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ABSTRACT
This paper uses Twitter as a microblogging platform to link hashtags, which relate the message to a topic that is shared among users, to Wikidata, a central knowledge base of information relying on its members and machine bots to keeping its content up to date. The data is stored in a highly structured format, with the added SPARQL Protocol And RDF Query Language (SPARQL) endpoint to allow users to query its knowledge base.

Our research, designs and implements a process to stream live Twitter tweets and to parse existing Wikidata revision XML files provided by Wikidata. Furthermore, we identify if a correlation exists between the top Twitter hashtags and Wikidata revisions over a seventy-seven-day period. We have used statistical evaluation tools, such as ‘Jaccard Ratio’ and ‘Kolmogorov-Smirnov’ to investigate a significant statistical correlation between Twitter hashtags and Wikidata revisions over the studied period.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Information on the World Wide Web is available through home computers and mobile phones, and with continuous advancements in technology, people have become increasingly more electronically connected. Along with this information, there has come many powerful innovation services facilitating both how people access information and how they connect with one another. Social networking sites, such as Twitter and Facebook have evolved alongside wiki-sites containing huge amounts of information, such as Wikidata and Wikipedia. However, the broad variation of platforms makes it hard to determine whether, and how, current trends and topics are cross-related and whether what information a user consumes depends on the platform. Therefore, the aim of our research is not only to implement a system for streaming tweets and parsing Wikidata revisions, but also to investigate correlations of trends.

There are two main parts in our paper. The first part extracts the data from both Twitter and Wikidata. Twitter data are tweets posted by individuals consisting of hashtags, URLs, plain text and user names. The focus of this study will look at Twitter hashtags for comparison. Wikidata like Wikipedia is an encyclopedia of information [9] [16] which has evolved over time through authors continually revising the data to keep the information current. A revision is considered any one of insert, delete or substitution of data to an article [13]. This data is cleaned and prepared for comparison with Wikidata revision article titles. The top Wikidata revision articles and Twitter hashtags are identified over a seventy-seven-day period.

The second part of the paper compares the Wikidata revisions and Twitter hashtags to identify if a correlation exists between the hashtags posted and Wikidata revisions made. Statistical formulae, Kolmogorov-Smirnov & Jaccard’s Ratio, will compare the text-ranked results from each group to determine if a statistically significant correlation exists. Visualisation analytics will be used to provide insight into the results of the Twitter trends and Wikidata revisions over the studied period.

The main research objective is to determine if trending topics in the English language Wikidata, identified by the title of the most frequently edited pages, show a statistically significant correlation to the real-time streaming data top-trending hashtags on Twitter, over the studied period, using the statistical analysis tools ‘Jaccard Ratio’ and ‘Kolmogorov-Smirnov’. The research question and research hypothesis aim to support the objective defined as:

- Research Question: Is there a correlation between Wikidata revisions and trending topics hashtags on Twitter?
- Null hypothesis (H0): a correlation does not exist between Wikidata revisions and trending hashtags on Twitter.
- Alternative hypothesis (H1): a correlation exists between Wikidata revisions and trending hashtags on Twitter.

This research incorporates both primary and secondary methods. Initially, secondary research was conducted on existing literature which examined studies focused on Wikidata and Twitter data processing and analysis. It provided insight on both the current techniques for processing and analysing data and on the statistical analysis methods for text comparisons. Primary research was
conducted through streaming live twitter data over the studied period, where the hashtag lists within each tweet were extracted for analysis. Secondary research also incorporated extracting revisions from Wikidata downloads that were used for further analysis. An experimental research method has been used on both sets of data to quantify whether a statistically significant correlation exists.

This project has four main objectives that will test the hypothesis:

- To retrieve streamed Twitter data, extracting its hashtag items per tweet. The data will be cleaned. Up to four n-grams will be applied and the data will then be ranked based on the volume of tweets over the study period.
- To extract Wikidata page details and revision data from Mediawiki data dumps and, using the SPARQL API endpoint, to retrieve the individual revision page titles. The data will then be cleaned by removing all spaces before counting and ranking the number of page titles based on the number of revisions occurring per page title over the studied period.
- To identify if a statistically significant correlation exists between the top revised Wikidata pages and the top trending hashtags on Twitter. The statistical techniques to be used in identifying the presence of correlation are Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov.
- To provide additional insights into the data results, using bar graphs for visualisation.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 contains details of related work and examined existing research in the areas of Wikidata and Twitter data processing. Section 3 summarises the three phases of the Design and Implementation process of this work. Section 4 discusses the Results and Evaluation of the experiment, testing the research hypothesis and examines the strengths and weaknesses of the results and evaluation. Finally, Section 5 contains the Conclusion, summarising the results found and examining exciting areas of future work that could be completed.

2 RELATED WORK

Trending topics are the most popular talked about items at any point in time over a social media network [18]. As events are more frequently talked about, they become more popular for a period of time where it then peaks and falls. There are a number of areas to be considered when deciding on the approach to use for trend analysis. The data studied may be streamed or static data and may even be a combination of both. The data to be used in the study impacts which Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques are selected, varying depending on whether the data is structured or unstructured. In addition, the data selected for analysis determines which statistical measures are best suited in identifying text similarity. The following section will examine previous research completed in these areas.

Microblogging sites are a platform used by individuals to share information and voice opinions on any topic, such as current events, products or services. Real-time analysis of social media data is increasingly studied due to the use of social media in sharing information and connecting people, assisting companies to make decisions [11] and gain insight into their customers’ views on their products to help improve such products [20]. There is a large amount of unstructured data available today on microblogging sites like Twitter, review sites and information articles. There are two hundred million members which produce approximately four hundred million tweets daily, [19] sharing their thoughts, views and opinions [10]. In recent years there have been many studies completed on Twitter data for analysis in areas such as, predicting stock behaviour [15]; book recommendations from twitter feeds [4]; sentiment analysis [1] [11]; burstiness [2]; longevity of trending topic with predictions [18]; and trend identification [6].

Wikidata launched in 2012 as a knowledge base of the Wikimedia foundation, storing its knowledge in the structured format of subject-predicate-object statements [12] organized and structured into pages [8]. Wikidata content is language independent supporting four-hundred-and-ten languages [14]. “The data model of Wikidata is based on a directed, labelled graph where entities are connected by edges that are labelled properties.” [5]. There are two types of entities including items and properties. Each item entity has a page relating to a subject area, for example, a city, person or a university where its data can be entered, edited or viewed [8].

Full streaming of twitter data is used in studies, such as trend identification [15], [6], [23] and sentiment analysis [20], and will be used within this study. The approach to retrieving data from Twitter has varied across studies including examining historic data by topic [18], [1], as well as streaming the data by topic [24], [4]. In one study, streaming twitter data by the topic over a ten-month period monitoring lifetime of trending topics found, if a topic had six hundred or more tweets each day in the first week it would last a month, and how positive and negative sentiments impacted whether they would trend for more than one month [18]. Twitter provides a Streaming API that allows for the collection of publicly available tweets and this approach will be used to retrieve Twitter data. Wikidata dump files are made available through their website and come in a number of forms. The full Wikidata revision information can be downloaded and the SPARQL endpoint API can be used to extract additional information. SPARQL is a powerful API to access linked data collections that allow for retrieval of precise and insightful information in to the data [5].

There are a number of statistical analysis techniques to be considered when comparing text lists. When considering the statistical measures, the list characteristics are an important consideration. In the case of trend lists, in this study they are non-conjoined lists, where the lists may have different items within their lists. The lists are top-weighted, therefore, the top items of the list are more important than the lower ranked items and indefinite ranking will not be considered where a percentage of items will be examined. The following studies look at list similarity using statistical techniques:

- A study completed examining the correlations of search engine results URL’s included Jaccard Ratio similarity distribution measure with different sizes for set similarity that included both with and without confidence levels, find a low overlap of two major search engines where 80% of queries had less than three search engine overlaps [7].
- In a study examining the likeness of Wikipedia pages for near duplicate detection Jaccard’s similarity measure was used with a finding of a large amount of duplication within the Wikipedia page content [21].
Use of Jaccard Coefficient to determine the association between words was implemented in the language Python where it was found to be performing well when measuring the similarity of words [17].

"Weighted Kendall’s Tau is the number of swaps we would perform during the bubble sort in such a way to reduce one permutation to the other" [7] however this does not apply to this research as we do not have the same items in each list.

Visualisation is a frequently used technique to display and explain results in a visual format and includes representation of data in formats such as a word cloud for visual representation of most frequent words, [11]; Time Series to show trends over time [4]; [3]; moving average to show the tweet rate [4]; and analysis bar graphs [6].

3 IMPLEMENTATION

This chapter details the design, implementation and statistical analysis performed to identify if a correlation exists between Twitter hashtags and Wikidata revisions. The overall process has been split into three phases as outlined in Figure 1, where the details of each phase’s implementation and processing details are outlined.

In phase one, data is streamed from Twitter and its hashtags are extracted and cleaned, applying n-grams before determining the top hashtags tweeted over a seventy-seven-day period. Secondly, for the same period, the Wikidata revisions are extracted from its available data dumps. The Wikidata titles are retrieved using SPARQL, identifying the top revision pages. Finally, statistical comparisons are completed on the top hashtags and Wikidata revisions to identify if a correlation exists. The edit-distance statistics will calculate the similarity between the text items in each list and a statistically significant correlation will be determined on the overall similarity of the text lists. The results are displayed through visualisation techniques.

3.1 Twitter Data Mining

During phase one, Twitter data is streamed to identify the top trending tweets by hashtag. The Twitter real-time data is accessed through its Streaming API using tokens OAuth to ensure secure Authorization data requests. The Streaming API returns the data and notifications in real-time from its public stream result in a JSON format [15].

3.1.1 Storing the Data. The data is stored in JSON format files. The full tweets are retrieved where they contain at least one hashtag (#) and are of locale English where they are stored in batches, with file name labels based on date and time of file creation. When large numbers of tweets were stored in files it was found that the process slowed down, therefore batches were created of five-hundred per file.

3.1.2 Tweet Structure. The entity item hashtag list ‘text’ values, stored in JSON format, are extracted from the tweet and stored in a .CSV of five-thousand tweets per file for further cleaning and processing. For example, the hashtag ‘Florida’ is extracted from the hashtag list:

```json
"entities":{  "hashtags":[
    {"text":"Florida","indices":[80,88]},
    {"url":"https://t.co/Z9KsO6nhB","expanded_url":"https://twitter.com/i/web/status/112821872926777345","display_url":"twitter.com/i/web/status/..."}],
    "indices":[117,140]},
    "user_mentions":[]
    "symbols":[]}
```

3.1.3 Cleaning the Tweet. For each hashtag text extracted, all non-ASCII characters are removed, where only a-z characters remain. This includes removing foreign language characters, numerical data, punctuation etc. For example, hashtags like "text":"trump2020" is updated to ‘trump’ removing the digits ‘2020’. The tweet hashtags were split in to words for further processing.

3.1.4 Removing Stop Words from the Tweet. The remaining tweet text is updated to lower case. Stop words are removed using ‘nltk.corpus’ of the English language. All tweets that are less than two characters are omitted from further processing.

3.1.5 Applying n-grams to the Tweet. Firstly, an n-grams pre-processing step was added to split large hashtags containing five or more words in to smaller groupings of words. For example, if a hashtag contained five words it is split in to three words and two words where, as outlined in the next steps, n-grams are applied.

This process applied n-grams up to 4-grams to each of the extracted tweets as follows:
The full hashtag has been split into words where in the first sample 1-grams is applied to the full Twitter hashtag corpus. This involves taking any split hashtag with more than one word and splitting it into individual words for processing. The process applies 2-grams to each of the applicable extracted tweets as follows. One-word hashtags are included, and two-word hashtags are included. For all hashtags greater than two, the hashtag is split and added for additional processing. This process required, in the case of a three-word hashtag, a twofold process. Firstly, the first two words and the third word are extracted and added and secondly, that the first word and the last two words are extracted and added. In the case of a four-word hashtag, the first two words and second two words were added.

The process applies 3-grams to each of the applicable extracted tweets as follows. One-word up to three-word hashtags are included without change. For all hashtags greater than three, the hashtag is split and added for additional processing. This process required, in the case of a five-word hashtag, a twofold process. Firstly, that the first three words and the last two words are extracted and added and secondly, that the first two words and the last three words are extracted and added. In the case of a six-word hashtag, the first three words and the last three words were added.

### 3.1.6 Counting the Tweets

For all tweets collected, a count of each tweet occurring in the data set is stored in a .CSV file for further processing (see Figure 2).

### 3.2 Wikidata Mining and Understanding

In phase two, the English language Wikidata files containing full revision history are downloaded, parsed, and prepared for analysis as detailed below.

#### 3.2.1 Wikidata History Revision Files

The English language Wikidata compressed files containing full revision history are downloaded and parsed for analysis with a name format ‘Wikidata-date-stub-meta-history[num].xml’. These Wikidata dumps are released at regular intervals and available on the Wikidata site. The selected revision files for this study contained the required revision information with minimal page data, for example wikidatawiki-20190601-stub-meta-history1.xml.gz. The twenty-seven metadata history files from 1st of June 2019 were downloaded for revision analysis. These stub files contain the page and revision data without text content. These files contained the required revisions and were on average 1.8 GB each when compressed. When uncompressed these files were approximately 12 GB in size, except for the final file wikidatawiki-20190601-stub-meta-history27.xml.gz, with a total size of 15.7 GB when compressed and approximately 78 GB when uncompressed. This final file contains all the revisions since the previous release of the wiki-media-history files containing a larger volume of data to the other twenty-six files. This is the intended design of revision output by Wikidata with this final file continuing to grow where other files should not [22]. Once the files were decompressed the revision data per page were ready to be extracted from each XML file as detailed in the next section.

#### 3.2.2 Wikidata Download Process

The basic structure of a page revision is shown in Figure 3 containing the page details and its related revisions outline.

The revision history metadata file consists of many page elements and revision elements of relevance in this study. The page element `<page>` contains information about the Wikidata page with its sub elements revisions. This element is used to determine the start of the next page for its revisions to be considered. The sub elements of the page are as follows:

- The page title element `<title>` is the string representation of its identifier containing a number value. This is added to the output file as ‘pagetitle’.
- The element `<id>` represents the page identifier and is stored as ‘pageid’ in the output file.
- The parent identifier is the `<parentid>` element links the previous revision and is stored in the output as ‘parentid’.
- The timestamp element is the date the revision occurred and is stored in the output file as ‘timestamp’.
- The comment element contains the summary comment from the user when the revision was introduced and is stored as ‘comment’ in the output file.

Figure 4 shows a sample of revision data extracted from Wikidata history files where page elements ‘pageid’ and ‘pagetitle’ are
3.2.3 Wikidata Processing and Assumptions. Python has been used to parse the XML files to extract the Wikidata revision data into individual records within a .CSV file for additional processing. The attributes extracted per revision were 'pageid', 'pagetitle', 'label', 'revisionid', 'timestamp', 'comment' and 'parentid' for each revision after the data 15th March 2019, from when twitter data was streamed. The following assumptions have been made when processing this data:

Assumption 1: Items without a page identifier are omitted. There are a number of references in the Wikidata history files that do have a Q<id> defined and when retrieved via the SPARQL service from Wikidata, the page does not exist and returns an exception. For these values extracted per revision were 'pageid', 'pagetitle', 'label', 'revisionid', 'timestamp', 'comment' and 'parentid' for each revision after the data 15th March 2019, from when twitter data was streamed. The following assumptions have been made when processing this data:

Assumption 2: User items and contacts omitted. Entries such as 'user' or 'contact the developer' pages have also been omitted from this study. These entries do not have a page ID that can be retrieved by SPARQL and therefore have not relevance to the study and were omitted from the final analysis result.

3.2.4 Retrieving the Revision Article Title using SPARQL Endpoint. SPARQL is a powerful API with which to access linked data collections that allow for retrieval of precise and insightful information in to the knowledge graph of Wikidata linked data [5]. The revision page title is retrieved and stored per revision item by querying the SPARQL endpoint as shown below.

```
SELECT DISTINCT * WHERE {
  wd: ?pageid rdfs:label ?label.
  FILTER (langMatches(lang(?label),"EN"))
}
LIMIT 1
```

The following example returned from the Wikidata revision XML files contained the Q<id> value of Q5561905 (the identifier for the Technological University Dublin).

```
SELECT DISTINCT * WHERE {
  wd: Q5561905 rdfs:label ?label.
  FILTER (langMatches(lang(?label),"EN"))
}
LIMIT 1
```

3.2.5 Additional Wikidata Processing. Once the Wikidata XML files were parsed, a number of cleaning steps were then required as shown in Figure 5 below.

The non-ASCII characters were extracted from the Wikidata page titles and stop words were removed. This used the same process, English language 'ntlk' stop word corpus, that was applied to Twitter. To ensure the comparison with Twitter hashtag data was comparable, all spaces were also removed. Finally, the Wikidata revisions per page were counted to make them available for statistical analysis.
The statistical analysis process included applying Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov to a number of datasets formed on a percentage total of the full Twitter hashtags datasets in each n-grams and Wikidata page revisions. The language Python was used to implement Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov calculation functions executed against these datasets. The percentage of data examined included 0.1%, 10%, 50% and 100% of these datasets.

The volume of revision data collected from Wikidata was 1.8 GB and resulted in out-of-memory exceptions when attempting to run the Kolmogorov-Smirnov against the full dataset. As a result, the lowest frequently items of less than four occurrences were removed from the Wikidata dataset so that the process could be successfully run. As outlined in Figure 6, the total number of unique revisions, once ordered by the most frequent and counted in the full Wikidata dataset, is 1,867,281 unique pages. This was reduced to 270,135 unique pages, equating to 14.5% of the Wikidata unique pages. This was reduced to 270,135 unique pages, equating to 14.5% of the Wikidata unique page revisions.

Initially, the data was analysed using the statistical tool Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov with 100% of the data but, when significant correlation was not found between Wikidata page revisions and Twitter hashtag frequencies, the lower percentage multiples of each data set were also examined. Figure 6 shows the breakdown of the number of both Wikidata items and Twitter hashtag for 100%, 50%, 10% and 0.1% of each dataset. Each counted item in the percentage groupings were counted based on frequency of occurrence. Therefore, each relate to unique references of both the Twitter hashtags and Wikidata pages.

Initially, the data was analysed using the statistical tool Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov with 100% of the data but, when significant correlation was not found between Wikidata page revisions and Twitter hashtag frequencies, the lower percentage multiples of each data set were also examined. Figure 6 shows the breakdown of the number of both Wikidata items and Twitter hashtag for 100%, 50%, 10% and 0.1% of each dataset. Each counted item in the percentage groupings were counted based on frequency of occurrence. Therefore, each relate to unique references of both the Twitter hashtags and Wikidata pages.

3.4.1 Kolmogorov-Smirnov

Kolmogorov-Smirnov is a measure of distribution similarity with a range of [0 - 2] where 2 indicates no similarity and 1 indicates the same distribution. A statistic value is used to determine if the two samples of Wikidata pages and Twitter hashtag frequencies exist in each list [7]. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic value is the probability of the null hypothesis. Where the p-value is less than the significance level of 5% (0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected that both sets of data are from the same distribution, and the alternative hypothesis is accepted.

3.4.2 Jaccard’s Ratio

The statistical measure Jaccard’s Similarity is a statistical hypothesis test used to evaluate the similarity between unordered sets containing a list of items. The Jaccard’s Ratio (similarity) statistical measure was introduced in 1901 and is used to determine set similarity between the two trend lists with a range of [0 - 1], where 0 represents no similarity and 1 indicates the same items exist in each list [7]. Jaccard’s similarity is the total number of items shared (intersection) across both datasets, divided by the total number of items in both datasets (union), to determine the similarity between the sample sets. The items in both lists are unique to the individual list. As a frequency count of both the Twitter hashtags and Wikidata revisions step has been completed as part of the data processing, all words in each dataset used to calculate Jaccard’s similarity are unique. An additional statistical measure Jaccard’s distance is also used within the study to measure dissimilarity between sets. This value is calculated as 1 minus Jaccard’s coefficient.

3.5 Visualisation Statistics

The data evaluation process takes an in-depth look at the results by examining visualisations of key areas in the data. Visualisations were implemented using the language R and Python ‘mapplot’. The IDE RStudio with the R language was used to create word-cloud charts for the most frequently used Twitter hashtags and Wikidata pages, based on revision frequencies for the studied period. The Python ‘mapplot’ package was used to create bar charts, giving insight in to the frequency of top trending Twitter hashtags and Wikidata page revisions, as well as to create clusters showing statistical analysis output.

3.6 Data availability, Project Links and Datasets

Using streamed Twitter data¹ meant being confined to the API limit restrictions made available through the Twitter Streaming API. While Twitter provides an enterprise Power Track API for paying customers, this resource cost could not be waived for this research project. The wikidata meta-data-history XML files² containing page revision details could only be parsed³ after the live streaming of twitter data had completed and the revision XML files⁴ were made available by wikimedia. The project ‘TwitterWikidata’ implementation code can be accessed on GitHub⁵.

4 EVALUATION

This section examines and discusses the results found from the statistical tools Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov, which use quantitative techniques to identify if a significant correlation exists between the top Wikidata revisions and Twitter hashtag

³ https://dumps.wikimedia.org/wikidatawiki/
⁴ https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/13FnnsSskViiKNjtw99WB9WBrpujH
⁵ https://github.com/D01110788/TwitterWikidata

---

| Wikidata | Total | 100% | 50% | 10% | 0.1% |
|---------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|
|         |       |      |     |     |      |

| Twitter | Total | 100% | 50% | 10% | 0.1% |
|---------|-------|------|-----|-----|------|
|         |       |      |     |     |      |

Figure 6: Page numbers analysed for Wikidata revisions and Twitter hashtags
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4.1 List Characteristics

When determining how to measure correlation between two lists of strings, the list characteristics must be considered. The Twitter hashtag words and Wikidata page lists both have the following characteristics:

- The lists contain string characters only.
- The trend lists are non-conjoined lists where one list does not cover all elements in the second list.
- The lists are top weighted where the top of the list is more important than the tail, ranked by the items occurring most frequently. For Twitter hashtags this relates to the number of times the hashtag occurred in tweets and for Wikidata this relates to the number of revisions applied to a page.
- The top percentage of items from each list are then evaluated, therefore the evaluation will not consider indefinite ranking.

4.2 Visualisation of the Data

This section examines views of the data through visualisation charts. Firstly, a bar graph outlined in Figure 7, shows the total number of unique words and combined words broken down by n-grams applied to hashtags once split. This gives an insight in to the volume of unique items processed per n-grams grouping without considering the frequency of each tweet item.

Figure 8 shows the total number of unique Wikidata articles collected based on the start date of Twitter data collection. This number of unique Wikidata revision pages processed is also shown, where 270,135 unique pages for the study together with their frequency were processed to allow for Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistical formula to be run successfully.

This equates to 14.5% of the total unique Wikidata pages collected without considering the frequency that were used in the study. All further references to 100% of Wikidata data will relate to the revised dataset containing 270,135 unique Wikidata pages.

4.2.1 Wikidata Visualisation. Firstly, examining the top Wikidata revision pages we can see some topical items appeared in the top twenty results. Item two ‘nursultan’ and item six ‘kleinerbriefkasten’ of the top 20 relate to renaming of the Kazakhstan capital city from Astana to Nursultan in honour of its outgoing leader, topical at the end of March 2019. This gives a sense that the data is current and relevant to the time period the data was collected. What is surprising from the top twenty items, is the number of countries that appeared in the top twenty revised items in Wikidata where there have not been any major incidents occurring.

4.2.2 Twitter Visualisation. It can be seen from examining the top twenty hashtags across all 4-grams the ‘bbm stop social’ is the top hashtag. In the case of 1-grams ‘bbm’, ‘social’ and ‘stop’ are the top trend words. This relates to the termination of the Blackberry messenger application for Android and iOS on May 31st, 2019 during the period of study. There is a number of trends in the top twenty items showing the data is relevant and topical to the period of study. This includes the popular television series ‘Game of Thrones’ appearing as the ninth ‘game’, the tenth ‘thrones’, and the fourteenth ‘got’ most popular item in 1-grams. This television show aired its season eight during the period of study.

When the top twenty hashtags for 2-grams was examined the results shown in 1-grams is reflected. The Blackberry messenger application termination hashtags ‘bbmstop’ and ‘stopsocial’ feature as the top two Twitter hashtag items with the television show ‘gamethrones’ ranked as the ninth ‘game’, the tenth ‘thrones’, and the fourteenth ‘got’ most popular item in 1-grams. This television show aired its season eight during the period of study.
The top twenty, 3-grams results are again reflective of the previous n-grams results with 37,302 tweets relating to the termination of the Blackberry messenger app and the TV show ‘Game of Thrones’ related tweets ranked as the third and fifth most popular hashtags over the time period. When examining 4-grams top ranked list, there is no difference in the top twenty output results where again termination of the Blackberry messenger app and the TV show ‘Game of Thrones’ related tweets ranked as the third and fifth most popular hashtags. This shows that the top trending hashtags were never greater than three words.

The n-grams visualizations show a consistency across all 4-grams where the termination of the Blackberry messenger application was the most tweeted hashtag across all n-grams. Also, consistently the television show ‘Game of Thrones’ is always high on the frequency list and is spread across a number hashtag entries. This supports the possibility of introducing a bespoke bag of words to allow combining of related tweets like ‘gameofthrones’ occurring 9145 times and ‘got’ occurring 8016 times as shown in figure 9, in to one related hashtag item because they relate to the same topic. Similarly, a bespoke translator could convert ‘bbm’ to ‘Blackberry messenger' for better comparison to Wikidata. A number of general words also included like ‘music’ and ‘fashion’ could be omitted from the study by the bespoke bag of words during cleaning for the twitter data.

When the Wikidata page items list was examined for ‘Game of Thrones’ related pages, three items were identified from the data extracted. These included revisions on the page ‘listofgameofthrones’ related tweets ranked as the third and fifth most popular hashtags over the time period. This shows that the top trending hashtags were never greater than three words.

When the Wikidata page items list was examined for ‘Game of Thrones’ related pages, three items were identified from the data extracted. These included revisions on the page ‘listofgameofthrones’ related tweets ranked as the third and fifth most popular hashtags over the time period. This shows that the top trending hashtags were never greater than three words.
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was calculated when 100% of the data was analysed. For remaining
distance values calculated they were all less than 0.04

4.3.2 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistical Measure. Kolmogorov-Smirnov
is a measure of distribution similarity with a range of [0 - 2] where
2 indicates input distribution are equal [7]. This test Kolmogorov-
Smirnov is a statistical hypothesis test, determining if the two samples
of Wikidata pages and Twitter hashtags come from the same distribution.
To evaluate the samples with Kolmogorov-Smirnov, the null hypothesis H0 and H1 hypothesis is defined without knowledge of its result. The null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis were defined in this study as follows:

- Null hypothesis (H0): a correlation does not exist between
  Wikidata revisions and trending hashtags on Twitter determined
  by 'Jaccard Ratio' and 'Kolmogorov-Smirnov'.
- Alternative hypothesis (H1): a correlation exists between
  Wikidata revisions and trending hashtags on Twitter determined
  by 'Jaccard Ratio' and 'Kolmogorov-Smirnov'.

Next, the data, in terms of probability, is examined to determine
if the hypothesis is rejected. A number closer to 0 indicates a like-
lihood the two samples are coming from the same distribution. If
the probability that the samples are from different distributions ex-
cedes a confidence level the original null hypothesis H0 is rejected and
so the two samples are from different distributions and thus
accepting the alternative hypothesis H1. To evaluate this, a statistic value is calculated using both datasets. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value was also calculated as part of this study used to determine the probability of the null hypothesis. If the p-value is greater than
the significance level of 5% (0.05) the null hypothesis is accepted. If
the p-value is less than the significance level of 5% (0.05) the null hypothesis is rejected. A low p-value means that the two samples
are significantly different. The results for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov
statistic and p-value are shown below in Table 2.

When the statistic value and p-value from the Kolmogorov-
Smirnov test are examined together, where a small statistic value
together with a high p-value then the hypothesis that the distrib-
utions of the two samples are the same cannot be rejected. From
the results we can see a high p-value across the majority of tested samples where its value is always greater than the 5% threshold of
0.05 as a result this supports the acceptance of the null hypothesis
that there is not a statistically significant correlation between Wiki-
data page revision frequencies and Twitter hashtags for the period
and data evaluated. There is one exception to this when datasets of
2-grams when tested with 100% of the data resulted in a p-value
of 0 that is slightly higher than the 0.0661 score calculated for the
dataset. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic p-values contained very
high levels across all datasets examined. An additional test was
completed against a sample of the data by reducing the dataset
lists to be of the same length where the Kolmogorov-Smirnov was
calculated but it was found reducing the lists to be the same size
did not impact the p-value result significantly.

While the outcome of this study rejects the alternative hypothesis
that a correlation exists between the data sets examined, improve-
ments identified during this study may have a positive impact on
the result. These main suggested improvements include:

- Increased processing power to allow statistical analysis cal-
culations to be run over large datasets. In this study the
Wiki data sample was reduced to 14% of the collected sample
to run the calculation Kolmogorov-Smirnov without memory
errors.
- Introduction of a bespoke bag of words may also improve
the results by removing slang words, noisy data words and
identifying similar meaning words so that they are combined.

4.4 Hypothesis Outcome

Having analysed Wikidata page titles of the most revised items
against Twitter trending hashtags using the statistical tools Jaccard’s Ratio and Kolmogorov-Smirnov, the null hypothesis (H0) is
accepted, and the alternative hypothesis (H1) has been rejected.
This result is based on having identified a high Jaccard’s distance
value, and a low Jaccard’s similarity value between both sets of
data across all data tests completed in the data. Additionally, when the data was examined with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov a high p-value was found together with a low statistic value across supporting acceptance of the null hypothesis.

5 DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

This study has examined Wikidata revisions page titles and streamed
Twitter trending hashtags over a seventy-seven-day period to iden-
ify if a correlation exists between both sets of data. The results from
this study have accepted the null hypothesis that a correlation
does not exist between Wikidata revisions and trending hashtags
on Twitter validated by the results from the statistical measures
Table 2: Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic and p-value results

| Test & % of data | 1-grams (100%) | 2-grams (100%) | 3-grams (100%) | 4-grams (100%) |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value (100%) | 5.7264e-181 | 0.0 | 2.4486e-320 | 3.5797e-318 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic (100%) | 0.0687 | 0.0661 | 0.0644 | 0.0648 |
| Test & % of data | 1-grams (50%) | 2-grams (50%) | 3-grams (50%) | 4-grams (50%) |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value (top 50%) | 1.1769e-102 | 8.2544e-172 | 3.6554e-154 | 3.0204e-103 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic (top 50%) | 0.0557 | 0.0551 | 0.0514 | 0.0521 |
| Test & % of data | 1-grams (10%) | 2-grams (10%) | 3-grams (10%) | 4-grams (10%) |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value (top 10%) | 1.3052e-25 | 3.9473e-83 | 7.8453e-78 | 2.8083e-78 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic (top 10%) | 0.0813 | 0.0867 | 0.0830 | 0.0643 |
| Test & % of data | 1-grams (0.1%) | 2-grams (0.1%) | 3-grams (0.1%) | 4-grams (0.1%) |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov p-value (top 0.1%) | 0.4183 | 0.4269 | 0.1520 | 0.4183 |
| Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic (top 0.1%) | 0.1294 | 0.0883 | 0.1185 | 0.1294 |

Jaccard Ratio’ and ‘Kolmogorov-Smirnov’. This work has included the mining of live streamed data for a seventy-seven-day period and parsing of Wikidata history revision XML files. There are many interesting areas where this work could either be extended or improved upon, that were not examined in this study because of limited access to data and time constraints. These are discussed below.

Improvements Through Data Availability: The volume of tweets studied relied on the available downloaded tweets through its publicly available Twitter Streaming API. However, if access was available to the enterprise Power Track API that is currently only available for paying customers this would allow access to a larger volume of steamed tweets to be used in the research.

Improvements Through Extending the Period Analysed: While the initial aim of this study was to download streamed data over a three-month period, the final study examined the tweet downloads over a seventy-seven-day period. Extending the corpus of tweets to the intended three-month period may increase the accuracy of this study; allow for improvement and alternative analysis with Wikidata; or analysis of other sources of available data, for example Wikipedia.

Extending the Techniques of Data Analysis: This work could be extended to include ‘like’ and ‘retweets’ per Twitter item. The impact of a trending hashtag can increase when a tweet is liked or retweeted by high profile individuals and could better identify correlations between trending hashtags and Wikidata revisions.

Creation of a bespoke bag of words to handle individual tweet parts containing slang words or abbreviations may also be added to the study to improve results accuracy.
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