Arctic Sentinels

Global mercury emissions have stabilized over the past decade, yet levels in Arctic marine mammals have risen by an order of magnitude. Scientists struggle to explain why.

Hannah Hoag

On a cloud-covered morning in April, Feiyue Wang trudges down the gangway of the Canadian research icebreaker CCGS Amundsen and joins three graduate students and a rifle-toting research technician on the ice below. They set out on snowmobiles across the snow-covered slab of ice adrift in the Beaufort Sea and stop at an untouched patch of the ice floe. They unload coolers, thermoses, a generator, and a variety of electric tools, as the hems of their jackets whip about in the wind. It’s –15 degrees Celsius, and the winds are gusting to 30 knots. The technician stalks along the edge of the field site with the rifle slung over her shoulder and scans the horizon for polar bears.

For most of the group, it’s their first chance to be “on the ice” since they arrived. They’ve endured a full day of travel and another of safety drills and lab cleaning—Michel Gosselin, the chief scientist for this leg of the expedition, has made it clear that although their work is important, no science would be done until the labs are straightened up and bench top materials secured in case the ship had to suddenly dislodge from the ice floe. Samples of algae, zooplankton, water, and mud have piled up in the laboratories, which are passed on from one group to the next while the Amundsen is at sea.

Despite the harsh conditions, the team works for hours without a break. They extract four-foot-long (~1.25-meter-long) ice cores from the floe and scoop up surface snow and seawater (see Figure 1). They will later analyze the samples in the onboard laboratories (see Box 1) to study the presence of mercury and other contaminants, tracing their paths from terrestrial and atmospheric sources to ocean waters and, ultimately, to marine mammals. Wang, an environmental chemist from the University of Manitoba in Winnipeg, Canada, and his collaborators believe that climate change may be behind a recent jump in mercury accumulation in beluga whales, ringed seals, and other marine mammals.

The Amundsen is the research base for Canada’s largest research project of the International Polar Year, the Circumpolar Flaw Lead System Study—a Can$40 million study of the impact of climate change on the Arctic ecosystem (see Figure 2). The researchers on board are especially interested in flaw leads, or polynyas, which are long watery gaps in the ice that open up when the mobile sea ice pulls away from the stationary coastal ice. Flaw leads can stretch for many kilometers and extend throughout the Arctic region, like oblong beads on a necklace. They are frequently covered with thin new ice, or nilas, in the winter, and have high levels of primary productivity during the warmer months. They are particularly sensitive to environmental change. The Amundsen is the first icebreaker to spend the winter in or close to the flaw lead.

Flaw leads are expected to become more prevalent in the years ahead, as rising temperatures and melting sea ice create an increasingly ice-free Arctic. Flaw leads may be longer and wider and covered with thinner ice in the winter and early spring. “They’re a proxy of what can happen in the future,” says Gosselin. By studying the flaw leads, researchers hope to understand how climate change might alter mercury cycling in the Arctic and how both may interact to affect the Arctic ecosystem.

Mercury Rising

There was a time when the Arctic was considered one of the last pristine spots on Earth. Over the last 30 years, scientists have detected heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants, and other contaminants in global marine systems, and researchers believe that the Arctic is an increasingly vulnerable ecosystem. As the sea ice melts, the Arctic becomes more accessible and polluted, and the effects on the marine environment are evident. The circumpolar Arctic Flaw Lead System Study—a Can$40 million research project of the International Polar Year, the Circumpolar Flaw Lead System Study—a Can$40 million study of the impact of climate change on the Arctic ecosystem—is the first icebreaker to spend the winter in or close to the flaw lead.

Flaw leads are expected to become more prevalent in the years ahead, as rising temperatures and melting sea ice create an increasingly ice-free Arctic. Flaw leads may be longer and wider and covered with thinner ice in the winter and early spring. “They’re a proxy of what can happen in the future,” says Gosselin. By studying the flaw leads, researchers hope to understand how climate change might alter mercury cycling in the Arctic and how both may interact to affect the Arctic ecosystem.
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Box 1: Keeping It Clean

One of the unique features of the Amundsen is its onboard clean lab, built solely for the study of mercury. The lab is nestled in a white shipping container that has been chained to the aft deck of the ship. Few people are allowed access to the clean room, and no one gets though the inner door without removing her heavy down coat and boots, and donning a white zip-up suit, booties, and a cap. Inside, machines cover the bench tops and are secured by a complex system of bungee cords and hooks. Should the Amundsen begin to move or be jostled by high winds, the equipment needs to stay in place.

The clean room is stocked with equipment that can measure different mercury species in snow, ice, brine, and water samples in near–real time. “We used to ship the samples back to Winnipeg,” says Gary Stern, a researcher with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and a professor at the University of Manitoba, “but that was always an issue. The possibility of contamination was high and you wouldn’t know it until you got back.”

(POPs), and other chemicals in the air, seawater, snow, and wildlife in the Arctic. Coal burning, metal mining, waste incineration, and other industrial activities have been releasing mercury into the atmosphere since the industrial revolution. Elemental mercury is highly volatile, enabling its long-distance transfer from equatorial, subtropical, and temperate regions toward the poles. During the summer months, major air currents in the Northern Hemisphere lead to the Arctic.

Some mammals in the Canadian Arctic have shown 10-fold increases in mercury levels since the end of the 19th century. Of particular concern are the steep increases of mercury—a potent neurotoxin—in beluga whales and other marine mammals that have been hunted for food by northern peoples for centuries.

Gary Stern, a researcher with the Department of Fisheries and Oceans and a professor at the University of Manitoba, and his colleagues measured mercury levels in samples of liver, kidney, muscle, and muktuk—frozen whale skin and blubber, frequently eaten by the Inuit—taken from beluga whales from the Mackenzie Delta between 1981 and 2007. Stern says the highest mercury concentrations were measured in animals from the mid-1990s. The levels have since declined a bit, but they remain higher than they were in the 1980s [1], even though global atmospheric mercury has been in decline since the 1980s. And in the high Arctic, where scientists have been measuring atmospheric mercury levels since 1995, mercury levels have remained stable, and may be decreasing. “We didn’t see an increase in mercury that could explain the mercury concentration in the biota,” says Wang.

Stern and other scientists are investigating the list of possible processes that might be driving the higher mercury accumulation in animals in the Beaufort Sea, but an explanation for this phenomenon has been elusive. Although biomagnification is an important factor in the accumulation of mercury in top predators, like beluga, Stern says that something else is increasing the bioavailability of the mercury already in the system.

One possibility is that increasing amounts of atmospheric mercury are being transferred to the water column. The mercury cycle in the Arctic is complex and far from being fully understood, but scientists have been piecing it together since the 1990s. Most of the year, mercury exists in the atmosphere in its gaseous elemental form. But when the sun rises in the Arctic in March, after many months of darkness, it undergoes a substantial transformation. The polar sunrise signals the end of winter, bringing light and heat to the dark and icy landscape. It also sets off a chain of photochemical reactions at the sea–ice interface that scavenges mercury from the atmosphere. In a matter of hours, the gaseous elemental mercury hovering over the Arctic is deposited on the snow, ice, and open water.

These so-called mercury depletion events (MDE) were discovered in the mid-1990s when a team of Canadian researchers investigating atmospheric mercury levels at a remote Arctic research station noticed that mercury levels dropped in tandem with ground-level ozone [2,3]. In the winter, atmospheric mercury levels are stable, averaging 1.5 to 1.7 ng/m³. But after the polar sunrise, the concentrations fluctuate wildly, dropping to less than 0.1 ng/m³ within a day [4]. “This is one of the most interesting times of the year for mercury people,” says Wang, as he presents his research to an international group of graduate students, postdoctoral researchers, and professors, and a handful of curious Coast Guard officers and crew one night in early May. The polar sunrise brings light to the Arctic, but it also releases a cascade of mercury from the atmosphere to the snow, ice, and water. Snow samples collected in January and February contained less than 10 ppt of mercury. “This week, it’s 140 ppt,” he says.

The mercury showers are “an amazing series of events,” says Sandy Steffen, an atmospheric chemist at Environment Canada, a federal agency. These showers are due to a confluence of conditions: ultraviolet radiation from the newly risen sun and the release of inorganic bromine from the sea–ice surface. When an area of open seawater—such as a flaw lead—refreezes, tiny pores in the ice called brine channelswick salty seawater to the surface. “Like the veins in our arms, there are veins all through the ice,” says Amanda Chaulk, a graduate student at the University of Manitoba and part of the Contaminants Team on board the Amundsen. Exposed to the cold air, the brine forms delicate crystalline structures called frost flowers that are enriched in bromine, a chemical found in seawater. As the sunlight scatters across this garden of frost flowers, ultraviolet radiation collides with the salts and breaks down the bromine into radicals, which then react with ozone to form oxygen and bromine oxide radicals. These, in turn, oxidize the elemental mercury into reactive mercury (Hg⁰), a chemically sticky substance that can bind to small particles and precipitate onto the snow and ice, or into the water.

Researchers suspect this phenomenon occurs only in areas of new sea ice, but they have yet to look into what happens around multiyear ice. “The Amundsen is such a unique platform for us. We get right in the middle of the action, to the middle of the open leads as the frost flowers are forming,” says Steffen, who was on board the Amundsen for five weeks this spring.

For some time, scientists thought MDEs might explain the increased mercury levels that they had observed in the Arctic marine biota. Now some aren’t so sure. “We thought we had the smoking gun,” says Stern. “Now we see that it is a red herring.” Instead of staying on the snow and ice, some studies
show that as much as half of the mercury deposited during an MDE can be photoreduced and returns to the atmosphere within four days of the event [5]. If this is correct, then MDEs could have less of an impact on polar ecosystems than previously anticipated.

“We’ve learned that there are a number of different potential drivers that could be behind the mercury concentrations we are seeing,” says Stern. Increased inputs from the Mackenzie River, melting permafrost, and the lack of thick, multiyear ice may bear some responsibility for adding mercury to the Arctic food chain.

Mercury is not readily absorbed by organisms in its inorganic form. But when it is converted to methylmercury (likely by cold- and mercury-resistant microbes), this highly toxic form can bioaccumulate in the food chain. Methylmercury is gobbled up by the phytoplankton that bloom in the water column and the sea ice algae that cling to the underbelly of the sea ice during the spring. These marine plants are swallowed up by zooplankton and fish larvae, which are, in turn, consumed by larger fish, seals, walrus, whales, polar bears, and people. Traditional northern diets—which contain large amounts of marine mammals and fish flesh, and in some cases, the kidneys and livers of fat-heavy seals, whales, and walrus—expose the northern people to mercury levels that are among the highest in the world. When Stern measured mercury levels in the liver, kidney, muscle, and muktuk of beluga, he found that nearly all the liver samples contained mercury at concentrations above the Canadian consumption guidelines for fish of 0.5 µg of total mercury per gram of fish tissue [1]. (There are no consumption guidelines for marine mammals.)

During the 1970s, researchers began to detect high levels of methylmercury in the blood and hair of many of the indigenous residents [6]. In June, Eric Dewailly, a public health specialist at Laval University, in Quebec City, reported that 28% of the general population in Nunavik had blood mercury concentrations higher than the acceptable blood concentration established by Health Canada (99.7 nmol/l for the general population; 28.9 nmol/l for women of childbearing age) [7]. Researchers have documented blood mercury levels as high as 660 µg/l among people living in the Canadian north (compared with 2 µg/l in populations that consume little or no fish), as reported in a 1999 review of human health impacts of Arctic environmental contaminants [8]. (1 µg/l is approximately equal to 5 nmol/l.)

In adults, methylmercury accumulates in the brain and damages the central nervous system. The effects of prenatal exposure have been inconclusive, however. While some studies have found that infants exposed to methylmercury in utero showed developmental delays in cognitive and sensory functions, others have not. In Nunavik, Dewailly and his colleagues have found that prenatal mercury exposure correlates with subtle neurodevelopmental consequences, leading to slight hand tremors, for example, and difficulties in processing visual information [9,10] in pre-school-aged children.

An Ice-Free Future?

Some researchers, including Stern, think that climate change may be behind the recent rise in marine mammal mercury levels. The expansion and contraction of the floating Arctic ice cap is one of the Northern Hemisphere’s natural cycles.
The key factors affecting the primary productivity of the Arctic in the transfer of mercury to the biota. Sea-ice cover is one of could be due to additional inputs from the atmosphere. Already, it seems unlikely that the recent rise in mammals change things much.” With so much mercury in the ocean atmospheric deposition over the next 20 years, it won’t shut down the depletion event. “Until we totally understand the processes, we’re not going to understand the effects,” says Steffen.

**Exploring a Role for Arctic Warming**

Until recently, very little attention had been paid to the non-atmospheric mercury transport pathways into the Arctic, including ocean currents, rivers, and coastal erosion. Compared to the atmosphere, the ocean in the Arctic is a bit of a black box, says Peter Outridge, an adjunct professor at the Centre for Earth Observation Science, University of Manitoba. As rising temperatures cause sea ice, snow, and permafrost to melt, they are releasing once-locked-up mercury into the marine ecosystem. Runoff from the Mackenzie River and coastal erosion are draining naturally occurring mercury and carbon into estuaries and the edges of the Beaufort Sea, where the warmer water conditions hasten the conversion to methylmercury.

In April, Outridge and his colleagues published the first mass balance study of mercury in the Arctic Ocean [11]. Using the best available data for the inflows, outflows, and total masses of mercury in the atmosphere, rivers, ocean, sea ice, and sediments, along with mercury levels in bacteria, phytoplankton, zooplankton, fish, seals, whales, and polar bears, the researchers estimate that there are more than 47 metric tons of abiotic methylmercury in the upper ocean and 450 metric tons in the entire Arctic Ocean, but only 4.5 metric tons of methylmercury in the marine biota. “There is all this unassimilated methylmercury hanging out in the upper ocean,” says Outridge. “Even if you were to increase atmospheric deposition over the next 20 years, it won’t change things much.” With so much mercury in the ocean already, it seems unlikely that the recent rise in mammals could be due to additional inputs from the atmosphere.

Instead, they speculate that sea ice plays a dominant role in the transfer of mercury to the biota. Sea-ice cover is one of the key factors affecting the primary productivity of the Arctic Ocean. In flaw leads, where the sea ice is thinner, sunlight penetrates more easily and promotes the spring growth of under ice algae, which gorg on the available nutrients, including those laced with methylmercury. Beluga and other marine mammals prefer to feed in areas of high productivity. “With beluga whales, the ice dictates where they feed,” says Stern. Rising temperatures—and the loss of sea ice—may have increased the whales’ access to these high-productivity areas and their exposure to methylmercury.

If climate change is to blame for the mercury spikes in marine mammals, what can be done to reverse the trend? Mercury’s long lifetime means that it will take several decades to reduce atmospheric mercury levels, much the same way that the effects of lowering carbon dioxide emissions may not be seen for years. Although reductions in atmospheric mercury will be necessary to return the mercury levels of arctic biota to normal, the response will be slow, says Outridge. But reducing greenhouse gases could be as important. “If it is climate change that is driving the increases, it will take hundreds of years to get back to where we were, but if you can stop reducing the impacts of climate change then you can theoretically go back to normal,” says Stern.
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