The AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) is a highly conserved sensor of cellular energy that appears to have arisen at an early stage during eukaryotic evolution. In 2001 it was shown to be activated by metformin, currently the major drug for treatment for type 2 diabetes. Although the known metabolic effects of AMPK activation are consistent with the idea that it mediates some of the therapeutic benefits of metformin, as discussed below it now appears unlikely that AMPK is the sole target of the drug. AMPK is also activated by several natural plant products derived from traditional medicines, and the mechanisms by which they activate AMPK are discussed. One of these is salicylate, probably the oldest medicinal agent known to human-kind. The salicylate prodrug salsalate has been shown to improve metabolic parameters in subjects with insulin resistance and prediabetes, and whether this might be mediated in part by AMPK is discussed. Interestingly, there is evidence that both metformin and aspirin provide some protection against development of cancer in humans, and whether AMPK might be involved in these effects is also discussed. *Diabetes* 62:2164–2172, 2013

**AMP-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE: EVOLUTIONARY BACKGROUND**

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) was originally defined as a protein kinase from rat liver that phosphorylated and inactivated two key enzymes of mammalian fatty acid and sterol synthesis, i.e., acetyl-CoA carboxylase-1 (ACC1) and 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (1). Its activation requires phosphorylation by upstream kinases at a conserved threonine residue within the kinase domain (usually termed Thr-172 because of its position in the rat sequence [2]). Phosphorylation of this site and the major site phosphorylated on ACC1 (3), detected using phosphospecific antibodies, are now almost universally used as biomarkers to monitor AMPK activation.

AMPK exists as heterotrimers composed of a catalytic α subunit and regulatory β and γ subunits (4,5). In humans, each of these occurs as multiple isoforms encoded by distinct genes (Table 1) such that there are at least twelve possible heterotrimeric combinations. Genes encoding the three subunits are also readily recognized in the genomes of almost all eukaryotes, from single simple-celled protozoa to humans. Based on genetic studies in lower eukaryotes, the ancestral role of this conserved pathway appears to have been in the response to glucose starvation. The AMPK-signaling pathway represents a mechanism to respond to fluctuating glucose levels that appears to have evolved much earlier than the insulin-signaling pathway, which is only found in multicellular animals. Of particular interest, in the nematode worm *Caenorhabditis elegans* AMPK is required for the extension of life span that is observed in response to caloric restriction or to mutations that reduce the function of the insulin-signaling pathway (6,7).

**REGULATION OF AMPK BY ADENINE NUCLEOTIDES AND CALCIUM IONS**

The AMPK-γ subunits contain three sites that bind adenine nucleotides and confer the ability of the kinase to act as an energy sensor (8–10). In cells not subject to energetic stress, catabolism maintains the ATP:ADP ratio at around 10:1, and this drives the adenylate kinase reaction toward ADP synthesis (ATP + AMP → 2ADP), so that AMP concentrations are very low; the typical ratios of ATP:AMP: ADP in unstressed cells are around 100:10:1. The γ subunit sites appear to bind AMP, ADP, and ATP with similar affinity, but they preferentially bind free ATP\(^+\) rather than the Mg\(\text{ATP}^2\) complex (8). Because around 90% of ATP (but not ADP or AMP) is present as the magnesium complex, the cellular concentrations of total ADP and free ATP\(^+\) are comparable, allowing these nucleotides to compete with each other for binding to AMPK. Although the concentration of AMP is at least 10-fold lower than that of ADP or free ATP in unstressed cells, it rises markedly as the ADP:ATP ratio rises during energy stress, due to displacement of the adenylate kinase reaction toward AMP: 2ADP → ATP + AMP. Under these conditions, AMP should therefore be able to compete with ATP or ADP at the AMPK-γ subunit binding sites.

Binding of AMP or ADP (but not ATP) to the AMPK-γ subunit causes a conformational change that promotes phosphorylation of Thr-172 by upstream kinases while inhibiting dephosphorylation by upstream phosphatases (8,11,12). Stoichiometric phosphorylation of Thr-172 can cause >100-fold activation, although Thr-172 may only be partially phosphorylated in vivo even in cells experiencing metabolic stress. The effect of increased phosphorylation is amplified up to 10-fold further by allosteric activation, which is caused only by binding of AMP. This tripartite mechanism (Fig. 1) means that there can be large increases in AMPK activity in response to small increases in the AMP:ATP or ADP:ATP ratios.

The major upstream kinase that phosphorylates Thr-172 in mammalian cells was identified to be a complex containing the tumor suppressor kinase, liver kinase B1 (LKB1) (13–15), introducing a tantalizing link between AMPK and cancer, which is considered further below. LKB1 appears to be constitutively active, but its high basal activity is required for the effect of binding of ADP or AMP to the γ subunit on net Thr-172 phosphorylation to become evident (13). Thr-172 can also be phosphorylated by the...
Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin-dependent kinase kinases (CaMKKs), especially CaMKK\(\beta\) (16–18). This mechanism, which can act independently of changes in adenine nucleotides, is responsible for activation of AMPK in response to agonists that increase intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) including thrombin in endothelial cells (19), antigen binding to the T-cell receptor (20), the hunger hormone ghrelin acting on hypothalamic neurons (21), and cannabinoids acting at CB2 receptors (22).

**METABOLIC CONSEQUENCES OF AMPK ACTIVATION**

By sensing changes in adenine nucleotide ratios, AMPK is activated by stresses that depress cellular energy status. These include stresses that inhibit ATP production, such as hypoxia, hypoglycemia, or addition of mitochondrial poisons, as well as stresses that accelerate ATP consumption, such as muscle contraction (23). Some of the major metabolic changes elicited by AMPK activation are summarized in Table 2; the reader is referred to other reviews for details (4,5). In many cases, the effects occur both acutely via direct phosphorylation of metabolic enzymes and chronically via phosphorylation of transcription factors or coactivators that modulate gene expression.

Some of the metabolic effects that ensue following AMPK activation are particularly relevant to treatment of type 2 diabetes. By inhibiting fat synthesis and promoting fat oxidation, and by enhancing mitochondrial biogenesis and disposal of damaged mitochondria by autophagy (24), AMPK activation would reverse elevated storage of triglycerides as well as deficits in mitochondrial function, both of which are associated with insulin resistance (25,26). Another important effect of AMPK is its ability to promote glucose uptake in skeletal muscle. This occurs both acutely via translocation of GLUT4 from intracellular storage vesicles to the plasma membrane, and in the longer term by upregulation of GLUT4 expression (27). The mechanism for the acute effect is similar to that by which the insulin-signaling pathway promotes glucose uptake, involving phosphorylation of the Rab-GAP protein TBC1D1 by AMPK (28,29). There has been controversy as to whether AMPK entirely accounts for the increase in glucose uptake induced by exercise or muscle contraction. Whereas experiments with mouse knockouts of single catalytic subunits (AMPK-\(\alpha1\) or -\(\alpha2\)) did not support this view (30), a study involving a muscle-specific double knockout of both AMPK-\(\beta\) subunits, which totally ablates muscle AMPK activity, did support it (23). The latter study also revealed that mice lacking AMPK in muscle have reduced mitochondrial content and a dramatically reduced capacity for treadmill running. Human studies suggest that activation of muscle AMPK during exercise is normal in patients with type 2 diabetes (31), helping to explain why regular exercise is beneficial for them but also reinforcing the idea that pharmacological activation of AMPK would be an effective way to treat the disorder.

**ACTIVATION OF AMPK BY METFORMIN AND OTHER GUANIDINE-BASED DRUGS**

Guanidine and its isoprenyl derivative, galegine, are natural products from the plant *Galega officinalis*, which was used as a medicinal herb in medieval Europe (32). Metformin and phenformin are biguanides, synthetic derivatives of guanidine (Fig. 2). All of these compounds were tested on animals in the 1920s, but the success of insulin therapy at that time perhaps caused further studies of guanidine-based drugs to be put on hold. The biguanides were finally introduced for treatment of type 2 diabetes in the 1950s; phenformin was subsequently withdrawn in the 1970s because of a rare but life-threatening side effect of lactic acidosis, but metformin is now generally the first-choice drug for treatment of type 2 diabetes.

Although biguanides were already known to reduce hepatic glucose production and enhance peripheral insulin sensitivity (32), the first clues to their molecular mechanism...
### Table 2

| Target protein | Protein function | Effect of phosphorylation | Tissue | Effect on pathway |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------------|
| acetyl-CoA carboxylase-1 | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity ↓ malonyl-CoA | all cells? | ↓ fatty acid synthesis |
| acetyl-CoA carboxylase-2 | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity ↓ malonyl-CoA | muscle, liver | ↑ fatty acid oxidation |
| 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity | liver | ↓ sterol synthesis |
| glycerol phosphate acyltransferase? | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity | adipose tissue | ↓ triglyceride synthesis |
| hormone-sensitive lipase | metabolic enzyme | antagonizes activation by PKA | adipose tissue | ↓ lipolysis |
| TBC1D1 | Rab-GAP | dissociation from GLUT4 vesicle | skeletal muscle | ↑ glucose uptake |
| glycogen synthase 1 | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity in low [glucose-6-P] | skeletal muscle | ↓ glycogen synthesis |
| glycogen synthase 2 | metabolic enzyme | ↓ activity in low [glucose-6-P] | liver | ↓ glycogen synthesis |
| 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase (PKKF2) | cell signaling | ↑ fructose-2,6-bisphosphate | cardiac muscle | ↑ glycolysis |
| 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase PFKFB3 | cell signaling | ↑ fructose-2,6-bisphosphate | macrophages | ↑ glycolysis |
| tuberous sclerosis complex 2 | Rheb-GAP | ↑ Rheb-GDP | all cells? | ↓ mTORC1, protein synthesis |
| Raptor | mTORC1 subunit | ↓ mTORC1 activity | all cells? | ↓ mTORC1, protein synthesis |
| Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4α | transcription factor | ↓ DNA binding, ↑ degradation? | liver, others | ↓ transcription |
| Carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein | transcription factor | ↓ DNA binding | liver, others | ↓ transcription of lipogenic genes |
| sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1c | transcription factor | ↓ proteolytic cleavage, activation | liver, others | ↓ transcription of lipogenic genes |
| transcriptional intermediary factor-1A | transcription factor | ↓ activity | all cells? | ↓ ribosomal RNA synthesis |
| p300 | transcription coactivator | ↓ interaction with nuclear receptors | most cells? | ↓ transcription by nuclear receptors |
| CREB-regulated transcription coactivator-2 | transcription coactivator | 14–3–3 binding/nuclear exclusion | liver | ↓ transcription of gluconeogenic genes |
| HDAC4/HDAC5/HDAC7 | lysine deacetylases | 14–3–3 binding/nuclear exclusion activation? | liver | ↓ transcription of gluconeogenic genes |
| Sir21? | lysine deacetylase | | | ↓ mitochondrial biogenesis |
| proliferator-activated receptor coactivator-1α? | transcription coactivator | deacetylation/activation | most cells? | ↓ mitochondrial biogenesis |
| UNC-51-like kinase 1 | protein kinase | ↑ activity | all cells? | ↑ autophagy, mitophagy |
| cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator | ion channel | ↓ channel opening | airway, gut | ↓ ion transport, ↓ fluid secretion |
| Kv2.1 | ion channel | ↑ channel opening | neurons | ↓ frequency of action potentials |
| BKCa (KCa1.1) | ion channel | ↓ channel opening | carotid body | ↑ excitability of type 1 cell |

Protein targets for which there is good evidence for regulation by AMPK. A question mark indicates that the protein may not be a direct AMPK target. The list is not comprehensive; see other reviews (4,5) for full references.

---

came in 2000, when it was shown that they inhibited Complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain (33,34). Interestingly, both drugs are cations (Fig. 2), so they accumulate in mitochondria due to the electrical gradient across the inner membrane (33). Metformin has poor plasma membrane permeability, but uptake into many cells (including hepatocytes) is promoted by the organic cation transporter OCT1, whereas phenformin uptake is less dependent on this transporter (35). Since both drugs inhibit mitochondrial ATP synthesis, they would be expected to cause increases in the ADP:ATP and AMP:ATP ratios and thus activate AMPK indirectly. AMPK activation by metformin was indeed demonstrated in 2001 (36), and this was subsequently also shown for phenformin (13) and galegine (37). The activation mechanism requires increases in AMP and/or ADP, because all three drugs fail to activate AMPK in cells expressing an AMPK-γ subunit with a mutation (R531G) that renders the complex AMP/ADP insensitive (35).
ARE THE THERAPEUTIC EFFECTS OF METFORMIN MEDIATED BY AMPK ACTIVATION?

Whether AMPK is the metformin target that entirely accounts for its therapeutic effects now appears doubtful, at least when considering its effects on hepatic glucose production. A role for AMPK had seemed attractive because the pharmacological AMPK activator, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide riboside (AICAR) (38) downregulated expression of the gluconeogenic enzymes phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) and glucose-6-phosphatase (G6Pase) (39), while in mice with a liver-specific knockout of LKB1 (in which metformin no longer activated AMPK) the hypoglycemic effects of metformin were lost (40). However, in a more recent study in which both AMPK catalytic subunit isoforms were knocked out in liver, the mice had normal blood glucose and insulin, while metformin still reduced the expression of G6Pase and glucose output, and AICAR reduced the expression of both PEPCK and G6Pase, in isolated hepatocytes derived from the mice (41). This study revealed that there must be AMPK-independent pathways by which not only metformin, but also AICAR, inhibit expression of gluconeogenic enzymes and glucose output in the liver.

Evidence in favor of one such AMPK-independent mechanism (Fig. 3) was reported recently (42). The classical activator of hepatic glucose production is the starvation hormone glucagon, which increases cyclic AMP and activates cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase A (PKA). PKA phosphorylates the liver (PFKFB1) isoform of 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase, leading to a rapid drop in fructose-2,6-bisphosphate. Since the latter is an activator of the glycolytic enzyme 6-phosphofructo-1-kinase and an inhibitor of the gluconeogenic enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase, glucagon triggers an acute metabolic switch from glycolysis to gluconeogenesis. In addition, PKA enhances expression of gluconeogenic enzymes by phosphorylation of the transcription factor cyclic AMP response element-binding protein and other mechanisms. The increases in cyclic AMP are brought about by activation of adenylyl cyclase by the glucagon receptor, and adenylyl cyclase is directly inhibited by the metformin-induced increase in AMP, leading to a reduction in cyclic AMP formation in response to glucagon (42). Interestingly, a similar effect was observed with AICAR, a nucleoside that is taken up into cells and converted to the equivalent monophosphorylated nucleotide, ZMP (38). It seems possible that ZMP, like AMP, might inhibit adenylyl cyclase, and that this might explain why AICAR was originally found to inhibit expression of PEPCK and G6Pase (39).

Interestingly, AMP is also an allosteric inhibitor of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (this too is mimicked by ZMP [43]) and an activator of PFK1. Because metformin increases AMP in hepatocytes (42), whereas AICAR increases ZMP (38), this represents yet another AMPK-independent mechanism by which metformin and AICAR might inhibit hepatic glucose production in vivo.

As well as inhibition of complex I of the respiratory chain (33,34), it has been proposed that metformin might act by inhibiting AMP deaminase, an enzyme that degrades cellular AMP (44). One caveat is that the reported inhibition of AMP deaminase in cell-free assays was only partial even at very high metformin concentrations (10 mmol/L). In any case, the two alternate mechanisms, involving inhibition of the respiratory chain or of AMP deaminase, would both be compatible with the results suggesting that AMPK activation (35) and adenylyl cyclase inhibition (42) are mediated by increases in AMP.

To conclude this section, it now appears likely that the effects of metformin in inhibiting hepatic glucose production are not mediated by AMPK but by other mechanisms such as the inhibition of adenylyl cyclase and/or fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase by AMP. This does not rule out the possibility that activation of AMPK might account for other effects of metformin action, such as its ability to enhance insulin sensitivity. It might, for example, do this by stimulating fat oxidation and inhibiting fat synthesis, thus reducing triglyceride storage in liver and/or muscle.

Activation of AMPK by other natural products

In addition to galegine, a bewildering variety of other natural products—many derived from plants used as...
Herbal medicines in Asian countries—have been reported to activate AMPK. These include resveratrol from red grapes, quercetin present in many fruits and vegetables, ginsenoside from Panax ginseng, curcumin from Curcuma longa, berberine from Coptis chinensis (used in the Chinese herbal medicine Huanglian), epigallocatechin gallate from green tea, theaflavin from black tea (45), and hispidulin from snow lotus, another plant used in Chinese herbal medicine (46). Many of these compounds are claimed to have favorable effects in type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome. Although many of these compounds can be classed as polyphenols, their structures are quite variable (Fig. 4), and a puzzling feature was how such disparate structures would all be able to activate AMPK. Based on findings that berberine inhibited the respiratory chain (47), whereas resveratrol inhibited the F1 ATP synthase (48), it seemed possible that many of them activated AMPK indirectly, by inhibiting mitochondrial ATP production and thus increasing cellular AMP:ATP and/or ADP:ATP ratios, in a similar manner to the biguanides. Supporting this, AMPK activation by resveratrol, berberine, and quercetin was reduced or eliminated in cells expressing the AMP/ADP-insensitive AMPK mutant (35). Many of these natural products are secondary metabolites of plants, and some of them appear to be produced to defend plants against infection by pathogens or grazing by herbivorous animals. Production of mitochondrial poisons may be an effective deterrent, but (observing the aphorism of Paracelsus that “the dose makes the poison”) at lower doses these compounds may only cause mild inhibition of mitochondria yet still have the useful effect of activating AMPK.

Small-scale pilot trials of some of these compounds have been conducted in diabetic or obese humans. Two trials of berberine in subjects newly diagnosed with type 2 diabetes (49,50) revealed favorable effects on plasma glucose, lipids, and HbA1c, although neither plasma berberine levels nor AMPK activation were assessed. Two trials of resveratrol in obese, nondiabetic males produced conflicting results. The first used a randomized, crossover design on 11 subjects given resveratrol (150 mg/day) or placebo for 30 days, and suggested that resveratrol lowered plasma glucose, insulin, and homeostasis model assessment index, as well as systolic blood pressure (51). The second used 24 male volunteers randomly assigned to resveratrol (1.5 g/day) or placebo for 4 weeks and failed to observe any significant effects on plasma glucose, insulin or homeostasis model assessment index, blood pressure, or insulin sensitivity by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp (52). Despite the 10-fold higher dose used in the second, negative study, the levels of plasma resveratrol detected were similar in both trials (1–2 μmol/L). These are around 50-fold lower than the concentrations usually used to activate AMPK in cultured cells (35), yet activation of AMPK in muscle biopsies was reported in the first study, although not in the second. Thus, it remains unclear whether clinically effective doses of these compounds are sufficiently high to activate AMPK in vivo.

**ACTIVATION OF AMPK BY SALICYLATE**

Salicylates are natural products produced by many plants (Fig. 5), and it is now known that they are mediators released by infected plant tissues, which signal to uninfected
regions to induce defense responses (53). The use of willow bark (a rich source of salicylates) was described in the 3rd millennium B.C., making it one of the oldest medicines known to humankind (54). The active component of willow bark is salicin, a β-glucosyl derivative of salicylic alcohol, the efficacy of which in rheumatic fever (an inflammatory disorder now known to be caused by streptococcal infection) was first tested by Thomas MacLagan in the author’s home town of Dundee in 1876 (55). However, the widespread use of salicylate-based drugs occurred after the development of acetyl salicylic acid, a synthetic derivative first marketed by Bayer in 1899 under the trade name aspirin (54). Another form in which salicylate can be taken orally is as the diester salsalate (Fig. 5), which has been used to treat rheumatoid arthritis. All salicylates, including salicin, aspirin, and salsalate, are rapidly converted to salicylate in vivo, with the plasma half-life of aspirin in humans being only 10–15 min, while that of the salicylate derived from it is 3–5 h (56). Salicin, aspirin, and salsalate can therefore all be regarded as prodrugs for salicylate. The one clear exception to this concerns the effect of aspirin to inhibit platelet aggregation, which it achieves by irreversible acetylation and inhibition of the cyclooxygenase COX1, thus reducing synthesis of the prothrombotic prostanoiad, thromboxane A2, for the lifetime of the platelet. Most other effects of aspirin, including its anti-inflammatory effects, are most likely mediated by salicylate.

Salicylate, but not aspirin, was recently found to activate AMPK in cultured human cells (57). At concentrations corresponding to plasma concentrations in humans treated with high doses of aspirin or salsalate, salicylate activated wild-type AMPK and the AMP/ADP insensitive mutant equally well, showing that it worked via an AMP/ADP-independent mechanism. This suggested that it might bind directly to AMPK, which was subsequently confirmed (57). Two lines of evidence suggested that salicylate was binding at the same site as A-769662, a synthetic activator (Fig. 5) derived from a high-throughput screen (58). Thus, activation by both agents was 1) specific for AMPK complexes containing the β1 isoform and 2) abolished by a point mutation in β1. The first finding also allowed a test, by using β1-knockout mice, of whether any effects of salicylate in vivo were mediated by AMPK. AMPK is known to stimulate fat oxidation, which can be monitored in vivo by measuring the respiratory exchange ratio (RER, ratio of CO₂ exhaled to O₂ inhaled). When fasted mice were fed a carbohydrate meal their RER increased and then declined (as expected) when the food was withdrawn and they switched back to fat oxidation. However, the drop in RER was much more precipitous when wild-type mice were injected with salicylate or A-769662 at the time of food withdrawal, and this effect was abolished in the β1-knockout mice (57). These results confirm that the increases in whole-body fat oxidation induced by either salicylate or A-769662 were mediated by AMPK activation.

There have been numerous observational studies suggesting that metabolic parameters improved in diabetic patients who were taking salicylate-based drugs. To confirm this, Shoelson and colleagues (59) conducted two randomized controlled trials of salsalate, one for 4 weeks on obese, nondiabetic subjects and one for 12 weeks on subjects with impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance (60). These trials showed that oral salicylate decreased fasting glucose and insulin C-peptide and increased plasma adiponectin, although in the second study the treatment did not appear to affect peripheral insulin sensitivity. It would be tempting to speculate that some of these effects of salsalate were mediated by activation of AMPK. However, salicylate treatment for 2 weeks in mice rendered insulin resistant by feeding of a high-fat diet decreased fasting glucose and insulin and improved glucose tolerance in both the wild-type and β1-knockout mice (57). This suggests that the effects of salicylate on glucose homeostasis may be AMPK independent.

**ROLE OF AMPK IN CANCER**

The findings that the tumor suppressor LKB1 was the major upstream kinase required for AMPK activation (13–15) introduced a link between AMPK and cancer. Although it was subsequently shown that LKB1 also acted upstream of twelve other AMPK-related kinases (61,62), several indications suggest that AMPK is likely to exert most, if not all, of the tumor suppressor functions of LKB1.

1) AMPK activation causes a cell cycle arrest associated with stabilization of p53 and the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21WAF1 and p27KIP1 (63–65).

2) AMPK inhibits the synthesis of most macromolecules required for cell growth, including lipids, ribosomal RNA and proteins, the latter in part via inhibition of the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex-1 (mTORC1) (4,5).

3) By promoting oxidative metabolism (4,5), and inhibiting glycolysis by inhibition of mTORC1 and consequent downregulation of expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (66), AMPK promotes a switch away from the rapid glycolysis observed in most tumor cells (the Warburg effect) and toward the oxidative metabolism used by most quiescent cells (67).

Consistent with the idea that AMPK exerts most of the tumor suppressor effects of LKB1, it has recently been shown that in a mouse model in which lymphomas are induced by B-cell-specific overexpression of Myc, tumor-free survival was shorter if AMPK-α1 (the only catalytic subunit expressed in B cells) was knocked out throughout the body (67).
The original finding of a link between AMPK, LKB1, and cancer (13) led to a study involving retrospective analysis of type 2 diabetic patients, which showed that use of metformin was associated with a significantly lower incidence of cancer compared with other medications (68). This has been reproduced in several subsequent studies, with a meta-analysis indicating an overall risk reduction of 30%, with specific risk reductions being found for colon and liver cancers (69). It should be noted that these retrospective analyses merely report associations and do not prove a causal link. Some of them have also been criticized on the basis that they may be subject to time-related biases (70). However, studies in animal models support the idea that biguanides and other AMPK activators can be used to protect against tumor development and even to treat tumors once they have arisen. For example, metformin treatment delayed the onset of tumors in mice that were tumor prone as the result of heterozygous mutations in PTEN and hypomorphic mutations in LKB1 (71); interestingly, phenformin had an even more pronounced effect, as did A-769662. Since A-769662 activates AMPK by a different mechanism from the biguanides (72), this makes it likely that the delay in tumorigenesis was caused by AMPK activation rather than AMPK-independent, “off-target” effects. However, there is also evidence from another animal model that phenformin might be useful for treating cancer via AMPK-independent effects (73). This study used mice in which expression of mutant, oncogenic K-Ras could be combined with loss of either LKB1 or p53 in lung epithelial cells by inhalation of viral vectors expressing Cre recombinase. In these mice, expression of mutant K-Ras alone causes some tumors, but combination with loss of p53 or LKB1 increases the multiplicity of tumors and metastasis (74). In the new study, treatment of the mice with oral phenformin from 3 weeks after tumor initiation prolonged survival of the mice and delayed tumor progression as well as increasing expression of markers of necrosis and apoptosis in the tumors—but only in the mice in which LKB1 had been deleted (73). It was also shown by immunohistochemistry that metformin and phenformin activated AMPK in lung tumors but, as expected, only in those that still expressed LKB1. AMPK activation was greater with phenformin than metformin (possibly due to its greater membrane permeability, as discussed earlier), which is why phenformin was used in the analysis of survival. In this study, phenformin is essentially acting as a cytotoxic agent that causes necrosis or apoptosis of tumor cells because, in the absence of a functional LKB1-AMPK pathway, they are more sensitive to the ATP-depleting effects of the drug. Although one of these mouse studies (71) suggests that treatment with biguanides delays onset of tumors, most likely by AMPK-dependent effects, the other (73) suggests that phenformin can be used to treat preexisting tumors that lack a functional AMPK pathway. Thus, while AMPK appears to be a tumor suppressor that protects against initial tumor development, once tumors have arisen it may, paradoxically, be easier to treat the cancer if the AMPK pathway has been lost.

Intriguingly, retrospective analysis of randomized controlled trials to study prevention of vascular events has provided evidence that aspirin also provides protection against cancer (75,76). Even though there is currently no evidence that this is caused by AMPK activation, the fact that aspirin can be regarded as a prodrug for another AMPK activator, salicylate, suggests that this possibility is worth considering.

CONCLUSIONS
The metabolic effects of AMPK activation, especially its ability to cause a metabolic switch from fat synthesis to fat oxidation and to promote muscle glucose uptake, would be expected to be beneficial in individuals with insulin resistance and/or type 2 diabetes. AMPK is activated by biguanide drugs (metformin and phenformin) and by salicylate, the major breakdown product of aspirin and salicylate. Metformin activates AMPK indirectly by inhibiting mitochondrial function, whereas salicylate binds directly to AMPK. Metformin is already the drug of first choice for treatment of type 2 diabetes, while salicylate has shown promise in randomized, controlled trials in subjects with obesity or prediabetes. However, due to their small size these drugs will only form low-affinity interactions with proteins and are likely to bind to multiple targets in vivo. An increasing number of AMPK-independent effects of metformin and salicylates are being documented, including the effects of metformin on hepatic glucose production and of salicylate on glucose homeostasis. None of this dilutes the attractiveness of AMPK as a target for novel therapies, particularly because of its ability to cause a metabolic switch from fat synthesis to fat oxidation. The Abbott compound A-769662, which appears to bind at the same site as salicylate (57) and produces favorable changes in metabolic parameters in ob/ob mice (58), represents a model for compounds that are direct activators of AMPK. At the time of writing, nearly 60 patents have been filed for small-molecule activators of AMPK, and it is hoped that some of these may enter human clinical trials soon. It seems likely that by the end of this decade we will have a much clearer picture of whether drugs that are more selective activators of AMPK than metformin or salicylate will have a place in the treatment of type 2 diabetes or cancer.
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