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Microbiological Safety Assessment of Fermented Cassava Flour “Lafun” Available in Ogun and Oyo States of Nigeria
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The microorganisms involved in the fermentation and spoilage of fermented cassava flour were investigated. The water samples used at the different processing sites were also investigated to determine their safety status. There was predominance of Staphylococcus aureus, Aspergillus spp., and Escherichia coli in all samples. Coliforms were observed to be present in all of the processing water. In the fermented cassava flour, the total bacterial count ranged between $4.9 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL from Eleso, Bakatari, and Oja Odan processing sites and $8.10 \times 10^6$ cfu/mL in Eruku processing site. The majority of the microorganisms involved in the spoilage of “lafun” were found to be Aspergillus niger which ranged between $4.6 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL in Eleso and $8.1 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL in Kila. The control sample prepared in the laboratory had a low microbial load compared to samples collected from various sites and markets.

1. Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) is a major root crop in the tropics and its starchy roots are significant sources of calories for more than 500 million people worldwide [1]. It is the most important root crop in Nigeria in terms of food security, employment creation, and income generation for crop-producing households [2]. It supplies about 70% of the daily calories of over 50 million people in Nigeria [3].

Nigeria is the largest producer of cassava in the world [1] with about 45 million metric tonnes and its cassava transformation is the most advanced in Africa [4]. Cassava is grown throughout the tropic and could be regarded as the most important root crop, in terms of area cultivated and total production [5]. It is a major food crop in Nigeria [6]. It is essentially a carbohydrate food with low protein and fat. The edible part of fresh cassava root contains 32–35% carbohydrate, 2-3% protein, 75–80% moisture, 0.1% fat, 1.0% fibre, and 0.70–2.50% ash [3]. The production of cassava for human consumption has been estimated to be 65% of cassava products, while 25% is for industrial use, mostly starch 6% or animal feed 19% and 10% lost as waste [7].

The major uses of cassava in Nigeria include flour, gari, fufu, livestock feeds, confectionaries, monosodium glutamate processing, sweeteners, spices, textiles, and pharmaceuticals. In spite of the desirability of cassava for consumption as food and animal feed, it contains some toxic compounds such as cyanogenic glycosides, linamarin, and lotaustralin which are highly toxic. Thus, the consumption of an inadequately processed cassava product for prolonged periods may result in chronic toxicity. However, the toxicity of the cyanogens is a result of inadequate processing [8]. Cassava roots are highly perishable and a lot of postharvest losses occur to this commodity during storage due to high physiological activities and activities of microorganisms that enter bruises received during harvesting as well as the inherent high moisture content of fresh roots, which promote both microbial deterioration and unfavourable biochemical changes in the commodity [9].

Lafun is a fine flour obtained from the traditional fermentation of cassava (Figure 1). It is usually prepared as a stiff porridge using boiling water, prior to being consumed with soup. The processing involves peeling, cutting, submerged fermentation, dewatering, sun drying, and milling. One of
the constraints in the commercialization of locally fermented cassava products is that the quality of the products varies from one processor to the other and even from one processing batch to the other by the processor [10, 11].

Meanwhile, a report on high rate of spoilage as well as toxicity resulting from the consumption of lafun has necessitated this research. This study is aimed at evaluating the microbiological safety status of the lafun produced in south west Nigeria.

2. Materials and Methods

Lafun samples were collected aseptically from the processors and sellers in 10 locations in Ogun and Oyo States. The two states were selected for being the major producers and consumers of this product. The samples after collection were labeled and taken to the laboratory for analysis which is usually not later than 24 hours after collection. Processing water samples from boreholes, rain, and streams were also collected in sterile containers for analysis.

2.1. Microbiological Analysis. The analysis involved total plate count, fungi count, and coliform count.

2.2. Isolation and Identification. Samples of the lafun collected were serially diluted tenfold in which ten grams of each sample was diluted in 90 mL peptone water followed by homogenization by horizontal and vertical agitations for a few minutes to obtain $10^{-1}$ dilution. Further tenfold serial dilutions were made up to $10^{-5}$ for colony count. 1mL of volume of each dilution was spread plated in triplicate on de Man Rogosa Sharpe Agar (MRS; Oxoid CM 361) and incubated anaerobically at 35°C for 48 h for the enumeration of lactic acid bacteria, and Plate Count Agar (PCA; Oxoid CM 325) incubated at 32°C for 48 h was used for the enumeration of aerobic bacteria [12]. 0.1mL of each of the samples was also plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (Oxoid) supplemented with 60 μg mL$^{-1}$ chloramphenicol for fungal isolation. This was incubated at 28°C for 5 days. All plates were prepared in triplicate. The colonies were counted and recorded followed by isolation, purification, and storage on Nutrient Agar (Lab M) slants and kept at 4°C for further characterization and identification [13, 14].

2.3. Characterization of Isolates. Bacterial isolates were characterized and identified using series of cultural and biochemical tests such as Gram staining, glucose, indole reaction coagulase, and catalase as described by [15].

2.4. pH Determination. The pH was determined using Kent pH meter (Kent Ind. Measurement Ltd., UK) model 7020 equipment with a glass electrode as described by [16]. Ten grams of the lafun sample was weighed and dissolved in 100 mL sterile distilled water. The solution was later decanted and the pH was measured. The pH of the processing water was also determined using the same equipment.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The mean of the total viable bacterial count obtained from the fermented cassava flour was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Duncan multiple range test to separate the means.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the mean values for the total colony count for bacterial isolates from fermented cassava flour (lafun) obtained from the processing sites and markets. Among the organisms isolated are Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, and Clostridium sporogenes.
Results are presented as mean values of triplicate samples.

Table 1: Mean values for the total aerobic colony count for bacterial isolates.

| Sampling points/code | Total colony count for aerobic bacteria (cfu/g) $\times 10^6$ (processing sites) | Total colony count for aerobic bacteria (cfu/g) $\times 10^6$ (markets) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ERK                  | 4.0 ± 0.07                                                                        | 4.0 ± 0.07                                                       |
| OJO                  | 3.0 ± 0.02                                                                        | 4.0 ± 0.07                                                       |
| OWO                  | 4.3 ± 0.23                                                                        | 4.8 ± 0.73                                                       |
| BTS                  | 3.1 ± 0.09                                                                        | 3.2 ± 0.08                                                       |
| EOS                  | 4.5 ± 0.43                                                                        | 5.3 ± 1.23                                                       |
| SOP                  | 4.2 ± 0.13                                                                        | 4.8 ± 0.73                                                       |
| MNI                  | 4.8 ± 0.73                                                                        | 4.8 ± 0.73                                                       |
| TVE                  | 4.5 ± 0.43                                                                        | 4.7 ± 0.63                                                       |
| PTJ                  | 4.2 ± 0.13                                                                        | 4.8 ± 0.73                                                       |
| OSJ                  | 4.1 ± 0.03                                                                        | 4.5 ± 0.43                                                       |
| CTR                  | 1.1 ± 0.11                                                                        |                                                                  |

Results are presented as mean values of triplicate samples.

Table 2: Mean values for the total colony count for fungal isolates.

| Sampling points/code | Total colony count for fungi (cfu/g) $\times 10^3$ (processing sites) | Total colony count for fungi (cfu/g) $\times 10^3$ (markets) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| ERK                  | 2.8 ± 0.27                                                            | 3.4 ± 0.34                                                       |
| OJO                  | 3.0 ± 0.29                                                            | 3.3 ± 0.33                                                       |
| OWO                  | 2.3 ± 0.22                                                            | 2.2 ± 0.22                                                       |
| BTS                  | 3.4 ± 0.34                                                            | 4.0 ± 0.39                                                       |
| EOS                  | 2.2 ± 0.22                                                            | 3.3 ± 0.32                                                       |
| SOP                  | 3.7 ± 0.37                                                            | 4.0 ± 0.39                                                       |
| MNI                  | 4.0 ± 0.39                                                            | 3.9 ± 0.39                                                       |
| TVE                  | 3.9 ± 0.40                                                            | 4.0 ± 0.39                                                       |
| PTJ                  | 3.9 ± 0.38                                                            | 4.0 ± 0.39                                                       |
| OSJ                  | 3.5 ± 0.30                                                            | 3.4 ± 0.34                                                       |
| CTR                  | NIL                                                                   |                                                                  |

Results are presented as mean values of triplicate samples.

Table 3: Biochemical characteristics of bacterial isolates.

| Bacteria found         | Gram staining | Glucose | Indole | Coagulase | Catalase |
|------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|
| *Escherichia coli*     | −             | +       | +      | NA        | +        |
| *Klebsiella oxytoca*   | −             | +       | +      | NA        | +        |
| *Bacillus cereus*      | +             | +       | +      | NA        | +        |
| *Staphylococcus aureus*| +             | +       | −      | +         |          |
| *Clostridium sporogenes*| +           | +       | −      | NA        | −        |

Table 2 shows the results of the fungal count for the samples obtained from both the processing sites and the markets. It was observed that some of the values were higher than $1.9 \times 10^3$ cfu/g to $3.9 \times 10^3$ cfu/g as reported by [17] and $0.5–2.5 \times 10^3$ cfu/g as reported by [18].

Bacterial isolates from the samples collected were *E. coli*, *B. cereus*, *S. aureus*, *K. oxytoca*, and *C. sporogenes* as shown in Table 3. Some of the organisms were Gram positive, catalase positive, and glucose positive. *Bacillus cereus* have been reported to be pathogenic especially if found to be present at $10^5$–$10^7$ cfu/g [19]. Meanwhile, sample MNI was found to have the highest amount of aerobic bacterial count of $4.8 \times 10^6$ cfu/g, while sample EOS had the highest count of $5.3 \times 10^6$ cfu/g among the samples from the markets. These values were higher than the findings of microbial count of the fermented flour obtained by [20] who reported $1.5 \times 10^6$ cfu/g. It was also higher than the findings of [17] who reported $2.7 \times 10^5$ to $1.2 \times 10^7$ cfu/g.

Table 4: Microbiological status of water used at the processing sites.

| Location | Aerobic cfu/mL | Coliform cfu/mL |
|----------|----------------|-----------------|
| ERK      | 7.3 ± 0.17     | 7.0 ± 0.2       |
| OJO      | 8.5 ± 1.37     | 8.0 ± 1.13      |
| OWO      | 7.7 ± 0.57     | 7.2 ± 0.33      |
| BTS      | 6.4 ± 0.07     | 6.1 ± 0.08      |
| EOS      | 8.3 ± 1.17     | 8.0 ± 1.13      |
| SOP      | 8.1 ± 0.97     | 7.9 ± 1.03      |
| MNI      | 7.5 ± 0.37     | 7.1 ± 0.23      |
| TVE      | 6.0 ± 0.01     | 5.8 ± 0.01      |
| PTJ      | 5.5 ± 0.01     | 5.3 ± 0.01      |
| OSJ      | 6.0 ± 0.11     | 6.3 ± 0.57      |
| CTR      | 1.2 ± 0.1      | 1.1 ± 0.1       |

More so, Table 4 shows the aerobic count of stream water used for processing which was found to be the highest in OJO with value of $8.5 \pm 1.37$ cfu/mL and the lowest in PTJ with $5.5 \pm 0.01$ cfu/mL. The coliform counts (Table 4) from sites EOS and OJO were found to be the highest with $8.0 \pm 1.13$ cfu/mL and the lowest in PTJ with $5.3 \pm 0.01$ cfu/mL. This could be as a result of the same stream water being used by processors for washing and bathing as well as for processing. Some people even defecate in the water thereby reducing the quality of the product. In addition, lactic acid bacteria, *Bacillus* spp. yeast, and filamentous fungi have been shown to be present in traditional fermented cassava (*lafun*) [21].

Although water samples used for fermenting previous batches of *lafun* have been suggested to be used as back slopping by the processors in the production area [10], this may also account for the high microbial load obtained in these samples. This is due to the possibility of the safety being compromised. The isolation of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* from the fermented cassava flour is attributed to postprocessing handling and exposure both at the processing sites and in the markets [22]. This is because the drying is usually done in open air where animals are reared. In some locations, the toilet is constructed near the processing area which could facilitate cross-contamination.

Table 5 shows the results of the pH values for the various samples obtained from the processing sites and markets. The value ranged from 4.05 ± 0.16 in sample EOS to 5.51 ± 0.42 in sample MNI for those samples collected from the processing sites, while the value ranged from 4.08 ± 0.16 in sample EOS.
to $5.55 \pm 0.42$ in sample SOP for those collected from the markets. It was observed that the result was comparable to the findings of [23]. Meanwhile, the increase in pH observed in some locations may be due to short period of fermentation by some of these processors thereby limiting the activities of lactic acid bacteria. This is because the product is always in high demand which allows some of these processors to compromise.

### 4. Conclusion

Our results had shown that although lafun is a fermented product, there is possibility of contamination resulting due to poor handling either at the processing site or from the market. In the course of our investigation, we observed that quality is often compromised as some processors make use of back slopping to reduce the length of time for fermentation so as to meet high customers’ demand. The displayed pattern in the market also encourages cross-contamination. We suggest provision of pipe borne water or borehole for constant supply of water for processing. We also suggest the provision of modern driers to each community so as to prevent sun drying of lafun.

Finally, it is recommended that close monitoring of the steps involved in processing fermented cassava flour should be carried out by health officials so as to ensure strict compliance by the processors and the sellers in the market.
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