Dynamical Analysis of Standing Balance Control on Sloped Surfaces in Individuals with Lumbar Disc Herniation
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The changes of balance control mechanism caused by lumbar disc herniation (LDH) has not been well understood. This study aimed to investigate the effects of LDH on the balance control during standing on sloped surfaces. Ten patients with LDH and 10 gender- and age-matched healthy subjects were instructed to stand quietly on a sloped surface at −5°, 0° or +5°, respectively. The trajectories of the center of pressure (COP) of each individual limb and the full-body were recorded. Cross recurrence quantification analysis (CRQA) was applied to assess the coordination of COP components at the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral directions. The patients with LDH presented magnified inter-limb load asymmetry and had more deterministic components in the COP coordination of the less-affected limb and the full-body than the healthy subjects. The LDH led to decreased dynamical degree of freedom and less flexibility in bidirectional controlling the center of mass simultaneously. The effects of sensorimotor deficits due to LDH could be more obviously exhibited as standing on a declined rather than an inclined surface. This study shed light on the effects of LDH on standing balance control and may facilitate to develop novel strategies for evaluation of LDH.

Lumbar disc herniation (LDH) is a localized displacement of intervertebral disc tissue beyond the physiological margins of the intervertebral disc space. The prevalence of LDH is about 1–3%, mostly among the people aged between 30 and 50 years1. The symptoms of LDH include but are not limited to low back pain, leg pain, sciatica, muscle spasm or cramping, leg weakness, loss of leg function and abnormal gait2,3. Posture control during quiet standing could be vulnerable to the LDH. However, despite the accumulating evidence from the non-specific low back pain without structural change, inflammation or specific disease4, the potential effects of LDH on postural control for quiet standing are less studied.

To regulate balance is a preliminary request for the posture control during standing. When maintaining the body in balance, the sensory and motor systems need to be seamlessly integrated. The sensory information from visual, somatosensory and vestibular systems can facilitate the central nervous system to determine the orientation and state of body in environment5, make correct motion planning and issue suitable commands to the motor system6. The motor system, involving muscles, bones and joints, can generate a corrective, stabilizing torque to maintain the postural stability and orientation within the base of support7. This sensorimotor integration mechanism guarantees the fundamental requirement of balance control, and enables the body to respond to external disturbances in a timely and effective manner8. In LDH, deficits in the sensory system, such as reduced somatosensory sensitivity of foot sole9, lessened sensory nerve action potential10, abnormal H-reflex complex11 and in the motor system, such as decreased muscle strength of the trunk, knees, and ankles12,13, may potentially increase the sway of body and thus challenge the standing balance. More studies are deserved to specify the effects of LDH on the balance control during standing.

In contrast to the stable, horizontal surface, standing on a sloped surface further raises the difficulty of balance control, requiring promoted sensorimotor integration. The sensory system needs to provide more real-time...
This study aimed to investigate the effects of LDH on the balance control during standing on a slope. The dynamical coordination of COP in the AP and ML directions were quantified using the CRQA. It is hypothesized that the patients with LDH would show more severe asymmetry of the load distribution between legs, and had more deterministic components in the COP coordination between the AP and ML directions than the healthy individuals.

Methods

Subjects. Ten patients with LDH and 10 gender- and age-matched healthy control (HC) subjects participated in the experiment. All the patients had been clinically diagnosed with LDH from the Department of Orthopedic, Qilu Hospital, Shandong University. The individuals who had history of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular or vestibular diseases, or musculoskeletal injuries on their lower-extremity were excluded. The patients received physical assessment of the symptoms and severity of LDH including the pressure and radiating pain, spasm, superfi-

Table 1. Characteristics of subjects. *L: Lumbar spine; S: Sacrum; †JOA: Japanese Orthopaedic Association Scores; ‡ODI: Oswestry Disability Index; §RMDQ: Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire.

| Number | Sex   | Age (y) | Level of herniation | JOA | ODF | RMDQ | More-affected limb | Age (y) |
|--------|-------|---------|---------------------|-----|-----|------|------------------|---------|
| 1      | Female| 56      | L4-L5, L5-S1        | 18  | 16  | 12   | Left             | 55      |
| 2      | Male  | 50      | L4-L5              | 10  | 32  | 22   | Left             | 49      |
| 3      | Female| 35      | L5-S1              | 15  | 23  | 17   | Left             | 32      |
| 4      | Female| 69      | L5-S1              | 15  | 24  | 17   | Right            | 68      |
| 5      | Male  | 43      | L4-L5, L5-S1       | 14  | 19  | 9    | Left             | 44      |
| 6      | Female| 61      | L3-L4, L4-L5       | 10  | 23  | 15   | Left             | 62      |
| 7      | Male  | 45      | L5-S1              | 16  | 26  | 13   | Right            | 45      |
| 8      | Male  | 65      | L4-L5              | 13  | 28  | 18   | Right            | 64      |
| 9      | Female| 39      | L4-L5              | 16  | 10  | 6    | Right            | 42      |
| 10     | Female| 45      | L5-S1              | 15  | 16  | 11   | Left             | 44      |
| Mean   |       | 50.80   | 14.20              | 21.70 | 14.00 | 50.50 |                  | 50.50   |
| SD     |       | 11.46   | 2.57               | 6.52  | 4.74  | 11.41 |                  | 11.41   |
Experimental set-up. A force platform (FDM-S, Zebris Medical GmbH, Isny, Germany) covered with 64×40 pressure sensors was used to measure the foot plantar pressure distributions at a sampling frequency of 60 Hz. The COP time series were processed in the MATLAB R2017b (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). Parameters of CRQA were implemented with the cross recurrence plot toolbox 5.1623.

Test procedures. The schematic diagram of the experiment set-up is depicted in Fig. 1. Subjects were required to stand barefoot quietly on the center of the force platform for 30 s, with their feet side by side, their hands naturally on both sides of body and their eyes gaged forward. Three angles of the force platform surface, including −5°, 0° and +5° with respect to the horizontal plane, were tested with a random sequence. For each surface angle, only one trial was performed by each subject. A 5-min rest was given between trials. In order to
avoid the influence of free body swing, the data for the first and last 5 s was removed, leaving the middle 20 s for the further analysis.

**Data analysis.** In order to explore whether patients with LDH shifted the load from the more-affected limb to the less-affected limb, we calculated the limb load asymmetry (LLA), which was defined as the ratio of the loads on the less-affected to on the more-affected limb:

$$\text{LLA} = \frac{\text{Load on Less-affected Limb}}{\text{Load on More-affected Limb}}$$  

For the healthy individuals, the more- or less-affected limbs were determined in accordance with their counterpart patients with LDH.

The COP was calculated from the signals recorded by each pressure sensor as follows:

$$\text{AP} = \frac{\sum F_i \ast Y_i}{\sum F_i}$$  

$$\text{ML} = \frac{\sum F_i \ast X_i}{\sum F_i}$$

where the AP and ML represent the COP coordinates at one moment in the AP and ML directions, respectively; $i$ is the number of pressure sensors, $F_i$ is the force on the $i$th unit area, and $(X_i, Y_i)$ is the coordinate of the $i$th sensor. The COP of the more-affected limb (COP$_{m}$), less-affected limb (COP$_{l}$) and the full-body (COP$_{net}$) were computed according to the formula (2) and (3). Figure 1 shows the distributions of foot plantar pressure at a moment from a representative patient with LDH as standing on the force platform at different angles.

In order to quantify the characteristics of dynamical coordination between AP and ML directions, the COP time series in the two directions need to be reconstructed and projected into a phase space with appropriate parameters. The time delay and embedding dimension, two parameters essential for phase-space reconstruction, were determined by mutual information and false nearest neighbors in this study. Eventually, the time delay at 6 samples and the embedding at 4 were determined and used in the following CRQA analysis. A window with 600 sample points and an overlap of 300 sample points were applied on the signal series to quantify the characteristics of the dynamical coordination for COP trajectories. The mean values of all the windows were calculated for each subject.

The dynamical coordination of COP in AP and ML directions were further quantified by the CRQA, which provides a quantification of a cross recurrence matrix (CR):

$$\text{CR}_{ij}^{\tau,m} = \Theta(\varepsilon - \|u(i) - v(j)\|)$$

where the $u(i)$ and $v(j)$ are the phase-space vectors corresponding to COP series in AP and ML directions; $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$. $N$ is the length of COP series; $\tau$ and $m$ are the predefined time delay and embedding dimension, respectively; $\varepsilon$ is the threshold and was set to 30% of the maxim phase space radius. The $\Theta$ is the Heaviside function and $\|\| \|$ is the Euclidean norm. The CR contains all the recurrence states between two trajectories of time series reconstructed in the phase space. A visualization of CR is cross recurrence plot (CRP). We used the cross recurrence rate (X-RR), cross determinism (X-DET) and cross entropy (X-ENT) to quantify the structure of the CRP. The X-RR is defined as:

$$\text{X-RR} = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \text{CR}_{ij}^{\tau,m}$$

where the X-RR indicates the regularity by measuring the density of recurrence points in the CRP. The greater X-RR indicates greater correlation between the COP series in the AP and ML directions. The X-DET is defined as:

$$\text{X-DET} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{L_{\text{max}}} l \ast P(l)}{\sum_{l=1}^{L_{\text{max}}} P(l)}$$

where $l$ is the diagonal sequence of recurrence points, $L_{\text{max}}$ is the least length, equaling to 2; $P(l)$ is the frequency distribution of the lengths of the diagonal lines. The X-DET is the ratio of recurrence points that form diagonal structures to all recurrence points, relating to deterministic of dynamical system. The X-ENT is defined as:

$$\text{X-ENT} = -\sum_{l=1}^{L_{\text{max}}} P(l) \ast \ln(P(l))$$

where $P(l)$ is the probability of a diagonal line, estimated from $P(l)$. The X-ENT reflects the complexity of the deterministic structures in the dynamical coupling of COP.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine the data distribution. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA was applied to examine the differences of the CRQA parameters for the COP$_{r}$, COP$_{m}$ and COP$_{net}$, with the groups (LDH vs. HC) as between-subject factor and angles ($-5^\circ$, $0^\circ$, $+5^\circ$) as within-subject factor. The Huynh-Feldt correction was used when the assumption of sphericity was violated. Post-hoc multiple pairwise comparisons were corrected by
Bonferroni correction. An independent $t$-test was applied to examine the differences between the LDH and HC groups. A $p$-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistical significant.

**Results**

The time series of LLA are depicted in Fig. 2. Different from the healthy subjects whose LLAs were close to 1 ($-5°: 0.982 \pm 0.147; 0°: 0.982 \pm 0.137; +5°: 0.962 \pm 0.178$), the LDH patients showed LLAs much higher than 1 with greater standard deviations ($-5°: 1.152 \pm 0.284; 0°: 1.086 \pm 0.282; +5°: 1.151 \pm 0.332$). In addition, the LDH group had higher LLA values at sloped surfaces compared with that at $0°$.

Figure 2. The mean and standard deviations of the time series of the limb load asymmetry (LLA) for all the patients with LDH and the healthy subjects (HC). (a) Declined surface at $-5°$; (b) horizontal surface at $0°$; (c) inclined surface at $+5°$.

Figure 3. The full-body COP trajectories and the cross recurrence plots (CRP) during standing on a horizontal surface. (a) The COP components of the anterior-posterior (AP) direction and the medial-lateral (ML) directions from a representative patient with LDH; (b) The COP components of the AP and the ML directions from a healthy subject; (c) The CRP of (a); (d) The CRP of (b).

Results of the CRQA for COP of each limb are shown in Fig. 4. The X-DET of COP$_{ap}$ showed significant differences between groups ($F_{1,18} = 5.722, p = 0.028$): the patients with LDH showed significantly higher X-DET of COP$_{ap}$.
COPL than the healthy individuals at $-5^\circ$ ($t=2.368$, $p=0.029$) and $0^\circ$ ($t=2.105$, $p=0.050$, Fig. 4b). Effects of slope angles were only observed in the CRQA parameters of the LDH group, rather than the healthy subjects. Specifically, the X-RRs of the COPL and COPM of the LDH patients at $0^\circ$ were significantly higher than those at $-5^\circ$ (COPL: $p=0.027$, COPM: $p=0.023$, Fig. 4a). No significant difference of the X-RRs was observed between the $0^\circ$ and $+5^\circ$. Neither, no significant difference was found between angles for the X-DET. With respect to the horizontal plane, significantly lower X-ENT of COPL was found on $-5^\circ$ ($p=0.012$) and $+5^\circ$ ($p=0.005$, Fig. 4c) slopes. By contrast, no significant difference was found between the angles for the X-ENT of COPM.

Figure 5 shows the results of CRQA for the COPnet. Significant differences between the LDH and HC groups were observed in the X-DET ($F_{1,18}=4.964$, $p=0.039$) and X-ENT ($F_{1,18}=4.451$, $p=0.049$). The patients with LDH exhibited significantly higher X-DET ($t=2.532$, $p=0.021$, Fig. 5b) and higher X-ENT ($t=2.623$, $p=0.017$, Fig. 5c) than the healthy subjects at $0^\circ$. No significant difference was observed between the two groups in the X-RR. Effects of slopes were only found in the X-RR of HC group ($F_{2,36}=8.244$, $p=0.001$), showing significantly lower X-RR at $+5^\circ$ than $0^\circ$ ($p=0.017$, Fig. 5a).

Discussion

This study investigated the effects of LDH on the balance control during standing on sloped surfaces. The load asymmetry was quantified using LLA; and the dynamical coordination of COP components between the AP and ML directions was analyzed for each limb and the full-body using the X-RR, X-DET and X-ENT of CRQA.

The results of LLA indicated augmented asymmetry in the load distribution between legs in LDH (Fig. 3). Compared with the healthy subjects, the patients with LDH showed much higher LLA, implying that their less-affected limb bore more weight than the more-affected limb. These results corroborate with the previous findings that the degree of lower back pain correlated with the weight-bearing asymmetry between legs$^{27}$. The results of the current study suggest that the patients with LDH prefer to transfer the load from the more-affected limb to the less-affected limb, thereby magnifying their inter-limb asymmetry during standing. In addition, the LLA of the patients showed much higher standard deviations than that of the healthy subjects, suggesting a greater variations in the load distributions between the two legs due to LDH. Clinical observations suggest that people with lower back pain performed more body weight shifts to reduce the discomfort$^{28,29}$. It is noteworthy that when standing on a sloped surface, the patients with LDH, rather than the healthy subjects, showed higher but more variant LLA. These results suggest that standing on a sloped surface could facilitate to present the effects of LDH, specifically, the augmented load-distribution asymmetry and load-sharing variation between legs.
The effects of LDH on the COP coordination between the AP and ML directions were observable from the X-DET of the less-affected limb (Fig. 4b). On the 0° and −5° surfaces, the X-DET of COP_L in LDH group was higher than that of HC group for the less-affected leg. The increased X-DET in LDH indicates more regular and strengthened COP coupling in the AP and ML directions than the healthy subjects, revealing decreased dynamical degree of freedom, limited adaptability to potential external perturbations, and increased restriction on balance control due to the LDH. The LDH-induced X-DET increase was only observed from the COP_L, suggesting a decreased flexibility in the dynamical COP coordination between the AP and ML directions on the less-affected leg, which is consistent with the previous findings that the extremity bearing heavier load presented strengthened COP coupling. In addition, previous studies suggested that the less-loaded foot could compensate for the loss of flexibility of the more-loaded foot during standing. But in the current study, although the more-affected leg was less loaded than the less-affected leg in LDH, no significant difference of COP_R was observed in the X-DET between LDH and HC groups, revealing a limited shift of the flexibility in the COP coordination between two legs due to LDH.

Patients with LDH also showed increased X-DET and X-ENT in the COP_net than the healthy subjects (Fig. 5). The higher X-ENT indicated increased complexity of the deterministic structures in the dynamical coupling of COP. This result suggests that the difference in flexibility of the single-leg COP coordination between the LDH and HC groups may also influence their full-body COP coordination. The increased X-DET and X-ENT of the full-body COP coordination revealed a decreased dynamical degree of freedom, limited adaptability to the potential external perturbations, and less flexibility in controlling the center of mass in the AP and ML directions simultaneously. These changes would be highly related to the sensorimotor impairments induced by the LDH. As a disc herniates and spills into the spinal canal, the compression of the spinal cord or the nearby nerve roots could debilitate the sensorimotor function of the lower extremity. Standing is charged by a complex closed-loop feedback control mechanism, which integrates the sensory information from visual, vestibular and somatosensory systems and generates appropriate muscle forces and body orientations to maintain balance. The sensorimotor deficits due to LDH could interfere with this control mechanism by disturbing the detection and processing of the real-time sensory information, and weakening the muscle force production and coordination that are necessary for prompt and effective balance control. To counter the effects of sensorimotor deficits, the central nervous system would rely more on a feedforward mechanism based on default modes, sensorimotor memories or experiences, rather than the close-loop feedback control strategy. The reinforced feedforward mechanism can compensate for the LDH-induced sensorimotor deficits, rendering stronger coupling and more deterministic structures of the full-body COP coordination. This would be in line with the previous findings that sensorimotor deficits due to aging or low back pain could result in decreased complexity of postural sway and reduced flexibility in postural control.

Results further showed that the X-RR and X-ENT of the COP coordination on each leg (COP_L and COP_R in Fig. 4) and the X-RR of the full-body COP (COP_net in Fig. 5a) were significantly decreased when standing on sloped surfaces than on the horizontal surface, especially in the patients with LDH. The lower X-RR was related to decreased correlation between the COP components at the AP and ML directions; and the lower X-ENT indicated decreased complexity of the deterministic structures in the dynamical coupling of the AP- and ML-COP components. In a recent study, Dutt-Maxmunder et al. examined the COP of healthy subjects as standing on surfaces at different angles including +20°, +10°, 0°, −10°, −20°, and found that the COP dynamics on a flat surface were recurrent with an augmented deterministic process and higher Shannon entropy compared to elevated slope angles in dorsiflexion and plantarflexion. Consistent with this finding, the results of the current study further pointed out that standing on a sloped surface could result in increased randomness but decreased complexity in the directional coupling of COP compared with the horizontal surface. The changes in bidirectional coupling of COP could be associated with increased postural instability and direction-specific sway, and would be resultant from the alteration of reference frame regarding gravity, support surface and posture orientation, or the different muscle activations and synergies contributing to ankle stiffness.
sloped surface could result in increased randomness but decreased flexibility in the bidirectional coupling of COP, suggesting an weakened feedback mechanism but a reinforced feedforward mechanism underlying the balance control. This study shed light on the effects of LDH on standing balance control and may facilitate to develop novel strategies for evaluation of LDH.
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