Identification of a new mutation in an Iranian family with hereditary multiple osteochondromas
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Background: Hereditary multiple osteochondromas (HMO), previously named hereditary multiple exostoses (HME), is an autosomal dominant skeletal disorder characterized by the growth of multiple osteochondromas and is associated with bony deformity, skeletal growth reduction, nerve compression, restriction of joint motion, and premature osteoarthritis. HMO is genetically heterogeneous, localized on at least three chromosomal loci including 8q24.1 (EXT1), 11p11-p13 (EXT2), and 19p (EXT3). The median age of diagnosis is 3 years; almost all affected individuals are diagnosed by age 12. The risk for malignant degeneration to osteochondrosarcoma increases with age, although the lifetime risk of malignant degeneration is low (~1%).

Methods and results: This study was performed on an Iranian family with nine affected individuals from three consecutive generations. Here, the proband was an affected woman who received genetic counseling prior to pregnancy. All exons of the three genes were examined in the proband using polymerase chain reaction and sequencing methods (the last member of this family is a male with severe deformities and lesions, especially around his large joints). Exon 4 of EXT1 (c.1235 G>A) was changed in affected individuals. This mutation alters tryptophan to a premature stop codon on amino acid position 412 (p.Trp412x).

Conclusion: The outcome of this study has extended the genotypic spectrum of Iranian patients with HMO, revealing a way for improving detection and genetic counseling in carriers.
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Introduction

Hereditary multiple exostoses (HME) has also been known as hereditary multiple osteochondromas (HMO) because of the development of at least two or more benign outgrowths of the cartilage-capped bone tumors (osteochondromas) of the long bones that grow outward from the metaphyses of the long bones. HME is an autosomal dominant disorder characterized by the presence of the disorder in the consecutive generations.1,2 HME was first described by Boyer in 1814.3,4 The frequency of affected males is more than that of females, as females have incomplete penetrance for HME.5 Exostosin-1 (EXT1) has 746 amino acids and is responsible for heparan sulfate synthesis. However, exostosin-2 (EXT2) contains 14 exons that have two alternative exons. A previous study showed that mutations of these genes result in the synthesis of a truncated EXT protein with malfunctioned activity.6 Another study indicated that EXT proteins are essential enzymes for the synthesis of heparan sulfate, but the altered mechanism of heparan sulfate synthesis resulting in abnormal bone growth is still under debate. HME leads to distorted bone growth and may damage bony structures in the upper and lower limbs that can result in shortened stature.7 HME may also cause pain, limited range of joint motion, and pressure on the nerves, blood vessels,
spinal cord, and tissues surrounding the exostoses. There are five homologous genes that are involved in HME, including two EXT-like genes (EXTL) in which no mutations have been reported earlier. The other genes are EXT1, EXT2, and EXT3, which have been located on the 8q24.1, 9,10 11p13, 11,12 and 19p chromosomes, respectively. It has been reported that most of the HME patients with EXT1 (60%–70%) and EXT2 (30%–40%) carry nonsense and frameshift mutations, respectively, resulting in the synthesis of truncated forms of the EXT proteins. The exact etiology of the exostoses is unclear, but it seems that exostoses occur most often in adults. Some authors suggest that both environmental and genetic factors are involved in HME disorder. Surgical interventions have been described for the treatment of patients with the disorder; however, there are many controversies. In this study, an Iranian male from a family with nine affected individuals for three consecutive generations was examined in order to identify a novel mutation related to this disorder. This index case is the last member of this family, who is a male with severe deformities and lesions, especially around his large joints, with a mutation in exon 4 of EXT1 (c.1235 G>A).

Materials and methods
Case presentation
This study was performed on an Iranian family with nine affected individuals of three consecutive generations. Here, the proband is an affected woman who sought genetic counseling before pregnancy. The chief complaint of her family was pain, bony prominences, and deformities around large joints, including the shoulder, elbow, wrist, hip, knee, and ankle. The pedigree of this family was drawn, and the complaint was shown to be a typical autosomal dominant disorder. The affected woman had two affected sisters and two affected brothers (Figure 1). The index case is the last member of this family, who is a male with severe deformities and lesions, especially around his large joints. Typical bony lesions around his joints were found in the first visit (Figure 2). In this family, the father, grandfather, one aunt, and one uncle were previously affected. All these affected persons underwent multiple surgeries, but they experienced recurrence of the lesions. After clinical diagnosis, molecular study was carried out on the index case as well as on the involved mutant gene. Written informed consent, including consent to participate in the study for genetic analysis and publish the data was obtained from patients, and the Medical Ethics Committee of the Mofid Children’s Hospital, Tehran, Iran, specifically approved this study.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
Genomic DNA was extracted for the index case from his peripheral blood lymphocytes using salting-out method. PCRs

Figure 1 The pedigree of hereditary multiple exostoses in an Iranian family, including three affected sisters and two affected brothers, represents a typical autosomal dominant pattern.

Note: *Values indicate age during study (years).
were carried out in a 50 µL reaction, containing 80–110 ng of genomic DNA, 5 µL of 10× PCR buffer, 1.6 µL of MgCl₂ (50 mM), 1 µL deoxynucleotide triphosphates (10 mM), primer pairs at 1.4 pmol/µL, and Super Taq (0.3–0.5 µL). PCR cycling consisted of 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 min, annealing temperature of 60.4°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min. The sequences of the forward and reverse primers of EXT1 gene used in this experiment are 5′-TGGGTTATTTTGATCAAGTGC-3′ and 5′-TGGACCAATCACACATCCCTA-3′, respectively, whereas the primer sequences of the EXT2 gene are from previous study.20

In addition, molecular study of an affected sister of the index case who sought genetic counseling before pregnancy was performed. Similar tests were performed on the other affected family members.

**Results**

Prior to genetic study, clinical pathology studies, including biopsy from bony lesions of the index case’s joints, radiography, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), were carried out in the affected family member with osteochondromas disorder (Figures 1–3). In this study, the pedigree indicated a classical autosomal dominant inheritance. After taking the history and studying the pedigree and clinical examination of three affected family members, variable expressivity was identified in this family with increasing severity in the consecutive generations and in the younger members in the same generation. The disease appeared at the end of the first decade of life for all of the patients, with the exception of the youngest child for whom the disease appeared within the first decade. All the affected individuals underwent several orthopedic surgeries but experienced recurrence of the bony lesions. The growth of the exostoses was observed in these patients over the span of 4–5 months. For the genetic diagnosis, radiographic studies of the limbs were performed (Figure 3). X-rays revealed the prominent enlargement of the exostoses. For further imaging of bony lesions, MRI scans of the limbs were taken (Figure 4). No abnormal associated soft tissues were observed. Neuromuscular bundles were also normal. The removed bony lesions were studied pathologically for malignancy, but there was no evidence of malignancy. In this study, all exons of three genes, including EXT1, EXT2, and EXT3, were analyzed, and the results were compared with National Center for Biotechnology Information blast nucleotide. A heterozygous (c.1235 G>A) mutation was identified in Exon 4 of EXT1 in affected individuals. This mutation leads to a change in tryptophan to a premature stop codon on amino acid position 412 (p.Trp412x). Additionally, MLPA analysis did not reveal any duplications or deletions in the EXT1 gene (data not shown) (Figure 5).

**Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) analysis**

DNA was extracted from peripheral blood of individuals, using the SALSA MLPA KIT P215-B1 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The P215-B1 EXT probe mix contains 41 different MLPA probes with amplification products between 130 and 451 nt. In addition, it contains nine control fragments generating an amplification product <120 nt, four DNA quantity fragments (Q-fragments) at 64-70-76-82 nt, three DNA denaturation control fragments (D-fragments) at 88-92-96 nt, one X fragment at 100 nt, and one Y fragment at 105 nt. Q fragments do not require ligation due to short sizes. In other words, DNA fragments were exactly the same as the dependent probe and ligation fragment. This fragment appeared only when ligation was done and also the doses of DNA and denaturation were enough.

**Figure 2** Hereditary multiple exostoses. (A) Multiple exostoses of the lower limbs (arrows). (B) Osteochondromas of the upper limbs (arrows).

**Figure 3** Hereditary multiple exostoses. (A) Radiography of the right knee (lateral view) shows multiple lesions in the proximal portion of the tibia and fibula bones (arrow). (B) Radiographies of the left elbow show multiple lesions in the distal portion of the humerus and proximal portion of the ulna and radius (arrow) bones.
Discussion

HMO was first described by Boyer in 1814\textsuperscript{21} and then described by Guys in 1825. The prevalence of exostoses in the population is estimated to be 1 in 50,000.\textsuperscript{22} Exostoses are common in young people and are also the major type of benign bone tumors, called “osteochondromas.” Studies have shown that ~64%–76% of mutations appeared in the \textit{EXT1} gene and 21%–30% in the \textit{EXT2} gene. This mutant gene, for the first time, has been identified in an Iranian family. The clinical presentation in this family was a typical presentation for HME.\textsuperscript{23}

Although the major function of the \textit{EXT} protein is still under investigation, all \textit{EXT} gene products exhibit glycosyltransferase activity associated with the proteoglycan heparan sulfate biosynthesis pathway.\textsuperscript{24} According to the literature, 60%–70% of HME patients revealed \textit{EXT1} gene mutations, belonging to either the nonsense or the frameshift mutations.\textsuperscript{12,25}

Conclusion

A previous study showed that \textit{EXT1} and \textit{EXT2} consisted of inactivating frame shift, nonsense, and splice-site mutations.\textsuperscript{12} The most frequent \textit{EXT1} mutations are frameshift (44%), nonsense (24%), and splice-site mutations (11%). For \textit{EX2}, frameshift (42%), nonsense (22%), and splice-site mutations (13%) are the most common mutations.\textsuperscript{26} Consequently, it is appropriate to say that inactivate mutations are responsible for 77%–80% of the multiple exostoses caused by \textit{EXT1}/\textit{EXT2} mutations.\textsuperscript{27} A mutation in \textit{EXT1} exon 4 (c.1235 G $\rightarrow$ A) was reported previously,\textsuperscript{26} but this is the first study to confirm that the \textit{EXT1} gene has been found in an Iranian family with classic presentation of HME, and the molecular genetic testing for \textit{EXT1} should be done in HME patients in Iran. This mutation in the \textit{EXT1} gene is a frameshift mutation that could directly or indirectly lead to premature stop codons and then translation of truncated protein. In summary, the outcome of this study has extended the genotypic spectrum of Iranian patients with HMO, conferring a way for prediction of genetic risk in carriers.
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