Exposure to heavy metals causes histopathological changes and alters antioxidant enzymes in fresh water fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*)
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**Abstract**

Expansion in technology, development in industries and extensive applications of synthetic chemical have become major regulatory issues toward the public health, wildlife and aquatic ecosystems. Therefore, the monitoring and investigation of environmental contaminants in order to mitigate the adverse impacts is crucial to save public health and aquatic wildlife. The present study describes the deleterious effects of heavy metals at low levels in fresh water fish. A total of 120 fish of same age and body mass were arbitrarily distributed and allocated into eight groups (A-H). Group A was run parallel to other groups and maintained as control group. Collected data was analyzed by using Tukey’s Test. Results indicated various clinical ailments including erratic swimming and jerking movement. Microscopic observation of gills, liver and brain tissues showed different histopathological changes in fish exposed to various heavy metals. The antioxidant enzymes activities were increased or decreased in a concentration and enzyme dependent manner. Exposure of treatments showed significant increase in peroxidase and glutathione-s-transferase but decreased catalase and superoxide dismutase activity at higher exposure to heavy metals. Regarding overall toxicity, nickel was least sensitive towards the fish, but tertiary metal mixture was more toxic to fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) followed by binary mixture. In conclusion, results of our study suggested that the exposure to different heavy metals even at low concentrations poses serious concern towards their potential danger to the survival and growth of fish and induces deleterious effects in gills, brain and liver tissues.

**Keywords**: Heavy Metals, *Oreochromis niloticus*, Liver, Antioxidant Enzymes, Histopathology

**How to cite this:**
Rehma T, Naz S, Hussain R, Chatha AMM, Ahmad F, Yamin A, Akram R, Naz H and Shaheen A, 2021. Exposure to heavy metals causes histopathological changes and alters antioxidant enzymes in fresh water fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Asian J. Agric. Biol. 2021(1). DOI: [https://doi.org/10.35495/ajab.2020.03.143](https://doi.org/10.35495/ajab.2020.03.143)

*Corresponding author email: dr.riaz.hussain@iub.edu.pk*
Introduction

Aquatic organisms are frequently used for toxicity tests, organizing and protecting aquaculture environment (Ghaffar et al., 2019; Ghaffar et al., 2020). Recently, aquatic pollution has increased due to indiscriminate and extensive use of primary nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus. Different human activities are responsible for the increased rate of pollutants in the aquatic environment in association with industrial chemicals, pesticides and heavy metals. Due to the large concentration of organic pollutants in the aquatic environment, the amount of oxygen reduces and leads to increase rate of mortality and normal functioning of exposed aquatic organisms. Investigation and exact information about the toxic effects related to health of aquatic organisms, survival rate and random variations in physicochemical conditions of an organism is of vital importance (Naz et al., 2020).

Aquatic organisms including fish, plants, invertebrates, and vertebrates are the important assets of nature which overcome the huge stress produced by anthropogenic activities of human beings (Varsha et al., 2017). Studies have shown that the aquatic environment is mostly polluted by the industrial effluents, household activities and agriculture runoff (Ghaffar et al., 2018a; Hussain et al., 2019; Ghaffar et al., 2020) which causes the ecotoxicological effects and major environmental health issues (Bhattacharya, 2016; Ghaffar et al., 2018b). It is recorded that transfer of toxic substances including, drug residues, heavy metal, insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and various other industrial wastes to humans occurs via consumption of contaminated agricultural products, sediments, zooplankton, phytoplankton, aquatic weeds and fishes (Monferrán et al., 2016). Aquatic organisms like fish are very delicate species among the invertebrates and exhibit almost all the physical and biochemical changes due to exposure of toxic chemicals and are frequently used as the warning tool to monitor the normal status of aquatic ecosystem. Fish are the most important and well known species among various organisms in the aquatic food chain (Taweel et al., 2011) due to their ability to concentrate, metabolize and absorption of waterborne harmful materials. Aquatic organisms are very sensitive to the higher exposure of metals due to their ability to produce the highly reactive oxygen species by oxidative stress (Nishida, 2011; Sevcikova et al., 2011; Karra et al., 2015). The mechanism toxicity of metal expressed as the production of reactive radicals of oxygen which interacts with the nuclei, proteins and lipids acids resulting in the genetic, cellular and metabolic diseases which cause the death of the living being (Kurutas et al., 2009; Sunaina and Ansari, 2016). The metabolic, cellular and hereditary responses occur due to the oxidative stresses. The antioxidant can stop the oxidative stress produced due to the harmful materials (Al-Asgah et al., 2015). In fishes, the antioxidant defense mechanisms depend on the low molecular weight and enzyme system antioxidants. Exposure to heavy metals can cause the dose and time-dependent oxidative stresses in the fishes due to the high production of reactive oxygen species, high level of peroxidases and low level of glutathione in the fishes (Maran et al., 2009). Previous studies have indicated different harmful effects of different heavy metals and toxicants like reduced growth, altered immune response, induction of oxidative stress, genotoxic effects, and biochemical variations (Ajani and Akpoilih, 2010; Faheem et al., 2012; Ghaffar et al., 2016; Sattar et al., 2016 and Ghaffar et al., 2019). Therefore, this study was designed to determine the various biomarkers including activities of antioxidant enzymes in various tissues of fresh water fish (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to different heavy metals.

Material and Methods

Experimental site

All the research work of this experiment was conducted at Toxicology Laboratory of Zoology Department, Government Sadiq College Women University, Bahawalpur, Pakistan. The experimental trial was designed to check the deleterious effects of nickel, chromium and lead alone and with their possible potential mixtures on freshwater fish (Oreochromis niloticus).

Laboratory conditions and experimental fish management

Fish (n=120) were purchased from local fish breeding center and were acclimatized to laboratory condition for time period of 14 days in a natural photoperiod of 12:12 h light and dark cycle before the start of experiment. For acclimatization purpose, the test specimens were kept in glass aquarium having about 100 L water separately supplemented with oxygenators to provide suitable amount of oxygen. During acclimatization, the fish were fed on...
commercial pellets (25-30 % protein). Water quality parameters including pH (7.25), temperature (28-30°C) and hardness (229mgL⁻¹) were measured prior to start of research trial. Water of the entire glass aquarium was replaced twice every 24 h with routine cleaning of aquaria to prevent accumulation of wastes and decaying food particles. The body wet weight of each fish and total length was measured after collection. The fish used in this study weighed between 70 to 100 grams.

Table 1. Physico-chemical analysis of water for experimental fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*

| Parameters                          | Values     |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Water temperature (°C)              | 25.9 ± 0.45|
| Electrical conductivity at 25°(μmhos/cm) | 411.3 ± 3.15|
| Dissolved oxygen (mg/L)             | 7.89 ± 0.13|
| Alkalinity (mg/L)                   | 171.5 ± 1.43|
| Total dissolved solid (mg/L)        | 173.9 ± 4.34|
| Ph                                  | 7.3 ± 0.07 |
| Total hardness (CaCO₃, mg/L)        | 169.88 ± 1.73|
| Potassium (mg/L)                    | 1.61 ± 0.13 |
| Sodium (mg/L)                       | 11.8 ± 0.33 |

Test chemicals and preparation of stock solutions

The heavy metals used in this experiment were received as metallic salts. These chemicals were of analytical grades. Heavy metals like lead as PbCl₂ (molecular weight 278.11g/mol and purity 99.5%), Chromium (molecular weight 266.458g/mol and purity 99.5%) and Nickel (molecular weight 129.60g/mol, purity 99.95%) were purchased from local market. From all the metal stock solutions were prepared by dissolving the chemicals in deionized water and then diluted to make required concentrations.

Acute toxicity tests (96-hr)

Acute toxicity tests were determined with three replicates for fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) for individual, binary and tertiary mixtures of metals (Table 2).

Experimental groups

After acclimatization period of 14 days, fish were randomly divided into eight equal groups (A-H). Each group had 15 fish (n=15). Group A served as control group. Fish were exposed to nickel, chromium and lead at various concentrations for a period of 30 days. Five fish from each group were killed at day 30 of exposure to determine the histopathological and antioxidant enzyme activity. Fish of all groups were carefully observed for any physical and behavioral ailments twice daily.

Table 2. Severity of histopathological in liver, gills and brain of fresh water fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) exposed to various concentrations of heavy metals.

| Histopathological Alterations | Groups |
|-------------------------------|--------|
|                               | A(control) | B(Ni) | C(Cr) | D(Pb) | E(Ni+Cr) | F(Cr+Pb) | G(Pd+Ni) | H(Ni+Cr+Pb) |
| Gills                         |          |      |      |      |          |          |          |             |
| Lamellar atrophy              | -        | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Lamellar disorganization       | ++       | +    | ++   | +++  | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Epithelial necrosis           | -        | +    | ++   | ++   | ++       | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Congestion                    | -        | ++   | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Lamellar fusion               | ++       | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Liver                         |          |      |      |      |          |          |          |             |
| Karyorrhexis                  | -        | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Nuclear hypertrophy           | ++       | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Hepatocellular hypertrophy    | -        | +    | ++   | +++  | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Eosinophilic infiltration     | -        | ++   | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Central Vein contraction      | ++       | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Brain                         |          |      |      |      |          |          |          |             |
| Necrosis of neurons           | -        | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Intracellular oedema          | -        | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |
| Congestion of neural cells    | +        | +    | +    | +    | +++      | +++      | +++      | +++         |

Absent (−); Mild (+); moderate (++); severe (+++); very severe (++++)
Enzyme profiling

For estimation of various antioxidant enzymes, different body tissues of fish like gills, liver, and brain were removed and stored in bottles containing ice-cold water. Briefly, for removal of erythrocytes, all the organs were washed using phosphate buffer solution having 6.5 pH. After that all the organs were triturated using 0.2 mL normal saline and then homogenate was prepared (1:4 w/v) by adding cold buffer. The homogenate from each tissue was centrifuged (10000 rpm) at 4°C for 15 minutes. After centrifugation, the supernatants were removed and placed at -80°C for enzyme profiling. By using UV-visible spectrophotometer, different antioxidant enzymes were such as peroxidase (POD), glutathione-s-transferase (GST), catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were measured according to previous protocols (Mannervik, 1985; Giannopolitis and Ries, 1977). The quantity of peroxidase was measured as previously described (Civello et al., 1995). The activity of catalase was determined at a wavelength of 240 nm (Chance and Maehly, 1955).

Histopathological studies

For histopathological lesions gills, liver and brain tissues were collected from each fish and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution (Hussain et al., 2011; Li et al., 2020). After few days of fixation, all the tissues were processed using standard procedures. About 4-5 μm thick pieces from each tissue were cut with semi-automatic microtome, embedded in paraffin, mounted in DPX and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Hussain et al., 2019).

Statistical analysis

The data obtained during the experiments were subjected to ANOVA and the group means were compared by using Tukey’s test.

Results

Results on physical and behavioral parameters showed different abnormalities in treated fish including tremors of fins, erratic swimming, jerking movement, lying on one side, loss of coordination, air gulping, and increased surface breathing and swimming in isolation. No adverse signs were observed in fish of control group.

At histopathological levels liver, gills and brain of fish of control group indicated normal histological structures while various microscopic ailments were observed liver, gills and brain tissues of fish exposed to individual metals and in various mixtures (binary and tertiary mixture). The gills exhibited moderate to severe histopathological changes like disorganization of primary and secondary lamellae, fusion of lamellae, necrosis of epithelial cells and disruption of cartilaginous core in due to exposure to nickel alone. The severity of these changes was increased when fish were exposed to tertiary mixture. The mild to moderate histological changes in brain of the fish were vacuolization and necrosis due to individual exposure. Severe pathological changes observed in the brain of fish exposed to binary and tertiary mixtures such as degeneration of nerve cells, atrophy, swelling of the axon, and cellular damage in the interior and posterior regions were observed. The most damage occurred in the fish exposed to tertiary mixture of nickel, chromium and lead in binary mixtures but least damage occurred when exposed to metals alone. Histopathological changes like central vein congestion, degeneration and necrosis of hepatocytes were also observed in liver of different fish (Table 3).

Table 3. Mean LC_{50} and lethal concentrations of metals and their mixtures for Oreochromis niloticus at three replicates

| Metals      | LC_{50} concentration | Lethal concentration |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|             | R1 | R2 | R3 | Mean ± SD | R1 | R2 | R3 | Mean ± SD |
| A (Ni)      | 87.11 | 82.59 | 82.39 | 84.03±2.67 | 170.52 | 166.81 | 166.78 | 168.1±2.1 |
| B(Cr)       | 68.44 | 70.92 | 71.77 | 70.38±1.73 | 133.13 | 127.85 | 134.56 | 131.8±3.5 |
| C(Pb)       | 58.80 | 63.29 | 63.55 | 61.88±2.67 | 126.56 | 123.61 | 111.63 | 120.6±7.9 |
| D(Ni+Cr)    | 63.47 | 60.04 | 60.67 | 61.39±1.83 | 134.93 | 137.78 | 134.80 | 135.8±1.6 |
| E(Cr+Pb)    | 54.46 | 58.54 | 61.68 | 58.89±2.63 | 111.68 | 115.68 | 112.85 | 113.4±2.1 |
| F(Pb+Ni)    | 65.65 | 71.13 | 66.14 | 67.64±1.83 | 131.90 | 129.30 | 134.57 | 131.9±1.8 |
| G(Ni+Cr+Pb) | 53.32 | 53.52 | 51.32 | 52.72±1.22 | 138.14 | 124.98 | 146.41 | 136.5±10.8 |

R1=1st replication; R2=2nd replication; R3=3rd replication
Antioxidant enzyme activities
The results on different antioxidant enzymes in different tissues including liver, gills and brain of fish exposed to various concentrations of heavy metals are presented in (tables 4-6). The results indicated that the quantity of antioxidant enzymes catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) significantly decreased in gills, liver and brain tissues obtained from fish exposed to individual and their binary and tertiary mixtures when compared to untreated fish increased. The quantity of peroxidase (POD) and Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) increased significantly in gills, liver and brain tissues of treated fish compared to untreated fish.

Table-4. Changes in the quantity (UmL⁻¹) of different antioxidant enzymes in liver of fish (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to heavy metals Changes in the activity levels (UmL⁻¹) of enzymes

| Groups/ Treatment | Exposure Level (mg/L) | Catalase (CAT) | Peroxidase (POD) | Superoxide dismutase (SOD) | Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5% of LC₅₀        |                       |                |                  |                           |                               |
| A (Control)       | 0.00                  | 50.83±0.65b    | 0.21±0.06        | 38.21±0.69                | 40.05±2.09                    |
| B(Ni)             | 4.20                  | 31.88±1.46*    | 0.26±0.02*       | 25.27±0.44*               | 48.54±0.93*                   |
| C(Cr)             | 3.51                  | 30.22±0.50*    | 0.26±0.02*       | 31.78±0.69*               | 51.38±0.96*                   |
| D(Pb)             | 3.09                  | 41.48±0.80*    | 0.24±0.01*       | 40.85±0.348               | 50.37±0.75*                   |
| E(Ni+Cr)          | 3.06                  | 41.48±0.93**   | 0.25±0.01*       | 48.24±0.32**              | 52.78±1.04*                   |
| F(Cr+Pb)          | 2.94                  | 51.36±0.45**   | 0.24±0.02*       | 50.52±0.60**              | 64.74±0.53**                  |
| G(Pb+Ni)          | 3.38                  | 47.32±0.88**   | 0.32±0.01**      | 31.90±0.59*               | 61.51±0.928**                 |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)       | 2.63                  | 51.36±0.57**   | 0.29±0.0*        | 50.22±0.40**              | 67.82±0.57**                  |
| 7% of LC₅₀        |                       |                |                  |                           |                               |
| A (Control)       | 0.00                  | 50.83±0.65     | 0.22±0.06        | 38.21±0.69                | 40.05±2.09                    |
| B(Ni)             | 5.88                  | 26.48±0.67*    | 0.27±0.01*       | 20.65±0.29*               | 48.66±0.39*                   |
| C(Cr)             | 4.92                  | 24.83±0.74*    | 0.28±0.02        | 25.10±0.29*               | 48.24±0.33*                   |
| D(Pb)             | 4.33                  | 38.76±1.25**   | 0.28±0.01*       | 28.44±0.27*               | 59.24±0.57**                  |
| E(Ni+Cr)          | 4.29                  | 38.75±0.85**   | 0.34±0.01**      | 32.04±0.45*               | 67.89±0.2**                   |
| F(Cr+Pb)          | 4.12                  | 38.88±0.93**   | 0.33±0.01**      | 33.46±0.01*               | 75.04±0.42**                  |
| G(Pb+Ni)          | 4.73                  | 39.70±1.37**   | 0.39±0.02**      | 27.87±0.74*               | 50.12±0.11*                   |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)       | 3.69                  | 39.76±1.16**   | 0.41±0.01**      | 15.31±0.87**              | 78.17±0.47**                  |
| 9% of LC₅₀        |                       |                |                  |                           |                               |
| A (Control)       | 0.00                  | 50.83±0.65     | 0.22±0.06        | 38.21±0.69                | 40.05±2.09                    |
| B(Ni)             | 7.56                  | 21.28±1.07*    | 0.38±0.03*       | 11.88±0.43*               | 56.51±0.97*                   |
| C(Cr)             | 6.33                  | 18.03±0.39*    | 0.34±0.01*       | 12.66±0.69*               | 53.70±1.18*                   |
| D(Pb)             | 5.56                  | 22.08±2.33*    | 0.51±0.01**      | 17.26±0.34**              | 70.91±0.67**                  |
| E(Ni+Cr)          | 5.52                  | 22.06±0.21*    | 0.52±0.02**      | 27.83±0.76**              | 79.04±0.70**                  |
| F(Cr+Pb)          | 5.30                  | 26.41±0.68**   | 0.61±0.01**      | 28.63±0.48**              | 72.43±1.12**                  |
| G(Pb+Ni)          | 6.08                  | 24.62±1.22**   | 0.34±0.02*       | 14.70±0.93*               | 61.11±0.62**                  |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)       | 4.74                  | 30.32±1.37**   | 0.63±0.01**      | 31.49±1.20**              | 88.95±0.18**                  |

Mean values with asterisk (*) and (**) in each column are statistically significantly different from control and each other.
## Table-5. Changes in the quantity (UmL⁻¹) of different antioxidant enzymes in gills of fish (Oreochromis niloticus) exposed to heavy metals Changes in the activity levels (UmL⁻¹) of enzymes

| Groups/Treatment | Exposure Level (mg/L) | Catalase (CAT) | Peroxidase (POD) | Superoxide dismutase (SOD) | Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| **5% of LC<sub>50</sub>** |                      |               |                 |                           |                                 |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                 | 47.54±1.12    | 0.10±0.01       | 36.27±0.65                | 32.59±1.03                      |
| B(Ni)            | 4.20                 | 30.21±1.28*   | 0.17±0.02*      | 23.14±0.40*               | 37.54±0.5*2                     |
| C(Cr)            | 3.51                 | 25.62±0.75*   | 0.16±0.01*      | 29.55±0.28*               | 40.76±0.63*                     |
| D(Pb)            | 3.09                 | 38.40±0.40**  | 0.21±0.01*      | 38.75±0.66**              | 42.54±1.02*                     |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 3.06                 | 38.40±1.07*   | 0.22±0.02**     | 45.60±0.65**              | 44.37±0.96*                     |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 2.94                 | 47.70±0.46**  | 0.24±0.02**     | 47.58±0.53**              | 52.53±0.54**                    |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 3.38                 | 43.63±1.28**  | 0.27±0.01**     | 42.20±0.89**              | 38.96±0.23*                     |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 2.63                 | 46.33±0.59**  | 0.31±0.01**     | 48.31±0.55**              | 60.71±0.45**                    |
| **7% of LC<sub>50</sub>** |                      |               |                 |                           |                                 |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                 | 47.54±1.12    | 0.18±0.01       | 36.27±0.65                | 32.59±1.03                      |
| B(Ni)            | 5.88                 | 24.70±1.01*   | 0.26±0.02*      | 18.40±0.52*               | 39.91±0.54*                     |
| C(Cr)            | 4.92                 | 21.21±0.98*   | 0.20±0.01*      | 21.79±0.43*               | 37.47±0.44*                     |
| D(Pb)            | 4.33                 | 35.48±0.16*   | 0.34±0.02*      | 26.85±0.61*               | 50.07±0.25**                    |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 4.29                 | 35.48±0.88*   | 0.31±0.01*      | 29.90±0.49*               | 52.44±0.38**                    |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 4.12                 | 35.70±0.35*   | 0.32±0.01*      | 31.77±0.40*               | 63.00±0.58**                    |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 4.73                 | 36.77±0.94*   | 0.29±0.02**     | 25.15±0.70*               | 40.44±0.39*                     |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 3.69                 | 36.80±1.05*   | 0.55±0.01**     | 34.88±1.02*               | 70.91±0.29**                    |
| **9% of LC<sub>50</sub>** |                      |               |                 |                           |                                 |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                 | 47.54±1.12    | 0.17±0.01       | 36.27±0.65                | 32.59±1.03                      |
| B(Ni)            | 7.56                 | 17.70±0.75*   | 0.30±0.01*      | 10.99±0.23*               | 49.53±0.73*                     |
| C(Cr)            | 6.33                 | 14.78±0.85*   | 0.31±0.01*      | 12.06±0.66*               | 40.17±0.89*                     |
| D(Pb)            | 5.56                 | 22.86±0.45*   | 0.45±0.01**     | 14.67±0.61*               | 60.47±0.69**                    |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 5.52                 | 22.85±0.64*   | 0.43±0.02**     | 24.79±1.27**              | 59.92±5.18                      |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 5.30                 | 29.13±0.74**  | 0.53±0.02**     | 27.84±0.53**              | 72.43±0.56**                    |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 6.08                 | 23.18±0.96*   | 0.26±0.01**     | 12.54±0.73*               | 56.54±1.25**                    |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 4.74                 | 23.27±1.00*   | 0.11±0.02**     | 12.45±0.73*               | 56.54±1.25**                    |

Mean values with asterisk (*) and (**) in each column are statistically significantly different from control and each other.
Table 6. Changes in the quantity (UmL\(^{-1}\)) of different antioxidant enzymes in brain of fish (*Oreochromis niloticus*) exposed to heavy metals

| Groups/Treatment | Exposure Level (mg/L) | Catalase (CAT) | Peroxidase (POD) | Superoxide dismutase (SOD) | Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **5% of LC\(_{50}\)** |                       |               |                 |                           |                                |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                  | 55.14±0.74    | 0.06±0.01       | 31.15±0.15                | 28.95±1.03                     |
| B(Ni)            | 4.20                  | 36.09±0.44*   | 0.16±0.01*      | 20.25±0.35*               | 33.02±0.98*                    |
| C(Cr)            | 3.51                  | 30.59±0.57*   | 0.17±0.01*1     | 25.10±0.77*               | 38.99±0.79*                    |
| D(Pb)            | 3.09                  | 42.03±0.34*   | 0.16±0.01*      | 36.18±0.46*               | 43.49±0.59**                   |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 3.06                  | 42.03±0.59*   | 0.18±0.02*      | 43.95±0.73**              | 45.48±1.04**                   |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 2.94                  | 45.20±0.88    | 0.26±0.01**     | 43.74±0.57**              | 49.74±1.25**                   |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 3.38                  | 46.40±0.55    | 0.30±0.01**     | 40.86±0.61**              | 39.57±0.60**                   |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 2.63                  | 43.40±1.05    | 0.28±0.01**     | 45.00±1.25**              | 53.70±0.57**                   |
| **7% of LC\(_{50}\)** |                       |               |                 |                           |                                |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                  | 55.14±0.74    | 0.16±0.01       | 31.15±0.15                | 28.95±1.03                     |
| B(Ni)            | 5.88                  | 26.78±0.48*   | 0.25±0.02*      | 15.56±0.37*               | 39.26±0.90*                    |
| C(Cr)            | 4.92                  | 28.07±0.86*   | 0.29±0.01*      | 23.13±0.56*               | 38.24±0.93*                    |
| D(Pb)            | 4.33                  | 37.18±0.66**  | 0.26±0.01*      | 25.25±0.43*               | 43.13±0.83*                    |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 4.29                  | 37.18±0.76**  | 0.28±0.01**     | 25.58±0.35*               | 55.14±0.35**                   |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 4.12                  | 39.72±0.90**  | 0.31±0.02**     | 28.36±0.39*               | 83.37±0.73**                   |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 4.73                  | 38.07±0.51**  | 0.33±0.00**     | 26.22±0.70**              | 40.44±0.39**                   |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 3.69                  | 42.32±0.33**  | 0.32±0.01**     | 33.22±1.01**              | 64.55±0.23**                   |
| **9% of LC\(_{50}\)** |                       |               |                 |                           |                                |
| A (Control)      | 0.00                  | 55.14±0.74    | 0.15±0.01       | 31.15±0.15                | 28.95±1.03                     |
| B(Ni)            | 7.56                  | 18.81±0.41*   | 0.27±0.02*      | 7.91±0.23*                | 36.77±1.12*                    |
| C(Cr)            | 6.33                  | 20.21±0.72*   | 0.25±0.01*      | 10.63±0.71*               | 33.65±0.82*                    |
| D(Pb)            | 5.56                  | 26.17±0.48**  | 0.35±0.02**     | 14.44±0.87**              | 51.22±1.06**                   |
| E(Ni+Cr)         | 5.52                  | 26.17±0.63**  | 0.34±0.03**     | 19.85±0.23**              | 61.14±0.85**                   |
| F(Cr+Pb)         | 5.30                  | 28.42±0.78**  | 0.50±0.02**     | 24.52±0.98**              | 69.32±1.08**                   |
| G(Pb+Ni)         | 6.08                  | 25.84±1.00**  | 0.44±0.02**     | 24.14±0.57**              | 48.55±0.92**                   |
| H(Ni+Cr+Pb)      | 4.74                  | 32.54±1.38**  | 0.53±0.01**     | 26.14±0.60**              | 76.19±0.95**                   |

Mean values with asterisk (*) and (**) in each column are statistically significantly different from control and each other.
Figure-1. Photomicrograph of liver of fish exposed to heavy metal showing necrosis of hepatocytes (arrows) and vacuolar degeneration (arrow heads). H&E Stain; 400X

Figure-2. Photomicrograph of gills of fish exposed to heavy metals showing necrosis of epithelial cells of secondary lamellae (arrows), and degeneration and disruption of cartilaginous core (*) and disruption of primary lamellae (arrow heads). H&E Stain; 400X

Discussion

The aquatic ecosystem is routinely and extensively polluted due to persistent release of industrial wastes, extensive use of insecticides and pesticides in agriculture, veterinary practice and in public health management. Therefore, monitoring and investigation of deleterious impacts of various pollutants is of vital importance to mitigate their toxic effects in exposed organisms including human beings (Pyle and Wood, 2008; Sattar et al., 2016; Hussain et al., 2018 and Hussain et al., 2019). For measuring the adverse effects of various aquatic pollutants, fishes are considered as a good bio indicator and reflect all the abnormal signs even at very low level of toxicants in aquatic ecosystems (Ding et al., 2000; Ghazanfar et al., 2018 and Ghaffar et al., 2020). Studies have reported that the mechanisms toxicity of various heavy metals are still under debate and depends upon the exposure period, concentrations of metal, concentration of toxicants and their relative affinity, dissolved metals amount and their ratio (Balistrieri and Mebane, 2014). Moreover, it is reported that the bioaccumulation models are very helpful in overcoming the large exposures of heavy metals (Bray and Bettger, 1990). In present study different physical ailments observed in fish might be due to toxic effects of heavy metals including, oxidative damage, neurotoxicity and inflammatory responses (Gaetke and Chow, 2003; Javed et al., 2016; Ghaffar et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2018). Histopathological changes observed in liver, gills and brain tissues in our study could be due to rapid and increased generation of oxidative stress. Different histopathological changes in rats and broilers have also been observed due to heavy metals (Shahzad et al., 2012; Ghaffar et al., 2017). Previously it has been determined that due to generation of free radicals including reactive oxygen species, the status of is disrupted resulting in induction of structural and functions changes in exposed organisms (Poprac et al., 2017). The quantity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT) were significantly decreased in treated fish. Previously, it is reported that heavy metals like cadmium and copper reduced the performance of CAT in different tissues including heart, gills, and brains of African catfish (Fatima and Usmani, 2013). Moreover, due to chromium exposure, the lower performance of CAT in kidneys and gills of fish (Clarias batrachus) has also been reported (Arshad et al., 2018). The lower values of catalase in our study might be due to oxidative stress (Tripathi and Shasmal, 2011). Furthermore lower concentrations of CAT in various tissues of exposed fish could also be due to accumulation of H2O2 in the cells leading to poor performance of the cell (Tripathi
and Yadav, 2015). In present study concentrations of POD and GSH were significantly increased in heavy metal treated fish. Similar reports also available in fish due to heavy metal (Rajeshkumar et al., 2013). Previously it is observed that the activity of peroxidase enzyme increased in brain, liver, muscles, and gills tissues due to iron-treated fish. Due to the 30-day time exposure, significantly higher concentrations of glutathione-S-transferase enzyme have been recorded in brain, gills, and liver tissue due to heavy metals. The increased activity of hepatic GST enzyme due to the cadmium in fish (Oreochromis niloticus) has also been observed (Batoool et al., 2018). The significant lower concentrations of CAT and SOD due to binary and tertiary mixtures in gills, liver and brains of fish as compared to individual heavy metal can be related to interactions of metal ions leading to overproduction of free radicals and poor detoxification mechanisms (Lu et al., 2013). Previously, due to stress induced by toxicants, the poor defensive mechanism in fishes has been reported (Gultekin et al., 2000). As the liver tissue in animals is considered as the main organ of the body which helps in removal of toxins, excretion of wastes and metabolism of toxic substances is sensitive to exposure of toxicants (Sharbidre et al., 2011). Similar to our results regarding poor values of various antioxidants in different tissues of fish due to heavy metals have also been determined (Fatima and Usmani, 2013).

**Conclusion**

From the results of our experimental study, it can be augmented that even at very low concentration of individual heavy metals and their mixtures (binary and tertiary) disrupt the histo-architectures, alter the status of different antioxidant enzymes in gills, brain and liver tissues in fish.
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