Evaluation of the fatty liver index as a predictor for the development of diabetes among insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes
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ABSTRACT
Aims/Introduction: Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disease in developed countries, and it was required to monitor patients with prediabetes. However, there have been few reports establishing the risk for diabetes mellitus (DM) among patients with prediabetes. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the effect of NAFLD on the progression of DM among insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes, using data from specific health check-ups and the fatty liver index (FLI).

Materials and Methods: We used a retrospective cohort study that enrolled 967 insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes who had rarely drunk or could not drink alcohol, or whose alcohol consumption was <19 g/day from two health insurance societies. We divided insurance beneficiaries into FLI <30, intermediates FLIs and FLI ≥60, and compared the incidence rate of DM among the groups after 3 years’ follow up, using multiple logistic regression models.

Results: During 3 years’ follow up, progression of diabetes was seen in 65 men (11.5%) and 24 women (6.0%). Logistic regression analyses showed that those with NAFLD had significantly higher risks of developing DM; this was the case in both men (odds ratio 2.68, 95% confidential interval 1.29–5.56) and women (odds ratio 10.35, 95% confidential interval 3.22–33.31).

Conclusions: Among insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes, those with NAFLD had a significantly higher risk of DM than those without NAFLD. The FLI might be useful for detecting individuals who have an especially higher risk for DM, and developing more effective guidance for delivering healthcare services in Japan.

INTRODUCTION
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common liver disease in developed countries1. Furthermore, a recent study showed that the prevalence of NAFLD was 68.5% in obese, 15.2% in non-obese subjects and 24.6% in total among Japanese2. NAFLD is strongly associated with insulin resistance3,4. The rate of newly diagnosed prediabetes was 75% in patients with NAFLD, and 25% in those without NAFLD5. Furthermore, a recent study reported that NAFLD is a strong and independent risk factor for prediabetes6, and that liver enzymes, such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), were strongly associated with diabetes mellitus (DM) risk7,8. It has also been reported that fatty liver is a risk factor for impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and type 2 DM in Japanese people9, and NAFLD is a risk factor for type 2 DM in middle-aged men10.

The diagnosis of NAFLD is regarded as clinically problematic because of the invasive character of the gold standard method of liver biopsy. Therefore, previous studies clarified NAFLD by using ultrasound1,2,6,9,10, magnetic resonance spectroscopy5, indices of fatty liver11–13, or two of these14,15. Bedogni et al.11 introduced the fatty liver index (FLI), which was estimated using multivariate models including several biomarkers. These included body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), triglycerides (TGs) and GGT, measured in specific health check-ups in Japan. Specific health check-ups and guidance
were implemented to reduce the number of persons with lifestyle-related diseases including DM, in fiscal year (FY) 2008. There are few studies to report that FLI is valuable in identifying type 2 diabetes among Asian populations.

Furthermore, the FLI was required to monitor patients with prediabetes for identification, quantification and characterization of the population of high-risk individuals targeted for ongoing DM primary prevention efforts. Also, it was reported that the prevalence of prediabetes increased significantly in both men and women from the 1980s to the 2000s in a general Japanese population. The National Health and Nutrition Survey reported that approximately 9.5 million people were strongly suspected as having DM, and a further 11.0 million people were possible candidates for having DM. However, a previous study in Asian populations did not classify prediabetes among subjects without DM. Previous studies in Japan did not include subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and IFG, but nevertheless, there were 20.5 million people at high risk of having diabetes. Additionally, a recent study reported that NAFLD was a stronger predictor for prediabetes than metabolic syndromes.

However, there have been few reports establishing the risk for DM among patients with prediabetes among Asian populations, in which the prevalence of DM has rapidly increased in recent decades with economic development including food supply and dietary patterns, technology transfer, and cultural admixture. Therefore, we carried out the present study to evaluate the effect of NAFLD on the progression of DM among these patients.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

The inclusion and exclusion flowchart is shown in Figure 1. We identified 8,982 insurance beneficiaries aged 40 years or older as of 31 March 2009 who worked for health insurance societies located in Fukuoka and Shizuoka Prefectures (Japan), and who attended specific health check-ups at FY2008. For the present study, we excluded 413 insurance beneficiaries who had not attended specific health check-ups at FY2011. From those, we identified 4,830 insurance beneficiaries who were not drinkers or whose alcohol consumption was less than 19 g per day. After converting hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), which was in the
Japan Diabetes Society (JDS) units to the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) units, we excluded 292 insurance beneficiaries whose HbA1c was higher than 6.4% or those taking treatments for DM based on a self-administered questionnaire (insurance beneficiaries with exiting DM), 2,387 insurance beneficiaries without any treatments for DM whose HbA1c levels ranged 5.7–6.4%. We excluded 141 insurance beneficiaries whose HbA1c was higher than 6.4% or those taking treatments for DM based on a self-administered questionnaire. We also excluded six insurance beneficiaries who had been diagnosed with stroke, coronary heart disease, chronic kidney disease or anemia based on a self-administered questionnaire. We also excluded six insurance beneficiaries who had been treated for chronic viral hepatitis (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision code: B18) at FY2011, using claims data. Finally, we arrived at 967 insurance beneficiaries as study participants.

The present study was approved by the Kyushu University Institutional Review Board for Clinical Research.

**Definition of Variables**

The FLI score was used as a surrogate measure for fatty liver. This measure was calculated using the following equation:

\[
FLI = \left\{ \exp(0.953 \times \log(TGs) + 0.139 \times BMI + 0.718 \times \log(GGT) + 0.053 \times WC - 15.745) \right\} \times 100
\]

The FLI varies between 0 and 100. According to a previous study, FLI <30 can be used to rule out (sensitivity = 87%; negative likelihood ratio = 0.2) and FLI ≥60 to rule in hepatic steatosis (specificity = 86%; positive likelihood ratio = 4.3). Thus, we defined participants with FLI scores of 60 or higher

### Table 1 | Demographic and physical characteristics of participants according to fatty liver index, by sex

| Total | FLI | P-value |
|-------|-----|---------|
|       | <30 | Intermediate | ≥60 |
| Men   |     |           |      |
| Median age (years) | [IQR] | (n = 565) | (n = 209) | (n = 200) | (n = 156) | <0.001† |
| Age (years) | 40–49 | 50.0 [8.0] | 47.0 [8.0] | 49.0 [8.0] | 45.0 [8.0] | 0.588† |
|      | 50–59 | 55.0 [10.0] | 53.0 [10.0] | 56.0 [10.0] | 54.0 [10.0] | 0.001† |
|      | ≥60 | 60.0 [10.0] | 58.0 [10.0] | 62.0 [10.0] | 60.0 [10.0] | 0.001† |
| Fukuoka Prefecture | (n = 290) | (n = 81) | (n = 31) |
| Median BMI (kg/m²) | [IQR] | 24.3 [4.2] | 24.8 [4.2] | 25.0 [4.2] | 24.8 [4.2] | 0.788 |
| Median WC (cm) | [IQR] | 87.2 [10.7] | 88.0 [10.7] | 90.0 [10.7] | 89.0 [10.7] | 0.788 |
| Women |     |           |      |
| Median age (years) | [IQR] | (n = 402) | (n = 120) | (n = 90) | (n = 38) | <0.001† |
| Age (years) | 40–49 | 52.0 [7.0] | 52.0 [7.0] | 52.0 [7.0] | 52.0 [7.0] | 0.588† |
|      | 50–59 | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 0.001† |
|      | ≥60 | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 0.001† |
| Fukuoka Prefecture | (n = 120) | (n = 38) | (n = 30) |
| Median BMI (kg/m²) | [IQR] | 27.7 [4.2] | 27.7 [4.2] | 27.7 [4.2] | 27.7 [4.2] | 0.001† |
| Median WC (cm) | [IQR] | 95.0 [10.7] | 95.0 [10.7] | 95.0 [10.7] | 95.0 [10.7] | 0.788 |
| Total |     |           |      |
| Median age (years) | [IQR] | (n = 967) | (n = 281) | (n = 187) |
| Age (years) | 40–49 | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 51.0 [8.0] | 0.001† |
|      | 50–59 | 50.0 [8.0] | 50.0 [8.0] | 50.0 [8.0] | 50.0 [8.0] | 0.001† |
|      | ≥60 | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 60.0 [9.0] | 0.001† |
| Sex |     |           |      |
| Male | (n = 565) | (n = 209) | (n = 200) | (n = 156) | <0.001† |
| Female | (n = 402) | (n = 203) | (n = 200) | (n = 156) |
| Fukuoka Prefecture | (n = 290) | (n = 81) | (n = 31) |
| Median BMI (kg/m²) | [IQR] | 24.3 [4.2] | 24.8 [4.2] | 25.0 [4.2] | 24.8 [4.2] | 0.788 |
| Median WC (cm) | [IQR] | 87.2 [10.7] | 88.0 [10.7] | 90.0 [10.7] | 89.0 [10.7] | 0.788 |

†Compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Other comparisons made using the \( \chi^2 \) test. BMI, body mass index; FLI, fatty liver index; IQR, interquartile range; WC, waist circumference.
as having NAFLD, and those with FLI scores of 30 or lower as not having NAFLD. The rest of them were defined as having intermediate FLIs.

Participants with HbA1c values higher than 6.4% or those taking treatments for DM based on self-administered questionnaire at FY2011 were defined as newly diagnosed DM. Ages were categorized into three groups: 40–49, 50–59 and 60 years or older. HbA1c values at baseline were categorized into four groups according to quartiles. Participants whose systolic blood pressure (SBP) was higher than 140 mmHg or whose diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was higher than 90 mmHg, or who used antihypertensive drugs based on self-administered questionnaire were defined as having hypertension. Participants whose low-density cholesterol (LDL-C) was higher than 3.6 mmol/L, or who used cholesterol-lowering drugs based on self-administered questionnaire, were defined as having hypercholesterolemia. Lifestyle habits were respectively categorized based on a self-administered questionnaire. Those who had smoked over the past month and had smoked a total of over 100 cigarettes, or who had smoked over a period of 6 months, were defined as smokers. Those who had habitually exercised for over 30 min twice a week for at least 1 year, or who habitually walked for over 1 h a day, were defined as involved in physical activity. Eating before sleeping, eating fast and prefecture were used as explanatory variables.

### Statistical Analysis

Participant characteristics were constructed using frequencies and proportions for categorical variables, and using median and interquartile ranges for a continuous variable. Categorical variables were compared between the three groups using Pearson’s χ²-test, and the continuous variable was compared between the three groups using the Kruskal–Wallis test.

Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to estimate adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the incidence of DM. After stratification by sex, we set the incidence of DM as the dependent variable, and age, FLI categories, smoking habits, physical activity, eating before sleeping, eating fast, the presence of hypertension, the presence of hypercholesterolemia and prefecture as independent variables. Statistical analyses were carried out using PASW version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P-values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

### Table 2 | Biochemical characteristics of participants according to fatty liver index by sex

|          | Total | FLI            | P-value |
|----------|-------|---------------|---------|
|         | (n = 565) | (n = 209) | (n = 200) | (n = 156) |       |
| **Men** |       |               |         |         |       |
| Median HbA1c at baseline, % [IQR]  | 5.9 [0.3] | 5.8 [0.3] | 5.9 [0.3] | 5.9 [0.2] | 0.274† |
| Q1      | 5.7   | 154 (27.3%)   | 61 (29.2%) | 56 (28.0%) | 37 (23.7%) | 0.629 |
| Q2      | 5.8   | 128 (22.7%)   | 53 (25.4%) | 40 (20.0%) | 35 (22.4%) |       |
| Q3      | 5.9   | 110 (19.5%)   | 38 (18.2%) | 42 (21.0%) | 30 (19.2%) |       |
| Q4 ≥6.0 | 5.9   | 173 (30.6%)   | 57 (27.3%) | 62 (31.0%) | 54 (34.6%) |       |
| Median TGs (mmol/L) [IQR]   | 1.5 [1.2] | 1.0 [0.5] | 1.6 [0.9] | 2.4 [1.4] | <0.001† |
| Median GGT (U/L)   | 35.0 [28.0] | 24.0 [12.0] | 38.0 [25.0] | 56.5 [42.0] | <0.001† |
| **Women** |       |               |         |         |       |
| Median HbA1c at baseline (%) [IQR] | 5.8 [0.3] | 5.8 [0.2] | 5.9 [0.2] | 6.0 [0.4] |       |
| Q1      | 5.7   | 120 (29.9%)   | 97.0 (33.4%) | 17.0 (21.0%) | 60 (19.4%) | 0.003 |
| Q2      | 5.8   | 88 (21.9%)    | 67.0 (23.1%) | 19.0 (23.5%) | 20 (6.5%) |       |
| Q3      | 5.9   | 77 (19.2%)    | 56.0 (19.3%) | 15.0 (18.5%) | 60 (19.4%) |       |
| Q4 ≥6.0 | 5.9   | 117 (29.1%)   | 70.0 (24.1%) | 30.0 (37.0%) | 17.0 (54.8%) |       |
| Median TGs (mmol/L) [IQR]   | 1.0 [0.6] | 0.9 [0.5] | 1.3 [0.8] | 1.5 [1.1] | <0.001† |
| Median GGT (U/L)   | 19.0 [15.0] | 17.0 [8.0] | 30.0 [23.0] | 37.0 [44.0] | <0.001† |
| **Total** |       |               |         |         |       |
| Median HbA1c at baseline, % [IQR] | 5.8 [0.3] | 5.8 [0.3] | 5.9 [0.3] | 5.9 [0.2] | <0.001† |
| Q1      | 5.7   | 274 (28.3%)   | 158 (31.7%) | 73 (26.0%) | 43 (23.0%) | 0.028 |
| Q2      | 5.8   | 216 (22.3%)   | 120 (24.0%) | 59 (21.0%) | 37 (19.8%) |       |
| Q3      | 5.9   | 187 (19.3%)   | 94 (18.8%) | 57 (20.3%) | 36 (19.3%) |       |
| Q4 ≥6.0 | 5.9   | 290 (30.0%)   | 127 (25.5%) | 92 (32.7%) | 71 (38.0%) |       |
| Median TGs (mmol/L) [IQR]   | 1.2 [1.0] | 0.9 [0.5] | 2.0 [0.9] | 2.3 [1.4] | <0.001† |
| Median GGT (U/L)   | 28.0 [27.0] | 20.0 [13.0] | 35.0 [25.0] | 53.0 [44.0] | <0.001† |

†Compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Other comparisons made using the χ² test. SI conversion factor: To convert triglycerides to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0113. FLI, fatty liver index; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; IQR, interquartile range; TGs, triglycerides.
RESULTS

Demographic and physical characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. The number of those with NAFLD, intermediate FLIs, and no NAFLD were 499 (51.6%), 281 (29.1%) and 187 (19.3%), respectively. The median age

between the groups was significantly different in men (P < 0.001), but not significantly different in women (P = 0.588). Biochemical characteristics are shown in Table 2. The median HbA1c was significantly different in both sexes (P < 0.001). Lifestyle habits and comorbidity are shown in

Table 3 | Lifestyle habits and comorbidity of participants according to fatty liver index, by sex

|                      | Total     | FLI        | P-value |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|---------|
|                      | (n = 967) | <30 (n = 499) | Intermediate (n = 281) | ≥60 (n = 187) |
| Hypertension         | 245 (25.3%) | 122 [24] | 117 [24] | 126 [24] | 128 [24] | 0.001† |
| Median SBP (mmHg)    | [IQR] 24] | [IQR] 24] | [IQR] 24] | [IQR] 24] | [IQR] 24] | [IQR] 24] | <0.001† |
| Median DBP (mmHg)    | [IQR] 16] | [IQR] 15] | [IQR] 18] | [IQR] 14] | <0.001† |
| Use of antihypertensive drugs | 96 (9.9%) | 34 (6.8%) | 38 (13.5%) | 24 (12.8%) | 0.004 |
| Hypercholesterolemia | 474 (49.0%) | 203 (40.7%) | 162 (57.7%) | 109 (58.3%) | <0.001† |
| Median LDL-C (mmol/L)| [IQR] 1.0] | [IQR] 1.0] | [IQR] 0.9] | [IQR] 1.0] | [IQR] 1.0] | [IQR] 1.0] | <0.001† |
| Alcohol consumption <19 g/day | 369 (39.3%) | 269 (28.6%) | 88 (40.7%) | 83 (44.8%) | 0.027 |
| No drinkers          | 294 (73.1%) | 204 (70.3%) | 64 (70.0%) | 63 (70.0%) | 0.111 |
| Smoking              | 24 (6.0%) | 18 (6.2%) | 5 (6.2%) | 6 (6.2%) | 0.798 |
| Physical activities  | 106 (26.4%) | 78 (26.9%) | 21 (25.9%) | 7 (22.6%) | 0.870 |
| Eating fast          | 136 (33.8%) | 91 (31.4%) | 33 (40.7%) | 12 (38.7%) | 0.242 |
| Eating before sleeping | 114 (28.4%) | 70 (24.1%) | 31 (38.3%) | 13 (41.9%) | 0.010 |

†Compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test. Other comparisons made using the χ² test. SI conversion factor: To convert cholesterol to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.0259. FLI, fatty liver index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; IQR, interquartile range; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Compared using the χ²-test. Other comparisons made using the trend test. Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness of fit: P = 0.714 in men, and P = 0.651 in women, respectively. Adjusted by age, smoking habits, physical activities, eating habits before sleeping, eating fast, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and prefecture. DM, diabetes mellitus; FLI, fatty liver index. 

Table 3. The prevalence of hypertension and hypercholesterolemia was significantly different in both sexes (P < 0.001, P = 0.001 in men; P < 0.001, P < 0.001 in women). The proportion of participants who ate fast was significantly different in men (P = 0.017), and the proportion of participants who ate before sleeping was significantly different in women (P = 0.010).

Table 4 compares the proportions, unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for diabetes, and the results of multiple logistic regression analyses according to FLI by sex. During the study period, progression of diabetes was seen in 65 men (11.5%) and 24 women (6.0%). The incidence of DM was significantly different between men and women, respectively. Adjusted by age, smoking habits, physical activities, eating habits before sleeping, eating fast, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and prefecture. DM, diabetes mellitus; FLI, fatty liver index.

Table 4 | Comparison of odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for diabetes, and results of multiple logistic regression analyses, according to fatty liver index by sex

| FLI | P-value |
|-----|---------|
|     | Men     | Women   |
|     | <30     | Intermediate | ≥60     |
| No. DM cases | 13 (6.2%) | 27 (13.5%) | 25 (16.0%) | 0.008† |
| No. patients with HbA1c > 6.4 | 13 (6.2%) | 26 (13.0%) | 21 (13.5%) | 0.008† |
| No. patients taking treatments for DM | 0 (0.0%) | 4 (2.0%) | 8 (5.1%) | 0.008† |
| Unadjusted odds ratio | Reference | 2.35 [1.18–4.70] | 2.88 [1.42–5.83] | 0.03 |
| Adjusted odds ratio | Reference | 2.28 [1.12–4.63] | 2.68 [1.29–5.56] | 0.023 |

NAFLD could progress to non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and liver cirrhosis. Therefore, the current study’s results also suggest that it would be advantageous for insurers to carefully monitor for early detection of NAFLD in insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes, and to intervene earlier in both diseases. For example, weight loss is an effective intervention to prevent DM among patients with prediabetes23–26, whereas a recent randomized controlled trial reported that community-based lifestyle modifications targeting BMI 23 kg/m² were effective in reducing and normalizing liver fat in NAFLD patients27. Furthermore, although thiazolidinediones were only used for type 2 DM in Japan, they reduced insulin resistance to prevent DM among patients with IGT or IFG28,29, and decreased AST, ALT and hepatic fat content among non-alcoholic steatohepatitis patients with IGT or type 2 DM30,31. In addition to issues around application to prediabetes, a recent meta-analysis investigating ethnic differences in insulin sensitivity and response reported that insulin sensitivity of East Asians with impaired glucose regulation was significantly higher than that of Africans32. These interventions would be effective if aimed at insurance beneficiaries with NAFLD and prediabetes.
dent predictor for DM and if cut-off points of the FLI were appropriate. Third, we used a self-administered questionnaire to define DM. Therefore, information bias could exist. Furthermore, it is also unclear whether the findings could be applied to other populations as well as Japanese. Finally, as the present study did not obtain fasting plasma glucose and a 2-h oral glucose tolerance test, the prevalence of DM would be underestimated.

However, the FLI has also validated in Korean populations\(^3\), while it was developed and its validity has been examined in European populations\(^{11,134}\). It was required to monitor those with prediabetes for identification and quantification\(^7\); nevertheless, just nine patients with prediabetes have received specific health guidance at FY2008. Therefore, it is expected that insurers would develop a disease management program for insurance beneficiaries who had an especially higher risk for DM. Because of the increasing prevalence of NAFLD, a simpler surrogate measure would be important to prevent NAFLD, and its adverse hepatic and extrahepatic consequences. As diagnosing NAFLD by using scanning tests requires radiological equipment and experts, the utilization of simpler and more cost-effective screening methods for NAFLD is necessary to identify people who might have NAFLD at annual health check-ups. Also, because it could be calculated by measures commonly used during specific health check-ups, the FLI and questionnaires could be used as surrogate measures for NAFLD during these check-ups.

In conclusion, among insurance beneficiaries with prediabetes, those with NAFLD had a significantly higher risk of DM than those without NAFLD. The FLI might be useful for detecting those who had an especially higher risk for DM, and for developing more effective guidance for delivering healthcare services in Japan.
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