UTP – Gated Signaling Pathways of 5-HT Release from BON Cells as a Model of Human Enterochromaffin Cells
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Background: Enterochromaffin cells (EC) synthesize and release 5-HT and ATP to trigger or modulate gut neural reflexes and transmit information about visceral/pain sensation. Alterations in 5-HT signaling mechanisms may contribute to the pathogenesis of IBD or IBS, but the pharmacologic or molecular mechanisms modulating Ca²⁺-dependent 5-HT release are not understood. Previous studies indicated that purinergic signaling via ATP and ADP is an important mechanism in modulation of 5-HT release. However, EC cells also respond to UTP and UDP suggesting uridine triphosphate receptor and signaling pathways are involved as well. We tested the hypothesis that UTP is a regulator of 5-HT release in human EC cells.

Methods: UTP signaling mechanisms were studied in BON cells, a human EC model, using Fluo-4/Ca²⁺ imaging, patch-clamp, pharmacological analysis, immunohistochemistry, western blots and qPCR. 5-HT release was monitored in BON or EC isolated from human gut surgical specimens (hEC).

Results: UTP, UTPγS, UDP or ATP induced Ca²⁺-oscillations in BON. UTP evoked a biphasic concentration-dependent Ca²⁺-response. Cells responded in the order of UTP, ATP > UTPγS > UDP > MRS2768, BzATP, α,β-MeATP > MRS2365, MRS2690, and NF546. Different proportions of cells activated by UTP and ATP also responded to UTPγS (P2Y₄, 50% cells), UDP (P2Y₆, 30%), UTPγS and UDP (14%) or MRS2768 (<3%). UTP Ca²⁺-responses were blocked with inhibitors of PLC, IP₃R, SERCA Ca²⁺pump, La³⁺-sensitive Ca²⁺-channels or chelation of intracellular free Ca²⁺ by BAPTA/AM. Inhibitors of L-type, TRPC, ryanodine-Ca²⁺-pools, PI3-Kinase, PKC or SRC-Kinase had no effect. UTP stimulated voltage-sensitive Ca²⁺-currents (I₉), Vₘ-depolarization and inhibited I₉ (not I₉A) currents. An I₉V₇.2/7.3 K⁺ channel blocker XE-991 mimicked UTP-induced Vₘ-depolarization and blocked UTP-responses. XE-991 blocked I₉ and UTP caused further reduction. La³⁺ or PLC inhibitors blocked UTP depolarization; PKC inhibitors, thapsigargin or zero Ca²⁺-buffer did not. UTP stimulated 5-HT release in hEC expressing TPH1, 5-HT, P2Y₄/P2Y₆R. Zero-Ca²⁺-buffer augmented Ca²⁺-responses and 5-HT release.
INTRODUCTION

Enterochromaffin cells (EC) synthesize and release 5-HT, ATP and other mediators involved in gut neural reflexes and transmission of information about visceral/pain sensation (Kellum et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001a; Raybould et al., 2004; Cooke and Christofi, 2006; Christofi, 2008). While EC cells release large quantities of endogenous 5-HT and exogenous 5-HT does stimulate gut motility, the mucosa and release of 5-HT from the mucosa are not required for in vitro peristalsis in the guinea-pig distal colon (Spencer et al., 2011) or in vivo intestinal transit of content (Yadav et al., 2010). However, abnormal regulation of 5-HT occurs in gastrointestinal disorders and inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD), where 5-HT signaling may represent a key mechanism in the pathogenesis of intestinal inflammation (Mawe and Hoffman, 2013; Liñán-Rico et al., 2016). Emerging evidence suggests that alterations in 5-HT release or handling mechanisms may contribute to IBD, Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) and the diarrhea associated with bacterial toxin enterocolitis. Abnormal 5-HT signaling has also been implicated in diverticular disease, celiac disease and colorectal cancer (Crowell, 2004; Galligan, 2004; Gershon, 2004; Kordasti et al., 2004; O’Hara et al., 2004; Manocha and Khan, 2012). Yet, the basic mechanisms regulating 5-HT release in human EC cells (hEC) are poorly understood. To understand the basis of these gastrointestinal disorders, it is necessary first to better understand how 5-HT release is regulated at cellular and molecular levels.

Enterochromaffin cells have chemo- and mechanosensitive elements that detect changes in force or contents of the intestinal lumen during peristalsis (Kim et al., 2001a; Christofi, 2008), the basic reflex underlying all motility patterns. The human BON cell line is a useful model to study chemosensation and mechanosensation, receptor regulation, post-receptor signaling pathways and physiological regulation of 5-HT release (Kim et al., 2001a,b, 2007; Cooke et al., 2003; Christofi et al., 2004a; Germano et al., 2009; Liñán-Rico et al., 2013).

Recent studies have employed freshly isolated hEC after acute isolation (Dammen et al., 2013) or in short term culture (Raghupathi et al., 2013) to study 5-HT release. However, the gold-standard for purinergic signaling studies remains the BON (EC) cell line since most of our knowledge of ATP (nucleotide) regulation of EC/5-HT signaling comes from these cells. A stable human cell line that is well characterized is appropriate for detailed mechanistic studies. Native hEC isolated from surgical specimens can be used to confirm key observations.

Purinergic receptors are broadly divided into nucleoside (P1, for adenosine) and nucleotide receptors (P2, for ATP, ADP, UTP and UDP). P2 is subdivided into P2X channel receptor (P2X1–7) and G-protein coupled receptor (P2Y1,2,4,6,11–14) families (Khalak et al., 2001; Kügelgen, 2006). Purinergic transmission occurs in the human enteric nervous system (Wunderlich et al., 2008; Liñán-Rico et al., 2015) and is known to act at all levels of gut secretory and motility reflexes (Burnstock, 2008; Christofi, 2008). Purinergic receptors are sensitive to mucosal inflammation and are emerging as potential novel therapeutic targets for GI diseases and disorders (Ochoa-Cortes et al., 2014).

Of particular interest is the role of purinergic signaling in EC cells. We could show that mechanical stimulation of the mucosa releases ATP that is required for triggering secretomotor reflexes (Christofi et al., 2004b; Cooke et al., 2004). Adenosine, a metabolite of ATP, is an important autoregulatory modulator of Ca²⁺-dependent 5-HT release (Christofi et al., 2004a). Our previous studies showed that purinergic signaling is an important mechanism in the modulation of 5-HT release. ATP is a critical determinant of mechanosensation and 5-HT release via autocrine activation of slow stimulatory P2Y1, inhibitory P2Y12 purinergic pathways, and fast ATP-gated P2X₃-channels. Down-regulation of P2X₃-channels (or alterations in A₂B) is postulated to mediate abnormal 5-HT signaling (Liñán-Rico et al., 2013). The cognate ligands for these receptors are ATP and adenosine-5′-diphosphate (ADP). However, it is evident from our early observations that UTP and UDP, uridine nucleotides, can elicit Ca²⁺ oscillations in human BON (EC) cells. This suggests that a uridine nucleotide receptor is involved in Ca²⁺ oscillations leading to serotonin release in hEC. Candidate receptors are P2Y₂, P2Y₄ and P2Y₆ receptors.

We sought to test the novel hypothesis that UTP is a regulator of Ca²⁺ dependent 5-HT release in hEC by activating P2Y – uridine nucleotide receptors. In light of the potential for targeting such receptors in GI diseases, we thought it would be important to identify the receptor(s), post-receptor, ionic and molecular signaling mechanisms linked to Ca²⁺ oscillations and 5-HT release. Collectively, our findings indicate that several uridine...
nucleotide receptors are linked to Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations, membrane depolarization, modulation of ionic currents and 5-HT release. Post-receptor signaling mechanisms include a GPCR/Gq/IP\(_3\) –Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway, Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, SERCA, I\(_{\text{Ca}}\) and VOCC (I\(_{\text{Ca-L}}\)). Data support the hypothesis that UTP activates P2Y\(_4\) and P2Y\(_6\) receptors to modulate a GPCR/Gq/IP\(_3\) –SERCA Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway leading to 5-HT release. Effect of UTP on membrane depolarization was not linked to a Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent 5-HT release.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**IRB Approval**

Studies conducted in hEC were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) ethics committee at The Ohio State University. The protocol was # 2012H0231. Patient consent was obtained for each human subject and surgical tissue that is otherwise discarded by pathology was used to isolate hEC for studies on 5-HT release, or western blot analysis in human mucosa for P2Y receptors. Eight Roux-en-Y surgical specimens and 3 colonic specimens were collected for our studies. Colonic surgical specimens were obtained from patients undergoing a left colectomy for polyps/adenomas from the descending colon.

**Chemicals**

Stock solutions of all drugs were prepared as per vendor indications, aliquoted and kept at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\) (or \(-80^\circ\text{C}\)) until used. ATP, UTP, UDP were from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States) and UTP/S, thapsigargin, U73122, U73343, GF109203X, α,β-MeATP, MRS compounds and XE-991 dihydrochloride were from TOCRIS Bioscience (Bristol, United Kingdom). Poly-D-lysine, Laminin, HBSS, DMEM: F12 medium were purchased from Life Science Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, United States). All other substances were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, United States).

**Cell Culture**

**Bon Cell Culture**

BON cells were a gift from C.M. Townsend Jr (University of Texas, Galveston, TX, United States). Clone No. 7 was highly enriched with 5-HT. The cells were seeded on No. 0 cover slips (MatTek, Corp., Ashland, MA, United States) at a density of 5 × 10\(^4\) cells for calcium experiments and 5 × 10\(^5\) cells per well in 24-well culture plates (Corning-Costar Corp., Corning, NY, United States) for 5-HT release experiments. For patch clamp experiments cells were plated (6 × 10\(^3\)) as droplets onto cover-slips pre-coated with Laminin/poly-D-Lysine (20 μg/ml each) and left to settle 2 h at \(37^\circ\text{C}\). Cells were grown in Dulbecco-modified Eagle medium–nutrient mixture F-12 (1:1), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, 100 IU/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, United States). Cells were grown in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO\(_2\) at \(37^\circ\text{C}\) until reaching 70–90% confluence for calcium experiments (4–8 days after being seeded) and 48 h for 5-HT release. Passage numbers were from 29 to 66.

**Human EC Culture**

Human EC cells were isolated from mucosa of intestinal surgical specimens as described by Raghupathi et al. (2013). Mucosa was carefully scraped with bent forceps and collected in a 50 ml tube. The cells were washed with physiological buffer containing (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), 10 HEPES, 5 d-glucose, pH 7.4. After 10 min centrifugation at 1000 rpm (\(4^\circ\text{C}\)) the tissue was placed in digestion buffer containing 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA and 1 mg/ml collagenase A made in physiological buffer. Digestion was done at \(37^\circ\text{C}\) for 30 min with continuous agitation and triturating the cells every 10 min using a 5 ml pipette to pass the cells 20 times back and forth until no large pieces were visible. Enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding equal volume of DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cell suspension was filtered through 100, 70 and 40 μm cell strainers into a 50 ml tube and centrifuged at 800 × g for 5 min. Pellet was re-suspended in 4 ml of growth medium and layer onto a Percoll density gradient prepared in NaCl. EC cells were harvested at a density of 1.07 g/L after centrifugation at 1,100 × g for 15 min. Cells were collected using a transfer pipette with a thin tip and rinsed with fresh media. Cells were re-suspended in 1 ml of complete DMEM + 10% FBS and 1.2 mM insulin, 68.7 nM transferrin, and 38.7 nM sodium selenite.

**Ca\(^{2+}\) Imaging**

BON cells were loaded with 2 μM Fluo-4/AM (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, United States) in DMEM for 20 min in a 95% air and 5% CO\(_2\) incubator at \(37^\circ\text{C}\). The Ca\(^{2+}\) response was monitored using a modified-Zeiss LSMC 410/REN laser scanning confocal imaging system. Cells were perfused at 6 ml/min with oxygenated Krebs solution (Table 1). A “solution inline heater” (Warner Instruments, Inc., Hamden, CT, United States) was used to maintain the perfusion temperature at 36.5 ± 0.5°C. Cells were imaged through a 40× oil immersion apochromat objective (numerical aperture 1.3, working distance = 170 μm). Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging was carried out using an Ar-Kr laser to excite the cells at 488 nm, and fluorescence emissions were passed through a FT510 dichroic mirror and collected through a photomultiplier tube equipped with a BP 505–550 filter, positioned in front of pinhole and light path. Time-series analysis of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\) was done at 1-s intervals.

Some experiments were performed using the Nikon FN1 Electrophysiology Microscope imaging system equipped with an Andor iXON Ultra camera (11 bit and 16 bit) for real-time calcium imaging; the camera is able to capture images at 55 frames/s. The system also includes the following components: A CFI Fluor 40× Water immersion objective lens (NA 0.8 WD 2 mm) was used with a long working distance achromatic condenser; NA 0.56 with adjustable diaphragm graduated in NA working distance 9.6 mm and a 6 place turret with fiber based light source (D-FI Universal EPI-Fluor Illuminator), Fluo-4 cube and NIS Elements Analysis 4.13 (Advanced calcium imaging analysis software).

Cells were perfused at 2 ml/min using a peristaltic pump, and drugs were applied by perfusion for 2 min (unless otherwise
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TABLE 1 | Standard solutions composition.

| Reactive Standard solutions (mM) | Krebs' | C-clamp Bath | C-clamp Electrode | ICa²⁺ Bath | ICa²⁺ Electrode | Potassium currents |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| NaHCO₃                           | 14.4   |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| NaH₂PO₄                          | 1.35   |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| NaCl                             | 120    | 130          |                   |            |               |                 |
| Glucose                          | 12.7   | 5            | 1                 |            |               |                 |
| KCl                              | 6      | 5            | 30                |            |               | 1               |
| CaCl₂                            | 2.5    | 2            | 2                 |            |               | 1               |
| MgCl₂                            | 1.35   | 1            | 1                 |            |               | 2               |
| HEPES                            | 10     | 10           | 10                | 10         | 10           | 2               |
| K-Gluconate                      | 110    |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| NMDG                             | 95     |              |                   |            |               | 140             |
| TEA-Cl                           | 35     |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| BaCl₂                            | 10     |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| 4-AP                             | 1      |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| Cs-Gluconate                     | 130    |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| EGTA                             | 10     |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| ATP-Mg                           | 4      |              |                   |            |               |                 |
| GTP-Na                           | 0.3–0.5|              |                   |            |               |                 |
| CdCl₂                            |        |              |                   |            |               | 0.1             |
| pH                               | 7.3–7.4| 7.25 KOH     | 7.3–7.4 HCl       | 7.25 CaOH  | 7.3–7.4 HCl   |                 |

specified). The lag between drug application and response is
due to time lag for drug to reach the desired concentration in
the chamber at the perfusion rate and volume of the chamber
(~1.5 ml).

5-HT Release

BON cells were washed with a Ringer-like solution: 120 mM
NaCl, 6 mM CsCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM glucose, and 10 mM
HEPES-Na and 1.5 mM CaCl₂ (pH 7.4) containing 0.1% BSA.
For 0 Ca²⁺ Ringer-like buffer, extracellular calcium was omitted
and 0.5 mM EGTA was added. After pre-incubation for 30 min
at 37°C, the buffer was removed and replaced with 0.6 ml Ringer-
like solution that contained 10⁻⁵ M of alaproclate (5-HT uptake
inhibitor) and pargyline (monoamine oxidase inhibitor) and the
drug of interest (ATP or UTP). Cells were incubated for 30 min
at 37°C and after that, 0.5 ml of the assay buffer was collected in a
1.5 ml tube containing 1% stabilizer (LDN, Nordhorn, Germany)
and frozen at −80°C until the 5-HT assay was performed.

For 5-HT release in human EC cells, 1 × 10⁵ cells were
centrifuged at 8 × g for 10 min/4°C, medium was removed
and replaced with 0.2 ml EBSS buffer containing 1.8 mM CaCl₂,
0.8 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 5.3 mM KCl, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 117 mM
NaCl, 1 mM NaH₂PO₄·H₂O and 5.5 mM d-Glucose with 0.1%
BSA, 10⁻⁵ M of alaproclate and pargyline. Cells were allowed
to equilibrate for 2 h at 37°C. After incubation, the drugs were
added (final volume of 204 μl) and cells were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were centrifuged 8 × g for 5 min/4°C and
the supernatant was collected in a 1.5 ml tube containing 1%
stabilizer (LDN, Nordhorn, Germany) and saved at −80°C. 5-HT
release was measured by enzyme immunoassay using an ELISA
kit (LDN, Nordhorn, Germany) according to the manufacturer's
instructions. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm and 5-HT
concentration was determined from a standard curve.

Western Blot

Cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed in lysis buffer
containing: 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM
Na₃VO₄, 1 mM NaF, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, 1% NP40,
1 mM EGTA, 1 mM PMSF and protein inhibitor cocktail from
Sigma–Aldrich (P8340). Protein concentration was calculated
with Bradford assay (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States).
Eighty microgram of cell lysate (or 20 μg for human mucosa)
was denatured at 90°C for 10 min in 1:1 volume of 2X
Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad, United States) and 8% 2-mercaptoethanol. Protein samples were separated on a 10% SDS–PAGE gel and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad,
Hercules, CA, United States). After blocking in 5% non-fat dry
milk buffer, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C
with rabbit anti-P2Y₂ (1:200; Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel,
APR-010), anti-P2Y₄ (1:800; Alomone, APR-006), anti-P2Y₆ (1:600;
Alomone, APR-011), TPH1 (1:1000; Novus Biologicals, Littleton,
CO, United States, NB110-57629) or anti-mouse β-actin (1:1000;
Santa Cruz Biotech, Dallas, TX, United States, sc-47778) in
blocking solution. Membranes were washed and incubated
with the HRP-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit or anti-mouse
antibody (1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA,
United States).

Immunocchemical Labeling

Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 min and washed with PBS. Cells
were blocked for 30 min with 10% normal donkey serum, 0.5%
Triton X-100 in PBS. Primary antibody incubation was done at
1:50 dilution for rabbit anti-P2Y$_4$ (Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel) and 1:20 dilution for mouse anti-5-HT (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, United States, M0758). After washing, incubation with Alexa-fluor 488 or 568 secondary antibody at 1:400 dilution (Life Tech, Cedarhurst, NY, United States) was done for 30 min at room temperature, protected from light.

**RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

RNAs were extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Buffalo, NY, United States). They were subsequently subjected to DNase digestion (Turbo-DNase Ambion – Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, United States). Two micrograms per sample RNA was used to prepare the cDNA utilizing High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Random priming) with RNase Inhibitor (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, United States). Quantitative RT-PCRs were performed using the TaqMan Gene Expression Assays from Applied Biosystems – Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY, United States): Hs00267404_s1 for P2Y$_4$ and Hs00366312_m1 for P2Y$_6$. Quantitative RT-PCRs (100 ng of cDNA per 20-µL amplification reaction) were performed in triplicates. Gene expression levels were quantified using the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression was calculated using the comparative Ct method. Values were normalized using OAZ1 Gene Expression assay (TaqMan assay Hs00427923_m1).

**Patch-Clamp Experiments**

Recordings were made from BON cells after 4 days of culture on a SliceScope Pro upright microscope (Scientifica-Olympus, Uckfield, United Kingdom). Membrane potential was assessed using perforated patch with amphotericin B, 300 µg/mL. Thin walled glass-capillaries (Warner Instruments) were used in electrode fabrication with a horizontal micropipette puller P-97 (Sutter Instrument Co., Novato, CA, United States). Pipette resistance was 2–5 MΩ when immersed in bath solution. Cell signals were amplified and digitized by using Multiclamp 700B amplifier and Digidata 1440A converter, and stored using pClamp 10.3 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States).

Experiments were performed at 34 ± 0.5°C and temperature was maintained using a single channel TC-324B temperature controller (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, United States). During experiments, cells were continuously perfused with bath external solution to an approximate rate of 2 mL/min with and without required testing compounds; UTP was applied for 2 to 3 min while changes in resting membrane potential were recorded. Other treatments or inhibitors were pre-incubated as indicated in specific experiments. Changes in Ri were assessed by applying hyperpolarizing current pulses of −10 mV at a frequency of 6 Hz. When necessary, cells were previously incubated with antagonists as required. Standard solutions are described in Table 1.

In current clamp experiments a liquid junction potential was calculated to be −10.8 mV, and was corrected for analyzing the data. $I_{Ca^{2+}}$ were recorded using whole cell patch-clamp and series resistance was compensated in every cell at least 70%; cells with a holding leak-current larger than −50 pA were rejected and no further leak correction was made. Once the Giga seal was formed and membrane ruptured in normal bath solution, cells were bathed 10 min with Cs$^+$/Ba$^{2+}$ solution to allow a complete exchange of solutions and internal medium dialysis. Ba$^{2+}$ current was then assessed through voltage-operated Ca$^{2+}$ channels (VOCC). Currents were stimulated giving 100 ms voltage steps from −70 to 40 mV with increments of 10 mV, every 5 s, from a holding potential ($V_h$) of −80 mV and recorded at a sampling rate of 10 kHz and low pass filtered to 1 kHz. All currents were expressed as current density (pA/pF) and normalized to cell capacitance. Currents were changed to conductance to generate activation curves, plotted as $G/G_{max}$ and fitted with a Boltzmann equation.

For voltage-dependent potassium currents ($I_K$), normal pipette solution was used. Type A ($I_{A}$) and type K ($I_K$) voltage dependent potassium currents were separated by their inactivation properties. Total potassium currents were evoked with 500 ms voltage pulses ranging from −90 to +50 mV from a $V_h$ of −100 mV ($I_{Tot}$) and −40 mV ($I_K$). $I_K$ peak amplitude was measured at the end of the traces obtained in each voltage step and $I_A$ was at the maximum peak (start of pulse) produced after withdrawing $I_K$ from $I_{Tot}$. Currents were normalized to cell capacitance and are shown as current density (pA/pF) after being fitted with a Boltzmann equation.

**Experimental Protocols**

1. We tested the effect of UTP, ATP and agonists with selectivity for P2Y$_1$ (MRS2365), P2Y$_2$ (MRS2768), P2Y$_{3/4}$ (UTP/S), P2Y$_6$ (UDP), P2Y$_{11}$ (NF546), P2Y$_{14}$ (MRS2690), P2X$_7$ (BzATP) and P2X$_{1,2,3,3}$ ($\alpha\beta$-MeATP) on Ca$^{2+}$ responses. Drug concentrations were selected according to reported selectivity and EC$_{50s}$ in human cells (Abbracchio et al., 2006; Brunsweiger and Müller, 2006; Kügelgen and Bonn, 2008).

2. A concentration-dependent Ca$^{2+}$ response to UTP was determined in the range of 1 nM to 5 mM. Different concentrations of UTP were applied at 10 min intervals to allow sufficient time to washout and fully recover from the drug (as determined in preliminary experiments) (Brinson and Harden, 2001).

3. Using this protocol, 3–4 different concentrations of drug could be applied to each cell to construct a full dose-response curve. It is possible to demonstrate a concentration-dependent effect in the same cell. However, it is not possible to determine the entire concentration response curve in each cell with reproducibility (i.e., possibly due to cell fatigue, desensitization, prolonged exposure to light to monitor Ca$^{2+}$ responses, etc.). Therefore, analysis allowed determination of apparent EC$_{50s}$, since a full concentration response curve could not be obtained in the same cell.

4. We determined the profile of Ca$^{2+}$ activity in response to consecutive applications of selective uridine nucleotide
agonists (i.e., MRS2768, UTPγS, UDP) to identify the proportions of UTP-responsive cells with functional P2Y1R, P2Y2R, and P2Y6R receptors. (5) Determined the expression of uridine phosphate receptors by western blot, immunofluorescent labeling and/or qPCR analysis for selected receptors in BON, isolated human EC cells from intestinal surgical specimens, human mucosa and HT-29 cells. (6) UTP effects of 5-HT release were analyzed in BON and human EC (hEC) cells. (7) Ca2+ signaling pathways linked to UTP were determined using pharmacological inhibitors, receptor or channel blockers. Drugs were pre-incubated for 10–30 min depending on the drug. Paired data was obtained for all treatments (unless otherwise noted). (8) Determined the effects of UTP on Vm depolarization and voltage-operated Ca2+ channels (VOCCs) and voltage-activated potassium channels (Kv channels) by evaluating (i) The effect of UTP induced rise in [Ca2+]i on Vm Depolarization of the EC cells. (ii) Determined if UTP activates voltage-operated Ca2+ channels (VOCCs) and induces Ia currents in EC cells. (iii) Determined if UTP modulation of voltage-activated potassium currents (Kv currents: Ia and Iγ type currents) are involved in the depolarization of EC cells.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis of data was performed in Graphpad Prism 6 (La Jolla, CA, United States). Paired, unpaired t-test, Fisher exact test and two ways ANOVA with Bonferroni post-tests were used when appropriate. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. A p < 0.05 is considered significant.

RESULTS
Pharmacology of Uridine Nucleotides in BON
In the first series of experiments, we characterized the functional uridine nucleotide receptor(s) activated by the cognate ligand UTP in BON cells. The pharmacological effects of various purinergic agonists were evaluated in inducing Ca2+ responses in BON. With the exception of UTP, a suitable concentration of each selective agonist was used to evaluate activity at different receptors (Wihlborg et al., 2003; Jacobson et al., 2009; Jacobson and Linden, 2011). Data for agonists were normalized as a % of UTP (or ATP) responsive cells (Figure 1A). The same cells responded to UTP and ATP, and these agonists caused similar responses (Supplementary Figure 1). A subset of UTP/ATP responsive cells displayed UTPγS responses and fewer cells responded to UDP. MRS2768 was virtually ineffective and it only rarely gave a response (Figure 1A). UTP (P2Y2,6), UTPγS (P2Y2,4), UDP (P2Y6) and ATP could evoke a single monophasic Ca2+ transient, or more often caused Ca2+ oscillations (Figures 1B,C,F,G). The P2Y2 agonist MRS2768 could only evoke a monophasic Ca2+ transient in a tiny subset of cells (Figure 1D). Agonists that did not evoke a Ca2+ response include a P2Y14 agonist MRS2690, NF546 (P2Y11) and MRS2365 (P2Y1, Figure 1E). BzATP and α,β-MeATP (P2X agonists) caused Ca2+ responses in less than 10% of UTP responsive cells (not shown). The percentage of the cell population with a Ca2+ response to agonists followed the order of those responding to UTP (and ATP) alone (~50% cells) > UTPγS (and ATP, 26%; P2Y4) > UTPγS/UDP (and ATP, 14%; P2Y4, P2Y6) > UDP (and ATP, 9%; P2Y6) > MRS2768 (and ATP, ~3%; P2Y2) = BzATP (P2Xγ) = α,β-MeATP (P2X2,3,4) > MRS2365 (P2Y1), MRS2690 (P2Y14), NF546 (P2Y11, < 1% each). Examples of pseudocolor images of Ca2+ responses to UTPγS are shown in Figures 11, J compared to Basal (control) in Figure 1H.

Further pharmacological analysis tested whether monophasic and oscillatory responses are mediated by different receptors. In these experiments, only one drug at a time was tested in each cell culture. To do this we analyzed the pharmacological profile of selective agonists for oscillations (Figure 2A), monophasic responses (Figure 2B) and made comparisons between the profile of agonists between oscillations and monophasic responses (Figure 2C). Using Poisson regression analysis for numbers of cells/field responding to each agonist, the response profile for oscillations is UTP > UTPγS = ATP >> UDP > BzATP > α,β-MeATP, MRS2768, NF546. Supplementary Table 1 summarizes information on selectivity of each agonist, drug concentrations used, and responsive cells to each agonist [ATP, 100 μM; α,β-MeATP, 10 μM; BzATP, 10 μM; MRS2690, NF546, 30 μM; MRS2365, 1 μM; MRS2690, 0.5 μM]. For monophasic responses, the agonist response profile is UTP >> UTPγS = ATP = UDP > α,β-MeATP > BzATP = MRS2768. Direct comparisons between oscillations and monophasic responses for each agonist indicates that significant differences exist for UTPγS, ATP (more cells with oscillations) and α,β-MeATP (more cells with monophasic responses).

In a separate set of experiments, we further explored the relative distribution of functional P2Y2, P2Y4 and P2Y6 receptors on BON cells in experiments where we sequentially tested the effects of (1) MRS2768 (P2Y2) followed by UTP (MRS2768 → UTP), (2) UDP → UTP, and (3) UTPγS → UTP. Data is summarized in Figure 3. Very few (<10%) UTP responsive cells had MRS2768 responses, and responses were monophasic only (Figure 3A). UTP causes both oscillations and monophasic responses. A small subset of UTP-responsive cells had UDP responses (both types), but most were monophasic responses, suggesting most oscillations were in response to P2Y4 receptor activation (Figure 3B). However, different subsets of cells responded to UTPγS/UTP with oscillations, UTP alone with oscillations, UTP alone with monophasic responses, UTPγS with monophasic/UTP with oscillations, UTPγS/UTP with monophasic responses (Figure 3C).

UTP elicits a concentration-dependent Ca2+ response in BON cells in the range of 1 nM–5mM (Figures 4A–D). In this concentration range, a biphasic effect occurs with apparent EC50 values of 11.4 and 410 μM UTP (Figure 4C). The best-fit curve for the first phase of the response is plotted with a logistic equation in Figure 4D.
In summary, UTP activates multiple receptors in BON to evoke a Ca^{2+} response, but the predominant uridine nucleotide receptor operating in BON is the P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptor. Subpopulations of responses to different agonists suggest that UTP, ATP and UDP can activate different combinations of purinergic receptors in different cells, and therefore, subtypes of EC cells can be distinguished by their functional expression of purinergic receptors.

**Expression of P2Y Receptors**

Western blot analysis for P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptors identified a protein with a molecular weight of ~50 kDa in BON cells, EC cells, human mucosa, as well as human adenocarcinoid HT-29 epithelial cells, whereas immunogenic bands for P2Y<sub>2</sub> were identified only in mucosa, and were absent from BON cells (Figures 4E,G). P2Y<sub>6</sub> immunogenic bands were identified in BON cells, mucosa, HT-29 and human enterochromaffin cells (hEC) isolated from surgical specimens (Figure 4F). Immunogenic bands were eliminated by pre-absorption of antisera with CP (Figures 4E,G).

TaqMan PCR analysis for P2Y<sub>4</sub> and P2Y<sub>6</sub> (the two main P2Y receptors identified in pharmacological studies) indicated that mRNA expression for P2Y<sub>4</sub> was much higher than P2Y<sub>6</sub> in BON cells (Figure 4H). As shown in Supplementary Figure 2, mRNA expression level of P2Y<sub>6</sub> is passage dependent in BON cells. Therefore, in passages <36, 64% of UTP responsive cells respond to UDP (P2Y<sub>6</sub>) whereas in passages >43 only 9% of UTP responsive cells, respond to UDP. Regardless of passage, ~50% of UTP responsive cells respond to UTPyS (P2Y<sub>4</sub>). Our studies were conducted in cells with both functional receptors present.

The western blot expression of the P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptor was confirmed by immunofluorescent labeling to show expression of P2Y<sub>4</sub> immunoreactivity in BON cells co-expressing 5-HT immunoreactivity (Figure 5) and EC cells (Figure 6). Abundant P2Y<sub>4</sub> immunoreactivity is expressed in the cells. Our study was not designed to detect whether the P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptors were localized to the surface of the cells. This could be done by radioimmunoassay (Brinson and Harden, 2001) and confirmed by detailed z-stack confocal imaging experiments to determine whether P2Y<sub>4</sub> is localized in the membrane or is also in the cytosol. P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptors can undergo internalization upon activation, and receptors are expected to distribute in both membranes and inside the cell. Our pharmacological data support a functional role for the P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptor.

**UTP Modulation of 5-HT Release**

It is well known that EC cells are the main source of 5-HT of the entire body and as anticipated, UTP and ATP stimulated 5-HT release from BON cells (Figure 6A) or hEC (Figure 6B) isolated from surgical specimens (Figures 6A,B). However, UTP was more effective in stimulating 5-HT release than ATP at equimolar concentrations (100 µM each). Furthermore, immunoreactivity for P2Y<sub>4</sub> receptors was also confirmed in hEC cells (Figure 6D) as shown to occur in BON cells (Figure 5). Western blot analysis
FIGURE 2 | Profile of different Ca\(^{2+}\)-responses evoked by agonists for purinergic receptors in human BON. Agonists elicit monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients or Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations. (A) Profile of agonist responses for Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations. (B) Profile of agonist responses for monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients. (C) Comparison of the number of cells displaying oscillations (first column) versus monophasic (second column) Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in response to each purinergic agonist. Poisson regression was used for statistical comparisons in (A, B), and t-test in (C). For this analysis only one drug at a time was tested in each cell culture. Concentrations of each drug are listed in Supplementary Table 1 (see results for more details). The average number of cells/field was 46 ± 8. The proportion of cells responding to UTP is ∼20%; for UTP\(^\gamma\)S, it was 11%.

FIGURE 3 | Oscillations or monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients involve P2Y\(_4\) and P2Y\(_6\) receptors in human BON. Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to consecutive applications of (A) a P2Y\(_4\) agonist MRS2768, (B) a P2Y\(_6\) agonist UDP or (C) a P2Y\(_2,4\) agonist UTP\(^\gamma\)S followed by UTP (washout of 10 min, drugs tested in 4–5 separate cultures). The color of each column represents a particular type of response that is illustrated in representative Ca\(^{2+}\) transients at the right of each histogram. For example, in (A), the gray bar represents cells with no response to MRS2768 and Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations to UTP. The red bar represents cells with no response to MRS2768 and a monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transient to UTP. UTP\(^\gamma\)S in contrast to UDP more closely mimics responses to UTP in a significant proportion of cells.
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FIGURE 4 | Function and molecular characteristics of uridine nucleotide receptors activated by UTP. (A,B) UTP elicits a concentration dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) response in BON. (A) Representative images of BON cells in response to 100 µM UTP perfusion. Cells responding to UTP with a Ca\(^{2+}\) response are marked with an arrow; pseudocolor images based on pixel intensity (0–1500 arbitrary units). (B) Representative Ca\(^{2+}\) transients to separate applications of UTP in the concentration range of 1 nM to 5 mM. (C) Biphasic concentration-response curve to UTP for peak Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. ANOVA, \(p < 0.0001\) (310 cells were analyzed). The apparent EC\(_{50}\) of the first phase of the response is 11.4 µM; the EC\(_{50}\) of the second phase is 410 µM. (D) The first phase of the UTP concentration – response curve (0.1 nM–0.2 mM) is fitted with a logistic equation; symbols represent the net increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) response at each time point and the error bars are SEM (\(n = 15\) or more cells at each concentration). (E) Representative western blots (WB) showing immunogenic bands for P2Y\(_4\)R in BON cells. (F) Representative immunogenic bands for P2Y\(_6\)R in BON, human mucosa (Muc) and isolated human EC cells from surgical specimens (hEC), and human HT-29 cells. Pre-absorption of the anti-P2Y\(_6\)R antibody with its immunogenic peptide (CP) blocked the immunogenic bands. (G) P2Y\(_4\) is detected in BON, Muc and HT-29 cells. P2Y\(_2\)R is only detected in the human mucosa and not BON or HT-29. (H) TaqMan PCR analysis showing the relative expression of P2Y\(_4\)R and P2Y\(_6\)R normalized to OAZ as \(2^{-\Delta Ct}\). The \(Ct\) values are indicated inside each column, \(N = 6\) cultures. BON cells for qPCR were tested at passage 44, and P2Y\(_4\) expression was > P2Y\(_6\) expression (see Results and Supplementary Figure 2).

identified the 5-HT synthesizing enzyme (TPH1) in both hEC and BON cells (Figure 6C).

In hEC cells identified by their 5-HT immunoreactivity and DAPI counter stain, 28.82 ± 12.43% of 694 cells express P2Y\(_4\) receptor immunoreactivity. Cells were counted in 20 random fields from cells isolated from jejunal surgical specimens in two patients. A caveat here is that this is a pilot analysis, and it may not represent the population response in human jejunal EC cells. It is important to point out that P2Y\(_4\) receptors can undergo internalization after activation by a high enough concentration of UTP (Brinson and Harden, 2001), and the EC cell isolation procedure used is sufficient to mechanically activate the cells to release UTP (or other purines) and cause receptor internalization to varying degrees in the cells. Cells can take many hours to recover (i.e., up to 12 h). Apparently, there are both punctate and other staining distributions in different EC cells (probably representing localization at both cell surface and inside the cells). EC cells are sensitive to mechanical stimulation, and even changing the medium on the cells after plating can cause release of UTP (Lazarowski et al., 1997; Lazarowski and Harden, 1999) that can activate receptors.

**Ca\(^{2+}\) Signaling Pathways Activated by UTP**

5-HT release is a Ca\(^{2+}\) dependent mechanism, and therefore, we sought to determine the post-receptor signaling pathways linked to UTP – Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. Comprehensive analysis was done with pharmacological inhibitors, receptor or channel blockers, and data is summarized in Tables 2, 3. As well, given the complexity of
the pathway, we decided to present the results for Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging and patch-clamp separately.

As a GPCR, P2Y\(_4\) may couple to the G\(_q\) protein. The PLC inhibitor U73122 prevented the UTP – Ca\(^{2+}\) response in 69% of the cells and reduced the response in the remainder (Figure 7). Both types of responses, oscillations and monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients to UTP were blocked by U73122 (Figures 7A,B).

However, in a subset of cells, Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations were only partially blocked by U73122 (Figure 7C). The effect of U73122 was selective for PLC since the inactive analog U73343 could not block UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) responses (Figures 7D–F).

To evaluate the role of external calcium on the rise of intracellular calcium, we tested the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocker lanthanum (La\(^{3+}\)), which could abolish UTP – Ca\(^{2+}\) transients...
in 100% of cells in a partially reversible manner (Figures 8A,G). Next, to assess the role of intracellular calcium stores, we treated the cells with the IP3R antagonist 2APB, which could also abolish the UTP-Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients, and the effect was partially reversible with washout of the drug (Figures 8B,H). In a similar way, the sarco/endoplasmic reticulum (SERCA) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ATPase inhibitor thapsigargin, abolished all UTP-responses in an irreversible manner (Figures 8C,I).

To further dissect the signaling pathway, we used different treatments targeting several possibilities based on our previous results; however, they were without effect on UTP–Ca\textsuperscript{2+} responses (Table 2). These included treatment with a ryanodine receptor blocker (RuRed, Figures 8D,J), a selective inhibitor of store-operated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry (SOC channels, MRS1845; Figures 8E,K), a PI3K blocker (Ly294002, Supplementary Figure 3A), a PKC antagonist (GF109203X, Supplementary
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FIGURE 7 | UTP-Ca\(^{2+}\) responses depend on the phospholipase C (PLC) signaling pathway in human BON. The PLC inhibitor U73122 (10 \(\mu\)M) abolished the Ca\(^{2+}\) responses induced by UTP (A) in cells with Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations or (B) monophasic Ca\(^{2+}\) transients, (C) and reduced Ca\(^{2+}\) responses in other cells. Representative Ca\(^{2+}\) responses are shown in (A–C) and histograms associated with each type of response represent pooled data showing that U73122 can abolish or reduce Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. (D–F) Average population response showing that U73122 but not its inactive analog U73343, can block UTP-Ca\(^{2+}\) responses in BON (EC) cells. Cells were pre-incubated with U73122 or U73343 for 10 min.

Figure 3B), and a SRC kinase antagonist (PP2, Supplementary Figure 3C), which did not affect the UTP – Ca\(^{2+}\) response. To further clarify the effect of La\(^{3+}\) on UTP-evoked oscillations, we tested inhibitors of other mechanisms that could potentially be involved in external calcium conductance. Therefore, the SOCE/TRPC channel blocker (SKF96365, Supplementary Figure 3D) and a blocker of the L-type voltage dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channel, previously linked to 5-HT release (nicardipine, see Figures 8F,L) had no effect on UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. Taken together, the data suggest that UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) responses are linked to a P2Y\(_4\)/Gq/PLC/IP3/IP3R/SERCA-Ca\(^{2+}\) pump – Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway.

We further evaluated the effect of depleting intracellular or extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels. We found that that these manipulations had opposite effects on UTP-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses (Figure 9). On the one hand, the intracellular free Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator BAPTA-AM could abolish the UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) response in BON cells (Figures 9A,B). On the other hand, bathing the cells in Krebs buffer with 0.0Ca\(^{2+}\) + EGTA enhanced rather than suppressed UTP-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses (Figures 9C–E) without affecting its duration (not shown). Finally, we evaluated whether or not this augmented intracellular calcium response may alter the magnitude of 5-HT release evoked by UTP and we found that, in BON cells, 0.0Ca\(^{2+}\) + EGTA in the extracellular bathing medium could enhance the UTP-evoked 5-HT release (Figure 9F).

Molecular Signaling Pathways Linked to UTP-Induced MP Depolarization

UTP was shown to cause a prominent increase in intracellular free Ca\(^{2+}\) levels, and therefore we sought to determine whether or not this increase in Ca\(^{2+}\) via P2Y receptor activation modulates the membrane potential of BON cells. To do so, we used perforated patch clamp to access and record membrane potential.
potential ($V_m$) at rest and during drug stimulation. As expected, UTP induced a slow developing $V_m$ depolarization in 50% of cells (17 of 34 BON cells). This effect peaked at ~60 s of application and lasted several min after UTP washout (Figures 10A,C 5.94 ± 0.88 mV depolarization; $n = 17$). Surprisingly, UTP-evoked $V_m$ depolarization was associated with a significant increase in $R_i$ (Figure 10D, 1.11 ± 0.12 GΩ for control versus 1.46 ± 0.16 GΩ for UTP; $p = 0.01$, $n = 7$).

As our previous results demonstrated P2Y$_4$ plays a major role in UTP responses in these cells, we tested UTP$_y$s to determine the association of this receptor with the UTP-evoked $V_m$ depolarization. In a similar manner, the P2Y$_4$ agonist UTP$_y$s caused a slow membrane depolarization equivalent to that of UTP (Figure 10F), associated with an increase in $R_i$ (Figure 10D, 1.17 ± 0.10 GΩ for control versus 1.38 ± 0.15 GΩ for UTP$_y$s; $p = 0.038$, $n = 6$); maximal depolarization was also reached at ~60 s and lasted for several min after washing out UTP$_y$s. Furthermore, activation of P2Y$_4$ receptors by previous application of UTP$_y$s prevented the UTP-evoked $V_m$ depolarization (Figures 10B,E, 8.30 ± 2.08 mV for UTP$_y$s and 0.40 ± 0.24 mV for UTP in the continued presence of UTP$_y$s; $p = 0.0165$, $n = 5$). ATP caused a similar $V_m$ depolarization to UTP, and prior application of ATP to depolarize the cell prevented any further response of UTP (Supplementary Figure 4). Therefore, activation of a P2Y$_4$ receptor also causes $V_m$ depolarization of BON cells.

To further dissect the intracellular pathway activated by UTP to cause $V_m$ depolarization and in parallel with Ca$_{2+}$ imaging studies, pharmacological studies with inhibitors were done. Data is summarized in Table 3.

First of all, we sought to determine whether or not activation of P2Y was associated with PLC. The UTP induced $V_m$ depolarization was completely abolished in the presence of the PLC inhibitor U73122 (Figures 11A,E), but not with the inactive compound U73343 (Figures 11B,E, 0 out 8 cells and 5 out of 12 cells, respectively, responded to UTP, $p = 0.035$). This may suggest a reduction in K$^+$ conductance, an $I_K$ current.

Since UTP-induced $V_m$ depolarization was associated with an increase in $R_i$ and previous studies reported UTP/P2Y$_4$ can regulate potassium conductance via C$_a$/PLC (type M currents) (Falkenburger et al., 2010), we wanted to assess if the effect of UTP in BON cells was associated with the modulation of the same conductance. Therefore, we tested XE-991, a selective Kv7.1/7.2/7.3 K$^+$ channel blocker. Notably, blockage of type M K$^+$ current with XE-991, which may be active at resting membrane potential (Wladyka and Kunze, 2006),
mimicked UTP actions by causing V_m depolarization, and increased R_l (Figures 11C,D,F, 5.13 ± 0.64 mV depolarization for UTP versus 6.08 ± 0.82 mV depolarization for XE-991 alone). Furthermore, pre-incubation of BON cells with XE-991 significantly reduced the number of cells responsive to the application of UTP (8 out of 15 cells respond to UTP; 2 of 17 cells respond to UTP after XE-991 treatment, p = 0.02) (Figures 11C,F).

K_v7 potassium channel subunits can contribute to the generation of a voltage dependent outward current that does not inactivate in the presence of depolarizing pulses and they may participate actively in the control of the membrane potential (Schroeder et al., 2000). Therefore, we looked to further confirm the modulation of this conductance by UTP. We isolated voltage activated potassium currents, total K_v currents, and tested whether or not there was a modulation by UTP. As expected, acute UTP application (100 µM) reduced the K-type component (I_K, Figure 12A, p < 0.0001, n = 8) without affecting the A-type outward potassium currents (I_A, Figure 12B). A robust reduction of I_K currents was observed after incubation of the cells with the potassium channels blocker XE-991, generally used for selective blockage of subunits K_v7.1/7.2/7.3 (Figure 12C, p < 0.0001, n = 3). However, UTP modulation of I_K currents persisted in the presence of XE-991 (Figure 12C, p < 0.0001, n = 5). These results suggest that besides the modulation of the potassium conductance involved in membrane potential control (i.e., carried by K_v7.1/7.2/7.3 subunits), UTP may modulate an additional potassium conductance. The identity of this pathway/conductance was not considered further in our study. However, M-type currents seem to have small magnitude in BON cells, and we were unable to isolate them by voltage-clamp, and therefore, we were unable to further corroborate our findings on V_m with the Kv 7.1 antagonist XE-991. Therefore, it remains unresolved whether M-currents are being modulated by UTP. Furthermore, the effect of UTP on I_K does not account for its effects on V_m depolarization, since UTP reduced current at +50 mV and did not affect K^+ currents at potentials close to the resting potential. We considered the possibility that more than one potassium conductance is being modulated by UTP.
UTP Activates VOCC (I\textsubscript{Ca} Currents)

Previous reports had demonstrated that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} conductance via voltage-operated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels (VOCCs) could induce an increase in 5-HT release (Lomax et al., 1999). Our results indicated that the VOCC blocker La\textsuperscript{3+} abolished the UTP response observed with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} imaging, although an L-type Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel blocker nicardipine did not inhibit the UTP response. Therefore, we employed the whole-cell patch clamp technique on BON cells to further assess VOCC activity in response to UTP. Ba\textsuperscript{2+} was used as a charge carrier in a standard solution free of Na\textsuperscript{+}, and K\textsuperscript{+} channels were blocked by addition of TEA/4-AP and Cs in the electrode solution. After a 10 min stabilization period and bath solutions exchange, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels were voltage stimulated and evoked Ba\textsuperscript{2+} currents recorded before and after 3 min of UTP, UTP\textsubscript{γS} or UDP application. UTP significantly augmented the current density carried by VOCC (Figure 13A, at V\textsubscript{m} of −20 mV, 0−40 mV, p < 0.0001; n = 10). The effect of UTP was reversible with a 3 min washout (not shown). UTP treated cells, also had an evident persistence of the currents during the application of voltage steps (Figure 13E). Interestingly, although not significant, UTP caused a shift in the VOCC voltage-dependence (Figure 13A, −20.33 ± 5.61 mV control vs. −15.35 ± 8.05 mV UTP, n = 10, p > 0.05).

The P2Y\textsubscript{2/4} agonist UTP\textsubscript{γS} also augmented the currents carried by VOCC (Figure 13B, at V\textsubscript{m} of −30−20 mV, p < 0.0001; n = 6); however, there was not any effect on the voltage

**FIGURE 10** | UTP depolarizes the membrane potential in human BON. (A) Acute application of 100 µM UTP causes V\textsubscript{m} depolarization (upper trace, representative response), associated with an increase in cell input resistance (R\textsubscript{i}; B, lower panel; 1.12 ± 0.12 GΩ for control vs. 1.46 ± 0.16 GΩ for UTP, p = 0.01, n = 7; 1.12 ± 0.10 GΩ for control vs. 1.46 ± 0.16 GΩ for UTP, p = 0.038, n = 6). UTP\textsubscript{γS} mimicked UTP evoked V\textsubscript{m} depolarization and if UTP\textsubscript{γS} is used to depolarize the cell, UTP no longer has any effect (lower trace). (C) UTP causes a significant depolarization of the membrane potential. (D) UTP or UTP\textsubscript{γS} causes a modest but significant increase in cell input resistance. Bars, SEM. Asterisk denotes statistical significance when analyzed with student’s t-test. (E) UTP\textsubscript{γS} induced V\textsubscript{m} depolarization prevents the response to subsequent co-application of UTP (8.30 ± 2.08 mV for UTP\textsubscript{γS} and 0.40 ± 0.24 mV for UTP, p = 0.0165, n = 5). (F) UTP and UTP\textsubscript{γS} evoked a similar V\textsubscript{m} depolarization (p > 0.05, NS; 5.94 ± 0.88 mV for UTP and 6.83 ± 2.09 mV for UTP\textsubscript{γS}, n = 17 and 6, respectively).

**FIGURE 11** | UTP evokes a K\textsubscript{v}7 and PLC-dependent V\textsubscript{m} depolarization. (A,B,D) UTP depolarization was eliminated in the presence of a PLC inhibitor U73122 but not with the inactive compound U73343. (C,E) Blockade of K\textsubscript{v}7.1/7.2/7.3 potassium channels by XE-991 depolarizes the V\textsubscript{m} and increases R\textsubscript{i} in a similar manner to UTP (5.13 ± 0.64 mV for UTP vs. 6.08 ± 0.82 mV for XE-991). (C,F) Pre-incubation with 10 µM XE-991 reduced the number of cells responsive to UTP (p = 0.02). (G) Preincubation with La\textsuperscript{3+} inhibited the effect of UTP on membrane potential (p = 0.03). UTP-evoked V\textsubscript{m} depolarization was not affected by treating cells with thapsigargin, GF109203X, and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} free external solution.
dependency (Figure 13, control: $-21.94 \pm 3.70$ mV versus UTP$\gamma$: $-21.81 \pm 3.595$ mV, $n = 6$) and notably, cells treated with UTP$\gamma$ did not show evidence of any change in the persistence of currents during stimulation with voltage steps as shown to occur with UTP treatment (Figure 13E). Interestingly, preferential P2Y$_6$ stimulation by application of UDP, caused a statistically significant shift to the right (5.7 mV) in the VOCC voltage dependence (Figure 13C, control: $-13.57 \pm 4.22$ mV versus UDP: $-7.85 \pm 7.99$ mV; $n = 8$, $p = 0.0028$), and contrary to P2Y$_4$ activation with UTP$\gamma$, there was not a significant change in the current density (Figure 13B, $n = 8$, $p > 0.05$). It is essential to note that no further analysis with a P2Y$_2$ specific agonist was considered necessary as Ca$^{2+}$ imaging studies ruled out any significant involvement of the receptor.

To determine whether or not the increase in VOCC conductance evoked by UTP was linked to the changes in MP, we performed a series of experiments in Ca$^{2+}$ solution, and pre-incubating cells with La$^{3+}$ did not show evidence of any change in the voltage steps protocol used to achieve voltage dependent potassium currents, V$_{h}$ indicates holding potential. (A) Summary of data showing a decrease in I$_K$ potassium currents initiated by UTP ($p < 0.0001$, $n = 8$). (B) I$_K$ potassium currents were not significantly affected by UTP. (C) Shows a summary of results presenting a reduction in the evoked I$_K$ currents ($p < 0.0001$, $n = 3–5$) in the presence of XE-991 alone and in combination with UTP. Symbols represent mean ± SEM.

**FIGURE 12 |** Cells treated with UTP exhibit a decrease in I$_K$ potassium currents. Graphs show the mean I$_K$ and I$_p$ currents obtained by measuring the peak of each voltage step which were dissected by manipulating the holding potential. The I$_K$ currents were determined by subtracting I$_p$ currents from I$_{Ktot}$ currents. Insert shows the voltage steps protocol used to achieve voltage dependent potassium currents, $V_h$ indicates holding potential. (A) Summary of data showing a decrease in I$_K$ potassium currents initiated by UTP ($p < 0.0001$, $n = 8$). (B) I$_K$ potassium currents were not significantly affected by UTP. (C) Shows a summary of results presenting a reduction in the evoked I$_K$ currents ($p < 0.0001$, $n = 3–5$) in the presence of XE-991 alone and in combination with UTP. Symbols represent mean ± SEM.

**DISCUSSION**

ATP (or ADP) modulates mechanically-evoked 5-HT release via autocrine activation of stimulatory P2Y$_1$, inhibitory P2Y$_{12}$ purinergic pathways and ATP-gated P2X$_3$-channels (Liñán-Rico et al., 2013). The current study expands our knowledge of purinergic signaling mechanisms by identifying uridine nucleotide receptors that are activated by UTP or UDP to induce a rise in intracellular free Ca$^{2+}$ levels ([Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$), Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations, V$_m$ depolarization and 5-HT release. These receptors are novel targets in EC cells for chemosensory regulation of gut reflexes.

**Role of P2Y$_4$, P2Y$_6$, P2Y$_2$, Receptors in Ca$^{2+}$ Signaling**

UTP elicits a biphasic response in EC cells suggesting more than one receptor. At lower concentrations (EC$_{50} = 11.4$ µM), UTP activates both P2Y$_4$ and P2Y$_{4R}$Rs (Abbraccio et al., 2006). The receptor activated at high concentrations is unknown. Functional P2Y$_2$ receptors (P2Y$_2$R, MRS2768) are rare in BON (EC) cells, and are not involved in Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations. UTP (P2Y$_2$, P2Y$_6$), UDP (P2Y$_6$) or ATP induced Ca$^{2+}$oscillations (or single Ca$^{2+}$transients) in BON.

Overall, pharmacological and molecular analysis indicates that the predominant site is the P2Y$_2$R in BON. However, expression of P2Y$_4$R and P2Y$_6$R is passage-dependent and therefore, definitive identification of the relative importance of these receptors awaits further study in freshly isolated human EC from surgical specimens that express both receptors.

Cells responded in the order of $\text{UTP/ATP} > \text{UTP}$ $\gamma$S (P2Y$_{2/4} > \text{UDP} > \text{MRS2768} (P2Y_2)$, BzATP (P2X$_7$), $\alpha$-$\beta$-MeATP (P2X$_{1/2/3}$) > MRS2365 (P2Y$_1$), MRS2690 (P2Y$_{14}$), NF546 (P2Y$_{11}$), P2X$_{1/2/3}$, P2X$_7$, P2Y$_{1R}$, P2Y$_{11R}$ and P2Y$_{14R}$ play a minor role in chemosensory signaling. Agonist responses are consistent with the presence of multiple functional purinergic receptors. First, agonists with selectivity for different receptors had no effect, induced only a monophasic Ca$^{2+}$ response, or also had oscillatory responses. Second, different proportions of cells...
activated by UTP and ATP also responded to UTPγS (50% cells), UDP (30%), UTPγS/UDP (14%) or MRS2768 (<3%). Third, the profile of activity in response to consecutive applications of UTP, UTPγS, UDP (and ATP) is consistent with subsets of EC cells that express P2Y4, P2Y6 or both P2Y4 and P2Y6. In cells responding to UTP and ATP but no other agonists, different receptors are involved. ATP itself lacks intrinsic activity at human P2Y4R (Kennedy et al., 2000). P2Y13, P2X4, P2X5 or P2X6 are...
potential candidates for ATP (not UTP) responses (Communi et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2002; Abbracchio et al., 2006; Kügelgen, 2006; Kügelgen and Bonn, 2008). UTP does not activate hP2Y11 (Morrow et al., 2014) and P2Y11 effects to NF546 (Meis et al., 2010) in BON were rare. The hP2Y1R forms stable dimers and transfected P2Y2R and P2Y4R proteins can associate with P2Y1,2,4,6,11 receptors (D’Ambrosi et al., 2006, 2007; Bilbao et al., 2012). Therefore, specific dimers could be responding to UTP and not UTPyS or UDP. This could also contribute to the biphasic nature of UTP responses at low or high micromolar levels.

Overall, the pharmacological data clearly show that subpopulations of cells exhibit responses to different sets of agonists. Subpopulations of responses suggest that UTP, ATP and UDP can activate different combinations of purinergic receptors in different cells, and therefore, subtypes of EC cells can be distinguished by their functional expression of purinergic receptors, including P2Y4, P2Y6, P2Y2, P2Y4/P2Y6, and other receptors not yet identified that respond to UTP and ATP.

Post-receptor Ca\(^{2+}\) Signaling Pathways

Chelating intracellular free Ca\(^{2+}\)levels prevented UTP – Ca\(^{2+}\)responses indicating that the response is due to a rise in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\)\(\text{m}\). Ca\(^{2+}\) influx does not contribute to UTP-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) responses (Nikodijevic et al., 1994), and suggests that the response may be a result of mobilization of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores. Ca\(^{2+}\) free buffer augmented UTP-induced rise in [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(\text{c}\)\(\text{m}\) and 5-HT release, suggesting Ca\(^{2+}\) influx is an inhibitory modulatory mechanism in UTP responses. This was an unexpected finding and the mechanism involved remains unknown.

La\(^{3+}\) is an inhibitor of transmembrane Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes and a blocker of VOCCs (Block et al., 1998) and NSCC (Pena and Ordaz, 2008). In BON, La\(^{3+}\) was shown to block capaci\(\text{s}\)in\(\text{d}\) Ca\(^{2+}\) responses (Mergler et al., 2012). In our study, La\(^{3+}\) could prevent the UTP-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) response suggesting that a NSCC or Ca\(^{2+}\) current through VOCCs is essential for the response. Nicardipine sensitive LT-type VOCCs are not involved, although UTP activates other VOCCs (i.e., I\(_K\) currents).

The PLC inhibitor U73122 or an IP\(_3\)R inhibitor 2APB could inhibit or abolish UTP responses in BON, indicating that the G\(_q\)/PLC/IP\(_3\)–Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling pathway is essential in triggering UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. MRS1845 blockade of store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\)entry (Harper et al., 2003) had no effect. 2APB could also modulate TRP channels (Alkhani et al., 2014), but a TRPC channel blocker SKF96365 that also inhibits store-operated Ca\(^{2+}\) entry (SOCE) had no effect. PLC activation in non-excitable cells can cause release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores and activation of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx by means of Ca\(^{2+}\) release-activated channels (CRAC). The I\(_{\text{CRAC}}\) channel is not involved since SKF96365 had no effect on UTP responses (Kaznacheeva et al., 2001). Other mechanisms were also excluded, including ryanodine-sensitive Ca\(^{2+}\) pools and Kinases (PI3K, PKC, and SRC-K). The SERCA pump inhibitor thapsigargin (Tuluc et al., 2005) abolished UTP Ca\(^{2+}\) responses, indicating that it is an essential mechanism.

**UTP – Induced V\(_m\) Depolarization, I\(_K\) and I\(_A\) Currents**

UTP or UTPyS caused V\(_m\) depolarization in 50% of cells associated with increase in cell Ri, suggesting a closure of K\(^+\) channels. UTP did not affect the I\(_A\) current. Potassium channels, including K\(_v\) 7.1 have been implicated in regulation of secretion (Ulrich et al., 2005; Yamagata et al., 2011). UTP inhibits ATP sensitive and voltage-dependent K\(^+\) currents (I\(_K\), Welsh and Bradyen, 2001). A K\(_v\) 7.1/7.2/7.3 K\(^+\) channel blocker XE-991 induced membrane depolarization of BON, mimicking the UTP-induced membrane depolarization and blocked UTP responses, implying that closure of I\(_K\) 7.1/7.2/7.3 channels is linked to UTP depolarization. Desensitization to UTPyS prevents UTP responses, suggesting involvement of a P2Y4R. Findings with GF109203X or thapsigargin indicate that PKC or the SERCA mechanism is not involved in slow membrane depolarization. Overall, PLC inhibition blocked depolarization and Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to UTP, whereas thapsigargin or zero Ca\(^{2+}\)buffer had no effect on depolarization, indicating that V\(_m\) depolarization is not linked to Ca\(^{2+}\) responses. La\(^{3+}\) blocked UTP-evoked V\(_m\) depolarization, whereas zero Ca\(^{2+}\)buffer had no effect. This is explained by direct block of K\(^+\) conductance (Type-M) by La\(^{3+}\) (Hirasawa et al., 2000).

When I\(_K\) currents were isolated, UTP reduced the overall prominent I\(_K\) currents. However, although a major component of the I\(_K\) currents could be blocked by a K\(_v\) 7.1/7.2/7.3 channel inhibitor XE-991 alone (Yeung and Greenwood, 2005), it did not block the effect of UTP on I\(_K\) currents, indicating that UTP can inhibit an I\(_K\) current that is insensitive to XE-991. Its identity remains unknown. XE-991 inhibits K\(_v\) 7 channels, but at the 10 \(\mu\)M concentration used, it may inhibit K\(_v\) 1.2/K\(_v\) 1.5 and K\(_v\) 2.1/K\(_v\) 9.3 channels (Zhong et al., 2010). However, at resting membrane potential of \(-50\) to \(-60\)mV (\(-54\) mV for BON), K\(_v\) 7 channels have an appreciable open probability that can be inhibited by XE-991 (Brown and Passmore, 2009; Mani et al., 2011). It has been shown K\(_v\) 7/2/7.3 channels are modulated by PLC/IP\(_3\)/IP\(_3\) in other cells. In fact, K\(_v\) 7 channels seem to require IP\(_3\) to maintain open state (Zhang et al., 2003) and are negatively modulated by IP\(_3\) membrane depletion (Hughes et al., 2007; Brown and Passmore, 2009; Falkenburger et al., 2010, 2013). Therefore, we suggest that UTP activation of PLC signaling leads to IP\(_3\) depletion (a substrate for IP3 formation) to inactivate the K\(_v\) 7 channels, and closure of the channels leads to V\(_m\) depolarization. The effect of UTP on I\(_K\) currents seems independent of V\(_m\) depolarization. Specifically, the effect of UTP on K\(_v\) does not account for its effects on V\(_m\) depolarization, since UTP reduced current at \(+50\) mV and did not affect K\(^+\) currents at potentials close to the resting potential. We could not isolate other K\(_v\) currents (i.e., M-currents) in BON to test with UTP.

**Voltage-Dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) Currents (I\(_{CA}\))**

The fact that UTP and UTPyS but not UDP induced an increase of voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) currents (I\(_{CA}\)) in BON suggests involvement of a P2Y4R in modulating VOCC. However, UTP-modulation of I\(_{CA}\) was not linked to UTP-induced V\(_m\)
FIGURE 14 | Working hypothesis of uridine nucleotide signaling in human EC cells lining the GI mucosa. UTP (or UDP) activate P2Y\textsubscript{4} and P2Y\textsubscript{6} receptors in EC cells to elevate intracellular free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}) and generate Ca\textsuperscript{2+} oscillations or monophasic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients, and cause membrane depolarization. UTP acts via P2Y\textsubscript{4} to stimulate a Gq/PLC-PIP2-IP3-IP3R/SERCA signaling pathway to elevate [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} associated with Ca\textsuperscript{2+} responses that triggers a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependent 5-HT secretion in EC cells. In addition, patch-clamp studies revealed that UTP increases I\textsubscript{Ca} currents by activation of VOCCs via P2Y\textsubscript{4}; P2Y\textsubscript{6} is presumed to have an inhibitory effect on VOCCs. As well, activation of P2Y\textsubscript{4} causes a \(V_m\) depolarization that was independent of VOCC activation, but was eliminated by the \(K_{\text{v}} 7.1/7.2/7.3\) channel inhibitor XE-991. We hypothesize that UTP depolarizes the cell by reducing a potassium conductance (not yet identified), which occurs as a consequence of depleting PIP2 from the membrane by its conversion to IP3 after PLC activation. \(V_m\) depolarization seems not linked to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} dependent 5-HT release but it may play a role in the fine tuning of the pathway. In a parallel way, UTP reduced a component of I\textsubscript{K} currents that was insensitive to XE-991, and the identity of the \(K_{\text{v}}\) channel remains unknown. This response does not explain the effect of UTP on \(V_m\) depolarization. A rise in intracellular free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is required for 5-HT release. However, zero Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffer augments both [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} inside the cell and 5-HT release. The mechanism for this paradoxical effect of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx is unknown. Concepts are based on data from a BON cell model of human EC cells. Isolated EC cells from human intestinal surgical specimens were used to confirm effect of UTP on 5-HT release and expression of P2Y\textsubscript{4} and P2Y\textsubscript{6} receptors.

depolarization, since zero Ca\textsuperscript{2+} buffer or nicardipine did not influence the response. The identity of the VOCC activated by UTP and its role in the regulation of 5-HT release remains unknown.

**Significance of Findings in BON and Translatability to EC Cells**

As discussed in a recent review on sensory signaling in EC cells on 5-HT release, much of our knowledge and concepts of sensory signaling in EC cells (both mechanical and chemical signaling) comes from the human BON cell model of EC, although more recent work has included other cell lines, native EC cells and intact mucosa (Lihnán-Rico et al., 2016). The pitfalls and use of BON or other cell lines, and human EC cells are described in detail in the review. Notably, some of the earlier publications on BON provided fundamental knowledge about mechanisms regulating EC cell function, i.e., mechanosensation (Kim et al., 2001a), glucose stimulation of 5-HT release (Kim et al., 2001b), purinergic autocrine regulation of 5-HT release in EC cells (Christofi et al., 2004a), and more recent studies on purinergic P2Y\textsubscript{1}, P2X\textsubscript{3} and P2Y\textsubscript{12} regulation of 5-HT release, also showing that P2X\textsubscript{3} expression in native EC cells is severely down regulated in ulcerative colitis (Lihnán-Rico et al., 2013). Data from BON on purinergic receptors are translatable to native EC cells (Chin et al., 2012; Lihnán-Rico et al., 2013), and although our current study focused primarily on BON as a model of EC cells, we were able to confirm expression of the key receptor(s) in native EC cells and show that activation of uridine phosphate receptors by UTP stimulates 5-HT release. Furthermore, an unexpected finding worth further investigation is that calcium influx provides an inhibitory influence on 5-HT release. The data provide a basis for more complex and challenging experiments in native EC cells isolated from surgical specimens (Raghupathi et al., 2013), and selected studies on UTP regulation of 5-HT release in intact human surgical tissues with agonists and antagonists to confirm findings in a more physiologically intact environment.
Our working model of UTP signaling in EC cells is depicted in Figure 14. UTP elicits calcium oscillations via a G_q/PLC/IP3/IP3R/SERCA Ca^{2+} pump signaling mechanism to stimulate 5-HT release through primarily a P2Y_4R mechanism, although the P2Y_6R may contribute. Different combinations of receptors may be expressed in the same cells, and subsets of EC cells can be distinguished by expressing functional P2Y_4, P2Y_6, P2Y_2, P2Y_4 and P2Y_6 or other receptors. Activation of P2Y_4R causes V_m depolarization dependent on I_K currents (not yet identified) and independent of VOCC/I_{ca}, I_A or Ca^{2+} oscillations. We hypothesize that UTP depolarizes the cell by reducing a potassium conductance through K^{+} channels. V_m depolarization seems not linked to Ca^{2+}-dependent 5-HT release but it may play a role in the fine tuning of the pathway. In a parallel way, UTP reduced a component of I_K currents that was insensitive to XE-991, and the identity of the specific K_ channel remains unknown. This effect of UTP on K_ channels does not explain its effect on V_m depolarization. UTP stimulates I_A currents by activating VOCCs not involved in V_m depolarization. A rise in intracellular free Ca^{2+} is required for 5-HT release, although Ca^{2+} influx may attenuate 5-HT release. Ca^{2+} influx through a La^{3+} insensitive mechanism (since La^{3+} blocks Ca^{2+} responses) seems to provide ongoing inhibitory modulation of 5-HT release. It is not known whether all these mechanisms are linked to 5-HT release, and if they operate in normal EC cells. Monophasic and oscillatory Ca^{2+} responses may represent distinct receptors (or mechanisms).

Overall, UTP signaling is an important mechanism in regulation of 5-HT release from human EC cells, worth exploring in GI diseases or disorders associated with abnormal 5-HT signaling.
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