Potential impact of recombination on sitewise approaches for detecting positive natural selection
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Summary

Current sitewise methods for detecting positive selection on gene sequences (the de facto standard being the CODEML method (Yang et al., 2000)) assume no recombination. This paper presents simulation results indicating that violation of this assumption can lead to false positive detection of sites undergoing positive selection. Through the use of population-scaled mutation and recombination rates, simulations can be performed that permit the generation of appropriate null distributions corresponding to neutral expectations in the presence of recombination, thereby allowing for a more accurate estimation of positive selection.

1. Introduction

An active area of current research is the detection of adaptive evolution, as measured by codons that experience positive natural selection. Older approaches to this issue use summary statistics that average measures of genetic polymorphism across sites, as exemplified by Tajima’s D test (Tajima, 1989). This test of neutrality does not differentiate between directional (positive) and purifying (negative) selection, because both forces result in an excess of low-frequency mutations that are indistinguishably reflected in the test statistic. The methods of Nei & Gojobori (1986), Li et al. (1985), Pamilo & Bianchi (1993), Li (1993), Comeron (1995) and Nei & Kumar (2000), as implemented in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software package (MEGA, version 2.1) (Kumar et al., 2001), are all designed to differentiate between positive and negative selection. However, these methods assume constant selection pressure across sites, with the consequence that the signal for sites experiencing negative selection could average away the signal for sites experiencing positive selection. Furthermore, if positive selection was detected in a region, it would not be possible to localize the signal to individual sites.

In an attempt to improve power, more recent approaches consider the data sitewise by reconstructing ancestral sequences at the interior nodes of the sample’s phylogeny, thereby allowing for a separate consideration of inferred mutational events that have occurred at each site. These sitewise approaches include the CODEML method implemented within the Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood software package (PAML, version 3.1) (Nielsen & Yang, 1998; Yang et al., 2000) and the parsimony method of Gojobori (Suzuki & Gojobori, 1999; Yamaguchi-Kabata & Gojobori, 2000). The maximum-likelihood methods of Yang et al. have become a de facto standard, having been used to study a wide range of organisms, including conifers (Kusumi et al., 2002), vertebrates (Yang et al., 2000; Kao & Lee, 2002), rickettsiae (Allsopp et al., 2001), viruses (Nielsen & Yang, 1998; Yang et al., 2000; Haydon et al., 2001; Woelk et al., 2001; Braut et al., 2002; DeFilippis et al., 2002), and mammals (Swanson et al., 2001; Baum et al., 2002). Some analyses of HIV evolution have also used CODEML to identify sites experiencing positive selection (Nielsen & Yang, 1998; Zanotto et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2000; Ross & Rodrigo, 2002).

Both of these sitewise approaches assume that there is complete linkage between collinear sites. However, processes that disrupt linkage are present across many evolutionary lineages, including viruses,
bacteria, yeast, *Drosophila* and humans, whether the processes are termed lateral or horizontal gene transfer, conjugation, transformation, hybridization, meiotic crossing over, gene conversion, strand transfer, reassortment, chimerization or recombination. For simplicity, we refer to all such processes as recombination. Recombination does not affect the mean of D in Tajima’s D test but it decreases the variance, making the test conservative (Wall, 1999; Schierup & Hein, 2000). However, the effects of recombination on sitewise approaches are not known.

The CODEML method uses codon-based models that allow for variable selection intensities among sites within protein-coding DNA sequences. Selection intensities are measured by \( \omega \), the ratio of nonsynonymous mutations per potential nonsynonymous site (\( dh \)) to synonymous mutations per potential synonymous site (\( ds \)). Models differ in how sites are distributed into categories of different \( \omega \) values. Model parameters are estimated in a maximum-likelihood framework, which allows for likelihood-ratio testing of nested models. An empirical Bayesian approach is used to identify positively selected sites. Recent work on this method addressed the accuracy and the power of the likelihood-ratio test for choosing between nested models (Anisimova et al., 2001) and the accuracy and power of the Bayesian prediction (Anisimova et al., 2002). Implicit in this analytical program, however, is the assumption that there is no recombination. We therefore performed a simulation study to examine the possible effects of unacknowledged recombination on the CODEML method.

### 2. Simulation results

To investigate the potential impact of recombination on the ability of the CODEML method to detect positive natural selection, we performed the following simulations using the algorithm of Hudson (1983) as implemented in the program ‘hudson’ (Schierup & Hein, 2000). 100 independent realizations of the evolutionary process were generated under a neutral coalescent model with a per-locus population-scaled mutation rate \( \theta = 42 \) for each of the following per-locus population-scaled recombination rates: (1) the no-recombination case with \( \rho = 0 \); (2) \( \rho = 18 \), an intermediate rate corresponding to values estimated from HIV evolution (Shriner et al., unpublished); and (3) \( \rho = 105 \), a high rate corresponding to the average ratio \( \rho/\theta \) from 24 loci from *Drosophila melanogaster* (Andolfatto & Przeworski, 2000). Nucleotide site sequences (ten sequences of 700 bp) were then generated under a Jukes–Cantor model of substitution. These sample size and sequence length values corresponded to typical values in studies of HIV *env* gene evolution (e.g. Shankarappa et al., 1999). The maximum-likelihood phylogeny was reconstructed for each data set (Swofford, 2002), with the understanding that no single tree might accurately reflect the history of all sites in the presence of recombination. The data sets and trees were then input into CODEML.

Following Anisimova et al. (2001), we tested the same four models (models 0 vs 3 and 7 vs 8) using the same likelihood-ratio test procedure in order to assess the false-positive error rate when data are analysed with unacknowledged recombination. Model 0 assumes one \( \omega \) ratio for all sites, whereas model 3 allows a user-defined number of \( \omega \)-ratio categories with estimated proportions of sites in each category and freely estimated \( \omega \) values for each category (we used the default value of three categories) (Yang et al., 2000). Model 7 assumes all sites have an \( \omega \) value that follows a \( \beta \) distribution in which \( \omega \) is bounded between 0 and 1 (i.e. there is no positive selection) (Yang et al., 2000). Model 8 adds to model 7 one additional category of sites with a freely estimated \( \omega \), referred to as \( \omega_1 \) (Yang et al., 2000). Models 0 and 3 are frequently compared (Swanson et al., 2001; Woelk et al., 2001; Braught et al., 2002; Kusumi et al., 2002), which, according to Anisimova et al. (2001), is more a test of rate heterogeneity than of positive selection. Models 7 and 8 are also frequently compared (Haydon et al., 2001; Swanson et al., 2001; Woelk et al., 2001; DeFilippis et al., 2002; Kusumi et al., 2002), which, according to Anisimova et al. (2001), is a strict test of positive selection (if \( \omega_1 > 1 \)). Because all of the data we examined were simulated under conditions corresponding to a constant \( \omega \) across all sites with an expected mean of 1, we performed both model comparisons using the same data, where the data conformed to the null hypotheses of both models 0 and 7.

As shown in Table 1, for data simulated under the neutral coalescent model without recombination, the false-positive error rate was 0% for the comparison of models 0 and 3, and 1% for the comparison of models 7 and 8 (the significance level \( \alpha \) was 5%). These findings are in agreement with those of Anisimova et al. (2001) that the likelihood-ratio test is

| \( \rho \) (per-locus recombination rate) | CODEML Results | False-positive error rate per neutral data |
|---|---|---|
| 0 | M0 vs M3 | 0% |
| 18 | M0 vs M3 | 7% |
| 105 | M0 vs M3 | 4% |
| 0 | M7 vs M8 | 1% |
| 18 | M7 vs M8 | 68% |
| 105 | M7 vs M8 | 98% |

Table 1. False positive error rate for neutral data with and without recombination. The parameters for the coalescent simulation were: population-scaled mutation rate \( \theta = 42 \) per locus; population-scaled recombination rate \( \rho = 0, 18 \) or 105 per locus; locus length of 700 bp; and sample size of ten sequences, under a Jukes–Cantor model of substitution. For all 300 replicate data sets, stop codons were stripped and sequences were truncated to a final length of 639 bp.
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In Table 2, we report the number of segregating sites, \( S \), and the recombination rate, \( R \), and the standard errors of the means (SEM). Estimates of \( S \) and \( R \) were obtained from DnaSP version 3.53 (Rozas & Rozas, 1999). \( R \) is the estimate from Hudson (1987) and is equivalent to our \( \rho \)

| \( \rho \) | False positive (\( n = 1 \)) | SEM | True negative (\( n = 99 \)) | SEM | False positive (\( n = 68 \)) | SEM | True negative (\( n = 32 \)) | SEM | False positive (\( n = 98 \)) | SEM | True negative (\( n = 2 \)) | SEM |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 208 | 0 | 85.53 | 3.59 | 12.14 | 1.90 | 97.12 | 2.28 | 41.33 | 3.95 | 87.66 | 4.15 |
| 18 | 94.59 | 1.40 | 205.17 | 25.95 | 105 | 94.00 | 9.00 | 107.20 | 72.80 |
| 105 | 94.59 | 1.40 | 205.17 | 25.95 | 105 | 94.00 | 9.00 | 107.20 | 72.80 |

Recombination and detecting positive selection

Table 2. Estimates of the numbers of segregating sites, \( S \), and the recombination rate, \( R \), and the standard errors of the means (SEM). Estimates of \( S \) and \( R \) were obtained from DnaSP version 3.53 (Rozas & Rozas, 1999). \( R \) is the estimate from Hudson (1987) and is equivalent to our \( \rho \)

The mean \( \omega \) was biased even in the absence of recombination, as was previously noted (Yang & Nielsen, 2000).

In Table 4, we report the average \( p_1 \) value (the average proportion of sites in the category of sites with a freely estimated \( \omega \)), the average \( \omega_1 \) value (the average \( \omega \) value for the category of sites with a freely estimated \( \omega \)), the average \( \omega \) value (the average \( \omega \) value across all sites) and the tree length for the three simulations, separated by false positives and true negatives. For all false-positive cases, \( \omega_1 \) was greater than 1, thereby suggesting the presence of positively selected sites. In the presence of recombination, the average \( \omega \) was significantly greater in the false-positive cases than in the true-negative cases (\( P < 0.0001 \) for \( \rho = 18 \) and \( P = 0.0193 \) for \( \rho = 105 \), Wilcoxon rank sums test). The total tree length, as measured by the number of steps, was significantly greater in the false-positive cases for \( \rho = 18 \) (\( P = 0.0003 \), Wilcoxon rank sums test). Furthermore, the total tree length increased on average with an increasing recombination rate (87 with \( \rho = 0 \) vs 116 with \( \rho = 18 \) vs 143 with \( \rho = 105 \), \( P < 0.0001 \) for all three pairwise comparisons, Wilcoxon rank sums test), suggesting that more recombination led to more inferred homoplasy.

CODEML overlays an empirical Bayesian approach on the maximum-likelihood estimates in order to calculate the posterior probabilities of any given site belonging to the category of positively selected sites (Nielsen & Yang, 1998). Figure 1 depicts the numbers of sites identified with a 95% or higher posterior probability of belonging to the category of positively selected sites (Nielsen & Yang, 1998). Figure 1a shows the results from the 68 false-positive model 8 cases with \( \rho = 18 \). \( \omega_1 \) ranged from 1.95 to 32.34 (values that were all outside the 95% CIs of \( \omega \) shown in Table 3), whereas our expectation was \( \omega = 1 \). The number of positively selected sites ranged from 1 to 88, corresponding to \( \sim 41 \% \) of sites, whereas our expectation was 0. Fig. 1b shows the results from the 98 false-positive model 8 cases with \( \rho = 105 \). \( \omega_1 \) ranged from 1.87 to 9.78, and the number of positively selected sites ranged from 0 to 80.

3. Discussion

We investigated the effects of unacknowledged recombination on the ability of the CODEML method to detect sites experiencing positive selection. Using values of \( \theta \) and \( \rho \) corresponding to in vivo estimates from HIV-1 and Drosophila, an increase in the false-positive rate was observed for both pairs of model
of nonsynonymous mutations over synonymous only depends upon the initial mutational events and, as a consequence, the reconstructed phylogenies are treated as real mutational parameter estimates.

| Model | Mean \( \omega \) | 95% CIs | \( P = 0 \) | \( P = 18 \) | \( P = 105 \) |
|-------|----------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Model 0 | Mean \( \omega \) | 1.0468 (\( P = 0.451 \)) | 1.1104 (\( P = 0.002^* \)) | 1.0890 (\( P = 0.032^* \)) |
| | 95% CIs | 0.6103, 1.8967 | 0.7153, 1.8004 | 0.6236, 1.9163 |
| Model 3 | Mean \( \omega \) | 1.0466 (\( P = 0.451 \)) | 1.1166 (\( P = 0.002^* \)) | 1.0925 (\( P = 0.026^* \)) |
| | 95% CIs | 0.6103, 1.8967 | 0.7152, 1.8004 | 0.6236, 1.9163 |
| Model 7 | Mean \( \omega \) | 0.8924 (\( P < 0.001^* \)) | 0.7385 (\( P < 0.001^* \)) | 0.5167 (\( P < 0.001^* \)) |
| | 95% CIs | 0.5906, 1.0000 | 0.5000, 1.0000 | 0.3538, 0.8935 |
| Model 8 | Mean \( \omega \) | 1.0466 (\( P = 0.424 \)) | 1.1517 (\( P < 0.001^* \)) | 1.1652 (\( P < 0.001^* \)) |
| | 95% CIs | 0.5423, 1.9081 | 0.7231, 1.8691 | 0.6071, 2.0764 |

From the trends revealed by the simulations, we can formulate expectations for the extreme case of free recombination. For the comparison of models 0 and 3, it appears that the likelihood-ratio test becomes less conservative as the false-positive rate approaches the designated significance level. For the comparison of models 7 and 8, the false-positive rate approaches 100%. The comparison of models 7 and 8 revealed a greater false-positive rate than the comparison of models 0 and 3. One possible explanation for this finding is that models 0, 3 and 8 allow for sites with \( \omega > 1 \), whereas model 7 does not. Model 7 assumes a strict boundary between neutrality, where \( \omega = 1 \), and positive selection, where \( \omega > 1 \). Given the large variance (Table 3, Fig. 1), perhaps model 7 would be better specified as having all sites with \( \omega \) bounded between 0 and 1·8, for example, and then specify model 8 as having some proportion of sites with \( \omega \) bounded between 0 and 1·8, and the rest of the sites with \( \omega > 1·8 \).

As an alternative to the current procedure, it has been suggested (Urwin et al., 2002) that one possible way to handle the explicit requirement of a phylogeny by CODEML in the presence of recombination is to input a star phylogeny. In a star phylogeny, all lineages diverge from the root node, such that all mutations are independently derived. This approach was intended to remove the effects of the phylogeny on CODEML’s estimations and to determine the extent of false-positive signal in the extreme case of no phylogenetic history. Urwin et al. stated that ‘The fact that positive selection was still observed at these same sites after removing the effect of phylogenetic

comparisons in the presence of unacknowledged recombination. The reason why the CODEML method err in the presence of recombination appears to lie within the phylogenetic nature of the approach. Conceptually, nonsynonymous and synonymous changes are counted along all of the branches of the phylogeny for each individual site. Highly variable sites experiencing multiple different nonsynonymous changes are interpreted as signifying divergent evolution, whereas highly variable sites experiencing multiple identical nonsynonymous changes are interpreted as signifying parallel evolution. If recombination is unaccounted for by the evolutionary model, the homoplasies induced by recombination are treated as real mutational events and, as a consequence, the reconstructed phylogeny becomes longer. Notice that the determination of whether a homoplasy is nonsynonymous or synonymous only depends upon the initial mutational event. If early and/or frequent recombination events were randomly to affect an asymmetric distribution of nonsynonymous mutations over synonymous mutations then an excess of nonsynonymous homoplasies would be propagated throughout the tree (thereby increasing \( \omega \)), leading to the false appearance of highly variable sites with multiple nonsynonymous changes. We expected 74·5% potential nonsynonymous sites and 25·5% potential synonymous sites in all of our neutral simulations, so that our neutral expectation was for an excess in the absolute number of nonsynonymous mutations.
history by assuming a star phylogeny indicated that the analysis was unlikely to have been greatly biased by recombination. However, this approach is flawed. There is phylogenetic history, even in the presence of a high recombination rate. The optimization principle of phylogenetic reconstruction ensures that a phylogeny with fewer steps than the star phylogeny will be reconstructed, except for cases in which the data were derived from a star phylogeny, as would be the case for rapid population growth. In other words, the number of steps on a star phylogeny will usually overestimate the true number of steps. Therefore, the inferred number of mutational events will be overestimated. Consequently, the false-positive error rate might be overestimated. Furthermore, this approach only considers the extreme case of a star phylogeny. As such, it does not allow for testing against intermediate levels of recombination. Finally, no phylogeny will have more steps than a star phylogeny. Thus, the false-positive distribution generated from a star phylogeny maximally delimits the proportion of positively selected sites and their \( \omega \) value, ultimately yielding a conservative likelihood-ratio test. However, the identification of sites that might have experienced positive selection should require them to be outside the false-positive distribution, not within it. That is, the false-positive distribution should account for the sites potentially affected by recombination. Then, exclusion of these sites should leave the sites potentially affected by positive selection.

In consideration of the large confidence intervals around the mean \( \omega \) value, estimates of the variance should accompany attempts to interpret \( \omega \). To this end, we propose as an alternative procedure that parametric bootstrapping methods, such as those used in this work, be used to generate appropriate null distributions. This would involve first estimating the population-scaled mutation and recombination rates from sequence data using a program such as RECOMBINE (Kuhner et al., 2000), which provides maximum-likelihood estimates of these two parameters. Then, neutral data with recombination could be simulated under the coalescent conditioned upon these rate estimates. These simulated data can then be

---

**Fig. 1.** Bayesian prediction of positively selected sites for false-positive model 8 cases with \( r = 18 \) (a) and \( r = 105 \) (b). The numbers of sites in each category with \( \omega > 1 \) with posterior probabilities greater than 95% are plotted. The horizontal line indicates the neutral expectation of \( \omega = 1 \).
analysed by CODEML to determine the proper null distributions.

There are two caveats about this procedure. First, RECOMBINE explicitly assumes no selection. Therefore, we suggest excluding nonsynonymous mutations from this analysis and performing the rate estimates on just synonymous mutations. Second, because this procedure involves estimating unknown $\theta$ and $\rho$ values from real data, uncertainties in the point estimates are potentially problematic. For example, RECOMBINE's estimate of the recombination rate is biased upwards, particularly when $\theta$ and $\rho$ are small (Kuhner et al., 2000). Conditioning upon the upper 95% confidence limits of the estimated rates maximizes the possible contribution of recombination. Consequently, exceeding these rates would be expected to yield a conservative test for positive selection. Conversely, exceeding the lower 95% confidence limits would be expected to yield a liberal test for positive selection. Although it seems reasonable that conditioning upon maximum-likelihood point estimates should provide a valid hypothesis test, simulations should be carried out on a case-by-case basis to investigate the extent of this problem.

Caution is clearly warranted when interpreting the results of CODEML analyses. Previous studies that reported evidence for positive selection should be revisited, particularly for data derived from sources reasonably expected to have experienced recombination. With respect to HIV studies, sites identified as experiencing positive selection may be inferred to reflect previously known epitopes and to identify previously unknown epitopes under strong immune pressure (Suzuki & Gojobori, 1999; Yamaguchi-Kabata & Gojobori, 2000). The identification of HIV-1 cytotoxic T-lymphocyte epitopes is thought to be important for vaccine development (Borrow et al., 1997; Korber et al., 2001); hence, the misidentification of sites experiencing positive selection, but which are actually experiencing recombination, is of great concern.
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