The odds of divorce in the first decade or two of marriage fell for U.S. cohorts married from 1980 to 2010 (Rotz 2016), and the refined divorce rate—divorces per 1,000 married women—fell as well (Hemez 2017), although problems of data comparability make that assessment less definitive. However, Kennedy and Ruggles (2014), using age-adjusted divorce rates, make a convincing case that the decline in divorce in the three decades up to 2010 reflected the aging of the most divorce-prone cohort, those born in the Baby Boom period. Finally, I analyze the characteristics of newly married women and estimate the trend in their likelihood of divorcing based on the divorce models. The results show falling divorce risks for more recent marriages. The accumulated evidence thus points toward continued decline in divorce rates. The United States is progressing toward a system in which marriage is rarer and more stable than it was in the past.

Methods
For description of the overall trend and age-specific trends, I use simple tabulations from the ACS of all married women in the past decade and for the coming years ahead. This is remarkable, occurring as it does along with an increase in less stable cohabiting relationships (Guzzo 2014) and the growing cultural acceptability of divorce. The General Social Survey finds that percentage of people who favor making divorce “easier to obtain” reached record high levels for all ages in 2018—up about 20 percentage points since 2004—to 53 percent for the ages 18 to 34, 47 percent for those 35 to 54, and 39 percent for those 55 or older (Smith et al. 2019).
the demographic determinants of divorce over the period. I restrict the analysis to women following the advice of Kennedy and Ruggles (2014), who argue that the ACS, and surveys generally, more accurately capture the timing of divorce events for women than for men.

The exact dates of marriage and divorce are not included in the ACS—a person may be recorded as having experienced multiple marital events in the previous year, and these cannot be sequenced. Therefore, I exclude women whose most recent marriage was in the survey year. Variables in the divorce analysis are survey year, age (and its square), years married (duration since the most recent marriage), marriage order, nativity, education, and race/ethnicity (see Table 1). The regression sample for 2008–2017 is 6,878,909. All analyses are weighted.

For the analysis of newly married couples, I make a model of divorce probability for the most recent year and apply its coefficients to all women who report having married in the 12 months before the survey over the years 2008 to 2017 (N = 210,829). The estimate of the annual odds of divorce for newly married women over the course of the decade is not intended to predict future divorce rates, but it establishes the basis for predicting the direction in those rates at least. For the risk profile analysis, I alter the divorce model somewhat. First, I restrict it to women married less than 10 years in 2017 (N = 168,090) to make it more applicable to those newly marrying; these are also the years with the highest divorce risk. Second, instead of marital duration and current age, I calculate the age at marriage because that can be applied to newly married women.

Results

The overall trend is depicted in the left panel of Figure 1, which shows both the unadjusted divorce rate and predicted probabilities from the regression model (using Stata’s average marginal effects). The unadjusted trend corresponds to that reported through 2016 by Hemez (2017), who did not include multivariate analysis. There was a 21 percent drop in the refined divorce rate from 2008 to 2017. The adjusted line, controlling for the variables shown in Table 1, displays a less steep decline—10 percent—but the pattern is very similar. The main logistic regression model is shown in Table 2. The predictors of divorce detailed in Table 2 are as expected, with increased age, marital duration, fewer marriages, foreign-born status, more education, and White or Hispanic identity all being associated with lower annual odds of divorce. With dummy variables for each year, the model shows the drop in 2009, followed by a rebound and flat trend until 2012 (as reported by Cohen 2014) before the decline resumes through 2017. Analysis by age shows that divorce odds have only fallen for younger women. The right panel of Figure 1 shows the results of a version of the full model with an interaction between individual years and four categories of age. Additional interaction analysis, not shown, did not reveal other substantial changes in the trends by race/ethnicity, education, or marital parity.

Kennedy and Ruggles (2014) showed that from the 1980s through 2010, divorce rates rose for older women while falling for younger women. The trend since 2008 shows the increase in divorce rates at older ages has stopped. If the increase for older women before 2010 mostly reflected the unique experience of the Baby Boom generation, then we would not expect today’s younger women to reach their levels of divorce at later ages. And if people marrying now are showing less proclivity for divorce, then we would expect

### Table 1. Variables Used in the Divorce Analysis.

| Variable                                | Percent |
|------------------------------------------|---------|
| Divorced in the previous 12 months       | 1.8     |
| Year                                     |         |
| 2008                                     | 9.8     |
| 2009                                     | 9.9     |
| 2010                                     | 9.9     |
| 2011                                     | 9.9     |
| 2012                                     | 10.0    |
| 2013                                     | 10.0    |
| 2014                                     | 10.0    |
| 2015                                     | 10.1    |
| 2016                                     | 10.1    |
| 2017                                     | 10.3    |
| Age                                      |         |
| <35                                      | 18.1    |
| 35–44                                    | 21.6    |
| 45–54                                    | 23.2    |
| 55+                                      | 37.2    |
| Years married                            |         |
| 1–9                                      | 27.1    |
| 10–19                                    | 23.9    |
| 20–29                                    | 18.7    |
| 30+                                      | 30.3    |
| Marriage order                           |         |
| First                                    | 76.8    |
| Second                                   | 18.6    |
| Third+                                   | 4.7     |
| Foreign-born                             | 19.6    |
| Education                                |         |
| Less than high school                    | 11.0    |
| High school complete                     | 33.0    |
| Some college                             | 23.9    |
| BA or higher                             | 32.2    |
| Race/ethnicity                           |         |
| White, non-Hispanic                      | 70.1    |
| Black                                    | 8.0     |
| Hispanic                                 | 13.9    |
| Other                                    | 7.9     |

Note: N = 6,878,909. Weighted percentages. Includes married women and those divorced in the previous 12 months, excluding those just married in the previous 12 months; includes separated and married, spouse-absent. Data source: 2008–2017 American Community Survey via IPUMS.org.
them to reach longer marital durations, at which divorce rates are (to this point in history) generally lower, leading to lower divorce rates at older ages in the future. Further, lower divorce rates for younger adults now may portend lower divorce rates for their children (Amato and Patterson 2017; Li and Wu 2008).

Figure 1 showed the decline in adjusted divorce rates was limited to younger women. Figure 2 shows unadjusted age-specific divorce rates for the years 2008 and 2017 to update Kennedy and Ruggles (2014: Figure 4). Over this decade, the only drop in divorce rates was for women younger than age 45 to 49. Barring unforeseen historical events, it seems certain that these women, who will reach longer marital durations and are less likely to be divorced and therefore remarried later in life, will have lower divorce rates at older ages than do today’s older women.

Table 2. Logistic Regression Coefficients for Divorce.

| Year      | Coefficient | SE  |
|-----------|-------------|-----|
| 2008      | Reference   |     |
| 2009      | -.062       | .017*|
| 2010      | -.022       | .016|
| 2011      | -.005       | .017|
| 2012      | .018        | .017|
| 2013      | -.046       | .017*|
| 2014      | -.071       | .017*|
| 2015      | -.099       | .018*|
| 2016      | -.082       | .018*|
| 2017      | -.111       | .018*|
| Age       | -.001       | .002|
| Age squared | -.00029     | .00002*|
| Years married | -.017     | .001*|
| Marriage order |  |
| First     | Reference   |     |
| Second    | .405        | .011*|
| Third+    | .825        | .017*|
| Foreign-born | -.362     | .014*|
| Education |  |
| Less than high school | Reference |     |
| High school complete | .020       | .015|
| Some college | .057       | .015*|
| BA or higher | -.328       | .016*|

Table 2. (continued)

| Race/ethnicity | Coefficient | SE  |
|----------------|-------------|-----|
| White, non-Hispanic | Reference |     |
| Black           | .494        | .012*|
| Hispanic        | .039        | .014*|
| Other           | -.032       | .018|
| Constant        | -2.996      | .047*|

Note: N = 6,878,909; weighted.
*p < .01.

(continued)
The last part of the analysis concerns divorce risks for newly married women. Table 3 shows a model of divorce risks for newly married women. Table 3 shows a model of divorce similar to that shown in Table 2 but with two changes. First, to better reflect risks for recent couples, it is restricted only to women married less than 10 years and run only for the year 2017. Second, it uses as a predictor the age at marriage (calculated from year of marriage and current age) because that can be applied to newly married women. Next, the coefficients from this model are applied to newly married women from 2008 to 2017 to generate a predicted divorce probability based on 2017 effects. The analysis asks what proportion of the newly married women would divorce in each of their first 10 years of marriage if 2017 divorce propensities prevailed and their characteristics did not change.

The results are in Figure 3, which shows a steadily declining divorce risk profile for newly married women over the period. This is the result of changes in the characteristics of newly married women. Most notably, age at marriage in the sample increased from 32.1 to 33.4, the percentage with BA degrees increased from 30 to 39, and the percentage entering a first marriage increased from 69 to 74. The probabilities in Figure 3 are not predictions of future divorce experience; this exercise simply demonstrates that the pool of newly married women (and marriages) has shifted over the past decade toward a constellation of characteristics that is less likely to lead to divorce in the coming years.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this analysis that follow from the nature of the ACS data. The most important of these concern the influence of people and relationships about which there is no available information. Because the ACS is a household survey, there is no information about the demographic characteristics of the former spouses in the case of divorces. Therefore, factors that have been shown to influence divorce, such as age (England, Allison, and Sayer 2016), race/ethnicity (Fu and Wolfinger 2011), and income disparities (Schwartz and Gonalons-Pons 2016), cannot be taken into account here. We do not even know the gender of the former spouse. The ACS also lacks a fertility history and only records the existence of children who currently live with the respondent, so I am unable to include information on children in the marriages of respondents here. Because the only measure of income available is current income, which is likely to fluctuate in the year after a divorce, I have left out any measure of income, relying instead of education level to capture social class. Finally, although the ACS has the great benefit of measures of marital duration and marital parity, which are included here, it does not include a complete marital history, so only the outcome of the most recent marriage is available (e.g., for a woman married twice and now divorced, we don’t know the duration of her first marriage or how it ended). Thus, a complete analysis of marriage cohorts is not possible.
Conclusion

The analysis shows that refined divorce rates have fallen since 2008, and this is also apparent in a multivariate regression model controlling for demographic and marriage characteristics. Divorce rates have fallen for younger women in both adjusted and unadjusted analyses, accounting for all of the decline in divorce rates. Although today’s older women have higher probabilities of divorce than women did at their age in the past, divorce rates over age 45 stopped rising in the last decade. Finally, because the risk profile for newly married couples has shifted toward more protective characteristics, it appears certain that—barring unforeseen changes—divorce rates will further decline in the coming years.\(^1\) The reasons that higher education, older age at marriage, and lower-order marriage all reduce the odds of divorce are beyond the scope of this analysis, but they are consistent with long-standing observations about marital stability (Isen and Stevenson 2010; Lundberg, Pollak, and Stearns 2016).

The recent decline in divorce and the coming further decline present a stark contrast with the trend toward more cohabitation (Sassler and Miller 2017) and less stability within cohabiting couples (Guzzo 2014), which implies less stability among U.S. couples outside of marriage. Further, as noted previously, attitudes toward divorce continue to grow more permissive. On the other hand, marriage rates remain at historic lows (Schweizer 2018), and marriage is becoming increasingly selective (Lundberg and Pollak 2015), while economic security increasingly predicts marital stability (Killewald 2016). In that context, the trends presented here describe progress toward a system in which U.S. marriage is rarer and more stable—a more elite status—than it was in the past.
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