A case report of appendix mucinous adenocarcinoma that recurred after additional surgery and a brief literature review
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Abstract
Background: The clinical incidence of appendiceal mucinous adenocarcinoma is low. Moreover, the case reports of postoperative relapse after surgery are rarely based on literature search results. Here, we report such a case spanning nearly 7 years and review the relevant literature.

Case presentation: A 50-year-old female underwent additional surgery after appendectomy, and pathological examination confirmed mucinous adenocarcinoma. The patients underwent HIPEC (hyperthermic intraoperative chemotherapy) and adjuvant chemotherapy. Twenty-six months after the previous surgeries, another surgery, HIPEC, and adjuvant chemotherapy were performed again due to tumour recurrence. To date, the follow-up time is 43 months, and no recurrence or metastasis has been found.

Conclusions: Appendix mucinous adenocarcinoma has a poor prognosis and the diagnosis depends on pathological and immunohistochemical examinations. Its clinical manifestations are non-specific, and CRS + HIPEC should be used for treatment, which is safe and effective.
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Background
Appendiceal adenocarcinoma accounts for < 0.5% of all gastrointestinal neoplasms. It is extremely difficult to diagnose prior to surgical inspection, and it usually depends on the pathology following appendectomy [1]. Due to the small sample size and range of clinical presentations, the diagnosis of appendicidal carcinoma remains challenging for physicians. Although some literatures associated with mucinous adenocarcinoma of the appendix have been reported, there are few reports about its treatment after relapse based on literature search results [2–5]. Here, we report such a case, in which the patient underwent the surgery three times associated with the appendicidal adenocarcinoma and review the relevant literature.

Case presentation
The patient was 50 years old, and she underwent appendectomy + laparoscopic removal of an ovarian cyst + peritoneectomy in the external hospital on August 29, 2013, due to “right lower abdominal pain for 3 days”. The postoperative pathology was as follows: (1) mucinous adenocarcinoma of the appendix; (2) luteal...
haematoma of the ovary; and (3) metastatic mucinous adenocarcinoma nodule of the peritoneum. On September 6, 2013, she was transferred to our hospital. The PET results showed that the intestinal soft tissues in the ileocecal area were slightly parametrized and that metabolism was slightly active (Fig. 1a). Additional surgery was performed on September 11, 2013, and the surgical method was ileocecal resection + small intestinal mesenteric nodule biopsy + HIPEC (Fig. 1b). The postoperative pathology results were as follows: (1) (mesenteric lymph nodes) 8 lymph nodes, no cancer metastasis (0/8), and 4 cancer nodes; (2) (mesenteric nodule) under the microscope, there were 5 cancer nodules; (3) (colon tumour resection specimen) local erosion of the colon mucosa, infiltration of mucinous adenocarcinoma in the serous layer, infiltration of a large number of inflammatory cells, with multinucleated giant cell reaction, no tumour thrombus in the vasculature, no cancer infiltration in the nerve bundle, and no cancer involvement in two incisional limbuses; (4) immune group: Ki-67 approximately 10% of cells (+), MH (+), MSH2 (+), MSHB (+), PMS2 (+), CDX2 (+), and HER2 (−) (Fig. 1c, d). Regular chemotherapy was used 12 times after surgery, and the adopted regimen was oxaliplatin + S-FU.

The patient regularly returned to the hospital for a full physical examination after surgery, and the examination revealed tumour recurrence at 26 months after surgery. On November 12, 2015, the results of pelvic MRI showed that multiple cystic lesions were found in the bilateral attachment area (Fig. 1e, f). On July 13, 2016, rectal metastasis resection + partial intestine resection + hysterectomy + bilateral fallopian tube + ovariectomy + HIPEC were performed in open surgery under general anaesthesia. After the operation, regular chemotherapy was performed 8 times, and the adopted regimen was FOLFIRI.

Under general anaesthesia, a laparotomy was performed. During the operation, bilateral attachments were found to be cystic solid tumours, which were fixed to some of the small intestine and rectum, with mucus adhered around and enlarged lymph nodes around the intestine. Abdominal wall mucus was taken intraoperatively for rapid pathology, suggesting that mucus glands were visible in the mucus tissue and the glands with moderate atypia. Rectal metastasis resection + intestinal adhesion lysis + partial intestinal resection + hysterectomy + bilateral fallopian tube + ovariectomy + HIPEC were performed according to the exploration and rapid pathological results. Pipe stapler anastomosis was used in radical operation. The patient recovered smoothly after the operation.

The postoperative pathology was as follows: (rectal specimen) intestinal mucosal infiltration of mucosal adenocarcinoma can be seen at the full thickness of the intestine, with negative margins, and another cancer nodule was present in the mesenteric lymph nodes (0/6); (uterus + double attachments) cervical and bilateral attachments can be found with mucinous adenocarcinoma invasion, and the immunohistochemical results were as follows: CK7 (+), CK20 (+), and CDX2 (+); the results support the origin of the digestive tract (Fig. 1g, h). The patient returned to the hospital for regular review after the operation. To date, the follow-up time is 43 months, and no recurrence or metastasis has been found.

**Discussion and conclusions**

Appendiceal neoplasms belong to a group of neoplasms with a lower incidence rate among all digestive tract neoplasms. The surgical options included ileocecal resection + small intestinal mesentery biopsy + HIPEC.
tumours. In all specimens after appendectomy, the tumours account for approximately 0.7 to 1.4% of which the incidence of appendix mucinous adenocarcinoma accounts for 0.01% ~ 0.08% [6–8]. Therefore, the rate of misdiagnosis is high. The peak age of onset is between 50 and 60 years old, and most patients are female; its occurrence may be related to long-term inflammation and infiltration of the appendix.

Tumour markers of appendiceal mucinous adenocarcinoma can be elevated, such as CA125, CA199 and CEA. Preoperative tumour markers are more consistent with TNM staging [9]. Postoperative tumour markers in patients are positively associated with poor prognosis. Moreover, imaging examination can be used to biopsy the diseased part, and the diagnosis can be confirmed by pathological examination. MRI and PET-CT combine the metabolic state of the lesion with the anatomical structure, which is helpful for improving the detection rate of the tumour and guiding the development of the diagnosis and treatment plan [10–12].

In any case, at the time of the first operation, the appendix and the adipose tissue around its mesentery should be removed. If any liquid or mucus is found, cytological examination should be performed [13]. Because mucinous adenocarcinoma is prone to intracavitary implantation, care should be taken to protect the surgical field and incision during surgery to avoid breaking the tumour and the surgical field should be repeatedly washed with 0.5% 5-FU solution [14]. In our case, the tumour was positive at the incisal margin of the appendix, and the CEA level increased slightly before surgery. Combined with the PET examination results and after consultation with the patient’s family, we decided to perform ileocecal resection + HIPEC, followed by regular chemotherapy after surgery. If negative results are obtained from the interoperative frozen sections of lymph nodes in and along the appendiceal artery, then right hemicolectomy can be avoided. In addition, a negative incision margin for the appendix can be obtained by simple appendectomy, which can also preserve the function of the ascending colon and the ileocecal valve [15]. If a negative margin is not obtained, ileocecal excision can be performed.

It is recommended that women with menopausal appendiceal mucinous adenocarcinoma undergo menopause before the tumour is removed together with the ovary, which can prevent metastasis and improve the survival rate [16]. As shown in our case, we followed up with the patient, but on the MRI examination 23 months after the right hemicolectomy, a mass was found in the uterus, bilateral ovaries and fallopian tubes, and there was a partially calcified wall. We considered that the tumour recurred, which was confirmed in the subsequent surgery. According to the histological report, a tumour with the same characteristics as the previous one was found in the uterus, bilateral ovaries and fallopian tubes. IFCCs are the pathological basis of recurrence and metastasis of gastrointestinal tract malignant tumours. Patients with positive IFCCs are prone to peritoneal metastasis and have a poor prognosis. HIPEC makes use of the synergistic effect of chemotherapeutic drugs and thermal effects to directly kill IFCCs [17, 18]. For patients with LAMN, prophylactic CRS + HIPEC treatment can achieve the greatest survival benefit, but there is an unknown risk of overtreatment. However, systemic chemotherapy before CRS + HIPEC treatment has been shown to significantly improve the prognosis of patients with peritoneal mucinous cancer [19]. Therefore, the evaluation of the prognosis of appendix mucinous adenocarcinoma mainly depends on whether the tumour is in an advanced stage, the degree of malignancy, and whether a peritoneal pseudomyxoma is formed. The spread of mucus beyond the right lower quadrant is an independent factor affecting the poor prognosis of the disease. The formation of peritoneal pseudomyxoma and the morphological evidence of suspected infiltration around the abdominal organs all indicate a poor prognosis. If the patient has mild clinical symptoms and no obvious infiltration or spread of surrounding tissue, complete removal of the appendix tissue can significantly prolong the survival period.

In summary, the case is of great significance for the indication of right hemicolectomy after appendectomy, and it also has reference value for the treatment of postoperative relapse or residual tumor.
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