INTRODUCTION

As women and girls are expected to be much more emotionally aware and better at providing emotional support than men and boys, the proportion of women is higher in work related to emotional labor. The survey on emotional laborers' mental health conducted by Ansan Community Mental Health Center in 2018 reported that 84.5% of the respondents were female.1

Emotional labor refers to the form of emotional regulation in which individuals adjust their posture and facial expressions to meet organizational requirements.2 To meet emotional job demands, workers need to exert self-control, especially when they have to display emotions that they do not genuinely feel.3 According to the survey, “Right to health of retail service and sales workers” published by the National Human Rights Commission of Korea in 2015, 61% of emotional laborers experienced verbal or physical violence during the last year. In addition, 96% have tried to hide or distort their real emotions in their contact with clients or customers.4 This emotional dissonance requires significant self-control and depletes limited regulatory resources, leading to exhaustion and burnout.5

Emotional dissonance can be reflected by a change in autonomic nervous system (ANS) activities assessed in terms of heart rate variability (HRV),6 the fluctuation in the time intervals between adjacent heartbeats. A healthy heart’s beat-to-beat fluctuations are not like a metronome and are better described as mathematical chaos.7 The fluctuations provide the flexibility to rapidly cope with an uncertain and changing environment. However, when an organism exhausts all its resources, the acquired adaptation or resistance is lost and is reflected as HRV.8

Sleep difficulty has been associated with a variety of physical and mental health-related outcomes.8 Owing to the restorative function of sleep, good sleep stabilizes the cerebral meta-
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bolic rate and ensures adequate resources or energy supply to
the prefrontal cortex whose structures are important for exec-
utive self-control. Good sleep facilitates self-control in func-
tioning during the following day. Conversely, poor sleep pre-
vents restorative processes and further induces chemical and
structural central nervous system cellular injury, leading to dys-
function of prefrontal regions of the brain cortex and deficits in
self-control. Therefore, poor sleep has the potential to impair
emotional regulation as a form of self-control.

We hypothesized that sleep difficulty in emotional laborers is
associated with impairment of emotional regulation and burn-
out, leading to ANS dysregulation. Discovering the associations
between insomnia and ANS dysregulation can provide evidence
for emotional regulation difficulty in emotional laborers.

METHODS

Thirty-three female customer service representatives were
recruited from 2 reservation call centers at Korea University An-
san Hospital and Anam Hospital. Participation in the research
was entirely voluntary, and confidentiality was guaranteed.

The subjects were requested to provide personal information
such as age, education level completed, marital state, living sta-
tus, and tenure. In addition, the participants were asked to fill
out self-reported questionnaires that assessed their psychologi-
cal state. The participants were required to wear a wristband
during working time and resting time on 2 workdays.

The questionnaires included the Athens Insomnia Scale-8
(AIS-8), Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale
(CES-D), Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item (GAD-7), and
Suicide Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R). The AIS-8
measures the intensity of insomnia. The AIS-8 contains 8 items
and is scored on a 4-point numerical rating scale: 0=no prob-
lem, 1=minor problem, 2=marked problem, and 3=very ser-
ious problem. Total scores range from 0 to 24, with a higher score
indicating poorer sleep. A cut-off score of 8 was used in the
present study. The Cronbach’s alpha of AIS-8 was 0.80. The
CES-D is commonly used to measure depressive symptoms; re-
response options range from 0 to 3 for each item, and scores
range from 0 to 60 with high scores indicating stronger depres-
sive symptoms. The cut-off point was 20, and the Cronbach’s
alpha was 0.93. The GAD-7 has 7 items, some of which are in-
cluded in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual’s (DSM-V) cri-
teria for GAD to identify probable cases of GAD while also
measuring anxiety symptom severity. With a threshold score
of 10, the Cronbach’s alpha was 0.87. The SBQ-R is designed
to identify risk factors for suicide. The cut-off score is 7 for adults
from the general population (sensitivity of 93%, specificity of
81%). The Cronbach’s alpha of SBQ-R was 0.75.

HRV data for this study were obtained using the E4 wristband
(Empatica, Boston, MA, USA) which is validated research in-
strument for heart rate (HR) and HRV. The E4 wristband is
a wearable and non-invasive device that allows real-time phys-
iological data collection. This device is equipped with a photo-
plethysmogram sensor to measure blood volume pulse, from
which HR and interbeat intervals (IBI) are derived. Several HRV
time domain measures can be calculated from the IBI data such
as standard deviation of normal-to-normal (SDNN), root mean
square of successive differences (RMSSD), the number of suc-
cessive normal-to-normal interval pairs that differ by more than
50 ms (NN50), and the percentage of successive normal-to-
normal intervals that differ by more than 50 ms (pNN50). Both
sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and parasympathetic ner-
vous system (PNS) activity contribute to SDNN. The RMSSD
has previously been shown toock a valid index of PNS ac-
itivity. The pNN50 is also closely correlated with PNS activity.
HRV frequency domain measures can also be produced based
on the IBI series such as low-frequency (LF) band (0.04~0.15
Hz) and high-frequency (HF) band (0.15~0.40 Hz). Autore-
gressive spectral analysis and component wave analysis were
applied to the IBI for extraction of LF and HF bands. The LF
band includes both PNS and SNS influences and is highly cor-
related with SDNN. HF power reflects PNS activity. Natural
logarithm LF (LnLF) and natural logarithm HF (LnHF) can
be calculated from the data.

Statistical analyses

Participants were respectively classified into poor sleeper (PS)
and good sleeper (GS) groups based on the AIS-8 cut-off score.
The general characteristics of the participants in PS and GS
groups were compared using Mann-Whitney U-test or chi-
square test. HRV variables between working time and resting
time were compared within each group. Comparisons were ob-
tained using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Lastly, we compared
the value of the HRV parameters between PS and GS. We ex-
amined the effect of sleep difficulty on the differences of the
HRV parameters between working time and resting time by
performing an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with repeat-
ed measures. The between-subjects factor was group (GS vs.
PS) and the within-subjects factor was time (work vs. rest). The
CES-D score was used as the covariates because this variable
has been shown to be related with the AIS score. All data were
statistically analyzed by SPSS for Windows version 25 (IBM
Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Ethical issues

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Insti-
tutional Review Board from Korea University (KUIRB-2019-
0168-01).
RESULTS

The present study included 33 female subjects. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) age was 35.52 ± 7.11 years (range 22–47 years). Among the subjects, 45.4% (n=15) were married, and 54.5% (n=18) were singles. The majority (87.8% [n=29]) of the subjects were living with someone else, whereas 12.1% (n=4) were living by themselves. The mean duration of employment was 4 years (SD=4.93). Mean scores and SDs of each psychological scale are summarized in Table 1.

Subjects were classified based on the AIS-8 cut-off score into good sleeper (GS) and poor sleeper (PS) groups. The GS group consisted of 17 subjects (51.5%) and the PS group of 16 subjects (48.5%). The average AIS-8 scores were 4.24 ± 4.92 in the GS group and 9.68 ± 1.62 in the PS group.

Because the sample size in the GS group was small (n=17), the Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare HRV parameters between working time and resting time in the GS group. SDNN (difference=-2.06, p=0.039), NN50 (difference=-3.62, p<0.001), and LnLF (difference=-3.00, p=0.003) were significantly different. No significant difference was observed in RMSSD (difference=-0.21, p=0.831), pNN50 (difference=-0.45, p=0.653) and LnHF power (difference=-1.40, p=0.162) (Table 2).

As was the case for the GS group, Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare HRV parameters between working time and resting time for the PS group because of the small sample size (n=16). All HRV parameters turned out to be significantly different for the PS group: SDNN (difference=-3.26, p=0.001), RMSSD (difference=-3.05, p=0.002), NN50 (difference=-3.52, p<0.001), pNN50 (difference=-2.84, p=0.004), LnLF (difference=-2.74, p=0.006) and LnHF (difference=-3.36, p=0.001) (Table 3).

No significant differences were observed in age, marital status, living status, education duration, tenure, and SBQ-R scores between PS and GS groups. Conversely, significant differences were observed in CES-D and GAD-7 between both groups (Table 1). Pearson’s correlation was used to investigate whether

Table 1. Sociodemographic and psychological characteristics of subjects

| Variables               | Categories       | Total (N=33) | GS (N=17) | PS (N=16) | χ² or u |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Age                     |                  | 35.52±7.11   | 37.82±6.79| 32.47±6.58| u=89.5  |
| Marital status          | Unmarried        | 18 (54.5)    | 8 (47.1)  | 10 (62.5) | χ²=0.79 |
|                         | Married          | 15 (45.4)    | 9 (52.9)  | 6 (37.5)  |         |
| Living status           | Live alone       | 4 (12.1)     | 1 (5.9)   | 3 (18.8)  | χ²=1.28 |
|                         | Live with others | 29 (87.8)    | 16 (94.1) | 13 (81.3) |         |
| Education duration (yr) |                  | 14.18±1.53   | 14.59±1.54| 13.73±1.49| u=94.5  |
| Tenure (yr)             |                  | 4.00±4.93    | 4.77±4.92 | 3.35±5.08 | u=89.0  |
| AIS-8                   |                  | 6.88±3.41    | 4.24±2.33 | 9.80±1.61 | u=0.00* |
| CES-D                   |                  | 12.24±10.67  | 5.82±5.25 | 19.06±10.61| χ=35.5* |
| GAD-7                   |                  | 4.09±3.76    | 2.59±3.64 | 5.80±3.21 | u=46.0* |
| SBQ-R                   |                  | 3.61±1.89    | 3.12±1.32 | 4.20±2.34 | u=101.50|

*p<0.05. AIS-8, Athens insomnia scale-8; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale; GAD-7, Generalized Anxiety Disorder 7-item; SBQ-R, Suicide Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised; GS, good sleepers; PS, poor sleepers

Table 2. Changes in heart rate variability measures between work and rest in good sleepers

| Domain | Parameter | M±SD | Work | Rest | Diff (Work-Rest) | p |
|--------|-----------|------|------|------|------------------|---|
| Time   | SDNN (ms) | 87.38±17.82 | 93.13±15.38 | -2.06 | 0.039 |
|        | RMSSD (ms)| 87.80±24.97 | 87.62±26.29 | -0.21 | 0.831 |
|        | NN50 (beats) | 7,480.69±1929.31 | 1,971.29±460.16 | -3.62 | <0.001 |
|        | pNN50 (%) | 44.73±11.14 | 45.64±10.87 | -0.45 | 0.653 |
| Frequency | LnLF | 8.10±0.43 | 8.35±0.25 | -3.00 | 0.003 |
|         | LnHF     | 7.86±0.54 | 7.94±0.56 | -1.40 | 0.162 |

SDNN, standard deviation of normal-to-normal; RMSSD, root mean square of successive differences; NN50, the number of successive normal-to-normal interval pairs that differ more than 50 msec; pNN50, percentage of successive normal-to-normal intervals that differ by more than 50 ms; LnLF, natural logarithm low-frequency; LnHF, natural logarithm high-frequency
these differences were related to sleep difficulty; GAD-7 (r=0.450, p=0.01) and CES-D (r=0.639, p<0.001) were found to be correlated with the AIS-8. Performing the partial correlation only revealed a relationship between AIS-8 and CES-D (r=0.558, p=0.001). A repeated measures ANCOVA was used to compare the differences of the HRV parameters between working time and resting time in the GS and PS groups. For LnHF, the group effect was significant with the CES-D score as a covariate (F1,30=4.296; p=0.047) (Table 4 and Figure 1). The same repeated measures ANCOVA model performed for other

### Table 3. Changes in heart rate variability measures between work and rest in poor sleepers

| Domain   | Parameter | M±SD Work | M±SD Rest | Diff (Work-Rest) | p     |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------|
| Time     | SDNN      | 85.58±21.87 | 97.32±19.27 | -3.26            | 0.001 |
|          | RMSSD     | 90.31±26.84 | 97.21±27.18 | -3.05            | 0.002 |
|          | NN50      | 7,375.52±1,909.97 | 2,151.00±494.13 | -3.52            | <0.001|
|          | pNN50     | 45.03±12.68 | 49.93±12.42 | -2.84            | 0.004 |
| Frequency| LnLF      | 8.00±0.48   | 8.33±0.38  | -0.74            | 0.006 |
|          | LnHF      | 7.87±0.65   | 8.11±0.56  | -0.24            | 0.001 |

SDNN, standard deviation of normal-to-normal; RMSSD, root mean square of successive differences; NN50, the number of successive normal-to-normal interval pairs that differ more than 50 msec; pNN50, percentage of successive normal-to-normal intervals that differ by more than 50 ms; LnLF, natural logarithm low-frequency; LnHF, natural logarithm high-frequency; Diff, difference

### Table 4. Effect of the sleep quality on change of heart rate variability measures

| Source   | Type III Sum of Squares | df | Mean SS | F     | Sig |
|----------|-------------------------|----|---------|-------|-----|
| SDNN     | 593.83                  | 1  | 593.83  | 9.16  | 0.005|
| SDNN*CES-D | 37.05                  | 1  | 37.05   | 0.57  | 0.46 |
| SDNN*group | 175.55                  | 1  | 175.55  | 2.71  | 0.110|
| Residual | 1,944.12                | 30 | 64.80   |       |     |
| RMSSD    | 39.98                   | 1  | 39.98   | 0.84  | 0.37 |
| RMSSD*CES-D | 1.61                   | 1  | 1.61    | 0.03  | 0.86 |
| RMSSD*group | 105.93                  | 1  | 105.93  | 2.22  | 0.15 |
| Residual | 1,432.31                | 30 | 47.74   |       |     |
| NN50     | 11,609,4891.26          | 1  | 116,094,891.26 | 96.45 | 0.00 |
| NN50*CES-D | 1,543,690.16           | 1  | 1,543,690.16 | 1.28  | 0.27 |
| NN50*group | 1,528,816.26           | 1  | 1,528,816.26 | 1.27  | 0.27 |
| Residual | 36,111,184.31          | 30 | 1,203,706.14 |       |     |
| pNN50    | 46.90                   | 1  | 46.90   | 2.68  | 0.11 |
| pNN50*CES-D | 0.28                   | 1  | 0.28    | 0.02  | 0.90 |
| pNN50*group | 43.34                  | 1  | 43.34   | 2.47  | 0.13 |
| Residual | 525.48                  | 30 | 17.52   |       |     |
| LnLF     | 0.91                    | 1  | 0.91    | 16.03 | 0.00 |
| LnLF*CES-D | 0.15                   | 1  | 0.15    | 2.58  | 0.12 |
| LnLF*group | 0.14                    | 1  | 0.14    | 2.46  | 0.13 |
| Residual | 1.70                    | 30 | 0.06    |       |     |
| LnHF     | 0.19                    | 1  | 0.19    | 8.46  | 0.01 |
| LnHF*CES-D | 0.01                    | 1  | 0.01    | 0.43  | 0.52 |
| LnHF*group | 0.10                    | 1  | 0.10    | 4.30  | 0.05 |
| Residual | 0.67                    | 30 | 0.02    |       |     |

SDNN, standard deviation of normal-to-normal; RMSSD, root mean square of successive differences; NN50, the number of successive normal-to-normal interval pairs that differ more than 50 msec; pNN50, percentage of successive normal-to-normal intervals that differ by more than 50 ms; LnLF, natural logarithm low-frequency; LnHF, natural logarithm high-frequency; CES-D, Center for Epidemiological Studies-Depression Scale
HRV parameters did not yield any significant interaction effects.

DISCUSSION

In growing recognition of the consequences of sleep problems, particularly for the working population, many researchers have suggested that sleep difficulty is associated with a variety of health problems including ANS dysregulation. The main finding of this study is that the value of the HRV parameters decreased during working time in female customer service representatives who had sleep difficulty. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between sleep difficulty and ANS dysregulation in female emotional laborers. The GS group did not show difference in HRV parameters during working time and resting time. However, the PS group showed significantly lower RMSSD, pNN50, and LnHF when they were working as compared to when they were resting. Of note, after adjustment of the depression score, this difference persisted only in the LnHF. These results suggest that work-related reductions in HRV parameters in the PS group might be due to sleep difficulty-induced PNS dysfunction.

Sleep deprivation may cause changes in the neurotransmitter and neuroendocrine activity mediated by the autonomic sympatho-adrenal system and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which is similar to a stress response. Hyperarousal has been hypothesized to contribute to the development, maintenance, and 24-hour systemic sequelae of insomnia. Physiological hyperarousal in insomnia patients has been investigated by measuring HRV. Several case-control studies have reported decreased HRV-related parasympathetic activity in insomnia patients, and these HRV impairments are in line with our findings. These results suggest altered parasympathetic function might be a putative mechanism for daytime dysfunction in insomnia.

People who suffer from sleep difficulty may have a poorly functioning PNS, which is associated with a variety of physical and mental health-related outcomes. Many researchers have reported that decreased vagal tone is observed as an independent predictor of new-onset hypertension, corroborating its importance in hypertension. Because the dominant role of the PNS is recovery and restoration, a chronically suppressed PNS may hinder the process of unwinding after work. Employees thereby fail to fully relax at home and struggle with sleep. This result in reduced metabolic activity in the prefrontal cortex whose structures are important for executive self-control. Because emotional labor requires significant control and attention, emotional laborers with sleep difficulty may feel too exhausted to exert self-control. Consequently, they may become more vulnerable to stress and fall into a vicious cycle. Given that PNS dysregulation is associated with sleep difficulty, managing the sleep difficulty should be prioritized to prevent chronic suppression of PNS activity and protect workers from psychiatric and medical diseases.

The present study has several limitations. First, the sample size was not sufficiently large to ensure the validity of results. It might be the reason why there was no group-by-time interaction effect, although group comparison showed significant difference. Thus, another study with a larger cohort may be necessary to confirm the results. Second, this research was conducted with employees working at a call center who may not be true representatives of other occupational groups who work in the emotional labor field. Therefore, to validate the results, further studies that include various occupational groups are needed. Third, confounders such as cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, body mass index, and habitual physical activity were not considered. In addition, the female hormone may have affected the study results. For more accurate results, adjustments should be made for various confounders. Lastly, the extent to which sleep difficulty precedes changes in PNS activity or whether sleep difficulty is a consequence of suppressed PNS activity remain unclear. The causality of the association between sleep disturbance and the PNS activity remains to be established.

The current study examined the relationship between sleep difficulty and ANS dysregulation in female emotional laborers. The HRV parameters associated PNS decreased during working time in female customer service representatives who had sleep difficulty. Sleep health care is essential to protect emotional laborers’ mental health. If they have sleep problem, it can be helpful to guide them into mental health care programs such as cognitive-behavioral treatment for insomnia. This will be beneficial for their sleep health and lead to restoring of PNS. The relaxation therapy and mindfulness-based cognitive therapy are also expected to lower anxiety level and lessen sleep difficulty and emotional dissonance.
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