Start codon targeted (SCoT) polymorphism reveals genetic diversity of Manilkara in Thailand
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Abstract. Vanijajiva O. 2020. Start codon targeted (SCoT) polymorphism reveals genetic diversity of Manilkara in Thailand. Biodiversitas 21: 666-673. Manilkara consists of four species, namely, Manilkara hexandra, M. kauki, M. littoralis, and M. zapota in Thailand. Particularly, M. zapota (sapodilla), commonly known as Lamut in Thailand, is one of commercially notable edible fruits continues to be a highly valued tree and the fruits are a source of food and income. The objective of this research was to determine the diversity and relationship of Manilkara accessions derived from districts in Thailand based on DNA profiles. Genetic diversity and relationship of all accessions were investigated using Start Codon Targeted (SCoT) marker analysis. Of 30 SCoT primers screened, 27 SCoT primers were identified to be polymorphism. A total of 176 DNA bands with size varied from 120 bp to 1200 bp were amplified, and 101 (57.38%) of them were polymorphic. Based on similarity coefficients, total of 36 accessions were clustered into two groups. The cluster of polymorphism generated by SCoT was associated with their locality and morphological characters. Therefore, the present data provide high-valued information for the management of germplasm, genetic improvement, and conservation of the genetic resources of Manilkara accessions.
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INTRODUCTION

Manilkara is a genus of trees in the Sapotaceae, consisting of 80 species distributed throughout the tropics about 30 in South and Central America, 35 in Africa and 14 in Southeast Asia. The genus even spread of species diversity across all major tropical regions of the globe makes Manilkara an ideal candidate for in-depth biodiversity observation (Armstrong 2010). In Thailand, about four species have been informed namely (Figure 1), Manilkaraxhexandra (Roxb.) Dubard M. kauki (L.) Dubard, M. littoralis (Kurz) Dubard and M. zapota (L.) P. Ruyen (Chantaranonthai, 1999). Particularly, M. zapota, known as Sapodilla or Sapota, Chikoo, Naseberry, Nispero or Lamut is one of several Thai fruits that have received very little scientific attention (Bano and Ahmed 2017). In order to develop strategies for Manilkara improvement and to preserve unique Manilkara germplasm, it is necessary to have an understanding of the genetic diversity particular within M. zapota, an economically important species of genus Manilkara (Armstrong 2013; Thompson et al. 2015), continues to be a highly valued tree and the fruits are a source of food and income for Thailand (Kunyamee et al. 2010; Sunpapao et al. 2017; Madani et al. 2018).

Molecular markers have been used very frequently in ecological, taxonomical, diversity, conservation, phylogenetic and genetic studies amongst organisms (Avise 1994; Weising et al. 2005; Sheth and Thaker 2017; Srivastava et al. 2020). After the advent of Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) several advancements and introduction of new concepts were employed in the improvement of various types of molecular marker technologies like amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Vos et al. 1995; Roncallo et al. 2019), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSRs), (Vanijajiva 2012; Husnudin et al. 2019), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Vanijajiva et al. 2005; Ilwan and Hakim 2019), Single Sequence Repeats (SSR (Dewi et al. 2020), Single Sequence Polymorphism (SNP) (Trick et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2010; Bayerl et al. 2018). For distinct genetic applications amongst diverse plant species, different markers have been used by various researchers in commercial fruit accessions. An innovative molecular marker known as Start Codon Targeted (SCoT) polymorphism targets on short ATG start codon polymorphism (AFLP) (Vos et al. 1995; Roncallo et al. 2019), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSRs), (Vanijajiva 2012; Husnudin et al. 2019), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Vanijajiva et al. 2005; Ilwan and Hakim 2019), Single Sequence Repeats (SSR (Dewi et al. 2020), Single Sequence Polymorphism (SNP) (Trick et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2010; Bayerl et al. 2018). For distinct genetic applications amongst diverse plant species, different markers have been used by various researchers in commercial fruit accessions. An innovative molecular marker known as Start Codon Targeted (SCoT) polymorphism targets on short ATG start codon polymorphism (AFLP) (Vos et al. 1995; Roncallo et al. 2019), inter simple sequence repeats (ISSRs), (Vanijajiva 2012; Husnudin et al. 2019), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Vanijajiva et al. 2005; Ilwan and Hakim 2019), Single Sequence Repeats (SSR (Dewi et al. 2020), Single Sequence Polymorphism (SNP) (Trick et al. 2009; Luo et al. 2010; Bayerl et al. 2018).
(Gorji et al. 2011; Xiong et al. 2011; Agarwal et al. 2019; Saboori et al. 2020). Therefore, this report the use of an innovative molecular marker technology SCoT to assess the levels of genetic variation in 36 Manilkara accessions collected from Thailand. The objective of this study is preliminary to evaluate biodiversity of genus Manilkara in Thailand by SCoT marker.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials
A set of 36 accessions of Manilkara were collected and selected as five plants per cultivar in Thailand (Table 1). Identification of plants into species was done by observing morphological characteristics, checking references and comparing with herbarium specimens at several herbaria. Then, the names were verified to the correct botanical names by way of taxonomic study (Chantaranothai, 1999). Thirty-three M. zapota (MZ) were sampled. In addition, a representative of Manilkara, M. littoralis (ML), M. kauki (MK) and M. hexandra (MH), were included as outgroup references. All species are widely cultivated in Thailand, in particular, M. zapota is a commonly cultivated fruit species. The obtained fresh leaves were stored at -20 °C until further processing.

DNA extraction
Genomic DNA was extracted from the leaves of 36 Manilkara accessions using the CTAB method with minor modification (Doyle and Doyle 1987; Doyle and Doyle 1990). The leaves (500 mg) were ground in a mortar with a pestle. Extraction buffer [1% (w/v) CTAB, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 0.7 M NaCl, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol] 500 μl was added and the solution was incubated at 60 °C for 30 min. The homogenate was mixed with 25: 24: 1 phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (v/v/v) by gentle inversion. After centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 15 min, the upper aqueous layer was transferred to a new tube. RNA was removed by treating with 2.5 μl of the RNase (10 μg/μl) for 30 min at 37°C. The extraction of DNA with phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol was repeated one more time. DNA in the solution was precipitated with 0.6 volumes of ice-cold isopropanol and washed with 70% ethanol. Following this, the DNA was extracted using CTAB DNA extraction protocol without RNase. The process was repeated until the DNA pellet was free of color (two to three times) and the final pellet was dissolved in sterile deionized water (Vanijajiva 2014; Kaewpongumpai et al. 2016). DNA quality was using Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific Nanodrop 1000, USA) at the absorbance ratio of 260 and 280 nm providing a value of 1.7-1.8 which determines pure DNA preparation. Quality of DNA fragment was electrophoretically analyzed through 0.8% agarose gel using 1X TAE buffer (Gao et al. 2014). A 500 base pair ladder (purchased from Chromos biotech) was loaded into the gel as molecular size marker. The gel was visualized by staining with ethidium bromide (1μL/10 mL) and the bands were seen under UV light by using gel documentation system alpha imager hp (Innotech, USA). The DNA was stored at -20 °C, for further use as templates for PCR amplification.

Figure 1. Manilkara in Thailand. A. M. zapota, B. M. littoralis C. M. kauki, D. M. hexandra
SCoT-PCR amplification

Thirty SCoT primers described by Collard and Mackill (Collard and Mackill 2009) were initially screened for analysis (Table 2). PCR was performed using a Thermolybaid Px2 (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., USA). PCR was optimized for testing the SCoT method. The final optimized protocol is reported here. All PCR reactions were performed within a total volume of 10 μL in 96-well plates using a PTC-100 Thermocycler (MJ Research Model PTC100). PCR reaction mixtures contained PCR buffer (Promega: 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl), 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.24 mM of each deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates, 0.5 U of Taq polymerase (Promega), and 0.8 μM of primer. Each reaction contained 25 ng of template DNA. A standard PCR cycle was used: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 50°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min; the final extension at 72°C was held for 5 min (Collard and Mackill 2009; Luo et al. 2010). After amplification, PCR products were electrophenotically analyzed through 1.5% agarose gels, in 1X TBE buffer in a Protean II xi Cell (Bio-Rad, USA). Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV light by using gel documentation system alpha imager hp (Innotech, USA).

Bands profile and analysis

The SCoT products were all analyzed by agarose (1.8% w/v) gel electrophoresis at 150 A for 30 minutes in 0.04 M TAE (Tris-acetate 0.001 M-EDTA) buffer pH 8. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide (10 mg/mL). The gels were viewed and photographed by Bio-Imaging System (Syngene, Genegenuis). To determine SCoT profiles, the size of each DNA band was inferred by comparison with a 100 bp DNA ladder (Promega), used as a molecular weight marker (M). Polymorphisms at all loci were confirmed by three repeating tests for each primer at different times.

Only strong and reproducible SCoT bands were scored. Different patterns observed were scored as discrete variables, using 1 to indicate the presence and 0 to indicate the absence of a unique pattern using the software PAST 3.0 for Windows (Hammer 2013) for the statistical analyses. Relationships among individuals were determined.

Table 1. Sample of 36 accessions of Manilkara used in this study with the code number, province, coordinates, and vernacular name

| Code   | Province             | Longitude (E) | Latitude (N) | Vernacular           |
|--------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------|
| MZ01   | Sukhothai            | 99° 50' 46"  | 17° 13' 07"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ02   | Chai Nat             | 100° 14' 52" | 15° 20' 01"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ03   | Songkhla             | 100° 54' 82" | 7° 16' 24"   | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ04   | Phetchabun           | 101° 73' 74" | 16° 73' 74"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ05   | Nakhon Nayok         | 101° 20' 90" | 14° 19' 81"  | Lamut Krasuey        |
| MZ06   | Nong Bua Lamphu      | 102° 33' 54" | 16° 88' 67"  | Lamut Markok         |
| MZ07   | Ratchaburi           | 100° 00' 33" | 13° 55' 87"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ08   | Phatthalung          | 100° 06' 59" | 7° 60' 89"   | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ09   | Sa Kaeo              | 102° 08' 46" | 13° 81' 25"  | Lamut Krasuey        |
| MZ10   | Bangkok              | 100° 62' 65" | 13° 87' 97"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ11   | Kamphaeng Phet       | 99° 51' 58"  | 16° 38' 61"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ12   | Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya | 100° 60' 39" | 14° 44' 10"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ13   | Phitsanulok         | 100° 59' 26" | 16° 76' 09"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ14   | Nakhon Sawan         | 100° 35' 56" | 15° 26' 00"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ15   | Prachinburi         | 101° 39' 98" | 14° 18' 37"  | Lamut Krasuey        |
| MZ16   | Pathum Thani        | 100° 57' 01" | 14° 02' 71"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ17   | Nonthaburi          | 100° 36' 44" | 13° 83' 04"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ18   | Nong Khai           | 102° 74' 42" | 17° 84' 91"  | Lamut Markok         |
| MZ19   | Sakon Nakhon        | 104° 19' 15" | 17° 07' 88"  | Lamut Markok         |
| MZ20   | Suphan Buri         | 100° 72' 73" | 14° 73' 72"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ21   | Udorn Thani         | 102° 87' 17" | 13° 39' 62"  | Lamut Markok         |
| MZ22   | Nakhon Pathom       | 100° 19' 79" | 13° 87' 86"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ23   | Sing Buri           | 100° 43' 29" | 14° 80' 42"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ24   | Ang Thong           | 100° 44' 72" | 14° 69' 57"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ25   | Loei                | 101° 95' 36" | 17° 29' 18"  | Lamut Markok         |
| MZ26   | Uttaradit           | 100° 03' 05" | 17° 67' 18"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ27   | Phichit             | 100° 30' 71" | 16° 34' 46"  | Lamut Kainan         |
| MZ28   | Uthai Thani         | 99° 91' 27"  | 15° 58' 28"  | Lamut Sida           |
| MZ29   | Chonburi            | 101° 41' 11" | 13° 29' 99"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ30   | Kam Phaeng Phet     | 99° 69' 53"  | 16° 28' 78"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ31   | Chaohongsao         | 101° 06' 05" | 13° 66' 17"  | Lamut Krasuey        |
| MZ32   | Trat                | 102° 54' 56" | 12° 18' 35"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| MZ33   | Rayong              | 101° 34' 92" | 12° 67' 99"  | Lamut Kai Hahn       |
| ML     | Surat Thani         | 99° 50' 46"  | 9° 73' 17"   | Lamut pa             |
| MK     | Nonthaburi          | 100° 43' 91" | 13° 83' 35"  | Lamut Thai           |
| MH     | Kanchanaburi        | 99° 44' 80"  | 14° 00' 14"  | Ket                  |
by the distance matrix method. Nei and Li’s Dice similarity coefficients were calculated for all pair-wise comparisons between individual samples to provide a distance matrix (Nei and Li, 1979). A dendrogram was constructed from this matrix on the basis of the hierarchical cluster analysis, which is based on the average linkage between group, i.e. the unweighed pair-group method algorithm (UPGMA) as described by Sneath and Sokal (1973). A principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) was also conducted using a genetic similarity matrix obtained from the binary data set.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The extraction of high-quality DNA from Manilkara is challenging because of presence of high polyphenolics in the tissues. A high throughput DNA extraction protocol is required. The presence of polyphenols, which are influential oxidizing agents show in many tropical plant species, can decrease the yield and purity by binding covalently with the extracted DNA production it useless of most research applications (Doyle and Doyle 1990; Vanijajiva 2011). The extraction of high-quality DNA was optimized by re-extracting the DNA using CTAB DNA isolation protocol and phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol extraction instead of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol extraction (Doyle and Doyle, 1987; Vanijajiva 2014). The polyphenolics with the DNA were simply removed and good SCOT electrophoretograms were obtained with all samples. DNA extracted from Manilkara leaf using an above modified gave a good and adequate quality DNA for PCR reaction. DNA isolated by minor modification method yielded strong and reliable amplification products and the amount of DNA extracted from the accessions ranged from 75 to 125 µg/mg fresh weight leaf material. The ratios of A260/A280 varied from 1.81 to 1.96. The quality of DNA was also tested by PCR, which confirmed that the DNAs were suitable for PCR reaction (Ibrahim et al. 2019; Yue et al. 2019). The parameters for the SCOT protocol from Manilkara sample were also studied. Numerous parameters had an effect on banding patterns and reproducibility such as concentration of dNTPs, magnesium chloride concentration, concentration of enzyme, concentration of primer and concentration of template DNA, but the concentration of template DNA and magnesium chloride were most important. The result clearly showed that at 50 ng template DNA and MgCl₂ 5 mM concentration was suitable for further PCR analysis.

The present study is first reported to have shown significant genetic polymorphism amongst various Manilkara accessions using SCOT markers (Heaton et al. 1999; Meghala et al. 2005; Thompson et al. 2015; Martínez-Natarén et al. 2017; Sari et al. 2018). This examination, a set of 30 SCOT primers were preliminary verified in MZ01 sample from Sukhothai province to examine genetic polymorphisms, out of total, 30 SCOT primers produced unambiguous and reproducible banding profile with 120-1200 bp product size but only three SCOT primers (SCOT12, SCOT20, SCOT29) failed to amplify the Manilkara genomic DNA (Figure 2). A total of 176 scorable bands were identified through the amplification of 27 SCOT primers in 36 Manilkara taxa. The amplification ranged from three bands to 12 bands. Moreover, an average PCR amplification found to be 57.38% and polymorphic information content ranged from 33.33 to 100% polymorphisms (Table 2). Thus, the parameters such as primer polymorphism and polymorphic information content used in the present study are found to be supportive to examine markers for their usefulness in the fingerprinting process.

Furthermore, investigation of the genetic diversity and relationships in cultivar accessions is important for breeding, conservation, management, and application of the materials. Accurate identification of accessions in a germplasm collection is an important challenge faced in plant conservation and crop improvement projects (Gupta and Rustgi 2004; Agarwal et al. 2008; Madhumati 2014). Dissimilar from RAPD, AFLP, and ISSR marker system, SCOT is gene-targeted marker with multilocus nature and it can produce more evidence correlated with morphological traits and supportive in high genetic polymorphism (Xiong et al. 2011). In addition, Gorji et al. (2011) compared the polymorphism created using ISSR, RAPD and SCOT markers which also confirmed that SCOT method could distinguish all cultivars, and SCOT marker was more efficient and information. Particular for germplasm identification, molecular marker systems such as the SCOT can improve to the assessment of genetic diversity and relationships in plant relationships analysis as well as selective plant breeding because they are objective and offer reproducible means of identification, independent of environmental influences (Luo et al. 2010; Satya et al. 2016; Agarwal et al. 2019). In order to estimate genetic diversity among Manilkara accessions from Thailand, genetic similarity coefficients (GSC) were calculated. The similarity matrix obtained using Nei and Li’s coefficient (Nei and Li, 1979). Similarity coefficients ranged from 0.15-1.00 in 36 Manilkara accessions tested in the present experiment. Based on the matrix of similarity coefficient, the genetic relatedness of among 36 Manilkara accessions was constructed and shown in Figure 3. The analysis could group all 36 accessions studied into two clusters. Based on SCOT bands, genetic distances among the 36 accessions were calculated and a dendrogram was constructed by UPGMA method (Figure 3A). Associations among 36 Manilkara were also resolved by principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) (Figure 3B). In the diagram generated by PCoA, two main groups were shown which revealed a similar cluster result as that in the dendrogram, clearly, I and II in PCoA plot are exactly the same as the ones in cluster I and II in the dendrogram. The result of this research indicated that the genetic distance is correlated with phenotypic characters. The SCOT results from this study confirm the validity of separation since Manilkara emerged as a separate species and variety group. This indicates the potential power of SCOT marker in distinguishing genetic diversity at both the species and genus levels (Xiong et al. 2010; Luo et al. 2012; Gao et al. 2014; Emami et al. 2018; Jedrzejczyk 2020).
A dendrogram using UPGMA and PCoA analysis was constructed based on the corresponding genetic similarity coefficient among the tested 36 Manilkara accessions. Cluster I was further subdivided into three sub-clusters, including different three outgroup species, *M. hexandra* (MH), *M. kauki* (MK) and *M. littoralis* (ML), were completely separated from the *M. zapota* (MZ) species. While all 33 *M. zapota* accessions were group in Cluster II. The dendrogram showed that the Cluster II was further subdivided into two sub-clusters. The first sub-cluster IIa is the largest, including twenty-four varieties. The first cluster comprises of oval to round fruit types of Markok, Kai Hahn cultivars. The second sub-cluster IIb includes nine varieties comprises Kra Suey and Sida varieties. Morphologically, the accessions Markok and Kai Hahn showed fruit shape resemblances from oval to round shape, whereas inclusion of Kra Suey and Sida considered as elliptically shaped fruits. These observations reveal that affinities and grouping of varieties depend on fruit characteristics. The result of *M. zapota* in cluster II showed that the genetic similarity is correlated with morphology (Rekha et al. 2011). Therefore, clustering patterns obtained with SCOT primer amplification among genomic DNA template of 36 Manilkara accessions are in accordance with their morphology such as fruit characteristics. This is similar to several previous studies by SCOT marker which the results were similarly a good agreement with morphological cluster analysis. For example, Gajera and colleagues reported that SCOT marker scheme was beneficial for indigenous mango cultivar identification based on their morphological characters and fruit traits (Gajera et al. 2014). Similar to Yang et al. (2015) successfully employed SCOT analysis to study polymorphism among date plum persimmon accessions. Their result showed that this population was divided into five major clusters based on phenotypic traits. Recently, Yue and colleagues investigated the molecular variation and structure of grape germplasm cultivars, and advanced breeding lines to determine whether their sufficient genetic diversity still existed within commonly used cultivars. Their SCOT results were generated from 36 SCOT primers. The polymorphic rate was 100% among the 51 grape germplasm resources, reflecting a high degree of genetic diversity in the samples they examined and indicated that SCOT technique is in accordance with their fruit characteristics (Yue et al. 2019). In addition, Zeng et al. (2014) also considered SCOT is molecular marker genes, which could provide more evidence for breeding programs. This may be due to SCOT detects polymorphisms in coding sequences, because the primers were designed to amplify from the short conserved region surrounding the ATG translation start codon (Collard and Mackill 2009; Gorji et al. 2011). Therefore, amplification products generated from the SCOT marker technique may be associated with functional genes and their corresponding traits (Xiong et al. 2009; Gajera et al. 2014; Agarwal et al. 2019; Gupta et al. 2019).

### Table 2. Sequence of SCOT primers and polymorphic SCOT bands and polymorphism of *Manilkara* accessions

| Primer | Sequences (5’-3’) | Total bands | Polymorphic bands | % Polymorphism | Size (bp) |
|--------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| SCOT1  | CAACAATGGCTACACCACCA | 11          | 5                 | 45.40          | 250-700   |
| SCOT2  | CAACAATGGCTACACCACCC | 12          | 6                 | 50.00          | 300-700   |
| SCOT3  | CAACAATGGCTACACCACCG | 5           | 2                 | 40.00          | 350-700   |
| SCOT4  | CAACAATGGCTACACCCT   | 9           | 5                 | 55.55          | 250-700   |
| SCOT5  | CAACAATGGCTACCACGA   | 5           | 2                 | 40.00          | 350-700   |
| SCOT6  | CAACAATGGCTACACCAGC  | 7           | 3                 | 42.85          | 250-1000  |
| SCOT7  | CAACAATGGCTACCAGTG   | 8           | 3                 | 37.50          | 250-1000  |
| SCOT8  | CAACAATGGCTACAGCTG   | 5           | 2                 | 40.00          | 220-700   |
| SCOT9  | CAACAATGGCTACAGCA    | 7           | 5                 | 71.42          | 450-1200  |
| SCOT10 | CAACAATGGCTACACCA    | 5           | 3                 | 60.00          | 250-500   |
| SCOT11 | AAGCAATGGCTACACCA    | -           | -                 | -             | -         |
| SCOT12 | ACGACATGGCGACACACCG  | -           | -                 | -             | -         |
| SCOT13 | ACGACATGGCGACACATCG  | 8           | 4                 | 50.00          | 210-720   |
| SCOT14 | ACGACATGGCGACACGC    | 6           | 4                 | 66.66          | 120-780   |
| SCOT15 | ACGACATGGCGACACGGA   | 9           | 5                 | 55.55          | 150-850   |
| SCOT16 | AACATGGCTACCACCG     | 5           | 4                 | 80.00          | 200-500   |
| SCOT17 | AACATGGCTACCACCGAG   | 10          | 5                 | 50.00          | 250-750   |
| SCOT18 | AACATGGCTACCACCCGC   | 5           | 5                 | 100.00         | 300-600   |
| SCOT19 | AACATGGCTACCACCGGC   | 6           | 3                 | 50.00          | 360-600   |
| SCOT20 | AACATGGCTACCACCGCG   | -           | -                 | -             | -         |
| SCOT21 | AACATGGCGACACACCA    | 3           | 3                 | 100.00         | 220-320   |
| SCOT22 | AACCATGGCTACCACCA    | 7           | 5                 | 71.42          | 290-600   |
| SCOT23 | CACCATGGCTACCACCA    | 6           | 3                 | 50.00          | 250-480   |
| SCOT24 | CACCATGGCTACCACCAT   | 7           | 4                 | 57.14          | 250-500   |
| SCOT25 | AACATGGCTACCACCGG    | 4           | 4                 | 100.00         | 250-500   |
| SCOT26 | ACCATGGCTACCACCGTC   | 5           | 3                 | 60.00          | 450-700   |
| SCOT27 | ACCATGGCTACCACCGTG   | 3           | 1                 | 33.33          | 320-650   |
| SCOT28 | CCATGGCTACACCGCA     | 7           | 3                 | 42.85          | 250-750   |
| SCOT29 | CCATGGCTACACCGGCC    | -           | -                 | -             | -         |
| SCOT30 | CCATGGCTACACCGCGG    | 4           | 3                 | 75.00          | 350-650   |
| Total  | 176                | 101         | 57.38             | 120-1200      |

Reported by Yue et al. (2014).
**Figure 2.** The representative SCoT profiles in this study. The electrophoretograms are employed as representative of clear, distinguished, stable profiles from 27 primers of sample from Sukhothai province to examine genetic polymorphisms.

**Figure 3.** Relationship of 36 *Manilkara* accessions with SCoT analysis. A. Dendrogram analysis B. Principle coordinate analysis. Accession codes correspond to samples listed in Table 1.
Future studies on this plant should include reciprocal transplants of Thai individuals, as well as common gardens of plants grown from seed. These additional experiments would give direct evidence of a presence or low of a genetic correlate to the observed morphological variation between these populations. In addition, germplasm collections need to be made of the different forms of *M. zapota* of the different cultivated varieties. A controlled breeding program would be very beneficial for stabilizing traits of interest and developing new varieties of *M. zapota*. Finally, agricultural studies should be directed with the different morphological types in Thailand to determine the viability of *M. zapota* as a crop plant.

In conclusion, the results provided bases for better *Manilkara* organization, core collection establishment, and exploration of diversity in breeding. This study, however, has not aimed here to specify which accessions, in particular, should be assigned to a core collection; this task awaits inclusion of morphological and digital image analyses of phenotypic traits as well as agronomic data. The work presented a possible method for applying the SCoT technique on *Manilkara* accession. This research found that the optimal primer sets obtained should facilitate the next SCoT analysis assays and be useful in the marker-assisted breeding schemes of *Manilkara* in Thailand.
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