Indication of a Pulsar Wind Nebula in the Hard X-Ray Emission from SN 1987A
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Abstract

Since the day of its explosion, SN 1987A (SN87A) was closely monitored with the aim to study its evolution and to detect its central compact relic. The detection of neutrinos from the supernova strongly supports the formation of a neutron star (NS). However, the constant and fruitless search for this object has led to different hypotheses on its nature. To date, the detection in the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array data of a feature that is somehow compatible with the emission arising from a proto-pulsar wind nebula (PWN) is the only hint of the existence of such elusive compact object. Here we tackle this 33 yr old issue by analyzing archived observations of SN87A performed by Chandra and NuSTAR in different years. We firmly detect nonthermal emission in the 10–20 keV energy band, due to synchrotron radiation. The possible physical mechanism powering such emission is twofold: diffusive shock acceleration (DSA) or shock acceleration from an absorbed PWN. By relating a state-of-the-art magnetohydrodynamic simulation of SN87A to the actual data, we reconstruct the absorption pattern of the PWN embedded in the remnant and surrounded by cold ejecta. We found that, even though the DSA scenario cannot be firmly excluded, the most likely scenario that well explains the data is that of PWN emission.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Supernova remnants (1667); Compact objects (288); Neutron stars (1108); X-ray astronomy (1810); X-ray sources (1822); X-ray point sources (1270); X-ray observatories (1819); Shocks (2086); Interstellar synchrotron emission (856); Plasma astrophysics (1261)

1. Introduction

SN 1987A (SN87A) in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) was a hydrogen-rich core-collapse supernova (SN) discovered on 1987 February 23 (West et al. 1987). It occurred approximately 51.4 kpc from Earth (Panagia 1999) and its dynamical evolution is strictly related to the very inhomogeneous circumstellar medium (CSM), composed by a dense ring-like structure within a diffuse HII region (Sugerman et al. 2005). SN87A is the first naked-eye SN to have exploded since the advent of telescopes, and its evolution has been deeply monitored in various wavelengths (McCray 1993; McCray & Fransson 2016). In particular, the X-ray band is ideal to investigate the interaction of the shock front with the CSM and the emission of the expected central compact leftover from the supernova explosion.

Despite the unique consideration granted with deep and continuous observations, and the neutrinos detection (Bionta et al. 1987) strongly indicating the formation of a neutron star (NS; Vissani 2015), the elusive compact object of SN87A is still undetected. The most likely explanation for this non-detection is ascribable to the absorption due to ejecta, i.e., the dense and cold material ejected by the SN (Fransson & Chevalier 1987): because of the young age of SN87A, the ejecta are still very dense and the reverse shock generated in the outer shells of the supernova remnant (SNR) has not yet heated the inner ejecta. Thus, photoelectric absorption from this metal-rich material can hide the X-ray emission of a hypothetical compact object. During the last years, many works (Orlando et al. 2015; Alp et al. 2018; Esposito et al. 2018; Page et al. 2020) have investigated the upper limit on the luminosity of the putative compact leftover in various wavelengths, considering the case of an NS emitting thermal (blackbody) radiation and obscured by the cold ejecta and/or dust. However, the lack of strong constraints on the absorption pattern in the internal area of SN87A prevented both the further constraint of the luminosity of the putative NS and predictions about its future detectability.

On the other side, the X-ray emission from a young NS may include a significant nonthermal component: the synchrotron radiation arising from the pulsar wind nebula (PWN) associated with the rotating NS. Recently, Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) images showed a blob structure whose emission is somehow compatible with the radio emission of a PWN (Cigan et al. 2019). However, the authors themselves warned that this blob could be associated with other physical processes, e.g., heating due to \(^{44}\)Ti decay. It is natural, then, to look for the high-energy counterpart of the synchrotron radiation in the X-ray band.

In this Letter, we report on the analysis of observations of SN87A performed between 2012 and 2014 by Chandra and NuSTAR. We also take advantage of the state-of-the-art magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulation from Orlando et al. (2020, hereafter Or20) to reconstruct the absorption pattern within SN87A, and link it to the observed spectra. We provide a single model describing the emission in these years from 0.5 to 20 keV, isolating the synchrotron radiation arising from the remnant. We then discuss the possible origin of such emission and show how the presence of a PWN appears to be the most likely scenario.
2. X-Ray Data Analysis

We used data collected in 2012, 2013, and 2014 with the Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS-S) and NuSTAR Cadmium-Zinc-Telluride (CZT) detectors Focal Plane Module A and B (FPMA and FPMB). We reprocessed Chandra and NuSTAR data with the standard pipelines available within CIAO v4.12.2 and NuSTARDAS, respectively. For details on the observations and the data reduction, see Appendix A.

We extracted spectra from a circular region centered at $\alpha = 5^h 35^m 28^s$ and $\delta = -69^\circ 16' 10''$ with a radius of 2$''$ and 43$''$ for Chandra and NuSTAR data, respectively. These regions enclose all of the remnant and were chosen taking into account the point-spread functions of the different telescopes (see Figure 1).

We simultaneously analyzed Chandra and NuSTAR spectra for each year considered by adopting a model composed of a galactic absorption component ($TBabs$ model in XSPEC), two optically thin isothermal components in nonequilibrium of ionization ($vnei$ model), and a constant factor that takes into account cross-calibration between different detectors. The column density $n_H$ is fixed to $2.35 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Park et al. 2006). We found cross-calibration factors $<2\%$ within different Chandra data sets of the same year, and $<8\%$ between Chandra and NuSTAR, compatible with the characteristic corrections between NuSTAR detectors and other telescopes. Temperatures, emission measure, ionization age, and abundances of O, Ne, Mg, Si, and S were left free to vary in the fitting process. All other abundances were kept fixed to those found by Zhekov et al. (2009). We report no significant variations in the chemical abundances in the time range considered (2012–2014).

In agreement with previous works (Or20; Orlando et al. 2015; Miceli et al. 2019), we found that the soft (0.5–8 keV) X-ray emission consists of thermal X-rays originating in the shocked CSM. Our best-fit values of temperatures, $kT$, and ionization parameters, $\tau$, are compatible with previous measures (Zhekov et al. 2009). However, we found strong residuals in all NuSTAR spectra at energies $>10$ keV, clearly showing that an additional component must be added to the model to properly describe the hard X-ray emission (see Reynolds et al. 2015). The best-fit model and the residuals are shown in the left panel of Figure 2. This additional component cannot be associated with thermal emission from the shocked plasma, as otherwise unrealistically high temperatures would be necessary ($kT \sim 20$ keV), one order of magnitude higher than the maximum electron temperature predicted for SN 1987A (Orlando et al. 2015) and never observed in SNRs.\(^6\)

If the emission is unlikely to be thermal, it is plausible to suppose that it is nonthermal. This nonthermal emission may arise from a possible compact object, most likely an NS embedded in its PWN (hereafter PWN87A), which emits synchrotron radiation. The main issue in tackling this scenario is to isolate the radiation coming from this object.

We can estimate the absorbing power of cold ejecta surrounding the PWN by taking into account the abundance and density pattern along the line of sight provided by the MHD model by Or20 (see Appendix B) in the considered years. To include this information in the spectral analysis, we added an absorbed power-law modeled with $vphabs$ within XSPEC. Since its parameters were derived from the model they are not free to vary in the fit.

With this additional component, we obtained a very good description of the observed spectra over the whole 0.5–20 keV energy band (right panel of Figure 2). The best-fit value of photon index and normalization of the absorbed power law do not change significantly between the three years considered. We then fitted simultaneously the 2012, 2013, and 2014 spectra in order to decrease the uncertainties in the best-fit parameters of the power-law component. Temperatures, ionization parameters, and normalization of thermal emission were free to change in time, while normalization and photon index of nonthermal emission (and chemical abundances) were left free to vary in the fitting procedure, but forced to be the same over the three years.

The resulting PWN best-fit photon index is $\Gamma = 2.5^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ and the X-ray luminosity in the 1–10 keV band is $L_{1-10} = (2.6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Table 1). Our best-fit values are compatible with typical values found for PWNe (Section 3).

We found an increase in flux in the 8–20 keV band between 2012 and 2014 (as reported by Reynolds et al. 2015). We point out that the emission from the PWN is consistent with being constant, and that the increase in the hard X-ray flux is related to the steadily growing thermal emission arising from the interaction of the remnant with the HII region.

Because of the high ejecta absorption, the PWN flux is strongly suppressed below 8 keV. In particular, we found that the PWN emission is much dimmer ($\sim 4\%$) than the thermal emission.

---

\(^6\) A temperature of ~20 keV was observed only in SN 2010jl (Chandra et al. 2015) and SN 2014C (Margutti et al. 2017).
Table 1

| Component | Parameter | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|-----------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Tbabs     | $n_{b}$ ($10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 0.235 (Fixed) |
|           | $kT$ (keV) | $2.85^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ | $2.87^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | $2.85^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ |
|           | O         | $0.32^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$   |
|           | Ne        | $0.57^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$   |
| vnei      | Mg        | $0.36^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$   |
|           | Si        | $0.39^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$   |
|           | S         | $0.65^{+0.06}_{-0.06}$   |
|           | $\tau$ ($10^{11}$ s cm$^{-1}$) | $2.1^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$ | $1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$ | $1.8^{+0.4}_{-0.4}$ |
|           | EM ($10^{35}$ cm$^{-3}$) | $7.0^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$ | $7.3^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$ | $8.2^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$ |
| pow       | Photon index $\Gamma$ | $2.5^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ | |
|           | $L^{\text{pwn}}_{0.5-8}$ ($10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $4.1^{+2.8}_{-2.8}$ | |
|           | $L^{\text{pwn}}_{10}$ ($10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $2.6^{+1.4}_{-1.4}$ | |
|           | $L^{\text{pwn}}_{10-20}$ ($10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$) | $0.32^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ | |
|           | Flux$_{0.5-8}$ ($10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $93^{+2}_{-2}$ | $92^{+2}_{-2}$ | $95^{+2}_{-2}$ |
|           | Flux$_{10-20}$ ($10^{35}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | $1.5^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ | $1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ | $1.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ |
|           | $\chi^2$ (d.o.f.) | 1442 (1221) | |

Note. Chemical abundances and power-law parameters are kept constant along the various years. Uncertainties are at 90% confidence level.

consistent with it. Analogously to what we obtained for the PWN scenario, we found that photon index and normalization of the nonthermal emission do not change significantly from 2012 to 2014. We then repeated the simultaneous fit of the data from all years obtaining a good description of the observed spectra ($\chi^2_{\text{DSA}} = 1457$ with 1221 d.o.f. to be compared with $\chi^2_{\text{PWN}} = 1442$ with 1221 d.o.f. of the PWN scenario).

Since from a statistical point of view an improvement of only 1% is not enough to favor the PWN case over the DSA one, in Section 3 we present a comparison of the physical implications in both cases.

3. Discussion

In Section 2 we showed that a nonthermal component is needed to properly fit the NuSTAR data in the 10–20 keV band. As already mentioned, the possible origin of the physical mechanism responsible for such emission is twofold: either DSA or emission from an heavily absorbed PWN. Under a spectroscopic perspective, the only difference between the two scenarios is the presence of an additional absorption component in the PWN case, the vphabs model, because of the presence of cold ejecta surrounding the putative compact object. The heavy absorption leads to a negligible contribution of the power law at energies below 6 keV and thus to a steeper $\Gamma$. However, the photon index values in the two scenarios are compatible with each other taking into account the 90% confidence error bars. Moreover, the very similar values of $\chi^2$ do not allow us to exclude one of the two possible emission mechanisms under a merely statistical point of view.

In the DSA scenario, the flux is expected to vary with time in the same way both in the X-ray and radio bands. The synchrotron radio flux of SN87A increased by $\sim 15\%$ between 2012 and 2014 (Cendes et al. 2018). Under the DSA hypothesis, this would be at odds with our findings, since we observe a steady X-ray synchrotron emission, and a 15% increase of the nonthermal X-ray flux is discouraged at the 90% confidence level. However, we note that the DSA scenario could allow for spectral variations in time that would make X-ray variations less predictable from radio variations.
To further investigate the emission nature in the DSA scenario, we replaced the power-law component with the XSPEC srcut model, which describes synchrotron emission from an exponentially cutoff power-law distribution of electrons in the assumption of homogeneous magnetic field (Reynolds 1998). For each of the three years considered, we constrained the normalization of the srcut component (i.e., its flux at 1 GHz, $S_{\text{GHz}}$), from the corresponding values observed at 9 GHz by Cendes et al. (2018), taking into account the radio spectral index of SN87A ($\alpha = 0.74$; Zanardo et al. 2013). We fixed $S_{\text{GHz}}$ and $\alpha$ in the srcut model and left the break frequency, $\nu_b$, free to vary. The radio-to-X-ray spectral index $\alpha$ can be assumed to be constant since the synchrotron cooling time is much longer than the age of the system, thus no significant cooling is expected (i.e., $\tau_{\text{sync}} \sim 125 \text{ yr}$ $(E/10 \text{ TeV})^{-1/2} (B/100 \mu \text{G})^{-3}$). We obtained $h\nu_b = 2.4^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \text{ keV}$, $h\nu_f = 2.3^{+0.3}_{-0.4} \text{ keV}$, and $h\nu_{\text{srcut}} = 1.7^{+0.4}_{-0.2} \text{ keV}$, in 2012, 2013, and 2014 respectively. The break energy is compatible with 2 keV in the three years considered, though the general trend seems to point toward a decrease with time.

The synchrotron emission of electrons peaks at energy $h\nu = 1.8 \times 10^2 B_{100}^2 V_{f,100}^2 E_{100}^{-4} \text{ yr}$, where $B$ is the magnetic field in units of $100 \mu \text{G}$, and $E_{100}$ is the electron energy in units of $100 \text{ TeV}$. Considering our break energy $h\nu_b \sim 2 \text{ keV}$, we obtain values of $E_{100}$ spanning from $\sim 0.14$ to $\sim 0.33$, for $B_{100}$ ranging from 6 to 10. This maximum electron energy seems to be quite high, especially considering the relatively low shock speed. In fact, the synchrotron radio emission originates in the HII region (Zanardo et al. 2013; Cendes et al. 2018; Orlando et al. 2019), where the shock velocity is of only 2000 km s$^{-1}$ (Cendes et al. 2018; Or20).

In the DSA scenario, we can estimate the acceleration timescale as (Parizot et al. 2006) $\tau_{\text{asc}} = 124 \eta B_{100} V_{f,100}^2 E_{100}^{-4} \text{ yr}$, where $\eta$ is the Bohm factor and $V_f$ is the shock velocity. In the hypothesis of maximum efficiency ($\eta = 1$) and a standard magnetic field $B_{100} = 1$, with $V_f = 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, we would need $\tau_{\text{asc}} \sim 390 \text{ yr}$ to accelerate the X-ray-emitting electrons up to the observed maximum energy, i.e., much more than the age of SN87A. The observed maximum energy can be obtained in 25 yr only by assuming that the downstream magnetic field is amplified by the SN87A slow shock up to $\sim 600 \mu \text{G}$ (in this case the maximum electron energy would be of $\sim 14 \text{ TeV}$), and only assuming that the acceleration proceeds at the Bohm limit. The aforementioned issues (steady synchrotron flux and extremely large electron energy in a relatively slow shock) concur in making the DSA scenario unconvincing.

On the other hand, the PWN scenario has a strong physical motivation, as we show below. In the absence of a direct identification of the compact object eventually powering PWNe, the only possible way to constrain its properties is to use the X-ray luminosity obtained in our analysis to locate the putative PWN within the PWNe population.

The properties of a generic PWN can be associated with those of its progenitor SNR and surrounding Interstellar medium (ISM) introducing the characteristic time and luminosity scales (Truelove & McKee 1999):

$$t_{\text{ch}} = E_{\text{sn}}^{-1/2} M_{ej}^{5/6} \rho_{\text{im}}^{-1/3},$$

$$L_{\text{ch}} = E_{\text{sn}} / t_{\text{ch}},$$

where $E_{\text{sn}}$ is the supernova explosion energy, usually assumed to be $10^{51} \text{ erg}$, $M_{ej}$ is the mass in the SNR ejecta, and $\rho_{\text{im}}$ the mass density of the ISM. These last parameters have been considered to vary uniformly in $M_{ej} \in [5-20] M_{\odot}$ (Smartt et al. 2009) and $\rho_{\text{im}} \in [0.01-10] \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Berkhuijsen 1987; Magnier et al. 1997; Long et al. 2010; Bandiera & Petruk 2010; Asvayov 2014), where $\rho_{\text{im}} = n_p m_p$, and $m_p$ is the proton mass. For the pulsar population the best choice is to consider young $\gamma$-ray-emitting pulsars (Watters & Romani 2011; Johnston et al. 2020), better suited for describing pulsars powering PWNe than the old radio-emitting ones (Kaspi et al. 2006).

Considering the pulsar parameters from that population (namely, the initial spin-down time $\tau_0$ and luminosity $L_0$), with the choice of the canonical dipole braking index $n = 3$, the PWN population can be then constructed by scaling time and luminosity with the characteristic ones defined in Equations (1)–(2). In the $(\tau_0/t_{\text{ch}}, L_0/L_{\text{ch}})$ plane the PWN population appears as an ellipsoidal surface, where each point corresponds to various physical sources with different combinations of $\tau_0$, $L_0$, $M_{ej}$, and $\rho_{\text{im}}$. We use this PWN population to discuss the possible location of PWN87A, by scaling the observed X-ray luminosity for the corresponding characteristic quantities. In particular, the ejecta mass and kinetic energy are $18 M_{\odot}$ and $2 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}$, respectively (Or20, and references therein). Given the complex structure of the remnant, the density of the material in which the ejecta expand shows large inhomogeneities, varying from $\sim 0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the preshock blue supergiant wind, $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the HII region, up to $10^3 - 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the dense ring (Sugerman et al. 2005; Or20). We consider a value of $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ representative of the equatorial zone of the HII region. Thus, the two scalings are:

$$t_{\text{ch}}^{\text{SN87A}} = 807.6 \text{ yr} \left( \frac{E_{\text{sn}}}{2 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}} \right)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{M_{ej}}{18 M_{\odot}} \right)^{5/6} \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{im}}}{100 n_p \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/3},$$

$$L_{\text{ch}}^{\text{SN87A}} = 7.86 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \left( \frac{E_{\text{sn}}}{2 \times 10^{51} \text{ erg}} \right).$$

Taking into account the ejecta absorption, as calculated from our MHD simulation, we derive from the spectral analysis the unabsorbed X-ray luminosity of the central source, finding $L_{\text{pwn}}^{\text{SN87A}} = 4.1^{+2.8}_{-2.8} \times 10^{35} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in the 0.5–8 keV band, considering a distance to the source of 51.4 kpc. The X-ray luminosity is related to the spin-down power $\dot{E}$ through the conversion efficiency parameter $\eta_{\dot{E}} = L_{\dot{E}} / \dot{E}$, that shows very large variations within the population, from $\sim 10^{-5}$ to $\sim 10^{-1}$ (Kargaltsev & Pavlov 2008).

To maintain our analysis as general as possible, here we have considered the entire range of measured $\eta_{\dot{E}}$. In Figure 3 we show the population of PWNe as determined associating the $\gamma$-ray-emitting pulsars with the discussed ranges of parameters for SNRs and the ISM (in light blue). The positioning of the putative PWN in SN87A for various $\eta_{\dot{E}}$ is shown as brown lines that horizontally intersect the distribution. The Crab Nebula, the Vela nebula, and the PWN in Kes 75 are shown for comparison. As it can be easily seen, all of the different possibilities lead to a location of PWN87A fully compatible with...
with the population. Assuming an ambient density of 100 cm$^{-3}$ might not be correct for SN 1987A, because of the complex density distribution. Therefore, we relaxed this assumption and, in the right panel of Figure 3, we show that the putative positions for varying X$\eta$ are perfectly consistent with the PWN population, even considering the two extreme density values for the surrounding medium (0.1–10$^4$ cm$^{-3}$; Sugerman et al. 2005; Or20).

We verified that our estimate of the PWN luminosity in the X-rays is consistent with the radio luminosity derived by Cigan et al. (2019) under the (very reasonable) assumption that the low energy break frequency of the synchrotron radiation is $\nu_b \gtrsim 10^{12}$ Hz, while we expect that the high absorption of the cold ejecta prevents the detectability of the PWN emission in the optical band.

An estimate (purely based on a statistical argument) of the most probable spin-down time for each $X\eta$ can be determined by combining the PWN probability distribution with the estimates of the pulsar spin-down luminosity (Table 2, with $n_{\text{amb}} = 100$ cm$^{-3}$).

In conclusion, a PWN seems to be the most likely source of the nonthermal X-ray emission that we have detected. With the present data and based on our findings, the PWN scenario seems the most likely (and appealing) to account for the nonthermal X-ray emission that we have detected.

We thank the anonymous referee for the helpful comments. E.G., M.M., S.O., B.O., and F.B. acknowledge financial contribution from the INAF mainstream program. M.M., E.G., and S.O acknowledge contribution from NuSTAR (NARO18) in the framework of the ASI—INAF agreement 1/037/12/0. This work is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research “KAKENHI A” grant Nos. JP19H00693. S.N., M.O., and A.D. wish to acknowledge the support from the Program of Interdisciplinary Theoretical & Mathematical Sciences (iTHEMS) at RIKEN, and the support from Pioneering Program of RIKEN for Evolution of Matter in the Universe (r-EMU).

Facilities: Chandra10, NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013).
Software: CIAO11 (Fruscione et al. 2006), HEASOFT, XSPEC (Arnaud 1996), NuSTARDAS12.

---

Table 2

| $X\eta$ | $L_\odot$ (erg s$^{-1}$) | $\tau_0$ (yr) |
|---------|----------------|-------------|
| $10^{-5}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{46}$ | 18 |
| $10^{-4}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{49}$ | 110 |
| $10^{-3}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{53}$ | 480 |
| $10^{-2}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{57}$ | 3160 |
| $10^{-1}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{60}$ | 25000 |

Note. All values are given considering $n_{\text{amb}} = 100$ cm$^{-3}$.

---

By assuming a radio photon index $\Gamma_{\nu} = 1.5$ and an X-ray photon index $\Gamma_X = 2.5$.

https://www.lynxobservatory.com

10 https://cxc.harvard.edu/index.html
11 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/software/heasoft/
12 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/nustar/analysis/nustar_swguide.pdf
Table 3
Summary of the Main Characteristics of the Analyzed Observations

| Telescope | OBS ID    | PI     | Date (yr/month/day) | Exposure Time (ks) |
|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Chandra   | 13735     | Burrows | 2012/03/28          | 48                 |
|           | 14417     | Burrows | 2012/04/01          | 27                 |
|           | 14697     | Burrows | 2013/03/21          | 68                 |
|           | 14698     | Burrows | 2013/09/28          | 68                 |
|           | 15809     | Burrows | 2014/03/19          | 70                 |
|           | 15810     | Burrows | 2014/09/20          | 48                 |
| NuSTAR    | 4000104003| Harrison | 2012/09/08          | 136                |
|           | 4000104004| Harrison | 2012/09/11          | 200                |
|           | 4000104007| Harrison | 2012/10/21          | 200                |
|           | 4000104013| Harrison | 2013/06/29          | 473                |
|           | 4000104018| Harrison | 2014/06/15          | 200                |
|           | 4000104020| Harrison | 2014/06/19          | 275                |
|           | 4000104023| Harrison | 2014/08/01          | 428                |

Appendix A
Data Reduction

Chandra data were reprocessed with the CIAO v4.12.2 software, using CALDB 4.9.2. We reduced the data through the task chandra_repro, and we extracted the ACIS-S spectra by using the tool specextract that also provided the corresponding arf and rmf files.

NuSTAR data were reprocessed with the standard pipelines provided by the NuSTAR data analysis software NuSTARDAS13 by using nupipeline and nuproducts. Details of the observations are reported in Table 3.

Spectral analysis has been performed with XSPEC (v12.11.1; Arnaud 1996) in the 0.5–8 keV and 3–20 keV bands for the Chandra and NuSTAR data, respectively. All spectra were rebinned adopting the optimal binning procedure described in Kaaster & Bleeker (2016), and the background spectrum to be subtracted was extracted from a nearby region immediately outside of the source. We verified that our results are not affected by the choice of the background regions.

Appendix B
X-Ray Absorption from Cold Ejecta

We used the 3D MHD simulation of SN87A by Or20 to estimate the absorption pattern of the cold ejecta. The simulation reproduces most of the features observed in the remnant of SN87A in various spectral bands, and links the SNR with the properties of the asymmetric parent SN explosion (Ono et al. 2020) and with the nature of its progenitor star (Urushibata et al. 2018). The model provides all relevant physical quantities in each cell of the 3D spatial domain (MHD variables, plasma composition of the CSM, and the ejecta versus time). The most notable quantities for our purposes are the electron temperature, the ion density for many chemical species (\( ^1H \), \( ^2He \), \( ^3He \), \( ^12C \), \( ^14N \), \( ^16O \), \( ^20Ne \), \( ^24Mg \), \( ^28Si \), \( ^32S \), \( ^36Ar \), \( ^40Ca \), \( ^44Ti \), \( ^48Cr \), \( ^52Fe \), \( ^54Fe \), \( ^56Ni \), and the decay products, including \( ^56Fe \)), and the ionization age. The model also predicts that the putative NS relic of the supernova explosion has received a kick toward the observer in the north with a lower limit to the kick velocity of \( \approx 300 \) km s\(^{-1} \), resulting from a highly asymmetric explosion (Ono et al. 2020; Or20).

For the present study, we assumed a slightly higher kick velocity of 500 km s\(^{-1} \). We checked that the results do not change significantly for values ranging between 300 and 700 km s\(^{-1} \). Given the kick velocity and the direction of motion of the NS as predicted by the model (Ono et al. 2020; Or20), and orienting the modeled remnant as it is observed in the plane of the sky (Or20), we established the position of the NS in the 3D spatial domain of the simulation for each year analyzed in this work. Considering that the extension of the putative radio PWN is of the order of 1000 au (Cigan et al. 2019), that the X-ray PWN is expected to be smaller than its radio counterpart, and that the spatial resolution of our MHD simulations is of \( \approx 180 \) au, we can consider the central source as pointlike in our procedure.

We reconstructed the absorption pattern encountered by the synchrotron X-ray emission of the putative PWN through the subsequent absorbing layers along the line of sight. The physical effect responsible for the absorption is the photoelectric effect, since the material surrounding the considered source is cold (\( T < 100 \) K). We extracted values of temperature, column density, and abundances associated with each absorbing layer of the 3D domain of the model, and we included these parameters in the spectral analysis through the XSPEC photoelectric absorption model vphabs.

For the years considered in this work, the absorption due to cold ejecta is comparable with an equivalent H column density higher than 10\(^{23} \) cm\(^{-2} \). This indicates that potential signature of a PWN emission must be searched in the high-energy part (\( \geq 10 \) keV) of the X-ray spectra, less affected by absorption.

Appendix C
Synthetic LYNX Spectrum

We produced a synthetic LYNX observation of SN87A, as predicted by our MHD model for year 2037. We expect the thermal emission to stay almost constant in the next 15–20 years, though ejecta contribution and/or interaction of the remnant with other inhomogeneities beyond the ring may affect our predictions. Figure 4 shows the synthetic spectrum extracted from a circular region with radius \( R = 0.7 \), well within the bright ring of SN87A (middle panel of Figure 1),
assuming an exposure time of 300 ks. If we consider the emission of the PWN absorbed by the ejecta pattern that the model predicts for 2037, we notice that the resulting component would have a flux higher than that associated with thermal emission above 4 keV, thus becoming detectable in the soft X-ray band (see Figure 4). Further details about the future detectability of the PWN and on the thermal emission of the putative NS will be described in a forthcoming paper (E. Greco et al. 2021, in preparation).
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