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ABSTRACT

The system voltage is one of the most important parameters, which determines the power quality. The stability of the system voltage is critical for the power system. This paper investigates the stability analysis of the automatic voltage regulation system controlled by a PI controller related to the controller gains. For this purpose, a graphical-based technique called as the stability boundary locus method is proposed in the paper to obtain the stable parameters space of PI controller gains. Stability of closed region computed on parameters space determines from roots of characteristic equation of automatic voltage regulation system. The time-domain simulations are performed in Matlab/Simulink environment to validate the theoretical results.
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PI Kontrolörlü otomatik gerilim regülasyon sisteminin kararlılık analizi

ÖZ

Elektrik güç sistemlerinde güç kalitesine etki eden en önemli parametre sistem gerilimidir. Sistem geriliminin kararlılığı güç sistemi açısından çok kritik önemne sahiptir. Bu çalışma, otomatik gerilim regülasyon (OGR) sisteminde PI kazançlarının değerlerine bağlı olarak sistem kararlılığını analiz etmiştir. Bu amaçla, kararlı PI parametre uzayını elde etmek için grafik tabanlı olan kararlılık sınır eğrisi metodu önerilmiştir. OGR sisteminin karakteristik denkleminin köklerine göre kararlılık kapalı bölgesi hesaplanmıştır. Teorik olarak elde edilen bu bölge, Matlab/Simulink ortamında gerçekleştiriliren zaman domain benzetimleri ile doğrulanmıştır.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The system that provides electrical energy flow from electricity production centers to consumption centers is called an interconnected system. This energy flow should be continuously provided on demand for system stability [1]–[3]. The active and/or reactive power change occurring in demand shows itself in two different ways in the electricity production center. The first one is the case resulted in the change of frequency of the system, that is, rotation speed of the generator. Load frequency control systems are used to hold at the desired frequency [4]–[6]. The second one is the change occurring in the terminal voltage of the generator due to the change especially in reactive power. Generator excitation control or automatic voltage regulator (AVR) systems are used to keep the terminal voltage at nominal values [7]–[9]. When these two parameters (frequency and voltage) can not be maintained at the desired values, the power system can black out. This condition is one of the most tragic scenarios for a power system.

Voltage sag occurs as a result of voltage instability caused by voltage drop in most of systems [4], [10]. One of the most important issues needed to be overcome in power systems is voltage sags and drops [10]. Transformers whose electrical connections are changed via mechanical or static switches, saturated reactor regulators, phase controlled regulators, ferro resonance transformers and motorized variac can be used to handle this problem as first solution [4]. The second solution uses AVR that keeps the generator voltage at the desired value. The primary goal of AVR is ensuring that output voltage or reactive power is kept at absolute value by controlling the excitation current in case of nominal, small and slow changes occurring in the reactive loads [1], [3], [11]. AVR is the control mechanism that detects variations in the output voltage of the generator and provides a controlling excitation current. If the response of regulator is slow; that means it has a dead band and is insensitive to changes. This is not a desired situation. Therefore AVR is a critical component of the power systems. Thus, it should detect even small variations in the output voltage of the system and generate a feedback signal proportional to this value by its control system [1], [3], [11]. Even though AVRs support the power system to maintain its synchronism by increasing the synchronizer torque value with their high operating speeds, they cause deterioration of dynamic stability of the power system due to their reducing effect of damping torque. Control system called power system stabilizer for producing assistive control signals is added to the excitation system to eliminate the negative effect of AVRs on dynamic stability of power systems [12], [13]. At this point, the stability analysis of power system with AVRs is also an important issue.

The response speed of AVRs is critical for stability [1], [13], [14]. Because, AVRs have significant effects on transient events that occur in electrical systems [14]–[16]. For this reason, PID type controllers widely used in the industry due to their simple structure [17] are used in power systems. P controller reduces the steady-state error to a certain extent with the help of proportional gain. On the other hand, it can cause instability or high-frequency oscillations in case of increasing the proportional gain to reduce the steady-state error [17]–[19]. Therefore, integral structure is also added to eliminate steady-state error. Thus, PI controllers are the most widely used PID type controllers.

The primary objective is to run the system in a stable parameters space in all control systems. For this purpose, many studies have been conducted to compute stable parameters space [8], [19]–[23]. In those studies, systems using PI and PID controllers as the controller with [19]–[21], [24] and without [22], [23] time-delay has been investigated.

In this study, it has been aimed to compute the stable parameter space of controller gains when AVR system is controlled by a PI controller. To obtain the stable parameter space, stability boundary locus method which is a frequency based graphical method given in [25] will be used. The obtained results will be verified by time domain simulations. After the introduction, in the second section the structure of AVR systems with PI controller and stability boundary locus of this system will be determined. In the third section, stability boundary locus of the system in $K_r$–$K_i$ space will be computed by utilizing the analytical results which are obtained, and time-domain simulations will be presented. In the last section of this study, the results will be discussed.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Voltage regulators are the center of excitation systems in terms of many aspects [1], [11], [18].
AVR enables regulating effect by detecting changes in the output voltage. Previously, this regulation was manually performed in the voltage regulation systems. In these systems, the terminal voltage of generator used to be changed by a rheostat on the exciting current until the desired output voltage is reached.

2.1. The automatic voltage regulation systems with PI controller

In Figure 1, the schematic diagram of an AVR system is presented [11].

Considering Figure 1, any change in the output voltage of the generator will change the terminal voltage. The voltage value measured by a voltage sensor is transmitted to AVR. Then AVR alters the terminal voltage of excitation system to keep the terminal voltage of generator at the desired value. The field current of generator changes by this way. This condition also changes the generated EMF. The power generation of the generator is adjusted to a new equilibrium point and the terminal voltage is maintained at the desired value[11]. Block diagram of AVR system whose schematic diagram is presented in Figure 1 is given in Figure 2.

AVR given in Figure 2 is the system that detects the output voltage of the generator and provides the regulating impact by controlling the excitation system to the desired value. In this block diagram, each subsystem is presented as below:

Amplifier Model

Excitation system may be an amplifier which can be a magnetic amplifier, a rotating amplifier or a modern electronic amplifier. The amplifier is defined by a transfer function including $K_a$ gain and $\tau_a$ time constant [1], [11], [7], [13], [26].

$$G_a(s) = \frac{K_a}{1+\tau_a s}$$

A typical value of $K_a$ is between 10 and 500 and the typical value of $\tau_a$ in the range of 0.02-0.1 seconds [1], [11], [7], [13], [26].

Excitation Model

These are DC-based excitation, AC-based excitation and static based excitation [27]–[29]. In modern excitation systems, there are the linear models that take time constant into account but ignore saturation or other non-linear structures. The relationship between output voltage and the terminal voltage of the excitation system is a function non-linear with saturation effect in the magnetic circuit [27]–[29]. In its simplest form, the transfer function of modern excitation is defined with $\tau_e$ time constant and $K_e$ gain is obtained as below.

$$G_e(s) = \frac{K_e}{1+\tau_e s}$$

A typical value of $K_e$ is between 1 and 10 and the typical value of $\tau_e$ in the range of 0.4-1.0 seconds [1], [11], [7], [13], [26].
**Generator Model**

Electromagnetic force generated by the synchronous machines is a function of machine magnetization curve. Terminal voltage depends on the generator load. In the linearized model, the transfer function is defined with $K_g$ gain and $\tau_g$ time constant.

$$G_g(s) = \frac{K_g}{1 + \tau_g s}$$

These constants change depending on fully loaded or unloaded system. $K_g$ gain varies between 0.7 and 1 and $\tau_g$ may vary within the range of 1.0 to 2.0 seconds [1], [11], [7], [13], [26]

**Sensor Model**

The sensor converts the terminal voltage of AC generator into the DC form so that error signal can be obtained.

$$G_s(s) = \frac{K_s}{1 + \tau_s s}$$

It can be modeled by simple first-degree transfer function of a sensor. $\tau_s$ is very small and varies between 0.01 and 0.06 seconds [1], [11], [7], [13], [26]

**PI Controller Model**

The transfer function of the PI controller is:

$$G_c(s) = K_p + \frac{K_i}{s}$$

where $K_p$ and $K_i$ are proportional and integral gains respectively.

By using the defined subsystems as above, transfer function of the system given in Figure 2 is obtained as follows:

$$aa = K_s K_g K_p (K_p s + K_i) (1 + \tau_s s)$$

$$bb = s(1 + \tau_s s)(1 + \tau_s s)(1 + \tau_s s) + K_s K_g K_p K_i (K_p s + K_i)$$

$$\frac{V_i(s)}{V_{ref}(s)} = \frac{aa}{bb} = \frac{\Delta_N(s)}{\Delta_D(s)}$$

(1)

Where numerator polynomial of the transfer function is as below:

$$\Delta_N(s) = q_2 s^2 + q_1 (K_p + \tau_s K_i) s + q_0 K_i = 0$$

(2)

where,

$$q_1 = q_0 = K_g K_e K_g$$

The characteristic equation of the system shown in Figure 2 is obtained as follows:

$$\Delta_D(s, K_p, K_i) = p_5 s^5 + p_4 s^4 + p_3 s^3 + p_2 s^2 + (1 + p_i K_i) s + p_0 K_i = 0$$

(3)

where,

$$p_5 = \tau_a \tau_e \tau_g \tau_s$$

$$p_4 = \tau_a \tau_e \tau_g + \tau_a \tau_g \tau_s + \tau_a \tau_e \tau_s + \tau_e \tau_g \tau_s$$

$$p_3 = \tau_a \tau_e + \tau_a \tau_g + \tau_a \tau_e \tau_s + \tau_e \tau_s$$

$$p_2 = \tau_a + \tau_e + \tau_g + \tau_s$$

$$p_1 = p_0 = K_g K_e K_g K_s$$

Since the roots of characteristic equation of the system are also poles of the system, stability analysis of the system will be performed by utilizing the characteristic equation obtained by the Eq. (3). There are three boundaries according to the characteristic equation. They are real root boundary (RRB), complex root boundary (CRB) and infinite root boundary (IBR) [29].

**RRB:** $\Delta_D(0, K_p, K_i) = 0$

**CRB:** $\Delta_D(\pm j\omega, K_p, K_i) = 0$

**IBR:** $\Delta_D(\infty, K_p, K_i) = 0$

For stability analysis of the system, RRB is $K_i=0$ and its CRB is $t(\omega, K_p, K_i)$, however, because IBR does not depend on $K_p$, $K_i$ controller parameters, there is no IBR on $K_p$–$K_i$ plane.

**2.2. Argins The stability boundary locus analysis of AVR systems with PI controller**

The system will have poles on only the axis of $j\omega$ with $K_p$ and $K_i$ values that will make characteristic equation equal to zero for $s=j\omega$. This case corresponds to the critical stability of the system. It should be made real and imaginary parts of the characteristic equation equal to zero separately to compute gains of PI controller from the equation.

$$\text{Re}[\Delta_D(j\omega)] = p_4 \omega^4 - p_2 \omega^2 + p_0 K_i = 0$$

$$\text{Im}[\Delta_D(j\omega)] = p_3 \omega^3 - p_1 \omega^3 + (1 + p_i K_p) \omega = 0$$

(4)

Gain values of PI controller can be obtained as below:
In this section, stability boundary locus of AVR system provided with the characteristic equation in the previous section will be obtained, and the region computed on the $K_p-K_i$ plane will be tested. Then, the obtained results will be verified by time domain simulations.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, stability boundary locus of AVR system will be obtained, and the region computed on the $K_p-K_i$ plane will be obtained, and the results will be verified by time domain simulations.

#### 3.1. Computation stability region of AVR systems with PI controller

Stability boundary locus of the system is determined by using Eq. (5) and (6) given in Figure 3 for system parameter values ($K_e=10$, $K_f=1$, $T_e=0.1$, $T_f=0.4$, $T_i=1$, $T_e=0.01$) used in [7].

Considering the stability boundary locus obtained on $K_p-K_i$ plane, the closed region is stable as it will be seen the analysis of the system according to the roots of the characteristic Eq. (3).

One of the boundaries of the stable region is RRB which is the line of $K_i=0$. This condition means that the system has only proportional controller $K_p$ and RRB line is the border of the instability. The other boundary is CRB for the system. This change is obtained from Eq. (5) and (6) by changing $\omega$. This means that the system has a complex conjugate pole on the $j\omega$ axis and is critically stable.

![Figure 3. The stability boundary locus of AVR system](image)

Table 1. Poles of the system for PI controller gains pair given $Z_1$, $Z_2$ and $Z_3$

| $K_p$ | $K_i$ | $s_1$  | $s_2$  | $s_3$  | $s_4$  | $s_5$  |
|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.15 | 0.77 | -99.99| -10.28| -3.55 | 0.16-j2.28 | 0.16+j2.28 |
| 0.2  | 0.686| -99.99| -10.49| -3.01 | -j2.32 | j2.32  |
| 0.25 | 0.6  | -99.99| -10.68| -2.42 | -0.19-j2.39 | 0.19+j2.39 |
| 1.45 | 0.77 | -99.95| -13.11| -0.51 | 0.04-j5.32 | 0.04+j5.32 |
| 1.413| 0.686| -99.95| -13.06| -0.47 | -j5.27 | -j5.27 |
| 1.37 | 0.6  | -99.96| -13.01| -0.42 | -0.05-j5.21 | -0.05+j5.21 |
| 0.8  | 0.1  | -99.97| -12.1 | -0.11 | -0.65-j4.22 | -0.65+j4.22 |
| 0.8  | 0    | -99.97| -12.12| -0.69-j4.25 | -0.69+j4.25 | --- |
| 0.8  | -0.1 | -99.97| -12.14| 0 | -0.74-j4.28 | -0.74+j4.28 |
The characteristic equation of the system for \( K_p - K_i \) parameters pair (0.25, 0.6), which is inside of closed region given in \( Z_1 \), has been obtained as follow:

\[
\Delta(s) = 4 \cdot 10^{-4} s^5 + 0.0454 s^4 + 0.555 s^3 \\
+ 1.51 s^2 + 3.5 s + 6 = 0
\]

In this case the poles of the system are \( s_1 = -99.99 \), \( s_2 = -10.68 \), \( s_3 = -2.42 \) and \( s_{4,5} = -0.19 \pm 2.39 \). As it is seen, the system has no poles in right half \( s \)-plane. Hence, the system is stable for these parameter pairs. Because dominant poles of the system are \( s_4 \) and \( s_5 \) that are complex conjugate, there will be a damped oscillation in the system. On the other hand, characteristic equation of the system for \( K_p - K_i \) parameter pair (0.15, 0.77), which is outside of the closed region given in \( Z_1 \), has been obtained as follows:

\[
\Delta(s) = 4 \cdot 10^{-4} s^5 + 0.0454 s^4 + 0.555 s^3 \\
+ 1.51 s^2 + 2.5 s + 7.7 = 0
\]

Therefore the system poles have been obtained as \( s_1 = -99.99 \), \( s_2 = -10.28 \), \( s_3 = -3.55 \) and \( s_{4,5} = 0.16 \pm 2.28 \). Note that the system has two complex conjugate poles in right half \( s \)-plane and is unstable.

Finally, characteristic equation of the system for \( K_p - K_i \) parameter pair (0.2, 0.686), which is on CRB given in \( Z_1 \), has been obtained as follows:

\[
\Delta(s) = 4 \cdot 10^{-4} s^5 + 0.0454 s^4 + 0.555 s^3 \\
+ 1.51 s^2 + 3 s + 6.86 = 0
\]

Poles of this characteristic equation are \( s_1 = -99.99 \), \( s_2 = -10.49 \), \( s_3 = -3.01 \) and \( s_{4,5} = \pm 2.32 \). According to the poles, the system is critically stable and value of the frequency in this point on \( K_p - K_i \) plane is \( \omega = 2.32 \text{ rad/s} \). This value is also the frequency of the undamped oscillation occurred in the system for this controller parameters pair.

The similar results are obtained for \( Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \) and Table 1 indicates this condition. Because the system for controller gains marked in bold in Table 1 has one pole and one zero at the origin, these two effects will neutralize each other. Therefore, the number of poles of the system will be reduced to four poles. Considering the poles of the system, it has one real unstable pole and two dominant complex conjugate poles in left half \( s \)-plane. Hence, while a damped oscillation is expected in the transient response of the system, output of the system in the steady state will exponentially increase.

### 3.2. Time domain simulation results

In this section, simulation results for \( K_p - K_i \) parameter pairs given in Figure 3 will be presented. Time responses of the system are obtained in Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6 for \( K_p - K_i \) parameters in \( Z_1 \), \( Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \) respectively.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
Figure 4. Time responses of the system for parameters in \( Z_1 \)

As it can be seen in Figure 4 and 5, the response of the system for parameters chosen from stable region illustrates a stable behavior with blue. The response of the system for parameters chosen on stability boundary locus is critically stable with black, and also the response of the system for parameters chosen outside of the stable region is unstable with red. Considering Figure 3, since the frequency value corresponding to parameters on the stability boundary locus in \( Z_2 \) is greater than those in \( Z_1 \), frequency of damped oscillations in \( Z_2 \) are greater than oscillations in \( Z_1 \) as shown in Figure 4 and 5 with responses of the critically stable system in \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \).
As expected, the system is exponentially unstable for the controller gains outside of stability boundary locus for $Z_3$. On the other hand, the system is stable for the controller gains inside of stability boundary locus or on it.

**4. CONCLUSION**

While controlling power systems, it is intended to improve their performances. In this article, however, since it is important to keep the stability for security and efficiency of the system; it has been aimed to determine stable parameter space of PI controller when an AVR system is controlled by a PI controller. For this purpose, the characteristic equation of AVR system is firstly obtained. Secondly, stable $K_p-K_i$ parameter space is obtained by a graphical method stability boundary locus by utilizing this equation. Then, it is determined that the stable $K_p-K_i$ parameter space is the closed area between real root boundary and complex root boundary. Finally, time domain simulations are performed for different PI controller parameters selected in, over and outside of this area. The obtained simulation results prove the accuracy of the method.

Consequently, the stability boundary locus method can also be used as an effective tool to determine the stable PI parameter space for AVR systems. This issue will not only help to determine stability region of PI controller but also help to limit the search space for system optimization problems.
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