Designing Aqueous Organic Electrolytes for Zinc-Air Batteries: Method, Simulation, and Validation
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Aqueous zinc-air batteries (ZABs) are a low-cost, safe, and sustainable technology for stationary energy storage. ZABs with pH-buffered near-neutral electrolytes have the potential for longer lifetime compared to traditional alkaline ZABs due to the slower absorption of carbonates at nonalkaline pH values. However, existing near-neutral electrolytes often contain halide salts, which are corrosive and threaten the precipitation of ZnO as the dominant discharge product. This paper presents a method for designing halide-free aqueous ZAB electrolytes using thermodynamic descriptors to computationally screen components. The dynamic performance of a ZAB with one possible halide-free aqueous electrolyte based on organic salts is simulated using an advanced method of continuum modeling, and the results are validated by experiments. XRD, SEM, and EDS measurements of Zn electrodes show that ZnO is the dominant discharge product, and operando pH measurements confirm the stability of the electrolyte pH during cell cycling. Long-term full cell cycling tests are performed, and RRDE measurements elucidate the mechanism of ORR and OER. Our analysis shows that aqueous electrolytes containing organic salts could be a promising field of research for zinc-based batteries, due to their Zn2+ chelating and pH buffering properties. We discuss the remaining challenges including the electrochemical stability of the electrolyte components.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The demand for high-performance energy storage is rapidly growing. Ambitious plans to increase the share of renewables in the electric grid and expand the market for electric vehicles (EVs) underscore the importance of developing next-generation electrochemical energy storage technologies. Currently this demand is overwhelmingly met by lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), which enjoy significant advantages in both power density and cycleability. But LIBs are at risk of catastrophic failures due to their high practical energy density (circa 1000 Wh L−1).19 However, batteries containing ammonium chloride (NH4Cl) have been proposed as a possible alternative to extend LIB lifetime.20,21 ZABs featuring NH4Cl electrolytes have been found to precipitate chloride-containing solids like Zn(NH4)2Cl2 and Zn2(OH)2Cl·H2O, even though the final discharge product in a true zinc-air battery should be ZnO. The precipitation of these mixed zinc salts consumes the electrolyte, passivates the electrode surfaces and lowers the energy density of the cell. Furthermore, because the pH stability in the air electrode requires an excess of buffering species, the slow diffusion of the weak acid or its conjugate base can become limiting at high current densities.22 Electrolyte formulations that support a functionally stable pH and facilitate the precipitation of ZnO are needed to improve the performance of near-neutral ZABs.
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In this work, we highlight the complimentary and dynamic roles of weak acid dissociation, formation of complexes with dissolved Zn$^{2+}$ ions, and the solubility of zinc solids in stabilizing the electrolyte pH and facilitating the full discharge of the Zn-air cell to ZnO. By screening a variety of weak acids and counter-ions according to their acid dissociation constants ($K_a$), Zn-complex stability constants ($K_{eq}$), and solubility product constants ($K_{sp}$), promising electrolyte materials can be identified, simulated in thermodynamic and cell-level models, and experimentally validated.

Fig. 1 shows the idealized operating principles of aqueous ZABs with pH-buffered near-neutral electrolytes. The main reactions are indicated by roman numerals i–v and are listed in the supplementary information. For descriptive purposes, we consider an electrolyte containing a generic weak acid, HA. In the air electrode, dissolved O$_2$ is reduced to form H$_2$O (reaction i). The change in H$^+$ concentration disturbs the equilibrium of the weak acid. The local loss of H$^+$ causes the dissociation reaction, HA $\rightleftharpoons$ H$^+$ + A$^-$, to proceed to the right (reaction ii). At the Zn electrode, Zn$^{2+}$ is produced from the electrochemical oxidation reaction (reaction iii) and forms complexes with other solutes in the electrolyte (reaction iv), most importantly with the conjugate base of the weak acid, A$^-$. The formation of complexes between Zn$^{2+}$ and A$^-$ enhances the pH stability of the electrolyte. When the solubility limit of zinc is exceeded, zinc solids precipitate (reaction v).

The selection of appropriate pH-buffering weak acid is open to some flexibility. Many inorganic pH buffers have acid dissociation constants and Zn-complex formation constants in the appropriate range, but suffer from low solubility in electrolytes containing Zn$^{2+}$. Organic weak acids (especially carboxylic acids) and their salts have been used for many years in small quantities as additives to concentrated alkaline electrolytes with the goal of improving Zn deposition and suppressing the corrosive hydrogen evolution reaction (HER). We propose that similar organic materials can fulfill a new role promoting pH stability and ZnO precipitation.

The remainder of this paper discusses the design of aqueous electrolytes to specifically address the challenges of near-neutral Zn-air batteries. We begin by noting the design goals of the electrolyte and discussing the advantages and disadvantages of typical electrolyte components. After screening some classes of organic weak acids according to their dissociation and Zn-complex stability constants, we perform thermodynamic calculations to predict their speciation and solubility characteristics across the pH domain. Having identified one possible promising electrolyte mixture containing citrate and glycine, the dynamic performance of the electrolyte is evaluated using simulated cell cycling. These simulations confirm the stability of the pH and give insight into the distribution of organic species during cell operation. The performance of the proposed electrolyte is experimentally validated using rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) measurements to characterize the properties of different catalyst materials. Full-cell cycling measurements combined with operando pH measurements confirm the stability of the electrolyte pH during discharging and charging. Additionally, ex-situ x-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) characterization of the Zn electrode confirm that ZnO is present in the final discharge product.

### II. ELECTROLYTE DESIGN METHOD

To support ZAB operation, the electrolyte must fulfill a variety of basic requirements that include being ionically conductive with a moderate viscosity, high O$_2$ solubility, and low vapor pressure. These requirements are met to some extent by many aqueous electrolyte materials and are described in more detail in the supplementary information. Near-neutral ZABs specifically require electrolyte materials that also provide a functionally stable pH and facilitate the precipitation of ZnO as the final discharge product. In this work, we propose that achieving these goals depends on the selection of appropriate materials considering their acid dissociation constants, Zn-complex formation constants, and solubility prod-

![Figure 1. Schematic of idealized performance of ZABs with pH-buffered near-neutral electrolytes with some generic weak acid, HA. Arrows indicate the direction during discharging. For a description, please refer to the text.](image-url)
uct constants.

The selection of appropriate materials must strike a balance between several competing factors. For ZAB applications, we avoid very acidic pH values due to the very high zinc solubility and elevated risk of hydrogen evolution. On the other hand, we avoid very alkaline pH values due to the formation of carbonates from CO$_2$. Therefore, we focus on pH-buffered electrolytes with nominal pH values between circa 4 and 12. For the purpose of the discussion, these are referred to as near-neutral electrolytes (NNEs). The solubility of Zn solids in the near-neutral pH domain is typically very low, but can be improved through the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ complexing agents. The equilibrium formation constants of the resulting Zn-complexes should be selected such that they are high enough to boost the solubility of zinc in the electrolyte but low enough to still allow ZnO precipitation and avoid unwanted Zn electrode shape change. Next, we discuss what electrolyte materials are available to help achieve these goals.

A. Electrolyte components

A pH-buffered NNE requires two fundamental components: (i) a weak acid with at least one acid dissociation constant in the desired range whose conjugate base forms complexes with Zn$^{2+}$, and (ii) a suitable counter-ion to maintain charge neutrality. Importantly, the counter-ion should not form insoluble products with Zn$^{2+}$.

Ammonium, NH$_4^+$, is one of the most common pH buffers and requires a negatively charged counter-ion, often a halide like Cl$^-$, Br$^-$, F$^-$, or I$^-$. The solubility of zinc-halide-hydroxide solids in the near-neutral pH regime is low, which suppresses ZnO as the dominant discharge product. Furthermore, halide anions in electrolyte solutions are known to corrode non-noble metals and poison Pt/C ORR catalyst materials, and elemental halogens, especially Cl$_2$ and F$_2$, are toxic. Avoiding the use of halide counter-ions in the electrolyte could improve sustainability, performance, and lifetime of the battery. Aqueous zinc electrolytes with alternative negative counter-ions like SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, ClO$_4^-$, and others have been demonstrated but suffer from similar precipitation challenges (Fig. S2†). Recent research has been directed to bulky anions like triflate, CF$_3$SO$_3^-$, and bistriflimide (TFSI$^-$), (CF$_3$SO$_2$)$_2$N$^-$ but they are currently too expensive. It is difficult to identify a suitable negatively-charged counter-ion that is soluble, non-toxic, affordable, and stable under ZAB conditions. We therefore direct our attention towards alternative pH-buffers that can be utilized with positive alkali metal counter-ions (e.g. Li$^+$, Na$^+$, and K$^+$).

Organic weak acids, like carboxylic or aminocarboxylic acids, and their salts have been studied for many years as additives to aqueous zinc electrolytes to improve the quality of Zn deposition and suppress the HER. These acids are often polyprotic, the conjugate bases are negatively-charged, and they can be combined with a positive counter-ion. The solubilities of zinc-organic salts are usually high enough so as not to threaten the precipitation of ZnO. The wide variety of organic weak acids gives added flexibility in electrolyte design. Disadvantages of organic acids and their salts are the lower ionic conductivity and complicated redox characteristics, due to the variety of possible intermediate products. Nonetheless, they deserve closer inspection to determine their feasibility as aqueous zinc electrolyte materials. In the following section, we identify thermodynamic descriptors to screen suitable organic molecules and model solutions in chemical equilibrium.

B. Thermodynamic screening of organic components for aqueous electrolytes

There are myriad organic molecules that could be suitable for aqueous zinc electrolytes. Two thermodynamic descriptors are selected to aid the material screening: pH-dependent dissociation constants ($\beta$ = $\log_{10}(K_{eq})$) data for a variety of organic weak acids. There are many molecules that could be considered as pH buffers. To focus our search, we look for weak acids with one or more $\beta_{eq}$ values in the near-neutral pH range, whose conjugate bases form moderately strong complexes with Zn$^{2+}$ and have electrochemical heritage. Among the more promising candidates are carboxylic acids and aminocarboxylic acids. Although both acetate and citrate have strong electrochemical heritage, we select citrate for further investigation in this analysis because of its superior pH buffering and transport properties. The simplest aminocarboxylic acid is glycine, which has electrochemical heritage in battery and electroplating electrolytes.

Figs. 3(a) & (b) show the dissociation and Zn$^{2+}$ speciation properties of an aqueous citric acid solution. In Fig. 3(a), citric acid (H$_3$Cit) dominates the solution at acidic values. As the pH increases, it passes through its various deprotonated states until citrate (Cit$^{3-}$) is the sole species at pH values around 7 and above. When Zn$^{2+}$ ions are introduced to the solution in Fig. 3(b), they form complexes with the various citrate species in the solution, dominated by Zn(Cit)$_2$ in the near-neutral
Figure 2. Zinc complex stability constant \( \beta = \log_{10} K_{eq} \) and pK\(_a\) values for a selection of weak organic acids. Dashed lines indicate the approximate region of interest for aqueous pH-buffered zinc electrolyte applications. Data compiled from Refs. [42–44].

pH domain. As the solution becomes more alkaline, the zinc-hydroxide complexes become dominant. This model of chemical equilibrium shows that pH-adjusted solutions of citric acid and zinc could stabilize the electrolyte pH between values of circa 3-6 due to citric acid dissociation and 11-14 due to the formation of zinc-hydroxide complexes. We do not work with these pH ranges because hydrogen evolution would limit efficiency at pH 3 and carbonate formation would limit lifetime at a pH 14.

Fig. 3(c) & (d) present the dissociation and Zn\(^{2+}\) speciation properties of glycine. Fig. 3(c) shows that, in aqueous solutions, glycine can exist in three states: the glycinium cation (H\(_2\)Gly\(^{+}\)), the glycine zwitterion (HGly), and the glycinate anion (Gly\(^{-}\)). When zinc is introduced in Fig. 3(d), it forms complexes with glycinate and the solution is dominated by Zn(Gly)\(^{-}\) between pH 9-12. The best option to obtain a stable pH under ZAB operating conditions to utilize the HGly/Gly\(^{-}\) buffer between pH 8-12, which coincides with the domain of Zn(Gly)\(^{-}\) dominance and abuts the region of Zn(OH)\(_2\)\(^{4-}\) dominance. Glycine has good pH buffering properties in the appropriate domain and supports the controlled deposition of Zn metal. Solutions of citric acid and its salts have high ionic conductivity and suppress H\(_2\) evolution on Zn metal. Combining glycine with citric acid salt could further stabilize the pH - especially during ZAB charging - and improve charge transport within the electrolyte.

Fig. 4 shows the 2D solubility and speciation landscape of a mixed citric acid-glycine electrolyte with the pH adjusted through the addition of KOH. The total concentration of citrate in the solution is 1.8 mol dm\(^{-3}\) ([Cit\(^{3-}\)]\(_T\) = 1.8M) and glycine is 0.9 mol dm\(^{-3}\) ([Gly\(^{-}\)]\(_T\) = 0.9M). The figure can be read as follows: the colored regions (labelled (i) - (vi)) represent the dominant zinc complexes in the electrolyte. The thick white lines show the solubility limits of ZnO (solid) and Zn(OH)\(_2\) (dashed). The thick black line shows the solubility limit of Zn\(_3\)(Cit)\(_2\). The dashed black lines trace paths of constant [K\(^+\)] concentration, indicating how the composition of the electrolyte shifts as the cell is discharged ([Zn]\(_T\) increases) or charged ([Zn]\(_T\) decreases). Stable working points for the ZAB are located at positions where the dashed black lines (iso-[K\(^+\)] paths) cross the solid white line (ZnO solubility). Locating the position on the chart corresponding to a total zinc concentration of 0.5 M and pH of 9, the dotted pathway shows stable operation between pH values of 8 and 11, with mixed ZnO-Zn(OH)\(_2\) dominating the discharge product. There is a risk of zinc citrate precipitation, but this can be avoided with proper electrolyte preparation. Therefore, we pro-
pose an electrolyte containing 1.8M Cit\(^3\)\(^-\), 0.9M HGly, saturated with ZnO and adjusted to pH 9 through the addition of KOH.

There are a few aspects motivating our selection of the proposed halide-free electrolyte for further investigation. To our knowledge, this electrolyte mixture has not been previously proposed or investigated; it is therefore a suitable proof-of-concept for our electrolyte design rationale. Second, the dynamic behaviour of this electrolyte is very complicated and serves as an excellent opportunity to further develop and validate the proposed continuum modelling framework. Finally, these materials are very safe and cheap, and these ZABs could perhaps serve some niche applications. Therefore, this selection should be seen as one step in the process of aqueous electrolyte development. In the following section, we discuss a method to simulate the cell-level dynamic performance of this aqueous organic electrolyte.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance of a lab-scale ZAB with an aqueous organic electrolyte is investigated using both experimental measurements and cell-level simulations. In Sec. III A, we begin by simulating the cell performance under galvanostatic cycling conditions to determine the feasibility of the proposed electrolyte and provide a foundation for understanding the experimental results. We then characterize the cell electrochemically with full cell and half cell measurements in Sec. III B, and characterize the cycled Zn electrode physically to confirm the composition of the precipitate phase with XRD, SEM, and EDS measurements in Sec. III C.

A. Cell simulations

Fig. 5 shows (a) the simulated electrolyte pH profile and (b) a comparison of the simulated and experimental (EMD) cell voltage during galvanostatic cycling. The cell is first discharged at 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 4 h and then charged at 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) for 4 h. The Zn electrode is at the left of the domain, followed by an electrolyte bath 9 mm thick, and the bi-functional air electrode (BAE) is on the right of the domain. The initial pH of the electrolyte is 9.

At the start of discharge, the electrolyte becomes more alkaline in the BAE because of the effects of the ORR. The buffering capacity of the electrolyte stabilizes the pH at values around 11. As discharge continues, the electrolyte in the separator steadily trends alkaline due to the diffusion of spent buffer solution away from the air electrode. Because the electrolyte bath is relatively large, it takes time for this diffusion front to reach the Zn electrode.
Figure 4. Equilibrium speciation and solubility landscape of the proposed citric acid - glycine electrolyte mixture, for fixed total concentrations $[\text{Cit}^{3-}]_T = 1.8$ M and $[\text{Gly}^-]_T = 0.9$ M. Colored regions (i) - (vi) indicate the dominant zinc complex in the electrolyte. Thick lines show the solubility limits of $\text{ZnO}$, $\text{Zn(OH)}_2$, and $\text{Zn}_3(\text{Cit})_2$. The thin dotted lines trace paths of constant total $K^+$ concentration. The super-imposed red dot indicates the proposed stable working point of the electrolyte, and the red arrows show the anticipated composition shifts during cell discharging and charging.

Figure 5. Simulated galvanostatic cycling performance of a lab-scale ZAB with an aqueous organic electrolyte, showing (a) electrolyte pH and (b) cell voltage. The cell is first discharged at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 4 h and then charged at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 4 h (see text for further details).

The pH in the Zn electrode varies only slightly (between circa 9-10) during cycling. To better understand the behavior of the electrolyte, we examine the distribution on zinc, glycine, and citrate in the electrolyte.

Fig. 6 shows the anatomized concentration profiles of zinc, glycine, and citrate in the electrolyte at the end of the first discharge and at the end of the first charge. At the end of the first discharge (Fig. 6(a)), electrolyte zinc species in the BAE exists mostly as complexes with Gly$^-$ with some zinc-hydroxides present. At the end of the first charge (Fig. 6(b)), there are no zinc-hydroxides present in the BAE. This indicates that the alkaline shift that occurs during discharge is stabilized by the uptake of OH$^-$ by the $\text{Zn(OH)}_x$ complexes. On the other hand, the acidic pH shift that occurs during charging is stabilized by the HGly/Gly$^-$ buffer.

This effect is also seen in the glycine distributions shown in Figures 6(c) and (d). At the end of discharging, glycine in the air electrode exists only as complexes with zinc. But at the end of charging there is a significant increase in the proportion of glycine in its HGly zwitterionic state. The concentration profiles of citrate (Figs. 6(e) & (f)) show that it mostly acts as a background electrolyte. Citrate does form some complexes with zinc, but the pH of the electrolyte does not drop to values low enough to engage its buffering properties.

The cell-level simulations predict that a ZAB with the proposed citrate-glycine electrolyte can be cycled at low current densities. The pH is anticipated to stabilize between circa 8.5-11.5 in the air electrode and circa 9-10 in the Zn electrode. In the following sections, lab-scale ZAB cells with the proposed electrolyte are experimentally characterized to investigate and validate these predictions.

B. Physical and electrochemical characterization

Table II lists the properties of the as-prepared electrolyte compared with previously reported values for aqueous ZAB electrolytes KOH and NH$_4$Cl-ZnCl$_2$. The properties of the proposed electrolyte are measured at three pH values: 8, 9, and 12. These reflect the electrolyte pH values during charging, open-circuit, and discharging predicted by the cell simulations. The electrolyte properties at these reaction conditions are found to be similar. The proposed electrolyte has lower ionic conductivity than other ZAB electrolytes. On the other hand, density and dissolved oxygen concentration results are similar to other aqueous electrolytes. A total organic carbon (TOC) comparison of the as-prepared and cycled electrolyte is given in Table S11†.

Electrochemical measurements are performed to evaluate the feasibility of the proposed electrolyte. First, rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) measurements are used to compare and contrast the catalytic performance of CNT, EMD, and EMD+CNT air electrode materials. We then compare the cycling performance of the different catalysts in full cells, and long-term cycling tests investigate the cycle lifetime. Finally, operando pH measurements probe the stability of the electrolyte composition during discharging and charging and the performance of the ZAB as a primary cell is
Figure 6. Anatomized concentration profiles of zinc (a)-(b), glycine (c)-(d), and citrate (e)-(f) in the electrolyte at the end of discharging and charging. The presence of Zn(OH)$_x$ in the discharged electrolyte but not in the charged electrolyte, combined with the increased presence of HGly in the charged electrolyte demonstrates a shift in the dominant pH buffering mechanism between discharging and charging.

Table II. Measured physicochemical properties of the proposed electrolyte composition compared with literature values for NH$_4$Cl–ZnCl$_2$ and 30 wt% KOH. Values for ionic conductivity (IC), mass density ($\rho$), and dissolved oxygen concentration ([O$_2$]) are measured for each electrolyte. Values for NH$_4$Cl–ZnCl$_2$ are reported in ref. [23], and values for KOH are reported in refs. [64–67].

| Electrolyte                  | pH  | IC (mS·cm$^{-1}$) | $\rho$ (g·mL$^{-1}$) | [O$_2$] (mg·L$^{-1}$) |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1.8 M K$_3$Cit - 0.9 M HGly | 12  | 93.3              | 1.3726               | 2.96                  |
| 1.8 M K$_3$Cit - 0.9 M HGly | 9   | 86.8              | 1.338                | 2.76                  |
| 1.8 M K$_3$Cit - 0.9 M HGly | 8   | 90.8              | 1.3483               | 2.81                  |
| 1.6 M NH$_4$Cl - 0.5 M ZnCl$_2$ | 8 | 209              | 1.05                 | 6.61                  |
| 30 wt% KOH                  | 14.8| 638               | 1.28                 | 2.52                  |

shown over a single complete discharge.

1. Oxygen electrocatalysis

The performance of air electrode catalyst materials in the proposed electrolyte are characterized using RRDE measurements. Reference measurements performed on the common polycrystalline Pt (pc-Pt) electrode in the proposed electrolyte without and with oxygen are presented in Fig. S4 of the supplementary information†. Fig. 7 shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) results for the various air electrode materials performed in the RRDE configuration. Since the glassy carbon (GC) disk was used as a substrate for the thin film electrode fabrication, comparative RRDE measurements in the proposed electrolyte were performed over a bare GC electrode and then covered by the individual components: EMD, CNT, and the EMD+CNT composite.

Fig. 7(a) shows the measured disk currents. Three common potential regions can be distinguished for all electrodes: (i) double-layer region from circa 0.7 V to circa 1.2 V; (ii) oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) region from circa 0.7 V to 0.07 V; and (iii) oxidation of organics and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) region from circa 1.2 V to 1.6 V.

In the double-layer region, the pseudo-capacitive current corresponds to the electrochemically active surface area of the electrodes. The observed pseudo-capacitive current is the narrowest for the GC and EMD-covered GC electrodes, much wider for the CNT-covered GC electrode, and the widest for the EMD+CNT composite over the GC substrate. This demonstrates the greater active surface area of CNT materials.

The ORR sets in at circa 0.7 V for all the electrodes and reaches comparable ORR values at the lower potential limit, considering superimposed pseudo-capacitive contributions, even for
Figure 7. RRDE measurements at bare GC and thin-film EMD, CNT, and EMD+CNT electrodes, in oxygen-saturated (solid lines) and oxygen-free (dotted line) conditions. The electrolyte is 1.8M K$_3$Cit - 0.9M HGly saturated by ZnO at pH 9. Currents are shown for (a) the disk electrode and (b) the ring electrode. $\omega$ = 1600 rpm (ORR), scan rate = 10 mV s$^{-1}$, $U_{\text{ring}}$ = 1.2 V. Shape markers are added to help distinguish the different measurements.

Figure 8. Cell voltage during galvanostatic discharge of a ZAB with CNT, EMD, and EMD+CNT catalyst materials over 5 cycles.

The peroxide yield of circa 17% during the ORR is comparable to other recent studies of bifunctional ORR/OER catalysts. In neutral media, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni-amineantipyrine or Cu-phenantroline based catalysts show ORR selectivity close to four electrons. However, their OER activity and stability under the OER conditions are not yet reported, which is essential for the bifunctional performance of the catalyst. On the other hand, oxygen-doped carbon nanotubes are reported to be a highly selective catalyst for peroxide formation during the ORR in neutral media.

To improve the ORR selectivity a further reduction of hydrogen peroxide can be ensured by engineering the loading, morphology and composition of the catalyst.

Finally, the behavior at high potentials is attributable to a mix of organic species oxidation with contributions from the OER and carbon corrosion. In the base CV on pe-Pt$^{†}$ (which is used as an active material for the oxidation of organic species and oxygen evolution), oxidation of the organic electrolyte species sets in at circa 1.2 V, as expected for a typical Kolbe-type reaction for decarboxylation of carboxylic acids in both nitrogen and oxygen saturated electrolyte.

In Fig. 7(a), the disk current for the GC sub-
strate material used for the film electrode fabrication (grey) presents virtually no response until a slight increase above 1.5 V, which can be attributed to carbon corrosion. Similarly, the EMD catalyst material (blue) shows no response below 1.5 V, in agreement with low activity of electrodeposited manganese oxide towards the OER below 1.5 V in alkaline solution. The current uptick above 1.5 V can be attributed to corrosion of the GC substrate with a possible contribution from the OER, which is expected to onset between 1.5 and 1.6 V.

The CNT film electrode current (black) presents the onset of an oxidation process at circa 1.2 V, which aligns with the organic species oxidation process observed on pc-Pt (Fig. S4†). A small shoulder in the current is visible at 1.45 V. The EMD+CNT film current (red) traces the CNT current until circa 1.45 V. Above this potential, EMD+CNT shows close to an exponential increase in current in both nitrogen-saturated and oxygen-saturated electrolyte. The observed current growth is greater than the sum of the CNT and EMD individual contributions, pointing to a synergistic effect in the EMD+CNT mixture. The absence of a peak or plateau in the EMD+CNT disk current indicates that the process is not transport-limited. Furthermore, CNTs exhibit a high stability against carbon corrosion in this potential range. An improved OER performance from the manganese oxide particles deposited onto nitrogen doped CNTs at potential higher that ca. 1.6 V was reported in, however, the EMD+CNT composite shows improved current growth above circa 1.5 V. Further investigations of this observation could be a topic for future research.

The main findings of the model RRDE studies are summarized as follows: (i) the comparison of different catalyst materials in nitrogen-saturated and oxygen-saturated electrolytes shows that each material is active towards the ORR, with EMD+CNT presenting the highest activity. Furthermore, significant ring currents are present in the low potential region, indicating the presence of H₂O₂ resulting from the 2-electron ORR pathway. (ii) GC and EMD show no response below 1.5 V, indicating that they are not active towards oxidation of the organic species. On the other hand, the oxidation of the organic species on CNT and EMD+CNT sets in between 1.2 V and 1.45 V. In the high potential range, the oxidation of organic components in the electrolyte is likely the dominant degradation mechanism. (iii) Above 1.45 V the EMD+CNT catalyst shows non-additive behavior compared to EMD and CNT alone. In this domain, EMD+CNT disk current exhibits non-transport-limited exponential growth. Such behavior can be attributed to a contribution from the OER in addition to oxidation of the organic species. Electrocatalytic decarboxylation via the Kolbe reaction mechanism presents a significant challenge for the long-term electrochemical stability of both carboxylic and aminocarboxylic acids in rechargeable ZABs. The OOH* intermediate formation during the OER will inevitably affect the stability of both the electrolyte and the electrode material. One possible solution for further research could be to use other materials highlighted in Fig. 2 that do not contain carboxyl groups, such as imidazole.

2. Full cell measurements

Fig. 8 compares the cell voltage of a lab-scale ZAB cell with CNT, EMD, and EMD+CNT catalyst materials over 5 galvanostatic cycles. The cycling is performed at a current density of 0.5 mA cm⁻² for 4 hours of discharging and 4 hours of charging. After 5 cycles, the discharging performance of ZABs with CNT and EMD+CNT catalyst materials converge to similar voltages (0.98 V and 1.00 V, respectively), while the discharging voltage of the ZAB with EMD remains at 0.85 V. On the other hand, ZAB cells with the different catalyst materials exhibit consistently different charging voltages. The cell with EMD catalyst shows a final charging voltage of 2.12 V, while the cell with CNT charges...
The Galvanostatic Cell Cycling Performance Indicato... which indicates that some degradation of the elect... The results of the continuous cycling tests confir... The galvanostatic cell cycling performance indicat... hand, the charging voltage slowly increases from 1.72 V at the beginning to 1.88 V by cycle 63. The electrolyte is then replaced and the cell recuperates some of its original performance. The discharging voltage stabilizes between 0.9 V and 1 V, but the charging voltage continues to increase to a peak of 2.15 V. After replacing the electrolyte the ZAB operates for an additional 56 cycles.

In Fig. 9(b), the cycling time is increased to 8 hours of discharging and 8 hours of charging (4 mA h cm$^{-2}$ charge transferred per cycle). The cell demonstrates 26 cycles over 416 hours (104 mA h cm$^{-2}$ total charge transferred). Once again, the discharge voltage is stable around 1 V, but the charging voltage slowly increases with cycle number. When the electrolyte is replaced, the cell recovers and is able to operate for another 15 cycles. The cell cycled at 4 mA h cm$^{-2}$ per cycle (Fig. 9(b)) fails sooner than the cell cycled at 2 mA h cm$^{-2}$ per cycle (Fig. 9(a)), as quantified in Table S10. Replacing the electrolyte does not result in a complete recovery of cell performance, which indicates that some degradation of the electrodes is likely occurring.

The galvanostatic cell cycling performance indicates that electrolyte oxidation during charging is likely the dominant degradation mechanism in the cell. The RRDE measurement of EMD+CNT in Fig. 7 suggests that the OER could contribute a larger fraction of the current at higher potentials. ZAB cells charged with a constant voltage protocol demonstrated a modest gain in cycle lifetime, as shown in Fig. S6.t

The results of the continuous cycling tests confirm that the lab-scale ZAB cell with the proposed aqueous organic electrolyte can be cycled over an extended period (500 hours, 63 cycles). However, the observed increase in charging voltage and the recovery of performance after replacing the electrolyte suggest that some electrolyte degradation occurs during the charging process. The effects of this degradation can be lessened by applying a constant voltage charging protocol. An overview of all the results obtained during the various cycling tests is given in Table S10.

In Fig. 10(a), the stability of the electrolyte pH during a single discharge-charge cycle is investigated using operando pH measurements near the Zn and air electrodes. A ZAB cell with the proposed electrolyte cycled at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ for 25 hours of discharging and 25 hours of charging demonstrates stable operation of 63 cycles over 504 hours (126 mA h cm$^{-2}$ total charge transferred). After the first few cycles, the discharge voltage of the cell stabilizes at just over 1 V. The discharge voltage remains very stable throughout the cycling experiment, before undergoing a sudden drop after 63 cycles. On the other
responding to 98.3% utilization of the theoretical capacity of the Zn electrode (819.9 mAh·g\(^{-1}\)\(\text{Zn}\)). The measured ZAB discharge occurs in three stages. (1) At the start of discharge, there is an initial dip in cell voltage, which can be attributed to the nucleation of the solid discharge product.\(^{[19,85]}\) (2) After the formation of nucleation sites, the precipitation of ZnO allows the cell to reach a stable working point. The cell voltage recovers and stabilizes near 1 V as the Zn metal electrode continues to dissolve. (3) When the cell passes a discharged capacity of about 615 mAh·g\(^{-1}\)\(\text{Zn}\) (75% Zn utilization), the cell voltage decays more rapidly as the available surface area of the Zn electrode decreases. Finally, the cell reaches the end of discharge when no usable Zn metal remains.

The complete discharge test confirms that the proposed electrolyte is suitable for primary Zn-air batteries at low current densities (0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). The ZAB maintains a stable voltage between 0.9 V and 1 V for most of its usable capacity. Furthermore, the ZAB achieves over 98% of its theoretical capacity at the end of discharging.

The main findings of the full cell electrochemical measurements are as follows. First, the proposed electrolyte is suitable for a primary ZAB, which achieves over 98% of its theoretical capacity at the end of discharging at a 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\). Second, the pH of the electrolyte is stable in the predicted range during cycling. Third, the ZAB cell can be operated with a stable discharging voltage around 1 V for dozens of cycles under various conditions. However, some degradation of the electrolyte is likely to occur during cell charging.

C. Zn electrode characterization

The Zn electrode should form ZnO as the final discharge product for the cell to be a true Zn-air battery. Existing studies of zinc-based batteries with non-alkaline aqueous electrolytes note that mixed zinc salts - not ZnO - dominate the discharge product.\(^{[23,26,86]}\) To confirm the presence of ZnO in the proposed aqueous organic electrolyte, Zn electrodes at various states of charge are characterized using XRD, SEM, and EDS measurements.

Fig. 11 shows the x-ray diffraction patterns collected for two Zn electrodes operated under different conditions. After complete discharge (Fig. 11(a)) two major phases were identified: ZnO and Zn(OH)\(_2\). A small amount of a suspected layered hydroxide phase (discussed in supplementary information\(^{[1]}\)) was also observed. Fig. 11(b) shows the powder XRD pattern for products formed after 13 discharge-charge cycles at a rate of 0.5 mA h cm\(^{-2}\) with a discharging and charging cycle time of 27 hours. Cycling was stopped on a charging step. Identified phases are fit and displayed in colour.

In Fig. 12, SEM analysis of the electrode cross-sections presents the Zn electrode in greater detail. The cross-section images show a complex microstructure. Fig. 12(a) presents the fully-discharged electrode and Fig. 12(b) shows the cycled electrode. After discharging, the passivated Zn metal that remains is surrounded by a fairly uniform phase rich in Zn and O. On the other hand, Fig. 12(b) shows that there are distinct phases present in the cycled Zn electrode. The metallic Zn phase exhibits a dense layer on the bottom with a more porous Zn metal phase on top. The dense layer is the original Zn foil, while the porous metal phase is the Zn metal deposited dur-
ing charging. The solids surrounding the Zn metal also exhibit clearly distinguishable phases. The layer directly on top of the Zn metal contains less Zn and O than the richer phases towards the outer interface with the electrolyte. This indicates that the solid products are converted back to Zn metal first at the electrode interface. However, it is interesting to note that a significant amount of precipitated discharge products remain on the electrode after charging. Additionally, the Zn electrode is completely dissolved in some areas. This indicates that the dissolution of the precipitated discharge products during charging may be too slow to support the re-deposition of Zn metal. This observation is likely linked to both the slow dissolution kinetics of ZnO in neutral solutions\cite{87} and the pH-buffer resisting the shift to more acidic pH values.\cite{23}

The analysis of Zn electrodes in the proposed electrolyte yields two important findings: (i) ZnO is a major phase in each sample. The presence of ZnO as the dominant discharge product is a significant improvement over existing non-alkaline electrolytes that favor the precipitation of mixed zinc salts and consume electrolyte.\cite{23} The precipitation of ZnO allows the battery to achieve a stable working point and opens a pathway towards achieving high energy density. However, (ii) some discharge products remain in the electrode after charging. This indicates that the discharge product dissolution process may be too slow. Additional measurements, discussion, and common Zn electrode performance benchmarks\cite{88} are available in the supplementary information (Figs. S7 & S8).\footnote{f}

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The combined theoretical-experimental method of aqueous electrolyte design laid out in this work is applied to develop and test a novel electrolyte composition for rechargeable zinc-air batteries.

This work proposes thermodynamic descriptors to accelerate the screening of alternative electrolyte materials for zinc-based batteries. The screening shows that there are a wide variety of organic molecules with favorable properties for aqueous ZAB electrolytes. Carboxylic acids and aminocarboxylic acids are particularly suitable. Through the application of theory-based models, we show that a halide-free electrolyte containing citrate and glycine maintains a stable pH during ZAB operation and thermodynamically favors the precipitation of ZnO as the final discharge product. Experimental results confirm the validity of the theory-based predictions and yield additional insight into the proposed aqueous-organic ZAB.

Half-cell RRDE measurements of the air electrode catalyst materials (CNT, EMD, and EMD+CNT) in both nitrogen-saturated and oxygen-saturated electrolyte confirm that these materials are active towards the oxygen reduction reaction, with EMD+CNT showing the greatest activity. However, the measured ring currents indicate that significant quantities of incomplete reaction product $\ce{H2O2}$ are produced via the 2-electron ORR pathway. In the high-potential domain, the current is driven by a combination of organic species oxidation, oxygen evolution, and carbon corrosion.

Full-cell cycling measurements show that the lab-scale cell can be cycled for up to 500 hours. After replacing the electrolyte, the cell is able to recuperate some of its performance and continue cycling. Increasing the volume of the electrolyte and charging at a constant voltage of 2 V were both shown to give modest improvements in cell cycling lifetime. Finally, the ex-situ SEM, XRD, and EDS measurements confirm that ZnO is the dominant discharge product. Analysis of charged Zn electrodes show that significant quantities of ZnO remain on the electrode surface, indicating that the kinetics of ZnO dissolution are slow and could limit the charging time of the battery.

The combined results of the simulation and experiment confirm that the proposed halide-free aqueous electrolyte improves upon state-of-the-art by precipitating ZnO and $\ce{Zn(OH)2}$ as the dominant discharge products and maintaining a stable pH during cell operation. However, challenges

Figure 12. Backscattered electron (BSE) image and EDS analysis of a Zn electrode after (a) 1 full discharge at a rate of 0.5 mA h cm$^{-2}$ and (b) 13 discharge-charge cycles at a rate of 0.5 mA h cm$^{-2}$ with a discharging and charging cycle time of 27 hours. Cycling was stopped on a charging step.
including the oxidation of the organic molecules during cell charging and slow dissolution of the discharge products remain. Therefore, the proposed electrolyte should be viewed as a proof-of-concept for the design method, and as one formulation of many possibilities. The initial results from experiment validate both the modeling methods applied in the analysis and the underlying understanding that governs them. Continuing to apply these methods to further investigate and optimize halide-free aqueous electrolytes supports the development of novel materials for zinc-based batteries.

V. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

Three customized electrochemical cell designs with varying distances between the anode and the cathode were used in this work. In the first cell (C1), the electrodes were separated by 0.9 cm and 1.1 mL of electrolyte was injected. C1 was the default cell, used for all measurements unless otherwise stated. In the second cell (C2), the distance between the electrodes was increased to 2.8 cm and 4.4 mL of electrolyte was injected. This was done to place two pH microelectrodes (Mettler Toledo, InLab® Micro) near the positive and negative electrodes for operando pH measurements. In the final cell design (C3), the distance between the electrodes was 1.4 cm and 1.85 mL of electrolyte was injected. This cell was used to investigate the effect of electrolyte volume on the cycling lifetime of the ZAB. A zinc foil (Alfa Aesar, 99.98%, 250 µm thickness) was used as the Zn electrode in each cell, with an active area of 1.327 cm². The electrochemical analyses were carried out in a BaSyTEC Battery Test System.

The electrolyte was prepared from dissolving citric acid (Merck Millipore, anhydrous >99.5%) and glycine (Scharlau, reagent grade) in deionized water. The pH value was adjusted to pH=9 with KOH (Sigma Aldrich, >98%) and the solution was saturated with ZnO (Sigma Aldrich, puriss. p.a.). The as-prepared electrolyte solution contained [Cit³⁻]Τ = 1.80M, [Gly⁻]Τ = 0.91M, and [Zn²⁺]Τ = 0.44M. Physicochemical properties of the as-prepared electrolyte system were analyzed with specific equipment for those measurements. In this context, the pH, ionic conductivity (IC), viscosity (µ), dissolved oxygen ([O₂]), mass density (ρ) and total organic carbon (TOC) were measured.

Three catalyst materials were evaluated in this study: carbon nanotubes (CNT, Arkema GraphistrengthTM C100), electrolytic manganese dioxide (EMD, Tosoh Hellas A. I. C.), and a mixture of the two (EMD+CNT). In the three different bifunctional air electrodes 10 wt.% of PTFE (Dyneon TF 5032 PTFE) was added to the mixture. The CNT bifunctional air electrode and EMD bifunctional air electrode were prepared with 90 wt.% of CNT and EMD, respectively. On the other hand, the EMD+CNT bifunctional air electrode was composed of 20 wt.% EMD, 70 wt.% CNT and 10 wt.% PTFE. Finally, the mixtures were pressed twice for 1 minute at 50 bar against a carbon gas diffusion layer (Freudenberg H23C9). Once the electrodes were pressed, they were heated at 340 °C for 30 minutes where 2.2 mg cm⁻² of catalyst loading were achieved.

The electrochemical measurements were performed with a rotating ring disk electrode (RRDE) setup (Pine Instruments. AFRD-5). A glassy-carbon working electrode (0.196 cm²) was surrounded by a Pt ring biased at 1.2 V, which allows for simultaneous measurement of the hydrogen peroxide formation in the ORR. A thin film of the catalyst was fabricated onto a mirror polished and cleaned glassy carbon substrate by pipeting an aqueous suspension, drying in the N₂ stream, and pipeting/drying an aqueous suspension of Nafion for fixing the thin film of the catalyst. A Pt wire was used as a counter electrode and a saturated calomel electrode as a reference electrode (all the potentials are referred to a reversible hydrogen electrode, RHE). All chemicals and gasses were of the highest purity from the available choices.

Assessment of the crystalline components of as-tested anodes was performed using a Bruker D8 Advance A25 powder diffractometer equipped with a Cu K-α radiation source and LynxEye XETM detector (XRD). All measurements were performed in Bragg-Brentano geometry. Data were initially collected on formed Zn electrodes, but due to sample inhomogeneity and high levels of anisotropy in the crystallite growth data collection was repeated using reaction product powder scraped from the Zn anode foil. This was grounded and then mounted on a zero background holder (an oriented Si crystal) using silicone grease so as to reduce crystal orientation effects. Phase identification was performed via reference to the ICCD PDF4+ (2017) crystal structure database and Crystallographic Open Database (COD), and confirmed via whole powder pattern fitting using the Bruker Topas v5 analysis software.

Electron microscopy images were obtained for top surface and cross-sections using an Hitachi S3400N Electron Microscope (SEM) equipped with an Oxford Instruments Aztec EDS system. For cross section analysis, samples were embedded in epoxy resin (Struers EpoFix) and polished down to a fine finish using SiC polishing papers down to 5µm media size. Sample preparation was performed without water or lubricant in order to avoid sample dissolution. In order to avoid sample charging, top section imaging was performed in Low Vacuum mode, at a chamber pressure of 10 Pa, whilst cross section samples were coated with a thin layer of carbon.

Two types of numerical models are applied in this work. The first is a thermodynamic model of ion speciation and solubility, based on the law...
of mass action. The modelling method is derived in existing works. The second is a cell-level continuum model based on the quasi-particle method derived in our previous works. Assuming that the homogeneous acid-base and Zn-complexing reactions in the electrolyte occur instantaneously, the quasi-particle continuum model supports the efficient calculation of the dynamic concentration profiles in the electrolyte and gives insight into the pH stability in the cell during operation. All models with their requisite parameters are described in the supplementary information†.
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