Effect of educational intervention on knowledge, attitude and consumption of fast food among adolescents
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Abstract
Introduction: Fast food are being routinely consumed worldwide as they can be prepared and served very quickly. Although the list of food items which comes under category of fast food is long, but burger, carbonated beverages, French fries, cakes, pastries and pizza are most commonly consumed fast food items. Fast food items are having high fat content, low fiber and nutrients and their excess consumption causes obesity.

Objective: Assessment of effect of Educational intervention on knowledge, attitude and consumption of fast food among adolescents.

Methodology: Cross sectional study conducted on 100 adolescents. Initially pre tested questionnaire was used to assess baseline knowledge, attitude and utilisation of junk food, followed by educational intervention in form of a lecture by a power point presentation and then again same questionnaire was given to student next day to assess the effect of intervention. Data entry and analysis was done & Chi-Square test was applied to know the effect of intervention.

Result: Educational intervention led to significant change in the knowledge about the adverse effect of fast food which was increased from 49% to 71% as well as adolescents preference for eating fast food reduced from 73% to 49%. Attitude towards non consumption of fast food was significantly changed as there was increase in number of students started bringing home prepared food in lunch boxes from 36% to 57%.

Conclusion: Education intervention brings significant change in knowledge, attitude and consumption of fast food among adolescents and similar kind of intervention at regular interval will play a crucial role in preventing life style related disease among future generations.
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Introduction
Day by day innovations are being carried out to make faster transportation, faster communication, faster computers, faster music, and to provide faster services. This kind of time bound attitude ultimately affected our dietary habits also and gave rise to fast food. Fast food items as the name suggests are prepared fresh, hot and served fast. Fast food items are also known as quick-service food as these items are routinely sold and delivered in an amount of time ranging from a few seconds to several minutes. Fast food items appeal by being fatty, low in fiber and nutrients. Burgers and French fried potatoes, carbonated beverages are most commonly identified fast food items among population, but the list of items sold in fast food category is increasing day by day. Fried fish and shellfish, hot dogs, chicken, pizza, roast beef, pasta, salty French fries, beef burgers, fried chicken, and pizzas with a thick cheese covering are some of commonly consumed fast food items among people. Fast food has become a prominent feature of the diet of children and young adults throughout the world and increasing day by day. In United States, Since 1970s Consumption of fast food has increased rapidly among adolescents from all socioeconomic and racial/ethnic groups and 75% of adolescents eats fast food 1 or more times per week which can be well proved by the fact that there was huge increase in sales of fast food to $161 billion in 2004, of which burgers accounted for 53%. Today in the United States, up to 37% of adults and up to 42% of children regularly consume fast food which means they have higher intakes of energy, fat, saturated fat, sodium, and carbonated soft drinks. Various studies and trials have also indicated that frequent consumption of fast food leads to increase risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) as fast food has been shown to promote weight gain and insulin resistance. Eating habits of fast food among adolescent have evolved due to their rich fat content, high palatability, easy availability and time pressure exerted on students as students need something which they can get fast and consume fast so they can have more time for work. Unhealthy eating habits (consumption of fast food) seriously affect physical, mental and social wellbeing of the individual. Therefore this study is conducted to assess the effect of educational intervention on Knowledge, attitude and consumption of fast food among adolescents.

Aims and Objectives
1. To document the baseline knowledge, attitude and consumption practices of fast food among adolescents
2. To assess effect of an educational intervention on their pre-existing knowledge, attitude and consumption practices of fast food.
Methodology
A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted among adolescent school students of 9th class of a private school of North India. Total study participants were 100. Informed consent was taken before enrolment of participants. Study was carried in 2 parts, firstly baseline knowledge of students was assessed using a validated and pre-tested questionnaire designed to measure knowledge, attitude and consumption of fast food by students, followed by an educational intervention in form of a power point presentation of 1 hour duration on fast food and on next day the same questionnaire was given to them to assess their improvement in pre-existing knowledge, attitude and consumption practices of fast food.

Study Tool: Validated and pre-tested questionnaire was used which mainly focused on the assessment of knowledge attitude and consumption of fast food by students.

Data Analysis: The data was entered and interpreted using SPSS software. The frequencies and percentages were calculated for the categorical responses. The Chi-squared test with 95% confidence interval was applied to see whether there was any statistical difference between the knowledge of the adolescent before and after educational intervention, for all the tests p-value <0.05 was considered significant.

Result
Effects of Educational intervention on Knowledge about Fast Food: Among study participants 56 were male and 44 female, belonging to age group of 12-15 years. Fast food is considered as a substitute for proper meal among adolescents but educational intervention brought up significant change in their opinion (p value-0.00196) as shown in Table 1. Knowledge about the addictive nature of fast food and its role in causation of obesity also underwent a significant improvement after education intervention. Educational intervention also brought up a significant change in their knowledge regarding role of excessive consumption of candies in causation of dental caries awareness about adverse effects on health due to excessive consumption of fast food was only present among 49% adolescents and post intervention 71% adolescent became aware about it (p value-0.0014) as shown in Table 1. It was also found that only 54% of students thought that fast food is harmful but after intervention it was significantly increased to 78%. Both the differences were found to be significant (p value-0.0001). Awareness gap was also present regarding consumption of soft drink although only 13% used to believe that it is safe and healthy to consume it but this knowledge improved post intervention and only 3% were left with ignorance that soft drink consumption is healthy. It was also observed that only 29% of students were aware that soft drink causes osteoporosis but after intervention knowledge was increased to 52% and the difference was found to be significant as shown in graph 1. Excessive consumption of chocolate is a very common practice among children and adolescents and awareness about it harmful effect have increased among population since many years. However initially 17% participants were still having false belief that there is no harmful effect of excessive consumption of chocolates but awareness improved after intervention as shown in graph 2.

Table 1: Effects of educational intervention on knowledge about fast food

| Knowledge Variables | Pre Intervention (n=100) | Post Intervention (n=100) | Chi square, (P-value) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
|                     | Fast food as substitute of proper meal |                      |                      |
| No                  | 66                       | 79                        | 14.84, (0.001960) |
| Yes                 | 1                        | 7                         |                      |
| Not sure            | 22                       | 12                        |                      |
| Don’t know          | 11                       | 2                         |                      |
|                     | **Fast food Cause Addiction** |                      |                      |
| Yes                 | 44                       | 59                        | 5.8,(0.122)          |
| No                  | 16                       | 16                        |                      |
| May be              | 32                       | 19                        |                      |
| Don’t know          | 8                        | 6                         |                      |
|                     | **Fast food Relation with Obesity** |                      |                      |
| Yes                 | 55                       | 69                        | 6.05,(0.108)         |
| No                  | 8                        | 7                         |                      |
| May Be              | 20                       | 17                        |                      |
| Don’t Know          | 17                       | 7                         |                      |
|                     | **Knowledge regarding candies** |                      |                      |
| Dental caries       | 71                       | 87                        | 7.8,(0.05)           |
| Beneficial          | 6                        | 3                         |                      |
| Neither beneficial nor harmful | 8   | 4                           |                      |
| Don’t know          | 15                       | 6                         |                      |
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Effects of Educational Intervention on the Attitude of Students Regarding Fast Food: It is well known worldwide that children and adolescents like’s fast food a lot which was also elicited in baseline assessment and it was found that 73% likes to consume fast food but this attitude underwent a significant change by educational intervention and post intervention assessment revealed that only 49% will like to consume fast food in future (p value- 0.0005). Consumption of fast food is also dependent on attitude of adolescent that they consider it is an alternative to fruits and vegetables which was also elicited as 36% of adolescent could not deny about this attitude but this was significantly reduced to 17% (P value-0.009). Fast food advertisement has a strong relation with their increased consumption as documented by 61% of students during baseline assessment and this belief was significantly increased to 87% after intervention (p value-0.000102) as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Effects of Educational intervention on attitude of students regarding fast food

| Attitude related variables | Pre Intervention (n=100) | Post Intervention (n=100) | Chi square, (P-value) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| **Likeness of fast food**  |                         |                          |                      |
| Yes                        | 73                      | 49                       | 12.11,(0.0005)       |
| No                         | 27                      | 51                       |                      |
| **Type of evening snacks** |                         |                          |                      |
| Healthy                    |                         |                          |                      |
| Fresh fruits               | 63                      | 50                       |                      |
| Soup                       | 5                       | 13                       |                      |
| Non healthy                |                         |                          |                      |
| Candy bar                  | 8                       | 6                        | 0.35,(0.55)          |
| Chips                      | 6                       | 13                       |                      |
| Others                     | 13                      | 8                        |                      |
| Don’t have                 | 5                       | 10                       |                      |
Intake of fast food

| Taste          | Pre Intervention (n=100) | Post Intervention (n=100) | Chi square (P-value) |
|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| 82             | 66                       |                           | 8.47, (0.07)         |
| Easily available | 6                        | 9                         |                      |
| Busy schedule  | 5                        | 6                         |                      |
| Any other reason | 3                       | 5                         |                      |
| Don’t take     | 4                        | 14                        |                      |

Alternative to fresh fruits & vegetables

| No | Yes | Don’t Know |
|----|-----|------------|
| Pre | Post |            |
| 64  | 83   | 8           | 9.30, (0.009) |
| 18  | 8    | 9           |

Fast food advertisement

| Pre | Post |            |
|-----|-----|------------|
| 34  | 55  |            | 18.37, (0.000102) |
| 27  | 32  |            |

Effects of Educational Intervention on Fast Food Consumption Practices among Students:

Most of the adolescents prefer to eat fast food from canteen during lunch break and avoids bringing lunch box from home as evident by finding that 36% of students brought their lunch boxes during baseline assessment but after intervention 57% student brought home cooked food in their lunch box (p value-0.0016) as shown in Table 3. There was a significant decline in the frequency of fast food consumption among students as 51% of students used to consume fast food more than twice a week but after intervention only 33% indulged in this practice (p value-0.037).

Similarly percentage of students who have stopped the soft drinks consumption increased from 9% to 25% (p value-0.007). It was observed that gratification of hunger instantly was main reason for consumption of fast food as documented by 86% of participants but after intervention this practices was reduced and 32% students documented that they will not consume it on regular basis (p value- 0.037). Practice of eating fruits was improved as 53% of students who do not used to consume fruit which reduced to 2% and 49% of students started consuming it more than 5 times a week (p value-0.0001). Similarly frequency of fruit juice consumption also improved (p value-0.0105) shown in Table 3. This Study also tried to find the moments where excess consumption of fast food takes place and it was found that when adolescents are watching TV or during school time in lunch break from school cafeteria. Education intervention brought up a change in these practices as shown in Graph 3.

Table 3: Effects of educational intervention on fast food consumption practices

| Practice related variables | Pre Intervention (n=100) | Post Intervention (n=100) | Chi square (P-value) |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Brought lunch box on the day of survey |                       |                           |                      |
| Yes                        | 36                       | 57                        | 9.915, (0.0016)     |
| No                         | 64                       | 43                        |                      |
| Lunch box frequency        |                          |                           |                      |
| Daily                      | 36                       | 47                        | 2.56, (0.276)       |
| 3-4 times                  | 19                       | 17                        |                      |
| Don’t bring                | 45                       | 36                        |                      |
| Fast Food frequency        |                          |                           |                      |
| Don’t take                 | 7                        | 13                        | 10.19, (0.037)      |
| ≤ once/week                | 42                       | 54                        |                      |
| 2-3 times/week             | 32                       | 22                        |                      |
| 3-5 times/week             | 16                       | 6                         |                      |
| >5 times/week              | 3                        | 5                         |                      |
| Eat when not hungry        |                          |                           |                      |
| Never                      | 14                       | 32                        | 9.64, (0.008)       |
| Some times                 | 83                       | 64                        |                      |
| Always                     | 3                        | 4                         |                      |
| Soft drink frequency       |                          |                           |                      |
| Don’t take                 | 9                        | 25                        | 13.87, (0.007)      |
| ≤1/week                    | 46                       | 41                        |                      |
| 2-3/week                   | 24                       | 26                        |                      |
| 3-4/week                   | 14                       | 6                         |                      |
| >5/week                    | 7                        | 2                         |                      |
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| Fruits frequency | >5/week | 26 | 49 | 65.8, (0.00001) |
|------------------|---------|----|----|----------------|
| <1/week          | 2       | 7  |    |                |
| 2-3/week         | 6       | 14 |    |                |
| 3-5/week         | 13      | 28 |    |                |
| Don’t take       | 53      | 2  |    |                |

| Fresh fruits juice frequency | >5/week | 14 | 30 | 13.15,(0.0105) |
|-------------------------------|---------|----|----|----------------|
| ≥1/week                      | 28      | 16 |    |                |
| 2-3/week                     | 32      | 35 |    |                |
| 3-5/week                     | 20      | 10 |    |                |
| Don’t take                   | 6       | 9  |    |                |

**Graph 3: Effect of Intervention on practices of fast food consumption (n=100)**

**Discussion**

It is a well-known finding that fast food consumption is associated with higher total energy intake and poorer diet quality among adolescents in a metropolitan cities. Adolescent girls who consumes fast food 4 times a week acquires more total energy when compared with those who do not. Fast-food consumption was found to be significant predictor of dietary fat among girls as compared to boys. Consumption of fast food among children accumulates an average of 187 kcal/day more than those who did not. It has been scientifically proved that the possibility of becoming obese over next 15-year period increased by 86% among those young white adults who visited fast-food restaurants more than twice per week as compared with those visited less than once per week, after adjustment for potential confounders. Fast food consumption (cheeseburgers, french-fried potatoes, sugar-sweetened beverages, etc.) leads to increase in dietary intake of more total and saturated fat, more total carbohydrate and added sugars, less dietary fibre, and more energy per gram of solid food. The high energy density and palatability of fat may promote excess energy intake. In this study baseline knowledge regarding considering fast food as a substitute for proper meal was significantly changed after education intervention among adolescent (p value-0.00196). Significant increase in the Knowledge of the students about the adverse effect of fast food was observed which was 49% before intervention and increased to 71% after intervention (P value-0.0014). Fast food advertisement has a relation with increased fast food consumption as per opinion of 61% of students which significantly improved to 87% after intervention. (P value-0.000102) Fast food consumption is maximum among adolescent age group. The workforce at fast food restaurants is mainly adolescents who receive discounted or free food as part of their compensation. Since youth are more easily influenced by advertisements, the fast food industry targets heavily towards children with the aim of inculcating a fast-food consumption habit can be carried forward into adulthood. There was significant improvement in consumption of fruits (p value-0.00001) and fruit juice (p value-0.0105) after educational intervention. Fruits and non starchy vegetables protects against excessive weight gain as they contains low energy density, high fiber content, and low glycemic index and inadequate consumption of fruits and vegetables is associated with obesity-related morbidities such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes. This study elicited a major finding that baseline assessment revealed 73% of adolescent used to like fast food but after educational intervention their attitude significantly changed and it was reduced to 49% (p value- 0.0005). Initially only 54% adolescent used to believe that Fast food consumption is harmful but educational intervention significantly improved their knowledge and 78%
Considered it is harmful for health. (p value-0.0001). It was observed that gratification of hunger instantly was main reason for consumption of fast food as documented by 86% of participants.

Educational intervention brought up a significant decline in the frequency of fast food consumption among students as initially 51% of students used to consume fast food more than twice a week but after intervention only 33% indulged in this practice. (p value-0.037) There was significant improvement in students who stopped consuming soft drinks from 9% to 25% (p value-0.007). School cafeterias are also responsible for increasing trend of fast food consumption.14,19 as seen in this study majority of students used to consume fast food available at school cafeteria during lunch break and stopped the healthy habit of bring home cooked food in their lunch boxes. Therefore intervention needs to be done by school and college administrative authorities to limit the sale of fast food in the premises so that fast food consumption can be limited among adolescents and youth.

Conclusion
Educational intervention brought up statistical significant increase in knowledge about fast food and change in attitude and consumption practices of food food among adolescents. Similar interventions are needed to increase the awareness related to various health related topics.

Limitation of the Study
Since this study was conducted on a small sample size and on urban adolescents so study findings will vary with those of adolescent’s residing in rural area.
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