Introducing computer-aided detection to the endoscopy suite
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Artificial intelligence (AI) within GI endoscopy is an area of growing interest and ongoing innovation. The use of computer-aided diagnosis (CADx) and computer-aided detection (CADe) technologies based on AI algorithms may help augment endoscopists’ performance if these tools can be effectively incorporated into the endoscopy suite.1

Here we demonstrate the use of a previously validated2 CADe system for colon polyp detection, used in real time during screening colonoscopy, and we discuss several practical considerations when CADe technologies are used in the endoscopy suite.

DESCRIPTION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

The automatic polyp detection system used in this video (Video 1, available online at www.VideoGIE.org) was developed by Shanghai Wision AI Co, Ltd, Shanghai, China. It is a convolutional neural network based on SegNet architecture that has been prospectively studied in a prior randomized clinical trial with use of a dual-monitor setup.3 For the purposes of this video study, a similar dual-monitor setup was used (Fig. 1). The research software uses a deep learning algorithm to detect polyps in nearly real time, with a current latency of approximately 46.56 ± 2.79 ms, and the indicator, or “visual alarm,” can be configured to display as a hollow blue box or as a paint-filled visual indicator (Fig. 2). A prior validation study showed a per-image sensitivity of 94.38%, a per-image specificity of 95.92%, and an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.984 for the detection of polyps.2 For this video study, standard Olympus 190-series high-definition white-light colonoscopes (CF-HQ190LIA; Olympus Corp, Tokyo, Japan) were used. The current system is still being evaluated in an experimental setting, but this and similar systems may be available for commercial use in the future.

CONSIDERATIONS

CADe can be used with a single- or dual-monitor configuration. In the single-monitor configuration, the CADe
output is overlaid directly on the primary endoscopy screen (Fig. 3). In the dual-monitor setup, such as that displayed in Video 1, the endoscopist uses the standard primary endoscopy monitor, along with a second monitor that is adjacent to the primary monitor. The second monitor displays the standard colonoscopy video with AI overlay for CADe polyp detection (Fig. 3). Although a single-monitor setup may be preferred in the future so that the endoscopist can concentrate on just 1 screen, a dual-monitor setup may be preferred for certain study designs and may be less burdensome if latency is greater. Studies show that screen latency of more than 50 to 100 milliseconds may have a negative impact on performance in certain virtual environments.4 Prior experience with multiscreen configurations, such as with the full-spectrum endoscopy technology,5 suggests that physicians can adapt to using multiple screens, but this may affect gaze patterns in an adverse way.6

Many proposed solutions for CADe involve an additional processor or central processing unit, either on a mobile cart or as a horizontally placed processor, which can sit atop the endoscopy processor and light source. We expect that future iterations of CADe technology may be either cloud based or incorporated into the endoscopy processor, obviating the need for a separate CADe processing unit.

**INTRAPROCEDURAL CONSIDERATIONS**

As shown in Video 1, good mucosal inspection techniques, including careful suction of fluid and debris and full insufflation of the colonic lumen during withdrawal, are paramount to ensure optimal performance of the CADe system and to limit false-positive results (Fig. 3). CADe technologies are designed to detect polyps that are partially or fully in the visual field but that otherwise may be missed by the endoscopist. Careful mucosal visualization is still paramount, and in the future, devices that enhance mucosal visualization, such as the EndoCuff Vision distal endoscope attachment (Olympus Corp, Tokyo, Japan),7 may be paired with CADe technology to further improve adenoma detection.

**CASES**

Case 1 represents the detection of a flat lesion during colonoscopy by the CADe system. An ideal CADe technology should demonstrate high sensitivity for the detection of flat lesions such as sessile serrated adenomas, which often have subtle surface features and otherwise serve as a challenge for detection with the naked eye (Fig. 5).8

Case 2 represents the detection of small polyps during colonoscopy, which otherwise may be missed by the endoscopist.

Case 3 represents the delayed detection of a polyp. In some cases, with the current iteration of the technology, the endoscopist may detect the polyp before the deep
These cases serve as an opportunity to iteratively improve CADe software performance, because earlier detection, as soon as the polyp is on screen, is preferred.

Case 4 represents the detection of a sessile serrated polyp with a mucous cap by the CADe system.

Case 5 represents the detection of a laterally spreading tumor by the CADe system.

CONCLUSIONS

Multiple CADe technologies have already shown great promise in detecting colon polyps. More importantly, ongoing prospective clinical trials are already beginning to report data on the impact of CADe on adenoma detection. Similar approaches are being applied to other GI lesions as well, ranging from Barrett’s esophagus to dysplasia detection in inflammatory bowel disease. GI endoscopists will need to familiarize themselves with how AI systems will be incorporated into the endoscopy suite, and we believe that CADe systems for colon polyp detection represent the starting point for this process.
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