Introduction

Falls are a major cause of injury in all ages, and account for 10% to 15% of emergency department (ED) visits.[1] In elderly patients, a fall on level ground may represent a high-risk group for injury and concurrent comorbidities that warrant trauma service evaluation. They should be triaged appropriately as they have higher rates of mortality when compared with younger adults.[2] The most effective means of reducing the incidence of any injury is prevention. Identifying characteristics and relating factors to severe fall injuries in the elderly population is necessary to select management targets and establish a prevention strategy. There have been many studies on injuries associated with low-velocity falls or ground level falls in the elderly population. However, studies on the characteristics and relating factors to severe injuries caused by falls on level ground are lacking. In the elderly population, injuries most commonly occur in residential and medical facilities. The most frequent indoor area where elderly patients fell was bedroom followed by the living room, ground-level falls injuries also occur mainly when performing daily activities.[3] In our community, children are often left to play unmonitored in open playgrounds with uneven terrain and premises of colonies and hence frequently sustain injuries because of falls.

It is important to know the severity of injuries and outcome of patients who sustain injuries because of this particular mechanism to be better prepared to manage these emergencies. Hence, we conducted this study to determine the incidence of fall on level ground presenting as acute emergencies and to study the profile and outcome of these patients.
Methods

Study design
We conducted this retrospective study of patients presenting with trauma to the emergency department in 1 year.

Setting
The study was conducted in the ED of Christian Medical College Hospital, Vellore, which is a large tertiary care hospital in South India. The ED is a 50-bed department and tends to about 300 patients per day, including trauma and nontrauma patients.

Participants
We recruited all patients presenting with fall on level ground to our ED during the study period. In addition, we reviewed a total of 596 patient’s charts over a period of 12 months (January 2018 to December 2018).

Variables
The charts were reviewed and the relevant details of history, clinical findings, laboratory investigations, and X-rays findings were documented in the study form. The management in ED, either conservative or surgical, were noted. The patients’ outcomes from the ED about admission, discharged, and leave against medical advice were documented.

Outcome variable
Severity of injuries, hospital admission, and requirement of major surgical interventions.

Bias: This was a retrospective study; therefore, we could not control exposure or outcome assessment and instead relied on others for accurate recordkeeping.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS), Windows (SPSS Inc. released 2015, version 26.0. Armonk NY, USA). Continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Categorical and nominal variables were presented as percentages. Factors for associations with patients aged more than 60 years were determined by bivariate analysis, followed by multivariate logistic regression analysis, and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. For all tests, a two-sided \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations
The institutional review board approved this study prior to the commencement of the study (IRB Min no: 12222 dated August 22, 2019). Patient confidentiality was maintained using unique identifiers and by password protected data entry software with restricted users.

Results
During the study period, 74,486 patients presented to the ED with 7661 (10.2%) being trauma cases. Patients with fall on level ground comprised of 596 (7.8%) patients and were included in the analysis [Figure 1]. The mean age was 40.9 (25.9) years with an equal male: female ratio. A quarter (23%) were aged less than 15 years while the elderly (>60 years) comprised of 29.5%. The baseline characteristics, including triage priority level and examination findings at admission, are shown in Table 1. The lower limbs (36.6%), upper limbs (23.9%), and face (15.3%) were the areas of the body most commonly injured. A detailed graphic representation is shown in Figure 2.

The type of injuries sustained is shown in Figure 1. Major injuries like fractures or dislocations were seen in 251 (42.2%) patients, while 21 (3.5%) sustained traumatic brain injury and presented with a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of less than 15. The Injury severity score (ISS) and new injury severity score (NISS), which are internationally validated scoring systems, were used to determine severity of injury. One hundred and twenty-seven (21%) of the patients had an ISS of more than 8, while 167 (28%) had an NISS of more than 8. We performed a bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis for factors associated with patients aged more than 60 years [Table 2]. The elderly were found to have a statistically significant odds of sustaining a fracture or dislocation (odds ration [OR]: 2.51; 95% CI: 1.57–4.02) and lower limb extremity injuries (OR: 2.14; 95% CI: 1.31–3.50). More than a quarter (26.5%) of the patients were managed and discharged when stable by the ED team alone, while the rest were referred to different trauma specialty departments for evaluation and further management. The main trauma specialty departments involved in the management of these patients were orthopedics (41.4%), plastic surgery (8%), pediatric orthopedics (7.7%), and neurotrauma (7.2%) [Figure 3]. One

### Table 1: Baseline Characteristics (n=596)

| Demographic Details   | Number (%) |
|-----------------------|------------|
| Mean age (SD) in years | 40.9 (25.9) |
| Male                  | 304 (51%)  |
| Female                | 292 (49%)  |
| Age Distribution      |            |
| Less than15 years     | 137 (23%)  |
| 15 to 40 years        | 154 (25.8%)|
| 40 to 60 years        | 129 (21.6%)|
| More than 60 years    | 176 (29.5%)|
| Triage Priority Level |            |
| Priority 1            | 20 (3.4%)  |
| Priority 2            | 321 (53.8%)|
| Priority 3            | 255 (42.8%)|
| Vital signs at admission |          |
| Tachycardia (Heart rate >100 bpm) | 165 (27.7%) |
| Hypotension (SBP <90 mmHg)  | 42 (7.1%)  |
| Hypertension (SBP >140 mmHg) | 37 (6.2%)  |
| Tachypnea (Respiratory Rate >20/min) | 406 (68.1%) |
| Hypoxia (SpO₂<94%)    | 19 (3.2%)  |

SBP=Standard deviation, bpm=beats per minute, SBP=Systolic blood pressure, SpO₂=Oxygen saturation
Ground-level fall is one of the most common injuries, often ignored because of low-velocity impact and presents to the health care centers only when severe. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) falls are the second leading cause of accidental or unintentional injury deaths worldwide after road traffic injuries. Over 80% of fall-related fatalities occur in low- and middle-income countries, and the largest morbidity occurs in people aged 65 years or older, young adults aged 15–29 years, and children aged 15 years or younger. There have been studies, which look at the outcome of ground-level falls, done in the West, but no studies from the Asia Pacific regions are available. According to a study about the American population aged above 65 years in 2008 about mortality associated with ground level, 43% all falls occurred in the patients older than 65 years and were associated with significant mortality. Unfortunately, death rates have increased considerably over the years. Children lessthan 15 years constitute one fourth of the falls. Thus, falls are a growing public health problem that needs to be addressed. It is very important to study the incidence, pattern, outcome, and mechanism of injury of the ground-level falls that can provide possible insights into its prevention and timely intervention at the earliest to reduce severe consequences, especially in developing countries.

In children, falls occur largely because of their evolving developmental stages and uninstructed behavior. In the low socioeconomic countries, play areas are often not restricted to parks or playgrounds, but children commonly use the little available space at the premises, crowded public places, and even uneven terrains in the community for their recreation. These undesignated places make them more vulnerable to falls and trauma. Most often, children are left alone while at home or at playgrounds because of social and cultural reasons. We noted in our ED that more women suffered from ground-level falls. Hence, we presume this is because in the Indian society, elderly women spend most of their time at home. In the developing world, overcrowded living places and suboptimal infrastructure and facilities within the house and premises increase the risk of falls. Bertocci et al., Thierauf et al., and Thomas et al. in their studies showed that injury severity and risk increase with age because of impaired balance, poor nutritional status, physical inactivity, and associated chronic disabling medical conditions with neurological problems and poor vision can aggravate the risk of falls.

The findings from our study reinforce that level ground falls associated with extremity skeletal injuries involving hip and upper extremity followed by spine injuries. However, brain and spine injuries are not uncommon, reported as high as 25% although the mechanical impact of falls is lesser. Orthopedic surgery is the dominating form of surgery in fall victims and makes up three-quarters of patients with ground-level falls, 13% abdominal, 5% neuro, 3% thoracic, and 1% vascular surgery. The hospital length of stay (LOS) and intensive care unit (ICU)
Table 2: Bivariate and multivariate analysis for factors associated with patients aged more than 60 years

| Variables                     | Age >60 n=176 | Age <60 n=420 | Bivariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                               | P             | Unadjusted OR | P                  | Adjusted OR           |
| Priority 1                    | 0.002         | 3.76 (1.51-9.38) | 0.001             | 5.72 (2.12-15.45)     |
| Fracture or dislocation       | 0.001         | 2.85 (1.97-4.12) | 0.001             | 2.51 (1.57-4.02)      |
| Upper limb injuries           | 0.016         | 0.38 (0.38-0.90) | 0.121             | 0.62 (0.34-1.13)      |
| Lower limb injuries           | 0.001         | 3.52 (2.44-5.09) | 0.002             | 2.14 (1.31-3.50)      |
| Requirement of surgical intervention | 0.001     | 3.16 (2.08-4.79) | 0.146             | 1.45 (0.88-2.38)      |

OR=Odds ratio

LOS increase with age and comorbidities and are also greater for at-home fallers (as opposed to at-work fallers), probably because of them being older. In another study by Velmahos et al. on level ground fall, both demography and injury pattern is similar in different cultures.

Health care providers should have guidelines to manage patients with falls on the ground. If the patient meets activation criteria such as age less than 5 years and above 65 years with traumatic brain injury, long bone fractures, tachycardia with hypotension, and people on blood thinners, a trauma activation that will help improve patient outcomes, decrease morbidity, and mortality, especially in the geriatric population. Many studies have shown that less than one fifth of the pediatric trauma patient are requiring hospital admission and the rest were discharged after initial care. This is an encouraging result and causing less burden to the health care system. Hence, it is important to understand the injury profile of this special age groups to be better prepared to handle emergencies.

It is challenging to manage trauma in primary care centers nevertheless it is important to do the first aid and initial treatment before definitive treatment is sought. Hence, it is essential for primary care physicians to have good knowledge in management of these patients to avoid a life threatening situation.

For prevention of falls in children, play areas should be fenced and preventive safety measures should implement at schools, home and play areas. Parents of children and extended family members are educated about safety rules, and they actively supervise young children and help them in selecting appropriate equipment. These measures might decrease unnecessary emergency visits because of trauma among children. Health care providers should be taught preventive strategies and the education of individuals, families and communities to build risk awareness. Falls among elderly people occur in clinical and community settings, effective strategies to be taken to reduce fall-related risk. At homes and aged care centers, elderly people friendly infrastructure modification such as hand rails on stairs, ramps; guard rails and protective barriers on elevated platforms, better lighting, walking stick and providing western type commodes in the toilets. In addition to all these encouraging regular physical exercises and being compliant with medications for their disease conditions are simple measures in injury prevention. The primary care physicians should identify vulnerable and frail populations like elderly and propagate awareness regarding injury prevention in our community as this helps in improved quality of living with lesser disability. Even though, aged care homes are few in developing countries, they should be well staffed to supervise and care for them. The way forward for elderly falls prevention is multifactorial interventions which is the upcoming prevention strategy. It would be beneficial to look at the spectrum of fall and develop a registry with injuries and their description in our population, as registry would facilitate in continuous monitoring of care.

Our study has certain limitations, being a tertiary care center and located in the southern part of India, there may have been a patient selection and referral pattern bias. Missing information is another limitation of our retrospective study. Nonetheless, our study provides a detailed insight into the profile and outcome of falls from the level ground patients presenting with emergencies.

**Conclusion**

Fall on level ground is a common mode of injury in children (<15 years) and the elderly (>60 years). The extremities and the face are the most common areas of the body prone for injuries. The elderly population have statistically significant odds of sustaining a fracture or a dislocation, especially of the lower limbs and hence must take great precautions in avoiding injuries. We recommend elderly people friendly infrastructure modification at home, work places, and ensuring playground safety for children with constant monitoring to prevent falls on level ground.

**Research quality and ethics statement**

The authors of this manuscript declare that this scientific work complies with reporting quality, formatting and reproducibility guidelines set forth by the EQUATOR Network. The authors also attest that this clinical investigation was determined to require Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee review, and the corresponding protocol/approval number is IRB Min no: 12222 dated August 22, 2019. We also certify that we have not plagiarized the contents in this submission and have done a plagiarism check.

**Financial support and sponsorship**

Nil.
Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Nathens NB, Fantus RJ. American College of Surgeons, NTDB Annual Report. 2008.
2. Salvi F, Morello A, Grilli A, Giorgi R, De Tommaso G, Dessi-Fulgheri P. The elderly in the emergency department: A critical review of problems and solutions. Intern Emerg Med 2007; 2:292-301.
3. Kiely DK, Kiel DP, Burrows AB, Lipsitz LA. Identifying nursing home residents at risk for falling. J Am Geriatr Soc 1998;46:551-5.
4. Sampalis JS, Nathanson R, Vaillancourt J, Nikolos A, Liberman M, Angelopoulos J, et al. Assessment of mortality in older trauma patients sustaining injuries from falls or motor vehicle collisions treated in regional level I trauma centers. Ann Surg 2009;249:488-95.
5. Verma S, Lal N, Lodha R, Murmu L. Childhood trauma profile at a tertiary care hospital in India. Indian Pediatr 2009;46:168-71.
6. Abhilash KP, Tephilah R, Pradeeptha S, Gunasekaran K, Chandy GM. Injury patterns and outcomes of trauma in the geriatric population presenting to the emergency department in a tertiary care hospital of South India. J Emerg Trauma Shock 2019;12:198-202.
7. Bertocci GE, Pierce MC, Deemer E, Aguel F, Janosky JE, Vogeley E. Influence of fall height and impact surface on biomechanics of feet-first free falls in children. Injury 2004;35:417-24.
8. Thierauf A, Preuss J, Lignitz E, Madea B. Retrospective analysis of fatal falls. Forensic Sci Int 2010;198:92-6.
9. Thomas SL, Muscatello DJ, Middleton PM, Zheng W. Characteristics of fall-related injuries attended by an ambulance in Sydney, Australia: A surveillance summary. New South Wales Public Health Bulletin 2011;22:49-54.
10. Beale JP, Wyatt JP, Beard D, Busuttil A, Graham CA. A five-year study of high falls in Edinburgh. Injury 2000;31:503-8.
11. Sarani B, Temple-Lykens B, Kim P, Sonnad S, Bergey M, Pascual JL, et al. Factors associated with mortality and brain injury after falls from the standing position. J Trauma 2009;67:954-8.
12. Wallander M, Axelsson KF, Nilsson AG, Lundh D, Lorentzen M. Type 2 diabetes and risk of hip fractures and non-skeletal fall injuries in the elderly: A study from the fractures and fall injuries in the elderly cohort (FRAILCO). J Bone Miner Res 2017;32:449-60.
13. Gomberg BFC, Gruen GS, Smith WR, Spott MA. Outcomes in acute orthopaedic trauma: A review of 130, 506 patients by age. Injury 1999;30:431-7.
14. Jagnoor J, Suraweera W, Keay L, Ivers RQ, Thakur JS, Gururaj G, et al. Childhood and adult mortality from unintentional falls in India. Bull World Health Organ 2011;89:733-40.
15. Kent A, Pearce A. Review of morbidity and mortality associated with falls from heights among patients presenting to a major trauma centre. Emerg Med Australas 2006;18:23-30.
16. Velmaños GC, Demetriades D, Theodorou D, Cornwell EE, Belzberg H, Asensio J, et al. Patterns of injury in victims of urban free-falls, World J Surg 1997;21:816-20.
17. Helling TS, Watkins M, Evans LL, Nelson PW, Shook JW, Van Way CW. Lowfalls: An underappreciated mechanism of injury. J Trauma 1999;46:453-6.
18. Alyafei KA, Toaimah F, El Menyar A, Al Thani H, Youssef B, Mollazehi M, et al. Analysis of paediatric trauma data from a hospital-based trauma registry in Qatar. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci 2015;5:21-6.
19. Rufus YB, Abhilash KP, Swadeepa RJ, Kashy SC, Chandy GM. Clinical profile and outcome of the patients presenting to the resuscitation room of the emergency department in a Tertiary Care Hospital of South India. Curr Med Issues 2019;17:25-9.
20. Clement N, Regina DL, Abhilash KP. A retrospective study on the profile and outcome of polytrauma in the emergency department. Curr Med Issues 2018;16:56-9.
21. Heffernan DS, Thakkar RK, Monaghan SF, Ravindran R, Adams CA Jr, Kozloff MS, et al. Normal presenting vital signs are unreliable in geriatric blunt trauma victims. J Trauma 2010;69:813-20.
22. Kashani P, Saberinia A. Management of multiple traumas in emergency medicine department: A review. J Fam Med Prim Care 2019;8:3789-97.
23. Abhilash KP, Kirubairaj MA, Sahare SR. Profile of geriatric patients presenting to the emergency department. Curr Med Issues 2017;15:227-30.
24. Cumming RG. Intervention strategies and risk-factor modification for falls prevention. A review of recent intervention studies. Clin Geriatr Med 2002;18:175-89.
25. Dasgupta A, Bandyopadhyay S, Bandyopadhyay L, Roy S, Paul B, Mandal S. How frail are our elderly? An assessment with Tilburg frailty indicator (TFI) in a rural elderly population of West Bengal. J Family Med Prim Care 2019;8:2242-8.
26. Hopewell S, Copsay B, Nicolson P, Adedire B, Boniface G, Lamb S. Multifactorial interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 41 trials and almost 20,000 participants. Br J Sports Med 2019. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2019-100732.
27. Pati S, Dwivedi R, Athar R, Dey PK, Swain S. Minimum data set (MDS) based trauma registry, is the data adequate? An evidence-based study from Odisha, India. J Family Med Prim Care 2019;8:7-13.