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Abstract

**Purpose** To provide imaging evidence of the feasibility and clinical efficacy of a new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique by comparing its imaging parameters to those of single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation.

**Methods** A retrospective analysis was conducted on 64 patients with multisegment cervical spondylotic myelopathy caused by cervical stenosis. Of these, 32 underwent the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique (observation group), and 32 underwent single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation (control group). The CT data of both groups were imported into Mimics 17.0 software to measure the median sagittal diameter and cross-sectional area of the spinal canal. Photoshop CS5 was employed to measure the drift distance of the spinal cord on MR images to perform a comparative study of the imaging parameters from the two groups.

**Results** The T2-weighted MR images in both groups showed continuous recovery of the cerebrospinal fluid signal in the C3–C7 range. The new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique was significantly superior to single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation with respect to the spinal canal cross-sectional area and the median sagittal diameter (P<0.05). No significant difference was detected in the drift distance of the spinal cord between the two groups (P>0.05).

**Conclusion** The new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique achieved a thorough spinal canal decompression effect on imaging while ensuring a reasonable spinal drift distance and few surgical complications. The clinical curative effect of the new technique was precise.

Introduction

Multisegment cervical spondylotic myelopathy is a neck condition that arises from degenerative changes in the cervical region of the spine. Cervical spinal stenosis resulting from multisegment disc herniation, ligament hypertrophy, and ossification hyperplasia compresses or stimulates the cervical spinal cord as well as the associated blood vessels, leading to sensory, motor, and reflex dysfunction in the spinal nerves. After onset, the progression of cervical spinal spondylosis is associated with the development of complications. Several investigators [1–2] have chosen posterior cervical surgery for treatment owing to its relative safety, effectiveness, and low rate of spinal cord injury during surgery.

In 1977, Hirabayashi et al. [3] invented a single-door spinal canal enlargement laminectomy, which directly relieved posterior spinal cord compression and expanded the sagittal diameter of the cervical spinal canal. Simultaneously, the “bowstring principle” formed by physiological cervical lordosis in the cervical spinal cord was used to make the spinal cord drift backward to achieve an indirect decompression effect on the anterior and flank side of the spinal cord [4]. Subsequently, additional studies on posterior cervical surgery, the development of internal fixation technologies, and various improved posterior cervical surgeries have continued to emerge. The study by O’Brien et al. [5] showed that the use of a titanium miniplate to fix the lamina could provide strong support, protecting the dura mater effectively with improved stability. Single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation is effective and reliable. However, injury to the posterior cervical muscle ligament complex can be large, and the spinous process can deviate from the midline, which would disrupt the stability of the cervical spine and cause axial symptoms [6]; the incidence of these complications is 45–80% [7].

Based on single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation, we have developed a new type of spinal canal enlargement that lifts the lamina and preserves the posterior cervical ligament complex. It maintains the stability of the cervical spine, effectively leading to a reduced incidence of axial symptoms. The present study reviewed the imaging data of two groups of patients with multisegment cervical spondylotic myelopathy treated with either the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique or single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation. The cross-sectional
area and the median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal and the distance of the spinal cord drift were measured and compared to evaluate the feasibility and advantages of the new type of spinal canal enlargement.

**Materials And Methods**

**General data and grouping**

The current clinical research protocol fulfilled the requirements of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Second Hospital of Shanxi Medical University (2018LL039). All participants provided signed informed consent prior to registration.

A total of 32 patients with multisegment cervical spondylotic myelopathy underwent a new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique in our hospital between February 2017 and September 2018 and comprised the observation group (28 males and 4 females); the mean age was 57.3±1.7 (range, 49–76) years. Another group of 32 patients treated with single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation comprised the control group (26 males and 6 females); the mean age was 56.8±1.6 (range, 46–77) years. No significant difference was detected in the baseline data between the two groups. Subsequently, preoperative and postoperative cervical X-ray, cervical CT, and cervical MRI were performed.

Inclusion criteria: 
1. Clinical symptoms and imaging examination leading to a diagnosis of cervical spondylotic myelopathy caused by cervical segmental disc herniation (≥ 3 segments) or cervical stenosis due to continuous posterior longitudinal ligament ossification;
2. complete and clear preoperative and postoperative imaging data (X-ray, CT, MRI);
3. complete follow-up data for >3 months; and
4. completion of the clinical research protocol after provision of consent by the patients.

Exclusion criteria: 
1. refusal of the treatment plan;
2. cervical vertebrae infection, fracture, tumor, and dysplasia;
3. a combination of basic diseases such as severe diabetes and high blood pressure and an inability to undergo surgery; and
4. incomplete clinical data.

**Surgery**

The operations for the two groups were performed by the same doctors. The patients were administered general anesthesia and placed in a prone position. The head and neck were slightly flexed and fixed by a stent. The skin and subcutaneous tissue were cut layer-by-layer until the spinous processes of the C2-T1 vertebrae were exposed.

Surgical procedure for the observation group: The bilateral paravertebral muscles were removed under the periosteum on both sides of the spinous process, exposing the C3–7 bilateral lamina and articular processes. Throughout the procedure, the C3–7 spinous processes, superior ligaments, and interspinous ligaments were preserved. Meanwhile, their connections with the posterior cervical muscle ligament complexes of C2 and T1 were not cut off. Holes were drilled in the bilateral laminae of the C3–7 segments, and screws were preplaced into the holes. The C3–7 bilateral inner and outer bone cortices of the laminae were gradually removed at the junction of the articular process and lamina. Suitably sized self-developed microsupport titanium plates were selected and fixed to both sides of the C3–7 vertebrae to achieve full lamina back shift expansion (Figures 1, 2, and 3). During the operation, the posterior dura mater of the C3–7 was not compressed, and the spinal cord was adequately decompressed. Then, an indwelling drainage catheter was implanted, and the surgical incision was closed layer-by-layer to complete the operation (Figures 3, 4, and 5).

Surgical procedure for the control group: The bilateral paravertebral muscles were removed under the periosteum on both sides of the spinous process, exposing the C3–7 bilateral lamina and articular processes. At the junction of the lamina and facet joint, a high-speed drill was used to make a groove in the bilateral lamina. The left side retained the inner panel as the hinge, while further drilling was performed on the right side to create the door in C3–7. During the operation, the cervical
spinal cord achieved sufficient bulging, and a preformed steel plate was placed on the open side of C3–7. An indwelling drainage catheter was implanted, and the incision was sutured layer-by-layer to complete the operation.

Data measurement

Cross-sectional area and median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal

The cervical CT data (64-slice CT 0.625 mm thin-layer scan) of 62 patients were imported into Mimics 17.0 software in DICOM format for evaluation.

a. Cross-sectional area

The segmentation function in Mimics 17.0 software was used to select the measurement area. The ability to automatically identify the edge of the spinal canal [8] allowed measurement of the cross-sectional area of the spinal canal for the C3–7 segments at the midpoint plane of the pedicle. The posterior edge of the vertebral body or the posterior margin of the pathological placeholder, such as the intervertebral disc or the posterior longitudinal ligament ossification, was chosen as the anterior border of the spinal canal. The posterior border was defined as the anterior edge of the segmental lamina and both sides of the inner edge of the pedicle. The preoperative cross-sectional area was defined as $S_1$, and the postoperative area was defined as $S_2$. Thus, the enlarged area was calculated as $S_2 - S_1$.

b. Median sagittal diameter

The median sagittal diameter of the C3–7 segments was measured on the sagittal images. The distance was estimated from the midpoint of the posterior margin of the vertebral body to the midpoint of the lamina (except for the pathological placeholders such as prominent discs and bone hyperplasias). The preoperative median sagittal diameter was defined as $d_1$, and the postoperative diameter was defined as $d_2$; thus, the enlarged distance was calculated as $d_2 - d_1$.

Spinal cord drift distance

The preoperative and immediate postoperative central sagittal cervical MRI T2-weighted images were used to measure the distance from the midpoint of the posterior border of the C3–7 segments to the center of the spinal cord with Photoshop CS5 software. The preoperative distance was $b_1$, and the postoperative distance was $b_2$; thus, the spinal cord drift was calculated as $b_2 - b_1$.

Statistical data processing

Statistical analysis of the measurement data was performed using SPSS21.0 software and is represented by the mean and standard deviation ($\pm$). The preoperative and postoperative parameters in one group and the parameters of the patients in the observation and control groups were compared by completely randomized independent samples t-tests at the $\alpha=0.05$ level.

Results

A significant difference was detected between the preoperative and postoperative cross-sectional area of the spinal canal for each group ($P < 0.05$ for both). The enlarged cross-sectional area in each segment after surgery of the observation group
was significantly better than that of the control group (P < 0.05 for all) (Table 1, Figs. 6 and 7).

Table 1

Comparison of the cross-sectional and enlarged areas between the two groups before and after the operation (mm²)

| Segment | Observation group (n = 32) | Control group (n = 32) | t     | P     |
|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|
|         | Preoperative              | Postoperative          | Enlarged area | Preoperative | Postoperative | Enlarged area |
| C3      | 152.19 ± 9.19             | 283.09 ± 15.67         | 130.90 ± 20.52 | 158.88 ± 11.17 | 219.43 ± 15.83 | 60.56 ± 17.33 | -10.476 < 0.001 |
| C4      | 168.92 ± 9.54             | 349.73 ± 18.14         | 180.81 ± 18.86 | 146.60 ± 8.57  | 215.49 ± 13.38 | 68.89 ± 18.03 | -17.16 < 0.001 |
| C5      | 231.80 ± 19.84            | 472.28 ± 29.15         | 240.48 ± 35.43 | 201.98 ± 12.57 | 254.15 ± 14.46 | 52.17 ± 17.3 | -19.104 < 0.001 |
| C6      | 284.09 ± 22.79            | 430.03 ± 26.95         | 145.93 ± 36.94 | 221.99 ± 20.92 | 301.73 ± 20.95 | 79.74 ± 29.76 | -5.582 < 0.001 |
| C7      | 286.96 ± 21.97            | 440.12 ± 26.98         | 153.16 ± 36.28 | 230.41 ± 20.15 | 302.92 ± 21.08 | 72.51 ± 30.54 | -6.803 < 0.001 |

Note: a: P < 0.05 vs preoperative area; b: Significant difference vs the corresponding enlarged area of the observation group.

A significant difference was detected between the preoperative and postoperative median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal for each group (P < 0.05 for both). The enlarged median sagittal diameter in each segment of the observation group was significantly better than that of the control group (P < 0.05) (Table 2, Figs. 8 and 9).

Table 2

Comparison of the median sagittal diameter and enlarged distance between the two groups before and after the operation (mm)

| Segment | Observation group (n = 32) | Control group (n = 32) | t       | P     |
|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------|
|         | Preoperative              | Postoperative          | Enlarged distance | Preoperative | Postoperative | Enlarged distance |
| C3      | 7.70 ± 0.49               | 13.01 ± 1.05           | 5.31 ± 1.13      | 9.16 ± 0.71  | 13.66 ± 0.84 | 4.51 ± 1.06 | 2.074 0.047 |
| C4      | 8.00 ± 0.40               | 16.80 ± 1.39           | 8.8 ± 1.28       | 7.69 ± 0.51  | 14.66 ± 1.12 | 6.97 ± 1.2 | -4.163 < 0.001 |
| C5      | 8.35 ± 0.39               | 18.63 ± 1.69           | 10.28 ± 1.68     | 8.51 ± 0.50  | 15.62 ± 1.34 | 7.11 ± 1.23 | -6.067 < 0.001 |
| C6      | 10.22 ± 0.50              | 19.68 ± 1.23           | 9.46 ± 1.48      | 10.03 ± 0.50 | 16.96 ± 1.56 | 6.93 ± 1.57 | -4.689 < 0.001 |
| C7      | 8.91 ± 0.44               | 18.14 ± 0.90           | 9.22 ± 1.12      | 10.17 ± 0.49 | 16.67 ± 1.03 | 6.5 ± 1.29 | -6.37 < 0.001 |

Note: c: P < 0.05 vs preoperative distance; d: Comparison of the enlarged distance between the two groups.

Immediately after surgery, the T2-weighted MR images of both groups showed continuous recovery of the cerebrospinal fluid signal for the C3–7 segments. No significant difference was detected in the overall and per-segment postoperative spinal cord drift distance between the two groups (P > 0.05) (Table 3).
Table 3
Comparison of the postoperative spinal cord drift distances in each segment for the two groups (mm)

| Segment | Observation group (n = 32) | Control group (n = 32) | t     | P     |
|---------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|
| C3      | 2.04 ± 0.10                | 1.98 ± 0.10            | 1.855 | 0.073 |
| C4      | 3.30 ± 0.13                | 3.25 ± 0.13            | 0.987 | 0.331 |
| C5      | 3.50 ± 0.13                | 3.47 ± 0.18            | 0.455 | 0.659 |
| C6      | 3.30 ± 0.17                | 3.27 ± 0.12            | 0.660 | 0.514 |
| C7      | 2.48 ± 0.08                | 2.44 ± 0.14            | 1.084 | 0.289 |

Discussion

Feasibility and curative effect analysis of the new spinal canal enlargement technique

Compared to single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation, the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique involves cutting the lamina on both sides to move the freed bone posteriorly and elevate it, enlarging the cross-sectional area and increasing the median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal. The new procedure achieved a thorough decompression effect, providing sufficient space for the drifting and swelling of the spinal cord. Our previous research showed that the visual analog scale (VAS) scores of patients undergoing this new surgical treatment decreased from 7.3 to 1.6, and the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) score improved from 6.9 before the operation to 13.4 at the last follow-up. Furthermore, the percentage of neurological improvement was 87.23 ± 3.81% [9]. Li et al. pointed out that [10] for a median spinal canal sagittal diameter of 136.2%, postoperative spinal cord compression was significantly relieved. Kohno et al. also found that [11] an adequate surgical effect was achieved when the median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal was enlarged by 5 mm. The degree of median sagittal diameter expansion in each segment following the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement exerted a clinically significant effect. Some investigators reported [12–13] that when “reclosure” occurred, the cross-sectional area and the median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal decreased, leading to recurrence of preoperative symptoms and delayed recovery of spinal cord function. This conclusion also indicated proved that the postoperative spinal canal cross-sectional area and the expansion of the median sagittal diameter are critical parameters for determining the curative effect of posterior cervical decompression surgery.

Single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation is currently used for the treatment of cervical spondylotic myelopathy caused by cervical spinal stenosis and has satisfactory clinical effects [14]. The current study found that the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique was better than single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation in terms of the expansion of the cross-sectional area and the median sagittal diameter of the spinal canal. Therefore, the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique had a definite and reliable clinical effect.

Effect of the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement on C5 nerve root palsy

C5 nerve root palsy may occur after posterior cervical decompression, mainly manifesting as deltoid muscle and biceps weakness and thereby affecting the immediate quality of life of the patients after surgery and reducing the degree of surgery satisfaction [15]. The incidence after single-door laminoplasty is 5.1% [16]. A majority of investigators have speculated that [17] C5 nerve root palsy is related to nerve root traction caused by excessive spinal cord drift after decompression. Moreover, the C5 level is located at the apex of the decompression, and the majority of the postoperative
spinal cord drift occurs at this segment. Simultaneously, the C5 nerve root is short and projects from the spinal cord at an obtuse angle, causing it to be maximally damaged after compression. Imagama et al. [18] carried out a retrospective analysis of 1858 patients undergoing posterior cervical laminectomy. Among them, 43 cases had C5 root palsy symptoms, and their average spinal cord drift distance was 3.9 mm. This study showed that the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique achieved effective decompression, while the degree of spinal cord drift was not significantly different from that of the control group (P > 0.05). Thus, we speculated that the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique would not increase the incidence of C5 root palsy. In addition, due to the nonuniform enlargement of the two sides of the spinal canal in single-door laminoplasty surgery, the resulting asymmetric rotational force might cause the spinal cord to drift towards the open side, thereby increasing the degree of hinge lateral nerve root traction, in turn increasing the incidence of C5 nerve root palsy [19–20]. In the new type of spinal canal enlargement, a homemade laminar-formed titanium plate was used to perform strong internal fixation on both sides of the lamina simultaneously. This maintained symmetrical balance, effectively avoiding the putative compression of the bony structure on the side of the door shaft during the spinal cord drift and swelling that occurs in single open-door miniature titanium plate internal fixation. Therefore, our improved surgical methods might be beneficial for reducing the occurrence of C5 nerve root palsy. In our observation group, one patient developed C5 nerve root palsy symptoms after surgery; his spinal cord drift distance was 3.83 mm. No significant difference was detected in the mean spinal cord drift distance between this patient and the control group (P > 0.05). For this patient, the strength of the deltoid and biceps muscles returned to normal after 2 months.

**Effect of the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement on axial symptoms**

Kawaguchi et al. [21] defined long-term postoperative neck and shoulder pain accompanied by soreness and heavy feelings as axial symptoms. Yoshida et al. [22] suggested that the incidence of axial symptoms was reduced by preserving the posterior cervical spinous process ligament complex. Okada et al. conducted a prospective study [23] that showed a decrease in the incidence of axial symptoms after the surgery mentioned above. Different from the study of Steven Casha [24], the lamina and spinous processes of the decompression segment were completely separated from the other tissues, removed from the body, soaked in hydrogen peroxide, and reimplanted in the corresponding position for fixation. For the new surgical procedure, we preserved the spinous processes, supraspinous ligaments and interspinous ligaments without removing the connection between the decompression segments and the upper and lower segments, maintaining their midline positions. Additionally, we sutured the muscles and ligaments in situ to maintain the integrity of the posterior cervical muscle and ligament complex to reduce the occurrence of axial symptoms. Consecutively, we used a self-developed laminoplasty titanium plate to rigidly fix the raised lamina bilaterally, which significantly enhanced the stability of the cervical spine surgery. Therefore, paravertebral muscle exercises could be performed sooner after surgery, reducing the possibility of axial symptoms. These results were in agreement with the study by Ito et al. [25].

**Conclusions**

In summary, the new full lamina back shift spinal canal enlargement technique achieved a thorough spinal canal decompression effect, thereby reducing the occurrence of axial symptoms and controlling the incidence of C5 nerve root palsy. We concluded that the clinical efficacy was satisfactory. Nevertheless, the correlations between different-sized titanium plates and the expansions of both the cross-sectional area of the spinal canal and the median sagittal diameter will be investigated in the future to further guide the use of this new technique in clinical practice.
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CT: Computed tomography; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging
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