1-Aminocyclopropane-1-Carboxylic Acid Oxidase (ACO): The Enzyme That Makes the Plant Hormone Ethylene
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The volatile plant hormone ethylene regulates many plant developmental processes and stress responses. It is therefore crucial that plants can precisely control their ethylene production levels in space and time. The ethylene biosynthesis pathway consists of two dedicated steps. In a first reaction, S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) is converted into 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) by ACC-synthase (ACS). In a second reaction, ACC is converted into ethylene by ACC-oxidase (ACO). Initially, it was postulated that ACS is the rate-limiting enzyme of this pathway, directing many studies to unravel the regulation of ACS protein activity, and stability. However, an increasing amount of evidence has been gathered over the years, which shows that ACO is the rate-limiting step in ethylene production during certain dedicated processes. This implies that also the ACO protein family is subjected to a stringent regulation. In this review, we give an overview about the state-of-the-art regarding ACO evolution, functionality and regulation, with an emphasis on the transcriptional, post-transcriptional, and post-translational control. We also highlight the importance of ACO being a prime target for genetic engineering and precision breeding, in order to control plant ethylene production levels.

Keywords: ethylene biosynthesis, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase, transcriptional and post-translation regulation, phylogeny, physiology

INTRODUCTION ON ETHYLENE BIOSYNTHESIS

Ethylene was the first gaseous hormone to be discovered in plants. It is an important regulator of many developmental and physiological processes such as seed dormancy, germination, vegetative growth, flowering, climacteric fruit ripening, and senescence. Additionally, ethylene was shown to play an important role in the plant's defense against biotic and abiotic stress factors (Lin et al., 2009; Van de Poel et al., 2015; Wen, 2015).

The general precursor of the ethylene biosynthesis pathway is the amino acid methionine (Figure 1; Lieberman et al., 1966). In a first, but general reaction, methionine is converted into S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) by SAM synthetase using ATP (Adams and Yang, 1977). The subsequent reaction steps are unique to the ethylene biosynthesis pathway. First, SAM is converted into 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) and S'-methylthioadenosine (MTA) by ACC-synthase (ACS) (Murr and Yang, 1975; Adams and Yang, 1979; Boller et al., 1979). ACS is a member...
of the pyridoxal-5'-phosphate (PLP) dependent aminotransferases, which use PLP as a co-factor (Boller et al., 1979). The side product MTA is recycled back to methionine by the Yang cycle to avoid a depletion of methionine during high rates of ethylene production (Murr and Yang, 1975). More details on the different steps of the Yang cycle are presented in Bürstenbinder et al. (2010) and Pommerrenig et al. (2011). In a second step, ethylene is released from ACC by ACC-oxidase (ACO) (Hamilton et al., 1990; Ververidis and John, 1991), a reaction that requires molecular oxygen (Burg and Burg, 1965). Alternatively, ACC can be converted to malonyl-ACC (MACC; Amrhein et al., 1981), γ-glutamyl-ACC (GACC; Martin and Saffner, 1995), and jasmonyl-ACC (JA-ACC; Staswick and Tiryaki, 2004). An in-depth review on the derivatization of ACC is given by Van de Poel and Van Der Straeten (2014).

THE DISCOVERY OF ACO AND ITS REACTION MECHANISM

For a long time it remained extremely difficult to purify ACO (formerly named the Ethylene Forming Enzyme, EFE) and determine its in vitro activity, mainly because it was thought that ACO was a membrane bound protein that lost its activity upon homogenization (Kende, 1989). Some residual or partial in vitro ACO activity was retained in membrane preparations of pea (Guy and Kende, 1984; Porter et al., 1986), bean (Guy and Kende, 1984; Mayne and Kende, 1986), Sprenger’s asparagus (Porter et al., 1986) and kiwi fruit (Mitchell et al., 1988), which was only a fraction (5–0.5%) of the total in vivo ethylene production capacity. A breakthrough was made when the clone pTom13 was characterized to code for a putative ACO gene of tomato (Hamilton et al., 1990). The elucidation of the protein sequence of this first ACO allowed Ververidis and John to find sequence similarity with a flavonone 3-hydroxylase of snapdragon (Antirrhinum majus). This homology made them realize that both iron and ascorbic acid could be essential for ACO enzyme activity. This insight made Ververidis and John (1991) the first to successfully extract and quantify in vitro ACO activity from melon fruit tissue.

Iron, in the form of Fe(II), is an essential metal cofactor, which is required for ACO enzyme activity (Bouzayan et al., 1991). Iron participates by coordinating the binding of the amino group of ACC to H177 and the carboxylate group of ACC to D179, which are two critical ACO residues in the reaction center (Zhang et al., 2004; Tierney et al., 2005; Brisson et al., 2012). The ascorbate cofactor is used as a reductant to catalyze the opening of the ACC-ring (Zhang et al., 2004; Murphy et al., 2014). The ACO reaction mechanism also uses molecular oxygen and bicarbonate as activators in order to catalyze the conversion of ACC into ethylene (Adams and Yang, 1981; Peiser et al., 1984). During this reaction, an unstable intermediate cyanoformate ion [(NCO)₂⁻] is formed, which rapidly decomposes in CO₂ and CN⁻ (Murphy et al., 2014). The reactive cyanide ion (CN⁻) is subsequently detoxified into β-cyanoalanine (Peiser et al., 1984; Dilley et al., 2013; Murphy et al., 2014).

ACO-oxidase is a member of the 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase (2OGD) superfamily of non-heme iron-containing proteins (Kawai et al., 2014). The 2OGD superfamily is one of the largest enzyme families in plants, with most of its members being active in oxygenation and hydroxylation reactions (Kawai et al., 2014). Nonetheless, 2OGD enzymes can have more diverse roles and participate for example in demethylations, desaturations, ring closure, ring cleavage, epimerization, rearrangement, halogenation, and demethylation reactions in plants (Farrow and Facchini, 2014). Characteristic for all 2OGDs is the double-stranded β-helix (DSBH) core fold, which contains a typical 2-His-1-carboxylate motif required for iron binding, also encountered in ACO. This motif consists of two His residues and the carboxylate group from an Asp or a Glu residue, and is responsible for the ligation of Fe(II) in the enzyme catalytic site, and thus critical for ACC binding (Aik et al., 2015; Martinez and Hausinger, 2015; Murphy et al., 2014).

Despite the fact that 2OGD enzymes are typically localized in the cytosol (Kawai et al., 2014), the exact subcellular localization of ACO remains a matter of debate. Some studies have suggested that ACO is localized at the plasma membrane (Rombaldi et al., 1994; Ramassamy et al., 1998), as originally postulated (Kende, 1989). However, other studies have shown that ACO is localized in the cytosol (Peck et al., 1992; Reinhardt et al., 1994; Chung et al., 2002; Hudgins et al., 2006), matching the general localization of 2OGD enzymes. Other studies have measured ACO activity both for membrane/apoplast and intracellular preparations (Bouzayan et al., 1990). All these studies used immunolocalization or activity assays in combination with (sub)cellular fractionations, and perhaps these techniques did not provide sufficient resolution to elucidate the exact ACO localization. A recent study tagged a safflower (Carthamus tinctorius) ACO with a GFP (green fluorescent protein) and performed an ectopic localization in onion epidermis cells. Their results showed that CtgACO1 localizes in the cytosol (potentially linked with membranes) and in the nucleus, but their images lacked markers for these organelles (Tu et al., 2019). All studies combined are not conclusive about the exact ACO localization, and thus the actual subcellular site of ethylene production.

ACO PHYLOGENY AND RESIDUE ANALYSIS

The plant 2OGD superfamily can be categorized into three subclasses (DOXA, DOXB, and DOXC) based on amino acid sequence similarity (Kawai et al., 2014). ACO is a part of the DOXC subclass, the largest and most diverse group, containing over 400 different 2OGDs, mainly linked to the specialized metabolism (Kawai et al., 2014). Kawai et al. (2014) further subcategorized the DOXC subclass and classified ACO as part of the DOXC53 subclade. This subclade has 2OGD members, which are typically retrieved in all angiosperms. ACO is a unique member of the plant 2OGD superfamily, because it uses ascorbate as a catalyst instead of 2-oxoglutarate (Kawai et al., 2014).

A small phylogenetic study using a limited amount of ACO sequences from tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), potato
ACO enzymes that can convert ACC into ethylene, it remains questionable if putative ACOs used in phylogenetic analyses are in fact functional ACOs. Trivial protein sequence similarity searches may lead to false or incorrect ACO annotations in genome and protein databases. In fact, there are only a few studies that have purified recombinant ACOs for functional characterization. This was done for tomato (Clouse and Carraro, 2014). They also observed that there are three main clusters of ACOs and that monocot and dicot ACOs diverged together from a common pre-gymnosperm ancestor (Clouse and Carraro, 2014).

Because not many ACOs have been shown to be functional ACO enzymes that can convert ACC into ethylene, it remains questionable if putative ACOs used in phylogenetic analyses are in fact functional ACOs. Trivial protein sequence similarity searches may lead to false or incorrect ACO annotations in genome and protein databases. In fact, there are only a few studies that have purified recombinant ACOs for functional characterization. This was done for tomato (Clouse and Carraro, 2014). They also observed that there are three main clusters of ACOs and that monocot and dicot ACOs diverged together from a common pre-gymnosperm ancestor (Clouse and Carraro, 2014).

All putative ACO sequences were used to build a phylogenetic tree (see Supplementary Figure 1), which clearly shows a cluster of “ancient” ACOs within the clade of non-seed land plants and algae. This ancient clade most likely originated from an evolutionary distant algal 2OGD that gradually diverged into a functioning ACO during seed plant evolution. A more detailed phylogenetic tree of a selected amount of agriculturally important angiosperms shows 3 clusters of ACOs (Figure 2). Therefore, we suggest dividing the ACO family in three types: Type I, Type II, and Type III ACO. These three clusters are also observed in the larger phylogenetic tree of Supplementary Figure 1. Our analysis also shows that the gymnosperm ACOs group within the Type III ACO cluster of angiosperms, and that monocot and dicot ACOs diverged separately for each individual type. Our phylogenetic analysis indicates that the 3 types of ACOs diverged in parallel from a shared non-seed plant ancestral ACO or 2ODG.

A detailed residue analysis of the ACO alignment of Arabidopsis, tomato and apple presented in Figure 3 further confirms the existence of 3 types of ACO. The important 2-His-1-carboxylate Fe(II) binding motif is conserved in all ACOs. Shaw et al. (1996) provided some first experimental insight that this motif is composed of the H177-D179-H234 triad in MdACO1 and that it is essential for ACO activity. This was confirmed in other studies in apple (Kadyrzhanova et al., 1999; Yoo et al., 2006) and for a petunia (Zhang et al., 2004) and tomato ACO (Brisson et al., 2012) (see also Supplementary Table 2). Furthermore, a thorough mutagenesis study of MdACO1, identified other important residues essential for ACO activity: C28, T157, K158, R175, Q188, K199, K230, R244, S246, K292, E294, E297, R299, F300, and E301 (Dilley et al., 2013). Some residues (R175, R299, and K158) have been proposed to coordinate bicarbonate binding (Zhang et al., 2004; Brisson et al., 2012; Dilley et al., 2013), while other residues (K292, K158, and F300) are proposed binding sites for ascorbate.
TABLE 1 | List of ACO sequences used for construction of the maximal likelihood phylogenetic tree of Arabidopsis thaliana, Solanum lycopersicum, Malus domestica, Oryza sativa, and Zea mays.

| Species          | Gene   | GeneID         | Type | Protein (aa) | Source                        |
|------------------|--------|----------------|------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Arabidopsis thaliana | AtACO1 | AT2G19590.1    | 2    | 311          | Vandenbussche et al., 2003    |
|                  | AtACO2 | AT1G62380.1    | 1    | 321          | Raz and Ecker, 1999           |
|                  | AtACO3 | AT1G12010.1    | 1    | 321          | Vandenbussche et al., 2003    |
|                  | AtACO4 | AT1G05010.1    | 1    | 324          | Gómez-Lim et al., 1993        |
|                  | AtACO5 | AT1G77330.1    | 3    | 308          | Vandenbussche et al., 2003    |
| Apple (Malus domestica) | MdACO1 | MDP0000195885  | 1    | 314          | Dong et al., 1992             |
|                  | MdACO2 | MDP000020737   | 1    | 330          | Binnie and McManus, 2009      |
|                  | MdACO3 | MDP000072584   | 1    | 323          | Binnie and McManus, 2009      |
|                  | MdACO4 | MDP0000251295  | 1    | 322          |                               |
|                  | MdACO5 | MDP0000453114  | 1    | 323          |                               |
|                  | MdACO6 | MDP000025650   | 3    | 298          |                               |
|                  | MdACO7 | MDP000020896   | 2    | 348          |                               |
| Rice (Oryza sativa) | OsACO1 | LOC_Os09g27820.1 | 1    | 323          | Chae et al., 2000             |
|                  | OsACO2 | LOC_Os09g27750.1 | 1    | 323          | Chae et al., 2000             |
|                  | OsACO3α | LOC_Os02g53180.1 | 1    | 345          | Chae et al., 2000             |
|                  | OsACO3β | LOC_Os02g53180.2 | 1    | 322          | Chae et al., 2000             |
|                  | OsACO3γ | LOC_Os02g53180.3 | 1    | 284          | Chae et al., 2000             |
|                  | OsACO6 | LOC_Os09g37590.1 | 2    | 294          |                               |
|                  | OsACO7 | LOC_Os01g39860.1 | 2    | 313          | Iwai et al., 2006             |
|                  | OsACO4 | LOC_Os11g08380.1 | 3    | 310          | Iwai et al., 2006             |
|                  | OsACO5 | LOC_Os05g05880.1 | 3    | 309          | Iwai et al., 2006             |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) | SlACO1 | Solyc07g049530.2.1 | 1    | 316          | Hamilton et al., 1991         |
|                  | SlACO2 | Solyc12g005840.1 | 1    | 317          | Holdsworth et al., 1987       |
|                  | SlACO3 | Solyc07g049550.2.1 | 1    | 317          | Bidonde et al., 1998          |
|                  | SlACO4 | Solyc02g01190.2.1 | 1    | 321          | Nakatsuka et al., 1998        |
|                  | SlACO5 | Solyc07g26650.2.1 | 2    | 302          | Sell and Hehl, 2005           |
|                  | SlACO6 | Solyc02g386350.2.1 | 1    | 320          |                               |
|                  | SlACO7 | Solyc06g06070.2.1 | 3    | 315          |                               |
| Maize (Zea mays) | ZmACO20 | Zm000008a017510_T01 | 1    | 453          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO25 | Zm000008a023130_T01 | 1    | 304          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO2 | Zm000008a028217_T01 | 1    | 327          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO8 | Zm000008a009058_T01 | 2    | 239          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO9 | Zm000008a021339_T01 | 2    | 235          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO1 | Zm000008a024831_T01 | 2    | 283          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO10 | Zm000008a031966_T01 | 2    | 319          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO11 | Zm000008a008130_T01 | 3    | 326          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO6 | Zm000008a018191_T01 | 3    | 314          |                               |
|                  | ZmACO31 | Zm000008a037498_T01 | 3    | 315          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO4 | Zm000008a037500_T01 | 3    | 316          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO7 | Zm000008a037501_T01 | 3    | 296          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |
|                  | ZmACO15 | Zm000008a037502_T01 | 3    | 315          | Gallie and Young, 2004        |

Sequences were retrieved from Phytozome (v12.1) and top hits were only retained after a positive reciprocal BLAST search. Numbering of the different ACOs was done according to previously published work (if available), otherwise a new numbering is proposed. Putative splice variants of the same gene are represented by α, β, and γ.

(Dilley et al., 2013). Besides these six amino acids, two additional residues (R244 and S246; highly conserved and part of the so-called RXS motif) complete the ACC/bicarbonate/ascorbate binding site of ACO (Kadyrzhanova et al., 1999; Seo et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004; Brisson et al., 2012; Dilley et al., 2013). Interestingly, the three ACO types can be classified based on the intermediate residue present in the conserved RXS-motif. This motif consists of R-M-S for type I ACOs, R-L/I-S for type II ACOs, and R-R-S for type III ACOs. All the residues considered important for ACO activity according to Dilley et al. (2013), are conserved in the three types of ACO, except for E294, E297, and E301. E294 is not well conserved in the three ACO types, while E297 is replaced by glycine only in the type II ACOs and E301 is not conserved in type III ACOs. It remains to be investigated whether or not the 3 types of ACOs actually have differences in functionality related to for example enzyme activity and/or protein stability.
FIGURE 2 | Maximal likelihood phylogenetic tree for ACO protein sequences of Arabidopsis thaliana (AT), Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum; Solyc), Apple (Malus domestica; MDP), Rice (Oryza sativa; Os), and Maize (Zea mays; Zm) retrieved from Phytozome (v12.1). Protein sequences were aligned in Geneious (v10.2.2) using the MUSCLE alignment plugin. The phylogenetic tree was build using RAxML (v8.2.11) for best-scoring maximum likelihood tree with rapid bootstrapping (1000 bootstrap replicates). Bootstrap values for the main branches are depicted on the tree. Type I ACO is shown in blue, Type II ACO is shown in red, and Type III ACO is shown in green.

FIGURE 3 | ACO protein sequence alignment of Arabidopsis thaliana, Solanum lycopersicum, and Malus domestica. Protein sequences were aligned in Geneious (v10.2.2) using the MUSCLE alignment plugin. Important residues are marked according to the legend shown. Type I ACO is shown in blue, Type II ACO is shown in red, and Type III ACO is shown in green.
THE REGULATION OF ACO

ACC-oxidase is expressed to a variable degree in all vegetative and reproductive tissue, which led to the belief that ACO proteins are always present and ready to produce ethylene. Furthermore, treating plant tissue with ACC typically results in a rapid production of ethylene. Therefore, it has been proposed that not ACO, but ACS is the rate-limiting enzyme in ethylene biosynthesis (Adams and Yang, 1979). This hypothesis has been readily accepted by the community, leading to an abundance of studies focusing on unraveling the regulation and function of ACS in relation to its prime role in ethylene production (Argueso et al., 2007; Booker and DeLong, 2015; Yoon, 2015). However, there is an increasing amount of evidence demonstrating the importance of ACO, and not ACS, in controlling ethylene production in plants. For example ACO is the rate limiting step during flooding of tomato (English et al., 1995) and Rumex palustris (Vrieseen et al., 1999). ACO activity, and not the availability of ACC, has been shown to be crucial during the formation of tension wood in poplar trees (Andersson-Gunneras et al., 2003; Love et al., 2009). Ethylene induced cotton fiber cell elongation has also been linked to a strong upregulation of its respective ACO genes (Shi et al., 2006). ACO has also been shown to be rate limiting during the post-climacteric ripening of tomato fruit (Van de Poel et al., 2012; Grierson, 2014; Van de Poel et al., 2014a, b). Even more recently, a key role for ACO during the sex determination of cucumber flowers was discovered (Chen et al., 2016). These studies indicate that ACO can sometimes be rate limiting and thus controls ethylene production, indicative of a stringent regulatory mechanism that controls ACO expression, stability and/or activity.

Transcriptional Regulation of ACO

Despite the fact that ACO expression has been observed to be temporally and spatially regulated (during tomato flower and fruit development; Barry et al., 1996; Blume and Grierson, 1997; Nakatsuka et al., 1998; Van de Poel et al., 2012), only a few transcription factors have been identified that are known to control ACO expression (see Table 2). In tomato, SIHB-1, a homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) class-I transcription factor was shown to interact with the tomato ACO1 (Soly07g049530) promoter using gel retardation assays (Li et al., 2008). Furthermore, experiments using virus-induced gene silencing showed that a repression of HB-1 expression resulted in a decrease in ACO1 transcript levels (Li et al., 2008). Additionally, Lin et al. (2008) predicted that HB-1 could also target other ripening-related genes such as ACO2 (Soly12g005940), PG1, RIN, and NOR (Lin et al., 2008). Martel et al. (2011) reported that the master ripening regulator RIN could interact with the promoter of HB-1, placing HB-1 downstream of RIN during tomato fruit ripening. Later it was shown that RIN itself can interact directly with the CArG box in the promoter region of ACO4 (Soly02g081190) (Li et al., 2017). Besides HB-1 and RIN, different NAC transcription factors have also been observed to play an important role in the control of ethylene biosynthesis in tomato. Specifically, SNAC4 and SNAC9 have been shown to influence tomato fruit ripening by interacting with the promoters of ACS2, ACS4, and ACO1 (Kou et al., 2016). Silencing SNAC4 and SNAC9 dramatically reduces the expression of these genes, inhibiting fruit ripening. Furthermore, silencing of ERF2, ACS4, and ACO1 also reduces the expression of both SNAC4 and SNAC9, which suggests the existence of a tightly controlled feedback mechanism (Kou et al., 2016).

Ethylene response factors (ERFs) have been shown to be an integral part of the ethylene signaling and response pathway. ERFs are transcription factors that can bind with cis-acting elements such as GCC-box motifs and dehydration-responsive elements (DREs) (Ohme-Takagi and Hideaki, 1995; Müller and Munne-Bosch, 2015). Zhang et al. (2009) showed that the tomato ERF2 (and a homolog allele TERF2) was able to interact with the DRE in the promoter of SIACO3 to activate transcription. They observed a significant increase in ethylene production of the ERF2/TERF2 overexpression lines and a decrease in the ERF2/TERF2 antisense-lines compared to the wild type, suggesting that these ERFs are positive regulators of ACO3 expression in tomato (Zhang et al., 2009).

In banana (Musa acuminata), the transcription factor ERF11 was shown to interact directly with the GCC-box motif in the promoter region of ACO1 and repress ACO1 expression (Han et al., 2016). Han et al. (2016) also demonstrated that ERF11 can physically interact with the histone deacetylase HDA1, which in turn reinforces the ERF11-induced repression of ACO1. Furthermore, the MADS-box transcription factor MADS7 was also shown to interact directly with the promoter of ACO1 in banana using a yeast one-hybrid (YIH) system and a transient GUS-reporter activation assay in tobacco (Liu et al., 2015). MADS7 is only expressed in banana fruit and its expression is stimulated by ethylene and inhibited by 1-MCP. Ectopic overexpression of MaMADS7 in tomato fruit resulted in a 10-fold increase of SIACO1 expression compared to wild-type fruit, and resulted in an enhanced ethylene production level (Liu et al., 2015).

Another transcription factor that controls ACO expression was also identified in melon fruit (Cucumis melo). Huang et al. (2010) reported that EIN3-like proteins EIL1 and EIL2 induce the expression of ACO1 by interacting with different cis-acting elements of the ACO1 promoter. It was hypothesized that both EIL proteins are targeted for proteolysis by EBF1/EBF2 (similar as in Arabidopsis) in the absence of ethylene, however, upon ethylene release they are stabilized and elevate the biosynthesis of ethylene by inducing the transcription of ACO1 and thus promote ripening (Huang et al., 2010).

In cucumber (Cucumis sativus), the transcription factor WIP1 can regulate flower sex determination by directly binding the promoter of ACO2 and inhibiting its expression (Chen et al., 2016). Evidence was provided using a dual luciferase activation assay in tobacco, YIH, ChbP-qPCR, and EMSA to validate the interaction between WIP1 and the ACO2 promoter (Chen et al., 2016). Chen et al. (2016) also demonstrated that the melon (Cucumis melo) homolog of WIP1 can interact with the promoter of CmACO3, and similarly as in cucumber, negatively influence CmACO3 expression.

In Arabidopsis thaliana, the NAC transcription factor Speedy Hyponastic Growth (SHYG) was shown to interact with the
promoter region of ACO5 (AT77330; Rauf et al., 2013). When SHYG was overexpressed using an inducible promoter, the expression of ACO5 was shown to be strongly induced (Rauf et al., 2013).

**Differential ACO Expression Profiles**

In order to get a better insight in the differential expression of the ACO gene family, we have summarized the tissue-specific and developmental expression profiles for Arabidopsis and tomato using the eFP browser (http://bar.utoronto.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi; Waese et al., 2017) and the Tomato Expression Atlas (http://tea.solgenomics.net/), respectively.

**Differential ACO expression in Arabidopsis thaliana**

Figure 4 demonstrates that the Arabidopsis thaliana ACO genes show a distinct tissue-specific expression pattern. ACO1 (AT2G19590; Type II) is upregulated during the torpedo and walking-stick stage of embryogenesis, is highly expressed in imbibed seeds and upregulated during the first stages of germination, mainly in the radicle. Furthermore, ACO1 is also strongly expressed in the roots, where it might be involved in lateral root formation (Park et al., 2018). ACO2 (AT1G62380; Type I) was shown to be involved in germination, where it participates in ethylene production to control endosperm cap weakening and endosperm rupture (Linkies and Leubner-Metzger, 2012). ACO2 is mostly expressed in the emerging seedling hypocotyl, where it is involved in the formation of the apical hook (Raz and Ecker, 1999). ACO2 is also highly expressed in the phloem and companion cells of the roots (not shown in Figure 4; Brady et al., 2007). ACO2 is also upregulated during flower opening and specifically during anther, stamen and petal development (van Es et al., 2018). ACO3 (AT1G12010; Type I) is highly expressed during embryogenesis and during further seed maturation. Furthermore, ACO3 is expressed in the root, more precisely in the phloem and companion cells (Brady et al., 2007). ACO4 (AT1G05010; Type I) is mostly expressed in vegetative tissue such as the cotyledons, the rosette, cauline leaves, sepals, and the petiole of senescing leaves. ACO5 (AT177330; Type III), is mainly expressed in the root (of both seedlings and adult plants), especially in the root apex and the root cap (Brady et al., 2007).

**Differential ACO expression during tomato fruit development and climacteric ripening**

Figure 5 summarizes the differential and tissue-specific expression of the seven ACOs during tomato fruit development and climacteric ripening, based on the Tomato Expression Atlas (TEA). ACO1 (Solyc07g049530; Type I) is already expressed shortly after anthesis, and expression levels increase moderately throughout fruit development (system I). At the onset of ripening (system II), ACO1 expression increases strongly and correlates well with the autocatalytic rise in ethylene production (Blume and Grierson, 1997; Nakatsuka et al., 1998; Alexander and Grierson, 2002; Van de Poel et al., 2012). ACO1 expression appears to be strongest in the pericarp, septa and columella of orange to red fruit, matching the tissue-specific ACO in vitro activity reported by Van de Poel et al. (2014b). ACO2 (Solyc12g005940; Type I) is expressed at anthesis, however, expression drops to a basal level during further fruit development and ripening (Van de Poel et al., 2012). Expression of ACO3 (Solyc07g049550; Type I) is high during anthesis, but readily drops during initial fruit development. At the onset of ripening, ACO3 expression strongly increases again. These observations are contradictory to the qPCR data observed by Van de Poel et al. (2012), who showed that ACO3 expression declines after the breaker stage. ACO4 (Solyc02g081190; Type I) expression is high during initial fruit development (mainly pericarp tissue) and declines thereafter to a basal expression level. However, there is a temporal increase in ACO4 expression during the breaker stage, mainly in the columella and placenta tissue. Nakatsuka et al. (1998) showed that ACO4 expression increases during fruit ripening, but perhaps the use of degenerate primers in the Nakatsuka study could not discriminate between ACO4 and another ACO homolog. ACO5 (Solyc07g026650; Type II) expression increases slightly after anthesis and remains at a similar level during further fruit development and ripening. However, qPCR analysis by Van de Poel et al. (2012) indicated that ACO5 follows an expression pattern similar to that of ACO3. ACO6 (Solyc02g036350; Type I) is strongly expressed at anthesis, followed by a low expression during fruit development and a
temporal high expression during the breaker stage, followed by a gradual decline during further ripening. ACO6 expression is strongest in the pericarp tissue, which is also the tissue that showed the highest in vivo ethylene production (Van de Poel et al., 2014b). ACO7 (Solyc06g060070; Type III) is only basally expressed during fruit development and ripening. The ACO6 and ACO7 genes have not yet been characterized during tomato fruit development and ripening.

Post-transcriptional Regulation of ACO

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are often involved in the post-transcriptional regulation of diverse processes in plant growth
MiRNAs constitute a class of regulatory, small, non-coding RNA molecules of 20 to 24 nucleotides long, which can intervene in gene expression by cleaving mRNA transcripts in a sequence specific way (Liu et al., 2017; 2018; Yu et al., 2017). MiR396b was identified as a cold-responsive miRNA in the cold hardy citrus variety Ponciferus trifoliata (Zhang et al., 2014). When the precursor of this miRNA (MIR396b) was overexpressed in lemon (Citrus lemon), it led to an increase in cold tolerance. Interestingly, overexpression of this miRNA reduced the expression of ACO compared to the wild type lemon. Zhang et al. (2016) showed that miR396b directs the cleavage of ACO transcripts, consequently inhibiting ethylene biosynthesis.

Recently, a second miRNA was identified, which affects the expression of ACO (Wang et al., 2018). In tomato, miR1917 directs the cleavage of a specific CTR4 splice variant in tomato leading to an altered ethylene response. Overexpression of miR1917 significantly enhanced the expression of AC52, AC54, ACO1 (Soly07g049530) and ACO3 (Soly07g049550), leading to specific ethylene response phenotypes such as the triple response in etiolated seedlings, an increase in epinastic curvature of leaf petioles, an increased pedicel abscission rate and an accelerated fruit ripening (Wang et al., 2018).

**Post-translational Regulation of ACO**

An *in vitro* phosphorylation assay using protein extracts of pre- and post-climacteric apple fruit and an ectopically expressed His-tagged MdACO1 (MDP0000195885) hinted for the first time that ACO protein-protein interactions could exist (Dilley et al., 1995). It was observed that apple ACO1 could interact with unidentified proteins and resulted in the phosphorylation of these associated proteins (and possibly also ACO1 itself) in both pre-and post-climacteric samples (Dilley et al., 1995). Later Dilley et al. also speculated about a possible cysteine protease activity of ACO, unrelated to its role in catalyzing the conversion of ACC to ethylene. This hypothesis was based on sequence similarity between ACO
and a tomato cysteine protease (Matarasso et al., 2005), and the strongly conserved C28 as a key residue in the active site of this protease (Dilley et al., 2013). Using in silico predictions based on sequence similarity, other motifs for ACO protein-protein interactions, serine/threonine kinases, tyrosine kinases, and glycosylation were identified (Aitken, 1999; Dilley et al., 2013). Despite these predictions, only a few post-translational modifications of ACO have currently been experimentally observed.

Arabidopsis ACO2 (AT1g62380) was identified as a target in a broad proteomics screen that characterized stress-induced protein S-glutathionylation, suggesting that ACO2 is post-translationally modified by glutathionylation (Dixon et al., 2005). This was later confirmed in a dedicated S-glutathione pull-down assay with ACO2, where C63 was identified as the target residue for glutathionylation (Datta et al., 2015). The relevance of this post-translational glutathionylation and its involvement in ethylene production remains unknown.

Another thiol-residue modification of ACO was discovered in a large cysteine S-sulfhydration screen in Arabidopsis, which showed that ACO4 can get sulfhydrated (Aroca et al., 1999; Dilley et al., 2013). Despite these predictions, only a few post-translational modifications of the thiol-groups of cysteine residues by S-glutathionylation or S-sulfhydration are involved in the protection of proteins from irreversible oxidation or redox changes (Gao et al., 2009; Datta et al., 2015) or modulate protein-protein interactions (Aroca et al., 2006). The exact function of ACO S-glutathionylation and S-sulfhydration remains to be further investigated.

In petunia, Tan et al. (2014) identified GRL2 (Green-like 2) as a novel interacting partner of ACO1 in a yeast two-hybrid screen using GRL2 as bait and a cDNA library of petals and leaves as prey. They also observed that the suppression of GRL2 expression resulted in an increased ethylene production of flowers, leading to an accelerated flower senescence. Therefore, GRL2 is proposed to serve as a negative regulator of ethylene production that can directly influence the activity of ACO1 (Tan et al., 2014).

### TABLE 3 | Overview of ACO-directed transgenic applications in different agricultural crops.

| Crop                     | Target gene | Approach  | References                      |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| Apple (Malus domestica)  | ACO1 + ACS 8-like | Antisense RNA | Dandekar et al., 2004          |
| Broccoli (Brassica oleracea) | ACO          | Antisense RNA | Henzi et al., 1999             |
|             | ACO2        | Antisense RNA | Gapper et al., 2005             |
| Carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus) | ACO          | Antisense RNA | Savin et al., 1995             |
| Lemon (Citrus lemon)     | MIR396b     | Overexpression | Zhang et al., 2016             |
| Kiwi (Actinidia chinensis) | ACO1-4 + ACO6 | RNAi          | Atkinson et al., 2011          |
| Melon (Cucumis melo)     | ACO1        | Antisense RNA | Ayub et al., 1996; Bauchot et al., 1998; Ben-Amor et al., 1999 |
| Papaya (Carica papaya)   | ACO1        | Antisense RNA | Guis et al., 1997              |
| Poplar (Populus trichocarpa) | ACO          | Antisense RNA | Silva et al., 2004             |
| Pear (Pyrus communis)    | ACO1        | Antisense RNA | Nuñez-Palenius et al., 2006    |
| Petunia                  | ACO1        | Cosuppression | López-Gómez et al., 2009        |
| Papaya (Carica papaya)   | ACO1 + ACO2 | RNAl         | Sekeli et al., 2014            |
| Safflower (Carthamus tinctorius) | ACO1        | Overexpression | Love et al., 2009              |
| Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) | ACO          | Sense/antisense RNA | Gao et al., 2007               |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) | ACO1 + ACS 8-like | Antisense RNA | Huang et al., 2007             |
| GRL2                     | Antisense RNA | VIGS          | Tan et al., 2014               |
| Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) | ACO          | Sense/antisense RNA | Knoester et al., 1997          |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) | ACO1        | Antisense RNA | Hamilton et al., 1990; Picton et al., 1993 |
|             | ACO1        | Antisense RNA | English et al., 1995           |
|             | ACO         | Antisense RNA | Batra et al., 2010             |
|             | HD1         | VIGS          | Xiong et al., 2005             |
|             | ACO         | VIGS          | Lin et al., 2008               |
| Torenia (Torenia fournieri) | ACO          | Sense/antisense RNA | Aida et al., 1998              |
ACO BIOTECHNOLOGY AND APPLICATIONS

Because ethylene plays a crucial role in many plant processes, including climacteric fruit ripening and senescence, excessive ethylene can lead to unwanted decay of plant-based food. Therefore, ethylene biosynthesis or signaling genes have been frequently targeted in biotechnological and transgenic approaches in order to increase the shelf life of plant-based food. Because ACO catalyzes the final step in the ethylene biosynthesis pathway, it is therefore an ideal candidate to target (instead of for example ACS or ethylene signaling components), because there are fewer risk of intervening in other pathways (e.g., ACC metabolism). Table 3 presents an exhaustive list, although probably not exclusive, of several transgenic applications controlling ethylene production at the level of ACO for important agricultural crops.

One of the most rewarding applications of ACO-directed biotechnology in plants is the reduction of ethylene production during ripening and postharvest storage of climacteric fruit. ACO has been targeted using antisense RNAi techniques to downregulate its expression and consequently decrease ethylene production, resulting in control over fruit ripening and postharvest storage. Table 3 shows that this approach was successfully implemented for a wide variety of fruits: apple, lemon, kiwi, melon, papaya, pear, and tomato (Hamilton et al., 1990; Picton et al., 1993; English et al., 1995; Ayub et al., 1996; Guis et al., 1997; Bauchot et al., 1998; Ben-Amor et al., 1999; Dandekar et al., 2004; Silva et al., 2004; Xiong et al., 2005; Nuñez-Palenius et al., 2006; Gao et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2008; López-Gómez et al., 2009; Batra et al., 2010; Atkinson et al., 2011; Sekeli et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016). In all these studies, fruit ripening was delayed and shelf-life was prolonged. A similar approach has also been used to prolong the shelf life of vegetative tissue of vegetables, such as for example broccoli (Henzi et al., 1999; Gapper et al., 2005).

Transgenic approaches that silence ACO expression are also beneficial in floriculture. It has been shown that a reduced ACO expression resulted in a delay in flower senescence and flower abscission in petunia, carnation, and torenia (Savin et al., 1995; Aida et al., 1998; Huang et al., 2007; Tan et al., 2014). Besides controlling fruit ripening or flower senescence, ACOs have also been targeted in other ethylene-related processes. For example, tomato plants transformed with an ACOI antisense construct showed delayed leaf senescence (John et al., 1995) and less epinasty during soil flooding (English et al., 1995). A mutation in cucumber ACO2 resulted in a mutant that only bears male flowers, suggesting that ACO2 plays an important role in sex determination in cucumber flowers (Chen et al., 2016).

Besides silencing ACO expression and reducing ethylene production, it can sometimes be desirable to boost ethylene production, and then an ACO overexpression construct is most suitable. In safflower, ACO1 overexpression was shown to stimulate the flavonoid biosynthesis pathway, which could be interesting for oilseed production (Tu et al., 2019). Overexpression of ACO1 in poplar (Populus tremula × tremuloides) caused a stimulation of cambial cell division, which in turn resulted in an increased xylem development and an inhibition of elongation growth, which are desirable traits for the wood industry (Love et al., 2009). Altogether, these transgenic examples show the potential of controlling ethylene production levels by targeting the ACO gene family. Perhaps new breeding technologies such as CRISPR/Cas9-mediated mutations in the ACO promoter or coding sequence could also lead to novel strategies to control ethylene production in plants.
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