A Novel Laboratory-Scale Mesocosm Setup to Study Methane Emission Mitigation by Sphagnum Mosses and Associated Methanotrophs
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Degraded peatlands are often rewetted to prevent oxidation of the peat, which reduces CO₂ emission. However, the created anoxic conditions will boost methane (CH₄) production and thus emission. Here, we show that submerged Sphagnum peat mosses in rewetted-submerged peatlands can reduce CH₄ emission from peatlands with 93%. We were able to mimic the field situation in the laboratory by using a novel mesocosm set-up. By combining these with 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and qPCR analysis of the pmoA and mmoX genes, we showed that submerged Sphagnum mosses act as a niche for CH₄ oxidizing bacteria. The tight association between Sphagnum peat mosses and methane oxidizing bacteria (MOB) significantly reduces CH₄ emissions by peatlands and can be studied in more detail in the mesocosm setup developed in this study.
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INTRODUCTION

Globally, about 15% of peatland area has been drained for agriculture, forestry or bioenergy production, with highest losses in Europe (Joosten and Clarke, 2002; Grootjans et al., 2012). Drainage results in the exposure of the organic peat layer to oxygen, resulting in high CO₂ emission. However, the created anaerobic conditions will boost methane (CH₄) production and thus emission. Here, we show that submerged Sphagnum peat mosses can reduce CH₄ emission from peatlands with 93%.
Kip et al., 2010). The association between these microorganisms and Sphagnum mosses was shown to be mutually beneficial (Raghoebarsing et al., 2005). By producing CO$_2$, methanotrophs can relieve the CO$_2$ limitation that Sphagnum mosses experience in submerged conditions (Smolders et al., 2001; Raghoebarsing et al., 2005; Kip et al., 2010). In return, MOB can benefit from O$_2$ production and shelter provided by the Sphagnum moss (Kip et al., 2010). Different types of MOB are associated to Sphagnum mosses. Molecular surveys showed that Alphaproteobacterial methanotrophs typically dominate 16S rRNA gene-libraries from Sphagnum mosses (Kip et al., 2011; Kox et al., 2016, 2018). Within the Alphaproteobacteria especially methanotrophs of the family Methylcocctaceae (Methylcoccus spp.) and the acidophilic methanotrophs of the family Beijerinckiacaeae (Methylocella, Methyloferula, and Methylocapsa) are often found and several of these have been isolated from peatlands (Dedysh et al., 2000, 2004; Dedysh, 2011; Vorobiev et al., 2011; Danilova et al., 2013). In addition, it has been shown that also gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs are present and active in peatlands (Kip et al., 2010; Esson et al., 2016; Kaupper et al., 2021) with species belonging to Methylomonas, Methyllobacter, Methylovulum, and Methylmicrobium being described as active members.

Peatland methane fluxes have been studied in the field, but it is challenging to investigate the association between methanotrophs and Sphagnum mosses in more detail. Therefore, investigating methanotroph-Sphagnum interactions and methane-flux dynamics under laboratory-controlled conditions can provide detailed insights into underlying mechanisms. The goal of our study was to establish the role of Sphagnum mosses and associated methanotrophs in mitigating CH$_4$ fluxes from rewetted peatlands. An excellent case study for a rewetted peatland is the Mariapeel peatland in Netherlands, which has been drained since 1998. The peatland was rewetted for restoration purposes, which resulted in a decrease in CO$_2$ emission, but a strong increase in CH$_4$ emission (Smolders et al., 2003). We developed a new mesocosm set-up (Figure 1) in which methane-oxidation by submerged Sphagnum mosses can be studied in detail in a controlled laboratory setup, without the variability encountered in the field. We hypothesized that the submerged Sphagnum moss layer acts as a biofilter for CH$_4$, thereby reducing CH$_4$-emission to the atmosphere. Furthermore, it was expected that CH$_4$-oxidizing microorganisms are associated with Sphagnum mosses, rather than with peat water. Monitoring of the CH$_4$-flux throughout the mesocosm incubation, as well as CH$_4$ batch-assays and molecular analysis of 16S rRNA and methane monooxygenases (pmoA and mmoX) genes, showed that methanotrophs were highly active and enriched in the newly developed mesocosm setup.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Site and Field Measurements

The sampling site was located in the Mariapeel (51°24′28.4″N, 5°55′8″E), a peat bog nature conservation area in the southeast of the Netherlands. This site was visited for measurements and sampling in August 2017. Net diffusive gas fluxes were measured in the field using a fast greenhouse gas analyzer with cavity ringdown spectroscopy (GGA-24EP; Los Gatos Research, United States) connected to a Perspex chamber (15 cm in diameter). The chamber was put on top of the moss for 10 min to measure fluxes of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. In total three independent measurements were taken within two meter distance from each other. After removal of the moss layer and an equilibration period of 15 min, measurements were repeated. Submerged Sphagnum cuspidatum moss and water was collected after the measurements. Upon arrival in the laboratory, all samples were stored at 4°C until the start of the incubations. The experiment was performed twice; for the first mesocosm experiment, mosses were stored 1 day. For the second mesocosm experiment, this was 35 days.

Mesocosm Design

The mesocosm consists of a glass cylinder with a diameter of 12 cm and a height of 54 cm, with a separate reservoir that was connected with a Teflon connector (see Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). The reservoir volume was 0.5 L, the connector tube volume 0.1 L and the column volume 5.5 L. The liquid level in the mesocosms was maintained at 5.1 L. The column headspace was closed using a greased lid with a sampling port. Several sampling ports (in the reservoir, cylinder headspace and in the cylinder at 10, 20, 30, 35, and 40 cm height) allow for sampling at different heights. Sampling ports were closed using red butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp caps.

Mesocosm Incubation

The mesocosm incubations were performed in duplicate for 32 days at room temperature. Two mesocosms were incubated simultaneously, one containing 100 Sphagnum cuspidatum plants (6 cm in length each, 120 g fresh weight in total) in filtered peat water and one contained only filtered peat water. Prior to incubation, Sphagnum mosses were carefully rinsed with tap water. Both mesocosms had an acclimatization period of 7 days prior to sampling. CH$_4$ was added via the enclosed reservoir, which was stirred with a 2 cm magnetic stir bar at 250 rpm. Mesocosms were opened for 1 h per day to allow aeration. Directly after aeration, 20 ml of CH$_4$ and 5 ml CO$_2$ was injected in the reservoir. Light (16 h light, 8 h dark) was supplied on top of the mesocosm column using 120 deep red/white LEDs (Philips, Green-Power LED, Poland; 150 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation at vegetation level). As additional control incubation, 100 plantlets of gnotobiotic Sphagnum fimbriatum (obtained from moss stock center Freiburg, Germany) were incubated.

Mesocosm CH$_4$ Fluxes

CH$_4$ concentrations were measured directly after closing (0 h) and just before opening (23 h) the mesocosms by collecting 0.5 ml gas or water samples which were injected into a closed 5.9 ml Exetainer vial (Labco Ltd., Lampeter, United Kingdom). The concentration of dissolved CH$_4$ throughout the column was determined once a week, by sampling water at four different time points during the day (0 h, 3 h, 7 h, and
3 h after closing the headspace). The CH$_4$ concentration in the Exetainers (Labco Ltd., Lampeter, United Kingdom) was measured at least 4 h after sampling by using a gas chromatograph with a flame-ionized detector and a Porapak Q column de Jong et al. (2018). Dissolved CH$_4$ in the water was calculated based on the solubility of CH$_4$ and was accounted for in the flux calculations as well. The daily CH$_4$ flux in the mesocosm was calculated as the change in CH$_4$ concentration in the headspace, divided by the surface area (0.01131 m$^2$).

**Potential CH$_4$ Oxidation Rates**

Prior to and after the mesocosm incubation, moss (3 g fresh weight) and peat water (12 ml, unfiltered) and filtered (0.2 µm) was incubated in 120 ml serum vials that were closed with red-butyl rubber stops and metal crimp-caps. Each serum vial received 2 ml CH$_4$ (1.8%) and CH$_4$ concentration was measured as described above. As a control for biological methane oxidation, 6 ml of acetylene was added after 10 h of incubation with CH$_4$.

**Water Geochemistry**

Water geochemistry was measured for both unfiltered and filtered (2–5 nm pore size, HF80S dialysis filter, Fresenius Medical Care, Homburg, Germany) peat water (see Supplementary Table 9). The pH was measured and elemental composition was determined using ICP-OES as described previously (Kox et al., 2018).

**DNA Extraction**

Five grams of moss (fresh weight) was taken from the mesocosm incubations and directly ground using a pestle and mortar and liquid nitrogen, after which DNA was extracted using the DNeasy PowerSoil DNA extraction kit following manufacturers protocol (Qiagen Benelux B.V., Venlo, Netherlands). DNA quality was checked by gel-electrophoresis and using the Qbit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, United States).

**Amplicon Sequencing and Analysis**

Barcoded Amplicon-sequencing of the amplified V3–V4 region of the bacterial 16s rRNA gene [primers Bact-341F and Bact 785R (Klindworth et al., 2013)] was performed by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, Netherlands) using Illumina Miseq. The obtained 326045 reads were quality filtered and analyzed using Mothur (v1.36.1), following the Illumina Standard Operating Procedure (SOP, accessed on May 8th 2018, Kozich et al., 2013). Merged reads shorter than 400 bp were discarded, chimeras were removed using the UCHIME algorithm (Edgar et al., 2011) and the remaining sequences were clustered at 97% identity. The resulting OTUs were classified based on the SILVA v132 16s rRNA gene non-redundant database (SSURef_99_v132_SILVA). Non-target sequences (Chloroplasts, Mitochondria, unknown, Archaea, and Eukaryota) were removed from the dataset (see Supplementary Tables 1, 2 for full overview of read processing). The output was analyzed with R [version 3.4.0
Quantitative PCR
Copy numbers of the Bacterial 16S rRNA gene and pmoA and mmoX genes were quantified by qPCR (see Supplementary Table 3 for primers). The qPCR reaction mix consisted of PerfeCTA Quanta master mix (Quanta Biosciences, Beverly, MA, United States) and 0.5 ng sample DNA and 1 µl of each primer (10 µM). qPCR reactions were performed in triplicate with a C1000 Touch thermal cycler equipped with a CFX96 TouchTM Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories B.V., Veenendaal, Netherlands). Triplicate measurements per sample were averaged prior to statistical analysis. Standard curves were obtained via 10-fold dilution series of a PGEM T-easy plasmid (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) containing the target gene. Data was analyzed using Bio-Rad CFX Manager version 3.0 (Bio-Rad Laboratories B.V., Veenendaal, Netherlands).

Statistics
Statistics were performed by using R version 3.4.0 by the R Development Core Team (2017). In order to allow for parametrical statistical tests, Shapiro–Wilk's test was used on the residual (stats-package) to test the normality of the data and Levene's test (car-package) was used to test for homogeneity of variance. If assumptions of tests were not met, data was log-transformed (ln), which was the case for the field CH₄ flux data. A paired T-test was used to test whether the net CH₄ flux in the field was affected by the presence of moss (moss field/moss removed). Differences in the potential CH₄ oxidation activity in peat water and mosses prior to mesocosm incubation were tested using a non-parametric Kruskal Wallis tests. Within each material (moss/peat water) the effect of treatment (field/washing or filtering) was tested using an independent T-test. Differences in the potential CH₄ oxidation activity after mesocosm incubation were tested using a 3-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey HSD post hoc test. Differences in copy number between each moss sample for each target gene were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA, followed by a Tukey HSD post hoc test. Here, the data for 16S rRNA gene and mmoX gene were log-transformed (ln) prior to analysis.

RESULTS
Field CH₄ Flux
To estimate diffusive CH₄ emissions in the field, flux-chamber measurements were conducted in plots with submerged Sphagnum mosses before and after removal of the moss layer.

CH₄ Oxidation Activity Prior to Mesocosm Incubation
The CH₄ oxidation rates associated with the Sphagnum moss and peat water were determined prior to incubation in the mesocosm setup by using batch assays (Figure 3). Methane oxidation was clearly associated to Sphagnum mosses, which showed higher CH₄ oxidation rates (average rate mosses 143 ± 17 µmol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹, Figure 3) compared to peat water, which had virtually no activity (0.05 ± 0.06 µmol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹; χ² = 7.5, p < 0.01, Supplementary Figure 5 and Supplementary Table 6). Washing of Sphagnum mosses reduced the CH₄ oxidation rate by 15% to an average CH₄ oxidation rate of 121 µmol g DW⁻¹ day⁻¹; [I(2) = 1.5, p > 0.05, Figure 3], indicating that most MOB were strongly associated with the mosses.
Methane Emission by the Mesocosm Incubations
The net CH$_4$ flux in the mesocosm showed a similar pattern for moss containing and control mesocosm until day 8 after incubation (Figure 4). Thereafter, the CH$_4$ concentration in the headspace of the Sphagnum moss containing mesocosm was lower compared to the control mesocosm. In addition, the CH$_4$ emission from the Sphagnum moss mesocosm gradually decreased during the 32 days incubation period, which is a strong indication of increasing CH$_4$ oxidation activity. A second replicate of the experiment showed a similar pattern, with lower CH$_4$ emission when Sphagnum mosses were present in the mesocosm (Supplementary Figure 8 and Supplementary Tables 7, 8). In order to test if the methane emission is decreased by activity of microorganisms associated to the moss or by a decreased diffusion of methane from the liquid to the gas phase of the column; a similar experimental setup was performed using Sphagnum mosses without any associated microorganisms (gnotobionts). Net CH$_4$ flux from this mesocosm was about 20% lower than the moss control (Supplementary Figure 9), indicating that both a decreased diffusion rate and microbial activity play a role in the observed decrease in CH$_4$ emission.

CH$_4$ Oxidation Activity After Mesocosm Incubation
After 32 days of incubation in the mesocosms, Sphagnum moss and peat water were taken from the mesocosm in order to measure potential CH$_4$ oxidation activity in batch. The activity of the mosses was 189 µmol CH$_4$ g$^{-1}$ DW day$^{-1}$ (Table 1). Even after 32 days of incubation, peat water showed no CH$_4$ oxidation activity ($R^2 < 0.9$; see Table 1 and Supplementary Figures 6, 7), again indicating a tight association of the CH$_4$ oxidizing microorganisms with the moss. CH$_4$ oxidation associated with mosses was almost completely inhibited by acetylene [$F_{(1,4)} = 981.3, p < 0.001$, Table 1], indicating that the CH$_4$ oxidation is indeed entirely performed by methanotrophic microorganisms associated to the moss. During the incubation, the CH$_4$ oxidation activity associated to the moss had increased by 155% (from 121 to 189 µmol g DW$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$; Table 1 and Figure 3).

Sphagnum Associated Microorganisms
To quantify the microbial community, qPCR and amplicon sequencing of 16S rRNA genes was performed. Quantification of the bacteria per gram of FW (16S rRNA gene; Figure 5) showed that bacterial copy numbers differed between moss from the field and between moss before and after incubation [$F_{(2,6)} = 34.3, p < 0.001$]. 98% of presumably loosely attached microorganisms were removed by washing the moss (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$). The washing step reduced the abundance of the mmoX-containing methanotrophs from $10^{10}$ to $10^2$ copies per g FW (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$), whereas pmoA-containing methanotrophs were much less affected (remained around $10^5$ copies per g FW; Tukey HSD $p > 0.05$). At the end of the incubation time the copy numbers were 97% of the original value (Tukey HSD
FIGURE 4 | Net CH$_4$ flux (mmol CH$_4$ m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$) from the mesocosms with Sphagnum moss (green) and control mesocosm with only peat water (blue), measured in the headspace over time (days). Each dot represents the mean of two technical replicates.

TABLE 1 | Potential CH$_4$ oxidation (PMO) rate in batch, after mesocosm incubation.

| Material | Mesocosm | Treatment | Potential methane oxidation rate (µmol CH$_4$ g$^{-1}$ DW day$^{-1}$) | SEM | $R^2$ | n |
|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-----|------|---|
| Moss     | Moss     |           | 189                                              | 6   | 0.98 | 3 |
| Moss     | Moss     | + acetylene| 2.0                                              | 2   | 0.3  | 3 |

| Material | Mesocosm | Treatment | Potential methane oxidation rate (µmol CH$_4$ ml$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$) | SEM | $R^2$ | n |
|----------|----------|-----------|--------------------------------------------------|-----|------|---|
| Water    | Moss     |           | 0.02                                              | 0.02| 0.17 | 3 |
| Water    | Moss     | + acetylene| 0.03                                              | 0.01| 0.51 | 3 |
| Water    | Peat water only |       | 0.09                                              | 0.01| 0.86 | 3 |
| Water    | Peat water only | + acetylene | 0.05                                              | 0.01| 0.67 | 3 |

Moss and peat water samples from each mesocosm were incubated in batch, with or without acetylene. Different italic letters indicate statistical differences between PMO rates, tested by 3-way ANOVA.

$p < 0.05$), indicating regrowth of microorganisms during the incubation in the mesocosm. Quantification of methanotrophic microorganisms by using qPCR targeting the mmoX and pmoA genes showed a similar trend ($mmoX F(2, 6) = 40.7, p < 0.001$; $pmoA F(2, 6) = 27.1, p < 0.001$; Figure 5), although $pmoA$-containing methanotrophs were overall less abundant than $mmoX$-containing methanotrophs (resp. $10^6$ vs. $10^{10}$ copies per g FW). Upon mesocosm incubation $mmoX$ copies increased from $10^2$ to $10^8$ (Tukey HSD $p < 0.001$), while $pmoA$-containing methanotrophs marginally increased in copy number per g FW (Tukey HSD $p < 0.01$).

The microbial community composition associated with the mosses was studied by 16S rRNA gene sequencing of the V3–V4 region. Comparison of the moss microbial community in the field and of the community after washing and incubation in the mesocosm showed a gradual change in microbial community (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 4). However, the main classes of microorganisms remained the same throughout the incubation. Furthermore, mesocosm incubation increased the microbial community diversity (Shannon and Chao 1 index, Supplementary Table 4), where Proteobacteria was the most dominant phylum (Figure 6A). The relative abundance of Proteobacteria was not affected by washing, but their relative number increased during incubation in our mesocosm set-up. Furthermore, especially the relative abundance of Pedosphaerales and Opitutales increased upon incubation (Supplementary Table 5). When focusing on the methanotrophic community, the relative abundance
of Verrucomicrobial *Methylacidiphilales* associated to the moss increased after incubation (Figure 6B). Also other methanotrophic bacteria species, such as *Methylomonas* spp. and *Methylcystis* spp., increased in relative abundance upon incubation (Figure 6B) indicating that methane oxidation is facilitated by a number of different methanotrophs.

**DISCUSSION**

**Sphagnum in Rewetted Peatland Is a Strong Natural CH$_4$ Filter**

This study aimed to investigate the mitigation of CH$_4$ fluxes in rewetted peatlands by an active, natural biofilter composed of *Sphagnum* mosses and their associated CH$_4$ oxidizing microorganisms. In our study site, CH$_4$ emission was reduced with 93% by *Sphagnum* associated methanotrophs (Figure 2). The reduction of methane emission to the atmosphere by *Sphagnum*-associated methanotrophs could be mimicked in our newly developed mesocosm setup, although the methane emission reduction was less pronounced (31 vs. 93% in the field). Free-floating plants can reduce CH$_4$ emission by up to 70% by a combination of plant-associated CH$_4$ oxidation and decreased flux rates (Kosten et al., 2016). Also in our mesocosm setup, a dense layer of gnotobiotic *Sphagnum* moss already decreased methane emission, most probably by limiting CH$_4$ diffusion from the surface water to the atmosphere. This results in an increase in CH$_4$ concentration in the porewater, creating ideal conditions for enrichment of CH$_4$ oxidizing microorganisms. All in all, the large decrease of CH$_4$ emission in the presence of both submerged *Sphagnum* moss and methanotrophs emphasizes their important role in CH$_4$ cycling in peatlands (Basiliko et al., 2004; Kip et al., 2010; Liebner et al., 2011; van Winden et al., 2012, 2020). The tight association between CH$_4$ oxidizers and *Sphagnum* mosses is further underlined by the fact that washing of the moss and filtering of the peat water had little effect on CH$_4$ oxidation activity.

**Sphagnum: A Niche for CH$_4$-Oxidizing Microorganisms**

QPCR revealed that total bacterial copy numbers per g FW decreased after moss washing. The number of sMMO-containing methanotrophs decreased most, indicating that these methanotrophs might be loosely attached epiphytes. However, subsequently they showed the highest increase in copy number (10$^2$–10$^8$ copies per g FW) upon mesocosm incubation, which indicates that these microorganisms have a very short generation time. The transcription of *mmoX* gene and activity of sMMO-containing methanotrophs has previously been reported in peatlands (Morris et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Liebner and Svenning, 2012), and together with our findings this suggests that sMMO-containing methanotrophs are relevant for acidic peatland ecosystems.

Surprisingly, the pMMO-containing methanotrophs were initially less abundant compared to sMMO-containing methanotrophs and seemed more tightly associated to *Sphagnum* moss as washing did not decrease their copy numbers. However, there was hardly any increase in abundance of pMMO-containing methanotrophs upon incubation, which might be explained by the lack of copper in our mesocosm incubations (Murrell et al., 2000). Ultimately, the enrichment of sMMO-containing methanotrophs in the mesocosm incubation shows that this set-up can be used to further study the functioning of sMMO methanotrophs in *Sphagnum* mosses.
Obtaining more insights into their functioning is of great importance since their ecology is less well understood than that of pMMO-containing methanotrophs.

**Sphagnum-Associated Microbial Community**

The Sphagnum-associated microbial community in all samples showed high similarity to previous Sphagnum-associated 16S rRNA gene libraries (Bragina et al., 2012, 2014; Kox et al., 2018). The dominant community members found in this study were similar to those in other investigation with dominant phyla being the Proteobacteria (Alphaproteobacteria, Cyanobacteria (Oxyphotobacteria) and Acidobacteria and a relatively high abundance of Verrucomicrobia. Upon mesocosm incubation the microbial diversity increased. The relative abundance of Verrucomicrobia and Planctomycetes increased, whereas the relative abundance of Proteobacteria decreased. Future studies with (micro-) nutrient additions may help to find out what causes these changes in microbial community.

The methanotrophic microbial community profile showed that *Methylocaldiphilales*, *Methylocystis*, and *Methylomonas* spp. were more abundant at the end of the mesocosm incubation. The qPCR profiles showed that the abundance of sMMO containing methanotrophs increased most during incubation. Compared to the 16S rRNA gene library, there are few methanotrophs identified known to possess sMMO. The Verrucomicrobial methanotrophic genera *Methylocaldiphilum* and *Methylacidimicrobium* appear to contain only pMMO (Opper den Camp et al., 2018), whereas *Methylocystis* species typically have solely pMMO, except for the acidophilic *Methylocystis* isolates *Methylocystis bryophila*, and *Methylocystis heyeri* (Dedysh et al., 2007; Belova et al., 2013) that contain both sMMO and pMMO. *Methylocella* species, facultative methane oxidizers, are the only known organisms containing exclusively sMMO (Dunfield and Dedysh, 2014; Dedysh and Dunfield, 2018). The lack of correlation found in the quantification of *pmmo* and *smmo* genes suggests that sMMO-only microorganisms not belonging to *Methylocella* species are present in our samples. Alternatively, the lack of sMMO-containing methanotrophs in the sequencing analysis could be caused by the coarse taxonomic resolution of the 16S rRNA genes. The presence of sMMO-containing methanotrophs belonging to *Beijerinckiaceae* can thus not be entirely excluded.

**Mesocosm Approach**

Studying the Sphagnum microbiome in the field is challenging, because the microbial community associated with the moss is influenced by many biotic and abiotic factors which strongly fluctuate in a natural environment. Therefore, we designed a novel mesocosm set-up to mimic a submerged Sphagnum moss ecosystem and operated it under controlled laboratory conditions. The conditions could be even more controlled by supplying methane and air continuously to avoid fluctuations in the concentration of these gasses. This would most probably also reduce the fluctuations observed in methane fluxes (Figure 4). We hypothesized that the submerged *Sphagnum cuspidatum* moss layer acts as a biofilter for CH$_4$ and expected that the CH$_4$-oxidizing microbial community was mainly associated with *Sphagnum* moss. Similar to mosses in the field, results of our controlled mesocosm set-up showed a significant reduction (31%) in CH$_4$ emission that was associated with Sphagnum mosses and their microbial community (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 8). This CH$_4$ removal was only associated with the mosses; methane oxidation activity was not found in the peat water. However, this water can still contain low numbers of methanotrophs. It has been shown before that peat water can be a potential source for methanotrophs which can colonize Sphagnum moss (Putkinen et al., 2012).

During incubation in the novel mesocosm set-up, methanotrophic activity indeed increased along with an increase in MOB abundance. CH$_4$ oxidation batch-assays revealed a significant increase in methanotrophic activity after incubation (from 121 ± 4 to 189 ± 6 µmol CH$_4$ g$^{-1}$ DW day$^{-1}$, resp. Figure 3 and Table 1), indicating MOB involvement in CH$_4$ mitigation. Similarly, qPCR of functional methanotrophic
genes (mnoX and pmoA), indicated that significant numbers of CH$_4$-oxidizing bacteria were present in and on the moss and that their numbers increased over the course of the incubation.

The reduction in CH$_4$ emission in the mesocosm set-up was lower than the reduction found in the field, which is most likely due to peat moss density that is much higher in the field (~50 cm deep in de field compared to 6 cm in the mesocosm). Mesocosm incubations were terminated after 32 days; we believe that the CH$_4$ mitigation by the moss-associated methanotrophs in the mesocosm will increase even further by prolonging the incubation time. In addition, an increased Sphagnum moss density is expected to increase the CH$_4$ oxidation even further. Furthermore, the mesocosm set-up could be improved by a continuous supply system for CH$_4$ and air, which results in a system that is more comparable to the natural situation.

**Implications for Degraded Peatlands**

The large organic matter stocks in peatlands are a potential source for CO$_2$. Restoration measures aimed at preventing CO$_2$ emission often involve hydrological measures (rewetting; Lamers et al., 2002; Smolders et al., 2003), which result in high CH$_4$ production rates (Abdalla et al., 2016). Since peatland degradation affects the presence and abundance of Sphagnum (Gorham, 1991; Frolking et al., 2011), care should be taken to bring back and facilitate Sphagnum mosses in restored peatlands. Stimulation of the current population or even reintroduction of Sphagnum in peatland restoration projects can thereby strongly mitigate the resultant CH$_4$ emissions.

**CONCLUSION**

Sphagnum mosses have many key roles in peat ecosystems (Rydin and Jeglum, 2006), and our study shows that their microbiome and specifically their associated methanotrophs are crucial to reduce CH$_4$ emissions from peatlands. Peatland restoration practices involving rewetting typically result in high CH$_4$ emissions and should therefore simultaneously aim to stimulate the presence of Sphagnum mosses. With the development of our mesocosm setup, CH$_4$ mitigation by Sphagnum mosses and their associated methanotrophs can be studied in great detail, providing essential knowledge that can be used for restoration practices and climate research in the future.

**REFERENCES**

Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Truu, J., Espenberg, M., Mander, U., and Smith, P. (2016). Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options. Ecol. Evol. 6, 7080–7102. doi: 10.1002/2ece.3.2469

Basiliko, N., Knowles, R., and Moore, T. R. (2004). Roles of moss species and habitat in methane consumption potential in a northern peatland. Wetlands 24, 178–185.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/, PRJNA517391.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MARK, AJPS, and MAHJvK collected the samples. MARK and MAHJvK processed the samples and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. MARK, DRS, MSMJ, and MAHJvK designed the research. MARK performed the experiments. HJMOdC, MSMJ, LPML, and AJPS were involved in project discussion and data interpretation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**FUNDING**

MARK was supported by the European Research Council Advanced Grant Ecomom 339880 to MSMJ, who was further supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (SIAM Gravitation grant 024 002 002 and Spinoza Award). MAHJvK was supported by NWO Veni grant (016.veni.192.062). HJMOdC was supported by European Research Council Advanced Grant VOLCANO 669371.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Nardy Kip for her support with the initial design of the mesocosms, Tjits van den Bosch for helping with 16S rRNA sequencing and the Department of General Instrumentation at the Faculty of Science at Radboud University, especially Paul van der Ven and Sebastian Krosse, for measuring elemental composition of the water samples and determining stable isotope contents. Dr. Silvia Coolen is thanked for critical reviewing of the manuscript.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.651103/full#supplementary-material

Belova, S. E., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Bodelier, P. L. E., and Dedysh, S. N. (2013). Methylocystis bryophila sp. nov., a facultatively methanotrophic bacterium from acidic Sphagnum peat, and emended description of the genus Methylocystis (ex Whittenbury et al. 1970) Bowman et al. 1993. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 63, 1096–1104. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.043505-0

Bragina, A., Berg, C., Cardinale, M., Shcherbakov, A., Chebotar, V., and Berg, G. (2012). Sphagnum mosses harbour highly specific bacterial diversity during their whole lifecycle. ISME J. 6, 802–813. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.151
Bragina, A., Oberauner-Wappis, L., Zachow, C., Halwachs, B., Thallinger, G. G., Müller, H., et al. (2014). The Sphagnum microbiome supports bog ecosystem functioning under extreme conditions. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 4498–4510. doi: 10.1111/mec.12885

Bridgham, S. D., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., Keller, J. K., and Zhuang, Q. (2013). Methane emissions from wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales. *Glob. Change Biol.* 19, 1325–1346. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12131

Chen, Y., Dumont, M. G., McNamara, N. P., Chamberlain, P. M., Bodrossy, L., Stráslis-Pavese, N., et al. (2008). Diversity of the active methanotrophic community in acidic peatlands as assessed by mRNA and SIP-PLFA analyses. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 446–459. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01466.x

Danilova, O. V., Kulechivskaya, I. S., Rozova, O. N., Detkova, E. N., Bodelier, P. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., et al. (2013). *Methylomonas paludis* sp. nov., the first acid-tolerant member of the genus *Methylomonas*, from an acidic wetland. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63, 2282–2289. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.045658-0

de Jong, A. E. E., in’t Zandt, M. H., Meisel, O. H., Jetten, M. S. M., Dean, J. F., Rasigraf, O., et al. (2018). Increases in temperature and nutrient availability positively affect methane-cycling microorganisms in Arctic thermokarst lake sediments. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20, 4314–4327. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.14345

Dedysh, S. N. (2011). Cultivating uncultured bacteria from northern wetlands: knowledge gained and remaining gaps. *Front. Microbiol.* 2:184. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00184

Dedysh, S. N., Belova, S. E., Bodelier, P. E., Smirnova, K. V., Khmelina, V. N., Chidhaisong, A., et al. (2007). *Methylcystis heyeri* sp. nov., a type II methanotrophic bacterium possessing "signature" fatty acids of type I methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 472–479. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.04623-0

Dedysh, S. N., Berestovskaya, Y., Vasylieva, L., Belova, S., Khmelina, V., Suzina, N. E., et al. (2004). *Methylcoryloa tundracea* sp. nov., a novel methanotrophic bacterium from acidic tundra peatlands. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 151–156. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02205-0

Dedysh, S. N., and Dunfield, P. F. (2018). *Fulvate Methane Oxidizers, in: Taxonomy, Genomics and Ecophysiology of Hydrocarbon-Degrading Microbes*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 1–20.

Dedysh, S. N., Liesack, W., Khmelina, V. N., Suzina, N. E., Trotsenko, Y. A., Semrau, J. D., et al. (2000). *Methylcoryloa palustris* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new methane-oxidizing acidophilic bacterium from peat bogs, representing a novel subtype of serine-pathway methanotrophs. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 50, 955–965.

Dunfield, P. F., and Dedysh, S. N. (2014). *Methylcoryloa*: a gourmand among methanotrophs. *Trends Microbiol.* 22, 368–369. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.05.004

Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., and Knight, R. (2011). *UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection*. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2194–2200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381

Esson, K. C., Lin, X., Kumaressan, D., Chanton, J. P., Murrell, J. C., and Kostka, J. E. (2016). Alpha- and gammag proteobacterial methanotrophs codominate the active methane-oxidizing communities in an acidic boreal peat bog. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 2363–2371. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03640-15

Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M. C., Treat, C. C., Lee, C., and Chanton, J. P. (2000). Methane oxidation associated with submerged brown mosses reduces methane emissions from Siberian polygonal tundra. *J. Ecol.* 99, 914–922. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01823.x

McMurtrie, R. E., and Holmes, S. (2013). Phylloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8(6):e67121. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067121

Morris, S. A., Radajewski, S., Willison, T. W., and Murrell, J. C. (2002). Identification of the functionally active methanotroph population in a peat soil microcosm by stable-isotope probing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 1446–1453. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.4.1446-1453.2002

Murrell, J. C., McDonald, I. R., and Gilbert, B. (2000). Regulation of expression of microorganisms associated with *Sphagnum magellanicum*. *Plant Soil* 406, 83–100. doi: 10.1007/s11104-016-2851-x

Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., and Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the miseq illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5112–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01403-13

Lamers, L. P. M., Smolders, A. J. P., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2002). The restoration of fens in the Netherlands. *Hydrobiologia* 478, 107–130. doi: 10.1002/a.1470.13

Liebner, S., and Svenning, M. M. (2012). Environmental transcription of mmoX by methanotrophic *Proteobacteria* in a subarctic peatland. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 701–706. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02292-12

Liebner, S., Zeyer, J., Wagner, D., Schubert, C., Pfeiffer, E. M., and Knobloch, C. (2011). Methane oxidation associated with submerged brown mosses reduces methane emissions from Siberian polygonal tundra. *J. Ecol.* 99, 914–922. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01823.x

Mutter, J. E., and Hope, C. S. (2013). Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8(6):e67121. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067121

Morris, S. A., Radajewski, S., Willison, T. W., and Murrell, J. C. (2002). Identification of the functionally active methanotroph population in a peat soil microcosm by stable-isotope probing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 1446–1453. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.4.1446-1453.2002

Murrell, J. C., McDonald, I. R., and Gilbert, B. (2000). Regulation of expression of methane monoxygenases by copper ions. *Trends Microbiol.* 8, 221–225. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01739-X

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., et al. (2016). *Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package 2.3–3.*

R Core Development Core Team. (2017). A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Raghoebarsing, A. A., Smolders, A. J. P., Schmid, M. C., Rüppstra, W. I. C., Wolters-Arts, M., Derksen, J., et al. (2005). Methanotrophic symbionts provide carbon for photosynthesis in peat bogs. *Nature* 436, 1153–1156. doi: 10.1038/nature03802
Renou-Wilson, F., Moser, G., Fallon, D., Farrell, C. A., Müller, C., and Wilson, D. (2019). Rewetting degraded peatlands for climate and biodiversity benefits: results from two raised bogs. Ecol. Eng. 127, 547–560. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.02.014

Reumer, M., Harnisz, M., Lee, H. J., Reim, A., Grunert, O., Putkenen, A., et al. (2018). Impact of peat mining and restoration on methane turnover potential and methane-cycling microorganisms in a northern bog. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 84, 1–17. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02218-17

RStudio Team, (2016). RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. Vienna: RStudio Team.

Rydin, H., and Jeglum, J. K. (2006). The Biology of Peatlands. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Smolders, A. J. P., Tomassen, H. B. M., Pijnappel, H. W., Lamers, L. P. M., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2001). Substrate-derived CO2 is important in the development of Sphagnum spp. New Phytol. 152, 325–332.

Smolders, A. J. P., Tomassen, H. B. M., Van Mullekom, M., Lamers, L. P. M., and Roelofs, J. G. M. (2003). Mechanisms involved in the re-establishment of Sphagnum-dominated vegetation in rewetted bog remnants. Wetlands Ecol. Manag. 11, 403–418. doi: 10.1023/B:WETF.0000007195.25180.94

van Winden, J. F., Reichart, G.-J., McNamara, N. P., Benthien, A., and Smördinghe Damsté, J. S. (2012). Temperature-induced increase in methane release from peat bogs: a mesocosm experiment. PLoS One 7:e39614. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039614

van Winden, J. F., Talbot, H. M., Reichart, G.-J., McNamara, N. P., Benthien, A., and Smördinghe Damsté, J. S. (2020). Influence of temperature on the δ13C values and distribution of methanotroph-related hopanoids in Sphagnum-dominated peat bogs. Geobiology 18, 497–507.

Vorobev, A. V., Baani, M., Doronina, N. V., Brady, A. L., Liesack, W., Dunfield, P. F., et al. (2011). Methyloferula stellata gen. nov., sp. nov., an acidophilic, obligately methanotrophic bacterium that possesses only a soluble methane monooxygenase. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 61, 2456–2463. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.028118-0

Waddington, J. M., and Day, S. M. (2007). Methane emissions from a peatland following restoration. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 112, 1–11. doi: 10.1029/2007JG000400

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Kox, Smolders, Speth, Lamers, Op den Camp, Jetten and van Kessel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.