Impact of mass drug administration of azithromycin for trachoma elimination on prevalence and azithromycin resistance of genital *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection
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**ABSTRACT**

**Background** Mass drug administration (MDA) of 20 mg/kg (maximum 1 g in adults) azithromycin for ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) infection is a key component of the WHO trachoma elimination strategy. However, this dose may be suboptimal in *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection and may encourage emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) to azithromycin.

**Objectives** To determine the effect of MDA for trachoma elimination on *M. genitalium* prevalence, strain type and azithromycin resistance.

**Methods** A secondary analysis of CT-negative vulvovaginal swabs from three outpatient antenatal clinics (Honiara, Solomon Islands) from patients recruited either pre-MDA, or 10 months post-MDA in two cross-sectional surveys was carried out. Swabs were tested for *M. genitalium* infection using Fast Track Diagnostics Urethritis Plus nucleic acid amplification assay. *M. genitalium*-positive samples were subsequently tested for azithromycin resistance by sequencing domain V of the 23S rRNA gene. Resistance selecting pressures were observed following MDA.

**Results** *M. genitalium* prevalence was 11.9% (28/236) in women pre-MDA and 10.9% (28/256) 10 months post-MDA (p=0.7467). Self-reported receipt of azithromycin as part of MDA was 49.2% in women recruited post-MDA and 17.9% (5/28) in those who tested *M. genitalium* positive. Of samples sequenced (21/28 pre-MDA, 22/28 post-MDA), all showed a macrolide susceptible genotype. Strain typing showed that sequence types diverged into two lineages, with a suggestion of strain replacement post-MDA.

**Conclusion** A single round of azithromycin MDA in an island population with high baseline *M. genitalium* prevalence did not appear to impact on either prevalence or azithromycin resistance, in contrast to reported decreased genital CT prevalence in the same population. This may be due to limitations such as sample size, including CT-negative samples only, and low MDA coverage. Further investigation of the impact of multiple rounds of MDA on *M. genitalium* azithromycin AMR in antibiotic experienced and naïve populations is warranted.

**BACKGROUND**

*Mycoplasma genitalium* (MG) is an STI of increasing global importance, causing serious maternal and child health sequelae.1,2 Management is threatened by antimicrobial resistance (AMR) to the first-line treatment azithromycin,3 and treatment options are limited.4 Trachoma is the leading infectious cause of blindness worldwide,5 caused by ocular *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT) infection. A key component of the WHO trachoma elimination strategy is mass drug administration (MDA) with 20 mg/kg azithromycin, up to a maximum of 1 g in adults. MDA is recommended annually for a minimum of 3 years in districts where trachoma is endemic (trachomatosus inflammation-follicular prevalence ≥10% in 1–9 year-olds).6 Temporary increases in carriage of macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* have been observed following MDA.7 Thus, while MDA with azithromycin may have significant benefits through reducing prevalence of active trachoma, ocular CT infection,7 genital CT infection8 and even child mortality,9 these may be undermined by subsequent emergence of AMR in target and non-target organisms.

Azithromycin resistance rates vary worldwide, with reports of 79.4% in Australia9,10 and over 70% in Japan.11 Limited data exist on resistance prevalence in Pacific Basin nations. An estimated 12% of infected patients treated with 1 g azithromycin develop macrolide resistance after treatment,12 with resistance mediated by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) most frequently observed at adenine nucleotides at positions 2058 and 2059 within the 23S rRNA gene.13 Resistance selecting pressures, such as mass treatment programmes, may worsen this situation. This raises the question of whether secondary beneficial impacts of azithromycin MDA (reduced morbidity and mortality) should be weighed against potential negative impacts (AMR). Given the large populations treated with azithromycin by trachoma elimination programmes (over 800 million doses provided to programmes by the International Trachoma Initiative as of December...
2018), we aimed to ascertain the effect of a trachoma programme MDA distribution on MG prevalence and azithromycin resistance.

**METHODS**

**Study population**

Patient samples and data were collected as described previously. Briefly, women aged 16–49 years attending three community antenatal clinics (ANC) in Honiara, Solomon Islands, were recruited over 10 days in August 2014. Participants were invited to take part pre-MDA. A new group of women aged 16–49 years was enrolled in the same clinics 10 months post-MDA, over 5 days in July 2015. Demographic and clinical data were collected by clinic nursing staff. At both time points, two self-taken vulvovaginal swabs were collected and stored at −20°C in the recruiting clinic; one was tested for *CT* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* using the ProbeTec CT/GC assay (Becton Dickinson, USA) at the Solomon Islands national reference laboratory, while the other was shipped on dry ice to the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (UK) and stored at −20°C. Swabs matched to CT-negative samples from reference testing of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (UK) and stored at −20°C while the other was shipped on dry ice to the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (UK) at the Solomon Islands national reference laboratory, and were transported dry on dry ice to St George’s, University of London, UK.

**Pathogen detection**

DNA from swabs was eluted in 1 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) followed by vortexing for 15 s and brief centrifugation. The entire eluate was removed in preparation for testing. During preparation, one media control sample of PBS was included for every 32 samples. DNA extraction was carried out by the QIAxymphony SP/AS instrument (Qiagen, Germany) with the Virus/Pathogen Mini Kit using the Complex 200 protocol, with a 60 µL elution volume. MG was tested for using FTD Urethritis Plus (FTDUP) PCR Kit (Fast-Track Diagnostics, Luxembourg) on the Rotor-Gene Q (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. FTDUP positivity was defined as an exponential amplification signal crossing a threshold of 0.05 normalised fluorescence, as per standard practice at South West London Pathology, St George’s University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. For a result to be considered valid, (1) the internal control had to be positive and have a cycle threshold (Cq) value ≤33, or if above 33, within ±3.3 Cq of the extraction control’s Cq; and (2) positive, media and no-template controls had to pass. The person carrying out the testing was blind to all patient data, including reference CT/NG test results.

**MG 23S rRNA genotyping**

Samples identified as MG positive by FTDUP underwent Sanger sequencing of the domain V region of the 23S rRNA gene to identify SNPs at positions 2058 and 2059 (*E. coli* numbering) associated with high-level macrolide resistance. Two microtitre plates of extracted DNA was amplified using previously validated primers according to manufacturer’s instructions on a GS-1 thermal cycler (G-Storm, USA): 95°C 15 min, 45 cycles of 94°C 30 s, 60°C 3 min and 72°C 30 s, with a final extension of 72°C 10 min. Post-MDA samples were amplified as follows: 95°C 15 min, 45 cycles of 94°C 30 s, 56°C 90 s and 72°C 90 s, with a final step of 72°C 10 min. Post-MDA samples were amplified as follows: 94°C 15 min, 45 cycles of 94°C 30 s, 60°C 3 min and 72°C 90 s with a final step of 72°C 10 min. If the initial 2 µL of extracted DNA used as template failed to generate product, then PCR was repeated with 5 µL eluate. PCR products from pre-MDA samples were analysed by Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 Kit (Agilent, USA) and extracted DNA samples submitted to Source Bioscience (Cambridge, UK) for clean-up and sequencing. Post-MDA PCR products were analysed using 2% size select gel on the E-gel system (Thermo Fisher, USA). Desired bands were extracted and underwent clean-up with MinElute Reaction Cleanup Kit (Qiagen). DNA concentrations were assessed using HS DNA Kit on the Qubit 3.0 (Thermo Fisher) and adjusted to meet the Source Bioscience requirements. Sequencing analysis involved alignment to MG G37 strain to check for resistance-associated SNPs using Clustal Omega software.

**Strain typing**

As there was insufficient DNA for whole genome sequencing (WGS), we performed a validated dual locus sequence typing (DLS) method. Approximately 600 µL of residual swab eluate from MG-positive samples underwent DNA extraction using FastDNA SPIN Kit and the FastPrep 5G Instrument (MP Biomedicals, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions, with the following modification: 600 µL eluate buffer was added to 600 µL 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 60 mM EDTA and 100 mM Tris buffer (pH8). DLS was performed using MG191 (*mgpB*) SNP typing combined with analysis of the MG309 variable number tandem repeat, as described previously. Previous PCR was performed using Multiplex PCR Kit according to manufacturer’s instructions. Five microtitre plates of extracted DNA was used in each PCR reaction. If no amplicons were produced, DNA volume was increased to 15 µL. PCR was carried out on a T-100 thermocycler (Bio-Rad, USA): 95°C 15 min, 45 cycles of 94°C 30 s, 58°C 90 s, and 72°C 90 s, and a final extension of 72°C 10 min. PCR products underwent processing as described above for MG 23S rRNA genotyping of post-MDA samples. Where sequences were available for both loci for a sample, sequences were concatenated and underwent alignment by Clustal Omega. Phylogenetic trees were produced using RaxML and figures with FigTree V1.4.3.

**Sample size and statistical analysis**

Sample size was constrained by the genital CT study design, which aimed to recruit a total of 375 women on the assumption of a change in prevalence from 20% to less than 10%. We assumed similar rates of infection and impact for MG. We did not anticipate identifying any azithromycin resistance pre-MDA due to azithromycin only being recommended for MDA for trachoma elimination in the Solomon Islands. Based on an estimated MG prevalence of 10% post-MDA and 12% azithromycin resistance development between pre-MDA and post-MDA, we expected to identify an additional two cases of azithromycin resistance post-MDA compared with pre-MDA. Logistic regression was used to calculate the ORs for factors associated with MG infection. Variables tested included patient demographics, symptoms, patient-reported previous treatment of other STIs and patient-reported receipt of MDA. Patients were not required to have a full data set to be included in the analysis and data were only excluded where they were missing for calculation of ORs (table 1). Analysis was carried out using Stata V10.1 (StataCorp, USA). All sequences in this study have been submitted to EMBL-ENA (accession: PRJEB26624).

**RESULTS**

**Population characteristics**

Figure 1 represents the pre-MDA and post-MDA sample flow. Table 1 displays the study population characteristics. Among
### Table 1 Risk factors for *Mycoplasma genitalium* infection, including demographic data

Logistic regression risk factor analysis for being MG positive pre-MDA and post-MDA

| Characteristic                  | Pre-MDA                                      | Post-MDA                                    |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                | Participants, n With MG*, n (%) OR 95% CI P value | Participants, n With MG*, n (%) OR 95% CI P value |
| Age group (years)              |                                              |                                            |
| 15–24                          | 68 (9 (13.2))                                | 281 (11 (11.5)) 1.00                       |
| 25–34                          | 104 (9 (9.6))                                | 214 (9 (8.2)) 0.35 to 1.92 0.650            |
| 35–44                          | 39 (6 (15.4))                                | 28 (4 (14.3)) 1.28 0.38 to 4.28 0.686       |
| 45-64†                         | 11 (0 (0))                                   | 0 (0 (0))                                   |
| Data unavailable               | 14                                            | 1                                           |
| Clinic                         |                                              |                                            |
| K                              | 94 (11 (11.7))                                | 77 (10 (13.0)) 1.00                        |
| M                              | 64 (7 (10.9))                                | 86 (12 (14.0)) 1.09 0.44 to 2.68 0.857       |
| R                              | 78 (9 (12.0))                                | 92 (6 (6.5)) 0.47 0.16 to 1.35 0.160         |
| Data unavailable               | 0                                             | 1                                           |
| Ethnicity                      |                                              |                                            |
| Melanesian                     | 212 (25 (11.8))                              | 228 (26 (11.4)) 1.00                       |
| Other                          | 14 (2 (14.3))                                | 24 (2 (8.3)) 0.71 0.16 to 3.18 0.650         |
| Data unavailable               | 10                                            | 4                                           |
| Urban/Rural                    |                                              |                                            |
| Urban                          | 214 (22 (10.3))                              | 197 (23 (11.7)) 1.00                        |
| Rural                          | 6 (1 (16.7))                                 | 46 (3 (6.5)) 0.53 0.15 to 1.84 0.316         |
| Data unavailable               | 16                                            | 13                                          |
| Education (years)              |                                              |                                            |
| 224 (25 (10.7))                | 255 (28 (11.0))                              | 0.94 0.86 to 1.03 0.196                     |
| Data unavailable               | 12                                            | 1                                           |
| Currently married              |                                              |                                            |
| No                             | 37 (7 (18.9))                                | 51 (6 (11.8)) 1.00                          |
| Yes                            | 192 (19 (9.9))                               | 198 (21 (10.6)) 0.89 0.34 to 2.33 0.813       |
| Data unavailable               | 7                                             | 7                                           |
| Living with partner            |                                              |                                            |
| No                             | 40 (8 (20.0))                                | 29 (4 (13.8)) 1.00                          |
| Yes                            | 184 (17 (9.2))                               | 217 (23 (10.6)) 0.74 0.24 to 2.32 0.606       |
| Data unavailable               | 12                                            | 10                                          |
| STI in last 12 months          |                                              |                                            |
| No                             | 224 (25 (11.2))                              | 229 (23 (10.0)) 1.00                        |
| Yes                            | 8 (2 (25.0))                                 | 22 (4 (18.2)) 1.99 0.62 to 6.39 0.247         |
| Data unavailable               | 4                                             | 5                                           |
| Symptoms                       |                                              |                                            |
| No                             | 188 (23 (12.2))                              | 222 (26 (11.7)) 1.00                        |
| Yes                            | 37 (3 (8.1))                                 | 22 (1 (4.5)) 0.36 0.05 to 2.78 0.327         |
| Data unavailable               | 11                                            | 12                                          |
| Gonorrhoea positive‡           |                                              |                                            |
| No                             | 228 (25 (11.0))                              | 240 (28 (11.7)) 1.00                        |
| Yes                            | 5 (2 (40.0))                                 | 15 (0 (0)) – – –                            |
| Data unavailable               | 3                                             | 1                                           |
| TV positive§                   |                                              |                                            |
| Negative                       | 146 (14 (9.6))                               | 135 (16 (11.9)) 1.00                        |
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Table 1  Continued

Logistic regression risk factor analysis for being MG positive pre-MDA and post-MDA

| Characteristic | Pre-MDA | | | Post-MDA | | |
|----------------|---------|---|---|---------|---|---|
|                | Participants, n | With MG*, n (%) | OR | 95% CI | P value | Participants, n | With MG*, n (%) | OR | 95% CI | P value |
| Positive       | 87 | 13 (14.9) | 1.66 | 0.74 to 3.71 | 0.220 | 120 | 12 (10.0) | 0.83 | 0.37 to 1.83 | 0.637 |
| Data unavailable | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Received MDA   | | | | | | | | | | |
| No             | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 125 | 22 (17.6) | 1.00 | | |
| Yes            | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 126 | 5 (4.0) | 0.19 | 0.07 to 0.53 | 0.001 |
| Data unavailable | 5 | | | | | | | | | |

Risk factor analysis for *M. genitalium* (MG) prevalence.
*MG positive defined by FTD Urethritis Plus Kit.
†Age group 45–64 years predicted failure perfectly.
‡Positive by BD ProbeTec and/or FTD Urethritis Plus Kit.
§Positive by FTD Urethritis Plus Kit.
MDA, mass drug administration; N/A, not applicable; TV, *Trichomonas vaginalis*.

Impact of MDA on MG prevalence and azithromycin resistance

No difference was found between pre-MDA and post-MDA MG prevalence; 11.9% (95% CI 8.3% to 16.6%; n=28/236) vs 10.9% (95% CI 7.9% to 15.4%; n=28/256) respectively. Azithromycin resistance genotypes were generated for 21/28 women pre-MDA and 22/28 women post-MDA (figure 1), no azithromycin resistance-conferring SNPs at the 2058 or 2059 positions of 23S rRNA were found at either time point.

Risk factors for MG infection

No risk factors were significantly associated with MG infection pre-MDA. 17.6% (22/125) of women who reported not receiving MDA were found to be MG positive compared with 4% (5/126) of women who reported receiving MDA (OR of being MG positive after MDA receipt: 0.19 [p=0.001]). Despite post-MDA women having fewer STI symptoms than pre-MDA women, and being more likely to have been treated for an STI in the previous 12 months, these factors were not associated with reduced likelihood of being MG positive post-MDA.

Strain typing

Sequencing was successful for both MG191 and MG309 loci for 25/28 pre-MDA and 16/28 post-MDA samples. Sequence types diverged into two main lineages: MG1 (n=34) and MG2 (n=7) (bootstrap value 100%; figure 2). The proportion of MG2 lineage samples changed from 1/25 (4%) pre-MDA to 6/16 (37.5%) post-MDA (p<0.01; Fisher’s exact test), suggesting a

Figure 1  Sample flow. BD, Becton Dickinson; CT, *Chlamydia trachomatis*; FTD, Fast Track Diagnostics; MDA, mass drug administration; MG, *Mycoplasma genitalium*.

Figure 2  Phylogenetic tree of *Mycoplasma genitalium*-positive samples using dual locus sequence typing. Red denotes samples collected pre-MDA and blue denotes samples collected post-MDA; bootstrap value between MG1 and MG2=100%. Scale: mean number of nucleotide substitutions per site. MDA; mass drug administration.
degree of strain replacement between the time points. Overall, only 5/27 (18.5%) of post-MDA MG-positive patients received azithromycin, including only 2/10 MG1 and 0/5 MG2 DLSTs of those sequenced. Azithromycin treatment status was not available for one woman with MG2 strain type post-MDA. No other bootstrap values between individual MG infections were sufficiently high to confidently separate strains to any higher resolution.

DISCUSSION

In this secondary analysis of ANC attendees in the Solomon Islands, we found no change in prevalence of MG infection nor appearance of 23S rRNA genotypic markers of azithromycin resistance in the interval from before to 10 months after a single round of azithromycin MDA for trachoma elimination. We also demonstrated azithromycin receipt during MDA was associated with reduced likelihood of post-MDA MG positivity. A change in composition of MG strain types in those sampled was observed between pre-MDA and post-MDA patients.

Factors that may affect impact of MDA on STI transmission include antibiotic efficacy and treatment failure, MDA coverage especially in high STI-risk and STI-burdened populations and infection persistence. Contributions of these factors to reducing STI prevalence through direct treatment, or longer term by decreasing onward transmission, need to be considered in relation to the time period of MDA impact assessment.

Importantly, azithromycin receipt as part of the MDA was reported in only 49.2% of women post-MDA. Programme MDA coverage estimates are often unreliable as coverage is mostly calculated by doses given divided by estimated resident population. We also demonstrated azithromycin receipt during MDA was associated with reduced likelihood of post-MDA MG positivity. A change in composition of MG strain types in those sampled was observed between pre-MDA and post-MDA patients.

Factors that may affect impact of MDA on STI transmission include antibiotic efficacy and treatment failure, MDA coverage especially in high STI-risk and STI-burdened populations and infection persistence. Contributions of these factors to reducing STI prevalence through direct treatment, or longer term by decreasing onward transmission, need to be considered in relation to the time period of MDA impact assessment.

Importantly, azithromycin receipt as part of the MDA was reported in only 49.2% of women post-MDA. Programme MDA coverage estimates are often unreliable as coverage is mostly calculated by doses given divided by estimated resident population, determined at the most recent census; the denominator calculated by doses given divided by estimated resident population. We also demonstrated azithromycin receipt during MDA was associated with reduced likelihood of post-MDA MG positivity. A change in composition of MG strain types in those sampled was observed between pre-MDA and post-MDA patients.

Furthermore, MDA coverage data are generally not available by age and sex. It was therefore not possible to compare MDA receipt between the study and wider populations. Neither data regarding sexual history between MDA receipt and study recruitment nor MDA receipt among sexual partners were collected. These data could have provided insight into potential reinfection risk and an explanation for why MG prevalence was unchanged between pre-MDA and post-MDA populations. The study was performed as two independent cross-sectional surveys within three ANCs, patients of which are perhaps at a different STI risk level than the general population. Importantly, sample size was determined by the genital CT study design, and therefore may not have been sufficient for detecting smaller overall prevalence changes or azithromycin resistance emergence. If the five MG-positive women who had reported MDA receipt post-MDA were positive because of persistence of infection following failure of azithromycin to cure infection, one might expect some of these to have developed azithromycin resistance. As no resistance-associated mutations were detected this would suggest that these patients were most likely infected post-MDA, consistent with high rates of transmission in the population and may also explain the high prevalence of infection. It was not possible to sequence a proportion of the MG-positive samples (7/28 [25%] pre-MDA; 6/28 [21.4%] post-MDA) for 23S mutations, and it is possible that these samples contained macrolide-resistant strains. It cannot be discounted that those we were unable to sequence were not true positives. Unfortunately, there are no published sensitivity and specificity data on FT DUP performance for detection of MG sensitivity and specificity. In another body of work we found FT DUP sensitivity to be 100% and specificity to be 95.8%, albeit in a limited sample size of 122 (unpublished data). Therefore, we do not consider test performance to potentially be a major limiting factor in correctly identifying MG-positive and negative samples. Finally, it was not possible to test CT positives for MG, due to their allocation to another study, which may have led to an underestimate of MG positivity both pre-MDA and post-MDA given that coinfection with CT and MG is common. Additionally, as a significant reduction in CT prevalence was found in the primary analysis, exclusion of CT positives (n=103, pre-MDA n=60, post-MDA n=43) may be a source of bias as a greater proportion of CT positives was excluded from the pre-MDA samples versus the post-MDA samples.

Despite these limitations, our risk factor analysis provides strong evidence that those receiving azithromycin MDA had reduced odds of being MG positive, implying that increasing MDA coverage may be key in achieving greater MG prevalence impact, at least in the short term. Significant scope for increasing MDA uptake in this population exists as only 49.2% of women recruited post-MDA reported azithromycin receipt. Post-MDA enrolment occurred approximately 10 months after baseline, making it unlikely that women enrolled at this time point would have been pregnant during MDA, an explanation that might otherwise account for low azithromycin uptake. However, we cannot discount the possibility that some women avoided MDA through fear of taking treatment while trying to conceive. It is unclear whether 10 months post-MDA is the most appropriate time point to measure MDA impact on prevalence, as any initial MG prevalence reduction may have waned by 10 months. In remote island populations, this might be expected to occur if there were relatively high rates of sexual transmission of endogenous, rather than imported, MG infection. More data on transmission dynamics and STI epidemiology within the Solomon Islands would help to establish the most appropriate time points for measuring MDA impact on STI prevalence.

These data may also help identify factors associated with MG infection. Our risk factor analysis did not identify any factors other than self-reported MDA receipt, despite high prevalences pre-MDA (11.9%) and post-MDA (10.9%). This may be due to the relatively small sample size, or the variables collected not including factors that are important MG infection correlates in this population. Risk factor analyses are useful in helping target prevention and control strategies and interventions, but there are limited data on MG prevalence in pregnant women. The high prevalence of CT and N. gonorrhoeae previously reported and MG in our study, both pre-MDA and post-MDA, indicates that this population would benefit from effective STI prevention strategies. Further epidemiological investigations in different population groups are warranted to help develop, monitor and evaluate these strategies, including assessing associations between MG infection and adverse pregnancy outcomes.

It is possible that single-dose azithromycin used during MDA was not as effective against MG as against CT, explaining the lack of overall impact on MG prevalence. MG cure rates with 1 g azithromycin are known to be as low as 81%, independent of pre-existing macrolide resistance, much lower than CT cure rates. However, as strong evidence existed of reduced likelihood of being MG positive in those who reported MDA receipt, we do not believe this to be a major explanation for our results.

We were unable to perform WGS for strain typing because of insufficient DNA. However, WGS for MG has not yet been validated for phylogenetic analysis, with concerns raised about degrees of genome recombination. Using DLST, we identified two major strain lineages, as well as detected an increase in MG2 proportion post-MDA. This shift might have occurred due to
differences in characteristics of infection such as bacterial load, leading to variable antibiotic susceptibility, which may introduce sequencing bias. No post-MDA patients with MG2 and only 2/10 with MG1 reported receiving azithromycin, suggesting low likelihood of strain replacement occurring directly because of azithromycin receipt. However, it is possible that MDA effects on strain representation in the general population may have been transmitted to the ANC population. Whether this is measurable 10 months after MDA is questionable and other factors, such as natural bacterial evolution, changes in sexual networks over time, or stochastic changes in a relatively small effective population size, may account for these findings.

Absence of azithromycin resistance in MG in the Solomon Islands contrasts with high resistance prevalence in other parts of the Western Pacific Region, namely Australia and Japan. The Solomon Islands has low azithromycin usage, with MDA for trachoma elimination being the only recommended use within the country at the time of MDA. In contrast, in other, especially high-income, countries, azithromycin is indicated for a number of conditions, including respiratory infections. MG has only one 23S rRNA locus making it particularly susceptible to selection of treated strains, which occurs in approximately 12% of treated patients. Cure rates are observed to decline where 1 g is part of the national standard for STI treatment. We may therefore have expected to observe an increased prevalence of azithromycin resistance markers being selected given MDA coverage. However, relatively low coverage in the ANC population combined with relatively low sample size may explain why we did not detect emergent AMR. It is possible that repeat MDA rounds may select for resistance through increased selection pressure, undermining the possible benefits of actual receipt of azithromycin during MDA that we observed in this round. More studies focusing on general populations and over multiple MDA rounds would more fully evaluate the risk of STI AMR development following MDA.

In this first study, assessing the impact of a single round of azithromycin MDA for trachoma elimination on MG prevalence and AMR among ANC attendees in an island population, we did not detect reduction in MG prevalence nor the appearance of azithromycin resistance following a single round of MDA with 1 g azithromycin for trachoma elimination. However, receipt of azithromycin was associated with reduced odds of being MG positive post-MDA. A number of factors may account for these findings, including low MDA coverage, high reinfection risk from untreated partners, insufficient sample size, time gap between pre-MDA and post-MDA sample collection and perhaps a lack of 1 g azithromycin efficacy for treatment of MG. These findings cannot otherwise be confidently explained by an observed change in strain representation between pre-MDA and post-MDA sample sets. Benefits of MDA for trachoma elimination, and in national programmes for other neglected tropical diseases, must continue to be weighed against potential negative consequences, such as AMR emergence. Further investigation of the impact of multiple rounds of azithromycin MDA on MG prevalence and AMR in different populations and settings is warranted.
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