Single-Atom Catalyst Aggregates: Size-Matching is Critical to Electrocatalytic Performance in Sulfur Cathodes
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Electrocatalysis is critical to the performance displayed by sulfur cathodes. However, the constituent electrocatalysts and the sulfur reactants have vastly different molecular sizes, which ultimately restrict electrocatalysis efficiency and hamper device performance. Herein, the authors report that aggregates of cobalt single-atom catalysts (SACs) attached to graphene via porphyrins can overcome the challenges associated with the catalyst/reactant size mismatch. Atomic-resolution transmission electron microscopy and X-ray absorption spectroscopy measurements show that the Co atoms present in the SAC aggregates exist as single atoms with spatially resolved dimensions that are commensurate with the sulfur species found in sulfur cathodes and thus fully accessible to enable 100% atomic utilization efficiency in electrocatalysis. Density functional theory calculations demonstrate that the Co SAC aggregates can interact with the sulfur species in a synergistic manner that enhances the electrocatalytic effect and promote the performance of sulfur cathodes. For example, Li–S cells prepared from the Co SAC aggregates exhibit outstanding capacity retention (i.e., 505 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.5 C after 600 cycles) and excellent rate capability (i.e., 648 mA h g⁻¹ at 6 C). An ultrahigh area specific capacity of 12.52 mA h cm⁻² is achieved at a high sulfur loading of 11.8 mg cm⁻².

1. Introduction

Graphene holds great promise for constructing high-performance functional materials because of its extraordinary physical and chemical properties, such as a conjugated electronic structure, an ultra large specific surface area, and tunable surface chemistry.[1] Single-atom catalysts (SACs) immobilized on graphene, particularly those that employ Ni, Co, or Fe, show excellent electrocatalytic activities in the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER),[2] the CO₂ reduction reaction,[3] and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER).[4] The synthesis of graphene-based SACs typically consists of three steps: mixing a suspension of graphene oxide (GO) with an aqueous solution of an inorganic metal salt, freeze-drying the combined suspension, and then thermally annealing the obtained composite under the reducing atmosphere of ammonia. In the last step, ammonia provides a means to simultaneously dope the graphene with beneficial heteroatoms.
(i.e., nitrogen) while complexing the single-atom metals. Such processes are often challenged by the use of a toxic gas as the heteroatom source and the tendency of the metal atoms to form clusters or even larger particles during the annealing step. The difficulty can be expected to be managed through molecular design as the unique surface of graphene provides opportunities to form π-stacking interactions with conjugated molecules such as pyrene, perylene bisimide, polyythiophene, and, notably, porphyrins. Such noncovalent approaches are attractive when compared to their covalent counterparts as the former do not affect the π-conjugated structures of graphene and can even mitigate the structural defects that are often found on the basal planes of graphene. Indeed, graphene-based composites that are prepared via noncovalent interactions have been employed in a number of applications, including sensing, photothermal systems, lithium-ion batteries, OER chemistry, and the oxygen reduction reaction.

Lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries are often regarded as next-generation energy storage devices because of their high energy density (2600 Wh kg⁻¹) as well as the high abundance and environmental friendliness of sulfur. However, their commercial applications are severely restricted by two critical factors: 1) a loss in the active material sulfur during iterative charge/discharge cycles due to the “shuttle effect” of the polysulfides and 2) sluggish cathode kinetics. Considerable efforts have been employed to overcome these limitations, typically by utilizing electrocatalysts to promote the chemical reactions in sulfur cathodes. So far, a variety of nanostructured materials, including nanoparticles (Co, Pt, Ni, CoS₂, CoN, CeO₂, and MgO), nanotubes (MoS₂), nanorods (TiN, FeOOH), nanosheets (MoS₂, Ti₃C₂T, WS₂), nanocubes (Ni₃N₉S₃), nanoribbons (VN), and nanoclusters (Nb₂O₅–x) have been incorporated into carbon materials and used as electrocatalysts in sulfur hosts. Since only the exposed surfaces and edges of these electrocatalysts are active, the sulfur host materials typically require a relatively high catalyst loading (often >20 wt%) or even pure catalyst matrices to achieve satisfactory effects. By contrast, SACs can be expected to exhibit a 100% atomic utilization efficiency and a number of SACs have been demonstrated to promote the cathode reactions in Li–S batteries. However, current SACs typically do not deliver optimal catalytic activities in sulfur cathodes because of a range of intrinsic challenges, including a propensity of the SACs to coalesce during preparation and the size mismatch between the SACs and the relatively large reactants, such as S₈ and polysulfides, which restricts interaction between the two components. Matching the distances between the metal atoms in the SACs with the molecular sizes of the sulfur species found in sulfur cathodes, while suppressing the detrimental coalescence of the single-atom metals, can be expected to enhance the electrocatalytic effects and afford Li–S batteries that exhibit superlative performance metrics.

Herein, we report aggregates of Co SACs that feature commensurate size with the sulfur reactants found in sulfur cathodes and show that these catalysts significantly enhance the electrocatalytic effects required by sulfur cathode chemistry. The key material, designated as Co-NG(800), was obtained by combining a Co(II) porphyrin complex with GO followed by hydrothermal treatment and thermal annealing. A series of high-angle annular dark field-scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements in conjunction with density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed that the intrinsic coordination structure of the Co(II) porphyrin complex (i.e., Co–N₄) was preserved and effectively prevented the formation of Co–Co bonds in the aggregates. As a result, the aggregates of the Co SACs promoted the catalytic activity of the Co atoms by enabling synergistic interactions with polysulfides. When used as an electrocatalytic sulfur host, the Co-NG(800) significantly enhanced the performance of Li–S batteries in terms of specific capacity and rate capacity (1346 and 648 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.1 C and 6 C, respectively) as well as a cycling stability (505 mA h g⁻¹ after 600 cycles at 0.5 C). Ultrahigh area specific capacities were also measured from cells with high sulfur loadings (e.g., 12.52 mA h cm⁻² at an area loading of 11.8 mg cm⁻²). In addition to establishing a new approach to solving the longstanding challenges associated with Li–S batteries and to realizing high-performance devices, the strategy described offers a general guide for adapting SACs for use in a broad range of contemporary applications.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Design and Synthesis of the Co SACs on Graphene

As summarized in Figure 1a, Co SACs were synthesized from cobalt(II) 5,10,15,20-meso-tetrakis(N-methyl-4-pyridinyl)porphyrin tetraiodide ([CoTMPyP]I₄), a water-soluble Co(II) porphyrin complex. First, an aqueous solution of [CoTMPyP]I₄ was mixed with an aqueous suspension of GO. A series of spectroscopic and Zeta potential measurements revealed that the porphyrins were attached to the GO sheets via π-stacking interaction and electrostatic attraction (Figures S2 and S3, Supporting Information), which were expected since the porphyrin features a π-conjugated structure and positively charged amino groups that, collectively, are complementary to the functional groups present on GO. The resulting composite (designated as CoTMPyP-GO) was hydrothermally treated at 180 °C and then thermally annealed at 400 or 800 °C to convert GO into graphene, which was simultaneously doped with heteroatom N and loaded with Co atoms during the processes. The products were designated as Co-NG(400) and Co-NG(800), depending on the annealing temperature. As will be shown below, the use of the higher annealing temperature facilitated transformation of the Co SACs to an aggregated form that differed from those found in metal clusters or nanoparticles (Figure 1a). The result can be ascribed to the porphyrin ligand which effectively coordinates the metal in a multidentate fashion and, as such, bestows stability, particularly at elevated temperatures. Controls included N-doped graphene (designated as NG), which was prepared in a similar fashion as Co-NG(800) except that a porphyrin devoid of Co (i.e., [TMPyP]I₄) was used, and a graphene (designated as G) that was obtained by repeating the synthesis in the absence of a porphyrin additive.

Macroyclic S₈ and various polysulfides (LiₓS₆, 4 ≤ x ≤ 8) are common reactants found in the cathodes of Li–S batteries. These species are larger than those found in the HER, OER, OR, and CO₂ reduction reactions (e.g., H₂, O₂, and CO₂). Size matching is a key criterion in SACs as size differentials between the catalysts and the reactants can hamper efficiency, even if the isolated metal atoms are fully exposed. A potential solution to this issue is...
shown in Figure 1b. Positioning multiple Co atoms over length scales that are commensurate with reactant size may facilitate synergistic interactions between the SACs and the reactants, and thus promote electrocatalysis. As will be shown below, attaching the SACs to graphene in an aggregated form was found to have a profound effect on electrocatalyst performance and viability in sulfur cathodes.

2.2. Characterization of the SACs on Graphene

Figure 2a presents a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of Co-NG(800), where the sheet morphology and surface wrinkles can be observed along with the absence of errant particles. The observation was further supported by an X-ray diffraction analysis which indicated that Co-containing compounds were lacking (Figure S4, Supporting Information). However, an elemental analysis revealed that cobalt was present and distributions of all the constituent elements were consistent with the morphology of the material (Figure 2b). To clarify, the Co-NG(800) was subsequently analyzed by aberration-corrected STEM. An HAADF-STEM image revealed very bright spots that were uniformly distributed on the Co-NG(800) sheets (Figure 2c). Given the elemental composition of the Co-NG(800), these bright spots were assigned to the Co atoms. Increasing the magnification indicated that each bright spot was consisted of multiple Co atoms and the Co SACs effectively formed aggregates (Figure 2d). A statistical analysis of ≈200 aggregates revealed that most of them contained between 5 and 14 Co atoms with aggregates containing 10 Co atoms accounting for the largest fraction (Figure S5, Supporting Information). The distances between two adjacent Co atoms in the aggregates were measured to range between 0.2 and 0.6 nm and those in the largest fraction ranged from 0.25 to 0.45 nm (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The Co SACs may adopt a separate layer on the graphene surfaces by forming intermolecular interactions between the Co-containing moieties and the underlying graphene.\textsuperscript{[23]} Alternatively, the Co SACs may be incorporated into the graphene plane via chemical reactions between the Co porphyrin complex and the GO starting material as GO is known to feature myriad structural defects and functional groups.\textsuperscript{[24]}

The Co-NG(800), NG, and G were further investigated using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The Co content of Co-NG(800) was determined to be 2.50 wt%. The N content values
measured for Co-NG(800) and NG were relatively high (5.43 and 4.46 wt%, respectively), particularly when compared to G (2.23 wt%), due to the porphyrin additive used during the synthesis. Deconvolution of the XPS data indicated that pyridinic-, pyrrolic-, and graphitic-type N atoms were present, and that the pyridinic N atoms were dominate. Moreover, the pyridinic N atoms appeared to be complexed to Co as the corresponding binding energy recorded for Co-NG(800) was found to be 0.3 eV higher than that recorded for NG or G.

The chemical environment of the Co atoms in Co-NG(800) was further investigated using XAS. As shown in Figure 2f, the Co K-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectrum recorded for Co-NG(800) contained a weak pre-edge feature at 7709 eV, which was assigned to a 1s → 3d transition, as well as a shoulder peak and well-resolved double absorption-edge peaks at 7715.2, 7726.9, and 7734.5 eV, which corresponded to 1s → 4p transitions. The weak pre-edge absorption indicated that the Co atoms adopted a centrosymmetric coordination structure. In addition, the absorption edge recorded for Co-NG(800) was located in-between those recorded for a Co foil (Co⁰) and Co₃O₄ (Co²⁺, Co³⁺), and thus enabled the oxidation state of the complexed Co atoms in Co-NG(800) to be ascertained.

Figure 2g shows a series of Fourier-transformed (FT) spectra in R space, as obtained from the k³-weighted extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) data, for Co-NG(800), Co₃O₄, and a Co foil. In contrast to the peaks observed at 1.50 and 2.42 Å, which
stemmed from the Co–O and Co–Co bonds in Co$_3$O$_4$, and the peak at 2.18 Å, which was assigned to the Co–Co bonds in the Co foil, the dominate peak at 1.41 Å in the spectrum recorded for the Co-NG(800) was attributed to the presence of Co–N bonds. Fitting the EXAFS spectra enabled a coordination number of four (i.e., Co–N) to be assigned to the Co atoms in Co-NG(800) (Table S1, Supporting Information). Furthermore, a wavelet transform (WT) analysis of the EXAFS spectra was performed to increase resolution in the k and R spaces. In contrast to the two intensity maxima at 7.0 and 6.6 Å$^{-1}$ stemming from Co–O and Co–Co contributions in the WT contour plots of Co$_3$O$_4$, as well as the maximum at 7.8 Å$^{-1}$ deriving from Co–N contributions in the WT contour plots of Co foil (Figure S7, Supporting Information), the intensity maximum at 5.1 Å$^{-1}$ in the WT contour plot of Co-NG(800) was attributed to Co–N contributions (Figure 2h). Collectively, these results indicate that the Co atoms are isolated (i.e., exist in a single-atom form) in the aggregates even though the distances between adjacent atoms fall within the range of Co–Co bonds.

Since single atoms have high surface free energy, they are thermodynamically unstable and prone to form clusters or nanoparticles, particularly at elevated temperatures.[27] Likewise, aggregates of the Co SACs in the Co-NG(800) may form via thermally facilitated processes.[28] To test this hypothesis, the CoTMPyP-4 as opposed to being isolated. Since the cells containing either S@Co-NG(800) or S@Co-NG(400) were measured to have similar serial resistance values (i.e., several ohms, Figure S12b, Supporting Information), any impact of differences in electrical conductivity of the host materials on cell performance due to the different annealing temperatures employed can be eliminated. As such, the following section will primarily focus on exploring the electrocatalytic effects displayed by Co-NG(800) in sulfur cathodes.

**Figure 3a** shows a series of cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves recorded for the Li–S cells containing S@Co-NG(800), S@NG, or S@G at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$. In the cathodic scan, each curve contains two reduction peaks at $\approx$2.3 and 2.0 V, which were ascribed to the conversion of $S_x$ to soluble lithium polysulfides ($Li_{2-x}S_x$, 4 ≤ x ≤ 8) and then to insoluble Li$_2S$ and Li$_2S$. In the following anodic scan, the dominate oxidation peak was attributed to the conversion of Li$_2S$ to $S_x$. The conversion of $S_x$ to lithium polysulfides at the S@Co-NG(800) cathode (onset potential of 2.40 V) occurred at more positive potential when compared to the cathodes containing S@NG or S@G (onset potential of 2.37 V). Similarly, oxidation of the Li$_2S$ at the S@Co-NG(800) cathode (onset potential of 2.21 V) took place at more negative potential than that recorded for the S@NG and S@G cathodes (onset potential of 2.26 V). Moreover, the S@Co-NG(800) cathode exhibited the greatest redox peak currents among the three cathodes tested. Galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles were also recorded at a current rate of 0.1 C (1 C = 1675 mA g$^{-1}$). As shown in Figure 3b, the charge and discharge plateaus were consistent with the oxidation and reduction peaks, respectively, that were observed in the CV curves. For comparison, the Li–S cell prepared from the S@Co-NG(800) composite exhibited a markedly higher specific capacity than the cells that contained either the S@NG or S@G composite (1346 mA h g$^{-1}$ vs 1257 or 1171 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively). Collectively, these data indicated that the Co SACs embedded in the S@Co-NG(800) were capable of promoting the cathode reaction kinetics.

The rate capabilities of the cells were evaluated by increasing the current rate from 0.2 C to 6 C (Figure 3c). Of the three different cathodes tested (i.e., S@Co-NG(800), S@NG, and S@G), the cathodes containing the S@Co-NG(800) consistently exhibited the highest specific capacity. In particular, at 6 C, the specific capacity measured for the S@Co-NG(800) cathode was significantly higher than the values measured for the S@NG and S@G cathodes (648 mA h g$^{-1}$ vs 301 and 190 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively). When the current rate was re-set back to 1 C, a specific capacity of 864 mA h g$^{-1}$ was measured for the S@Co-NG(800) cathode. The value was comparable to the initial value of 877 mA h g$^{-1}$.

**2.3. Electrochemical Characterization of Li–S Batteries**

Sulfur was impregnated into the aforementioned host materials via a melt-diffusion method and the resulting composites were designated as S@Co-NG(800), S@Co-NG(400), S@NG, and S@G, respectively. The sulfur loading was confirmed by the significant decreases in the specific surface areas and pore values measured for the host materials (Figure S10 and Table S2, Supporting Information). The sulfur contents in these composites were measured to be $\approx$74 wt% using thermogravimetric analysis (Figure S11, Supporting Information). Coin-type cells were assembled using the composites as cathodes, Li foil as anodes, and a solution of lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) (1.0 m) in a mixture of 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) ([1 : 1 v/v) containing LiNO$_3$ (2 wt%) as electrolyte. Preliminary tests demonstrated that the Li–S cells prepared from S@Co-NG(800) afforded higher performance metrics than the cells prepared from S@Co-NG(400) (Figure S12, Supporting Information), indicating that the Co SACs exhibited more efficient electrocatalytic effects when present in an aggregated form as opposed to being isolated. Since the cells containing either S@Co-NG(800) or S@Co-NG(400) were measured to have similar serial resistance values (i.e., several ohms, Figure S12b, Supporting Information), any impact of differences in electrical conductivity of the host materials on cell performance due to the different annealing temperatures employed can be eliminated. As such, the following section will primarily focus on exploring the electrocatalytic effects displayed by Co-NG(800) in sulfur cathodes.
Figure 3. Electrochemical characterization of Li–S cells. a) CV curves recorded at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ for different cathodes. b) Charge/discharge profiles recorded at 0.1 C for different cathodes. c) Rate capabilities recorded for different cathodes. d) Charge/discharge profiles recorded at 0.2 C, 0.5 C, 1 C, 2 C, 4 C, and 6 C for the S@Co-NG(800) cathode. e) Summary of the potential gaps recorded at different current rates for different cathodes. f) Cycling tests recorded at 0.5 C for the cells prepared using different cathodes. g) Cycling tests recorded at 0.1 C for cells prepared using S@Co-NG(800) at high sulfur loadings. The specific materials analyzed are indicated in the respective legends.

indicating good reversibility of the S@Co-NG(800) cathode. Indeed, after 50 subsequent cycles, a specific capacity of 838 mA h g⁻¹ was measured, corresponding to a capacity retention of 97%. The excellent rate capability and capacity retention of the S@Co-NG(800) cathode were attributed to the embedded Co SACs that promote the cathode reactions of Li–S cells. Figure 3d shows a series of galvanostatic charge/discharge profiles recorded at current rates that ranged from 0.2 C to 6 C for the S@Co-NG(800) cathode, where the potential difference (ΔE) between the charge and discharge plateau was correlated with the polarization of the cathode. [22d] In contrast to the galvanostatic profiles acquired for the S@NG and S@G cathodes (Figure S13, Supporting Information), the S@Co-NG(800) cathode was capable of maintaining the charge/discharge plateau as the current rate was increased. As shown in Figure 3e, the S@Co-NG(800) cathode consistently exhibited a smaller ΔE than the S@NG or S@G cathodes and the difference was magnified at high current rates (e.g., 0.65 V vs 0.86 or 1.00 V, respectively, at 6 C). The smaller polarization recorded for the S@Co-NG(800) cathode reflected faster reaction kinetics and was attributed the electrocatalytic effects provided by the Co SACs.

The long-term cycling stabilities of the Li–S cells were measured at 0.5 C since the shuttle effect of polysulfides is pronounced at low current rates (Figure 3f). The S@Co-NG(800) cathode delivered an initial specific capacity of 972 mA h g⁻¹ and retained a value of 505 mA h g⁻¹ after 600 cycles, corresponding to a capacity decay of only 0.08% per cycle. For comparison, the capacity decays were measured to be 0.21% and 0.24% per cycle for the S@NG and S@G cathodes, respectively, after 200 charge/discharge cycles. Additionally, the S@Co-NG(800) cathode also exhibited a constant Coulombic efficiency that was close to 100% over the long-term cycling study, which is in sharp contrast to the significant decreases observed for the S@NG and S@G cathodes.

To further test the superlative performance exhibited by S@Co-NG(800), Li–S cells were prepared with cathodes that
Figure 4. Electrocatalytic characterization using a three-electrode system. a) CV curves recorded at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ for Co-NG(800), NG, and G electrodes. b–d) CV curves recorded at a series of scan rates of 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, and 49 mV s⁻¹ for electrodes containing b) Co-NG(800), c) NG, or d) G. e,f) Plots of \( I_p \) values, derived from the oxidation peak D or the reduction peak A, as function of \( v^{1/2} \) for the different electrodes. The specific materials analyzed are indicated in the respective legends.

contained relatively high sulfur loadings. As summarized in Figure 3g, at sulfur loadings of 4.5, 7.5, or 11.8 mg cm⁻², the corresponding Li–S cells exhibited ultrahigh area specific capacities of 6.20, 9.37, or 12.52 mA h cm⁻² at 0.05 C, respectively. The values are significantly higher than the threshold required for commercial lithium-ion batteries (4 mA h cm⁻²).[29] Cycling stability tests were further performed at 0.1 C and the data showed that the Li–S batteries prepared with gradually increased sulfur loadings worked well along with Coulombic efficiencies that were close to 100%. In particular, the Li–S cell prepared at a sulfur loading of 11.8 mg cm⁻² displayed an area specific capacity of 10.47 mA h cm⁻² initially and 8.86 mA h cm⁻² after 75 cycles at 0.1 C, corresponding to a capacity retention of 85%. The area sulfur loading and area specific capacity values described are higher than the values reported for the Li–S batteries that contained SACs (Table S3, Supporting Information).[22,30] Highlighting the advantages of using SAC aggregates to promote the electrochemical performance of sulfur cathodes.

2.4. Characterization of the Electrocatalytic Effect

The electrocatalytic properties of Co-NG(800) were further investigated using a three-electrode system (see the Experimental Section for details). Figure 4a compares a series of CV curves that were recorded at a scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹ for electrodes containing Co-NG(800), NG, or G. As opposed to a featureless CV curve that was recorded from a blank electrolyte (i.e., no added Li₂S₆), the two reduction peaks detected at \( \approx -1.08 \) and \(-1.41\) V in the cathodic scan (labeled as A and B) were ascribed to the conversion of \( S_{8} \) to polysulfides and then to \( Li_{x}S \), respectively. In the anodic scan, two oxidation peaks were detected at \(-1.24 \) and \(-1.04\) V (labeled as C and D) and were attributed to the reverse reactions (i.e., \( Li_{x}S \) to polysulfides and then to \( S_{8} \), respectively). The intensities of the peak currents of the redox events followed the order: Co-NG(800) > NG > G. This finding indicated that the SACs present in the Co-NG(800) facilitated the conversions of sulfur at the corresponding electrode. Furthermore, as shown in Figure S14 (Supporting Information), aggregates of the Co SACs exhibited a larger electrocatalytic effect when compared to the analogs that remained in an isolated state.

To gain a deeper understanding of the underlying reaction kinetics, CV curves were collected at a series of scan rates that ranged from 1 to 49 mV s⁻¹. As shown in Figure 4b–d, the Co-NG(800) electrode consistently exhibited the largest redox peak currents at each scan rate among the different electrodes, which indicated the fastest reaction kinetics on the Co-NG(800) electrode and were attributed to the electrocatalytic effect of the single-atom Co catalyst. The reaction kinetics was quantitatively assessed by measuring the corresponding Li-ion diffusion coefficient \( (D_{Li}^{+}) \) values using the Randles–Sevcik equation (Equation (1))

\[
I_p = 2.69 \times 10^{5} n^{1/2} AD_{Li}^{1/2} C_{Li}^{1/2} v^{1/2}
\]  

where \( I_p \) is the peak current of the redox reaction, \( n \) is the number of electrons involved in the electrochemical reaction \( (n = 2 \) for the two-electron process).
for Li–S batteries), $A$ is the area of the electrode, $C_{Li^{+}}$ is the concentration of Li ions in the electrolyte, and $v$ is the scan rate.

The peaks labeled as A and D were used to calculate the $D_{Li^{+}}$ values since they maintained their shapes as the scan rate was increased. As shown in Figure 4e,f, the $I_p$ increased linearly as a function of the square root of scan rate ($I_p \propto \sqrt{v}$) in both oxidation and reduction processes (reflective of a mass transport limited process), from which the $D_{Li^{+}}$ values were derived. During oxidation, the $D_{Li^{+}}$ value measured for the Co-NG(800) electrode (i.e., $5.13 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) was 1.4 and 6.1 times higher than the values measured for the NG and G electrodes (i.e., $3.73 \times 10^{-10}$ and $8.42 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively). Similarly, for the reduction reaction, the $D_{Li^{+}}$ value measured for the Co-NG(800) electrode (i.e., $2.72 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$) was 1.6 and 5.6 times higher than those measured for the other electrodes (i.e., $1.75 \times 10^{-10}$ and $4.87 \times 10^{-11}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively). Notably, the Co-NG(800) electrode (i.e., the SACs that exist in an aggregated form) exhibited faster reaction kinetics when compared with the Co-NG(400) electrode (i.e., the Co SACs that exist in an isolated form) (Figure S14, Supporting Information). Likewise, the $D_{Li^{+}}$ values that corresponded to the peaks A and D for the Co-NG(800) electrode were measured to be 2.1 and 2.2 times higher than the values measured for the Co-NG(400) electrode (Table S4, Supporting Information).

The conversion of polysulfides to Li$_2$S is a key step that determines the specific capacities of Li-S cells. To investigate the electrocatalytic effect of the single-atom Co catalyst on the conversion of polysulfides to Li$_2$S, a series of Li$_2$S precipitation experiments were performed using the different electrodes prepared from Co-NG(800), NG, or G (see the Experimental Section). As shown in Figure 5, each potentiostatic discharge profile was consisted of a sharp decrease (colored orange), a dominant bump (blue), and a slowly decreasing baseline (green), which could be attributed to the reduction of residual Li$_2$S$_6$, the nucleation and growth of Li$_2$S, and the reduction of Li$_2$S$_6$, respectively. As expected, the capacity corresponding to the Li$_2$S precipitation recorded for the Co-NG(800) cathode (103.6 mA h g$^{-1}$, normalized to mass of sulfur) was significantly higher than those recorded for the NG and G cathodes (58.6 and 42.5 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively). This result indicated that the Co SAC aggregates substantially promoted the conversion of Li$_2$S. Aggregates of the Co SACs were also found to promote Li$_2$S precipitation more readily than their isolated form, as the corresponding specific capacity recorded for the former (103.6 mA h g$^{-1}$) was 1.5 times higher than that recorded for the latter (68.8 mA h g$^{-1}$, Figure S15, Supporting Information).

2.5. Elucidation of the Electrocatalytic Mechanism

To unveil the electrocatalytic mechanism of the Co-NG(800), XANES spectroscopy was used to analyze the interactions formed between Co-NG(800) and the sulfur species present during the charge/discharge processes. Figure 6a shows the S K-edge XANES spectra of pristine sulfur as well as the sulfur that was infiltrated into the different host materials. The S K-edge XANES spectrum of pristine sulfur featured an intense absorption-edge peak at 2472.6 eV and a broad post-edge absorption at $\approx$2480.0 eV. There was no noticeable change in the XANES spectrum recorded for the S@G composite, indicating that the interactions formed between sulfur and G were negligible. By contrast, a new absorption peak was detected at 2482.6 eV for the S@Co-NG(800) and S@NG composites. The difference was attributed to the formation of new interactions, presumably between the sulfur and the N heteroatoms and/or the Co SACs, that facilitate the cathode reactions of Li–S batteries. Significantly, the new absorption peak recorded for S@Co-NG(800) was stronger than that recorded for S@NG, indicating that the Co SACs provide stronger interactions and thus may play a dominate role in promoting the sulfur cathode reactions.

Co K-edge XANES spectra were collected from the cathode materials retrieved at different charge/discharge states (as shown in Figure 6b) and compared with data recorded for pristine Co-NG(800). After sulfur infiltration, absorption edge of the Co K-edge XANES spectra was found to shift to a higher energy region by $\approx$1 eV (see black line vs green line, Figure 6c,d), a finding that is consistent with the S K-edge spectra and indicates the enhancement of the oxidation state of the single-atom Co due to the sulfur coordination. Over the charge/discharge cycle, the absorption edge remained consistently at a higher region along with largely unchanged spectroscopic features (i.e., pre-edge feature, shoulder peak, and absorption-edge peak). These results indicated that the structure of the single-atom Co aggregates was essentially unchanged during the charge/discharge cycle and that interactions formed between the Co SACs and the sulfur species persisted throughout the electrochemical process.

To further clarify the electrocatalytic effect of the single-atom Co aggregates, a series of theoretical calculations were performed by DFT with the D3 empirical dispersion effect correction, which was previously used in the studies of Li–S batteries. As shown in Figure S16 (Supporting Information), three representative substrate models were built using the coordination environment
of the Co SACs (i.e., Co–N4). After geometry optimization, the distances between the adjacent Co atoms were determined to be 0.32, 0.40, and 1.37 nm, consistent with experimental values (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The models were designated as Co-NG-0.32, Co-NG-0.40, and Co-NG-1.37, respectively.

**Figure 7a** shows the adsorption of a Li2Sx molecule on each of the different substrate models in their most stable forms. In the Co-NG-1.37 model, the distance between the two Co atoms (1.37 nm) is beyond the size of a Li2Sx molecule and thus the absorption can occur only through one Co atom. By contrast, in the Co-NG-0.40 and Co-NG-0.32 models, a Li2Sx molecule can be simultaneously absorbed by two Co atoms because the distances of the adjacent Co atoms (i.e., 0.40 and 0.32 nm, respectively) are commensurate with the size of a Li2Sx molecule. Therefore, the bidentate nature of such absorption can be expected to enhance the binding with a Li2Sx molecule. The adsorption of other sulfur species was found to be similar to the manners described for Li2Sx on the different substrates (see Figures S17–S19, Supporting Information, for details). The N heteroatoms present in the graphene plane were found to interact with the Li ions in all three models. Figure 7b summarizes the binding energy (E_b) values calculated for each model, which follow the order: Co-NG-0.32 > Co-NG-0.40 > Co-NG-1.37. These results indicate that the aggregates of Co SACs exhibit stronger absorption characteristics with various sulfur species than their isolated forms.

**Figure 7c** summarizes a series of sulfur cathode reactions in a discharge process and the changes in the Gibbs free energy (ΔG) values calculated for the reactions on the different substrates. The ΔG values calculated for the conversion of Li2S2 to Li2S is the least negative, indicating that the reaction is the rate-limiting step. The ΔG values calculated for this step using the Co-NG-0.32 and Co-NG-0.40 models are more negative than those calculated with the Co-NG-1.37 model or an isolated state. This result is consistent with the experimental data which showed that the single-atom Co aggregates facilitate the conversion of Li2S2 to Li2S more efficiently than their isolated form. Furthermore, the ΔG values for each step were found to change in the following order: Co-NG-0.32 > Co-NG-0.40 > Co-NG-1.37 > isolated state, suggesting that the single-atom Co aggregates promote the entire discharge process more markedly than their isolated form. Collectively, these results explain why the Li–S cells prepared using the Co-NG(800) material as a sulfur host exhibit better performance in terms of specific capacity, rate capability, and cycling stability when compared with the analogous cells that were prepared from the Co-NG(400) material.
3. Conclusion

In summary, aggregates of Co SACs on graphene were synthesized from readily available reagents, including a Co(II) porphyrin complex and GO. The Co atoms on the graphene sheets can migrate and aggregate when heated yet, due to the intrinsic coordination environment of the metals, are prevented from forming Co–Co bonds in the aggregates. DFT calculations revealed that the Co atoms can form synergistic interactions with relatively large reactant sulfur species and, as a result, maximize electrocatalytic effects. A series of three-electrode measurements and Li$_2$S precipitation tests revealed that electrodes containing the Co SAC aggregates exhibited faster Li$^+$ diffusion coefficients and an increased Li$_2$S precipitation when compared to control electrodes that contained the Co SACs existing in an isolated form. The Li–S cells prepared using Co-NG(800) as a sulfur host also showed outstanding performance metrics, including a high specific capacity (1346 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 C), a high rate capacity (648 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 6 C), and excellent cycling stability (505 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.5 C after 600 cycles, corresponding to a decay of only 0.08% per cycle). Moreover, an ultrahigh area capacity of 12.52 mA h cm$^{-2}$ was measured from a Li–S cell with a high sulfur loading of 11.8 mg cm$^{-2}$. Collectively, these results provide new insights into the electrocatalytic processes in the sulfur cathodes of Li–S cells, and the methodology described offers a general strategy for adapting other SACs for use in contemporary electrocatalytic reactions.

4. Experimental Section

The details of the methods are provided in the Supporting Information.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.
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