Five Independent Lineages Revealed by Integrative Taxonomy in the Dendropsophus nanus–Dendropsophus walfordi Species Complex

Karin Regina Seger 1,* , Bernardo Franco da Veiga Teixeira 2, Fabiane Santana Annibale 3, Denise de Cerqueira Rossa-Feres 3, Albertina Pimentel Lima 4, Gilda Vasconcellos Andrade 5, Ariovaldo Antonio Giaretta 2 and Luciana Bolsoni Lourenço 1

1 Departamento de Biologia Estrutural e Funcional, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas 13086-863, SP, Brazil; bolsoni@unicamp.br
2 Laboratório de Taxonomia, Sistemática e Ecologia Comportamental de Anuros Neotropicais, Faculdade de Ciências Integradas do Pontal, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU), Rua 20 no 1.600, Bairro Tupã, Ituiutaba 38304-402, MG, Brazil; teixeirabfv@gmail.com (B.F.d.V.); aagiaretta@gmail.com (A.A.G.)
3 Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Instituto de Biociências, Letras e Ciências Exatas, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), São José do Rio Preto 15054-000, SP, Brazil; fs.annibale@gmail.com (F.S.A.); deferes@gmail.com (D.d.C.-F.)
4 Coordenadoria de Pesquisas em Ecologia, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus 69011-970, AM, Brazil; lima@inpa.gov.br
5 Departamento de Biologia, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Maranhão (UFMA), Campus do Bacanga, São Luís 65080-040, MA, Brazil; gildavandrade@gmail.com
* Correspondence: karinsbio@yahoo.com.br

Abstract: One of the many taxonomic challenges found in the Dendropsophus microcephalus species group is the Dendropsophus walfordi distinction from D. nanus. Recent phylogenetic inferences have indicated the paraphyly of these species, although they were not designed to assess this issue. To contribute to the delimitation of these species, we analyzed the 12S, 16S and COI mitochondrial genes, the morphological traits, and the advertisement calls of specimens from northern Amazonia to Argentina, including the type localities of D. nanus and D. walfordi. Paraphyly of D. nanus with respect to D. walfordi was inferred by maximum-parsimony and Bayesian analyses, and five major clades exhibiting nonoverlapping geographic distributions were recognized. The bPTP and ABCD analyses supported the existence of five independently evolving lineages in this complex. Acoustic and morphological data clearly distinguished the clade that included the topotypes of D. walfordi from the others, corroborating the validity of this species. To avoid the paraphyly of D. nanus with respect to D. walfordi, we recognize the clade distributed from central-southern Brazil to Argentina as D. nanus, the clade distributed in Amazonia as D. walfordi, and discuss the existence of unnamed cryptic species closely related to D. nanus and D. walfordi.
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1. Introduction

The Neotropics harbor a great diversity of frogs, and the recognition of valid species can be very challenging. Phylogenetic approaches are powerful tools for species delimitation [1,2], and DNA sequence analysis has allowed a significant improvement in this context, either by revealing previously undescribed species (e.g., [3–6]) or supporting synonymizations (e.g., [7]). Such DNA-based analyses, however, are accessory tools in taxonomic studies and should not be taken separately from other sources of data, including acoustic and morphological ones (for a review, see [8]).

The hylid frogs Dendropsophus nanus (Boulenger, 1889) [9] and D. walfordi (Bokermann, 1962) [10] show relevant taxonomic issues and are good candidates for comprehensive
research that combines DNA-based analyses with morphological and acoustic studies. In previous studies, Jansen and colleagues [11] and Schulze and colleagues [12] suggested the presence of unnamed species related to D. nanus in Bolivia, and phylogenetic analyses based on DNA sequences have recovered D. nanus as paraphyletic with respect to D. walfordi [4,13]. Although the phylogenetic analysis conducted by Medeiros et al. [13] comprised specimens of D. nanus and D. walfordi from 55 localities, including the type locality of D. walfordi (i.e., Forte Príncipe da Beira, in the city of Costa Marques, state of Rondônia, Brazil [10]), a more comprehensive specimen sample including exemplars from the type locality of D. nanus (i.e., Colonia Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina [9]) is still necessary for proper evaluation of the genetic variation in this group. Furthermore, an integrative analysis of the phylogenetic inferences and morphological/acoustic data for these frogs is also lacking.

Morphological and acoustic studies of Dendropsophus nanus and D. walfordi are scarce and fragmentary. These species are morphologically very similar, which led Lutz [14] to consider D. walfordi a junior synonym of D. nanus. Langone and Basso [15], however, mentioned personal communication from Adão J. Cardoso about the advertisement call of D. walfordi specimens from Rondônia-Brazil, supporting D. walfordi as a valid species and suggested the revision of D. nanus and D. walfordi throughout their geographic distribution. The advertisement call of topotypes of D. nanus has been described [16], but the call of D. walfordi remains unreported. Although a single advertisement call was previously assigned to D. walfordi by De la Riva et al. [17], a reanalysis conducted by the authors [18] proved that this call belonged to Dendropsophus tritaeniatus.

In this study, we revisited the taxonomic questions concerning Dendropsophus nanus and D. walfordi using an integrative approach that combines analyses of acoustic and morphological traits with a genetic/phylogenetic analysis based on improved molecular character sampling and expanded sampling areas.

2. Materials and Methods

We used mitochondrial DNA sequences to infer the phylogenetic relationships and genetic diversity in the Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi species complex and to run two tests of delimitation of independently evolving lineages. We analyzed the acoustic and morphological variation in this species complex in an attempt to identify putative traits that could differentiate any of the candidate species inferred from the DNA-based tests. Finally, we proposed some taxonomic decisions using an integrative taxonomy approach.

2.1. Taxon Sampling

Currently, Dendropsophus nanus is considered to be widely distributed in South America, occurring from northeastern Brazil, Surinam and French Guiana to extreme southern Brazil, Argentina, central Paraguay, extreme northwestern Uruguay and eastern Bolivia, whereas D. walfordi is considered to occur in the central and northern Amazon basins [18,19]. In our phylogenetic analysis, we included 56 specimens of the Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi species complex, including type localities of D. nanus and D. walfordi. In addition, we included a specimen from Taperinha, Santarém, in the Brazilian state of Pará, which is the type locality of D. minimus (Ahl, 1933) [20]. To compose the outgroup, we included all the species of the D. microcephaalus group for which nucleotide sequences were available and D. elegans as a representative species of the D. leucophyllatus group (which was inferred as the sister group of the D. microcephalus group by [21]) (Table S1). In the genetic distance analyses, we also included 51 DNA sequences assigned to D. nanus or D. walfordi consisting of only a short fragment of the 16S rRNA gene. These samplings included sequences of specimens from Bolivia, Argentina and French Guiana and were obtained from GenBank (for details, see Table S1).

In the acoustic analysis, we compared the advertisement calls of 160 specimens of the D. nanus–D. walfordi complex from 33 localities. In the morphometric analysis, we compared 71 specimens of the D. nanus–D. walfordi complex from 12 localities. For the
analyses concerning body shape and color, a total of 456 specimens were included (for details, see Table S1).

Fieldwork was carried out between 2011 and 2017, and specimens were collected under a permit issued by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation/Biodiversity Authorization and Information System (ICMBio/SISBIO, permit number 50672). The specimens were anesthetized with lidocaine, which was applied to the skin (50 mg of 2% lidocaine per gram of body mass). Liver, muscle and intestine samples were extracted and preserved in 95% ethanol. The animals were deposited in the amphibian collection of the Museum of Zoology “Adão José Cardoso” at the Institute of Biology–University of Campinas (ZUEC). This protocol was approved by the Committee for Ethics in Animal Use of the University of Campinas (CEUA/UNICAMP, permit number 3453-1).

2.2. Molecular Data
2.2.1. DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

We used the same protocol employed by Medeiros et al. [13] to obtain genomic DNA from fragments of liver or muscle or from intestinal cell suspensions available in the cytogenetic and tissue collection housed at the Laboratory of Chromosome Studies (LabEsc) of the University of Campinas. PCR was performed in a 25 µL volume of reaction buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM dNTPs, each primer at 0.4 mM, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) and 400 to 1000 ng of genomic DNA. The mitochondrial 12S and 16S rRNA genes and the intervening tRNA-val region were amplified by PCR using the primer pairs MVZ59(L) [22]/TitusI(H) [23] and 12L13(L) [24]/16Sbr(H) [25]. For amplification of a fragment of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome oxidase 1 (COI), we used the primers AnF1 and AnR1 [26].

The amplified products were purified using a DNA purification kit (Promega) or the enzyme ExoSAP-IT system (Affymetrix). Purified fragments were sequenced in both directions using the aforementioned primers. To sequence the H1 fragment (which includes fragments of the 12S, 16S and tRNA-val genes), we also used the primers MVZ50 [22], TitusI, 16L2a, 16SH10 and 16Sar [25,27]. The Big Dye Terminator kit (Applied Biosystems) was used to perform sequencing reactions according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The nucleotide sequences were generated by the DNA Sequencing Service (SSDNA)-IQUSP or the Central Laboratory of High-Performance Technologies in Life Sciences (LaCTAD)–UNICAMP and edited using BioEdit v.7.0.1. [28].

2.2.2. Phylogenetic Inferences

The nucleotide sequences were aligned using Muscle [29]. The H1 fragment and COI sequences were concatenated in a matrix composed of 123 sequences and 3117 characters (Matrix 1).

Phylogenetic relationships were inferred according to the maximum-parsimony criterion using the software TNT v.1.1 [30] and through Bayesian analysis in the program MrBayes v.3.1.2 [31]. In the maximum-parsimony analyses, alignment gaps were treated as the fifth character state. The most parsimonious trees were obtained using the heuristic search method with the xmult command, which combines driven searches, ratchet, tree drifting and tree fusing, and also performs the exchange of branches using tree bisection and reconnection (TBR). The best length was hit 100 times, and branch supports were evaluated by bootstrap resampling test [32] based on 1000 pseudoreplicates using a traditional search in TNT.

For the Bayesian analysis of the H1 and COI partitions, the GTR + I + G and SYM + G evolutionary models were used, respectively, as estimated by MrModeltest v.2.3 [33]. Two simultaneous analyses were performed with four chains each (three heated and one cold). Ten million generations were run in each analysis, with one tree sampled every 100 generations. A consensus topology with the posterior probability for each node was produced after discarding 25% of the initial trees that were generated. The average standard deviation of split frequencies (ASDSF) value was lower than 0.01, and the potential scale
reduction factor (PSRF) value was approximately 1.000. The stabilization of posterior probabilities was checked using Tracer v.1.6 [34] considering ESS (effective sample size) values above 200 as acceptable.

A matrix with H1 fragments was used to estimate the time of divergence of the major lineages in BEAST v.1.8.4 [35]. In this analysis, a mutation rate of 0.0028 mutations/site/million years [36] and four independent runs were used, with parameters and trees being sampled every 2500 generations. The convergence of the runs was evaluated in Tracer v.1.6 [34] considering ESS (effective sample size) values above 200 as acceptable. The results were summarized with TreeAnnotator v.1.8.3.

2.2.3. Analyses of Genetic Divergence

We compared the short fragments of the 16S rRNA gene (segment delimited by the above-mentioned primers 16Sar and 16Sbr) previously obtained by other authors [4,11,12,37,38] for specimens of *Dendropsophus nanus* (Table S1) with the mitochondrial DNA sequences from our sampling. For this, we constructed a second matrix (Matrix 2) with 107 sequences (outgroup excluded) and 457 characters. In this matrix, we also added ten 16Sar-16Sbr fragments we obtained in this work, which were not included in Matrix 1 because they were shorter than the H1 fragments obtained for the other samples. We used Matrix 2 in Mega v.6 [39] to generate a neighbor-joining tree and to estimate genetic distances (p-distances) between the five major genetic lineages inferred in the phylogenetic analyses. Alignment gaps were not considered in the pairwise comparisons. The genetic distances between the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* lineages were also calculated from the H1 fragments of Matrix 1 (used in the phylogenetic analysis) after excluding the outgroup, the COI partition and the sites that corresponded to gaps in all the remaining sequences. This procedure resulted in Matrix 3, with 56 sequences and 2472 characters.

The genetic structure of the *Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi* complex was evaluated via hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) [40] with Arlequin v.3.5.1.2. We used the Kimura 2p distance to estimate genetic distances [41] based on the concatenated matrix with all mitochondrial genes (Matrix 1) without the outgroup. Haplotype networks were constructed with the same matrix using the median joining method (MJJ, median joining) [42] implemented in the program Network v.4.6.1.2 (http://www.fluxus-engineering.com, accessed on 22 July 2021).

2.2.4. Delimitation of Independently Evolving Lineages Based on DNA Sequences

We used the DNA sequences from Matrix 1 (H1 and COI concatenated) to run one tree-based test (using the Poisson tree processes, PTP method) [43] and one distance-based test (automatic barcode gap discovery, ABGD) [44] of lineage delimitation. A Bayesian PTP analysis (bPTP) was conducted on the bPTP webserver (http://species.h-its.org/ptp accessed on 10 March 2018) with the tree inferred in the Bayesian analysis. For this analysis, we excluded *D. werneri* (which is the most distant outgroup in our taxon sampling), used 500,000 Markov chain Monte Carlo generations and set the burn-in to 0.25 and the thinning to 100. The ABGD analysis was conducted at the ABGD webserver (http://wwwabi.snv.jussieu.fr/public/abgd/abgdweb.html, accessed on 10 March 2018) using p-distances, initial partitions with a range of prior intraspecific divergence (P) varying from 0.001 to 0.01, steps = 10, Nb bins = 6 and setting the minimum gap width to 1.0.

2.3. Acoustic Analyses

Advertisement calls were recorded using either an M-Audio Microtrack II, a Marantz PMD 671 or an Ediroll R-09HR recorder set at a sampling rate of 48 kHz and an amplitude resolution of 16 bits. The recorders were coupled to either a Sennheiser ME66/K6, a K6/ME67 or a ME66/K3U directional microphone. The recordings were deposited in the sound collection Fonoteca Neotropical Jacques Vielliard (FNJV) of the Museum of Zoology “Adão José Cardoso” (at the University of Campinas, Brazil) or in the herpetological collec-
We selected five acoustic traits from the advertisement calls to be analyzed: note duration, number of pulses per note, pulse duration, pulse rate and dominant frequency. These traits were selected because of their importance to reproduction (mate choice) and competition (territoriality) (see [45–48]). We analyzed a variety of notes from each advertisement call to obtain the values of each acoustic variable. To represent each male, we used the mean values of each measured variable.

We analyzed the acoustic traits using Raven Pro v.1.5 for Windows from the Cornell Lab of Ornithology (Bioacoustic Research Program 2012). Raven settings were as follows: window type = Hann, window size = 1024 samples, 3 dB filter bandwidth = 270 Hz, overlap = 85%, hop size = 0.792, DFT size = 1024 samples and grid spacing = 46.9 Hz. All other settings followed the ‘defaults’ of Raven software. We obtained sound figures with the Seewave package v.2.0.2 [49] on the R platform (v.3.2.2) [50] with the following settings: Hanning window, 256 samples (FFT) and 85% overlap. The terminology used to describe the calls followed Köhler et al. [51]. Voucher specimens and their respective localities are presented in Table S1.

We implemented Breiman’s random forest algorithm (RF, package v.4.6-12 [51] in R) to discriminate partitions considering call features. The RF algorithm constructs classification trees using bootstrap samples of the data (each node is split using the best among a subset of predictors randomly chosen at that node) and then generates classifiers and aggregates results by voting to classes [52]. The RF results included an estimate of the distances between the objects, which were subjected to multidimensional scaling analysis (MDS) and displayed graphically with the rfPermute package on the R platform.

Because RF analysis discriminated two different advertisement calls among the analyzed specimens, we analyzed both calls (named call I and call II) using two additional approaches. We first tested, for each call, whether the introductory notes differed from the secondary notes using Welch’s t-tests. We used each acoustic parameter as a response variable and the note type (introductory or secondary) as a factor. We log transformed the dominant frequency and pulse rate data of call II to meet the assumptions of homogeneity and heteroscedasticity of the test. We used the nonparametric Mann–Whitney–Wilcoxon test when transformation of data still violated the assumptions of the analysis. These data included note duration and pulse rate for call I and pulse duration for both calls. We met the assumptions of the test with all the other acoustic variables.

We also tested whether each note (introductory or secondary) differed between both calls using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). As such, we performed four MANOVAs to compare: (1) introductory notes of both calls, (2) introductory notes of call I and secondary notes of call II, (3) secondary notes of call I and introductory notes of call II, and (4) secondary notes of both calls. For each MANOVA, we included all the acoustic variables of each note type as response variables and the calls (I or II) as factors.

### 2.4. Morphological Analyses

Adult males were measured using an ocular micrometer coupled to a stereomicroscope. Measurements larger than 10 mm were taken with calipers accurate to 0.1 mm. We measured snout–vent length (SVL), head length (HL), head width (HW), tympanum diameter (TD), eye diameter (ED), shank length (SL) (= tibia length) and foot length (FL) following Duellman [53]. Thigh length (TL) and hand length (HAL) were measured as proposed by Heyer et al. [54], and the eye–nostril distance (END) was measured following Napoli and Caramaschi [55].

To investigate whether the five genetic lineages recognized in the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex could be differentiated by morphological traits, we compared 71 males (65 of them collected from sites also sampled for phylogenetic/genetic analyses; see Table S1). We analyzed whether they differed in body size (SVL) using permutation ANOVA. For this, we used the *lmp* function from the *lmPerm* package in R software v.2.1.0 [56]. As we
performed multiple comparisons, we adjusted the \( p \)-value with the Holm method using the \texttt{p.adjust} function in R software.

We performed a principal component analysis (PCA) \cite{57} to detect patterns of morphological variation among the five major clades inferred in the phylogenetic analyses. To reduce the effect of body size on the morphological measures, we conducted PCA with morphometric ratios (HW/HL, TD/ED, FL/TL, TD/HL, TL/SVL, HW/SVL, FL/SVL, HAL/SVL and SL/FL). We used a correlation matrix and performed PCA using the \texttt{dudi.pca} function of the package \texttt{ade4} \cite{58} in R software. We then used analyses of variance (ANOVA) to verify the significance of the morphological patterns. We used the first two principal components (PCs) of the PCA as response variables for each ANOVA, as these axes explained the greatest proportion of the morphological variation among the analyzed specimens. We also used the five lineages as the independent variable and set \( \alpha = 0.05 \). All assumptions of ANOVA were met. The ANOVAs were also performed using R software or SYSTAT software v.8 \cite{59}.

3. Results
3.1. Phylogenetic Inferences

In the Bayesian, maximum-parsimony and BEAST analyses, the specimens of the \textit{Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi} species complex were grouped into five major clades, named Lineages A–E, distributed over distinct geographic areas (Figure 1 and Figures S1–S3). Lineage A was composed of specimens from central Brazil, whereas Lineage B was distributed from southeastern to northeastern Brazil. Lineage C included specimens from eastern Bolivia and midwestern Brazil. Lineage D exhibited specimens distributed throughout the Amazonian Forest from French Guiana to Bolivia. Lineage E was composed of specimens from Argentina, the Brazilian state of Paraná and the southern portion of the Brazilian state of Mato Grosso do Sul (Figure 1). It is worth noting that the two specimens of \textit{D. walfordi} from the type locality (Forte Príncipe da Beira, Costa Marques, state of Rondônia, Brazil) were recovered in Lineage D, and the specimen of \textit{D. nanus} from the type locality (Colonia Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina) was nested in Lineage E. The specimen from Taperinha, Santarém, state of Pará, Brazil, which is the type locality of \textit{D. minimus}, was nested within Lineage D (Figure 1 and Figures S1–S3).

The relationships between Lineages A–E were congruent among all the phylogenetic analyses (Figure 1 and Figures S1–S3), with the clade (Lineage C, Lineage D) being supported by high value of posterior probability (Figure S2) or bootstrap (Figure 1 and Figure S1). The clade (Lineage A, Lineage B) was also strongly supported in the Bayesian analysis (Figure S2).

In the BEAST analysis, the divergence between Lineages A and B and the split between Lineages C and D were estimated to be the early Pliocene (approximately 3 mya). The divergence of Lineage E from the clade composed of Lineages C and D was estimated to have occurred at approximately 4 mya, and the most common ancestor of the \textit{Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi} complex was dated to 5 mya (Figure 1 and Figure S3).

3.2. Genetic Diversity and Sequence-Based Species Delineation

The neighbor-joining analysis of Matrix 2, which also included DNA sequences of Bolivian specimens, clustered the sequences assigned by Jansen et al., 2011 \cite{12} and Schulze et al., 2015 \cite{12} as “\textit{D. nanus} A” and “\textit{Dendropsophus cf. nanus}” together with those included in Lineages C and D, respectively (Figure S4).

The genetic distances between Lineages A–E estimated from Matrix 3 (with 2472 characters of the H1 fragment) were all approximately 3%, except for the distance between Lineages A and B, which was 1.7%. The genetic diversity within each of these lineages was lower than 0.7% (Table 1). We found significant variation between Lineages A–E (AMOVA, \( F_{st} = 0.950 \)), with 79.31% of the genetic variation found in the \textit{D. nanus–D. walfordi} species complex being due to the difference between the lineages. The variation between popula-
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(with 1397 steps) inferred by TNT from Matrix 1. The terminals are identified by their specimen voucher number (see Table bPTP), the distance-based method (ABGD) and the call and morphology analyses are represented by colored bars. (dots in the map represent sites sampled only for morphological or acoustic analyses. Circled dots in the map indicate sites cladogram. Star: type locality of **Dendropsophus nanus**–**Dendropsophus walfordi**. The lineages are identified by the same colors used in the cladogram. Mya: million years ago.

**Figure 1.** (A). Phylogenetic relationships, species delimitation hypotheses and geographical distribution of the **Dendropsophus nanus**–**Dendropsophus walfordi** species complex. The cladogram shows the strict consensus of the 6 most parsimonious trees (with 1397 steps) inferred by TNT from Matrix 1. The terminals are identified by their specimen voucher number (see Table S1) and locality. The outgroup is not shown. Bootstrap values greater than 50% are shown at the nodes. Asterisks indicate a bootstrap value of 100%. The delimitation of independently evolving lineages inferred by the tree-based method (bPTP), the distance-based method (ABGD) and the call and morphology analyses are represented by colored bars. (B). Geographical distribution of the genetic lineages shown in A. The lineages are identified by the same colors used in the cladogram. Star: type locality of **D. nanus**. Triangle: type locality of **D. walfordi**. Square: type locality of **D. minimus**. Black dots in the map represent sites sampled only for morphological or acoustic analyses. Circled dots in the map indicate sites included in the genetic analyses based on the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment but not in the phylogenetic analyses (see text for details). (C). Divergence time estimates for the **Dendropsophus nanus**–**Dendropsophus walfordi** species complex. The bars on the nodes indicate 95% highest posterior density (95% HPD) intervals. The corresponding timescale is shown below the cladogram. Mya: million years ago.

**Table 1.** Uncorrected p-distances (%) between Lineages A–E of the **D. nanus**–**D. walfordi** species complex. In the lower triangle, values estimated from H1 fragments (2472 bp) (left) and from the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment of the 16S rRNA gene (right); in the upper triangle, values inferred from the COI fragment (645 bp). The diagonal line (in bold) presents uncorrected p-distances identified within each species or lineage based on the H1 (left) and COI (right) fragments. The hash mark denotes that only one sequence is available.

|   | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4  | 5   |
|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| 1 | Lineage A | 0.5/0.6 |   | 4.0  | 9.3  | 8.0  | 7.4  |
| 2 | Lineage B | 1.7/1.0 | 0.6/1.4 |   | 7.0  | 6.7  | 7.0  |
| 3 | Lineage C | 3.0/1.3 | 3.0/1.3 | 0.7/# |   | 3.9  | 8.0  |
| 4 | Lineage D | 2.8/1.9 | 2.6/1.9 | 2.6/1.7 | 0.5/1.1 |   | 7.2  |
| 5 | Lineage E | 2.7/1.4 | 2.4/1.4 | 3.1/1.3 | 2.5/1.1 | 0.4/1.0 |   |

---
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Mya: million years ago.
The H1 sequence of the topotype of *Dendropsophus minimus* was very similar to the sequences from the topotypes of *Dendropsophus walfordi*, with a distance of only 0.04% between them.

The calculated genetic distances based on Matrix 2 (composed of the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment of the 16S gene, 457 characters) were lower than those observed in the analysis of Matrix 3 (composed of 2472 characters of the H1 fragment) (Table 1). The distance inferred between Lineages D and E, for example, was 1.1% based on the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment and 2.5% based on the H1 fragment matrix (Table 1). An even greater difference was observed in the distance values between Lineages C and E (1.3% and 3.1% using the 16Sar-16Sbr and H1 fragments, respectively; Table 1).

The haplotype network constructed from the H1 fragments allowed the recognition of five groups that coincided with Lineages A–E inferred in the phylogenetic analyses, and none of the haplotypes was shared between these groups (Figure S5). The delimitation of independently evolving lineages also supported the recognition of five putative species among our sample of the *Dendropsophus nanus–D. walfordi* species complex. The bPTP analysis using the maximum likelihood solution recognized five entities (Figure S6), and the ABGD test showed the same number of candidate species in the recursive partition.

### 3.3. Advertisement Calls

The advertisement call of *Dendropsophus nanus* specimens (Figure 2) from the type locality (Colonia Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina) (N = 10 recorded males) was composed of two types of pulsed notes, referred to herein as notes A (long note) and B (short note) (following [60]), both with similar dominant frequencies. Calls were often emitted in a series of 2 to 6 notes (mean 2.4; SD = 0.4; N\textsubscript{series of notes} = 236, N\textsubscript{males} = 10) consisting of 1 note A followed by 1 to 5 B notes (e.g., AB, ABB or ABBB). A note was emitted alone or in series, whereas note B was only emitted in series. When in a series (e.g., ABB), the notes were emitted at a rate of 2.63 to 4.73 per second (mean 3.8; SD = 0.3; N\textsubscript{series of notes} = 86). The interval between the notes within the series ranged from 165 to 320 ms (mean 221.8; SD = 18.6; N\textsubscript{note interval} = 117). The amplitude modulation in notes A and B was incomplete, and the pulse envelope varied; in note B, the last pulse was longer than the other pulses.

The note A duration ranged from 29 to 56 ms (mean = 41.2 ms; SD = 4.4; N\textsubscript{notes} = 145). These notes were formed by 7 to 15 pulses (mean = 10.9; SD = 1.3; N\textsubscript{notes} = 124). The pulse duration ranged from 2 to 11 ms (mean = 3.7 ms; SD = 0.2; N\textsubscript{pulses} = 163), and the pulse rate ranged from 194 to 351 pulses per second (mean = 265.8; SD = 20.9; N\textsubscript{notes} = 124). The dominant frequency ranged from 3703 to 4546 Hz (mean = 4246 Hz; SD = 165.2; N\textsubscript{notes} = 145). The note B duration ranged from 13 to 31 ms (mean = 21.1 ms; SD = 2.7; N\textsubscript{notes} = 134). These notes were formed by 3 to 9 pulses (mean = 4.9; SD = 1.0; N\textsubscript{notes} = 111). The pulse duration ranged from 2 to 14 ms (mean = 4.2 ms; SD = 0.7; N\textsubscript{pulses} = 113), and the pulse rate ranged from 143 to 360 pulses per second (mean = 244.5; SD = 34.9; N\textsubscript{notes} = 113). The dominant frequency ranged from 3937 to 4593 Hz (mean = 4259 Hz; SD = 135.4; N\textsubscript{notes} = 134).

The advertisement calls of 133 other specimens from 27 Brazilian localities were similar to the call described for the topotypes of *D. nanus* (for details on the specimen localities and calls, see Tables S1 and S2, respectively). Most of the analyzed calls had a conserved structural difference in amplitude modulation between notes A and B. However, it is worth noting that, in some cases, this difference was not completely clear due to the great variation in the amplitude modulation of notes emitted by the specimens. Five out of the ten specimens from the 27 analyzed localities were included in the genetic diversity or phylogenetic analysis and were nested within clades A, B, C and E. All analyzed calls were very similar in their temporal and spectral value traits.
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Figure 2. Advertisement calls of topotypical individuals of Dendropsophus nanus (A–C) and Dendropsophus walfordi (D–F). (A,D): Spectrogram (top) and oscillogram (bottom) of two notes in the advertisement call of D. nanus (A) and D. walfordi (D). (A) shows two different notes for D. nanus, the introductory (type A) and the secondary (type B) notes. (D) shows an introductory and a secondary note in the advertisement call of D. walfordi, which we considered a single type of note, as they do not differ in acoustic parameters or amplitude modulation (see Results). (B,C): Power spectrum of notes A (B) and B (C) of D. nanus. (E,F): Power spectrum of the introductory (E) and secondary (F) notes of D. walfordi. Sound files: Dendrop_nanus_Resistencia_AR_1a_BFVT_Mtc; Dendrop_walfordi_CostaMarquesRO12aAAGm671.

The advertisement call of topotypes of Dendropsophus walfordi (Forte Príncipe da Beira, state of Rondônia, Brazil) (N = 9 recorded males) was composed of one type of pulsed note, emitted as isolated notes or in a series (Figure 3). The calls were often emitted in a series of 2 to 26 notes (mean = 6.3; SD = 3.8; N notes = 65). The note duration ranged from 8 to 30 ms (mean = 15.5 ms; SD = 2.7; N notes = 140). Notes were formed by 1 to 9 pulses (mean = 4.6; SD = 1.6; N notes = 140). The pulse duration ranged from 1 to 20 ms (mean = 4.2 ms; SD = 1.8; N pulses = 253), and the pulse rate ranged from 50 to 462 pulses per second (mean = 293.4; SD = 88.1; N notes = 140). The dominant frequency ranged from 4078 to 4734 Hz (mean = 4425 Hz; SD = 212; N notes = 140). The amplitude modulation of the notes was incomplete, and the pulse envelope was extremely variable.

For 18 specimens from five other localities in the Brazilian Amazon, the advertisement call was composed of one type of note and was similar in its temporal and spectral features to the call of the topotypes of Dendropsophus walfordi (for details on the specimen localities and calls, see Table 1 and Table S2, respectively). However, the first note of each series differed significantly from the following notes of the same series in terms of both note duration (p = 0.001; t = 3.38; df = 52; SD = 0.001) and number of pulses (p = 0.001; t = 3.35; df = 52; SD = 0.35). Specimens from two of these localities were also included in the phylogenetic analysis and nested within the clade corresponding to Lineage D (see Table S1).
The advertisement call of topotypes of *Dendropsophus walfordi* showed a great distinction between the two types of advertisement calls, call I and call II, of the analyzed specimens (Figure 3), mainly in terms of note duration and number of pulses. Call I was observed in specimens assigned to Lineages A, B, C and E, including topotypes of *D. nanus*. Call II was observed in topotypes of *D. walfordi* and in other specimens also assigned to Lineage D.

In call I, the introductory notes differed from the secondary notes in amplitude modulation and all acoustic variables, except dominant frequency (Table 2). Additionally, the last pulse of type B notes was longer than the other pulses (Figure 2). Because these notes were so different, we describe this advertisement call as composed of an introductory note (type A notes) followed by secondary notes (type B notes) repeated in series. In call II, the introductory notes differed from the secondary notes only in note duration and pulse number (Table 2) but not in any other acoustic parameters or amplitude modulation (Figure 2). As such, we considered that call II is composed of a single type of note emitted in series.

![Figure 3. Multidimensional scale scatter of random forest results on acoustic variables. Plots of the first two axes of a multidimensional scale analysis of the acoustic data of Lineages A–E of the *D. nanus*–*D. walfordi* species complex. Topotypes of *D. walfordi* are included in Lineage D (green), and topotypes of *D. nanus* are comprised in Lineage E (pink).](image)

### Table 2. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of each note’s acoustic variables and results from Welch’s t-tests comparing the introductory and secondary notes of the advertisement calls of each species. Bolded *p*-values correspond to significant results with α = 0.05.

| Species   | Acoustic Parameter          | Introductory Notes | Secondary Notes | *t*     | *p*   |
|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| *D. nanus*| Note duration (ms)          | 39.1 ± 7.4         | 19.6 ± 3.8      | 5928 * | <0.001|
|           | Pulse duration (ms)         | 3.4 ± 0.5          | 3.9 ± 1.1       | 1952.5 * | <0.001|
|           | Pulse number                | 11.6 ± 1.6         | 5.1 ± 1.0       | 28.6   | <0.001|
|           | Dominant frequency (Hz)     | 4350.8 ± 272.4     | 4338.7 ± 268.2  | 0.3    | 0.8   |
|           | Pulse rate                  | 293.3 ± 39.9       | 268.6 ± 57.4    | 3851.5 * | 0.001|
| *D. walfordi*| Note duration (ms)    | 15.4 ± 2.7         | 13.0 ± 2.5      | 3.2    | 0.003|
|           | Pulse duration (ms)         | 3.8 ± 1.3          | 4.6 ± 2.1       | 241 *  | 0.3   |
|           | Pulse number                | 4.4 ± 1.3          | 3.3 ± 1.0       | 3.3    | 0.002|
|           | Dominant frequency (Hz)     | 4492.4 ± 253.8     | 4483.9 ± 246.6  | 0.1    | 0.9   |
|           | Pulse rate                  | 295.3 ± 108.7      | 262.4 ± 92.1    | 1.2    | 0.2   |

* Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test.

---

### 3.4. Comparative Acoustic Analyses

The random forest analysis showed a great distinction between the two types of advertisement calls, call I and call II, of the analyzed specimens (Figure 3), mainly in terms of note duration and number of pulses. Call I was observed in specimens assigned to Lineages A, B, C and E, including topotypes of *D. nanus*. Call II was observed in topotypes of *D. walfordi* and in other specimens also assigned to Lineage D.

In call I, the introductory notes differed from the secondary notes only in note duration and pulse number (Table 2) but not in any other acoustic parameters or amplitude modulation (Figure 2). As such, we considered that call II is composed of a single type of note emitted in series.
In a comparison between calls I and II, we noticed that both the introductory and the secondary notes of call I differed statistically from the notes of call II (Table 3).

Table 3. Results of MANOVA tests comparing each note (introductory and/or secondary) of the two types of advertisement calls (calls I and II) distinguished by random forest analysis. Bolded *p*-values correspond to significant results with *α* = 0.05.

| Notes in Comparison                                      | Source         | Df | Pillai’s Trace | F    | *p*    |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----|----------------|------|--------|
| Type A notes                                            | Calls (I and II) | 1  | 0.8            | 80.7 | <0.001 |
|                                                         | Residuals      | 99 |                |      |        |
| Type B notes                                            | Calls (I and II) | 1  | 0.5            | 20.1 | <0.001 |
|                                                         | Residuals      | 99 |                |      |        |
| Type A notes of call I and type B notes of call II      | Calls (I and II) | 1  | 0.8            | 99.7 | <0.001 |
|                                                         | Residuals      | 99 |                |      |        |
| Type B notes of call I and type A notes of call II      | Calls (I and II) | 1  | 0.2            | 6.4  | <0.001 |
|                                                         | Residuals      | 99 |                |      |        |

3.5. Morphology

We did not identify any remarkable morphological characteristics that could differentiate the five major lineages recognized in the DNA-based analyses. Even topotypes of *D. nanus* and topotypes of *D. walfordi* were very similar in external morphology (Figure 4). Body color and distribution of dorsal spots varied among the analyzed specimens, even among those collected from the type locality of *D. nanus* (Figure 5 A(A–E)) and among the topotypes of *D. walfordi* (Figure 5 B(C–I)). In general, the analyzed specimens had a brown to yellow dorsal coloration with multiple spots, which were distributed from the posterior part of the head toward the sacral region (Figure 5). However, these spots were absent or barely visible in some individuals. As such, the qualitative analysis of morphology did not allow us to differentiate the individuals of the five genetic lineages.

Figure 4. Morphological characteristics of preserved topotypes of *Dendropsophus nanus* (A) and *Dendropsophus walfordi* (B). Lateral and dorsal views of the head (top); palmar and plantar views of the foot and the hand (bottom) of topotypes of *D. nanus* (UNEC 12429) and *D. walfordi* (AAG-UFU 5311).
On the other hand, morphometric data allowed us to detect morphological variation among lineages, especially between Lineages D and E, and between them and Lineages A, B, and C. Male SVL varied significantly among lineages (F\_4,73 = 6.17; p < 0.001), as Lineage A differed from Lineages B (p = 0.002) and C (p = 0.004), and Lineage C differed from Lineage E (p = 0.027) (Figure S7). Based on morphometric ratios (Table S3), PCA explained 58% of the data variation (Figure 6), with the first axis explaining 35% and the second axis explaining 23% of the data variation. PC1 showed a partial differentiation between individuals of Lineages D and E. The more important morphometric ratios that explained the variation captured by PC1 were, in descending order of importance, the relative foot length (FL/SVL), hand length (HAL/SVL), thigh length (TL/SVL) and head width (HW/SVL). PC2 evidenced two groups, one constituted by individuals of Lineages A, B and C in the upper quadrants, and another constituted by Lineages D and E in the lower quadrants. The variation captured by PC2 was explained by the ratios foot length/thigh length (FL/TL) and shank length/foot length (SL/FL) (Table 4).

Individuals of Lineages A, B and C presented greater relative foot length, smaller relative shank length and smaller head width than individuals of Lineages D (which included topotypes of D. walfordi) and E (which included topotypes of D. nanus). Individuals of Lineages D and E presented a morphological overlap, but the centroids of each lineage differed in position in the biplot: the centroid of Lineage E was in the lower right quadrant, and the centroid of Lineage D was in the lower left quadrant (Figure 6). These different positions of the centroids indicate some morphological differentiation between these lineages, as individuals of Lineage D presented smaller relative foot, hand and tight lengths and smaller head widths than those of Lineage E (Figure 6; Table 4). The distribution of PCI scores for individuals of each genetic lineage (Figure 7A) evidenced the same results: a great overlap among Lineages A, B, C and E and a differentiation between individuals of Lineage D (which includes topotypes of D. walfordi) and Lineage E (which includes topotypes of D. nanus). In fact, individuals of Lineage D tended to differ morphometrically from those of all the remaining lineages. The results from ANOVAs confirmed the morphological pattern that we observed in PCA. In both analyses, with PC1 and PC2, we found...
no morphological difference among individuals of lineages A, B and C, but those from lineages D and E differed from each other and from the other lineages (Figure 7B,C).

**Figure 6.** PCA of morphometric ratios. PCA scatter diagrams of nine morphometric ratios obtained from 71 individuals of the 5 lineages recognized in the DNA-based analyses. Each color represents a lineage: red = Lineage A, blue = Lineage B, brown = Lineage C, green = Lineage D and pink = Lineage E.

**Table 4.** Loadings of nine morphometric ratios on the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) generated by a principal component analysis based on 71 individuals assigned to Lineages A–E. SVL: snout–vent length. HL: head length. HW: head width. TD: tympanum diameter. ED: eye diameter. SL: shank length (= tibia length). FL: foot length. TL: thigh length. HAL: hand length.

| Morphometric Ratios | PC1     | PC2     |
|---------------------|---------|---------|
| HW/HL               | -0.497  | -0.251  |
| TD/ED               | -0.412  | -0.440  |
| FL/TL               | -0.067  | 0.791   |
| TL/SVL              | 0.717   | -0.616  |
| HW/SVL              | 0.690   | -0.363  |
| FL/SVL              | 0.857   | 0.031   |
| HAL/SVL             | 0.793   | -0.192  |
| SL/FL               | -0.300  | -0.675  |
| TD/HL               | -0.523  | -0.491  |
Individuals of Lineages A, B and C presented greater relative foot length, smaller relative shank length and smaller head width than individuals of Lineage D (which included topotypes of *D. walfordi*) and E (which included topotypes of *D. nanus*). Individuals of Lineages D and E presented a morphological overlap, but the centroids of each lineage differed in position in the biplot: the centroid of Lineage E was in the lower right quadrant, and the centroid of Lineage D was in the lower left quadrant (Figure 6). These different positions of the centroids indicate some morphological differentiation between these lineages, as individuals of Lineage D presented smaller relative foot, hand and tight lengths and smaller head widths than those of Lineage E (Figure 6; Table 4). The distribution of PC1 scores for individuals of each genetic lineage (Figure 7A) evidenced the same results: a great overlap among Lineages A, B, C and E and a differentiation between individuals of Lineage D (which includes topotypes of *D. walfordi*) and Lineage E (which includes topotypes of *D. nanus*). In fact, individuals of Lineage D tended to differ morphometrically from those of all the remaining lineages. The results from ANOVAs confirmed the morphological pattern that we observed in PCA. In both analyses, with PC1 and PC2, we found no morphological difference among individuals of lineages A, B and C, but those from lineages D and E differed from each other and from the other lineages (Figure 7B,C).

4. Discussion

In all our phylogenetic inferences, the specimens assigned to the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex were grouped into five major clades (Lineages A–E), which exhibited nonoverlapping geographic distributions. Both the ABGD and bPTP analyses supported the existence of five independently evolving lineages in this complex, which coincided with the aforementioned Lineages A–E.

When analyzing the 16S gene fragment proposed by Vences et al. [61,62] and Fouquet et al. [4] as a good marker for amphibian barcoding, we found low genetic distances (1.0–1.9%) between the five lineages of the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* complex. In contrast, when we analyzed the COI fragment, most of the lineages were differentiated from each other by genetic distances higher than 6%, which is the threshold suggested by Lyra et al. [26] to distinguish valid species. Lower distances in COI were found only between Lineages A and B (4%) and between Lineages C and D (3.9). According to Lyra et al. [26], 3% divergence in the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment (which was proposed as the threshold for flagging candidate species [4]) corresponds to approximately 6% in the COI fragment. In our group of interest, the divergence values of approximately 6% observed for the COI fragment (6.7%) corresponded to 1.9% in the 16S fragment, which is much below the 3% threshold value.

Figure 7. (A). Box plot of the scores of PC1 of the nine morphometric ratios obtained from 71 individuals of each genetic lineage recognized in the DNA-based analyses. X represents the mean, the bottom and top lines represent the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, and the bottom and top dashes represent the minimum and maximum values, respectively. (B). PC1 and (C). PC2 represent the first two axes obtained in the PCA (see Materials and Methods for details). Points represent mean values, and the bars represent standard errors.
We also noticed that in the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* complex, the rate of change in the 16Sr-16Sbr fragment was lower than that observed for an extended fragment that included almost the entire 16S rRNA gene, the tRNA-val gene and part of the 12S rRNA gene. Based on this partial H1 fragment, the distances estimated between the five lineages varied from 1.7 to 3.1%, with only the distance between Lineages A and B being under 2.4% (see Table 1). These values were similar to those found by Guarnizo et al. [6] between species of the *Dendropsophus labialis* group (*D. luddeckei, D. labialis* and *D. meridensis*) using the H1 fragment, which varied from 2.2 to 2.8%. The genetic divergence inferred from DNA sequences helped Guarnizo and colleagues describe *D. luddeckei*, which is morphologically cryptic in relation to *D. labialis*, although differs from it in acoustic traits. It is worth mentioning that if only the end of the 16S gene is analyzed, the estimated genetic distance between the three valid species analyzed by Guarnizo et al. [6] varies from 1.3% to 2.5% (Table S4). Therefore, at least for the analysis of *Dendropsophus* species, it is not only the 16Sr-16Sbr fragment but also the H1 fragment that seems to be informative. Additionally, the analysis of this larger fragment showed high variability between Lineages A to E of the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* complex. The topotypes of *D. walfordi* and *D. nanus* were recovered within Lineage D and Lineage E, respectively, between which the average genetic distance for COI was high (7.2%) and that of the H1 fragment was 2.5%, which was very similar to that used by Guarnizo et al. [6] to distinguish *D. luddeckei* from *D. labialis*.

A further point to note is that the specimen from Taperinha, which is the type locality of *Dendropsophus minimus* (Ahl, 1933) [20], was recovered in Lineage D, together with topotypes of *D. walfordi*. The analyzed specimen from Taperinha may be assigned to *D. minimus* according to the original description of this species, but we have to take into account that *D. minimus* was briefly described based solely on the holotype [20] and, moreover, that the species in the *D. microcephalus* group are morphologically very similar to each other. Therefore, although we suspect that *D. walfordi* is in fact a junior synonym of *D. minimus*, further analyses of additional individuals from Taperinha are still necessary to properly assess this question.

In an attempt to better evaluate the taxonomic status of Lineages A–E, we compared all these lineages with respect to acoustic and morphological features. Such an integrative approach is particularly relevant in this case because the genetic distinctiveness among the five lineages is not high (see discussion about genetic distances above), although they support the recognition of five species (as inferred from the bPTP and ABGD tests).

The analysis of the advertisement call revealed important differences between Lineages D and E. The advertisement call of *D. walfordi* topotypes and other specimens recovered in Lineage D had notes with similar acoustic features (except for note duration and number of pulses) and similar amplitude modulation. On the other hand, the call of the remaining specimens (Lineages A, B, C and E), including the *D. nanus* topotypes, had two types of notes (notes A and B), which differed from each other in terms of amplitude modulation and other acoustic traits (e.g., note duration). The analyses of morphometric ratios showed great similarity among Lineages A, B and C and the differentiation of Lineages D and E. Interestingly, most of the variation among these groups was due to individuals of Lineage D. This is because males of Lineage D tend to have shorter thighs, foot and hand lengths than those of Lineage E and smaller relative foot lengths and greater relative shank lengths than those of Lineages A, B and C.

The advertisement call is subjected to different neutral and selective pressures that drive speciation in anurans, such as random drift and sexual selection [63,64], and has even supported the description of cryptic species (e.g., [65,66]). As such, the acoustic differences that discriminate Lineage D from the other genetic lineages were of fundamental importance to help us recognize *Dendropsophus walfordi* (Lineage D) as a valid species distinct from *D. nanus*.

Once Lineage D corresponds to *D. walfordi*, we proceeded to analyze the remaining lineages, as the simple assignment of specimens from Lineages A–C and E to *D. nanus* would render *D. nanus* paraphyletic with respect to *D. walfordi* (see Figure 1). The advertisement
call of specimens included in Lineage C, which is the sister group to Lineage D (assigned to *Dendropsophus walfordi*), was similar to that presented by the topotypes of *D. nanus* (included in Lineage E). However, the morphometric analyses distinguished Lineages D and E, and the ABGD and bPTP analyses suggested that they represent distinct species, with a high genetic distance being observed between them (2.5% for the H1 fragment and 7.2% for COI). The neighbor-joining analysis of the 16Sar-16Sbr fragment showed high similarity between Lineage C and specimens from Bolivia referred to as *Dendropsophus nanus* A by Jansen et al. [11] and Schulze et al. [12]. The Bolivian specimens referred to as *Dendropsophus cf. nanus* by those authors were clustered within our Lineage D (*D. walfordi*). Jansen et al. [11] and Schulze et al. [12] recognized differences between *D. nanus* A and *D. cf. nanus*, especially in their tadpoles and advertisement calls, which reinforced the divergence between these groups. Taking these data together, to avoid paraphyly between *D. nanus* and *D. walfordi*, we propose that Lineage C identified herein is considered an unconfirmed candidate species until further studies are performed. Our morphometric analysis agrees with this proposal, as specimens of Lineage C differ from individuals of Lineage D by presenting a narrower head and, consequently, a smaller tympanum distance, characteristics that make them more similar to individuals of Lineages A and B.

In accordance with morphometric analysis, the advertisement calls of the specimens included in Lineages A and B were also similar to those presented by the topotypes of *D. nanus* (included in Lineage E), but the taxonomic assignment of the specimens included in Lineages A and B should be considered with caution.

A remarkable finding that must be noted when considering Lineages B and E is the discontinuous genetic variation observed between specimens from Telêmaco Borba in the Brazilian state of Paraná (clustered within Lineage E) and Lençóis Paulista in the Brazilian state of São Paulo (clustered within Lineage B). Geographically, these two sites are very close, being only 266 km apart from each other. However, the genetic distance inferred from the COI fragment between specimens collected from these localities was 7% (which is above the threshold for flagging candidate species [26]). Additionally, the genetic variation within each of these lineages was very low (up to 0.7% for the H1 fragment and 1.4% for COI), although some specimens included in the same lineage came from very distant sites, with distances of up to 2090 km for Lineage B and 902 km for Lineage E.

The area that separates Lineages B and E coincides with an ancient fault zone known as the Guapiara lineament (see Figure 1). Genetic breaks coincident with the Guapiara lineament have been reported for many groups, such as the toad *Rhinella crucifer* [67], the bee *Melipona quadrifasciata* [68] and the wasps *Synoeca cyanea* and *Synoeca aff. septentrionalis* [69]. In our analyses, the split between the most recent common ancestral (MRCA) of Lineages B and A and the MRCA of Lineages C–E was estimated to have occurred ~5 mya. The Guapiara lineament may have played a role in such divergence, as this fault, despite being active mainly during the Mesozoic, had its most recent movement dated to the Quaternary (<1.6 mya) [70]. In this context, it is also noteworthy that in our phylogenetic analyses, Lineage E, which is distributed south of the Guapiara lineament, is more closely related to the clade (Lineage C, Lineage D), which is widespread in the Amazon basin, than to Lineage B, which occurs north of the Guapiara lineament. This result is consistent with previous studies that show close phylogenetic relationships between species from the Amazonian and southern Atlantic Forests and support past contact between these areas [71–73].

Another event that may have influenced the early divergence between the MRCA of Lineages B and A and the MRCA of Lineages C–E is the uplift of the central Brazil Plateau, as the last stage of this geological event was estimated to have occurred ~5 mya [74]. At last, the marine regression in South America dated to late Miocene–early Pliocene, which deeply changed the exposed continental area [75], may also have played a role in the evolution of this group. Both the uplift of the central Brazil Plateau and the marine regression of the Paranaense sea were previously invoked to explain the origin of two clades of snakes of the *Bothropsneuwiedi* group: the East–West clade, which occurs from...
midwestern to northeastern Brazil, and the West–South clade, distributed from midwestern to southeastern Brazil [76]. It is worth noting that the geographical distributions of these clades resemble, respectively, the distribution areas of Lineages A and B and Lineages C–E of the *D. nanus*–*D. walfordi* complex.

Further analyses, which should include nuclear markers, are still needed to assess the phylogeographic issues regarding the lineages in the study, but until they are available and based on the discussion above, we advocate that specimens of Lineage B should not be assigned to *D. nanus.*

4.1. Comments on Advertisement Call

Here, we have described the call of *Dendropsophus walfordi* for the first time, based on the analysis of specimens from six localities, including the type locality. Although morphological differences are subtle between these species, the advertisement call of *D. walfordi* can be clearly distinguished from that of *D. nanus,* which is composed of two distinct note types. This finding is especially important because call differentiation can cause and maintain reproductive isolation between species [63,64,77]. The pronounced differences in the advertisement calls that we found between *D. nanus* and *D. walfordi* may play a crucial role in the differentiation of these closely related species.

In the *D. microcephalus* group, monophasic calls with differences in note duration between the first and the following notes of the series, as described here for *D. walfordi,* were previously reported for *D. joannae* [78] and *D. juliani* [79]. Even though these notes are relatively similar, slight differences in temporal and spectral features of call notes are known to produce neurological responses in conspecific females [80]. Therefore, these subtle differences between notes should be investigated in further studies to help us better understand the intraspecific communication among anurans and the evolution of variation between notes in the advertisement call.

In addition to *D. nanus,* seven other species of the *D. microcephalus* group (*D. anataliasiasi* [81], *D. gryllatus* [82], *D. microcephalus* [53], *D. rhodopeplus* [83], *D. phlebodes,* *D. robertmertensi* and *D. sartori* [84]) exhibit advertisement calls composed of two note types. However, none of these seven species had differences in the modulation of the amplitude between the two types of notes, as observed in *D. nanus.*

The measurements presented here for the advertisement call of *D. nanus* are in accordance with those described by Martins and Jim [60] for a population from the Municipality of Botucatu, state of São Paulo, Brazil, and by Teixeira et al. [16] for toptotypical specimens. Basso et al. [85] examined calls from Argentinian specimens, and Márquez et al. [86] performed an analysis of specimens from Bolivia and did not recognize the two different types of notes in the call of *D. nanus.* However, our measurements of the spectral and temporal traits of the *D. nanus* call encompass those presented by these authors.

For some species of the *D. microcephalus* group, both notes of the advertisement call seem to be important for conspecific interactions. It was previously reported that males of *D. nanus,* *D. ebraccatus,* *D. microcephalus* and *D. phlebodes* emit introductory notes in response to interactions between males [87–89]. In the case of *D. nanus,* for example, the introductory notes are related to the spacing between males when in aggregations [48]. Introductory notes may also be important for attracting females. Males of *D. ebraccatus* emit these notes in response to the approach of non-calling specimens [90] and males of *D. nanus* emit notes similar to the A notes of the advertisement call in the courtship call [16]. Secondary notes can also influence interactions between males [87–89] and may be important for attracting females. For instance, females of *D. ebraccatus,* *D. microcephalus,* and *D. phlebodes* prefer complex calls composed of either introductory or aggressive notes followed by secondary notes [87–89]. However, although all these intraspecific interactions have been studied for *D. ebraccatus,* *D. microcephalus* and *D. phlebodes,* the particular function of each note of the advertisement call of *D. nanus* and other species of the *D. microcephalus* group remains unclear and should therefore be tested. Such studies are necessary because they can help us
better understand the selective forces that result in differentiation between call notes as well as the role of call divergence in the evolution of the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex.

### 4.2. Comments on Cytogenetic Data

Cytogenetic data have been very useful in studies about *Dendropsophus* since this genus was resurrected to accommodate the Neotropical hylid species known or suspected to have a diploid chromosome number $2n = 30$ [38]. This diploid chromosome number was confirmed as a synapomorphy for *Dendropsophus* [91] and the number of telocentric chromosomes has been useful for interspecific comparisons [13,92]. In some cases, classical cytogenetic techniques have revealed interesting interspecific differences (such as in the case of *D. nanus* and *D. sanborni*, which differ in the number of telocentric chromosomes [13]), although in others, the cytogenetic data do not help to distinguish between valid species (such as in the case of *D. jimii* and *D. sanborni*). Moreover, in some cases, cytogenetic differences were revealed only after the employment of molecular cytogenetic techniques, as observed for *D. seniculus* and *D. soaresi*, whose karyotypes could be differentiated by the presence of het-ITs (heterochromatic internal telomeric sequences) exclusively in the *D. soaresi* karyotype [92].

Cytogenetic information based on classical cytogenetic techniques is available in the literature for several specimens assigned to *D. nanus* or *D. walfordi* ([13] and references therein). Some of the sampled sites and even some of the specimens cytogenetically analyzed by Medeiros et al. [13] were included in our present work, which allowed us to note that cytogenetic data are available in the literature for Lineages B, D and E of the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex. No cytogenetic differences were noted among these lineages, as all the described karyotypes had 30 chromosomes, heterochromatic bands restricted to centromeric regions and NOR located in the long arm of chromosome 13 [13]. The same karyotype was found in specimens from Lineage A (data not shown). This similarity, however, does not contradict our taxonomic decisions since distinct species do not necessarily have distinct karyotypes, as already pointed out above. For the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex, only a few cytogenetic markers are available, and further molecular cytogenetic studies are still needed.

### 5. Conclusions

Five independent genetic lineages in the *D. nanus–D. walfordi* species complex (or *D. nanus–D. minimus*, if our hypothesis in the first part of the Discussion is correct) with nonoverlapping geographical distributions were recovered in our study. The advertisement call of the specimens included in Lineage E was distinguished from that of the specimens allocated to Lineage D, leading to the recognition of *D. nanus* and *D. walfordi*. In addition to these two species, up to three unnamed cryptic species are suspected to exist in the studied group.
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