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THE $\ell^s$-BOUNDEDNESS OF A FAMILY OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS ON UMD BANACH FUNCTION SPACES

EMIEL LORIST

Dedicated to Ben de Pagter on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Abstract. We prove the $\ell^s$-boundedness of a family of integral operators with an operator-valued kernel on UMD Banach function spaces. This generalizes and simplifies the earlier work by Gallarati, Veraar and the author [12], where the $\ell^s$-boundedness of this family of integral operators was shown on Lebesgue spaces. The proof is based on a characterization of $\ell^s$-boundedness as weighted boundedness by Rubio de Francia.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades there has been a lot of interest in the $L^p$-maximal regularity of PDEs. Maximal $L^p$-regularity of the abstract Cauchy problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
  u'(t) + Au(t) &= f(t), & t \in (0, T] \\
  u(0) &= x,
\end{aligned}
\]

where $A$ is a closed operator on a Banach space $X$, means that for all $f \in L^p((0, T]; X)$ the solution $u$ has “maximal regularity”, i.e. both $u'$ and $Au$ are in $L^p((0, T]; X)$. Maximal $L^p$-regularity can for example be used to solve quasi-linear and fully nonlinear PDEs by linearization techniques combined with the contraction mapping principle, see e.g. [1, 8, 30, 36].

In the breakthrough work of Weis [40, 41], an operator theoretic characterization of maximal $L^p$-regularity on UMD Banach spaces was found in terms of the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the resolvents of $A$ on a sector. $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness is a random boundedness condition on a family of operators which is a strengthening of uniform boundedness. We refer to [7, 21] for more information on $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness.

In [13, 14] Gallarati and Veraar developed a new approach to maximal $L^p$-regularity for the case where the operator $A$ in (1.1) is time-dependent and $t \mapsto A(t)$ is merely assumed to be measurable. In this new approach $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness is once again one of the main tools. For their approach the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of the family of integral operators \( \{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K} \} \) on $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$
is required. Here $I_k$ is defined for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ as

$$I_k f(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{t} k(t-s) T(t,r) f(r) \, dr, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $T(t,s)$ is the two-parameter evolution family associated to $A(t)$ and $\mathcal{K}$ contains all kernels $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $|k| * |g| \leq Mg$ for all simple $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$.

In the literature there are many $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness results for integral operators, see [21, Chapter 8] for an overview. However none of these are applicable to the operator family of $\{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$. Therefore in [12] Gallarati, Veraar and the author show a sufficient condition for the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of $\{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ in the special case where $X = L^q$. This is done through the notion of $\ell^s$-boundedness, which states that for all finite sequences $(I_k)_{j=1}^n$ in $\{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and $(x_j)_{j=1}^n$ in $X$ we have

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |I_k x_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_X \lesssim \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_X.$$ 

For $s = 2$ this notion coincides with $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness as a consequence of the Kahane-Khintchine inequalities.

Our main contribution is the generalization of the main result in [12] to the setting of UMD Banach function spaces $X$. For the proof we will follow the general scheme of [12] with some simplifications. As in case $X = L^q$, for any UMD Banach function space the notions of $\ell^2$-boundedness and $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness coincide, so the following theorem in particular implies the $\mathcal{R}$-boundedness of $\{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $X$ be a UMD Banach function space and $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $T : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ be such that the family of operators

$$\{T(t,r) : t,r \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is $\ell^s$-bounded for all $s \in (1, \infty)$. Then $\{I_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is $\ell^s$-bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}; X)$ for all $s \in (1, \infty)$.

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in a more general setting in Section 3. In particular we allow weights in time, which in applications for example allow rather rough initial values (see e.g. [23, 26, 31, 37]).

For certain UMD Banach function spaces the $\ell^s$-boundedness assumption in Theorem 1.1 can be checked by weighted extrapolation techniques, see Corollary 3.5 and Remark 3.6.

**Notation.** For a measure space $(S, \mu)$ we denote the space of all measurable functions by $L^0(S)$. We denote the Lebesgue measure of a Borel set $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by $|E|$. For Banach spaces $X$ and $Y$ we denote the vector space of bounded linear operators from $X$ to $Y$ by $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and we set $\mathcal{L}(X) := \mathcal{L}(X,X)$. For an operator family $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ we set $\Gamma^* := \{T^* : T \in \Gamma\}$. For $p \in [1, \infty]$ we let $p' \in [1, \infty]$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Throughout the paper we write $C_{a,b,\cdots}$ and $\phi_{a,b,\cdots}$ to denote a constant and a nondecreasing function on $[1, \infty)$ respectively, which only depend on the parameters $a, b, \cdots$ and the dimension $d$ and which may change from line to line.
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. Banach function spaces. Let \((S, \mu)\) be a \(\sigma\)-finite measure space. An order ideal \(X\) of \(L^0(S)\) equipped with a norm \(\|\cdot\|_X\) is called a Banach function space if it has the following properties:

(i) **Compatibility:** If \(\xi, \eta \in L^0(S)\) with \(|\xi| \leq |\eta|\), then \(\|\xi\|_X \leq \|\eta\|_X\).

(ii) **Weak order unit:** There is a \(\xi \in X\) with \(\xi > 0\).

(iii) **Fatou property:** If \(0 \leq \xi_n \uparrow \xi\) for \((\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) in \(X\), \(\xi \in L^0(S)\) and \(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\xi_n\|_X < \infty\), then \(\xi \in X\) and \(\|\xi\|_X = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\xi_n\|_X\).

A Banach function space is called **order continuous** if for any sequence \(0 \leq \xi_n \uparrow \xi\) in \(X\) we have \(\|\xi_n - \xi\|_X \to 0\). Every reflexive Banach function space is order continuous. Order continuity ensures that the dual of \(X\) is also a Banach function space. For a thorough introduction to Banach function spaces we refer to [28, section 1.1.b] or [3, Chapter 1].

A Banach function space \(X\) is said to be **\(p\)-convex** for \(p \in [1, \infty]\) if

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_X \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|\xi_j\|^p_X \right)^{1/p}
\]

for all \(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n \in X\) with the sums replaced by suprema if \(p = \infty\). The defining inequality for \(p\)-convexity often includes a constant, but \(X\) can always be renormed such that this constant equals 1. If a Banach function space is \(p\)-convex for some \(p \in [1, \infty]\), then \(X\) is also \(q\)-convex for all \(q \in [1, p]\).

For a \(p\)-convex Banach function space \(X\) we can define another Banach function space by

\[X^p := \{ |\xi|^p \, \text{sgn} \xi : \xi \in X \} = \{ \xi \in L^0(S) : |\xi|^{1/p} \in X \}\]

equipped with the norm \(\|\xi\|_{X^p} := \left\| |\xi|^{1/p} \right\|_X\). We refer the interested reader to [28, section 1.d] for an introduction to \(p\)-convexity.

2.2. \(\ell^s\)-boundedness. Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be Banach functions spaces and let \(\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)\) be a family of operators. We say that \(\Gamma\) is **\(\ell^s\)-bounded** if for all finite sequences \((T_j)_{j=1}^n\) in \(\Gamma\) and \((x_j)_{j=1}^n\) in \(X\) we have

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |T_j x_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_Y \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_X
\]

with the sums replaced by suprema if \(s = \infty\). The least admissible constant \(C\) will be denoted by \(|\Gamma|_{\ell^s}\).

Implicitly \(\ell^s\)-boundedness is a classical tool in harmonic analysis for operators on \(L^p\)-spaces (see e.g. [16, Chapter V] and [17, 18]). For Banach function spaces the notion was introduced in [40] under the name \(\mathcal{R}_s\)-boundedness, underlining its connection to the more well-known notion of \(\mathcal{R}\)-boundedness. An extensive study of \(\ell^s\)-boundedness can be found in [24] and for a comparison between \(\ell^2\)-boundedness and \(\mathcal{R}\)-boundedness we refer to [25].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \(X\) and \(Y\) be Banach function spaces and let \(\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, Y)\).
(i) Let $1 \leq s_0 < s_1 \leq \infty$ and assume that $X$ and $Y$ are order continuous. If $\Gamma$ is $\ell^{s_0}$- and $\ell^{s_1}$-bounded, then $\Gamma$ is $\ell^s$-bounded for all $s \in [s_0, s_1]$ with $\|\Gamma\|_{\ell^s} \leq \max\{\|\Gamma\|_{\ell^{s_0}}, \|\Gamma\|_{\ell^{s_1}}\}$

(ii) Let $s \in [1, \infty]$ and assume that $\Gamma$ is $\ell^s$-bounded. Then the adjoint family $\Gamma^*$ is $\ell^{s'}$-bounded with $\|\Gamma^*\|_{\ell^{s'}} = \|\Gamma\|_{\ell^s}$.

Proof. Lemma 2.1(i) follows from Calderón’s theory of complex interpolation of vector-valued function spaces, see [6] or [24, Proposition 2.14]. Lemma 2.1(ii) is direct from the identification $X(\ell^s)^* = X^*(\ell^{s'})$, see [28, Section 1.d] or [24, Proposition 2.17].

The following characterization of $\ell^s$-boundedness for $s \in [1, \infty]$ will be one of the key ingredients of our main result. This characterization relating $\ell^s$-boundedness to a certain weighted boundedness comes from the work of Rubio de Francia [16, 38, 39].

Proposition 2.2. Let $s \in [1, \infty]$ and let $X$ and $Y$ be $s$-convex order continuous Banach function spaces over $(S_X, \mu_X)$ and $(S_Y, \mu_Y)$ respectively. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$ and take $C > 0$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $\Gamma$ is $\ell^s$-bounded with $\|\Gamma\|_{\ell^s} \leq C$.

(ii) For all nonnegative $u \in (Y^*)^s$, there exists a nonnegative $v \in (X^*)^s$ with $\|v\|_{(X^*)^s} \leq \|u\|_{(Y^*)^s}$ and

$$\left(\int_{S_Y} |T(\xi)|^s u \, d\mu_Y \right)^{1/s} \leq C \left(\int_{S_X} |\xi|^s v \, d\mu_X \right)^{1/s}$$

for all $\xi \in X$ and $T \in \Gamma$.

Proof. The statement is a combination of [39, Lemma 1, p. 217] and [16, Theorem VI.5.3], which for $X = Y$ is proven [2, Lemma 3.4]. The statement for $X \neq Y$ is can be extracted from the proof of [2, Lemma 3.4] and can in full detail be found in [29, Proposition 6.1.3].

2.3. Muckenhoupt weights. A locally integrable function $w : \mathbb{R}^d \to (0, \infty)$ is called a weight. For $p \in (1, \infty)$ and a weight $w$ we let $L^p(w)$ be the space of all $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(w)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|^p w \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$ 

We will say that a weight $w$ lies in the Muckenhoupt class $A_p$ and write $w \in A_p$ if it satisfies

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \cdot \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

Lemma 2.3. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$.

(i) $w \in A_q$ for all $q \in (p, \infty)$ with $[w]_{A_q} \leq [w]_{A_p}$.

(ii) $w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}$ with $[w]_{A_{p'}}^{1/p'} = [w^{1-p'}]_{A_{p'}}^{1/p'}$.

(iii) $w \in A_{p-\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\phi_p([w]_{A_p})}$ with $[w]_{A_{p-\epsilon}} \leq \phi_p([w]_{A_p})$. 


The first two properties of Lemma 2.3 follow directly from the definition. The third is for example proven in [18, Exercise 9.2.4]. For a more thorough introduction to Muckenhoupt weights we refer to [18, Chapter 9].

2.4. The UMD property. A Banach space $X$ is said to have the UMD property if the martingale difference sequence of any finite martingale in $L^p(\Omega; X)$ is unconditional for some (equivalently all) $p \in (1, \infty)$. We will work with UMD Banach function spaces, of which standard examples include reflexive Lebesgue, Lorentz and Orlicz spaces. In this Festschrift it is shown that reflexive Musielak-Orlicz spaces, so in particular reflexive variable Lebesgue spaces, have the UMD property, see [27]. The UMD property implies reflexivity, so in particular $L^1$ and $L^\infty$ do not have the UMD property. For a thorough introduction to the theory of UMD Banach spaces we refer to [5, 20].

For an order continuous Banach function space $X$ over $(S, \mu)$ there is also a characterization of the UMD property in terms of the lattice Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator, which for simple functions $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to X$ is given by

$$\widetilde{M}f(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where the supremum is taken pointwise in $S$ and over all cubes $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes (see [15] or [19, Lemma 5.1] for a detailed definition of $\widetilde{M}$). It is a deep result by Bourgain [4] and Rubio de Francia [39] that $X$ has the UMD property if and only if $\widetilde{M}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, X)$ and $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, X^*)$ for some (equivalently all) $p \in (1, \infty)$. For weighted $L^p$-spaces we have the following proposition, which was proven in [15]. The increasing dependence on $[w]_{A_p}$ is shown in [19, Corollary 5.3].

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $X$ be a UMD Banach function space, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$. Then for all $f \in L^p(w; X)$ we have

$$\|\widetilde{M}f\|_{L^p(w; X)} \leq \phi_{X,p}([w]_{A_p}) \|f\|_{L^p(w; X)}.$$

The UMD property of a Banach function space $X$ also implies that $X^q$ has the UMD property for a $q > 1$, which is a deep result by Rubio de Francia [39, Theorem 4].

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $X$ be a UMD Banach function space. Then there is a $p > 1$ such that $X$ is $p$-convex and $X^q$ is a UMD Banach function space for all $q \in [1, p]$.

3. Integral operators with an operator-valued kernel

Before turning to our main result on the $\ell^s$-boundedness of a family of integral operators on $L^p(w; X)$ with operator-valued kernels, we will first study the $\ell^s$-boundedness of a family of convolution operators on $L^p(w; X)$ with scalar-valued kernels. For this define

$$K := \{k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) : |k| * |f| \leq Mf \text{ a.e. for all simple } f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}\}.$$

As an example any radially decreasing $k \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\|k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1$ is an element of $K$. For more examples see [17, Chapter 2] and [34, Proposition 4.6].
Let \( X \) be a Banach function space. For a kernel \( k \in \mathcal{K} \) and a simple function \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to X \) we define
\[
T_k f := k \ast f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x - y) f(y) \, dy.
\]
As
\[
\| T_k f \|_X \leq |k| \ast \|f\|_X \leq M(\|f\|_X),
\]
and since the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator \( M \) is bounded on \( L^p(w) \) for all \( p \in (1,\infty) \) and \( w \in A_p \), \( T_k \) extends to a bounded linear operator on \( L^p(w; X) \) by density. This argument also shows that the family of convolution operators given by \( \Gamma := \{ T_k : k \in \mathcal{K} \} \) is uniformly bounded on \( L^p(w; X) \).

If \( X \) is a UMD Banach function space we can say more. The following lemma was first developed by van Neerven, Veraar and Weis in [33, 34] in connection to stochastic maximal regularity. As in [33, 34], the endpoint case \( s = 1 \) will play a major role in the proof of our main theorem in the next section.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( X \) be a UMD Banach function space, \( s \in [1,\infty] \), \( p \in (1,\infty) \) and \( w \in A_p \). Then \( \Gamma = \{ T_k : k \in \mathcal{K} \} \) is \( \ell^s \)-bounded on \( L^p(w; X) \) with
\[
\| \Gamma \|_{\ell^s} \leq \phi_{X,p}(\|w\|_{A_p}).
\]

The proof is a weighted variant of [34, Theorem 4.7], which for the special case where \( X \) is an iterated Lebesgue space is presented in [12, Proposition 3.6]. For convenience of the reader we sketch the proof in the general case.

**Proof.** As \( X \) is reflexive and therefore order-continuous, \( \tilde{M} \) is well-defined on \( L^p(w; X) \) and we have \( T_k f \leq \tilde{M} f \) pointwise a.e. for all simple \( f : \mathbb{R}^d \to X \).

If \( s = \infty \) take simple functions \( f_1, \cdots, f_n \in L^p(w; X) \) and \( k_1, \cdots, k_n \in \mathcal{K} \). Using Proposition 2.4 we have
\[
\left\| \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |T_{k_j} f_j| \right\|_{L^p(w; X)} \leq \left\| \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} \tilde{M} f_j(x) \right\|_{L^p(w; X)} \leq \left\| \tilde{M} \left( \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |f_j| \right) \right\|_{L^p(w; X)} \leq \phi_{X,p}(\|w\|_{A_p}) \left\| \sup_{1 \leq j \leq n} |f_j| \right\|_{L^p(w; X)}.
\]
The result now follows by the density of simple functions in \( L^p(w; X) \).

If \( s = 1 \) we use duality. Note that since \( X \) is reflexive we have \( L^p(w; X)^* = L^{p'}(w'; X^*) \) with \( w' = w^{1-p'} \) under the duality pairing
\[
\langle f, g \rangle_{L^p(w; X), L^{p'}(w'; X^*)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle_{X,X^*} \, dx
\]
by Lemma 2.3(ii) and [20, Corollary 1.3.22]. One can routinely check that \( T_{k^*} = T_{\tilde{k}} \) with \( \tilde{k}(x) = k(-x) \) and that \( k \in \mathcal{K} \) if and only if \( \tilde{k} \in \mathcal{K} \). Since \( X^* \) is also a UMD Banach function space (see [20, Proposition 4.2.17]) we know from the case \( s = \infty \) that the adjoint family \( \Gamma^* \) is \( \ell^\infty \)-bounded on \( L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^d, w'; X^*) \), so the result follows by Lemma 2.1(ii). Finally if \( s \in (1,\infty) \) the result follows by Lemma 2.1(i). \( \square \)
With these preparations done we can now introduce the family of integral operators with operator-valued kernel that we will consider. Let $X$ and $Y$ be a Banach function space and let $T$ be a family of operators $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto T(x, y)\xi$ is measurable for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in X$. The integral operators that we will consider are for simple $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to X$ given by

$$I_{k,T}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x - y)T(x, y)f(y) \, dy$$

with $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$. If $\|T(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X, Y)} \leq C$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\|I_{k,T}f\|_X \leq C |k| * \|f\|_X \leq C M(\|f\|_X).$$

So as before $I_{k,T}$ extends to a bounded linear operator from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p$, and

$$\mathcal{I}_T := \{ I_{k,T} : k \in \mathcal{K}, T \in \mathcal{T} \}$$

is uniformly bounded. For the details see [12, Lemma 3.9].

If $X$ and $Y$ are Hilbert spaces, this implies that $\mathcal{I}_T$ is also $\ell^2$-bounded from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, X)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, Y)$, as these notions coincide on Hilbert spaces. However if $X$ and $Y$ are not Hilbert spaces, but a UMD Banach function space or if we move to weighted $L^p$-spaces, the $\ell^2$-boundedness of $\mathcal{I}_T$ is a lot more delicate.

Our main theorem is a quantitative and more general version of Theorem 1.1 in the introduction:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $X$ and $Y$ be a UMD Banach function spaces and let $p, s \in (1, \infty)$. Let $T$ be a family of operators $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that

(i) $(x, y) \mapsto T(x, y)\xi$ is measurable for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in X$.

(ii) The family of operators $\tilde{T} := \{ T(x, y) : T \in \mathcal{T}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$ is $\ell^s$-bounded for all $s \in (1, \infty)$.

Then $\mathcal{I}_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ for all $w \in A_p$ with

$$[\mathcal{I}_T]_{\ell^s} \leq \phi_{X,Y,p}(|w|A_p) \max \{ [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^r}, [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^{r'}} \}, \quad \sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{\phi_{p,s}(|w|A_p)}.$$

We will first prove a result assuming the $\ell^s$-boundedness of $\tilde{T}$ for a fixed $s \in [1, \infty)$.

**Proposition 3.3.** Fix $1 \leq s \leq r < p < \infty$ and let $X$ and $Y$ be s-convex Banach function spaces such that $X^s$ has the UMD property. Let $T$ be a family of operators $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ such that

(i) $(x, y) \mapsto T(x, y)\xi$ is measurable for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in X$.

(ii) The family of operators $\tilde{T} := \{ T(x, y) : T \in \mathcal{T}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \}$ is $\ell^s$-bounded.

Then $\mathcal{I}_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ for all $w \in A_{p/s}$ with

$$[\mathcal{I}_T]_{\ell^s} \leq \phi_{X,p,r}(|w|A_{p/s})[\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s}.$$
Proof. Let \((S_X, \mu_X)\) and \((S_Y, \mu_Y)\) be the measure spaces associated to \(X\) and \(Y\) respectively. For \(j = 1, \ldots, n\) take \(I_j \in \mathcal{T}\) and let \(k_j \in \mathcal{K}\) and \(T_j \in \mathcal{T}\) be such that \(I_j = I_{k_j}T_j\). Fix simple functions \(f_1, \ldots, f_n \in L^p(w; X)\) and note that

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |I_jf_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_{L^p(w; Y)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |I_jf_j|^s \right\|_{L^{p/s}(w; Y^*)}.
\]

Fix \(x \in \mathbb{R}^d\), then by Hahn–Banach we can find a nonnegative \(u_x \in (Y^*)^*\) with \(\|u_x\|_{(X^*)^*} = 1\) such that

\[
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |I_jf_j(x)|^s \right\|_{Y^*} = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{S_Y} |I_jf_j(x)|^s u_x \, d\mu_Y.
\]

With Proposition 2.2 we can then find a nonnegative \(v_x \in (X^*)^*\) with \(\|v_x\|_{(X^*)^*} \leq 1\) such that

\[
\int_{S_Y} |T_j(x, y)\xi|^s v_x \, d\mu_Y \leq [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \int_{S_X} |\xi|^s v_x \, d\mu_X
\]

for \(j = 1, \ldots, n, y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(\xi \in X\). Since \(\|k_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1\) by [34, Lemma 4.3], Holder’s inequality yields

\[
|I_jf_j(x)|^s \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k_j(x - y)||T_j(x, y)f_j(y)|^s \, dy.
\]

Applying (3.5) and (3.4) successively we get

\[
\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{S_Y} |I_jf_j(x)|^s u_x \, d\mu_Y \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{S_Y} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k_j(x - y)||T_j(x, y)f_j(y)|^s \, dy u_x \, d\mu_Y
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |k_j(x - y)| \left( \int_{S_Y} |T_j(x, y)f_j(y)|^s u_x \, d\mu_Y \right) \, dy
\]

\[
\leq [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{S_X} |k_j(x - y)||f_j(y)|^s \, dy v_x \, d\mu_X
\]

\[
\leq [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n (|k_j|^s) \right)^{1/s} \|_{X^*},
\]

using duality and \(\|v_x\|_{(X^*)^*} \leq 1\) in the last step. We can now use the \(\ell^1\)-boundedness result of Proposition 3.1, since \((X^*)^*\) has the UMD property by [21, Proposition 4.2.17]. Combined with (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain

\[
\left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |I_jf_j|^s \right)^{1/s} \right\|_{L^p(w; Y)} \leq [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left( |k_j|^s \ast |f_j|^s \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(w; X^*)}
\]

\[
\leq \phi_{X, p/s}([w]_{A_{p/s}}) [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j|^s \right\|_{L^{p/s}(w; X^*)}^{1/s}
\]

\[
\leq \phi_{X, p/r}([w]_{A_{p/r}}) [\tilde{T}]_{\ell^s} \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |f_j|^s \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(w; X)}.
\]
where we can pick the increasing function $\phi$ in the last step independent of $s$, since the increasing function in Proposition 3.1 depends continuously on $p$. This can for example be seen by writing out the exact dependence on $p$ in Theorem 2.4 using [19, Theorem 1.3] and [32, Theorem 3.1].

Using this preparatory proposition, we will now prove Theorem 3.2.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let $w \in A_p$. We shall prove the theorem in three steps.

**Step 1.** First we shall prove the theorem very small $s > 1$. By Proposition 2.5 we know that there exists a $\sigma_{X,Y} \in (1, p)$ such that $X$ and $Y$ are $s$-convex and $X^*$ has the UMD property for all $s \in [1, \sigma_X]$. By Lemma 2.3(iii) we can then find a $\sigma_{p,w} \in (1, \sigma_{X,Y}]$ such that for all $s \in [1, \sigma_{p,w}]$

$$[w]_{A_p,s} \leq [w]_{A_p/s, \sigma_{p,w}} \leq \phi_p([w]_{A_p})$$

Let $\sigma_1 = \min\{\sigma_{X,Y}, \sigma_{p,w}\}$, then by Proposition 3.3 we know that $I_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ for $s \in (1, \sigma_1]$ with

$$\|I_T\|_{L^s} \leq \phi_{X,p,\sigma_{X,Y}}([w]_{A_p/s}) \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^s} \leq \phi_{X,Y,p}([w]_{A_p}) \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^s}. \quad (3.6)$$

**Step 2.** Now we use a duality argument to prove the theorem for large $s < \infty$. As noted in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have $L^p(w; X)^* = L^{p'}(w'; X^*)$ with $w' = w^{1-p'}$ under the duality pairing as in (3.1) and similarly for $Y$. Furthermore $X^*$ and $Y^*$ have the UMD property.

It is routine to check that under this duality $I_{k,T}^* = I_{\tilde{k},\tilde{T}}$ with $\tilde{k}(x) = k(-x)$ and $\tilde{T}(x, y) = T^*(y, x)$ for any $I_{k,T} \in I_T$. Trivially $\tilde{k} \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and by Proposition 3.1(ii) the adjoint family $\tilde{T}^*$ is $\ell^{s'}$-bounded with

$$\left[\tilde{T}^*\right]_{\ell^{s'}} = \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^s}$$

for all $s \in (1, \infty)$. Therefore, it follows from step 1 that there is a $\sigma_2 > 1$ such that $I_T^*$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^{p'}(w'; Y^*)$ to $L^{p'}(w'; X^*)$ for all $s \in (1, \sigma_2]$. Using Proposition 3.1(ii) again, we deduce that $I_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ for all $s \in [\sigma_2', \infty]$ with

$$\|I_T\|_{L^s} = \|I_T^*\| \lesssim \phi_{X,Y,p}([w]_{A_p}) \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^s}. \quad (3.7)$$

**Step 3.** We can finish the proof by an interpolation argument for $s \in (\sigma_1, \sigma_2')$. By Proposition 2.2(i) we get for $s \in (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ that $I_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded from $L^p(w; X)$ to $L^p(w; Y)$ with

$$\|I_T\|_{L^s} \leq \phi_{X,Y,p}([w]_{A_p}) \max\{\left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{s_1}}, \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{s'}}\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now note that by Lemma 2.3 there is a $\sigma \in (1, \infty)$ such that $\sigma < \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ and $\sigma < s < \sigma'$ and

$$\sigma = 1 + \frac{1}{\phi_{p,s}([w]_{A_p})}. $$

Thus combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\|I_T\|_{L^s} \leq \phi_{X,Y,p}([w]_{A_p}) \max\{\left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{s_1}}, \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{s'}}\} \leq \phi_{X,Y,T,p,s}([w]_{A_p}),$$

using the fact that $t \mapsto \max\{\left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{t_1}}, \left[\tilde{T}\right]_{\ell^{t'}}\}$ is increasing for $t \to 1$ by Proposition 2.2(i). This proves the theorem. \(\square\)
Remark 3.4.

- From Theorem 3.2 one can also conclude that $\mathcal{I}_T$ is $\mathcal{R}$-bounded, since $\mathcal{R}$- and $\ell^2$-boundedness coincide if $X$ and $Y$ have the UMD property, see e.g. [21, Theorem 8.1.3].
- The UMD assumptions in Theorem 3.2 are necessary. Indeed already if $X = Y$, $w = 1$ and if $\tilde{T}$ only contains the identity operator, it is shown in [22] that the $\ell^2$-boundedness of $\mathcal{I}_T$ implies the UMD property of $X$.
- The main result of [12] is Theorem 3.2 for the special case $X = Y = L^q(S)$. In applications to systems of PDEs one needs Theorem 3.2 on $L^q(S; \mathbb{C}^n)$ with $s = 2$, see e.g. [13]. This could be deduced from the proof of [12, Theorem 3.10], by replacing absolute values by norms in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In our more general statement the case $L^q(S; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is included, since $L^q(S; \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a UMD Banach function space over $S \times \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

If $X = Y$ is a rearrangement invariant Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}^c$, we can check the $\ell^q$-boundedness of $\tilde{T}$ for all $\sigma \in (1, \infty)$ by weighted extrapolation. Examples of such Banach function spaces are Lebesgue, Lorentz and Orlicz spaces. See [28, Section 2.a] for an introduction to rearrangement invariant Banach function spaces.

Corollary 3.5. Let $X$ be a rearrangement invariant UMD Banach function space on $\mathbb{R}^c$ and let $p, s \in (1, \infty)$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a family of operators $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}(X)$ such that

(i) $(x, y) \mapsto T(x, y)\xi$ is measurable for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\xi \in X$.
(ii) For some $q \in (1, \infty)$ and all $v \in A_q$ we have

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{T}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|T(x, y)\|_{\mathcal{L}(L^q(v))} \leq \phi_{\mathcal{T}, q}([v]_{A_q})$$

Then $\mathcal{I}_T$ is $\ell^s$-bounded on $L^p(w; X)$ for all $w \in A_p$ with

$$[\mathcal{I}_T]_{\ell^s} \leq \phi_{X,Y,\mathcal{T},p,q,s}([w]_{A_p}).$$

Note that in Corollary 3.5 we need that $T(x, y)$ is well-defined on $L^q(v)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This is indeed the case, since $X \cap L^q(v)$ is dense in $L^q(v)$.

Proof. Let $Y$ be the linear span of

$$\{1_K \xi : K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^c \text{ compact}, \xi \in X \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^c)\}.$$ 

Then $Y \subseteq L^q(v)$ for all $v \in A_p$ and $Y$ is dense in $X$ by order continuity. Define

$$\mathcal{F} := \{\|T(x, y)\xi|, |\xi|\} : T \in \mathcal{T}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi \in Y\}.$$ 

Note that $X$ has upper Boyd index $q_X < \infty$ by the UMD property (see [21, Proposition 7.4.12] and [28, Section 2.a]). So we can use the extrapolation result for Banach function spaces in [11, Theorem 2.1] to conclude that for $\sigma \in (1, \infty)$

$$\bigg\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |T_j(x_j, y_j)\xi_j|^\sigma \right)^{1/\sigma} \bigg\|_X \leq C_{\mathcal{T}, q} \bigg\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\xi_j|^\sigma \right)^{1/\sigma} \bigg\|_X$$
for any $T_j \in T$, $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi_j \in Y$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n$. By the density this extends to $\xi_j \in X$, so
\[
\{T(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, T \in T\}
\]
is $\ell^\sigma$-bounded for all $\sigma \in (1, \infty)$. Therefore the corollary follows from Theorem 3.2.
\[\square\]

**Remark 3.6.**

- A sufficient condition for the weighted boundedness assumption in Corollary 3.5 is that $T(x, y)\xi \leq CM\xi$ for all $T \in T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\xi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which follows directly from [18, Theorem 9.1.9].
- Corollary 3.5 holds more generally for UMD Banach function spaces $X$ such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded on both $X$ and $X^*$ (see [10, Theorem 4.6]). For example the variable Lebesgue spaces $L^{p(\cdot)}$ satisfy this assumption if $p_+, p_- \in (1, \infty)$ and $p(\cdot)$ satisfies a certain continuity condition, see [9, 35].
- The conclusion of Corollary 3.5 also holds for $X(v)$ for all $v \in A^p_X$ where $p_X$ is the lower Boyd index of $X$ and $X(v)$ is a weighted version of $X$, see [11, Theorem 2.1].
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