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Regulators of innate immunity as novel targets for panviral therapeutics
Salwa Es-Saad\textsuperscript{1,3}, Nicolas Tremblay\textsuperscript{1,3}, Martin Baril\textsuperscript{1} and Daniel Lamarre\textsuperscript{1,2}

Interferons (IFNs) have long been used as an immunomodulatory therapy for a large array of acute and chronic viral infections. However, IFN therapies have been plagued by severe side effects. The discovery of pathogen recognition receptors (PRR) rejuvenated the interest for immunomodulatory therapies. The successes obtained with Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists in activating immune cells and as adjuvant for prophylactic vaccines against different viruses paved the way to targeted immunomodulatory therapy. Better characterization of pathogen-induced immune disorders and newly discovered regulators of innate immunity have now the potential to specifically withdraw prevailing subversion mechanisms and to transform antiviral treatments by introducing panviral therapeutics with less adverse effects than IFN therapies.
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Introduction
The innate immune system is the first line of defense for organisms that possess an adaptive immune system. It relies on the presence of specific receptors able to recognize recurring pattern in molecules associated with pathogens but not with host cells, allowing discrimination between self and non-self. These receptors are named pattern recognition receptors (PRR) and recognized pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) to induce the expression of cytokines and chemokines that restrict dissemination, eliminate pathogens and instruct pathogen-specific adaptive immune responses. In the recent years, tremendous advances in the characterization of PRR families, nucleic acid sensing, downstream signaling pathways and effector responses have revealed essential role of novel proteins and dynamic protein interactions network in the triggering of immune responses to intracellular pathogen such as viruses. In the near future, targeting specific regulators of PRR-mediated innate response to withdraw viral subversion mechanisms, and access to novel surrogate measurable effecter markers, hold the promise of new panviral therapeutics that will minimize adverse effects associated with type I IFN therapy. This review briefly summarizes strategies and challenges of present and future targeted immunomodulatory therapies according to our increasing knowledge in regulation of innate immunity and of virus-induced immune host dysfunction.

Toward a better understanding of the innate immune response to viral infection
Signaling PPRs include the major families of Toll-like receptors (TLRs), retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs) and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs). Pathogen sensing takes place in all nucleated cells to generate cell-intrinsic innate immunity and in professional antigen presenting cells (APCs) to promote specific adaptive immune responses. While TLRs sense PAMPs in the extracellular space and endosomes, RLRs and NLRs function as pathogen sensors in intracellular compartments [1]. Interestingly, only a few of the known 13 TLRs have the ability to recognize viral molecules: TLR3 for viral dsRNA, TLR7/8 for viral ssRNA and TLR9 for viral unmethylated CpG DNA. Three cytosolic sensors of viral RNA have been characterized thus far: RIG-I for the sensing of 5’ triphosphate structure and blunt-end base paring, MDA5 for the sensing of long dsRNA and LGP2 a CARDless regulator of its counterparts [2]. Following their activation, the CARD domain of RIG-I and MDA5 interacts with the CARD domain of the signaling adaptor MAVS (mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein) [3\textsuperscript{**}]. Both TLR and RLR viral sensing pathways converge to activate IFN regulatory factor IRF3-mediated and IRF7-mediated type I IFN (α/β) antiviral response and NF-κB-mediated inflammatory pathway [4] (Figure 1). Recent studies aims at better defining innate immune responses have identified several novel signaling and regulatory molecules [5]. Global proteomic analysis has further revealed signaling modules with high interconnectivity and adaptor proteins regulating signalosome assembly upon antiviral response and type I IFN production [6\textsuperscript{**}].

PRR signaling in initiation of specific adaptive immune response
TLR-mediated and RLR-mediated antiviral responses take place at the site of infection in nonimmune cells and resting immune cells, where secreted pro-inflammatory...
TLR and RLR signaling. Viral nucleic acids are recognized by endosomal and cytoplasmic PRRs. Activation of MYD88-dependent TLR7/8/9 signaling, TRIF-dependent TLR3 signaling and RIG-I/MDA5 signaling results in nuclear translocation of IFR3/7 and NF-κB transcriptional factors, leading to type I IFN and proinflammatory cytokines production. Effectors of innate immune response allow mounting of an optimal adaptive immune response. Viral evasion strategies are also identified that interfere with TLR/RLR and IFN signaling pathways. Abbreviations: AdV: Adenovirus, CSFV: Classical Swine Fever Virus, CVB3: Coxackievirus B3, EBV: Epstein-Barr Virus, GBV-B: GB virus B, HAV/HDV/HCV/HDV: Hepatitis A/B/C/D virus, HIV-1: Human Immunodeficiency Virus type 1, HPV: Human Papillomavirus, HRV1a: Human Rhinovirus 1a, KFSV: Kaposi’s Sarcoma Virus, RSV: Respiratory Syncytial Virus, SARS-CoV: SARS coronavirus, VACV: Vaccinia Virus, VSV: Vesicular Stomatitis Virus, WN: West Nile Virus.

cytokines and type I IFNs increase expression of MHC class II antigens, CD40 and CD86 on APCs [7]. Cytokines produced at sites of infection play a key role in the activation and differentiation of dendritic cells (DC), macrophages, neutrophils and NK cells, all major players of the innate immune response [8] (Figure 1). When mature DCs detect virus derived antigens, they migrate to the lymph nodes to present antigens to CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and B cells, inducing their activation [9]. Thus, modulation of PRR-mediated antiviral responses can have important ripple effects on both qualitative and quantitative aspects of the specific adaptive immune responses to maximize the therapeutic potential of immunomodulatory drugs [10].

Negative regulation of innate immune response and pathological consequences
Antiviral innate response must be tightly regulated in order to prevent uncontrolled production of cytokines that might have deleterious effects on the host. Type I IFN signature induced by PRR activation has been observed in diverse autoimmune disorders including diabetes, and is believed to play a role in the induction of chronic inflammatory disorders such as asthma and rheumatoid arthritis. In the recent years, a better picture has emerged in the biology of regulators illustrating the existence of numerous negative regulators that often play a nonredundant role and target the same positive regulator [5]. Many negative regulators have been characterized that are either involved in direct interaction with PRRs, dissociation of adaptors complexes, degradation of signal proteins or transcriptional regulation [12]. Post-translational modifications (phosphorylation and ubiquitination) have emerged as key mechanisms to regulate innate immune responses. Degradation of signal proteins mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome and autophagy systems plays crucial roles in negative regulation of TLR signaling, and unlike disruption of adaptors
contributes to termination of signaling as these degradations are irreversible [11]. Examples include proteins SOCS and PIN1 that promote polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of Mal adaptor and IRF3/7 respectively, to suppress type I IFN and antiviral responses. Recently, miRNAs have also emerged as fine tuners of innate immune responses, which target mRNAs encoding TLRs, intracellular signaling proteins and cytokines. Examples include miR-146 that targets IRAK1 and TRAF6, and miR-155 that targets MYD88, TAB2 and IKKε [12]. Thus, targeting specific negative regulators of the innate immune response may offer a new immunotherapeutic strategy to treat a range of infectious and inflammatory diseases [13].

Viral subversion mechanisms
Cellular defence has evolutionarily challenged viruses that in turn have developed strategies to counteract innate immune response. Indeed TLR and RLR sensing pathways are fundamental targets for virus-encoded immune suppression. These viral subversion mechanisms include recruitment of ubiquitin proteasome system, mimicry of the host cell components and sequestration and cleavage of key components of the immune system. One notable example is MAVS adaptor that is targeted by numerous viruses through proteolytic cleavage by hepatitis C virus (HCV), hepatitis A virus (HAV), Coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3), human rhinovirus 1a (HRV1a) and GB virus B (GBV-B), through decrease of the mitochondrial membrane potential by influenza A virus (FLU) or through inhibition of its interaction with RIG-I by hepatitis B virus (HBV). Processes of viral evasion are varied and are beyond the scope of this review, but are recapitulated in Figure 1 (reviewed in [14]). Importantly, host proteins targeted by multiples viruses highlight key players of innate immunity, which represent potential therapeutic targets to restore antiviral response and eventually cure cells from viruses. However, these specific viral evasion strategies must also be taken into account when developing immunomodulatory therapeutics to provide the greatest clinical benefits.

IFNs: pioneer of panviral therapies
Type I IFNs were rapidly used as a therapeutic agent against HBV and HCV, and demonstrated antiviral activity against infection with SARS-CoV [15], FLU [16], West Nile virus (WNV) [17], yellow fever virus (YFV) [18] and Ebola virus [19]. Refinement of therapies was explored with the development of improved IFN molecules like consensus interferon (CIFN: a completely synthetic interferon) [20], albinterferon (a fusion protein between IFNα2a and human albumin) [21] and Y shape interferon [22]. Recently, virus-induced type III IFNs (IFN-λ1-3: IL-29, IL-28A, IL-28B) have gained a lot of interest to treat viral infections since naturally occurring variants of the IL28B gene have been a major prediction factor in spontaneous and treatment-induced clearance of HCV [23,24]. Early clinical trials of recombinant pegylated-IFN-λ1 in HCV-infected patients showed reduced adverse effects compared to IFN-α, likely linked to minimal expression of IFN-λ receptors in hematopoietic cells [25,26].

TLR targeted therapies (Table 1)
The discovery of TLRs heralded the rebirth of interest in innate immunity. Their specificity in recognizing most classes of pathogens, as well as their role in the pathogenesis of multiple diseases represent the strongest evidences that TLRs are valuable therapeutic targets. TLR targeted drugs have been approved and small-molecule compounds are being investigated in the treatment of viral infections as stand-alone treatment or adjunct to direct acting antivirals (DAAs).

Imidazoquinolines
The most advanced examples of TLR agonists are Imiquimod (Aldara, 3M) and Resiquimod (R-848, 3M), which are members of the imidazoquinolinamines [27*]. Imiquimod is the only approved TLR7 agonist and is used for topical treatment of external genital and perianal warts resulting from human papillomavirus (HPV) infection [28]. Resiquimod is a mixed TLR7/8 agonist that reached phase III trial for the treatment of genital herpes before being suspended due to a lack of efficacy [29].

Isatoribine
ANA-773 (Anadys Pharmaceuticals) is a second generation of orally bioavailable produg of isatoribine that signals through TLR7, which is expressed in B cells and DCs [30]. In HCV infected patients, ANA-773 was generally well tolerated and resulted in a significant −1.26 log_{10} decrease in HCV RNA levels following 10 days of treatments [31]. ANA-773 is now assessed in phase IIa, and its efficacy will be evaluated in combination with ribavirin and DAAs as an IFN replacement.

Immunomodulatory oligonucleotides
Synthetic cytosine-phosphate-guanine containing oligodeoxynucleotides (CpG-ODNs) are potent TLR9 agonists, which interact directly with DCs to stimulate cytokine release and induce adaptive immune responses [32]. In Phase I clinical trials, subcutaneous administration of IMO-2125 (Idera Pharmaceuticals) as monotherapy resulted in a more than −1 log_{10} decrease in HCV RNA levels in prior nonresponders to PEG-IFN/ribavirin after 4 weeks [33], and in combination with ribavirin to a −2.4 log_{10} decrease in HCV RNA in treatment-naive patients at day 29 [34*,35]. On the basis of its efficacy, IMO-2125 could provide an alternative to IFNs for HCV therapy. However, Idera Pharmaceuticals delayed a phase II study after the observation of atypical lymphocytic proliferation in preclinical toxicology study.
Vaccine adjuvants using TLR agonists

TLR agonists have been an extensively explored area in the development of vaccine adjuvants for prophylactic and therapeutic applications by linking innate and adaptive immune systems. The proof-of-concept of this approach was made with the AS04 adjuvant system that combines monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA), an agonist of the TLR4 receptor and aluminium salt [36–38]. AS04 has been approved in prophylactic vaccine against HBV (Fendrix, GlaxoSmithKline) [39] and HPV 16 and 18 (Cervarix, GlaxoSmithKline) [40]. The mechanism of action of AS04 is mediated by a transient and local activation of NF-κB activity and cytokine production, thus providing an innate immune signal for optimal activation of APCs [41]. Other notable examples of adjuvants in clinical development are Heplisav and VaxInnate. Heplisav is a HBV vaccine comprised of an immunostimulatory sequence (ISS-1018, Dynavax Technologies) that targets TLR9 receptor and HBV surface antigen. In phase III clinical trials, Heplisav demonstrated earlier and higher protection with fewer doses than currently licensed vaccines [42]. VaxInnate Corporation is developing vaccines using highly conserved influenza immunogens fused to TLR5 agonist Salmonella typhimurium flagellin type 2 as an adjuvant to potentially protect against all strains of seasonal and pandemic FLU strains (VAX102, VAX125, VAX128 and VAX168) [43–45].

Future immunomodulatory targeted therapy and panviral approaches (Table 2)

In the past decade, many newly emerging or re-emerging virus infections and fear of future pandemics have accentuated the need for novel antiviral therapy. Panviral therapeutics with a targeted therapy approach would be an ideal treatment for acute and chronic viral infections, either as a standalone treatment or in combination with DAAs. The major challenge in developing future immunomodulatory therapy will be to minimize adverse effects. The aggravation of psoriatic plaques in HPV-infected patients treated with Imiquimod illustrates that triggering innate immune responses can lead to uncontrolled activation of the inflammatory response. Furthermore, immunomodulatory molecules, such as peptidoglycans, that bind to multiple PRRs (TLR2, NOD proteins and peptidoglycan recognition proteins) increase the risk of undesired side effects. Development of therapeutics will require more extensive structural information of receptor–ligand interaction to maximize the specificity and avoid undesired interactions.

The selection of specific targets will require a comprehensive knowledge of innate immunity signaling pathways and regulators that are induced by and common to numerous viral infections. The mapping of an innate

Table 1

| Compound              | Class              | Viral Disease   | Target | Compagny              | Clinical Status   |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Imiquimod (Alara)     | Imidazoquinoline   | HPV             | TLR7   | 3M Pharma             | Marked            |
| Resquimod             | Imidazoquinoline   | HCV, HPV        | TLR7/TLR8 | 3M Pharma Anadys Pharmaceuticals | Suspended in phase III |
| ANA773                | prodrug of isatoribine | HCV           | TLR7   | Coley Pharmaceuticals Idera Pharmaceuticals | Phase II |
| CPG10101              | Cpg ODN           | HCV             | TLR9   | Phase I               |
| IMO-2125              | Cpg ODN           | HCV             | TLR9   | Phase I               |

Vaccine adjuvants

- **Fendrix**
  - AS04 + HBV surface antigen
  - HBV
  - TLR4
  - GlaxoSmithKline
  - Marketed

- **Cervarix**
  - AS04 + HPV 16 & 18 L1 antigen
  - HPV
  - TLR4
  - GlaxoSmithKline
  - Marketed

- **Heplisav (ISS-1018)**
  - Cpg ODN + HBV surface antigen
  - HBV
  - TLR9
  - Dynavax Technologies
  - Phase III

- **Vax125**
  - Flagellin + FLU HA antigen
  - Influenza
  - TLR5
  - VaxInnate Corporation
  - Phase II

- **Vax102**
  - Flagellin + FLU M2e antigen
  - Influenza
  - TLR5
  - VaxInnate Corporation
  - Phase I
immune protein interaction network regulating IFNB1 has revealed signaling modules with high interconnectivity including MAVS, TBK1 and IRAK [6**]. Each module interacts with many signaling proteins of the pathway offering multiple drug targets with specific immune effector function. Using a genome-wide RNAi screen assessing virus-induced IFNB1 transcription in human cells, we identified novel proteins and pathways capable of negatively and positively regulating innate immune responses (unpublished data). Comprehensive epistasis analysis of the various regulators acting at different steps of the antiviral responses from virus sensing, signal propagation/amplification up to feedback regulation, offers valuable information for selection of drug targets. In principle, strategies of targeted therapy could include small molecule-mediated activation of positive regulators or inhibition of negative regulators. An example of targeting a negative regulator could be the immuno-miRNA miR-155, which is induced by virus infection and down-regulate MYD88, IRAK3, TAB2 and IKKε gene expression to suppress TLR signaling [12]. Silencing miR-155 function using antagonirs or locked nucleic acid (LNA) in infected cells could potentially restore TLR signaling.

A better knowledge of surrogate end point measurable makers of immune effector function (correlating with pan antiviral efficacy) in relevant infected biological material will undoubtedly enhance selection process and therapeutic value of drug targets. Indeed, microarray analysis of infected primary cells can be used to identify early and late response innate immune genes, as well as virus-mediated inhibition of these genes [46–48]. Finally, the knowledge of virus-induced immune host dysfunction and of immune proteins targeted by multiples viruses will validate key viral host interfaces, leading to hypothesis-driven selection of therapeutic targets intended to restore innate immune responses.

Conclusions

TLRs agonists reflect substantial promise as therapeutic targets and demonstrate the huge potential of targeting innate immunity in fighting viral infections. In the future, integration of structural, proteomics and functional genomics data will pave the way to the identification of key regulators of innate immunity. Targeting immune regulators that promote PRR signaling to maintain transient activation of innate immune responses upon viral infection should pioneer the discovery of panviral therapeutics. Such targeted immunomodulatory therapy approach could change the way we treat infectious diseases by allowing a single treatment to be effective against numerous viruses, with minimal viral breakthrough. In the near future, the increasing availability and potency of new targeted immunomodulatory panviral therapeutics could allow the re-thinking of temporal aspects of treatments that, in combination with available DAAs, could achieve viral eradication. The ultimate goal is to shape TLR-dependent and RLR-dependent innate immune responses to restore antiviral effects and to generate an optimal global immune response, while controlling inflammation.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR-Cl-103135) and by the Novartis/Canadian Liver Foundation Hepatology Research Chair to DL. NT is a recipient of a Ph.D. scholarship from the Fonds de Recherche en Santé du Québec (FRSQ).
References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

● of special interest
** of outstanding interest

1. Takeuchi O, Akira S: Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 2010, 140:905-920.

2. Luo YM, Gale M Jr: Immune signaling by RIG-I-like receptors. Immunity 2011, 34:680-692.

3. Hou F, Sun L, Zheng H, Skaug B, Jiang QX, Chen ZJ: MAVS forms functional prion-like aggregates to activate and propagate antiviral innate immune response. Cell 2011, 146:448-461.

4. Kawai T, Akira S: Toll-like receptors and their crosstalk with other innate receptors in infection and immunity. Immunity 2011, 34:637-650.

5. Eisenacher K, Krug A: Regulation of RLR-mediated innate immune signaling — it is all about keeping the balance. Eur J Cell Biol 2012, 91:36-47.

6. Li S, Wang L, Berman M, Kong YY, Dorf ME: Mapping a dynamic innate immune protein interaction network regulating type I interferon production. Immunity 2011, 35:426-440.

7. Hervas-Stubbs S, Perez-Gracia JL, Rouzaud A, Sanmann MF, Le Bon A, Melero I: Direct effects of type I interferons on cells of the immune system. Clin Cancer Res 2011, 17:2619-2627.

8. Wang BX, Fish EN: The yin and yang of viruses and interferons. Trends Immunol 2012, 33:190-197.

9. Gao Y, Majchrzak-Kita B, Fish EN, Gommner JL: Dynamic accumulation of plasmacytoid dendritic cells in lymph nodes is regulated by interferon-beta. Blood 2009, 114:2623-2631.

10. Desmet CJ, Ishi KJ: Nucleic acid sensing at the interface between innate and adaptive immunity in vaccination. Nat Rev Immunol 2012, 12:479-491.

11. Kato H, Takahasi K, Fujita T: RIG-I-like receptors: cytoplasmic sensors for non-self RNA. Immuno Rev 2011, 243:91-98.

12. O’Neill LA, Sheedy FJ, McCoy CE: MicroRNAs: the fine-tuners of Toll-like receptor signalling. Nat Rev Immunol 2011, 11:163-175.

13. Kondo T, Kawai T, Akira S: Dissecting negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Trends Immunol 2012.

14. Ramos HJ, Gale M Jr: RIG-I-like receptors and their signaling crosstalk in the regulation of antiviral immunity. Curr Opin Virol 2011, 1:167-176.

15. Kumaki Y, Day CW, Wanderssee MK, Schow BP, Madsen JS, Grant D, Roth JP, Smeed DF, Blatt LM, Barnard DL: Interferon alfacon 1 inhibits SARS-CoV infection in human bronchial epithelial Calu-3 cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2008, 371:110-113.

16. Gao L, Yu S, Chen Q, Duan Z, Zhou J, Mao C, Yu D, Zhu W, Nie J, Hou Y: A randomized controlled trial of low-dose recombinant human interferons alpha-2b nasal spray to prevent acute viral respiratory infections in military recruits. Vaccine 2010, 28:4448-4451.

17. Kaili AC, Devetten MP, Singh S, Lesiak B, Poage DP, Bargenquist K, Fayad P, Freifeld AG: Use of interferon-alpha in patients with West Nile encephalitis: report of 2 cases. Clin Infect Dis 2005, 40:764-766.

18. Julander GD, Ennis J, Turner J, Morrey JD: Treatment of yellow fever virus with an adenosviruses-vector interferon DEF201, in a hamster model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011, 55:2067-2073.

19. Jahrling PB, Geisbert TW, Geisbert JB, Swaremengen JR, Bray M, Jaax NK, Huguenin JW, LeDuc JW, Peters CJ: Evaluation of immune globulin and recombinant interferon-alpha2b for treatment of experimental Ebola virus infections. J Infect Dis 1999, 179(Suppl. 1):S224-S234.

20. Alavian SM, Bехнава B, Tabatabaee SV: Comparative efficacy and overall safety of different doses of consensus interferon for treatment of chronic HCV infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2010, 66:1071-1079.

21. Filišiak R, Filišiak I: Alinterferon-alpha 2b: a new treatment option for hepatitis C. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2010, 10:1509-1515.

22. Ashour MKF: Clinical trial of the efficacy, dosing, safety and tolerability of Y-shaped pegylated interferon (YPEG-IFN-α2a) plus ribavirin in Egyptian patients with untreated chronic hepatitis C. In: American Association for the study of liver diseases. San Francisco, CA: 2011.

23. Ge D, Fellay J, Thompson AJ, Simen JS, Shian KV, Urban TJ, Heinzen EL, Qiu P, Bertehsen AH, Muir AJ et al.: Genetic variation in IL28B predicts hepatitis C treatment-induced viral clearance. Nature 2009, 461:399-401.

24. Thomas DL, Thio CL, Martin MP, Qi Y, De O’Huigin C, Kidd J, Kidd K, Khakoo SI, Alexander G et al.: Genetic variation in IL28B and spontaneous clearance of hepatitis C virus. Nature 2009, 461:798-801.

25. Muir AJ, Shiffman ML, Zaman A, Yoffe B, de la Torre A, Flamm S, Gordon SC, Marottta P, Vierling JM, Lopez-Talaveria JC et al.: Phase 1b study of pegylated interferon lambda 1 with or without ribavirin in patients with chronic genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection. Hepatology 2010, 52:822-832.

26. Donnelly RP, Kotenko SV: Interferon-lambda: a new addition to an old family. J Interferon Cytokine Res 2010, 30:555-564.

27. Hedayat M, Netea MG, Rezaei N: Targeting of Toll-like receptors: a decade of progress in combating infectious diseases. Lancet Infect Dis 2011, 11:702-712.

28. Waggstaff AJ, Perry CM: Topical imiquimod: a review of its use in the management of anogenital warts, actinic keratoses, basal cell carcinoma and other skin lesions. Drugs 2007, 67:2187-2210.

29. Pockros PJ, Guyader D, Patton H, Tong MJ, Wright T, Mchutchiong JG, Meng TC: Oral resiquimod in chronic HCV infection: safety and efficacy in 2 placebo-controlled, double-blind phase IIa studies. J Hepatol 2007, 47:174-182.

30. Kronenberger B, Zeuzem S: Current and future treatment options for HCV. Ann Hepatol 2009, 8:103-112.

31. Bergmann JF, de Bruijne J, Hotho DM, de Knecht RJ, Boonstra A, Weenink CJ, van Vliet AA, van de Wetering J, Fletcher SP, Bauman LA et al.: Randomised clinical trial: anti-viral activity of ANA773, an oral inducer of endogenous interferons acting via TLR7, in chronic HCV. Ailment Pharmacol Ther 2011, 34:443-453.

32. Vicari AP, Schmalbach T, Lekstrom-Himes J, Morris ML, Al-Ahdami MJ, Lafraambose C, Leese P, Krieg AM, Eller SM, Davis HL: Safety, pharmacokinetics and immune effects in normal volunteers of CPG 10101 (ACTILON), an investigational synthetic toll-like receptor 9 agonist. Antivir Ther 2007, 12:741-751.

33. Muir A, Ghalib R, Lawitz E, Patel K, Rodriguez-torres M, Sheikh A, Sapp S, Taylor R, Bexon A, Sullivan T et al.: A phase 1, multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalation study of IMO-2125, a TLR9 agonist, in hepatitis C nonresponders. EASL 45th Annual Meeting April; Vienna, Austria: 2010.

34. Horscroft NJ, Pryde DC, Bright H: Toll-like receptor agonists. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012, 67:789-801. Update on the development of antiviral TLR agonists including description of the chemistry applied in the development of the therapeutic agents.

35. Guyader D, Bogomolov P, Kobalava Z, Moiseev S, Sliavik J, Astruc B, Varkonyi I, Sullivan T, Horgan K, Bexon A et al.: IMO-2125 plus ribavirin gives substantial first-dose viral load reductions, cumulative antiviral effect, is well tolerated in naive genotype 1 HCV patients: a phase 1 trial. EASL 46th Annual Meeting March; Berlin, Germany: 2011.
36. Mata-Haro V, Cekic C, Martin M, Chilton PM, Casella CR, Mitchell TC: The vaccine adjuvant monophosphoryl lipid A as a TRIF-biased agonist of TLR4. Science 2007, 316:1628-1632.

37. Gavin AH, Hoebe K, Duong B, Ota T, Martin C, Beutler B, Nemazee D: Adjuvant-enhanced antibody responses in the absence of toll-like receptor signaling. Science 2006, 314:1936-1938.

38. van Duin D, Medzhitov R, Shaw AC: Triggering TLR signaling in vaccination. Trends Immunol 2006, 27:49-55.

39. Kundu M: New hepatitis B vaccine formulated with an improved adjuvant system. Expert Rev Vaccines 2007, 6:133-140.

40. Schwarz TF: Clinical update of the AS04-adjuvanted human papillomavirus-16/18 cervical cancer vaccine, Cervarix. Adv Ther 2009, 26:985-998.

41. Didierlaurent AM, Morel S, Lockman L, Giannini SL, Bistau M, Carlsen H, Kielland A, Vosters O, Vanderheyden N, Schiavetti F et al.: AS04, an aluminum salt- and TLR4 agonist-based adjuvant system, induces a transient localized innate immune response leading to enhanced adaptive immunity. J Immunol 2009, 183:6186-6197.

42. Harandi AM, Davies G, Olesen OF: Vaccine adjuvants: scientific challenges and strategic initiatives. Expert Rev Vaccines 2009, 8:293-298. Highlights the promise of TLR agonists in the development of novel vaccine adjuvants.

43. Talbot HK, Rock MT, Johnson C, Tussey L, Kavita U, Shanker A, Shaw AR, Taylor DN: Immunopotentiating of trivalent influenza vaccine when given with VAX102, a recombinant influenza M2e vaccine fused to the TLR3 ligand flagellin. PLoS ONE 2010, 5:e14442.

44. Taylor DN, Treanor JJ, Sheldon EA, Johnson C, Umlauf S, Song L, Kavita U, Liu G, Tussey L, Ozer K et al.: Development of VAX125, a recombinant hemagglutinin (HA) influenza-flagellin fusion vaccine with improved safety and immune response. Vaccine 2012.

45. Treanor JJ, Taylor DN, Tussey L, Hay C, Nolan C, Fitzgerald T, Liu G, Kavita U, Song L, Dark I et al.: Safety and immunogenicity of a recombinant hemagglutinin influenza-flagellin fusion vaccine (VAX125) in healthy young adults. Vaccine 2010, 28:8268-8274.

46. Jouan L, Chatel-Chaix L, Melancon P, Rodrigue-Gervais IG, Raymond VA, Selliah S, Bilodeau M, Grandvaux N, Lamarre D: Targeted impairment of innate antiviral responses in the liver of chronic hepatitis C patients. J Hepatol 2011.

47. Jouan L, Melancon P, Rodrigue-Gervais IG, Raymond VA, Selliah S, Boucher G, Bilodeau M, Grandvaux N, Lamarre D: Distinct antiviral signaling pathways in primary human hepatocytes and their differential disruption by HCV NS3 protease. J Hepatol 2010, 52:167-175.

48. Rodrigue-Gervais IG, Jouan L, Beaulé G, Sauvé D, Bruneau J, Willems B, Sekaly RP, Lamarre D: Poly(I:C) and lipopolysaccharide innate sensing functions of circulating human myeloid dendritic cells are affected in vivo in hepatitis C virus-infected patients. J Virol 2007, 81:5537-5546.

49. Botos I, Segal DM, Davies DR: The structural biology of Toll-like receptors. Structure 2011, 19:447-459. Highlights the variation in the nature of the interactions of TLR paralog extracellular domains following ligand-induced dimerization.

50. Ireten RC, Gale MJr: RIG-I like receptors in antiviral immunity and therapeutic applications. Viruses 2011, 3:906-919.

51. Jiang F, Ramanathan A, Miller MT, Tang GQ, Gale MJr, Patel SS, Marcotrigiano J: Structural basis of RNA recognition and activation by innate immune receptor RIG-I. Nature 2011, 479:423-427.

52. Luo D, Ding SC, Vela A, Kohlway A, Lindenbach BD, Pyle AM: Structural insights into RNA recognition by RIG-I. Cell 2011, 147:409-422.

53. Chakravarty KV, Bonoiu AC, Davis WG, Ranjan P, Ding H, Hu R, Bowzard JB, Bergey EJ, Katz JM, Knight PR et al.: Gold nanorod delivery of an ssRNA immune activator inhibits pandemic H1N1 influenza virus replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010, 107:10172-10177.

54. Luke JM, Simon GG, Soderholm J, Errett JS, August JT, Gale MJr, Hodgson CP, Williams JA: Coexpressed RIG-I agonist enhances humoral immune response to influenza virus DNA vaccine. J Virol 2011, 85:1370-1383.

55. Kobiyama K, Takeshita F, Ishii KJ, Koyama S, Aoshi T, Akira S, Sakaue-Sawano A, Miyawaki A, Yamanka Y, Hirano H et al.: A signaling polypeptide derived from an innate immune adaptor molecule can be harnessed as a new class of vaccine adjuvant. J Immunol 2009, 182:1593-1601.

56. Gottwein E, Cullen BR: Viral and cellular microRNAs as determinants of viral pathogenesis and immunity. Cell Host Microbe 2008, 3:375-387.