Tortuosity of parent artery predicts in-stent stenosis after pipeline flow-diverter stenting for internal carotid artery aneurysms
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Background and purpose: The relationship between the tortuosity of the parent artery and treatment outcomes is not well established. We investigate the association between parent artery tortuosity and flow diverter (FD) treatment outcomes in patients with internal carotid artery aneurysms in this study.

Methods: A retrospective review study was conducted to identify all patients with internal carotid artery aneurysms who were implanted with Pipeline embolization device (PED) between 2016 and 2020. The relationship between parent artery tortuosity and aneurysm complete occlusion (CO) and in-stent stenosis (ISS) was analyzed. The mathematical parameters “Curvature”, “torsion”, and “DM” extracted from the parent artery were utilized to quantify the parent artery tortuosity. A vascular narrowing of greater than 25% was categorized as ISS. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify significant independent predictors. Furthermore, we compared the performance of four machine learning algorithms and Logistic Regression model in predicting ISS.

Results: This research included 62 patients who with internal carotid artery aneurysms. In 49 (79%) cases, follow-up angiography (mean follow-up duration 11.7 ±7.3 months) revealed CO of the aneurysm. ISS was detected in 22 (35.5%) cases. According to univariate analysis, parent artery tortuosity and other variables were not associated with CO (p > 0.1). Maximum curvature (OR = 1.084; 95% CI, 1.008–1.165; p = 0.03) and DM (OR = 0.01; 95% CI, 0–0.488; p = 0.02) exhibited strong independent associations with ISS in multivariate analysis. The SVM model is superior to the conventional Logistic Regression model and the other models in predicting ISS.

Conclusions: The tortuosity of the parent artery may affect the treatment outcome of FD stenting. We found that parent artery tortuosity was associated with ISS, but not with aneurysm complete occlusion following PED stenting.
for internal carotid artery aneurysms in this study. Parent arteries with higher maximum curvature and lower DM were more likely to develop ISS.
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Introduction

Having gained widespread global acceptance, flow diverters (FD) have ushered in a paradigm shift in the treatment of IAs (1). The Pipeline embolization device (PED) is one of the earliest and most widely used FD and was initially approved for the treatment of internal carotid artery aneurysms (ICA) (2). A total of 83.6% of PED stents were used to treat internal carotid artery aneurysms, mostly located in the carotid siphon and carotid supraclinoid (3). Despite its short length, this segment of the artery exhibits complex morphology and marked population variation.

Tortuosity of vessels is a common angiographic finding that can be associated with vascular pathologies and may suggest systemic diseases, such as hypertension or diabetes mellitus (4–6). In terms of intracranial vasculature, tortuosity is associated with aneurysms, Moyamoya disease, and the presence of atherosclerosis (7–9). Furthermore, vascular tortuosity is associated with hemodynamic changes and vessel wall remodeling in coronary and peripheral artery studies (10, 11).

Hemodynamic changes are the main mechanism of PED stent treatment of aneurysms. ISS is a wellknown but understudied consequence after endovascular stents implantation, and was assumed to be associated with an inflammatory response due to hemodynamic status and intimal injury (12, 13). Little is known about the relationship between the degree of tortuosity of the parent artery and treatment outcomes after the PED stenting for the aneurysm. This study aimed to investigate the correlation between parent artery tortuosity and aneurysm complete occlusion (CO) and in-stent stenosis following Pipeline Flow-Diverter Stenting for Intracranial Aneurysms.

Methods

Study population

We retrospectively reviewed the consecutive patients with internal carotid artery aneurysms who received PED treatment at the Interventional Neuroradiology Department of our hospital from 2016 to 2020. The inclusion criteria for the study population were as follows: (1) Patients with sufficient quality of pre-stenting 3D rotational angiography (3DRA) imaging for the 3D artery model reconstruction and the analysis of tortuosity without incomplete and missing image sequence, non-standardized protocol, and severe motion artifact. (2) Patients with at least one digital subtraction angiography (DSA) follow-up for angiographic evaluations. (3) Patients who had successfully received PED implantation, while the target aneurysm without received any previous stenting and coiling before visiting our hospital. (4) Patients presenting with ideal results of parent artery reconstruction evaluated by neurosurgeons.

A total of 226 consecutive patients with internal carotid artery aneurysm who were treated with a PED stent and underwent at least one digital subtraction angiography (DSA) follow-up were retrospectively reviewed in the present study. After excluding patients without the adequate quality of pre-stenting 3D rotational angiography (3DRA) imaging \((n = 143)\), 7 patients who received the previous stenting and coiling \((n = 7)\) and those without idealized 3D model reconstruction of the parent artery \((n = 14)\) were enrolled in this study. Patient demographics, aneurysm characteristics, procedural information, and clinical and angiographic outcomes were reviewed. This retrospective study was approved, and patient’s written consent was waived off by our institutional review board.

Endovascular procedure

The patients’ treatment was started by receiving dual antiplatelet medication with aspirin 100 mg/day and clopidogrel 75 mg/day for 7 days before the implantation. Routine preoperative platelet function tests were performed, and the patients who were identified as clopidogrel non-responders received either prasugrel or ticagrelor. All PED implantations were performed under general anesthesia through a femoral approach. According to the aneurysm anatomy and based on the operator’s experience, the treatment strategy was formulated to decide whether PED was to be used alone or with coiling. For patients with incomplete release of stent or incomplete stent apposition, the stent was massaged using a wire or with a balloon angioplasty. After the procedure, dual antiplatelet therapy was maintained for 6 months, and aspirin was continued indefinitely thereafter.
Assessment of aneurysm CO and ISS

Aneurysm occlusion was determined using follow-up digital subtraction angiography, and grading was established based on two angiographic views using the O’Kelly-Marotta grading scale (14). Follow-up DSA images were referred to assess the loss rate of the parent artery diameter, as shown in the DSA images, as the gap between the lumen vessel was filled with contrast material and the stent strut. ISS was defined as vessel narrowing of >25%. The loss rate of the parent artery diameter was calculated as follows: 1 − (vessel diameter/stent diameter) × 100% (Figure 1).

The measurement of ISS was performed by neuroradiologists with at least 3 years of experience and then reviewed by a senior neuroradiologist.

Assessment of artery tortuosity

The tortuosity analysis was based on the vessel centerline that was considered to represent the main geometric attribute of the vessel. In this study, we selected the stent implantation segment of the parent artery based on pre-stenting 3DRA imaging for artery tortuosity analyses. The mathematical parameters “Curvature”, “torsion”, and “DM” were extracted from the center line and then used to quantitatively evaluate the parent artery tortuosity (Figure 2).

Idealized 3D model of the parent artery

Based on the raw 3D acquisition data, the 3D model was extracted by segmentation and surface reconstruction tools based on thresholding in Mimics 19.0 (Materialize, Belgium). Smoothing operations and removal of the unrelated branching were then conducted on the 3D surface, and the domain inlets and outlets were truncated perpendicular to the centerline using the Geomagic Studio 2012 (North Carolina). The VMTK software was applied to automatically remove the aneurysm on the 3D model so as to achieve the reconstruction of the parent artery. The reconstructed 3D model was then evaluated by 2 senior interventional neuroradiologists with >5 years of experience for the successful reconstruction. Cases wherein the two neuroradiologists evaluated the results of reconstruction inconsistently were excluded from this study. The stent implantation segment of the parent artery was then identified and segmented based on the proximal and distal positions of the implanted PED stent.

Centerline extraction and tortuosity metrics calculation

The centerline was defined as the locus of the centers of the maximal-inscribed spheres along the vessel itself (15).

The centerlines of the reconstructed 3D model were calculated automatically in the Aneufuse software as a set of discrete 3D points, which was used as an input to obtain an analytical representation through 3D freknots regression splines (16, 17). The curvature and torsion of each discrete 3D point and the distance metric (DM) of the parent artery were calculated using a customized working program. The curvature of a curve at a point was defined geometrically as the inverse of the radius of the osculating circle at that particular point. Torsion was defined as a measure of how sharply a curve twisted out of the plane of the curvature (18). The DM quantifies the “lengthening effect” of tortuosity and was calculated as follows: DM = l/L, where l is the straight-line distance from the beginning point to the end point of the segment and L is the total path length of the centerline. For each parent artery, the mean curvature, maximum curvature, and range curvature were calculated. Using the same logic, the values of mean torsion, maximum torsion, and range torsion were calculated.

Establishment of machine learning model

A total of 75 variables were included in the model establishment. Continuous variables were standardized with z-score transformation. In order to avoid class imbalance, the Boardline SMOTE algorithm was applied to the dataset. After preprocessing, the dataset was randomly split into training set (80%) and test set (20%). We used Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) to select the best combination of ISS predictors. Then traditional Logistic Regression and four machine learning algorithms (elastic net [ENT], support vector machine [SVM], Xgboost [XGB], and random forest [RF]) were developed to predict the occurrence of ISS with the open-source machine learning library scikit-learn (version 0.24.1). Then in model training, 10-fold cross-validation and grid research were used to determine the optimal hyperparameters of the models. We compared accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC) in the test set to find the best prediction model. Finally, we use the Shapley additive explanation (SHAP) algorithm (version 0.39.0) to calculate the feature importance.

Statistical analysis

Data were presented as the frequency for categorical variables and as the mean with range for continuous variables. The Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was applied to analyze the categorical variables, and the independent samples t-test was applied to analyze the continuous variables. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to analyze the relationship between tortuosity of the parent artery and the occlusion of aneurysm and in-sent stenosis. Binary logistic regression
FIGURE 1
Demonstration of quantitative determination of stent stenosis. (A) A case of a right carotid ophthalmic aneurysm treated with a PED stent and coiling. (B) The follow-up angiography showed in-stent stenosis at the distal end of the stent. D1 is the narrowest vessel diameter and D2 is the stent diameter in the same position confirmed by the mask image. The stenosis was calculated as follows: \(1 - \frac{D2}{D1} \times 100\%\).

FIGURE 2
3D reconstruction of the parent artery and extraction of the vessel centerline. (A) A case with a right carotid ophthalmic aneurysm was treated with a PED stent. (B) The 3D vessel model was extracted from the raw 3D acquisition data using segmentation and surface reconstruction tools. (C) The aneurysm and irrelevant branch vessels were removed to get the idealized 3D reconstruction model of the parent artery, and the stent implantation segment was then identified and segmented. (D) Aneufuse software was used to determine the centerlines of the reconstructed 3D model. The curvature and torsion of each discrete 3D point and distance metric (DM) of the parent artery were then calculated. \(l\) is the straight-line distance and \(L\) is the total path length.

Results
Patient demographics, aneurysm characteristics, procedure details, and clinical outcomes

Table 1 shows the demographics, aneurysm characteristics, and angiographic outcomes of the patients. The PED stent was used on 62 patients (mean age of 54.2 ± 9.2 years; 47 females, 75.8%) with 62 targeted internal carotid artery aneurysms. All cases were saccular side-wall aneurysms, with the majority
TABLE 1  Univariate analysis in association with CO of aneurysm.

| Variables                                      | All (n = 62) | nCO (n = 13) | CO (n = 49) | p    |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------|
| **Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics** |              |              |             |      |
| Female, no. (%)                                | 47 (75.8)    | 9 (69.2)     | 38 (77.6)   | 0.716|
| Age, y (mean ± SD)                             | 54.2±9.2     | 52.5±9.1     | 54.7±9.3    | 0.468|
| BMI                                            | 25.1±3.7     | 26.5±4.7     | 24.8±3.3    | 0.13 |
| **Co-morbidity**                               |              |              |             |      |
| Hypertension, no. (%)                          | 27 (43.5)    | 6 (46.2)     | 21 (42.9)   | 1    |
| Diabetes, no. (%)                              | 5 (8.1)      | 0 (0)        | 5 (10.2)    | 0.574|
| Hyperlipidemia, no. (%)                        | 24 (38.7)    | 3 (23.1)     | 21 (42.89)  | 0.222|
| History of allergies, no. (%)                 | 7 (11.3)     | 1 (7.7)      | 6 (12.2)    | 0.444|
| Smoking, no. (%)                               | 13 (21.0)    | 4 (30.8)     | 9 (18.4)    | 0.13 |
| Alcohol abuse, no. (%)                         | 9 (14.5)     | 3 (23.1)     | 6 (12.2)    | 0.381|
| Symptomatic presentation of IA, no. (%)       | 36 (58.1)    | 10 (76.9)    | 26 (53.1)   | 0.205|
| Ruptured (history of SAH), no. (%)            | 3 (4.8)      | 2 (15.4)     | 1 (2)       | 0.109|
| **Aneurysm characteristics**                  |              |              |             |      |
| Aneurysm neck size (mm)                        | 6.2±2.8      | 6±2.6        | 6.3±2.9     | 0.705|
| Maximum diameter (mm)                          | 9.4±4.7      | 9.3±3.7      | 9.4±4.9     | 0.928|
| Parent artery diameter (mm)                    | 3.8±0.7      | 3.7±1        | 3.8±0.6     | 0.73 |
| Associate with parent artery stenosis, no. (%) | 3 (4.8)      | 1 (7.7)      | 2 (4.1)     | 0.513|
| **Procedure characteristics**                 |              |              |             |      |
| PED plus coiling, no. (%)                      | 30 (48.4)    | 4 (30.8)     | 26 (53.1)   | 0.215|
| PED Flex, no. (%)                              | 37 (59.7)    | 10 (76.9)    | 27 (55.1)   | 0.21 |
| Multiple PED implantation, no. (%)             | 8 (12.9)     | 3 (23.1)     | 5 (10.2)    | 0.347|
| Balloon angioplasty, no. (%)                   | 14 (22.6)    | 4 (30.8)     | 10 (20.4)   | 0.466|
| **Tortuous parameters of parent artery**       |              |              |             |      |
| Mean curvature                                 | 0.6±0.5      | 0.5±0.3      | 0.6±0.5     | 0.564|
| Maximum curvature                              | 5.3±8.9      | 4.1±4.8      | 5.7±9.7     | 0.579|
| Range curvature                                | 5.3±8.9      | 4.1±4.8      | 5.6±9.7     | 0.579|
| Mean torsion                                   | 12.4±4.2     | 11.8±4.1     | 12.6±4.3    | 0.563|
| Maximum torsion                                | 45.4±16.2    | 43.4±15.3    | 45.9±16.5   | 0.625|
| Range torsion                                  | 0.1±0.2      | 43.4±15.3    | 45.8±16.6   | 0.635|
| DM                                             | 0.5±0.2      | 0.5±0.2      | 0.5±0.2     | 0.823|
| L (total path length)                          | 23.9±9.5     | 25.5±9.2     | 23.5±9.7    | 0.507|
| l (straight line distance)                     | 12.1±6.2     | 13.5±8.3     | 11.8±5.5    | 0.368|

of the aneurysms found in the C6 segment (39/62, 62.9%), 11 (17.7%) in the C7, 6 (9.7%) in the C5, and 6 (9.7%) in the C4. The mean aneurysm maximum length and neck size were 9.4 ±4.7 mm and 6.2 ±2.8 mm, respectively. Ruptured aneurysms accounted for 4.8% (3/62) of cases. There were 32 (51.6%) cases treated with PED alone and 30 (48.4%) cases treated with PED plus coiling. PED Flex was used in 37 (59.7%) cases, whereas PED classic was used in the remaining cases. Multiple PED implantation was used in 8 (12.9%) procedures, while balloon angioplasty was administered in 14 (22.6%) procedures. The mean procedure duration was 121.2 ±59.5 min.

In 49 (79%) cases, follow-up angiography (mean follow-up duration 11.7 ±7.3 months) revealed CO of aneurysms. ISS was detected in 22 (35.5%) lesions with a mean follow-up time of 7.8 ±4.4 months. ISS with > 50% vessel narrowing in 3 cases. There were no symptomatic cases of ISS. Treatment-related complications were observed in 3 (4.8%) cases during the periprocedural period, with one case of aneurysm rupture during the treatment procedure, one case of parenchymal hemorrhage, and one case of infarction occurring after the treatment procedure (< 24 h). There were two-thirds of cases (mRS <2) with transient deficits and none with permanent deficits.
TABLE 2  Univariate and multivariate logistic analysis in association with ISS.

| Variables | ISS (n = 40) | ISS (n = 22) | Univariate | Multivariate |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
|           | p            | p            | OR (95%CI) |              |
| Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics | | | | |
| Female, no. (%) | 31 (77.5) | 16 (72.7) | 0.76 | |
| Age, y (mean ± SD) | 54.1±8.8 | 54.4±10.2 | 0.923 | 0.906 |
| BMI | 25.1±3.8 | 25.2±3.6 | 0.906 | |
| Co-morbidity | | | | |
| Hypertension, no. (%) | 14 (35) | 13 (59.1) | 0.067 | 0.065 |
| Diabetes, no. (%) | 2 (5) | 3 (13.6) | | 0.337 |
| Hyperlipidemia, no. (%) | 18 (45) | 6 (27.3) | 0.188 | |
| History of allergies, no. (%) | 4 (10) | 3 (13.6) | 0.989 | |
| Smoking, no. (%) | 9 (22.5) | 4 (18.2) | 0.756 | |
| Alcohol abuse, no. (%) | 6 (15) | 3 (13.6) | | 1 |
| Symptomatic presentation of IA, no. (%) | 20 (50) | 16 (72.7) | 0.109 | |
| Ruptured (history of SAH), no. (%) | 2 (5) | 1 (4.5) | | 1 |
| Aneurysm characteristics | | | | |
| Aneurysm neck size (mm) | 6.4±2.8 | 5.9±2.8 | 0.567 | |
| Maximum diameter (mm) | 9.9±4.7 | 8.5±4.6 | 0.275 | |
| Parent artery diameter (mm) | 3.8±0.6 | 3.7±0.8 | 0.594 | |
| Associate with parent artery stenosis, no. (%) | 1 (2.5) | 2 (9.1) | 0.59 | |
| Procedure characteristics | | | | |
| PED plus coiling, no. (%) | 22 (55) | 8 (36.4) | 0.192 | |
| PED Flex, no. (%) | 27 (67.5) | 10 (45.5) | 0.09 | 0.288 |
| Multiple PED implantation, no. (%) | 4 (10) | 4 (18.2) | | 0.438 |
| Balloon angioplasty, no. (%) | 9 (22.5) | 5 (22.7) | | 1 |
| Tortuous parameters of parent artery | | | | |
| Mean curvature | 0.5±0.3 | 0.8±0.7 | 0.054 | 0.549 |
| Maximum curvature | 3.4±6 | 8.8±11.9 | 0.021 | 0.03 |
| Range curvature | 3.4±6 | 8.8±11.9 | 0.021 | |
| Mean torsion | 11.8±3.7 | 13.5±4.9 | 0.138 | |
| Maximum torsion | 43.8±16.5 | 48.2±15.6 | 0.312 | |
| Range torsion | 43.8±16.5 | 48.2±15.6 | 0.308 | |
| DM | 0.6±0.2 | 0.5±0.1 | 0.021 | 0.02 |
| L (total path length) | 23.7±10.8 | 24.3±6.9 | 0.814 | |
| l (straight line distance) | 12.9±7.1 | 10.7±3.8 | 0.12 | |

**Assessment of artery tortuosity**

Table 1 summarizes the findings of the examination of parent artery tortuosity. The mean, maximum, and range curvatures of the parent arteries were 0.6 ±0.3, 5.3 ±8.9, and 5.3 ±8.9, respectively. Parent artery mean torsion, maximum torsion, and range torsion were 12.4 ±4.2, 45.4 ±16.2, and 45.3 ±16.2, respectively. The “L” and “l” of parent arteries were 23.9 ±9.5 and 12.1 ±6.2 mm, respectively, and the DM was 0.5 ±0.2.

**Parent artery tortuosity with CO and ISS**

The relationship between parent artery tortuosity and the CO of aneurysm and ISS was analyzed (Tables 1, 2). Univariate analysis showed that parent artery tortuosity and other variables were not associated with CO (p > 0.1). The maximum curvature of the parent artery was significantly higher in individuals with ISS than in those without ISS (8.8 ±11.9 vs. 3.4 ±6; p = 0.021). The DM of the parent artery with ISS was significantly smaller than those without ISS (0.5 ±0.1 vs. 0.6 ±0.2; p = 0.021).
Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics, aneurysm characteristics, and procedure characteristics did not differ significantly between patients with ISS and without ISS (p > 0.1). Significant variables in the univariate analysis that met the threshold of 10% were subjected to multivariate regression. After variables with collinearity were excluded from the collinearity test findings, mean curvature, maximum curvature, DM, and hypertension were included in the multivariate regression. In the multivariate analysis, the maximum curvature (OR = 1.084; 95% CI, 1.008–1.165; p = 0.03) and DM (OR = 0.01; 95% CI, 0–0.488; p = 0.02) exhibited strong independent associations with ISS. Specifically, parent arteries with higher maximum curvature and smaller DM were more likely to develop ISS. ROC curve was used to analyze the performance of the logistic regression classification model and the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.764 (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the correlation between vessel tortuosity of the parent artery, in-stent stenosis, and aneurysm complete occlusion following PED Stenting for internal carotid artery aneurysm. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze the impact of parent artery tortuosity on FD treatment results using a quantitative measurement method. “Curvature” is a parameter describing geometric curvature, “torsion” is a parameter describing the degree to which the curve torsion deviates from the osculating plane, and DM is a parameter describing the degree to which the curve deviates from the straight line. In general, more tortuous vessels have higher curvature, higher torsion, and lower DM. We discovered that vessel tortuosity was associated with ISS, but not with CO in our research. The multivariable analysis determined that higher maximum curvature and lower DM were independent predictors of in-stent stenosis. The SVM model is superior to the conventional Logistic Regression model and the other models in predicting ISS.

Previous literature has reported significant disparities in the incidence of ISS after PED implantation, which may be due to different definitions of ISS (18, 19). Some authors (20–22) considered vessel narrowing of <25% as intimal hyperplasia or vessel narrowing of >25% as in-stent stenosis, which was consistent with our study’s definition of ISS. ISS is a wellknown issue of endovascular stent implantation, although the underlying cellular mechanisms of ISS have not been welldescribed. We hypothesize that ISS formation is related to complex relationships between hemodynamics, vascular biology, and mechanical properties of the parent vessel and the stent. Previous research has revealed that abnormal vascular remodeling and neoointimal hyperplasia are potential causes of ISS (23). Endothelial dysfunction caused by vascular endothelial injury leads to the proliferation of local smooth muscle cells, the formation of neoointimal tissue, and eventually ISS (13).
The arterial wall is invariably damaged during endovascular procedures, causing local inflammation and smooth muscle cell proliferation, leading to intimal hyperplasia and stenosis. Compared to straight arteries, stent implantation may cause greater damage to the tortuous region, resulting in fibroblastic and neointimal hyperplasia (24), leading to ISS. Additionally, the forces between the stent and the vessel wall are more complex and unstable in curved vessels than in straight vessels, which may lead to more severe vascular endothelial injury and inflammatory response.

Coronary and peripheral artery studies have shown that vascular tortuosity can affect arterial hemodynamics. Increased arterial tortuosity decreases perfusion pressure, wall shear stress, and prolonged relative residence time (25). Lowering wall shear stress can contribute to matrix metalloproteinase activation (26), leading to arterial wall remodeling (27). According to hemodynamic simulations, a curved bend disturbs the constant flow characteristic of straight vessels, resulting in complex and heterogeneous flow patterns (28, 29), which may reduce arterial endothelial function and trigger pathological degeneration of the arterial wall, which favors ISS formation (30–32).

In order to further explore the predictive value of parent artery tortuosity on ISS, we developed ISS prediction models.
In contrast with traditional LR model, machine learning models can solve non-linear problem and multicollinearity, which may improve the prediction performance of the model. Therefore, we compared the performance of four popular machine learning models and LR model. The results indicate that the SVM model is superior to the conventional Logistic Regression model and the other models in terms of AUC-ROC, accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity. In SHAP analysis, DM is the most important predictor of ISS. Height, hypertension, and maximum curvature are the risk factors of ISS, while aneurysm neck and dyslipidemia are protective factors of ISS, which is consistent with the results of multivariable analysis.

The effect of FD is dependent on the induced hemodynamic changes that trigger the process of thrombosis and endothelial remodeling and ultimately seal the aneurysm. Szikora et al. (33) pointed out that the angle between the aneurysm and the parent vessel was the most important determinant of blood flow pattern in the sac. Furthermore, Xu et al. (34) showed that the hemodynamic changes of aneurysms after FD implantation were strongly dependent on the curvature of the parent artery. They found that as the curvature of the parent artery increased, the pressure, inflow velocity, and inflow volume rate also increased, while the aneurysm sac’s relative residence time decreased. However, we did not find an association between parent artery tortuosity and aneurysm occlusion in this study, but this does not prove that the morphology of the parent artery does not affect the outcome of aneurysm occlusion. The arterial tortuosity parameters in this study reflect the overall tortuosity evaluation of the parent artery and may be incapable of assessing the tortuosity characteristics of specific regions, which might explain the unfavorable results.

Various methods have been proposed for the analysis of vascular tortuosity in two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) (10, 35). Lang and Reiter examined 89 head halves in 1984 and determined three patterns of carotid siphon morphology based on the curve angle of the artery bend (36). In 1965, Weibel and Fields proposed a classification method of internal carotid artery morphology based on the degree of vessel angulation in 2D angiography images (37). They classified the internal carotid artery vascular tortuosity patterns as Kinking, Looping, and Coiling. Many studies have examined vascular tortuosity using mathematical metrics in addition to the aforementioned assessment methods based on global vessel morphology (9, 38). To quantify vascular tortuosity, they employed parameters including the sum of angle metrics, product of angle distance, triangular index, and inflection count metrics obtained from 2D angiographic images. To evaluate vascular tortuosity, recent studies have used quantitative mathematical parameters such as tortuosity and tortuosity based on vascular reconstruction images (18, 39). In this study, 3D analysis was employed since it is more accurate and has higher consistency and accuracy than 2D analysis.

This research has certain drawbacks. First, since this is a retrospective study, it does not imply that ISS and parent artery tortuosity are causally related. To investigate the mechanism of their association, further prospective studies and laboratory evidence are needed. Second, this was a single-center retrospective study that only included patients who underwent 3D rotational angiography, which may have limited the study sample size and increased the risk of selection bias. The relatively small number of study sample may also weaken the generalization of machine learning models. Third, this study focused on the association between global vessel tortuosity and treatment outcomes, and future studies should focus on better assessing vessel tortuosity in specific regions. Despite these limitations, this is the first study to analyze the FD treatment results on parent artery tortuosity using a quantitative 3D analysis method.

Conclusion

The tortuosity of the parent artery may affect the treatment outcome of FD stenting. We discovered that parent artery tortuosity was associated with ISS, but not with complete aneurysm occlusion after PED stenting for internal carotid artery aneurysms in this study. ISS was more common in parent arteries with higher maximum curvature and lower DM. To corroborate the current study’s findings, larger cohort prospective studies and a more comprehensive assessment of vascular tortuosity are needed.
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