Effects of Ivabradine on Left Ventricular Systolic Function and Cardiac Fibrosis in Rat Myocardial Ischemia-Reperfusion Model
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We evaluated the effects of Ivabradine on left ventricle (LV) ejection fraction (EF) and LV infarcted tissue in the rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model. Twenty rats were randomly assigned to group 1 (ischemia-reperfusion, no treatment, n=10) and group 2 (ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine 10 mg/kg, n=10). Ivabradine was administered for 28 days. Echocardiography was performed at 7 days and at 28 days after the induction of ischemia-reperfusion injury. Cardiac fibrosis induced by ischemia-reperfusion injury was evaluated by Masson’s trichrome staining. The infarct size was quantified using the Image J program. At the 28-day follow-up, LVEF was significantly higher (36.02±6.16% vs. 45.72±2.62%, p<0.001) and fractional shortening was significantly higher (15.23±2.84% vs. 20.13±1.38%, p<0.001) in group 2 than group 1. Delta (28 day minus 7 day) EF was significantly higher in group 2 than group 1 (−4.36±3.49% vs. 4.31±5.63%, p<0.001). Also, heart rate (beats/min) was significantly lower in group 2 than group 1 (251.67±25.19 vs. 199.29±31.33, p=0.025). Group 2 had a smaller infarct size (40.70±8.94% vs. 30.19±5.89%, p<0.01) than group 1 at 28-day follow-up. Oral administration of Ivabradine could improve LV systolic function and reduce infarcted tissue area in rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model.

Key Words: Myocardial Ischemia; Myocardial Reperfusion Injury; Echocardiography; Ivabradine

INTRODUCTION
Myocardial infarction (MI) is significantly increasing compared with the past, and the definition of disease has evolved from the past as a cause of death and prognosis. In addition, treatment plans for MI are constantly evolving and changing.1 MI occurs when the coronary artery is occluded and the oxygen supply to the downstream myocardium becomes insufficient. Lack of oxygen supply induces necrosis in cardiac muscle cells that stimulate the complement cascade and initiate an inflammatory response. After MI, the heart muscle cells of the left ventricle (LV) are oxygenated and die and eventually the ventricular wall becomes thinner.2 The mortality rate of MI has been reduced by performing percutaneous coronary intervention to rehabilitate occluded blood vessels and recently being used medications.3,4 There is much interest and research for secondary prevention after MI after primary treatment by using various drugs. After reperfusion therapy for MI, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, β blockers and angiotensin II receptors blocker are used to maintain cardiac function in order to prevent cardiovascular ischemia.5-7

Ivabradine acts by reducing the heart rate via specific inhibition of the funny channel. Ivabradine is a heart rate reducing drug that is used for the symptomatic management of stable heart related chest pain and heart failure that is not fully managed by beta blockers. Actually, Ivabradine has been shown to have prognostic benefits, and improve the functional and safety parameters in systolic heart failure.8-10 In patients with MI associated with reperfusion arrhythmia, long-term reperfusion of ischemia lasts for several hours during percutaneous coronary intervention.11 Ivabradine is available as a treatment strat-
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So far, no study has evaluated the effect of Ivabradine on ischemia-reperfusion injury. Therefore, the current study was designed to investigate the possible protective effects of Ivabradine such as reduction of infarct size and fibrosis as well as improvement of LV systolic function on ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. Study animal
Sprague-Dawley rats (Samtako, Seoul, Republic of Korea), Male, weighing 250-260 g, aged 8 weeks, were used in all experiments. Ivabradine (Procoralan®, Servier, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France) was pulverized in a tablet mill and dissolved in 10 ml of water. A vortex (Vortex-Genie 2, Scientific Industries) was used to mix the drug well before drug administration. The body weight was measured daily before the administration of the drug and was administered proportionally to the body weight.

We administered Ivabradine at a high dose (10 mg/kg) orally for 28 days once per day after the induction of ischemia-reperfusion injury (Fig. 1). Twenty rats were randomly assigned to ischemia-reperfusion group (no treatment, n=10) and ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine 10 mg/kg group (n=10). The animal experiment protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Chonnam National University Medical School and the Ethics Committee of the Chonnam National University Hospital (CHUN-2017-23).

2. Myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model
Myocardial ischemia-reperfusion was induced with a minor modification of the myocardial infarction Fliss method. Sprague-Dawley rats were anesthetized with an intramuscular femur injection of ketamine (50 mg/kg) and xylazine (5 mg/kg). After intubation, respiration maintenance was kept constant by ventilator (Model 683, Harvard apparatus, USA). Regional myocardial ischemia-reperfusion was induced by transient ligation of the proximal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) with suture using 5-0 silk. Finally, the heart was set in the original position in the thoracic cavity, and the skin was closed with silk. For the purpose of increasing survival rate and controlling body temperature at 37±0.5°C a heating pad was used. After 1 hr of ischemia, we observed survival rates, and removed the linked silk in heart for myocardial reperfusion.

3. Drug administration
Ivabradine was dissolved in water, directly administrated into rats through an oral zonde needle (1.2×80 mm, 18G). Ivabradine was administered after the induction of myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury with daily dose of 10 mg/kg for 28 days.

4. Left ventricular function measurement
The study measured the LV diastole and systole according to the main laws of the American Society of Echocardiography. Echocardiography was performed with a 15-MHz linear array transducer (iE33 system, Philips medical systems). Echocardiography was used for heart wall dimension measurements and to measure LV ejection fraction (EF) and myocardial remodeling.

5. Measurement of heart rate
We measured heart rate for 1 minute using echocardiography. Rats were anesthetized with intramuscular femur injection of ketamine and xylazine. Next, the left ventricular motion was measured for 1 minute using a linear array transducer. Heart rate was measured at 1 week and 4 weeks after the induction of ischemia-reperfusion injury.

6. Measurement of infarct size
After the abdomen was opened, cardiac arrest was induced through an abdominal aorta perfusion of 1ml of potassium perchlorate (KClO₄), and heart tissue fixation was followed by a saline flush. The heart was quickly removed and heart tissues were immobilized in a 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 3 days, embedded in paraffin. The paraffin blocks were cut 10-um thick. Heart tissue sections were mounted on glass slides and processed with Masson's trichrome staining for measurement of infarct size. The infarct size was measured using an image analysis program called Image J.

7. Statistical analysis
We used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0 for Microsoft Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for all statistical analyses. All numerical variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and were compared by independent samples t-test. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

![Fig. 1. Ischemia-reperfusion injury protocol.](image-url)
RESULTS

1. Mortality rate
After the induction of MI, the mortality rate was very high (40% overall mortality, less than 35% EF) and the mortality rate during follow-up period was about 20%.

2. Evaluation of LV function by echocardiography
LVEF with M-mode of the short axis was measured in a total of twenty rats. LV functional evaluation was performed at 7-day and 4-week follow-ups after the induction of ischemia-reperfusion injury (Table 1). LV internal diameter at diastole (LVIDd) and LV internal diameter at systole (LVIDs) were similar between the ischemia-reperfusion group and the ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine group (Fig. 2A, B). LVEF (45.72±2.62% vs. 36.02±6.16%, p<0.001) and fractional shortening (20.13±1.38% vs. 15.23±2.84%, p<0.001) was significantly higher in the ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine group than the ischemia-reperfusion group (Fig. 2C, D). The delta EF was obtained by subtracting the 7 days measurement from the 28 days measurement. Delta EF was significantly higher in the ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine group than the ischemia-reperfusion group (4.31±5.63% vs. –4.36±3.49%, p<0.001) (Fig. 2E).

3. Measurement of heart rate by echocardiography
The heart rate of fourteen rats was measured using the M mode of echocardiography. Heart rate evaluation was performed at the 7-day and 4-week follow-ups after the induction of ischemia-reperfusion injury. The heart rate at the 7-day mark was similar between the ischemia-reperfusion group and ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine groups (223.75±9.60 vs. 242.14±25.19, p=0.098). However, heart rates at the 4-week follow-up were significantly lower in the ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine group than the ischemia-reperfusion group (199.29±31.33 vs. 251.67±25.19, p=0.025) (Fig. 2F).

4. Measurement of cardiac fibrosis and infarct size
Cardiac fibrosis induced by ischemia-reperfusion was evaluated by Masson’s trichrome staining (Fig. 3A). Fibrotic changes were detected as blue color. The infarct size was quantified using the Image J program. The ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine group had a significantly smaller infarct size than the ischemia-reperfusion group (30.19±5.89% vs. 40.70±8.94%, p<0.01) (Fig. 3B).

DISCUSSION
This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of Ivabradine on the rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model. Improvement of LV systolic function and reduction of infarct size were observed after the use of Ivabradine in the present study.

Ischemia-reperfusion injuries can cause tissue damage that occurs when blood supply is restored after an oxygen deficiency event (oxygen and hypoxia) in the tissue due to insufficient blood supply.14,15 During the period of ischemia, deficiency of oxygen and nutrients from the blood causes inflammation and oxidative damage through the induction of oxidative stress rather than restoration of circulatory function to normal.16 Treatment methods for MI include medication, stem cell therapy, percutaneous coronary intervention, and bypass graft. There are many studies on cardiac rehabilitation through prevention of complications of progressive heart disease.17-19 In the present study, drug therapy was used as a treatment modality and considered as safe because it was easy, fast, and non-invasive in rat ischemia-reperfusion model.

Ivabradine is a medication used for the symptomatic management of stable heart related chest pain and heart failure not fully managed by beta blockers.20 Ivabradine

### Table 1. Echocardiographic findings

|                      | Ischemia-reperfusion (n=10) | Ischemia-reperfusion + Ivabradine 10 mg/kg (n=10) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                      | 1 week | 4 weeks | 1 week | 4 weeks |
| IVSd (cm)            | 0.08±0.02 | 0.07±0.02 | 0.08±0.02 | 0.08±0.01 |
| IVSs (cm)            | 0.09±0.02 | 0.08±0.02 | 0.09±0.02 | 0.09±0.02 |
| LVIDd (cm)           | 0.95±0.09 | 1.03±0.06 | 0.90±0.12 | 1.03±0.05 |
| LVIDs (cm)           | 0.78±0.10 | 0.87±0.07 | 0.74±0.10 | 0.82±0.05 |
| LVPWd (cm)           | 0.15±0.03 | 0.16±0.03 | 0.15±0.04 | 0.18±0.02 |
| LVPWs (cm)           | 0.18±0.04 | 0.18±0.05 | 0.18±0.05 | 0.22±0.02 |
| EDV (ml)             | 1.83±0.51 | 2.23±0.36 | 1.59±0.57 | 2.25±0.32 |
| ESV (ml)             | 1.10±0.38 | 1.44±0.34 | 0.95±0.35 | 1.23±0.20 |
| EF (%)               | 40.76±5.75 | 36.02±6.16 | 40.98±4.96 | 45.72±2.62 |
| SV (%)               | 0.73±0.17 | 0.79±0.15 | 0.65±0.23 | 1.03±0.14 |
| %FS (%)              | 17.49±2.81 | 15.23±2.84 | 17.53±2.51 | 20.13±1.38 |

Values are mean±SD. IVSd: interventricular septal thickness at diastole, IVSs: interventricular septal thickness at systole, LVIDd: left ventricle internal diameter at diastole, LVIDs: left ventricle internal diameter at systole, LVPWd: left ventricle posterior wall thickness at diastole, LVPWs: left ventricle posterior wall thickness at systole, EDV: end-diastolic volume, ESV: end-systolic volume, EF: ejection fraction, SV: stroke volume, FS: fractional shortening.
Ivabradine acts by reducing the heart rate via specific inhibition of the funny channel, a mechanism different from that of beta blockers and calcium channel blockers, two commonly prescribed antianginal drugs. Ivabradine is a cardiotonic agent. It is used for the symptomatic treatment of chronic stable angina pectoris in patients with normal sinus rhythm who cannot take beta blockers. It is also being used off-label in the treatment of inappropriate sinus tachycardia.\textsuperscript{21} Ivabradine acts on the I\textsubscript{f} (‘f’ is for "funny", so called because it had unusual properties compared with other current systems known at the time of its discovery) ion current, which is highly expressed in the sinoatrial node. I\textsubscript{f} is a mixed Na\textsuperscript{+}−K\textsuperscript{+} inward current activated by hyperpolarization and modulated by the autonomic nervous system. It is one of the most important ionic currents for regulating pacemaker activity in the sinoatrial (SA) node. Ivabradine selectively inhibits the pacemaker I\textsubscript{f} current in a dose-dependent manner. Blocking this channel reduces cardiac pacemaker activity, selectively slowing the heart rate and allowing more time for blood to flow to the myocardium.\textsuperscript{22,23}

In hypertensive rats, it has been reported that Ivabradine effectively reduces heart rate and thus affects carotid and
There are several limitations to be mentioned. First, we only focused on the morphological changes of the heart. Furthermore, the molecular part of the morphological change was not evaluated. Second, we observed the effects of Ivabradine on LV systolic function and cardiac fibrosis for only 1-month after MI. Therefore, further long-term study is needed. Third, we did not measure blood pressure. Fourth, we did not perform the hemodynamic study using a Milard catheter. And fifth, we did not evaluate the effects of Ivabradine on the generation of free radicals and hydrogen peroxide, and mitochondrial function.

In conclusion, oral administration of Ivabradine could improve LV systolic function and reduce infarcted tissue area in rat myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model.
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