Suppressing thermal quenching of lead halide perovskite nanocrystals by constructing a wide-bandgap surface layer for achieving thermally stable white light-emitting diodes†
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Lead halide perovskite nanocrystals as promising ultrapure emitters are outstanding candidates for next-generation light-emitting diodes (LEDs) and display applications, but the thermal quenching behavior of light emission has severely hampered their real-world applications. Here, we report an anion passivation strategy to suppress the emission thermal quenching behavior of CsPbBr3 perovskite nanocrystals. By treating with specific anions (such as SO42−, OH−, and F− ions), the corresponding wide-bandgap passivation layers, PbSO4, Pb(OH)2, and PbF2, were obtained. They not only repair the surface defects of CsPbBr3 nanocrystals but also stabilize the phase structure of the inner CsPbBr3 core by constructing a core–shell like structure. The photoluminescence thermal resistance experiments show that the treated sample could preserve 79% of its original emission intensity up to 373 K, far superior to that (17%) of pristine CsPbBr3. Based on the thermally stable CsPbBr3 nanocrystals, we achieved temperature-stable white LED devices with a stable electroluminescence spectrum, color gamut and color coordinates in thermal stress tests (up to 373 K).

Introduction

Lead halide perovskite nanocrystals (NCs) have emerged as outstanding emitters for light emitting diodes (LEDs) and backlight displays owing to their tunable narrow-band emission, high color purity, high defect tolerance, and superior photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYS).1–4 In the past few years, there have been breakthroughs in the performance of perovskite-based LED devices, such as high efficiency, wide color gamut and cost competitiveness.5–7 The rapid development of perovskite LEDs has led to achieving an external quantum efficiency (EQE) of 23.4% at green wavelengths with excellent operating stability (with an EQE of 45.5% based on a hemispherical lens).8 Despite recent rapid progress, one critical bottleneck to the practical applications of perovskite LEDs is the instability of perovskite NCs, which derives from their intrinsically moisture/light oxygenn/hot sensitive ionic structures and low formation energy.9,10 So far, many great efforts have been made to enhance the stability of perovskite NCs against moisture/light/oxygen, and substantial progress has been obtained, including compact barrier matrix encapsulation (SiO2,11 Al2O3,12 TiO213 polymeric matrices,14 etc.) and surface engineering strategies.15,16 However, another often overlooked problem of perovskite NCs is the severe drop in luminescence intensity with increasing temperature (so-called fluorescence thermal quenching), leading to a very adverse impact on practical display application.17–20 Actually, LED devices inevitably generate heat during operation, and their temperature can easily reach 373 K or even higher,21 which causes the PL emission thermal quenching behaviors of perovskite NCs and leads to color deviation and brightness weakening of the display screen. Therefore, exploring efficient strategies for realizing high PL emission at elevated temperatures and suppressing the thermal quenching of perovskite NCs is very urgent for their practical applications in LEDs.

Generally, the thermal quenching of fluorescent materials is usually caused by their surface trap states or thermally activated carrier trapping and phonon-assisted non-radiative decay.18,19,22 In the 1990s, Hines and Guyot-Sionnest proposed a passivation strategy to generate a stable layer with a wider band gap, so as to protect excitations from probing a defective surface.23 Based on
this concept, the thermal quenching problems of traditional CdSe quantum dots have been alleviated to a certain extent.\textsuperscript{22,24} As for perovskite NCs, due to their low trapping activation energy, numerous defects will be inevitably generated with the increase in temperature, which results in a fast drop of PL emission. For example, all-inorganic CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} perovskite NCs heated to 373 K have been shown to suffer an over 80% fading of their room-temperature PL emission.\textsuperscript{18,19,25} To this end, some breakthrough efforts have been proposed to suppress the serious PL emission thermal quenching of perovskite NCs.

Zou et al.\textsuperscript{17} demonstrated an effective strategy through Mn\textsuperscript{2+} substitution to effectively increase the formation energy of CsPbX\textsubscript{3} (X = Cl, Br, I) NCs, achieving high thermotolerance and anti-thermal quenching of perovskite NCs. Recently, Liu et al.\textsuperscript{18} proposed a fluoride ion post-synthesis treatment strategy that produces fluorine-rich surfaces with a wider bandgap than the inner CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} core, simultaneously greatly improving the trapping activation energy, achieving stable PLQY values of 90% up to 373 K and with no thermal quenching for successive thermal cycles. However, there are few reports on the in-depth mechanism of thermal quenching and corresponding universal strategies, which leads to the lack of regular and technical guidance for the universal suppression of thermal quenching. For classical colloidal perovskite NCs, in the heating process, colloidal perovskite NCs not only produced surface defects but also inevitably caused ion migration and agglomeration due to intrinsic structural instabilities, which makes it difficult to deeply explore the mechanism of thermal quenching and perform follow-up strategies to suppress thermal quenching. Excitingly, our previous work proposed a high-temperature solid-state method to realize the \textit{in situ} encapsulation and ceramic-like stability of perovskite NCs.\textsuperscript{26} In this all-solid-state synthesis, perovskite NCs were confined in the internal solid space of silica and isolated from each other, which prevented ion migration and induced particle fusion between NCs during the test with high thermal stress, and therefore, could be outstanding candidates to explore the mechanism of thermal quenching.

In this work, we have designed an anion passivation strategy based on new high temperature solid-state technology that can effectively passivate surface lead defects and suppress PL thermal quenching in CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs. The introduced anions (such as SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}, OH\textsuperscript{−}, and F\textsuperscript{−} ions) can convert the surface lead of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs to wide-bandgap passivation layers with thermodynamical stability. The wide-bandgap passivation layers, such as PbSO\textsubscript{4}, Pb(OH)\textsubscript{2}, and PbF\textsubscript{2}, not only repair the surface defects of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs, but also greatly improve the thermally activated trapping activation energy, and reduce the recombination probability of excitons and thermally activated defects, resulting in highly thermostolerant CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs up to 373 K. Meanwhile, thanks to the complete encapsulation of dense SiO\textsubscript{2} during the high temperature solid-state reaction, the resulting CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs exhibited extraordinary long-term stability in various environments (water, light, HCl solution, and NaOH solution) for up to 3600 hours without obvious PL emission decays. More surprisingly, the corresponding white LED backlight devices can still maintain the stability of the electroluminescence spectrum, color gamut, and color coordinates in thermal stress tests, providing a promising pathway to achieve brightness and color fidelity in real-world backlight displays.

Results and discussion

Thermal resistance performance

To suppress PL thermal quenching in CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs, the wide-bandgap passivation layers must chemically and strongly bond to the perovskite surface. The chemically attached coating layers can passivate the surface defects by eliminating surface dangling bonds, and thus enhance the intrinsic luminescence and stability. More importantly, the wide-bandgap passivation layers with higher formation energy have mechanical rigidity, which can stabilize the phase structure of the inner CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs and reduce high temperature induced defects. In the experiment, CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs were synthesized by a template confined high temperature solid-state method as shown in Fig. S1.\textsuperscript{†} First, mesoporous silica (MCM-41) was selected as the confined template, which can realize the confined growth of high quality CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs. Next, perovskite precursors (CsBr and PbBr\textsubscript{2}), and objective anion salts were first dissolved in water and mixed with mesoporous silica and then dried at 80 °C. The obtained solid powder was ground and calcined at 700 °C for 30 min in an argon atmosphere. Finally, the cooled samples were washed with ultrapure water and dried to obtain the anion-treated CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs. In this case, the mole ratio of CsBr and PbBr\textsubscript{2} was always kept at 1 : 1. In order to form a stable passivation layer on the surface of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs, the introduced anions, such as SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}, OH\textsuperscript{−}, and F\textsuperscript{−} ions, can closely combine with surface lead (Pb\textsuperscript{2+}) to form an insulating layer, corresponding to PbSO\textsubscript{4}, Pb(OH)\textsubscript{2}, and PbF\textsubscript{2}. The surface passivation layer formed strong chemical bonds with the CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs and offered much better resistance to many harmful stimuli under continuous heating and high temperature. The compositions of the different samples were evaluated by energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and the content of perovskite nanocrystals encapsulated into SiO\textsubscript{2} was about 18 wt% (Tables S1–S4). Next, we explored the effects of different anions on the optical properties of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs. In Fig. S2,\textsuperscript{†} the optical absorption and PL spectra of pristine CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} and anion-treated CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs showed they all almost have identical spectra including the spectral shape and positions. But, the addition of anions effectively enhanced the PLQY of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs. Upon increasing the anion : Pb ratio to 70%, the PLQYs of anion-treated CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs all have been effectively improved. Further increasing the anion : Pb ratio to 100% or even higher will lead to a rapid decline in the PLQY, mainly due to the peeling off of the Pb\textsuperscript{2+} from CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs by excessive anions, which means the reduction of the Pb\textsuperscript{2+} content in CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs and results in the transformation of CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} into the Cs\textsubscript{2}Pb\textsubscript{8} phase (Fig. S3). For this reason, in subsequent thermal resistance tests and structural studies, we focused on the comparison between pristine CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs and anion-treated CsPbBr\textsubscript{3} NCs with anion : Pb ratio up to 70%.
Next, we evaluated the PL thermal quenching behavior of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs before and after anion ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{OH}^-$, and $\text{F}^-$ ions) treatment. As shown in Fig. 1, pristine and anion-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs settled on the bottom of the glass bottle were placed on a hot plate at $T = 293$ K, respectively. When heated up to 373 K, the fluorescence of pristine CsPbBr$_3$ NCs decayed rapidly, but the anion-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs can still display bright green emission under UV light irradiation (Fig. 1e), indicating their excellent ability to suppress thermal quenching. To further quantitatively analyze the thermal quenching behavior, we monitored the in situ PL spectra of pristine and anion-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs during heating ramps from 293 to 373 K, as shown in Fig. 1a-d and S4.† The corresponding integrated PL intensities of the target samples (normalized to the respective value at 293 K) are shown in Fig. 1f. As for pristine CsPbBr$_3$ NCs, upon raising the temperature to 373 K, the PL intensity dropped sharply to ~17% of their original value at 293 K. Strikingly, the addition of anions ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{OH}^-$, and $\text{F}^-$ ions) significantly strengthened the thermal resistance of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs, so that the PL intensities of CsPbBr$_3$–SO$_4$, CsPbBr$_3$–SO$_4$, and CsPbBr$_3$–F NCs could still be maintained above 40%, 70%, and 79% of their original PL intensities under thermal stress as high as 373 K, respectively [Fig. 1f]. Therefore, the strategy for utilizing anions to build thermally stable passivation layers (PbSO$_4$, Pb(OH)$_2$, and PbF$_2$) can realize the remarkable PL thermal resistance of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs and maintain the emission efficiency under high-temperature working conditions. It should be noted that all the passivation reagents are potassium salts, leading to the introduction of K$^+$ ions that may play some roles in anti-thermal quenching. To clarify the influence of K$^+$ ions, KBr was selected to treat CsPbBr$_3$ NCs, and the thermal quenching of CsPbBr$_3$–KBr NCs is shown in Fig. S5.† Upon increasing the temperature to 373 K, the PL intensity of CsPbBr$_3$–KBr NCs dropped steeply to ~16% of their initial value at 293 K, which was similar to that of the original sample, basically ruling out the contributions of K$^+$ ions in the stabilization process. In this system, anions ($\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{OH}^-$, and $\text{F}^-$ ions) played a more decisive role than cations (K$^+$), mainly due to the stable passivation layer derived from anions and surface lead.

Moreover, we found that there are some correlations between the type of anions and the suppression performance of thermal quenching in NCs. In general, the thermal quenching behavior of NCs or quantum dots is affected by their surface trap states or intrinsic trapping activation energy. In this work, the suppression effects of the anions on thermal quenching follow a certain trend of $\text{F}^- > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{OH}^- > \text{Br}^-$. From the viewpoint of chemistry, the four anions have different affinities to Pb$^{2+}$ ($\text{F}^- > \text{SO}_4^{2-} > \text{OH}^- > \text{Br}^-$). Therefore, we speculate that their ability to suppress thermal quenching is determined by the formation energy of the surface lead passivation layers. To further analyze the effect of the different anion treatments, the activation energy for carrier trapping ($\Delta E_{\text{trap}}$) of target CsPbBr$_3$ NCs was estimated by fitting the temperature-dependent integrated PL intensity, through Arrhenius eqn (1):

$$I_{\text{PL}}(T) = \frac{I_{\text{PL}}^0}{1 + Ae^{-\Delta E_{\text{trap}}/k_B T}}$$

in which $I_{\text{PL}}(T)$ is the temperature-dependent integrated PL intensity, $I_{\text{PL}}^0$ is the initial PL intensity at 293 K, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $A$ is a parameter related to the cross section of the trap state. By fitting the high temperature-induced PL loss of the target CsPbBr$_3$ NCs from 293 to 373 K (Fig. 1f), the corresponding fitting curves are shown in Fig. 1g, and the obtained $\Delta E_{\text{trap}}$ values for the CsPbBr$_3$ samples are listed in Fig. 1h and Table S5.† For pristine CsPbBr$_3$ NCs, the estimated $\Delta E_{\text{trap}}$ value was as low as 396 meV, which was caused by the inherent trap states of NCs. However, after anion treatment, the $\Delta E_{\text{trap}}$ values of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs have been greatly improved, reaching 437 meV, 489 meV, and 501 meV for...
CsPbBr$_3$–OH, CsPbBr$_3$–SO$_4$, and CsPbBr$_3$–F, indicating that the NCs were effectively stabilized, which was consistent with the above behavior of suppressing thermal quenching. Generally, the surface defects on the CsPbBr$_3$ NCs are mainly PbBr$_2$ or halide vacancies with dangling bonds. Consequently, treating the CsPbBr$_3$ NCs with anions can effectively passivate the halide vacancies or convert surface PbBr$_2$ into passivation layers with higher heat resistance. In this system, we summarized and compared the formation energies of different lead compound passivation layers, as shown in Fig. 1h and Table S6. As expected, the formation energy of PbBr$_2$ is the lowest, which makes PbBr$_2$ more prone to undergo chemical bond changes in a high temperature environment, such as lattice expansion or deformation and increased trap states, resulting in severe PL emission thermal quenching of NCs.

In contrast, the passivation layers with higher formation energy can effectively inhibit ion migration, keep the phase structure stable and reduce the defects of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs at high temperatures, thereby inhibiting non-radiative recombination and improving anti-thermal quenching. Therefore, the trends of $\Delta E_{\text{trap}}$ values and formation energy of surface lead layers correlate nearly perfectly with each other (Fig. 1h), further confirming the speculated mechanism of the anion treatment in the excellent thermal resistance of the CsPbBr$_3$ NCs.

Notably, to further demonstrate our concept, the target anion treatments were also applied to colloidal CsPbBr$_3$ NCs without careful optimization (Fig. 2 and S6†). In this case, initial colloidal CsPbBr$_3$ NCs were synthesized by the hot-injection method. Then, a certain amount of the anion salt solution was added to the CsPbBr$_3$ toluene solution with stirring for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the anion-treated colloidal CsPbBr$_3$ NCs solution was obtained via centrifugation. The post treatment resulted in a substantial enhancement of the thermal resistance, demonstrating the universality of the proposed strategy. It should be noted that, in the colloidal NC system, colloidal CsPbBr$_3$–OH NCs cannot be obtained, because the strong base will rapidly destroy the surface ligands of NCs and cause PL quenching. After treatment with SO$_4^{2−}$ and F$^−$ ions, the treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs showed excellent thermal resistance, as shown in Fig. 2, following a similar trend ($F^− > SO_4^{2−} > Br^−$).

**In-depth mechanism insights for suppressing thermal quenching**

All the above results showed that the target anions can effectively improve the trapping activation energy and anti-thermal quenching of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs. To understand the mechanism, we took pristine CsPbBr$_3$ NCs and SO$_4^{2−}$-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs as examples, and performed a series of temperature-dependent in situ characterization studies to confirm the proposed mechanism of surface lead passivation and a wide-bandgap surface layer for suppressing thermal quenching.

In situ UV-vis absorption spectra were obtained to monitor photoinduced exciton evolutions of CsPbBr$_3$ NCs at different temperatures from 293 to 373 K (Fig. 3a and b). With the increase in temperature, the absorption band edges of both pristine and SO$_4^{2−}$-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs remained almost unchanged, but the intensity of their exciton absorption peaks had changed significantly. The stabilities of excitons are determined by external factors such as temperature and carrier concentration.$^{16,31}$ In this case, high temperature can lead to exciton decomposition and broadening of the exciton absorption peak, resulting in fluorescence decay or even quenching. By comparison, when the temperature increased to 373 K, the exciton absorption peak of the pristine CsPbBr$_3$ NCs became widened significantly, while the SO$_4^{2−}$-treated CsPbBr$_3$ NCs still
maintained the initial shape of the absorption peak (Fig. 3a and b). To visually observe the evolution of the exciton absorption peak, we treated the absorption spectra of NCs with the second derivative as shown in Fig. 3a and b. Obviously, the decay values of the exciton absorption peak for pristine CsPbBr₃ NCs were sharper than that of CsPbBr₃–SO₄ NCs. We reported the PL intensity of pristine and SO₄²⁻/C₀-treated CsPbBr₃ NCs during five thermal cycles between T = 293 and 373 K, as shown in Fig. S7.† The results showed that the thermal quenching behavior was perfectly reversible, which eliminated the permanent or irreversible destruction of the perovskite structure under thermal stress. Therefore, the observed thermal quenching behavior was purely caused by the surface state of the single CsPbBr₃ NCs. Pristine CsPbBr₃ NCs have more surface defects, and high temperature will also induce extra defects, such as lattice expansion/distortion, surface ion migration, etc., which will make excitons easier to capture by defects at high temperature, leading to severe PL decay at high temperature. As for the CsPbBr₃–SO₄ sample, on the one hand, SO₄²⁻ ion treatment can effectively passivate the surface defects, improve the trapping activation energy, stabilize the structure and inhibit the generation of defects at high temperature; on the other hand, the wide-bandgap PbSO₄ passivation layer on the surface of NCs can confine the excitons and remain stable at high temperature, leading to reduced quenching of PL emission.

In situ PL lifetime decay curves were obtained to evaluate the trap state evolutions during the rise in temperatures (Fig. 3c), and the exponential fitting results are listed in Tables S7–S8. For pristine CsPbBr₃ NCs, with the rise in temperature, the average PL lifetime τave dropped from 25.08 ns to 13.40 ns, implying that carrier trapping was strongly enhanced at elevated temperatures. The accelerated PL kinetics decay originated from the thermally activated escape of carriers to various defects at elevated temperatures, such as halogen vacancies and lead defects, according to previous reports.¹⁸,¹⁹,²⁴,²⁷ Thanks to SO₄²⁻ ion treatment, the average PL lifetimes of CsPbBr₃–SO₄ NCs increased slightly from 24.50 ns to 29.66 ns due to thermally activated delayed fluorescence during the heating process.¹⁹,²⁷ Therefore, the SO₄²⁻ ion passivation strategy can effectively eliminate trap states and reduce the non-radiative recombination path by constructing a PbSO₄ passivation layer, which was consistent with the high remaining PL.
In situ XRD was carried out to monitor the crystal structure evolutions of CsPbBr₃ NCs before and after SO₄³⁻ passivation during temperature ramps from 293 to 373 K (Fig. 3d and e). Upon increasing the temperature to 373 K, both pristine and SO₄³⁻-treated CsPbBr₃ NCs still present diffraction peaks corresponding to the cubic phase of the CsPbBr₃ crystal, showing that increasing the temperature up to 373 K will not promote the derivation of other phases from CsPbBr₃. After careful analysis, the XRD diffraction peaks of pristine CsPbBr₃ NCs shifted toward lower angles with the increase in temperature, meaning that the lattice spacing increased at high temperature, which derived from the bond lengthening and lattice expansion of the CsPbBr₃ crystal at high temperature. However, XRD diffraction peaks of CsPbBr₃-SO₄ NCs remained almost unchanged when the temperature increased from 293 to 373 K. In situ XRD of pure PbSO₄ samples during temperature ramps from 293 to 373 K (Fig. S8†) showed that the diffraction peaks of PbSO₄ remained almost unchanged when the temperature increased from 293 to 373 K, indicating that lattice expansion can be ignored at 373 K. Therefore, we suspect that the thermally stable PbSO₄ passivation layers with higher formation...
energy can act as a rigid shell to stabilize and suppress the high-temperature induced lattice expansion of inner CsPbBr3 NCs.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Fig. 4a and S9–S11†) of pristine CsPbBr3 NCs and CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs showed that CsPbBr3 NCs were uniformly distributed in the collapsed SiO2 system. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of CsPbBr3–SO4 showed that the surfaces of the sample were smooth without impurities through the water washing step (Fig. S12†), which also indicated that the CsPbBr3 NCs were indeed encapsulated inside the SiO2 system. And the average distributed in CsPbBr3 NCs. Furthermore, we carried out TEM for EDS analysis, and the results showed that the S element
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of pristine CsPbBr3 NCs and CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs were 10.5 nm and 12.3 nm, respectively, and the increased size of CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs may be caused by the formation of the PbSO4 layer. The high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) image and its corresponding elemental mappings of CsPbBr3–SO4 (Fig. 4a, b and S10†) revealed that the Cs, Pb, Br, and S elements were distributed in CsPbBr3 NCs. Furthermore, we carried out energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) on the samples to accurately determine the location and content of the S element, as shown in Fig. 4c, d and S13–S14†. We selected four points in TEM for EDS analysis, and the results showed that the S element mainly existed on the CsPbBr3 NCs, while there was almost no S element in the blank area (without CsPbBr3 NCs) in the system (Fig. 4c and d), indicating that SO4

ions were indeed adsorbed on CsPbBr3 NCs and tended to combine with Pb2+ to form the PbSO4 passivation layer. The high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image and the corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern were used to further verify the core–shell like structure. As for pristine CsPbBr3 NCs, the samples showed a regular perovskite lattice, which belonged to the cubic phase (Fig. S9†). Obviously, compared with pristine CsPbBr3 NCs, there were more diffraction points in CsPbBr3–SO4, which belonged to PbSO4 and CsPbBr3 NCs (Fig. 4e, f and S15†). And the HR-TEM images revealed that PbSO4 formed at the edge of the NCs had a periodic lattice, indicating that the PbSO4 layer was tightly coated on the surface of CsPbBr3 NCs to construct a typical core–shell like structure. Therefore, the role of the introduced SO4

ions was to mainly combine with the surface lead of the CsPbBr3 NCs to form a more stable PbSO4 passivation layer,22 as shown in Fig. 4g. After careful comparison, we found that the characteristic XRD peaks of PbSO4 appeared in the system when the doping content of SO4

ions was increased to 70% (Fig. S3†). The Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra (Fig. 4h and S16†) of the SO4

treated sample showed a characteristic vibration peak at 618 cm

–1 ascribed to the tetrahedral structure of SO4

ions, indicating the formation of strong ionic bonds between Pb2+ and SO4

ions;49 and the Raman spectra (Fig. 4i) further confirmed that the SO4

–treated CsPbBr3 NCs presented the characteristic peaks of PbSO4 at around 454, 620, and 984 cm

–1, which appropriately correspond to the pure PbSO4 standard sample (Fig. S17†). X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) measurements based on the washed samples further corroborated the perovskite surface composition after SO4

– treatment.32 First, the S 2p spectra confirmed the presence of SO4

ions in treated NCs (Fig. S18†), and the Pb 4f spectra revealed noticeable differences between pristine CsPbBr3 NCs and SO4

–treated CsPbBr3 NCs (Fig. 4j). After SO4

– treatment, the Pb peaks of CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs shifted toward higher binding energies, indicating the formation of stronger ionic bonding between Pb2+ and SO4

ions. Therefore, the above solid evidence, including HR-TEM, FT-IR, Raman, and XPS spectra, all confirmed that the surfaces of CsPbBr3 NCs were converted to a wide-bandgap PbSO4 passivation layer through the reaction with SO4

ions. Similarly, the introduced F– ions and OH– ions can also form stable passivation layers on the surface of CsPbBr3 NCs (Fig. S19–S20†).

Based on the above analysis, we have speculated the mechanism of the anion passivation strategy to suppress thermal quenching, as shown in Fig. 3f. In short, for untreated NCs, high temperature can induce or accelerate surface ion migrations, which produced more surface defects, thereby increasing non-radiative recombination paths and causing PL emission thermal quenching. After being treated by the target anions, the thermally stable passivation layers (PbSO4, Pb(OH)2, and PbF2) can act as a rigid shell to stabilize and suppress the high-temperature induced ion migration of CsPbBr3 NCs, meanwhile effectively repairing surface defects and improving the trapping activation energy, yielding reduced non-radiative recombination paths and suppressed PL emission thermal quenching.

Temperature-stable white light-emitting diodes

It is worth emphasizing that the above experiments and discussions have verified that the anion-treated CsPbBr3 NCs presented excellent high-temperature resistance, which was very considerable for practical display application. For this purpose, we fabricated proof-of-concept white light-emitting diode (WLED) devices with SO4

–treated CsPbBr3 NCs as the active materials, and preliminarily explored their performance at different operating temperatures (Fig. 5 and S21†). The WLED devices were prepared by encapsulating green-emitting CsPbBr3 NCs and commercial red-emitting KSF (K2SiF6:Mn4+) phosphors onto the blue emitting GaN chip (wavelength: 450 nm). As for pristine CsPbBr3 NCs (Fig. 5a and Table S9†), the corresponding WLED devices displayed white light emission at room temperature (293 K), and the CIE color coordinates were optimized at (0.3309, 0.3561). However, when the operating temperature gradually rose to 373 K, the CIE color coordinates shifted significantly from the initial (0.3309, 0.3561) to (0.3756, 0.2469), representing purplish pink luminescence, due to the thermal quenching of the green light components (CsPbBr3 NCs) at high temperatures (Fig. 5b). The WLED devices based on CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs can still display quasi white light emission even at a high temperature of 373 K, corresponding to the color coordinates at (0.3676, 0.3130), as shown in Fig. 5c and Table S10†. And the WLED devices can maintain a stable electroluminescence spectrum in thermal stress tests (Fig. 5d). Furthermore, the color gamut of the WLED devices based on CsPbBr3–SO4 NCs had only a slight attenuation at 373 K, from 130.7% to 128.3% (Fig. S21†), meaning that the corresponding display screen can maintain uniform brightness and color fidelity in the working environment.

Meanwhile, aside from the excellent thermal stability, thanks to the complete encapsulation of dense SiO2 during the
high-temperature solid-state reaction, the resulting sealed CsPbBr3 NCs exhibited extraordinary long-term chemical and photo stabilities in various environments (water, light, HCl solution, and NaOH solution) for up to 3600 hours without obvious PL emission decays (Fig. S22–S24†).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated the anion passivation strategy that can convert the surface lead of CsPbBr3 NCs to thermally stable passivation layers to suppress emission thermal quenching behavior and improve the thermal stability of the CsPbBr3 structure. Temperature-dependent in situ characterization studies, including XRD, UV-vis absorption spectra, and PL lifetime, were performed to deeply evaluate the origin of thermal quenching and the mechanism of suppressing thermal quenching by the coating of wide-bandgap passivation layers. We finally confirmed that suppressing the thermal quenching is greatly related to the formation energy of surface lead passivation layers following the order of PbF2 > PbSO4 > Pb(OH)2 > PbBr2. By this anion assisted high-temperature solid-state synthesis, we simultaneously solved the universal chemical and photo stability problems of CsPbBr3 NCs. On the one hand, the dense SiO2 encapsulation successfully protects CsPbBr3 NCs from some factors that can quench photoluminescence, such as moisture, oxygen, and ion migration. On the other hand, by using wide-bandgap passivation layers, the trapping activation energy of CsPbBr3 NCs was significantly enhanced, which allows CsPbBr3 NCs to achieve a thermally stable electroluminescence spectrum, color gamut, and color coordinates at high temperatures. Therefore, we believe that our research results have great guiding significance for the practical application of LED devices or backlight displays in the future.
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