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Background: Obesity has an important role in the pathogenesis of cancer; however, there are no clear mechanisms explaining the association between obesity and risk of thyroid cancer (TC).

Methods: It is a cross-sectional study including 184 patients with benign thyroid nodules (BN) and 19 patients with TC. Body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), waist/hip (W/H) ratio were assessed and correlated to relevant clinicopathological features of the patients, different ultrasonographic (U/S) criteria and risk of malignancy.

Results: There was a significant increase in BMI, WC and W/H ratio in TC patients compared to BN group (P=0.001, 0.011 and 0.003). Increased BMI, WC and HC were associated significantly with solid nodules (P<0.05). WC increased in hypoechoic (103.1±15.4cm) and heterogeneous (103.8±16.7cm) nodules, compared to isoechoic (97.3±15.5cm) and hyperechoic (96.1±10cm) nodules (P=0.046). It also increased with lymph nodes enlargement (P=0.04). There was a significant association between WC and TIRADS classification (P=0.032), as it increased with TR4b (118.5±12.9cm) and TR5 (117.3±13.9cm) compared to TR2 (114.1±15.7cm, P=0.025 and 0.008, respectively). WC is an independent predictor for TC [OR: 1.092, CI: 1.020–1.170, P=0.012]. It achieved sensitivity, specificity and AUC (71.4%, 68.7% and 0.750, respectively, at a cutoff value of 108.5 cm (P=0.003), and when combined with BMI at a cutoff value of 32.59 (77.8% and 68.4%, respectively, AUC: 0.780, P<0.001).

Conclusion: Central adiposity is strongly associated with the risk of TC. WC is more superior to BMI when correlated with TIRADS classification and also is an independent predictor for TC.
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Introduction

Thyroid cancer (TC) is the most common malignant endocrine tumor worldwide. It ranks the ninth position in tumor incidence, and it accounts for about 5.1% of the total estimated cancer burden in women in 2018.1 Thyroid cancer occurs most frequently in females, as it becomes the second most commonly diagnosed cancer in young women, and its incidence is 3 times higher than that in males.1,2 The past 3 decades have shown significant technological developments in the diagnosis of thyroid nodules and thyroid cancer.3 These methods included highly experienced ultrasound and fine-needle aspiration biopsies. Accordingly, thyroid cancer has shown the so-called epidemic, with...
increased public concern and patient pressure for asymptomatic screening. Over-diagnosis of thyroid cancer to include lesions that may carry absolutely no prognostic risks on morbidity and mortality is an ongoing problem facing endocrine physicians. Thus, seeking high-risk population for thyroid cancer is mandatory in order to limit unnecessary screening.

It had been reported that obesity is a risk factor for many cancers including esophageal adenocarcinoma, colon cancer, renal cancer, and thyroid cancer. A 5 kg/m2 increase in body mass index (BMI) is associated significantly with increased incidence of papillary thyroid cancer (PTC). In addition, several studies have already documented the association between obesity and occurrence of thyroid nodules, whether BMI denoting general adiposity, or waist circumference denoting central obesity and metabolic syndrome; all are linked to thyroid nodules. The hypothesis that adipose tissue is involved in carcinogenesis is now called “adiponcosis”. However, till now there is no clear mechanism postulated to explain the association between obesity and incidence of TC. It has been hypothesized that low-grade chronic inflammation, deregulation of growth signaling pathways, chronic hyperinsulinemia, estrogen and obesity-associated hypoxia, may be potential mediators for cancer pathogenesis.

Figure 1 A 56 years old female with medullary thyroid cancer, not diabetic or hypertensive has a positive family history of thyroid disease. She was euthyroid with multinodular goiter. Weight=119kg, Height=159cm, body mass index (BMI): 47, waist circumference (WC): 141cm, and hip circumference (HC): 137cm. (A&B) Ultrasonography showed the largest nodule was 2.08cm X 3.12cm X 2.5cm, isoechoic, with both micro/macro calcifications, interrupted halo, irregular outlines and increased peripheral vascularity. Cytopathology with (C) Papanicolaou and (D) Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stains showed dispersed cells with abundant dense cytoplasm and eccentric nuclei. Evident pseudonuclear inclusion, multinucleation and bizarre forms were detected, 400x original power. Histopathology (E) H&E stain, 40x and (F) H&E stain, 200x original powers, showed Round, polygonal and bizarre cells arranged in nests or in single loose patterns, with detected eosinophilic dense amyloid material. (G) Immunohistochemistry showed positive brown pigmentation of the cytoplasm of malignant cells (Chromogranin immune marker, 200x original power). (H) Congo red stain with polarized light showed apple-green birefringence of amyloid, 100x original power. (I) Gross pathology showed a suspicious white-defined nodule was detected with rubbery cut section.
Therefore, we sought to investigate the association between obesity and risk of malignancy in thyroid nodules, through assessment of BMI, WC, HC and W/H ratio in patients with benign thyroid nodules compared to malignant thyroid cancer patients. Data were correlated to relevant clinic-pathological features of the patients. In addition, we investigate the impact of these anthropometric measurements on different sonographic criteria of patients' thyroid nodules.

**Patients and Methods**

This is a cross-sectional study including 203 patients with thyroid nodules, who attended the ultrasound unit of Endocrinology outpatient clinic in Kasr Alainy Hospital, Cairo University during the period between 2016 and 2019. Patients were excluded from the study if they were pregnant or had a previous history of bariatric surgery. All patients were subjected to full clinical history taking including family or past history of thyroid nodules. Full examination of the thyroid gland and draining lymph nodes was done. Serological examination including serum-free T3, free T4, TSH, thyroglobulin, complete blood picture, kidney function tests, Random blood sugar and liver enzymes was assessed.

**Anthropometric Measurements**

Weight was measured using a mechanical platform scale with a maximum capacity of 300 kg and a precision level of 100 g. Height was measured using a stadiometer coupled to the mechanical scale, with a precision level of 0.1 cm. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the body weight in kilograms by the square of the patient’s height in meters. Waist-hip ratio was measured by dividing waist circumference in centimeter by hip circumference in centimeter.

**Thyroid Ultrasound**

All participants underwent a thorough thyroid ultrasound examination, with conventional B-mode scanning, and Color Doppler analysis of the blood flow to the thyroid.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** A 32 years old female with papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), she was not diabetic or hypertensive, with negative family history for thyroid disease. She was euthyroid. Weight: 98 kg, Height: 164 cm, body mass index (BMI): 36.4, waist circumference (WC): 104 cm. (A) Ultrasonography showed the left thyroid nodule was 2.26 x 2.38 x 2.00 cm. The nodule was complex and isoechogenic with eggshell calcifications, marked increase central/peripheral vascularity, incomplete halo, as well as irregular outline. (B) Cytopathology showed follicular cells with abundant cytoplasm, enlarged nuclei with vesicular chromatin, conspicuous eccentric nucleoli, focal nuclear overlapping and grooving (Pap stain, 400x original power). (C) Histopathology showed Papillae of malignant follicular cells with fibrovascular cores. The cells showed optically clear enlarged nuclei with overlapping and grooving (Hematoxylin and eosin stain, 100x original power). (D) Gross pathology showed a suspicious white firm thyroid nodule was detected grossly.
nodules. It was assessed by experienced two endocrinology specialists, using high-resolution sonographic instruments equipped with 7 to 9 MHz linear probes.

Ultrasonographic criteria included number of nodules, size (3 dimensions of the nodules width, tall and depth were measured then the volume estimated by multiplying the previous 3 dimensions), site, echogenicity (hypoechoic, hyperechoic, isoechoic or heterogeneous), consistency (solid, cystic or complex nodules), breakdown (divided according to the site in the affected nodules into central breakdown, peripheral breakdown, both, or no breakdown), vascularity of the nodules (divided into nodules with increased vascularity or decreased vascularity, or according to the site of increased vascularity into central vascularity, peripheral or both), halo (complete, incomplete or interrupted halo), calcifications either microcalcifications or macro-califications (scattered, egg-shell or stellate). Patients with suspicious ultrasonographic features of TC were eligible for FNAC according to the American thyroid association (ATA) 2015. Signs of increased risk of malignancy by U/S include Hypo-echogenicity, Micro- or interrupted rim calcifications, Irregular Margins, Absence of Halo or incomplete halo, Increased Intra-nodular blood flow, Increase AP/T diameter, Significant increase in size over time, Invasion of anterior strap muscles, and Presence of abnormal cervical lymphadenopathy (Figures 1 and 2).

Fine Needle Aspiration Biopsy (FNAC)

All cytology specimens were obtained under ultrasound guidance using a 21-gauge needle attached to a plastic syringe from 3 different sites of the targeted nodule. In case of cystic/complex nodule, aspirate of the cyst was first centrifuged in the cytopathology unit. The aspirated samples were immediately thin evenly smeared, wet fixed in 95% ethyl alcohol for minimum 15 mins, and stained with modified Papanicolaou stain for routine cytological evaluation. The smears for each case were examined under the microscope and categorized according to Bethesda System for reporting thyroid cytology. Follow-up of cytologically atypical/suspicious cases was done, thyroid surgical excision specimens were received and histopathological diagnosis was performed according to protocols for histopathology reporting of thyroid cancer as issued by US College of American Pathologists (CAP), and the 8th Edition, American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical package SPSS (version 22; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as percentages for categorical variables and as mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. Correlations between categorical variables were assessed by the chi-square test or Fisher exact test, which appropriate. Differences in continuous variables were assessed by independent t-test and ANOVA. ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve analysis was performed to measure the cut-off value for significant variables with respective sensitivities and specificities. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to identify independent risk factor for thyroid malignancy. In all cases, two-tailed P<0.05 was considered significant.

### Results

Patients’ characteristics: the current study included 184 patients with benign thyroid nodules (BN), and 19 patients...
with thyroid cancer (TC). The mean age of the TC patients is 43.3±11.9 years, and of the BN patients is 42.7±12.3 years (P=0.837). Females represented 100% (19/19) of TC group and 95.1% (175/204) of the BN patients (P=0.604). Positive family history was present in 68/184 (37.2%) of BN group, and in 10/19 (52.6%) of the TC patients (P=0.218). Diabetic patients represented 13% and 15.8% in BN and TC patients, respectively (P=0.724). Thyroid function was found to be euthyroid (90.2%), hypothyroid (8.7%) and hyperthyroid (1.1%) in BN group, similarly it was euthyroid (89.5%), hypothyroid (10.5%) and hyperthyroid (0.0%) in the TC patients (P=0.873). According to TIRADS classification, BN patients were classified into T2 (22.7%), T3 (8.3%), T4a (5.5%), T4b (42.5%) and T5 (21%). While TC patients were classified into T2 (5.3%), T3 (10.5%), T4a (0.0%), T4b (47.4%) and T5 (36.8%), the difference between the two groups was not significant (P=0.228, Table 1).

Assessment of BMI, WC and HC in the Patients' Groups

There was a significant increase in the mean of BMI in TC patients (36.2±6.4cm) compared to BN group (30.3±7.3cm, P=0.001). Waist circumference (WC) is also significantly increased in TC patients (111.7±20.6 cm), compared to BN patients (98.1±13.5 cm, P=0.011). On the other hand, there were no significant differences between the hip circumference (HP) in TC patients (121±13.8cm) and BN group (116.3±13.6cm, P=0.22, Figure 3). Moreover, increased W/H ratio associated significantly with the incidence of TC, since out of 19 patients with TC, there were 13 (68.4%) patients with W/H < 1 compared to 6 (31.6%) with W/H ≥ 1. On the other hand, the W/H ratio in BN patients was lower than 1 in 176 (95.6%) patients compared to 8 (4.4%) with W/H ≥ 1 (P=0.003, Table 2).

Association Between BMI, WC, HC and U/S Criteria of the Patients

There was a significant association between the BMI and the consistency of the nodules (P=0.026), as it increased

---

**Table 2** Assessment of BMI, WC and HC in the Assessed Patients

| Parameters | Benign Nodules | Malignant Nodules | P value |
|------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| BMI        | 30.3±7.3       | 36.2±6.4          | 0.001   |
| WC (cm)    | 98.1±13.5      | 111.7±20.6        | 0.011   |
| HC (cm)    | 116.3±13.6     | 121±13.8          | 0.22    |
| W/H < 1    | 176 (95.6%)    | 13 (68.4%)        | 0.003   |
| W/H ≥ 1    | 8 (4.4%)       | 6 (31.6%)         |         |

**Note:** Values in bold are statistically significant if P<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; WC, waist circumference; W/H, waist-hip ratio.
Table 3 Association Between BMI, WC, HC and U/S Criteria of the Patients’ Nodules

|                          | BMI       | P value  | WC (cm)     | P value  | HC (cm)     | P value |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| **Number**               |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Single                   | 30.7±8.2  | 0.745    | 98.7±15.2   | 0.42     | 117.7±12.8  | 0.49    |
| MNG                      | 31.1±6.6  |          | 100.6±14.8  |          | 115.8±15.2  |         |
| **Echogenicity**         |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Isoechoic                | 30.1±9.5  | 0.37     | 97.3±15.5<sup>a,b</sup> | 0.046    | 114.5±14.3  | 0.403   |
| Hyperechoic              | 30.2±4.8  |          | 96.1±10<sup>a</sup> |          | 118.4±7.4  |         |
| Heterogeneous            | 31.4±6.5  |          | 103.8±16.7<sup>b,c</sup> |          | 116.9±15.5  |         |
| Hypoechoic               | 32.4±6.4  |          | 103.1±15.4<sup>c</sup> |          | 120.4±14.5  |         |
| **Consistency**          |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Solid                    | 32.5±7.8  | **0.009**| 103.1±15.8  | **0.003**| 121±12.7    | **0.012**|
| Complex                  | 29.5±6.8  |          | 96.5±13.3   |          | 113.2±13.6  |         |
| **Calcification**        |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Present                  | 31.6±8.3  | 0.131    | 101.3±15    | 0.07     | 117.9±14.1  | 0.37    |
| Absent                   | 29.9±5.8  |          | 97.1±14.5   |          | 115.4±12.9  |         |
| **Calcification Types**  |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Both                     | 29.8±10.2 | 0.071    | 102.2±10.9  | 0.212    | 108.7±15.6  | 0.06    |
| Macro                    | 30.8±6.5  |          | 99.2±14.7   |          | 119.8±13.4  |         |
| Micro                    | 35.2±10.4 |          | 105.7±16.6  |          | 117.6±12.6  |         |
| **Macrocalcification**   |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Scattered                | 30.5±7.5  | 0.656    | 99.9±13.9   | 0.40     | 117.5±14.3  | 0.27    |
| Non Scattered            | 32.5±3    |          | 106±15.5    |          | 129±5.7     |         |
| **Vascularity**          |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Increase                 | 30.8±7.8  | 0.649    | 99.9±14.9   | 0.999    | 115.5±14.1  | 0.004   |
| Decrease                 | 31.5±5.6  |          | 99.9±13.9   |          | 123.9±9.4   |         |
| **Site of Vascularity**  |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Central                  | 30.9±6.7  | 0.307    | 99.9±15.4   | 0.383    | 115.7±14.1  | 0.347   |
| Peripheral               | 30.9±9.4  |          | 99.1±14.7   |          | 115.2±14    |         |
| Both                     | 18.8±0    |          | 79±0        |          | 95±0        |         |
| **Halo**                 |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Present                  | 30.8±8.1  | 0.793    | 98.9±14.5   | 0.45     | 115.9±13.5  | 0.41    |
| Absent                   | 31.1±6.6  |          | 100.6±15.4  |          | 118.1±13.9  |         |
| **Halo Type**            |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Incomplete               | 30.8±6.2  | 0.664    | 96.4±15.1   | 0.409    | 117.2±14.5  | 0.924   |
| Complete                 | 30.2±8.6  |          | 97.9±13.4   |          | 115.2±11.8  |         |
| Interrupted              | 31.9±7.8  |          | 101.9±16.2  |          | 116.2±16.2  |         |
| **LN**                   |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Positive                 | 32.5±5.7  | 0.199    | 104±13.5    | **0.04** | 117.7±12.4  | 0.69    |
| Negative                 | 30.5±7.6  |          | 98.4±14.7   |          | 116.5±14    |         |
| **Breakdown**            |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Present                  | 29.5±6.8  | **0.005**| 96.1±13.3   | **0.001**| 113.2±13.6  | <0.001  |
| Absent                   | 32.6±7.8  |          | 103.5±15.7  |          | 121±12.8    |         |
| **Border**               |           |          |             |          |             |         |
| Regular                  | 29.8±8.6  | 0.081    | 97.1±14.8   | 0.06     | 115±14.6    | 0.27    |
| Irregular                | 31.8±6.4  |          | 101.4±14.9  |          | 118.2±13.6  |         |

(Continued)
significantly in solid nodules (32.5±7.8), compared to complex nodules (29.7±7). BMI was also associated significantly with the breakdown of the nodules; it was 29.5 ±6.8 in the presence of the breakdown, and 32.6±7.8 in the absence of the breakdown (P=0.005). Concerning WC, it associated significantly with the echogenicity of the nodules, it increased in hypoechoic (103.1±15.4cm) and heterogeneous (103.8±16.7cm) nodules, compared to isoechoic (97.3±15.5cm) and hyperechoic (96.1±10cm) nodules (P=0.046). Similarly, WC associated significantly with the consistency of the nodules, it increased significantly in solid nodules (103.1±15.8 cm), compared to complex nodules (96.8±13.6 cm, P=0.009). There was a significant association between WC and the breakdown of the nodules; it was 96.1±13.3cm in the presence of the breakdown, compared to 103.5±15.7cm in the absence of the breakdown (P=0.001). WC is also increased in patients with LN affection (104 ±13.5cm), compared to those who did not have LN enlargement (98.4±14.7cm), with a significant difference between the two groups (P=0.04).

Regarding the association between HC and US criteria, there was a significant association between the HC and the

Table 3 (Continued).

| Breakdown Site | BMI     | P value | WC (cm)       | P value | HC (cm)     | P value |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Peripheral     | 29.9±5.9| 0.471   | 94.1±14.6     | 0.477   | 110.9±12.3  | 0.586   |
| Central        | 31±6.1  |         | 98.8±12.9     |         | 116.2±11.2  |         |
| Both           | 29±6.4  |         | 96±12.7       |         | 112.9±16.5  |         |

**Notes:** Values in bold are statistically significant if P<0.05. Values with different letters are statistically different; *No statistical difference between WC in isochoic and in hyperechoic nodules. *No statistical difference between WC in isoechoic and in heterogeneous nodules. *No statistical difference between WC in hypoechoic and in heterogeneous nodules.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; LN, lymph nodes; MNG, multinodular goiter; U/S, ultrasonography; WC, waist circumference.
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**Figure 4** Association between BMI (body mass index), WC (waist circumference), HC (hip circumference) and U/S (Ultrasonography) criteria of the patients’ nodules regarding (A) echogenicity, (B) consistency, (C) vascularity, (D) breakdown, (E) Lymph nodes (LNs) affection.
consistency of the nodules, as it increased significantly in solid nodules (121±12.7 cm), compared to complex nodules (113.5±14 cm, P=0.012). HC was also associated significantly with the breakdown of the nodules; it was 113.2 ±13.6 cm in the presence of the breakdown, compared to 121±12.8 cm in the absence of the breakdown (P<0.001). Moreover, HC associated significantly with the presence of the vascularity of the nodules, it was 123.9±9.4 cm in decreased vascularity, compared to 115.5±14.1 cm with increased vascularity (P=0.004, Table 3, Figure 4A–E). There was no significant association found between W/H ratio and any of the assessed U/S criteria nor the clinicopathological features of the patients (data not presented).

**Association Between BMI, WC, HC and TIRADS Classification of the Patients**

There was no significant association between TIRADS classification and BMI or HC of the patients (P=0.217 and 0.535; respectively). While WC associated significantly with TIRADS classification (P=0.032), as there was a significant increase in WC with TR4b (118.5 ± 12.9 cm) and TR5 (117.3 ± 13.9 cm) compared to TR2 (114.1 ± 15.7 cm, P=0.025 and 0.008; respectively). Also, there was a significant difference in WC between TR4a (108.8 ± 14.3 cm) and TR5 (117.3 ± 13.9 cm, P=0.047, Table 4, Figure 5A)

**Correlations Between the Thyroid Profiles of the Patients and the Assessed Anthropometric Measurements**

There was a positive significant correlation between WC of the patients and TSH serum level (r=0.151, P=0.042). While there was no significant correlation between any other anthropometric measurements assessed and FT3, FT4, or TSH (P>0.05, Table 5, Figure 5B).

**Univariate and Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis**

Univariate analysis was done for age, sex, TSH, FT3, TIRADS score, BMI, HC, WC to assess the association with risk of malignant thyroid nodules. The only significant factors were BMI, HC and WC (P=0.003, 0.022 and P<0.001; respectively). On multivariate logistic regression analysis, it showed that WC is an independent predictor for TC [OR: 1.092, CI: 1.020–1.170, P=0.012], whereas, BMI [OR: 1.025, CI: 0.876–1.200] and HC [OR: 0.954, CI: 0.885–1.028] are not considered as independent predictors for TC (P=0.755 and 0.218; respectively, Table 6, Figure 5C).

**Diagnostic Value of the BMI, WC and HC for the Assessment of Thyroid Nodules**

ROC curve analysis was performed to assess the role of the studied Anthropometric measurements in the diagnosis of malignant thyroid nodules, it showed that the sensitivity, specificity and AUC of the BMI were (64.3%, 62.5% and 0.722; respectively, P=0.008) at a cutoff value 32.59, and that of the HC were (64.3%, 60% and 0.662; respectively, P=0.053) at a cutoff value 120.5 cm, compared to that of WC which achieved the highest sensitivity (71.4%), specificity (68.7%), AUC (0.750), at a cutoff value 108.5 cm (P=0.003, Figure 6A). Whereas, when combining BMI and WC for the assessment of TC, it showed the highest sensitivity (77.8%), while the specificity was 68.4% and AUC was 0.780 (P <0.001, Figure 6B). While when combining the three markers (BMI+WC+HC) together, they achieved the highest specificity (70%), with a sensitivity (71.4%) and AUC 0.737 (P=0.005, Table 7, Figure 6C).

**Discussion**

Incidence of thyroid cancer has been dramatically increased over the last few years, and in parallel, the prevalence of obesity has also been increased. Growing body of evidence suggests that the change in the obesity rate is associated with increased incidence of thyroid cancer all over the world. Though many studies confirmed the association between obesity in the form of BMI and the incidence of TC, however, other studies negated this link, and concluded that there was no significant association between BMI and thyroid cancer. Thus, the data are controversial and still there is a debate around the causal relationship...

**Table 4 Association Between BMI, WC, HC and TIRADS Classification of the Patients**

|   | BMI | WC          | HC          |
|---|-----|-------------|-------------|
| T2 | 29.7 ± 6.5 | 114.1 ± 15.7<sup>a</sup> | 94.7 ± 11.5 |
| T3 | 29.7 ± 7.6 | 118.3 ± 13<sup>b</sup> | 96.5 ± 20.6 |
| T4a | 27.3 ± 6.9 | 108.8 ± 14.3<sup>b</sup> | 92.9 ± 15.3 |
| T4b | 31.5 ± 8.3 | 118.5 ± 12.5<sup>b</sup>c | 101.3 ± 15.3 |
| T5 | 32.4 ± 6  | 117.3 ± 13.9<sup>c</sup> | 103.7 ± 13.2 |
| P value | 0.217 | 0.032 | 0.535 |

**Notes:** Values in bold are statistically significant if P<0.05. Values with different letters are statistically different; <sup>a</sup>No statistical difference between WC in T2, T3 and T4a TIRADS score; <sup>b</sup>No statistical difference between BMI in T3, T4a and T4b TIRADS score; <sup>c</sup>No statistical difference between WC in T3, T4b and T5 TIRADS score.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; TIRADS, thyroid imaging reporting and data system; WC, waist circumference.
Figure 5 (A) Association between WC (waist circumference) and TIRADS classification of the assessed Thyroid nodule patients, (B) correlation between WC and TSH (thyroid-stimulating hormone) levels in the Thyroid nodule patients, (C) odds ratio of BMI (body mass index), WC (waist circumference), HC (hip circumference) for diagnosis of thyroid cancer compared to benign nodules.
Table 5 Correlations Between the Thyroid Profiles of the Patients and the Assessed Anthropometric Measurements

|      | FT3          | FT4          | TSH          |
|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| BMI  | R            | P value      | R            | P value      | R            | P value      |
|      | 0.028        | 0.779        | -0.160       | 0.061        | 0.078        | 0.298        |
| HC   | R            | 0.094        | 0.023        | 0.842        | 0.085        | 0.393        |
|      | -0.220       |              | 0.023        |              |              |              |
| WC   | R            | -0.021       | -0.138       |              | 0.151        |              |
|      | 0.833        |              | 0.103        |              | 0.042        |              |

Notes: R= Pearson Correlation. Values in bold are statistically significant.
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FT3, free tri-iodothyronine; FT4, free thyroxin; HC, hip circumference; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; WC, waist circumference.

between obesity including its different types whether central or peripheral, and the risk of TC.

The current study showed that there was a significant increase in BMI, WC and W/H ratio in TC patients compared to patients with benign nodules (BN). While there was no significant difference between the studied two groups regarding hip circumference (HP). These data are in concordance with that of Arduc et al., who reported that increased BMI and large WC were associated with higher thyroid cancer risk, but not with baseline aggressive tumor characteristics. They added that these two measurements could be prognostic factors to determine the extent of thyroid surgical procedure, such as a thyroidectomy or a lobectomy. Similarly, another nationwide population-based cohort study done by Kwon et al., on 11,323,006 Korean subjects reported the incidence of thyroid cancer increased significantly in subjects with larger WC, higher BMI as well as in subjects who were lean and became obese. Comparable results were also observed in a large pooled analysis of 22 prospective studies (833,176 men and 1,260,871 women) done by Kitahara et al., who reported that increased BMI and/or WC, while on contrary to our results, they reported that waist/hip ratio did not significantly affect the incidence of thyroid cancer.

Additionally, multivariate logistic regression analysis performed for recruited patients showed that WC is an independent predictor for TC, despite adding all classic confounding factors as age, gender, TIRADS score, BMI and TSH. As Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio are mainly reflecting abdominal fat mass, whereas hip circumference reflects mainly subcutaneous fat. This data could explain that adipose tissue in the waist area may affect the pathogenesis of thyroid cancer differently from adipose tissue in other sites of the body. Our data are consistent with that reported by Song et al., who performed a community-based population study in China and concluded that Waist circumference is superior to BMI for assessing the risk of developing TC. On the contrary, Handelsman et al., reported inverse relationship between increased BMI and decreased rate of Papillary thyroid cancer, they suggested that there were other factors that may be involved in thyroid carcinogenesis other than BMI. The conflicting data in the literature around the association between BMI and the risk of TC are mainly due to that BMI is a quite nonspecific measure of adiposity including muscle mass, bone mass, peripheral and abdominal adipose tissue. Whereas, Waist circumference specifically reflects abdominal adiposity, which mainly consists of subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue.

Another finding observed in the current study is that there was a positive significant correlation between WC of the assessed patients and TSH level. While there was no significant correlation between any other anthropometric measurements assessed and FT3, FT4, or TSH. These results are in agreement with De Pergola et al., who found a significant positive correlation between TSH level and WC in obese patients. However, they also found that FT3 level was directly associated with BMI and WC, which we did not observe in our cohort of patients. He concluded that central obesity is associated with an increase in both FT3 and TSH serum levels.

Table 6 Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of the Assessed Anthropometric Measurements

|      | Univariate Logistic Regression | Multivariate Logistic Regression |
|------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|      | OR                            | 95% CI                          | P value | OR                            | 95% CI                          | P value |
| BMI  | 1.109                         | 1.036–1.187                     | 0.003   | 1.025                         | 0.876–1.200                     | 0.755   |
| HC   | 1.028                         | 0.984–1.073                     | 0.022   | 0.954                         | 0.885–1.028                     | 0.218   |
| WC   | 1.062                         | 1.027–1.098                     | <0.001  | 1.092                         | 1.020–1.170                     | 0.012   |

Notes: OR: odds ratio calculated for thyroid cancer (TC) compared to benign nodules. Values in bold are statistically significant if P<0.05.
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; WC, waist circumference; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
independently of insulin sensitivity, metabolic parameters and blood pressure. In addition, these data were confirmed by Reinehr et al., who reported a significant causal relationship between TSH and obesity, which might be through several mechanisms mainly leptin secretion. On the other hand, these data are contradictory to that observed by Handelsman et al., that increased BMI is significantly associated with decreased serum TSH level in patients who underwent thyroid lobectomy or total thyroidectomy. This discrepancy in data could be explained by that BMI is not a real indicator of central obesity, as the later had been proved to be associated with other health problems like insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome.

Furthermore, regarding the association between the anthropometric measurements and the ultrasound criteria of thyroid nodules, the present study demonstrated that increased BMI, WC and HC associated significantly with solid nodules with no breakdown, rather than complex ones with breakdown. In addition, increased WC is significantly observed in hypoechoic and heterogeneous nodules, compared to isoechoic and hyperechoic nodules. WC is also associated significantly with lymph nodes enlargement in thyroid cancer patients. Another finding observed in the present study is that HC increased significantly with the absence of the vascularity of the nodules. No significant association was detected between anthropometric measurements and number of the nodules, calcification and halo type. Our study in this context is not consistent with de Siqueira et al, who reported a significant association between BMI and increased parenchymal hypoechoogenicity as well as increased frequency of thyroid nodules on ultra-sonographic evaluation.

For more confirmation, we assessed the association between the BMI, WC, HC and TIRADS classification of the patients. Interestingly, we found a significant association between TIRADS classification and WC of the assessed patients, WC increased significantly in patients with TR4b and TR5 compared to those with TR2. However, this finding is not in agreement with de Siqueira et al, who found no significant difference in TIRADS classification between obese and non-obese TC patients. Thus, we recommend that further studies are required to address this issue deeply.

### Table 7: Diagnostic Significance of BMI, WC and HC for the Assessment of Malignant Thyroid Nodules

| Test Result | AUC  | Cutoff | Sensitivity | Specificity | Std. Error | P value   | 95% CI        |
|-------------|------|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------|
| BMI         | 0.722| 32.59  | 64.3%       | 62.5%       | 0.076      | 0.008     | 0.573–0.872   |
| HC          | 0.662| 120.5  | 64.3%       | 60%         | 0.080      | 0.053     | 0.506–0.819   |
| WC          | 0.750| 108.5  | 71.4%       | 68.7%       | 0.078      | 0.003     | 0.597–0.930   |
| BMI+WC      | 0.780| –      | 77.8%       | 68.4%       | 0.059      | <0.001    | 0.665–0.895   |
| BMI+WC+HC   | 0.737| –      | 71.4%       | 70%         | 0.075      | 0.005     | 0.590–0.885   |

**Note:** Values in bold are statistically significant if P<0.05.

**Abbreviations:** AUC, area under curve; BMI, body mass index; HC, hip circumference; WC, waist circumference; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
The current study provides an evidence that waist circumference as a cheap, easily measured clinical parameter is a potential independent predictor of thyroid cancer that should be taken into consideration. According to our analysis, BMI of 33 or more and/or WC of 109 cm or more may be considered as strong predictors of thyroid cancer with even greater significance if both criteria are present with a sensitivity of 77.8% and specificity of 68.4%. These criteria are specific to patients presenting with thyroid nodules that are indicated for further evaluation rather than the general population, according to our study cohort.

Strong points in this study include that patients were enrolled consecutively, so selection bias of cancer patients was eliminated, and the percentage of cancer among thyroid nodules is genuine. Also, thyroid cancer patients were compared to patients with benign nodules rather than healthy individuals, so risk factors common to benign thyroid nodules and cancer were eliminated. This explains why classic risk factors of thyroid nodules were not significant in the multivariate analysis. However, further studies on a large number of patients are needed to validate these results. Plus, including complementary tests for assessment of insulin resistance in order to take part in the multivariable analysis.

Therefore, we can conclude that first, central adiposity is strongly associated with thyroid cancer which provides insights into the pathogenesis and prevention of a common disease. Second, the identification of high-risk individuals which may guide screening and limit over diagnosis. A BMI of 33 or more and WC of 109cm or more may be considered as strong predictors of thyroid cancer. Third, there is an association between BMI and WC with the ultrasonographic criteria of the thyroid nodules like hypoechogenicity, solid consistency, presence of breakdown and LN affection. However, WC is more superior to BMI when correlated with TIRADS classification, as well as an independent predictor for thyroid cancer.
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