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Abstract

Background: Egg allergy for the Measles-Mumps-Rubella (MMR) vaccine is still the cause of concern for many healthcare workers and families due to reactions such as anaphylaxis.

Objectives: This study aimed to reassess the risk of MMR vaccination in infants with egg allergy referred by healthcare workers.

Methods: This cross-sectional observational study was performed in a university-affiliated hospital in Istanbul, Turkey, between March 2017 and September 2018. The study patients were one-year-old infants who were candidates for MMR vaccination, and referred to our outpatient allergy clinic by healthcare workers due to egg allergy. The children were diagnosed with egg allergy by a pediatric allergist and then received the MMR vaccine.

Results: Among 50 children aged one year, 19 (38%) were female, and 31 (62%) were male. The diagnosis was atopic dermatitis in 29 (58%) patients, urticaria in 18 (36%) patients, angioedema in 2 (4%) patients, and anaphylaxis in one (2%) patient. Fourteen (28%) patients had both egg and cow’s milk allergy. Four (8%) patients had egg, cow’s milk, and wheat allergy. The total IgE level was 119.80 ± 213.43 IU/mL. Specific IgE levels for egg white were positive in 37 patients. Specific IgE levels for egg white were 15.26 ± 29.64 kU/L. Skin prick test results were positive for egg allergens in 35 patients with a mean diameter of 3.12 ± 2.18 mm for egg yolks and 3.27 ± 2.62 mm for egg white. None of the patients with egg allergy developed anaphylactic reaction and only one patient had urticaria within 5 min after vaccination. There was no correlation between specific IgE levels for egg white and diagnosis of the patients.

Conclusions: MMR vaccine administration to children with egg allergy is safe. Life-threatening reactions to the MMR vaccine in patients with egg-allergy are very rare. It seems in cases of hypersensitivity reactions such as acute anaphylaxis events, the MMR vaccine needs to be administered in a hospital under the supervision of a pediatric allergist.
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1. Background

Vaccination side effects and fear of anaphylaxis in specific conditions such as egg allergy may cause a delay in vaccination based on the decision of healthcare workers and families. Therefore, it has become necessary to confirm the vaccination status of children with egg allergy. Hen’s egg allergy is the second most common food allergy in childhood (1). Of young children, 1% - 2% are affected by egg allergies (2, 3). Five major allergenic proteins are responsible for immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated reactions. Although ovomucoid is the dominant allergen in eggs, ovalbumin is the most abundant protein in hen’s egg white (4, 5). The management of egg allergy involves the avoidance of egg-containing products, education in the appropriate management of accidental exposures, and following up for resolution of the allergy (6). Most cases are resolved during childhood or adolescence (7).
healthcare workers concerning MMR and egg allergy due to anaphylactic reactions although the concentrations of processed chicken egg protein in the vaccine are too low to trigger an allergic reaction (15). Despite various recommendations and guidelines for children with egg allergies, the children are still directed to administer the MMR vaccine in the hospital environment (16). It is reported that not only healthcare workers but also the parents are extremely anxious and tend to make vaccination at the hospital (17) in the United Kingdom, it has been reported that in the past years, children with egg allergy had the possibility of being neglected or delayed for the MMR vaccine but this tendency has improved with the clarity of guidelines and dissemination of correct information (18).

2. Objectives

In this study, we aimed to reassess the risks of MMR vaccination and allergic reactions in infants with egg allergy.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional observational study was performed in the outpatient clinic of a pediatric allergy department at a university-affiliated hospital in Istanbul, Turkey, between March 2017 and September 2018. Our center is a governmental and reference hospital. All of the babies included in the study were referred to the allergy outpatient clinic by a healthcare worker because of the suspicion of egg allergy before vaccination. Infants who were not referred by healthcare workers due to egg allergy were excluded from the study. All patients were diagnosed with immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated egg allergy in the allergy outpatient clinic.

3.1. Data Collection

The diagnosis of egg allergy in patients with the symptoms after egg intake (IgE-mediated) was done with the removal of food from the diet, and improvement of symptoms, egg-white-specific IgE measurement and skin prick test. All of the babies were 12-months-old and were not previously vaccinated with MMR. The vaccine strains were M-M-RII (Merck, West Point, PA). The gender, diagnosis, presence of other food allergies, total IgE, specific IgE levels of egg white (F1), skin prick test results, and allergic reactions after vaccination were retrospectively recorded from the patients’ files. The MMR vaccines were administered subcutaneously with 23 to 25-gauge needles to the lateral thigh in the hospital emergency room by the same researcher. Patients were observed at least one hour after vaccination (Figure 1).

3.2. Measurements

The serum total IgE levels were measured by Chemiluminescent Immunometric Assay (CLIA), (Immulyte 2000 Allergy; Diagnostic Products Corp., Los Angeles, USA). Specific IgE antibodies (egg white) were detected qualitatively by Chemiluminescent Enzyme-Labeled Immunoassay (Diagnostic Products Corporation, Los Angeles, USA). The cut-off value for the egg white serum specific IgE level was 0.35 kU/L. A skin prick test was performed for food allergens (milk, egg white, egg yolk, wheat, peanut, cacao, tuna, strawberry, and tomato [Allergopharma Joachim Ganzer KG, Germany]). A positive skin prick test was accepted by the presence of wheal width of ≥ 3 mm for egg white and/or egg yolk by the same researcher. The study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the hospital, and written informed consent from parents was obtained for each child prior to the study. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committees and based on IRMCJ2019;21(6):e90300.
the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Ethical approval number/date was 2018-14-19/06.08.2018.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the Number Cruncher Statistical System (NCSS) 2007 statistical software (Utah, USA). Descriptive indices were calculated using descriptive statistical methods (mean and standard deviation), and numbers and percentages were used for expressing frequencies of patients. Correlation between specific IgE levels for egg white and diagnosis of the patients was assessed using the Pearson correlation test. Statistical significance was defined at a P value of less than 0.05.

4. Results

In this study, a total of 75 children with a suspicion of allergy to eggs were evaluated. As 10 children were not referred by healthcare workers and 15 children were not diagnosed with IgE-mediated egg allergy, they were not included in the study (Figure 1). The general characteristics of allergic cases are shown in Table 1. All the children were aged one year. Of the patients, 19 (38%) were female and 31 (62%) were male. Patient diagnosis, as shown in Table 2, was atopic dermatitis in 29 (58%) patients, urticaria in 18 (36%) patients, angioedema in two (4%) patients, and anaphylaxis in one (2%) patient.

### Table 1. Distribution of General Characteristics of Cases by Gender

| Causes of allergy | Female (N = 19) | Male (N = 31) |
|-------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Egg               | 14 (73.7)      | 18 (58.1)    |
| Egg + cow’s milk  | 5 (26.4)       | 9 (29)       |
| Egg + cow’s milk + wheat | 0 (0)       | 4 (13)       |

| Skin prick test results | Female (N = 19) | Male (N = 31) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Egg white               | 11 (57.8)       | 13 (41.9)    |
| Negative                | 5 (26.4)        | 10 (32.3)    |
| Whole egg               | 3 (15.8)        | 7 (23.1)     |
| Egg yolk                | 0 (0)           | 1 (3.2)      |

*Values are expressed as No. (%).

Fourteen (28%) patients had both egg and cow’s milk allergy. Four (8%) patients had egg, cow’s milk, and wheat allergy. The total mean IgE level was 199.80 ± 213.43 IU/mL. The specific IgE levels for egg white were 15.26 ± 29.64 kU/L. Skin prick test results were positive for egg allergens in 35 patients with a mean diameter of 3.12 ± 2.18 mm for egg yolk and 3.27 ± 2.62 mm for egg white.

Among all infants only one patient had urticaria within 5 min after vaccination. It resolved with pheniramine maleate treatment in one hour. She has had atopic dermatitis since one-month-old. At seven-months-old, she experienced urticaria after egg consumption. Her specific IgE level for egg white was 3.2 KU/L. Her skin prick test showed a diameter of 5.2 mm for the egg white. None of the patients with egg allergy developed anaphylactic reactions. There was no correlation between specific IgE levels for egg white and diagnosis of the patients (Table 3).

### Table 2. Diagnosis of the Patients

| Diagnosis           | Female (N = 19) | Male (N = 31) |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Atopic dermatitis   | 12 (63.1)       | 17 (54.8)    |
| Urticaria           | 8 (42.1)        | 10 (32)      |
| Anaphylaxis         | 0               | 1 (3.2)      |
| Angioedema          | 1               | 1 (3.2)      |

*Values are expressed as No. (%).

### Table 3. Correlation Between Specific IgE Levels for Egg White and Diagnosis of the Patients

| Diagnosis             | Specific IgE Positive Patients for Egg White (N = 37) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|                       | Pearson Correlation | P Value |
| Atopic dermatitis     | 0.147               | 0.08    |
| Urticaria             | 0.125               | 0.11    |
| Anaphylaxis           | 0.07                | 0.24    |
| Angioedema            | 0.07                | 0.24    |

5. Discussion

Since the onset of MMR vaccine administration, the vaccination of individuals with egg allergies has been the subject of controversy (19). Even though many reports have shown that egg-sensitive individuals can safely take the MMR vaccine, their vaccination is still postponed or rejected (20). The vaccine strains of M-M-RII (Merck, West Point, PA), accounting for one of the commonly used MMR vaccines, are grown in chick embryo cells and human diploid cell culture. Since the vaccine is not manufactured in eggs, no egg protein is found in the vaccine or the amount is usually insufficient to cause allergic reactions. However, due to the persistence of doubt, it is a common practice to advise parents to expose their children to the egg before MMR immunization. Then, children are referred to allergists if any form of hypersensitivity reaction is observed (15).

Parents of children with allergies to egg proteins and healthcare workers who follow up them are careful and
concerned about allergic reactions after MMR vaccination. In observational studies, it is stated that concerns are out of place as there are no allergic reactions to egg proteins found in the MMR vaccine (20). The reliability of MMR vaccination in egg-allergic individuals has been assessed in several studies. Andersen et al. reported the administration of 41 doses of the MMR vaccine to 32 egg-sensitive patients. None showed an anaphylactic/allergic reaction to the MMR vaccine (21). In the UK, 200 egg-allergic children were skin prick tested with the MMR or measles vaccine. Five of the patients had positive reactions, one of whom exhibited anaphylaxis after the intradermal test. The remaining 199 patients were vaccinated without side effects (22). Eleven of 14 patients with suspected egg allergies at 18-43 years of age were evaluated with the radioallergosorbent test (RAST) and egg white-specific IgE. Three of them were given intradermal test doses. Of these, urticaria was immediately developed only in one RAST positive person. Another RAST positive person also developed a small local reaction. Duncan et al. concluded that people with egg allergies can be vaccinated without excessive risk under properly controlled and monitored conditions (23). Goodyear-Smith et al. reported that 73 children received MMR without any side effects in the primary care (24).

In addition, the MMR vaccine was shown to cause one case of anaphylaxis, one urticaria, and 0.3 asthma symptoms in 100000 injections (25). The only reaction observed in our study was urticaria. No severe adverse reaction was seen; none of the patients had anaphylaxis.

Although the MMR vaccine is reported to be safe for patients with egg allergy, there are cases with anaphylaxis developing after MMR vaccine administration (26). Most severe allergic reactions to MMR are seen in children who do not have egg allergies. Sensitivity to other vaccine components, like gelatin or neomycin, accounts for many reactions. If a child is not vaccinated against measles, s/he can come across its potentially lethal sequelae (27). Current thinking favors vaccination in spite of egg allergy; therefore, vaccination should be performed at a center where patients are observed after vaccination and anaphylaxis treatment is carried out if necessary (26, 28). No or delayed vaccination cannot be justified because it puts the child at risk of a serious infection. A careful approach is recommended in cases of anaphylactic reaction after egg consumption or previous vaccinations. Expert evaluation of these cases and vaccination in a supervised environment are required (27).

The hospital application of MMR vaccination imposes a burden on hospital expenditures and leads to unwanted concerns in parents. Due to concerns about egg allergy, a hospital-based study in Ireland reported that 32% of children were referred to hospital clinics for routine immunization within a year for the MMR vaccine (29). In our study, the MMR vaccine was given to infants referred to the hospital with expert guidance. Despite the current positive safety studies, it is difficult to achieve a change in daily practice.

There were some limitations in our study. First, the number of cases was limited because the study included only one-year-old children who were referred by healthcare workers (note that the first time of MMR vaccination is at one year of age according to the Turkish Ministry of Health vaccination schedule). The utilized sample size (n = 50) was not quite large and it should be considered in the interpretation of the results. Second, there was no specification study to determine which egg proteins (ovalbumin and/or ovomucoid) caused sensitization in the studied children.

In summary, our study supports that MMR vaccine administration to children with egg allergy is safe. Life-threatening reactions to MMR vaccination in patients with egg-allergy are very rare. We believe that in cases of hypersensitivity reactions such as anaphylaxis the MMR vaccine needs to be administered in a hospital under the supervision of a pediatric allergist.
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