Characterization of novel hydrocarbon-degrading *Gordonia paraffinivorans* and *Gordonia sihwensis* strains isolated from composting
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Abstract

Hydrocarbons are important environmental pollutants, and the isolation and characterization of new microorganisms with the ability to degrade these compounds are important for effective biodegradation. In this work we isolated and characterized several bacterial isolates from compost, a substrate rich in microbial diversity. The isolates were obtained from selective culture medium containing n-hexadecane, aiming to recover alkane-degraders. Six isolates identified as *Gordonia* by MALDI-TOF and 16S rRNA sequencing had the ability to degrade n-hexadecane in three days. Two isolates were selected for genomic and functional characterization, *Gordonia paraffinivorans* (MTZ052) and *Gordonia sihwensis* (MTZ096). The CG-MS results showed distinct n-hexadecane degradation rates for MTZ052 and MTZ096 (86% and 100% respectively). The genome sequence showed that MTZ052 encodes only one alkane degrading gene cluster, the CYP153 system, while MTZ096 harbors both the Alkane Hydroxylase (AH) and the CYP153 systems. qPCR showed that both gene clusters are induced by the presence of n-hexadecane in the growth medium, suggesting that *G. paraffinivorans* and *G. sihwensis* use these systems for degradation. Altogether, our results indicate that these *Gordonia* isolates have a good potential for biotransformation of hydrocarbons.

Introduction

Crude oil and waste of petroleum derivatives are made of hydrocarbons and considered pollutants, which are difficult to treat and remove from the environment [1,2]. Aliphatic hydrocarbons (alkanes) are the major components of crude oil and can be subject to oxidative...
degradation by bacterial metabolism as a carbon source [3]. To circumvent the inertia of alkanes, some bacteria can activate these molecules through oxidation of their terminal methyl group, converting it into the corresponding primary alcohol. In the subsequent metabolic steps the methyl group is converted to an aldehyde and then to a fatty acid derivative, which enters the β-oxidation pathway to generate the central metabolite acetyl CoA [4,5]. The bacterial enzymatic systems that enable the activation and conversion of alkanes to harmless metabolic molecules comprise terminal monooxygenases such as the membrane-anchored AlkB family of alkane hydroxylases or soluble cytochrome CYP153A enzymes [6].

Among hydrocarbon-degrading actinobacteria [7,8], the genus *Gordonia* can uptake and consume aliphatic hydrocarbons [9,10]. Currently the *Gordonia* genus encompasses 39 valid species [11] but several of the isolates described as having the ability to degrade hydrocarbons were only identified at the genus level [12,13]. *Gordonia* spp. are widely distributed in nature and have caught attention due to their capabilities to degrade, transform, and synthesize organic compounds, thus having a promising biotechnological value [10,11,14]. Compost has been shown to carry rich microbial diversity and metabolic functions [15–18] and, as such, it has been explored as a source of biotechnologically relevant microorganisms [19–27]. The aim of this work was to isolate and characterize hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria from compost.

Here we report a genomic and functional characterization of two hydrocarbon-degrading strains isolated from composting after enrichment culture for actinobacteria using n-hexadecane as exogenous carbon source. The strains were unequivocally classified as *Gordonia paraffinivorans* and *Gordonia sihwensis*. Bioinformatics analyses showed that both strains have a CYP153 gene cluster; in addition, *G. sihwensis* also has the alkane hydroxylase (AH) system. Moreover, these gene clusters in the two species are induced by n-hexadecane. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing that isolates of these *Gordonia* species have the ability to degrade n-hexadecane.

**Material and methods**

**n-hexadecane enrichment culture from composting samples**

Samples for the enrichment culture were obtained from a composting facility located at the São Paulo Zoo Park, São Paulo, Brazil [17]. To obtain a representative sample of the composting pile, sub-samples were collected from five different points (at the same depth in the four edges and center of the chamber) [28], pooled and thoroughly mixed. The samples were used for enrichment culture following two different protocols. In the first, named “direct culture”, 5 g of compost were suspended in 10 mL of sterile water and vigorously homogenized. After 1 h at room temperature, the mixture was centrifuged at 600 xg for 5 min, and 2.5 mL of supernatant was inoculated in selective medium as described below [27]. In the second protocol, which includes a decontamination step, 10 g of compost were suspended in 20 mL of sterile water, vigorously homogenized and then centrifuged at 600 xg for 5 min. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube, centrifuged at 8000 xg for 15 min and the pellet was subjected to a decontamination process with SDS/NaOH to enrich for resistant microorganisms such as actinobacteria [29]. The final precipitate was suspended in 2.5 mL of sterile water. The samples obtained by direct culture and the decontamination protocol were inoculated in 250 mL of M9 minimal medium containing 0.25% n-hexadecane (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.5% glucose and vitamin solution (200 mg p-amino benzoic acid, 200 mg biotin, 200 mg folic acid, 200 mg nicotinic acid, 100 mg calcium D-pantothenate, 100 mg pyridoxine HCl, 100 mg riboflavin, 100 mg thiamine). Cultures were incubated at 30°C on a rotary shaker at 450 rpm during 2 days for direct culture and 7 days for the decontamination protocol-derived inoculum. Next, the cultures...
were centrifuged at 3220 xg for 10 min and the pellet was resuspended in 10 mL sterile water and subjected to centrifugation. This step was repeated once and the pellet was suspended on 250 mL of M9 minimal medium containing 0.5% of n-hexadecane without glucose and without vitamin solution followed by incubation at 30˚C on a rotary shaker at 450 rpm during 2 days for the direct culture and 7 days for the decontamination protocol-derived inoculum. The bacterial pellet was recovered and washed as described above before starting the third cultivation step in M9 minimal medium containing 1% n-hexadecane in the same conditions. The final cultures were centrifuged and the pellets were inoculated on M9 medium agar plates that had been covered with a homogeneous layer of 100 μL 1% n-hexadecane, and incubated at 30˚C until colonies were visible. Each one of the bacterial colonies were transferred to new agar plates and after growth they were stored at -80˚C in glycerol suspensions.

**Microbial identification by MALDI-TOF MS and 16S rRNA gene sequencing**

Protein extraction from each microbial isolate for MALDI-TOF MS (Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry) analysis was performed by the ethanol/formic acid methodology as recommended by Bruker Daltonics. Briefly, after extraction, 1 μL of each sample was placed in triplicate on a 384-well desorption plate, overlaid with 1 μL of saturated solution of a α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix (Sigma, USA) and dried at room temperature. The automatic acquisition of the spectra was performed using a Microflex LT mass spectrometer at 337 nm with the FlexControl software (Bruker Daltonics) in a linear positive ion mode at a laser frequency of 40 Hz and mass range of 2000 to 20,000 Da. Bacterial Test Standard (E. coli protein extract; Bruker Daltonics) was used for calibration. The analysis of the generated spectra was performed by BioTyper software version 3.0 (Bruker Daltonics), which compares the obtained spectra with the available profile in the database, generating a score value from 0 to 3. Scores were interpreted according to Bruker Daltonics as follows: < 1.700: not identified; 1.7 to 1.999: probable genus identification; 2.0 to 2.299: probable species identification; and ≥ 2.300: reliable species identification. For 16S rRNA gene sequencing, bacterial genomic DNA was purified using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) and used as template for amplification of a 862 bp fragment with universal primers 530F (GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGG) and 1392R (ACGGGCGGTGTGTRC) as previously described [30]. Sequencing was performed in an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) using the same primers used for amplification. The 16S rRNA gene sequences were compared to sequences deposited in GenBank using BLAST [31] and were deposited in GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) under accession numbers MH611355 –MH611360.

**Qualitative assay of n-hexadecane degradation with 2,6-DCPIP**

The 2,6-DCPIP (2,6-dichlorophenol indophenol) assay was performed on Bushnell-Hass (BH) mineral medium previously described [32,33] with minor modifications, as follows. The isolates were pre-cultured in Luria Bertani (LB) medium at 30˚C for 48 hours and then centrifuged at 4000 xg for 5 min and washed with 0.9% sterile saline. The final bacterial suspension was adjusted to 1.0 (OD_{660nm}), and 100 μL was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube containing 836.5 μL of BH medium, 53.5 μL of 2,6-DCPIP solution (375 μg/mL), and 10 μL n-hexadecane (Sigma) to final concentration of 1% (v/v). The assay was incubated at 30˚C on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for 21 days and observed every 24 hours. As negative controls for this assay, incubations were performed only with: 1) BH medium and DCPIP; 2) BH medium, DCPIP and n-hexadecane; 3) culture medium, DCPIP and bacterial suspension; and 4) BH medium, DCPIP
and bacterial suspension inactivated by autoclaving. The assay was considered positive for n-hexadecane degradation if color changed from blue to colorless. No change in color was considered as negative result. *Mycobacterium vanbaalenii PYR1 DSMZ7251* and *Escherichia coli ATCC8739* strains were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

**Evaluation of n-hexadecane degradation by GC-MS**

Quantitative n-hexadecane biodegradation was analyzed using GC-MS (Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis). *Gordonia* isolates were inoculated (10%, v/v) in BH mineral medium (pH 7 ± 0.2) containing n-hexadecane (1%, v/v) at 28°C in a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for 28 days. The assays were monitored at times 0, 72 h, 7 days, 14 days, 21 and 28 days as previously described [34], with modifications. Negative control corresponds to the mineral medium BH containing n-hexadecane without microorganisms. At the time of collection, 10 mL samples of the bacterial culture were transferred to an Agilent Chem Elut Cartridge System, and the same volume of ethyl acetate (Synth, 98%) was added. The filtrate (hexadecane and other organic compounds eluted with ethyl acetate) was collected and analyzed by GC–MS (Agilent 5975C Series GC/MSD) with the following conditions: injector at split (10:1) mode; He as carrier gas, at a 1 mL/ min flow; DB-5 MS column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 mm; 5% phenyl methyl silicone as stationary phase). The following program was used: initial temperature (100°C), heating rate (20°C/min), final temperature (290°C), injector temperature (240°C), detector temperature (280°C), temperature in the transfer line or interface (280°C), mass range from (50 to 700 Da). All the data were acquired using the SCAN mode. Naphthalene solution (3.9 mM) (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%) was used as internal standard. The biodegradation index for each bacterial isolate (according n-hexadecane degradation) was calculated as described previously [35].

**Genome sequencing, assembly and annotation**

The genomes of strains MTZ052 and MTZ096 were sequenced using the Illumina/MiSeq Plataform. Shotgun genomic libraries were prepared using Illumina Nextera DNA library preparation kit with total DNA input of ~35 ng. The resulting DNA fragment libraries were cleaned up with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and fragment size within the range of 400–700 bp was verified by running the 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA chip. Fragment library quantification was performed with KAPA Library Quantification Kit and sequencing run was done with the MiSeq Reagent kit v2 (500-cycle format, paired-end (PE) reads). On average, Illumina PE read1 and read2 presented, respectively, ≥ 80% and ≥ 75% of bases with quality score at least 30 (Q30). Raw reads were assembled with MIRA 4 [36] and ABACAS [37]. Completeness of the genome was verified with CheckM [38]. Annotation was carried out by the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_prok/). The genomes have been deposited in GenBank and are available under the following identifiers: Bioproject PRJNA287716; Biosamples SAMN03785435 (MTZ052) and SAMN03785436 (MTZ096); accessions LHVR00000000 and LHVQ00000000. The genomes were also submitted to the IMG/M annotation pipeline [39] and are available under the following IMG identifiers: 2695421033 (MTZ052) and 2695421034 (MTZ096).

**Bioinformatics analyses**

The Digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) values for the *Gordonia* isolates were calculated using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC) 2.1 web service [40]. The
Mummer-implemented Average Nucleotide Identity (ANIm) [41,42] was calculated using a public available script (https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani). We used the program Get_homologues [43] to infer gene families in Gordonia genomes and in two outgroup genomes publicly available. Phylogenetic reconstruction was done by a supermatrix approach with IQ-tree [44] and visualized with ggtree [45], using 57 single-copy gene families identified with Get_homologues. The two outgroup species were identified as the closest to the Gordonia genus by preliminary BLAST searches.

The amino acid sequences encoded by Alk, RubA3, RubA4, RubB, AlkU (AH) and Fer, Cyp153, Fer Red (CYP153) of Gordonia sihwensis NBRC 108236 and Gordonia paraffinivorans NBRC 108238 were used to query the MTZ052 and MTZ096 genomes with BLASTp and tBLASTn [31]. The coding sequences of G. paraffinivorans MTZ052 and G. sihwensis MTZ096 alkane gene clusters AH and CYP153 were used to query the genomes of various groups of bacteria.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
An isolated bacterial colony was inoculated in 30 mL of Luria Bertani (LB) in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask and incubated at 30˚C with 150 rpm rotation overnight. The inoculum was transferred to a 50 mL plastic tube and centrifuged at 3220 xg for 15 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the cells pellet was washed twice with 50 mL of milli-Q sterile water. The pellet was resuspended in 5 mL of sterile milli-Q water and the cell number was adjusted to 5 x 10^9 according to McFarland standard. The cells were transferred to 10 mL BH medium containing the carbon sources: 1% n-hexadecane (inducing condition) or 2% fructose or dextrose (reference condition). The cultures were incubated in 50 mL Erlenmeyer flasks at 30˚C and 150 rpm rotation for 2 hours. Three biological replicates were made for each treatment. RNA extraction was performed with the 'Midi RNeasy Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, with an addition of 60 minutes of incubation in a water bath with 50 mg/mL lysozyme at 37˚C for cell lysis improvement. A digestion was made using “DNase I, RNase free” (Roche) to eliminate residual genomic DNA after the RNA extraction, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A PCR amplification of 16S rRNA using as template RNA and gDNA (positive control) was conducted to evaluate the efficiency of the DNase treatment. The amplification products were separated by electrophoresis in 0.8% agarose gel, stained with 0.25 μg/mL of ethidium bromide and visualized in ultraviolet light with orange filter. cDNA synthesis was made with the RevertAid kit First Strand cDNA (Thermo Scientific) using hexamers as initiators and the Reverse Transcriptase enzyme.

qPCR
Quantification was done by quantitative PCR in real-time PCR System Thermal Cycler StepOnePlus (Applied Biosystems) in Fast Optical MicroAmp plates 96-Well Reaction Plate with Barcode, using SyberGreen as intercalating agent, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Due to the high content of CG (cytosine/guanine) present in the samples, 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the reaction.

The cDNAs were diluted 1:10 in ultra-pure sterile water. The gene-specific primers used are described in S1 Table. As endogenous control we have used the 16S rRNA gene, which is often used in bacterial gene expression studies [9,46–49]. The amplifications conditions were adjusted through StepOne V2.3 Software using the default settings for the Cycle Threshold (CT), and assays were performed in triplicate for each sample. Gene induction in the presence of n-hexadecane (inducing condition) relative to the reference condition (fructose or dextrose) was calculated by the 2^-ΔΔCT method [50]. Statistically significant differences were calculated by ANOVA considering p values < 0.05 using Prisma Software.
Results

Bacterial isolation and identification

The 44 bacterial isolates from composting samples recovered after enrichment culture with n-hexadecane are listed in Table 1. Out of these, 25 were isolated using the direct culture protocol and 19 isolates were obtained using a protocol that includes a decontamination step [29] to favor actinobacteria selection (see Methods). While 36 isolates could be identified by MALDI-TOF MS at the genus (Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas and Gordonia) or species level (Klebsiella pneumoniae and Bacillus shackletonii), six isolates were not identified because they presented a score lower than 1.7 (Table 1). Therefore, these six isolates were submitted to 16S rRNA gene sequencing and were identified as Aquamicrobium spp. (MTZ026 and MTZ027), Chryseobacterium spp. (MTZ062), Gordonia paraffinivorans (MTZ052) and Gordonia cholesterolivorans or sihwensis (MTZ095 and MTZ096) (Table 1). MTZ095 and MTZ096 were further identified as G. sihwensis based on their ability to utilize both citrate and D-galactose as carbon sources. G. sihwensis is known to use both carbon sources, unlike G. cholesterolivorans [51].

Qualitative evaluation of n-hexadecane degradation by selected isolates

Further characterization of the isolates regarding their ability to degrade hydrocarbons was performed on 9 out of 44 isolates that were identified: Aquamicrobium spp. (MTZ026 and MTZ027), B. shackletonii (MTZ094), Gordonia spp. (MTZ053, MTZ055 and MTZ056), G. paraffinivorans (MTZ052) and G. sihwensis (MTZ095 and MTZ096). These nine isolates were analyzed by the qualitative n-hexadecane degradation assay with 2,6-DCPIP. The results showed that all six isolates of Gordonia have the ability to biodegrade the n-hexadecane in three days (Table 1). Negative controls remained unchanged up to 21 days of incubation, confirming that no false positive reaction occurred among the assay components during this period. The isolates Aquamicrobium (MTZ026 and MTZ027) and Bacillus shackletonii (MTZ094) presented negative results for this test.

Genome sequencing and in silico identification of genetic determinants involved in n-alkane-degradation

Guided by the qualitative evaluation of n-hexadecane degradation, two isolates (MTZ052 and MTZ096) were selected for genome sequencing using Illumina/MiSeq platform. Assembly of the reads resulted in draft genomes with sizes of ~4.9 Mb and ~3.9 Mb, respectively, for MTZ052 and MTZ096 (Table 2). The genome size of a G. paraffinivorans NBRC 108238 (accession number BAOQ01000008) has been reported at 4.63 Mb while the two genome sequences of G. sihwensis strains NBRC 108236 (accession number NZ_BANU00000000) and S9 (accession number JZDP01000000) have approximately 4.1 Mb each. In silico DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) and Average Nucleotide Identity (ANIm) values of the isolates MTZ052 and MTZ096 in comparison to G. paraffinivorans and G. sihwensis public genomes (S2 Table) confirm the assignment of MTZ052 and MTZ096 as G. paraffinivorans and G. sihwensis, respectively, according to the current criteria for taxonomic assignment based on whole genome sequencing [52,53].

Further confirmation of MTZ052 and MTZ096, respectively, as G. paraffinivorans and G. sihwensis was obtained by phylogenetic analysis using using 57 homologous genes of Gordonia species (S3 Table). As shown in the phylogenetic tree (Fig 1) MTZ052 clustered with the type strain G. paraffinivorans NBRC 108238 and MTZ096 clustered with G. sihwensis NBRC 108236.
Table 1. Identification of the isolates by MALDI TOF-MS or 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

| Isolates | Cultivation protocol | MALDI-TOF MS (score) | GenBank Accession best hit |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| MTZ025   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.008) | Aquamicrobium lusatiense/defluvii KM210272.1/KU163265.1 (100/99) |
| MTZ028   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.041) | - |
| MTZ029   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.007) | - |
| MTZ030   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.000) | - |
| MTZ031   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.006) | - |
| MTZ032   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.017) | - |
| MTZ033   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.126) | - |
| MTZ035   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.034) | - |
| MTZ037   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.074) | - |
| MTZ038   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.004) | - |
| MTZ039   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.011) | - |
| MTZ054   | D                    | Acinetobacter sp. (2.045) | - |
| MTZ058   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.078) | - |
| MTZ059   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.112) | - |
| MTZ060   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.009) | - |
| MTZ061   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.295) | - |
| MTZ063   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.172) | - |
| MTZ081   | D                    | Acinetobacter sp. (2.196) | - |
| MTZ082   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.166) | - |
| MTZ084   | DC                   | Acinetobacter sp. (2.075) | - |
| MTZ094   | D                    | Bacillus shackletoni (2.319) | - |
| MTZ080   | D                    | Elizabethkingia sp. (2.191) | - |
| MTZ053   | D                    | Gordonia sp. (1.823) § | - |
| MTZ055   | D                    | Gordonia sp. (2.022) § | - |
| MTZ056   | D                    | Gordonia sp. (2.078) § | - |
| MTZ036   | DC                   | Klebsiella pneumoniae (2.361) | - |
| MTZ079   | D                    | Pandorea sp. (2.133) | - |
| MTZ034   | DC                   | Pseudomonas sp. (2.012) | - |
| MTZ078   | D                    | Pseudomonas sp. (2.087) | - |
| MTZ083   | DC                   | Pseudomonas sp. (2.070) | - |
| MTZ089   | D                    | Pseudomonas sp. (2.031) | - |
| MTZ090   | D                    | Pseudomonas sp. (2.124) | - |
| MTZ106   | DC                   | Pseudomonas sp. (2.008) | - |
| MTZ048   | D                    | Stenotrophomonas sp. (2.138) | - |
| MTZ049   | D                    | Stenotrophomonas sp. (2.139) | - |
| MTZ050   | D                    | Stenotrophomonas sp. (2.140) | - |
| MTZ051   | D                    | Stenotrophomonas sp. (2.141) | - |
| MTZ057   | D                    | Stenotrophomonas sp. (2.026) | - |
| MTZ026   | DC                   | NI (<1.7) | Aquamicrobium lusatiense/defluvii KM210272.1/KU163265.1 (100/99) |
| MTZ027   | DC                   | NI (<1.7) | Aquamicrobium lusatiense/defluvii KM210272.1/KU163265.1 (100/99) |
| MTZ052   | D                    | NI (<1.7) | Gordonia paraffinivorans § JN627166.1 (100) |
| MTZ062   | DC                   | NI (<1.7) | Chryseobacterium sp KX350224.1/KP893287.1/LC040953.1/EU169201.1/ KP875413.1 (99) |
| MTZ095   | D                    | NI (<1.7) | Gordonia sihwensis/cholesterolivorans § EU862318.1/NR044445.1 (100/99) |

(Continued)
Next, the MTZ052 and MTZ096 genomes were screened for genes related to n-alkane degradation. One of the main gene clusters related to this phenotype is the alkane hydroxylase (AH) [9]. This cluster usually contains five genes (alkB, rubA3, rubA4, rub and alkU) as depicted in Fig 2. We found out that MTZ052 does not encode this cluster, whereas the MTZ096 genome encodes these five proteins with amino acid sequence similarities to NBRC 108236 (G. sihwensis), as shown in Table 3.

The CYP153 gene cluster (fer, cyp153 and fer red) has also been described as important for n-alkane degradation, therefore we used the amino acid sequences from Gordonia paraffinivorans NBRC 108238 to search both MTZ isolate genomes. As shown in Fig 2, both strains showed high sequence similarities to the proteins encoded by this cluster (Table 4). We concluded that the MTZ052 (G. paraffinivorans) genome has only one of the clusters related to n-alkane degradation, while MTZ096 (G. sihwensis) genome encodes both clusters.

Quantitative assay for MTZ052 and MTZ096 ability of hydrocarbon degradation

As shown above the two isolates G. paraffinivorans (MTZ052) and G. sihwensis (MTZ096) were able to grow in the presence of n-hexadecane, and their respective genomes encode AH and CYP153 gene clusters related to hydrocarbon degradation. Additional confirmation that these isolates can consume n-hexadecane over a period of time was obtained by quantitative GC/MS analyses (S1 Fig). Upon 28 days of cultivation in n-hexadecane as sole carbon source, it was possible to observe hexadecane biodegradation indexes of 86% and 100%, for MTZ052 and MTZ096, respectively. It is important to highlight that for both isolates, biodegradation indexes higher than 50% were observed already after 72h of microbial culture (Table 5). The negative control did not show degradation during the time course (S1 Fig).

Table 2. Sequencing, assembly and annotation results for MTZ052 and MTZ096 genomes.

| General features     | G. paraffinivorans MTZ052 | G. sihwensis MTZ096 |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Number of paired-end reads (Illumina/MiSeq) | 2,739,798 | 743,577 |
| Sequencing coverage  | 168.5 | 51.2 |
| Number of contigs    | 300 | 179 |
| N50                  | 164,877 | 173,966 |
| Genome size (bp)     | 4,889,675 | 3,979,216 |
| Completeness (%)     | 99.46 | 99.76 |
| G+C (%)              | 68.77 | 68.27 |
| Number of protein-coding genes | 4,389 | 3,655 |
| Number of rRNA operons* | 1 | 1 |
| Number of tRNAs      | 49 | 54 |
| Number of other RNA genes | 10 | 17 |

* Number of rRNA operons determined by manual curation of NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation results.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215396.0002
Expression of CYP153 and AH gene clusters is induced by n-hexadecane

Since *G. paraffinivorans* and *G. sihwensis* are capable of n-hexadecane degradation over a short period of time, we evaluated whether the CYP153 and AH gene clusters that were found in the genomes of each strain would be involved in this process. Thus, the transcription pattern for each of the genes within these clusters was evaluated by RT-qPCR. As shown in Fig 3A and 3B, for MTZ096, except for *rubB*, all genes in both clusters were highly induced in the presence of n-hexadecane after two hours of induction (248, 1567, 1296 and 2-fold induction, respectively, for *alkB*, *rubA3*, *rubA4* and *alkU*; and 750, 232 and 110 fold induction for Fer, CYP153 and Fer Red, respectively; p < 0.05). For MTZ052, which encodes only the CYP153 cluster, an increase in gene expression in response to n-hexadecane was found for CYP153 (15-fold induction; p < 0.05). Although not statistically significant, the ferredoxin gene showed 2.3-fold induction. Transcripts for the ferredoxin reductase gene were not detected in our RT-qPCR assays.

Fig 1. Phylogenetic analysis of MTZ052 and MTZ096. Maximum likelihood-based inference phylogenetic trees were reconstructed based on 57 gene families shared by the indicated bacterial species. *Millisia brevis* NBRC 105863 and *Skermania piniformis* NBRC 15059 were used as an outgroup. Branch bootstrap values are at least 80%, unless otherwise indicated.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215396.g001
Discussion

The assumption that composting could be a source of microorganisms with capacity to degrade hydrocarbons was based on previous observations that composting harbors a great diversity of biodegraders carrying metabolic pathways to degrade a plethora of complex organic compounds [16,17,21–27,54,55]. With this rationale we succeeded in the isolation of two *Gordonia* strains from compost that have the ability to degrade n-hexadecane. *Gordonia* spp. are known for their broad capability to degrade recalcitrant organic compounds, including hydrocarbons [10,11].

The isolation of fastidious microorganisms from environmental samples represents a challenge. The chosen strategy to recover distinct microorganisms was based on the use of two methods of sample preparation, with or without a previous decontamination step, allied to the selective culture in presence of n-hexadecane, which is similar to the methodology used to isolate other hexadecane degrading-bacteria [56]. All *Gordonia* isolates were obtained from the decontamination method due to the cell wall characteristics that make these microorganisms resistant to treatment with chemical agents, demonstrating the success of the chosen strategy.

Table 3. Similarities among amino acid sequences encoded by the AH system in MTZ096 and NBRC 108236 (*G. sihwensis*).

| Amino acid sequence (AH system) | % similarity
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| MTZ096/NBRC108236             |                   |
| AlkB                          | 100               |
| RubA3                         | 100               |
| RubA4                         | 100               |
| RubB (rubredoxyn reductase)    | 99.5              |
| AlkU                          | 99.5              |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215396.t003
The exclusive recovery of bacteria of the *Gordonia* genus when we used the decontamination method possibly reflects its effectiveness in eliminating other Gram positive and Gram negative fast- and slow-growing bacteria.

The qualitative analysis of n-hexadecane degradation by DCPIP test was chosen as a screening test. Nine isolates were evaluated for the ability to degrade n-hexadecane by the 2,6-DCPIP qualitative test. These isolates were chosen because, to our knowledge, these species have not been reported in human or animal infections, a desirable feature for a potential use as a remediation microorganism. The six *Gordonia* isolates showed color change of 2,6-DCPIP solution, demonstrating abilities to degrade n-hexadecane. A strain of *G. paraffinivorans* (HD321) isolated from an oil-producing well in China was shown to have the ability to grow in paraffin, an aliphatic hydrocarbon [57]. Moreover *G. sihwensis* strain S9, which was isolated from raw sludge in a wastewater treatment facility in the USA [58], has been reported as a possible hydrocarbon-degrader based on genomic analysis. To date, none of these species have experimental data demonstrating the degradation of n-hexadecane, a major constituent of gasoline and important polluting agent due to spills at gas stations [45]. Thus, the isolates MTZ052 and MTZ096 identified respectively as *G. paraffinivorans* and *G. sihwensis* were chosen for quantitative analysis of their hydrocarbon degradation ability.

The CG-MS tests performed in this work with the isolates of *G. paraffinivorans* (MTZ052) and *G. sihwensis* (MTZ096) showed distinct n-hexadecane degradation rates in 28 days, 86% and 100% respectively, and confirm the 2,6-DCPIP assay as a useful primary screening test as reported [33].

The genome sequences showed that the MTZ052 isolate encodes only the CYP153 system while the MTZ96 harbors both the AH and the CYP153 systems, suggesting that the n-hexadecane biodegradation process is more efficient when the CYP and AH systems work together. Also, our data suggest that the CYP153 and AH systems effectively play a role in alkane degradation, since the transcription of both gene clusters increased in the presence of the substrate, in both species. Lo Piccolo and collaborators performed experiments to quantify the expression of AH system genes of *Gordonia* sp. SoCg and reported that the expression of *alkB*, *rubA3, rubA4 and rubB* genes were induced in the presence of n-hexadecane, with *alkU* as the only gene in the system that presented no significant change [59]. Whether these systems are related to degradation efficiency and conversion of these carbon sources remains to be

### Table 4. Similarities among amino acid sequences encoded by the CYP153 gene clusters in MTZ052, MTZ096 and NBRC 108238 (*G. paraffinivorans*).

| Amino acid sequence (CYP153 system) | % similarity |
|------------------------------------|-------------|
| MTZ052/MTZ096                      |             |
| Fer                                | 75          |
| CYP153                             | 83          |
| Fer Red                            | 73          |
| MTZ052/NBRC108238                  |             |
| Fer                                | 100         |
| CYP153                             | 99.4        |
| Fer Red                            | 99.5        |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215396.t004

### Table 5. Biodegradation indexes of n-hexadecane of MTZ052 and MTZ096 strains during 28 days of chromatographic monitoring.

| Monitoring Times | *G. paraffinivorans* MTZ052 | *G. sihwensis* MTZ096 |
|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 72 h             | 51.7%                         | 71.6%                 |
| 7 days           | 64.1%                         | 86.4%                 |
| 14 days          | 82.4%                         | 99.9%                 |
| 28 days          | 86.3%                         | 100%                  |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215396.t005
determined. In another study, Liang et al. (2016) characterized CYP153 expression pattern in Dietzia sp. by cloning a LacZ reporter gene downstream of the CYP153 promoter and verified that indeed, expression is induced by alkane in the substrate as carbon source [60]. The lack of expression of ferredoxin reductase in the CYP153 cluster in MTZ052 suggests that this gene is expressed at very low levels or that another ferredoxin gene, outside of the cluster, may have this function.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report demonstrating the ability of *G. paraffinivorans* MTZ052 and *G. sihwensis* MTZ096 to degrade n-hexadecane. Subsequent studies are necessary to evaluate the ability of these strains to remediate water and soil environments contaminated by hydrocarbon pollutants.

**Supporting information**

S1 Table. Primers used in the qPCR assay.

(DOC)

S2 Table. Digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) and Mummer-implemented Average Nucleotide Identity (ANIm) values for the *Gordonia* MTZ052 and MTZ096 isolates.

(DOCX)
S3 Table. List of 57 homologues identified by the software Get_homolog among Gordonia sp.

Acknowledgments
We thank the staff from São Paulo Zoo for their help with composting sampling. The authors are indebted to João Batista da Cruz and Paulo Magalhães Bressan (Fundação Parque Zoológico de São Paulo) for allowing sampling at the São Paulo Zoo Park composting facility.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Renata Castiglioni Pascon, Cristina Viana-Niero.
Formal analysis: Julio Cezar Franco de Oliveira.
Funding acquisition: João Carlos Setubal, Aline Maria da Silva, Cristina Viana-Niero.
Methodology: Natalia Maria Silva, Aline Márcia Silva Araújo de Oliveira, Stefania Pegorin, Camila Escandura Giusti, Vitor Batista Ferrari, Deibs Barbosa, Layla Farage Martins, Carlos Morais, João Carlos Setubal, Suzan Pantaroto Vasconcellos, Aline Maria da Silva, Renata Castiglioni Pascon, Cristina Viana-Niero.

Writing – original draft: João Carlos Setubal, Aline Maria da Silva, Julio Cezar Franco de Oliveira, Renata Castiglioni Pascon, Cristina Viana-Niero.
Writing – review & editing: João Carlos Setubal, Aline Maria da Silva, Julio Cezar Franco de Oliveira, Renata Castiglioni Pascon, Cristina Viana-Niero.

References
1. Mapelli F, Scoma A, Michoud G, Auletta F, Boon N, Borin S, et al. Biotechnologies for Marine Oil Spill Cleanup: Indissoluble Ties with Microorganisms. Trends Biotechnol. 2017; 35: 860–870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.003 PMID: 28511936
2. Ron EZ, Rosenberg E. Enhanced bioremediation of oil spills in the sea. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2014; 27: 191–194. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2014.02.004 PMID: 24657912
3. Rojo F. Degradation of alkanes by bacteria. Env Microbiol. 2009; 11: 2477–2490. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01948.x PMID: 19807712
4. Martiníková L, Uhňaková B, Patek M, Nesvera J, Kren V. Biodegradation potential of the genus Rhodococcus. Environ Int. 2009; 35: 162–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2008.07.018 PMID: 18789530
5. Varjani SJ. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons. Bioresour Technol. 2017; 223: 277–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.10.037 PMID: 27789112
6. Ji YR, Mao GN, Wang YY, Bartlam M. Structural insights into diversity and n-alkane biodegradation mechanisms of alkane hydroxylases. Front Microbiol. 2013; 4. ARTN 5810.3389/fmicb.2013.00058
7. Guo CL, Zhou HW, Wong YS, Tam NF. Isolation of PAH-degrading bacteria from mangrove sediments and their biodegradation potential. Mar Pollut Bull. 2005; 51: 1054–1061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.02.012 PMID: 16291206
8. Ferrera-Rodriguez O, Greer CW, Juck D, Consaul LL, Martinez-Romero E, Whyte LG. Hydrocarbon-degrading potential of microbial communities from Arctic plants. J Appl Microbiol. 2013; 114: 71–83. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12020 PMID: 22984892
9. Fuji T, Narikawa T, Takeda K, Kato J. Biotransformation of various alkanes using the Escherichia coli expressing an alkane hydroxylase system from Gordonia sp. TF6. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 2004; 68: 2171–2177. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15502364 PMID: 15502364

10. Drzyzga O. The strengths and weaknesses of Gordonia: A review of an emerging genus with increasing biotechnological potential. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2012; 38: 300–316. https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2012.668134 PMID: 2255105

11. Sowani H, Kulkarni M, Zinjarde S. An insight into the ecology, diversity and adaptations of Gordonia species. Crit Rev Microbiol. 2018; 44: 393–413. https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2017.1418286 PMID: 29276839

12. Matsui T, Yamamoto T, Shinzato N, Mitsuta T, Nakano K, Namihira T. Degradation of oil tank sludge using long-chain alkane-degrading bacteria. Ann Microbiol. 2014; 64: 391–395. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0643-8

13. Chanthamee J, Wongchitphimon T, Luepromchai E. Treatment of Oily Bilge Water from Small Fishing Vessels by PUF-Immobilized Gordonia sp JC11. Water Air Soil Pollut. 2013;224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1601-6

14. Arenskotte M, Broker D, Steinbuchel A. Biology of the metabolically diverse genus Gordonia. Appl Env Microbiol. 2004; 70: 3195–3204. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.70.6.3195–3204.2004

15. Partanen P, Hultman J, Paulin L, Auvinen P, Romanschuk M. Bacterial diversity at different stages of the composting process. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-10-10

16. Martins LF, Antunes LP, Pascon RC, de Oliveira JC, Digiampietri LA, Barbosa D, et al. Metagenomic analysis of a tropical composting operation at the sao paulo zoo park reveals diversity of biomass degradation functions and organisms. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e61928. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061928 PMID: 23637931

17. Antunes LP, Martins LF, Pereira RV, Thomas AM, Barbosa D, Lemos LN, et al. Microbial community structure and dynamics in thermophilic composting viewed through metagenomics and metatranscriptomics. Sci Rep. 2016; 6. ARTN 3891510.1038/srep38915

18. Lopez-Gonzalez JA, Suarez-Estrella F, Vargas-Garcia MC, Lopez MJ, Jurado MM, Moreno J. Dynamics of bacterial microbiota during lignocellulosic waste composting: Studies upon its structure, functionality and biodiversity. Bioreus Technol. 2015; 175: 406–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.123 PMID: 25459849

19. Amgarten D, Martins LF, Lombardi KC, Antunes LP, de Souza APS, Nicastro GG, et al. Three novel Pseudomonas phages isolated from composting provide insights into the evolution and diversity of tailed phages. BMC Genomics. 2017/05/06. 2017; 18: 346. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-3729-z PMID: 28472930

20. Lima-Junior JD, Viana-Niero C, Conde Oliveira D V, Machado GE, Rabello MC, Martins-Junior J, et al. Characterization of mycobacteria and mycobacteriophages isolated from compost at the Sao Paulo Zoo Park Foundation in Brazil and creation of the new mycobacteriophage Cluster U. BMC Microbiol. 2016/06/19. 2016; 16: 111. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0734-3 PMID: 27316872

21. Jurado M, Lopez MJ, Suarez-Estrella F, Vargas-Garcia MC, Lopez-Gonzalez JA, Moreno J. Exploiting composting biodiversity: Study of the persistent and biotechnologically relevant microorganisms from lignocellulose-based composting. Bioreus Technol. 2014; 162: 283–293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.145 PMID: 24729645

22. Kausar H, Sariah M, Saud HM, Alam M, Ismail M. Isolation and screening of potential actinobacteria for rapid composting of rice straw. Biodegradation. 2011; 22: 367–375. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-010-9407-3 PMID: 20803236

23. Kolinko S, Wu YW, Tachea F, Denzel E, Hiras J, Gabriel R, et al. A bacterial pioneer produces cellulase complexes that persist through community succession. Nat Microbiol. 2018; 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-017-0052-z PMID: 29109478

24. Vargas-Garcia MD, Lopez MJ, Suarez-Estrella F, Moreno J. Compost as a source of microbial isolates for the bioremediation of heavy metals: In vitro selection. Sci Total Environ. 2012; 431: 62–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.05.026 PMID: 22664539

25. Ramos PL, Kondo MY, Santos SMB, de Vasconcellos SP, Rocha RCS, da Cruz JB, et al. A Tropical Composting Operation Unit at São Paulo Zoo as a Source of Bacterial Proteolytic Enzymes. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2018; https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-018-2810-7 PMID: 29936594

26. Oliveira LCG, Ramos PL, Marem A, Kondo MY, Rocha RCS, Bertolini T, et al. Halotolerant bacteria in the Sao Paulo Zoo composting process and their hydrolysates and bioproducts. Brazilian J Microbiol. 2015; 46: 347–354. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83824620130316 PMID: 26273248

27. Dutra ES, Pascon RC, Vallim MA. São Paulo Zoo composting as a source of bacteria with bioremediation potential. African J Microbiol Res. 2013; 7: 5200–5206.
28. Bitencourt AL, Vallim MA, Maia D, Spinelli R, Angeloni R, Principal L, et al. Core sampling test in large-scale compost cells for microorganism isolation. African J Microbiol Res. 2010; 4: 1631–1634.

29. Parashar D, Chauhan DS, Sharma VD, Chauhan A, Chauhan S V, Katoh VM. Optimization of procedures for isolation of mycobacteria from soil and water samples obtained in northern India. Appl Env Microbiol. 2004; 70: 3751–3753. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.6.3751–3753.2004

30. Lane DJ. 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M, editors. Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1991. pp. 115–175.

31. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25: 3389–3402. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9254694 PMID: 9254694

32. Hanson KG, Desai JD, Desai AJ. A Rapid and Simple Screening Technique for Potentially Crude-Oil Degrading Microorganisms. Biotechnol Tech. 1993; 7: 745–748. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00152624

33. Kubota K, Koma D, Matsumiya Y, Chung SY, Kubo M. Phylogenetic analysis of long-chain hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria and evaluation of their hydrocarbon-degradation by the 2,6-DCPIP assay. Bio-degradation. 2008; 19: 749–757. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10532-008-9179-1 PMID: 18283542

34. de Vasconcellos SP, Angolini CFF, Garcia INS, Dellagnezza BM, da Silva CC, Marsaioli AJ, et al. Screening for hydrocarbon biodegraders in a metagenomic clone library derived from Brazilian petroleum reservoirs (vol 41, pg 675, 2010). Org Geochem. 2010; 41: 895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2010.06.011

35. Vasconcellos SP, Dellagnezza BM, Wieland A, Klock JH, Neto EVS, Marsaioli AJ, et al. The potential for hydrocarbon biodegradation and production of extracellular polymeric substances by aerobic bacteria isolated from a Brazilian petroleum reservoir. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2011; 27: 1513–1518. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0581-6 PMID: 25187151

36. Chevreux B, Pfisterer T, Drescher B, Diesel AJ, Muller WE, Wetter T, et al. Using the miraEST assembler for reliable and automated mRNA transcript assembly and SNP detection in sequenced ESTs. Genome Res. 2004; 14: 1147–1159. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1917404 PMID: 15140833

37. Assena S, Keane TM, Otto TD, Newbold C, Berriman M. ABACAS: algorithm-based automatic configuration of assembled sequences. Bioinformatics. 2009; 25: 1968–1969. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp347 PMID: 19497936

38. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res. 2015; 25: 1043–1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114 PMID: 25977477

39. Chen IA, Chu K, Palaniappan K, Pillay M, Ratner A, Huang J, et al. IMG/M v.5.0: an integrated data management and comparative analysis system for microbial genomes and microbiomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018/10/06. 2018; https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky901 PMID: 30289528

40. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk HP, Goker M. Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. BMC Bioinformatics. 2013; 14: 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-14-60 PMID: 23432962

41. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol. 2004; 5: R12. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12 PMID: 14759262

42. Richter M, Rossello-Mora R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009; 106: 19126–19131. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906412106 PMID: 19855009

43. Contras-Moreira B, Vinuesa P. GET_HOMOLOGUES, a versatile software package for scalable and robust microbial pangenome analysis. Appl Env Microbiol. 2013; 79: 7696–7701. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02411-13 PMID: 24096415

44. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015; 32: 268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv300 PMID: 25371430

45. Hilpert M, Breysse PN. Infiltration and evaporation of small hydrocarbon spills at gas stations. J Contam Hydrol. 2014; 170: 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2014.08.004 PMID: 25444115

46. Indest KJ, Crocker FH, Athow R. A TaqMan polymerase chain reaction method for monitoring RDX-degrading bacteria based on the xPlA functional gene. J Microbiol Methods. 2007; 68: 267–274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2008.06.008 PMID: 17010461

47. Procopio L, de Cassia Pereira e Silva M, van Elsas JD, Seldin L. Transcriptional profiling of genes involved in n-hexadecane compounds assimilation in the hydrocarbon degrading Dietzia cinnamiae P4 strain. Braz J Microbiol. 2013; 44: 633–641. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822013000200044 PMID: 24294263
48. Linh D V, Huong NL, Tabata M, Imai S, Iijima S, Kasai D, et al. Characterization and functional expression of a rubber degradation gene of a Nocardia degrader from a rubber-processing factory. J Biosci Bioeng. 2017; 123: 412–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosc.2016.11.012 PMID: 28065456

49. Hassanshahian M, Yakimov MM, Denaro R, Genovese M. Using Real-time PCR to assess changes in the crude oil degrading microbial community in contaminated seawater mesocosms. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation. 2014; 93: 214–248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2014.06.006

50. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods. 2001; 25: 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262 PMID: 11846609

51. Drzyzga O, Llorens JMN, de las Heras LF, Fernandez EG, Perera J. Gordonia cholestero livorans sp nov., a cholesteryl-degrading actinomycete isolated from sewage sludge. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2009; 59: 1011–1015. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.005777-0 PMID: 19406784

52. Auch AF, von Jan M, Klenk HP, Goker M. Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison. Stand Genomic Sci. 2010; 2: 117–134. https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.531120 PMID: 21304684

53. Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. Bypassing Cultivation To Identify Bacterial Species. Culture-independent genomic approaches identify credibly distinct clusters, avoid cultivation bias, and provide true insights into microbial species. Microbe. 2014; 9: 111–118.

54. Lemos LN, Pereira R V, Quaggio RB, Martins LF, Moura LMS, da Silva AR, et al. Genome-Centric Analysis of a Thermophilic and Cellulolytic Bacterial Consortium Derived from Composting. Front Microbiol. 2017; 8. ARTN 64410.3389/f micb.2017.00644

55. Pascon RC, Bergamo RF, Spinelli RX, de Souza ED, Assis DM, Juliano L, et al. Amylolytic microorganism from sao paulo zoo composting: isolation, identification, and amylase production. Enzym Res. 2011; 2011: 679624. https://doi.org/10.4061/2011/679624 PMID: 21845217

56. Tebyanian H, Hassanshahian M, Kariminik A. Hexadecane-Degradation by Teskumurella and Stenotrophomonas Strains Isolated From Hydrocarbon Contaminated Soils. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2013; 7: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm.9182

57. Xue Y, Sun X, Zhou P, Liu R, Liang F, Ma Y. Gordonia paraffinivorans sp. nov., a hydrocarbon-degrading actinomycete isolated from an oil-producing well. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2003; 53: 1643–1646. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02605-0 PMID: 13130063

58. Brown LM, Gunasekera TS, Striebich RC, Ruiz ON. Draft Genome Sequence of Gordonia sihwensis Strain 9, a Branched Alkane-Degrading Bacterium. Genome Announc. 2016; 4. https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00622-16 PMID: 27340079

59. Lo Piccolo L, De Pasquale C, Fodale R, Puglia AM, Quattrini P. Involvement of an alkane hydroxylase system of Gordonia sp. strain SoCg in degradation of solid n-alkanes. Appl Env Microbiol. 2011; 77: 1204–1213. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02180-10 PMID: 21183636

60. Liang JL, JiangYang JH, Nie Y, Wu XL. Regulation of the Alkane Hydroxylase CYP153 Gene in a Gram-Positive Alkane-Degrading Bacterium, Dietzia sp. Strain DQ12-45-1b. Appl Env Microbiol. 2016; 82: 608–619. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02811-15 PMID: 26567302