Antimicrobial drimane sesquiterpenes and their effect on endophyte communities in the medical tree *Warburgia ugandensis*
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Warburgia ugandensis* Sprague [=*W. salutaris* (Bertol.f.) Chiov], the pepper bark tree belongs to the *Canellaceae*, a small family of tropical trees, all of them aromatic and most with medicinal properties. *W. ugandensis* has a restricted distribution in evergreen forests and woodland ravines of northern KwaZulu-Natal, Swaziland, Mpumalanga, Uganda, and Kenya. This species is widely used in traditional medicine within local communities in Eastern Africa, known to cure several ailments such as stomach-ache, constipation, toothache, common cold, cough, fever, muscle pains, weak points, measles, and malaria (Beentje and Adamson, 1994; Kokwaro, 2009). Previous phytochemical studies led to the isolation of a series of unique drimane sesquiterpenes. The biological activity of the drimane sesquiterpenoids is well documented and includes antimicrobial, antifungal, insect antifeedant, cytotoxic, molluscicidal, plant growth regulation, and skin irritant effects (Jansen and De Groot, 2004). Water extracts of *W. ugandensis* elicited antibacterial activity against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* and antifungal activity against *Candida albicans* (Olılä, 2001). Preliminary phytochemical analysis revealed qualitative as well as quantitative differences in the drimane sesquiterpene profiles of individual trees grown at the same location as well as of the different organs of one tree. Consequently, the pepper bark tree represents an interesting model to explore relationships between microbial endophytes and host plant secondary metabolites, not only in terms of obtaining insights on how those interactions affect biodiversity and community composition, but also in terms of how the content of active constituents in plants that are used in traditional medicine—drimane sesquiterpenes from *Warburgia* are even considered as anti-malaria drugs (Were et al., 2010; Wube et al., 2010)—can be affected by colonization with endophytic microbes.

For this study, two populations of *W. ugandensis*, one located west (Kitale) and one east (Rumuruti) of the Great Rift Valley, Kenya, Africa, were chosen. Kitale forest is a tropical area in western Kenya situated between Mount Elgon and the Cheringani Hills at an elevation of around 2000 m above sea level. The Rumuruti forest is a dry upland forest at an elevation of 1700–2000 m above sea level. Both differ in their humidity as documented by annual rainfall amounts. Based on AFLP comparisons, the two *Warburgia* populations were recently suggested to constitute two different species as a consequence of allopatric speciation, which also occurs for species of other genera that occur both west and east of the Great Rift Valley (Muchugi et al., 2008). At both locations, leaves and roots of ten individuals were sampled. Fruits only were available at the Rumuruti site and also included into the study. We performed a polyphasic approach combining a concomitant chemical analysis of secondary metabolites in *W. ugandensis* and cultivation-independent analysis of microbes colonizing this tree.
The literature suggests that interactions between endophytes and *Warburgia* secondary metabolites should be expected as not neutral ([Carter et al., 1999; Schulz and Boyle, 2005; Saunders and Kohn, 2009]). Following those assumptions we predict that:

1. Bacterial and fungal communities will resemble each other in both localities due to the selection of resistant and dominating genotypes.
2. Specific drimane sesquiterpene patterns will correlate with the presence of specific members of the endophytic microbial community, either due to their tolerance against host plant drimane sesquiterpenes or involvement in their biotransformation.
3. Drimane sesquiterpene diversity will correlate with microbial community diversity.

If, by contrast, the interactions are of more stochastic nature, we predict that

1. Bacterial and fungal communities will vary between individuals and localities with no recognizable clustering in terms of plant organ and study site.
2. No correlations will exist between the presence of specific strains in the microbial assemblage and the dominance of specific drimane sesquiterpenes in the profile.
3. Drimane sesquiterpene diversity will not correlate with microbial community diversity.

**METABOLITE EXTRACTION AND ANALYSIS**

Three grams dried and pulverized plant tissue (leaves, fruits, and roots) were extracted with 80 ml methanol for 24 h at ambient temperature. The extract was filtered (MN 615; Macherery-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and concentrated under vacuum. Two hundred mg crude extract were fractioned over Amberlite XAD-1180 (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland). Glass columns (15 mm diameter) were filled with 20 g resin and prepared according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Two 50 ml fractions were eluted, one with water, one with absolute ethanol. The evaporated eluates were dissolved in 10 ml methanol and stored at −20°C until further use. All used solvents were at least p.a. quality. This procedure was performed for all extracts to obtain fractions that could be analyzed in terms of drimane sesquiterpene composition. The crude extracts contained high quantities of sugar alcohols, specifically manniol. Metabolite quantitation only was performed with the ethanol fraction, in which the drimanes were accumulated but which still contained notable amounts of sugars and sugar alcohols. A clean separation proved impossible. Consequently, the ethanol fraction represented the only fraction that provided a dataset allowing a relative comparison of drimane sesquiterpenes, fatty acids and sugar alcohols, assuming that lower sugar alcohol or sugar amounts present in the ethanol fraction represent a lower ethanol—drimane sesquiterpene ratio in the crude extract.

For GC–MS measurements, 100 μg of the dried ethanolic eluate (Amberlite XAD fractionation were dissolved in 100 μl N-methyl-N-trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MS, USA) for derivatisation into trimethylsilyl ethers. One μl of this solution was injected into an AutoSystem XL gas chromatograph (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MS, USA) in the splitless mode, the injector temperature was 250°C. The column was a Zebron 5 ms column (18 m × 0.18 mm, 0.18 μm film thickness; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA), the helium flow rate 0.8 ml/min. The temperature gradient started at 70°C and, after 3 min, rose to 300°C at a rate of 3°C/min. The gas chromatograph was linked to a TurboMass™ quadrupole mass analyzer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MS, USA); the transfer line temperature was set to 280°C, the ion source to 200°C, the filament current to 70 eV. The mass spectrometer was run in the TIC mode from 40–620 amu. The obtained chromatograms were integrated with TurboMass 4.1.1 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MS) and the peak areas were expressed as relative amounts of the total peak area (100%). The majority of drimane structures were identified on basis of a tentative fragmentation pattern analysis of the silylated derivatives. Published structures, both from natural sources and from synthesis, served as templates for spectrum interpretation.

**DNA EXTRACTION**

Prior to isolation of microbial community DNA, microbial cells were dislodged from plant tissue as previously described ([Reiter and Sessitsch, 2006]). Therefore, leaves and roots were pulled out carefully of the agar and the remaining agar was removed thoroughly in sterile conditions. Surface disinfected fruits were cut open and the pulp was removed with a sterile spoon. DNA was isolated using the Fast DNA SPIN for Soil Kit (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH,) as described by the manufacturer with the following modifications. Bacterial pellets were re-suspended in Na₂PO₄
buffer, MT buffer was added and everything was transferred to the lysis matrix E tube followed by 30 s bead-beating with a bead beater (FastPrep FP 120, Bio101, Savant Instruments, Inc., Holbrook, NY).

T-RFLP ANALYSIS

Bacterial and fungal endophyte community profiles were examined by T-RFLP. Endophytic 16S rRNA genes were PCR-amplified using the primers 799F (5′-AAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) (Chelius and Triplett, 2001) and 1520R (5′-AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCCGCA-3′) (Edwards et al., 1989), which was labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein at the 5′ end. Partial fungal rRNA genes were PCR-amplified using the primers ITS1F (5′- CTGTTGTCATTTAGGAAGTG-3′) (Gardes and Bruns, 1993), which was labeled with 6-carboxyfluorescein at the 5′ end and ITS4 (5′- CGCCGTTACTGGAACACGCTATCC-3′) (White et al., 1990). For detailed description of PCR conditions see supplementary information.

T-RFLP and data collection has been done as described by Szukics et al. (2010). The analysis of the T-RFLP profiles (identification of peaks and binning of the different fragments lengths) was done by making use of the R functions available at http://www.ibest.uidaho.edu/tools/trfstats/index.php (Abdo et al., 2006).

DNA CLONE LIBRARIES

Ribosomal DNA libraries were constructed from a pool of aliquots of all DNA samples as well as selected plant samples making use of the Strata Clone PCR Cloning Kit (Stratagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and the StrataClone SoloPack E. coli competent cells (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For a more detailed description of the cloning procedure see supplementary information. Clones have been sequenced with the primer M13f and/or M13r making use of the sequencing service of LGC Genomics (Berlin, Germany). Retrieved sequences were visualized and vector sequences were removed with sequence alignment editor package of BioEdit (Ibis Biosciences, Carlbad, CA, USA). For identification sequences were subjected to the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis with the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database.

REAL-TIME PCR

Pseudomonadaceae-, Enterobacteriaceae- and Firmicutes-specific 16S rRNA genes within selected plant samples were analyzed in more detail by real-time PCR. Following primers were as used: Pseudomonadaceae: 8F: 5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGTCAG-3′ (White et al., 1990) and PSMgX: 5′-CCTTCTCTCCAACTT-3′ (Braun-Howland et al., 1993); Enterobacteriaceae: En-Isu-3F: 5′-TGCCGTATACCTCGAGGAG-3′ and En-Isu-3R: 5′-TCAAGGACCAAGTGTTGAGTTC-3′ (Matsuda et al., 2009) and Firmicutes 5′-CAGCAGTAGGGAATCTTC-3′ and 5′-CCGCGCTTACGTAAGTT-3′ (Pfeiffer et al., 2014). Automated analysis of PCR amplicon quantities was performed using the iCycler Optical System Software Version 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories). A more detailed description is given in supplementary information.

STATISTICS

Metabolite and endophytic T-RFLP patterns were analyzed by multidimensional scaling analyses (MDS) employing Bray–Curtis similarity as resemblance measure; similarity boundaries were determined by group average clustering of the Bray–Curtis similarity matrix. SIMPER analyses were performed to identify variables that contribute to similarities and dissimilarities of defined sample groups (Clarke, 1993). Diversity indices were calculated by using Fisher’s alpha diversity (Fisher et al., 1943). All these analyses and their respective visualizations were performed with Primer 6 (Primer-E Ltd., Plymouth, UK). For further multiivariate analyses, PCA and PLS regression, SIMCA-P 11 (Umetrics AB, Umeå, Sweden), was employed.

Parametric analyses of variance (ANOVA), provided normal distribution and variance homogeneity was given, or non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance, in case the above mentioned criteria failed, were carried out with respective multiple range tests (Scheffe, Bonferroni). These and simple linear regression analyses (minimum n = 3) were performed with Statgraphics Centurion XV (Statpoint Technologies, Inc., Warrenton, VA, USA).

NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE NUMBERS

The nucleotide sequences determined in this study have been deposited in the GenBank database; accession numbers will be provided as soon as possible.

RESULTS

METABOLITES, SPECIFICALLY DRIMANE SESQUITERPENES, CHARACTERIZE ORGANS

GC–MS analyses identified 173 analytes in the extracts from 10 root, 10 leaf, and 5 fruit accessions, the latter originating only from the locality Rumuruti (R1, R3, R4, R6, R10), of which 141 were assigned as terpenoids on basis of their fragmentation patterns, m/z of 91, 93, 103, 105, 109, 115, 117, 119, 120, 122, 129, 131, 133, and 135, indicating the presence of a largely oxygen-free saturated ring system. These fragments do not occur in this combination in spectra of other metabolites that are usually detected by GC–MS profiling of trimethylsilylated plant metabolites, such as mono-, di-, and trisaccharides (13), sugar alcohols (4), fatty acids (6) and glycerol, which also were detected. Only one triterpene was detected, β-sitosterol.

A multivariate analysis, MDS (non-metric multidimensional scaling) of a Bray–Curtis resemblance matrix, revealed an organ-specific clustering (Figure 1A) that was more pronounced when only drimane sesquiterpenes were included in the analysis (Figures 1C, 2D stress improving from 0.17 to 0.11). The two localities only differed in their leaf profiles; the root profiles overlapped and fruits also showed different patterns but unfortunately were available only from one locality. Metabolite diversity in each accession, Fisher’s α accounting not only for the number but also the abundance of each analyte, varied considerably (Figure 1B). Again, data set limitation to drimane sesquiterpenes increased differentiation between the accession groups (Figure 1D, see levels of significance); fruits showed the lowest diversity but highest dissimilarity (Table 1). On average, only half or less of the metabolites were shared by similar organ accessions from the
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**FIGURE 1** | Similarity and diversity of metabolites and endophyte communities of *Warburgia ugandensis*. All GC-MS detectable metabolites: MDS (A), Fishers' α (B), drimane sesquiterpenes: MDS (C), Fishers' α (D), bacterial T-RFLP (16S rRNA): MDS (E), Fishers' α (F), fungal T-RFLP (ITS1, ITS4): MDS (G), Fishers' α (H), accessions from two localities: Kitale (blue), Rumuruti (orange); levels of significance: 95% Bonferroni; leaves and roots ($n = 10$), fruits ($n = 5$).
same locality, the five fruit accessions only shared 34% of all analytes. The considerable metabolite variation was reflected in the low average similarity within and the high dissimilarity between the organ accessions from the two localities (Table 1). Only root profiles showed some similarity.

Besides fatty acids, sugars, and sugar alcohols—by far, mannitol was the most prominent metabolite in all samples, which had to be specifically fractioned to facilitate analysis of the drimane sesquiterpenes. The latter represented the characteristic secondary metabolites that were detected by GC–MS. Peaks that were identified contributing to similarity and dissimilarity of the accessions were subjected to a tentative structure elucidation by comparative fragment analysis. The classic phytochemical literature does not provide MS spectral information for silylated

**FIGURE 2 | Drimane sesquiterpene structures.** All structures are identified on basis of retention time comparison and MS fragment interpretation obtained in the GC-MS analysis (for details see Supplementary Data S1).
sesquiterpenes, in contrast to the majority of primary metabolites (Kopka et al., 2005). Usually, only MS spectra of the underivatized metabolites are available. The structures of all thus tentatively identified drimane sesquiterpenes are illustrated in Figure 2, numbered from 1 to 18. Their MS spectra and the tentative interpretation of the fragmentation pattern are presented in datasheet S1: 1, drimendiol, was originally isolated from Drymis winteri, also a member of the Canellaceae (Brown, 1994); 2, isodrimeninol, was discovered as metabolite of the moss Porella aboris-vitae (Asakawa et al., 1979) and the angiosperm Polygonum hydropiper (Asakawa et al., 1979); 3 is known as intermediate of lanosterol synthesis (Van Tamelen et al., 1982); 4 is a synthetic precursor of the drimane sesquiterpene polygodial (Jallali-Naini et al., 1981); 5 is a precursor in the chemical synthesis of warburganal (Nakata et al., 1980); 6, warburganal, was first isolated from the bark of W. ugandensis, the tree under investigation in this study (Kubo et al., 1976); 7, mukaadial, was also isolated from the same source as 6 (Kubo et al., 1983); 8 is unknown so far; 9, pereniporin A, was isolated from the basidiomycete Perenniporia medallaeepanis (Kida et al., 1986); 10 is unknown; 11 is unknown; 12, 12-Hydroxy-6-epi-albrassitriol, was isolated from an Aspergillus strain culture (Grabley et al., 1996); 13 was isolated from the fern Protowoodia manchuriensis (Tanaka et al., 1980); 14 is unknown; 15 is unknown; 16, deacetylugandensiolide, was first isolated from the heartwood of W. ugandensis (Brooks and Draffan, 1969); 17 is unknown; 18 is unknown.

Root accessions from both localities, Kitale and Rumuruti, showed the lowest percentage of dissimilarity, but similarity within them also was low, 40 and 45%, respectively (Table 1). The roots were characterized by the highly oxygenated drimane sesquiterpene alcohols 12 and 14, the dialdehyde 15, and the lactone deacetylugandensiolide (16); the single amounts varied considerably and this also contributed to dissimilarity (Table 2). The leaves differed not only from the roots, but also among each other. Kitale leaves were characterized by the drimane sesquiterpene dialcohol drimendiol, the acid 3, and the hemiacetal pereniporin A (9). By contrast, Rumuruti leaves showed the dialdehyde mukaadial (7) and the acids 10 and 11. In fruits, which were only available from some individuals at Rumuruti, again other drimane sesquiterpenes contributed to similarity: tril 5 and

| Table 1 | Similarity and dissimilarity between metabolite patterns, bacterial and fungal endophyte communities. |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|          | Kitale                           | Rumuruti                         |
|          | Leaves                           | Roots                            | Fruits                           | Leaves                           | Roots                            |
| **BACTERIA (TRFs)** | | | | | |
| Average similarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | 51 | 41 | 47 | 51 | 42 |
| Average dissimilarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | – | 61 | 64 | 52 | 65 |
| | Roots | 61 | – | 69 | 58 | 65 |  |
| | Fruits | 64 | 69 | – | 61 | 69 |  |
| | Leaves | 52 | 58 | 61 | – | 54 |  |
| | Roots | 65 | 65 | 69 | 54 | – |  |
| **BACTERIA (qPCR representing TRF 135)** | | | | | |
| Average similarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | 65 | 39 | 12 | 32 | 22 |
| Average dissimilarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | – | 62 | 91 | 57 | 73 |
| | Roots | 62 | – | 85 | 68 | 74 |  |
| | Fruits | 91 | 85 | – | 86 |  |  |
| | Leaves | 57 | 68 | 86 | – | 81 |  |
| | Roots | 73 | 74 | 92 | 81 | – |  |
| **FUNGI** | | | | | |
| Average similarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | 12 | 17 | 31 | 26 | 74 |
| Average dissimilarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | – | 91 | 98 | 93 | 98 |
| | Roots | 91 | – | 100 | 91 | 100 |  |
| | Fruits | 98 | 100 | – | 99 | 100 |  |
| | Leaves | 93 | 91 | 99 | – | 97 |  |
| | Roots | 98 | 100 | 100 | 97 | – |  |
| **METABOLITES** | | | | | |
| Average similarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | 50 | 45 | 34 | 47 | 40 |
| Average dissimilarity (%) | Kitale | Leaves | – | 90 | 87 | 75 | 88 |
| | Roots | 90 | – | 91 | 90 | 59 |  |
| | Fruits | 87 | 91 | – | 85 | 90 |  |
| | Leaves | 75 | 90 | 85 | – | 87 |  |
| | Roots | 88 | 59 | 90 | 87 | – |  |
Table 2 | Relevant Metabolites in the GC–MS profile.

| Bacteria | LEAVES | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Similarity:** | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
| **Kitale** | | | | | |
| Drimendiol (1) | 28 | 20 | 2.2 | 39 | 39 |
| Mannitol | 11 | 4 | 0.6 | 7 | 46 |
| Palmitic acid | 5 | 3 | 1.9 | 7 | 53 |
| 9 | 4 | 2 | 1.7 | 5 | 58 |
| Fructose | 7 | 2 | 0.8 | 4 | 62 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 65 |
| **Rumuruti** | | | | | |
| Palmitic acid | 15 | 11 | 2.0 | 23 | 23 |
| Mannitol | 17 | 8 | 0.9 | 18 | 41 |
| Mukaadial (7) | 11 | 5 | 1.4 | 11 | 52 |
| Glycerol | 9 | 5 | 0.8 | 10 | 62 |
| 10 | 3 | 2 | 2.8 | 5 | 67 |
| 11 | 3 | 2 | 1.7 | 4 | 71 |
| Fructose | 4 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 74 |

| Dissimilarity: | Av. diss. | Diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Drimendiol (1) | 13 | 1.9 | 18 | 18 |
| Mannitol | 8 | 1.2 | 11 | 29 |
| Mukaadial (7) | 5 | 1.0 | 7 | 36 |
| Palmitic acid | 5 | 1.4 | 7 | 43 |
| Glycerol | 4 | 1.3 | 6 | 49 |
| Fructose | 4 | 1.9 | 5 | 54 |

| FRUITS | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Similarity:** | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
| **Rumuruti** | | | | | |
| Warburganal (6) | 14 | 7 | 1.5 | 19 | 19 |
| 5 | 9 | 6 | 3.6 | 17 | 36 |
| Mannitol | 9 | 4 | 1.3 | 11 | 47 |
| Palmitic acid | 6 | 4 | 2.2 | 9 | 56 |
| myo-Inositol | 6 | 3 | 0.7 | 7 | 63 |

| Dissimilarity: | Av. diss. | Diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mannitol | 7 | 1.2 | 9 | 9 |
| Warburganal (6) | 7 | 1.1 | 9 | 18 |
| Mukaadial (7) | 5 | 1.0 | 7 | 25 |
| Palmitic acid | 5 | 1.4 | 6 | 31 |
| Glycerol | 4 | 1.3 | 5 | 36 |
| 5 | 4 | 2.3 | 5 | 41 |

(Continued)
Table 2 | Continued

| Bacteria | Roots | Kitale | Rumuruti |
|----------|-------|--------|----------|
|          | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
| **Similarity:** |       |       |          |          |       |       |       |          |          |       |       |
| **Kitale** |       |       |          |          |       |       |       |          |          |       |       |
| 14       | 15     | 10     | 1.4      | 22       | 22    | 15     | 6        | 4         | 3.1      | 11     | 33     |
| 12-Hydroxy-6-epi-albrassitriol (12) | 8 | 4 | 1.0 | 9 | 42 | 5 | 2 | 1.5 | 5 | 53 |
| Mannitol | 12     | 3      | 0.5      | 6        | 48    | 18     | 6        | 0.8      | 14       | 45     |
| 17       | 5      | 2      | 0.8      | 5        | 58    | 4      | 2        | 1.1      | 4        | 62     |
| Deacetylugandensiolide (16) | 4 | 2 | 0.8 | 5 | 56 |
| Raffinose | 3 | 2 | 1.1 | 4 | 66 |
| 13       | 4      | 2      | 1.1      | 4        | 66    | 15     | 6        | 0.9      | 16       | 16     |
| 14       | 11     | 6      | 1.3      | 15       | 31    | 18     | 6        | 0.8      | 14       | 45     |
| Mannitol | 12-Hydroxy-6-epi-albrassitriol (12) | 5 | 2 | 0.8 | 6 | 51 |
| 17       | 4      | 2      | 0.8      | 5        | 56    | 17     | 0.9     | 17       | 17       |
| 15       | 6      | 1.0    | 10       | 27       |       | 14     | 1.4     | 9        | 36       |
| 14       | 12-Hydroxy-6-epi-albrassitriol (12) | 3 | 1.3 | 6 | 42 |
| 18       | 2      | 0.8    | 4        | 46       |       | 18     | 0.9     | 17       | 17       |

**Dissimilarity:**

|          | Av. diss. | diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. | Av. diss. | diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|
| Mannitol |           | 0.9       | 17       | 17    |           | 0.9       | 17       | 17    |
| 15       |           | 1.0       | 10       | 27    |           | 1.0       | 10       | 27    |
| 14       |           | 1.4       | 9        | 36    |           | 1.4       | 9        | 36    |
| 12-Hydroxy-6-epi-albrassitriol (12) | 1.3 | 6 | 42 |
| 18       |           | 0.8       | 4        | 46    |           | 0.8       | 4        | 46    |

Contributions (Contr.) of specific metabolites (numbers 1–18 represent drimane sesquiterpenes whose structures are shown in Figure 2) of Warburgia ugandensis accessions from two localities in Kenya, Africa, to similarity (simil.) and dissimilarity (diss.) (SD, standard deviation, roots, leaves, n = 10; fruits, n = 5; Av. abund., average abundance).

Contributions to similarity and dissimilarity were observed for various metabolites. The sugar alcohol mannitol contributed to similarity in all analyzed organs; its detected concentration fluctuations, however, also added to the dissimilarity. In fruits, another sugar alcohol, was prominent, myo-inositol; in roots, it was the monosaccharide fructose. In aerial organs, palmitic acid was more prominent than in roots. These facts (Table 2) also contribute to the clustering shown in Figures 1A,C.

**Bacterial Endophytes Show Low Diversity and STOCHASTIC DISTRIBUTION**

Cultivation-independent analyses (T-RFLP, bacterial 16S rDNA) revealed a low complexity for all accessions; only three peaks were present (Table 3). One peak at 153 bp was present in all accessions with a generally higher intensity in roots than in leaves and an average relative abundance of 46%. One peak (300 bp) was found in twelve root samples, mainly from Kitale, as well as in two leaf samples with an average relative abundance of 7%. Finally, another peak (142 bp) was present in all but eleven samples showing an average intensity of 14%. Consequently, Bray–Curtis similarity analysis revealed no clustering of the bacteria assemblages, both in terms of locality and plant organ (Figure 1E). Fisher’s alpha was low in general and varied between 0.2 and 6.4 (Figure 1F). One-Way ANOVA and Scheffe’s multiple range test indicated significant differences for Rumuruti fruits, which showed the highest diversity; the lowest was found in the roots. In Kitale, the bacterial diversity in roots and leaves was more similar. SIMPER analysis identified the peak at bp 153 as the most responsible for the similarities within the bacterial communities, and its quantitative variation contributed most to the dissimilarity of the accessions (Table 3).

In order to identify the dominant genera in the bacterial assemblages we constructed a 16S rRNA gene clone library from a pool of aliquots of all DNA samples. Among 53 ribotypes five chimeric sequences, two chloroplast sequences and one mitochondrial sequence were found and excluded from further analysis. Two thirds of the clearly bacterial sequences showed at least 97% similarities to known 16S rRNA genes in the NCBI database, and 30% of the clones were distantly (92–96%) related to known species (datasheet S2). The majority (90%) of the sequences belonged to the Gammaproteobacteria, with 52% of the clones being Pseudomonadaceae, predominantly the genus Pseudomonas, and the rest Enterobacteriaceae. The remaining
Table 3 | Relevant bacterial T-RFs (T-RF 153 bp was resolved further by qPCR).

| Bacteria | LEAVES | Similarity: | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|----------|--------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Kitale   |        |             |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | 153    | 35          | 34         | 1.7        | 66         | 66       |
|          | Pseudomonadaceae | 82              |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | Enterobacteriaceae | 18              |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | 145    | 12          | 8          | 0.9        | 16         | 82       |
|          | 147    | 8           | 3          | 0.6        | 7          | 89       |
|          | 144    | 7           | 2          | 0.6        | 4          | 9        |
| Rumuruti |        |             |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | 153    | 52          | 45         | 2.0        | 89         | 89       |
|          | Pseudomonadaceae | 50              |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | Enterobacteriaceae | 44              |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | 145    | 5           | 1          | 0.3        | 3          | 92       |

| Dissimilarity: | Av. diss. | Diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| 153            | 9         | 1.3       | 13       | 13     |
| Pseudomonadaceae | 49      |           |          |        |
| Enterobacteriaceae | 41     |           |          |        |
| 115            | 8         | 0.9       | 12       | 25     |
| 145            | 8         | 1.2       | 12       | 37     |
| 141            | 5         | 0.9       | 8        | 45     |
| 147            | 5         | 0.9       | 7        | 52     |

| FRUITS | Similarity: | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|--------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Rumuruti |             |            |            |            |          |        |
|          | 153        | 31         | 27         | 2.0        | 58       | 58     |
|          | Enterobacteriaceae | 52             |          |            |          |        |
|          | Firmicutes  | 48         |            |            |          |        |
|          | 115        | 15         | 4          | 0.4        | 10       | 68     |
|          | 141        | 7          | 2          | 0.3        | 4        | 72     |

| Dissimilarity: | Av. diss. | Diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Fruits and leaves Rumuruti |             |            |          |        |
| 153            | 16        | 1.6       | 26       | 26     |
| 115            | 8         | 0.9       | 13       | 39     |
| Pseudomonadaceae | 44      |           |          |        |
| Enterobacteriaceae | 33      |           |          |        |
| Firmicutes     | 10        |           |          |        |
| 141            | 5         | 0.9       | 8        | 47     |
| 145            | 3         | 0.7       | 5        | 50     |
| 72             | 3         | 0.8       | 5        | 53     |

(Continued)
Table 3 | Continued

| Bacteria | ROOTS | Av. abund. | Av. simil. | Simil. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|-----------|-------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--------|
| Kitale    |       | 153        | 37         | 28         | 1.3      | 70     | 70     |
| Pseudomonadaceae | | 39         |            |            |          |        |        |
| Enterobacteriaceae | | 31         |            |            |          |        |        |
| Firmicutes | 300 (Paenibacillaceae) | 15 | 8 | 1.0 | 19 | 89 |
|           | 145   | 4          | 1          | 0.5        | 3        | 92     |

| Rumuruti  |       | 153        | 59         | 40         | 1.3      | 95     | 95     |
| Pseudomonadaceae | | 62         |            |            |          |        |        |
| Firmicutes |            | 35         |            |            |          |        |        |

| Dissimilarity: | Av. diss. | Diss. /SD | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| Kitale        | 153       | 20        | 1.6      | 31     | 31     |
| Pseudomonadaceae | | 46        |            |        |        |
| Enterobacteriaceae | | 40        |            |        |        |
| Firmicutes    | 300 (Paenibacillaceae) | 7        | 1.1      | 11     | 42     |
|               | 298       | 5         | 0.4      | 8      | 50     |

SIMPER analyses (Bray Curtis similarity): Contributions (Contr.) of bacterial TRFs (bp) and qPCR (Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadaceae, Firmicutes, the former two γ-Proteobacteria and the latter Bacilli, which more or less represent the TRF at 153 bp) of Warburgia ugandensis accessions from two localities in Kenya, Africa, to similarity (simil.) and dissimilarity (diss.) (SD, standard deviation, roots, leaves, n = 10; fruits, n = 5; Av. abund., average abundance).

clones belonged to the divisions of *Actinobacteria* (5%) and *Firmicutes* (5%).

To gain further insights into the bacterial community in individual trees, 16S rRNA gene clone libraries from eight selected accessions, two leaf and root accessions from each locality, were constructed. About 96 clones of each cloning experiment were analyzed by RFLP profiling and sequencing. In all libraries, the majority of clones belonged to *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacillus* group (data not shown). Altogether five families were identified, *Pseudomonadaceae*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Bacillaceae*, *Paenibacillaceae*, and *Staphylococcaceae*, with the latter being present only in Kitale leaf accession L1. The main difference between the individual clone libraries was the varying *Pseudomonadaceae–Enterobacteriaceae* abundance ratio. Quantitative PCR detection of 16S rDNA genes specific for the taxa *Firmicutes*, *Enterobacteriaceae* and *Pseudomonadaceae* confirmed the clone library data. Conversely, some accessions contained mainly *Pseudomonadaceae* and hardly any *Enterobacteriaceae* or *Firmicutes* and again other accessions contained no *Pseudomonadaceae* but were dominated by *Enterobacteriaceae* or *Firmicutes*, respectively (Figure 3). In assumptions that T-RFs, which are found in a profile and in a DNA sequence, are identical if they do not differ more than in 2 bp, the T-RF at 153 bp could be assigned to the *Gammaproteobacteria* and some *Bacillaceae*. The peak at 300 bp was most probably derived from *Paenibacillaceae*. No sequence was found corresponding to the T-RF at 142 bp. The cloning and qPCR data corroborate the low complexity and relative uniformity of the bacterial T-RFLP profiles, but also indicate strong individual variation in the bacterial assemblages within individual plant tissues that is hidden within the 153 bp peak in the T-RFLP profile.

LOCALITY AFFECTS DIVERSITY OF DISSIMILAR FUNGAL COMMUNITIES

Cultivation-independent analysis of fungal communities (T-RFLP, ITS1) in *W. ugandensis* detected 178 TRFs ranging from 35 to 500 bp in all analyzed accessions. In contrast to bacterial assemblages, fungal T-RFLP profiles varied strongly; no specific TRFs dominated the profiles, resulting in low average similarity and high dissimilarity (Table 1). Only a few common peaks were found in T-RFLPs from both sites. The Bray–Curtis similarity analysis (Figure 1G) revealed some tendencies for organ-specific clustering within roots, leaves and fruits of Rumuruti trees, but not for Kitale trees. Fungal diversity was generally higher in all Kitale accessions (Figure 1H). Root T-RFLPs showed no common peak that contributed to the similarity of accessions from the same location. Notably, Rumuruti roots were characterized by a peak at 72 bp. This TRF was found with an average abundance of...
FIGURE 3 | Distribution of bacterial families in leaves and roots of *Warburgia ugandensis*. Quantitative determination of Bacilli and γ-Proteobacteria (Pseudomonadaceae and Enterobacteriaceae) was carried out on basis of taxa specific quantitative PCR for all individuals (L, leaf; R, root) from each of the two accessed localities, Kitale and Rumuruti. (A) Relative distribution of Bacilli, Pseudomonadaceae and Enterobacteriaceae describing the composition of peak at bp 153 in the 16S rDNA TRFLP analysis. (B) Occurrence of 16S rDNA gene copies of Bacilli, Pseudomonadaceae and Enterobacteriaceae (copy numbers per ng DNA).

In order to identify the dominant species in the fungal assemblages in *W. ugandensis* trees, we constructed an ITS region clone library from a pool of aliquots of all DNA samples. In total, 96 clones were analyzed and assigned by RFLP analysis to 47 ribotypes for which sequences were determined (datasheet S3). Three % were chimeric sequences and excluded from further analysis. The clearly non-chimeric sequences belonged to Ascomycota (81%) and Basidiomycota (16%). The two biggest classes of fungi were Dothidiomycetes (28%) and Sordariomycetes (27%), followed by Microbotryomycetes with 16%. The remaining sequences could be assigned to the classes of Saccharomycetes (12%), Leotiomycetes (12%), Pezizomycetes (2%), Eurotiomycetes (2%), and Tremellomycetes (1%). The library comprised a total number of 20 fungal species; the basidiomycete *Sporidobolus ruinae* was the most abundant, representing 16% of the clones in the library. None of the identified clones unambiguously correlated with the peak at bp 72.

LOW OR NO CORRELATION WAS FOUND BETWEEN HOST METABOLITES AND ENDOPHYTE COMMUNITIES

Explorative PLS regression (not shown) indicated only a few correlations between *Warburgia* metabolites and predominance of specific microbial community member groups, which were explored further by simple regression analyses. The identification of correlations in the data was hampered by the fact that similarity within accession groups was rather low, in many cases less than 50%. This not only applied to drimane sesquiterpenes but also to bacterial and fungal endophyte communities. Thus we defined the following criteria for acceptable correlations: (1) the correlation had to be supported by at least three cases (n ≥ 3); (2) reproducibility was to be at least 90% (p ≤ 0.1), and (3) at least 50% of all cases should support the correlation (r² ≥ 0.5). Table 5 summarizes the results. Accordingly, the more consistently occurring metabolites, such as palmitic acid and the sugar alcohol mannitol, show higher correlation than the more variable drimane sesquiterpenes. In roots, the bacterial T-RFs and the fungal TRFs at 141 and 157 bp both

83% in the accessions and not present in those from Kitale (Table 4).
Table 4 | Relevant fungal T-RFs.

| LEAVES | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kitale | | | | |
| 422 | 8 | 2 | 0.4 | 17 | 17 |
| 133 | 6 | 2 | 0.4 | 13 | 30 |
| 139 | 6 | 2 | 0.4 | 11 | 41 |
| 433 | 4 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 57 |
| 419 | 3 | 0 | 0.3 | 3 | 60 |
| 129 | 2 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 63 |
| 407 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 66 |
| 408 | 3 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 69 |
| Rumuruti | | | | |
| 76 | 13 | 7 | 1.0 | 29 | 29 |
| 79 | 12 | 7 | 1.1 | 28 | 57 |
| 469 | 13 | 4 | 0.4 | 15 | 72 |
| 149 | 9 | 3 | 0.5 | 10 | 82 |
| 432 | 2 | 1 | 0.9 | 4 | 86 |

Dissimilarity:

| LEAVES | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kitale | | | | |
| 469 | 6 | 0.8 | 7 | 7 |
| 79 | 6 | 1.4 | 7 | 14 |
| 79 | 6 | 1.5 | 6 | 20 |
| 467 | 5 | 0.4 | 6 | 26 |
| 149 | 5 | 1.0 | 5 | 31 |
| 422 | 4 | 0.9 | 5 | 36 |
| 419 | 4 | 0.3 | 5 | 41 |
| 133 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 44 |
| 139 | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 47 |
| 129 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 50 |
| Rumuruti | | | | |
| 469 | 6 | 0.8 | 7 | 7 |
| 79 | 6 | 1.4 | 7 | 14 |
| 79 | 6 | 1.5 | 6 | 20 |
| 467 | 5 | 0.4 | 6 | 26 |
| 149 | 5 | 1.0 | 5 | 31 |
| 422 | 4 | 0.9 | 5 | 36 |
| 419 | 4 | 0.3 | 5 | 41 |
| 133 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 44 |
| 139 | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 47 |
| 129 | 3 | 0.7 | 3 | 50 |

| FRUITS | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rumuruti | | | | |
| 179 | 27 | 16 | 1.1 | 52 | 52 |
| 171 | 25 | 10 | 0.8 | 33 | 85 |
| 47 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 94 |

Dissimilarity:

| FRUITS | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Rumuruti | | | | |
| 179 | 14 | 1.7 | 14 | 14 |
| 171 | 12 | 1.2 | 12 | 26 |
| 76 | 6 | 1.4 | 7 | 33 |
| 469 | 6 | 0.8 | 6 | 39 |
| 79 | 6 | 1.6 | 6 | 45 |
| 149 | 5 | 0.8 | 5 | 50 |

SIMPER analyses (Bray Curtis similarity): Contributions (Contr.) of fungal T-RFs (bp) of Warburgia ugandensis accessions from two localities in Kenya, Africa, to similarity (simil.) and dissimilarity (diss.) (SD, standard deviation, roots, leaves, n = 10; fruits, n = 5; Av. abund., average abundance).

DISCUSSION

Drimane sesquiterpenes diversity turned out to be higher than that of bacterial and fungal endophyte communities, both in the leaves and roots the two accessed sites. The T-RFLP patterns of all assessed accessions did not form any specific clusters in terms of collection site and plant organ. By contrast, metabolite patterns, especially if only drimane sesquiterpenes were considered, formed organ-specific clusters. Leaf profiles differed between Kitale and Rumuruti, but root patterns were similar (Figures 1A,C). The Rumuruti leaves contained the dialdehyde mukaadial (7) and the corresponding acids 10 and 11; the Kitale leaves showed drimenadiol (1) as major compound, which represents the most reduced correlated with palmitic acid and mannitol. Leaf endophytes, by contrast, did not correlate with palmitic acid, but with the sugars glucose and fructose and the sugar alcohol quercitol. Without exception, all correlations were positive (Table 5). On the contrary, drimane sesquiterpenes showed much fewer correlations; the majority was positive, but also three negative correlations were found, the ester 4 with the fungal TRF 141, isodrimenol (2) and deacetylugandensiolide (16) with Firmicutes rDNA copy numbers. The same drimanes, however, 2 and 16, also were positively correlated, 2 with bacterial TRF 165, similarly as 12-hydroxy-epi-albrassitriol (12), and 16 with root-occurring Enterobacteriaceae rRNA gene copy numbers. The latter phenomenon was detected in both localities, Kitale and Rumuruti. Further positive correlations comprised the alcohol 5 and its oxidized derivative 8 with Pseudomonadaceae rRNA gene copy numbers.

Moreover the metabolite diversity in W. ugandensis trees did not correlated.

With bacterial and fungal endophyte diversity, at least on basis of GC–MS and T-RFLP results (Table 6).

Table 4 | Continued

| ROOTS | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kitale | | | | |
| 133 | 8 | 1 | 0.3 | 9 | 44 |
| 76 | 6 | 1 | 0.4 | 8 | 52 |
| 423 | 4 | 1 | 0.7 | 7 | 60 |
| 140 | 5 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 65 |
| 129 | 3 | 1 | 0.6 | 5 | 70 |
| 141 | 5 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 75 |
| 131 | 2 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 78 |

Dissimilarity:

| ROOTS | | | Contr. % | % cum. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Kitale | | | | |
| 72 | 83 | 72 | 4.6 | 98 | 98 |
| 75 | 7 | 0.5 | 7 | 48 |
| 149 | 6 | 0.6 | 6 | 54 |
| 133 | 4 | 0.6 | 4 | 58 |
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Table 5 | Correlations of metabolites with microbial community components.

|        | 4  | 5  | 8  | 2  | 12 | 16 | Palmitic acid | Fructose | Glucose | Mannitol | Quercitol |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| **KITALES** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Leaves (%) | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 22.4 | 29.5 | 2.5 | 45.6 |
| Roots (%)  | 0.7 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 4.6 | 12.5 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 81.8 |

| **Leaves** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Bacteria   | 165^A | 0.77^a (0.59)^b | 0.78^a (0.62)^b |          |          |          |          |
| Fungi      | 141^A | 0.92** (0.85)^p | 0.89** (0.79)^c |          |          |          |

| **Roots** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Bacteria   | Enterobact.^B | 0.94** (0.88)^f | 0.70* (0.49)^d | 300^A | 0.73* (0.53)^d | 0.90* (0.82)^p | 141^A |
| Fungi      | Pseudomon.^B | 157^A | 0.96* (0.91)^c | 0.90* (0.80)^e | 0.90** (0.88)^f | 0.99** (0.97)^p | 141^A |

| **RUMURUTI** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Fruits     | 1.1 | 1.4 | 19.0 | 21.8 | 8.1 | 33.0 | 18.0 |
| Leaves (%) | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 13.9 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 45.7 |
| Roots (%)  | 1.9 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 11.4 | 83.3 |

| **Fruits** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Bacteria   |  0.99* (0.97)^a |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| Fungi      |  46^A |          |          |          |          |          |          |

| **Leaves** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Bacteria   | Firmicutes^B | 0.99** (0.99)^b |          |          |          |          |          |
| Fungi      | 79^A |          |          | 0.84* (0.71)^f |          |          |          |

| **Roots** |    |    |    |    |    |    |               |          |         |          |          |
| Bacteria   | Firmicutes^B | 0.97** (0.94)^b |          |          |          |          |          |

Numbers represent drimane sesquiterpene structures shown in Figure 2. Occurrence (tissues and accessions, % total peak area, mean, n = 10).

^A: TRFs
^B: qPCR, linear model y = a + bx, correlation coefficient [r^2].
± n = 3.
Β n = 4.
^c n = 5.
^d n = 6.
*p ≤ 0.10.
**p ≤ 0.05; Enterobact., Enterobacteriaceae; Pseudomon., Pseudomonadaceae; TRFs: bacteria: 165, not specified; 300, Paenibacillaceae; fungi: 46, not specified: 79, Penicillium sp.; 132, Cordyceps sinensis, Fimetariella rabenhorstii, Colletotrichum truncatum or Fusarium sp.; 141 and 157, not specified; negative correlations are marked in red.
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Table 6 | Correlation of metabolite with bacterial and fungal endophyte diversity.

|        | $p$  | $R^2$ |
|--------|------|-------|
| **KITALE** |      |       |
| Leaves |      |       |
| Bacteria | 0.83 | 0.64  |
| Fungi   | 0.15 | 24.26 |
| Roots  |      |       |
| Bacteria | 0.54 | 4.94  |
| Fungi   | 0.18 | 21.09 |
| **RUMURUTI** |      |       |
| Leaves |      |       |
| Bacteria | 0.32 | 12.31 |
| Fungi   | 0.15 | 23.85 |
| Roots  |      |       |
| Bacteria | 0.34 | 11.19 |
| Fungi   | 0.19 | 20.82 |
| **ALL** |      |       |
| Bacteria | 0.74 | 0.32  |
| Fungi   | 0.78 | 0.22  |

Fisher’s $a$ coefficients were determined for metabolite and bacterial and fungal endophyte diversity for 10 individual each from two population of Warburgia ugandensis in Kenya (Africa); ALL, correlation of all assessed data from both localities; metabolite diversity was determined by GC–MS and bacterial and fungal by T-RFLP (linear model, $y = a + bx$).

The co-occurring hemiacetal 7 and the simple acid 3 somehow suggest a lower degree of oxidation in Kitale leaf tissues than in those of Rumuruti. Oxidation reactions on drimane sesquiterpene structural diversity will be discussed in detail later in this text. If the more humid climate of the Kitale site, which was suggested by more intensive colonization by epiphytic lichens and ferns, caused this phenomenon can only be clarified after more study sites have been studied with a focus on this aspect.

Bacterial endophyte diversity was low in the investigated Warburgia ugandensis trees, and the abundant genera Pseudomonas, Pantoea, Bacillus/Paenibacillus represented both endophyte species frequently encountered in other plant species (Rosenblueth and Martínez-Romero, 2006). A recent review on the diversity of endophytic bacteria in forest trees (Izumi, 2011) pointed out that Gammaproteobacteria belong to the most prominent gram-negative bacterial endophytes, but also mentioned Alphaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria, which we did not detect as endophytes in Warburgia. The genus Pantoea is less often reported from tropical trees, but has been identified as endophyte in other tree genera including Conzattia, Eucalyptus and Populus (Wang et al., 2006; Izumi, 2011). The analysis of clone libraries suggested that bacterial colonization may be more a stochastic process. The high observed variability of Pseudomonadaceae and Enterobacteriaceae between the single accessions (Figure 3) that does not correlate with the composition of host secondary metabolites provides some support for this hypothesis.

In contrast to bacterial endophytes, fungi were more diverse, at least in Kitale. The T-RFLP profiles did not reveal any dominating bands in any accessed organs at any site, except perhaps for Kitale roots, which, however, were colonized by a very species-poor endophytic fungal community. The majority of the detected genera are known to occur as endophytes: Cladosporium (Guo et al., 2000), Epicoccum (Jumpponen and Jones, 2009), Cryptococcus (Schweigkofler and Prillinger, 1999), Sporormiell (Suryanarayanan et al., 1998), Penicillium (Narayana et al., 2002), Kabatiella (Butin, 1992), Lecythophora (de Errasti et al., 2010), Coniochaeta (anamorph Lecythiforma) (Weber, 2002), Nigrospora (Soca-Chafre et al., 2011), Cordyceps (Rubini et al., 2005), Fimetariella (Martin-Garcia et al., 2012), Fusarium (Verma et al., 2011), Neurospora (Qi et al., 2009). Others have so far not been detected as endophytes: Gloetinia temulentia is a grass pathogen (Hardison, 1962); Pseudaleuria is a soil fungus (Xu et al., 2012); Zopfiella latipes is a marine fungus, but can colonize Phragmites (Poon and Hyde, 1998).

Contrary to initial expectations, the endophyte communities of the pepper bark tree do not differ from literature reports from other trees that much. The variation of drimane sesquiterpene profiles that was hinted in preliminary investigations was confirmed, but no substantial correlations were found with endophyte community composition. This suggests that any potential antimicrobial metabolites—drimane sesquiterpenes reportedly possess this activity (Wube et al., 2005)—do not affect the formation of an endophytic lifestyle in case of the Warburgia colonizers.

We may only speculate that the endophytic strains colonizing the pepper bark tree have evolved strategies to avert the toxic effects of host drimane sesquiterpenes with which they might come into contact in some stage of their life history. Due to potential hydroxyl radical formation following reduction of molecular oxygen in the presence of ferrous iron catalysts, drimane sesquiterpenes may cause oxidative stress in the affected plant tissue. A study exploring the metagenome of the rice root endophytic community identified the expression of glutathione synthase genes, a metabolite that is known to mitigate oxidative stress, as consequence of endophyte colonization amongst others (Sessitsch et al., 2012). Tolerance against oxidative stress may represent a widespread trait in soil microbes; their nutrition depends on the decomposition of organic polymers, an oxidative process that also may involve the formation of hydroxyl radicals in the Fenton reaction or the activity of oxidative enzymes (ten Have and Teunissen, 2001). The survival and growth of bacteria in a Fenton reaction milieu was demonstrated at least in vitro (Howesawang et al., 2001). Consequently, evolved tolerance to exposure to oxidative stress might help soil microbes to colonize plant tissues and help endophytes in tolerating toxic secondary metabolites of the host plant in general and in establishing populations in tissue of W. ugandensis trees in particular. This study, however, does not provide any information on the susceptibility of the endophytic strains against drimane sesquiterpenes. Isolation and functional characterization of endophytes of Warburgia ugandensis will give more insights into the strategies that have been evolved by microbes to avert the toxic effects of host drimane sesquiterpenes.
Conversely, although drimane sesquiterpenes constitute an efficient chemical defense, they may pose a threat to the producer itself by causing autotoxic effects. This is illustrated by a study showing that high amounts of accumulated cyanogenic glycosides may cause severe autotoxic effects during strong frost periods which severe the tissue and in particular the storage compartments of the secondary metabolites that become activated by contact with sugar-cleaving enzymes (Daday, 1965). Warburgia tissues contain comparatively large amounts of the sugar alcohol mannitol; sugar alcohols have been shown to protect against hydroxyl radicals (Smirnoff and Cumbes, 1989). It is quite feasible assuming that the high amounts of mannitol in the pepper bark tissue are linked to the drimane sesquiterpenes and aim to keep the oxidative effects of drimanes from destroyed compartments at a tolerable level. This potential incurring of protective costs might explain why drimane sesquiterpenes are only utilized by few organisms despite their wide distribution in secondary-metabolite-producing organisms (Jansen and De Groot, 2004).

Another aspect merits consideration: the microbial communities that colonize Warburgia tissues do not differ substantially from those reported to colonize other trees. In diverse plant communities, plants with extreme chemical defenses most probably have low effects on the assemblage of possible microbial colonization candidates. Those, which stochastically colonize Warburgia tissues, may be doomed, but others, which colonize also other plants, will survive, propagate and build assemblages of microbes that are able to colonize Warburgia. Deterministic and stochastic factors both can shape the specific composition of these assemblages in a complex and difficult-to-elucidate fashion. This also complicates and unambiguous the decision if endophytes affect the biosynthesis of and the yield of secondary metabolites in their host plants.

Warburgia ugandensis is a tree species with high ethnopharmacological relevance. In traditional local medicine the powdered bark is usually taken orally as aqueous infusion, smoked, or mixed with fat and applied externally as an ointment for treatment of a broad range of human diseases including measles and malaria (Beentje and Adamson, 1994; Kokwaro, 2009). The existence of this tree species in its natural environment is however under severe threat. Deforestation and unsustainable use (harvest of roots and barks) results in drastic loss of these trees. Knowledge of the factors determining the variation in the patterns of drimane sesquiterpenes could help to identify individuals with high yield production traits for drimane sesquiterpenes in order to identify suitable genotypes or cultivation practices for plantations of this tree. This would substantially increase the value of this tree species for local farmers and facilitate preservation programs. The genetic background of drimane sesquiterpene biosynthesis in Warburgia ugandensis is still poorly understood. Muge and colleagues isolated and characterized a partial gene encoding for a sesquiterpene synthase (Muge, 2008). Variations in the drimane sesquiterpene content in different plant organs of the tree may be explained partly by plant tissue specific expression of genes for secondary metabolites (Kombrink and Somssich, 1995). However the actual reasons for the strong individual variations in the drimane sesquiterpene pattern in the pepper bark tree still remain obscure.

In conclusion, our study revealed that (1) the endophyte community of the tropical tree Warburgia ugandensis resembles at the genus level that of trees in temperate climates; (2) the endophyte community is not shaped by host drimane sesquiterpenes; (3) the diversity of the endophytic microflora in Warburgia ugandensis does not correlate with that of host drimane sesquiterpenes; and (4) other factors rather than endophytic microbes might be responsible for the high variations in the content and composition of drimane sesquiterpenes in the pepper bark tree.

Further studies including also other tropical trees are required to explore if the conclusions from this paper can be confirmed on a broader scale. Ideally, such studies should consider the effect of climatic stress such as drought or high light exposure for the individual trees, if possible, to facilitate a more insightful assessment of the implications of variation in the analyzed microbial assemblages in geographically different host populations. Endophytes can affect the metabolism and health of their hosts in some cases, but they may fail to do so in other cases (Porras-Alfaro and Bayman, 2011). Amensalistic, commensalistic and mutualistic relations can occur. The unbiased assessment of endophytes (that report positive, negative, and null relationships) will be required to obtain insights into the potential effects of microbial endophytes on the development and metabolism of the host plant as well as contributions to its resistance against various forms of abiotic and biotic stress.
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Supplementary Data S1 | Tentative Structures of all Drimane Sesquiterpene Analytes from Warburgia ugandensis. This file provides information on which the tentative structure identification of drimane sesquiterpenes is based in this study. Each analyte is presented on three pages: Page 1 contains the tentative structure with the analysis retention time, page 2 presents the MS spectrum together with the structure of the derivatized analyte, and page 3 illustrates structure fragments corresponding to specific fragments in the EI–MS spectrum.

The tentative structure assignment is based on these data for each analyte respectively. The numbering corresponds to that presented in Figure 2.

Table S2 | Bacterial endophytes from leaves, fruits and roots of Warburgia ugandensis.

Table S3 | Endophytic fungi from leaves, fruits and roots of Warburgia ugandensis.

Material and Methods. Supplementary Information.
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