Surface engineering of nanoparticles in suspension for particle based bio-sensing
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Surface activation of nanoparticles in suspension using amino organosilanes has been carried out via strict control of a particle surface ad-layer of water using a simple but efficient protocol "Tri-phasic Reverse Emulsion" (TPRE). This approach produced thin and ordered layers of particle surface functional groups which allowed the efficient conjugation of biomolecules. When used in bio-sensing applications, the resultant conjugates were highly efficient in the hybrid capture of complementary oligonucleotides and the detection of food borne microorganisms. TPRE overcomes a number of fundamental problems associated with the surface modification of particles in aqueous suspension viz. particle aggregation, density and organization of resultant surface functional groups by controlling surface condensation of the aminosilane. The approach has potential for application in areas as diverse as nanomedicine, to food technology and industrial catalysis.

Materials surface patterning with chemicals and bio-chemicals at the nanometer length scale has a fundamental importance in the fabrication and application of nano-devices as such materials utilities are thought to be directly related to the density and orientation of their surface functional groups. Organosilanes are particularly important molecules in that context. Surface patterning on flat surfaces (e.g. silicon wafers, diamond or quartz) is a relatively simple process and can be defined as two dimensional (XY) where chemicals or bio-chemicals interact perpendicular to the XY plane through the Z axis (see Figure S1). Recently S. De Feyter has also reported surface patterning in a three dimensional context. However, surface patterning of nanoparticles in suspension can be a complex process due to the potential for particle aggregation, Brownian motion, solvent effects etc. Efficient surface patterning using aminosilanes has been reported on flat surfaces where the presence of water within the system was strictly controlled so as permit the formation of a surface silane monolayer. The density of such a monolayer has been reported as 5.3 molecules/nm² and the thickness as 0.7 nm. Recently the same concept has been applied to mesoporous solids with controlled amounts of intra-pore water where confocal laser scanning microscopy was used to monitor the effect of water on the amino functionalisation of pore surfaces. The surface patterning/engineering of mesoporous solids with organic frameworks has also been investigated. In the case of nanoparticles dispersed in aqueous suspension, surface patterning with aminosilanes can be a very complex process due to the various possible reactions of such molecules with water (see Figure S2 and text). The rate constants (k₁, k₂, k₃, k₄, k₅) of those various reactions effectively control the aminosilane patterning of particles' surfaces. In order to produce a uniform surface monolayer of –NH₂ ideally k₁ should be equal to k₄ and the other rate constants (k₂, k₃, k₄') and k₅ should be zero. However, we have reported that aminosilane surface patterning of nanoparticles in water produces amine groups which are mostly internalized or sequestered. Surface functionalisation of nanoparticles has recently been reviewed for bio-medical applications however, the effect of water on (i) surface activation and consequently (ii) surface functionalisation/conjugation with biomolecules and (iii) the efficiency with which the conjugates function in applications has not been investigated or reported previously. In fact the formation of ordered surface amine groups on nanoparticles in aqueous suspension during aminosilanisation remains as a challenge in the fabrication of functionalised nanoparticulates for highly specific and targeted applications from food technology to nanomedicine and industrial catalysis.

Herein, we report the surface engineering of nanoparticles in suspension by strict control of a water layer surrounding the nanoparticles via TPRE and the materials surface functionalisation with oligonucleotide bioligands for the efficient detection of food borne microorganisms as a particle based bio-sensor. TPRE is so called as it involves the nanoparticles (the first, solid phase), a thin water ad-layer (the second phase) and an organic non-water miscible third phase (toluene). It is a reverse phase as the water-coated nanoparticles are suspended in the organic solvent (demonstrated in Figure S3). The method produces an ordered layer of surface amine groups on the nanoparticles and their oligonucleotide conjugated forms have been demonstrated to possess exceptionally...
high efficiency (92%) in hybrid capture of complementary nucleic acids. When used to detect food borne microorganisms, such material exhibited both high sensitivity and selectivity in identifying and discriminating specific target DNA sequences from complex mixtures of DNAs derived from pathogenic and spoilage bacteria in food.

**Results**

In TPRE, aminopropyltriethoxysilane was added to a tri-phasic reverse emulsion (see Figure 1) prepared by separating bulk water either magnetically (silica coated core-shell superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles) or by centrifugation (diamagnetic silica nanoparticles) from particle suspensions followed by their re-dispersion in toluene in the presence of a biocompatible surfactant Triton X100 (movie S1; Supplementary Information and Methods). Amino organosilane molecules are soluble but do not hydrolyse or self-condense in toluene hence they remain un-reacted in the continuous toluene phase. They can only hydrolyse and subsequently condense where water is present in the system which in TPRE is as a surface ad-layer on the nanoparticles (see Figure 1 and movie S1). This water layer is chemically and physically adsorbed to the particle surface and does not diffuse into the organic solvent (toluene) due to that phase’s hydrophobic nature. In TPRE, problems relating to particle aggregation and self-condensation of aminosilane monomers to oligomeric or polymeric forms (see Figure S3b) in the solution phase are eliminated.

**Material physical and chemical characterization.** Size, surface area and surface amine density. Silica coated spherical core-shell superparamagnetic nanoparticles were measured to be approximately 40 nm in diameter (see Figure S4a) and diamagnetic silica spheres approximately 400 nm in diameter (see Figure S4b). Surface areas of the nanoparticles were determined by Brunauer, Emmett, Teller (BET) nitrogen adsorption and used in the calculation of surface functional group density/nm². The BET surface area of the superparamagnetic nanoparticles was observed to be 30 m²/g. The amounts of surface and total amine of aminosilane treated materials were determined colorimetrically and by combustion (CHN) analysis respectively and surface amine density (nmol/mg or molecules/nm²) was calculated by integrating the amine values obtained from a fixed mass of material with the particles’ surface areas.

Aminosilanised superparamagnetic core-shell nanoparticles prepared via TPRE were observed to possess almost all their -NH₂ groups at the surface (approximately 85%) with a small amount in a quiescent or internal state (Figure 2a). By comparison when aminosilanisation was carried out in water, the materials were observed to possess only 21% of their amine at the surface with the remainder as sequestered. The surface amine density of silica-magnetic nanoparticles aminosilanised via TPRE was measured to be 240 nmol/mg (calculated to be 4.8 molecules/nm²) compared to 0.5 molecules/nm² for those silanised in water. The high ratio of surface to total amine density (85%) obtained for TPRE materials is direct proof of its control over the surface condensation of aminosilane and the value of amine density observed was very close to that reported for a monolayer on flat surfaces. Ellipsometry has been used by various researchers to determine the monolayer thickness of aminosilane on flat surfaces. The thickness value was measured to be 0.7 nm on silicon wafers, but the technique was not used in our experiments as its application to particulates can be unreliable due to the presence of solvent in suspension. Rather we have verified particle size (diameter) before and after aminosilanisation using a particle size analyser (Malvern Zetasizer). No change in nanoparticle diameters (see Figure S5) were observed for TPRE aminosilanised material (the particle size analyser possesses a measurement tolerance limit of 1 nm). No observed change in particle size was an indication that no particle aggregation or significant siloxane precipitation on the nanoparticles surfaces during TPRE had occurred. However a clear shift in the particle size was observed when particle aminosilanisation was carried out in water. The structural nature of the surface aminosilane layer was verified by solid state NMR.

**29Si single pulse (SP) and 29Si-1H cross polarization (CP) magic angle sample spinning NMR.** Solid state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) can be a powerful tool for elucidating the molecular structure of materials such as those described in Figure 1 but superparamagnetic material has a paramagnetic broadening effect on NMR. Consequently diamagnetic silica nanoparticles were used as a model in these experiments. After aminosilanisation by TPRE and in water the surface amine densities of the silica nanoparticles were measured to be 4.8 and 0.9 molecules/nm² respectively indicating that they had behaved in an effectively identical manner to the silica-magnetite nanoparticles. Figure 3 presents the 29Si single pulse (SP) and 29Si-H cross polarisation (CP) magic angle sample spinning (MAS) solid state NMR spectra of TPRE aminosilanised diamagnetic silica nanoparticles along with three ‘reference samples’ (i) non-aminosilanised silica nanoparticles, (ii) silica obtained directly from aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTS) condensation/polymerisation in water and (iii) diamagnetic silica nanoparticles aminosilanised in water. The non-aminosilanised silica spheres exhibited peaks (Figure 3a) in the region of −90 to −120 ppm indicating the presence of only Q₄(Si) species in both SP and CP mode. Peak intensities support the observation that relative concentrations followed the trend (Q₄ > Q₃ > Q₂ > Q₁(Si) species) in SP mode but the intensity of the Q₃(Si) species was observed to be greatest in CP mode. This may be expected as Q₃ and Q₂ are capable of cross-polarisation transfer from neighboring protons whereas Q₁ is not. However, this observation also suggests that the proportion of Q₄ species is much higher than Q₃ species, particularly as the density of neighbouring protons in Q₂ is twice that in Q₁. Material obtained from condensing/polymerising APTS in water exhibited no peaks (Figure 3b) in the region of −90 to −120 ppm indicating the absence of Q₃(Si) species, however T₄(Si) species were observed as a consequence of the presence of the Si bonded propyl...
amino groups. Three different T\(^{(}\text{Si})\) sites were observed with relative concentrations of T\(^{\prime}\) > T\(^{\prime\prime}\) > T\(^{\prime\prime\prime}\) (Si) in SP mode but only two types of T sites were observed in CP mode. TPRE aminosilanised nanoparticles exhibited two types (T and Q) of Si environments (Figure 3d). Peak intensities support the supposition that concentrations of T sites in TPRE activated materials were higher than that for silica nanoparticles aminosilanised in water. This is consistent with the data obtained from combustion analysis i.e. the number of propyl amine groups based on CHN data. SP mode intensities of Si resonances in T sites were poor, however, cross-polarisation provided the necessary enhancement to signal as a consequence of spin-population energy transfer from neighbouring proton nuclei. Only T\(^{(}\text{Si})\) sites were observed in TPRE materials (Figure 3d) but both T\(^{\prime}\) and T\(^{\prime\prime}\) (Si) sites were detected (Figure 3c) in nanoparticles aminosilanised in water indicating two types of T(Si) environments. It was also observed that intensities of Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) species were reduced in both amino-functionalised materials; most likely due to the loss of Si-OH groups via dehydration. The data obtained from material aminosilanised in water (i.e. the uncontrolled system) supports a previous report by Vanblaaderen et al\(^{19}\) who observed that amine groups can be T\(^{\prime}\) (Si) to T\(^{\prime\prime}\) (Si) types consequent from the uncontrolled surface condensation of aminosilane (see Figure S3b) occurring in this scenario. From these data it is also reasonable to suggest that the relative increase in concentration of Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) compared to Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) and Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) sites for aminosilanised nanoparticles when compared to non-aminosilanised silica nanoparticles resulted from the transformation of Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) to Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) to Q\(^{(}\text{Si})\) by condensation of aminosilane to particle surface. The NMR data clearly indicate a single T(Si) site formation resulting from aminosilanisation via TPRE strongly supporting the ordering of surface silane groups on the nanoparticles illustrated in figure 1.

\(^{13}\text{C}-\text{H cross polarization (CP) magic angle sample spinning (MAS) NMR.}\) We have also verified the complete removal of surfactant (Triton X100) from the TPRE aminosilanised materials after washing in coupling solution by \(^{13}\text{C}-\text{H CP MAS NMR (see Figure 4).}\) Three distinct regions labeled as a, b and c were observed in the spectra of the various materials analysed. The three peaks visible in region ‘a’ correspond to the three carbon atoms of the amino propyl group and non-aminosilanised diamagnetic silica nanoparticles exhibited no peaks in this region (black trace) as expected. Both condensed/polymerised APTS (blue trace) and APTS silanised silica nanoparticles (washed-green and unwashed-red) exhibited peaks in region ‘a’ due to their possession of aminopropyl groups. A small shift in the peak positions in region ‘a’ was observed for the sample aminosilanised by TPRE compared to condensed APTS suggesting that the carbon atoms of the propyl group were in a slightly different configuration in the two materials, perhaps due to an increase in ordering in the case of TPRE (confirmed by \(^{29}\text{Si MAS NMR).}\) The multiple peaks observed in region ‘b’ correspond to the presence of various carbon atoms possessed by Triton X100 and were observed only in the case of unwashed samples of nanoparticles aminosilanised by TPRE. The absence of such peaks in region ‘b’ in the other samples clearly indicates the absence of Triton X100. Multiple peaks observed in region ‘c’ correspond to materials used in the construction and packing (tissue paper) of the NMR rotor. The latter was employed so as to achieve the required spinning speed for magic angle sample spinning experiments. All samples exhibited peaks in region ‘c’ and variations in their intensities were most likely due to the differences in the mass of tissue paper used for packing the rotor. These results confirm that no surfactant remained after washing of TPRE aminosilanised nanoparticles.

**Biological application data.** The ability of the nanoparticles’ surface amino groups to be conjugated to bio-ligands and subsequently used in practical applications was tested by applying them in a molecular diagnostic/bio-sensing context. Firstly, the surface of
silica-magnetite nanoparticles aminosilanised via TPRE and water were conjugated with oligonucleotide \((5^\prime\text{NH}_2\text{dC}_6\text{dT}_{25})\) and the conjugates tested for their abilities to capture complementary target DNA \((5^\prime\text{FdA}_{25})\) in hybrid capture experiments (see Figure S6 in the supplementary information and Methods). Nanoparticles aminosilanised via TPRE were observed to exhibit a 92% capture efficiency (1.2 nmol/mg) of \(5^\prime\text{FdA}_{25}\) oligonucleotide compared to 26% (0.13 nmol/mg) for those aminosilanised in water (see Figure 2b). In absolute terms of amount of oligonucleotide captured, this represented a difference of almost a nanomole of oligonucleotide per milligram of nanoparticles which is most likely to have resulted from an increased order in surface patterning of the oligonucleotide in the case of those particles activated via TPRE. This high level of hybridisation efficiency is a possible indication of improved accessibility to the grafted oligonucleotide (5′NH2dC6dT25) by the 5′FdA25 as a consequence of its surface ordering as presented in Figure 1.

A similar approach was then applied to generate oligonucleotide surface conjugated nanoparticles which were capable of recognising target sequences (see Methods) possessed by spoilage and pathogenic bacteria which can be found in the food. The hybrid captured target sequence was then subjected to PCR and visualised by agarose gel electrophoresis. Results indicated that both TPRE and water aminosilanised nanoparticles allowed the detection of a 566 bp fragment of the target bacteria 16S rRNA gene. However, it was clear that in the case of the TPRE aminosilanised nanoparticles a much more intense band was obtained (see Figure 2c1). This 16S rRNA gene sequence is generic to all bacteria, conserved amongst them and mostly present in multi-copy form. In a second hybrid capture experiment following a similar protocol (see Methods), a 310 bp section of the pkB-thrS intergenic region of \textit{Salmonella enterica} was specifically targeted. In this case an oligonucleotide capture sequence was conjugated to the aminosilanised silica-magnetite nanoparticles surfaces which was complementary to a region within that fragment and results are shown in Figure 2c2. It was clear that the nanoparticles which had been aminosilanised via TPRE method permitted the detection of this fragment whereas nanoparticles aminosilanised in water did not. In the latter case a band approximately 400bp in size was observed on gels, indicating a probable lack of specificity in the process (see Figure 2c2). These results clearly imply that the materials produced via TPRE possessed a significantly greater ability to detect the target...
sequences in question, confirming the hypothesis that their surface patterning with oligonucleotide was ‘better’ than that of the materials aminosilanised in water.

Discussion

In summary we have demonstrated that tri-phasic reverse emulsion (TPRE) is a simple and efficient method for the surface engineering of nanoparticles in suspension where a surface ad-layer of water can control the ordering of nanoparticle surface amine groups during aminosilanisation. The resultant oligonucleotide conjugated nanoparticles functioned as highly efficient bio-sensors. We contend that TPRE would prove useful for any nano or microparticle surface activation/patterning in suspension where an organosilane is involved and a well characterized, high density, effectively monolayer of surface functional groups is desirable.

Methods

Silica-coated magnetic nanoparticles and model silica nanoparticles synthesis.

Silica- magnetic nanoparticles were synthesised by previously reported approaches and diamagnetic silica spheres by the Stober method.

TPRE aminosilanisation of nanoparticles. 150 mg of either core-shell silica-magnetite nanoparticles or diamagnetic silica spheres were collected from aqueous suspension by magnetic separation or centrifugation. 30 ml of toluene and 5 gm of Triton X100 were added and the mixture shaken to form a tri-phasic reverse emulsion (see Movie S1; Supplementary Information). APTS was added to the emulsion to a final concentration of 2% w/v and the mixture allowed to react in a 100 mL glass reactor fitted with condenser at 50°C in an oil bath for 5 hrs with stirring. The nanoparticles were collected by either magnetic separation (superparamagnetic silica-magnetite) or centrifugation (diamagnetic silica), washed with coupling solution [0.8% (v/v) glacial acetic acid in dry methanol] three times and stored at RT in the same solution. Prior to use aminosilanised material was washed with de-ionized water.

Aminosilanisation of nanoparticles in water. This followed the protocol described in reference 12.

Physical and chemical analysis. Particle sizes in suspension were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Malvern Instruments Zetasizer Nano-ZS and Malvern DTS version 5.00 software. Transmission electron micrographs (TEM) of nanoparticles were obtained using a JEOL JEM-1230 electron microscope at 120 kV and samples were placed on carbon coated copper grids (400 mesh, Agar Scientific, UK). 29Si single, 29Si-1H and 13C-1H solid state CPMAS NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL 300 MHz instrument operating at 294 K. Solid materials were carefully compacted into a rotor (6 mm, zirconium oxide) and spun at 6 kHz. 29Si Chemical
shifts (ppm) were calculated relative to an external standard 2, 2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonate (DSS). BET surface area was determined using a Micromeritics ASAP2010 instrument. Surface amine analysis was carried out following a previously published protocol.\(^1\)\(^2\). Combustion analyses were performed using a Carlo Erba 1106 combustion elemental analyser.

**Model hybrid capture assay.** Covalent coupling of single stranded oligonucleotides (5'-NH\(_2\)-CONH\(_2\) to nanoparticles and DNA hybrid capture of complementary oligonucleotide (5'-F\(_{60}\)) experiments were carried out according to a previously published protocol.\(^3\)

Oligonucleotide surface functionalised nanoparticles used in the detection of target microbial DNA sequences as particle based bio-sensing. Capture probe design. The oligonucleotide probe sequence for *Salmonella enterica* was selected from the pKal-dr5 intergenic region (co-ordinates 1301 to 1482, GenBank No. AY064149) using PrimerQuest (available at http://eu.idtdna.com/Scitools/Applications/Primerquest/). The capture probe (CP) sequence (5'-ATCATTCTAGCAACATTTG-3') was checked in silica for specificity using BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool, available through http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). In the case of the 16S rDNA gene, two probe sequences were chosen, one specific for Gram positive (16S rDNA (5'-GAGGAGGGGIGIGGAGGCTT-3') and a second specific for Gram negative (16S)- bacteria 16S rDNA (5'-AGGCGCIGIGGATIGTAC-3').\(^4\) Each probe was terminally modified with a C6 amino linker and all probes were purchased from Sigma-Prolog (Sigma-Prolog France SAS, Paris, France).

**DNA hybrid capture experiments with bacterial genomic DNA.** A mixture containing purified genomic DNA from *Salmonella enterica* (100 ng), *Listeria monocytogenes* (100 ng) and *Shewanella putrefaciens* (100 ng), was prepared in a final volume of 0.4 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM EDTA pH 8.0). The mixture was boiled for 10 min to denature the DNA and immediately cooled in ice. Meanwhile, 50 pmol of oligonucleotide-nanoparticle conjugate were washed with sterile ddH\(_2\)O, resuspended in 50 ml sterile ddH\(_2\)O and heated (85°C, 5 min) to remove possible oligonucleotide secondary structures. Subsequently, the conjugate, 0.13 ml 20X SSC (3 M NaCl, 0.5 M sodium citrate pH 7.0) and 20 ml 5% (w/v) BSA were added to the DNA mixture and the final volume brought to 1 ml using sterile ddH\(_2\)O. The resulting mixture was incubated at 40°C for 3 hours in a Hybridiser oven (Techne, Cambridge, UK) with end-over-end rotation. After hybridisation the conjugates were collected by magnetic separation, the supernatant discarded and washed twice with 0.2 ml sterile washing buffer (1 M NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA). Finally the nanoparticles were resuspended in 20 ml of ddH\(_2\)O and 3 ml of suspension were used as template in PCR.

**PCR.** Target DNA capture was confirmed by PCR. In the case of *Salmonella enterica*, a 310 bp fragment was amplified using primers Sal_f 5'-TTGCTCTGAAGGGGTACCG AGC-3' and Sal_r 5'-GTTAGCACTCACCGAACAGCAG-3', whereas in the case of 16S rDNA, a 566 bp fragment was amplified using primers 341f 5'-CCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3' and 907r 5'-CCGTCAATTCATTTGAGTTT-3'. A typical PCR reaction (final volume of 50 ml) consisted of: 3 ml oligo-nanoparticles suspension as template, 0.2 ml BSA and 2 U VentR\(^\text{TM}\) DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs (UK) Ltd, Hitchin, UK), 0.2 mM each dNTP, 0.2 ml PCR buffer, 0.2 ml MgSO\(_4\), 5 U Taq polymerase (Bioline, UK Ltd) and 2 ml ddH\(_2\)O. The reaction was performed in a DNA thermal cycler with the following thermal profile: for 1 cycle at 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 60°C for 30 s, 72°C for 1 min. The protocol was completed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The expected PCR amplification products were visualised on a 2% agarose gel (Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd, Gillingham, UK) stained with 0.5 g/ml ethidium bromide.
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