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We investigate many-body dynamics in a one-dimensional interacting periodically driven system, based on a partially filled version of Thouless’s topologically quantized adiabatic pump. The corresponding single-particle Floquet bands are chiral, with the Floquet spectrum realizing nontrivial cycles around the quasienergy Brillouin zone. For generic filling, with either bosons or fermions, the system is gapless and is expected to rapidly absorb energy from the driving field. We identify parameter regimes where scattering between Floquet bands of opposite chirality is exponentially suppressed, opening a long time window in which the system prethermalizes to an infinite-temperature state restricted to a single Floquet band. In this quasi-steady state, the time-averaged current takes a universal value determined solely by the density of particles and the topological winding number of the populated Floquet band. This remarkable behavior may be readily studied experimentally in recently developed cold atom systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Topological transport has garnered much attention in condensed matter physics, ever since the discovery of the quantized Hall effects [1,2]. Traditionally, robust features of transport have been linked to topological properties of the ground states of many-body systems. Recently, a new paradigm has emerged for altering the topological properties of band structures using external driving [3–23]. These ideas have sparked a variety of proposals and initial experiments aimed at realizing so-called Floquet topological insulators in a range of solid-state, atomic, and optical systems [24–28]. While the prospect of dynamically controlling band topology is exciting, establishing how and to what extent topological phenomena can be observed in such systems raises many crucial and fundamental questions about many-body dynamics in periodically driven systems.

The long-time behavior of periodically driven many-body systems is a fascinating question currently under investigation. Several recent theoretical works suggest that closed, interacting, driven, many-body systems generically absorb energy indefinitely from the driving field, tending to infinite-temperature-like states in the long-time limit [29–31]. In such a state, all correlations are trivial, indicating, in particular, that any topological features of the underlying Floquet spectrum are expected to be washed out. On the other hand, several interesting exceptions to the

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article’s title, journal citation, and DOI.
infinite-temperature fate have been proposed [32–34]. Particularly, heating may be circumvented by local conservation laws, e.g., in integrable [35] or many-body localized systems [36–43].

In this work, we describe an intriguing new possibility: Rather than treating heating as an enemy, we show that it can be used as a resource for establishing universality and a novel regime of topological transport in interacting periodically driven systems. The universal “quasi-steady” state that develops persists for an exponentially long time, controlled by the inverse driving frequency. At very late times, the state eventually gives way to a featureless infinite-temperature state as described above.

Previously, metastability and exponentially slow heating rates have been discussed for the limit of high-frequency driving [44–47], where the driving frequency is much larger than all local energy scales. In this case, absorption can only occur through high-order multiparticle processes. The system then “prethermalizes” to an equilibrium-like state governed by a local, static, effective Hamiltonian [47–55]. In this work, we introduce a qualitatively different regime of prethermalization, which occurs for low-frequency driving in systems with well-separated high- and low-energy degrees of freedom. Rapid heating within the restricted low-energy subspace plays an essential role in establishing the universal quasi-steady state, while exponentially suppressed heating rates of the high-energy degrees of freedom preserve this state. The exponential separation of time scales between equilibration and decay allows the quasi-steady regime to be treated as a stable phase for practical purposes.

We focus our attention to one dimension (1D), where Thouless showed that cyclic adiabatic driving in filled-band or gapped many-body systems leads to topologically quantized charge pumping [56]. This phenomenon was recently observed in cold atom experiments [57,58]. The average current carried by the system over one driving period is quantized when one of the Floquet bands of this periodically driven lattice system is completely filled with fermions and the other is completely empty. What happens when the bands are initially only partially filled or if the system is comprised of bosons? Here, the system lacks a many-body gap, and the evolution cannot be considered adiabatic. In the absence of interactions, any value of the pumped charge per cycle is possible, depending on the details of the Hamiltonian and the initial state. However, in the interacting case, we identify a parameter regime where heating naturally drives the system to a quasi-steady state with universal properties, reflecting the topological nature of the Floquet band structure.

II. SUMMARY OF MAIN RESULTS

We now briefly describe the setup and our main results, giving details in the sections that follow. The Thouless pump is exemplified by a time-dependent tight-binding model, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a) [see Eq. (1) below]. In the adiabatic limit, the system’s single-particle Floquet spectrum exhibits a nontrivial winding of each band [5]: The quasienergy changes by $\omega \equiv 2\pi/T$ (where $T$ is the driving period) as the crystal momentum changes from 0 to $2\pi/a$, where $a$ is the lattice constant [59]. A characteristic Floquet spectrum with such winding (or “chiral”) bands is shown in Fig. 1(b).

We study dynamics in the situation where one of the chiral Floquet bands is initially partially filled while the other is empty. We assume that the minimum gap between the two bands of the single-particle Hamiltonian minimized over all times and quasimomenta, $\Delta$, is much larger than $\max[\hbar \omega, U, W]$, where $U$ and $W$ are the interaction strength and the maximum bandwidth, respectively (see below for a precise definition of $W$). Based on an analysis of low- and high-order scattering rates, we make the following arguments: (i) The system equilibrates on a short time scale $\tau_{\text{intra}}$ to a chiral infinite-temperature-like state in which all momentum states in one of the chiral bands are equally populated, while the other band remains nearly empty. This quasi-steady state is obtained regardless of the initial state, as long as only one Floquet band is initially populated. (ii) In this state, the average pumped charge per period is approximately $w\rho$, where $w$ is the winding number of the partially filled band (see Sec. III) and $\rho$ is the density of particles [60]. (iii) The quasi-steady state persists for a long time scale $\tau_{\text{inter}}$, which can be larger than $\tau_{\text{intra}}$ by many orders of magnitude. In particular, for a broad parameter regime where $\Delta \gg \omega \gtrsim W$, we find that $\tau_{\text{intra}}/\tau_{\text{inter}} \propto (U/\Delta) b^{\Delta/\omega}$, where $b > 0$ is a number of order unity. At times $t \gg \tau_{\text{inter}}$, the system relaxes to a state in which the values of all local observables are the same as in an infinite-temperature state. In particular, the current tends to zero. This physical picture is supported by exact numerical simulations of finite systems [see Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)].

What is the nature of the novel quasi-steady state exhibited by the system? We consider unitary dynamics of a closed, driven system. Therefore, a pure initial state remains pure for all times. Moreover, strictly speaking, the system does not possess a steady state. Consider, however, the behavior of generic local observables (in the spirit of previous works on ergodicity and thermalization in non-driven systems [61–63]). Importantly, the expectation value of a local observable depends only on the reduced density matrix of a subsystem that contains the region where the observable is defined. From this point of view, the system may effectively be described by a mixed (possibly thermal) state.

In the sense described above, an ergodic periodically driven system is generically expected to tend toward an infinite-temperature state. In an infinite-temperature ensemble, all microstates (many-body eigenstates) appear with equal probability, as characterized by a subsystem reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_S \propto \hat{1}$. In our case, the dynamical
constraint imposed by slow interband scattering allows the system to approach an infinite-temperature-like quasi-steady state restricted to one band, \( \alpha \), in which all available states are equally probable: \( \hat{\rho}_{\alpha}(t) \propto P_{\alpha}(t) \), where \( P_{\alpha}(t) \) is a (time-dependent) projection onto all many-body states with a given number of particles in Floquet band \( \alpha \).

In the band-restricted infinite-temperature state, the system synchronizes with the drive; observables may oscillate over the course of one driving period, but their values remain constant from one period to the next. In particular, the state features equal occupation numbers of all Floquet modes in band \( \alpha \), \( N_{\alpha}^{(n)} = \text{const} \). The loss of memory of the initial occupation distribution allows the universal (topological) characteristics of the Floquet bands to be exposed by the dynamics.

As we shall see, our numerical findings for the quasi-steady state are consistent with the form of the density matrix described above. In particular, we can readily compute the expectation value of the current in this state. While the current \( \langle I(t) \rangle \) exhibits nonuniversal oscillations, its time average over one period is simple and universal: \( J_{\text{qs}} = \int_{t}^{t+T} dt' \text{Tr}[\hat{I}(t')\hat{\rho}_{\text{qs}}(t')/\text{Tr}\hat{\rho}_{\text{qs}}(t')] = pw/T \), where \( t_{\text{intra}} \ll t < t_{\text{inter}} \) is any time after the quasi-steady state has been reached. We confirm this universal behavior for a variety of parameter choices in both trivial (\( w = 0 \)) and nontrivial (\( w = 1 \)) topological phases (see Sec. V). For asymptotically long times, \( t \gg t_{\text{inter}} \), the system tends to an unrestricted infinite-temperature steady state. In this state, the average current vanishes at all times, \( \langle I(t) \rangle = 0 \), for any driving.

### III. Model

We begin our analysis by defining the specific model that we use to illustrate the universal chiral quasi-steady regime. We consider a 1D lattice with two sites per unit cell, labeled \( A \) and \( B \). The hopping matrix elements and on-site potentials are time dependent [see Fig. 1(a)]. The lattice is populated by a finite density \( \rho \) of identical fermions or bosons per unit cell.

We write the Hamiltonian as \( H(t) = H_{0}(t) + H_{\text{int}} \), where the single-particle part \( H_{0}(t) \) is given by

\[
H_{0}(t) = -J(t) \sum_{j} \hat{c}_{j,A}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,B} - J'(t) \sum_{j} \hat{c}_{j,B}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j+1,A} + \text{H.c.} + V(t) \sum_{j} (\hat{c}_{j,A}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,A} - \hat{c}_{j,B}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,B}).
\]

Here, \( \hat{c}_{j,A}^{\dagger} (\hat{c}_{j,B}^{\dagger}) \) creates a particle of type \( A (B) \) in unit cell \( j \).

To avoid later ambiguity, we use hats to denote creation and annihilation operators throughout this work. Below, we take \( J(t) = J_{0} + \delta J(t), J'(t) = J_{0} - \delta J(t), \) and \( V(t) = V_{0} + \delta V(t) \), with \( \delta J(t) = \delta J_{1} + \delta J_{2} \cos \omega t \) and \( \delta V(t) = V_{1} \sin \omega t \). For convenience, we parametrize \( \delta J_{2} = \Lambda J_{0} \) and \( V_{1} = 3\Lambda J_{0} \) in most of the simulations presented below.

We consider a local interaction

\[
H_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} U \sum_{j} n_{j}(n_{j} - 1), \quad n_{j} = \hat{c}_{j,A}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,A} + \hat{c}_{j,B}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j,B}.
\]

The intra-unit-cell form of the interaction in Eq. (2) is convenient for the analysis below. However, we do not expect our conclusions to depend on this choice.

The single-particle Floquet spectrum corresponding to \( H_{0}(t) \) is found by seeking solutions to the Schrödinger equation which satisfy [64] \( |\Psi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_{\text{p}} t} |\Phi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle \), with \( |\Phi_{1\text{p}}(t + T)\rangle = |\Phi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle \). We decompose the periodic function \( |\Phi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle \) in terms of an infinite set of (non-normalized) discrete Fourier modes \( \{|\varphi_{1\text{p}}^{(m)}\rangle\} \):

\[
|\Psi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle = e^{-i\epsilon_{1\text{p}} t} \sum_{m} |\varphi_{1\text{p}}^{(m)}\rangle e^{-im\omega t}.
\]

The full time-dependent evolution of \( |\Psi_{1\text{p}}(t)\rangle \) is specified by the quasienergy \( \epsilon_{1\text{p}} \) and a vector of Fourier coefficients \( \varphi_{1\text{p}} \):

\[
\varphi_{1\text{p}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cdots \\ |\varphi_{1\text{p}}^{(-1)}\rangle \\ |\varphi_{1\text{p}}^{(0)}\rangle \\ |\varphi_{1\text{p}}^{(1)}\rangle \\ \cdots \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Throughout this work, we choose the quasienergies of all single-particle states to lie within a fundamental Floquet-Brillouin zone, \( 0 \leq \epsilon_{1\text{p}} < 2\pi/T \).

The Fourier coefficients comprising \( |\Psi(t)\rangle \) are determined by an eigenvalue equation \( \epsilon \varphi = \mathcal{H}(\varphi) \), where the “extended Hamiltonian” \( \mathcal{H} \) is constructed from the Fourier decomposition of \( H_{0}(t) \) (see Appendix A), and \( \varphi \) without a ket symbol stands for a column vector of Fourier modes as in Eq. (4). We use calligraphic symbols for matrices in the space of Fourier coefficients. For harmonic driving, \( H_{0}(t) = H_{dc} + \Lambda e^{i\omega t} + \Lambda^{3} e^{-i\omega t} \), the matrix \( \mathcal{H} \) takes a simple block tridiagonal form in harmonic \( m \) space: \( \langle \mathcal{H}(t)_{mm}\rangle = \langle H_{dc} - m\omega \rangle \delta_{mn} + (\Lambda \delta_{m,m-1} + \Lambda^{3} \delta_{m,m+1}) \). The single-particle Floquet spectrum is shown in Fig. 1(b) for parameters specified in the caption. For each of the two bands, we assign a winding number \( w \), such that the quasienergy band winds by \( w \pi \) when the quasimomentum \( k \) changes from 0 to \( 2\pi/a \). In our case, the two bands have winding numbers \( w = +1 \) and \( w = -1 \), and we refer to them as the right-moving (R) and left-moving (L) bands, respectively. More generally, a nontrivial winding is achieved in the adiabatic limit when the curve \( \delta J(t), \delta V(t) \) encircles the origin [as in Fig. 1(a)].
IV. MANY-BODY DYNAMICS

We now turn to the many-body dynamics of this system. We consider the situation where the system is initialized with a finite density of particles in the net right-moving Floquet band, shown in green in Fig. 1(b). The initial momenta of the particles are arbitrary.

To investigate the time scales for intraband and interband scattering, we develop a perturbative analysis of the many-body dynamics of the system. The perturbation series is organized in terms of powers of the interaction strength $U$. Crucially, we work in a Floquet picture where the time-dependent driving is first taken into account exactly, to all orders in the driving. As above, we work in the extended space of Fourier coefficients, where the many-body Floquet eigenstates are described by the eigenvectors of the extended Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + U$, with $\mathcal{U}_{mn'} = H_{mn} \delta_{mn'}$.

The extended Hamiltonian defines a (static) eigenvalue problem in the extended space of Fourier coefficients, where the many-body Floquet eigenstates are described by the eigenvectors of the extended Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + U$, with $\mathcal{U}_{mn'} = H_{mn} \delta_{mn'}$.
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The extended Hamiltonian defines a (static) eigenvalue problem in the extended space of Fourier coefficients, where the many-body Floquet eigenstates are described by the eigenvectors of the extended Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + U$, with $\mathcal{U}_{mn'} = H_{mn} \delta_{mn'}$.

The extended Hamiltonian defines a (static) eigenvalue problem in the extended space of Fourier coefficients, where the many-body Floquet eigenstates are described by the eigenvectors of the extended Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + U$, with $\mathcal{U}_{mn'} = H_{mn} \delta_{mn'}$.
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difference of group velocities of the two outgoing particles, and the summation is over all final states that satisfy quasienergy and quasimomentum conservation. The factor $1/|\Delta v|/\Delta$ comes from the density of outgoing states.

Our key observation is that the interband scattering rates are strongly suppressed compared with the intraband ones. For the parameters chosen, the mean $RR \rightarrow RL$ scattering rate is down by a factor of about $10^{-10}$ compared to the average $RR \rightarrow RR$ rate. The average $RR \rightarrow LL$ rate (not shown) is suppressed by a factor of about $10^{-9}$ relative to $RR \rightarrow RL$. The bright lines visible in the interband scattering rate, Fig. 2(b), are associated with single-particle resonances; such resonances yield significant interband scattering rates only in exponentially small regions of phase space (see Appendix E for further discussion of the role of these single-particle resonances).

The suppression of interband relative to intraband scattering originates from the matrix element in Eq. (6). Since $U$ is diagonal in Fourier harmonics, the matrix element for interband scattering is suppressed if the initial and final states have support in different regions in harmonic space. In the adiabatic limit $\omega \ll \Delta$, this is indeed the case. Figure 2(c) shows two representative single-particle Floquet wave functions with quasimomenta $k_1$, $k_2$, one from each band. The support of each state in harmonic space corresponds to the energy window spanned by the instantaneous energy, $E_{\alpha \xi}(t)$ [the eigenvalue of $H_0(t)$ for band $\alpha = R, L$], with $0 \leq t < T$ [69]. This energy window is bounded by $W$, which we define as $W = \max_{\alpha \xi}|E_{\alpha \xi}(t)| - \min_{\alpha \xi}|E_{\alpha \xi}(t)|$ [see Fig. 2(c)]. Outside of this window, the Floquet wave functions decay rapidly. The separation of the Floquet states of the two bands in harmonic space can be derived by mapping the Floquet problem to a Zener tunneling problem in a weak electric field (see Appendix A).

Figure 2(d) shows representative two-particle states that participate in either interband or intraband scatterings. The two-particle states are constructed as convolutions of two single-particle states. For intraband scattering (RR $\rightarrow$ RR), the initial and final states occupy the same region in harmonic space. In contrast, for interband scattering (RR $\rightarrow$ RL), the initial and final states are separated in harmonic space by a gap of order $\Delta/\omega$. (Note that this requires the energy spread of the single-particle states, of order $W$, to be smaller than $\Delta$.) Hence, at least within the Born approximation, interband scattering is strongly suppressed with respect to intraband scattering.

**B. Higher-order contributions**

Next, we consider higher-order contributions to the interband scattering rate [70]. Importantly, the strong suppression of the Born-level interband scattering rate arises from the exponentially small overlap of harmonic-space wave functions of the initial and final states, along with the fact that the time-independent interaction conserves the harmonic index. As we now show, this exponential factor may be avoided at higher orders in perturbation theory, trading the small matrix element for higher powers of the interaction, $U$. Optimizing over the competition between small $U$ and small overlaps, we find an optimal order $N_{\min}$ at which many-body resonances [47] dominate the scattering amplitude. In this way, we argue that the scattering rate should be a power law in $U$, with an exponential suppression in the inverse of the driving frequency, $1/\omega$.

To analyze the scattering amplitudes at higher orders in the interaction, we return to the expansion of the T matrix,

$$T(\Omega) = U + UG_0(\Omega)U + UG_0(\Omega)UG_0(\Omega)U + \cdots.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Recall that the operators $T(\Omega)$, $G_0(\Omega)$, and $U$ are defined in the “extended state” of Fourier harmonics. As we will see, the additional state-space dimensions of the extended space play an important role in describing energy (photon) absorption from the drive.

To facilitate the T-matrix analysis, we define a basis of noninteracting eigenstates of the extended space Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$. For each noninteracting $N$-particle Floquet state, we associate a label $\xi = \{k_\alpha, \alpha_n\}$, $n = 1\ldots N$, denoting the quasimomenta and the band indices $\alpha_n = \{R, L\}$ of all particles. As in the single-particle case [cf. Eq. (4)], the $N$-particle noninteracting Floquet state $|\Psi_\xi(t)\rangle$ is represented by a vector of Fourier coefficients which we denote by $\varphi_\xi$. We use the convention that the many-body quasienergy is given by the sum of single-particle quasienergies, $\epsilon_\xi = \sum_n \epsilon_{1P_k,\alpha_n}$, with $0 \leq \epsilon_{1P_k,\alpha_n} < 2\pi/T$ as above. Under this convention, the quasienergies $\epsilon_\xi$ generically fall outside of the fundamental Floquet zone. The detailed construction of the many-body Fourier vectors $\varphi_\xi$ is given in Appendix C.

In the extended space representation, this spectrum is repeated over and over again, shifted by integer multiples of $\omega$, with the corresponding eigenvectors likewise shifted in harmonic space. Therefore, with each Floquet state $\varphi_\xi$ defined above, we can associate a whole family of eigenstates $\{\varphi_{\xi,\nu}\}$ with components $\varphi_{\xi,\nu}^{(m)} = \varphi_{\xi}^{(m+\nu)}$ and quasienergies $\epsilon_\xi + \nu \omega$. (Note that complete information about the physical Floquet spectrum is captured by eigenstates with a single $\nu$.) In terms of these “copy states,” we write the extended Green’s function $G_0(\Omega)$ as

$$G_0(\Omega) = \sum_{\xi,\nu} \frac{\varphi_{\xi,\nu}^* \varphi_{\xi,\nu}}{\Omega - \epsilon_\xi - \nu \omega + i\delta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Crucially, the copy states defined above play an important role as off-shell virtual intermediate states in the perturbation theory.

At each order, the scattering amplitude involves a product of many-body matrix elements of the form
Each step in perturbation theory, suppression arising from the tiny overlap of harmonic-space scattering amplitude that avoids the (super) exponential suppression of which are heavily suppressed compared with those of the order-1 overlap of the harmonic-space wave function. Importantly, $H_{\text{int}}$ is a two-body operator. Therefore, computation of the matrix element in Eq. (9) can be reduced to the problem of evaluating matrix elements between two-particle Floquet states, $M^{(\nu-\nu')}_{\nu\nu'} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt e^{-i(\nu-\nu')\omega t} \langle \phi_{\nu}(t)| H_{\text{int}}| \phi_{\nu'}(t) \rangle$. By further understanding the properties of these two-particle matrix elements, we may thus characterize the various terms in high-order perturbation theory.

For simplicity, we focus on the case $W \ll \omega \ll \Delta$, where the spread $\delta m$ of the two-particle Floquet wave functions in Fourier space is of order $\delta m = O(1)$ [see Figs. 2(c), 2(d), and 3]. The dependence of the two-particle matrix elements on $\nu-\nu'$ is distinguished by the Floquet band indices of the incoming and outgoing states. In particular, as seen for the special case of the on-shell process depicted in Fig. 2(d), for an RR $\rightarrow$ RR (intraband) transition, the harmonic-space wave functions give an order-1 contribution (no suppression) to Eq. (9) for $|\nu-\nu'| \lesssim \delta m$. For an RR $\rightarrow$ RL matrix element, order-1 overlap of the harmonic-space wave functions is achieved for $(\Delta/\omega) - \delta m \lesssim |\nu-\nu'| \lesssim (\Delta/\omega) + \delta m$. Note that this nonsuppressed interband scattering matrix element necessarily describes an off-shell process. We neglect “double interband” processes RR $\rightarrow$ LL, the rates of which are heavily suppressed compared with those of the primary RR $\rightarrow$ RL decay process.

Using the rules above, we see that at high order in perturbation theory, it is possible to construct an interband scattering amplitude that avoids the (super) exponential suppression arising from the tiny overlap of harmonic-space wave functions that appears in the Born approximation. At each step in perturbation theory, $\mathcal{U}$ can connect virtual states with $|\nu-\nu'| \sim \delta m$. Therefore, as indicated in Fig. 3(b), there is an order $N_{\text{min}} \sim (\Delta/\delta m\omega)$ at which the initial (RR) and final (RL) two-particle states can be connected with no suppression from the harmonic-space wave functions.

Viewing the construction of the $N_{\text{min}}$-order scattering amplitude as a sequence of steps, each application of $\mathcal{U}$ reduces the harmonic-space separation between the virtual intermediate and the final (on-shell) state. These successive off-shell intermediates bring energy denominators of larger and larger magnitudes. After $n$ steps, one particle is transferred from the R to the L band, giving a jump in the sequence of energy denominators. The terms in this sequence, in units of $\delta m\omega$, are of order $-1, -2, \ldots, n, N_{\text{min}} - n, N_{\text{min}} - n - 1, \ldots, 1$ [see Fig. 3(c)]. We write the corresponding transition amplitude as

$$A^{(n)}_{\text{inter}} \approx \frac{(-1)^n a_n}{n!(N_{\text{min}} - n)! (\delta m\omega)^{N_{\text{min}}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Here, $a_n \propto U^{N_{\text{min}}}$ contains a sum of matrix elements of the interaction between the intermediate states. This gives the following rough estimate for the interband scattering rate (see Appendix D for details):

$$\Gamma_{\text{inter}} \propto \left| \sum_n A^{(n)}_{\text{inter}} \right|^2 \sim \left( \frac{aU}{\Delta} \right)^{(2\Delta/\delta m\omega)}. \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Here, $\alpha$ is an $O(1)$ numerical constant.

Physically, in the regime $W \ll \omega \ll \Delta$ that we have considered, the dominant interband scattering processes are associated with $N_{\text{min}}$ scattering events, each one involving the absorption of $\delta m$ energy quanta of $\omega$ from the driving field, such that the total absorbed energy is $\Delta$. We expect such processes to dominate as long as $\omega$ is not too small compared to $W$.

If $W \gg \omega$, the typical change in $m$ in every scattering event scales with the width of the single-particle Floquet wave functions in harmonic space, $\delta m \sim W/\omega$. Hence, we would have $N_{\text{min}} \sim \Delta/W$ in Eq. (11). In this regime, we expect both $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ to vanish as power laws in $\omega$ since in the static limit the system does not absorb energy from the driving field. According to linear response theory, both $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ and $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ scale as $\omega^2$. However, $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ contains an
additional suppression factor, which is exponentially small in $\Delta/W$. Therefore, we expect that the ratio $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}/\Gamma_{\text{intra}}$ remains small for $\omega \ll W$, as long as $\Delta \gg W, U$. Hence, there is a broad parameter regime where the quasi-steady state persists over many driving periods, even for arbitrarily small driving frequency.

V. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

To further study the many-body dynamics, we have performed exact numerical simulations of finite-size systems. To minimize the Hilbert space dimension, we consider fermions in these simulations. We believe that the qualitative behavior does not depend on the quantum statistics, but we leave the detailed study of the bosonic case to future investigations.

In each simulation, we initialize the system in a Slater determinant state where $N$ momentum states in the R Floquet band are occupied, and the L band is empty. The results do not depend sensitively on which states in the R band are occupied, and the L band is empty. The $N$ determinant state where $\Delta$ to future investigations.

The results do not depend sensitively on which states in the R band are initially occupied, except at very short times. The particles move on a lattice of $L$ unit cells (with two sites each), with periodic boundary conditions. The largest system we studied contained eight particles with $L = 16$ unit cells.

Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show the period-averaged current,

$$\mathcal{J}(n_T) = \int_{n_T}^{(n_T+1)T} dt \langle \frac{1}{L} \sum_j J'(r) \{ ic_{j,a}^\dagger c_{j+1,a} + \text{H.c.} \} \rangle,$$

(12)

as a function of $n_T$, the number of periods elapsed (see figure caption for model parameters). The expectation values are taken in the many-body state at time $r'$. At time $t = 0$, the system carries a current that depends on the initial state. Over a time scale $\tau_{\text{intra}} \sim 10T$, the current relaxes to a value $\mathcal{J} \approx \rho/T$ [see Fig. 1(c)] (in this simulation, $\rho = 0.5$). We ascribe this time scale to relaxation within the R band, while the L band remains almost unpopulated. Examining the occupation numbers of momentum states confirms this interpretation (see Appendix F); for $t \gtrsim \tau_{\text{intra}}$, the occupation numbers in the R band are approximately uniform and all close to $\rho$. The average group velocity of states in the R band is $v_g = a/T$. Therefore, the average current $\mathcal{J} \approx (\rho/a)v_g$ observed in Fig. 1(c) is as expected for a uniform particle distribution in the right-moving band.

To explore the robustness of the universal current in the quasi-steady state, we simulated the dynamics for a variety of driving parameter values. In Fig. 4, we show the transient dependence of the current as a function of time in both the nontrivial ($w = 1$) and trivial ($w = 0$) regimes. The data clearly demonstrate that the current saturates to a value $\mathcal{J} \approx \rho w/T$ after a few driving periods.

At longer times, the current undergoes a much slower decay process toward zero, with a time scale $\tau_{\text{inter}}$. This decay is visible in the blue trace (corresponding to $w = 0.33J_0$) in Fig. 1(d), where $\tau_{\text{inter}}$ takes a value of the order of a few hundred periods; at lower frequencies (red curve, $w = 0.1J_0$), no signs of decay are visible within the simulated time range of 1000 periods. During decay, the population of the L band gradually increases. For $t \to \infty$, the occupation numbers of all the momentum states in both bands tend toward $\rho/2$, corresponding to a maximally randomized infinite-temperature-like state.

For times up to several times $\tau_{\text{intra}}$, we find that the average (total) occupation number in the L band increases approximately linearly with time. The slope of the linear growth, which we define as $\Gamma_{\text{inter}} \equiv 1/\tau_{\text{inter}}$, is found to be only weakly dependent on system size for $L$ between 10 and 16 (see Appendix F).

Figure 5 presents the rate $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ as a function of model parameters. The dependence of $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ on $U$ for different values of $\omega$ (with all other parameters fixed) is presented in the left panel of Fig. 5 in a log-log plot, showing a power-law dependence on $U$. The power depends linearly on $1/\omega$ (left panel, inset). The right panel shows the dependence on $\omega$ at fixed $U$. Clearly, $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ scales exponentially with $1/\omega$. For the lowest frequencies we studied, corresponding to $\Delta/\omega \approx 16$ (where $\Delta$ is the minimum instantaneous gap), the rate reaches $\sim 10^{-7}$ in units of $J_0$, indicating a very long-lived quasi-steady state where only the R band is populated. We also find that the behavior of $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}$ shown in Fig. 5 is not sensitive to the details of the band structure and that it persists throughout a wide range of values of the band-structure parameter $\lambda$. 

\[ \text{FIG. 4. Universality of the quasi-steady state. Time traces of the current are shown for four choices of parameter values for the single-particle part of the Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), showcasing the topologically trivial ($w = 0$) and nontrivial ($w = 1$) regimes. After a transient of several driving periods, the current saturates to the value $\mathcal{J} \approx \rho w/T$, where $\rho = 0.5$ is the density of particles, per unit cell. In all cases, the system is initialized by filling all states with crystal momentum values in the range $0 \leq k < \pi/a$, in a single Floquet band. The simulation was done with $\omega = 0.23J_0$ and $U = 2J_0$.} \]
experiments on cold atomic fermions [58] and bosons. Systems, our results may be directly applicable to recent new dynamical regime of slowly driven many-body systems, where heating to the system showcases a novel paradigm of prethermalization, occurring for low-frequency driving, where heating to restricted, highly randomized states allows new topological features to be exposed.

The quasi-steady state carries a robust current whose value depends solely on the density of particles and the topological winding number of the underlying single-particle Floquet spectrum. The combination of periodic driving and interactions leads to rapid heating of the low-energy degrees of freedom of the system, while the large single-particle band gap suppresses (high-energy) interband scattering. In this way, the system showcases a novel paradigm of prethermalization, occurring for low-frequency driving, where heating to restricted, highly randomized states allows new topological features to be exposed.

Beyond demonstrating the existence of this interesting new dynamical regime of slowly driven many-body systems, our results may be directly applicable to recent experiments on cold bosonic atoms in two-dimensional optical lattices found that, over an intermediate time window, the Hall conductivity is given by the average band filling times the Chern number of the band in which the particles are initialized [71]. We believe that such behavior can be understood in terms of the mechanism described here and that our analysis can provide a guide for extending the lifetimes of this interesting prethermal state.

The same mechanism may apply in adiabatically driven three-dimensional systems, where the Floquet bands can have topological properties beyond those of static bands [5]. Adding interactions to these systems can lead to novel universal quasi-steady states with quantized response functions, reflecting the topological nature of the Floquet bands. These new states and their response characteristics will be interesting directions to explore in future work.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work, we studied the dynamics of a periodically driven many-body system where the driving frequency is much smaller than the instantaneous interband gap throughout the driving period. When the system is prepared such that one of the bands is initially empty, while the other is partially occupied, a very long intermediate time window emerges in which a universal quasi-steady state is realized. The quasi-steady state carries a robust current whose value depends solely on the density of particles and the topological winding number of the underlying single-particle Floquet spectrum.

The combination of periodic driving and interactions leads to rapid heating of the low-energy degrees of freedom of the system, while the large single-particle band gap suppresses (high-energy) interband scattering. In this way, the system showcases a novel paradigm of prethermalization, occurring for low-frequency driving, where heating to restricted, highly randomized states allows new topological features to be exposed.

Beyond demonstrating the existence of this interesting new dynamical regime of slowly driven many-body systems, our results may be directly applicable to recent experiments on cold atomic fermions [58] and bosons [57], where quantized pumping has recently been demonstrated. For the parameter regime stated above, initializing the system with a fractional filling of one of the bands should result in a current-carrying quasi-steady state.

The mechanism presented here should apply to systems in higher spatial dimensions as well. Generally, the separation of energy scales provides a way for interactions to stabilize nontrivial long-lived prethermal states, whose universal properties expose the topological features of a system’s underlying Floquet band structure. For example, recent experiments with cold bosonic atoms in two-dimensional optical lattices found that, over an intermediate time window, the Hall conductivity is given by the average band filling times the Chern number of the band in which the particles are initialized [71]. We believe that such behavior can be understood in terms of the mechanism described here and that our analysis can provide a guide for extending the lifetimes of this interesting prethermal state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dima Abanin, Max Genske, Michael Knap, and Anatoli Polkovnikov for illuminating discussions, and David Cohen for technical support. N.L. acknowledges support from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union’s Seventh Framework Programme (No. FP7/2007–2013) under REA Grant Agreement No. 631696, and from the Israeli Center of Research Excellence (I-CORE) “Circle of Light.” N.L. and E.B. acknowledge financial support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (Grant Agreement No. 639172), M. R. gratefully acknowledges the support of the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union, Seventh Framework Programme (No. FP7/2007-2013) under REA Grant Agreement No. PIIF-GA-2013-627838, the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (Grant Agreement No. 678862), and the Villum Foundation. M. R. and E. B. acknowledge support from CRC 183 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

APPENDIX A: SINGLE-PARTICLE FLOQUET WAVE FUNCTIONS

In this appendix, we elaborate on the extended-zone formalism and apply it to the single-particle Floquet states. In particular, we derive the (localized) form of the Floquet wave functions in harmonic space by relating the problem to Zener tunneling in a two-band system in a linear potential.
Consider a single-particle Hamiltonian of the form \( H_0(t) = H_{dc} + \Delta e^{i\omega t} + \Lambda_k e^{-i\omega t} \). (The same formalism applies to any time-periodic Hamiltonian.) We assume that the single-particle Hamiltonian is diagonal in momentum space and focus on the Floquet states \( |\Psi_k(t)\rangle \) for a single value of the crystal momentum \( k \). For simplicity, we also assume that the system has only two bands. The corresponding \( 2 \times 2 \) Bloch Hamiltonian is \( H_{0,k}(t) = H_{dc,k} + \Lambda_k e^{i\omega t} + \Lambda_k^\dagger e^{-i\omega t} \).

Inserting a Floquet state of the form \( |\Psi_k(t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega t} \sum_m |\varphi_k^{(m)}\rangle e^{-im\omega t} \) into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, the quasienergy \( \epsilon_k \) and the Fourier components \( |\varphi_k^{(m)}\rangle \) satisfy

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\ddots & \Lambda_k \\
\Lambda_k^\dagger & H_{dc,k} + \omega & \Lambda_k \\
\Lambda_k^\dagger & H_{dc,k} - \omega & \ddots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
|\varphi_k^{(-1)}\rangle \\
|\varphi_k^{(0)}\rangle \\
|\varphi_k^{(1)}\rangle \\
\ddots \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \epsilon_k
\begin{pmatrix}
|\varphi_k^{(-1)}\rangle \\
|\varphi_k^{(0)}\rangle \\
|\varphi_k^{(1)}\rangle \\
\ddots \\
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{A1}
\]

The operator on the left-hand side of this equation is the Floquet “extended-zone” operator or the “extended Hamiltonian,” \( \mathcal{H}_{0,k} \). Note that \( \mathcal{H}_{0,k} \) has a block-tridiagonal form, analogous to that of a nearest-neighbor tight-binding Hamiltonian. In this picture, the terms proportional to \( \omega \) on the diagonal correspond to a linear potential on the \( m \) lattice.

In the adiabatic limit, \( \omega \ll \Delta \), we first solve the problem with \( \omega = 0 \). The diagonal “linear potential” is later introduced as a perturbation. For \( \omega = 0 \), the problem is translationally invariant in harmonic \( (m) \) space; we can solve it by Fourier transforming along the \( m \) direction. This amounts to transforming from the frequency back to the time domain (where \( t \) plays the role of “momentum” for the \( m \)-space tight-binding problem). The secular equation then becomes

\[
H_0(t)|\Psi_\alpha(t)\rangle = E_\alpha(t)|\Psi_\alpha(t)\rangle,
\tag{A2}
\]

which is nothing but the Schrödinger equation for the instantaneous eigenstates and eigenenergies. Here, \( \alpha = R, L \) is the band index. The two bands are separated by a gap, \( |E_{L,k}(t) - E_{R,k}(t)| \geq \Delta \).

Next, we consider the effect of the linear potential term. The situation is illustrated in Fig. 6(a). The problem is equivalent to the well-known Zener tunneling problem of a two-band semiconductor in an electric field. The states in both bands become localized in the classically allowed region in harmonic space, whose characteristic size is of order \( W_\alpha/\omega \) [where \( W_\alpha = \max_k \{E_{ak}(t)\} - \min_k \{E_{ak}(t)\} \) is an effective bandwidth].

Within this picture, states in the R and L bands that are close in quasienergy (within \( \sim \omega \) of each other) are separated in harmonic space by a spacing of the order of \( \Delta/\omega \). The tails of the wave functions decay rapidly into the classically forbidden region; in the limit \( \omega \ll W_\alpha \), there is a broad region where the wave functions decay as \( e^{-A|m-m_0|/2} \) [see Fig. 6(b)], where \( A \) is a band-structure-dependent dimensionless constant and \( m_0 \) is the boundary of the classically forbidden region. (This form is expected from a Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin treatment of the problem, where \( m \) is treated as a continuous variable.) At asymptotically long distances, larger than several times \( W_\alpha/\omega \), the form of the wave function crosses over to \( e^{-B|m-m_0|/\log|m-m_0|} \), where \( B \) is another dimensionless constant.

The tunneling matrix element between the two bands is hence strongly suppressed in the adiabatic limit. The
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FIG. 6. Effective Zener tunneling problem for the Floquet states in harmonic space. (a) The Floquet problem, Eq. (A1), is equivalent to a tight-binding problem in harmonic \( (m) \) space, with a linear potential term \( m \omega \). The effective bands have a characteristic width \( W \) and gap \( \Delta \). The gray regions indicate the “classically allowed” regions for each fixed value of “energy,” as determined by the sum of the band energies and the linear potential. The Floquet wave functions in the two bands (illustrated by the red and blue bars) are strongly localized in the classically allowed regions and decay rapidly beyond these regions. (b) Modulus squared of a representative Floquet wave function as a function of the Fourier index \( m \). Sufficiently far from the maximum, the wave function decays as \( \exp(-A|m-m_0|/2) \), where \( A \) and \( m_0 \) are constants.
hybridization between the two bands is expected to be very small, unless their energies are very close to each other. As \( k \) varies, the bands nearly cross at a set of \( k \) points [see Fig. 1(b) in the main text]; at these crossing points, the two bands hybridize, and there is an avoided crossing gap whose magnitude is exponentially small in the adiabatic limit. For a generic band structure, the hybridization between the bands is significant only within exponentially small regions in \( k \) space around the near-crossing points. These hybridizations are responsible for the bright lines appearing in Fig. 2(b) in the main text.

**APPENDIX B: STROBOSCOPIC DYNAMICS USING THE EXTENDED-ZONE HAMILTONIAN**

The extended-zone Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) in harmonic space [shown in Eq. (A1) for the single-particle case] is designed such that its spectrum and eigenstates correspond to the quasienergies and Floquet states, respectively. It can also be used to generate the stroboscopic dynamics at times \( t = nT \), where \( n \) is an integer, starting from an arbitrary initial state.

In order to see this, consider a Floquet-state solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation generated by the physical Hamiltonian \( \hat{H}(t) \), of the form \( |\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{H}}|\psi(0)\rangle \). Compare this state to a solution of the auxiliary-time Schrödinger equation in harmonic (extended) space, generated by \( \mathcal{H} \) (see main text):

\[
|\varphi_{\text{ext}}(\tau)\rangle = e^{-i\hat{\mathcal{H}}\tau}|\varphi(0)\rangle, \quad |\varphi(1)\rangle, \ldots |\varphi(n)\rangle, \ldots T. \tag{B1}
\]

(We use Dirac bra-ket notation for states in the physical Hilbert space, whereas vectors in the extended space are written without Dirac notation.) We relate the “evolved” state in the extended space to a state in the physical Hilbert space at stroboscopic times \( t = nT \) by summing over the harmonic components of \( |\varphi_{\text{ext}}(\tau)\rangle \):

\[
|\varphi_{\text{ext}}(t = nT)\rangle = e^{-i\mathcal{H}T} \sum_m |\varphi(m)\rangle \]. \tag{B2}

At these stroboscopic times, we find that \( |\psi(t = nT)\rangle \) and \( |\varphi_{\text{ext}}(t = nT)\rangle \) coincide.

The reasoning above can be extended to the time evolution of an arbitrary initial state, \( |\varphi_0\rangle \). This is done by expanding \( |\varphi_0\rangle \) in terms of Floquet eigenstates, considering the time evolution with respect to either \( \mathcal{H}(t) \) or \( \mathcal{H} \), and comparing the time-evolved wave functions at times \( t = nT \).

**APPENDIX C: CONSTRUCTION OF NONINTERACTING (“FREE”) MANY-BODY FLOQUET STATES**

We build up the noninteracting \( N \)-particle state \( \varphi_{\xi} \) one harmonic at a time. First, we define a single-particle Floquet state \( \varphi_{1P,\xi} \), as in Eq. (4), for each \( \xi = \{k_i, a_i\} \), with \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). As used throughout the main text, the single-particle quasienergies \( \epsilon_{1P,\xi} \) are taken in the interval \( 0 \leq \epsilon_{1P,\xi} < 2\pi/T \). Within this convention, we define a set of creation operators \( \hat{\varphi}_{\xi}^{(m)}\rangle \) for all \( m \), using the identification \( \varphi_{1P,\xi}^{(m)}\rangle = \varphi_{\xi}^{(m)}\rangle |0\rangle \). Our analysis holds for both bosons and fermions, where the creation and annihilation operators, respectively, satisfy the commutation (+) and anticommutation (−) relations \( \{\varphi_{\xi}^{(m)}, \varphi_{\xi'}^{(m')}\} = 0 \) and \( \{\varphi_{\xi}^{(m)}, \varphi_{\xi'}^{(m')}\} \rangle = \langle \varphi_{\xi}^{(m)} | \varphi_{\xi'}^{(m')} \rangle \).

The \( n \)th Fourier component of the many-body state \( \varphi_{\xi} \) is determined by a convolution over the single-particle harmonics:

\[
|\varphi_{\xi}^{(m)}\rangle = \sum_{\{m_i\}} \delta_m \sum_{m_i} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^N \varphi_{\xi_i}^{(m_i)}\rangle \right] \langle 0 |, \tag{C1}
\]

In the above, the sum extends over all sets of \( N \) integers \( m_i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \). Note that the quasienergy of the many-body state \( \varphi_{\xi} \) is \( \varepsilon_{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{1P,\xi} \), with the convention \( 0 \leq \varepsilon_{1P,\xi} < 2\pi/T \) specified above. Thus, \( \varepsilon_{\xi} \) is uniquely specified and generically falls outside the fundamental Floquet-Brillouin zone.

**APPENDIX D: ESTIMATE OF THE INTERBAND SCATTERING RATE**

Here, we describe the steps leading to the estimate of the interband scattering rate [Eq. (6) of the main text]. We begin with the term in the expression for the amplitude of order \( N_{\text{min}} \) in which a particle is scattered from the L to the R band after \( n \) steps, with \( 0 \leq n \leq N_{\text{min}} - 1 \). This amplitude (in the limit \( W \ll \omega \) is given by

\[
A^{(n)}_{\text{int}} \approx \frac{(-1)^n a_n}{n! (N_{\text{min}} - n)! (\Delta \delta \omega)^{N_{\text{min}}}}. \tag{D1}
\]

Using Stirling’s formula for \( n, N_{\text{min}} \gg 1 \), we may replace \( n! (N_{\text{min}} - n)! \approx e^{N_{\text{min}} \log(N_{\text{min}}) - f(n/N_{\text{min}})} \), where \( f(x) = 1 + x \log(2x) + (1 - x) \log(1 - x) \). The function \( f(x) \) is bounded, and \( f(x) = O(1) \) for \( 0 \leq x \leq 1 \). Therefore, we approximate the factor \( e^{-N_{\text{min}} f(n/N_{\text{min}})} \) by \( \beta_1^{-N_{\text{min}}} \) leading exponential accuracy in the limit of large \( N_{\text{min}} \), where \( \beta_1 = O(1) \) is some constant.

In order to get a rough estimate of the interband scattering rate \( \Gamma_{\text{inter}} \), we must examine the factor \( a_n \) in Eq. (6), which contains a sequence of matrix elements of the interaction term \( \mathcal{U} \) between the initial, intermediate, and final states. The dominant dependence of \( a_n \) on \( N_{\text{min}} \) is expected to be of the form \( a_n \sim (\beta_2 U)^{N_{\text{min}}} \), where \( \beta_2 = O(1) \). Hence, summing the amplitudes \( A^{(n)}_{\text{int}} \) over \( n \), taking the modulus of the square, and using \( N_{\text{min}} \sim \Delta / \delta \omega \), we get the estimate for \( \Gamma_{\text{inter}} \) quoted in Eq. (6) of the main text. While this estimate is rather rough, our numerical results for \( \Gamma_{\text{inter}} \) show excellent agreement with the form predicted in Eq. (6).
APPENDIX E: SINGLE-PARTICLE RESONANCES

Our many-body simulations reveal that the interband scattering rate is well described by Eq. (11). In particular, for a fixed interaction strength, the rate depends exponentially on $\Delta/\omega$. Since the single-particle avoided-crossing gap that forms at a crossing point of the counterpropagating Floquet bands is similarly exponentially small in $\Delta/\omega$, one may wonder whether the interband scattering rate is dominated by many-body processes of the type described in Appendix D or by the single-particle resonances. The latter have a pronounced effect on the two-body interband scattering rate within the Born approximation; see Fig. 2.

In this appendix, we test the effect of the single-particle resonances on the many-body dynamics. In our finite-size many-body simulation, momentum is quantized in units of $2\pi/L$, with $L = 10$ to 16. Generically, none of the allowed momentum points are close to the single-particle resonances, which affect only a small region in momentum space. To assess the effect of the resonances, we performed simulations with twisted boundary conditions, such that one of the allowed $k$ points is tuned to be close to a single-particle resonance. Such tuning should greatly overestimate the effect of the single-particle resonances in our finite system, compared to the thermodynamic limit: In the finite system, a fraction $1/L$ of the momentum points are strongly affected by the proximity to a resonance point, whereas in the thermodynamic limit, only an exponentially small fraction $\Delta_{\text{res}}/2\pi v$ of the Brillouin zone is significantly affected by the resonances. Here, $\Delta_{\text{res}}$ is the (exponentially small) single-particle avoided-crossing gap due to a multiphoton resonance, and $v$ is the group velocity of the bands near the crossing point.

Figure 7 shows results of simulations with three different twist angles, $\theta_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that the allowed single-particle momenta on the ring are $k_n = 2\pi n/L + \theta_i$ ($n$ is an integer). We parametrize $\theta_i = \theta_0 + \delta \theta_i$, where $\theta_0$ is the twist angle necessary for one of the $k$ points to hit one of the resonances, and $\delta \theta_{1,2,3} = \{0.14 \times 10^{-5}, 0.5 \times 10^{-5}, 1/L\} \times \pi$, respectively, with $L = 16$.

Figure 7(a) presents the single-particle Floquet spectrum zoomed-in to the vicinity of one of the resonance points. The position of the nearest allowed $k$ point is shown for $\delta \theta_{1,2}$; the twist angles have been tuned to one part in $10^5$ in order to place this $k$ point close to the resonance. In contrast, $\delta \theta_3$ is such that all the $k$ points are as far as possible from the resonance. As before, we initialize the system with $N = L/2 = 8$ particles in the R band and evolve it in time, For $\delta \theta_{1,2}$, the initial conditions are chosen such that the $k$ point near the resonance is initially unoccupied [72].

Figure 7(b) shows the total occupation of the L band as a function of time (excluding the $k_{\text{res}}=0$ point, which for $\delta \theta_{1,2}$ is near the resonance) for the three values of the twist angle $\theta_i$. The results for $\delta \theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are essentially identical, despite the fact that for $\delta \theta_1$, one of the $k$ points is about 3 times closer to the resonance compared to the nearest point for $\delta \theta_2$. The average interband scattering rate over 40 periods for $\delta \theta_3$, where there is no $k$ point close to any of the resonances, is within about 3% of the rate for $\delta \theta_{1,2}$. We further examined the current carried by the quasi-steady state that forms after a few periods for the three boundary conditions (not shown), and we found that the average current from 20 to 40 periods is the same for the different $\delta \theta_i$'s to within about 2%; their root-mean-square temporal fluctuations around the average value are similar as well.

These results suggest that the single-particle resonances have little effect on the interband scattering rate or the quasi-steady state that forms at intermediate times. As further evidence for this, note that the polynomial dependence of the rate on the interaction strength, $U$, in Eq. (11)
suggests that the dominant interband scattering is a high-order process in the interaction, rather than a single-particle process.

Why do the single-particle resonances affect the many-body dynamics so weakly? We propose the following physical picture. When a particle in the lower instantaneous band is scattered into a momentum state near a resonance, a time of order $1/\Delta_{\text{res}}$ is needed for a transition to the upper band to occur. This time is exponentially long in $\Delta/\omega$ and is therefore typically much longer than the time for intraband scattering [which scales as $1/T^2$, see Eq. (6)]. Therefore, it is likely that the particle will be scattered to other states within the lower band before it has time to complete the transition. In such a scattering event, phase coherence between the states of the two bands at $k_{\text{res}}$ is destroyed, inhibiting the transition to the higher band.

**APPENDIX F: FURTHER DETAILS OF THE NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS**

In our numerical simulations, the many-body wave function $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is time evolved numerically, using the Hamiltonian $H(t)$ [Eqs. (1) and (2)], for up to 1000 driving periods. The simulations are performed using a finite time step $\Delta t$ and a Trotter-Suzuki decomposition of the evolution operator within each time step. Most of the simulations were done with $\Delta t = T/500$. For $\omega < 0.2J_0$, we used $\Delta t = T/850$. We have verified that the results do not change upon decreasing $\Delta t$ further, even for the longest times simulated.

To extract the interband rates plotted in Fig. 3 of the main text, we computed the distribution of particles in the different single-particle Floquet states at times that correspond to integer multiples of the driving period. We thus calculate $N_\alpha^{(t)}(mT) = \langle \Psi(mT)|\hat{\psi}_{k,\alpha}^\dagger\hat{\psi}_{k,\alpha}|\Psi(mT)\rangle$, where $\hat{\psi}_{k,\alpha}^\dagger$ is the creation operator for the Floquet state $|\psi(0)_{k,\alpha}\rangle$ with momentum $k$, and the index $\alpha = R, L$ indicates the right- or left-moving Floquet band.

Typical particle distributions in the Floquet bands are plotted in Fig. 8. States within the right-moving band quickly become nearly equally populated, with probabilities close to 0.5 (corresponding to the density $\rho = N/L = 0.5$, taken in the simulation). This indicates the establishment of a quasi-infinite-temperature state, restricted to the R band. After an initial transient of a few driving periods, the population in the L band increases linearly with time, with a small rate, while the population in the right-moving band decreases with the same rate. The rates shown in Fig. 3 of the main text were obtained by considering the rate of increase of the average population in the left-moving band (slope of the yellow line in the right panel of Fig. 8), $\Gamma_{\text{inter}} = (1/L)\sum_k[N_k^{(R)}(t = mT) - N_k^{(L)}(t = m_0T)]/[(m - m_0)T]$ with $m = 20$ and $m_0 = 5$.

![FIG. 8. Particle distribution in single-particle Floquet states in the right- and left-moving Floquet bands, $N_k^{(R)}$ and $N_k^{(L)}$, as a function of time. In both figures, we indicate only the maximal and minimal occupations, $\max_k N_k^{(\alpha)}$ and $\min_k N_k^{(\alpha)}$, by shading the area between them. In both plots, the yellow line indicates the average occupation $\langle 1/L \sum_k N_k^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ within the given band. As can be seen from the left figure, the distribution in the right-moving band relaxes to an approximately uniform distribution within a short time scale of a few driving periods. The right figure clearly shows the interband scattering rate from the right- to the left-moving Floquet band. The parameters for this figure were $\omega = 0.27J_0$, $\lambda = 0.56$, $U = 1.67J_0$, $L = 16$, and $\rho = 0.5$.](image)

The largest system that we could reach with our numerical simulations included eight particles on 32 sites (i.e., $L = 16$ unit cells and density $\rho = 0.5$). To verify that the rates reported in Fig. 3 of the main text do not suffer from substantial finite-size effects, we studied the size dependence of the interband scattering rate, normalized to the length of the system, $\Gamma_{\text{inter}}/(J_0L)$, while keeping the density fixed. For the parameter regimes plotted in Fig. 3 of the main text, we found that the size-normalized rate is only weakly size dependent between $L = 10$ and $L = 16$, and it appears to be saturating by $L = 16$. This indicates that at $L = 16$, finite-size effects are small and do not change the results qualitatively. A representative plot of the finite-size dependence of the size-normalized rate can be found in Fig. 9.

![FIG. 9. Size dependence of the interband scattering rate normalized by the size of the system, $\Gamma/(J_0L)$. As the number of unit cells, $L$, is changed, the density of particles is held fixed at $\rho = 0.5$. The parameters used for this figure were $\omega = 0.3$, $\lambda = 0.56$, $U = 2$.](image)
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