Weight Cycling Increases T-Cell Accumulation in Adipose Tissue and Impairs Systemic Glucose Tolerance
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Obesity is associated with an increased risk for the development of insulin resistance (IR), type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (1). Many of the metabolic consequences of obesity are the result of adipose tissue (AT) dysfunction. Recent findings have implicated immune cell accumulation in AT as a key contributor to obesity-associated inflammation. It is well established that innate immune cells, including macrophages, accumulate in AT during obesity and are a major source of AT-derived inflammatory cytokines/chemokines (2–4).

In addition to innate immune cells, recent evidence points to the involvement of the adaptive immune system in the initiation of AT inflammation during obesity. Upon high-fat diet (HFD) feeding, the proportion of AT-resident anti-inflammatory lymphocytes, including CD4+ regulatory T cells (5) and T helper (Th)2 cells (6), is decreased. Furthermore, obesity promotes the influx of proinflammatory lymphocytes such as B-2 cells (7), natural killer T cells (8,9), interferon-γ (IFN-γ)-secreting CD4+ Th1 (6,10–12), and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells (10,11,13,14) into AT. The accumulation of T-cells in AT appears to be antigen driven (6,14) and is also characterized by the formation of memory cells (10,11). Interestingly, preventing the accumulation of proinflammatory T-cell subsets in AT during obesity improves systemic glucose tolerance (6,14), indicating that a shift toward a Th1 immune response contributes to the development of AT inflammation and IR during obesity.

Weight loss is the ideal approach to counteract the negative consequences of obesity. Lifestyle or surgical interventions that promote weight loss decrease AT macrophage (ATM) number, reduce inflammation, and improve insulin sensitivity (15–17). However, even when weight loss is achieved, losses are rarely maintained (18). These bouts of weight regain lead to weight cycling. Although the literature regarding the impact of weight cycling on metabolic health remains controversial (19–22), multiple studies indicate that weight cycling increases the risk of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease in humans (23–27). While the potentially deleterious effects of weight cycling are recognized, the mechanisms by which weight cycling increases metabolic dysfunction remain unknown. Additionally, it is not known whether weight cycling alters AT immune cell composition.

In this study, mice were cycled between HFD and low-fat diet (LFD) to determine if weight cycling alters metabolic and immunological parameters when compared with mice that gain weight in the absence of cycling. We show that weight cycling impairs systemic glucose tolerance and decreases AT insulin sensitivity. Weight cycling did not alter the HFD-induced increase in ATM number or M1 polarization. However, both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell numbers were increased in AT during weight cycling. In addition, CD8+ effector memory T-cell populations were increased during obesity and weight cycling. This intensified T-cell-driven inflammatory response may contribute to the metabolic abnormalities associated with weight cycling.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Animals. Male C57Bl/6J mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. At 8 weeks of age, mice were placed on a 60% HFD or a 10% LFD, both purchased from Research Diets (New Brunswick, NJ; HFD: D12492; LFD: D12450B). Mice were fed ad libitum and given free access to water. All animal procedures were performed with approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Usage Committee of Vanderbilt University.

Weight and body composition. Body weight was measured weekly. Total lean and fat mass was measured by nuclear magnetic resonance using a Bruker Minispec instrument (Bruker, Woodlands, TX).

Plasma collection and measurements. Mice were fasted for 5 h and bled from the retro-orbital venous plexus using heparinized collection tubes. Plasma was separated via centrifugation. Insulin was measured using a Rat/Mouse Insulin ELISA kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA).

Glucose tolerance tests. Five-hour-fasted mice were bled by the tail vein, and baseline blood glucose was measured. Mice were then injected with 2 g/kg lean mass of dextrose (Hospira, Inc., Lake Forest, IL). Blood glucose was assessed at the times indicated.
Real-time RT-PCR. RNA isolation and real-time RT-PCR were performed as previously described (25). All genes were analyzed using the Pfaffl method (29), normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, and presented as expression relative to the L/F/L/F group.

Western blot analysis. Fifteen minutes before sacrifice, 5-h-fasted mice were intraperitoneally injected with saline or 0.5 units human insulin/kg total body mass (Novo Nordisk, Princeton, NJ). Frozen epididymal AT, liver, and gastroc- nematicus muscle were sonicated in 2% SDS with 1 mmol/L sodium orthovanadate and 0.5 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Protein was quantified using a BCA assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Membranes were immuno-blotted with antibodies generated against AKT or phospho-AKT (Ser473), both purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Band intensity was quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Stromal vascular fraction separation and flow cytometry. Stromal vascular fraction (SVF) cells were collected as previously described (30). For flow cytometry, 1 million cells were incubated in Fc block for 5 min, followed by a 30-min incubation at 4°C with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies: F4/80- allophycocyanin (APC), CD11c-phycocerythrin (PE) (both from eBioscience, San Diego, CA), CD11b-APC–Cy7, T-cell receptor-β (TCR-β)–APC, CD4–A700, CD8–PE–Cy7, C62L–PE, and CD44–FITC (all from BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). DAPI (0.2 mg/mL) was added as a viability stain before flow cytometry was performed. Samples were processed on a five-laser LSRII flow cytometer in the Vanderbilt University Flow Cytometry Core. Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR). The gating strategy for analysis of AT immune cell content is explained in Supplementary Fig. 1. Throughout the manuscript, flow cytometry data are displayed as the percent of fluorophore-positive cells relative to the total number of live cells. Fluorescence minus one control subjects for AT and AT T-cell analysis are shown in Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3, and isotype controls for both AT and AT T-cell markers are presented in Supplementary Fig. 4.

Statistics. Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad) was used for all statistical analyses. Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA followed by a post hoc Student t test if the ANOVA was significant. A two-way ANOVA was used to compare measurements with two different variables. Outliers were excluded from the data for each individual parameter using the Grubbs outlier test (31). A P value of ≤0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Study design. To determine if weight cycling alters metabolic parameters or AT immune cell populations, male C57BL/6 mice were fed diets to induce alternating obese and lean states. The study consisted of three 9-week diet periods in which mice had ad libitum access to either a 10% LFD or a 60% HFD. Three groups of mice were used (Fig. IA). The lean control group (designated LF/LF/LF in figures) was placed on LFD for the duration of the study (27 weeks). The weight gain group (designated LF/HF/HF in figures) was maintained on LFD for 9 weeks and then switched to HFD for the remaining 18 weeks of the study. The weight-cycling group (designated HF/LF/HF in figures) was placed on an HFD for 9 weeks to induce obesity and immune cell infiltration into AT, switched to an LFD for 9 weeks to promote weight loss, and placed on an HFD during the last 9-week period to induce subsequent obesity.

Body weight and composition. When placed on an HFD, mice gained weight as expected. After the mice in the weight-cycled group were switched to an LFD, their weight normalized (within 3 to 4 weeks) to the body weight of lean control mice. Upon subsequent HFD feeding, mice regained weight and were weight matched with the weight gain group for the final 5 weeks of the study (Fig. IB). At the end of the study, both groups that had received HFD for 18 weeks total (weight gain and weight cycling groups) had significantly increased body weight compared with lean control mice (Fig. IC) (P < 0.05). However, there was no difference in body weight between the weight-gain group and the weight-cycling group. Additionally, lean body mass (Fig. ID), fat mass (Fig. IE), and epididymal AT weight (Fig. IF) were increased by HFD feeding (P < 0.05) but were not modulated by weight cycling. Body weight and energy balance are key contributors to AT immune cell content and systemic glucose use (32,33). Because the weight gain and weight cycling mice were weight matched and weight stable for 5 weeks at the end of the study, the effects of weight cycling in the absence of altered body weight/composition could be analyzed.

Weight cycling impairs systemic glucose tolerance. To determine the systemic metabolic consequences of weight
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**FIG. 1.** Metabolic characteristics of weight-cycling mice. Male C57Bl/6 mice were placed on a 10% LFD or a 60% HFD for three 9-week intervals. A: Study design. B–F: Body weight and composition were determined. Body weight timeline for the duration of the study (B). Body weight after 27 weeks (Wk) of diet (C). Nuclear magnetic resonance was used to determine lean mass (D) and fat mass (E) after 27 weeks of diet. F: Epididymal AT weight after 27 weeks of diet. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; n = 15–17/group. Groups not connected by the same letter are significantly different, P < 0.05 (e.g., the value for the group labeled “a” is significantly different from the group labeled “b”). White squares, LF/LF/LF (lean control group); gray squares, LF/HF/HF (weight-gain group); black triangles, HF/LF/HF (weight-cycling group).
cycling, fasting blood glucose and plasma insulin levels were measured and intraperitoneal glucose tolerance tests (GTT) performed. As expected, weight gain slightly increased blood glucose concentrations (Fig. 2A) \( P < 0.05 \) compared with LF/LF/LF. Interestingly, weight cycling resulted in an additional increase in fasting blood glucose levels when compared with the weight-gain group (Fig. 2A) \( P < 0.05 \). Fasting plasma insulin concentrations were increased by HFD feeding \( P < 0.05 \) but did not differ between the weight-gain and weight-cycling groups (Fig. 2B). In agreement with previous literature, glucose tolerance was decreased in the weight-gain group when compared with lean control mice (Fig. 2C) \( P < 0.05 \). Interestingly, weight cycling resulted in an additional impairment in glucose tolerance (Fig. 2C) \( P < 0.05 \) compared with LF/HF/HF), indicating that weight cycling increases systemic metabolic dysfunction, even when compared with weight-matched obese mice.

**Weight loss reverses systemic metabolic dysfunction.**

It is possible that the impaired glucose tolerance observed in the weight-cycling group at the end of the study (Fig. 2C) is the result of incomplete resolution of metabolic dysfunction during weight loss (weeks 9–18 of the study). To address this possibility, we completed metabolic analysis of mice at week 18 of the study (Supplementary Fig. 5). At this point, the weight-cycling group had been on an HFD for 9 weeks and then switched to an LFD for 9 weeks to promote weight loss. The mice in the weight-cycling group were weight matched with the lean control group (Supplementary Fig. 5A and B). Similar results were seen with lean body mass and fat mass (Supplementary Fig. 5C and D). Fasting blood glucose and serum insulin concentrations were identical in the lean control and weight-cycling group at this time point (Supplementary Fig. 5E and F). Additionally, a GTT revealed no difference in glucose tolerance between the two groups (Supplementary Fig. 5G and H). Therefore, incomplete resolution of metabolic defects during weight loss is not responsible for the worsened glucose tolerance observed in the weight-cycling group at the end of the 27-week study.

**Weight cycling decreases AT insulin sensitivity.**

To determine if weight cycling modulates insulin signaling in AT, mice were injected with saline or insulin prior to sacrifice. Insulin injection resulted in a significant increase in the phosphorylation of AKT at Ser473 in AT of lean control mice \( P < 0.05 \) compared with LF/LF/LF saline) (Fig. 2D). As expected, HFD feeding decreased insulin-stimulated AKT phosphorylation in the weight-gain group; however, there remained a mild insulin response \( P < 0.05 \) compared with LF/HF/HF saline) (Fig. 2D). In contrast, weight cycling resulted in a complete loss of insulin-stimulated AKT phosphorylation, indicating that weight loss reverses systemic metabolic dysfunction and impairs AT insulin sensitivity. A–C: Metabolic parameters were evaluated in lean control, weight-gain, and weight-cycling mice after a 5-h fast. Fasting blood glucose (A) and plasma insulin (B) concentrations. Groups not connected by the same letter are significantly different: \( *P < 0.05 \); \( **P < 0.01 \); \( ***P < 0.001 \) compared with LF/LF/LF. C: GTT (dose of 2 g/kg lean mass dextrose) and quantification of the area under the curve for the duration of the GTT (inset). \( *P < 0.05 \); \( **P < 0.01 \); \( ***P < 0.001 \) compared with the LF/HF/HF group. D: Mice were injected with saline (Sal) or insulin (Ins) (0.5 units/kg) 15 min before sacrifice. Protein was isolated from the epididymal AT. Western blot analysis of AT phospho-AKT (p-AKT; Ser473) protein levels (relative to total AKT). \( *P < 0.05 \) compared with saline-injected of same group; \( ###P < 0.001 \) compared with LF/LF/LF saline-injected group. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; \( n = 14–17 \) for glucose, insulin, and GTT; \( n = 4–6 \) for Western blots. White squares, LF/LF/LF (lean control group); gray diamonds, LF/HF/HF (weight-gain group); black circles, HF/LF/HF (weight-cycling group). AUC, area under the curve; ns, not significant; phospho, phosphorylated.
cycling further impaired AT insulin sensitivity (Fig. 2D). Additionally, weight cycling impaired liver, but not muscle, insulin signaling (Supplemental Fig. 6).

**Weight cycling does not alter ATM content.** Because macrophage accumulation in obese AT contributes to the development of IR (1), we next performed flow cytometry on the SVF of the epididymal AT to determine if weight cycling impacts ATM content (Fig. 3A–G). For a detailed explanation of the gating strategy, please see Supplementary Fig. 1. As expected, the percentage of F4/80+ macrophages was increased by HFD feeding ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF) but did not differ between the weight-gain and weight-cycling groups (Fig. 3G). HFD significantly decreased the percentage of F4/80$^+$CD11b$^+$CD11c$^+$ macrophages in AT ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF), but there was no weight-cycling effect (Fig. 3G). Both F4/80$^+$CD11b$^+$CD11c$^+$ and F4/80$^+$CD11b$^+$CD11c$^+$ macrophages were increased in AT during obesity ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF) (Fig. 3G). Again, weight cycling did not modulate these immune cell populations. (All flow cytometry data are shown as cell number in Supplementary Fig. 7.) In addition to the flow cytometry data, real-time RT-PCR analysis demonstrates that weight cycling does not alter the HFD-induced increase in AT expression of the macrophage markers Emr1, Cd68, and Igam (Fig. 4A–C).

**Weight cycling does not alter ATM phenotype.** To determine if weight cycling influences macrophage phenotype, gene expression of classical M1 and M2 macrophage markers was determined. AT expression of M1 (Igixa, Tnf, and Ccr2) and M2 (Arg1 and Clec10a) macrophage markers was increased during obesity (34) but not altered by weight cycling (Fig. 4D–F). Additionally, the M2-to-M1 macrophage ratio (calculated by dividing the relative expression of either Arg1 or Clec10a by the relative expression of Igixa) was decreased with HFD feeding but unchanged by weight cycling (Fig. 4I).

**Weight cycling increases T-cell accumulation in AT.** Recent evidence indicates that, in addition to macrophages, T cells also accumulate in AT during obesity and contribute to the development of IR (6,12–14,35). To determine if weight cycling modulates AT T-cell subsets, live cells in the lymphocyte population of the SVF were analyzed for TCR$^+$ lymphocyte population of the SVF were analyzed for TCR$^+$ (see Supplementary Fig. 1D–F for gating strategy and Fig. 5 for data). The percentage of TCR$^+$ cells was increased in AT of the weight-cycling group (Fig. 5G) ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF and LF/HF/HF). TCR$^+$ cells were subsequently analyzed for CD4 and CD8 (Fig. 5B, D, and F). As previously reported (14), weight gain increased the percent of CD8$^+$ T cells in AT. Interestingly, weight cycling resulted in an even further increase in the percentage of both CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ T cells in AT ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF and LF/HF/HF) (Fig. 5G). In addition, real-time RT-PCR analysis demonstrates an increase in the expression of Cda3, Cda4, and Cda5 in AT of the weight-cycled mice compared with both control groups (Fig. 6A–C) ($P < 0.05$).

**Weight cycling increases gene expression of CD4$^+$ Th1- and CD8$^+$ T-cell-derived cytokines in AT.** Next, we determined the expression of genes relevant to T-cell function and inflammatory status. Th1-polarized CD4$^+$ T cells and cytotoxic CD8$^+$ T cells secrete high levels of the inflammatory cytokine IFN-γ (36). Real-time RT-PCR analysis demonstrates that weight cycling further augments the HFD-induced increase in Ireg gene expression (Fig. 6D) ($P < 0.05$ compared with LF/LF/LF). Weight cycling results in a striking 4.5-fold increase in the expression of the Th1-stimulating cytokine (37), Il12, when compared with weight gain in the absence of cycling (Fig. 6E) ($P < 0.05$). In addition, gene expression of Il2, a Th1-derived cytokine required for the growth, differentiation, and survival of CD8$^+$ T cells (38), was increased two-fold compared with the weight-gain group (Fig. 6F) ($P < 0.05$). Expression of the low-affinity interleukin-1 receptor, Il1ra, was not
modulated by diet (Fig. 6G). In contrast, the high-affinity interleukin-2 receptor, \textit{Il2rb}, was increased during obesity, and an additional twofold increase in gene expression was seen during weight cycling (Fig. 6H) \((P < 0.05\) compared with LF/HF/HF). There was also a trend toward an increase in the gene expression of the T-cell chemokine, \textit{Ccl5}, in AT of the weight-cycling group (Fig. 6I) \((P = 0.076\) compared with LF/HF/HF). Of note, weight cycling did not modulate the expression of the Th2-derived cytokines: \textit{Il4}, \textit{Il10}, and \textit{Il13} (Supplementary Fig. 8). Together, these flow cytometry and gene expression data demonstrate the novel finding that weight cycling induces an exaggerated proinflammatory T-cell response in AT.

**DISCUSSION**

Multiple studies indicate that weight cycling is associated with worsened metabolic and cardiovascular outcomes (23–27). However, the mechanism(s) by which weight cycling promotes metabolic dysfunction are not known. In this study, we show that weight cycling worsens obesity-associated systemic glucose intolerance and AT IR in mice. Accompanying these metabolic changes is a significant increase in CD8\(^+\) T cells, CD4\(^+\) T cells, and the expression of Th1 cell-derived cytokines in AT of weight-cycled mice. These novel findings suggest that an amplified T-cell response occurs in AT during weight cycling.

Previous reports have shown that weight cycling increases systemic IR in rats (40,41), and a recent study showed increased inflammatory cytokine levels in AT of
compared with those who gained weight but remained weight stable (24). Additionally, a recent study has shown that weight cycling is associated with increased incidence of type 2 diabetes in participants of the Framingham Heart Study (23).

In contrast to the above findings, other studies report no adverse effects of weight cycling (19–22). The controversy regarding the impact of weight cycling in humans may be due, in part, to variability in study design. Importantly, our data suggest that an exaggerated adaptive immune response in AT may contribute to the negative effects of weight cycling. Indeed, CD4+ Th1 and Th2 and CD8+ T cells are known to play a role in the pathogenesis of human obesity (43). Therefore, if the extent of weight cycling or the time between cycles (as defined by the study design) was not sufficient to induce AT immune cell infiltration, the weight-cycling effect on metabolism may not have been observed.

It was important to confirm that weight loss completely reversed obesity-associated metabolic dysfunction before subsequent weight gain. Metabolic phenotyping, performed at week 18 of the study, demonstrated that when mice in the weight-cycling group are switched to an LFD, they lose weight and exactly normalize to the body weight/composition and glucose tolerance of mice maintained on an LFD for the duration of the study (Supplementary Fig. 5). These data indicate that glucose intolerance does not precede weight regain during this weight-cycling protocol and suggest that weight cycling, per se, is responsible for the metabolic dysfunction observed at the end of the study.

In this study, we demonstrate that weight cycling increases the accumulation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in AT. Furthermore, gene expression of Th1-derived cytokines and cytokine receptors was increased in AT of weight-cycled mice when compared with mice maintained on an HFD (Fig. 6). Recent studies have shown that mice lacking certain T cell–derived cytokines or proinflammatory T-cell subsets are protected from many of the pathologies associated with obesity. For example, HFD-induced glucose intolerance and AT inflammation is ameliorated in IFN-γ–knockout mice (44). Additionally, deletion of CD8+ T cells improves systemic glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity (14). Furthermore, knockout of T-bet decreases multiple lymphocyte cell populations within AT and ameliorates HFD-induced glucose intolerance and AT inflammation (45). These published studies suggest that increased T cell–mediated inflammation in AT may contribute to the impaired AT insulin sensitivity and systemic glucose intolerance observed during weight cycling.

In addition, we have performed regression analysis to determine if there is a correlation between AT T-cell content and glucose tolerance in the mice in our study. These analyses demonstrate that impaired glucose tolerance (increased area under the curve of GTT) is significantly and positively correlated with the percent of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in AT, as well as the gene expression of the Th1-derived cytokines Il2 and Ifng (Supplementary Fig. 9). Furthermore, when these correlations were corrected for changes in ATM number, the association between impaired glucose tolerance and AT T-cell number remained significant (CD4, \( P = 0.002 \); CD8, \( P = 0.01 \)). Therefore, these findings reinforce the conclusion that an increase in inflammatory T-cell subsets, but not macrophages, in AT contributes to impaired metabolic fitness during weight cycling.

FIG. 5. Weight cycling increases AT T-cell populations. SVF cells were isolated from the epididymal AT and analyzed by flow cytometry. LF/LF/LF (lean control) group (A and E), LF/HF/HF (weight gain) group (C and D), HF/LF/HF (weight cycling) group (E and F). Cells were gated for the lymphocyte population based upon forward and side scatter (Supplementary Fig. 1) and analyzed for T-cell markers. Representative flow cytometry histogram of TCR-β (A, C, and E). Percentages on histograms represent percent of lymphocyte-gated cells that are TCR-β–positive. Flow cytometry dot plot of TCR-β–cells analyzed for CD4 and CD8 (B, D, and F). G: Quantification of AT T-cell flow cytometry (shown as percent of fluorophore–positive cells relative to all live SVF cells) from all groups. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; \( n = 10–12 \)/group. Groups not connected by the same letter within each cell population are significantly different; \( P < 0.05 \). HF/LF/HF, weight-cycling group; LF/HF/HF, weight-gain group; LF/LF/LF, lean control group.
Nishimura et al. (14) showed that CD8+ T-cell accumulation in AT preceded and was required for the infiltration of ATMs during obesity. However, subsequent findings have called this concept into question: two other studies have found that T cells actually accumulate in AT well after ATM infiltration is observed (7,46). The reason for these differential findings is not clear, but this controversy does challenge the hypothesis that T cells direct macrophage infiltration into obese AT. Nevertheless, it has been shown that CD8+ T-cell depletion decreases AT inflammation and macrophage content, although deletion of cytotoxic T cells does not completely normalize ATM content/inflammation to lean levels (14), suggesting that T cell–independent regulation of ATM accumulation may exist. Moreover, while T cell–derived cytokines have been shown to directly impact macrophages, these cytokines can also have an effect on other nonimmune cells. For example, T cell–derived IFN-γ induces IR in adipocytes (47), and recent findings indicate that antigen presented on adipocytes can activate AT T cells (48). Our data demonstrate that weight cycling increases AT IR and T-cell content in the absence of a change in ATM number or phenotype. Therefore, these findings support the recently emerging concept that alterations in AT T-cell populations may not always be accompanied by modulation of ATMs.

Adaptive immunity, in contrast to innate immunity, is characterized by an antigen-specific immune response that results in the formation and maintenance of memory cells. These long-lived memory cells are capable of mounting a more rapid and potent secondary immune response upon re-exposure to the same antigen (49). T cells in obese AT express a restricted TCR repertoire, suggesting that these immune cells may recognize self-antigen during obesity (5,6,14). Additionally, multiple studies, including our own (Fig. 7), have shown that effector memory T cells accumulate in AT during HFD feeding (10,11). While the identities of the obese AT antigens are not known, the concept of an antigen-specific T-cell response during obesity has great implications for our current findings. Weight cycling may re-expose T cells to obese AT antigens. Therefore, it is interesting to speculate that the increased accumulation of T cells observed in AT during weight cycling may be the result of a more potent and rapid memory cell–mediated secondary immune response. In fact, although the data did not reach significance, in our current study, the weight-cycled mice trended toward a further increase in AT CD8+...
to the advanced age of the mice at the end of the current study, we elected to not perform these studies. Instead, we evaluated signaling pathways in various tissues after a bolus injection of insulin. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 6, weight cycling also impairs liver, but not muscle, insulin signaling. Therefore, it is probable that altered hepatic insulin sensitivity, in addition to immunometabolic changes in AT, contributes to the systemic metabolic dysfunction observed during weight cycle. While our current report focuses on AT immune composition and IR, the impact of weight cycling on the liver is an important area of future investigation.

Taken together, our studies show for the first time that weight cycling modulates AT T-cell, but not macrophage, composition. This increase in proinflammatory T-cell populations suggests that an exaggerated adaptive immune response in AT contributes to the negative metabolic consequences of weight cycling.
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FIG. 7. Increased CD8⁺, but not CD4⁺, effector memory T-cell accumulation in AT during obesity. SVF cells were isolated from the epididymal AT and analyzed by flow cytometry. A and E: LF/LF/LF (lean control) group. B and F: LF/HF/HF (weight gain) group. C and G: HF/LF/HF (weight cycling) group. Cells were gated for the lymphocyte population based upon forward and side scatter (Supplementary Fig. 1), selected for expression of either CD4 (A–D) or CD8 (E–H) (Fig. 5) and then analyzed for memory T-cell markers. Representative flow cytometry dot plots of CD4⁺ cells analyzed for CD62L and CD44 (A–C). D: Quantification of CD4⁺ effector memory T cells (CD62L⁻CD44⁺) by flow cytometry. Representative flow cytometry dot plots of CD8⁺ cells analyzed for CD62L and CD44 (E–G). H: Quantification of CD8⁺ effector memory T cells (CD62L⁻CD44⁺) by flow cytometry. Data are presented as mean ± SEM; n = 6–12/group. Groups not connected by the same letter are significantly different; P < 0.05. HF/LF/HF, weight-cycling group; LF/ HF/HF, weight-control group. 

IR in AT is a key contributor to systemic glucose intolerance during obesity; however, other metabolic tissues also play a significant role in maintaining glucose homeostasis. The ideal method to determine tissue-specific impairments in insulin signaling is the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic metabolic clamp. However, due
