Impact of Meditation–Based Lifestyle Modification on HRV in Outpatients With Mild to Moderate Depression: An Exploratory Study
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Background: The scientific evaluation of mind-body-interventions (MBI), including yoga and meditation, has increased significantly in recent decades. However, evidence of MBI’s efficacy on biological parameters is still insufficient.

Objectives: In this study, we used HRV analysis to evaluate a novel MBI as a treatment of outpatients with mild to moderate depressive disorder. The Meditation-Based Lifestyle Modification (MBLM) program incorporates all major elements of classical yoga, including ethical principles of yoga philosophy, breathing exercises, postural yoga, and meditation.

Methods: In this exploratory randomized controlled trial, we compared the changes in HRV indices of a MBLM group (N = 22) and a minimal treatment group (MINIMAL, drugs only, N = 17) with those of a multimodal treatment-as-usual group (TAU, according to best clinical practice, N = 22). Electrocardiogram (ECG) recordings were derived from a Holter monitoring device, and HRV indices have been extracted from nearly stationary 20-min periods.

Results: Short-term HRV analysis revealed statistically significant differences in the pre-to-post changes between MBLM and TAU. In particular, the vagal tone mediating RMSSD and the Rényi entropy of symbolic dynamics indicated HRV gains in MBLM participants compared with TAU. Almost no alterations were observed in the MINIMAL group.

Conclusions: Our results suggest a benefit in selected HRV parameters for outpatients with mild to moderate depression participating in the MBLM program. For further investigations, we propose analysis of complete 24-h HRV recordings and additional continuous pulse wave or blood pressure analysis to assess long-term modulations and cardiovascular effects.
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INTRODUCTION

As an easy to obtain and non–invasive method to determine the regulative capacities of the autonomous nervous system (ANS), the assessment of heart rate variability (HRV) has been established to investigate different types of stress-related cardiovascular (dys-) functions as well as mental disorders. Particularly in the last decade, the field of studies examining the effects of various mind-body-interventions (MBI) on HRV has grown. These involved studies on yoga (1–3) as well as mindfulness and other meditative interventions (4–8).

HRV can be interpreted as a marker of sympatho-vagal balance and/or imbalance of the ANS. Typically, a higher HRV at rest stands for better health and adaptability of the body to various types of stressors. In contrast, it can be impaired by disease or chronic stress, and acts as a predictive marker for the risk of further diseases (9–12). These include primarily somatic conditions like cardiovascular- or coronary heart diseases (13–20), and inflammatory processes related to the immune system (17, 21–23). But also, psychological disorders like burnout, anxiety, or depression (24–26) are correlated with a compromised HRV. Vice versa, an improvement in HRV represents an indicator of an improvement in physical and/or mental health.

Regarding the influence of yoga on HRV, some positive effects were found in systematic reviews and meta-analyses, but with the limitation of an overall insufficient study quality and partly contradictory results (1, 3). A recent meta-analysis on mindfulness and meditation concluded that there is currently insufficient evidence to indicate that MBIs lead to improvements in HRV over control conditions (8). Still, MBIs are increasingly used in the treatment of depression and HRV has been suggested as an indicator of success (27) or predictor of the outcome in depression treatment (28). HRV has also been investigated as a biofeedback treatment to alleviate symptoms of patients with Major Depression Disorder (29).

Beyond the use of HRV as a clinical biomarker of depression, HRV (among others) has been proposed as an important index of central-peripheral integration and homeostasis, elucidating the potentially underlying mechanisms of MBIs in general. Taylor, Goehler (30) propose an integrative framework for psychophysiological research based on the interactions of neurocognitive top-down and neurophysiological bottom-up processes that contribute to both mental and physical health in MBIs. In more specific frameworks of self-regulation, these principles of interacting top-down and bottom-up processes were applied to classical yoga, which comprises ethics, postures, breath regulation and meditation (31).

The Meditation-Based Lifestyle Modification (MBLM) program (32) is a second-generation MBI, that is particularly representative of classical yoga. Unlike most MBIs, the program includes not only postural yoga, breathing exercises and/or meditation, but particularly the ethical principles of yoga philosophy. In terms of the aforementioned frameworks, the program equally serves neurocognitive and neurophysiological processes for eudaimonic wellbeing. The program has been shown to be feasible and effective for depressive outpatients (33, 34). In healthy individuals participating in the MBLM program, incremental and differential effects of practicing various components of the program could be seen, supporting the relevance of multilayered processes as described above (35).

In the present, exploratory analysis, we evaluate the effects of MBLM on alterations of HRV indices in depressive outpatients. The aim was to assess whether the MBLM program, as an integrated approach to the treatment of depression, results in an improvement of autonomic regulation compared to two reference groups (treatment as usual and minimal treatment).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

All procedures performed in the study involving human participants were approved by the Ethics Review Board of Chemnitz University of Technology (V-276-15-PS-MBLM-D-14062018). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects participating in the study before they were randomly assigned to treatment groups. They were informed about their assignment after randomization.

Study Design

This study was designed as an exploratory three-arm, randomized controlled trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03652220) to investigate measurable effects on participant’s HRV parameters with respect to 8 weeks of different treatment conditions for patients with mild to moderate depression. It was conducted at the Clinic for Psychiatry, Psychosomatics, and Psychotherapy, Zschadraß, Germany from July 2018 through June 2020. Prior to the interventions, the participants were randomly assigned to one of the three groups: intervention group (MBLM), minimal treatment group (MINIMAL) or treatment as usual (TAU). All participants conducted mobile 24-h electrocardiogram (ECG) measurements prior to the interventions and after 8 weeks.

Participants

The recruitment of non-stationary patients was realized via flyers posted in the waiting room of the outpatient department at the psychiatric clinic and via their attending psychiatrist. The eligibility criteria included outpatients who had been diagnosed with mild or moderate depression according to ICD-10 criteria, who achieved a total score of at least 10 points in the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (36) and who were at least 18 years old. An additional requirement for participating was being physically able to sit still for 20 min and to do gentle yoga exercises. Patients with self-reported addictive disorders, psychotic symptoms, acute suicidality, obsessive-compulsive disorder, cerebral organic diseases, or disrupted circadian control of heart rate were excluded. The randomization into the three groups was implemented by a software-based minimization algorithm (37, 38) to control for equal group sizes and homogeneous subpopulations in terms of age, gender, BDI-II scores, adverse childhood events and recurrence of depression. Of the N = 79 participants, data of 18 patients had to be discarded due to drop-out or insufficient signal quality of the recordings.
Assessed for eligibility (n = 152)

Declined to participate (n = 52)
- No interest in study (n = 24)
- Scheduling conflicts (n = 28)

Excluded (n = 19)
- BDII < 10 (n = 4)
- Physically not able (n = 3)
- Exclusion diagnosis (n = 14)

Randomly assigned (n = 79)

MINIMAL (n = 27)

MBLM (n = 25)

TAU (n = 27)

Incomplete pre-post data
- Poor signal quality (n = 6)
- Incomplete recording (n = 1)
- Lost for follow-up (n = 3)

Incomplete pre-post data
- Poor signal quality (n = 1)
- Incomplete recording (n = 1)
- Lost for follow-up (n = 2)

Incomplete pre-post data
- Poor signal quality (n = 3)
- Incomplete recording (n = 1)
- Lost for follow-up (n = 1)

Completed 8-week measurement (n = 17)

Completed 8-week measurement (n = 22)

Completed 8-week measurement (n = 22)

---

**FIGURE 1** | Participant flow.

**TABLE 1** | Participant information.

| Label                  | Levels | Groups          |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------|
|                        |        | MBLM            | MINIMAL       | TAU            |
| Age                    | Mean (SD) | 49.1 (11.1) | 51.0 (12.7) | 45.0 (11.1) |
| Gender                 | Female | 21 (77.8) | 21 (77.8) | 23 (85.2) |
|                        | Male   | 6 (22.2) | 6 (22.2) | 4 (14.8) |
| Cigarettes per day     | Mean (SD) | 2.7 (8.0) | 6.0 (7.7) | 4.2 (6.8) |
| Alcoholic bev. per week| Mean (SD) | 1.1 (1.6) | 1.8 (3.3) | 1.2 (1.8) |
| Depression type        | First episode | 10 (37.0) | 11 (40.7) | 10 (37.0) |
|                        | Recurrent episodes | 17 (63.0) | 16 (59.3) | 17 (63.0) |
| Years since first episode | Mean (SD) | 6.4 (7.9) | 8.2 (8.1) | 10.1 (8.5) |
| ACE ≥ 4                | No     | 19 (73.1) | 19 (76.0) | 17 (73.9) |
|                        | Yes    | 7 (26.9) | 6 (24.0) | 6 (26.1) |
| Months of psychotherapy| Mean (SD) | 25.1 (27.0) | 24.1 (29.1) | 30.4 (29.7) |

ACE, Adverse Childhood Events; MBLM, Meditation Based Lifestyle Modification; MINIMAL, Drug continuation therapy; TAU, Treatment as usual.

(For details, see Figure 1). Some demographic and additional information is given in Table 1.

**Treatment Conditions**

During the eight-week intervention all participants continued their antidepressant medication, if any. In addition, participants were subjected to different treatment protocols and conditions depending on their respective group, which are described below.

Meditation Based Lifestyle Modification (MBLM)

MBLM is a novel mind-body program based on the eight-fold path of classical yoga (32, 34). Participants took part in an eight-week intervention including three domains of practice: ethical living, healthy lifestyle, and mantra meditation. Each of the eight sequential, weekly group sessions of 180 min and additional 45 min of daily home practice involved these three domains. As part of the ethical living domain, the participants learned major
aspects of yoga practice regarding virtue-based ethics, e.g., non-violence, truthfulness etc. The second domain, healthy lifestyle, included breathing techniques and gentle yoga exercises as well as some basic advice on healthy lifestyle according to Traditional Indian Medicine (e.g., biorhythm and nutrition). In the third domain, silent mantra meditation was practiced in the group, where the participants recited a mantra inwardly, which was chosen from a list as part of an introductory session prior to the MBLM program. An overview of the MBLM concept is given in the (Supplementary Figure S1).

Drug Continuation Therapy (MINIMAL)
Patients in the MINIMAL group continued existing antidepressant medication and received at least one appointment with their attending psychiatrist. Participants in the MINIMAL group did not receive any additional therapies.

Treatment as Usual (TAU)
In the TAU group, the participants underwent an individualized multimodal therapy approach for patients with mild to moderate depressive disorders. According to best clinical practice based on the national guidelines for the treatment of unipolar depression [S3-Leitlinie; (39)], this treatment included an individually tailored psychiatric and psychotherapeutic treatment, as well as accompanying therapies conveyed by specially trained nurses, movement therapists, occupational therapists, and social workers. Mind-body therapies like yoga, mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR), or mantra meditation, were excluded from the participant's treatment plan during the study because of their similarity to MBLM.

Data Acquisition
To analyze the effects on all participant's HRV and to compare the outcome of the three different groups, 24-h continuous ECG recordings were performed prior and after end of the eight–week intervention. This was conducted via a mobile ECG device of type “eMotion Faros 180”, which was applied by trained staff at the outpatient department and then carried by the participant during daily routine for 24 h. Recordings were saved as European Data Format (EDF) files containing a single-channel ECG at 1 kHz, a three-axis accelerometer at 100 Hz as well as a HRV channel with beat-to-beat intervals (RR) at 4 Hz.

Pre-processing
Before computation and analysis of HRV indices, some pre-processing procedures using MathWorks MATLAB (Version R2021a) were performed to ensure suitable signal states.

In a first step, the complete 24-h ECG recording was trimmed to a daytime window and the corresponding RR time series detected from the ECG signal was stored to a separate file. For peak detection in this first stage, a wide time window of several h (during the daytime of recording) was used. More specifically, we generally declared 0–5 am as night and took the part before or after night as day phase, depending on which part was longer on the specific 24-h recording. We also verified sleep and night phases via information derived from the device’s built-in accelerometer and manually adjusted timings if necessary. That means, we have reviewed and evaluated the synchronized movement signal for individual sleep phases. This also included a more detailed look at the morning and evening datetimes of each subject as well as potential sleeping during daytime. Subjects’ very early or late individual datetimes or sleep were not considered when selecting the final time window for analysis.

In a second step, we have applied a R-peak detection via a wavelet-based approach with additional post-correction for exact peak values. Initially, a suitable wavelet type replicating the shape of an ECG QRS complex was used to find the positions of all QRS within the given ECG signal (40). After that, the exact R-peak location nearby every found QRS position was determined. The RR interval series could then be derived from the obtained R-peak locations. The resulting RR series were then processed by adaptive filtering to correct the signal for ectopic or missed beats and other artifacts to provide normal-to-normal beat interval (NN) series. In the next step of preprocessing, the whole daytime NN series were trimmed to 20 min of nearly stationary signals reflecting comparable and stable variability at a resting condition for further short-term HRV analysis (9, 41). A combination of an automatic estimation algorithm based on mathematical equations and manual evaluation by visual inspection was used to find a suitable 20-min window of (trend-) stationarity with smooth and regular rhythm. At the same time, we visually examined the possible target windows for typical and representative NN values for a resting condition avoiding sleep, exercise, or stress. This has been done with respect to mean heart rate, avoiding minimum or maximum periods as well as strong changes in dynamics.

The variations within the obtained series of beat-to-beat intervals are referred as HRV and can be expressed in a variety of parameters in time- and frequency domains as well as symbolic and other non-linear dynamics.

During the pre-processing, a total of 10 cases have been discarded due insufficient signal. The artifacts and distortions were presumably caused mainly by movement of the participants or loosened fit of the recording device. This included cases with changes >5% of the total daytime window performed by the adaptive filter. However, some cases could be retained if most of the changes were not made within the targeted 20-min window and therefore the final relative proportion was <5%.

In this short-term HRV analysis we decided to focus on NN intervals from daytime. This offered us the opportunity to create a more comparable situation. During night phases, REM stages are more difficult to detect and eliminate. In addition, there were some cases of sleep disorders or at least restless sleep, which would have made it difficult to obtain a situation as homogeneous as possible for the evaluation. This would then have led to further case exclusions and thus reduced the statistical power.

Feature Extraction
The following seven HRV features where calculated based on the obtained 20 min NN series. The feature extraction process included methods from time- and frequency domains as well as symbolic and non-linear dynamics. These parameters represent
information describing the characteristics of the given NN time series and therefore serve as indicators of the participants' autonomic regulation at record time. As proposed by the Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology, the following standard HRV parameters were computed (9):

- meanNN — mean value of all NN-intervals in [ms]
- sdNN — standard deviation (sd) of all NN-intervals in [ms]
- sdaNN5 — standard deviation of the averages of NN-intervals in all 5-min segments in [ms]
- RMSSD — square root of the mean squared differences of successive NN-intervals in [ms]
- pNN50 — proportion derived by dividing the number of interval differences of successive NN-intervals > 50 ms by the total number of NN-intervals
- LF/HF — ratio of low frequency (0.04–0.15 Hz) and high frequency (0.15–0.4 Hz) power spectra estimates

Additionally, we calculated word probabilities as markers of symbolic dynamics of the HRV. This is an extension into another field of biosignal analysis and could contribute to a wider and more diverse HRV feature set across different domains. Symbolic dynamics as a non-linear approach might provide results not addressed by linear (time and frequency) methods. In the symbolic dynamics in HRV we define a set of characters from an alphabet of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SymDyn}} = [0–3]$ which form symbols and result in specific words. These words of three consecutively occurring symbols represent relations of each variation within the given NN time series: indicating rising, falling, or constant successive values. The derived word probabilities for all possible patterns describe the variation sequence. This is expressed as $pW000$, $pW001$ … $pW333$ with symbols “1” and “3” as measure for increased, and the symbols “0” and “2” for decreased variability. As a quantification of the NN-series’ complexity, the Rényi entropy can be stated as a summarizing index of the occurring word probabilities. In this case, the parameter $\text{SymDynRenyi}_4$ (with $\alpha = 4$) indicates the randomness and thus the diversity of distribution of the patterns in the present NN series as a measure of the non-linear dynamics of HRV (20, 42).

**Statistical Analysis**

To test the difference between the study groups regarding HRV outcomes, we performed a one-way multivariate analysis of variances (MANOVA) with group as between-subject factor (MBLM, TAU or MINIMAL) and the pre-post differences of the HRV parameters as dependent variables (meanNN, sdNN, sdaNN5, RMSSD, pNN50, LF/HF, and SymDynRenyi4). The statistical comparison was implemented in IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 27) via the GLM function (General Linear Model) at an alpha level of 0.05.

In addition to the multivariate results *post-hoc* tests based on a model fitting and observed data, respectively, were calculated with contrasts and pairwise comparisons in terms of estimated marginal means (EMMEANS) and multiple comparison testing (MCT). Differences between factor levels using the EMMEANS procedure were examined based on adjusted Sidak tests as a slightly less conservative method than the Bonferroni correction, but with better protection against Type-I errors than the Least Significant Difference (LSD). For *post-hoc* MCT, Dunnett-T adjustment was implemented for Type-I error protection, which combines a moderately conservative protection against Type-I errors with good statistical power. In all *post-hoc* tests, we compared MBLM and MINIMAL against TAU, as the latter was considered the standard treatment according to best clinical practice.

Finally, we calculated the effect size Cohen’s $d$ as a complementary measure of group differences. According to literature, we considered effect sizes as small ($d \geq 0.20$), medium ($d \geq 0.50$) and large ($d \geq 0.80$) (43).

**RESULTS**

The results of the one-way MANOVA showed no statistically significant group effect on the combined dependent variables, $F(14, 104) = 1.255, p = 0.249$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.144$, Wilk’s $\Lambda = 0.732$. That means, that there were no statistically significant differences across all three groups regarding all dependent variables in the multivariate model.

However, the pairwise comparisons based on EMMEANS revealed two (out of seven) statistically significant differences between MBLM and TAU. This applies to RMSSD, $p = 0.015$ ($M_{\text{Diff}} = 4.145, 95\%–\text{CI}[0.646, 7.644]$), and $\text{SymDynRenyi}_4$, $p = 0.021$ ($M_{\text{Diff}} = 0.24, 95\%–\text{CI}[0.029, 0.452]$), which indicates a specific partial distinction between MBLM and TAU (Table 2).

Similarly, the MCT resulted in three (out of seven) HRV statistically significant differences between MBLM and TAU, namely for meanNN, $p = 0.039$ ($M_{\text{Diff}} = 60.828, 95\%–\text{CI}[2.569, 119.086]$), RMSSD, $p = 0.010$ ($M_{\text{Diff}} = 4.145, 95\%–\text{CI}[913, 7377]$), and $\text{SymDynRenyi}_4$, $p = 0.013$ ($M_{\text{Diff}} = 0.240, 95\%–\text{CI}[0.435]$). Again, the detailed comparison directs to a specific partial distinction of MBLM and TAU (Table 3).

No significant differences between MINIMAL and TAU were found in both *post-hoc* analyses.

Effect sizes found in the aforementioned, statistically significant pairwise comparisons *via* EMMEANS and MCT supported the relevance of differences between TAU and MBLM with medium to large effects (see last column in Tables 2, 3).

Profile plots of the pairwise comparisons in EMMEANS of these parameters showed similar patterns. In the MINIMAL group, there was almost no change, in the TAU group we found a deterioration of the parameters, and in the MBLM group an improvement of HRV features (see Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In this exploratory study, we investigated the effects of the Meditation Based Lifestyle Modification program for depressive outpatients on pre-to-post differences in a range of standard HRV parameters and one symbolic dynamics parameter. Intervention effects were compared to effects of a treatment-as-usual protocol based on best clinical practice. Additionally, a group with minimal treatment served as a second comparison to the reference group.
TABLE 2 | Results of the model-based estimated marginal means–pairwise comparisons between the pre-to-post differences of the three groups MBLM, MIN, and TAU.

| Dependent variable | Group | Mean difference (I-J) | Std. error | Sig. | 95% Confidence interval for difference | Effect size Cohen's d |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| meanNN             | MBLM  | 60.828                | 25.653     | n.s. | −2.244 – 123.899                      | 0.75                 |
|                    | MIN   | 31.167                | 27.474     | n.s. | −36.383 – 98.717                      | 0.39                 |
|                    | TAU   | 25.653                |            |      | −8.070 – 10.033                       | 0.08                 |
| sdNN               | MBLM  | 4.011                 | 3.438      | n.s. | −4.440 – 12.463                       | 0.43                 |
|                    | MIN   | 0.981                 | 3.682      | n.s. | −8.070 – 10.033                       | 0.08                 |
|                    | TAU   | 3.438                 |            |      | −8.070 – 10.033                       | 0.08                 |
| sdaNN5             | MBLM  | 7.237                 | 4.293      | n.s. | −3.316 – 17.791                       | 0.56                 |
|                    | MIN   | −0.697                | 4.597      | n.s. | −12.000 – 10.606                      | −0.05                |
|                    | TAU   | 4.293                 |            |      | −8.070 – 10.033                       | 0.08                 |
| RMSSD              | MBLM  | 4.145                 | 1.423      | 0.015| 0.646 – 7.844                         | 1.05                 |
|                    | MIN   | 2.255                 | 1.524      | n.s. | −1.493 – 6.002                        | 0.41                 |
|                    | TAU   | 1.524                 |            |      | −1.493 – 6.002                        | 0.41                 |
| pNN50              | MBLM  | 0.013                 | 0.006      | n.s. | −0.002 – 0.028                        | 0.65                 |
|                    | MIN   | 0.008                 | 0.007      | n.s. | −0.008 – 0.024                        | 0.34                 |
|                    | TAU   | 0.006                 |            |      | −0.008 – 0.024                        | 0.34                 |
| LF/HF              | MBLM  | −0.074                | 1.477      | n.s. | −3.706 – 3.559                        | −0.01                |
|                    | MIN   | 0.387                 | 1.582      | n.s. | −3.504 – 4.277                        | 0.08                 |
|                    | TAU   | 1.582                 |            |      | −3.504 – 4.277                        | 0.08                 |
| SymDynRenyi4       | MBLM  | 0.240                 | 0.086      | 0.021| 0.029 – 0.452                         | 0.86                 |
|                    | MIN   | 0.086                 | 0.092      | n.s. | −0.091 – 0.381                        | 0.43                 |

The multivariate analysis of the pre-to-post changes revealed no statistically significant effect between the three groups. However, post-hoc tests showed statistically significant differences in the more detailed pairwise comparisons between MBLM and TAU with predominantly large effect sizes in those parameters. There were no significant differences between the MINIMAL group and TAU. The results of the EMMEANS (based on model-fitting) and the MCT (based on observed data) were very similar and thus support each other. This also applied to the effect sizes affirming the results of the GLM post-hoc tests. The visualization of the HRV indices validated these findings as well and indicated that the MINIMAL group is almost stagnant, while HRV parameters in the MBLM group increased and decreased in the TAU group.
FIGURE 2 | HRV changes (pre-to-post differences) within the three treatment groups. Plots from results of estimated marginal means with pre-to-post differences per group indicating improved HRV for Meditation Based Lifestyle Modification (MBLM), almost no changes for drug continuation therapy (MINIMAL treatment), and deterioration for treatment as usual (TAU); (A) Differences in meanNN (average beat-to-beat interval as negative pendant to average heart rate); (B) Differences in RMSSD (root mean square of successive beat-to-beat interval differences as measure for short-term or vagal activity); (C) Differences in symdynrenyi4 (Renyi4 entropy of symbolic dynamic patterns in the beat-to-beat interval series as measure for its complexity).

In detail, the statistically significant differences in the progress of RMSSD and SymDynRenyi4 give evidence of the improved HRV in MBLM and impaired HRV in TAU. The change in resting meanNN (average time between two heartbeats) was also statistically significant distinct between MBLM and TAU. A higher meanNN converts to a lower beats-per-min index at rest for the MBLM group compared to a faster beating heart in the outcome of TAU participants. This results in less stress on the heart and thus a healthier condition while resting in the MBLM group. Similarly, the directions of change in the non-significant parameters (sdNN, sdaNN5 and pNN50) showed the same trend. The only exception was the almost constant LF/HF parameter in all three groups. However, recent studies demonstrated that sympatho-vagal balance may not be accurately measured via the LF/HF ratio (44).

In terms of HRV, the RMSSD reflects the parasympathetic activity and therefore the adaptation capacity of the autonomic regulation. More specifically, the RMSSD is a measure for the short-term variations in the heart rate series and correlates to the high frequency (HF, 0.15-0.4 Hz) component in frequency domain (9). As mentioned, the parasympathetic (vagal) activity is the primary driver of this component and acts as antagonist to the sympathetic activity. An imbalance of these two parts of the autonomic nervous system or a predominant sympathetic activity (especially at resting condition) is seen in relation to several physiological and psychological diseases (9, 45). Therefore, an increased RMSSD attests an improved HRV and a potential benefit to personal health for the participants of MBLM compared to the ones of the TAU group. Regarding the Rényi entropy (with $\alpha = 4$) of the occurring symbolic patterns in the NN series (SymDynRenyi4), higher values admit more diversity and thus enhanced HRV for MBLM against the impaired HRV for TAU. Again, this leads to a potential advantage in overall health for MBLM participants.

While the improvements for the participants in the intervention group and the almost unchanged parameters for participants in the minimal treatment group was in line with our expectations, the deterioration of HRV parameters found in the multimodal treatment group were unexpected. One of the reasons for this could be that the standard treatment caused more stress to patients in general than the MBLM program, which is based on positive psychology and aimed at achieving greater harmonization. In a qualitative analysis of the MBLM program compared to standard treatment, evidence was found that the
MBLM program was subjectively experienced as more coherent and more tailored to individual needs than the standard therapy (33), indicating a source of stress that might have influenced HRV parameters—at least during the first 8 weeks of therapy.

In general, there is broad agreement that MBIs using techniques such as mindfulness, yoga, or meditation contribute to better health and treatment outcomes for depression (46–48). Taking HRV into account, a direct comparison to other studies and literature is quite difficult as we present results of a new approach in treatment of depressive disorders with the novel MBLM program which combines mind-body techniques like yoga and meditation with (positive) psychotherapy. Additionally, our study focused on the treatment comparison of three groups based on their pre-to-post differences in HRV indices. We therefore did not investigate HRV changes over time within the individual groups. In other words, our statistical analysis was set up to consider group effects rather than time effects. When considering the sign and absolute value of our HRV differences, we may estimate the direction of changes and draw a loose comparison. That way, we see some similarities to a study by Shapiro et al. (49), which reports a significant reduction in LF component after a yoga intervention program for depressive patients while HF and the LF/HF ratio remained unchanged. In our study, we could confirm the results for unaffected LF/HF in all three groups (data not shown). Concerning the unchanged HF, which is a correlative parameter to RMSSD as both reflect the vagal activity (in parasympathetic nervous system), our results differ somewhat. Due to increases in the MBLM group and decreases in the TAU group, the RMSSD difference in our comparison is significant. These pre-post changes are shown in Figure 2. A systematic review on the effects of MBIs on HRV in different populations by Zou et al. (1) stated significantly decreased normalized LF (LFn) and increased normalized HF (HFn) as well as reduced LF/HF ratio due to Tai Chi or yoga interventions. Concerning the LF/HF findings, they found no significant difference in the effect of the MBI between healthy and clinical populations (including depressive symptoms). Furthermore, a yoga-meditation intervention for depressive women resulted in increased HF and decreased LF as well as LF/HF HRV indices compared to no changes in a control group (50). These findings may support those of Zou et al. (1), and we can at least confirm the improved vagal activity (reflected by HF-HRV) with the increased RMSSD values in our study. But our results do not support the finding of decreased LF/HR balance due to our MBLM treatment program. On the other hand a study evaluating a cognitive behavioral therapy program combining mindfulness and yoga methods on posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms (including depression) found no pre-post changes in vagal activity reflected by HF-HRV or RMSSD (51). Again, in contrast, our results instead tend to suggest an improvement in vagally mediated RMSSD. However, in all of these comparisons, it should be noted that subjects and treatments differ. Moreover, the mentioned studies show an inconsistency in their findings on frequency HRV parameters and a lack of additional time domain or non-linear HRV indices. Additionally, we examined the treatment effect on HRV only for specific subjects with mild to moderate depression and not for major depression patients or healthy populations. Furthermore, the reported studies in the meta-analysis utilized HRV measurements between 5 and 20 min, which could make a difference for comparison. Studies did report on filtering methods and adjustment for ectopic beats or artifacts, but not on the condition of stationarity of the investigated tachograms. Many HRV indices, especially frequency domain analysis based on Fast Fourier Transformation is known to be sensitive to artifact distortions and non–stationarities (9, 41). Due to a controlled randomization process, we exclude gender dependencies in our data, which may be biased in patients with depressive disorder (52).

A joint evaluation of clinical parameters with HRV parameters has not yet been performed. The clinical part of this evaluation study was evaluated and published separately. Nevertheless, as a small excerpt from the clinical evaluation, we can provide the changes in BDI-II scores for cross-comparison and brief classification of our study results here (see Table 4). Based on these outcomes, a considerable benefit was found for the MBLM group compared to the other two groups.

This study is not without limitations. Although randomized and stratified regarding certain clinical and sociodemographic variables, the groups may have differed in other aspects relevant to HRV outcomes, like regular physical activity. Furthermore, we conducted a short-term HRV analysis within a time window of 20-min out of 24-h of recording. Long-term HRV analysis would probably result in additional findings but would also bring further problems in signal processing (e.g., more distortions and artifacts, search for appropriate window of stationarity). Also, short-term recordings based on mobile 24-h recordings allowed less control about the measurement conditions for the investigator (e.g., concerning physical condition, mood). We tried to address this by searching for a window of stationarity, with good signal quality and individually typical heart rate progression avoiding periods of very high or low load. In addition, this study should be considered exploratory, with analyses intended to provide a first impression and provide a basis for further investigation.

For future research, we suggest replicating our basic findings in study designs with higher statistical power. In addition, HRV parameters during night phases should also be considered separately. Further approaches would be long-term analyses (e.g., over 24 h) and focus on dynamic processes in the derived signals. This could provide even more insights on the sympatho-vagal balance. Also, it might be useful to acquire more physiological data additionally to the ECG. For instance, measuring (continuous) blood pressure or the easy

### Table 4: Changes in BDI-II scores from clinical evaluation.

| Outcome | Group | Baseline | 8 weeks | ΔM |
|---------|-------|----------|---------|----|
| BDI-II  | MINIMAL | 23.30 (8.40) | 21.59 (9.67) | −1.71 |
|         | TAU    | 26.04 (8.12) | 22.70 (9.26) | −3.34 |
|         | MBLM   | 26.74 (8.48) | 13.59 (10.63) | −13.15 |

BDI-II, Beck Depression Inventory; 2. Revision 1996 (36).
to obtain pulse wave from photoplethysmography (via pulse oximeter) would provide information about cardiovascular processes by analyzing the blood pressure variability (BPV) or pulse wave variability (PWV). An example for utilizing the PWV in evaluating an MBI (based on MBSR) was given by Voss, Bogdanski (53). The same study also highlights, that cardiovascular functions in particular are affected by this MBI Training. In addition, another study supports the potential association between depression and changes in cardiovascular function measured via HRV and BPV (54). Furthermore, the response in blood pressure during meditation seems to differ from other relaxing activities and suggests a link between the intervention and the ANS (55). Sung, Woo (56) also assume a connection between alterations in BPV and quality of life indices. Finally, Parati, Ochoa (57) reviewed blood pressure and the potential of its variability indices as predictors in clinical applications. This may also cover the risk evaluation of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality due to insufficient stress management or coping and possibly highlight the benefit of MBIs in treatment of mental disorders like depression. At least, the additional physiological information would offer the opportunity of assessing bivariate parameters representing the coupling of HRV and BPV/PWV. Finally, a joint analysis of clinical (such as BDI score) and HRV parameters could be of interest.

As a result of this exploratory HRV analysis, we can conclude that the MBLM program seems to strengthen some functions of the autonomic regulation and mediates a better stress adaptation. Based on our findings and the supportive literature about the theoretical and clinical effects of MBIs, a psychophysiological effect can be assumed. Our study points to a health benefit for outpatients with mild to moderate depression after participating in the MBLM program compared to treatment as usual. Further methods and indices from different domains of biosignal analysis (like non-linear dynamics) could provide additional insights.
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