Posterior Vertebrectomy and Circumferential Fusion for the Treatment of Advanced Thoracolumbar Kümmell Disease with Neurologic Deficit
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Study Design: Single-center, retrospective case series.

Purpose: To investigate the effectiveness of posterior vertebrectomy and circumferential fusion in patients with advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic deficit.

Overview of Literature: Various surgical options exist for the treatment of Kümmell disease, and determination of the appropriate treatment is based on the clinical and radiologic status of the patient. However, surgical intervention is required for patients with advanced Kümmell disease accompanied by neurologic deficit.

Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 22 neurologically compromised patients with advanced Kümmell disease who were treated surgically at Ewha Womans Hospital between January 2011 and January 2014. The surgical approach used by us was a posterior vertebrectomy with mesh cage insertion and segmental cement-augmented pedicle screw fixation. The tissue from the corpectomy was histopathologically examined. Anterior vertebral height, kyphotic angle, visual analog scale (VAS) score, and the Frankel classification were used to evaluate the efficacy of the procedure.

Results: The mean follow-up period was 26 months (range, 13–40 months). VAS score, anterior vertebral height, kyphotic angle, and neurologic state were significantly improved immediately postoperatively and at the last follow-up compared with preoperatively (p<0.05). Most patients exhibited intravertebral clefts on imaging, and postoperative pathology revealed bone necrosis.

Conclusions: Posterior vertebrectomy with mesh cage insertion and segmental cement-augmented pedicle screw fixation is an effective approach for treating patients with advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic deficit.
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Introduction

Delayed post-traumatic vertebral collapse, or Kümmell disease, is marked by a painful kyphotic deformity that develops after an asymptomatic period of several weeks or months following an injury; it was first described by Hermann Kümmell in 1895 [1]. Symptoms may be absent for months to years after a minor trauma, but they can develop insidiously or suddenly. Clinically, Kümmell disease is characterized by postural deformity accompanied by extreme pain and a vacuum phenomenon in the vertebral body or intervertebral disc that may be radiographically...
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observed [2]. In addition to the simple radiologic findings, abnormal findings on computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have been reported [3]; however, the clinical course and pathogenesis of Kümmell disease are controversial [4]. The disease has distinct developmental phases [5]. In the initial phase, patients experience backache and tenderness, which subside and are followed by an asymptomatic period. In the late phase, the pain recurs weeks to months after the initial injury without further apparent trauma, and patients develop a progressive angular kyphosis with or without neurologic deficit.

Typical radiologic findings of Kümmell disease are an intravertebral cleft (intravertebral vacuum or fluid collection) combined with a collapsed vertebra (vertebra plana) [6]. More recently, multiple synonymous terms have been used to describe Kümmell disease, including delayed post-traumatic vertebral collapse, vertebral osteonecrosis, and intravertebral pseudarthrosis [7]. Whether the presence of an intravertebral cleft is a pathognomonic sign of Kümmell disease remains controversial [4]. Kümmell disease most commonly develops at the thoracolumbar spine, which is the junctional area of the whole spine. Various treatments based on the clinical radiologic status have been introduced [4,7,8].

In this study, we report our findings on the use of vertebral column resection with mesh cage insertion, followed by polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA)-augmented pedicle screw fixation performed at one or two levels above and below the affected vertebrae to treat patients with advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic deficit. Based on a review of the literature combined with our findings, we also discuss whether an intravertebral cleft is a pathognomonic sign of Kümmell disease.

Materials and Methods

1. Patients

We retrospectively analyzed 22 patients (7 males and 15 females with a mean age of 72 years; age range, 68–83 years) admitted to our hospital with advanced thoracolumbar Kümmell disease and surgically treated between January 2011 and January 2014. These patients were considered to have an advanced disease because of their neurologically compromised state caused by severe vertebral collapse. The duration of symptoms in all patients was 7–12 weeks, and 18 of the patients had a definitive history of minor trauma (Table 1). The mean bone mineral density level was −3.6±7.6, and the collapsed vertebrae were T10 (n=2), T11 (n=6), T12 (n=10), L1 (n=3), and L2 (n=1).

To assess the effectiveness of the procedure, we compared the amount of reduction in kyphotic deformity, clinical symptoms, and neurologic deficit preoperatively and postoperatively. For all patients, radiologic studies were conducted including standing anteroposterior and lateral radiographs as well as CT and MRI. The study was conducted after obtaining approval from the ethics committee of Ewha Womans Hospital. The Institutional Review Board in Ewha Womans Hospital approved the study.

2. Surgical procedure

The patients were placed in a prone position onto the frame to avoid compression of the intra-abdominal vessels and to decrease thoracolumbar kyphosis angle. This postural reduction restored most of the body height of the fractured vertebrae. Using a standard posterior midline approach, pedicle screws were placed promptly into the vertebrae one or two levels above and below the collapsed vertebra. Prior to the insertion of each screw, 1 or 2 mL of PMMA was instilled under fluoroscopic guidance into the vertebral bodies through a tapping hole that was intended for screw fixation. The affected vertebral laminae, bilateral facets, pedicles, and fractured body with the superior and inferior discs were resected to decompress the spinal cord as much as possible.

A mesh cage packed with autologous bone chip was inserted into the corpectomy site. One nerve root, mainly on the left side, was sacrificed as necessary to secure the space for cage insertion, and two small cages were inserted on either side of the lumbar corpectomy site. A large-diameter mesh cage or two small cages were used; this was decided intraoperatively based on the feasibility of cage insertion into the corpectomy site and the level of the affected vertebra. A temporary rod was used alternately to maintain the stability of the vertebral column during vertebrectomy and to prevent compromise of the dural sac and neural tissue. After reduction of kyphotic changes, two rods were tightened in an alternating manner. A careful inspection was performed to ensure that no compression of the dural sac, no leakage of bone cement or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and no bleeding occurred in the resected bony and soft tissues. Posterolateral fusion
with autogenous bone grafts from the resected bone was performed on the secured vertebral laminae, facets, and transverse laminae (Fig. 1). Patients were allowed to walk as early as possible while wearing braces.

3. Clinical and radiologic evaluation

Postoperative evaluation was performed immediately postoperatively; at 1, 3, and 6 months; and at 1 and 2 years. Anterior vertebral height was measured along the borders of the anterior vertebral body. The Cobb angle used to assess kyphosis was measured as the subtended angle between the superior endplate of the vertebra one level above the fractured vertebra and the inferior endplate of the vertebra one level below the fractured vertebral body. The presence of an intradiscal or intravertebral band of gas on plain radiography, CT, and/or MRI was considered to be an intravertebral cleft sign. Even if a cleft sign was observed in only one of the three imaging modalities, the cleft sign was concluded to be present. The visual analog scale (VAS), which ranges from 0 (no pain) to 10 (maximal pain), was used to assess pain severity, and the Frankel classification was used to assess the neurologic status pre- and postoperatively [9].

4. Statistical analysis

SPSS ver. 12.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. Student’s t-test was used to analyze numerical data comparing pre- versus postoperative values. Postoperative versus preoperative values were analyzed using paired t-test. A P-value of <0.05 level was considered statistically significant.

Table 1. Patient’s demographics

| No. | Sex | Age | BMD  | Level               | Fusion level | VAS score | Kyphosis angle (°) | Anterior vertebral height (mm) | Frankel classification | Complication |
|-----|-----|-----|------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
|     |     |     |      |                     | Pre          | F/U       | Pre               | F/U                          | Pre                    | F/U          |
| 1   | F   | 72  | -3.5±8.2 | T11              | T9–L1        | 8         | 2                 | 26                           | 15                     | 12           | 35           | C    | C  |
| 2   | F   | 75  | -4.6±5.0 | T12              | T10–L2       | 8         | 2                 | 25                           | 17                     | 13           | 30           | C    | E  |
| 3   | M   | 78  | -3.2±1.2 | T11, T12         | T9–L1        | 9         | 2                 | 25                           | 20                     | 15           | 34           | C    | E  |
| 4   | M   | 70  | -3.9±9.7 | T12              | T10–L2       | 10        | 1                 | 22                           | 16                     | 20           | 36           | D    | E  | CSF |
| 5   | F   | 68  | -3.7±2.5 | T12              | T10–L2       | 8         | 2                 | 30                           | 22                     | 17           | 37           | D    | E  |
| 6   | M   | 74  | -4.2±4.3 | T11              | T11–L3       | 7         | 2                 | 20                           | 14                     | 11           | 36           | C    | D  |
| 7   | F   | 74  | -4.0±0.2 | T12              | T10–L2       | 8         | 2                 | 34                           | 19                     | 12           | 37           | C    | D  | Wd |
| 8   | F   | 73  | -3.6±5.7 | T12              | T10–L2       | 8         | 2                 | 40                           | 35                     | 14           | 31           | C    | D  |
| 9   | M   | 75  | -3.4±1.2 | T11              | T9–L1        | 8         | 2                 | 25                           | 12                     | 21           | 35           | D    | E  |
| 10  | M   | 80  | -4.9±2.4 | T12              | T10–L2       | 7         | 2                 | 23                           | 12                     | 13           | 37           | D    | E  |
| 11  | F   | 77  | -3.2±3.1 | T11              | T9–L1        | 6         | 2                 | 30                           | 16                     | 11           | 36           | C    | E  | Embol |
| 12  | F   | 73  | -3.7±6.2 | T10              | T10–L2       | 6         | 1                 | 35                           | 17                     | 10           | 34           | D    | E  |
| 13  | F   | 72  | -3.0±1.0 | T11              | T9–L1        | 8         | 3                 | 34                           | 12                     | 20           | 35           | C    | E  |
| 14  | F   | 71  | -3.2±7.2 | L2               | T11–L3       | 5         | 3                 | 33                           | 22                     | 17           | 36           | D    | D  |
| 15  | M   | 73  | -3.9±8.0 | T,112            | T10–L2       | 7         | 2                 | 34                           | 15                     | 12           | 37           | D    | E  |
| 16  | M   | 74  | -2.8±5.0 | T11              | T9–L1        | 8         | 2                 | 37                           | 28                     | 16           | 40           | D    | E  |
| 17  | F   | 73  | -4.0±8.0 | T11              | T9–L1        | 8         | 3                 | 40                           | 36                     | 14           | 35           | C    | C  |
| 18  | F   | 69  | -3.6±3.5 | L1               | T11–L3       | 8         | 2                 | 25                           | 11                     | 12           | 33           | C    | D  |
| 19  | F   | 68  | -3.7±3.4 | T12              | T10–L2       | 8         | 2                 | 35                           | 28                     | 11           | 32           | D    | D  |
| 20  | F   | 83  | -3.4±8.6 | T11              | T9–L1        | 7         | 2                 | 44                           | 35                     | 16           | 35           | D    | D  |
| 21  | F   | 69  | -3.8±5.3 | T12,L1          | T11–L3       | 6         | 1                 | 35                           | 15                     | 22           | 38           | C    | E  |
| 22  | F   | 72  | -3.9±7.2 | T12              | T10–L2       | 6         | 3                 | 30                           | 14                     | 20           | 35           | C    | E  |

BMD, bone mineral density (Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry); VAS, visual analog scale; Pre, preoperative; F/U, last follow-up; F, female; M, male. CSF, cerebrospinal fluid leakage; Wd, wound infection; Embol, pulmonary embolism.
evaluate the significance of changes in VAS scores, kyphotic angles, and vertebral body heights preoperatively, immediately postoperatively, and at the final follow-up. A \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to be significant.

**Results**

Of the 22 patients, 15 presented with an intravertebral cleft sign at the initial radiologic examination; intravertebral (10 patients), intradiscal (5 patients), and both intravertebral and intradiscal patterns (2 patients) were observed. Cleft signs were observed on plain radiographs in 8 cases, CT images in 15 cases, and MRI images in 8 cases.

The mean duration of surgery was 201 minutes (range, 180–250 minutes), and the mean estimated blood loss was 550 mL (range, 340–800 mL). The mean volume of PMMA used at one screw level was 2.6 mL (range, 2.0–3.5 mL). A spinal dural tear occurred in one patient. Intraoperative biopsies from 16 patients revealed bone necrosis, while the remaining 6 patients had bone fracture. Fusion levels were T10–L2 (10 patients), T9–L1 (8 patients), and T11–L3 (4 patients). There were no neurologic deficits, despite sacrifice of the nerve root (Table 1).

Patients were followed-up for 13–40 months (mean, 26 months). Mean VAS score, anterior height of the affected vertebrae, and kyphotic angle improved significantly immediately postoperatively compared with the preoperative state (\( p < 0.05 \)). These improvements were maintained from immediately postoperatively till the final follow-up (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Table 2). None of the patients was classified as grade A under the Frankel classification. Preoperatively, 12 patients were classified as grade C and 10 as grade D; immediately postoperatively, 10 patients were classified as grade C, 6 as grade D, and 6 as grade E. At the final follow-up, 2 patients were classified as grade C, 7 as grade D, and 13 as grade E. None of the patients deteriorated to

**Table 2. Analysis prior and following surgery**

|                  | Kyphotic angle (°) | Anterior vertebral height (mm) | VAS         |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Preoperative     | 31.95±44           | 13.22±7.8                      | 8.59±0.41   |
| Immediate postoperative | 15.24±7.8        | 35.10±9.6                      | 2.09±0.35   |
| Last follow-up   | 19.56±8.6          | 31.54±7.0                      | 2.78±0.95   |

VAS, visual analog scale.

\( p < 0.05 \); Preoperative values vs. immediate postoperative, Preoperative values vs. last follow-up.
a worse neurologic status postoperatively compared with their preoperative status. Furthermore, the neurologic functioning of all the patients improved by one or two levels at the final follow-up (Table 1). Some superficial complications were observed: skin infection (one patient), delayed CSF leakage (one patient), and pulmonary pneumonia (one patient). No obvious loosening of internal fixation, breakage, or bone cement displacement occurred during follow-up.

**Discussion**

Since Maldague et al. [10] first reported the intravertebral vacuum cleft (described as gas accumulation in the vertebral body visible on plain radiograph), it has been considered a pathognomonic sign of Kümmell disease. The vacuum phenomenon is more evident with the spine in the extended position and may be reduced or disappear in the flexed position [11]. In our study, the intravertebral cleft was more readily observed using CT than using the other imaging modalities; this may be due to the relatively high resolution of CT for visualizing gas and bone. However, whether the intravertebral cleft is a pathognomonic sign of Kümmell disease remains controversial [12]. It has been recommended that the presence of an intravertebral cleft should not necessarily be considered as a characteristic sign of Kümmell disease, but if the cleft is present, the disease should be suspected [12]. Therefore, we considered it necessary to confirm the presence of Kümmell disease by performing biopsy to evaluate the presence of bone necrosis. The pathogenesis of the intravertebral vacuum phenomenon remains controversial. It is hypothesized to be related to vertebral avascular necrosis, vertebral fracture nonunion, pseudarthrosis, or intradiscal gas leakage through the endplate fractured into the vertebral body [13].

Most patients with early-stage Kümmell disease have no real neurologic deficits, but they experience severe pain during motion. These patients are generally treated with bed rest and analgesic anti-inflammatory drugs [14]. However, these may not be the most appropriate methods of treatment if the pain is chronic, does not respond well to conservative treatment, and persists and long-term bed rest cannot be maintained [14]. Furthermore, it is important to determine whether the pain originates from a compression fracture, is caused by a kyphotic deformity, or results from fractures related to other spinal lesions (spinal stenosis, disc herniation, etc.). The treatment method should be determined according to the patient’s neurologic and systemic status, radiologic findings, and the cause of pain and kyphosis [15].

Treatment strategies for Kümmell disease differ according to the clinical course of the disease. For patients having pain without neurologic symptoms, the objective is to eliminate pain at the fracture site and restore stability. Some authors have reported that percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty yields good outcomes in patients who have persistent pain without neurologic symptoms [7,14]. The mechanism by which vertebroplasty alleviates pain is unclear, but it may involve thermal necrosis, lysis of nerve cell endings due to chemical toxicity, and improvement of mechanical stability [16].

Some researchers have advocated the use of cement instillation within collapsed vertebral bodies in patients with Kümmell disease [17]. In patients with advanced disease, vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty are unsuitable and may further worsen the neurologic status [18]. Various surgical methods, such as anterior decompression and fusion with bone graft, posterior decompression with pedicle subtraction osteotomy, and a combined anterior and posterior approach have been introduced, but these procedures have not led to satisfactory outcomes; rather, instrument failure, progression of kyphosis, delayed recovery of the neurologic deficit, and failure of early ambulation have been reported with these techniques [5]. For neurologically impaired patients, the aim of surgery is to decompress the spinal cord, restore the physiologic spinal curvature, and maintain spinal stability.

Surgical options for advanced Kümmell disease include anterior, posterior, or combined anterior and posterior approaches. For example, anterior decompression and fusion with an intervertebral tricortical graft or mesh cage have yielded favorable outcomes. These procedures are most efficient for decompressing the spinal cord because the focus of pathology, which is an incompetent as well as compressed vertebral column, can be directly accessed. In addition, an anterior column support is provided, resulting in a relatively high fusion rate and a favorable postoperative kyphosis correction angle. However, the disadvantage of the anterior approach in retroperitoneal, extrapleural surgical procedures is that it may cause pulmonary, urologic, intra-abdominal, and/or retroperitoneal complications. In addition, most cases of Kümmell disease occur in elderly individuals who may have several car-
diopulmonary abnormalities. Moreover, graft collapse or fusion failure may occur due to osteoporotic bone when using the anterior approach alone [5]. The posterior approach alone involves decompression, resection of the fractured vertebra, and fusion. The fusion rate achieved with this approach is relatively high, and immediate stability is obtained. However, because of the lack of an anterior support and decompression, the long-term failure rate is high, and incomplete decompression has been reported. A combined anterior and posterior approach results in a favorable fusion rate with correction of kyphosis over the short and long term. However, considering the elderly nature of the patients, surgery time is long, and a large amount of blood are significant drawbacks [5].

Because patients with advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic symptoms are often elderly and have a variety of comorbidities, they do not easily tolerate multiple surgical procedures. Consequently, the development of a single-stage treatment method is required. Surgeons have performed single-stage posterior decompression and segmental fixation in patients with advanced Kümmell disease and neurologic symptoms. The decompression and segmental fixation are followed by anterior cage insertion and bone cement-augmented screw fixation under direct visualization. This procedure has several advantages. Posterior corpectomy with mesh cage insertion relieves cord compression, and augmented segmental fixation and fusion reduce the number of fusion segments and the influence of long segmental spinal function. Bone cement in the vertebral body allows a firm integration of screws and provides anterior support to minimize posterior pedicle screw stress.

We followed our patients for a mean duration of 26 months. At the final follow-up, slight decreases in vertebral height and kyphosis correction angle were observed compared with those measured 1 day postoperatively. However, these differences were not significant. All the patients had severe osteoporosis, which may have resulted in the loss of correction observed during follow-up. The neurologic status of our patients had improved by at least one Frankel grade at the final follow-up, and most of the patients were ambulatory. Thus, we conclude that posterior or vertebrectomy with mesh cage insertion and segmental cement-augmented pedicle screw fixation is an effective treatment for patients with Kümmell disease with neurologic compromise.

Our study had some limitations. First, recruitment bias was inevitable and may have affected our findings. The small number of subjects and the relatively short follow-up durations are additional limitations. Furthermore, this was a case series study without a control group. To better evaluate the surgical outcomes, case-controlled studies are needed.

Ours was a preliminary study, and we recommend that future studies on Kümmell disease should be carefully designed for further clinical interpretation. A larger number of patients and longer follow-up periods are required to verify the efficacy of our approach for treating advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic deficit.

**Conclusions**

We retrospectively analyzed the outcomes in 22 neurologically compromised patients with advanced Kümmell disease who underwent vertebrectomy with mesh cage insertion and segmental PMMA-augmented pedicle screw fixation. Outcomes were favorable, and we, therefore, consider this surgical approach to be a viable option for the treatment of advanced Kümmell disease with neurologic deficit.
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