Molecular characterization of canine coronaviruses: an enteric and pantropic approach
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Abstract
Canine coronavirus (CCoV) generally causes an infection with high morbidity and low mortality in dogs. In recent years, studies on coronaviruses have gained a momentum due to coronavirus outbreaks. Mutations in coronaviruses can result in deadly diseases in new hosts (such as SARS-CoV-2) or cause changes in organ-tissue affinity, as occurred with feline infectious peritonitis virus, exacerbating their pathogenesis. In recent studies on different types of CCoV, the pantropic strains characterized by hypervirulent and multi-systemic infections are believed to be emerging, in contrast to classical enteric coronavirus infections. In this study, we investigated emerging hypervirulent and multi-systemic CCoV strains using molecular and bioinformatic analysis, and examined differences between enteric and pantropic CCoV strains at the phylogenetic level. RT-PCR was performed with specific primers to identify the coronavirus M (membrane) and S (spike) genes, and samples were then subjected to DNA sequencing. In phylogenetic analysis, four out of 26 samples were classified as CCoV-1. The remaining 22 samples were all classified as CCoV-2a. In the CCoV-2a group, six samples were in branches close to enteric strains, and 16 samples were in the branches close to pantropic strains. Enteric and pantropic strains were compared by molecular genotyping of CCoV in dogs. Phylogenetic analysis of hypervirulent pantropic strains was carried out at the amino acid and nucleotide sequence levels. CCoV was found to be divergent from the original strain. This implies that some CCoV strains have become pantropic strains that cause multisystemic infections, and they should not be ruled out as the cause of severe diarrhea and multisystemic infections.

Introduction
Coronaviruses infect enteric and respiratory system cells in mammals and birds and usually cause mild symptoms. They are enveloped viruses with helical symmetry and a single-stranded RNA genome of positive polarity. They belong to the family Coronaviridae of the order Nidovirales. The subfamily Orthocoronavirinae within the family Coronaviridae consists of four genera: Alphacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus and Deltacoronavirus. The genus Alphacoronavirus includes human coronaviruses (229E and NL63), canine coronavirus (CCoV), feline coronavirus (FCoV), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), and porcine respiratory coronavirus (PRCoV) [1].

The coronavirus genome encodes four different structural proteins, E (envelope protein), M (membrane protein), N (nucleocapsid protein), and S (spike protein). In addition, some betacoronaviruses have a membrane-anchored HE (hemagglutinin-esterase) protein. The S glycoprotein is associated with tropism, binding to cell-surface receptors, fusion, and entry of the virus into cells. Therefore, the S protein is the main target of neutralizing antibodies associated with protective immunity [2, 3].

Regarding mutations and genetic evolution observed in coronaviruses, CCoV strains are divided into two groups, CCoV types I (CCoV-1) and II (CCoV-2). CCoV-2 also divided into two subtypes: CCoV-2a, which is the classical CCoV, and CCoV-2b, which emerged by recombination of CCoV 2a with TGEV [4, 5].

The CCoV is generally considered to be the etiological agent of an infection localized in the small intestine...
that can lead to mild gastroenteritis, and such strains are called enteric CCoV. However, a few years ago, a highly virulent, multi-systemic, and fatal strains of CCoV was isolated from puppies [6, 7]. CCoV-2a has evolved into two different pathogenic subtypes (enteric/classical and pantropic) based on virulence. A hypervirulent CCoV-2a strain, called pantropic CCoV, was isolated from dead puppies from a pet shop in Italy in 2005. This strain causes serious clinical signs and lesions in different organs, which can be observed postmortem in puppies. In experimental infections in dogs, the disease was found to vary in severity with the age and immune status of animals [8]. Moreover, it has been reported to exacerbate the disease, leading to lymphopenia in the long term [9].

Feline infectious peritonitis (FIP) in cats and MERS/SARS in humans are significant examples of diseases resulting from molecular evolution and adaptation of coronaviruses to new hosts or cellular receptors. Similarly, a few studies in dogs have suggested that CCoV is transformed into a pantropic virus as a result of mutations. Although not yet fully understood, studies in France and Belgium [10], Greece [11], Italy [12, 13], China [14], and Brazil [15] have drawn attention to the pantropic CCoV mutation.

In this study, we investigated hypervirulent pantropic strains of CCoV that emerged as a result of the evolution of enteric strains, based on S and M gene amino acid and nucleotide sequences.

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling**

A total of 26 dogs with a history of severe diarrhea were used for sampling, two of which died as a result of severe dehydration. Rectal swabs from all 26 dogs and necropsy materials from two dogs that died of severe dehydration were analyzed in this study (Figs. 1–2). All rectal swabs were tested using a rapid test kit for canine coronavirus (Bionote, Korea). All of the samples were stored at −80 °C until used. Of the 26 CCoV-positive dogs, eight were female and 18 were male. The youngest animal was 1 month old, and the oldest was 10 months; the average age was 4 months. The sampling period of the study was between 2012 and 2014. Of the dogs included in the study, 11 were identified as mixed-breed, and the remaining 15 were of the Kangal, Rottweiler, Labrador, Pincher and Cocker breeds. Detailed information about the dogs is presented in Table 1. All samples were taken from dogs that were brought to Atatürk Animal Hospital of Atatürk University in Turkey for treatment.

**Preparation of organ material from dogs**

Only two dogs that died of dehydration were examined postmortem. From these dogs, 0.1 g of tissue was collected from lung, spleen, liver, and intestine and homogenized with a mortar and pestle, mixed, and placed in a 1.5-ml tubes.

**RNA extraction**

Rectal swabs and homogenized tissue samples diluted in 1.5 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were centrifuged at 1,500 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant of each specimen was transferred to a 1.5-ml tube. Nucleic acid isolation was performed using a GF-1 Viral Nucleic Acid Extraction Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Vivantis, Malaysia). The extracted RNA suspension was stored at −80 °C until used.

**Detection and sequence analysis of CCoV**

All samples, including tissue preparations, were subjected to RT-PCR with both S- and M-gene-specific primers. For molecular detection of CCoV, seminested RT-PCR was performed using CCoV M gene-specific primers (CCV 1-2-3) (Table 2) [16]. Then, PCR for the CCoV S gene was performed for genotyping/subtyping purposes. For CCoV-1, the primer pair EL1F/EL1R was used, and for CCoV-2, the primer pair S5F/S6R was used (Table 2) [17]. PCR products were analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and sequenced.

**Screening for canine enteric pathogens**

All samples included in the study were screened by PCR for canine parvovirus 2 (CPV-2), canine distemper virus, canine adenovirus 1–2, and canine group A rotavirus, using specific primers described previously [18–21]. However, none of the samples were found to be positive for these agents.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

For phylogenetic analysis, reference sequences of M and S genes of CCoV were obtained from the GenBank database. To create phylogenetic trees, multiple alignment method of all target sequences was performed using the Clustal W multiple alignment in the Bioedit 7.2.5 program.
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Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree based on canine coronavirus M gene sequences from Turkey and reference sequences from GenBank. The tree was constructed by the maximum-likelihood algorithm implemented in the MEGA 6 program using p-distance. Values at the nodes (based on 1,000 bootstrap replicates) represent the support for each node and the corresponding clade. The scale bar indicates substitutions per site. Sequences from Turkish pantropic CCoV2a strains are indicated by a square (■), Turkish enteric CCoV2a strains are indicated by a black circle (●), Turkish CCoV1 strains are indicated by a triangle (▲), and reference sequences are indicated by their accession number.
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Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were built using the Kimura 2-parameter model with 1000 bootstrap replicates in MEGA 6.0 [22].

**Results**

**Necropsy findings**

Two dogs presented similar lesions in necropsy. Macroscopically, haemorrhagic enteritis in the small intestines, pneumonia in the lungs, areas of necrosis in the liver, and enlargement of the spleen was observed. Regional mesenteric lymph nodes were enlarged with either petechiae or more extensive haemorrhage. These findings were suggestive of systemic involvement.

**Investigation and genotyping of CCoV**

To identify classical enteric and hypervirulent multi-systemic strains of CCoV, 26 CCoV-positive dog samples were analyzed by molecular and phylogenetic methods. Amplicons of the expected size were obtained from 10 of the samples for the S gene and from all 26 samples for the M gene, using gene-specific RT-PCR. These amplicons were sequenced and used for phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 1 and 2), which showed positivity rates for CCoV-1 and CCoV-2 of 15.38% (4/26) and 84.62% (22/26), respectively. All of the CCoV-2 samples were of subtype 2a. Subtype 2b was not found. Enteric and pantropic strains were clustered in subtype 2a within the CCoV-2 genotype. In the CCoV-2a subtype (n = 22), six strains (27.27%, 6/22) were found to be similar to enteric CCoV, while 16 strains (72.73%, 16/22) were found similar to pantropic CCoV (Figs. 1 and 2). The genotypes and GenBank accession numbers of these isolates are shown in Table 1.

**Nucleotide and amino acid sequence comparisons**

Partial sequences of the M gene of the strains from this study showed nucleotide sequence identity between 85.3% and 99.4%, and amino acid sequence identity between 91.3% and 100%. When Turkish CCoV-2a and the previously reported Italian pantropic strain 450/07 (GU146061) were compared, they showed nucleotide sequence identity between 89.3% and 99.7% and amino acid sequence identity between 89.8% and 100%. When UK-INSAVC-1 (D13096) and Turkish enteric CCoV-2a strains were compared, the
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nucleotide sequence identity was between 91.6% and 97.3%, and the amino acid sequence identity was between 88.3% and 98.3%. Within the CCoV-1 type group, the nucleotide sequence identity was 95.1–97.9% and the amino acid sequence identity was 91.6–95% among Turkish and Italian (AY548235) strains.

Table 1 Clinical information about the dogs in this study and accession numbers of CCoV strains

| Sample ID   | CCoV type | Accession no. (M gene) | Accession no. (S gene) | Age (months) | Breed | Sex | Date | Vaccination |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------|-----|------|-------------|
| TR-Erz-B1-K11 | 2a enteric | MT156088               | MT294705               | 3            | Kangal | F   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K15 | 2a enteric | MT156089               | –                      | 3            | Mix    | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K16 | 2a enteric | MT156086               | –                      | 4            | Rott   | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K17 | 2a enteric | MT156085               | –                      | 3            | Labra  | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K22 | 2a enteric | MT156087               | –                      | 5            | Mix    | F   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K26 | 1          | MN913447               | –                      | 8            | Mix    | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B1-K34 | 1          | MN913446               | –                      | 9            | Rott   | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-18 | 2a enteric | MN913449               | MT294706               | 5            | Kangal | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-19 | 2a pantropic | MN913429             | MT294700               | 3            | Rott   | F   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-20 | 2a pantropic | MN913430             | MT294708               | 4            | Labra  | F   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-21 | 2a pantropic | MN913431             | –                      | 1            | Pinch  | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-22 | 2a pantropic | MN913432             | –                      | 1            | Kangal | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-23 | 2a pantropic | MN913433             | –                      | 2            | Mix    | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-24 | 2a pantropic | MN913434             | MT294701               | 4            | Mix    | F   | 2014 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-25 | 2a pantropic | MN913435             | –                      | 2            | Mix    | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-26 | 2a pantropic | MN913436             | –                      | 3            | Pinch  | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-27 | 2a pantropic | MN913437             | MT294702               | 4            | Cocker | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B2-28 | 2a pantropic | MN913438             | MT294709               | 1            | Mix    | F   | 2014 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-4  | 2a pantropic | MN913439             | –                      | 3            | Mix    | F   | 2014 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-5  | 1          | MN913445             | MT294707               | 10           | Mix    | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-6  | 2a pantropic | MN913440             | MT294703               | 5            | Cocker | F   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-11 | 2a pantropic | MN913441             | –                      | 4            | Kangal | M   | 2014 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-22 | 2a pantropic | MN913442             | –                      | 4            | Rott   | M   | 2014 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-23 | 2a pantropic | MN913443             | MT294704               | 3            | Labra  | M   | 2012 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-24 | 2a pantropic | MN913444             | –                      | 3            | Mix    | M   | 2013 | No          |
| TR-Erz-B3-26 | 1          | MN913448             | –                      | 9            | Mix    | M   | 2014 | No          |

Table 2 Oligonucleotide primers used in this study

| Primer | Gene/type | Sequences (5′-3′) | Position | bp | Reference |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|----------|----|-----------|
| CCV1   | M         | TCCAGATATGTAATGTTGCGG | 337–356  | 409 | Pratelli 1999 |
| CCV2   |           | TCTGTGTAGTAATCACCAGCT | 726–746  | 211 |           |
| CCV3   |           | GGTTGCTACTCTAACATTGCTT | 535–556  |     |           |
| EL1F   | S/I       | CAAGTGTGCCGTCTTTATCTTAGG TAG | 2611–2636  | 346 | Pratelli 2004 |
| EL1R   | S/I       | TCATATCGTACCATTATAGCTGAAGA | 2930–2956  |     |           |
| S5     | S/II      | TGCATTTGTGTCCTGACAGTT | 3991–4010  | 694 |           |
| S6     | S/II      | CCAAGGCCATTTACATAAG | 4665–4684  |     |           |

Amino acid analysis

Various mutations were detected at five different sites in the partial amino acid sequences of the S gene (approximately 222 amino acids). Substitutions were found at position 70 (D→E,S,N), 127 (E→D,A), 159 (T→P), 189 (V→L), and 193 (V→I,T). Some of these mutations might be used to distinguish pantropic from enteric strains.
Discussion

The importance of emerging (SARS/MERS) and re-emerging (CPV-2c or COVID-19) infections is currently increasing [2, 23, 24]. Therefore, the genetic characteristics of these infectious agents need to be examined frequently due to emerging new types, changes in pathogenesis, and the dynamism of immune escape mechanisms. The emergence of FIP, a coronavirus disease, is an example. Feline enteric coronavirus, which typically caused mild diarrhea due to its affinity for enterocytes in cats, has mutated into the FIP virus, which has adapted to replicating in immune system cells, resulting in a multi-systemic fatal disease (peritonitis, granulomatosis organ damage), creating a completely different clinical manifestation in cats [25]. So far, data on CCoV infections in puppies have been limited, and the majority of the research has involved serological studies [26]. In the present study, we performed a molecular and bioinformatic evaluation of enteric/pantropic CCoV strains. There have been many studies worldwide investigating the role of viral agents in the pathogenesis of diarrhea in puppies and adult dogs. In Europe, highly virulent pantropic strains of CCoV-2a, which are fatal in puppies, were reported for the first time by Decaro et al. [8]. An examination of the studies conducted in different countries [13, 15] showed that the majority of the studies focused on the S protein, which is the target of neutralizing antibodies. This is the main approach for identifying pantropic strains; however, in this study, only 10 of the 26 samples yielded an amplicon for this gene region. We therefore also analyzed the M gene, which is a conserved gene region, to differentiate the pantropic strains. All 26 samples gave a positive PCR result for the M gene. This suggests that the sensitivity of the S gene PCR might be lower and that using only the S gene for diagnostics may result in false negatives.

When the analyses are compared, both gene regions gave similar phylogenetic results. In both phylogenetic trees, the pantropic strains clustered together, suggesting that both the S and M genes could be appropriate for identifying pantropic CCoV strains phylogenetically. However, since the PCR sensitivity at the S gene level appears to be lower, this may result in false negatives.

In most studies, enteric agents are generally identified together, i.e., in the form of coinfections. However, in cases where the virulence of the virus is high, virus infections can by themselves cause disease. In our study, no coinfection was detected in any of the 26 positive samples. We believe this is due to the dominant effect of hypervirulent CCoV-2a-pantropic strains. In our study, 16 of the 26 dogs with severe diarrhea symptoms were found to be infected with a pantropic CCoV, as shown by phylogenetic analysis. Especially the detection of pantropic strains from the tissue samples of two dogs (accession nos. MT294700 and MN913434) that died because of dehydration and multi-systemic infection supports the enteric/pantropic hypothesis. Sequence data obtained from rectal swab samples and organ tissue preparations of the two dead dogs were identical. In the individual cases observed here, we did not detect an enteric-to-pantropic change in our sample group. Thus, the dogs in our study are assumed to have been infected with a pantropic strain rather than with an enteric strain that mutated to a pantropic form. Since only two dogs died of enteric disease, it can be concluded that pantropic strains that cause non-lethal symptoms might not get diagnosed and continue to spread within populations.

Nucleotide sequences were compared between GenBank reference strains and the CCoV strains identified in this study. In previous studies, nucleotide sequence comparisons were only performed for the S gene but in this study both the S and M genes were analyzed, and the results suggest that the M gene also has sufficient selectivity for identifying pantropic CCoV strains, as well as the S gene [6]. The pantropic-CCoV S and M gene sequences identified in our study were similar to those found in other studies at both the nt and aa level. Therefore, we believe that pantropic strains can be distinguished not only by their S gene sequences but also by their M gene sequences in future studies.

Pantropic and enteric strains of CCoV-2a have been distinguished in previous studies by phylogenetic analysis based on the S gene [13]. This study shows that the use of the M gene may be an alternative approach for pathotype identification. Furthermore, when the S gene of CCoV-2a strains (about 222 amino acids) was examined at the amino acid level, 70 (residues D→E,S,N), 127 (E→D,A), 159 (T→P), 189 (V→L), and 193 (V→I,T) were found to be different. In particular, amino acids 159 and 189 showed important changes. Amino acid 159 is T (Thr) in all 2a-pantropic strains, whereas it is P (Pro) in 2a-enteric strains. Similarly, amino acid 189 is V (Val) in all 2a-pantropic strains, whereas it is L (Leu) in 2a-enteric strains. At amino acids 70, 127, and 193, there are differences between 2a-pantropic and 2a-enteric strains (Fig. 3). These mutations should allow pantropic strains to be distinguished for enteric strains.

This is the first report of pantropic CCoV in dogs from Turkey. Fecal samples of all dogs with gastroenteritis were positive for CCoV, corroborating the data published previously [10, 13]. Phylogenetic analysis of the CCoV strains showed that these were similar to those found in other countries. The Turkish samples tended to cluster into a single clade, suggesting a common ancestor for the M and S genes. A recent study demonstrated that CCoV-positive puppies exhibit hemorrhagic enteritis like that observed in CPV-2 infections [15]. Thus, CCoV and other enteric viruses such
as CPV-2 should be kept in mind for differential diagnosis of animals with gastrointestinal symptoms.

In conclusion, pantropic CCoV infection may be a new emerging disease in Turkey and other countries such as France and Belgium. Future epidemiological surveys and molecular studies will help to determine the distribution of pantropic and/or enteric coronaviruses in the dog population in Turkey. Veterinary practitioners and clinicians should be sufficiently informed about the prevalence of pantropic CCoV. Moreover, increased data collection will help to improve the surveillance system in kennels, veterinary clinics, and animal shelters. These data will also help in the design of vaccines, vaccination schedules, drugs, and treatment procedures used for CCoV.
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