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ABSTRACT
Detection of enzyme biomarkers originating from either bio-fluids or contaminating microorganisms is of utmost importance in clinical diagnostics and food safety. Herein, we present a simple, low-cost and easy-to-use sensing approach based on the switchable peroxidase-mimicking activity of plasmonic gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) that can catalyse for the oxidation of 3,3',5',5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) for the determination of protease enzyme. The AuNP surface is modified with casein, showing dual functionalities. The first function of the coating molecule is to suppress the intrinsic peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs by up to 77.1%, due to surface shielding effects. Secondly, casein also functions as recognition sites for the enzyme biomarker. In the presence of protease, the enzyme binds to and catalyses the degradation of the coating layer on the AuNP surface, resulting in the recovery of peroxidase-mimicking activity. This is shown visually in the development of a blue colored product (oxidised TMB) or spectroscopically as an increase in absorbance at 370 and 650 nm. This mechanism allows for the detection of protease at 44 ng·mL⁻¹ in 90 min. The nanosensor circumvents issues associated with current methods of detection in terms of ease of use, compatibility with point-of-care testing, low-cost production and short analysis time. The sensing approach has also been applied for the detection of protease spiked in ultra-heat treated (UHT) milk and synthetic human urine samples at a limit of detection of 490 and 176 ng·mL⁻¹, respectively, showing great potential in clinical diagnostics, food safety and quality control.
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1 Introduction
The sensitive and rapid detection of enzymes can reveal the early onset of infection [1], inflammation [2], or contamination [3] and thus is of critical importance in clinical diagnostics and food safety. For example, proteolytic enzymes, which form one of the largest and most diverse enzyme family, have attracted significant attention due to their physiological involvement in all life functions [4]. Proteases are tightly regulated by complex endogenous pathways under physiological conditions [5], however their dysregulation can result in the progression of diseases such as Alzheimer’s [6], Parkinson’s [7], pulmonary emphysema [8], cardiac disease [9], fibrinolysis [10], thrombosis [11], and impaired mitochondrial function [12]. Myeloblastin (proteinase 3), a serine protease, is also elevated in the urine of patients with diabetic kidney disease, caused by endothelial dysfunction and glomerular inflammation [13]. There is also growing evidence that suggests a link between protease activity and infections of the gastrointestinal tract [14] and infected wounds [15]. Similarly, an elevation of proteases can reveal diseases in animals, i.e. increased protease activity in cow milk can be an indication of bovine mastitis caused by microorganisms such as Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus uberis [16]. Proteases produced by foodborne bacteria via contaminated meat and dairy products can lead to rancidity, and decreased shelf life and quality of food products [17]. Therefore, proteolytic enzymes can be considered as an important biomarker of disease, bacterial infection and contamination. Early detection of the proteolytic biomarker can ultimately allow clinical diagnosis and intervention, resulting in an improved prognosis, treatment and monitoring of human and animal health. In the food production industry, identification of proteases can also provide an early indication of bacterial contamination in food, thus eliminating non-compliance at the early stage of the food chain, ensuring food safety, quality and protection of consumer health.

Widely exploited methods for the detection of enzyme biomarkers (e.g. protease) in clinical and veterinary samples include two-dimensional (2D) gel electrophoresis [18], biochemical and immunological assays [19, 20], and mass spectrometry [21]. Recently, research has focused on the use of nanotechnology to provide rapid sensing of enzymes as biomarkers of infectious diseases, i.e. using nanocantilever [22], quantum-dots [23], plasmonics-based [24], and other surface enhanced-based approaches [25, 26], to overcome limitations in terms of labour intensiveness, long analysis time and non-conduciveness to point-of-need testing. In particular, enzyme mimics or nanozymes, derived from nanomaterials have initiated significant curiosity in the fields of biological sensing and bio-medicine. These artificial enzymes are designed to imitate the properties of natural enzymes by increasing the catalytic rate of reaction. Importantly, nanozymes have the potential to overcome the inherent problems of natural enzymes, such as poor stability (denature) and high...
preparation and purification costs. Furthermore, the superiority exhibited by nanozymes, including tunability and even switching of enzyme-mimicking activity has led to the development of functional nanomaterials for bio-sensing applications [27].

Peroxidases are defined as a group of enzymes that catalyse the oxidation of substrates in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). For instance, horseradish peroxidase enzyme (HRP) is the predominant peroxidase enzyme used in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) for the detection of a multitude of targets including bacterial pathogens [28]. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) possess weakly bound reactive species such as oxygen atoms on their surface, which leads to improved peroxidase-mimicking activity over other noble metals such as silver, copper, platinum and palladium [29]. This intrinsic peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs, when merged with their ease of synthesis, biocompatibility and tunability, makes them excellent candidates for nanosensor design. Thus, studies have focused on the suppression and enhancement of peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs for the detection of H₂O₂, glucose, DNA and heavy metals. Functional molecules such as aptamers, DNA, antibodies, as well as cystamine and heavy metals have been utilised to tune the enzyme-mimicking activity of AuNPs in the design of biological sensors [30–33].

In this work, we present an unusual switchable peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs for the detection of protease, which plays a significant role in clinical manifestations and food safety. Compared to similar methods for the detection of protease enzyme utilising AuNPs, the current approach has improved ease-of-use, can be carried out in 90 min in a label-free manner, and does not require complicated and expensive equipment. For an overview of AuNP based platforms for the detection of proteases, see Table S1 in the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM). Finally, we have also demonstrated the potential applicability of the nanosensor in bovine ultra-heat treated (UHT) milk and synthetic human urine for the detection of protease, a biomarker indicative of human or animal diseases or food spoilage.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Reagents and chemicals

Sodium citrate tribasic dehydrate (HOC(COONa)(CH₂COONa)₂·2H₂O), hydrogen tetrachloroaurate (III) (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), phosphate buffer saline (PBS), acetic acid (CH₃COOH), sodium acetate (CH₃COONa), casein (technical grade), sodium chloride (NaCl), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), protease from bovine pancreas (type I, ≥ 5 units/mg solid, EC Number 232-642-4), catalase (bovine liver), lipase (Candida rugosa), amylase (porcine pancreas), horseradish peroxidase enzyme (HRP), hydrochloric acid (HCl) and Surine™ negative urine enzyme (HRP), hydrochloric acid (HCl) and Surine™ negative urine (Germany) was used throughout the experimental development. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization was acquired using a FEI Tecnai F20 operated at 200 kV.

2.2 Gold nanoparticle synthesis

AuNPs (with an average diameter of 13 nm) were synthesised by the Turkevich method [34] with minor alterations. In a typical experiment, 2.0 mM HAuCl₄·3H₂O was dissolved in 50 mL de-ionised H₂O and heated to reflux under constant stirring (t ~ 10 min). Sodium citrate (3.5 mM) was quickly injected and the solution was removed from the heat upon the color change from translucent yellow to wine red.

2.3 Modification of gold nanoparticle surface with casein

To 1.0 mL of freshly prepared AuNPs (optical density measured at 520 nm, OD₅₂₀nm = 3.0), 100 μL of 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹ casein prepared in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7) was added. The solution was incubated overnight at room temperature, however it was noted that 60 min incubation was sufficient to ensure AuNP stability. The particles were subsequently centrifuged at 15,682 g for 60 min to remove residual casein and re-suspended in 0.1 M PBS buffer (pH 7). The coated particles were stored at 4 °C prior to analysis.

2.4 Determination of Michaelis-Menten parameters

Bare and casein-AuNPs were incubated with TMB (0-1.0 mM) and varying H₂O₂ concentrations (0.0075%–6.0%) at room temperature for 10 min. Absorption values at 370 nm were measured. For further information on the calculation of parameters see the ESM.

2.5 Detection of enzyme using coated AuNP

In a typical experiment, 0.1 M PBS buffer (pH 7) was used to prepare casein-coated AuNPs and protease to assure the enzymatic cleavage activity. 100 μL of 44.43 pM coated-AuNP were incubated with 50 μL of protease at 37 °C for 60 min. Subsequently, 150 μL solution of 1.0 mM TMB/6.0% H₂O₂ was added and incubated at room temperature for 30 min.

2.6 Detection of protease enzyme using casein modified AuNP in UHT milk and urine samples

Milk was centrifuged at 15,682 g for 60 min and filtered using a 0.45 μm Millipore filter. It was then diluted 1:50 in PBS buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0). Various protease concentrations (0–5 mg·mL⁻¹) were spiked into the prepared milk and analysis carried out as above. Protease was spiked into urine (used directly with no further preparation) at various concentrations (0–5 mg·mL⁻¹) and the assay was carried out as above.

2.7 Instrumentation for characterization

Optical absorbance spectrophotometry measurements were carried out using a Cary 60 spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, USA) and a Tecan Safire plate reader (Tecan, Switzerland). Particle size and zeta potential measurements were carried out using a Zetasizer NanoZS (Malvern, UK). An Eppendorf centrifuge model 5415R (Germany) was used throughout the experimental development.

3 Results and discussion

The working principle of the developed method for the detection of enzyme biomarkers is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The method utilises AuNPs which exhibit strong peroxidase-mimicking activity, i.e. catalysing for the oxidation of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) in the presence of H₂O₂ to generate a blue colored product (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine diimine, oTMB). Casein is a typical substrate for protease digestion [35]. Our study revealed for the first time that when AuNPs are coated with casein, the strong peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs is suppressed. In the presence of a protease from bovine pancreas (an endoprotease, EC Number 232-642-4), the enzyme catalyses for the degradation of the casein layer on the AuNP surface, thereby recovering the strong peroxidase-mimicking activity of the AuNPs. The oxidative product derived from TMB provides a color indicator, therefore there is no requirement for expensive read-out equipment. Since casein can be degraded by almost all serine, cysteine, metallo, and aspartic proteases [36], the approach developed in this work is therefore suitable for the identification of broad, total protease activity. The concept also suggests that sequence-specific proteases could also be detected if an appropriate protein or peptide substrate is used for the coating.
The optimal concentration of casein coated onto the AuNP surface (average diameter of 13 nm) was determined and particles were subsequently incubated with 0.1 mg·mL−1 casein. This concentration was sufficient to suppress the peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs, as shown by the diminishment of absorption peak at 370 nm in the presence of 1.0 mM TMB and 6.0% H2O2 (Fig. S2 in the ESM). Coated particles were then centrifuged to remove any unbound residues and suspended in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, 0.1 M, pH 7). In Fig. 2(a), the casein-AuNP conjugates demonstrate a peak absorbance at 525 nm. A shift in wavelength was noted in comparison to the bare-AuNP (519 nm) which is indicative of increased refractive index around the particle surface, caused by adsorbed layers of casein [37]. The absorbance ratio at 650 and 520 nm was calculated to ensure the coated-AuNPs had not aggregated as a result of the coating procedure. Calculating this ratio provides detail about the level of aggregation as the absorbance values at 650 nm, which is the typical wavelength shift generated by AuNP aggregation, and 520 nm which is the typical wavelength of the dispersed, citrate-stabilised AuNPs subsequent to synthesis. A higher ratio would indicate AuNP aggregation. It was determined that there was no significant difference in the absorbance ratio at 650 and 520 nm between the uncoated-AuNPs and casein coated-AuNPs (p = 0.42) (Fig. 2(a)). The p-value is the calculated probability, e.g. a p-value > 0.05 indicates that the results are not statistically significant. To determine the stability of the casein-coated-AuNPs, they were exposed to a high electrolyte medium (Fig. 2(a)). The results demonstrate no statistical difference between casein-coated particles in the absence and presence of 2.0 M NaCl (p = 0.37). In addition, full width half maximum (FWHM) calculations also demonstrated no peak broadening of spectra for casein-coated AuNPs in the presence of 2.0 M NaCl (FWHM = 70.60 nm) compared to uncoated-AuNPs (FWHM = 70.02 nm). Peak position for FWHM measurement was 519 and 525 nm for the uncoated-AuNPs and casein-AuNPs, respectively. Thus, this demonstrates that the AuNPs were successfully coated with and strongly stabilized by casein. Casein contains carboxyl, hydroxyl, and amine functional groups which can self-assemble onto the AuNP surface. The casein molecule also consists of both polar and non-polar parts which renders the molecule both hydrophobic and hydrophilic. The hydrophobic polymer blocks tend to adsorb onto the AuNP surface (contributing to the stabilisation of the particle), whilst the hydrophilic parts of the casein molecule render them dispersible in an aqueous solution [38]. This would explain the high stability of casein-coated AuNPs observed in the presence of a high electrolyte medium. The casein-coated AuNPs can be rapidly produced and have good stability and function when stored for at least 4 weeks at 4 °C in PBS buffer (0.1 M, pH 7).

Zeta-potential measurements were conducted with the bare- and casein-coated AuNPs to determine surface charge (Fig. 2(b)). The citrate reduced bare-AuNPs have a zeta-potential of −46.6 ± 2.58 mV. In contrast, the casein-AuNPs exhibit a zeta-potential of −19.1 ± 2.73 mV. Casein micelles are positively charged, containing mixed charged regions at pH 7 [39]. This would suggest that casein can effectively shield and partially compensate the negative-citrate charges on the nanoparticle surface (do not displace), thus effectively suppressing the peroxidase-mimicking activity of the AuNPs in the presence of TMB/H2O2. Hydrodynamic size of bare AuNPs (13.9 ± 2.9 nm) and casein-AuNPs (14.4 ± 1.8 nm) was determined using dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique. TEM analysis was also carried out (Fig. S3 in the ESM). The as-prepared casein-AuNPs demonstrate a size diameter of 14.36 ± 3.0 nm, in comparison to the bare-AuNP (13.0 ± 2.2 nm).

AuNPs acting as an artificial nanozyme for the oxidation of TMB are evidenced in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) demonstrates a vivid blue color formation due to the peroxidase-mimicking activities of AuNPs in the presence of 1.0 mM TMB and 6.0% H2O2. The images also provide evidence that AuNPs are required to oxidise TMB, because TMB or TMB/6.0% H2O2 solution on its own cannot be converted into the oxidized form under the set conditions (thus not exhibiting the blue color). Figure 3(b) demonstrates the development of the charge-transfer complex absorption peaks at 370 and 650 nm for AuNPs in the presence of TMB/H2O2 (purple line), which are indicative of TMB oxidation reaction [40]. AuNPs display a plasmonic peak around 520 nm (Fig. 3(b), black line). To the best of our knowledge, the peak absorbance at 650 nm has been frequently used as a diagnostic peak in previous studies which exploited the peroxidase-mimicking property of AuNPs [30–33]. However, chemical and physical interferences may have the potential to induce AuNP aggregation, particularly in a medium with high electrolyte content, resulting in a red-shift of the plasmon peak around 650–700 nm. Therefore, the absorption peak at 650 nm, indicative of the oxTMB product, is less useful in this approach due to the potential overlap of the nanoparticle aggregation peak.

Furthermore, it is well known that AuNP size and catalytic activity is inversely proportional, i.e. increasing AuNP size results in decreasing catalytic activity [29].

**Figure 2** (a) UV–Vis analysis (offset for clarity) of uncoated-AuNPs (black) and casein-AuNPs (blue). Stability analysis was carried out in the presence of 2.0 M NaCl, demonstrating no wavelength shift for the coated-AuNPs, indicating successful functionalisation. The pink line indicates the full spectrum analysis of casein. (b) Zeta-potential of uncoated AuNPs and casein-coated AuNPs.
20 min at room temperature. (b) UV–Vis spectroscopic analysis of catalysed reaction of TMB/H2O2 in the absence (blue curve) and presence of AuNPs (purple curve). The black and orange line demonstrates the spectra for bare AuNPs on their own and 1.0 mM TMB, respectively. (c) Kinetic analysis of the catalysed reaction for 1.0 mM TMB/6.0% H2O2 in the presence of AuNPs (red line) measured at 370 nm. The cyan and black line (overlapped) demonstrates the kinetic analysis for TMB and TMB/H2O2, respectively, in the absence of AuNPs. All reactions carried out for 20 min at room temperature.

In terms of the casein-AuNP nanosensor for protease detection, monitoring the absorbance at 650 nm could give rise to false-negative or false-positive results. Based on this, we proposed to use the peak at 370 nm to quantify the peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs which has not been exploited heretofore. The results also demonstrate a time-dependent increase in absorbance at 370 nm for AuNPs in the presence of TMB/H2O2 (Fig. 3(c), red). This data provides important insight into the peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs.

Kinetic analysis was performed by mixing a fixed concentration of AuNPs with various concentrations of TMB/H2O2 and monitoring TMB oxidation by absorption analysis at 370 nm. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs strongly depends on H2O2 concentration. The maximal velocity (V_{max}) is a useful parameter in determining the maximum conversion of substrate to product via natural enzyme (i.e., HRP) or nanozyme (i.e., AuNP) at the point where the active sites are fully saturated. When the H2O2 increases from 0.0075% (which has been already included in the commercial TMB solution) to 6.0%, the maximum rate of reaction (V_{max}) increases accordingly from 4.9 × 10^{-7} to 4.4 × 10^{-6} M·min^{-1}, respectively. More details about the kinetic parameters are summarized in Fig. S4 and Table S5 in the ESM. From a practical point of view, clinical and veterinary samples may contain a certain level of peroxidase enzymes which in turn could potentially interfere with the gold nanozyme activity. To understand the potential interferences, kinetic analysis was also carried out for HRP enzyme as shown in Fig. 4(b). Indeed, HRP can catalyse for the oxidation of TMB with a reaction velocity as high as 2.7 × 10^{-6} M·min^{-1} at 0.0075% H2O2. Interestingly, the activity of HRP decreases by 6.6 times when the H2O2 concentration is higher than 0.0075% (i.e., V_{max} = 4.2 × 10^{-7} at 1.0% H2O2, and this maximum velocity decreases between 1.0% and 6.0% H2O2, see Table S5 in the ESM for more details). This is contrary to that observed in the case of AuNPs acting as nanozymes. This reduced reaction speed is most likely due to enzyme inactivation caused by high H2O2 concentrations [41]. Importantly, this finding is useful in the tuning of the catalytic response. Thus, to minimize potential interference from contaminating peroxidase enzymes in clinical and veterinary samples and to promote the nanozyme activity, 6.0% H2O2 was chosen for use in all experiments hereafter.

To test the hypothesis that coating the AuNP surface with casein results in the suppression of the peroxidase-mimicking activity, the following experiments were conducted. Firstly, uncoated-AuNPs and casein-AuNPs (at the same concentration of 44.43 pM) were mixed with 1.0 mM TMB and 6.0% H2O2 solution and scanning kinetic analysis was carried out (Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)). It is evident in Fig. 5(a) that bare-AuNPs can catalyse for the oxidation of TMB in the presence of H2O2 instantaneously, resulting in the development of two distinct peaks at 370 and 650 nm. The reaction demonstrates a substantial increase in absorbance at 370 and 650 nm with time, which agrees with the results in Fig. 3(c). Conversely, the casein-coated AuNPs demonstrate a much lower absorbance at 370 and 650 nm (Fig. 5(b)). The casein-coated AuNPs demonstrate a normalized absorbance at 370 nm of 0.7 OD, compared to 3.5 OD for uncoated particles post incubation at room temperature for 20 min (Fig. 5(c)). This result is supported in Fig. 5(d) which demonstrates a reduced reaction velocity with the addition of casein layer onto the AuNP surface. Importantly, the catalytic activity of casein-coated AuNPs not only show suppression of peroxidase-mimicking activity at 6.0% H2O2, but also at lower concentrations (down to 0.0075%). For the full kinetic analysis of the casein-coated AuNPs in the presence of varying TMB and H2O2 concentrations, see the ESM (Fig. S4 and Table S5 in the ESM).

To fully characterize the peroxidase-mimicking activities of AuNPs, Michaelis-Menten catalytic parameters were calculated for
uncoated-AuNPs and casein-AuNPs over varying H2O2 concentrations (0.0075%–6.0%) (Table S5 in the ESM). As previously discussed, we found that the highest Vmax value at the lowest H2O2 concentration (0.0075%), which subsequently decreased with increasing H2O2 concentration. This indicates that the reaction catalysed by HRP is limited by H2O2 concentration, resulting in a much lower maximal rate with increasing concentration. However, for the uncoated AuNPs, the Vmax increased by one order of magnitude with increasing H2O2 concentration up to 6.0%. Between 0.0075% and 1.0% H2O2 the Vmax increased at the greatest rate from 4.9 × 10−7 to 1.2 × 10−6 M·min−1 (2.4 times). The casein coated-AuNPs demonstrated a lower Vmax over all H2O2 concentrations in comparison to the uncoated-AuNPs, indicating the ability of the coating molecules to slow the rate of substrate diffusion to the AuNP surface.

The Michaelis–Menten constant (Km) was also calculated to provide more information on the substrate concentration required for the catalysis to occur. The results show a lower Km value for the uncoated- and casein-coated-AuNPs from 0.0075%–2.0% H2O2, indicating less substrate is required for the catalysis to occur at a significant rate, compared to HRP enzyme. This lower value indicates a higher affinity for the AuNPs towards the TMB substrate. For instance, at 2.0% H2O2 concentration the uncoated and casein-coated AuNPs reach one half of its maximum speed (½ Vmax) at a TMB concentration of 1.3 × 10−4 and 1.4 × 10−3 mM, respectively (Table S5 in the ESM). This finding is in agreement with Drozd and co-workers who found that the type of surface coating did not significantly impact the affinity of the AuNPs towards the substrate [42]. Conversely, for HRP this value is 1.8 × 10−4 mM at 2.0% H2O2, demonstrating that a greater concentration of substrate is required to reach ½ Vmax. However, above 2.0% H2O2 the Km begins to decrease for HRP, so to fully understand the differences in the reaction rate, the kcat was calculated. The results demonstrate reduced catalytic rate of the casein-AuNPs, confirming that the peroxidase-mimicking activity had been suppressed in comparison to the uncoated-AuNPs over all H2O2 concentrations. In contrast with the uncoated-AuNPs, which had increasing catalytic rate with increasing H2O2 concentration, the HRP enzyme had a decreased catalytic rate above 0.0075% (by 6.6 times). The catalytic efficiency (kcat/Km) of HRP correlates with this finding, demonstrating that at 0.0075% H2O2 the enzyme can convert more of the substrate it binds into product (Fig. 6). Conversely, for uncoated-AuNPs the catalytic efficiency increases between 0.0075% and 6.0% H2O2. Interestingly, the uncoated-AuNPs have improved catalytic efficiency (up to 13 times at their best performance) in comparison to HRP enzyme. The casein-coated-AuNPs demonstrate a consistent catalytic efficiency with increasing H2O2 concentration. From the results it was determined that the catalytic yield relative to the uncoated-AuNPs was as little as 22.9% for casein-AuNP (i.e. the intrinsic peroxidase-mimicking activity of AuNPs was suppressed by up to 77.1%). These results demonstrate reduced catalytic efficiency of the casein-coated-AuNPs due to decreased substrate diffusion onto the AuNP surface, caused by adsorbed casein molecules.

It is hypothesised that the peroxidase-mimicking activity of the coated AuNPs could be recovered if the casein shielding layer is disrupted. To fully elucidate the effect of enzyme cleavage on the peroxidase-mimicking activity of the coated AuNPs, maximum absorbance at 370 nm was used to monitor the production of oxTMB as a function of enzyme concentration (0–2.0 mg·mL−1). The reaction was carried out in 0.1 M PBS buffer (pH 7) for optimal enzyme activity. Figure 7(a) demonstrates the colorimetric response generated by casein coated-AuNPs in the presence of protease enzyme (enzyme activity = 2 U·mg−1). A visible increase in intensity was noted with increasing concentration of protease enzyme from 0 to 2.0 mg·mL−1, with the lowest concentration easily observed by the naked-eye determined at 100 ng·mL−1. Figure 7(b) demonstrates an increase in peak absorbance at 370 nm with increasing enzyme concentration up to 2.0 mg·mL−1. These findings are reiterated in Fig. 7(c) where there is an increase in absorbance at 370 nm with increasing protease concentration from 0 to 2.0 mg·mL−1 (or from 0–10 U·mL−1). Based on the linear fitting ($R^2 = 0.983$), the limit of detection (LOD, three times the standard deviation of the blank sample, 3σ) of the nanosensor was determined as low as 44 ng·mL−1 of protease (or equivalent to 2.2 × 10−4 U·mL−1). The sensitivity of the nanozyme approach is not strikingly predominant compared to those reported previously [24, 25, 43–45], however the assay time and design of coating agent warrants improved capabilities beyond that of current methods. The majority of the reported methods utilise peptides as the enzyme substrates, when, compared to casein which is used in the current approach, increases the overall cost of the assay as well as the time involved in peptide probe design. For example, peptide functionalised-AuNPs require design of specific, cleavable sequence

![Figure 5](image-url) Spectral analysis demonstrating decreased peroxidase-mimicking activity of casein-AuNP (AuNP concentration = 44.43 pM). The spectra at time point zero indicates the wavelength of AuNPs prior to addition of TMB/H2O2, demonstrating the plasmon peak around 520 nm. Scanning kinetic analysis of (a) uncoated-AuNPs and (b) casein-coated AuNPs. (c) Kinetic analysis demonstrating suppressed activity of casein-AuNPs (red) and in the presence of 1.0 mM TMB/6.0% H2O2 compared to uncoated-AuNP (black). Values were normalized against TMB/H2O2 solution incubated under the same conditions to remove background interference. (d) Reaction velocity of uncoated-AuNPs (black) and casein-AuNPs (red) over various TMB concentrations (H2O2 concentration fixed at 6.0%). Samples analyzed in triplicate (n = 3) and standard deviation deduced from this data. Non-linear curve was fitted using the Hill equation.

![Figure 6](image-url) Comparison of catalytic efficiency of HRP, uncoated AuNPs and casein-AuNPs. The reaction was carried out in the presence of 1.0 mM TMB and various H2O2 concentrations for 10 min at room temperature. Concentration of natural enzyme and nanozyme was fixed at 44.43 pM and absorbance was measured at 370 nm.
and modification of anchoring group [24, 25, 44, 45]. Other methods utilise gelatine and 6-mercaptohexan-1-ol–AuNPs which requires a two-step functionalisation approach, including two incubations at 37 °C and several washing steps. This further complicates and extends the preparation of the nanosensors in comparison to the casein-AuNPs which require one incubation and one wash prior to use (can be achieved within 3 h). The majority of comparable methods utilised AuNP aggregation or dispersion as the transduction signal [24, 43–45] which requires modification of probe, i.e. thiol groups to induce aggregation upon enzyme cleavage of substrate or inclusion of terminal cysteines to ensure AuNP stability. The latter method required further functionalisation of the AuNP surface with PEG to prevent unwanted interactions between cysteines and the enzyme [43]. The current nanosensor utilises casein which is inexpensive and readily available. As the approach is based on the degradation of casein on the AuNP surface and subsequent recovery of peroxidase-mimicking activity, there is no further modification of the substrate or the surface required. Other methods require fluorescent labelling of probe and thus there is added expense in terms of modification and need for expensive read-out equipment, which is not conducive to on-site analysis [25]. The production of oXMB provides a visual color response, which can be read without the need for expensive equipment. The approach requires an initial 60 min incubation step between the casein-AuNPs and the sample. This is followed by the direct addition of TMB/H₂O₂ and a subsequent 30 min incubation step. The analysis time of 90 min is also an improvement over methods requiring 2 to 6 h [27, 44]. It must also be noted that the method developed by Guarise and group is limited by samples contaminated by bisthiols, which potentially give rise to false negative results and thus may restrict applications [45].

We have now obtained evidence that the peroxidase-mimicking activity of the casein-coated AuNPs is recovered if the shielding layer is disrupted. Clinical and veterinary samples contain different enzymes such as proteases, lipases, catalases, amylases, which potentially interfere with the degradation of the shielding layer. Therefore, to further investigate the selectivity against these natural enzymes, the applicability of the casein-AuNP nanosensor for the detection of protease biomarker was determined. Figure 8 demonstrates the cross-reactivity of protease (bovine pancreas), lipase (Candida rugosa), catalase (bovine liver) and amylase (porcine pancreas) on the degradation of casein layer coated on the AuNP surface. While protease shows strong catalytic activity at both low and high concentrations (0.1 and 5 U·mL⁻¹), lipase, catalase, and amylase do not exhibit any significant interference on the operation of the nanozyme nanosensor. Indeed, the relative absorbance value at 370 nm generated by these non-target enzymes is lower than the baseline level, which is defined as three times the standard deviation of the blank sample, 3σ, representing the high selectivity of the nanozyme nanosensor system.

As previously discussed, the detection of enzymes secreted in either bio-fluids or alongside microorganism growth can reveal infection, inflammation, contamination or disease conditions. Elevated amounts of protease in e.g. bovine milk, can provide information about microorganism spoilage or mastitis infection. Furthermore, protease enzymes are also found in urine of patients with diabetes and chronic renal failure. Therefore, various protease concentrations were spiked into bovine UHT milk and negative, synthetic human urine sample (certified reference material) to demonstrate the applicability of the approach. Figure 9(a) demonstrates the normalized absorbance at 370 nm as a function of protease concentration spiked in UHT milk. The results demonstrate good linearity ($R^2 = 0.94$), with a linear range between 1.0 ng·mL⁻¹ and 100 μg·mL⁻¹ (equivalent to the enzyme activity of $5 \times 10^{-5}$–0.5 U·mL⁻¹) and a LOD of 490 ng·mL⁻¹ (or $2.45 \times 10^{-3}$ U·mL⁻¹). The concentration of plasmin (a serine protease present in bovine milk) is relatively low in non-inected cattle. Richardson and Pearce (1981) reported a concentration of 0.14–0.73 μg·mL⁻¹ (labelled as green region in Fig. 9(a) as a visual guide) of plasmin in raw milk [46]. During an infection this concentration has been found to increase by 2.3 times in some cases (labelled as pink region, Fig. 9(a)) [47]. Thus, the reference levels suggest that our method is fully applicable to bovine mastitis detection in milk as the clinically relevant range falls within the dynamic range of the approach (490 ng·mL⁻¹–100 μg·mL⁻¹, or $2.45 \times 10^{-3}$–0.5 U·mL⁻¹). Figure 9(b) shows the normalized absorbance at 370 nm as a function of protease concentration spiked in synthetic urine. A good linear response was observed ($R^2 = 0.97$), with a wide dynamic range 1.0 ng·mL⁻¹–2.0 μg·mL⁻¹ (or $5 \times 10^{-5}$–10 U·mL⁻¹), as well as a lower LOD of 176 ng·mL⁻¹ (or $8.8 \times 10^{-4}$ U·mL⁻¹). This lower detection limit in the urine sample is likely due to less matrix interferences compared to the complex milk matrix. In comparison to buffer conditions, the LOD for protease spiked into milk had increased by 11 times, or increased by 4 times for protease spiked into urine. Urinary serine protease activity has also shown to be elevated in patients with diabetes, with the control group in one study displaying extremely low to no detectable level of protease. Patients with diabetic kidney disease have a measurable serine protease concentration of 0.4 to 1.3 μg·mL⁻¹ [48], which is significantly narrower than the clinical range of our developed nanozyme approach (176 ng·mL⁻¹–2.0 mg·mL⁻¹). Thus, the nanozyme nanosensor demonstrates potential applicability as a rapid and low-cost screening tool for protease biomarker detection in both veterinary and clinical samples.
and 176 ng·mL$^{-1}$, respectively, showing great promise as a screening tool for the detection of protease spiked in milk and urine samples has also been successfully demonstrated with an effective LOD of 490 ng·mL$^{-1}$.
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