Subclavian artery laceration following clavicle fracture, successfully treated with a combined endovascular and open surgical approach
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SUMMARY
Subclavian artery injury is a rare complication of clavicle fracture. The fractured clavicle can lacerate the underlying subclavian artery. Life-threatening haemorrhage can occur secondary to arterial laceration, and if distal blood flow is impaired, upper limb ischaemia can develop. There is little discussion in the literature regarding combined (or ‘hybrid’) endovascular and open surgical management of acute subclavian injuries secondary to clavicle fracture. We report a case of subclavian artery laceration secondary to clavicle fracture, managed with a combined endovascular and open surgical approach. An endovascular balloon was used for proximal arterial control, while surgical exposure and primary repair of the subclavian artery was completed, followed by fixation of the clavicle. There was no sustained vascular or neurological impairment at follow-up. We suggest that select traumatic injuries of the subclavian artery can be safely and successfully managed with a combined endovascular and open surgical approach.

BACKGROUND
Fracture of the clavicle accounts for approximately 2.6%–4% of adult fractures.1 Associated vascular injuries are rare, occurring in less than 1% of cases.1 2 However, recognition and treatment of arterial injuries in the context of clavicle fractures is critical, as they can result in life-threatening haemorrhage, or limb-threatening ischaemia.1 We report a case of a 46-year-old man who fell from a road bike, sustaining a lateral impact to his right shoulder. This resulted in a comminuted right clavicle fracture complicated by laceration of the right subclavian artery, which was successfully treated with a hybrid endovascular and open surgical approach.

CASE PRESENTATION
An otherwise healthy 46-year-old man presented to our Emergency Department (ED) after falling from a road bike, landing heavily on his right shoulder. On arrival, he described pain in his right shoulder. Examination revealed a closed deformity of his right clavicle. He was haemodynamically normal and stable, with a well-perfused right arm and palpable radial pulse. An anteroposterior X-ray of his right shoulder (figure 1) revealed a comminuted fracture of the clavicle with a small ‘butterfly’ fragment inferiorly.

Within 30 min of presenting to our ED, the patient developed a massive non-pulsatile haematoma over the fracture site, out-of-keeping with ‘normal’ postfracture swelling and bruising. This examination finding prompted suspicion for an associated vascular injury. Radial and brachial pulses remained palpable. The patient reported some subjective tingling in all digits, but assessment of power and sensation in the distribution of all peripheral nerves remained normal. An urgent CT angiogram (CTA) of the chest and right arm revealed active haemorrhage from the third part of the right subclavian artery (figure 2), along with minimally displaced posterior fractures of the first and second ribs. Notably, both the superior and inferior parts of the injured arterial segment showed contrast extravasation, raising concern for complete arterial transection. Contrast was seen passing into the axillary artery. There was no haemothorax or

Figure 1 Anteroposterior X-ray of right shoulder demonstrating clavicle fracture.

Figure 2 Coronal CT angiogram slice demonstrating contrast extravasation from the right subclavian artery (circled).
tracheobronchial injury. Haemoglobin was within the normal range (131 g/L) and coagulation studies were normal.

**INVESTIGATIONS**

Initial X-ray (figure 1): Demonstrates the clavicle fracture.

Computed tomography (figure 2): Demonstrates the subclavian artery laceration.

Intraoperative angiogram (figure 3): Shows inflated endovascular balloon in right subclavian artery.

Six-week follow-up X-ray (figure 4): Demonstrates plated clavicle with early callous formation.

**TREATMENT**

The patient was immediately transferred to the operating theatre under the combined care of our Vascular, Interventional Radiology and Orthopedic teams, and a general anaesthetic was administered. A percutaneous puncture of the right groin was made to access the right common femoral artery (CFA). A 9 French sheath was placed within the CFA. An endovascular catheter and guide wire were inserted and manipulated proximally through the aorta, through the brachiocephalic trunk, into the right subclavian artery. An angiogram demonstrated contrast extravasation from the third part of the subclavian artery, corresponding to the injured segment seen on the CTA. The wire and catheter were able to be passed across the injured segment. An intravascular position was again confirmed by repeat angiography. The catheter was then removed. An 8×40 mm Armada balloon was introduced over the wire and inflated proximal to the injury (figure 3) to achieve proximal control. Contrast flow into the injured segment of subclavian artery ceased.

With proximal control achieved, the surgical approach to the clavicle was made. An oblique incision centred over the fracture site was made along the anterosuperior border of the right clavicle. Subcutaneous flaps were created anteriorly and posteriorly, and the incision was extended to facilitate mobilisation of the fracture fragments and access to the subclavian artery. Extensive interstitial and intrastitial haematoma was present, but no active haemorrhage was observed. Further dissection around the artery allowed identification of the injured segment. The arterial wall had two full-thickness lacerations, one superior and one inferior, suggesting the clavicular fragment had stabbed through, although it was not completely transected. Proximal and distal control was then achieved with slings, the balloon was deflated and arterial clamps were applied. Both lacerations were then successfully primarily repaired with 5-0 prolene sutures.

Interfragmentary fixation of the clavicular butterfly fragment was achieved using a lag screw technique with a 2.7 mm screw. A Stryker VariAx locking plate was applied superiorly to achieve reduction and the final position of the metalware confirmed on fluoroscopy.

The fascial and soft tissues were closed in layers, with 3-0 monocryl closure of the skin, and a simple occlusive dressing applied.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

The patient remained haemodynamically stable throughout the operation, and on waking had no signs or symptoms of neurovascular injury. He was able to be discharged home on the first postoperative day. Aspirin (100 mg once daily) was prescribed for 6 weeks following the primary arterial repair.

The patient undertook a standard rehabilitation protocol, consisting of immobilisation in a broad arm sling for 6 weeks and commencing gentle pendulum exercises at the 2 weeks postoperative mark. At the 6 weeks postoperative follow-up in our orthopaedic outpatient clinic, he was discharged to the care of physiotherapy to commence aggressive range-of-motion exercises and gradual strengthening. At time of discharge from physiotherapy, he was 12 weeks post injury, and had recovered a full painless range of motion through his right shoulder, was neurovascularly intact and had returned to work in an office-based job. An X-ray completed at 6 weeks postoperatively demonstrated early callous formation (figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Current literature favours primary endovascular repair (such as stent placement) of traumatic subclavian artery injuries in haemodynamically stable patients. Endovascular approaches have the advantage of being less invasive than open surgery, are associated with reduced intraoperative blood loss, improved in-hospital mortality, and shorter hospital stay. However, complete vessel transection has been described in some reports as a cause for endovascular treatment failure in acute trauma, primarily because crossing the injury can be technically challenging. In our case, our preoperative imaging showed active contrast extravasation from both the superior and inferior aspects of the subclavian artery, raising concern for complete arterial transection which may have not been amenable to endovascular management alone. This contributed to our decision for a combined endovascular and open approach.

A hybrid endovascular and open surgical approach for subclavian artery repair has been described in case reports previously; however, only for the repair of aneurysms, not to our knowledge in the context of acute arterial trauma secondary to clavicle fracture.
fracture. These cases describe a similar technique to the one used in our case, with balloon occlusion used to establish proximal control, followed by open repair of subclavian artery aneurysm. In these cases, the combined endovascular and open technique reduced the size of the dissection field required to achieve arterial control, suggesting a possible reduction in morbidity related to reduce risk of injury of adjacent structures with this technique. Furthermore, these reports suggest endovascular control prior to open repair results in minimal active haemorrhage during the repair, in turn reducing intraoperative blood loss, improving the surgical field, reducing the risk of iatrogenic neurovascular injury and improving the accuracy of the repair itself. We found this to be true in our case, even in the previously unreported context of acute subclavian artery trauma secondary to clavicle fracture. Our case also suggests a combined approach has further utility in the trauma setting, as haemorrhage into surrounding tissue planes distorts the anatomy, increasing the challenge of open dissection. Stopping haemorrhage with endovascular balloon occlusion reduces this, aiding dissection.

In our case, concurrent open clavicle fixation was indicated to both facilitate vascular exposure, repair and stabilisation to protect the underlying repair. In cases where open surgery for an alternate injury is indicated (such as clavicular fixation), concurrent open arterial repair may be considered more favourably, as minimal additional dissection beyond that required for the clavicular repair may be required in order to access the injured vessel. Therefore, in this case the requirement for clavicular fixation reaffirmed our decision for a combined approach.
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