Meta-Analysis of PKP or PVP Combined with Acupuncture in the Treatment of Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures
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The efficacy of acupuncture combined with percutaneous kyphoplasty (PKP) or percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture is systematically evaluated. The clinical trials of acupuncture combined with PKP or PVP in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture published before July 2021 are searched in databases of CNKI, WF, VIP, CBM, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase. The information of included studies is extracted, and the quality is assessed by two independent researchers. The meta-analysis is performed by using RevMan 5.3 software. A total of 9 trials are included, involving 851 patients. The experimental results show that the therapeutic effect of acupuncture combined with PKP/PVP in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF) is superior to that of PKP/PVP alone, and both the VAS score and ODI score of PKP/PVP combined with ordinary acupuncture or silver needle acupuncture are better than those of the control group one month after the operation. The effect of ordinary acupuncture combined with PKP/PVP on the increase of bone mineral density is better than that of the control group. Acupuncture combined with PKP/PVP in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture has better efficacy than PKP/PVP, and it can effectively relieve patients’ pain, improve bone density, and improve the quality of life.

1. Introduction

Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF) is a kind of fragility fracture of vertebral body caused by the decrease of bone mass, the decrease of bone strength, and the increase of bone fragility. It often occurs in the elderly, especially in postmenopausal women, and is accompanied by lumbago and back pain, kyphosis, lumbago and back muscle spasm, and convulsion clinical signs [1]. With the aging of society, the prevalence of osteoporosis has been increasing year by year, which has become an important public health problem in China. As one of the serious complications of osteoporosis, the prevalence of OVCF has also increased in recent years [2]. Vertebral compression fractures often cause severe pain and even lead to bedridden patients, and the patient’s own quality of life and family life has brought serious impact and burden. At present, the treatment methods of OVCF mainly include conservative treatment and surgical treatment, and percutaneous vertebroplasty and PKP are widely used in the treatment of OVCF because they can stabilize fracture, relieve pain quickly, correct kyphosis deformity, and restore normal activity in the early stage [3–7]. However, we cannot ignore that PKP or PVP alone cannot correct the imbalance of bone metabolism in elderly patients; the underlying mechanism of fracture osteoporosis has not been improved; and postoperative residual pain, daily activities of varying degrees of limitation, and other problems are still common [8–12]. Whereas acupuncture and moxibustion can not only improve the osteoporosis of the elderly but also have a certain effect on
the pain relief and early improvement of OVCF [13–16], in recent years, there are more and more clinical reports on PKP or PVP combined with acupuncture and moxibustion in the treatment of OVCF. Based on the theory of evidence-based medicine, this paper systematically evaluates high-quality randomized controlled clinical trials in order to provide a basis for clinical decision making.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses related work, followed by data and methods designed in Section 3. Section 4 shows the experimental results, and Section 5 concludes the paper with summary and future research directions.

2. Related Work

Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture is equivalent to the category of “bone arthralgia” and “bone withered” in traditional Chinese medicine. “Suwen. Great Theory on Yin-Yang Corresponding to Nature” says: “The kidney store-sessence, governs the bones and engenders marrow, its bloom is in the bone.” In the elderly, the kidney essence is gradually depleted and the myelination is passive and unable to nourish the bones. If the bones lose nutrients for a long time, it is easy to lead to the occurrence of fractures [17–19]. After the fracture, the broken bones and tendons are separated, the vein is damaged, blood stasis remains on the inside, the bone is lack of nourishment, the pain happens. At the same time, it is unavoidable to injure the meridians and collaterals during the operation, resulting in blood leaving the meridians and affecting the normal operation of qi and blood, therefore “no general rule of law pain” [20, 21]. Acupuncture and moxibustion therapy can tonify the kidney and replenish essence, strengthen the spine and strengthen the waist, promote blood circulation, and relieve pain. In the selection of acupoints, 22 acupoints are found in 9 studies including main acupoints and matching acupoints, of which the frequency of use is 4 or more times (5 times), Yaoyangguan (5 times), Shenshu (5 times), Ji j i (4 times), Dazhui (4 times), Baihu (4 times), Sanyinjiao (6 times), Zusani (5 times), and Pishu (4 times). Mingmen acupoint is the basis of life, and Yaoyangguan Du pulse located at the waist, and two points are commonly used for the treatment of lumbar diseases. Shenshu point belongs to the foot sun meridian, kidney main bone marrow, and acupuncture Shenshu can tonify kidney essence and strengthen bone marrow. Dazhui acupoint belongs to Du meridian, where Sanyang meridian and Du meridian converge, and Baihu is located at the top, where Zhuyang converges. Acupuncture on these two acupoints is beneficial to strengthening Yang, preventing deficiency of kidney yang and bone loss. At the same time, acupuncture Sanyinjiao, Zusani, spleen shu adjustable tonifying liver and kidney, spleen, and stomach, and Shenshu and other points at the same time tonifying both congenital and acquired, so that essence and marrow metaphasia active, promote bone production.

From the point of view of modern medicine, soft tissue injuries such as muscles, ligaments, and fascia around vertebral body after vertebral body compression fracture can lead to hyperemia, edema, release of inflammatory substances, and pain, and greatly reduce the stability of spinal column. At the same time, some patients keep the position with the lowest pain for a long time due to pain at the fracture site, and muscle spasm and pain at the waist and back. On the one hand, the treatment of PKP/PVP can restore the stability of the spine, enhance the strength and rigidity of the vertebral body, and greatly reduce the stimulation of the fractured micromotion to the pain terminal nerve in the vertebral body [22–24]. On the other hand, the calories produced by the toxicity and high polymerization effect of bone cement can also cause necrosis of nerve endings in vertebrae and improve pain [25–27], and pain relief is not obvious in some patients with OVCF after operation and residual lumbar back pain. It is believed that this may be related to lumbar back fascia injury, other tissue injuries in adjacent parts, chronic pain caused by osteoporosis, and inflammatory reaction or ischemia caused by bone cement. In recent years, a large number of clinical studies have combined PKP/PVP with acupuncture to treat OVCF, which effectively relieves the patient’s lumbago and back pain, improves bone density, and improves the quality of life. Acupuncture can release the adhesive soft tissue and improve the pain caused by ischemia and anoxia or compression of skin nerves after fascial injury and adhesion. Acupuncture can regulate the endocrine system of the body to stimulate the absorption and formation of osteocytes, block the generation of osteoclasts, prevent bone resorption, and improve joint pain and movement limitation [28, 29].

This study evaluated the effects of PKP/PVP combined with acupuncture on OVCF patients, including response rate, VAS score, ODI score, and bone mineral density. Nine articles involving 851 OVCF patients are included. The results showed that the effective rate of PKP/PVP combined with acupuncture in the treatment of OVCF is higher than that of PKP/PVP alone. In terms of the change of VAS score, ODI score, and bone mineral density after treatment, we selected 1 month and 12 months after operation to observe the short-term and long-term therapeutic effect. One month after operation, according to the different treatment measures, the PKP/PVP combined with ordinary acupuncture and silver acupuncture group are superior to the control group in the improvement of VAS score and ODI score. The increase of bone density in PKP/PVP combined with the common acupuncture group is better than that in the control group [30, 31]. There is no statistical difference between PKP/PVP combined with the silver needle group and the control group in increasing bone density. At 12 months after operation, PKP/PVP combined with silver needle is superior to the control group in improving VAS score and ODI score. PKP/PVP combined with the common acupuncture group is better than the control group in improving ODI score, but there is no statistical difference between two groups in reducing VAS score. Bone mineral density could not be analyzed because only one of the included articles is available. It can be seen from the above that PKP/PVP combined with silver needle can effectively improve short-term and long-term pain and
motion dysfunction of OVCF patients. PKP/PVP combined with ordinary acupuncture is superior to simple PKP/PVP treatment in improving pain in 1 month after operation, and there is no statistical difference between the two groups after 12 months after operation, while the two groups have been showing statistical difference in ODI functional index, which may be related to the inclusion of less literature on VAS score in 12 months after operation; on the other hand, it may also indicate that combination of ordinary acupuncture group has better pain relief in the early postoperative period, is conducive to the recovery of physiological function of patients, and is convenient for patients to carry out rehabilitation exercise in the early postoperative period.

3. Data and Methods

3.1. Retrieval Strategy. Relevant studies on the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures with acupuncture and moxibustion combined with PKP or PVP published in China Knowledge Network (CNKI), Wanfang, Vip Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database (VIPS), China Biomedical Literature Database Network (CBM), PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, etc. With “osteoarthritis,” “vertebral fracture,” “lumbar fracture,” “thoracic fracture,” “vertebroplasty,” “percutaneous vertebroplasty,” “percutaneous kyphoplasty,” “PKP,” “PVP,” “acupuncture,” “acupUNCTURE,” “electroacupuncture,” and “warm needle” as the Chinese core search terms. The English search terms are “osteoarthritis vertebral compression fracture,” “osteoporotic fractures,” “PKP,” “percutaneous kyphoplasty,” “PVP,” “percutaneous vertebroplasty,” “acupuncture,” and “pharmacopuncture.” The search time is from database establishment to July 2021.

3.2. Document Screening and Data Extraction. After the documents retrieved from each database are imported into the Endnote document management software, and the inclusion and exclusion criteria are explicitly included, the two researchers are, respectively, screened. The whole process is divided into three steps: removing duplicate documents, preliminary screening, and re-screening. During the screening process, the two researchers strictly independently extract the data according to the above criteria, complete the data extraction table (Table 1), and resolve the differences through discussion with the third party. The data extracted included: the author, the year of publication, the number of cases in the experimental and control groups, the intervention, the evaluation time, the efficacy criteria, the outcome measures, and the authors who actively contacted the original study for the information not available and not clearly reported.

3.3. Quality Evaluation. Risk bias assessment of included studies is conducted using the Review Manager 5.3 software built-in risk bias assessment tool provided by the Cochrane collaboration. The evaluation included the generation of random sequences, allocation concealment, blinding of investigators and subjects, blinded evaluation of study outcomes, integrity of outcome data, selective reporting of study results, and other sources of bias. The two investigators independently judged high risk, unknown risk, and low risk according to the included literature.

3.4. Statistical Analysis. The included studies are analyzed using the review manager 5.3 software provided by the Cochrane collaboration. Firstly, the heterogeneity test is carried out, and the fixed or random effect model is selected according to the test results, such as $P > 0.1$ and $12 < 50\%$, and the fixed effect model is adopted. $P < 0.1$ and $12 \geq 50\%$ believe that there is a large heterogeneity between the results of the included studies, and it is necessary to further analyze the source of heterogeneity. Subgroup analysis or metaregression is performed on the factors that may lead to heterogeneity. If the heterogeneity still exists, random effect model is used for analysis. Secondly, according to the different data types, the odds ratio (OR) is used as the effect analysis index. Standardized mean difference (SMD) is used as the effect analysis index for continuous variables, and 95% confidence interval (CI) is calculated for both of them. The difference is statistically significant for $P < 0.05$. Sensitivity analysis is performed by eliminating each study in order to test the stability of the results. If the number of articles included is $\geq 10$, funnel plots are used to analyze the epigenetic bias.

4. Experimental Results

4.1. Screening Results. A total of 163 Chinese and English literature types are obtained. 91 duplicates are deleted. After reading the title and abstract, 32 articles are obtained. According to the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the number of articles included in the analysis is finally determined to be nine [20, 32–39]. The literature screening process is shown in Figure 1.

4.2. Basic Characteristics of Included Literature. Nine articles involved 851 patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. The basic characteristics of the included articles are shown in Table 1. In Table 1, the random methods include the following: (1) random number table method; (2) only "random"; (3) random drawing of lots; and (4) remote random grouping. Evaluation time includes the following: (1) end of treatment; (2) 1 month after operation; and (3) 12 months after operation; the outcome indexes include the following: (1) effective rate; (2) VAS score; (3) ODI score; (4) BMD; (5) Cobb’s angle; (6) compression ratio of diseased vertebrae before and after operation; (7) ADL score; and (8) SF-36 score.

4.3. Quality Evaluation of Included Literature. Risk bias assessment of included literature: (1) selective bias: according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, the included literature in this study is all RCTs, but the description of random method...
## Table 1: Basic characteristics of the literature including acupuncture and moxibustion combined with PKP/PVP in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture.

| Researcher           | Random Methods | Number of cases | Interventions | Evaluation time | Outcome Indicators |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Feng Meiguo 2017     | ③             | 75              | Needling + PVP | PVP             | ①②                |
| Liu Zhigang 2020     | ①             | 43              | Warm acupuncture + PKP | PKP             | ① ③                |
| Liu Gang 2019        | ①             | 43              | Needling + PVP | PVP             | ①②                |
| Meng Xiangdong 2018  | ②             | 50              | Acupuncture + PVP | PVP             | ①②④               |
| Cao Qi-hui 2017      | ②             | 65              | Silver needle + PKP | PKP             | ①②③               |
| Yang Ying 2018       | ①             | 16              | Acupuncture + PKP | PKP             | ①②③               |
| Chen Qifu 2020       | ①             | 60              | Needling + PKP  | PKP             | ①②③               |
| Chen Ping 2021       | ①             | 63              | Silver needle + PKP | PKP             | ①②③               |
| Huang Mi 2016        | ①             | 20              | Needling + PKP  | PKP             | ①②③               |

40 of records excluded
- Other diseases (n=23)
- Case report (n=8)
- Theoretical discussion (n=1)
- Meeting minutes and degree thesis (n=8)

23 of full-text articles excluded, with reasons
- Other interventions (n=14)
- Non-randomized controlled trial (n=7)
- Incomplete information (n=2)

9 of full-text articles assessed for eligibility

9 of studies included in qualitative synthesis

9 of studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis)

Figure 1: Document screening flowchart.
and allocation concealment is not identical in each literature, of which four [20, 32, 33, 39] types of literature explicitly mention random grouping by random number table, one [36] literature is random grouping by drawing lots, and one [35] literature is remote random grouping, so it can be considered that the possibility of bias in these studies is relatively low. There are three [34, 37, 38] types of literature in which only the word “random” appears, and its bias cannot be accurately judged. None of the 9 articles described whether allocation concealment is implemented. (2) Implementation of bias and measurement bias: in all the literature, no blind operation for shielding information of patients and experimental operators is mentioned, and whether blind method is adopted in the evaluation and analysis of outcome indexes is not described in the paper. (3) Follow-up bias: all articles contained the same sample size at the time of reporting the outcome as the sample size reported at the start of the study, and there is no loss of data. (4) Reporting bias: no information about clinical trial registration is reported in 9 articles, and it is impossible to judge whether there is selective reporting. (5) Other bias: no obvious other bias is observed. The risk of bias included in the literature is analyzed in Figure 2 and summarized in Figure 3.

4.4. Meta-Analysis Results

4.4.1. Efficient. Five of the nine studies [20, 32, 33, 35, 36] compared the difference in the efficacy of PKP/PVP combined with acupuncture and PKP/PVP alone in the treatment of OVCF. Heterogeneity test results, $P = 0.094 > 0.1$, I$^2 = 0 < 50\%$, can be considered as low heterogeneity between studies. The results showed that OR = 7.64, 95% CI: [3.54, 16.46], and $Z = 5.19$ $P < 0.00001$. Figure 4 shows the meta-analysis of the comparison of effective rates between two groups of OVCF patients. It is clearly evident from Figure 4 that the effective rate of PKP or PVP combined with acupuncture is better than that of PKP or PVP alone, and the difference is statistically significant.

4.4.2. Comparison of VAS Scores at 1 Month after Operation. For the nine articles included, eight [32–39] are used for heterogeneity test $P < 0.00001$, I$^2 = 87\% > 50\%$, and the results showed that SMD = −1.20, 95% CI: [−1.66, −0.75], $Z = 5.19$, and $P < 0.00001$. Figure 5 shows the meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of VAS scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation.

It is presumed that heterogeneity may be related to different interventions between studies, and therefore, subgroup analyses are performed by treatment measures, and the results showed that six studies [11–15, 17] used PKP/PVP combined with the ordinary acupuncture group and the PKP/PVP alone group, the heterogeneity between studies is low ($P = 0.21 > 0.1$, −0.42), using a fixed effect model and combining the effect size, the results show SMD = −1.58, $P = 0.002 < 0.05\%$, 95% CI: [−1.77, −1.38], $Z = 3.16$, and $P < 0.00001$; two studies [20, 32–37, 39] used PKP/PVP combined with the silver acupuncture group and the PKP/PVP alone group, the heterogeneity between studies is low ($P = 0.67 > 0.1$, −0.68), using a fixed effect model and combining the effect size, and the results show SMD = −0.42, $P = 0.002 < 0.05\%$, 95% CI: [−0.68, −0.16], and $Z = 3.16$. Figure 5 is the meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of VAS scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation. Figure 6 shows the subgroup analysis of comparison of VAS scores in two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation. It is clearly evident from Figures 5 and 6 that the decrease of VAS score in PKP/PVP combined with the acupuncture group is better than that in the PKP/PVP alone group at 1 month after operation, and the difference is statistically significant.

4.4.3. Comparison of ODI Scores at 1 Month after Operation. For the nine articles included, four $P < 22, 25, Z = 13.95$, and three studies, $P < 22, Z = 13.95$, compared the ODI scores of patients in 1 month after operation. The PKP/PVP combined with the ordinary acupuncture and moxibustion group and the PKP/PVP alone group are tested for heterogeneity $P = 0.0007 < 0.1$, −8.11, random effect model is used, and the effects are combined. The results show that SMD = −1.12, 0.00001% is [−2.04, −0.20], $Z = 2.38$, $P = 0.02 < 0.05$; one study [37] of PKP/PVP combined with the silver acupuncture group versus the PKP/PVP alone group, and the results of analysis show SMD = −9.43, 0.00001% is [−10.75, −8.11], $Z = 13.95$, and $P < 0.00001$. Figure 7 shows the meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of ODI scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation. It is clearly evident from Figure 7 that the improvement of ODI score in PKP/PVP combined with the acupuncture group is superior to that in the PKP/PVP alone group at 1 month after operation, and the difference is statistically significant.

4.4.4. Comparison of Bone Mineral Density in 1 Month after Operation. Among the nine articles included, four studies [32, 35–37] and three studies [32, 35, 36] compared the bone mineral density of the patients in 1 month after operation. The PKP/PVP group is combined with the common acupuncture group and the simple PKP/PVP group, and the heterogeneity test is carried out $P < 0.00001$, I$^2 = 98\% > 50\%$, random effect model is used, and the effect amount is combined. The results show that SMD = 2.29, 95% CI: [0.14, 4.44], $P = 1.00$, $P < 0.04 < 0.05$; one study [37] of PKP/PVP combined with the silver needle group versus the PKP/PVP alone group, and the results of analysis showed that SMD = 0.00, 95% CI: [−0.38, 0.38], $Z = 0.00$, $P = 1.00$. Figure 8 shows the meta-analysis forest plot of bone mineral density of two groups of OVCF patients one month after operation. It is clearly evident from Figure 8 that the improvement of bone density in common acupuncture combined with the PKP/PVP group is superior to that in the PKP/PVP alone group, the difference is statistically significant, and the improvement of bone density in PKP/PVP combined with the silver needle group and the PKP/PVP alone group is not statistically significant.

4.4.5. Comparison of VAS Scores at 12 Months after Operation. At 12 months after operation, there are three studies [21, 24, 25] and two studies [34, 38]: PKP/PVP
combined with the common acupuncture group and the PKP/PVP alone group, the heterogeneity test $P = 0.95 > 0.1$, $I^2 = 0 < 50\%$, is carried out, the fixed effect model is used, and the effect amount is combined. The results showed that $SMD = -0.10$, 95% CI: $[-0.57, 0.36]$, $P < , P = 0.66 > 0.05$; In one study 0.00001, the PKP/PVP plus silver needle group is compared with the PKP/PVP alone group. The results show that $SMD = -1.40$, 95% CI: $[-1.87, -0.93]$, $Z = 5.85$, and $P < 0.00001$. Figure 9 shows the meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of VAS scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 12 months after operation.

4.4.6. Comparison of ODI Scores at 12 Months after Operation. At 12 months after operation, the patients’ ODIs are compared in three studies [21, 24, 25] and two studies [34, 38]; PKP/PVP combined with the ordinary acupuncture vs the PKP/PVP alone group are tested for heterogeneity
### Test for overall effect:

**Heterogeneity:** chi² = 0.18

**Test for subgroup differences:** chi² = 48.51

**Heterogeneity:** chi² = 7.10

**Test for subgroup differences:** chi² = 102.02

**Heterogeneity:** tau² = 0.37; chi² = 55.79

### 3.1.1 PKP/PVP+Acupuncture

#### Study or Subgroup

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Std. Mean Difference |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| Yang 2018         | 2.4          | 2.4     | 0.6    | 16                   |
| Liu 2019          | 2.58         | 4.17    | 0.89   | 43                   |
| Meng 2018         | 2.4          | 4.27    | 1.01   | 50                   |
| Yang 2018         | 1.69         | 2.31    | 0.6    | 16                   |

**Total (95% CI):** 373

**Heterogeneity:** tau² = 0.57; chi² = 14.64, df = 2 (P = 0.0002); I² = 87%

**Test for overall effect:** Z = 3.16 (P = 0.02)

**Test for subgroup differences:** chi² = 48.51, df = 1 (P < 0.00001); I² = 97.9%

**Figure 5:** Meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of VAS scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation.

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Std. Mean Difference |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| Cao 2017          | 3.2          | 3.5     | 0.3    | 65                   |
| Chen 2020         | 2.15         | 4.08    | 0.63   | 60                   |
| Chen 2021         | 4.37         | 4.81    | 1.22   | 63                   |
| Feng 2017         | 2.43         | 4.28    | 1.02   | 75                   |
| Huang 2016        | 2.2          | 3.1     | 0.9    | 20                   |
| Liu 2019          | 2.58         | 4.17    | 0.89   | 43                   |
| Meng 2018         | 2.4          | 4.27    | 1.01   | 50                   |
| Yang 2018         | 1.69         | 2.31    | 0.6    | 16                   |

**Subtotal (95% CI):** 392

**Heterogeneity:** chi² = 7.10, df = 5 (P = 0.21); I² = 30%

**Test for overall effect:** Z = 15.69 (P < 0.00001)

**Figure 6:** Subgroup analysis of comparison of VAS scores in two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation.

### 3.1.2 PKP/PVP+Silver needles

#### Study or Subgroup

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Std. Mean Difference |
|-------------------|--------------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| Cao 2017          | 3.2          | 3.5     | 0.3    | 65                   |
| Chen 2020         | 2.15         | 4.08    | 0.63   | 60                   |
| Chen 2021         | 4.37         | 4.81    | 1.22   | 63                   |
| Feng 2017         | 2.43         | 4.28    | 1.02   | 75                   |
| Huang 2016        | 2.2          | 3.1     | 0.9    | 20                   |
| Liu 2019          | 2.58         | 4.17    | 0.89   | 43                   |
| Meng 2018         | 2.4          | 4.27    | 1.01   | 50                   |
| Yang 2018         | 1.69         | 2.31    | 0.6    | 16                   |

**Subtotal (95% CI):** 264

**Heterogeneity:** chi² = 0.18, df = 1 (P = 0.67); I² = 0%

**Test for overall effect:** Z = 3.16 (P = 0.002)

**Figure 7:** Meta-analysis forest chart of comparison of ODI scores of two groups of OVCF patients at 1 month after operation.
Heterogeneity: chi² = 14.86, df = 2 (P < 0.00001); I² = 98%
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.09 (P = 0.04)

5.1.2 PKP/PVP+Silver needles

| Study or Subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight (%) | Std. Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| Cao 2017          | 3.5         | 65      | 5.0        | 25.3                                  |
|                   | 0.00        | 0.38    |            | 0.38                                   |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 250         | 230     | 100.0      | 1.70                                   |
|                   | 0.16        | 3.23    |            |                                        |

Heterogeneity: Not applicable
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00 (P = 1.00)
Test for subgroup differences: chi² = 4.22, df = 1 (P = 0.04); I² = 76.3%

Figure 8: Meta-analysis forest plot of bone mineral density of two groups of OVCF patients one month after operation.

P = 0.23 > 0.1, I² = 50% < 50%; fixed effect model is used; and effect size is combined. The results show that SMD = −0.88, 95% CI: [−0.88, 0.39], Z = 3.51, −3.99 0.0004 < 0.05. One study [37] of PKP/PVP combined with the silver needle group versus the PKP/PVP alone group, and the results of analysis show that SMD = Z = 11.25, 95% CI: [−5.67, −3.99], Z = 11.25, and P < PKP/PVP. Figure 10 shows the meta-analysis of the comparison of ODI scores at 12 months after operation in two groups of OVCF patients. It is clearly evident from Figure 10 that the improvement of ODI score in acupuncture combined with the PKP/PVP group is superior to that in the PKP/PVP alone group at 12 months after operation, and the difference is statistically significant.

4.5. Sensitivity Analysis. The sensitivity analysis is carried out by eliminating each study in order to check whether the statistical analysis is stable and reliable. After one study is removed, the remaining studies are analyzed, the heterogeneity is tested, and the effect value is very close, so the result of this study can be considered reliable.
Funnel plot analysis is not performed because the number of studies for quantitative comprehensive analysis is too small.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

There are some limitations in this study: (1) acupuncture treatment cycle, frequency, and manipulation are not unified in each study, but different treatment schemes of acupuncture and moxibustion are simply regarded as a treatment scheme; (2) the observation index is not comprehensive enough, and the number of some observation indexes included in the literature is only 1 and cannot be combined for analysis; (3) the included study population is all from China, lack of data from other regions and populations, which led to poor extrapolation of conclusions; (4) small sample size in part of the included studies, resulting in reduced accuracy of the results, lack of multicenter, and large-sample clinical randomized controlled study literature; and (5) the number of included studies is small, the quality of methodology is low, and some studies do not mention clear random allocation method, allocation scheme hiding, and blind method.

In conclusion, this study suggests that PKP/PVP in combination with acupuncture is superior to PKP/PVP alone in the treatment of OVCF, which is effective in alleviating lumbago and back pain, improving bone density, and improving quality of life, but because of the limitations of the quality and quantity of included literature, more high-quality clinical studies are needed to confirm.
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