Identification and phylogenetic analysis of contagious ecthyma virus from camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Iran

Contagious ecthyma is a highly contagious disease affecting domestic and wild ruminants such as sheep, goats and camels. The identification and characterisation of a parapoxvirus (PPV) infecting camels is described here. The virus was detected in dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) from Kerman and Shiraz in Iran. PPV-specific amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) further confirmed that the disease was associated with PPV infection. Phylogenetic analysis of ORF011 (B2L) gene sequences showed 99.79% and 82.13% similarity of the PPV identified in this study with the Jodhpur isolate and the bovine papular stomatitis virus (BPSV) isolates (CE41), respectively. Moreover, phylogenetic analysis of the ORF045 gene indicated that the Shiraz sample was in all probability closely related to VR634 and to F00.120R and PCPV776. In conclusion, the results suggest that camel PPV (CPPV) is a likely cause of contagious ecthyma in dromedary camels in Iran.

## Introduction

Camel contagious ecthyma (CCE), also known as orf, Auzdik or contagious pustular dermatitis, is a worldwide skin disease in camelids characterised by self-limiting proliferative lesions (Nagarajan et al. 2010). These nodular lesions followed by papules and vesicles mostly appear around the mouth, nares, lips and eyes or in some instances, other sites (Abubakr et al. 2007; Venkatesan et al. 2010). These nodular lesions followed by papules and vesicles mostly appear around the mouth, nares, lips and eyes or in some instances, other sites (Abubakr et al. 2007; Venkatesan et al. 2010). They then develop into scabs and healing may take up to 20 days – 30 days or even more (Nagarajan et al. 2010). This disease is caused by *pseudocowpox virus* (PCPV) in cattle, whereas its main causative agent in sheep, goats, camels and humans is Orf virus (ORFV) (Davari et al. 2013; Nagarajan et al. 2010). However, PCPV has been reported as the etiologic agent of the disease in camels (Abubakr et al. 2007; Nagarajan et al. 2010). The ORFV or CCE virus belongs to the genus *parapoxvirus* (PPV), the subfamily Chordopoxvirinae of the Poxviridae family (Fleming, Wise & Mercer 2015; Harvey et al. 2015; Klein & Tryland 2005; Venkatesan et al. 2010). Morbidity is high, whereas its mortality and fatality rates are low to moderate. Nevertheless, this debilitating disease can lead to high mortality in younger animals due to secondary infections and trouble in suckling and eating (Hosamani et al. 2006). The genome of ORFV contains a linear double-stranded DNA molecule of approximately 134 kbp – 139 kbp in length (Hautaniemi et al. 2010; Li et al. 2013; Rovozze & Burke 1973). The genes at the terminal ends are mostly responsible for viral replication, whereas those located in the central sites are essential for virus virulence and pathogenesis (Li et al. 2013). For instance, the well-conserved ORF045 gene encodes the late transcription factor VLF-T1, whereas the envelope or ORF011 (B2L) gene encodes a highly immunogenic envelope protein stimulating a strong antibody response (Kottaridi et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2014). ORFV is sometimes transmitted to humans via direct contact with the infected animal (Tofsson & Gunadottir 2002). Clinical signs of pox, contagious ecthyma and papillomatosis are similar and difficult to distinguish (Khalafalla, Al-Busada & El-Sabagh 2015a; Khalafalla et al. 2015b; Mosadeghhesari et al. 2014; Nagarajan et al. 2010). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing methods may be useful for genetic characterisation and classification of PPVs (Inoshima et al. 2001; Khalafalla et al. 2015a). The PPVs in Iranian dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*) have not yet been characterised at the genetic level, whereas their relationship to PPVs in domestic animals also remains unclear. Also, the ORF011 and ORF045 genes of camel PPV (CPPV) were used for viral detection, examining the outbreak of CPPV infection in camels by PCR and for phylogenetic analysis.

## Material and methods

### Sampling

Sixty skin samples were collected from two outbreaks of ORFV which occurred in 2013 in dromedary camels of Shiraz and Kerman cities, southern and eastern Iran, respectively. Biopsies...
of the skin and the lip of the affected camels were sent to Razi Research Vaccine and Serum Institute. The samples collected from the diseased camels were analysed for PCR assay.

**DNA extraction and primer design**

DNA of the samples from the skin and lip lesions was extracted by High Pure® Extraction Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Briefly, 50 mg of the tissue samples was homogenised in 200 µL of phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and mixed with 200 µL of binding buffer and 50 µL of proteinase K then incubated at 72 °C for 10 min. The process was continued according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

According to Inoshima, Morooka and Sentsui (2000), a set of primers including pan-parapoxvirus primer 1 (PPP-1) and PPP-4 were applied based on the sequence of the ORF011 gene. The sequences of PPP-1 and PPP-4 were 5'-gtc gtc cac gat gag cag ctg ct-3' and 5'-tac gtg gga agc gcc tcg ct-3', respectively (Inoshima et al. 2000). In addition, the primers 045F (5'-ctc cgg agt tca gc-3') and 045R (5'-gca gtt cct ctc gta g-3') were applied based on the sequence of 045 gene of ORFV, isolate OV-SA00 (accession no. AY186732) (Delhon et al. 2004).

**Polymerase chain reaction**

For amplification of the ORF011 gene, the PCR assay was carried out as follows: 1 µg of DNA isolated from the infected or uninfected cells was added to 50 µL of the PCR mixture containing 0.2 mM primers (PPP-1 and PPP-4), 0.2 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP and dTTP, 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂ and 1 U of AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase. DNA was amplified with a DNA thermal cycler by a two-step reaction. The mixture was denatured at 95 °C for 9 min, five cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min, 25 repeated cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and finally 72 °C for 1 min (Inoshima et al. 2000).

For amplification of the ORF045 gene, the PCR assay was carried out in a reaction mixture of 50 µL containing 1 µg (10 µL) of extracted DNA, 5 µL of dNTP (10 mM), 5 µL of 10x PCR buffer, 2 µL of MgCl₂ (50 mM), 2 U/tube of Taq polymerase, 50 pmol of each of the primers and 33 µL of distilled water. Forty cycles of denaturation (at 95 °C, for 10 s), annealing (at 47 °C for 10 s) and extension (at 74 °C for 10 s) were followed by a 15-min incubation at 78 °C to final extension of the primers. The amplification products (10 µL) were analysed by 2% agarose gel in Tris–boric acid–EDTA (TBE) buffer and stained with SYBR Green (1 µg/mL) (Kotteridi et al. 2006). The amplicons were visualised using a UV transilluminator ‘gel doc’ system.

**Sequencing of the polymerase chain reaction products containing ORF011 and ORF045 genes**

The PCR products with the expected sizes (594 bp and 393 bp) were purified from the gel using the Bioneer (Daejeon, South Korea) gel extraction kit, according to the manufacturer’s procedures, and then were sequenced by the use of neighbour-joining method (Bioneer).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Comparison among the isolates of this study and those of the ORFV available in GenBank, NCBI database, was made using the online BLAST program. Sequence identities of the nucleotides were analysed by CLC program version 5.5. The sequences were assembled into multiple sequence alignment. A phylogenetic tree derived from the nucleotide sequences was constructed for the ORFV, using neighbour-joining method of CLC program version 5.5. The sequences of the ORF011 and ORF045 genes of ORFV used in phylogenetic analysis are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

**Results**

**Polymerase chain reaction and DNA sequencing**

The PCR method was performed to amplify the ORF011 gene. This method successfully detected the DNA from members of the PPV genus and was able to diagnose the ORFV infection. To confirm the causative agent, a partial region of the ORF011 gene was amplified. The primers PPP-1 and PPP-4 were described as the universal primers to amplify most species of ORFVs that have been used in the present study. A 594-bp product representing the region (157 nt – 750 nt) of the ORF011 gene was amplified from Shiraz samples. The 594-bp DNA fragment was sequenced and the nucleotide sequence data confirmed ORFV. Another PCR test was performed on DNA purified from Kerman samples as template and the pair of 045F/045R primers to amplify the ORF045 gene. PCR resulted in the amplification of the gene ORF045 with an expected DNA fragment (392 bp).

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The samples used in this study included Kerman (MSOKE) and Shiraz (MSOSH) isolates. The sequences aligned with MSOKE and MSOSH were based on the ORF011 gene (Table 1) and the gene ORF045 (Table 2), respectively. As stated previously, the samples of this study and the previous isolates were aligned and the phylogenetic tree was drawn by CLC program version 5.5. Results of the phylogenetic tree related to the ORF011 gene have been shown in Figure 1a that there is a main clade or lineage containing SV252/11 and other isolates. A branch of the main clade contains three clades including MSOKE and CCE virus isolate from Jodhpur, MSOKE/Jodhpur isolate sister to bovine papular stomatitis virus (BPSV) isolate CE41, and Clade MSOKE/Jodhpur and CE41 sisters to Brazil isolates. MSOKE had 99.77% and 82.13% similarity with Jodhpur and CE41 isolates, respectively. In addition, MSOKE had minimum distance (0.20) to Jodhpur and CE41. The results of phylogenetic tree from gene ORF045 with two principal clades analysis are shown in Figure 1b including clade ORFB and other sequences, clade GQ329670/MSOSH and GQ329669/HM589037 while another branch contains other sequences. Indeed, MSOSH was closely related to PCPV from Finland.
Table 1: Nucleotide sequences of ORF011 gene that were aligned in the samples of the present study.

| Serial number | Virus isolate (strain) | Organism | Country | Reference | Accession number |
|---------------|------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1             | SV252/11               | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485997.1 |
| 2             | SV178/12               | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485994.1 |
| 3             | MT-05                  | ORFV     | Brazil  | DS         | JN613809.1     |
| 4             | MT-05                  | ORFV     | Brazil  | Abrahao et al. (2009) | FJ665818.1 |
| 5             | Oxino_Patos            | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485993.1 |
| 6             | Canguru                | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485989.1 |
| 7             | Jodhpur                | Camel contagious ecthyma virus | India | Lucinda et al. (2010) | GQ390365.1 |
| 8             | CE41                   | Bovine papular stomatitis virus | Sudan | Dal Pozzo et al. (2011) | JN171861.1 |
| 9             | PA11                   | ORFV     | Brazil  | De Oliveira et al. (2012) | JG349520.1 |
| 10            | SV29/12                | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485991.1 |
| 11            | SV26/12                | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485988.1 |
| 12            | SV561/11               | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485990.1 |
| 13            | SV27/12                | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485995.1 |
| 14            | SV28/12                | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485996.1 |
| 15            | SV520/11               | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485986.1 |
| 16            | PCPV776                | Pseudocowpox virus | Austria | DS | HM589036.1 |
| 17            | 48/01                  | Pseudocowpox virus | Austria | DS | JG636048.1 |
| 18            | Cam/09                 | ORFV     | India   | DS         | GU460370.1     |
| 19            | NE1                    | ORFV     | Brazil  | Abrahao et al. (2009) | FJ658519.1 |
| 20            | NE1                    | ORFV     | Brazil  | DS         | JN613810.1     |
| 21            | Babia                  | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485987.1 |
| 22            | Caprino_SIE            | ORFV     | Brazil  | Schmidt et al. (2013) | JX485992.1 |
| 23            | Taiping                | ORFV     | Taiwan  | Chan et al. (2009) | EU327506.1 |

Table 2: Nucleotide sequences of ORF045 gene that were aligned in the samples of the present study.

| Serial number | Virus isolate (strain) | Organism | Country | Reference | Accession number |
|---------------|------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1             | BV-AR02/DRF/B          | Bovine papular stomatitis virus | United States: Arkansas | Delhon et al. (2004) | AY386265.1 |
| 2             | VR634                  | Pseudocowpox virus | Finland | Hautanieni et al. (2010) | GG329670.1 |
| 3             | F00.120R               | Pseudocowpox virus | Finland | Hautanieni et al. (2010) | GG329669.1 |
| 4             | PCPV776                | Pseudocowpox virus | Austria | DS | HS489037.1 |
| 5             | Shiraz1                | ORFV     | Iran    | -         | KC534486.1 |
| 6             | Shiraz3                | ORFV     | Iran    | -         | KC534488.1 |
| 7             | OV-SAO0/ORFD           | ORFV     | United States: Texas | Delhon et al. (2004) | AY386264.1 |
| 8             | Shiraz2                | ORFV     | Iran    | -         | KC534487.1 |
| 9             | Egypt2006              | ORFV     | Egypt   | Mahmoud, Abdelrahman and Soliman (2010) | EU82136.1 |
| 10            | Shiraz5                | ORFV     | Iran    | -         | KS48490.1     |
| 11            | OV-IAB2/ORFA           | ORFV     | United States: Iowa | Delhon et al. (2004) | AY386263.1 |
| 12            | NZ2                    | ORFV     | New Zealand | Mercer et al. (2006) | DQ318476.1 |
| 13            | D1701                  | ORFV     | Germany | McGuire, Johnston and Sykes (2012) | HM133903.1 |
| 14            | Shiraz4                | ORFV     | Iran    | -         | KC534489.1 |

Discussion

Several procedures have been developed to detect ORFV, while most of them are time-consuming, costly and sometimes associated with low specificity, reduced sensitivity and with cross reactions (Inoshima et al. 2001; Khalafalla et al. 2015a; Kottaridi et al. 2006). Assays based on PCR are considered as rapid, sensitive and specific tests for identifying the causative agents of diseases (Andrade et al. 1983; Inoshima et al. 2001, 2002). Several PCR protocols have been described for detecting PPV (Inoshima et al. 2000, 2001, 2002; Torfason & Gunadottir 2002). Several procedures have been developed to detect ORFV, Orf virus; DS, direct submission.

(VR634), another PCPV from Finland (F00.120R) and then also to PCPV from Austria (PCPV776).

samples showed that MSOSH had close relation to PCPV from Finland (VR634) followed by another PCPV from Finland (F00.120R) and PCPV from Austria (PCPV776). Additionally, MSOKE had 99.77% and 82.13% similarity to Jodhpur and CE41 isolates, respectively. Khalafalla et al. (2015b) considered that camel ORFV isolates can be divided into two genetic clades including the Asian lineage of isolates from Saudi Arabia, Bahrain and India and the African lineage of isolates from Sudan, based on the sequence of the ORF011 gene among camels in Sudan. They showed that the camel ORFV is diverse from viruses close to PCPV and ORFV. Nagarajan et al. (2010) analysed and compared the sequence of Indian PCPV with several sequences related to ORFV, PCPV and BPSV available in the database. They showed that ORFV from different regions of the world had 92.3%–92.7% and 96.2%–96.5% sequence similarity with camel PCPV at the nucleotide and amino acid level, respectively.
ORFV has previously been cultivated on cell cultures such as Vero, lamb testis (LT) and ovine testis (OA3.Ts), whereas the cell culture was done on chicken embryo fibroblast (CEF) in this study. It is because CPE includes cell detachment, rounded cells and aggregation of cells. Meanwhile, many researchers have studied the effects of ORFV on various cell cultures. Housawi et al. (2012) compared the immunogenicity and protective efficacy of three locally developed live ORFV vaccines in Vero cell culture. For production of a live attenuated vaccine against ORFV, a wild virus strain has been attenuated through serial passages on the primary CEF tissue cultures (Mercante et al. 2008). The isolation and

**FIGURE 1:** Phylogenetic analysis of the Orf virus based on the (a) ORF011 and the (b) ORF045 gene. The phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbour-joining algorithm in CLC Main workbench 5.5 with 1000 bootstrap replicates.

The numbers at each branch represent bootstrap values. The bar represents the genetic distance.
attenuation of the virus in Iran would therefore be necessary for protection of camels. A recent study performed by Mombeni et al. (2013) in Iran reported severe cases of CCE that caused a morbidity and mortality rate of 70.6% and 0.6%, respectively. This points to the potential importance of this disease in Iran. This study has indicated that ORFV with an apparent close similarity to PCPV can be a major cause of contagious ecthyma in Iranian camels.
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