Experimental Testing of Basic Crash Elements Made of CFRP by Additive Technologies
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This paper deals with the experimental testing of the basic crash elements which are made of PA6 with short carbon fiber reinforcement by additive technology. Additive technologies allow the production of very complex, thin-walled and hollow shapes, which can be used to tune the desired characteristics of the deformation member. The variable size of the deceleration, the length of the deformed part and the total amount of energy absorbed can be controlled by suitable geometry. The initial impact peaks can be reduced by gradually changing the geometry. Experimental testing of the basic crash elements was performed on several specimens and average values are used in this paper. The maximal and the average deceleration and total energy absorbed are primarily monitored. The obtained data will be used for validation of material properties in Crash-Pam software. Usage of a validated material model, larger and more complex deformation members will be proposed, e.g. for the racing car Formula SAE.
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1 Introduction

All road vehicles, whether normal traffic or racing cars, place great emphasis on the safety of the crew [1]. One of the basic elements of vehicle passive safety is the Energy absorption element that is also called the Impact attenuator in Formula SAE. The basic frontal Impact attenuator is also designed and manufactured in Formula SAE (FSAE). Currently, most often FSAE uses impact attenuator made of hardened or metal foams, aramid or aluminium alloy honeycombs. These types of impact attenuators are used for their very good weight-to-energy ratio as well as for keeping the limits for average and maximum vehicle deceleration at the defined impact.

However, due to production technology (mainly honeycombs [2]), the possibilities of the impact attenuator shape is limited. We are able to produce complex shapes using additive technologies. Therefore, we could afford to optimize the shape of the impact attenuator for better deceleration characteristics and very low weight.

The energy absorption elements made by additive technologies are not commonly used in road vehicles yet. But there is a research that examines a metal crash-boxes with a printed filling from nylon [3].

The deformation elements are created by means of various metal crash-box elements [4], [5] and bumpers [5] made of shaped steel sheets in conventional road vehicles. Alternatively, they can be supplemented with various plastic or hardened or metal foams components [5]. However, conventional road vehicles are significantly heavier than the aforementioned FSAE vehicles and are also designed for collisions at higher speeds. Their deformation zones are therefore dimensioned for significantly greater energy absorption. The deformation elements made of composite parts [6] are used only in luxury or sports cars. Their main advantage is low weight, but their big disadvantage is a high price.

2 Standard impact attenuators in Formula SAE

The Impact attenuators are made in FSAE from various perforated sheets of steel or aluminum alloys [4], [5], hardened or metal foams [5], aluminum or composite honeycombs [2], etc. [7] The usage of each of these materials has its advantages and disadvantages. The sheet metal impact attenuators are generally heavier than those made of foam or honeycombs, which are generally standard today. Also the deformation members are made of composite laminates, which are very expensive and difficult to manufacture. The honeycombs, the foams and the laminates are usually glued [8] to impact the attenuator plate [9].

For example, the impact attenuators that have been tested for UWB vehicles (University of West Bohemia) and meet FSAE requirements that are: The aluminum alloy honeycomb impact attenuator of 200x200x100 mm weights 365 g and the aramid honeycomb impact attenuator of 200x200x100 mm with a relief holes weights 332 g.

The rules [9] consider that IA assembly (impact attenuator (crash element), impact attenuator plate and
Fasteners are mounted on the front of a vehicle with a total mass of 300 kg and impacting a solid, non-yielding impact barrier with a velocity of impact of 7 m/s. Impact attenuators appropriate to FSAE rules shall absorb a minimum deformation energy of 7350 J with a maximum deceleration not exceeding 40 g and an average deceleration not exceeding 20 g. The minimum dimensions of the impact attenuators for FSAE are 200x200x100 mm but may not be fully filled. Holes can be formed in it [9].

3 Basic crash elements - characteristics

The aim of this article is to determine the basic mechanical properties of the material during the crash. PA6 with short carbon fiber reinforcement (PA6+SCF/CFRP) using the additive technology was chosen as the tested material. The geometry of the basic crash elements was also designed for testing, which is adapted to the available test equipment as well as the technological capabilities of the printer (3D printer Mark Two made by Markforged).

Basic dimensions of basic crash elements are 90x90x90 mm. Basic crash elements are designed as Truncated cones' shells with anchoring base. The print settings are described in Tab. 1 and geometric properties of basic crash elements are specified by Tab. 2 and Fig. 1.

| Width injection [mm] | 0.4 |
|----------------------|-----|
| Height Layers [mm]   | 0.1 |
| Fill Pattern [-]     | Triangular |
| Fill Density [%]     | 28  |
| Roof Layers [-]      | 1   |
| Floor Layers [-]     | 1   |
| Wall Layers [-]      | 1   |

Tab. 1 Setting of the 3D printer Mark Two (Markforged)

| Number Layers [-] | 900 |
|-------------------|-----|
| Height [mm]       | 90  |
| Mass [g]          | 35.2|
| Volume [mm³]      | 72,793|
| Printing time [h] | 10.4|
| Material          | ONYX (PA6+SCF) [10] |

Tab. 2 Properties of the basic crash elements

The paper [11], which was created in our department, deals with the determination of basic material parameters of PA6+SCF [11], [12]. This material is manufactured by Markforged company for the Mark Two printers with the trade name ONYX [10].

HPS (Horizontally Printed Specimens) from PA6 and PA6+SCF. These specimens were printed horizontally on the building platform. The angle between the main direction of the filaments and the testing direction was 0°. Average measured data for individual temperatures are given in Fig. 2 [11].

![Fig. 1 Geometry of basic crash elements](image1)

![Fig. 2 Average experimental data of HPS from PA6+SCF](image2)

VPS (Vertically Printed Specimens) from PA6 and PA6+SCF. These specimens were printed vertically on the building platform. The angle between the main direction of the filament and the testing direction was 90°. The average measured data for individual temperatures are given in Fig. 3 [11].
Experimental testing was performed on the **Falling weight impact testing machine.** The ram’s weight used was 43.4 kg and was dropped from the height of 500 mm above the sample. Ram deceleration was measured by accelerometer. The sampling frequency of the accelerometer was 38,400 Hz, that sampling period was 0.02604167 ms. The properties of experimental testing are summarized in Tab. 3.

### 5 The results of experimental tests

As already mentioned, 4 experimental tests of the same specimens were made. The average values were determined from the tests and are presented.

Fig. 4 shows test specimens from slow-motion camera during experiment a) before impact, b) impacted specimen No. 2 and c) impacted specimen No. 4. Samples b) and c) are shown in the state between the 1st and the 2nd impact. All measured data are displayed for the 1st impact only. Secondary and other impacts caused by reflection by elastic deformation were cut off.

Fig. 5 shows averaged measured values of 4 tests, simplified process and a linearized process of the middle section of deceleration-time diagram. In Tab. 4 shows the coordinates of simplified process curve. Individual points are connected by straight lines.

Tab. 5 summarizes the data determined from measurements and accelerometers.
Tab. 4 The coordinates of simplified deceleration-time process

| point Nr. | time [ms] | deceleration [mm/s^2] |
|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 1         | 0.00      | 0.00                  |
| 2         | 1.02      | 340.71                |
| 3         | 1.73      | 160.34                |
| 4         | 2.25      | 163.27                |
| 5         | 2.41      | 149.58                |
| 6         | 5.61      | 181.36                |
| 7         | 6.38      | 153.00                |
| 8         | 8.09      | 175.00                |
| 9         | 9.94      | 248.81                |
| 10        | 10.57     | 200.42                |
| 11        | 15.92     | 209.71                |
| 12        | 20.32     | 0.00                  |

Tab. 5 The parameters determined from the experiment

| Deformed height [mm] | 21 |
|----------------------|----|
| Total deformation time [ms] | 20.3 |
| Average deceleration [mm/s^2] | 174.9 |
| Maximum deceleration [mm/s^2] | 340.8 |

6 The determination of deformation energy and velocity

The equation (1) describes the potential energy of the weight that falls from the rest position at a height of 500 mm above the specimen. The same amount of energy must be absorbed by the specimen. Here applies (2), the kinetic energy of the impact is equal to the potential energy of the lifted weight above the specimen. The equation (3) describes as determined by the velocity of the weights before impact from kinetic energy.

\[ E_p = m \cdot g \cdot h = 43.3 \cdot 9.81 \cdot 0.5 = 212 \text{ J} \] (1)

\[ E_K = \frac{1}{2} m \cdot v^2 \rightarrow v = \sqrt{\frac{2E_p}{m}} \] (2)

\[ E_K = \frac{E_{PA-FSAE}}{E_{PA-S}}, \quad m_{PA-FSIAE} = \frac{7350 \cdot 3.413}{212 \cdot 0.5043} = 118 \text{ g} \] (3)

The equation (4) describes the determination of the real FSAE impact attenuator, assuming a linear dependence of energy and weight and absorption of the required deformation energy of 7350 J [9]. It is not assumed that by increasing the deformation member of PA6 + SCF, energy and weight will increase linearly. It is only a rough calculation, whether it makes sense to solve the deformation member from PA6 + SCF.

7 The discussion of results

The height of the 21 mm specimen was deformed during the experiment. The deformed volume corresponds to approximately 3.413 g of material, while absorbing energy of 212 J. Energy absorption of 7350 J is required according to FSAE requirements. The lowest weight of the proposed aramid honeycomb impact attenuator UWB is 332 g. If we consider linear increase of energy with increasing volume, specifically weight, so with a larger impact attenuator or a plurality of basic crash elements, we would achieve a weight of approximately 118 g to absorb energy 7350 J.

The diagram in Figure 5 can be divided into three basic parts. In the first part, which lasts less than 2ms, is the initial peak. During impact tests there is always an initial peak. The initial peak is caused by the initial resistance of the material on impact. A very high peak in connection with a deformation member can have negative effects on the crew, so there is an effort to reduce it. It is possible to adjust the size of the initial peak and its duration by using the appropriate geometry.

In the second part, the speed of the ram gradually slows down over a relatively long distance. This
section lasts approximately 14ms. The slow deceleration on a longer distance is suitable for the safety of the car’s crew. In this part, the majority of the kinetic energy of the ram is also absorbed. This part of the diagram is not linear as we can observe jumps within it. These jumps can be caused by averaging several partial results but they are also caused by uneven filling in the thin-walled cones. If we intersect a straight line through this whole section we get a slightly increasing line. This is due to the fact that the specimen is conical in shape and during the deformation there is a gradual increase in the cross-sectional area. In the third part, the ram stops completely within 4ms.

8 Conclusion

The experimental tests of basic crash elements were performed, which will be used for material validation in Crash-Pam software.

It was estimated that the resulting weight of the deformation member made of PA6+SCF (ONYX) could reach to 118g, based on the measured results and using direct proportionality. It is more than twice less weight than that of the aramid deformation member.

Obviously, the increase will not be linear and other criteria, such as maximum and average deceleration, are also placed on the impact attenuators. However, this suggests some potential that there is a possibility to design a PA6 + SCF deformation member that will have the desired properties and will have a lower weight and possibly a better shape to hide at the tip of the car.

The additive technology gives us the possibility of a very complicated, hollow and thin-walled shapes, therefore a sophisticated impact attenuator will be developed based on these experiments, which could achieve better properties than the existing deformation members.
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