Interaction between retanning agents and wet white tanned by a novel bimetal complex tanning agent
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Abstract

A promising and practical chrome-free tanning system has been developed based on a novel Al–Zr bimetal complex tanning agent. However, to achieve satisfactory resultant leather, the retanning process that is compatible with this emerging tannage needs to be investigated systematically. This paper aims to explore the interaction between the bimetal complex tanned wet white and retanning agents. The isoelectric point (pI) of wet white was 7.2, which was nearly the same as wet blue. The electropositivity of wet white was even higher than that of wet blue during post-tanning processes, resulting in higher uptake rate of retanning agents. The distribution of various retanning agents in wet white was analyzed by pI measurement of layered leather and fluorescent tracing technique. The retanning agents were unevenly distributed throughout the cross-section, which might be an important restriction factor in obtaining satisfactory organoleptic properties of the crust leather. This fact is mainly due to the strong electrostatic interaction between anionic retanning agents and wet white. Applying a high dosage of multiple retanning agents in a proper sequence of addition benefited the full penetration of retanning agents in leather matrix and thus improved the organoleptic properties of crust leather. This work provides guidance for optimizing retanning process of the wet white leather.
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1 Introduction

Nowadays, the demand for chrome-free tanning technology has increased significantly in China [1–4] since conventional chrome tannage is subjected to great restriction due to the discharges of Cr-containing wastewater and solid wastes [5, 6]. However, few of the existing chrome-free tanning technologies have been widely used as alternatives of chrome tannage on an industrial scale. One reason is that most of the wet white leathers (semi-finished products tanned with chrome-free tanning agents) exhibit insufficient extent of collagen fiber separation [7, 8], poor storage stability and low shrinkage temperature [9]. Another reason is the fact that chrome-free resultant leathers commonly present inferior organoleptic properties compared with chrome leather [10].

Retanning is regarded as a crucial process in improving physical and organoleptic properties of leather [11]. The binding of retanning agents (mostly anionic chemicals) on the tanned leather mainly depends on the electrostatic force between them [12, 13]. Chrome tanned semi-finished leather (wet blue) possesses high isoelectric point (pl, commonly over 7.0) and can firmly fix the anionic retanning agents through ionic bond [14]. Moreover, the uniform penetration and distribution of retanning agents in chrome tanned leather were observed by using fluorescent tracing technique, which led to satisfactory organoleptic properties of crust leather [12, 15]. In fact, the current retanning agents and retanning technologies are designed to match up with chrome tanning systems and may not be suitable for chrome-free tannage in consideration of the changed pl
of wet white (mostly less than 5.0) [14, 16]. Therefore, the retanning process for chrome-free tanning system should be systematically investigated and redesigned to upgrade the quality of chrome-free leather.

We recently developed a promising and practical chrome-free tanning system based on a novel Al–Zr bimetal complex tanning agent [17]. A well-prepared oxidized starch ligand was introduced into the complex tanning agent, which resulted in excellent tanning performance [18, 19]. The wet white tanned by this chrome-free tanning agent showed similar extent of collagen fiber separation, storage stability and charge characteristic compared with wet blue [17, 20]. In this study, to make satisfactory resultant leather, the retanning of the wet white was explored. The penetration and distribution of retanning agents in wet white were analyzed by pI measurement of leather and fluorescent tracing of retanning agent. The uptake of retanning agents by wet white and the organoleptic properties of leather were also evaluated. The investigation on the interaction between the wet white and typical retanning agents is expected to guide the development and practical application of the chrome-free tanning system.

2 Experimental
2.1 Materials
The bimetal complex tanning agent was prepared by blending a highly oxidized starch ligand (15 wt%), the preparation method was reported in our previous work [17]), Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O (60 wt%), and Zr(SO₄)₂·4H₂O (25 wt%). Pickled cattle hide was tanned by 10% bimetal complex tanning agent (based on limed weight, the same below) at 25 °C for 3 h and basified with 1.1% magnesium oxide to pH 4.0. Then 200% water was added to run at 40 °C for another 2 h to obtain wet white [18]. Wet blue leather (tanned by a conventional process with 7% chrome tanning agent, and basified to pH 4.0 in the end) was supplied by a local tannery. Mimosa extract (ME, tannin content 72%), melamine–formaldehyde resin (MR, solid content 94%), acrylic resin (AR, copolymerized by acrylic acid and methacrylic acid, 31 wt%) and amphoteric acrylic resin (AAR, copolymerized by dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride and acrylic acid, 30 wt%) were provided by a leather chemical company. Rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RBITC) and Sephadex G-50 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC. (Saint Louis, USA). 5-aminofluorescein (AF) was purchased from Aladin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

RBITC-labeled MR (RBITC-MR), AF-labeled AR (AF-AR) and AF-labeled AAR (AF-AAR) were synthesized according to our previous work [15, 21]. In brief, 25 mL of MR solution (5 mg/mL) was reacted with 5 mL of RBITC aqueous solution (5 mg/mL) in the dark at pH 9.16 and 4 °C for 10 h. The blend was purified using a Sephadex G-50 gel-filtration column with ultrapure water at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The eluate with RBITC-MR was collected and freeze-dried.

Sixty mL of AR/AAR was activated by 15 mL of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.5 mol/L in diethyl ether) at 25 °C for 5 min, and then the organic phase was collected. The remaining aqueous phase was activated once more as described above. The two-time organic phase was combined and reacted with 0.4 mL of AF (100 mmol/L in dimethylformamide) under stirring in the dark at 25 °C for 10 min. Then 6 mL of 1 mmol/L sodium hydroxide solution was added to extract AF-labeled product into the aqueous phase. Purification and freeze-drying were conducted as described above to obtain AF-AR/AF-AAR.

2.2 Post-tanning processes of wet white and wet blue
A piece of wet white leather (80 cm × 60 cm, shaved to thickness 1.0 mm) was processed using a conventional post-tanning recipe described as below. It was weighed, rewetted with 0.5% non-ionic degreasing agent (based on shaved weight, the same below) and 400% water at pH 3.8 and 35 °C for 40 min, and then neutralized to pH 6.0 with 1.2% sodium bicarbonate and 200% water at 35 °C for 120 min. After washing, it was retanned with 11% retanning agents (3% AR, 3% MR, and 5% ME) and 100% water at 35 °C for 120 min, and then fixed by formic acid to pH 4.0. Subsequently, it was fatliquored with 14% synthetic fatliquoring agents at 50 °C for 60 min and fixed by formic acid to pH 3.8. Dry finishing was performed to obtain crust leather. Leather samples were collected after neutralizing, retanning and fatliquoring processes to measure their pIs. Water samples at the beginning and the end of retanning and fatliquoring processes were also collected to determine the uptake rates of retanning agents and fatliquoring agents. Softness and fullness of crust leather were measured. Wet blue was processed in the same way as described above for comparison.

2.3 Retanning trials of wet white
Eight pieces of wet white (20 cm × 20 cm) were rewetted and neutralized as described in 2.2. The neutralized leathers were divided into 8 groups and retanned according to the procedures detailed in Table 1. Then they were sampled and evenly split into three layers. The pIs of each layer and the entire leather were measured. As for group S1–S4, water samples at the beginning and the end of retanning were collected to determine the uptake rates of retanning agents. Fatliquoring and dry finishing were proceeded to obtain crust leathers. The fullness of the crust leathers was evaluated afterwards.

2.4 Observation of retanning agents distribution in leather
A piece of wet white (20 cm × 20 cm) was rewetted and neutralized as described in 2.2. Eight samples (5 cm × 5
cm) were collected from the neutralized leather, and then retanned according to the procedures shown in Table 1. It should be noted that the retanning agents were replaced with fluorescent ones, viz. RBITC-MR, AF-AR and AF-AAR in this trial. After retanning, the samples were cut into vertical sections with a thickness of 20 μm using a freezing microtome (CM1950, Leica, Germany). RBITC-MR, AF-AR and AF-AAR in the sections were observed and located using a fluorescence microscope (Ti-U, Nikon, Japan). In addition, the samples retanned with ME were cut along the vertical direction, and the vertical sections were directly observed by a stereo microscope (SZX12, Olympus, Japan) to locate ME in leather. The fluorescence and stereo micrographs were processed by Image J software to calculate the relative content and the penetration rate of fluorescent retanning agent in leather [22].

2.5 Analytical methods

2.5.1 Zeta potential and particle size of retanning agent
The zeta potentials of ME, MR, AR and AAR aqueous solutions (1 mg/mL for each) were determined in the post-tanning pH range (pH 3–7) at 25 °C using a particle size & zeta potential analyzer (NanoBrook Omni, Brookhaven, USA). The particle size distributions of the retanning agent solutions at pH 6.0 and 4.0 were also determined by this instrument.

2.5.2 pI of leather
The pI of leather was determined according to our previous work [14]. In brief, leather samples were dried at 45 °C for 24 h and then ground into fibers using a cutting mill (SM 100, Retsch, Germany). Then 10 g leather sample was dispersed in 400 mL water. HCl solution or NaOH solution (0.1 mol/L) was added to adjust the pH of the suspension. The suspension was vibrated at 150 r/min in 30 °C water bath for 30 min. The zeta potentials of leather sample at different pH values were determined using a zeta potential analyzer (Mütek SZP-10, BTG, Germany). The pH value at zero point of zeta potential was identified as the pI of leather.

2.5.3 Uptake rate of post-tanning chemicals
The total organic carbon concentrations of the water samples were determined using a TOC analyzer (Vario TOC, Elementar, Germany). The uptake rate of a retanning/fatliquoring agent was calculated by the total organic carbon concentrations of the water samples at the beginning and the end of the process.

2.5.4 Softness and fullness of crust leather
The softness of crust leather was measured according to a standard method [23]. The fullness of crust leather was evaluated through measuring the compressed and resilient thicknesses of the crust leathers using the method described in literature [24, 25]. Higher compressed and resilient thicknesses indicate better fullness of the crust leather.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Charge properties of leather during post-tanning
Variation in the charge properties of wet white during post-tanning processes was investigated, and wet blue was used for comparison. As shown in Fig. 1a and b, the pI of wet white was equal to that of wet blue, indicating similar charge properties of the two tanned leathers. The pls of neutralized wet white and wet blue leathers remained unchanged. The electropositivity of leather surface decreased sharply in neutralizing process (see the change in zeta potential in Fig. 1a and b) as the pH of float rose from 3.8 to 6.0. In tanning theories, this phenomenon suggests that retanning agents (mostly anionic chemicals) tend to penetrate into neutralized leather matrix rather than binding on the leather surface at the beginning of retanning [26]. When pH was adjusted to 4.0 at the end of retanning, the anionic retanning

| Table 1 Retanning trials |
|--------------------------|
| **Group** | **Retanning procedure** |
| Single retanning agent | a | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% ME, running for 90 min. |
| | b | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% MR, running for 90 min. |
| | c | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% AR, running for 90 min. |
| | d | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% AAR, running for 90 min. |
| Multiple retanning agents | S1 | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% AR, running for 30 min. Adding 3% ME and 3% MR, and running for another 60 min. |
| | S2 | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% ME and 3% MR, running for 30 min. Adding 3% AR, and running for another 60 min. |
| | S3 | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% AAR, running for 30 min. Adding 3% ME and 3% MR, and running for another 60 min. |
| | S4 | 35 °C, 100% water, 3% ME and 3% MR, running for 30 min. Adding 3% AAR, and running for another 60 min. |
agents were finally fixed in leather through electrostatic interaction, thereby resulting in the reduction in pI of leather. The pI of retanned wet white was higher than that of wet blue, suggesting that the electropositivity of wet white was higher and more stable than that of wet blue after retanning. The retanned wet white was still electropositive at pH 4.0, showing greater potential for binding with the following fatliquoring agents. This hypothesis was proven by Fig. 1c where the uptake rates of retanning agents and fatliquoring agents in wet white were higher than those in wet blue. However, wet-white-based crust leather showed worse organoleptic properties (softness and fullness) than those of wet blue based crust leather (Additional files 1 Fig. S1). This result may be attributed to the uneven distribution of retanning agents in wet white, in consideration of the high electropositivity of wet white. Therefore, the interactions between the wet white and retanning agents were further investigated in the following sections.

3.2 Interaction between wet white and single retanning agent

Electrostatic interaction is considered as one of the main reaction types between leather and retanning agents [12, 13]. Hence, the charge properties of both retanning agents and retanned wet white were analyzed firstly to investigate the interaction. Three typical anionic retanning agents, viz. ME with phenolic hydroxyl and sulfonic groups, MR with hydroxymethyl and sulfonic groups and AR with carboxyl groups, were chosen in this study. Zeta potential analysis (Fig. 2) shows that they were negatively charged in the pH range from 7 to 3, which means that they maintained in electronegative state during retanning process (pH changed from 6 to 4). Retanning with the three chemicals separately led to a decrease in the pI of wet white from 7.2 (Fig. 1a) to 5.9–6.5 (Fig. 3a). This fact was mainly ascribed to the electrostatic bonding between the anionic groups of the retanning agents and the amino groups of leather collagen fibers. Moreover, coordination reaction also occurred between the anionic groups of retanning agents and Al–Zr bimetal complex tanning agent fixed in leather [27, 28]. AAR, an amphoteric retanning agent containing carboxyl and quaternary ammonium groups, possessed a pI of 3.83 (Fig. 2). The introduction of AAR resulted in a reduction in the pI of wet white as well. However, the pI of AAR retanned wet white (pI 6.6, as shown in Fig. 3a) was higher than those retanned by the other three anionic chemicals because the zwitterionic property of AAR can give the retanned leather a certain number of positive groups.

Then the pI of each layer of the retanned wet white was measured to evaluate the distribution uniformity of retanning agents in leather. The pI of the middle layer was higher than those of grain and flesh layers for all the four groups (Fig. 3b), indicating that more retanning agents were fixed in the grain and flesh layers than in the middle layer. These results indicated that 3% single retanning agent cannot penetrate and uniformly distribute in wet white. Stereo microscopy and fluorescence microscopy on the cross-sections of the retanned wet white further demonstrated this finding. As shown in Fig. 4a, the visual distributions of ME (in brown), RBITC-MR (in red), AF-AR (in green) and AF-AAR (in green) were uneven on the entire cross-section of wet white. The relative contents and penetration rates of the retanning agents calculated from the micrographs (Fig. 4b) also show that the retanning agents were mainly located in the grain and flesh layers and did not penetrate into the middle layer of wet white. This phenomenon could be ascribed to three reasons. Firstly, the electropositivity of the neutralized wet white was still too high to retard the electrostatic bonding of anionic chemicals at the beginning of retanning (pH 6.0), although neutralizing had masked a part of positive charges of wet white (see the decrease in zeta potential of wet white with the increase in pH from 4.0 to 6.0 during neutralizing, Fig 1a). The evidence was that AAR showed higher penetration
rate than the other three anionic chemicals due to its ionic property. Secondly, the dosage of the retanning agent (3%) was not enough to maintain the osmotic pressure that ensured the complete penetration of retanning agent. At last, the penetration of a retanning agent may be influenced by its particle size in consideration of the porous structure of leather matrix. AR with large particle size (diameter 696.46 nm at pH 6.0, Fig. S2) resulted in low penetration rate (29.7%, Fig 4b). MR (diameter 6.75 nm at pH 6.0, Fig. S2) had similar particle size to AAR (diameter 2.62 nm at pH 6.0, Fig. S2). However, the penetration rate of MR (52.8%) was much lower than that of AAR (90.0%). This fact suggested that the electrostatic interaction between retanning agents plays a more essential role in the retanning agents distribution compared with the particle size of retanning agents.

3.3 Interaction between wet white and multiple retanning agents

In practice, various types of retanning agents are used together in retanning to improve the properties of leather. Hence, the interaction between wet white and
multiple retanning agents was investigated. As shown in Fig. 5, the pIs of the three layers of retanned wet white differ greatly for all the four groups (S1–S4, detailed in Table 1), implying that the distribution of retanning agents in wet white was still uneven when 9% multiple retanning agents were used. This result was further confirmed by stereo microscopy and fluorescence microscopy on the cross-sections of the retanned wet white. Figure 6 show that retanning agents (ME in dark grey, MR in red, AR and AAR in green) were mainly distributed in grain and flesh layers. Retanning groups S1 and S4 led to higher penetration rate of retanning agents compared with groups S2 and S3, suggesting that the adding sequence is crucial for the penetration of retanning agents. S1 and S2 employed the same types and amount of retanning agents, but adopted different adding sequences. In S1, AR was firstly added, followed by ME and MR after 30 min. AR has the highest electronegativity among the retanning agents (see Fig. 2) and can most effectively reduce the surface electropositivity of wet white in the initial stage of retanning, which favors penetration of all the retanning agents. This should be the reason that S1 led to higher penetration rate of retanning agents compared with S2. S3 and S4 also used the same retanning agents with different sequences of addition. In S3, zwitterionic AAR that has the highest electropositivity among the retanning agents (see Fig. 2) was firstly added, followed by ME and MR after 30 min. This approach hindered the reduction of surface electropositivity of wet white, and thus led to lower penetration rate of ME and MR compared with S4 where AAR was finally added.
Fig. 6 Stereo micrograph and fluorescence micrographs of cross-sections of wet white retanned with multiple retanning agents, and relative contents and penetration rates of the retanning agents (a: group S1; b: group S2; c: group S3; d: group S4)
The fullness of the crust leathers retanned by procedures S1 and S4 was higher than those retanned by procedures S2 and S3 (see higher compressed and resilient thicknesses shown in Fig. 7). Considering that the uptake rates of retanning agents were nearly the same for the four groups (Fig. 7a), the fullness of the crust leathers is mainly determined by the penetration performance of the retanning agents. Higher penetration rate and uniform distribution of retanning agents result in more satisfactory organoleptic properties of crust leather.

The penetration rates of the anionic retanning agents (ME, MR, AR) in groups S1 were higher than those using single retanning agent (Fig. 4b). Although the penetration rate of zwitterionic AAR in group S4 was lower than that using single AAR (probably due to the binding interaction between AAR and the anionic agents), the penetration of the anionic agents (ME and MR) in groups S4 was enhanced. These results indicate that the use of a large amount of multiple retanning agents can increase the osmotic pressure and thus promote the penetration of anionic retanning agents in wet white [15].

It should be noted that the flesh layer of groups S1 and S4 still fixed higher content of retanning agents compared with grain and middle layers, which was in accordance with the data in Fig. 5, where the flesh layer of groups S1 and S4 showed lower pl than those of grain and middle layers. The reason for the uneven distribution of retanning agents can be explained by the strong binding interaction between the high electropositive wet white and the electronegative retanning agents.

Based on the results above, some strategies to enhance the penetration of retanning agents and improve the organoleptic properties of wet white based leather are proposed as below. 1) AR with strong electronegativity and binding capacity can be used in the initial stage of retanning to seal a majority of positive-charged groups in wet white and improve the penetration of other retanning agents. 2) Zwitterionic AAR can be used in the later stage of retanning to further promote the penetration of other retanning agents.

4 Conclusions
Wet white leather tanned by a novel bimetal complex tanning agent showed higher electropositivity than wet blue during post-tanning processes, leading to a higher uptake rate of retanning agents. However, the penetration and distribution of retanning agents were uneven throughout the cross-section of retanned leather, thereby resulting in unsatisfactory organoleptic properties of crust leather. Using a high amount of multiple retanning agents in proper sequence is recommended to facilitate the penetration of retanning agents. Further research should focus on developing novel zwitterionic retanning agents with appropriate pl that fit with the charge property of wet white, and optimizing a balanced solution for uniform distribution and fixation of retanning agents in leather.

5 Supplementary information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s42825-020-00023-2.

Additional file 1. Figure S1. Softness (a), compression performance (b), and resilience performance (c) of crust leathers based on wet white and wet blue. Figure S2. Particle size distribution of retanning agent at pH 6 and pH 4: (a, b) ME, (c, d) MR, (e, f) AR, (g, h) AAR
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