Association Between Heart Rate-corrected QT Interval and Severe Peripheral Arterial Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Foot Ulcers: A Case Control Study
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Abstract

**Background:** Half of patients with diabetes and foot ulcers (DFUs) have co-existing peripheral arterial disease (PAD). Prolonged heart rate-corrected QT (QTc) interval was found to be associated with PAD in a general population. The goal of the present study was to investigate the relationship between QTc interval and PAD in patients with DFUs.

**Methods:** The present multi-center cross-sectional study enrolled 281 patients with DFUs. Severity of PAD was classified as no-severe PAD group (no obvious abnormality or plaques) and severe PAD group (stenosis or occlusion) according to duplex ultrasonography. QTc was calculated according to the Bazett formula. The study population was divided into subgroups based on the QTc tertiles.

**Results:** The patients with severe PAD had longer QTc interval ($P = 0.004$) and higher prevalence of prolonged QTc ($P = 0.042$) than those with no-severe PAD. After adjustment for gender and age, partial correlation analysis revealed positive relationship of QTc with prevalence of severe DFUs ($r = 0.194, P = 0.001$) and severe PAD ($r = 0.118, P = 0.048$). Univariate logistic analysis showed that the QTc was positively associated with severe PAD (odds ratio [OR] = 1.010, $P = 0.014$). Compared to those in lowest tertile of QTc, the ORs for severe PAD were increased significantly and independently for those in upper tertiles of QTc (both $P < 0.05$).

**Conclusions:** Prolonged QTc interval was independently associated with severe PAD in patients with DFUs, which might indicate the worse progression of peripheral atherosclerosis in early stage for this population.

Introduction

Diabetic foot disease, a common complication in patients with diabetes [1], is generally associated with high prevalence of severe macroangiopathic comorbidities that result in high morbidity and mortality [2]. A total of 50% of patients with diabetes and foot ulcers (DFUs) have co-existing peripheral arterial disease (PAD) [3]. Patients with DFUs and lower extremity PAD have the greatest risk of limb loss. PAD is usually asymptomatic in patients with diabetes. For these patients, co-existing sensory neuropathy may mask symptoms of intermittent claudication and ischemic rest pain [4]. The diagnosis of PAD is mostly made once a DFU is present, pointing out the need for earlier screening and the assessment of arterial insufficiency [5].

The QT interval, defined as the time between the beginning of the Q wave and the end of the T-wave represents the duration of the electrical depolarization and repolarization of the ventricular walls [6]. Heart-rate-corrected QT (QTc) interval prolongation is a possible consequence of cardiac autonomic neuropathy and is a predictor of lethal arrhythmias [7, 8]. It has been demonstrated that patients with diabetes have a more frequent occurrence of QTc prolongation than patients without diabetes [9]. QTc interval also
increases during hypoglycemia\cite{10,11}. Studies indicated that QTc interval was associated with the risk of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs), all-cause mortality, sudden cardiac mortality and stroke\cite{12–18}.

Few studies have addressed the association of QTc interval with clinical arterial disease\cite{18–21}. Clinical studies found associations between carotid intima media thickness and QTc prolongation not only in patients with type 2 diabetes but also in a clinically healthy population\cite{19,21}. Links between pulse wave velocity and QTc have been found in a clinically healthy population\cite{20}. Prolonged QTc was found to be associated with PAD in a general population\cite{18}, but no study to date has identified a link between the severity of PAD and QTc in DFUs patients. Therefore, we performed a cross-sectional study to assess the association of QTc interval with the risk of severe PAD in DFUs patients measured by duplex ultrasonography.

**Materials And Methods**

**Study population**

We used data from multi-center cross-sectional survey based on 6 medical institutions in Wenzhou city, China (The first affiliated hospital of Wenzhou medical university, Wenzhou people's hospital, Ru’an people's hospital, Ru’an Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Yueqing people's hospital, Pingyang people's hospital). A total of 472 patients diagnosed with DFUs according to the 2015 International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot (IWGDF) diagnostic criteria\cite{22} were enrolled from October 2015 to September 2019. The exclusion criteria included previous myocardial infarction, congenital long QT syndrome, pacemaker rhythms, atrial fibrillation, other arrhythmias, hypokalemia and under medication affecting QTc interval (antiarrhythmic, beta-blocker, digitalis, quinolone antibiotics and psychotropic drugs)\cite{23}.

Finally, 281 DFUs patients were included in the present study. Degree of severity of DFUs was subdivided according to Wagner classification\cite{24} (grade score 0 ~ 5) and categorized as no-severe DFUs group (Wagner grade score<3) and severe DFUs group (Wagner grade score ≥ 3).

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to their participation.

**Data collection and measurements**

All patients were assessed by diabetologists and diabetic care nurses in six hospitals of a diabetic foot federation. Patient history included data on demographic characteristics, diabetes duration, coronary heart disease, hypertension and lifestyle habits, including smoking and drinking. Resting blood pressure was recorded. Hypertension was defined as the use of hypertensive medications or a mean resting systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated as follows: MAP = (SBP + 2*DBP)/3. Blood samples were collected and analyzed from antecubital vein. Among them, laboratory parameters including high-density lipoprotein-
cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), albumin (ALB) Hemoglobin (Hb) and creatinine were subsequently analyzed by an automated analyzer (Abbott AxSYM, Park, IL). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated by using the EPI equation.[25]

**Definition and grouping of PAD**

The bilateral lower extremity arteries (femoral artery, superficial femoral artery, popliteal artery, anterior tibial artery, posterior tibial artery and dorsalis pedis arteries) of patients were examined by duplex ultrasonography for intima-media thickness, plaque occurrence, stenosis, blood vessel diameter and filling defect in blood flow. PAD was defined by qualified, experienced ultrasonic diagnostic experts. Degree of severity of PAD was subdivided according to duplex ultrasonography and classified as no-severe PAD group (no obvious abnormality or plaques) and severe PAD group (stenosis or occlusion).

**QTc measurement**

All patients had a standard 12-lead resting ECG recorded within 24 hours of admission. The ECGs were reviewed for rhythm analysis and measurements by two independent cardiologists. The QT interval was calculated from the beginning of the QRS complex to the end of the T wave. The value was then corrected for heart rate according to the Bazett formula: QTc = QT interval/square root of the R-R interval (QTc = QT / [RR]1/2). QTc ≥ 440 ms was defined as Prolonged QTc. In order to prevent the QTc from being overvalued or undervalued, only patients with a normal pulse rate (60 to 100 beats/min ) were enrolled in the present study.

**Statistical analysis**

The one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used in order to examine the normality of distributions. Data were presented as n (%), mean ± standard deviation, or, in the case of skewed variables, as median and interquartile range (IQR). Continuous variables were compared using two-sided student's t test, one-way ANOVA (for normally distributed variables) or Mann-Whitney U test, Kruskal-Wallis test (for skewed variables). Categorical variables were compared using Chi-squared test. Spearman and partial correlation analyses were conducted to assess the relationship between clinical characteristics and QTc. In order to assess the correlation of QTc and severe PAD, the study population was divided into tertiles according to QTc. Univariate logistic regression analyses were performed to determine the risk factors for severe PAD. Multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed to determine whether the association persists after adjusting confounding variables. Models were adjusted for age, sex, duration of type 2 diabetes, smoking status, alcohol consumption, systolic blood pressure, ALB, creatinine, Hb, LDL and HbA1c. The adjusted odd ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. P values of 0.05 were considered statistically significant for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM, IL, USA) version 22.

**Results**
Clinical characteristics of Study population

According to the duplex ultrasonography, among 281 DFUs patients, 122 (43.4%) cases of severe PAD were identified. Compared to no-severe PAD group, the severe PAD group were older, and had longer diabetic foot duration, higher prevalence of hypertension, and lower eGFR (all P < 0.05, Table 1).
Table 1
Baseline characteristics of DFUs patients with and without severe PAD

| Characteristic                  | No-severe PAD (N = 159) | Severe PAD (N = 122) | P value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Male (%)                        | 106 (66.7%)             | 71 (58.2%)           | 0.145   |
| Age (years)                     | 66 (56-74)              | 72 (66-80)           | <0.001  |
| Smoking (%)                     | 56 (35.4%)              | 38 (31.1%)           | 0.450   |
| Alcohol use (%)                 | 49 (30.8%)              | 31 (25.4%)           | 0.319   |
| Diabetes duration (years)       | 10 (6-17)               | 10 (8-20)            | 0.360   |
| Diabetic foot duration (day)    | 20 (7-30)               | 30 (10-60)           | 0.026   |
| Severe DFUs (%)                 | 66 (41.5%)              | 58 (47.5%)           | 0.313   |
| Hypertension (%)                | 84 (52.8%)              | 96 (78.7%)           | <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)                      | 141±22                  | 146±21               | 0.105   |
| DBP (mmHg)                      | 75±12                   | 76±11                | 0.746   |
| MAP (mmHg)                      | 97±13                   | 99±13                | 0.268   |
| Creatinine (μmol/l)             | 69 (58-91)              | 77 (59-107)          | 0.058   |
| eGFR (EPI)/mL/min/1.73m²        | 88.9 (67.4-100.3)       | 78.4 (50.3-94.5)     | 0.009   |
| ALB (g/L)                       | 34.4 (30.1-37.7)        | 34.9 (31.1-37.9)     | 0.667   |
| Hb (g/L)                        | 119.5 (104.8-133.3)     | 118.0 (105.5-128.0)  | 0.420   |
| HbA1c (%)                       | 9.5 (7.7-11.3)          | 9.0 (7.3-11.4)       | 0.400   |
| TC (mmol/L)                     | 4.2 (3.3-4.9)           | 4.11 (3.30-5.16)     | 0.932   |
| TG (mmol/L)                     | 1.22 (0.88-1.78)        | 1.33 (0.91-1.88)     | 0.465   |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                  | 0.95 (0.75-1.19)        | 0.93 (0.75-1.10)     | 0.229   |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                  | 2.29 (1.76-2.95)        | 2.37 (1.67-3.05)     | 0.960   |

PAD: peripheral arterial disease; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; MAP: mean arterial pressure; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; ALB: albumin; Hb: hemoglobin; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; QTc: heart-rate-corrected QT interval.
Compared to No-severe PAD group, the severe PAD group had longer QTc interval ($438 \ [423–455]$ versus $429 \ [407–445]$, $P=0.004$) and higher prevalence of prolonged QTc (34.0% versus 45.9%, $P=0.042$) (Fig. 1).

**Relationships between clinical characteristics and QTc**

Spearman correlation analysis showed that age, the prevalence of severe DFUs, ischemic ulcer and severe PAD were positively correlated with QTc, whereas male, eGFR, ALB, Hb, HDL-C, LDL-C, and prevalence of smoking were inversely associated with QTc (all $P<0.05$). After adjustment for gender and age, partial correlation analysis confirmed the positive relationship between the prevalence of severe DFUs ($r=0.194$, $P=0.001$), severe PAD ($r=0.118$, $P=0.048$) and QTc. Negative relationship between ALB ($r=-0.224$, $P<0.001$), Hb ($r=-0.255$, $P<0.001$), HDL-C ($r=-0.162$, $P=0.007$), prevalence of smoking ($r=-0.120$, $P=0.046$) and QTc were confirmed by partial correlation analysis. (Table 2).
| Characteristic               | QTc            | QTc (gender, age adjusted) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
|                             | r   | P-value | r   | P-value |
| Male (%)                    | -0.140 | 0.019  | -   | -       |
| Age (years)                 | 0.175 | 0.003  | -   | -       |
| Smoking (%)                 | -0.192 | 0.001  | -0.120 | 0.046 |
| Alcohol use (%)             | -0.115 | 0.054  | -0.030 | 0.616 |
| Diabetes duration (years)   | 0.048 | 0.423  | -0.006 | 0.926 |
| Diabetic foot duration (day) | 0.019 | 0.765  | -0.041 | 0.516 |
| severe DFUs (%)             | 0.191 | 0.001  | 0.194 | 0.001  |
| Ischemic ulcer (%)          | 0.132 | 0.027  | 0.078 | 0.194  |
| Hypertension (%)            | 0.105 | 0.078  | 0.016 | 0.789  |
| SBP (mmHg)                  | 0.004 | 0.947  | -0.008 | 0.900 |
| DBP (mmHg)                  | -0.017 | 0.773  | 0.013 | 0.824  |
| MAP (mmHg)                  | -0.021 | 0.730  | 0.003 | 0.995  |
| Creatinine (μmol/l)        | 0.050 | 0.403  | 0.117 | 0.052  |
| eGFR (EPI) (mL/min/1.73m2)  | -0.162 | 0.007  | -0.077 | 0.199 |
| HbA1c (%)                   | 0.001 | 0.993  | -0.014 | 0.829 |
| ALB (g/L)                   | -0.201 | 0.001  | -0.224 | <0.001 |
| Hb (g/L)                    | -0.294 | <0.001 | -0.255 | <0.001 |
| TC (mmol/L)                 | -0.088 | 0.147  | -0.109 | 0.072 |
| TG (mmol/L)                 | 0.036 | 0.547  | -0.026 | 0.675 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)              | -0.192 | 0.001  | -0.162 | 0.007 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)              | -0.140 | 0.020  | -0.116 | 0.055 |
| severe PAD (%)              | 0.174 | 0.004  | 0.118 | 0.048  |

PAD: peripheral arterial disease; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; MAP: mean arterial pressure; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; ALB: albumin; Hb: hemoglobin; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; QTc: heart-rate-corrected QT interval.
Univariate and Multivariable logistic regression analyses for the risk factors of severe PAD

Univariate logistic regression analyses were performed to determine the risk factors for severe PAD. Age, hypertension, QTc, serum creatinine and eGFR were found to be related to severe PAD (Table 3). After categorizing the QTc interval according to tertiles value (T1: ≤ 421 ms; T2: 422–444 ms; and T3: ≥ 445 ms), defined patients in QTc T1 group as reference, logistic regression analyses were performed to evaluate the relationship between QTc interval and severe PAD (Table 4). In model 1, the ORs for severe PAD of patients in T2 and T3 groups were 1.95 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.08–3.52, \(P = 0.027\)) and 2.31 (95% CI: 1.27–4.20, \(P = 0.006\)). In model 2 the ORs for severe PAD were 2.02 (95% CI: 1.08–3.76, \(P = 0.027\)) and 2.00 (95% CI: 1.04–3.72, \(P = 0.038\)) for patients in T2 and T3 groups, after adjusting for clinical risk factors including age, sex, smoking, drinking, and diabetes duration. In model 3 the ORs for severe PAD were 2.07 (95% CI: 1.04–4.12, \(P = 0.037\)) and 2.05 (95% CI: 1.01–4.17, \(P = 0.046\)) for patients in T2 and T3 groups, after adjusted for risk factors in model 2 and clinical values including MAP, ALB, eGFR, Hb, LDL, HDL, HbA1c.
Table 3
Univariate logistic regression analyses of risk factors for DFUs patients with severe PAD

| Characteristic                        | OR (95CI%)         | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Male (%)                             | 1.437 (0.882-2.340) | 0.146   |
| Age (years)                          | 1.059 (1.034-1.084) | <0.001  |
| Smoking (%)                          | 0.824 (0.498-1.363) | 0.451   |
| Alcohol use (%)                      | 0.765 (0.451-1.297) | 0.320   |
| Diabetes duration (years)            | 1.010 (0.978-1.043) | 0.544   |
| Diabetic foot duration (day)         | 1.002 (1.000 - 1.003) | 0.060   |
| Hypertension (%)                     | 3.297 (1.934-5.621) | <0.001  |
| SBP (mmHg)                           | 1.009 (0.998-1.020) | 0.106   |
| DBP (mmHg)                           | 1.003 (0.983-1.025) | 0.745   |
| MAP (mmHg)                           | 1.011 (0.992-1.029) | 0.267   |
| Creatinine (µmol/l)                  | 1.004 (1.001 -1.008) | 0.022   |
| eGFR (EPI) (mL/min/1.73m2)           | 0.985 (0.976 - 0.993) | 0.001   |
| HbA1c (%)                            | 0.964 (0.893-1.040) | 0.342   |
| ALB (g/L)                            | 1.009 (0.967-1.052) | 0.692   |
| Hb (g/L)                             | 0.996 (0.983 -1.008) | 0.478   |
| TC (mmol/L)                          | 1.038 (0.863-1.248) | 0.692   |
| TG (mmol/L)                          | 1.064 (0.853-1.325) | 0.583   |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)                       | 0.702 (0.352-1.398) | 0.314   |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)                       | 1.001 (0.777-1.289) | 0.994   |
| QTc (ms)                             | 1.010 (1.002 - 1.018) | 0.014   |

PAD: peripheral arterial disease; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; MAP: mean arterial pressure; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; ALB: albumin; Hb: hemoglobin; HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; LDL: low density lipoprotein; QTc: heart-rate-corrected QT interval.
| QTc        | OR (95%CI) | P-value |
|------------|------------|---------|
| Model 1    |            |         |
| T1 (≤421ms)| 1          | 0.015   |
| T2 (422-444ms) | 1.95 (1.08-3.52) | 0.027   |
| T3 (≥445ms) | 2.31 (1.27-4.20) | 0.006   |
| Model 2    |            |         |
| T1 (≤421ms)| 1          | 0.048   |
| T2 (422-444ms) | 2.02 (1.08-3.76) | 0.027   |
| T3 (≥445ms) | 2.00 (1.04-3.72) | 0.038   |
| Model 3    |            |         |
| T1 (≤421ms)| 1          | 0.063   |
| T2 (422-444ms) | 2.07 (1.04-4.12) | 0.037   |
| T3 (≥445ms) | 2.05 (1.01-4.17) | 0.046   |

Model 1. Calculate by univariate logistic regression.
Model 2. Adjusted for risk factors including age, sex, smoking, drinking, diabetes duration.
Model 3. Adjusted for risk factors in model 2 and clinical values including MAP, ALB, eGFR, Hb, LDL, HDL, HbA1c.

**Discussion**

Identifying PAD in DFUs patients is important, given its association with failure to heal, amputation, CVDs and increased risk of mortality. Earlier assessment of the severity of PAD is required to determine the need for revascularization to promote ulcer healing \(^4\). However, most of the PAD in patients with diabetes is asymptomatic. Therefore, a profound understanding of potential risk factors in the severity of PAD in DFUs patients is imperiously needed, which might be helpful for early identification and subsequent initiation of therapy for subjects with severe PAD in DFUs patients.

The present study revealed a positive association between QTc interval and severe PAD in DFUs patients. Longer QTc and higher prevalence of prolonged QTc were found in DFUs patients with severe PAD. Longer QTc was significantly and independently associated with increased risks of severe PAD.

The Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study including 1,577 subjects found that QT interval was prolonged in type 2 diabetes, even in newly diagnosed diabetes \(^9\). A Japan study \(^26\) found that neuropathy, nephropathy and the multiplicity of the microvascular complications were independently associated with QTc in patients with type 2 diabetes. Studies \(^19,21\) found associations between subclinical atherosclerosis marker: carotid intima media thickness and QTc prolongation in a clinically
healthy population and in patients with type 2 diabetes. Pulse wave velocity is another established subclinical atherosclerosis marker. A link between pulse wave velocity and QTc have been found in clinically healthy population of Japanese[20]. Prolonged QTc was found to be associated with an increased risk of newly developed and a higher incidence of PAD, which was diagnosed as ankle–brachial index (ABI) < 0.9 or > 1.4 at either side in a general population of china [18]. Few studies have addressed the association of QTc with DFUs. Katarina Fagher et al found in DFUs patients, QTc prolongation was associated with increased mortality [17,27] and hyperbaric oxygen therapy might protect against QTc prolongation [28]. Shumin Wang found QTc prolongation was associated with a higher CVDs mortality in DFU patients, but it cannot predict ulcer healing or recurrence. The present study extends the associations to the severity of PAD in DFUs patients.

In accordance with previous study[29] which found DFUs patients with prolonged QTc tended to have higher prevalence of severe DFUs (Wagner 3 to 5 degrees) in China, the present study found that the prevalence of severe DFUs (Wagner grade score ≥ 3) was positively correlated with QTc. The severity of DFUs maybe can be partly explained by the co-existing severe PAD. However, in this study, DFUs patients with severe PAD had higher prevalence of severe DFUs, but did not reach statistical significance.

PAD has been classified as an equal risk factor for CVDs. Patients with PAD have an equivalent cardiovascular risk to patients with previous myocardial infarction [30]. Studies indicated that QTc was associated with the risk of CVDs in different populations [12,13,16,31]. Patients with severe PAD have a high risk of CVDs, which might affect QTc.

Studies have indicated that QTc is associated with age [32], glycemic control [33], hypertension [9], hyperuricemia [34], hyperinsulinemia [35] and metabolic syndrome [36], which are risk factors for the occurrence of PAD events in patients with diabetes.

The mechanism underlying the association between QTc and severe PAD has not been fully elucidated. PAD is usually caused by atherosclerosis. Other causes may be inflammation [37], which can reduce vascular nitric oxide bioavailability [38]. Then inhibit the Ca^{2+}-ATPase and K^{+}/Na^{+}-ATPase, leading to an increase of cytosolic free calcium and a prolongation of myocardial repolarization [39].

The strengths of this study included a more precise measurement: duplex ultrasonography. Duplex ultrasonography is mostly used in detecting and localizing lesions in different territories of the vascular, and quantifying their grade of severity with the application of velocity and pressure gradient criteria. It can diagnose arterial disease at a very early stage [40]. Duplex ultrasonography is often recommended as the first-line non-invasive investigation for people with PAD. It has 85–90% sensitivity and > 95% specificity to detect stenosis > 50% [41]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to address the associations between QTc and severe PAD in DFUs patients based on the measurements of duplex ultrasonography. Second, the participants of the present study were from multi-center including six different medical institutions. Therefore, the results may be applicable to general DFUs populations. The
present study also has some limitations. Firstly, the sample size is relatively small, the result needs to be verified in a more larger sample. Second, because of the basic features of the cross-sectional study, we could not determine a cause-effect relationship between QTc and severe PAD in DFUs patients. Larger prospective cohort studies are needed for further exploration.

**Conclusion**

The present study demonstrated an independently positive association of QTc with severity of PAD in patients with DFUs. In patients with DFUs, prolonged QTc may indicate not only cardiac pathology, but also the worse progression of peripheral atherosclerosis in early stage.
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Figures

Figure 1
QTc interval (ms) (a) (P = 0.004) and Prolonged QTc (%) (b) (P = 0.042) comparing No-severe PAD group with severe PAD group