Microclimate changes, photomorphogenesis and water consumption of *Moringa oleifera* cuttings under different light spectrums and exogenous phytohormone concentrations
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Abstract

*Moringa oleifera* is one of the most important plants in the world due to its multiple uses as well tolerance to abiotic stress. However, it may present low quality seedlings in protected environment under thermal and luminous stresses. The current study aimed to evaluate environmental microclimates changes; photomorphogenesis and water use of *M. oleifera* cuttings under light spectral and phytohormones variations, as well as to identify the most important variables to explain those effects. A completely randomized experimental design was used in a 4 x 4 factorial design with four replications. Four luminosity conditions, white (a transparent plastic layer), blue (two layers of blue cellophane paper), red (two layers of red cellophane paper), and extreme red light (one layer of red cellophane and one of alternating blue cellophane) and four phytohormones concentrations (0, 25, 50, and 100%) were applied. *M. oleifera* semi-woody cuttings were collected from 7 am to 9 am from the *M. oleifera* mother plants, grown on a rural property located in the city of Lagoa Seca, Paraíba, Brazil, with the following characteristics: 15 cm in length, 4 to 5 mm in diameter, and 2 or 3 buds. Throughout the experimental period, environments were monitored to determine illuminance, average air temperature, relative humidity, soil average temperature, and evapotranspired water consumption by cuttings. The numbers of shoots were recorded weekly. The number of surviving roots and shoots were measured 60 days after *M. oleifera* cuttings planting. Illuminance was reduced in environments under blue, red, and extreme red lights, respectively. The air temperature was reduced under blue light, while red and extreme red lights induced the increase on air temperature and relative humidity, soil temperature, and therefore, water consumption of cuttings. Protected environments when irradiated with blue light and application of phytohormones at concentrations of 25 and 50% enabled the shootings and survival of cuttings, although no root formation was observed after 60 days from planting. All variables were considered important to explain the effects.
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Introduction

In the last decades, world population, expansion of agricultural area, energy, and industrial sectors have been increasing significantly. Therefore, demand of natural resources, mainly water resources, has been rising as well. As a result, water scarcity has become a significant problem each year in a vast number of countries in the world (Zhang et al., 2019a). This scenario is remarkable in arid and semiarid regions, in which there is a poor spatial and temporal rainfall distribution and this behavior causes long periods of drought and extreme rainfalls, which directly affects water availability and supply for human consumption, development of agriculture, and the provision of ecosystem services (Mutti et al., 2019).
It is broadly known that foods production and consumption are related to the main environmental impacts such as greenhouse gas emissions (GGE), water pollution, and loss of biodiversity, which in the future, will be aggravated by increasing global demand for natural resources for food production and security (Azzurra et al., 2019). In this case, agricultural expansion requires plants with high added value with both food and energy potential such as *Moringa oleifera* Lamark., because agricultural activities are closely linked to the consumption of these natural resources, particularly, energy and water (Páramo-Calderón et al., 2019; Valenga et al., 2019).

Cultivation of *M. oleifera* looks extremely relevant, once this tree-structure plant is fast growing and belongs to Moringaceae family also known as tree of life due to its multiple applicability such as water purification, biopesticide, cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and medicinal properties. All these uses are assigned to the wide range of phytochemicals produced by it, becoming a very important plant for developing countries (Aekthammarat et al., 2019; Virk et al., 2019).

*M. oleifera* leaves and pods are important sources for human and animal food industries, mainly due to its high nutritional value, besides being able to provide food security and contribute to more sustainable agricultural practices and the development of rural areas, especially in the semiarid region of Northeast of Brazil (Domenico et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b).

The literature on the implications of light spectral variation and exogenous phytohormones in the budding of *M. oleifera* cuttings is fundamental, demanding the necessity investigations to understand these processes, because it is already known that variations in the light spectrum may influence plants (Long et al., 2018). Indeed, light spectrum is important to plant due to the fact that vegetal have specialized photoreceptors on perception of environmental light signal and transduction of signals for development of vital processes (Fukuda, 2019; Kong et al., 2019).

In relation to the luminosity condition, it is observed that light perception by these photoreceptors systems triggers a series of responses to plant acclimatization to shading by adjustment of its morphology, biochemistry and physiology. These acclimatization responses tend to improve the uptake and use of light under the shade, minimizing negative effects on plant growth (González et al., 2019). This information are essentials for providing theoretical basis for research about light influence on *M. oleifera* cuttings photomorphogenesis especially in semiarid regions with high light availability.

Light may modify phytohormones balance on vegetables influencing germination, growth, and development (Yang et al., 2018). In this context, acquisition of exogenous phytohormones is a promising strategy and it may be obtained from aqueous extract from tiririca tubers (*Cyperus rotundus* L.) because of expressive amount of indoleacetic acid (Cavalcante et al., 2018). It is worth pointing out that phytohormones are important to mitigate luminous, thermal, and water abiotic stress that occur in a protected environment at certain periods of the year (Aguilar-Camacho et al., 2019; Podlešáková et al., 2019).

The objective of this research was to evaluate environmental microclimatic changes, photomorphogenesis, and water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings under light spectrum variations and exogenous phytohormones concentrations, as well as to identify the most important variables to explain the interaction effects among the factors under study.

Results and Discussion

**Environmental microclimate changes**

Based on analysis of variance, we found that light conditions lead to significant differences to all assessed variables, while phytohormones had significant influence on average air temperature and water consumption. A significant interaction was observed between light conditions and phytohormones concentrations on water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings (Table 1).

All light conditions promoted different illuminance on protected environment, as descending order of white light illuminance (1107.25 lx) followed by red light (676.42 lx), extreme red (572.25 lx), and blue light (417.17 lx) (Fig 1A). The mean air temperature remained similar among light conditions with white, red, and extreme red lights, with averages of 37.77, 37.58, and 38.16 °C respectively, whereas under blue light, air average temperature was reduced to 34.75 °C (Fig 1B).

It is possible that the lowest illuminance observed on blue light environment has induced a better cuttings acclimatization on shoots and their subsequent survival, because blue light triggers photomorphogenic modifications to adapt plants to lower illuminance environment, especially the lower production of 'a' chlorophyll and an increase in 'b' chlorophyll contents, inducing weaker relation between these two photosynthetic pigments and consequently better interception of photosynthetically active radiation in low luminosity environments, as the one that occurred under blue light (Ma et al., 2014).

The results and relevance of this current investigation may be supported by Purquerio and Tivelli (2006), as these researchers reported that cultivation in a protected environment is a specialized agricultural technology, which enables edaphoclimatic conditions control such as: temperature, air humidity, radiation, soil, air, and atmospheric composition. These information are important to improve technological options for seedlings production and protected environment cultivation, especially using colored plastic covers (Gao et al., 2019).

However, the choice and management of the protected environment must be adequate, because the higher temperatures in undesirable protected environment management may cause increase in infrared and caloric radiation. This generates internal temperature increase in the environment and this may induce thermal stress in the vegetables, mostly by metabolism acceleration with enhance on respiratory process and protein denaturation, which implies reducing the photosynthetic process (Santos et al., 2010).

The environment under extreme red light had higher relative humidity (32.75%), while environments under blue and red lights presented relative humidity of 28.17 and 28%, respectively. The lower relative humidity (21.92%) was observed at white light environment (Fig 2A). Soil temperature was higher at red and extreme red lights environments, 41.38 and 42.35 °C on average, respectively. These ones differed from the environments under white and blue lights where soil temperatures of 36.55 and 35.63 °C were recorded, respectively (Fig 2B). The greatest hot air mass accumulation inside protected environments under extreme red light may be related to the increase of soil temperature, which induced a higher soil water evaporation into atmosphere according to Santos et al. (2010).
wavelengths at infrared region results on increase of temperature, which in fact, may have occurred in the polyethylene bags to induce evaporation raise. For blue light, the reduction of the direct incidence of sunlight is beneficial to the species that require less radiant energy flow, especially by temperature reduction. In fact, this lower incidence of solar energy can contribute to decrease extreme effects of radiation, mainly photorespiration, and provide better environmental conditions, which may have favored cuttings shooting and survival (Maciel et al., 2007).

In relation to the lower relative humidity and soil temperature observed in the environment under white light, we should emphasize that this environment was not completely closed and covered with black plastic at the bottom to prevent the entry of white light. Therefore, the occurrence of winds may have transferred the mass of hot air out of the greenhouse, as well as cooling the soil ground. This is congruent with Lemos Filho et al. (2010) that reported influence on reference evapotranspiration from the spatial analysis of the meteorological elements. They verified that regions where occurred high advection, mainly when a wet area is surrounded by a dry area, wind speed and relative humidity gain importance in the process of cooling the soil surface.

**Cuttings water consumption**

From the unfolding luminosity conditions within phytohormones concentrations, it was possible to verify that, without phytohormones application (0% of EPET), cuttings grown under extreme red light had the highest water consumption (988.33 mL), while under white and red lights the same cuttings consumed 618.33 and 623.33 mL respectively. An intermediary water consume (776.33 mL) was observed under blue light. When 50% of EPET concentration was applied, the highest water use (1,026.33 mL) took place under red light, which differed from the 609 and 574 mL consumed by the cuttings grown under white and blue light, respectively (Fig 3A).

Application of different phytohormones concentrations among light conditions showed that cuttings grown under light had 756.56 mL of water consumption without EPET application (0%). However, we registered a decrease of 533.28 mL under 46.79% of EPET application followed by the increase to 822.11 mL with 100% of EPET application (Fig 3B).

Under red light, cuttings grown without EPET application showed a 582.66 mL of water consumption. However, cuttings showed an increase of 979.49 mL with the addition of 70.92% of EPET, followed by reduction to 912.76 mL with addition of 100% EPET (Fig 3C). When cuttings were grown under extreme red light, the increase in EPET concentrations significantly reduced water consumption and evapotranspiration, showing a decrease from 923.6 mL without EPET application (0%) to 715.94 mL with 100% EPET (Fig 3D).

Higher evapotranspiration and water consumption was observed in the red and extreme red lights environment, associated to the cuttings shoots as well as the consequent elevation of their transpiratory activity, which is due to the fact that these environments combine higher temperatures with the more effective wavelengths for light capture by chlorophylls and carbohydrates synthesis. Indeed, evapotranspiration increases are due to the changes in environments because this process simultaneously involves evaporation and transpiration, which are controlled by the water supply to the plants and by the availability of energy resulting from interaction with the meteorological variables that drive the atmospheric demand (Pivetta et al., 2010).

The quantification of evapotranspiration is crucial for understanding the water balance and for the efficient planning of the use of water resources, especially for the use of irrigation (Parajuli et al., 2019). It is important to point out that several factors may interfere with plant evapotranspiration. Among which, we may highlight stomatal opening, the reflectance, aerodynamic roughness, extent of the area covered by the plant, season of the year, energy availability, atmospheric demand and soil water supply to plants (Fernandes et al., 2011). In this context, colored covers should be used in an appropriate way so as not to increase water consumption and cause water deficit in plants.

**Shooting and survival of cuttings**

The weekly observations showed that 16 days after cutting planting (DAP), one shoot was counted on the cuttings under blue light, both in the absence of phytohormone (EPET) and 25% EPET application, while two shoots were recorded under 50 and 100% EPET applications. Application of 100% EPET under red light induced two shoots, while under extreme red light just one shoot was recorded (Fig 4A). Under blue light, cuttings grown for 60 days (DAP) showed one surviving shoot under 25% of EPET and two surviving shoots in cuttings treated with 50% of EPET, while under other lights and FEAT combinations all shoots died (Fig 4B). A partial view of the shoots may be seen in Figures 4C and D.

The greater number of shoots under blue light, besides those verified under red and red extreme lights should be attributed to the fact that *M. oleifera* has specific photoreceptors for the perception of stimulus from environment light in order to modify phytohormones balance and influence lateral buds cell differentiation. Apparently, supplementation with blue light has promoted shoot elongation once this process is driven by the interaction between red and blue light, contained in white light. Therefore, the blue light is responsible for the release of lateral buds and shoots growth (Jeong et al., 2014).

Red and blue lights detection on higher plants is carried out by different light photoreceptor (Oka and Yamamoto, 2019) in a way that these lights induce different effects on plant development and biosynthesis of cellular components. This fact might explain both the death and the survival of *M. oleifera* shoots under blue light, mainly because the red light is important for development of the photosynthetic apparatus, floral induction, shooting, starch accumulation in plants, which may lead to death of shoots by high metabolic activity without the presence of roots to supply water and nutrients. However, under blue light plant regulation such as opening of stomata, leaves expansion, and biomass production can occurs, contributing to the survival of the shoots under blue light illumiance (Xu et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013).

It is possible that shoots survival under blue light is linked to the combination with energetic lights. For instance, ultraviolet and blue lights, and high temperatures are effective in raising the levels of phenolic compounds and ascorbic acid, as well as increasing the activity of enzymes involved in the removal of reactive oxygen species.
Table 1. Summary of variance analyze for microclimatic variables and water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings under light spectrum and phytohormones variations.

| Sources of Variation | DF | Average of squares | ILU | AAT | RAH | AST | CWC |
|----------------------|----|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Light (L)            | 3  | 1050169.46**       | 29.26*| 237.02*| 141.01*| 123441.74**|
| Phytohormones (P)    | (3)| 2280.18ns          | 2.14*| 11.13ns| 0.59ns| 65221.52**|
| Linear Regression    | 1  | 4968.04ns          | 1.67ns| 13.39ns| 0.77ns| 57927.51ns|
| Quadratic Regression | 1  | 1473.21ns          | 0.79ns| 19.94ns| 1.24ns| 44790.91ns|
| Regression Deviation | 1  | 399.30ns           | 3.97ns| 5.78ns| 0.29ns| 65946.13ns|
| Interaction L x F    | 9  | 1859.09ns          | 0.48ns| 5.78ns| 0.91ns| 17690.93ns|
| Residue              | 32 | 2828.52            | 0.54  | 5.29 | 0.91  | 17690.93ns|
| CV (%)               | 7.67| 2.00              | 8.30 | 2.46 | 18.05 |

*, **, and ns: significant at 1%, 5%, and not significant by F test. SV: sources of variation, DF: degrees of freedom, CV: coefficient of variation.

Fig 1. Illuminance (A) and average air temperature (B) in protected environments under light conditions with white light (WLH), blue (BLH), red (RLH), and extreme red (XRL).

Fig 2. Air humidity (A) and average soil temperature (B) in protected environments under light conditions with white light (WLH), blue (BLH), red (RLH), and extreme red (XRL).

Table 2. Summary of the analysis of microclimatic changes main components, photomorphogenesis, and water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings under light spectrum variations and phytonutrient concentrations.

| MCs | $\lambda$ | $\sigma^2$ | CWC | AAT | RAH | AST | ILU | NOS | NSS |
|------|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| MC1  | 2.87      | 40.98      | -0.44| -0.93| -0.15| -0.76| -0.43| 0.73| 0.72|
| MC2  | 2.45      | 35.03      | 0.62 | -0.19| 0.91 | 0.56 | -0.87| 0.37| 0.23|

MCs: main components; $\lambda$: eigenvalues; $\sigma^2$: percentage of total variance; CWC: water consumption by cuttings; AAT: mean air temperature; RAH: relative humidity; AST: average soil temperature; ILU: illuminance; NOS: number of shoots; and NSS: number of surviving shoots.
Fig 3. Evapotranspired water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings according to the unfolding light treatments within phytohormones (A) and phytohormones within blue (B), red (C) and extreme red lights (D).
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Fig 4. Number of shoots at 16 days after planting (A), number of shoots surviving at 60 days (B), and night shoots images, using a green light filter (C and D), on *M. oleifera* cuttings under light and phytohormones.
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Thus, this behavior should have improved the survival of shoots, even without the development of roots, but mostly by the activation of shoot defense systems to adverse microclimatic conditions (Lemoine et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2014).

At 60 DAP no roots formation was observed on *M. oleifera* cuttings because of white (Fig 5A), blue (Fig 5B), red (Fig 5C), and extreme red light conditions (Fig 5D) and phytohormones concentrations. Even with root inducer application, roots formation should be an answer to the light spectrum change. This is verified because plant growth and morphogenesis are influenced by intensity, irradiance, and luminous flux.

It must be observed that photoreceptors, such as phytochromes, cryptochromes, and phototropines absorb specific light spectrum and create signals to modulate gene expression through plant cells signal transduction systems. In fact, light quality has affected phytohormone levels such as auxins, gibberellin, and cytokinin by gene expression associated with metabolic regulation and this may alter secondary metabolites synthesis by photoreceptor signals and lead to reduced plant survival (Fukuda, 2019).

**Principle component analysis**

The first two main components (MCs), together accounted for 76.01% of the total experimental variance. The main first component (MC1) accounted for 40.98% of the total variance and it was formed by the linear combination among the average air temperature (AAT), average soil temperature (AST), number of shoots (NOS), and number of survival shoots (NSS). The second main component (MC2) accounted for 35.03% from remaining variance. It was consisted of combination of cutting water consumption (CWC), air humidity (RAH), and illuminance (ILU).

According to the higher correlation coefficient (r) among originals variables and MCs, we found that all variables were important (r > 0.60) to explain the influence of light.
spectrum variations and phytohormones concentrations on microclimatic changes, photomorphogenesis and water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings. In order of importance, the variables were ranked in the following sequence: RAH > AAT > ILU > AST > NOS > NSS > CWC (Table 2).

Main components analysis (MCA) contributed significantly to reduced original data dimensions into two dimensions (Tran et al., 2019), which facilitate understanding of relationship among the original variables and their contribution to explain the effects of light spectrum variations and phytohormones concentrations on microclimate and *M. oleifera* photomorphogenesis. Alkarkhi and Aliqaraghuli (2019) reported that MCA technique allows explaining the total data variation as well as understanding the positive and negative relations among variables.

According to Tripathi and Singal (2019) the reduction of data dimensions makes the process under study more feasible and economical, because it would drastically reduce time, effort, and cost required to collect data from a large number of variables. This suggests that the reduction of data dimensions and correlation analysis among MCs and these original variables of this current research may contribute for better efficiency and to understand light and phytohormones complexity on climate and *M. oleifera* photomorphogenesis.

We found on MC1 that AST and AAT at red and extreme red light decrease NOS and NSS, which were more expressive at blue region. For MC2, we found that ILU increases under white light which promotes RAH and CWC reductions. However, the latter was more expressive when the protected environments were irradiated with extreme red light and without application of phytohormones (Fig 6A and B).

In this study, two dimensions have allowed a better understanding of the relationships among variables and MCs. In Agricultural sciences, the use of MCA will reduce the original dimensions Barbosa et al. (2019). They showed important relationships among the indicator variables of microbiological quality as well as soil enzymatic activity modeling. Ferraz et al. (2018) reported that the relationships between potassium phosphate and foliar nutrients in two dimensions help in decision-making for plants nutritional restoration. This information ratifies the use of this technique to select variables relevant to complex studies. The results generated in this research are important to guide the adequate protected environments management besides taking into account aspects such as microclimate and irrigation. The efficient use of water resources in semiarid and arid agroecosystems has become increasingly important due to the rapid depletion of water resources. In many cases, evapotranspiration may be the major hydrological cycle component.

It is emphasized that, under stressful environmental conditions, plants accumulate higher concentrations of phytohormones, which leads to a hormonal imbalance and may alter plant growth. Exogenous phytohormone application can also induce greater tolerance to the environmental stresses in the environments protected by the change of light spectrum, modulating the expression of genes involved in plant signaling defenses, transcriptional regulation, hormone biosynthesis, production of reactive oxygen species, and phytohormones metabolism, adapting cuttings shoots to adverse micro-environmental conditions (Podlesáková et al., 2019).

In this context, changes in light quality through the manipulation of light spectrum may be a strategy for the production of quality seedlings in a protected environment, especially in regions with semiarid climate, where the limitation of water resources and the high radiation balance and temperature may limit shoots to growth and development of seedlings propagated by cutting (Fukuda, 2019).

Therefore, application of exogenous phytohormones has gained considerable attention as it will not cause damage to the environment and they are more active under stress conditions, mainly because these are natural substances produced by plants and they act as plant resistance mediators to pathogens and tolerance to abiotic stress conditions (Jini and Joseph, 2019).

**Materials and Methods**

**Moringa oleifera cuttings**

*M. oleifera* semi-woody cuttings were collected from 7 am to 9 am of *M. oleifera* mother plants, grown on a rural property located in the city of Lagoa Seca, Paraíba, Brazil, with the following characteristics: 15 cm in length, 4 to 5 mm in diameter, and 2 or 3 buds. The cuttings were placed into a polystyrene container on two layers of wet paper towel and transported to the Phytopathology Laboratory of CCAA/UEPB. They were washed in running water and disinfested with 2% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 minutes (Paiva et al., 2015). The cuttings were placed into a polystyrene container on two layers of wet paper towel and transported to the Phytopathology Laboratory of CCAA/UEPB. They were washed in running water and disinfested with 2% sodium hypochlorite solution for 5 minutes (Paiva et al., 2015).

**Location and research duration**

The research was carried out between August and November of 2018 at the Phytopathology Laboratory at a protected environment facility, belonging to the Center of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences (CCAA) of the State University of Paraíba (UEPB), located in Lagoa Seca municipality, in the geographical coordinates Latitude 7º 09 ’S, Longitude 35º 52’ W, and altitude 634 m (Soares et al., 2017). Local climate, according to Köppen’s classification, is As’ type (tropical humid), with an average annual temperature of 22 ºC, with a minimum of 18 ºC, and a maximum of 33 ºC, 800 mm rainfall and 80% relative humidity.

**Experimental design**

A completely randomized experimental design with a 4x4 factorial scheme and three replications was used. The factors consisted of four exogenous phytohormones concentrations (EPET) which were obtained from tiririca (*Cyperus rotundus*) tubers (EPET<sub>0</sub> = control 0%; EPET<sub>25</sub> = 25%; EPET<sub>50</sub> = 50%, and EPET<sub>100</sub> = 100% and four brightness conditions (WHL = white light; BLH = blue light; RLH = red light, and XRL = extreme red light).
**Extraction of exogenous phytohormones**

Tubers of *C. rotundus* plants were obtained from Experimental Field of the CCAA/UEPB where this species grows naturally. To obtain the aqueous extract of *C. rotundus*, fresh tubers were isolated, washed with water and neutral detergent, dried on paper towel, and weighed. 10 g of tubers were grinded in a blender with 200 mL of distilled water, and sieved to obtain a stock solution with 100% of the tuber extract (Simões et al., 2003).

Phytohormones concentrations for each treatment were obtained by diluting the stock solution with distilled water. \( \text{EPET}_0 = 0\% \) (just distilled water); \( \text{EPET}_{25} = 25\% \) (dilution with 75% distilled water + 25% stock solution); \( \text{EPET}_{50} = 50\% \) (dilution with 50% distilled water + 50% stock solution); \( \text{EPET}_{100} = 100\% \) (stock solution without water dilution) (Rezende et al., 2013; Scariot et al., 2017).

**Light spectrum variation**

To obtain the experimental environment light conditions, four protected environments (mini greenhouses) were built for each experimental unit (RNE). Sixty days after planting (DAP), the number of surviving shoots (NSS) was determined. Afterwards, the cuttings were removed from the polyethylene bags and cleaned out from substrate for root number evaluation (RNE).

Microclimatic variations were monitored inside the protected environments during the experimental period and they were expressed by illuminance variables (ILU, in lx), average air temperature (AET, in °C), average air humidity (AAH, in%), and average soil temperature (AST, in °C).

Illuminance was measured by a digital luxmeter model LD-400 with storage memory of up to 50 readings. Temperature and humidity variables were measured with a digital thermohygrometer with a temperature scale from 0 to 50 °C and relative humidity from 20 to 99%, with accuracy of ± 1 °C temperature and ± 5% relative humidity.

Cuttings evapotranspirated water consumption throughout the experimental period (CEW, in L) were calculated from the sum of necessary water volumes (NWV, in L) to replenish the soil to field capacity. The drained water volume (DWV, in L) from the bags was collected on the trays and measured. Subsequently, the bags filled with soil at field capacity were weighed (WSF, kg). From these data, water volume in substrate field capacity (SFC, in L) was calculated by the expression \( \text{SFC} = \text{SIV-DWV} \).

Every four days, the bags were weighed in order to obtain the substrate mass after evapotranspiration (SMET, in kg). The mass of evapotranspired water (WMET, in kg) was calculated by the difference \( \text{WMET} = \text{WSF-SMET} \).

Afterwards, the required water volume (RWV, in L) for replacing the soil at field capacity was calculated by the equation \( \text{RWV} = (\text{SFC} * \text{WMET}) / \text{WSF} \). A 300 mL beaker was used to replace the necessary amount of water. Weights were carried out at night to avoid white light entering into the environments where light conditions were simulated with blue, red, and extreme red lights. For weighing, a portable digital scale, model 123 Util, with 0.00 g precision was used.

**Variables evaluated**

The number of shoots was recorded weekly at each experimental unit (NOS). Days after planting (DAP), the number of surviving shoots (NSS) was determined. Afterwards, the cuttings were removed from the polyethylene bags and cleaned out from substrate for root number evaluation (RNE).

**Irrigation management**

Water was applied in a four days irrigation frequency through the weighing method according to Pereira et al. (2005). Evapotranspired water was replaced within four days prior to each irrigation.

Thus, the weight of polyethylene bags filled with dry substrate (WDS, in kg) was obtained. Afterward, the substrate, with initial volume (SIV, in L) corresponding to 75% (v/m) of its weight was saturated with water. All bags were placed in polyethylene trays and covered with black plastic to avoid water loss by evaporation. For 24 hours, the drained water volume (DWV, in L) from the bags was collected on the trays and measured. Subsequently, the bags filled with soil at field capacity were weighed (WSF, kg).

Exploratory Analysis of Main Components (EMC) was carried out and the amount of relevant information was condensed in a smaller number of dimensions, which resulted from the linear combinations of the original variables generated from the unfolded degrees of freedom of luminosity environment, the Tukey multiple comparisons test at the level of 5% of probability was applied, whereas for phytohormones concentrations, a polynomial regression analysis was carried out and the selection of mathematical models was determined by the regression parameters significance (Barbosa e Maldonado Júnior, 2015), by software Sisvar 5.6 (Ferreira, 2014).

To establish the most important variables and to explain the observed effects, the data were standardized to make the mean zero (\( X' = 0.0 \)) and the unit variance (\( \sigma^2 = 1.0 \)). The Exploratory Analysis of Main Components (EMC) was carried out and the amount of relevant information was condensed in a smaller number of dimensions, which resulted from the linear combinations of the original variables generated from
the highest eigenvalues ($\lambda > 1.0$) in the covariance matrix, explaining a percentage greater than 10% of the total variance (Govaerts et al., 2007; Hair et al., 2009).

**Conclusion**

Illuminance was reduced in environments under blue, red, and extreme red light. Air temperature was decreased under blue light, while the red and extreme red lights increased temperature and relative humidity, soil temperature, and water consumption by the cuttings. Protected environments and illuminated with blue light and the application of phytohormones enabled the emergence of shoots and survival of *M. oleifera* cuttings, even without the formation of roots after 60 days of planting and the variability of the microclimatic conditions. All variables included in this study were considered important to explain the influence of variation of light spectrum and phytohormones concentrations on environmental microclimate changes, photomorphogenesis, and water consumption by *M. oleifera* cuttings.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors would like to thank the Paraíba State University (UFPB), Group of Agricultural Sciences Studies in Semi-Arid (GECAS), National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), and the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Personnel Education (CAPES).

**References**

Aekthammarat A, Pannangpetch P, Tangsucharit P (2019) *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract lowers high blood pressure by alleviating vascular dysfunction and decreasing oxidative stress in L-NAME hypertensive rats. Phytomed. 54:9-16.

Aguilar-Camacho M, Welti-Chanes J, Jacobo-Velázquez (2019) Combined effect of ultrasound treatment and exogenous phytohormones on the accumulation of bioactive compounds in brocoli florets. Ultrason Sonochem. 50:289-301.

Alkarkhi AFM, Alqaraghuli WAA (2019) Principal Components Analysis. In: Alkarkhi AFM, Alqaraghuli WAA (eds) Easy Statistics for Food Science with R, Academic Press, Amsterdã.

Azzurra A, Massimiliano A, Angela M (2019) Measuring sustainable food consumption: A case study on organic food. Sust Prod Cons. 17:95-107.

Barbosa JC, Maldonado Júnior W (2015) Experimentação Agropecuária & AgroEstat - Sistema para Análises Estatísticas de ENSAOS AgroNômicos. Funep, Jaboticabal, São Paulo, p 396.

Barbosa MA, Ferraz RLS, Coutinho ELM, Coutinho Neto AM, Silva MS, Fernandes C, Rigobelo EC (2019) Multivariate analysis and modeling of soil quality indicators in long-term management systems. Sci Total Environ. 657(3):457-465.

Cardoso-Guimarães CAF, Nascimento EA, Luna CLA, Ferraz RLS, Costa PS, Araújo MA (2018) Germinação e crescimento inicial de Moringa oleifera sob variação do espectro luminoso e fitormônios. Trabalho apresentado no IV Encontro de Extensão, Pesquisa e Inovação em Agroecologia, Instituto Federal de Paraíba, Picuí, 4-6 dezembro 2018.

Cavalcante JA, Lopes KP, Pereira NAE, Silva JG, Pinheiro RM, Marques RLL (2018) Extrato aquoso de bulbos de tiririca sobre a germinação e crescimento inicial de plântulas de rabanete. Rev Ver Agroec Des Sust. 13(1):39-44.

Domenico M, Lina C, Francesca B (2019) Sustainable Crops for Food Security: *Moringa (Moringa oleifera Lam.)*. Eny Food Sec Sust. 1:409-415.

Fernandes ALT, Fragia Júnior EF, Takay BY (2011) Avaliação do método Penman-Piche para a estimativa da evapotranspiração de referência em Uberaba, MG. Rev Bras Eng Agric Ambient. 15(3):270-276.

Ferraz RLS, Barbosa MA, Wanderley MJA, Costa PS, Magalhães ID, Medeiros AS, Faria HM, Marchioro V, Melo AS, Anjos FA (2018) Potassium phosphate reduction of *Condidatus Liberibacter* spp. population on leaves of ‘Ponkan’ tangerines tree with huanglongbing. Afr J Microbiol Res. 12(10):248-253.

Ferreira DF (2014) Sisvar: a Guide for its Bootstrap procedures in multiple comparisons. Ciênc Agrotec. 38(2):109-112.

Fukuda N (2019) Plant growth and physiological responses to light conditions author links open overlay panel. In: Anpo M, Fukuda H, Wada T (eds) Plant Factory Using Artificial Light: Adapting to Environmental Disruption and Clues to Agricultural Innovation, Elsevier, Amsterdã.

Gao H, Yan C, Liu Q, Ding W, Chen B, Li Z (2019) Effects of plastic mulching and plastic residue on agricultural production: A meta-analysis. Sci Total Environ. 651:484-492.

González CV, Jeréz DM, Jofrè MF, Guevara A, Prieto J, Mazza C, Williams LE, Giordano CV (2019) Blue light attenuation mediates morphological and architectural acclimation of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Malbec to shade and increases light capture. Environ Exp Bot. 157:112-120.

Govaerts B, Sayre KD, Lichter K, Dendooven L, Deckers J (2007) Influence of permanent raised bed planting and residue management on physical and chemical soil quality in rain fed maize/wheat systems. Plant Soil. 291:39-54.

Hair FJ, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE Tatham RL (2009) Análise Multivariada de Dados. Tradução Adonai Schlup Sant’Anna. 6th edn. Bookman, Porto Alegre.

Jeong SW, Hogewoning SW, Ieperen W (2014) Responses of supplemental blue light on flowering and stem extension growth of cut chrysanthemum. Sci Hortic. 165:69-74.

Jini D, Joseph B (2019) Use of phytohormones in improving abiotic stress tolerance to rice. In: Hasanuzzaman M, Fujita M, Nahar K, Biswas JK (eds) Advances in Rice Research for Food Security: Moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) plant. Elsevier, Amsterdã.

Kong Y, Schiestel K, Zheng Y (2019) Pure blue light effects on growth and morphology are slightly changed by adding low-level UVA or far-red light: A comparison with red light in four microgreen species. Environ Exp Bot. 157:58-68.

Lemoine ML, Chaves AR, Martinez GA (2010) Influence of combined hot air and UV-C treatment on the antioxidant system of minimally processed broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L var. Italica). LWT - Food Sci Technol. 43:1313-1319.

Lemos Filho LCA, Carvalho LG, Evangelista AWP, Alves Júnior J (2010) Análise espacial da influência dos elementos meteorológicos sobre a evapotranspiração de referência em Minas Gerais. Rev Bras Eng Agric Ambient. 14(12):1294-1303.

Lin KH, Huang MY, Huang WD, Hsu MH, Yang ZW, Yang CM (2013) The effects of red, blue, and white light-emitting diodes on the growth, development, and edible quality of...
hdroponically grown lettuce (Lactuca sativa L. var. capitata). Sci Hortic. 150:86-91.

Long J, Yuan X, Ma C, Du M, Ma X, Wen Z, Ma R, Wanga Y, Cao Y (2018) Strongly enhanced luminescence of SrAl2O4: Mn4+ phosphor by co-doping B4+ and Na+ ions with red emission for plant growth LEDs. Royal Soc Chem Advanc. 3.

Ma G, Zhang L, Setiawan CK, Yamawaki K, Asai T, Nishikawa

Pokorny J (2019) Evapotranspiration. In: Fath B (ed) Disruption and Clues to Agricultural Innovation, Elsevier, Amsterdã.

Pivetta CR, Heldwein AB, Maldaner IC, Radons SR, Tazzo IF, Pereira MC, Cardoso NP, Giancotti PRF, Alves PLAC (2011) Phytohormones and polyamines regulate plant stress expression of genes related to ascorbate metabolism. New Biotechnol. 33:768.

Pereira JRD, Carvalho JA, Miguel DS, Santana MJ (2005) Response to alteration GABA pathway. New Biotechnol. 22(2):64-75.

Paiva EP, Rocha RHC, Praxedes SC, Guedes WA, Sá FVS (2015) Efeito do sombreamento sobre a produção de alface em hidroponia. Rev Ciênc Consci. 2(1).

Páramo-Calderón DE, Aparicio-Saguilán A, Aguirre-Cruz A, Carrillo-Ahumada J, Hernández-Uribe JP, Acevedo-Tello S, Torruco-Uco JG (2019) Tortilla added with Moringa oleifera flour: Physicochemical, texture properties and antioxidant capacity. LWT. 101:276.

Perreira JRD, Carvalho JA, Miguel DS, Santana MJ (2005) Consumo de água pela cultura do crisântemo cultivada em estufa plástica em função de variáveis fenométricas e meteorológicas. Rev Bras Eng Agric Ambient. 14:768-775.

Pokorny J (2019) Evapotranspiration. In: Fath B (ed) Encyclopedia of Ecology. Elsevier, Amsterdã.

Purquerio LFV, Tivelli SW (2006) Manejo do ambiente em cultivo protegido. Informações Tecnológicas, Campinas. Available at: http://www.iac.sp.gov.br/Tecnologias/MANEJO_Cultivo_Protegido/Manejo_Cultivo_protegido.htm [Accessed Dec. 01, 2018].