THE ECTOPIC SPLEEN-INCIDENTAL FINDING ON PELVIC MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING

SUMMARY

The ectopic spleen (ES) is a rare variation occurred depend on the absence or laxity of the suspensory ligaments. The ES is a rarely diagnosed clinical condition. Less than 500 symptomatic patients have been reported. Less than 0.25% of splenectomies are performed on the ES. Patients are usually asymptomatic. It’s diagnosed incidentally in general. The incidence is not clear. ES incidence is reported less than 0.5%. However, this rate covers all of the relocations (1). The pelvic ES is a rarely encountered condition. Since the spleen is a reticuloendothelial system organ, the variations like accessory spleen can be misdiagnosed as lymph nodes because of similarity with sonographic echogenicity and computed tomography density. The ectopic spleen may be confused with mass and may be damaged during procedures such as blind paracentesis. Advanced imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are not routinely diagnosis of the ectopic spleen because these lesions are usually asymptomatic. For this reason, clinical physicians and radiologists rarely encounter with MRI appearance of the ectopic spleen. The incidence of trauma, torsion, and infarction increase in the presence of ES. Knowing the presence of ES increases the accuracy of diagnosis in patients with trauma (1,2). A 21 years old female patient with incidentally detected pelvic ES was presented accompanying by MRI findings.

CASE REPORT

A 21-year-old female patient was admitted to the dermatology clinic for erythematous skin rashes. In radiological assessment, hepatobiliary ultrasonography was showed fatty liver and parenchymal nonspecific hypoechoic areas near the portal hilum. The gallbladder and bile ducts were normal.

In the laboratory, liver function tests and blood haemogram values were normal. Upper abdominal MRI was requested because of detected hypoechoic liver areas on ultrasound. On MRI, there was diffuse hepatic steatosis except for the parenchyma around the portal vein. The hypoechoic sonographic focuses in the liver were evaluated to be focal fatty sparing of the liver. However, the spleen was not observed in normal localization in the left upper quadrant. An appearance similar to a mass was detected in the left lower quadrant of the abdomen in the lower sections of MRI imaging, so the radiological examination was shifted to the pelvic region. Pelvic MRI showed an ES located in the left iliac fossa. Hilum was in 180 degrees rotation according to normal. Thereby, rotation anomaly was present (Figure 1A and 1B).

Figure 1A and 1B. Axial (1A) and coronal (1B) T2-weighted images; localized in the left iliac fossa, the hilum was 180 degrees opposite to normal (posterolateral ectopic spleen)

INTRODUCTION

Spleen variations are common encountered in daily routine. Variations include common ones such as the accessory spleen but also rare types such as the ectopic spleen (ES). Less than 500 symptomatic cases of ES have been reported, and less than 0.25% of splenectomies are performed caused by ES. Patients are usually asymptomatic. ES incidence is less than 0.5%. However, this rate covers all of the relocations (1). The pelvic ES is a rarely encountered condition since the spleen is a reticuloendothelial system organ, the variations like accessory spleen can be misdiagnosed as lymph nodes because of similarity with sonographic echogenicity and computed tomography density. The ectopic spleen may be confused with mass and may be damaged during procedures such as blind paracentesis. Advanced imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are not routinely diagnosis of the ectopic spleen because these lesions are usually asymptomatic. For this reason, clinical physicians and radiologists rarely encounter with MRI appearance of the ectopic spleen. The incidence of trauma, torsion, and infarction increase in the presence of ES. Knowing the presence of ES increases the accuracy of diagnosis in patients with trauma (1,2). A 21 years old female patient with incidentally detected pelvic ES was presented accompanying by MRI findings.
Spleen size was in the upper limit of normal (125x42 mm). On T1 and T2 weighted images, parenchymal signals were similar to normal spleen signal. On contrast-enhanced images, the parenchyma homogeneously enhanced. There were no contrast defects typical of infarction (Figures 2A and 2B) or an accessory spleen.

Even if ES cases are mostly asymptomatic, they can cause non-specific complaints such as abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting due to the compression effect on abdominal organs. The most serious and feared complication is torsion. Patients with torsion develop severe abdominal pain, fever, nausea, and vomiting. Physical examination shows a palpable mass in the abdomen (7). Laboratory tests are generally non-specific, but signs of increased inflammatory parameters, hypersplenism, and functional asplenia may occur. Our case had no active complaint.

The diagnosis of ES is usually made incidentally by imaging methods taken for a different reason. Spleen shadow is not observed in normal localization on abdominal X-ray. The localization, size and blood supply of the spleen can be evaluated by ultrasonography. If torsion has developed, there is no blood supply in the splenic hilum and parenchyma, increased arterial resistance, and decreased venous flow (8). The spleen is not in normal localization on computed tomography. A similar density with the spleen, the existence of the hilum and morphologic appearance are diagnostic. The spiral appearance of splenic vessels and significant decrease in spleen density compared to the liver are evidence of torsion.

The contrast-enhanced computed tomography also provides information about the blood supply of the spleen. The absence of parenchymal contrast enhancement is auxiliary to the diagnosis of torsion (9). In our case, parenchymal enhancement was homogeneous. There was no contrast defect in favor of infarct. Also, accessory spleen tissue was not observed in another localization on abdominal MR images.

The treatment of asymptomatic patients is conservative. Splenopexy operations have become prominent in cases without signs of infarct, torsion and hypersplenism because of the importance of the spleen in the immune system and the increase in fatal infections after splenectomy. In the case of torsion, detorsion is tried and the viability of the spleen is evaluated. Despite detorsion, if the viability of the spleen does not return, splenectomy is inevitable, and infarction occurs (8). In recent years, laparoscopic splenectomy is the most preferred surgical method because of the short hospitalization time and faster recovery period.
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