatments
The following treatments were arranged in two factor Completely Randomized Design (CRD) design with three replications. Rice varieties as main factors were short and bold (cv. BR3), medium and slender (cv. BRRI dhan28) and extra-long and slender (cv. BRRI dhan50) whereas seed rates (g tray\(^{-1}\)) as sub-factors, were 100, 120, 130, 140, 150 and 160 g.

Data collection
Based on the objectives, the following data were collected under three segments of the study-

- **Seedlings preparation.** Germination percentage, number of seeds and seedlings emergence per unit area were calculated at different days of seedling raising.

- **Seedling quality.** Seedling density, seedling height, number of leaves, stem thickness, shoot-dry weight, root-shoot ratio and seedling strength were measured. A 400 mm\(^2\) metal sheet boxes was used to determine the seedling density (Fig. 4). Digital slide calipers and scale were used to measure the thickness and height. Seedling strength was measured in terms of mg 10 mm\(^{-1}\). Randomly ten plants were collected and dried in the oven for seedling strength measurement. Root-shoot ratio of the raised seedling was also measured in dry condition.

- **Transplanter performance.** Seedlings per hill, damaged seedlings per stroke and percentage of missing hills under different adjustment options of rice transplanter were the parts of transplanting performance. The rice transplanter was used to measure the transplanter performance. The rice transplanter has nine seedling adjustment options. Seedlings of ten strokes under each seedling adjustment options of rice transplanter were collected in tray and analyzed for desired seed rate and transplanting options (Fig. 5). Area of cut per
Fig. 4. Seedling density as affected under seed rate and variety.

Fig. 5. Operation under different seedling adjustment options.

stroke of the rotary picker under nine adjustment options was measured (Fig. 6).

Area of cut per stroke of the rotary picker varied with the different seedling adjustment options. Width of cut in every stroke was found same (12 mm) under nine seedling adjustment options whereas depth of cut per stroke varied with the adjustment options. Area of cut was also determined counting the total number of strokes per tray.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed as a 2-way factorial design (variety × seed rate) according to Gomez and Gomez (1984) using Crop Stat 7.2 software (IRRI, 2007). Means were compared with least significant difference (LSD) test using Statistix 10 programme (Statistix 10 software, 2013). Simple correlation analysis was carried out with Excel software to determine the relationship of grain yield to yield attributes.

**RESULT**

**Stroke area of the rotary picker**

Stroke area of the rotary picker (area of cut) under nine seedlings pre-adjustment options of the rice transplanter were measured to find out the number of effective stroke per tray and number of trays required per hectare. Width of cut during stroke of the rotary picker under each of the nine seedlings adjustment options was 12 mm whereas depth of cut per stroke of
the picker started from 11.2 mm for options one with the increments of 8.0 mm with successive options. As seedling adjustment options changed from 1 to 9, the number of strokes per tray decreased from 1200 to 656 and the number of trays per hectare for transplanting increased from 185 to 339 (Table 2).

Effect of variety and seed rate on seedling density

Seeds per unit area. Variety and seed rate showed significant effect on seeds per unit area (Table 3). Significantly higher seeds per unit area (5.0) observed for BRRI dhan50 at the seed rate of 160 g tray\(^{-1}\) followed by 150 g tray\(^{-1}\) (4.7) of the same variety whereas lower was for BR3 (2.2) at the seed rate of 100 g tray\(^{-1}\). BRRI dhan50 and BR3 gave significantly higher (5.0) and lower (3.0) number of seeds tray\(^{-1}\) respectively.

Germinated seeds per unit area (100 mm\(^2\)). Germinated seeds per unit area depended on seed rate and germination percentage of the paddy. The two way interaction of variety and seed rate demonstrated significant variations on number of germinated seeds per unit area as were the single effect of variety and seed rate (Table 4). It was increased linearly with the increase of seed rate. The highest numbers of germinated seeds were observed for BRRI dhan50. It was varied from 2.0 to 3.2 for BR3, 2.3 to 3.7 for BRRI dhan28 and 2.7 to 4.4 for BRRI dhan50 for the six different seed rates (Table 4).

Seedling density. The two-way interaction of variety and seed rate showed significant effect on seedlings per unit area. The single effect of variety and seed rate was also found significant (Table 5). In case of short and bold grain and medium and slender grain, the highest number of seedling per unit area was observed for 150 g seeds per tray, which is statistically similar with 130, 140 and 160 g seed rate and the lowest was observed for 100 g followed by 120 g seed rate. No significant difference among 130 to 160 g seeds tray\(^{-1}\) for BRRI dhan28. In case of BRRI dhan50, seedling per unit area was not varied with the seed rate of 100 to 160 g tray\(^{-1}\) whereas 140 and 150 g tray\(^{-1}\) was found quite similar for BR3.

### Table 2. Area of cut per stroke of the rotary picker and number of stroke per tray under nine seedling adjustment options of the transplanter.

| Picker option | Width of cut (mm) | Depth of cut (mm) | Calculated area (mm\(^2\)) | No. of stroke tray\(^{-1}\) | Actual area of cut stroke\(^{-1}\) (mm\(^2\)) | No. of trays ha\(^{-1}\) |
|---------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | 12               | 11.2             | 134.4                       | 1200                      | 135                                         | 185                     |
| 2             | 12               | 12.8             | 153.6                       | 1064                      | 153                                         | 196                     |
| 3             | 12               | 13.6             | 163.2                       | 996                       | 163                                         | 209                     |
| 4             | 12               | 14.4             | 172.8                       | 928                       | 175                                         | 223                     |
| 5             | 12               | 15.2             | 182.4                       | 860                       | 189                                         | 239                     |
| 6             | 12               | 16.2             | 192.4                       | 792                       | 205                                         | 258                     |
| 7             | 12               | 17.2             | 204.4                       | 724                       | 224                                         | 281                     |
| 8             | 12               | 18.2             | 218.4                       | 656                       | 248                                         | 307                     |
| 9             | 12               | 19.2             | 232.4                       | 568                       | 268                                         | 339                     |

### Table 3. Seeds per unit area (100 mm\(^2\)) as affected by variety and seed rate.

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | Variety |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| BR3            | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
| 100             | 2.2     | 2.7       | 3.1    | 2.7       |
| 130             | 2.9     | 3.5       | 4.1    | 3.5       |
| 150             | 3.2     | 3.9       | 4.5    | 3.9       |
| 160             | 3.6     | 4.4       | 5.0    | 4.3       |
| Mean            | 3.0     | 3.6       | 4.2    |           |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)   | V=0.04, SR=0.05 and V×SR=0.11 |
| CV, %           | 1.85    |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.

### Table 4. Number of germinated seeds per unit area (100 mm\(^2\)) as affected by variety and seed rate.

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | Variety |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| BR3            | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
| 100             | 2.0     | 2.3       | 2.7    | 2.3       |
| 120             | 2.4     | 2.8       | 3.3    | 2.8       |
| 130             | 2.6     | 3.0       | 3.5    | 3.0       |
| 140             | 2.8     | 3.3       | 3.8    | 3.3       |
| 150             | 3.0     | 3.5       | 4.1    | 3.5       |
| 160             | 3.2     | 3.7       | 4.4    | 3.8       |
| Mean            | 2.7     | 3.1       | 3.6    |           |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)   | V=0.03, SR=0.03 and V×SR=0.07 |
| CV, %           | 1.31    |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.
Table 5. Number of seedling per unit area (100 mm$^2$) as affected by variety and seed rate.

| Seed rate (g tray$^{-1}$) | BR3   | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean  |
|---------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| 100                       | 1.7   | 2.0         | 2.2         | 2.0   |
| 120                       | 1.9   | 2.2         | 2.3         | 2.1   |
| 130                       | 2.0   | 2.3         | 2.4         | 2.3   |
| 140                       | 2.1   | 2.3         | 2.4         | 2.3   |
| 150                       | 2.2   | 2.6         | 2.5         | 2.5   |
| 160                       | 2.0   | 2.5         | 2.7         | 2.5   |
| **Mean**                  | **1.99** | **2.31**   | **2.41**   | -     |
| LSD$_{0.05}$              | V=0.12, SR=0.15 and V×SR=0.29 |            |             |       |
| CV, %                     | 7.83  |             |             |       |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.

Percentage of seedlings emerged from the seeds sown in the tray varied with the seed rate. Seedling emergence decreased with the increase in seed rate (Fig. 7).

In BR3, 77 percent seeds emerged and raised effective seedling for 100 g seed rate and gradually reduced to 56% seedling with the increase of seed rate up to 160 g tray$^{-1}$, whereas percentage of seedling emerged varied from 74 to 57% for BRRI dhan28 and 71 to 54% for BRRI dhan50. Percentages of the seedlings emerged from the sown seeds decreased from 77 to 54% with increasing seed rate irrespective of the variety.

Seedling quality
Quality of the seedlings was measured in terms of seedling height, number of leaf, stem thickness, shoot dry weight, root-shoot ratio and seedling strength.

Seedling height. Significantly the highest seedling height was observed for extra-long and slender grain (Table 6). Averaged across the four varieties, plant height increased from 94 to 123 mm for 100 g, 107 mm to 119 mm for 130 g, 106 to 118 mm for 140 g, 105 to 115 mm for 150 g and 104 to 115 mm for 160 g seed rate. On average, 130 g seed rate gave the highest seedling height (111.48 mm).

Number of leaf. Variety and seed rate showed insignificant effect on leaf number of the raised seedling as were the single effect of variety. It was observed that leaf number decreased significantly with the increase of seed rate (Table 7).

Fig. 7. Percentage of seedling emerged from the sown seeds under different seed rates and variety.

Stem thickness. Variety and seed rate demonstrated insignificant effect on stem thickness of the raised seedling (Table 8). BRRI dhan28 under 100 g and 120 g tray$^{-1}$ seed rate gave significantly higher stem thickness over other variety and seed rates. Stem thickness demonstrated reducing tendency with the increase of seed rate.

Shoot-dry weight. Variety and seed rate demonstrated insignificant effect whereas shoot dry weight varied significantly with variety and seed rate individually (Table 9). Shoot dry weight decreased with the increase in seed rate. Significantly the highest shoot dry weight was observed in BR3 followed by BRRI dhan50.

Root-shoot ratio. Root-shoot ratio of the seedling varied insignificantly with the two-way interaction of variety and seed rate (Table 10). However, it showed increasing tendency with the increase of seed rate.
**Seedling strength.** Seedling strength was measured in terms of mg cm\(^{-1}\) of shoot dry weight. Individually, variety and seed rate showed significant effect on seedling strength whereas two-way interaction demonstrated insignificant effect. Indeed, seedling strength decreased with the increase of seed rate (Table 11). The highest seedling strength (0.043 mg cm\(^{-1}\)) was observed for the seed rate of 160 g of BR3 and the lowest (0.020 mg cm\(^{-1}\)) for the seed rate of 160 g of BRRI dhan28.

**Table 6. Seedling height (mm) as affected by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 104.7 | 94.4 | 123.2 | 107.4 |
| 120                         | 109.2 | 107.8 | 119.2 | 112.1 |
| 130                         | 106.4 | 111.4 | 118.5 | 112.1 |
| 140                         | 108.1 | 109.5 | 118.1 | 111.9 |
| 150                         | 104.7 | 106.1 | 115.0 | 109.4 |
| 160                         | 107.8 | 109.6 | 115.2 | 110.9 |
| Mean                        | 107.19 | 106.47 | 118.18 |      |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)              | V=3.54 |     |       |      |
| CV, %                       | 4.82   |     |       |      |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.

**Table 7. Number of leafs as affected by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 120                         | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 130                         | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 140                         | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 150                         | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| 160                         | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 |
| Mean                        | 1.97 | 1.76 | 1.70 | -   |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)              | SR=NS |     |       |      |
| CV, %                       | 17.24 |     |       |      |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.

**Table 8. Stem thickness (mm) of the raised seedling by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 120                         | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| 130                         | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 140                         | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| 150                         | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |

**Table 8. Continued.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 160                         | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Mean                        | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\) V=0.06 and SR=0.08 |     |     |     |     |
| CV, %                       | 8.21 |     |       |      |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.

**Table 9. Shoot dry weight (g) of the raised seedling as affected by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 0.45 | 0.24 | 0.39 | 0.36 |
| 120                         | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.37 | 0.35 |
| 130                         | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.34 |
| 140                         | 0.36 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| 150                         | 0.37 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.31 |
| 160                         | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.34 | 0.31 |
| Mean                        | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.34 |      |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\) V=0.06 and SR=0.08 |     |     |     |     |
| CV, %                       | 8.67 |     |       |      |

**Table 10. Root-shoot ratio of the raised seedling as affected by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 1.48 | 1.13 | 1.21 | 1.27 |
| 120                         | 1.45 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.28 |
| 130                         | 1.38 | 1.15 | 1.11 | 1.21 |
| 140                         | 1.19 | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.17 |
| 150                         | 1.35 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.19 |
| 160                         | 1.25 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.14 |
| Mean                        | 1.35 | 1.16 | 1.12 |      |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\) V=0.11 |     |     |     |     |
| CV, %                       | 13.85 |     |       |      |

**Table 11. Seedling strength (mg cm\(^{-1}\)) as affected by variety and seed rate.**

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | BR3 | BRRI dhan28 | BRRI dhan50 | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 100                         | 0.043 | 0.026 | 0.030 | 0.033 |
| 120                         | 0.041 | 0.023 | 0.030 | 0.031 |
| 130                         | 0.039 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.030 |
| 140                         | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.028 | 0.027 |
| 150                         | 0.034 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.028 |
| 160                         | 0.033 | 0.020 | 0.029 | 0.027 |
| Mean                        | 0.037 | 0.022 | 0.029 |      |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\) V=0.002 and SR=0.002 |     |     |     |     |
| CV, %                       | 8.68 |     |       |      |

Note: SR=Seed rate and V=Variety.
TRANS PLANTER PERFORMANCE

Short and bold grain (cv. BR3)

Seedling hill\(^1\). Seed rates and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect on seedlings hill\(^1\). Seedlings hill\(^1\) increased with the increase of seed rate and seedling adjustment options (Table 12). Seedlings hill\(^1\) was found maximum for the seed rates of 140 to 160 g tray\(^{-1}\) and seedling adjustment options 8 to 9. Desired number of seedlings per hill was obtained for the seed rate of 140 to 150 g and seedling adjustment options 4 to 7.

Damage seedling stroke\(^1\). Damaged seedlings per stroke were directly related with the density of seedling and seedlings per hill. Interaction of seed rates and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect on damage seedlings per strokes as were single effect of seed rate and seedling adjustment options (Table 12). Damaged seedlings stroke\(^1\) increased with the increase of both the seed rates and seedling adjustment options. Maximum number of seedling damage was observed for the seed rates of 140 to 160 g and seedling adjustment options 8 to 9. It was found moderate for the seed rate of 130 to 150 g and seedling adjustment options 4 to 6.

Percentage of missing hills. The two-way interaction effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed significant effect on percentage of missing hills as were single effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 12). Percentage of missing hills decreased with the increase of seed rate and seedling adjustment options. Percentage of missing hills was observed maximum for 100 to 120 g seed rate and 1 to 3 seedling adjustment options. It was found moderate for the seed rate of 140 to 160 g and 4 to 7 seedling adjustment options.

Medium and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan28)

Seedlings hill\(^1\). Seed rates and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed insignificant effect on seedlings dispensed per hill whereas single effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect. Seedlings per hill increased with the increase of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 13). Seedlings per hill were found maximum for the seed rates of 150 to 160 g and 130 to 160 g under seedling adjustment options 7 to 8 and 9, respectively. Desired number of seedlings per hill was found for the seed rate of 130 to 160 g and seedling adjustment options 4 to 6.

Damage seedlings stroke\(^1\). Damaged seedlings per stroke are directly related to the density of seedling and seedling per hill. Seed rate and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect on damaged seedling per stroke along with the single effect of seed rate and seedling adjustment options (Table 13). Damage of seedlings per stroke increased with the increase of both seed rates and seedling adjustment options. Maximum number of seedling damage was observed for the seed rates of 140 to 160 g and nine seedling adjustment options. It was found moderate for the seed rate of 130 to 150 g and seedling adjustment options 3 to 6.

Percentage of missing hills. Seed rates and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed significant effect on percentage of missing hills along with the single effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 13). Percentage of missing hills decreased with the increase of seed rates and seedling adjustment options. Percentage of missing hills was observed maximum for 100 to 120 g seed rates and 1 to 3 seedling adjustment options. It was acceptable for the seed rate of 130 to 160 g and seedling adjustment options 5 to 7.

Extra-long and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan50)

Seedling hill\(^1\). Effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect on seedlings per hill.
Seedlings per hill increased with the increase of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 14). Seedlings per hill found maximum for the seed rate of 130 to 160 g and seedling adjustment options 8 to 9. It was found optimum for the seed rates of 120 to 140 g and seedling adjustment options 4 to 7.

**Damage seedling stroke**. Damage seedlings per stroke are directly related with the density of seedling and seedling per hill. Interaction of seed rates and seedling adjustment options showed significant effect on damaged seedlings per stroke along with the single effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 14). Damaged seedlings per stroke increased with the increase of both seed rate and seedling adjustment options. Maximum number of seedling damage was observed for the seed rates of 140 to 160 g and seedling adjustment options 8 to 9. It was found moderate for the seed rates of 120 to 140 g and seedling adjustment options 4 to 7.

**Percentage of missing hills**. Seed rates and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed significant effect on percentage of missing hills along with the single effect of seed rates and seedling adjustment options (Table 14).

### Table 12. Transplanter performance as affected by seed rates of BR3 and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter.

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | Mean |
|----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| 100                  | 2.3| 2.5| 2.7| 2.9| 3.1| 3.4| 3.7| 4.1| 4.5| 3.2  |
| 120                  | 2.7| 3.0| 3.2| 3.4| 3.7| 4.0| 4.4| 4.8| 5.3| 3.8  |
| 130                  | 3.0| 3.3| 3.5| 3.8| 4.2| 4.5| 4.9| 5.2| 5.8| 4.3  |
| 140                  | 3.2| 3.5| 4.0| 4.2| 4.5| 4.8| 5.2| 5.6| 6.2| 4.6  |
| 150                  | 3.4| 3.8| 4.1| 4.4| 5.1| 5.7| 5.7| 6.0| 6.6| 5.0  |
| 160                  | 3.0| 3.4| 3.7| 4.0| 4.3| 4.9| 4.9| 5.4| 5.9| 4.4  |
| Mean                 | 2.9| 3.2| 3.5| 3.8| 4.1| 4.6| 4.8| 5.2| 5.7| -    |
| LSD₉⁰⁵                | SR=0.29 and SAP=0.35                    |
| CV, %                | 6.22                                     |

#### Damage seedling stroke⁻¹

| Seedling hill⁻¹ | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | Mean |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| 100             | 0.2| 0.2| 0.2| 0.7| 0.7| 0.9| 1.6| 2.0| 2.5| 1.0  |
| 120             | 0.5| 0.5| 0.5| 1.1| 2.0| 2.5| 2.9| 2.9| 3.6| 1.9  |
| 130             | 0.5| 0.5| 0.7| 1.8| 2.0| 2.5| 2.9| 3.2| 3.8| 2.0  |
| 140             | 0.7| 0.9| 0.7| 2.5| 2.5| 2.7| 3.4| 3.8| 4.5| 2.4  |
| 150             | 1.1| 1.1| 1.6| 2.9| 2.9| 2.9| 3.6| 4.3| 5.0| 2.9  |
| 160             | 0.8| 0.8| 1.1| 1.5| 1.7| 2.0| 2.1| 2.8| 4.0| 1.9  |
| Mean            | 0.7| 0.7| 0.8| 1.8| 2.0| 2.3| 2.8| 3.2| 3.9| -    |
| LSD₉⁰⁵          | SR=0.08 and SAP=0.10 and SR × SAP=0.25  |
| CV, %           | 7.77                                     |

#### Percentage of missing hill

| Seedling hill⁻¹ | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | Mean |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| 100             | 26.4| 24.5| 22.5| 18.6| 18.6| 16.6| 18.6| 15.7| 13.7| 19.5 |
| 120             | 22.4| 20.4| 19.5| 18.5| 15.6| 14.6| 13.6| 10.7| 9.7 | 16.1 |
| 130             | 15.6| 14.6| 13.6| 11.7| 7.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 10.1 |
| 140             | 12.7| 11.7| 10.7| 9.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 8.4  |
| 150             | 12.7| 12.7| 9.7 | 9.7 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 8.1  |
| 160             | 13.6| 13.6| 8.8 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 8.7  |
| Mean            | 17.2| 16.3| 14.1| 12.8| 10.6| 10.0| 9.9 | 8.2 | 7.2 | -    |
| LSD₉⁰⁵          | SR=0.13 and SAP=0.15 and SR × SAP=0.38  |
| CV, %           | 1.97                                      |

Note: SR=Seed rate, V=Variety and SAP=Seedling adjustment option.
Table 13. Transplanter performance as affected by seed rates of BRRI dhan28 and seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter.

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------|
|                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |      |
| 100                  | 2.7| 2.9| 3.2| 3.3| 3.7| 3.9| 4.0| 4.7| 5.2| 3.7 |
| 120                  | 3.2| 3.5| 3.8| 4.0| 4.5| 4.8| 5.2| 5.7| 5.6| 4.5 |
| 130                  | 3.3| 3.7| 4.0| 4.2| 4.6| 5.0| 5.4| 5.9| 6.5| 4.7 |
| 140                  | 3.3| 3.6| 3.8| 4.2| 4.4| 4.9| 5.3| 5.9| 6.4| 4.7 |
| 150                  | 3.9| 4.3| 4.6| 5.0| 5.4| 5.7| 6.3| 6.7| 7.5| 5.5 |
| 160                  | 3.8| 4.2| 4.3| 4.9| 5.3| 5.4| 6.2| 6.5| 7.3| 5.3 |
| Mean                 | 3.4| 3.7| 4.0| 4.3| 4.7| 5.0| 5.4| 5.9| 6.4| -   |
| LSDₐ₀.₀₅            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 0.05 |
| CV, %                |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 5.39 |

**Damage seedling stroke¹**

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------|
|                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |      |
| 100                  | 0.2| 0.5| 0.5| 0.5| 0.7| 0.9| 0.9| 1.4| 1.9| 0.9 |
| 120                  | 0.5| 0.9| 1.2| 0.7| 1.2| 1.6| 1.9| 2.1| 2.8| 1.4 |
| 130                  | 0.7| 0.9| 1.4| 1.4| 1.4| 2.1| 2.1| 2.6| 3.0| 1.8 |
| 140                  | 0.9| 1.2| 1.6| 1.6| 1.4| 2.3| 2.6| 1.6| 4.0| 1.9 |
| 150                  | 0.9| 1.2| 1.6| 1.8| 1.8| 2.3| 2.6| 3.0| 4.0| 2.2 |
| 160                  | 0.7| 1.0| 1.4| 1.7| 1.4| 3.1| 2.4| 3.1| 4.6| 2.2 |
| Mean                 | 0.7| 1.0| 1.3| 1.3| 1.3| 2.1| 2.1| 2.3| 3.4| -   |
| LSDₐ₀.₀₅            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 0.044 |
| CV, %                |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 4.73 |

**Percentage of missing hill**

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------|
|                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |      |
| 100                  | 24.3| 21.4| 20.4| 17.5| 17.5| 14.6| 14.6| 13.6| 11.7| 17.3 |
| 120                  | 20.4| 19.4| 20.4| 17.5| 14.6| 12.6| 11.7| 10.7| 8.8| 15.1 |
| 130                  | 15.6| 14.6| 12.7| 11.7| 7.8 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 10.0 |
| 140                  | 11.7| 10.7| 10.7| 9.7 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 7.9  |
| 150                  | 12.7| 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.7 | 3.9 | 7.4  |
| 160                  | 11.7| 12.7| 8.8 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 7.8  |
| Mean                 | 16.1| 14.8| 13.8| 12.3| 10.1| 9.2 f| 8.4 g| 7.5 | 6.0 | -    |
| LSDₐ₀.₀₅            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 0.095 |
| CV, %                |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1.62 |

Note: SR=Seed rate and SAP=Seedling adjustment options.

Table 14. Transplanter performance as affected by seed rates of BRRI dhan50 and seedling adjustment options of the transplanter.

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------|
|                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |      |
| 100                  | 3.0| 3.3| 3.6| 3.9| 4.1| 4.4| 4.8| 5.3| 5.8| 4.2 |
| 120                  | 3.2| 3.6| 3.8| 4.0| 4.4| 5.2| 5.2| 5.7| 6.3| 4.6 |
| 130                  | 3.5| 3.8| 4.2| 4.5| 4.9| 5.2| 5.6| 6.1| 6.7| 5.0 |
| 140                  | 3.5| 3.8| 4.1| 4.4| 4.8| 5.1| 5.5| 6.2| 6.7| 4.9 |
| 150                  | 3.7| 4.1| 4.5| 4.8| 5.1| 5.6| 6.0| 6.5| 7.2| 5.3 |
| 160                  | 4.1| 4.5| 4.9| 5.2| 5.6| 6.1| 6.6| 7.2| 7.9| 5.8 |
| Mean                 | 3.5| 3.9| 4.2| 4.5| 4.8| 5.3| 5.6| 6.2| 6.8| -   |
| LSDₐ₀.₀₅            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 0.313 |
| CV, %                |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 10.9 |

**Damage seedling stroke¹**

| Seed rate (g tray⁻¹) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------|
|                      | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  |      |
| 100                  | 0.5| 1.0| 1.0| 1.2| 1.4| 1.4| 1.9| 2.4| 2.8| 1.5 |
| 120                  | 1.0| 1.0| 1.0| 1.2| 1.4| 1.9| 1.9| 2.4| 2.8| 1.6 |
| 130                  | 1.0| 1.0| 1.4| 1.3| 1.4| 2.4| 2.4| 2.4| 2.8| 3.3 |
| 140                  | 1.0| 1.4| 1.4| 1.4| 1.4| 2.4| 2.4| 2.8| 3.3| 2.0 |
| 150                  | 1.0| 1.4| 1.4| 1.8| 1.9| 2.4| 2.4| 2.8| 3.3| 2.0 |

Effect of Seed Rate on Seedling Quality for Mechanical Rice Transplanting
Table 14. Continued.

| Seed rate (g tray\(^{-1}\)) | Seedling adjustment option | Mean |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
|                             | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   |
| 160                         | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| Mean                        | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 3.1 | -  |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 5.25|     |     |
| CV, %                       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 5.25|     |
| 100                         | 17.6| 14.7| 13.7| 11.7| 11.7| 12.7| 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.8 | 11.9|  
| 120                         | 14.7| 10.8| 10.4| 7.7 | 7.5 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 7.7 |
| 130                         | 12.7| 9.8 | 10.4| 7.8 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 7.3 |
| 140                         | 10.7| 9.8 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 6.4 |
| 150                         | 10.8| 8.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 6.2 |
| 160                         | 10.8| 8.8 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 4.9 |
| Mean                        | 12.9| 10.4| 10.0| 7.8 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.1 | -  |
| LSD\(_{0.05}\)              |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.9 |     |     |
| CV, %                       |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1.9 |     |

Note: SR=Seed rate and SAP=Seedling adjustment option

Percentage of missing hills decreased with the increase of seed rates and seedling adjustment options. Percentage of missing hills was maximum for the seed rates of 100 to 130 g and 1 to 3 seedling adjustment options. It was found optimum for the seed rates of 120 to 150 g and 4 to 7 seedling adjustment options.

DISCUSSION

Transplanting is the most widespread planting technique for rice production in Asia (IRRI, 2002). Mechanical transplanting becomes more and more popular recently because of labour crisis during transplanting period. To obtain high yield by the transplanting method, nursery culture is of prime importance to provide healthy and vigorous seeding. Optimization of seedling density was measured with the many parameters described as follows.

Number of seeds per unit area influenced directly with the seed rate in the tray. Thousand grain weight (TGW) and grain type also affected the number of seeds per unit area. For the same seed rate, number of seeds per unit area was observed more for BRRI dhan50 and less for BR3 because of more TGW of BR3 (27.75 g) and less of BRRI dhan50 (19.64 g). Seed density varied significantly with the same seed rate for different types of varieties. Agri-Facts (2007) noticed that seed size and the TGW vary from one crop to another, between varieties of the same crop and even from year to year or from field to field of the same variety.

Seedlings per unit area depend on seed rate, germination percentage and survival rate of seedling. For indica rice, densities of 1.5 to 3 seedlings per 100 mm\(^2\) is required for minimizing the missing hills and maintain optimal number of seedlings hill\(^{-1}\) (CAME\(^{b}\), 2007). Seedling per unit area was observed statistically similar for the seed rate of 130, 140, 150 and 160 g tray\(^{-1}\) of BR3 grain (2.0 to 2.2 number cm\(^{-2}\)) and BRRI dhan28 (2.3 to 2.6 number cm\(^{-2}\)) because higher seed rate might be increased the mortality percentage of seedling whereas the lowest seedling per unit area was observed for 100 g (1.7 to 2.2 number cm\(^{-2}\)) followed by 120 g (1.9 to 2.3 number cm\(^{-2}\)) seed rate for the same varieties. In case of BRRI dhan50, seedlings per unit area were not varied significantly with the seed rate of 100 to 160 g per tray (2.2 to 2.7 number cm\(^{-2}\)). The six different seed rate produced recommended seedling density of BRRI dhan50 because of less TGW.
In BR3, percentage of effective seedling was reduced from 77 to 56% with the increase of seed rate from 100g to 160g tray$^{-1}$ which was reduced from 74 to 57% for BRRI dhan28 and 71 to 54% for BRRI dhan50. Percentages of the seedlings emerged from the sown seeds decreased with the increase of seed rate irrespective of the variety for increasing the rate of mortality with the increasing seed density. Considering the number of seedlings hill$^{-1}$ and cost of saving, 140 g tray$^{-1}$ of short and bold grain (cv. BR3), 130 g tray$^{-1}$ for medium and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan28) and 120 g tray$^{-1}$ for extra-long and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan50) can be recommended for farmers.

Seedling characteristics were measured in terms of seedling length, number of leaf, stem thickness, shoot dry weight, root-shoot ratio and seedling strength. BRRI dhan50 gave the highest seedling height due to genotypic cause. Seedling height also varied with the seed rate. BR3, BRRI dhan28 and BRRI dhan50 demonstrated higher seedling height for the seed rate of 120 g (109.2 mm), 130 g (111.4 mm) and 100 g (123.2 mm), respectively. Based on appropriate seedling density, seed rate of 140 g for BR3, 130 g for BRRI dhan28 and 120 g for BRRI dhan50 gave seedling height of 180.1, 111.4 and 119.2 mm respectively. Dhananchezhiyan et al. (2013) found maximum seedling height 170.6 mm under different organic soil media whereas optimum seedling height was 120 mm (CAME$^a$, 2007).

About three leaves stage and 120 to 150 mm height seedlings are required for machine transplanting (Kitagawa et al., 2004 and Manjunatha et al., 2009). Leaf number of the raised seedling decreased with the increase of seed irrespective of variety, which was 2.4 to 1.7, 1.9 to 1.5 and 1.9 to 1.5 for BR3, BRRI dhan28 and BRRI dhan50 respectively. Stem thickness and shoot dry weight also decreased whereas root-shoot ratio and seedling strength increased with the increase of seed rate because of seedling density increased with the increase of seed rate that stunted the seedling resulting thin seedling. Shoot dry weight reduced with the thickness of the seedling, however seedling strength increased because of more dry matter per unit length.

Seedling per hill varied with seedling density on seedling mat and pre-setting of seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter during mechanical transplanting. It also depends on the rice ecosystem, planting technique, seed quality and rice variety. Mechanical transplanter damaged some seedlings during transplanting and hence more seedlings hill$^{-1}$ required than that of recommended seedling. Based on 4-6 seedlings hill$^{-1}$ and 5 to 7 seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter, optimum seed rate 140 to 160 g for short and bold grain (BR3), 130 to 150 g for medium and slender grain (BRRI dhan28) and 120 to 140 g for extra-long and slender grain (BRRI dhan50) were identified for mechanical transplanting of different types of grains. IRRI (2007) noticed that in most of the countries, farmers’ plant 2-3 seedlings hill$^{-1}$. For mechanical transplanting, seedlings hill$^{-1}$ should be maintained 2-4 to obtain optimum plant population (Kumar et al., 2012 and Kamboj et al., 2013). Ramasamy et al. (1987) found that yield decreased with the increase of number of seedlings hill$^{-1}$ more of 4 seedlings. Seed rate of mat type seedling in a tray should be 130-150 g resulting into seedling the planting density of 3-5 seedlings hill$^{-1}$ (Hisashi et al., 2004). Behera et al. (2007) also stated that number of seedlings hill$^{-1}$ should be more than 2.65 for better performance of the rice transplanter.

Damage of seedlings stroke$^{-1}$ varied with seedling density, seedlings hill$^{-1}$ and pre-setting of seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter because rotary picker damaged some seedling when passed through the seedling mat. It was also increased with
transplanting speed and seedling age (Behera et al., 2007). Interaction of seed rate and pre-seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed significant effect on seedling damage stroke of all types of varieties. Maximum seedling damage was observed for 140-160 g seed rate of all types of varieties with the 8-9 seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter. For the recommended seed rate based on seedlings hill, pre-setting of seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter obtained 5-7 for BR3 (140-160 g), 5-6 for BRRI dhan28 (130-150 g) and 4-7 for BRRI dhan50 (120-140) for moderate rate of seedling damage per stroke. Damaged seedling increased with the increase of both seed rate and pre-setting adjustment options of the rice transplanter because of increasing seedling density and picker contract area during seedling collection from mat respectively.

Interaction effect of seed rate and pre-setting seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter showed significant effect on percentage of missing hills. It was observed that percentage of missing hills decrease with the increase of seed rate and seedling adjustment options because of seedling density and more seedling released with the increase of seedling adjustment options. The missing hills decreased from 13.32 to 7.65% with the increasing of seeding rate from 60 to 100 g per tray (Alizadeh et al., 2011).

Maximum percentage of missing hills (12-26%) was observed for 100-130 g seed rate and 1-3 pre-setting of seedling adjustment options of the rice transplanter because of less seedling density and less number of seedling realized by the rotary picker. Contrary to, 140-160 g seed rate with 5-7 seedling adjustment options for BR3, 130-150 g seed rate with 5-6 options also for BRRI dhan28 and 120-150 g seed rate with 4-7 options for BRRI dhan50 gave 5-7% missing hills because of more seedling density and more seedlings realized by the picker. Behere et al. (2007) stated that the missing hill was not due to the transplanter but due to the non-uniformity of seedling in the mat.

CONCLUSION

Based on missing hills and number of seedlings hill-1, 140 g of seeds tray-1 for short and bold grain (cv. BR3) under the seedling adjustment option of 5 to 7, 130 g of seeds tray-1 for medium and slender grain (cv. BRRI dhan28) under the option of 5 to 7 also and 120 g of seeds tray-1 for extra-long and slender paddy (BRRI dhan50) under the option of 4 to 7 was found suitable to get optimum seedlings per hill and minimize missing hills.
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