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Abstract

Matrix representations of Hecke operators on classical holomorphic cusp forms and corresponding period polynomials are well known. In this article we define Hecke operators on period functions introduced recently by Lewis and Zagier and show how they are related to the Hecke operators on Maass cusp forms. Moreover we give an explicit general compatibility criterion for formal sums of matrices to represent Hecke operators on period functions. An explicit example of such matrices with only nonnegative entries is constructed.
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1 Introduction

Recently, J. Lewis and D. Zagier introduced in \[4\] period functions generalizing Eichler, Manin and Shimura’s theory of period polynomials to Maass cusp forms.

For \(s \in \mathbb{C}\) with \(\text{Re}(s) > 0\) a period function \(\psi\) for \(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\) with parameter \(s\) is a holomorphic function on the cut plane \(\mathbb{C}':= \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]\) satisfying the three term equation

\[
\psi(z) = \psi(z + 1) + (z + 1)^{-2s}\psi(\frac{z}{z + 1})
\]

and the growth condition

\[
\psi(z) \ll \begin{cases} 
|\text{Im}(z)|^{-A}(1 + |z|^{2A-2\sigma}) & \text{if } \text{Re}(z) \leq 0, \\
1 & \text{if } \text{Re}(z) \geq 0, |z| \leq 1 \text{ and} \\
|z|^{-2\sigma} & \text{if } \text{Re}(z) \geq 0, |z| \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

We denote the space of period functions with parameter \(s\) by \(\text{FE}_s^*\). A period like function \(\psi: \mathbb{C}' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) with parameter \(s\) is a holomorphic function satisfying the three term equation \([11]\). The space of period like functions with parameter \(s\) is denoted by \(\text{FE}_s\). Thus \(\text{FE}_s^*\) is a subspace of \(\text{FE}_s\).
The period functions generalize the period polynomials and rational period functions for holomorphic automorphic forms of $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It is shown in [4] how the period functions are related to Maass wave forms for the full modular group.

For positive integer $m$ let $\text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the set of $2 \times 2$ matrices with integer entries and determinant $m$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ put $\mathcal{M}_m = \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm 1\}$. In particular $\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the projective modular group. If the matrix \(
abla \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\) represents an element $g \in \mathcal{M}_m$ then \(
abla \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}\) represents the same element $g$. Being imprecise, we often identify $g$ with \(
abla \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\). For each $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ matrix multiplication induces the map

$$\mathcal{M}_m \times \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{mn}; \ (g_m, g_n) \rightarrow g_m \cdot g_n.$$ 

Hence the group $\mathcal{M}_1$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_m$ by left multiplication. This action is free since the equality $\gamma g = g$ for each $g \in \mathcal{M}_m$ implies $\gamma = I \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

We denote by $\mathcal{R}_m$ the additive group $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_m]$ of finite $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of elements in $\mathcal{M}_m$. An element $A \in \mathcal{R}_m$ is a formal finite sum $A = \sum \alpha_i g_i$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_i \in \mathcal{M}_m$ for all $i$ and $\alpha_i \neq s_i \forall i \neq j$. We assume $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for all $i$. We have $\mathcal{R}_m \mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_{mn}$ for all $m, n > 0$; in particular, each $\mathcal{R}_m$ is a left module over the group ring $\mathcal{R}_1$. We can extend the action of $\mathcal{M}_1$ on $\mathcal{M}_m$ to an action of $\mathcal{R}_1$ on $\mathcal{R}_m$ by linearity. We denote by $\mathcal{R}_s = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n$ the ring of $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations.

Let $\mathcal{M}_m^+ \subset \mathcal{M}_m$ be the subset of elements which can be represented by matrices in $\text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ with nonnegative entries. The set $\mathcal{M}_m^+$ can be characterized also as the largest subset of $\mathcal{M}_m$ satisfying $gC \subset C'$ for any element $g \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$. The matrix representation $\nabla \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ of an element $g \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ has either nonpositive or nonnegative entries. If not stated otherwise, we identify $\mathcal{M}_m^+$ with the subset $\text{Mat}_m^+(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ which contains only nonnegative entries. We put $\mathcal{R}_m^+ = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_m^+] \subset \mathcal{R}_m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{R}_s^+ = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_n^+$. Thus $\mathcal{R}_s$ and $\mathcal{R}_s^+$ are (non commutative) rings with unit and are "multiplicatively graded" in the sense that $\mathcal{R}_m \mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_{mn}$ and $\mathcal{R}_m^+ \mathcal{R}_n^+ \subset \mathcal{R}_{mn}^+$ respectively. In particular, each $\mathcal{R}_m$ and $\mathcal{R}_m^+$ is a left and right module over the group ring $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_1^+$ respectively.

An important role in the theory of Maass cusp forms play Hecke operators. They can be represented by certain elements of $\mathcal{R}_s$. For the full modular group, for example, the $m^{th}$ Hecke operator has the representation (see e.g. [6])

$$T_m^\infty = \sum_{ad=m \atop 0 < b < d} \nabla \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{R}_m.$$ 

In [5] Yu. Manin gave the following representation for the Hecke operators.
in $\mathcal{R}_m$ acting on period polynomials:

$$
\tilde{T}_m^* = \sum_{a > c > 0 \atop d > b > 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) + \sum_{a > c > 0 \atop d > b > 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{array} \right) + \sum_{a > c \neq 0 \atop d < b < \frac{1}{2}a} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) + \sum_{a < c \neq 0 \atop d > b < \frac{1}{2}a} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & c \\ d & 0 \end{array} \right).
$$

This representation however cannot be used for period functions since the matrices occurring in (4) do not always preserve the cut plane $C'$. In this paper we will derive an explicit and simple representation for the Hecke operators on period functions.

In [1] Choie and Zagier gave a simple compatibility criterion to represent Hecke operators on period polynomials or rational period functions. To formulate this criterion consider the matrices $S, T, T', U \in M_1$ with

$$
S = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad T = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad T' = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad U = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)
$$

and the right ideal $J$ of $\mathcal{R}_1$ with

$$
J = (1 + S)\mathcal{R}_1 + (1 + U^2)\mathcal{R}_1.
$$

In [1] these authors proved

**Theorem 1** For each integer $m \geq 1$, the representation $T_m^\infty$ of the $m^{th}$ Hecke operator fulfills the relations

$$
T_m^\infty(T - 1) \equiv 0, \quad T_m^\infty(S - 1) \equiv (S - 1)\tilde{T}_m^{CZ} \pmod{(T - 1)\mathcal{R}_m}
$$

for a certain element $\tilde{T}_m^{CZ} \in \mathcal{R}_m$, which is unique modulo $J\mathcal{R}_m$ and satisfies $\tilde{T}_m^{CZ} J \subset J\mathcal{R}_m$. The elements $\tilde{T}_n^{CZ}, \tilde{T}_m^{CZ} \in \mathcal{R}_*$ satisfy the product formula

$$
\tilde{T}_n^{CZ} \tilde{T}_m^{CZ} = \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n,m)} d^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & d \\ a & 0 \end{array} \right) \tilde{T}_n^{CZ} \pmod{J\mathcal{R}_{nm}}.
$$

It is shown in [1] that the element $\tilde{T}_m^*$ in [4] indeed satisfies (7). Here we will prove a modified version of Theorem [1] suitable for period functions.

Let us briefly recall the so called slash action. For $s \in \mathbb{C}, f$ a function on $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$, on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ or on $\mathbb{C}'$ and $g = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right)$ a $2 \times 2$ matrix, the slash action of $g$ on $f = f(z)$ is defined as

$$
(f|_s g)(z) := \det g [\frac{cz + d}{cz + d}]^{s} f \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right).
$$

Thereby we use the argument convention $-\pi < \text{arg}(z) \leq \pi$. For general complex $s$ the slash action is obviously not well defined. However, it is well defined for
• all \( g \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( f \) a function on \( \mathcal{H} \) for \( s \in 2\mathbb{Z} \) and
• all \( g \in \text{Mat}_n^+(\mathbb{Z}) \) and \( f \) a function on \( \mathbb{C}' \) for arbitrary complex \( s \).

The last statement is proven in §3 of [3]. As usual, we extend the slash action linearly to \( \mathcal{R}_s \) and \( \mathcal{R}_s^+ \) respectively.

2 Statement of the results

**Theorem 2** For each integer \( m \geq 1 \), the representation \( T^\infty_m \) of the \( m \)th Hecke operator fulfills the relations

\[
T^\infty_m(T - 1) \equiv 0, \quad T^\infty_m(S - 1) \equiv (S - 1)\tilde{T}_m \quad \text{(mod \( (T - 1)\mathcal{R}_m \))}
\]

for a certain element \( \tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{R}_m^+ \), which is unique modulo \( (1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m^+ \) and satisfies \( \tilde{T}_m(1 - T - T') \subset (1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m \). Furthermore, the elements \( \tilde{T}_n, \tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{R}_s^+ \) satisfy the product formula

\[
\tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_m = \sum_{d|(n,m)} d^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) \tilde{T}_{nm} \quad \text{(mod \( (1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_{nm}^+ \))}.
\]

(11) \( \tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_m = \sum_{d|(n,m)} d^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) \tilde{T}_{nm} \quad \text{(mod \( (1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_{nm}^+ \))}.
\]

(12) \( \tilde{T}_m : \mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}_s \to \mathcal{F}\mathcal{E}_s \) with \( \tilde{T}_m(\psi) = \psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m \).

This definition makes sense since Theorem 2 ensures that \( \psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m \) satisfies the three term equation (11). We will show in Corollary 9 that the Hecke like operators are indeed induced by the Hecke operators on Maass cusp forms.

Define the transpose of an element \( \sum \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{R}_m \) as

\[
\left( \sum \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \right)^\text{tr} = \sum \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & c \\ b & d \end{array} \right).
\]

Then one shows

**Proposition 4** For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) define

\[
\tilde{T}_m^+ = \sum_{a > c > 0 \atop d > b \geq m} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right).
\]

(13) \( \tilde{T}_m^+ = \sum_{a > c > 0 \atop d > b \geq m} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right).\)

The element \( \tilde{T}_m^+ \) belongs to \( \mathcal{R}_m^+ \) and satisfies the compatibility criterion (11). Furthermore, we have

\[
\tilde{T}_m^+(1 - T - T') = (1 - T - T') \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ \right)^\text{tr}.
\]

(14) \( \tilde{T}_m^+(1 - T - T') = (1 - T - T') \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ \right)^\text{tr}.\)
Hence $\tilde{T}_m^+$ is an explicit solution of the compatibility criterion (10). The obvious advantage of $\tilde{T}_m^+$ is its simple structure compared to $\tilde{T}_m^*$ in (10).

The main point of our discussion is the relation to the Hecke operators on Maass cusp forms. For this we briefly recall the definition of Maass cusp forms.

**Definition 5** A real-analytic function $u : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a Maass cusp form for the full modular group $\mathcal{M}_1$ with spectral parameter $s \in \mathbb{C}$ if $u$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $u(gz) = u(z)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}$,
2. $\Delta u = s(1-s)u$ where $\Delta = -y^2(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)$ is the hyperbolic Laplace operator and
3. $u(z) = O\left(\text{Im}(z)^{C}\right)$ as $\text{Im}(z) \to \infty$ for all $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

We denote the space of Maass cusp forms with spectral value $s$ by $S(s)$.

Each Maass cusp form $u \in S(s)$ has a two sided Fourier expansion of the form

$$u(z) = \sqrt{y} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0} a_n K_{s-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi |n|y) e^{2\pi i nx}$$

for all $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$.

where the function $K_\nu : \mathbb{R}_{>0} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the $K$-Bessel function.

It is known that $\dim S(s) \neq 0$ implies $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\text{Im}(s) \neq 0$ and that the Maass forms are invariant under $s \mapsto 1-s$ and hence under $s \mapsto \bar{s}$.

**Definition 6** The $m$th Hecke operator $T_m$ on Maass cusp forms with spectral value $s$ is the operator

$$T_m : S(s) \to S(s) \quad \text{with} \quad T_m(u) = u|_0 T_\infty^m.$$  

J. Lewis and D. Zagier have recently shown in [4] that $S(s)$ is in 1-1 correspondence to $\text{FE}_s^*$. Indeed, they have proved the following

**Theorem 7** Let $s$ be a complex number with $\sigma := \text{Re}(s) > 0$. Then there are canonical correspondences between objects of the following three types:

(a) a Maass cusp form $u$ with spectral parameter $s$;

(b) a holomorphic function $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, invariant under $z \mapsto z + 1$ and bounded by $\text{Im}(z)^{-A}$ for some $A > 0$, such that the function $f(z) - z^{-2s} f(-1/z)$ extends holomorphically across the positive real axis and is bounded by a multiple of $\min\{1, |z|^{-2\sigma}\}$ in the right half-plane;
(c) a period function $\psi \in \text{FE}^*_s$.

If $u$ has the Fourier expansion (15) with Fourier coefficients $a_n$ then $f$ is given by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{n>0} n^{s-\frac{1}{2}} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} & \text{if } \Im(z) > 0, \\
-\sum_{n<0} |n|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} & \text{if } \Im(z) < 0.
\end{cases}$$

(16)

The period function $\psi$ if given by

$$c(s) \psi(z) = f(z) - z^{-2s} f\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$$

(17)

where $c(s)$ is a non-vanishing constant depending on $s$.

We then say that $f$ and $\psi$ are the periodic respectively period function of the Maass cusp form $u$ if $u$ and $f$ have the expansions (15) and (16) and if $\psi$ satisfies (17).

**Theorem 8** Let $u$ be a Maass cusp form with spectral parameter $s$ with $\Re(s) > 0$ and $\psi$ the period function of $u$. For each integer $m \geq 1$ the function $\psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m^+ \psi$ is then the period function of $u|_0 T_m^\infty$.

A direct consequence is

**Corollary 9** For each integer $m \geq 1$ a formal sum $\tilde{T}_m \in R_m^+$ satisfying (10) gives a representation of the $m$th Hecke operator on $\text{FE}_s$. For $u \in S(s)$ and its period function $\psi \in \text{FE}_s^*$ the function $\psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m$ is the period function associated to $u|_0 T_m^\infty$.

Proof of Corollary 9 Theorem 8 shows that $\psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m$ is the period function of $u|_0 T_m^\infty$. Since $\tilde{T}_m^+$ satisfies the compatibility condition (10) and relation $\tilde{T}_m^+ \equiv \tilde{T}_m$ modulo $(1 - T - T')R_m^+$ by Theorem 2 it follows that $\psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m^+ = \psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m$. □

3 Graphs representing elements in $\mathcal{R}_m$

Consider elements $A, B \in \mathcal{R}_m$ such that

$$\xi = (1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B \in \mathcal{R}_m^+.$$  

(18)

Then $\xi$ in (18) is contained in $(1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m^+$. Indeed we have

**Proposition 10** Assume that $A$ and $B$ are elements in $\mathcal{R}_m$ satisfying (18). Then there exist a $D \in \mathcal{R}_m^+$ such that

$$(1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B = (1 - T - T')D \in \mathcal{R}_m^+.$$
This on the other hand implies

**Corollary 11** For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[(1 + S)R_m + (1 + U + U^2)R_m] \cap R_m^+ = (1 - T - T')R_m^+.$$  

**Proof** of Corollary 11 Proposition 10 shows the inclusion “$\subseteq$”. For the inclusion “$\supseteq$” consider any $A \in R_m^+$. Then obviously

$$(1 - T - T')A = (1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)(-SA) \in R_m^+$$

since $T = US$ and $T' = U^2S$ and $A$, $TA$ and $T'A \in R_m^+$. □

Before proving Proposition 10 at the end of this subsection we discuss some elementary properties of $M_m$ and $M_m^+$.  

**Lemma 12** For each $g \in M_m$ one has

1. at most two of the three elements $g$, $Ug$ and $U^2g$ are in $M_m^+$;
2. if $Ug$ and $U^2g$ are both in $M_m^+$, then $Sg \in M_m^+$;
3. if $g \in M_m^+$ then $Sg \notin M_m^+$.

**Proof.** For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+$ with only nonnegative entries we have $Sg = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$. Obviously $Sg \notin M_m^+$ since at least one of the two matrix entries $-c$ and $-d$ is negative. This proves Statement 3 of Lemma 12.

To prove the first statement we assume that all three matrices $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $Ug$ and $U^2g$ are in $M_m^+$. Since $g \in M_m^+$ the entries $a$, $b$, $c$ and $d$ are nonnegative. Since $Ug = \begin{pmatrix} a-c & b-d \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+$ the inequalities $a - c \geq 0$ and $b - d \geq 0$ hold. The last assumption $U^2g = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a-c & b-d \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+$ implies that $c \leq 0$ and $d \leq 0$ and hence $c = d = 0$ contradicting $g \in M_m$.

To prove Statement 2 of the Lemma we may choose $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_m$ such that $Ug = \begin{pmatrix} a-c & b-d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+ \setminus M_m$ has only nonnegative entries. Then also $U^2g = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a-c & b-d \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+$ has only nonnegative entries since $a - c$ and $b - d$ are nonnegative. Therefore $a$, $b$, $-c$ and $-d$ are nonnegative and $Sg = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_m^+$. □

**Lemma 13** For $A, B \in R_m$ there exist $A^- \in R_m$ and an unique $A^+ \in R_m^+$ such that the following relations hold:

1. $(1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B$  
   $$= (1 + S)A^- + (1 + U + U^2)(B + SA^+) + (1 - T - T')A^+,$$
2. \((1 + S)A = (1 + S)A^+ + (1 + S)A^-\) and

3. if \(A^- = \sum \alpha_i g_i\) then both \(g_i\) and \(Sg_i\) are not in \(\mathcal{M}_m^+\) for all \(i\).

Proof. We may assume that \(A = \sum_j \beta_j h_j \in \mathcal{R}_m\) satisfies \(Sh_j \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+\). Indeed if \(Sh_j \in \mathcal{M}_m^+\) then we can replace \(h_j\) by \(h'_j = Sh_j\) in the expression for \(A\). This does not change the formal sum \((1 + S)A\). Moreover, it ensures that all elements \(Sh_j\) in \((1 + S)A\) are not in \(\mathcal{M}_m^+\) (see item 3 of Lemma 12).

Define next \(A^+\) and \(A^-\) as

\[
A^+ = \sum_{j: h_j \in \mathcal{M}_m^+} \beta_j h_j \quad \text{and} \quad A^- = \sum_{j: h_j \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+} \beta_j h_j.
\]

Then \(A^+\) is uniquely determined by \(A\) since \(A^+\) contains all elements of the expression \((1 + S)A\) in \(\mathcal{R}_m^+\). The assumption on \(A\) and the definition of \(A^-\) imply that \((1 + S)A^- = \sum_{j: h_j \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+} \beta_j (h_j + Sh_j)\) does not contain any matrices \(h_j\) and \(Sh_j\) in \(\mathcal{M}_m^+\). Hence items 2 and 3 of the lemma follow immediately.

A simple calculation shows that

\[
(1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B = (1 + S)A^- + (1 + S)A^+ + (1 + U + U^2)B = (1 + S)A^- + (1 + U + U^2)(B + SA^+) + (1 - T - T')A^+
\]

since \(T = US\) and \(T' = U^2 S\). \(\square\)

We find it most helpful to visualize with graphs the space \(\mathcal{M}_m\) and the free action by left multiplication of \(\mathcal{M}_1\) on \(\mathcal{M}_m\):

Consider the oriented graph \(\tilde{G}\) whose vertices are the elements of \(\mathcal{M}_m\) and whose oriented edges are the pairs \((g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{M}_m \times \mathcal{M}_m\) satisfying \(g_2 = Ug_1\) or \(g_2 = Sg_1\). For each \(g \in \mathcal{M}_m\), we say that \((g, Ug)\) is an \(U\)-edge and \((g, Sg)\) is an \(S\)-edge.

Consider the finite sequence of vertices \((g_1, \ldots, g_M)\) such that

i. any two vertices \(g_i, g_j, i \neq j\), satisfy \(g_i \neq g_j\) and

ii. the pair \((g_i, g_{i+1})\) is an edge all \(i = 1 \ldots M\), where \(g_{M+1} = g_1\) such that \((g_i, g_{i+1}) \neq (g_j, g_{j+1})\) for all \(i \neq j\).

We say that two sequences \((g_1, \ldots, g_M)\) and \((h_1, \ldots, h_M)\) of the same length \(M\) are equivalent if

\[
(h_1, \ldots, h_M) = (g_{n}, \ldots, g_M, g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}) \quad \text{for some} \quad n \in \{1, \ldots, M\}.
\]

A cycle of length \(M\) is an equivalence class of such sequences.
Simple examples of cycles are the equivalence classes of pairs \((g,Sg)\) and triples \((g,Ug,U^2g)\), \(g \in \mathcal{M}_m\), since \(S^2 = U^3 = I\). For each \(g \in \mathcal{M}_m\), we call the former equivalence class the \(S\)-segment of \(g\) and the latter equivalence class the \(U\)-triangle of \(g\). There are no cycles of length 1, since the pair \((g,g)\) is not an edge.

**Lemma 14** The only cycles in \(\tilde{G}\) are \(S\)-segments and \(U\)-triples.

*Proof.* The idea is to reduce this question to the generators \(S\) and \(U\) of the group \(\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})\).

Let \((g_1, \ldots, g_M)\) be a sequence representing a cycle of length \(M > 1\). There exist \(M\) elements \(\gamma_i \in \{S,U\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1\) such that \(g_{i+1} = \gamma_i g_i\) for all \(i = 1, \ldots, M\) where \(g_{M+1} = g_1\). We have

\[
(19) \quad g_1 = \gamma_M \cdots \gamma_1 g_1 \quad \text{and} \quad g_n \neq \gamma_{n-1} \cdots \gamma_1 g_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad n = 2, \ldots, M.
\]

It follows that \(\gamma_M \cdots \gamma_1 = I\) and \(\gamma_{n-1} \cdots \gamma_1 \neq I\) for all \(n = 2, \ldots, M\) since \(\mathcal{M}_1\) acts freely on \(\mathcal{M}_m\). Depending on the length \(M\) of the cycle, the following possibilities of the \(\gamma_i\)'s appear:

- For \(M = 2\) the only possibility is \(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = S\) since \(S^2 = I\).
- For \(M = 3\) the only possibility is \(\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = U\) since \(U^3 = I\).
- For \(M > 3\) relation \((19)\) for equivalent sequences implies that
  \[
  \gamma_{M+j-1} \cdots \gamma_j = I \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq j \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad \\
  \gamma_{n+j-1} \cdots \gamma_j \neq I \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq j \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad n < M.
  \]

Here we use \(\gamma_{M+i} = \gamma_i\) for all \(i = 1, \ldots, M\). In particular there are no two succeeding \(S\)'s or three succeeding \(U\)'s in the sequence \((\gamma_i)\).

Hence, the relation \(\prod_{i=1}^M \gamma_i = I\) does neither contain \(S^2\) nor \(U^3\). This contradicts the fact that the elements \(S\) and \(U\) generate \(\mathcal{M}_1\) with

\[
\mathcal{M}_1 = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle S, U | S^2 = U^3 = I >.
\]

\[\square\]

We construct next a non-oriented graph \(G\): The vertices of \(G\) are the same as those of \(\tilde{G}\). The edges are the edges of \(\tilde{G}\) without orientation, obtained by identifying the edges \((g,Sg)\) and \((Sg,g)\) with each other. Every edge of \(G\) can be represented by an unordered pair of the form \(\{g,Ug\}\) or \(\{g,Sg\}\), \(g \in \mathcal{M}_n\).

Each \(U\)-triangle \((g,Ug,U^2g)\) of \(\tilde{G}\) induces three edges \(\{g,Ug\}, \{Ug,U^2g\}\) and \(\{U^2g,g\}\) in \(G\) which form a triangle. We call such a triangle on \(G\) also an \(U\)-triangle. Each \(S\)-segment \((g,Sg)\) of \(\tilde{G}\) induces the edge \(\{g,Sg\}\) in \(G\). We call such an edge also an \(S\)-segment.

We label the vertices of \(G\) as follows: for each \(g \in \mathcal{M}_m\), the vertex \(g\) has the label “+” if \(g \in \mathcal{M}_m^+\) and “−” if \(g \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+\).
Lemma 15 Let \( A^- \in \mathcal{R}_m \) satisfy item 3 of Lemma 13. Assume that \( A := A^- \) and \( B \) satisfy (13). Then \( A^- = B = 0 \in \mathcal{R}_m \).

Proof. If \( A = \sum_i \alpha_i g_i \), then by assumption \( g_i \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+ \) and \( Sg_i \notin \mathcal{M}_m^+ \) for all \( i \). Let \( B = \sum_j \beta_j h_j \) and let \( \xi = (1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B \) be as in (18). Consider the subgraph \( H_\xi \) of \( G \) with all vertices \( g_i, Sg_i \) and \( h_j, Uh_j, U^2h_j \) and edges \( \{g_i, Sg_i\}, \{h_j, Uh_j, U^2h_j\} \) and \( \{U^2h_j, h_j\} \), i.e., \( S \)-segments of \( g_i \) and \( U \)-triangles of \( h_j \) for all \( i \) and \( j \). This subgraph is finite since it is induced by the finite formal sum \( \xi \) in (18). The assumption on \( A \) implies in particular that each vertex of an \( S \)-segment in \( H_\xi \) is labeled with “−”. The condition \( \xi \in \mathcal{R}_m^+ \) in (18) implies for the subgraph \( H_\xi \) that every vertex \( f \) of \( H_\xi \) with label “−” must be simultaneously a vertex of an \( S \)-segment and an \( U \)-triangle in \( H_\xi \). Otherwise we would find that the formal sum \( \xi \) contains the element \( f \in \mathcal{M}_m \setminus \mathcal{M}_m^+ \) contradicting \( \xi \in \mathcal{R}_m^+ \).

Assume that \( H_\xi \) contains an \( U \)-triangle. Then item 1 of Lemma 12 implies that this \( U \)-triangle has at least one vertex \( f_1 \) with label “−”. Since vertices with label “−” of \( H_\xi \) are also vertices of \( S \)-segments in \( H_\xi \), the graph \( H_\xi \) contains also the vertex \( Sf_1 \) which by assumption is labeled with “−”. The graph \( H_\xi \) contains also the \( U \)-triangle of \( Sf_1 \) since \( Sf_1 \) is labeled with “−”. The new triangle has at least a second vertex \( f_2 \neq Sf_1 \) which has label “−”. Otherwise it would contradict item 2 of Lemma 12. Again the vertex \( f_2 \) induces an \( S \)-segment which, in turn, leads to a \( U \)-triangle in \( H_\xi \). The algorithm stops when it hits a \( U \)-triangle a second time.

If this algorithm stops then we find a finite cycle on \( \tilde{G} \) containing edges of the forms \( (f_i, Sf_i), (Sf_i, USf_i) \) and possibly \( (USf_i, U^2Sf_i) \). However Lemma 14 shows that the only cycles are \( S \)-segments or \( U \)-triangles. Hence a finite cycle cannot exist and the algorithm does not stop. Hence we have shown in the case that \( H_\xi \) has at least one \( U \)-triangle that \( H_\xi \) is a graph containing infinitely many \( U \)-triangles. This is a contradiction to \( H_\xi \) being finite and shows that \( H_\xi \) is empty.

There remains the case \( B = 0 \). If \( A \neq 0 \) and \( B = 0 \) then \( H_\xi \) contains at least one \( S \)-segment. The two vertices \( g \) and \( Sg \) of this \( S \)-segment are labeled by “−” by assumption. Hence \( H_\xi \) contains the \( U \)-triangles of \( g \) and \( Sg \) and this implies that \( B \neq 0 \).

The discussion above shows that \( A = B = 0 \) and this proves the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 10. Let \( A, B \in \mathcal{R}_m \) satisfy (13) and take \( A = A^+ + A^- \) as defined in Lemma 13. We then find
\[
(1 + S)A + (1 + U + U^2)B = (1 + S)A^- + (1 + U + U^2)(B + SA^+) + (1 - T - T')(A^+)
\]
since \( US = T \) and \( U^2S = T' \). Since \( (1 - T - T')A^+ \) is in \( \mathcal{R}_m^+ \) Lemma 15 then implies \( A^- = B + A^+ = 0 \). □
4 Proof of Proposition 4

Let us fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For the proof of Proposition 4 we need a few lemmas.

**Lemma 16** The element $\tilde{T}_m^+$ defined in (13) belongs to $R_m^+$ and satisfies the relation

$$(S - 1) \tilde{T}_m^+ \equiv T_m^\infty (S - 1) \pmod{(1 - T) R_m}$$

if and only if

$$(20) \sum_{\begin{smallmatrix} d > b \\
a > c \geq 0 \\
ad - bc = m \end{smallmatrix}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \equiv \sum_{\begin{smallmatrix} d > b \\
a > c \geq 0 \\
ad - bc = m \end{smallmatrix}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{array} \right) \pmod{(1 - T) R_m}.$$

**Proof.** To show the first part of the lemma we have to show that the set of integers $(a, b, c, d)$ satisfying $a > c \geq 0$, $d > b \geq 0$ and $ad - bc = m$ is finite and the associated formal sum of matrices $\tilde{T}_m^+ = \sum_{\begin{smallmatrix} d > b \\
a > c \geq 0 \\
ad - bc = m \end{smallmatrix}} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right)$ is in $R_m^+$. Put $0 < x \leq a$ such that $c = a - x$ and $0 < y \leq d$ such that $b = d - y$. The inequalities

$$m = ad - bc = ad - ab + bx \geq a(d - b) > a > 0$$

and

$$m = ad - bc = ad - cd + cy \geq d(a - c) > d > 0$$

show the finiteness of the set and hence $\tilde{T}_m^+ \in R_m^+.$

All elements in the following computation are in $R_m$. An explicit calculation modulo $(1 - T) R_m$ gives

$$T_m^\infty (1 - S) \equiv (1 - S) \tilde{T}_m^+$$

$$\iff \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right)
- \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} b & -a \\ d & 0 \end{array} \right)
\equiv \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) - \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{array} \right)
\iff - \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} b & -a \\ d & 0 \end{array} \right)
\equiv \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) - \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{array} \right)
\iff - \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} b & -a \\ d & 0 \end{array} \right)
\equiv \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) - \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & 0 \end{array} \right)
- \sum_{d > b \geq 0 \atop a > c \geq 0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & b \end{array} \right).$$

(21)
We also have that
\[
\sum_{d>0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -c & -d \\ a & 0 \end{array} \right) \equiv \sum_{d>0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a-c & -d \\ a & 0 \end{array} \right) \equiv \sum_{d>0} \left( \begin{array}{cc} b & -a \\ d & 0 \end{array} \right)
\]
modulo \((1-T)\mathcal{R}_m\). Inserting this relation into \((21)\) we find \(T^\infty_m (1-S) \equiv (1-S)^T_m \equiv (1-T)\mathcal{R}_m\) is equivalent to \((20)\). \(\square\)

**Lemma 17.** For \(\sum_{a,b,c,d} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{R}_m^+\) we have
\[
(22) \quad \sum_{a>0, b \geq c, d \geq a} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) T = \sum_{a>0, b \geq c, d \geq a} T \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{array} \right)
\]
and
\[
(23) \quad \sum_{a>0, b \geq c, d \geq a} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) T' = \sum_{a>0, b \geq c, d \geq a} T' \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & \delta \end{array} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Let \(A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & a+b \\ c & a+b+c+d \end{array} \right)\) be one of the matrices in the sum on the left hand side of \((22)\) and put
\[
\alpha = a-c, \quad \beta = c, \quad \gamma = a+b-c-d \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = c+d.
\]
Then \(A\) can be written as \(A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha+\beta & \gamma+\delta \\ \beta & \delta \end{array} \right)\). Since \(\alpha > \gamma \geq 0, \delta > \beta \geq 0\) and \(\gamma + \delta \geq \alpha + \beta\) the matrix \(A\) is an element of the sum on the right hand side in \((22)\).

A similar argument shows that any matrix element \(\left( \begin{array}{cc} \alpha+\beta & \gamma+\delta \\ \beta & \delta \end{array} \right)\) of the right hand side in \((22)\) is indeed an element of the sum on the left hand side.

Equation \((23)\) follows from \((22)\) by taking the transpose of both sides. \(\square\)

**Lemma 18.** The sum \(\tilde{T}_m^+\) in \((13)\) satisfies the relation
\[
(24) \quad \tilde{T}_m^+ (1-T-T') = (1-T-T') \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ \right)^{tr}.
\]

**Proof.** In this proof, all matrices except 1, \(T\) and \(T'\) belong to \(\mathcal{M}_m^+\). We consider the three terms \(\tilde{T}_m^+, \tilde{T}_m^+T\) and \(\tilde{T}_m^+T'\) on the left hand side of \((24)\).

Write \(\tilde{T}_m^+\) in the following way:
\[
(25) \quad \tilde{T}_m^+ = \sum_{a,b,c,d} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) + \sum_{a,b,c,d} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right)
\]
The last sum in (25) is empty since \( a > c \geq d > b \geq a \). We will show that the second and third sums are canceled by elements in \( \tilde{T}_m + T \). Write \( \tilde{T}_m + T \) as

\[
\tilde{T}_m + T = \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \geq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c \right) + \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \geq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c \right).
\]

Then the last term is identical to the third sum in (25) as the following arguments show:

- Let \( A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & a + b \\ c & c + d \end{array} \right) \) be one of the matrices in the sum \( \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \geq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c \right) \).

  Put \( x = a + b \) and \( y = c + d \). Then \( A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & x \\ c & y \end{array} \right) \) and its entries satisfy

  \[
a > c \geq 0, \quad y > x \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq a
\]

  and hence \( y > x \geq a > c \). Therefore \( A \) appears also in the third sum in (25).

- On the other hand take \( A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \) from the third sum in (25) and put \( x = b - a \geq 0 \) and \( y = d - c > 0 \). Hence \( A = \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & a + x \\ c & c + y \end{array} \right) \) and its entries satisfy

  \[
a > c \geq 0, \quad a + x < c + y \quad \text{and} \quad y > a + x - c > x \geq 0.
\]

  Thus, \( A \) appears also in the last term in (26).

Similarly we write

\[
\tilde{T}_m + T' = \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \leq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c + d \right) + \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \leq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c + d \right)
\]

and see that the last term is equal to the second sum in (25).

Hence we can write

\[
\tilde{T}_m^+ (1 - T - T')
\]

\[
= \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop \min(a,d) \geq \max(b,c)} \left( a \atop c \right) - \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \geq c + d} \left( a \atop c \right) - \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop a + b \geq c + d} \left( a + b \atop c + d \right).
\]

Using (22), (23) and \( \sum_{a > c \geq 0 \atop d > b \geq 0 \atop \min(a,d) \geq \max(b,c)} \left( a \atop c \right) = \sum_{a > \gamma \geq 0 \atop d > \gamma \geq 0 \atop \min(a,d) \geq \max(b,\gamma)} \left( a \atop \gamma \right) \), we find that the right hand side of (27) is invariant under transposition. We have

\[
\tilde{T}_m^+ (1 - T - T') = \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ (1 - T - T') \right)^{\text{tr}} = (1 - T - T') \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ \right)^{\text{tr}}.
\]
Lemma 16 allows us to prove the first part of Proposition 4 simply by comparing the two formal sums in (20).

Proof of Proposition 4. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $A$ the finite formal sum on the left hand side in (20) and by $B$ the one on the right hand side. Denote by $[\cdot]$ the usual Gauss bracket. The maps

\begin{align*}
(28) \quad \nu : g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\
 c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto T^\left[\frac{-a}{c}\right] g = \begin{pmatrix} a + [-a/c]c & b + [-a/c]d \\
 c & d \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}

where $a > c > 0$ and

\begin{align*}
(29) \quad \mu : h = \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\
 a & b \end{pmatrix} \mapsto T^\left[-\frac{a}{c}\right] h = \begin{pmatrix} -c - [-d/b]a & -d - [-d/b]b \\
 a & b \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*}

where $d > b > 0$ give inverse bijections between the sets

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\
 c & d \end{pmatrix} \bigg| a > c > 0, d > b \geq 0, n = ad - bc \right\}
\end{align*}

and

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -c & -d \\
 a & b \end{pmatrix} \bigg| a > c \geq 0, d > b > 0, n = ad - bc \right\}.
\end{align*}

Extending the maps to $A, B \in \mathcal{R}_m$ shows Equation (20).

The remaining property of $\tilde{T}_m^+$ in (14) follows from Lemma 18. □

Remarks:

- The maps $\nu$ and $\mu$ in (28) and (29) are closely related to the operators $K$ and $K^{-1}$ in [3]. We have indeed $K(A) = \mu(SA)$ and $K^{-1}(A) = \nu(S^{-1}A)$, according to Proposition 6.1 in [3].

- In [2] L. Merel gives a different derivation of $\tilde{T}_m^+$ based on modular symbols.

5 Proof of Theorem [2]

Proof of Theorem 2. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ suppose $\tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{R}_m$ satisfies the compatibility criterion (10). Theorem 1 implies that $\tilde{T}_m$ is unique modulo $J \mathcal{R}_m$. Applying Corollary 11 we find that $\tilde{T}_m$ is unique modulo $(1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m$.

Consider then $\tilde{T}_m^+$ as defined in (13). Using Proposition 4 we find that

\begin{align*}
\tilde{T}_m(1 - T - T') \equiv \tilde{T}_m^+(1 - T - T') = (1 - T - T') \left( \tilde{T}_m^+ \right)^{tr}
\end{align*}

where the equivalence is modulo $(1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m^+$. Hence,

\begin{align*}
\tilde{T}_m(1 - T - T') \in (1 - T - T')\mathcal{R}_m^+.
\end{align*}
According to Theorem 1, $\tilde{T}_n, \tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{R}_s^+$ satisfy the product formula

$$\tilde{T}_n \tilde{T}_m = \sum_{d|(n,m)} d^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{array} \right) \tilde{T}_{mn} \text{ modulo } J \mathcal{R}_{nm}^+$$

and hence modulo $(1 - T - T') R_{nm}^+$.

6 Proof of Theorem 8

We fix $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re}(s) > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $u \in S(s)$ be a Maass cusp form and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_s^*$ its period function. Before showing that $\psi|_{2s} \tilde{T}_m^+$ is the period function of $u|_0 T_m^\infty$ we discuss the action of the $m^{th}$ Hecke operator on the periodic function $f$ and the Fourier coefficients of $u$.

Lemma 19 For $u \in S(s)$ a Maass cusp form and $f : \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ its periodic function the function $f|_{2s} T_m^\infty$ is the periodic function of the Maass cusp form $u|_0 T_m^\infty$.

Proof. Let $u \in S(s)$ be a Maass cusp form with Fourier expansion (15). Its periodic function $f : \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has the expansion (16):

$$f(z) = \pm \sum_{n \in \pm \mathbb{N}} |n|^{s-\frac{1}{2}} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} \quad \text{for } \text{Im}(z) \geq 0.$$ 

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $u|_0 T_m^\infty$ is in $S(s)$. Since

$$\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i nb}{d}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } d \not| n \text{ and} \\ d & \text{for } d | n \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we find that

$$u|_0 T_m^\infty(z) = \sum_{ad=m, 0 \leq b < d} \sqrt{ay} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0} a_n K_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2\pi |n| ay}{d} \right) e^{\frac{2\pi i (ax + b)}{d}}$$

and hence modulo $(1 - T - T') R_{nm}^+$. 

$$u|_0 T_m^\infty(z) = \sum_{ad=m} \sqrt{ay} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0} a_n K_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 2\pi |n| ay \right) e^{2\pi i nz}$$

$$= \sqrt{m} \sum_{d|m} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0} \frac{a_n}{d} K_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 2\pi |n| y \right) e^{2\pi i nz}$$

$$= \sqrt{my} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \neq 0} \left( \sum_{a|\gcd(m,n)} a \right) K_{s-\frac{1}{2}} \left( 2\pi |n| y \right) e^{2\pi i nz}.$$
Let \( F : \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \) denote the periodic function of the Maass cusp form \( u|_{0}^{\infty} T_{m} \in S(s) \). Then we find

\[
F(z) = \pm \sqrt{m} \sum_{n \in \pm \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{a \mid \gcd(m, n)} \frac{a_{mn}}{a^2} \right) |n|^s \frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi i n z}
\]

\[
= \pm \sqrt{m} \sum_{d \mid m} \sum_{n \in \pm \mathbb{N}} a_{dn} |\frac{nm}{d^2}|^s \frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi in \frac{mz}{d}}
\]

\[
= \pm \sqrt{m} \sum_{ad \mid m} \sum_{n \in \pm \mathbb{N}} a_{n} |\frac{nm}{d^2}|^s \frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi in \frac{amz}{d}}
\]

\[
= \pm m^s \sum_{ad \mid m} d^{-2s} \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \sum_{n \in \pm \mathbb{N}} a_{n} |n|^s \frac{1}{2} e^{2\pi in \frac{a + bk}{d}}
\]

\[
= f|_{2s}^{(\infty)} T_{m}(z) \quad \text{for } \text{Im}(z) \gtrless 0.
\]

Reversing the steps in the above calculation we get the inverse direction of the lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 20** For \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_{m}^{+} \) we have

\[
(30) \quad f|_{2s}^{(\infty)} T_{m}(z) = f|_{2s}^{(\infty)} T_{m}(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.
\]

**Proof.** We easily check that Equation (30) holds if

\[
(cz + d)^{-2s} \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)^{-2s} = (az + b)^{-2s} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}
\]

or, equivalently, if

\[
(31) \quad \arg(cz + d) + \arg \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = \arg(az + b) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.
\]

For \( c = 0 \) the relation (31) is true since then \( d > 0 \). In general the relation (31) only holds modulo \( 2\pi \). Hence we must show that the left hand side of (31) is in the interval \( (0, \pi) \) for \( \text{Im}(z) > 0 \) and in \( (-\pi, 0) \) for \( \text{Im}(z) < 0 \).

Take \( c > 0 \). Since \( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{a}{c} - \frac{m}{c(z + d)} \) we find that for \( \text{Im}(z) > 0 \)

\[
0 < \arg \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = \arg \left( \frac{a}{m} - \frac{1}{cz + d} \right)
\]

\[
< \arg \left( -\frac{1}{cz + d} \right) = -\arg(cz + d) + \pi,
\]
respectively for $\text{Im}(z) < 0$

$$0 > \arg \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = \arg \left( \frac{a}{m} \frac{1}{cz + d} \right)$$

$$> \arg \left( -\frac{1}{cz + d} \right) = -\arg(cz + d) - \pi.$$ 

\[ \square \]

**Proof of Theorem 8.** Let $u \in S(s)$ be a Maass cusp form with Fourier expansion (15) and let $\psi \in \text{FE}^*_s$ be its period function. For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$c(s) \psi|_{2s} \hat{T}_m^+ = f|_{2s} (1 - S)|_{2s} \hat{T}_m^+$$

$$= f|_{2s} (1 - S) \hat{T}_m^+ = f|_{2s} T_m^\infty (1 - S)$$

since $\hat{T}_m^+$ satisfies the compatibility criterion (10). The crucial step in the calculation above is the equality

$$f|_{2s} (1 - S)|_{2s} \hat{T}_m^+(z) = f|_{2s} (1 - S) \hat{T}_m^+(z)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ which however follows from Lemma 20. Lemma 19 then implies that $f|_{2s} T_m^\infty$ is the periodic function of $u_0|_0 T_m^\infty$. \[ \square \]

Theorem 8 shows explicitly that the Hecke operators on Maass cusp forms induce indeed the Hecke operators on period functions.
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