BRAZILIAN PRIMES WHICH ARE ALSO SOPHIE GERMAIN PRIMES
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Abstract
We disprove a conjecture of Schott that no Brazilian prime is a Sophie Germain prime. We compute all counterexamples up to $10^{46}$. We prove conditional asymptotics for the number of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes up to $x$.

1. Introduction

The term “Brazilian numbers” comes from the 1994 Iberoamerican Mathematical Olympiad [8] in Fortaleza, Brazil, in a problem proposed by the Mexican math team. They became a topic of lively discussion on the forum mathematiques.net. Bernard Schott [5] summarized the results in the standard reference on Brazilian numbers.

Definition 1. A Brazilian number $n$ is an integer whose base-$b$ representation has all the same digits for some $1 < b < n - 1$.

In other words, $n$ is Brazilian if and only if $n = m\left(\frac{b^n-1}{b-1}\right) = mb^{q-1} + \cdots + mb + m$, with $q \geq 2$. These numbers are A125134 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS).

A Brazilian prime (or “prime repunit”) is a Brazilian number that is prime; by necessity, $m = 1$ and $q \geq 3$. See A085104 in the OEIS for the sequence of Brazilian primes. In 2010, Schott [5] conjectured that no Brazilian prime is also a Sophie Germain prime.

\footnote{The term appears as “sensato” in the original problem [6]. The authors are puzzled by the discrepancy with [8].}
Sophie Germain discovered her eponymous primes while trying to prove Fermat’s Last Theorem; her work was one of the first major steps towards a proof.

**Definition 2.** A *Sophie Germain prime* is a prime \( p \) such that \( 2p + 1 \) is also prime.

Germain showed that if \( p \) is such a prime, then there are no non-zero integers \( x, y, z \), not divisible by \( p \), such that \( x^p + y^p = z^p \). If \( p \) is a Sophie Germain prime, then we say that \( 2p + 1 \) is a *safe prime*.

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many Sophie Germain primes, but the claim is still unproven. The Bateman-Horn conjecture [1] implies that the number of Sophie Germain primes less than \( x \) is asymptotic to \( 2C \frac{x}{\log x} \), where

\[
C = \prod_{p > 2} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^2} \approx 0.660161.
\]

See [3] for further information about Sophie Germain primes.

2. Enumerating Counterexamples

To aid our search, we use a few lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** If \( p = \frac{b^q - 1}{b - 1} \) is a Brazilian prime, then \( q \) is an odd prime.

*Proof.* Recall \( x^q - 1 \) is divisible by the \( m \)th cyclotomic polynomial \( \Phi_m(x) \) for \( m \mid q \); therefore \( p \) can only be prime if \( q \) is also prime. Note that \( q > 2 \) because \( b < p - 1 \), so \( q \) is an odd prime. \( \square \)

The preceding lemma is also Corollary 4.1 of Schott [5].

**Lemma 2.** If \( p \) is a Brazilian prime and a Sophie Germain prime, then \( p \equiv q \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \) and \( b \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \).

*Proof.* If \( p \) is a Sophie Germain prime, then 3 cannot divide the safe prime \( 2p + 1 \), so \( p \) cannot be congruent to 1 (mod 3). The number 3 is not Brazilian, so \( p \neq 3 \) and thus \( p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \).

If \( 3 \mid b \), then

\[
p = b^{q-1} + b^{q-2} + \cdots + b + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3},
\]

which is a contradiction. Lemma 1 states that \( q \) is an odd prime, so if \( b \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \), then \( p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \), a contradiction. We conclude that \( b \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \), so that \( q \equiv p \pmod{3} \), and therefore \( q \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \). \( \square \)

For \( q = 5 \), we use a modification of the technique described in [7] to compute a table of length-5 Brazilian primes up to \( 10^{46} \). We will describe this computation in full in a forthcoming paper [2]. Of these, 104890280 are Sophie Germain primes.
The smallest is $28792661 = 73^4 + 73^3 + 73^2 + 73 + 1$. We very easily prove the primality of Sophie German primes with the Pocklington-Lehmer test.

For $q \geq 11$, we very quickly enumerate all possibilities for $b \leq 10^{46/(q-1)}$. We find 22 Brazilian Sophie Germain primes for $q = 11$, and none for larger $q$. (We have $q < \log_2 10^{146} + 1 < 154$.) The smallest is

$$14781835607449391161742645225951 = 1309^{10} + 1309^9 + \cdots + 1309 + 1.$$ 

While we disprove Schott’s conjecture, we do have a related proposition.

**Proposition 1.** The only Brazilian prime which is a safe prime is 7.

**Proof.** If $p = b^{q-1} + \cdots + b + 1$ is a safe prime, then $b^{q-1} = \frac{1}{2}(b^{q-1} + \cdots + b)$ must also be prime. This expression, however, is divisible by $\frac{b(b+1)}{2}$, which is only prime when $b = 2$ and $p = 7$. □

The list of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes is A306845 in the OEIS. The first few counterexamples were also discovered by Giovanni Resta and Michel Marcus; see the comments for A085104.

### 3. Conditional Results

The infinitude of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes, as well as the asymptotic number of them, is the consequence of well-known conjectures.

**Proposition 2.** Assuming Schnizel’s Hypothesis H, there are infinitely many Brazilian Sophie Germain primes.

**Proof.** Recall that Hypothesis H [4] says that any set of polynomials, whose product is not identically zero modulo any prime, is simultaneously prime infinitely often. Take our two polynomials to be $f_0(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ and $f_1(x) = 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 3$. Then $f_0(b)$ is Brazilian and $f_1(b) = 2f_0(b) + 1$. Rather than checking congruences, it suffices to note the existence of the above primes of this form to see that the conditions of Hypothesis H are satisfied. □

The Bateman-Horn Conjecture [1] implies a more precise statement about the number of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes.

**Proposition 3.** For an odd prime $q$, let $\Phi_q(x)$ be the $q$th cyclotomic polynomial. Assuming the Bateman-Horn Conjecture, the number of values of $b < x$ such that $\Phi_q(b)$ and $2\Phi_q(b) + 1$ are simultaneously prime is $0$ or $\sim C_q \frac{x}{\log^2 x}$, for some positive constant $C_q$, depending on whether $\Phi_q(b)(2\Phi_q(b) + 1)$ is identically zero modulo some prime $p$. 
**Proof.** This follows immediately from the Bateman-Horn conjecture, with \( C_q = \left( \prod_p \frac{1-N(p)/p}{1-1/p} \right)/q^2 \), where \( N(p) \) is the number of roots of \( \Phi_q(b)(2\Phi_q(b) + 1) \) modulo \( p \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.** Assuming the Bateman-Horn Conjecture, the number of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes up to \( x \) is \( \sim C \frac{x^{1/4}}{\log x} \), for some \( C \).

**Proof.** To find the number of Brazilian Sophie Germain primes less than \( y \) of the form \( \Phi_q(b) \) for a fixed \( q \), we apply the preceding proposition, substituting \( x = y^{1/(q-1)} \), and get \( \sim C' \frac{y^{1/(q-1)}}{\log y} \), with \( C'_q = C_q(q-1)^2 \). We sum over all \( q \equiv 2 \) (mod \( 3 \)) and notice that the \( q = 5 \) term dominates. We can thus take \( C = C'_5 \). \( \square \)
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