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Abstract

The proton transfer (PT) complex of 2-amino 4-methoxy 6-methyl pyrimidinium (2A4M6MP) 4-aminosalicylate (4AMSA), C$_6$H$_{10}$ON$_3^+$ C$_7$H$_6$NO$_3^-$, (I), and 5-chlorosalicylate (5ClISA), C$_6$H$_{10}$ON$_3^+$ C$_7$H$_4$O$_3$Cl$^-$ (II) were synthesized and crystallized. The crystal structures of both salt molecules were investigated by SC-XRD. Further, the calculated $\Delta p$Ka values clearly demonstrate that 2A4M6MP is a good salt former when combined with carboxylic acids. Hirshfeld surface analysis provides the quantifying interactions in the solid state and energy framework shows the stability of hydrogen bonding. QTAIM analysis reveals the nature of chemical bonding and electron density distribution of intermolecular interactions of pyrimidine based salt molecules.

1. Introduction

Co-crystals are the products of crystal engineering, which aims to bring two or more different molecules into one crystal lattice via non-covalent interactions, e.g. hydrogen bonds, without breaking any covalent bond [1]. In designing co-crystals, an important factor for consideration is, which of the two possible intermolecular bonds is favored, i.e. heteromeric (co-crystal) or homomeric (single molecule) [2, 3]. Functional groups that show a high tendency of forming a heteromeric interaction include carboxylic acid, pyrimidine and amide [4]. Molecules containing these groups are potentially good supramolecular synthons for co-crystal formation [5]. Proton transfer complexes are gaining considerable interest in the research from the last decade, due to their wide potential applications in the field of pharmaceutical science [6, 7], material science [8, 9], bio-electrochemical energy transfer process [10], biological science [11, 12], optoelectronic, optical communication, organic semiconductor [13, 14] and DNA binding [15], antibacterial and antifungal activity [16].

Pyrimidine and amino pyrimidine derivatives are biologically important compounds and they occur in nature as components of nucleic acids such as cytosine, uracil and thymine [17]. Pyrimidine derivatives also developed as the antiviral agents such as AZT, which is the most widely used anti-HIV drug and in biology it has many applications in the areas of pesticides and pharmaceutical agents [18]. Furthermore, the pyrimidine group offers two protonation sites (the two ring nitrogen) and the site of protonation depends on the nature of the substituent [19, 20]. 4-Amino salicylic acid (4AMSA) is a well-known antibiotics for tuberculosis treatment and also encouraging anticancer drug [1, 21]. 5-Chlorosalicylic acid (5ClISA) is a potential candidate have found enormous application in medical and pharmaceutical research and industry, particularly in the treatment of acene, psoriasis, calluses, corns, keratosis pilaris and warts [22]. 5-Chlorosalicylic acid is presently finding burgeoning use in cosmetic industry [23]. The title salts, namely, 2-amino 4-methoxy 6-methyl pyrimidinium 4-amino salicylate (2A4M6MP-4AMSA) (I), and 2-amino 4-methoxy 6-methyl pyrimidinium 5-chloro salicylate (2A4M6MP-5ClISA) (II), have been investigated in order to study the hydrogen bonding patterns and supramolecular architectures in the crystalline state. The wide range importance of 2A4M6MP and salicylic acid motivated to synthesize their proton transfer complex [5]. Their single crystal has been grown by slow evaporation technique and it
was investigated by crystal and molecular structure analysis. The fingerprint plots associated with the Hirshfeld surface clearly displays each significant interaction involved in the structure, by quantifying them in an effective visual manner. The ∆pKa values for both base-acid complexes (I & II) were calculated to confirm the formation of molecular salts.

2. Experimental Details

2.1. Synthesis and Crystallization

The title compound was synthesized by the reaction of 1:1 stoichiometric mixture of 2-amino 4-methoxy 6-methyl pyrimidine (34.79 mg, 0.25 mmol) with 4-amino salicylic acid (38.28 mg, 0.25 mmol) or 5-chlorosalicylic acid (43.14 mg, 0.25 mmol) in 20ml of hot methanol solution, after warming a few minutes over a water bath for 30 min. The solution was cooled and kept at room temperature. Within few days, block-shaped brown color crystal of salt-I and colorless needle-shaped crystal of salt-II were obtained by slow evaporation at room temperature. Both crystals (I & II) were found suitable for the single crystal X-ray structure analysis.

2.2. X-ray Crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction intensity data for the crystals of (I) & (II) were collected on Bruker D8 QUEST ECO diffractometer [24] equipped with APEX III photon detector and Molybdenum monochromator (MoKα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å). The unit cell refinement and data reduction were carried out using Bruker SAINT [24] and the necessary absorption corrections were performed by multiscan method using SADABS [24]. The structure of both crystals (I & II) were solved by direct methods using SHELXS [25] incorporated to WinGX-2014 [26] program suit and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques using SHELXL [25, 26]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and thereafter, all hydrogen atoms were placed in their geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms. The unit cell, X-ray intensity data collection and crystal structure refinement details of salt (I) and (II) are presented in Table 1. All H-atoms except the methyl group H-atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and refined isotropically. The remaining methyl group H-atom positions were calculated geometrically [C−H = 0.96 Å] and refined using the riding model with \( U_{iso} (H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(C) \). Diagrams and publication material were generated using Olex2[27], PLATON [28] and MERCURY softwares [29].

| Table 1 | Experimental details |
|                  | Salt (I)                                                                 | Salt (II)                                                                 |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Chemical formula** | $C_6H_{10}ON_3^+C_7H_6NO_3^-$                                           | $C_6H_{10}ON_3^+C_7H_4O_3Cl^-$                                           |
| **$M_r$**        | 292.3 g/mol                                                              | 311.72 g/mol                                                              |
| **Crystal system, Space group** | Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$                                                      | Triclinic, $P$                                                            |
| **Temperature (K)** | 293                                                                      | 293                                                                      |
| **$a,b,c$ (Å)**  | 8.8829(2), 15.1248(3), 10.4933(3)                                         | 8.329(6), 9.962(8), 9.998(7)                                              |
| **$\alpha,\beta,\gamma$ (°)** | $\alpha = 90$                                                            | $\alpha = 111.66(2)$                                                     |
|                  | $\beta = 98.392(1)$                                                      | $\beta = 111.263(13)$                                                    |
|                  | $\gamma = 90$                                                            | $\gamma = 94.052(18)$                                                    |
| **$V(\text{Å}^3)$** | 1394.70(6)                                                               | 698.1(9)                                                                 |
| **$Z$**          | 4                                                                        | 2                                                                        |
| **Radiation type** | Mo Kα, $\lambda=0.71073$ Å                                              | Mo Kα, $\lambda=0.71073$ Å                                              |
| **$\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$)** | 0.11                                                                    | 0.29                                                                     |
| **Crystal size(mm)** | 0.184 x 0.234 x 0.184                                                   | 0.325 x 0.160 x 0.102                                                   |

**Data collection**

|                  | Bruker D8 QUEST ECO                                                      | Bruker D8 QUEST ECO                                                      |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Absorption correction** | Multi-scan (SADABS)                                                      | Multi-scan (SADABS)                                                      |
| **$T_{\text{min}}, T_{\text{max}}$** | 0.7097, 0.7457                                                           | 0.5940, 0.7457                                                           |
| **No. of measured, independent and observed [I>2σ(I)] reflections** | 8088, 2690, 2573                                                         | 9507, 3433, 2483                                                         |
| **$R_{\text{int}}$** | 0.019                                                                    | 0.030                                                                    |
| **$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å$^{-1}$)** | 0.615                                                                    | 0.667                                                                    |

**Refinement**

|                  | $0.051, 0.126, 1.17$                                                     | $0.064, 0.162, 1.08$                                                     |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No. of reflections** | 2690                                                                     | 3433                                                                     |
| **No. of parameters** | 228                                                                      | 221                                                                      |
| H-atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| \( \Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}}(\text{eÅ}^{-3}) \) | 0.19, -0.14 | 0.42, -0.27 |
| CCDC Deposit | 2014260 | 2014262 |

### 2.3 Hirshfeld Surface Analysis

The Hirshfeld surface of the crystal is being used to analyze the intermolecular interactions of the molecules in the crystal, which is defined by using the weight function [the division of the sum of the promolecule density (spherical atom electron densities) to the sum of the same procystal electron density [30, 31]. The intermolecular contact information was obtained from the two \( d_i \) parameters (distance from the surface to the nearest atom interior to the surface) and \( d_e \) (distance from the surface to the nearest atom exterior to the surface), which is derived from the triangulation of surface points. The Hirshfeld surface and fingerprint plots of the salts (I) & (II) were generated using Crystal Explorer 17.5[32]. Fingerprint plots are based on Hirshfeld surface, which allows to identify strength of intermolecular interactions and crystal packing modes in molecular crystals to be explored [33]. The sums of four different energy components as electrostatic, polarization, dispersion and repulsion energies were used to calculate the total intermolecular interaction energy (Eq. 1). The graphical representation of energy frameworks of individual energy components was carried out to depict the centroids of interacting molecular pairs as color-coded cylinders.

\[
E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{electrostatic}} + E_{\text{polarization}} + E_{\text{dispersion}} + E_{\text{repulsion}}
\]
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### 2.4 QTAIM Calculation

To view the electronic level information of two different salt molecules, single point energy calculation of DFT (B3LYP)[34–36] method with the basis set 6-311G** was performed directly using the crystallographic coordinates GAUSSIAN03 software[37]. Then, topological properties of electron density \( \rho(r) \) of both molecules were retrieved from the wave function. The topological properties of electron density \( \rho(r) \) at the bond critical point (bcp); [where \( \nabla \rho = 0 \)] of the molecule were determined from the charge density analysis using Bader's theory of atoms in molecules (AIM)[38]. The bcp for all bonds has been located from the \( \nabla \rho = 0 \) where the first derivative of electron density is zero, which implies that the electron density is maximum. The second order derivative of electron density \( \rho''(r) \) is Laplacian of electron density which is formulated in Hessian matrix.
This allows to determine the local electronic charge concentration ($\nabla^2 \rho(r) < 0$) and depletion ($\nabla^2 \rho(r) > 0$) of the molecule. The electron density $\rho_{bg}(r)$ and the Laplacian of electron density $\nabla^2 \rho_{bg}(r)$ maps were plotted using wfn2plots and Denprop from XD2006[39]. The electrostatic potential maps were drawn from WinXPRO 3D[40] plot software package.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1 Impact of $\Delta pK_a$ value on the occurrence of acid base dimmers

In a reaction, the acid and base involves, the transfer of proton from acid to base gives rise to a salt, whereas the co-crystal arises, when the proton intact with the acid. For a carboxylic acid pyrimidine reaction [41–43], the COO−H···N_arom and molecular salts have COO−···H−N+_arom heterosynthon. The $\Delta pK_a$ [$pK_a(base) – pK_a(acid)$] rule is an empirical indicator which predicts whether a molecular complex will result as a salt [44–46]. The ‘rule of three’ is commonly employed to predict the outcome of a solid resulting from acid-base molecular reactions [42, 43, 47]. As a general rule, $\Delta pK_a < 0$ yields a co-crystal while $\Delta pK_a > 3.75$ leads to a salt. It is generally believed that the co-crystal or salt or both can appear in the domain between 0 and 3.75 [42, 48]. Furthermore, a report outline that [49], the $\Delta pK_a$ region can be classified into three zones; in the first zone, the value of $\Delta pK_a < -1$, where one can expect the co-crystal; in the second zone the values range $-1 < \Delta pK_a < 4$, this comprises the co-crystal and salt; while in the third zone, the value of $\Delta pK_a > 4$ [50, 51], which is the molecular salt and this is in good agreement with the molecular complexes salicylic acid and pyrimidine derivative. The $pK_a$ value of 2A4M6MP, 4-amino salicylic acid and 5-chlorosalicylic acid are 5.77, 3.68 and 2.59 respectively. In the present study, the calculated values of $\Delta pK_a$ values of acid-base complexes fall in the range 2.0 to 4.0 (Table S9), this confirms the formation of molecular salts.

3.2 Molecular structure and Intermolecular interactions

The molecular structure of salt (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of (I) contains a 2-amino-4-methoxy-6-methylpyrimidinium cation and a 4-amino salicylic acid anion. The cation is protonated at N1, which lies between the amine and methoxy groups attached C-atoms. This protonation can be confirmed from the difference of bond angle at the protonated N1 atom [C1−N1−C2 = 121.2 (1)°] and the unprotonated atom N2 [C4−N2−C1 = 115.9 (1)°] and this also further confirmed from the corresponding reported bond angle of neutral 2-amino-4-methoxy-6-methylpyrimidine [17, 18] which is significantly less [116.0(18)°]. In the salt (I), the 4-aminosalicylate (4AMSA) molecule exhibits an intramolecular O4−H4···O3 hydrogen bonding interaction (Fig. 1, Table 2). The protonated N-atom (N1) and 2-amino group (N3) of the cation interacts with the O2 and O3 oxygen atoms of the carboxylate anion through a pair of N−H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) forming an eight-membered ring motif (8). Inversion-related (8) ring
motifs are further bridged by N–H···O hydrogen bonds thereby forming a DDAA tetramer (D stands for hydrogen-bond donor and A stands for hydrogen bond acceptor). This set of fused rings can be represented by the graph-set notations (8), (8) and (8) [50, 52]. This type of motif has been reported previously in the crystal structures of trimethoprim hydrogen glutarate [49, 53] and 2-amino-4-methoxy-6-methylpyridinium trifluoroacetate [54] (Fig. S14). The (8) ring motif is further extended in both sides via N–H···O hydrogen bonding generating a supramolecular chain [49] (Fig. S15). These supramolecular chains are further interconnected via N–H···O hydrogen bonding interactions generating a supramolecular sheet (Fig. S16). The 4-amino salicylate ions form hydrogen bonded supramolecular chain via N–H···O hydrogen bonds involve amino hydrogen N3 and one of the oxygen atoms of the carboxylate group (Fig. S17). Subsequent level of aggregation forms a cyclic heterotetramer preferred stable synthon (Fig. S18). This crystal structure was further stabilized by C–H···π stacking interaction. The C–H···π interaction between the 2A4M6MP cations with the 4-amino salicylate anions (C5–H5C···Cg(2)v distance of 2.99(1) Å) (Fig. S19). (Symmetry code: 1/2-x,1/2+y,3/2-z)

| D–H···A   | D–H | H···A | D···A | D–H···A |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| **Salt-I** |      |       |       |         |
| N3–H3A···O2(i) | 0.94(2) | 1.88(2) | 2.815(2) | 171.7(19) |
| N3–H3B···O2(ii) | 0.87(2) | 2.00(2) | 2.805(2) | 154.4(19) |
| O4–H4···O3 | 1.01(3) | 1.59(3) | 2.5322(19) | 152(3) |
| N4–H4A···O4(i) | 0.834(19) | 2.490(18) | 3.294(2) | 162.2(18) |
| N4–H4B···O2(iii) | 0.88(3) | 2.45(3) | 3.299(2) | 161.5(18) |
| N1–H13···O3(i) | 0.94(2) | 1.78(2) | 2.7098(18) | 173.8(19) |
| C3–H3···O1(iv) | 0.938(19) | 2.423(19) | 3.313(2) | 158.3(17) |
| **Salt-II** |      |       |       |         |
| N3–H3B···O3(v) | 0.86 | 1.99 | 2.848(4) | 178 |
| N3–H3A···N2(vi) | 0.86 | 2.27 | 3.118(4) | 170 |
| O4–H4···O3 | 0.88(4) | 1.71(4) | 2.543(3) | 159 |
| N1–H13···O2(v) | 0.95(4) | 1.68(4) | 2.623(4) | 173(3) |
| C11–H11···O2 | 0.92 (3) | 2.44(3) | 2.766(4) | 101(2) |

Table 2: Hydrogen bonding interactions in the crystal of salt – I and II (Å, °)
Symmetry codes: (i) -1/2+x,1/2-y,1/2+z ; (ii) 1/2-x,1/2+y,1/2-z; (iii) 1/2+x,1/2-y,1/2+z; (iv) 1-x,1-y,-z, (v) -x,1-y,1-z; (vi)1-x,2-y,1-z

The asymmetric unit of salt (II) contains a 2-amino-4-methoxy-6-methylpyrimidinium cation and a 5-chlorosalicylate anion (Fig. 1). The hydroxyl group of the 5-chlorosalicylic acid is deprotonated and proton-transferred to the nitrogen atoms of 2-aminopyrimidine moieties [18, 23, 49, 55]. In the cation, one of the pyrimidine nitrogen atoms (N1) is protonated and this is confirmed from the increase of bond angle at N1 [C2–N1–C1: 121.7 (2)°], and this angle is comparable with the unprotonated atom N2 [C4–N2–C1: 116.17(19)°] and this also further confirmed from the corresponding reported bond angle of neutral 2-amino-4-methoxy-6-methylpyrimidine [17, 18], which is significantly high [116.0(18)°]. An intramolecular O4–H4···O3 hydrogen bond in the 5-chlorosalicylic acid anion generates a S(6) ring motif [56, 57].

The protonated N1-atom and the nitrogen atom of the 2-amino group (N3) are hydrogen-bonded to the carboxylate oxygen atoms (O2 and O3) via a pair of intermolecular N1–H13···O2(i) and N3–H3B···O3(i) hydrogen bonds (symmetry code: (i) -x,1-y,1-z) forming a ring motif R2(8) [18, 56]. The main motif is assembled via complementary hydrogen bonding interaction between the carboxylic acid and the amino pyrimidine moiety to form a dimeric unit (Fig. S20) [49]. Adjacent dimeric units are connected through self-complementary secondary N–H···N hydrogen bonds to form a four component supramolecular networks. Thus, the hydrogen bonds, O–H···N, N–H···O and N–H···N motif combine to form a linear heterotetramer (LHT) motif [49]. This motif is further connected through N–H···N hydrogen bonds involving N2 atom of 2-amino 4-methoxy 6-methyl pyrimidinium cations generating a supramolecular chain (Fig. S21). The C–Cl···π [C10–Cl···Cg(1)iii distance of 3.856(3) Å; symmetry code: (iii) -1 + x,y,z] type of interaction is also further stabilize the crystal structure (Fig. S22).

### 3.3 Hirshfeld surface analysis

The three-dimensional d$_{norm}$ surface is a useful tool for analyzing and visualizing the intermolecular interactions, as it shows negative or positive values depending on whether an intermolecular contact is shorter or longer, respectively, than the sum of the van der Waals radii [18]. The d$_{norm}$ surface of the salt (I) is shown in Fig. 4, the red points, which represent closer contacts and negative d$_{norm}$ values correspond to the N–H···O, O–H···O, O–H···N and C–H···O interactions. The two-dimensional fingerprint plots from the Hirshfeld surface analysis (Fig. 5) provide information about the intermolecular contacts and their percentage of distributions on the Hirshfeld surface. The intermolecular interactions of the salt (I & II) are quantified by using Hirshfeld surface analysis. The mapping of $d_s$, $d_e$, shape index and curvedness are shown in S24. Figure 5 bar-diagram indicates the contribution of inter-contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces, H···H (46.1% & 37.1%), N···H (9.6% & 4.5%), C···H (14.3%,14.9%), O···H (26.6% & 18.0), H···Cl (11.9%) and others (C···C, N···N, C···O, C···Cl ; 3.4 & 13.6%). The important interaction is highlighted by conventional mapping of d$_{norm}$ on molecular Hirshfeld surfaces as shown in Fig. 4. Hirshfeld surface analyses of synthon, the crystal packing of salts were confirmed by light red spots on the d$_{norm}$ surfaces.
of two salts. Further, inter-contacts are plotted with fingerprint plots (Fig. 5). The Fig. 5(a) shows large surfaces for all inter-contacts, Fig. 5(c) shows large surface for H···H interatomic contacts, the N···H contact plot is shown in Fig. 5(d) and the Fig. 5(e) shows the presence of O···H contact with the two characteristic wings and the “butterflies” are identified as a consequence of C–H interactions reveals the information of intermolecular hydrogen bonding. The fingerprint plot studies have been characterized the non-covalent interaction and their reactive proportions to the present organic salt molecules.

### 3.4 Interaction energy calculation

To understand the geometric and electronic relationship between the structure of molecules and to predictive structure-property relationship in crystal engineering, energy frameworks offer a powerful path to visualize the supramolecular architecture of molecular crystal structures. The successful calculation of interaction energies with color-coded molecular crystals was performed for both salts (I&II); the values are tabulated (Table S10 & S11). The total energies of all interacting molecules with respect to corresponding reference molecule along with the different symmetry operation and centroid-centroid distance. In the salt I, the total energy for the hetrosynthon is -52.7 kJ/mol and for the homosynthon, the value is -20.5 kJ/mol. Whereas in the salt II, the total energy is -36.2 kJ/mol and - 68.3 kJ/mol for the hetero and homosynthon respectively. On comparing the salts, the total energy values clearly confirm that, in the crystal phase, the salts are forming strong hydrogen bonding interactions. In the energy framework, the strength of intermolecular interactions is directly correlated to the radii of the color-coded cylinders. Figure 6 shows, the energy frameworks of the salt I and II were generated for a cluster of 3×3×3-unit cells to understand the overall topology of the energy distribution in the solid-state phase. In short, by using NCIPLOT the reduced density gradient is plotted as the function of the density (mapped as isosurfaces) over the molecule of interest. Fig S25 shows the sign of the second Hessian eigen value times the electron density (sign of $\lambda^2\rho$ in atomic units) enables the identification of attractive/stabilizing or repulsive interactions (Salt–I:-105.90; Salt –II:-114.01 kcal/mole). Overall, the interacting energy topologies of the molecules are concluded that these interacting energies are playing crucial role in the assembly of the molecules in the solid state and in the crystal engineering.

### 3.5 Topological properties

The QTAIM (Bader's quantum theory of atoms in molecules) analysis is a powerful tool to understand the nature of chemical bonding, reactive nature and intermolecular interactions of the molecular system at electronic level. The topological parameters such as electron density, Laplacian of electron density of both salts obtained from the wave function have carried out to understand the stability of the molecule when it forms together. To visualize the lone pair position and charge accumulation of the salt molecules, deformation electron density map of both salts were plotted, it displays the lone pair position of O-atom of C=O group (Fig. 7a). Similarly, the Laplacian of electron density (Fig. 7b) reveals the charge concentration/depletion at the bond critical point of chemical bonds. On comparing the electronic level information of both salt molecules, the electron density and Laplacian of electron density of C2–C3 bond is found higher and C2–C5 is lesser than the other C–C bonds in the salt molecules [C2–C3: $\rho_{bcp}$ : 2.283$^1$/2.223$^2$ eÅ$^{-3}$; $\nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ : -24.492$^1$/-23.213$^2$ eÅ$^{-5}$ & C2–C5: $\rho_{bcp}$ : 1.735$^1$/1.731$^2$ eÅ$^{-3}$; $\nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ : ]
And, the $\rho_{bcp} \& \nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ of N–N and C–O bonds of both salt molecules exhibit acceptable values; the C9–N4 and C6–O1 bonds are carrying less electron densities [C9–N4: $\rho_{bcp} : 2.089^I$ eÅ$^{-3}$; $\nabla^2 \rho_{bcp} : -21.651^I$ eÅ$^{-5}$ & C6–O1: $\rho_{bcp} : 1.545^I/1.598^II$ eÅ$^{-3}$; $\nabla^2 \rho_{bcp} : -3.969^I/-2.723^II$ eÅ$^{-5}$]. Interestingly, all the C–H bonds possess high electron density and Laplacian of electron density values; Moreover, the $\rho_{bcp} \& \nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ of N–H [N1–H13: $\rho_{bcp} : 2.725^I/2.61^II$ eÅ$^{-3}$] bonds are very high which clearly indicates the completion of charge transfer.

The intermolecular interactions between group of salts (I and II) were analyzed to find the strength and type of interactions. In both salt molecules, the N–H···O and O–H···O type of strong hydrogen bonding intra/intermolecular interactions were observed; in which, the proton of H13 was transferred from the acid O3 atom to base N1 atom. The topological parameters of these intermolecular interactions help us to understand the stability of the cofomers. The electron density and Laplacian of electron density of N2–H13···O bond of salt I is 0.267 eÅ$^{-3}$ and 3.146 eÅ$^{-5}$; whereas in the salt II, the $\rho_{bcp} \& \nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ of N1–H13···O2 is 0.343 eÅ$^{-3}$ and 3.676 eÅ$^{-5}$ respectively. These are almost similar to the reported experimental values. Moreover, the acid of both salts are forming strong intra-molecular hydrogen bonding, the $\rho_{bcp} \& \nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$ of O4–H4···O3 bond is found to higher than the other interactions [O4–H4···O3: $\rho_{bcp} : 0.426^I/0.320^II$ eÅ$^{-3}$ & $\nabla^2 \rho_{bcp} : 3.78^I/3.969^II$ eÅ$^{-5}$]. The small electron density and positive Laplacian of electron density confirms that the interactions are closed-shell interaction. Furthermore, the kinetic energy, potential energy, total energy and dissociation energy of these interactions were calculated and summarized in the Table 3. Figure 7c shows the position of bcp of respective bonds in the molecule, in which the bcp of homo atomic bonds are lies at the middle of the bonds, whereas in hetero atomic bonds, this is not true as it is deviated largely from the middle of the bond. The topological properties of electron density ($\rho_{bcp} \& \nabla^2 \rho_{bcp}$) at the bond critical point (bcp) provide information about the nature and strength of intermolecular interactions.
Table 3
Topological Properties of the Electron Density for the selected intermolecular interactions in Salt (I & II)

| D-H⋯A  | H⋯A (Å) | ρ(rcp) (eÅ⁻³) | ∇²ρ(rcp) (eÅ⁻⁵) | G(r) (a.u) | V(r) (a.u) | H(r) (a.u) | E(r) (a.u) | De (KJ/Mol) |
|--------|---------|---------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| **Salt-I** |
| N3-H3A⋯O2 | 1.88(2) | 0.207 | 2.514 | 0.048 | -0.070 | -0.022 | -0.035 | 92.17 |
| N1-H13⋯O3 | 1.78(2) | 0.267 | 3.146 | 0.069 | -0.105 | -0.036 | -0.052 | 137.73 |
| O4-H4⋯O3 | 1.59(3) | 0.426 | 3.78 | 0.129 | -0.218 | -0.089 | -0.109 | 286.22 |
| **Salt-II** |
| N3-H3B⋯O3 | 1.99 | 0.162 | 2.244 | 0.036 | -0.049 | -0.012 | -0.024 | 63.84 |
| N1-H13⋯O2 | 1.68(4) | 0.343 | 3.676 | 0.097 | -0.156 | -0.059 | -0.078 | 204.14 |
| O4-H4⋯O3 | 1.71(4) | 0.32 | 3.969 | 0.091 | -0.141 | -0.050 | -0.070 | 184.97 |

Electrostatic potential of both salts (I and II) were calculated to understand the characteristic regions of positive (attracting the nucleophiles) and negative (attracting electrophiles) potentials of the cofomers of salt molecules which were clearly visible and well separated. In which, the vicinity of electronegative potential are shown on the acid group of both salts (Fig. 7d). The obtained energy from the HOMO and LUMO of both salts used to determine the band gap and various reactivity descriptors such as electron affinity (A), ionization potential (I), global hardness (\(\Xi\)), electrophilicity (\(\omega\)) and electronegativity (\(\chi\)) shown in Table 4. The LUMO→ HOMO, \(\Delta E = 2.61\) & \(3.23\)eV, The LUMO + 1→ HOMO-1, \(\Delta E = 4.04\) & \(5\)eV and LUMO + 2→ HOMO-2, \(\Delta E = 6.36\) & \(6.26\) eV (salt I & II) values are respectively. The Gradient trajectories are originated at the atomic centers and terminated at the bcp. The thick solid lines represent the zero-flux surfaces of atoms in molecules, which defines the boundary of the atomic basin (Fig. 7e).
Table 4
Molecular descriptors of Molecule Salt I & II.

| Molecular descriptors                  | Salt – I | Salt – II |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Energy (eV)                           | 2.079    | 2.188     |
| Electron affinity                     | A=[-E_{LUMO}] |          |
| Ionization potential                  | I=[-E_{HOMO}] |        |
| Global hardness                       |      1.304 | 1.618     |
| Electro chemical Potential            | µ=-(I + A)/2 |      -3.383 | -3.806 |
| Electrophilicity                      | ω=µ^2/2 |          |          |
| Electronegativity                     | χ=(I + A)/2 | 3.383 | 3.806 |
| HOMO energy                           |        -4.686 | -5.424   |
| LUMO energy                           |        -2.079 | -2.188   |
| Band Gap                              |        =E_{LUMO}-E_{HUMO} | 2.607 | 3.236 |

4. Conclusions

In both crystal structures of salt molecules, one of the pyrimidine N atoms was protonated which was confirmed from the ΔpKa values, molecular and topological analysis. In general, apart from the hydrogen bonding interactions, it was identified that the molecular arrangements of both salts (I) and (II) also stabilized by ionic interactions, and the hydrogen bonds, O – H···N, N – H···O and N – H···N motif combine to form a linear heterotetramer (LHT) motif supramolecular packing. The salt (II) form LHT was high supramolecular yield implicates the reliability of this synthon. In the study of Hirshfeld surface analysis was carried out for the purpose of studying the nature of the intermolecular contacts and their quantitative contributions to the supramolecular assembly of salt molecules. In conclusion, our investigation showed that, for 4-amino salicylic acid and 5-chlorosalicylic acid in combination with pyrimidine derivatives are formed distinct synthon. The salts (I & II) are represented by the graph-set
notations (8), (8) and (8). An intramolecular O – H···O hydrogen bond in the salicylic acid anion generates a S(6) ring motif. These findings might help to design new two salts and possible networks of salt molecules by using such synthons as the building blocks. The calculation of energy frameworks shows the topology of overall interaction energy between the constituents of the crystal. ESP study gives the preferable electron donor and acceptor regions. The energy gap values of the investigated salts are found very high, this result confirms the good reactivity of salt (I & II). The experimental result confirms that the hydrogen bonding interactions play an important role in the assembly of high dimensional supramolecular architectures.
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