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Abstract  Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a serious health problem leading to cirrhosis, liver failure and hepatocellular carcinoma. The recent introduction of telaprevir, which was approved in November 2011, in combination with peg-interferon and ribavirin is expected to markedly improve the eradication rate of the virus. However, side effects of triple therapy may be severe. In a phase three III clinical trial, 2250 mg of telaprevir, which is the same dosage used in clinical trials in Western countries, was given to Japanese patients. As this dosage is considered to be relatively high for Japanese patients, who typically have lower weight than patients in Western countries, reduction of telaprevir is recommended in the 2012 revision of the guidelines established by the Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis published by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. Other protease inhibitors with fewer side effects are now in clinical trials in Japan. Alternatively, treatment of patients with combination of direct acting antivirals without interferon has been reported. In this review we summarize current treatment options in Japan and discuss how we treat patients with chronic HCV infection.
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Introduction
At least 1.5 million people in Japan and more than 200 million people worldwide are chronically infected with the hepatitis C virus [1, 2]. Due to an aging patient population, the health burden of chronic HCV infection in Japan is expected to increase over the next several decades [3]. Chronic infection develops in 60–80 % of symptomatic patients, leading to higher risk of cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma, and end-stage liver disease. Chronic HCV infection is also one of the primary indications for liver transplantation [3], and ultimately 5–7 % of patients die from complications related to HCV infection [4–7].

The goal of HCV therapy is successful eradication of the virus and resolution of liver disease. Success is defined as
the absence of detectable virus 24 weeks following the end of treatment. In some patients, the virus becomes undetectable by the end of treatment (end of treatment response) but then rebounds in the absence of therapy (relapse or transient response). Viral breakthrough occurs when the virus rebounds during the course of therapy. In non-responders, the virus remains detectable throughout therapy.

**Therapy for chronic HCV infection**

Hepatitis C virus genotypes vary by region and susceptibility to interferon treatment [8]. Genotype 1 is the most common genotype worldwide and in Japan [8]. Weekly injections of pegylated interferon (peg-interferon) and daily oral administration of ribavirin constitute the standard therapy for genotype 1 chronic HCV [9]. However, combination therapy is costly and poorly tolerated, requires long-term treatment (48 weeks), and is successful in only 42–52 % of patients [10–12].

The success rate of HCV therapy in Japan is expected to improve greatly following the November 2011 approval of telaprevir (VX-950/MP-424; Incivek; Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA), the first in a class of new direct acting antiviral (DAA) drugs. Telaprevir and a related drug, boceprevir (Victrelis), were also recently approved for treatment of genotype 1 in the US, Canada, and the European Union. While boceprevir is not approved for use in Japan, a meta-analysis found no difference in outcomes between the two drugs, except for slightly higher efficacy among prior relapsers using telaprevir [13].

**Telaprevir and direct acting antiviral drugs**

DAAs act by specifically inhibiting essential viral targets. Telaprevir is an NS3/4 serine protease inhibitor that mimics the carboxy-terminal region of the NS3 protease and binds slowly and tightly to the protease [14]. The NS3-4A protein is also an attractive target due to its additional role in degrading immune signaling molecules [15]. Consequently, targeting NS3-4A may not only disrupt viral replication but may also help to restore innate antiviral responses [16, 17]. However, treatment with telaprevir alone often results in a rapid decline in viral load followed by viral breakthrough due to rapid selection for resistance mutations [18, 19]. Triple therapy with peg-interferon, ribavirin, and telaprevir appears to be required to suppress viral breakthrough and achieve SVR [20].

**Telaprevir clinical trials outside of Japan**

**Phase II studies**

Several phase II and III clinical trials have established the safety and efficacy of telaprevir in the treatment of HCV genotype 1 (Table 1). The PROVE I [20] and PROVE II [21] phase II studies showed SVR rates significantly higher for triple therapy compared to the standard of care (61 vs. 41 %, 69 vs. 46 %, respectively) after 12 weeks of triple therapy followed by another 12 weeks of peg-interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy. Both studies found that reducing the length of peg-interferon and ribavirin to 12 weeks erased the advantage of triple therapy over standard therapy, and PROVE II revealed that ribavirin is required to suppress viral breakthrough [20, 21]. PROVE III examined the efficacy of triple therapy in patients who failed to achieve SVR during prior interferon therapy and reported improved SVR rates among patients with prior nonresponse (39 %), relapse (69 %), or viral breakthrough (57 %) [22].

**Phase III studies**

The phase III ADVANCE study compared duration of telaprevir therapy in treatment-naive patients using three treatment arms, a control peg-interferon plus ribavirin group and 8 and 12 week telaprevir triple therapy groups followed by response-guided peg-interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy [23] (Table 1). SVR rates were 69 % for the 8 week telaprevir treatment and 75 % for the 12 week telaprevir treatment, compared to 44 % for standard peg-interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy. The phase III REALISE study assessed response to triple therapy in patients with prior treatment failure [24]. Prior relapers, partial responders, and null responders were randomized to a 48 week peg-interferon plus ribavirin control group or to 48 week triple therapy groups with 12 weeks of telaprevir with or without a 4 week peg-interferon plus ribavirin lead-in phase. SVR rates in the triple therapy group were 66 % with the lead-in phase and 64 % without it, compared to only 17 % in the control group. When analyzed by response to prior treatment, prior relapers showed the strongest improvement in SVR rates, but triple therapy also appears to benefit prior null and partial responders as well [24–26]. Based on these studies, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved response-guided therapy (RGT) for prior relapers who achieved extended rapid virological response (eRVR) [27]. This allows prior relapers to discontinue all treatment after 24 weeks if HCV RNA is undetectable at weeks 4 and 12. In Japan, duration of triple therapy is 24 weeks without regard for response to prior treatment.
| Study       | Design                        | Results     |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| **PROVE I** |                               |             |
| McHutchison et al. [20] | T12PR24: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | PR: 41 %    |
|            | T12P48: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | T12PR24: 61 % |
|            | PR48: 12 week placebo + 48 week PR | T12P48: 67 % |
| **PROVE II** |                               | SVR         |
| Hezode et al. [21] | T12PR24: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | PR48: 46 %  |
|            | PR48: 12 week placebo + 48 week PR | T12PR24: 69 % |
| **PROVE III** |                               |             |
| McHutchison et al. [22] | Patients with prior PR treatment failure | T12PR24: 51 % |
|            | T12PR24: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | T24PR48: 53 % |
|            | T24PR48: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | T24P24: 24 % |
|            | T24P24: 12 week TVR + 24 week PR | PR48: 14 %  |
|            | PR48: 12 week placebo + 48 week PR |            |
| **ADVANCE** |                               | SVR         |
| Jacobson et al. [23] | Treatment-naïve patients | T8PR: 69 % |
|            | T8PR: 8 week TVR + 24 or 48 week PR RGT | T12PR: 75 % |
|            | T12PR: 12 week TVR + 24 or 48 week PR RGT | PR: 44 %  |
|            | PR: 12 week placebo + 48 week PR |            |
| **ILLUMINATE** |                               | SVR         |
| Sherman et al. [55] | Treatment-naïve patients | T12PR24: 92 % |
|            | T12PR24: 12 week TVR + 24 or 48 week PR RTG | T12P48: 88 % |
| **REALIZE** |                               | SVR by treatment |
| Zeuzem et al. [24] | Patients with prior PR treatment failure | T12P48: 64 % |
|            | T12PR48: 8 week TVR + 48 week PR | Lead-in T12P48: 66 % |
|            | Lead-in T12PR48: 4 week PR + 8 week TVR + 48 week PR | PR48: 17 %  |
|            | PR48: 12 week placebo + 48 week PR | SVR by prior history |
|            |                                | Relapsers: 83–88 % |
|            |                                | Partial responders: 54–58 % |
|            |                                | Non-responders: 29–33 % |
| **Yamada et al. [32]** | Phase Ib; N = 10 | ETR: 10 % |
|            | Treatment-naïve Japanese patients |            |
|            | TVR monotherapy: 12 week |            |
| **Ozeki et al. [19]** | Phase IIa; N = 4; single-arm, open label | SVR (off-study): 100 % |
|            | Older female Japanese patients with prior PR treatment failure |            |
|            | TVR monotherapy: 24 week + off-study PR |            |
| **Toyota et al. [33]** | Phase II; N = 15; single-arm, open-label | SVR: 7 % |
|            | Treatment-naïve Japanese patients |            |
|            | TVR monotherapy: 24 week |            |
| **Kumada et al. [28]** | Phase III; N = 189 | SVR        |
|            | Treatment-naïve Japanese patients | TR12P24: 73 % |
|            | TR12P24: 12 week TVR + 12 week PR | P48: 49 %  |
|            | P48: 48 week PR |            |
Clinical trials of telaprevir in Japan

Triple therapy in treatment-naive patients

Although Asians are under-represented in the above studies (1–2 %), several phase II and III clinical trials have also been performed in Japan (Table 1). In Kumada et al. [28], 126 patients were randomly assigned to 12 weeks of telaprevir triple therapy followed by 12 weeks of combination therapy, and 63 patients were assigned to 48 weeks of combination therapy. Early viral dynamics varied greatly between the two groups, with more rapid and extensive loss of HCV RNA and a significantly higher rate of SVR in the triple therapy group (73.0 vs. 49.2 %). Rates of viral breakthrough and relapse did not differ between the treatment groups. However, patients who underwent triple therapy experienced a significantly higher incidence of side effects during the telaprevir phase of the treatment. Because HCV patients in Japan tend to be more than 10 years older than patients in Western countries and include a higher proportion of women, ribavirin-induced anemia is of particular concern [29]. Moderate or severe anemia developed in 38.1 % of patients in the triple therapy group compared to 17.5 % in the combination therapy group [30]. The ribavirin dose was adjusted accordingly, resulting in a lower total ribavirin dose in the triple therapy group. However, ribavirin dose reduction did not significantly impact treatment efficacy. Skin disorders were about twice as common in triple therapy patients (46.8 vs. 23.8 %), and severe skin lesions were only observed in this group. Due to the higher SVR rate and shorter duration of triple therapy, the study authors recommend triple therapy over combination therapy for treatment of HCV genotype 1 in Japan but stress the need for careful monitoring of hemoglobin levels and close coordination with a dermatologist.

Triple therapy in patients with prior treatment failure

In a second phase III clinical trial in Japan, Hayashi et al. [31] examined the safety and efficacy of triple therapy for difficult-to-treat patients who either relapsed (109) or failed to respond to prior interferon therapy (32). As in the previous studies, patients were treated to 12 weeks of triple therapy followed by 12 weeks of combination therapy. SVR rates were 88.1 % for prior relapers and 34.4 % for prior non-responders. Adverse events were common but moderate. 82 % of patients experienced rash or other skin disorders, mainly during the telaprevir phase, and nearly all (98.6 %) patients required ribavirin dose reduction for anemia, although ribavirin dose reduction had no effect on SVR rate down to about 20 % of the planned dose. Telaprevir was discontinued in 21.3 % of patients, and all drugs were discontinued in 16.3 % of patients. SVR rates in prior relapers were significantly higher among men than women (93.9 vs. 79.1 %), but there was no difference among prior non-responders. Rates of viral breakthrough (18.8 %) and relapse (40.6 %) were significantly higher among prior non-responders and were more common after completion of the telaprevir phase, suggesting that extension of telaprevir therapy past 12 weeks or continuation of combination therapy past 24 weeks may improve response for prior non-responders. The study authors recommend weekly hemoglobin monitoring and note that even sharp reductions in ribavirin dose may allow therapy to continue without adversely affecting outcome.

Side effects of telaprevir in clinical trials in Japan

An early phase Ib study was conducted in Japan to examine the safety, tolerability, and antiviral profile of telaprevir monotherapy over 12 weeks in 10 treatment-naive patients with high viral loads of genotype 1b [32]. Telaprevir was well tolerated and no serious adverse events occurred, but 80 % of patients developed a rash and 70 % experienced anemia. Telaprevir monotherapy demonstrated potent antiviral activity, with HCV RNA levels decreasing by 2.3 log10 by 16 h and by 5.2 log10 after 2 weeks. HCV RNA dropped to the limit of detection or became undetectable in all patients during the course of therapy, but only one patient achieved an end-of-treatment response. Viral breakthrough occurred in 8 patients, mainly due to Ala156 mutation. However, resistance mutants reverted to wild type during the 24 week follow-up period.
Another study examined safety and efficacy of telaprevir monotherapy over a longer duration of 24 weeks with a larger number of patients and a greater range of viral loads [33]. The only patient who achieved SVR also had the lowest baseline viral load (3.55 log_{10} IU/ml), but three other patients were able to achieve an end-of-treatment response. HCV RNA levels decreased rapidly (average −5 log_{10} IU/ml), and HCV RNA became undetectable in 5 patients within 8 weeks. 10 out of 15 patients (66 %) discontinued the drug due to viral breakthrough, adverse events, or other causes. Incidence of adverse events was high (14/15 patients) and 7 out of 15 patients (47 %) developed anemia, but most incidences were mild to moderate, and anemia did not lead to discontinuation of therapy. Telaprevir dose reduction due to anemia but did not require discontinuation. Resistance variants were detected in three patients, and two patients experienced viral breakthrough. Additional substitutions and variants emerged as therapy progressed. However, at the end of the telaprevir administration, all four patients were given at least 48 weeks of standard therapy, and all patients were able to achieve SVR. Although this approach results in longer duration of therapy, it avoids the need for simultaneous administration, all four patients were given at least 48 weeks of standard therapy, and all patients were able to achieve SVR. At the same time, mutations conferring resistance often have reduced fitness and may require compensatory mutations in order to compete with wild-type viruses. Nonetheless, HCV sub-genotypes vary substantially in sequence, and some are likely to have a reduced genetic barrier against certain DAA drugs. For example, viral genotypes 1a and 1b already have different genetic barriers to telaprevir resistance; amino acid substitution of amino acid 155 requires only one nucleotide change in genotype 1a, whereas genotype 1b requires two nucleotide substitutions [36, 37]. Resistance substitutions at six major sites within the NS3 HCV protease have been reported, including at amino acids 36, 54, 155, 156, 168, and 170, and some substitutions are known to act synergistically [35]. At least 50 direct-acting antiviral drugs are at some stage of development, but these belong to a small number of distinct drug classes, increasing the risk of cross-resistance. Although wild-type strains are typically restored following removal of the drug due to viral breakthrough, prior treatment experience with DAAs, especially in high-risk subpopulations such as injection drug users, may increase the risk of transferring partially resistant strains during new infections.

**Patient selection and predictive factors for triple therapy**

Telaprevir triple therapy is an extension of peg-interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy. Therefore, factors that predict the outcome of combination therapy might also help to predict outcome of triple therapy. Age, fibrosis, obesity, hepatic steatosis [38], LDL cholesterol, gamma-GTP [39], insulin resistance [40], baseline viral titer [38, 41], and IL28B SNP genotype [42–44] are known to affect response to combination therapy. HCV genotype [41] and genetic variants within the viral genome, including amino acid substitutions at positions 70 (Core70) and 91 (Core91) of the HCV core protein and substitutions within the NS5A interferon sensitivity determining region (ISDR) [45, 46], are also thought to influence response to combination therapy. Akuta et al. [47] reported that Core70 substitution and partial response to prior therapy were significant predictors of SVR for triple therapy, and partial response and alpha-fetoprotein levels were significant predictors of end-of-treatment response. Chayama et al. [26] reported that IL28B SNP genotype, rapid virological response (RVR), and response to prior therapy were predictive of outcome of triple therapy. Prior relapers achieved high levels of SVR (93 %), whereas patients who failed to respond to combination therapy were also less likely to respond to triple therapy. ITPA SNP genotype did
not influence outcome of therapy, but patients with the anemia-susceptible ITPA SNP rs1127354 genotype typically required ribavirin dose reduction earlier than patients with other genotypes. Predictive factors for SVR identified during the ADVANCE phase III clinical trial include race, viral load, IL28B, RVR, and stage of fibrosis [48]. IL28B and on-treatment factors such as RVR appear to remain important predictors for response to triple therapy and may aid in patient selection and determination of treatment duration [48].

2012 guidelines for treatment of patients with chronic hepatitis C

Two guidelines for treatment of chronic HCV are available in Japan, both providing recommendations for patient selection for telaprevir triple therapy. Triple therapy in Japan consists of 12 weeks of telaprevir (Telavic) in combination with 24 weeks of dual peg-interferon α 2b (Peg-Intron) and 24 weeks of ribavirin (Rebetol).

Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis: 2012 Guideline on Therapy for Chronic Hepatitis C

The following are the most recent guidelines from the Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis published by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (Tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). The recommended course of treatment differs depending on HCV genotype, viral titer, and prior history of interferon treatment. Patients with high viral load (>5.0 log IU/ml) of genotype 1 are considered difficult to treat and are recommended for triple therapy in both interferon-treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients (Tables 2, 3). In this group of patients, IL28B SNP genotype, HCV Core70 and ISDR substitutions are strong predictors of treatment outcome and may be used to determine the starting therapy. Patients with rs8099917 TT genotype are recommended for triple therapy. If telaprevir is contraindicated due to age, gender, or hemoglobin levels, peg-interferon plus ribavirin may be used instead (Table 4). However, combination therapy alone without telaprevir is not recommended for patients with rs8099917 TG/GG genotype, Core70 mutant, and wild type ISDR (0–1 substitutions) due to poor response to combination therapy in these patients (Table 4). For treatment-naive patients with low viral loads of either genotype 1 or genotype 2, the recommended treatment is 24–48 weeks of peg-interferon α 2a (Pegasys) (Table 1). Recommended treatment for patients with high viral load of genotype 2 is 24 weeks of dual therapy with ribavirin and either peg-interferon α 2b or interferon β (Feron). In the case of adverse drug reactions, such as depression, or in the case of increased risk of adverse drug reactions due to age, interferon β plus ribavirin should be

### Table 2

| High viral load | Genotype 1                  | Genotype 2                  |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| ≥5.0 log IU/mL | Peg-IFN α 2b: Peg-Intron (24 weeks) | Peg-IFN α 2b: Peg-Intron                                           |
| ≥300 fmol/L    | +Ribavirin: Rebetol (24 weeks) | +Ribavirin: Rebetol (24 weeks)                                     |
| ≥1 Meq/mL      | +Telaprevir: Telavic (12 weeks) | IFN β: Feron                                                          |
| Low viral load | IFN (24 weeks)               | IFN (8–24 weeks)                                                     |
| <5.0 log IU/mL | Peg-IFN α 2a: Pegasys (24–48 weeks) | Peg-IFN α 2a: Pegasys (24–48 weeks)                                 |
| <300 fmol/L    |                             |                                                                         |
| <1 Meq/mL      |                             |                                                                         |

### Table 3

| High viral load | Genotype 1                  | Genotype 2                  |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| ≥5.0 Log IU/mL | Peg-IFN α 2b + Ribavirin (24 weeks) | Peg-IFN α 2b + Ribavirin (36 weeks) OR |
| ≥300 fmol/L    |                             | Peg-IFN α 2a + Ribavirin (36 weeks) OR |
| ≥1 Meq/mL      | +Telaprevir (12 weeks) combined therapy | IFN β + Ribavirin (36 weeks) |
| Low viral load |                             |                                                                         |
| <5.0 log IU/mL |                             |                                                                         |
| <300 fmol/L    |                             |                                                                         |
| <1 Meq/mL      |                             |                                                                         |
considered for patients, regardless of genotype 1 or 2. Previously treated patients with genotype 1 should be treated with triple therapy, consisting of 12 weeks of telaprevir and 24 weeks of peg-interferon α 2b and ribavirin regardless of viral load (Table 3). Patients with genotype 2 should be given 36 weeks of dual therapy with ribavirin and either peg-interferon α 2a/b or interferon β (Table 3).

Telaprevir triple therapy is associated with an increased risk of anemia, skin lesions, and other side effects compared to peg-interferon plus ribavirin dual therapy, especially among females and older patients [20, 26]. Initial dosages should be determined based on the patient’s age, weight, and expected tolerability. However, for female patients with baseline hemoglobin levels between 13 and 14 g/dl or male patients with baseline hemoglobin levels between 12 and 13 g/dl, ribavirin dosage should be reduced by 200 mg and telaprevir dosage should be reduced to 1500 mg (Table 5). Triple therapy is unsafe in patients with baseline hemoglobin levels <12 g/dl. Hemoglobin levels should be closely monitored, and in the case of anemia ribavirin, dosage should be reduced based on both the absolute value of the hemoglobin levels as well as the amount of the reduction (Table 6). Triple therapy should be conducted in cooperation with a dermatologist to manage the high risk of potentially serious skin problems, including Stevens–Johnson syndrome and drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome. Use of all three drugs should immediately cease in the event of serious skin problems. In the event of cutaneous symptoms, adequate treatment should begin early in consultation with a dermatologist. Benefits and risks of administration of oral steroids or other drugs should be

| Table 4 Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis: pretreatment indicators for triple therapy |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Indications for therapy involving a host factor (IL28B) and two viral factors (ISDR and Core70) at the start of triple combined therapy including telaprevir in the initial therapy for the treatment-naive patients with high viral load of genotype 1 |

1. Telaprevir triple therapy is recommended in patients homozygous for the favorable IL28B SNP allele (e.g., rs8099917 T/T genotype) because the anticipated effect of the therapy is high. If telaprevir therapy is likely to be difficult in consideration of the patient’s age, gender, hemoglobin level, or other factor, then peg-interferon α or interferon β plus ribavirin combination therapy should be chosen instead.

2. Telaprevir triple therapy may be preferred over interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy in patients with an unfavorable IL28B SNP genotype (rs8099917 T/G or G/G), wild-type ISDR (0–1 substitutions), and a Core70 mutation, because the effect of interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy is low in these patients.

| Table 5 Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis: guidelines for ribavirin and telaprevir dose reduction based on baseline hemoglobin levels |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Baseline hemoglobin (g/dl) | Ribavirin | Telaprevir |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------|
| ≥14.0                       | Conventional dose | Conventional dose (2250 mg) |
| 13.0–14.0                   | Decrease by 200 mg (females only) | Decrease to 1500 mg (females only) |
| 12.0–13.0                   | Decrease by 200 mg | Decrease to 1500 mg |
| <12.0                       | Triple therapy unsafe | |

Initial ribavirin and telaprevir dosages relative to hemoglobin levels are estimated based on the results of clinical trials. Initial dosages should be determined by a specialist based on the patient’s age, weight, etc.

| Table 6 Study Group for the Standardization of Treatment of Viral Hepatitis Including Cirrhosis: precautions for triple therapy with peg-interferon α 2b, ribavirin, and telaprevir in case of high viral load of genotype 1 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Severe anemia occurs more frequently in peg-interferon α 2b plus ribavirin plus telaprevir triple therapy compared to interferon plus ribavirin combination therapy. Care should be taken to monitor hemoglobin levels, and in case of anemia, ribavirin dosage should be adjusted based on consideration of both the absolute value of hemoglobin as well as the amount of hemoglobin reduction. Because the risk of anemia increases with age, peg-interferon α or interferon β plus ribavirin combination therapy is the preferred initial therapy for older female patients or patients with low hemoglobin levels and high viral loads of genotype 1 |

2. Peg-interferon α 2b plus ribavirin plus telaprevir triple therapy should be conducted in coordination with a dermatologist because serious skin problems such as Stevens–Johnson syndrome and drug-induced hypersensitivity syndrome are likely to occur. In the event of severe skin problems, use of all three drugs should be immediately ceased. If cutaneous symptoms are expressed, adequate treatment should begin at an early date. Course of treatment should be decided in cooperation with a dermatologist in view of the respective risks and benefits, and administration of oral steroids should be considered if necessary.

3. Some patients experience an increase in uric acid and creatinine levels rise during the first week of peg-interferon α 2b plus ribavirin plus telaprevir triple therapy. If uric acid levels become aberrant, early administration of a therapeutic agent for hyperuricemia is required.
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considered, if necessary. Some patients may also experience a rapid increase in uric acid levels at the start of therapy (1–7 days), in which case a therapeutic agent should be administered early to reduce hyperuricemia.

Japan Society of Hepatology: 2012 guidelines for treatment of chronic HCV

The 2012 guidelines supported by the Japan Society of Hepatology (http://www.jsh.or.jp/english/index.html) provide more specific recommendations for patients with high viral load of HCV genotype 1 based on factors including patient age, IL28B SNP genotype, Core70 and ISDR substitutions, prior treatment history, and stage of fibrosis. The English version of this guideline will be published soon in Hepatology Research (2012). Treatment-naïve patients with rs8099917 TT genotype should be given triple therapy, if possible, but combination therapy may be substituted if telaprevir is contraindicated (Fig. 1a). Interferon β plus ribavirin may also be substituted in case of depression. Therapy should also be postponed in patients with both the unfavorable IL28B SNP genotype (TG/GG) and Core70 mutation due to the poor expected outcome of therapy. When IL28B and Core70 data are not available, patients should be treated with triple therapy or combination therapy, depending on tolerability and fibrosis stage (Fig. 1b). Therapy may be postponed in nonelderly patients (≤65) with mild fibrosis.

Triple therapy provides a retreatment opportunity for patients who were unable to eradicate the virus during prior therapy. However, not all patients show an improved response, and a patient’s response to the prior therapy should be used as a guide for treatment selection, if available. Patients who experienced relapse or partial response are expected to respond well to therapy and should be administered triple therapy or combination therapy depending on age and stage of fibrosis (Fig. 2a). On the other hand, patients who experienced null response during prior therapy should be administered triple therapy, if possible; otherwise, treatment should be postponed, as combination therapy alone is unlikely to be successful. When treatment history is unknown but IL28B SNP and Core70 data are available, guidelines for treatment-naïve patients should be followed (Fig. 2a).

Fig. 1 Japan Society of Hepatology: 2012 treatment guidelines for treatment-naïve chronic HCV patients with high viral load of genotype 1. a Patients with the favorable IL28B SNP genotype (rs8099917 TT) and/or wild type viral core protein amino acid 70 (Core70) should be treated with triple or combination therapy, if possible, depending on age and fibrosis stage. Patients with both the unfavorable IL28B SNP genotype (TG/GG) and Core70 substitution should postpone therapy due to poor expected outcome. b When IL28B SNP genotype and Core70 substitutions are unavailable, treatment is determined based on patient age and stage of fibrosis.
both treatment history and IL28B/Core70 data, patients should be treated with triple therapy or combination therapy, depending on tolerability and fibrosis stage (Fig. 2b).

### Future therapies

The development, clinical testing, and approval of telaprevir triple therapy is the culmination of a decades-long process [49]. At the same time, however, the introduction of telaprevir and boceprevir represents the first success in a much broader direct antiviral strategy targeting multiple facets of the viral life cycle. Future clinical trials involving triple therapy are likely to lead to further improvements in SVR rate, shorter duration of therapy, and improved management of side effects, especially among specific patient subgroups. Future research will also identify new predictive factors associated with response to DAA therapy, including risk of viral breakthrough and adverse events.

A major goal of future clinical research, however, is to move beyond interferon-based therapy in favor of interferon-free DAA combination therapies. A number of novel DAAs are currently undergoing clinical testing (Table 7), and DAAs are being evaluated in combination with interferon as well as other DAAs (Table 8). Many other drugs and vaccines are currently in some stage of clinical testing (http://www.hcvadvocate.org/hepatitis/hepC/HCVDrugs_2012.pdf). Telaprevir and other DAAs under development are not intended for use in monotherapy due to the low genetic barrier to resistance. However, combinations of DAAs with different viral targets and mechanisms of action should have a higher genetic barrier. For example, in a chimeric mouse model a protease inhibitor (telaprevir) in combination with an RNA polymerase inhibitor (MK-0608) resulted in rapid clearance of HCV RNA without emergence of resistance mutants [50].

Several DAA combination therapies have entered phase II clinical trials in humans. Safety and efficacy of dual therapy with daclatasvir (NS5A inhibitor) and asunaprevir (NS3 protease inhibitor) was examined in two phase II clinical trials in the US and Japan for difficult-to-treat genotype 1 patients with null response to prior interferon therapy [51–53]. The studies differed notably with respect to sub-genotype; 81 % of patients in the US study had genotype 1a, whereas all patients in the Japanese study had genotype 1b. In the Japanese study, 77 % of patients achieved SVR (90 % in the sentinel cohort) [52, 53], whereas in the dual DAA therapy arm of the US study (group A), only 36 % of

| Table 7 | Direct-acting antiviral (DAA) drugs in clinical testing |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Protease inhibitor** | Phase I | Phase II | Phase III | Phase IV |
| ACH-2684 | ABT-450 | B1201335 | Telaprevir |
| ACH-1625 | BMS-650032 | TMC435 |
| BMS-791325 | GS-9256 | |
| MK-5172 | MK-7009 | |
| RG7227 | |
| **Polymerase inhibitor** | ALS-2158 | ANA598 | GS-7977 |
| ALS-2200 | B1207127 | Filibuvir |
| ABT-072 | GS-9190 | |
| ABT-333 | IDX184 | |
| MK-3281 | INX-189 | |
| TMC649128 | GS-938 | |
| RG7128 | VX-222 | |
| VX-759 | |
| **NS5A inhibitor** | ACH-2928 | BMS-790052 |
| AZD-7295 | |
| ID719 | |
| PPI-461 | |
| PPI-688 | |
| **NS4B inhibitor** | Clemizole |
| **Entry inhibitor** | ITX-5061 |

[51–53]
patients achieved SVR, while the other patients either relapsed or had viral breakthrough [51]. In the latter study, the two patients with genotype 1b both achieved SVR. All patients in group B, in which all patients received peg-interferon plus ribavirin in addition to daclatasvir and asunaprevir, achieved SVR at 12 weeks after treatment. These discrepancies may reflect differences between genotypes 1a and 1b in the genetic barrier for resistance to this drug combination [51] and suggest that such treatments may be more amenable in Japan where genotype 1b is common.

In another phase II dual DAA therapy study, treatment-naive genotype 1 patients were administered GS-9256, an NS3 serine protease inhibitor, and tegobuvir (GS-9190), a non-nucleoside NS5B polymerase inhibitor, with or without peg-interferon and ribavirin, followed by standard therapy with peg-interferon plus ribavirin [54]. Only 7% of patients receiving dual DAA therapy alone achieved RVR, whereas RVR rates increased to between 67 and 100% among patients who also received peg-interferon and/or ribavirin. Although promising, these studies suggest that interferon and ribavirin will continue to be used in future DAA combination therapies to control viral breakthrough.

Future perspective and conclusion

Although SVR rates still fall far short of 100%, the recent introduction of telaprevir to standard peg-interferon plus ribavirin therapy greatly increases the chance that a patient with chronic HCV infection will be able to successfully clear the virus, and it offers a promising retreatment opportunity for patients who were unable to clear the virus in previous therapy attempts. Despite the higher SVR rate, however, triple therapy also further limits patient eligibility and increases the burden on patients. This issue is of particular concern in Japan where patients tend to be older than in Western countries and at greater risk for HCC, as well as more likely to face complications or treatment discontinuation due to adverse events.
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