Insights into extrinsic foot muscle activation during a 75 min run using T2 mapping

Grischa Bratke1,2*, Steffen Willwacher2, Florian Siedek1, David Maintz1, Daniela Mählich2, Kilian Weiss3, Tilman Hickethier4 & Gert-Peter Brüggemann2,5

The extrinsic foot muscles are essentially for controlling the movement path but our knowledge of their behavior during prolonged running is still very limited. Therefore, this study analyzed the time-course of muscle activation using T2 mapping during 75 min of running. In this prospective study, 19 recreational active runners completed 75 min of treadmill running at a constant speed. Interleaved T2 mapping sequences were acquired and segmented at timepoints 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 45, and 75 min. ANOVA for repeated measurements followed by a Tukey post hoc test and Pearson correlation between running speed and initial signal increase at 2.5 min were calculated. All muscles showed a significant signal increase between baseline and 2.5 min (e.g. medial gastrocnemius: +15.48%; p < 0.01). This was followed by a plateau phase till 15 min for all but the extensor digitorum longus muscle and a significant decrease at 45 or 75 min for all muscles (all p < 0.05). Correlation between running speed and signal increase was negative for all muscles and significant for both gastrocnemii (e.g. medial: r = −0.57, p = 0.0104) and soleus (r = −0.47, p = 0.0412). The decrease of relaxation times in the later running phases was less pronounced for faster runners (≥ 10 km/h). T2 relaxation times do not only decrease after cessation of exercise but already during prolonged running. The lesser initial increase and later decrease in faster runners may indicate training induced changes.

Millions of recreational runners aim for longer distances such as marathons by pushing their limits with a relatively high risk for injuries. Van Middelkoop et al. reported that 28% of runners suffer an injury in the month before or during a marathon1 with most running associated injuries located in the knee or lower leg with 41.7% and 27.9%, respectively1. The main reasons for injuries are training errors and overuse (about 72%)3 followed by extrinsic and intrinsic risk factors such as previous injuries, body size, anatomical alignment, and level of competition4.

Running is a complex interaction of activation and relaxation of the involved muscles, starting with muscle-tuning even before heel strike5. The central nervous system gains input over the ground reaction forces and tunes the muscles accordingly to a predefined and cost-effective personal preferred motion path6. The extrinsic foot muscles (gastrocnemius medialis, gastrocnemius lateralis, soleus, tibialis anterior, tibialis posterior) play an essential role in controlling the movement path as they act as foot invertors and prevent pronation of the foot during running. Electromyography is a widely used technique for the detection of muscle activation. However, surface EMG is only suitable for assessing large muscle groups without good spatial resolution, and even the invasive method of intramuscular EMG is still affected by "cross-talk" between closely adjacent muscles7. Furthermore, both methods are highly dependent on the placement of the electrodes8. Another non-invasive way of muscle analysis is by means of ultrasound. The focus here is on morphological changes, which, however, can only be determined locally in the context of a defined movement for individual muscles or as long-term changes9–11.

In 1988, Fleckenstein et al.12 were the first to show a correlation between activation patterns of skeletal muscles before and after exercise with an increase of the T2 signal using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Using MRI allows near real-time, non-invasive observation of muscle activation with excellent spatial resolution. Although the exact physiological mechanism remains unclear it is believed that most of the effect is due to intracellular events with an increased microcirculation in the active muscle, accumulation of osmolytes (phosphate, lactate, and sodium) and intracellular acidification resulting in increased intramuscular water content and volume of...
the muscle\textsuperscript{13–15}. Multiple studies were able to detect muscle activation in lower extremities using T2 mapping scans after exercise, resulting in increased relaxation times\textsuperscript{13,16–18} and showing good correlation between muscle activation and exercise intensity\textsuperscript{19–21} as well as glucose uptake\textsuperscript{22}. Jenner et al. reported relaxation times increasing to a maximum after only a few repetitions of dynamic ankle dorsiflexion exercise with a following plateau phase for the next 15 min\textsuperscript{19} and Fisher et al. described a return to the initial level about 20 min after cessation of the exercise\textsuperscript{23}. While the existing literature covers direct pre- and post-exercise comparisons and the starting phase (first 15 min), we still lack information about the reaction and adoption of muscle activation to prolonged running.

Therefore, this study is the first to describe the time-course of muscle activation based on T2 mapping during a prolonged run of 75 min to test for the hypothesis of a consistent plateau phase after initial activation.

Materials and methods

Participants. This prospective study was approved by the local institutional review board of the University of Cologne and registered in the German Clinical Trials Registry (DRKS00011152) on the 02/07/2018. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants and all procedures were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines. Participants and corresponding imaging data were acquired from December 2016 until August 2018. Participants needed to be recreationally active, between 18 and 40 years old and without any known neurological disorder, cardiovascular disease, or sport-related injuries at the time of the study (Fig. 1). Dividing the subjects in terms of running speed was based on the previously described correlation between load intensity and muscle activation\textsuperscript{19–21}. The 10 km/h were chosen in order to obtain two groups as equal as possible.

Exercise protocol. Each volunteer tested and subsequently chose the highest possible running speed that he or she was able to maintain for 75 min on the provided treadmill (F85, Sole Fitness, Neu-Ulm, Germany). The treadmill was positioned directly in front of the scanner room to minimize time and potential influences between running and scanning. Based on the results from Fisher et al.\textsuperscript{23}, all volunteers completed an initial resting phase of 30 min on the moveable MR table outside the scanner room to neutralize any exercise or muscle activation before the experiment. The volunteers laid supine on the table in a relaxed position with slightly bent knees (about 20°) and were brought to the MR room to avoid any movement of the leg before starting the baseline scan. The running phases were divided into different sections with interposed MR scans to allow a high temporal resolution of muscle activity changes. The measuring timepoints were 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 45 and 75 min to account for the previously described rapid increase of T2 times and the following plateau. The effective times for MRI scanning, running on the treadmill, and switching between the scanner and the treadmill were recorded using a stopwatch. All runners were asked whether they felt exhausted or could continue running with the same running speed.

MRI examination. All scans were performed on a whole-body 3.0 T MRI system (Philips Ingenia 3.0 T, Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with a dedicated 16-channel transmit and receive knee coil. To ensure full consistency between all scans for the individual participant, a custom-made MR-compatible board fixed to the MR table was used to position the feet at the same spot after each bout. For each participant, the coil base was kept fixed to the MRI table while the right calf was positioned in the center of the coil base. The initial scan included T1-weighted sequences in coronal and axial direction for optimal anatomical planning. A Carr-Meibom-Purcell-sequence identical to the one described by Willwacher et al.\textsuperscript{24} and comparable to Schuermans et al.\textsuperscript{25} was used to obtain T2 maps after acquisition of 16 echoes ranging from 10 to 160 ms with a repetition time of TR = 2000 ms (flip angle: 90 degrees, SENSE factor: 1.7, voxel size: 1.5 \times 1.5 \times 5 mm). The relaxation time was calculated by the scanner based on the exponential decay of the signal intensity. Ten slices with a 15 mm gap were acquired, resulting in a FOV with a craniocaudal length of 185 mm starting from the fibula head and with a transversal dimension of 150 \times 197 mm.

Data analysis. Due to field inhomogeneities or a poor signal-to-noise ratio in some scans, the first and last two slices were excluded with six slices remaining for analysis. On each slice and for all time points the medial (MG) and lateral gastrocnemius (LG), soleus (SO), peroneus longus (PER), tibialis posterior (TP), tibialis anterior (TA) and extensor digitorum longus (EDL) were manually segmented by a radiologist specialized in musculoskeletal imaging (7 years of experience) using HOROS viewer 3.3.2 (The Horos Project, Annapolis, MD, USA). Segmentation was performed on the first echo (TE = 10 ms) due to the best delineation of anatomical structures and copied to the calculated T2 map. Comparable to previous studies, vessels and intramuscular fat were excluded\textsuperscript{23}. The average T2 relaxation time for each muscle for a given time point was calculated as the sum of multiplying the relaxation time for each slice with the cross-sectional area (CSA) averaged to the whole measured cross-sectional area of the muscle (ACSA), comparable to previous work by Ploutz-Snyder et al.\textsuperscript{13}:

\[
T2 \text{relaxation time}_{\text{muscle}} = \sum_{\text{slices}} \text{relaxation time}_{\text{slices}} \times \frac{\text{CSA}_{\text{slices}}}{\text{ACSA}_{\text{all slices}}}
\]

This procedure ensures that the relaxation time for each slice is included according to the total percentage of muscle volume. Muscle volumes for 18 of the volunteers in this study had been reported previously by Wilwacher et al.\textsuperscript{24}.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 8.4.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA). All data are reported as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The data was checked for normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The continuous data of the relaxa-
In-/Exclusion criteria:
- Recreational active: 20
- 18-40 years: 20
- Completion of 75 min run: 19
- Cardiovascular disease: 0
- Neurological disorder: 0
- Sport-related injury: 0

Exercise protocol
30 min rest
75 min running at constant speed
T2 mapping at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 45 and 75 min
n=19; ♂: 8, ♂: 11

Fast runners
≥ 10 km/h or 2.78 m/s
n=9; ♂: 1, ♂: 8

Slow runners
< 10 km/h or 2.78 m/s
n=10; ♂: 7, ♂: 3

Figure 1. Flow diagram for study participants with exercise protocol and subgroup analysis.
MG [ms] 38.68 ± 1.52 (100%)*/–/– 44.58 ± 2.63 (115.48%)*/* 44.14 ± 2.63 (114.11%)*/*
LG [ms] 37.10 ± 1.01 (100%)*/–/– 42.52 ± 3.40 (113.88%)*/* 42.27 ± 3.78 (113.92%)*/*
TP [ms] 37.36 ± 1.13 (100%)*/–/– 39.25 ± 1.69 (103.87%)*/* 39.22 ± 1.79 (104.97%)*/*
SOL [ms] 39.16 ± 1.18 (100%)*/* 40.68 ± 1.34 (103.87%)*/* 40.45 ± 1.17 (103.29%)*/*
PER [ms] 37.49 ± 2.67 (100%)*/* 40.61 ± 2.96 (103.87%)*/* 40.89 ± 3.08 (107.70%)*/*
EDL [ms] 35.79 ± 0.86 (100%)*/* 38.10 ± 2.29 (103.87%)*/* 37.35 ± 1.20 (104.37%)*/*
TA [ms] 35.50 ± 0.77 (100%)*/* 38.66 ± 2.38 (109.95%)*/* 37.61 ± 1.38 (105.94%)*/*

Table 1. Demographics of study participants before and after dropout of one volunteer due to an incomplete run as well as subgroups for fast (≥10 km/h) or 2.78 m/s) and slow (<10 km/h) runners. The subgroups differed significantly with regards to height, sex and speed (marked with *).

| 9 min | 2.5 min | 5 min | 10 min | 15 min | 45 min | 75 min |
|-------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| MG [ms] | 38.68 ± 1.52 (100%)*/–/– | 44.67 ± 2.63 (114.11%)*/* | 44.14 ± 2.63 (114.11%)*/* | 43.88 ± 2.74 (108.32%)*/* | 40.61 ± 1.68 (108.97%)*/* | 40.79 ± 1.22 (105.44%)*/* |
| LG [ms] | 37.10 ± 1.01 (100%)*/–/– | 42.25 ± 3.40 (113.88%)*/* | 42.27 ± 3.78 (113.92%)*/* | 41.06 ± 3.65 (110.67%)*/* | 39.50 ± 1.92 (106.46%)*/* | 38.74 ± 1.24 (103.70%)*/* |
| TP [ms] | 37.36 ± 1.13 (100%)*/–/– | 39.25 ± 1.69 (103.87%)*/* | 39.22 ± 1.79 (104.97%)*/* | 39.51 ± 1.76 (105.76%)*/* | 38.70 ± 0.89 (103.36%)*/* | 38.70 ± 0.89 (103.36%)*/* |
| SOL [ms] | 39.16 ± 1.18 (100%)*/* | 40.68 ± 1.34 (103.87%)*/* | 40.45 ± 1.17 (103.29%)*/* | 40.23 ± 0.85 (102.72%)*/* | 39.41 ± 1.69 (105.11%)*/* | 36.67 ± 1.04 (102.46%)*/* |
| PER [ms] | 37.49 ± 2.67 (100%)*/* | 40.61 ± 2.96 (103.87%)*/* | 40.89 ± 3.08 (107.70%)*/* | 40.38 ± 2.22 (107.70%)*/* | 36.67 ± 1.04 (102.46%)*/* | 36.67 ± 1.04 (102.46%)*/* |
| EDL [ms] | 35.79 ± 0.86 (100%)*/* | 38.10 ± 2.29 (103.87%)*/* | 37.35 ± 1.20 (104.37%)*/* | 37.35 ± 1.20 (104.37%)*/* | 36.67 ± 1.04 (102.46%)*/* | 36.67 ± 1.04 (102.46%)*/* |
| TA [ms] | 35.50 ± 0.77 (100%)*/* | 38.66 ± 2.38 (109.95%)*/* | 37.61 ± 1.38 (105.94%)*/* | 36.93 ± 0.96 (104.02%)*/* | 36.93 ± 0.96 (104.02%)*/* | 36.93 ± 0.96 (104.02%)*/* |

Table 2. Absolute and relative changes of the T2 relaxation times during prolonged running. */* marking significant difference (p < 0.05) compared to baseline (0 min) (* before diagonal slash) or 75 min timepoint († after diagonal slash).

Results
Eleven male and nine female healthy young volunteers participated in this study (Table 1). One female volunteer was unable to complete the 75 min run at the chosen speed and was excluded from the following data analysis (n = 19). The average running speed was 9.66 ± 1.40 km/h or 2.68 ± 0.40 m/s, and all runners felt exhausted, fulfilling the criteria for maximal possible running speed over the 75 min period. Normality test was passed for all timepoints with p > 0.1.

The effective time for the individual running sessions were almost identical to the targeted times with 2:31,18 ± 0:01,58, 5:00,99 ± 0:03,02 and 30:01,97 ± 0:03,27 min for the 2.5, 5, and 30 min running segments, respectively. The running sessions were interrupted in total by 3:45,70 ± 0:46,40 min consisting of the average time within the scanner with 2:17,23 ± 0:27,54 min, 0:48,40 ± 0:15,87 min when switching from the scanner to the treadmill and 0:41,23 ± 0:07,95 min for switching from the treadmill back to the scanner. The time from leaving the treadmill until the end of the scan as a potential confounding factor for the relaxation times ranged from 2:55,55 to 3:04,23 min without significant differences between the individual running segments (all p > 0.05).

The initial ANOVA showed statistical significance for all muscles with R squared ranging from 0.80 to 0.45 (MG: 0.80; LG: 0.78; TP: 0.49; SOL: 0.45; PER: 0.63; EDL: 0.55; TA: 0.58). All segmented muscles showed a statistical significant increase of relaxation times between baseline and 2.5 min (MG: + 15.48%; LG: + 14.28%; TP: + 6.27%; SOL: + 4.49%; PER: + 8.36%; EDL: + 8.02%; TA: + 9.95% (all p < 0.01)) (Table 2) (Fig. 2). The initial steep increase was followed by a plateau phase, including time points 2.5, 5, 10, and 15 min. In this phase, there were no significant differences between any of these time points (all p > 0.05) except for the EDL with a decline of T2 relaxation times from 38.66 to 38.10 ms (p = 0.03) between 2.5 and 5 min. The initial increase and plateau were followed by reduced relaxation times in the later running phases (Fig. 3). As a result, the relaxation times of all muscles were significantly lower at 75 min than for at least one previous time point (all p < 0.05) (Fig. 4). MG, LG, SOL, and TA showed significantly higher relaxation times for all time points during the plateau phase (2.5–15 min) compared to the 75 min time point (all p < 0.05). The plateau phase was extended for TP and PER without any significant difference between 2.5 and 45 min (all p > 0.05), while both muscles showed a significant decrease from 45 to 75 min (TP: p = 0.01; PER: p = 0.02).

In the study population, there was a significant negative correlation between running speed as a measure of exercise intensity and the increase of relaxation times for MG (r = − 0.57, p < 0.01), LG (r = − 0.46, p = 0.04)
and SOL ($r = -0.47, p = 0.04$). For example, the initial increase in MG for the fastest male (13 km/h) and female (11 km/h) were 2.80 ms and 3.33 ms while they were 7.96 ms (8 km/h) and 8.51 ms (7.5 km/h) for the slowest male and female, respectively. The correlation coefficients for all other muscles were negative as well but missed statistical significance (TP: $r = -0.26, p = 0.27$; PER: $r = -0.17, p = 0.48$; EDL: $r = -0.28, p = 0.24$; TA: $r = -0.38, p = 0.11$). The whole participant collective could be divided into nine faster and ten slower runners using a running speed threshold of 10 km/h (Table 3). The groups differed significantly regarding speed ($p = 0.01$), height ($p = 0.03$) and sex ($p = 0.01$). Based on this subdivision, there was a smaller increase of relaxation times and a smaller decrease in the later phases for the faster compared to the slower runners. Compared to faster runners, in slower runners a significantly higher relaxation time was measured for all muscles ($p < 0.05$), except the PER, at least once before the end of the run (75 min). In contrast, this was only true for EDL (5 min; $p = 0.04$) and TA (2.5 min, $p = 0.01$) for the faster runners at one time point each. The difference was particularly pronounced for MG, LG and SOL (Fig. 5), where at least three of the first four time points had significantly higher relaxation.

Figure 2. Mean relaxation times with standard deviation for the extrinsic foot muscle.
times compared to 75 min in the slower running group (all \( p < 0.05 \)). This was not the case at any timepoint for the faster runners.

**Discussion**

Overuse-related running injuries represent a severe problem for the individual runner, recreational or elite level, and the community with high insurance costs and lost workdays. Despite a clear trend with more people running longer distances (e.g., +31.61% for marathon finishers in the United States between 2004 and 2016\(^{26} \)), there is a gap of knowledge regarding physiological changes during prolonged running. This study extends the current knowledge about short-term effects of muscle activation of the extrinsic foot muscles (<15 min) with changes during a 75 min run using the established T2 mapping. This is the first study to prove that T2 relaxation
times do not remain at a plateau but significantly decrease already during exercise for all muscles. Additionally, the increase of relaxation times is negatively correlated with the running speed of the participants, and faster runners experience a lower drop of relaxation times during later running phases.

In line with previous studies\textsuperscript{13,27,28}, we recognized significantly increased relaxation times after 2.5 min for all measured muscles ranging from 4.49% for the SOL and 15.48% for the MG or 14.28% for LG. While these three muscles work synergistically, the SOL mainly consists of slow-twitching type I muscle fibers\textsuperscript{30} with lower relaxation times\textsuperscript{30} and is always activated to counteract gravity\textsuperscript{31}, which explains the longest relaxation time at baseline and smallest increase during exercise in our study. Absolute T2 relaxation times for baseline as well as for the plateau phase are in the range of pre- and post-treadmill exercise results from Varghese et al.\textsuperscript{28}, especially when considering magnet-field strength dependency with a 3 T magnet used in this study\textsuperscript{32}. Based on these first insights into muscular processes during prolonged running, this newly discovered signal decrease might be a novel, objective marker for physiological adaptation due to osmolyte changes, especially when considering the evidence for a fast increase of blood lactate followed by a plateau phase and decrease between 15 and 30 min\textsuperscript{33}. On the other hand, it might indicate muscular fatigue with reduced activation of the extrinsic foot muscles and changed movement patterns, which are known to lead to increased and altered bone strain\textsuperscript{34} and therefore increased risk for running-related injuries such as fatigue fractures or tibia anterior syndrome. In line with this, Kellis et al. found increased quadriceps muscle activity after ankle fatigue\textsuperscript{35}, and Sanno et al. described smaller shifts in muscle fiber composition and metabolism due to training effects or a more efficient motion pattern for better acceleration techniques including compressed sensing\textsuperscript{40}. However, since the duration of the pause for running, resulting from scanning and changing times, did not show any statistical differences between the individual run segments, these changes should only alter the absolute values for the specific times and should not have any systematic effect. Another potential confounding factor is the sex as it is well established that muscle metabolism differs between male and female runners\textsuperscript{41}. We tried to represent both groups in this study but distribution is significantly unequal for the running speed subgroups. Additionally, the movement pattern might be different between male and female runners which might influence activation of individual muscles. All participants were recreational athletes in various disciplines (soccer, basketball, triathlon, track and field). Accordingly, the results may differ for non-active participants or for specific subgroups with specific load\textsuperscript{42}.

|      | 2.5 min (%) | 5 min (%) | 10 min (%) | 15 min (%) | 45 min (%) | 75 min (%) |
|------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| MG   | Fast        | 113.71    | 112.87     | 112.34     | 112.08     | 108.84     | 105.79     |
|      | Slow        | 117.08*   | 116.32*    | 115.72*    | 114.67*    | 108.63     | 105.13     |
| LG   | Fast        | 112.22    | 111.63     | 111.38     | 111.58     | 110.17     | 107.17     |
|      | Slow        | 116.12*   | 115.54*    | 116.09*    | 116.00*    | 112.11     | 105.83     |
| TP   | Fast        | 105.17    | 103.98     | 104.55     | 104.74     | 105.97     | 103.87     |
|      | Slow        | 107.25    | 106.04     | 105.57     | 105.17     | 105.57*    | 103.55     |
| SOL  | Fast        | 103.35    | 102.30     | 102.10     | 102.23     | 102.31     | 101.77     |
|      | Slow        | 105.51*   | 105.28*    | 105.24*    | 104.24     | 103.08     | 101.88     |
| PER  | Fast        | 107.42    | 108.07     | 108.60     | 108.86     | 108.10     | 106.46     |
|      | Slow        | 109.17    | 108.53     | 109.95     | 109.25     | 107.35     | 103.92     |
| EDI  | Fast        | 105.98    | 104.68*    | 105.71     | 105.39     | 105.19     | 102.65     |
|      | Slow        | 109.84*   | 108.04     | 108.02     | 107.17     | 103.64     | 102.28     |
| TA   | Fast        | 107.71*   | 106.87     | 108.19     | 108.27     | 107.18     | 103.91     |
|      | Slow        | 111.93*   | 110.69     | 111.71     | 109.59     | 104.83     | 104.12     |

Table 3. Relative changes for fast and slow runners. The initial increase is lower for the fast runners. At the same time, however, there is also a smaller drop in the later running phases. * marking significant difference (p < 0.05) compared to 75 min timepoint (* after diagonal slash).
Figure 5. In the fast group, there is initially a smaller increase of the T2 relaxation times and at the same time a less pronounced drop, exemplarily with the plantar flexors MG, LG and SOL.

In conclusion, significantly reduced T2 relaxation times during later running phases (≥ 45 min) in all calf muscles provide evidence for either metabolic adoption or muscular fatigue during prolonged exercise after an initial increase and plateau phase. Additionally, faster runners show a lower initial increase and later decrease in
relaxation times, which strengthens the hypothesis that relaxation times are not only based on exercise intensity but highly depend on training level and muscle fiber composition as a possible candidate for performance testing.
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