Host metabolism dysregulation and cell tropism identification in human airway and alveolar organoids upon SARS-CoV-2 infection
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ABSTRACT

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by infection with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which is spread primarily via respiratory droplets and infects the lungs. Currently widely used cell lines and animals are unable to accurately mimic human physiological conditions because of the abnormal status of cell lines (transformed or cancer cells) and species differences between animals and humans. Organoids are stem cell-derived self-organized three-dimensional culture in vitro and model the physiological conditions of natural organs. Here we showed that SARS-CoV-2 infected and extensively replicated in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs)-derived lung organoids, including airway and alveolar organoids which covered the complete infection and spread route for SARS-CoV-2 within lungs. The infected cells were ciliated, club, and alveolar type 2 (AT2) cells, which were sequentially located from the proximal to the distal airway and terminal alveoli, respectively. Additionally, RNA-seq revealed early cell response to virus infection including an unexpected downregulation of the metabolic processes, especially lipid metabolism, in addition to the well-known upregulation of immune response. Further, Remdesivir and a human neutralizing antibody potently inhibited SARS-CoV-2 replication in lung organoids. Therefore, human lung organoids can serve as a pathophysiological model to investigate the underlying mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 infection and to discover and test therapeutic drugs for COVID-19.
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INTRODUCTION

The current fast-evolving coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which infects lungs and can lead to severe lung injury, multiorgan failure, and death (Li et al., 2020; Wiersinga et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). To prevent and effectively manage COVID-19, public health, clinical interventions, and basic and clinical research are all urgently required. For basic research, it is essential to establish models that can faithfully reproduce the viral life cycle and mimic the pathology of COVID-19.

Cell lines and animals are two major models for coronavirus infection in vitro and in vivo, respectively (Kaye, 2006; Song et al., 2019; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Takayama, 2020). Cell lines can be used to amplify and isolate viruses (like Vero and Vero E6 cells) (Harcourt et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020b), to investigate the viral infection (like primary human airway epithelial cells, Caco-2 and Calu-3 cells) (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020), and to evaluate therapeutic molecules (like Huh7 and Vero E6 cells) (Wang et al., 2020a). Animal models can be used to mimic tissue-specific and systemic virus-host interaction and reveal the complex pathophysiology of coronaviruses-induced diseases (Song et al., 2019). Mice, hamster, ferrets, cats, and non-human primates have been reported to model COVID-19 (Bao et al., 2020; Chandrashekar et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2020; Rockx et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2020a; Sia et al., 2020; van Doremalen et al., 2020; Williamson et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2020). These cell and animal models have greatly enriched our understanding of coronaviruses and assisted in the development of a variety of potential therapeutic drugs (Song et al., 2019). However, these models yet have obvious limitations. Species differences make animal model results unable to be effectively translated into clinical applications (Martic-Kehl et al., 2018), as well as other reported protocols (McCauley et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2017), we developed an optimized method to differentiate human airway organoids (hAWOs) and alveolar organoids (hALOs) from hESCs, which contained six stages, embryonic stem cells (ESC), definitive endoderm (DE), anterior foregut endoderm (AFE), lung progenitors (LPs), and hAWOs and hALOs (Fig. 1A and 1B). Quantitative RT-PCR revealed the expression dynamics of marker genes along differentiation (Fig. 1C). POU5F1 (ESCs), SOX17 (DE), SOX2 (ESC and lung proximal progenitors), SOX9 (lung distal progenitors), FOXA2 (lung epithelial cells), NKX2.1 (lung epithelial cells), P63 (basal cells), SCGB1A1 (club cells), MUC5AC (goblet cells) and SPC (AT2 cells) showed expected expression patterns (Fig. 1C). Human lung organoids (hLOs) at day 21 (D21) expressed lung and pan epithelial markers NKX2.1 and E-CAD, respectively (Fig. 1D). Immunofluorescent staining revealed that hAWOs contained basal cells (P63*), ciliated cells (acetylated TUBULIN, a-TUB*), club cells (CC10*), and goblet cells (MUC5AC*), as well as lung proximal progenitors (SOX2*) and proliferating cells (Ki67*) (Fig. 1E). And hALOs contained AT2 cells (SPC*) and AT1 cells (PDPN* or AQP5*) (Fig. 1F). Since ACE2 is the receptor for SARS-CoV-2 for host cell entry and TMPRSS2 is the serine protease for spike (S) protein priming (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020b), we checked their expression along the differentiation and found they were highly expressed in hAWOs and hALOs (Fig. 1G).

RESULTS

Generation of human lung airway and alveolar organoids from hESCs

Based on our previous protocol (Chen et al., 2018), as well as other reported protocols (McCauley et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2017), we developed an optimized method to differentiate human airway organoids (hAWOs) and alveolar organoids (hALOs) from hESCs, which contained six stages, embryonic stem cells (ESC), definitive endoderm (DE), anterior foregut endoderm (AFE), lung progenitors (LPs), and hAWOs and hALOs (Fig. 1A and 1B). Quantitative RT-PCR revealed the expression dynamics of marker genes along differentiation (Fig. 1C). POU5F1 (ESCs), SOX17 (DE), SOX2 (ESC and lung proximal progenitors), SOX9 (lung distal progenitors), FOXA2 (lung epithelial cells), NKX2.1 (lung epithelial cells), P63 (basal cells), SCGB1A1 (club cells), MUC5AC (goblet cells) and SPC (AT2 cells) showed expected expression patterns (Fig. 1C). Human lung organoids (hLOs) at day 21 (D21) expressed lung and pan epithelial markers NKX2.1 and E-CAD, respectively (Fig. 1D). Immunofluorescent staining revealed that hAWOs contained basal cells (P63*), ciliated cells (acetylated TUBULIN, a-TUB*), club cells (CC10*), and goblet cells (MUC5AC*), as well as lung proximal progenitors (SOX2*) and proliferating cells (Ki67*) (Fig. 1E). And hALOs contained AT2 cells (SPC*) and AT1 cells (PDPN* or AQP5*) (Fig. 1F). Since ACE2 is the receptor for SARS-CoV-2 for host cell entry and TMPRSS2 is the serine protease for spike (S) protein priming (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020b), we checked their expression along the differentiation and found they were highly expressed in hAWOs and hALOs (Fig. 1G).

SARS-CoV-2 infects human airway and alveolar organoids

To test whether SARS-CoV-2 infects human lung organoids, hAWOs and hALOs (ranging from D31 to D41) were exposed to SARS-CoV-2 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Samples were harvested at indicated time points after infection and processed for the various analyses shown in Figures 2–5. Live virus titration on Vero E6 cells and quantitative RT-PCR of viral RNA in the culture supernatant and cell lysates showed that hAWOs and hALOs were productively infected by SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2A and 2B). Viral RNA and infectious virus particles could be detected as early as 24 h post infection (hpi), increased at 48 hpi, and remained stable at 72 hpi. Compared to hALOs, hAWOs produced less
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[A diagram showing the process of generating human airway organoids (hAWOs) and human alveolar organoids (hALOs) from ESCs through various day points (Day 0 to Day 35).]

| ESCs | DE | AFE | VAFE | LPs |
|------|----|-----|------|-----|
| Day 0 | Day 3 | Day 7 | Day 14 | Day 21 |
| Activin A | Noggin | BMP4 | CHIR | 3D |
| CHIR | FGFR1 | SB431542 | FGFR1 | CHIR |
| 1.5 | 200,000 | 30,000 | 3 | 100,000 |
| DEX | 8-Br-cAMP | IBMX | KGF | DAPT |

**Images:**
- Human airway organoids (hAWOs) and human alveolar organoids (hALOs) at different day points (D0, D7, D21, D28).
- Graphs showing gene expression levels of POU5F1, SOX17, SOX2, SOX9, FOXA2, NKX2.1, P63, SCGB1A1 at different day points (D0, D3, D7, D14, D21, D41).
- Confocal images at Day 21 showing nuclear localization of NKX2.1 and E-CAD.
- Confocal images at Day 35 showing expression of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 in hAWO and hALO tissues.

**Cells:**
- hLO early stage
- hAWO
- hALO
Figure 1. Generation of human airway and alveolar organoids from hESCs. (A) Schematic of differentiation protocol and stages from hESCs to human airway organoids (hAWOs) and human alveolar organoids (hALOs). (B) Representative images at the indicated differentiation stages. Scale bar, 500 μm. (C) Fold change of lineage marker genes from day 0 (D0) to D41 over undifferentiated hESCs by quantitative RT-PCR ($2^{ΔΔCT}$), D0–D21, hLOs early stage. D21–D41, organoids split into two groups with different differentiated medium (hAWOs and hALOs), POU5F1, embryonic stem cell marker, SOX17, definitive endoderm marker, SOX2, embryonic stem cell and proximal airway cell marker, SOX9, distal alveolar progenitor cell marker, FOXA2 and NKX2.1, lung progenitor lineage marker, P63, basal cell marker, SCGB1A1 (CC10), club cell marker, MUC5AC, goblet cell marker, SPC, AT2 cell marker. Normalized to GAPDH. Bars represent mean ± SD, n = 3. (D–F) Cell lineage marker expression in human lung progenitor organoids (hLOs), human airway organoids (hAWOs), and human alveolar organoids (hALOs). Immunofluorescence images of NKX2.1 and E-Cadherin (epithelial cells) expression in D21 hLOs (D), of P63, SOX2, CC10, Ki67 (proliferation cells) and acetylated tubulin (ciliated cells), SOX9, MUC5AC, E-Cadherin protein expression in D35 hAWOs (E), and of SPC, AQP5 (AT1) and PDPN (AT1) expression in D35 hALOs (F). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar, 100 μm (left panel); 20 μm (right panel). Boxes represent zoom views. (G) Fold change of ACE2 and TMPRSS2 gene expression from D0 to D41 over undifferentiated hESCs by quantitative RT-PCR ($2^{ΔΔCT}$). Normalized to GAPDH. Bars represent mean ± SD, n = 3.

Next, we checked whether SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with proliferation status by co-immunostaining with viral N protein and Ki67 (cycling marker). We found that infected cells (NP+) contained both cycling (Ki67+) and noncycling (Ki67−) cells in hAWOs and most infected cells were cycling cells in hALOs (Fig. S3A). We then checked whether SARS-CoV-2 infection induced apoptosis by co-immunostaining with NP and cleaved Caspase3 (C-Casp3, apoptotic cell marker). No obvious cell death was observed at 24 hpi or 48 hpi, but at 72 hpi, apoptosis became prominent in both organoids, particularly more in hALOs (Fig. S3B–D).

SARS-CoV-2 infects ciliated, club, and alveolar type 2 cells

To determine the cell tropism of SARS-CoV-2, we co-stained each cell lineage marker with viral N protein (NP) and the virus receptor ACE2. Microscopy analyses revealed that ciliated cells (α-TUB*) and alveolar type 2 cells (Pro-SPC*) were the major target cells (Figs. 3A, 3B, and S1), which was consistent with the previous report (Hou et al., 2020). In addition, a subpopulation of club cells (CC10+) could be infected (Fig. 3A). In hAWOs, about 90%–95% infected cells were ciliated cells and about 5%–10% were club cells, and no basal (P63+) or goblet cells (MUC5AC+) were found infected (Fig. 3C). In hALOs, 100% infected cells were AT2 cells and no AT1 cells (PDPN+) were found infected (Fig. 3C). We also measured the percentages of infected cells within ciliated cells and AT2 cells. About 26% ± 3.6% at 24 hpi and 64.5% ± 9.8% at 72 hpi of ciliated cells were infected, and the percentages of infected AT2 cells remained stable at about 30%–40% from 24 hpi to 72 hpi (Fig. 3D and 3E). The distinct infection dynamics of ciliated cells and AT2 cells indicated that more and more ciliated cells could be infected by SARS-CoV-2 during a prolonged infection period and even all the ciliated cells could be finally infected when given long enough infection time. On the contrary, only a subpopulation of AT2 cells (about 30%–40%) was sensitive for viral infection although they could be quickly infected (within 24 hpi). The identity of the SARS-CoV-2 sensitive AT2 cell subpopulation and why other AT2 cells could not be infected need further investigation.

We noted that the infected cells expressed ACE2 but not all ACE2 expressing cells were infected. TMPRSS2 is another known factor that determines SARS-CoV-2 cell entry (Hoffmann et al., 2020), and therefore we checked the expression pattern of TMPRSS2 in human lung organoids. Immunostaining analyses showed that TMPRSS2 was ubiquitously expressed in both hAWOs and hALOs, which was contrary to the restricted expression pattern of ACE2 (Fig. S2). Therefore, these data imply that ACE2 is required for SARS-CoV-2 infection in human lung organoids as well as that host factors other than TMPRSS2 might facilitate SARS-CoV-2 cell entry and infectivity, like Neurexin-1 and other unidentified factors (Cantuti-Castelvetri et al., 2020; Daly et al., 2020).

Next, we checked whether SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with proliferation status by co-immunostaining with viral N protein and Ki67 (cycling marker). We found that infected cells (NP+) contained both cycling (Ki67+) and noncycling (Ki67−) cells in hAWOs and most infected cells were cycling cells in hALOs (Fig. S3A). We then checked whether SARS-CoV-2 infection induced apoptosis by co-immunostaining with NP and cleaved Caspase3 (C-Casp3, apoptotic cell marker). No obvious cell death was observed at 24 hpi or 48 hpi, but at 72 hpi, apoptosis became prominent in both organoids, particularly more in hALOs (Fig. S3B–D).

Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 replication in human lung organoids

To confirm the viral replication, the ultrastructures of infected hAWOs and hALOs were analyzed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at 72 hpi or 96 hpi. Part of hAWOs and hALOs in one mesh of the grids were shown in Fig. 4A and 4E, and viral particles were found in cells of both organoids.
Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 replicates in human airway and alveolar organoids. (A and B) The viral RNA and virus titer in the culture supernatant and relative intracellular viral RNA in cell lysates in hAWOs (A) and hALOs (B) were detected at indicated time points post infection. (C) Immunofluorescence images of viral nucleoprotein (green) and epithelial marker E-cadherin (red) expression with DNA stain (DAPI, blue) in SARS-CoV-2 infected hAWOs and hALOs. Scale bar, 100 μm (left panel); 20 μm (right panel). Boxes represent zoom views. (D and F) Percentage of hAWOs (D) and hALOs (F) harboring SARS-CoV2 infected cells at different time points. At least 30 different organoids were counted per condition. (E and G) Percentage of infected cells per infected hAWOs (E) and hALOs (G). At least 10 organoids were counted in (E) and at least 20 organoids in (G). ***P < 0.001, by one-way ANOVA analysis.
In human airway organoids (hAWOs) (Fig. 3), we observed that virus particles were found in double membrane vesicles (DMVs) and convoluted membranes (CMs) with spherules that were typical coronavirus replication organelles (van Hemert et al., 2008; Hilgenfeld and Peiris, 2013), which were observed in the lung organoids (Fig. 4K). Viral particles in cells were seen in membrane bound vesicles, either as single particles or as groups in enlarged vesicles (Fig. 4L). Enveloped viruses were observed in the lumen of Golgi apparatus and secretory vesicles (Fig. 4M and 4N), which was consistent with previous reports that coronaviruses assembled and matured at the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and the mature virions were transported to the cell surface and released from the host cells via exocytosis (Hilgenfeld and Peiris, 2013; Fehr and Perman, 2015).

Therefore, TEM analyses captured three critical phases of SARS-CoV-2 life cycle: replication, assembly and release. Interestingly, we found virus particles within lamellar bodies (Fig. 4O), the typical organelles in AT2 cells, which are essential for pulmonary surfactant synthesis and secretion (Schmitz and Muller, 1991). Does SARS-CoV-2 hijack lamellar bodies for virus release? Or does SARS-CoV-2 impair the function of lamellar bodies and then the homeostasis of pulmonary surfactant in the alveoli? These questions remain open for further investigation. Additionally, vesicles full of dense virus particles were routinely observed (Fig. 4B, 4G and 4N). Besides, virus particles were found in late endosomes with engulfed cell debris (Fig. 4P and 4Q). And more dying cells and engulfed cell debris were observed in hALOs than in hAWOs (Fig. 4R). The TEM data (Fig. 4P–R), as well as the C-Caspase3 immunostaining data (Fig. S3B–D), indicated that the pathological changes of alveoli and bronchioles after SARS-CoV-2 infection were different.

Early cell response to SARS-CoV-2 infection

To determine the early cell response to SARS-CoV-2 infection, we performed RNA-sequencing analysis using hAWOs and hALOs at 48 hpi. Abundant SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA was detected solely in the infected organoids (Fig. 5A). Principle component analysis (PCA) showed that the samples formed four separate clusters according to organoid type and virus infection (Fig. 5B). In total, 1,679 differential expressed genes were identified that 718 genes upregulated and 961 genes downregulated in hAWOs, and 719 genes differential expressed in hALOs with 334 upregulated and 385 downregulated (Fig. 5C). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that most downregulated genes were associated with cell metabolism, especially lipid metabolism, while upregulated genes were associated with immune response (Fig. 5D).

Several cytokines and chemokines, including interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), CXCL8, CXCL10, CXCL11, as well as NF-kB related mRNA NFKB1, NFKB2 and RELB, interferon-stimulated genes ATF3, GEM, IFITM3 and MX1 were upregulated, consistent with previous observation in COVID-19 patients (Huang et al., 2020; Suzuki et al., 2020; Wilk et al., 2020) (Fig. 5A). Of note, TNF is a well-known inducer for virus-induced cell death (Zhou et al., 2017) and the increased mRNA expression level of TNF at 48 hpi might contribute to cell death at 72 hpi (Fig. S3B–D).

Fatty acid-binding proteins (FABPs) bind and transfer lipid between intracellular and extracellular membranes, and thus regulate fatty acid import, storage and export as well as phospholipid and cholesterol metabolism (Furushashi and Hotamisligil, 2008; Hotamisligil and Bernlohr, 2015). Apolipoproteins (Apo) are structural components of lipoprotein particles and guide lipoprotein formation, function as ligands for lipoprotein receptors, and act as cofactors for enzymes involved in lipoprotein metabolism (Feingold and Grunfeld, 2000). The solute carrier (SLC) group of membrane transport proteins form a huge family and different subfamilies serve unique functions. For example, SLC25A20 transports the fatty acids carnitine and acylcarnitine across the mitochondrial inner membrane (Ruprecht and Kunji, 2020), SLC27 subfamily members mediate the uptake and activation of long chain fatty acids (LCFA) (Anderson and Stahl, 2013), and SLC51A and SLC51B form a heterodimer to export or uptake bile acids and steroids (Dawson et al., 2010). Members of all the three families play essential roles in lipid metabolism and were found downregulated in SARS-CoV-2 infected human airway and alveolar organoids, including FABP1/2/6, APOA1/4, APOB, APOC4, SLC25A20, SLC27A2/6, and SLC51A/B (Fig. 5E). Triacylglycerols (TAG) represent the predominant form of storage and transport of lipids.
fatty acids within cells and in the plasma. Monoacylglycerol acyltransferases (MOGATs), lipin phosphatidic acid phosphatases (LIPINs), and diacylglycerol acyltransferases (DGATs) are key enzymes in TAG biosynthesis (Wang et al., 2017), and all of the three types of enzymes were downregulated upon infection, including MOGAT1/2/3, LPIN3, and DGAT1/2 (Fig. S4B). Acting as transcription factors, nuclear receptors integrate hormonal and nutritional signals and orchestrate cellular metabolism. The liver X receptor α (LXRα, also known as NR1H3) and the farnesoid X receptor (FXR, also known as NR1H4) interact with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) and play essential roles in fatty acid, cholesterol, sterol, bile acid and glucose metabolism (Calkin and Tontonoz, 2012), and both were observed downregulated upon infection (Fig. 5F). In addition, metabolic reaction enrichment analysis (MaREA) using the MaREA4Galaxy tool can generate a metabolic atlas (Damiani et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 infection downregulated urea cycle in airway organoids and downregulated folate metabolism, glutamine metabolism and urea cycle in alveolar organoids (Fig. S4D and S4E).

ACE2 is the receptor for SARS-CoV-2 cell entry. We found that the mRNA expression level of ACE2 was downregulated at 48 h after SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. S4C). Since most infected cells were viable at 48 hpi (Fig. S3B–D), the downregulation of ACE2 mRNA was not a secondary effect of cell death but a direct effect of virus infection. Spike (S) proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 have been reported to induce shedding of ACE2 by TMPRSS2 or ADAM17, which is believed to be a crucial mechanism for virus-induced pathogenesis (Kuba et al., 2005; Glowacka et al., 2010; Heurich et al., 2014; Banu et al., 2020; Vaduganathan et al., 2020; Verdecchia et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020). Therefore, we believe that SARS-CoV-2 infection might decrease the expression of ACE2 at both protein and mRNA levels. However, the mechanisms of mRNA downregulation remain open for further investigation. In addition, we found that the expression of TMPRSS2 was also slightly downregulated after SARS-CoV-2 infection at a much less extent than ACE2 (Fig. S4C).

Drug discovery using human lung organoids

Finally, we tested the inhibitory effect of small molecules and neutralizing antibodies on the infection of human lung organoids by SARS-CoV-2. Remdesivir is a nucleotide analogue prodrug to inhibit viral replication (Eastman et al., 2020), which has been reported to repress SARS-CoV-2 infection in basic research and clinical trials (Beigel et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a; Wang et al., 2020b). Camostat is an inhibitor of the serine protease TMPRSS2 that cleaves SARS-CoV-2 S protein and facilitates viral entry (Hoffmann et al., 2020). Bestatin is an inhibitor of CD13 (Aminopeptidase N/APN) (Jia et al., 2010), a receptor utilized by many α-coronaviruses (SARS-CoV-2 belongs to β-coronaviruses) (Fehr and Perlman, 2015). As shown in Fig. 6A, remdesivir reduced the production of infectious viral particles in hAWOs and hALOs, and camostat showed a slightly inhibitory effect in hAWOs not in hALOs, while bestatin had no effects in either hAWOs or hALOs. Quantitative RT-PCR analyses of supernatant viral RNA also demonstrated that remdesivir inhibited viral load (Fig. S5A). Whole-mount immunostaining assay also revealed the inhibitory effect of remdesivir (Fig. S5B). Dose-dependent assay further confirmed the repressive effect of remdesivir on virus titer (Fig. 6B). To quantify the efficacy of remdesivir, the inhibitory effect and the cytocidal effect was determined in virus titer assay and viable cell counting assay, respectively. The results showed that the half-maximal effective concentration (EC50) was 0.81 μmol/L, halfcytotoxic concentration (CC50) was 9.94 μmol/L, and selectivity index (SI) was 12.27 in airway organoids, and EC50 was less than 0.1 μmol/L, CC50 was 15.82 μmol/L, and SI was more than 158.2 in alveolar organoids (Fig. 6C).

Neutralizing antibodies are promising molecules to protect against SARS-CoV-2 and treat COVID-19. Therefore, we tested the inhibitory effect of a neutralizing antibody CB6 on virus infection in our human lung organoids. CB6 has been reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection in rhesus monkeys (Shi et al., 2020b). Similarly, CB6 significantly repressed the production of infectious viral particles in human lung organoids (Fig. 6D), and whole-mount immunostaining confirmed the protection effect (Fig. 6E). In summary, all of the above results demonstrated that human lung organoids could serve as a platform to discover and test therapeutic drugs for COVID-19.
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regulating sterol, fatty acid and glucose metabolism.

DISCUSSION

In our study, both airway and alveolar organoids were developed and investigated, likely covering the complete infection and spread route for SARS-CoV-2 within lungs. Using these human lung organoids, we identified the viral cell tropism, investigated early cell response to viral infection, and demonstrated human lung organoids as a platform for anti-viral therapeutic drugs discovery.

As to viral cell tropism, club cells are identified as a new type of SARS-CoV-2 target cells. Ciliated and AT2 cells are previously reported target cells and are also confirmed in this study. As we know, the lower respiratory tract starts with trachea, extends to bronchi and bronchioles, and terminates in alveoli. From the proximal to distal airway, the number of ciliated cells decreases and the number of club cells increases (Rock et al., 2010; Bustamante-Marín and Ostrowski, 2017). And AT2 cells reside in alveoli. Therefore, our finding strongly suggests a potential model for virus transmission that SARS-CoV-2 sequentially infects ciliated, club and AT2 cells along the upper airway down to alveoli.

More importantly, we discovered that the metabolic processes were significantly downregulated upon SARS-CoV-2 infection. Generally, most viruses examined to date promote aerobic glycolysis, nucleotide and lipid synthesis as well as glutaminolysis, which is believed to provide specific substrates and energy for virus replication and virus particles assembly (Sanchez and Lagunoff, 2015; Thaker et al., 2019). More and more evidence also indicate that each virus species can reprogram unique metabolism pathways. For example, lipid synthesis is generally increased in most viruses infected cells, but several phospholipid species and lipid classes (e.g., sphingomyelin, TAG) are decreased in hepatitis C virus (HCV) infected cells (Diamond et al., 2010; Heaton and Randall, 2011; Ketter and Randall, 2019). It is worth noting that a single virus can induce different metabolic changes in different host cell types. For example, glucose uptake is induced in HIV-1 infected CD4+ T cells, whereas it is substantially reduced in infected macrophages (Hollenbaugh et al., 2011). This observation strongly demonstrates that the reprogramming of specific metabolic pathways is cell type dependent and further highlights the importance of the infected cell type tested for metabolic investigation. This phenomenon also reminds us that most virus-associated metabolomics studies are done in immortalized tumor cells and by chance the significantly altered metabolic pathways upon virus infection, including glycolysis, fatty acid synthesis and glutaminolysis, are often similarly activated in many cancer cells (Kroemer and Pouyssegur, 2008). Therefore, it is pivotal to use normal or primary cells to explore virus-induced metabolism reprogramming in order to reach more physiopathological relevant conclusions. It is known that metabolism in vivo is quite different from that found in vitro in cell cultures. A current report shows that diacylglycerols (DAG) is reduced in COVID-19 patients’ plasma (Song et al., 2020), which is consistent with our results that the key enzymes MOGAT1/2/3 for DAG synthesis are downregulated (Fig. S4B). In this scenario, our human lung organoids can serve as a better platform than currently used tumor cells to investigate cellular metabolism. In this study, we discover a downregulation of cellular metabolism upon SARS-CoV-2 infection, which is a unique feature compared to most tested viruses. However, more questions remain open, such as how SARS-CoV-2 regulate cellular metabolism and how the decreased metabolism regulates SARS-CoV-2 replication, assembly, egress and even pathogenesis.

It has been reported that Spike (S) proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 induce shedding of ACE2 via TMPRSS2 or ADAM17, which is a crucial mechanism for virus-induced pathogenesis (Kuba et al., 2005; Glowacka et al., 2010; Heurich et al., 2014; Banu et al., 2020; Vaduganathan et al., 2020; Verdecchia et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020). In the current study, the mRNA expression level of ACE2 was also found significantly decreased after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Thus, SARS-CoV-2 is able to downregulate its receptor ACE2 via multiple mechanisms. Full dissection of these mechanisms might reveal more information about viral pathogenesis as well as identify potential therapeutic targets to treat COVID-19.

We noted that remdesivir reduced viral load to about 1/10 but reduced infectious virus titer to less than 1/1000. Similar phenomena, with potent inhibitory effect on virus titer and much less effect on viral load, have been reported in remdesivir-treated rhesus macaques with SARS-CoV-2 infection (Williamson et al., 2020). An explanation for the phenomena might be that virus particles with RNA containing the remdesivir-metabolized adenine analogue are defective for infection, in addition to the known mechanism that remdesivir induces delayed chain termination (Eastman et al., 2020).
In summary, we demonstrated that hESCs-derived lung organoids could serve as a pathophysiological model to investigate the underlying mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 infection and to discover and test therapeutic drugs for COVID-19.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Maintenance of human ESCs

All experiments in the present study were performed on H9 human embryonic stem cells (hESCs). hESCs were maintained in feeder-free culture conditions in 6-well tissue culture dishes on Matrigel (BD Biosciences, 354277) in mTeSR1 medium (Stem Cell Technologies, 05850) at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Cells were passaged with TrypLE (Gibco) at 1:6 to 1:8 split ratios every 4 days.

Figure 6. Remdesivir and a human neutralizing antibody inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication in lung organoids. (A) hAWOs and hALOs were infected with SARS-CoV-2, the indicated compounds were added into the culture media 2 h after infection. 48 h later, the virus titers were determined by plaque assay with Vero E6 cells. * P < 0.05, by one-way ANOVA analysis. (B) Virus infected hAWOs and hALOs were treated with remdesivir at indicated concentrations for 48 h. The virus titers were determined by plaque assay. ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001, by one-way ANOVA analysis. (C) Inhibition and toxicity curves of remdesivir in hAWOs and hALOs. Inhibition and cytotoxicity of remdesivir was quantified by viral titers and viable cell counting, respectively. The left and right Y-axis of these graphs represent mean survival of the cells and inhibition of virus titers, respectively. Bars represent mean ± SD, n = 3. (D and E) hAWOs and hALOs were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at the present of a human neutralizing antibody CB6 or isotype IgG, and virus titers were detected at 48 hpi. ** P < 0.01, by unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t test (D). Whole-mount staining of hAWOs and hALOs. Nucleoprotein (NP) was stained to visualize infected cells. The XZ and YZ planes of the horizontal and vertical cut view of Z-stack images are shown at the bottom and right, respectively (E). Scale bars: 100 µm.

Generation of hESCs derived hAWO and hALO

hESCs derived hAWOs and hALOs were generated as previously described with modifications (McCauley et al., 2017; Yamamoto et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). H9 cells (~90% confluence) were cultured in 24-well tissue dishes for 3 days in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 100 ng/ml Activin A (R&D Systems, 338-AC-050) and 2 µmol/L CHIR99021 (Tocris, 4423-10MG), followed by 4 days with 200 ng/mL Noggin (R&D Systems, 6057-NG-100),...
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Quantitative RT-PCR
Total RNA was extracted using the Trizol reagent (MRC, TR1187) and cDNA was converted from 1 μg total RNA using the ReversTrAAce Kit (TOYOBO, 34520B1). The qPCR reactions were done on Roche LightCycler® 96 PCR system with the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ Kit (TAKARA, RR420A). Gene expression levels were normalized by GAPDH and compared to gene expression levels in hESCs. Three or more biological replicates were performed for each assay and data bars represent mean ± SD. Primers used in this study are listed in Table S1.

SARS-CoV-2 infection, drug test, and virus titers determination
SARS-CoV-2 (WIV04) (Zhou et al., 2020b) was propagated 7 times on Vero E6 cells in DMEM (C12430500BT) with 2% FBS (Gibco, 10099-141) at 37 °C with 5% CO2. The SARS-CoV-2 isolate was then titrated and plated on hAWOs on Vero E6 cells. Human airway and alveolar organoids were harvested, sheared and resuspended in Ham's F12 medium (Gibco, 21127022) and infected with virus at multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. After 2 h of infection, the virus adsorption at 37 °C in the incubator, cultures were washed twice with Ham's F12 medium to remove unbound viruses. hAWOs and hALOs were re-embedded into Matrigel (BD Biosciences, 356237) in 24-well tissue plates, and cultured in 500 μL corresponding organoid media, respectively. In drug testing experiments, different drugs at concentration of 10 μmol/L were added to the culture 2 h after virus infection. As for effective drug-remdesivir, hAWOs and hALOs were treated with different doses, which were mentioned as above. Samples were harvested at indicated time points by collecting the supernatant in the wells and the cells via resuspending the matrigel droplet containing organoids into 500 μL Ham's F12 medium. The viral RNA in the supernatants was extracted by Magnetic Beads Virus RNA Extraction Kit (Shanghai Finegene Biotech, FG438). The intracellular RNA was extracted with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026). The viral RNA was quantified by real-time qPCR with Taqman probe targeting the RdB region of S gene. Viral titers (TCID50 equivalents per mL) were determined by plaque assay on Vero E6 cells.

Evaluation of antiviral activities of the remdesivir and neutralizing antibodies
To evaluate the antiviral efficacy of remdesivir and neutralizing antibody CB6, hAWOs and hALOs were pre-infected with SARS-CoV-2 (MOI of 1) for 2 h, and the virus-drug or virus-neutralizing antibody mixtures were subsequently added to the culture for 48 h. The cell supernatants were collected and viral titers (TCID50 equivalents per mL) were determined by plaque assay on Vero E6 cells. The cytotoxicity of remdesivir to organoids was determined by viable cell counting. Organoids were harvested by incubation with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco, 25200072) for 30 min at 37 °C until single cell suspension was achieved. 20 μL samples were mixed with 20 μL 0.4% trypan blue (Sigma, T8154) by gently pipetting, and then 20 μL of the mixtures were loaded into chamber of the hemocytometers. Counts were performed by triplicate according to the standard methodology.

RNA-seq sequencing and data analysis
Total RNA in the cells was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, 15596026) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, and 1 μg RNA was used to reverse transcribed into cDNA using Oligo (dT). Fragmented RNA (average length approximately 200 bp) was subjected to first strand and second strand cDNA synthesis followed by adaptor ligation and enrichment with a low-cycle according to the instructions of NEBNext UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, USA). The purified library products were evaluated using the Agilent 2200 TapeStation and Qubit 2.0 (Life Technologies, USA).

Reads were aligned to the human reference genome hg38 with bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011) was used to quantify the reads mapped to each gene. Gene expression was normalized by EDASEQ (Risso et al., 2011). Differentially expressed genes were obtained using DESeq2 (version 1.10.1) (Love et al., 2014), a cutoff of Q-value < 0.05 and log2 (fold-change) > 1 was used for identify differentially expressed genes. All differentially expressed mRNAs were selected for GO analyses cluster Profiler (Yu et al., 2012). Other analysis was performed using gbase (Hutchins et al., 2014). The RNA-seq supporting this study is available at GEO under GSE155717.

Immunofluorescence staining
For immunofluorescence staining, samples were transferred into 1.5 mL tubes and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C or 2 h. Following fixation, paraformaldehyde was removed and the organoids were rinsed three times with PBS, then the samples were overlaid with O.C.T compound and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The
frozen samples were cryosectioned into 6 μm sections, washed with PBS three times and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 (Sigma, T9284) PBS for 20 min at RT, rinsed again with PBS and then blocked with 5% BSA at RT for 1 h. The samples were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, and then stained with secondary antibodies at RT for 40 min. Nuclear counterstained with DAPI (Sigma, D9542) for 3 min, then covered with glass microscope slides and imaged with the Nikon A1 confocal microscope. NIS-Elements software was used to render Z-stack three-dimensional images. The primary and secondary antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S2.

**Whole-mount immunofluorescence**

For whole-mount immunofluorescence staining, Cell Recovery Solution (Corning, 354253) was used to isolate organoids from matrigel. Samples were transferred into the glass bottom microwell dishes (Corning, P35G-0-20-C), fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C or 2 h at RT, washed with PBS three times, permeabilized and blocked with 0.2% Triton X-100 and 5% BSA in PBS at RT for 1 h. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4 °C, and then stained with secondary antibodies at RT for 45 min. Nuclear counterstained with DAPI for 5 min. Organoids were mounted and imaged using the Nikon A1 confocal microscope. The images were processed using NIS-Elements software for the 3D reconstruction. The primary and secondary antibodies used are listed in Table S2.

**Transmission electron microscopy**

Organoids were collected and fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 24 h, washed with 0.1 mol/L Phosphate buffer (19 mL 0.2 mol/L NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 81 mL 0.2 mol/L Na$_2$HPO$_4$) for 3 times, and further fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 2 h at room temperature. The fixed organoids were then washed with phosphate buffer and dehydrated with 30%, 50%, 70%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, and 100% alcohol sequentially. After a step of infiltration with different mixtures of acetone–epon (2:1, 1:1, v/v), the samples were embedded in pure Epon. Polymerization was performed by incubation at 60 °C for 48 h. Ultrathin sections (80–100 nm) were cut on Ultramicrotome (Leica EM UC7), put on grids and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. After wash and drying, images were acquired by the digital camera on TEM (FEI, Tecnai G2 20 TWIN, 200 kv), with identical magnificence.

**Experimental replicates and statistical analysis**

Error bars in these figures indicate S.D. (for qRT-PCR) and S.E.M (for other assays). Unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t tests were used for comparisons between two groups of n = 3 or more samples. P < 0.05 was defined as statistical significance. Immunofluorescence (IF) imaging were done on Z-stacks acquired with confocal microscope at least three (n = 3) independent biological samples or more. The co-localization of quantitative analysis of specific immunofluorescence marker was shown in figure legends. All of the statistical analyses in this study were done with GraphPad Prism 8 software.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank Prof. Mengfeng Li from Southern Medical University and Bo Li from Sun Yat-sen University for helpful discussion. We are particularly grateful to Tao Du, Lun Wang and the running team from Zhengdian Biosafety Level 3 Laboratory, to Pei Zhang and Anna Du from the core facility of Wuhan Institute of Virology for technical support for TEM experiment. We thank Prof. Zhengli Shi from Wuhan Institute of Virology for providing the rabbit antibody against viral N protein. We thank Prof. Jinghua Yan from Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing CB6 antibody. This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 82070002, 82072329, 81872511, and 81670093), Frontier Research Program of Bioland Laboratory (Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory) (2018GZR110105005), National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10301101), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2018A030313455), the Program of Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong Province (2014B020212018), National Key Research and Development Project (2018YFA0507201), the special project for COVID-19 of Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory (2020GZR110106006), the emergency grants for prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 of Guangdong province (2020B111108001) and National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talent (BX20190089).

**ABBREVIATIONS**

AFE, anterior foregut endoderm; Apo, apolipoproteins; AT2, alveolar type 2; CC$_{50}$, halfcytotoxic concentration; CMs, convoluted membranes; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; DE, definitive endoderm; DGATs, diacylglycerol acyltransferases; DMVs, double membrane vesicles; E$_{C50}$, half-maximal effective concentration; ERGIC, endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi intermediate compartment; ESCs, embryonic stem cells; FABPs, fatty acid-binding proteins; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; hAWOs, human airway organoids; hALOs, human alveolar organoids; hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hL0s, human lung organoids; LIPINs, lipin phosphatidic acid phosphatases; LPs, lung progenitors; LXRα, liver X receptor α; MaREA, metabolic reaction enrichment analysis; MOGATs, Monoacylglycerol acyltransferases; MOI, multiplicity of infection; NP, viral nucleocapsid protein; RXR, retinoid X receptor; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; SLC, the solute carrier; TAG, triacylglycerols; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; VAFE, ventralized anterior foregut endoderm

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

X.C., Z.R., and J.C. initiated, designed and supervised this study; R. P. performed virus infection, viral titer determination, TEM, and drug test experiments; J.F. generated lung organoids and performed immunostaining experiments; X.Y. performed RNA-seq experiment; J.H. analyzed RNA-seq data; Y.Z. and H.S. helped R.P. for virus infection experiments in P3 laboratory; L.L. helped J.F. for immunostaining experiments; S.X. cultured Vero E6 cells; J.X. extracted RNA...
and performed qRT-PCR experiments; K.W. and H.Z. provided several antibodies for viral N protein; Z.R., J.F., Y.L., R.P., J.C., and X.C. wrote the manuscript.

**COMPLIANCE WITH ETHICS GUIDELINES**

Rongjuan Pei, Jianqi Feng, Yecheng Zhang, Hao Sun, Lian Li, Xuejie Yang, Jiangping He, Shuqi Xiao, Jin Xiong, Ying Lin, Kun Wen, Hongwei Zhou, Jiekai Chen, Zhihi Rong, and Xinwen Chen declare that they have no conflict of interest. This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by the any of the authors.

**OPEN ACCESS**

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

**REFERENCES**

Anderson CM, Stahl A (2013) SLC27 fatty acid transport proteins. Mol Aspects Med 34:516–528

Banu N, Panikar SS, Leal LR, Leal AR (2020) Protective role of ACE2 and its downregulation in SARS-CoV-2 infection leading to macrophage activation syndrome: therapeutic implications. Life Sci 256:117905

Bao L, Deng W, Huang B, Gao H, Liu J, Ren L, Wei Q, Yu P, Xu Y, Qi F et al (2020) The pathogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 in hACE2 transgenic mice. Nature 583:830–833

Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodde LE, Mchta AK, Zingman BS, Kalil AC, Hohmann E, Chu HY, Luetkemeyer A, Kline S et al (2020) Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19—preliminary report. N Engl J Med.

Bustamante-Marin XM, Ostrowski LE (2017) Cilia and mucociliary clearance. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 9:a028241

Cairns RA, Harris IS, Mak TW (2011) Regulation of cancer cell metabolism. Nat Rev Cancer 11:85–95

Calkin AC, Tontonoz P (2012) Transcriptional integration of metabolism by the nuclear sterol-activated receptors LXR and FXR. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 13:213–224

Cantù-Castelvetri L, Ojha R, Pedro LD, Djannatian M, Franz J, Kuivinen S, van der Meer F, Kallio K, Kaya T, Anastasina M et al (2020) Neurophilin-1 facilitates SARS-CoV-2 cell entry and infectivity. Science 370:6518

Chandrashkar A, Liu J, Martinot AJ, McMahan K, Mercado NB, Peter L, Tostanoski LH, Yu J, Maliga Z, Nekorchuk M et al (2020) SARS-CoV-2 infection protects against rechallenge in rhesus macaques. Science 369:812–817

Chen Y, Feng J, Zhao S, Han L, Yang H, Lin Y, Rong Z (2018) Long-term engraftment promotes differentiation of alveolar epithelial cells from human embryonic stem cell derived lung organoids. Stem Cells Dev 27:1339–1349

Clevers H (2016) Modeling development and disease with organoids. Cell 165:1586–1597

Daly JL, Simonetti B, Pliagarou CA, Williamson MK, Shoemark DK, Simon-Gracia L, Klein K, Bauer M, Hollandi R, Greber UF et al (2020) Neurophilin-1 is a host factor for SARS-CoV-2 infection. Science

Damiani C, Rovida L, Maspero D, Sala I, Rosato L, Di Filippo M, Pescini D, Graudenz A, Antoniotti M, Mauri G (2020) MaREAA4-Galaxy: metabolic reaction enrichment analysis and visualization of RNA-seq data within Galaxy. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 18:993–999

Dawson PA, Hubbert ML, Rao A (2010) Getting the mOST from OST: role of organic solute transporter, OSTalpha-OSTbeta, in bile acid and steroid metabolism. Biochim Biophys Acta 1801:994–1004

Diamond DL, Syder AJ, Jacobs JM, Sorensen CM, Walters KA, Proll SC, McDermott JE, Gritsenko MA, Zhang Q, Zhao R et al (2010) Temporal proteome and lipidome profiles reveal hepatitis C virus-associated reprogramming of hepatocellular metabolism and bioenergetics. PLoS Pathog 6:e1000719

Dutta D, Clevers H (2017) Organoid culture systems to study host-pathogen interactions. Curr Opin Immunol 48:15–22

Eastman RT, Roth JS, Brimacombe KR, Simeonov A, Shen M, Patnaik S, Hall MD (2020) Remdesivir: a review of its discovery and development leading to emergency use authorization for treatment of COVID-19. ACS Cent Sci 6:672–683

Fehr AR, Perlman S (2015) coronaviruses: an overview of their replication and pathogenesis. Methods Mol Biol 1282:1–23

Feingold KR, Grunfeld C (2000) Introduction to lipids and lipoproteins. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Boyce A, Chrousos G, de Andrade M et al (eds) Endotext. MDText.com, South Dartmouth

Furuhashi M, Hotamisligil GS (2008) Fatty acid-binding proteins: role in metabolic diseases and potential as drug targets. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 9:224–234

Glowacka I, Bertram S, Herzog P, Pfefferle S, Steffen I, Muench MO, Heaton NS, Randall G (2011) Multifaceted roles for lipids in viral infection. Trends Microbiol 19:368–375

Heurich A, Hofmann-Winkler H, Gieren S, Liepold T, Jahn O, Pohlmann S (2014) TMPRSS2 and ADAM17 cleave ACE2 differentially and only proteolysis by TMPRSS2 augments entry
driven by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein. J Virol 88:1293–1307
Hilgenfeld R, Peiris M (2013) From SARS to MERS: 10 years of research on highly pathogenic human coronaviruses. Antiviral Res 100:286–295
Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A et al (2020) SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. Cell 181 (271–280):e278
Hollenbaugh JA, Munger J, Kim B (2011) Metabolite profiles of human immunodeficiency virus infected CD4+ T cells and macrophages using LC-MS/MS analysis. Virology 415:153–159
Hotamisligil GS, Bernlohr DA (2015) Metabolic functions of FABPs—mechanisms and therapeutic implications. Nat Rev Endocrinol 11:592–605
Hou YXJ, Okuda K, Edwards CE, Martinez DR, Asakura T, Dinnon KH, Kato T, Lee RE, Yount BL, Masencik TM et al (2020) SARS-CoV-2 reverse genetics reveals a variable infection gradient in the respiratory tract. Cell 182:429
Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X et al (2020) Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. The Lancet 395:497–506
Hutcheson AP, Jauch R, Dyla M, Miranda-Saavedra D (2014) glbase: a framework for combining, analyzing and displaying heterogenous genomic and high-throughput sequencing data. Cell Regen (Lond) 3:1
Jiang RD, Liu MQ, Chen Y, Shan C, Zhou YW, Shen XR, Li Q, Zhang Y, Chen X, Xu J, Gu X et al (2020) Comparative proteomic phenotyping of cell lines and primary cell cultures: transformative new tools for human virus studies. Curr Opin Virol 86:1105–1114
Jia MR, Wei T, Xu WF (2010) The analgesic activity of bestatin as a potent APN inhibitor. Front Neurosci 4:50
Kaye M (2006) SARS-associated coronavirus replication in cell lines. Emerg Infect Dis 12:128–133
Ketter E, Randall G (2019) Virus impact on lipids and membranes. Annu Rev Virol 6:319–340
Kim JW, Lee MJ, Kim YS, Kim KN, Lee NJ, Kim MS, Woo SH, Park S, Kim JW, Kim HM, Man HG (2020) Identification of Coronavirus Isolated from a Patient in Korea with COVID-19. Osong Public Health Res Perspect 11:3–7
Koermer G, Pouysssegur J (2008) Tumor cell metabolism: cancer’s Achilles’ heel. Cancer Cell 13:472–482
Kuba K, Imai Y, Rao S, Gao H, Guo F, Guan B, Huan Y, Yang P, Zhang Y, Deng W et al (2005) A crucial role of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in SARS coronavirus-induced lung injury. Nat Med 11:875–879
Lamers MM, Beumer J, van der Vaart J, Knoops K, Puschhof J, Breugem TI, Ravelli RBG, Paul van Schayck J, Mykytyn AZ, Duimel HQ et al (2020) SARS-CoV-2 productively infects human gut enterocytes. Science 369:50–54
Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359
Li B, Dewey CN (2011) RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. BMC Bioinformatics 12:323–323
Li JY, You Z, Wang Q, Zhou ZJ, Qiu Y, Luo R, Ge XY (2020) The epidemic of 2019-novel-coronavirus (2019-nCoV) pneumonia and insights for emerging infectious diseases in the future. Microbes Infect 22:80–85
Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550
Martic-Kehl MI, Schibli R, Schubiger PA (2012) Can animal data predict human outcome? Problems and pitfalls of translational animal research. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 39:1492–1496
McCaulley KB, Hawkins F, Serra M, Thomas DC, Jacob A, Kotton DN (2017) Efficient derivation of functional human airway epithelium from pluripotent stem cells via temporal regulation of Wnt signaling. Cell Stem Cell 20(844–857):e846
Monteil V, Kwon H, Prado P, Hagelkuys A, Wimmer RA, Stahl M, Leopoldi A, Garreta E, Hurtado Del Pozo C, Prosper F et al (2020) Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infections in engineered human tissues using clinical-grade soluble human ACE2. Cell 181(905–913):e907
Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, Guo L, Guo R, Chen T, Hu J et al (2020) Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat Commun 11:1620
Pan C, Kumar C, Bohl S, Klingmueller U, Mann M (2009) Comparative proteomic phenotyping of cell lines and primary cells to assess preservation of cell type-specific functions. Mol Cell Proteomics 8:443–450
Ramani S, Crawford SE, Blutt SE, Estes MK (2018) Human organoid cultures: transformative new tools for human virus studies. Curr Opin Virol 29:79–86
Risso D, Schwartz K, Sherlock G, Dudoit S (2011) GC-content normalization for RNA-Seq data. BMC Bioinformatics 12:480–480
Rockx B, Kuiken T, Herfst S, Bestebroer T, Lamers MM, Oude Munnink BB, de Meulder D, van Amerongen G, van den Brand J, Okba NMA et al (2020) Comparative pathogenesis of COVID-19, MERS, and SARS in a nonhuman primate model. Science 368:1012–1015
Rock JR, Randell SH, Hogan BL (2010) Airway basal stem cells: a perspective on their roles in epithelial homeostasis and remodeling. Dis Model Mech 3:545–556
Rossi G, Manfrin A, Lutolf MP (2018) Progress and potential in organoid research. Nat Rev Genet 19:671–687
Ruprecht JJ, Kunji ERS (2020) The SLC25 mitochondrial carrier family: structure and mechanism. Trends Biochem Sci 45:244–258
Sanchez EL, Lagunoff M (2015) Viral activation of cellular metabolism. Virology 479–480:609–618
Schmitz G, Muller G (1991) Structure and function of lamellar bodies, lipid-protein complexes involved in storage and secretion of cellular lipids. J Lipid Res 32:1539–1570
Shi JZ, Wen ZY, Zhong GX, Yang HL, Wang C, Huang BY, Liu RQ, He XJ, Shuai L, Sun ZR et al (2020a) Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. Science 368:1016
Shi R, Shan C, Duan X, Chen Z, Liu P, Song J, Song T, Bi X, Han C, Wu L et al (2020b) A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor-binding site of SARS-CoV-2. Nature 584:120–124
Sia SF, Yan LM, Chin AWH, Fung K, Choy KT, Wong AYL, Kaewpreedee P, Perera R, Poon LLM, Nicholls JM et al (2020) Pathogenesis and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. Nature 583:834–838
Song Z, Xu Y, Bao L, Zhang L, Yu P, Qu Y, Zhu H, Zhao W, Han Y, Qin C (2019) From SARS to MERS, thrusting coronaviruses into the spotlight. Viruses 11:59
Song JW, Lam SM, Fan X, Cao WJ, Wang SY, Tian H, Chua GH, Zhang C, Meng FP, Xu Z et al (2020) Omi-scanned systems interrogation of metabolic dysregulation in COVID-19 pathology. Cell Metab 32(188–202):e185
Sun D, Lennernas H, Welage LS, Barnett JL, Landowski CP, Foster D, Fleisher D, Lee KD, Amidon GL (2002) Comparison of human duodenum and Caco-2 gene expression profiles for 12,000 gene sequences tags and correlation with permeability of 26 drugs. Pharm Res 19:1400–1416
Suzuki T, Ito Y, Sakai Y, Saito A, Okuzaki D, Motooka D, Minami S, Kobayashi T, Yamamoto T, Okamoto T et al (2020) Generation of human bronchial organoids for SARS-CoV-2 research. bioRxiv Takayama K (2020) In vitro and animal models for SARS-CoV-2 research. Trends Pharmacol Sci 41:513–517
Thaker SK, Ch’ng J, Christofk HR (2019) Viral hijacking of cellular metabolism. BMC Biol 17:59
Vaduganathan M, Vardeny O, Michel T, McMurry JJV, Pfeffer MA, Solomon SD (2020) Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone systems inhibitors in patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 382:1653–1659
van Doremalen N, Lambe T, Spencer A, Belli-Rammerstorfer S, Purushotham JN, Port JR, Avanzato V, Bushmaker T, Flaxman A, Ulaszewski M et al (2020) ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccination prevents SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in rhesus macaques. bioRxiv van Hemert MJ, van den Worm SH, Nkolola JP, Liu J, Li Z, Chandrashekar A et al (2020) DNA vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques. Science 369:806–811
Zhao B, Ni C, Gao R, Wang Y, Yang L, Wei J, Lv T, Lian J, Zhang Q, Xu W et al (2020) Recapitulation of SARS-CoV-2 infection and cholangiocyte damage with human liver ductal organoids. Protein Cell Zhou X, Jiang W, Liu Z, Liu S, Liang X (2017) Virus infection and death receptor-mediated apoptosis. Viruses 9:316
Zhou J, Li C, Liu X, Chu MC, Zhao X, Wang D, Wei Y, Lee A, Zhang AJ, Chu H et al (2020a) Infection of bat and human intestinal organoids by SARS-CoV-2. Nat Med 26:1077–1083
Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL et al (2020b) A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579:270–273
Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang B, Song J, Zhao X, Huang B, Shi W, Lu R et al (2020) A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med 382:727–733