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Abstract
This paper aims to challenge the conventional wisdom in finance by studying the success factors of the development of unicorn companies as the most successful and fastest-growing start-ups/established firms. Romania is now on the map of the International Unicorn Club, with two young Unicorns in technology, since 2018. The purpose of the paper is to analyze mergers and acquisitions and companies valued more than $1B. The research questions to be answered are: What is the value of control in mergers and acquisitions? Why the initial estimates of a company’s value can be very wrong? The market approach in this research allows the readers understand the context of the phenomena analyzed and the information on comparable transactions. The work to be discussed in the paper is the importance of choosing one valuable objective of the company, in the context of the theory of the value maximization as the single important objective of a company. The model of enterprise value for listed companies should encompass a market variable, such as the value of financing a sector gets and the ownership (control) variable.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Start-ups and established firms manage to evolve rapidly either independently or within an international large and successful group and, in this paper, the authors aim to find out how such companies succeed. In the actual economy, many Romanian companies fight hard to find their way to success (or survival), in the absence of one valuable objective of the business. This article is a minor extension of the authors research [1] on the enterprise value (EV) and its determinants, in order to understand the ways to increase it or maximize the company value, while considering the theory of value maximization as the single important objective of a company.
We aim to demonstrate the practical importance of this principle in the life of a company. The analysis starts with a company [\textsuperscript{1}] with a mission to build a service that the customers would love (Naspers, 2019a); eMag was a small e-commerce company with a monthly revenue of less than 2 million euro, in 2009, but with a dream to cross borders and offer a platform where people could buy anything and now the company is the largest retailer online in Romania [\textsuperscript{2}] and has become part of the Naspers group [\textsuperscript{3}], since October 2012. This company became \textit{profitable} in the second half of 2018 and a major driver of the revenue improvement, delivered a solid performance, with \textit{gross merchandise value} (GMV) growth, improved profitability boosted by \textit{increased} gross profit margins and cost control (Naspers, 2018). In 2018, the Romanian e-commerce company eMag has become the 2\textsuperscript{nd} \textit{Unicorn} in Romania, after UiPath [\textsuperscript{4}].

The objective of the first Romanian unicorn UiPath (2018b), to enhance customer experience, seems to be very good, if we judge by their results [\textsuperscript{5}]. UiPath raised more than $400 million from tier one investors (Series A in April 2017 with 200 customers at a valuation of $140 million, then another $153 million in March 2018 at a valuation of $1.1 billion); closed in November 2018, UiPath's Series C financing totals $265 million at a valuation of $3 billion (UiPath, 2019b). Created to drive company's vision for an automated and fully-employed world, the UiPath Academic Alliance (2018a) represents UiPath's ambition to serve more than one million students and one thousand schools in the next three years.

This paper analyses mergers and acquisitions and companies valued more than $1B, in order to challenge the conventional wisdom in finance by studying the development of unicorn [\textsuperscript{6}] companies as the most successful and fastest-growing start-ups (Butuzova, 2018). For instance, Naspers (2019b) grew by investing in, acquiring and building leading companies with sustainable competitive advantages, throughout its 100-year history, and continues to back new business models to fuel growth.

\section*{2. PROBLEM STATEMENT}

This paper starts from professor Jensen's theory (2002) that it is impossible to maximize in more than one dimension, and the criterion for deciding when implementing organizational change should be the increase in the long-term market value of the firm (Jensen, 2002). When analyzing successful companies' objectives, we come to agree that Romanian companies find success when they focus on a single value driven objective for the long term and that difficulties rise when companies waste their efforts and limited resources on too many (unsustainable) objectives for the short term. The company's strategy is, therefore, of utmost importance.
In the analysis of successful Romanian IT companies, we try to identify the key success factors to be measured in order to be able to model the enterprise value (EV). Also, many (large) companies acquire others in order to add value to their products through diversification, for synergies or control. So, what is the value of control in mergers and acquisitions? Why the initial estimates of a company's value can be very wrong?

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

When implementing organizational change, the aim should be the increase in the long-term market value of the firm (MV), which is ‘the sum of the values of all financial claims on the firm, including equity, debt, preferred stock, and warrants’ (Jensen, 2002). Wruck et al. (1991) gave example of a small non-profit firm that almost destroyed itself while trying to maximize over a dozen dimensions at the same time; Cools and Praag (2000) were the first to formally test the proposition that multiple objectives handicap firms using 80 Dutch firms in the 1993-1997 period, then concluded: “Our findings show the importance of setting one single target for value creation” (Jensen, 2002).

The e-commerce and cloud-storage giant Amazon isn’t just secretive, the way Apple is, but values surprise. Communication with stakeholders should be a basis for creating enterprise value, e.g. clients or co-developers of innovative basic processes. Improving/ modernizing methods/tools of communication with stakeholders is usually a cost for the surveyed enterprises than the source of development, e.g. in the long run (Wereda and Woźniak, 2018).

Advances in technology have improved access to real-time market information and business analytics, improving communication and the integrated management of their operations; improved telecommunications, information management software and personal computing decreased significantly firm’ costs, enabling the creation of efficient business models. Although digital services can substantially expand the reach of businesses, they require massive investments in infrastructure and also, skills (OECD, 2015).

“Disruptive innovation” describes how new entrants target the bottom of a market and then relentlessly move up, ousting established providers by successfully targeting those overlooked segments, frequently at a lower price (Christensen, 2018). For example, companies such as Amazon disrupted entire industries. Innovations, new technologies or business models reshape industries.

The ‘big data’, defined by OECD (2015) as datasets large enough that they cannot be managed or analysed using typical database management tools, is now a must have. Data analytics, defined as the use of data storage and process techniques to support decisions are becoming a driver for innovation in
a number of scientific areas and are used in collaborative and crowd-based projects. Cloud computing increased data storage and processing capacity.

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and governments have access now to supercomputing resources. (OECD, 2013)

Automation changes the activities of all sectors, including finance, as robots and computers can perform routine physical work, being increasingly capable of accomplishing activities that include cognitive capabilities. The analysis of the impact of automation, covering 78% of the global labor market, the high percentage of time spent on activities with the technical potential for automation by adapting currently demonstrated technology shows a very high potential for automation, in many countries. In figure 1, we can see the high potential for automation [viii], for the Finance and insurance sector (McKinsey Global Institute, 2018).

In another top, the largest companies, in 2008, were oil and gas producers, while in 2018, the top 10 companies in the world were technology companies mainly (Johnston, 2018). The technology giants (such as Apple, Google and Facebook) have achieved world domination (figure 2) by investing heavily in developing new products and services leading to an explosion in innovation and faster growth and disrupting established companies The only company remaining in the global top 10 since 2008 is Microsoft, founded in 1975, which doubled its value.

Another giant, founded in 1998 by Stanford Ph.D. student, with funding of $41.00M and valuation of $777.96B, Google's search technologies now connect millions of people with information; similar companies (figure 2) are Facebook ($2.53B) and Amazon ($16.98M). (CBINSIGHTS, 2019)
On the other side, the founders of the companies with little to no credit history (known as a “thin file company”) do not get good pricing or credit terms (being considered risky or “near bankruptcy” – even though the revenue and bank balance clearly show otherwise) and hundreds of thousands of private SMEs and startups see similar challenges. For private companies, these challenges decrease profits and productivity (CBInsights, 2015). Investors look at startups which have not been incorporated yet but still have a big potential for growth (Butuzova, 2018).

When the seller sees that the company is not worth as much as they thought it was should take steps to increase its value. When an e-commerce company, eBay, bought, in 2005, Skype - a small, marginally profitable communications company, for $3.1 billion, the company was worth only $1.7 billion and eBay took a $1.4 billion charge against profits to account for the difference. Then, in 2010, eBay sold 70% of Skype to a group of investors (including the original founders) for $1.9 billion (making Skype worth about $2.7 billion); in 2011, Microsoft bought Skype for $8.5 billion, even though Skype was still at loss. (Knight, 2016)

High-tech and innovative unicorns are drivers of development and their high capitalization is indeed justified. The potential and growth opportunities of such companies are the main drivers of their overestimated value. The Unicorn Club is a group of billion-dollar startups with a revolutionary trade brand tagged to their business operations (figure 3) comprises companies under E-commerce sector (17%), Internet Software and Services (14%) and Financial technology (11%).

**FIGURE 2. THE LARGEST COMPANIES IN 2008 VS. THE YEAR 2018**

Source: Johnston, 2018
In 2015, 24 Unicorns (out of 50 predicted) have hit that mark (48%) by being acquired for $1B+ (4 companies), or gone public, and 14 went on to raise additional equity funding totaling $1.4B (of the 26 non-unicorns); an algorithm \[\text{ix}\] to identify unicorns was developed as an evidence-based, statistical approach to assess private company health. The Money ball approach, a statistical analysis for predictions, is not new in other domains, but it was to the private markets and CBInsights (2015) built it using machine-learning and data science. This approach comprised of 3 individual models shown below.

1. The market model looks at the number of companies in an industry, the financing and exit momentum and the overall quality and quantity of investors participating in that industry.

For example, in a study on the impact of the artificial intelligence (AI), McKinsey Global Institute (2018) classified the companies as:

(i) front-runners (companies that fully absorb AI tools across their enterprises over the next five to seven years);

By 2030, the front-runners could potentially double their cash flow - the economic benefit captured minus associated investment and transition costs, at an additional annual net cash-flow growth of about 6%.
(ii) Non-adopters (companies that do not adopt AI technologies) and might experience around a 20% decline in their cash flow due to the market share shift from laggards to front-runners.

2. Money — the burn rate, the quality of the investors and syndicate that may be part of the company, its financing position relative to industry peers & competitors, etc. What is the financial health of the company?

3. Momentum — how much traction does the company have?

Most attempts to quantify tech company health have almost exclusively focused on momentum, which is necessary but not sufficient.

Digital transformation remains top of the agenda as companies use M&A to add innovative capabilities, improve customer engagement and stays relevant in a changing market; renewables and network assets remain the most attractive acquisition targets and digitization can influence transaction strategies in developed countries. 48% of the executives surveyed cite digital innovation, the search for growth and sector convergence as the most prominent topics on their agenda (Rennie, 2017). Investors including big corporates have plowed that much into promising holding companies. In Emerging Europe, the overall deal value increased and deal volume remained virtually flat in 2018, even if the labor costs keep the region competitive and internet millionaires have become angel investors for tech start-ups, in such areas as gaming, Artificial intelligence technology, anti-virus software and search and compare platforms based on complex algorithms (CMS, 2017; Antonescu, 2018).

4. METHOD

Companies with different capital structures can be compared by the Enterprise Value (EV) - a firm valuation proxy.

EV approximates current market value (MV) of a company to determine takeover or merger price of a firm; unlike Market Cap, EV takes into account: the entire liquid asset, outstanding debt, and exotic equity instruments (from the balance sheet). When takeover occurs, the parent company will have to assume the target company's liabilities but will take possession of all cash and cash equivalents.

The value of control should be inversely proportional to the perceived quality of that management and its capacity to maximize firm value, so the value of control will be much greater for a poorly managed firm that operates at below optimum capacity than it is for a well-managed firm (table 1).
### TABLE 1. MOTIVES BEHIND ACQUISITIONS AND FIRM VALUE

| Acquisition motive | Value target firm as | Examples |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Diversification, for stabilizing earnings and reducing risk | Status Quo Valuation: no extra premium | Naspers bought eMag; Skype |
| Operating Synergy -Cost Savings: in same business to create economies of scale; -Higher growth | Target Firm Value = Independent Value + Synergy Synergy = value of the bidding firm (pre-acquisition) - value of the target firm (with control premium) | Ilva S.p.A. [¹]; Emag (in 2012) |
| Financial Synergy, from: | Tax Benefits: Value of Target Firm + PV of Tax Benefits Increased Debt Capacity: Value of Target Firm + Increase in Value from Debt Cash Slack: Value of Target Firm + NPV of Projects/ Target | UiPath |
| Control (of poorly managed firms) | Value of Target Firm run optimally (industry averages) Value of Control = Value of firm, without restructuring | Skype; ArcelorMittal Galați [10] |

Source: adapted from (Damodaran, n.d.)

The control characteristics of the comparable and the subject asset, and also the ownership characteristics (e.g., legal form of ownership, amount percentage held) are common differences that could warrant adjustments. In the market approach, adjustments (discounts or premiums) for differences between the asset and the guideline transactions or publicly-traded securities are:

1. Control Premiums (Market Participant Acquisition Premiums or MPAPs) and
2. Discounts for Lack of Control (DLOC) - differences between the comparable and the subject asset as a result of exercising control. (IVSC, 2017a)

Control Premiums and DLOCs are typically calculated based on an analysis of the specific cash flow enhancements or reductions in risk associated with control or by comparing observed prices paid for controlling interests in publicly-traded securities to the publicly-traded price.

Circumstances where Control Premiums and DLOC should be considered include where:

1. Shares of public companies generally do not have the ability to make decisions related to the operations of the company (they lack control). When applying the guideline public comparable method to value a subject asset that reflects a controlling interest, a control premium may be appropriate.

2. The guideline transactions often reflect transactions of controlling interests. When valuing a subject asset that reflects a minority interest, a DLOC may be appropriate. (IVSC, 2017b)

The pareto chart in figure 4 shows the importance of obtaining the control according to the distribution of the data by the stake obtained. In the case of the companies studied, eMag is controlled by a holding...
from the Netherlands, while UiPath is Privately Held (backing) by 15 investors and its financing status is a Venture Capital-Backed. (PitchBook, 2019)

![Stake (% Obtained by the Strategic Investors in Technology, in 2018)](chart.png)

**FIGURE 4. A PARETO CHART OF THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE STAKE**

Source: author’s representation

In a local ranking of Romanian companies dominated by retail companies and auto, the only e-commerce company is the one we study (with bold, in in table 2). According to a country top, this company remained in top 30 and the 2nd IT&C company in Romania, in 2018, according to its value of 956 mil. Euro (or 4456 mil. Lei in 2018, higher than in 2017), while the first ITC company in the Romanian top 5 is UiPath. (ZF, 2018)

**TABLE 2. TOP LOCAL FIRMS BY THEIR ADDRESS AND Turnover**

| Name                           | turnover (mil. Lei) | turnover (mil. Euro) | activity            |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| AUCHAN ROMÂNIA SA             | 5200,00             | 1200,000             | retail              |
| MEGA IMAGE SRL                | 4900,00             | 1100,000             | retail              |
| DANTE INTERNATIONAL SA (known as EMAG) | 3300,00          | 752,300              | e-commerce, ITC    |
| RENAULT COMMERCIAL ROUMANIE SRL | 3100,00         | 697,300              | auto                |
| FARMEXPERT D.C.I. SRL         | 3000,00             | 671,500              | pharma              |
| ELECTROCENTRALE BUCURESTI SA  | 1700,00             | 395,000              | energy              |
| IBM ROMANIA SRL               | 906,7               | 206,100              | e-commerce, ITC    |
| ROUMASPORT SRL                | 760,6               | 172,900              | retail              |
| BRICOSTORE ROMANIA SA         | 696,1               | 158,200              | DIY                 |
| SIEMENS SRL                   | 510,6               | 116,000              | equipments          |
According to the data shown above, the ITC sector comprises companies worth 958.4 mil. Euro, which comes second after the retail sector.

Despite the fact the company was not reporting profit, eMag became part of the Naspers group, in October 2012 and became profitable for the first time in the second half of the 2018. Analyzing the firm potential to grow, we look at Naspers (NPSNY) Book Value Per Share \[x\] of 12.42X, and the relationship between Net Income and Total Asset, Price to Earnings of 7.15X.

For Naspers, the book value / share category is shown in table 6. Naspers Limited value of 88.65B is 24.41% lower than that of the Technology sector and significantly higher than that of Internet Content & Information industry (the value for all stocks is 41.73% higher than the company).

Table 6. Comparison with Related Companies Traded on New York Stock Exchange (WUBA) or on BATS Exchange in USA

| Naspers Comparables | Book Value Per Share |
|---------------------|----------------------|
| Naspers (NPSNY)     | 12.42                |
| 58 (WUBA)           | 22.04                |
| GrubHub (GRUB)      | 15.89                |
| Autohome (ATHM)     | 12.96                |

Source: Macroaxis LLC, 2019

Book Value per Share indicates the level of safety for the common shares after removing effects of liabilities to see how much can sell the stake in the company (at liquidation), as in equation 1:

\[ \text{BV/S} = (\text{A-L})/\text{N} \]  

where L = liabilities A = assets N = the total number of currently outstanding shares.

5. RESULTS

In figure 5, the M&A activity in Romania has reached a maximum in 2018, while in the CEE area is stagnant.
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FIGURE 5. M&A NUMBER OF TRANSACTIONS AND VALUE IN ROMANIA VERSUS EMERGING EUROPE

Source: author’s representation, (CMS, 2019)

The large technology companies benefit from globalization, accessing new markets and any promising small company that could compete (figure 5).

FIGURE 6. THE GLOBAL RISE OF THE ITC COMPANIES IN 2018

Source: authors’ representation

The quality of the IT&C market/industry the company competes in is critical indeed as it can push along the company. Usually, the managers that act in the same industry or similar industries tend to replicate the success business models of the front-runners.
FIGURE 6. SCATTERPLOT OF FUNDING AND VALUATION OF THE UNICORNS

Source: authors' representation

The Pearson's Correlation Coefficient is one of the most common measures of correlation in financial statistics. For the unicorn companies observed [xii], higher valuation was correlated with higher funding (Pearson's r is .79, which is normally considered a large effect) [xiii]. In table 5 below, some statistics are included:

| TABLE 5 DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS |
|--------------------------------|
| **Valuation ($M)** | **Total Disclosed Funding ($M)** |
| Mean | 3576.841935 | Mean | 839.3421569 |
| Standard Error | 443.0011552 | Standard Error | 103.7102973 |
| Median | 1605.5 | Median | 376.75 |
| Mode | 1000 | Mode | 500 |
| **Standard Deviation** | 7799.840209 | **Standard Deviation** | 1814.189265 |
| Sample Variance | 60837507.29 | Sample Variance | 3291282.687 |
| Kurtosis | 51.4314886 | Kurtosis | 57.15630799 |
| Skewness | 6.735592687 | Skewness | 6.931031008 |
| Range | 74000 | Range | 18566.9 |
| Minimum | 1000 | Minimum | 1 |
| Maximum | 75000 | Maximum | 18566.9 |
| Sum | 1108821 | Sum | 256838.7 |
6. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS / DISCUSSIONS

In the actual economy, many Romanian companies fight hard to find their way to success or survival in the absence of one valuable objective of the business. Managers find ways to transform into Unicorns their small companies or some companies in difficulty, while concentrating on innovation and adding value for the customers.

The founders of the companies with little to no credit history do not get good pricing or credit terms being considered risky or “near bankruptcy” – even though the revenue and bank balance clearly show otherwise; banks and credit card companies give them less or no credit and at worse terms and investors or acquirers are unable to discover them. The owners that identify such problems and also identify pitching opportunities and proper business partners manage to develop their companies. Companies use M&A to add innovative capabilities, improve customer engagement and staying relevant in a changing market. Although many owners do not feel very comfortable when giving a stake of their company, there are many ways they can retain their influence/ control of the company.

The next evolutionary step after knowledge economy might be the network economy, where the knowledge is shared across various networks, to gain economic of scale in a wider scale. “The gradual evolution of network economy would create a well interconnected economic order, which would then begin to concentrate on the passion of individuals, gradually leading to a Passion based economy.” (Cluett, 2016)

30 years ago, the World Wide Web was invented by Sir Tim Berners-Lee. The shift to a “knowledge society” and “the rise of knowledge work” previewed by Peter Drucker are now facts. Discontinuities, such as the knowledge technologies, the changes in the world's economy, a society of organizations and the knowledge society changed the structure of the economy (Drucker, 1969).
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vi In 2018, the company is valued at 2550 mil. euro (11890 mil. lei). (ZF, 2018)

vii In the world of business corporations and conglomerates, the term Unicorn refers to those startup companies that have a total valuation of more than a billion dollars. The $1 billion valuation metric was popularized by the venture investor Aileen Lee, who found that many of the start-ups that reaped the hugest riches for venture capital investors — Facebook and LinkedIn, for example — often reached a valuation of $1 billion or more while they were privately held. Because of their rarity, Ms. Lee called those companies “unicorns,” after the mythical creatures (Benner, 2015).
viii For some reason, the situation of Romania has not been included in this study, showing that there's huge room for such developments.

ix Mosaic was developed by CBInsights (2019) with initial support from the National Science Foundation (NSF)

x More on this acquisition can be found in the authors' article 'Challenging The Status Quo: Steel Producer Case Study on The Enterprise Value for M&A', Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy, 2019

xi Book Value per Share (B/S) can be calculated by subtracting liabilities from assets, and then dividing it by the total number of currently outstanding shares and it indicates the level of safety for the common shares after removing effects of liabilities to see how much can sell the stake in the company (at liquidation).

xii The Global Unicorn Club, according to (CB Insights, 2018)

xiii Or correlation coefficient