Congenital and developmental cataract surgery with under corrected Intraocular lens (IOL) power implantation targeting emmetropia at 8 years of age and post-operative refractive status
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Abstract

Background: In congenital and developmental cataract primary undercorrection of intraocular lens (IOL) power is a common practice. However, long-term refractive status of these children is largely unknown. Aims and Objective: To analyse refractive status after cataract surgery with undercorrected IOL power implantation in congenital and developmental cataract. Materials and Methods: This study was descriptive, retrospective conducted for three years from 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2015. The children (> 6 months to < = 7 years of age) who underwent cataract surgery for congenital and developmental cataract with a primary IOL implantation and had reached the age of 8 years were studied. The data were collected in terms of demography, axial length, biometry, IOL implanted, hyperopic correction and postoperative refractive status at 8 years. Results: Total numbers of children operated were 181 with total eyes 288. Unilateral cases were 74 (40.88%) and bilateral 107 (59.12%). Male were 121 (66.85%) and female were 60 (33.15%) with male is to female ratio of 2:1. Right eye was involved in 152 (52.8%) and left eye 136 (47.2%). The mean axial length at the age of one year was 20.75 mm, and gradually increased as age increased which was 22.47 mm at 6 years. The mean biometry was 27.9 diopter (D) at the age of one year which gradually decreased as age increased. Of the total 288 congenital cataract operated, complete follow-up documents were available for 77 (26.74%) eyes up to 8 years which showed emmetropia achieved in 25.97%, myopia in 28.57% and hypermetropia in 45.45%. Conclusion: Primary IOL implantation with hyperopic correction is accepted practice in congenital and developmental cataract. Emmetropia can be achieved however some hyperopic or myopic refractive status at the age of 8 years is not a surprise. Myopic shift continues as the age increases. Parent awareness for early detection and surgery, optical correction and regular follow-up are essential for good outcome.
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Introduction

Congenital cataract is the most common cause of treatable childhood blindness which is responsible for 5-20% of the causes¹. Surgery for congenital visually significant cataract must be performed as early as possible to prevent irreversible amblyopia. Optical correction after surgery includes aphakic glasses, contact lenses and primary intraocular lens (IOL) implantation, each with advantages and disadvantages.
Primary IOL implantation in pediatric cataract has been the choice of surgical procedure. Initial undercorrection of IOL power is a common practice. The age at which IOL needs to be implanted is still debatable when it comes to infants and toddlers. In Nepal, National Pediatric Cataract Workshop in 2015 has developed protocol for IOL implantation after 6 months of age. As the incidence of adverse events are high in the children less than 6 months, surgeon should be cautious.

There are various studies suggesting different diopter deduction of calculated biometric power according to the age of the child and axial length of the eyes. Dahan's formula and “Enyedi’s rule of seven” are commonly used for undercorrection. The other option is full correction at the primary surgery and IOL exchange in later dates keeping in consideration for prevention of amblyopia. If undercorrected primary IOL is implanted, second surgery for IOL exchange doesn't require but long-term refractive status of these children is largely unknown.

The objective of this study was to analyse refractive status after cataract surgery with undercorrected IOL power implantation in congenital and developmental cataract.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was descriptive, retrospective conducted for three years from 1st January 2013 to 31st December 2015, in Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus department of Lumbini Eye Institute and Research Center. The approval was taken from Institutional Review Committee.

The inclusion criteria were children with congenital and developmental cataract (> 6 months to <= 7 years of age) with primary IOL implantation and had reached the age of 8 years. The exclusion criteria were traumatic cataract, complicated cataract, syndromic cataract, microphthalmic cataract. The data were collected in terms of demography, axial length, biometry, IOL implanted, hyperopic correction and postoperative refractive status at 8 years.

The data were entered in SPSS version 20 and variables were analysed as frequency and percentage.

RESULTS

Total number of children operated for congenital and developmental cataract were 181 with total eyes 288. Unilateral cases were 74 (40.88%) and bilateral 107 (59.12%). Male were 121 (66.85%) and female were 60 (33.15%) with male is to female ratio of 2:1. Right eye was involved in 152 (52.8%) and left eye 136 (47.2%).

The age of congenital cataract surgery performed showed late presentation (57.3% after 4 years of age) (Table 1).

The mean axial length at the age of one year was 20.75 mm, and gradually increased as age increased which was at 6 years 22.47 mm and at 7 years 21.93 mm (Table 2).

The Intraocular lens powers (biometry) of various ages were as shown in the Table 3. The mean biometry was 27.9 diopter (D) at the age of one year which gradually decreased as age increased.

The postoperative hyperopic correction needed after undercorrected IOL implantation were as shown in Table 4.

Of the total 288 congenital cataract operated, complete follow-up documents were available for 77 (26.74%) eyes up to 8 years which showed emmetropia achieved in 20

| Table 1: Age of congenital cataract surgery performed |
| Age (years) | Number | Percent (%) |
|-------------|--------|-------------|
| 1           | 16     | 5.6         |
| 2           | 34     | 11.8        |
| 3           | 46     | 16          |
| 4           | 27     | 9.4         |
| 5           | 53     | 18.4        |
| 6           | 67     | 23.3        |
| 7           | 45     | 15.6        |
| Total       | 288    | 100         |

| Table 2: The axial length of eyeball at various ages |
| Age (years) | Number |
|-------------|--------|
| Minimum     | Maximum | Mean | SD |
| 1           | 16     | 18.54 | 22.13 | 20.75 | 1.09 |
| 2           | 34     | 18.61 | 24.33 | 21.32 | 1.29 |
| 3           | 46     | 19.15 | 24.22 | 21.95 | 1.18 |
| 4           | 27     | 19.06 | 24.51 | 21.62 | 1.40 |
| 5           | 53     | 19.65 | 27.10 | 22.38 | 1.76 |
| 6           | 67     | 19.53 | 25.45 | 22.47 | 1.55 |
| 7           | 45     | 18.27 | 25.94 | 21.93 | 1.91 |
| Total       | 288    | 18.54 | 25.45 | 22.38 | 1.76 |

SD=standard deviation

| Table 3: Biometry of eyes at various ages |
| Age (years) | Number |
|-------------|--------|
| Minimum     | Maximum | Mean | SD |
| 1           | 16     | 25   | 34  | 27.91 | 3.02 |
| 2           | 34     | 15   | 33  | 26.38 | 3.98 |
| 3           | 46     | 12   | 33  | 24.55 | 3.93 |
| 4           | 27     | 16   | 35  | 25.11 | 4.63 |
| 5           | 53     | 10.5 | 31  | 22.53 | 4.68 |
| 6           | 67     | 14   | 33  | 22.75 | 4.83 |
| 7           | 45     | 13   | 33.5| 24.64 | 5.46 |
| Total       | 288    | 25   | 34  | 27.91 | 3.02 |
out of 77 (25.97%), myopia of < -1 D in 28.57% and hypermetropia of > 1 D in 45.45% (Table 5).

The refractive status of congenital cataract operated at various ages and reached 8 years had been shown in Table 6.

DISCUSSION

Congenital and developmental cataract in our study was bilateral in 59.12%. It was similar to study by Zhu et al.,4 (56.3%), Rahi JS et al.,5 (66%) and Prado RB et al., (65.9%). Male children were commonly affected than female (66.85%) in this study similar as in various studies.4,8 There was no preference for laterality of eyes and almost equal in either eyes (52.8% right eye).

The age of congenital cataract surgery performed showed late presentation for surgery (57.3% after 4 years of age). In various studies performed in Nepal, Shrestha et al.,4 found the presentation for surgery in children, it was above 8 years in 46%, the mean age at surgery was 6.2 years in study done by Thakur et al.,9 median age at surgery was 7 years in study done by Wilson et al.10 The late presentation for surgery might be due to lack of knowledge among people on needed early management of congenital cataract to prevent amblyopia.

The mean axial length at the age of one year was 20.75 mm, and gradually increased as age increased which was at 6 years 22.47 mm and at 7 years 21.93 mm. In 5 years (20.75 mm at 1 year and 22.47 mm at 6 years), there was 1.72 mm axial length growth which could change 5 diopter myopic shift. Myopic shift continued as the age increased.

The mean refractive power at 1 years of age was 27.9 D which went on decreasing and at the age of 6-7 years it was 22-23 D. This showed 5 D myopic shift in 5 years’ time. The myopic shift was continuing as the age increasing. It was similar to study by Enyedi et al.,11 and Khokar et al.12

The postoperative hyperopic correction needed after undercorrected IOL implantation were accordance to target in this study. After cataract surgery regular follow-up is essential for hyperopic glass prescription in children to give best corrected visual acuity and prevent amblyopia.

Of the total 288 congenital cataract operated, complete follow-up documents were available for 77 (26.74%) eyes up to 8 years. The possible cause for poor compliance might be due to lack of knowledge among parents, poverty and long travel distance needed for consultation. Among follow-up completed children, emmetropia was achieved in 25.97%. Hyperopia persisted in 45% and myopia in remaining children similar to study by Sachdeva B et al.13 After the age of 8 years some myopic shift is expected till adulthood. For hyperopic patient this will improve towards emmetropia but for myopic patients further amount of myopia will increases.

The IOL implantation in pediatric population, if performed taking in account of age, different study formulas, can give emmetropic outcome but refractive surprise can occur. This study could document the average axial length and refractive power at different ages in Nepalese children, this could be the first of this kind of study in Nepal up to our knowledge on the basis of available literature. And comparing it to other studies was limited. This study has some limitations. It was retrospective study and the follow up compliance was not good as seen in the record. There were only one-fourth follow-up completed cases and the sample was less for different ages. It suggests need of one further prospective study with good follow-up. The study has to be of the long period (at least 8 years for below one year operated case).
CONCLUSION

Primary IOL implantation with hyperopic correction is accepted practice in congenital and developmental cataract. Emmetropia can be achieved however some hyperopic or myopic refractive status at 8 years of old is not a surprise. Myopic shift continues as the age increases. Parent awareness for early detection and surgery, optical correction and regular follow up are essential for good outcome.
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