Advanced materials and technologies for supercapacitors used in energy conversion and storage: a review
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Abstract
Supercapacitors are increasingly used for energy conversion and storage systems in sustainable nanotechnologies. Graphite is a conventional electrode utilized in Li-ion-based batteries, yet its specific capacitance of 372 mA h g⁻¹ is not adequate for supercapacitor applications. Interest in supercapacitors is due to their high-energy capacity, storage for a shorter period and longer lifetime. This review compares the following materials used to fabricate supercapacitors: spinel ferrites, e.g., MFe₂O₄, MMoO₄ and MCo₂O₄ where M denotes a transition metal ion; perovskite oxides; transition metals sulfides; carbon materials; and conducting polymers. The application window of perovskite can be controlled by cations in sublattice sites. Cations increase the specific capacitance because cations possess large orbital valence electrons which grow the oxygen vacancies. Electrodes made of transition metal sulfides, e.g., ZnCo₂S₄, display a high specific capacitance of 1269 F g⁻¹, which is four times higher than those of transition metals oxides, e.g., Zn–Co ferrite, of 296 F g⁻¹. This is explained by the low charge-transfer resistance and the high ion diffusion rate of transition metals sulfides. Composites made of magnetic oxides or transition metal sulfides with conducting polymers or carbon materials have the highest capacitance activity and cyclic stability. This is attributed to oxygen and sulfur active sites which foster electrolyte penetration during cycling, and, in turn, create new active sites.
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Introduction

Rising global population and the global energy crisis has led to concerns regarding electrical energy generation and consumption. There is therefore a need for an alternative energy storage device that has a higher capacity than the current technologies. Prior to now, the storage of electrical energy has been exclusively based on batteries and capacitors. Batteries have been the most utilized and preferred candidate, owing to high energy capacity coupled with substantial power evolved. However, when substantial energy is required at high power, capacitors remain the suitable device to date. Despite their benefits, both batteries and capacitors are inadequate for storing high energy and power density required for effective consumption and performance of renewable energy systems (Najib and Erdem 2019). Inventors and innovators in the field have been encountering bottlenecks with current solutions such as short lifecycles and shelf lives associated with batteries. This was only the case until revolutionary trends brought about applications of nanotechnology in the manufacturing of electrical appliances and large storage capacity devices (Burke and Zhao 2015). Nanotechnology is an advancement in the field of technology that deals with manipulation and regulation of substances on a nanoscale measurement, employing scientific skills from a diverse biomedical and industrial approach (Soares et al. 2018). Nanoparticles, a nano-size object that has three external nanoscale dimensions is the fundamental constituent of nanotechnology, while nanomaterials are materials with interior or exterior structures on the nanoscale dimension (Anu and Saravanakumar 2017; Jeevanandam et al. 2018). Nanomaterials possess unique chemical and physical characteristics that offer advantages and promotes them as an appropriate candidate for extensive utilization in fields such as electronics (Kang et al. 2015) and supercapacitors, where the storage of energy is required (Saha et al. 2018). It is now evident that the energy storage system is an important way to offer a solution to the rising demand in world energy generation and consumption (Nocera 2009).

Supercapacitors are electrochemical energy storage devices possessing both great power density and energy density with long lifecycle and high charging/discharging (Sun et al. 2018a). These properties are the reason for high-energy storage ability exhibited by supercapacitors for technological advancement (Chen and Dai 2013). SCs have been described as a capacitor that offers high storage space, larger than other capacitors with low internal resistance, which viaducts the gap between rechargeable cells and the conventional capacitors. In addition to high power capacity and longevity, low weight, large heat range of −40 °C to 70 °C, ease to package and affordable maintenance are the main advantages supercapacitors have over other devices that stores energy (Wang et al. 2009). The components of supercapacitors are an electrolyte, two electrodes and a separator which electrically isolate the two electrodes. These electrodes represent the most essential and fundamental constituent of supercapacitors (Pope et al. 2013; Iro et al. 2016); hence, the performance of the supercapacitors largely depends on the electrochemical properties of electrodes, the voltage range and the electrolyte. Iro et al. (2016) reported that applications of supercapacitors such as the ability to compliment the power of battery usage during emergency power supplies and in electric vehicle power systems are largely dependent on its useful attributes. Wide usefulness of supercapacitors has been described in fuel cell vehicles, low-emission hybrid vehicles, electric vehicles, forklifts, power quality upgrading and load cranes (Miller and Simon 2008; Cai et al. 2016). Fabrication of supercapacitors using printing technology has utilized diverse nanomaterials such as conductive polymers, electrolytes, transition metal carbides, transition metal dichalcogenides, nitrides and hydroxides (Sun et al. 2018a).

Magnetic metal oxide nanoparticles represent an attractive type of materials among inorganic solids because they are cheap and easy to prepare in large quantities (Masala and Seshadri 2004). Among different magnetic materials, spinel ferrites and inorganic perovskite oxides have superior performance as an electrode in supercapacitor applications. The emerging evidence has revealed that spinel ferrites of different elements are currently applicable in the design of supercapacitor energy storage devices. Spinel ferrite nanomaterials possess a high energy density, durability and good capacitance retention, high power and effective long-term stability (Elkholy et al. 2017; Liang et al. 2020). Recently, manganese zinc ferrite (MnZnFe2O4) nanoneedles were successfully synthesized, with higher specific capacitance than that of MnFe2O4 and ZnFe2O4. More so, the nanoneedles fabricated were found to exhibit a high surface area, powerful long-term stability and very high colomic effective-ness, which makes it suitable for supercapacitors application (Ismail et al. 2018). Perovskite oxides are functional nanomaterials that have received great attention to potential applications, and it has been widely employed in the fabrication of anion-intercalation supercapacitors. These nanomaterials are greatly influenced by valence state of B-site element, surface area and internal resistance. More importantly, research on energy and power densities of perovskite oxides are scanty (Nan et al. 2019; Ding et al. 2017). Design of La-based perovskite with high density, wide voltage window and high energy capacity for a flexible supercapacitor application was reported in the literature (Ma et al. 2019a). Although, the transition metal oxides have relatively poor conductivity and thus poor capacitance. Therefore, an oxygen replacement with sulfur was recently performed which led to transition metal sulfides. They have been viewed as materials capable of application in the
fabrication of supercapacitors owing to their characteristics such as good electrical conductivity, high specific capacitance, electrochemical redox sites and minimal electronegativity, which led to the synthesis of ternary nanostructures like CoO$_{0.33}$Fe$_{0.67}$S$_2$ in supercapacitors application (Liu et al. 2018a). In addition, the highly flexible, lightweight asymmetric supercapacitor “graphene fibers/NiCo$_2$S$_4$” was fabricated with an extremely high value of both energy density and volumetric capacity (Cai et al. 2016). This was in search for a more durable and efficient energy storage device with high volumetric capacity, high energy density and wide voltage window. The partially substituting Co by the transition metals (i.e., Zn, Mn, Ni, and Cu) in the Co$_3$O$_4$ lattice leads to produce an inverse spinel structure, in which the external cation occupies the B-sites, while cobalt occupies both the A- and B-sites (Kim et al. 2014). This presents effective channels for ion diffusion enrichment toward charge carriers (electrons or holes) that jump into the A-site and B-site for high electrical conduction (Liu et al. 2018b). ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles show the specific capacitance values of 202, 668 and 843, 432 F g$^{-1}$ (Bhagwan et al. 2020). The electrochemical characteristics of transition metal sulfides are much better than the electrochemical properties of transmission metal oxides. This can be explained by the presence of sulfur atoms instead of oxygen atoms. Hence, the lower electronegativity of sulfur than that of oxygen facilitates electron transfer in the metal sulfide structure easier than that in the metal oxide form. Thus, replacing oxygen with sulfur, providing more flexibility for nanomaterials synthesis and fabrication (Jiang et al. 2016). Li et al. (2019a) have found that the ZnCo$_2$S$_4$ electrode displays an extraordinary specific capacitance ~1269 F g$^{-1}$, which is 4 multiplies of those for Zn–Co ferrite electrode (~296 F g$^{-1}$), due to the ZnCo$_2$S$_4$ electrode having low charge-transfer resistance, and likewise, exceptional ion diffusion rate compared with achieved from the ZnCo$_3$O$_4$ electrode.

Furthermore, graphene and carbon nanotubes are carbon-derived nanomaterials that have received great attention in their potential application as efficient electrode materials in the design of supercapacitors owing to their high mechanical properties with great specific surface area and most importantly competent electrical properties (Chen and Dai 2013). Further, other forms of carbon-nanomaterials like carbon derivatives, xerogel, carbon fiber, activated carbon and template carbon likewise been applied in the design of supercapacitors and they also serve as the supercapacitor’s electrodes. These materials possess powerful lifecycles, durable power density, lasting cycle durability and desirable columbic reliability (Yin et al. 2014). Carbon-based nanomaterials are relatively cheap, readily accessible and very common with characteristic permeability which enables easy penetration of electrolytes into the electrodes, to boost the capacitance of the supercapacitors. Besides, its huge surface area and effective conductance of electricity make them applicable in electric supercapacitors with double layer (Yang et al. 2019a; Cheng et al. 2020a). In the same context, the extraordinary specific surface area and conductivity are demanded to secure excellent capacity achievement for the electrodes. Therefore, mineral oxide, two-dimensional carbon composites and polymer composites that possess high conductivity are normally utilized in electric devices with a high display. Especially, two-dimensional carbon composites improve capacity achievement via enhancing their surface area, porosity and electric conducting. Notwithstanding this level of concern, ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ efficiency needs more promotion by morphological and chemical modifications (Kathalingam et al. 2020). Hence, the incorporation of nitrogen-doped graphene oxide and polyaniline with the ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ affects on electrochemical performance. The prepared electrode exhibited a high capacity of about 720 F g$^{-1}$ and retained ~96% from its original capacitance over 10 × 10$^3$ cycles (Kathalingam et al. 2020). Also, the fabricated ZnCo$_2$S$_4$@hydrothermal carbon spheres/Fe$_2$O$_3$@pyrolyzed polyaniline nanotubes unveiled a high capacitance about ~ 150 mA h g$^{-1}$ and retained 82% from its original capacity after 6x103 cycles and confirming huge energy density (~ 85 W h kg$^{-1}$) at a moderate power density of 460 W kg$^{-1}$ (Hekmat et al. 2020).

The conducting polymer materials are pseudo-capacitance materials with poor lifecycles when compared with carbon-based materials (Snook et al. 2010). Numerous good properties of conducting polymer materials like flexibility, conductivity, ease of synthesis, financial viability and high pseudo-capacitance conducting polymer materials such as polypyrrole, polypyrrole and polyaniline have received great attention in the potential supercapacitor application. Despite these good properties, pure conducting polymer materials exhibit poor cycling stability and lower power and energy densities (Huang et al. 2017a).

This review focuses on spinel ferrites MFe$_2$O$_4$, MMoO$_4$ and MCo$_2$O$_4$, where M denotes a transition metal ion. Additional focus areas include perovskite oxides, transition metals sulfides, carbon materials and conducting polymer materials, as materials that have been extensively and widely employed in the fabrication of supercapacitors to establish loopholes in some of these nanomaterials. This would ultimately offer guidelines on how to design better energy storage devices with a higher power, density and sufficient storage ability.

**Supercapacitor-based on spinel ferrites**

Spinel ferrites constitute metal oxide compounds of minute classes of transition metals that are originally obtained from magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$). The spinel ferrites exhibit good magnetic and electrical characteristics, which has brought about its
broad applications in high-density data storage, water remediation, drug delivery, sensors, spintronics, immunoassays using magnetic labeling, hyperthermia of cancer cells, optical limiting, magnetocaloric refrigeration and magnetic resonance imaging (Farid et al. 2017; Dar and Varshney 2017; Amirabadizadeh et al. 2017; Pour et al. 2017; Alcalá et al. 2017; Yan and Luo 2017; Sharma et al. 2017; Winder 2016; Samoila et al. 2017; Niu et al. 2017; Anupama et al. 2017; El Moussaoui et al. 2016; Patil et al. 2016; Ghafoor et al. 2016; Ashour et al. 2018; Amiri and Shokrollahi 2013; Ouaisss et al. 2015; Houshiar et al. 2014; Maksoud et al. 2020a, b; Abdel Maksoud et al. 2020a; Hassan et al. 2019; Patil et al. 2018; Žalnėravičius et al. 2018; Thiesen and Jordan 2008; Koneracká et al. 1999; Arruebo et al. 2007; Basuki et al. 2013; Gupta and Gupta 2005a, b; Jain et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2005; Abdel Maksoud et al. 2020b). Besides these applications, raising attention in energy storage research via dissemination is due to the fast-growing demand for electronic devices that are manufactured to be smaller, lighter and relatively cheaper. Therefore, an all-in-one device demands effective energy storage components which will fit into such design criteria with enhanced energy performance (Reddy et al. 2013; Zhu et al. 2015; Hao et al. 2015). The crystal structure of some oxides such as ionic oxides, specifically oxides of Fe, permits visibility of complex composition of magnetic ordering. The type of such magnetic ordering is known as ferrimagnetism. The structure of these materials has two spins (up and down), and also, the net magnetic moment of all the directions is not zero (Reitz et al. 2008). For the various neighboring sublattices, the atoms’ magnetic moments are opposed to each other, nevertheless, the opposing moments are unbalanced (O’handley 2000; Cullity and Graham 2011).

Spinel ferrites are distinguished via the nominal composition MFe$_2$O$_4$, where M denotes divalent cations possessing an ionic radius within 0.6 and 1 Å, such examples are magnesium, copper, nickel, manganese, zinc, cobalt, etc. Also, M can be substituted by any different metal ions. The ferric ions can be substituted via extra trivalent cations such as aluminum, chromium, etc. The spinel structure originates from the MgAl$_2$O$_4$ which owns a cubic structure. This crystal was first discovered by Bragg and by Nishikawa (Ashour et al. 2014).

In the spinel lattice, each cell has a cubic arrangement and comprises eight MeFe$_2$O$_4$ molecules. The large O$^{2-}$ ions produce a face-centered cubic lattice. The cubic cell has two types of interstitial sites: (1) tetrahedral sites enclosed via 4 oxygen anions (A-site), (2) octahedral sites enclosed by 6 oxygen anions (B-site) (Shah et al. 2018; Yadav et al. 2018; Kefeni et al. 2020). Figure 1 shows the tetrahedral and octahedral positions in the FCC lattice (Cullity and Graham 2011; AJMAL 2009; Vijayanand 2010; Bhave 2007; Sachdev 2006).

On the basis of the cation distribution, ferrites can be subdivided into three classes: The possible distribution of the metal ions can be represented by the general formula (Cullity and Graham 2011):

$$\left(M^{2+}_s Fe^{3+}_{1-s}\right)\left[M^{2+}_{1-s} Fe^{3+}_{s}\right]O_4$$

where $\delta$ is the degree of inversion. The ions inside the brackets () are located in tetrahedral sites, while those inside the brackets [] occupy the octahedral sites. According to this distribution, there are three categories of spinel ferrites:

1. **Normal spinel ($\delta = 1$)** the formula becomes (M$^{2+}$)[Fe$_2$]O$_4$ and the divalent metal ions are in tetrahedral sites. ZnFe$_2$O$_4$ and CdFe$_2$O$_4$ are examples for normal spinel ferrites.
2. **Inverse spinel ferrite ($\delta = 0$)** the formula becomes (Fe$^{3+}$)[M$^{2+}$Fe$_{3+}$]O$_4$. In this case, the divalent metal ions completely occupy the octahedral sites while the iron is equally divided between the tetrahedral and octahedral sites. NiFe$_2$O$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ are examples of inverse spinel ferrites.
3. **Intermediate ferrite** (0 < $\delta$ < 1) in which the M and Fe$^{3+}$ ions are distributed uniformly over the tetrahedral and octahedral sites. MnFe$_2$O$_4$ is an example of the intermediate ferrites (Cullity and Graham 2011).

For anode materials, three varieties of available charge-storage mechanisms are considered: alloying–de-alloying, intercalation–deintercalation and conversion reactions (Park et al. 2010; Zhang 2011; Kumar et al. 2004).
conversion-reaction mechanism applies to spinel ferrites as one of the oxides of transition elements. In spinel ferrites and through the initial discharging process, the crystal structure is destructed into different mineral particles, following with the production of the Li₂O form. As mineral particles promote the electrochemical action using the production/destruction of Li₂O that supplies the route for the conversion reaction mechanism (Jiang et al. 2013; Yuvaraj et al. 2016). To obtain an extraordinary power and excellent energy density Li-ion batteries, suitable electrode materials with remarkable specific capacities, cell voltages and Li-dispersion coefficients are necessary. After the effort of Poizot et al. (2000), transition metal-oxide nanoparticles have been examined as a possible electrode for Li-ion batteries. They are extraordinary electrochemical characteristics reaching 700 mA h g⁻¹ with no loss of their initial capacitance over 100 lifecycles at special rates of charging. This superior electrochemical reactivity of spinel ferrites confirmed that they attend to the developed satisfaction of such batteries.

**Spinel MFe₂O₄ where M is Co, Zn and Mn**

In the past few years, attention has shifted toward the application of spinel ferrite and their derivative composites (Shin et al. 2018; Reddy and Yun 2016). The spinel ferrite which has nominal composition MFe₂O₄, where M is magnesium, zinc, copper, manganese, nickel and cobalt, present notable discharging of capacitance up to 1000 mA h g⁻¹, which is about three orders of magnitude higher than commercial anodes made from graphite (Yuvaraj et al. 2016; Yin et al. 2013).

**Cobalt ferrite CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles**

The nanoparticles of cobalt ferrite CoFe₂O₄ are a common ferromagnetic substance. The CoFe₂O₄ has an inverse spinel structure where Co²⁺ ion species are located at the B-site and the Fe³⁺ ion species are found at both the A and B sites as in the formula (Fe³⁺) [Co²⁺Fe³⁺] O₄. Interestingly, the ferrite materials are an interlacing structure of metal ions with positive charges and divalent oxygen ions with their negative charge. CoFe₂O₄ is a likely suitable for sensing devices as well as active and passive microwave devices due to its remanence, coercivity and high resistance (Sharifi et al. 2012; Yin et al. 2006). Also, CoFe₂O₄ is cubic in structure belonging to the Fd3m space group. Further, it is an insulator (ρ ≈ 10⁵ Ωm) with saturation magnetization = 90 A m² kg⁻¹ and magnetic moment (μ = 3.7 μB). In this circumstance, millimetre-sized single crystals of CoFe₂O₄ show almost an insignificant coercive field. Moreover, at 300 K, the crystallites CoFe₂O₄ samples sized 120 and 40 nm exhibit coercive fields of about 453 and 465 Oe, respectively (Amiri and Shokrollahi 2013; Ouaissa et al. 2015; Houshiar et al. 2014). Also, CoFe₂O₄ stores Li-ions via a conversion reaction, and it theoretically possesses a unique specific capacitance (> 900 mA h g⁻¹). However, it has critical disadvantages like high volume change that affects the trituration and accumulation of the active material and high resistivity that leads to reduced cycling stability and a lowering rate capability of the CoFe₂O₄ (Lavela et al. 2009; Kumar et al. 2014). Lately, Hennous et al. (2019) have studied the ⁵⁷Fe Mossbauer spectra of CoFe₂O₄ as a function of temperature (Fig. 2). Every spectrum produces a splitting owns magnetic nature (almost 6-line) including a broadening line attributed to the aligned Fe ions via a magnetic field locating various dissimilar sites. The reverse sextets arise due to the diverse number of cobalt and iron neighbors in tetrahedral and octahedral sites. At low temperatures, the tetrahedral site has a magnetically hyperfine field (50 Tesla) and declines regularly with rising its temperature (to 40 Tesla in 227 C. While, the octahedral site has a magnetic hyperfine field bigger than its value in the other site (tetrahedral site), which declined also with arising temperature. The nanoparticles of CoFe₂O₄ can enhance the capacitance of the composite electrode and have an immeasurable electrochemical activity, which leads to the improvement in energy and power densities of a supercapacitor.

Recently, Elsman et al. (2020) have established a facile one-step pathway to synthesize CoFe₂O₄/carbon spheres nanocomposite as a novel electrode. The glucose (as a source for carbon spheres) was directly combined with CoFe₂O₄ via the solvothermal approach at specific conditions. The electrode has significantly increased the electrochemical capacitance of 600 F g⁻¹, with loss of 5.9% of its initial capacitance over 5 × 10⁴ exhibiting an energy density of 27.08 W h kg⁻¹ and a power density 750 W kg⁻¹. This can be attributed to its structure which is hierarchical shaped allowing great electrical conductance. These results showed that the prepared composite electrode has much high specific capacity with maximum retention ability. Finally, the results affirmed that the electrode is very attractive applicants for supercapacitor materials. Also, Reddy et al. (2018a) have used ZnO to increase the electrochemical properties of CoFe₂O₄. The electrochemical analyses showed that the ZnO@CoFe₂O₄ nanocomposite electrode in a 3 M KOH aqueous solution performed a large specific capacitance (4050 F g⁻¹), with an excellent energy density about 77 W h kg⁻¹. This electrode presented excellent cycling stability and retained about 91% of its specific capacitance after 1000 cycles. Besides, the electrode exhibited a specific capacitance (~ 3500 F g⁻¹) and cycling stability (~ 50%) lower than the ZnO@CFO nanocomposite electrode. These outcomes of the nanocomposite were confirmed as electrodes for subsequent generation supercapacitor.
Zinc ferrite $\text{ZnFe}_2\text{O}_4$

Zn ferrite is the common material for electrochemical applications due to its eco-friendly nature, sufficient resources, cost-effectiveness, strong redox process and extraordinary theoretical capacity of 2600 F g$^{-1}$ (Vadiyar et al. 2015, 2016a; Raut and Sankapal 2016; Zhang et al. 2018a). However, its lower conductivity, volume fluctuations during charge and discharge rhythm and low cycling stability cycles make it unsuitable for efficient supercapacitors. To defeat those disadvantages, the conducting polymers or conducting materials were added to the Zn ferrite to enhance the electronic conductivity and to improve the cycling stability (Yang et al. 2018; Qiao et al. 2018). Israr et al. (2020) have synthesized a nanocomposite series of Zn ferrite/nano-platelets of graphene. The cyclic voltammetry curves for the as-synthesized electrode are displayed in Fig. 3. The figure shows that the curve shape is kept fixed for electrode even at higher scan rates, meaning its higher rate ability. It is worth to mention that the conducting network of graphene created within the formation of the nanocomposite is the main reason for this higher specific capacity and great rate ability. The high conductance of nanoplatelets of graphene within the nanocomposite structure makes efficient transport of charge as well as develops the electrode’s capability rate. The synthesized nanocomposites can be applied as electrochemical capacitors with an excellent capacitance of 314 F g$^{-1}$, great performance rate and lost about 22.6% of its initial capacitance.

In the same context, Yao et al. (2017) have successfully synthesized carbon-coated Zn ferrite/graphene composite by a general multistep strategy. During the anodic process, one broad peak rises at ~1.50–2.10 V, representing the oxidation of the base zinc ions (Zn$^0$ to Zn$^{2+}$) and iron ions, i.e., Fe$^0$ to Fe$^{3+}$. The electrochemical analyses confirm that electrode offers a discharge capacity (initial) with a value of 1235 mA h g$^{-1}$ and loss about 465 mA h g$^{-1}$ over 150 cycles with a high value of capacity and good cycling performance. The microstructural stability and the very low accumulation of hierarchical spheres of electrode are the most common reasons for allowing appropriate transportation of the ion/electrons leading to this enhanced electrochemical achievement. The electrochemical results are influenced by carbon layer novel architectures (~3–6 nm) and graphene nanosheets with ultrathin thickness. The studied electrode can be applied in Li-ion batteries as a high-performance alternative anode.
Manganese ferrite $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4$

Spinel $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4$ is characterized by rapid valence-state response-ability, high electrochemical activity along with it is a cheap, readily available and eco-friendly material. Therefore, spinel Mn ferrite NPs has been lately examined as proper electrodes for batteries based on lithium and sodium ions, batteries of metal-air and SCs (Xiao et al. 2013; Sankar and Selvan 2014, 2015; Lin and Wu 2011). But, the Mn ferrite has reduced both rate capability and cycling stability due to the inferior electrical conductivity and the serious effect of ion insertion/deinsertion performance during the charging/discharging process (Cheng et al. 2011; Guan et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2014a). Because of the integrated advantages of the quantum dot, it can be assumed that when the size of spinel Mn ferrite decreased into the quantum scale, the available surface area and the electrochemically active sites will greatly be developed in addition to rapid surface-controlled pseudo-capacitance behavior with reduction in the ion carrying route (Su et al. 2018). Besides, the electrode has an excellent performance rate owing to the integration between the great capacitance and extraordinary cycling stability. Su et al. (2018) have demonstrated the successful preparation of Mn ferrite@Nitrogen-doped graphene via the solvothermal method. The prepared electrode displays an extraordinary capacity of about $\sim 517 \text{ F g}^{-1}$. Furthermore, carbon encapsulation is promising for the development for rate and cycling achievement, providing a satisfying capacitance ($\sim 150 \text{ F g}^{-1}$) as well as an excellent lifecycle up to $65 \times 10^3$ cycles. These conclusions make the prepared materials are proper electrodes for energy storage applications.

The influence of electrolyte types on the electrochemical performance of Mn ferrite was evaluated. Vignesh et al. (2018) have documented a facile synthesis of Mn ferrite by co-precipitation technique. The electrochemical analysis of Mn ferrite was examined with various types of electrolytes, such as potassium hydroxide, lithium phosphate and lithium nitrate (Fig. 4). The highest capacity of $173 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ via using potassium hydroxide, $31 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ via using lithium nitrate and $430 \text{ F g}^{-1}$ via using lithium phosphate were achieved.

Between these electrolytes, the potassium hydroxide electrolyte showed loss about 40% from its original capacitance with highest performance rate due to high accessibility of surface, synergistic activities and improved

![Fig. 3](ZFO)\_{1-x}(\text{GNPs})\_x$\text{ electrodes CV curves, where ZFO is refer to Zn ferrite and GNPs refer to nanoplatelets of graphene. Adapted with permission from Israr et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier}
electronic conductivity of Mn-ferrite. Besides, the synthesis of symmetric cells via Mn-ferrite as an electrode material with potassium hydroxide as an electrolyte, respectively. It is illustrated that the results achieved a high capacity of 173 F g⁻¹ via using potassium hydroxide, 31 F g⁻¹ via using lithium nitrate and 430 F g⁻¹ via using lithium phosphate. Adapted with permission from Vignesh et al. (2018), Copyright 2018, Elsevier

**Fig. 4** Cyclic voltammetry profile and specific capacitance as a function of the current density of MnFe₂O₄ electrode materials in aqueous KOH (a–c), lithium nitrate (d–f), lithium phosphate (g–i) as electrolytes, respectively. It is illustrated that the results achieved a high capacity of 173 F g⁻¹ via using potassium hydroxide, 31 F g⁻¹ via using lithium nitrate and 430 F g⁻¹ via using lithium phosphate. Adapted with permission from Vignesh et al. (2018), Copyright 2018, Elsevier

Spinel metal molybdates

The binary metal molybdates (NiMoO₄, CoMoO₄, FeMoO₄, etc.) have gained significant interest in the energy-related research area (compared to metal oxides, hydroxides and sulfides). This is due to their low cost, environmental friendliness, abundant resources, suitable electrical, electrochemical and mechanical properties for high capacity supercapacitors (Zhang et al. 2019a; Huang et al. 2016a). Lately, researchers have focused on the improvement in metal molybdates as electrode materials for supercapacitor applications.

Nickel molybdate NiMoO₄

The nickel molybdate NiMoO₄ has gained significant attention in recent years as a proper electrode material for supercapacitor, due to its inexpensive cost, unlimited sources,
great redox activity, well-defined redox performance and eco-friendly compatibility (Guo et al. 2014; Yin et al. 2015a). The nickel molybdate has many crystals’ shapes, and this depends upon the synthesizing technique and temperature of the annealing process as illustrated in Fig. 5 (Kumar et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2013a; Chen et al. 2015; Hussain et al. 2020).

The specific capacitance and better cycling stability of nickel molybdate are dependent on the crystals’ shapes. Ajay et al. (2015) observed that the two-dimensional nickel molybdate like-nanoflakes synthesized via rapid microwave-assisted achieved 1739 F g⁻¹ of specific capacitance at 1 mV s⁻¹ of scan rates. While, Huang et al. (2015a) found that three-dimensional form interconnected nickel molybdate like-nanoplate arrays show a specific capacitance as high as 2138 F g⁻¹ at a current density of 2 mA cm⁻², and an outstanding cyclability where lost 13% of its original capacity over 3 x 10³ cycles. Also, Cai et al. (2013), have synthesized nickel molybdate nanospheres and nanorods via simple hydrothermal techniques. The nickel molybdate nanospheres displayed a higher value of specific capacitance and good both stability of its lifecycle and rate capability than nickel molybdate nanorods. This behavior may be due to their massive surface area and good electrical conductivity. Nickel molybdate nanospheres displayed ~ 974 F g⁻¹ of specific capacitances while it was ~ 945 F g⁻¹ for nanoparticles. In another study, Cai et al. (2014a) observed that the mesoporous nickel molybdate like-nanosheets displayed a higher specific capacitance and cycling stability than nickel molybdate like-nanowires.

Notwithstanding these benefits, nickel molybdate as metal oxides materials undergoes lower cyclic stability attributed

---

**Fig. 5** Nickel molybdate has many crystals’ shapes, and this depends upon the synthesizing technique and temperature of the annealing process. a–d SEM images of a nanoflower, adapted with permission from Kumar et al. (2020). Copyright 2020, Royal Society of Chemistry, b nanorods, adapted with permission from Liu et al. (2013a), Copyright 2013, Royal Society of Chemistry, c nanowire, adapted with permission from Chen et al. (2015), Copyright 2015, Elsevier, d nanogravel, adapted with permission from Hussain et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier; e the crystal structure, adapted with permission from Huang et al. (2018a), Copyright 2018, Royal Society of Chemistry; f, g EDX spectra and elemental mapping images, adapted along with permission from Kumar et al. (2020) Copyright in 2020, Royal Society of Chemistry, for nickel molybdate ferrite.
to structural degradation induced via the hard-redox reactions. Furthermore, the breakdown of the nanostructure produced via the high volume change, particle agglomeration and variable solid electrolyte interface creates an extreme reduction in capacity (Budhiraju et al. 2017). To defeat the above-mentioned defects, the synthesizing of electrode materials via coating very conductive materials onto active materials has shown to be sufficient (Wang et al. 2017a). To date, conductive polymers, owing to their excellent electrical conductivity, plasticity and simple fabrication display effective properties when working as electrode materials (Huang et al. 2016b). Yi et al. (2020) reported a rational study and the structure of Ni-oxide@nickel molybdate like-porous sphere coated with polypyrrole. The outcomes reveal that the shell of nickel molybdate and polypyrrole with high electronic conductivity reduces the charge-transfer reaction resistance of Ni-oxide and then increases the electrochemical kinetics of Ni-oxide. The initial capacitance of Ni-oxide/nickel molybdate/polypyrrole is 941.6 $\text{F g}^{-1}$ at 20 $\text{A g}^{-1}$. Particularly, the electrode holds capacitance of 850.2 $\text{F g}^{-1}$ and remains 655.2 $\text{F g}^{-1}$ with high retention of 77.1% at 30 $\text{A g}^{-1}$ even after 30,000 cycles.

**Cobalt molybdate CoMoO$_4$ nanoparticles**

Cobalt molybdate CoMoO$_4$ has many advantages like nickel molybdate, such as cost-effectiveness, eco-friendliness and high electrochemical performance (Mai et al. 2011a). The considerable stability of one-dimensional form CoMoO$_4$ like-nanorods structure exhibited exceptional stability with high specific capacitance (Liu et al. 2013b). The synthesized CoMoO$_4$ by a simple sonochemical technique gave electrochemical performance and capacity of $\approx 133$ $\text{F g}^{-1}$ at 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ of current density (Veerasubramani et al. 2014). Furthermore, the CoMoO$_4$ like-nanoplate arrays produced a maximum capacity of 227 $\mu\text{A h cm}^{-2}$ at 2.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ and showed superior cyclic stability and energy density in the operating voltage window of 1.5 V (Veerasubramani et al. 2016). Nevertheless, metal oxides nature has a short diffusion distance of electrolytes that resulted in lower electrical conductivity and restricted their application as electrodes for pseudocapacitors. High surface area and electrical conductivity of graphene material enable it to be used as an electrode for supercapacitor (Sun et al. 2011). Nevertheless, graphene supercapacitors have low energy density and restrict its usage in several significant applications. The obtained CoMoO$_4$@graphene composites possessed high electroactive areas which could promote accessible accession of OH$^-$ ions and quick charge carriers (Xia et al. 2013). Jinlong et al. (2017) have reported the synthesizing of CoMoO$_4$@reduced graphene-oxide nanocomposites via the hydrothermal method. The electrode nanocomposites electrode showed a remarkable capacity about of $\approx 856$ $\text{F g}^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ and retain about 94.5% of its original capacitance over 2000 cycles. The electrode nanocomposites presented high electrochemical conductivity compared to pristine CoMoO$_4$. This improvement is attributed to the obtained composites that had a greater specific surface area and average pore size than the pristine for nanoparticles of CoMoO$_4$. The CoMoO$_4$ like-nanoflake promoted electrolyte transport through the charging/discharging process and presented numerous active sites available for electrochemical reactions. The synergetic effect between reduced graphene-oxide and CoMoO$_4$ also increased the performance of the supercapacitor.

**Iron(II) molybdate FeMoO$_4$**

Iron(II) molybdate FeMoO$_4$ is a part of the several notable mineral molybdates and assumed to give higher redox chemistry attributed to the mixed combinations of both Fe and Mo cations. To the day, Iron(II) molybdate widely utilized as promising electrode toward Li-ion batteries (Wang et al. 2014b). Wang et al. (2014b) have reported the doping of Iron(II) molybdate with graphene via a simple hydrothermal. The results confirmed that the Iron(II) molybdate/reduced graphene-oxide composite possesses specific capacitance 135 $\text{F g}^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ larger than those obtained of Iron(II) molybdate 96 $\text{F g}^{-1}$ or reduced graphene-oxide 66 $\text{F g}^{-1}$. Furthermore, the capacitance of the composite decayed gradually and reached 29.6% loss after 500 cycles. Recently, Nam et al. (2020) have successfully synthesized FMO nanosheet via the chemical bath deposition procedure. The outcomes demonstrate that the FMO electrode is highly proper in the supercapacitor application. The Iron(II) molybdate electrode shows excellent electrochemical achievements with specific capacity of about 158 $\text{mA h g}^{-1}$ at 2 A g$^{-1}$, and 9% loss of its original capacitance over $4 \times 10^3$ cycle.

**Sphene cobaltites**

Until now, significant research has been conducted and led to the promotion of sphene cobalt oxide Co$_3$O$_4$ because of its cost-effective components, original plenty, excellent redox ability and extraordinary theoretical specific capacitance (Zhai et al. 2017). Nevertheless, due to the high electrical resistivity as a result of its semiconducting nature, the electrochemical achievements of most published Co$_3$O$_4$ electrodes are still far from expectations, with restricted specific capacitances and moderate power densities (Lu et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2015a). Hence, considerable effort is being focused on offering more eco-friendly and moderately affordable alternative metals to partially substitute Co for making ternary sphene cobaltites, to collaboratively give excellent reversible capacities, preferred electrical conductivity and interesting redox chemistry (Liu et al. 2016a; Hui...
et al. 2016). Intrinsically, \( \text{Co}_2\text{O}_4 \) is characterized as a normal spinel structure, in which the Co\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{3+}\) ions occupy the A-site and B-site, respectively (Gao et al. 2016a). The partially substituting Co by the transition metals (i.e., Zn, Mn, Ni, and Cu) in the \( \text{Co}_2\text{O}_4 \) lattice leads to produce an inverse spinel structure, in which the external cation occupies the B-sites, while Co occupies both the A- and B-sites (Kim et al. 2014). This presents effective channels for ion diffusion enrichment toward charge carriers (electrons or holes) that jump into the A-site and B-site for high electrical conduction (Liu et al. 2018b).

**Nickel cobaltite (\( \text{NiCo}_2\text{O}_4 \))**

\( \text{NiCo}_2\text{O}_4 \) as a mineral oxide represents a proper candidate used in the energy storage area owing to a high special capacity, extraordinary electric conduction and excellent stability (Xu et al. 2018a; Yuan et al. 2020). The nanoparticles of nickel-cobaltite were initially published as an exceptional display electrode candidate for electrochemical capacitors (Wei et al. 2010). Consequently, several nickel-cobaltite structures with various morphologies exhibited increased capacitive achievements as opposed to the bulk structure. Searches on Web of Science have revealed that about 1000 articles related to the application of nickel-cobaltite materials for electrochemical capacitors have been published to date. The composites of the nanoparticles of nickel-cobaltite originated on a substrate owns conduction nature is utilized in capacitors applications. Current research has confirmed that the incorporation of different elements upon the nanoparticles of nickel-cobaltite leading to achieving the excellent capacity and durability of the nanoparticles of nickel-cobaltite (Lin and Lin 2017). This performance-enhanced electrochemical property of the nanoparticles of nickel-cobaltite because attributing to production more transportation channels to easy charges motion leading to improve its electric conduction (Cheng et al. 2020b).

The nanoparticles of the spinel nickel-cobaltite own inverse structure where Ni\(^{2+}\) cations settle the B-sites and Co\(^{2+}\) ions settle the B- and A-sites equally. The nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite, a semiconductor (p-type) owns narrow bandgap (~ 2.1 eV) with suitable electric conduction. Spinel nickel-cobaltite has excited many researchers due to its promising cost-effectiveness and eco-friendliness properties compared with other metals oxides materials. The basic reactions can be displayed as the next equations (Cheng et al. 2020b):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NiCo}_2\text{O}_4 + \text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightleftharpoons \text{NiOOH} + 2\text{CoOOH} + e^- \\
2\text{CoOOH} + \text{OH}^- & \rightleftharpoons \text{Co}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- 
\end{align*}
\]

Through the charge–discharge cycle, the redox reactions only appear on the surface of the electrode materials. It was observed that the specific capacitance of the spinel nickel-cobaltite improved after many hundreds of cycles to a limit range, owing to its exceptional morphologies and the activation process of the electrode (Cheng et al. 2020b).

Yang et al. (2019b) have synthesized the nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite with nanoneedle morphology via the hydrothermal technique. The nanoneedle of spinel nickel-cobaltite changed to nanoflake morphology via a template on the surface of a self-assembly graphene oxide/multiwall carbon nanotube. The template/substrate worked as a seed layer to promote the production of nucleation sites to facilitate the nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite to build on the surface of the template/substrate, through promoting the nanoneedle-like array morphology. The electrode composite showed extraordinary specific capacitance of 1525 \( \text{F g}^{-1} \) at 1 \( \text{A g}^{-1} \) and 1081 \( \text{F g}^{-1} \) at 100 \( \text{A g}^{-1} \), respectively. The prepared composite electrodes were utilized as both the anode and cathode, the supercapacitor showed the highest power density and maximum energy density of 5151 \( \text{W kg}^{-1} \) and 25.2 \( \text{W h kg}^{-1} \), respectively. Besides, is displayed superior cycling stability, where lost 0.4% only of the primary capacitance over \( 7 \times 10^3 \) cycle thus affirming its suite for supercapacitor applications.

Both the nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite and Mn\(_2\)O\(_4\) have an edge owing to their characteristic abundance in nature, high theoretical capacitance and cost-effectiveness (Yuan et al. 2017). Xu et al. (2018a) first published that the hierarchical nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite@manganese dioxide core–shell nanowire arrays showed exceptional characteristics for electrochemical capacitors. The excellent performance was associated with the significant core–shell form and the synergistic impacts of the mixed enrichment from the porous nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite core and the thin manganese dioxide shell. Also, Zhang et al. (2016a) utilized galvanostatic electrodeposition to attach manganese dioxide nanoflakes on a two-dimensional form of the nanoparticles of spinel nickel-cobaltite structures on the steel mesh outside. The studied electrode offers a specific capacitance with a value of 914 \( \text{F g}^{-1} \) at 0.5 \( \text{A g}^{-1} \) along with after 3000 cycles has a loss of 12.9%.

**Zinc cobaltite \( \text{ZnCo}_2\text{O}_4 \)**

Spinel-type \( \text{ZnCo}_2\text{O}_4 \) is one of the spinel transition oxide group and characteristic cobaltite with Zn\(^{2+}\) ions locating the A-sites of spinel \( \text{Co}_2\text{O}_4 \) (Wu et al. 2015a). The eco-friendly, low-priced and abundant Zn, Co atoms show the high electrochemical activities; therefore, it is strongly applied in energy storage applications. Zhou et al. reported one-dimensional from the spinel-type \( \text{ZnCo}_2\text{O}_4 \) porous nanotubes which exhibit an extraordinary specific capacitance of 770 \( \text{F g}^{-1} \) at 10 \( \text{A g}^{-1} \) (Zhou et al. 2014). Also, Venkatachalam et al. (2017) used a hydrothermal technique to
prepare the spinel-type ZnCo$_2$O$_4$ like-hexagonal nanostructured, showing 845.7 F g$^{-1}$ at a current density of 1 A g$^{-1}$. Finally, Kathalingam et al. (2020) prepared the spinel-type ZnCo$_2$O$_4$@Nitrogen-doped-graphene oxide/polyaniline hybrid nanocomposite via a hydrothermal approach. The highest specific capacitance was 720 F g$^{-1}$ at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ and 96.4% capacity retention after $10^4$ cycles were achieved. This enhanced performance for the composite electrode was ascribed to the improvements from reinforced material porosity characteristics.

The underlying mechanism of this action influenced by various cation substitutions (Mn, Ni, and Cu) has been discussed (Fig. 6). Liu et al. (2018b) presented a systematic examination to clarify the impact of metals replacement on the pseudocapacitive performance of spinel Co$_3$O$_4$. The replacement of Co by transition metals in the Co$_3$O$_4$ lattice can concurrently increase charge transference and ion dispersion, that way showing improved electrochemical properties. The MnCo$_2$O$_4$ gives magnificent specific capacitance about ($\sim$ 2145 F g$^{-1}$) at 1 A g$^{-1}$. Also, more than 92% of its primary capacitance is kept after $5 \times 10^3$ cycles. Besides, the MnCo$_2$O$_4$/activated carbon electrode produces an exceptional energy density ($\sim$56 W h kg$^{-1}$) at a power density of about 800 W kg$^{-1}$.

**Inorganic perovskite-type oxides**

The inorganic perovskite-type oxides show special physicochemical characteristics in ferroelectricity (Pontes et al. 2017; Rana et al. 2020; Cao et al. 2017), piezoelectricity (Perumal et al. 2019; Vu et al. 2015; Xie et al. 2019), dielectric (Arshad et al. 2020; Zhou et al. 2019; Boudad et al. 2019), ferromagnetism (Yakout et al. 2019; Ravi and

![Fig. 6](https://example.com/fig6.jpg) This figure exhibits that the MCo$_2$O$_4$ nanowires are completely segregated with the symmetrical arrangement, which could be useful to the ions transport to redox-active positions, then probably enhancing the electrochemical features. The images of the field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) of a, d, g MnCo$_2$O$_4$, b, e, h NiCo$_2$O$_4$, and c, f, i CuCo$_2$O$_4$ nanowires at different magnifications. Adapted with permission from Liu et al. (2018b). Copyright 2018, Royal Society of chemistry
and A is larger. It was assumed that the unit cell of CaTiO₃ could be interpreted by Ca²⁺ ions at the corners of a cube, with Ti⁴⁺ ions at the body center and O²⁻ ions at the center of the faces (Schaak and Mallouk 2002).

To illustrate the correlation between the A, B, and O ions, the typical ABO₃ perovskite possesses a cubic crystal structure with tolerance factor (\( \tau \)) = 1, which is represented as 
\[
\tau = (r_A + r_O) / \sqrt{2} (r_B + r_O),
\]
where \( r_A \), \( r_B \) and \( r_O \) are the ionic radii of A, B and oxygen elements, respectively. Goldschmidt has revealed that the cubic perovskite structure is stable only in tolerance factor a close range of 0.8 < \( \tau \) < 0.9, and a slightly larger range for distorted perovskite structures with orthorhombic or rhombohedral symmetry. The replacement of multiple cations into the A- or B-sites can change the symmetry of the pristine structure and, consequently, the physical and chemical properties (Zhang et al. 2016c). These changes in symmetry can be fulfilled over relatively little disfigurement in the crystal structure. This is evident in compounds that have smaller and larger values, leading to tilting of the BO₆ octahedral to permeate space. For orthorhombic structures, the tilting is about the b and c axes and for rhombohedral structures, the tilting is about each axis. This tilting brings the decrease in coordination number for A, B or both ions. In addition to tilting, displacement of cations can also lead to structural distortion.

The structure of rare-earth manganites RMnO₃ perovskite (R = rare earth element) is widely affected via the internal structural distortions existing in the compound (Chen et al. 2007; Dabrowski et al. 2005). The structure is formed by inter-connected MnO₆ octahedra in rare-earth. Usually, the lattice of perovskite lattice has distorted due to (1) octahedral tilting and/or (2) Jahn–Teller deformation (Siwach et al. 2008). Nandy et al. (2017) reported the influence of Na⁺ substituting on internal lattice deformation of EuMnO₃. The common atomic order of Euₓ₋ₓNaₓMnO₃ samples is presented in Fig. 7. It is obvious that 6 atoms of oxygen settle in face-centered of the cubic and 1 manganese atom settle body-centered of the cubic outlines the MnO₆ octahedra; finally, the corners were occupied via both of europium and sodium atoms. The lattice is exposed to deformations via the octahedra MnO₆ tilting and Jahn–Teller effect. The possibility for various replacements at the site of the cations is the principal feature of perovskites, which results in the appearance of great groups of compounds with different cations in B site (AB₁₋ₓO₃); with various cations in A site (Aₓ₁₋ₓBO₃); and with replacements in both cation position (Aₓ₁₋ₓBₓ₂₋ₓO₃) (Assirey 2019).

The phases of perovskite oxides have been classified into 2 categories (Assirey 2019):

I. The ternary perovskite-type oxides are divided into A¹⁺B⁵⁺O₃, A²⁺B⁴⁺O₃, A³⁺B³⁺O₃ types and oxygen- and cation-deficient phases. The oxygen and cation-deficient phases will be regarded as those which include large vacancies and not phases which are only slightly non-stoichiometric. Several of these hold B ions of one element in two valence states and should not be confused with the complex perovskite compounds which contain different elements in various valence states (Assirey 2019; Pan and Zhu 2016; Galasso 2013).

II. The complex perovskite-type compounds \( A(B'B''_y)_3 \) will be classified into four compounds which contain (Galasso 2013; Modeshia and Walton 2010):

(a) Compounds possess twice as much lower valence state elements as higher valence state elements, \( A(B'_{0.67}B''_{0.33})O_3 \).
(b) Compounds possess twice as much higher valence state elements as lower valence state elements, \( A(B'_{0.33}B''_{0.67})O_3 \).
Fig. 7 a, b MnO₆ tilting arrangement of atoms and combining e angles between asymmetrical bond Eu₁−O−Na₂MnO₃ samples, it is obvious that 6 atoms of oxygen settle in face-centered of the cubic and 1 manganese atom settle body-centered of the cubic outlines the MnO₆ octahedra, finally the corners were occupied via both of europium and sodium atoms. Adapted with permission from Nandy et al. (2017). Copyright 2017, Elsevier

(c) Compounds possess equal proportions of the two B elements, A(B′₅₅B″₅₃)O₆.
(d) Compounds with oxygen-deficient phases, A(B′B₅)O₆−v.

Potassium niobate (KNbO₃) presents various crystal arrangements depending on temperature, as compiled in Fig. 8. Above its curie temperature T_C = 708 K, it loses its ferroelectric properties and becomes cubic. While, below its curie temperature, it exhibits tetragonal, orthorhombic and then rhombohedral lattice with a reduction in temperature (Grabowska 2016; Zhang et al. 2013a, 2016c; Hirel et al. 2015).

KNbO₃ in orthorhombic phase has lattice parameters: a = 3.973, b = 5.693, and c = 5.721 Å belongs space group Amm2, cubic phase KNbO₃ has lattice parameter of a = 4.022 Å with space group (Pm3m), while KNbO₃ tetragonal phase belongs to space group (P4mm) (Magrez et al. 2006).

As a promising and crucial device for energy storage/conversion, supercapacitors have gained interest and wide appeal owing to its fast charge and discharge cycle, long-lasting lifecycle, high power density and safe operation (Lang et al. 2017). Investigating unique electrode materials, particularly coating electrodes with conductive matter is one of the most impactful ideas to enhance conductivity. It was not until 2014 before studies on perovskites as anodes for supercapacitors emanated when Mefford et al. (2014) examined the electrochemical properties LaMnO₃ for the impact of cation substitution on perovskite supercapacitors was insufficient. Thus, in the next section, the impact of cation substitution on perovskite supercapacitors, and consequently, the changes in their electrochemical performance was reviewed.

Influence of cation substitution in A-site of perovskite oxides

Ma et al. (2020) have examined the influence of A-site substitution of LaMnO₃ perovskite via calcium ions (Ca²⁺) or strontium (Sr²⁺). The La₀.₈₅Ca₀.₁₅MnO₃ and La₀.₈₅Sr₀.₁₅MnO₃ samples are synthesized via the sol–gel method. Schematic diagrams of the oxygen intercalation process in the phases of the crystal structure (orthorhombic/rhombohedral) of the studies samples are offered in Fig. 9. The relation between the oxygen octahedron deformation and Jahn–Teller impact as illustrated above as Mefford detailed, R1 has illustrated the oxidation pathway of (Mn²⁺) to (Mn³⁺). One of O_vacancy is fulfilled by O²⁻ intercalation, collectively with 2 ions of Mn²⁺ oxidized to Mn³⁺ as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{La}_{0.₈₅}\text{A}_{0.₁₅}\left[\text{Mn}_{2.₃}^{²⁺}\text{Mn}_{0.₇}^{³⁺}\right]\text{O}_{2.₉₂₅+δ} ± 2δ\text{O}²⁻ + 2δ\text{H}²⁺ \leftrightarrow \text{La}_{0.₈₅}\text{A}_{0.₁₅}\text{Mn}^{³⁺}\text{O}_{2.₉₂₅} + 2δ\text{e}⁻ + δ\text{H}_₂\text{O}$$  (1)

Nevertheless, the variation is that the La₀.₈₅A₀.₁₅Mn³⁺O₂.₉₂₅ is yet shown as an oxygen-deficient when every of the Mn²⁺ are oxidized to Mn³⁺. Therefore, the following step which expects the oxidation process of Mn³⁺ to Mn⁴⁺ as shown in the next equation:

$$\text{La}_{0.₈₅}\text{A}_{0.₁₅}\text{Mn}^{³⁺}\text{O}_{2.₉₂₅} + 2δ\text{H}²⁺ \leftrightarrow \text{La}_{0.₈₅}\text{A}_{0.₁₅}\left[\text{Mn}_{2.₃}^{²⁺}\text{Mn}_{1.₇}^{³⁺}\right]\text{O}_{2.₉₂₅+δ} + 2δ\text{e}⁻ + δ\text{H}_₂\text{O}$$  (2)

Ma et al. (2020) have examined the influence of A-site substitution of LaMnO₃ perovskite via calcium ions (Ca²⁺) or strontium (Sr²⁺). The La₀.₈₅Ca₀.₁₅MnO₃ and La₀.₈₅Sr₀.₁₅MnO₃ samples are synthesized via the sol–gel method. Schematic diagrams of the oxygen intercalation process in the phases of the crystal structure (orthorhombic/rhombohedral) of the studies samples are offered in Fig. 9. The relation between the oxygen octahedron deformation and Jahn–Teller impact as illustrated above as Mefford detailed, R1 has illustrated the oxidation pathway of (Mn²⁺) to (Mn³⁺). One of O_vacancy is fulfilled by O²⁻ intercalation, collectively with 2 ions of Mn²⁺ oxidized to Mn³⁺ as shown in the following equation:
The last step is classified into 2 steps. At $\delta = 0.075$, it occurs through $O^{2-}$ that continuously arrested to fulfill the residual $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ and the ions of $Mn^{3+}$ are transformed into ions of $Mn^{4+}$ (R2-1 in Fig. 9). The $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ completely diffuses to the surface of the material, $La_{0.85}A_{0.15}Mn_{3+}^{4+}Mn_{0.85}^{3+}$ is formed. Then, the second step occurs, the $Mn^{3+}$ ions are more transformed to $Mn^{4+}$, appearing in the oxygen over abundance $La_{0.85}A_{0.15}[Mn_{2.925+\delta}^{4+}Mn_{1-2.925+\delta}^{3+}]O_{2.925+\delta}$ product (R2-2 in Fig. 9).

Therefore, $La_{0.85}Ca_{0.15}MnO_3$ and $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO_3$ samples with higher essential $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ display excellent capacitance features than $LaMnO_3$ and store more energy by the $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ tailored redox pseudocapacitance. The capacitances achieved are ~33.0 mF cm$^{-2}$, 129.0 mF cm$^{-2}$, and 140.5 mF cm$^{-2}$ for $LaMnO_3$, $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO_3$, and $La_{0.85}Ca_{0.15}MnO_3$, respectively. The $La_{0.85}Ca_{0.15}MnO_3$ electrode produces the most exceptional capacitance behavior due to the lower value of ion dispersion impedance, the most distinguished concentricity of $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ and the sufficient exploitation of the perovskite bulk structure.

Fig. 8 Crystal structures of cubic, orthorhombic and tetragonal and rhombohedral $KNbO_3$. Green spheres represent Nb, red spheres represent oxygen and purple spheres represent K. Adapted with permission from Hirel et al. (2015)

Fig. 9 a $La_{0.85}Ca_{0.15}MnO_3$; b $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO_3$ compositions: the structures of crystal and the oxygen intercalation pathways of A-site replacement, the $La_{0.85}Ca_{0.15}MnO_3$ and $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}MnO_3$ samples with higher essential $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ display excellent capacitance features than $LaMnO_3$ and store more energy by the $O_{\text{vacancy}}$. Adapted with permission from Ma et al. (2020). Copyright 2020, Elsevier
Also, Mo et al. (2018) have prepared Ca-doped perovskite lanthanum manganite via the sol–gel technique. Between fabricated samples, $La_{0.7}Ca_{0.3}MnO_3$ exhibited low essential resistance of 2.13 $\Omega$ cm$^2$ and an extraordinary specific surface area of 23.0 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The highest specific capacitance achieved was 170 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, $La_{1-x}Ca_{x}MnO_3$ met serious elements leaching, resulting in small cycling stabilities and thereby restricting their applications as electrode materials of supercapacitors. Therefore, Ca-doped lanthanum manganite samples were not attractive applicants for supercapacitor applications. Overall, developments in electrochemical performances of manganite electrodes need different effective techniques to prevent cations leaching in Ca-doped perovskite lanthanum manganite. Wang et al. (2019b) have fabricated nanofibers of $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}FeO_3$ oxides via combining electrospinning. As an outcome, they produced $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}FeO_3$ nanofibers exhibiting outstanding performance as an electrode for supercapacitor purposes including increased specific surface area of 28.0 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and efficient unique of the huge porosity. The $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}FeO_3$ (x = 0.3) exhibited an extraordinary capacitance around 520 F g$^{-1}$, which is still more than other samples. Additionally, over $5 \times 10^5$ cycles and at 20 A g$^{-1}$, the $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}FeO_3$ (x = 0.3) owns superior rate strength and stability over cycling (~84%) of its primary capacitance. Also, Cao et al. (Cao et al. 2015a) have synthesized nanofibers of the nanoparticles of $La_{1-x}Sr_{x}Co_0.9Mn_0.1O_3−\delta$ via electrospinning technique. The authors examined the impact of Sr cation substitution in A-site. They found that strontium substitutes the site of La ions; therefore, the morphology of $La_{1-x}Sr_{x}Co_0.9Mn_0.1O_3−\delta$ nanofibers is affected. Where, as the rise in Sr$^{2+}$ content, their coarseness and diameters suffer from reduction. But in contrast, with enhancing the Sr$^{2+}$ content, the area of surface for the studied sample and also, their grain size significantly increased. Moreover, both bond angles and length between manganese and oxygen ions are significant parameters that possess an outstanding effect in the double exchange of electrons and enhancing the electric conduction leading the improving electrochemical display of perovskites. The electrochemical activities of $La_{1-x}Sr_{x}Co_0.9Mn_0.1O_3−\delta$ nanofibers are significantly enhanced when the length is considerably reduced, and the angle is about 180°. The influence of cations substituting on A-site was further investigated by Wang et al. (2020a). The electrospinning and calcination techniques were used to fabricate porosity nanofibers of gadolinium Gd-substituted $SrNiO_3$ (Fig. 10). Some deffraction peaks of gadolinium substituted $SrNiO_3$ (at x = 0.5, and 0.7) are insignificantly increased and passivate owing to the lattice structure deformation from Sr-substituting. The octahedron of Ni$^{2+}$ and the bond angle between Ni and oxygen are deformed via the occupancy ratio in tetrahedral site elements, which are generated through the various radii between Gd$^{3+}$ and Sr$^{2+}$ ions. Jahn–Teller effect appears as a result of the dissimilar balance in A-site cations, causing stretching and distorting for the standard cubic crystal system on the c-axis, furthermore, lead to weaken the crystallinity of the crystal lattice. Hence, Gadolinium(III) ions with a shorter ion radius than Lanthanum are occupied as A-site ions, and then Strontium(II) ions with a larger ion radius are preferred to locate in the tetrahedral site.

The synthesized $Gd_{1-x}Sr_{x}NiO_3$ perovskite has more $O_{\text{vacancies}}$ and ion defects. It’s meriting remarking that the $O_{\text{vacancies}}$ of $Gd_{1-x}Sr_{x}NiO_3$ is simple to achieve and transferred by weak the bond between cation in octahedral site and oxygen and smaller energy, which can promote the transport of electric charge and perform with an outstanding performance in electrochemical energy storage. The product gadolinium-substituted $SrNiO_3$ at $x = 0.7$ owns the outstanding activities when utilized as an electrode for supercapacitors, which is strongly affected by the supreme surface area of approximately 16 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and rational radius of pores reached 3.7 nm. The gadolinium-substituted $SrNiO_3$ at $x = 0.7$ exhibits a significant voltage window and outstanding capacitance, where gadolinium-substituted $SrNiO_3$ at $x = 0.7$ possesses specific capacitance of 929 F g$^{-1}$ in 1 Molar of sodium sulfate and 764 F g$^{-1}$ in 1 Molar of potassium hydroxide. Besides, the gadolinium-substituted $SrNiO_3$ at $x = 0.7$, the device exhibits an excellent energy density about 54 W h kg$^{-1}$ and the power density of 1 kW kg$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$. Furthermore at 20 A g$^{-1}$, the sample shows 20 kW kg$^{-1}$ as a remarkable power density and 19W h kg$^{-1}$ as a unique energy density.

In summary, cations substituting in the tetrahedral site of the perovskite has a prominent role in the extent of control or change grain size then obtaining a huge surface area. Moreover, it will affect on bowing the angle between the metal and $O_2^-$, and consequently, the variation in the bond length between the metal and $O_2^-$. Hence, this pathway leading the electric conduction and $O_2^-$ dispersion rate of perovskites will likewise be improved because of $O_{\text{vacancy}}$. A suited amount of cations substituting in the tetrahedral site could achieve perovskites with enhancing the perovskites capacity display (Nan et al. 2019).

**Influence of cation substitution in the octahedral site of perovskite oxides**

Various research concerning anion-intercalation supercapacitors has considered that the suitable choice of the octahedral site cation intends to enhance the $O_{\text{vacancy}}$ or decrease the inherent resistivity (Elsiddig et al. 2017; Zhu et al. 2016; Li et al. 2017a). Besides, the electrochemical display is based on the octahedral site elements. Liu et al. (2020) investigated the stability window of $Sr_{2}CoMnO_6−\delta$ affected by B-site cations substituting. The successful
substituting of $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ into the $\text{Sr}_2\text{CoMoO}_6^{\delta-}$ lattice with various content, i.e., $\text{Sr}_2\text{CoMo}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x\text{O}_6^{\delta-}$ was affirmed via XRD. A small increment in lattice constants was seen with substituting the Ni atom at the expense of the molybdenum ratio. This is explained by viewing the ionic radius of $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ (0.69 Å), which is larger than the ionic radius of $\text{Mo}^{6+}$ (0.59 Å) through the octahedral site. The cyclic voltammetry curves of the $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ substituted the $\text{Sr}_2\text{CoMoO}_6$ electrode confirm that the predominant mechanism for store the carriers is intercalation pseudocapacitive. Nickel

Fig. 10  a The preparation schematic for nanofibers of $\text{Gd}_x\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_3$, b GSN CV curves, c GSN GCD curves, d capacitance of GSN Vs. scan rate, e capacitance of GSN Vs. the current density, where GSN is refer to $\text{Gd}_x\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{NiO}_3$. Adapted with permission from Wang et al. (2020a). Copyright 2020, Elsevier
substituted the Sr$_2$CoMoO$_6$ samples showed NiO and Co$_3$O$_4$ NPs and perovskite oxide phases which provide the entire capacity. The resulting the O$_{\text{vacancy}}$ energy of the studied perovskite due to nickel and cobalt cations incorporation was also explained by density-functional theory estimation. The generation of oxygen vacancies was promoted once the B-site cations were accelerated from the oxide lattice within the perovskite. With increasing the scan rates, the oxidation peaks moved positively, while reduction peaks moved on the opposite way, implying fast redox reactions and excellent reversibility occurring in the electrodes. Tomar et al. (2018) have enhanced the oxygen vacancies strontium cobaltite SrCoO$_3$ via Mo-doping i.e. SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$. The sol–gel method was utilized to synthesize SrCoO$_3$ and SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ as an oxygen anion-intercalated charge-storage substances. An extremely high value of diffusion coefficient is characteristic of the efficient accessibility of OH$^-$ ions inside the SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ electrode. At 1 A g$^{-1}$, the specific capacitance of SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ is around 1220.0 F g$^{-1}$. SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ exhibits extremely excellent capacitance retention at high current density. Also at 10 A g$^{-1}$, the SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ electrode exhibited excellent cycling stability and columbic efficiency (6.48% only loss from its original capacitance over five thousand cycles). Furthermore, SrCo$_{0.9}$Mo$_{0.1}$O$_{3-\delta}$ exhibits better performance than SrCoO$_3$, which is ascribed to higher oxygen vacancies and structural stability. From the above outcomes, we deduce that the substituting of cations inside the B-site enhances the O$_{\text{vacancies}}$ and improves the capacitance.

In the conclusion of the above review, the potential window of perovskite can be controlled via the cations substituting over the octahedral site. Moreover, as substituent cations possess large orbital valence electrons, the O$_{\text{vacancies}}$ grew, and then the specific capacity or specific capacitance multiplied (Nan et al. 2019). Furthermore, Table 1 reviews the electrochemical characteristics of some of the latest reported supercapacitors based on the magmatic oxides and their composites.

**Transition metals sulfide based on nanocomposite electrode for supercapacitor applications**

Transition metal sulfides, like MoS$_2$, CoS, NiS, MnS, FeS etc., represent potential materials for energy storage applications owing to the excellent electrochemical characteristics they exhibit (Zhang et al. 2020b). The electrochemical characteristic of transition metal sulfides is much better than the electrochemical properties of transmission metal oxides. This can be explained by the presence of sulfur atoms instead of oxygen atoms. Hence, the lower electronegativity of sulfur than that of oxygen facilitates electron transfer in the metal sulfide structure easier than that in the metal oxide form. Thus, replacing oxygen with sulfur provides more flexibility for nanomaterials synthesis and fabrication (Jiang et al. 2016).

Transition metal sulfides have attracted interest in many fields of research including, supercapacitors, solar cells and lithium-ion batteries because of their distinctive optical and electrical characteristics, especially when mixed with other materials to prepare nanocomposite structures (Rao 2020).

The main advantages of using nanostructured transition metal sulfides as improved materials that can be utilized as an electrode in electrochemical supercapacitors are because of their excellent electrochemical behavior. Such properties are distinctive structures of their crystal lattice, ultra-high specific capacitance, excellent conductivity of electric current, great redox activity, and small value of their electronegativity (Geng et al. 2018; Yu and David Lou 2018). These superior electrical characteristics of transition metal sulfides are mainly related to their specific forms and structures with extraordinary morphology of their surfaces, in terms of having unique shapes (nano-flowers, nano-rods, kelp-like, nanowires, flaky, hierarchical, the nano-honeycomb-like, etc.) (Li et al. 2020).

**Nickel sulfide**

Nickel sulfide (NiS) is a semiconductor and can be present in many various compositions. It can also be incorporated in a lot of interesting applications including supercapacitors, dye-sensitized solar cells and quantum-dots. Many electrode materials based on NiS have been studied to investigate their capability of being used as a supercapacitor. NiS nanocomposites have exceptional physicochemical properties with excellent transportation of ions over the electrode surface (Rao 2020). Besides, NiS nanocomposites possess high electrochemical functioning and performance for them to be widely applied as catalysts, as pseudo-capacitors and in dye-sensitized solar cells (Kim et al. 2016). Despite all of these interesting properties and characteristics of NiS nanocomposites, they still have some drawbacks such as limited stability of their questionable lifecycle (Ikkurthi et al. 2018).

For example, Xu et al. (2017) synthesized a nanocomposite electrode based on NiS and NiCo$_2$S$_4$ hydrothermally, the synthesis process is presented as a schematic diagram in Fig. 11. They used activated carbon as a negative electrode and NiCo$_2$S$_4$/NiS as a positive one. They used a supercapacitor of nickel cobaltite sulfide/nickel sulfide, which had a large active surface area with enhanced electrochemical characteristics such as, at 160 W kg$^{-1}$ of power density, it exhibits an energy density value of 43.7 W h kg$^{-1}$ and at
| No. | Materials                        | Electrolyte | Specific capacitance | Energy density and power density | Cyclic stability               | References                  |
|-----|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | MnFe$_2$O$_4$                    | 2 M KOH     | 282.4 F g$^{-1}$     | No data                          | 85.8% retention after 2000 cycles | Kwon et al. (2017)          |
| 2   | MnFe$_2$O$_4$                    | 2 M KOH     | 25.21 F g$^{-1}$     | 12.6 W h kg$^{-1}$              | No data                       | Singh and Chandra (2018)    |
| 3   | MnFe$_2$O$_4$                    | 2 M KOH     | 88.4 F g$^{-1}$      | No data                          | 69.2% retention after 2000 cycles | Guo et al. (2017)           |
| 4   | MnFe$_2$O$_4$/rGO               | 6 M KOH     | 271 F g$^{-1}$       | 15.9 W h kg$^{-1}$              | 104% retention after 5000 cycles | Tabrizi et al. (2017)       |
| 5   | MnFe$_2$O$_4$/carbon black/PANI  | 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ | 206 F g$^{-1}$     | 16 W h kg$^{-1}$                | 75% retention after 100,000 cycle | Zha et al. (2015)           |
| 6   | Polyaniline/acetylene black/CuFe$_2$O$_4$ | 1 M KOH | 732.35 F g$^{-1}$ F g$^{-1}$ | 26.757 W h kg$^{-1}$          | 78% retention after 5000 cycles | Das and Verma (2019)        |
| 7   | Ni$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$      | 6 M KOH     | 259.89 F g$^{-1}$    | 11.96 W h kg$^{-1}$             | 88.79% retention after 1000 cycles | Guo et al. (2017)           |
| 8   | NiAl$_{0.1}$Fe$_{1.9}$O$_4$      | 3 M KOH     | 271 F g$^{-1}$       | 15.9 W h kg$^{-1}$              | 104% retention after 5000 cycles | Tabrizi et al. (2017)       |
| 9   | Ni$_{1-x}$Mg$_x$Fe$_2$O$_4$      | 1 M KOH     | 732.35 F g$^{-1}$    | 11.96 W h kg$^{-1}$             | 88.79% retention after 1000 cycles | Tabrizi et al. (2017)       |
| 10  | Mn$_{1-x}$Ni$_{x}$Fe$_2$O$_4$    | 2 M KOH     | 250.9 F g$^{-1}$     | No data                         | 98.7% retention after 1000 cycles | Ramadevi et al. (2020)      |
| 11  | rGO–NiFe$_2$O$_4$                | 1 M KOH     | 210.9 F g$^{-1}$     | 23.7 W h kg$^{-1}$              | 93% retention after 2000 cycles | Cai et al. (2019)           |
| 12  | CoMnFeO$_4$                      | 3 M KOH     | 770 F g$^{-1}$       | –                               | 98% retention after 8000 cycles | Saleh Ghadimi et al. (2019)  |
| 13  | ZnMoO$_4$                        | 3 M KOH     | 1123 F g$^{-1}$      | 240 W h kg$^{-1}$               | 98.2% retention after 2000 cycles | Vadiyar et al. (2016b)      |
| 14  | PANI/MnFe$_2$O$_4$               | 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ | 371 F g$^{-1}$          | 2680 W kg$^{-1}$               | 86.7% retention after 100 cycles | Arsalani et al. (2018)      |
| 15  | Carbon fiber cloth/CoMoO$_4$     | 3 M KOH     | 237.8 F g$^{-1}$     | 84.6 W h kg$^{-1}$              | –                             | Song et al. (2019)          |
| 16  | CuFe$_2$O$_4$/RGO                | 3 M KOH     | 797 F g$^{-1}$       | 11 W h kg$^{-1}$                | 92% retention after 2000 cycles | Chandel et al. (2018)       |
| 17  | ZnFe$_2$O$_4$                    | 6 M KOH     | 118 F g$^{-1}$       | 42 W h kg$^{-1}$                | 83% retention after 8000 cycles | Vadiyar et al. (2016b)      |
| 18  | CoFe$_2$O$_4$/graphene/PANI      | 6 M KOH     | 1123 F g$^{-1}$      | 240 W h kg$^{-1}$               | 98.2% retention after 2000 cycles | Mousa et al. (2017)         |
| 19  | NiMoO$_4$/MoO$_3$                | 3 M KOH     | 184 F g$^{-1}$       | 37.5 W h kg$^{-1}$              | 100% retention after 75,000 cycles | Zhang et al. (2018b)        |
| 20  | MoS$_2$/NiCo$_2$O$_4$            | 3 M KOH     | 51.7 F g$^{-1}$      | 18.4 W h kg$^{-1}$              | 98.2% retention after 8000 cycles | Wen et al. (2018)           |
| 21  | ZnCo$_2$O$_4$@NiMoO$_4$·H$_2$O   | 1 M KOH     | 3.5 F cm$^{-2}$      | 2.55 mWh cm$^{-3}$             | 88% retention after 5000 cycles | Chen et al. (2019a)         |
Table 1 (continued)

| No. | Materials | Electrolyte | Specific capacitance | Energy density and power density | Cyclic stability | References |
|-----|-----------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 28  | CoMoO₄–NiMoO₄ | 2 M KOH     | 1079 F g⁻¹           | 33 W h kg⁻¹, 375 W kg⁻¹           | 98.4% retention after 1000 cycles | Yin et al. (2015b) |
| 29  | NiMoO₄@CoMoO₄ | 1 M KOH     | 1601 F g⁻¹           | –                                | 83% retention after 2000 cycles | Zhang et al. (2015b) |
| 30  | CoMoO₄/Co₃O₄ | 3 M KOH     | 1062.5 F g⁻¹         | 31.64 W h kg⁻¹, 7270 W kg⁻¹      | 90.38% retention after 2000 cycles | Zhou et al. (2015) |
| 31  | NiMoO₄@NiWO₄ | 3 M KOH     | 1290 F g⁻¹           | –                                | 93.1% retention after 3000 cycles | Reddy et al. (2018b) |
| 32  | α-ZnMoO₄     | 2 M KOH     | 234.75 F g⁻¹         | 20.808 W h kg⁻¹, 199.44 W kg⁻¹   | 82% retention after 1600 cycles | Reddy et al. (2019) |
| 33  | NiMoO₄/graphene nanosheets | 2 M LiOH | 3868 F g⁻¹           | 54 W h kg⁻¹, 19478 W kg⁻¹        | 98% retention after 4000 cycles | Kazemi et al. (2016) |
| 34  | Mn₀.₃₃Ni₀.₃₃Co₀.₃₃MoO₄ | 2 M NaOH | 124 F g⁻¹           | 82 W h kg⁻¹, 1650 W kg⁻¹        | 80% retention after 2000 cycles | Minakshi et al. (2017) |
| 35  | MnCo₂O₄@NiMoO₄ | 2 M KOH | 1244 F g⁻¹           | 42 W h kg⁻¹, 852.3 W kg⁻¹       | 93% retention after 8000 cycles | Mehrez et al. (2019) |
| 36  | FeCo₂O₄      | 3 M KOH     | 960.0 F g⁻¹          | 34.5 W h kg⁻¹, 6391.7 W kg⁻¹     | 94% retention after 10,000 cycles | Lalwani et al. (2019) |
| 37  | NiCo₂O₄/graphene | 2 M KOH | 845 F g⁻¹ F g⁻¹      | 52.2 W h kg⁻¹, 187 W kg⁻¹        | 97.3% retention after 10,000 cycles | Lv et al. (2017) |
| 38  | ZnCo₂O₄      | 2 M KOH     | 812 F g⁻¹            | –                                | 88% retention after 5100 cycles | Ramachandran and Hamed (2018) |
| 39  | Polyaniline–CuCo₂O₄ | 1 M KOH | 403 C g⁻¹           | 76 W h kg⁻¹, 599 W kg⁻¹         | 94% retention after 3000 cycles | Omar et al. (2017) |
| 40  | Carbon black/ NiCo₂O₄ | 1 M KOH | 604.4 C g⁻¹         | 33.7 W h kg⁻¹, 12.2 kW kg⁻¹     | ~90% retention after 50,000 cycles | Zha et al. (2017) |
| 41  | Carbon fiber paper@ NiCo₂O₄/graphene foam | 2 M KOH | 254 F g⁻¹           | 34.5 W h kg⁻¹, 547 W kg⁻¹        | 92.2% retention after 10,000 cycles | Tang et al. (2015a) |
| 42  | NiCo₂O₄-reduced graphene oxide | 2 M KOH | 870 F g⁻¹           | –                                | 90% retention after 5000 cycles | Umeshbabu et al. (2015) |
| 43  | NiCo₂O₄@MnO₂ | 1 M NaOH    | 112 F g⁻¹            | 35 W h kg⁻¹                     | ~113.6% retention after 8000 cycles | Xu et al. (2014) |
| 44  | Carbon nanotube@ NiCo₂O₄ | 6 M KOH | 1038 F g⁻¹         | 19.7 W h kg⁻¹, 62.5 W kg⁻¹       | 100% retention after 1000 cycles | Cai et al. (2014b) |
| 45  | FeCo₂O₄      | 3 M KOH     | 407 F g⁻¹ F g⁻¹      | 3 W h kg⁻¹, 3780 W kg⁻¹         | 142% retention after 2000 cycles | Pendashteh et al. (2015) |
| 46  | NiCo₂O₄@poly(3,4-ethylenedioxyypyrrrole) NiCo₂O₄ | 1775 F g⁻¹ | 898 W h kg⁻¹, 1.25 kW kg⁻¹ | ~95% retention after 5000 cycles | Deshagani et al. (2019) |
| 47  | MnCo₂O₄@graphene | 1 M KOH | 406.50 F g⁻¹ F g⁻¹   | 20.32 W h kg⁻¹, 300 kW kg⁻¹      | 95% retention after 5000 cycles | Saren et al. (2019) |
| 48  | CoO/NiCo₂O₄ | 2 M KOH     | 908 F g⁻¹            | –                                | 75% retention after 3000 cycles | Jang et al. (2015) |
| 49  | LaMnO₃       | 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ | 520 F g⁻¹ | 52.5 W h kg⁻¹, 1000 W kg⁻¹       | 117% retention after 7500 cycles | Shafi et al. (2018) |
| 50  | La₀.₉₅Sr₀.₁₅MnO₃ | 1 M KOH | 198 F g⁻¹           | –                                | 78% retention after 1000 cycles | Wang et al. (2016b) |
| 51  | (La₀.₇₅Sr₀.₂₅)₀.₉₅MnO₃ | 1 M Na₂SO₄ | 56 F g⁻¹ F g⁻¹      | –                                | 98% retention after 1000 cycles | Lü et al. (2015) |
| 52  | SrRuO₃       | 1 M KOH     | 52.4 F g⁻¹           | –                                | 77.8% retention after 1000 cycles | Galal et al. (2018) |
| 53  | La₀.₉₅Sr₀.₁₅NiO₃−δ | 1 M Na₂SO₄ | 719 F g⁻¹ | 81.4 W h kg⁻¹, 500 W kg⁻¹        | 90% retention after 2000 cycles | Cao et al. (2015b) |
a current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ the specific capacitance reached its maximum value of 123 F g$^{-1}$.

**Cobalt sulfide**

Cobalt sulfide CoS$_2$ has many advantages in the field of supercapacitors as it is readily available raw materials, easy to synthesize and environment-friendly material, in addition to its high electrical conductance with plenty of sites available for redox reactions to occur (Li et al. 2016a). Several nanostructured electrode materials based on CoS have been prepared for utilization in the area of energy storage and supercapacitors. Recently, Govindasamy et al. (2019b) used the hydrothermal method to spread nanostructured nickel cobaltite sulfide/cobalt sulfide on a piece of carbon cloth in a two-step process as shown in Fig. 12. The prepared nickel cobaltite sulfide/cobalt sulfide exhibits a good specific capacitance of 1565 F g$^{-1}$ at a current density 1 A g$^{-1}$ and retained 91% of its initial SC after a number of 8000 cycles at a current density 1 A g$^{-1}$. At a power density value of 242.8 W kg$^{-1}$, the energy density value was 17 W h kg$^{-1}$.

**Iron sulfide**

Being reasonably priced, exhibiting very good electrical conductivity and possession of an excess of active sites; Iron sulfide (FeS$_2$) has attracted the interest of many researchers for its potential use in energy storage applications (Zhao et al. 2017a; Pham et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2018). A huge number of supercapacitors based on nanocomposites of FeS$_2$ as an electrode material has been prepared with a variety of interesting morphologies and structures. For example,
Balakrishnan et al. (2019) fabricated a hybrid supercapacitor based on FeS$_2$ and reduced graphene oxide hydrothermally. The prepared hybrid supercapacitor has a much greater value of specific capacitance than pure iron sulfide (i.e. the difference was 21.28 mF cm$^{-2}$ under the same conditions). Moreover, at a current density of 0.3 mA cm$^{-2}$, it retained 90% of its initial SC after 10,000 cycles. Figure 13 shows the Scanning electron microscopy images for the preparation of the hybrid supercapacitor.

**Molybdenum disulfide**

Molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$) is cheap, simply prepared in nanosheet form, with very high surface area and excellent conductivity (Liu et al. 2016c; Palsaniya et al. 2018). Owing to these excellent properties, MoS$_2$ and its based nanocomposites have been extensively studied in many fields and applications like catalysis, energy storage, supercapacitors, and Li-ion batteries (Osman et al. 2018).

As an example, Yang et al. (2017) used the hydrothermal reaction pathway with glucose assistance to manufacturing an asymmetric supercapacitor in the form of hierarchical arrays of NiS based on MoS$_2$ nanosheets on a backbone of carbon nanotubes as shown in Fig. 14. The prepared electrode demonstrated a specific capacitance of 676.4 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$, and the retained capacitance percentage was 100% at a current density of 5 A g$^{-1}$ after 2000 cycles.

Another example is the hydrothermal synthesis of a novel nanocomposite based supercapacitor of molybdenum disulfide and graphitic carbon nitrides (g-C$_3$N$_4$/MoS$_2$) in a flower-like shape by Xu et al. (2019b). The specific capacitance of this supercapacitor was 532.7 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$ and
retained 88.6% of its initial capacitance after 1000 lifecycles. These superior electrochemical characteristics may be attributed to the synergetic action between flowery MoS$_2$, and the nanosheets of graphitic carbon nitrides (see Fig. 15) which facilitates the charge-transfer process.

Recently, Manuraj et al. have synthesized a nanocomposite hetero-structured solid substance comprising of molybdenum sulfide, MoS$_2$, nanowires and RuO$_2$ nanoparticles via hydrothermal and chemical reduction procedures. In a three-electrode configuration, the MoS$_2$–RuO$_2$ hybrid electrode shows specific capacitance reached 972 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$, while, in the two-electrode configuration, its presented 719 F g$^{-1}$ as presented in Fig. 16. Furthermore, the symmetric supercapacitor based on the composite electrodes shows high cycling stability which retained about 100% from its initial capacitance after $10 \times 10^3$ cycles. Also, MoS$_2$–RuO$_2$ hybrid electrode shows a high energy density value of 35.92 Wh kg$^{-1}$ at power density 0.6 kW kg$^{-1}$.

**Tin sulfides**

Many studies have been performed to enhance the electrochemical activities of tin sulfides (SnS and SnS$_2$), using numerous approaches. These include doping with metal or non-metal ions, use of a carbon matrix and material engineering into nanostructured forms of tin sulfides and their nanocomposites to apply them as electrochemical capacitors (Mishra et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2015b). Recently, Parveen et al. (2018) synthesized SnS$_2$ in different shapes of nanostructures like; ellipsoid tin sulfide (EL-SnS$_2$), flower-like (FL-SnS$_2$), and sheet-like (SL-SnS$_2$). The flower-like tin sulfide was the most promising one with small pore size and larger surface area exhibiting 432 F g$^{-1}$ of specific capacitance at 1 A g$^{-1}$.

**Manganese sulfide**

Manganese sulfide (MnS) is also a cheap, naturally abundant, environmentally friendly compound and theoretically, it possesses a high supercapacitance and electrical conductivity due to its various oxidation states ranging from +2 to +7 (Palaniyandy et al. 2019). Moreover, MnS is present in three polymorphic states: $\alpha$ (cubic), $\beta$ (cubic), and $\gamma$ (hexagonal) (Yu et al. 2016). A summary of some of the most recent work on MnS is shown in Table 2.
Tungsten sulfide

Tungsten sulfide (WS₂) is again abundant in nature and is found as hexagonal crystals belonging to the space group P63/mmc (Eftekhari 2017). WS₂ crystals are forming relatively brittle, restacked nanosheets with slight electrical conductivity, restricting its application as a supercapacitor (Xia et al. 2018). Hence, many approaches have been followed to enhance its electrochemical performance, such as doping with binary metals, non-metals, carbon materials and conducting polymers (Xia et al. 2018).

Choudhary et al. (Choudhary et al. 2016) prepared a nanowire of tungsten(VI) oxide (WO₃) and comprised it with a tungsten sulfide (WO₃/WS₂) core/shell structure. They used a foil of W and applied KOH on its surface to promote its oxidation at 650 °C, forming a hexagonal single crystal of WO₃ (h-WO₃), followed by a sulfurization process to finally form h-WO₃/WS₂ nanowires as illustrated in Fig. 17. The synthesized hybrid supercapacitor demonstrated superior electrochemical characteristics and losses a negligible percentage of its primary capacity after 30,000 lifecycles.

Carbon materials for supercapacitors applications

Carbon-derived materials hold numerous benefits such as great quantity in raw materials (abundance), thermal stability, value-added chemicals, ease of processing and modification. Consequently, they have displayed countless attention and high potential in different energy-related applications (Wang et al. 2008, 2018a; Meng et al. 2014; Li et al. 2016c; Jiang et al. 2012; Osman et al. 2019a, b, 2020a, b; Osman 2020; Chen et al. 2019b). Mesoporous carbon materials consider as promising targets for advanced applications due to
their exceptional features, which enables them to engross universal apprehension over the last few decades (Qiang et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2017c; Sevilla et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2006; Hooch Antink et al. 2018). There are several physical arrangements for mesoporous carbons, containing nanoparticles (Górka and Jaroniec 2010; Lee et al. 2011), nanosheets (Wang et al. 2018a; Li et al. 2017b; Ding et al. 2013), nanotubes (Osman et al. 2019a, 2020a, b; Guo et al. 2011), nanofibers (Wu et al. 2015b), etc., which can adapt with several categories of industrial applications. Additionally, there are different pore size in the nanostructures of mesoporous carbons, including micropores, mesopores and macropores, which is of noteworthy prominence for their supercapacitor application.

Several preparation pathways, including nanocasting direct synthesis strategies, were studied to obtain mesoporous carbon materials with different particle structures via several reaction pathways (Fig. 18), which all have separate advantages and disadvantages (Li et al. 2016d).

Nanocasting method showed the best ability, compared to direct synthesis methods, to prepare unvarying dispersed mesopores in carbon materials with attracting features to produce highly symmetric mesoporous inorganic solid substances as appropriate templates in the energy storage application. Interestingly, mesoporous inorganic substances can reproduce their internal structures in nanoporous carbon construction with promising distributed mesoporosity. The nanocasting techniques for creating mesoporous carbons involved two advanced procedures, the hard and soft templating approaches. Commonly, the nanocasting technique is a relatively predictable templating progression. Notwithstanding that the synthesized mesoporous carbons have

Fig. 15 Morphology of a graphitic carbon nitrides g-C₃N₄/MoS₂ nanocomposite. a SEM image, b TEM, c HR-TEM, d sketch of the graphitic carbon nitrides/MoS₂ nanocomposite structure. As observed in the figure, a more uniform and smooth molybdenum disulfide structure performed without aggregation. TEM confirms that most of the molybdenum disulfide are grown on the surface of the graphitic carbon nitrides, which means that the graphitic carbon nitrides sheets give beneficial sites for the extension of the molybdenum disulfide. SEM: scanning electron microscopy, TEM: transmission electron microscopy, HR: high resolution. Adapted with permission from Xu et al. (2019b). Copyright (2019) Elsevier
inimitable physical and chemical features, the large-scale production has quite a few drawbacks.

**High-performance supercapacitor electrode material via 3D carbon nanosheet**

Due to the high cost of graphene and its derivatives, three-dimensional porous carbon nanosheets, synthesized via facile methods, have received attention for large scale applications because of their largely opened layer, excellent electronic transportation ability and high specific surface area. The obtained results for the prepared bark-based carbon demonstrates specific features toward a remarkable function in energy storage. The as-fabricated bark-based carbon-700°C-based supercapacitors exhibit an enchanting capacitance, exceptional capacitance retention and attractive energy density for supercapacitor application systems. The universal method of preparing a carbon nanosheet from bark, which exists in a tree’s construction is considered as environmentally friendly (as schematically shown in Fig. 19) (Li et al. 2019e), can be very succinct, as the bark contains the periderm as well as the lignin that oriented hollow tube cellulose fibers (Keränen et al. 2013; Sun et al. 2018b; Chen et al. 2018b).

Additionally, Fig. 20a illustrates the main structure of untreated bark that confirms the distribution of both abundant pores as well as different sizes in the raw materials, The pollen can be activated and the spherical porous structure of the materials kept as it is while using copper salts in the preparation pathway to synthesize the carbon nanosheet (Liu et al. 2018g). The SEM images of bark-based carbon 700 °C are demonstrated in Fig. 20b, c, which confirm the formation of a typical flower-like carbon structure with outstanding three-dimensional vertical carbon structure through the carbon nanosheet. As well, the TEM image (Fig. 20d) was used for the confirmation of the texture for the obtained bark-based carbon samples, in which the thin nanosheet structure of the as-prepared material was undeniably discovered. In addition, the N$_2$ adsorption–desorption measurements, through curves in Fig. 20e, were used to detect the obtained samples microstructures. The hysteresis loops located at 0.4–0.9 $P/P_0$ disclose the existence of the mesoporous (Chen et al. 2019c). The pore size distribution curves premeditated from density-functional theory are represented in Fig. 20f,
Table 2  Electrochemical characteristics of transition metals sulfide-based nanocomposite electrodes for supercapacitor applications

| Electrode composition                          | Electrolyte            | Current density (A g⁻¹) | Capacitance (F g⁻¹) | Percent of retained specific capacitance %/no. of cycles | Energy density (W h kg⁻¹)/power density (kW kg⁻¹) | References                        |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| MoS₂–graphene                                  | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 243                 | 92.3/1000                                                | 73.5/19.8                                        | Huang et al. (2013a)              |
| MoS₂/carbon aerogel                             | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 260                 | 96/500                                                   |                                                  | Huang et al. (2015b)              |
| 3D-MoS₂/chemically modified graphene            | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 257                 | 93/1000                                                  |                                                  | Yang et al. (2015)                |
| s-MoS₂/carbon nanotube                         | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 0.1                     | 108                 | 7.4/3.7                                                  |                                                  | Khatuwala et al. (2016)           |
| MoS₂/graphene foam//activated carbon prepared via expanded graphite | 1 M Na₂SO₄ | 1                       | 59                  | 95/2000                                                  | 16/0.758                                         | Masikhwa et al. (2017)            |
| MoS₂/graphene nanofiber                        | 1 M H₂SO₄              | 10                      | 145                 | 98/3000                                                  |                                                  | Weng et al. (2015)                |
| Reduced graphene oxide/MoS₂                    | 10 mV s⁻¹              | 298.81                  |                      |                                                         |                                                  | Murugan et al. (2017)             |
| MoS₂/carbon                                    | 1.6                    | 182.9                   | 94.1/1000           |                                                         |                                                  | Fan et al. (2015)                 |
| Carbon nanotube@MoS₂                            | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 350.6               | 85/10,000                                                |                                                  | Sun et al. (2017)                 |
| G wrapped carbon nanotube@MoS₂                  | 5                      | 350                     | 94.3/10,000         |                                                         |                                                  | Sun et al. (2017)                 |
| MoS₂/mesoporous carbon spheres                 | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 411                 | 93.2/1000                                                |                                                  | Zhang et al. (2017a)              |
| Carbon fiber tow/MoS₂                           | 10                     | 272                     | 3.67 mW h g⁻¹/33.21 m W g⁻¹ |                                                        |                                                  | Gao et al. (2016b)               |
| Carbon nanotube/MoS₂ nanosheet                  | 5 mV s⁻¹               | 135 F cm⁻³              | 95/1000             |                                                         |                                                  | Luo et al. (2015)                 |
| MoS₂/reclaimed carbon fiber                    | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 4                       | 112                 | 78.6/2000                                                |                                                  | Zhao et al. (2018)                |
| MoS₂/reduced graphene oxide membrane on Ti Mesh 5:1 | 10 mV s⁻¹              | 17.6 mF s⁻¹             |                      |                                                         |                                                  | Lambertii (2018)                 |
| MoS₂/three-dimensional graphene                | 20 Α cm⁻²              | 2080 F cm⁻²             | 116.83/5000         |                                                         |                                                  | Han et al. (2018)                 |
| MoS₂@N-doped carbon                            | 1 M Na₂SO₄             | 1                       | 276                 | 90.59/6000                                               |                                                  | Cui et al. (2017)                 |
| Electrospun MoS₂@C nanofiber                   | 6 M KOH                | 5 mV s⁻¹                | 355.6               | 93/2000                                                  |                                                  | Kamuthini et al. (2017)           |
| MoS₂-coated three-dimensional graphene network  | 3 M KOH                | 10                      | 1825.24             | 110.57/4000                                              |                                                  | Zhou et al. (2017)                |
| MoS₂/graphene nanobelts                        | 2                       | 278.07                  | 96.75/1000          |                                                         |                                                  | Jia et al. (2017)                 |
| MoS₂/C                                         | 2                       | 290                     | 132.4/5000          |                                                         |                                                  | Lee et al. (2017)                 |
| MoS₂/reduced graphene oxide                    | 2 M KOH                | 10 mV s⁻¹               | 314.5               | 80.02/1000                                               |                                                  | Awasthi et al. (2018)             |
| MoS₂-hollow carbon sphere                      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄            | 1                       | 334                 | 87/500                                                   |                                                  | Liu et al. (2018d)                |
| Carbon–MoS₂ nano-sphere                        | 3 M KOH                | 10                      | 760                 | 96/2000                                                  |                                                  | Luo et al. (2018)                 |
| MoS₂/nanospheres/reduced graphene oxide         | 1 M H₂SO₄              | 100 mV s⁻¹              | 1.501 mF cm⁻²       | 95/1000                                                  | 5.71 mW h cm⁻²/54.1 mW cm⁻²                | Dutta and De (2018)               |
| Polyaniline/MoS₂                                | 1 M H₂SO₄              | 1                       | 575                 | 98/500                                                   | 265/18                                          | Huang et al. (2013b)              |
| Polyaniline/A-MoS₂                              | 1 M H₂SO₄              | 10                      | 405                 | 88.6/1000                                                | 33.33/8                                         | Zha et al. (2017)                 |
| Polyaniline/C-MoS₂                              | 10                     | 367                     | 75.1/1000           | 27.11/8                                                  |                                                  | Zha et al. (2017)                 |
| MoS₂/polyimide                                 | 5                      | 157                     | 96.47/1000          |                                                         |                                                  | Chang et al. (2017)               |
| M-MoS₂/polyaniline                             | 10                     | 337                     | 80/2500             |                                                         |                                                  | Ansari et al. (2017)              |
| C-MoS₂/polyaniline                             | 1                       | 225.15                  | 80/2500             |                                                         |                                                  | Ansari et al. (2017)              |
| C-MoS₂/polyaniline-20% MoS₂                    | 1 M H₂SO₄              | 8                       | 480                 | 90/900                                                   |                                                  | Wang et al. (2017b)               |
| MoS₂/polyimide nanowire                         | 3                      | 350                     | 82/2000             | 25.5/266.3                                               |                                                  | Chen et al. (2017a)               |
| MoS₂/polypyrrole-nanowire                      | 2 M HCl                | 5 mV s⁻¹                | 452                 |                                                         |                                                  | Alamro and Ram (2017)             |
| MoS₂-polystyrene/di-imide-thiophene three configuation cells | 2 M HCl | 5 mV s⁻¹                | 360                 |                                                         |                                                  | Alamro and Ram (2017)             |
| Electrode composition                        | Electrolyte                | Current density (A g⁻¹) | Capacitance (F g⁻¹) | Percent of retained specific capacitance %/no. of cycles | Energy density (W h kg⁻¹)/power density (kW kg⁻¹) | References                |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| MoS₂/polyaniline@C                          |                            | 1                       | 668                 | 80/10,000                                              |                                                 | Yang et al. (2016)         |
| MoS₂/polyphenyl                            | 10 mV s⁻¹                  | 720                     | 85/4000             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Tang et al. (2015b)        |
| IT-MoS₂/polyaniline-62                      | 0.5 M H₂SO₄               | 10                      | 340                 | 91/2000                                                |                                                 | Zhao et al. (2017b)        |
| Macroporous-polyaniline nanorods@MoS₂       |                            | 10                      | 433                 | 86.7/2000                                              | 43.3/6                                          | Wang et al. (2017c)        |
| Polyphenyl/MoS₂                             | 1                          | 895.6                   | 98/10,000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Liu et al. (2017)          |
| Polyamiline-few-layer MoS₂                  | 10                         | 720                     | 85/4000             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Tang et al. (2015b)        |
| Ni₃S₂-MoS₂                                  | 8                          | 791.2                   | 91/2000             | 128/0.494                                              |                                                 | Wang et al. (2017c)        |
| Ni₃S₂-MoS₂                                  | 10                         | 733                     | 78/20,000           | 128/0.494                                              |                                                 | Luo et al. (2017)          |
| Co₃S₄-MoS₂                                  | 10                         | 754                     | 82/20,000           | 128/0.494                                              |                                                 | Luo et al. (2017)          |
| Ni₃S₂–MoS₂//AC                              | 100                        | 60                      | 86.2/10,000         | 18.75/7.5                                              |                                                 | Luo et al. (2017)          |
| Bi₂S₃/MoS₂                                  | 10 mA cm⁻²                 | 1.48 F cm⁻²             | 96.5/1000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Ma et al. (2017)           |
| MoS₂/MnO                                    | 1                          | 172                     | 69.3/2000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Wang et al. (2016c)        |
| MoS₂–NiO                                   | 2                          | 1030                    | 101.9/9000          | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Wang et al. (2017d)        |
| MoS₂–Co₃O₄                                  | 1                          | 1088.5                  | 93/6000             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Wang et al. (2017d)        |
| MoS₂–WO₃                                   | 1 M Na₂SO₄                 | 2                       | 468                 | 95/5000                                                |                                                 | Gong et al. (2018)         |
| NiFe₂O₄/MoS₂                                | 5                          | 300                     | 90.7/3000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Zhao et al. (2017c)        |
| Bi₂S₃ nanorod/MoS₂ nanosheet                | 10                         | 1553                    | 92.65/5000          | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Fang et al. (2017)         |
| MoS₂@3D-Ni-foam                             | 3 mA cm⁻²                  | 3400 mF cm⁻²            | 82/4500             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Nandi et al. (2017)        |
| Ag@MoS₂                                    | 2 M KOH                    | 1                       | 980                 | 97/5000                                                |                                                 | Wu et al. (2017)           |
| MoS₂/CoS₂ nanotube arrays                   | 1 mA cm⁻²                  | 142.5 mF cm⁻²           | 92.7/1000           | 13.25/0.05                                             |                                                 | Wang et al. (2017c)        |
| MoS₂ nanosheet arrays@Ti plate               | 1 M KCl                    | 1                       | 133                 | 93/1000                                                | 11.11/0.53                                     | Wang et al. (2017f)        |
| MoS₂ nanospheres (SiO₂@MoS₂)                | 2 M KOH                    | 1                       | 683                 | 85.1/10,000                                            |                                                 | Gao et al. (2018b)         |
| CoS₂@MoS₂                                   | 5                          | 885                     | 84.76/10,000        | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Huang et al. (2018b)       |
| MoS₂–Co₃O₄                                  | 20                         | 896                     | 91.3/5000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Fang et al. (2018)         |
| Ag nano-wires-MoS₂                           | 0.05 V⁻¹                   | 18 mF cm⁻²              | 96.3/20,000         | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Li et al. (2019c)          |
| Ni₃S₂@MoS₂ (0.75 mM sodium molybdate)        | 6 M KOH                    | 5                       | 836.4               | 75.8/1250                                              |                                                 | Huang et al. (2017b)       |
| MoS₂–rGO/multiwall carbon nanotube (MoS₂ 6.3%) | 1 M H₂SO₄                | 0.5 A cm⁻³              | 4.8 F cm⁻³          | 100/7000                                               |                                                 | Sun et al. (2015)          |
| MoS₂/polyamline/graphene                    | 20                         | 476                     | 96/2000             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Sha et al. (2016)          |
| Polyamylene/carbon nanotube/MoS₂, MoS₂ 5%    | 10                         | 289                     | 68/2000             | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Thakur et al. (2017)       |
| C@Ni₃S₂@MoS₂                                | 10                         | 1388                    | 71.4/10,000         | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Li et al. (2016b)          |
| Ni₃S₂@MoS₂                                  | 5                          | 833                     | 96.2/5000           | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Huang et al. (2017a)       |
| MoS₂/Fe₃O₄/physical exfoliated graphite      | 1 M H₂SO₄                 | 6                       | 665                 | 90/2200                                                |                                                 | Sarno and Troisi (2017)    |
| Polyindole/carbon black/MoS₂                | 1                          | 442                     | 92.3/5000           | 2.11/0.135                                             |                                                 | Majumder et al. (2017)     |
| MoS₂@carbon nanotube/reduced graphene oxide | 1 M H₂SO₄                 | 10 mA cm⁻²              | 96 mF cm⁻²          | 96.6/10,000                                            |                                                 | Wang et al. (2017g)        |
| MoS₂ nanowires/NiCo₂O₄/active carbon         |                            | 6                       | 21                  | 98.2/8000                                              | 18.4/12.002                                    | Wen et al. (2018)          |
| NiCo₂S₄–C–MoS₂                              | 6 M KOH                    | 0.5                     | 1601                | 75/2000                                                | 27.70/400                                     | Zhang et al. (2018a)       |
| MoS₂/MoO₃@activated carbon cloth            | 5 mV s⁻¹                   | 230                     | 128/1500            | 3.77/252.8                                             |                                                 | Sari and Ting (2018)       |
| C@MoS₂/Ni₃S₂                               | 2 M KOH                    | 20                      | 468.6               | 136.7/10,000                                           |                                                 | Qin et al. (2018)          |
| Multwall carbon nanotube/polyamline/MoS₂     | 1 M H₂SO₄                 | 1                       | 490                 | 73.71/3000                                             |                                                 | Zhang et al. (2018c)       |
| Reduced graphene oxide–MOS₂–WS₂              | 1                          | 365                     | 70/3000             | 15/0.373                                               |                                                 | Lin et al. (2018)          |
Table 2 (continued)

| Electrode composition | Electrolyte       | Current density (A g\(^{-1}\)) | Capacitance (F g\(^{-1}\)) | Percent of retained specific capacitance %/no. of cycles | Energy density (W h kg\(^{-1}\))/power density (kW kg\(^{-1}\)) | References                          |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Co\(_9\)S\(_8@N–C@MoS\(_2\) Nanocubes | 3 M KOH          | 10                             | 410                         | 101.7/20,000                                            |                                                               | Hou et al. (2018)                   |
| Mo\(_2\)S\(_4@polyamine/reduced graphene oxide hierarchical nanosheets | 1 M KOH          | 5                              | 626.1                       | Zhang et al. (2014)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| Ni\(_2\)S\(_2\)        | 1 M NaOH          | 50                             | 1000                        | Chen et al. (2017)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| NiCoS                  | 1 M KCl           | 5                              | 1513                        | Sami et al. (2017)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| Carbon nanofibers-NSS  | 2 M KOH           | 1                              | 177.1 mA h g\(^{-1}\)       | Xu et al. (2018b)                                        |                                                               |                                    |
| NiCo\(_2\)S\(_2\)      | 1 M KOH           | 10 mA cm\(^{-2}\)              | 1155                        | Kim et al. (2017)                                        |                                                               |                                    |
| NiCo\(_2\)S\(_2@NiO\)  | 3 M KOH           | 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)               | 12.2 F cm\(^{-2}\)         | Huang et al. (2016c)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| Quadruple-shelled CoS\(_2\) | 2 M KOH     | 1                              | 375.2                       | Jia et al. (2019)                                        |                                                               |                                    |
| Hollow CoS\(_2\)      | 2 M KOH           | 1                              | 936                         | Ren et al. (2019)                                        |                                                               |                                    |
| Hierarchical CoS\(_2\) | 2 M KOH           | 1                              | 718.7                       | Xing et al. (2014a)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| Octahedron-shaped CoS\(_2\) | 2 M KOH     | 1                              | 236.5                       | Xing et al. (2014b)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| 3D hollow CoS\(_2\)   | 6 M KOH           | 0.5                            | 499                         | Zeng et al. (2017)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| Co\(_2\)S\(_2\) nanodendrites | 2 M KOH     | 1                              | 311.06                      | Zhang et al. (2016d)                                    |                                                               |                                    |
| CoS\(_2\)-multimwall carbon nanotube | 1 M NaOH | 1                              | 1486                        | Sarkar et al. (2018)                                    |                                                               |                                    |
| Pyrite FeS\(_2\)      | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 3                        | 317.8                       | Chen et al. (2016b)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| FeS\(_2\)-carbon fiber | 30 wt% KOH       | 1                              | 406                         | Sridhar and Park (2018)                                 |                                                               |                                    |
| FeS\(_2\)              | 2 M KOH           | 1                              | 515 C g\(^{-1}\)           | Sun et al. (2019a)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| Co\(_{0.5}\)Fe\(_{1.5}\)S\(_2\) | 3 M KOH     | 2 mV s\(^{-1}\)               | 310.2 C g\(^{-1}\)         | Liu et al. (2018a)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| FeS\(_2@Fe_2O_3\)      | 1 M Li\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                        | 255                         | Gao et al. (2016a)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| Reduced graphene oxide/FeS | 2 M KOH       | 3.3                            | 300                         | Zhao et al. (2017d)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@carbon nanotubes/ Ni | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                        | 512                         | Sun et al. (2019b)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@carbon nanotubes-MoO\(_2\) | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 0.8                            | 365.6                       | Zhang et al. (2019b)                                    |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@carbon nanotubes-MoO\(_2\) | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                        | 402                         | Chen et al. (2018a)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@carbon nanotubes-MoO\(_2\) | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                        | 340.0                       | Liu et al. (2019)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| NiS@MoS\(_2@N\)-reduced graphene oxide | 6 M KOH | 1                              | 2225                        | Xu et al. (2019c)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@reduced graphene oxide@polypryrolylene nanotubes | 1 M KCl | 1                              | 1561.25                     | Sarmah and Kumar (2018)                                 |                                                               |                                    |
| MoS\(_2@poly(ethyleneimine–graphene oxide | 6 M KOH | 1                              | 153.9                       | Liu et al. (2018e)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| Mo\(_2\)O\(_2@MoS\(_2\) | 1 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                        | 383.5                       | Zhang et al. (2016c)                                    |                                                               |                                    |
| Mo\(_2\)S\(_4@3D-Ni foam | Na\(_2\)SO\(_4/PVA solid | 1.3 | 34.1                         | Mishra et al. (2019)                                    |                                                               |                                    |
| Co\(_{0.5}@MnS\(_2@N–C@MoS\(_2\) | 2 M KOH | 1                              | 1938                        | Kandula et al. (2018)                                   |                                                               |                                    |
| Carbon black-SnS       | 1 M KOH           | 0.1                            | 201                         | Barik et al. (2019)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| SnS\(_2@reduced graphene oxide | 2 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 0.5                            | 500                         | Chauhan et al. (2017)                                   |                                                               |                                    |
| SnS\(_2@doped graphene hybrid nanosheets | 6 M KOH | 1                              | 642                         | Liu et al. (2017b)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
| Mo–SnS\(_2\)           | 3.5 M KOH         | 1                              | 213                         | Ma et al. (2015)                                        |                                                               |                                    |
| Mn–SnS\(_2@graphene aerogels | 6 M KOH | 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)            | 523                         | Chu et al. (2018)                                       |                                                               |                                    |
| SnS\(_2@Cu_2O@reduced graphene oxide | 1 M KOH | 0.6                            | 1800                        | Hatui et al. (2017)                                     |                                                               |                                    |
| SnS\(_2@SnO_2\)        | 0.5 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 2                        | 149                         | Asen et al. (2019)                                      |                                                               |                                    |
which demonstrated the same pore structure with pores sizes principally determined at 0.8 and 1.2 nm. Reasonably, the current study can conclude that both treatment temperatures, as well as the hard template, are indispensable factors toward obtaining porous carbon nanosheets via biomass.

The performance of the as-prepared carbon nanosheet can be obtained via the electrochemical activity measurements by applying these materials in the supercapacitor. Figure 21a confirmed the obtained capacity ability curves of bark-based carbon at 700 °C, which proposes remaining capacitor activities of the bark-based carbon at 700 °C. Moreover, the galvanostatic charge/discharge, as well as specific capacitances results, are developed to consider the capacity implemented as an electrode material (Fig. 21b, c). The results indicated that bark-based carbon at 700 °C displays an exceptional capacitance around ~340.0 F g\(^{-1}\), comparing to that of bark-based carbon at 600 °C around ~290 F g\(^{-1}\) and finally bark-based carbon at 800 °C displays capacity 309 F g\(^{-1}\). Likewise, Fig. 21d illustrates the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy analysis of bark-based carbon samples, which indicates related plot profiles that contain a semicircle and around vertical lines in low and high frequencies, respectively, to result in significantly better supercapacitor behavior. Thus, it can be established that bark-based carbon at 700 °C owns the lower values of resistance about 0.26 Ω, indicating the exceptional electrochemical performance of the 3D porous carbon nanosheet.

### Graphene-based nanocomposites for supercapacitor applications

Graphene which exists in hexagonal assembly can be defined as a two-dimensional single layer of \(sp^2\) hybridized carbonaceous atoms. The number and arrangement of graphene layers determine the electronic characteristics of graphene. Additionally, interlayer ordering and the layer number with a different thickness could affect the chemical and physical characteristics of graphene.

### Table 2 (continued)

| Electrode composition | Electrolyte | Current density (A g\(^{-1}\)) | Capacitance (F g\(^{-1}\)) | Percent of retained specific capacitance %/no. of cycles | Energy density (W h kg\(^{-1}\))/power density (kW kg\(^{-1}\)) | References |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| SnNi\(_2\)S\(_4\)     | 1 M KOH     | 2                             | 1484                        |                                                        |                                                        | Chandrasekaran et al. (2018) |
| MnS/GO-NH\(_3\)       | 2 M KOH     | 0.25                          | 391                         |                                                        |                                                        | Tang et al. (2015c) |
| Graphene nanosheets—manganese sulfide | 3.5 M KOH | 2                             | 792                         |                                                        |                                                        | Vignesh et al. (2019) |
| MnS@reduced graphene oxide/Ni | 3 M KOH | 0.5                           | 2220                        |                                                        |                                                        | Naveen Kumar and Paruthimal Kalaigajan (2018) |
| y-MnS/reduced graphene oxide | 1 MKOH, 0.5 MnS, S/NaH\(_2\)O/0.5 M Sulfur powders | 5                             | 802                         |                                                        |                                                        | Li et al. (2015) |
| y-MnS/reduced graphene oxide | 2 M KOH | 1                             | 548                         |                                                        |                                                        | Zhang et al. (2017b) |
| y-MnS/reduced graphene oxide | 6 M KOH | 1                             | 1009                        |                                                        |                                                        | Ranganatha and Muthuramalingam (2018) |
| y-MnS                  | 0.5 M Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 0.2                         | 378                         |                                                        |                                                        | Li et al. (2019d) |
| α-MnS/3-reduced graphene oxide | 3 M KOH | 1                             | 934                         |                                                        |                                                        | Quan et al. (2016) |
| ZnS/MnS                | 3 M KCl     | 2 (mV s\(^{-1}\))            | 884                         |                                                        |                                                        | Arul et al. (2018) |
| CuS/MnS                | 3 M KOH     | 1                             | 1144                        |                                                        |                                                        | Liu et al. (2018f) |
| WS\(_2\)               | 1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 40 mV s\(^{-1}\)            | 86 mF cm\(^{-2}\)          |                                                        |                                                        | Liang et al. (2018) |
| WS\(_2\)/reduced graphene oxide | 1 MKOH, 0.5 MKCl | 1 mV s\(^{-1}\)               | 2508                        |                                                        |                                                        | Tu et al. (2016) |
| WS\(_2\)-multiwall carbon nanotubes | 1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 1                          | 760                         |                                                        |                                                        | Gao et al. (2018c) |
| WS\(_2\)/N,S-reduced graphene oxide | 6 MKOH | 1                             | 1562                        |                                                        |                                                        | Xu et al. (2019d) |
| ZnWO\(_4\)/WS\(_2\)    | 3 MKOH      | 3                             | 1281                        |                                                        |                                                        | Anitha et al. (2019) |
| CuWS/Ni                | 1 M Li\(_2\)SO\(_4\) | 10 mA                       | 2667                        |                                                        |                                                        | Parshamalai et al. (2019) |
Graphene has received great research attention owing to its extraordinary features. For instance, its powerful mechanical strength, porosity, large specific area, improved conductivity, and electrochemically active nature. Different physical and chemical pathways can be used to attain graphene as well as several composite materials between graphene and other compounds that make graphene appropriate to improve the electrochemical activity of different materials for numerous applications like lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. Graphene-derived materials possess a monumental potential for applications in broad areas such as conversion, electronics, energy storage and catalysis (Sun et al. 2011; Chen and Hsu 2011; Liu et al. 2012; Yu et al. 2012; Shih et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2012; Hou et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013a; Girishkumar et al. 2010; Jin et al. 2013; Hassoun et al. 2012; Pan et al. 2013; Yang et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013b; Zhang et al. 2013b; Zhu et al. 2012; Luo et al. 2012; Xu et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2013; Huang et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2011). Scheme 1 described the information on characteristics of graphene that enables its wide range of applications, and the features of graphene for different applications.

Graphene and their composites were widely employed for progress in supercapacitors. Where it has got significant attention, attributed to its exceptionally surface area achieved ~2542.0 m² g⁻¹ and its unique electrical conduction characteristic. Also, one layer of G performs extraordinary capacitance around ~20.0 μF cm⁻¹ which is larger than other composites based on C materials. The highest energy density of the supercapacitors depends on various parameters namely; electrode nature, current collectors, separators, type, and density of electrolyte, working voltage window of the cell, and the retention performance (El-Kady et al. 2016). Graphene, as an electrode material, has a large enrichment to the performance of the supercapacitor. It owns numerous obvious shapes in all four dimensions as quantum dots, wires (one dimensional), films (two dimensional), and monoliths (three dimensional). Further to the four-dimensional self-healing structure (Yadav and Devi 2020).

Graphene oxide material along with the reduced graphene oxide species are examined as possible electrode materials for supercapacitors because of their remarkably great specific surface area, superior electrical conductivity, and exceptional mechanical properties (Wang et al. 2009; Ke and Wang 2016). Michael et al. have synthesized an asymmetrical supercapacitor device based on graphene oxide via a simple screen-printing method. The capacitance was increased from 0.82 to 423 F g⁻¹, after graphene oxide incorporation. The device exhibited a power density of about 13.9 kW kg⁻¹ at the energy density up to 11.6 W h kg⁻¹. Also, Zhang et al. (2016f) have successfully synthesized a reduced graphene oxide/nickel foam electrode via flame-induced reduction of dry graphene oxide onto nickel foam. The produced composite material offers a specific capacitance that reaches 228.6 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹ and retained high cycling stability up to 94.7% after 10,000 cycles. The excellent performance is ascribed to the cross-linking disordered network along with the random distribution of the resulted pores that allows fast transport of ions to the active sites (Zhang et al. 2016f). Recently, Sahoo et al. (2016) have synthesized a novel
porous ternary nanohybrid based on NiMn$_2$O$_4$, reduced Graphene oxide, and Polyaniline as an excellent supercapacitor electrode material. The NiMn$_2$O$_4$/reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline shows a specific capacitance of 757 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$. Further, the electrode presented the highest energy density of (70 W h kg$^{-1}$) with retained about 93% after 2000 cycles (Fig. 22).

Mariappan et al. (2019) have synthesized ternary nanocomposites with varying weight portions of reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole/Co ferrite and reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole/Fe$_3$O$_4$ by a hydrothermal procedure (Fig. 23). The specific capacitance for 37 wt% reduced graphene oxide/58 wt% Polypyrrole/5 wt%Fe$_3$O$_4$ (FO5). 32 wt% reduced
graphene oxide/54 wt% polypyrrole/14 wt% Fe₃O₄ (FO14), 37 wt%rGO/58 wt% polypyrrole/5 wt% Co ferrite (CFO5), and 32 wt%rGO/54 wt% polypyrrole/14 wt%Co fer-
rite (CFO14) is reached to 261, 141, 108 and 68 F g⁻¹ at
1 A g⁻¹, respectively. Between the studied samples, FO5
presents high specific capacitance with excellent rate
ability and excellent cycling performances. The energy
density is observed to range between 18–4.2 W h kg⁻¹ at
a power density between 0.3–10.5 kW kg⁻¹, respectively.

Also, the doping graphene with nitrogen is an efficient
route to enhance its properties and therefore, it has been
used in lithium-ion batteries and supercapacitors. During a
nitrogen atom is doped into graphene, three public bonding
arrangements within the carbon lattice, namely pyridinic N,
pyrrolic N, and graphitic N (quaternary N) are seen (Fig. 24)
(Wang et al. 2012; Yadav and Dixit 2017).

For the illustration of pyridinic N, one Nitrogen atom
are replaced carbon matrix and then make chemical bonds
with 2 Carbon atoms at the graphene edges gives a one-
electron (p) to the π system. The reason for naming Pyrr-
olic N attributes to that the nitrogen atoms give 2 electrons
(p) to the π system and then create chemical bonds in the
ring with the 5 neighbors of C atoms. Finally, quaternary
nitrogen atoms that replace C atoms in the hexagonal ring.
Among these N-types, pyrrolic N appears a sp³ hybridized
while the other two types appear sp² hybridized (Yadav and
Devi 2020). The N-graphene displays various properties
compared with pure graphene. For example, the spin den-
sity and charge arrangement of C atoms will be effected
via the neighbor nitrogen substituents, which produces
the activation region on the graphene surface (Wang et al.
2012). Chen et al. (2013) have synthesized N-doped gra-
phene hydrogel via the hydrothermal approach. The fabri-
cated electrode exhibited extraordinary power density of
205 kW kg⁻¹ and retained about 92.5% capacitance after
4000 cycles at100 A g⁻¹. Recently, Rezanezhad et al. (2020)
have synthesized the Mn–Nd co-doped LaFeO₃ perovskite
NPs via the hydrothermal technique (Fig. 25). Subsequently,
the system was incorporated with N-Graphene oxide nano-
sheets. The La₀.₈Nd₀.₂Fe₀.₈Mn₀.₂O₃ sample shows a higher
specific capacitance of 158 F g⁻¹. Also, it was observed that
the incorporation of N-Graphene oxide mainly improves the
specific capacitance of the nanocomposite to increase up to
1060 F g⁻¹. Additionally, the composite exhibited excep-
tional capacity retention as 92.4% after 10,000 cycles which
higher than of those for the La₀.₈Nd₀.₂Fe₀.₈Mn₀.₂O₃ sample
(85.37%).

Xu et al. (2019c) have synthesized a NiS/MoS₂@N-
reduced graphene oxide composite through the hydrother-
al approach. The NiS/MoS₂@N-reduced graphene oxide
hybrid is employed as an electrode exhibiting an extraor-
dinary specific capacity (2225 F g⁻¹; at 1 A g⁻¹), and a high
rate of 1347.3 F g⁻¹ at 10 A g⁻¹. Also, the NiS/MoS₂@N-
reduced graphene oxide demonstrates unique capacitive
property reached 1028 F g⁻¹ at 1 A g⁻¹. Further, it gives
high energy density up to 35.69 W h kg⁻¹ at good power

Fig. 19 Preparation of 3D porous carbon nanosheet. The universal
method of preparing a carbon nanosheet from bark, which exists in a
tree’s construction is considered as environmentally friendly. Adapted
with permission from Li et al. (2019e) Copyright © 2019, American
Chemical Society
601.8 W kg\(^{-1}\). Besides, it possesses excellent cycle stability where it retained about 94.5% from its original capacitance 50,000 cycles (Fig. 26).

**Conducting polymers**

Conducting polymer hydrogels have been extensively-utilized in the field of energy storage as supercapacitors owing to many promising and useful attributes like wonderful electrochemical activities, good electrical conductivity, distinctive solid–liquid interface, high stretchability, unique elastic resilience and good energy and power densities (Li et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2020; Ma et al. 2019b; Qin et al. 2017; Wang 2019e).
et al. 2018b, 2019c). In this regard, the rationale of supercapacitors based on conducting polymer hydrogels, current challenges and future directions were explained in light of many recent research reports.

Stretchable supercapacitors with good mechanical properties are seen as very promising power supplies for electronic devices (Wang et al. 2019c). Zhaokun Yang et al. used a phytic acid-assisted molecular bridge to fabricate supercapacitors with high electrochemical activity and good mechanical properties through combining two kinds of conducting polymers, the poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) and polyaniline (Yang et al. 2019c). Phytic acid allowed the benzoic to quinoid structure’s transition. The obtained hydrogel possessed largely-improved mechanical characteristics compared to poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene), thanks to the molecular interaction between poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) and polyaniline. The recorded energy density was about 0.25 Mw h cm$^{-3}$ at 107.14 mW cm$^{-3}$ power density. This good activity was attributed to many factors including, the partial removal of polystyrene sulfonate from poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) and its conversion from benzoic to quinoid structure and the interaction between the employed polymers which allowed sustained electron and ion transfer and provided quick and reversible redox reactions. Another asymmetrical supercapacitor based on manganese oxide nanoflakes-loaded on polypyrrole nanowires was reported by Weidong He et al. via a simple and eco-friendly method (He et al. 2017). The prepared core–shell structure had a large surface area and permitted an efficient ion transfer due to the decreased distance of ion transmission. The synergistic impact of both MnO$_2$ and polypyrrole led to a relatively-high specific capacitance of 276 F g$^{-1}$ at 2 A g$^{-1}$. In addition, capacitance retained ratio of about 72.5% was recorded at harsh charge/discharge circumstances of 200 F g$^{-1}$ at 20 A g$^{-1}$. Moreover, good flexibility and mechanical stability indicated by minimal
capacitance reduction, high energy density (25.8 W h kg$^{-1}$ at 901.7 W kg$^{-1}$ power density), unique cycling stability of 90.3% at 3 A g$^{-1}$ after 6000 cycles and a high voltage window of 1.8–2 V were obtained. The electrochemical characteristics of the prepared MnO$_2$@polypyrrole flexible supercapacitor, were collected and are shown in Fig. 27.

To achieve further flexibility, Panpan Li et al. reported a macromolecular self-assembly-based method to develop a 3D Polyaniline/graphene hydrogel. The fabricated 3D Hybrid exhibited powerful interconnectivity and improved mechanical properties (Li et al. 2018). The suggested device showed high strain (around 40%) and achieved considerable energy density of 8.80 mW h cm$^{-3}$ at 30.77 mW cm$^{-3}$ power density. In addition to that, the proposed supercapacitor could avoid short-circuiting and effectively defeat large structural deformation.

Another comparative study to understand the role of conducting polymers in supercapacitors was carried out by Zichen Xu et al. where four different polymers including Polyaniline, polypyrrole, poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) and polythiophene were loaded on a composite of Zn sulfide and reduced graphene oxide as shown in Fig. 28 (Xu et al. 2020). The investigated samples were fabricated via polymerization of the conducting polymers on ZnS/reduced graphene oxide composite which was prepared by a hydrothermal route. All employed conducting polymers increased the specific capacitance and cyclic stability of the prepared composite. However, their result showed that the ZnS/reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline composite possessed the highest capacitance activity and cyclic stability. In the two-electrode configuration, the recorded stability and specific capacitances were 76.1% and 722 F g$^{-1}$ at 1 A g$^{-1}$, respectively after 1000 cycles. While, in the three-electrode system, the obtained specific capacitance and stability were 1045.3 F g$^{-1}$ and 160% at the same conditions. In addition, the maximum power and energy densities were 18 kW kg$^{-1}$ and 349.7 W h kg$^{-1}$. This superior characteristic of the ZnS/reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline composite was attributed to N and S active sites of this composite which fostered electrolyte penetration during cycling and allowed further active sites.

Fig. 22 Preparation of NiMn$_2$O$_4$/reduced graphene oxide/polyaniline displays the synthesis mechanism of the ternary nanocomposite. Originally, the hydrothermal conditions induced the formation of NiMn$_2$O$_4$ on the surface of graphene. Lastly, an in situ polymerization method was conducted to fabricate Polyaniline on the binary composite. Adapted with permission from Sahoo et al. (2016), Copyright (2016) Elsevier.
Fig. 23 Capacitive and diffusion measured capacitance parts for synthesized ternary hybrid nanocomposites with varying weight portions of reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole/Co ferrite and reduced graphene oxide/polypyrrole/Fe$_3$O$_4$ a FO5, b FO14, c CFO5, and d CFO14. e, f Trasatti plot for evaluation the specific capacitance contribution of the external surface of the electrode for all nanocomposites. Adapted with permission from Mariappan et al. (2019), Copyright (2019) Elsevier
Highly-flexible, conducting polymer-based supercapacitors were fabricated by Qingqing Qin et al. by employing polybenzimidazole of 100 megapascals tensile strength (Qin et al. 2017). In their study, graphite paper-coated activated carbon was integrated with the polybenzimidazole conducting polymer. The obtained device showed low series resistance and very high capacitance retention stability more than 90% after 10,000 cycles. Besides, the electrochemical performance of the tested supercapacitors remained stable after twisting, bending and rolling; indicating their unique flexibility and mechanical damage-resistant reliability.

Stretchable electrodes are the basis of stretchable supercapacitors. Xi Wang et al. reported the fabrication of stretchable electrodes based on polyaniline or poly(1,5-diaminoanthraquinone) polymers supporting acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes composite (Wang et al. 2018b). The prepared acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes loaded on poly(1,5-diaminoanthraquinone) and acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes loaded on Polyaniline exhibited a large volumetric capacitance at 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ of about 20.2 F cm$^{-3}$ and 17.2 F cm$^{-3}$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 29. The unique energy density of about 2.14 mW h cm$^{-3}$ was obtained after assembling asymmetrical supercapacitor by employing poly(1,5-diaminoanthraquinone)-loaded acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes as the anode and polyaniline-loaded acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes as the cathode. Moreover, capacitance retention of 86% at 30 mA cm$^{-2}$ and good cycling stability after harsh strain conditions were achieved.

Carbon nanotubes have allowed the uniform distribution of conducting polymers without any need of binding compounds or linkers. Besides, they possess excellent conducting and mechanical properties. Frackowiak et al. (2006), reported the fabrication of three different composites made of multiwall carbon nanotubes, polyaniline, polypyrrole and poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) conducting polymers. The prepared composites exhibited both pseudo-capacitance and electrostatic attraction. The employed multiwall carbon nanotubes allowed good mechanical properties and preserved the active materials of the tested conducting polymers from mechanical deformation during long cycling measurements. A range of capacitance values from 100 to 330 F g$^{-1}$ was obtained at capacitance voltage 0.6–1.8 V using various asymmetric configurations. This unique performance was attributed to the presence of multiwall carbon nanotubes which allowed high charge/discharge rates through an enhanced charge transfer.

A similar study was conducted by employing reduced graphene oxide sheets. Jintao Zhang et al. reported the in situ polymerization of poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene), polyaniline, and polypyrrole on the surface of reduced graphene oxide (Zhang and Zhao 2012). Due to the synergic effect of conducting polymers and reduced graphene oxide sheets. The prepared nanocomposites displaced above 80% retained capacitance after 1000 cycles. In addition, reduced graphene oxide@polyaniline composite showed 361 F g$^{-1}$ specific capacitance at 0.3 A g$^{-1}$ current density. While specific capacitances of 248 F g$^{-1}$ and 108 F g$^{-1}$ were recorded for reduced graphene oxide–polypyrrole and reduced graphene oxide@poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) composites, respectively, as shown in Fig. 30.

Based on the electrostatic attraction between surfactants of positive charge and negatively-charged graphene oxide sheets, Zhang et al. reported a simple and cost-effective method for the preparation of graphene oxide@polypyrrole sandwich structure (Zhang et al. 2010). The prepared composite showed a unique performance with a capacitance of 500 F g$^{-1}$. High cyclic stability was also achieved. The reported properties were attributed to many factors including, exfoliated graphene oxide which enabled many active sites for both sides’ conjugation of polypyrrole, the prepared 3D structure enabled cyclic stability, resistance reduction by graphene oxide and polypyrrole which effectively-contributed to the overall capacitance.

Similarly, Wang et al. (2005) used the electrochemical route for synthesizing carbon nanotubes@polypyrrole composite. The composite was prepared via polypyrrole plating into the host membrane’s pores. High conductivity (I–V relation) and stability were obtained as shown in Fig. 31. Another configuration based on poly(N-phenylglycine) conducting polymer was reported by Vedi Kuyil et al. which was synthesized via in situ polymerization and N-phenylglycine’s electrodeposition on exfoliated graphite sheets (Muniraj et al. 2020). The electrochemical performance of the investigated device showed a unique specific capacitance.
at 10 mV s\(^{-1}\) of 367 mF cm\(^{-2}\). Interestingly, an outstanding 8.36 µW h cm\(^{-2}\) energy was recorded at 1.65 mW cm\(^{-2}\) power density using 1.1 V potential window.

Dirican et al. (2020) reported electrodeposition and electrospinning-based method for the fabrication of Polyaniline@MnO\(_2\)@porous carbon nanofibers for supercapacitors.
The proposed device combined the advantages of porous carbon nanofibers' good cyclic stability, large conductivity of Polyaniline and MnO₂ nanoparticles' high pseudocapacitance. As a result, the prepared device exhibited high capacitance of about 289 F g⁻¹ and large retained capacitance of 91% after 1000 cycles as shown in Fig. 32. Besides, the configuration of the asymmetrical cell showed an enhanced energy density of 119 Wh kg⁻¹ and 322 W kg⁻¹ power density.

Recent studies on polymer-based supercapacitors are summarized in Table 3.

**Bibliometric analysis**

Prior to the bibliometric analysis, preliminary Web of Science results showed there were only two publications in the last three years using the search criteria of TOPIC: (“supercapacitor”) AND TOPIC: (“transition metal”) AND TOPIC: (spinel ferrites) Timespan: Last 5 years. Indexes: SCI-EXPANDED, SSCI, A&HCI, CPCI-S, CPCI-SSH, ESCI. Additionally, the document types are research articles, this indicates that there is a significant gap in the literature regarding spinel ferrites and transition metal ions (oxide or sulfide). On the other hand, using the search criteria (TOPIC: (“supercapacitor”) AND TOPIC: (“conducting polymer”) over a similar time frame indicated 364 results for the conducting polymers, this clearly shows there is an...
abundant amount of research regarding conducting polymers as supercapacitors. Among the results, there are 323 research articles along with 28 review articles.

The bibliometric mapping of supercapacitors over the last 5 years showed 964 results using the search criteria (from Web of Science Core Collection) “TOPIC: (supercapacitor transition metal) OR “supercapacitor” over the last 5 years. Again, as seen in Fig. 33 most of the research outputs are conducting polymers and graphene in the energy storage field. Another identified cluster (shown in green) is the growing field of composite materials used as supercapacitors. As seen in the density visualization map (Fig. 34), derived from bibliometric results, there are prominent keywords that dominate the existing research. These include but not limited to graphene, nanostructure and Ni foam. Interestingly, composites fall slightly outside the dense region.

**Conclusion**

Supercapacitors were employed for normal applications like memory protection and internal battery backup. However, in recent years, the application area has widened significantly toward hybrid carriers, smartphones, and energy collection. The latest technologies on the horizon encourage making and placing supercapacitors into direct competition with rechargeable batteries.

In this review, we selected various electrode materials such as spinel ferrites, perovskite oxides, transition metals sulfides, carbon materials, and conducting polymer materials and evaluated their performance and outlined their advantages and disadvantages in the application of supercapacitors. The current review highlights the available literature documented on the electrochemical activities of nanostructured of selected materials, their composites, and possible approaches to implementing these materials in Li-ion batteries in the soon future.

The spinel ferrite and perovskite oxides based materials present notable discharge capacities of 1000 mA h g⁻¹, which is two to three times higher than that those obtained via graphite anodes (Yuvaraj et al. 2016; Yin et al. 2013). In magnetic oxides and through the initial discharging cycle, the crystal structure is destructed into different mineral particles following with the production of the Li₂O form. As performed mineral particles promote the electrochemical action using the production/destruction of Li₂O that supplies...
the route for the conversion reaction mechanism. The magnetic oxides have many crystals whose shapes depend upon, the synthesizing technique, and temperature of the annealing process. Besides, their specific capacitance and better cycling stability are dependent on the crystals’ shape (Ajay et al. 2015). Also, the replacement of multiple cations into the A- or B-sites can change the symmetry of the pristine structure and consequently, the physical and chemical properties (Zhang et al. 2016c). The magnetic oxides (spinel ferrites and perovskite oxides) as anodes holds an edge for supercapacitors and hybrid supercapacitors (Liu et al. 2018c). Hence, the immense content of oxygen vacancies (O\text{vacancy}), and remarkable conductivity allow their extraordinary energy densities. Also, the perovskites store charge by oxygen intercalation and the excellent diffusion pathways along crystal domain boundaries leading the promotion of the dispersion rate (Nan et al. 2019). However, the transition metal sulfides are promising materials for energy storage applications because of their excellent electrochemical characteristics. The electrochemical characteristics of transition metal sulfides are much better than that of transition metal oxides; this is can be explained by the presence of sulfur atoms instead of oxygen atoms. Hence, the lower electron-egativity of sulfur than that of oxygen facilitates electron transfer in the metal sulfide structure easier than that in the metal oxide form. Thus, replacing oxygen with sulfur, provides more flexibility for nanomaterials synthesis and fabrication (Jiang et al. 2016).

However, the lower conductivity, low cycling stability and volume change during charge/discharge cycles of metals oxides and transition metal sulfides make them insufficient materials for performing supercapacitors. To defeat those disadvantages, the conducting polymers or conducting materials were added to the magnetic oxides or transition
metal sulfides to amplify the electronic conductivity and to enhance the cycling stability (Yang et al. 2018; Qiao et al. 2018). Conducting polymer hydrogels have been extensively used in the field of energy storage for supercapacitors production owing to many promising and outstanding properties like powerful electrochemical activities, improved electrical conductivity, distinctive solid–liquid interface, high stretchability, unique elastic resilience and good power and energy densities (Li et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2020; Ma et al. 2019b; Qin et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2018b, 2019c). Also, graphene has received great attention in research owing to its extraordinary features, such as high conductivity, powerful mechanical strength, large specific area, porosity, and electrochemically active nature. The result showed that the composites that comprise of magnetic oxides or transition metal sulfides with conducting polymers or conducting materials possessed the highest capacitance activity and cyclic stability. These superior characteristics of these composites were attributed to oxygen and S active sites of this composite which fostered electrolyte penetration during cycling and allowed further active sites (Xu et al. 2020).

In brief, it is deduced that the electrochemical achievement of the magnetic oxides or transition metal sulfides is improved in the following techniques: designed magnetic oxides or transition metal sulfides that have considerable surface areas, possess a huge porosity, composites with carbonaceous materials (core–shells and graphene), and/or conducting polymers, that decrease the irreversible capacity loss and the production of stable supercapacitors. Hence, mixed-magnetic oxides or transition metal sulfides and their composites are the ideal prospective materials for the next generation of energy-storage applications.

Fig. 29  a Cyclic voltammetry curves measured at 10 mV s⁻¹, b the galvanostatic charge/discharge curves, c capacitance vs current density and d capacitance versus cycle number of the fabricated acrylate rubber/multi-wall carbon nanotubes/poly (1,5-diaminoanthraquinone). Adapted with permission from Ref. Wang et al. (2018b), Copyright 2018, Royal Society of chemistry
Fig. 30 Cyclic voltammograms of a reduced graphene oxide@poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) composite, b reduced graphene oxide@polypyrrole composite and c reduced graphene oxide@polyaniline composite, d charge/discharge pattern of reduced graphene oxide@poly(3,4-ethylene dioxythiophene) composite, e reduced graphene oxide@polypyrrole composite and f reduced graphene oxide@polyaniline composite. Adapted with permission from Ref. Zhang and Zhao (2012), Copyright 2012, American Chemical Society.

Fig. 31 Cyclic voltammetry curves of a carbon nanotubes and Cl−-doped polypyrrole nanowires b polypyrrole films. Adapted with permission from Ref. Wang et al. (2005), Copyright 2004, American Chemical Society.
Fig. 32  

(a) Galvanostatic charge/discharge patterns of polyaniline@MnO$_2$@porous carbon nanofibers, MnO$_2$@porous carbon nanofibers and porous carbon nanofibers, MnO$_2$@PCNFs and Polyamine@MnO$_2$@porous carbon nanofibers and (c) retained capacitance of Polyamine@MnO$_2$@porous carbon nanofibers, MnO$_2$@porous carbon nanofibers and porous carbon nanofibers. The prepared device exhibited high capacitance (289 F g$^{-1}$) and largely retained capacitance. Adapted with permission from Dirican et al. (2020), Copyright 2020, Elsevier
| Electrode material                  | Electrolyte                                      | Current density (A g⁻¹) | Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹)/areal capacitance (mF cm⁻²) | Stability %/no. of cycles | Retained specific capacitance % | Energy density (W h kg⁻¹)/power density (kW kg⁻¹) | References                      |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| NaₓMnO₂@carbon nanotubes         | potassium poly(acrylate)@water-born polyurethane in 1 M Na₂SO₄ | 1                      | 36.8                                                    | 97/10,000                 | 93.4                            | 16.38/1.04                                | Wang et al. (2020b)              |
| S-doped polyaniline nanotubes@Ni(OH)₂ nanosponge | Poly(vinyl alcohol) in 3 M KOH                     | 2                      | 622                                                     | 10,000                    | 97                              | 70/136                                    | Bhaumik et al. (2020)            |
| Phosphomolybdic acid/polypyrrole  | Poly(vinyl alcohol)-H₂SO₄                         | 0.5                    | 162.1                                                   | 1000                      | 80                              | 50.66/750                                 | Wang et al. (2020c)              |
| Reduced graphene oxide/molybdenum disulfide/poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) | 1 M H₂SO₄                                         | 0.5 mA cm⁻²             | 241.81 mF cm⁻²                                          | 5000                      | 93.7                            | 1.44 μW h cm⁻²/0.058 mW cm⁻²           | Chen et al. (2020)               |
| Nitrogen-doped graphene/polypyrrole | 1 M H₂SO₄                                         | 0.5                    | 620                                                     | 5000                      | 87.4                            | 31.14/800                                 | Ge et al. (2020)                 |
| Binary MXenes Ti₃C₂/polyprrole    | 2 M H₂SO₄                                         | 1.05 mA cm⁻²            | 109.4 mF cm⁻²                                           | 10,000                    | 96                              | 3.398 μW h cm⁻²/0.0845 Mw cm⁻²          | Zhang et al. (2020c)             |
| Na-poly(vinyl alcohol)            | Poly(vinyl alcohol)                               | 313 mA g⁻¹              | 103.7 mF cm⁻²                                           | 1000 ~ 100                | ~                              | 6.5/161.4                                 | Wang et al. (2020d)              |
| Cyclodextrin polymer@polyaniline/carbon nanotube | 1 M H₂SO₄                                         | 1                      | 107.4                                                   | 5000                      | 97                              | –                                         | Zhang et al. (2020d)             |
| Multi-channel carbon nanofibers@SnO₂ | 6 M KOH                                           | 0.5                    | 406                                                     | 10,000                    | 95                              | 11.5/451                                  | Cao et al. (2020)                |
| Electrode material                                                                 | Electrolyte                          | Current density (A g⁻¹) | Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹)/areal capacitance (mF cm⁻²) | Stability %/no. of cycles | Retained specific capacitance % | Energy density (W h kg⁻¹)/power density (kW kg⁻¹) | References                                |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene-co-methylpyrrole)                               | 0.5 M LiClO₄                         | 0.5                     | 69.2                                                     | 5000                      | 65.4                            | –                                            | Lacerda et al. (2020)                     |
| Polyester (PET)/metal organic frameworks/reduced graphene oxide                  | Poly(vinyl alcohol) + H₂SO₄          | 0.05 mA cm⁻²            | 510 mF cm⁻²                                             | 1000                      | 85                              | 64 μW h cm⁻³/0.6 mW cm⁻³                 | Barakzehi et al. (2020)                   |
| Polypyrrole                                                                      | 1 M NaCl                             | 0.25 mA cm⁻²            | 120                                                     | 1000                      | 88                              | 1.16 μW h cm⁻³/35 μW cm⁻²               | Zhao et al. (2020)                       |
| Poly(N-methylpyrrole)@activated carbon/poly(N-methylpyrrole)@nickel telluride doped with selenide (NiTe:Se) | 6 M KOH                             | 5                       | 127 mF cm⁻²                                             | 1600                      | 99.95                           | 34/807                                      | Deshagani et al. (2020)                  |
| Polyaniline/multiwall carbon nanotubes 2 wt%                                     | 1 M H₂SO₄                           | 1                       | 1183                                                    | 1000                      | 87                              | 183.18                                      | Awata et al. (2020)                      |
| Nickel–cobalt hydroxide hybrid reduced graphene-based fiber                       | PVA/KOH                             | 0.7                     | 763                                                     | 10,000                    | 87                              | 50.7/1642.1                               | Zhou et al. (2020)                       |
Fig. 33  Bibliometric network mapping of the supercapacitors research field in the last 5 years
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