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Summary

The role of T cell receptor α enhancer (Eα) cis-acting elements in the developmental regulation of VDJ recombination at the TCR α/β locus was examined in transgenic mice containing variants of a minilocus VDJ recombination substrate. We demonstrate that the 116-bp Tα1,2 core enhancer fragment of the 1.4-kb Eα is sufficient to activate the enhancer-dependent step of minilocus rearrangement, and that within Tα1,2, intact binding sites for TCF/LEF and Ets family transcription factors are essential. Although minilocus rearrangement under the control of the 1.4-kb Eα initiates at fetal day 16.5 and is strictly limited to αβ T cells, we find that rearrangement under the control of Tα1,2 initiates slightly earlier during ontogeny and occurs in both γδ and αβ T cells. We conclude that the core fragment of Eα can establish accessibility to the recombinaise in developing thymocytes in vivo in a fashion that is dependent on the binding of TCF/LEF and Ets family transcription factors, but that these and other factors that bind to the Eα core cannot account for the precise developmental onset of accessibility that is provided by the intact Eα. Rather, our data suggests a critical role for factors that bind Eα outside of the core Tα1,2 region in establishing the precise developmental onset of TCR α rearrangement in vivo.

Ordered recombination of TCR variable (V), diversity (D), and joining (J) gene segments is a process that is crucial for the generation of the diverse antigen recognition repertoires that characterize mature αβ and γδ T cells (1–3). The most immature thymic population has a CD4<sup>low</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup>CD3<sup>−</sup>CD25<sup>−</sup>HSA<sup>+</sup> phenotype and has all TCR genes in unrearranged configuration (4). These cells subsequently lose expression of CD4 to become CD4<sup>−</sup>CD8<sup>−</sup> double negative (DN) cells, which can be further subdivided into four distinct populations on the basis of CD44 and CD25 expression. DN thymocytes mature from CD44<sup>hi</sup>CD25<sup>−</sup> to CD44<sup>ab</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> to CD44<sup>ab</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> to CD44<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> (5, 6). The CD44<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> DN subset expresses high levels of RAG-1 and RAG-2 and undergoes extensive VDJ recombination at the TCR-β, -γ, and -δ loci (7, 8). In-frame TCR-β rearrangement directs the synthesis of a TCR-β protein which, in conjunction with pTα, forms a pre-TCR (9, 10) that functions to inhibit RAG-1 and RAG-2 expression, to drive thymocyte proliferation, and to drive thymocyte maturation through the CD44<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>−</sup> DN and immature single positive (ISP) stages to the CD4<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> double positive (DP) stage (7, 8, 11–13). TCR-α rearrangement is activated as thymocytes transit into the DP stage (5, 7, 14).

The relationship between TCR gene rearrangement events and thymocyte commitment to the αβ or γδ lineage has been an area of intense interest. As TCR-β and TCR-γ rearrangements are found in both αβ and γδ T cells, the initiation of rearrangement events at these loci is not associated with lineage commitment. TCR-δ gene segments lie within V<sub>α</sub> and J<sub>α</sub> gene segments in the complex TCR-α/δ locus and are therefore deleted by V<sub>α</sub> to J<sub>α</sub> rearrangement (15–17). Recent reports have identified rearranged TCR-δ genes in ISP precursors of αβ T cells before the onset of TCR-α rearrangement (14) and on V<sub>α</sub>J<sub>α</sub> excision products in αβ thymocytes and peripheral T cells (18–20), arguing that TCR-δ gene rearrangement is initiated in a common precursor of αβ and γδ T cells as well. Because excised TCR-δ gene VDJ recombination products are relatively depleted of in-frame rearrangements (18, 19), it appears likely that functional TCR-δ and TCR-γ rearrangement can commit thymocytes towards the γδ pathway and away from the αβ pathway. However, because at least some γδ cells show evidence of selection on the basis of functional TCR-β gene rearrangement (11), and late stage CD44<sup>low</sup>CD25<sup>−</sup> DN thymocytes have been shown to include precursors of both αβ and γδ T cells (21), at least some thymocytes may remain uncommitted until very late in the DN population. The activation of TCR-α rearrangement as thymocytes transit into the DP stage, with concomitant deletion of TCR-δ,
must irrevocably assign all remaining uncommitted thymocytes to the αβ pathway. TCR-α is therefore the only TCR gene whose rearrangement is activated in a lineage-specific fashion. The mechanisms that establish the developmental onset of TCR-α rearrangement are therefore of particular interest.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that transcriptional promoters and enhancers play an important role in the developmental regulation of VDJ recombination at TCR and Ig loci, probably by modulating accessibility of chromosomal recombination substrates to the recombinase machinery (for recent reviews, see references 22, 23). We have examined the role of transcriptional enhancers in the temporal and lineage-specific control of VDJ recombination at the TCR-α/δ locus by evaluating VDJ recombination in transgenic mice carrying variants of a human TCR-δ gene minilocus rearrangement substrate that included either the 1.4-kb TCR-δ enhancer (Eδ) or the 1.4-kb TCR-α enhancer (Eα) (24, 25). We found that the developmental regulation of minimal locus rearrangement under the control of Eδ or Eα paralleled that found at the endogenous TCR-α/δ locus. Specifically, in Eα-bearing transgenic lines, the enhancer-dependent VD to J step of minilocus rearrangement began on fetal day 14.5 and was equivalent in αβ and γδ T cells, much like endogenous VδDJδ rearrangement. In Eδ-bearing transgenic lines, VD to J rearrangement was delayed until fetal day 16.5 and was limited to αβ cells, much like endogenous VαDJα rearrangement (25). These results imply that Eδ and Eα play important roles in the developmental regulation of VαDJα and VδDJδ rearrangement, respectively, at the endogenous TCR-α/δ locus.

An important goal of ours has been to identify the cis-acting elements of Eα that are critical in establishing the precise developmental regulation of VαDJα rearrangement at the TCR-α/δ locus. The core or minimal enhancer fragment of Eα has been defined as a 116-bp fragment (Tα1,2) that contains binding sites for several transcription factors, including ATF/CREB, TCF-1/LEF-1, CBF/PEBP2, and Ets proteins (26–28). This definition as a core or minimal enhancer is based on the ability of Tα1,2 to potently activate plasmid reporter gene expression in transient transfection experiments and the critical role played by each of the defined factor binding sites for significant enhancer activity. Further supporting the role of this enhancer fragment as a discrete functional unit is its ability to support the cooperative assembly of a stable nucleoprotein complex in vitro in the presence of the various transcription factors noted above (28). These transcription factors and their cognate binding sites in Tα1,2 are therefore attractive candidates for contributing to the developmental regulation of VDJ recombination by Eα in vivo. Two of these binding sites were selected for analysis in the present study. The first binds the related TCF-1 and LEF-1 members of the high mobility group (HMG) −1 box family of DNA binding proteins (29–31). These proteins bind to the minor groove of DNA and induce a sharp bend in the DNA helix that, in the case of LEF-1, has been shown to facilitate interactions between Ets-1 and ATF/CREB proteins bound at nonadjacent sites in Tα1,2 to generate a stable and active nucleoprotein complex (28, 32). Transient transfection experiments have shown that an intact TCF-1/LEF-1 binding site is essential for Tα1,2 enhancer activity, and that LEF-1 and TCF-1 can both transactivate reporter gene expression by binding to Tα1,2 (28, 29, 31). The second cis-acting element studied is the binding site for members of the Ets family of transcription factors. Transient transfection experiments have shown that an intact Ets binding site is also required for Tα1,2 enhancer activity, and that the Ets-1 protein can bind to Tα1,2 and transactivate a Tα1,2-driven reporter gene as well (28, 33).

In the present study, we examine VDJ recombination of the TCR-δ gene minilocus under the control of wild-type Tα1,2 sequences and compare it with VDJ recombination mediated by Tα1,2 fragments carrying mutations in either the TCF/LEF- or Ets binding sites. Our results indicate the Tα1,2 core enhancer can activate VDJ recombination in a fashion that is dependent on TCF/LEF and Ets family transcription factors, but that additional Eα sequences that lie outside of the enhancer core are required for precise developmental control.

Materials and Methods

Transgenic Mice. The Ets binding site mutation (Tα1,2mEts) was generated by PCR using the 700-bp BstXI fragment of the human Eα cloned into the pUC13 (Eα)0.7 as a template (26) (provided by J. Leiden, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL). PCR was performed using the mutagenic oligonucleotide Ets primers. The final PCR product was digested with DraI and EcoRI to generate a 135-bp fragment that was ligated into Smal and EcoRI cut pBluescript KS+. Dideoxynucleotide sequence analysis of the resultant plasmid confirmed that the insert carried a 3-bp change in the Tα1,2 Ets binding site. The 125-bp Tα1,2mEts was excised from this plasmid by digestion with BamHI (plus PvuII to further cleave the plasmid and prevent subsequent religation), and the ends were blunted by treatment with the Klenow fragment of Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I. The Tα1,2mEts fragment was then ligated into Xbal-digested, blunted, and phosphorylated pBluescript carrying the previously described enhancerless minilocus (24). Tα1,2 with a 2-bp mutation in the TCF/LEF binding site (Tα1,2mTCF) was generated by PCR overlap extension (34) using Eα0.7 template DNA, mutagenic oligonucleotides TCF-1A (GGGAGACCTTCTATGGGTGCCCTAC) and TCF-1B (GTAGGGCACCACATAGAAGCTTCTCCC), along with the −40 reverse primer. The final PCR product was digested with Dral and EcoRI, cloned into Smal and EcoRI cut pBluescript KS+, and sequenced. The 125-bp Tα1,2mTCF fragment was then excised from the plasmid with BamHI, blunt ended with Klenow, and ligated into Xbal-digested, blunt, and phosphorylated-treated pBluescript carrying the previously described enhancerless minilocus.

The Tα1,2 fragment of Eα had been previously excised from the Eα0.7 plasmid using BamHI and DraI digestion, blunt ended with Klenow, and subcloned into EcoRV cut pBluescript KS+. To generate a minilocus containing wild-type Tα1,2, the insert was excised from this plasmid by digestion with HindIII and Smal, blunt ended with Klenow, and cloned into Xbal-digested, blunted, and phosphorylated-treated pBluescript carrying the enhancerless minilocus.
After confirmation of minilocus construct structures by dinucleotidel sequence analysis, minilocus DNA was purified as previously described (24) and microinjected into fertilized (C57BL/6 × SJL/J)F2 eggs by the Duke University Comprehensive Cancer Mouse Facility. Progeny tail DNA was initially characterized on Southern blots probed with radiolabeled Cα and V1, and V2, Cα fragment. Transgene germline copy number was determined by analysis of Tα1,2, Tα1,2mEts, and Tα1,2mTCF tail DNAs, along with tail DNAs of previously identified single copy integrants, on slot blots (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH). Blots were probed with a radiolabeled Cα fragment and the resultant hybridization signals quantified using a Betascope (Betagen, Waltham, MA). Transgenes were maintained on a mixed C57BL/6 × SJL/J background.

PCR. With the exception of experiments using sorted αβ and γδ cells depicted in Fig. 6, genomic DNA PCR templates were prepared from thymus of 4-wk-old animals by standard techniques (35). For single copy transgenic lines, 12 ng of genomic DNA was used as a template for PCR reactions. For multicycop integrants, the quantity of genomic DNA used in PCR was reduced to account for copy number and to insure that all PCR signals were in the linear range. PCR templates from sorted αβ and γδ thymocytes (as well as unsorted thymocytes from the same animals) were prepared by incubation of <1 × 10⁷ pelleted cells in 200 μl lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.4, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 200 μg/ml gelatin, 0.45% NP40, 0.45% Tween-20, and 60 μg/ml protease K) for 1 h at 56°C and then 15 min at 95°C (36). Sample aliquots containing 5 × 10⁵ cell equivalents were immediately used as templates in PCR reactions. All PCR reactions were performed identically using previously described reaction conditions and primers (24).

Blot Hybridization of Genomic DNA and PCR Products. Gel electrophoresis, blotting, and hybridization with 3²P-labeled probes were performed as previously described (24). Hybridization signals were quantified using a Betascope and reported values for VD and VDJ rearrangement signals were normalized to the Cα signal for each template.

Antibodies. Biotinylated H57-597 anti-TCR-β, phycoerythrin-conjugated GL3 anti-TCR-γδ, FITC-streptavidin, and unbioted 2.4G2 anti-FcyRII/III mAbs for flow cytometry were obtained from PharMingen (San Diego, CA). GK1.5 anti-CD4 (37) and 41-3-48 anti-Lyt-2.2 (38) mAbs were used for cell depletions as culture supernatants.

Flow Cytometric Analysis and Cell Sorting. Enriched CD4⁺CD8⁻ cells were prepared from single cell suspensions of thymocytes from 4-wk-old animals by treatment with saturating amounts of GK1.5 and 41-3-48 mAbs and rabbit complement (Cedarlane Laboratories, Ltd., Hornby, ON, Canada) for 60 min at 37°C. Viable cells were collected after centrifugation over Lympholyte-M (Cedarlane Labs. Ltd.). The enriched CD4⁺CD8⁻ cells and unfraccionated thymocytes from the same animal were incubated with saturating concentrations of unlabeled 2.4G2, biotinylated H57-597, and phycoerythrin-GL3 for 40 min at 4°C in PBS with 0.1% BSA and 0.1% NaN₃, washed twice, and then stained with FITC-Streptavidin for 20 min at 4°C. Cells were subsequently washed and sorted using a FACSStar Plus® (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). H57-597⁺ αβ cells were sorted using stained unfraccionated thymocytes as a starting population while GL3⁺ γδ cells were sorted using identically stained, enriched CD4⁺CD8⁻ cells as a starting population. Immediate reanalysis of sorted populations by two-color flow cytometry revealed contamination with <1% of cells expressing the inappropriate cell surface TCR in all cases.

Results

The 116-bp Core Eα Fragment Tα1,2 Is Sufficient to Activate Minilocus Rearrangement In Vivo. The rearrangement substrate used in the present study has been previously described as a 22.5-kb human TCR-δ gene minilocus consisting of germline V1, V2, D3, J1, J3, and Cδ gene segments (24). Frameshift mutations within the V1 and V2 coding segments prevent the rearranged transgene from encoding a functional TCR polypeptide that could alter normal T cell development in transgenic mice. The initial step of transgene rearrangement, V to D, is enhancer independent (24). The second step of transgene rearrangement, VD to J, is dependent on the presence of a functional enhancer in the J3-Cδ intron. Thus, we infer that the enhancer is required to promote J segment accessibility to the recombi-
nase (24). The 1.4-kb $E_a$ has been shown to efficiently activate $V_D$ to $J$ rearrangement in this system (25). To determine whether $T\alpha 1,2$, the 116-bp core fragment of $E_a$, was also sufficient to activate minilocus $V_D$ recombination in vivo, we constructed a new TCR-$\delta$ gene minilocus containing this fragment in place of $E_a$ (Fig. 1 A). Four independent transgenic lines denoted T2, T3, T5, and T7 were established and determined by slot blot analysis to carry 28, 1, 3, and 4 transgene copies, respectively.

$V_D$ recombination in the four $T\alpha 1,2$ transgenic lines was assessed by quantitative PCR of thymic genomic DNA templates that were amplified using primers specific for minilocus $V_\delta 1$, $V_\delta 2$, and $J_\delta 1$ gene segments (24). PCR using primer combinations $V_\delta 1$-$J_\delta 1$ or $V_\delta 2$-$J_\delta 1$ yields a 0.3-kb product resulting from transgene $V_D$ rearrangement and a 1.2-kb fragment resulting from $V_D$ rearrangement (Fig. 1 B), both of which can be detected on Southern blots probed with radiolabeled $C_\delta$, $V_\delta 1$, or $V_\delta 2$ DNA fragments. Amplification of a 0.3-kb rearrangement-independent product with a pair of $C_\delta$ primers serves as an internal control for PCR efficiency and allows quantitative comparison of rearrangement patterns between different templates. As seen in Fig. 2 and Table 1, levels of $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$ and high levels of $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$-$J_\delta 1$ rearrangement were observed in three of four $T\alpha 1,2$ lines (T2, T5, and T7). Levels of $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$-$J_\delta 1$ rearrangement in thymocytes from lines T2 and T5 were 60 and 38%, respectively, of that found in thymocytes from $E_a$ line J, which includes the intact 1.4-kb $E_a$ (Fig. 3, Table 2). However, $V_D$ and $V_D$ rearranged products were barely detectable in $T\alpha 1,2$ line T3 (Fig. 2, Table 1). Similar variability in rearrangement phenotype among different lines of animals bearing an identical construct has been noted in our previous studies (24, 39) and is likely due to inherent differences in transgene integration sites. We suggest that the minilocus is integrated into a relatively inactive region of chromatin in line T3; in support of this notion, preliminary in vivo footprinting studies have demonstrated markedly diminished protection of protein binding sites within $T\alpha 1,2$ in thymus DNA of T3 mice as compared with thymus DNA of T2, T5, or T7 mice (Hernandez-Munain, C., personal communication). Taken as a whole, our results demonstrate that the 116-bp $T\alpha 1,2$ fragment of $E_a$ is, in most contexts, sufficient to mediate activation of the enhancer-dependent $V_D$ to $J$ step of minilocus rearrangement. The apparently less efficient conversion of $V_\delta 2$-$D_\delta 3$ to $V_\delta 2$-$D_\delta 3$-$J_\delta 1$ in these lines is probably related to our previous observation that $V_\delta 2$ rearrangement is only $\sim$10% as efficient as $V_\delta 1$ rearrangement in this system (24).

$T\alpha 1,2$ and $E_a$ minilocus rearrangement was also analyzed directly by genomic Southern blot (Fig. 4) as an independent means of corroborating results obtained by quantitative PCR. Analysis of $V_\delta 1$ rearrangements in PstI plus EcoRI-digested thymus DNA from $E_a$ line L revealed low levels of 1.0-kb germline $V_\delta 1$ and 0.9-kb $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$ rearranged fragments, and higher levels of a 1.7-kb species resulting from $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$-$J_\delta 1$ rearrangement (Fig. 4). Similar results were obtained with $E_a$ line J (data not shown).

### Table 1. Minilocus Rearrangement in Thymocytes of $T\alpha 1,2$ and $T\alpha 1,2$mTCF Mice

|            | $T\alpha 1,2$ | $T\alpha 1,2$mTCF |
|------------|---------------|------------------|
|            | T2 | T3 | T5 | T7 | JI | JK | JL | JM |
| $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$ | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.97 | 2.77 | 1.17 | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| $V_\delta 1$-$D_\delta 3$-$J_\delta 1$ | 1.00 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 1.88 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.05 | nd | nd |

Blot hybridization signals from the experiment shown in Fig. 2 were quantified using a Betascope. Reported values are normalized to the $C_\delta$ signal for each sample. nd, not detected.
Analysis of similarly digested thymocyte DNA from Tα1,2 line T2 also revealed levels of the fully rearranged Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 fragment that were more prevalent than the 0.9-kb partially rearranged (Vδ1-Dδ3) species (Fig. 4). Hence, these results are consistent with those obtained by PCR and provide confirmation that Tα1,2 alone can efficiently activate minilocus VDJ recombination.

The conspicuous variations in total Vδ1 hybridization signal intensities seen in this experiment (Fig. 4) result in part from differences in germline transgene copy number between the various transgenic lines (T2 = 28, L = 13). A diminution of total Vδ1 signal intensity in thymus DNA relative to tail DNA isolated from the same animal is also apparent, particularly in line T2 (Fig. 4). Slot blot quantification revealed Cα copy number to be 13 in line L tail and 8 in L thymus, and although 28 in T2 tail, only 4 in T2 thymus (data not shown). Such copy number loss has been noted in some other multicopy lines as well, and is likely due to thymocyte rearrangement events between V, D, and J gene segments within different, presumably concatameric, copies of the minilocus, with resultant loss of intervening sequences. These differences in thymus transgene copy number are not apparent in PCR experiments where thymus template amounts were adjusted for Cα copy number.

| Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 | Eα | Tα1,2 | Tα1,2mEts |
|--------------|----|-------|-----------|
|              | J  | T2    | T5        |
| Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1  | 0.30 | 0.15  | 0.19      |
| Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1  | 4.52 | 2.67  | 1.72      |

Table 2. Minilocus Rearrangement in Thymocytes of Eα, Tα1,2, and Tα1,2mEts Mice

Blot hybridization signals from the experiment shown in Fig. 3 were quantified using a Betascope. Reported values are normalized to the Cα signal for each sample.

Figure 4. Analysis of minilocus rearrangement by genomic Southern blot. PstI plus EcoRI-digested tail and thymus genomic DNA samples from Eα line L, Tα1,2 line T2, Tα1,2mEts line JI, and Tα1,2mEts line JO mice (all 4 wk old) were analyzed by Southern blot using a radiolabeled 1.0-kb Vδ1 genomic PstI fragment. Positions of the expected 1.0-kb germline, 0.9-kb Vδ1-Dδ3, and 3.2-kb Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearranged fragments are indicated.
whether the activation of minilocus VDJ recombination by Tα1,2 in vivo is dependent on Ets family transcription factors, a second variant of the Tα1,2 minilocus containing a 3-bp mutation in the Tα1,2 Ets binding site (Tα1,2mEts) was constructed. The three independent lines of transgenic mice generated, JN, JO, and JR, were found to carry 1, 13, and 21 copies of the minilocus, respectively, as assessed by slot blot analysis. VDJ rearrangement in thymocytes from Tα1,2mEts animals was compared with that of wild-type Tα1,2 and Ea mice by quantitative PCR. This analysis revealed that the VD to J step of transgene rearrangement was dramatically curtailed in all three lines of Tα1,2mEts transgenic animals (Fig. 3). Specifically, the levels of Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearrangement in thymocytes from Tα1,2mEts animals was compared with that of wild-type Tα1,2 and Ea mice by quantitative PCR. This analysis revealed that the VD to J step of transgene rearrangement was dramatically curtailed in all three lines of Tα1,2mEts transgenic animals (Fig. 3). Specifically, the levels of Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearrangement in thymocytes from Tα1,2mEts lines JN, JR, and JO were only 5, 7, and 4% of that of wild-type Tα1,2 line T2 (Table 2); Vδ2-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearrangement was only barely detectable (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, VDJ rearrangement was high in all three lines (Fig. 3). These results were also corroborated by genomic Southern blot of digested thymus DNA from Tα1,2mEts line JO, which failed to reveal a discernible Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 fragment despite readily detectable Vδ1-Dδ3 rearrangement (Fig. 4). From these data we conclude that the presence of an intact Ets binding site within Tα1,2 is a prerequisite for efficient activation of the VD to J step of minilocus rearrangement in vivo.

Temporal and Lineage-specific Control of Minilocus Rearrangement By Tα1,2 Is Distinct from that of Ea. The 1.4-kb Ea has been previously shown to confer physiologically appropriate developmental control to the enhancer-dependent VD to J step of minilocus rearrangement. Because the 116-bp Tα1,2 core enhancer fragment proved sufficient to activate minilocus rearrangement, we asked whether the core enhancer fragment is sufficient to impart precise developmental control as well. Accordingly, we examined the timing of minilocus VDJ rearrangement during ontogeny in Tα1,2 transgenic animals. PCR analysis of fetal thymus genomic DNA templates from line T2 timed pregnancies revealed that the VD to J step of minilocus rearrangement began on fetal day 15.5 (Fig. 5), one day earlier than that previously noted in Ea line J (25). Identical results were obtained from analysis of Tα1,2 line T5 (data not shown). Thus, Tα1,2 appeared to be activated slightly earlier during fetal thymic ontogeny than the intact Ea.

We then compared minilocus VDJ recombination in sorted αβ and γδ T cell populations from adult Tα1,2 animals. As expected, PCR analyses revealed abundant VD and VDJ rearrangement in lines T2, T5, and T7 αβ thymocytes (Fig. 6). Strikingly, however, γδ thymocytes from these animals also exhibited substantial VDJ rearrangement (Fig. 6). Quantification of these data revealed that the level of Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearrangement in γδ cells relative to αβ cells was 8% in line T2, 65% in line T5, and 19% in line T7 (Table 3). These results cannot be explained by contamination of cell populations, since flow cytometric analysis demonstrated that <1% of the cells in the sorted populations bore the inappropriate TCR. The results from all three lines contrast dramatically with previous observations in Ea mice; the level of Vδ1-Dδ3-Jδ1 rearrangement in γδ cells was negligible (2.5, 0.0, and 1.3% of the signal in αβ thymocytes in Ea lines J, L, and M, respectively, levels that are probably within the limits of purity of the sorted γδ populations [25]). From these results, we conclude that truncation of Ea results in partial dysregulation of VDJ recombination during development. Specifically, the slightly premature activation of VDJ recombination with relaxed lineage

|      | T2 | T5 | T7 |
|------|----|----|----|
| γδ   | 1.67| 0.34| 2.04| 0.30| 1.21| 0.45|
| αβ   | 0.63| 7.77| 1.32| 2.07| 0.54| 2.90|

Blot hybridization signals from the experiment shown in Fig. 6 were quantified using a Betascope. Reported values are normalized to the Cδ signal for each sample. Because quantification of T2, T5, and T7 samples was performed on separate blots probed at different times, the values for VD/C and VDJ/C are useful for comparison of the γδ and αβ samples within a line, but are not useful for comparisons between different lines.
control that is directed by Tα1,2 suggests that Eα elements that lie outside of Tα1,2 are critical for the tightly regulated and physiologically appropriate activation of TCR-α gene rearrangement in vivo.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the roles of cis-acting elements of Eα in the developmental regulation of VDJ recombination at the TCR-α/δ locus. We found that the 116-bp Tα1,2 core enhancer fragment of the 1.4-kb Eα is sufficient to activate the enhancer-dependent VD to J step of transgenic minilocus rearrangement, and that intact TCF/LEF and Ets binding sites within Tα1,2 are required. Investigation of the temporal and lineage-specific control of VDJ recombination afforded by Tα1,2 revealed that thymocyte VD to J rearrangement begins on fetal day 15.5 and occurs in both αβ and γδ cells. This contrasts with previous results obtained in transgenic lines carrying the 1.4-kb Eα, in which VD to J rearrangement was found to begin on fetal day 16.5 and to be limited to αβ cells (25). Taken together, these data indicate that the core fragment of Eα can establish accessibility to the recombinase in developing thymocytes in vivo in a fashion that is dependent on the binding of TCF/LEF and Ets family transcription factors, but that these and other factors that bind to the Eα core cannot account for the precise developmental onset of accessibility that is provided by the intact Eα. Rather, our data suggests a critical role for factors that bind Eα outside of the core Tα1,2 region in establishing the precise developmental onset of TCR-α rearrangement in vivo.

Previous studies identified the 116-bp Tα1,2 as the core fragment of Eα on the basis of its ability to potently activate plasmid reporter gene expression in transient transfection experiments, and its ability, as naked DNA, to support the assembly of a stable multiprotein complex consisting of ATF/CREB, LEF-1, Ets-1, and CBF/PEBP2 (26–28). Our experiments are the first to test the core fragment of Eα in a chromosomally integrated context. Our data indicates that this fragment is capable of modifying chromatin structure in vivo over a distance of at least 2 kb, as measured by its ability to modify the accessibility of the Jκ1 gene segment to the VDJ recombinase. Furthermore, our data are the first to provide evidence that TCF/LEF and Ets family transcription factors are important regulators of Eα in a chromosomal environment, suggesting that the multiprotein complex that was previously documented to assemble in vitro (28) may have an important role in regulating chromatin structure in vivo.

TCF-1 and LEF-1 are members of the HMG box family of transcription factors that bind at the same site within Tα1,2 (Fig. 1 A) (29–31). The roles of both of these factors have been analyzed in vivo by targeted gene disruption. Analysis of LEF-1−/− mice has revealed that, despite early postnatal lethality due to impaired development of multiple organs, TCR-α rearrangement and T cell development proceed normally (40). TCF-1−/− animals, on the other hand, are healthy and appear morphologically normal, but exhibit reduced numbers of apparently normal peripheral T cells and a significant impairment in thymocyte differentiation at the transition from the ISP to DP stages of development (41). TCR-β rearrangement appears to be unaffected in these animals (41). However, since thymocyte TCR rearrangement and expression normally begins around the ISP to DP transition that is inhibited by TCF-1 gene disruption, it is difficult to judge whether TCR-α rearrangement is inhibited, albeit incompletely, as a primary consequence of the mutation.

Our experiments, which tested the effects of a disrupted TCF-1/LEF-1 binding site within Tα1,2 in a phenotypically neutral recombination reporter construct, clearly implicate TCF-1, LEF-1, or related factors in the developmental activation of minilocus VD to J rearrangement, and by implication, in the developmental activation of Vα to Jα rearrangement at the endogenous TCR-α/δ locus. There are several reasons why our results may appear to contrast with those obtained by targeted gene disruption. First, our results may be easier to interpret unambiguously because there is no confounding effect on T cell development clouding the interpretation of perturbed transgene rearrangement. Second, our experimental approach, in which the binding site is mutated, accounts for the possibility that TCF-1 and LEF-1 might play important but redundant roles in regulating TCR-α gene rearrangement. Such redundancy might allow apparently normal TCR-α rearrangement and expression in gene targeted animals that lack either TCF-1 or LEF-1, but not both. Third, our experimental approach would detect an effect even if a related HMG family member, rather than TCF-1 or LEF-1, were the critical regulator of TCR-α gene rearrangement in vivo. Finally, our test of the TCF/LEF binding site mutation in the context of the Tα1,2 core enhancer, rather than the 1.4-kb Eα, may represent a more sensitive measure of the effect of protein binding to this site, as it eliminates the contribution of potentially redundant cis-acting Eα elements that lie outside of Tα1,2. Such elements might mask an effect in a TCF-1 or LEF-1 knockout, or in mutated versions of an otherwise intact Eα. Indeed, in transient transfection experiments, the DraI-Apal Eα fragment containing the Tκ3 and Tκ4 nuclear protein–binding sites can partially compensate for deleterious mutations in Tα1,2 (27). The nature of our experiment does not allow us to conclude that TCF/LEF family members are strictly required for the activation of TCR-α gene rearrangement within the endogenous TCR-α/δ locus in vivo. We can reasonably conclude, however, that these factors are likely to play a role in the developmental activation of TCR-α gene rearrangement in vivo.

Ets transcription factors constitute a large family of DNA binding proteins, among which, Ets-1, Ets-2, GABPα, Elf-1, Fli-1, and Spi-B are all expressed in T cells (42, 43). Ets-1 in particular has been thought to be a regulator of Tα1,2 on the basis of its ability to transactivate gene expression in transient transfection experiments, and its ability to interact with ATF/CREB and CBF/PEBP2 to form a stable multiprotein complex on Tα1,2 in vitro (28, 33). Ets-1 is prefer-
entially expressed in resting lymphocytes of adult mice, and its expression in fetal and postnatal thymocytes roughly parallels that of TCR-α (44, 45). Nevertheless, although gene disruption experiments have revealed diminished numbers of mature thymocytes and peripheral T cells with impaired activation and survival characteristics in Ets-1−/− animals, TCR expression appears to be normal (46, 47).

Our results, which clearly establish that an intact binding site for Ets family members is required for efficient activation of minilocus VD to J rearrangement by Tα1,2 in vivo, might imply that another Ets family member is the crucial regulator of Eα or compensates for the loss of Ets-1 in Ets-1−/− mice. The only other Ets-related transcription factor that is expressed in the T lineage and whose role has been examined genetically is Fli-1. Mice expressing an altered Fli-1 allele display reduced numbers of all thymocyte subsets; however, TCR-α rearrangement and expression was not specifically examined (48). Fli-1 has been shown to bind to and transactivate gene expression via Tα1,2 in transient transfection experiments. However, the magnitude of transactivation was substantially less than that observed using Ets-1, and unlike Ets-1, Fli-1 did not interact with ATF/CREB proteins (28). Elf-1, on the other hand, has a distinct binding specificity and does not stably interact with Tα1,2 (49). Thus, the physiologically relevant factor that interacts with the Tα1,2 Ets site in vivo remains uncertain. Finally, as noted above for the TCF/LEF binding site mutation, our test of the Ets site mutation in the context of the Tα1,2 rather than the 1.4-kb Eα might, due to redundancy of cis-acting elements, detect an effect that would not be readily detected in the Ets-1 knockout or in mutated versions of the 1.4-kb Eα. While we cannot conclude that the binding of Ets family members is absolutely required for the activation of TCR-α gene rearrangement within the endogenous TCR-α/β locus, our data does implicate these factors as potentially important contributors to the developmental activation of TCR-α gene rearrangement in vivo.

Although our data demonstrates quite clearly that the accessibility required for the activation of VDJ recombination can be established by the binding of TCF/LEF family, Ets family, and presumably other transcription factors to the core of Eα, our data also indicates that the binding of these factors cannot account for the precise developmental onset of accessibility that is provided by the intact Eα. Rather, we detect both a partial loss of lineage specificity and a partial loss of temporal or developmental stage specificity in Tα1,2 transgenic lines. We propose that the loss of lineage specificity is a direct consequence of the loss of temporal or developmental stage specificity, as follows. Our observation that an intact Eα activates the VD to J step of minilocus rearrangement exclusively in developing αβ T cells implies that the transgenic Eα is developmentally activated either coordinately with, or subsequent to, activation of the endogenous Eα, which, by inducing endogenous Vα to Jα rearrangement, commits developing thymocytes to become αβ cells. On the other hand, the relaxed lineage specificity of Tα1,2 implies that in at least a fraction of thymocytes, Tα1,2 is activated before the endogenous Eα. This would result in the activation of at least some minilocus VD to J rearrangement in as yet uncommitted thymic precursors, some of which would give rise to γδ cells. The uncommitted thymocyte population in which Tα1,2-dependent minilocus VD to J rearrangement occurs is a matter of speculation, but should be positive for RAG-1 and RAG-2. One candidate would be the CD44lowCD25+ subset of DN cells, which expresses high levels of RAG-1 and RAG-2, and is actively rearranging endogenous TCR-β, -γ, and -δ genes (7, 14). However, CD44lowCD25− DN and ISP thymocytes may also be candidates if their reduced levels of RAG-1 and RAG-2 maintain permissiveness for at least low level VDJ recombination (7, 13).

The potential for premature activation of Eα directed by factors that interact with Tα1,2 is apparently normally held in check by additional cis-elements of Eα. Although the identities of the cis-elements that mediate this effect are uncertain at present, we speculate that they might map to the previously defined protein binding sites Tα3 and Tα4 (26, 27). Although little is known about protein binding to these sites, it is interesting that they contain two E box motifs. Restriction of the activity of the immunoglobulin heavy-chain enhancer to B cells has been shown to be due, at least in part, to negative regulation involving two E boxes, μE5 and μE4, present within the enhancer (50–52). In addition, an E box has been implicated in the negative regulation of CD4 gene expression during T cell development by the CD4 transcriptional silencer (53). It is therefore tempting to speculate that the Tα3 and Tα4 E boxes may play similar roles in the developmental activation of Eα, and, as a consequence, the developmental activation of Vα to Jα rearrangement. We are currently investigating this possibility.
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