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Swimming against the tide: investigations of the C-bouton synapse

Adam S. Deardorff†, Shannon H. Romer†, Patrick M. Sonner and Robert E. W. Fyffe*

Boonshoft School of Medicine, Department of Neuroscience, Cell Biology and Physiology, Wright State University, Dayton, OH, USA

INTRODUCTION

The neuromuscular system provides rapid and coordinated force generation, whereby the number and firing rate of recruited motor units are systematically adjusted to meet environmental demands (Monster and Chan, 1977; Henneman and Mendell, 1981; Clamann, 1993; Cope and Sokoloff, 1999). Indeed, the elegant simplicity with which animals navigate their environment reflects an inherent capability to perform a variety of motor tasks while responding quickly to unexpected perturbations and threats. This ability is not only necessary for overall survival (Ladle et al., 2007; Miri et al., 2013) but also of essential importance in our understanding of neuromuscular function and dysfunction (Miles and Sillar, 2011).

For more than 50 years, a particular class of synapse in the spinal cord ventral horn – the C-bouton – has generated sustained interest among α-MN anatomists and physiologists. Unambiguous identification of these conspicuously large cholinergic synaptic contacts and the characteristic postsynaptic SSC for which they are named has prompted numerous investigations into their distribution, source, function, and pathology. Yet despite the detailed morphologic and physiologic information generated by many neuroscientists, it is humbling to consider (a) the incrementally slow trajectory by which our understanding of this enigmatic synapse has grown and (b) that as yet there is no definitive and fully functional hypothesis regarding their distribution, their postsynaptic subcellular machinery, their contribution to motor control and behavior, and their regulation/dysregulation in health and disease.

Recently, we have learned the most elementary effect of C-boutons on α-MN f-I gain during static intracellular current injection occurs via dramatic reductions in the strength of the action potential AHP (Miles et al., 2007), which is mediated by postsynaptic small conductance Ca2+ -activated K+ (SK) channels (Deardorff et al., 2013). However, the mystery of the C-bouton and its cholinergic effects on MN biophysical properties and integrative capabilities is by no means solved, as has been suggested (Frank, 2009). Using an isolated spinal cord preparation, Miles et al. (2007) demonstrate a putative role for C-boutons in ensuring appropriate levels of motor output during drug induced fictive locomotion. But complexity arises upon behavioral assessment of adult mice with selective genetic inactivation of C-bouton synaptic inputs, which during locomotion exhibit normal flexor–extensor alternation and normal EMG amplitude. Motor deficits in these mice primarily manifest during high-output tasks such as swimming (Zagoraiou et al., 2009). These data convincingly implicate C-boutons in the task-dependent regulation of α-MN excitability via reduction of outward K+ currents, but questions remain regarding (a) the functional impact of C-bouton input during different behaviors, (b) the manner in which C-bouton activity is modulated to match motor demands, (c) the expression of abnormal force generation as well as spasticity, rigidity, or tremor as a result of C-bouton input, and (d) the role of C-bouton synaptic contact in behavioral adaptations. This review aims to close this gap in knowledge by providing a comprehensive review of the current literature that characterizes the C-bouton synapse and its functional impact on skeletal muscle.
consequence of C-bouton dysfunction, and (d) the mechanism of interaction between underlying acetylcholine receptors (AChRs) and K+ channels.

To aid in the development of new in vivo and in vitro experimental strategies to answer these and related questions, this review details our current understanding of the cellular, synaptic, and genetic properties that underlie C-bouton function and proposes a hitherto unexplored mechanism for the cholinergic modification of α-MN excitability. It should be noted that the title of this review is intended to reflect and pay homage to the many dedicated and careful neuroscientists who have undertaken MN synaptological investigations over the years. This review will therefore also provide historical perspective on the foundational advances in our understanding of this complex and elusive, yet important, synapse. Neuroscientists have spent 50+ years at the C-bouton swimming against the tide. Significant progress has been slow and hard fought. And though we are a long way from shore, we must remember – as our murine colleagues have demonstrated – without C-boutons we cannot swim at all.

THE C-BOUTON SIGNALING ENSEMBLE: A CONTEMPORARY VIEW OF A CLASSIC SYNAPSE

We are riding the crest of a wave. With the turn of the century and the application of advanced morphologic analyses, cellular neurophysiology, and selective genetic perturbations, we have built a decidedly robust picture of C-bouton form and function. C-boutons are an essential piece of an integrated control system set to regulate α-MN activity through a complex anatomical substrate: a signaling ensemble (Figures 1 and 2) precisely organized for highly nuanced orchestration of somatic K+ currents.

PRECISE ANATOMICAL LOCALIZATION AND ORGANIZATION OF SIGNALING COMPONENTS: AN ENSEMBLE OF APPOSED PROTEINS AND MOSAIC MEMBRANE DOMAINS

C-type synaptic sites comprise three closely apposed membranous domains (Figure 2), spanning a breadth of ~25 nm, and across which the distribution of synaptic and signaling proteins are precisely regulated. Clear and consistent immunohistochemical data demonstrate membrane clusters of α-MN Kv2.1 channels, SK2/3 channels, and m2 receptors directly apposing C-bouton presynaptic terminals (Skinner et al., 1999; Hellstrom et al., 2003; Muen nich and Fyffe, 2004; Wilson et al., 2004; Deardorff et al., 2013). When visualized under high resolution, these SK2/3 channel and m2 receptor clusters are composed of an intricate, non-uniform aggregate of smaller “threadlike” structures that are woven together and closely approximate/appose C-bouton pre-synaptic vesicle release sites, which are enriched with bassoon (A. S. Deardorff, S. H. Romer, R. E. W. Fyffe, unpublished; see Figure 1). Beneath the postsynaptic membrane, in α-MN SS Cs, the gap junction protein connexin32 shows a similar threadlike distribution pattern (Yamamoto et al., 1990, 1991; Zampieri et al., 2014), indicating that connexin32, SK channels/m2 receptors, and transmitter release machinery are precisely aligned across the three membranous domains. Kv2.1 channels appear to “fill in” the remaining postsynaptic α-MN membrane surface not occupied by SK channels or m2 receptors. The demarcated postsynaptic area, therefore, is a highly structured and mosaic domain of interdigitating clusters of Kv2.1 channels and co-localized SK2/3 channels and m2 receptors. The orderly, stacked apposition of proteins on the cisternal, postsynaptic, and presynaptic membranes as well as the spatial interdigitation of distinct channel and receptor clusters demonstrates a coordinated and specific signaling organization across all membranous domains at C-bouton synaptic sites.

ADDITIONAL SIGNALING COMPONENTS

Additional studies have revealed, to varying levels of specificity, other signaling components that characterize the C-bouton ensemble. Certain elements, although identified within one or another membranous or cytoplasmic domain, are not well defined in regard to specific subdomain organization nor anatomic relation to other molecular components. In this category, C-bouton synaptic terminals express a range of exocytotic proteins consistent with those necessary for fast transmitter release (Hellstrom et al., 1999), are highly associated with presynaptic P2X7 purinergic receptor immunoreactivity (~90% of C-boutons; Deng and Fyffe, 2004), and may also express presynaptic nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs; Khan et al., 2003). In addition, the α-MN SSC is highly enriched with S1Rs (Mavlyutov et al., 2010), and with closely associated neuregulin-1 (NG1) immunoreactivity (Gallart-Palau et al., 2014). Indole-N-methyl transferase (INMT), an enzyme that converts tryptamine into the S1R ligand dimethyltryptamine (DMT), is also present in close proximity to S1Rs at C-bouton postsynaptic sites (Mavlyutov et al., 2012), but the extent to which S1Rs, themselves, are diffusely distributed within the entire cisternal membrane or co-localize/interdigitate with the well-characterized connexin32 immunoreactivity is not described.

The subcellular organization of Ca2+ sources necessary for SK channel activation also remains poorly characterized. However, a-MN SK2/3 channels require high voltage activated (HVA) N- and P/Q-type Ca2+ currents to generate the AHP (Viana et al., 1993; Unemiya and Berger, 1994; Bayliss et al., 1995; Li and Bennett, 2007), and SK channels typically couple to their Ca2+ source(s) by <200 nm (Fakler and Adelman, 2008; Jones and Stuart, 2013). Internally, SS Cs may amplify or shape these Ca2+ signals via RyRs or connexin32, as they do in other cell types (see discussion Section “Subsurface Cisternae and the Generation of an Isolated Ca2+ Signal”). We, therefore, expect some proportion of HVA Ca2+ channels and RyRs to localize to the C-bouton postsynaptic membrane and/or to the associated SSC (Figure 2). In support, Wilson et al. (2004) provide evidence that P/Q-type Ca2+ channels are diffusely spread throughout the α-MN somatic membrane. By inference, some proportion must then appose C-boutons. The presence of N-type Ca2+ channels on α-MNs, however, has only been demonstrated physiologically (Carlin et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2004).

THE CREST OF A WAVE

The unique aggregation of cytoplasmic and membrane bound pre- and postsynaptic proteins that constitute the C-bouton signaling ensemble provides mechanistic insight into the cholinergic modulation of α-MN firing rate and has advanced new research at a comparatively faster pace than that of many other α-MN synaptic
inputs. While uncertainties still confound our arrival at a “simple” molecular mechanism governing C-bouton synaptic function, experiments in other cell systems can help push us forward against the tide. Further exploration of this complex synapse is clearly necessitated. However, we must first review other salient features of the C-bouton system.

**MOMENTS AND MILESTONES: ULTRASTRUCTURE**

Pioneering EM investigations (Wyckoff and Youn, 1956) provided accurate anatomical description and categorization of the structurally diverse presynaptic terminals contacting spinal α-MNs, and in general, most authors still conform to the descriptive abbreviations (S-, F-, C-, T-, and M-Boutons) introduced by Bodian (1966a,b) and Conradi (1969a). (An additional bouton type, the P bouton, makes presynaptic connections with specific excitatory boutons in contact with the MN surface and may form triadic arrangements; Conradi, 1969a; Fyffe and Light, 1984). Those boutons Conradi classified as “C-type” are defined by and named for a signature 10–15 nm thick postsynaptic SSC (“C-type” for cistern): a broad, flat disc of smooth endoplasmic reticulum juxtaposed a mere 5–8 nm below the postsynaptic membrane and spanning the length of the apposing presynaptic terminal (Figure 3; Conradi, 1969a). The SSC is continuous with several lamellae of rough endoplasmic reticulum oriented in parallel with the cell membrane and frequently observed alongside free ribosomal rosettes in the subcisternal cytoplasm.

**FIGURE 1** | C-bouton synaptic sites contain a complex signaling ensemble. Presynaptic bassoon-IR and postsynaptic SK3-IR and m2-IR share a striking subsynaptic fenestrated appearance within the C-bouton. All images are small confocal stacks (3 × 1 μm Z-stacks) of en face C-boutons, indicated with VACHT-IR (blue), on rat lumbar α-motoneurons.

- **Ai** Presynaptic active zone protein bassoon (green) is aligned with postsynaptic ion channels SK3 (Ai, red) and m2 receptors (Aii, red).
- **Bi** Kv2.1-IR (green) intercalates with SK3-IR (Bi, red) and m2-IR (Bii), "filling in" the C-bouton postsynaptic membrane. Scale bars are 2.0 μm.
Observations are commonly accepted evidence for pronounced in non-osmicated tissue stained with E-PTA (Pullen, 1986; Davidoff and Irintchev, 1986), and are particularly pronounced paramembraneous densities and associated pools of readily releasable vesicles (Bodian, 1966a,b; Conradi, 1969a; McLaughlin, 1972b; Hamos and King, 1980). Notably, several authors (Rosenbluth, 1962; Bodian, 1966a,b; Charlton and Gray, 1966; Van Harreveld and Khattab, 1967) identified these unique and prominent boutons prior to Conradi’s (1969a) classic and thorough description of their synaptic ultrastructure – which remains the gold standard for their identification.

C-boutons are among the largest of α-MN somatic and proximal dendritic synaptic inputs, ranging in size from 3 to 8 μm in the cat (Conradi, 1969a; McLaughlin, 1972b; Conradi et al., 1979a), 3–6 μm in the primate (Bodian, 1966a,b), 3–5 μm in the opossum (Hamos and King, 1980), 3–6 μm in the human (Pullen, 1992), and 1–8 μm in the rodent (Alvarez et al., 1999). But despite their conspicuous size, they lack quintessential active zone ultrastructure, i.e., pronounced paramembraneous densities and associated pools of readily releasable vesicles (Bodian, 1966a,b; Conradi, 1969a; McLaughlin, 1972b; Bernstein and Bernstein, 1976), prompting early speculation that vesicle release occurs across the entire synaptic interface (McLaughlin, 1972b). However, small presynaptic dense projections and local vesicle aggregations have been subsequently described (Hamos and King, 1980; Connaughton et al., 1986; Davidoff and Irintchev, 1986), and are particularly pronounced in non-osmicated tissue stained with E-PTA (Pullen, 1988a) or uranyl acetate and lead citrate (Schröder, 1979). These observations are commonly accepted evidence for specific synaptic vesicle release sites. Supporting this notion, C-boutons express discrete punctae of the active zone specific protein bassoon rather than diffuse expression throughout the presynaptic membrane (A. S. Deardorff, S. H. Romer, R. E. W. Fyffe, unpublished; see Figure 1). Moreover, bassoon immunoreactivity precisely overlies postsynaptic SK channels and m2 receptors even though traditional postsynaptic densities are not typically observed under EM. The physiologic advantage of this characteristically atypical and peculiarly subtle active zone architecture, however, is not yet fully understood, and may be further complicated by interspecies variability (see Pullen, 1988a).

C-boutons are ubiquitous and highly specific to somatic α-MNs and have been identified on α-MN somata and proximal dendrites in all mammalian species studied thus far (see Yamamoto et al., 1991 for references). Detailed analyses of γ-MNs (Lagerback, 1985; Lagerback et al., 1986; Destombes et al., 1992), autonomic MNs (Mawe et al., 1986; Leedy et al., 1988), spinal interneurons (Johnson and Sears, 1988), and Renshaw cells (Lagerback and Ronnev, 1982; Alvarez and Fyffe, 2007) confirm these cells lack C-type synaptic inputs. C-boutons, when properly identified (see discussion Section "Moments and Milestones: Transmitter Content"), are thus a useful anatomical criterion to distinguish somatic α-MNs in the brain and spinal cord (Conradi, 1969a; Pullen, 1988b; Deng and Fyffe, 2004; Muennich and Fyffe, 2004; Deardorff et al., 2013). Although there have been no extensive three-dimensional analyses of the total number of C-boutons per α-MN, our, and other, observations suggest on the order of 30–70 such contacts per cell (McLaughlin, 1972b; Hamos and King, 1980; Brannstrom, 1993; Brannstrom and Keller, 1998), and in general, there are a greater number of C-bouton synaptic contacts on large α-MNs innervating fast twitch muscle fibers, with this difference not simply due to the larger available somatic/dendritic surface area (Conradi et al., 1979a,b; Kellerth et al., 1979, 1983; Hellstrom et al., 2003). It should be noted, the features of C-boutons present on somatic α-MNs in ocular motor nuclei vary from those in the spinal cord and other brainstem motor nuclei. Specifically, C-boutons have been ultrastructurally identified (Tredici et al., 1976).
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and α-MN SSCs express connexin32 (Yamamoto et al., 1991), but no large VACHT-IR synaptic contacts (Hellstrom et al., 2003) nor m2 receptors are present (Vilaro et al., 1992; Hellstrom et al., 2003).

**MOMENTS AND MILESTONES: TRANSMITTER CONTENT**

Correlative light-electron microscopic analysis of ChAT-IR confirmed C-boutons are cholinergic (Houser et al., 1983; Connaughton et al., 1986; Li et al., 1995), a suggestion first made decades prior with ultrastructural acetylcholinesterase (AChE) histochemistry (Lewis and Shute, 1966), which alone is not sufficient for cholinergic classification (Fibiger, 1982; Satoh et al., 1983; Sakamoto et al., 1985; Davidoff and Irintchev, 1986; Nagy et al., 1993). In support, VACHT is highly associated with small clear synaptic vesicles in the C-bouton presynaptic terminal (Gilmor et al., 1996) and there is a strong association throughout the brainstem and spinal cord between large ChAT-IR synaptic boutons on α-MNs and SSCs immunolabeled for connexin32 (Nagy et al., 1993). Immunoreactivity for the cholinergic markers ChAT or VACHT, combined with anatomical criteria such as bouton size and location, therefore makes C-boutons easily identifiable in adult/neonatal histologic sections (Figure 3; Barber et al., 1984; Phelps et al., 1984; Nagy et al., 1993; Hellstrom et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2004; Zagoraiou et al., 2009; Alvarez et al., 2011; Deardorff et al., 2013). However, this approach should be applied with caution, as a small subset of cholinergic S-type terminals arising from recurrent α-MN axon collaterals and contacting α-MN somata may approximate C-boutons in size (Cullheim et al., 1977; Lagerback et al., 1981). Definitive confirmation of C-bouton phenotype requires ultrastructural verification of the C-bouton specific “cisternal signature” or alternatively – when systematically surveying an adequate sample of α-MNs under EM is unrealistic – light level co-localization of cholinergic markers with C-bouton specific pre- and/or postsynaptic proteins (see Section

![FIGURE 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**FIGURE 3** The C-bouton synapse on mammalian α-motoneurons. (A) C-bouton synapses on intracellularly labeled and reconstructed adult rat lumbar α-MN are revealed by VACHT-IR (white). Large C-boutons densely innervate the soma and proximal dendrites of α-MNs but are absent from more distal locations. Also note that C-boutons are not located on motoneuron axons (indicated by “a”). (B) C-boutons, indicated by VACHT-IR (Bi,iv, white), are presynaptic to the muscarinic m2 receptor (Bii,iv, red) and large Kv2.1 clusters (Biii,iv, green). Note that m2 receptor immunoreactivity on the α-MN soma and proximal dendrites localize exclusively to C-bouton postsynaptic sites. (Bi) Inset shows subsynaptic fenestrated distribution of m2-IR. Images are confocal stacks of 12 × 1 μm Z-stacks with nissl stain (blue) to label adult rat neuronal somata. Scale bar is 20 μm. (C) Diagrammatic representation and electron micrograph of C-bouton ultrastructure in an adult rat. (Ci) Diagram illustrates densely packed, clear spherical or pleomorphic vesicles and abundant mitochondria. Closely apposed to the postsynaptic membrane is a 10–15 nm wide subsurface cistern (SSC) that is continuous with several lamellae of underlying rough endoplasmic reticulum (rER). Free ribosomal rosettes are typically visible in the subsynaptic region. (Cii) Electron micrograph of C-bouton synapse on an α-MN soma. Arrowheads indicate a SSC extending the entire appositional length of the bouton. Note key features present in electron micrograph illustrated in diagram (Ci).
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**MOMENTS AND MILESTONES: DISSECTING THE C-BOUTON CIRCUITRY**

Unlike so many α-MN synaptic inputs, for which the neurons of origin are identifiable anatomically and physiologically (Jankowska and Lindstrom, 1972; Jankowska and Roberts, 1972a,b; Brown et al., 1981; Brown, 1983; Fyffe, 1991a,b; Burke and Glenn, 1996; Bui et al., 2003), the neuronal source of C-boutons has been elusive. Early in vivo lesion studies demonstrated that C-boutons do not degenerate following dorsal root section (Conradi, 1969b; McLaughlin, 1972a; Bodian, 1975); spinal cord hemisection/lesion (McLaughlin, 1972c; Bodian, 1975; Pullen and Sears, 1978, 1983), or cortical ablation (Bodian, 1975). Neither are they labeled by injection of retrograde tracers into dorsal roots (Ralston and Ralston, 1979), nor intracellular staining of Ia afferents (Brown and Fyffe, 1978; Conradi et al., 1983; Fyffe and Light, 1984), Ib afferents (Brown and Fyffe, 1979), group II afferents (Fyffe, 1979), hair follicle afferents (Maxwell et al., 1982), or axons innervating cutaneous mechanoreceptors (Brown et al., 1978, 1980, 1981; Bannatyne et al., 1984; Maxwell et al., 1984). Similarly, intracellular labeling of α-MNs showed C-boutons do not arise from α-MN axon collaterals (Lagerback et al., 1981), which is corroborated by differential protein expression in C-type synapses versus cholinergic terminals in the Renshaw cell area (see Section “The C-bouton Signaling Ensemble: A Contemporary View of a Classic Synapse;” Hellstrom et al., 1999; Deng and Fyffe, 2004).

Though these data collectively indicate the intraspinal derivation of C-boutons, no investigator to date has intracellularly labeled a cholinergic spinal interneuron and traced its axon to an α-MN C-type synaptic contact in vivo or in vitro; the definitive test for synaptic connectivity. Advanced molecular labeling techniques, however, have very convincingly demonstrated that C-boutons arise from cholinergic V0-embryonic (V0C) interneurons identifiable transcriptionally and phenotypically by the expression of the V0-specific homeobox protein Dbox1, the paired-like homeodomain transcription factor Pitx2, and the cholinergic proteins ChAT or VACHT (Miles et al., 2007; Zagoraiou et al., 2009). (For complete information on V0 cell ontogeny, we refer the reader to studies by Moran-Rivard et al. (2001), Pierani et al. (2001), and Luuazu et al. (2004) as well as the review by Arber (2012)). V0C interneurons correspond to a known population of cholinergic partition cells (Barber et al., 1984; Phelps et al., 1984; Arvidsson et al., 1997) located lateral to the central canal in Rexed’s lamina X and medial lamina VII (Miles et al., 2007; Zagoraiou et al., 2009). They can be subdivided into ipsilateral and bilateral projecting subpopulations and span several segments rostral and caudal to their innervated motor pools (Stepien et al., 2010). Cholinergic partition cells, C-type synaptic boutons, and the “signaling ensemble” appear early in postnatal development, and are well established by approximately 1 month of age (Phelps et al., 1984; Wets and Vaughan, 2001; Wilson et al., 2004).

The specific placement of V0C interneurons within segmental spinal circuitry is not fully characterized [see preliminary circuit diagrams in Zagoraiou et al. (2009) and Wits et al. (2014)]. Preliminary analysis of V0C connectivity demonstrates V0C interneurons receive synaptic input from several sources, including descending serotonergic pathways, local and/or descending VGlut2 projections, inhibitory interneurons (e.g., V2b cells), lamina II/III nociceptive interneurons, and non-proprioceptive primary mechanosensors (Zagoraiou et al., 2009; Witts et al., 2014; Zampieri et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). Each V0C cell sends divergent axonal projections to several α-MNs of the same or functionally equivalent motor pools and avoids α-MNs innervating antagonist muscles (Stepien et al., 2010). Numerous en passant synaptic varicosities arising from a single V0C axon contact the soma and proximal dendrites of a one or more α-MNs, which in turn receive convergent input from several V0C cells (Stepien et al., 2010). Although the precise levels of convergence/divergence are unknown, this pattern of connectivity establishes a large number of release sites from each presynaptic axon onto the α-MN, likely reflecting a high probability of transmitter release and contributing to a high safety factor for strong cholinergic neuromodulation (e.g., Walmsley et al., 1998).

Recent work shows V0C interneurons also project numerous small synaptic contacts onto V1-derived IaINs (Siembab et al., 2010). These synapses are morphologically dissimilar to C-boutons (Siembab et al., 2010), and their postsynaptic effects are currently unknown. Still, it is intriguing to consider that V0C interneurons project to the only two neuronal types (α-MNs and IaINs) in the ventral horn known to receive both recurrent inhibition and group Ia excitatory drive. Whether V0C interneurons, like Renshaw cells, send parallel projections to α-MNs and the “corresponding” IaINs (i.e., those with the same Ia connections; Hultborn et al., 1971a,b,c) has yet to be elucidated. Nevertheless, these data provide further insight into segmental motor circuitry and prompt new questions into both circuit function and synaptic specificity of the V0C neuronal class.

**MOMENTS AND MILESTONES: AHP, SK, AND MOTOR UNIT TYPE**

Early in vivo use of the SK channel blocker, apamin, established that SK channels are uniquely responsible for generating α-MN AHP currents (Zhang and Krnjevic, 1987). In vitro investigation subsequently confirmed these findings (Viana et al., 1993; Lape and Nistri, 2000), and showed that α-MN SK currents are reduced following m2 receptor activation at C-bouton synaptic sites (Lape and Nistri, 2000; Miles et al., 2007). Consistent with these electrophysiological data, our lab has recently shown that not only are SK channels highly enriched in the C-bouton postsynaptic membrane (Deardorff et al., 2013), but individual α-MNs express a variable complement of SK2 and SK3 channel isoforms consistent with observed co-variability in α-MN size and AHP duration (Deardorff et al., 2013). In the rodent, all α-MNs express SK2, but SK3 expression is markedly heterogeneous and cell-type-specific (Figure 4) varying in intensity from negligible (<2× background) to modest (2 to 3× background) to strong (>3× background) between individual α-MNs in a single tissue section. SK3 channels, which have a longer deactivate time constant than SK2 (Xia et al., 1998), are only expressed (with SK2) at C-bouton postsynaptic sites in smaller α-MNs with longer duration/larger
The potassium ion channel SK3 is part of the C-bouton signaling ensemble in a subset of α-motoneurons. Images are confocal stacks of 26 × 1 μm Z-stacks with nissl stain (blue) to label rat lumbar neuronal somata. Scale bar is 20 μm. (A) VAChT-IR (white) C-boutons form synapses onto all rat lumbar α-MNs on the soma and proximal dendrites. (B) SK3-IR (red) located within surface membrane of a subset of α-MNs in large distinct clusters. In rodents, SK3 channels, having slower intrinsic activation and deactivation kinetics than SK2 channels (Xia et al., 1998), are preferentially expressed in small, presumably S-type, α-MNs with long duration and large amplitude mAHP currents (Deardorff et al., 2013). (C) Large and small Kv2.1-IR (green) clusters are located within the surface membrane of all α-MNs. (D,E) The large SK3-IR and Kv2.1-IR clusters colocalize within the surface membrane of α-MNs and are apposed to VAChT-IR C-boutons.

amplitude AHPs (Figure 5). Conversely, larger α-MNs with significantly shorter duration/smaller amplitude AHPs express only SK2 (with little or no SK3-1R; Figure 5).

SK3-expressing α-MNs share other physiological properties predictive of S-type MNs (i.e., slower conduction velocity, lower rheobase, and higher input resistance; Deardorff et al., 2013). SK3-IR within the signaling ensemble can therefore provide “brush stroke” differentiation of rodent α-MNs along their physiological spectrum, and is a useful tool for histologic analysis of α-MN subtypes in development and disease (Brownstone and Magown, 2013). Altogether these data strongly indicate that the relative proportion of SK2/SK3 isoforms and the channel cluster size and density regulates AHP duration and amplitude, and the variability of these proportions accounts, in part, for the fact that AHP properties are continuous variables across a population of α-MNs (Deardorff et al., 2013). SK channel expression may, therefore, explain the “speed match” between AHP duration of a given α-MN and the contractile speed of its innervated muscle fibers (Bakels and Kernell, 1993; Gardiner, 1993). However, critical additional factors include the source and amplitude of the necessary Ca2+ signal, the coupling of these signals to the SK channels and, potentially, the presence/absence of Ih currents (Gustafsson and Pinter, 1985). Nevertheless, differential SK channel expression at the C-bouton undoubtedly contributes to α-MN input–output gain across the spectrum of α-MN subtypes by regulating AHP properties.

SWIMMING FORWARD: A MECHANISM FOR CHOLINERGIC MODULATION

We return now to the crest of our wave. The constancy of form and the intricacy of protein expression imply a fundamental logic to C-bouton organization and engagement during motor activity. Here, we assert the signaling ensemble is built around an organizing principle (i.e., the SSC) that allows for the generation of isolated Ca2+ signals at multiple sites on the soma. From this starting point, our intent here is to swim forward toward the synthesis of a comprehensive mechanistic hypothesis for the cholinergic modulation of α-MN firing rate. We base our rationale in the now recognized functional requirement for C-boutons in “swimming” (Zagoraiou et al., 2009), in the observation that cholinergic C-bouton function is not required for regular locomotion (Zagoraiou et al., 2009), and in the probable interactions of the key components of the
Subset of rat lumbar α-motoneurons with SK3-IR have significantly longer AHP 1/2 decay time and increased amplitude. Data shown is review of previous study reported by Deardorff et al. (2013).

(A) Diagrammatic representation of experimental paradigms. In an adult in vivo rat preparation, tibial α-MNs, identified by antidromic activation of the tibial nerve, were penetrated with a sharp recording electrode. Neuronal electrical properties were recorded and neurons were filled with neurobiotin (green) for post hoc identification. Spinal cord tissue was harvested and processed for SK3-IR.

(B–D) Neuronal electrical properties are of α-MNs depicted in micrographs below. Asterisk (*) denotes stimulus artifact.

Micrographs are single optical confocal sections through the soma of intracellularly labeled α-MNs (green) processed for SK3-IR (red) and the general neuronal stain nissl (Blue). Scale bars are 20 μm. (B) SK3-IR (+) (Bii and Biii arrowheads) α-MNs have long duration and large amplitude AHP, low rheobase, and high input resistance. Micrograph insets show VACHT-IR (White) C-bouton in apposition to an SK3-IR (+) cluster. Inset scale bar is 5 μm. (C, D) SK3-IR (−) α-MNs have short duration and small amplitude AHPs. However, even among these SK3-IR (−) cells, rheobase and input resistance show high variance along the continuum of α-MN properties. Please note the nearby SK3-IR (+) cells (C, Dii, iii arrowheads).
C-bouton signaling ensemble (Figures 1 and 2), most of which are known to generate, regulate, or be regulated by local intracellular Ca$^{2+}$. Although C-boutons may boost recruitment gain, as proposed elsewhere (Zagoraiou et al., 2009; Brownstone and Magown, 2013), we propose that the cholinergic modulation produced by C-boutons is highly task-dependent and will be maximal only during the moderate to strong physiological drive necessary for high-output motor tasks like swimming (Zagoraiou et al., 2009; Figures 6Ai,Bi). We extend this notion further to conditions of extremely powerful physiological (or pathological) drive, during which time any effects of C-bouton activity on firing rate are negated by the molecular dynamics and kinetics of the respective m2 receptors and SK/Kv channels (Figures 6Aiv,Biv). That is, while the cumulative, combined effects of these isolated Ca$^{2+}$ signals on specific AHP and delayed rectifier K$^+$ currents are likely to be quite significant throughout the α-MN activity spectrum, the functional impact of the C-bouton circuitry is only observed when imposed upon a restricted window of moderate to strong excitatory drive. We believe our synthesis, which is primarily based on interpretation of disparate datasets, will promote testable hypotheses. Elements of this synthetic approach are considered in the following sections.

**SUBSURFACE CISTERNAE AND THE GENERATION OF AN ISOLATED Ca$^{2+}$ SIGNAL**

It is widely accepted that neuronal SSCs function as an intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ store with multiple roles in Ca$^{2+}$ homeostasis and mobilization (see Yamamoto et al., 1991 and Fuchs et al., 2014 for references). Indeed, Henkart et al. (1976) proposed that SSCs “are designed to release Ca$^{2+}$ into the cytoplasm with whatever further effects this might produce.” SSCs serve also as a physical diffusion barrier that spatially and functionally restricts this Ca$^{2+}$ signal from those originating in other cellular compartments and, during increased cellular activity, act as a Ca$^{2+}$ sink to rapidly absorb and shuttle free Ca$^{2+}$ from the cisternal microdomain (Yamamoto et al., 1990, 1991; Fuchs et al., 2014). Ca$^{2+}$ release by RyR-rich SSCs serve, in part, to activate nearby SK channels in cochlear hair cells, which share some synaptic similarities with C-boutons (Evans et al., 2000; Lioudyno et al., 2004; Grant et al., 2006), and in sympathetic ganglion cells (Akita and Kuba, 2000). RyR release of Ca$^{2+}$ may also result in an increase in nearby Kv2.1 channel conductances, via Ca$^{2+}$-dependent dephosphorylation pathways, as it does in hippocampal and cortical pyramidal cells (Du et al., 1998; Antonucci et al., 2001; Misonou et al., 2005). Moreover, vesicles observed budding from the cytoplasmic surface of SSCs in cochlear hair cells and α-MNs are thought to be involved in removal of excess free Ca$^{2+}$ from the subsynaptic cytoplasm (Yamamoto et al., 1991; Fuchs et al., 2014). In light of these factors, the SSC itself is highly indicative that the functional regulation of the C-bouton signaling ensemble (which includes SK and Kv2.1 channels) occurs through precise control of an isolated Ca$^{2+}$ microdomain, the mechanistic underpinnings of which are considered below.

**INVOLVEMENT OF THE SIGNALING ENSEMBLE WITH THE ISOLATED Ca$^{2+}$ SIGNAL**

The unique aggregation of cellular elements at C-bouton synaptic sites and their coordinated regulation by and/or of the isolated Ca$^{2+}$ signal enables exquisite control over α-MN K$^+$ currents. Consider first the generation of the α-MN AHP. Membrane bound N- and P/Q-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents necessary for α-MN SK channel activation (see “Additional Signaling Components”) generate this Ca$^{2+}$ signal, which is isolated and shaped by the SSC. The AHP currents influence repetitive discharge properties of α-MNs, in part, via reductions in the variability in the interspike interval, the slope of the f-I relation, and the maximal rate of primary-range firing (Kernell, 2006; Brownstone and Magown, 2013).

A primary effect of m2 receptor activation by C-bouton synapses is a reduction of the AHP (Lape and Nistri, 2000; Miles et al., 2007). Though their signaling pathway(s) in α-MNs are undefined, m2 receptors typically exert their effects by inhibiting N-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels, as observed in sympathetic ganglion (Hille, 1994; Herlitze et al., 1996; Shapiro et al., 1999), cortical pyramidal (Stewart et al., 1999), neostriatal (Bow and Surmeier, 1995), and basal forebrain neurons (Allen and Brown, 1993). Ca$^{2+}$ influx through these channels is required for activation of SK channels and dictates the number of SK channels that open. N-type channel blockade by m2 receptors is usually mediated by G$_{i/o}$ protein coupled by subunits, which cause a depolarizing shift in the voltage dependence of channel activation (Hille, 1994; Herlitze et al., 1996; Ikeda, 1996; Jeong and Ikeda, 1999; Shapiro et al., 1999) and is negated by strong or repeated membrane depolarization (Hille, 1994).

The m2/cholinergic effect exerted by active C-boutons is quite simple and intuitive at this level: preventing N-type Ca$^{2+}$ influx (which is largely triggered by synaptically evoked action potentials) from activating SK channels during moderate to strong physiologic drive of the MNs (Figures 6Aii,iii,Bii,iii). This would be consistent with observed reduction of the AHP and enhanced α-MN excitability when m2 receptors are, presumably, activated during swimming or other tasks requiring high motor output (e.g., Figures 6Aii,Aiii; Miles et al., 2007; Zagoraiou et al., 2009). This “upstream” mechanism of AHP modulation will have a minimal appreciable effect on individual AHPs and α-MN firing rate during low levels of physiologic drive causing transient or “subprimary” range firing (Manuel et al., 2009; Turkin et al., 2010), due to the physiological triggering of SK channel activation by a short duration, suprathreshold stimulus (i.e., an action potential) occurring at intervals that may be longer than the duration of the AHP itself (Figures 6Ai,Bi). This may account for observations that C-bouton function is not required for regular locomotion (Zagoraiou et al., 2009). Moreover during powerful and/or pathologic excitatory drive the m2-mediated diminution of N-type channel activity is negated (Hille, 1994), resulting in a break of the m2 generated effect and an increase in AHP size (Figures 6Aiv,Biv).

At high levels of excitatory drive we must also consider the results of modulation of other components of the signaling ensemble. Although the m2 mediated effect on AHP is significant in a particular physiological range, the whole microdomain has an important role in setting α-MN firing rate. With this in mind,
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Hypothesis for state dependent regulation of motoneuron activity through the C-bouton signaling ensemble. (A) C-boutons increase motoneuron firing frequency along a widow of the α-MN activity spectrum. (Ai) With low or transient physiological drive, m2 activation is not likely to mediate an effect on AHP duration or firing rate. (Aii,iii) As excitatory drive increases, persistent m2 receptor activation inhibits local CaV channels through a Gq/Go coupled pathway, preventing both the SK channel activation and Kv2.1 dephosphorylation. Thus, outward K⁺ current is reduced and neuronal firing rate is increased (relative to Bii and Biii) as illustrated with spike train below. (Aiv) m2-mediated effects on CaV channels are negated by prolonged or repeated membrane depolarization (Hille, 1994) as may occur during extremely strong or pathologic excitatory drive. Here, Ca²⁺ influx through N-type calcium channels activates SK channels to generate AHP and to dephosphorylate Kv2.1 to increase outward K⁺ current and reduce firing frequency, as illustrated with spike train below. (Bii–iii) As excitatory drive increases without C-bouton activity, the N-type Ca²⁺ influx activates SK channels to generate AHP. Thus, the outward K⁺ current maintenance of firing frequency and in corresponding images in A. Spike trains illustrated below (Biiv) As in (Aiv), during prolonged or pathologic excitatory drive, N-type Ca²⁺ influx results in both SK channel activation and Kv2.1 dephosphorylation, thereby increasing outward K⁺ current and homeostatically decreasing firing rate, illustrated with spike train below. All spike trains depicted in this figure are added for illustrative purposes only and do not represent electrophysiological recordings or computer simulations.

The Ca²⁺ dependent generation of the AHP and its regulation by m2 receptor activation is one part of a coordinated series of molecular events that occur at the C-bouton, but is reliant on the complex interplay of other components in the signaling ensemble. For example, as excitatory drive increases how does the combinatorial contribution of SK and/or Kv2.1 change in the presence or absence of cholinergic input?

In the highly clustered configuration (typically) observed in hippocampal and cortical pyramidal cells, and α-MNs, Kv2.1 channels are phosphorylated and have a high activation and deactivation threshold and slow kinetics (Murakoshi et al., 1997; Misonou et al., 2004, 2005; Surmeier and Foehring, 2004; Mohapatra and Trimmer, 2006; Misonou, 2010). Interestingly, some investigators have postulated that clustered Kv2.1 channels serve primarily non-conducting functions (O’Connell et al., 2010; Fox et al., 2013); for the purposes of this discussion we will consider a more traditional role for the channels in α-MNs. Importantly, upon prolonged/pathologic excitatory drive, Ca²⁺/calcineurin dependent dephosphorylation pathways (Figures 6Aiv,Biv) rapidly decluster Kv2.1 while simultaneously lowering its activation and deactivation threshold and accelerating its kinetics (Surmeier and Foehring, 2004; Park et al., 2006; Mohapatra et al., 2009). In α-MNs, prolonged excitatory drive causes rapid Kv2.1 channel declustering (Romer et al., 2014) by a Ca²⁺/calcineurin dependent mechanism (S. H. Romer, A. S. Deardorff, R. E. W. Fyffe, unpublished), though corresponding alterations in channel kinetics are uncharacterized.

Data from other cell types shows clustered Kv2 channels maintain steady state firing by regulating membrane potential during the interspike interval (Johnston et al., 2008; Guan et al., 2013; Liu and Bean, 2014), while declustered/phosphorylated Kv2 channels serve to homeostatically lower firing rate (Surmeier and Foehring, 2004; Park et al., 2006; Mohapatra et al., 2009). In this way, Kv2 channels may increase or decrease cell excitability depending on the kinetics of channel activation (Liu and Bean, 2014). Brownstone et al. (2011) propose C-bouton activity during fictive locomotion (Miles et al., 2007; Zagoraiou et al., 2009) may contribute to steady state firing rates via the regulation of Kv2.1 phosphorylation and clustering. This is consistent with our hypothesis that m2-mediated inhibition of HVA-Ca²⁺ current prevents the activation of Ca²⁺/calcineurin dependent dephosphorylation pathways and thus maintains Kv2.1 clustering. However, if prolonged/pathologic excitatory drive causes large changes in intracellular Ca²⁺ sufficient to allow diffusion of Ca²⁺ from neighboring compartments, there would be rapid Kv2.1 channel declustering (Romer et al., 2014) by a Ca²⁺/calcineurin dependent mechanism (S. H. Romer, A. S. Deardorff, R. E. W. Fyffe, unpublished), negating the influence of C-boutons.

Several other components of this complex signaling ensemble likely serve to fine tune the efficacy of neuromodulation. Presynaptic nAChRs and P2X₅ receptors may provide an additional regulatory mechanism for synaptic transmission, particularly if ATP is co-released with ACh as it is at other central and peripheral cholinergic synapses (Burnstock et al., 1997), and cisternal S1Rs are known to reduce the sensitivity of m2 receptors to ACh (Walker and Bourguignon, 1990; Kim et al., 2010). Altogether, we suggest the C-bouton signaling ensemble is a highly integrated system, organized around an anatomically segregated Ca²⁺ microdomain, for precise and nuanced regulation of cell firing. Moreover, it has a built-in fail-safe mechanism against excitotoxicity, in that this strategically organized ensemble can both be driven by, or override, the synaptic circuitry of the C-bouton.

AN ALTERNATIVE MECHANISM

Others have suggested, based on muscarine’s minimal effect on global α-MN Ca²⁺ currents, that m2 receptor activation results in the direct blockade of α-MN SK channels (Miles et al., 2007; Witts et al., 2014). In support of their view, the direct phosphorylation of SK channels by protein kinase A (PKA) and casein kinase 2 (CK2) can, respectively, cause channel internalization (Kohler et al., 1996; Ren et al., 2006; Fakler and Adelman, 2008; Faber, 2009) and reduced Ca²⁺ sensitivity (Bildl et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2007). Moreover, neurotransmitter-initiated signaling cascades have been shown to modulate SK channel gating through CK2- or protein kinase C (PKC)-mediated phosphorylation (Maingret et al., 2008; Buchanan et al., 2010; Giessel and Sabatini, 2010). Although m2 receptors typically inhibit protein kinase activity, they can activate phosphorylation pathways in smooth muscle (Zhou et al., 2003). Therefore it is possible the direct phosphorylation of SK channels by protein kinases could provide an alternate mechanism through which m2 receptors reduce the AHP in α-MNs.

However, evidence that N- and P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channels are diffusely distributed throughout the α-MN somatic membrane (Wilson et al., 2004), and that α-MN SSCs function as Ca²⁺ diffusion barriers indicates that m2 receptor activation need only inhibit those α-MN CaV channels located within or very near to the C-bouton postsynaptic membrane to exert an effect on the AHP. In this case, m2 influence over the signaling ensemble would be masked in studies of global Ca²⁺ currents. The activation of
After injury, the specific loss or disconnection could lead to post-vulnerable in animal models may also reflect a propensity for C-bouton in similar murine models of the disease. The structural changes (Casas et al., 2013; Witts et al., 2014) have also been observed tent (Nagao et al., 1998; Casas et al., 2013) and S1R expression diminished C-bouton and V0c interneuronal ChAT/V AChT con-

The serotonergic system, for example, originates in the brain-stem raphe nucleus, provides extensive synaptic input onto α-MN dendrites (Alvarez et al., 1998) and is strongly linked to both behavioral and pathologic alterations of persistent inward Ca$^{2+}$ currents (Li and Bennett, 2003, 2007; Heckmann et al., 2005; Brownstone, 2006; Heckman et al., 2008; Norton et al., 2008; Powers et al., 2008). While numerous studies have focused on inward current modulation, the state dependent regulation of α-MN outward cur-

CONCLUSION
Multiple neuromodulatory systems and a myriad of ion channels are available for the task dependent regulation of MN excitation. The serotonergic system, for example, originates in the brain-stem raphe nucleus, provides extensive synaptic input onto α-MN dendrites (Alvarez et al., 1998) and is strongly linked to both behavioral and pathologic alterations of persistent inward Ca$^{2+}$ currents (Li and Bennett, 2003, 2007; Heckmann et al., 2005; Brownstone, 2006; Heckman et al., 2008; Norton et al., 2008; Powers et al., 2008). While numerous studies have focused on inward current modulation, the state dependent regulation of α-MN outward current has only recently been investigated (see Manuel et al., 2012).

New evidence has shown that a cholinergic modulatory system originating from spinal interneurons (V0c interneurons), and contributing dense synaptic coverage to α-MN somata, modulates the strength of motor output via reductions in α-MN outward K$^{+}$ current (Miles et al., 2007; Zagoraiou et al., 2009). It is interesting to consider that while serotonin increases MN excitability by amplifying inward current, acetylcholine does so by reducing outward current. The dynamic interplay of these two different, but rather synergistic, systems endows the CNS with remarkable control over MN output, and the interaction between the AHP and L-type Ca$^{2+}$ currents responsible for PIC may be a critical factor in regulating α-MN firing properties (Manuel et al., 2014).

Here, we illustrate large, cholinergic presynaptic terminals, termed C-boutons (Conradi, 1969a), are important modulatory loci for state-dependent alterations in MN repetitive firing, largely mediating their effects through a unique and highly specialized signaling ensemble organized for the state-dependent regulation of outward K$^{+}$ currents. To effectively manipulate signal transduction at C-bouton synaptic sites may be critical in the development of new therapeutic interventions for a variety of devastating neurological conditions. However, advances in patient care will first require a complete understanding of both the transduction mechanisms, as well as which cases (if any) C-bouton synaptic reorganization and/or alterations in α-MN AHP (and other intrinsic α-MN properties) contribute to disease pathology or, alternatively, maintain α-MN viability.
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