Evaluation of prooxidant-antioxidant balance in \textit{in vitro} fertilization-conceived mice
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Objective: Concerns about the safety of assisted reproductive technology (ART) have been raised, as some studies have shown elevated incidence rates of childhood cancer, asthma, allergies, and other diseases in ART-conceived babies. Findings regarding the health of ART-conceived babies are controversial. The present study was conducted to evaluate the prooxidant-antioxidant balance (PAB) in \textit{in vitro} fertilization (IVF)-conceived mice in comparison to naturally conceived offspring.

Methods: Mice (6–8 weeks) were divided into two groups (IVF-conceived and naturally conceived) matched by sex, age, weight, and litter size. A 1-mL blood sample was taken and the sera were separated. The oxidant-antioxidant balance was evaluated using a fast and reliable PAB assay. The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Results: The mean PAB values (HK units) in the IVF-conceived and naturally conceived groups were 59.70 ± 22.30 and 54.70 ± 18.22, respectively ($p = 0.82$).

Conclusion: Since free radicals contribute to several pathological conditions and antioxidants play an important protective role against oxidative stress, evaluating the oxidant-antioxidant balance is very important. Although the results of this study showed that the quality of the defense mechanism against free radicals was not significantly different between the IVF-conceived and naturally conceived mice, other parameters of metabolic dysfunction need to be measured.
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Introduction

The use of assisted reproductive technology (ART), especially \textit{in vitro} fertilization (IVF), has been increasing; children conceived by ART now account for 0.2%–4.2% of all births worldwide, and the rates are even higher in some developed countries [1]. Generally, the IVF technique involves several procedures, including ovarian stimulation, oocyte retrieval and culture, \textit{in vitro} insemination with capacitated spermatozoa, embryo culture, and transfer of embryos into the uterus. In order to optimize conditions for achieving the best results, these steps have been continuously improved. As with other emerging technologies, risks associated with IVF cannot be excluded. Therefore, several studies have investigated risks associated with infertility treatments [2-5].

The preimplantation period is highly sensitive to environmental changes. Animal studies have suggested that oxidative, nutritional, and \textit{in vitro} stress restricted exclusively to this period are sufficient to alter developmental, growth, and metabolic trajectories, leading to several pathologies in adulthood [6-8]. It has been reported that even optimized IVF conditions are sufficient to reprogram adult metabolism [9,10]. Studies investigating the impacts of systemic oxida-
ative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and impaired insulin and adipose signaling in adult insulin-sensitive tissues have indicated that preimplantation stress can have lasting developmental consequenc-
es [11].

Free radicals and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced during normal cellular metabolism. Most of the body’s energy is produced within the mitochondria during oxidative metabolism, via the enzymatically controlled reaction of oxygen with hydrogen in a process known as oxidative phosphorylation, during which free radicals are formed [12]. Free radicals may be involved in carcinogenesis through the induction of mutations in various genes and by influencing signal transduction and transcription factors [13]. Moreover, oxidative stress plays a critical role in several pathological conditions, such as hypertension, atherosclerosis, and ischemia/perfusion inju-
ries [14,15].

The human body has developed several antioxidant strategies to protect itself against damage from ROS. This allows for normal oxidative metabolism to occur without damaging cells, as well as normal ROS-mediated cellular responses such as the destruction of infect-
tious pathogens and intracellular signaling [16]. Oxidative stress oc-
curs when the production of ROS overwhelms the antioxidant de-
fense mechanisms, leading to cellular damage and inflammation [17]. Several methods have been developed to evaluate oxidant and antioxidant activities separately [18,19].

The prooxidant-antioxidant balance (PAB) method was developed in order to provide a rapid and reliable quantification of the oxidant/antioxidant balance [20,21]. It has been suggested that manipulation in vitro subjects the highly sensitive preimplantation embryo to stress that may have long-lasting effects in adulthood. Therefore, we pro-
duced IVF-conceived mice and assessed the oxidative stress in their sera by the PAB method after exposing them to stress. The main aim of the present study was to compare the antioxidant defense mecha-
nisms in IVF-conceived offspring versus offspring conceived under natural conditions.

**Methods**

1. **Animals**

Male and female CD1 and NMRI mice were obtained at 6 weeks of age from the Pasteur Institute (Tehran, Iran). Sperm and oocytes were obtained from CD1 mice, while NMRI females were used as sur-
rogate mothers. Mice were fed ad libitum with a standard diet and kept in a temperature- and light-controlled room in pathogen-free conditions (22°C, 12 hours light/12 hours dark, 50%±10% humidity). This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

2. **Preparation of oocytes**

CD1 females (8–12 weeks old) were injected with 7.5 IU of preg-
nant mare serum gonadotropin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) followed by 7.5 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) (Sigma) 48 hours later. Oocytes were collected from the oviducts 15 hours after hCG injection by oviduct recession after the mice had been sacrificed by cervical dislocation.

3. **IVF and natural conception**

Immediately after cervical dislocation, sperm samples were collect-
ed from the cauda epididymis of mature male CD1 mice. The collect-
ed sperm cells were transferred into a 100 mL drop of human tubal fluid (HTF) medium (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany), then in-
cubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours to allow capacitation, and the cell count was adjusted to a final concentration of 1 million sperm per milliliter. Then, 1 × 10^5 sperm cells were added to cumulus-oocyte complexes in HTF medium and incubated at 37°C for 5 hours. Subsequently, the fertili-
ed zygotes were washed serially to eliminate the excess of spermatozoa, cumulus cells, and debris, and transferred into a drop of potassium simplex optimization medium (KSOM-AA, Sigma-Ald-
drich). The embryos were incubated in KSOM medium until they reached the blastocyst stage, and then were transferred into the uteri of pseudopregnant NMRI females. For the production of naturally conceived offspring, a female mouse was put into the cage of a male mouse, and the next morning, they were assessed for the presence of a vaginal plug. Afterward, those with vaginal plugs were placed in separate cages until the day of delivery.

4. **Pseudopregnant females and embryo transfer**

In order to prepare the surrogate uterus, female and vasectomized male NMRI mice were mated overnight. The next morning, they were separated and assessed for the presence of a vaginal plug. Female mice with vaginal plugs were considered pseudopregnant. Then, 7–10 blastocysts were transferred into each horn of the uterus.

5. **Experimental groups**

Mice (6–8 weeks) were divided into two groups (IVF vs. natural con-
ception), with 10 animals in each group. They were matched by sex, weight, age, and litter size (Table 1). The mice were exposed to immobi-
lization stress, as described by Pare and Glavin [22] with some adjust-
ments.

Briefly, for 7 consecutive days the mice were exposed to immobi-
lization stress for 1 hour in addition to 2 minutes of handling. On the day of testing, they were subjected to restraint stress by placing each of them in a 50-mL Falcon tube for 40 minutes. All mice were sacrifi-
ced by cervical dislocation. Blood samples were taken by cardiac puncture and sera were separated for the PAB assay. Approximately
1-mL blood samples were collected from each animal. The blood samples were centrifuged, and the sera were separated and then stored at −80ºC until use.

6. Chemicals

All required chemicals were obtained from Sigma.

7. PAB assay

The PAB assay was performed as explained in detail by Alamdari et al. [21]. This method was used to measure the balance between pro-oxidant and antioxidant factors.

8. Preparation of solution

1) 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine cation

Sixty milligrams of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) powder was dissolved in 10 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution. In order to prepare the TMB cation, 20 mL of acetate buffer (0.05 M buffer, pH = 4.5), 400 µL of TMB/DMSO, and 70 µL of chloramine T (100 mM) were mixed together and incubated in room temperature for 2 hours in a dark place. After incubation, 25 IU of peroxidase was added to the solution, which was dispensed in 1-mL aliquots and stored at −20ºC.

2) TMB solution

The TMB solution was prepared by adding 200 µL of prepared TMB/DMSO solution to 10 mL of acetate buffer (0.05 M buffer, pH = 5.8).

3) Working solution

The working solution was prepared by dissolving 1 mL of the TMB cation solution into 10 mL of TMB solution, followed by incubation at room temperature for 2 minutes in a dark place. This solution should be used fresh.

9. PAB assay

Using dedicated wells for standards and blanks, 10 µL of serum samples and 200 µL of working solution were added into each well of a 96-well plate, and incubated at 37ºC for 12 minutes in a dark place. At the end of the incubation, 100 µL of stop solution (2 N HCl) was added to each well. Hydrogen peroxidase was used as a standard in different concentrations (0%−100%). A standard curve was generated (Figure 1), and the optical density of individual samples was measured at 450 nm using a Nanodrop instrument (Synergy HTX; Biotek, Winooski, VT, USA).

10. Statistical analyses

The results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using analysis of variance. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics and number of ovulated oocytes,
the number of fertilized oocytes and transferred embryos, and the birth and fertilization rates. The offspring were selected and matched according to sex, weight, age, litter size, and health status. Ten cycles of IVF were done, and in each cycle 1 offspring was matched with another from a natural cycle as a control.

Table 2 shows the PAB values of each subject (HK units) in the two groups, as well as the mean and standard deviation of both groups. The mean PAB value in the IVF group was slightly higher than that of the natural-conception group, but not to a statistically significant extent ($p = 0.82$).

**Discussion**

Concerns about the health of ART-conceived babies have been raised. The major concern is whether ART techniques themselves exert a negative influence on offspring, or whether trends for poorer outcomes are the result of parental characteristics related to the etiology of subfertility per se [23]. Some studies have evaluated the hospitalization rate of IVF-conceived babies, but the results are controversial. Kallen et al. [24] showed an elevated rate of asthma and bronchitis among IVF-conceived babies, but this has not been confirmed by other studies [25]. A small study by Cetinkaya et al. [26] showed no significant difference in the frequency of asthma, allergic rhinitis, and atopic dermatitis between IVF-conceived and naturally conceived babies. In contrast, a large Swedish study revealed a statistically significantly higher rate of asthma among children born after IVF, and this seemed to be partly related to paternal subfertility [27]. An association was also found between paternal subfertility and childhood asthma in ART-conceived babies [28].

Several studies have investigated the possible association between ART and a higher incidence of childhood cancer [29-31]. Significant excess risk for certain childhood cancers, including acute lymphatic leukemia [29], hepatoblastoma, and rhabdomyosarcoma [31], has been found among IVF-conceived babies in comparison to naturally conceived babies. The risk of metabolic syndrome and chronic diseases among adults conceived by IVF has been assessed in some studies. IVF-conceived individuals tend to have higher body fat [32], higher systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and higher levels of blood glucose than naturally conceived adults [33]. In contrast, Sakka et al. [34] showed that blood pressure was higher among ART-conceived individuals, but found no differences in insulin resistance, fasting glucose-to-insulin ratio, adipokine levels, or evidence of low-grade infection.

Since antioxidants play an important protective role against free radicals and oxidative stress plays a part in the underlying pathology of several conditions, we evaluated the PAB to assess the quality of the defense mechanism against free radicals in IVF-conceived and naturally conceived mice. The results of the present study showed that the mean PAB values were slightly higher in the IVF offspring than in the naturally conceived mice, but the difference was not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). However, in the present study, we investigated the PAB using a fast and reliable method that has been developed for these purposes, rather than evaluating the oxidants and antioxidants separately. In the future, other parameters of metabolic dysfunction need to be measured.

Based on the limited results of the current study, it is suggested that stresses experienced during the preimplantation period in IVF procedures may not have long-lasting effects on the offspring in later life. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to evaluate the balance between oxidants and antioxidants in IVF-conceived mice. As described earlier, the safety of ART is controversial, so it is necessary to ensure that ART-conceived babies receive continuous follow-up, and further animal models should be developed for laboratory investigations. Since the techniques and culture media used in animal IVF studies are not exactly the same as those utilized in human IVF, it is impossible to completely extrapolate the results from mice to human IVF.
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