Corneal Endothelial Cell Density and Retinal Nerve Fiber Layer in Primary Open Angle Glaucoma, Normal Tension Glaucoma and Ocular Hypertension
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ABSTRACT
Purpose: To compare the corneal endothelial cell density (CED) and retinal nerve fiber layer thickness (RNFL) in primary open angle glaucoma (POAG), normal tension glaucoma (NTG) and ocular hypertension (OHT).
Study Design: Cross sectional Observational study.
Place and Duration of Study: Khyber Teaching Hospital, Peshawar, from April 2016 to March 2018.
Methods: Patients having a single IOP reading of 21 mm Hg or more with glaucomatous cupping, visual field defect and open angle were labeled as POAG. Patients with IOP less than 21 mm Hg with same findings were labeled as NTG. Those eyes with raised IOP (more than 21 mm Hg), normal visual field and optic disc were labeled as OHT. Corneal endothelial cell count, central corneal thickness and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) thickness were measured in patients of POAG, NTG and OHT. These were compared with normal age matched values.
Results: Thirty eyes with POAG, 10 with OHT and 10 with NTG were included in the study. In patients with POAG there was 13.33% CED and 27.7% mean RNFL thickness loss. In patients with NTG there was 3.06% CED and 34.04% mean RNFL thickness loss. In patients with OHT there was 7.17% CED and 5.5% mean RNFL thickness loss.
Conclusion: The loss of both RNFL thickness and CED occurs in POAG, OHT and NTG. Severe loss of RNFL thickness occurs in POAG and NTG while severe loss of CED occurs in POAG and OHT. Mild loss of RNFL thickness occurs in OHT while mild loss of CED occurs in NTG.
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INTRODUCTION
Glaucoma is a progressive optic neuropathy associated with transient or permanent rise of IOP leading to visual field defects or even blindness. Glaucoma is a multifactorial disease in which there is progressive degeneration of retinal ganglion cells along with their axons. The proposed theories of glaucomatous damage are ischemic, mechanical and neurotoxin related. Glaucomatous optic neuropathy with physiological IOP was labeled as low tension glaucoma (LTG) by
Voncraft in 19th century.1 Within the spectrum of POAG, a physiological IOP has been classified as normal tension glaucoma (NTG), or low tension glaucoma (LTG).2,3 NTG is the most accurate terminology used for these types of glaucoma. OCT and scanning laser modalities have confirmed thinning of the peri-papillary choroid as well as thinning of ganglion cell layer in NTG as compared to normal eyes.4,5

The term ocular hypertension (OHT) was used by Chandler PA and Drance in 1962. This was defined by Perkins and others in 1966 as a condition with the following criteria;6 IOP greater than 21 mm Hg on two or more occasions, normal VF, optic disc and RNFL, normal open angle, absence of ocular conditions contributing to a rise in the IOP e.g. uveitis and neovascular conditions. The terms ocular hypertension, glaucoma suspect and pre-glaucoma were used by Jhonsson TD and Zimmerman TJ.7

Whatever is the mechanism of glaucoma, its effects will be on all ocular tissues especially on corneal endothelium, optic nerve head and retinal nerve fiber layer.

Normally 0.6% of corneal endothelial cell density is lost per year from age 15 years onwards.8 Normal endothelial cell count in the sub-continent population is 2408 ± 274 cell/mm², while in Japanese it is 3749 ± 407 cell/mm² at the age of 40 years and above. With Specular microscope, the examination of corneal endothelial cell density is possible in detail. At birth, the endothelial cell count is between 4000-5000 cells/mm². With age there is a decline in the cell count; at the age of 40 and above it comes down to 2000-3000 cells/mm². Endothelial cell count below 500 cells/mm² poses a risk for corneal endothelial dysfunction.8

In Glaucoma, the corneal endothelial cell density (CED) is affected directly by the high IOP or by congenital anomaly of endothelium or by anti-glaucoma medication toxicity.9,10 There are anatomical and functional changes. The anatomical changes appear before the functional changes. Early diagnosis relies on detecting the anatomical changes. The analysis of these structural changes is facilitated by color fundus images and optical coherence tomography (OCT). These give us qualitative and quantitative information about optic nerve and RNFL in Glaucoma.11

A normal non-glaucomatous eye has RNFL thickness of 80 microns or greater, whereas 70-79 microns thickness of RNFL is suspicious while 60-69 microns thickness is glaucomatous in 95% of cases. Normally the neuro retinal rim of optic nerve head is comparatively thickest inferiorly and thinnest temporally. When the optic nerve does not follow this rule, it may have glaucomatous damage12 but it may not be very effective in detection of early glaucoma.13

The aim of this study was to find the relationship between loss of corneal endothelium and retinal nerve fiber layer in open angle glaucoma, normal tension glaucoma and ocular hypertension.

METHODS

This prospective study included 50 eyes, 30 with POAG, 10 eyes with NTG and 10 eyes diagnosed with OHT. We used specular microscopy to find out the corneal endothelial status. To determine the thickness of RNFL, OCT was performed. The results were compared with normal age-matched database.

Specular microscopy was performed to see status of corneal endothelial cells with non-contact instrument (Konan Medical, Hyogo, Japan). Several photographs were taken and only clear ones were selected for interpretation of CED. Endothelial cells were analyzed by the dot method, in which the sites of approximately 30 – 80 contiguous cells were marked. Ultrasonic pachymetry was performed for central corneal thickness. IOP phasing was done at 6 hour intervals with Goldman application tonometer. A single IOP reading of 21 mm Hg or more with glaucomatous cupping, visual field defect and open angle were labeled as POAG. Patients with IOP of 21 mm Hg or less, with glaucomatous cupping, visual field defect and open angle were labeled as NTG. The eyes with raised IOP (more than 21 mm Hg), normal visual field and optic disc were labeled as OHT. OCT of optic disc and RNFL were performed on all patients. The findings were compared with normal age-matched controls (Table 1).
DISCUSSION
The two important non regenerative structures in eye are corneal endothelium (CE) and RNFL. Corneal endothelial cell loss and decreased RNFL has been reported by raised IOP in POAG and OHT. Several studies have been conducted employing specular microscopy to examine the CED separately in either POAG or OHT or NTG. No reliable study was found to have examined CED and retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) loss in POAG, OHT and NTG together.

Association of Corneal endothelial cell loss with POAG, NTG and OHT has been reported in literature. Other studies have also reported lower CED in NTG eyes. The association between raised IOP and endothelial cell loss has been reported by Cho SW et al, where the mean CED was 2696.7 ± 303.9 cells/mm² in NTG, 2370.5 ± 392.3 cells/mm² in POAG and 2723.6 ± 300.6 cells/mm² in the normal age matched population. While this shows a significantly lower CED in eyes with POAG than in NTG, it also indicates a loss of CED in NTG as compared to the normal group. In our study the CED was 2595 cells/mm² in NTG as compared to 2677 cells/mm² in the normal age group. This shows a loss of 3.06%. Similar results were reported in other studies.

In NTG/LTG, optic neuropathy and endothelial cell loss or damage has been reported by Lee et al. In their study the endothelial cell count was significantly lower in NTG vs POAG (2380 ± 315.4 vs 2530 ± 320.4). Changes and decrease in corneal endothelial cell density in POAG have been reported in other studies. Knorr et al. reported a 31% reduction of CED in POAG.

Urban et al in their study found that the CED was significantly lower in eyes with POAG, being 2639.5 cells/mm² as compared with 2924.5 cells/mm² in OHT and 2955 cells/mm² in the control group. In the study of Prasannakumary et al, the mean CED in POAG patients was significantly lower (2211.13 ± 171.49 cells/mm² in right eye, 2198.20 ± 154.39 cells/mm² in left eye) compared to control group (2417.43 ± 116.92 in right eye and 2390.18 ± 101.31 cells/mm² in left eye). This study is similar to our POAG findings. All these studies confirm the loss of CED in POAG and OHT. The hypothesis for corneal endothelial damage in glaucoma and ocular hypertension is that it is brought about by elevated IOP and medication induced toxicity.

As far as loss of thickness of RNFL is concerned, it has been reported with raised IOP. Interestingly thin RNFL has been reported in ocular hypertensive eyes with thinner corneas, whereas ocular hypertensive eyes with thick and normal cornea had normal nerve fiber layer thickness. Asymmetry in intra ocular pressure in the two eyes of the same patients with NTG resulted in more thinning of the RNFL in eyes with the higher IOP.

In the study by Tarek et al the mean RNFL thickness was 97.2 ± 9.24 um in healthy subjects, while it was thinner being 60.2 ± 15.9 um in POAG eyes. This is comparable to our study where it was 65 um in POAG. In the study of Gyatsho at et al, OCT detected RNFL thickness differences in POAG, OHT and normal age matched controls. The findings were thinner RNFL in OHT eyes than normal while thinner RNFL in POAG eyes than OHT eyes.

In a study by Christopher et al, the mean RNFL thickness was 72.8 um in OHT eyes compared to normal eyes, which was 85 um. This observation shows thinner RNFL in OHT eyes. Further findings of his study were that RNFL was thinner in the inferior quadrant, 84.8 um versus 107.6 um, while in the nasal quadrant it was 44 um versus 61.8 um respectively. In the same study, RNFL thickness was less in glaucomatous eyes than in OHT and normal eyes in all quadrants. In our study the mean RNFL thickness in OHT was 85 um compared with 90 um in the normal eyes.

Many studies including our study confirm the loss of RNFL thickness in open angle glaucoma and OHT. Based on the findings of this study our observation is
that in POAG, OHT and in NTG both the vital tissues i.e., the corneal endothelium and the nerve fiber layer are affected. The mechanism may be raised IOP, ischemia and toxins in ocular fluids.

Limitation of this study was small sample size. Large population and multiple centered studies are needed to get a complete picture of these characteristics in a particular region.

CONCLUSION
The loss of both RNFL thickness and CED occurs in POAG, OHT and NTG. Severe loss of RNFL thickness occurs in POAG and NTG while severe loss of CED occurs in POAG and OHT. Mild loss of RNFL thickness occurs in OHT while mild loss of CED occurs in NTG.
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