Genetic Mapping of a Rice *Loose Upper Panicle* Mutant
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ABSTRACT We identified a *loose upper panicle* mutant (*lup*) from a *japonica*-type rice variety, Hwacheongbyeo, treated by Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS). The *lup* mutant displayed an increased distance between spikelets particularly in the first primary branches, and the number of spikelet was reduced. In addition, aborted spikelets in the tip of first primary branches were observed. Besides these morphological changes in the panicle, the *lup* mutant also displayed overall reduction in culm length, panicle length, grain weight, and tiller number. On the contrary, the chlorophyll content was relatively high in *lup* mutant in comparison to wild-type plants, and displayed a “stay-green” phenotype even after physiological maturity. Genetic analysis (using F2 population of *lup*/M.23) revealed that a single recessive gene is involved in the above-mentioned morphological changes in the *lup* mutant. A candidate genomic region was fine-mapped at an interval of 1.04 Mb flanked by two molecular markers, 18170 and D0052, on the long arm of chromosome 8. In this region, we found a total of 348 mutation points using a slightly modified MutMap method. Based on these results, we expect the candidate genomic region containing a putative *LUP* gene will provide an important clue in developmental regulation of spikelets and panicle in rice.
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INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the primary source of calories for more than half of the world population (Khush 2005). However, owing to a gradual decrease in farmland area, the average annual increase in rice production has been decreasing (He *et al*. 2010). It has been suggested that the improvement of rice grain yield per unit area is one of the most efficient ways to overcome such constraints (Cassman *et al*. 2003).

Plant architecture in cereal crops is generally considered to be a major factor that influences grain yield through the efficient use of solar radiation and optimal partitioning of photosynthesis into organs (Wang and Li 2008). Among the important plant organs determining grain productivity, panicle is the key organ which is directly involved in grain productivity, therefore, optimization of panicle structure (such as size and shape) has become a priority objective for higher yield in many of breeding programs (Sakamoto and Matsuoka 2004). In rice, panicle development is a key event to generate a branched structure that is composed of multiple spikelets. It is generally known that the panicle development is often negatively regulated by genetic or environmental factors and leads to whitish or small size of spikelets accompanied with severe reduction in fertility and grain yield (Bai *et al*. 2015). However, the molecular mechanisms to control the panicle development have not yet been widely understood. Spikelet is the basic unit of panicle, and consists of multiple florets (each having a lodicule, carpel, and six stamens) that are surrounded by glumes (Yoshida and Nagato 2011). The abortion of spikelet is usually affected by degeneration of floral organs, and eventually leads to reduction in grain yield. Spikelet degeneration is generally occurred from basal to apical end of the panicle, and defective and/or whitish spikelet is observed as a result (Li *et al*. 2009; Cheng *et al*. 2011).
Several studies have reported that the development of rice panicle is possibly regulated by common mechanisms that also regulate the tiller formation and elongation. For instance, two genes, MONOCULM1 (MOC1) and LAX PANICLE1 (LAX1), are known to be involved in these common mechanisms (Oikawa et al. 2009; Li et al. 2003). Those rice plants carrying the mutation in one of these genes displayed a reduction either in tiller number or panicle branch number (Youlin et al. 2014). In addition, it has been reported that near isogenic lines (NILs) carrying the mutation in the IDEAL PLANT ARCHITECTURE1 (IPA1) genic region displayed reduced tiller number and increased panicle branches (Jiao et al. 2010). Besides these, several other genes involved in regulation of panicle development also have been identified. For instance, DENSE AND ERECT PANICLE 1 (DEP1) was fine mapped from a major dominant quantitative trait locus (QTL) responsible for control of panicle branches (Huang et al. 2009). Grain number 1a/Cytokinin oxidase 2 (Gn1a/OsCKX2) gene, which encodes a cytokinin oxidase, has been identified from a major QTL responsible for improvement of grain number (Li et al. 2013). Moreover, ABERRANT PANICLE ORGANIZATION 1 (APO1) had been reported as a pivotal gene to regulate primary panicle branch and to control the vascular bundle formation, which related to an improvement of harvest index and grain yield in rice (Terao et al. 2010).

In this study, we identified a loose upper panicle mutant (lup) line was induced by chemical mutagenesis of the japonica-type rice variety, Hwacheongbyeo, using Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) treatment. The seeds of the lup mutant were selected from M2 generation and fixed in pure line by repetitive self-crossing. For the genetic analysis and fine mapping of the lup gene, we constructed two F2 populations from the cross between lup mutant and Milyang 23 and another cross between lup mutant and Hwacheongbyeo. Milyang 23 is a tongil-type cultivar from indica/japonica cross. Field plantation, management and agronomic data were collected in summer season of 2014 at experimental farm station of Seoul National University, Suwon, South Korea. Six plants of each parental cultivars were averaged and used as the measurements for each agronomic traits. The segregation of apical aborted spikelet in both F2 populations were counted after heading. Chi-square test was carried out to determine the fitness to ratio of 3:1 in both F2 populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

The loose upper panicle mutant (lup) line was induced by chemical mutagenesis of the japonica-type rice variety, Hwacheongbyeo, using Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) treatment. The seeds of the lup mutant were selected from M2 generation and fixed in pure line by repetitive self-crossing. For the genetic analysis and fine mapping of the lup gene, we constructed two F2 populations from the cross between lup mutant and Milyang 23 and another cross between lup mutant and Hwacheongbyeo. Milyang 23 is a tongil-type cultivar from indica/japonica cross. Field plantation, management and agronomic data were collected in summer season of 2014 at experimental farm station of Seoul National University, Suwon, South Korea. Six plants of each parental cultivars were averaged and used as the measurements for each agronomic traits. The segregation of apical aborted spikelet in both F2 populations were counted after heading. Chi-square test was carried out to determine the fitness to ratio of 3:1 in both F2 populations.

Characterization of the agronomic traits

Agronomic traits of the lup mutant and wild-type plants were observed during various stages of development, and recorded for further analysis using SAS 9.2 (https://www.sas.com/). Panicle related traits and other non-panicle related agronomic traits mentioned under were used for lup mutant genotypic analysis.

Chlorophyll content measurement

To determine the content of chlorophyll present in plant leaves, measurement was done on flag leaf at early reproductive stage of development using Minolta Chlorophyll meter, SPAD 502 (Minolta Camera Co., Japan) and designated as SPAD value. Five spots/leaf at 3-5 cm intervals was measured and mean value was recorded as the amount of chlorophyll content.

Number of tillers and plant height

Tiller number

The tillers number per hill for both lup mutant and wild type was measured by counting the number of tiller from
randomly selected six plants and the mean value was recorded.

**Culm length, internode length and panicle length**
The culm length of plant was measured from the ground level to panicle neck using regular ruler and panicle length was also measured from the same plant starting from panicle neck to the tip of panicle. Moreover, internode length was separately measured from six different plants.

**Number of primary and secondary branches per panicle**
The number of primary and secondary branch per panicle was counted for each individual sample plants for both wild-type and lup mutant.

**Spikelet distance and fertility**
Spikelet distance was separately measured for spikelet on first three, middle four and last three primary branches per panicle for both lup mutant and wild-type plants. Measurement was taken from six randomly selected plants. Finally, the total number of spikelet per panicle was determined and spikelet fertility percentage was calculated based on the number of the number of spikelet number divided by total number of spikelet per panicle to total number of spikelet per panicle for lup mutant and wild-type plants.

**Grain size and grain weight**
Harvested grain was air-dried and stored at room temperature before measuring. Ten randomly selected, completely matured grains derived from lup mutant and wild-type were used to measure grain length (GL), grain width (GW) and grain shape (GS). The GL and GW were measured using digital microscope and measuring software. The GS was calculated as grain length divided by grain width. Grain weight was measured in grams as the weight of 1,000 fully ripened (14% moisture) grains per plant.

### Genetic mapping of the lup locus
For genetic mapping, two F2 mapping population from the cross between (1) lup mutant and Milyang 23 (M.23), and (2) lup mutant and Hwacheongbyo were used. The bulk segregant analysis (BSA) was used to identify the candidate markers linked to the lup locus. Four mutant and four wild-type plants based on their phenotype were selected from lup /M.23 F2 population. A total of 91 Sequence-Tagged Sites (STS) markers of known chromosomal position throughout 12 chromosomes which were designed by Crop Molecular Breeding lab (CMB) were selected for BSA approach. Subsequently, phenotype and genotype of F2 mapping population were used to determine gene position on the chromosome and to conduct fine mapping. Additional STS markers for fine mapping were developed based on the differences in sequence between the japonica and indica subspecies (Table 1).

Genomic DNA was extracted from leaf tissues using the CTAB method (Chen and Ronald 1999). The PCR mixture was made with 1 μl DNA (30 ng/μl), 0.4 μl primers (10 μmol/μl), 2 μl 10× buffer, 0.4 μl dNTP (10 mmol/L), 0.3 μl Taq (5 U/μl) and 15.9 μl double-distilled water. PCR reaction system was performed at predenaturation temperature of 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 second; annealing at 52-60°C depending on individual markers for 30 second; extension at 72°C for 40 second; with a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were separated on 3.0% agarose

### Table 1. The PCR-based molecular markers used in fine mapping of the lup gene.

| STS marker | Forward primer sequence (5'-3') | Reverse primer sequence (5'-3') | Size (bp) |
|------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| S08012b    | GAAGCCAAAAATGGGAGAGAA          | TTTTGTGCTGGCCACTGAAAG          | 224       |
| S08032     | GTACGAGAACCTGCAAGACA           | TTTCCACCTCAACCAAGTCCT          | 208       |
| AP004396   | TACAAAGGATGCGAAGAGAC           | CCAACATTAAGACGACAAA            | 246       |
| S08060b    | GGAGAGGAAATGGAAGAGG            | ACCAGCGTTGGGAGTCTTC            | 223       |
| 182170     | CAAAGCTGGGTGTTAGGGAGA          | CTGGTGCAAGTTGGAGCAGAC          | 263       |
| S08070     | ACTACATCGTGTCGGCTCAAG          | GGTGCAAGCAAGCAATGAT            | 143       |
| S08072b    | AAATTTAATGGAATGCTCTTCTGTTG    | CAGCAGCGGGATGTTGCTATC          | 265       |
| S08075     | AAACAGTGTTTGCGGACCAAG          | TGAGTGAATAAACACTCAACCAAAAA     | 256       |
| S08076     | TTGCATGTGTCTGCTCTCT            | GTTTCAAGCAGTTGGGAGAC          | 212       |
gels which was stained with ethidium bromide and run in 0.5X TBE buffer and photographed.

**Whole genome sequencing (WGS)**

Genomic DNA was extracted from young leaves of F2 population (cross between mutant and wild-type) by using CTAB method. The extracted DNA was pooled from 20 individuals (>5 µg) which had shown clear mutant phenotype. For Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) analysis, the bulked genomic DNA was sequenced using Illumina HiSeq2500 platform and the raw data of whole genome sequence of Hwacheongbyeo was also used. DNA libraries for Illumine HiSeq2500 platform was constructed using the kits (Truseq Nano DNA LT sample preparation kit, FC-121-4001). The quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) was conducted using these libraries and then amplified clonal clusters were generated and performed pair end sequencing using Illumine HiSeq2500 (20 cycles). Base calling was carried out by instrument software Real Time Analysis (RTA).

**Alignment of the of short reads to reference sequences and SNP and InDel calling**

Whole genome sequencing and SNP analysis were performed to identify the mutated genomic region. The pre-processing of the whole paired end short reads from F2 bulk DNA was carried out using btrim0.2.0 (http:graphics.med.yale.edu/trim). The cut off thresholds for low quality base were phred-score 20 and minimum read length 50. Processed short read were mapped and aligned to publicly available Nipponbare genome sequence (build 5 version; http:rgp.affrc.go.jp/E/IRGSP/Build5/build5.html) with clc_ref_assemble (V 4.2104315).

The whole genome sequence for Hwacheongbyeo was also filtered and mapped to Nipponbare followed by same procedures. Subsequently, SNP detection and SNP calling were performed by find variations (V 4.21.10435). SNP filtering options are read depth of the site ≥5, variant frequency of major base ≥0.9. After mapping and SNP calling, causal SNPs and InDels involved in the phenotype of mutant were detected by following modified MutMap methods (Abe et al. 2012): (1) Remove the redundant SNPs and InDels between wild-type and mutant from the list, (2) Calculate SNP index, (3) Pick SNPs and InDels (SNP index=1) located in annotated genes in the candidate region previously revealed by fine mapping. (4) Remove those SNPs located outside the intragenic region, and (5) Remove those SNPs causing a synonymous mutation. Finally those Homozygous SNPs which were specific to F2 mutant bulk were identified as causal mutation points. SNP index is the ratio between the number of reads of a mutant SNP and the total number of reads at the each position.

**RESULTS**

**Agronomic trait analysis**

The mean values of agronomic traits analysed is displayed in Table 2. Agronomic traits between *lup* mutant and wild-type showed significant differences, especially on panicle structure after heading (Fig. 1a). Abortion of spikelet on tip of the first primary branch started to be shown up immediately after heading of panicle. The distance between spikelet on the primary branch was

### Table 2. Agronomic traits of the *lup* mutant and wild-type.

| Traits | PBL (cm) | SBL (cm) | PB | SB | SPP | SPS | TSP | SPF (%) | 1000-GW (g) | CL (cm) | PL (cm) | TN | GL (mm) | GW (mm) | GS (mm) |
|--------|----------|----------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|---------|------------|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|--------|
| WT     | 6.8      | 1.49     | 9.75 | 13.75 | 57.50 | 37.88 | 95.37 | 94.21 | 26.30 | 101.50 | 18.86 | 11.5 | 9.14 | 5.18 | 1.77 |
| *lup*  | 7.3      | 1.46     | 9.20 | 13.90 | 49.28 | 37.38 | 86.67 | 66.79 | 18.67 | 89.50 | 16.80 | 9    | 8.64 | 4.71 | 1.84 |
| Difference | NS | NS | ** | NS | * | ** | * | ** | * | ** | * | ** | NS | NS | NS |

PBL: Primary branch length, SBL: Secondary branch length, PB: Number of primary branch, SB: Number of secondary branch, SPP: Number of spikelet per PB, SPS: Number of spikelet per SB, TSP: Total number of spikelet per panicle, SPF%: Spikelet fertility % per panicle, 1000-GW: 1000 grain weight, CL: Culm length, PL: Panicle length, TN: Tiller number, GL: Grain length, GW: Grain weight, GS: Grain shape. *,**: Significant at 5 and 1% level of probability and NS represents non-significant difference, respectively.
Fig. 1. Comparison of the agronomic traits between wild-type and lup mutant. (a) Panicle structure, (b) Plants before heading, (c) after heading, (d) Internode, and (e) grain size. Scale bars (a) 3 cm, (b-c) 20 cm, (d) 5 cm, and (e) 5 mm.

Fig. 2. Spikelet distance (SD) variability between lup mutant and WT primary branches. SDFPB Spikelet distance of first primary branch, SDMPB Spikelet distance on middle primary branches, and SDLPB Spikelet distance on last primary branch. **Significant at 1% level of probability.

significantly elongated in lup mutant compared to wild-type. The spikelet distance of the first three primary branches, four middle primary branches and last three primary branches were analysed (Fig. 2). The result of this analysis indicated spikelet distance on first primary branch in lup mutant was significantly different with that of middle and lower primary branch spikelet distance of wild-type. Accordingly, the deformity of spikelet distance induced due to mutation was specifically confined to the first three primary branches of mutant plants.

Although the number of secondary branches and spikelet per secondary branches did not show any significant differences, the number of primary branches and spikelet per primary branches was significantly reduced compared to wild-type. Hence, the total number of spikelet per panicle in lup mutant was significantly reduced compared to wild-type. However, the length of the primary and secondary branches were not significantly different between lup mutant and wild-type (Table 2).

Other agronomic traits also showed significant difference between lup mutant and wild-type. Culm length (the average of culm length from the base to the neck node), panicle length (length from the neck node to the panicle tip), and tiller number were significantly reduced by 2.06 cm, 2 cm and 2.5 respectively compared to wild-type. Grain length and width was also significantly reduced by
0.508 mm and 0.464 mm in lup mutant, respectively (Fig. 1e and Table 2). In consistent with this result, the 1,000-grain weight of the lup mutant was significantly reduced compared to wild-type, despite the lup mutant displayed stay-green even after heading (Fig. 1c). However, there was no significant change in grain shape, which was calculated as the ratio of grain length to width. Moreover, the translucent nature of wild-type seeds were observed to be changed to chalky in lup mutant (Fig. 1e).

**Genetic analysis of lup gene**

We developed F2 populations from the crosses between (1) lup mutant and Hwacheongbyeo (japonica), and (2) lup mutant and Milyang 23 (a tongil-type cultivar from indica/japonica cross). All F1 exhibited wild-type phenotype. Out of 262 plants in F2 population, 209 plants showed an appearance of wild-type while 53 plants were similar as the lup mutant plants. The ratio of this segregation was 209:53 which correspond with 3:1 Mendelian ratio. Similar result was obtained from the F2 population of the cross between lup mutant and M.23 (Table 3). These results therefore indicated that a single recessive gene, which was tentatively designed as lup, was involved in the phenotypic alteration of lup mutant.

**Fine mapping of lup locus**

To investigate the genomic location of lup gene, fine mapping was conducted using the F2 population generated from the cross combination of lup mutant (japonica-type) and M.23. Bulk Segregant Analysis (BSA) was carried out

| Cross combination         | Wild type | Mutant | Total | $\chi^2$ (3:1) |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|-------|---------------|
| lup mutant / Milyang 23   | 357       | 131    | 488   | 0.885         |
| lup mutant / Hwacheongbyeo (WT) | 209       | 53     | 262   | 1.92          |

Fig. 3. Genetic map of lup mutant: (a) Primary mapping of the lup locus on chromosome 8, (b) the lup locus was fine mapped with the adjacent markers. (c) Graphical genotype results of fine mapping. W: wild type, M: mutant type, 1: mutant type, 2: wild type, 3: hetero type.
with 91 polymorphic STS primers that evenly distributed throughout 12 rice chromosomes. Consequently, the *lup* locus was mapped in the long arm of chromosome 8 between two STS markers, AP004396 and S08072b (Fig. 3a). Additional STS markers between these two flanking markers were designed based on the difference in DNA sequence between *indica* and *japonica* varieties from databases (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ and http://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/). Further fine mapping was made and the *lup* gene region was narrowed down to 1.04 Mb using an additional STS markers; 18217 and D0052 (Fig. 3b). Subsequently, modified MutMap analysis was performed to identify candidate genes.

**Identification of causal SNPs in *lup* mutant**

The genomic DNA samples isolated from 20 individual *lup* mutants in segregating F2 population (cross between Hwacheongbyeo and *lup* mutant) were pooled and used for whole genome sequencing with depth of more than 10x coverage. To identify candidate genes and causal SNP position, the SNP analysis was performed with the whole-genome sequencing data of Hwacheongbyeo and the bulk of F2 individuals displaying the mutant phenotype. The whole genome sequence of *lup* mutant and Hwacheongbyeo were aligned to the reference sequence and those SNPs and InDels found to be redundant between *lup* mutants and wild-type were removed from the lists for they were not accounting for the expressed mutant phenotypic characters. Subsequently, SNPs and InDels found outside the intragenic region were also removed. Finally, we found a total of 348 SNPs within the candidate *lup* gene region after removing those synonymous mutation points associated with 51 candidate genes (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Panicle structure is one of the most important agronomic traits that critically determine the yielding potential of rice. The basic unit of the rice panicle which finally is described as yield is known to be the total number of fertile spikelet per panicle. The abortion of spikelet usually causes degeneration of floral organs, leading to reduction of rice yield. Spikelet degeneration can occur from the basal or apical end of the panicle and lead to defective, whitish spikelet, and eventually fewer spikelet per panicle (Li *et al.* 2009; Cheng *et al.* 2011).

The *lup* mutant has also been observed to cause significant reduction to yield related components (Table 2). Most of the agronomic traits were reduced in *lup* mutant compared to wild-type. Traits in the panicle were the key indicator for *lup* mutant which identified from wild-type. The elongated spikelet distance on the first primary branch was clearly observed during initial panicle exertion for identifying mutant plant from normal one. Subsequently, soon after panicle exertion, those spikelet found on tip of first three primary branches tend to be bleached gradually and be aborted for more clear identification of mutant plants from F2 population.

Mutant plants had maintained greenness even after attaining physiological maturity. However, *lup* mutant was found to have less number of total spikelet and grain weight. Even though length of primary and secondary branch, number of secondary branch and spikelet on secondary branch did not show significant difference compared to wild-type, the difference observed in total number of spikelet per panicle was significant due to reduced number of primary branch and spikelet on primary branch.

From the result of comparison of the spikelet distance on first, middle and last primary branch of mutant and wild-type, it becomes evident that the first three primary branches were most affected by *lup* gene. The comparison of spikelet distance through three region between mutant and wild-type revealed significant difference only in the first three primary branches.

The overall results of agronomic trait analysis indicated that *lup* mutant had shown considerable reduction in spikelet fertility and grain related traits. More importantly, mutant exhibited comprehensive reduction on the characters of the first primary branch which mainly accounted for overall reduction. Therefore, it could be concluded that *lup* gene plays key role in determining the yielding potential of rice.

Understanding the genetic mechanism underlying the inflorescence architecture is practically important for developing high yield varieties in cereal breeding programs. Several genes including *SP1, APO1, MOC1, LAX,*
### Table 4. Candidate genes comprising SNPs in the <i>lup</i> mutant.

| No. | Gene name   | No. of SNPs | Nucleotide length | Description                                                                 | No. | Gene name   | No. of SNPs | Nucleotide length | Description                                                                 |
|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Os08g0384500 | 3           | 4161              | Similar to PDR-like ABC transporter (PDR3 ABC transporter).                  | 27  | Os08g0396500 | 7           | 3203              | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                               |
| 2   | Os08g0384602 | 1           | 2755              | Hypothetical gene.                                                           | 28  | Os08g0396700 | 47          | 13599             | D1 domain containing protein.                                              |
| 3   | Os08g0384700 | 3           | 2953              | Hypothetical gene.                                                           | 29  | Os08g0397800 | 6           | 770               | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                            |
| 4   | Os08g0384900 | 1           | 4269              | Zinc finger, RING/FYVE/PHD-type domain containing protein.                   | 30  | Os08g0397900 | 3           | 2682              | Similar to Holoacarboxylase synthetase.                                    |
| 5   | Os08g0385000 | 1           | 455               | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                              | 31  | Os08g0398000 | 9           | 6202              | ABC transporter-like domain containing protein.                            |
| 6   | Os08g0385400 | 1           | 3466              | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                              | 32  | Os08g0398300 | 3           | 5664              | ABC transporter-like domain containing protein.                            |
| 7   | Os08g0385900 | 1           | 6297              | Similar to Transformer-2-like protein.                                       | 33  | Os08g0398350 | 73          | 6346              | Similar to ABC transporter family, cholesterol/phospholipid flipase.      |
| 8   | Os08g0386700 | 4           | 5856              | Similar to Avr9 elicitor response protein-like.                             | 34  | Os08g0398400 | 24          | 4030              | Similar to Hypersensitive-induced response protein.                        |
| 9   | Os08g0386800 | 1           | 907               | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                                 | 35  | Os08g0398800 | 2           | 1703              | Cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor, 25 kDa subunit domain containing protein. |
| 10  | Os08g0386900 | 1           | 2635              | Prefoldin domain containing protein.                                         | 36  | Os08g0398966 | 2           | 507               | Hypothetical gene.                                                         |
| 11  | Os08g0387050 | 7           | 2867              | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                                 | 37  | Os08g0399300 | 2           | 2618              | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                               |
| 12  | Os08g0387200 | 8           | 7262              | Protein of unknown function DUF81 family protein.                           | 38  | Os08g0399550 | 3           | 1436              | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                               |
| 13  | Os08g0388300 | 1           | 13132             | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                                 | 39  | Os08g0399600 | 1           | 1501              | Similar to Elongation factor Ts.                                           |
| 14  | Os08g0388900 | 1           | 7795              | Similar to para-hydroxybenzoate–polyprenyltransferase.                      | 40  | Os08g0399900 | 6           | 1498              | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                            |
| 15  | Os08g0392100 | 1           | 1381              | 2OG-Fe(II) oxygenase domain containing protein, expressed.                  | 41  | Os08g0400000 | 2           | 5349              | Similar to Puromycin-sensitive aminopeptidase (EC 3.4.11.-) (PSA).         |
| 16  | Os08g0392300 | 25          | 2237              | Similar to Oxioreductase, 2OG-Fe oxygenase family protein, expressed.       | 42  | Os08g0400200 | 1           | 3653              | Similar to SET domain protein.                                             |
| 17  | Os08g0392500 | 25          | 10744             | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                                 | 43  | Os08g0401100 | 1           | 3001              | Ankyrin repeat containing protein.                                         |
| 18  | Os08g0392700 | 8           | 749               | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                              | 44  | Os08g0401601 | 4           | 1958              | Hypothetical genes.                                                        |
| 19  | Os08g0393600 | 4           | 2474              | Protein-tyrosine phosphatase-like, PTPLA domain containing protein.          | 45  | Os08g0401800 | 14          | 2568              | Similar to C2; Peptidase, cysteine peptidase active site.                  |
| 20  | Os08g0393700 | 4           | 1332              | Similar to predicted protein.                                                | 46  | Os08g0402001 | 4           | 653               | Hypothetical conserved gene.                                               |
| 21  | Os08g0393900 | 3           | 640               | Hypothetical gene.                                                           | 47  | Os08g0402100 | 2           | 1517              | Lateral organ boundaries, LOB domain containing protein.                  |
| 22  | Os08g0394000 | 6           | 758               | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                              | 48  | Os08g0402500 | 2           | 1151              | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                            |
| 23  | Os08g0395300 | 1           | 1826              | Similar to AP-4 complex subunit sigma-1.                                    | 49  | Os08g0402600 | 1           | 1978              | Pentatricopeptide repeat domain containing protein.                        |
| 24  | Os08g0395700 | 1           | 1394              | Conserved hypothetical protein.                                              | 50  | Os08g0402700 | 7           | 4088              | Similar to 10A19I.7.                                                      |
| 25  | Os08g0395800 | 2           | 1937              | Protein of unknown function DUF247, plant family protein.                   | 51  | Os08g0402800 | 2           | 5457              | Protein of unknown function DUF6, transmembrane domain containing protein. |
RCN1, FZP, FON1, and FON4 have been identified as being associated with panicle architecture in rice and as being responsible for causing yield loss (Ashikari et al. 2005; Chu et al. 2006; Komatsu et al. 2003; Li et al. 2003, 2009; Moon et al. 2006; Nakagawa et al. 2002). Most of the identified genes affecting panicle architecture are involved in the basal degeneration of spikelet and/or rachis branches. However, the lup mutant in this study has exhibited unique morphological characteristics which has been observed only on the first primary branch. Overall agronomic trait analysis indicated that lup is strongly associated with panicle related traits. Therefore, identification of a causal mutation points has high priority for further analyses to understand the biological role of lup gene in panicle development.

In this study, we had tried to identify mutation points using F2 bulk sequenced data and genetic mapping results. Slightly modified MutMap method was used for analysing SNPs in the sequenced data. The MutMap method in the source document (Abe et al. 2012) was performed with various programs and several stapes to identify causal mutation points where SNP index is near 1. For our case, we slightly modified the approach and analysed the SNP data of the whole genome sequence and obtained 348 candidate mutation points which are included in 51 candidate genes in the flanking region. Among candidate genes, 23 were hypothetical genes. The remaining 28 genes were expressed proteins with specific description mentioned in Table 4.

In conclusion, the result of agronomic trait analysis indicated that lup has been involved in different agronomic traits particularly related to the panicle traits. With the genetic analysis and fine mapping, lup locus was mapped to the long arm of chromosome 8. Further analysis by modified MutMap revealed that there were 348 casual mutation points in 51 candidate genes in the flanking region. Further researches, including cloning and the functional analysis of LUP, may interpret the mechanism of the phenotypes observed in the lup mutant, and provide further knowledge of panicle development in rice.
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