Lesson of the month 2: Use of thrombolysis for ischaemic stroke in pregnancy – a case report and review of literature
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A nine-week pregnant 33-year-old female presented with sudden-onset right-sided hemiparesis, hemisensory loss, dysarthria and homonymous hemianopia. She was known to have eleven previous miscarriages and used recreational drugs. A CT-head was unremarkable. The patient was subsequently diagnosed with an acute thromboembolic infarct and chose alteplase after counselling. During thrombolysis an ultrasound identified a foetus with no concerning features. A post-thrombolysis CT revealed a left-sided posterior cerebral infarct. CT-venography, carotid Doppler, 72-hour Holter monitor, thrombophilia and an autoimmune screen were all normal. A transthoracic echocardiogram demonstrated a mobile intra-atrial septum with a patent foramen ovale confirmed on bubble echocardiogram. Three days post-thrombolysis the patient requested a termination of pregnancy. A subsequent transvaginal ultrasound demonstrated a missed miscarriage for which the patient underwent evacuation of retained products of conception. Multidisciplinary care ensured that her sensorimotor deficit resolved grossly with only mild dysarthria and right-hand fine motor incoordination.

Case history
A 33-year-old female in the ninth week of gestation presented with sudden-onset right-sided weakness, numbness and speech difficulty. Her previous medical history included eleven miscarriages of unknown cause. She had a ten-pack year smoking history and also used cocaine, cannabis, heroin and amphetamine recreationally.

Her vital observations and capillary blood glucose were normal. She was not confused. Speech assessment revealed dysarthria. Cranial nerve examination demonstrated a right-sided facial nerve palsy and homonymous hemianopia. Motor function in the limbs confirmed right-sided hemiparesis with a MRC grade of four and two in the upper and lower limbs respectively. Gait assessment was not possible. Tone was flaccid and reflexes were absent on the right-side with an equi-plantar response. There was a sensory deficit on the right-side. Cerebellar assessment demonstrated incoordination.
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Neurological assessment of the left-side and examination of her other major organ systems was unremarkable.

The patient underwent an urgent non-contrast CT-brain which revealed no intracerebral haemorrhage or space-occupying lesion (Fig 1). The patient was subsequently diagnosed with an acute left-sided posterior cerebral thromboembolic infarct.

Given a lack of absolute contraindications, the patient and her family were counselled on the benefits and risks of thrombolysis compared to aspirin alone both to the patient and her foetus. Thromboectomy was not considered because of the lack of availability within the local region. After deliberation the patient opted for thrombolysis which was supported by her family and the obstetric team. Alteplase was commenced two hours after initial symptom onset. During thrombolysis an abdominal ultrasound identified a foetal pole with no concerning features. Initial investigations including ECG, chest radiograph and blood tests (blood count differential, renal and liver function, electrolytes, C-reactive peptide, serum glucose, cholesterol and thyroid function) were entirely normal.

A repeat CT-brain post-thrombolysis demonstrated a well-established posterior cerebral infarct (Fig 2). A simultaneous CT-venogram excluded venous sinus thrombosis. Further investigations were performed to identify the underlying cause of the stroke, including a carotid Doppler, which was normal. A transthoracic echocardiogram revealed a mildly mobile inter-atrial septum with no obvious shunt. An autoimmune screen for connective tissue disease including anti-phospholipid syndrome, and a thrombophilia screen proved unremarkable. At 24 hours post-thrombolysis the patient was commenced on aspirin. Statins were not initiated during the pregnancy because of a theoretical risk of teratogenicity via radiosensitivity to the foetus. Thrombectomy was not considered because of the lack of availability within the local region. After deliberation the patient opted for thrombolysis which was supported by her family and the obstetric team. Alteplase was commenced two hours after initial symptom onset. During thrombolysis an abdominal ultrasound identified a foetal pole with no concerning features. Initial investigations including ECG, chest radiograph and blood tests (blood count differential, renal and liver function, electrolytes, C-reactive peptide, serum glucose, cholesterol and thyroid function) were entirely normal.

After two weeks post-thrombolysis aspirin was replaced with life-long clopidogrel. At a follow-up review three months later the patient’s neurological findings had not changed. A repeat autoimmune and thrombophilia screen both proved unremarkable. A 72-hour Holter monitor also demonstrated no significant arrhythmias. A bubble-echocardiogram however identified a patent foramen ovale (PFO) for which she awaits closure.

**Discussion**

Stroke during pregnancy is rare, with an estimated incidence of 10–20 per 100,000 deliveries. The majority of cases occur during the peri-partum or post-partum period; the overall risk during this period is increased compared to non-pregnant patients of the same age. Risk factors for thromboembolic stroke can be broadly divided into pregnancy- and non-pregnancy-related factors (Box 1). Our patient’s risk factors were smoking, illicit drug use and PFO. PFO-related strokes in pregnancy may be more likely to occur in the first or second trimesters.

Radiation exposure to the foetus from CT-imaging is minimised because of collimation. The overall radiation dose to the foetus is less than 0.01 microGrays which does not increase the risk of miscarriage, foetal malformations, learning disabilities or childhood malignancy (Table 1). Nonetheless, patients can wear abdominal lead guards to protect the foetus from radiation. In our patient we did not perform a MRI post-thrombolysis because of a theoretical risk of teratogenicity via thermal damage in the first trimester. However this is more likely to occur with MRI machines operating above a 1.5 Tesla magnetic field strength.

**Box 1. Risk factors for thromboembolic stroke during pregnancy.**

Pregnancy-related
- Caesarean delivery
- Pregnancy-induced hypertension
- Pre-eclampsia/eclampsia
- Post-partum infection
- Post-partum cerebral angiopathy
- Gestational trophoblastic disease
- Amniotic fluid embolism

Non-pregnancy-related
- Hypertension
- Smoking
- Valvular heart disease
- Dyslipidaemia
- Thrombophilia
- Sepsis
- Migraine-with-aura
- Paradoxical emboli
- Illicit drug use
- Sickle cell disease

Highlighted in bold are risk factors seen in the patient in this case.
Management of thromboembolic stroke during pregnancy is complex. Traditionally, thrombolysis was considered an absolute contraindication because of foetal haemorrhagic risks, especially during the peri-partum period. However, thrombolytic drugs such as alteplase can be given safely in pregnancy. The drug is too large to cross the placenta so is not known to be teratogenic. The efficacy of alteplase in treating thromboembolic stroke during pregnancy is similar to that seen in non-pregnant women. However, the risk of haemorrhage including intracerebral and placental haemorrhage is increased, especially in the peri-partum period; the risk of placental haemorrhage can be reduced through catheter-driven thrombolysis. Overall, there is no increased risk of mortality. Thrombectomy can also be considered especially for women in the peri-partum period.

Involvement of the obstetric team, particularly for women in their third trimester, is important as emergency delivery of the foetus may be required should complications ensue. Our patient was thrombolysed after counselling the patient on the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment. Despite the extent of her infarct the patient made a positive recovery and was left with minor impairment. She did however miscarry which could have been secondary to alteplase-induced placental haemorrhage. Nonetheless, her previous history of multiple spontaneous miscarriages and lifestyle choices were confounding factors.

### Conclusion

Stroke can affect any subpopulation group including pregnant women and thrombolysis should be considered on a case-to-case basis. Involvement of the obstetric team is important, especially for women in the third trimester, where treatment complications may necessitate urgent foetal delivery. Finally, in any scenario the mother’s health and safety should always take priority over that of the foetus.
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### Table 1. Foetal radiation dose and subsequent childhood cancer risk for common radiation-associated radiological investigations.

| Image           | Radiation dose to foetus (mGy) | Risk of childhood cancer per examination |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| CXR             | 0.001–0.01                    | <1 in 1,000,000                         |
| CT Head         | 0.001–0.01                    | <1 in 1,000,000                         |
| AXR             | 0.1–1.0                       | 1 in 100,000 to 1 in 10,000             |
| CTPA            | 0.01–0.1                      | 1 in 1,000,000 to 1 in 100,000          |
| V/Q Scan        | 0.1–1.0                       | 1 in 100,000 to 1 in 10,000             |
| CT Chest/Liver  | 0.1–1.0                       | 1 in 100,000 to 1 in 10,000             |
| CT Abdomen      | 1.0–10                        | 1 in 10,000 to 1 in 1000                |
| CT-CAP          | 10–50                         | 1 in 1000 to 1 in 200                   |
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