The Ameliorative Role of Eugenol against Silver Nanoparticles-Induced Hepatotoxicity in Male Wistar Rats
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Background. Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) utilization is becoming increasingly popular. The existing investigation evaluates the ameliorative impact of eugenol (Eug) against the toxic influences of AgNPs on rats’ liver. Methods. Sixty adult male rats were enrolled equally into control, Eug (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) orally), AgNPs-low dose (1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p), AgNPs-high dose (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p), Eug + AgNPs-low dose (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) orally + 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p), and Eug + AgNPs high dose (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) orally + 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) i.p). All the groups were treated daily for 30 days, subsequently serum aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total protein, total albumin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), total oxidative capacity (TOC), malondialdehyde (MDA), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) levels were measured; hepatic tissues superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), reduced glutathione (GSH), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) levels were evaluated; histopathology and histomorphometry were documented in the liver of all groups; and Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-\(\alpha\) reactive proteins were also immunohistochemically detected. Results. AgNPs significantly triggered oxidative stress in hepatic tissues, characterized by elevated levels of AST, ALT, ALP, LDH, TOC, MDA, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-\(\alpha\)), total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) levels were measured; hepatic tissues superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), reduced glutathione (GSH), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) levels were evaluated; histopathology and histomorphometry were documented in the liver of all groups; and Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-\(\alpha\) reactive proteins were also immunohistochemically detected. Results. AgNPs significantly triggered oxidative stress in hepatic tissues, characterized by elevated levels of AST, ALT, ALP, LDH, TOC, MDA, TNF-\(\alpha\), and IL-6 correlating with considerable decline in total protein, total albumin, TAC, SOD, CAT, GSH, and GPx. These changes were paralleled with histopathological alterations remarkable by devastation of the ordinary hepatic structure, with decrease in the numbers of normal hepatocytes, elevation in the numbers of necrotic hepatocytes, periportal and centrilobular inflammatory cells, deteriorated Kupffer cells, and dilated/congested central and portal veins. Alongside, a marked diminution in Bcl-2 immunoreactivity and a significant elevation in P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-\(\alpha\) immunoreactivities were recorded. Supplementation of AgNPs-treated animals with Eug reversed most of the biochemical, histopathological, and immunohistochemical changes. Conclusion. This study proposed that Eug has an ameliorative effect against AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity.

1. Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs) are materials with a particle magnitude ranging from 1 to 100 nm that may be of natural inception or can be created by human industrial activities. Owing to their size, surface charge, and surface area, NPs possess unique physicochemical characteristics [1]. There is an expanding demand for using NPs in drug and medical services, materials science, industrial and household applications, electronics, energy harvesting, and mechanical industries [2].

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) attracted immense research attention worldwide consequent to their eminent physical, chemical, and biological advantages. AgNPs are utilized as antimicrobial substances in many industries like biomedicine [3], cosmetics [4], food packaging [5], textile coating [6], and water disinfection [7]. AgNPs are also employed in many other fields, such as molecular imaging [8], drug delivery, and anticancer therapeutics [9].

The expanding utilization of nanomaterials in our daily life increases environmental worries. AgNPs can either purposefully or unpurposefully enter the human body via inhalation, drinking, ingestion, skin uptake, or intravenous injection [2]. Inside the body, AgNPs can translocate and accumulate in different tissues, including the liver, heart, lungs, kidneys,
and nervous tissues, where they interfere with the metabolic pathways inside the cells and exert adverse effects and toxicity [10]. The liver is a prime accumulation site of circulatory AgNPs, which cause cellular injury and toxic effects on it [11].

The mechanisms underlying AgNPs-induced cytotoxicity and genotoxicity are unclear. The liberation of silver ions and formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) are proposed to be the possible reasons for the toxic impacts of AgNPs [12]. The increased intracellular ROS levels induce oxidative stress and subsequent lipid peroxidation and cellular macromolecular damage, which eventually leads to cell death [13].

Numerous investigations have emphasized the antioxidant potential of phytochemicals [14]. Eugenol (Eug; 1-allyl-4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzene [C₁₀H₁₂O₂]) is a pheno-lic phytochemical abundantly present in clove, cinnamon, and basil and is used as aavoring substance in foods and cosmetics and as a preservative in foods [15]. Eug has several pharmacological activities, including antimicrobial [16], antioxidant [17], anti-inflammatory [18], and anticancer [19] efficiencies.

According to the current literature, very rare and non-specific studies on the protective impact of Eug in reducing AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity in male rats have been done. Thus, the present study’s objective was to elucidate Eug’s potential ameliorative effect against AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity in male rats employing biochemical, histological, histomorphometrical, and immunohistochemical approaches.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals Used. Silver nitrate (AgNO₃, 99%), gelatin, and eugenol (Eug, 99%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Additional reagents and chemicals used were of high analytical grade and purreness.

2.2. Synthesis of AgNPs. AgNPs were synthesized using AgNO₃ and gelatin via the microwave method according to [20]. 16.987 g of AgNO₃ was dissolved in 100 mL of deionized distilled water for 20 min. Next, 1 g of gelatin soluble in deionized distilled water was added to AgNPs. The solution was stirred at 60°C for 24 h on a direct hot plate and then subjected to microwave irradiation at 700 watts for 5 min. All samples were naturally chilled at room temperature, and carefully covered and capped.

2.3. Physicochemical Characterization of AgNPs. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-VIS) spectroscopy (JASCO V-550 UV/VIS double-beam spectrophotometer, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the primary characterization of the synthesized AgNPs and to monitor their synthesis and stability. The crystallinity of AgNPs was characterized using an X-ray diffractometer (XRD) employing CuKα radiation. The sample was scanned over a 2θ range of 10°–80°. The chemical state and elemental composition were measured via X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The morphology of NPs was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) operating at 20 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to monitor the size and morphological stability of AgNPs [21]. AgNPs samples from the stock suspensions were sonicated in nanopure water for 30 min at room temperature. Then, a drop from the dilute specimen solution was dropped onto an amorphous carbon-coated copper grid and air dried, generating a monolayer. The particle diameters of the synthesized AgNPs were assessed using the software image analysis program over various shots of TEM photomicrographs for the intended specimen [22] at the Central Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.

2.4. Experimental Animals. Sixty males of albino rats (Rattus norvegicus) of nearly equal age and weighing 180-200 g were obtained from the Schistosoma Biological Supply Program, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, El-Giza, Egypt. The rats were kept in clean plastic crates supplied with wood shavings and fed a regular rodent pellet diet, besides water ad libitum at room temperature (25 ± 2°C), with a 12/12-h light-dark period and 55 ± 5% relative humidity. Before experimentation, all rats were allowed to adapt for a week. This study was in compliance with the international standards for animal laboratory treatment set by the local Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of Ain Shams University for the use and treatment of animals.

2.5. Experimental Design. The rats were put into six groups, each with 10 animals. They were treated daily at 9 a.m. for 30 days as follows:

- Control group: healthy rats intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with 1 mL deionized distilled water (vehicle for AgNPs) and orally received 1 mL corn oil (vehicle for Eug) by oral gavage.
- Eug-treated group: rats were orally given Eug (100 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) suspended in 1 mL of corn oil by oral gavage. This dose was calculated according to the dose used in previous rat studies [23].
- AgNPs-low-dose-treated group: rats were i.p. treated with AgNPs (1 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) dissolved in 1 mL of deionized distilled water.
- AgNPs-high-dose-treated group: rats were i.p. treated with AgNPs (2 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) dissolved in 1 mL of deionized distilled water.

- The low and high doses of AgNPs were selected based on those used in previous investigations [2].
- Eug + AgNPs-low-dose-treated group: rats were orally given Eug (100 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) paralleled with i.p. injection of AgNPs-low dose (1 mg kg⁻¹ body weight).
- Eug + AgNPs-high-dose-treated group: rats were orally given Eug (100 mg kg⁻¹ body weight) paralleled with i.p. injection of AgNPs-high dose (2 mg kg⁻¹ body weight).

2.6. Collection of Sera and Tissue Samples. At the end of all treatments, the rats were fasted nightly, and in the following morning, they were anesthetized with light ether anesthesia. Using cardiac puncture, samples of blood were obtained and centrifuged for 10 minutes at 1500 x g and 4°C to get sera that were promptly preserved at −80°C until usage. The
livers of dissected rats were segregated out and instantly rinsed with ice-cold 0.9% NaCl physiological saline, and then they were kept frozen at −80 °C for additional biochemical investigations, while the other liver specimens were excised and prepared for the histological, histomorphometrical, and immunohistochemical studies.

2.7. Preparation of Liver Homogenates. Using Ultra Turrax tissue homogenizer, specimens from the liver were homogenized in pH 7.4 ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to obtain a 10% solution (w/v). 0.16 mg/mL heparin was added to PBS to eliminate any erythrocytes and clots. The obtained homogenate was centrifuged for 15 min at 9000 g to obtain a 10% solution (w/v). 0.16 mg/mL heparin was added to PBS to eliminate any erythrocytes and clots. The obtained homogenate was centrifuged for 15 min at 9000 g and 4 °C, and then the clear supernatant was separated and preserved frozen at −80 °C for subsequent biochemical assays. Hepatic protein content in every sample was determined using the previously reported procedures [24] which used bovine serum albumin as a standard.

2.8. Biochemical Assessment

2.8.1. Liver Function Biomarkers. Colorimetric assay kits specific for liver function biomarkers (aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), total protein, and total albumin) were purchased from EGY-CHEM (Egypt). Measuring the activities of LDH was depended on the protocol of [26]. Serum total protein and albumin levels were determined using the methods outlined by [27, 28], respectively.

2.8.2. Oxidative Stress Biomarkers in Sera. Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and total oxidant capacity (TOC) were estimated in sera utilizing colorimetric assay kits manufactured by Biomedica Medizinprodukte GmbH, Germany, following the procedures formerly described by [29, 30], respectively.

2.8.3. Oxidative Stress Biomarkers in Liver Tissues. Levels of lipid peroxidation (LPO) were assessed depending on the production of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and expressed as the extent of malondialdehyde (MDA) formation using a colorimetric assay kit (Biodiagnostic, Egypt) according to [31]. The effectiveness of antioxidants in the liver tissues including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), reduced glutathione (GSH), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) were assayed using commercially accessible colorimetric kits (Biodiagnostic, Egypt) utilizing a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The protocol previously published by [32] was applied to estimate the effectiveness of SOD, whereas CAT activity was assayed by H2O2 consumption according to the method proposed by [33], while the techniques previously depicted by [34, 35] were used for measuring the activities of GSH and GPx, respectively.

2.8.4. Proinflammatory Markers in Liver Tissues. Two proinflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin 6 (IL-6), had been assessed in the liver tissues. TNF-α levels were measured using a commercially accessible enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (catalog number: CSB-E11987r, Cusabio Biotech Co., Ltd.) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Meanwhile, the concentration of IL-6 had been assessed using the Rat IL-6 Quantikine ELISA Kit subsequent to the instructions described by the manufacturer (R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, USA).

2.9. Histological Preparation. Samples of the liver of both control and treated animals were cut into tiny portions that instantly fixed for 24 h in 10% buffered formalin solution; after that they were proceeded for the routine protocol of paraffin sectioning previously described by [36]. 4-μm-thick paraffin sections were stained with Ehrlich’s hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), dehydrated in a set of graded ethyl alcohol concentrations, cleared in xylene, mounted in DPX, and examined and photographed using compound light microscope (Olympus CX 31) provided with a Panasonic CD-220 camera.

2.10. Histomorphometrical Estimation. Six random fields from H&E-stained liver sections of all animal groups were selected and histomorphometrically analyzed using a computed image analysis system (Leica QWin, 500 Software, Germany) in the Department of Oral and Dental Pathology, Faculty of Dental Medicine, Al-Azhar University. The numbers of normal hepatocytes, necrotic hepatocytes, deteriorated Kupffer cells, and infiltrating inflammatory cells in the perportal areas (portal inflammation) and in the centrilobular zones (centrilobular inflammation) were estimated. In addition, the numbers of dilated/congested central and portal veins were recorded.

2.11. Immunohistochemical Preparation. Immunohistochemical localization of Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-α reactive proteins was performed according to the standard Avidin-Biotin Complex (ABC) protocol [37]. 5-μm-thick
buffered formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded hepatic tissue sectors were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and washed for 10 min in PBS. Endogenous peroxidase efficacy was obstructed with 3% hydrogen peroxide. After that, slides were incubated for 1–2 h at room temperature with the convenient dilution of the primary antibodies as represented in Table 1, followed in a refrigerator nightly at 4°C. Subsequently, they were rinsed in PBS, incubated for 10 min with biotinylated goat antipolyvalent, and then incubated for 1 h with ABC. Next, the sections were rinsed in PBS and incubated in diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (pH 7.2) with 10 mL H₂O₂ for 7–9 min forward by PBS. Finally, sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin, dehydrated, cleared, and covered by cover slips. Negative control slides in the absence of primary antibodies were included for each parameter. The antibodies and all reagents were utilized in concurrence with the manufacturer’s directives and recommendations.

2.12. Image Analysis. The quantification of immunoreactivity of active Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-α proteins was assessed using the image analysis to estimate the percentage of positive immunostained cells related to the number of all cells assessed for each parameter [38]. The cells were regarded as positive if there was cytoplasmic and/or membranous brown coloration. A computational image analysis system (Leica QWin 500, Germany) at the Department of Oral and Dental Pathology, Faculty of Girls’ Dental Medicine, Al-Azhar University, was used to process the images. For each parameter, six randomly selected high-power fields (×200) were captured in each slide with a standard measuring frame of an area 11434.9 mm². The image analyzer was initially adjusted automatically to transform the image analyzer program’s measurement units (pixels) into real micrometer units. For statistical analysis, mean percentages of immunoreactive regions were calculated for all samples in each group.

2.13. Statistical Analysis. The biochemical, histomorphometrical, and immunohistochemical data recorded in the current investigation were tabulated and statistically analyzed. The values of six samples/group for all experimental animal sets were expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). Statistical differences among rats’ groups were estimated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) subsequent by Tukey post hoc test utilizing the IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). Statistical significance was considered when a P value was less than 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Physicochemical Characterization of Prepared AgNPs

3.1.1. UV–VIS Spectroscopy. The formation of AgNPs was confirmed by using UV–VIS spectrophotometer. At room temperature, the UV-VIS spectrum of AgNPs showed peak single-band absorption (surface plasmon resonance (SPR)) 1.8 at a wavelength of 413 nm using 1 g of gelatin via the microwave method marked in Figure 1.

3.1.2. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis. The crystal structure of AgNPs was characterized by XRD (Figure 2). The results showed prominent peaks at 2θ = 37.0, 44.6, 63.1, and 76.2, which were assigned to Ag (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes, respectively. These observed planes indicate that AgNPs had a face-centered cube structure and good crystallinity [39, 40]. The observed values agree with the reference of face-centered cubic structure from the Joint Committee of Powder Diffraction Standard (JCPDS No 03-065-2871). The additional peaks detected in the sample were attributed to the presence of AgNO₃ and AgO.

3.1.3. X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) Analysis. The XPS results revealed the presence of Ag, C, N, and O atoms according to their binding energies, as shown in Figure 3. There are four peaks in the survey spectra at around 286 eV (C 1 s), 370 eV (Ag 3d), 401 eV (N 1 s), and 534 eV (O 1 s). The elemental compositions determined from XPS analysis were 5.08, 80.87, 1.53, and 12.53 atomic % for Ag, C, N, and O, respectively.
The high-resolution XPS C1s spectrum of the AgNPs showed two peaks at 285.7 and 288.9 eV corresponding to sp\(^3\) C=\(\text{C}\) and C=O/C–O–Ag on the AgNPs surface (Figure 3(b)). Moreover, the high binding energy of O 1s at 532.3 eV was related to metal–OH bonds [41] (Figure 3(c)). The most noticeable signal in the XPS spectrum was that for Ag 3d, which comprises two spin-orbit peaks at 368.7 (Ag 3d\(5/2\)) and 375.1 (Ag 3d\(3/2\)) eV separated by around 6.0 eV (Figure 3(d)), which agree with the previously reported results [39, 41]. Together, these results illustrated the successful preparation of AgNPs.

3.1.4. Surface Morphology. The morphology of the biosynthesized AgNPs was observed at 20 nm using TEM (Figure 4(a)). TEM analysis showed that the synthesized AgNPs were monodispersed, transparent, spherical, and highly crystalline with a normal small size (7.7-28.4 nm), smooth surface, well-distribution, and no agglomeration and were deemed suitable for utilization in this study. The SEM analysis (Figure 4(b)) of AgNPs showed that the NPs synthesized were spherical in shape [42], which correlated with the observed morphology of TEM image. Some aggregated particles of AgNPs were observed in the prepared
Figure 5: Liver function biomarkers: (a) aspartate aminotransferase (AST), (b) alanine aminotransferase (ALT), (c) alkaline phosphatase (ALP), (d) lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), (e) total protein, and (e) total albumin in the control and treated animal groups. Data are expressed as Mean ± SEM (n = 6). Columns with different superscript letters are significantly different at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA).

Figure 6: (a) Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and (b) total oxidant capacity (TOC) in sera of the control and treated animal groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6). Columns with different superscript letters are significantly different at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA).
Figure 7: Oxidative stress biomarkers: (a) malondialdehyde (MDA), (b) superoxide dismutase (SOD), (c) catalase (CAT), (d) reduced glutathione (GSH), and (e) glutathione peroxidase (GPx) in the liver tissues of the control and treated animal groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6). Columns with different superscript letters are significantly different at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA).

Figure 8: Inflammatory biomarkers: (a) tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and (b) interleukin 6 (IL-6) in the liver tissues of the control and treated animal groups. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6). Columns with different superscript letters are significantly different at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA).
sample. The size of the silver particles got larger due to agglomeration of the smaller ones as the bio-organic molecules could stabilize and cap the individual particles [43, 44].

3.2. Biochemical Analysis. To evaluate liver injury, liver function enzymes (AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH), total protein, and total albumin levels and oxidant/antioxidant parameters (TAC and TOC) in sera, as well as antioxidant parameters (MDA, SOD, CAT, GSH, and GPX) and inflammation markers (IL-6 and TNF-α) in liver tissues, were evaluated.

The influences of AgNPs toxicity and the protective effects of Eug on liver function biomarkers of rats are shown in Figure 5. Eug administration alone did not have significant (P > 0.05) effects on the measured liver function indices in comparison with the control. Meanwhile, treatment of rats with AgNPs, either the low or high dose, caused marked increase (P ≤ 0.05) in AST (35.21% and 151.49%), ALT (145.12% and 439.02%), ALP (48.10% and 94.91%), and LDH (37.14% and 102.31%) paralleled with a significant decline (P ≤ 0.05) in total protein (-20.85% and -30.65%) and albumin (-15.66% and -32.47%) compared with the values of control animals. Levels of AST, ALT, LDH, total proteins, and total albumin were restored approximately to the normal levels in rats treated with AgNPs-low dose in combination with Eug. While supplementation of Eug to the rats received the high dose of AgNPs caused modulation of the liver function parameters compared with the animals exposed to the high dose of AgNPs, but they were still considerably different (P ≤ 0.05) comparable to the control values.

Figure 6 represents the serum TAC and TOC levels in the rats of all groups. The recorded data exhibited a significant rise (P ≤ 0.05) in TAC level (16.07%) in Eug-treated group when contrasted with control group. On contrarily, nonsignificant decline (P > 0.05) and significant diminution (P ≤ 0.05) were recorded in TAC levels of the AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats (-9.19%) and the AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats (-55.01%), respectively, when contrasted with control group. Addition of Eug to the rats injected with AgNPs, both low and high doses, caused modulation of the levels of TAC relative to that recorded in the animals administered AgNPs alone; nevertheless, it was significantly different (P ≤ 0.05) compared with the control values in case of the high dose AgNPs-treated rats. Regarding TOC, administration of Eug solely did not produce significant (P > 0.05) effects on the levels of this parameter when compared with the control.
group, while the incredible rise ($P \leq 0.05$) in levels of TOC of AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats (49.90%) and AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats (130.98%) was recorded when contrasted with the control group, whereas treatment of rats with AgNPs-low dose in combination with Eug returned the values of TOC to be very close to those of the control animals (355.5 ± 12.76 vs. 351.5 ± 10.44, $P \geq 0.05$), while administration of Eug to the rats treated with the high dose of AgNPs caused amelioration of this parameter when contrasted with the animals treated to AgNPs alone, and this value was yet significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) proportional to the control values.

Levels of MDA, SOD, CAT, GSH, and GPx in hepatic tissues of the control and treated animal groups were assessed to monitor the oxidative stress state. Figure 7 demonstrated that administration of Eug alone did not produce significant ($P > 0.05$) effects on these oxidative stress indices compared with the control. Meanwhile, low- and high-dose AgNPs-treated rats were subjected to oxidative stress which was confirmed by significant elevation ($P \leq 0.05$) in MDA levels (189.72% and 374.63%) accompanied by marked decline ($P \leq 0.05$) in levels of SOD (-48.07% and -72.54%), CAT (-42.61% and -64.80%), GSH (-35.63% and -67.69%), and GPx (-49.39% and -73.67%) in comparison with the control group. Eug + AgNPs-low-dose and Eug + AgNPs-high-dose-treated groups showed marked modulation ($P \leq 0.05$) of the evaluated oxidative stress biomarkers compared with the animals subjected to AgNPs-low dose and AgNPs-high dose; but most of these parameters were significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) relative to the recorded control values.

Levels of inflammatory biomarkers (TNF-α and IL-6) in liver tissues were investigated in all groups, and the within-group values of these parameters were compared (Figure 8). Rats received Eug solely displayed nonsignificant ($P > 0.05$) changes in the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 when compared with the control. Meanwhile, treatment of rats with AgNPs resulted in marked elevation ($P \leq 0.05$) in levels of TNF-α (230.07% and 410.31%) and IL-6 (106.40% and 258.36%) for the low dose and high dose of AgNPs, respectively, compared with those of control animals. Administration of Eug along with AgNPs (both low or high doses)
3.3. Histological Results. Liver sections of the control and Eug-treated rats revealed ordinary parenchymal architecture of the hepatic tissues in both centrilobular (Figures 9(a) and 9(b)) and the periportal (Figures 10(a) and 10(b)) zones, where well-organized radiating hepatic strands seemed expanded, surrounding the narrowed central veins and also around the portal tracts that formed of the regular hepatic portal veins, hepatic portal arteries, and bile ductules. Besides, normal blood sinusoids lined with attached endothelial and Kupffer cells were observed, while examination of the centrilobular (Figure 9(c)) and the periportal (Figure 10(c)) areas of liver sections of AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats revealed dilated and congested central veins, hepatic portal veins, and hepatic portal arteries which showed thickened and eroded lining membranes surrounded by infiltrating inflammatory cells. Necrotic hepatocytes appeared with nuclear pyknosis, karyorrhexis, and karyolysis. Besides, congested hepatic blood sinusoids with detached endothelial cells and rounded Kupffer cells appeared separated from their boundaries. Otherwise, Eug + AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats illustrated remarkable refinement in the hepatic architecture of both centrilobular (Figure 9(e)) and the periportal (Figure 10(e)) zones, with most of the hepatocytes and blood sinusoids appearing well-organized. Also, the central and hepatic portal veins, as well as the hepatic portal arteries appeared intact. Similarly, Eug + AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats manifested a nearly well-organized hepatic architecture in the centrilobular (Figure 9(f)) and periportal (Figure 10(f)) zones.

Table 2: Histomorphometrical analysis of the hepatic tissue components in control and treated animal groups.

| Parameters                                      | Control       | Eug           | Animal groups  | Animal groups  |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                                                 |               |               | AgNPs-low dose | AgNPs-high dose | Eug + AgNPs-low dose | Eug + AgNPs-high dose |
| Number of normal hepatocytes                    | 316 ± 15.3a   | 300 ± 0d      | 260 ± 0b       | 176 ± 0.775c   | 290 ± 0a             | 240 ± 0b              |
| % change                                       | 0             | -5.06%        | -17.72%        | -44.30%        | -8.23%               | -24.05%               |
| Number of necrotic hepatocytes                  | 5.2 ± 0d      | 7.2 ± 0d      | 20.2 ± 0e      | 63.8 ± 0a      | 12.6 ± 0d            | 21.6 ± 0d             |
| % change                                       | 0             | 38.46%        | 288.46%        | 1126.92%       | 142.31%              | 315.38%               |
| Number of deteriorated Kupffer cells            | 12 ± 0.316d   | 14 ± 0d       | 23.4 ± 0e      | 58 ± 2.47a     | 16.2 ± 0d            | 28.6 ± 0d             |
| % change                                       | 0             | 16.67%        | 95.00%         | 383.33%        | 35.00%               | 138.33%               |
| Number of periportal inflammatory cells         | 10.2 ± 0d     | 12.6 ± 0d     | 35 ± 0c        | 80.6 ± 0a      | 18.2 ± 0d            | 40.4 ± 0d             |
| % change                                       | 0             | 23.53%        | 243.14%        | 690.20%        | 78.43%               | 296.08%               |
| Number of centrilobular inflammatory cells      | 6.8 ± 0d      | 8.4 ± 0.6d    | 20.4 ± 0e      | 41.6 ± 3.89a   | 12.8 ± 0d            | 28.6 ± 0d             |
| % change                                       | 0             | 8±0.00%       | 200.00%        | 511.76%        | 88.24%               | 320.59%               |
| Number of dilated/congested central veins       | 0.4 ± 0.245c  | 0.6 ± 0.245c  | 2 ± 0d         | 4.8 ± 0a       | 1 ± 0c               | 1.8 ± 0b              |
| % change                                       | 0             | 50.00%        | 400.00%        | 1100.00%       | 150.00%              | 200.00%               |
| Number of dilated/congested portal veins        | 0.6 ± 0.245c  | 0.8 ± 0.2c    | 2 ± 0d         | 4.6 ± 0.245a   | 1.2 ± 0c             | 2.4 ± 0b              |
| % change                                       | 0             | 33.33%        | 233.33%        | 666.67%        | 100.00%              | 200.00%               |

Data are presented as Mean ± SEM and % change (n = 6 in each group). Within the same row, values preceded by different superscript letters differ significantly at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA and the Tukey test). Eug: eugenol; AgNPs: silver nanoparticles.
central and portal veins, with a marked \( P \leq 0.05 \) depletion in the number of normal hepatocytes were recorded in Eug + AgNPs-low-dose- and Eug + AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats relative to the control rats but showed modulation of these parameters compared with rats treated with AgNPs alone. Eug-treated rats did not show any significant different in these parameters, except for the number of necrotic hepatocytes and infiltrating inflammatory cells, indicating a significant change \( P \leq 0.05 \) from all other groups.

### 3.5. Immunohistochemical Results

#### 3.5.1. Bcl-2 Immunoreactivity

Bcl-2 immunoexpression in hepatic tissues of experimental animal groups is illustrated in Figures 11(a)–11(g). AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats showed moderate Bcl-2 immunoreactivity (Figure 11(c)), whereas AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats revealed weak Bcl-2 immunoreactivity (Figure 11(d)) compared with the control (Figure 11(a)) and Eug-treated rat (Figure 11(b)) groups which showed strong Bcl-2 immunoreactivity. However, treatment of rats with Eug paralleled with AgNPs upregulated the immunoexpression of Bcl-2 in both the AgNPs-low-dose (Figure 11(e)) and AgNPs-high-dose (Figure 11(f)) groups. Negative control sample revealed negative immunoexpression (Figure 11(g)). As shown in Table 3, Eug-treated rats did not exhibit significant change \( P > 0.05 \) in the value of the area percentage of Bcl-2 immunoexpression in comparable with that of control rats. Meanwhile, a significant

---

**Table 3: Immunohistochemical image analysis of the area percentage of Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-\( \alpha \) immunoexpression in hepatic tissues of control and treated animal groups.**

| Parameters | Control | Eug | AgNPs-low dose | AgNPs-high dose | Eug + AgNPs-low dose | Eug + AgNPs-high dose |
|------------|---------|-----|----------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Bcl-2      | 67.8 ± 1.78\( ^a \) | 65.1 ± 3.76\( ^a \) | 55.6 ± 0\( ^c \) | 42.1 ± 0.51\( ^d \) | 62.4 ± 0\( ^b \) | 53.6 ± 0\( ^e \) |
| % change   | 0       | -3.98% | -17.99% | -37.91% | -7.96% | -20.94% |
| P53        | 20.8 ± 2.27\( ^c \) | 25.8 ± 0.58\( ^c \) | 42.8 ± 4.12\( ^b \) | 63 ± 3.66\( ^a \) | 28.2 ± 0\( ^e \) | 45.5 ± 0\( ^b \) |
| % change   | 0       | 24.04% | 105.77% | 202.88% | 35.58% | 118.75% |
| Caspase-3  | 20.74 ± 2.06\( ^c \) | 26 ± 0\( ^c \) | 40.4 ± 0\( ^b \) | 67.57 ± 2.19\( ^a \) | 29 ± 0\( ^e \) | 43 ± 0\( ^b \) |
| % change   | 0       | 25.31% | 94.71% | 225.64% | 39.77% | 107.24% |
| TNF-\( \alpha \) | 22 ± 2.97\( ^c \) | 26.6 ± 0.75\( ^c \) | 39.8 ± 2.44\( ^b \) | 68.6 ± 4.23\( ^a \) | 28.6 ± 0\( ^e \) | 40.1 ± 0\( ^b \) |
| % change   | 0       | 20.91% | 80.91% | 211.82% | 30.00% | 82.27% |

Data are presented as Mean ± SEM and % change \((n = 6 \text{ in each group})\). Within the same row, values preceded by different superscript letters differ significantly at the 0.05 level (one-way ANOVA and the Tukey test). Eug: eugenol; AgNPs: silver nanoparticles.
decline ($P \leq 0.05$) in the area percentage of Bcl-2 immunoreactivity was recorded in the AgNPs-low-dose- and AgNPs-high-dose-treated groups, which was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) modulated in the rats cotreated with Eug.

### 3.5.2. P53 Immunoreactivity

Hepatic tissues of control (Figure 12(a)) and Eug-treated (Figure 12(b)) rats revealed weak P53 immunoreactivity, whereas the rats treated with AgNPs-low dose manifested a moderate P53 immunoreactivity (Figure 12(c)). Furthermore, AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats showed intense positive stainability for P53 (Figure 12(d)). Meanwhile, Eug + AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats illustrated moderate reaction for P53 immunostaining (Figure 12(f)). There was no staining in negative control samples (Figure 12(g)). As revealed in Table 3, Eug-treated rats did not reveal any significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the area percentage of P53 immunoreexpression compared to control rats, while low-dose and high-dose AgNPs-treated rats evoked a significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the area percentage of P53 immunoreexpression in comparable with control animals. Concomitant administration of Eug to the rats treated with low and high doses of AgNPs caused modulation of the area percentage of P53 immunoreexpression in contrast with the AgNPs-low- or high-dose-treated groups but still showed a significant elevation ($P \leq 0.05$) compared to the control group.

### 3.5.3. Caspase-3 Immunoreactivity

Immunohistochemical examination of hepatic tissues of the control (Figure 13(a)) and Eug-treated (Figure 13(b)) groups exhibited weak Caspase-3 immunostainability, whereas liver sections of AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats showed strong positive Caspase-3 immunoreactivity (Figure 13(c)). Hepatic tissues of AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats manifested an intense immunostainability for Caspase-3 (Figure 13(d)). Eug coadministered with both AgNPs-low-dose- and AgNPs-high-dose-treated groups illustrated moderate Caspase-3 immunoreactivity (Figures 13(e) and 13(f)), respectively. No staining was observed in negative control section (Figure 13(g)). According to Table 3, Eug-treated rats did not show a significant increase ($P > 0.05$) in the area percentage of Caspase-3 immunoreexpression relative to control rats, while low-dose and high-dose AgNPs-treated rats evoked a significant increase ($P \leq 0.05$) in the area percentage of Caspase-3 immunoreexpression in comparable with control animals. Concomitant administration of Eug to the rats treated with low and high doses of AgNPs caused modulation of the area percentage of Caspase-3 immunoreexpression in contrast with the AgNPs-low- or high-dose-treated groups but still showed a significant elevation ($P \leq 0.05$) compared with the control group.

### 3.5.4. TNF-α Immunoreactivity

The liver sections of the control (Figure 14(a)) and Eug-treated (Figure 14(b)) groups showed negative TNF-α immunohistochemical reactivity, whereas the liver sections of AgNPs-low-dose-treated rats (Figure 14(c)) and AgNPs-high-dose-treated rats (Figure 14(d)) showed strong positive TNF-α immunostainability. Meanwhile, the hepatic tissues of Eug coadministered with the AgNPs-low-dose- (Figure 14(e)) or AgNPs-high-dose-treated (Figure 14(f)) groups illustrated mild TNF-α immunoreactivity compared with rats treated with low or high dose of AgNPs alone. Figure 14(g) shows no immunoreexpression in negative control sample. As revealed in Table 3, Eug-treated rats did not exhibit significant ($P > 0.05$) difference in the area percentage of TNF-α immunoreexpression compared to control rats, while low- and high-
dose AgNPs-treated rats evoked a significant rise ($P \leq 0.05$) in the area percentage of TNF-\(\alpha\) immunoexpression compared with those of control group. However, accompanying administration of Eug to low- or high-dose AgNPs-treated rats showed amendment of TNF-\(\alpha\) immunoexpression compared with the rats subjected to AgNPs low or high doses alone.

### 4. Discussion

The usage of NPs is becoming increasingly popular in various disciplines. Due to their minuscule size and unique chemical and physical characteristics, AgNPs have become one of the most commercialized NPs worldwide. While the use of AgNPs for biological applications is increasing, our knowledge and understanding of how they affect living cells and biochemical structures is still lacking [45]. Therefore, the potential toxicity of AgNPs in different body organs is an important research area. Regarding AgNPs toxicity, there are no published studies on the ameliorating role of Eug against the toxic influences of AgNPs.

Among varied organs, the liver is the principal objective organ of AgNPs’ effects via all exposure pathways [2, 46, 47]. Thus, the current investigation was aimed to prepare and characterize AgNPs and to evaluate their toxicity on the biochemical, histological, histomorphometrical, and immunohistochemical characteristics of the hepatic tissues of adult rats. Furthermore, the ameliorative role of Eug in the hepatic structure and function against AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity was investigated.

The present results revealed that the microwave technique reduces AgNPs with variable-rate microwave radiation. The microwave technique speeds up chemical reactions from days or hours to minutes. With an absorbance peak at 413 nm, microwave irradiation allows homogeneous heating for NPs formation and aids in the ripening of these nanomaterials without agglomeration [48]. The sample synthesized at 413 nm was used to trigger hepatotoxicity [2], and it facilitated AgNPs passage into the hepatocytes.

The current study revealed that the applied doses of AgNPs significantly increased serum AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH levels, which are the most indicative markers of structural deterioration of hepatocytes because these enzymes are located in the cytoplasm and released into the blood due to the loss of functional membrane integrity and cellular leakage [49]. Additionally, AgNPs induced a significant drop in serum total protein and albumin levels, indicating a decrease in protein synthesis and/or an increase in protein catabolism [50]. Our findings were consistent with previous studies [51], which showed that an AgNPs overdose might cause liver deterioration. Administration of Eug alleviated AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity, as indicated by significant decline in AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH levels, which are the most indicative markers of structural deterioration of hepatocytes because these enzymes are located in the cytoplasm and released into the blood due to the loss of functional membrane integrity and cellular leakage [49]. Additionally, AgNPs induced a significant drop in serum total protein and albumin levels, indicating a decrease in protein synthesis and/or an increase in protein catabolism [50]. Our findings were consistent with previous studies [51], which showed that an AgNPs overdose might cause liver deterioration. Administration of Eug alleviated AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity, as indicated by significant decline in AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH levels, which are the most indicative markers of structural deterioration of hepatocytes because these enzymes are located in the cytoplasm and released into the blood due to the loss of functional membrane integrity and cellular leakage [49]. Additionally, AgNPs induced a significant drop in serum total protein and albumin levels, indicating a decrease in protein synthesis and/or an increase in protein catabolism [50]. Our findings were consistent with previous studies [51], which showed that an AgNPs overdose might cause liver deterioration. Administration of Eug alleviated AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity, as indicated by significant decline in AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH levels, which are the most indicative markers of structural deterioration of hepatocytes because these enzymes are located in the cytoplasm and released into the blood due to the loss of functional membrane integrity and cellular leakage [49]. Additionally, AgNPs induced a significant drop in serum total protein and albumin levels, indicating a decrease in protein synthesis and/or an increase in protein catabolism [50].

Free radicals are extremely reactive molecules that originate as ordinary byproducts of metabolic activities in living cells. These chemicals include reactive nitrogen (RNS) and oxygen (ROS) species which can speedily interact with different macromolecules (proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, and nucleic acids) inside the cells, significantly damaging cellular structures [54]. Living cells produce endogenous antioxidative factors to buffer such synthesized free radicals, protecting cells from oxidative harm. CAT, SOD, GPx, and GSH
are the most prevalent endogenous antioxidant molecules [55]. When the produced free radicals exceed the cell’s ability to counteract them with antioxidant molecules, oxidative stress occurs [56]. MDA is also a key indicator of oxidative stress in biological systems, and it is formed by ROS-induced peroxidation of membrane lipids, resulting in membrane damage and degradation [57].

The increased serum TOC level and the decreased TAC level, along with significantly increased LPO levels, reduced GSH levels, and decreased efficacy of CAT, SOD, and GPx in hepatic tissues of rats administered AgNPs, suggest that LPO is enhanced, causing excess free radical production, which triggers a chain interaction of direct and indirect bond formation with cellular molecules, impairing pivotal cellular activities and potentially leading to significant cell destruction and death [58]. The mitochondria are essential cellular targets of AgNPs-induced toxicity because AgNPs affect mitochondrial membrane permeability and interfere with their respiratory chain, resulting in ROS generation, necrosis, and apoptosis [59]. Previous studies have also revealed oxidative stress following AgNPs administration, which is consistent with our findings [2, 46, 60]. However, cotreatment of Eug with AgNPs induces the protective influence of Eug against the AgNPs-induced adverse alterations in oxidative stress biomarkers. Eug prevents LPO by disrupting the chain reaction by trapping active oxygen and being metabolized to a dimer (dieugenol), which prevents LPO at the level of free radical chain reaction propagation [61].

TNF-α and IL-6 are proinflammatory cytokines that are involved in immunological retrogradation by mediating tissue inflammation and organ injury [62]. Rats intoxicated with AgNPs showed significantly elevated hepatic TNF-α and IL-6 levels, indicating that AgNPs primarily influence macrophage activities and promote liver injury development. Our findings are consistent with earlier researches that found inflammation in the rat’s liver after AgNPs treatment [52, 63]. Nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) is a protein complex required for activating the inflammatory cascade that causes IL-6 and TNF-α transcription [64]. Inflammasomes are multiprotein complexes that initiate inflammatory reactivity [65], AgNPs activate both NF-κB transcriptional and inflammasome pathways, indicating the participation of these pathways in the molecular mechanism underlying AgNPs’ inflammatory effects [66]. The current results revealed marked suppression of the overproduction of the tested cytokines in the liver tissues of rats treated with Eug concomitant with AgNPs-low or AgNPs-high doses. Eug has long been recognized for its anti-inflammatory properties, since it inhibits varied inflammation-related signaling routes containing the NF-κB pathway [52].

The present biochemical outcomes were proved by our histological, histomorphometrical, and immunohistochemical observations. Histopathologically, varied alterations reflecting the hepatotoxic effects of AgNPs, included hepato-cellular degeneration, lymphocytic infiltration, hepatocytic necrosis/apoptosis, dilatation/congestion of blood vessels, and dilatation of sinusoidal spaces were the most identified hepatic abnormalities. In addition, the two applied doses of AgNPs significantly increased the numbers of necrotic hepatocytes, infiltrating inflammatory cells, deteriorated Kupffer cells, dilated/congested central and portal veins, besides significantly decreasing the numbers of normal hepatic cells, which are the most indicative signs of hepatic structural damage. Furthermore, the pathological responses of hepatic tissues to AgNPs were dose dependent, since the high AgNPs dose was more efficient compared with the low dose.

The majority of hepatocytes of AgNPs-treated rats manifested severe degeneration distinguished by clear signs of necrosis. Such degradation could be associated with leakage of lysosomal hydrolytic enzymes, as well as disruption of
hepatocyte membrane function, which causes a huge influx of water and Na+, leading to cytoplasmic degeneration [67]. Furthermore, necrosis is triggered by an attack on the cell organelles, particularly the endoplasmic reticulum, mitochondria, and nucleus, which disrupts their functions [68]. In this study, necrosis was evidenced by GSH depletion and diminishing in CAT and SOD activities [2].

The current study’s findings manifested that vascular congestion was virtually a constant hallmark in hepatic tissues of AgNPs-treated rats, where some of these blood vessels had distorted contours owing to the surrounding fibrosis. Blood extravasation was also seen. Sinusoidal dilatation has also been elucidated as a result of hepatocyte necrosis or injury of the lining sinusoidal endothelial tissue [69]. Furthermore, the prominence and swelling of Kupffer cells were among the most noticeable AgNPs toxicity. These lesions are ascribed to the vital role of Kupffer cells in bodily protective mechanism versus detoxification of AgNPs-induced hepatic oxidative stress, because Kupffer cells are the first cells exposed to the hazardous compounds entering the liver via the portal vein [47, 70].

The hepatic tissues of AgNPs-treated rats showed dispersed inflammatory cell infiltration in the centrlobular and periportal zones, indicating that AgNPs interact with the interstitial hepatic tissues, causing a variety of immunological responses [71]. Comparable results were reported by [2], who confirmed that leukocytosis is found in AgNPs-treated animals.

The present immunohistochemical findings showed that AgNPs-mediated cell death is connected with apoptosis, which was confirmed by significant decline in Bcl-2 immunoreactivity and elevation in P53 and Caspase-3 immunoreactivities after low- and high-dose AgNPs treatment. Bcl-2, an antiapoptotic protein that is overexpressed in many cancers, inhibits the intrinsic mitochondria-mediated cell death process by preventing mitochondrial membrane permeabilization, which causes proapoptotic chemical leakage [72]. Moreover, Bcl-2 gene family controls caspase activation in the intrinsic apoptosis pathway, which is induced by intracellular harm, such as DNA damage [73]. The activation of P53 is linked to apoptosis induction and also regulates the expression of other apoptosis-related proteins [74]. [75] believed that the stimulation of apoptosis and alteration of gene expression of the apoptosis-associated genes Bcl-2 and P53 is responsible for cancer cell death induced by biogenic metal NPs. Caspase-3 is an apoptotic marker that can be triggered by both intrinsic and extrinsic apoptotic pathways, resulting in DNA breakage [76]. These changes can be attributed to AgNPs aggregation in tissues [77] or to the AgNPs’ effect on mitochondrial activity, reducing cell viability [46].

Intracellular AgNPs toxicity can be brought on by different processes, especially excessive ROS generation. AgNPs possess unique characteristics that enable them to penetrate cell membranes and other biological barriers, causing cellular malfunction or destruction [47]. Oxidative stress increases intracellular ROS, as confirmed by our biochemical results. Metal oxide NPs trigger DNA damage and cell death through ROS generation and oxidative damage [78]. ROS can trigger cell death via two separate cell death mechanisms, apoptosis and necrosis. ROS also activate caspases, which are believed to execute apoptosis. These modifications can eventually lead to organ malfunction or even cancer [79]. Since biochemical decrease in GPx, CAT, and SOD essentially results in oxidative stress-induced cell death, such apoptotic effects could potentially be a consequence of the AgNPs-induced intercellular oxidative stress [2]. [80] illustrated that nanochelating-based AgNPs cause mild apoptosis/necrosis in mice, as well as changes in numerous clinical variables, such as blood parameters and liver enzymes.

Although TNF-α is released from primary hepatocytes, it is implicated in the proinflammatory response and cell-to-cell communication, and its signaling is linked to many autoimmune and inflammatory diseases. TNF-α is primarily formed by macrophages in inflammatory tissues, and it is involved in angiogenesis, wound healing, and tumor formation [81]. In this study, TNF-α expression was not detected in normal liver specimens but was overexpressed in liver tissues of AgNPs-treated rats. Our findings are in line with those of other researchers who found higher TNF-α production in chronic liver disease [82].

On the other side, coadministration of Eug alongside AgNPs attenuated the intensity of the histopathological and histomorphometrical changes in the hepatic tissues of rats treated with low and high doses of AgNPs. Also, concomitant treatment with Eug into rats exposed to AgNPs modulated the immunohistochemical expression of Bcl-2, P53, Caspase-3, and TNF-α reactive proteins. These results are consistent with those reported by [52, 53, 61]. The hepatoprotective efficiency of Eug can be attributed to its ability to stabilize hepatocyte membranes by preventing LPO and improving antioxidant enzyme activity, besides its free radical scavenging and anti-inflammatory properties.

5. Conclusions

The current investigation established that exposing rats to AgNPs caused oxidative stress and inflammation, which resulted in hepatotoxicity. Our results also provide a new insight into the ameliorative role of Eug supplementation against AgNPs-induced hepatotoxicity due to its antioxidiant, antiapoptotic, and anti-inflammatory properties. Finally, we suggest using Eug as a preventive agent along with AgNPs to minimize its hepatotoxicity.
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