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Abstract

The study is a cross sectional study of 200 children conducted using Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (LEST 0-3) in the age group birth to 3 years to assess the prevalence of language delay in children attending Well baby clinic in a tertiary care centre in Chennai. The total percentage of children with one or more item delay by LEST was 19%. 6.5 % children had two item delay in the present study. 4.5% had three or more item delay.

Introduction:

Language development is a process that starts early in human life and moves from simple to complex, assessment of the same should be a central part of every well-child visit.

The children who have communication problems may develop behaviour problems and difficulty to read and write later in life [1]. Children with language problems in preschool are at risk of poor educational achievement in school age and are at increased risk of developing emotional and behavioural disorders [2].

Hence early intervention is advocated to prevent or decrease the severity of language delay in school age and increase academic success in school. Intervention given or started during infancy or preschool age is shown to have a greater positive effect than services provided at school age [3].

Prevalence of 3.8% [4] speech delay in Western studies and 4.5% [5] in Indian literature indicate the need for screening all infants, toddlers and young children.

The need of the hour is a simple but reliable test that can be performed with minimum training, not requiring sophisticated equipment and less time consuming so as to be used in the community level in early intervention programs. In the West, many tools are available, for example Early Language Milestone Scale for 0-3 years (by James Coplan) and Receptive Expressive Emergent Language Scale (REELS). [6] But these are not generally accepted in community setting as they are time consuming and need technical expertise.

LEST (0-3years) was designed, developed and validated at the Child Development Centre, Government Medical College, Trivandrum. [7] [8] It is simple to understand as well as easy to be used by a health worker in the community.
community to identify probable language delay among 0-3 year children, so as to enable mother to initiate speech 
and language stimulation at home itself with appropriate referral to a center for intervention when required.
Paediatricians are in an excellent position to identify children's speech and language problems early during the well
baby and immunization visits and to make appropriate referrals for further evaluation and treatment services.

**Materials and Methods:**
The study was conducted in the Well baby clinic, Department of Paediatrics, Dr. Kamakshi Memorial Hospital,
Pallikaranai, Chennai. Informed consent was taken from parents. This is a cross sectional descriptive study of 200
children of age group birth to 3 years attending well baby clinic of a tertiary care centre, Dr. Kamakshi Memorial
Hospital from April 2014 to June 2014.

Inclusion Criteria was children in the age group of 0-3 years attending the outpatient clinic of Paediatric Department
for routine check-up and vaccination. Children with severe or chronic illness and delay in other domains of
development like gross motor, fine motor and social-personal were excluded.

Study was done using a Proforma consisting of Socio-demographic parameters like age, sex, family order. Details of
parental age, education, occupation and socio-economic status are assessed by modified Kuppuswamy scale [9].
Speech and Language assessment is done using Language Evaluation Scale, Trivandrum (0-3 years). [4][7]

**Interpretation of language delay using LEST [4][7]:**

| Normal – All items done | Suspect – Two items not done |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Questionable – One item not done | Delay – Three or more items not done |

**Results:**

**Age and Speech Delay:**
Out of 200 children in age group of 0-3 years in the present study, 30.5% were in the age group of 0-6
months, 27.5% in the range of 7-12 months, 19% in the group 13-18 months, 14.5% between 19-24 months and rest
between 2-3 years.
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**Figure 1:** AGE OF CHILDREN
Present study was limited to age group of 0-3 years and children were sampled as random sample. No statistical significance was obtained between the age of the children and speech and language delay (p=0.244). However it was interesting to note that delay was predominantly found after the age of 1 year.

Table 1: COMPARISON OF AGE AND LEST INTERPRETATION

| Lest Interpretation | Age of children (in months) | Total |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------|
|                     | 0-6 | 7-12 | 13-18 | 19-24 | 25-30 | 31-36 |       |
| Normal              | 52  | 45   | 28    | 22    | 11    | 4     | 162   |
| (85.2%)             | (81.8%) | (73.7%) | (75.9%) | (91.7%) | (80.0%) |       |
| Questionable        | 8   | 8    | 5     | 4     | -     | -     | 25    |
| (13.1%)             | (14.5%) | (13.2%) | (13.8%) | -     | -     |       |
| Suspect             | 1   | 2    | 1     | -     | -     | -     | 4     |
| (1.6%)              | (3.6%) | (2.6%) | -     | -     | -     |       |
| Delay               | -   | -    | 4     | 3     | 1     | 1     | 9     |
| (10.5%)             | (10.3%) | (8.3%) | (20.0%) |       |       |
| Total               | 61  | 55   | 39    | 29    | 12    | 5     | 200   |
| (100%)              | (100%) | (100%) | (100%) | (100%) | (100%) |       |

Gender and Speech Delay:--
In the present study, there were 95 male children (47.5%) and 105 (52.5%) were female children. No significant statistical difference was observed for speech and language delay among both sexes (p=0.252).

Table 2: COMPARISON OF GENDER AND LEST INTERPRETATION

| Lest Interpretation | Male       | Female     | Total       |
|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Normal              | 73(76.8%)  | 89(84.8%)  | 162(81.0%)  |
| Questionable (1 item delay) | 15(15.8%)  | 10(9.5%)   | 25(12.5%)   |
| Suspect (2 item delay) | 1(1.1%)    | 3(2.9%)    | 4(2.0%)     |
| Delay (3 or more item delay) | 6(6.3%)    | 3(2.9%)    | 9(4.5%)     |
| Total               | 95(100.0%) | 105(100.0%)| 200(100%)   |

Lest Interpretation:--
In the present study out of 200 children,162 children(81%) were found to be normal.38 children(19%) were found to have one or more item delay by LEST assessment. Among the 38 children,25 children(12.5%) had one item delay, 4 children(2%) had two item delay and 9 children(4.5%) had three item delay.6.5% children had two or more item delay.

Table 3: LEST INTERPRETATION

| Lest Interpretation | Number | Percentage |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Normal              | 162    | 81.0       |
| Questionable        | 25     | 12.5       |
| Suspect             | 4      | 2.0        |
| Delay               | 9      | 4.5        |
| Total               | 200    | 100.0      |
Discussion and Conclusion:
A cross sectional study in 200 children was conducted using Language Evaluation Scale Trivandrum (LEST 0-3) from the age group birth to 3 years of age to find out the prevalence of language and speech delay.

In the present study out of 200 children, 162 children (81%) were found to be normal. 38 children (19%) were found to have one or more item delay by LEST assessment. Among the 38 children, 25 children (12.5%) had questionable delay (one item delay), 4 children (2%) had suspect delay (two item delay) and 9 children (4.5%) had delay (three item delay).

In a study conducted by CDC Trivandrum, there was 4.5% language delay. In a Cochrane study [10] there was approximately 6% language delay. In the study by Shiji et al [11], prevalence of speech delay was 5.5%. In comparison our study showed a prevalence of speech delay was 4.5% which is comparable. All the above studies show a prevalence of about 4-6% language delay.[7]

Table 4: PREVALENCE OF SPEECH AND LANGUAGE DELAY IN VARIOUS STUDIES

| Studies          | Prevalence |
|------------------|------------|
| OUR study        | 4.5%       |
| CDC TVM          | 4.5%       |
| Cochrane [10]    | 6%         |
| Shiji et al [11] | 5.5%       |

According to a recent Cochrane study, for pre-school children 2-4.5 years, the prevalence rates for combined speech and language delay ranges from 5% -8% and of language delay alone from 2.3%-19%. Prevalence of speech and language delay in children without any neonatal risk factors was observed to be 4.5%.

Recommendations:
It has been shown that language disorders top the list of childhood disability in children less than 3 years of age. Delay in the language development is an early marker of Pervasive Developmental disorders, Intellectual disabilities and Specific Learning disorders.

1. The prevalence of 4.5% language delay in children aged 0-3 years attending well baby clinic emphasises the need for early screening programs.
2. Speech and language assessment is a must during the routine evaluation of young children in paediatric clinics.
3. LEST is a simple, culturally appropriate, locally relevant, validated screening tool which can be used to identify early speech delay.
4. Early recognition of speech and language delay enables early intervention practices.
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