Temperature sensitivity of microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics in subalpine wetland soils
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Abstract Microbially-mediated iron (Fe) cycling controls the fate of organic matter, contaminants, and nutrients in terrestrial ecosystems including wetland soils. However, the effects of temperature variations due to seasonal differences on Fe(III) reduction rates and kinetics in such ecosystems remains poorly understood. To evaluate the potential temperature impact on dissimilatory microbial Fe(III) reduction it is crucial to determine environmentally-relevant reaction rates and kinetic parameters. Here, we investigate the relationship between soil temperature and microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics in mineral soils from two subalpine wetlands with distinct hydrologic and edaphic conditions. We conducted flow-through experiments (FTR) at three temperatures (6, 12, and 18 °C) using intact soil cores collected from 30 cm [(higher organic carbon (C_{org}) and total nitrogen (TN))] and 70 cm (lower C_{org} and TN) soil depths in order to determine the apparent Fe(III) affinity constant (K_m), apparent maximum Fe(III) reduction rates (V_{max}) and temperature sensitivity (Q_{10} and E_a) of Fe(III) reduction. We used Fe(III)-NTA, a model compound for aqueous labile and complexed Fe present in natural organic matter. Our results show that changes in apparent V_{max} and K_m are driven primarily by temperature. Significant differences in apparent V_{max} at 18 °C relative to 6 and 12 °C (P < 0.05) suggest that dissimilatory microbial Fe(III) reduction in wetland soils accelerates during warmer summer days. However, temperature alone fails to explain the large variability of the apparent parameters Q_{10} (1.5–8.9) and E_a (26–148 kJ mol^{-1}) for the two wetland types and depths (30 and 70 cm). Strong relationship between both parameters of temperature sensitivity (Q_{10} and E_a) and reactive soil Fe content at 30 cm and C_{org}/TN at 70 cm depth demonstrate the notable impact of soil properties on the temperature sensitivity for microbial Fe(III) reduction.
in these wetland soils. Our results emphasize the importance of soil temperature on Fe(III) reduction kinetics and must be considered when predicting dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction under different seasonal temperatures or in wetlands located at different temperature regimes.
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**Introduction**

Iron (Fe) is one of the most abundant redox-sensitive metals, which influences water quality by buffering redox conditions, and regulating storage and release of carbon (e.g., Wagai and Mayer 2007; Amstaetter et al. 2012; Shimizu et al. 2013; Pan et al. 2016; Zhao et al. 2016; Hall et al. 2018) nutrients (e.g., Wilson et al. 2004; Morton et al. 2005), and contaminants (e.g., Zachara et al. 2001; Borch et al. 2010) in terrestrial environments. Wetlands specifically are ‘biogeochemical hot spots’ of Fe cycling (Rodden and Wetzel 2002; Johnston et al. 2014). Wetland Fe cycling is dominated by precipitation and immobilization of Fe(III) under oxic conditions and by mobilization of Fe(II) via reductive dissolution under reducing conditions (e.g., Lovley and Phillips 1991; Roden and Wetzel 2002; Roden 2003; Lovley et al. 2004; Baldwin et al. 2006; Riedel et al. 2013). Both dissimilatory microbial Fe(III) reduction and abiotic reductive dissolution of Fe(III)-oxyhydroxides (e.g., goethite, lepidocrocite, ferrihydrite) can lead to the release of organic carbon (C_{org}) bound to oxide minerals (Lovley and Phillips 1991; Wagai and Mayer 2007; Lalonde et al. 2012; Pan et al. 2016, Zhao et al. 2016). Dissimilatory microbial Fe(III) reduction has also been shown to inhibit methane (CH_4) production in Fe(III)-rich environments (Kruger et al. 2001; Kogel-Knabner et al. 2010; Herndon et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016).

Although it is recognized that microbial processes are temperature-dependent (e.g., Buford Price and Sowers 2004; Schipper et al. 2014; Bååth 2018), the role of seasonal temperature fluctuations on Fe(III) reduction rates and kinetics remains poorly understood. Specially, high elevation wetlands might be particularly sensitive to seasonal temperature variation as soil microbes from high altitude and latitude ecosystems are extremely sensitive to temperature increase (Belotte et al. 2003; Davidson et al. 2006; German et al. 2012; Blagodatskaya et al. 2015). Indeed, enzymatic activities of soil microbes are adapted to the prevailing temperature of their habitats (Belotte et al. 2003; German et al. 2012) and enzymes adapted to colder climate tend to be more sensitive to increasing temperature than enzymes from warmer climates (Siddiqui and Cavicchioli 2006; Dong and Somero 2009; German et al. 2012). Therefore, a better mechanistic understanding of the temperature sensitivity of microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics is required to predict seasonal variations in Fe(III) reduction and cycling.

Our current knowledge of Fe(III) reduction kinetics is largely based on simplified laboratory conditions using pure bacterial cultures and slurry experiments (Liu et al. 2002; Bonneville et al. 2004; Hyacinthe et al. 2006). These studies, however, largely neglect the effects of temperature and ignore the physical, geochemical, and microbiological complexity of soils. By eliminating mass transfer limitations (e.g., diffusion, dispersion, advection), conventional slurry experiments result in an artificially high delivery of substrates to microorganisms and thus enhance the reaction rates. Thus, there is a critical need for verification of these studies in biogeochemically complex, natural environments.

Our objectives are to (1) determine the impact of average ‘seasonal’ temperature variation on Fe(III) reduction kinetics in two distinct wetland types and (2) to evaluate how physical, chemical, and microbial soil properties control microbial Fe(III) reduction rates as temperature changes. We hypothesize that the kinetics of microbial Fe(III) reduction (V_{max} and K_{m}) change with increasing temperature, and that differences in soil properties between two contrasting wetland types (depressional and slope wetland) affect the temperature sensitivity (Q_{10} and E_{a}). Additionally, spatial differences in soil properties within these wetlands such as Fe(III) concentration and organic matter content (Rodden and Wetzel 2002; Wagai and Mayer 2007; Lalonde et al. 2012; Zhao et al. 2016) can shape the native soil microbial community and can result in high variability in Fe(III) reduction potential (Weiss et al. 2004). We conducted flow-through reactor (FTR)
experiments using undisturbed wetland soils cores allowing a direct comparison of Fe(III) reduction rates at different temperature settings while (1) preserving the soil porosity, (2) the solution to solid ratio, and (3) the spatial distribution of the microorganisms.

Materials and methods

Site description and sampling

The depressional and slope-type wetlands (Carsey et al. 2003) are located in the subalpine forest (2700-3300 m elevation) in the USFS Fraser Experimental Forest (Rocky Mountains, Colorado, USA), (Fig. 1). The subalpine forest region has a mean annual air temperature of 0.6 °C with monthly means ranging from about −10 °C in January to 12.2 °C in July (Fig. 1a; Elder 2006; LaPerriere et al. 2011). Formed within topographic depressions, the depressional wetland is inundated annually by spring snowmelt and then dries over the course of two months. The depressional wetland is characterized by long water residence times ranging from weeks to months (Fig. 1c) and high accumulation of organic matter from surrounding uplands. In contrast, slope wetlands are supplied by perennial groundwater springs and maintain a relatively constant water table (Fig. 1d). The constant drainage of the slope wetland leads to short water residence time (hours to days), constant redox conditions throughout the year and throughout the wetland (Brinson 1993) and limits the accumulation of dissolved and particulate organic substrates and nutrients.

For the depressional wetland, we selected three sampling locations across a redox gradient from the permanently waterlogged center, to the seasonally-waterlogged transition and temporarily waterlogged wetland edge (Fig. 1c). For the slope wetland, we sampled a single location near the base of the wetland (Fig. 1d). At all locations, we collected duplicate soil cores (100 cm-long, 6 cm diameter). For all sampled soil cores, we discarded the O horizon (0–30 cm) and used only the mineral soil horizon from which we extracted 2 cm-long soil slices from 30 and 70 cm depths. These two depths were chosen to compare changes in physical and chemical soil properties within each location. Both physico-chemical soil properties and biological processes were measured.

Fig. 1 a Average monthly air temperature from 1976 to 2003 at the USFS Fraser Experimental Forest derived from hourly temperature measurements (Elder 2006). Error bars show standard deviations over the period 1976–2003. Red dashed lines denote the temperatures used for the flow-through experiments (FTR). b Wetland locations at the USFS Fraser Experimental Forest in the Rocky Mountains, Colorado, USA. Schematics of c depressional and d slope wetland. For the depressional wetland, soil cores (blue column = waterlogged; brown dashed column = fluctuating water table) were collected across a hydroperiod and redox gradient. For the slope wetland, a soil core (blue column = waterlogged) was sampled at the base of the wetland.
characterization and FTR were performed on soil samples of the same depth and core. All soil samples were stored under anoxic conditions at 4 °C until experiments or soil analyses were performed.

Physico-chemical and microbial soil characterization

Soil pH, bulk density, porosity, organic C (C_{org}) content, total N (TN) content and extractable reactive Fe content were determined on duplicate subsamples from the two soil depths. The soil pH was measured in 0.1 M CaCl₂ at a soil/solution ratio of 1:20 (Fullen and Catt 2014). Soil dry bulk density (ρ_d in g cm⁻³) was determined after drying a known soil volume for 24 h at 105 °C (Blake and Hartge 1986). Soil porosity (%) was calculated from the dry bulk density and particle density (ρ_s = 2.65 g cm⁻³) as 1-(ρ_d/ρ_s). Soil C_{org} and TN (wt %) were measured on air-dried samples (Nelson and Sommers 1986) using a dry combustion CN analyzer (Carlo Erba Elantech elemental analyzer, Lakewood, NJ, USA). Soil reactive Fe content was extracted by digesting 2 g of wet soil in 30 mL of 1 M HCl for 24 h (e.g., Slomp et al. 1997; Roden and Wetzel 2002; Hyacinthe et al. 2006) and determined spectrophotometrically (wavelength 562 nm) by ferrozine with hydroxylamine (Stookey 1970). The Fe extraction with HCl intends to assess reactive Fe(III) soil phases (Hyacinthe et al. 2006; Roden and Wetzel 2002), which are the primary terminal electron acceptors and thus most impacted by microbial Fe(III) reduction.

Culturable Fe(III)-reducing bacteria were enumerated by the most-probable-number (MPN) technique (Woomer 1994). Five grams of fresh bulk soil were suspended in 50 mL sterile saline solution (2% NaCl; 0.3% MgCl₂) and shaken thoroughly. Tenfold triplicate serial dilutions of those soil slurries were made directly in the culture medium. The medium was similar to the synthetic porewater used in the FTR experiments (as described below) and was supplemented with 1 mL of trace metal solution, 1 mL selenium-tungsten solution and 1 mL of 1% yeast extract (Supplementary Table S1, adapted from Sanford et al. 2007). After autoclaving we added Fe(III) complexed with nitritotriacetic acid [Fe(III)-NTA] as electron acceptor (final concentration of 10 mM), and both lactate and acetate (final concentration of 20 mM each) as electron donors from sterile anoxic stock solutions. The serial cultures were incubated at 30 °C for 4 weeks. The presence of Fe(III)-reducing bacteria was scored positive upon the presence of Fe(II), tested using the ferrozine assay (Stookey 1970; Lovley and Woodward 1996).

Flow-through reactor experiments

Flow-through reactor (FTR) experiments were performed to measure the potential rates of Fe(III) reduction (Fe(III)RR) and Fe(II) export (Fe(II)ER), which represent the fraction of Fe(II) exported from the soil to the FTR output solution. Such FTR experiments have been previously used to determine potential reaction rates and apparent kinetic parameters for sulfate reduction (Roychoudhury et al. 1998; Pallud and Van Cappellen 2006; Pallud et al. 2007; Stam et al. 2010, 2011; Tarpgaard et al. 2011; Richards and Pallud 2016), nitrate reduction (Laverman et al. 2006; 2012; Gu et al. 2012), and selenate reduction (Schilling et al. 2018). The advantages of the FTR are that reaction rates are determined for near steady-state conditions and dissolved metabolic byproducts do not accumulate in the reactor (Pallud and Van Cappellen 2006). The reaction rates obtained from our experiments are referred to as “potential” rates, as they correspond to Fe(III) reduction activity when aqueous organically complexed Fe(III) is the primary terminal electron acceptor available for the soil microbial community (Pallud and Van Cappellen 2006). FTR experiments were performed on duplicate cores from two locations (depressional wetland, transition site and slope wetland, both 30 cm depth interval), and considering the extremely good reproducibility of those (see Section “Kinetics of microbial Fe(III) reduction rates”), we conducted only one replicate for the other soils.

Each FTR contained an undisturbed soil core within a Plexiglas ring of 2 cm length and 4.2 cm inside diameter, with 0.2 μm pore size PVDF filters and glass fiber filters at each end. The FTR were sealed with Plexiglas caps kept in place using steel screws while O-rings prevented leakage. Input/output channels opened at the center of the caps at the contact with the glass fibers filters and create a uniform flow throughout the cross-section of the soils (Pallud and Van Cappellen 2006). The experiments were conducted in an anaerobic chamber with a H₂/N₂ (3–5%/97%) atmosphere and the FTR reactors were placed...
into a thermostated water bath to ensure constant temperatures of 6, 12 or 18 °C.

An input solution was supplied to the FTRs at a constant volumetric flow rate of 2.0 ± 0.1 mL h⁻¹ (corresponding to total flux of 0.3 L/m² h) controlled by a peristaltic pump. This flow rate corresponds to water residence times between 8.3 and 12.5 h for most reactors conforming to flow conditions observed in the field for the slope wetland. Each FTR was supplied with four to five successive inflow concentrations for an average of 5 days each to reach steady-state Fe(III) concentrations in the output solution. The experiments at three different temperatures (i.e., 6, 12 and 18 °C) were performed successively. To test temperature sensitivity in a seasonal framework the length of our FTR experiments was on average 30 days for each temperature setting.

The input solution was a synthetic porewater mimicking the major chemical composition of the porewater in the field that contained cations (in mg L⁻¹) of Ca²⁺ 1.47, Mg²⁺ 0.32, Na⁺ 0.41, NH₄⁺ 0.03 and K⁺ 0.01, all added as either chloride or phosphate salts and the pH was adjusted to 6.15 ± 0.05 to match the average field porewater. The input solutions were purged with O₂-free N₂. Fe(III) was added to the input solution as Fe(III)-NTA, a model compound for aqueous labile and complexed Fe present in natural organic matter (Lovley and Woodward 1996). The chosen input Fe(III) concentrations ranged between 0.15 and 10 mM to cover previously-reported Kₘ for Fe(III) (Table 3). The high Fe(III) input concentration were chosen to ensure observable differences between Fe(III) input and output concentrations without leading to a complete consumption of Fe(III) and to quantify the maximum temperature sensitivity of Vₘₐₓ possible for these wetland soils when Fe(III) input is not limited. Over the experimental duration, the input solution was covered with aluminum foil to avoid photochemical NTA degradation (Trott et al. 1972). Output samples were collected for 6 h each and total dissolved Fe and Fe(II) concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically (wavelength 562 nm) by the ferrozine method (Stookey 1970). Dissolved Fe(III) concentrations in the outflow were calculated as the difference between total dissolved Fe and Fe(II) concentrations.

Potential Fe(III) reduction rates (Fe(III)RR) and Fe(II) export rates (Fe(II)ER)

Potential steady-state Fe(III) reduction rates (Fe(III)RRs in nmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹) were calculated as:

\[ \text{Fe(III)RR} = \frac{(\text{Fe(III)}_{\text{input}} - \text{Fe(III)}_{\text{output}}) \times Q}{V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where Fe(III)_{input} is the imposed input Fe(III) concentration (nmol mL⁻¹), Fe(III)_{output} is the steady-state Fe(III) concentration measured in the outflow (nmol mL⁻¹), Q is the volumetric flow rate (mL h⁻¹) and V is the volume of soil in the reactor (cm³) (Pallud et al. 2007). Average Fe(III)RRs were calculated from 14 to 20 data points of steady-state Fe(III) concentrations in the outflow.

Potential steady-state Fe(II) export rates (Fe(II)ERs in nmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹), which represent the fraction of Fe(II) exported from the soil to the FTR outflow were calculated as:

\[ \text{Fe(II)ER} = \frac{\text{Fe(II)}_{\text{output}} \times Q}{V} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where Fe(II)_{output} is the steady-state Fe(II) concentration measured in the FTR outflow (nmol mL⁻¹). Average Fe(II)ERs were calculated from 14 to 20 steady-state data points. As Fe(II) is released as complexed and weakly sorbing Fe(II)-NTA (Urrutia et al. 1999), the Fe(II)ERs were expected to scale with Fe(III)RRs.

Determination of apparent kinetic parameters for microbial Fe(III) reduction

The utilization of a substrate by a soil microbial community, or more specifically, the consumption of Fe(III) by Fe(III)-reducing bacteria is widely described by the so-called Michaelis–Menten rate equation (Bonneville et al. 2004; Hyacinthe et al. 2006):

\[ \text{Fe(III)RR} = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times \text{Fe(III)}}{K_m + \text{Fe(III)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where V_{max} is the maximum Fe(III) reduction rate (nmol cm⁻³ h⁻¹), K_m is the affinity, or half-saturation constant for Fe(III) (mM), and Fe(III) is the variable average steady-state Fe(III) concentration. The average steady-state Fe(III) concentration (mM) in the FTR
can be approximated as \((\text{Fe(III)}_{\text{input}} + \text{Fe(III)}_{\text{output}})/2\) (Pallud et al. 2007). The kinetic parameters obtained with from the FTRs are referred to as “apparent” parameters because they reflect the response of the native microbial soil communities under conditions where factors other than the Fe(III) concentration may be limiting (Pallud and Van Cappellen 2006). These apparent kinetic parameters for Fe(III) reduction, \(V_{\text{max}}\) and \(K_m\) were obtained for each FTR experiment from the average steady-state Fe(III)RRs calculated with Eq. (1), and the average steady-state Fe(III) concentrations by performing a non-linear regression fit of the Michaelis–Menten expression to the data using the KaleidaGraph 4.5.2 software. By omitting other electron acceptors (i.e., nitrate, sulfate) and providing a highly bioavailable Fe(III) form, our kinetic parameters for microbial Fe(III) reduction are at the higher end boundary of what could happen in situ in these wetland soils.

The temperature dependence of \(V_{\text{max}}\) was expressed both as Arrhenius activation energy \((E_a)\) and the temperature coefficient \((Q_{10})\), which corresponds to the relative increase of the reaction rate for a 10 °C increase in temperature. Using the linear regression coefficient \((\text{Coef})\) from the slope of \(\ln(V_{\text{max}})\) as a function of the absolute temperature \(1/T\) in Kelvin, we determined the apparent Arrhenius activation energy \((E_a, \text{kJ mol}^{-1})\) as:

\[
E_a = -\text{Coef} \ln(V_{\text{max}})/R
\]

where \(R\) is the universal gas constant.

The apparent \(Q_{10}\) value was calculated as:

\[
Q_{10} = 10^{\left(\text{Coef} \log 10(V_{\text{max}}) \times 10\right)}
\]

where \(\text{Coef}\) is the regression coefficient obtained from the linear regression of \(\log(V_{\text{max}})\) as a function of temperature (°C) (Atkin et al. 2000).

Results

Selected soil physical, chemical and microbial characteristics

The soils’ bulk density, porosity \((P < 0.05)\), reactive Fe content, \(C_{\text{org}}\), TN and, \(C_{\text{org}} \text{TN}\) differed significantly between the two wetland types \((P < 0.01)\) (Table 1). Soil bulk density and reactive Fe content increased and soil porosity, \(C_{\text{org}}\) and TN decreased with soil depth for both wetlands. The depressional wetland soils had higher \(C_{\text{org}}\) and TN than the slope wetland soils \((P < 0.05; \text{Table 1})\). In contrast, reactive Fe(III) content was lower in the depressional than in the slope wetland \((P < 0.01; \text{Table 1})\). The abundance of culturable Fe(III)-reducing bacteria at 30 cm depth ranged from 3.2 to \(9.8 \times 10^8\) cells cm\(^{-3}\) with no significant differences between the two wetlands or the sampling locations (Table 1). For the 70 cm soil depth, the abundance of Fe(III)-reducing bacteria varied between \(4.7 \times 10^7\) cells cm\(^{-3}\) for the slope wetland and \(1.5 \text{ and } 1.9 \times 10^9\) cells cm\(^{-3}\) for the depressional wetland.

Soil chemical characteristics determined on soil subsamples collected at the end of the FTR experiments (data not shown) show that soil pH \((r = 0.76; P < 0.01)\), soil \(C_{\text{org}}\) \((r = 0.97; P < 0.01)\), TN \((r = 0.97; P < 0.01)\) and \(C_{\text{org}} \text{TN}\) \((r = 0.95, P < 0.01)\) were not significantly altered during the experiment.

Flow-through reactor experiments

We observed a fast Fe(III) breakthrough after 6 hours (0.5 pore volumes) for all FTR experiments. The outflow Fe(III) concentrations reached steady-state within 6 hours to 2.5 days (1–5 pore volumes) after each change in Fe(III)-NTA input concentration and/or temperature setting (Fig. S1). In all FTR experiments, Fe(III)RRs increased with increasing Fe(III) input concentration and increasing temperature (Fig. 2). To assess whether our Fe(III) reduction rates...
only depend on the Fe(III) concentration and follow a pseudo first-order rather than a Michaelis–Menten rate model, we examined the linear relationship between Fe(III)RRs relative to steady-state Fe(III) concentration (Fig. S2). Linear regression coefficients provided clear evidence that a simple first-order reduction rate did not describe our FTR data. Thus, our FTR data was best modeled using Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Fig. 2; Table S2). Fe(II) was detected in the outflow after 6 hours (0.5 pore volumes) for all FTR experiments and reached steady-state within 0.5–1.5 days (1–2.5 pore volumes) for most Fe(III) input concentrations and temperature settings (Fig. S1). Iron(II) export rates (Fe(II)ERs) increased with increasing Fe(III) input concentrations and exhibited a strong positive correlation with Fe(III)RRs across sites, depths and temperature (Fig. 3).

Kinetics of microbial Fe(III) reduction rates

Apparent maximum Fe(III) reduction rates (V max) ranged from 25 to 688 nmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ including all study sites and temperature settings (Fig. 4). Across wetland types, sites and depths, V max values at 18 °C were significantly higher than those at 6 and 12 °C ($P < 0.05$). The V max values were always higher at 30 cm than at 70 cm soil depth at the same wetland site (Fig. 4). For the depressional wetland, the response of V max to temperature varied among sites and depths. At 6 and 18 °C, the V max values at 30 cm depth were nearly similar for the center and transition sites, however, V max at 12 °C was 4 times higher at the center than transition site (Fig. 4). In addition, we observed statistically significant correlations between V max and soil porosity and dry bulk density (Table S3).

Apparent K m values varied between wetland types, sites and soil depths ranging from 0.8 to 13.6 mM Fe(III) (Fig. 5). For all wetland soils, K m values at 18 °C were significantly higher than at 12 °C ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 5). The kinetic data of Fe(III) reduction from duplicate FTR experiments and duplicate soil cores showed similar V max and K m for all three temperature settings for both the slope ($P = 0.53$) and depressional wetland ($P = 0.83$) (Fig. S3). Likewise, the temperature sensitivity of V max for these duplicate experiments were nearly identical (Fig. S3). The soil properties TN and C org significantly affected the K m values at all wetland sites. ($P < 0.05$; Supplementary Table S3). The temperature sensitivity of V max, expressed as temperature coefficient ($Q_{10}$) and activation energy ($E_a$) did not differ significantly between wetland types ($P = 0.29$) and depths ($P = 0.22$; Fig. 4). The $Q_{10}$ values varied between 1.5 and 4.2, except for the

Table 1 Overview of the main characteristics of the study wetland soils at the USFS Fraser Experimental Forest, Colorado, USA

| Wetland type | Location | Soil depth (cm) | Bulk density (g cm$^{-3}$)* | Porosity (%)* | Soil pH | Reactive Fe(III) (μmol g$^{-1}$)** | C$_{org}$ (wt %)** | TN (wt %)** | C$_{org}$/TN** | Fe(III) reducing bacteria (MPN) |
|--------------|----------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Depressional | Center   | 30             | 0.29                       | 89.1          | 4.6    | 22.3 (2.8)                       | 10.51 (0.12)    | 0.94 (0.01) | 11.2 (0.06)  | 3.2 × 10$^8$             |
|              |          | 70             | 0.99                       | 62.9          | 4.6    | 31.8 (5.6)                       | 8.08 (0.25)     | 0.53 (< 0.01) | 12.8 (0.06)  | 2.0 × 10$^9$             |
| Transition   | 30       | 0.41           | 84.5                       | 4.1           | 41.3 (11.7) | 13.40 (0.35)                  | 0.96 (0.02)     | 13.9 (0.19) | 4.5 × 10$^8$ |
|              |          | 70             | 1.05                       | 60.5          | 4.0    | 44.7 (5.6)                       | 9.55 (0.26)     | 0.61 (0.04) | 15.7 (0.57)  | 1.5 × 10$^9$             |
| Edge         | 30       | 0.65           | 75.5                       | 4.0           | 87.1   | 3.12 (0.19)                      | 0.19 (0.02)     | 16.4 (0.38) | 9.8 × 10$^8$ |
|              |          | 70             | 1.94                       | 27.1          | 4.0    | 376.4 (74.8)                     | 0.29 (0.01)     | 0.03 (< 0.01) | 9.5 (0.19)   | 4.7 × 10$^7$             |
| Slope        | Base     | 30             | 0.44                       | 83.4          | 5.3    | 202.2 (43)                       | 3.54 (0.13)     | 0.20 (0.01) | 18.9 (1.12) | 4.5 × 10$^8$             |
|              |          | 70             | 1.94                       | 27.1          | 4.0    | 376.4 (74.8)                     | 0.29 (0.01)     | 0.03 (< 0.01) | 9.5 (0.19)   | 4.7 × 10$^7$             |

Values in parentheses represent the standard deviation for duplicate analysis. Difference in soil characteristics between the two wetland types were determined by one-way ANOVA with significant relationship *$P < 0.05$, and **$P < 0.01$. **
Fig. 2  Average steady-state potential Fe(III) reduction rates (Fe(III)RR) as a function of the average Fe(III) steady-state concentration in the FTR at three temperatures a 6 °C, b 12 °C and c 18 °C and two soil depths (30 cm and 70 cm) for depressional and slope wetland. The lines represent fitting of Michaelis–Menten kinetics. The error bars represent the standard deviation of measured steady-state Fe(III) concentrations (x-axis) and potential Fe(III) reduction rates (y-axis). When not visible, the error bars are within the size of the symbol.
70 cm slope wetland soil, which had a much higher value of 8.9 (Fig. 4). Across the depressional wetland, $E_a$ and $Q_{10}$ at 30 cm depth were nearly similar for all three sites (Fig. 4) with values ranging between 57 and 70 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for $E_a$ and 2.4 and 2.8 for $Q_{10}$. The temperature coefficient $Q_{10}$ was significantly influenced by the physico-chemical soil properties at both soil depths (Table 2). $Q_{10}$ increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) with decreasing reactive Fe(III) phase at 30 cm soil depth. $Q_{10}$ values were marginally related to both $C_{org}/TN$ ($P = 0.06$) and TN ($P = 0.08$) at 30 cm soil depth. At 70 cm soil depth, $Q_{10}$ increased as $C_{org}/TN$ declined ($P < 0.05$) and the correlation between $C_{org}$ and $Q_{10}$ was marginal ($P < 0.1$; Table 2).

**Discussion**

Method validation for Fe(III) reduction kinetics

Both depressional and slope-type subalpine wetlands show a potential for microbial Fe(III) reduction, irrespective of location within the wetland or soil depth. As microbial degradation of complexed NTA does not take place in the absence of O$_2$ (Wang et al. 2008; Lovley and Woodward 1996), NTA supply was unlikely to have promoted microbial growth of Fe(III)-reducing soil bacteria in our anaerobic FTR experiments. Also, as no Fe(II) was supplied in the input solution, its release from the FTRs corresponds to the production of Fe(II) as a result of microbial

![Fig. 3 Correlation between steady-state potential Fe(III) reduction rates and Fe(II) export rates (nmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) for the slope and depressional wetlands measured at 6, 12 and 18 °C. The dashed lines are the linear regressions ($r^2$) representing the correlation between Fe(III) reduction rate and Fe(II) export rate for all wetland soils and depths. Error bars represent standard deviations for the calculated rates from steady-state Fe(III) and Fe(II) concentrations in the outflow.](image-url)
Fe(III) reduction. The strong correlation (0.56 < r² < 0.85) between Fe(II)ERs and Fe(III)RRs observed for all experiments indicates that Fe(III) reduction and Fe(II) production are nearly concomitant (Fig. 3). Further, the good agreement between the Fe(II)ERs and Fe(III)RRs suggests that the retention of Fe(III) on cation exchange sites within the soil and Fe(III) precipitation inside the reactor play...
All Fe(III) complexed with NTA is reduced and released as soluble Fe(II)-NTA without breaking the bond with NTA (Wang et al. 2008). The continuous discharge of weakly sorbing uncharged Fe(II)-NTA (Urrutia et al. 1999) inhibits the retention of Fe(II) by adsorption and precipitation inside the reactor. Thus, the Fe(II)ERs represent the maximum export of Fe(II) from the reactor. Under natural wetland conditions with lower discharge such as for the depressional wetland, we expect that a large fraction of dissolved Fe(II) adsorbs on soil oxide minerals (Urrutia et al. 1999), bacterial cells (Liu et al. 2002), and natural organic matter (Daugherty et al. 2017).

Effect of temperature on apparent $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$

Our results demonstrate that the apparent kinetic parameters for microbial Fe(III) reduction are temperature sensitive and an increase in temperature is paralleled by an increase in $V_{\text{max}}$. Both apparent $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$ are significantly different at 18 °C relative to 6 and 12 °C ($P < 0.05$), suggesting that microbial Fe(III) reduction is likely to change seasonally in these subalpine wetlands. The observed temperature sensitivity of the apparent kinetic parameters is linked either to physiological changes within the existing soil microbial community or conformation of enzymes with higher temperature (Wallenstein et al. 2010; Bradford 2013). Considering the low mean annual temperature (0.6 °C air temperature) at the study sites (Fig. 1), we assume that the soil microbial communities are dominated by psychrophilic and psychrotolerant microorganisms, which have an optimum growth temperature below 15 °C and do not grow above 20 °C (e.g., D’Amico et al. 2006). More specifically, optimum growth temperature for psychrophilic Fe(III)-reducing bacteria isolated from Arctic sediments was estimated to be 14 °C (Vandieken et al. 2006). Therefore, temperature above the optimum can affect the conformational enzyme structure (Bradford 2013) resulting in lower Fe(III) affinity without any significant change in the composition of the microbial soil community. In this study, a temperature increase from 6 to 12 °C presumably enhances the activity of functionally similar enzymes (higher $V_{\text{max}}$) of psychrophilic and psychrotolerant microorganisms but without significant change in Fe(III) affinity. As a result, the positive correlation between $K_m$ and the soil properties $C_{\text{org}}$ and TN (Table S3) is potentially linked to the functional and physiological changes in Fe(III)-reducing soil communities with increasing temperature as organic substrates are required for the microbial Fe(III) reduction to take place.

Our study is the first to obtain apparent $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ for the reduction of organically-complexed Fe(III) (as Fe(III)-NTA) by complex soil microbial communities in intact soil cores that better approximate Fe dynamics in natural ecosystems than pure culture or slurry experiments. The Fe(III) in Fe(III)-NTA is highly available to soil bacteria (Bonneville et al. 2004). This explains why our $K_m$ values are one order of magnitude higher than $K_m$ values reported for most solid Fe(III) forms, such as reduction of amorphous Fe(III), hematite and goethite by *Shewanella putrefaciens* (Bonneville et al. 2004). However, about one-fifth of the Fe in soils and sediments is found in soluble Fe(III) complexed with organic matter that, like Fe(III)-NTA is highly accessible to soil bacteria (Gustafsson et al. 2007; Karlsson et al. 2008; Lalonde et al. 2012; Lovley and Woodward 1996).

| Soil characteristic | Soil depth (cm) | $Q_{10}$ | $P$ value | $R^2$ |
|---------------------|----------------|--------|----------|-------|
| $C_{\text{org}}$    | 30             | 0.10   | 0.151    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.08   | 0.952    |       |
| TN                  | 30             | 0.08   | 0.245    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.13   | 0.879    |       |
| $C_{\text{org}}$/TN | 30             | 0.06   | 0.640    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.01*  | 0.999    |       |
| pH                  | 30             | 0.06   | 0.758    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.87   | 0.009    |       |
| Dry bulk density    | 30             | 0.21   | 0.003    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.63   | 0.783    |       |
| Porosity            | 30             | 0.81   | 0.003    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.21   | 0.783    |       |
| Reactive Fe(III)    | 30             | 0.007**| 0.829    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.41   | 0.847    |       |
| MPN                 | 30             | 0.14   | 0.013    |       |
|                     | 70             | 0.22   | 0.645    |       |

The statistical analysis *, and **represent the significant relationship of $Q_{10}$ to soil characteristics and soil depths at $P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.01$. The biodegradation of NTA in soil is relatively minor. All Fe(III) complexed with NTA is reduced and released as soluble Fe(II)-NTA without breaking the bond with NTA (Wang et al. 2008). The continuous discharge of weakly sorbing uncharged Fe(II)-NTA (Urrutia et al. 1999) inhibits the retention of Fe(II) by adsorption and precipitation inside the reactor. Thus, the Fe(II)ERs represent the maximum export of Fe(II) from the reactor. Under natural wetland conditions with lower discharge such as for the depressional wetland, we expect that a large fraction of dissolved Fe(II) adsorbs on soil oxide minerals (Urrutia et al. 1999), bacterial cells (Liu et al. 2002), and natural organic matter (Daugherty et al. 2017).
Consequently, our apparent $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ likely describe realistic in situ Fe reduction rates under optimal and higher-end boundary conditions.

Our $K_m$ values for Fe(III)-NTA reduction by wetland soil microbial communities were 3-4 orders of magnitude higher than reported for *Shewanella* species grown with Fe(III)-NTA (Liu et al. 2002; Table 3). Bacteria used in pure culture studies are typically selected for their high affinity for Fe(III) and generate $K_m$ values that may not accurately represent environmental conditions. In contrast, our approach aggregates the $K_m$ values of all organisms capable of reducing Fe(III).

### Table 3 Overview of maximum potential Fe(III) reduction rates ($V_{max}$), Fe(III) affinity constant ($K_m$), activation energy ($E_a$) and temperature coefficient ($Q_{10}$) reported for Fe(III) reduction under various experimental methods and conditions

| $V_{max}$ (nmol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) | $K_m$ (mM) | $E_a$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $Q_{10}$ | Material | Method | Temp. (°C) | Reference |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|----------|--------|------------|-----------|
| 48–868                      | 0.8–13.6  | 28–70                | 1.5–4.2 | Depressional wetland soils, subalpine Rocky Mountains (CO, USA) | FTR | 6–18 | This study |
| 25–409                      | 2.3–6.8   | 26–148               | 1.5–8.9 | Slope wetland soils, subalpine Rocky Mountains (CO, USA) | FTR | 6–18 | This study |
| 1.6–2.1$^b$                | 15–28     | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* with lepidocrocite | Batch | 25 | Bonneville et al. (2004) |
| 6.9–10$^b$                 | 0.7–3.0   | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* with ferrihydrite | Batch | 25 | Bonneville et al. (2004) |
| 0.3–3.0$^b$                | 0.6–4.9   | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* with hematite | Batch | 25 | Bonneville et al. (2004) |
| 6.7–8.9$^b$                | 0.6–1.4   | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* with amorphous Fe(III) | Batch | 25 | Bonneville et al. (2004) |
| 0.52                       |           | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* with goethite | Batch | 25 | Liu et al. (2002) |
| 0.003                      |           | Pure culture of *Shewanella alga* BrY with Fe(III)-NTA | Batch | 30 | Liu et al. (2002) |
| 0.002–0.006               |           | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* CN32 with Fe(III)-NTA | Batch | 30 | Liu et al. (2002) |
| 0.005                      |           | Pure culture of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR1 with Fe(III)-NTA | Batch | 30 | Liu et al. (2002) |
| 0.004                      |           | Pure culture of *Geobacter metallireducens* with Fe(III)-NTA | Batch | 30 | Liu et al. (2002) |
| 9.5–30.2$^b$              | 0.3–11.1  | Pure culture of *Shewanella putrefaciens* 200R with sterilized estuarine sediments, Scheldt Estuary (Belgium and The Netherlands) | Slurries | 25 | Hyacinthe et al. (2006) |
| 44–52$^a$                  |           | Freshwater wetland sediments, Talladega National Forest (AL, USA) | Slurries | 22 | Roden and Wetzel (1996) |
| 1.19–3.54$^a$             |           | Freshwater lake sediments supplemented with dried cyanobacterial biomass, Lake Taihu (China) | Slurries | 4–32 | Chen et al. (2014) |
| 42–46$^a$                  | 1.8–2.0$^a$| Pit lake sediment supplemented with synthetic Fe(III)hydroxide, Plessa, Brandenburg (Germany) | Slurries | 4–27 | Meier et al. (2005) |

$^a$Calculated from from Fe(III) reduction rates, while our temperature sensitivity ($E_a$ and $Q_{10}$) were derived from $V_{max}$

$^b$Values expressed as cell-specific rates ($10^{11}$ nmol h$^{-1}$ cell$^{-1}$), while our data show $V_{max}$ values per volume of sediment
utilizing Fe(III) as a terminal electron acceptor. The high abundance of culturable Fe(III)-reducing bacteria and high $V_{\text{max}}$ we measured are evidence that soils of both wetland types have high potential for Fe(III) reduction. The abundance of culturable Fe(III)-reducing bacteria in the study wetlands exceeded that of other wetland soils by up to two orders of magnitude (Roden and Wetzel 1996, 2002; Weiss et al. 2004). In addition, due to transport limitations that occur in soils, substrate accessibility is lower for particle-bound soil microbial communities compared to cell suspensions or slurry experiments. Consequently, substrate affinities in batch experiments with bacterial pure cultures are likely overestimated since mass transfer and Fe(III) accessibility are maximized.

The availability of reactive Fe(III) (Table 1) controls in situ Fe(III) reduction potential and is different for the two wetland types. In the case of the slope wetland, reactive soil Fe(III) measured for the two soil depths were greater than the determined $K_m$ values. This implies that microbial Fe(III) reduction is not limited by Fe availability under field conditions in the slope wetland soils. In contrast, at the depressional wetland reactive soil Fe(III) is lower than the determined $K_m$ values, indicating that Fe(III)-reducing bacteria operate below their maximum potential rate. Consequently, in situ Fe(III) reduction in the depressional wetland soils follows a first-order reaction with respect to ambient reactive soil Fe(III) indicating potential Fe(III) limitation to soil bacteria.

Factors controlling variation in $Q_{10}$ and $E_a$ values

The large variations in $Q_{10}$ and $E_a$ values within subalpine wetland soils compared to other ecosystems (Table 3) suggest that a single value for temperature sensitivity is insufficient to quantify the seasonal temperature change for predictive Fe(III) reduction models. Our apparent $Q_{10}$’s for Fe(III) reduction (average $Q_{10} = 3.5$) are comparable to those measured for other microbially-mediated redox processes in other cold and temperate ecosystems. For example, $Q_{10}$ of 3.9 were reported for sulfate reduction (2–12 °C) in cold marine sediments (Isaksen and Jorgensen 1996) and $Q_{10}$ values between 3.4 and 5.6 were reported for soil respiration in temperate forest soils (Davidson et al. 1998). The $Q_{10}$’s for Fe(III) reduction in the subalpine wetland soils are slightly higher than those reported for microbial Fe(III) reduction in temperate (Table 3; Meier et al. 2005) and subtropical lake sediments (Table 3; Chen et al. 2014). Further, apparent $E_a$ values for Fe(III) reduction obtained here for subalpine wetland soils show larger variation than observed for temperate freshwater wetland (44–52 kJ mol$^{-1}$; Roden and Wetzel 1996) and lake sediments (42–46 kJ mol$^{-1}$; Meier et al. 2005). This indicates that Fe cycling in subalpine ecosystems is more temperature sensitive than in warmer climates, a finding consistent with the observation that the temperature sensitivity of microbially-mediated soil reactions is greater in colder compared to warmer climates (German et al. 2012).

The variability in $Q_{10}$ (1.5–8.9) and $E_a$ values (26–148 kJ mol$^{-1}$) among the studied wetland soils reflects the variations in $C_{\text{org}}/TN$ and reactive soil Fe(III). We found that reactive soil Fe(III) is the primary control on $Q_{10}$ in soils from 30 cm depth at both wetlands. $Q_{10}$ is almost twice as high in soils with the lowest compared to the highest reactive Fe(III) content (22.3 μmol g$^{-1}$ vs. 376 μmol g$^{-1}$). This suggests that microbial Fe(III) reduction is more temperature sensitive when reactive soil Fe(III) is low. Its correlation with $Q_{10}$ suggests that microbes may first utilize available, reactive Fe(III) during short-term warming fluctuations, such as experienced during seasonal change. On the other hand, the higher $Q_{10}$ values observed in the 70 cm depth soils are significantly influenced by $C_{\text{org}}/TN$ content.

Implication for microbial Fe(III) reduction in wetlands

Our study provides a first approximation of how seasonal temperature change can affect microbial Fe(III) reduction in subalpine wetland soils. Maximum Fe(III) reduction rates ($V_{\text{max}}$) follow an expected seasonal pattern in both subalpine wetlands. The significantly higher $V_{\text{max}}$ measured at 18 °C demonstrate that Fe cycling is likely to accelerate during warmer summer days in these subalpine wetlands.

Temperature sensitivities of microbially-mediated processes vary (Wallenstein et al. 2010) when the composition and enzymatic reaction rates of microbial soil assemblages change seasonally. The observed changes in $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_m$ with temperature for soils of both subalpine wetlands emphasize the importance of deriving temperature-specific Fe(III) reduction kinetics to predict dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction for
seasonal temperature variations or in wetlands located in different temperature regimes. The apparent $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values for the 6 °C FTR experiments exhibit most likely Fe(III) reduction kinetics for temperature conditions during late spring and early autumn (Fig. 1; Elder 2006) with predominantly psychrophilic Fe(III)-reducing bacteria. The apparent $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values at 12 °C FTR correspond to the highest mean temperature of 12.2 °C in July (Fig. 1; Elder 2006) and seems to represent the optimum temperature for psychrophilic Fe(III)-reducing bacteria. Accordingly, our results are the first step toward linking in situ Fe(III) reduction kinetics with potential seasonal temperature variation.

Depth-related variation in soil properties on $Q_{10}$ and $E_a$ indicates that spatial heterogeneity in temperature sensitivity for microbial Fe(III) reduction should be integrated into projections of the impacts of seasonal temperature change. Our findings on temperature sensitivity ($Q_{10}$ and $E_a$) for microbial Fe(III) reduction could be extrapolated to other cold or temperate, water-saturated ecosystems with similar seasonal pattern. Fe(III) reduction reactions could be particularly important in environments where Fe(III) reduction inhibits CH$_4$ production (Kruger et al. 2001; Kogel-Knabner et al. 2010; Herndon et al. 2015) though such extrapolation requires caution. For example, our laboratory-based approach reflects short-term temperature responses that do not account for longer-term changes related to acclimation of microbial soil communities to changing environmental conditions. Future studies may address if changes in physiology and taxa of soil microbial community and acclimation affects the Fe(III) reduction kinetics and $Q_{10}$. Our findings are a step toward improved understanding of the response of microbial Fe(III) reduction kinetics to increasing temperature and how temperature sensitivity differs among wetland types and with varying soil properties.

A thorough knowledge of the causes for the variability in Fe(III) reduction kinetics and temperature sensitivity in wetland ecosystems can be used to evaluate the release of dissolved organic carbon and nutrients (e.g., phosphorous) due to reductive Fe(III) dissolution. Thus, our results on Fe(III) reduction kinetics have direct implications for tracing the cycling of dissolved organically-complexed Fe(III) and dissolved C$_{org}$. As approximately one-fifth of Fe in the environment is complexed with organic matter (Gustafsson et al. 2007; Karlsson et al. 2008; Lalonde et al. 2012), up to 14 times higher $V_{max}$ at 18 °C than at 6 °C accelerates the reductive dissolution of Fe(III) and the release of organically-complexed Fe(II) from soils to the solution. Such change in the Fe redox state influences the stabilization of soil C pools due to interactions between Fe-bearing minerals and soil organic matter (Borch et al. 2010; Wagai and Mayer 2007). Although Fe(III) reduction kinetics in this study reflect only the temperature response of microbial reduction of dissolved organically-complexed Fe(III), our data can be used as high-end boundary kinetic values for Fe(III) reduction to optimize Fe cycling models.

**Conclusion**

Our experiments demonstrate that even short-term temperature increase induce changes in dissimilatory Fe(III) reduction kinetics of organically complexed Fe(III). Indeed, temperature increase governs microbial Fe(III) reduction directly by changes in Fe(III) reduction kinetics ($V_{max}$ and $K_m$) at both subalpine wetland types. Soil C$_{org}$/TN and reactive Fe content are significant factors regulating the variation in $Q_{10}$ and $E_a$ within the wetland soils. In conclusion, our study provides a much-needed approach for determining how seasonal temperature variations affect Fe(III) cycling under environmental and soil-physical conditions relevant for various wetland types.
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