Mutations Altering the Interplay between GkDnaC Helicase and DNA Reveal an Insight into Helicase Unwinding
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Abstract

Replicative helicases are essential molecular machines that utilize energy derived from NTP hydrolysis to move along nucleic acids and to unwind double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). Our earlier crystal structure of the hexameric helicase from Geobacillus kaustophilus HTA426 (GkDnaC) in complex with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) suggested several key residues responsible for DNA binding that likely play a role in DNA translocation during the unwinding process. Here, we demonstrated that the unwinding activities of mutants with substitutions at these key residues in GkDnaC are 2–4-fold higher than that of wild-type protein. We also observed the faster unwinding velocities in these mutants using single-molecule experiments. A partial loss in the interaction of helicase with ssDNA leads to an enhancement in helicase efficiency, while their ATPase activities remain unchanged. In strong contrast, adding accessory proteins (DnaG or DnaI) to GkDnaC helicase alters the ATPase, unwinding efficiency and the unwinding velocity of the helicase. It suggests that the unwinding velocity of helicase could be modulated by two different pathways, the efficiency of ATP hydrolysis or protein-DNA interaction.

Introduction

DNA helicase is a motor protein that unwinds and separates duplex DNA using energy derived from the hydrolysis of nucleoside triphosphates (NTPs). This unwinding activity is ubiquitous in all pathways of DNA metabolism, such as DNA replication, repair and recombination [1,2]. Helicases have been classified into six superfamilies (SF-I to SF-VI) based on conserved sequence motifs characteristic of proteins that catalyze directional translocation on nucleic acids [3,4]. SF-I and SF-II helicases generally operate as monomers or dimers on a diverse range of DNA and RNA substrates, while SF-III to SF-VI helicases adopt hexameric structures and function in replication [4]. In general, the SF-IV hexameric helicases unwind DNA in the 5′ to 3′ direction. The unwinding of duplex DNA by the catalytic action of helicases involves unidirectional translocation and base-pair separation. Since all DNA substrates cannot be unwound within a single biochemical catalytic cycle, the helicase translocation along DNA must involve a series of cyclical binding and release events, which implies that the affinity of helicase for the DNA transiently changes during translocation [5].

Our earlier crystal structural studies of the GkDnaC-ssDNA complex, identified ssDNA-binding pockets on the interior surface of the hexameric ring [6]. The ssDNA-binding pockets are directed toward the N-terminal domain collar of GkDnaC, thus orienting the 5′-end ssDNA toward the DnaG primase to facilitate the synthesis of short RNA primers. We also have previously identified several critical residues that interact with the ssDNA [6]. The locations of these key residues imply that these ssDNA-interacting sites could correlate with helicase translocation. However, unidirectional translocation of the helicase along ssDNA may play a fundamental role in the unwinding process. To understand the hexameric helicase-catalyzed unwinding process in detail, we studied how the helicase and ATPase activities are correlated with the DNA binding. The approach taken is to acquire a detailed knowledge of the structure and the kinetic/mechanistic information. Recently, single-molecule techniques, such as total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRF), single-pair FRET, magnetic/optical tweezers, have been shown to provide novel mechanistic details in helicase action [7]. These single-molecule techniques directly detect the helicase translocation and unwinding in real-time, as well as the conformational dynamics in protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions during helicase action, offer new information that were averaged-out in typical ensemble biochemical experiments. For example, optical and magnetic tweezers-based single-molecule experiments have been used to study several ring-shape helicases, and have determined the force dependence of helicase unwinding kinetics [8,9,10]. These studies indicate that phage T7 gp4 helicase unwinds DNA in an active form [8], whereas the phage T4 gp11 helicase unwinds DNA passively [9]. E. coli DnaB helicase was shown by magnetic tweezers experiments that its unwinding rate, translocation rate, and pausing activity can be modulated by force as well as DNA geometry [10]. In addition, complex dynamics in
replication fork, such as T4 primosome, was also studied by single-molecule methods to show the coordination among different protein complex during replication [11].

In this study, we use the replicative helicase of *Geobacillus kaustophilus*, a gram-positive bacterium, as a model system (GkDnaC). To investigate the nature of unwinding by hexameric replicative GkDnaC helicase, we studied how the activity of GkDnaC is modulated by specific mutations and by accessory proteins. We first compared the unwinding efficiency of these helicases, using gel shift assays, and measured their ATPase activities using spectrophotometric assay. We also developed a single-molecule tethered particle motion (smTPM) experiment to directly determine the unwinding velocity of replicative helicase GkDnaC in real-time. The observation by smTPM had several advantages for this study. First, the method is suited for length and elasticity study of the DNA molecules, which are correlated to the helicase-mediated unwinding processes. Second, the technique allows the study of the helicases interaction on torsion relaxed DNA molecules in a nearly force-free experiment. Surprisingly, weakened interaction between helicase and ssDNA leads to an enhancement in helicase efficiency and faster unwinding velocity, while ATPase activities remain unchanged. In strong contrast, adding accessory proteins (DnaG or DnaI) to GkDnaC helicase, alters the ATPase, as well as the unwinding efficiency and the unwinding velocity of the helicase.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cloning, expression and purification**

Protein expression and purification of GkDnaC wild-type (WT) and mutants were done as previously described [6]. For control experiments, the new constructs GkDnaC K309A and R420A mutants were generated according to the QuickChange mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) using the pET21b-GkDnaC wild-type plasmid as the template. These mutants were overexpressed and purified similar to WT, and showed identical chromatographic behavior as that of the GkDnaC WT on a size-exclusion column (data not shown). Therefore, amino acids substituted on these mutants do not affect hexameric formation. The coding region of full-length GkDnaG was generated by PCR amplification of genomic DNA isolated from *Geobacillus kaustophilus* HTA426 using *Pfu* DNA polymerase (Stratagene). The forward and reverse primers were designed to incorporate unique NdeI and XhoI restriction sites, respectively, permitting the insertion of the amplified product into the pET21b vector (Novagen) for protein expression in *E. coli*. The resulting plasmid, pET21b-GkDnaG, encodes full-length wild-type GkDnaG fused with a C-terminal His6 tag (LEHHHHHHH, Esherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells (Yeastern Biotech. Co., Ltd.) were transformed with these expression vectors and grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani medium containing 50 µg/ml ampicillin until the OD600 reached a value of 0.7. Overexpression of GkDnaG was induced with 1 mM IPTG for 6 h at 20°C. Harvested cells were resuspended in buffer A (10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0) and then lysed by sonication. Due to the low binding affinity by His-trap column, GkDnaG proteins were purified from the soluble supernatant on a HiTrap Heparin HP column (5×5 ml, GE Healthcare) followed by purification on a Q-sepharose column (GE Healthcare). The purified proteins were collected and dialyzed against buffer B (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl). Column fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Details for construction and protein purification of co-expressed GkDnaC-GkDnaI complex have been described previously [12].

**ATPase assay**

The ATPase assay is based on a reaction in which ATP hydrolysis is coupled to the NADH oxidation. The kinetics of NADH disappearance was monitored at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV1800). The assay was performed at room temperature with a reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 0.8 mM DTT, 20 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mg/ml BSA and 5 mM MgCl2. The reaction mixture was supplemented with 11.36 U/ml phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), 20 U/ml pyruvate kinase (PK), 20 U/ml L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), 0.08 mg/ml NADH, 5 mM ATP, and 1 µM GkDnaC helicase (or GkDnaC mutants) in the absence or presence of 15-mer single-stranded oligo(dT) (50 nM) in a final volume of 150 µl. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma. Experiments using longer DNA (30- and 70-nt) substrates yielded similar ATPase rates (Figure S1). The rate of ATP hydrolysis is proportional to the rate of the decrease in absorbance at 340 nm and is calculated according to the formula: \[ \Delta A_{340}/\text{time} = 9820 \times \text{rate of ATPase (µM/min)} \] [13].

**Gel shift assay**

A 60-nt-long oligonucleotide (5’-ACATGATAAG ATACATG-3’) was biotin-labeled at the 3′ end using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT, Thermo) and then annealed to an equal molar ratio of M13mp18 single-stranded circular DNA (NEW ENGLAND, BioLabs). M13mp18 vector is derivative of the single-stranded, male-specific filamentous DNA bacteriophage M13 and it is 7249 bp in length. The mixture was heated at 95°C for 2 min, then allowed to anneal at 65°C for 20 min, and cooled down slowly to room temperature. The 3′-terminal region (20 nt) of the oligonucleotide is complementary to M13mp18 ssDNA, while the remaining region forms a long overhang tail to create a replication fork-like template. The DNA substrates were purified on a Sepharose CL-4B spin column according to the protocol for separation and isolation of small and large DNA fragments [14]. DNA-unwinding activity assays with GkDnaC helicase were carried out using an ECL-EMSA kit (enhanced chemiluminescence electrophoretic mobility shift assay, Thermo). Approximately 125 nM of the fork-DNA substrate was incubated at 37°C for 40 min with the GkDnaC proteins (1 µM refer to monomer) in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) buffer containing 20 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM MgCl2, 5 mM ATP and 0.5 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. The reaction was terminated by adding 5 µl of 5X stop solution containing 0.04% SDS, 8% glycerol and 40 mM EDTA (pH 8.0). The amount of junction dissociation was analyzed by electrophoresis using a 10% native polyacrylamide gel run in 0.5x TBE for 2 h at 100 V. The biotin-labeled DNA was then transferred to a positive nylon membrane, UV cross-linked, probed with streptavidin-HRP (horseradish peroxidase) conjugate and incubated with the chemiluminescent substrate. The membrane was then exposed to X-ray film for quantification in an AlphaImager 2200 gel documentation system. For all reactions, unwinding efficiency was defined as the fraction of the unwound ssDNA fragment over all biotin-labeled signals. To normalize these values, the percentage of product was calculated using the equation, %Unwound = (%Us-%U0)/(%U100-%U0) where %Us represents the percentage unwound in the sample lane of interest, %U0 is the percentage unwound in the unreacted substrate and %U100 is the percentage unwound in substrate treated at 100°C. Each experiment was performed at least in triplicate. This gel shift assay compares the helicase unwinding
efficiency by quantifying the amount of DNA unwound at a given time interval for wild-type and mutant helicases.

**Single-molecule TPM measurements: DNA substrates**

In order to observe the enzyme unwinding process at the single-molecule level, we designed a fork DNA substrate called “fork-AC90”. The DNA substrate consists of three annealed oligonucleotides. Oligonucleotide A is a 145 nt TG-rich strand (5'-TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCTGATGACCGCGCTCTTGCTGTGTGTGTGCTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGT GT
test if these mutations indeed alter helicase unwinding, we analyzed the GlDNA C helicase unwinding efficiency by monitoring the amounts of the helicase-catalyzed displacement of short biotin-labeled oligonucleotides from the forked DNA substrates. We first compared the amount of unwinding products generated by wild-type and mutant helicases within a given reaction time using gel shift assay. To optimize experimental sensitivity for the gel shift assay, we first identified the unwinding product as a function of enzyme concentration in a fixed reaction time of 1 hour at the constant amount of DNA substrates. Using increasing amounts of wild-type GlDNA C WT (0.1 to 4 μM), we found that the relative unwinding efficiency was essentially proportional to the amount of enzyme and displayed a linear gradient up to 1 μM, and reached the plateau when enzyme concentration is higher than 1 μM (Figure S4). We then carried out the gel shift assay for monitor the unwinding efficiency of wild-type and mutant helicases at 1 μM protein concentration at 37 °C for slightly shorter time of 40 minutes (Figure 1A). Interestingly, most GlDNA C mutants in which a positively charged side chain within the center channel had been replaced by an alanine residue showed a significantly enhanced helicase activity (Figure 1A). Among these mutants, the R329A mutant showed a nearly 4-fold increase in helicase unwinding efficiency, suggesting that the DNA-binding loop I (residues 321 to 335, shown in Figure 1B) located in the inside channel of the hexameric helicase is not only responsible for DNA binding but also affects significantly helicase unwinding and/or translocation. By contrast, mutation of a positively charged residue (K309A) located on the outside surface of the hexameric ring and away from the DNA-binding channel (Figure 1B) did not affect the unwinding efficiency of the enzyme (Figure 1C). As a control, mutation of the arginine (R420A) residue located in the nucleotide-binding pocket from the adjacent subunit rendered the enzyme completely inactive (Figure 1B and 1C). This control experiment indicated that the mutation in the ATP-binding site of GlDNA C abolishes the helicase function. These results suggest that partial loss of the interaction between the helicase and ssDNA can alter the helicase unwinding activity. From the structural point of view, these key residues all located in central channel of helicase, we thus considered that protein-ssDNA interaction may correlate with the helicase translocation. Helicase that lost the partial interaction with DNA might translocate faster than before resulting in efficient unwinding. In this weak binding state, helicase could incompletely dissociate from the nucleic acid and easily move forward to the junction.

ATPase activities of wild-type GlDNA C and mutants

The unwinding activity of helicase requires its coupling with ATP hydrolysis. To understand if the enhanced helicase activity result from differences in ATPase rates of different helicase mutants, we measured the ATPase rates of wild-type GlDNA C and its mutants. Measurements of ATP hydrolysis were performed both in the absence and presence of ssDNA. The DNA-independent ATPase activity provides information about the intrinsic ability of proteins to hydrolyze ATP, whereas the measurement of ATPase activity in the presence of ssDNA reflects the DNA-stimulated ATPase activity. We carried out a coupled ATPase spectrophotometric assay by monitoring the decrease of NADH absorbance at 340 nm (Figure 1D). As expected, the nucleotide-binding pocket mutant R420A showed a low ATPase rate of about 5.7 μM/min (red line, Figure 1D) in the absence of ssDNA, similar to background level of 3.5 μM/min (data not shown). However, for wild-type enzyme and helicase mutants with enhanced activities, the intrinsic ATPase rates are all about 30 μM/min. The similar intrinsic ATPase rates of wild-type and mutants indicated that the enhancement of helicase unwinding activity in mutant proteins is not due to an increased efficiency of ATP binding or ATP hydrolysis but resulted from the helicase/ssDNA interaction. On the other hand, the DNA-stimulated ATPase activities we observed here were only slightly increased as compared to the intrinsic ATPase activity. Although we have used different lengths or different concentrations of ssDNA, the measured ATPase rates are rather similar with the intrinsic ATPase rates (Figure S1 and S5). It is possible that DNA binding does not stimulate ATPase activity in G. kaustophilus hexameric DnaC helicase. Similar observations have been reported for several other hexameric helicases such as BdDnaB, TWINKLE, and EcoRuvB helicases [19,20,21].

Helicase unwinding velocity monitored by smTPM

To test whether the differences in unwinding efficiencies between the GlDNA C helicase and its mutants seen in gel shift assays result from the differences in unwinding velocities, we directly measured the unwinding velocities of individual GlDNA C helicases in real-time at the single-molecule level. Most single-molecule studies on ring-shaped SF-IV helicases used force-dependent techniques such as optical and magnetic tweezers (in the force range of 5–40 pN) [7,8,9,10,22,23]. Here, we developed a single-molecule tethered particle motion (smTPM) experiment to study the unwinding velocity of GlDNA C helicase in a nearly force-free condition (in the force range of N). We tethered the DNA substrates on anti-digoxigenin-decorated coverglass and labeled the distal 5' end of DNA with streptavidin-coated beads (see Materials and Methods, Figure 2A). The centroid position of the tethered bead can be measured by optical microscopy and determined to nanometer precision by image processing [16]. As unwinding persists, duplex DNA is converted to ssDNA that possesses a higher flexibility. Therefore, a gradual increase in Brownian motion (BM) of the bead is expected from the unwound ssDNA (Figure 2B). The BM of intact, initial fork-AC90 substrates is shown to be fitted into a single Gaussian curve, 9.90 ± 2.18 nm (mean ± standard deviation; N = 66, where N is the number of effective tethers; Figure 2C). To mimic the unwound fork substrate before detachment, we replaced the 145 nt ssDNA with a 37 nt ssDNA annealed to the 3'-end of the biotinylated AC90 ssDNA. The BM of this sample was determined as 15.00 ± 6.9 nm (mean ± standard deviation; N = 57) as illustrated in Figure 2D. The increased BM reflects the difference between duplex DNA and ssDNA, and confirms that this BM increase can be used to monitor the DnaC-mediated unwinding process with the conversion factor of 0.0718 ± 0.0257 nm/bp. The broader BM distribution of this unwound state is consistent with the long single-stranded DNA segment of this substrate.

Upon the addition of GlDNA C helicase and the mixture of ATP and ATP regeneration system to the coverglass, we observed some tethers with an apparent BM increase followed by tether disappearance (Figure 3A). On average, out of ~ 30 initial tethers in the field of view, we observed about 5 tethers (17%) that showed this kind of BM increase and tether disappearance. The increase in BM and tether disappearance suggests that GlDNA C hexamers alone are capable of unwinding this 90 bp substrate. Using DNA substrates with a longer duplex region, however, did not produce a significant amount of tether disappearance, likely due to the limited processivity of the GlDNA C helicase. Control experiments without ATP or without GlDNA C did not render any tether disappearance in BM time-courses (data not shown). There is a recording dead time of about 20 s due to solution exchange and stage reactivation for imaging (illustrated by the gray-shaded region in Figure 3A, inset). This ~20 s dead time does not
Figure 1. Ensemble assays of wild-type and mutant GiDnaC. A. Gel shift assay of GiDnaC WT and mutants. The enhancement of unwinding efficiency is expressed by the fold of change in the biotin-labeled ssDNA unwound product from GiDnaC mutant to that from WT. Fork-DNA substrate and ssDNA product are indicated schematically on the left. The relative amount of unwinding efficiency of each mutant with reference to GiDnaC WT is indicated. The red sphere denotes the biotin-labeled end. The average and standard deviations of three independent experiments are given. B. Locations of amino acid residues in GiDnaC that were mutated. The hexameric GiDnaC and ssDNA are represented as cartoons and colored in green and orange, respectively. C. Gel shift assay of GiDnaC WT, mutant K309A and R420A (arginine finger). An increasing amount of purified protein (0.5 to 1 μM) was used in the standard gel shift assay. D. Time-courses of ATPase activity of GiDnaC WT and mutants. Reactions were initiated by the addition of 1 μM enzyme (per monomer) after preincubation of all other components at RT for 5 min. ATPase rates of WT and mutants are compared. Data represent the average and standard deviations from three independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.g001
interfere with our observation of GkDnaC-mediated unwinding process, since the bead BM remains constant for at least >100 seconds before the unwinding occurs. Only the beads with increasing BM pattern prior to dissociation are included in unwinding velocity analysis. For these tethers, the increasing BM time-courses can be fitted nicely by a linear line, where the slope of the fit returns the unwinding velocity of individual helicase molecule. The dwell time between the start of BM increase and the maximum BM is the time required to unwind the 90 bp duplex, and also allows the calculation of unwinding velocities. Both methods (slope in linear fits and dwell time) return with similar unwinding velocities. The average unwinding velocity of wild-type GkDnaC helicase was 3.58±1.62 bp/s (N = 10) in this nearly force-free experiment. This unwinding velocity is slower than that of other ring-shape helicases determined so far, such as bacteriophage T4 gp41 (~30 bp/s, the value estimated from extrapolating to zero force), T7 gp4 (29 bp/s at 5.2 pN, 220 bp/s at 11.2 pN) and *Escherichia coli* DnaB (80~50 bp/s, the value estimated from extrapolating to zero force) [8,9,10]. In some cases (<10%), there is a pause before tethers disappear, likely due to the potential reannealing of the unwound ssDNA to its complementary strand. These tethers were not included in the unwinding velocity analysis.

To further confirm that the increase in BM time-course indeed reflects helicase-catalyzed unwinding processes, we measured the unwinding velocities at different ATP concentrations. Even though hexamer helicases hydrolyze a number of ribonucleoside and deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (rNTPs and dNTPs) in the absence of DNA substrates, their unwinding efficiency is powered by its ATPase, and is thus ATP dependent. We measured the unwinding velocity of GkDnaC at 5 different ATP concentrations, and determined its unwinding velocities, as shown in Figure 4 and Table 1. The Michaelis-Menten fit yielded values of $V_{\text{max}}$ of 4.69±2.00 bp/s and $K_{\text{M, ATP}}$ of 1.03±0.46 mM. The observed ATP dependence provides direct and strong evidence that the detected increase in BM time-course describes the GkDnaC-catalyzed unwinding process.

**Helicase-DNA interaction is correlated with its unwinding**

Our gel shift results suggested that residues located at the inside channel of the helicase that participate in ssDNA binding could affect the helicase unwinding efficiency. The enhanced helicase efficiency of the enzymes carrying a single point mutation at these sites might lead to a faster unwinding velocity. To confirm the results from the gel shift assay, we performed single-molecule time-course analyses. The increasing BM time-course was fitted to yield an unwinding velocity for each helicase molecule (Figure 3). Exemplary BM time-courses of wild-type and mutant helicases are shown in Figure 3 together with the compiled histograms...
supplemented with bootstrapping analysis. As shown in Figure 3C and 3D, the mean unwinding velocities of R145A/K146A and R332A mutants were found to be $10.52\pm 2.09$ bp/s (R145A/K146A, N = 15) and $7.50\pm 2.93$ bp/s (R332A, N = 12), respectively. Both mutants showed apparent, statistically significant, increased unwinding velocities as compared to the wild-type

**Figure 3. Observed unwinding by an individual GkDnaC enzyme in a smTPM experiment.** BM time-course of a single duplex DNA molecule in the presence of the indicated enzyme(s) (left panel) and the histogram of observed unwinding velocities (right panel). A. BM time-course of a single duplex DNA molecule unwound by GkDnaC WT. There is a recording dead time of at least 20 s due to solution exchange and stage restabilization for imaging (shaded area). The increased slope of BM time-course was fitted to yield an unwinding velocity of $3.59\pm 1.30$ bp/s for this molecule. Histogram supplemented by data from bootstrapping statistics based on the mean of the measured unwinding velocities, shows the unwinding velocity (mean±s.d., N = 10). B–D. BM time-course for GkDnaC-GkDnaG complex, GkDnaC mutant R332A and R145A/K146A. The unwinding velocities of individual traces are $7.33\pm 2.63$, $7.30\pm 2.66$, and $10.56\pm 3.82$ bp/s, respectively. The mean unwinding velocities are shown in the histogram. E. Time-course of disappeared tethered beads (in percent) observed by single-molecule TPM experiments. The cumulative exponential curve was fitted with the equation: $y = y_0 + \left[1 - \left(1/t\right)^{-x/y}\right]$. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.g003
and V fitted to Michaelis-Menten kinetics, yielding $K_{M, ATP}$ of 1.03±0.46 mM and $V_{max}$ of 4.69±2.00 bp/s. 
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Figure 4. ATP dependence of unwinding velocities of GdNAC WT obtained from smTPM. Helicase unwinding velocities were measured at different ATP concentrations (0.25–5.0 mM). The data were fitted to Michaelis-Menten kinetics, yielding $K_{M, ATP}$ of 1.03±0.46 mM and $V_{max}$ of 4.69±2.00 bp/s. 

| ATP conc. (mM) | Unwinding velocity (bp/s) |
|---------------|---------------------------|
| 5.0           | 3.58±1.62 (N = 15)        |
| 2.5           | 3.46±1.45 (N = 10)        |
| 1.0           | 3.04±1.40 (N = 10)        |
| 0.5           | 1.47±0.57 (N = 5)         |
| 0.25          | 0.73±0.34 (N = 10)        |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.g004

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.t001

Table 1. ATP dependence of the unwinding velocity measured by smTPM.

enzyme (3.58±1.62 bp/s), with the consideration of the error associated with the conversion factor. In addition, in the BM time-courses of mutants and the wild-type helicases, no apparent backstep motion was observed in the limited resolution of the TPM experiments. As summarized in Table 2, enhanced unwinding velocities (and unwinding efficiency) of these helicase mutants are not due to an increase of their ATPase activity, since their ATPase rates are basically similar to that of the wild-type enzyme. Based on kinetic evidence at the single-molecule level and structural analysis in a previous study [6], we speculated that helicase translocation and unwinding can be effectively modulated by its binding affinity towards ssDNA. This can be achieved by direct alteration of the helicase itself as shown in our mutation studies. Previous reports suggested that the unwinding velocities of hexameric helicases have a strong force dependence [8,9,10]. Although the applied force mainly modulates the stability of DNA substrate, but the external forces may also influence the helicase-DNA interaction resulting in an efficient unwinding action.

Based on structural analysis [6], we identified that Arg145 interacts with the ribose of nucleotide through hydrogen bonding, whereas Lys146 binds in the same manner to the base of nucleotide. In the CTD (C-terminal domain) collar, Arg332 is located in the DNA-binding Loop I which is structurally conserved in RecA-like family [6,24]. The Loop I (A) and Loop I (B) from both subunits buttress the 3’-end of ssDNA, and these flanking loops function like a clamp to mediate the ssDNA binding via hydrogen bonds and a salt-bridge to the phosphate backbone. Because that hydrogen bonding interaction plays a critical role between protein and DNA, changing these positively charged residues (Arg145, Lys146 and Arg332) to alanine alters the protein-DNA interaction significantly. In addition, previous studies showed that the E. coli DnaB hexamer could exclude 20-mer ssDNA in length, but only 10-mer ssDNA was strongly protected against nuclease digestion [25,26,27]. We also only observed 9-mer ssDNA seating on the basic DNA-binding pocket formed from two subunits in the asymmetric unit, although we used 15-mer oligo(dT) for crystallization [6]. Therefore, in G. kaustophilus, we suggested that the DNA-binding site we found in NTD (N-terminal domain) collar probably belongs to a “strong” DNA-binding site. However, in the cases of the papillomavirus E1 helicase and E. coli Rho helicase (smaller diameter in central channel of 17~20 Å), nucleic acid is bound within the channel via loops that form a “spiral staircase” protruding from each subunit [28,29]. We can thus speculate that two DNA-binding sites exist in the central channel of hexameric GdNAC: one located in the wider NTD collar of 50 Å in diameter (“strong” DNA-binding site) and the other in the narrow CTD collar of ~20 Å (“weak” DNA-binding site). Two DNA-binding sites independently bind and release DNA in response to the signals received from the NTPase site upon translocation. The “strong” DNA-binding site that tightly binds nucleic acids via hydrogen bonding is responsible for stabilization of the DNA strand, so it could optimize processivity and also provide a stable DNA template for priming. On the other hand, the “weak” DNA-binding that transiently binds nucleic acids via loops protruding from each subunit is responsible for the directional motion forward to the junction. Consequently, reducing the interaction between NTD of protein and DNA is a potential way of loosening DNA strand, which in turn might increase helicase translocation velocity.

Primase accelerates the unwinding velocity of GdNAC helicase

The chromosomal DNA replicases are multiprotein molecular machines. Previous studies showed that a ring-shaped hexameric DNA helicase forms a complex with either its loader or primase, resulting in the alteration of enzymatic activity [12,30,31]. To clarify how cooperativity and functional relevance between DnaC helicase and primosomal proteins in G. kaustophilus are achieved, we determined the duplex unwinding and ATP hydrolysis activities when DnaC helicase is in complex with DnaG or DnaI. Previous studies have shown that in the absence of nucleotides, GdNAC and GdNal can form a stable complex, which facilitates ssDNA binding [12]. Here, we also observed that GdNAC helicase forms a stable complex with GdNal primase in the presence of ATP using native PAGE and gel filtration (data not shown). As shown in Figure S6A, the unwinding activity and ATPase activity of the GdNAC helicase/GdNal primase complex were increased 1.5- and 2-fold, respectively, as compared to those of GdNAC alone. By contrast, helicase unwinding was inhibited when GdNAC was pre-incubated with equimolar amounts of GdNal loader prior to the assay. The GdNAC-GdNal complex exhibited a lowered ATP hydrolysis rate (18.1±5.3 μM/min), almost half of that exhibited by GdNAC alone (32.6±10.5 μM/min). We noted that GdNal has no detectable ATPase activity by itself under identical assay conditions (Figure S6B). It is likely that the binding of DnaI to DnaC may induce a conformational change in the ATP-binding pocket of DnaC helicase, resulting in lower ATP hydrolysis and unwinding efficiency.
Consistent with previous studies [31,32] and as mentioned above, our bulk assay showed increases both in helicase activity and in ATPase activity of GkDnaC-GkDnaG primase, while reduced helicase activity was found in the presence of DnaI complex (Table 5). However, there are several factors that can lead to enhanced helicase efficiency, such as enhanced helicase processivity and enhanced helicase unwinding velocity. In addition, there is no existing model to explain why primase can stimulate helicase activity. Thus, we also measured the unwinding velocities of GkDnaC-GkDnaG and GkDnaC-GkDnaI complexes using smATPM in real-time (Figure 3B). The unwinding velocity of GkDnaC-GkDnaG was 2-fold increased to 7.44 ± 3.41 bp/s (N = 10), while no apparent unwinding process was observed for the GkDnaC-GkDnaI complex within 30 minutes of recording (data not shown). Due to our design of nicked substrates, the disappearance of tethers signals the completion of the unwinding process. Therefore, the number of tethers retained at a given reaction time denotes the progress of the unwinding process. Although no unwinding velocity was determined for GkDnaC-GkDnaI, we compared the unwinding activity of GkDnaC and its complexes by measuring the number of tethers retained in the same field-of-view at various reaction time, and presented by the percentage of disappeared bead in Figure 3E. Due to the substrate design of the single-molecule experiments, the time-course of disappeared beads directly reflects the helicase unwinding activity. For the GkDnaC-GkDnaI complex, the percentage of disappeared bead is lower than that of GkDnaC alone, and much lower than that of the GkDnaC-GkDnaG complex. It is likely that tight binding between the GkDnaC-GkDnaI complex and ssDNA (K_D = 47.3 nM, [12]) serves as a roadblock, and makes it unfavorable for DnaC helicase to translocate on the tracking strand, the strand that helicase engages and translocates along. Our studies show that GkDnaG primase accelerates the rate of ATP hydrolysis of helicase resulting in faster unwinding velocity. It is possible that primase enhances the helicase unwinding velocity by increasing ATPase activity and/or by inducing a conformational change that favors rapid movement on the tracking strand. Previously report also indicated that primase can enhance the processivity of the helicase by stabilizing DNA binding and hexamer formation when it associates with the helicase [33]. The observation that the unwinding velocity of helicase could be modulated by the efficiency of ATP hydrolysis but not interaction between the tracking strand and helicase in the case of the primosomal protein, is in strong contrast to the helicase mutagenesis studies.

In summary, our ensemble-averaged and single-molecule studies on GkDnaC helicase and its mutants suggest that how helicase interacts with its tracking DNA strand directly affects the unwinding process. Reducing the DNA-binding affinity of the residues located inside the central channel of the GkDnaC helicase efficiently enhances the unwinding efficiency and unwinding velocity without affecting the ATPase activity. Therefore, we suggested that the ring-shaped hexameric helicase might have a variety of conformations, and it can unwind more efficiently by a special conformation which is favorable for speedy translocation with a weaker ssDNA-binding ability. As expected, replication accessory proteins, such as GkDnaG primase, stimulated helicase activity. However, different from the helicase mutants, the stimulation is caused because that primase could help to regulate ATPase rate of the helicase achieving a rapider unwinding velocity. These two different modes of helicase activity modulation demonstrate that both protein-DNA interaction and protein-protein interaction regulate the action of the replicative helicase. Apparently, however, the enhanced ATPase and helicase unwinding velocity are not sufficient to attain the replisome rate required in vivo. Other accessory proteins are thus essential to interact with GkDnaC helicase for further enhancement of its unwinding velocity and processivity. Future studies of the helicase activity of GkDnaC in complex with individual and sets of accessory proteins as well as that of the holoenzyme will elucidate the complexity of the regulatory network within the whole replisome machinery.

### Table 2. Enzymatic activities of wild-type and mutant GkDnaC helicases.

| Mutant locations | WT | R332A | R145A/K146A | R420A |
|------------------|----|-------|-------------|-------|
|                  |    | CTD, α15 | NTD, α-hairpin (α7) | Arg finger |
| K_0 (M)          | 4.69 ± 0.40 × 10^-6 | 6.64 ± 0.90 × 10^-6 | 2.70 ± 0.60 × 10^-3 | n.d. * |
| Unwinding efficiency (fold) | 1 | 3.67 ± 0.85 | 2.86 ± 0.54 | No activity |
| ATPase activity (μM/min) | 32.58 ± 10.49 | 31.80 ± 15.41 | 33.95 ± 6.58 | No activity |
| Unwinding velocity (bp/s) | 3.58 ± 1.62 | 7.50 ± 2.93 | 10.52 ± 2.09 | n.d. * |

*n.d., not detected.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.t002

### Table 3. Enzymatic activities of GkDnaC, GkDnaC-GkDnaG complex and GkDnaC-GkDnaI complex.

|                  | GkDnaC | GkDnaC-GkDnaG | GkDnaC-GkDnaI |
|------------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
|                  | helicase | helicase-primase | helicase-loader |
| Unwinding efficiency (fold) | 1   | 1.45 ± 0.21 | 0.15 ± 0.07 |
| ATPase activity (μM/min)    | 32.58 ± 10.49 | 79.51 ± 18.21 | 18.08 ± 5.44 |
| Unwinding velocity (bp/s)    | 3.58 ± 1.62 | 7.44 ± 3.41 | _ * |

*The unwinding action is inhibited when GkDnaC helicase is bound to its loader GkDnaI.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029016.t003
Supporting Information

Figure S1  The rate of ATP hydrolysis of GkDnaC WT in the presence of different length of ssDNA. Reactions were initiated by addition of GkDnaC WT (1 μM), and the rate of ATP hydrolysis was monitored by following NADH oxidation at 340 nm. The experiments were performed using different length of ssDNA (15-mer, 30-mer and 70-mer). Except 70-mer ssDNA with random sequence, the ssDNA we used here all belong to single-stranded oligo-dT DNA (50 nM).

(TIF)

Figure S2  The initial unwinding time point determination by 1st derivative. A–D. The raw data of helicase unwinding fork-AC90 DNA substrates, in the present of GkDnaC wild-type, GkDnaC+GkDnaG, GkDnaC mutant R332A and R145K146A, respectively. There is a recording dead time of about 20 s due to solution exchange and stage restabilization for imaging (shaded area). E–H. The 1st derivative of the unwinding trace returns the initial unwinding time point. The solid red line represents the mean of the derivatives (which suppose to be zero). The dashed lines show the 95% marginal bound of the derivatives. It shows that after 270 sec, the derivatives grow over the bonds which indicate that the slope of the raw trace leaves zero at the time, that we determine as initial unwinding time point (red circle).

(TIF)

Figure S3 Sequence alignment. The schematic diagram showed the sequence alignment of DnaB-like helicases from G. kaustophilus (GkDnaC), B. stearothermophilus (BsDnaB), Thermus aquaticus (TaDnaB), and E. coli (EcDnaB) that labeled with residue numbers relative to that of GkDnaC. Residues that are completely conserved, identical and similar among family members are shaded in green, yellow and cyan, respectively. The asterisk showed the important residues (R45, R117, R120, R145, K146, K309, R330, R332, R344 and R420) that influenced DNA-bound.

(TIF)

Figure S4 Gel shift assay of increasing concentrations of GkDnaC WT. The DNA unwinding activities of protein were measured by monitoring the amount of unwound ssDNA product. The reaction was carried out in the presence of increasing amounts of purified proteins as indicated (0.1, 0.15, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 μM). To normalize these values, the percentage of product was calculated using the equation, %Unwound = (%US-%U0)/(%U100-%U0) (detail in materials and methods). Two independent experiments are shown here and are represented as red and blue lines (right panel).

(TIF)

Figure S5 The rate of ATP hydrolysis of GkDnaC under different molar ratio of protein to ssDNA. The rate of ATP hydrolysis is proportional to the rate of the decrease in absorbance at OD340, and it can be calculated according to the formula: ΔA140/time (s⁻¹) x9820 = rate of ATPase (μM/min). Reactions were initiated by the addition of 1 μM GkDnaC WT (per monomer) into the mixture in the presence of 15-mer single-stranded oligo-dT DNA (50 nM or 10 μM). Two independent experiments are shown here in different molar ratio system.

(TIF)

Figure S6 Unwinding and ATPase activity of GkDnaC were affected when GkDnaC was associated with primosomal protein. A. The effect of primosomal protein on helicase unwinding efficiency. The abscess shows varying enzyme and complex formation, which is correlated to the unwinding efficiency (fold) shown on the top of each bar. B. The effect of primosomal protein on ATP hydrolysis of GkDnaC. The rate of ATP hydrolysis was calculated from the rate of change in absorbance at 340 nm due to oxidation of NADH. Data represent the average of three independent experiments.

(TIF)
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