Electrospinning of liquefied banana stem residue in conjugation with hydroxyapatite nanocrystals: towards new scaffolds for bone tissue engineering
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Abstract Electrospun fibers have high structural similarity to the extracellular matrix. Some researchers have tried to fabricate cellulose nanofibers using electrospinning, although the fabricated fibers usually exhibited a non-uniform texture. Moreover, the fabricated mats always suffer from low biological and mechanical properties. Thus, the objective of this study was first to produce a naturally occurring cellulose from banana pseudo-stem. The native cellulose was then used to electrospin in order to determine how a Taguchi $L_9$ orthogonal array can be used to fabricate a defect-free fibrous mat. Finally, cellulose was incorporated with hydroxyapatite (HA) nanoparticles to generate a new fibrous construct in which cellulose provides a suitable environment for cell attachment and HA act as a strong filler increasing the mechanical properties. The results revealed that cellulose concentration of solution and applied voltage had the greatest effect on morphology of the fibers. The morphological characterization of the nanocomposites showed that they had a uniform nanofibrous texture without any significant bead, splashing or particle agglomeration. According to the mechanical tests, the samples containing the higher concentration of HA had a significantly higher mechanical strength. The results obtained from bioactivity analysis indicated an interesting morphological transformation into a flake-like structure which confirmed the high bioactivity of the scaffolds. Moreover, in vitro studies showed a pronounced increase in proliferation of bone cells on cellulose/HA nanocomposites compared to the neat cellulose. Given the high strength and the high biological activity, the new cellulose/HA scaffold can be promising for bone regeneration applications.
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Introduction

Bone is reported to be the second most transplanted tissue only behind blood, with over four million operations annually using bone grafts and substitutes to treat complex bone defects (Turnbull et al. 2018). However, several limitations affect current treatment options and hence significant researches in the field of bone tissue engineering have been directed towards developing novel functional alternatives to traditional bone substitutes. This can be achieved by creating porous and bioactive three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds that can induce osteoconduction and integration to support bone regeneration (Perić Kačarević et al. 2020; Turnbull et al. 2018). A variety of biomaterials have been used to this end, each with their own advantages and disadvantages. However, the “perfect” scaffold has not yet been created and clinical translation of bioactive scaffolds has been limited as a result.

Bone is a heterogeneous composite material comprising hydroxyapatite (HA) phase, a mixed polymer-based organic phase and water (Sato 2007). During bone scaffold manufacture it would therefore seem promising to include a combination of natural polymers with bioceramics, especially HA minerals, to create a composite scaffold, potentially allowing favorable scaffold bioactivity and strength as well as structural biomimicry to be achieved. Indeed, natural polymers often contain biofunctionality on their surface that can positively support cell adhesion and function on the scaffold (Sadat-Shojai 2018; Turnbull et al. 2018). Polymers of biological origin are also inexpensive and eco-friendly and their combination with HA can provide further benefits including increased bioactivity with a more tunable biodegradation rate (Perić Kačarević et al. 2020; Sadat-Shojai 2018; Sayed et al. 2018). Although naturally occurring polymers have attracted interest of scientists, however, biopolymers based on synthetic materials have usually been incorporated with HA in recent years, and only a few reports are currently available on the bioactive HA nanoparticles in combination with naturally occurring polymers for application in bone reconstruction.

Of the diverse natural polymers, cellulose, produced from plants, animals or bacteria, is considered as a natural and renewable resource of raw material for environmentally friendly and biocompatible products (Kalia et al. 2011; Meng et al. 2019). Cellulose is constructed from repeating 1,4-β-hydroglucose units connected to each other by β-ether linkages (Kalia et al. 2011). However, despite the enormous potential of cellulose in biomedicine, there are several important issues that remain to be addressed, such as their suboptimal mechanical properties, lack of tuneability of degradation rates and poor processability. On the other hand, most of the studies on cellulose were...
conducted on various derivatives of cellulose which are poor in strength and susceptible to rapid degradation and usually require some post-modification processes for tissue engineering applications.

From structural point of view, polymeric nanofibers have received extensive interest in recent years due to their similarity with nanoscaled fibrils found in natural extracellular matrix (ECM). Among the various technique for the fabrication of nanofibrous scaffolds, the electrospinning method is the most promising and versatile process, mainly due to its ability to create nanofibers with the physical dimensions similar to the fibrillar ECM, processing versatility to a wide range of polymers, potential to include ceramic nanoparticles, and simple operation at low cost (Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020, 2021). The method uses an externally applied electric force to draw charged threads of polymer solutions as thin jets from a capillary tube towards a collector (Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2021; Turnbull et al. 2018). The first step in electrospinning process is preparation of the polymer solution with an appropriate viscosity and surface tension. There have already been numerous studies on electrospun nanofibers from various natural polymers (Angel et al. 2020; Bombin et al. 2020; Hooshmand-Ardakani et al. 2020; Zarei et al. 2021); however, since naturally occurring cellulose is insoluble in most organic solvents owing to its high crystallinity enhanced by tremendous hydrogen bonding network, most of the researchers have focused on cellulose derivatives and only few attempts have been made for direct electrospinning of dissolved cellulose. On the other hand, electrospinning of cellulose derived from plants in conjugation with HA nanoparticles has rarely been reported, probably because it may be difficult to prepare a convenient solution/dispersion with high solution concentration in terms of cellulose and high dispersion stability in terms of HA nanoparticles.

Thus, the aim of the present study was to fabricate nanofibrous cellulose/HA mats with the electrospinning process at some new processing conditions with the aid of design of experiments (DOE) approach. To our knowledge, no electrospinning study has been conducted using DOE approach to explore the influence of fabrication process on properties of cellulose-based scaffold. Banana pseudo-stem (BPS) by-product generated in banana is a kind of raw materials which primarily consists of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, and hence we first attempt to extract α-cellulose from BPS by a liquefaction method, followed by physical characterization of the producing bleached liquefied residue (BLR). The optimal conditions of electrospinning for BLR in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) solvent mixture was then determined using DOE and analysis of the obtained responses. Nanofibrous cellulose scaffolds having different concentrations of HA nanoparticles were also fabricated under optimized conditions to determine the effect of HA bioceramics on physical, biological and mechanical properties of the cellulose-based scaffolds.

Experimental

Materials

BPS (collected from Fars, Shiraz, Iran) was washed and dried at 100 °C, followed by milling to obtain a fine and homogeneous powder. Polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400), glycerol, sulfuric acid (98%) and sodium chlorite were purchased from Merck and used for extraction of cellulose from BPS. Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (Merck) as the calcium ion source, (NH₄)₂HPO₄ (Merck) as the phosphate ion source, and NH₄OH (Merck) as the pH controller were used for the preparation of HA nanoparticles. Merck-grade NaCl, NaHCO₃, KCl, K₂HPO₄·3H₂O, MgCl₂·6H₂O, CaCl₂, Na₂SO₄, tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane ((CH₂OH)₃CNH₂), and HCl were used for preparation of simulated body fluid (SBF). Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) was purchased from Invitrogen Corporation. For cell culture, Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium and L-glutamine was obtained from Bioidea and fetal bovine serum (FBS), trypsin, and penicillin/streptomycin were purchased from Gibco. Moreover, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenylytetrazolium (MTT, Merck), Hoechst 33342 (Sigma), and hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Merck) were used for various cell assays. Solvents including TFA, DCE, dimethylacetamide (DMAc), ethanol, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were come from Sigma and used as received. All reagents and chemicals were of reagent grade and were used without further purification.
Extraction of cellulose from BPS

Extraction of cellulose from BPS was conducted according to a procedure described elsewhere, with some modifications (Meng et al. 2019). In brief, to remove most hemicelluloses and some lignin from BPS by liquefaction method, 25 g of mixed solvents (PEG 400: glycerol = 4:1, w/w) and 0.49 g of sulfuric acid as a catalyst were first added to a glass flask and preheated to 150 °C. 5 g of BPS was then added to the liquid to start the reaction. After constant stirring and refluxing for 90 min, the flask was quickly immersed into an ice-bath to quench the reaction. The resulting black precipitate was diluted with ethanol. Thereafter, the liquefied residue (LR) was collected by filtration and dried at 100 °C. The bleaching process of LR was carried out by the sodium chlorite method. For this, LR was treated by acidified sodium chlorite solution (6 wt%, pH 4–4.5 by acetic acid) at 75 °C for 1 h to remove residual lignin. This treatment was repeated for at least 3–5 times until the obtained residue became colorless. The mixture was cooled and rinsed thoroughly with the deionized water, followed by freeze drying to obtain the final bleached LR (BLR). The chemical structure of BPS, LR and BLR was studied using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR; 8300, Shimadzu, Japan) according to the KBr technique. Phase composition of the prepared BLR was analyzed using a X-ray diffractometer (XRD, D8 advanced, Bruker, Germany) with CuKα (λ = 0.154187 nm) incident radiation over the 2θ range of 10°–50° at room temperature with a step size of 0.05°. The relative crystallinity index (Cr.I.) of the product was determined following Eq. 1 (Jasiukaitytė et al. 2009; Segal et al. 1959):

\[
\text{Cr.I.}(\%) = \frac{I_{200} - I_{\text{am}}}{I_{200}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

where \(I_{200}\) is maximum intensity of the diffraction from the 2 0 0 lattice plane at 2θ of 22°, and \(I_{\text{am}}\) represents the diffraction intensity of the amorphous scatter corresponding to the intensity of the minimum between 1 1 0 peak and 2 0 0 peak at 2θ of about 18° (Segal et al. 1959). Moreover, the average thickness of cellulose crystallites was estimated by using Scherrer’s Eq. 2 (Jasiukaitytė et al. 2009):

\[
D_{hkl} = \frac{K \lambda}{\beta_{1/2} \cos \theta}
\]  

(2)

where \(D_{hkl}\) is the crystallite dimension in the direction normal to the h k l of lattice planes, K is the correction factor equal to 0.9, λ is the X-ray wavelength (0.154187 nm), θ is the diffraction angle and \(\beta_{1/2}\) is the peak width at half maximum intensity. In this study, the crystallite size was estimated perpendicular to the 2 0 0 plane where \(\beta_{1/2}\) was determined as 0.027 rad. Molecular weight (MW) of BLR sample was determined by the capillary viscometry method using the Ubbelohde U-tube viscometer. For this, BLR was dissolved in DMAc/9% LiCl solvent at 25 °C and the MW was estimated using Mark–Houwink equation which relates the MW of the polymer to the intrinsic viscosity \([\eta]\) (Chuah et al. 2001):

\[
[\eta] = KM^n
\]  

(3)

where, K and a are Mark–Houwink constants, which in DMAc/LiCl solvent system at 25 °C, are equal to 0.001278 mL/g and 1.19, respectively (McCormick et al. 1985).

Synthesis of HA nanoparticles

HA nanoparticles were synthesized in-house according to our previously reported procedure (Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020). In brief, a solution of \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4\) (0.15 M) was added into a solution of \(\text{Ca(NO}_3)_2·4\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (0.15 M) at a constant rate and continuous stirring, while molar ratio of calcium ions to phosphate ions was kept at 1.67. During the reaction, pH was adjusted at 10 using a diluted \(\text{NH}_4\text{OH}\) solution. The solution containing particles was subsequently aged in a sealed container at 90 °C for 60 h. Finally, the HA nanoparticles were separated and washed by a mixture of ethanol and deionized water. Chemical structure and phase composition of powder was examined using FTIR and XRD respectively. Moreover, crystalline phase and crystallite size of particles were determined by using the XRD pattern following the methods described in the literature (Pang and Bao 2003; Reiche et al. 2002). The morphology of the powder was investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Vega3, TESCAN, Czech Republic) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, CM10, Philips, Netherlands). SBF solution with an
ionic concentrations similar to those of human blood plasma was adopted to survey the bioactivity of the as-synthesized nanoparticles. For this, SBF solution was first prepared according to the protocol described elsewhere (Kokubo and Takadama 2006), and the in vitro bioactivity was then assessed by soaking the powder in the SBF for 30 days at 37 °C, while the solution was refreshed every 3 days. The powder sample was subsequently filtered and washed thoroughly with deionized water before analysis with SEM.

Taguchi experimental design approach

Statistical DOE-based methods are one of the most useful tools to optimize the process parameters and to extract as much information as possible from fewer experiments. Indeed, this approach aims to understand the impact of several parameters on selective responses while minimizing the number of trials (Gadomska-Gajadhur et al. 2018; Sadat-Shojai and Ghadiri-Ghalenazeri 2020; Smiciklas et al. 2005). Among the possible DOE approaches, the Taguchi method based on an orthogonal array has recently received great attention as it can obtain the optimum conditions through a very limited number of tests. Furthermore, this method can simply identify those input variables which have the major impact on the selected response (Sadat-Shojai and Ghadiri-Ghalenazeri 2020).

As shown in Table 1, four process variables, which are well-known to be important electrospinning parameters, with three levels for each were selected in the current study and a Taguchi method based on an \( L_9 \) orthogonal array was employed to examine their effects on the objective functions, i.e. average diameter of cellulose nanofibers and average area of splashing during the electrospinning. According to Table 1, the total number of required trials was only 9 as opposed to 81 for a full factorial design. The present study is the first study investigating the optimum electrospinning conditions for the fabrication of cellulose-based nanofibers. Here, Taguchi’s S/N ratios along with relevant mean plots was automatically obtained using the software “STATISTICA 12.0®”. Finally, the fabrication of cellulose nanofibers with the smallest diameter and the best uniformity (i.e., the lowest splashing) was attempted by applying the best level of each electrospinning variable.

**Electrospinning of cellulose nanofibers**

The electrospinning was performed using a labscale electrospinning unit (Nanoazma, Iran) at room temperature and relative humidity of ~30%. For this, cellulose solutions with different concentrations (according to Table 1) were prepared by stirring the appropriate amounts of BLR in mixed TFA/DCE solvent (7:3, v/v) for 2 days at room temperature. The obtaining viscous and homogeneous solution was then transferred to a syringe capped with a blunt-ended needle. A metallic electrode plate wrapped with aluminum foil was used as a collector while the nozzle to collector distance (i.e., working distance, WD) was fixed according to the values in Table 1. High voltage according to Table 1 was applied between the needle and the electrode plate and the cellulose solution flows from the needle with a predetermined flow rate (Table 1) to collect the nanofibers on the aluminum foil. Both the flow rate and the applied electric voltage were tried to precisely control to keep stable cone-like structure of the cellulose solution on the tip of the nozzle during electrospinning process. To estimate the mean fiber diameter, the morphology of nanofibers was studied using SEM, followed by diameter measurement of 100 randomly selected individual fibers using Image J software. In addition, the average area of splashing was estimated.

**Table 1** Taguchi’s \( L_9 \) orthogonal array design along with electrospinning variables and their levels

| Run no | Design | Concentration (wt.%) | Voltage (kV) | Flow rate (mL/h) | WD (cm) |
|--------|--------|----------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|
| 1      | 1      | 1                    | 1           | 1                | 1       |
| 2      | 1      | 1.4                  | 25          | 1                | 12      |
| 3      | 1      | 1.6                  | 30          | 1.5              | 15      |
| 4      | 2      | 1                    | 1           | 1                | 3       |
| 5      | 2      | 2                    | 3           | 1                | 1       |
| 6      | 2      | 3                    | 1           | 2                | 2       |
| 7      | 3      | 1                    | 3           | 1                | 2       |
| 8      | 3      | 2                    | 1           | 3                | 3       |
| 9      | 3      | 3                    | 2           | 1                | 1       |
through image analysis of around 20 splashed partic-
ulates from four images.

Electrospinning of cellulose/HA nanofibers

To prepare cellulose/HA mixture for electrospinning, cellulose was first dissolved at the optimum concent-
tration in TFA/DCE solvent according to the proce-
dure described in previous section. HA nanoparticles was then dispersed in the resulting solution through 5 min sonication in an ultrasonic bath. The mixed solution/dispersion with HA concentration varying from 0 to 20% (w/w, with respect to the cellulose) was subsequently electrospun under optimum conditions determined through the statistical DOE approach. The obtaining nanofibrous structures containing 0, 10, and 20 wt% HA nanoparticles were denoted as C-HA0, C-HA10, and C-HA20 respectively. To evaluate the colloidal stability of HA nanoparticles in the electrospinning solution, a sedimentation study was performed through a typical solution/dispersion of cellulose with HA concentration of 10%. For this, the nanoparticles were dispersed in cellulose solution using the ultrasonic bath and the sedimentation behavior was immediately monitored for 6 h.

The morphology of the nanofibrous composites was evaluated using SEM, while the dispersion of HA particles inside the nanofibers was assayed using an energy dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDX, QX2, Ron-
tec) which was coupled with the SEM microscopy.

To estimate the porosity, the apparent density of a 2 cm×2 cm piece of the fibrous mat was first deter-
mined using Eq. 4 (Ma et al. 2005):

\[
\text{Apparent density (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \frac{\text{mat mass (mg)} \times 10}{\text{mat thickness (µm)} \times \text{mat area (cm}^2\text{)}} \tag{4}
\]

Then, the porosity was calculated according to Eq. 5 (Ma et al. 2005):

\[
\text{Mat porosity (\%)} = \left(1 - \frac{\text{Apparent density (g/cm}^3\text{)}}{\text{Bulk density of film (g/cm}^3\text{)}}\right) \times 100 \tag{5}
\]

where the bulk density was determined through density measurement of a non-porous casted film obtained from solution casting of a 2 cm×2 cm piece of the fibrous mat dissolved in DMAc/LiCl solvent at room temperature. To verify the filler concent-
tration, thermal behavior of fibrous mats was studied using a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA, Q600, TA instruments, US) under nitrogen atmosphere. The measurements were carried out between room temperature and 700 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. To investigate the mechanical strength of nanocom-
posites, uniaxial tensile tests were performed by using a universal testing machine (Z020, Zwick/Roell, Ger-
many) equipped with a 20 N load cell. Rectangular strips of ~5 mm×20 mm×0.1 mm were cut from each nanofibrous mat and the samples were placed between the grips of the machine and pulled apart in the longitudinal direction at a constant crosshead speed of 1.0 mm/min. The tensile strength was sub-
sequently determined according to the maximum stress detected from the stress–strain curves, while the elastic modulus was calculated from the first linear slop of the curves. Four measurements were conducted for each sample and the obtained values were reported as mean± standard deviation (SD). The water contact angle of fibrous surface was esti-
mated to determine the relative hydrophilicity of the mats. For this, 5.0 µL of deionized water was placed on the mat and the water droplet image was imme-
diately captured, from which the contact angle was esti-
mated and reported as mean± SD of three meas-
urements. To evaluate the biodegradability, samples in triplicate were cut into square pieces, weighed, and then immersed in PBS solution at 37 °C. The sam-
ples were subsequently removed from the PBS solu-
tion, washed four times with deionized water, dried and finally weighed, to assess the weight loss due to

in vitro bioactivity was studied by soaking nanofi-
broous mat containing 20 wt% HA in SBF solution at 37 °C for 30 days. The SBF solution was refreshed every 3 days. Finally, the mat was removed from the
solution, washed with deionized water, and dried before evaluation by EDX and SEM.

For in vitro cell assays, MG-63 osteosarcoma cells were cultured in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. All cell experiments were performed in gelatin-coated well plates under standard conditions (a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at the constant temperature of 37 °C). A defect-free mat was first vacuum-dried at room temperature and then cut into the small round flakes. The specimens were put into 48-well plates and sterilized by UV irradiation, followed by washing with PBS at room temperature. In order to study the cell attachment, a total of 1.5 × 10⁴ MG-63 cells were cultured on each scaffold while the surface of tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) was used as a control group. Samples were maintained at 37 °C for 90 min and then was vertically placed in a 15 mL Falcon tube, followed by addition of 1 mL PBS and centrifugation at 900 rpm for 5 min. The number of floating cells in PBS was determined using hemocytometer and subtracted from the initial population to obtain the percentage of cells attached to the scaffolds. To determine the cell proliferation, 1 × 10³ MG-63 cells were seeded on each electrospun mat and maintained for 1, 3, and 7 days. After the prescribed time period, the culture medium was replaced with MTT reagent (0.5 mg/mL) and cells were incubated for 4 h, followed by addition of DMSO and incubation for 15 min. The solution was subsequently transferred to a 96-well plate and absorbance was determined at 570 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer (BMG, Labtech). Three measurements were performed for each sample group in both the cell attachment and MTT analyses and values were reported as mean ± SD. The generated data were compared using both the Tukey’s test and one-way ANOVA. Differences between the experimental groups were then considered statistically significant only when p < 0.05.

Results and discussion

Cellulose

Cellulose occurs in almost pure form in cotton fibers; however, in plants, it is found in conjugation with other raw materials, such as lignin and hemicelluloses (Kalia et al. 2011; Meng et al. 2019). In the current study, cellulose was therefore isolated from BPS using the liquefaction method followed by the bleaching process. In this strategy, impurities, including lignin and hemicelluloses can be solubilized by liquefaction, while the residual lignin can be removed using the bleaching process (Meng et al. 2019). The resulting BLR was then used as the polymeric material for fabrication of cellulose/HA nanofibers. The overall macroscopic appearance for the BPS after two steps of treatment is shown in Fig. 1a. According to the figure, the milled light brown BPS powder changed to brownish-black residue (i.e., LR) as a result of the creation of some chromophore during the liquefaction treatment. However, the bleached component (i.e., BLR) appears as a white powder, confirming that hemicellulose, lignin and other non-cellulosic substances were completely removed by the extraction process; accordingly, the final product is suggested to be almost pure cellulosic material. Figure 1b shows FTIR spectra of BPS, LR and BLR to compare the change in chemical structure resulting from this purification process. All spectra exhibited the typical characteristic bands of cellulose, e.g. 3100–3500 cm⁻¹ (OH stretching), 2900 cm⁻¹ (CH stretching), 1450 cm⁻¹ (CH₂ bending), 1305 cm⁻¹ (CH asymmetric deformations) and 1035–1095 cm⁻¹ (CO stretching) (Li et al. 2015; Meng et al. 2019). In contrast, no significant absorption bands at 1735 and 1244 cm⁻¹ due to C=O stretching vibrations of lignin and hemicellulose and C–O–C vibrations of aromatic ether linkages in lignin can be detected in BLR.
spectrum, indicating that lignin and hemicellulose content can be relatively removed after the liquefaction and bleaching processes. Therefore, the results indicated that the chemical structure of cellulose chains remains unchanged following the conducted treatments.

To examine the crystalline structure of the produced cellulose, XRD analysis was used as depicted in Fig. 1c. Indeed, its linearity along with hydrogen bonding interactions and van der Waals forces between adjacent molecules can induce a closely packed crystalline structure in cellulose (Zhang and Lynd 2004). According to the diffraction pattern of BLR, the characteristic peaks at around $2\theta = 22^\circ$ (2 0 0 plane) and $2\theta = 15.3^\circ$ (overlapped peaks corresponding to 1–1 0 and 1 1 0 planes) can be detected confirming a typical crystalline polymorph of cellulose I (French 2014; Li et al. 2015; Meng et al. 2019). The Cr.I. and thickness of cellulose crystallites determined respectively using Eqs. 1 and 2 were 43.3% and 5.23 nm respectively, which were close to the values reported in the literature (Manimaran et al. 2020; Pelissari et al. 2014). While, based on XRD calculations (data not shown), the Cr.I. for naturally produced BPS was around 30%, the higher degree of crystallinity of BLR compared to BPS can be attributed to the progressive removal of amorphous hemicellulose, lignin and other non-cellulosic contents due to the successive liquefaction and bleaching treatments. To study whether the producing cellulose was suitable for the electrospinning, we also assessed the MW of BLR with the well-known Mark–Houwink equation which relates the MW of cellulose to $[\eta]$ (Eq. 3). While, many characteristics of cellulose depend on its chain length or the degree of polymerization, MW of the extracted cellulose from various plant sources are reported between $1.0 \times 10^4$ and $8.0 \times 10^5$ (Kasaai 2002; McCormick et al. 1985). In a typical electrospinning process, higher molecular weights of the

![Structural characterizations of the as-extracted cellulose: a macroscopic appearance of BPS before milling (the first photo on the left), BPS powder, LR and BLR; b FTIR spectra recorded for BPS, LR and BLR; and c XRD pattern of BLR](image-url)
polymer (i.e., larger chains) favors uniform fiber formation. On the other hand, number of beads in the fiber structure decreases with increasing MW (Gupta et al. 2005; Rezaei et al. 2015). According to our viscometry and Eq. 3, the estimated MW of the produced cellulose was around 3.0 × 10^5 which is acceptable for a plant-origin cellulosic polymer.

HA nanoparticles

Nanosized HA particles have long been applied as reinforcing agent in polymeric nanocomposites, as they can significantly enhance their biocompatibility, bioactivity, osteoconductivity and mechanical strength (Sadat-Shojai 2015). In the current study, the as-synthesized HA nanoparticles were characterized in terms of their morphology, chemical structure, and bioactivity. The colloidal stability of HA in BLR solution was also investigated. According to XRD analysis (Fig. 2a) and the ICDD standard card no. 00-09-0432, the as-synthesized powder was relatively pure HA phase with a hexagonal crystal system. From the XRD data, the crystallinity index and the crystal-lite size (for isolated peak assigned to 2 0 0 plane) was 0.80 and 43 nm, respectively. Moreover, the IR spectrum depicted in Fig. 2b confirmed the chemical composition of the precipitate in accordance with the formula of HA (i.e., Ca_{10}(PO_4)_{6}(OH)_2). In brief, the characteristic peaks for phosphate groups appeared at 1098, 1034, 960, 604, and 564 cm\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the peaks at 3420 and 1626 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the hydroxyl group in the adsorbed water, while the peaks at 3574 and 632 cm\(^{-1}\) were assigned to the hydroxyl
groups in the crystal structure of HA (Pang and Bao 2003; Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020).

Figure 2c shows SEM and TEM micrographs of the powder, indicating that prepared particles were relatively irregular in shape with an average grain size less than 70 nm. Since the purpose of this study was to fabricate bone scaffolds, it was necessary to examine the in vitro bioactive properties of the as-synthesized particles. Figure 2d shows the SEM of particles after 30 days immersion in SBF at 37 °C, indicating generation of a flake-like microstructure as a result of nucleation of new minerals on the surface of nanoparticles. From the literature, it can be concluded that the HA powder prepared here have relatively high bioactivity and hence may be a good candidate as reinforcing agent in polymer scaffolds (Ravarian et al. 2010; Sadat-Shojai 2015; Sadat-Shojai et al. 2013). A colloidal stability study which is usually considered as the sedimentation behavior of particles was performed to investigate the duration of HA dispersion in BLR/TFA solution. According to the results (Fig. 3), there is not any significant aggregation and/or precipitation of nanoparticles until 1 h after the sonication. Indeed, during 1 h of monitoring, the suspension containing HA nanoparticles remained completely turbid. As the electrospinning of cellulotic nanofibers containing particles takes a maximum of 1 h, these results can guarantee that nanoparticles can remain stable in the organic phase during the process. However, as indicated in Fig. 3, for longer times (up to 6 h) some nanoparticles begin to precipitate and some others dissolve in the acidic solution, indicating that the as-synthesized powder cannot remain stable for long periods.

Analysis of the electrospinning experiments

It is well-known that critical characteristics of electrospun fibers, such as mechanical strength and toxicity to cells depend strongly upon their microstructure, mainly their morphology, orientation, uniformity, and fiber diameter (Bombin et al. 2020; Hooshmand-Ardakani et al. 2020; Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020, 2021; Zarei et al. 2021). However, when one considers the nanofibers, their dimensions seemed to be highlighted more than other characteristics. Figures 4 and 5a show SEM photomicrographs and diagram of estimated dimensions of the electrospun nanofibers, respectively. According to the SEM observations, two types of morphologies were formed depending on the processing conditions. As seen in SEM images of run no. 1 to run no. 5, a non-uniform fibrous structure with a large amount of splashing was obtained through electrospinning at low solution concentrations (i.e., 1 and 1.4 wt%). However, Fig. 4 shows, while an increase in solution concentrations to 1.6 wt% with a higher applied voltage of 25 kV (i.e., run no. 8) resulted in a uniform structure, a further increase in voltage to 30 kV with the minimum working distance of 10 cm (i.e., run no. 9) yielded a very uniform and interesting nanofibrous mat.

According to Fig. 5a, among the studied variables, the high influence of the solution concentration is evident by the significant increase in fiber diameter with
increasing the solution concentration. Since fibers are generated by evaporation of the solution jet, the diameter of the nanofibers largely depends on the size of the jet as well as the concentration of polymer in the solution jet. Several researchers have suggested that the higher the viscosity of the electrospinning solution, the larger the diameter of the generated fibers (Nezarati et al. 2013; Zeng et al. 2003). Accordingly, the reason behind the increase in the fiber diameter, as shown, is the increase in solution viscosity and the difficulty of pulling the jet at higher concentrations. Moreover, at higher cellulose concentrations, the amount of solvent in the solution jet is less and hence the solvent can evaporate faster. Therefore, with a more concentrated solution, drawing the jet becomes more difficult and dry fibers with larger diameters are generated on the collector. Although smaller diameters for nanofibers may be preferred

**Fig. 4** Representative SEM micrographs of fabricated cellulose nanofibers based on the Taguchi $L_9$ orthogonal design
due to their higher similarity to the natural ECM, as shown in Fig. 5b, the amount of solution splashing (i.e., droplet formation) decreases significantly with increasing fiber diameter from run no. 1 to run no. 9. Indeed, for low-viscosity solution the polymer chains are not entangled and jet is unstable and breaks up into droplets; accordingly to achieve fibers with a lower splashing, solutions with higher concentrations should be used.

A graphical display of the level effect of each electrospinning variable is given in the mean plots in Fig. 5c, in which analyses of the magnitude and the importance of each input factor’s effect are shown. In addition, Table 2 indicates the maximum S/N ratios generated at the optimum level. Again, according to Fig. 5c and Table 2, the cellulose concentration of the electrospinning solution has the greatest effect on the selected responses. Moreover, while the applied voltage during the electrospinning process can also affect the fiber diameter and amount of splashing, based on the S/N ratios, the flow rate and working distance have the lowest importance among the studied factors. From these results, the optimum level for each electrospinning parameter was confirmed as: solution concentration of 1.6 wt%; applied voltage of 30 kV; flow rate of 0.5 mL/h and WD of 10 cm.

Cellulose/HA nanofibers

Although electrospun nanofibers from native cellulose have been previously reported, very few studies, to the best of our knowledge, were focused on development of electrospun cellulose nanofibers containing HA nanoparticles. Moreover, a comprehensive characterization of cellulose nanofibers have rarely been reported before. However, it is expected that stiff and bioactive HA nanoparticles would remarkably influence the structural, mechanical and biological

![Diagram of average fiber diameter (a) and degree of splashing (b) by run no. c Mean plots of the level effect of each electrospinning variable](image-url)
properties of the electrospun cellulosic mats. The morphological characteristics of the as-spun composite fibers were examined using SEM as shown in Fig. 6a. As can be seen, due to the optimization of the electrospinning conditions, the created nanofibrous structures are uniform with minimal bead and splashing defects. Indeed, the absence of beads and splashing droplets can increase surface area-to-volume ratio of the fibers, which in turn increases the contact area between the fibers and the living cells. It should be noted, however, that the small number of splashing (as can be seen in Fig. 6a, especially for C-HA10) is inevitable because sometimes the voltage may fluctuate and become less than the critical voltage required to pull the solution. On the other hand, according to SEM observations, there is not any significant particle agglomeration and/or aggregation in C-HA10 and C-HA20 samples, suggesting that HA nanoparticles could be homogeneously embedded in the cellulose nanofibers. The complete encapsulation of nanoparticles may result from significantly larger diameter of the nanofibers compared with that of the nanoparticles. Since HA nanoparticles show high thermal stability at elevated temperatures, the filler concentration can be determined using TGA. As shown in Fig. 6b, while the residual weight in the control sample (C-HA0) was about 0%, this value was around 18% for C-HA20, which was approximately equal to amount of filler loaded into this nanocomposite. Therefore, the TGA clearly confirmed the incorporation of mineral filler at the expected concentration in the electrospun fibers. To further confirm the homogeneous distribution and dispersion of filler inside the fibrous matrix, EDX-mapping of nanoparticles for C-HA20 sample was also carried out as shown in Fig. 6c. Again, the results clearly suggested that HA nanoparticles were well encapsulated and evenly dispersed inside the whole fibrous mat.

Diameters of the as-spun fibers were determined from SEM images and the results were summarized in Fig. 6d. According to the results, the diameters of the nanofibers decreased non-significantly with the addition of nanoparticles. The observed decrease in the fiber diameter with increasing concentration of filler may result from the fact that reduction of viscosity of the solution jet near the collector can occur due
to the lower fraction of the polymer. Interconnected porosity is another essential requirement for artificial tissue engineering scaffolds. Indeed, scaffolds should mimic the native ECM in terms of porosity to allow proper cell and nutrient migration (Bombin et al. 2020; Sadat-Shojai and Arvaneh 2021; Zarei et al. 2021). The porosity degrees calculated using Eq. 5 were summarized in Fig. 6e. According to the figure, there was a non-significant increase from 61 to 69% in porosity with increasing HA concentration, suggesting that the degree of porosity may slightly be controlled with the filler concentration, although more investigations is needed to determine the reason behind this effect.

An important characteristic to be considered for bone scaffolds is mechanical strength. Generally, the mechanical strength of an electrospun fibrous scaffold is controlled by several parameters, including intrinsic characteristics of the polymer (mainly its molecular weight), degree of porosity, average diameter size of fibers, and presence of defects (Han et al. 2020; Tarus et al. 2016). Figure 7a illustrates the representative stress–strain curves of C-HA0, C-HA10 and C-HA20 fibrous samples. From these curves and their extracted data, the elastic moduli and the tensile strengths of the samples were determined as shown in Fig. 7b and c respectively. According to the results (Fig. 7b), the samples containing HA have a higher

to the lower fraction of the polymer. Interconnected porosity is another essential requirement for artificial tissue engineering scaffolds. Indeed, scaffolds should mimic the native ECM in terms of porosity to allow proper cell and nutrient migration (Bombin et al. 2020; Sadat-Shojai and Arvaneh 2021; Zarei et al. 2021). The porosity degrees calculated using Eq. 5 were summarized in Fig. 6e. According to the figure, there was a non-significant increase from 61 to 69% in porosity with increasing HA concentration, suggesting that the degree of porosity may slightly be controlled with the filler concentration, although more investigations is needed to determine the reason behind this effect.

An important characteristic to be considered for bone scaffolds is mechanical strength. Generally, the mechanical strength of an electrospun fibrous scaffold is controlled by several parameters, including intrinsic characteristics of the polymer (mainly its molecular weight), degree of porosity, average diameter size of fibers, and presence of defects (Han et al. 2020; Tarus et al. 2016). Figure 7a illustrates the representative stress–strain curves of C-HA0, C-HA10 and C-HA20 fibrous samples. From these curves and their extracted data, the elastic moduli and the tensile strengths of the samples were determined as shown in Fig. 7b and c respectively. According to the results (Fig. 7b), the samples containing HA have a higher
elastic modulus with respect to the sample C-HA0, owing to the incorporation of HA as a stiff filler. Moreover, the amount of modulus increased significantly with increasing the filler concentration. The obtained results were in agreement with the results of our previous studies in which mechanical strength of various polymers was a function of the filler content (Sadat-Shojai 2015; Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020, 2021). In fact, when the high modulus HA reinforcement was conjugated with the lower modulus cellulose, applied stress transfers from the polymeric matrix to the stiff filler, leading to an increase in the average modulus. The addition of high-modulus HA increased the tensile strength of the cellulose as well. As shown in Fig. 7c, sample C-HA20 has the highest tensile strength, which indicates a direct relationship between the addition of HA and tensile strength.

In the current study, the degree of wetting of fabricated nanocomposites was determined by using contact angle measurement. Contact angle, in turns, depends on several parameters including hydrophobicity of nanocomposite, surface roughness and degree of porosity (Gu et al. 2016; Sadat-Shojai and Moghaddas 2020). According to the results (Fig. 8a), the nanocomposite containing the highest amount of filler (i.e., C-HA20) exhibited a water contact angle of 91° ± 5.7°, which was significantly smaller than control (C-HA0, 112° ± 5.6°). The greater wettability of C-HA20 should obviously be attributed to the incorporation of HA particles as a hydrophilic filler. Biodegradability analysis of biomaterials provides a useful tool to assay their suitability for tissue engineering applications. Indeed, scaffolds should be degradable naturally over the time to permit new tissue to form. The weight loss of the C-HA20 sample with regard to degradation time is shown in Fig. 8b, suggesting that around 5% weight loss can occur after 6 weeks incubation in PBS at 37 °C. It is noticeable that two other samples including C-HA10 and C-HA0 did not show a significant weight loss until the sixth week in vitro, indicating that the prepared cellulose is hardly degradable in aqueous solutions with neutral pH and the absence of enzymes. On the other hand, the relative biodegradability of C-HA20 was due to its high concentration of incorporated HA, which can increase the water uptake and hence adjust
its degradation rate. The bioactivity of fibrous filled nanocomposite with the highest HA concentration (i.e., C-HA20) was evaluated after soaking in SBF solution for 30 days under physiological conditions. Figure 8c shows an interesting particles aggregates forming a flake-like structure deposit on the surface of the nanofibers. According to the EDX analysis (data not shown), new aggregates formed on the surface of the fibers are mainly in the form of calcium apatite. This is surprising because according to the morphological studies (Fig. 6a), almost all HA nanoparticles were encapsulated inside the fibers and therefore there was no direct contact between the nanoparticles and the SBF liquid. Overall, these results confirmed that the fabricated scaffold has bioactivity and has the ability to form a new apatite phase on its surfaces, which in turn leads to a direct bonding to the living bone after its implantation in the body.

Although it is now well established that cellulose can support cell proliferation in vitro, but little has been known about the effect of nanophase HA embedded in cellulose nanofibers on the cellular response. Therefore, in order to confirm the suitability of the fabricated nanofibers for potential bone tissue applications, in vitro cell culture was performed on the C-HA20 and C-HA0. The cell attachment assay (Fig. 9a) demonstrated that no significant difference in attachment property of MG-63 cells between the electrospun scaffolds and control (TCPS) can be detected, and the same number of cells (over 98%) could remain attached to the C-HA0 and C-HA20 scaffolds, indicating the preliminary cytocompatibility of MG-63 cell line with both the scaffolds. Figure 9b shows that while the number of viable cells increased with increasing incubation time on both scaffolds from day 1 to day 7, after 7 days of culture, the number of viable cells was significantly higher for C-HA20 than C-HA0. The significant increase in cell activity for C-HA20 compared with C-HA0 clearly revealed that HA nanoparticles can significantly affect the cell function. Similar results have been reported in the literature for other types of polymer/HA composites (Sadat-Shojai et al. 2013; Turnbull et al. 2018). As illustrated in Hoechst staining (Fig. 9c) and consistent with the MTT assay, an evident difference could be found for cell number between day 1 and day 7 in both scaffolds. Finally, Fig. 9d depicts the morphology of a single MG-63 cell attached to the C-HA20 scaffold. As indicated in the figure, the cell was highly spread and tightly attached to the surface.

**Conclusions**

In summary, highly accessible cellulose type I crystals with MW of $3.0 \times 10^5$ were extracted from BPS by a liquefaction method followed by bleaching treatment. The extracted native cellulose was then used to electrospin in TFA/DCE to determine how a systematic approach based on a Taguchi $L_9$ orthogonal array can be used to fabricate a defect-free fibrous mat. The results showed that the solution concentration has the greatest effect on the morphology of the electrospun mat. Moreover, while the applied voltage during the electrospinning process can also affect the fiber diameter and amount of splashing, based on the S/N ratios, the flow rate and WD have the lowest importance among the studied factors. The electrospin cellulose/HA mats with HA concentration varying from 0 to 20% were subsequently fabricated under the optimum conditions. SEM micrographs and EDX-mapping revealed that obtained fibrous mats have a uniform fiber texture without any significant bead, splashing or particle agglomeration. The results also suggested that HA nanoparticles were well encapsulated and evenly dispersed inside the whole fibrous mat. On the other hand, incorporation of HA nanoparticles into the cellulose nanofibers resulted in a change in the fiber diameter and degree of porosity, although both the effects were non-significant. According to the mechanical results, the samples containing the highest concentration of HA (i.e., C-HA20) have a significantly highest elastic modulus and tensile strength, owing to the incorporation of HA as a stiff filler. While C-HA20 showed a relatively low biodegradability, a surprising result was an interesting morphological transformation from smooth nanofibers into a flake-like structure after 30 days incubation in SBF, which confirmed the high bioactivity of the nanofibers. Moreover, in vitro cell assays showed a significant increase in proliferation of bone cells on
C-HA20 nanocomposite compared to the neat cellulose. Accordingly, the fibrous scaffolds developed in this study demonstrated excellent potential for the application in bone tissue engineering.
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