Silencing ZEB2 Induces Apoptosis and Reduces Viability in Glioblastoma Cell Lines

Sahar Safaee 1,†, Masoumeh Fardi 1,2,†, Nima Hemmat 1, Neda Khosravi 1, Afshin Derakhshani 1,3, Nicola Silvestris 3,4,*,‡, and Behzad Baradaran 1,*,‡

1 Immunology Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz 51656-65811, Iran; shar.safaee@gmail.com (S.S.); m.fardi313@gmail.com (M.F.); nima.hemmat1995@gmail.com (N.H.); nedakhosravi74@yahoo.com (N.K.); afshin.derakhshani94@gmail.com (A.D.)
2 Hematology Division, Immunology Department, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz 51656-65811, Iran
3 IRCCS Istituto Tumori “Giovanni Paolo II” of Bari, 70124 Bari, Italy
4 Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, University of Bari “Aldo Moro”, 70124 Bari, Italy
* Correspondence: n.silvestris@oncologico.bari.it (N.S.); baradaranb@tbzmed.ac.ir or behzad_im@yahoo.com (B.B.)
† The authors contributed equally to this work.
‡ Co-last authors.

Abstract: Background: Glioma is an aggressive type of brain tumor that originated from neuroglia cells, accounts for about 80% of all malignant brain tumors. Glioma aggressiveness has been associated with extreme cell proliferation, invasion of malignant cells, and resistance to chemotherapies. Due to resistance to common therapies, glioma affected patients’ survival has not been remarkably improved. ZEB2 (SIP1) is a critical transcriptional regulator with various functions during embryonic development and wound healing that has abnormal expression in different malignancies, including brain tumors. ZEB2 overexpression in brain tumors is attributed to an unfavorable state of the malignancy. Therefore, we aimed to investigate some functions of ZEB2 in two different glioblastoma U87 and U373 cell lines. Methods: In this study, we investigated the effect of ZEB2 knocking down on the apoptosis, cell cycle, cytotoxicity, scratch test of the two malignant brain tumor cell lines U87 and U373. Besides, we investigated possible proteins and microRNA, SMAD2, SMAD5, and miR-214, which interact with ZEB2 via in situ analysis. Then we evaluated candidate gene expression after ZEB2-specific knocking down. Results: We found that ZEB2 suppression induced apoptosis in U87 and U373 cell lines. Besides, it had cytotoxic effects on both cell lines and reduced cell migration. Cell cycle analysis showed cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 and apoptosis induction in U87 and U373 cell lines receptively. Also, we have found that SAMAD2/5 expression was reduced after ZEB2-siRNA transfection and miR-214 upregulated after transfection. Conclusions: In line with previous investigations, our results indicated a critical oncogenic role for ZEB2 overexpression in brain glioma tumors. These properties make ZEB2 an essential molecule for further studies in the treatment of glioma cancer.
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1. Introduction

Glioma is the most prevalent brain cell tumor, accounting for approximately 48% of various types of central nervous system (CNS) tumors and 80% of all neurological tumors. The survival of about 95% of patients with glioma is less than five years. Additionally, the survival rate of patients with glioma is inversely related to age [1]. Attempts to treat the disease using therapies—such as chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and radiotherapy—have been little effective since the main challenge in treating glioma is defeating chemother-apy [2–5]. Studies showed that the resistant nature of glioma is dependent on its high
proliferative ability, severe invasion, and resistance to apoptosis [6–8]. However, the molecular mechanism of therapy resistance in glioma is poorly investigated. Thus, there is a critical need to discover fatal oncogenic pathways for treating glioma.

Zeb2 (Zfhx1b or SIP1) is the main transcription factor that functions a vital role in CNS development throughout embryonic periods. ZEB2 functions as a transcriptional regulator. It regulates the expression of an extensive number of genes that control numerous features of neuronal development [9–11]. Despite the natural role of ZEB2 in normal embryonic development, especially the evolution of the central nervous system (CNS), recent studies have shown an increase in ZEB2 expression in many cancers [12–16], including glioblastoma [17,18]. It was found that by suppressing the expression of this molecule, progression of the cancer cells halted significantly. However, the molecular interactions of ZEB2 in different oncogenic pathways are still unknown.

The TGFβ signaling is a vital pathway in regulating the body's vital processes—such as embryogenesis, cell proliferation regulation, differentiation, apoptosis, and cell migration—and disruption of this pathway is associated with various cancers. The ligands of the TGFβ family, including TGFβ 1, 2, 3, bind to a receptor called TGFβ RI. This binding causes TGFβ RII to recruit and phosphorylate its Ser/Thr residues. In turn, activated TGFβ RI causes activation of activator SMADs (R-SMADs, including SMAD2 and SMAD5). Activation of SMADs activator with SMAD4 creates an active trimeric complex. The co-repressor is transported to the nucleus and, as a transcription factor, binds directly to the regulatory regions in DNA sequence, regulating the expression of its target gene [19].

The alternate name of ZEB2, ‘Sip1’, was given for its ability to interact with activated SMAD transcriptional cofactors (Sip stands for Smad interacting protein). The SMAD interacting domain was not detected in the other member of the ZEB family, ZEB1. According to previous experiments, ZEB2 is associated with several different Smads (Smad1, Smad2, Smad3, Smad4, and Smad5) [20]. As it was mentioned, Smads are critical mediators of the TGFβ signaling pathway. They transduce signals from the cell membrane to the nucleus, acting as transcriptional cofactors [21]. Many studies outlined SMADs abnormal expression patterns in different cancers [22–26], but there has been little discussion about the effect of ZEB2 on SMADs expression.

The present research explored the molecular function of ZEB2 in glioblastoma through specific suppression of ZEB2 and its possible effect on the expression of candidate SMAD genes and one potential microRNA.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Lines and Cell Culture

Two glioblastoma cell lines, U87 and U373, were obtained from the Pasteur Institute (Tehran, Iran). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (all of them were purchased from Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). All the flasks were preserved in the humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% carbon dioxide according to the conditions recommended by the mentioned institute.

2.2. siRNA Transfection

ZEB2-siRNA was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, Canada) as a lyophilized cocktail containing three different duplexes (Table 1) of siRNA. Transfection Reagent was also purchased from the mentioned company. Cells were cultured at a concentration of $4 \times 10^5$ per well of six-well plates containing RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS. After 72 h of transfection, cells were collected for other experimental steps.
Table 1. Three different ZEB2 siRNA (sc-38641) sequences.

| Cat. Number | Strand | Sequence (3′–5′) |
|-------------|--------|-----------------|
| sc-38641A   | Sense  | GCAAGGCUCUAAAUAAAtt |
|             | Antisense | UUAUAUUUGAAGGCCUUGCtt |
| sc-38641B   | Sense  | CCACAUGUCUGUACUCAAAtt |
|             | Antisense | UUUGAGUACAGACAUGUGGtt |
| sc-38641C   | Sense  | GCACUACAAUGCAUCAGUAtt |
|             | Antisense | UACUGAUGCAUUGUAGUGCtt |

2.3. Dataset Selection and Assessing the TGF-β Compartments Expression

NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) is a free source of gene expression data gathering different expression patterns of several disorders. For this study, we analyzed the raw data of the GSE4290 dataset containing 23 non-tumor brain tissue samples (epilepsy samples) as the controls and 77 brain tissue samples of the glioblastoma patients as the cases. These data had been quantified by the GPL570 platform (Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) [27]. GEO2R, as an online tool for analyzing gene expression, was used to detect differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with the p-value < 0.05 and |logFC| ≥ 1.2 as the cut-off criteria, and then the presence of TGF-β signaling pathway compartments in DEGs were evaluated by Venn Diagram (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/ accessed on 30 December 2020).

2.4. Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) of ZEB2 and TGF-β Signaling Pathway Compartments

The DEGs which belong in the TGF-β signaling pathway were analyzed with Cytoscape v3.7.2 [28] and Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) app [29] to mining their most likely PPI network with ZEB2 with the confidence score ≥0.700 as the cut-off.

2.5. miRNA Prediction

The TGF-β signaling pathway compartments, which are differentially expressed in glioblastoma, were put in miRWalk v3.0 (http://mirwalk.umm.uni-heidelberg.de/ accessed on 30 December 2020) online tools to predict the most likely miRNAs with the most number of interactions [30]. The score >0.95 was set as a cut-off in this prediction.

2.6. RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using RiboEx reagent (Geneall, Korea) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA synthesis was performed using a Biofact2X RT-PCR Master Mix (high ROX) containing SYBR Green (Biofact, Korea). In the present study, the expression of all genes was evaluated using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II (TAKARA, Japan) and the Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). β-Actin and U6 RNA were used as internal controls to normalize the expression of candidate genes and microRNAs, respectively using 2^ΔΔCt formula. Before experiments, all primers were blasted using the Primer Blast section of the NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov accessed on 30 December 2020). Table 2 shows the sequence of forward and reverse primers. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Table 2. List of primer pairs used for qRT-PCR.

| Primers     | Sequence (5′→3′) | Tm (°C) | Product Size (bps) |
|-------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|
| Beta-actin(F)| GGAGTCCTGTGGCATCCACG | 60      | 322                |
| Beta-actin(R)| CTAGAAAGCATTGTGGTGGA | 60      | 322                |
| ZEB2(F)     | ACATCAAGTACCCCGACGAG | 60      | 129                |
2.7. MTT Assay

To test cytotoxicity at different concentrations and with various reagents, the cells were first cultured in 96-well plates at a concentration of $7 \times 10^3$ cells/well to achieve confluency of 70–80%. Then rows of the plate were categorized into; blank group or negative control group (non-transfected cells), positive control group (cells treated with paclitaxel), transfection reagent group (cells treated just with transfection reagent), and ZEB2-knockdown group (cells transfected with different doses of ZEB2-siRNA). After 48 h of adding the above reagents, 50 µL of MTT (2 mg/mL in PBS) from the MTT assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was poured into each well, and the plate was incubated for another 4 h. Furamazan crystals were dissolved by adding 200 µL of solubilization mixture (Sorensen buffer and DMSO). After 30 min of incubation in the incubator, each well was read at 570 nm using an ELISA reader (SUNRISE TECAN, City, Austria). All experiments were implemented in triplicate.

2.8. Cell Cycle Analysis

In order to investigate the continuous process of the cell cycle after transfection, the following steps were performed: cancer cells were cultured on 6-well plates; After the end of the cell incubation time in the absence and the presence of the mentioned optimum concentration of ZEB2-siRNA, a total of $5 \times 10^6$ cells were harvested. Thereafter, the cells were washed with cold PBS and fixed with 70% cold ethanol for 48 h at 4 °C. After the cells were centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min, discard the supernatant; 1 h on ice. After the time specified, the resulting solution with around 1200 Again cell suspension by PBS was washed and centrifuged. At this stage, to lysis RNA in the medium, we added RNase A (Sigma) to the cells. At the same time, we added PI color (Sigma), incubated the solution in the dark for 30 min, and at the end of this time, with cell cycle were analyzed using MacsQuant cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA, USA).

2.9. Apoptosis Assay

To analyze apoptosis, the cells were cultured in 6 well plates. After transfection at the optimum concentration of siRNA and time, the cells were trypsinized, rinsed, and then stained with annexin V-FITC and PI from ApoFlowEx® FITC Kit purchased from Exbio (Vestec, Czech Republic). The cells were then incubated in the dark at room temperature for 15 min. Finally, the test results were analyzed using flow cytometry (BD Biosciences). All tests were implemented three times.
2.10. Scratch Wound Healing Assay

To perform the scratch test, we first brought the cultured cells in a 24-well plate with a confluency of 60–70% (as a single layer). Then, with a 10 μL pipette tip, we made a scratch on each group of cells. Then the plates were incubated for 48 h. In the next step, the images were captured using an OPTIKA microscope (City, Italy). The experiments were performed three times for each group independently.

2.11. Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA and Student t-test were used to evaluate the test results. The relevant diagrams were drawn by Graph Pad Prism software version 6.00 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). In this paper, the results are shown as mean Standard Deviation (SD), and the results with a p-value less than 0.05 are considered insignificant.

3. Results

3.1. ZEB2 Knock-Down Significantly Suppressed ZEB2 mRNA Expression Level

To evaluate the role of ZEB2 in glioma, we have used ZEB2-specific siRNA for the transfection of two glioma cell lines, U87 and U373. We found that ZEB2-specific siRNA has the most effect on the suppression of ZEB2 in 60 pmol concentration after 48 h of transfection in both U87 and U373 cell lines. We have used this concentration and time for all of the transfections in this research. In addition, the non-transfected group served as the control for both cell lines as well as negative control cells transfected with non-target siRNA. In the present study, we found an obvious decrease in the ZEB2 mRNA expression level (Figure 1) in both glioma cell lines compared to the control group.

![Figure 1. ZEB2-siRNA significantly downregulated ZEB2 in both U87 and U373 cell lines. The results are expressed in comparison to the negative control (transfected with non-target siRNA) and non-transfected groups (control group). The results are shown as mean (M) ± SD (** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001).](image-url)

3.2. TGF-β Signaling Pathway Compartments Are Significantly Dysregulated during Glioblastoma

After the analysis of the GSE4290 dataset with GEO2R, the significant DEGs were evaluated with the Venn diagram to find TGF-β signaling pathway compartments. The data revealed that among all genes involved in the pathway, 23 genes belong in DEGs, and this pathway plays a crucial role in the induction or progression of glioblastoma (Figure 2).

3.3. ZEB2 Is Involved in the TGF-β Signaling Pathway through the SMAD-Dependent Manner

The PPI network analysis of ZEB2 with DEGs involved in the TGF-β signaling pathway demonstrated that the product of this gene has a close interaction with SMAD2 and SMAD5 with confidence score = 0.748 and = 0.751, respectively. In addition, it had been predicted that ZEB2 exerts its effect through the SMAD-dependent TGF-β signaling pathway in glioblastoma.
MiRwalk results show that miR-214-3p/ZEB2/SMAD-dependent TGF-β axis has an important role in glioblastoma induction or progression.

3.5. ZEB2 Knock-Down Reduced the Expression of SMAD2 and SMAD5 and Increased the Expression of miR-214-3p

According to previous literature and our bioinformatics results, ZEB2 or SMAD interacting protein 1 (SIP1) interacts with SMAD proteins. As a result, we investigated the possible effect of ZEB2 suppression on SMAD2 and SMAD5. Our results showed that ZEB2 suppression effectively downregulated expression of SMAD2 and SMAD5 in both U87 and U373. Studies show that ZEB2, as a transcription factor, has a controlling function over different microRNAs. As in silico results indicated that miR-214 is one of the significant microRNAs involved in the TGFβ signaling pathway through interacting with SMAD2 and SMAD5, we have evaluated the expression of this microRNA. We have found that ZEB2 knocking down remarkably upregulated miR-214-3p in both cell lines (Figure 4).
Figure 4. The results show that ZEB2 suppression is accompanied by significant downregulation of SMAD2 and SMAD5 in both U87 and U373 cells. In comparison, the expression of miR-214-3p in both cell lines was increased after ZEB2 suppression. Our results are presented in comparison to non-transfected group results (control group). Data are shown mean (M) ± SD (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

3.6. Viability of U87 and U373 Cell Lines Were Affected by ZEB2 Suppression

To evaluate the cytotoxic influence of ZEB2 suppression on the viability of glioma cells transfected by ZEB2-siRNA, an MTT assay was done. Performing MTT after ZEB2-siRNA transfection in three different concentrations of 40, 60, 80 pmol/µL, and after 48 h showed the highest effect of ZEB2 suppression at 60 pmol/µL for both U87 and U373 cell lines (****p < 0.0001 and ***p < 0.001 respectively). Also, through using scrambled-siRNA it was found that transfection regents did not have negative effects on the cell’s viability. All results are compared with controls (non-transfected cells) and are shown as Mean ± SD (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Bar graphs depicting ZEB2 suppression effect on the viability of glioma cells using an MTT kit developed by Sigma Aldrich. The results suggested that compared to control the transfection of U87 and U373 cells by different concentrations of ZEB2-siRNA (60–80 pmol/µL) after 48 from transfection significantly reduced cell proliferation and viability at 60 pmol/µL. In addition, scrambled siRNA had no cytotoxic effect on the cell’s viability (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001).

3.7. ZEB2 Knock-Down Influenced U87 and U373 Cell Cycle

To evaluate the sensitivity of ZEB2 siRNA transfection to cell cycle regulation in glioma cell lines, we performed fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. When ZEB2 siRNA was transfected into U87 and U373 cells, FACS cell cycle profiles showed DNA damage and apoptosis in the U87 cell line in transfected cells after 48 h of incubation with 60 pmol. ZEB2 also prevented entry into the mitotic phase by inducing G0/G1 arrest of U373 cells. FACS analysis of incubation was performed 48 h after transfer by 60 pmol...
specific ZEB2 siRNA. Cells that were not transfected by ZEB2-specific siRNA were used as controls (Figure 6).

**Figure 6.** Effect of ZEB2 knockdown on the cell cycle distribution of U87 and U373 cell lines. (A) ZEB2-transfection increased arrest in the G0/G1 phase leading to fewer the other checkpoints in comparison to control in U373 cells. (B) Transfection induced DNA damage and apoptosis in U87 cell line. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01.

### 3.8. ZEB2 Knock-Down Induced Apoptotic Processes in U87 and U373 Cell Lines

To investigate the effect of ZEB2 suppression on the induction of apoptosis in glioma cells, a group of U87 and U373 cells were not transfected and considered as a control group, and cells that were transfected with 60 pmol concentration of ZEB2-siRNA and for 48 h were incubated were considered as a transfected group. After staining, the cells with Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis rate of the cells were evaluated using flow cytometry assay. The results showed that in both of these cell lines, suppression of ZEB2 induced significant induction of apoptosis. In total, 19.59% and 19.4% of transfected cells underwent apoptotic processes in U87 and U373 cells, respectively (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01) (Figure 7).

**Figure 7.** Flow cytometry test results by Annexin V-FITC/PI staining indicated that apoptosis was significantly induced in U87 and U373 cells compared to the corresponding control groups following ZEB2-siRNA transfection (** p < 0.01). All results are presented as Mean ± SD.
3.9. ZEB2 Knock-Down Reduced Migration of U87 and U373 Cells

Wound healing assay (A Scratch test) was implemented to analyze the migratory function of glioma cell lines. Briefly, U87 and U373 cells were cultured to achieve the complete confluence. Then both groups of the cells were transfected with the optimal concentration of ZEB2-siRNA. Forty-eight hours post transfection scratches were created using 10 μL pipette tips on the transfected and control group cells. Evaluation of the test results was done 48 h later. The results showed that the transfected group compared to the control group (non-transfected group) had a significant reduction in the rate of migrated cells (Figure 8).

Figure 8. Our results showed that ZEB2 suppression attenuated the migration of glioblastoma cells. (A,B) Wound scratch assay showing that non-transfected cells (control group) have a significantly greater migratory ability than transfected glioblastoma cells with ZEB2-specific siRNA. The bar graph represents the quantity of migrated cells per field (*** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001).

4. Discussion

Overexpression of ZEB2 as a critical transcriptional regulator is found in different malignancies, especially brain tumors, including glioma [31]. Investigations show that ZEB2 aberrant expression is an unfavorable indicator of cancer progression and indicates a low survival rate of patients. This makes ZEB2 a possible therapeutic target and a malignant status biomarker.

In this research, we have chosen two abnormal brain cell lines, U87 and U373, as representative of glioma. We have knocked down ZEB2 in both cell lines and found that ZEB2 repression induces apoptosis and has a cytotoxic effect on both U87 and U373 cell lines. In line with our results, various studies show that ZEB2 downregulation is accompanied by apoptosis induction and cytotoxic effects in different cancers. In an article by Chen et al. expression level of ZEB2 was investigated in 91 cases of glioblastoma, and it was found that higher expression of ZEB2 is associated with unfavorable prognosis and lower survival rate in GBM affected cases [32]. In a study by Qi et al., it was shown that overexpression of ZEB2 in glioma samples and cell lines (U251 and U87) has an unfavorable effect on cancer condition, so that ZEB2 knocking down by decreasing antiapoptotic proteins (like cyclinD1, CDK6, CDK4, P-Rb) and E2F1 and increasing pro-apoptotic proteins
(such as P15 and P21) leads to apoptosis induction and suppresses cell proliferation and invasion/migration of glioma cells [33]. Additionally, Sayan et al., in their research, found that ZEB2 has a G1 arrest-independent antiapoptotic activity in A431 bladder carcinoma cells and protects cells from DNA damage-induced apoptosis [34].

The findings of the present study also show that suppression of ZEB2 expression causes G0/G1 cell cycle arrest in the U373 cell line and an increase in the sub G1 phase that indicates transfection induced apoptosis in the U87 cells. Qi et al. have also found that ZEB2 downregulation induces cell cycle arrest at the G1/S phase by regulating cell cycle-regulating genes like P21 (in U251 cells), P15 (in U87 cells), CDK4, and E2F1 [33]. In another study, it was shown that miR-498 in liver HepG2 cancer cells, by downregulating its most potential target, ZEB2, remarkably increased the proportion of cells in the G1 phase while decreased that in the S phase [35].

In this study, we have found that ZEB2 has affected the expression of specific proteins like SMAD2 and SMAD5. The bioinformatics analysis of the current study demonstrated that the expression of SMAD2/5 as the main members of TGF-β signaling pathways could be differentially expressed in glioblastoma samples in comparison with control group. However, regarding the problems of sampling normal tissue from the brain, the analyzed data was performed using glioblastoma samples and brain tissue from epilepsy patients. Thus, the expression of analyzed genes could be affected by epilepsy as well and further studies are required to be designated comparing glioblastoma samples and healthy individuals. Studies show that increased expression of SMADs is associated with an exacerbation of some types of malignancies. A study by Kleeff et al., showed that SMAD2 expression levels were significantly higher in pancreatic cancer specimens than in normal pancreatic tissue specimens [25]. In another study by Zhao et al., it was documented that SMAD2 expression was increased in cervical cancer tissues and cell lines compared to normal tissues. It was also shown that miR-212/132 induces migration in the cervical cancer cell line by inhibiting SMAD2 expression [23]. SMAD5, like SMAD2, is involved in the proliferation and progression of cancer cells by participating in the TGFβ signaling pathway. It was elucidated that glioma can progress through the BMP4/SMAD1/5/8 signaling pathway [24]. In another study, it was indicated that over-activation of the TGFβ pathway by SMAD2 and SMAD5 molecules increases VEGF expression in glioblastoma [22].

Studies indicate that various microRNAs also contribute to inhibiting glioblastoma progression by targeting ZEB2 [36–38]. MicroRNAs are small conserved RNAs that inhibit the expression of their target genes by regulating gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. In this way, they can act as oncogenic-microRNAs (onco-miRNA) or tumor suppressive-microRNAs. It was shown in different articles that numerous microRNAs—including miR-653 in breast cancer, miR-30a in nasopharyngeal carcinoma, miR-154 in hepatocellular carcinoma by targeting ZEB2—induce apoptosis and cell death [39–41].

MiR-214 is a newly identified microRNA whose functions are largely unknown. Recent studies have suggested the role of the miR-214 as a tumor suppressor in various tumors, including osteosarcoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and prostate cancer [42–46]. However, the role of miR-214-3p in glioblastoma has not been reported. In this study, we have found through in silico analysis that the TGFβ signaling pathway is the most dysregulated pathway in glioblastoma, and ZEB2 is involved in this pathway mostly through interactions with SMAD2 and SMAD5. We have found miR-214 has the most interaction with SMAD2 and SMAD5, and in this way, it has the most effect on ZEB2 and TGFβ signaling pathway. Our experiments showed that inhibition of ZEB2 expression was associated with a significant increase in miR-214-3p expression in glioblastoma cell lines. We can conclude that ZEB2 has negative feedback on miR-214-3p expression.

Cell migration is a controversial feature of glioma cells that plays a key role in making the disease more invasive and reducing patient survival. Metastasis of glioma cells to adjacent tissues limits the possibility of effective treatment with chemo or radiotherapy. Furthermore, it makes complete resection of the tumor impossible [47,48]. Furthermore, most invasive have increased the ability to pump out anti-cancer drugs by increasing the
expression of ABC pumps [49,50]. Despite the significance of understanding the regulatory mechanism of glioma cell migration, little information is available in this field [51].

We have documented that ZEB2 suppression results in migration reduction of glioma cell lines in comparison to non-transfected cells. In an article by Pang et al., it was found that miR-590-3p inhibits cancer cell migration, invasion, and epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) in glioblastoma multiforme through targeting ZEB1 and ZEB2 [38]. In addition, Yue et al. have identified that miR-139-5p inhibits GBM invasion through suppressing ZEB1 and ZEB2 [36]. Our studies have shown that high expression of ZEB2 is associated with the increased migratory ability of glioblastoma cells. In contrast, inhibition of its expression is associated with a decreased ability of these cells to invade. The reduction in the cancer cell migration may be due to suppression of ZEB2 and other oncogenic pathway molecules like SMAD2/5 or upregulation of anti-cancer properties of the cells like miR-214. In various studies, it was shown that over-expression of ZEB2 as a transcriptional regulator reduces E-cadherin (CDH1) and upregulates N-cadherin (CDH2). This phenomenon is called EMT and supports cancer cell invasion/migration [52–55]. Also, many articles indicate the anti-migratory role of miR-214. For instance, in an article, it was shown that miRNA-214-5p suppresses the aggressiveness of breast cancer cells through targeting Sox9 [56]. It was also shown that miR-214 inhibits the migration of trophoblast cells [57]. In an article by Gao et al., it was investigated that peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) as a transcription factor and one of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily members is dysregulated in various cancers including glioblastoma. In this article, they have found that activated PPARα upregulates anti-cancer microRNAs especially like miR-214. Subsequently, miR-214 targets E2F2 and inhibits glioma cell proliferation by inducing cell cycle arrest [58]. However, finding the exact mechanism of the glioma migratory mechanism needs more investigations.

We hypothesize that ZEB2 involves apoptosis inhibition, cell cycle regulation, and glioma cell migration. Meanwhile, we hypothesize that one of the major regulators of ZEB2 is miR-214. MiR-214 may also participate in the TGFβ signaling pathway by controlling the expression of SMAD2 and SMAD5. Our results show that ZEB2 suppression is accompanied by SMAD2 and SMAD5 downregulation. In summary, ZEB2 is a negative prognostic factor in glioma that plays a key role in the development of glioma by controlling various cellular mechanisms. Further studies on the functional mechanisms of this molecule can provide us with more information about brain malignancies and lead to the development of novel methods in treating this disease.
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