Evaluation of biomarkers for cardiotoxicity of anthracyclin-based chemotherapy
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Abstract
Introduction The clinical assessment of the myocardial damage caused by anthracyclin (ANT)-therapy is difficult. Therefore a study was performed to evaluate non-invasive markers of anthracyclin-induced cardiac effects, with emphasis on course-to-course variation.

Methods Eligible for study participation were patients, without known cardiologic abnormalities who did not use cardiotoxic medication (except for ANT-therapy), who had previously completed at least three cycles of anthracyclin-containing chemotherapy (n = 14) and patients who were ANT-naïve and who were scheduled to receive doxorubicin-containing chemotherapy (n = 12). Seven patients in this last group also completed at least three cycles and were available for follow-up assessments; thus a total population of 21 patients (12F/9M) completed at least three courses ANT-chemotherapy. In these patients blood samples and ECG-recordings were taken within 6 months after completion of ANT-therapy. In 12 patients (10F/2M) assessments were also done before, immediately afterwards and at 24 h after each course of ANT.

Results and Conclusions In the patients who completed chemotherapy, NT-proBNP was 277% (n = 21; 95% CI: 86–661%, P < 0.001) higher compared to healthy volunteers. During the first course NT-proBNP rose 269% (n = 12; 167–409%, P < 0.0001) at 24 h post-administration. The linear corrected QT (QTcL) directly after the first administration of ANT increased by 9.56 ms (n = 12; 3.85–15.27, P < 0.001) and this prolongation was still present at 24 h, 11.48 ms (n = 12; 5.61–17.34, P < 0.0001). Both NT-proBNP and QTcL returned to baseline before the start of the next course and a similar pattern was observed during each course. NT-proBNP and QTcL may be useful markers for course-to-course evaluation of anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity.
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Introduction

Anthracyclines, such as doxorubicin (DXR), cause serious cardiac side-effects (Singal et al. 1997). Acute tachyarrhythmias and acute heart failure may occur after high doses, but these reactions are now rare due to changed dosage-schemes (e.g. slower infusion) with the aim to prevent this. However, the sub-acute or chronic cardiac effects of anthracyclines remain a clinical problem. Clinically, anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity manifests itself as left ventricular failure which develops insidiously over months to years after completion of the anthracyclin-based chemotherapy and may result in congestive heart failure (CHF) (Shan et al. 1996; Minotti et al. 2004).

Recent studies suggest an incidence of this type of cardiotoxicity of 5% in doses up to 400 mg/m² increasing to 48% in subjects receiving 700 mg/m² (Swain et al. 2003). But even at doses up to 150 mg/m² CHF was occasionally reported (Swain et al. 2003). In addition to the cumulative
dose, age, gender and dosing schedule have been reported as independent risk factors (Von Hoff et al. 1979).

The mechanism of anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity is not totally unravelled. It is likely that the decline in myocardial function is related to apoptosis of cardiac myocytes that occurs apparently at random in the myocardium (Thorburn and Frankel 2006). Anthracyclin-induced formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the presence of intracellular iron, impaired homeostasis of intracellular iron and calcium (that may facilitate the apoptosis induced by the ROS) have been put forward as mechanisms. However, other possible mechanisms have been suggested and it is likely that anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity develops as a result of a large number of different insults (Minotti et al. 2004).

It is generally acknowledged that anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity becomes evident after completion of the chemotherapy. The gold standards to detect anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity are cardiac imaging techniques or myocardial biopsy. However, these methods have either the disadvantage that cardiotoxicity is detected late, namely when decline in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) already has occurred (imaging techniques) or that it is highly invasive and based on the assumption that the damage is equally distributed over the myocardium (biopsy).

Animal studies have shown that anthracyclin-induced apoptosis can occur already after a single dose (Bennink et al. 2004; Kumar et al. 2001; Arola et al. 2000). This is line with the finding in humans that even at low cumulative doses cardiotoxicity have been reported (Swain et al. 2003). If this could be measured and confirmed in humans, it might be possible to detect anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity in an early stage. Unfortunately, the assessment of apoptosis itself in humans is difficult, but it can be hypothesised that the cell loss in the heart may be detected indirectly. It is conceivable that cardiac damage results in leakage of cardiac enzymes into the circulation, conduction disturbances and that the loss of function will be compensated by autocrine cardiac mechanisms. Indeed, it has been reported that elevated concentrations of troponin, prolongation of QT-interval and increased levels of natriuretic peptides are associated with anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity after completion of chemotherapy (Meinardi et al. 2001; Daugaard et al. 2005; Kilickap et al. 2005; Cardinale et al. 2004). However, little information is available for the course-to-course effects of anthracyclines on these markers and thus they are not used to assess the early effects of anthracyclines on the heart. Additionally, the evaluation of interventions or treatments designed to prevent the damage requires a robust early and preferably quantitative marker of the damage. Hence, there is a need for biomarkers that can be used to detect early anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity. Therefore a study was performed to evaluate non-invasive markers of anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity, with emphasis on course-to-course variation during four subsequent chemotherapy courses.

### Materials and methods

#### Study population

The study was carried out in 26 patients with various malignancies who received DXR as chemotherapy, in combination with other chemotherapeutics (etoposide, vincristine, ifosfamide, actinomycin, docetaxel, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil, cisplatin) and a group of healthy controls. One patient received epirubicin; for this patient the epirubicin dose was converted to the equivalent DXR-dose with the commonly used conversion factor of 0.5 (Launchbury and Habboubi 1993; Ewer and Benjamin 1996).

Eligible for study participation were patients who had previously (within 6 months) completed at least three cycles of anthracyclin-containing chemotherapy \((n = 14)\) and patients who were anthracyclin-naive and who were scheduled to receive DXR-containing chemotherapy \((n = 12)\). Seven patients in this last group also completed at least three cycles and were available for follow-up assessments; thus a total population of 21 patients completed at least three courses ANT-chemotherapy. Of the anthracyclin-naive group the majority \((n = 9)\) received DXR combined with cyclophosphamide for breast cancer. Two patients were treated with DXR and cisplatin for a carcinoma of the endometrium and osteosarcoma, respectively, and one patient received DXR in combination with vincristine, etoposide and ifosfamide for an Ewing sarcoma. The median number of courses of this subpopulation was 4; four patients received more courses, namely 5, 6 and 8 courses, respectively.

Patients and volunteers with known cardiac abnormalities, e.g. symptoms of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction or other myocardial abnormalities, or receiving other drugs with a known or suspected cardiotoxic potential and patients with clinically significant abnormalities, other than those related to their malignancy, were excluded from the study. Subjects did not use any QT-prolonging agents, except for 5-HT3-receptorantagonists for the prevention of nausea. Especially patients with an impaired renal function, haemoglobin level below 5 mmol/L or other clinically significant laboratory abnormalities other than those possibly related to their disease were excluded. A summary of the demographics of the patients and the volunteers is given in Table 1.

The Medical Ethics Committee of Leiden University Medical Center (LUMC) approved the protocol for this
observational study. All patients were included after giving written informed consent to participate.

Study outline

For each patient assessment consisted of blood sampling and ECG-recording within 6 months after completion of the chemotherapy. In the subpopulation of anthracyclin-naïve patients \((n = 12)\) measurements were made at each chemotherapy course. For comparability only data from the first four courses were used in the analysis. At each of these assessments blood sampling and ECG recording were done before \((t = 0)\), at completion of the chemotherapy infusion \((t = 4)\) and at 24 h \((t = 24)\) after the drug administration. For the controls a single assessment was done.

Medication

All chemotherapy was prepared by the pharmacy of LUMC according to the applicable guidelines. The median total volume load for the anthracyclin-naïve subpopulation during the courses was 250 mL over 2 h.

Sample handling and assays

Blood samples were centrifuged immediately after collection and serum/plasma was stored at \(-40^\circ\) until analysis. The samples were analysed for cardiac troponin T (cTnT), the mass concentration of creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB mass), atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and the N-terminal propeptide of B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). Each individual assay was performed batchwise to avoid interassay and interindividual variability using automated and validated assays at the Central Laboratories for Clinical Chemistry of LUMC. The concentrations of cTnT and NT-proBNP in serum were determined using an automated electrochemiluminescence immunoassay on a Modular E170 Immunoanalysier (ECLIA, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Lower limits of detection and CV’s were 0.01 ng/mL and 2.6–5.6% for cTnT and 5 ng/L and 2.3–3.2% for NT-proBNP. CK-MB (mass) was analysed in serum using an automated analyser IMx with the kit provided by the manufacturer (Abbott Diagnostics, IL, USA; detection limit 0.7 mg/L). Concentration of ANP was determined using an immunoextraction (RIA) with a C-terminal-specific antiserum (Incstar, Stillwater, MN, USA; lower limit of detection 0.1 pmol/L, CV: 6.8%–8.9%) as previously described (Wondergem et al. 2001). In addition haemoglobin, electrolytes and creatinine concentrations were measured using routine methodology at the central laboratories for clinical chemistry of LUMC.

Renal function

Glomerular filtration rate was estimated using the MDRD formula: \(\text{GFR}_{\text{estimate}} (\text{mL/min}) = 32,788 \times \text{creatinine} (\mu\text{mol/L})^{-1.154} \times \text{age}^{-0.203} \times \text{constant} (1 \text{ for males, 0.742 for females}) \) (Levey et al. 1999).

ECG recordings and analysis

For each patient a 5-min ECG recording was made using the CardioPerfect device (Welch Allyn, Delft, The Netherlands). Heart rate (HR) and QT-interval were measured using the software supplied with the device. Care was taken to optimally assess the duration of the QT-interval as it has been reported that in 10–15% of cases ECG’s fiducial points (e.g. P-onset, QRS-onset and end of T-wave) are not measured correctly by automated computer programs. Therefore the ECG recordings were additionally analysed after fiducial segment averaging (FSA) to obtain heart rate and QT-interval. This analysis was done using Intraval (Advanced Medical Systems, Maasdam, the Netherlands) (Ritsema van Eck. 2002).

For both analyses correction of the QT-interval for heart rate was done using Bazett’s formula \(\text{QTcB} = \frac{\text{QT}}{\sqrt{\text{RR}}}\) and using the linear correction method according to Framingham \(\text{QTcL} = \text{QT} + 0.154 \times (1 - \text{RR})\).
Statistics

Data from all patients were compared to the data obtained in the group of controls. The analysis of variance was conducted with factors group and gender and BMI and age as covariate, contrasts between the two groups were calculated along with 95% confidence intervals and least square mean estimates.

Data from the subpopulation of anthracyclın-naive patients were analysed for changes occurring during the course (measurements at t = 0, 4 and 24) and whether there was a difference between courses. Two analyses were performed (Singal et al. 1997) analysis of variance with (within subject) factors time (0, 4 and 24), course and time by course using the data in original measurement units and (Shan et al. 1996) analysis of variance on change from baseline with baseline (t = 0) as covariate, with (within subject) factors time (4 and 24), course and time by course. Least square means were calculated for the different course/time point combinations along with 95% confidence intervals.

In order to quantify the overall (average) time effect, the average change from baseline averaged over the four courses for the t = 4 and 24 time point with 95% confidence interval was calculated within the ANOVA model.

All parameters, except for the ECG measurements, were log transformed prior to analysis to realise a normal distribution of the data and meet the requirements for ANOVA. In case of log transformation results were back-transformed resulting in geometric means and geometric mean ratios (for the contrasts and change from baseline). Geometric mean ratios were further translated into percentage change along with their 95% confidence intervals. Correlation analysis was performed on several biomarkers using regression analysis. All calculations were performed using SAS V9.1.2 (SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Biochemical markers

Patients who completed chemotherapy had 277% (n = 21; 95% CI: 86–661%, P < 0.001) higher NT-proBNP levels in comparison to healthy volunteers (n = 14).

For the subpopulation of patients who were also followed during chemotherapy it was first investigated whether difference in volume loading resulted in different NT-proBNP responses. As this was not the case the data are given for the entire group. In these anthracyclin-naive patients, the estimated increase in NT-proBNP was 269% (n = 12; 167–409%, P < 0.0001) at 24 h after the first DXR-course. Directly after completion of the DXR-infusion no increment was observed (Fig. 1). Similar two to threefold increases were found after the second, third and fourth course of DXR-therapy. NT-proBNP levels had returned to baseline before the start of each subsequent DXR course. CK-MB and ANP showed no differences between the groups, although there was a trend towards an increased ANP in the group of patients who already completed chemotherapy (n = 21) (Table 2). Renal function (estimated using the MDRD formula) was stable during the four courses and none of the subjects experienced clinical significant electrolyte abnormalities during the courses.

Anthracyclin-naive patients had higher ANP and NT-proBNP than the healthy controls (n = 14) by 140.8% (n = 12; 19.4–385.6%, P < 0.02), 113.1% (n = 12; 8.0–320.1%, P < 0.04), respectively.

cTnT concentrations were below the limit of detection in most cases and not further analysed for this reason.

In additional analyses the percentage change from baseline was calculated for all courses together (Table 3). Rises of 238% (n = 12; 149–358%, P < 0.0001), 44% (n = 12; 4–101%, P < 0.04), 26% (n = 12; 4–52%, P < 0.02) for NT-proBNP, ANP and CK-MB were found at 24 h.

There was no correlation between CK-MB on one hand and ANP and NT-proBNP.

ECG

No differences in HR and (corrected) QT-time could be observed when the patients who had completed the chemotherapy (n = 21) were compared with the healthy volunteers (n = 14) (Table 2). However there was a trend to a slightly prolonged QTc, corrected according to Bazett.

During each chemotherapy course (corrected) QT-time was prolonged immediately after completion of a course and remained so at 24 h after the DXR-course. As an exam-

![Fig. 1 NT-proBNP, mean serum concentration (standard deviation) at baseline, 4 and 24 h in 12 patients who were sampled during each course of anthracyclin-containing chemotherapy](image-url)
ple: during the first course QTc (using a linear correction method) increased by 9.56 ms (n = 12; 3.85–15.27, P ≤ 0.001) at completion and was increased by 11.48 ms (n = 12; 5.61–17.34, P ≤ 0.0001) at 24 h. Similar prolongations were observed during the subsequent courses. Heart rate did not change during the courses (Fig. 2). In the additional ECG-analyses using FSA, similar results were found.

Discussion

In the present study several markers that may be indicative for cardiac damage after DXR administration were investigated. The most important findings of this study are the observed change in NT-proBNP and prolonged QTc-intervals. This study indicates that at 24 h after each course of anthracyclin chemotherapy as well as after completion of a full chemotherapy regimen, significant increases in serum NT-proBNP are observed. Furthermore, the (corrected) QT-interval was prolonged with each course (course-to-course prolongation).

NT-proBNP is cleaved from proBNP when it is converted into active BNP upon secretion. It is secreted equimolarly with BNP by the ventricle wall mainly in response to wall stretch and its secretion may be enhanced by catecholamine’s, angiotensin II, endothelin and hypoxia (Hall

Table 2 (Bio)markers and the differences between patients receiving at least three courses of anthracyclin-containing chemotherapy and healthy controls

| Variable                  | Controls (n = 14) | Patients (n = 21) | Patients versus controls | 95%-Confidence interval | P value |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| ANP (pmol/L)              | 11.3             | 20.7              | 82.9%                    | –0.2%                   | 235.0%  | 0.05   |
| CK-MB mass (mg/L)         | 1.1              | 0.9               | –15.7%                   | –48.1%                  | 37.0%   | 0.48   |
| NT-proBNP (ng/L)          | 39.5             | 148.9             | 276.6%                   | 86.3%                   | 661.4%  | <0.001 |
| HR (bpm)                  | 67               | 71                | 4.20                     | –1.95                   | 10.36   | 0.17   |
| QT (ms)                   | 400              | 400               | –0.31                    | –18.1                   | 17.47   | 0.97   |
| QTc Bazett (ms)           | 420              | 433               | 13.05                    | –0.36                   | 26.46   | 0.06   |
| QTc linear (ms)           | 414              | 422               | 8.22                     | –2.68                   | 19.13   | 0.13   |

Average values of biochemical markers and ECG parameters and contrasts between anthracyclin-chemotherapy completers and controls, including 95%-confidence intervals and P values (for the biochemical markers contrasts are represented in percentage differences, for ECG parameters results are shown in absolute differences)

ANP atrial natriuretic peptide, CK-MB creatine kinase isoenzyme MB, NT-proBNP N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, HR heartrate, QT QT-interval, QTc Bazett QT interval corrected according to Bazett, QTc linear QT interval corrected according to Framingham’s linear correction method

Averages are estimated, as MIXED model analysis was used to correct for predose and missing values

Table 3 Summary table change from baseline (average of all four courses)

| Variable                  | Change 4h | 95%-Confidence interval | P value | Change 24h | 95%-Confidence interval | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
| ANP (pmol/L)              | 38.1%     | –0.3%                   | 91.3%   | 0.05       | 44.3%                   | 3.6%    | 0.03   |
| CK-MB mass (mg/L)         | –2.2%     | –18.6%                  | 17.6%   | 0.80       | 26.1%                   | 4.4%    | 0.02   |
| NT-proBNP (ng/L)          | 18.0%     | –14.6%                  | 63.0%   | 0.30       | 237.9%                  | 149.4%  | <0.001 |
| HR (bpm)                  | –0.29     | –3.37                   | 2.79    | 0.85       | 1.46                    | –1.67   | 4.60   |
| QT (ms)                   | 10.95     | 3.91                    | 17.98   | 0.004      | 10.96                   | 3.77    | 18.15  |
| QTc Bazett (ms)           | 10.79     | 4.70                    | 16.88   | 0.002      | 15.82                   | 9.66    | 21.97  |
| QTc linear (ms)           | 10.04     | 5.39                    | 14.69   | <0.001     | 13.13                   | 8.40    | 17.85  |

Average change (for all four courses) from baseline, 95%-confidence intervals and P values (for the biochemical markers results represent percentage change, for ECG parameters results are shown in absolute changes)

ANP atrial natriuretic peptide, CK-MB creatine kinase isoenzyme MB, NT-proBNP N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide, HR heartrate, QT QT-interval, QTc Bazett QT interval corrected according to Bazett, QTc linear QT interval corrected according to Framingham’s linear correction method

Averages are estimated, as MIXED model analysis was used to correct for predose and missing values
NT-proBNP is a well-established marker for CHF (Weber and Hamm 2006). Elevated levels of NT-proBNP (and BNP) are most notably associated with CHF, but also with other cardiac conditions (Silver et al. 2004). It is also known that concomitant kidney failure results in more pronounced elevations of NT-proBNP, especially in patients with an ejection fraction below 35% (Luchner et al. 2005; Masson et al. 2006). As all included patients had a stable renal function during the study period, this could not explain the elevations of NT-proBNP in our study.

Elevation of concentrations of natriuretic peptides associated with previous exposure to anthracyclines has been described before, but this is mostly reported after completion of chemotherapy (Meinardi et al. 2001; Daugaard et al. 2005; Suzuki et al. 1998). An important additional finding of this study is that NT-proBNP is elevated relatively rapidly after exposure to anthracyclines. This effect was present for each individual subject, during each subsequent chemotherapy cycle. Therefore, we assume that DXR causes sufficient myocardial wall stress or neurohumoral responses on catecholamines or angiotensin to result in acutely elevated concentrations of NT-proBNP. This effect takes some time to develop as the NT-proBNP concentrations were unchanged immediately after the courses ($t = 4$ h). However, elevated concentrations were invariably found in each patient at the 24 h time point. Although it cannot totally be excluded that the increases in NT-proBNP are (partly) caused by volume loading, this is highly unlikely as the infused volumes were low.

Additionally, the increase in NT-proBNP was transient as levels had returned to baseline at the subsequent course. This temporal relationship suggests that the initial effects of DXR on the heart are at least partly reversible during its first phases or compensated for by other mechanisms. This is plausible as animal studies indicated that the number of apoptotic cardiomyocytes after DXR show a biphasic pattern (Kumar et al. 2001). It is also in keeping with the notion that in clinical practice overt anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity develops after repeated exposure and failure of compensatory mechanisms (Minotti et al. 2004).

When all courses were taken together, an increase in ANP and CK-MB occurred after DXR. This effect could not be demonstrated for each individual patient-course combination, most likely because the increase in ANP var-
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ied between individuals and the study population was small. Furthermore cTnT level stayed below the detection limit in most cases. Both cTnT and CK-MB are widely used to assess myocardial injury in different clinical conditions (Malasky and Alpert 2002). There are conflicting data in the literature with regard to cTnT (Kilikap et al. 2005; Cardinale et al. 2004; Kismet et al. 2004; Fink et al. 1995; Lipshultz et al. 1997). A possible explanation for this discrepancy may be that many of the studies that failed to show an effect were small.

A surprising finding was that NT-proBNP and ANP were higher in the group of patients prior to anthracyclin-therapy when compared to healthy volunteers. Missov et al. (1997) reported comparable results for cardiac Troponin I in patients with hematologic malignancies prior to anthracyclin-therapy. They suggested that patients with malignancies could experience some myocardial wall stress because of increased catecholamine release and an elevated sympathetic drive associated with anaemia and reflex tachycardia. However, our patients had normal haemoglobin concentrations, were normotensive, and had a normal heart rate, making this explanation for our population unlikely.

We also found significant prolongations of the QT-interval immediately after and at 24 h after each chemotherapy cycle. As it has been shown that in 10–15% of cases ECG’s fiducial points (e.g. P-onset, QRS-onset and end of T-wave) are not measured correctly by automated computer programs, the analysis of QT-intervals was performed using two methods which basically showed identical results (Ritsema van Eck 2002). The mechanism for the prolonged repolarisation of the heart after DXR-administration is unclear. Hypothetically, it may be a direct effect of DXR on cardiac repolarisation or the outcome of the loss of cardiomyocytes. The first explanation is supported by the observation that DXR is associated with acute arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death; however, there are no reports of DXR being a QT-prolonging drug. Although it is true that 5-HT3 receptor antagonists, which were used for the prevention of nausea, can prolong the QT-interval. However, QT-prolongations are present only very shortly after administration (up to 20 min) and QT-intervals even decline thereafter (Charbit et al. 2005). Therefore 5-HT3 receptor antagonists cannot be responsible for the changes in QT-interval we observed at 4 and 24 h after the chemotherapy. The second explanation which links QT-interval prolongation to myocardial cell death may be more plausible. Whatever the cause of the QT-interval prolongation, it is certain that prolonged QT-intervals are linked to increased mortality and a variety of cardiac disorders, including heart failure (Brooksby et al. 1999).

Although our exploratory study may have identified promising markers to assess the course-to-course effects of DXR on the heart, it is important to also stress the limitations of this study. Obviously, the findings reported here should not be considered synonymous with anthracyclin-induced cardiotoxicity, because no information is available to relate our findings to a measure of left ventricular function. However, it is unlikely that during the observation period of this study signs of ventricular dysfunction would have been detected as it is known that for the majority of the patients this develops over a longer period. Secondly, the patients included in this study received different dosing regimens and cytostatic drug combinations, which may have influenced the findings in this small cohort of patients. At present there is no indication that this occurred, but our group was probably too small to find such differences would they have been present.

In conclusion, the data indicate that NT-proBNP and the QT-interval are sensitive markers for the early detection of the course-to-course cardiac effects of DXR. Further research is needed to prospectively establish how the early changes in these markers relate to left ventricular dysfunction.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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