CDK Inhibitor p21 Is Degraded by a Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen-coupled Cul4-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} Pathway during S Phase and after UV Irradiation\textsuperscript{*[1,2]}
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Previous reports showed that chromatin-associated PCNA couples DNA replication with Cyclin-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2}-dependent proteolysis of the licensing factor Cdt1. The CDK inhibitor p21, another PCNA-binding protein, is also degraded both in S phase and after UV irradiation. Here we show that p21 is degraded by the same ubiquitin-proteasome pathway as Cdt1 in HeLa cells. When PCNA or components of Cyclin-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} were silenced or when the PCNA binding site on p21 was mutated, degradation of p21 was prevented both in S phase and after UV irradiation. p21 was co-immunoprecipitated with CyclinA and DDB1 proteins when expressed in cells. The purified CyclinA-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} complex ubiquitinated p21 in vitro. Consistently, p21 protein levels are low during S phase and increase around G\textsubscript{2} phase. Mutational analysis suggested that in addition to the PCNA binding domain, its flanking regions are also important for recognition by Cyclin-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2}. Our findings provide a new aspect of proteolytic control of p21 during the cell cycle.

Cell cycle progression is driven by the periodic activation of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs)\textsuperscript{3} (1). CDKs associated with G\textsubscript{1}-cyclins are required for commitment to the cell cycle, whereas CDKs associated with S- and M-cyclins initiate DNA replication and mitotic events, respectively. Checkpoint controls couple cell cycle events with changes in activity of each kinase in order to avoid illegitimate cell cycle progression. Checkpoint control over CDKs is elicited by regulating protein synthesis, degradation, phosphorylation, subcellular localization, and association with a number of CDK inhibitors (2, 3). For example, CDK inhibitors, such as p21 and p27, regulate G\textsubscript{1}-cyclin-CDKs for progression through G\textsubscript{1} phase. Two ubiquitin ligases (E3s), SCF (Skp1/Cullin1/F-box protein) and APC/C (anaphase-promoting complex or cyclosome), are required for proteolysis of key proteins during a cell cycle (4–6). APC/C-dependent ubiquitination operates from M phase to G\textsubscript{1} phase. Its targets include mitotic cyclins, securin, and geminin. In contrast, SCF appears to be active from late G\textsubscript{1} until G\textsubscript{2}/M phases. There are a number of F-box proteins, each recognizing specific substrates that are normally marked by phosphorylation. The Skp2 F-box protein, destroyed during late M phase and early G\textsubscript{1} phase by APC/C, accumulates around late G\textsubscript{1} phase. The CDK inhibitor p27 is a well known substrate for SCF\textsuperscript{Skp2}. p27 is phosphorylated by cyclin E/A-CDK2 at Thr-187 and then recognized by SCF\textsuperscript{Skp2} for ubiquitination and degradation (7). c-Myc, p21, p57, Cdt1 (Cdc10-dependent transcript 1), and Orc1 (origin recognition complex 1) are also reported to be degraded through SCF\textsuperscript{Skp2}.

DNA replication is initiated by the activation of cyclin E/A-CDK2. Importantly, replication of chromosomal DNA must be limited to only once in a cell cycle (8–10). Before initiation starts, replication origins must be licensed for replication by forming prereplication complexes. This process is performed by loading of the MCM2–7 (minichromosome maintenance 2–7) complex with the aid of Cdc6 and Cdt1 onto chromosomal sites by the origin recognition complex. Prereplication complex formation is allowed only during late M phase and G\textsubscript{1} phase but is prevented from S phase to the end of mitosis; thus, rereplication of any DNA segment is prevented in the same cell cycle. For this regulation, control of the licensing factor Cdt1 is essential in higher eukaryotes. Cdt1 accumulates during late M phase and G\textsubscript{1} phase but is prevented from S phase to the end of mitosis; thus, rereplication of any DNA segment is prevented in the same cell cycle. For this regulation, control of the licensing factor Cdt1 is essential in higher eukaryotes. Cdt1 accumulates during late M phase and G\textsubscript{1} phase but is prevented from S phase to the end of mitosis; thus, rereplication of any DNA segment is prevented in the same cell cycle. For this regulation, control of the licensing factor Cdt1 is essential in higher eukaryotes. Cdt1 accumulates during late M phase and G\textsubscript{1} phase but is prevented from S phase to the end of mitosis; thus, rereplication of any DNA segment is prevented in the same cell cycle. For this regulation, control of the licensing factor Cdt1 is essential in higher eukaryotes. Cdt1 accumulates during late M phase and G\textsubscript{1} phase but is prevented from S phase to the end of mitosis; thus, rereplication of any DNA segment is prevented in the same cell cycle.
PCNA-dependent p21 Degradation by Cul4-DDB1<sup>cdt2</sup>

Degraded proteolysis. Cdt1 is also degraded when DNA damage is induced (e.g. by UV irradiation) through a similar pathway.

PCNA, originally characterized as a DNA sliding clamp that helps replicative DNA polymerases, is involved in many aspects of DNA metabolism: replication, repair, chromatin assembly, and cohesion. PCNA interacts with many proteins required for each process, such as DNA polymerase δ and ε, DNA ligase, CAF-1, Ctf7, etc. (25). All of these PCNA-interacting proteins (PIPs) contain a so-called PIP-box, characterized by a consensus sequence, QXX(L/I/M)XX(F/Y)(F/Y). All of these PIPs are essentially not subjected to degradation after association with PCNA for their roles. On the contrary, p21, which also contains a PIP-box and interacts with PCNA, accumulates in G1 phase, treated with thymidine (2 mg/ml), released, and treated with serum. For synchronization in early S phase, HeLa cells were treated with aphidicolin (5 μg/ml). Proteasome inhibitor MG132 was used at 20 μM. UV irradiation was carried out at 20–50 J/m<sup>2</sup> using a Stratalinker. For transient transfection into 293T cells, TransIT293 (Mirus) was used. To isolate stably expressing HeLa cell lines, cells were transfected with plasmids containing neo genes by Trans-IT1L1 (Mirus) and selected in a medium containing 400 μg/ml G418. For analysis of DNA content, flow cytometry was carried out as described (28).

**Plasmids**—The p21 cDNA containing plasmid pEHP21 was a gift from Dr. Waga and was used to construct the p21 PIP-box mutated pEHP21(pIP), by a QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis method (Stratagene) using the following primers: primer-1 (CGAAACACGCAGACCAGCGACGACCTGCTACTCTACCATCTCAAGCCGGGCTTG) and primer-2 (GCCTTGGAGTTGTAGGACGCTGTGCGGTGCTGTGCACCTCAC). To make p21-3A, primer-3 (GATTTCTACCACTCCGGGCGCCGACACTGACTCTCCTCCTCAAGAGG) and primer-4 (CTTGAGAGAGATCAGTGCCGCGGAGGTGAATAGAATCTGTC) were used. To make p21-4A, primer-5 (GGAGACTCTCAGGTCAGCGGCCGACGACGACGACGACGAGAT) and primer-6 (TGTCATGCTGGTCTGTGCGGCCGCCTTTTGCG). To make p21-4A, primer-5 (GGGGAACCTCAGGTCAGCGGCCGACGACGACGACGACGAGAT) and primer-6 (TGTCATGCTGGTCTGTGCGGCCGCCTTTTGCG). The plasmids were cut with HindIII and EcoRI, resulting in p3FLAG-3NLS-Myc-p21(WT), -p21(PIP), -p21(3A), and -p21(4A). pCMV-Myc-DDB1 was constructed by cloning the PCR-amplified DDB1 into pCMV-Myc plasmid (laboratory stock). The HA-tagged Cul4 expression plasmid was a gift from Dr. Chiba.

**Antibodies, Western Blotting, and Immunofluorescence**—For Western blotting, total whole cell lysates were prepared by lysing cell pellets directly in SDS-PAGE buffer. For immunofluorescence, HeLa cells were fixed in 3.8% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min, permeabilized in 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS, and stained with the indicated antibodies, as described (20). For double staining, Alexa-488-conjugated anti-mouse and Alexa-592-conjugated anti-rabbit antibodies were used as secondary antibodies with Hoechst 33258 to visualize DNA. Antibodies used were as follows: p21 (mouse; BD Pharrmingen), Cdt1 (rabbit; described in Ref. 29), FLAG (rabbit and mouse M2; Sigma), cyclin A (mouse, Ab-6 (Neomarkers) and rabbit, H-432 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA))), Myc (rabbit, sc-789; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), DDB1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Skp2 (H-435; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Cul1 (D-5; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), Cul4A (Rockland), Cul4B (C-19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PCNA (PC10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), p21 (556430 (BD Pharmingen) and sc-397 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology)), p27 (F-8; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) BLM (C-18; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), ligIV (a gift from Dr. S. P. Jackson). Anti-Cdt2 antibodies were raised in two rabbits after injecting His-Cdt2 protein (150-amino acid length of C terminus) that was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified on a nickel column.

**Immunoprecipitation**—p3FLAG-p21 was co-transfected with HA-Cul4A or Myc-DDB1 plasmid into 293T cells. After 3 days, cells were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline, treated with 0.1% formaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline for 10 min, and again washed in phosphate-buffered saline three times. The cells were suspended and lysed in 150 μl of 0.1 M NaCl-containing CSK buffer (10 mM PIPES, pH 7.0, 300 mM sucrose, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 2 μg/ml leupeptin) and centrifuged for 5 min at 700 × g. The supernatant was used for immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody-conjugated resin (Sigma). The precipitates were washed with 0.3 M NaCl-containing CSK buffer four times and used for immunoblotting.

**RNA Interference Experiment**—The following double-stranded RNAs were made by Dharmacco and transfected at 100 μM using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen), and cells were cultured for 3 days: Skp2, GCAUGUACAGUGUGCUUU; DDB1, GGACCUCUGUAAUCUGU; Cul4A, GAAUUCAGGACACAGACC; Cdt2, CACCGAGUGUAAUACCTTCCAGTCC) were used. To express 3FLAG-tagged wild type and mutant forms of p21, these plasmids were used to amplify each fragment of the p21 fragment by PCR using the primers primer-3 (GGGGAATTCAGAACC GGCGTGGGGATGTC) and primer-4 (ATGCTAGTTTCTGCTCAGCGG). cut with EcoRI-BamHI, and cloned into the p3FLAG-Myc-CMV-26 plasmid (Sigma), resulting in p3FLAG-p21(WT), -p21(PIP), -p21(3A), and -p21(4A). To insert nuclear localization signals (NLS) between the FLAG tag and the wild type or mutant p21 cDNA, plasmids were cut with HindIII and EcoRI and ligated with a 5’×NLS-Myc coding fragment that was amplified using plasmid pCMV/Myc/nuc (Invitrogen) as a template with primer-7 (GGGGAAGCTTACGGCCGAGATCTAAAAAG) and primer-8 (GGGGAATTCTCTAGGTGCGGCCCCATTCAGATCTC). and was cut with HindIII and EcoRI, resulting in p3FLAG-3NLS-Myc-p21(WT), -p21(PIP), -p21(3A), and -p21(4A). pCMV-Myc-DDB1 was constructed by cloning the PCR-amplified DDB1 into pCMV-Myc plasmid (laboratory stock). The HA-tagged Cul4 expression plasmid was a gift from Dr Chiba.

**Protein Purification**—All proteins were of human origin. Protein expression and reconstitution of Cul4A-containing E3 complex (HA-Cul4A, 3FLAG-DDB1, Cdt2, and His-Myc-
Rbx1) with the baculovirus system (pBacPA6, Clontech), and purification with an anti-FLAG column (anti-FLAG M2-agarose; Sigma), followed by glycerol gradient, were done using sf21 cells, as described previously (30). PCNA was prepared using an E. coli expression system as described(30). 3FLAG-Myc-p21 was prepared in HeLa cells, purified on an anti-FLAG column, and eluted with 3X FLAG peptides.

*In Vitro Ubiquitination Assay—*100 ng of FLAG-Myc-p21 was assayed in a 10-μl reaction mixture containing 20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.5), 20 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 0.4 mM dithiothreitol, 2 mM ATP, 0.02 mM CP, 250 ng of CPK, 500 ng of PCNA, 100 ng of E1, 200 ng of E2, 25 pmol of DNA (76-mer oligonucleotides synthesized and annealed), and 600 ng of Cul4A-containing E3 complex. After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min, the reaction was terminated with SDS-sample buffer and separated on 12.5% polyacrylamide gels and detected by immunoblotting with anti-p21 (sc-397; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-Myc (sc-789; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies. Ubiquitin (U-100), E1 (E-302), and E2 (GST-UbcH5; E2-625) were purchased from BostonBiochem.

**RESULTS**

Degradation of p21 by a PCNA-Dependent Cul4-DDB1^Cdt2^ Pathway—To identify proteins that are degraded by the same pathway as Cdt1, we examined several PCNA-binding proteins following UV irradiation in HeLa cells. As reported (27), the p21 protein is degraded following UV irradiation (Fig. 1A). When asynchronously growing cells were treated with siRNA for Skp2, total p21 protein levels increased. However, in contrast to the report that UV-induced degradation was dependent on Skp2, its degradation occurred in cells treated with siRNA for Skp2 (Fig. 1B). Instead, its degradation was inhibited when PCNA, DDB1, or Cdt2 was silenced. This was not due to a change in cell cycle profile, because the fraction of BrdUrd-positive cells or profile of flow cytometry following siPCNA or siCul4 treatment was almost the same to control siRNA-treated positive cells or profile of flow cytometry following siPCNA or siCul4 treatment was almost the same to control siRNA-treated positive cells.

To follow the p21 protein degradation in individual cells, we performed an indirect immunofluorescence analysis using U2OS cells that have a wild type p53. Also in contrast to the report that UV-induced degradation was defective in HeLa cells, we performed the same silencing experiments using U2OS cells that have a wild type p53. Also in this cell line, p21 degradation was inhibited when PCNA or Cul4A was silenced (Fig. 1C).
nously growing HeLa cells were transfected with siRNA for PCNA, Skp2, or control and fixed with staining with or without UV irradiation. Consistent with the immunoblot analysis, p21 became resistant to UV-induced degradation when PCNA was silenced, but it was still degraded in Skp2-silenced cells (Fig. 2, C–E).

Double immunofluorescence analysis with Cdt1 or cyclin A can reveal the cell cycle expression profile of p21. Both Cdt1 and cyclin A are very good cell cycle markers; Cdt1 is present exclusively in $G_1$ phase, whereas cyclin A is present from S phase to early M phase (20). Co-staining of p21 with Cdt1 is consistent with $G_1$ phase (Fig. 2A), whereas p21 was not co-stained with cyclin A (Fig. 2C), indicating that p21 was degraded in $S$ phase. When PCNA was silenced, p21 protein remained stable in cyclin A-positive S phase cells (Fig. 2D). In addition, we performed a same BrdUrd incorporation and double immunofluorescence assay as used for Cdt1 (20). We used a stable HeLa cell line, expressing FLAG-Myc-p21 (see Fig. 5), since the rabbit anti-Myc antibodies used worked well for double staining with mouse anti-BrdUrd antibodies. In asynchronously growing cells, p21 was degraded in BrdUrd-positive cells as expected. When treated with siRNA for PCNA, Cul4, or DDB1, p21 was accumulated in BrdUrd-positive cells but not when treated with control siRNA (supplemental Fig. 1). These results demonstrate that p21 is degraded by the PCNA-dependent Cul4-DDB1$^{Cdt2}$ pathway both in S phase and following UV irradiation.

SCF$^{Skp2}$ is active both in S phase and in $G_2$ phase. On the other hand, the Cul4-DDB1$^{Cdt2}$ pathway requires chromatin-associated PCNA for ubiquitination and is active during S phase. Thus, in contrast to Cdt1, which is degraded both in S and $G_2$ phases, p21 would be expected to be degraded only during S phase. Therefore, we examined p21 protein levels during the HeLa cell cycle. Cells were arrested at early S phase by thymidine-aphidicolin block and released into a synchronous culture. Cdt1 protein was hardly detected in S phase and $G_2$ phase and appeared around the end of M phase, as reported (29) (Fig. 2B). The p27 protein, also a substrate of SCF$^{Skp2}$, was detected in neither S nor $G_2$ cells. In contrast, p21, which was similarly absent during S phase, appeared around 4 h earlier than Cdt1 and p27, suggesting that degradation of p21 does not take place in $G_2$ phase, when chromatin-associated PCNA is decreased.

**PCNA Interaction Is Required for Degradation of p21**—The above results suggested that p21 degradation is dependent on PCNA interaction. To address this point, we constructed a p21 bearing mutations in its PIP-box (PIP) (Fig. 3A). To enable efficient detection of the p21 protein, the FLAG tag was fused to the N terminus of p21 (FLAG-p21(PIP) plasmid). A wild type tagged form of p21, FLAG-p21(WT), was similarly constructed. Co-immunoprecipitation assays confirmed that PCNA interacts with FLAG-p21(WT) but not with FLAG-p21(PIP) (Fig. 3A). Using these expression constructs, HeLa cell lines stably expressing each FLAG-tagged p21 at physiological levels were isolated. When each cell line was UV-irradiated, FLAG-p21(WT) was degraded similarly to the endogenous p21 pro-
tein, but FLAG-p21(PIP) remained stable (Fig. 3B). On immunofluorescence analysis, FLAG-p21(WT) was detected in cyclin A-negative cells (Fig. 3C, top), and when irradiated with UV, the FLAG-p21(WT) signal was lost. Thus, FLAG-p21(WT) protein is degraded similarly to endogenous p21 in HeLa cells both during the cell cycle and after UV irradiation. In contrast, FLAG-p21(PIP) was stable in S phase cells and was not degraded after UV irradiation (Fig. 3C, bottom). These results indicate that p21 degradation depends on PCNA interaction.

The p21 degradation observed in HeLa cells is ubiquitin-proteasome-dependent. UV-induced degradation of p21, both endogenous and FLAG-tagged, was blocked in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (Fig. 3D, lanes 3 and 4). The level of p21 in S phase-arrested cells was low, but it increased following the addition of MG132 (Fig. 3D, lanes 5 and 6). Upon long exposure, a ladder of p21 was observed in MG132-treated cells, especially in UV-irradiated cells, which may correspond to the ubiquitinated form of FLAG-p21. In contrast, FLAG-p21(PIP) levels remained constant with or without treatment of MG132 and both after UV irradiation and S phase arrest, and a high molecular weight ladder was not detected.

To confirm that the FLAG-p21(WT) protein is degraded through the Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 pathway, FLAG-p21(WT)-expressing cells were transfected with siRNAs. Following UV irradiation, FLAG-p21(WT) remained stable when Cul4 or DDB1 was silenced but was still degraded when Skp2 or Cul1 was silenced (Fig. 3, E and F). Double staining with cyclin A also indicated that FLAG-p21(WT) was stable in S phase in siCul4-transfected cells but not in siCul1 transfected cells.

p21 Interacts with Cul4A and DDB1 and Is Ubiquitinated by Cul4A-DDB1Cdt2 Complex in Vitro—To confirm that p21 associates with Cul4-DDB1, FLAG-tagged p21 was co-expressed with HA-Cul4A or Myc-DDB1 in 293T cells, and FLAG-p21 was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG beads. On immunoblot analysis, HA-Cul4A or Myc-DDB1 was detected in the precipitates but not in the control precipitates (Fig. 4A), indicating that p21 interacts with Cul4A and DDB1.

Next, we performed an in vitro ubiquitination assay for p21 with Cul4-DDB1Cdt2. For this assay, Cul4A-DDB1Cdt2 complex was purified from Sf21 insect cells that were co-transfected with human Cul4A-, DDB1-, Cdt2-, and Rbx1-expressing baculoviruses (Fig. 4B). The FLAG-Myc-tagged form of p21 (see Fig. 5), purified from HeLa cells, was incubated with or without the purified complex, and the reaction products were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-p21 or anti-Myc antibodies. In the presence of Cul4A-DDB1Cdt2 E3 ligase, slowly migrating forms of p21 were detected on both immunoblots at similar molecular weight positions (Fig. 4C). In the absence of E3 ligase, such bands were not produced. These data indicate that Cul4A-DDB1Cdt2 ubiquititates p21.

Regions Surrounding the PIP-box Are Implicated in PCNA-dependent Degradation—PCNA binding brings about degradation of Cdt1 and p21. So far, other PCNA-binding proteins appear to be stable. What brings about this difference? One possibility is that amino acids surrounding the PIP-box are important for degradation. To address this possibility, we made alanine-substituted mutants of p21 (Fig. 5A). Since basic amino acid clusters are detected around the PIP-box, we focused on these clusters on p21. Alanine-substituted mutants of p21 (3A, 4A, and 7A) were made and tagged with FLAG at the N terminus. The basic amino acid cluster appears to have a role as a nuclear localization signal, and thus three copies of NLS and Myc tag were inserted as described in the legend to Fig. 5A. Cells stably expressing each construct were isolated. The addition of 3FLAG-NLS-Myc had no effect on p21 degradation (Fig. 5C, W7), p21 with 134KKR136 → AAA or 140RKRR143 → AAAA became stable both in S phase and after UV irradiation (Fig. 5C, 3A and 4A). This was not due to a defect in interacting with PCNA (Fig. 5B). The 7A mutant was also stable, but this mutation resulted in a failure to interact with PCNA (data not shown) (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that in addition to the PIP-box, basic amino acid clusters surrounding the PIP-box are required for ubiquitination.

DISCUSSION

PCNA mediates the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of Cdt1 by Cul4-DDB1Cdt2. Here, we show that p21 is degraded in a similar fashion in HeLa cells, both during S phase and after UV irradiation. First, degradation of p21, dependent on ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, was blocked when PCNA or components of the Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 complex were silenced. Second, p21 associates with Cul4A and DDB1 and is ubiquitinated in vitro by purified Cul4A-DDB1Cdt2 complex. Third, mutations at the PCNA binding domain of p21 also blocked its degradation. The Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 ubiquitination system is active in S phase, since PCNA
mediates substrate recognition by Cul4-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} only when associated with chromatin. Consistently, p21 protein levels were very low during S phase, but they increased after completion of DNA replication in G2/M phase and remained high during G1 phase.

There have been several reports addressing the mechanisms regulating p21 proteolysis, both ubiquitin-proteasome-mediated ones and ubiquitin-independent proteasome-mediated ones (27, 31–39). Our finding adds a novel insight into the cell cycle control of the CDK inhibitor p21. We have examined p21 degradation in HeLa cells that have defective p53 function, and thus p53-mediated DNA damage-induced up-regulation of p21 is defective. The FLAG-tagged p21 under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter, stably integrated into the genome, was regulated similarly to endogenous p21, suggesting that post-translational rather than transcriptional regulation controls the levels of p21 during a cell cycle and following DNA damage. The Xenopus CDK inhibitor Xic1, which exhibits homology to p21 and p27, was also shown to be degraded dependent on PCNA in Xenopus egg extract (40). Therefore, it is possible that the observation found in the HeLa cell line represents a fundamental control of p21 proteolysis in a cell cycle.

Although certain lines of evidence suggested that UV-induced p21 degradation was mediated by Skp2, others indicated that Skp2 may not be involved (27, 31). Our data demonstrate that SCFSkp2 is not essential for p21 degradation following UV irradiation. In contrast, Cul4-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} mediates this control. The proteolysis of p21 during S phase is also carried out by Cul4-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2}. The cell cycle expression profile of p21 suggests that Skp2 is not a major mediator of p21 degradation, since the protein appeared to accumulate in G2 cells, in contrast to Cdt1 and p27, which are degraded by SCFSkp2 and are therefore absent in G2 phase (Fig. 2B). However, we cannot rule out the possibility that SCFSkp2- or ubiquitin-independent proteasome-mediated degradation is involved in addition to Cul4-DDB1\textsuperscript{Cdt2} in p21 degradation during the cell cycle. In addition, dependence of p21 proteolysis on Skp2 may be different between cell lines. In fact, when Skp2 was silenced, the levels of p21 increased on immunoblot and the levels of immunofluorescent signal of p21 also increased (Figs. 1B, 2C, 2E, and 4E), and we detected a population of cells positive both for cyclin A and p21 in Skp2-silenced cells (Fig. 2D). These cells may correspond to G2 phase cells, since in G2 cells, Cul4-DDB1 is not active, and thus Skp2 silencing may have a more pronounced effect on p21 degradation. Since SCFSkp2 mediates proteolysis of p27 CDK inhibitor, its levels increase when Skp2 is silenced, which may have an effect on p21 proteolysis. Recently, it was shown that Skp2 associates not only with Cul1-Skp1 but also...
with Cul4A-DDB1 to target p27 for proteolysis (41). The same mechanism may operate for p21 proteolysis.

Replication-coupled inactivation of Cdt1 is an ideal mechanism to coordinate initiation of replication and prevention of reinitiation. Cdt1 is present during G1 phase and is required to license DNA for replication, but it must be inactivated after the onset of S phase. E. coli uses a similar system, called RIDA, to inactivate initiator protein DnaA via a sliding clamp, a mammalian homologue of PCNA (42, 43). Our analysis shows that p21 must be added as a mammalian protein inactivated by replication-dependent proteolysis. p21 is present during G1 to inhibit cyclin E/A-CDK activation. High amounts of p21 prevent cells from entering S phase. Once cells are committed to S phase, it is important to keep p21 protein at a low level to maintain CDK activity for replication and preventing rereplication. Chromatin loading of PCNA may signal to the cell that it has entered S phase (Fig. 6). Both Cdt1 and p21 play a role in late M to G1 phase, but their presence in S phase is harmful. When PCNA is loaded on chromatin, it contributes to processes such as replication, chromatin assembly, and chromosome cohesion. In addition, it concomitantly plays a role in Cdt1 and p21 inactivation by proteolysis, signaling entry into S phase.

Why can PCNA mediate the degradation of Cdt1 and p21 but not of those proteins directly involved in DNA metabolism? The chromatin-associated PCNA provides a platform on which it bridges the PIP and Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 for ubiquitination. If PIP takes part in a multicomponent complex, Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 may not be able to access its substrate. It is also possible that Cul4-DDB1Cdt2 requires a specific motif on the substrate for recognition outside the PIP-box. We show here that mutating sites around the PIP-box blocked the proteolysis of p21 without affecting PCNA interaction. The mutated sites are composed of basic amino acids and are positively charged. These clusters may be required for recognition by Cul4-DDB1Cdt2. However, some PIPs, such as DNA ligase I, also have basic amino acids proximal to their PIP-box. Further analyses are required to address what dictates which of the multiple PCNA binding partners are targeted for proteolysis.
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