Influence of Culture Conditions on Ex Vivo Expansion of T Lymphocytes and Their Function for Therapy: Current Insights and Open Questions
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Ex vivo expansion of T lymphocytes is a central process in the generation of cellular therapies targeted at tumors and other disease-relevant structures, which currently cannot be reached by established pharmaceuticals. The influence of culture conditions on T cell functions is, however, incompletely understood. In clinical applications of ex vivo expanded T cells, so far, a relatively classical standard cell culture methodology has been established. The expanded cells have been characterized in both preclinical models and clinical studies mainly using a therapeutic endpoint, for example antitumor response and cytotoxic function against cellular targets, whereas the influence of manipulations of T cells ex vivo including transduction and culture expansion has been studied to a much lesser detail, or in many contexts remains unknown. This includes the circulation behavior of expanded T cells after intravenous application, their intracellular metabolism and signal transduction, and their cytoskeletal (re)organization or their adhesion, migration, and subsequent intra-tissue differentiation. This review aims to provide an overview of established T cell expansion methodologies and address unanswered questions relating in vivo interaction of ex vivo expanded T cells for cellular therapy.

Keywords: T lymphocyte (T-cell), ex vivo expansion, CAR T cell, cell culture conditions, homing

INTRODUCTION

Adoptive T cell immunotherapy has evolved as a potent tool in numerous targeted therapies against tumors, autoimmune diseases, and other dysfunctions over the last 30 years. Donor lymphocyte infusions (DLIs) and virus-specific T cells are established allogeneic T cell therapies (Toprak 2018; Stroncek et al., 2019; Rath and Arber 2020; Waldman et al., 2020). Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy is an advanced T cell therapy developed in the last decade and has strongly increased the clinical application of T cells (Ochi et al., 2010; Stroncek et al., 2019; Rath and Arber 2020; Waldman et al., 2020). In CAR T cell therapy, the T cells are genetically modified by transduction of a CAR transgene. The antigen-specific CAR construct is expressed on the surface of the T cells, which can directly identify the target antigens, for example on tumor cells. Currently, clinically established CAR T cell therapies involve mostly autologous T cells; however, there are ongoing developments toward “off the shelf” allogeneic CAR T cell therapy (Feins et al., 2019; Stroncek et al., 2019; Depil et al., 2020). The other known T cell therapies involve tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and T-cell receptor (TCR)–engineered T cells. TILs are tumor-reactive T cells isolated from patients and then expanded ex vivo in
the presence of interleukin (IL)-2 before reinfusion into the patients. The role of TILs as therapeutic agents has been extensively studied in melanomas (Ochi et al., 2010; Ikeda, 2016; Dafni et al., 2019; Rath and Arber, 2020). TCR-engineered T cells are genetically engineered to express novel TCRs and target tumor antigens presented by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (Ikeda, 2016; Met et al., 2019; Stroncek et al., 2019). Both TILs and TCR-engineered T cell therapies involve autologous T cells (Stroncek et al., 2019; Rath and Arber, 2020).

This review aims to summarize important developments in the aforementioned aspects of T cell therapy. We first give an overview of culture protocols, medium composition, serum supplementation, added cytokines, as well as for biotechnology approaches such as bioreactors required for ex vivo expansion. The second part of the review covers clinical studies with CAR T cells and explains not only the major benefits but also the toxicities observed. In the last part, we will review areas where important information is lacking. This includes the question of how transfused T cells are guided when they pass through the lung and while they circulate in the bloodstream, when they interact with endothelial cells to emigrate from blood, and when they migrate through tissues before they reach their targets and metabolic alterations. Figure 1 illustrates areas of research which warrant further exploration, that is, the identification of critical variables in culture protocols which affects metabolism, circulation behavior, migration, and target tissue infiltration of expanded T cells.

CURRENT PROTOCOLS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES IN T CELL EXPANSION

Generation of therapeutic T cell products requires several good manufacturing practice (GMP) steps including T cell isolation, activation of T cells, genetic modification (as required), ex vivo expansion, and quality control before reinfusion. T cell isolation may be divided into cell collection from leukapheresis or whole blood and subsequent cell enrichment by density gradient separation, counterflow elutriation, or antibody-based selection. T cells are then activated using CD3/CD28 antibodies, which may be coated on surface/beads or in soluble form. The next step of genetic modification may include either lentiviral or retroviral transduction, which are commonly used techniques for insertion of the CAR gene. The ex vivo expansion is a necessary step to obtain an adequate

![FIGURE 1](https://example.com/figure1.png) Open questions in T cell expansion research. Transduction and culture expansion may influence various aspects in the functionality of therapeutic T cells, which may in turn influence beneficial and adverse effects, as addressed in this review.

| Variables | In vivo Interaction | Effects |
|-----------|--------------------|---------|
| Freshly Isolated T Cells | Transduction and Culture Expansion | Endothelial Activation, Lung Passage |
| | | Intravascular Circulation, Extravasation |
| | | Intra-Tissue Migration |
| | | Target Infiltration, Kill Efficacy |
| | | Adverse (Toxicity) |
| | | Beneficial (Tumor Clearance) |

![FIGURE 2](https://example.com/figure2.png) Currently used media formulations for ex vivo expansion of CAR T cells.

- **Basal Medium**
  - RPMI-1640
  - AIM-V
  - TaqMACS
  - OptiMac
  - X-VIVO 15
- **Serum Supplementation**
  - 10% Fetal Bovine Serum
  - 5% Human AB Serum
- **Cytokine Cocktail**
  - IL-2
  - IL-7 + IL-15
  - IL-4 + IL-7 + IL-21
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number of cells for infusion (Wang and Rivière, 2016; Stroncek et al., 2019; Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021).

There is considerable variability in expansion protocols, which influences the antitumor activity and the associated toxicities. Hence, it is necessary to have efficient manufacturing technologies and standardized protocols in place (Barrett et al., 2015; Levine, 2015; Wang and Rivière, 2016; Stroncek et al., 2019; Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021). In the following section, we focus on current protocols and advanced technology concerning components in media formulations for ex vivo expansion of T cells. Figure 2 shows three main pillars of media formulation for CAR T cell expansion cultures.

**Basal Medium**

The cell culture media formulation is a basic requirement for culturing of cells. Cell culture medium provides nutrients and growth factors and also essential constituents responsible for maintaining the osmolarity and pH buffering for optimal growth of the expanded cells outside their physiological environment (Henschler et al., 2018). Some of the basal culture media that have been successfully used for T cell expansion are RPMI, AIM-V, X-VIVO15, TexMACS, and OpTmizer T Cell Expansion media (Sato et al., 2009; Alnabhan et al., 2018; Medvec et al., 2018). According to a study presented by K. Sato et al. (2009), OpTmizer has an improved T cell expansion rate and effector functions compared to RPMI and AIM-V (Sato et al., 2009). Under identical culture conditions, the gene expression analysis of effector molecules and cytotoxicity functions of T cells were higher when cultured in OpTmizer than in AIM-V media, with the latter showing lower expression levels compared even to control PBMC (Sato et al., 2009). When comparing AIM-V and TexMACS, it was observed that TexMACS promotes the expansion of the T effector cell (Teff) phenotype, whereas AIM-V promoted the expansion of the T central memory cell (TCM). It was also observed that AIM-V favors IL-2 and IL-17 cytokine production and TexMACS assists in higher degranulation (CD107a) (Alnabhan et al., 2018). The T cell exhaustion markers TIM-3, PD-1, and LAG3 were analyzed in 5% human serum containing AIM-V medium and TexMACS medium. The median frequency of TIM-3 was observed to be more than 95%; similarly, PD-1 and LAG-3 were also upregulated but to a lesser extent when compared to TIM-3 (Alnabhan et al., 2018). It has been suggested that because of the lower activation status of T cells in AIM-V, this medium can be used for monitoring the T cell immune response (Sato et al., 2009). One of the advanced media formulations had been studied by Leney-Greene et al. (2020), Human Plasma like Medium (HPLM) can improve T cell activation and proliferation (Leney-Greene et al., 2020). The comparative analysis of activation markers CD69 and CD25 from five healthy donors indicates that HLPm supports higher T cell activation than RPMI, in both CD4 and CD8 T cells (Leney-Greene et al., 2020).

**Supplementation With Serum**

Serum is an essential supplement in most cell cultures. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) has been the most commonly used serum supplement found in the literature (Festen et al., 2007; Brindley et al., 2012). However, pooled human serum (HS) from blood group AB donors is considered a preferred alternative for therapeutic purposes. Most CAR T cell expansion protocols contain a basal medium supplemented with either FBS or HS (Sato et al., 2009; Alnabhan et al., 2018; Medvec et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). Irrespective of the medium used, the supplementation of serum has been investigated and found to improve T cell viability and proliferation when compared to expansion in serum-free media (SFM) (Sato et al., 2009; Alnabhan et al., 2018). The ratio of CD4/CD8 T cells was also found to be affected by the serum. In serum-containing TexMACS medium, the CD4/CD8 ratio was higher than that of serum-free TexMACS medium (Alnabhan et al., 2018). A chemically defined basal medium has been analyzed in T cell expansion and was shown to expand both naïve and memory T cells equivalently in either the presence or in the absence of 5% HS, whereas X-VIVO 15 could only expand naïve T cells in the presence or absence of serum but not memory T cells (Medvec et al., 2018). The CAR T cells manufactured from healthy donors and expanded in serum-containing media (SCM) showed transient tumor clearance, whereas SFM could generate more durable tumor control in the pre-B cell leukemic cell line NALM6, suggesting that serum affects the T cell function and in vivo persistence. However, this observation was attributed to the lot-to-lot variation in constituents of serum used (Medvec et al., 2018).

Despite FBS and HS being the most commonly used supplements in CAR T cell expansion, there is some concern regarding the usage of serum. FBS is a xenogeneic product, which increases the risk of immune reactions. HS is a preferred alternative, but as with FBS lacks consistency due to lot-to-lot variability. Serum of either source can also become one of the limiting factors in CAR T cell expansion during upscaling (Medvec et al., 2018). Different kinds of serum have also shown differences in transduction efficiency of the CAR gene for CAR T cell therapy, while there has been development of advanced SFM formulation for expansion of cells for therapeutic purposes (Medvec et al., 2018). The proliferation kinetics and specific phenotypical characteristics of T cells cultured in the aforementioned media do not match the growth of cells in a medium supplemented with serum (Brindley et al., 2012). There have been recent developments of serum alternatives, which can improve the growth kinetics and efficiency of expanded cells and also reduce the variability arising due to different pools of serum used. Human platelet lysate (hPL) and PhysiologixTM xeno-free (XP) HGFCl (Phx) are known HS alternates (Torres Chavez et al., 2019a; Canestrari et al., 2019; Ghassemi et al., 2020). hPL is produced from transfusable human platelets. The addition of hPL has exhibited comparable expansion rates to serum-supplemented cultures but maintains comparably higher percentages of TCM. CAR T cells cultured with hPL demonstrates a superior antitumor effect in long-term in vitro coculture with NALM6 and Capan-1PSCA (Torres Chavez et al., 2019a; Canestrari et al., 2019). The activation markers CD69 and CD25, as well as exhaustion makers TIM-3 and PD-1 on the surface of CAR T cells, had different expression levels when cultured with different serum supplements (FBS, HS, and hPL). It
Addition of Cytokines

Cytokines are cell-signaling molecules crucial for activation, proliferation, and differentiation of cells and mimic similar functions in ex vivo cultures. The cytokine IL-2 was first discovered as a T cell growth factor and has pleiotropic functions in the life cycle of T cells (Smith, 1988; Ross and Cantrell, 2018). Activation of T cells leads to production of IL-2 and the expression of IL-2 receptors on its surface for autocrine signaling (Smith, 1988; Kim et al., 2006; Feau et al., 2011; Asao, 2014; Ross and Cantrell, 2018). Due to its pleiotropic functions including mediation of T cell survival and proliferation, IL-2 is the most frequently used cytokine in CAR T cell cultures (Besser et al., 2009; Luostarinen et al., 2017; Ghaffari et al., 2021).

IL-2 concentrations used in CAR T cell culture have a range between 10 and 7000 IU/ml (Huang et al., 2006; Besser et al., 2009; Luostarinen et al., 2017; Ghaffari et al., 2021). In a 14-day long culture of TIL generated from metastatic melanoma patients, the expansion rate of cells increased with increasing concentration of IL-2 only up to 600 IU/ml; cultures with a very high concentration of 12000 IU/ml were toxic to cells and cultures with no IL-2 cells underwent necrosis (Besser et al., 2009). IL-2 concentrations above 600 IU/ml also induced the expression of inhibitory markers CTLA-4 and CD33 (Besser et al., 2009; Ghaffari et al., 2021). A similar expansion curve was observed in T cells isolated from healthy donors, where T cells cultured with 50 IU/ml IL-2 had a higher expansion rate than cells cultured with 20 IU/ml of IL-2, but there was no difference at a higher concentration of 500 IU/ml of IL-2 (Ghaffari et al., 2021). It has been observed in multiple studies that the expansion rate of T cells is directly proportional to the concentration of IL-2, but only up to a certain range, above which the expansion rate reaches a plateau (Trickett et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2006; Besser et al., 2009; Luostarinen et al., 2017; Ghaffari et al., 2021). The cytokotoxicity and release of interferon (IFN)-γ of TIL also depend on the concentration of IL-2 in the cultures (Huang et al., 2006; Besser et al., 2009). There was a contrasting observation regarding the effect of IL-2 concentration on the proportion of CD4 and CD8 T cells, with Besser et al. (2009) observing no difference in the overall proportion, whereas Luostarinen, Kaartinen et al. (2017) observed that higher concentrations of IL-2 favor CD4 T cells (Besser et al., 2009; Luostarinen et al., 2017).

Successful T cell therapy requires both higher cytokotoxic function and long-term survival upon infusion into patients. IL-2 favors the differentiation of naïve T cells to terminally differentiated T eff with higher cytokotoxic function and stronger response to chemokine-mediated cytokotoxic function and stronger response to chemokine-mediated homing to peripheral tissue. However, these T eff cells are short-lived (Seder and Ahmed, 2003; Mahnke et al., 2013; Golubovskaya and Wu, 2016; Abramowski-Mock et al., 2017), whereas the intermediate differentiated states of stem cell memory T cells (T SCM and T CM are long-lived and have higher self-renewal capacity, thus showing longer persistence upon infusion when compared to the T eff cells (Gatto et al., 2011; Mahnke et al., 2013; Golubovskaya and Wu, 2016; Luostarinen et al., 2017). Thus making the T SCM and T CM a promising differentiated T cell type for improved efficiency of CAR T cell therapy. Luostarinen, Kaartinen et al. (2017) observed that increasing the concentration of IL-2 led to a decrease in the early memory T cell (T CM, T SCM) and an increase in effector memory T cells (T EM) in the cultures. Cultures in 5 IU/ml of IL-2 had nearly 88% of early memory cells compared to only 61% in cultures with 300 IU/ml of IL-2 (Luostarinen et al., 2017). However, the T SCM differentiation state showed significant variability with regards to culturing time, with some T cell cultures that did not show any detectable T SCM cells on day 10, showed nearly 23% cells on day 20, but in cultures with 43% of T SCM on day 10, had only 23% of T SCM on day 20 (Luostarinen et al., 2017).

Apart from IL-2, other cytokines that are currently under investigation for application in CAR T cell cultures are IL-4, IL-7, IL-15, and IL-21. These cytokines are used in different concentrations and combinations to improve the CAR T cell viability, proliferation, and differentiation (Chu et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013; Kravets et al., 2017; Ptáčková et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019; Marton et al., 2021). In the study presented by Zhou, Jin et al. (2019), it was observed that CAR T cells cultured in IL-7 + IL-15 have a higher proliferation rate and superior antitumor activity compared to cells cultured in IL-2-containing medium. The cultured CAR T cells also expressed higher levels of anti-apoptosis protein BCL-2 and lower expression of inhibitory molecule PD-1, exhibiting lower apoptosis rates and lower T cell exhaustion (Zhou et al., 2019). The addition of IL-7 + IL-15 to PBMC cultures enhanced the expansion rate of T cells even in the absence of an activation agent, explaining the agent-independent proliferation of T cells (Ramanathan et al., 2008; Ramanathan et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2013). A comparison between cord blood T cell cultures supplemented separately with IL-2 and IL-7 reveals that IL-7 promotes a higher CD4/CD8 ratio compared to cultures with IL-2 only; this may be due to the critical role of IL-7 in the survival of CD4+ memory T cells (Berglund et al., 2013). IL-7 + IL-15 also maintained high viability of T cells by suppressing the activation and inducing cell death (Mueller et al., 2003; Shi et al., 2013). The combination of IL-2 + IL-7 + IL-15 also increased the cytokotoxic activity and release of IFN-γ by CD8+ T cells (Shi et al., 2013), but a contrasting result was observed in another study, with no significant difference in the cytokotoxic activity or release of IFN-γ observed in cultures supplemented with IL-7 + IL-15 (Zhou et al., 2019). In T cell cultures, supplementing with IL-7 + IL-15 promotes the expansion of T SCM and T CM upon activation by anti-CD3/CD28 beads (Bere et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2019).
T cells cultured with IL-7 + IL-15 expressed higher levels of CCR7 and CXCR4 chemokine receptors and show enhanced migration toward the CCL21 gradient (Zhou et al., 2019). The study by Ptáčková et al. (2018), further analyzes a complex cytokine combination of IL-2 + IL-4 + IL-7 + IL-21 in CAR T cell cultures (Ptáčková et al., 2018). The cytokine cocktail of IL-4 + IL-7 + IL-21 promotes early memory cell types and prevents the expression of inhibitory receptors TIM-3 and PD-1 (Ptáčková et al., 2018). This indicates that the composition of cytokine cocktails has a significant effect on CAR T cell cultures, and hence it is essential to analyze cytokine cocktails in different concentrations and combinations before implementing them for culturing CAR T cells for clinical administration. Table 1 lists the commonly used media, serum supplement, and cytokine pool for the expansion of T cells. In summary, RPMI, AIM-V, and X-VIVO 15 are commonly used media for CAR T cell expansion with supplementation of either FBS or HS. In addition, IL-2 is one of the basic and commonly added cytokines to the CAR T cell cultures.

**Manufacturing Technology**

Standardization of activation reagent and media formulation has mostly been investigated in small-scale *in vitro* cultures. A single dose of expanded CAR T cells infused in patients is nearly $10^8$ to $10^9$ cells (Grigor et al., 2019; Abramson et al., 2020; Schuster et al., 2021; Westin et al., 2021). This makes efficient large-scale manufacturing technology under GMP conditions necessary for successful CAR T cell therapy. The earliest expansion technology was based on static culture conditions in a T flask or a culture bag. These systems were labor-intensive and required trained operators, which increases the possibility of contamination and variability in the quality and quantity of the cell product (Vormittag et al., 2018; Baudequin et al., 2021). There are currently multiple technologies available for upscaling the laboratory protocols to meet the required clinical dose.

The G-Rex system stands for the Gas Permeable Rapid Expansion system designed by WilsonWolf (Baudequin et al., 2021). The G-Rex system consists of a cell culture vessel/flask with a gas-permeable membrane at the bottom for ease of gas exchange. These flasks can be incubated in usual cell culture incubators. It is considered a practical and cost-effective way to scale up the CAR T cell cultures as it does not require additional

---

**Table 1 | Currently used media formulation for *ex vivo* expansion of CAR T cells.**

| CAR T Cell Media | Serum | Cytokines | References |
|------------------|-------|-----------|------------|
| RPMI-1640        | 10% FBS | 100 U/mL IL-2 | Johnson et al. (2015) |
| RPMI-1640 containing 45% Clicks medium | 10% FBS | 50 U/mL IL-2 | Watanabe et al. (2016) |
| RPMI-1640        | 10% FBS | 50 U/mL of IL-2 | Chicaibamb et al. (2013) |
| 45% AIM-V, 40% RPMI-1640 | 10% FBS | IL-2 (concentration not available) | Pinz et al. (2016) |
| 45% Click’s media; 45% RPMI-1640 | 10% FBS | 100 U/mL IL-2 | Xu et al. (2016) |
| RPMI-1640 containing 45% Click’s medium | 10% FBS | 50 U/mL IL-2 | Bajgian et al. (2018) |
| 50% RPMI-1640, 50% Click’s medium | 10% FBS | 50 U/mL IL-2 | Torres Chavez et al. (2019b) |
| 45% RPMI-1640 and 45% Click’s medium | 10% FBS | 50 U/mL IL-2 | Caruana et al. (2015) |
| AIM V medium | 5% FBS | 40 U/mL & 300 U/mL IL-2 | Long et al. (2015) |
| X- VIVO 15 medium | 5% HS | 300 U/mL IL-2 | Du et al. (2016) |
|                 | 10% HS | 100 U/mL IL-2 | Teschner et al. (2011) |
|                 | 5% HS | 300 U/mL IL-2 | Kim et al. (2015) |
|                 | 10% FBS | 20 ng/ml IL-2 | Xu et al. (2019) |
|                 | 5% HS | 200 U/mL IL-2 | Eyquem et al. (2017) |
|                 | 10% FBS | 20 ng/ml IL-2 | Valton et al. (2015) |
|                 | 5% HS | 30 U/mL IL-2 for CD4+ T cells | Galetto et al. (2014) |
|                 |       | 100 U/mL IL-2 for CD8+ T cells | Wu et al. (2015) |

Abbreviation: FBS, fetal bovine serum; HS, human serum.
specialized equipment or sensors (Baudequin et al., 2021; Garcia-Aponte et al., 2021; Westin et al., 2021). This system can be optimized to obtain a single therapeutic dose of CAR T cells per closed system. The G-Rex vessels are available with different surface areas ranging from 5 to 500 cm² with a capacity of up to 4,500 ml. As this is a semi-automated technology, the cells are disturbed during sampling, which affects the cell expansion kinetics (Baudequin et al., 2021). The rocking motion (RM) bioreactors include the GE WAVE bioreactor system and Xuri cell expansion system (Wang and Rivière, 2016; Vormittag et al., 2018; Baudequin et al., 2021). These use sterile single-use cell bags for culturing CAR T Cells on a temperature-controlled rocking platform. The controlled rocking platform maintains bag inflation while providing sufficient mixing for improved gas exchange and homogeneity of nutrients required for cell expansion. They are available with sensors and controllers for perfusion, dissolved oxygen, and pH levels. The working volume depends on the size of the cell bag, which ranges from 2 to 50 L, and cell expansions can reach up to $1 \times 10^8$ cells/ml. The major drawback of this technology is the possibility of mechanical and electrical failure of the rocking platform and controllers and contamination due to the semi-automated technology (Somerville et al., 2012; Janas et al., 2015; Wang and Rivière, 2016; Vormittag et al., 2018; Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021).

The aim is to develop efficient, highly controlled, and automated closed-system technologies, which can reduce labor-intensive procedures and eliminate any possibility of contamination (Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021; Baudequin et al., 2021). The CliniMACS Prodigy by Miltenyi Biotec and the Cocoon by Lonza are fully automated expansion technologies (Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021). The CliniMACS Prodigy is a one-system multistep technology, which integrates and automates the multistep process of CAR T cell manufacturing (Baudequin et al., 2021). This system performs cell separation, activation, lentiviral transduction, and expansion automatically in the single-use closed tubing system. The gas and media exchange and gentle mixing of cells are also automated with sensors and controllers (Apel et al., 2013; Mock et al., 2016; Baudequin et al., 2021; Garcia-Aponte et al., 2021). The CliniMACS Prodigy systems have been used for manufacturing CAR T cells as a substitute for the currently available technologies in clinical operation (Mock et al., 2016). One of the potential drawbacks of the CliniMACS Prodigy systems is that it is a single-component machine, where the culture vessel and centrifuge are the same. This leads to slower processing of samples as simultaneous processing of multiple batches is not possible with a single machine (Baudequin et al., 2021). In the report by Mock et al. (2016), the final composition of the product manufactured by CliniMACS Prodigy is similar to the product from the WAVE bioreactor. However, the yield with the CliniMACS Prodigy was $7.9 \times 10^8 \pm 4.0$ CAR + cells, whereas the yield in the WAVE bioreactor was $3.8 \times 10^8 \pm 4.5$ CAR19 + cells from a starting cell number of $0.7–1.2 \times 10^8$ cells in both systems (Mock et al., 2016). In another study presented by Lock et al. (2017), with a starting material of $1 \times 10^6$ T Cells from DLBCL patients and healthy donors, the final product after

---

**TABLE 2 | Commercially produced CAR T Cell Therapies and initial treatment indications.**

| References | Disease type and state | Target antigen | Manufacturer | Date of approval | Abbreviation |
|------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| O’Leary et al. (2019) | R/R acute lymphoma | CD19 | Kite Pharma | 2017 | CR, partial remission |
| Bouchkouj et al. (2022) | R/R large B cell lymphoma | CD19 | Novartis | 2021 | CR, complete remission |
| Schuster et al. (2022) | R/R lymphoblastic leukemia | CD19 | Kite Pharma | 2021 | CR, complete remission |
| Wang et al. (2020) | R/R large B cell lymphoma | CD19 | Kite Pharma | 2022 | CR, complete remission |
| Abramson et al. (2020) | R/R multiple myeloma | BCMA | Janssen Biotech | 2017 | CR, complete remission |
| Sharma et al. (2022) | R/R acute lymphoblastic leukemia | CD19 | Kite Pharma | 2021 | CR, partial remission |
| Bouchkouj et al. (2022) | R/R multiple myeloma | BCMA | Janssen Biotech | 2021 | CR, complete remission |
| Leary et al. (2021) | R/R diffuse large B cell lymphoma | CD19 | Janssen Biotech | 2021 | CR, complete remission |

**Abbreviations:** CR, complete remission; PR, partial remission; CRi, complete remission with incomplete hematological recovery; sCR, stringent complete response rate; VGPR, very good partial response.
12 days of expansion in CliniMACS Prodigy contained 3.2 × 10^9–4.9 × 10^9 T cells from DLBCL patients and 2.9 × 10^8–6.3 × 10^9 from healthy donors (Lock et al., 2017).

Cocoon is a recently developed automated manufacturing system for T cell expansion by Lonza. This system uses a single-use cassette that is suitable for both suspension and adherent cells. This cocoon cassette performs magnetic separation, activation, transduction/transfection, and expansion of cells in a closed system, providing a high degree of standardization of optimal CAR T cell expansion conditions is complex. The contrasting results in different comparative studies focusing on a particular expansion condition may be due to differences in other variables that affect the culture conditions. However, now a number of industrially established CAR T cell therapies are available against hematological tumors, which have shown promising results.

Establishment of Clinical CAR T Cell Therapies

In 1989, Eshhar et al. for the first time described the principle of expressing a specifically designed transgene encoding a chimeric transmembrane antigen receptor (the CAR) in autologous T lymphocytes which can recognize and bind a therapeutically relevant (tumor) cell antigen triggering the activation of the T cells in order to eliminate target cells (Gross et al., 1989). The clinical breakthrough of this technology has become evident 2 decades later by the achievement of high cure rates in children with acute lymphatic leukemia by anti-CD19 CAR T cells by the group Carl June (Kalos et al., 2011). As more clinical applications have been developed, the complexity of the isolation, culture, genetic engineering, and the cell expansion steps under GMP conditions prompted the pharmaceutical industry to engage in the establishment of specific product lines (Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021; Alnàban et al., 2018; Sato et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2015; Westin et al., 2021; Bajgain et al., 2014; Somerville et al., 2012; Elavia et al., 2019). Table 2 gives several CAR T cell products which have entered large-scale clinical production as study medications. Differences in cell dosage, as well as the number of patients treated, and clinical outcomes have been reported in these studies. However, information regarding the long-term persistence and killing efficiency have received less attention. Globally, currently, a limited number of large production facilities exist for the manufacturing of these cell therapeutics. In addition to globally acting pharmaceutical companies, smaller-scale trials with locally manufactured CAR T cell products are underway and have led to important progress in therapy and contain indications such as HIV, cancer, and autoimmune diseases which are reviewed elsewhere (Clinicaltrials, 2022).

According to the European Medicines Agency’s Committee for Advanced Therapies, CAR T cells are an advanced therapy medicinal product (ATMP) (Buechner et al., 2018; Vucinic et al., 2021). Industral production of CAR T cells requires standardization of multi-process manufacturing steps in order to reduce the variability of the final product and meet the efficacy observed in clinical studies (Wang and Rivière, 2016; Stroncek et al., 2019; Abou-El-Enein et al., 2021). Hence, GMP protocols with automated manufacturing systems and trained personnel are essential (Gee, 2018). Standardization of culture medium and supplements can be achieved by using a clinical-grade serum-free, chemically defined medium from the same vendor for ex vivo expansion of CAR T cells. Although an essential supplement for CAR T cell expansion, serum induces variability in the composition of the final product (Medvec et al., 2018). The upscaling of CAR T cell products from bench to bedside requires closed-system manufacturing technologies, and automation is favorable but not essential for current working standards (Gee, 2018). As discussed above, CliniMACS Prodigy is an automated manufacturing system currently used for manufacturing CAR T cells. Another automated manufacturing system is Cocoon by Lonza (Baudequin et al., 2021; Pharma.lonza, 2022). A further step toward standardized manufacturing is centralized-manufacturing units. As observed in the case of CAR T cell product, for example by Novartis, the manufacturer of Kymriah, there are only six manufacturing units, three in Europe and two in United States; and one recently approved in Japan (Novartis, 2021). Similarly, in the case of Bristol Myers Squibb, the two approved CAR T cell products are manufactured in five units (Bms, 2021). Validation and qualification of all cell therapy products before infusion is part of GMP protocol. Finally, trained personnel and management of medical records is also essential for Standardized CAR T cell product (Gee, 2018; Fritsche et al., 2020).

Currently, all the six clinically approved CAR T cell therapies use second-generation CAR construct but with different co-stimulatory domains (Meng et al., 2021). A CAR structure can be divided into four major domains, an extracellular antigen binding domain, a spacer domain, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic domain. First-generation CARs contain only the CD3ξ signaling domain, whereas in second-generation CARs, an additional co-stimulatory endomain is added to the signaling domain. The third-generation CARs have multiple co-stimulatory endomain (Holzinger and Abken, 2019; Huang et al., 2020; Jayaraman et al., 2020).

T cells redirected for antigen-unrestricted cytokine-initiated killing (TRUCKs), the fourth-generation CARs have additional signal for inducible cytokine release (Chmielewski and Abken, 2015; Chmielewski and Abken, 2020; Dragon et al., 2020). The inducible cytokines studies with TRUCKs are IL-2, IL-7, IL-12, IL-15, IL-17, and IL-21 (Tian et al., 2020). The release of these cytokines improves the antitumor activity, longer persistence, and resistance to inhibitory signals (Petersen and Krenziute, 2019). These cytokines are deposited in tissues and induce a
TABLE 3 | Therapeutic agents against the targets that play a major role in CRS.

| Therapeutic Agents | Targets | Advantages | Disadvantages | References |
|--------------------|---------|------------|---------------|------------|
| Tocilizumab | IL-6 receptor | 1) Direct anti-cytokine drug in the treatment of CAR-T-associated CRS; 2) Does not affect the efficacy of CAR-T-cells; 3) Very well-tolerated drug with minimal adverse events | 1) cannot be used in the management of ICANS; 2) Poor penetration of the blood–brain barrier | Si and Teachey. (2020); Siegler and Kenderian. (2020) |
| Siltuximab | IL-6 | 1) prevents binding of IL-6 to both soluble and membrane-bound IL-6R; 2) prevents the exposure of IL-6 to the central nervous system by blocking IL-6 instead of IL-6R | None identified | Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al. (2018a); Kang et al. (2019); Murthy et al. (2019) |
| Ruxolitinib | JAK/STAT signaling pathway | 1) Diminished inflammatory cytokines such as IFN-γ & TNF-α, and alleviated symptoms of CRS; 2) Prolonged overall survival in a mouse model of CAR T cell-induced CRS; 3) has been used to treat steroid-refractory CRS and severe CRS patients; 4) in clinical trials | suppress CAR-T cell cytotoxicity in vitro | Kenderian et al. (2017); Murthy et al. (2019); Duva et al. (2020); Li et al. (2021); Pan et al. (2021); Zi et al. (2021); Xu et al. (2022) |
| Lenzilumab | GM-CSF | 1) GM-CSF inhibition by lenzilumab enhances CART19 cell proliferation, antitumor activity, and overall survival in patient-derived xenograft model; 2) Prevents the development of CRS and significantly reduces the severity of neurotoxicity; 3) clinical study: ZUMA-19 | None identified | (Sterner et al., 2019; Siegler and Kenderian, 2020) |
| Etanercept | TNF-α | 1) Successfully cured CRS in patients with elevated levels of TNF-α; 2) CAR T cell proliferation and effector functions were not affected; 3) in clinical trials | None identified | Grupp et al. (2013); Zhang et al. (2018); Siegler and Kenderian. (2020); Zhang et al. (2021); Zhou et al. (2021) |
| Anakinra | IL-1R | 1) Standard treatment for the management of steroid-refractory ICANS with or without CRS; 2) equally effective in preventing CRS mortality as compared to tocilizumab; 3) has been effective in treating patients with HLH. | None identified | Norelli et al. (2018); Siegler and Kenderian. (2020); Wehrli et al. (2022) |

Abbreviation: IL-6, (interleukin) 6; IFN-γ, (Interferon) gamma; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor-alpha; HLH, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis.

secondary immune response that is otherwise inaccessible by CAR T cells in solid tumors (Chmielewski and Abken, 2015). Furthermore, there has been the development of universal CAR (UniCAR) T cell systems and chimeric autoantigen receptor (CAAR) T cells (Holzinger and Abken, 2019). The uniCAR system is divided into two functional components. The CAR transgenes inserted in T cells are similar to the second-generation of the CAR construct, except that the extracellular antigen-binding domain now does not recognize tumor antigen but recognizes an epitope present on target modules (TMs). TMs are short-lived molecules with an epitope and a specific tumor antigen-binding domain. As UniCAR systems are controlled by TM, their efficiency and associated toxicities can be managed by titration of TM (Cartellieri et al., 2015; Cartellieri et al., 2016; Albert et al., 2017; Feldmann et al., 2017; Bachmann et al., 2018; Loureiro et al., 2018; Bachmann, 2019; Jureczek et al., 2019; Arndt et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2021). CAAR T cell therapy is directed toward autoimmunity, more specifically toward B cell-mediated autoimmune disorders. CAAR T cell construct is also similar to the second-generation CAR construct, except that the ectodomain is now a specific antigen instead of a ligand binding site. The antigen presented by the CAAR T cell is an autoantigen targeted by autoantibody produced by B cells during autoimmune disorders. The binding of autoantigens presented by CAAR to the autoantibodies leads to activation of CAAR T cells and eliminates auto-reactive B cells (Ellebrecht et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2018; Ellebrecht et al., 2019; Sadeqi Nezhad et al., 2020; Aghajanian et al., 2022).

TOXICITIES ASSOCIATED WITH CAR T CELL THERAPY

Cytokine Release Syndrome

CRS is one of the most common toxicities in CAR T cell therapy. CRS is a systemic inflammatory response, observed due to hypersecretion of cytokines and other inflammatory markers during the CAR T cell–mediated tumor clearance mechanism (Frey and Porter, 2019; Murthy et al., 2019). CRS is a result of a complex interplay between T cells, myeloid cells, endothelial cells, tumor cells and the signals released by them. Its initiation upon
early contacts with host immune cells and endothelial cells has been discussed in the later sections.

**Mediators of Cytokine Release Syndrome**

The activation of infused CAR T cells and interaction with tumor cells leads to the release of several cytokines including IL-2, soluble IL-2Ra, IFNγ, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, soluble IL-6R, and GM-CSF. Activation of monocytes and macrophages by these factors, in turn, leads to the secretion of IL-1, IL-1RA, IL-10, IL-6, soluble IL-6R, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12, TNF-α, CXCL10 (IP-10), CXCL9 (MIG), IFNα, CCL3 (MIP-1α), CCL4 (MIP-1β), and MCP1, and further, the activation of endothelial cells release IL-6 and IL-8. Other inflammatory mediators released include IL-1, IL-18, MCP1, ferritin and C-reactive protein (CRP), and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) (Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018a; Wang and Han, 2018a; Neelapu et al., 2018; Garcia Borrega et al., 2019; Murthy et al., 2019; Yáñez et al., 2019). Most of the cytokines released then initiate a feed-forward loop, resulting in cytokine hypersecretion and CRS (Wang and Han, 2018b).

IL-6, IFNγ, and TNF-α are cytokines released early in CRS from activated CAR T cells (Rose-John, 2012). These cytokines are consistently found in CRS and play a major role in its toxicity. IL-6 is released by different immune cells (T cells, monocytes/macrophages, dendritic cells) and by endothelial cells upon activation. IL-6 displays both pro- and anti-inflammatory roles. IL-6 signaling occurs through two different pathways. In “classical signaling”, IL-6 binds to the IL-6 receptor (IL6R) present on the cell surface; in the case of “trans-signaling” the IL-6 binds to soluble IL-6 receptors (sIL-R). IL-6/IL-6R binds to gp130 and initiates signaling through its intracellular domain via the JAK/STAT pathway (Rose-John et al., 2006; Rose-John, 2012). IFN-γ and TNF-α are released by immune effector cells such as activated CAR T cells and by lysis of tumor cells and induce activation of other immune cells and endothelial cells (Cosenza et al., 2021). Macrophages are activated by IFN-γ which leads to hypersecretion of IL-6, IL-10, and TNF-α (Tau and Rothman, 1999). TNF-α increases vascular permeability (Parameswaran and Patial, 2010). IFN-γ and TNF-α have also been associated with impairment of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and activation of microglia cells in CAR T cell therapy associated with neurotoxicity (Wang and Han, 2018b; Murthy et al., 2019).

**Therapeutic Agents Against Cytokine Release Syndrome**

As IL-6/IL-6R is one of the major driving factors of CRS, blockade of IL-6/IL-6R and its signaling pathway is considered for clinical management of CRS. Tocilizumab is an FDA-approved anti–IL-6R antibody, which binds to both membrane-bound and soluble IL-6R. Siltuximab and clazakizumab are monoclonal antibodies targeting IL-6 (Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018a; Kang et al., 2019; Murthy et al., 2019). Ruxolitinib blocks the downstream signaling induced by gp130 by inhibiting the JAK/STAT pathway (Kenderian et al., 2017; Murthy et al., 2019). Lenzilumab is an anti-GM-CSF antibody for neutralizing human GM-CSF produced during CRS. It does affect the efficacy of CAR T cells, rather enhancing its activity. It is currently in clinical trials for axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T cell therapy (Sterner et al., 2019; Siegler and Kenderian, 2020).

Etanercept is a soluble form of TNF-α receptor administered in case of severe CRS. It has shown promising results in rapidly resolving pediatric patients but not in adult patients. Etanercept is administered alone or in combination with tocilizumab (Zhang et al., 2018; Siegler and Kenderian, 2020). Anakinra is an IL-1 receptor antagonist and blocks IL-1-mediated CRS. IL-1 release is preceded by the release of IL-6 and administration of anakinra controls CRS and neurotoxicity. It is also used for treating patients with hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH). It also downregulates the iNOS produced by macrophages (Norelli et al., 2018; Siegler and Kenderian, 2020). Table 3 lists antibodies used in CRS and its targets. In summary, CRS is a major complication in CAR T cell therapy and can be counteracted by specific neutralizing antibodies. The influence of CAR T cell expansion culture conditions on the occurrence of CRS is not known.

**Grading System for Cytokine Release Syndrome**

Although there are multiple studies on CAR T cell therapy, the severity of toxicities between different studies is usually not directly compared, mainly since there are multiple grading systems used in different study trials to determine the severity of CRS and its related toxicities. Three commonly used grading systems for CRS and other toxicities are the Penn Scale, developed by the University of Pennsylvania; NIH consensus criteria also called as Lee scale; and the ASTCT scale developed by the American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy (ASTCT) (Lee et al., 2014; Porter et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2019; Schuster et al., 2020).

**Immune Effector Cell Associated Neurotoxicity Syndrome (ICANS)**

ICANS was also referred to as CAR T cell–related encephalopathy syndrome (CRES) until the publication of a new grading system by ASTCT (Lee et al., 2019). ICANS is one of the most common CAR T cell therapy–related toxicities associated with CRS. Data from multiple clinical trials data indicate that ICANS may be associated with the CAR construct and the antigen targeted by CAR T cells also, and the severity of ICANS can be correlated with the severity of CRS, higher tumor burden, a higher dose of CAR T cells, and their higher in vivo expansion rates (Turtle et al., 2017; Brudno et al., 2018; Gauthier and Turtle, 2018; Park et al., 2018; Santomasso et al., 2018; Garcia Borrega et al., 2019). It has been observed in the ZUMA-1 CAR T cells trial that the onset of ICANS occurs later than CRS and that symptoms persist longer than CRS symptoms (Santomasso et al., 2018). Symptoms of ICANS range from mild headaches, fatigue, and mild aphasia to severe manifestation in the form of seizures, raised intracranial pressure with cerebral edema, and coma (Santomasso et al., 2018; Garcia Borrega et al., 2019).
ICANS is associated with increased permeability of the BBB, vascular and endothelial dysfunctions. The cytokines and other immune mediators are released during CRS, which lead to systemic inflammation also correlated with the severity of ICANS (Gust et al., 2017; Turtle et al., 2017; Santomasso et al., 2018). The role of IL-1 and GM-CSF released by monocytes has been studied in a xenograft mouse model, where blockade of IL-1 and GM-CSF resulted in significant reduction in ICANS (Sterner et al., 2019). Increased permeability of the BBB, higher CSF/blood ratio of cytokines, and high numbers of CAR T cells in CSF have been observed in case of severe ICANS (Gauthier and Turtle, 2018).

Preclinical studies indicated that blockade of IL-1 and GM-CSF by anakinra and lenzilumab can be an approach toward the management of ICANS. However, it should be noted that IL-6 blockade by tocilizumab used in case CRS is not effective in case of ICANS (Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018a; Norelli et al., 2018; Garcia Borrega et al., 2019; Yáñez et al., 2019).

Table 4 provides details of common toxicities associated with CAR T cell therapies as observed in major clinical studies.

### Strategies to Improve CAR T Cell Therapy and Underexplored Areas of Research

Tumor burden is one of the factors involved in the toxicity; high tumor burden at the start of the therapy increases the risk of CAR T cell–associated toxicity. In most cases of CRS, severe toxicity is observed after the administration of the first dose. High tumor burden is one of the important predictors of the severity of CRS (Brentjens et al., 2013; Turtle et al., 2016a; Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018b). Hence, a bridging therapy after apheresis and before CAR T cell infusion can help reduce the tumor burden. Radiation therapy is a widely considered bridging therapy to control rapid disease progression and reduce tumor burden until CAR T cell infusion (Sim et al., 2019; Pinnix et al., 2020; Leick et al., 2021; Deshpande et al., 2022). A high CAR T cell dose not only helps in clearing the tumor but also increases the increase of toxicity; this can be countered by multiple smaller doses of CAR T cells (Turtle et al., 2016a; Gust et al., 2017; Wang and Han, 2018b). In multiple dose escalation studies, the infused CAR T cell dose ranges from $10^5$ cells/kg to $10^8$ cells/kg, and the optimal cell dose was found to be close to $10^6$ cells/kg, whereas a cell dose higher than $10^7$ cells/kg was associated with severe toxicity (Lee et al., 2015; Turtle et al., 2016a; Turtle et al., 2016b; Park et al., 2016; Gust et al., 2017; Wang and Han, 2018b; Fry et al., 2018; Dasyam et al., 2020; Siddiqi et al., 2022). The “on-target off-tumor effect” leads to long term B cell aplasia in CD19 CAR T cell therapy, which can be countered by a uniCAR T cell system and by “switch-on/switch-off” CAR T cells (Holzinger and Abken, 2019; Yáñez et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2021). The presence of existing neurological comorbidities in patients undergoing CAR T cell therapy also increases the risk of ICANS (Gust et al., 2017). Thus, a stringent and clear criterion for participation is necessary.

The occurrence of CRS is more profound in second-generation CAR constructs with additional co-stimulatory signaling domain than first-generation constructs, where only

| Table 4 | CAR T cell–associated toxicities in major clinical studies. |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| References | |
| Elanian et al. (2019) | Bouchkaou et al. (2020) | Schuster et al. (2021) | Weng et al. (2020) | Shah et al. et al. (2021) |
| | | | | |
| ICANS grade | 33% | 60% | 18% | 31% |
| CRS grade | 49% | 25% | 49% | 31% |
| Number of patients | 63 | 101 | 94 | 101 |
| Clinical studies | 63 | 101 | 94 | 101 |
| Disease type and stage | R/R acute leukemia | R/R large B cell lymphoma | R/R follicular lymphoma | R/R multiple myeloma |
| Product | axicabtagene ciloleucel | tisagenlecleucel brexucabtagene autoleucel | lisocabtagene maraleucel | iciltacabtagene autoleucel |
| | | | | |
the CD3 signaling domain was present. In second-generation CAR constructs, the CD28 co-stimulatory domain has higher CRS incidence than the 4-1BB CARs co-stimulatory domain, and this shifts the focus on advanced CAR constructs, which have been described earlier. (Savoldo et al., 2011; van der Stegen et al., 2015; Needleman et al., 2017; Shimabukuro-Vornhagen et al., 2018b). Also, CARs targeting inhibitory ligands and TRUCKs with inducible cytokine transgene improves CAR T cell functions and prevents T cell exhaustion in solid tumors (Holzinger and Abken, 2019).

**Endothelial Cell Activation by CAR T Cells**

Endothelial cells maintain the blood fluidity and blood flow and transmembrane permeability, and they control the activation and adhesion of circulating leukocytes through various mechanisms. Failure of endothelial cells to perform any of these functions can be termed endothelial cell dysfunction (Pober and Sessa, 2007). The activation of endothelial cells from the quiescent stage to the activated stage is an inflammatory response and can be induced through two different mechanisms. Type I endothelial activation is a rapid response through ligand binding to GPCR receptors such as histamine H1 receptors (Pober and Cotran, 1990), whereas type II activation is a much slower but sustained response mediated by TNF-α and IL-1 through transcriptional changes in endothelial cells (Pober and Cotran, 1990; Pober and Sessa, 2007). The release of TNF-α and IL-1 during CRS has already been reviewed in the earlier section. Endothelial cell activation is one of the CAR T cell therapy-associated toxicity (Gavriilaki et al., 2020). Persistent activation of endothelial cells CRS during CAR T cell therapy has also been described to result in endothelial dysfunction (Page and Liles, 2013; Gust et al., 2017). The concentration of angiopoietin2 (ANG2), von Willebrand factor (VWF), and IL-8, which are stored in Weibel–Palade bodies and released upon endothelial activation, was found to be higher in patients after CAR T cell infusion (Gust et al., 2017; Hong et al., 2021). There was also an increase in the ANG2:ANG1 ratio (Gust et al., 2017). A comparison in patients with mild and severe CRS in the first month after CAR T cell infusion against B-ALL showed that endothelial cell activation correlates with the severity of CRS (Hong et al., 2021). The endothelial markers were also found to be at higher levels during the peak of CRS compared to the initial or recovery phase of CRS, showing a direct association with the rise and decline of CRS (Hong et al., 2021). Similarly, greater levels of the aforementioned endothelial activation markers were observed in patients with severe neurotoxicity within 1 week of receiving CAR T cell therapy (Gust et al., 2017). The VWF concentration in serum was four to five fold higher in patients with severe neurotoxicity (grade ≥4 neurotoxicity) than in serum from healthy donors (Gust et al., 2017). The soluble form of cell adhesion molecules such as E-selectin, VCAM1, and ICAM1 was also found to be elevated in patients with severe CRS (Hong et al., 2021). The endothelial activation markers were also high in patients with renal and hepatic dysfunction due to CRS following CAR T cell therapy.

Endothelial activation markers can predict the severity of CRS and neurotoxicity in undergoing CAR T cell therapy. Currently, CAR T cell toxicity is monitored based on the rise in body temperature for initial 36 hrs after infusion of CAR T cells (Gust et al., 2017). Decision tree models have been developed based on endothelial activation markers to predict the occurrence of severe CRS. Endothelial activation markers peaked earlier than markers for CRS after CAR T cell infusion. Markers such as sVCAM1, sICAM1, and ANG2:ANG1 ratios were able to predict the severity of CRS based on modeling (Hong et al., 2021). In patients with severe neurotoxicity, the ANG2:ANG1 ratio was higher even before the beginning of preconditioning of patients through chemotherapy-based lymphodepletion. The severity of neurotoxicity also correlated with an increase in ANG2 levels within 24 hrs of CAR T cell infusion, preceding the onset of other toxicities. Thus, CAR T cell infusion is associated in endothelial cell activation, unlike after transfusion of un-manipulated T cells. The influence of ex vivo culture on endothelial cell activation after CAR T cell infusion needs further investigation.

**Efficiency and Functionality of CAR T Cell Therapies With Regard to Their Migration Capabilities**

The capacity of expanded T cells to affect their target (e.g. killing of tumor cells) has naturally been the primary focus of current scientific attention and reports in the literature (Roschewski et al., 2022). Here, we wish to focus on the persistence of cultured T lymphocytes and their circulation in the blood, their homing to tissues and target cells, and their in vivo amplification after re-transfusion. All approved T cell therapies include the intravenous application of the T cell suspension. So far, limited data are available with regard to the circulation behavior of ex vivo expanded T cells including CAR T cells in the blood, their passage through the lungs, and the dynamics and the rate-limiting steps on their way to migrate into tissues.

To move out of the bloodstream and migrate into tissues, most normal leukocytes undergo several steps including margination, interaction with vessel wall cells (tethering), arrest at the vessel walls, polarization, and transendothelial migration to finally enter the interstitial compartment of target tissues (Nourshargh and Alon, 2014; Kameritsch and Renkawitz, 2020). Major classes of receptors involved in the interaction of T cells with vessel wall cells are selectins and selectin ligands, chemokine receptors, and integrins. Typically, tethering of T lymphocytes to the vessel wall is mediated by P- and/or E-selectin ligands on T lymphocytes. A major molecule on T cells conferring binding to endothelial expressed P and E selectin is P selectin glycoprotein ligand (PSGL)-1, which is glycosylated in mature T cells but not glycosylated in naive T cells (Ley and Kansas, 2004). Other moieties in T cells regulating selectin binding are CD43 or TIM1 (Fuhlbrigge et al., 2006; Angiari et al., 2014). It is currently not known whether culture-expanded T cells, and which subpopulation thereof, retain the ability to bind to P and E selectin in postcapillary venules or other vessels where extravasation may be induced. Also, the capability of expanded T cells including CAR T cells to bind through their integrin receptors under shear stress and the subsequent activation of rapid, chemokine-induced integrin activation and adhesion strengthening are currently incompletely understood.
A potential role for E-selectin ligands on CAR T cells has been described in an increase of cell-autonomous tetrasaccharide sialyl-Lewis X (sLeX)-decorated E-selectin ligands during culture-expansion of CAR T cells (Sánchez-Martínez et al., 2021). However, glycoengineered enforced sialofucosylation of E-selectin ligands which could restore E-selectin binding was found dispensable for CD19-CAR T-cell activity and BM homing in xenograft models. In contrast, Mondal et al. (2019), reported that external chemical fucosylation of expanded human CAR-T cells results in their infiltration of marrow with 10-fold higher efficiency than unfucosylated cells, leaving the question of the role of E-selectin in reaching the target tissue by CAR T cells open (Mondal et al., 2019).

It should be mentioned that the presence and composition of cytokines during the culture process influence development into different T cell subtypes, such as cytotoxic and central or effector memory cell types, which display different adhesion receptors. Gargett et al. (2019) demonstrated this when comparing an industrial CAR T cell expansion process with two improved culture protocols, in which the generation of CAR-T cells with enhanced CD62L and CCR7 expression was achieved (Gargett et al., 2019). A role for L-selectin in the function of retransfused CAR T cells has been investigated by Watson et al. (2019) by tracking Zirconium-89 (89Zr)–labeled T cells which expressed L-selectin or not (Watson et al., 2019). Using PET-CT, the authors showed that the transfused T cells localized to tumors between 1 hour and 7 days after transfusion. Although L-selectin did not promote T cell homing to tumors, the early activation marker CD69 was upregulated in the T cell expressing L-selectin but not in the L-selectin knock out T cells in their preclinical mouse model (Watson et al., 2019). Ngai et al. (2019) investigated the conditions governing the preservation of L-selectin (CD62L)–expressing T cells during ex vivo expansion of nonalloreactive tumor-reactive NKT cells (Ngai et al., 2018). IL-21 was found to protect the preservation of antitumor activity during the expansion process by downregulating the pro-apoptotic protein BIM in CAR T cells (Ngai et al., 2018). L-selectin expression was also found to increase in CAR T cells after inhibiting AKT signaling during culture, which was related to a higher proportion of more memory-type T cells (Klebanoff et al., 2017).

A further set of molecules which are intricately tied to T cell migration, including the activation of integrins to initiate the sudden arrest of cells flowing in the blood, are chemokine receptors (Alon and Feigelson, 2009). Chemokine receptors have been investigated in the context of CAR T cell function, yet mostly with a focus on their role in intra-tissue migration of the T cells, and will therefore be reviewed in the intra-tissue migration part below.

One of the early observations of CAR T cell toxicity pointing to activation of integrins has been a lethal complication early after CAR T cell infusion, with included capillary blockage by activated CAR T cells in conjunction with a so-called “cytokine storm” originating from the lungs (Morgan et al., 2010). The dynamics of the described reactions are highly suggestive of interactions between transfused cells and endothelial cells early after transfusion. Now widely accepted as a potentially severe side effect of CAR T cell therapy, cytokine release syndrome and its pathogenesis has been described earlier. A predictive role of the degree of endothelial cell activation in cytokine release syndrome has been found associated with levels of circulating soluble intercellular adhesion molecule (sICAM-1) and soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule (sVCAM)-1 (Hong et al., 2021). Thus, the interaction of endothelial integrin ligands with integrins may contribute to CAR T cell toxicity.

In conclusion, more investigations are needed to characterize the interaction patterns of expanded and CAR T cells with the vessel wall, for example in flow chamber in vitro models. In vivo, transfused CAR T cells have also been followed in the bloodstream. A steep increase in the transfused cell numbers has been observed, resembling extensive cell division after applications, which correlates with therapeutic success (Gauthier et al., 2020). It is not clear where the CAR T cells divide, however, most likely, outside the blood circulation.

**Intra-Tissue Migration**

When moving the tissue compartment, T cells are among the softest and most flexible cell types known, able to relatively move fast within tissues with a velocity of 10–15 μm/min (Fowell and Kim, 2021). Upon activation, they polarize and coordinate and organize their movement through tight spaces through a number of physiological stimuli, including sensing of chemokines and coordination of the intracellular cytoskeletal machinery. This has been found to involve the activation of formin-like 1 protein and coordination of actin retrograde flow (Viola et al., 2008; Buﬁ et al., 2015; Yamada and Sixt, 2019; Stolp et al., 2020).

Signaling to the cytoskeleton may occur through Rho Family small GTPases and G protein–coupled receptor and other intracellular signaling pathways (Mastrogiavanni et al., 2020). Transduction of CARs and subsequent ex vivo expansion of T lymphocytes has been found to result in increased activation of phosphoinositol-3 kinase (PI3K), which could affect migration (Wallstabe et al., 2019). The development of an exhausted T cell phenotype, which has not only been observed during the culture process but also after transfusion in the host organism, has been observed and could be prevented in CAR T cells during the culture process by intermittent blockade of CAR signaling and transient rest and epigenetic remodeling by a signal transduction inhibitor dasatinib (Mamonkin and Brenner, 2021; Weber et al., 2021). Newick et al. (2016) described CAR T cells which express an inhibitor of the association of protein kinase A (PKA) with ezrin, resulting in improved TCR activation. This resulted in higher adhesion of the CAR T cells to various matrices and improved their trafficking into tumor sites (Newick et al., 2016). When the CXCR4 receptor, which has been described as crucial for the migration of various types of leukocytes, is overexpressed, the in vitro migration of CAR NK cells was found augmented (Jamali et al., 2020). Haran et al. (2018) engineered CXCR5 expressing CAR T cells and demonstrated their increased migration to the CXCR5 ligand CXCL13 and increased accumulation in B cell follicles in vivo (Haran et al., 2018). When the IL-8 receptor CXCR2 was expressed in CAR T cells, homing to IL-8 expressing tumors was induced in murine xenograft models of solid tumors and...
hepatocellular carcinoma (Whilding et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). A double genetic approach to support the migration of CAR T cells into solid tumors was introduced by Cadilha et al. (2020) who de novo expressed the chemokine receptor CCR8 plus a double negative Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-beta, resulting in improved therapeutic efficiency (Cadilha et al., 2021). In a genetic screen, Rogers et al. (2020) identified a gene regulating chemokine receptor expression in T cells as one of the most potent factors influencing the efficacy of CAR T cells against tumors, indicating the crucial role of chemokine receptors and T cell migration in the functionality of CAR T cells (Rogers et al., 2020). Taken together, the current results suggest a role for chemokine receptors in the migration of CAR T cells toward target cells, and further investigation into the role of individual chemokine receptors in CAR T cells seems promising.

Metabolism and Optimization of ex vivo Culture Conditions of the T cells

Pre-Infusion

Physiologically, T cells generally use oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) during rest and switch to glycolysis during expansion phases, that is, anabolic conditions (Jenkins et al., 2021). Since the cell culture process classically implies the usage of glucose-containing media, metabolic programs will be induced which simulate glycolysis during the T cell expansion. Glycolysis has been linked to potentially disadvantageous effects in the long term through the accumulation of lactate during the culture expansion process of therapeutically used T cells (Quinn et al., 2020). Attempts are, therefore, underway to render CAR T cells more flexible to adapt to competition for nutrients after application, for example, carbohydrates and amino acids within the tumor microenvironment and to counteract changes in mitochondrial function and development of T cell exhaustion which are induced by the tumor microenvironment (Jenkins et al., 2021).

CAR-T cells expressing a CD28 co-stimulatory domain (e.g. icabtagene Ciloleucel) were found to display enhanced aerobic glycolysis and effector memory differentiation, whereas the inclusion of a 4-1BB co-stimulatory domain instead of CD28 in products Tisagenlecleucel, UCART19, Lisocabtagene Maraleucel favors OXPHOS and mitochondrial function (Kawalekar et al., 2016).

Metabolic conditioning also includes the optimization of culture conditions toward differentiation of the starting T cell population into the most desired T cell phenotypes and functions. Possibilities include a shorter culture duration, glucose restriction, supplementation of carnosine and arginine, and increased expression of arginine resynthesizing enzymes (Kawalekar et al., 2016; Jenkins et al., 2021). Alterations in intracellular signaling pathways may be desirable such as the PI3K and PPARg pathways. An engineered reduction of glycolysis has been reached in TRUCKs (Petersen and Krenciute, 2019), enhanced OXPHOS, and enhanced respiratory capacity carnosine inclusion in media formulations, which favor OXPHOS and neutralize protons (Jenkins et al., 2021).

It has been shown that lactate impairs nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) regeneration and blocks T cell proliferation, which could be rescued through supplementation with serine to restore the redox balance (Quinn et al., 2020). Another possibility to counteract T cell inhibition has been to counteract the thriving of (suppressive) T regulatory cells during the culture process since regulatory T cells will induce immunosuppression and tumor immune evasion in the low-glucose, high-lactate tumor microenvironment or mitochondrial exhaustion in a low-oxygen environment (Jenkins et al., 2021).

Fewer data exist on the changes in intracellular metabolism during the initial expansion phase. Further strategies to improve CAR T cell function, differentiation, and/or persistence in culture have been recently reviewed by Jenkins et al. (2021) and include, among others, LDH inhibition, and inhibition of cholesterol esterification by the enzyme cholesterol acyltransferase 1 (ACAT1) utilizing the repurposed drug avasimibe (Yang et al., 2016). This may result in an increased number of T memory cells, arginine supplementation or expression of arginine resynthesizing enzymes, and glucose restriction, for example, galactose addition can increase enhanced effector capabilities including IFNγ and granzyme B production (Yang et al., 2016). Other possibilities include the addition of inosine or of inhibitors of glutamine usage. Thus, metabolism-targeted intracellular cellular engineering of T cells during culture expansion is an area of ongoing development and utilization in biotechnology.

CONCLUSION

Overall, this review provides an overview of current culture conditions and their influence on the final CAR T cell product. We also shed light on underexplored areas in the field, such as endothelial cell activation associated with CAR T cell application, migration capabilities, and metabolism of CAR T cells. The reviewed literature indicates that culture conditions may have a major impact on the final CAR T cell product obtained for infusion. However, there are very few studies understanding the underlying cell biology impacted by the culture conditions, resulting in a specific phenotype of expanded T cells, which may or may not have a therapeutic impact. This leaves multiple avenues for further biotechnological research, leading this promising cellular therapy into the future.
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