In vitro Evaluation of Aqua Extracts of Some Botanicals against Maize Stem Borer, Busseola fusca F. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
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Abstract

The present study was conducted to determine the comparative efficacy and bioactivity of aqueous extracts of four botanicals (leaf, root bark, flower) under in vitro condition. Cypermethrin 1% G was used as standard check and untreated control against maize stem borer in maize (Zea mays L.), during 2012 at Ambo Plant Protection Research Center, Ethiopia. The treatments were aqueous leaf extract of Nicotiana spp. L. and Cymbopogon citratus, root bark aqueous extract of Securidaca longepedunculata F., and flower extract of Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium at 5% concentration. The cumulative data revealed that the standard check-Cypermethrin 1% G at rate of 0.3 kg/ha recorded significantly higher mean percent mortality of maize stem borer, Busseola fusca, over all the other treatments. Among the botanical extracts, C. cinerariaefolium and C. citrates 5% concentration was recorded higher efficacy mean mortality (75 and 58.33), respectively, against B. fusca within three days, and found to be at par with some of the other botanical extracts. The Nicotiana spp. leaf extracts and S. longepedunculata root bark extract showed significantly lower efficacy mean mortality.
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Introduction

Maize is an important crop in much of the developing world. It grows over a wide geographical range and variety of environments than any other cereal crops, and is the 3rd most important cereal crop on global basis [1]. The national average yield of maize, which is about 17% of the cultivated area, and 27.77% of production yield distribution in meher season [2].

In Ethiopia, maize is the second most important cereal crop, followed by teff in area of production. It is produced on an average area of over 1.2 million hectare of land. It is also the second important crop in total production [2]. The national average yield of maize, which is about 2.2 tons per hectare, is well below the world average of about 3.7 tons per hectare, but slightly better than the previous year [2]. The poor performance of maize in Africa in general, and Ethiopia in particular, could be attributed to unfavorable agro-climatic conditions poor soil fertility, and the prevalence of numerous insect pests and diseases [1].

Busseola fusca F. is considered to be the most important pest of maize in sub-Saharan Africa [3]. The average yield loss of maize caused by cereal stem borers in Ethiopia was estimated between 20-50% [4]. The use of insecticides can be environmentally disruptive, and can also elimination of beneficial insects and accumulation of residues in the harvested produces [5]. Botanical insecticides have long been touted as attractive alternatives to synthetic chemical insecticides for pest management. Botanical pesticides are ecofriendly, economic, target-specific and biodegradable. The botanical insecticides are greatest strength in their specificity, as most are essentially nontoxic and non-pathogenic to animals and humans. Considering the importance of ecofriendly approaches to manage the pests, the experiment was designed to determine relative efficacy of aqua extracts of some botanical against maize stem borer. The high costs of synthetic pesticides and associated toxicity risks discourage to integrate into insect pest management systems [6,7], the agro-ecological conditions and suitable for maize growing areas of Ethiopia, which are indispensable. Therefore, the present study was under taken to determine the aqua extracts of some botanicals against maize stem borer, Busseola fusca (F) in vitro condition.

Materials and Methods

The in vitro experiment was conducted at Ambo Plant Protection Research Center of Ethiopia, during 2012. The six treatments were conducted in Complete Randomized Design (CRD), with three replications. The treatments were local tobacco (Nicotiana spp.) leaf aqua extract Violet tree (Securidaca longepedunculata) root bark water extract, Pyrethrum (Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium), flower water extract, Lemon grass (Cymbopogon citratus) water extract Cypermethrin 1% G (Standard check) and untreated control. The botanical leaf aqua extracts were prepared by Venkat et al. [8]. Leaves of Local tobacco and Lemon grass were collected and cut into small pieces. The cut leaves were ground and mixed with water at 5% concentration level i.e. 50 g leaves per 1 liters of water, then filtered through muslin cloth. The violet tree root bark was collected from underground part of the plant, washed, ground in mortal, and then made a paste 50 g added and mixed with 1 liter of water (at 5% concentration) level, and filtered through muslin cloth. Pyrethrum flower was prepared by 50 g of flower powder mixed with 1 liter of water (at 5% concentration) level was extracted and filtered with muslin cloth. Pyrethrum flower was prepared by 50 g of flower powder mixed with 1 liter of water (5% concentration) level was extracted and filtered with muslin cloth, and then 2 ml extracts were sprayed on the ten larvae of B. fusca 3rd and 4th larval instars per petridish, and preserved food with pieces of maize stalk. The numbers of larvae were recorded before spray and every 24 h after botanicals application, till 3 days after sprayed, and the percent mortality rate of larvae over control was calculated.

The mortality data obtained in the different treatments were analyzed using the SAS computer software [9], was used to compared...
with the treatment effects, and the mean comparison were carried out by using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

**Results and Discussion**

The cumulative data pertaining to mean percent mortality of maize stem borer revealed that among all the treatments, the standard treatment was recorded to be significantly superior in efficacy against maize stem borer, as it recorded highest mean percent mortality of maize stem borer. However, among the botanical extracts, *C. cinerariaefolium* was the best treatment against maize stem borer, by recording 75% mortality rate after second and third day application of the botanicals. It was found to be on par (non-significant different from untreated control) with Nicotiana spp., *S. longepedunculata*, *C. cinerariaefolium* and *C. citrates* within 24 hours. The Nicotiana spp. and *S. longepedunculata* leaf extract at 5% concentration and root bark extract at 5% concentrations, respectively. These botanicals were found to be least in efficacy against maize stem borer, however, better over untreated control within three days. All the other treatments recorded significantly lower mortality rate, and were found to be at par with each other within 24 hours, but significantly (P<0.0001) superior over control after three days.

In the present study, it was found that the standard check, Cypermethrin 1% G at rate of 0.3 kg/hectare proved to be the best treatment against maize stem borer, by recording highest mean percent reduction of 100%. Similarly, the superior efficacy of pyrethrum flower against maize stem borer was well documented by several workers in the insect pest [10]. However, there is no report on the toxicity effect of *C. citrates* on Lepidopteran insects. Despite the wide spread local use of *S. longepedunculata* in different parts of Africa, no adequate scientific reports mention its toxicity. The few reports available were indicated its trypomocide and insect toxicity, where it was used as a storage grain preservative [11,12].

Table 1 revealed that the effect of insecticidal plant extracts at 1st, 2nd and 3rd day after treatment against maize stem borer. *C. cinerariaefolium* and *C. citrates* treatment gave highly significant (p<0.0001) better results in reducing the population of stem borer at 3 days, *S. longepedunculata*, *C. cinerariaefolium* and *C. citrates* and Nicotiana spp treatments indicated no significant (p>0.0001) differences, with control treatment in controlling the population of maize stem borer larvae within one day post treatment. However, Cypermethrin (standard check) treatment significantly (p<0.0001) controlled 100% mortality mean at 1st day after spray application compared to other treatments. Equally, *Nicotiana* spp, *S. longepedunculata*, and *C. citrates* treatments did not show any significant differences with the control treatments in the control of maize stem borer at 1st day after the post treatment.

**Table 1:** Efficacy of aqua extracts of some botanicals against maize stem borer, mortality percentage under in vitro condition during 2012.

| Days after application | Treatments | 1st day | 2nd day | 3rd day |
|------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Nicotiana spp. (local variety) | 8.33° | 16.67° | 33.33° |
| Securidaca longepedunculata | 0.00° | 8.33° | 33.33° |
| Chrysanthemum cinerariaefolium | 0.00° | 75.00° | 75.00° |
| Cymbopogon citratus | 0.00° | 16.67° | 58.33° |
| Cypermethrin | 100.00° | 100.00° | 100.00° |
| Control | 0.00° | 0.00° | 0.00° |
| MSE | 7.45 | 16.24 | 10.21 |
| CV (%) | 28.33 | 24.98 | 20.41 |

**Note:** Means with the same letter(s) are not significantly different.

Afful et al. [13] reported that the effect of the methanol extract of *S. longepedunculata* on larvae of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on different concentrations rate showed valuable effect on reduction of larvae. This could be due to the presence of the methyl salicylate and other saponins found in the root of this plant, which might act as antifeedant. Stevenson et al. [14] confirmed the antifeedant properties of the compound found in the methanol extract of *S. longepedunculata*, and this might have caused the reduction in damage of grains treated with the methanol extract of *S. longepedunculata*, but in this study, it was lower mortality rate compared to other treatments.

This study concluded that *C. cinerariaefolium* and *C. citrates* aqua water extracts contributing in reducing maize stem borer over the untreated treatments and other botanicals. In the present study, two botanicals mentioned above could be very well utilized as alternative to synthetic insecticides for the management of maize stem borer, contains insecticidal substances that have potential for use as alternative crop protectants against maize stem borer. In the future, demonstrating the field efficacy of these bio-pesticides under different ecosystem in various economically important insect pests, including maize stem borer is crucial.
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