Mesostructure of Mesoporous Silica/Anodic Alumina Hierarchical Membranes Tuned with Ethanol
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ABSTRACT: Hierarchically structured membranes composed of mesoporous silica embedded inside the channels of anodic alumina (MS-AAM) were synthesized using the aspiration method. Ethanol is shown to have a significant effect on the type and organization of the mesoporous silica phase. Detailed textural analysis revealed that the pore size distribution of the mesoporous silica narrows and the degree of ordering increases with decreasing ethanol concentration used in the synthesis mixture. The silica mesopores were synthesized with pores as small as 6 nm in diameter, with the channel direction oriented in lamellar, circular, and columnar directions depending on the ethanol content. This study reveals ethanol concentration as a key factor behind the synthesis of an ordered mesoporous silica–anodic alumina membrane that can increase its functionality for membrane-based applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since their discovery in the early 1990s,1–4 ordered mesoporous silica materials have gained tremendous interest. This interest stems from their desirable properties, such as well-defined and uniform pore sizes, high surface areas, and high pore volumes. As a result, they are very attractive to a wide range of applications, including catalysis,5 adsorption,6,7 sensing,8,9 nanotechnology,10,11 and separation.12,13 They are typically synthesized via a technique that combines sol–gel chemistry and the self-assembly of surfactant molecules as templates16 in the presence of an acid or base catalyst.18 gel chemistry and the self-assembly of surfactant molecules as templates16 in the presence of an acid or base catalyst.18

Significant effort has been expended to understand the formation mechanism and to control the morphology and pore size of mesoporous silica materials by adjusting the synthesis conditions and components. Different surfactants and synthesis conditions lead to different mesostructures, such as lamellar, hexagonal, and cubic phases.4,19–21 Block copolymer micelles are a popular choice as a template for the synthesis of mesoporous silica. Unlike low-molecular-weight surfactants, block copolymers yield more stable materials, with thicker silica walls and larger pore diameters.22 The most frequently used block copolymer is Pluronic P123 (PEO20-PPO70-PEO20), which is used in the synthesis of SBA-15 to yield a two-dimensionally, hexagonally ordered (p6mm) pore structure with tunable mesopore diameter.23

In the presence of alcohols, the behavior of micelles formed by the surfactants is affected.23 Alcohols of medium chain length (n-propanol to n-hexanol) were reported to be partitioned between micelles and the surrounding aqueous phase,24 which leads to mixed alcohol/surfactant micelles. In 1981, Zana et al.23 proposed three relaxation processes that characterize an alcohol/surfactant micelle system: a slow process, which corresponds to the mixed micelle formation–dissolution equilibrium and two fast processes, associated with the exchange of the alcohol and surfactant between micelles and the surrounding solution. The authors showed that the addition of linear alcohols (butanol to n-hexanol) to micellar solutions decreases the critical micelle concentration (CMC) and molecular weight at the CMC and increases the degree of micelle ionization at the CMC. Examples of materials resulting from the addition of linear alcohols can be seen in the butanol–P123 system (cubic Im3m mesostructure)25 and butanol–F127 system (cubic Im3m, Fm3m, and a 2D hexagonal-like mesophase).26 In contrast, short-chain alcohols (methanol and ethanol) have been described as having a water-structure-breaking effect on copolymers, whose addition results in increased copolymer solubility and, therefore, the CMC.23,27–29 Denkova et al.30 confirmed that the addition of ethanol to an aqueous micellar solution initiates micelle growth and the transition from spheres to rods. The growth process of the micelles was reported to have two distinct regions, which are dependent on the experimental conditions. This process is characterized by an initiation period, followed by a period of actual growth to equilibrium. The reactant solution for the synthesis of SBA-15 usually does not contain additional alcohol, although Sun et al. used alcohol in a thermal treatment to produce a secondary SBA-15 material with long-range ordering, larger mesopores, and thicker pore walls.31 Under synthesis conditions without alcohol, ethanol is formed by the hydrolysis of the silicate source, tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS). The amount of ethanol released is significant enough to drive a phase transformation in the mesoporous silica formed.
altering the surfactant packing within the micellar surfactant templates.\textsuperscript{32} It has been shown that increasing ethanol concentration results in a silica mesphase transition sequence in the order of hexagonal $\rightarrow$ cubic $\rightarrow$ lamellar $\rightarrow$ radial hexagonal close packing for cationic\textsuperscript{33} and anionic\textsuperscript{34} surfactant systems. The amount of alcohol present affects the hydrolysis rate so that, at lower concentrations, the alcohol behaves as a cosurfactant. At higher concentrations, however, the alcohol acts as a cosolvent. As a cosurfactant, the alcohol molecules penetrate the surfactant micelles so that the true volume occupied by the surfactant increases, which results in an increase in the surfactant packing parameter value ($g$) and a resulting decrease in the surface curvature (hexagonal $\rightarrow$ cubic $\rightarrow$ lamellar).\textsuperscript{35,36}

Ethanol is known to be a good solvent for the PEO and PPO blocks in Pluronic P123 block copolymer. As a result, ethanol has a significant influence on the self-assembly behavior of P123 in aqueous solution. Soni et al.\textsuperscript{29} hypothesized that ethanol diffuses inside the PPO core, which leads to a steady decrease in the micellar radius, core size, and aggregation number of the block copolymer micelles as the ethanol concentration increases from 0 to 20 wt %. SAXS data analysis also showed that the mean intermicellar distance decreases with ethanol addition. For 0 and 5 wt % ethanol concentrations in 30 wt % P123 copolymer, a mixture of cubic ($Fm3m$) and hexagonal close-packed sphere (HCP) crystalline phases were resolved by SAXS Bragg reflection peaks. For 10 wt % ethanol in the same copolymer concentration, only the 3D hexagonal HCP phase was observed. No studies were carried out beyond 25 wt % ethanol concentration. However, a study of the binary system of P123 and water revealed a transition of crystalline phases from a mixture of cubic and HCPs, to 2D hexagonal to lamellar, when the copolymer concentration increased from 30 to 45 to 70 wt %, respectively. Liu et al.\textsuperscript{35} confirmed that different phase transitions can be induced by the presence of alcohol. Studying a CTAB surfactant-based system, the authors explained the phase transition by a change in the surfactant packing parameter. At low alcohol concentrations, the packing parameter increases as a consequence of an increase in the true surfactant volume when the alcohol diffuses into the surfactant micelles. The increased parameter value leads to a high- to low-curvature phase transition. This is the cosurfactant effect. Contributing information to the solution properties of PEO-PPO-PEO micelles,\textsuperscript{36,37} has received a lot of attention and shows that increasing the number of ethylene oxide groups in a surfactant molecule leads to a smaller aggregation number, that is, the number of surfactant molecules present in a micelle once the CMC value has reached.

For separation applications, mesoporous silica has been grown inside the channels of a hard template so that the pore channels are aligned along the substrate channels; growing mesoporous silica directly as a film will lead to pores parallel to the film’s external surface.\textsuperscript{38,39} The synthesis of mesoporous silica inside the columnar channels of an anodic alumina membrane (AAM) has been extensively studied.\textsuperscript{40–43} An anodic alumina membrane is an ideal hard template for the growth of molecular filters consisting of ordered mesoporous silica because of its chemically and mechanically stable nature and straight tunable pore channels that can also be used for separation applications.\textsuperscript{44,45} However, control over the formation of the silica structures in this confined environment is still difficult. Here, the aspiration method is used as the fabrication method for the synthesis of mesoporous silica with controlled morphology and a tunable pore size distribution. This method has been previously reported\textsuperscript{46} to be superior to other evaporation-induced self-assembly (EISA) techniques. A key factor that can be manipulated in this technique to create ordered mesoporous structures inside the AAM channels is the residence time for the precursor sol. It is the purpose of this article to investigate the influence of ethanol on the growth of mesoporous silica structures inside AAM channels. As discussed, ethanol is a common organic solvent used in the synthesis of mesoporous silica materials. However, unlike the silica sol for granular mesoporous silica, the silica sol for mesoporous silica membranes requires an additional amount of ethanol, an important difference. In studies of Pluronic P123 micelles, it has been shown that the maximum length of rod-shaped micelles is reached at 8–10 vol % ethanol,\textsuperscript{47} which is equivalent to the amount of ethanol released in the hydrolysis of TEOs during the synthesis of SBA-15, without ethanol added. Therefore, an understanding of why additional ethanol is needed for membrane synthesis and how it affects the synthesis of mesoporous silica is critical and necessary.

In this study, we examine the effect of a wide range of ethanol concentrations on the final mesoporous structures, soft-templated by Pluronic P123 and formed within the channels of anodic alumina. Composite mesoporous silica—anodic alumina membranes were synthesized by varying the ethanol content. The growth process and final synthesized structures as a function of ethanol present in the sol were investigated. This offers crucial new insights to control the synthesis of tunable mesoporous silica membranes, which has remained a great challenge up to now.\textsuperscript{48}

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials. Tetraethylocathiophosphate (TEOS, reagent grade 98%) was used as the silica source, and ethanol (absolute), hydrochloric acid (HCl, ACS reagent 37%), and triblock copolymer poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(propylene oxide)-b-poly(ethylene oxide) $\text{EO}_35\text{PO}_{45}\text{EO}_{35}$ (Pluronic P123) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Dorset, U.K.). Whatman anodic alumina membranes (AAM) with a pore size of 200 nm, a diameter of 47 mm, and a thickness of 60 $\mu$m were obtained from Fisher Scientific Ltd. (Leicester, U.K.). Proteins myoglobin (Mb, molecular weight 17 000 g/mol and size 2.9 $\times$ 3.6 $\times$ 6.4 nm$^3$) and bovine serum albumin (BSA, molecular weight 66 500 g/mol and size 5.5 $\times$ 5.6 $\times$ 12 nm$^3$) were also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Dorset, U.K.). All materials were used as received without any further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of a Nanocomposite Membrane. A standard precursor solution was prepared as described previously,\textsuperscript{49} with modifications as follows: Pluronic P123 (1 g) was dissolved in ethanol with deionized water (2 g) and hydrochloric acid (12 M HCl, 0.1 g). The solution was stirred at room temperature under reflux for 1 h until it was clear. Then tetraethylocathiophosphate (TEOS, 2.13 g) was added to the mixture and stirred for 7 h at 60 $^\circ$C under reflux. The molar composition of the precursor solution, TEOS/P123/ EtOH/HCl/H$_2$O is 1.0:0.17:x:0.1:11.2. Table 1 shows the molar composition of the precursor solution used to create the different membrane samples.

An anodic alumina membrane (AAM) was set in a filtration apparatus, and 5 mL of the precursor solution was dropped onto the membrane. The solution was forced into the channels under moderate aspiration of 20 kPa (vacuum pressure). The membrane was dried under aspiration for 5 min at room temperature. To remove the surfactant template, the sample was calcined at 550 $^\circ$C for 6 h after increasing the temperature at a heating rate of 0.5 $^\circ$C/min from room temperature to 550 $^\circ$C. Hereafter, the resulting mesoporous membranes prepared will be designated as X M, where X is the volume percent of ethanol added to the precursor solution. The
Table 1. Molar Compositions of Silica Precursor Solutions Used for the Synthesis of a Mesoporous Silica Membrane

| sample | TEOS/P123/EtOH/HCl/H2O |
|--------|------------------------|
| 78_M   | 1.0017:31.8:0.1:11.2    |
| 70_M   | 1.0017:21.2:0.1:11.2    |
| 64_M   | 1.0017:15.9:0.1:11.2    |
| 45_M   | 1.0017:7.4;0.1:11.2     |
| 32_M   | 1.0017:4.25:0.1:11.2    |
| 19_M   | 1.0017:2.12:0.1:11.2    |

Ethanol at 78 vol % (X = 78) was chosen as the highest concentration in the series on the basis of the original procedure from the literature. For ethanol concentrations of <19 vol %, the precursor sol gelled and would not flow through the AAO membrane under aspiration. This sets a lower bound (X = 19) and is the reason that the addition of ethanol to the precursor solution is required in the synthesis of silica-based membranes via aspiration.

### 2.3. Characterization by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)
Dynamic light scattering measurements were made with a NanoBrook Omni particle sizer and a zeta potential analyzer apparatus (Brookhaven Instruments, Long Island, NY, USA) with a 640 nm, 35 mW red diode laser. Aqueous solutions of Pluronic P123 were prepared and stirred at ambient temperature for 24 h. The P123 solutions were filtered through a 0.22 μm Millipore filter and left to equilibrate for up to 3 weeks. Ethanol was added to the solutions as needed. The solutions were centrifuged in order to remove remaining dust particles. Samples of the micellar solutions with ethanol were needed. The solutions were centrifuged in order to remove remaining fines and gelled and would not flow through the AAO membrane under aspiration. This sets a lower bound (X = 19) and is the reason that the addition of ethanol to the precursor solution is required in the synthesis of silica-based membranes via aspiration.

The synthesized MS-AAM membranes formed with their corresponding ethanol volumetric percentages: (■) 78_M and (red –●–) 70_M.
The relative pressure at which the capillary condensation occurs is shifted to the right to slightly higher values as the ethanol concentration decreases. The isotherms of 78_M and 70_M samples are not of the type IV expected of mesoporous materials because they lack the hysteresis loop and inflection peaks. They can be classified as type II isotherms resulting from the physisorption of nitrogen on macropores.

64_M and 45_M isotherms show hysteresis loops of type H4 according to the IUPAC classification. The shape of the isotherm indicates the formation of mesoporous silica, possibly with slit-shaped pores, but also the presence of micropores. 32_M and 19_M isotherms have well-defined hysteresis loops of types H2 and H3. Their shapes indicate the formation of uniform mesoporous silica. NLDFT analysis shows a narrow pore size distribution (Figure 3) in 19_M obtained from the adsorption branch of the isotherm. The diameter was estimated as 6.8 nm. The very narrow pore size distribution indicates the formation of a uniform and homogeneous silica structure.

Results show that increasing the ethanol concentration leads to a broader pore size distribution, with 70_M and 78_M showing the broadest distribution and a few pores in the upper limit of the mesoporous range. The values of the dominant pore diameter (mode) are listed in Table 2. With the narrowing of the pore size distribution, as the ethanol concentration decreases, there is a corresponding increase in surface area. The highest value of the BET surface area is obtained for 19_M and is ca. 49 m²/g. This surface area is per unit mass of the membrane, including both the mesoporous silica and the alumina template. Thus, it is not to be confused with the specific surface area of mesoporous materials themselves, which is much higher (Table S1).

Figure 3 shows that the 78_M and 70_M samples contain much larger pores, even in the range of macropores (>50 nm). The alumina matrix itself contains macropores of 200 nm width. These pores are not uniform or consistent in size, as discussed in our earlier work. Therefore, the high pore diameters (macropores) seen in 78_M and 70_M pore size distributions indicate more empty AAO channels, whereas for the other samples, the absence of macropores and the narrow mesopore distributions suggest that the AAO pores have been filled with mesoporous silica material.

Table 2. Textural Parameters Evaluated from Nitrogen Adsorption/Desorption Measurements

| sample | BET surface area (m²/g) | pore volume (cc/g) | pore diameter (nm) |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 78_M   | 5.5                     | 0.39              | 50                |
| 70_M   | 11                      | 0.45              | 30                |
| 64_M   | 35                      | 0.06              | 6.0               |
| 45_M   | 35                      | 0.07              | 6.4               |
| 32_M   | 48                      | 0.11              | 6.6               |
| 19_M   | 49                      | 0.11              | 6.8               |

Figure 4. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns of mesoporous silica–anodic alumina membrane samples synthesized with 78 vol % (brown – ▲ –) 64_M, (red – ○ –) 45_M, (brown – ▲ –) 32_M, and (green – ★ –) 19_M. Inset: region between 2 and 20 nm.

Figure 4. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) patterns of mesoporous silica–anodic alumina membrane samples synthesized with 78 vol % (brown – ▲ –) 64_M, (red – ○ –) 45_M, (brown – ▲ –) 32_M, and (green – ★ –) 19_M ethanol. This peak is indexed as (1 0 0). Bragg’s law is used to determine the d spacing, d_{100}. Figure 4 shows that samples synthesized at the higher ethanol concentration of 78 vol % do not show a scattering peak. The intensity of the (100) peak increases significantly from the 64_M pattern to the 32_M and 19_M patterns. The 64_M peak is also the broadest. The difference in the intensities and the distribution of the peaks indicate an even lower degree of order for the 64_M sample
and an improvement for the samples with lower ethanol concentration. The appearance of a single peak for all of the patterns may result from insufficient scattering material present (small amount of silica present in the alumina membrane). The absence of higher-order peaks suggests no consistent long-range ordering. All of the samples have approximately the same peak positions (Table 3), yielding a very small difference in the unit cell constant, \(a_0\). Because the mesopore diameter, \(d_{100}\), is also very similar, the corresponding silica mesopore wall thickness, \(a_0 - d_{100}\), is almost the same for ordered samples 19_M, 32_M, and 64_M (Table 3). The unit cell parameter determined for the synthesized membranes with ordered mesoporous silica is consistent with that observed for SBA-15 particles, aged at higher temperatures (>100 °C).52

The Bragg peaks are broad, which may be due to polydispersity and disorder. Crystal defects in a crystalline material could also contribute to peak broadening. Assuming that the broadening arises solely from the crystalline structure, the domain size can be estimated using Scherrer’s equation:

\[
\text{crystallite size} = \frac{2\pi K}{\Delta q} \tag{6}
\]

where \(K\) is the Scherrer constant (0.9 for most materials) and \(\Delta q\) is the full width at half-maximum (fwhm) of the peak intensity.

The domain size for 32_M (the most pronounced peak) using the \(d_{100}\) peak was then determined to be 7.5 nm. A well-ordered silica structure will have a small \(\Delta q\) value and thus a larger domain size (>25 nm). If all of the AAO pores were filled with well-ordered mesoporous silica, three reflection peaks, (100), (110), and (210), associated with hexagonal periodicity would be expected to be seen in the SAXS patterns.

The morphology of the synthesized samples was studied using SEM. Figure 5 shows the SEM images of mesoporous silica–anodic alumina membranes formed with different ethanol concentrations. Evidence of alumina pores filled with silica is seen in the top view. The formation of silica is confirmed after complete etching of the alumina matrix by 10% \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\) as shown in Figure 6. The silica collected after complete etching of the alumina matrix consists of tubular structures. The ordered structure of the collected silica is confirmed by the SAXS patterns shown in Figure 7 for the 19_M and 32_M silicas. The intensity of the 32_M silica Bragg reflection peak is much higher than that for the 19_M silica because of the small quantity of 19_M silica material available for scattering. The peaks for the collected silica occur at the same locations as those for their corresponding composite structures.

![Table 3. Textural Parameters of a Mesoporous Silica–Anodic Alumina Membrane, with Different Ethanol Concentrations, Obtained from SAXS]

| sample | \(q\) (nm\(^{-1}\)) | \(d_{100}\) (nm) | \(a_0\) (nm) | pore wall thickness (nm) |
|--------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 78_M   | 0.633         | 9.9            | 11.5        | 5.5                   |
| 64_M   | 0.614         | 10.2           | 11.8        | 5.2                   |
| 32_M   | 0.632         | 9.9            | 11.5        | 4.7                   |
| 19_M   | 0.632         | 9.9            | 11.5        | 4.7                   |

*aThe cell constant \(a_0\) was calculated from \(d_{100}\) assuming hexagonal order.

![Figure 5. SEM images of MS-AAM membranes formed with a precursor solution of (A) 78 vol %, (B) 64 vol %, (C) 45 vol %, (D) 32 vol %, and (E) 19 vol % ethanol.]

![Figure 6. SEM images of silica rods (MS) collected from (A) 78_M, (B) 64_M, (C) 32_M, and (D) 19_M after complete etching of the alumina matrix with 10% \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\) for 24 h.]

![Figure 7. SAXS patterns for silica rods collected after the complete etching of the alumina matrix with 10% \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_4\) for 24 h.]
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material, i.e., $q = 0.61 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ (0.061 Å$^{-1}$) and $q = 0.67 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ (0.067 Å$^{-1}$) for 32_M silica and 19_M silica, respectively.

### 3.2. Phase Transformations

The local structure, order, and mesophase of the collected silica were further investigated by TEM measurements. The TEM micrographs reveal three types of mesostructures, depending on the ethanol concentration in the precursor solution. For the highest ethanol concentration, 78 vol %, a lamellar arrangement of the pores is observed, where the structure is aligned parallel to the long axis of the columnar silica. Decreasing the ethanol concentration to 64 vol % leads to a mixture of mesostructures arranged in (mostly) parallel and nonparallel orientations.

Figure 8B reveals a phase transition from a lamellar to a hexagonal arrangement of pores. Further decreases in the ethanol content to 32 vol % leads to a large and homogeneous domain of circular hexagonal pore packing with an estimated pore-to-pore distance of ~10 nm (estimated from TEM micrographs), in agreement with SAXS results (Table 3). At 19 vol % ethanol, large domains of both circular and columnar regions exist, as does a combination of circular, columnar hexagonal, and tubular lamellar phases (Figure 8D and inset). On the basis of the TEM results, the average mesopore sizes of the hexagonally ordered phases of 64_M, 32_M, and 19_M were roughly estimated to be 5.7, 6.0, and 5.9 nm, respectively.

### 3.3. DLS Analyses

Denkova et al. proved that ethanol shortens the initiation time$^{30}$ of the micelle self-organization and that the addition of ethanol decreases the apparent hydrodynamic radius of triblock copolymers in water.$^{47}$ This explains the need for ethanol in the precursor solutions. The amount of ethanol released by TEOS hydrolysis and the amount of ethanol added are more than sufficient to shorten the self-organization and gelation processes to yield mesoporous silica structures. Our measurements confirm this. The size (hydrodynamic diameter) of the micelles in the various solutions was calculated from DLS measurements via the Stokes–Einstein equation. The micelles are assumed to have a spherical shape on the basis of their size.$^{37,47,55}$ The hydrodynamic diameter of P123 micelles in pure water was measured to be $18.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ nm}$ and did not change much over a period of 3 weeks (Figure S1).

Figure 9 shows that the addition of 1–15 vol % ethanol to a 0.008 g/mL P123 micellar solution at 20 °C causes the formation of smaller micelles ($15 \pm 6 \text{ nm}$) than those formed by P123 in pure water only. For ethanol concentrations greater than 15 vol %, the correlation function was fitted using a double-exponential function, eq 2.

The sharp increase in the calculated hydrodynamic diameter strongly suggests that the assumption of a spherical shape no longer holds true for these micelles. In this case, the fast mode represents the spherical micelles and the slow mode represents growing rodlike micelles. Therefore, at higher ethanol concentrations, the micelles start to grow so that both spherical micelles and elongated micelles exist. The estimated diameter calculations from both the fast and slow modes of the double-exponential function yielded very large values (on the order of 10 nm for the slow mode), which are likely a result of larger aggregates. From Figure 10, it can be seen that, at 60 °C, the micelles formed with ethanol become as small as $9.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ nm}$ in diameter. Unlike at 20 °C, the autocorrelation function for the 30 vol % ethanol micellar solution at 60 °C can be fitted to a single relaxation fit. The hydrodynamic diameter obtained at the higher temperature is the smallest in the ethanol volume series, $3.5 \pm 0.04 \text{ nm}$. This indicates that the micelles are smaller at the higher temperature of 60 °C. An increase in temperature can induce the dehydration of the ethylene oxide...
(EO) chains of the micelle corona. To relate this to the precursor solution for the formation of the composite material, the addition of ethanol decreases the micelle size up to 30 vol %, beyond which the micelles transition from spherical to elongated shapes. These shapes may be wormlike or rodlike, but they both would lead to the formation of larger pores for the silica material in the confined environment.

3.4. Membrane Permeation. The viscosity of the precursor solutions increases as the ethanol concentration decreases from 78_M to 19_M. Consequently, the residence time for the deposition of the sol in the alumina channels increases for the corresponding synthesized membrane samples. This results in a more controlled evaporation-induced self-assembly process for the formation of the silica structures within the confined alumina channels. This correlation (Figure S2) is tested with permeation measurements of the membranes.

The molecular transport of the membranes was tested using protein molecules of different sizes (Figures 11 and 12). In the absence of silica nanostructures, both Mb and BSA molecules are transported through the AAO membrane (Figure 11A). The presence of the silica nanostructures in 19_M causes a slight decrease in the flux of both molecules. However, Mb molecules (∼4.0 nm) and BSA molecules (∼7.2 nm) are transported at nearly the same rate as through the as-purchased alumina membrane (AAO) (Figure 11B). The effective diameter of a BSA molecule is slightly larger than the mean pore size of the silica nanocomposite structures of the 19_M sample, and as such, its transport is expected to be rejected. However, this is not the case for the transport of BSA through the 19_M membrane. Similarly, BSA transport through 45_M is not rejected. This is because BSA is slightly elongated (5.5 × 5.6 × 12 nm³), which makes transport through silica pores down to 6 nm possible. Electrostatic interactions, and not just the size exclusion effect, also play a role. The experiment is carried out at pH 7. Therefore, Mb molecules (pI = 7) are neutral, and BSA molecules (pI = 11) are positively charged. Because the silica is negatively charged, attractive interactions may exist between the BSA molecules and silica.

As expected, BSA molecules are transported through 70_M because the mean pore diameter is much larger than that of the BSA molecules. Its flux is lower than that for AAO transport, however, which is indicative of the presence of silica material in the pores of 70_M. The flux of the BSA molecule transport decreases from AAO to 70_M, 45_M, and finally 19_M. The

Figure 10. Variation in micelle size, calculated as a hydrodynamic diameter, as a function of different ethanol concentrations in a 0.008 g/mL P123 micellar solution at 60 °C. Inset: the region between 0 and 30 vol % EtOH. Error bars represent the standard deviation of triplicate solutions.

Figure 11. Time-dependent transport of (red –●–) Mb and (–■–) BSA protein molecules through (A) the AAO membrane and (B) 19_M. The standard deviations are calculated for (A) to be within 0.1% for BSA and 0.5% for Mb and for (B) to be 0.5% for BSA and 2% for Mb.

Figure 12. Time-dependent transport of BSA protein molecules through different MS-AAM membranes: (–■–) 70_M, (red –●–) 45_M, and (blue –▲–) 19_M. The standard deviation in the data is within 0.2% for 70_M, 0.3% for 45_M, and 0.5% for 19_M.
incomplete filling of some alumina pores accounts for the low transport in the 70_M sample. The presence of gaps in the AAO pores is also proposed as a reason for the lack of selectivity in the synthesized membrane samples. It is also seen in Figure 12 that the time taken for the transport of the molecules increases in membrane samples synthesized with decreasing ethanol concentration, indicating that there is a corresponding increased resistance in the membranes. The increased resistance supports the N₂ adsorption results that show increasing silica mesoporosity and the amount of silica material with samples synthesized using a lower ethanol concentration. This is also in agreement with Figure 6, which shows the silica nanotubes to be longer and thinner. Unfortunately, the increased mesoporosity is insufficient to permit selective molecular transport in the membranes. This means that the columnar pores coexisting with the circular pores (Figure 8) are not driving transport across the membrane, but their increasing content is responsible for the increased transport time of the molecules.

To further test the functionality of the mesoporous silica in the alumina membrane, 50 nm gold nanoparticles (Au np) were synthesized according to an established method and filtered through the membranes. Au np’s were chosen because they are rigid and are expected not to change shape or geometry when passing through the membrane pores.

The filtration was carried out under very low vacuum pressure conditions (∼10 mbar). The collected permeate from both samples was measured using UV–vis. The quantitative analysis validates the presence of mesoporous silica in 19_M. The evidence is seen in Figure 13, where the height difference in absorbance indicates blocked Au np’s.

![Figure 13. Absorbance spectra of Au np filtered through (—) the as-purchased AAM membrane and (---) 19_M.](image)

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that the pore size and morphology of confined silica nanostructures grown in anodic alumina membranes can be controlled by varying the ethanol content in the precursor solution used in the synthesis. The addition of ethanol to the precursor solution influences the final structure of the synthesized membrane and plays an important role in micelle formation and elongation. By decreasing the amount of additional ethanol in the precursor solution, a silica phase that is more ordered with a narrower pore size distribution is formed inside the confined channels of anodic alumina. The amount of ethanol present also affects the rate of formation of the silica–surfactant nanocomposite structures under aspiration by varying the residence time. This study has advanced our understanding of confined mesostructure growth in anodic alumina and the effect of the chemical composition on the growth process to direct orientation and phase order. The proposed protocol can be used to control the synthesis of hierarchically structured inorganic membranes for the separation of enzymes as well as gold nanoparticles from the aqueous solution phase. This is promising and may be useful for applications in separations as well as in catalysis.
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