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1. Introduction

Sideritis species belongs to the Lamiaceae family and comprises over 150 species spread in the Western Palearctic zone [1]. Aerial parts of plants from the Sideritis genus are used in the traditional medicine of Mediterranean countries to prepare infusions [2, 3]. These infusions are utilized for the treatment of cough, blood pressure [4], and obesity [5]. In previous studies, the antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities of different extracts from several Sideritis species have been reported [6–8]. These activities have been ascribed to the flavonoids, diterpenoids [9], and phenylethanoids isolated from the Sideritis species [10]. Under the genus Sideritis, this study focuses on the plant S. congesta, since among infusions from 7 Sideritis species, the richest infusion in terms of the total phenol and total flavonoid contents belongs to S. congesta [11]. However, the anti-inflammatory effects of an aqueous S. congesta extract have not been described in the literature yet. A study of the evaluation of an ethanolic S. congesta extract for anti-inflammatory activity showed no significant results [12]. The presence of ent-kaurane diterpenoids [9, 13], flavonoids [6, 14], and essential oils [15, 16] in aerial parts of S. congesta has been described. The presence of kaurenes, an important group of diterpenoids in S. congesta, should be emphasized. These are found in many medicinal plants and have a variety of biological effects, including anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities [17]. An enrichment and isolation of compounds from an aqueous S. congesta extract was developed to provide a better understanding of the origin of the known anti-inflammatory activity of this plant. The anti-inflammatory potential of this plant extract is determined in vitro by evaluating the inhibitory activity on COX-2 (enzymatic assay) and NF-κB in a HEK 293 reporter cell line.

Centrifugal partition chromatography (CPC) is a type of hydrostatic countercurrent chromatography (CCC) that uses two immiscible liquid phases without any solid support [18]. The chromatographic separation principle is based on different partition coefficients (K) of each compound between the stationary and mobile phases. This partition
coefficient is expressed as the concentration of a target compound in the stationary phase divided by the concentration in the mobile phase [19]. In addition, isolation of a single compound requires a separation factor \( \alpha > 1.5 \). The separation factor is expressed as the quotient of two partition coefficients (\( K \)) [20]. Using CPC offers various advantages over solid-liquid chromatography processes as CPC allows a fractionation and purification of bioactive compounds from natural products. This includes a higher stationary phase volume [21], which leads to a higher sample load capacity, no irreversible adsorption on the solid phase [21], and therefore maximum sample recovery [22]. Furthermore, CPC consumes less solvent in comparison to solid-liquid chromatography [22] and affords a predictive scale-up from analytical to preparative scale [23]. Particles are tolerated as well, so filtering a sample is not mandatory and a direct separation of crude extracts is possible [24].

CPC is used to isolate active fractions from the complex aqueous crude extract of \( S. \) congesta to enrich and identify anti-inflammatory components. In addition, the first preparative isolation of the flavonoid stachyspinoside \( 1 \) (Figure 1) via CPC from \( S. \) congesta is shown. The aim of the present study was to determine the phytochemical composition and identify anti-inflammatory active ingredients in reduced complexity by fractionation with CPC. Moreover, the most active fractions were enriched in repetitive runs for further characterization of secondary metabolites.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Chemicals. The dried \( S. \) congesta plant was obtained from Wortmann AIG (Germany). Solvents used for the extraction, purification, and analytical steps/chromatographic procedures were of HPLC grade, including tert-butyl methyl ether and acetonitrile (Merck KGaA, Germany). Water was obtained by a Millipore Milli-Q® water purification system. Ammonium acetate (Emsure® grade, Merck KGaA) was utilized as additive to form a biphasic solvent system (60g of leaves and stems) was grounded and mixed 1:1 with diatomaceous earth and was extracted in an ASE™350 device with water for 6 minutes at 90°C (three cycles) and 100 bar in a 100 mL SST (stainless steel) extraction cell. After concentration under vacuum, the concentrate was cooled at 5–10°C. The concentrate was precipitated and filtrated, and the residue was dried under vacuum to provide 2.5 g crude extract. The yield of extraction was calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Yield of extraction} = \frac{\text{mass of dried extract}}{\text{mass of plant}} \times 100\%.
\]

2.2. Apparatus. The extraction of the \( S. \) congesta plant was performed on a Thermo Scientific™ Dionex™ ASE™ 350 device. A description of the instrument has been mentioned previously [25]. A centrifuge (Thermo Scientific™ Heraeus™ Multifuge™ X3F) was used during the preparation of the partition coefficient process. Preparative fractionation was performed on a Gilson® CPC 1000. The device consists of a 1-liter CPC rotor and a fraction collector (Gilson® PLC 2250 UV-1) with a photodiode array detector. HPLC of the collected fractions was performed on a Thermo Scientific™ Ultimate™ 3000 UHPLC module (Chromeloon 7.2 software) connected to a photodiode array detector (PDA) and a charged aerosol detector (CAD) using a Chromolith® HR RP-18e column (100 nm × 4.6 mm id; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt). Determination of total phenolic and saponin content was performed with an Agilent Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. All experiments related to the biological activity were evaluated on a Spark™ 20M microplate reader (Tecan Group Ltd.). HRMS analyses were performed with a Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive™ Plus mass spectrometer coupled with an HESI source. NMR analyses were performed with Bruker Avance III instrument (700 MHz).

2.3. Plant Extract Preparation and Treatment. The plant material (60 g of leaves and stems) was grounded and mixed 1:1 with diatomaceous earth and was extracted in an ASE™350 device with water for 6 minutes at 90°C (three cycles) and 100 bar in a 100 mL SST (stainless steel) extraction cell. After concentration under vacuum, the concentrate was cooled at 5–10°C. The concentrate was precipitated and filtrated, and the residue was dried under vacuum to provide 2.5 g crude extract. The yield of extraction was calculated as follows.

\[
\text{Yield of extraction} = \frac{\text{mass of dried extract}}{\text{mass of plant}} \times 100\%.
\]

2.4. HPLC Analysis of Crude Extracts. Prior to screening in all biological assays, the crude extract and all CPC fractions were analyzed by HPLC. The analysis was performed using a Thermo Scientific™ UltiMate™ 3000 HPLC system equipped with a Chromolith® HR RP80e column (100 nm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm, Merck KGaA, Germany). The mobile-phase flowrate was set to 1 mL/min. A linear gradient of acetonitrile (B) and water (A) was used. The gradient extended from 0 to 44 min and consisted of 5–80% B (for details, see Appendix). The samples were monitored at 260 nm. A quantification of compounds was performed with a Corona™ Veo™ RS CAD detector system. Therefore, an inverse gradient was used in addition to the normal gradient at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Caffeine was used as internal standard.

2.5. Biphasic Solvent System and Partition Coefficient (K Value) Determination for Stachyspinoside. A biphasic solvent system from the terAcWat solvent family was prepared and equilibrated. 2 mL of the lower phase (water and acetonitrile) and 2 mL of the upper phase (tert-butyl methyl ether) were transferred to a test tube. The crude extract (2 mg) was added to this biphasic phase. The test tube was shaken for 30 minutes, mixed in a centrifuge for 3 minutes at 1500 rpm, and allowed to settle for 5 min. The organic phase (0.7 mL) was taken, evaporated to dryness, and dissolved in the same volume (0.7 mL) of HPLC (H₂O/ACN 8:2) eluent. Afterwards, the upper and lower phases were analyzed by HPLC (20 µL injection volume) at 260 nm. The K value was expressed as the peak area of compounds in the upper phase divided by that in the lower phase (2). The separation factor \( \alpha \) was calculated as the quotient between two \( K \) values (3).
2.6. Centrifugal Partition Chromatography (CPC) Separation of the Crude Extract. CPC separations were performed using a two-phase solvent system composed of 3 solvents: tert-butyl methyl ether, acetonitrile, and water (terAcWat). The isolation of stachyspinoside 1 was performed using the solvent system terAcWat +1.5 (3.5 : 6.5 : 10, v/v). To increase the separation factor $\alpha$, ammonium acetate (0.1%) was added to the solvent system. The fractionation of the crude extract was carried out with the solvent system terAcWat +1 (4 : 6.10, v/v) to further enrich secondary metabolites. First, the column was filled with the stationary phase; then the apparatus was rotated at 1500 rpm and the CPC column was equilibrated with the mobile phase at a flow rate of 30 mL/min or 50 mL/min. After having reached the hydrodynamic equilibrium, a sample solution (50 mL) was injected into the column. The separation was performed at a flow rate of 30 mL/min or of 50 mL/min and monitored with a PDA detector at 260 nm. The fractions were collected in 25 mL test tubes and evaporated under vacuum at 60°C. A fractionation of the extract was performed once in the ascending mode and once in the descending mode. The obtained fractions were dissolved in an acetonitrile/water mixture for HPLC analysis.

2.7. Cell Viability. The crude extract of *S. congesta* and its fractions were evaluated for their cytotoxicity on HEK 293 cells. The evaluation of the cell viability was carried out by the determination of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) content by firefly luciferase. This method enabled an assessment of the *in vitro* cytotoxicity. The ATPlite 1step luminescence assay was performed as recommended in the kit manual (Perkin Elmer). Cell media was used as a positive control. This signal was set to 100% viability. Tween 80 was selected as negative control. This signal was set to 100% cytotoxicity. All samples were tested at concentrations between 0.1 and 0.0001% and normalized between 0 and 100%. The concentration causing 50% toxicity (TC$_{50}$) was calculated assuming that the dose response curve will a standard slope, equal to a hill slope of $-1.0$. The concentration causing 20% toxicity (TC$_{20}$) serves as a reference for the maximum concentration of the natural product fractions used.

2.8. Enzymatic COX-2 Inhibition. Inhibition of enzymatic COX-2 was quantified using the fluorometric COX-2 inhibitor screening kit (BioVision, USA). The assay was performed as recommended in the kit manual (BioVision, 2018a). Measurement of the fluorescence signal (Ex/Em = 535/587 nm) was recorded kinetically at 25°C for 10 min. Afterwards, two appropriate points ($T_1$ and $T_2$) in the linear range of the plot were chosen and the corresponding fluorescence values (RFU$_1$ and RFU$_2$) were obtained. Each natural product sample was tested at a concentration of 0.1%. All samples were analyzed 6-fold. Celecoxib (0.5 µM) was used as a positive control. The calculation of the slope for all samples (S), including enzyme control (EC), was performed by dividing the ΔRFU (RFU$_2$ – RFU$_1$) values by the time ΔT ($T_2$ – $T_1$). Percentage of relative inhibition was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ relative inhibition} = \frac{\text{slope of EC} - \text{slope of S}}{\text{slope of EC}} \times 100%.
\]

2.9. Cellular NF-κB Inhibition. Inhibition of NF-κB was quantified using GloResponse™ NF-κB-RE-luc2P HEK 293 cell line. Cells were cultivated in a suitable culture medium (DMEM) in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO$_2$. The assay was performed as recommended in the kit manual (Promega). A TNF alpha solution in assay medium (0.1 µg/mL TNF alpha) was used to induce NF-κB activity. A positive control 10 µM dexamethasone in assay medium was selected. Furthermore, an unstimulated control composited of cells in assay medium and a cell-free control composited of assay medium were measured to subtract background luminescence signal (see the equation below). All samples were tested at a concentration of 0.1%. Each sample was analyzed as replicates (n = 6). Luminescence signal was measured to compare the biological activity of the separated natural product fractions as follows:

\[
K = \frac{c \text{ (peak area upper phase)}}{c \text{ (peak area lower phase)}}, \tag{2}
\]

\[
\alpha = \frac{K_1}{K_2}, \quad K_1 > K_2. \tag{3}
\]
2.10. Quantification of Total Phenolic Content. The total phenolic content was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method [26]. Aqueous stock solutions (1 mg/mL) were prepared for each extract sample. A sample solution (1 mL) was transferred into a 20 mL volumetric flask. 0.2 N Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10 mL) and sodium carbonate solution (7.5%, 8 mL) were added. Finally, the volumetric flask is filled up with demineralized water. After 2 h of incubation at room temperature, the sample absorbance was measured at 765 nm. The blank is prepared using 1 mL of water by the same procedure as described above. Reference substance was gallic acid (five-point calibration, 25–150 µg/mL). The total phenolic content was expressed as milligrams gallic acid equivalents (mg GAE/g extract) (curve equation: Y = 0.001609x – 0.010299; R² = 0.994).

2.11. Quantification of Total Saponin Content. Total saponin content was determined according to an adapted method of Oludemi et al. [27]. Aqueous stock solutions (1 mg/mL) were prepared for each extract sample. A sample solution (200 µL) was transferred into a 10 mL test tube and evaporated under reduced vacuum at 60°C. A vanillin-glacial acetic acid solution (5% w/v, 300 µL) and a perchloric acid solution (70%, 1 mL) were added to the residue. The mixture was shaken vigorously for 10 seconds and incubated for 45 min at 60°C in a closed test tube. Then, the tubes were cooled in an ice bath for 10 minutes. Glacial acetic acid (4.5 mL) was added to this mixture and was shaken again for 10 seconds. The sample absorption was measured at 540 nm in a 1 mL cuvette. The extracts were measured against a blank. This blank contained the extract solution (200 µL) prepared using the procedure described above, but glacial acetic acid was added instead of the vanillin-glacial acetic acid solution. Reference was oleanolic acid (six-point calibration, 12.5–400 µg/mL). The total saponin content was expressed as milligrams of oleanolic acid equivalents (mg OAE/g extract) (curve equation: Y = 0.005573x – 0.018238; R² = 0.998).

2.12. Quantification of Total Flavonoid Content. The total flavonoid content was determined using a modified method (AlCl₃) described by Chang et al. [28]. Aqueous stock solutions (1 mg/mL) were prepared for each extract sample. The sample solution (1 mL) was transferred into a 20 mL volumetric flask and mixed with ethanol (2.8 mL), aluminium chloride solution (10%, 0.16 mL), and potassium acetate (1 M, 0.16 mL). Finally, the volumetric flask is filled up with demineralized water. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature, the sample absorbance was measured at 380 nm. The blank is prepared using water (1 mL) with the same procedure described above. Stachyspinoside I (six-point calibration, 10–200 µg/mL) was used as a reference standard as it is a known flavonoid in S. congesta. The total flavonoid content was expressed as milligrams of stachyspinoside equivalents (mg SE/g extract) (curve equation: Y = 0.001609x – 0.010299; R² = 0.994).

2.13. Quantification and Structure Identification of Secondary Metabolites. The analysis of fraction III was performed using a Thermo Scientific™ Vanquish HPLC system equipped with a Chromolith® HR RP18e column (100 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm, Merck KGaA, Germany). The mobile phase flow rate was set to 0.9 mL/min. A linear gradient of acetonitrile (B) and water (A) was used. The gradient extended from 0 to 66 min and consisted of 5–80% B (for details, see Appendix). A Corona™ Veo™ RS CAD detector system was applied for the quantification. Therefore, an inverse gradient was used in addition to the normal gradient at a flow rate of 0.9 mL/min. The quantification was performed with caffeine as internal standard (calibration curve equation: Y = 1.1746x – 0.0623; R² = 0.999).

All HESI-MS experiments were performed on a Thermo Scientific™ Vanquish HPLC system equipped with a Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive Plus (MS) in positive ionization mode. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance III instrument (700 MHz, probehead: CP-TCI, software: TopSpin version 3.1 pl6). Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal standard. Samples were dissolved in DMSO-d₆. The raw data can be found in Appendix.

2.14. Statistical Analysis. The data obtained in in vitro experiments were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), and the parameter comparisons between groups were performed by one-way variance analyses (ANOVA). Tukey’s post hoc analysis followed the ANOVA comparison of the COX-2 results, with every mean being compared to every other mean value. Dunnnett’s post hoc analysis followed the ANOVA comparison of the NF-kB results, with every mean value being compared with the mean of the control (TNF alpha). The data obtained in the group determination experiments were reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). All analyses were conducted using the Prism 7.5 software (GraphPad Software).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Plant Extraction and HPLC Analysis of Crude Extract. An overview of the performed process on S. congesta is described in Figure 2. The aqueous extraction of 60 g plant material provided 2.5 g of a brownish S. congesta crude extract. The calculated yield following (1) is 4.2%. An instrumental analysis (HPLC) of the obtained extract is shown in Figure 3. The use of an internal standard resulted in the determination of the mass fraction of stachyspinoside 1 (61.1%). The presence of the flavonoid stachyspinoside 1 in S. congesta was first reported in 2020 [29]. In addition, the HPLC-CAD chromatogram (Figure 3) showed that the extract quantitatively contained polar compounds (retention
**Figure 2:** Schematic diagram of the performed process in this study.

**Figure 3:** HPLC chromatograms of the aqueous crude extracts obtained from *S. congesta* with a charged aerosol detector (CAD) (a) and PDA detector at 260 nm (b). The peak at 21.6 min corresponds to stachyspinoside (I), and the peak at 18.1 min (2) corresponds to the highest closest peak to stachyspinoside and was considered for the calculation of the separation factor $\alpha$. 
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time around 2 min) and a lower intensity of nonpolar compounds (retention time between 28 and 40 min).

### 3.2. Selection of Solvent System for CPC

Efficient separation of target compounds by CPC requires the choice of an appropriate two-phase solvent system. The selected system should incorporate the following aspects: an ideal partition coefficient (0.2 < K < 5), a sufficient separation factor (α > 1.5), a short settling time of the solvent system (< 25 s) [20], and a satisfactory stationary phase retention (> 60%) [30].

The partition coefficients and separation factors for different solvent systems are summarized in Table 1. All partition coefficients are in the required range (0.2 < K < 5) [20]. It is shown that the addition of ammonium acetate (0.1%) increases the selectivity of the solvent system, which leads to a higher separation factor (α) [31]. The settling time of terAcWat +1.5_0.1%AA is 10 s.

### 3.3. CPC Separation of Stachyspinoside from the Crude Extract

Based on the results of Table 1, terAcWat +1.5_0.1%AA was selected for the separation of stachyspinoside I. Initially, the separation process was optimized in the ascending mode as initial experiments showed a higher peak resolution Rs (2.18-fold), separation factor (1.2-fold), and purity (1.1-fold) with a similar stationary retention phase compared to the descending mode. The operating parameters and fluid dynamics have been optimized for the separation. Therefore, the separation is carried out at a rotor speed of 1500 rpm and a flow rate of 50 mL/min. After optimization, stachyspinoside I is obtained in a single step during 32.5 minutes with a purity > 95%, a peak resolution Rs of 2.0, and a stationary phase retention of 55% (Figure 4). This study is the first to report the isolation of stachyspinoside I using CPC.

The structural identification was achieved with 1H-, 13C-NMR and HRMS (see Appendix).

### 3.4. CPC Fractionation of the Crude Extract

Besides the compound stachyspinoside I, other secondary metabolites might be responsible for the anti-inflammatory activity. Therefore, 3 fractions were obtained from the crude extract. Using the solvent system terAcWat +1 in the descending mode led to the enrichment of fractions I and II within 26 min (Figure 5(a)). Performing a separation of the crude extract with the same solvent system in the ascending mode led to the enrichment of fraction III within 15 min (Figure 5(b)).

After the optimization of CPC conditions, the obtained fractions I-III, the stachyspinoside fraction, and the crude extract were tested in vitro for their anti-inflammatory activity. The secondary metabolites of the most potent fractions were further characterized.

### 3.5. Cell Viability and Anti-Inflammatory Activity

The cytotoxicity of the S. congesta crude extract, the stachyspinoside fraction, and fractions I-III on HEK 293 cells was evaluated (Table 2). Based on these results, all experiments were carried out at a concentration of 0.1%. In addition, fraction II was measured at a concentration of 0.02% (corresponding to its TC50) in the NF-κB assay, to investigate the influence of the cytotoxicity at 0.1%. The results of the anti-inflammatory potential of the CPC fractions and of the crude extract are compared (see Figure 6). Results of the inhibition of COX-2 indicated that fraction I (92.3%) and the crude extract (90.2%) possessed the highest inhibitory activity. Fractions II and III showed a comparable relative inhibition (53.5% vs. 52.5%), while the isolated compound stachyspinoside I showed the lowest relative inhibitory potential (45.4%) (see Figure 6(a)). The positive control Celecoxib had an inhibitory potential of 55.2% and validated this experiment.

In addition, the anti-inflammatory potential, measured via the inhibition of NF-κB translocation into the nucleus in HEK 293 cells, is illustrated in Figure 6(b). Fraction III (99.9%) and S. congesta crude extract (44.8%) significantly inhibited NF-κB transnucleation compared to the TNF alpha control. Fraction II at a test concentration of 0.02% showed a significant proinflammatory signal (9.9%). All other fractions do not differ significantly (ns) from the control. Dexamethasone (10 µM) was used as a positive control to validate the experiment.

In conclusion, the crude extract of S. congesta significantly inhibited the COX-2 activity and NF-κB transnucleation, while fraction I and fraction III showed a significant anti-inflammatory activity in only one of the two assays, COX-2 and NF-κB, respectively. As described previously, 61.1% of the crude extract contains stachyspinoside I. In comparison to all other fractions, stachyspinoside I showed the lowest anti-inflammatory activity on COX-2 and NF-κB. This led to the conclusion that anti-inflammatory potential is mainly attributed to the composition of the remaining 29.9% of the extract. Therefore, fraction I with the most potent inhibitory activity in the COX-2 assay and fraction III with the most potent inhibitory activity in the NF-κB assay were further investigated to identify their secondary metabolites composition.

### 3.6. Quantification of Secondary Metabolites

The phytochemical studies of this S. congesta extract revealed the presence of saponins, phenols, and flavonoids. The content of the secondary metabolites in the most potent anti-

| Table 1: Partition coefficients (K) and separation factor (α) of stachyspinoside I in various solvent systems. |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Solvent system                  | Partition coefficient (K) | Peak 2 (K2)** | Separation factor (α)*** |
| terAcWat +1                     | 0.88            | 0.71           | 1.2            |
| terAcWat +1.5                   | 0.58            | 0.44           | 1.3            |
| terAcWat +1.5_0.1%AA*           | 0.50            | 0.23           | 2.2            |

The results are determined in accordance with chapter 2.5 and correspond to the descending mode. *AA = ammonium acetate. **Peak 2 corresponds to the highest closest peak to stachyspinoside (retention time 18.1 min). ***α = K2/K1, K1 > K2.
Figure 4: CPC separation of stachyspinoside I (peak at 28.5 minutes) performed in the ascending mode (detection at 260 nm). Solvent system: terAcWat +1.5_0.1%AA; sample concentration 6 mg/mL; detection wavelength 260 nm; flow rate: 50 mL/min; rotor speed: 1500 rpm; and retention of stationary phase: 55.1%.

Figure 5: Continued.
inflammatory fractions and in the crude extract of *S. congesta* is presented in Table 3. The highest amount of phenols was found in the crude extract (145.0 ± 0.20 mg GAE/g extract), followed by fraction I (102.3 ± 0.15 mg GAE/g extract) and fraction III (46.4 ± 0.08 mg GAE/g extract). Saponin content was enriched through the CPC purification process. Fraction III showed the highest amount of saponins (251.7 ± 0.95 mg OAE/g extract), followed by the crude extract (55.5 ± 0.87 mg OAE/g extract) and fraction I (39.6 ± 1.78 mg OAE/g extract). Compared to fraction I (108.5 ± 0.19 mg SE/g extract), a higher flavonoid content was found in the crude extract (158.2 ± 1.07 mg SE/g extract) and fraction III (152.2 ± 0.18 mg SE/g extract). These results indicate that phenolic compounds are more concentrated in the polar fraction I after the CPC process while saponins are enriched in the most nonpolar fraction III. The content of flavonoids is distributed between the 3 different CPC fractions. The total flavonoid content should be carefully interpreted. As described above, 61.1% stachyspinoside I was quantified in the crude extract using a HPLC-CAD method with an internal standard. The determined total flavonoid content of the crude extract is significantly lower (15.8%). An evaluation of several flavonoid assays showed that the estimation of the total flavonoid content in unknown samples was inaccurate and that various flavonoid types require different analytical techniques [32, 33]. Therefore, the results presented in Table 3 are considered as a relative comparison of the fractions.

Secondary metabolites like phenols, flavonoids, and saponins are known to contribute to the antioxidative activity of plants [34] and act as anti-inflammatory agents [35, 36]. This led to the hypothesis that the phenolic content of fraction I may contribute mostly to the inhibition of COX-2, while the enriched amount of saponins in fraction III could be responsible for the significant inhibition of NF-κB. For a better understanding of the inhibition of NF-κB, the isolation of compounds from fraction III was targeted.

### 3.7. Quantification and Structure Identification of Secondary Metabolites

Main peaks (1–5) of fraction III were quantified via HPLC-CAD using a calibration curve with caffeine as internal standard (Figure 7(a)). A preparative separation of these peaks was performed via HPLC-PDA (200 nm) to isolate unknown compounds (Figure 7(b)). In addition, structure elucidation with HRMS and NMR was employed to analyze the obtained peaks and to draw conclusions about the anti-inflammatory (NF-κB) activities illustrated in Figure 6(b). All results are presented in Table 4.

According to the quantification via HPLC-CAD method, five main peaks correspond to 96.9% of fraction III. Peak 1 is a substantial part of this fraction with 45.2%, while peaks 2–4 equal between 11.3 and 19.2% and peak 5 corresponds to...
Table 3: Quantification of different secondary metabolite groups.

| Assays                        | S. congesta extract samples |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                               | Crude extract | Fraction I | Fraction III |
| Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g extract) | 145.0 ± 0.20a | 102.3 ± 0.15a | 46.4 ± 0.08a |
| Total saponin content (mg OAE/g extract) | 55.5 ± 0.87a | 39.6 ± 1.78a | 251.7 ± 95a |
| Total flavonoid content (mg SE/g extract) | 158.2 ± 1.07a | 108.5 ± 0.19a | 152.2 ± 0.18a |

The results are determined within the software Prism 7. Results were expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3); **** p < 0.0001% vs. other fractions. OE: oleanolic acid equivalent. OE: oleanolic acid equivalent. C: g/L. 1.44% by comparing the HRMS and NMR spectra data, two peaks were unequivocally identified, namely, lineanol 2 (peak 1) and epicanadigandiol 3 (peak 5). Peak 2 shows a similar structure according to the NMR spectra and the same molecular formula according to the HRMS analysis as peak 1. An impurity by a second compound prevents an unambiguous structure elucidation of peak 2. Peaks 3 and 4 are mixtures that are not sufficiently separated for structural elucidation. Further experiments with an optimized chromatographic separation method are needed. The HRMS analysis data of peak 1 resulted in a different molecular formula than the NMR analysis. One possible explanation is the cleavage of the acetyl group from lineanol 2 under the selected conditions. A repetition of the HRMS analysis resulted in a detected mass [M+H]+ of 363.25299 (m/z), which correspond to the NMR results and confirmed the structure of the ent-kaurene diterpenoid lineanol 2.

All identified secondary metabolites from fraction III belong to the group of ent-kaurene diterpenoids. These substances had been previously isolated from several Sideritis species including S. congesta [9, 37, 38] with petroleum ether or acetone. Linearol 2 is one of the most common ent-kaurene diterpenoid found in Sideritis species [39] and is described among other diterpenoids as inhibitor of COX-2 and NF-kB [17, 39, 40]. Fraction III is an enriched fraction of ent-kaurene diterpenoids, which explains the significant anti-inflammatory results in this study. The quantification of the total saponin content from fraction III resulted in a 251.7 mg/g extract. J.D. Few underlined that nonsteroids can be wrongly detected with this method [41], which could.
explain the obtained results from the quantification of saponins and the results of the structure elucidation. Further experiments need to be performed with a linearol standard in the total saponin assay to validate this hypothesis.

4. Conclusion

In the present research, the anti-inflammatory potential of CPC fractions from an aqueous extract of *S. congesta* is assessed for their ability to inhibit COX-2 activity and NF-κB transnucleation in vitro. CPC fractions I and III exhibited significant anti-inflammatory activities. The phenol, saponin, and flavonoid contents were quantified and compared in fractions I and III to better understand the anti-inflammatory results.

Phytochemical studies on fraction III led to the identification of two ent-kaurane diterpenoids, linearol, epicandicandiol, and probably of one isomer of linearol. Ent-kaurane diterpenoids are known anti-inflammatory agents. The isolated flavonoid stachyspinoside did not show an anti-inflammatory activity of the end points measured.

This article reports the first single-step CPC process for the isolation of stachyspinoside from an aqueous *S. congesta* extract. The described CPC fractionation protocol was shown to be an effective method for the quantification of saponins and the results of the structure elucidation. Further experiments need to be performed with a linearol standard in the total saponin assay to validate this hypothesis.
purification of bioactive natural compounds. The identification of potent anti-inflammatory agents in the aqueous extract of *S. congesta* provided a scientific rational for the traditional use of this plant.

\[ \alpha: \] Separation factor

AA: Ammonium acetate

ASE™: Accelerated solvent extraction device

350:

ATP: Adenosine triphosphate

CAD: Charged aerosol detector

ChMWat: Solvent system: chloroform/methanol/water

CCC: Countercurrent chromatography

COX-2: Cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme

CPC: Centrifugal partition chromatography

DAD: Diode array-detector

EbuWat: Solvent system: ethyl acetate/tert-butyl methyl ether/tert-amyl alcohol

K: Partition coefficient

NF-κB: Nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (protein)

Min: Minutes (time)

pA: Picoampere (unit of charged aerosol detector)

STT: Stainless steel

terAcWat: Solvent system: tert-butyl methyl ether/acetonitrile/water

v/v: Volume/volume percentage.
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