APPLICATION OF GREEN EXTRACTION METHODS TO RESVERATROL EXTRACTION FROM PEANUT (ARACHIS HYPOGAEA L.) SKIN
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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study compared the maceration extraction method with non-conventional extraction methods such as ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) and microwave-assisted extraction (MAE).

Methods: To obtain resveratrol, various conditions were optimized: Solvent types (organic solvent [i.e. 70% ethanol] and green solvents [i.e. natural deep eutectic solvent (NADES) and ionic liquid (II)]), and extraction methods. The resveratrol content in the extracts was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography.

Results: It was determined that resveratrol extracted by UAE with NADES was composed of choline chloride–oxalic acid when the following conditions were used: The solid/liquid ratio of 1:20 (g/mL) and the extraction time of 15 min. These conditions produced higher resveratrol content (0.049 mg/g dry weight) than that using MAE with 70% ethanol (0.011 mg/g dry weight). However, the maceration method yielded the highest amount of resveratrol (0.221 mg/g dry weight), and MAE with IL produced the smallest amount of resveratrol (0.157 × 10⁻³ mg/g dry weight).

Conclusion: Peanut skin extracted using the maceration method produced the highest amount of resveratrol compared to that using other methods.
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INTRODUCTION

Resveratrol is a polyphenolic compound, which was initially classified as stilbene phytoalexins. Resveratrol possesses many biological activities. It compound acts by inhibiting oxidative reactions and is used to treat hyperlipidemia due to its HMG-CoA reductase inhibitory activity [1-3]. The compound is present in many plant species (e.g., grapes and mulberries) and peanut skin [4]. The latter is the by-product of peanut seed production. Peanut skin has been reported as the source of resveratrol, which can be obtained using a suitable solvent and extraction method. The extraction of resveratrol from peanut skin has been achieved by the maceration method using ethanol as a solvent [5]. However, conventional extraction methods have disadvantages such as long extraction time and large solvent consumption [6]. Recently, resveratrol from peanut skin has been extracted by modern extraction methods such as microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) with ethanol [7].

Modern extraction methods and green solvents have been developed to extract bioactive compounds effectively and efficiently. Green solvents such as ionic liquids (ILs) are used in various extraction processes because of their unique properties [8]. Recently, it has been reported that IL [bmm]Br extracts trans-resveratrol from Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati and Melinjo seeds more effectively than organic solvents [9,10]. The IL-MAE method was chosen in this study because IL possesses good dissolution property and absorbs more microwave radiation than organic solvents [8]. In this research, the IL-MAE method used urea as a pre-treatment material. Peanut skin contains cellulose (40.5%), lignin (26.4%), and hemicellulose (14.7%) [11]. Urea pre-treatment has been reported to remove lignin and hemicellulose, which improves solvent accessibility to dissolve active compounds [12].

Another green solvent, such as natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES), is effective at extracting polar and non-polar compounds [13]. It has been reported that choline chloride (ChCl) and its hydrogen bond donors (HBDDs) (e.g., oxalic acid, malic acid, and glycerol) are effective at extracting anthocyanin from grape skin [14]. Furthermore, urea–glycerol as NADES combined with the ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) method has been reported to increase the amount of polyphenol compounds extracted from green tea leaves [15]. In this research, oxalic acid, malic acid, and glycerol served as NADES HBDD, and ChCl and urea served as hydrogen bond acceptor (HBA).

This research aims to compare the effectiveness of resveratrol extraction with two extraction methods (UAE and MAE) with green solvents. The resveratrol extract was quantitatively analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and the mechanism of extraction was determined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). On the basis of this research, an extraction method can be suggested to better extract resveratrol using the UAE and MAE methods with IL and NADES as solvents.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and materials
Choline chloride (>99%) was purchased from Xian Rongshe Biotechnology (China), and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide ([bmim]Br) was purchased from Shanghai Cheng le Chemical (China). Oxalic acid, glycerol, sorbitol, propylene glycol, and demineralized water were purchased from Dow Chemical Pacific (Singapore). Sodium carbonate (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), urea, malic acid, methanol, ethanol, and ethyl acetate were used for the analysis (Merck, Germany). Acetonitrile, methanol, and acetic acid (Merck, Germany) were of HPLC grade, and standard resveratrol was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries (Japan).

Instrumentation
Modena microwave with modification, maceration vessel, ultrasonic bath (Krisbow, China), rotary vacuum evaporator (Buchi, Germany), hot
Preparation of raw materials
Peanut seeds were obtained from Groundnut Farming in Cilegon, Banten, Indonesia, and identified at Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia, Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. Peanut skin was separated and cleaned from its other parts. The hard outer skin was peeled and dried at room temperature. After drying, the dried material was ground using an electric grinder, and the resulting powder was sieved through a 20-mesh sieve. The dried powder was stored in a sealed container to avoid exposure to air and direct sunlight.

Preparation of NADES
NADES used in this study are mixtures of ChCl or urea and HBD (oxalic acid, malic acid, and glycerol). The chemical composition and mixing ratios of individual chemicals of synthesized NADESs are listed in Table 1. These NADESs were prepared by heating the mixtures consisting of solid-liquid and solid-solid compounds at 50°C and 80°C with constant stirring, respectively. Stirring was continued for 30–90 min until a clear homogeneous liquid solution formed [16].

Extraction process
Conventional maceration method
The dried powders were extracted in 70% ethanol (50 mL/2.5 g) for 24 h and shaken for 1 h in a dark container at room temperature. The extracts were filtered and vacuum-evaporated to obtain a crude extract. Furthermore, the residue was extracted using the same procedure two more times. The yield of the extracts was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Yield(\%)} = \frac{\text{Amount extract obtained (g)}}{\text{Dry weight (g)}} \times 100\%
\]

IL-based MAE method
The dried powder (1.5 g) was placed in a 100-mL flat flask, and 22.5 mL of 70% ethanol was added to it. The dried peanut skin powder was extracted using MAE with a 30-s irradiation time. The extraction variable evaluated was microwave power (e.g., 270, 450, and 630 W). The crude extract was obtained after evaporating solvent at 50°C using a rotary evaporator.

Extraction mechanism analysis
The resveratrol assay was determined by HPLC.

Resveratrol content
The extract produced by the maceration method contained the highest amount of resveratrol (0.221 mg/g dry weight), and the extract obtained by MAE using IL contained the lowest amount of resveratrol (0.157×10^{-3}mg/g dry weight).

HPLC analysis
Calibration of standard resveratrol
The salt compound (ChCl) and HBD were weighed at a determined ratio (Table 2) and mixed. Then, the mixture was stirred and heated for 30–90 min in a hotplate at 50°C for the solid-liquid compound combinations and at 80°C for the solid-solid mixture until a clear solution was formed [12].

Table 1: NADES combinations and mole ratios used in this study

| No | Code | HBA | HBD | HBA: HBD mole ratio |
|----|------|-----|-----|---------------------|
| 1  | NADES-1  | ChCl | Oxalic acid | 1:1 |
| 2  | NADES-2  | ChCl | Malic acid  | 1:1 |
| 3  | NADES-3  | ChCl | Glycerol    | 1:2 |
| 4  | NADES-4  | Urea | Glycerol    | 1:2 |
| 5  | NADES-5  | Urea | Sorbitol    | 1:2 |
| 6  | NADES-6  | Urea | Propylene glycol | 1:2 |

HBA: Hydrogen bond acceptor, NADES: Natural deep eutectic solvents, HBD: Hydrogen bond donors, ChCl: Choline chloride
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Table 2: Overview of extraction methods and comparison of the resveratrol content in the peanut skin extract

| Extraction method | Solvent                | Concentration (mg/g dry weight) |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Maceration         | 70% ethanol            | 0.221                           |
| MAE                | 70% ethanol            | 0.011                           |
| MAE                | [bmim] Br with urea pre-treatment | 0.157×10⁻³                 |
| UAE                | NADES-1*               | 0.049                           |
| UAE                | NADES-2*               | 0.021                           |
| UAE                | NADES-3*               | 0.023                           |
| UAE                | NADES-4*               | 0.023                           |
| UAE                | NADES-5*               | 0.020                           |
| UAE                | NADES-6*               | 0.025                           |

*Explanation in Table 1. MAE: Microwave-assisted extraction, UAE: Ultrasonic-assisted extraction, NADES: Natural deep eutectic solvent.

Maceration method. The resveratrol content of each extract is presented in Table 2. The extract produced by the maceration method contained the highest amount of resveratrol, and the extract obtained by MAE using IL contained the lowest amount of resveratrol.

Table 2 shows that among all extraction methods, maceration with 70% ethanol yielded the highest resveratrol content (0.221 mg/g dry weight). This result was obtained owing to the effectiveness of ethanol as a solvent and the length of extraction time. Ethanol is less viscous than NADES and IL [18]. Thus, the lower viscosity of the solvent increases the diffusion coefficient, which increases the extraction rate. According to the Stokes-Einstein equation, viscosity (η) affects the diffusion coefficient (D).

\[ D = \frac{K T}{6 \pi \eta r} \]

From the abovementioned equation, where η is inversely related to D, it can be assumed that ethanol, which is less viscous than NADES and IL, has a higher diffusivity. The high diffusion coefficient value of ethanol made the particles of dried powder move and distribute easily in ethanol than in NADES and IL [18].

However, during the extraction using MAE with 70% ethanol, a lower resveratrol content was obtained (0.011 mg/g dry weight) than that using maceration with 70% ethanol. This result was possibly obtained owing to the selection of less optimum time. Longer extraction time allows more contact between the solvent and sample, which increases solvent absorption, helps soften plant tissues, ruptures plant cell walls, and increases the solubility of the target compound in the solvent [19]. However, excessive exposure to microwave radiation decreases the extraction results due to the deterioration of the target compound [20].

In this study, urea pre-treatment aimed to improve solvent accessibility through the cell wall so that a higher amount of the active compound could be extracted. Based on the results using five urea concentrations, 15% w/v was selected. After the pre-treatment for 12 h, the sample powder swelled. The cell wall was stretched for further treatment with the IL-MAE method, as suggested by a previous similar study [21]. However, both extraction methods (i.e., with and without urea pre-treatment) yielded the lowest amounts of resveratrol (i.e., 2.9×10⁻⁴ mg/g dry weight and 1.57×10⁻¹ mg/g dry weight, respectively). The profile chromatograms of both samples (Fig. 2) show that higher amounts of non-target compounds, which are more polar than the target compound, are extracted. Moreover, RSM results predicted that there were no optimum conditions for extracting resveratrol using IL-MAE with three parameters, including the IL concentration (0.5, 1.5, and 2.5 M), extraction time (10, 13, and 16 min), and liquid/solid ratio (10, 15, and 20 mL/g).

Moreover, the data obtained for the extraction using the NADES-UAE method with ChCl as HBA clearly show that the mixtures of ChCl in combination with oxalic acid (NADES-1) are able to extract higher amounts of resveratrol (0.049 mg/g dry weight) compared to those obtained with the other types of NADES using the following conditions: The solid/liquid ratio of 1:20 (g/mL) and the extraction time of 15 min. Meanwhile, for NADES with urea as HBA, the highest resveratrol content was obtained by NADES composed of urea and propylene glycol (0.025 mg/g dry weight) using the following conditions: The solid/liquid ratio of 1:20 (g/mL) and the extraction time of 10 min.

Factors that may affect the extraction results include the physicochemical properties of NADES, such as solubility, viscosity, surface tension, polarity, and hydrogen bond interactions between NADES and the target compound [22]. In terms of polarity, NADES-based organic acids are the most polar [16], which explains the highest resveratrol content obtained by NADES-1 compared with that obtained by another NADES types. However, NADES-based polyalcohol (NADES-6) with low polarity tends to yield lower amounts of resveratrol compared with that obtained with NADES that contains oxalic acid (NADES-1), as shown in Table 2.

In addition, the positioning of the hydroxyl group branches along the alkane chain [23] has implications for the surface tension of NADES. The target compound resveratrol is structurally similar to HBD, thus, it can be considered a type of HBD [23]. Therefore, HBDs with more branches bind stronger to HBA, which causes more steric hindrances that may inhibit the interaction of active compounds with HBAs [23]. Thus, polyalcohol and organic acids, which act as HBDs, will interact competitively by causing steric hindrance and inhibiting resveratrol from binding to the anions of urea or ChCl, which act as HBAs.

Steric hindrance caused the formation of a rigid conformation, which resulted in high surface tension on NADES and made it difficult for the active compound to penetrate and form hydrogen bonds with HBA [18]. This explains why the extraction with NADES-1 produced the highest resveratrol content. Specifically, oxalic acid has the simplest (shortest chain) structure and contains hydroxyl groups that are not positioned near each other. This orientation results in a less dense conformation and low surface tension on NADES, which makes it easier to form bonds between the active compound and the anions in NADES.
Scanning electron microscopy analysis of the peanut skin powder
To better understand the mechanism of different extraction methods, the peanut skin powder was examined using SEM before and after the extraction. Fig. 3 shows the particle surfaces before and after the maceration extraction, MAE using 70% ethanol, MAE using IL with/without urea pre-treatment, and UAE using NADES. Compared to the untreated sample (Fig. 3a), the particle surface of the treated samples exhibited different morphology. The maceration extraction method with 70% ethanol ruptured and destroyed the physical structure of the peanut skin powder (Fig. 3b). After prolonged extraction using organic solvents, such as ethanol, the peanut skin powder exhibits severely damaged morphology [15]. The purpose of the process is to soften and break the cell wall of the plant to release soluble phytochemicals [24]. The surface of the powder after the extraction using MAE with 70% ethanol was slightly ruptured (Fig. 3c). Microwave radiation has destructive effects on the matrix of the extracted sample due to the sudden increase in temperature and internal pressure inside the cells of the plant sample [25]. After the extraction using UAE with NADES, the particle surface was rugged and porous, and fibrous tissues were partially exposed (Fig. 3d). A possible mechanism for extraction enhancement could be that the implosion of cavitation bubbles on the leaves surface induces the erosion of plant structures, which are released in the extraction medium [26]. The sample surface after MAE using IL (Fig. 3e) was not considerably changed compared to that of the untreated sample. The internal thermal stress in the cell was not sufficiently strong to break down the cell wall; thus, the active compound was less extracted. However, the sample surface after using IL with urea pre-treatment (Fig. 3f) showed the enlargement of cellular pores. The loss of lignin and hemicellulose after urea pre-treatment did not protect cellulose from the heat and internal pressure produced by microwave radiation, which facilitated the leaching of the active compound [27].

CONCLUSION
On the basis of the obtained results, it was concluded that the extraction of peanut skin using the maceration method yielded the highest amount of resveratrol compared to that produced by other methods.
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