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ABSTRACT: Two field experiments were carried out during two successive seasons of 2017 and 2018 at the Experimental Farm of Kaha Station, Qalubia Governorate, Egypt. The study investigated the effect of three irrigation levels (50%, 75% and 100%) from crop evapotranspiration (ETc) and five foliar spray treatments by aqueous extract of date palm pollen grains at 1, 3 and 5 g/l, lithovit at 1 g/l and tap water (control) on common bean plants c.v. Nebraska. The effect of treatments on growth, seed yield, chemical characters as well as water use efficiency were studied. The recorded results illustrated that the plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract at 5 g/l and irrigated by 100% (968.72 m$^3$ water/fad.) or 75% (726.54 m$^3$ water/fad.) of irrigation water, gave the highest values of all vegetative growth parameters. On the other hand, the plants sprayed with date palm pollen grains extract at 1, 3 or 5 g/l followed by lithovit at 1 g/l, respectively and irrigated by 100% or 75% of irrigation water gave the highest value for each of number of pods/plant, weight of 1000 seeds and total dry seed yield ton/fad., compared to the control, i.e. water foliar spray treatment in both growing seasons. Water use efficiency (WUE) decreased when the amount of irrigation water increased to 100% ETc and the highest values were obtained from 50% treatment followed by 75% Etc. Moreover, the plants sprayed with date palm pollen grains extract at 5, 3 or 1 g/l, respectively, followed by lithovit at 1 g/L (nano-fertilizer) showed the highest values of WUE. Generally, it can recommend by spraying common bean plants by date palm pollen grains extract at (5, 3 or 1 g/l) respectively, followed by lithovit at 1 g/l (nano-fertilizer) with irrigation at level of 75% from ETc to obtain the highest seed yield with the best quality and the favorable water use efficiency at the same time saving 25% from water irrigation quantity.
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INTRODUCTION

Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) belongs to the legume family (Leguminosae). It is widely cultivated and represents one of the largest food components in Africa which valued for its high content of protein and micronutrients such as iron and folic acid. It is one of the most economically important crops in Egypt and provides an income source for small farmers. It does not consume large amounts of fertilizer, plus it is consider short season crop, as well as, it is one of the crops that cause soil fertility or neither consumes nor depletes soil nutrients. It's also one of the few vegetable crops that can be grown with particularly described it for either local consumption or exportation. It is considered optimum warm-season crop, sensitive to temperature extremes and irrigation (drought or flooding).

Nowadays, there is a critical need to balance water availability, water requirements and water consumption, thus water conserving is becoming a decisive consideration for agriculture, whereas water is the main limiting factor for plant growth. Moreover, plants are prodigal in the water use because only roughly 5% of water...
uptake is used for its growth and development while the remaining 95% is lost through transpiration and leaching (Prakash and Ramachandran, 2000). Agriculture is the largest water consumer worldwide, whereas it using 70% of the total renewable fresh water resources, as reported by WWAP (2014). Climate change has forced scientists and decision makers to think about the future of water resources and their sustainability in a scarcity situation, taking into account less water coming from Ethiopia to Egypt and at the same time a high rate of human population increasing quickly (Quda, 2016). Water resources are a scarce and limiting factor for expanding cultivation and plant production in many arid and semi-arid areas, including Egypt. Environmental stresses and climate change impact agricultural production and the food supply, all that causes of crop losses, reducing average yields for most major crops by more than 50% (Bisbis et al., 2018). In addition, improving as well as enhancing water use efficiency (WUE) it is very important, without any reduction in productivity to satisfy present and future requirements of a high population growth rate which it is a very important issue. This may help to minimize water consumption, reduce losses of irrigation water, and increase cultivated area.

In this regard, several investigators reported that values of WUE were affected by two components, i.e., marketable yield and water applied (Saleh and El-Tantawy, 2001). On the other hand, Byan (2014) on snap bean showed that there were no differences between 80% ET and 100% ET for most growth parameters. In addition, 80% of ET increased the pod yield and improved the pod parameters, chemical composition, total chlorophyll, Ca, Mg, and protein. Therefore, this irrigation treatment can increase green bean productivity and improve pod quality. Moreover, Reducing water application from 100 to 60% of ET progressively increased WUE. Moreover, Faloye et al. (2016) on cowpea and Saleh et al. (2018) on snap bean showed that, the optimum growth, yield, water use efficiency and field water use efficiency for effective water management were obtained at irrigation regime of 60% ET which implies that about 40% of the irrigation can be saved.

Recent studies have focused on the use of plant extracts to improve vegetative growth and increase the production of many plants, because these extracts contain some important nutrients and growth regulators, vitamins and organic acids, which vary in quantity and quality in different parts of the plant as well as easy to be absorbed by the plant and cheaply priced (Abed Al-Hussain and Ilbriam, 2009). Date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L. family Palmaeae) pollen grains consider one of the most effective and commonly used in the Middle East, especially in Egypt, where there are four famous local dates in Egypt; Amhat, Hiani, Sewy and Zahglool. Date palm pollen grains extract has many compounds which are important in biological processes such as enzymes electrophoresis, sterols, triterpenes, saponins, proteins, vitamins A, C and E, minerals such as B, Zn, Se, Fe, Mo, Cu, Mn, Carbohydrates, glycosides and amino acids (Hassan, 2011; Basuny et al., 2013) which help in improving plants growth. Moreover, Abo Al-Mikhl and Abdulkadhim (2019), found that the spray of the palm pollen grains extract improved vegetative qualities of plant height, leaf area, number of leaves and dry weight of vegetative and root group, as well as the proportion of NPK for pomegranate seedlings.

Moreover, it was found that, application of bio stimulants, i.e. amino acids extract was found to extent positive effect of plant growth which overcomes the harmful effect of some environmental stress such as drought. Amino acids have traditionally been considered as precursors and constituents of proteins, and play an important role in plant metabolism as well as development. Many studies reported that the foliar application of amino acids caused an enhancement in plant growth, fruit yield and its components (El-Shabasi et al., 2005 on cucumber; Neeraja et al., 2005 on tomato; Awad et al., 2007 on garlic; Hayat et al. 2012).

In addition, several investigators found that, Nano-fertilizers are used recently as an alternative to conventional fertilizers for slow release and efficient use by plants. Nano-fertilizers could enhance nutrient use efficiency and decrease the costs of environmental protection, (Naderi and Shahraki, 2013). One example of that lithovit, it is a natural intensified
CO₂ foliar fertilizer for indoor/outdoor use it, is a top-quality natural technological product created by tribodynamic activation and micronization to levels of 10-20 microns. Lithovit can considerably increase the photosynthesis rate, since one of the essential factors limiting photosynthesis outdoors is the lower natural CO₂ content of the air, this leads to yield increases (up to 50% and more), accompanied by a reduced water requirement (by up to 75%), and since with using lithovit, plants are able to keep the stomata closed longer time in case of water stress (balancing of nutrients). In addition, the micro-nutrients also contained in the product and the trace elements that influence plant physiology and increase the resistance against unfavorable weather condition and diseases, growth, vitality and general quality of the crop. The additional supply of micronutrients from the lithovit complex provides a source of key plant available elements required to aid photosynthetic activity, (Thorn and Rogan, 2015). Moreover, Abd El-Aal and Rania (2018) reported that foliar application with growth stimulators as lithovit at 500 mg/l and amino acids at 4 ml/l induced favorable results on soybean cultivation which improved its growth, productivity and quality.

The objective of this experiment was to study the influence of foliar spraying with aqueous extract of date palm pollen grains as a natural bio-stimulant on common bean plants to improve the vegetative growth, yield and its chemical composition as well as water use efficiency under different irrigation levels.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The present work was carried out during two successive seasons of 2017 and 2018 at the Experimental Farm, Kaha Station, Qalubia Governorate to study the effect of different irrigation levels and foliar spray with some safety materials (date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit) on growth, seed yield and seed quality as well as water use efficiency of common bean plants grown in clay soil using drip irrigation system. Soil of the experiment was clay in texture with 7.2 pH, 3.5 EC 1.15% organic matters, 115 ppm N, 41 ppm P and 99 ppm K. Seeds of common bean cv. Nebraska were obtained from Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Egypt and sown on February 23rd and 22nd in 2017 and 2018 seasons, respectively. Seeds were sown in hills on one side of ridge (two dripper lines) at 35 cm spaces between the hills. The area of each experimental plot was 2.8m² (4 m long with 0.7 m width).

The experiment was arranged in a split plot design with three replicates. It was included fifteen treatments, i.e., the combination between 3 irrigation levels, namely 50%, 75% and 100% from crop evapotranspiration (ETc) which calculated according to historical class A pan evaporation data (2016 and 2017) for Qalubia region, expressed as mm/day were distributed at random in main plots and five safety materials were used, i.e. (water, date palm pollen grains extract at 1, 3 and 5 g/L and lithovit at 1 g/L) occupied in the sub plots. Irrigation water quantities (as average of two seasons) were about 484.36 m³/fad., for 50% ETc,726.54 m³/fad., for 75% ETc and 968.72 m³/fad., for 100% ETc. Initial irrigation treatments was at 19 days up to 109 days from sowing. Plants were sprayed three times with aqueous solution of the used materials; the first spray was conducted at the three true leaves stage, whereas the second and third sprays were preformed later every 12 days intervals.

The other cultural practices for growing common bean plants were carried out as recommended by Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture.

**Preparation of Date Palm Pollen Grains Extracts**

Pollen grains of Egyptian date palm (Phoenix dactylifera L.) were collected at the end of March from Shabramant, Giza, Egypt during the agricultural season at the beginning of the opening the covers of the male species. The extract was prepared from pollen grains of date palm using the procedure reported by Nagai et al. (2002) with some modifications as follows: To prepare three concentrations of water pollen grains extract (1, 3 and 5 g) of pollen grains whereas every one soaked in 1 liter of distilled water for 24 hours and turning with electric mixer and filter the extract with filter paper.

Components of date palm pollen grains taken as shown in Table 1.

Names and contains of the safety materials as shown in Table 2.
Table 1. Components of date palm pollen grains according to Hassan (2011)

| Subject          | Ingredient                                                                 |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Moisture         | 28.80 (%)                                                                   |
| Vitamins         | Vitamin A, H, E, D, K and group vitamins B (B1, B2, B6, B12, Niyasine, Butine, |
|                  | Anysitole, Rothine                                                          |
| Hormones         | Astron hormone                                                              |
| Food Ingredients | Carbohydrates 13%, Protein 35%, Fat 5%                                       |
| Mineral salts    | Ti, Mo, B, Si, Zn, I, Cu, Mn, Mg, Fe, Cl, Na, S, P, K, Ca                   |
| Amino acids      | Alanine (Ala) 2.61 Arginine (Arg) 1.61 Aspartic acid (Asp) 3.55 Glutamic acid |
|                  | (Glu) 1.74 Glycine (Gly) 2.24 Serine (Ser) 1.89 Cysteine (Cys) 0.42 Tyrosine  |
|                  | (Tyr) 1.55 Proline (Pro) 0.28 Ammonia 0.45                                  |
| Other compounds  | phenolic acids, glycerides, mono acids, bilateral acids and triple acids     |
|                  | auxin (IBA) and tryptophan (auxin precursor)                                |

Table 2. Names and contains of the safety materials used in this study

| Compound name      | Composition                                    | Concentration     |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Control            | Tap water                                      | -                 |
| Date palm pollen grains | As shown in Table 1                          | 1 - 3 -5 g/ liter |
| Lithovit           | 80.2% Ca Co₃, 4.6 % Mg Co₃, 0.2% K₂O, 0.75% Fe | 1 g/ liter        |

Water Management Measurements

The water requirement for common bean plants was calculated based on the following formulas, according to Allen et al. (1998).

\[(1) \text{ET}_0 = \text{Kp} \times \text{Epan} \text{ mm/day}\]

Where:

- \(\text{ET}_0\) = Potential evapotranspiration
- \(\text{Kp}\) = Pan Coefficient = 0.85
- \(\text{Epan}\) = Pan evaporation in mm/day

\[(2) \text{ETc} = \text{ET}_0 \times \text{Kc} \text{ mm/day}\]

Where:

- \(\text{ET}_0\) = the rate of evapotranspiration from an excessive surface of green cover of uniform height (8 to 15 cm) actively growing, completely shading the ground and did not face shortage in water.
- \(\text{Kc}\) = Crop coefficient
- \(\text{ETc}\) = the water requirement of a given crop in mm per unit of time mm/day, mm/month or mm/season.

Crop evapotranspiration and total water consumption of common bean plants during seasons of 2017 and 2018 are presented in Table 3.

Water Use Efficiency (WUE)

Water use efficiency was calculated for different treatments using the following equation (Monteith, 1986).

\[\text{WUE} = \frac{\text{Total seed yield (kg/fad.)}}{\text{Total water consumption (m}^3/\text{fad.})}\]

The monthly temperature and relative humidity during growing seasons of 2017 and 2018 are presented in Table 4.
Table 3. Crop evapotranspiration and total water consumption of common bean plant at different levels of irrigation during 2017 and 2018 seasons

| Growth stage | Days | Class A pan mm | Potential evapotranspiration (ET₀) | FAO Kc | Crop evapotranspiration mm | Total water consumption (m³/fad.) |
|--------------|------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
|              |      |                 | 50% | 75% | 100% | 50% | 75% | 100% |
| Initial      | 20 (19-39) | 2.82 | 2.40 | 0.5 | 12.00 | 18.00 | 24.00 | 30.00 | 45.00 | 60.00 |
| Crop development | 30 (39-69) | 3.64 | 3.10 | 0.8 | 37.20 | 55.80 | 74.40 | 130.20 | 195.30 | 260.40 |
| Mid- season  | 30 (69-99) | 4.35 | 3.70 | 1.1 | 61.05 | 91.57 | 122.10 | 244.20 | 366.30 | 488.40 |
| Late season  | 10 (99-09) | 5.05 | 4.30 | 0.9 | 19.35 | 29.02 | 38.70 | 87.07 | 130.61 | 174.15 |
| Seasonal     | 90 | 3.96 | 3.37 | 0.8 | 129.60 | 194.40 | 259.20 | 491.47 | 737.21 | 982.95 |

First season

| Initial      | 20 (19-39) | 2.70 | 2.30 | 0.5 | 11.50 | 17.25 | 23.00 | 28.75 | 43.12 | 57.50 |
| Crop development | 30 (39-69) | 3.41 | 2.90 | 0.8 | 34.80 | 52.20 | 69.60 | 121.80 | 182.70 | 243.60 |
| Mid- season  | 30 (69-99) | 4.23 | 3.60 | 1.1 | 59.40 | 89.10 | 118.8 | 237.60 | 356.40 | 475.20 |
| Late season  | 10 (99-09) | 5.17 | 4.40 | 0.9 | 19.80 | 29.70 | 39.60 | 89.10 | 133.65 | 178.20 |
| Seasonal     | 90 | 3.87 | 3.30 | 0.8 | 125.50 | 188.25 | 251.00 | 477.25 | 715.87 | 954.50 |

Second season

Table 4. The monthly temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) during 2017 and 2018 seasons

| Month       | 2017 |                   | 2018 |                   |
|-------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
|             | Max. | Min. | RH (%) | Max. | Min. | RH (%) |
| March       | 38.2 | 9.3  | 100 | 32.8 | 12.5 | 93 |
| April       | 38.8 | 12.6 | 92.3 | 42.0 | 19.1 | 89.9 |
| May         | 45.4 | 16.8 | 87.1 | 41.9 | 23.3 | 92.3 |
| June        | 43.6 | 20.4 | 87.1 | 41.9 | 23.3 | 92.3 |
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Sampling and collecting data

Vegetative growth characteristics

Three plants from each experiment unit were randomly taken at flowering stage (55 days after sowing) to measure plant growth characteristics i.e., plant length (the length of main stem, cm), stem diameter (cm), No. of leaves/plant, No. of branches/plant and dry weights of shoots (Leaves and stems)/ plant. The samples of the vegetative parts were dried in the oven at 75°C till constant weight and then the dry weight per plant was calculated using the standard methods as illustrated by AOAC (1990).

The leaf area was calculated at flowering stage (55 days after sowing) from the fourth upper leaves according to the following formula of Wallace and Munger (1965):

\[
\text{Leaf area (cm}^2\text{)} = \text{Leaves dry weight (g) x disk area/disk dry weight (g)}
\]
Yield and its components

At harvest, (120 days after sowing) samples of dry seed yield were taken from each experiment unit to estimate yield characters, i.e., number of dry pods/plant, number of dry seeds/pod, weight of 1000 dry seeds (g), dry seed yield ton/fad.

Chemical properties

Total leaf chlorophyll was measured at flowering stage (55 days after sowing) from the fourth upper leaves using Minolta chlorophyll Meter SPAD-501 as SPAD units.

Total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were determined in the dry seeds on the basis of dry weight according to the methods described by Bremner and Mulvaney (1982), Olsen and Sommers (1982) and Jackson (1967), respectively.

Total protein (%)

It was determined as nitrogen of seed content and converted to its equivalent protein content by multiplying total nitrogen x 6.25 (AOAC, 1990).

Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to statistical analysis according to the procedures reported by Snedecor and Cochran (1980) using M. stat program and means were compared by LSD multiple range tests at the 5% level of probability in the two seasons of experimentation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vegetative Growth Characteristics

Effect of irrigation levels

The vegetative growth parameters of common bean plants, i.e., plant length, number of leaves/plant, stem diameter, number of branches/plant, leaf area as well as dry weight of plant as affected by irrigation levels are shown in Table 5. The results revealed that irrigation regime did not exert significant effect on stem diameter or number of branches/plant in both growing season in spite of the 100% and 75% of crop evapotraspiration (ETc) showed obvious increment in plant length, number of leaves, leaf area as well as dry weight of plant compared to 50% of ETc. The abundance of water under 100% and 75% of ETc encouraged the meristematic activity and hence the length of plants. The greatest number of leaves was found on the tallest plants where the abundance of water under 100% and 75% of ETc promoted the meristematic activity then led to increasing the length of the plant and hence, number of leaves. Moreover, the highest values of leaf area founded under the levels of 100% or 75% of ETc might enable to plants intercept and synthesize more metabolites than plants irrigated by 50% treatment which might be reflected on the fresh and dry weight of the plants. These results are in the same line with those obtained by Byan (2014) and Saleh et al. (2018) on snap bean.

Effect of foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

The recorded results in Table 5 illustrate that foliar application by date palm pollen grains extract at 5, 3 or 1 g/L respectively, followed by foliar application by lithovit 1 g/L caused stimulatory effects on all vegetative growth parameters compared with the control treatment in both seasons, this may be due to the fact that date palm pollen grains extract contains many mineral elements, including K, Ca, Mg, P, in addition to proteins, vitamins and organic acids as shown in Table 1, which increase the process of photosynthesis, respiration and metabolism thus encouraging cell division and elongation, in addition to the presence of micro elements Fe, Mn, Zn, the Zn component which plays an important role in activating many of the enzymes necessary to formation the auxins that cause cell division and elongation, thus increasing plant height, stem diameter, leaves number and its area (Hassan, 2011; Basuny et al., 2013) came to the same results. Concerning to lithovit, it is a natural intensified CO₂ foliar fertilizer can considerably increase the photosynthesis rate. In addition, the micro-nutrients also contained in the product and the trace elements that influence plant physiological process and increase growth (Thorn and Rogan, 2015; Abd El-Aal and Rania, 2018).
Table 5. Effect of irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grain extract and lithovit on vegetative growth of common bean plants during 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatment                          | Plant length (cm) | Stem diameter (cm) | No. of leaves/plant | No. of branches/plant | Leaf area (cm²) | Dry weight g/plant |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|                                    | 2017              | 2018              | 2017               | 2018                  | 2017           | 2018             |
| **Irrigation levels (ETc)**        |                   |                   |                    |                       |                |                   |
| 100% (cont)                        | 46.76             | 46.40             | 0.78               | 0.79                  | 21.52          | 21.78            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 15.34              | 14.56                 | 298.42         | 306.74           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 19.16              | 19.40                 |                |                  |
| 75%                                | 45.24             | 45.10             | 0.73               | 0.75                  | 20.56          | 21.00            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 14.86              | 14.40                 | 290.10         | 291.04           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 17.38              | 17.38                 |                |                  |
| 50%                                | 45.42             | 45.56             | 0.73               | 0.76                  | 16.82          | 17.20            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 14.22              | 14.00                 | 270.68         | 269.60           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 12.47              | 12.47                 |                |                  |
| **Foliar spray**                   |                   |                   |                    |                       |                |                  |
| Without (cont)                     | 38.00             | 39.00             | 0.65               | 0.67                  | 14.00          | 13.83            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 10.53              | 10.80                 | 151.77         | 158.30           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 11.00              | 11.10                 |                |                  |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                     | 43.46             | 42.00             | 0.69               | 0.72                  | 17.93          | 18.66            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 12.70              | 11.76                 | 202.03         | 197.87           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 12.36              | 12.30                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 47.40             | 48.10             | 0.79               | 0.80                  | 20.20          | 20.56            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 16.06              | 15.33                 | 346.03         | 334.07           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 19.43              | 19.78                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 49.17             | 49.00             | 0.83               | 0.83                  | 22.83          | 23.00            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 16.30              | 16.43                 | 350.63         | 354.17           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 18.63              | 18.78                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 51.00             | 50.33             | 0.81               | 0.82                  | 23.20          | 23.90            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 18.43              | 17.26                 | 381.53         | 350.13           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 19.78              | 19.76                 |                |                  |
| **LSD at 5%**                      | 0.26              | 0.26              | NS                 | NS                    | 0.26           | 0.90             |
|                                    |                   |                   | NS                 | NS                    | 5.78           | 2.50             |
| Foliar spray                       |                   |                   | 0.45               | 1.05                  |                |                  |
| **Irrigation levels (ETc) X Foliar spray** |                   |                   |                    |                       |                |                  |
| 100% Etc                           | 40.00             | 41.00             | 0.70               | 0.70                  | 17.50          | 16.50            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 12.60              | 12.00                 | 176.30         | 174.90           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 12.30              | 12.90                 |                |                  |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                     | 44.50             | 43.00             | 0.66               | 0.66                  | 18.00          | 20.00            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 13.00              | 12.00                 | 206.80         | 202.00           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 14.00              | 13.00                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 47.00             | 47.50             | 0.85               | 0.85                  | 23.00          | 23.70            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 16.80              | 16.00                 | 357.60         | 344.60           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 21.50              | 21.30                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 49.33             | 48.20             | 0.85               | 0.85                  | 24.50          | 24.00            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 16.60              | 16.00                 | 360.80         | 379.50           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 22.90              | 23.00                 |                |                  |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 53.00             | 52.30             | 0.86               | 0.86                  | 24.60          | 24.70            |
|                                    |                   |                   | 17.70              | 16.80                 | 390.60         | 432.70           |
|                                    |                   |                   | 25.10              | 25.00                 |                |                  |
| **LSD at 5%**                      | 0.53              | 0.50              | 0.05               | 0.06                  | 0.53           | 0.61             |
|                                    |                   |                   | 0.43               | 0.64                  | 3.08           | 2.68             |
|                                    |                   |                   | 0.96               | 0.66                  |                |                  |

Irrigation water quantity (as average of two seasons) were about 484.36 m³water/fad., for 50% Etc, 726.54 m³water/fad., for 75% Etc and 968.72 m³water/fad., for 100% Etc.
Effect of the interaction between irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

The results of the interaction effect between irrigation levels and safety materials on vegetative growth, i.e., plant length, number of leaves, number of branches, stem diameter and leaf area as well as dry weight of common bean plant are shown in Table 5. The recorded results illustrate that the plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract at 5 g/l and irrigated by 100% or 75% of irrigation water gave the highest values of all vegetative growth parameters except stem diameter which showed non-significant differences between irrigation water quantity and safety materials in both growing seasons. The enhancing effect of the interaction treatments may be due to the fact that date palm pollen grains extract contains several compounds from vitamins, micro elements and hormones as well as amino acids which it having traditionally been considered as precursors to and constituents of proteins, which plays an important role in plant metabolism and development. Many investigators reported that the foliar application of amino acids caused an enhancement in plant growth (El-Shabasi et al., 2005 on cucumber; Neeraja et al., 2005 on tomato; Awad et al., 2007 on garlic; Hayat et al., 2012).

Yield and Its Components

Effect of irrigation levels

Results in Table 6 obviously indicate the effect of different water regime levels on yield and its components of common bean. In this respect, the results reveal that, the means of pod number/plant, weight of 1000 dry seeds and seed yield were the highest in irrigation regime at 100% ETc followed by 75% ETc and the lowest was obtained in irrigation regime of 50% ETc in both growing seasons. Moreover, the results revealed that irrigation regime did not exert significant effect on number of seeds/pod in both growing season, this stimulation of seed yield production is considered as a direct result of the vigorous growth (Table 5). These results are in agreement with those of Byan (2014) on snap bean, Faloye et al. (2016) on cowpea and Saleh et al. (2018) on snap bean.

Effect of foliar spray with date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

Results in Table 6 reveal that foliar spraying common bean plants by date palm pollen grains extract at 5, 3 or 1 g/L respectively, then lithovit 1 g/L induced significant increases on all yield and its components i.e., number of pods/plant, number of dry seeds/pod, weight of 100 dry seeds and seed yield ton/fad., compared with the control treatment in both growing seasons. This may be due to the fact that date palm pollen grains extract contains many mineral elements, including K, Ca, Mg, P, in addition to proteins, vitamins and organic acids as shown in Table 1. In other words, date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit as foliar applications increased number of dry seeds/pod as well as causing significant increment on the dry seed weight and this reflect on the total dry seed yield, this results are in harmony with those reported by Hassan (2011) on tomato and Basuny et al. (2013). Moreover, Abd El-Aal and Rania (2018) on soybean reported that foliar application with growth stimulators as lithovit at 500 mg/l improving its growth, productivity and quality.

Effect of the interaction between irrigation levels and foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

The recorded results in Table 6 illustrate that the plants which sprayed with date palm pollen grains extract at 1, 3 or 5 g/l followed by lithovit at 1 g/l and irrigated by 100% or 75% of irrigation water gave the highest values of the total seed yield and its components i.e., number of pods/plant, weight of 1000 seeds and dry seed yield ton/fad., compared to the control, i.e. water foliar spray treatment in both growing seasons. Moreover, the results revealed that, the interaction between irrigation levels and foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit did not exert significant effect on number of seeds/pod in both growing season. This may be due to the fact that date palm pollen grains extract contains amino acids which play an important role in plant metabolism and development. Many studies reported that the foliar application of amino acids caused an enhancement in fruit yield and its components (El-Shabasi et al., 2005 on cucumber; Neeraja et al., 2005 on tomato; Awad et al., 2007 on garlic; Hayat et al., 2012).
Table 6. Effect of irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grain extract and lithovit on dry seed yield and its components of common bean plants during 2017 and 2018 seasons

| Treatment                    | No. of pods/plant (2017) | No. of seeds/pod (2017) | Weight of 1000 dry seeds (g) (2017) | Total seed yield ton/fad. (2017) | No. of pods/plant (2018) | No. of seeds/pod (2018) | Weight of 1000 dry seeds (g) (2018) | Total seed yield ton/fad. (2018) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Irrigation levels (ETc)      |                          |                         |                                     |                               |                          |                         |                                     |                               |
| 100% (cont)                  | 21.87                    | 21.58                   | 4.30                                | 4.25                          | 418.92                   | 425.60                  | 1.58                                | 1.56                          |
| 75%                          | 21.86                    | 22.16                   | 4.16                                | 4.20                          | 415.88                   | 414.60                  | 1.45                                | 1.50                          |
| 50%                          | 21.21                    | 21.23                   | 4.14                                | 4.25                          | 401.00                   | 401.52                  | 1.29                                | 1.40                          |
| LSD at 5%                    | 0.52                     | 0.52                    | NS                                  | NS                            | 1.36                     | 0.84                    | 0.02                                | 0.03                          |
| Foliar spray                 |                          |                         |                                     |                               |                          |                         |                                     |                               |
| Without (cont)               | 18.18                    | 17.93                   | 3.13                                | 3.40                          | 280.17                   | 293.83                  | 0.59                                | 0.67                          |
| Lithovit 1 g/l               | 20.76                    | 20.94                   | 4.16                                | 4.06                          | 362.67                   | 362.93                  | 1.02                                | 1.06                          |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 22.72 | 22.46 | 4.36 | 4.49 | 455.97 | 452.17 | 1.61 | 1.77 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 23.04 | 23.16 | 4.60 | 4.49 | 483.37 | 480.83 | 1.91 | 1.92 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 23.53 | 23.80 | 4.73 | 4.72 | 477.50 | 479.77 | 2.07 | 2.01 |
| LSD at 5%                    | 0.61                     | 0.58                    | 0.30                                | 0.64                          | 0.56                     | 0.51                    | 0.03                                | 0.05                          |
| Irrigation levels (ETc) X Foliar spray |                      |                         |                                     |                               |                          |                         |                                     |                               |
| Without (cont)               | 19.50                    | 18.80                   | 3.40                                | 3.52                          | 257.50                   | 305.20                  | 0.64                                | 0.75                          |
| Lithovit 1 g/l               | 20.10                    | 19.33                   | 4.30                                | 4.00                          | 395.00                   | 397.00                  | 1.28                                | 1.16                          |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 22.66 | 22.50 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 453.50 | 508.30 | 1.65 | 1.79 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 23.50 | 23.40 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 518.10 | 474.10 | 2.16 | 2.05 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 23.60 | 23.90 | 4.80 | 4.75 | 470.50 | 443.40 | 2.21 | 2.06 |
| Without (cont)               | 18.61                    | 18.33                   | 3.00                                | 3.20                          | 299.00                   | 300.50                  | 0.60                                | 0.67                          |
| Lithovit 1 g/l               | 20.50                    | 22.00                   | 4.00                                | 4.00                          | 357.00                   | 354.50                  | 1.09                                | 1.09                          |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 23.20 | 23.20 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 474.40 | 469.00 | 1.67 | 1.88 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 23.43 | 23.43 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 467.00 | 484.00 | 1.83 | 1.89 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 23.56 | 23.85 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 482.00 | 465.00 | 2.06 | 2.00 |
| Without (cont)               | 16.43                    | 16.66                   | 3.00                                | 3.50                          | 284.00                   | 275.80                  | 0.53                                | 0.61                          |
| Lithovit 1 g/l               | 21.70                    | 21.50                   | 4.20                                | 4.18                          | 336.00                   | 337.30                  | 0.71                                | 0.93                          |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | 22.30 | 21.70 | 4.40 | 4.48 | 440.00 | 448.10 | 1.52 | 1.64 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | 22.21 | 22.66 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 465.00 | 465.20 | 1.74 | 1.84 |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l | 23.43 | 23.66 | 4.60 | 4.62 | 480.00 | 481.20 | 1.96 | 1.99 |
| LSD at 5%                    | 0.36                     | 0.34                    | NS                                  | NS                            | 0.33                     | 0.31                    | 0.01                                | 0.02                          |

Irrigation water quantity (as average of two seasons) were about 484.36 m³water/fad., for 50% Etc, 726.54 m³water/fad., for 75% Etc and 968.72 m³water/fad., for 100% Etc.
Chemical Properties

Effect of irrigation levels

Results in Table 7 show the influence of irrigation levels on chemical properties of common bean seeds, i.e., protein percentage, P%, K% as well as total chlorophyll of the leaves. It was found that the plants irrigated by 100% of the calculated amount of water treatments, showed higher concentration of P% in its seeds than those irrigated by other irrigation treatments. While, the plants irrigated by 75% of the calculated amount of water treatments produced seeds containing the highest value for each of K%, protein percentage and total chlorophyll in the leaves. These results may be due to abundance of water quantities encouraged the absorption of minerals and its translocation to leaves. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Byan (2014) on snap bean, Faloye et al. (2016) on cowpea and Saleh et al. (2018) on snap bean. Generally, drought reduces both nutrient uptakes by the roots and transport from the roots to the shoots, because of restricted transpiration rates and impaired active transport and membrane permeability.

Effect of foliar spray with date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

The recorded results in Table 7 illustrate that the plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract or lithovit gave dry seeds contains the highest value for each of protein percentage in the dry seeds, P% as well as total chlorophyll in the leaves while results revealed that foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit did not exert significant effect on k% in the dry seeds during both growing seasons. This results may be due to the fact that date palm pollen grains extract contains many mineral elements, including K, Ca, Mg, P, in addition to proteins, vitamins and organic acids as shown in Table 1. Moreover, Abo Al-Mikh (2017) and Abdulkadhim (2019), found that the spray of the palm pollen grains extract improved proportion of NPK for pomegranate seedlings.

Effect of the interaction between irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit

The recorded results in Table 7 illustrate that the plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract at 5 g/l and irrigated by 75% of irrigation water gave the highest value for each of protein percentage, P% as well as K% in spite it did not reach to significance level in the case of k% compared with the control (without) in both growing seasons. Moreover, the results revealed that foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract at 3 g/l and irrigated by 75% of irrigation water gave the highest values of total chlorophyll in the leaves at the first season but did not reach to significance level in the second season as well as irrigated by 50% of irrigation water treatment in both growing seasons.

Water Use Efficiency (WUE)

Water use efficiency of common bean plants at different levels of irrigation and foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit is shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Results illustrated that water use efficiency decreased as the amount of irrigation water increased to 100% level of Etc and the highest values were obtained from 50% treatment followed by 75% of Etc. This was true in both growing seasons as shown in Fig. 1. The reduction in WUE by increasing the amount of irrigation might be due to the increasing in common bean water consumption use (WCU) as shown in Fig. 1. In this regard Byan (2014) on snap bean, Faloye et al. (2016) on cowpea and Saleh et al. (2018) on snap bean found that water use efficiency was decreased at the high level of irrigation levels.

Regarding water use efficiency (WUE) for common bean plants sprayed by the materials used in this study, results in Fig. 2 show that plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract at 5, 3 or 1 g/l, respectively, followed by lithovit 1 g/l elucidated the highest values of WUE in the first season as well as in the second season. The increasing in WUE might be due to the increasing in total seed yield of common bean plants which produced as result of the favorable role of the foliar spray treatments as shown in Table 6. In this regard, several investigators reported that values of WUE were affected by two components, i.e., marketable yield and water applied (Saleh and El-Tantawy, 2001).

As shown in Table 8, results illustrate that, the plants sprayed by date palm pollen grains extract at 5, 3 or 1 g/l, respectively, followed by lithovit 1 g/l and irrigated by 50% or by 75% of
Table 7. Effect of irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grain extract and lithovit on chemicals properties of common bean seeds and leaf chlorophyll during 2017 and 2018 seasons

| Treatment                                      | P (%) | K (%) | Protein (%) | Leaf chlorophyll SPAD unite |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|                                                  | 2017  | 2018  | 2017  | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Irrigation levels (ETc)                         |       |       |          |                 |                 |
| 100% (cont)                                     | 0.34  | 0.35  | 1.47    | 1.49            | 17.67           | 17.65           | 40.78 | 40.18 |       |       |
| 75%                                              | 0.30  | 0.30  | 1.61    | 1.61            | 19.03           | 19.59           | 41.40 | 41.68 |       |       |
| 50%                                              | 0.29  | 0.34  | 1.42    | 1.43            | 18.55           | 18.66           | 41.06 | 40.92 |       |       |
| LSD at 5%                                        | NS    | NS    | NS      | NS              | NS              | NS              | NS    | NS    |       |       |
| Foliar spray                                     |       |       |          |                 |                 |
| Without (cont)                                   | 0.25  | 0.26  | 1.25    | 1.25            | 16.53           | 17.33           | 36.06 | 36.26 |       |       |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                                   | 0.29  | 0.27  | 1.50    | 1.48            | 16.60           | 17.07           | 41.76 | 41.20 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l           | 0.29  | 0.33  | 1.55    | 1.55            | 18.98           | 19.57           | 42.83 | 42.63 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l           | 0.34  | 0.35  | 1.54    | 1.59            | 19.23           | 19.62           | 43.00 | 43.20 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l           | 0.38  | 0.43  | 1.64    | 1.68            | 20.75           | 19.59           | 41.73 | 41.33 |       |       |
| LSD at 5%                                        | 0.03  | 0.05  | NS      | NS              | 0.64            | 0.65            | 0.65  | 0.68  |       |       |
| Irrigation levels (ETc)X Foliar spray           |       |       |          |                 |                 |
| Without (cont)                                   | 0.27  | 0.29  | 1.26    | 1.29            | 17.90           | 15.00           | 34.20 | 33.00 |       |       |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                                   | 0.26  | 0.24  | 1.36    | 1.33            | 17.96           | 15.20           | 42.60 | 41.00 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l           | 0.35  | 0.36  | 1.60    | 1.62            | 17.96           | 19.40           | 43.10 | 42.40 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l           | 0.41  | 0.41  | 1.65    | 1.65            | 16.58           | 20.70           | 42.70 | 42.90 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l           | 0.42  | 0.44  | 1.45    | 1.57            | 17.96           | 19.76           | 41.30 | 41.60 |       |       |
| Without                                        | 0.23  | 0.22  | 1.22    | 1.24            | 15.24           | 19.30           | 39.00 | 39.80 |       |       |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                                   | 0.28  | 0.27  | 1.66    | 1.67            | 15.26           | 19.40           | 40.10 | 40.20 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l           | 0.28  | 0.27  | 1.72    | 1.69            | 19.58           | 19.90           | 42.80 | 43.00 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l           | 0.27  | 0.28  | 1.61    | 1.61            | 20.21           | 17.96           | 43.50 | 44.00 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l           | 0.45  | 0.46  | 1.82    | 1.85            | 24.88           | 21.40           | 41.60 | 41.40 |       |       |
| Without                                        | 0.25  | 0.26  | 1.25    | 1.23            | 16.46           | 17.70           | 35.00 | 36.00 |       |       |
| Lithovit 1 g/l                                   | 0.33  | 0.31  | 1.49    | 1.44            | 16.58           | 16.60           | 42.60 | 42.40 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l           | 0.25  | 0.36  | 1.34    | 1.35            | 19.40           | 19.40           | 42.60 | 42.50 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l           | 0.35  | 0.37  | 1.37    | 1.52            | 20.91           | 20.21           | 42.80 | 42.70 |       |       |
| Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l           | 0.27  | 0.39  | 1.66    | 1.61            | 19.40           | 19.40           | 42.30 | 41.00 |       |       |
| LSD at 5%                                        | 0.02  | 0.03  | NS      | NS              | 0.38            | 0.38            | 0.36  | 0.36  |       |       |

Irrigation water quantity (as average of two seasons) were about 484.36 m³water/fad., for 50% Etc, 726.54 m³water/fad., for 75% Etc and 968.72 m³water/fad., for 100% Etc.
Fig. 1. Water use efficiency (WUE) of common bean plant at different levels of irrigation during 2017 and 2018 seasons

Fig. 2. Water use efficiency (WUE) of common bean plant at different foliar spray by date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit during 2017 and 2018 seasons
Table 8. Effect of the interaction between irrigation levels and foliar spray with date palm pollen grains extract and lithovit on water use efficiency of common bean plants during 2017 and 2018 seasons

| Foliar spray          | Tap water (cont) | Lithovit 1 g/l | Date palm pollen grains extract 1 g/l | Date palm pollen grains extract 3 g/l | Date palm pollen grains extract 5 g/l |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| First season          |                  |                |                                       |                                       |                                       |
| 100% ETc              | 0.65             | 1.30           | 1.67                                 | 2.19                                 | 2.24                                 |
| 75% ETc               | 0.81             | 1.47           | 2.26                                 | 2.48                                 | 2.79                                 |
| 50% ETc               | 1.07             | 1.44           | 3.09                                 | 3.54                                 | 3.98                                 |
| Second season         |                  |                |                                       |                                       |                                       |
| 100% ETc              | 0.78             | 1.21           | 1.87                                 | 2.14                                 | 2.15                                 |
| 75% ETc               | 0.93             | 1.52           | 2.62                                 | 2.64                                 | 2.79                                 |
| 50% ETc               | 1.27             | 1.94           | 3.43                                 | 3.85                                 | 4.16                                 |

Etc gave the highest values of water use efficiency this was true in both growing seasons. The increasing in WUE might be due to the increasing in total seed yield of common bean plants which produced as result of the favorable role of the foliar spray treatments as shown in Table 6 and decreasing in common bean water consumption use.
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تأثير الرش الورقي بالمستخلص المائي لحبوب لقاح نخيل البلح والليثيوم على جودة محصول الفاصوليا الجافة تحت مستويات رئ متنوعة

يسراة أحمد إبراهيم بيان

أقسام بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة - مصر

أجرت بحوث فحصيًّا خلال موسم الزراعة 2017-2018 في محاطة التجارب الزراعية بقأفة محافظة القلوبية لدراسة تأثير استخدام ثلاث مستويات من معدلات مياه الرئ وحبيبي 50، 75 و 100% على محصول نخيل البلح بالإضافة إلى استخدام مستخلص جبوب لقاح نخيل البلح (الطعب) بثلاثة تركيزات (0، 1 أو 5 جم/لتر) ، ليثيوم (5 مل/لتر) ونثي (5 جم/لتر). تركيز يتكون من نباتات الفاصوليا صنف نيرسيا وأثر ذلك على النمو الخضري ومحصول النبات الجافة ومواصفاتها الكيميائية وكفاءة استخدام المياه، وقد أوضحت النتائج أن رش النباتات مرتين يومياً لقاح نخيل البلح بتركيز (5 جرام للتر) مع الري إلى مستوى 100% من مياه الرئ أظهر أعلى القيم بالنسبة للنمو الخضري، من ناحية أخرى، أدى رش النباتات بواسطة مستخلص جبوب لقاح نخيل البلح بتركيز (0.3 أو 1 جرام/لتر) ليثيوم مع مياه الرئ إلى زيادة المحصول وكمياته (عدد القرنون) ونسبة 100% من مياه الرئ إلى زيادة الكفاءة الزراعية (4.2، 4.1 و4.0) في كل الأساليب، بالنسبة للمياه كانت استخدام واستخدام المياه اقترح أن كفاءة استخدام المياه تحتضنت مع زيادة الري إلى 100% من مياه الرئ وتحصول على أعلى القيم من مياه الرئ علاوة على ذلك، أظهرت البيانات أن النباتات التي تم رشها بمستخلص جبوب لقاح نخيل البلح (0 أو 1 جرام/لتر) على التوالي، تميل معاملة الرش بالليثيوم اعطت أعلى كفاءة استخدام المياه WUE، وهذا يرجع لأثر هذه المعادلات على زيادة المحصول البذري، وبوجة عام، يمكن التوصية باستخدام الرش الورقي لمستخلص جبوب لقاح نخيل البلح (0 أو 1 جرام/لتر) على التوالي. يليب تركيب الليثيوم مع مستوى الري 75% من مياه الرئ المحصولبجها الاستخدام طرقية حلة البلح طرازاً، لذلك توفر 25% من مياه الرئ مع الحصول على أفضل محصول بذري من الفاصوليا الجافة وأفضل كفاءة استخدام مياه الرئ.
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رئيس بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزة

أستاذ الخضر المتنوع - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الزقازيق.