Fault activity, tectonic segmentation, and deformation pattern of the western Himalaya on Ma timescales inferred from landscape morphology
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ABSTRACT

The location and magnitude of Himalayan tectonic activity has been debated for decades, and several aspects remain unknown. For instance, the spatial distribution of crustal shortening that ultimately sustains Himalayan topography and the activity of major fault zones remain unknown at Ma timescales. In this study, we address the spatial deformation pattern in the data-scarce western Himalaya. We calculated catchment averaged, normalized river-steepness indices of non-glaciated drainage basins with tributary catchment areas between 5 and 200 km² (n = 2138). We analyzed the spatial distribution of the relative change of river steepness both along and across strike to gain information about the regional distribution of differential uplift pattern and relate this to the activity of distinctive fault segments. For our study area, we observe a positive correlation of averaged ksn values with long-term exhumation rates derived from previously published thermochronologic datasets combined with thermal modeling as well as with millennial timescale denudation rates based on cosmogenic nuclide dating. Our results indicate three tectono-geomorphic segments with distinctive landscape morphology, structural architecture, and fault geometry along the western Himalaya: Garhwal-Sutlej, Chamba, and Kashmir Himalaya (from east to west). Moreover, our data recognize distinctive fault segments showing varying thrust activity along strike of the Main Frontal Thrust, the Main Boundary Thrust, and in the vicinity of the steep topographic transition between the Lesser and Greater Himalaya. In this region, we relate out-of-sequence deformation along major basement thrust ramps, such as the Munsiai Thrust with deformation along a mid-crustal ramp along the basal décollement. We suggest that during the Quaternary, all major fault zones in the Western Himalaya experienced out-of-sequence faulting and have accommodated some portion of crustal shortening.

INTRODUCTION

Active crustal shortening along major fault zones is responsible for the growth of the Himalaya (Fig. 1). Recent geodetic data sets provide detailed decadal-timescale estimates of the interseismic elastic and distributed shortening across the entire orogen (e.g., Wang et al., 2001; Paul et al., 2001; Banerjee, 2002; Banerjee et al., 2008; Kundu et al., 2014; Stevens and Avouac, 2015). Deformation models and geophysical and geologic data suggest (Fig. 1) that shortening is mainly accommodated by active out-of-sequence deformation along basin thrust ramps (e.g., Wobus et al., 2003; Whipple et al., 2016; Thiede et al., 2017; Stübner et al., 2018) or by slip along a basal décollement including an orogen parallel ramp geometry (e.g., Bilham et al., 1997; Cattin and Avouac, 2000; Meade, 2010; Ader et al., 2012). However, seismic and geodetic measurements only reflect present-day conditions. Empirical data and field verification of shortening rates along the Himalayan front and across major structures during Pleistocene and Holocene times are sparse and do not allow along-strike comparison. Notable exceptions are shortening rates measured in central Nepal and the eastern Himalaya, where observed GPS velocities match the rates of Holocene fault activity along the deformation front, the Main Frontal Thrust (MFT) (Lavé and Avouac, 2000; Chirouze et al., 2013; Burgess et al., 2012). Total shortening rates of 21 ± 1.5 mm/yr and 23 ± 6 mm/yr, respectively, are accommodated. However, neither the spatial nor temporal resolution of deformation rates allow extrapolation of the central Nepal fault activity to other segments toward the west. Until now, data availability has been insufficient to assess fault geometry and kinematics of the deformation process at the entire mountain front on longer timescales.

Recent studies suggest that shortening and deformation in the Himalaya is not uniformly accommodated: data from geophysical surveys, thermochronologic studies, and balanced cross sections suggest that the Himalayan front is separated in multiple orogenic segments (e.g., Yin, 2006; Robert et al., 2011; Coutand et al., 2014; McQuarrie et al., 2015; van der Beek et al., 2016; Hetényi et al., 2016). For instance, a recent geophysical study proposed to recognize an active basement thrust ramp that is located in the hanging wall of a basal décollement (Caldwell et al. 2013) directly beneath the physiographic transition zone (referred to as PT2 in central Nepal, cf. Wobus et al., 2003). Recent studies based on the spatial pattern of river-steepness indices indicate lateral discontinuities of respective segments in central and western Nepal (Harvey et al., 2015; van der Beek et al., 2016).
In summary, previous studies suggest an along-strike spatiotemporal inhomogeneity in crustal deformation pattern. In this study, we address the spatial deformation pattern in the western Himalaya. We rely on digital topography to calculate normalized river-steepness indices (k, θ = 0.45) (e.g., Wobus et al., 2006b; Kirby and Whipple, 2012) for tributary catchments, analyze their spatial structure, and present results with orogen-perpendicular and along-strike swath profiles to identify changes along the western Himalayan mountain front (Fig. 2A). Longitudinal-river profiles and changes in their steepness can be used as proxies of differential rock uplift for topographic steady-state settings (Seaber and Gornitz, 1983; Howard et al., 1994; Whipple and Tucker, 1999; Kirby and Whipple, 2012). In general, channel profiles of Himalayan rivers draining southward are not well graded and show major deviations from equilibrated ones, which is predicted by the stream power law when a balance of erosion and rock uplift with steady-state topography is established (e.g., Wobus et al., 2006b; Robl et al., 2008; Stüwe et al., 2008). The intention of our study is to identify the lateral extent of structural segments, such as proposed orogen-parallel basement ramp structures, which accommodate shortening and uplift. Our results underline the spatial complexity of both across- and along-strike deformation patterns and improve our understanding of where differential uplift and faulting has been accommodated on 10^6–10^7 yr timescales.

GEOLLOGIC SETTING

Previous research has helped to understand and quantify the formation process of the Himalaya (e.g., Molnar and Tapponier, 1975; England and Molnar, 1997; Hodges, 2000). The majority of deformation was accommodated along major thrust systems that can be traced along-strike of the entire Himalaya (e.g., Gansser, 1964; Steck et al., 2003). During the accretion and subduction process, parts of the sedimentary coverage of the Indian plate were detached during underthrusting of the Himalayan wedge, underwent different degrees of regional metamorphism, and accreted to the base of the wedge (Bollinger et al., 2006) forming the Lesser Himalayan duplex (LH-duplex) (DeCelles et al., 2001; Robinson et al., 2003). This ultimately resulted in the lithologic units of the Lesser (LH) and Greater Himalaya (GH). The continuing convergence during Early and Mid-Miocene led to the formation of the Main Central Thrust (MCT), which placed GH high-grade metamorphic units atop low-grade metasediments of the LH. During the late Miocene, the deformation had migrated southward and the predominantly pre-tectonic units of the LH were thrust over the foreland molasse by north dipping faults of the Main Boundary Thrust Zone (MBT) (Meigs et al., 1995; Thiede et al., 2017). In the Kashmir Himalaya to the west, the large intramontane Kashmir Basin formed when the locus of thrusting and uplift transferred from the northern to the southern margin of the basin between 4 and 1 Ma (Burbank, 1983; Burbank and Johnson 1983). The Pir Panjal Range resulted from enhanced activity along the MBT, uplifting the southern margin of the basin. Prior to 1.7 Ma, the Medicott-Wadi Thrust (MWT) evolved as a southern splay fault of the MBT (Thakur et al., 2010; Gavillot et al., 2016). As the product of Miocene Himalayan erosion, the Siwalik units were deposited as the foreland molasse (Powers et al., 1998).

Due to the growth and propagation of the orogenic wedge during the Quaternary, the Siwalik Molasse has been deformed and uplifted by a forward propagating sequence of brittle faults, of which the MFT is the youngest one bounding the Sub-Himalaya to the south (e.g., Thakur et al., 2007). Although of variable distance to each other, the three major fault zones, MCT, MBT, and MFT, are exposed along the entire length of the Main Himalayan Thrust (MHT) (e.g., Seeber and Armbruster, 1981; Nábelek et al., 2009).

Researchers have been arguing for decades about the geometry of the MHT, the existence of out-of-sequence thrusts, and their contribution to Himalayan crustal shortening (e.g., Bollinger et al., 2006; Lavé and Avouac, 2000; Wobus et al., 2006a; Whipple et al., 2016; Elliott et al., 2016; van der Beek et al., 2016). It has been argued that a pronounced crustal ramp structure in the basal décollement plays an important role for
The stream power law relates the slope ($S$) along a longitudinal river profile as a power-law function of upstream area ($A$), the normalized steepness index ($k_{sn}$), and the concavity index ($\theta_{ref}$) (Flint, 1974; Whipple and Tucker, 1999):

$$S = k_{sn}A^{-\theta_{ref}}. \quad (1)$$

Changes in the river’s base level will lead to a change in the erosion rate, resulting in the adjustment of the longitudinal profile by headward migration of a knickpoint. Thus, under the assumption of uniform bedrock strength and uniform runoff, investigating the spatial distribution of river steepness indices can provide a relative measure for identifying active deforming and uplifting domains across mountain belts.

We use the TopoToolbox (https://github.com/wschwanghart/topotoolbox) (Schwanghart and Scherer, 2014) and an automated river profile analyzer (Neely et al., 2017). Our analysis is based on the SRTM-1 dataset (NASA IPI, 2013) with a nominal spatial resolution of 30 m.

We calculate $k_{sn}$ indices for channel segments of tributaries with a reference concavity of $\theta_{ref} = -0.45$ to maintain the comparability of river segments with highly varying upstream areas. The obtained results are averaged for catchments with a Strahler-stream order of 3, resulting in drainage areas between 5 and 250 km$^2$.

We exclude drainage basins dominated by glacial erosion from the analysis, because they have inherited profiles that differ from those eroded by fluvial processes (Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2007). We mapped terminal moraines from satellite images and used their upstream areas as masks to exclude glacially dominated areas from the obtained stream dataset. Drainage basins characterized by both fluvial and glacial erosion are included and have been assigned the average $k_{sn}$ index of the residual fluvial channel. Moderate transient river-profile features have been observed, but these are similar in nature along strike and do not bias steepness indices (Robl et al., 2017).

Furthermore, we analyzed the elevation data for ten 20-km-wide and 200-km-long orogen perpendicular swath profiles across the western Himalaya (see Fig. 2A for profile locations). Additional and similar swath profiles were obtained strike-parallel and run in the hanging and footwall of the MFT, MBT, and the topographic transition of the MT and MCT. In order to minimize local effects, we display the strike-parallel values averaged for 10-km-wide bins. In addition, two strike parallel swath profiles have been analyzed with 10- and 30-km distances into

Figure 2. (A) Topographic map and swath profile locations. Colored lines show location of traces of along-strike swath profiles. Lines in the hanging and footwall are 10 km off the respective structure. Swath profiles (20 km wide) have been calculated along these lines. Black lines show the location of topographic swath profiles (profiles are numbered 1–10 from NW to SE). The locations of the MFT and the MBT were derived from geologic maps by Steck et al. (2003). Abbreviations: DDR—Dehra Dun reentrant; DR—Dhauladhar Range; JWT—Jawalamukhi Thrust; KB—Kashmir Basin; KR—Kangra reentrant; KRW—Kulu-Rampur Window; KW—Kishwar Window; MWT—Medicott-Wadia Thrust; MBT—Main Boundary Thrust; MCT—Main Central Thrust; MFT—Main Frontal Thrust; NS—Nahan Salient; PPR—Pir Panjal Range; RT—Riasi Thrust; ZR—Zanskar Range. (B) Topographic cross-sections calculated over a 5 × 5 km window. PT2 is defined by the abrupt increase in topographic relief (relief > 1000 m). Thermochronologic data and erosion rates are obtained from Thiede et al. (2009, 2017), Deeken et al. (2011), and Thiede and Ehlers (2013). (C) Spatial pattern of catchment-averaged river steepness analysis for the western Himalaya with $\theta_{ref} = -0.45$. The three segments, Kashmir Himalaya, Chamba Himalaya, and Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya are areas of internally similar morphologic, geologic, and topographic characteristics. (D) Distribution of moment magnitudes (Mw) of seismic events since 1960 (data source: U.S. Geological Survey, http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/search).
RESULTS: DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF THE WESTERN HIMALAYA

The spatial distribution of averaged catchment steepness indices shows low values (<80 m^0.9) in the Sub-Himalaya that rapidly increase when crossing the MBT (<100−>200 m^0.9) and the topographic transition (>300−600 m^0.9) to the NE. But the magnitude of the k_sn values and their spatial distribution differ along strike of the Himalaya (Fig. 2C). In order to quantify this difference, we have (1) analyzed ten NE-SW orogen-perpendicular 20-km-wide swath profiles (Fig. 2A; see profile 1, 5 and 8 in Fig. 2B for swath-profile location) and (2) eight along-strike swath profiles in the hanging and the footwall of the fault traces of the MFT, the MBT, and the topographic transition (see Fig. 2A for swath-profile location; hanging wall profiles are in Data Repository Figs. S2−S8).

Our averaged k_sn values correlate with estimated exhumation rates obtained by 1D and 2D-thermal models (Fig. 3) (Thiede et al., 2009; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013; Thiede et al., 2017; Stübner et al., 2018). Also, respective values fall in the same range as previously published k_sn values (Scherler et al., 2014; Olen et al., 2016; van der Beek et al., 2016) and denudation rate correlations observed in the Yamuna and Ganges catchment (Fig. 2C).

NE-SW-Orogen-Perpendicular Profiles

We first present three characteristic orogen-perpendicular profiles with representative changes (additional profiles are shown in the Data Repository item). The three profiles show distinctive elevation and channel steepness patterns that allow us to divide the study area into three tectono-morphic units that we call Kashmir, Chamba, and Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya (Fig. 4).

Kashmir Himalaya

The most characteristic topographic feature of the Kashmir Himalaya is the intramontane Kashmir Basin between the Pir Panjal and the Zanskar Ranges (c.f. Fig. 2A for location). It is located at a mean elevation of 1600 m with northeast-dipping Tethyan and GH units on both basin margins and has low relief and gentle river channels. The k_sn indices of the Sub-Himalaya in the Kashmir Himalaya increase smoothly toward the Pir Panjal Range, including minor peaks in the hanging wall of the MFT and the MWT. Steepness values in the hanging wall of the MBT and the topographic transition (see Fig. 2A for swath-profile location; hanging wall profiles are in Data Repository Figs. S2−S8).

Our averaged k_sn values correlate with estimated exhumation rates obtained by 1D and 2D-thermal models (Fig. 3) (Thiede et al., 2009; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013; Thiede et al., 2017; Stübner et al., 2018). Also, respective values fall in the same range as previously published k_sn values (Scherler et al., 2014; Olen et al., 2016; van der Beek et al., 2016) and denudation rate correlations observed in the Yamuna and Ganges catchment (Fig. 2C).
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Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya

The topographic characteristics typical for the Garhwal-Sutlej segment are comparable to the characteristics of central Nepal (Wobus et al., 2006a). In contrast to the Kashmir and the Chamba segments, we notice a stepwise increase in the elevation and in the k_sn indices in the hanging wall of the MBT and the topographic transition (profiles 8−10), respectively (Fig. 4C).

NW-SE-Along-Strike Profiles

In a profile along the topographic transition (Figs. 2A and 5A), we observe variation in the difference of averaged k_sn indices between catchments located in the hanging and in the footwall. Maximum differences are located at the Zanskar Range (north of the Kashmir Basin), at the Kishtwar Window, the Dhauladhar Range, and with a southeastward increasing trend in the Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya (Fig. 5A). There is a large lithologic contrast along the northern Kashmir Basin margin and Dhauladhar Range between depositional alluvium in the footwall and erosional topography of crystalline rocks in the hanging wall. However, these do not explain the convex shape within the segment, where maximum differences are in the center and decrease toward the margins. Along strike of the MBT minor increases in the steepness indices in the vicinity of the Iwalamukhi thrust (Fig. 4B). Moving northeast along the profile, the values decrease as observed within the Kashmir Himalaya (k_sn < 200 m^0.9).

Figure 3. Correlation between millennial-timescale erosion rates derived from cosmogenic radionuclide measurements (Olen et al., 2016; Scherler et al., 2014) and Ma-timescale exhumation rates derived from thermochronologic data combined with thermal modeling (Thiede et al., 2009; Thiede and Ehlers, 2013; Thiede et al., 2017; Stübner et al., 2018) and catchment-averaged steepness indices. Despite differences in timescales, we observe a positive correlation between averaged normalized steepness indices and modeled exhumation denudation rates. k_sn—normalized steepness index; m—reference concavity; b, a, x—variables in the regression function f(x); R²—root mean square error; CRN—Cosmogenic radio nuclide data.

GSA Data Repository Item 2018222: Supplementary Figure S1 schematically shows the structural setting at the Himalayan front in the Garhwal, Sutlej, Chamba, and Kashmir region. Supplementary Figures S2−S8 show orogen-perpendicular swath profiles used in our study, except profiles 1, 5, and 8, which are presented in Figure 3 (cf. Figure 1A for location). The remaining 7 profiles show elevation data, catchment-averaged river-steepness indices, and exhumation rates in the swath area. Supplementary Figures S9 and S10 show the along-strike changes in k_sn in the hanging wall of the major structures, MFT, MBT, and the physiographic transition. Profile values in Supplementary Figure S9 are averaged catchment values 10 km offset of the structures. Profile values in Supplementary Figure S10 are similarly gained, 30 km offset of the respective structure. Supplementary Figure S11 presents the difference between k_sn indices 30 km and 10 km in the hanging wall of the structures. The data repository item is available at http://www.geosociety.org/daterepository/2018, or on request from editing@geosociety.org.
Figure 4. Swath profiles representing the topographic characteristics for the Kashmir, Chamba, and Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya. Black lines indicate mean elevation, and gray lines show minimum/maximum elevation. Orange boxes are mean values for catchment-averaged steepness indices (cf. Fig. 2C). Gray boxes show the modeled exhumation rate for the area (box width), including the standard deviation (box height). The blue dashed lines mark the location of the MBT, and the red lines show the physiographic transition in the profile. The distribution of the topometric parameters suggests a tectonic segmentation of the western Himalaya. JWT—Jawalamukhi Thrust; MWT—Medicott-Wadia Thrust.

(Figs. 2A and 5B), we recognize three distinct and separate fault segments, which show large differences in the averaged steepness indices across the fault. These along-strike variations have an arched distribution in the ksn-difference patterns, and we propose that this is analogous to the slip distribution along faults. 150–200-km long segments can be assigned to fault systems (Data Repository Figs. S9–S11).

At the MFT (green profile in Figs. 2A and 5C), the catchments show little differences between the hanging wall and the footwall, and their steepness indices are generally low. Catchments characterized by increased ksn indices in the hanging wall are related to segments, within the narrow Sub-Himalaya, where faulting is mostly localized in the hanging wall of the MFT (Fig. 2C).

DISCUSSION

Caveats and Limits to the Analysis

The ksn index is not only sensitive to variations in tectonic rock uplift, but may also be biased by differences in erosive resitivity across lithological and geological boundaries, precipitation gradients, presence of glaciated areas, and the influences of valley fill and temporary alluvial cover of otherwise bedrock rivers (Kirby and Whipple 2012; Bookhagen and Strecker, 2012). Our analysis covers the spatial distribution of ksn values on a sub-orogenic scale. In the following, we assess the influence of biasing factors.

While the main lithologic units remain similar along strike of the Himalayan orogen (e.g., Hodges, 2000; Yin, 2006), there exist differences in rock strength and deformation history that may explain some of the observed scatter in strike-parallel profiles. However, we argue that lithologic changes are negligible for the main trend in these profile analyses (Scherler et al., 2014; Olen et al., 2016).

From a climatic and environmental perspective, there is little evidence for steep E-W climatic and vegetation-cover gradients that may explain along- or across-strike variations in channel steepness (Scherler et al., 2014; Olen et al., 2016; van der Beek et al., 2016; Scherler et al., 2017). For example, annual precipitation data show only low along-strike changes, but a very pronounced N-S gradient, which is highest around the topographic transition (Bookhagen and Burbank, 2010). The associated high discharge leads to an increase in the erosional efficiency and thus to
a decrease in the river steepness, as also shown in other areas (Bookhagen and Streeker, 2012). Similarly, vegetation cover exhibits along-strike variations, but these are low at the scale of this study (Olen et al., 2016).

Alluvial rivers are common in the Sub-Himalaya and within the Kashmir Basin with incision processes and rates other than those observed in bedrock rivers. Most rivers in the LH and GH are bedrock rivers in which sediment-covering river channels moved at least during catastrophic discharge events (e.g., Wulf et al., 2010; Scherler et al., 2014). These systematic changes of river characteristics possibly explain parts of the large orogen-perpendicular k<sub>sn</sub>-gradients observed between the Sub-Himalaya and LH (cf. Fig. 3 at the MBT) and are more important than lithologic strength variations between these units. In summary, changes between alluvial and bedrock rivers are mainly limited to the physiographic units and do not explain variation observed along-strike in the western Himalaya.

**Relation of Channel Steepness and Rock Uplift in the Western Himalaya**

Exhumation rates derived from low-temperature thermochronology and thermal modeling for the study region range between <0.5 and ~4 mm/yr on Ma timescales (Thiede and Ehlers, 2013; Thiéde et al., 2017; Stübnner et al., 2018). It has been shown that channel steepness indices are useful proxies for recognizing spatial distribution of differential uplift across entire orogenic fronts (e.g., Kirby and Whipple, 2001; Bookhagen and Streeker, 2012; Scherler et al., 2015; Olen et al., 2015). Our data suggest a positive correlation between catchment-averaged, normalized steepness indices and the regional exhumation rate (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, underlying thermochronologic data distribution is often too sparse and correlation with normalized steepness indices too weak to interpolate the calculated exhumation rates across the entire western Himalaya. However, the positive correlation supports the relation between channel steepness indices and exhumation rates ranging on timescales between 0 and 4 Ma.

**Topographic Development and Relation to Decadal-Timescale Shortening Rates**

Geodetically measured shortening rates agree well with long-term models of Indian north convergence, with a rotation pole west of India (Molnar and Stock, 2009): these are 12 ± 1 mm/yr for the Kashmir Himalaya (Kundu et al., 2014), 14 ± 1 mm/yr for the Chamba Himalaya (Banerjee, 2002), and 19 ± 1 mm/yr for the Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya ( Stevens and Avouac, 2004). This suggests a consistent shortening rate since at least the Quaternary, if not longer.

Multiple studies propose that a large portion of the arc perpendicular strain is accommodated by slip along the MHT, as well as internal shortening or a combination of internal uplift and frontal accretion (Powers et al., 1998; Wesnousky et al., 1999; Thakur et al., 2014; Gavillot et al., 2016; Thiéde et al., 2017). Based on the distribution of k<sub>sn</sub>-exposed geologic units, and regional distribution of major fault systems, we propose that the western Himalayan topography is formed by three independent deforming segments. Each segment accommodates shortening along different fault splays, fault kinematics, and fault geometries.

We hypothesize that the three segments were tectonically decoupled from each other during the Quaternary or longer times. We conclude that the growth of topography is not limited exclusively to shortening accommodated along the MFT and movement along the MHT mid-crustal ramp in the western Himalaya, as is proposed for parts of the central Himalaya ( Lavé and Avouac, 2001). Instead, we suggest that a combination of coeval frontal and internal deformation along multiple fault systems, such as out-of-sequence faults within the Sub-Himalaya (e.g., Jwalamukhi and Riasi fault systems; cf. Fig. 2A; Gavillot et al., 2016), MFT activity (Thiede et al., 2017), and displacement along major basement thrust ramps like the MT combined with a MHT-ramp in the Sutlej (Vannay et al., 2004; Stübnner et al., 2018) and Garhwal segment (Data Repository Fig. S1), has accommodated Quaternary shortening.

**Spatiotemporal Patterns of Deformation in the Western Himalaya**

By comparing topographic swath profiles and channel steepness indices across the western Himalaya, we recognize major changes in the vicinity of primary fault systems such as the MT, MFT, and MHT (Fig. 4). We observe varying differences in the river-steepness indices between hanging and footwall catchments (Fig. 5), which indicates recent differential rock uplift across these zones. This is supported by previous findings by Seeber and Gornitz (1983) and Wobus et al. (2003, 2006) for central Nepal. Interestingly, this indicates that the main fault systems still contribute to the deformation and uplift of the wedge, for example through active basement thrust ramps, but that this contribution is not uniform along strike of the western Himalaya (Fig. 2). Below, we highlight the three main patterns and their implication from north to south.

First, topographic steepness changes across either the topographic transition between the LH and GH or documented basement thrusts ramps in the Dhauladhar Range (MBT), Garhwal-Sutlej Himalaya (MT) (Data Repository Fig. S1) suggest that at least some part of the shortening has been accommodated by out-of-sequence thrusting during the Quaternary. Combining recently published geophysical data and thermochronologic studies of these regions indicate the existence of a basement thrust ramp along the northern roof of the Lesser Himalayan Duplex (Caldwell et al., 2013; Stübnner et al., 2018). Furthermore, this is supported by studies documenting that the metamorphic core exposed in the Sutlej Valley and Garhwal comprises both LH- and GH-rocks with documented discrete exhumation paths (Vannay et al., 2004; Caddick et al., 2007; Célérer et al., 2009; Thöni et al., 2012). After apparent diminution of the MCT fault system in the middle Miocene (prior to 10 Ma), late Miocene peak metamorphism requires rapid continuous exhumation of the MT hanging wall since ~8 Ma until present (Vannay et al. 2004; Stübnner et al., 2018, and references therein) and therefore implies that the MT forms an active basement thrust ramp. In summary, we argue for a combined exhumation across a MHT mid-crustal ramp and a MT-basement thrust ramp in the Sutlej and Garhwal segment.

Further west, both the northeastern and southwestern Kashmir Basin margins are characterized by major northeast-dipping thrust complexes (Burbank, 1983) and by increased k<sub>sn</sub> values. Faulting along the northeastern Kashmir margin has diminished since 0.5–1 Myr ago (Burbank and Johnson, 1983), but river profiles have not been equilibrated since then (Fig. 4A).

Second, along-strike variations of channel steepness indices at the MBT suggest major fault activity and segmentation. Using digital topography, we were able to recognize tectonically active segments. Topography in the hanging wall segments west of the Chenab outlet (Gavillot et al., 2016, and references therein), at the Dauladhar Range (Thiéde et al., 2017), and at the northern boundary of the Dehra Dun reentrant (cf. Fig. 2A for location) have been uplifted due to faulting of the respective MBT-fault segments. For some of those, Quaternary fault activity has been previously proposed (Yeats and Lillie, 1991), but identifying associated geomorphic and paleoseismologic evidences as in western Nepal (Hossler et al., 2016) has been challenging. Based on thermochronologic implications and modeling, we suggest that the MBT forms an active basement
Implications for Shortening and Uplift Patterns

The along-strike differences of the ksn values indicate that the respective active MBT fault segments have a length of ~150 km and maximal differences in the center and decrease toward the margins (Fig. 5). Swath profiles in the hanging wall running along strike for 10 and 30 km from major structures show systematic changes of mean ksn values at segment boundaries (see Data Repository Figs. S9–S10). Along the MT, we observe similar patterns on a smaller length scale ranging between 100 and 150 km. We argue that the observed ksn differences between the hanging wall and footwall are related to active deformation in the vicinity of major fault zones and correlate with exhumation patterns on Ma timescales (Fig. 2). These differences correlate with a fault displacement pattern with maximal differential uplift in the center and decrease toward the sides, resulting in lower difference values at the periphery of the segment along its transfer zones (Fig. 5). If true, shortening has been accommodated by individual, but large-scale fault segments along strike, using multiple, coevally active out-of-sequence basement thrust ramps across the wedge on millennial- to million-year timescales, and shortening is not limited to deformation along the MHT and MFT. We argue that this deformation regime evolves toward a steady-state topography as predicted by the critical wedge theory and its kinematic model: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 244, no. 1–2, p. 58–71, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.01.045. Bookhagen, B., and Burbank, D.W., 2010, Toward a complete Himalayan hydrological budget: Spatiotemporal distribution of snowmelt and rainfall and their impact on river discharge: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 115, F02035, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001428.
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CONCLUSION

Based on analysis of the digital topography and synthesis of existing thermochronologic datasets for the western Himalaya, we make the following three key observations.

First, we suggest that the western Himalaya can be separated into three orogenic segments with distinctive morphologic, topographic, geological, and fault-geometrical characteristics. From northwest to southeast, these segments are the Kashmir, Chamba, and Garhwal–Sutlej Himalaya. Our observations are based on the distribution of averaged, normalized steepness indices of tributary catchments. Orogen-perpendicular profiles document that the most pronounced changes of the catchment averaged ksn values are observed in the vicinity of primary Himalayan fault systems (MFT, MBT, and basement thrust faults such as the MT combined with deformation along a mid-crustal ramp along the MHT).

Second, our data indicate that on Quaternary (if not longer) timescales, various large-scale fault segments have been independently active across and along the western Himalayan wedge and therefore contribute, although in minor ways, to crustal shortening and impact tectonic uplift patterns. These findings are based on the along-strike differences between the hanging wall and footwall ksn values of the primary Himalayan fault systems (Fig. 5).

Third, we observe a general positive correlation of catchment-averaged, normalized steepness indices and exhumation rates (where exhumation rates are high) derived from regional thermochronologic datasets for the past 0–4 Ma. Our ksn values also correlate with catchment-wide denudation rates obtained from published cosmogenic nuclide dating. As shown elsewhere (Kirby and Whipple, 2012; Bookhagen and Streeker, 2012), we argue that first-order normalized steepness indices in the western Himalaya can be used as proxy for detecting differential uplift on a millenial- to million-year timescale. This implies that primary fault zones in the Himalaya are still active and have accommodated rock uplift as well as some portion of crustal shortening on Quaternary or longer timescales.
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