Evaluation and Comparison of Intercontinental Renewable Energy Transportation System

Yuki ISHIMOTO, Kenzo FUKUDA and Ko SAKATA

(Received November 1, 2014)

The concept in which renewable energy is imported from foreign countries or other regions using energy carriers should be strongly promoted from the viewpoint of energy security and climate change in addition to development of other energy technologies. The apparent economic comparison among electricity and these chemicals as energy carriers was done in our earlier studies. However the costs in our earlier studies were relatively high compared with the present electricity prices. In this paper, cost reduction of these systems is described. By setting parameters properly, the re-electrification cost in Japan is reduced to the range of the present electricity price in Japan. Therefore, large scale demonstration and deployment projects and/or financial incentives for these systems are necessary to commercialize these systems.
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1. Introduction

Renewable energy of high energy density is distributed in specific regions though renewable energy is broadly available on the Earth. For example, suitable areas for electric generation by wind turbines are limited. Energy density of renewable energy is closely related to the cost of energy conversion. The concept in which renewable energy is imported from foreign countries or other regions using hydrogen or other chemicals as energy carrier should be strongly promoted from the viewpoint of energy security and climate change in addition to development of other energy technologies. There are a variety of earlier studies using electricity, liquefied hydrogen (LH2) and organic chemical hydride (OCH) as carriers for renewable energy. However transportation distance of the literatures was less than 5,000 km because the scope of these studies are thought to be transportation between European and neighbor regions. The maximum transportation distance considered was 20,000 km in WE-NET. However evaluation of organic chemical hydride was not done. The apparent comparison among electricity and these chemicals as energy carriers was done from economical viewpoints in our earlier studies. However the re-electrification costs in our earlier studies were relatively high compared with the present electricity price in Japan. In this paper, cost reduction of these systems using chemical energy carriers is described.

This study was partly presented in the GRE2014.
2. Design of Intercontinental Renewable Energy Transportation Systems

2.1 Selection of energy carriers

Advantages and disadvantages of electricity and chemicals as energy carriers are shown in Table 1. Electricity should be transmitted via cables because renewable energy is generally available in the form of electricity. However, the loss of electricity increases with the distance. The long distance electric transmission technology on the order of several thousands of kilometers has been established recently.[10] Conversion of electricity into chemicals requires various facilities such as reactors and storage tanks. Therefore, in the short range transportation, chemicals are thought to be inadequate for energy carriers of renewable energy.

Electricity and two chemicals (liquefied hydrogen and organic chemical hydride (toluene/methylcyclohexane)) were selected as energy carriers of renewable energy for long distance transportation.[9][10] The high voltage direct current (HVDC) technology was selected in order to transmit electricity for long distance.

2.2 Conceptual design for intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems

Conceptual design for intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems using electricity, liquefied hydrogen and organic chemical hydride was done. The source of renewable energy is assumed to supply electricity constantly for simplicity because the capacity ratio and the amplitude change of renewable energy depend on its characteristic. The boundary of evaluation and design is from the electric grid in foreign regions to the one in Japan. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems evaluated.

The unit capacity of designed systems was set to be 1 GW so that designed systems play a large role in the power sector of Japan. The electricity cost of renewable energy supplied in the foreign countries was assumed to be 0.02 USD/kWh.[13] In the report of hydropower by IRENA, the electricity cost of large hydropower ranges 0.02 to 0.19 USD/kWh. In order to seek the potential of these systems, the lowest value was used in this paper.

Table 1 Advantages and disadvantages of electricity and chemicals as energy carriers

|                          | Advantages                      | Disadvantages                |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Electricity              | - Easy to utilize               | - Difficult to store         |
|                          | - Large decrease with distance   | - Transformation loss        |
| Chemicals                | - Easy to store                 |                              |
|                          | - Large fixed cost              |                              |

Table 2 shows unit costs, unit capacities, number of units and cost parameters of the LH2 system in the case of 10,000 km. The LH2 system contains a hydrogen production facility, a desalination plant, liquefaction plants, a loading port including storage tanks, tankers specialized for liquefied hydrogen (LH2 tanker), an unloading port including storage tanks and hydrogen-fired power plants. Table 3 shows unit costs, unit capacities, number of units and cost parameters of the OCH system in the case of 10,000 km. The OCH system contains a hydrogen production facility, a desalination plant, hydrogenation plants, a loading port including storage tanks, tankers specialized for OCH, an unloading port including storage tanks, dehydrogenation plants and hydrogen-fired power plants.

Details of each facility in the systems are described below. Hydrogen gas is produced in an electrolyzer using electricity from renewable energy. The capability of an electrolyzer unit is 500 Nm³/(h unit). The unit cost of hydrogen and organic chemical hydride was done. The source of renewable energy is assumed to supply electricity constantly for simplicity because the capacity ratio and the amplitude change of renewable energy depend on its characteristic. The boundary of evaluation and design is from the electric grid in foreign regions to the one in Japan. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems evaluated.

The unit capacity of designed systems was set to be 1 GW so that designed systems play a large role in the power sector of Japan. The electricity cost of renewable energy supplied in the foreign countries was assumed to be 0.02 USD/kWh.[13] In the report of hydropower by IRENA, the electricity cost of large hydropower ranges 0.02 to 0.19 USD/kWh. In order to seek the potential of these systems, the lowest value was used in this paper.

Table 2 shows unit costs, unit capacities, number of units and cost parameters of the LH2 system in the case of 10,000 km. The LH2 system contains a hydrogen production facility, a desalination plant, liquefaction plants, a loading port including storage tanks, tankers specialized for liquefied hydrogen (LH2 tanker), an unloading port including storage tanks and hydrogen-fired power plants. Table 3 shows unit costs, unit capacities, number of units and cost parameters of the OCH system in the case of 10,000 km. The OCH system contains a hydrogen production facility, a desalination plant, hydrogenation plants, a loading port including storage tanks, tankers specialized for OCH, an unloading port including storage tanks, dehydrogenation plants and hydrogen-fired power plants.

Details of each facility in the systems are described below. Hydrogen gas is produced in an electrolyzer using electricity from renewable energy. The capability of an electrolyzer unit is 500 Nm³/(h unit). The unit cost of

---

Table 1: Advantages and Disadvantages of Electricity and Chemicals as Energy Carriers

| Energy Carrier | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------------|------------|---------------|
| Electricity    | - Easy to utilize | - Difficult to store |
|                | - Large decrease with distance | - Transformation loss |
| Chemicals      | - Easy to store | - Large fixed cost |

---

Fig. 1: A schematic diagram of intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems evaluated in this study.
electrolyzer is 1.25 million USD \(^{13}\). Then hydrogen gas is liquefied in the liquefaction plant and transferred to super-insulated storage tanks of approximately 80,000 m\(^3\)/tank in the case of the LH2 system. The production rate of the liquefied plant is approximately 90t/day. The boil-off gas (BOG) from liquefied hydrogen is back to the liquefaction plant by the BOG compressor. The storage capacity in the loading port is set to be equivalent to 1.5 times the capacity of the tanker. Liquefied hydrogen is transferred to the tanker specialized for liquefied hydrogen, the volume of which is approximately 100,000 m\(^3\). All lost gas caused by BOG during shipping are utilized as a fuel for propulsion. Liquefied hydrogen is unloaded to storage tanks from the tanker at an unloading port. The transfer loss (flash loss) the rate of which is 1.3% was taken into account. The volume of the storage tank is almost same in the loading port. Liquefied hydrogen is vaporized in the vaporizer and transferred to the power plant. The storage capacity in the unloading port is set to be the quantity equivalent to 20 days for the power plant and a half of an interval of

### Table 2 Unit cost, unit capacity, number of unit and cost parameter of the LH2 system in the case of 10,000 km

|                        | Electrolysis | Desalination | Liquefaction | Loading port | LH2 Tanker | Unloading port | Power plant |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| Construction cost      | 1.25         | 155          | 224          | 411          | 301        | 490            | 1030        |
| Capacity per unit      | 500 Nm\(^3\)/h | 3.8 Mt/yr    | 88 t/d       | 76,400 m\(^3\) | 93,300 m\(^3\) | 79,170 m\(^3\) | 500,000 kW |
| Number of Unit         | 1073         | 1            | 13           | 2            | 6          | 4              | 2           |
| Investment cost (Million USD) | 1,341 | 155          | 2,900        | 823          | 1,805      | 1,938          | 2,060       |
| Durable year (year) (fixed amount method) | 20 | 26           | 20           | 20           | 30         | 20             | 30          |
| Discount rate (%)      | 5            | 5            | 5            | 5            | 5          | 5              | 5           |
| Fixed asset tax rate (%) | 1.4        | 1.4          | 14           | 14           | 14         | 14             | 14          |
| Insurance cost rate (%) | 0.6         | 0.6          | 0.6          | 0.6          | 0.6        | 0.6            | 0.6         |
| General and admin. cost rate (%) | 1    | 1            | 1            | 1            | 1          | 1              | 1           |
| Labor cost rate (%)    | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.3        | 0.3            | 0.3         |
| Repair cost rate (%)   | 2.3          | 2.3          | 3.3          | 3.3          | 3.3        | 3.3            | 3.3         |

### Table 3 Unit cost, unit capacity, number of unit and cost parameter of the OCH system in the case of 10,000 km

|                        | Electrolysis | Hydrogenation | Loading port | Tanker | Unloading port | Dehydrogenation | PSA | Power plant |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|
| Construction cost (Million USD/Unit) | 1.3    | 166           | 66           | 12     | 53             | 10              | 142 | 32          | 1,030       |
| Capacity per unit      | 500 Nm\(^3\)/h | 4.3 Mt/yr    | 945,000 t/yr | 137,500 kL | 96,570 kL | 106,300 | 972,700 t/yr | 4,500,000 Nm\(^3\)/d | 500,000 kW |
| Number of Unit         | 1209         | 1             | 7            | 3      | 10             | 10              | 7   | 3           | 2           |
| Investment cost (Bil USD) | 1,511      | 166           | 463          | 37     | 530            | 104             | 995 | 97         | 2,060       |
| Durable year (year) (fixed amount method) | 20 | 36           | 20           | 30     | 26             | 30              | 20  | 20         | 30          |
| Discount rate (%)      | 5            | 5             | 5            | 5      | 5              | 5               | 5   | 5           | 5           |
| Fixed asset tax rate (%) | 1.4        | 1.4          | 14           | 14     | 14             | 14              | 14  | 14         | 14          |
| Insurance cost rate (%) | 0.6         | 0.6          | 0.6          | 0.6    | 0.6            | 0.6             | 0.6 | 0.6        | 0.6         |
| General and admin. cost rate (%) | 1    | 1            | 1            | 1      | 1              | 1               | 1   | 1           | 1           |
| Labor cost rate (%)    | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.3          | 0.3    | 0.3            | 0.3             | 0.3 | 0.3        | 0.3         |
| Repair cost rate (%)   | 2.3          | 2.3          | 3.3          | 3.3    | 2.3            | 3.3             | 3.3 | 2.3        | 4.3         |
tankers. For example, the storage capacity is 25 days in the case that the interval of tankers is 10 days. Hydrogen gas combusts in the hydrogen combustor and finally generates electricity to the grid in Japan. The output power is set to be 500 MW per a power plant.

In the OCH system, the capacities of hydrogenation and dehydrogenation plants are approximately one million tons per year. Toluene/methylcyclohexane are stored in tanks of approximately 140,000 and 100,000 kL/tank in the loading and unloading ports, respectively. They are transferred by the tanker, the volume of which is approximately 100,000 kL. Heavy oil is utilized for the fuel for the tanker. MCH is unloaded to storage tanks from the tanker at an unloading port. The volume of the storage tank is the same in the loading port. MCH is decomposed to hydrogen and toluene in the dehydrogenation reactor. Heavy oil is utilized for the endothermic dehydrogenation reaction. Then hydrogen are compressed and transferred to the hydrogen power plant.

2.3 Boundary conditions

The conceptual design and evaluation were done on the assumption that the system is available in around 2030. For simplicity the source of renewable energy is assumed to supply electricity without any variation in voltage and current because the capacity ratio and the amplitude change of renewable energy depends on its own characteristics. The boundary of evaluation and design is from the electric grid in foreign regions to the one in Japan. Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of inter-continental renewable energy transportation systems evaluated in this study. The evaluated distance ranged from 2,300 km to 20,000 km.

3. Cost reduction of Systems

3.1 Method of cost evaluation

The method of cost evaluation used in NEA/IEA 14) is employed. The equation of the livelized cost of electricity is expressed as follows;

Electricity cost of the system $= \Sigma C_{n}$

(1)

$C_{n} = \Sigma \left[ (F_{n,t} + V_{n,t}) (1 + r)^{-t} \right]$

(2)

where, $F_{n,t}$: fixed cost in the facility $n$ and the year $t$; $V_{n,t}$: variable cost in the facility $n$ and the year $t$; $E_{t}$, electricity generation in the year $t$; $r$: discount rate (5%);

$C_{dep_{,n,t}}$: depreciation of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{ins_{,n,t}}$: fixed asset tax of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{gen_{,n,t}}$: insurance cost of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{rep_{,n,t}}$: general and administration cost of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{lab_{,n,t}}$: labor cost of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{fuel_{,n,t}}$: repair cost of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{tol_{,n,t}}$: electricity cost of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{ins_{,n,t}}$: insurance cost of facility $n$ and year $t$ (if necessary);

$r_{ins}$: insurance rate;

$r_{gen}$: general and administration cost rate;

$r_{lab}$: labor cost rate;

$r_{rep}$: repair cost rate;

$V_{book_{,n,t}}$: book value of facility $n$ and year $t$;

$C_{const_{,n}}$: construction cost of facility $n$.

The fixed cost of the facility in each year consists of depreciation, fixed asset tax, insurance, general and administration cost, labor cost and repair cost. Fixed asset cost rate is assumed to be 14 % though tax rates vary in each country. The capital cost rate is neglected because the rate is thought to be set by each company or organization on the basis of its strategy and the objective of this study is to evaluate the cost of the system. General and administration cost rate, labor cost rate and repair cost rate are assumed taking account of practical values in Japan 15).

The fixed asset cost is the product of the book value and the tax rate. Insurance, general and administration, labor and repair costs are the products of their rates and the construction cost of the facility, respectively. The variable cost includes renewable electricity, heavy oil as fuels for OCH tankers and dehydrogenation, and makeup toluene. It is assumed that electricity used for equipment such as pumps in the facilities are from renewable energy. The cost of heavy oil and toluene are set to be 795.5 USD/t and 350 USD/kL, respectively.

To reduce the whole system cost, the following measures were done.

(1) Reconsideration of unit capacities

Unit capacities such as liquefaction plants, LH2 tanker and chemical tanker were reconsidered. It is assumed that the construction cost changes with scale effect (i.e. the 2/3-power law) as the capacity of the facility changes. The capacity and energy consumption of the liquefaction
The plant was set to be 90 t/day and 0.55 kWh/Nm³ in our earlier studies. However, smaller tankers (i.e., more tankers) can shorten the interval of tankers. This results in a decrease of storage capacities in unloading ports of both LH2 and OCH systems.

(2) Extension of durable year for facilities

In our earlier studies, durable years were set as same as that required by law. In general, large-scale chemical plants and power plants are utilized for dozens of years which are longer than their legal durable years. The depreciation year of each facility is extended as twice required by law in this study. For example, in the literature, the list of liquefiers includes start years of operation. According to the list, 16 of 29 liquefiers are in operation for more than 20 years that is twice of the legal durable year.

(3) Decrease of storage capacities in the unloading port

The stored amount in the unloading port is the amount consumed in the hydrogen power plant for 30 days plus a half of duration till the next tanker comes in our earlier studies. The stored hydrogen in the unloading port is reduced to the consumed amount for 20 days in the reduced cost case.

(4) Tuning the whole system

The systems were tuned to achieve no excess capacities in systems within the range of technically feasible.

4. Results

Fig. 2 shows the result of electricity costs for the LH2 system in the case of 10,000 km. Although the cost does not include transmission and distribution cost of electricity inside of Japan, the costs of electricity are reduced to the range of the present electricity price in Japan by setting parameters properly. The upper and lower limits of the electricity cost in Japan are for industry and households, respectively. The cost decreased to 0.18 from 0.26 USD/kWh. Extension of durable years and decrease of storage capacity have a large effect on cost reduction. In other words, appropriate maintenances of facilities and the turnover rate of storage tanks in the unloading port is important.

Fig. 3 shows the result of electricity costs for the OCH system in the case of 10,000 km. The cost decreased to 0.20 from 0.24 USD/kWh. Change of durable years has some effect on cost reduction. The cost of dehydrogenation is still relatively high. This is because a fraction of running cost is relatively high in the OCH system compared with the LH2 system.

The cost of electricity of renewable energy still accounts for approximately 30% of the total re-electrification cost of both systems. Under the condition that averaged electricity price of large hydropower is 0.105 USD/kWh, electricity costs of both systems are approximately 44 cent/kWh. Therefore in addition to long distance transport technologies of low cost, affordable electricity from renewable energy is desired.

Fig. 4 shows distance dependence of the electricity cost transported to Japan using liquefied hydrogen and organic chemical hydride. The breakeven point between the electricity cost of the systems using HVDC and chemicals moves around 3,000 km from 4,000 km as a result of cost reduction of both LH2 and OCH systems. Costs of both systems increase with distance because the storage capacity of systems also increases with distance. The costs were improved by 0.04 - 0.09 USD/kWh compared with our previous study. The costs are in the range of electricity...
5. Conclusion

Evaluation and comparison of intercontinental renewable energy transportation systems has been carried out in this paper. Cost reduction was done mainly by reconsidering unit capacities, extension of durable years, decrease of storage capacities of systems and tuning the whole system. It has been found that the electricity costs evaluated in this study are the range of 0.17-0.21 USD/kWh and improved by 0.04 - 0.09 USD/kWh compared with our previous study. Therefore, the systems considered in this system are promising especially for Japan under the condition that renewable energy of low cost is available in foreign regions. Continuous efforts are strongly recommended to realize these systems. Therefore, large scale demonstration projects are necessary to deploy these systems. Financial incentives for these systems such as Feed in Tariff program should be considered after the demonstration.
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