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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome is a common genetic microdeletion syndrome that results in cognitive delays and an increased risk of several psychiatric disorders, particularly schizophrenia. The current study investigates the prevalence of incidental neuroradiologic findings within this population and their relationships with psychiatric conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Brain MR imaging from 58 individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome was reviewed by board-certified radiologists by using standard clinical procedures. Intracranial incidental findings were classified into 8 categories and compared with a large typically developing cohort.

RESULTS: The rate of incidental findings was significantly higher ($P < .0001$) in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome compared with typically developing individuals, driven by a high prevalence of cavum septum pellucidum (19.0%) and white matter abnormalities (10.3%). Both of these findings were associated with psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.

CONCLUSIONS: Cavum septum pellucidum and white matter hyperintensities are significantly more prevalent in patients with the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome and may represent biomarkers for psychosis.

ABBREVIATIONS: CSP = cavum septum pellucidum; PNC = Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort; 22q11DS = 22q11.2 deletion syndrome; TD = typically developing

The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS, also known as DiGeorge syndrome, velocardiofacial syndrome, and CATCH-22) is an uncommon genetic disorder occurring in approximately 1:2000–1:4000 live births.1 It is typically caused by a sporadic uneven recombination event resulting in hemizygous deletion of approximately 3 megabases on the long arm of chromosome 22.2-4 In addition to craniofacial and vascular abnormalities, this deletion of approximately 50 genes results in cognitive delays and an increased risk of several psychiatric diseases, including anxiety, mood disorders, attention deficit, and autistic features.5-8 However, perhaps the most striking effect of the 22q deletion is an approximately 30-fold increased risk of schizophrenia relative to the general population.9,10

Neuromaging studies demonstrate consistent anatomic differences between individuals with 22q11DS and typically developing (TD) individuals. Findings include globally decreased cerebral brain volumes, volumetric reductions in the parietal lobe, reduction of cortical thickness in the parietal lobes and orbitofrontal cortex, reduction in the cerebellar vermis hemisphere size, abnormalities in gyral complexity, and white matter hyperintensities.11-16 Additionally, prior neuromaging studies report an increased prevalence of cavum septum pellucidum (CSP) and cavum vergae in 22q11DS,15,17,18 an observation also noted in individuals with schizophrenia.19,20

Observations such as CSP and white matter hyperintensities are often considered incidental findings, usually of questionable clinical significance. In the TD adult population, the reported rate of incidental findings on neuroanatomic scans is widely variable, ranging from 3% to 85%.21-24 In a recent prospective investigation of incidental findings, our group estimated the rate of incidental findings to be approximately 10% in a pediatric and young individuals with the 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
The sample was drawn from a prospective study, Brain-Behavior and Genetic Studies of the 22q11DS, at the University of Pennsylvania and Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia. Individuals with the diagnosis of 22q11DS and 8 years of age or older were eligible for the study and were recruited from the 22q and You Center at the Children’s Hospital and through social media. Inclusion criteria were the following: 8 years of age or older, English proficiency, stable medical status, and estimated intelligence quotient of >70 by the Wide Range Achievement Test IV. Exclusion criteria were the following: pervasive developmental disorder or intelligence quotient of <70 and medical disorders that may affect brain function (eg, uncontrolled seizures, head trauma, CNS tumor, and infection) or visual performance (eg, blindness). Participants with an intelligence quotient of <70 were excluded to increase the reliability of the clinical data that focus on neuropsychiatric presentation and neurocognition. Furthermore, to enable comparison with participants without deletions with psychosis spectrum features, we excluded potential participants with significant intellectual disability.

As previously described, all participants underwent clinical assessment with semistructured interviews. Additionally, participants 12 years of age or older and without conditions interfering with MR imaging (eg, metallic inserts, orthopedic circumstances, poor vision, and pregnancy) underwent neuroimaging. Fifty-eight participants were scanned. Deletion status was confirmed by using multiplex ligation–dependent probe amplification. Fifty-three patients had the typical 3 megabase and 4 had a 1.5 megabase deletion. For 1 subject, the deletion size could not be determined due to sample quality, though the presence of a deletion was identified by using fluorescence in situ hybridization. All procedures were approved by the institutional review boards of the University of Pennsylvania and the Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia. Informed consent/assent was obtained from each participant and accompanying parent for those younger than 18 years at the time of initial evaluation.

Image Acquisition and Analyses
For each subject, high-resolution axial T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo imaging was acquired, with the following parameters: TR/TE, 1810/3.51 ms; TI, 1100 ms; FOV, 180 × 240 mm; effective resolution, 1 mm³. All subjects were scanned on the same 3T MR imaging scanner (Tim Trio; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) by using a 32-channel head coil. A board-certified technologist in the Department of Radiology at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania performed all scans.

All images were reviewed by board-certified neuroradiologists by using standard clinical procedures, and findings were communicated via standard reports within the medical record. Clinical reports were categorized as the following: 1) normal without incidental findings, 2) incidental findings not requiring follow-up, and 3) incidental findings requiring follow-up.

As in prior studies, incidental findings were categorized as the following: 1) pineal cysts, 2) other cysts (eg, arachnoid cysts), 3) cavum septum pellucidum/cavum vergae, 4) other ventricular abnormalities (ventricular asymmetries or prominence), 5) vascular abnormalities (absent flow voids, aneurysms, developmental venous anomalies, and so forth), and 6) cerebellar abnormalities (eg, hypoplasia, Chiari 1, cerebellar cysts). Two additional categories were added on the basis of prior 22q11DS studies: 7) white matter abnormalities, and 8) subcortical abnormalities. Extracranial findings were not included in the analyses.

Statistical Analysis
All analyses were performed in the R statistical environment (http://www.r-project.org/). Prevalence rates were calculated for individual incidental finding categories and for the aggregate prevalence of all incidental findings within the 22q11DS population. Demographic differences were tested by using ANOVA for age and the Fisher exact test for proportions (sex, race). An α of .05 was set as the threshold for statistical significance. Additionally, logistic regression was performed to test whether demographic variables or their interactions predicted incidental findings (generalized linear model function in R). Linear and nonlinear effects of age, sex, race, and interaction terms were included in the full model. All models were evaluated for goodness of fit by using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test. Best fit submodels were determined via combined stepwise regression by using Akaike information criteria as the test statistic.

The 22q11DS dataset was then compared with data on incidental findings from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort (PNC), a TD sample of children and young adults 8–21 years of age comprising 1445 individuals. Details on this sample are described elsewhere. Logistic regression models were performed to test whether group (PNC versus 22q11DS) predicted the presence of incidental findings. These models included linear and nonlinear effects of age, sex, and race to control for these potential confounds. Because our original report on the PNC did not explicitly include categories for WM and subcortical abnormalities, the original PNC reports were re-reviewed to identify any potential under-reported findings in these categories.

Finally, we tested the associations of incidental findings and the prevalence of psychiatric disease. On the basis of our a priori hypothesis that CSP and psychosis were related, we tested the hypothesis that CSP is associated with psychotic features. Specifically, logistic regression was used to predict whether subjects who...
carry psychiatric diagnoses with severe psychotic features (schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or major depression with psychotic features) have increased rates of CSP. This analysis was repeated for WM abnormalities. We then tested the predictive ability of incidental findings for categories of other psychiatric diagnoses (attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, anxiety disorders, prodromal psychosis, and severe psychosis) via multivariate generalized linear models in R.

**RESULTS**

Of the 58 subjects with 22q11DS imaged, 27 had incidental findings (47%). There were no statistically significant differences in age, sex, or race between subjects with and without incidentals (Table 1). CSP and WM abnormalities were the most common incidental findings (Table 2). Secondary review of the 11 individuals with CSP demonstrated that CSP et vergae was present in all reported cases (Fig 1). Representative examples of other common incidental findings are provided in Fig 2. Five individuals in the sample presented with multiple incidental findings: 1) CSP and ventricular prominence, 2) white matter hyperintensities and a 12-mm pineal cyst, 3) multiple white matter hyperintensities and a nonspecific hypointensity in the left thalamus, 4) CSP and absent left ICA flow void, and 5) ventricular asymmetry and a nonspecific white matter hyperintensity.

Compared with the TD population, the overall rate of incidental findings was >4 times greater in the 22q11DS population (P < .0001). Similar to prior studies, these differences were largely driven by an increased rate of CSP and white matter abnormalities (Table 3). Ventricular abnormalities also were more common in the 22q11DS population. In our sample, differences in infratentorial abnormalities were not statistically significant; no clinical abnormalities were reported in the cerebellum in our 22q11DS sample.

Figure 3 summarizes the relative prevalence of incidental find-
abnormalities. There were no statistically significant associations between other psychiatric diagnoses and incidental findings as determined by post hoc multivariate logistic regression.

DISCUSSION
To our knowledge, the current study represents the first neuroradiologic evaluation of incidental findings at a high field strength in 22q11DS. We found a higher rate of incidental findings within this population relative to a control population when analyzed by using similar methods. The increased rate of incidental findings was driven by a higher prevalence of cavum septum pellucidum, white matter hyperintensities, and vascular abnormalities, findings that have been noted in prior samples with 22q11DS. We also observed an increased rate of psychiatric diagnoses with psychotropic features in subjects with 22q11DS with either CSP or WM abnormalities.

Cavum septum pellucida were first described in 1671 by Franciscus Sylvius.33 In prenatal life, a CSP is a normal anatomic structure. Identification of a CSP is considered part of a standard evaluation during fetal sonography, and its absence is associated with several abnormalities including septo-optic dysplasia, abnormalities of the corpus callosum, and hydrocephalus.34 During typical development, the CSP slowly closes following birth; the septal leaflets fuse in approximately 15% of the population within 1 month following birth and in 85% by 6 months.35 The reported prevalence of a persistent CSP in late childhood and adulthood is highly variable, ranging from 2% to nearly 60%.36,37 The high variability in reported prevalence is at least in part due to disagreement on whether persistent CSP represents a true radiographic abnormality. For example, many of the largest studies on incidental neuroimaging findings do not mention the CSP at all33,34 or explicitly exclude the CSP from analysis.22

The precise developmental trigger that results in fusion of the cavum remains uncertain. It is hypothesized that growth of midline structures such as the corpus callosum, fornix, and hippocampus result in increased pressure on the leaflets of the septum, ultimately resulting in fusion.38,39 Thus, a persistent CSP may be a proxy for aberrant development of midline structures or possibly for abnormal global cerebral growth. CSP has been associated with several disorders including schizophrenia, Sotos syndrome, Apert syndrome, fetal alcohol syndrome, and chronic head trauma.40-42 In a recent meta-analysis of the extensive literature on schizophrenia and CSP, Trzesniak et al20 found that a large CSP was associated with an increased risk of schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Schizophrenia has also been associated with decreased size of several midline structures such as the hippocampus and corpus callosum, again suggesting that CSP may be related to abnormalities in midline development in this condition.20

In the 22q11DS population specifically, several prior studies noted an increased incidence of CSP.15,18,38,43 For example, van Amelsvoort et al15 estimated a 40% prevalence of CSP in a sample of 10 subjects with 22q11DS, compared with 9% in TD controls, though this finding did not reach statistical significance. In a multisite study of 45 children with 22q11DS, a prevalence of 84% was reported.18 Beaton et al19 measured CSP volume and length differences between 45 individuals with 22q11DS and matched TD controls, finding quantitative increases in both measures within the 22q11DS population. There also is some evidence that CSP and psychosis are associated in patients with 22q11DS: Chow et al17 noted CSP in 5/11 subjects with either a diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, though the lack of a control group prevented tests of statistical significance. Our data provide statistical evidence that there is an association between CSP and psychosis within this population.

We also observed increased rates of vascular abnormalities in 22q11DS, predominantly due to absent intracranial flow voids. Extracranial cardiovascular abnormalities are common in this condition, with 70% of individuals having congenital heart disease.1 Abnormal placement of the extracranial internal carotid arteries44 and kinked or tortuous vertebral arteries45 have also been reported, occasionally complicating the pharyngeal surgeries that are common in this condition. The available data are more limited within the calvaria, but several abnormalities in intracranial vascularity have been reported, including hypoplasia of the circle of Willis and other major intracranial vessels.46 These vascular abnormalities

| Table 3: Comparison in incidental findings between subjects with 22q11DS and typically developing (PNC) sample |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Incidental Finding   | 22q11DS | PNC | P Value |
|---------------------|---------|-----|---------|
| Pineal cyst         | 2.58%   | 2.43% | .6213   |
| Other cyst          | 0%      | 1.36% | .9855   |
| Cavum septum pellucidum | 18.96% | 1.14% | < .0001 |
| Vascular abnormalities | 8.62% | 2.57% | .0173   |
| White matter        | 11.53%  | 0.56% | < .0001 |
| Subcortical         | 3.45%   | 0.06% | .0960   |
| Cerebellar          | 0%      | 2.36% | .9860   |
| All incidental      | 46.55%  | 10.72%| < .0001 |

Note: VCFS indicates velocardiofacial syndrome.

*Previously reported as 10.57%, with WM and subcortical abnormalities included in the current analyses.
may be in part responsible for downstream neuroanatomic abnormalities that have been previously described in 22q11DS such as volume loss and white matter hyperintensities. Further research into this potential link between intracranial vascular anomalies and brain abnormalities, such as with noninvasive MR angiography and perfusion MR imaging, may be of value.

Additionally, there was an increased incidence of WM abnormalities in 22q11DS. Several prior studies have noted this finding in smaller samples or case reports. For example, van Amelsvoort et al noted a rate of hyperintensities at approximately twice that in their control population, though the finding did not reach statistical significance. Chow et al observed WM hyperintensities in 9/10 subjects with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. The pathophysiology of white matter hyperintensities is incompletely understood at present, but pathologic correlation in the general population has suggested that they occur in regions of gliosis, axonal loss, and demyelination, likely secondary to perivascular damage. In the TD population, the prevalence of focal white matter abnormalities increases with age, and increased WM burden is associated with an increased risk of dementia, cerebrovascular disease, mood disorders, and death. Because subjects with 22q11DS have an increased risk of vascular abnormalities, it is tempting to conclude that focal white matter abnormalities in this population may also represent premature perivascular damage due to a genetic predisposition. However, this hypothesis has not been tested directly because there are no large studies providing radiologic-pathologic correlation within the 22q11DS population specifically.

Although the current study supports several prior findings in the literature in a relatively large sample, there are some limitations. First, our estimates of a few incidental findings are lower than those reported elsewhere. These differences may be related to subject ascertainment procedures. Specifically, our study selected for higher functioning subjects and therefore may have underestimated the true prevalence of any incidents with direct impact on brain function. The lack of cerebellar findings, in particular, was unexpected, given prior reports of vermal and cerebellar hemispheric abnormalities. Second, our comparisons between the 22q11DS and PNC samples controlled for demographic variables by using statistical methods rather than by directly matching for age, sex, and race. The higher mean age of the 22q11DS group (22.6 versus 14.8 years) could potentially contribute to the increased rate of white matter abnormalities in this group, though the natural history of these findings within the TD population makes this less likely because they generally develop after 40 years of age. In contrast, incomplete control of group age differences would be expected to underestimate the relative rate of CSP in the 22q11DS on the basis of its natural history of decreasing size with age.

CONCLUSIONS

The current study confirms an increased rate of incidental brain MR imaging findings within the 22q11DS population in a large sample. In concordance with prior literature, cavum septum pellucidum and white matter hyperintensities drive the increases in the prevalence of incidental findings. Both cavum septum pellucidum and white matter abnormalities are found with increased prevalence in 22q11DS with psychosis and may represent potential biomarkers for schizophrenia and psychosis in 22q11DS. Future longitudinal studies within this sample could help further refine our understanding of these putative risk factors.
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