Clearance Kinetics and Matrix Binding Partners of the Receptor for Advanced Glycation End Products
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Abstract

Elucidating the sites and mechanisms of sRAGE action in the healthy state is vital to better understand the biological importance of the receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE). Previous studies in animal models of disease have demonstrated that exogenous sRAGE has an anti-inflammatory effect, which has been reasoned to arise from sequestration of pro-inflammatory ligands away from membrane-bound RAGE isoforms. We show here that sRAGE exhibits in vitro binding with high affinity and reversibly to extracellular matrix components collagen I, collagen IV, and laminin. Soluble RAGE administered intratracheally, intravenously, or intraperitoneally, does not distribute in a specific fashion to any healthy mouse tissue, suggesting against the existence of accessible sRAGE sinks and receptors in the healthy mouse. Intratracheal administration is the only effective means of delivering exogenous sRAGE to the lung, the organ in which RAGE is most highly expressed; clearance of sRAGE from lung does not differ appreciably from that of albumin.
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Introduction

Advanced glycation end products (AGEs) are proteins or lipids with sugar moieties incorporated via non-enzymatic organic reactions, such as the Maillard reaction. Normally generated endogenously at low levels, AGE formation is greatly amplified in the hyperglycemic and oxidative state in diabetes. Although at the time of RAGE’s discovery other proteins were known to mediate AGE uptake by phagocytic cells [1,2], it was only with the discovery of endothelial expression of RAGE that an elegant mechanism relating elevated AGEs to vascular complications of diabetes was in place [3,4]. Research since then has shown RAGE to be an immunoglobulin superfAMILY receptor which binds and signals in response to a vast array of endogenous mediators, including amphoterin (HMGB1) [5,6], amyloid beta [7], calgranulins (S100 proteins) [8,9,10], and the integrin CD11b (Mac-1) [11,12]. It is therefore not surprising that this protein is now known to be an important player in a number of disease processes in humans and animal models, including cardiomyopathy [8], neurodegeneration [13], and tumor invasion [14]. The rich body of literature establishing RAGE’s role as a pattern recognition receptor in a broad spectrum of inflammatory disease processes notwithstanding, its function in the healthy state remains nebulous.

RAGE exists as two major isoforms: a membrane form of RAGE, thought to drive downstream inflammatory cascades, and its soluble counterpart, sRAGE, which has been shown in most disease models to have a contravening, salutary effect: sRAGE acts as a decoy receptor by sequestering ligands away from the membrane isoform, thereby inhibiting inflammation. Substantial evidence has been presented in support of this model of RAGE biology [15,16,17,18]. Expression profiling has demonstrated that RAGE is expressed in the healthy lung at overwhelmingly greater levels than in any other tissue assayed [12,19,20]. Furthermore, numerous studies have shown that pulmonary RAGE is primarily expressed on the basolateral surface of type I alveolar epithelial cells [21,22,23]. This would suggest that one or both isoforms of RAGE have an important function in pulmonary homeostasis, most likely as a sensor of environmental cues or as a mediator of cell adhesion to underlying basement membrane. Indeed, RAGE’s affinity for heparin (which is exploited in its purification), as well as the observation made by other investigators of specific binding by RAGE-expressing cells to ECM-coated surfaces [24], indicates that there is already substantial evidence for RAGE binding to ECM components. Whether RAGE binds to ECM directly or via intermediary macromolecules is unknown, as is the identity of the particular ECM proteins that interact with RAGE.

Soluble RAGE has been administered as a therapeutic agent in a number of animal models of disease and has in most cases been shown to have a beneficial effect [25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32]. While this is usually explained through allusion to a decoy mechanism, which has garnered much supportive evidence in the form of in vitro competitive inhibition studies [33], sRAGE’s anti-inflammatory effects could also arise from fagiation of an as yet unidentified receptor. Furthermore, the affinity of RAGE to ECM [24]...
sRAGE purification from lung, endotoxin removal, concentration and biologic activity determination

Soluble RAGE was purified from mouse and human lungs according to methods previously described [34,35]. Soluble RAGE was rendered free of detectable endotoxin (<5 EU/kg mouse) using Detoxi-Gel polymyxin B resin (Thermo Scientific) per manufacturer’s instructions. Mouse sRAGE concentration was determined using the molar extinction coefficient and absorbance at 280 nm (see below). Following purification of mouse sRAGE, biologic activity was tested and confirmed using HMGB1 concentration-dependent binding as a marker of molecular integrity, according to previously described methods [19,36] (data not shown).

sRAGE molar extinction coefficient determination

The concentration of mouse or human RAGE was determined by quantitative amino acid analysis. The analyses were performed in triplicate with approximately 2 μg of purified mouse or human soluble RAGE. The samples were dried in 500-μl polypropylene vials, the lids were punctured and the vials placed in a 25-ml glass vial equipped with a MinInert valve (Pierce Biotechnology). A total of 200 μl 6 N HCl containing 0.1% phenol was placed in the bottom and the glass was purged with argon before vacuum was applied. The samples were incubated at 110°C for 18 h. The samples were subsequently re-dissolved in 50 μl of 0.2 M sodium citrate loading buffer at pH 2.2 (Biochrom, Cambridge, UK), transferred into microvials and loaded onto a BioChrom 30 amino acid analyzer (Biochrom). Data analysis was performed using in-house developed software. The extinction coefficients were calculated based on the determined protein concentrations and the UV absorbance at 280 nm.

Biolayer interferometry

Biolayer interferometry (BLI) was performed on a ForteBIO Octet (Menlo Park, CA) to determine the kinetics of RAGE binding to collagen I, collagen IV, laminin, and fibronectin (all from Sigma) per manufacturer’s instructions and published methods [37,38]. Purified mouse soluble RAGE was conjugated to amine-reactive sensor tips. The tips were then transferred into wells containing the matrix protein of interest in varying concentrations. Binding was measured as a deflection in the wavelength of light passing through the sensor. Following 1800 s of incubation, the RAGE-coated sensor tips were then transferred to PBS to allow dissociation. In order to confirm specificity of the binding, reciprocal binding studies were performed with extracellular matrix proteins coupled to the sensor, with sRAGE in solution. Binding curves were analyzed using the ForteBIO software, which performs a global fit according to the 1:1 Langmuir model in order to obtain the kinetic rate constants for each set of interaction conditions [37].

Radiolabeling of mouse serum albumin and mouse sRAGE

Chromatographically purified mouse serum albumin (Sigma) and mouse sRAGE were labeled with Na125I (Perkin Elmer) using the chloramine T method (Iodination Beads, Pierce) per manufacturer’s instructions. To remove residual iodine, both samples were run over Bio-Spin 6 (Bio-Rad) centrifugation columns and the eluate was collected. Protein concentration was determined using the absorbance at a wavelength of 280 nm, the calculated molar extinction coefficient of mouse sRAGE (see Results), and the commonly reported mass extinction coefficient of serum albumin of 0.52×10^-3 mL μg^-1 cm^-1. Gamma counting was performed on a Cobra II auto gamma counter (Packard, Perkin-Elmer) and activity calculated from disintegrations per minute and counting efficiency. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, Coomassie Blue staining, and autoradiography to assess purity.

Animal studies

All animal experiments were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee. Eight-week-old male C57BL/6 mice (Charles River) were administered radiolabeled mouse serum albumin or mouse sRAGE via intratracheal (i.t.), intraper-
itoneal (i.p.), or intravenous (i.v.) routes. Each animal received 1 mCi of radiolabeled protein in saline, which corresponded to 0.6–0.9 mg of mouse serum albumin or 0.7–1.4 mg of mouse sRAGE; for intraperitoneal/intravenous and intratracheal treatments, the treatment volumes were 200 µL and 70 µL, respectively. Mice were sacrificed at 1, 2, 4, and 12 hours after the treatment, with 4–5 mice used per treatment group (the variables being test vs. control, route of administration, and time of sacrifice post-treatment). Mice were euthanized with i.p. sodium pentobarbital, urine was collected, and blood drawn from the right ventricle of the heart. The pulmonary circulation was transcardially perfused with saline until the lungs blanched. Then, the systemic circulation was transcardially perfused with saline until the liver and kidneys blanched. In 3 mice of each group, the lungs

Figure 1. RAGE binds with high affinity to collagen I, collagen IV, and laminin, but not to fibronectin. Soluble RAGE affinity for collagen I, collagen IV, laminin, and fibronectin was assessed by biolayer interferometry. Soluble RAGE was conjugated to an amine-reactive sensor and dipped into solutions containing collagen I (A), collagen IV (B), laminin (E), or fibronectin (F) for 1800 s, at which point dissociation was initiated by washing in PBS. Binding was measured as a deflection in the wavelength of light passing through the sensor; affinities were calculated by fitting the curves with analysis software. Reciprocal binding was studied with collagen I (C) and collagen IV (D) conjugated to the sensor and sRAGE in solution. Laminin and fibronectin could not be conjugated to the sensor.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.g001
were inflation-fixed with 800 µL of 10% neutral buffered formalin (NBF) and the systemic circulation was perfused with 10% NBF, as well. Following organ harvest, the stomach, small intestine, and colon were thoroughly flushed with saline before weighing and gamma counting.

| Analyte   | Concentration (nM) | $k_{on}$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) | $k_{off}$ (s$^{-1}$) | $K_D$ (nM) |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Collagen I| 3.87               | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |
|           | 19.40              | $5.18 \times 10^4$          | $1.82 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3.51        |
|           | 38.70              | $3.50 \times 10^4$          | $5.66 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.62        |
|           | 58.10              | $1.43 \times 10^4$          | $2.63 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.84        |
| Collagen IV| 6.94              | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |
|           | 34.70              | $2.44 \times 10^4$          | $6.26 \times 10^{-5}$ | 2.57        |
|           | 69.40              | $2.15 \times 10^4$          | $7.72 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.59        |
|           | 104.00             | $1.67 \times 10^4$          | $8.10 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.81        |
| Laminin   | 11.10              | $7.54 \times 10^4$          | $1.91 \times 10^{-4}$ | 2.53        |
|           | 22.20              | $1.06 \times 10^5$          | $1.02 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.96        |
|           | 33.30              | $1.36 \times 10^5$          | $8.02 \times 10^{-5}$ | 0.59        |
|           | 44.40              | $1.71 \times 10^5$          | $1.08 \times 10^{-4}$ | 0.63        |
| Fibronectin| 6.25               | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |
|           | 12.50              | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |
|           | 18.75              | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |
|           | 25.00              | ND                          | ND                   | ND          |

*Not determined due to undetectable specific binding.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.t002

Tissue, fluid, and organ processing

The following tissues, fluids, and organs were assayed: blood, urine, stomach, small intestine, colon, bladder, kidneys, pancreas, spleen, liver, skeletal muscle, femur, thymus, heart, lungs, and brain. These were dispensed into previously tared gamma counting vials and weighed. Samples were kept on ice until ready for assay.

Figure 2. **sRAGE and MSA used in biodistribution and clearance studies are very pure.** (A) SDS-PAGE separation and Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of ~2.5 µg of MSA or mouse sRAGE preparations used for radiolabeling. (B) SDS-PAGE separation and gel autoradiography of $^{125}$I-labeled MSA and mouse sRAGE.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.g002
for counting. Following gamma counting, unfixed samples were transferred to cryotubes and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C; fixed organs, excepting bone, were placed in cassettes and agitated in a large volume of 10% NBF overnight; bones were decalcified in Cal-Rite (Richard Allen Scientific) overnight. Following fixation, samples were dehydrated through an ethanol series, pre-cleared in xylenes, and embedded in paraffin.

SDS-PAGE and autoradiography of organ homogenates

Frozen lungs, kidneys, livers, stomachs, and pancreata of mice that were treated i.t., i.p., or i.v. with radiolabeled mouse serum albumin or mouse sRAGE were homogenized in 1.5 mL ice-cold homogenization buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing protease inhibitors (100 μM DCl, 1 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, and 10 μM E-64). The homogenates were centrifuged at 20000 × g for 20 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were collected and 60 μL of each sample was denatured and reduced with SDS and DTT and boiled for 3 minutes, then shock cooled on ice. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by Coomassie Blue staining and autoradiography to assess radiolabeled protein degradation as a function of time.

Slide preparation and autoradiographic analysis

Paraffin blocks were sectioned at a thickness of 5 μm and tissue sections were mounted on glass slides and air-dried. Deparaffinization was accomplished with heat and xylenes, and the tissue was rehydrated through an ethanol series. Autoradiography emulsion NBT (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY) was heated to 42°C. Slides were dipped in molten emulsion, briefly air dried, and stored in light-insulated slide boxes with desiccant. Slides were stored at 4°C in the dark for 3–5 weeks. Following incubation, the slides were developed in D-19 developer and fixed (developer and fixer both from Eastman Kodak), then washed, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, dehydrated into xylenes, and coverslipped with Permount (Fisher). Slides were examined using an Olympus BH-2 microscope equipped with an Olympus DP12 camera.

Regression and statistical analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). Exponential decay fitting for radioactive tracer clearance was performed using this program, as were statistical analyses. Comparisons were conducted with Student’s t-test. Values are reported as mean ± standard error of the mean. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically

---

**Figure 3. Organ biodistribution of intravenously-administered sRAGE or MSA in mice.** Organ biodistribution of mouse sRAGE or MSA (A) 1 hour, (B) 2 hours, (C) 4 hours, and (D) 12 hours after intravenous injection of −1 μCi of tracer, corresponding to −0.6–1.4 μg of radiolabeled protein. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.g003
significant and is indicated by an asterisk on graphical representations of the data.

Results

Determination of sRAGE molar extinction coefficient

Because sRAGE is widely used as a therapeutic in animal models of disease, and in view of numerous studies that have aimed to quantitatively characterize sRAGE interactions with ligands, the molar extinction coefficients of mouse and human sRAGE (in both cases with the 21–22 residue signal peptide cleaved off) at 280 nm light wavelength and 22°C, were determined. Due to interspecies variation in glycosylation and intrinsic characteristics unique to the protein, these coefficients are expected to permit more accurate quantitation than was possible based on colorimetric absorbance-shift methods. Table 1 summarizes the results, which demonstrate a marked discrepancy from the theoretically predicted molar extinction coefficients. This is most likely related to the contribution of carbohydrate moieties to the measured absorbances of mouse and human sRAGE.

Determination of sRAGE affinities for extracellular matrix proteins

Studies by others have indicated that expression of mRAGE on cultured cells augments cell affinity to surfaces coated with ECM proteins [24]. This interaction was demonstrated to be specific, although whether it was direct or mediated by adaptor or co-expressed proteins was never clarified. In vitro binding studies with blends of purified sRAGE and ECM proteins demonstrate that sRAGE binds with very high affinity to collagen I, collagen IV, and laminin. Mean dissociation constant (KD) values of 2.32 nM for collagen I, 3.67 nM for collagen IV, and 1.18 nM for laminin, were obtained for studies with sRAGE in the solid phase (Fig. 1A–C). Collagen I and IV affinities determined with sRAGE in the immobile phase were confirmed by reciprocal binding studies with sRAGE in the mobile phase, thus demonstrating specificity of the measured interaction. Laminin and fibronectin, however, could not be conjugated to the surface of the sensor. Importantly, no specific binding of sRAGE to fibronectin could be demonstrated (Fig. 1D). Table 2 summarizes the results.

Figure 4. Organ biodistribution of intraperitoneally-administered sRAGE or MSA in mice. Organ biodistribution of mouse sRAGE or MSA (A) 1 hour, (B) 2 hours, (C) 4 hours, and (D) 12 hours after intraperitoneal injection of ~1 μCi of tracer, corresponding to ~0.6–1.4 μg of radiolabeled protein. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.g004

Table 1. Molar extinction coefficients of mouse and human sRAGE

| Protein | λ (nm) | ε (μM⁻¹ cm⁻¹) |
|---------|--------|---------------|
| Mouse sRAGE | 280 | 15000 |
| Human sRAGE | 280 | 13000 |
Determination of sRAGE organ biodistribution

To determine the most efficacious means of delivering sRAGE to the lung, clearance studies were performed with radiolabeled sRAGE or radiolabeled control MSA given via three common routes of administration. To confirm that the proteins being administered were adequately pure, SDS-PAGE and Coomassie Brilliant Blue gel staining were performed and indeed failed to detect the presence of proteins other than MSA (69 kDa) and sRAGE (42 kDa), which migrated as expected (Fig. 2A). Following radiolabeling, SDS-PAGE and gel autoradiography were performed to confirm 125I incorporation into sRAGE or MSA and removal of free iodine (Fig. 2B). Slight radiolabeled impurities are evident in both preparations but are unlikely to account for more than several percent of the total protein load. Small quantities of radiotracer were used so as to minimize any potential effect of these bioactive proteins on physiology. Intravenous (Fig. 3) and i.p. (Fig. 4) injections failed to deliver an appreciable quantity of sRAGE or MSA to the lung within the 12-hour window surveyed, by which time extensive renal clearance had already occurred. In contrast, i.t. instillation (Fig. 5) was very efficacious at delivering sRAGE and MSA to the lung, and was in fact the only feasible means of doing so.

Characterization of sRAGE clearance from the lung and other organs

To investigate the kinetics of exogenous protein clearance the biodistribution data for intravenous, intraperitoneal, and intratracheal administration of 125I-labeled sRAGE and 125I-labeled MSA, for the case of i.t. instillation was plotted with respect to time, and mono-exponential decay fitting was performed. For i.v. administrations, data from the 1 hr time point onwards was used for fitting; for i.p. and i.t. administrations, where there is a lag time before the majority of the protein has translocated out of the peritoneal and pulmonary compartments, data from the 2 hr time point onwards was used for fitting. Bi-exponential and multi-exponential fittings were not taken into consideration because there is insufficient mechanistic understanding at the microscopic level of the processes by which MSA or sRAGE are cleared from lung and other organs. The half-lives of sRAGE organ elimination, determined from the above described nonlinear regression analyses, are summarized in Table 3. Both MSA and sRAGE (Fig. 6A, blue and red curves, respectively) were cleared rapidly from the lung, with half-lives of 2.29 hours for MSA and 2.98 hours for sRAGE. Catabolism is one of many possible mechanisms of protein clearance. To test whether degradative processes are involved in or compete with sRAGE clearance from
lung, lung homogenates from animals that had received MSA or sRAGE by i.t. instillation were prepared, and proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Gel autoradiography was subsequently performed to detect the radiolabeled species present. Comparison with the gel autoradiograph of the \( {^{125}}I \)-labeled purified proteins (Fig. 2B) indicates that neither MSA nor sRAGE undergo appreciable proteolysis as they are eliminated from normal healthy lung (Fig. 6B).

### Determination of exogenous sRAGE cellular localization in lung

To determine the pulmonary sites at which sRAGE egress occurs, autoradiography was performed on sections of lung from mice given radiolabeled sRAGE by i.t. instillation. Soluble RAGE and MSA rapidly reach the alveolar compartment and thence the circulation (Fig. 7). There is no apparent predilection of these proteins for the bronchial epithelium, type II alveolar epithelial cells, or alveolar macrophages.

### Discussion

Soluble RAGE has been widely utilized as a therapeutic agent in animal models of inflammatory disease. The mechanism of its anti-inflammatory action \( \text{in vitro} \) and \( \text{in vivo} \) is thought to be that of ligand sequestration away from cell surface receptors (including but not limited to membrane RAGE) that activate downstream inflammatory signaling cascades. The potential for sRAGE retention in ECM \( \text{in vivo} \), as well as the existence of receptors of which sRAGE is a ligand, has not been adequately studied. 

Biolayer interferometry experiments performed on binary mixtures of sRAGE and individual ECM components demonstrate high-affinity reversible binding of sRAGE to collagen I and IV as well as to laminin, with no observable specific interaction between sRAGE and fibronectin (Fig. 1). The kinetics of this interaction are relatively slow, which may be a consequence of the random orientation of solid-phase conjugated sRAGE reducing the avidity to ECM proteins, and the formation of sRAGE oligomers in solution [39] competing with binding to solid-phase ECM proteins. This represents an advance from previous studies.

### Table 3. Organ elimination half-life of exogenous mouse sRAGE administered by three different routes.

| Organ        | \( t_{1/2} \) (hrs, i.v.) | \( t_{1/2} \) (hrs, i.p.) | \( t_{1/2} \) (hrs, i.t.) |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Stomach      | 2.92                     | 5.04                     | 2.98                     |
| Small intestine | 1.28                   | 2.82                     | ND a                     |
| Colon        | 1.58                     | 3.46                     | ND                       |
| Bladder      | ND                       | ND                       | ND                       |
| Kidneys      | 1.75                     | ND                       | ND                       |
| Pancreas     | 1.87                     | 3.90                     | ND                       |
| Spleen       | 0.514                    | 5.82                     | ND                       |
| Liver        | 0.591                    | ND                       | 2.39                     |
| Skeletal muscle | ND                   | ND                       | 3.74                     |
| Bone         | 1.65                     | ND                       | ND                       |
| Thymus       | 2.05                     | 1.95                     | ND                       |
| Heart        | 2.13                     | 8.95                     | 1.27                     |
| Brain        | 1.78                     | ND                       | 10.7                     |
| Lungs        | 0.359                    | 1.74                     | 2.98                     |

*aNot determined due to ambiguity, interruption, or lack of convergence of fit.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.t003
conducted using RAGE-expressing cell lines and coated ECM [24], for it demonstrates that RAGE-ECM protein interactions can occur directly and without mediation of bridging molecules or intracellular scaffolds that would modulate RAGE conformation and cell surface density. Furthermore, these results suggest that exogenous sRAGE delivered as a therapeutic may interact with exposed basement membrane components and thus compete for ECM binding sites with adhesion proteins expressed on overlying cells, thus modulating cellular adhesion and function.

Probing for cognate receptors of a ligand of interest may be accomplished by assessing biodistribution and clearance characteristics of the ligand as compared to those of a suitable control. MSA was used as a treatment control to distinguish between specific preferential organ biodistribution due to sRAGE binding partners and nonspecific preferential organ biodistribution due to greater vascular density or permeability. The biodistribution profile of sRAGE and MSA following i.p. (Fig. 3) or i.v. (Fig. 4) administration would seem to suggest preferential retention of

Figure 7. Clearance of intratracheally-administered sRAGE from mouse lung occurs at the alveolar-capillary interface. Representative light micrographs/autoradiographs recorded at 20× magnification of sections of lung from mice given mouse sRAGE (or MSA, shown for comparison) i.t. and sacrificed at the indicated time points thereafter. Lung from untreated mice serves as a control for background radiation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088259.g007
sRAGE as compared to MSA in a number of organs, including kidneys, liver, spleen, stomach, small intestine, colon, pancreas, skeletal muscle, bone, and brain. However, when these two administration routes were compared to each other and to i.t. instillation (Fig. 5), no consistent pattern of organ biodistribution emerged, with the notable exception of sRAGE localization to the kidneys. Indeed, the observation of transient preferential sRAGE biodistribution to multiple organs (but with no consistency in this regard between the various administration routes tested) is unlikely to reflect sRAGE-binding sites in these organs, but rather to the more rapid kinetics of transport of sRAGE across intervening barriers. Soluble RAGE is approximately half the molecular weight of MSA, with a reported Stokes radius of 2.91 nm for human sRAGE [40] as compared to a Stokes radius of 3.55 nm for bovine serum albumin [41]. This has important implications for paracellular transport, for transport across the medium-sized (∼4–5.5 nm in diameter) and largest (∼25 nm in diameter) pores of the peritoneal membrane [42], as well as for transport across vascular basement membranes, the theoretical pore sizes of which may vary depending upon the tissue in question. Thus, with sRAGE traversing the diffusion barriers to the peripheral tissues, it is more rapidly than does MSA, a transient apparent discrepancy in distribution between the two radiolabeled proteins arises. However, the preferential biodistribution of sRAGE to the kidneys is consistent and likely related to the size and charge properties of sRAGE and MSA. Soluble RAGE binds with high affinity to heparin and heparan sulfate, the latter of which is abundant in renal basement membrane. Moreover, sRAGE is sufficiently small to traverse the glomerular barrier during filtration (the effective glomerular pore size being ∼8 nm) and thus be excreted intact. In contrast, the negative charge and larger size of albumin restrict it from being filtered intact, and therefore this protein must be eliminated via other pathways.

Of three common routes of administration tested, sRAGE can be delivered to the pulmonary compartment solely via intra-pleural instillation (Fig. 5). Other investigators have suggested that intraperitoneally-administered sRAGE has therapeutic benefit in mouse models of lung disease [43]. It is possible that in these cases, pulmonary injury facilitates sRAGE translocation from the circulation into the lung either through a regulated mechanism or damage to the pulmonary epithelium and vasculature. Finally, it is also possible that the low doses (hundreds of nanograms) of radiolabeled proteins given here fail to recruit low-affinity transport receptors in the pulmonary vasculature that gain relevance when much higher doses (dozens of micrograms) of transport receptors in the pulmonary vasculature that gain relevance when much higher doses (dozens of micrograms) of sRAGE are given i.p. or i.v. These studies are of vital importance for investigators seeking to better understand RAGE’s contribution to homeostasis and pathogenesis in diseases of the lung, the organ in which RAGE is expressed most significantly.

Exogenous sRAGE is rapidly cleared from the lung with clearance kinetics similar to that of MSA (Fig. 6A). Moreover, sRAGE remains intact in the lung (Fig. 6B) and effectively distributes to the alveoli, from whence it translates into the circulation (Fig. 7). These results are surprising, as the lung is rich in many RAGE ligands, including heparin [34], heparan sulfate [44], collagen I and IV [45], and indeed RAGE itself [40] (membrane and soluble RAGE displays homo-oligomerization at low concentrations), all of which are also present in the alveolar basement membrane and pulmonary interstitium. This indicates that, despite the abundance of RAGE binding partners in the lung, in the healthy state these do not act as effective sites of sRAGE sequestration. It is important to note, however, that a number of previous studies have found an inverse relationship between molecular size and lung clearance rate of a variety of molecules [46,47]. Therefore, as a significantly smaller molecule than albumin, sRAGE would be expected to demonstrate significantly faster clearance from lung. That sRAGE clearance is less rapid than MSA clearance may suggest retardation of sRAGE transport by transient interactions between sRAGE and its ligands. In this context it is also worth noting that an alveolar epithelial receptor, gp60, has been identified as a mediator of albumin uptake and transcytosis [48]. It is likely that such a designated system for return of protein into the circulation does not exist for sRAGE, which would thus have to rely on less rapid mechanisms of egress such as paracellular transport and fluid-phase endocytosis [49]. Regardless of the microscopic details of protein clearance from the lung, it is evident from these biodistribution and clearance studies that, should sRAGE be used as a therapeutic in pulmonary disease, it must be functionalized to greatly increase half-life or it must be administered frequently via the airways. These studies utilized an i.t. instillation approach of sRAGE administration, but they also suggest that intranasal and nebulized aerosol administration would be effective in delivering sRAGE to the pulmonary compartment.
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