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Increased protein supply by feeding cow-milk-based infant formula in comparison to lower protein content of human milk is a well-recognized major risk factor of childhood obesity. However, there is yet no conclusive biochemical concept explaining the mechanisms of formula-induced childhood obesity. It is the intention of this article to provide the biochemical link between leucine-mediated signalling of mammalian milk proteins and adipogenesis as well as early adipogenic programming. Leucine has been identified as the predominant signal transducer of mammalian milk, which stimulates the nutrient-sensitive kinase mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1). Leucine thus functions as a maternal-neonatal relay for mTORC1-dependent neonatal β-cell proliferation and insulin secretion. The mTORC1 target S6K1 plays a pivotal role in stimulation of mesenchymal stem cells to differentiate into adipocytes and to induce insulin resistance. It is of most critical concern that infant formulas provide higher amounts of leucine in comparison to human milk. Exaggerated leucine-mediated mTORC1-S6K1 signalling induced by infant formulas may thus explain increased adipogenesis and generation of lifelong elevated adipocyte numbers. Attenuation of mTORC1 signalling of infant formula by leucine restriction to physiologic lower levels of human milk offers a great chance for the prevention of childhood obesity and obesity-related metabolic diseases.

1. Introduction

Obesity is a serious health problem of Westernized societies with a prevalence of up to 25% with an increasing incidence in children [1]. Obesity is a complex disease that involves interactions between environmental and genetic factors [2]. Approximately 25% of children in the USA are overweight and approximately 11% are obese. From 1976 till 1991, the prevalence rate of overweight children in the USA had increased by approximately 40% [1]. Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D) in children and adolescents is an important and increasing public health problem directly related to the epidemic of childhood obesity [3]. High BMI at birth has been identified as an important determinant for overweight later in life [4]. In Western societies, maternal and postnatal nutrition are excessive and may substantially affect developmental programming [5].

2. The Link between Infant Formula Feeding and Childhood Obesity

In 1981, a case-control study of children between 12 to 18 years of age in Montreal presented first evidence that breast feeding protects against later obesity [6]. A cross sectional survey in southern Germany (Bavaria) assessed early feeding, diet, and lifestyle factors of 13 345 children at the time of school entry. The prevalence of obesity in children who had never been breast fed was 4.5% as compared with 2.8% in breast-fed children [7]. A clear dose-response effect on the prevalence of obesity was identified for the duration of breast feeding. Thus, breast feeding is a significant protective factor against the development of obesity and being overweight [7]. During the first 6–8 weeks of life, there is little difference in growth (gain in weight and length) between breast- and formula-fed infants. However, from about 2 months
of age to the end of the first year of life, formula-fed infants gain weight and length more rapidly than breast-fed infants [8]. At the end of the first year of life, evidence suggests that breast-fed infants are leaner than formula-fed infants. Formula-fed infants at 4-5 months of age show higher plasma levels of IGF-1, insulin, and certain amino acids than breast-fed infants. Whereas the protein intake of breast-fed infants decreases with age and closely matches the requirements for protein during the early months of life, the protein intake of formula-fed infants exceeds requirements after the first 1-2 months of life consistent with the hypothesis that differences in protein intake are mainly responsible for differences in growth between breast- and formula-fed infants (early protein hypothesis) [8]. A double-blinded, randomized controlled trial presented substantial evidence that infant formulas providing a high protein intake during the first year of life induced excessive weight gain in early childhood [9]. High protein intake in early infancy has thus been confirmed to be an important risk factor for later obesity [9, 10].

Exclusive breast feeding by a healthy mother should be the standard from birth to at least 6 months. During the breast feeding period, the protein intake is low in the human being compared to many other animals. The daily protein intake by breast feeding is approximately 1 g/kg/day. When other foods are introduced during the weaning period, the protein intake increases remarkably to 3-4 g/kg/day in spite of the fact that the protein requirement is decreasing [11]. A systematic review evaluating the ideal quantity of dietary protein for formula-fed low-birth-weight infants <2.5 kg came to the conclusion that higher protein intake (>3.0 g/kg/day but <4.0 g/kg/day) from formula in comparison to lower protein intake (<3 g/kg/day) accelerated weight gain [12]. Furthermore, human milk in comparison to infant formula contains leptin, which has been implicated to play a role in perinatal programming of body weight and the regulation of muscle thermogenesis [13].

3. Postnatal High-Protein Diet Increases Obesity Risk of Rats in Adulthood

Low-protein diets in the rat have probably most extensively been used to try and elucidate mechanisms of metabolic programming [14]. However, only few studies evaluated the effect of high protein diets on developmental programming. A high-protein diet (40% casein wt/wt; 4% leucine) in comparison to a normal diet (20% casein wt/wt, 2% leucine) or to a high-fibre diet (17.3% casein wt/wt; 1.7% leucine) in Wistar rat pups weaned at day 21 was associated with an increased susceptibility for obesity in adulthood [15]. Body weight, fat mass, and glycemia in adult males and females were greater after a high-fat challenge in these rats that consumed a high protein diet from weaning [15, 16]. High-protein diet during early growth exhibited excessive increase in fat mass in response to a high-fat and -sucrose diet in adult rats as well as elevated leptin levels during oral glucose challenge [17]. Maternal diet during pregnancy and lactation as well as early-postnatal feeding are thus critical periods during which intervention strategies could be developed to reduce the prevalence of obesity [18].

4. Mammalian Milk: A Signalling System for Neonatal Growth

Information on the potential endocrine mechanisms of milk protein signalling is very scarce. So far, most attention has been paid to the role of IGF-1 as the driving mechanism of milk-mediated growth [19–26]. IGF-1 has been shown to stimulate the differentiation of preadipocytes to adipocytes [27, 28]. Moreover, the branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) leucine, isoleucine, and valine have been implicated to be involved in growth promoting effects of milk, because they are physiologic stimuli of insulin secretion [29, 30]. Both insulin and IGF-1 have mitogenic and anabolic effects and stimulate lipogenesis and adipogenesis [31].

It has recently been emphasized that mammalian milk is the most important endocrine species-specific signalling system that promotes neonatal growth by increasing insulin-, IGF-1-, incretin-, and leucine-mediated mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1- (mTORC1-) signalling of pancreatic β-cells [32]. Milk signalling is predominantly based on milk proteins, evolutionarily well-conserved secretory products of the mammalian lactation genome, which are essential for growth and survival of mammalian neonates, and have been established more than 160 million years ago [33]. Remarkably, among all mammalian species, the average protein content of milk in humans is the lowest and has been determined to be 1.21 g protein/100 mL of mature human milk during the first 3 months of lactation and 1.14 g/100 mL at 6 months of lactation, respectively [34]. Recent data of human milk (>15 days postpartum) found protein values of 1.26 g/100 mL during the day period and 1.35 g/100 mL during the night, respectively [35]. In contrast, cow milk contains 3.36 g protein/100 mL.

5. Total Leucine Uptake by Mammalian Milk and Neonatal Growth Rate

Intriguingly, the protein content of mammalian milk of various species is related to the rate of growth of the offspring [36]. Human neonates, who receive the lowest protein content of milk among mammalian species, require 180 days to double their birth weight in comparison to calves who double their birth weight already after 40 days. The highest milk protein concentrations are found in rat or rabbit in the range of 8.7 g/100 mL and 10 g/100 mL, respectively, who double their birth weight already after 4 to 5 days [36]. Thus, there is a correlation between the species-specific protein concentration of mammalian milk and the growth rate of the neonate (Table 1). Remarkably, the amount of leucine per gram milk protein appears to be a mammalian species-independent constant in the range of 100 mg leucine/g milk protein for humans, various primates, and nonprimate species including cow [37] (Table 2). Thus, the total amount of milk protein fed to a mammalian neonate correlates to the total leucine uptake provided by
milk of the mammalian species and appears to be associated
with leucine-mediated growth. These observations are in
accordance with premature infants fed formula containing a
higher protein concentration who gained weight faster than
those fed formulas with a lower protein concentration closer
to that of human milk [38].

6. Milk Proteins: The Richest Animal Protein
Source of Leucine

Among all animal proteins, milk proteins contain the highest
amount of leucine. Highest leucine levels are found in the
water-soluble and easily digestible whey protein fraction
in the range of 14% [40]. An important leucine carrier
is the whey protein α-lactalbumin. Bovine and human α-
lactalbumin contain 10.4% and 11.3% leucine, respectively
[41]. Whey proteins and especially α-lactalbumin exert a
high insulinaemic response, which is predominantly medi-
ated by the insulinotropic activity of leucine [42].

The bulk of cow milk proteins are contained in the
casein fraction (80%). Bovine caseins contain on average
10% leucine. Egg protein (8.5% leucine) and meat protein
(8% leucine) contain less leucine than milk proteins, the life
starter proteins of mammalian evolution [40]. To understand
the role of milk-mediated leucine signalling, the most
critical regulatory function of the leucine-dependent kinase
mammalian target of rapamycin (mTORC1) has
to be discussed in more detail.

7. mTORC1: The Central Growth Regulator of
Mammalian Cells

Leucine as well as the growth hormones insulin and
IGF-1 are important activating stimuli of the nutrient-
sensitive kinase mTORC1, a central cell growth regulator
conserved from yeast to mammals [43–49]. Amino acids
and predominantly leucine are the most critical signals for
mTORC1 signalling and are required for mTORC1 activation
by growth factors like insulin and IGF-1 [49] (Figure 1).
Recent discoveries in the field of molecular biology have
established the key role of mTORC1 in the regulation of
multiple central cell functions including gene transcription,
translation, ribosome biogenesis, protein synthesis,
cell growth, cell proliferation, lipid synthesis, mitochon-
drial activity, and suppression of autophagy [44–47]. The
ribosomal S6 kinase (S6K1) and the eukaryote translation
initiation factor 4E binding protein (4E-BP1) are the two best
characterized mTORC1 substrates. Their phosphorylation by
mTORC1 mediates the function of mTORC1 in regulating
translation [43, 44]. mTORC1 has been identified as the most
important convergence point of nutrient-derived signalling
and is thus of pivotal importance for neonatal growth [50].

mTOR is a multidomain protein of approximately
300 kDa exhibiting a protein kinase domain at its C-
terminus related to phosphoinositol-3-kinases (PI3Ks). In
mammalian cells, two functionally different mTOR com-
plexes exist: mTORC1 and mTORC2, respectively. Among
other functional proteins, mTORC1 contains the important
partner protein raptor, which interacts with substrates for
mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation. mTORC1 controls the
G1/S transition and G2/M progression of the cell cycle
[51]. In contrast to mTORC2, which contains the partner
protein rictor, only mTORC1 plays a special role in sensing
cellular nutrients, amino acids, and energy (ATP) levels
important for cell growth and proliferation. LKB1 and AMP-
activated protein kinase (AMPK) are critical regulators of
mTORC1 [52]. Most functions of mTORC1 are inhibited
by rapamycin, a triene macrolide antibiotic synthesized by
Streptomyces hygroscopicus [44, 47]. Growth factor signals
like insulin and IGF-1 are integrated by the tuberous scle-
rous proteins TSC1 (hamartin) and TSC2 (tuberin), which
form a complex that regulates Rheb (ras homolog enriched
in brain), the final activator of mTORC1 [53–57] (Figure 1).
Growth factor signalling via TSC2 phosphorylation reduces
Two parallel mechanisms of mTORC1 activation have been identified: (1) the upstream activation of the small GTPase Rheb by growth factor signals and high glucose/ATP levels, and (2) the amino-acid-mediated Rag GTPase-dependent activation of Rheb and finally of mTORC1 [53–57]. The preeminent effect of leucine withdrawal has been consistently observed in a variety of cell types, thus underlining the primacy of leucine in amino-acid-mediated mTORC1 regulation [45]. Rat plasma levels of leucine were linearly related to the intake of gram protein diet regardless of the dietary source [74]. In humans, the highest postprandial leucine concentrations have been measured after a whey protein meal, followed by a milk meal and a cheese meal, respectively [42]. The strongest correlation between postprandial insulin responses and early increments in plasma amino acids was demonstrated for leucine, valine, lysine, and isoleucine. In comparison to other amino acids, leucine exhibited the highest insulinogenic index [42]. Thus, leucine plays a crucial role for mTORC1 activation, β-cell growth, β-cell proliferation, and insulin secretion [42, 43, 45, 49].

10. Leucine-mTORC1-Dependent β-Cell Proliferation and Insulin Secretion

Insulin is an anabolic and mitogenic hormone important for neonatal growth. Both insulin and IGF-1 are involved in the regulation of adipogenesis [28, 31]. Thus, the regulation of insulin secretion by mammalian milk plays a critical role for infant and adipose tissue growth. Despite a low carbohydrate moiety and a low glycaemic index, both whole cow milk as well as skim milk exhibit a high insulinaemic index...
(>100), which depends on milk’s insulinotropic protein fraction [75, 76]. It is the biological function of milk to promote neonatal growth by stimulating neonatal β-cell mass expansion and insulin secretion. Like in all other peripheral cells, the mTORC1 pathway is highly active in β-cells and plays a central role in leucine-mediated β-cell proliferation and insulin secretion [77]. Leucine activates mTORC1 independent of insulin [29, 30]. The pancreatic β-cells express a variety of growth factor receptors, which stimulate mTORC1 and promote β-cell growth and replication [78]. Insulin and IGFs in concert with leucine, glutamine, and glucose modulate protein translation through mTORC1 in β-cells [29, 30]. Glucose robustly activates mTORC1 in an amino-acid-dependent manner in rodent and human islets [29]. In contrast, the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin dose-dependently inhibited DNA synthesis of rat islets exposed to elevated levels of glucose [29]. mTORC1/S6K1/4E-BP1-signalling is known to control cell size and proliferation by increasing mRNA translation and cell cycle progression [44, 51, 78]. Leucine has already been demonstrated to activate the translational regulators, phosphorylated heat- and acid-stable protein regulated by insulin (PHAS-1) and S6K1, in an mTORC1-dependnet manner [79]. Leucine-induced insulin secretion of β-cells involves increased mitochondrial metabolism by oxidative decarboxylation and allosteric activation of glutamate dehydrogenase. Leucine is essential for activation of protein translation through mTORC1 and contributes to enhanced β-cell function by stimulating growth-related protein synthesis and β-cell proliferation [79, 80]. mTORC1 activation in β-cells of TSC2-deficient mice (βTSC2−/−) increased mitochondrial biogenesis and enhanced insulin secretion [81]. In contrast, S6K1-deficient mice displayed hypoinsulinemia, glucose intolerance, and diminished β-cell size [82]. Thus, there is substantial evidence for the crucial role of leucine in mTORC1-S6K1-mediated activation increasing β-cell proliferation, protein translation, and insulin synthesis [77–82]. The most important function of leucine-transmitted milk signalling is the stimulation of insulin secretion, a fundamental growth-promoting mechanism for insulin-mediated mTORC1 signalling of insulin-sensitive peripheral cells of the body including adipocytes.

11. Excessive Leucine Uptake by Infant Formula Feeding

Cow milk-based infant formulas currently contain almost 50% higher total protein content (2.1 to 2.2 g/100 kcal) than human milk (1.8 g/100 kcal) [83]. Remarkably, the protein intake per kg body weight is 55–80% higher in formula-fed than in breast-fed infants [84]. The most recent randomized clinical trial showed that cow-milk-based infant and followon formulas prepared with lower protein (1.77 and 2.2 g protein/100 kcal) and higher protein (2.9 and 4.4 g protein/100 kcal) had higher leucine content in comparison to human milk [39]. Infant and followon formulas with lower protein content provided 119 and 154 mg leucine/100 mL, whereas infant and followon formula with higher protein content contained 197 and 308 mg leucine/100 mL, respectively. In comparison, human milk only contains 104 mg leucine/100 mL [37, 39] (Table 3). Thus, the leucine amounts provided by these infant formulas were 14.4%, 48.1%, 89.4%, and 196.2% higher compared to physiologic leucine levels provided by human milk. At 6 months of age, serum leucine levels of infants fed higher protein formula were 165 μmol/L, and those of infants fed lower protein formula were 120 μmol/L, respectively, whereas the lowest leucine serum levels were detected in breast-fed infants (106 μmol/L) (Table 3) [39]. Higher leucine serum levels of infants fed higher amounts of leucine by infant formula just reflect the known linear correlation between dietary amino acid intake and amino acid serum levels [74] (Figure 2). The excessive supply of leucine provided by infant formula is thus of most critical concern because the availability of leucine is a crucial determinant for the magnitude of mTORC1 activity [43, 45].

12. Infant Formula Increases Insulin/IGF-1 Signals for mTORC1 Activation

Infant formula not only increases leucine signals for mTORC1 activation but also insulin and IGF-1 signals, which are all integrated by mTORC1 (Figure 1). It has recently been shown that lower protein content of infant formula was associated with lower total IGF-1 serum levels (34.7 ng/mL) in comparison to high protein formula (48.4 ng/mL) [39]. Remarkably, the lowest total serum IGF-1 levels (14.1 ng/mL) were detected in serum of breast-fed infants [39]. C-peptide serum levels, a measure of insulin secretion, were highest in infants fed the high-protein formula (26.9 ng/mL), lower in infants fed the lower-protein formula (19.5 ng/mL), and again the lowest in breast-fed infants (9.3 ng/mL) [39]. Thus, the controlled feeding study of Socha et al. [39] provided strong evidence that infant formula in comparison to human milk significantly increases serum levels of leucine, insulin, and IGF-1, all most important stimulatory signals for mTORC1 activation [49, 50].

13. mTORC1 Signalling and Milk-Induced Insulin Resistance

The major substrate of mTORC1 is the kinase S6K1 [43]. S6K1 phosphorylates Ser-307 of insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS-1) and thereby activates an important feedback mechanism, which downregulates insulin signalling by inducing insulin resistance [85–87]. High mTORC1 signalling is thus associated with a higher degree of S6K1-mediated insulin resistance. Remarkably, absence of S6K1 protected S6K1−/− mice against age- and high-fat-diet-induced obesity while enhancing insulin sensitivity, pointing to the crucial role of the mTORC1-S6K1 pathway in the regulation of insulin signalling and the induction of nutrient-induced insulin resistance due to hyperactivated S6K1 [88]. In humans, insulin resistance has been induced by infusions of high concentrations of amino acids, whereas the mTORC1 inhibitor rapamycin improved insulin action [89]. Infusion of an
amino acid mixture to healthy men resulted in plasma amino acid elevations, hyperinsulinaemia, and marked activation of S6K1 with increased inhibitory IRS-1 phosphorylation [90]. Infusion of amino acids containing 8.9 g/L leucine impaired insulin-mediated suppression of glucose production and insulin-stimulated glucose disposal in skeletal muscle. Insulin resistance was also observed after incubation of rat skeletal muscle with higher concentrations of both leucine and glucose [91]. In accordance with these findings, are the results of feeding studies of rats with a high-fat diet containing 5.7 g leucine/100 mL resulted in plasma amino acid elevations, hyperinsulinaemia, and marked activation of S6K1 with increased inhibitory IRS-1 phosphorylation [90]. Infusion of amino acids containing 8.9 g/L leucine impaired insulin-mediated suppression of glucose production and insulin-stimulated glucose disposal in skeletal muscle. Insulin resistance was also observed after incubation of rat skeletal muscle with higher concentrations of both leucine and glucose [91]. In accordance with these findings, are the results of feeding studies of rats with a high-fat diet supplemented with BCAAs exhibiting chronic mTORC1-activated leucine-mTORC1 signalling greatly exceeds the much lower leucine-mTORC1 signalling maintained by human milk (Figure 2).

Early postnatal restriction or overfeeding of mice with mouse milk resulted in metabolic abnormalities in adult life [93]. Underfed mice exhibited impaired insulin secretion, whereas overfed mice, which received more milk protein and thus more total leucine during the early postnatal period, developed insulin resistance in adult life [93]. The study of Socha et al. [39] implies the presence of higher insulin resistance in infants fed high-protein formula (ratio C-peptide 26.9 ng/mL: fasting glucose 83 mg/dL) in comparison to low-protein formula (C-peptide 19.5 ng/mL: glucose 85 mg/dL). The lowest level for insulin resistance was detected in breast-fed infants (C-peptide 9.3 ng/mL: glucose 86 mg/dL) [39]. Thus, human milk in comparison to infant formula induced the lowest degree of insulin resistance reflecting the lowest magnitude of mTORC1-S6K1-IRS-1 signalling during physiological breast feeding in comparison to artificial formula feeding.

14. Adipogenic Effects of Leucine

Adipose tissue like muscle is a major extrahepatic site of leucine metabolism. Notably, leucine is an important precursor of fatty acid and cholesterol biosynthesis [94]. Most importantly, in adipocytes, leucine has been shown to be the main regulatory amino acid activating mTORC1, S6K1, and 4E-BP1 [95, 96]. mTORC1 plays a crucial role in adipocyte regulation including hypertrophic growth, leptin secretion, protein synthesis, and adipose tissue morphogenesis [96, 97]. The mTORC1 antagonist rapamycin

![Figure 2: Linear correlation between oral leucine intake by the infant feeding and the infant’s serum leucine concentrations.](image-url)
has been shown to block adipocyte differentiation [98]. Rapamycin specifically disrupted the positive transcriptional feedback loop between CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein-α and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ), two key transcriptional factors in adipogenesis, by directly targeting the transactivation of PPARγ [99]. Remarkably, PPARγ activity was dependent on amino acid sufficiency, linking amino acid status to adipogenesis [99]. In isolated adipocytes, amino acids, and primarily leucine, stimulated phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and S6K and induced multicellular clustering in adipocytes [100, 101]. mTORC1 signalling alone is sufficient to regulate adipogenesis, because activation of mTORC1 causes a robust increase in mRNA and PPAR protein expression despite severe insulin resistance and the absence of Akt signalling [102]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the adipogenic effect of insulin is mediated by insulin’s stimulatory effect on the Akt-TSC2-mTORC1 pathway [102]. Thus, it is conceivable that leucine-enriched infant formulas in comparison to human milk exert higher leucine-, insulin-, and IGF-1-mediated stimulatory effects on mTORC1 of mesenchymal stem cells and adipocytes. In fact, it has recently been shown in S6K1−/− mice that lack the mTORC1 downstream target S6K1 impaired the generation of de novo adipocytes when these mice were challenged with a high-fat diet, consistent with a reduction in early adipocyte progenitors [103]. Thus, leucine-mediated activation of mTORC1-S6K1 signalling plays a fundamental functional and substrate role in adipogenesis and serves as a pivotal amino acid stimulator of the mTORC1-S6K1 pathway, which drives S6K1-dependent commitment of embryonic stem cells to early adipocyte progenitors, stimulates adipocyte differentiation via crosstalk with PPARγ upregulation and serves as a lipid substrate for adipocyte de novo lipid synthesis [96–103]. The importance of mTORC1-S6K1 signalling in adipogenesis becomes apparent in S6K1-deficient mice, which are protected against age- and diet-induced obesity while enhancing insulin sensitivity [88].

There is another important finding implicating mTORC1 signalling in adipogenesis. mTORC1 promotes the function of sterol (SREBP regulatory element binding transcription factor), a master regulator of lipogenesis and steroligenic gene transcription. mTORC1 regulates SREBP by controlling the nuclear entry of lipin 1, a phosphatidic acid phosphatase. Dephosphorylated, nuclear, catalytically active lipin-1 promotes nuclear remodelling and mediates the effects of mTORC1 on SREBP target genes, SREBP promoter activity, and nuclear SREBP protein abundance [104]. Hepatic triglycerides and SREBP-1 mRNA concentrations increased significantly in rats fed a 30% casein diet for one month [105]. Thus, mTORC1 is not only a central regulator of protein biosynthesis but also of lipid biosynthesis by regulation of SREBP-1, the key transcription factor of lipid synthesizing enzymes [106].

The recent insights into leucine-mediated mTORC1 signalling allow the conclusion that higher leucine uptake provided by higher milk protein consumption may increase general growth as well as BMI. In fact, higher milk consumption in children has been associated with increased linear growth and BMI [107, 108]. Milk-stimulated acceleration of growth may be well explained by leucine-, insulin-, and IGF-1-mediated mTORC1 activation resulting in increased differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells into adipocytes, osteoblasts, and myocytes, thus promoting adipogenesis, bone growth, as well as myogenesis [109]. In fact, high consumption of whole cow’s milk in infancy has unfortunate effects on growth, especially weight acceleration and development of overweight in childhood [19, 110].

15. mTORC1 and Placental Amino Acid Transfer for Fetal Growth

mTORC1 plays a key role for trophoblast growth and differentiation and L-system-mediated amino-acid uptake of trophoblast cells, which is most important for fetal growth [111–113]. The activity of placental amino acid transporters is decreased in intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). As mTORC1 regulates the activity of the placental L-type amino acid transporter system, the decrease of placental mTORC1 activity in IUGR just explains diminished supply of leucine for mTORC1-dependent fetal growth [114, 115]. Maternal protein restriction in rats inhibited mTORC1 signalling and downregulated placental amino acid transporters [116]. Leucine-rich maternal diet appears to be an important determinant for leucine-stimulated placental mTORC1-dependent amino acid transfer to the fetus and thus for leucine-mTORC1-dependent fetal growth. Thus, impaired intrauterine growth by protein restriction during pregnancy may be well explained by insufficient leucine-mediated mTORC1 signalling of fetal tissues.

16. mTORC1 and Early Developmental Programming

The periods of fetal growth and postnatal growth are a continuum and are both intimately connected to prenatal and postnatal mTORC1 signalling and nutrient and especially leucine availability. After birth, maternal leucine is no longer provided by the placental leucine transport system but by the secretory leucine-rich family of mammalian milk proteins. The essential role of mTORC1 signalling for early development is illustrated by the early postimplantation lethality after disruption of the mouse mTOR gene [117]. Moreover, mTOR−/− embryos displayed a lesion in inner cell mass proliferation, consistent with the inability to establish embryonic stem cells from mTOR−/− embryos [117]. Inactivation of mTORC1 kinase activity resulted in reduced cell size, diminished cell proliferation, and cell cycle arrest of embryonic stem cells [118]. Transcriptome analysis of fetal baboon kidneys in response to maternal nutrient restriction provided further evidence that mTORC1 is involved in developmental programming of the fetal kidney [119]. Accumulating evidence underlines the important role of mTORC1-S6K1 signalling in mesenchymal stem cell development and mTORC1-dependent regulation of adipogenesis [99–102, 109]. Remarkably, deficient mesenchymal stem cell differentiation into adipocytes has been observed in S6K1−/−
mice [103]. Conversely, it can be concluded that increased leucine supply during pregnancy by leucine-rich diets like increased dairy protein consumption during pregnancy may be associated with increased fetal growth and higher birth weight. Indeed, increased dairy protein consumption during pregnancy has been clearly correlated with increased size and birth weight of human neonates [120] (Figure 3).

Continued high leucine supply to the neonate by leucine-rich infant formula may overstimulate the mTORC1-dependent process of mesenchymal stem cell differentiation into adipocytes. Thus, human overnutrition with leucinerich protein sources provided by Western diet during pregnancy as well as postnatal infant feeding with leucine-rich infant formula may be fundamental factors promoting higher numbers of adipocytes, a long-term adverse effect of early adipogenic programming.

17. Hypothalamic mTORC1 Signalling Controls Food Intake

There is recent concern that formula-mediated regulation of central satiety signalling deviates from natural satiety regulation of breast feeding. The anorexigenic hormone leptin is primarily synthesized by adipose tissue and reduces food intake by central action on the arcuate nucleus and the ventromedial hypothalamus [121]. It has been shown that addition of leucine to isolated rat adipocytes stimulated leptin expression via an mTORC1-dependent pathway [122]. Furthermore, long-term leucine supplementation in old rats promoted hypertrophy and hyperplasia of adipose tissue associated with increased mTORC1/S6K1 activation [123]. Serum leptin levels correlate with adipose tissue levels in both animals and humans and show a stronger correlation with fat mass than total body mass [124]. A dietary pattern that includes high fat consumption accompanied with high amounts of BCAAs appears to contribute to obesity-associated insulin resistance and increased serum leptin levels [92]. Thus, leucine appears to act as a signal nutrient that relays adipocyte leptin release, which finally exerts leptin-mediated central regulations of food intake. Intriguingly, recent observations have suggested a potential role for mTORC1 as a cellular fuel sensor in the hypothalamic circuits that regulate energy balance [125, 126]. mTORC1 is critically involved in mediating leptin-induced anorexia [127]. Under physiological conditions, increased hypothalamic availability of leucine stimulates mTORC1 activity and reduces food intake in an mTORC1-dependent manner. Hypothalamic mTORC1 activity is also required for the suppression of feeding by leptin [127]. However, long-term activation of the mTORC1 pathway by deletion of Tsc1 with Pomer/Cre (Pomer-Tsc1KO mice) impairs the function of anorectic Pomc neurons and induced hyperphagic obesity [126]. Recent evidence points to a complex cell-type-dependent mTORC1-mediated regulation in the basomedial hypothalamus by leptin and nutritional status [128]. Remarkably, rats maintained on a high-fat diet had no anorexic response to intracerebroventricular leptin [129]. Under high-fat diet, leptin was unable to modulate hypothalamic mTORC1 signalling [129]. CNS leptin resistance by obesigenic diets is therefore, thought to override CNS regulatory circuits otherwise assuring the maintenance of energy balance. Long-term abnormally high leucine intake provided by infant formula may thus induce a state of chronically imbalanced leptin-mTORC1 signalling promoting “orexigenic metabolic programming” of mTORC1-dependent hypothalamic regulatory networks.

18. Conclusions

There is substantial evidence that breast feeding in comparison to cow-milk-based formulas supplies much lower amounts of leucine to the infant and thus transduces lower leucine-mediated stimulatory effects on mTORC1 signalling of the neonate (Figure 4). Infant formula feeding in comparison to breast feeding results in excessive serum levels of leucine, insulin, and IGF-1, explaining exaggerated mTORC1-dependent early adipogenic programming, the promoting mechanism for early onset of childhood obesity. In comparison to lower levels of mTORC1 signalling mediated by human milk, available cow-milk-based infant formulas transduce increased mTORC1 signalling. Although recent efforts are made to decrease the formulas’ total protein content [130, 131], leucine levels even of these low-protein formulas still exceed leucine amounts of human milk [39]. The preparation of whey-predominant infant formula and the addition of increased amounts of bovine α-lactalbumin allow a reduction of total protein content [130, 132–134], however, these procedures do not normalize the elevated leucine content, as whey proteins are carriers of high amounts of leucine. In fact, breast feeding is associated with less weight gain per months (595 g) in comparison to
standard formula (730 g) or α-lactalbumin-enriched formula (707 g), respectively [132].

There are further most serious concerns of the adipogenic impact of formula feeding as mothers often use higher than recommended amounts of formula powder for preparing infant meals. Furthermore, it is not uncommon that parents switch too early from low protein preformula to higher protein followon formulas to keep their infants asleep during the night. Moreover, the total daily volume of formula given to the infant is not naturally restricted in comparison to daily limitations of milk volume by breast feeding. As the postnatal phase is the most critical period for metabolic programming, it is a serious matter of public health to increase the compliance for breast feeding and to provide much more supervision and education for parents feeding formula.

Exaggerated formula-induced mTORC1 signalling appears to be the most critical factor for the early development of childhood obesity and other mTORC1-driven chronic diseases of civilization especially T2D and cancer [135–139]. Future postnatal feeding studies in animals, preferably primates, should clarify the effect of increased versus physiologic leucine intake on adipose tissue development as well as central regulations of leucine- and leptin-mediated food intake. Moreover, future attention should be paid to the regulatory mechanism directing dietary leucine either to adipogenesis or myogenesis, which may be an important aspect in early metabolic programming with special regard to the recently recognized molecular cross-talk between adipose tissue and skeletal muscle [140]. Closely regulated dietary leucine fluxes into various tissues may be the most critical determinant during the neonatal growth period when skeletal muscle activity and myogenesis are less mind controlled. The proper adjustment of the mTORC1-driven signalling axis by leucine restriction of infant formula to physiologic leucine levels of human milk offers a new and most promising chance for the prevention of early adipogenic programming and the epidemic of childhood obesity.
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