Case report

Rare encounter: hydrocoele of canal of Nuck in a Scottish rural hospital during the COVID-19 pandemic
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SUMMARY

We report the case of a 32-year-old woman who presented with reducible indirect inguinal hernia and a challenging constellation of symptoms, signs and radiographic findings. Surgical approach superseded conservative management when the patient's abdomen became acute, with a rising lactate and haemodynamic instability. Specifically, the presence of a fluid collection was concerning for sinister acute pathology. Our patient was re-diagnosed intraoperatively with hydrocoele of canal of Nuck. This so-called 'female hydrocoele' is an eponymous anatomical rarity in general surgery, presenting as an inguinoabdominal swelling with variable clinical profile. Hydrocoele of canal of Nuck takes origin from failure of transitory reproductive anlagen to regress, the swelling is severely painful and incarcerated inguinal hernia will be the primary differential diagnosis.

Communicating hydrocoele and indirect inguinal hernia are caused by incomplete regression of the processus vaginalis, allowing either a patent channel to communicate with the peritoneum or formation of a potential space that is morphologically encysted.

The extent to which the hydrocoele traverses the canal depends on how much of the canal remains patent. Theoretically, the hydrocoele may be contiguous from deep inguinal ring to labium majus, or manifest as a cyst with rostral and caudal boundaries anywhere along this tract. The cysts seldom exceed 3 cm in length or a diameter of 0.5 cm.

The incidence in female adults is estimated to be in the region of 400 globally. That said, true incidence remains elusive and grossly underestimated as a result of misdiagnosis and under-reporting.

CASE PRESENTATION

This 32-year-old female sports instructor presented via her general practitioner for left iliac fossa bulge associated with lower abdominal pain without radiation. She was triaged for COVID-19 by our emergency department, having nil symptoms but stratifying as COVID-19 vulnerable because of complex asthma. The abdominal pain elapsed 4 days, but was progressively worsening in frequency and sharpness and associated with nausea but not vomitus. The bulge did not increase in size during this time; bowels were opening ordinarily and there were nil urinary symptoms.

There was a history of intractable asthma and recurrent ovarian cysts which had previously required gynaecological surgical intervention. Nine years prior, right oophorectomy was performed as part of an exploratory laparotomy and proceed for torsion of large dermoid cyst of ovary. Four years ago, she underwent laparoscopic adhesiolysis for ongoing dyspareunia and infertility. Cystectomy was also carried out on the remaining ovary in the interim under private healthcare. The final gynaecological encounter to date was in 2019, in which haemorrhagic left ovarian cyst was managed conservatively and spontaneously resorbed.

On examination, the inguinal bulge was supromedial to the pubic tubercle and behaved like a reducible indirect inguinal hernia. The hernia remained in place following manual reduction and there was no peristaltic activity, nor any associated vascularity. Observations and blood tests were entirely unremarkable. Simple analgesia brought effective pain relief and the patient was given a worsening statement before discharge.

This conservative approach was justified by virtue of elective surgery precautions during the COVID-19 pandemic. National guidelines have mandated minimising high-risk aerosol generating procedures including general anaesthesia and surgery. As such, elective surgery is deferred and only emergency surgery is being performed. At this stage, the patient was stratified for home management by a UK Government COVID-19 discharge to assess model.

The patient returned by ambulance 24 hours later, this time hypotensive and feverish and now exquisitely painful in the lower abdomen. She still had no symptoms suspicious for COVID-19, she was cohorting according to local adaptations of national policy in our rural hospital’s ‘green’ (COVID-19 free) zone. Given the background of intractable asthma, cohorting also had the...
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embedded benefit of conferring her protection as a COVID-19-vulnerable patient.

There was now distension in the inguinal region, which precluded appreciation of any discrete lump.

Pain was also more severe than the day prior, being controlled initially with Entonox and then requiring 5 mg intravenous morphine. Respiratory alkalosis and a rising lactate were notable findings on arterial blood gas. She was still afebrile with nil symptoms of COVID-19 and she was not peritonitic. The ensuing workup was templated against differential diagnoses including incarcerated hernia and adnexal abnormality in view of her medical history.

INVESTIGATIONS

On day 1, ultrasound scan of the left inguinal region was convincing for inguinal hernia and negative for femoral hernia. (figure 1) Appearance was of a thin-walled cystic mass which did not change with Valsalva manoeuvre. Presence of bowel in the swelling was excluded, while the fluid component was tentatively interpreted as inflammation amidst scan limitations and clinical unfamiliarity.

When the patient represented to us 24 hours later, a negative beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) was ensured before investigation with abdominal X-ray. There were clear signs of heavy faecal loading without small bowel obstruction.

CT abdomen and pelvis ruled out adnexal anomaly but further demonstrated the unexpected finding of free fluid in the left inguinal canal. While there was nil herniation of bowel contents, the fluid collection in the sac was now concerning for possible bowel perforation and an evolving peritonitis (figures 2 and 3).

100 mL oral gastrograffin was then administered to achieve duality of therapeutic effect and diagnosis, namely bowel clearance and to interrogate the bowel for any pathology or other cause of the fluid collection. No ostensible pathology was evident.

TREATMENT

Due to clinical deterioration, informed consent was obtained for inguinal region exploration and mesh repair of the reducible left inguinal hernia.

Full personal protective equipment was donned and minimal personnel were present in theatre in accordance with joint National and Health Protection Society guidelines.12 13

Spinal and regional anaesthesia was opted for instead of general anaesthesia to mitigate against unnecessary aerosol generating procedures and in view of the patient having complex asthma.

Surgical exploration of the left inguinal region revealed the presence of a small hernial sac. Surprisingly, a cystic lesion with dark fluid and size 2×2 cm was discovered, explaining the radiological findings. Thus, an intraoperative diagnosis of hydrocele of canal of Nuck was made.

Surgical excision of the cystic hydrocele was performed. The hydrocele was easily ligated from proximal tissue planes and after total separation, high release of the canal of Nuck was performed, thereby facilitating excision of the cyst. Following herniotomy and excision of the sac, herniorrhaphy with tightening of the deep inguinal ring and hernioplasty was performed using large pore monofilament propylene mesh 10×5 cm for reinforcement of the posterior wall. Good haemostasis was achieved for the rest of the procedure.

Figure 1 Transverse Doppler ultrasound scan demonstrating an anechoic, defined tubular cystic structure with a thin septation in the left inguinal region.

Figure 2 Contrast enhanced axial CT scan demonstrating a non-enhancing fluid collection, located medially to the femoral vessels in the left inguinal canal.

Figure 3 Contrast enhanced sagittal CT reconstructed image demonstrating a non-enhancing fluid collection located in the left inguinal canal, demonstrating no soft-tissue internal component.
In both, hydrocele may develop, with mixed, communicating pattern regarding reducibility of the hernia, or whether or not it is painful.1 5 15 16 The most frequent sign of Nuck hydrocele, a communicating or non-communicating potential space is indistinguishable from inguinal hernia.11 14 Indeed, Matsumoto et al10 coined the term ‘inguinal hernia mimic’ for hydrocele of Nuck because of its changeable mass.8

Ultrasonography is the gold standard imaging for hydrocele of canal of Nuck, with benefit derived from the live nature of the imaging. The presence of bowel can also be adequately confirmed or excluded in the hernial sac. Cardinal ultrasonographic signs include a homogenous, cystic, superficial mass which does not change with Valsalva manoeuvre.15 17 18

The intraoperative experience is also subject to variation. One demonstration is that cystic hydrocele fluid content seems to differ, with Bhattacharjee and Ghosh19 and Topal et al20 having described a light or serous fluid, while Mandhan et al2 and Matsumoto et al8 had encountered dark fluid on rupture of the cyst, similar to our own case.2 7 9 19 We found clinical and radiographic findings intriguing and unfamiliar, producing a diagnostic dilemma which was only resolved intraoperatively.20

Surgical management with COVID-19 considerations

We report successful implementation of COVID-19 guidelines in a rural surgical setting. Our scenario was complex, by virtue of our rurality, the COVID-19 pandemic and lastly the patient’s rapid decline with an indeterminate surgical diagnosis.

While our patient had nil COVID-19 symptoms, we favoured peripheral and neuraxial nerve blocks in order to minimise the overall burden of aerosol generating procedures. By opting for regional and spinal anaesthesia as opposed to general anaesthesia, our anaesthesia protocol spared bag and mask ventilation, endotracheal intubation and suctioning, all of which involve aerosolization and confer greater risk of respiratory secretion exposure and viral transmission.21 22

A commissioner report by the UK government in March 2020 acknowledged COVID-19 as an ‘unprecedented challenge’ for health and social care services.24 We implemented pre-emptive measures to safeguard the well-being of acute patients, including the safe, streamlined discharge of non-emergency patients and strict conservation of high-risk procedures including surgery.25 According to the WHO’s COVID-19 readiness checklist, such an approach is strategic for relieving pressure and creating additional hospital capacity for surge demand.22 This is even more germane in a remote and rural hospital in the North of Scotland which has already experienced clusters of patients who are COVID-19 positive.

In accessory to the above, the ‘Hospital Discharge Service Requirements’ guidance was charted in tandem with Strategic Coordination Groups across the National Health Service. When our 32-year-old woman was clinically stable, our surgeon in charge entered her into ‘Pathway 1’ of the COVID-19 ‘Discharge to Assess Model’, which is a viable portal for an estimated 45% of patient influx in the UK. Here, the patient is fit for discharge with a short-term supported recovery at home. A responsible point of contact is agreed on, and the patient has a worsening statement to help facilitate further contact if needed.20 22

When our patient presented back to us with worsening condition, we promptly admitted and made the decision to operate after obtaining informed consent. The interface between conservative and emergency management is evident on day 1 versus day 2 and was justified by the guidelines. Crucially, COVID-19 guideline adherence was abided without simultaneously jeopardising patient safety.

Figure 4 Hydrocele of canal of Nuck diagram, demonstrating patent processus vaginalis with concomitant hydrocele. The position of the hydrocele varies anywhere from the superficial ring distally. Incomplete proximal and distal obliteration of the processus vaginalis will cause the hydrocele to assume an encysted morphology. Illustrated independently by AH, corresponding author.

Figure 4 Hydrocele of canal of Nuck diagram, demonstrating patent processus vaginalis with concomitant hydrocele. The position of the hydrocele varies anywhere from the superficial ring distally. Incomplete proximal and distal obliteration of the processus vaginalis will cause the hydrocele to assume an encysted morphology. Illustrated independently by AH, corresponding author.
CONCLUSION
By raising awareness of this condition, general surgeons may append hydrocele of canal of Nuck to their roster of differential diagnoses and spare their patients from overinvestigation.
Ultrasound remains the gold standard for imaging female hydroceles. The clinical profile is mutable and challenging to interpret; therefore, surgery is usually opted with the intention to repair a hernia.
Surgery simultaneously forms the definitive management of hydrocele of canal of Nuck as well as providing an intraoperative, revised diagnosis. We have provided insight into surgery during pandemics from the unique perspective of a small yet busy Scottish rural hospital. With this exceptionally rare case, we demonstrate the feasibility of implementing COVID-19 strategies for surgical management of a patient belonging to a high-risk COVID-19 vulnerable subgroup.

Learning points
► Inconsistent clinical profile and clinical unfamiliarity often preclude the preoperative diagnosis of hydrocele of canal of Nuck.
► Radiology and ultrasonography findings distinguish hydrocele of canal of Nuck from inguinal hernias.
► Surgery in pandemics: successful management of a rare surgical patient is possible with appropriate risk stratification and implementation of COVID-19 strategies.
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