Phytophthora theobromicola sp. nov.: A New Species Causing Black Pod Disease on Cacao in Brazil
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Black pod disease, caused by Phytophthora species, is among the main limiting factors of cacao (Theobroma cacao L.) production. High incidence levels of black pod disease have been reported in Brazil, being induced by Phytophthora capsici, Phytophthora citrophthora, Phytophthora heveae, and Phytophthora palmivora. To assess the diversity of Phytophthora species affecting cacao in Brazil, 40 new isolates were obtained from cacao pods exhibiting symptoms of black pod disease collected in different smallholder farms in 2017. Further, ten cacao-infecting isolates morphologically identified as P. citrophthora and P. palmivora were molecularly characterized. The genomic regions beta-tubulin, elongation factor 1 alpha, heat shock protein 90, and internal transcribed spacer, and the mitochondrially encoded cytochrome c oxidase I and II genes were PCR-amplified and Sanger-sequenced from the cacao-infecting Phytophthora isolates. The morphological characterization and evaluation of the mycelial growth rates for the Phytophthora isolates were performed in vitro. Based on the molecular analysis and morphological comparisons, 19 isolates were identified as P. palmivora (clade 4). Interestingly, 31 isolates grouped together in the phylogenetic tree and were placed apart from previously known species in Phytophthora clade 2. Therefore, these isolates are considered as a new species herein referred to as Phytophthora theobromicola sp. nov., which produced papillate, semipapillate, and persistent sporangia on simple sporangiophores. The P. palmivora isolates were identified as A1 mating type by pairing each isolate with known A1 and A2 tester strains of P. capsici, but no oogonia/antheridia were observed when P. theobromicola was paired with the different tester strains. The phylogenetic tree shows a higher level of diversity for the Phytophthora isolates when compared to the P. palmivora isolates, which were clustered together in clade 4. The pathogenicity tests carried out on pods of four cacao clones (CCN51, PS1319, Cepec2004, and CP49) showed significant variability among the isolates of both
Moniliophthora perniciosa, the causal agent of anthracnose, caused by M. perniciosa (Ali et al., 2016; Marelli et al., 2019). Brazil is among the world’s largest producers of cocoa beans (Lopes et al., 2011; Agrianual, 2017), but diseases such as witches’ broom, black pod, and anthracnose, caused by Monilinia theobromicola, Phytophthora, and Colletotrichum species, respectively (Rocha and Ram, 1971; Pereira et al., 1980; Ploetz, 2016), are still responsible for significant yield losses. In 2013, the average productivity of dried cocoa beans in Brazil was 0.37 t/ha, while in Ivory Coast, the world’s largest producer, it was 0.58 t/ha (Agrianual, 2017; Faostat, 2017). In Brazil, cacao is an important economic source for many states such as Bahia, Pará, Espírito Santo, Amazonas, and Mato Grosso. Currently, the southern region of the Bahia state is the main cocoa-producing area, at approximately 165,000 tons in 2016, followed by the North region of the country with 104,000 tons. Although the higher production values, a lower productivity (0.74 t/ha) was observed in Bahia, when compared to North Brazil (0.72 t/ha), and it is believed that the low productivity of cocoa beans in that state is mainly due to the high incidence of diseases such as black pod (Luz et al., 2001; Agrianual, 2017).

Phytophthora species are, with P. theobromicola inducing higher rates of necrotic lesion expansion, when compared to P. palmivora. Here, two Phytophthora species were found associated with black pod disease in the state of Bahia, Brazil, and the previously undescribed P. theobromicola seems to be prevalent in field conditions. This is the first report of P. theobromicola on T. cacao. Also, these findings are crucial to improve the disease control strategies, and for the development of cacao materials genetically resistant to Phytophthora.
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INTRODUCTION

Cacao (Theobroma cacao L.) is a perennial plant native to the Amazon region belonging to the family Malvaceae, and it is of great socioeconomic importance worldwide (Chinenye et al., 2010; Zhang and Motilal, 2016). Brazil is among the world’s largest producers of cocoa beans (Lopes et al., 2011; Agrianual, 2017), but diseases such as witches’ broom, black pod, and anthracnose, caused by Monilinia perniciosa, Phytophthora, and Colletotrichum species, respectively (Rocha and Ram, 1971; Pereira et al., 1980; Ploetz, 2016), are still responsible for significant yield losses. In 2013, the average productivity of dried cocoa beans in Brazil was 0.37 t/ha, while in Ivory Coast, the world’s largest producer, it was 0.58 t/ha (Agrianual, 2017; Faostat, 2017). In Brazil, cacao is an important economic source for many states such as Bahia, Pará, Espírito Santo, Amazonas, and Mato Grosso. Currently, the southern region of the Bahia state is the main cocoa-producing area, at approximately 165,000 tons in 2016, followed by the North region of the country with 104,000 tons. Although the higher production values, a lower productivity (0.74 t/ha) was observed in Bahia, when compared to North Brazil (0.72 t/ha), and it is believed that the low productivity of cocoa beans in that state is mainly due to the high incidence of diseases such as black pod (Luz et al., 2001; Agrianual, 2017).

Phytophthora is historically associated with the negative impacts induced by widespread agriculturally and ecologically important plant diseases (Brasier, 1992; Brasier and Hansen, 1992; Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Brasier et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2018; Anandaraj et al., 2020). Phytophthora species colonize all parts of the cocoa tree, causing black pod rot, stem canker, and leaf blight at nurseries (Firman and Vernon, 1970; Appiah et al., 2004; Marelli et al., 2019). The black pod disease, caused by Phytophthora species, is the most important and widely distributed disease that affects cacao pods, being responsible for about 50% of the losses induced by pests and diseases on this crop (Marelli et al., 2019). The symptoms and progression of the black pod disease depend on the cacao genotype and the Phytophthora species involved (Guest, 2007; Puig et al., 2018). In addition, both are influenced by climatic factors such as relative humidity, temperature, and rainfall (Oliveira and Luz, 2005; Puig et al., 2018).

Seven Phytophthora species have been reported causing black pod disease on cacao, so far, with Phytophthora megakarya, Phytophthora palmivora, Phytophthora capsici, and Phytophthora citrophthora being considered the most important species (Ali et al., 2016; Marelli et al., 2019). In Brazil, P. capsici, P. citrophthora, Phytophthora heveae, and P. palmivora have been associated with high incidence levels of black pod disease, but these Phytophthora species were identified using only morphological comparisons (Luz et al., 2018; Lessa et al., 2020). It has been shown that molecular approaches, associated with morphological data, are required to resolve plant pathogen species complex, and they have revealed previously uncharacterized species affecting different crops (Cooke et al., 2000; Blair et al., 2008; Bezuidenhout et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2014; Jung et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018; Yang and Hong, 2018; Alves et al., 2019). Interestingly, several studies have shown that the genetic resistance to black pod rot in cacao is associated with different QTLs depending on the species of Phytophthora (Risterucci et al., 2003; Barreto et al., 2018). Therefore, it is particularly important for cacao breeding studies to correctly identify the Phytophthora species that are used during phenotype screenings. In this direction, a PCR-based method was developed to distinguish between Phytophthora megakarya and P. palmivora (Ali et al., 2016) to help West African breeders using the correct species in their programs. Also, the precise identification of the Phytophthora species in each growing area, combined with the phytosanitary measures that prevents circulation of contaminated seedlings and pods, can minimize the damages caused by black pod disease of cacao.

Here, Phytophthora isolates causing black pod disease on cacao in Bahia, Brazil, were morphologically and molecularly characterized. Two species, P. palmivora and Phytophthora theobromicola sp. nov., were identified, and the previously undescribed P. theobromicola seems to be prevalent in the cacao-producing areas in the Bahia state. Also, P. theobromicola induced higher rates of necrotic lesion expansion on pods of different cacao genetic materials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Cacao pods showing typical symptoms of black pod disease were collected between June and December 2017 in the municipalities of Porto Seguro (cacao cultivated under full-sun), Eunápolis (cacao intercropped with coconut), Barro Preto (agroforestry
system known as cabruca1), and Igapó (cacao intercropped with rubber tree), Bahia, Brazil. Ten pods were collected randomly at distant points in each location (n = 40), individually placed into paper bags, shipped to the Mars Center for Cocoa Science (MCCS), Barro Preto, Bahia, Brazil, and stored at room temperature (25 ± 2°C).

*Phytophthora* Isolation

The symptomatic pods were washed in tap water and liquid soap, and dried using paper towels. Then, pod fragments (5 x 5 mm) were removed from the transition region between diseased and healthy tissues, and superficially disinfested in 70% ethanol for 30 s, followed by 60 s in 1.5% sodium hypochlorite. The plant material was washed in sterile distilled water and deposited on carrot-agar medium amended with the antibiotics pimaricin, ampicillin, and rifampicin, and the fungicide PCNB (CA-PARP), at 10, 250, 10, and 100 mg/L, respectively (Luz et al., 2018). The mycelial growths from the pod husk fragments were individually transferred to new Petri dishes containing carrot-agar (CA) medium, and kept at 25 ± 2°C (Jung et al., 2003; Alfenas et al., 2016; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018). To ensure the genetic purity of the isolates, hyphal tip cultures were obtained.

Eight cacao-infesting isolates previously identified, based on morphological data, as *P. palmivora* (n = 3) and *P. citrophthora* (n = 5) (Luz et al., 2018) were included in the molecular analyses reported here. Further, two isolates causing black pod disease on cacao (isolates P0449 and P1839) in Brazil, and two isolates infecting citrus (isolates P0479 and P1159), and previously deposited as *P. citrophthora* (ATTC Mycology Collection) were included in our data set (Table 1).

**Total DNA Extraction**

The *Phytophthora* isolates were grown on Petri dishes containing CA medium, covered with sterile cellophane paper, at 25 ± 2°C for 3–5 days (Scanu et al., 2014). The mycelial growth was collected using a sterile toothpick and deposited in 1.5 mL microtubes containing 50 μL of Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer, four metal beads (2.8 mm), and 600 μL of Nuclei Lysis Solution (Promega®). The total DNA extraction was performed using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega®) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total DNA preparations were analyzed on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with GelRed (Biotium®), and visualized under UV light. The DNA samples were stored at −20°C for later use.

**Amplification and Sequencing**

The β-tubulin (*BTUB*) partial sequences were amplified, by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), with the primer set BTub_F1/TUBUR1 (Kroon et al., 2004; Blair et al., 2008), and used as the primary barcode for identification of *Phytophthora* species due to the high PCR success rate and easy alignment of the nucleotide sequences. To attribute definitive species demarcation for the *Phytophthora* isolates, nucleotide sequences of the nuclear genes heat shock protein 90 (*HSP90*) and elongation factor 1 alpha (*EF1α*), the internal transcribed spacers of the nuclear ribosomal DNA (*ITS*), and two mitochondrial genes, cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (*COX1*) and cytochrome c oxidase subunit II (*COXII*) were obtained from representative isolates preliminarily identified based on *BTUB* sequence data (*Supplementary Table 1*). The *HSP90*, *EF1α*, *ITS*, *COX1*, and *COXII* regions were amplified using the primer pairs *HSP90*_F1/*HSP90*_R2 (Blair et al., 2008), *EF1A*_F/*EF1A*_R (Blair et al., 2008), *DC6/LR0* (Bonants et al., 1997; Choi et al., 2006), OomCoxI-Levup/Fmi85mod (Robideau et al., 2011), and COX2-F/COX2-R (Hudspheth et al., 2000), respectively. The PCR amplifications were performed in a final volume of 12.5 μL: 6.25 μL of MyTaq MasterMix 2x (Bioline, EUA), 0.3 μL (10 pmol/μL) of each primer, 4.25 μL of nuclelease-free water, and 1 μL of template DNA (25 ng/μL). The cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 1.5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, 60°C (*BTUB*) for 45 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. Different annealing temperatures were used according to the genomic region to be amplified: 62°C (*HSP90*), 60°C (*EF1α*), 50°C (*COX1* and *COXII*), and 58°C (*ITS*). Also, distinct extension times were used: *COX1*, *COXII*, and *ITS* (45 s), *EF1α* (1 min), and *HSP90* (1.5 min). The PCR products were purified and bidirectionally Sanger sequenced at Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea). The new sequences were assembled and manually edited using Geneious v.8.1.9.2).

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

To determine the *Phytophthora* species with which the new isolates shared the highest nucleotide identity, the partial nucleotide sequences and the BLASTn algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990) were used to search the NCBI-GenBank non-redundant nucleotide database. A Bayesian phylogenetic tree was initially reconstructed using the *BTUB* sequences from the 50 isolates characterized here, and representative isolates of the *Phytophthora* species belonging to clade 2 (*Supplementary Tables 1, 2*; Blair et al., 2008; Bezuidenhout et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018; Yang and Hong, 2018; Alves et al., 2019; Abad, 2020). The reference specimen P0633 of *P. palmivora* (clade 4) was used as outgroup. Also, phylogenetic trees were individually inferred from each genomic region analyzed here. Multiple sequence alignments were obtained with MAFFT v7 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Finally, Bayesian Inference (BI) and Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using the concatenate data (*COXI*, *COXII*, *BTUB*, *ITS*, *EF1α*, and *HSP90*). For BI, the best nucleotide substitution models were determined, for each partition, by MrModeltest (Posada and Buckley, 2004). The CIPRES web portal (Miller et al., 2010) was used to run MrBayes v3.2.1 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) analysis was run with a total of 10 million generations, sampling every 1,000 generations. The convergence of the log likelihoods was confirmed using TRACER v1.7.1 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2018). The first 25% of the sampled trees

---

1Cabruca is an agroforest where cacao shrubs replaced the original forest understory, while a few canopy trees are left for shade (Faria et al., 2006, 2007).

2https://www.geneious.com/
were discarded as burn-in, with the posterior probability (PP) values calculated with the remaining trees (Rannala and Yang, 1996). The ML tree was reconstructed using RAxML v8 (Stamatakis, 2014) accessed through the CIPRES web portal (Miller et al., 2010), assuming a general time reversible (GTR) nucleotide substitution model with a gamma (G) rate of heterogeneity, and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The phylogenetic trees were visualized and edited in FigTree v1.4 (Rambaut, 2018) and Inkscape.3

Morphological and Cultural Characterization

The morphological characterization of eight-representative cacao-infecting Phytophthora isolates was performed using hyphal tip cultures grown on CA medium during 7 days at 20 ± 1°C (Jung et al., 2003; Abad and Coffey, 2010; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018). The sporangiophore morphology was observed by submerging nine 10 mm2 disks, obtained from the growing edge of a 7-day-old V8A colony in a 90 mm diameter Petri dish, in non-sterile soil extract (50 g of filtered forest soil in 1,000 mL of distilled water, filtered after 24 h) (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996). The Petri dishes were incubated at 20°C in natural daylight and morphological characteristics were observed after 24 h. The formation of cladospores, and their features, were examined from 30-day-old colonies grown on water-agar (WA) at 20°C in the dark. The mating types were determined by individually pairing the 50 isolates with A1 and A2 tester strains of *P. capsici*, *P. nicotianae*, *P. palmivora*, and *P. citrophthora* on Petri dishes containing clarified V8 juice agar, 2% malt-extract-agar (MEA), potato-dextrose-agar (PDA), WA or CA media, with or without β-sitosterol. The cultures were kept at 20 or 25°C in the dark for 30 days. The microscopical characteristics were analyzed by mounting asexual structures in clear lactoglycerol, and 50 measurements for each morphological parameter were carried out at a magnification of ×1,000 using a Leica DM2500 light microscope equipped with a Leica DFC 490 digital camera, coupled to a computer containing the Leica Qwin-Plus software. The dried culture holotypes were deposited at the Universidade de Brasilia (UB) Herbarium, while ex-type cultures were stored on CA disks and grains of rye immersed in sterile water in plastic vials kept at 10°C in the Coleção de Culturas da Universidade de Brasilia (CCUB; Brasilia, Brazil) (Table 1).

The colony growth rates were determined, for representative isolates of *P. theobromicola* sp. nov., *P. citrophthora*, and *P. palmivora*, on clarified 20% V8 juice-agar (V8A), 2% MEA, PDA, and CA during 7 days at 20 ± 1°C (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Scanu et al., 2014; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018). The radial colony growth was recorded daily along two perpendicular lines that intersected the center of the initial inoculum (mycelial plugs of 5 mm in diameter). To determine the cardinal temperatures, the isolates were initially grown on CA medium for 5 days at 25 ± 1°C. Then, mycelial plugs (5 mm in diameter) were transferred to new Petri dishes (90 mm) containing CA medium, and kept at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, or 40 ± 1°C for 7 days in the dark. The Petri dishes showing no mycelial growth (5, 35, and 40°C) were

---

**Table 1** | GenBank accession numbers of DNA sequences of *Phytophthora* isolates obtained in this study and included in the multigenic analysis.

| Species         | Isolate     | BTUB    | ITS     | COXI   | COXII  | HSP90 | EF1α |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|------|
| *P. citrophthora* | P1159*      | MW597329| MW597338| MW597356| MW597365| MW597375| MW597383 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 906    | MT074250| MT074270| MW597353| MT074278| MT074294| MT074286 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 920    | MT074249| MT074269| MW597354| MT074277| MT074293| MT074285 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 1102   | MT074247| MT074267| MW597348| MT074275| MT074291| MT074283 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 1158   | MT074248| MT074268| MW597350| MT074276| MT074292| MT074284 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 2700†  | MW597322| MW597331| MW597340| MW597358| MW597367| MW597376 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 2711†  | MW597324| MW597333| MW597345| MW597360| MW597369| MW597378 |
| *P. palmivora*   | CCUB 2712†  | MW597327| MW597336| MW597347| MW597363| MW597372| MW597381 |
| *P. theobromicola* | P0449†     | MW597330| MW597339| MW597355| MW597366| MW597374| MW597384 |

*ATCC Mycology Collection; †Isolates previously identified as *Phytophthora palmivora* (Luz et al., 2018); †Isolates previously identified as *Phytophthora citrophthora* (Luz et al., 2018).
returned to 25°C to determine the viability of the isolates. The measurements of colony diameters were performed as described above. The tests were independently repeated twice, and treatments were comprised by four replicates, following the inter-randomly design, with each Petri dish being considered as an experimental unit.

**Pathogenicity Tests**

The representative isolates of *P. theobromicola* sp. nov. and *P. palmivora*, identified by multilocus and morphological/cultural comparisons, and the type isolate of *P. citrophthora* (Table 1) were used in pathogenicity tests on pods of different cacao genotypes (CCN51, Cepec2004, CP49, and PS1319). Asymptomatic pods (4–5-month-old) were washed in tap water and liquid soap, and dried using paper towels. The cacao pods were wounded, using a sterile needle, at two distinct points located approximately 5 cm from each peduncle and tip. Then, a mycelial plug (5 mm), from a 5-day-old culture grown on CA at 25 ± 1°C, was deposited on each wounded area. The treatments were comprised by five replicates for each *Phytophthora* isolate and cacao clone. CA plugs (with no mycelial growth) were used on mock-inoculated treatments. The pods were kept in a humid chamber at 20°C ± 1°C for 7 days post inoculation, and the necrotic lesion diameter was evaluated daily, for 5 days, after 48 h of incubation period. The pathogenicity tests were independently repeated twice, and each pod was considered as an experimental unit.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data from the pathogenicity assay were processed with primary data validation performed with a logistic regression (logit link function) to identify whether the inoculum position was a determining factor for necrotic lesion expansion (event 1) or not (event 0). This strategy was adopted to verify if putative differences in the ripeness stages between the inoculation points could affect the disease progress. The linear equation used in the logistic fit included a full model containing elapsed time, *Phytophthora* isolates, cacao clones, inoculum position and all interactions among these variables.

Given the multifactorial nature of the experimental design growth kinetics, data from the cultural characterization were fitted through linear mixed-effects models. Two competing models were tested: the null model using the elapsed time, culture media and *Phytophthora* species as fixed effects, and the isolate level as a random effect (Average mycelial growth = time: *Phytophthora* species: cult. media) + (1 | *Phytophthora* isolate); and the alternative model, which differed from the null model because it included a *Phytophthora* isolate as a fixed effect instead of an effect at the species level. Both models were compared to verify whether the inclusion of isolate level variation (alternative model) produced a less erroneous model. Pairwise comparisons were performed with adjusted Tukey’s HSD multiplicity test. The statistical analyses were performed in R v 3.5 (Pinheiro et al., 2020) and data is publicly available on GitHub*.

---

*https://github.com/sgelias/phytophthora-on-cocoa

**RESULTS**

**Phytophthora Isolates Infecting Cacao Pods**

A specimen was obtained from each cacao pod exhibiting typical symptoms of black pod disease such as brown and circular lesions covered by a white mycelial growth. The forty novel *Phytophthora* isolates were initially identified based on *BTUB* sequences (Supplementary Table 1), and eight representative isolates were selected for morphological and *in vitro* mycelial growth characterization, and evaluation of pathogenicity on pods of different cacao genotypes. Additionally, three and five isolates previously identified, based on morphological data, as *P. palmivora* and *P. citrophthora*, respectively (Luz et al., 2018), and two isolates deposited in the ATCC Mycology Collection were added to our data set. Therefore, a total of 50 cacao-infecting *Phytophthora* isolates were molecularly characterized in the present study.

**Phylogenetic Relationship**

To perform an initial species identification of the cacao-infecting *Phytophthora* isolates (*n* = 50), *BTUB* partial nucleotide sequences were obtained from each isolate. The fifty new *BTUB* sequences were 1,100 bp in length, and the aligned data set was comprised by 1,106 characters, from which 804 and 206 were classified as conserved and parsimony informative sites, respectively. The BI tree was reconstructed using the GTR + I + G nucleotide substitution model. The *BTUB* tree showed that the *Phytophthora* isolates were grouped into two distinct clades (the nucleotide matrices and phylogenetic tree are available in TreeBASE; study number S25541). Then, 18 isolates from both *BTUB* clades, representing each sampling location in the Bahia state, Brazil, and the *Phytophthora* isolates previously reported, were selected for amplification and sequencing of the *COXI, COXII, EF1a, ITS*, and *HSP90* regions, which were 600, 560, 800, 1,000, and 900 bp in length, respectively. The new *COXI, COXII, EF1a, ITS, BTUB*, and *HSP90* partial sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table 1). To correctly determine the *Phytophthora* species, a multilocus approach was adopted using the *ITS, BTUB, EF1a, COXI, HSP90*, and *COXII* sequences. A total of 74 taxa [18 isolates molecularly characterized here, and 56 isolates from GenBank (Supplementary Table 2)] were included in the BI and ML phylogenetic analyses. The *ITS, BTUB, EF1a, COXI, HSP90*, and *COXII* individually aligned data sets were 418, 779, 829, 492, 885, and 517 bp in length, respectively (single gene trees are available in TreeBASE; study number S25541). The concatenate alignment was comprised by 3,923 characters, with 3,166 and 745 conserved and variable sites, respectively. Also, 605 sites were determined as phylogenetically informative. The Bayesian phylogenetic tree was reconstructed considering the best nucleotide substitution model for each partition in the concatenate data, HKY + I + G (ITS) and GTR + I + G (*BTUB, COXII, EF1a, COXI, and HSP90*). The *Phytophthora* isolates reported here were grouped in two different phylogenetic clades (*Phytophthora* clades 2 and 4).
Seven isolates clustered with other *P. palmivora* (clade 4), while eleven isolates grouped together in the phylogenetic tree and were placed apart from previously known species in *Phytophthora* clade 2b. Together with the morphological data (see below), these isolates are considered as a new species herein referred to as *Phytophthora theobromicola* sp. nov. Interestingly, the isolates infecting cacao in Brazil, and previously identified as *P. citrophthora* (Table 1; Luz et al., 2018), were placed in the same clade with *P. theobromicola*. Also, the isolates P0449 and P1839 clustered with *P. theobromicola* (Figure 1). The ML and Bayesian phylogenetic trees showed similar topologies (Figure 1).

**Taxonomy**

*Phytophthora theobromicola* Pinho, Ramos-Sobrinho and Marelli sp. nov. Figure 2.

*MycoBank*: MB 833783

*Typification*: Brazil, Bahia: Eunápolis, on pods of *Theobroma cacao*, July 2017, coll. R. Ramos-Sobrinho (holotype UB23904). Ex-type living culture CCUB1091.

*GenBank*: ITS = MT074263; COXI = MW597344; BTUB = MT074223; HSP90 = MT074287; EF1α = MT074279; COXII = MT074271.

*Etymology*: Name refers to only known host so far, *Theobroma cacao*.

Colonies with chrysanthemum pattern on PDA, MEA, and V8A, and radiate on CA at 20°C (Figure 3). Hyphal swellings not observed. Sporangia persistent, monopapillate (96%; Figures 2F,G,I,J,L,N), bipapillate (4%; Figures 2M,O,P), or semipapillate (1%; Figures 2E,H,K). Variable sporangial shapes, obpyriform, and ovoid are often observed (89%; Figures 2F–L,N), while subglobose to globose (7%; Figure 2E) and turbinate (4%; Figures 2M,O,P) are unusual shapes (Figures 2E,M,O,P), 27–76 µm long (av. 52.3 ± 9.1 µm) and 21.5–45.5 µm wide (av. 35.5 ± 4.3 µm), some with tapered bases, borne in simple sporangiophores (Figures 2A–D). Zoospores discharged through an exit pore 6.0–12 µm wide (av. 8.0 ± 1.5 µm), subglobose or ovoid whilst motile. Chlamydozoospores formed on water agar, globose, subglobose, lateral, terminal, and intercalary (Figures 2Q–R), measuring 19.5–45 µm in diameter (av. 30.4 ± 5.2 µm) (Table 2). From the 31 isolates examined, all of them are sterile on clarified V8 juice agar, MEA, PDA, WA, or CA media, with or without β-sitosterol.

Additional specimens examined: BRAZIL, Bahia: Igrapiúna, on pods of *Theobroma cacao*, July 2017, coll. J. Honorato Júnior (culture CCUB1205); BRAZIL, Bahia: Porto Seguro, on pods of *Theobroma cacao*, July 2017, coll. D. B. Pinho (culture CCUB1285); BRAZIL, Bahia: Barro Preto, on pods of *Theobroma cacao*, July 2017, coll. J. Decloquement (culture CCUB1151).

Known substrata: pods of *Theobroma cacao*.

Known geographic distribution: Brazil.

Notes: *Phytophthora theobromicola* sp. nov. was historically recognized as *P. citrophthora* due to similar mycelial growth in different culture media and temperatures (Figures 3, 4). The proposed new species can be distinguished morphologically from *P. citrophthora* based on persistent sporangia (Figure 2).

**Cultural Characterization**

The colonies of *P. theobromicola* showed immersed mycelial aspect and chrysanthemum pattern on PDA at 20 ± 1°C, while isolates grown on MEA and V8A have cottony and aerial mycelia with chrysanthemum pattern. Also, the *P. theobromicola* isolates showed cottony and aerial mycelia with radiate pattern on CA at 20 ± 1°C. The *P. palmivora* isolates have colonies with no distinct pattern on V8A and CA, and slow growth on MEA and PDA at 20°C ± 1°C (Figure 5).

The *P. theobromicola* isolates showed higher values of growth rates on CA (10.4 mm/day) and V8A (10.6 mm/day) media, with both being statistically similar, followed by MEA (9.9 mm/day) and PDA (8.4 mm/day). According to the linear mixed-effects model, the mean growth rates of the new taxa were 78, 65, 41, and 21% higher, compared to the *P. palmivora* isolates, on PDA, MEA, V8A, and CA media at 20 ± 1°C, respectively, with all differences being statistically significant (Figure 3).

To determine the cardinal temperatures, the representative isolates from each *P. palmivora* (*n* = 4), *P. theobromicola* (*n* = 4), and *P. citrophthora* (*n* = 1) species were grown on CA at eight different temperatures. The intraspecific variation analysis showed that *P. theobromicola* reached the maximum growth rate of 10.8 mm/day at 20°C, statistically similar to the values observed at 25 and 30°C. The *P. palmivora* isolates showed maximum growth rate of 10.4 mm/day at 25°C, being identical to the values at 30°C. Further, the mycelial growth of *P. theobromicola* and *P. citrophthora* isolates were significantly higher than *P. palmivora* at 20 ± 1°C. But, the *P. theobromicola*, *P. palmivora*, and *P. citrophthora* isolates showed statistically similar rate values at 25 and 30°C (Figure 4). No mycelial growth was observed when colonies of the different species were incubated at 5, 35, or 40°C during 7 days, and not even after the cultures were transferred to 25°C, showing the isolates lost viability when grown at these conditions.

**Pathogenicity Test**

To test the putative effects of the inoculation points on the rates of necrotic lesion development induced by *Phytophthora* isolates, the inoculations were carried out at approximately 5 cm from each polar edge of the cacao pods. The inoculated pods showed symptoms like those observed in the field, and the *Phytophthora* species were successfully reisolated from all symptomatic pods. The mock- and *P. citrophthora* (P0479)-inoculated pods were asymptomatic (Figure 6). The necrotic lesions expanded to a maximum diameter of 134.2 and 165.2 mm when the inoculations were performed near to the pod’s peduncle and tip, respectively. The logistic regression showed no statistical significance in inoculum position effect when evaluated as a single predictor or interacting with all other effects (data available on GitHub; see text footnote 3). Therefore, the different inoculation areas were neglected as a predictor to perform the statistical analysis using the linear mixed-effects model. The alternative model including intraspecific variation of *Phytophthora* aggressiveness produced less erroneous estimates, indicating heterogeneity of both *Phytophthora* species, which was considered in the statistical descriptions reported here.
The aggressiveness of both Phytophthora species was highly variable, with the *P. theobromicola* isolates being, in general, more aggressive than *P. palmivora* on pods of the cacao clones CCN51, Cepec2004, CP49, and PS1319 (Figure 7). When considered the intraspecific variation within *P. theobromicola*, the isolates CCUB1151 and CCUB1285 induced statistically homogeneous results among the four cacao genotypes, with necrotic lesions expanding at rates ranging from 19.5 to 22.6 mm/day. The necrotic lesion sizes induced by the CCUB1091 and CCUB1205 isolates were clone dependent. The CCUB1091 isolate induced higher lesion growth rates on the CP49 clone, at 25.3 mm/day, while the lower values were obtained on Cepec2004, at 16.3 mm/day. Contrastingly, the CCUB1205 isolate was more aggressive on Cepec2004, at 21.3 mm/day, with...
lower values being observed on CCN51, at 14.5 mm/day. The average aggressiveness of the CCUB1205 isolate on Cepec2004 (21.3 mm/day) was statistically identical to the values observed for CCUB1285 and CCUB1151, and higher than the rates observed for CCUB1091 (16.3 mm/day). The PS1319 cacao clone was more susceptible to CCUB1091, at 22.9 mm/day, with the CCUB1205 isolate inducing lower lesion growth rates, at 15.6 mm/day (Figure 7).

The *P. palmivora* isolates induced similar rates of necrotic lesion expansion on pods of the cacao clone CCN51, ranging from 11.7 to 13.0 mm/day. Statistically similar values were observed for the isolates CCUB1158 and CCUB906 when infecting Cepec2004, at 13.5 and 9.6 mm/day, respectively, and lower values were observed for the CCUB920 isolate, at 4.7 mm/day. Finally, the necrotic lesions expanded at rates ranging between 4.4 and 13.0 mm/day on CP49, and 4.4 and 8.9 mm/day on PS1319 pods (Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

Diseases caused by *Phytophthora* species have been reported in a wide range of economically important crops worldwide and are considered yield-limiting factors (Brasier, 1992;...
Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Spies et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2018, 2020). Several Phytophthora species have been described causing black pod disease on cacao, which poses a great threat to cocoa bean production in the main cultivation areas (Rocha and Ram, 1971; Brasier and Griffin, 1979; Pereira et al., 1980; Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Marelli et al., 2019; Armengot et al., 2020). So far, no large-scale study has been carried out using a multilocus approach, associated with morphocultural data, to identify the main Phytophthora species infecting this crop in Brazil. In this study, based on morphological and molecular comparisons, at least two Phytophthora species are reported associated with black pod disease of cacao in the state of Bahia, Brazil, with one being considered a novel species.

In Brazil, P. palmivora, P. capsici, P. citrophthora, and P. heveae have been described associated with black pod disease of cacao (Guest, 2007; Ali et al., 2016; Surujdeo-Maharaj et al., 2016; Luz et al., 2018). Here, the new isolates into the Phytophthora clade 2 comprised a monophyletic group separated from previously reported Phytophthora species. The new taxon is proposed following the Genealogical Concordance Phylogenetic Species Recognition (GR) criteria (Taylor et al., 2000), and together with morphological and cultural data, is described as a new species referred to as Phytophthora theobromicola sp. nov. Interestingly, the cacao-infecting isolates previously recognized as P. citrophthora (Luz et al., 2018; ATCC Mycology Collection) were molecularly identified as P. theobromicola. The P. citrophthora isolates reported infecting cacao in Brazil were initially characterized as belonging to a host-specialized genetic group separated from other P. citrophthora affecting a large host range including economically important crops such as Citrus spp. (Forster et al., 1990; Oudemans and Coffey, 1991). The similarities between P. theobromicola and P. citrophthora, especially the mycelial growth rates, probably led to the misidentification of the new species as P. citrophthora. Further, the P. theobromicola (clade 2b) isolates are phylogenetic distinct from the type isolates of P. citrophthora (clade 2a),
FIGURE 4 | Mean (± SE) of growth rates of Phytophthora species on carrot-agar (CA) at different temperature intervals. The statistical significance (95% confidence interval) of paired comparisons, performed between linear mixed-effects model estimates, is indicated by numbers above the horizontal axis (A). Growth rates of Phytophthora species vs. predicted rates using the linear mixed-effect model (B). Mean radial growth rates of Phytophthora citrophthora (n = 1), Phytophthora palmivora (n = 4), and Phytophthora theobromicola (n = 4) isolates on CA at eight different temperatures (C).

FIGURE 5 | Colony morphologies of Phytophthora palmivora (CCUB1102) and Phytophthora theobromicola (CCUB1091). Seven-day-old cultures of P. palmivora (A–D) and P. theobromicola (E–H) isolates grown on, from left to right, V8-juice-agar (V8A), 2% malt-extract-agar (MEA), potato-dextrose-agar (PDA), and carrot-agar (CA) at 20°C.
reinforcing the genetic differences between these two species. *Phytophthora citrophthora* was reported as rarely occurring on cacao in 1981 (Campelo and Luz, 1981), but it is believed that with the expansion of cultivation areas planted with cacao materials resistant to witches’ broom, the population of this pathogen also increased, becoming one of the most important *Phytophthora* species negatively impacting the cacao production in southern Bahia, Brazil (Luz et al., 2018). To date, *P. citrophthora* has not been found in other Brazilian states growing cacao (Luz et al., 2018).

Here, several isolates were identified as *P. palmivora* (clade 4), which are widespread throughout the sampling locations in...
the Bahia state. The production of cocoa beans in Brazil has been affected by *P. palmivora* since the 1920s (Oliveira and Luz, 2005; Lessa et al., 2020). Southeastern Asia is considered the center of diversity of *P. palmivora*, but it has been found associated with cacao trees worldwide and also being able to infect several wild and cultivated hosts in South America (Wang et al., 2020). *Phytophthora palmivora* has been reported infecting a broad range of hosts in different botanical families (Zentmyer et al., 1973; Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Luz et al., 2001; Puig et al., 2021). In Brazil, this pathogen is infecting *Annona squamosa, Bactris gasipaes, Carica papaya, Citrus sp., Cocos nucifera, Ficus carica, Herrania sp., Hevea brasiliensis, Solanum lycopersicum, Piper nigrum, T. cacao, and T. grandiflorum* (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Luz et al., 2001; Decloqueument et al., 2019; Lopes et al., 2019). Recent studies suggest that the host jump of *P. palmivora* from cacao to other plant species was mainly due to the international marketing of cacao seedlings, pods and seeds (Wang et al., 2020). It has been shown that *P. palmivora* is widely distributed in cacao-growing areas around the world, causing annual yield losses of about 20–30% (Ali et al., 2016; Surujdeo-Maharaj et al., 2016; Marelli et al., 2019). Also, *P. palmivora* seems to be prevalent amongst the *Phytophthora* species reported on cacao in field conditions (Erwin and Ribeiro, 1996; Saul Maora et al., 2017; Fernandez Maura et al., 2018; Luz et al., 2018). Here, both *P. palmivora* and *P. theobromicola* were commonly found in the cacao-producing areas located in the state of Bahia. Finally, considering that only the A1 mating type of *P. palmivora* was found in this study, it is possible that a predominant clonal lineage occurs in these growing regions, especially because the presence of both mating types in a same locality would promote hybridization and formation of new isolates (Zentmyer et al., 1973). The black pod disease of cacao induced by *P. palmivora* is known for a long time, and the genetic structure of *P. palmivora* populations showed that one only colonization event was probably responsible for the global pandemic of this pathogen (Wang et al., 2020).

The *P. theobromicola* isolates showed higher values of mean growth rates than *P. palmivora* on different culture media at 20°C. When both species were grown on CA at different temperatures, it was observed that *P. palmivora* isolates reached similar mycelial growth rates, compared to the new taxa, only at 25 and 30°C. These results suggest that *P. theobromicola* may have a wider plasticity when challenged by different substrates and temperatures. Finally, the pathogenicity tests showed that the rates of necrotic lesion expansion are highly dependent on the *Phytophthora* species and isolate, and cacao genotype. However, *P. theobromicola* seems to be more aggressive than *P. palmivora* when inoculated on pods of the cacao clones CCN51, PS1319, Cepc2004, and CP49. Interestingly, the cacao-infecting isolates previously identified as *P. citrophthora* in Brazil, and, here, reclassified as *P. theobromicola*, have also been reported as more aggressive than *P. palmivora* (Luz et al., 2001; Oliveira and Luz, 2005), agreeing with the results observed in the present study. Although further studies are needed to better understand the distribution of *P. theobromicola* in the different cacao-growing regions in Brazil, more restrictive phytosanitary barriers must be adopted to mitigate putative yield losses caused by this pathogen since *P. theobromicola* seems to be more aggressive on different cacao clones and well adapted to a broader temperature range. Also, large-scale studies are required to clarify if *P. theobromicola* emerged from a host jump from a wild plant to cacao and/or by geographical isolation. Finally, the determination of the host range of *P. theobromicola*, and its potential to hybridize with other cacao-infecting *Phytophthora* species, are important epidemiological and evolutionary parameters to be evaluated in future studies.

The guidelines for species demarcation into the genus *Phytophthora* have been changed over the years (Cooke et al., 2000; Forster et al., 2000; Taylor et al., 2000; Martin and Tooley, 2003; Kroon et al., 2004; Schena and Cooke, 2006; Blair et al., 2008; Robideau et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017; Bad, 2020). Further, the use of the phylogenetic concept of species has greatly increased the number of species officially recognized, demonstrating that the taxonomical reappraisal of the *Phytophthora* species was crucial (Hong et al., 2009; Jung et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Ruano-Rosa et al., 2018; Alves et al., 2019). Here, the reappraisal of the *Phytophthora* species infecting cacao using a polyphasic approach showed the coexistence of at least two *Phytophthora* species, *P. theobromicola* sp. nov. and *P. palmivora*, widely distributed in the main cultivation areas in Bahia, Brazil. Due to the high number of species, genetic variation, and overlap of morphological characters in *Phytophthora*, the multilocus approach was critical to identify the new *Phytophthora* species proposed here. Also, the precise molecular identification of *P. theobromicola* and *P. palmivora* allowed the establishment of phenotypic parameters separating both species. *Phytophthora theobromicola* has persistent sporangia, while *P. palmivora* has caducous sporangia. *Phytophthora theobromicola* shows higher rates of mycelial growth on CA medium at 20°C, when compared to *P. palmivora*. Finally, the high physiological and pathogenic elasticity of *P. theobromicola* and *P. palmivora* may greatly affect the disease management strategies, including the development of genetically resistant materials and chemical control.
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