Numerical Study on the Biomimetic Trailing Edge of a Turbine Blade Under a Wide Range of Outlet Mach Numbers
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This study was carried out to investigate the loss mechanism of a blade with a harbor seal whisker structure on the trailing edge under different Mach numbers. The loss of high-pressure turbine blades with four different trailing edge geometries, including a prototype, an elliptical trailing edge (ETE), a sinusoidal trailing edge (STE), and a biomimetic trailing edge (BTE) at Mach numbers of 0.38–1.21 is studied. The delayed detached-eddy simulation method is used to predict the detailed flow of the four cascades. The result shows that, when the Mach number is less than 0.9, the BTE can effectively reduce the energy loss coefficient compared with the other three cases. As the Mach number increases, the three-dimensional characteristics of the wake behind the BTE weaken. The energy loss coefficient of the blade with the BTE is close to that of the blade with the ETE and STE when the Mach number is greater than 0.9. Besides this, by controlling the wake, the BTE can effectively suppress the dynamic movement of shock waves in the cascade at high Mach numbers.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to the wide application of modern gas turbines, such as power generation, aviation, and in the oil and gas industries, gas turbines are designed to meet different working conditions. As a type of continuous working equipment, the loss reduction of the gas turbine can save energy, and the blade profile optimization is an efficient way to reduce energy loss (Hamakhan and Korakianitis, 2010; Korakianitis et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). For the gas turbine, the blade profile loss consists of boundary layer loss, shock loss, and trailing edge loss due to the mixing processes (Denton, 1993), and trailing edge loss accounts for one third of the total loss in subsonic flow (Mee et al., 1990). The unsteady motion of the vortex shedding from the trailing edge contributes significantly to the wake mixing loss (Lin et al., 2017a), acoustic resonances, and structural vibrations.

The flow of the turbine trailing edge is considered to be a steady process for a long time. With the introduction of flow visualizations, the consistent structure of the turbine trailing edge flow, known as the von Karman vortex street, has been revealed (Sieverding and Manna, 2020). Han and Cox (Han and Cox, 1982) use the kerosene smoke to visualize the three-dimensional structure of the vortices shedding from the turbine trailing edge. The holographic interferometric density measurement technique was adopted by Sieverding et al. (2003) to show the vortices shedding from a high-pressure turbine vane. The base pressure of the trailing edge is measured with pneumatic pressure taps and fast-response sensors. The results reveal the connection between the formation length of the trailing edge vortices and the base pressure coefficient. In the aspect of
numerical simulation, various numerical methods are used to study the wakes of turbine blades. Léonard et al. (2010) compare the ability of Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS), unsteady RANS (URANS), and large eddy simulation (LES) methods to simulate a turbine blade at a high subsonic outlet Mach number. They show that the LES method can predict the unsteady flow of the wake. Although the URANS method can predict the mean trailing edge wake to a certain extent, only the LES method can provide a detailed view of the wake. As a hybrid method, the delayed-detached eddy simulation (DDES) method can accurately predict the wake flow while reducing the computational cost in the boundary layer. Lin et al. (2017b) use a DDES code with a low-dissipation numerical scheme to simulate the flow of a high-pressure turbine stage. They explain the length characteristics of the wake vortices and reveal the transportation of the vortices.

The vortex shedding from the turbine trailing edge causes a high shear rate in the wake, which leads to high entropy generation in the wake. Therefore, controlling the shedding of vortices is an effective way to reduce wake loss. Denton and Xu (Denton and Xu, 1990) find that the base pressure of the trailing edge is closely related to vortex shedding and trailing edge loss, and increasing the base pressure can effectively reduce the loss in the wake. Mottalebhi and Norbury (Mottalebhi and Norbury, 1981) experimentally study the effects of base bleed on vortex shedding and base pressure of the blunt trailing edge. Their results show that a small amount of bleed air can shift the motion of the vortices and result in a substantial increase in base pressure. Furthermore, a larger amount of bleed air makes the vortices’ motion disappear. Raffel and Kost (Raffel and Kost, 1998) study the coolant ejection of the turbine trailing edge at Ma from 1.01 to 1.45. The results show that the coolant can change the shock system and modify the vortex shedding frequency. Bernardini et al. (2013) study the effect of trailing edge pulsating coolant ejection under supersonic flow. They conclude that the change in the value of the coolant, not the absolute value, affects the shape of the vortex. However, Xu and Denton (Xu and Denton, 1988) point out that, although the introduction of coolant ejection can increase the base pressure, additional losses are introduced by the coolant ejection. On the other hand, elliptic trailing is found to be able to delay the separation of the boundary layer and reduce the loss caused by vortex shedding (El-Gendi et al., 2013). El-Gendi et al. (2010) use the equidistant microtubes that connect the suction side and pressure side at the beginning of the trailing edge to control the wake. They find that the microtubes can reduce the blade profile loss by 3%. Wang et al. (2019) study the effect of the degree of suction-side convexity on the vortex shedding. Through the local spatial-temporal stability analyses, they find that the wake velocity profiles in the near-wake region are more unstable for a fuller suction boundary layer. Besides this, a more convex curvature can suppress the vortex shedding, and the trailing edge loss was lower. Inspired by the whisker of the harbor seal, the authors propose a bionic method to control the vortex shedding at the trailing edge of turbine blades (Luo et al., 2019).

Blind seals and seals living in turbid waters can track their prey by sensing the wakes left by their prey (Dehnhardt et al., 2001; Schulte-Pelkum et al., 2007; Wieskotten et al., 2010). The unique whisker structure of the seal gives the seal the ability to sense the wake. Ginter et al. (2012) provide a detailed description of a harbor seal’s whisker structure. Beem and Triantafyllou (2015) experimentally study the vibration of the harbor seal’s whisker model under uniform flow and upstream wake. Their results reveal that the whisker of the harbor seal hardly vibrates under uniform flow. While under the upstream wake, the whisker behaves as unique vibrations. Hanke et al. (2010) use experimental and numerical methods to study the wake of a harbor seal’s whisker under uniform flow. They indicate that the structure of the harbor seal’s whiskers can break the coherence structure of Karman vortices and reduce the vortex-induced vibrations. In the authors’ previous research (Luo et al., 2019), the method of using the harbor seal structure to construct a bionic blade trailing edge is proposed. It is proved by DDES numerical simulation that the biomimetic trailing edge (BTE) suppresses the formation of a Karman vortex at the trailing edge when the isentropic exit Mach number is 0.79 and generates small spanwise vortices, which reduces the wake loss.

Actually, at different exit Mach numbers, the patterns of trailing edge vortex shedding are very different. Carscallen et al. (1996) use the ultrashort Schlieren photographs to investigate the wake of a transonic turbine vane at various Ma. They find that the shedding of the von Karman vortex street was intermittent when Ma < 0.7 and continuous when 0.7 < Ma < 1.0. When Ma > 1.0, the von Karman vortex street was found to be transient between different shedding patterns. Melzer and Pullan (Melzer, Pullan) study the influence of different blade trailing edge geometries on loss at exit Ma from 0.4 to 0.97. Their experimental results show that the suppression of vortex shedding under transonic conditions can effectively reduce loss. Graham and Kost (Graham and Kost, 1979) measure the loss of two high-turning turbine blades at the outlet Ma from 0.7 to 1.4. Their results show that the loss first increases, then decreases, and then increases with the outlet Ma. Sieverding et al. (1978) measure the performance of various suction-side curvatures of the turbine blade. Their results also show that there is a peak and a valley in the area where the outlet Ma is close to 1.

Although it is proved that the bionic trailing edge can suppress the Karman vortex and reduce wake loss significantly at an exit Mach number of 0.79 in (Luo et al., 2019), as mentioned above, different exit Mach numbers have a great influence on the form of trailing edge vortex for conventional blades, so the important purpose of this paper is to study the influence of the BTE on the wake vortex and the ability to control wake loss under different Mach number conditions (0.38–1.21), especially after the Mach number is greater than 1, and the influence of BTE on the shockwave system and related loss. This study provides an important reference for the application of BTE in turbines under different working conditions.
FIGURE 1 | The profiles of the four cases and the generation method of the biomimetic blade. (A) VKI CT96 (prototype) (B) Elliptic trailing edge. (C) Sinusoidal trailing edge (D) Biomimetic trailing edge. (E) Control curve.
GEOMETRIC MODELS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

Geometric Models
Four turbine blades with different trailing edge geometries are studied in this paper. The prototype blade is a high-pressure turbine guide vane, called VKI CT96 (Sieverding et al., 2003) as shown in Figure 1A. The detailed parameters of the VKI CT96 cascade are listed in Table 1. The biomimetic blade is designed based on the VKI CT96 profile. Because the biomimetic blade contains the characteristics of ellipse and sine, the profiles with the elliptical trailing edge (ETE) and sinusoidal trailing edge (STE) are also studied in this research as shown in Figures 1B,C.

The seal whisker parameters provided by Hanke et al. (2010) are used to generate the biomimetic blade. There are two main features of the whisker: The first is that the cross-section of the beard is elliptical, and the second is that both the major and minor axes of the cross-section ellipse varies sinusoidally along the spanwise direction; the average ratio of major and minor axes is 2:1. Therefore, the ellipse with a 2:1 major and minor axis ratio is selected as the base trailing edge to generate the three-dimensional BTE. The profile of the biomimetic blade is shown in Figure 1D. To maintain the thickness of the blade trailing edge, the chord length of the biomimetic blade is slightly extended to achieve the first feature. Besides this, two minor axis endpoints (control points 1 and 2) and one major axis endpoint (control point 3) were selected to change sinusoidally in the spanwise direction. The sine curve corresponding to the three control points is shown in Figure 1E. The sine curve expression at control points 1 and 2 is

$$d_i = \frac{D}{D_w} \cdot A_i \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{D_w}{D} \right),$$

(1)

| TABLE 1 | VKI CT96 cascade characteristics (Sieverding et al., 2003). |
|----------------|-----------------|
| Chord length $c$ | 140 mm |
| Axial chord length $c_p/c$ | 0.656 |
| Pitch to chord ratio $g/c$ | 0.696 |
| Blade height $h$ | 25.528 mm |
| Trailing edge thickness to chord ratio $D/c$ | 0.0531 |
| Trailing edge wedge angle | $7.5^\circ$ |
| Stagger angle $\theta$ | $-49.33^\circ$ |

FIGURE 2 | The three-dimensional view of the four cascades. (A) VKI CT96 (B) Elliptic trailing edge. (C) Sinusoidal trailing edge (D) Biomimetic trailing edge.
where $d_i$ is the difference value of a half minor axis between the BTE and base ellipse at each layer, $D$ is the trailing edge thickness of vane or blade, $D_{w}$ is the mean length of the minor axis of the harbor seal whisker, $A_i$ is the amplitude of the sine curve of the whisker at the minor axis, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the major sine curve. The sine curve expression at control point 3 is

$$d_i = \frac{D}{D_{w}} \cdot A_i \cdot \sin \left( \frac{2\pi x}{\lambda} \frac{D_{w}}{D} + \pi \right),$$

where $d_i$ is the difference value of a half major axis between the BTE and base ellipse at each layer, and $A_i$ is the amplitude of the sine curve of the whisker at the major axis.

The part of the trailing edge is separated from cutoff points 1 and 2, and the blade profile before the cutoff points is unchanged. The third-order Bezier curve is used to connect cutoff points 1 and 2 with control points 1 and 2. Besides this, the elliptical curve is used to connect control points 1 and 2 with control point 3. The biomimetic blade is formed by stacking the profile lines of each layer in the spanwise direction. For the sinusoidal blade, only control point 3 changes sinusoidally in the spanwise direction. The three-dimensional view of the four cascades is shown in Figure 2.

**Numerical Methods**

The computational domain is shown in Figure 3. The inlet is at one axial chord length upstream of the blade leading edge. The outlet is at one axial chord length downstream of the blade trailing edge. The height of the computational domain is 0.278 times the axial chord length, which is one period of the biomimetic blade. A total of 5.17 million cells are used to discretize this computational domain and the mesh in the region of the leading edge, trailing edge, and the wake are refined as shown in Figure 4.

The boundary conditions used in the current simulation are consistent with the experiment of Sieverding et al. (2003) as shown in Table 2. The total temperature and total pressure are given at the inlet of the computational domain. The suction and pressure sides of the blade are set as adiabatic and nonslip walls. The pitchwise and spanwise boundaries of

| TABLE 2 | The flow conditions in the experiment (Sieverding et al., 2003). |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Inlet total temperature | 280 K |
| Inlet total pressure | 140,000 Pa |
| Inlet turbulence intensity | 1% |
| Outlet isentropic Mach number $M_{a_{2i}}$ | 0.79 |
| Reynolds number $Re$ | $2.8 \times 10^6$ |
the computational domain are set to be periodic boundary conditions. To study the loss of the four blades under different Mach numbers, the outlet static pressure was adjusted to change the outlet Mach number.

The commercial software ANSYS FLUENT is used as the solver in the current study. This software is based on the finite volume method. The DDES method is selected to simulate the turbulent flow and SST – $k\omega$ is used to simulate the near-wall region. The pressure-based solver and the coupled algorithm are used to solve the governing equation. The bounded central differencing scheme is selected for spatial discretization and the bounded second-order implicit scheme for transient formulation. The time step is set to $5 \times 10^{-7}$ s. A total of 50 vortex shedding periods were simulated, of which the first 30 vortex shedding periods are used to converge the flow field, and the last 20 periods were used to count the time-averaged value.

**Numerical Validation**

The experimental data of Sieverding et al. (2003) is used to verify the validity of the numerical results. All verifications are based on experimental conditions with an outlet Mach number of 0.79. The comparison of experimental and numerical results of isentropic Mach number distribution on the blade surface is shown in Figure 5. The results of the DDES method are generally in good agreement with the experimental results. The numerical result at the leading edge has a lower isentropic Mach number, which may be due to the slight difference between the experimental air inlet angle and the numerical simulation.

The comparison of the velocity profile of the boundary layer between the numerical and experimental results is shown in Figure 6. The boundary layer velocity profiles are
FIGURE 9 | Isosurfaces of $Q$ criterion ($Q = 1 \times 10^7$) for the four profiles under $Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$ and $Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$. (A) Prototype ($Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$) (B) Prototype ($Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$). (C) Elliptic ($Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$) (D) Elliptic ($Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$). (E) Sinusoidal ($Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$) (F) Sinusoidal ($Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$). (G) Biomimetic ($Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$) (H) Biomimetic ($Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$).
measured at one trailing edge thickness upstream of the trailing edge circle. The velocity profile of the boundary layer on the pressure side is in good agreement with the experimental results. The boundary layer velocity profile on the suction side is consistent with the experimental results. The velocity profile in the middle of the boundary layer is slightly different from the experimental results. This may be because the URANS method in the boundary layer has insufficient ability to capture the turbulent flow on the suction side.

The comparison of the time-averaged pressure distribution at the trailing edge is shown in Figure 7. There are three local minimum values, respectively, on the suction side, pressure side, and center of the trailing edge. The trend obtained by the current numerical results is consistent with the experimental results, but the pressure distribution is underestimated. This may be due to the insufficient ability of the DDES method to predict near-wall regions with strong separation flows. Compared with the numerical results of El-Gendi et al. (2013), the results obtained by the current DDES method are more consistent with the experimental results. In a word, from the comparison of the numerical and experimental results, the current numerical method is reliable.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Loss Analysis
The energy loss coefficient was used to evaluate the profile loss of the four blades at different Mach numbers. The energy loss coefficient is defined as

$$\zeta = 1 - \eta = \frac{1 - (P_2/P_{02})^{y_t}}{1 - (P_1/P_0)^{y_t}}, \quad (3)$$

where $P_2$ stands for outlet static pressure, $P_0$ and $P_{02}$ represent the stagnation pressure at the inlet and outlet, and $y$ is the ratio of specific heat. The relationship between the energy loss coefficient of the four blades and the Mach number is shown in Figure 8. The outlet isentropic Mach number in the range of 0.38–1.21 is simulated.

For the prototype blade, in the subsonic region, the energy loss coefficient increases with the increase of exit isentropic Mach number and reaches the maximum at the Mach number about 0.97 and then decreases until it reaches the minimum at the Mach number close to 1.1. After that, when the Mach number increases further, the energy loss coefficient increases slightly again, which may be caused by the increase of shockwave loss. The mechanism that the turbine vane has a local maximum in the transonic region is revealed by previous researchers. Before reaching the maximum, the loss is mainly caused by Karman vortex street. When the exit Mach number increases to about 0.97, the trailing shockwave system appears at the trailing edge, and then the origin of the vortex street migrates from the blade trailing edge to a point downstream of the junction of the two trailing edge shear layers (Carscallen et al., 1996). The trailing edge base pressure increases; the wake vortex narrows and becomes weaker. Therefore, although the shockwave brings a certain loss, the loss caused by Karman vortex street dominates and is greatly reduced, so the total loss shows a downward trend.

For the blades with ETE and STE, these two kinds of blades contain part of the characteristics of the harbor seal whisker. In the entire simulated Mach number range, the losses of these two blades are greatly reduced compared to the prototype. The losses of these two blades are close in most ranges except when the Mach number is in the range of 0.6–1.0. In this range, the loss of the blade with the STE is lower. Besides this, the Mach number corresponding to the local maximum of the loss is shifted forward compared with the prototype.

The blade with the BTE contains all the characteristics of the harbor seal whisker. The loss of the blade with the BTE in
all simulated Mach numbers is greatly reduced compared with the prototype. When the Mach number is less than 0.9, the loss of the blade with the BTE is lower than the blades with the ETE and STE. The Mach number corresponding to the local maximum of the loss of the blade with the BTE is closer to the prototype.

The Wakes at Different Mach Number
To reveal the mechanism of the loss of the four profiles under different Mach numbers, the wake characteristics of these profiles at different outlet Mach numbers are analyzed in this section. The vortex characteristics in the wake are displayed using the Q criterion as shown in Figure 9. The wakes at the two typical exit Mach numbers are displayed, and they are $Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$ and $Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$, respectively.

For $Ma_{2, is} = 0.79$, the wake of the prototype is in the form of an obvious Karman vortex street, which is composed of the main and streamwise vortices surrounding the main vortices as shown in Figure 9A. For the profile with the ETE, the wake is still in the form of Karman vortex street, but the wake strength is weakened as shown in Figure 9C. For the profile with the STE, the wake strength is also weakened compared with the prototype, and the main vortices are distorted in the spanwise direction as shown in Figure 9E. For the profile with the BTE, the Karman vortices in the wake are completely suppressed and transformed into small vortices with a three-dimensional structure. Besides this, these vortices dissipate faster as they move downstream.

For $Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$, the turbulence level in the prototype’s wake is greatly reduced, and the wake area is narrowed. The energy loss coefficient of the prototype under $Ma_{2, is} = 1.1$ is lower than that
Compared with Ma wake loss in this Mach number range. For the profile, the energy loss coefficient decreases and dissipates rapidly in the process of moving downstream. The energy loss coefficient of the BTE is lower than that of the ETE and STE. Therefore, the reduction of shockwave loss increases with the increase of Mach number, which is also caused by the reduced shockwave loss increases with the increase of Mach number, which is also caused by the reduced shockwave loss.

To reveal the controllability of the profile with all the characteristics of the whisker, when $Ma_{2,is} = 1.1$, the three-dimensional effect of the BTE is relatively weakened.

To study the flow mechanism of the BTE at different Mach numbers, the time-averaged surface streamlines in the trailing edge region under different Mach numbers as shown in Figure 11. For $Ma_{2,is} = 0.59$ and $Ma_{2,is} = 0.79$, the wake behind the BTE shows an obvious three-dimensional structure as shown in Figures 10A,B. In the spanwise direction, the wakes are mainly constrained in the valley area of the BTE. In the process of moving downstream, the wake behind the BTE can be divided into three areas, which are the wake formation, development, and dissipation areas. In the wake formation area, the three-dimensional vortices are mainly shed from the valley of the BTE. Because the fluid behind the trailing edge tends to converge from the peak to the valley, the flow velocity of the fluid in the valley area is higher. This speed difference causes a hairpin vortex to form in the wake development area. The vortex head of the hairpin vortex is in the valley area, and the vortex leg is close to the peak area. When the wake moves further downstream, the vortices transform into small vortices and then dissipate in the wake dissipation area. When the Mach number further increases, the three-dimensional control effect of the BTE on the wake weakens. For $Ma_{2,is} = 0.97$, the BTE causes the shedding vortices to distort in the spanwise direction as shown in Figure 10C. For $Ma_{2,is} = 1.1$, the three-dimensional control effect of the BTE has almost completely disappeared as shown in Figure 10D. The weakening of this three-dimensional effect is the reason why the energy loss coefficient of the BTE is close to that of the ETE and the STE.
the saddle point is closer as shown in Figures 11C,D. The weakening of this three-dimensional separation is the reason for the reduced control effect of the BTE at high Mach numbers. The authors speculate that the reason for the weakening of the three-dimensional separation at high Mach numbers is related to the amplitude of the sine curve of the BTE at control points 1 and 2 as shown in Figure 1D, which will be further studied in the future.

**Shockwave Analysis**

Because the profile of BTE changes along the blade height, to better analyze the shock system and vortex structures on the sections at different spanwise positions, as shown in Figure 12, three sections of peak, middle and valley are taken for comparative analysis. In Figure 13, contours of density gradient on peak, middle, and valley sections at 0.79, 0.97, 1.1 are shown, and in the next content, they are discussed and analyzed in combination with the flow field of the prototype trailing edge shown in Figure 14.

It can be seen from Figures 13A–C that, when 0.79, the trailing edge flow structure is obviously different due to the different trailing edge thickness of each section. From the peak to the valley section, the trailing edge vortex scale increases with the increase of the trailing edge thickness, but there is no stable von Karman vortex street. By comparing the von Karman vortex street at the trailing edge of the prototype shown in Figure 14, it can be concluded that, when 0.79,
0.79, the BTE has a strong inhibition ability on the von Karman vortex street.

At $Ma_{2,ls} = 0.79$ and $Ma_{2,ls} = 1.1$, as shown in Figures 13D–I, a typical swallow tail shock system appears at the trailing edge, which is mainly characterized by the expansion wave system emitted from the separation points on both pressure and suction sides, the subsequent trailing edge shocks and the reattachment shocks (Lakshminarayana, 1996) at the confluence region of the flows from the suction and pressure surfaces, and at this time, the generation position of the trailing edge vortex moves downstream to the confluence region.

When $Ma_{2,ls} = 0.97$, it can be seen from Figures 13D–F that the patterns of trailing edge shock system and wake vortex are little different on different sections, the scales of the wake vortex on different sections are only slightly different due to the change of trailing edge thickness. The pressure side reattachment shock propagates toward the suction surface of the adjacent blade without an obvious reflected shockwave. It should be noted that, although the wake vortices on all the sections have certain von Karman characteristics, the pressure side reattachment shock does not bend obviously under the action of wake vortex, which is very different from the prototype shown in Figure 14B. The main reason is that, under this Mach number condition, the wake vortex of the BTE is weak and has little effect on the shockwave.

From Figures 13G–I, it can be seen that, when $Ma_{2,ls} = 1.1$, the patterns of the trailing edge shock system and wake vortex are basically the same on different sections. The pressure side reattachment shockwave is little affected by the wake vortex and relatively straight. It interacts with the boundary layer on the suction surface of the adjacent blade, and a reflected shockwave is produced. The reflected shockwave propagates downward and interacts with the wake vortex, part of which is reflected from the wake vortex, and the other part penetrates the vortex to form a more complex wave–vortex interaction. The suction side reattachment shockwave is slightly affected by the weak wake vortex, and no reflected shockwave is produced, and it continues to penetrate the vortex and propagate downstream. Comparing Figure 13H and Figure 14C, it can be seen that the von Karman vortex of the prototype blade has a great impact on the wake flow structure. Even if the shockwave is strong at this time, the von Karman vortex is still in the dominant position. The reflected shockwave on the adjacent blade cannot pass through the wake vortex and is reflected again. The suction-side reattachment shockwave is broken by the vortex and dissipated when passing through the wake, and the unsteadiness of the whole trailing edge region is significantly stronger than that of BTE. It can be concluded that the BTE has a strong ability to suppress the Karman vortex at the trailing edge when $Ma_{2,ls}$ is relatively low. After $Ma_{2,ls}$ reaches or exceeds 1, the BTE can still weaken the strength of the trailing edge vortex, and then weaken the unsteadiness of the wake flow, which is also verified by numerical Schlieren pictures shown in Figure 15.

Figure 15 shows the numerical Schlieren at different times in a cycle when $Ma_{2,ls} = 1.1$, which can show the shock and vortex dynamics in the wake in more detail. We find that the shockwave at the trailing edge of the prototype exhibits periodic motion due to the alternate shedding vortices. Every time the vortex falls off, the turning of the airflow here changes. The vortices falling off the suction side generate a new suction side shockwave, and the phenomena also happens on the pressure side. The suction-side shockwave interferes with the wake during the movement. The pressure-side shockwave interacts with the suction side of the adjacent blade to produce a reflected shockwave, and the reflected wave also moves with the pressure-side shock as shown in Figure 15A. However, for the profile with the BTE, the unsteady motion of the shockwave is greatly reduced. The shockwave behind the BTE hardly changes with time as shown in Figure 15B. This is because the BTE suppresses the scale and strength of the shedding vortex, which makes the vortex shedding almost have no effect on the shockwave.
FIGURE 15 | Numerical Schlieren of prototype and BTE at different times when Ma = 1.1. (A) Prototype (B) Biomimetic.
CONCLUSION

The flow of the four blades, including prototype, ETE, STE, and BTE, are simulated at the exit isentropic Mach number of 0.38–1.21. The simulation results of the DDES method are generally in good agreement with the experimental data. Within the Mach number range of 0.38–1.21, the energy loss coefficients of the four cases all show a trend of first increasing and then decreasing. In addition, the energy loss coefficients of the ETE, STE, and BTE are smaller than that of the prototype in the entire Mach number range. When the Mach number is less than 0.9, the BTE can effectively reduce the energy loss coefficient compared with the other three cases.

With the increase of Mach number, complex shockwave patterns appear in both the prototype and biomimetic cascade. When the exit Mach number approaches or exceeds 1, the trailing edge shock pattern of the BTE is more stable, and the wake vortex is relatively weak, which has little effect on the shockwaves. At $Ma_{e,15} = 1.1$, the shockwave of the prototype cascade changes periodically with the shedding vortex. However, the BTE suppresses this dynamic movement of the shock wave and the flow unsteadiness in the trailing edge region in the cascade.
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**NOMENCLATURE**

\[ Ma = \text{Mach number} \]
\[ D = \text{trailing edge thickness} \]
\[ D = \text{mean length of the minor axis} \]
\[ A = \text{amplitude} \]
\[ c = \text{chord length} \]
\[ g = \text{pitch length} \]
\[ h = \text{blade height} \]
\[ P = \text{pressure} \]
\[ T = \text{temperature} \]
\[ Re = \text{reynolds number} \]

**Greek symbols**

\[ \delta = \text{Wedge angle} \]
\[ \theta = \text{Stagger angle} \]
\[ \lambda = \text{wavelength} \]
\[ \zeta = \text{energy loss coefficient} \]
\[ \eta = \text{isentropic efficient} \]

\[ \gamma = \text{ratio of specific heat} \]
\[ \rho = \text{density} \]

**Subscripts**

\[ te = \text{trailing edge} \]
\[ ax = \text{axial direction} \]
\[ w = \text{habor seal whisker} \]
\[ l = \text{major axis} \]
\[ s = \text{Minor axis} \]
\[ is = \text{isentropic} \]
\[ 1 = \text{static property at cascade inlet} \]
\[ 2 = \text{static property at cascade outlet} \]
\[ 01 = \text{stagnation property at cascade inlet} \]
\[ 02 = \text{stagnation property at cascade outlet} \]

**Abbreviations**

\[ \text{ETE} = \text{elliptic trailing edge} \]
\[ \text{STE} = \text{sinusoidal trailing edge} \]
\[ \text{BTE} = \text{biomimetic trailing edge} \]