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Background. Diabetes chronic complications are major causes of morbidity and mortality, among which diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) stands out. One of the tools to screen DPN is the Michigan neuropathy screening instrument. However, there is no data compiled using this tool to assess the prevalence and its determinants in Jimma. So, the aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of DPN and its determinants among patients with diabetes mellitus at Jimma University Medical Center.

Methods. A hospital-based cross-sectional study was conducted at Jimma University Medical Center on 366 type 2 diabetic patients. Data were collected using pretested structured questionnaire and entered into EpiData 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Both bivariate and multivariate binary logistic regressions were employed to identify factors associated with DPN. A variable having a p value of < 0.25 in the bivariate model was subjected to multivariate analysis to avoid confounding variable's effect. Adjusted odds ratios were calculated at 95% confidence interval and considered significant with a p value of ≤ 0.05.

Results. The mean age of participants was 50.1 ± 14.28 years. The study finding showed that the prevalence of DPN was 53.6% among study participants. According to the multivariate logistic regression age above 40 years (AOR = 4.57; 95% CI: 1.50, 13.9), above 50 years (AOR = 6.5; 95% CI: 2.24, 18.79), duration of diabetes above 5 years (AOR = 3.06; 95% CI: 1.63, 5.77), duration above 10 years (AOR = 7.1; 95% CI: 2.99, 17.28), physical inactivity (AOR = 2.02; 95% CI: 1.14, 3.55), and smoking (current smoker AOR = 7.96, 95% CI: 3.22, 19.64; former smoker (AOR = 2.65; 95% CI: 1.22, 5.77) were independent predictors of DPN among study participants.

Conclusion. Almost half of the study participants had DPN. Age above 40 years, diabetes duration of above 5 years, physical inactivity, and smoking were significantly associated with DPN. Early detection and appropriate interventions are important among patients with age above 40 years, physically inactive, smokers, and diabetes duration of above 5 years.
1. Introduction

Globally, diabetes mellitus (DM) is now one of the most common noncommunicable diseases and is the leading cause of death in most developed countries [1]. Worldwide, around 387 million people have DM according to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) update of 2014 [2]. World Health Organization (WHO) estimates the number of diabetics in Ethiopia to be about 800,000 in 2000 and projected that it would increase to about 1.8 million by the year 2030 [3]. DM is the main underlying cause of blindness, renal failure, peripheral neuropathy with subsequent lower extremity amputation, and even death [4, 5].

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) is the most common complication of DM, and it is estimated that 30% to 50% of diabetes patients are affected by this disorder [6, 7]. The Toronto Consensus Panel defined DPN as a symmetrical, length-dependent sensorimotor polyneuropathy attributable to metabolic and microvascular alterations as a result of chronic hyperglycemia exposure [8]. DPN occurs in 60–70% of the 347 million people living with DM worldwide [9]. In Africa, the prevalence is higher due to late diagnosis, scarcity of screening and diagnostic resources, poor control of blood sugar, and other precipitating factors [10, 11].

DPN leads to a number of impairments and functional limitations, including foot ulceration and subsequent lower extremity amputation, fifteen times increase of the falling risk, inability to work due to physical limitations, and frequent hospitalizations [7, 12–15]. It is estimated that every 30 seconds a lower limb or part of a lower limb is lost somewhere in the world as a consequence of diabetes [16, 17]. In the presence of neuropathy the annual incidence of foot ulceration has demonstrated an approximately 10-fold increase [18]. A recent study in Jimma showed that the prevalence of foot ulcer in diabetic patients on follow-up at Jimma Medical Center was 11.6% and peripheral neuropathy is one of the major risk factor identified for diabetic foot ulcer [19].

About 25% of people with type 2 diabetes have evidence of diabetic complications at the time of initial diagnosis [20]. More than 50% of DPN patients are found to be asymptomatic [21], and prevalence of neuropathy is estimated to be about 8% in newly diagnosed patients and greater than 50% in patients with longstanding disease [22]. According to several studies, the most common risk factors associated with DPN includes advanced age, long duration of diabetes, poor glycemic control, and cigarette smoking [23–25].

Screening DM patients for DPN at the earliest stage is very crucial especially for developing countries with low resource settings and low educational status. Despite DPN being a significant complication associated with DM, there are no data compiled in Jimma University Medical Center (JUMC) which evaluates screening for DPN in diabetic patients. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the prevalence and factors associated with DPN among diabetic patients on follow-up at JUMC.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Setting, Period, and Design. An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted on 366 type 2 diabetic patients on follow-up at JUMC, Southwest Ethiopia, from September 1 to November 30, 2019. JUMC is one of the largest referral hospitals in our country serving a very large catchment area in the Southwestern Oromia region as a referral hospital which is located about 352 kilometer to southwest of Addis Ababa.

2.2. Population. The source population comprised of all adult type 2 diabetic patients who were under routine follow-up clinic of JUMC, while the study population comprised of type 2 diabetic patients who were under routine follow-up clinic of JUMC who fulfilled the inclusion criteria.

2.3. Eligibility Criteria. Participants of age ≥18 years were included and those who were critically ill, DM patients with HIV, leprosy, type 1 DM, peripheral nerve injury, unable to hear and see, and bilateral lower extremity amputees were excluded based on medical chart, physical assessment, history, and laboratory test.

2.4. Sample Size Calculation and Sampling Technique. The sample size was calculated using a simple population proportion formula by considering the prevalence of diabetic peripheral sensory neuropathy in Bahirdar, Ethiopia, as 52.2% [24], at 95% CI and a margin of error 5%. It gives an initial sample size of 383. Since the source populations of diabetic patients at the clinic were less than 10,000, we employed a population correction/adjustment formula for a finite population. Then, the final sample size was calculated to be 333. By adding a 10% nonresponse rate, it gave the final sample size of 366. A systematic random sampling technique was employed to select study participants.

2.5. Data Collection Tool and Procedure. Data were collected by using a structured questionnaire through face-to-face interviews, patient record reviews, and physical examination. The questionnaire was adapted from WHO stepwise approach for surveillance of chronic disease risk factors [27] and from different scientific journals. The questionnaire contains sociodemographic factors, Michigan neuropathy screening instrument, behavioral variables, and clinical variables.

Michigan neuropathy screening instrument (MNSI) was used to evaluate the presence of DPN. It is a well-known instrument used to assess peripheral neuropathy among patients with type 2 DM with a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 95%. It is a validated, noninvasive, and inexpensive measurement tool that evaluates sensory and motor components of neuropathy which contains history and physical assessment parts [28, 29].
2.6. **MNSI History Version.** It contains 15 items which was administered by the interviewer, of which 13 items assess symptoms of DPN while item #4 is a measure of impaired circulation and item #10 is a measure of general asthenia, hence were not included in scoring. ‘Yes’ responses to questions 1–3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 were each counted as one point and ‘No’ responses to questions 7 and 13 likewise counted as one point. The total score ranges from 0 to 13 points and a score of ≥7 indicated the presence of DPN.

2.7. **MNSI Examination Version Procedure and Scoring.** It assessed the following five variables on each foot which was performed by data collectors:

1. Each foot was inspected for deformities, dry skin, and calluses or infections, and each foot with any abnormality received a score of one and if not 0
2. Each foot was inspected for ulcer, and each foot with an ulcer received a score of 1 and if not 0
3. Examination of vibration sense by tuning fork 128 hertz

A 128-hertz (HZ) C-tuning fork made in USA was used to detect loss of vibration. Vibration sensation was tested in the great toe and the score was designated as follows for each foot. Vibration was present and scored 0 if the examiner senses the vibration on his finger for <10 seconds, 0.5 score when the examiner felt the vibration for ≥10 seconds after the patient stopped to feel it at the great toe, and 1 point for absent vibration.

4. Detection of the ankle reflex

Ankle reflex function was detected using standard triangular rubber-headed hummer made in China. If ankle reflex was present, it was scored as 0, while if absent the patient was asked to perform the Jendrassic maneuver and if present it was designated present with reinforcement and scored 0.5. Finally, if absent with the Jendrassic maneuver, the reflex was designated absent and scored 1.

5. Monofilament test

A 5.07/10 g monofilament made in China was used to detect loss of pressure sensation on the feet. It is an objective instrument used in screening the diabetic foot for loss of protective sensation. Each monofilament was used to test 10 patients to avoid diagnosing error. The participant, whose eyes were closed, was asked to respond yes if he/she felt the filament. Nine sites on the plantar surface of the foot and one on the dorsum were tested. 8 correct responses out of 10 applications was considered normal and scored 0, 1–7 correct responses indicates reduced sensation and scored 0.5, and no correct answers translated into absent sensation and scored 1.

Both feet were independently assessed and the scores for both feet were added together. After summing up all the components, the patient was considered to have DPN if the score was ≥2.5 out of the 10-point scale on examination version of MNSI.

Weight was measured using a standard weight scale in kg approximated to the nearest 0.1 kg reading by leveling at 0 for each subject. Height was measured using a standard height measurement scale in meters with upright standing position and was approximated to the nearest 0.1 cm reading. Behavioral variables were assessed based on the WHO stepwise approach for chronic disease risk factor surveillance [27]. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m²). Patient records (charts) were used to take clinical variables. Data collection was carried out by three medical interns and one physiotherapist with the supervision of a principal investigator and 2 supervisors.

2.8. **Operational Definition**

2.8.1. **Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy.** Diabetic peripheral neuropathy: was present if the patient’s history version of MNSI questionnaire score was ≥7 abnormal responses in the legs and/or if the lower extremity examination version of MNSI scores was ≥2.5.

2.8.2. **Amputation.** Amputation is the surgical removal of the whole or a part of the limb including its distal end.

2.8.3. **Critically Ill.** Patients who are unable to communicate and abnormal conscious are considered critically ill.

2.8.4. **DM Duration.** The duration of DM was calculated as age at data collection minus age at onset of DM.

2.8.5. **Physically Inactive.** Patients performing moderate-intensity activity less than 150 minutes per week were considered physically inactive.

2.8.6. **Physically Active.** Patients performing moderate intensity more than 150 minutes per week were considered physically active.

2.9. **Data Entry, Processing, and Analysis.** After coding and checking for completeness and consistency, data were entered into EpiData version 3.1 and then were exported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. First, frequency distributions of variables were explored, and descriptive statistics were used to summarize and present the information in the form of mean, median, percentages, and tables with 95% confidence intervals for prevalence estimates. A binary logistic regression model with backward likelihood ratio procedure was used to examine factors associated with DPN among the study participants. Variables which showed association with a dependent variable in the bivariate analyses at p < 0.25 were entered into the multivariate logistic regression model. Multiple binary logistic regression analysis was used to examine the association between independent variables and dependent adjusting for other potential confounders and a p value of ≤ 0.05 was used to define statistical significance. The Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test were checked and gave a p value of 0.63, indicating evidence of fitness of the model. p value ≤ 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.
2.10. Data Quality Assurance. Data quality was ensured through standardized data collection materials and the English version questionnaire was translated to Amharic version for interviewing and back to English after data collection. The original and translated questionnaires were compared, and the discrepancies were reviewed and resolved accordingly. Prettest on 5% (18 subjects) of the sample population was conducted at Shenen Gibe Hospital Diabetic Clinic, and amendment of the questionnaire was done. After analyzing prettest results, necessary modifications and corrections were made. Two days of training was given for data collectors about the aim of the study, on the ways of data collection, and how to measure, observe, and record readings before data collection was undertaken. Continuous follow-up and supervision was made by the 2 supervisors and principal investigator throughout the data collection period. The collected data were checked on daily basis for accuracy and completeness by principal investigator and supervisors.

To minimize intra- and interexaminer variation on physical examination, at first we have made a detailed training on each step of the physical examination procedure; all the data collectors were well informed to strictly follow the guideline during procedure; continuous supervision was conducted and each physical examination was crosschecked by 2 supervisors independently.

3. Result
3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Participants. During the study period, a total of 366 participants were included. More than half (55.5%) of the respondents were males. The mean age of the respondents was 50.1 ± 14.28 years. Many of the respondents (44.3%) were Muslims, and almost half of the participants were rural dwellers (51.6%). Regarding the marital status of the respondents, more than three-fourth (79%) was married (Table 1).

3.2. Clinical and Behavioral Characteristics of Participants. Among study participants, almost two-third (66.7%) of them was diagnosed with diabetes for ≥10 years. A total of 231 (63.1%) study participants were in the normal category of BMI, whereas 84 (23%) of the participants were overweight. Among the total participants, almost three-fourth (77.6%) used a noninsulin drug and 54 (14.8%) participants were active smokers currently, while 244 (66.7%) had never smoked (Table 2).

3.3. Prevalence of Diabetic Peripheral Neuropathy. In this study, the composite MNSI symptom and sign scores were used for defining prevalence of DPN for each study subject. Neuropathy was then defined as score of ≥7 (out of 13) on the MNSI questionnaire for neuropathic symptoms and/or score of ≥2.5 (out of 10) on examination for signs of neuropathy. Accordingly, the overall prevalence of DPN among the study population was 53.6% (seen in 196 out of 366, in almost half of the respondents). Based on the symptom score alone, the prevalence of DPN was 69 (18.9%), while that of the sign score was 187 (51.1%).

3.4. Factors Independently Associated with DPN. On bivariate evaluation, six variables showed evidence of some association with the outcome at a p value of < 0.25, hence included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. From those variables; 1st age of respondent was one of the independent factors in predicting the DPN. Participants in their 5th decade and older were 3.06 times more likely to develop DPN compared to patients younger than 30 years (AOR = 4.57; 95% CI: 2.24, 18.79) provided that all other variables remain the same. The other factor identified was diabetic duration. Participants of 5 to 10 year duration of DM were 3.06 times more likely to develop DPN as compared with those who with less

### Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of patients with diabetes mellitus at JUMC 2019, Jimma, Ethiopia.

| Variables                  | Category       | Number | Percentage |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------|------------|
| Sex                        | Male           | 203    | 55.5       |
|                            | Female         | 163    | 44.5       |
| Age                        | <30 years      | 43     | 11.7       |
|                            | 30 to 39 years | 30     | 8.2        |
|                            | 40 to 49 years | 87     | 23.8       |
|                            | ≥50 years      | 206    | 56.3       |
| Marital status             | Married        | 289    | 79         |
|                            | Single         | 60     | 16.4       |
|                            | Others†        | 17     | 4.6        |
| Religion                   | Muslim         | 162    | 44.3       |
|                            | Orthodox       | 145    | 39.6       |
|                            | Protestants    | 46     | 12.6       |
|                            | Others‡        | 13     | 3.6        |
| Educational status         | Illiterate     | 108    | 29.5       |
|                            | Primary        | 167    | 45.6       |
|                            | Secondary      | 44     | 12         |
|                            | College and above | 47  | 12.8       |
| Occupational status        | House wife     | 108    | 29.5       |
|                            | Farmer         | 113    | 30.9       |
|                            | Employer       | 78     | 21.3       |
|                            | Private worker | 51     | 13.9       |
|                            | Others‡        | 16     | 4.4        |
| Residence                  | Urban          | 177    | 48.4       |
|                            | Rural          | 189    | 51.6       |
| Family history of DM       | Yes            | 87     | 23.8       |
|                            | No             | 279    | 76.2       |
| Monthly income (USD)       | <29.5          | 96     | 26.2       |
|                            | 29.5 to 58.9   | 40     | 10.9       |
|                            | 58.93 to 88.4  | 95     | 26         |
|                            | ≥88.4          | 135    | 36.9       |

†Widowed, divorced; ‡catholic, wakefata; ′retired, unemployed.
than 5 years duration of DM (AOR = 3.06; 95% CI: 1.63, 5.77). Those participants of greater than 10 year duration were 7.19 times more likely to develop DPN as compared with those with shorter diabetic history less than 5 years (AOR = 7.19; 95% CI: 2.99, 17.2) after controlling for other variables.

Similarly, diabetic patients who were currently active smokers were 7.96 times more likely to develop DPN as compared with those who never smoked (AOR = 7.96; 95% CI: 3.22, 19.64). Likewise, former smokers were 2.65 times more likely to develop DPN as compared with those who never smoked (AOR = 2.65; 95% CI: 1.22, 5.77). Finally, diabetic patients who were physically inactive were 2.02 times more likely to develop DPN as compared with physically active respondents (AOR = 2.02; 95% CI: 1.14, 3.55) (Table 3).

### 4. Discussion

The current study intended to determine the prevalence of DPN and its correlates in adult patients with type 2DM. According to our study finding, the prevalence of DPN as per MNSI history version was 18.9%, which was much less than the prevalence explored by MNSI examination of the same study which turns to be 51.1%. This difference shows the limitations related to patients self-perception of symptoms of DPN and suggests insisting on examination version of MNSI. The overall prevalence of DPN among the study participants based on MNSI was 196 (53.6%) from the total of 366 participants (95% CI 48.9, 59). Our study result was in line with one study from Ethiopia, 52.2% in Bahirdar [24]. Similarly, the prevalence in the current study was also consistent with prevalence reported from other countries like Yemen, the USA, Ghana, and Malaysia where the prevalences were found to be 56.2%, 51%, 50.7%, and 50.7%, respectively [30–33]. The possible explanation for similarity of our study result with the study done in Bahirdar, the USA, and Ghana could be due to the use of the same tool and with the study in Yemen and Malaysia might be due to same study design.

On the other hand, the present study finding was higher than a study conducted in Jordan which was 39.5% [34]. This variability might be due to difference in genetic predisposition and difference in health care qualities. Likewise, a study conducted in China showed that the prevalence of DPN was 33.1% [35]. The observed higher DPN prevalence rate in our study could be the result of different medical care access, genetic predisposition, and population studied. Moreover, studies from Libya 30.5% [36] and from Mulago, Uganda, 29.5% [37] show lower prevalence of DPN. These discrepancies in the prevalence most likely reflect difference in the study population used, difference in diagnostic criteria employed, and different methods of participant selection.

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy prevalence reported from Mekelle and Jimma, Ethiopia, were 41% [38] and 25.4% [39], respectively. The possible reason for the difference in the prevalence of DPN between our study and the one from Mekelle could be due to the difference in the study design, i.e., the study from Mekelle used a prospective cohort study of 6-week duration which detects only new cases. In the previous study reported from Jimma, the information about DPN was obtained from the patient chart review, sample size was small, and both type 1 and 2 DM were included. Because DPN may not be routinely registered on patient chart during follow-up, this could be the cause of missing cases with DPN in the previous study. In our study, DPN was assessed by using MNSI history version and examination version which can identify more cases.

However, the current study showed lower prevalence of DPN when compared to other studies reported from Iran, Nigeria, and Italy with DPN prevalence of 75.1%, 75%, and 82%, respectively [40–42]. The higher prevalence of those studies could be due to difference in study settings, tool used, and study design. For instance, Nigerian study used vibration perception threshold tool of greater than 15 volts which might overestimate the prevalence of DPN, whereas the Iranian study used a large sample size and used nerve conduction velocity which is the gold standard test. Finally, the disparity of the current study from a study conducted in Italy might be due to difference in tools used, study design, and genetic predisposition.

According to the current finding, patient’s age above 40 years was an independent predictor of DPN. This report was supported by previous studies [24, 25, 33, 43]. Possible reasons for this association could be justified as peripheral neuropathy is a chronic complication of diabetes and takes time to develop, so it is expected in older diabetic patients. In addition with aging, the nervous system is increasingly vulnerable to continual metabolic stress and degenerative nature of physiological well-being [43].
In our study finding, we found that DM duration of greater than 5 years was found to be significantly associated with DPN. Various study findings also reported the same result [24, 25, 33, 34]. This association can be explained by longer duration of diabetes being associated with chronic hyperglycemia which causes activation of multiple biochemical pathways which induces oxidative stress in diabetic neurons and leads to nerve damage and neuronal ischemia [44] and it can also be explained by possible late diagnosis.

In agreement with other reports, our data showed that physical inactivity was found to be an independent predictor of DPN [24, 45, 46]. The possible reason for this association might be that physical exercise can increase microvascular circulation, for release of neurotropic factors, attenuation of oxidative stress, and for physiological well-being of the body [47].

Finally, the present study found a significant association between smoking habit and DPN. Other studies have also found a similar association [32, 43]. The association between smoking and DPN can be explained by the fact that smoking causes neuropathy via neuronal ischemia from endothelial damage, increased inflammation, oxidative stress, interference with glucose metabolism, and from direct toxic effects on the neurons [48].

### 5. Limitation of the Study

Inferring casual association is difficult due to the cross-sectional nature of the study and lack of nerve conduction testing, which is the gold standard diagnostic test for confirmation of DPN diagnosis. The duration of diabetes as measured in this study might not reflect the true duration of the disease, but the time since diagnosis and actual diabetes onset might precede diagnosis in type 2 DM. The patient-recall bias may be affecting the performance of the MNSI symptom score, and the effect of glycemic control (HbA1C) was not studied. Finally, interrater and intrarater variability/variation during the examination version of MNSI was not calculated.

### 6. Conclusion

In our study, there was a high prevalence of DPN and physical inactivity, smoking, age above 40 years, and DM duration of above 5 years were the risk factors associated with it. Early detection and appropriate interventions are important for patients with age above 40 years, physically inactive, smokers, and those with DM duration of above 5 years.
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