Effect of Time, Temperature and Stirring Rate Used in the First Step of the Synthesis of SBA-15 on Its Application as Reductor of Tars in Tobacco Smoke
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Abstract: SBA-15 has been employed as a tobacco additive with the objective of reducing the toxic and carcinogenic components in tobacco smoke. The effect of the synthesis conditions (temperature, time, and stirring rate) on this application was studied in this paper. The SBA-15 was characterized (RDX, N₂ adsorption isotherms, SEM and apparent density), mixed with the 3R4F reference tobacco, and smoked under standard conditions. The composition of the gas and condensed fractions also was analyzed. The morphology of the material plays an important role on this application and is highly influenced by the three variables studied. Long fibers show improved efficiency compared to short fibers. The tar reduction effect was improved when increasing the time of synthesis. Nevertheless, a maximum was observed with temperature (40 °C) and stirring rate (700 rpm). The optimal synthesis conditions obtained were 24 h, 40 °C and 700 rpm, yielding reductions as high as 68% for tar, 67% for nicotine, and 31% for CO. The scaling-up process has only been reported in terms of grams but never in a preindustrial scale (around 4 kg), and thus the results of this analysis show a promising material with properties and behavior similar with respect to the sample synthesized at laboratory scale.
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1. Introduction

The use of tobacco plant began in America about 5000–7000 years ago and arrived to Europe in the 16th century. Since then, smoking has spread as a common social habit without much importance given to its clear addictive character for years [1]. During the last decades, the negative effects of tobacco on health, such as lung, laryngeal, oral cavity and pharyngeal cancers [2], cardiovascular diseases [3], respiratory problems [4], reproductive effects, the incorrect evolution of the fetal development, risk factor for neurodevelopmental and behavioral problems in children [5] between others, have been confirmed. For this reason, during more than 20 years but especially during the past recent years, governmental organizations have paid much attention to the indicators that predict the maintenance of tobacco consumption. Moreover, to fight against the massive consumption of tobacco, they have tried to eliminate this practice through regulations or by increasing taxes on tobacco [6–10].

To control the evolution of these measures, some inquiries have focused on the tracing of reduction of tobacco consumption [9,11]; although the number of smokers is decreasing in recent years, the percentage of smokers is still worrisome [9,12]. From this perspective, it can be confirmed that tobacco use is a practice that is still ingrained in current societies, and it would be necessary to work out more measures for reducing the effects of tobacco on health.

One of the new measures adopted in 2016 by the European governments was the prohibition of the addition of some additives to tobacco flavors, such as vanilla, alcohol, candy, and menthol. The last one, with an additional period of time until 2020. These...
substances encourage the consumption of tobacco since its good taste makes tobacco much more appealing and it increases the addiction [13], especially of young people.

However, there is a series of additives that produce a different effect to those previously described. During the last years, the use of catalysts as an additive in tobacco or in some of the elements that conform the cigarettes is being studied [14–16]. The presence of the catalysts in the combustion process of tobacco produces a significant effect in the reduction of the toxic compounds generated during the smoking process. In this sense, Marcilla et al. [17] studied the effect of four catalysts in the composition of mainstream tobacco smoke in a commercial tobacco brand. They could observe that these materials reduced the yields of most toxic and carcinogenic compounds on tobacco smoke, especially in the case of Al-MCM-41.

Moreover, the structure and morphology of these substances are key factors in toxic substances reduction in smoking tests. Lin et al. [18] analyzed the effect of applying different catalysts such as Na-MFI, NaY, MCM-41 or SBA-15 on the reduction of tobacco nitrosamines (TSNAs) in mainstream smoke. These authors determined that the zeolites added in Burley cigarettes could reduce between 18% and 35% of the TSNAs present in the smoke and that their morphology and aggregation of crystal or primary particles modify the levels of reduction.

The SBA-15 is a mesoporous material with promising properties, and it has been employed for multiple applications such as adsorption [19], storage [20], support, and catalysis [21,22]. In addition, novel applications for this material have been developed in recent years, for example, as a support in oxidative desulfurization processes [23], support in the synthesis process of stilbene and chalcone derivatives with biological activity against influenza A virus by self-, cross-, and rig-closing metathesis procedures [24], or support of palladium catalysts in methane combustion and NOx reductions [25].

The properties of SBA-15 mesoporous materials have been studied for years by different authors, who reported the effect on the variables of synthesis on the corresponding structure and morphology developed [26–29]. For instance, Lee et al. [30] studied the effect of temperature and stirring rate on the morphology of the material. They were able to observe that at 500 rpm, the structure was modified by increasing the temperature from spheres to hexagonal columns, rice grains, rods and nanodons. However, at 300 rpm, rods were generally obtained. On the other hand, Fulvio et al. [31] analyzed the influence of time and temperature at the hydrothermal treatment step of the synthesis using two silica sources; sodium metasilicate and tetraethylorthosilicate. In their study, they concluded that the increase of reaction time produced a reduction in micropore volume and surface area and an increase in the width of the mesopores. They also observed that at a high temperature (120 °C), the mesopore volume and width exhibited values slightly higher than for the samples prepared at 100 °C.

Nevertheless, there are very few works studying the effect of the SBA-15 synthesis variables on the reduction of tobacco smoke toxicants. In a previous paper [32], we reported the effect of time, temperature, and the amount of supernatant liquor in the hydrothermal SBA-15 treatment on the properties of the material synthesized as well as the effect on the tobacco toxicity reduction. In order to provide more insight on the effect of the SBA-15 synthesis variables on its effect as a tobacco toxicant reducer, the objective of the present paper is analyzing the influence of the time and the stirring rate during the initial SBA-15 precipitation stage prior to the hydrothermal treatment. We focus on the SBA-15 properties and their effect on the mainstream smoke components when added to tobacco. To reach this goal, 3R4F tobacco reference was selected and mixed with the samples synthesized and smoked under the ISO smoking regime.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of SBA-15

Table 1 shows the textural parameters obtained from the adsorption isotherms, the apparent density, and the length of the hexagonal unit cell by DRX, of the different materials synthesized.

| Sample | SBET (m²·g⁻¹) | Vt (cm³·g⁻¹) | Vm (cm³·g⁻¹) | DP (nm) | ρa (g·cm⁻³) | a₀ (nm) |
|--------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| t6     | 955           | 1.034        | 0.364        | 6.2     | 0.048       | 11.7   |
| t15    | 932           | 1.037        | 0.366        | 6.1     | 0.061       | 11.4   |
| t20    | 843           | 0.913        | 0.329        | 6.1     | 0.045       | 11.4   |
| t24    | 861           | 0.943        | 0.357        | 5.9     | 0.049       | 11.6   |
| T25    | 890           | 0.917        | 0.350        | 5.6     | 0.109       | 11.3   |
| T30    | 901           | 0.912        | 0.368        | 5.6     | 0.095       | 11.4   |
| T40    | 861           | 0.943        | 0.357        | 5.9     | 0.049       | 11.6   |
| T55    | 828           | 0.859        | 0.336        | 6.5     | 0.061       | 12.3   |
| R400   | 973           | 1.041        | 0.381        | 6.1     | 0.046       | 11.7   |
| R700   | 861           | 0.943        | 0.357        | 5.9     | 0.049       | 11.6   |
| R1000  | 933           | 1.007        | 0.378        | 6.2     | 0.062       | 11.7   |

(Sample synthesized at 24 h, 40 °C, and 700 rpm is common to the three series done. To compare results, this sample has been named as t24, T40, and R700 depending on the study discussed). a BET surface area [33]. b Total pore volume at P/P₀ = 0.995. c Dubinin micropore volume [34]. d Pore diameter BJH method applied to the desorption branch [35]. e Apparent density. f Length of the hexagonal unit cell.

The DRX patterns of the catalysts are presented in Figures 1–3, and they present the typical diffraction planes (100), (110), and (200), which are characteristic of the hexagonal structure of SBA-15 materials [36,37]. The dimensions of the hexagonal unit cell do not present a significant variation with the variables studied (found between 11.3 and 11.7 nm in all cases, as shown in Table 1). The intensity of the diffraction peaks shows an increasing trend with precipitation time. Nevertheless, the temperature and agitation speed present a distinct behavior. The sample synthesized at 40 °C (T40) reaches the greatest intensity for the peak (100) with respect to the rest of the samples. Additionally, the ratio (110)/(200) is higher for the pair of samples obtained at higher temperature compared to those synthesized at lower temperatures, which shows the restructuring of the net during condensation [36]. The stirring rate also has a marked effect, and the sample at 700 rpm shows the maximum intensity.

The N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of all samples (Figures 4–6) have a typical behavior of a Type IV isotherm. It can be seen that all of them are very similar.
than the samples obtained in the present work. This reduced adsorption capacity was related to the lack of hydrothermal treatment in their case. In our case, the treatment was applied at 80 °C, which justifies the greater development of the porous texture, according to other studies [20,40,41].

As with time, the temperature also shows a dispersed behavior in the case of the BET area and the total pore volume. Roughly, the BET area seems to be reduced when temperature increases, while the total pore volume does not show a clear trend. In this case, the pore diameter also manifests a clearer trend, where its value increases when the study variable increases. The micropore volume has similar values for all samples. These type of results have been already observed by other authors in previous works [42].

When studying the effect of stirring rate, it can be seen that the parameters that determine the porous texture have a minimum value for the sample obtained at 700 rpm. Carrero et al. [43] in their results at low stirring rates found that this variable did not show a clear trend in the texture parameters studied.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Low angle X-ray diffraction patterns of samples for the study of the influence of time.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Low angle X-ray diffraction patterns of samples for the study of the influence of temperature.
Figure 3. Low angle X-ray diffraction patterns of samples for the study of the influence of stirring rate.

Figure 4. \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption isotherms corresponding to the samples for time study.

Figure 5. \( \text{N}_2 \) adsorption isotherms corresponding to the samples for temperature study.
The values of the apparent density of the samples are presented in Table 1. As we have already indicated, this property is not frequently determined in this type of studies; in fact, we have only found a reference where this parameter is studied, and in addition, there was no special emphasis on its relevance [44]. However, it has proven to be of great importance in the application studied, as we showed in a previous work [45]. The microscopy helps in understanding the structure developed by the materials as well as the value of the apparent density, and thus we discuss both results simultaneously.

Apparent density presents a maximum value at 15 min, while the rest of the reaction times yield similar values of this property. This behavior may be related with the morphology of the materials (see Figure 7a–d). The samples synthesized at 6, 20, and 24 h present well-defined and relatively long fibers; by contrast, the sample at 15 h presents shorter and somewhat thinner fibers. In the case of temperature, the sample at 40 °C presents the minimum value. Again, the micrographs may provide an explanation to this behavior. The samples at 25 °C and 30 °C (Figure 7e,f) do not present fibers at all but conglomerates of cylindrical particles (the so-called rods in literature) of small size. At 40 °C (Figure 7g), the material presents the typical fibrous morphology, which are responsible for the low apparent density as a consequence of the lean packing of this type of material, as compared with those with less elongated particles. At 50 °C (Figure 7h), fibers are also present, although the apparent density shows a certain increase. The stirring rate shows an increasing trend in the apparent density as a consequence of the break of the fibers as the stirring rate increases (see Figure 7g–i).

As would be expected in view of the results, the density of the compounds that presented agglomerated structures (particles poorly elongated) shows a greater capacity for compaction, resulting in a higher density values, i.e., those close to 0.1 g·cm⁻³. On the contrary, fibrous materials have low compaction capacity and thus present lower apparent densities.

Figure 6. N₂ adsorption isotherms corresponding to the samples for stirring rate study.
2.2. Smoking Experiment Results

The smoking experiments were developed following the methodology used in previous works, and the analysis of the results obtained were analyzed and presented in a similar way [32,45]. The reductions were calculated as the amount of a given compound or family of compounds obtained in the smoke when smoking the reference tobacco (without the catalyst), minus the amount obtained when smoking in the presence of the catalyst, divided by the amount obtained without the catalyst, expressed as a percentage. Results correspond to total particulate matter (TPM) composition (43 compounds identified from the extract of the Cambridge filter), nicotine, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, total gas, and gas phase composition (31 compounds). These compounds represent around 90% of
the area of the chromatograms of the gas fraction and about 95% of those of the condensed fraction. The reduction percentages for each one of the compounds detected among these 31 + 43 followed in the different tests; these are included in Appendices A and B.

Table 2 shows the reduction percentages obtained with the SBA-15 synthesized at different times, temperatures, and stirring rates in the precipitation process for TPM, nicotine, carbon dioxide, and carbon monoxide.

Table 2. Smoking experiments results. Reduction of total particulate matter (TPM), nicotine, CO₂, CO and gases expressed in percentage.

| Sample      | TPM (%) | Nicotine (%) | CO₂ (%) | CO (%) | Total Gas (%) |
|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------|---------------|
| t6          | 60.3    | 56.0         | 29.8    | 34.5   | 37.5          |
| t15         | 55.1    | 49.5         | 22.4    | 18.8   | 32.1          |
| t20         | 59.7    | 55.0         | 20.5    | 24.3   | 25.2          |
| t24         | 68.1    | 66.8         | 23.4    | 31.0   | 27.8          |
| T25         | 43.8    | 50.4         | 13.2    | 16.7   | −3.1          |
| T30         | 45.9    | 50.5         | 13.1    | 17.5   | −4.0          |
| T40         | 68.1    | 66.8         | 23.4    | 31.0   | 27.8          |
| T55         | 41.3    | 48.4         | 16.2    | 21.2   | −6.6          |
| R400        | 51.7    | 51.4         | 17.5    | 13.3   | 19.3          |
| R700        | 68.1    | 66.8         | 23.4    | 31.0   | 27.8          |
| R1000       | 42.5    | 34.1         | 21.4    | 19.9   | 25.0          |

Sample synthesized at 24 h, 40 °C and 700 rpm is common to the three series done. To compare results this sample has been named as t24, T40 and R700 depending on the study discussed.

In general terms, all samples show acceptable reduction values of all the compounds or groups of compounds presented in Table 2, reaching the maximum at 24 h of precipitation, 40 °C of temperature, and 700 rpm. However, in volatile compounds referred as gases, the sample that was synthesized at 6 h reaches values even higher than those obtained at 24 h.

Analyzing the effect of synthesis time, it can be observed that, except the sample synthesized at 6 h, the reductions in TPM and nicotine increased with time. Nevertheless, the gases show the opposite trend. Among the textural variables, the apparent density is the one that presents the better correlation with the reduction values obtained. A lower effect can be observed when increasing the density, which may be related to a worse dispersion of the catalyst particles in the tobacco when preparing the smoking mixture, as well as to a worse catalytic effect on the secondary reactions of the tars deposited on the catalyst when the front of the reaction progresses through the cigarette cylinder between puffs.

The effect of temperature is very significant, and a maximum in TPM and nicotine reduction, carbon oxides, and total gases was observed for the sample synthesized at 40 °C. Again, the correlation with the apparent density is evident, and the sample with the lowest density is the one providing the best results. The total pore volume also presents a positive correlation with the reduction results.

In the series of stirring rate, the effect of the apparent density and the structure of the fiber are clearly revealed, these properties cause a marked worsening of the catalytic capacity in the sample obtained at 1000 rpm, which is the sample presenting the largest value of the apparent density.

Considering the great variety of components present in the smoke, we grouped them into the following families of compounds: paraffins, olefins, aromatics and aldehydes for those collected in the volatile fraction; and nitrogenous, carbonyls, epoxies, phenols and aliphatics for those collected in the condensed fraction. In both, the other compounds analyzed and that have not been grouped into any of these families were regrouped as “others”. In general, it can be observed that the different families analyzed follow similar trends, and so they will not be commented on separately with the objective of not to be repetitive.

Figure 8 represents the reduction percentages of the different families analyzed in the condensed fraction when studying the influence of time of synthesis. Figure 9 shows
the results corresponding to the gas fraction. It can be observed that, in general, with the exception of the sample synthesized after 6 h of reaction, the reduction percentages increase with the synthesis time and all the reductions being very high. The sample synthesized after 24 h of reaction is the one that presents the greatest reductions for all the substances. With respect to the compounds collected in the gas fraction the behavior is the opposite (as commented on when discussing the behavior of TPM and total gases) and a trend towards reducing the capacity of the SBA-15 is observed when the reaction time increases. This fact could be related to the decrease in the volume of micropores and the diameter of the mesopores, previously commented on.

![Figure 8](image-url)  
**Figure 8.** Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the total particular matter collected in the study of the time (h) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of temperature, 40 °C, and stirring rate, 700 rpm.

![Figure 9](image-url)  
**Figure 9.** Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the gases collected in the study of the time (h) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of temperature, 40 °C, and stirring rate, 700 rpm.

The effect of temperature on the precipitation process of the synthesis can be seen in Figures 10 and 11. The reductions markedly increased with temperature, especially at 40 °C, and then markedly decrease. The sample synthesized at 40 °C presents the highest reductions for all families in liquids and especially in gases. Again the apparent density,
with a negative correlation, and the total pore volume, with a positive correlation, are the parameters presenting the best correlation with reductions observed.

**Figure 10.** Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the total particular matter collected in the study of the temperature (°C) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of time, 24 h, and stirring rate, 700 rpm.

**Figure 11.** Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the gases collected in the study of the temperature (°C) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of time, 24 h, and stirring rate, 700 rpm.

Regarding the stirring rate effect, a maximum can be observed in practically all families of compounds, both in TPM and gases, for the samples synthesized at 700 rpm (see Figures 12 and 13, respectively). Again, a negative effect of a too high stirring rate in the application of the SBA-15 on tobacco is evidenced. This is mainly due to the increase in apparent density and pore size, as well as the fiber fracture.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Synthesis of SBA-15

The SBA-15 materials were prepared with TEOS (tetraethyl orthosilicate) as a silica source using the method described by Zhao et al. [45] and modified by Juárez-Serrano et al. [32]. In the first step of the synthesis (precipitation), a solution of 65.63 mL of HCl 1.6 M (using HCl 11.32 M, from Merck) was prepared to dissolve 1.75 g of Pluronic P123 surfactant (triblock poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(propylene oxide)-b-poly(ethylene oxide) copolymer, from Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany). After 1 h, 3.67 g of TEOS, tetraethyl orthosilicate (from Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the mixture and maintained under the selected time, temperature, and stirring rate conditions. The hydrothermal treatment was run according to the modification described in [32], i.e., the precipitate was filtered, resuspended in 1/3 of the mother liquor in order to reduce the volume of the container, then transferred to closed bottles and kept at 80 °C during 24 h under static conditions. In that reference, it was shown that this treatment was as efficient as the one

Figure 12. Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the total particular matter collected in the study of the stirring rate (rpm) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of time, 24 h, and temperature (40°).

Figure 13. Reduction obtained for the different families of compounds in the gases collected in the study of the stirring rate (rpm) at the first step of the synthesis with fixed conditions of time, 24 h, and temperature (40°).
described by Zhao et al. in their original paper [45], both to develop the SBA-15 structure and properties and to reduce the toxicants in the smoking application. Finally, solids were collected by filtration, washed, and dried for 2 h at 100 °C, calcined for 5 h at 550 °C, and sieved.

It is well known that the time, temperature, and stirring rate in the first step of the synthesis strongly affect the properties and texture of the materials [30,36,46,47]. Nevertheless, the effect of such properties on smoking experiments has not yet been investigated. Thus, the following experimental design was developed: A temperature of 40 °C and a stirring rate of 700 rpm were fixed, and times of 6, 15, 20, and 24 h were selected for studying the influence of time. The influence of temperature was studied at 25, 30, 40, and 55 °C during 24 h at 700 rpm. Finally, a time of 24 h and a temperature of 40 °C were selected for studying the influence of the stirring rate at 400, 700, and 1000 rpm. Samples were named according to the letter that represents the study, i.e., “t” for time in hours, “T” for temperature in °C, and “R” for the stirring rate in rpm, followed by a number representing the value of the variable. Then, the samples prepared were t6, t15, t20, and t24; T25, T30, T40, and T55; and R400, R700, and R1000 for studies of time, temperature and stirring rate, respectively. The sample synthesized at 24 h, 40 °C, and 700 rpm is common to the three series done. To compare results, this sample was named as t24, T40, and R700, depending on the study discussed. All experiments were duplicated, and the reproducibility of the results was similar to that describe elsewhere [45].

3.1.1. Characterization

X-ray Diffraction (XDR)

Samples were radiated with the emission of CuKα (λKα = 0.15406 nm), between 0 and 5° (2θ) with a step size of 0.01° and time step of 18.87 s. A Bruker CCD-Apex monocrystals XR diffractometer containing an X-ray tube with a Mo anode and KY-OFLEX low-temperature equipment was used. The unit cell parameter was calculated as a₀ = 2d/√3, where d was calculated as d = λ/2sinθ.

N₂ Adsorption Isotherms

An AUTOSORB-6 from Quantachrome was employed to determine the porous texture properties of the synthesized materials. N₂ adsorption isotherms at 77 K were obtained, and the micropore volume and surface area were calculated according to the Dubinin–Raduskevich and BET method, respectively. The total volume was determined from the N₂ adsorbed at P/P₀ = 0.965. The BJH model, which was applied to the desorption branch of the isotherm, was used to determine the pore size distribution.

Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)

Samples were covered with gold employing a metallizer (Au)/evaporator (C) from BALZERS, SCD 004 model (Balzers, Liechtenstein). SEM micrographs were obtained with a scanning electron microscope from JEOL, model JSM-840 (Tokio, Japan), operating at 15 kV.

Apparent Density

The apparent density is a very important property of these materials, especially when they have to be mixed with tobacco [45]. The apparent density was measured as the mass of SBA-15 occupying a given volume. Sample holders were filled gently, as they were poured without pressing the SBA-15, and we ensured that all samples were handled in similar conditions. This parameter was determined by triplicate, and deviations lower than 95% were obtained.
3.2. Smoking Analysis

3.2.1. Cigarette Preparation

The procedure carried out was based on the ISO 3402 standard [48]. For each analysis, 10 cigarettes were prepared and conditioned for at least 48 h under 22 °C and 60% of relative humidity, and they were finally smoked in a smoking machine. Previously, to ensure the homogeneity of the tobacco used for all experiments, the cigarettes (of 3R4F tobacco from the Center for Tobacco Reference of Kentucky University) were emptied, mixed and again conditioned, under the same conditions mentioned.

To prepare the samples’ tobacco-additive, the tobacco was wet with 0.1 g of ethanol per cigarette to favor the mixture with the catalyst. After that, the additive (i.e., 4.8 weight percentage) was added to the tobacco and homogeneously mixed. The mixtures were conditioned as previously described and, finally, the previously emptied tubes of 3R4F tobacco were refilled with the tobacco catalyst mixtures. Moreover, a sample reference was prepared. In this case, tobacco was manipulated in similar way, in that it was wet with EtOH, and tubes were refilled with 3R4F tobacco.

3.2.2. Smoking Experiment

The capacity of the smoking machine used is 5 cigarettes per test, using the ISO 3308 [49] smoking regime (i.e., 35 mL puffs of a duration of 2 s every 60 s). The pressure of aspiration of the smoking machine never exceeds 1.5 kPa.

In our experiments, the mainstream smoke passes through the cigarette filter and two traps until arriving to the gas collection Tedlar bag. The first trap is a filter of cellulose acetate of 8 mm, which is commonly used to prepare “roll-your-own” cigarettes (filter per cigarette). The second trap is a 47 mm diameter Whatman filter glass microfiber (for collecting the smoke of the 10 cigarettes for the study of each SBA-15 sample). Compounds collected in both traps (total particulate matter) and those in the Tedlar bags (gas fraction) were analyzed.

3.2.3. Analysis of the Vapor Phase

Compounds collected in the Tedlar bag were analyzed using two methods. On the one hand, CO and CO\textsubscript{2} were determined with an Agilent 6890N GC gas chromatographer with a G2747A methanizer and a packet column Porapak (Porapak Q 80–100 mesh) at 60 °C. Here, 100 µL of gas sample was injected at 150 °C and transported with helium (carrier gas flow rate 40 mL·min\textsuperscript{-1}). Temperatures of the catalyst and the flame ionizer detector (FID) were 375 and 300 °C, respectively. On the other hand, the rest of the volatile compounds were quantified by GC/FID by using an Agilent 6890N chromatographer with a GS-GASPRO column. In this analysis, 150 µL of gas were injected. The temperatures of the injector and the detector were 150 and 210 °C, respectively. The GC oven program for this analysis was the following: 35 °C for 5 min, a first ramp of 5 °C·min\textsuperscript{-1} until 100 °C, a second ramp of 15 °C·min\textsuperscript{-1} until 200 °C, and finally held for 10 min.

3.2.4. Analysis of the Particulate Matter

The total particulate matter (TPM) collected in the two types of filters (the cellulose acetate filter and the Whatman microfilter) was calculated from the difference between the weight of the filters before and after the smoking experiment. These two filter types were weighed together, and the procedure was continued according to the ISO 4387 [50]. Results are represented as mg of tar/g of tobacco smoked. Unburned tobacco in the butt was also determined.

Components retained in the traps were extracted by three successive additions of 20 mL of 2-propanol (Fisher Chemical, Loughborough, UK). Here, 1 µL of this extract was injected (injector temperature 250 °C), and the GC oven program was as follows: 40 °C for 5 min, ramp of 12 °C·min\textsuperscript{-1} until 320 °C, and finally held for 25 min. The extracts were analyzed by GC/MS with an Agilent 6890N gases chromatographer (column HP5-
MS) coupled to a mass spectrometer detector Agilent 5973N with an ionization source by electron impact (EI) and quadrupole analyzer. Helium was used as carrier gas.

The SBA-15 materials were synthesized and characterized by duplicate. Smoking runs were also replicated, and the results are the average of the two runs. Large deviations were obtained for the relative amount of the different compounds in the smoking experiments; they were found to be lower than 15%, which is commonly accepted for this type of experiments.

4. Conclusions

The effect of time, temperature, and stirring rate in the precipitation stage of the synthesis of SBA-15, on the properties developed by the different materials obtained, as well as on their behavior in the tobacco smoking process, has been studied.

All samples synthesized under the conditions studied present the characteristics of SBA-15 type materials with typical hexagonal p6mm structure and textural properties within the usual range. The BET area, the total pore volume, and the pore diameter seemed to decrease, while the micropore volume is maintained when reaction time increases. The increase in temperature during this stage showed an opposite effect on the total pore volume and diameter, although the pore diameter reached a maximum at 40 °C. At 700 rpm of stirring rate, another maximum was observed in the textural parameters. The temperature had a marked effect on the morphology of the particles obtained, yielding conglomerates of rod-like particles at 25 and 30 °C. Fibers were obtained at 40 and 55 °C. The stirring rate had a marked effect on the morphology; it favors the longitudinal growth of the fibers when increased to 700 rpm but then caused the break at a higher stirring rate. Likewise, all SBA-15 samples showed a fibrous structure except those synthesized at 25 and 30 °C, where the structure was formed by agglomerates of cylinders (rods), without reaching the formation of the fibers.

The sample synthesized at 15 h showed a low capability in reducing the toxicant compounds in tobacco smoke. The temperature and stirring rate showed a more marked effect on reduction ability, with both variables exhibiting a marked maximum at 40 °C and 700 rpm, respectively. A negative correlation with apparent density and a positive correlation with total pore volume were observed with the reduction capacity of the materials synthesized under the different conditions studied. The morphology of the samples, by microscopy, provides a rough explanation for the apparent density developed by the different materials obtained. The optimal synthesis conditions for reducing the toxicants in tobacco smoke are 24 h, 40 °C, and 700 rpm.
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Appendix A

Individual results of reduction percentages (%) of the different compounds identified in the collected gas fraction for the tobacco-catalyst with respect to the tobacco 3R4F non-catalyst sample for the study of time, temperature, and stirring rate in the first step of the synthesis of SBA-15.
| Compound                  | Family       | t6     | t15    | t20    | t24    | T25   | T30    | T40    | T55   | R400  | R700  | R1000 |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Methane Paraffins        | 34.2         | 29.4   | 21.9   | 21.2   | 8.5    | 0.7   | 21.2   | 2.6    | 12.1  | 21.2  | 23.9  |       |
| Ethane Paraffins         | 33.6         | 29.3   | 20.4   | 22.4   | 1.0    | −3.7  | 22.4   | −1.5   | 14.5  | 22.4  | 24.8  |       |
| Ethylene Olefins         | 29.5         | 30.2   | 19.6   | 20.7   | −0.2   | −8.5  | 20.7   | −5.3   | 8.3   | 20.7  | 20.4  |       |
| Ethyne Others            | 27.8         | 46.9   | 21.3   | 6.7    | −7.3   | −22.0 | 6.7    | −13.9  | −4.5  | 6.7   | 17.1  |       |
| Propane Paraffins        | 32.1         | 28.4   | 18.7   | 18.1   | 0.6    | −5.8  | 18.1   | −8.7   | 11.7  | 18.1  | 23.3  |       |
| Propene Olefins          | 31.2         | 28.8   | 25.3   | 25.0   | 0.7    | −3.9  | 25.0   | −4.0   | 13.7  | 25.0  | 23.2  |       |
| Iso-butane Paraffins     | 25.1         | 23.7   | 23.9   | −9.2   | −24.0  | −1.5  | 14.5   | 22.4   | 13.4  |       |       |       |
| Chloromethane Others     | 35.3         | 31.7   | 25.3   | 31.6   | 0.2    | −4.3  | 31.6   | −3.1   | 16.2  | 31.6  | 25.7  |       |
| Butane Paraffins         | 33.4         | 22.2   | 22.3   | 9.4    | −3.7   | −9.7  | 9.4    | −36.2  | −0.9  | 9.4   | 24.3  |       |
| 1-Butene Olefins         | 33.9         | 31.3   | 20.7   | 28.1   | 3.4    | −5.6  | 28.1   | −4.8   | 17.6  | 28.1  | 24.3  |       |
| 1,2-Propadiene Olefins   | 39.9         | 47.6   | 32.0   | 28.6   | −2.7   | −34.2 | 28.6   | −13.5  | 20.8  | 28.6  | 29.7  |       |
| 1,3-Butadiene Olefins    | 31.6         | 23.5   | 14.1   | 26.6   | −2.6   | 1.9   | 26.6   | −7.1   | 14.9  | 26.6  | 25.8  |       |
| Isobutene Olefins        | 30.3         | 25.9   | 15.1   | 25.6   | −13.0  | −10.1 | 25.6   | −18.6  | 12.8  | 25.6  | 21.1  |       |
| cis-2-Butene Olefins     | 35.2         | 31.1   | 20.0   | 26.8   | −5.2   | −3.6  | 26.8   | −9.5   | 16.7  | 26.8  | 24.1  |       |
| Pentane Paraffins        | 36.2         | 31.9   | 20.2   | 21.3   | −6.5   | −11.0 | 21.3   | −11.6  | 14.7  | 21.3  | 22.5  |       |
| Methanethiol Others      | 37.9         | 27.9   | 27.4   | 34.5   | −11.9  | −0.5  | 34.5   | 2.7    | 20.5  | 34.5  | −5.0  |       |
| Hydrogen cyanide         | Others        | 26.5   | 18.4   | 14.1   | 22.0   | −1.4  | −28.0  | 22.0   | −21.1 | 4.9   | 22.0  | 14.3  |       |
| 1-Pentene Olefins        | 28.1         | 29.0   | 14.9   | 25.0   | −6.0   | −7.9  | 25.0   | −12.3  | 10.0  | 25.0  | 23.8  |       |
| Furan Aromatics          | 34.3         | 30.7   | 23.1   | 37.5   | −8.0   | 10.3  | 37.5   | 4.5    | 26.3  | 37.5  | 24.2  |       |
| Isoprene Olefins         | 25.0         | 16.1   | 10.6   | 19.1   | 20.6   | −14.1 | 19.1   | −4.2   | −6.7  | 19.1  | 17.2  |       |
| Hexane Paraffins         | 24.0         | −2.2   | −39.6  | 0.8    | 33.8   | −76.9 | 0.8    | −37.5  | −50.8 | 0.8   | 5.1   |       |
| 1-Hexene Olefins         | 30.4         | 27.4   | 20.2   | 25.0   | −13.3  | −8.0  | 25.0   | −23.2  | 9.7   | 25.0  | 19.4  |       |
| Benzene Aromatics        | 52.3         | 46.0   | 44.7   | 44.3   | 39.5   | 37.0  | 44.3   | 45.1   | 37.9  | 44.3  | 34.8  |       |
| Acetaldehyde Aldehydes   | 46.6         | 42.2   | 38.5   | 35.6   | −78.8  | −66.7 | 35.6   | −83.3  | 35.5  | 35.6  | 32.0  |       |
| Acrolein Aldehydes       | 3.5          | −10.3  | −18.8  | 4.0    | −58.5  | −44.4 | 4.0    | −58.5  | −23.7 | 4.0   | −34.5 |       |
| Propionaldehyde Aldehydes| 50.9         | 38.4   | 29.7   | 44.9   | 6.4    | 10.9  | 44.9   | 9.3    | 37.5  | 44.9  | 29.4  |       |
| Acetonitrile Others      | 56.2         | 61.2   | 68.4   | 71.5   | 45.3   | 76.3  | 71.5   | 60.7   | 83.3  | 71.5  | 47.3  |       |
| Tolueno Aromatics        | 45.7         | 43.4   | 36.7   | 48.0   | 12.3   | 20.3  | 48.0   | 18.6   | 48.0  | 48.0  | 29.5  |       |
| 2,5-Dimethylfuran        | 49.6         | 35.4   | 37.4   | 48.2   | −8.7   | 8.0   | 48.2   | −7.9   | 45.4  | 48.2  | 36.5  |       |
| Crotonaldehyde Aldehydes | 31.5         | −62.2  | 4.2    | 33.6   | −224.9 | 30.9  | 33.6   | −27.5  | −2.6  | 33.6  | −17.4 |       |
| Isobutyraldehyde Aldehydes| 45.0      | 29.4   | 20.0   | 25.3   | −18.4  | −12.3 | 25.3   | −10.7  | 37.9  | 25.3  | 20.1  |       |

**Appendix B**

Individual results of reduction percentages (%) of the different compounds identified in the collected total particulate matter fraction for the tobacco-catalyst with respect to the tobacco 3R4F non-catalyst sample for the study of time, temperature, and stirring rate in the first step of the synthesis of SBA-15.
| Compound | Family     | t6    | t15   | t20   | t24   | T25   | T30   | T40   | T55   | R400  | R700  | R1000 |
|----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2-(1-methylethoxy)-Ethanol | Epoxies   | 76.3  | 57.9  | 54.3  | 82.5  | 75.4  | 80.4  | 82.5  | 74.2  | 54.4  | 82.5  | 51.1  |
| 1-(acetylxy)-2-Propanone | Carbonyls | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Styrene  | Others     | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2-methyl-2-Cyclopenten-1-one | Carbonyls | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 79.3  | 89.6  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2,5-Dimethyl-2-cyclopentenone | Carbonyls | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Phenol   | Phenols    | 85.3  | 79.4  | 85.9  | 92.7  | -14.2 | 86.7  | 92.7  | 26.2  | 80.8  | 92.7  | 69.7  |
| 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-2-Cyclopenten-1-one | Carbonyls | 85.3  | 85.8  | 96.4  | 95.3  | 39.1  | 41.2  | 95.3  | 59.8  | 91.5  | 95.3  | 68.2  |
| Limonene | Others     | 66.2  | 55.2  | 64.0  | 66.3  | 57.0  | 70.6  | 66.3  | 66.8  | 46.5  | 66.3  | -7.5  |
| 2,3-Dimethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one | Carbonyls | 100.0 | 105.7 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 81.2  | 82.7  | 100.0 | 65.6  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| o-Cresol | Phenols    | 73.9  | 64.1  | 79.4  | 88.3  | 73.2  | 91.1  | 88.3  | 77.6  | 73.1  | 88.3  | 61.1  |
| p-Cresol | Phenols    | 82.2  | 78.2  | 83.3  | 89.9  | 65.9  | 82.1  | 89.9  | 77.3  | 82.4  | 89.9  | 68.5  |
| 2-methoxy-Phenol | Phenols | 88.9  | 87.9  | 92.2  | 97.6  | 68.0  | 90.3  | 97.6  | 57.2  | 94.0  | 97.6  | 72.7  |
| 2-Propanamine | Nitrogenous | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 3-Ethyl-2-hydroxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one | Carbonyls | 85.0  | 88.6  | 89.8  | 94.4  | 74.5  | 90.0  | 94.4  | 82.7  | 91.4  | 94.4  | 81.5  |
| 2,3-Dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-pyrano-4-one | Carbonyls | 69.7  | 65.0  | 70.4  | 81.3  | 63.1  | 46.1  | 81.3  | 52.4  | 63.4  | 81.3  | 53.5  |
| 2,4-dimethyl-Phenol | Phenols | 82.9  | 75.8  | 78.4  | 93.1  | 51.1  | 84.7  | 93.1  | 100.0 | 71.1  | 93.1  | 59.4  |
| 4-ethyl-Phenol | Phenols | 69.8  | 65.6  | 66.9  | 86.0  | 100.0 | 64.6  | 86.0  | 68.1  | 67.3  | 86.0  | 50.5  |
| Naphthalene | Others | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -20.0 | 59.1  | 100.0 | 63.1  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1-(3-methylphenyl)-Ethanol | Carbonyls | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 77.2  | 78.3  | 100.0 | 94.0  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| p-cresol 2 methoxy | Phenols | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 83.1  | 89.6  | 100.0 | 81.9  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 2,3-Dihydro-benzofurane | Epoxies | 59.5  | 58.1  | 61.9  | 85.5  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 85.5  | 100.0 | 60.4  | 85.5  | 56.0  |
| Hydroquinone | Phenols | 40.2  | 32.4  | 38.2  | 41.3  | -31.9 | -35.7 | 41.3  | -11.7 | 31.4  | 41.3  | 55.9  |
| 1H-Indole | Nitrogenous | 59.6  | 47.7  | 26.0  | 46.7  | 70.5  | 69.6  | 46.7  | 73.3  | 32.8  | 46.7  | 52.4  |
| Compound                        | Family  | t6   | t15  | t20  | t24  | T25  | T30  | T40  | T55  | R400 | R700 | R1000 |
|--------------------------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 4-vinyl-2-methoxy-phenol       | Phenols | 78.6 | 72.3 | 71.9 | 82.6 | 15.3 | 34.6 | 82.6 | 43.4 | 74.0 | 82.6 | 48.0 |
| 3-methyl-1H-Indole             | Nitrogenous | 77.7 | 64.8 | 63.2 | 82.7 | 89.2 | 68.1 | 82.7 | 80.2 | 68.9 | 82.7 | 56.6 |
| Myosmine                      | Nitrogenous | 68.0 | 48.8 | 63.9 | 75.3 | 68.4 | 66.5 | 75.3 | 68.2 | 58.2 | 75.3 | 40.3 |
| 2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-Phenol| Phenols  | 71.2 | 65.5 | 77.8 | 92.4 | 42.7 | 71.7 | 92.4 | 68.1 | 64.3 | 92.4 | 65.0 |
| Nicotyrine                     | Nitrogenous | 88.3 | 100.0 | 90.8 | 93.6 | 91.8 | 52.4 | 93.6 | 53.7 | 78.1 | 93.6 | 59.8 |
| Norsolanadiona                 | Carbonyls | 55.3 | 36.4 | 51.0 | 69.5 | 94.1 | 81.0 | 69.5 | 51.2 | 52.9 | 69.5 | 24.8 |
| 2,3’-Bipyridine                | Nitrogenous | 48.9 | 28.7 | 39.5 | 70.2 | 85.0 | 74.2 | 70.2 | 80.2 | 37.1 | 70.2 | 2.3  |
| Megastigmatrienone             | Carbonyls | 63.3 | 67.6 | 57.4 | 65.3 | 48.5 | 54.3 | 65.3 | 38.1 | 59.1 | 65.3 | 36.1 |
| N-propyl-nornicotine           | Nitrogenous | 48.5 | 23.1 | 47.3 | 71.9 | 47.6 | 36.0 | 71.9 | 59.4 | 15.7 | 71.9 | 15.6 |
| Cotinine                       | Nitrogenous | 49.2 | 38.2 | 46.1 | 64.5 | 65.4 | 63.6 | 64.5 | 68.5 | 38.2 | 64.5 | 24.4 |
| 5-Tetradecene                  | Aliphatics | 38.3 | 46.2 | 47.4 | 71.9 | 44.1 | 62.4 | 71.9 | 45.4 | 52.2 | 71.9 | 30.1 |
| N(b)-formyl-nornicotine        | Nitrogenous | 53.1 | 37.9 | 50.4 | −29.2 | 60.7 | 71.7 | −29.2 | 67.0 | −29.2 | 66.8 | 26.5 |
| Neophytadiene                  | Aliphatics | 42.0 | 27.6 | 44.6 | 57.8 | 33.0 | 38.9 | 57.8 | 42.3 | 35.4 | 57.8 | 13.6 |
| Farnesol                       | Others   | 31.8 | 26.4 | 28.4 | 51.6 | 39.2 | 89.4 | 51.6 | 63.2 | 32.6 | 51.6 | 7.3  |
| Ethyl ester hexadecanoic acid  | Carbonyls | 87.5 | 72.7 | 92.4 | 78.3 | 36.2 | 71.6 | 78.3 | 77.3 | 74.8 | 78.3 | 72.8 |
| 2,6,10,15,19,23-hexamethyl-2,6,10,14,18,22-Tetracosahexaene | Aliphatics | 16.5 | −25.3 | 22.5 | 40.9 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 40.9 | 27.6 | 17.9 | 40.9 | −16.6 |
| Heptacosane                    | Aliphatics | 27.2 | 11.9 | 26.7 | 54.2 | 27.9 | 36.2 | 54.2 | 40.4 | 34.7 | 54.2 | 17.4 |
| Triacontane                    | Aliphatics | 22.6 | 9.7  | 26.4 | 56.1 | 29.3 | −1.0 | 56.1 | 32.9 | 14.4 | 56.1 | 14.1 |
| Octadecane                     | Aliphatics | 40.7 | 27.8 | 46.5 | 62.1 | −85.3 | −72.8 | 62.1 | −64.9 | 38.2 | 62.1 | 19.1 |
| Tocopherol                     | Phenols  | 44.8 | 26.2 | 50.1 | 66.4 | 32.6 | 22.3 | 66.4 | 21.3 | 30.1 | 66.4 | 11.9 |
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