Grimmite, NiCo$_2$S$_4$, a new thiospinel from Příbram, Czech Republic
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Abstract. The new mineral grimmite, NiCo$_2$S$_4$, was found in siderite–sphalerite gangue at the dump of shaft no. 9, one of the mines in the abandoned Příbram uranium and base-metal district, central Bohemia, Czech Republic. The new mineral occurs as rare idiomorphic to hypidiomorphic grains up to 200 µm × 70 µm in size or veinlet aggregates. In reflected light, grimmite is creamy grey with a pinkish tint. Pleochroism, polarising colours and internal reflections were not observed. Reflectance values of grimmite in the air ($R\%$) are 42.5 at 470 nm, 45.9 at 546 nm, 47.7 at 589 nm and 50.2 at 650 nm. The empirical formula for grimmite, based on electron-microprobe analyses ($n = 13$), is Ni$_{1.01}$(Co$_{1.99}$Fe$_{0.06}$Pb$_{0.01}$Bi$_{0.01}$)$_{6.07}$S$_{3.92}$. The ideal formula is NiCo$_2$S$_4$; requires Ni 19.26, Co 38.67, and S 42.07; and totals 100.00 wt %. According to the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data ($R_{obs} = 0.0489$), grimmite is cubic, $Fd\bar{3}m$, $a = 9.3933(9)$ Å, $V = 828.81(14)$ Å$^3$ and $Z = 8$. The calculated density is 4.96 g cm$^{-3}$. The strongest reflections of the calculated powder X-ray diffraction pattern [\(d, \AA (hkl)\)] are 3.3210 (75) (220), 2.7116 (7) (222), 2.3483 (81) (400), 1.9174 (27) (422), 1.6605 (100) (440), 1.4852 (11) (620) and 1.3558 (15) (444). Grimmite is named after Johann Grimm (24 June or 24 July 1805 to 26 June 1874), the former director of the Příbram Mining College. The association of sulfides and sulfarsenides was found with grimmite. Essentially non-zoned coarse-grained siderite encloses idiomorphic crystals and/or aggregates of red sphalerite I and zoned skutterudite-group minerals. Skutterudites (skutterudite, niklskutterudite and ferroskutterudite) are usually strongly corroded and replaced by younger phases. Relics of skutterudite are rimmed by nickeline and later on by gersdorffite with rare domains of glaucodot and arsenopyrite, whereas completely leached parts of skutterudite crystals are filled up by quartz containing small isolated grains and aggregates of pyrite, sphalerite II, grimmite, galena, ullmannite, bismuthinite, parkerite and jaipurite, the latter being rarely enclosed in grimmite.

1 Introduction

The nomenclature of thiospinels between ideal polydymite, NiNi$_2$S$_4$, and linnaeite, CoCo$_2$S$_4$, has been ambiguous for a long time. Although original siegenite from its type occurrence in the Siegen District, Westphalia, Germany (Dana, 1850), corresponds to CoNi$_2$S$_4$ and usually siegenite analyses lie on the Ni-rich side of the Ni : Co = 1 : 1 point, along the Co–Ni join (Biagioni and Pasero, 2014), some authors used the name “siegenite” (Petruk et al., 1969; Imai et al., 1973) or “linnaeite” (Lee et al., 2002) for all compositions between polydymite and linnaeite. Others used the unapproved name “nickellinnaeite” for composition close to the NiCo$_2$S$_4$ (Minčeva-Stefanova and Kostov, 1976; Wagner and Cook, 1999). In the approved nomenclature of the spinel supergroup (Bosi et al., 2019), siegenite is unambiguously defined as a member with the formula CoNi$_2$S$_4$. Complementarily, the phase with the formula NiCo$_2$S$_4$ (present grimmite) is mentioned as a possible new mineral by Hazen et al. (2017).
Synthetically prepared thiospinel NiCo₂S₄ was studied by Bouchard et al. (1965), who described its unit-cell parameter \((a = 9.384(2) \, \text{Å})\) and electrical properties, and by Knop et al. (1968), who described its crystal structure \((a = 9.3872(7) \, \text{Å})\) and magnetic properties. More recently, synthetic NiCo₂S₄ was intensively investigated for various applications as anodes for lithium-ion batteries, as electroactive materials for micro-SCs and as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for oxygen reduction or evolution reactions (Gao and Huang, 2017; Xia et al., 2015; Shen et al., 2015, and many others).

The new mineral and its name were approved by the Commission on New Minerals, Nomenclature and Classification of the International Mineralogical Association (IMA 2020-060). Grimmite is named after Johann Grimm (24 June or 24 July 1805–26 June 1874) born in Jáchymov, in the present Czech Republic. He studied at the ŠtiaVNice Mining and Forest Academy in 1825–1830. Later he worked in a mining company in Příbram and he moved to Hungary in 1830, where he later became the main land mining measurer. In 1840 Grimm came back to Příbram to the position of the leading mining and mill administrator. He modernised the mining operations, installed the first steam engines and arranged the long-term stability of the company. In 1850, Grimm became, after Franz Xaver Zippe, the second director of the Příbram Mining College, where he worked until the end of his life. He wrote many books about mining technologies, geology, mining education, and Czech and Hungarian ore districts (Makariová, 2017). The cotYPE material (two polished sections) is deposited in the mineralogical collection of the Department of Mineralogy and Petrology of the National Museum, Prague, Czech Republic (catalogue number P1P 49/2020), and in the mineralogical collection of the Mining Museum Příbram, Příbram, Czech Republic (catalogue number 3/2020).

2 Occurrence

One hand-sized specimen of grimmite has been found at the mine dump of the shaft no. 9 – Jerusalem deposit near Příbram. In the period 1951–1991, this mine was one of the shafts open in the shallow parts (to the depth of about 600 m) of the deposit Jerusalem, which belongs to the uranium and base-metal Příbram ore district, central Bohemia, Czech Republic (Komínek, 1995). The GPS coordinates of grimmite occurrence are 49°40′12.806″ N, 14°1′48.102″ E.

The Příbram ore area (central Bohemia, Czech Republic) is famous for its deposits of base metals as well as for uranium ores. It could be divided into two main ore districts: the base-metal Březové Hory ore district and the complex uranium and base-metal Příbram district. The latter represents the most considerable accumulation of vein-type hydrothermal U ores in the Czech Republic (with the production of 48,432 t of pure U metal) and is comparable to world-class deposits of this type. The hydrothermal U mineralisation of Late Variscan age is related to a 1–2 km wide and almost 25 km long zone formed by a strongly tectonised series of Upper Proterozoic rocks along with the contact with granitoids of the Early Carboniferous Central Bohemian Plutonic Complex (Litochleb et al., 2003). The Příbram uranium and base-metal district can be subdivided into several ore deposits (also called ore nodes or clusters), among which the most important were Bytíz, Háje and Brot (Ettler et al., 2010). In addition to economic importance, this ore district is also marked by incredible mineralogical diversity (about 200 species known so far), reflecting the occurrence of various types of mineralisation, from the earliest gold-bearing veins through the main uranium and base-metal veins with rich selenide mineralisation locally and also Ag–Ag–Sb, Sb or As bonanzas to post-ore zeolite mineralisations. Grimmite was found there in an arsenide assemblage during a research programme focused on mineralogy and genesis of this ore district, especially selenide (Sejkora et al., 2017; Škácha et al., 2017a, b, 2018), and base-metal and Ag-bearing bonanza-type mineralisations (Sejkora et al., 2019, 2021; Škácha et al., 2019, 2020).

The Jerusalem deposit is located in the central part of the Příbram uranium and base-metal district. The main rocks in the Jerusalem deposit are represented by shales, conglomerates, sandstones, siltstones and mudstones of the upper Proterozoic age in contact with Devonian–Carboniferous granodiorites (Janoušek et al., 2010). The only Jerusalem vein system J1–J38 was mined in the Jerusalem deposit from the surface till the depth of about 1400 m. The NW–SE- or N–S-trending veins usually have steep to sheer dips. The most important uranium content was concentrated in 14 veins, which contain more than 100t of uranium. The base-metal ores were registered on about only 15 veins and silver-rich ores on the vein B117 (Komínek, 1995).

The new mineral was found in siderite gangue cementing brecciated greenish-black shale. Macroscopically, the ore mineralisation is represented by abundant red sphalerite and aggregates of skutterudite-subgroup minerals up to 1 cm in size. These aggregates show very visible hydrothermal decomposition, which led to the formation of black porous centres.

3 Appearance and physical properties

Grimmite occurs as idiomorphic to hypidiomorphic grains up to 200 µm × 70 µm (Fig. 1) or veinlet aggregates 500 µm × 30 µm in size. The mineral is grey with a pinkish tint in colour with a metallic lustre. It is opaque in transmitted light. No cleavage was observed and the fracture is uneven. The calculated density \((Z = 8)\) for the empirical formula is 4.96 g cm\(^{-3}\); for the ideal formula, the density is 4.89 g cm\(^{-3}\). Mohs hardness is assumed at 4 1/2–5 1/2 by analogy with other members of the linnaeite subgroup. In
Table 1. Reflectance values (%) for grimmite.

| R   | λ (nm) | R   | λ (nm) |
|-----|--------|-----|--------|
| 38.6| 400    | 46.5| 560    |
| 41.1| 420    | 47.4| 580    |
| 41.1| 440    | 47.7| 589    |
| 42.1| 460    | 48.2| 600    |
| 42.5| 470    | 48.9| 620    |
| 42.8| 480    | 49.8| 640    |
| 43.7| 500    | 50.2| 650    |
| 44.7| 520    | 50.4| 660    |
| 45.6| 540    | 51.3| 680    |
| 45.9| 546    | 51.9| 700    |

Figure 1. (a) Hypidiomorphic grains of grimmite (grey) closely associated with minerals of the skutterudite group (skutterudite and ferroskutterudite) with a thin nickeline rim (all white). BSE photo: the area of extracted fragment for single-crystal study is outlined in red; (b) reflected light photo, partly crossed polarisers.

4 Chemical composition

Chemical analyses were performed using a Cameca SX 100 electron microprobe (National Museum, Prague) operating in wavelength-dispersive mode (25 kV, 20 nA and 1 µm wide beam). The following standards and X-ray lines were used to minimise line overlaps: Ag (Ag Lα), Au (Au Mα), Bi2Se3 (Bi Mβ), CdTe (Cd Lα), Co (Co Kα), CuFeS2 (Cu Kα, S Kα), FeS2 (Fe Kα), HgTe (Hg Mα), Mn (Mn Kα), Ni (Ni Kα), NiAs (As Lα), PbS (Pb Mα), PbSe (Se Lα), PbTe (Te Lα), Sb2S3 (Sb Lα), TiB1 (Ti Lα) and ZnS (Zn Kα). Peak counting time is 20 s for each element and 10 s for each background (detection limit was in the range 0.05–0.20 wt%).

Figure 2. Reflectivity curve for grimmite from Příbram (1) compared with published data for Fe-rich grimmite (2) from Outokumpu (Cridde and Stanley, 1993, p. 323, Ni1.07Co1.77Fe0.21S3.95; described as linnaeite nickelian); siegenite (3) from Heinrichsegen mine, Siegen (Cridde and Stanley, 1993, p. 509, Co1.18Ni1.82Fe0.01S3.98); Fe-rich polydymite (4) from Dry Nickel mine (Cridde and Stanley, 1993, p. 448, Ni2.88Fe0.17S3.94); and linnaeite (5 – Picot and Johan, 1982).

Raw intensities were converted to the concentrations of elements using the automatic “PAP” (Pouchou and Pichoir, 1985) matrix-correction procedure.

Analytical data for grimmite crystal used for single-crystal study (n = 13) are given in Table 2; these data lead to the empirical formula Ni1.01(Fe1.99Co0.06Pb0.01Bi0.01)Σ2.07S3.92 on the basis of 7 apfu. The ideal formula is NiCo2S4, which requires Ni 19.26, Co 38.67 and S 42.07 and a total 100.00 wt %. The representative analyses for all grimmite grains (125 point analyses) in cotype samples are given in Table 2. It clearly reveals CoFe substitution with Fe contents up to 0.88 apfu (Fig. 3); no Ni–Fe correlation was observed. The extent of Ni vs. Co+Fe substitution (Fig. 4) is significantly smaller than in the case of Co–Fe. Minor contents of other elements do not exceed 0.10 apfu (As 0.10, Bi 0.04, Cu, Pb, Mn 0.02 and Sb, Se 0.01 apfu).

5 Crystallography

The powder X-ray diffraction data of grimmite could not be collected due to paucity of material. The calculated (Powder-Cell 2.3; Kraus and Noltze, 1996) powder diffraction data using the atom coordinates from our crystal structure study are given in Table 4.

A short prismatic fragment of grimmite, 28 µm × 9 µm × 7 µm in size, extracted from the polished section (Fig. 1, Table 2) analysed using an electron microscope, was mounted on glass fibre and examined with a Rigaku SuperNova single-crystal diffractometer equipped with an Atlas S2 charge-coupled device (CCD) detector and a microfocus Mo Kα source. The ω rotational scans
Table 2. Chemical data (wt %) for grimmite grain used for single-crystal X-ray study \((n = 13)\).

| Constituent | Mean    | Range         | Stand. dev. (\(\sigma\)) | Apfu  |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Fe          | 1.16    | 0.95–1.53     | 0.17                      | 0.064 |
| Mn          | 0.03    | 0–0.14        | 0.05                      | 0.002 |
| Pb          | 0.46    | 0.24–0.92     | 0.21                      | 0.007 |
| Ni          | 19.27   | 18.62–20.59   | 0.47                      | 1.005 |
| Co          | 38.23   | 36.39–39.36   | 0.78                      | 1.987 |
| Cu          | 0.08    | 0–0.21        | 0.07                      | 0.004 |
| Bi          | 0.62    | 0–1.30        | 0.38                      | 0.009 |
| As          | 0.09    | 0–0.56        | 0.19                      | 0.004 |
| S           | 41.05   | 40.28–41.87   | 0.45                      | 3.921 |

**Total** 100.99

Apfu calculated on the basis of seven atoms.

Table 3. Representative analyses (wt %) for grimmite from Příbram.

|   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fe| 0.90| 1.02| 1.34| 1.46| 1.55| 1.58| 1.63| 1.68| 1.84| 2.01| 3.12| 5.16| 7.18| 14.36| 15.57|
| Pb| 0.30| 0.16| 0.16| 0.17| 0.65| 1.01| 0.08| 0.44| 0.71| 0.71| 0.25| 0.14| 0.18| 0.30 | 0.29 |
| Ni| 18.30| 18.28| 17.89| 18.61| 19.10| 18.14| 19.01| 18.09| 17.31| 18.23| 18.90| 21.28| 21.71| 22.30| 22.42 |
| Co| 38.99| 38.44| 39.34| 38.23| 36.52| 35.75| 37.28| 36.99| 37.58| 37.78| 36.38| 29.35| 28.06| 20.07| 18.73 |
| Mn| –   | –   | –   | –   | 0.05| –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | 0.40| 0.15| 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Cu| 0.05| –   | –   | –   | 0.11| 0.36| –   | 0.14| 0.26| 0.11| –   | 0.07| –   | 0.05 |
| Bi| –   | 0.46| –   | –   | 0.78| 2.60| –   | 1.65| 2.27| 1.15| –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| As| –   | –   | –   | –   | 0.003| –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | 0.23| 0.008| 0.003| 0.003| 0.003|
| Se| –   | 0.11| –   | –   | 0.15| –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   | –   |
| S | 42.19| 42.15| 41.70| 41.44| 41.30| 42.07| 41.36| 40.31| 40.48| 41.72| 40.51| 41.55| 42.05| 42.34|

**Total** 100.73 100.51 100.43 99.91 100.17 100.74 100.07 100.35 100.28 100.62 100.37 99.25 99.88 99.76 99.60

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis 7 apfu.

(frame width of 1.0°, counting time 1500 s) were adopted for the acquisition of the three-dimensional intensity data. From the total of 1081 reflections, 1078 were taken as independent (without averaging) and 735 considered unique observed with \(I > 3\sigma(I)\). Data reduction was performed using CrysAlisPro Version 1.171.39.46 (Rigaku, 2019). The data were corrected for Lorentz factor, polarisation effect and absorption (multi-scan, ABSPACK scaling algorithm; Rigaku, 2019).

According to the single-crystal X-ray data, grimmite is cubic, \(Fd\bar{3}m\), \(a = 9.3933(9)\), with \(V = 828.81(14)\,\text{Å}^3\) and \(Z = 8\). The crystal structure of grimmite has been refined by the software Jana2006 (Petříček et al., 2014) using the structure model for siegenite (Huang and Knop, 1971). The crystal data and the experimental details are given in Table 5, and atom coordinates, atomic displacement parameters and site occupancies are given in Table 6. The interatomic distance (Å) in grimmite for M-S1 (6\(×\)4) is 2.2635(10) and for T-S1 (4\(×\)3) is 2.1866(10). Anisotropic displacement parameters are reported in the crystallographic information file that has been deposited in the Supplement.

The unit cell of grimmite is similar to that reported for siegenite by Huang and Knop (1971). The structure of grimmite differs from that of siegenite in the manner that Co oc-
cupies Wyckoff site 16c instead of Ni. This is in accordance with the EPMA data. The 8b site in grimmite is occupied by Ni then. We are convinced that Co does not enter the 8b site since the refined value obtained for the refinement with Ni placed solely at 8b returned a value near unity. The refinement involving the restricted (unvaried) occupancy of Co at the tetrahedral site, reflected by the formula Co(NiCo)$_2$S$_4$, also led to similar $R$ values ($R_{\text{obs}} \sim 5.5\%$), but to a significantly worse goodness of fit ($\sim 2.46\%$), in comparison to the final mode we accepted, GOF $= 1.46$.

6 Crystal chemistry and relationship with known (Co, Ni) thiospinels

Grimmite is the Co-dominant (16c site) and Ni-dominant (8b site) member of the spinel supergroup, thiospinel group, linnaeite subgroup, Strunz class 2/D.01 and Dana class 2.10.1. A comparison of selected data for members of this subgroup is given in Table 7. Grimmite NiCo$_2$S$_4$ is closely chemically related to linnaeite CoCo$_2$S$_4$, siegenite CoNi$_2$S$_4$ and polydymite NiNi$_2$S$_4$ (which is erroneously stated on page 186 of paper spinel supergroup classification – Bossi et al., 2019 – as NiCo$_2$S$_4$). The classification of spinel supergroup minerals is based on the chemical data only (Bossi et al., 2019); therefore Ni–Co thiospinels (series Co$_{3-x}$Ni$_x$S$_4$) would be divided into the following four chemical ranges: $0.00 \leq x \leq 0.50$, linnaeite, ideally CoCo$_2$S$_4$; $0.50 \leq x \leq 1.50$, grimmite, ideally NiCo$_2$S$_4$; $1.50 \leq x \leq 2.50$, siegenite, ideally CoNi$_2$S$_4$; and $2.50 \leq x \leq 3.00$, polydymite, ideally NiNi$_2$S$_4$.

Mineral phases with chemical composition corresponding to grimmite (Fig. 5) were previously described in various deposits, e.g., from Langis mine, Canada (Petruk et al., 1969); Nippo ore deposit, Japan (Imai et al., 1973); Muzho and Bakura deposits, Bulgaria (Mincheva-Stefanova, 1975); Outokumpu, Finland (Criddle and Stanley, 1993); Elatsite deposit, Bulgaria (Dragov and Petrunov, 1998); or Rainbow hydrothermal field, Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Lee et al., 2002).

7 Associated minerals

In addition to macroscopically observed skutterudite and sphalerite, a detailed mineralogical study of grimmite-bearing mineralisation also permitted the identification of lower amounts of nickeline, gersdorffite and probably glaucodot, whereas pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena, jaipurite, bismuthinite, ullmannite and parkerite are accessories. The gangue is formed in particular by siderite, whereas calcite and quartz are subordinate, and a silicate with composition close to chlorite is accessory. Essentially non-zoned coarse-grained siderite encloses idiomorphic crystals and/or aggre-

Table 4. Calculated X-ray powder diffraction data for grimmite.

| $I_{\text{calc}}$ | $d_{\text{calc}}$ | $h$ | $k$ | $l$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 5.4               | 5.4232            | 1   | 1   | 1   |
| 74.7              | 3.3210            | 2   | 2   | 0   |
| 5.4               | 2.8322            | 3   | 1   | 1   |
| 7.2               | 2.7116            | 2   | 2   | 2   |
| 80.7              | 2.3483            | 4   | 0   | 0   |
| 5.8               | 2.1550            | 3   | 3   | 1   |
| 26.7              | 1.9174            | 4   | 2   | 2   |
| 3.7               | 1.8077            | 5   | 1   | 1   |
| 100.0             | 1.6605            | 4   | 4   | 0   |
| 0.9               | 1.5878            | 5   | 3   | 1   |
| 10.6              | 1.4852            | 6   | 2   | 0   |
| 0.7               | 1.4325            | 5   | 3   | 3   |
| 2.7               | 1.4161            | 6   | 2   | 2   |
| 15.1              | 1.3558            | 4   | 4   | 4   |

Intensity and $d_{\text{calc}}$ were calculated using the software PowderCell2.3 (Kraus and Nolze, 1996) on the basis of the structural data given in Tables 5 and 6. Only reflections with $I_{\text{rel}} \geq 0.7$ are listed. The seven strongest reflections are given in bold.
Table 5. Summary of data collection conditions and refinement parameters for grimmite.

| Property                                           | Value                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Chemical formula sum                               | Ni$_{0.991}$Co$_{1.931}$S$_4$              |
| Crystal system                                     | cubic                                      |
| Space group                                        | $Fd$–$3m$                                  |
| Unit-cell parameters: $a$ [Å]                       | 9.3933(9)                                 |
| Unit-cell volume [Å$^3$]                           | 828.81(14)                                |
| $Z$                                                | 8                                          |
| Calculated density [g cm$^{-3}$]                   | 4.843 (for the formula from refinement)    |
| Crystal size [mm]                                  | $0.028 \times 0.009 \times 0.007$         |
| Diffractometer                                     | Rigaku SuperNova with Atlas S2 CCD        |
| Temperature [K]                                    | 286                                        |
| Radiation, wavelength [Å]                          | Mo K$_\alpha$, 0.71073 (50 kV, 30 mA)     |
| $\theta$ range for data collection [$^\circ$]      | 3.76–27.30                                 |
| Limiting Miller indices                            | $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$, $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$, $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ |
| Axis, frame width ($^\circ$), time per frame (s)   | $\omega$, 1.0, 1500                        |
| Total reflections collected                         | 1081                                       |
| Unique reflections                                 | 1078                                       |
| Unique observed reflections, criterion             | 735, $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$                   |
| Absorption coefficient [mm$^{-1}$], type           | 14.03; multi-scan                          |
| $T_{\text{min}}$/ $T_{\text{max}}$                 | 0.784/1                                   |
| Data completeness to $\theta_{\text{max}}$ (%)    | 97.00, 0.066                               |
| Structure refinement                               | Full-matrix least squares on $F^2$         |
| No. of param., restraints, constraints             | 9, 0, 0                                    |
| $R$, $wR$ (obs)                                    | 0.0539, 0.1746                             |
| $R$, $wR$ (all)                                    | 0.0749, 0.1881                             |
| GOF obs/all                                        | 1.46/1.30                                 |
| Weighting scheme, weights                         | $\sigma$, $w = 1/(\sigma^2(I) + 0.0081000002f^2)$ |
| Largest diffraction peak and hole (e$^-$/Å$^3$)   | 1.43, −0.94                               |

Table 6. Atom positions and equivalent displacement parameters (Å$^2$) for grimmite.

| Atom | Occupancy | $x/a$   | $y/b$  | $z/c$  | $U_{eq}$ |
|------|-----------|---------|--------|--------|----------|
| Co1  | 0.984(10) | 0.5     | 0.75   | 0.25   | 0.0082(3) |
| Ni2  | 0.88(10)  | 0.625   | 0.625  | 0.625  | 0.0110(3) |
| S2   | 0.49058(12)| 0.75942(12)| 0.49058(12) | 0.0108(5)|

Gates of red sphalerite I and zoned skutterudite-group minerals. Skutterudites are usually strongly corroded and replaced by younger phases (Fig. 6a). Relics of skutterudite are rimmed by nickeline (Fig. 6b) and later on by gersdorffite with rare domains of glaucodot and arsenopyrite (Fig. 6c), whereas completely leached parts of skutterudite crystals are filled up by quartz containing small isolated grains and aggregates of pyrite, sphalerite II, grimmite, galena, ullmannite, bismuthinite, parkerite and jaipurite, the latter being rarely enclosed in grimmite (Fig. 6d). The youngest hypogene phase is veinlets and small nests (especially replacing siderite or quartz) of chemically homogeneous calcite. In one case skutterudite enclosed a short monomineral veinlet composed of a chemically heterogeneous porous Ni–Fe–Mg–Al silicate with a composition close to chlorite. The supergene alterations of the studied sample (weathering in dump material) are manifested by further corrosion of skutterudite, partial leaching of carbonates and crystallisation of secondary Zn–Mn–Ni–Co–Fe arsenates.

Sphalerite I has low Fe (up to 0.002 apfu) and significant Cd (up to 0.04 apfu), whereas sphalerite II incorporates Ni and Co up to 0.01 apfu and Cd only up to 0.005 apfu. Arsenopyrite contains minor Co (0.004 apfu) and Sb (0.007 apfu); bismuthinite 0.10 apfu Ni and 0.02 apfu Sb; and pyrite minor contents of Ni, Sb and As up to 0.003–0.004 apfu.

Chemical composition of skutterudite-group mineral ranges from skutterudite through nickelskutterudite to ferroskutterudite. Ferroskutterudite, very rare in the world, is the most abundant arsenide in the studied mineral association. At the cation site, it also contains, in addition to prevailing Fe (0.37–0.50 apfu), significant contents of Ni and Co, up to 0.48 and 0.36 apfu, respectively (Table 8). High Fe contents (Fig. 7) were also found in nickelskutterudite.
Members of the thiospinel group and linnaeite subgroup. Minerals are listed according to the increasing atomic number of the main cation.

Table 7. Members of the thiospinel group and linnaeite subgroup. Minerals are listed according to the increasing atomic number of the main cation.

| Chem. formula | Ref. | Space g. | a [Å] |
|---------------|------|----------|-------|
| Joegoldsteinite | MnCr₂S₄ | (11) | Fd3m | 10.11 |
| Daubréelite | FeCr₂S₄ | (8) | Fd · 3m | 9.966 |
| Kalinitite | ZnCr₂S₄ | (12) | Fd3m | 9.997(1) |
| Greigite | FeFe₂S₄ | (9) | Fd3m | 9.876(2) |
| Linnaeite | CoCo₂S₄ | (4) | Fd · 3m | 9.44 |
| Grimmite | NiCo₂S₄ | (1) | Fd · 3m | 9.3933(9) |
| Violarite | FeNi₂S₄ | (2) | Fd · 3m | 9.458 |
| Siegenite | CoNi₂S₄ | (2, 3) | Fd · 3m | 9.408−9.442 |
| Polydymite | NiNi₂S₄ | (2) | Fd · 3m | 9.471 |
| Indite | FeIn₂S₄ | (10) | Fd3m | 10.62(1) |
| Cadmoindite | CdIn₂S₄ | (5) | Fd3m | 10.81(2) |
| Cuprorhodsite | (Cu₀.₅Fe₀.₅)Rh₂S₄ | (6, 7) | Fd3m | 9.88(1) |
| Xingzhongite (Q) | PbIr₂S₄ | (7, 13) | ? | 9.970 |

(1) This paper. (2) Riley (1980). (3) Huang and Knop (1971). (4) Buerger and Robinson (1955). (5) Chaplygin et al. (2004). (6) Rudashevsky et al. (1985). (7) Bossi et al. (2019). (8) Lundqvist (1943). (9) Skinner et al. (1964). (10) Genkin and Murav’eva (1963). (11) Isi et al. (2016). (12) Reznickij et al. (1985). (13) Yu et al. (1974); Q – questionable mineral (Bossi et al., 2019).

Figure 5. Determined range of Ni contents in grimmite. (1) Příbram (this paper). (2) Příbram – grain used for SCXRD study (this paper). (3) Rainbow hydrothermal field, Mid-Atlantic Ridge (Lee et al., 2002). (4) Elatsite deposit, Bulgaria (Dragov and Petrunov, 1998). (5) Outokumpu mining district, Finland (Criddle and Stanley, 1993). (6) Muzho and Bakura deposits, Bulgaria (Mincheva-Stefanova, 1975). (7) Nippo ore deposit, Japan (Imai et al., 1973). (8) Langis mine, Canada (Petruk et al., 1969).

(up to 0.47 apfu, Ni 0.48−0.69 and Co up to 0.20 apfu) and in skutterudite (up to 0.44 apfu, Co 0.46−0.59 and Ni up to 0.27 apfu). Similar large Ni–Co–Fe substitution in skutterudite subgroup minerals is described, e.g. from Norilsk ore field (Spiridonov and Gritsenko, 2007) or Dobšiná, Slovakia (Kiefer et al., 2017), and corresponds to the solid-solution field of skutterudite experimentally determined by Roseboom (1962). At the anion site, all members of this subgroup contain S in the range 0.13−0.35 apfu; such increased contents are unusual (Anthony et al., 1990; Spiridonov and Gritsenko, 2007; Spiridonov et al., 2007; Ahmed et al., 2009); similar contents (up to 0.33 apfu S) are described only for samples from Jáchymov (Ondruš et al., 2003).

The mineral chemistry of weakly anisotropic (to isotropic) sulfarsenides (Ni,Fe,Co)AsS changes rapidly at the micrometre scale. There appear two groups of analyses (Table 9). The composition of the first (Ni-dominant) group varies from gersdorffite with minor Fe and Co contents toward the centre of the Ni–Co–Fe triangle (Fig. 8), and Ni contents correlate positively with As (Fig. 9), as also found toward the centre of the Ni–Co–Fe triangle (Fig. 8); their compositions are close to that of glaucodot with the ideal formula Co₀.₅Fe₀.₅AsS.

Nickeline is almost stoichiometric (Table 10) but with very unusual increased Fe contents (0.11−0.16 apfu) and minor Co up to 0.08 apfu. At the anion site, As is partly substituted by S (0.04−0.08 apfu) and Sb (up to 0.02 apfu). Its empirical formula (mean of 21 analyses) on the basis of 2 apfu is (Ni₀.₃₁Fe₀.₄₁Co₀.₂₈)Σ₁₋₀.₅₃S₀.₅₀Sb₀.₅₂. Very rare jaipurite (with only a few known occurrences in the world) is close to stoichiometric (Co,Ni,Fe)S (Table 10). In addition to dominant Co, minor contents of Ni and Fe up to 0.18 and 0.08 apfu, respectively, were found. Similar Ni and Fe contents in jaipurite were described by Gertsen et al. (1988). The empirical formula of jaipurite (mean of 13 analyses) on the basis of 2 apfu is (Ni₀.₈₁Fe₀.₁₄Co₀.₅₀)Σ₁₋₀.₃₂S₀.₃₀Sb₀.₇₈. The ideal chemical formula for parkerite is reported as Ni₃(Bi,Pb)₂S₂ (Anthony et al., 1990), due to the existence of limited substitution BiPb₁⁻ in the parkerite–shandite series (Fleet, 1973). Parkérite from studied mineral association corresponds to this stoichiome-
Figure 6. Mineral assemblage and textural features of the studied grimmite-bearing sample on BSE images. (a) Zoned skutterudite (Sku) with certain zones selectively replaced by quartz (Qtz) with disseminated grimmite (Gr). Ca – calcite. (b) Corroded skutterudite (Sku) rimmed by nickeline (Ni). (c) Zoned gersdorffite (Ger) with darker domains formed by arsenopyrite (Asp) and glaucodote (Glc) and a bright As-enriched S-poor growth zone. Ga – galena. (d) Skutterudite (Sku) cut by a grimmite (Gr) veinlet containing abundant xenomorphic inclusions of jaipurite (J).

Table 8. Representative analyses (wt %) for members of the skutterudite subgroup from Příbram.

|     | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Fe  | 7.87 | 9.32 | 9.47 | 10.10| 9.14 | 9.61 | 6.85 | 5.82 |
| Ni  | 6.30 | 7.10 | 9.84 | 8.44 | 10.36| 10.27| 2.01 | 4.54 |
| Co  | 7.82 | 4.67 | 1.65 | 2.28 | 2.05 | 2.30 | 12.92| 10.94|
| Sb  | 2.01 | 1.23 | 1.96 | 1.59 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.43 | 1.74 |
| Bi  | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 |
| As  | 73.66| 74.93| 75.24| 75.65| 77.42| 76.17| 72.58| 73.22|
| S   | 3.67 | 2.55 | 2.01 | 1.82 | 1.60 | 1.74 | 4.14 | 3.59 |
| Total| 101.47| 99.90| 100.17| 99.88| 101.57| 100.94| 100.28| 99.85|

|     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fe  | 0.377| 0.458| 0.468| 0.501| 0.446| 0.470| 0.330| 0.283|
| Ni  | 0.287| 0.332| 0.463| 0.399| 0.481| 0.478| 0.092| 0.210|
| Co  | 0.355| 0.218| 0.077| 0.107| 0.095| 0.107| 0.590| 0.505|
| Σ   | 1.019| 1.008| 1.009| 1.007| 1.023| 1.055| 1.011| 0.999|
| Sb  | 0.044| 0.028| 0.044| 0.036| 0.022| 0.019| 0.032| 0.039|
| Bi  | 0.002| –    | –    | –    | –    | –    | 0.002| –    |
| As  | 2.629| 2.745| 2.774| 2.799| 2.819| 2.778| 2.605| 2.658|
| S   | 0.306| 0.218| 0.173| 0.157| 0.136| 0.148| 0.347| 0.305|
| Σ   | 2.981| 2.991| 2.991| 2.993| 2.977| 2.945| 2.986| 3.001|

Ferroskutterudite 1–4; nickelskutterudite 5–6; skutterudite 7–8. Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the basis of 4 apfu.
Table 9. Representative analyses (wt %) for Ni–Fe–Co sulfarsenides from Příbram.

|     | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Fe  | 8.45| 9.63| 12.79| 7.99| 4.50| 3.70| 18.24| 22.74| 15.07| 17.08| 14.97| 10.78|
| Pb  | 0.00| 0.00| 0.10| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.25| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00|
| Ni  | 13.72| 14.04| 15.21| 17.22| 26.13| 26.25| 0.12| 0.23| 3.02| 11.36| 13.68| 0.00|
| Co  | 13.38| 11.80| 7.24| 9.72| 2.85| 2.86| 15.13| 10.72| 15.54| 15.98| 15.88| 11.36|
| Sb  | 0.26| 0.26| 0.47| 0.32| 1.49| 1.02| 0.27| 1.01| 0.42| 0.49| 0.26| 0.00|
| Bi  | 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00| 0.15| 0.00| 0.00| 0.00|
| As  | 48.47| 48.68| 49.26| 50.88| 55.40| 58.04| 49.73| 49.52| 49.78| 58.14| 51.16| 47.03|
| S   | 17.27| 17.10| 17.16| 15.57| 11.47| 10.00| 16.40| 16.17| 15.87| 9.17| 15.63| 18.48|
| Total| 101.55| 101.51| 102.23| 101.70| 101.84| 101.87| 99.89| 100.39| 99.70| 100.84| 101.73| 101.59|

Fe 0.252 0.288 0.380 0.243 0.144 0.120 0.555 0.691 0.463 0.562 0.454 0.317
Pb – – 0.001 – – – – – – 0.002 – –
Ni 0.390 0.399 0.430 0.497 0.793 0.810 0.003 0.007 0.088 0.095 0.101 0.318
Co 0.378 0.334 0.204 0.280 0.086 0.088 0.437 0.309 0.453 0.370 0.459 0.382
Sb 0.004 0.006 0.022 0.014 0.006 0.017 0.004 0.006 0.017 0.007 0.004
Bi – – – – – – – – – 0.001 – –
As 1.078 1.085 1.091 1.151 1.318 1.403 1.129 1.122 1.140 1.426 1.155 1.032
S 0.898 0.890 0.888 0.823 0.637 0.565 0.870 0.856 0.850 0.526 0.825 0.947
Σ 1.980 1.979 1.985 1.977 1.977 1.983 2.002 1.992 1.996 1.970 1.987 1.983

Gersdorffite 1–6; Fe- and Co-dominant members (glaucodot?) 7–12. Coefficients of the empirical formula were calculated on the basis of 3 apfu.

Figure 7. Chemical composition (at. units) for members of the skutterudite subgroup from the grimmite-bearing association from Příbram.

Try (Table 10), but it does not contain any Pb; similar Pb-free parkerite was described by Petruk et al. (1969), Groves and Hall (1978), and Sejkora et al. (2009, 2020). Its empirical formula (mean of two analyses) on the basis of 7 apfu is 

\[(\text{Ni}^{0.95}{\text{Co}}^{0.01})\Sigma^{0.96}\text{(Sb}^{0.68}{\text{Bi}}^{0.32})\Sigma^{1.01}\text{S}^{1.02}\] on the basis of 3 apfu.

Siderite shows rather uniform chemical composition (Sid_{63}–_{74} Rdc_{13}–_{20} Mag_{10}–_{20} Cal_{1}–_{3}). Calcite is Mn-rich (Cal_{5}–_{9} Rdc_{6}–_{11} Sid_{1}–_{3} Mag_{1}–_{3}), in part with slightly elevated content of Ni (up to 0.7 mol. % NiCO_{3}). Chemical composition of Ni–Fe–Mg–Al silicate with elevated con-

https://doi.org/10.5194/ejm-33-175-2021 Eur. J. Mineral., 33, 175–187, 2021
Table 10. Representative analyses (wt %) for nickeline, jaipurite, parkerite and ullmannite from Příbram.

|       | Nickeline | Jaipurite | Parkerite | Ullmannite |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Fe    | 6.48      | 6.27      | 5.65      | 4.62       |
| Pb    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.10      | 0.10       |
| Ni    | 34.60     | 35.87     | 37.12     | 37.22      |
| Co    | 1.87      | 1.96      | 1.49      | 2.66       |
| Sb    | 0.00      | 0.56      | 0.77      | 1.08       |
| Bi    | 0.00      | 0.00      | 0.11      | 0.00       |
| As    | 54.37     | 53.98     | 54.45     | 52.84      |
| S     | 1.32      | 1.06      | 1.23      | 1.51       |
| Total | 99.92     | 99.70     | 100.82    | 100.03     |

|       | Nickeline | Jaipurite | Parkerite | Ullmannite |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Fe    | 0.153     | 0.148     | 0.132     | 0.109      |
| Pb    | –         | –         | –         | –          |
| Ni    | 0.778     | 0.807     | 0.826     | 0.832      |
| Co    | 0.042     | 0.044     | 0.033     | 0.059      |
| Sb    | 0.014     | 0.006     | 0.008     | 0.012      |
| Bi    | –         | –         | –         | –          |
| As    | 0.958     | 0.951     | 0.950     | 0.926      |
| S     | 0.054     | 0.044     | 0.050     | 0.062      |
| Base  | 2         | 2         | 2         | 2          |

Coefficients of empirical formula were calculated on the given base.

Figure 9. The Ni/(Ni+Co+Fe) vs. As (apfu) graph for sulfarsenides from the grimmite-bearing association from Příbram.

8 Remarks to the origin of grimmite-bearing mineralisation

The economically most important uranium and base-metal mineralisation of the Příbram uranium ore district originated during four main mineralisation stages: (I) siderite–sulfidic; (II) calcite; (III) calcite–uraninite and (IV) calcite–sulfidic (Komínek, 1995). The oldest siderite–sulfidic stage (I) is developed in particular in the southern part of this ore district but on a smaller scale in comparison with the neighbouring Březové Hory district. The younger calcite stages, characterised by notably lower temperatures, are more abundant; calcite generations were used in distinguishing individual mineralisation stages. For the calcite stage (II) pre-ore calcite DK and calcite K1 are characteristic. In the calcite – uraninite stage (III), carrying the main part of the economic uranium mineralisation (uraninite, coffinite and U–bearing anthracosite), are present calcite types K2–K4. The age of the uranium mineralisation obtained by U–Pb radiometric age determination of two uraninite samples is middle Permian, 275 ± 4 and 278 ± 4 Ma (Anderson, 1987). In the last calcite – sulfidic stage (IV), post-ore calcite K5 appears and Ag, Ag–Sb, Sb and As–Sb bonanza-type accumulations occur.

The paragenetic position, texture and/or chemical composition clearly suggest that siderite and skutterudite present in mineralisation under this study undoubtedly belong to the oldest siderite–sulfide mineralisation stage. Further evolution, however, deviates from the published overall paragenetic scheme (see Žák and Dobeš, 1991; Komínek, 1995). Skutterudite was initially partly dissolved and/or replaced by nickeline, then by gersdorffite and, finally, by quartz-hosted sulfide paragenesis including grimmite and Bi-rich minerals. This evidence implies a late paragenetic position of grimmite and its relation to younger hydrothermal processes possibly related to the same (i.e., siderite–sulfide) or some of the younger mineralisation stages. Unfortunately, a more de-
tailed specification of paragenetic position of the grimmite-bearing mineralising event is not possible because of the specific mineral association of the studied sample and difficulties correlating calcite from the dump sample with the above-mentioned calcite generations, which were defined by means of field observations, textural features and bulk (wet-chemical) composition (Cílek et al., 1984; Komínek, 1995).

The presence of grimmite in association with base-metal sulfides replacing older triarsenides indicates remobilisation processes caused by hydrothermal fluids rich in reduced sulfur. Partial dissolution of earlier Ni–Co arsenides associated with remobilisation of Ni and Co was the likely source of Ni and Co for the formation of grimmite and other Co–Ni enriched sulfides. Phenomena of ore dissolution, remobilisation and replacement were widely detected in the ore veins of the Příbram uranium and base-metal ore district (Sejkora et al., 2019; Škácha et al., 2019; Sejkora et al., 2021). The elevated content of Bi in the studied mineralisation, indicated by the presence of the trace amount of bismuthinite, parkerite and Bi-enriched ullmannite, can also be explained by remobilisation of Bi from earlier gold-bearing quartz veins containing an accessory amount of Bi tellurides (Litochleb et al., 2005, 2006; Sejkora et al., 2019).

The studied mineralisation is characterised by a high activity of iron during the formation of arsenide mineralisation. This took place during crystallisation of triarsenides, giving rise to dominating ferroskutterudite, an otherwise extremely rare mineral worldwide. Similarly, superimposed nickeline incorporated iron in the highest reported concentrations worldwide, to our knowledge. However, explanation of reasons for such unusual iron enrichment cannot be based on pure mineralogical study and need further investigation.

9 Conclusions

Grimmite, NiCo$_2$S$_4$, a new thiospinel mineral, and its association were described from the Příbram uranium and base-metal district. Grimmite is the Co-dominant (16c site) and Ni-dominant (8b site) member of the spinel supergroup. The host association rich in Fe, Co and Ni includes the skutterudite group, sulfarsenides and arsenides. The presence of grimmite in association with base-metal sulfides replacing older triarsenides indicate remobilisation processes caused by hydrothermal fluids rich in reduced sulfur. Partial dissolution of earlier Ni–Co arsenides associated with remobilisation of Ni and Co was the likely source of Ni and Co for the formation of grimmite and other Co–Ni-enriched sulfides.
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