Gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue (GnRHa) alters the expression and activation of Smad in human endometrial epithelial and stromal cells
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Abstract

Gonadotropin releasing hormone analogues (GnRHa) are often used to regress endometriosis implants and prevent premature luteinizing hormone surges in women undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation. In addition to GnRH central action, the expression of GnRH and receptors in the endometrium implies an autocrine/paracrine role for GnRH and an additional site of action for GnRHa. To further examine the direct action of GnRH (Leuprolide acetate) in the endometrium, we determined the effect of GnRH on endometrial stromal (ESC) and endometrial surface epithelial (HES) cells expression and activation of Smads (Smad3, -4 and -7), intracellular signals activated by transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta), a key cytokine expressed in the endometrium. The results show that GnRH (0.1 microM) increased the expression of inhibitory Smad7 mRNA in HES with a limited effect on ESC, while moderately increasing the common Smad4 and Smad7 protein levels in these cells (P < 0.05). GnRH in a dose- (0.01 to 10 microM) and time- (5 to 30 min) dependent manner decreased the rate of Smad3 activation (phospho-Smad3, pSmad3), and altered Smad3 cellular distribution in both cell types. Pretreatment with Antide (GnRH antagonist) resulted in further suppression of Smad3 induced by GnRH, with Antide inhibition of pSmad3 in ESC. Furthermore, co-treatment of the cells with GnRH + TGF-beta, or pretreatment with TGF-beta type II receptor antisense to block TGF-beta autocrine/paracrine action, in part inhibited TGF-beta activated Smad3. In conclusion, the results indicate that GnRH acts directly on the endometrial cells altering the expression and activation of Smads, a mechanism that could lead to interruption of TGF-beta receptor signaling mediated through this pathway in the endometrium.

Introduction

Excess production of ovarian steroids, as well as overexpression of their receptors, is believed to serve as an underlying molecular mechanism that promotes uterine abnormalities such as endometriosis, leiomyoma and endometrial cancer. Gonadotropin releasing hormone analogues (GnRHa) are often sought for medical management of these disorders, due to hypoestrogenic condition created by GnRHa therapy [1-3]. Short-term administration of GnRHa is also used to prevent premature luteinizing hormone (LH) surges in women undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation [4-8]. GnRHa therapy acts primarily at the level of hypothalamus/pituitary/ovarian axis. However, accumulating evidence for the expression
of GnRH and GnRH receptors in several peripheral tissues, including the uterus, implies an autocrine/paracrine action for GnRH, and additional sites of action for GnRHa therapy [9-13]. GnRH treatment is reported to alter the rate of cell growth and apoptosis, and the expression of cell cycle proteins, growth factors, cytokines, proteases, and protease inhibitors in various cell types derived from peripheral tissues, including the uterus [9-21]. In addition, administration of GnRHa in women undergoing controlled ovarian stimulation is reported to induce an imbalance in endometrial expression of ovarian steroid receptors with a profound antimitotic effect, as compared to endometrium of the natural cycle [8], a condition that could result in an unfavorable environment for embryo implantation [4-7].

Transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) is a key regulator of cell growth and differentiation, and the expression of extracellular matrix, adhesion molecules, proteases, and protease inhibitors [14,21-26]. TGF-β and TGF-β receptors are expressed in the endometrium, where their expression is regulated in part by ovarian steroids. Altered expression of TGF-β has also been correlated with several disorders [27], and in the uterus this includes endometriosis, leiomyoma and endometrial cancer [28-32]. The uterine expression of TGF-β and TGF-β receptors is targeted by GnRH treatment, and GnRH is reported to inhibit ovarian steroid-induced TGF-β expression in leiomyoma and myometrial smooth muscle cells, as well as matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in endometrial stromal cells [9,12,14,17,21].

Binding of TGF-β to TGF-β receptors results in the activation of multiple intracellular signaling pathways, including the Smad pathway [33]. Smad pathway, which specifically mediates TGF-β receptors signaling from the cell surface to the nucleus, is comprised of pathway-specific regulatory Smad (RSmad 1, 2, 3, 5 and 8), the common-Smad (Smad4), and the inhibitory Smad (Smad6 and -7) [33]. Smad2 and Smad3 are phosphorylated by the activated TGF-β type I receptor, associate with Smad4 and their complex translocates into the nucleus, where they direct specific transcriptional responses to TGF-β actions. In contrast, the interaction of inhibitory Smads with TGF-β type I receptors prevents phosphorylation of RSmads, resulting in interruption of TGF-β receptor signaling [33]. We have recently reported the expression of Smad3, -4 and -7 in human endometrium, and demonstrated that their expression and Smad3 activation are regulated by TGF-β in endometrial epithelial and stromal cells [34]. Recent studies have also demonstrated a functional interaction between GnRH and TGF-β as well as activin, a member of TGF-β family, involving Smad and MAPK pathways in the pituitary gonadotropes, resulting in regulation of GnRH and GnRH receptor expression [35-38]. In the present study we sought to extend our previous work by examining the direct action of GnRH on Smad expression and activation, as well as GnRH functional interaction with TGF-β action in isolated endometrial stromal cells and endometrial surface epithelial cell (HES).

Materials and Methods
All the materials for isolation and culturing of endometrial cells, RT-PCR, Western blotting and immunocytochemistry were purchased from commercial sources as described [34,39]. Portions of endometrial tissue were collected from premenopausal women (N = 3) who were undergoing hysterectomy for symptomatic uterine leiomyomas. These patients were not taking any hormonal medications at least during the 3 months prior to surgery. The tissues were collected at the University of Florida affiliated Shands Hospital with the approval of the Institutional Review Board.

The endometrial tissues were used for isolation of endometrial stromal cells (ESC) as previously described [34]. Human endometrial surface epithelial cell line (HES) was kindly provided by Dr. Douglas Kniss (Ohio State University, Columbus Ohio). The isolated ESC and HES were cultured as previously described [34]. To determine the direct action of GnRH (Leuprolide acetate; LA) on Smads mRNA and protein expression, ESC and HES were cultured at 1×10⁶ cell/well in 6 well dishes in media containing 10% FBS for 48 hrs. The cells were washed and incubated in serum free/phenol red-free media for an additional 24 hrs and then treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 2, 4, 6 and 12 hrs to determine Smad mRNA expression, and 18, 24 and 36 hrs to determine Smads protein production. Total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and an equal amount of RNA (2 µg) was used to co-amplify Smads and glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate dehydrogenase (G3PDH) mRNA by RT-PCR as previously described [39]. Optimal amplification condition within the logarithmic phase was established over a range of 25–35 cycles with primers for Smads and G3PDH used at equal concentrations with identical PCR buffer containing 1.5 mM MgCl₂. The PCR temperature profile consist of cycle denaturation (95°C for 1 min), annealing (55–61°C for 30s), and extension (72°C for 1 min) followed by an additional 5 min extension at 72°C in the presence of Taq polymerase added at the first annealing incubation. Controls included omission of the reverse-transcription step before PCR amplification, co-amplification of G3PDH mRNA, and inclusion of water blanks. After a 30–35 cycle of amplification the co-amplified Smad:G3PDH PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels. The relative level of Smads mRNA expression was determined from the band intensities and reported as fold changes in Smad:G3PDH mRNA ratio. For protein analysis, the cells
were directly lysed in a buffer containing 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% deoxycholate, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium Ortho-vanadate, 5 mM NaF and phosphatase and protease inhibitor cocktails (Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO). The cell lysates were centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C the supernatants were collected and following determination of their total protein content (Pierce, Rockford, IL), an equal amount of sample proteins were subjected to immunoblot analysis [34,39]. The level of Smad protein production was determined from the band intensity visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents [34,39].

To determine the dose- and time-dependent effect of GnRH on Smad activation (phosphorylated Smad3, pSmad3), HES and ESC were cultured under serum-free/phenol red-free conditions and then treated with 0.01 to 10 µM of GnRH for 15 min, or with 0.1 µM of GnRH for 5, 15 and 30 min. Total protein was isolated and subjected to immunoblotting using anti-pSmad2/3 and Smad3 antibodies, determining the level of Smad3 activation in these cells. To determine the effect of GnRH on translocation of activated Smad3 into the nucleus, HES and ESC were cultured in eight-well slides (Nalge Nunc, Naperville, IL) for 24 hrs in a medium containing 10% serum, and 24 hrs under a serum-free/phenol red-free condition; washed and treated with GnRH (0.1µM) for 5, 15 and 30 min. The cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed in methanol and immunostained with Smad3 antibodies by fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled-indirect method [34,40]. After incubation, the cells were washed with PBS and Vectashield with DAPI (Vector Laboratories) as the mounting medium. Images were captured using an Olympus IX70 microscope configured with DAPI and FITC fluorescent excitation filters, and equipped with digital camera and software image analysis package (Olympus Inc., Melville, NY).

To determine the effect of GnRH on TGF-β-induced Smad3 activation, HES and ESC were cultured under serum-free/phenol red-free condition and then treated with GnRH (0.1 µM), TGF-β1 (2.5 ng/ml) or GnRH + TGF-β1 for 15 min. The specificity of GnRH action on Smad3 activation was determined in serum-starved HES and ESC pretreated with GnRH antagonist, Antide (10 µM) for 2 hrs prior to exposure to GnRH (0.1 µM) for 15 min. To determine if the autocrine/paracrine action of TGF-β is in part responsible for activation of Smad3, HES and ESC were treated with TGF-β receptor type II antisense in order to block the autocrine/paracrine action of TGF-β. HES and ESC were treated with 1 µM of TGF-β type II receptor antisense or sense 20 mer oligonucleotides for 24 hrs [21,39], washed with PBS and then treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 5, 15 and 30 min. Following treatments total protein was isolated and subjected to immunoblotting to determine the level of Smad3 activation in parallel with total Smad3 and β actin (control) as described [34,39,40].

The data is presented as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments. Experiments involving ESC the cells were prepared from three different tissues. The data was analyzed using unpaired Student t-test and repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA). A probability level of P < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

To evaluate the effect of GnRH on Smad expression, endometrial surface epithelial (HES) and endometrial stromal cells (ESC) were maintained under serum-free conditions and then treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 2, 4, 6 and 12 hrs to determine Smad mRNA expression, or for 18, 24 and 36 hrs to determine Smad protein production. As shown in Figure 1, GnRH had little or no significant effect on the expression of Smad3 and Smad4 mRNA in HES and ESC (Bar graphs are not shown), while it significantly increased the expression of Smad2 in HES (P < 0.05) with a limited effect on ESC. At the protein level, GnRH inhibited Smad3 production in ESC and HES after 18 and 36 hrs respectively, which increased in ESC after 36 hrs (P < 0.05, Fig. 2). However, GnRH treatment increased the production of Smad4 and Smad7 protein in ESC after 18 to 24 hrs; while in HES GnRH action occurred after 36 hrs of treatment with an inhibitory effect on Smad7 at 18 hrs (P < 0.05; Fig. 2). The results indicate that GnRH, in a time- and cell specific-manner, differentially regulates the expression of Smads in endometrial epithelial and stromal cells.

We then determine whether GnRH alters the activation of Smad3, either alone, or Smad3-induced by TGF-β. Treatment of serum-starved HES and ESC with GnRH resulted in suppression of Smad3 activation (pSmad3) a time (Fig. 3A) and dose (Fig. 3B) dependent manner in both cells (P < 0.05). Smad3 was primarily immunolocalized in cytoplasmic compartment of HES and ESC, and GnRH (0.1 µM) treatment for 5, 15 and 30 min resulted in accumulation of Smad3 around the nuclear periphery, with limited translocation into the nucleus (Fig. 4; show representative after 15 min of treatment). To determine the specificity of GnRH action on Smad3 activation, the cells were pre-treated with GnRH antagonist, Antide (10 µM), for 2 hrs prior to treatment with GnRH (0.1 µM). Treatment with Antide inhibited Smad3 activation in ESC, with further inhibition following co-treatment with Antide + GnRH compared to GnRH in both cell types (P < 0.05; Fig. 5). The results suggest that both GnRHα (GnRH and Antide) alter Smad activation in endometrial epithelial and stromal cells, however, whether GnRHα directly utilize Smad pathway or their actions are mediated through crosstalk with components of other pathways activated by GnRH.
Time dependent action of GnRH (leuprolide acetate) on Smad3, Smad4 and Smad7 (arrows) mRNA expression in human endometrial surface epithelial cells (HES) and isolated endometrial stromal cells (ESC). Serum-starved cells were treated with GnRH (0.1 \( \mu \text{M} \)) for 2 to 12 hrs and total RNA was isolated from treated and untreated control (Ctrl) cells and subjected to semi-quantitative RT-PCR co-amplifying Smads and G3PDH (lower bands) mRNA shown from a representative experiment. The bar graph show the mean ± SEM of fold change of ratio of Smad7:G3PDH mRNA expression from three independent experiments. b, c, d, and e and c' and are significantly different from a and a', respectively (p < 0.05). M = DNA marker.
Time dependent action of GnRH (leuprolide acetate) on Smad3, Smad4 and Smad7 protein expression in HES and ESC. Serum-starved cells were treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 18, 24 and 36 hrs and cell lysates were prepared from treated and untreated control (Ctrl) and analyzed by immunoblotting for Smads and β-actin as loading control, all shown from a representative experiment. Bar graphs show the mean ± SEM of fold change in Smads expression from three independent experiments. The denote d, b' and d' (Smad3), d, b', and c' (Smad4) and b, d, b' and c' (Smad7) are significantly different from a and a', respectively (p < 0.05).

Figure 2
Figure 3
Time- (A) and dose- (B) dependent effects of GnRH (Leuprolide acetate) on the rate of Smad3 activation (phospho-Smad3; pSmad3). HES and ESC were incubated under serum-free condition and treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 5, 15 and 30 min, or with GnRH at 0.01 to 10 µM for 15 min. The cell lysates were prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting for pSmad2/3 and β-actin as loading control, shown from a representative experiment. Bar graphs show the mean ± SEM of fold change in the rate of Smad3 activation from three independent experiments. In Fig. A: denotes b, c, d, b’, c’, and d’ and in Fig. B, c, d, e, b’, c’, d’, and e’ are significantly different from a and a’, respectively (p < 0.05).
receptors remains to be investigated. Co-treatment of serum-starved HES and ESC with GnRH + TGF-β also resulted in inhibition of Smad3 activation compared to TGF-β treated cells (P < 0.05; Fig. 6). The autocrine/paracrine action of TGF-β in part account for Smad3 activation since pretreatment of HES and ESC with TGF-β type II receptor antisense prior to exposure to GnRH resulted in further suppression of Smad3 activation compared to sense-treated cells (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

In the present study we demonstrated that GnRH, in a dose, time, and cell specific manner, alters the endometrial epithelial and stromal cells expression and production of Smad3, -4 and -7, intracellular proteins that mediate TGF-β receptor signaling from the cell surface to the nucleus. These actions of GnRH on Smads mRNA expression were limited to Smad7, while it induced both stimulatory and inhibitory effects on their protein production. More specifically, GnRH inhibited Smad3 production in ESC and HES, with an increased production in ESC following longer exposure. GnRH increased the production of Smad4 and Smad7 in ESC, however in HES GnRH action occurred after 36 hrs, with an inhibition of Smad7 after 18 hrs of treatment. Although the molecular mechanism how GnRH alters the expression of Smads is not known and requires detailed investigation, their differential regulation at protein levels suggests possible posttranscriptional regulation. The action of GnRH on Smad expression could occur indirectly through the inhibition of TGF-β and TGF-β receptors expression, since TGF-β regulates its own expression and the expression of Smads in several cell types [44-47]. In this respect we have previously reported that GnRH alters the expression of TGF-β, TGF-β receptors and Smads in leiomyoma and myometrial smooth muscle cells, and TGF-β self-regulation in endometrial stromal cells [9,21,39]. Alternatively, GnRH-induced hypoestrogenic condition could result in alteration of Smads expression, because ovarian steroids regulate the endometrial expression of TGF-β and TGF-β receptors [48-50]. A recent report indicated that direct binding of AP-1 (fos/jun) proteins to a Smad binding element facilitates GnRH- and activin-mediated transcriptional activation of the GnRH receptor gene [37].

**Figure 4**

Immunofluorescence localization of Smad3 in HES and ESC. The cells were incubated under serum-free condition for 24 hrs then treated with GnRH (0.1 µM) for 5, 15 and 30 min. Note subcellular localization of Smad3 in untreated control with mostly cytoplasmic and limited nuclear localization, while GnRH-treatment resulted in more cytoplasmic accumulation of Smad3. The figures are shown after 15 min of GnRH treatment with FITC staining used to localize Smad3 and DAPI staining for the nuclei.
The effect of GnRH (0.1 µM) and GnRH antagonist (Antide, 10 µM) on Smad3 activation in HES and ESC. Serum-starved cells were treated with Antide for 2 hrs prior to treatment with GnRH for 15 min. The cells lysates were prepared from treated and untreated control (-) and analyzed by immunoblotting for pSmad2/3 and β-actin as loading control, shown from a representative experiment. Bar graph shows the mean ± SEM of fold change in the rate of Smad3 activation from three independent experiments with denotes b, c, d, b’, c’ and d’ are significantly different from a and a,’ respectively (p < 0.05).
The effect of GnRH on TGF-β1-induced Smad3 activation in HES and ESC.

Figure 6
The effect of GnRH on TGF-β1-induced Smad3 activation in HES and ESC. Serum-starved HES and ESC were co-treated with TGF-β1 (2.5 ng/ml) and GnRH (0.1 μM) for 15 min and cell lysates from treated (+) and untreated (-) groups were analyzed by immunoblotting for pSmad2/3 and β-actin as loading control, shown from a representative experiment. Bar graph shows the mean ± SEM of fold change in the rate of Smad3 activation from three independent experiments with denotes b, c, b' and c' are significantly different from a and a', respectively (p < 0.05).
Because endometrial epithelial and stromal cells express GnRH and TGF-β receptors, GnRH-induced alteration of Smad expression could influence the outcome of TGF-β autocrine/paracrine actions in the endometrium. Smad3 is phosphorylated by activated TGF-β receptor type I and following complex formation with Smad4, translocate into the nucleus where they regulate transcriptional activation of target genes in response to TGF-β. The inhibitory Smad7 also interacts with TGF-β type I receptor, but prevents RSmads activation [33]. In the present study we also found that GnRH altered the activation of Smad3 in ESC and HES. These observations provide the first example of interactions between GnRH and TGF-β signaling in the endometrium, however, recent reports have also demonstrated a functional interaction between GnRH, TGF-β and activin, involving Smad and MAPK activation in the

**Figure 7**
The effect of GnRH (0.1 μM) on Smad3 activation in HES and ESC pretreated with TGF-β type II receptor antisense (1 μM) or sense (1 μM) oligonucleotides for 24 hrs. The cell lysates were prepared from GnRH-treated (+) and untreated (-) cells after 5, 15 and 30 min and analyzed by immunoblotting for pSmad2/3 and β-actin as loading control, shown from a representative experiment. Bar graph shows the mean ± SEM of fold change in the rate of Smad3 activation from three independent experiments with denotes ** are significantly different from untreated (*) controls (p < 0.05).
pituitary gonadotropes, resulting in regulation of GnRH and GnRH receptor expression [35-38]. Collectively, these results support a potential crosstalk between GnRH and TGF-β receptors signaling, involving Smad pathway in the endometrium and possibly the pituitary-gonadal axis. However, translocation of activated Smad3 into the nucleus is required for transcriptional activation of target genes. Unlike TGF-β which induced nuclear accumulation on Smad3 in HES and ESC [34], GnRH treatment resulted in Smad3 accumulation around their nuclear peripheries. The biological significance of GnRH action on Smad3 cellular distribution around the nuclear periphery is unknown, however, activated Smad3 complexes with Smad4 prior to translocation into the nucleus. Therefore, alteration in Smad3 cellular distribution may represent an additional mechanism by which GnRH influences cellular response to TGF-β autocrine/paracrine actions.

Our results also indicated that Antide (GnRH antagonist) had an inhibitory effect on Smad3 activation in ESC and HES, and found that TGF-β autocrine/paracrine action may, in part, account for Smad3 activation in these cells. Until recently it was considered that the biological action of GnRH is mediated only through GnRH-I receptor. Identification of a second form of GnRH receptor, which is structurally and functionally distinct from GnRH-I receptor, suggests that GnRH action could be mediated through either or both receptors, with GnRH-I antagonists having agonistic effects on GnRH-II receptor [41-43]. Human endometrium expresses both forms of GnRH receptors; however it remains to be determined whether GnRH and Antide interact with one or both GnRH receptors, or Antide could convert an antagonist action into an agonist as seen in other cell types [51,52]. Both GnRH receptors are reported to mediate antiproliferative and apoptotic effects of GnRH [13,53].

In addition to modulating Smad3 activation, GnRH also altered the expression of Smad7 in HES and ESC. Smad7 is a key regulator of TGF-β receptor mediated signaling whose expression is highly regulated by TGF-β [44-46]. TGF-β also regulates the expression of TGF-β receptors, ECM, adhesion molecules, proteases, and proteases inhibitors [44-46], whose expression is documented in human endometrium and influenced by GnRHa therapy [14,15,17,25]. These molecules are key components of tissue remodeling process which is critical to endometrial tissue integrity during the menstrual cycle. Therefore, GnRH either directly, or through alteration of TGF-β receptor mediated signaling, could target endometrial tissue remodeling in patients undergoing GnRHa therapy for medical management of endometriosis and leiomyoma, or controlled ovarian stimulation [4-8]. A recent study reported that during controlled ovarian stimulation, GnRHa induced an imbalance in endometrial expression of ovarian steroid receptors with a profound antimitotic effect as compared to endometrium of the natural cycle [8], resulting in an unfavorable environment for embryo implantation [4-8].

How GnRH receptor-mediated actions lead to alteration of Smads expression and activation of Smad3 remains to be elucidated. However, interaction and crosstalk with components of other signaling pathways such as PKC, MAPK and calcium/calmodulin (Ca2+/CaM), that are activated by GnRH and TGF-β receptors can influence Smad pathway [33,47,54-60]. For instance, Smad3 has been shown to serve as a substrate for ERK2 [57,61], while Ca2+/CaM alter receptor activated Smad functions [59]. Since co-treatment of HES and ESC with GnRH antagonized TGF-β action on Smad3 activation, it is quite possible that a crosstalk between GnRH and TGF-β receptor system is operational in HES and ESC. Such interaction between GnRH receptors signaling and crosstalk with Smad was demonstrated in the experiment using TGF-β receptor antisense which blocked/reduced TGF-β autocrine/paracrine action.

In conclusion, the results provide further evidence for the direct action of GnRH in human endometrium. Specifically, we demonstrated that GnRH alters the expression and activation of Smads in endometrial epithelial and stromal cells, suggesting a functional interaction between GnRH and TGF-β receptor signaling pathways, a mechanism that could alter the endometrial response to TGF-β. TGF-β is known to promote cell growth and differentiation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and extracellular matrix turnover, processes that influence the outcome of embryo implantation, endometriosis implants, endometriosis-associated adhesions and endometrial cancer.
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