IMPORTANCE

RSV is a major cause of infant bronchiolitis and polymorphisms in the IFN system are known to impact disease severity. Inhibited type I IFNs may therefore be useful in dampening inflammation in lung diseases characterized by enhanced inflammatory cytokine production.

ABSTRACT

Type I interferons (IFNs) are produced early upon virus infection and signal through the alpha/beta interferon (IFN-α/β) receptor (IFNAR) to induce genes that encode proteins important for limiting viral replication and directing immune responses. To investigate the extent to which type I IFNs play a role in the local regulation of inflammation in the airways, we examined their expression in the lungs of RSV-infected mice. IFNAR1-deficient (IFNAR1−/−) mice displayed increased lung viral load and weight loss during RSV infection. As expected, expression of IFN-inducible genes was markedly reduced in the lungs of IFNAR1−/− mice. Surprisingly, we found that the levels of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the lungs of RSV-infected mice were also greatly reduced in the absence of IFNAR signaling. Furthermore, low levels of proinflammatory cytokines were also detected in the lungs of IFNAR1−/− mice challenged with noninfectious innate immune stimuli such as selected Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists. Finally, recombinant IFN-α was sufficient to potentiate the production of inflammatory mediators in the lungs of wild-type mice challenged with innate immune stimuli. Thus, in addition to its well-known role in antiviral resistance, type I IFN receptor signaling not only induce an antiviral state but also serve to amplify proinflammatory responses in the respiratory tract. We also confirm this conclusion in another model of acute inflammation induced by noninfectious stimuli. Our findings are of relevance to human disease, as RSV is a major cause of infant bronchiolitis and polymorphisms in the IFN system are known to impact disease severity.
rather than viral factors and has recently been associated with polymorphisms in several innate immunity genes, in particular many that control the IFN system (11–14). The IFN system may therefore be a key regulator of RSV-induced lung inflammation.

To test the impact of type I IFNs on viral infections, IFNAR1-deficient (IFNAR1−/−) mice, which lack all signaling in response to IFN-α/β (15), have been widely used. For infections such as with reovirus or Chikungunya virus, loss of IFNAR signaling is detrimental, leading to overwhelming infection and death (16, 17). For some viruses (including influenza virus and RSV), the effect of IFNAR1 deficiency is not as severe and does not impact on survival from infection (18, 19).

Whether type I IFNs are involved only in inducing an antiviral state in the lung or whether they have a more general effect in regulation of lung inflammation has not been fully elucidated. Here, we address this question by comparing inflammation in the lungs of wild-type and IFNAR1-deficient mice in response to challenge with selected TLR agonists or RSV infection. Surprisingly, in lungs of wild-type and IFNAR1-deficient mice in response to challenge, wild-type (wt; C57BL/6) and IFNAR1-deficient mice displayed similar levels of IFN-α/β mRNA at any time point (Fig. 2C), in contrast to wt mice after RSV infection or in wt mice inoculated with a TLR agonist. Thus, the IFNAR-dependent positive-feedback loop for type I IFN expression, the exact number of copies of the gene of interest was calculated using a plasmid DNA standard curve for each gene. Results were normalized using Gapdh mRNA levels, and mRNA was induced in IFNAR1−/− mice and had detectable L gene in the lungs at day 14 p.i. compared to only 1 of 12 mice in the wt group (Fig. 1A). The increase in lung viral load was accompanied by greater weight loss, a measure of infection severity (25). IFNAR1−/− mice started to lose weight at day 5, lost significantly more weight than infected wt mice, and had a slower recovery (Fig. 1B).

RESULTS

Type I, II, and III interferon production is abrogated in IFNAR1−/− mice infected with RSV. In order to investigate the role of IFNAR signaling in lung inflammation induced by infectious challenge, wild-type (wt; C57BL/6) and IFNAR1-deficient (IFNAR1−/−) mice were infected i.n. with RSV. We first assessed the effect of IFNAR deficiency on viral control. IFNAR1−/− mice showed a significantly higher viral load in the lung, as measured by the copy number of viral L gene RNA, compared to wt mice from 8 h postinfection (p.i.) until day 14 p.i. (the latest time point studied; Fig. 1A). A delay in viral clearance was also apparent, as 11 of 12 IFNAR1−/− mice had detectable L gene in the lungs at day 14 p.i. compared to only 1 of 12 in the wt group (Fig. 1A).

We then assessed the levels of IFNs in the lung early after infection by mRNA analysis and protein detection. IFN-α in the BAL fluid of wt mice was detected from 8 h.p., with peak production at 12 to 18 h.p. In contrast, no IFN-α was detectable in IFNAR1−/− mice after RSV infection or in wt mice inoculated with UV-inactivated RSV (Fig. 2A and data not shown). Both wt and IFNAR1−/− mice displayed similar levels of IFN-β mRNA at 4 h.p., but this increased 100-fold at later time points in wt but not IFNAR1-deficient mice (Fig. 2B). This is consistent with the known IFNAR-dependent positive-feedback loop for type I IFN production (26). IFN-γ (mRNA or protein) was not detected in IFNAR1−/− mice at any time point (Fig. 2C), in contrast to wt mice, which showed IFN-γ levels peaking at 12 and 18 h.p. for mRNA and protein, respectively. Furthermore, although expression of IFN-λ mRNA was induced in IFNAR1−/− mice, it was manifestly lower than in wt controls and did not result in detect-

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice, virus stocks, TLR agonists, cytokines, and infection. Six- to 10-week-old C57BL/6 (Harlan or Charles River, United Kingdom) or IFNAR1−/− mice on a C56BL/6 background (obtained from C. Reis e Sousa, London Research Institute, London, United Kingdom) were maintained under pathogen-free conditions under UK Home Office guidelines.

Plaque-purified human RSV (originally strain A2 from the ATCC, United States) was grown in HEP-2 cells (20). Age- and sex-matched mice were lightly anesthetized and infected intranasally (i.n.) with 2 × 10^6 focus-forming units (FFU) of RSV in 100 μl. For determination of cellular composition in the BAL fluid, cells were transferred onto a microscope slide (Thermo Scientific) using a cytospin centrifuge and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E; Reagena). Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was carried out by flushing 3 times with 1 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5 mM EDTA. For determination of cellular composition in the BAL fluid, cells were transferred onto a microscope slide (Thermo Scientific) using a cytospin centrifuge and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E; Reagena).

Chemokine and cytokine detection. Chemokines and cytokines were quantified by a 20-plex Luminesa kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies), and data were acquired with a Bio-plex 200 system (Bio-Rad laboratories, United Kingdom). The concentration of cytokines in each sample was determined according to the standard curve using the Bio-plex 6 software (Bio-Rad laboratories). IFN-α/β, CXCL1, CXCL10, Ccl2, Il6, Il1b, and Mx1 were determined. Analyses were performed using 7500 Fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Statistical analysis. Results are presented as means ± standard error of the means (SEM). The significance of results was analyzed by two-tailed, nonparametric, unpaired Mann-Whitney test (Prism software; Graph-Pad Software Inc.) and is indicated in the figures as follows: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001). P values of <0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Type I, II, and III interferon production is abrogated in IFNAR1−/− mice infected with RSV. In order to investigate the role of IFNAR signaling in lung inflammation induced by infectious challenge, wild-type (wt; C57BL/6) and IFNAR1-deficient (IFNAR1−/−) mice were infected i.n. with RSV. We first assessed the effect of IFNAR deficiency on viral control. IFNAR1−/− mice showed a significantly higher viral load in the lung, as measured by the copy number of viral L gene RNA, compared to wt mice from 8 h postinfection (p.i.) until day 14 p.i. (the latest time point studied; Fig. 1A). A delay in viral clearance was also apparent, as 11 of 12 IFNAR1−/− mice had detectable L gene in the lungs at day 14 p.i. compared to only 1 of 12 in the wt group (Fig. 1A). The increase in lung viral load was accompanied by greater weight loss, a measure of infection severity (25). IFNAR1−/− mice started to lose weight at day 5, lost significantly more weight than infected wt mice, and had a slower recovery (Fig. 1B).

We then assessed the levels of IFNs in the lung early after infection by mRNA analysis and protein detection. IFN-α in the BAL fluid of wt mice was detected from 8 h.p., with peak production at 12 to 18 h.p. In contrast, no IFN-α was detectable in IFNAR1−/− mice after RSV infection or in wt mice inoculated with UV-inactivated RSV (Fig. 2A and data not shown). Both wt and IFNAR1−/− mice displayed similar levels of IFN-β mRNA at 4 h.p., but this increased 100-fold at later time points in wt but not IFNAR1-deficient mice (Fig. 2B). This is consistent with the known IFNAR-dependent positive-feedback loop for type I IFN production (26). IFN-γ (mRNA or protein) was not detected in IFNAR1−/− mice at any time point (Fig. 2C), in contrast to wt mice, which showed IFN-γ levels peaking at 12 and 18 h.p. for mRNA and protein, respectively. Furthermore, although expression of IFN-λ mRNA was induced in IFNAR1−/− mice, it was manifestly lower than in wt controls and did not result in detect-

RNA-to-cDNA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Applied Biosystems). To quantify mRNA levels in lung tissue, real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed. For mRNA analysis, the following primers and probes were used: for Tfna, forward primer, 5’-CATTTCTTCAAATTTGAGTTGAGA-3’, reverse primer, 5’-TGCGGTAGTACAGGTACACCC-3’, and probe, 5’-FAM-CACGCGTGAGAACAT-3’; and for Ifng, forward primer, 5’-TCAGTGCCATAGTGGAGAAGAA-3’, reverse primer, 5’-TGCGCTCGAGATTTCTGTT-3’, and probe, 5’-FAM-TCCATCTTTGGCCAGTT-MAMA-3’. Quantitative PCRs (qPCRs) for Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Oasl, Ifit1, and Mx1 were performed using primers and probes previously described (20, 22–24). The assay was performed using the Quantitect Probe PCR master mix (Qiagen) and the 7500 Fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). For absolute quantification, the exact number of copies of the gene of interest was calculated using a plasmid DNA standard curve for each gene. Results were normalized using Gapdh mRNA levels, and mRNA was induced in IFNAR1−/− mice and had detectable L gene in the lungs at day 14 p.i. compared to only 1 of 12 mice in the wt group (Fig. 1A). The increase in lung viral load was accompanied by greater weight loss, a measure of infection severity (25). IFNAR1−/− mice started to lose weight at day 5, lost significantly more weight than infected wt mice, and had a slower recovery (Fig. 1B).

We then assessed the levels of IFNs in the lung early after infection by mRNA analysis and protein detection. IFN-α in the BAL fluid of wt mice was detected from 8 h.p., with peak production at 12 to 18 h.p. In contrast, no IFN-α was detectable in IFNAR1−/− mice after RSV infection or in wt mice inoculated with UV-inactivated RSV (Fig. 2A and data not shown). Both wt and IFNAR1−/− mice displayed similar levels of IFN-β mRNA at 4 h.p., but this increased 100-fold at later time points in wt but not IFNAR1-deficient mice (Fig. 2B). This is consistent with the known IFNAR-dependent positive-feedback loop for type I IFN production (26). IFN-γ (mRNA or protein) was not detected in IFNAR1−/− mice at any time point (Fig. 2C), in contrast to wt mice, which showed IFN-γ levels peaking at 12 and 18 h.p. for mRNA and protein, respectively. Furthermore, although expression of IFN-λ mRNA was induced in IFNAR1−/− mice, it was manifestly lower than in wt controls and did not result in detect-
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able protein in BAL fluid (Fig. 2D). These data confirm and extend a previous study showing that expression of type I IFNs is reduced in RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− mice (9).

We assessed whether the reduced levels of all IFNs in IFNAR1−/− mice impacted the expression of selected interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs). CXCL10 could not be detected at either the mRNA or the protein level in lungs of IFNAR1−/− mice but was induced in wt mice (Fig. 2E). Ddx58 (RIG-I) mRNA levels

FIG 2 Interferon responses after RSV infection of IFNAR1−/− and wt mice. C57BL/6 wt and IFNAR1−/− mice were intranasally infected with 2 × 10⁶ FFU of RSV. (A) The level of IFN-α was determined in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid by ELISA. (B) RNA was isolated from lungs, and gene expression levels of IFN-β were determined by qPCR. (C to E) IFN-γ (C), IFN-λ (D), and interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) CXCL10 (E) gene expression levels in lung tissue and protein production in BAL fluid were quantified. (F and G) RIG-I (Ddx58) (F) and Mx-1 (G) mRNA was determined by qPCR. Gene expression relative to GAPDH was calculated for CXCL10, RIG-I, and Mx-1. For IFN-β, IFN-λ, and IFN-γ, copy numbers were determined using a plasmid standard. The detection limit for all assays was 200 copies and is represented by the dotted line. Data shown are representative of at least 2 experiments with 4 or 5 mice per group. Error bars indicate the SEM. Significance when comparing RSV-infected wt with RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− mice: **, P ≤ 0.01; *, P ≥ 0.05.
Increased induction of proinflammatory cytokine mRNA in IFNAR1−/− mice during RSV infection. C57BL/6 wt and IFNAR1−/− mice were intranasally infected with 2 × 10⁶ FFU of RSV. (A) RNA was isolated from lungs, and gene expression levels of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α were determined by qPCR. (B) Percentage of neutrophils in the BAL fluid at indicated days postinfection. Data shown are pooled data from 3 individual experiments with 3 to 5 mice per group in each experiment. (C) CXCL1 (KC) gene expression levels in lung tissue and protein production in BAL fluid were quantified. Gene expression relative to GAPDH was calculated for IL-6, IL-1β, and CXCL1. For TNF-α, copy numbers were determined using a plasmid standard. Dotted lines represent the detection limit. Data shown are representative of at least 2 experiments with 4 or 5 mice per group, or for CXCL1 data shown are pooled data from 2 individual experiments with 3 to 5 mice per group in each experiment. Error bars indicate the SEM. Significance when comparing RSV-infected wt with RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− mice: ***, P ≤ 0.001; **, P ≤ 0.01; *, P ≤ 0.05.
tion of IL-1β mRNA was reduced in lungs of IFNAR1−/− mice (Fig. 5A). A similar pattern was detected at the protein level: IFN-α was not induced after poly(I-C) treatment, and IL-6 was not induced by any of the TLR agonists in IFNAR1−/− mice (Fig. 5B). Thus, the lack of type I IFN receptor decreases the lung inflammatory response provoked by innate stimulation of the airways.

**IFN-α potentiates proinflammatory cytokine induction.** The above results suggested that type I IFNs might potentiate proinflammatory responses in the lung. To explicitly test this hypothesis, one representative type I IFN, IFN-α11, was administered intranasally to mice concomitantly with innate immune challenge. The dose of IFN-α was similar to a dose previously used to inhibit RSV infection (8), and LPS was chosen as the stimulus because it does not induce high expression of type I IFNs in the lung (data not shown). Mice that received both IFN-α and a suboptimal dose of LPS showed increased lung expression of mRNAs encoding IL-6 and TNF-α (Fig. 6A) or IFN-γ (data not shown) 24 h postchallenge compared to mice that received only LPS or IFN-α. Interestingly, intranasal administration of IFN-α alone was sufficient to induce an increase in IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-1β mRNA at 12 h (Fig. 6B) and IL-6 and TNF-α mRNA in the lung at 24 h (Fig. 6A). This was not observed in IFNAR1−/− mice, indicating that the effect is dependent on signaling via IFNAR (Fig. 6) and not due, for example, to a contaminant. We conclude that type I IFNs markedly potentiate acute proinflammatory responses induced by innate immune stimulation of the airways.

**DISCUSSION**

Type I IFNs are produced early after viral infection as a first line of host defense. They act on all cell types via the ubiquitously expressed IFNAR to induce increased expression of more than 300 different genes whose products eventually interfere with viral replication and viral spread, as well as lead to the initiation of immune responses (3, 10). Previous studies addressing the role of type I IFNs in the lung have focused mainly on adaptive immunity (8, 9, 19, 27, 28). Thus, the importance of type I IFNs signaling for the early innate immune response in the lung remains elusive. In this study, we uncover a general role for IFNAR signaling in amplifying acute lung inflammatory responses to innate stimuli. Further, we provide in vivo evidence for an important role of IFNAR in innate resistance to RSV lung infection and show that signaling through IFNAR is necessary for coordinating the inflammatory response to the virus. Our data suggest that type I IFNs are pivotal contributors to lung inflammation.

We anticipated that other IFNs might compensate for the lack of type I IFN signaling during RSV infection, as previously shown for influenza virus (9, 29–31), but were surprised to find that our data did not support this hypothesis; neither IFN-λ nor IFN-γ was upregulated in the lungs of IFNAR1−/− mice during the early stages of RSV infection. Instead, expression of all IFNs and ISGs was decreased in RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− mice compared to wt controls. This suggests that type I IFNs are involved in controlling the expression of IFN-α/β, IFN-γ, IFN-λ, and ISGs during RSV infection. For IFN-α/β, this is expected because type I IFN pro-
duction relies on a positive-feedback loop through the type I IFN receptor (26). In addition, previous studies have shown that type I IFNs play a critical role in induction of IFN-β/H9253 gene expression through the activation of STAT4 (32,33) or increased signaling through other cytokine receptors such as IFN-α/H9253 receptor by increased levels of STAT1 (19,34). Furthermore, since the IFN responses were reduced in IFNAR1/H11002/H11002/H11002 mice, this resulted in a diminished induction of ISGs as has previously been shown for TLR stimulation (35,36) and for bone marrow-derived DCs (BMDCs) stimulated with RSV (37). Therefore, type I IFN production with subsequent IFNAR signaling is a key component of the entire IFN response early after RSV infection.

Surprisingly, our data indicate that type I IFN production and subsequent IFNAR signaling are also a key component of the entire inflammatory response. Indeed, we found that the induction of proinflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-6, IL-1β/H9252, and TNF-α/H9251) was abrogated in the lungs of IFNAR1/H11002/H11002/H11002 mice after RSV infection. A similar pattern was seen in BAL fluid for a broader array of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Furthermore, IFNAR deficiency decreased the induction of proinflammatory cytokines in response to airway challenge with different innate immune stimuli, and IFN-α/H9251 augmented the proinflammatory response to LPS stimulation. Also, IFN-α alone given intranasally drove a rapid and transient induction of proinflammatory cytokines in
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**FIG 5** Induction of cytokines after intranasal challenge of IFNAR1/H11002/H11002/H11002 and wt mice with innate stimuli. C57BL/6 wt and IFNAR1/H11002/H11002/H11002 mice were intranasally challenged with TLR agonists [CpG, 1.25 μg/g body weight; poly(I·C), 3.5 μg/g body weight; LPS, 500 ng/g body weight]. Lungs and BAL fluid were collected 24 h postchallenge. (A) RNA was isolated from lungs, and gene expression levels of IFN-α/H9253, CXCL10, IL-6, IL-1β/H9252, and TNF-α/H9251 were determined by qPCR. Gene expression relative to GAPDH was calculated for IL-1β/H9252, IL-6, and CXCL10. For IFN-α/H9253 and TNF-α/H9251, copy numbers were determined using a plasmid standard. (B) IFN-α/H9253 and IL-6 detected in the BAL fluid using ELISA. Data shown are pooled data from 2 individual experiments with 4 or 5 mice per group in each experiment. Error bars indicate the SEM. Significance when comparing RSV-infected wt with RSV-infected IFNAR1/H11002/H11002/H11002 mice: ***, P ≤ 0.001; **, P ≤ 0.01; *, P ≤ 0.05.
the lung. It has been shown that bone marrow-derived macrophages can produce CCL2 after stimulation with IFN-β (38) and that recombinant IFN-α (rIFN-α) potentiates serum TNF-α response to LPS administration (39). Furthermore, the dependence on IFNAR signaling for cytokine production has previously been suggested in studies where BMDCs from IFNAR1−/− mice were intranasally challenged with rIFN-α (500 ng/mouse), and lungs were collected after 12 h. RNA was isolated from lungs, and gene expression levels of IL-6 and TNF-α were determined by qPCR. Gene expression relative to GAPDH was calculated. Proinflammatory cytokines are known to cause discomfort and reduce appetite, leading to weight loss (10, 45). Oddly, we observed increased weight loss during RSV infection in IFNAR1−/− mice, even though proinflammatory cytokines were not detected. The weight loss during RSV infection coincides with the infiltration of T cells (days 5 to 7 p.i.) and is reduced if T cells are depleted (46). However, during both RSV and Sendai virus infections, virus-specific T cells in IFNAR1−/− mice are generated in numbers comparable to those seen in wt mice (references 19 and 47 and data not shown). Therefore, we suppose that the increased weight loss in the IFNAR1−/− mice is not a manifestation of the immune response but, rather, a direct consequence of increased viral load and possible associated cytopathic damage to the lung structure and epithelial barrier.

The notion that weight loss can be a direct manifestation of RSV load has been previously suggested (25). Consistent with that notion, we found that IFNAR1−/− mice were more permissive to RSV infection both as measured by weight loss and as measured by quantitating viral RNA in the lungs. This is in contrast to results from other groups who have found no effect of IFNAR in the resistance to RSV (19, 31) and might be explained by the use of distinct mouse strains (19), volumes and virus titers used for infections, virus purity (31), and/or methods for viral detection (qPCR versus plaque assay). In addition, differences in microbiota among mice from different animal facilities might be a contributing factor, as increasingly appreciated (48).

In summary, our study shows that lack of signaling through the IFNAR has a negative impact on the production of proinflammatory cytokines in the lung both after exposure to different innate stimuli and during RSV infection. This reveals a dual role for type I IFNs during respiratory infections, limiting viral replication while at the same time regulating the cytokine milieu. Furthermore, it suggests that an excessive induction of type I IFNs could potentially be detrimental for the host by driving excessive lung inflammation. The demonstration that type I IFNs can amplify lung inflammation when given exogenously may have applications in the design of vaccines or therapeutics for use at mucosal

nopathology induced by systemic Candida albicans infection (3, 40, 41). There are several possible mechanisms that could explain our findings. First, proinflammatory cytokines are regulated mainly by the transcription factor NF-κB. Synergy between IFNAR signaling and NF-κB pathways has been suggested (36), and a recent report revealed multiple IFN-stimulated pathways that can activate NF-κB (42). However, NF-κB is activated at 0.5 to 1.5 h after RSV inoculation independently of viral replication (43). This could explain the early induction of some cytokines such as IFN-β, TNF-α, and CXCL1, which were detected at 4 h p.i. in IFNAR1−/− mice. Another possibility is that the expression of molecules involved in virus recognition (e.g., RIG-I), signaling (e.g., MyD88), and cytokine production (e.g., MTOR) (44) is dependent on type I IFN receptor signaling. A third possibility is that the cellular source of the proinflammatory cytokines needs to be recruited into the lung and that this recruitment is dependent on IFNAR signaling. That source is unlikely to be neutrophils, as we observed comparable induction of CXCL1 and early infiltration of neutrophils into the airways of RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− and wt mice.

Proinflammatory cytokines are known to cause discomfort and reduce appetite, leading to weight loss (10, 45). Oddly, we observed increased weight loss during RSV infection in IFNAR1−/− mice, even though proinflammatory cytokines were not detected. The weight loss during RSV infection coincides with the infiltration of T cells (days 5 to 7 p.i.) and is reduced if T cells are depleted (46). However, during both RSV and Sendai virus infections, virus-specific T cells in IFNAR1−/− mice are generated in numbers comparable to those seen in wt mice (references 19 and 47 and data not shown). Therefore, we suppose that the increased weight loss in the IFNAR1−/− mice is not a manifestation of the immune response but, rather, a direct consequence of increased viral load and possible associated cytopathic damage to the lung structure and epithelial barrier.

The notion that weight loss can be a direct manifestation of RSV load has been previously suggested (25). Consistent with that notion, we found that IFNAR1−/− mice were more permissive to RSV infection both as measured by weight loss and as measured by quantitating viral RNA in the lungs. This is in contrast to results from other groups who have found no effect of IFNAR in the resistance to RSV (19, 31) and might be explained by the use of distinct mouse strains (19), volumes and virus titers used for infections, virus purity (31), and/or methods for viral detection (qPCR versus plaque assay). In addition, differences in microbiota among mice from different animal facilities might be a contributing factor, as increasingly appreciated (48).

In summary, our study shows that lack of signaling through the IFNAR has a negative impact on the production of proinflammatory cytokines in the lung both after exposure to different innate stimuli and during RSV infection. This reveals a dual role for type I IFNs during respiratory infections, limiting viral replication while at the same time regulating the cytokine milieu. Furthermore, it suggests that an excessive induction of type I IFNs could potentially be detrimental for the host by driving excessive lung inflammation. The demonstration that type I IFNs can amplify lung inflammation when given exogenously may have applications in the design of vaccines or therapeutics for use at mucosal

FIG 6 Recombinant IFN-α can amplify proinflammatory cytokine induction. (A) C57BL/6 wt mice were intranasally challenged with a suboptimal dose of LPS (50 ng/g body weight) with or without recombinant IFN-α11 (rIFN-α11) (500 ng/mouse), and lungs were collected after 24 h. (B) C57BL/6 wt and IFNAR1−/− mice were intranasally challenged with rIFN-α (500 ng/mouse), and lungs were collected after 12 h. RNA was isolated from lungs, and gene expression levels of IL-6 and TNF-α were determined by qPCR. Gene expression relative to GAPDH was calculated. Error bars indicate the SEM. Significance when comparing RSV-infected wt with RSV-infected IFNAR1−/− mice: ***; P ≤ 0.001; **; P ≤ 0.01; *; P ≤ 0.05.
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