In-situ Image Analysis of Habitat Heterogeneity and Benthic Biodiversity in the Prince Gustav Channel, Eastern Antarctic Peninsula
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Habitat heterogeneity is important for maintaining high levels of benthic biodiversity. The Prince Gustav Channel, on the Eastern Antarctic Peninsula, is characterized by an array of habitat types, ranging from flat, mud-dominated sheltered bays to steep and rocky exposed slopes. The channel has undergone dramatic environmental changes in recent decades, with the southern end of the channel permanently covered by the Prince Gustav Ice Shelf until it completely collapsed in 1995. Until now the marine benthic fauna of the Prince Gustav Channel has remained unstudied. A shallow underwater camera system and Agassiz trawl were deployed at different locations across the channel to collect information on habitat type and heterogeneity, benthic community composition and macrofaunal biomass. The texture of the seafloor was found to have a significant influence on the benthos, with hard substrates supporting higher abundances and diversity. Suspension and filter feeding organisms, including porifera, crinoids, and anthozoans, were strongly associated with hard substrates, with the same being true for deposit feeders, such as holothurians, and soft sediments. Habitat heterogeneity was high across the Prince Gustav Channel, particularly on a local scale, and this was significant in determining patterns of benthic composition and abundance. Other physical variables including depth and seafloor gradient played significant, interactive roles in determining composition potentially mediated through other processes. Sites that were once covered by the Prince Gustav Ice Shelf held distinct and unique communities, suggesting that the legacy of the ice shelf collapse may still be reflected in the benthos. Biomass estimations suggest that critical thresholds of vulnerable marine ecosystem indicator taxa, as defined by the Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources, have been met at multiple locations within the Prince Gustav Channel, which has implications for the future establishment of no take zones and marine protected areas within the region.
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INTRODUCTION

The Antarctic continental shelf and slope is characterized by a highly diverse benthos that displays high levels of endemism and spatial variability (Convey et al., 2014). All main types of macrobenthic communities, in particular suspension and mobile or deposit feeders, can occur all around the Southern Ocean, which suggests that their distribution on a regional and local scale is likely unpredictable and assumed to be shaped by complex biological and physical interactions (Gutt et al., 2013). Measuring species and biological diversity on the Antarctic shelf is notoriously difficult as a result of high community patchiness and complex hierarchical scales of spatial variation (Thrush et al., 2006). Additionally, sampling gaps limit our understanding of the processes at work (Griffiths, 2010). Current biodiversity estimates come either from regions which vary from small, well-sampled locations such as King George Island or the South Orkney Islands to areas like the Amundsen Sea, which spans a large area but where no fauna had been collected prior to 2008 (Kaiser et al., 2009). The development of statistical and modeling methods using the to-date knowledge on all known or described Antarctic species are also useful in the development of biodiversity estimates (Gutt et al., 2004). Constraining interactions between physical and biological variables is vital for understanding drivers of Antarctic biodiversity and enabling development of predictive ecological models (Convey et al., 2014). Physical datasets are available across larger scales than biological observations, with broad-scale bathymetric, geomorphological, temperature, sea ice patterns, and more available from observations and satellite data (Post et al., 2014). Thus, physical datasets provide an opportunity to build predictive models of species distributions and diversity if significant relationships can be established. Such models can be significant for guiding Southern Ocean ecosystem management, including the selection and monitoring of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs).

Habitat heterogeneity and substrate characteristics have been found to be important determinants of benthic community composition in the South Orkney Islands, Ross Sea, and East Antarctica (Cummings et al., 2006; Post et al., 2017; Brasier et al., 2018), while in other regions the relationship between the benthos and substrate is less consistent (Gutt et al., 2016). These patterns vary between regions, and there is little if any clear evidence relationships between biodiversity and depth, latitude or environmental parameters that can be applied to benthos across the whole Southern Ocean (Brandt et al., 2007). In addition to developing broad-scale biodiversity models, it is important to consider the significance of fine-scale variability and its impact on biological communities. The complex interplay of different biological and physical factors creates habitat patchiness at a range of scales that significantly enhance biological diversity on the Antarctic shelf on both a local and regional scale (Gutt and Piepenburg, 2003). The impacts of variations in physical determiners are mediated by the biological characteristics and life history traits of the local fauna, including mode of dispersal, growth rate, and functional role (Gutt and Koltun, 1995).

The Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR) is responsible for the management of fisheries and conservation of marine ecosystems throughout the Southern Ocean. Part of CCAMLR’s remit is the identification and protection of Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VMEs) to protect benthic habitats from the adverse impacts of bottom fishing activities (CCAMLR, 2009a; Parker and Bowden, 2010). To facilitate this, CCAMLR developed a classification of VME indicator organisms (CCAMLR, 2009b), taxa deemed potentially vulnerable to the impacts of bottom fishing. Conservation Measures dictate that when specific thresholds of VME taxa biomass are met, specifically 10 kg of biomass per 1200 m longline, these areas will be defined as “VME Risk Area” and are then to be closed to fishing activities until management decisions can be determined by the Commission (CCAMLR, 2009a). Several previous studies based on the Antarctic shelf and slope have provided data on VME biomass that have been useful for the notification of VME Risk Areas, as well as the development of protected areas within the region (Parker and Bowden, 2010; Lockhart and Hocevar, 2018).

The Prince Gustav Channel (PGC) is located at the northeastern tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, defined by the Peninsula itself to the west and James Ross Island to the east. The channel extends to the Antarctic Sound and Andersson Island to the north, including Eagle Island and the associated Duse Bay, and reaches Cape Obelisk at the very south. The southern region of the channel was covered by the Prince Gustav Ice Shelf, which was more than 15 nautical miles across and included Ross Bay and part of James Ross Island (Cook and Vaughan, 2010). The ice shelf began to collapse in the mid-19th century, and by 1995 the PGC was completely open throughout its length during the austral summer (Cooper, 1997). By these same estimates, the ice shelf still permanently covered the sea at Cape Obelisk as recently as 1989, making this a relatively newly uncovered area of seafloor. The southern part of the channel was included in a proposed Weddell Sea MPA presented to CCAMLR in 2016 (Teschke et al., 2016). CCAMLR has not yet reached an agreement on the proposal.

The goal of the present research was to investigate the benthic community of the PGC and how these relate to aspects of habitat complexity and heterogeneity. Specifically, the aims were to: (1) describe the physical habitat characteristics and overall structure of the benthos at sites across the PGC; (2) investigate how these communities vary across different regions and depths; (3) establish the physical variables that are associated with differences in community structure (4) investigate the abundance and distribution associated with VME taxa. This study represents the first assessment of the benthic community of the PGC. It provides an opportunity to assess the implications for conservation and management for this section of the Weddell Sea and establish a baseline for assessing the impacts of future biological or environmental changes that occur in the region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection

In 2018, the RSS James Clark Ross expedition JR17003a sampled four sites within the PGC and one site north of the PGC in the vicinity of Andersson Island between 63°30’S and...
64°8'S (Figure 1; Table 1). Before deployment of the Shallow Underwater Camera System (SUCS) and Agassiz Trawl (AGT), multibeam bathymetry data were collected to ascertain seafloor topography, slope gradient, and suitability for deployment of these sampling gears. The sampling covered depths between 200 and 1200 m, although not all depths were sampled at each location because of either ice cover or unsuitable seafloor topography (Table 1). The SUCS is a tethered drop-camera system with an HD camera and live feed back to the ship. The system allows the capture of high-resolution images (2448 × 2050 pixels) covering 0.51 m² of seafloor. It was deployed 12 times across the five locations (Table 1). Each deployment was at a unique depth within that location and consisted of three transects of 10 photos. Each photo within a transect was taken 10 m apart. At the end of each transect the SUCS was moved 100 m to begin the next. The direction of the transects was determined by the wind direction and topography. In some cases, not all the transects could be completed because of icebergs in close vicinity to the vessel or problems with the gear. The AGT was deployed six times across three locations, all of which were also sampled using the SUCS (Table 1). The AGT had a mouth width of 2 m and a mesh size of 1 cm. It was trawled at 1 knot for 2–10 min. These provided reference specimens to help identify species in the SUCS images. Five trawls were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and sorted during the cruise while the final trawl was fixed in bulk in 99.8% absolute ethanol and sorted at a later date. The wet weight (biomass) of all different taxa was assessed on board using calibrated scales. Topography and presence of pack-ice meant that not all locations where SUCS sampling was undertaken had a corresponding AGT sample.

**Image Analysis**

All organisms in each SUCS image were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level, or to morphospecies, dependent on resolution of the images and cross-checked where possible with taxa identified from the AGT trawls at the corresponding location and depth. Some biological material was unidentifiable to phyla but distinguishable as VME species, for example...
branched or budding fragments which were identifiable as cnidarian or bryozoan species. These individuals were classed as “VME unidentifiable.” Length-weight relationships were used to estimate VME biomass present in the SUCS imagery, derived from measurements of specimens collected from the South Orkney Islands (Brasier et al., 2018). SUCS images were used to investigate the relationships between habitat type and community structure. The percentage cover of different substrate types in each image was recorded. Substrate was classified as either mud, sand, gravel, pebbles, cobbles, boulders (which included dropstones) or bedrock, based on size classifications from Sedimentary Petrology: An Introduction to the Origin of Sedimentary Rocks (Tucker, 1991). Substrate size classifications were adjusted to align with the image size on screen using the known SUCS field of view (0.51 m²). Each image was assigned a texture, based on the dominating substrate type in each image, with mud and sand classified as “Soft” and all others as “Hard.” Biogenic substrate was also determined as percentage cover of each photo. Biogenic substrate refers to any ecosystem engineering organisms that create a three-dimensional structure that contributes to the given habitat. This included organisms such as Porifera, tube forming polychaeta and Ascidians, Cnidaria and Bryozoa.

**Data Analysis**

Data analysis was performed in RStudio, version 1.3.1056. All organisms observed in the SUCS images and AGT were totalled and converted into proportion of total catch to allow for comparison of proportional catch between gear type. As the sample size was relatively low, no significant statistical analysis was carried out on AGT data. The observable taxa in each image of the SUCS were counted and an ANOVA was used to test the difference among sample sites within the PGC. Diversity measures (Simpson’s index and Shannon-Weiner index) were calculated for each photo using the total number of individuals and the total number of different taxa present in any given photo using the Vegan package for R (Oksanen et al., 2011). These measures were chosen as each can be used to infer different information about the community, and both can be viewed as appropriate representations in marine systems (Washington, 1984). While Shannon-Weiner represents a combination of both species richness and equitability in distribution among a sample, Simpson’s is weighted toward more abundant species in a sample, thereby giving an impression of species dominance within a system. Estimated VME biomass over 1200 m² (the area used by CCAMLR to define a VME Risk Area) (CCAMLR, 2009a) was calculated using the known area of the SUCS field of view (0.51 m²), where wet weight over 1200 m² = (average weight × 1.961) × 1200.

Beta-diversity and the degree to which benthic habitat characteristics were associated with the benthos were analyzed using a combination of correspondence analysis (CA) and canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) using the Vegan package for R. The CA site scores from the ordination represent
the similarity between sites. The result is that two sites with similar CA scores have a very similar composition of benthic fauna whereas those with widely different CA scores contain a very different collection of benthic fauna. Any major trend in the first axis represents the degree to which the benthic community turns over along an environmental gradient. The CA scores are used as a measure of beta-diversity. The beta-diversity estimates will be based on the images from the SUCS so will represent the epibenthic fauna that are visible. A structural equational model (SEM) was used to determine the direct and indirect effects of physical variables on beta-diversity. SEMs are a regression-based approach to evaluating causal links between multiple variables in a multivariate system (Lefcheck, 2016). This approach allows variables to function as both predictors and responses within a single model allowing the identification of indirect effects and the testing of specific hypotheses on the interactions between these variables. The SEM was constructed using the R-package piecewiseSEM (Lefcheck, 2016), which allows for the inclusion of non-normally distributed response variables and random effects that account for non-independence in the data. CA1 and CA2 axis scores were used as proxies to represent beta-diversity. The SEM had two indirect pathways, which flow from depth and slope angle to substrate cover and on to CA1 and CA2 scores. Depth and slope angle also have direct pathways to CA1 and CA2, which allow evaluation of whether any depth or slope related change are due to changes in the indirect pathways or due to a different unmeasured variable. A second SEM was used to investigate the indirect and direct effects of slope, depth, and substrate cover on predicted VME biomass. Indirect pathways flowing from depth and slope gradient to biomass were evaluated, as were the corresponding direct pathways. Regression coefficients were standardized using scaling by standard deviation, accomplished using the formula

\[ \beta_{std} = \beta \times (sdx/sdy), \]

where \( \beta \) is the coefficient, \( x \) is the predictor variable and \( y \) is the response variable. Non-significant pathways were removed, and the model Akaike information criterion (AIC) were checked, with the model with the lowest AIC being selected. The model fit of the SEMs were tested by the test of direct separation (Lefcheck, 2016). If the \( p \)-value is \( >0.05 \), then the model adequately fits the hypothesized causal network with no important paths missing.

RESULTS

Physical Characteristics of the Seafloor

Substrate type and habitat complexity varied across all 12 SUCS deployments sites (Figure 2). Soft, muddy sediments dominated the seafloor at all depths at Duse Bay (95.6%), Cape Obelisk (91.4%), and Andersson Island (96.2%) (Table 2), making the habitat at these sites highly homogenous. An increase in hard substrates was observed at the PGC Mid and PGC South sites where mud only covered an average of 13% of the seafloor. These “hard” sites were instead dominated by a wider variety of harder and coarser sediments, predominantly gravel (38.7%), pebbles (21.8%), and bedrock (11.6%), making these regions more heterogenous in nature. Boulders could be found sporadically across all depths within PGC South, PGC Mid and Cape Obelisk, but were absent from most of Duse Bay and the Andersson Island site. PGC South 200 m had the highest occurrence of boulders, which covered an average of 19.3% of the seafloor. Boulders were also found in small amounts (0.4%) at Duse Bay 200 m. Biogenic substrate could be found at all 12 deployment sites. PGC South 200 m had the highest proportions of biogenic cover (16.7%), while Duse Bay 400 m had the lowest (0.6%). All other sites had biogenic cover within this range. Depth ranges within each transect were generally small (<30 m). Slope gradient remained below 10° at all transects in Duse Bay, PGC Mid, Cape Obelisk, and Andersson Island, with the majority of these transects carried out on a slope <5°. PGC South had much higher slope gradients, peaking at 22° on a transect at 800 m.

Benthic Assemblage

A total of 11,396 individuals from eight known phyla were identified from the SUCS imagery (Table 3). Ophiuroids were the most common taxa by abundance, representing 40.63% of the total number of individuals recorded. Pycnogonids were the next most abundant taxon, representing 15.51% of the total number. The AGTs sampled a total of 5351 individuals, of which 34.57% were ophiuroids and 17.83% were pycnogonids. Relative proportions of taxa between the sampling gears were mostly consistent, although VME taxa such as bryozoans, soft corals,
hydrozoans, and porifera were underrepresented in the AGT catch, while bivalves, holothurians, echinoids, and hemichordates were underrepresented in the SUCS. In total 11 VME taxonomic categories were observed from SUCS imagery and 13 from the AGT. Several VME taxa were absent from the SUCS imagery, while the lowest abundances were at Duse Bay 400 m (13.93 ± 3.86) and Andersson Island 500 m (13.93 ± 3.86, respectively). VME biomass was also greatest at PGC South, with a combined total of 30.07 kg for 10 out of the 12 sampled sites, estimated VME biomass over 1200 m depths (65.32 ± 1.59 and 1.93 ± 3.86, respectively). VME biomass was dominated by Porifera in both the AGT and SUCS samples, accounting for 73.64 and 42.52% of biomass, respectively. For 10 out of the 12 sampled sites, estimated VME biomass over 1200 m exceeded the 10 kg threshold set out by CCAMLR to define a VME Risk Area (Table 5). From the SUCS imagery alone, benthic community structure varied greatly between regions (Figure 3), and there were significant differences in the numbers of organisms observed between deployment sites (Table 4). PGC South had the highest mean numbers of individuals per photo at its three sampled depths (65.32 ± 19.22, 58.97 ± 17.39, and 56.38 ± 17.39 at 800, 500, and 200 m, respectively). The PGC Mid 850 m site contained an average of 39.93 ± 9.66 individuals per photo, while all other regions had <30. The lowest average abundances were found at Duse Bay 400 m (13.93 ± 4.67) and Andersson Island 500 m (18.53 ± 5.52). On average hard substrates were inhabited by nearly double the number of taxa (11.31 ± 3.78 compared to 6.38 ± 2.8) and more than double the number of individuals (53.63 ± 24.77 compared to 21.03 ± 12.55) as soft substrates. Diversity as measured by Shannon-Weiner index was significantly greater on hard substrata, while there was no significance in Simpson’s diversity between textures (Figure 4). This suggests that diversity in the sense of species richness and evenness of those species was greater on hard habitats, there was little change in the dominance of relative taxa between the two.

Region and substrate texture appeared to have a greater role in determining benthic community composition along CA1 than depth while CA2 appeared to be more related to depth (Figure 5). The first two axes of the CA explained 45% of the observed variation (CA1 = 36% and CA2 = 9%). The Andersson Island and Duse Bay communities show greater variation along CA1 compared to the other regions. The majority of the CA1 scores for Andersson Island and Duse Bay were negative. Cape Obelisk, PGC South, and PGC Mid all had positive CA1 scores except for only a few samples (Figure 5). Substrate texture also appeared to be important along CA1 as the hard substrate scores were all positive, apart from four images, while the soft substrate sites were distributed along the entire CA1 axis. The variability in CA2 scores increased with increasing CA1 scores. The majority of CA2 scores for Cape Obelisk were positive except for a few samples. This was the opposite for PGC South and PGC Mid. There appeared to be some relationship between CA2 scores and depth, albeit weak. The majority of samples taken at 200 and 850 m had negative CA2 scores, while those sampled at 400 and 800 m had mainly positive CA2 scores.

Substrate cover, slope angle, and depth together accounted for 52% of variation observed in the CCA which was related to benthic community composition of the SUCS images (Figure 6). High variance inflation factors (>10) were observed for slope gradient, cobbles, and bedrock. The CCA sites scores indicated that gravel, boulders, and bedrock and slope were all positively related to the benthos at PGC South whilst negatively related to Duse Bay and PGC Mid. Mud was an important determinant for Duse Bay and Cape Obelisk benthic communities which were further separated by depth (Figure 6A). The CCA species scores revealed that suspension feeding holothurians and crinoids were

### Table 2 | Mean % cover per photo of substrate size classifications by region/depth set.

| Region          | Depth (m) | Mud | Sand | Gravel | Pebbles | Cobble | Boulders | Bedrock | Biogenic |
|-----------------|-----------|-----|------|--------|---------|--------|----------|---------|----------|
| Duse Bay        | 200       | 86.1| 4.0  | 2.3    | 1.3     | 0.1    | 0.4      | 0       | 5.8      |
| Duse Bay        | 300       | 98.4| 0    | 0      | 0.1     | 0.1    | 0.4      | 0       | 1.4      |
| Duse Bay        | 400       | 98.9| 0    | 0.4    | 0       | 0      | 0        | 0       | 0.6      |
| Duse Bay        | 500       | 99.0| 0    | 0      | 0.2     | 0      | 0        | 0       | 0.9      |
| PGC-S           | 200       | 0   | 6.7  | 48.1   | 5.5     | 1.3    | 2.1      | 19.3    | 16.7     |
| PGC-S           | 500       | 22.1| 0.6  | 38.4   | 15.1    | 1.9    | 3.6      | 8.0     | 9.2      |
| PGC-S           | 800       | 0.8 | 0.8  | 68.4   | 5.1     | 0.8    | 0.6      | 18.9    | 4.5      |
| PGC-M           | 850       | 29.2| 0    | 0      | 61.3    | 1.4    | 2.0      | 0.1     | 5.9      |
| Cape Obelisk    | 400       | 90.3| 0    | 0.5    | 0.7     | 0.3    | 1.3      | 0       | 7.3      |
| Cape Obelisk    | 500       | 91.8| 0    | 1.3    | 1.4     | 0.9    | 0.3      | 0       | 4.5      |
| Cape Obelisk    | 800       | 92.1| 0    | 0.4    | 1.0     | 0.3    | 0.4      | 3.2     | 2.5      |
| Andersson Island| 500       | 96.2| 0    | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0        | 0       | 3.7      |

Biogenic cover accounts for bioconstructors which act as habitat-forming substrate, including Porifera, tube forming Polychaeta, Bryozoa, and Anthozoa. PGC, Prince Gustav Channel; S, South; M, Mid.
strongly associated with an increase in slope gradient and hard substrates such as cobbles, boulders and gravel, while suspension feeding annelids, anthozoans, bryozoans, porifera, hydrozoans, and ascidians were more closely associated with bedrock (Figure 6B). Deposit feeding annelids, holothurians, and ophiuroids were associated with mud. Abundance varied significantly for 11 of the 18 identified higher classifications that were tested (Table 4). All 11 saw their peak in abundance within the PGC South region. For Anthozoa, Ascidiae, Bryozoa, Echinoidea, Hydroidilina, Porifera, and Scaphopoda this was at 200 m, although for the latter this may not be meaningful as Scaphopoda are infaunal so will rarely be identified using SUCS imagery. Crinoidea and Holothuroida were highest in abundance at 500 m, while Pycnogonida were highest in abundance at 800 m. Pycnogonida were also characterized by a very patchy abundance, reaching their highest abundance at an average of 23.04 ± 8.99 per photo, while at most sites this remained below five. Crinoidea and Echinoidea were also patchy in abundance, being among the few groups to not occur at every site.

The SEM investigating the effects of depth, gradient and substrate on CA1 and CA2 score was found to represent the data well (Fisher $C = 4.49$, $p = 0.098$, df = 9). There was a significant indirect pathway between slope gradient and CA1 score, mediated through its direct influence on substrate cover (Figure 7; Table 6). The relationship between gradient and hard substrate cover was positive while the relationship between gradient and soft substrate cover was negative. Hard substrate cover had a positive influence on CA1 score, while soft cover...
### TABLE 4 | Mean number of individuals identified at each location in the Prince Gustav Channel.

| Taxa            | Duse Bay | PGC-S | PGC-M | Cape Obelisk | Anderson Island |
|-----------------|----------|-------|-------|--------------|-----------------|
|                 | 200      | 300   | 400   | 500          | 200             | 300   | 400   | 500   | 850 | 400   | 500   | 800   | 500   |
| **Actinopterygi** | 0.12 ± 1.19 | 0.08 ± 1 | 0.07 ± 0.09 | 0.03 ± 0.08 | 0.72 ± 1.1 | 0.65 ± 1.12 | 0.69 ± 1.29 | 0.07 ± 0.74 | 0.59 ± 0.99 | 0.2 ± 1.51 | 0.07 ± 0.33 | 0.2 ± 0.47 |
| **Amphipoda**   | 0.52 ± 1.16 | 0.9 ± 1.14 | 0.43 ± 0.67 | 0.27 ± 0.44 | 1.31 ± 1.34 | 0.73 ± 1.03 | 0.2 ± 0.49 | 0.62 ± 0.81 | 0.3 ± 0.59 | 0.31 ± 0.59 | 0.2 ± 0.6 | 0.1 ± 0.21 |
| **Annelida**    | 0.21 ± 0.55 | 0.33 ± 1.45 | 0.17 ± 0.45 | 0.07 ± 0.12 | 2.28 ± 3.41 | 1.67 ± 1.51 | 0.2 ± 0.49 | 0.55 ± 0.67 | 0.97 ± 0.98 | 0.48 ± 0.81 | 0.47 ± 1.15 | 0.13 ± 0.34 |
| **Anthozoa**    | 1.72 ± 1 | 0.17 ± 0.37 | 0.23 ± 0.5 | 0.27 ± 0.51 | 7.86 ± 7.56 | 3.93 ± 3.16 | 2 ± 3.61 | 1.38 ± 1.19 | 1.73 ± 1.05 | 1.24 ± 1.87 | 0.63 ± 1.25 | 0.37 ± 0.66 |
| **Asciacea**    | 3.72 ± 7.65 | 0.77 ± 1.82 | 0.13 ± 0.43 | 0.6 ± 2.3 | 4.1 ± 5.38 | 1.8 ± 2.33 | 0.56 ± 1.3 | 1.14 ± 1.91 | 0.77 ± 1.26 | 0.59 ± 0.62 | 0.83 ± 1.95 | 0.33 ± 0.94 |
| **Asterioidea** | 0.03 ± 0.18 | 0.07 ± 0.25 | 0.07 ± 0.25 | 0.03 ± 0.18 | 0.17 ± 0.32 | 0.2 ± 0.54 | 0.12 ± 0.32 | 0.17 ± 0.46 | 0.13 ± 0.34 | 0.17 ± 0.73 | 0.07 ± 0.25 | 0.07 ± 0.25 |
| **Bryoza**      | 2.69 ± 3 | 1.47 ± 1.76 | 0.17 ± 0.45 | 0.23 ± 0.67 | 5.07 ± 4.25 | 4.7 ± 3.62 | 1.28 ± 2.66 | 1.59 ± 1.59 | 1.23 ± 2.03 | 0.59 ± 1.08 | 0.57 ± 1.67 | 0.5 ± 0.92 |
| **Crinoidea**   | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0.59 ± 1.03 | 1.3 ± 1.39 | 0.06 ± 0.27 | 0 ± 0 | 0.67 ± 1.11 | 0.51 ± 0.72 | 0.03 ± 0.07 | 0 ± 0 |
| **Decapoda**    | 1 ± 1.46 | 1.47 ± 1.41 | 0.7 ± 0.94 | 0.73 ± 0.85 | 1.14 ± 0.34 | 0.5 ± 0.76 | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 0.27 ± 0.25 | 0.8 ± 0.83 | 0.83 ± 1.24 | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 0.57 ± 0.8 |
| **Echinodermata** | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 2.9 ± 3.94 | 0.4 ± 1.17 | 0 ± 0 | 0.38 ± 0.61 | 0.2 ± 0.4 | 0.03 ± 0.18 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 |
| **Holothuroidea** | 0.24 ± 0.62 | 0.3 ± 0.64 | 0.1 ± 0.3 | 0.07 ± 0.25 | 0.52 ± 1.1 | 2.13 ± 3.39 | 0.52 ± 0.59 | 1.17 ± 1.26 | 0.43 ± 0.99 | 0.1 ± 0.3 | 0.23 ± 0.42 | 0.03 ± 0.17 |
| **Hydroidilina** | 1.09 ± 1.14 | 0.53 ± 0.88 | 0.7 ± 0.97 | 0.7 ± 1.13 | 7.34 ± 8.24 | 3.9 ± 3.13 | 2.12 ± 3.52 | 2.03 ± 1.38 | 1.17 ± 1.04 | 0.52 ± 0.67 | 0.7 ± 0.86 | 0.9 ± 1.19 |
| **Mysida**      | 0.93 ± 1.11 | 0.7 ± 0.86 | 2.4 ± 1.89 | 1.9 ± 1.76 | 2.69 ± 1.74 | 3.23 ± 2.25 | 0.72 ± 1.04 | 0.38 ± 0.72 | 1.5 ± 1.31 | 1.34 ± 1.11 | 3.2 ± 2.23 | 2.77 ± 1.41 |
| **Ophiuroidea** | 15.28 ± 9.75 | 17.27 ± 8.84 | 7.17 ± 4.27 | 10.9 ± 9.23 | 11.62 ± 10.96 | 11.47 ± 5.44 | 30.56 ± 11.53 | 24.17 ± 8.86 | 9.73 ± 6.81 | 2.93 ± 2.48 | 8.13 ± 5.64 | 9.1 ± 3.76 |
| **Porifera**    | 1.62 ± 2.96 | 0.43 ± 0.88 | 0.1 ± 0.4 | 0.13 ± 0.42 | 4.97 ± 4.84 | 1.97 ± 2.83 | 0.52 ± 1.14 | 1.55 ± 1.35 | 0.33 ± 0.6 | 0.55 ± 0.88 | 0.27 ± 0.63 | 0.3 ± 0.53 |
| **Pycnogonida** | 0.34 ± 0.6 | 0.5 ± 0.85 | 5.2 ± 1.3 | 0.77 ± 0.96 | 0 ± 0 | 15.53 ± 9.17 | 23.04 ± 8.99 | 1.72 ± 2.29 | 5.2 ± 3.38 | 2.66 ± 3.05 | 8.47 ± 4.89 | 2.57 ± 1.99 |
| **Scaphopoda**  | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0.31 ± 0.59 | 0.67 ± 1.04 | 0.88 ± 0.77 | 0.07 ± 0.25 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 | 0 ± 0 |
| **VME**         | 10.24 ± 15.16 | 2.63 ± 3.57 | 1.47 ± 1.59 | 1.93 ± 3.86 | 33.17 ± 12.18 | 19.5 ± 12.8 | 8.24 ± 12.18 | 10.59 ± 4.06 | 7.67 ± 6.01 | 5.24 ± 4.43 | 3.8 ± 6.28 | 3.17 ± 3.32 |
| **Overall**     | 29.07 ± 23.39 | 24.3 ± 6.3 | 13.93 ± 4.67 | 16.63 ± 10.95 | 56.38 ± 17.39 | 58.97 ± 17.39 | 65.32 ± 19.22 | 39.93 ± 9.66 | 27.53 ± 10.13 | 14.76 ± 6.04 | 25.07 ± 10.46 | 18.53 ± 5.52 |

ANOVA was used to test differences among locations for each taxa with significant (p < 0.05) differences indicated in bold. Taxa with <30 individuals identified in total are not included. PGC, Prince Gustav Channel; S, South; M, Mid.
TABLE 5 | Estimated VME taxa wet weight over 1200 m² (kg) using mean weights determined for each taxa from AGT data per SUCS deployment site.

| Region     | Depth (m) | VME Taxa               |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|            |           | Ascidacea              | Bryozoa| Cidaroid| Cnidaria| Porifera| Polychaeta, Serpulidae| Unidentified VME| Total  |
| Duse Bay   | 200       | 20.35                  | 12.65  | 0.50   | 11.91   | 106.86  | 0       | 0.53   | 152.84 |
| Duse Bay   | 300       | 9.13                   | 1.91   | 0      | 4.70    | 45.80   | 0       | 1.07   | 62.61  |
| Duse Bay   | 400       | 1.66                   | 0.59   | 0      | 25.50   | 15.27   | 0       | 2.14   | 25.50  |
| Duse Bay   | 500       | 1.67                   | 0.59   | 0      | 3.25    | 3.56    | 0       | 9.06   |        |
| PGC-S      | 200       | 137.02                 | 8.53   | 0      | 48.17   | 178.1   | 1.38    | 45.44  | 416.45 |
| PGC-S      | 500       | 107.95                 | 7.94   | 0      | 68.54   | 137.39  | 2.99    | 50.25  | 373.06 |
| PGC-S      | 800       | 79.72                  | 6.18   | 0      | 36.83   | 103.81  | 1.44    | 28.22  | 256.20 |
| PGC-M      | 850       | 79.89                  | 4.18   | 0      | 24.67   | 125.29  | 0       | 30.41  | 264.45 |
| Cape Obelisk | 400    | 15.78                  | 5.44   | 0.54   | 33.29   | 14.25   | 1.49    | 50.23  | 108.74 |
| Cape Obelisk | 500    | 8.30                   | 2.50   | 0.27   | 17.37   | 25.44   | 1.10    | 27.80  | 82.78  |
| Cape Obelisk | 800    | 7.89                   | 0.74   | 0      | 5.25    | 8.65    | 1.70    | 1.60   | 25.82  |
| Andersons Island | 500 | 1.66                   | 2.21   | 0      | 1.50    | 3.05    | 0       | 1.07   | 9.49   |

Red totals exceed CCAMLR’s guidelines of 10 kg per 1200 m² longline haul, suggesting they should be classified as VME high risk areas. PGC, Prince Gustav Channel; S, South; M, Mid.

FIGURE 3 | Examples of in-situ SUCS images of common benthic communities and taxa. (A) Biogenic habitat forming taxa including poriferan species and the pencil spine urchin cidaroid, (B) species associated with hard substrata including crinoid species, and suspension feeding dendrochirotid holothurians, cnidarians, and annelids, (C) ophiuroid dominated community (cf. Ophionotus victoriae), and (D) deposit feeding holothurian Protelpidia murrayi in a mud dominated area. Scale bars represent 10 cm.

had a negative one. This suggests that the CA1 scores represent a gradient of soft to hard substrate that is influencing community structure, while depth does play a smaller yet still significant role. Contrary to what was expected, a significant direct association between seafloor gradient and CA2 score was found. This suggests seafloor gradient is influencing community structure via a different unmeasured variable. Depth had no association with biogenic substrate cover. Depth did have a positive direct influence on CA1 and a greater influence on CA2 but was negatively related to biogenic substrate cover. The cover of biogenic substrate had a direct negative influence on CA2. The CA2 scores likely represent a gradient of biogenic cover and depth. This suggests that some taxa are potentially physiologically depth limited, or an unmeasured variable is mediating this process. The SEM investigating the direct and indirect effects of slope gradient, depth, and substrate cover on VME biomass was a good fit for the data (Fisher C = 6.51, P = 0.072, df = 6). Seafloor gradient had a significant indirect effect on biomass, mediated through its influence on substrate cover (Figure 8; Table 6). Gradient had a negative relationship with soft substrate, while soft substrate had a negative relationship with biomass. The reverse was true of the relationship between gradient and hard substrate and between hard substrate and biomass. Cumulatively, seafloor gradient therefore has a strong, positive relationship with predicted biomass. Contrary to the predicted outcomes, depth had a direct, negative influence on biomass. This suggests that some VME taxa observed are either physiologically depth-limited or influenced by an unmeasured variable that is itself mediated by depth. It is also possible the full depth range within the channel has not been adequately sampled to properly identify depth trends.

DISCUSSION

Our work found that benthic faunal composition was heavily influenced by the substrate type available, with greater abundances and diversity associated with hard, rocky substrate. This was often associated with the physiology and functional group of different taxa. Depth and seafloor gradient had direct and indirect effects on community structure, often mediated through their influence on habitat forming organisms and substrate type. High numbers of VME taxa were identified, and VME biomass was strongly associated with hard substrate and shallower depths.
Benthic Assemblages and Physical Variables

The influence of physical environmental variables such as substrate type, substrate texture, and depth have frequently been associated with the distribution and structure of benthic communities around the Antarctic continental shelf and slope. Substrate texture and type in particular have been found to play significant roles in structuring epifaunal communities in other regions around the Antarctic Peninsula, including the South Orkney Islands and King George Island (Quartino et al., 2001; Brasier et al., 2018). Similar results have been found in east Antarctica (Post et al., 2011) and in the Ross Sea, where...
substrate type and composition explained 66% of the variation observed in shallow water macrofaunal communities (Cummings et al., 2006). Coarse substrates are preferential for most filter or suspension feeders, as it often provides hard surface for attachment and an elevated position which enhances the capture success rate of these functional groups (Muschenheim, 1987). Conversely, finer, siltier sediments provide a food resource for both facultative and obligate deposit feeders (Gutt, 1990). This is reflected in the PGC, where deposit feeding holothurians and annelids were strongly associated with muddy sediments. Although, it is likely that the diversity and abundance of these taxonomic groups will be underestimated because the analysis...
is based on images of the benthic communities rather than a combination of infaunal and epifaunal sampling. Suspension feeders such as some cnidarians and crinoids were associated with rocky habitats. As in this present study, abundance, biomass, and taxonomic epifaunal diversity are often found to be greater in areas of coarse, hard substrates than in areas of finer sediments. This was the case in east Antarctica, where boulders and cobbles were associated with significant increases in faunal abundance (Post et al., 2017) and in deeper communities (c. 1000 m) in the Weddell Sea (Jones et al., 2007).

It has been suggested that differences in diversity could be related to the abundance of suspension feeding taxa that prefer hard substrates being highly diverse in Antarctic waters (Gutt and Starmans, 1998). The resolution of taxonomic data available from SUCS imagery prevents analysis of the exact number of epifaunal species present, but greater diversity amongst hard substrates of deep water corals has been found off the Antarctic Peninsula when identified to genus level (Roberts and Hirshfield, 2004). In the PGC, those areas dominated by harder substrates were also characterized by increased habitat heterogeneity. The higher diversity observed at these sites may therefore be a result of fauna characteristic of both coarse and fine sediment being able to occupy the same space, as more ecological niches and functional groups are provided for. The association of sessile invertebrates and hard substrates likely has a cumulative effect on the diversity of these assemblages. High diversity and abundance of taxa found on hard substrates is enhanced by the three-dimensional surface created by the organisms themselves, particularly Porifera, some anthozoans, and bryozoans, which form complex habitats for other invertebrates. This is reflected in the SEM which suggests biogenic cover played a significant role in determining overall community structure. Other studies have noted the positive correlations between overall abundance and diversity and the presence of ecosystem engineers such as large sponges, gorgonians, and bryozoans (Gutt and Shickan, 1998; Gutt and Starmans, 1998). It is also possible that limitations inherent in the SUCS imagery means biodiversity in soft sediment areas cannot be reliably estimated. Epifauna that periodically burrow and infauna will be underestimated or not observed using SUCS imagery. This may explain the observed underrepresentation of bivalves, hemichordates, echinoids, and holothurians in the SUCS data compared to the AGT data. Previous studies have noted higher than expected levels of diversity among infaunal communities in soft sediment areas, including in the South Shetland Islands and the Antarctic shelf in general (Gallardo, 1987; Lovell and Trego, 2003). It is possible that this may be the case in the PGC. However, this cannot be confirmed in the present study.
### TABLE 6 | Summary of all direct pathways investigated using structural equation models and the hypothesis underpinning the expected relationship between predictor and response variables.

| Predictor variable | Response variable | Hypothesis | Outcome | Standardized regression coefficient |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Depth              | Biogenic cover    | Depth will have no direct significant impact on community structure (Dayton et al., 1982) | Increase in depth = decrease in cover of biogenic substrate | −0.44 |
|                    | Hard substrate    | Depth will have no direct significant impact on substrate cover | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | Soft substrate    | Depth will have no direct significant impact on substrate cover | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | CA1 score         | Depth will have no direct significant impact on community structure (Dayton et al., 1982) | Increase in depth = increase in CA1 score | 0.12 |
|                    | CA2 score         | Depth will have no direct significant impact on community structure (Dayton et al., 1982) | Increase in depth = increase in CA2 score | 0.23 |
|                    | VME biomass       | Depth will have no direct significant impact on community structure (Dayton et al., 1982) | Increase in depth = decrease in VME biomass | −0.43 |
| Seafloor gradient  | Biogenic cover    | Seafloor gradient will have no direct significant impact on community structure | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | Hard substrate    | Seafloor gradient will affect sedimentation rates, with lower gradients resulting in greater cover of soft, fine sediments (Post et al., 2020) | Increase in slope gradient = increase in rocky substrate cover | 0.22 |
|                    | Soft substrate    | Seafloor gradient will affect sedimentation rates, with lower gradients resulting in greater cover of soft, fine sediments (Post et al., 2020) | Increase in slope gradient = decrease in mud cover | −0.18 |
|                    | CA1 score         | Seafloor gradient will have no direct significant impact on community structure | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | CA2 score         | Seafloor gradient will have no direct significant impact on community structure | Increase in slope gradient = increase in CA2 score | 0.19 |
|                    | VME biomass       | Seafloor gradient will have no direct significant impact on community structure, and therefore VME biomass | No direct significant pathway | |
| Biogenic cover     | CA1 score         | Biogenic cover will significantly affect community structure due to the role of bioconstructors in creating additional habitat (Gutt and Starmans, 1998) | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | CA2 score         | Biogenic cover will significantly affect community structure due to the role of bioconstructors in creating additional habitat (Gutt and Starmans, 1998) | Increase in cover of biogenic substrate = decrease in CA2 score | −0.34 |
|                    | Hard substrate    | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | Increase in rocky substrate cover = increase in CA1 score | 0.22 |
|                    | CA1 score         | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | CA2 score         | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | Biogenic cover    | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | Increase in hard substrate cover = increase in biogenic cover | 0.21 |
|                    | VME biomass       | An increase in rocky cover will result in greater VME biomass (Brasier et al., 2018) | Increase in rocky substrate cover = increase in VME biomass | 0.3 |
|                    | Soft substrate    | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | Increase in mud cover = decrease in CA1 score | −0.3 |
|                    | CA1 score         | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | CA2 score         | Substrate type will significantly affect community composition (Post et al., 2017) | No direct significant pathway | |
|                    | VME biomass       | An increase in mud cover will result in a decrease in VME biomass (Brasier et al., 2018) | Increase in mud cover = decrease in VME biomass | −0.61 |

Regression coefficients were standardized using scaling by standard deviation. CA1 and CA2 axis scores act as a proxy for community structure.

Community composition was influenced by depth and seafloor gradient in the PGC, albeit not as significantly as substrate type and often through indirect effects. Gutt and Starmans (1998) found that some variation in the benthos could be explained by a combination of these two factors. While several studies have highlighted the role of depth in determining benthic...
composition (Post et al., 2017; Neal et al., 2018), others suggest that the influence of depth is either non-existent or limited to indirectly effecting the benthos through its mediating impact on other physical variables (Brandt et al., 2007; Jones et al., 2007). After the mass-wasting of benthic communities caused during the Cenozoic glacial period, it is thought that the continental shelf was predominantly recolonised by deep-water organisms with wide bathymetric tolerances (Thatje et al., 2005). This legacy is still evident today, and as a result depth is typically regarded as less important in controlling species distributions than in many other areas (Dayton et al., 1982). Thus, any direct change in abundance and diversity with depth is likely related to a reduction in organic matter available to the benthos (Lampitt et al., 2001). The influence of seafloor gradient on the benthos has not been as thoroughly studied, although in this present study its influence was limited to its effect on substrate cover. Flatter gradients result in greater sedimentation accumulation and the dominance of fine sediments (Post et al., 2020), as evidenced in the present study through the high inflation factors between gradient and some hard substrates, which will in turn influence both the texture of the habitat and the accumulation of particulate matter available for deposit feeders.

The relative influence of physical environmental variables depends on the scale of investigation. Previous studies of the Antarctic shelf have highlighted the problems associated with using large-scale, regional patterns to predict benthic abundance and distribution. Variations in habitat and substrate on the local, often sub-meter, scale have significant impacts on overall diversity (Cummings et al., 2006; Post et al., 2017). Studies that consider variations in the small-scale also often result in stronger distinctions between assemblages than those that use larger geomorphic units (Douglass et al., 2014; Brasier et al., 2018). Gutt et al. (2012) argue that broad patterns in environmental variables can be used to explain variation at larger scales (>2 km), whereas fewer variables explain variation at finer scales. These variations are likely explained by non-measured variables as well as biological interactions and traits. The influence of small-scale heterogeneity in determining community composition, particularly in areas dominated by muddy sediments, is evident in this study. Dropstones in particular are a noted small-scale physical feature that act as important habitats and enhance diversity among sessile invertebrates in both the Antarctic and Arctic (Thrush et al., 2010). It has been suggested that dropstones act as important stepping stones for the dispersal and connectivity of communities that rely on hard substrates (Post et al., 2017), and given that most of the Antarctic shelf is dominated by muddy sediments (Smith et al., 2006), their potential importance for the overall biodiversity of a system cannot be underestimated. A higher level of connectivity between hard substrate-preferring taxa may also be reflected in the PGC data, evidenced by the high levels of similarity between the communities found at PGC South and Mid, especially when compared to the soft sites of Duse Bay and Cape Obelisk, which despite sharing similar sediment characteristics supported different communities. This suggests small scale heterogeneity is particularly important in muddy, soft sedimented habitats where local variation can greatly impact benthic abundance and diversity.
Changes in annual and seasonal sea ice cover must be considered when investigating benthic community structure. In the eastern Weddell Sea, benthic abundance, and biomass decreased by up to two thirds and composition shifted from suspension to deposit feeders as a result of sea ice increases between 1988 and 2014 (Pineda-Metz et al., 2020). In the present study, the communities found occupying all depths at Cape Obelisk were distinctly unique from the communities found elsewhere in the PGC. It is possible that the communities here are still experiencing the legacy of the Prince Gustav ice shelf, which permanently covered Cape Obelisk as recently as 1989 (Cooper, 1997). Fauna characteristic of a system that has undergone change from an oligotrophic sub-ice shelf ecosystem to a productive shelf ecosystem are often unique to these systems, and it has been suggested that some benthic communities can take 150–200 years to reach complete recolonization following exposure to the sea surface (Gutt et al., 2010). These fauna can vary from pioneer organisms such as demosponges and juvenile cnidaria to taxa more typical of advanced stages of recolonization such as sponges, compound ascidians, and unique bryozoans. While higher numbers of ascidians and porifera were found at Cape Obelisk compared to its muddy counterparts, resolution of the SUCS imagery and the lack of AGT data from this region prevents analysis down to species level.

The range and distribution patterns of different taxa are highly dependent on both life history and evolutionary history (Barnes and Griffiths, 2007; Convey et al., 2014). Some groups, such as pycnogonids, show a global hotspot of biodiversity within the Southern Ocean, and when examined on a regional scale have distinct local hotspots (Griffiths et al., 2011). This was observed at PGC South 800 m, where their abundance reached a significant peak. Polychaetes, similar to pycnogonids, are represented at higher than average levels in Antarctica (Barnes and Peck, 2008). At the South Shetlands Islands, polychaetes were found to compose up to 61% of the total macrobenthic abundance (Gutt and Starmans, 1998). Polychaetes were also among the predominating organisms at King George Island (Arnaud et al., 1986), and despite also being located around the Antarctic Peninsula, these patterns were not reflected in the PGC, where polychaete abundance remained relatively low throughout. However, this is reflective of the individuals observed on the seafloor and infaunal samples may provide a different perspective. Ophiuroids were the most dominant taxa found across all sites and among both substrate types. This has been observed around much of the Antarctic shelf. In east Antarctica, ophiuroids represent high proportions of overall observed taxa, sometimes up to 50% (Post et al., 2017), although the proportional abundance of ophiuroids is greater in this current study than in others that have occurred around the Peninsula (Grange and Smith, 2013; Brasier et al., 2018). This dominance is unsurprising, as ophiuroids, as well as other echinoderms such as echinoids, are generally considered ubiquitous in the Southern Ocean and can thrive across large depth ranges and on both hard and soft substrate (Thrush et al., 2006). Overall abundance per m$^2$ was greater in the PGC than in many similar studies around the Antarctic shelf and continental slope (Table 7), including the Antarctic Peninsula shelf and fjords (Sumida et al., 2008; Grange and Smith, 2013). Abundance was however lower than in the Weddell Sea shelf (Gutt and Starmans, 1998). It is likely that the full extent of benthic distribution and diversity cannot be assessed completely. More AGTs would be necessary so that the sample size is meaningful enough to carry out more robust analysis on the catch data. The SUCS also has some inherent limitations. The SUCS is a downward facing camera, meaning it can only land on flat surfaces. This prevents certain regions such as steep slopes, boulders, and canyons from being studied due to their topography. These habitats are often considered diversity hotspots (Robert et al., 2013; Fernandez-Arcaya et al., 2017), meaning these potentially diverse regions are difficult to sample.

**VME Taxa**

The relationship between benthic community composition and environmental characteristics is complex with many variables contributing to differences in community composition and the spatial structure of biodiversity (Convey et al., 2014). Given the current international effort to establish a representative system of MPAs in the Southern Ocean it is important to continue to investigate the relationship between both broad and local scale physical surrogates that could be used to infer high levels of biodiversity, VME locations, and potential MPA sites.

Abundances of VME taxa were greatest within the PGC South sites, and VME biomass was characterized by an increase association with rocky cover and a decrease with mud cover. This is unsurprising because of the high number of hard substrate-prefering suspension and filter feeders that are classified as VME taxa (CCAMLR, 2009b). VMEs have been found in lower abundances on soft sediment compared to hard elsewhere around the Antarctic Peninsula (Lockhart and Jones, 2008; Brasier et al., 2018). Depth had a negative influence on VME biomass, which is likely related to organic flux and food availability due to the functional nature of many VME taxa (Jansen et al., 2018). Slope gradient played an indirect role in influencing biomass, mediated through its effect on substrate cover. While the SEM presented in the present study may be useful in predicting biomass in specific regions, the overall impact of variables on VME taxa will likely be highly area specific (Parker and Bowden, 2010). More data are required on the relationship between seafloor gradient and VME taxa in order to check the validity of this model for other regions of the Antarctic shelf and continental slope.

VME Risk Area thresholds are projected to have been met in all sites with the exceptions of Andersson Island and Duse Bay 500 m. The projected weight offsets of 1200 m$^2$ in the current study may have implications for the development of future conservation measures across the Antarctic Peninsula as a whole and the PGC in particular. Several previous studies have provided evidence of VME thresholds being met in the northwestern Antarctic Peninsula region (Lockhart and Jones, 2008; Parker and Bowden, 2010), which have led to the notification and designation of new VME Risk Areas by CCAMLR. As in the present study, VME biomass is often driven by porifera, and in some cases the wet weight of porifera alone has been enough to exceed thresholds and make areas high risk (Brasier et al., 2018). This threshold may therefore be severely biased toward “heavy”
taxa, namely porifera, such that communities of lighter taxa stand little to no chance of meeting these criteria and being adequately protected. This concern is reflected in the present study, as the two sites that do not meet this threshold are also characterized by disproportionately lower porifera biomass. It is possible that VME abundance or diversity, rather than biomass, may be a better way to define this threshold for certain taxa.

CCAMLR defined its VME thresholds on the basis of using actual longline haul data rather than imagery. In previous studies seafloor imagery has provided direct evidence of the presence of VME taxa where there was insufficient or no actual catch data (Jones and Lockhart, 2011; Lockhart and Hocevar, 2018). In the present study, various VME taxa such as bryozoans, gorgonians, porifera, and hydrozoans were underrepresented in the AGT sample. Similar results were found in Brasier et al. (2018), who found an AGT hauled lower biomass and abundance than predicted by SUCS imagery within the South Orkney region. This does suggest that some of the present study sites may not contain enough biomass to exceed the threshold on longline hauling alone. Trawls and longlines are better ways to define this threshold for certain taxa.

In the present study, various VME taxa such as bryozoans, gorgonians, porifera, and hydrozoans were underrepresented in the AGT sample. Similar results were found in Brasier et al. (2018), who found an AGT hauled lower biomass and abundance than predicted by SUCS imagery within the South Orkney region. This does suggest that some of the present study sites may not contain enough biomass to exceed the threshold on longline hauling alone. Trawls and longlines are highly destructive compared to image-based types of analysis, and often are not needed, especially when sampling in recently disturbed or vulnerable areas (Bowden, 2005). A switch from longline bycatch based to camera-based evidence, and instead focusing on species richness, diversity or abundance, may both reduce damage to the habitat and reduce bias toward organisms with greater biomass. Additionally, all sites within the proposed Weddell Sea MPA (Teschke et al., 2016), that is, all sites south of 64°S, exceeded the projected 10 kg of VME taxa per 1200 m². This includes all SUCS deployments in PGC South and Cape Obelisk. This additional information on VME taxa in the region could be used to further support the case for a Weddell Sea MPA.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Benthic assemblages in the PGC were dominated across all regions by ophiuroids, regardless of depth or substrate texture. Abundance and diversity were greater on hard substrata, and the cover of rocky substrate played a significant role in increasing VME biomass. Overall average abundance of organisms across the PGC was greater than in many other studies on the Antarctic shelf, including other locations around the Antarctic Peninsula. The legacy of the Prince Gustav ice shelf may be still be reflected in the epibenthic community, although higher taxonomic resolution would be required to confirm this. Seafloor gradient and depth played significant roles in determining epibenthic community composition and VME biomass. Although more research and focused sampling of softer sediments is required to assess the infauna contribution to the regional diversity. It is likely that variables such as food availability, organic flux, and biological interactions are important in determining the structure of the benthic community, but these factors are beyond the scope of this present study. The PGC hosts large amounts of VME taxa, and further study of the region may be crucial in determining the future management strategy of not just the eastern Antarctic Peninsula region but potentially the wider Weddell Sea.
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