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1 Introduction

Solar system bodies that lack a significant atmosphere and internal magnetic fields, such as the Moon and asteroids, can to a first approximation be considered passive absorbers of the solar wind. The solar wind ions and electrons directly impact the surface of these bodies, and a wake is created behind the object. In a frame that moves with the solar wind plasma, the refill of the lunar wake can be viewed as the more general problem of plasma expansion into a vacuum.

One-dimensional plasma expansion into a vacuum has been studied for a long time, see [1] and references therein. The specific case of one-dimensional plasma expansion into the lunar wake has also been studied [2, 3]. A disadvantage of one-dimensional models is that the magnetic field component along the dimension is forced to be constant, from the requirement that \( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \). On the other hand, three dimensional models [4] does not allow the same spatial resolution and size of the simulation domain as lower dimensional models, due to computational constraints. Thus, a two-dimensional model of the lunar wake refill could be useful.

A one-dimensional approximation of the lunar wake is an initial state with uniform plasma, corresponding to the solar wind, surrounding a region of vacuum (zero density) of width equal to the lunar radius. With time the plasma will expand into the vacuum region. This approximates plasma conditions along a line that at \( t = 0 \) lies in the terminator plane and goes through the center of the Moon. The line then convects with the solar wind as time goes by. The magnetic field at \( t = 0 \) corresponds to the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) in the solar wind. It is possible to use the same convecting frame approach also in two dimensions. If we consider the terminator plane at \( t = 0 \) and let this plane convect with the solar wind plasma bulk velocity, \( u_{sw} \), it will at time \( t \) be at \( x = -tu_{sw} \). The initial configuration at \( t = 0 \) is the \( yz \)-plane with proton number density \( n = n_0 \) for \( r < R \), and \( n = n_{sw} \) for \( r > R \). Here the \( x \)-axis is anti-parallel to the solar wind, and \( r = \sqrt{y^2 + z^2} \). We study such plasma expansion into a vacuum in a two-dimensional geometry using a hybrid model with \( R = 1730 \) km. The hybrid model has ions as particles and electrons as a mass-less fluid, and is described in [4], and references therein. In the hybrid model the electric field computation involves a division by charge density. Thus,
there is a problem in vacuum regions. Here we handle this by letting \( n_0 \) have a small, non-zero value. In this case \( n_0 = 0.1n_{sw} \). However, this low density population of ions is not shown in the plots.

We can note that more complicated low dimensional models are possible. We could follow the convecting plane (or line in the one-dimensional case) from upstream, in the undisturbed solar wind, and after each time step remove ions that collide with the Lunar surface from the ion velocity space distribution. This removal would continue until the plane has convected past the Moon. The solution would however no more be independent on the solar wind velocity.

2 Results

In what follows, the solar wind number density, \( n_{sw} = 5 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), the ion temperature is \( 5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ K} \), the electron temperature is \( 10 \cdot 10^4 \text{ K} \), and the IMF is in the \( xy \)-plane with a magnitude of 5 nT. For these plasma parameters, the ion inertial length is 100 km, the Alfvén velocity is 49 km/s, the thermal proton gyro radius is 60 km (the gyro time is 13 s), and the ion plasma beta is 0.35. The cell size is 78 km and the number of meta particles per cell is 170.

How the wake number density depends on the direction of the initial magnetic field is shown in Fig. 1. The time \( t = 200 \text{ s} \) of the plot corresponds to a distance of \( tu_{sw} \) downstream of the moon, e.g., for \( u_{sw} = 500 \text{ km/s} \) the distance is 10,000 km \( \approx 5.8 \) Lunar radii.

We see a density rarefaction that expands from the Moon at the fast magnetosonic speed, as has been seen before in three-dimensional simulations [4]. It does not propagate parallel to the IMF as can be seen for the case of an IMF at a 90 deg angle to the solar wind flow direction. At this time, for these condition, the wake has partially refilled except for the case of a 0 deg IMF (parallel to the solar wind flow) for which we still have a (deformed) vacuum region. We also see that the wake is flattened in the plane of the IMF due to the fact that the ions flow along the IMF.

For the 90 deg IMF case we see density oscillations in the refilled region, where surrounding plasma intrudes. In the next section we derive criteria for an instability in the hybrid model that can be a possible cause for these oscillations. Note that these oscillations are a feature of the hybrid model, it is unknown if such oscillations also would occur in a 2D particle in cell model of the Lunar wake that includes also electrons as particle.

3 Ion beam instability in a hybrid model

For longitudinal waves propagating along the magnetic field lines, the hybrid model reads

\[
\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x} + \frac{eE}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial v} = 0
\]

(1)

where the electric field is obtained from the electron momentum equation as

\[
E = \frac{k_B T_e}{e} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{n}{n_0} \right)^{5/3},
\]

(2)
Figure 1: Proton number density in the $yz$-plane at $t = 200$ s for an IMF direction of 90, 45, 20, and 0 degrees away from the image normal (solar wind direction). The plane of the IMF is horizontal. The black circle show the outline of the initial low density region of radius 1730 km (representing the Moon).
and quasi-neutrality gives
\[ n = \int f_i d^3v \]  
(3)

Linearization \( f_i = f_0 + f_1, E = E_1, n = n_0 + n_1 \) gives

\[ \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x} + \frac{eE_1}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} = 0 \]  
(4)

\[ E_1 = \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{en_0} \frac{\partial n_1}{\partial x}, \]  
(5)

and

\[ n_1 = \int f_1 d^3v \]  
(6)

Laplace transformation \( \partial/\partial t \to -i\omega \) and \( \partial/\partial x \to ik \) gives

\[ (-i\omega + ivk)f_1 + \frac{eE_1}{m_i} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} = 0, \]  
(7)

and

\[ E_1 = \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{en_0} ikn_1 = \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{en_0} ik \int f_1 d^3v = -\frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{m_i n_0} E_1 \int \frac{1}{-i\omega + ivk} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} d^3v. \]  
(8)

Eliminating the common factor \( E_1 \) and rearranging the terms, we obtain the dispersion relation

\[ 1 + \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{n_0 m_0} \int \frac{1}{v - \omega/k} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} d^3v = 0, \]  
(9)

Here the frequency and wavenumber appear only as a ratio \( \omega/k \). If we replace this ratio by \( v_p \), the "phase velocity", we obtain the equation

\[ 1 + \frac{5}{3} \frac{k_BT_e}{n_0 m_0} \int \frac{1}{v - v_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v} d^3v = 0, \]  
(10)

Note that in this equation, \( v_p \) depends only on the plasma parameters but not on \( \omega \) or \( k \). Hence \( v_p \) is a complex number, \( v_p = v_R + iv_I \), which can either have positive or negative imaginary parts \( v_I \). The dispersion relation can be written \( \omega = (v_R + iv_I)k \). If \( v_I \) is positive, then we have an instability which formally goes to infinity as \( k \to \infty \). In a simulation, however, the wavenumber is limited by the grid size.
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