PIWI-like protein 1 upregulation promotes gastric cancer invasion and metastasis
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Background: PIWI-like protein 1 (PIWIL1) is an important member of the Argonaute protein family and is closely related to the malignant behaviors of tumor cells. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between PIWIL1 and gastric cancer (GC).

Methods: We investigated PIWIL1 expression status in GC tissues as well as its association with clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of GC patients. PIWIL1 siRNA was transfected into a GC cell line to elucidate its impact on malignant biological behavior.

Results: The results showed that PIWIL1 was upregulated in GC tissues and correlated with tumor differentiation, lymph node status, and TNM stage. The high PIWIL1 expression was an independent predictor for the prognosis of patients with GC. Silencing of PIWIL1 expression in GC cell lines suppressed tumor cell proliferation, migration, and invasion.

Conclusion: High PIWIL1 expression suggests a poor prognosis for GC patients and PIWIL1 can serve as an important molecular marker for predicting the prognosis of GC patients.
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Introduction
Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common malignant tumors, and is associated with poor therapeutic effect and dismal prognosis. Globally, the morbidity and mortality of GC rank fifth and third, respectively, among malignant tumors.1 The diagnostic techniques and therapeutic methods for GC are increasingly improving, and the overall survival (OS) of GC patients has also been enhanced. However, some patients develop disease recurrence soon after surgery and experience distant metastasis,2 which is the leading cause of death among GC patients. Consequently, exploring the molecular mechanisms behind gastric carcinogenesis and metastasis has become an important area of research.

Tumors derive from a small fraction of stem cells, which have highly proliferative, continuous self-renewal, and multi-lineage differentiation capacities.3 These capacities of stem cells are closely correlated with the PIWI-like proteins.4 The PIWI-like protein 1 (PIWIL1) is an important member of the Argonaute protein family and plays a vital role in stem cell proliferation, embryogenesis, growth, development, differentiation, and maturation in multiple organisms.4–6 In addition, PIWIL1 is closely related to the malignant behaviors of tumor cells. Previous studies have found that PIWIL1 is highly expressed in colorectal cancer tissues, and its expression is related to the lymph node status and disease stage of patients.7 It has been found to be a dismal prognostic factor for patients with colorectal cancer. Nevertheless, research on the relationship between PIWIL1 and GC is rare.
In the current study, we examined PIWIL1 expression in GC and analyzed its relationship with the clinicopathological features of GC patients. Furthermore, the relationship between PIWIL1 and the malignant phenotype of GC cells was evaluated.

**Methods**

**Patients and cell line**

We collected 120 GC cases and corresponding para-carcinoma tissues surgically resected in The First People’s Hospital of Lanzhou City from January 2008 to December 2014. All patients were diagnosed pathologically and had complete clinical data. The cohort included 83 men and 37 women, with an age range of 32–74 years (average, 47.1±8.4 years). Patients were clinically classified using the TNM classification system that was formulated jointly by the American Joint Committee on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control. All patients were naive to chemotherapy, targeted therapy, or biotherapy before surgery. All patients provided written informed consent for study participation. All of the procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of The First People’s Hospital of Lanzhou City, and were conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The human GC cell lines NCI-N87, AGS, and MGC803 and the normal gastric epithelial cell line GES-1 were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and cultured in DMEM containing 10% FBS. The medium was replaced every 3 days.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

The paraffin-embedded specimens were sliced continuously, followed by conventional deparaffinization and hydration using xylene and ethanol. The sections were then placed into the citrate antigen-retrieval solution for antigen retrieval, followed by washing with PBS twice. The sections were placed into 1.5% H2O2 in deionized water for 30 minutes and then washed with distilled water. Subsequently, the sections were incubated in normal serum for 15 minutes at 37°C overnight. Secondary antibody was incubated with secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG, 1:1,000; Multisciences). The band intensity and the proportion of positive cells were counted (a total of 1,000 cells were counted). The staining intensity was defined as follows: 0, no staining; 1, faint yellow staining; 2, claybank staining; and 3, brown staining. The proportion of cells with positivity was rated from 0% to 100%. In the current study, a product of staining intensity and the proportion of positive cells >40% was defined as high PIWIL1 expression; otherwise, it was defined as low expression.

**Cell transfection**

MGC803 cells were transfected using the Lipofectamine 2000 kit (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in six-well plates at a density of 5.0×105 cells/well for 24 hours. PIWIL1 expression was downregulated using small interfering RNA (siRNA), with the sequence 5’-AGTCA GCAACCTGGTTATA-3’. MGC803 cells that were not subjected to any treatment was used as the negative control. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 72 hours and were then collected for subsequent experiments.

**Western blotting**

Protein was extracted from tissues or cells using protein lysis buffer, and protein concentration was measured using the bicinchoninic acid disodium kit (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Equivalent amounts of cell lysate were electrophoresed on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. The membranes were sealed and incubated with primary antibody (rabbit anti-human PIWIL1 antibody, 1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, UK; PBS was used instead of the primary antibody as a negative control). GAPDH (Multisciences, Shanghai, China) was used as the internal reference. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with secondary antibody goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG, 1:1,000; Multisciences). The band intensity was analyzed using Image-Pro Plus software (Version 6.0, Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA).

**Cell proliferation assay**

Cell proliferation was measured using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan). In brief, cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 3×103 cells/well, and 10 μL CCK-8 reagent was added to each well after 24, 48, 72, and 96 hours. Finally, the optical density was measured using a cell analyzer (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) at 450 nm. Each experiment was repeated three times.
Transwell assay
Cell invasion was determined using Matrigel Transwell chambers (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) that were used to evaluate the invasion capacity. The lower chamber was filled with medium supplemented with 20% FBS as the chemotactic agent. After 24-hour incubation, the invading cells were fixed on the membranes, stained with crystal violet, and counted. For the migration test, all operations were consistent with the invasion test, except that the six-well chamber was without Matrigel.

Wound-healing assay
The cell-migration capacity was further verified using a scratch assay. In brief, cells were inoculated into six-well plates to grow until confluence. Subsequently, the single-layer cells were scratched using a 200-µL pipette tip and washed with PBS twice. The scratch regions were imaged at 0 and 24 hours using a microscope.

Statistical analysis
The two groups were compared using Student’s t-test. The chi-squared test were used to determine the statistical significance of differences between the groups. The main outcome measure was disease-free survival (DFS) and OS. Cumulative patient survival was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and a log-rank test was used to compare the survival curves. A Cox proportional hazards model was used to calculate univariate and multivariate HRs for the variables. Values of \( P < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS 18.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

PIWIL1 expression in GC
In accordance with results of immunohistochemical staining, the PIWIL1 protein is mainly located in the cytoplasm of the GC cells (Figure 1A) and a lot of this protein also expressed in the nucleus (Figure 1B). According to the immunohistochemical evaluation criteria, the 120 GC patients were stratified into a high PIWIL1 expression group and a low PIWIL1 expression group. Moreover, we analyzed the relationship between PIWIL1 expression status and the clinicopathological features of GC. Our results demonstrated that PIWIL1 expression status was closely correlated with tumor differentiation degree, depth of invasion, lymph node status, and TNM stage, but not sex, age, or pathological subtype. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Figure 1 The PIWIL1 protein detected in cytoplasm (A) and in nucleus (B) of the GC cells by immunohistochemical staining. Abbreviations: PIWIL1, PIWI-like protein 1; GC, gastric cancer.
In vitro cell experiment

PIWIL1 expression levels in GC cell lines (NCI-N87, AGS, and MGC803) and a normal gastric epithelial cell line (GES-1) were detected through Western blotting analysis. PIWIL1 expression levels in tumor cell lines were higher than in the normal gastric epithelial cell line, and were highest in the GC cell line MGC803 (Figure 3). Therefore, the MGC803 cell line was used for subsequent experiments.

To explore the relationship between PIWIL1 and the GC cell phenotype, we knocked down PIWIL1 expression in MGC803 cells through siRNA, and the siRNA transfection efficiency was verified by Western blotting analysis (Figure 4A). As shown by CCK-8 detection, PIWIL1-knockdown cells showed slower growth than those in the control group (Figure 4B). In the Transwell assay, compared with the control group, PIWIL1-knockdown cells had markedly reduced invasion and migration capacities (Figure 4C). Furthermore, they had lower wound-healing capacity as measured by the scratch assay (Figure 4D). Collectively, these results suggested that PIWIL1 knockdown could suppress the proliferation, invasion, and migration of MGC803 cells.

Discussion

PIWI regulates gene expression mainly through specific binding with PIWI-interacting RNAs, which are involved in a variety of biological processes.4,6 Its precise regulatory mechanisms include gene silencing, transposon silencing, translation inhibition, and epigenetic changes.9,10 PIWIL1 is located on 12q24.33 and encodes an 861-residue polypeptide that is 98.6 kDa in size.11 PIWIL1 is extensively expressed

---

Table 1 Associations between high PIWIL1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics in GC patients

| Characteristic               | Patients (n) | PIWIL1 expression, n (%) | Pearson $\chi^2$ | P-value |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                             | Low          | High                     |                   |         |
| Total                       | 120          | 40                       | 80                | 0.313   | 0.576   |
| Gender                      |              |                          |                   |         |
| Male                        | 83           | 29 (34.9%)               | 54 (65.1%)        |         |         |
| Female                      | 37           | 11 (29.7%)               | 26 (70.3%)        |         |         |
| Age                         |              |                          |                   |         |
| <60                         | 70           | 21 (30.0%)               | 49 (70.0%)        | 0.840   | 0.359   |
| $\geq60$                    | 50           | 19 (38.0%)               | 31 (62.0%)        |         |         |
| Histological type           |              |                          |                   |         |
| Tubular                     | 104          | 35 (33.7%)               | 69 (66.3%)        | 3.676   | 0.452   |
| Mixed (tubular and mucinous)| 1            | 0 (0.0%)                 | 1 (100.0%)        |         |         |
| Mucinous                    | 7            | 2 (28.6%)                | 5 (71.4%)         |         |         |
| Signet ring cell            | 5            | 3 (60.0%)                | 2 (40.0%)         |         |         |
| Others                      | 3            | 0 (0.0%)                 | 3 (100.0%)        |         |         |
| Differentiation             |              |                          | 9.246             | 0.026   |
| Well                        | 14           | 9 (64.3%)                | 5 (35.7%)         |         |         |
| Moderate                    | 30           | 12 (40.0%)               | 18 (60.0%)        |         |         |
| Poor                        | 63           | 15 (23.8%)               | 48 (76.2%)        |         |         |
| Others                      | 13           | 4 (30.8%)                | 9 (69.2%)         |         |         |
| Depth of invasion           |              |                          | 6.691             | 0.010   |
| Tis                         | 4            | 2 (50.0%)                | 2 (50.0%)         |         |         |
| Tla+Tlb+T2                  | 36           | 18 (50.0%)               | 18 (50.0%)        |         |         |
| T3+T4a+T4b                  | 80           | 20 (25.0%)               | 60 (75.0%)        |         |         |
| Lymph node metastases       |              |                          | 6.951             | 0.008   |
| N0                          | 38           | 19 (50.0%)               | 19 (50.0%)        |         |         |
| N1                          | 82           | 21 (25.6%)               | 61 (74.4%)        |         |         |
| TNM stage                   |              |                          | 8.366             | 0.004   |
| 0                           | 3            | 2 (66.7%)                | 1 (33.3%)         |         |         |
| I                           | 22           | 12 (54.5%)               | 10 (45.5%)        |         |         |
| II                          | 41           | 14 (34.1%)               | 27 (65.9%)        |         |         |
| III                         | 43           | 10 (23.3%)               | 33 (76.7%)        |         |         |
| IV                          | 11           | 2 (18.2%)                | 9 (81.8%)         |         |         |
| Helicobacter pylori infection|              |                          |                   |         |
| Positive                    | 99           | 29 (29.3%)               | 70 (70.7%)        | 4.156   | 0.041   |
| Negative                    | 21           | 11 (52.4%)               | 10 (47.6%)        |         |         |

Abbreviations: PIWIL1, PIWi-like protein 1; GC, gastric cancer; Tis, carcinoma in situ.
Figure 2 (A) Kaplan–Meier analysis of DFS for GC patients according to the PiWil1 expression status. (B) Kaplan–Meier analysis of OS for GC patients according to the PiWil1 expression status. (C) Kaplan–Meier analysis of OS for GC patients according to the TNM stage. (D) Kaplan–Meier analysis of OS for GC patients according to H. pylori infection.

Abbreviations: DFS, disease-free survival; OS, overall survival; H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori; PiWil1, PiWi-like protein 1; GC, gastric cancer.

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate analysis of prognostic markers for OS in GC patients

| Univariate analysis | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                      | HR      | 95% CI | P-value | HR      | 95% CI | P-value |
| PiWil1 expression   |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| High vs low          | 2.204   | 1.277–3.802 | 0.005 | 2.064   | 1.167–3.649 | 0.013 |
| Gender               |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| Male vs female       | 1.061   | 0.643–1.753 | 0.816 | –       | –      | –       |
| Age                  |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| <60 vs ≥60           | 0.959   | 0.600–1.532 | 0.861 | –       | –      | –       |
| Histological type    |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| Tubular vs mixed (tubular and mucinous) vs mucinous vs signet ring cells vs others | 0.982 | 0.773–1.247 | 0.882 | –       | –      | –       |
| Differentiation      |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| Well vs moderate vs poor | 1.293 | 0.967–1.729 | 0.083 | –       | –      | –       |
| Depth of invasion    |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| Tis vs T1 vs T2 vs T3 vs T4 | 1.946 | 1.198–3.160 | 0.007 | –       | –      | –       |
| Lymph node metastases|         |        |         |         |        |         |
| N0 vs N1 vs N2 vs N3 | 2.424   | 1.431–4.105 | 0.001 | –       | –      | –       |
| TNM stage            |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| 0 vs I vs II vs III vs IV | 3.121 | 2.319–4.202 | <0.001 | 3.031   | 2.303–4.533 | <0.001 |
| Helicobacter pylori infection |         |        |         |         |        |         |
| Positive vs negative | 2.890   | 1.434–5.823 | 0.003 | 2.371   | 1.652–2.884 | 0.006 |

Abbreviations: OS, overall survival; GC, gastric cancer; PiWil1, PiWi-like protein 1; GC, gastric cancer; H. pylori, Helicobacter pylori; TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; P-value, probability value.
PIWIL1 plays a key role in the self-renewal of stem cells and RNA interference, which can also affect cancer cell proliferation. Moreover, PIWIL1 is closely related to the biological behaviors of tumor cells such as proliferation, apoptosis, adhesion, migration, and chemoresistance. Wang et al investigated the expression of the human PIWI subfamily proteins (PIWIL1-4) in GC and their potential roles in the occurrence, development, and prognosis of GC. They found that proteins of the PIWI subfamily (including PIWI-1) are upregulated in GC tissues and are associated with prognosis in patients with GC. However, independent research on the relationship between PIWIL1 and GC has not been reported, especially in in vitro cell experiments.

In this study, we found that PIWIL1 is highly expressed in GC tissues. We analyzed clinical data from 120 GC patients, and found that PIWIL1 expression status was closely associated with the tumor differentiation degree, tumor size, and TNM stage. All GC patients in this study had extensive follow-up, and we found that GC patients with high PIWIL1 expression had decreased survival. Moreover, multiple-factor regression analysis suggested that high PIWIL1 expression is an important marker that independently predicts dismal prognosis for GC patients. The abovementioned research results suggest that PIWIL1 may promote the growth, proliferation, and invasion of GC cells. However, these are retrospective

Figure 3 The PIWIL1 protein detected in GC cell lines and normal gastric epithelial cell line by Western blotting.
Notes: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
Abbreviations: PIWIL1, PIWI-like protein 1; GC, gastric cancer.

Figure 4 (A) Results of Western blotting showed that PIWIL1-targeting siRNA downregulated its expression in MGC803 cells. (B) Proliferation of PIWIL1-silenced MGC803 cells was measured by the CCK-8 assay. (C) The migration and invasion of MGC803 cells after PIWIL1-targeting siRNA transfection as determined by the Transwell assay. (D) The migration of MGC803 cells after PIWIL1-targeting siRNA transfection as determined by the wound-healing assay.
Notes: *P<0.05; **P<0.01.
patient results that require further verification in vitro. Consequently, we silenced PIWIL1 expression in MGC803 cells and found that downregulation of PIWIL1 expression can suppress the proliferation, invasion, and migration of GC cells. Furthermore, we found that PIWIL1 may affect the malignant phenotype of GC cells. In a lung cancer model, PIWIL1 is activated by Ras-association domain family 1 C protein.\textsuperscript{16,17} Ras-association domain family 1 C protein can induce ERK1/2 phosphorylation in cancer cells, thereby activating the MEK-ERK1/2 signaling pathway to upregulate PIWIL1 expression.\textsuperscript{18} This results in the infinite self-renewal of tumor stem cells and thereby promotes tumorigenesis and development.

**Conclusion**

High PIWIL1 expression reveals dismal prognosis for GC patients, and PIWIL1 can serve as an important molecular marker for prognosis in GC patients. However, the precise role of PIWIL1 in gastric tumorigenesis remains unclear and requires further investigation. We believe that blocking PIWIL1-related signal transduction can delay tumor proliferation, invasion, and migration.
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