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Abstract—Body-worn cameras are now commonly used for logging daily life, sports, and law enforcement activities, creating a large volume of archived footage. This paper studies the problem of classifying frames of footage according to the activity of the camera-wearer with an emphasis on application to real-world police body-worn video. Real-world datasets pose a different set of challenges from existing egocentric vision datasets: the amount of footage of different activities is unbalanced, the data contains personally identifiable information, and in practice it is difficult to provide substantial training footage for a supervised approach. We address these challenges by extracting features based exclusively on motion information then segmenting the video footage using a semi-supervised classification algorithm. On publicly available datasets, our method achieves results comparable to, if not better than, supervised and/or deep learning methods using a fraction of the training data. It also shows promising results on real-world police body-worn video.

Index Terms—Egocentric vision, semi-supervised learning.

I. INTRODUCTION

With the development of body-worn camera technology, it is now possible and convenient to record continuously for a long period of time, enabling video capture of entire days. This technology has been adopted by law enforcement agencies to log all police activity. The footage serves as a source of evidence and a way to promote transparency and accountability in law enforcement [1]. However, this generates a massive amount of first-person footage on a daily basis that is impossible for humans for review. It is hence necessary to develop algorithms to aid in summarizing and indexing such video footage. In this paper, we are concerned with recognizing what the camera-wearer is doing and indexing the footage according to this “ego-activity”.

Classifying ego-activities in body-worn video footage has often been studied in the context of sports videos [2] and life-log videos [3]–[6]. Other than [7], no experiments have been conducted on real-world police body-worn video. In the present paper, we fill this gap by studying a police body-worn video dataset provided by the Los Angeles Police Department (LAPD) and designing an ego-activity recognition algorithm to handle the unique challenges present in the field dataset. As pointed out in [7], the real-world human behaviors captured in the footage are diverse; we also observe that the amount of footage of each activity is distributed unevenly. In the provided dataset, the majority of the footage is recorded when the police officers are standing still, walking, or inside a vehicle, but we have also noticed a variety of relatively rare activities such as riding a bike or motorcycle. One reason that footage of an activity is scarce is that the activity is not recorded very often. For instance, we have only found police officers riding a motorcycle in two of the hundred videos we have studied. On the other hand, some activities occur frequently, but each occurrence lasts a very short period of time, e.g., a burst of running in chase of a suspect. It is important for us to correctly recognize these rare activities because often they are the more significant ones to identify.

Most ego-activity recognition methods require a substantial amount of training footage; supervision is either used to determine the importance of extracted low-level features in a bottom-up system (e.g., [8]) or used to learn features in a deep-learning approach (e.g., [5]). In the present paper, we consider a semi-supervised approach, in which we utilize a much smaller amount of labeled training data than a typical supervised method. Although body-worn video footage is abundant, the majority of the footage is recording routine activities. This makes it tedious and difficult to locate and annotate a large amount footage of rare but significant activities. In the application to police body-worn video, which is highly sensitive because it contains personally identifiable information, the annotation process is even more time-consuming due to strict data security protocols.

The proposed semi-supervised approach is based on similarity graphs. It first quantifies the similarities between pairs of data points, i.e., short pieces of video, according to handcrafted, motion-based features adapted from [9]. Then, it spreads the label information from a small set of manually labeled fidelity points to unlabeled data. We propose the use of handcrafted features instead of deep-learning features so that we can ensure that the features do not compromise personally identifiable information in police body-worn videos. For the same data security reason, we employ features based exclusively on motion cues without object detection and tracking. With the aid of the Nyström extension, the graph-based semi-supervised classification method is scalable to handle the enormous size...
of body-worn video datasets.

Besides the police body-worn video dataset, we also benchmark the proposed method on publicly available datasets and demonstrate its comparable performance to supervised methods although it only uses a fraction of training data.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II, we survey related work on analyzing egocentric vision and activity recognition. In section III, we introduce our feature extraction and semi-supervised learning method. We report our experimental setup and results in section IV. Finally, the conclusions and future work follow in section V.

II. RELATED WORKS

Research in summarizing and segmenting egocentric videos recorded by body-worn cameras dates back to the early 2000s [10]. Since then, this has been an active research area due to the advancement in computer vision, machine learning, and deep learning [11]; here we review work most relevant to our own.

The task of activity recognition in body-worn video can be categorized into three lines of research: (1) one relies on object-hand interactions and video content (i.e., what objects and people are in the video), (2) one uses the motion of the camera, and (3) ones uses a combination of the previous two. Typically, neither object-hand interactions nor the motion of the camera is directly available as metadata in egocentric vision datasets, so all three lines of research start with inferring respective pieces of information from raw video footage.

Works following the first approach rely on object detection and tracking to classify the camera-wearer’s activities, for instance, [4], [6], [12]–[14]. Popular benchmark datasets used to validate methods focusing on hand-object interactions are the GTEA and GTEA Gaze+ datasets, provided by [6], and ADL-short in [3] and ADL-long in [4]. The GTEA and GTEA Gaze+ datasets are recorded by Tobii eye-tracking glasses when wearers are cooking in a natural setting, so these two datasets contain eye-gaze direction information not typically available in other body-worn video datasets. Both ADL dataset are recorded with a chest-mounted camera when the wearers are performing various daily tasks indoors. The aforementioned datasets are different from our police body-worn video dataset, which was recorded outdoor and usually did not capture police officers’ hand movement, so we do not pursue this thread of research.

The second line of research is to recognize activities based on motion analysis. A wide variety of motion features have been proposed in the literature. [2] uses a histogram-based motion feature to classify sports activities in videos recorded by head-mounted GoPro cameras. [9] proposes a motion descriptor that inspired our feature selection method. [7] uses inferred camera movement signals and their dominant frequencies. Many ways of incorporating temporal information in motion analysis are proposed; for instance, [15] proposes to apply multiple temporal pooling operators to any per-frame motion descriptor. Deep convolutional neural networks are also used to extract motion features; for instance, [16] learns a motion representation by using 2D convolution neural network on stacked spectrograms and a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) network. With multiple available features extracted, [17] proposes a multiple kernel learning method to combine local and global motion features. A benchmark dataset for this line of research is the HUJI EgoSeg dataset provided by [8], which is recorded when the wearer is performing a variety of activities in both indoor and outdoor settings. As in the HUJI EgoSeg dataset, we observe that many activities of interest in our police body-worn video dataset induce distinctive camera movement patterns, and so we focus on a motion-based approach. The proposed approach differs from the aforementioned methods in that it is semi-supervised; we demonstrate in section IV-C that it achieves comparable performance to the supervised methods on the HUJI EgoSeg dataset using a fraction of training data.

For the third line of research, methods that utilize both appearance (i.e., object recognition and tracking) and motion cues are often combined with deep learning. Both [5] and [18] use a two-stream deep convolution neural network, one stream for images and another stream for optical flow fields, to discover long-term activities in body-worn video. Both [19] and [20] use an auto-encoder network to extract motion and appearance features in an unsupervised fashion. We note that features extracted from appearance cues using a convolutional neural network may be used to reconstruct the original frame, which can potentially be used to recover personally identifiable information, so we do not pursue this line of approach.

In [7], the authors also study ego-activity recognition in police body-worn video. We improve upon their work by choosing a more sophisticated feature than theirs to handle the increased diversity of activities in our much larger dataset. We demonstrate the improved performance of the proposed method in section IV. To the best of our knowledge, no other experiment results on real-world police body-worn video have been reported in the literature.

III. METHOD

We start with extracting features based on motion cues from the video. The extracted motion features are potentially high-dimensional, so they are compressed to a lower-dimensional representation to alleviate computational burden. Finally, we classify the video footage with the low-dimensional representation using a graph-based semi-supervised learning method that only requires 10% training data from each class of activity. The flowchart fig. 1 summarizes the proposed system, which we detail below.

A. Motion Descriptor

Our motion descriptor is similar to the one presented in [9] except for the final dimension reduction step: [9] uses the principle component analysis (PCA) whereas we choose the non-negative matrix factorization (NMF) because the features are inherently non-negative. Before we compute any feature, we resize all video frames to have a resolution of 576 × 1024 and hence an aspect ratio of 16 : 9, allowing us to choose a uniform set of video parameters across all datasets.
1) Dense Optical Flow Fields: Dense optical flow fields [21]–[24], which describe relative motion between objects in the scene and the camera, form the basis of our motion analysis. Optical flow fields are fields of two-dimensional vectors defined on the two-dimensional domain of images. In the discrete setting, an optical flow field associates each pixel in an image with an optical flow vector which consists of a horizontal and vertical component. An optical flow field is calculated from a pair of consecutive frames under the assumption that pixels displaced according to the optical flow field should preserve their intensities after the displacement. Assuming that the objects recorded in a pair of frames are static, the optical flow field encodes the movement of the camera and hence the movement of the camera-wearer. Although this assumption does not necessarily hold perfectly for real-world body-worn video footage, static background objects often cover the majority of frames, and thus we can use optical flow fields to estimate the movement of the camera-wearer. Even when this assumption is not true, we have found that optical flow fields induced by the movement of objects instead of the camera-wearer are still helpful in certain situations. For instance, they characterize driving a car by the static interior of the vehicle and the movement in the windshield region. This is also observed in the experiments conducted by [5]; the authors find distinctive patterns of optical flow fields in the windshield region that correspond well to the camera-wearer driving a car.

---

Algorithm 1 Global Motion Descriptor

1: **Input:** Optical flow fields matrix $O \in \mathbb{R}^{n_f \times n_x \times n_y \times 2}$
2: **Output:** Matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{s_t \times (s_x \times s_y \times 8)}$
3: **Initialize** $dt = 60, dx = dy = 64, s_x = \frac{n_x}{2}, s_y = \frac{n_y}{2}$, $s_t = \lfloor \frac{n_f}{dt} \rfloor$, histogram count matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{s_t \times s_x \times s_y \times 8}$
4: for $i = 0 : s_t$ do
5:   for $j = 0 : s_x$ do
6:     for $k = 0 : s_y$ do
7:       % Step 1. Partition:
8:       cuboid = $O[i \cdot dt : (i+1) \cdot dt, j \cdot dx : (j+1) \cdot dx, k \cdot dy : (k+1) \cdot dy, :]$
9:       % reshape: $\mathbb{R}^{dt \times dx \times dy \times 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{(dt \cdot dx \cdot dy) \times 2}$
10:      cuboid = reshape(cuboid)
11:     end for
12:   end for
13: end for
14: for $l = 0, 1, \cdots, (dt \cdot dx \cdot dy)$ do
15:   $v = \text{cuboid}[l, :]$
16:   $\theta = \text{phase}(v)$
17:   $bin = \lfloor \theta / \pi \rfloor$
18:   $C[i, j, k, bin]++$
19: end for
20: $X = \text{reshape}(C)$
2) Histograms on Dense Optical Flow Fields: Using optical flow fields is common in classifying ego-activities. Different motion features are effectively different ways of aggregating them. For instance, authors of [2], [9], [15] bin optical flow vectors to construct features of the form of concatenated histograms, [5], [19], [20] aggregate them via convolution kernels, and [7], [8] infer camera movement using unaggregated optical flow fields as input. In our case, we compute the motion descriptors, proposed in [9], as histograms of extracted dense optical flow vectors. We bin the vectors according to their locations in the frames and orientations, and then count the number of vectors in each bin. Note that we lose magnitude information in this process because the bins only correspond with locations and orientations. The features proposed in [2] retain magnitude information by further grouping optical flow vectors according to their magnitudes, but in our experiments we observe comparable performance using the simplified features.

To compute the motion descriptors from the optical flow fields, we consider a video as a 3D volume with frames (optical flow fields) stacked along the time axis. We spatially divide each frame into $s_x$ by $s_y$ rectangular regions of fixed width $dx$ and height $dy$ pixels; the choice of $dx$ and $dy$ determines the spatial resolution of the final features. We have found that choosing $dx$ and $dy$ that are divisible by the total number of pixels in length and height, respectively — yielding $s_x = 16$ and $s_y = 9$ — gives good performance on all datasets tested. We also divide the video into $s_t$ video segments, each with a fixed time duration $\Delta T$, that is, $dt$ frames. We choose $\Delta T$ depending on the time scale of the ego-activities that we wish to classify. For instance, we choose $\Delta T = 0.2$ second for videos containing a mix of long term and short term ego-activities, whereas we choose $\Delta T = 4$ seconds if we wish to classify relatively long-term activities. The choice of $\Delta T$ also determines the computation cost of the subsequent analysis. A finer time resolution, i.e. a smaller $\Delta T$, yields more video segments for a given video and hence results in more computations.

Consider the optical flow vectors in each $dx \times dy \times dt$ volume. We place each of them into one of the pre-defined eight histogram bins based on its orientation. Formally, a volumetric vector with a dimension of $s_x \times s_y \times s_t$ is defined as a histogram of “motion words”; each motion word is the movement of a specific orientation in a specific region of the frame. Analogously, a topic — a collection of motion words — describes a global movement pattern. We then model the concatenated histogram of motion words of each video segment as a non-negative linear combination of the topics.

NMF factorizes a non-negative $m \times n$ matrix $X$ (in our case, $m = s_x \times s_y \times 8$ and $n = s_t$) into the product of two low rank non-negative $m \times \hat{k}$ and $\hat{k} \times n$ matrices $V$ and $H$. The number $\hat{k}$ is chosen by the users according to their computation resources and tuned based on the resulting performance. We have found that $\hat{k} = 50$ works well for all considered datasets. Formally, this is achieved by solving the following constrained minimization problem,

$$\min_{V,H} \|X - VH\|^2_F, \text{ subject to } V \geq 0, H \geq 0,$$

where $\| \cdot \|_F$ denotes the Frobenius norm. Each column in $V$ represents a basis vector (a topic), and each entry in $H$ represents the non-negative linear combination coefficients. Each column in the matrix $H$ is the feature vector for a single video segment, which will be passed into our classification algorithm after a post-processing step (detailed in section III-A4).

We also note that we do not necessarily need to perform NMF every time we obtain a new video. We may choose to fix $V$ which we obtain by applying NMF to the initial dataset. Then we only need to compute the combination coefficients $H_{\text{new}}$ for the new videos $X_{\text{new}}$ by solving a non-negative least squares problem

$$H_{\text{new}} = \arg\min_H \|X_{\text{new}} - VH\|^2_F,$$

which can be solved very efficiently using methods such as ones proposed in [26].

The dimension reduction step also helps to secure personally identifiable information. Since we do not make use of $V$ in the classification algorithm, there is no need to save it. Without the basis, it is impossible to reconstruct the data matrix $X$ and let alone the content of the videos.

4) Post-processing: We assume a certain degree of temporal regularity of the extracted features: the duration of activities is typically much longer than transitions between them, and so transitions are relatively rare. We note that none of our previous feature extraction procedure takes advantage of this temporal regularity. Each optical flow field is computed from only two adjacent frames, motion descriptors are aggregated within non-overlapping video segments, and NMF treats columns in the data matrix $X$ (motion descriptors of video segments) independently. Methods exploiting temporal regularity have been proposed before. In [15], for instance, the authors apply multiple temporal pooling operators to the extracted per-frame motion and visual features and use the outputs as additional features. We choose a simpler approach, in which we apply a single moving-window average operator widely used in the context of topic modeling, where users want to learn topics, a collection of words that often co-occur in textual documents, each of which is represented by a histogram of words. In our case, each video segment is represented by a histogram of “motion words”; each motion word is the movement of a specific orientation in a specific region of the frame. Analogously, a topic — a collection of motion words — describes a global movement pattern. We then model the concatenated histogram of motion words of each video segment as a non-negative linear combination of the topics.
on each row of $H$ and then pass these averaged features to the classification method. We determine the window size of the moving-window average operator experimentally for each dataset. Choosing a large window size may eliminate distinct features of short-term activities, so the choice depends on the types of activities in the dataset as well as the chosen value of $\Delta T$.

B. Classification Method

1) Graph-based semi-supervised classification method: Recently, graph-based semi-supervised and unsupervised learning methods have been successfully applied to image processing \[27\] and classification of high-dimensional data such as hyperspectral images \[28\]–\[31\] and body-worn videos \[7\]. In this section, we outline one of these methods based on minimizing the graph Total Variation, which has been studied in \[32\]–\[34\]. We consider each data point (i.e. video segment) as a node in a weighted graph. The edge weight between a pair of nodes $i$ and $j$ is given by the similarity

$$w_{ij} = \exp \left( -\frac{\|H_i - H_j\|_2^2}{\tau_{ij}} \right),$$

where $\| \cdot \|_2$ denotes the 2-norm of a vector and $\tau_{ij}$‘s are scaling constants. Here $H_i$ is the $i$th column of matrix $H$ obtained from NMF. The scaling constants can either be the same chosen $\tau$ for all pairs of $i$ and $j$, or chosen locally for each individual pair \[35\]. We choose the local scaling constants $\tau_{ij} = \tau_i \tau_j$ where $\tau_i$ is the distance between $i$ and its $K$th nearest neighbor.

We aim to partition $n$ nodes into $c$ classes (i.e. ego-activities) such that

1) similar nodes between which edge weights are large (i.e. $w_{ij}$‘s are close to 1 should be in the same class, and

2) fidelity nodes (i.e. manually labeled nodes) should be classified according to their labels.

To achieve 1), we optimize the graph Total Variation (TV) defined as follows. Let $u$ be an $\{0, 1\}^c$-valued assignment function on the set of nodes, that is $u_i(i) = 1$ meaning we assign the $i$th data point to class $\ell$. We can then define the graph Total Variation

$$|u|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_{ij} \|u(i) - u(j)\|_1$$

(4)

We observe that \[4\] admits a trivial minimizer that is constant across all nodes. To avoid this problem and to incorporate the fidelity data, we introduce a quadratic data fidelity term

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M(i) \|u(i) - f(i)\|_2^2,$$

(5)

where $M(i) = 1$ if node $i$ is chosen as fidelity and 0 otherwise, and $f(i) \in \{0, 1\}^c$ encodes the known label of node $i$. We weight the fidelity term by a positive parameter $\eta$ to balance the graph TV term and the fidelity term in the objective function,

$$\frac{1}{2} |u|_{TV} + \eta F(u).$$

Instead of minimizing \[3\] directly, which is discrete and combinatorial, we solve the Ginzburg-Landau relaxation \[32\] for $u(i) \in \mathbb{R}^c$. Namely, we replace the graph Total Variation $|u|_{TV}$ with

$$GL_\epsilon(u) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_{ij} \|u(i) - u(j)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(u(i)),$$

(7)

where $\epsilon$ is a small positive constant, and $P$ is a multi-well potential with minima at the corners of the unit simplex, for instance

$$P(u(i)) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{c} \left( \frac{1}{4} \|u(i) - \epsilon_\ell\|_2^2 \right),$$

(8)

where $\epsilon_\ell$ is the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^c$ in the $\ell$th direction. The authors of \[36\] prove the following $\Gamma$-convergence

$$GL_\epsilon(u) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} |u|_{TV}$$

(9)

as $\epsilon \to 0$ in the case of $c = 2$. The $\Gamma$-convergence ensures that the minimizers of $GL_\epsilon(u)$ approach the minimizers of $|u|_{TV}$.

After the Ginzburg-Landau relaxation, we arrive at the objective function

$$GL_\epsilon(u) + \eta F(u),$$

(10)

which we minimize with respect to $u$.

To formulate \[10\] in terms of matrices, we first identify $u$ and $f$ by a $n \times c$ matrix where $u_{i\ell} = u(i)\ell$ and $f_{i\ell} = f_\ell(i)$. We let $W$ be the matrix of $w_{ij}$‘s, and $D$ be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with the $i$th entry $d_i$ being the strength of node $i$, i.e. $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij}$, and then define the graph Laplacian

$$L = D - W.$$  

(11)

We also let $M$ be an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix of which the $i$th entry is $M(i)$ indicating whether node $i$ is chosen as fidelity. If we define $L$ and $M$ this way, we can write \[10\] in the matrix form

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(u^T Lu) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(u(i)) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|M(u - f)\|_2^2.$$  

(12)

In graph clustering, unsupervised learning, and community detection literature, the graph Laplacian is often normalized to guarantee convergence to a continuum differential operator with a large number of data points (see, for instance, \[32\]). One popular version of normalized graph Laplacian is the symmetric Laplacian

$$L_s = I - D^{-\frac{1}{2}} W D^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

If we substitute $L$ for $L_s$, the first quadratic term of \[12\] becomes

$$\text{trace}(u^T L_s u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_{ij} \|u(i) - u(j)\|_2^2.$$  

The methods described in the remainder of this paper carry over regardless of which graph Laplacian is used, and the notation $L$ is a placeholder for any choice of graph Laplacian. In our experiments, we choose to use the symmetric graph Laplacian $L_s$ because it permits the use of efficient and simple computation routines to approximate its eigenvalues and eigenvectors.
2) **Optimization scheme:** Minimizing \((12)\) using the standard gradient descent method yields
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -Lu - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \hat{P}(u) - \eta M(u - f),
\]
(13)
where \(\hat{P}(u) = P(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P(u_i))\). The steady-state solution of \((13)\) is a stationary point of \((12)\). This is known as the graph Allen-Cahn equation, and we refer readers to \([37]\) for a convergence analysis of the graph Allen-Cahn scheme. We follow \([38]\) to use a variant of the MBO (Merriman-Bence-Osher) scheme to approximate and solve \((13)\). In short, we first randomly initialize \(u^0\), which we use as the initial condition for \((13)\). We then alternately between the following two steps:

1. **Diffusion:** for given \(u^k\), we obtain \(u^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\) by solving a force-driven heat equation
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -Lu - \eta M(u - f),
\]
(14)
for \(t_k \leq t \leq t_k + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t\), where \(\Delta t\) is a parameter.

2. **Threshold:** we threshold \(u^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\) to obtain \(u^{k+1}\), i.e.
\[
u^{k+1}(i) = e_\ell, \text{ where } \ell = \arg \max_{\ell} u^{k+\frac{1}{2}}_\ell.
\]
(15)
For a small \(\epsilon\), this approximates solving
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla \hat{P}(u)
\]
(16)
for \(t_k + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \leq t \leq t_k + \Delta t\).

Choosing \(\Delta t\) is delicate. If it is too small, \(u^{k+1} = u^k\) after thresholding, whereas if it is too large, \(u\) converges to the steady-state solution of \((14)\),
\[
(L + \eta M)^{-1} M f,
\]
in one diffusion step, independent of the initial condition \(u^k\). Either way, extreme \(\Delta t\) results in a “freezing” scheme. In \([39]\), the authors give guidance on how to choose \(\Delta t\) in the case of unnormalized graph Laplacian, \(c = 2\) (i.e. binary classification), and \(\eta = 0\). Currently, there is no analogous result for a symmetric graph Laplacian, multi-class classification, and nonzero \(\eta\). We have found, however, that when \(\Delta t = 0.1\) gives nontrivial dynamics (i.e. convergent and not “freezing”) on all datasets used in testing.

3) **Numerical methods:** We follow \([32], [33]\) to employ a semi-implicit ordinary differential equation solver to solve \((14)\), and use a pseudo-spectral method coupled with the Nyström extension to make the ordinary differential equation solver efficient. We note that the graph Laplacian matrix \(L\) is large, with \(n^2\) entries where \(n\) is the number of data points; it is also not inherently sparse, which makes approximation techniques such as the Nyström extension necessary.

For the ordinary differential equation solver, we take \(N_{\text{step}}\) time steps to reach \(u^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\) from \(u^k\), where \(N_{\text{step}}\) is a parameter to choose. Formally, we let \(u^{k,s}, s = 0, 1, \cdots, N_{\text{steps}}\) denote the numerical solutions of \((14)\) at intermediate time \(t_k + s \delta t\), where \(\delta t = \Delta t/2N_{\text{step}}\). We solve
\[
\frac{u^{k,s+1} - u^{k,s}}{\delta t} = -Lu^{k,s+1} - \eta M(u^{k,s} - f)
\]
(17)
for \(u^{k,s+1}\). We use \(N_{\text{step}} = 10\) to ensure convergence of the ordinary differential equation solver when \(\eta < 500 \text{ and } \Delta t = 0.1\).

We use a pseudo-spectral method to solve Equation \((17)\). We project the solution \(u\) onto an orthonormal eigenbasis of the graph Laplacian \(L\), or an eigen-subbasis that consists of \(N_{\text{eig}}\) eigenvectors corresponding to the smallest \(N_{\text{eig}}\) eigenvalues. We detail how we compute the spectrum of \(L\) with the Nyström extension in section C.3. Choosing a modest \(N_{\text{eig}} \ll n\) will greatly improve the efficiency of the algorithm because solving \((17)\) only requires \(O(nN_{\text{eig}})\) operations if the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of \(L\) are provided. Suppose \(\Phi\) is an \(n \times N_{\text{eig}}\) eigenvector matrix, of which the \(j\)th column \(\phi_j\) is the eigenvector of \(L\) corresponding to the \(j\)th smallest eigenvalue \(\lambda_j\), and \(\Lambda\) is the diagonal matrix containing all \(N_{\text{eig}}\) smallest eigenvalues \(\lambda_j\)’s. We let \(a\) denote the coordinates we obtain by projecting columns of \(u\) onto the eigen-subspace spanned by columns of \(\Phi\), i.e. \(a = \Phi^Tu\). Solving \((17)\) in the eigen-subspace is simply
\[
u^{k,s+1} = (I + \delta t \Lambda)^{-1} a^{k,s} - \delta t \cdot \eta \Phi^T M(a^{k,s} - f),
\]
\[
u^{k,s+1} = \Phi a^{k,s+1}.
\]

**Algorithm 2** Graph MBO scheme 
\(\text{[32]}\)

1. **Input:** \(\Phi, \Lambda, M, f, \eta, \) and initial guess \(u^0\).
2. **Output:** \(u\).
3. **Initialize** \(u^{0,0} = u^0, a^{0,0} = \Phi^Tu^0\).
4. **for** \(k = 1, 2, \cdots, \) MaxIter or \(u^k\) has converged **do**
5. a. **Diffusion:**
6. **for** \(s = 0, 1, \cdots, N_{\text{step}} - 1\) **do**
7. \(a^{k,s+1} = (I + \delta t \Lambda)^{-1} a^{k,s} - \delta t \cdot \eta \Phi^T M(a^{k,s} - f)\),
8. \(a^{k,s+1} = \Phi a^{k,s+1}\).
9. **end for**
10. b. **Threshold** \(u^{k+1/2} := u^kN_{\text{step}};\)
11. **for** \(i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\) **do**
12. \(u^{k+1/2}(i) = e_\ell, \text{ where } \ell = \arg \max_{\ell} a^{k,N_{\text{step}}}\)
13. **end for**
14. **end for**

C. **Nyström extension**

We employ the Nyström extension \(\text{[40]}\), which approximates the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of \(L\) with \(O(nN_{\text{eig}}^3)\) computation complexity and \(O(nN_{\text{eig}})\) memory requirement. With \(N_{\text{eig}} \ll n\), the computation complexity and memory scales linearly with respect to the number of data points. The idea of the Nyström extension is to uniformly random sample a smaller set of data points \(A \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\) with \(|A| = N_{\text{sample}} \ll n\), perform spectral decomposition on an \(N_{\text{sample}} \times N_{\text{sample}}\) system calculated from the set of data points \(A\), and then interpolate the result to obtain an approximation to the spectral decomposition of the entirety of \(L\). Let \(B\) be the complement of \(A\), i.e. \(A \cup B = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\) and \(A \cap B = \emptyset\). Let \(W_{A\cdot A}\) denote the weights associated with nodes in set \(A\), and similarly, let \(W_{A\cdot B} = W_{B\cdot A}^T\) denote weights between nodes in set \(A\) and \(B\). If we reorder the nodes so that
\[ A = \{1, 2, \cdots, N_{\text{sample}}\} \text{ and } B = \{N_{\text{sample}} + 1, N_{\text{sample}} + 2, \cdots, n\}, \] we can rewrite
\[ W = \begin{bmatrix} W_{AA} & W_{AB} \\ W_{BA} & W_{BB} \end{bmatrix}. \] (18)

It can be shown \(^{40}\) that the matrix \(W_{BB}\) can be approximated by \(W_{BB} \approx W_{BA}W_{AA}^{-1}W_{AB}\) in the context of approximating the spectral decomposition. The Nyström extension uses this property to approximate the spectrum of \(W\), and henceforth \(L\). We summarize the Nyström extension algorithm to approximate the spectrum of symmetric graph Laplacian in Algorithm \(^{3}\) An analogous algorithm for unnormalized graph Laplacian can be found in \(^{32}\). In Algorithm \(^{3}\) \(\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{eig}}}\) denotes a vector of one’s that is used to compute the strength of each node, i.e. the sum of weights, and let \(X/Y\) denote component-wise division between two matrices \(X\) and \(Y\) of the same size. We let \(\sqrt{X}\) denote the non-negative square root of each component of any non-negative matrix \(X\), and if \(X\) is positive definite with the spectral decomposition \(X = Q\Gamma Q^T\), we let \(X^{1/2} = QT^{1/2}Q^T\) and similarly \(X^{-1/2} = QT^{-1/2}Q^T\).

**Algorithm 3** Nyström Extension for symmetric graph Laplacian \(^{32},^{40}\)

1: Input: \(\{H_i\}_{i=1}^{n}\) and \(\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^{n}\).
2: Output: \(\Phi, \{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{eig}}}\).
3: Randomly sample \(A \subset \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\) with \(|A| = N_{\text{sample}} \leq N_{\text{eig}}\) and \(B\) such that \(A \cup B = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}\).
4: Compute \(W_{AA}\) and \(W_{AB}\) using \(3\).
5: Compute the strength of nodes in \(A\), \(d_A = W_{AA}1\).
6: Approximate the strength of nodes in \(B\), \(d_B = W_{BA}1 + W_{BA}W_{AA}^{-1}W_{AB}1\).
7: Normalize \(W_{AA} = W_{AA}/\sqrt{d_A d_A^T}\).
8: Normalize \(W_{AB} = W_{AB}/\sqrt{d_A d_B^T}\).
9: Perform spectral decomposition on \(W_{AA}\) to obtain the \(N_{\text{eig}}\) largest eigenvalues \(\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{eig}}}\) and the corresponding eigenvectors \(\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{eig}}}\). We let \(\Psi\) denote the matrix of the eigenvectors and \(\Xi\) be a diagonal matrix with \(\xi_i\)'s on the diagonal.
10: Output \(\lambda_i = 1 - \xi_i\), and \(\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} W_{AA}^{1/2} \\ W_{BA}W_{AA}^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix} \Psi^{-1/2}\).

IV. EXPERIMENTS

We apply the proposed method on two publicly available datasets, the QUAD dataset \(^{2}\) and the HUJI EgoSeg dataset \(^{8}\), and compare our results to those reported in \(^{2, 5}\). \(^{8, 15, 41}\). We also apply both our method and the one proposed in \(^7\) on a police body-worn video dataset provided by the LAPD. Our experimental procedures and parameters are summarized in TABLE I. The measures of success we use are precision and recall

\[
\text{True Positive} \quad \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive}}
\]

1 with the implementation kindly provided by the authors of \(^7\).

with the implementation kindly provided by the authors of \(^7\).

\(^{2}\) The reported categories of ego-activities are the same ones used in \(^7\) and are different from \(^2\).
on police officers’ chests when they are performing a variety of law enforcement activities. The dataset consists of videos recorded both inside vehicles and outdoors and under a variety of illumination conditions. We manually annotated each frame of all 100 videos with one of 14 class labels. Although we train on and classify video footage in all 14 categories, we exclude five insignificant classes, such as “exiting car” and “obscured camera”, from performance evaluations of the ego-activity recognition algorithms. We report activity proportions of the selected classes in TABLE III and, for completeness, all 14 classes in TABLE VI of the Appendix.

We apply the method in [7] with the provided implementation on the LAPD body-worn video dataset. [7] computes a feature vector per frame instead of per short video segment, which consists of 6 frames (0.2 seconds). The average of the frame-wise features over a segment is used as the feature vector of the segment. By doing so, the numbers of video segments to classify in both methods are the same. We apply a moving window average operator with a window size of one second (five segments) to our features. The features of [7] are inherently incorporate temporal information, so we use the aggregated segment-wise features as they are without further smoothing.

We divide the 274,443 segments into 9 disjoint batches, each of which consists of approximately 30,000 segments. As each segment has a duration of 0.2 seconds, each batch therefore consists of 100-minutes of footage spanning multiple videos. We perform the classification on each batch independently and concatenate the classification results. We note that both our method and the method proposed in [7] make use of the Nyström extension and the MBO scheme described in section III-C and section III-B respectively, so they share the same set of parameters. We choose $N_{\text{sample}} = 2000$ and $N_{\text{eig}} = 2000$ to be the same for both methods for each batch so that they share the same computation cost and both give good performance relatively to other choices of parameters. We have tuned parameters $\eta$ ranging from 0.01 to 1000 and found that $\eta = 400$ and $\tau$ selected automatically according to [55] with $K = 100$ work well for both methods.

With regards to sampling fidelity points, we use the same protocol as the one used in [7] where we uniformly sample 10% segments within each class. Consequently, we have many more samples of common activities than rare activities.

In TABLE III we report the precision and recall within each class and their respective means averaged over the selected nine classes. We refer readers to TABLE VI in the Appendix for a full table of all 14 classes as well as the overall accuracy, which is the proportion of video segments that are correctly classified. We also present a sample of the color-coded classification results in fig. 2 and the confusion matrices in fig. 3.

Our method outperforms [7] in most of the categories in terms of precision and is a major improvement according to recall. We theorize that the features proposed in [7] are too simple to distinguish among the increased variety of ego-activities in the larger LAPD body-worn video dataset. The features they propose do not make use of the locality of motion within each frame, which we consider crucial in order to differentiate, for instance, driving a car and walking forward. Both activities feature forward motion, but the motion is localized within the windshield region only in the former case. We also note that frequency is a significant component of the features proposed in [7]; however, we do not observe much periodic motion in many ego-activities.
C. HUJI EgoSeg dataset

We also evaluate the performance of our method on the HUJI EgoSeg dataset [8] [5]. This dataset contains 65 hours of egocentric videos including 44 videos shot using a head-mounted GoPro Hero3+, the Disney dataset [42] and other YouTube videos. The dataset contains 7 ego-action categories: Walking, Driving, Riding Bus, Biking, Standing, Sitting, and Static. We normalize the frame rate of each video to 15 frames per second to match with the normalized frame rate in [5]. We divide each video sequence into segments of 4 seconds ($\Delta T = 4$ seconds, 60 frames), which also matches the length of each video segment in [5]. The activities present in the HUJI EgoSeg dataset are all relatively long-term activities compared to the LAPD Body-worn video dataset, so using longer video segments reduces the number of data points without the risk of missing short-term activities. With such choice of $\Delta T$, we have 36,421 segments. For the Nyström extension and the MBO scheme, we have found that the combination of $N_{sample} = 400$, $N_{eig} = 400$, $\eta = 300$, and $K = 40$ gives satisfactory results.

We follow the same experimental protocol of [5], [8] to divide the entire dataset into a training set and a testing set. We randomly pick video sequences until we have 1300 segments (approximately 90 minutes of video) per class as the training set, and we uniformly sample 10% of the training set as fidelity points, which is about 10% of the training data used in [5].

In this experiment, we use recall to evaluate the performance since it is the common measure of success in [5], [8], [15], [41]. TABLE IV details the classification results on the testing set. The classification performance of methods other than ours are reported in [5]. We also report the confusion matrix in fig. 4 and a color-coded sample of the classification result in fig. 5.

We observe that the recalls of Sitting, Standing, and Riding Bus are typically lower than other activities across all five methods, so we believe that these activities are inherently difficult to recognize with motion-based features. According to TABLE IV our method outperforms — using recall as a measure of success — other methods that use handcrafted motion and/or appearance features with or without deep convolution neural networks, with the exception of [5]. We emphasize that our method uses a fraction of the training data of the supervised methods and still achieves comparable results. When we use the entire training set as fidelity, the mean recall only sees a slight increase.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, we study ego-activity recognition in first-person video with an emphasis on the application to real-world police body-worn video. We propose a system for classifying ego-activities in body-worn video footage using handcrafted features and a graph-based semi-supervised learning method. These features based on motion cues do not identify people
or objects in the scene and hence secure any personally identifiable information within the video. Our experiments also illustrate that the features are able to differentiate a variety of ego-activities and yield better classification results than an earlier work [7] with the same graph-based semi-supervised learning method. The semi-supervised classification method addresses the challenge of insufficient training data; it achieves comparable performance to supervised methods on two publicly available benchmark datasets using only a fraction of training data. Despite using a smaller fraction of training data. Despite our best effort to develop an accurate system for the classification of police body-worn videos, the variability of the data leads to imperfect classification. Our classification method is naturally paired with uncertainty quantification (UQ) [44]. Besides giving a video segment an ego-activity label, we may use this technology to estimate a measure of uncertainty, which identifies hard-to-classify video segments that require further investigation. Moreover, the measure of uncertainty can suggest footage for police analysts to label to train classification algorithms making an efficient use of human labeling effort. We expect that further development of the feature selection, classification, and uncertainty quantification methodologies will facilitate an implementation of the propose system to be used by law enforcement agencies to summarize a large volume of body-worn video footage.

Recent developments in unsupervised convolution neural networks [19], [20] might be used to improve and extend the current feature selection method, although caution must be taken to prevent the recovery of personal identifiable information from the learned features. Better incorporating temporal information is another way to move forward. We observe that the police body-worn video dataset contains a mix of long-term and short-term activities, making it difficult to select a single time scale to design the features around. In the present experiment, we chose the length of each segment to be 0.2 seconds in order to capture short-term activities, but this was redundant for recognizing long-term activities. We chose $\Delta T$ to be four seconds for the HUJI EgoSeg dataset, which significantly reduced the computation cost without sacrificing accuracy, but this was only possible because all activities in the HUJI EgoSeg dataset have long durations. Designing features that efficiently handle a mix of long-term and short-term activities is another challenge to be addressed.

Future work will also be directed towards improving the propose classification method. For instance, [43] recently proposed to incorporate the knowledge of the proportions of classes as an extra input in the semi-supervised classification method described in section [III-B]. Considering the heterogeneity in the class distribution that we observe in the police body-worn video data set (see table [III]), we expect to see an improvement in the classification performance with the class proportion information.

Despite our best effort to develop an accurate system for the classification of police body-worn videos, the variability of the data leads to imperfect classification. Our classification method is naturally paired with uncertainty quantification (UQ) [44]. Besides giving a video segment an ego-activity label, we may use this technology to estimate a measure of uncertainty, which identifies hard-to-classify video segments that require further investigation. Moreover, the measure of uncertainty can suggest footage for police analysts to label to train classification algorithms making an efficient use of human labeling effort. We expect that further development of the feature selection, classification, and uncertainty quantification methodologies will facilitate an implementation of the propose system to be used by law enforcement agencies to summarize a large volume of body-worn video footage.
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VII. APPENDIX

We report the classification results of the entire 14 ego-activity categories in the LAPD body-worn video dataset in TABLE V as well as the full confusion matrices in fig. 6.

![Confusion matrices for the LAPD police Body-worn video dataset](image)

**TABLE V**

| Class                     | Proportion | Precision | Recall | Ours | Ours | Ours |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|------|------|------|
| Stand still               | 62.57%     | 73.10%    | 89.44% | 85.42% | 95.24% |
| In stationary car         | 16.84%     | 41.83%    | 93.69% | 43.18% | 89.73% |
| Walk                      | 9.04%      | 38.36%    | 70.53% | 19.54% | 59.41% |
| In moving car             | 5.76%      | 70.71%    | 91.03% | 25.08% | 84.40% |
| Obscured camera           | 2.80%      | 51.65%    | 80.82% | 15.93% | 70.46% |
| At car window             | 0.64%      | 17.23%    | 71.45% | 10.94% | 45.28% |
| At car trunk              | 0.58%      | 73.78%    | 71.79% | 11.09% | 51.78% |
| Exit driver               | 0.38%      | 6.68%     | 50.25% | 11.82% | 21.12% |
| Exit passenger            | 0.34%      | 79.69%    | 48.08% | 11.59% | 26.29% |
| Bike                      | 0.33%      | 85.71%    | 86.49% | 14.37% | 75.44% |
| Enter passenger           | 0.20%      | 5.97%     | 45.82% | 13.27% | 24.51% |
| Enter driver              | 0.12%      | 7.24%     | 75.94% | 11.03% | 53.35% |
| Motorcycle                | 0.08%      | 100%      | 92.49% | 10.76% | 71.75% |

**Mean**

- Accuracy: 7.14% 53.33% 71.58% 21.17% 56.41%
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