Claims on Ready-to-Eat Cereals: Are Those With Claims Healthier?
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Background: The use of advertising content strategies that suggest consuming a product will confer nutrient- and health-related benefits influences household food purchasing decisions, which increases consumption of energy-dense, nutrient-poor products. We examined the presence of marketing claims regarding nutrient content, health and nature in ready-to-eat (RTE) cereal packages in relation to the products’ nutritional quality.

Methods: A cross-sectional content analysis was conducted on 178 RTE cereal packages available in the six largest supermarket chains in four Colombian cities from August to November 2018. The nutritional quality of products was assessed through the nutrient profile model established by the Chilean Law of Food Labeling and Advertising law.

Results: All products sampled exceeded the regulation threshold for at least one nutrient of concern (e.g., high-in calories and/or sugar). The majority (66.3%) of packages had claims related to nature, 57.3% had nutrient-content claims, and 15.7% had health benefit or risk avoidance claims. Most products with nature, nutrient-content, and health claims were high in energy (99.2, 98.0, and 92.9%, respectively) and sugar (88.1, 87.3, and 92.9%, respectively).

Conclusion: RTE cereal products offered in major Colombian supermarket chains are heavily marketed using nutrition- and nature-related claims. Nearly all products with claims are high in energy and sugar, despite the messages conveyed by the claims to consumers. Results support the implementation of mandatory regulations restricting claims on food and beverage products high in nutrients of concern.
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INTRODUCTION

Ready-To-Eat (RTE) cereals, as with Ultra-Processed Foods (UPF), are attractive to many consumers because of their taste, price, availability, shelf life, and ready-to-eat nature (1, 2). Such products are often considered highly appealing, are packaged in large servings, and are promoted through marketing messages that claim or imply that purchasing or consuming the product will...
confer specific benefits, such as improved health or social status (3). However, various studies that have assessed the impact of RTE cereals on dietary intakes and human health show that despite the supposed health benefits (4–8), the majority of these products are highly processed and high-in some nutrients like (4) sugar, sodium and unhealthy saturated fats (9). Nutrients frequently associated with consumption on increased dietary intake and weight gain (10), which in turn relate to an increased risk of overweight and obesity, abdominal obesity, all-cause mortality, metabolic syndrome, and depression in adults (9, 11).

This is a matter of concern if we consider the UPF has been particularly pronounced in Latin America and the Caribbean (12–14). In Colombia, a recent study found that adolescents and urban residents were the leading consumers of UPF, particularly snacks, processed cereals, dairy drinks and junk food (15). According to Euromonitor, in Colombia alone, the daily calorie intake per capita of RTE cereals has increased by 15.2% from 2009 to 2017 (16).

Marketing strategies may contribute to increased purchase and intake of UPF by creating a “health halo” effect, where consumers view a positive claim about health, nutrition, or nature and attribute that positive attribute to the whole product, perceiving the product to be healthier overall (17). Health claims include the suggestion of health benefits or reduced health risk from consuming the product (e.g., heart-healthy), nutrient-content claims highlight the presence of nutrients associated with positive health outcomes (e.g., high in fiber) (18), and nature claims identify a product or ingredient as natural (e.g., all-natural). Marketing claims related to nature can additionally reflect a valued aspect of one’s identity, and so consumers may be predisposed to view foods with these claims as better choices (19, 20). Most consumers make their purchasing decisions in a matter of seconds (21), and marketing claims related to health, nutrients, and nature often influence their purchasing behavior (22, 23). As a result, these types of claims may increase sales of certain types of foods over others within a given category (24, 25).

Research around the world has revealed that health-related claims are widely used with products identified as unhealthy or with a high content of critical nutrients, such as sugar, fat, or sodium, according to the nutritional guidelines of regional or country-specific health organizations (26–28). For example, in Australia, 18.3% of nutrient content claims appeared on products that did not meet nutrient profile scoring criteria (29). Studies in Canada and Costa Rica have found that the proportion of sugar-sweetened milk drinks, breakfast cereals, and beverages with and without added sugar has a higher proportion of nutrition and health claims compared to other food categories (24, 30). In New Zealand, 65% of “less healthy” cereals had nutrition claims and 17% had health claims (31).

Breakfast cereals are among the foods most often marketed to children (32, 33). Products marketed to children, including RTE cereals, have a high number of health-related claims, even though many products with those claims do not meet national dietary guidelines or recommendations (34, 35). Yet, little is known about the types and prevalence of health, nutrient, and nature claims on RTE cereals in Colombia, specifically, or how the presence of these claims varies based on the product’s nutritional profile. A better understanding of this issue is needed to inform policy actions aimed at reducing the prevalence of obesity and obesity-related diseases in Colombia through discouraging consumption of ultra-processed cereals (36). Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the proportion of marketing claims about health, nutrient content, and nature-related found on RTE cereal packages offered at the most important supermarkets in the country as a function of the nutritional quality of the products.

METHODS

Study Design

This study was developed through non-probabilistic purposive sampling of RTE cereal packages. A content analysis of marketing claims on the front of RTE cereals was combined with an analysis of the nutritional profile of each product based on Nutrition Facts Panel data.

The Institutional Review Board of the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana School of Medicine approved this study. An agreement with each supermarket chain was signed prior to data collection, which permitted photographs to be taken in the stores for study purposes.

Data Collection

Product packaging images and data were collected cross-sectionally for all types of RTE cereals offered in the 33 supermarkets of the six largest grocery stores chains in the four largest cities in Colombia of highest sales according to Euromonitor, (Bogota, Medellin, Cali, and Barranquilla), during the months of August to November 2018. Agreements were signed with each of the six largest grocery store chains in the country to allow data collection. Data were collected on all products available for purchase during this time regardless of the product’s country of origin. The data was collected using the Kanter photographic method used in previous studies in Colombia and Chile (37–40). Stores were in neighborhoods of high, middle, and low socioeconomic status across each city.

Data collectors were trained to take photographs of all sizes of every RTE cereal package available in selected supermarkets. Photographs captured the following information: barcode, nutrition facts panel, ingredients, and portion size. Study data were collected and managed using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) hosted at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (41). If a product was available in multiple sizes, all packages were photographed and added to the database. If the content on the different-sized packages was the same, only one size of the product was retained for analysis. A total of 178 products were obtained in the Nutrition Fact Panel data from 2018.

Coding Product Nutritional Quality

Accompanied by nutritionists, data collectors viewed photos and entered product and nutritional information into REDCap. For this study, RTE cereals were defined as products that include plain or blended flakes, puffed grains, processed grains, and fruit and flake mixes with or without other ingredients (42, 43); other
cereals such as muesli and granola cereals were also included. RTE cereal do not include hot cereals, or wheat crackers because they must be cooked with hot water or hot milk to be ready for consumption (42, 43). In total, 178 RTE cereals were included in the sample, 128 breakfast cereals and 50 cereal bars. Products were classified as breakfast cereals or cereal bars according to their nutritional content based on the previous definition or the description provided by the manufacturer on the package (40).

The nutritional quality of RTE cereals was determined according to the 2019 implementation of the Chilean Nutrient Profile Model (CNPM) for solids (44). This model is one of the most common systems used or considered to identify products high in nutrients of concern, including added sugar, sodium, and saturated fat, as well as energy, in Latin America (40, 45, 46). A product qualifies for regulation under the 2019 CNPM if the product exceeds at least one of the following thresholds: (1) total sugar >10 g per 100 g; (2) saturated fat > 4 g per 100 g; (3) sodium > 400 mg per 100 g; or (4) total energy > 275 kcal per 100 g (44). Total sugars include free sugars and sugars that naturally occur in dairy products and intact fruit and vegetables (44). Products without added sugar, sodium, or saturated fat (e.g., plain milk without additives or 100% fruit juice) are exempt from the regulation. For this study, if a product exceeded the CNPM threshold for sugars, sodium, saturated fats, or calories, that product was coded as being in “high in” for being above the specific regulation threshold and it was coded as “not high in” for any threshold not exceeded.

Then, we calculated the proportion of products that included non-caloric sweeteners (NCS). The Pan American Health Organization Nutrient Profile Model includes NCS because habitual consumption of sweet-tasting foods (with or without sugar) increases the intake of sweet foods and beverages, including those containing sugar (47). This is especially important in children because consumption at an early age determines lifelong intake habits (47). Furthermore, NCS use in product reformulation has increased significantly in recent years (46, 48). Therefore, products with any amount of NCS were coded as “with NCS” (products that contained no NCS were coded as “without NCS”).

**Coding of Health, Nutrient-Content, and Nature-Related Claims**

A codebook adapted from a previous study on nutrient content claims on fruit drinks was used (49). Some of the claims overlap with those currently regulated by the FDA as health and nutrient content claims. Health claims were defined as any front-of-package (FOP) statements that expressly, or by implication, relate any substance in the product to a disease or health-related condition, for example, a claim that the cereal helps lower cholesterol or is heart-healthy (49). Nutrient-content claims were defined as any information displayed on the FOP that identifies a specific nutrient or ingredient as being present or absent in the product, such as being a good source of fiber or having no added sugar (49). Other claims that are not currently regulated by the FDA but were included in the study because of their importance in influencing future regulatory policy are “nature-related” claims (49, 50). Nature-related claims were defined as any statement that expressly, or by implication, suggests the product is natural, minimally processed, not artificial, organic, environmentally friendly, or produced sustainably (49, 51). For example, “whole grains” were classified as “nature-related” because they could be perceived as not having been significantly altered from their original chemical or biological state, without necessarily being

![FIGURE 1](https://www.frontiersin.org) | Examples of each of the types of claims found on the products.
TABLE 1 | Common claims displayed in Ready-To-Eat cereal packages (n = 178).

| Type of claims                                      | n  | %   |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-----|
| Nutrient-content claims                              | 102| 57.3|
| Good source of vitamins or minerals                  | 64 | 35.9|
| Good source of calcium                               | 14 | 7.8 |
| Good source of fiber                                 | 14 | 7.9 |
| Any other nutrient claim not already listed above     | 60 | 33.7|
| Health claims                                        | 28 | 15.7|
| Health claim about bone health                       | 4  | 2.2 |
| Health claim about digestion                         | 19 | 10.7|
| Any other health claims not already listed above      | 3  | 1.7 |
| Nature-related claims                                | 118| 66.3|
| Wholesome                                            | 39 | 21.9|
| Simple natural or unprocessed                        | 40 | 22.5|
| Fruits and vegetables                                | 71 | 39.9|
| Environmentally friendly or sustainable               | 16 | 9.9 |
| Any other natural claims not already listed above     | 44 | 24.7|

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (52) and Rstudio (53). Descriptive statistics (e.g., frequencies, medians) were used to describe the sample based on the amount of each critical nutrient in the product per 100 g and whether the product exceeded any regulation threshold in accordance with the 2019 CNPM. Cramer’s V test was used to identify the strength of the relationship between high levels of critical nutrients between RTE cereal products with and without claims. For all analyses, a $p \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

All the analyzed RTE cereal packages ($n = 178$) were high in at least one of the nutrients considered in the CNPM, for more information on the nutritional quality of the products, see Supplementary Table 1. Additionally, 91% ($n = 162$) of these products carried a nature, nutrition, or health-related claim. The most common claims on the products were nature-related claims, carried by 66.3% (118) of the sample, including fruit claims (in Spanish: con frutos rojos/with red berries) and claims related to (lack of) processing (in Spanish: con granos de maiz de origen natural/with natural corn grains). Nutrient-content claims were also common, with 57.3% (102) of products containing claims like “good source of vitamins and minerals” (in Spanish: 8 vitaminas y 2 minerales/8 vitamins and 2 minerals). Health claims were less common but appeared on 15.7% of products and included claims like “feel good about your digestion” (in Spanish: síéntete bien con tu digestión) (see Table 1).

Table 2 shows the number and percentage of products featuring at least one nutrient-content, health, or nature-related claims that were above the CNPM thresholds for saturated fat, sodium, sugars, and energy. Nearly all products with at least one claim were high in energy (98.7%), ranging from 92.9% of products with a health claim to 99.2% of products with a nature-related claim. Similarly, most products with claims were high in sugar (88.8%). While the percentage of products with claims that exceed the saturated fat and sodium thresholds was lower, 29% of products with claims were high in saturated fat and 24.7% were high in sodium. Cramer’s V was used to analyze the strength of the relationship between high levels of critical nutrients and products with and without claims; however, although two of the variables (Health claims vs. High-In energy and Any Claim vs. High-in Sodium) obtained a significant $p$-value, Cramer’s V does not reach the expected levels to be considered as even a moderate association. For this reason, it is not considered that there is a relationship between the variables. For more information about the comparison between products with and without claims (see Supplementary Table 2).

In addition, more than a third of the products that had any claim contained NCS. Table 3 shows the percentage of products with claims containing NCS. According to Cramer’s V the percentage of products with claims was not statistically significantly different from the percentage without claims with respect to the presence of NCS.

The entire sample of RTE cereal packages was double-coded independently by the first (M.P.) and third (L.F.G.) authors of this study, who used a standardized codebook to classify front-of-package (FOP) claims by claim type. Coders were trained on identifying claims on cookie packages. Agreement between coders was high, but in 9% of cases, disagreements were resolved by jointly reviewing the packages. In a few cases (0.3%), a nutritionist (M.M-P.) acted as judge and made a final decision. Given that the types of claims collected in the codebook were too numerous to be presented individually, the claims collected by the codebook were condensed into the categories described in Table 1 for analysis.
TABLE 2 | Percentage of Ready-to-Eat cereal packages with claims that are high in critical nutrients.

| Claims                  | n   | High-in energy | Non-caloric sweeteners | High-in saturated fat | High-in sodium | High-in sugar | High-in (Any) |
|-------------------------|-----|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
|                         | N   | %             | Cramer’s V             | N                     | %             | Cramer’s V    | n             | %             | Cramer’s V | n   | %             |
| Nutrient-content claims | 102 | 100           | 98.0                   | 0.092                 | 26            | 25.5          | 0.024         | 27           | 26.5       | 0.013 | 89            | 87.3 | 0.055         | 102 | 100 |
| Health claims           | 28  | 26            | 92.9                   | 0.247**               | 26            | 29.2          | 0.056         | 26           | 29.2       | 0.056 | 28  | 100 |
| Nature-related claims   | 118 | 117           | 99.2                   | 0.037                 | 30            | 25.4          | 0.031         | 28           | 23.7       | 0.102 | 104 | 88.1          | 0.028 | 118 | 100 |
| Any claim               | 162 | 160           | 98.8                   | 0.034                 | 47            | 28.4          | 0.144         | 40           | 24.7       | 0.163* | 144 | 88            | 0.013 | 162 | 100 |

Information obtained from 178 products. Due to the small sample size, we have not presented the proportions for cells with n < 10. “High-in” per 100 g defined as: energy > 275 kcal, saturated fats > 4 g, sodium > 400 mg, sugars > 10 g. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001. Cramer’s V statistics were not been calculated in High-in (Any) because all products exceed the established limits in at least one critical nutrient; therefore it is a constant.

TABLE 3 | Percentage of Ready-To-Eat cereal packages with claims that have NCS.

| Claims                  | n   | Non-caloric sweeteners | Cramer’s V |
|-------------------------|-----|------------------------|------------|
|                         | n   | %                       |            |
| Nutrient-content claims | 102 | 37                      | 36.3       | 0.049 |
| Health claims           | 28  | 10                      | 35.7       | 0.013 |
| Nature-related claims   | 118 | 46                      | 39.0       | 0.139 |
| Any claim               | 162 | 57                      | 35.2       | 0.061 |

Information obtained from 178 products. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001.

DISCUSSION

This study provides an overview of the nutritional quality of RTE cereals offered in the principal Colombian supermarket chains in 2018, according to the presence or absence of health, nutrient-content, and natural claims. In general, all the analyzed cereals exceeded the regulation thresholds under the CNPM in at least one critical nutrient. This should be a cause for concern since 91% of the products analyzed contained some type of claim and the prevalence of critical nutrients seems to have increased. A previous study in Colombia found that 48.2% of cereal products were considered unhealthy according to international nutritional profile model standards (40).

In this study, the explicit textual claims most frequently displayed were related to alleged natural properties and benefits. In the case of natural claims, the most frequent claim pertained to flavor or fruit content. These results are consistent with previous studies in which flavor claims and nutritional claims are frequently used (49, 54). Similarly, previous studies have pointed to explicit references to flavor, texture, and fun as features that promote brand appeal by emphasizing and reinforcing sweet taste qualities (55). Although less frequent, the presence of claims like “wholesome,” “fresh” or “straight from the farm,” “simple,” “natural” or “unprocessed,” “no artificial flavors or colors” and “with nuts” or “with peanuts” were also common natural claims. Brands may use this marketing strategy to appear healthier or more sustainable (56) and to appeal to consumers who consider themselves “healthy eaters” and/or “environmentalists” (20). The true prevalence of nature-related claims may be underrepresented, as this study focused on textual claims and did not evaluate implicit marketing strategies, such as images of fruit or nature on the cereal bar and breakfast cereal packaging.

On the other hand, in the nutrient-content category, claims regarding vitamins and micronutrients (minerals) were the most frequent. These results are similar to those of previous studies conducted in the United Kingdom and Guatemala, where micronutrient and nutrient/ingredient claims were the most common type of claim on breakfast cereals (57, 58). In many cases, these claims were accompanied by the number of vitamins or minerals in the product, without specifying which vitamin or mineral they referred to. Many of these products are aimed at children and their parents. Parents may be motivated to purchase items for their children that contain “positive” ingredients like vitamins, minerals or fiber, but they may be less likely to look for and avoid products high in critical nutrients (56). In this sense, the presence of nutritional claims as a food marketing strategy (56) becomes a problem because the information with which they could contrast the messages is not clear and they are unable to know how nutritious it is on their own (59).

Similarly, parents tend to discard or overlook ingredients with which they are unfamiliar. Many of them are unaware of the presence of NCS in foods and do not regulate whether their children consume them (48). This is concerning given that the habitual consumption of sweet-tasting increases the intake of sweet foods and beverages, including those containing sugar (47). In the case of RTE cereals, previous studies have found that consumers of RTE cereals have a higher total daily intake of sugars than those who do not have breakfast with RTE cereals (60, 61). This may have important implications for NCS consumption because reformulation with NCS has emerged recently as an industry strategy to avoid “high-in sugar” warning labels. Although the effects of these substances are not yet definitive and more research is needed, it is believed that increased exposure to products with NCS may promote a greater liking for sweet foods, including those containing sugar (48). As mentioned earlier, this is especially important in children because consumption at an early age determines lifelong intake habits (47).
Except for the high-in energy density and presence or absence of health claims, no statistical differences were found between critical nutrients and NCS. This finding can be explained by the low reformulation of the food industry, in the period in which the information for this study was collected. For instance, a study that assessed the best-selling brands offered in Colombia between 2016 and 2018 found that only the beverage products reduced added sugar content (39). This could suggest that the industry did not have the incentive to use claims to promote reformulated products.

In comparison to natural and nutrient-content claims, health claims were less frequent. This aligns with findings from previous studies conducted in Mexico, Ecuador, Guatemala, and New Zealand (31, 35, 62). One possible explanation for this finding is that consumer associations may be more likely to sue for misleading or inaccurate health claims (63) however, more research is needed. Unlike nutrient-content and natural claims, health claims assume a supposed causal relationship between the consumption of the product and its effect on health, which is harder for a product manufacturer to demonstrate to a regulatory entity.

The finding that there was no statistically significant difference in the healthfulness of products with claims and without claims (in terms of critical nutrient or NCS content) provides evidence that while marketing claims suggest that products with claims are healthy (implicitly or explicitly), they are actually no healthier than products without claims. Claims are likely to mislead consumers into believing that these products are healthier than those without claims, when in fact they are not and may even be higher in one or more critical nutrients. These findings support the need for government intervention to protect its citizens’ right to food and health, by implementing public policies that regulate marketing strategies, especially for products marketed to children, and developing a warning labeling system that can be easily understood by the majority of the population (64, 65).

Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first Colombian study to characterize health, nutrition, and environmental claims in food products, using information obtained from the largest supermarket chains. Data analyzed for this study were obtained from photographs taken in major Colombian supermarkets in 2018, rather than from online databases that may not have up-to-date packaging images and may not accurately represent product availability in Colombia. In this study, data collectors took photographs of all RTE cereals available in outlets from six supermarket chains in four major cities in Colombia. All packages were independently double-coded by two study authors.

Several limitations can be identified in this study. First, we did not analyze implicit or indirect claims such as images of persons engaging in physical activities or famous people which may have important influence on acquiring and consuming a product. It should be noted that the lack of evaluation of implicit marketing strategies like pictures might underrepresent natural claims due to the presence of fruits and nature images on the imagery of the front of the RTE cereal packages. Second, the cross-sectional design of this study does not allow us to assess trends over time between nutritional quality of RTE cereal products in Colombia and the presence or absence of health, nutrition, and natural-related claims. Third, because the FDA has not regulated natural or environmentally related claims, these differences can be confusing. Sometimes they represent the level of processing in their biological or chemical characteristics and therefore could be considered “natural.” However, others are related to environmental responsibility. Although it is important to regulate both, it is useful to keep in mind that some are oriented to the level of processing and others are not (49, 50, 66).

Finally, future research should consider implicit claims and how consumers understand each of the claims, especially parents, who in most cases are responsible for the nutrition of their children and adolescents. Likewise, future studies should collect data at multiple time points and evaluate how the presence of these types of claims has varied over time.

CONCLUSIONS

Given the prevalence of nutrient-content, health and nature-related claims on cereal products high in nutrients of concern, there is a need for the Colombian Congress and Government to implement policies restricting the use of claims on food and beverage products high-in critical nutrients, including clear definitions of the types of claims and considering those that have not been regulated to date.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LG, MM-P, and MP-M contributed to conception and design of the study. MP-M and LG organized the database. MP-M performed the statistical analysis. MP-M and CL wrote the first draft of the manuscript. LG, LT, FD, and MM-P wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Bloomberg Philanthropies (Subward number # 5103721). The funder had no role in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor Barry Popkin for his support and leadership. We would also like to thank Rebecca Kanter for
her help in developing the data collection system for nutrition facts panel data and Emily Duffy for her assistance in the development of the codebook, in addition to Donna Miles Emily Yoon, and Viviana Rodriguez Romero for general data/project management assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Poti JM, Braga B, Qin B. Ultra-processed food intake and obesity: what really matters for health-processing or nutrient content? Curr Obes Rep. (2017) 6:420–31. doi: 10.1007/s13679-017-0285-4

2. Adams J, Hofman K, Moubcarac JC, Thow AM. Public health response to ultra-processed food and drinks. BMJ. (2020) 369:m2391. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2391

3. Anker T. Truth in Marketing: A Theory of Claim-Evidence Relations. 1st ed. Routledge, editor. London: Routledge Focus (2016).

4. Perron J, Pomerleau S, Gagnon J, Gilbert-Moreau J, Lemieux S, Plante C, et al. Assessing nutritional value of ready-to-eat breakfast cereals in the province of Quebec (Canada): a study from the Food Quality Observatory. Public Health Nutr. (2021) 24:2397–404. doi: 10.1017/S1368946521001361

5. Harris JL, Schwartz MB, Ustjanauskas A, Ohri-Vachaspati P, Brownell KD. Effects of serving high-sugar cereals on children’s breakfast-eating behavior. Pediatrics. (2011) 127:71–6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-0864

6. Montenegro-Bethancourt G, Vossenaar M, Kuijper LD, Doak CM, Solomons NW. Ready-to-eat cereal are keys sources of selected micronutrients among schoolchildren from public and private elementary schools in Quetzaltenango, Guatemala. Nutr Res. (2009) 29:335–42. doi: 10.1016/j.nutres.2009.05.003

7. Albertson AM, Affinito SG, Bauserman R, Holschuh NM, Eldridge AL, Barton BA. The relationship of ready-to-eat cereal consumption to nutrient intake, blood lipids, and body mass index of children as they age through adolescence. J Am Diet Assoc. (2009) 109:1557–65. doi: 10.1016/j.jada.2009.06.363

8. Kosti RI, Panagiotakos DB, Zampelas A. Ready-to-eat cereals and the burden of obesity in the context of their nutritional contribution: are all ready-to-eat cereals equally healthy? A systematic review. Nutrs Res Rev. (2021) 33:14–22. doi: 10.1017/S095442241000020X

9. Lane MM, Davis JA, Beattie S, Gómez-Donoso C, Loughman A, O’Neill A, et al. Ultra-processed food and chronic noncommunicable diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 43 observational studies. Obes Rev. (2020) 22:e13146. doi: 10.1111/obr.13146

10. Hall KD, Ayukatoh A, Bryctha R, Cai H, Cassimatis T, Chen KY, et al. Ultra-processed diets cause excess calorie intake and weight gain: an inpatient randomized controlled trial of ad libitum food intake. Cell Metab. (2019) 30:226. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2019.02.002

11. Angelino D, Rosi A, Dall’asta M, Pellegrini N, Martini D. Evaluation of the nutritional quality of breakfast cereals sold on the italian market: The food labelling of italian products (flip) study. Nutrients. (2019) 11:2827. doi: 10.3390/nu11112827

12. Popkin BM, Corvalan C, Grummer-Strawrn LM. Dynamics of the double burden of malnutrition and the changing nutrition reality. Lancet. (2020) 395:65–74. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32497-3

13. Vandelievire S, Jaacks LM, Monteiro CA, Moubcarac J, Girling-Butcher M, Lee AC, et al. Global trends in ultra-processed food and drink product sales and their association with adult body mass index trajectories. Obes Rev. (2019) 20:10–9. doi: 10.1111/obr.12860

14. Baker P, Machado P, Santos T, Sievert K, Bachholer K, Hadjidakis M, et al. Ultra-processed foods and the nutrition transition: Global, regional and national trends, food systems transformations and political economy drivers. Obes Rev. (2020) 21:e13126. doi: 10.1111/obr.13126

15. Khandpur N, Cediel G, Obando DA, Jaime PC, Parra DC. Sociodemographic factors associated with the consumption of ultra-processed foods in Colombia. Rev Saude Publica. (2020) 54:1–13.

16. Euromonitor International. Breakfast Cereals in Colombia. (2019). Available online at: https://www.euromonitor.com/breakfast-cereals-in-colombia/report (accessed January 15, 2021).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2021.770489/full#supplementary-material
Parra-Murillo et al.  

Marketing Claims of Ready-To-Eat Cereals

34. Statista Market Forecast. Breakfast Cereals - Colombia. (2020). Available online at: https://www.statista.com/expozyx/javeriana.edu.co/outlook/cmo/food/bread-cereal-products/breakfast-cereals/colombia (accessed May 10, 2021).

35. García AL, Ronquillo JD. Morillo-Santander G, Mazariego C V, Lopez-Donado L, Vargas-Garzia EL, et al. Sugar content and nutritional quality of child orientated ready to eat cereals and yoghurts in the UK and Latin America: does food policy matter? Nutrients. (2020) 12:1–11. doi: 10.3390/nu12030856

36. Taillie LS, Hall MG, Popkin BM, Ng SW, Murukutla N. Experimental studies of front-of-package nutrient warning labels on sugar-sweetened beverages and ultra-processed foods: a scoping review. Nutrients. (2020) 12:569. doi: 10.3390/nu12050569

37. Kanter R, Reyes M, Swinburn B, Vandelvire C, Corvalán C. The food supply prior to the implementation of the chilean law of food labeling and advertising. Nutrients. (2018) 11:52. doi: 10.3390/nu110101052

38. Kanter R, Reyes M, Corvalán C. Photographic methods for measuring packaged food and beverage products in supermarkets. Curr Dev Nutr. (2017) 1:e001016. doi: 10.3945/cdn.117.010116

39. Lowery CM, Mora-Plazas M, Gómez LF, Popkin B, Taillie LS. Reformulation of food products made in the United States: 2009–2012. J Acad Nutr Diet. (2016) 116:872–85. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2016.03.006

40. Australian government’s Healthy Food Partnership. Ready-to-Eat Breakfast Cereal. (2016). Available online at: https://www1.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/rte-cereal (accessed March 12, 2020).

41. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)-a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform. (2009) 42:377–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010

42. Australian government’s Healthy Food Partnership. Ready-to-Eat Breakfast Cereal. (2016). Available online at: https://www1.health.gov.au/internet/main/publishing.nsf/Content/rte-cereal (accessed March 12, 2020).

43. FAST R. How They Are Made. 2nd ed. Eagan, MN: Cereals and Grains Association (2000) p. 17–54.

44. Ministry of health - Subsecretaría de salud pública. Ley 20.606 Sobre la salud. Santiago de Chile, Chile: Ministerio de Salud (2012).

45. Correa T, Fierro C, Reyes M, Dillman Carpentier FR, Taillie LS, Dillman Carpentier FR. Impact of warning labels on reducing health halo effects of nutrient content claims on breakfast cereal packages: a mixed-measures experiment. Appetite. (2021) 163:105229. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2021.105229

46. IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp (2020).

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Parra-Murillo, Lowery, Gómez, Mora-Plazas, Taillie and Dillman Carpentier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.