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ADVERTISEMENT
Conservation laws and symmetries of quasilinear radial wave equations in multi-dimensions
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Symmetries and conservation laws are studied for two classes of physically and analytically interesting radial wave equations with power nonlinearities in multi-dimensions. The results consist of two main classifications: all symmetries of point type and all conservation laws of a general energy-momentum type are explicitly determined, including those such as dilations, inversions, similarity energies, and conformal energies that exist only for special powers or dimensions. In particular, all variational cases (when a Lagrangian formulation exists) and non-variational cases (when no Lagrangian exists) for these wave equations are considered. As main results, the classification yields generalized energies and radial momenta in certain non-variational cases, which are shown to arise from a new type of Morawetz dilation identity that produces conservation laws for each of the two classes of wave equations in a different way than Noether’s theorem. © 2012 American Institute of Physics. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4711814]

I. INTRODUCTION

Symmetries and conservation laws are important tools in the study of global analysis of nonlinear wave equations

\[ u_{tt} = g(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot (\nabla^2 u) + f(x, u, \nabla u) \]  

for \( u(t, x) \) in \( n \geq 1 \) spatial dimensions (where \( x \) denotes Cartesian coordinates).

As pointed out in Refs. 16 and 5, conservation laws such as energy provide basic conserved quantities used in obtaining estimates on \( |u| \) or \( |\nabla u| \) for classical solutions, and also in defining suitable norms for weak solutions. Of considerable interest are extra conservation laws such as conformal energies that can appear in the case of power nonlinearities \( |u|^p \) or derivative nonlinearities \( |\nabla u|^p \) in \( g \) and \( f \) for special powers \( p \) depending on the dimension \( n \). Symmetries, in contrast, lead to exact group-invariant solutions and play a role in defining invariant Sobolev norms. Scaling symmetries are of special relevance, as the critical nonlinearity power for a blow-up is typically singled out by scaling-invariance of a positive energy norm. Moreover, scaling transformation arguments give a means of relating the behavior of solutions in different regimes, for instance, solutions at short times with large initial data can be scaled to long times with small initial data when the nonlinearity power is subcritical.

In previous work in Ref. 5, conservation laws and symmetries have been classified for semilinear wave equations

\[ u_{tt} = \Delta u + au^p \]  

with a power nonlinearity in \( n > 1 \) spatial dimensions. In the present paper and a sequel, we extend those classifications to a variety of physically and analytically interesting quasilinear wave equations

\[ u_{tt} = \nabla \cdot \left( (c + \frac{a}{p} u^p) \nabla u \right) + (b - \frac{a}{p}) u^p \Delta u \]
and

\[ u_{tt} = \nabla \cdot \left( (c + \frac{a}{p} |\nabla u|^p) \nabla u \right) + (b - \frac{a}{p}) |\nabla u|^p \Delta u \]  

(3b)

contained in the general class (1), with free constants \( a, b, c \), where \( p \neq 0 \) is the nonlinearity power and \( n > 1 \) is the spatial dimension. These wave equations can be written respectively in the equivalent forms

\[ u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)\Delta u + au^{p-1}|\nabla u|^2 \]  

(4a)

and

\[ u_{tt} = (c + b|\nabla u|^p)\Delta u + \frac{1}{2}a|\nabla u|^{p-2}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla) u, \]  

(4b)

which are valid for any power \( p \). There has been a considerable mathematical interest in the analysis (e.g., global existence, uniqueness, regularity, blow up) of solutions to the Cauchy problem for translationally-invariant quasilinear wave equations in multi-dimensions,\(^1,15\) particularly for radially symmetric initial data. The two classes of wave equations (4a)–(4b) studied here provide the simplest such examples having homogeneous power nonlinearities.

To begin, we will consider the radial reduction of the wave equations (4a) and (4b) for \( u(t, r) \), where \( r = |x| \) is the radial coordinate in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This reduction yields

\[ u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)(u_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}u_r) + au^{p-1}u_r^2 \]  

(5a)

and

\[ u_{tt} = (c + b|\nabla u|^p)(u_{rr} + \frac{n-1}{r}u_r) - a \frac{n-1}{r}u_r^{p+1}, \]  

(5b)

respectively, which are radial wave equations belonging to the general class

\[ u_{tt} = g(r, u, u_t)u_{rr} + f(r, u, u_t), \]  

(6)

where

\[ g = c + bu^p, \quad f = \frac{n-1}{r} (c + bu^p)u_r + au^{p-1}u_r^2 \]  

(7a)

for equation (5a), and where

\[ g = c + (b + a)u^p, \quad f = \frac{n-1}{r} (cu_r + bu_r^{p+1}) \]  

(7b)

for equation (5b). We note that the class (7a) is quasilinear hyperbolic iff \( bp \neq 0 \) or is semilinear hyperbolic iff \( bp = 0, a \neq 0 \), and \( c + b \neq 0 \); and the class (7b) is quasilinear hyperbolic iff \( (b + a)p \neq 0 \) or is semilinear hyperbolic iff \( b + a = 0, bc \neq 0 \), and \( p(p + 1) \neq 0 \).

These classes (7a) and (7b) of radial wave equations describe models for nonlinear radial wave propagation in which the wave speed can depend on the wave amplitude or its radial gradient. Such models encompass a wide variety of physically interesting examples in \( n = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \) dimensions: for class (7a), radial compressible polytropic fluid flow in Lagrangian coordinates\(^13\) and radial propagation of voltage/current in electromagnetic transmission guides;\(^11\) and for class (7a), radial nonlinear vibrations of membranes and radial deformations of hyperelastic materials.\(^10,12\) The extension of these examples to arbitrary \( n > 1 \) dimensions is mathematically natural in light of the analytical interest in \( n \)-dimensional quasilinear wave equations. Consequently, our results in this paper should be of direct relevance to the investigation of physical models of nonlinear radial wave propagation as well as to on-going analytical work on the Cauchy problem for radial quasilinear wave equations.

There are two important cases in which the radial wave equations (7a) and (7b) have a special analytical structure. First, a radial wave equation (6) has an \( n \)-dimensional divergence structure if its derivative terms can be expressed as a total divergence of the form

\[ (r^{n-1}u_t)_r = (r^{n-1}F)_r \]  

(8)
for some function $F(u, u_t)$. Such equations (8) give rise to kinematic conservation laws involving the wave amplitude $u$ and its time derivative $u_t$, which will be discussed later. Second, a radial wave equation (6) has a $n$-dimensional variational structure if it arises as the stationary points of a functional

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty L r^{n-1} dr, \quad \delta \mathcal{L} = r^{n-1}(u_{tt} - gu_{rr} - f) = 0$$

for some Lagrangian $L(u, u_t, u_r)$. Wave equations with this structure (9) possess an energy conservation law related to time-translation symmetry through Noether’s theorem,\textsuperscript{7, 14} as will be reviewed in more detail later.

Wave equation (5a) is variational when (and only when) its coefficients $a, b, c$ satisfy the relation

$$2a = pb,$$

where the Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(-u_t^2 + (c + bu_r^p)u_r^2).$$

Wave equation (5b) is variational when (and only when) its coefficients $a, b, c$ satisfy the relation $a = pb$. The Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(-u_t^2 + cu_r^2) + bh(u_r),$$

where

$$h = \int u_r^{p+1} du_r = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p+2} u_r^{p+2}, & p \neq -2 \\ \ln u_r, & p = -2 \end{cases}.$$ (11)

Both wave equations (5a) and (5b) are total divergences when (and only when) $a = bp$, with the respective fluxes given by

$$F = (c + bu_r^p)u_r = (cu + bH(u))_r$$

and

$$F = (c + bu_r^p)u_r,$$ (12a)

where

$$H = \int u_r^p du_r = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p+1} u_r^{p+1}, & p \neq -1 \\ \ln u_r, & p = -1 \end{cases}.$$ (13)

We note that the conditions for the existence of a divergence structure (8) and a variational structure (9) coincide for wave equation (5b).

Interestingly, wave equation (5b) has an alternative divergence structure and variational structure when $c = 0$ without any conditions on $a$ and $b$. In this case,

$$u_{tt} = (a + b)u_r^p u_{rr} + b \frac{n-1}{r} u_r^{p+1}$$

is a total divergence of the form

$$(r^m u_t)_r = (r^m \tilde{F})_r, \quad \tilde{F} = \begin{cases} \frac{b + a}{p+1} u_r^{p+1}, & p \neq -1 \\ (b + a) \ln u_r + b(n-1) \ln r, & p = -1 \end{cases}.$$ (15)

and also arises from a Lagrangian functional

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \int_0^\infty \tilde{L} r^m dr, \quad \tilde{L} = -\frac{1}{2} u_t^2 + \int \tilde{F}(r, u_r) du_r,$$ (16)
where
\[ m = \frac{b(n - 1)(p + 1)}{a + b}. \quad (17) \]

Compatibility between variational structures, divergence structures, quasilinearity or semilinearity is summarized by the following result.

**Proposition 1:**
(i) A radial wave equation (5a) has a hyperbolic, quasilinear, variational form (9) iff \( 2a = pb \neq 0 \), or a hyperbolic, quasilinear, divergence form (8) iff \( a = pb \neq 0 \). (In particular, these two structures are mutually incompatible).

(ii) A radial wave equation (5b) has a hyperbolic, quasilinear, variational/divergence form (8) and (9) iff \( a = pb, p(p + 1)b \neq 0 \), or a hyperbolic, quasilinear, alternative variational/divergence form (15) and (16) iff \( c = 0, p(a + b) \neq 0 \). (Moreover, these structures coincide when \( c = 0, a = pb, p(p + 1)b \neq 0 \), so thus \( m = n - 1 \).)

(iii) A radial wave equation (5a) and (5b) cannot have a hyperbolic, semilinear, variational or divergence form.

In this paper, for the two classes of radial wave equations (7a) and (7b), the main goals of our work will be to determine:

(i) what symmetries are admitted other than time-translation;
(ii) what conservation laws are admitted other than energy in the variational case (9), and kinematic quantities in the divergence case (8).

In Sec. II, we first recall the definitions of symmetries and conservation laws for the general class of radial wave equations (6). For the case of a radial divergence structure (8) or (15), we discuss the notion of kinematic conservation laws. For the case of a radial variational structure (9) or (16), we give a statement of Noether’s theorem and summarize the energy conservation law arising from time-translation symmetry.

In Secs. III and IV, we present the classifications of symmetries and conservation laws for each class of radial wave equations (5a) and (5b). For special nonlinearity powers \( p \) and for special relations among the coefficients \( a, b, c \), both of these wave equations are found to possess a variety of interesting symmetries, including scalings, non-rigid radial dilations, and a temporal inversion, as shown in Sec. III. The commutator algebra for all of the symmetries is worked out in detail. Variational symmetries are also identified, which yield conservation laws through the variational structures for each of the wave equations. As shown in Sec. IV, these Noether conservation laws include similarity energies, conformal energies, and radial momenta. Interestingly, additional conservation laws are found to exist for certain nonlinear powers \( p \) and for certain relations among the coefficients \( a, b, c \). These conservation laws describe generalized-energies that do not arise from Noether’s theorem. We account for them by deriving a variational type of Morawetz radial dilation identity that produces conservation laws from symmetries in a different manner than the usual Noether correspondence. This main result is explained in Subsections IV A 2 and IV B 2 for the respective wave equations (5a) and (5b).

Our classification results will be extended to the original two classes of translationally invariant wave equations (4a) and (4b) in a subsequent paper.

**II. PRELIMINARIES**

To proceed, we state the definitions of symmetries and conservation laws from an analytical perspective (see also Ref. 7). A point symmetry of a wave equation (6) is a group of transformations given by an infinitesimal generator
\[ \delta t = \tau(t, r, u), \quad \delta x = \xi(t, r, u), \quad \delta u = \eta(t, r, u) \quad (18) \]
on the variables \( t, r, u, \) under which the wave equation (6) is preserved. On solutions \( u(t, r) \) of equation (6), such an infinitesimal transformation (18) is equivalent to a generator

\[
X = (\eta - \tau u_t - \xi u_r)\partial/\partial u
\]

(19)
called the characteristic form of the point symmetry. The scalar functions \( \eta, \tau, \xi \) are determined by a linear equation arising from the Fréchet derivative of the wave equation (6) applied to the function \( P = \eta - \tau u_t - \xi u_r, \)

\[
0 = D_t^2 P - \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + u_r \frac{\partial g}{\partial u} \right) P - \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_t} + u_r \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_r} \right) D_t P - g D_r^2 P
\]

(20)
holding for all formal solutions \( u(t, r) \), namely, with \( u_{tt} \) (and its \( r \)-derivatives) replaced by \( g u_{rr} + f \) (and its total \( r \)-derivatives). (More precisely, symmetries are computed in a jet space whose coordinates are defined by \( t, r, u, \) and all derivatives of \( u \) modulo the equation (6) and its differential consequences. A point symmetry is then the prolongation of the operator (19) that annihilates the wave equation (6).)

The definition of a symmetry can be extended to involve first-order derivatives of \( u \) by considering an infinitesimal generator of the form

\[
X = P(t, r, u, u_t, u_r)\partial/\partial u
\]

(21)
where \( P \) is a scalar function depending on \( u_t, u_r, \) in addition to \( t, r, u \). Such a generator corresponds to the infinitesimal transformations (Ref. 7)

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta t &= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_t}, \\
\delta r &= -\frac{\partial P}{\partial u_r}, \\
\delta u &= P - u_t \frac{\partial P}{\partial u_t} - u_r \frac{\partial P}{\partial u_r}, \\
\delta u_t &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + u_t \frac{\partial P}{\partial u}, \\
\delta u_r &= \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + u_r \frac{\partial P}{\partial u}
\end{align*}
\]

(22a)

(22b)

(22c)

(22d)
on the variables \( r, t, u, u_t, u_r \) (viewed as coordinates in jet space). A group of transformations (22) is a contact symmetry of a wave equation (6) if these transformations preserve the equation (6).

The set of all infinitesimal point or contact symmetries admitted by a given wave equation (6) has the structure of a Lie algebra under commutation of the operators \( X \) (prolonged to the jet space). For a given (sub)algebra of point or contact symmetries, the corresponding group of transformations has a natural action\(^{14,5,7}\) on the set of all solutions \( u(t, r) \) of the wave equation. A solution \( u(t, r) \) is invariant under a one-dimensional (sub)group with a generator (21) if it satisfies the equation \( P(t, r, u, u_t, u_r) = 0 \), where \( P = \eta - \tau u_t - \xi u_r \) in the case of a point symmetry. Such solutions of a wave equation (6) are called group invariant.

A conservation law of a wave equation (6) is a space-time divergence given by a linear combination of the equation and its differential consequences, so that

\[
D_t T + D_r X = 0
\]

(23)
holds for all formal solutions \( u(t, r) \), where \( T \) and \( X \) are scalar functions depending on \( t, r, u, u_t, \) and \( r \)-derivatives of \( u, u_r \). The radial integral of the conserved density \( T \) formally satisfies

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty T \, dr = -X|_1^\infty
\]

(24)
and so if the radial flux \( X \) vanishes both at spatial infinity and at the origin, then

\[
C = \int_1^\infty T \, dr = \text{const.}
\]

(25)
formally yields a conserved quantity for the wave equation (6). Conversely, any such conserved quantity $T$ arises from a conservation law (23). Two conservation laws are equivalent if their conserved densities $T$ differ by a total radial derivative $D_r \Theta$ on all formal solutions $u(t, r)$, thereby giving the same conserved quantity $C$ up to boundary terms. Correspondingly, the fluxes $X$ of two equivalent conservation laws differ by a total time derivative $-D_t \Theta$ on all formal solutions $u(t, r)$. The set of all conservation laws (up to equivalence) admitted by a given wave equation (6) forms a vector space, on which there is a natural action by the Lie group of all point or contact symmetries of equation (6).

Each conservation law (23) has an equivalent characteristic form given by

$$D_t T + D_r (X - \Gamma) = (u_{tt} - gu_{rr} - f)Q$$

with

$$\Gamma = \sum_{l>0} \frac{\delta T}{\delta D_t^{l+1} u_t} D_t^l (u_{tt} - gu_{rr} - f),$$

where $Q$ is a scalar function that depends on $t, r, u, u_t$, and $r$-derivatives of $u, u_t$. Such functions $Q$ whose product with equation (6) yields a total space-time divergence (and hence a conservation law (23) on all solutions $u(t, r)$) are called multipliers. Through the characteristic equation (26), multipliers and conserved densities (up to equivalence) have a one-to-one relation such that $Q$ is the variational derivative of $T$ with respect to $u_t$,

$$Q = \frac{\delta T}{\delta u_t},$$

which can be inverted to express both $T$ and $X$ (modulo total derivative terms $D_t \Theta$ and $-D_t \Theta$ respectively) in terms of $Q$ by means of an explicit integral formula based on a homotopy integration of the characteristic equation (26).

All multipliers $Q$ for a wave equation (6) are determined by a linear system $3, 4, 14, 20$ that holds for all formal solutions $u(t, r)$. (More precisely, the computation of multipliers uses the same jet space as for the computation of symmetries.) The system consists of a linear equation given by the adjoint of the Fréchet derivative of equation (6) applied to $Q$, augmented by additional linear equations formed from the Fréchet derivative of $Q$ itself. Thus, the determination of conservation laws via multipliers is a kind of adjoint problem of the determination of symmetries.

From the variational relation (28), conserved densities $T$ up to 1st order correspond to multipliers $Q$ of at most the same order, as given by

$$Q(t, r, u, u_t, u_r) = \frac{\delta T(t, r, u, u_t, u_r)}{\delta u_t}.$$ (29)

Such multipliers are determined by the linear system

$$0 = D_t^2 Q - (\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + u_r \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}) Q + D_r \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_t} + u_r \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_r} \right) Q - D_t^2 (g Q)$$

$$0 = 2 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u} + D_t \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_t} - D_r \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}$$

holding for all solutions $u(t, r)$, namely, with $u_t$ (and its $r$-derivatives) replaced by $gu_{rr} + f$ (and its total $r$-derivatives). For a given multiplier $Q$, the corresponding conserved density $T$ and flux $X$ can be obtained by integration of the relations

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial u_t} = Q, \quad \frac{\partial X}{\partial u_r} = -g Q,$$

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial u_r} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial u_t} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial r} + u_t \frac{\partial T}{\partial u} + u_r \frac{\partial X}{\partial u} = -f Q.$$ (33)
arising from the characteristic equation (26). In particular, an explicit homotopy integration formula is given by

\[ T(t, r, u_1, u_r) = u_r \int_0^1 \left( Q(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) - \lambda u \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right) d\lambda \]

\[ + u_r \int_0^1 \left( W(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) + \lambda u \frac{\partial W}{\partial u}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right) d\lambda \]

\[ - u \int_0^1 \left( f(r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) - \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right) d\lambda \]

\[ = u_r \int_0^1 \left( Q(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) - \lambda u \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right) d\lambda \]

\[ - u \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) g(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) - \frac{\partial W}{\partial r}(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \right) d\lambda, \]

where

\[ W(t, r, u_1, u_r) = u_r \int_0^1 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, u_1, u_r) d\lambda + u_r \int_0^1 g(t, r, u_1, u_r) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, u_1, u_r) d\lambda. \]

These expressions (34)–(36) are an improvement over the standard homotopy integral formula in which both \( T \) and \( X \) would contain \( r \)-derivatives of \( u_1, u_r \) that have been canceled here by the addition of suitable total derivative terms. (The proof that \( T \) and \( X \) satisfy relations (32) and (33) assumes that the scaled characteristic expression

\[ (\lambda u_r - g(r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r) \lambda u_r - f(r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r)) Q(t, r, \lambda u, \lambda u_r, \lambda u_r) \]

as well as the scaled differential form

\[ \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, u_1, u_r) \lambda u_r + g(r, u_1, u_r) \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u_r}(t, r, u_1, u_r) \lambda u_r \]

are differentiable with respect to \( \lambda \) and vanish at \( \lambda = 0 \).)

If a wave equation (6) possesses a variational formulation (9), then its multipliers \( Q \) correspond to variational symmetries defined by the characteristic form

\[ X = r^{1-n} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial u}, \]

where the determining equations on \( Q \) can be shown to reduce to conditions equivalent to those given by Noether’s theorem\(^{14,7,8} \) for the Lagrangian functional \( \mathcal{L} \) to be formally invariant (up to boundary terms at spatial infinity and the origin) under the prolongation of the generator (37). More precisely, symmetry invariance requires that the Lagrangian itself satisfies

\[ r^{n-1} pr \mathcal{L} = D_t A + D_r B \]

for some scalar functions \( A \) and \( B \) depending on \( t, r, u_1, u_r \) and \( r \)-derivatives of \( u_1, u_r \), where \( X \) is a symmetry generator (21) in characteristic form (and \( pr \) denotes its prolongation). Then the variational identity

\[ r^{n-1} pr \mathcal{L} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta u} P + D_t \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_1} P \right) + D_r \left( r^{n-1} \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_r} P \right) \]
yields the equation
\[ \frac{\delta L}{\delta u} P = (u_{tt} - gu_{rr} - f)r^{n-1}P = D_tT + D_rX, \] (40)
given by
\[ T = A - Q \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_t}, \quad X = B - Q \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_r}, \quad Q = r^{n-1}P, \] (41)
Thus, each symmetry generator (21) for which the Lagrangian functional is invariant (38) yields a conservation law in characteristic form (26) with the conserved density $T$ and flux $X$ by the Noether relation (41). Conversely, through the variational identity (39) combined with equation (40), each conservation law in characteristic form (26) yields a symmetry generator (21) under which the Lagrangian functional is invariant (38). Note that when the multiplier $Q$ given by a Noether conservation law (41) is of 1st order (29) then the corresponding variational symmetry (37) will be of point type if
\[ \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial u_t \partial u_t} = \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial u_r \partial u_r} = \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial u_t \partial u_r} = 0 \] (42)
and otherwise it will be of contact type.

By inspection, any Lagrangian functional of the form (9) is formally invariant under infinitesimal time-translation
\[ \delta t = 1, \quad \delta r = 0, \quad \delta u = 0. \] (43)
The corresponding characteristic generator thereby yields a variational symmetry
\[ X = r^{1-n}Q \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad Q = -r^{n-1}u_t, \] (44)
admitted in the case of a variational wave equation (6). The resulting conservation law (41) describes a conserved energy
\[ C = -\int_0^\infty T \, dr = \int_0^\infty (u_t^2 + L(u, u_t, u_r))r^{n-1} \, dr \] (45)
for all solutions $u(t, r)$ that have zero flux at spatial infinity and at the origin.

In the case of a wave equation (14) having the alternative variational structure (16), the previous statements hold with $r^m$ in place of $r^{n-1}$.

For any wave equation (6), whether or not it is variational, a conserved density $T$ and a flux $X$ that arise from a multiplier with at most linear dependence on $u_t$
\[ Q = \alpha(t, r, u, u_t)u_t + \beta(t, r, u, u_r) \] (46)
will be called a generalized-energy type conservation law. For such conservation laws, the relations (32)–(33) show that the form of $T$ and $X$ is given by
\[ T = \frac{1}{2}u_t^2 \alpha(t, r, u, u_t) + u_t \beta(t, r, u, u_r) + A(t, r, u_r) \]
\[ X = -\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 \frac{\partial \alpha(t, r, u, u_t)}{\partial u_t} - \frac{1}{2}u_r^2 \frac{\partial \beta(t, r, u, u_r)}{\partial u_r} - u_r \frac{\partial A(t, r, u, u_r)}{\partial u_r} + B(t, r, u, u_r) \] (47)
for some scalar functions $A$ and $B$.

If a wave equation (6) possesses a divergence structure (8), then it can be integrated to get
\[ \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_0^\infty ur^{n-1} \, dr = F \bigg|_0^\infty, \] (48)
which formally yields a kinematic quantity given by

\[ C(t) = \int_0^\infty ur^{n-1} \, dr = C_1 t + C_2 \quad (49) \]

satisfying

\[ \frac{d^2 C}{dt^2} = 0 \quad (50) \]

provided that the flux \( F \) vanishes at spatial infinity and at the origin. The resulting quantities

\[ C_1 = \int_0^\infty u_t r^{n-1} \, dr = \text{const.} \quad (51) \]

\[ C_2 = \int_0^\infty (u - tu_t) r^{n-1} \, dr = \text{const.} \quad (52) \]

are conserved, corresponding to the conservation laws

\[ T_1 = r^{n-1} u_t, \quad X_1 = -r^{n-1} F \quad (53) \]

\[ T_2 = r^{n-1} (u - tu_t), \quad X_2 = r^{n-1} t F, \quad (54) \]

whose multipliers are given by

\[ Q_1 = r^{n-1}, \quad Q_2 = -r^{n-1} t. \quad (55) \]

In the case of a wave equation (14) having the alternative divergence structure (15), the same kinematic conservation laws hold with \( r^m \) in place of \( r^{n-1} \).

More generally, for any wave equation (6), whether or not it has a divergence structure, a conserved density \( T \) and a flux \( X \) arising from a multiplier \( Q \) that depends only on the coordinates \( t, r \) will be called a \textit{kinematic} type conservation law. Such conservation laws are characterized by the form

\[ T = u_t \alpha(t, r) - u \frac{\partial \alpha(t, r)}{\partial t} \quad (56) \]

for the conserved density, where

\[ Q = \alpha(t, r) \quad (57) \]

is the corresponding multiplier.

### III. SYMMETRY CLASSIFICATION

For each class of radial wave equations (5a) and (5b), we will now find all point symmetries (37). In particular, we will explicitly determine any point symmetries that exist only for special nonlinearity powers \( p \) and dimensions \( n \neq 1 \), as well as for special relations among the constant coefficients \( a, b, c \) in these wave equations, excluding cases where the wave equation is linear or non-hyperbolic. (Note we will allow \( n \) to have non-integer values. An interpretation of the wave equations (5a) and (5b) in such cases is given in Sec. V.)

Our results are obtained by solving the determining equation (20) for the functions \( \tau(t, r, u), \xi(t, r, u), \eta(t, r, u) \) given by the characteristic form of the symmetry generator (19). To keep the list of solutions succinct, we have merged symmetries with similar forms into a combined form wherever possible. Remarks on the computation will be provided at the end in Sec. III C.

We will also work out the commutator structure of these symmetry generators. For this purpose, it is convenient to use the associated canonical form of the generator defined by

\[ \hat{X} = \tau \partial/\partial t + \xi \partial/\partial r + \eta \partial/\partial u, \quad (58) \]
A. Point symmetries of $u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)(u_{rr} + (n - 1)u_r/r) + au^{p-1}u_t^2$

Table I lists the infinitesimal point symmetries of the radial wave equation (5a) in all cases such that this equation is nonlinear (i.e., $a \neq 0$ or $pb \neq 0$), hyperbolic (i.e., $pb \neq 0$ or $c + b \neq 0$ when $pb = 0$), and multi-dimensional (i.e., $n \neq 1$). These three restrictions are equivalent to the inequality $(n - 1)(bp)^2 + a^2(c + b)^2 \neq 0$ holding on the nonlinearity power $p$, the dimension $n$, and the coefficients $a$, $b$, $c$.

Symmetries $\hat{X}_1$ and $\hat{X}_2$ respectively generate a time-translation and a space-time dilation on $(t, r)$. These are the only symmetries admitted for all allowed values of $a$, $b$, $c$, $p$, $n$. Symmetries $\hat{X}_7$ and $\hat{X}_8$ generate a shift on $u$, while symmetry $\hat{X}_6$ generates a temporal inversion on $(t, u)$. Symmetry $\hat{X}_3$ generates a scaling on $(r, u)$ when $c = 0$, or a scaling on $u$ when $p = 0$, and otherwise generates a shift on $u$ combined with a scaling on $(r, u)$ when $c \neq 0$, $p \neq 0$. Symmetry $\hat{X}_4$ is a non-rigid generalization of the shift-scaling $\hat{X}_5$ on $(r, u)$, Symmetry $\hat{X}_5$ is a logarithmic counterpart of $\hat{X}_4$.

From Table I we see that the point symmetry structure for the wave equation (5a) is richest in four main cases: $n = 2$, i.e., when the spatial domain is planar; $p = 1$, i.e., when the nonlinearities are quadratic; $p = 0$, i.e., when the Laplacian term is linear; and $c = 0$, i.e., when the linear Laplacian term is absent. We also see that the only distinguished relation among $a$, $b$, $c$ is given by $(a + b + (1 - p)c)(n - 3) = bp(n/2 - 1)$, which reduces to $a = (p - 1)c - b$ when $n = 2$, where $p$ and $c$ are restricted by the condition $p(p - 1)c = 0$. When the radial wave equation (5a) is quasilinear (i.e., $p \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$), this relation becomes

$$a = -\left(p\frac{1-n/2}{n-3} + 1\right)b, \quad n \neq 3$$  \hfill (59)$$

with $p = 1$ or $c = 0$. In contrast, when the radial wave equation (5a) is semilinear (i.e., $pb = 0$, $a \neq 0$), the distinguished relation among $a$, $b$, $c$ simplifies to

$$a = -(b + c) \text{ or } n = 3.$$  \hfill (60)$$

We note that, from Proposition 1, the simplified relation (59) in the quasilinear case coincides with the condition $2a = pb$ for existence of a variational structure (9) if $p = 2(n - 3) \neq 0$ or the condition $a = pb$ for existence of a divergence structure (8) if $p = 2(n - 3)(4 - n) \neq 0$, $n \neq 4$, while
the non-existence of both a variational structure and a divergence structure in the semilinear case precludes any overlap with the relation (60).

We will next summarize the structure of the algebra generated by all of these point symmetries.

**Theorem 1:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, quasilinear radial wave equation (5a), its point symmetries in the general case \( n \neq 1, p \neq 0, b \neq 0 \) comprise a 2-dimensional algebra generated by the time-translation \( \hat{X}_1 \) and the space-time dilation \( \hat{X}_2 \), with the commutator structure

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{X}_1. \tag{61}
\]

Its additional point symmetries generate larger algebras in the following cases:

(i) For \( c = 0 \), the point symmetries additionally comprise the scaling \( \hat{X}_3 \); the non-rigid dilation \( \hat{X}_4 \) when \( a(n - 3) + b(n - 3 - p(n - 2)/2) = 0, n \neq 2 \); the logarithmic dilation \( \hat{X}_5 \) when \( a + b = 0, n = 2 \); and the temporal inversion \( \hat{X}_6 \) when \( p = -4 \). In the subcases \( n = 3, p \neq 0, p \neq -4 \), or \( n \neq 3, a \neq -b(p(1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), p \neq -4 \), the scaling \( \hat{X}_3 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = 0 \tag{62}
\]

generating a 3-dimensional algebra. In the subcases \( n \neq 2, n \neq 3, a = -b(p(1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), p \neq -4, or n = 3, p = 0 \), the non-rigid dilation \( \hat{X}_4 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = (2 - n)\hat{X}_4, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = p(1 - n/2)b\hat{X}_4. \tag{63}
\]

In the subcase \( n = 2, a = -b, p \neq -4 \), the logarithmic dilation \( \hat{X}_5 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = \hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = pb/2\hat{X}_3. \tag{64}
\]

In the subcase \( n \neq 2, n \neq 3, a \neq -b(p(1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), p = -4 \), the temporal inversion \( \hat{X}_6 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_6] = 2\hat{X}_2 + 1/b\hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_6] = \hat{X}_6, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_6] = 0. \tag{65}
\]

In the three previous subcases, the algebra is 4-dimensional. A 5-dimensional algebra is generated in the two remaining subcases. In the subcase \( n \neq 2, n \neq 3, a = -b((4 - 2n)(n - 3) + 1), p = -4 \), the non-rigid dilation \( \hat{X}_4 \) and the temporal inversion \( \hat{X}_6 \) enlarge the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_6] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = (2 - n)\hat{X}_4, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = 2(n - 2)b\hat{X}_4. \tag{66}
\]

In the subcase \( n = 2, a = -b, p = -4 \), the logarithmic dilation \( \hat{X}_5 \) and the temporal inversion \( \hat{X}_6 \) enlarge the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = [\hat{X}_5, \hat{X}_6] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = \hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = -2b\hat{X}_3. \tag{67}
\]

(ii) For \( c \neq 0, p = 1 \), the point symmetries additionally comprise the shift-scaling \( \hat{X}_3 \); the non-rigid dilation \( \hat{X}_4 \) when \( a = -b((1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), n \neq 2, n \neq 3 \); and the logarithmic dilation \( \hat{X}_5 \) when \( a = -b, n = 2 \). In the subcase \( n \neq 3, a \neq -b((1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), \) the shift-scaling \( \hat{X}_3 \) enlarges the commutator structure to generate a 3-dimensional algebra (61)–(62).

A 4-dimensional algebra is generated in the two remaining subcases. In the subcase \( n \neq 2, n \neq 3, a = -b((1 - n/2)(n - 3) + 1), \) the non-rigid dilation \( \hat{X}_4 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = (2 - n)\hat{X}_4, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = (1 - n/2)b\hat{X}_4. \tag{68}
\]

In the subcase \( n = 2, a = -b \), the logarithmic dilation \( \hat{X}_5 \) enlarges the commutator structure (61)–(62) by

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = \hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = b/2\hat{X}_3. \tag{69}
\]
Variational point symmetries of a radial wave equation (5a) comprise a sub-algebra of the algebra described in Theorem 1. The structure of this sub-algebra will be discussed in the context of conservation laws in Sec. IV A.

**Theorem 2:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, semilinear radial wave equation (5a), its point symmetries in the general case $n \neq 1$, $bp = 0$, $a \neq 0$, $c + b \neq 0$ again comprise a 2-dimensional algebra generated by the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$ and the space-time dilation $\hat{X}_2$, with the commutator structure

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{X}_1. \quad (70)$$

Its additional point symmetries generate larger algebras in following cases:

(i) For $p = 0$, $b \neq 0$, the point symmetries additionally comprise the scaling $\hat{X}_3$; the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_4$ when $(a + b + c)(n - 3) = 0$, $n \neq 2$, and the logarithmic dilation $\hat{X}_5$ when $a + b + c = 0$, $n = 2$. In the subcase $n \neq 3$, $a \neq -(b + c)$, the scaling $\hat{X}_3$ enlarges the commutator structure (70) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = 0 \quad (71)$$
genrating a 3-dimensional algebra. In the subcases $n = 3$, or $n \neq 2$, $n \neq 3$, $a = -(b + c)$, the scaling $\hat{X}_3$ and the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_4$ enlarge the commutator structure (70) and (71) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = (2 - n)\hat{X}_4 \quad (72)$$
genrating a 4-dimensional algebra. In the subcase $n = 2$, $a = -(b + c)$, the scaling $\hat{X}_3$ and the logarithmic dilation $\hat{X}_5$ enlarge the commutator structure (70) and (71) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = \hat{X}_3 \quad (73)$$
genrating another 4-dimensional algebra.

(ii) For $b = 0$, $c \neq 0$, the point symmetries additionally comprise the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_4$ when $(a + (1 - p)c)(n - 3) = 0$, $p(p - 1) = 0$, $n \neq 2$, and the shifts $\hat{X}_7$ and $\hat{X}_8$ when $p = 1$. In the subcases $p = 0$, $n = 3$, or $p = 0$, $n \neq 3$, $a = -c$, the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_4$ enlarges the commutator structure (70) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = (2 - n)\hat{X}_4 \quad (74)$$
genrating a 3-dimensional algebra. In the subcase $p = 1$, $n \neq 3$, the shifts $\hat{X}_7$ and $\hat{X}_8$ enlarge the commutator structure (70) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_7] = [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_7] = 0, [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_8] = \hat{X}_7, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_8] = \hat{X}_8 \quad (75)$$
genrating a 4-dimensional algebra. In the subcase $p = 1$, $n = 3$, the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_4$ and the shifts $\hat{X}_7$ and $\hat{X}_8$ enlarge the commutator structure (70) and (75) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_7] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_8] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = -\hat{X}_4 \quad (76)$$
genrating a 5-dimensional algebra.

Since semilinearity for a radial wave equation (5a) precludes the existence of a variational formulation, the algebra described in Theorem 2 has no variational sub-algebra.

**B. Point symmetries of**\( u_{tt} = (c + (a + b)u_t^p)u_{rr} + (n - 1)(cu_t + bu_t^{p+1})/r \)**

Table II lists the infinitesimal point symmetries of the radial wave equation (5b) in all cases such that this equation is nonlinear (i.e., \( pb \neq 0 \) or \( p(a + b) \neq 0 \)), hyperbolic (i.e., \( pb + a \neq 0 \) or \( c \neq 0 \)), and multi-dimensional (i.e., \( n \neq 1 \), \( c \neq 0 \) or \( p + 1 \neq 0 \)). These three restrictions are equivalent to the inequality \((n - 1)p(b^2 + c^2)((b + a)^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2) \neq 0\) holding on the nonlinearity power \( p \), the dimension \( n \), and the coefficients \( a, b, c \).
TABLE II. Infinitesimal point symmetries for $(n - 1)(b^2(p + 1)^2 + c^2) \neq 0, p(b + a)^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2 \neq 0$.

| τ | ξ | η | Conditions |
|---|---|---|------------|
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | t | r | a |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | t |
| 5 | pt | 0 | −2a |
| 6 | r^2 | 0 | su |
| 7 | 0 | r^−m | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | rln r | 0 |

Symmetry $\hat{X}_1$ generates a time-translation. Symmetry $\hat{X}_2$ generates a scaling on $(t, r, u)$. Symmetries $\hat{X}_3$ and $\hat{X}_4$ generate a shift on $u$. These are the only symmetries admitted for all allowed values of $a, b, c, p, n$. Symmetry $\hat{X}_5$ generates a scaling on $(t, u)$, while symmetry $\hat{X}_6$ generates a temporal inversion on $(t, u)$. Symmetry $\hat{X}_7$ is a non-rigid generalization of the scaling on $r$ given by $\hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{X}_5$ (i.e., $\tau = 0, \xi = r, \eta = 0$) when $c = 0$. Symmetry $\hat{X}_8$ is a logarithmic counterpart of $\hat{X}_7$.

From Table II we see that the point symmetry structure for the wave equation (5b) is richest in the case $c = 0$, i.e., when the linear Laplacian term is absent, and in the subcases $p = -2$ and $p = -4$, i.e., when the nonlinearities take the form

$$u_{tt} = \frac{a + b}{|p|} \left( u_0^{-|p|} \right)_r + (n - 1)bu_0^{-|p+1|}/r, \quad p = -2, 4. \quad (77)$$

We also see that there is no distinguished dimension $n \neq 1$, while the only distinguished relation among $a, b, c$ is given by

$$a = b(n - 2), \quad b \neq 0. \quad (78)$$

When the radial wave equation (5b) is quasilinear (i.e., $p \neq 0, b + a \neq 0$), this relation (78) coincides with the condition $a = pb$ for the existence of both a variational structure (9) and a divergence structure (8) if $p = n - 2 \neq 0$. Moreover, the relation (78) corresponds to

$$m = p + 1 \quad (79)$$

in terms of the radial power

$$m = \frac{b(n - 1)(p + 1)}{a + b}, \quad (80)$$

which characterizes the alternative variational and divergence structures (16) and (15) that exist for the radial wave equation (5b) in the highly quasilinear case $c = 0 (p \neq 0, b + a \neq 0)$. In contrast, when the radial wave equation (5b) is semilinear (i.e., $p \neq 0, b + a = 0, bc \neq 0$), the non-existence of both a variational structure and a divergence structure precludes any overlap with the relation (78).

We will next summarize the structure of the algebra generated by all of these point symmetries.

**Theorem 3:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, quasilinear radial wave equation (5b), its point symmetries in the general case $n \neq 1, b \neq 0, b + a \neq 0, p(p + 1) \neq 0$ comprise a 4-dimensional algebra generated by the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$, the scaling $\hat{X}_2$, and the shifts $\hat{X}_3$ and $\hat{X}_4$, with the commutator structure

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{X}_1, [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = -\hat{X}_3. \quad (81)$$
For $c = 0$, its point symmetries generate larger algebras that additionally comprise the temporal scaling $\hat{X}_5$; the temporal inversion $\hat{X}_6$ when $p = -4$; the non-rigid scaling $\hat{X}_7$ when $a \neq b(n - 2)$, $p = -2$; and the logarithmic scaling $\hat{X}_8$ when $a = b(n - 2)$, $p = -2$. In the subcase $p \neq -2$, $p \neq -4$, the temporal scaling $\hat{X}_5$ enlarges the commutator structure (81) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = p\hat{X}_1, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = 0, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = -2\hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_5] = -(2 + p)\hat{X}_4$$

(82)

generating a 5-dimensional algebra. In the subcase $b \neq 0$, $p = -4$, the temporal inversion $\hat{X}_6$ enlarges the commutator structure (81) and (82) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_6] = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{X}_5, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_6] = \hat{X}_6, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_6] = \hat{X}_4, [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_6] = 0, [\hat{X}_5, \hat{X}_6] = -4\hat{X}_6.$$ 

(83)

In the subcase $a \neq b(n - 2)$, $p = -2$, the non-rigid scaling $\hat{X}_7$ enlarges the commutator structure (81) and (82) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_7] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_7] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_7] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_7] = -(m + 1)\hat{X}_7.$$ 

(84)

In the subcase $a = b(n - 2)$, $p = -2$, the logarithmic scaling $\hat{X}_8$ enlarges the commutator structure (81) and (82) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_5, \hat{X}_8] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_8] = \hat{X}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{X}_5.$$ 

(85)

In the previous three subcases, the algebra is 6-dimensional.

Variational point symmetries of a radial wave equation (5b) comprise a sub-algebra of the algebra described in Theorem 3. The structure of this sub-algebra will be discussed in the context of conservation laws in Sec. IV B.

Theorem 4: For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, semilinear radial wave equation (5b), its point symmetries in all cases $n \neq 1, b + a = 0, b \neq 0, c \neq 0, p(p + 1) \neq 0$ comprise a 4-dimensional algebra generated by the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$, the scaling $\hat{X}_2$, and the shifts $\hat{X}_3$ and $\hat{X}_4$, with the commutator structure

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = \hat{X}_1, [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = 0, [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = \hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = -\hat{X}_3.$$ 

(86)

Since the existence of a variational formulation is precluded by semilinearity for a radial wave equation (5b), the algebra described in Theorem 4 has no variational sub-algebra.

C. Computational Remarks

In the computation of point symmetries (19) for a radial wave equation (5), the symmetry determining equation (20) is formulated in the jet space whose coordinates are defined by $t, r, u, \upsilon_r, \upsilon_c, \upsilon_{uc}$, and $\upsilon_{ucr}$, where $\upsilon_c$ is replaced by $g\upsilon_{cr} + f$. For wave equation (5a), this determining equation splits with respect to $u_\upsilon, u_c, u_{cr}$ into an overdetermined system of 16 equations in 8 unknowns $\eta(t, r, u), \xi(t, r, u), \tau(t, r, u), a, b, c, n, p$. In contrast, for wave equation (5b), the determining equation splits initially with respect to $u_\upsilon, u_{cr}$, and $u_{cr}$, but not with respect to $u_c$ because this wave equation contains terms involving the unknown power $p$ of $u_\upsilon$. In this case, the partial splitting yields an overdetermined system of 9 equations in the 8 unknowns $\eta(t, r, u), \xi(t, r, u), \tau(t, r, u), a, b, c, n, p$.

We use computer algebra both to carry out the splitting and to solve the resulting overdetermined system of equations. The formulation and splitting of the symmetry determining equation is done automatically by the program LIEPDE,\textsuperscript{17} which in turn calls the package CRACK\textsuperscript{19} to solve the resulting overdetermined system for the 8 unknowns. Although the system is linear in the unknowns $\eta, \tau, \xi$, it is nonlinear jointly in the 8 unknowns and even is non-polynomial in the unknown power
\(p\), which appears as an exponent. Such problems are typically much more complicated to solve than are linear problems.

One main difficulty is the handling of unknowns as exponents, which requires case splittings such that all possible balances of exponents are considered. An example is the equation \(\xi_t - (a + b) u^p r - c r - (a + b) u^{p+1} r - c u r = 0\) together with the inequality \(p \neq 0\) arising during the computation for solving the overdetermined system in the case of wave equation (5b). Balancing the powers 0, 1, \(p\), \(p+1\) of \(u\) leads to three different splittings: \(p = -1; p = 1; (p - 1)(p + 1) \neq 0\). Each of these three cases then must be solved separately in the remaining computation. A similar situation arises during the computation for solving the overdetermined system for wave equation (5a), which involves splitting with respect to powers of \(u\) after integrating out the \(u\) dependence of \(\tau, \xi, \eta\).

Other kinds of case splittings also arise. For example, when an expression factorizes then each factor must be considered zero or non-zero, as in the equation \(c (\xi_t - \tau_t) = 0\) arising during the computation for solving the overdetermined system for wave equation (5b). This leads to the cases \(c = 0\) and \(c \neq 0, \xi_t = \tau_t\), both of which must then be solved separately.

To our knowledge, CRACK is the only package that can handle such computational difficulties and, in particular, can both generate and solve all resulting cases automatically. In handling nested case distinctions, CRACK considers equations and inequalities together. For example, equations and inequalities are simplified with each other, and new inequalities as well as equations are generated through case distinctions.

For both wave equations (5a) and (5b), CRACK is able to complete the entire computation of point symmetries automatically.

**IV. CONSERVATION LAW CLASSIFICATION**

For each class of radial wave equations (5a) and (5b), we will now find all kinematic conservation laws (56)–(57) and all generalized-energy conservation laws (46)–(47). In particular, we will explicitly determine any such conservation laws that exist only for special nonlinearity powers \(p\) and dimensions \(n \neq 1\), as well as for special relations among the constant coefficients \(a, b, c\) in these wave equations, excluding cases where the wave equation is linear or non-hyperbolic. (Note we will allow \(n\) to have non-integer values. An interpretation of the wave equations (5a) and (5b) in such cases is given in Sec. V.)

Our results are obtained by first solving the determining system (30)–(31) for the multiplier function

\[
Q = \alpha(t, r, u, u_r) u_t + \beta(t, r, u, u_r)
\]

(87)

given by the characteristic form (26) of these conservation laws, and then using the homotopy integration formula (34)–(36) or directly integrating relations (32)–(33) to obtain the conserved density \(T(t, r, u, u_t, u_r)\) and flux \(X(t, r, u, u_t, u_r)\) determined by each multiplier. To keep the list of solutions succinct, we have merged conservation laws with similar forms into a combined form wherever possible. Remarks on the computation will be provided at the end in Sec. IV C.

In the case when a radial wave equation (5a) or (5b) possesses a variational formulation (9), multipliers (87) correspond (via Noether’s theorem (39)–(40)) to symmetries with the characteristic form

\[
X = r^{1-n} (\alpha(t, r, u, u_r) u_t + \beta(t, r, u, u_r)) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.
\]

(88)

Thus, our classification of all generalized-energy conservation laws will provide a partial classification of variational symmetries for these wave equations. We note that a symmetry generator (88) will be of point type (19) if and only if

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u_r} = \frac{\partial^2 \beta}{\partial u_r \partial u} = 0
\]

(89)
in which case, it will have the equivalent canonical form

$$\dot{X} = -r^{1-n}(a \partial \partial t + \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_t} \partial \partial r + (\frac{\partial \beta}{\partial u_r} - \beta ) \partial \partial u).$$

(90)

In the case of the alternative variational structure (16)–(17), the same statements hold with $r^{1-n}$ replaced by $r^{-m}$.

**A. Conservation laws of $u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)(u_r + (n - 1)u_t/r) + au^{p-1}u^2_t$**

In the following tables, we list the kinematic conservation laws (56)–(57) and generalized-energy conservation laws (46)–(47) of the radial wave equation (5a) in all cases such that this equation is nonlinear (i.e., $a \neq 0$ or $pb \neq 0$), hyperbolic (i.e., $pb \neq 0$ or $c + b \neq 0$ when $pb = 0$), and multi-dimensional (i.e., $n \neq 1$). These three restrictions are equivalent to the inequality $(n - 1)(bp)^2 + a^2(c + b)^2 \neq 0$ holding on the nonlinearity power $p$, the dimension $n$, and the coefficients $a, b, c$.

To begin, the kinematic conserved densities, fluxes, and corresponding multipliers are listed in Table III, where $H(u)$ denotes the expression (13) appearing in the divergence structure (12a).

Conserved densities $T_1$ and $T_2$ arise from the $n$-dimensional divergence structure (8) for the radial wave equation (5a). Conserved densities $T_3$ and $T_4$ arise from an alternative divergence structure $(ru_t)_r = (fr)_r$, where the flux is given by $F = (c + bu^p)u_t + (n - 2)(cu + bH(u))$, which holds under the same existence condition $a = pb$ as for the divergence structure (8). A logarithmic counterpart of the alternative divergence structure gives rise to the conserved densities $T_5$ and $T_6$.

We will now summarize the structure of the vector space spanned by the conserved quantities (25) arising from these kinematic conserved densities.

**Theorem 5:** A multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5a) admits kinematic conservation laws only in cases when $a = pb \neq 0$.

(i) For $n \neq 2$, $a = pb \neq 0$, a basis of kinematic conserved quantities is comprised by

$$C_1 = \int_0^\infty u_t r^{n-1} dr, \quad C_2 = \int_0^\infty (ru_t - u)r^{n-1} dr, \quad C_3 = \int_0^\infty u_t r dr, \quad C_4 = \int_0^\infty (ru_t - u)r dr.$$  

(91)

(ii) For $n = 2$, $a = pb \neq 0$, a basis of kinematics conserved quantities is comprised by

$$C_1 = \int_0^\infty u_t r dr, \quad C_2 = \int_0^\infty (ru_t - u)r dr, \quad C_3 = \int_0^\infty u_t (ln r) r dr, \quad C_4 = \int_0^\infty (ru_t - u)(ln r)r dr.$$  

(92)

In both cases, the conserved quantities span a 4-dimensional vector space.
PLY the condition for the existence of a variational structure (9) for the radial wave equation (5a).

The relations among the coefficients are listed in Table V.

From Table V we see that these conservation laws are characterized by two distinguished conditions:

- \( \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t = - \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \)
- \( 4a = bp, \ c(p - 1) = 0 \)

The conditions on the coefficients \( a, b, c \) coming from Theorem 5 combined with Proposition 1 imply the following interesting result.

**Corollary 1:** A multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5a) possesses kinematic conservation laws if it has a quasilinear divergence structure.

Next, the generalized-energy conserved densities and fluxes are listed in Table IV; corresponding multipliers are listed in Table V.

From Table IV we see that these conservation laws are characterized by two distinguished relations among the coefficients \( a, b, c; 2a = pb, \) or \( 3a = pb. \) The first relation \( 2a = pb \) is simply the condition for the existence of a variational structure (9) for the radial wave equation (5a).

### Table IV. Generalized-energy conservation laws for \( n \neq 1, (bp)^2 + a^2(c + b)^2 \neq 0. \)

| \( T \) | \( X \) | Conditions |
|---|---|---|
| 1 \( \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | - \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp \) |
| 2 \( \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} \left( b(p+4)rBu_t + b(p+4)rBu_t \right) \) | +2 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c(p - 1) = 0 \) |
| 3 \( r(bru_t + bu + c)u_t \) | - \( \frac{1}{2} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c(p - 1) = 0, p = 2(n - 3) \) |
| 4 \( \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} \left( r^2Bu_t + rBu_t - u \right) \) | - \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, \ p = -4 \) |
| 5 \( \frac{1}{2} r^2Bu_t + rBu_t - u \) | +2 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = -2, n = 2 \) |
| 6 \( \frac{1}{2} r^{n-1} (3bru_t + n(bu + c)Bu_t) \) | + \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = 2(n - 3) \) |
| 7 \( \frac{1}{2} rBu_t + (ru_t)(ru_t + rBu_t) \) | + \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = -4, n = 9/5 \) |

### Table V. Generalized-energy multipliers for \( n \neq 1, (bp)^2 + a^2(c + b)^2 \neq 0. \)

| \( Q \) | Conditions |
|---|---|
| 1 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | - \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp \) |
| 2 \( r^{n-1} \left( b(p+4)rBu_t + b(p+4)rBu_t \right) \) | +2 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c(p - 1) = 0 \) |
| 3 \( r(bru_t + bu + c)u_t \) | - \( \frac{1}{2} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c(p - 1) = 0, p = 2(n - 3) \) |
| 4 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | - \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = -4 \) |
| 5 \( rBu_t + (ru_t)(ru_t + rBu_t) \) | +2 \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = -2, n = 2 \) |
| 6 \( r^{n-1} (3bru_t + n(bu + c)Bu_t) \) | + \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = 2(n - 3) \) |
| 7 \( rBu_t + (ru_t)(ru_t + rBu_t) \) | + \( r^{n-1} (ru_t + pu + c)u_t \) | \( 2a = bp, \ c = 0, p = -4, n = 9/5 \) |
Conservation laws in this case correspond to variational symmetries through Noether’s theorem, as we will summarize in Subsection IV A 1. The second relation 3a = pb turns out to be the condition needed for deriving a variational type of Morawetz radial dilation identity, which produces conservation laws from temporal symmetries. We will discuss this interesting case later in Subsection IV A 2.

1. Noether correspondence for \( u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)u_r + (n - 1)u_r/r + (bp/2)u^{p-1}u_r^2 \)

In the variational case \( 2a = pb \), multipliers \( Q \) for conservation laws of the radial wave equation (5a) are in one-to-one correspondence to variational symmetries \( X = r^1 - nQ\partial/\partial u \) of the Lagrangian (10a). We see from Table V that all of the generalized-energy multipliers for \( 2a = pb \) are linear in \( u_t \) and \( u_r \). Consequently, the corresponding variational symmetries are all of point type. This correspondence is summarized in Table VI, where \( C \) denotes the conserved quantity (25) given by the conservation laws, and \( \hat{X} \) denotes the variational symmetry generator in canonical form (58).

Conserved quantity \( C_1 \) is an energy arising from the time-translation symmetry \( \hat{X}_1 \). Conserved quantity \( C_2 \) is a similarity energy arising from the scaling symmetry \( \hat{X}_2 \), while conserved quantity \( C_5 \) is a logarithmic counterpart of \( C_2 \). Conserved quantity \( C_3 \) is a radial momentum arising from the non-rigid radial dilation symmetry \( \hat{X}_3 \). Conserved quantity \( C_4 \) is a conformal energy arising from the temporal inversion symmetry \( \hat{X}_4 \).

We will now state the vector space structure spanned by these conserved quantities as well as the algebra structure determined by the corresponding variational symmetries.

**Theorem 6:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5a) that has a variational structure (9) when \( 2a = pb \neq 0 \), variational point symmetries are admitted in two cases, corresponding to all generalized-energy conservation laws.

(i) For \( c = 0 \), the variational point symmetries comprise the time-translation \( \hat{X}_1 \); the scaling \( \hat{X}_2 \); the non-rigid radial dilations \( \hat{X}_3 \) when \( p = 2(n - 3) \) and \( \hat{X}_5 \) when \( p = -2, n = 2 \); and the temporal inversion \( \hat{X}_4 \) when \( p = -4 \). In the subcase \( p \neq 2(n - 3), p \neq -4, n \neq 2 \), the time-translation \( \hat{X}_1 \) and the scaling \( \hat{X}_2 \) generate a two-dimensional algebra with the commutator structure

\[
[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = -b(np + 4)\hat{X}_1. \tag{93}
\]

The corresponding two-dimensional vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the energy \( C_1 \) and the similarity energy \( C_2 \). In the subcase \( p = 2(n - 3), p \neq -4, n \neq 2 \), the non-rigid radial
dilation $\hat{X}_3$ enlarges the commutator structure (93) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = 2b(n-1)(n-2)\hat{X}_3$$

(94)

generating a three-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_1$, $C_2$, and the radial momentum $C_3$. In the subcase $p = -4$, the temporal inversion $\hat{X}_4$ enlarges the commutator structure (93) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_4] = -1/(4b(n-1))\hat{X}_2, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4] = 4b(n-1)\hat{X}_4$$

(95)

generating another three-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_1$, $C_2$, and the conformal energy $C_4$. In the subcase $p = -2$, $n = 2$, the non-rigid radial dilations $\hat{X}_3$ and $\hat{X}_5$ enlarge the commutator structure (93)–(94) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_5] = -\hat{X}_1, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_5] = 2\hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = \hat{X}_3$$

(96)

generating a four-dimensional algebra whose corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_1$, $C_2$, and the dilational energy $C_5$.

(ii) For $c \neq 0$, $p = 1$, the variational point symmetries comprise the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$; the scaling $\hat{X}_2$; and the non-rigid radial dilation $\hat{X}_3$ when $n = \frac{7}{2}$. In the subcase $n \neq \frac{7}{2}$, the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$ and the scaling $\hat{X}_2$ generate a two-dimensional algebra with the commutator structure (93), while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the energy $C_1$ and the similarity energy $C_2$. In the subcase $n = \frac{7}{2}$, the non-rigid radial dilation $\hat{X}_3$ enlarges the commutator structure (93) by

$$[\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_3] = 0, [\hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_3] = 15/2b\hat{X}_3$$

(97)

generating a three-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_1$, $C_2$, and the radial momentum $C_3$.

2. Morawetz-type variational identity for $u_{tt} = (c + bu^p)(u_{rr} + (n-1)u_r/r) + (bp/3)u^{n-1}u_r^2$

To derive a variational identity for the radial wave equation (5a) when $2a \neq pb$, we start by making use of the previous Lagrangian (10a) to write

$$r^{n-1}(u_{tt} - (c + bu^p)(u_{rr} + (n-1)u_r/r) - au^{p-1}u_r^2) = E_u(r^{n-1}L) + \mu r^{n-1}\frac{\partial L}{\partial u},$$

(98)

where

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{2a}{pb} \neq 0$$

(99)

is the coefficient of the non-variational terms, and where

$$E_u = \partial/\partial u - D_r\partial/\partial u_r - D_t\partial/\partial u_t$$

(100)

is the 1st order Euler-Lagrange operator (i.e., local variational derivative) with respect to $u$. We next multiply equation (98) by the expression $W = ru_r - \lambda u$, which represents the characteristic function given by the generator of a scaling transformation on $(r, u)$, namely $\delta t = 0, \delta r = r, \delta u = \lambda u$, for some constant $\lambda$. This yields

$$r^{n-1}(ru_r - \lambda u)(u_{tt} - (c + bu^p)(u_{rr} + (n-1)u_r/r) - au^{p-1}u_r^2)$$

$$= (ru_r - \lambda u)E_u(r^{n-1}L) + \mu r^{n-1}(ru_r - \lambda u)\frac{\partial L}{\partial u}.$$
dilational derivative \((rD_r + \lambda) (r^{n-1} f)\) for some function \(f(u, u_r)\) and some constant \(\lambda\). Our main tool is the operator identity
\[
WE_u = \text{pr}Y - D_r (W \partial / \partial u_r) - D_r (W \partial / \partial u_r),
\]
where \(\text{pr}Y\) is the prolongation of the generator \(Y = W \partial / \partial u\).

To proceed, we split up the Lagrangian \(L = L_0 + L_1\) into its temporal and radial parts
\[
L_0 = -\frac{1}{2} u_r^2, \quad L_1 = \frac{1}{2} (c + bu^p) u_r^2,
\]
which have the scaling properties
\[
\text{pr}Y L_0 = r D_r L_0 - 2 \lambda L_0
\]
and
\[
\text{pr}Y L_1 = r D_r L_1 - 2 (\lambda - 1) L_1 - \lambda \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u}
\]
for \(W = ru_r - \lambda u\). Applying the identity (102) to the part of the Euler-Lagrange term containing \(L_0\) in equation (101), and using the scaling property (104), we get
\[
(r u_r - \lambda u) E_u (r^{n-1} L_0) = D_r (r^{n-1} (r u_r - \lambda u) u_r) + r^{n-1} (r D_r - 2 \lambda) L_0.
\]
If we now define \(\tilde{L}_0\) by
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{L}_0}{\partial u_r} = (r u_r - \lambda u) u_r,
\]
then the time derivative term in equation (106) can be expressed as
\[
D_r (r^{n-1} (r u_r - \lambda u) u_r) = -E_u (r^{n-1} \tilde{L}_0) + r^{n-1} (r D_r + (\lambda + n)) L_0,
\]
where
\[
\tilde{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2} (r u_r - \lambda u) u_r^2.
\]
Hence, equation (106) becomes
\[
(r u_r - \lambda u) E_u (r^{n-1} L_0) = -E_u (r^{n-1} \tilde{L}_0) + r^{n-1} (2 r D_r + (n - \lambda)) L_0.
\]
Similarly, from the scaling property (105) combined with the identity (102) applied to the part of the Euler-Lagrange term containing \(L_1\) in equation (101), we obtain
\[
(r u_r - \lambda u) E_u (r^{n-1} L_1) = -D_r (r^{n-1} (r u_r - \lambda u) (c + bu^p) u_r) + r^{n-1} ((r D_r - 2 (\lambda - 1)) L_1 - \lambda \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u}).
\]
If we integrate by parts on the dilational derivative term in equation (111) and then define \(\tilde{L}_1\) by
\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{L}_1}{\partial u_r} = -\frac{1}{2} (r u_r - 2 \lambda u) (c + bu^p) u_r,
\]
we find that the radial derivative terms in equation (111) can be expressed as
\[
- D_r (r^{n-1} (r u_r - \lambda u) (c + bu^p) u_r) + r^{n-1} D_s L_1 = - E_u (r^{n-1} \tilde{L}_1) + r^{n-1} ((\lambda (p+1) - n) L_1 - \frac{1}{2} r u_r \frac{\partial \tilde{L}_1}{\partial u}),
\]
where
\[
\tilde{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{6} (r u_r - 3 \lambda u) (c + bu^p) u_r^2.
\]
Hence, equation (111) becomes
\[
(r u_r - \lambda u) E_u (r^{n-1} L_1) = - E_u (r^{n-1} \tilde{L}_1) + r^{n-1} ((\lambda (p+1) + 2 - n) L_1 - \frac{1}{3} r u_r + \lambda u \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u}).
\]
Finally, substituting expressions (110) and (115) into equation (101), we have
\[ r^{n-1}(ru_t - \lambda u)(u_t - (c + bu^p)(u_t + (n - 1)u_r/r) - au^{p-1}u_r^3) \]
\[ = -E_a(r^{n-1}(\tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_1)) + r^{n-1}(2r D_r + (n - \lambda))L_0 \]
\[ + r^{n-1}((\lambda(p - 1) + 2 - n)L_1 + ((\mu - \frac{1}{3})ru_t - (1 + \mu)\lambda u)\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u}). \]
(116)
We now require that the terms \( L_1, u_r \partial L_1/\partial u_t, u_r \partial L_1/\partial u \) vanish, which holds if and only if the coefficients of \( u^{p-1}u_r^3, u_r^2, u^p u_r^2 \) in this equation are equal to zero. This imposes the respective conditions
\[ \mu = 1/3, \quad c\lambda = 0, \quad (1 + p/3)\lambda = 2 - n. \]
(117)
From the expression (99) for \( \mu \) in terms of \( a, b \), we then obtain
\[ 3a = pb. \]
(118)
Thus, for \( 3a = pb \), we see that equation (101) reduces to the variational dilation identity
\[ r^{n-1}(ru_t - \lambda u)u_t - (c + bu^p)(u_t + (n - 1)u_r/r) - (pb/3)u^{p-1}u_r^3 \]
\[ = -E_a(r^{n-1}\tilde{L}) - (r D_r + \tilde{\lambda})(r^{n-1}u_r^3) \]
(119)
with
\[ \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(ru_t - \lambda u)u_t^2 - \frac{1}{6}(ru_t - 3\lambda u)(c + bu^p)u_r^2 \]
(120)
and
\[ \tilde{\lambda} = 1 - (n + \lambda)/2. \]
(121)
As we will now illustrate, this identity (119) is able to produce conservation laws from multipliers that are given by certain symmetries \( X = P \partial/\partial u \) in characteristic form, in a manner similar to Noether’s theorem (39)–(40).
Consider the time translation
\[ X = u_t \partial/\partial u. \]
(122)
When the identity (119) is multiplied by
\[ P = u_t \]
we see first that the Euler-Lagrange term yields a total time derivative
\[ -u_t E_a(r^{n-1}\tilde{L}) = D_t(r^{n-1}u_t \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial u}) - r^{n-1}prX\tilde{L} = D_t(r^{n-1}u_t \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial u} - r^{n-1}\tilde{L}) \]
(124)
since, by inspection, the Lagrangian functional \( \tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \int_0^\infty \tilde{L}r^{n-1}dr \) is invariant under time-translation. Second, we see that the dilational derivative term produces
\[ -u_t(r D_r + \tilde{\lambda})(r^{n-1}u_r^3) = -2r^n u_r^3(u_{tt} - (\tilde{\lambda} + n - 1)r^{n-1}u_r^3) = D_t\left(-\frac{2}{3}r^n u_r^3\right) + (1 - \frac{1}{3}n - \tilde{\lambda})r^{n-1}u_r^3, \]
(125)
which will reduce to a total radial derivative iff
\[ \tilde{\lambda} = 1 - n/3. \]
(126)
Equating expressions (126) and (121), and using conditions (117), we obtain the additional conditions
\[ c = 0, \quad p = 6(1 - 3/n) \]
(127)
as well as
\[ \lambda = -n/3. \]
(128)
TABLE VII. Generalized-energy conserved quantities and generators for $n \neq 1, 3a = bp \neq 0$.

| $\tilde{Y}$ | $\tilde{X}$ | $C$ | Conditions |
|------------|------------|-----|------------|
| $6$         | $n(bu + c)\partial/\partial u$ | $-3br\partial/\partial r$ | $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \left( (3bru_r + n(bu + c)u_t^2) + (bu^n + c)(bru_r + n(bu + c)u_t^2) \right) r^{n-1} \, dr$ | $c(p - 1) = 0$, $p = 6(1 - 3/n)$ |
| $7$         | $3a\partial/\partial u - 5ru r r$ | $-u\partial/\partial u - 5ru r r$ | $\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \left( u_t (5bru_r + 6bu) (u - tu) u^{-4} + \frac{3}{2} ru_r^2 (3a - tu) \right) r^{1/5} \, dr$ | $c = 0$, $p = -4$, $n = 9/5$ |

Thus, for $a$, $b$, $c$, $p$, $\lambda$ satisfying conditions (118), (126), and (127), the product of the variational dilation identity (119) and the symmetry characteristic function (123) yields a conservation law, where the conserved density and flux are given by $T_6$ and $X_6$ in Table IV while the multiplier is given by $Q_6$ in Table V. We note that the form of this multiplier consists of $r^n$ times the product of the characteristic functions $W = ru_r - \lambda u$ and $P = u_t$, representing the scaling transformation and the time-translation symmetry, respectively. The $c \neq 0$ case for this conservation law shown in Tables IV and V can be obtained from a similar variational identity in which the scaling transformation is generalized to a shift-scaling transformation given by a characteristic function of the form $W = ru_r - \lambda (u + v)$, for some constants $\lambda \neq 0$ and $v \neq 0$. In addition, the remaining conservation law given by the conserved density $T_7$ and flux $X_7$ in Table IV, with the multiplier given by $Q_7$ in Table V, can be obtained from the variational identity (119) by using the temporal scaling symmetry with the characteristic function $P = tu_t - u$ in place of the time-translation symmetry. These results are summarized in Table VII, where $C$ denotes the conserved quantity (25) given by the conservation laws, and where $\tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{X}$, respectively, denote the scaling generator and symmetry generator in canonical form (58).

We will now state the vector space structure spanned by these conserved quantities.

**Theorem 7:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5a) that has no variational structure (9), generalized-energy conservation laws are admitted only in cases when $3a = pb \neq 0$, arising from a variational type of radial dilation identity.

(i) For $c = 0$, $3a = pb \neq 0$, the conserved quantities are comprised by the generalized-dilation energy $C_6$ when $p = 6(1 - 3/n)$ and the generalized-dilation conformal energy $C_7$ when $p = -4$, $n = 9/5$. In the subcase $p = 6(1 - 3/n)$, $n \neq 9/5$, a basis for the conserved quantities consists of $C_6$, spanning a 1-dimensional vector space. In the subcase $p = -4$, $n = 9/5$, the basis consists of $C_6$, $C_7$, spanning a 2-dimensional vector space.

(ii) For $c \neq 0$, $3a = pb \neq 0$, $p = 1$, the conserved quantities comprise only the generalized-dilation energy $C_6$ when $n = 18/5$, spanning a 1-dimensional vector space.

**B. Conservation laws of** $u_t = (c + (a + b)u_r^2)u_r + (n - 1)(cu_r + bu_r^{p+1})/r$

In the following tables, we list the kinematic conservation laws (56)–(57) and generalized-energy conservation laws (46)–(47) of the radial wave equation (5b) in all cases such that this equation is nonlinear (i.e., $p(p + 1)b \neq 0$ or $p(a + b) \neq 0$), hyperbolic (i.e., $p(b + a) \neq 0$ or $c \neq 0$), and multi-dimensional (i.e., $n \neq 1$, $c \neq 0$ or $p + 1b \neq 0$). These three restrictions are equivalent to the inequality $(n - 1)p(b^2 + c^2)(b + a)^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2) \neq 0$ holding on the nonlinearity power $p$, the dimension $n$, and the coefficients $a$, $b$, $c$. Wherever it is convenient, we will use the notation

$$k = a + b$$

and

$$m = b(n - 1)(p + 1)/(a + b).$$

To begin, the kinematic conserved densities, fluxes, and corresponding multipliers are listed in Table VIII.
TABLE VIII. Kinematic conservation laws for \((n - 1)(b^2(p + 1)^2 + c^2) \neq 0, p(k^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2) \neq 0.\)

| \(Q\) | \(T\) | \(X\) | Conditions |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | \(r^m u_t\) | \(-r^m \left(c u_r + \frac{b(n-1)}{m} u_t^{p+1}\right)\) | \(c(k - (p + 1)b) = 0\) |
| 2 | \(r^m u_t\) | \(-r^m \left(c u_r + \frac{b(n-1)}{m} u_t^{p+1}\right)\) | \(c(k - (p + 1)b) = 0\) |
| 3 | \(r\) | \(-b(n-1) u_r u_t^{p+1} - c(r u_r + (n-2)u)\) | \(k = (p + 1)(n-1)b, c \neq 0, n \neq 2\) |
| 4 | \(r t\) | \(-t(b(n-1)u_t u_r^{p+1} + c(r u_r + (n-2)u))\) | \(k = (p + 1)(n-1)b, c \neq 0, n \neq 2\) |

These conservation laws are characterized by three distinguished relations among \(b, c, k\): \(k = (p + 1)b\) (i.e., \(a = pb\)), or \(c = 0\), or \(k = (p + 1)(n - 1)b\) (i.e., \(a = (p(n-1) + n - 2)b\)), \(c \neq 0\). When \(k = (p + 1)b\), the radial power (130) reduces to \(m = n - 1\), thus in this case, the conserved densities \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) arise from the \(n\)-dimensional divergence structure (8) for the radial wave equation (5b) with \(c \neq 0\). When \(c = 0\), the conserved densities \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) arise from the alternative divergence structure (15) for this wave equation (5b) with an arbitrary radial power (130). In contrast, when \(c \neq 0\) and \(k = (p + 1)(n - 1)b\), the conserved densities \(T_3\) and \(T_4\) arise from a different divergence structure \((ru_t) = (rF)\), where the flux is given by \(F = c(r u_r + (n-2)u) + k(p + 1)u_r^{p+1}\). We note that, in the case \(c = 0\), this divergence structure coincides with the alternative divergence structure (15), since the relation \(k = (p + 1)(n - 1)b\) is equivalent to \(m = 1\).

We will now summarize the structure of the vector space spanned by the conserved quantities (25) arising from these kinematic conserved densities.

**Theorem 8:** A multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5b) admits kinematic conservation laws only in the following cases:

(i) For \(c \neq 0, k = (p + 1)b \neq 0\), a basis of kinematics conserved quantities is comprised by

\[
C_1 = \int_0^\infty u_t r^{n-1} dr, \quad C_2 = \int_0^\infty (tu_t - u) r^{n-1} dr. \tag{131}
\]

(ii) For \(c \neq 0, k = (p + 1)(n - 1)b \neq 0, n \neq 2\), a basis of kinematic conserved quantities is comprised by

\[
C_3 = \int_0^\infty u_t r dr, \quad C_4 = \int_0^\infty (tu_t - u) r dr. \tag{132}
\]

(iii) For \(c = 0, k \neq (p + 1)b\), a basis of kinematic conserved quantities is comprised by

\[
C_1 = \int_0^\infty u_t r^m dr, \quad C_2 = \int_0^\infty (tu_t - u) r^m dr. \tag{133}
\]

In all cases, the conserved quantities span a 2-dimensional vector space.

The conditions on the coefficients \(b, c, k = a + b\) coming from Theorem 8 combined with Proposition 1 imply the following interesting result.

**Corollary 2:** A multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5b) possesses kinematic conservation laws iff it has a quasilinear divergence structure.

Next, the generalized-energy conserved densities and fluxes are listed in Table IX; corresponding multipliers are listed in Table X.
TABLE IX. Generalized-energy conservation laws for $(n - 1)(b^2(p + 1)^2 + c^2) \neq 0, p(k^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2) \neq 0$.

| $T$                                                                 | $X$                                 | Conditions               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| $r^{n-1}(u_r^2 + cu_r^2) + bh(u_r)$                               | $-r^{n-1}(cu_r + bn^{p+1})u_t$      | $k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0$ |
| $r^{-2}\left(\frac{1}{2}ru_r^2 + cu_r^2 + 2bh(u_r)\right)$       | $-\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}\left( ru_r^2 + cu_r^2 + 2k^2b(u_r)\right)$ | $k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0$ |
| $(ru_r - au_r)u_t$                                                 | $+2(c + bu_r^p)(tu_t - u_r)$         | $n = -1$                 |
| $r^m\left(\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{b(n - 1)}{m}h(u_t)\right)$    | $-r^m(ku_th(u_r))$                   | $c = 0$                  |
| $\frac{1}{2}r^m\left( (p(m + 3) + 4)r_u^2 + \frac{2(bn - 1)}{m}h(u_r)\right)$ | $+2((p + 4)b + (2m - p)u_t)u_t$      | $c = 0$                  |
| $+2(p + 4)r_u^2 + (2m - p)u_t)u_t$                                 | $+(2m - p)u_t^2(b(n - 1))$           | $p \neq -4$              |
| $\frac{1}{3}r^m(3u_t - au_t + ktu_r^2)$                           | $\frac{1}{3}r^m(k(2tu_t - u_r)u_t^3)$ | $c = 0, p = -4$          |
| $\frac{1}{3}r^m(3u_t - u_r^2 + ktu_r^2)$                           | $\frac{1}{3}r^m(ktu_t - u_r)u_t^3$  | $c = 0, p = -4$          |
| $u_uu_t$                                                           | $-\frac{1}{2}(u_r^2 + 2k\ln u_r) - (n - 1)b\ln r$ | $c = 0, p = -2$          |
| $\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}(u_r(2ru_t - u_t))$                             | $-\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}\left( b(n - 1)u_t + r(2u_r^2 - 3b(n - 1)u_r^2)\right)$ | $k = 3b(n - 1)/2, p = -4$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}r^{-1}\left( 2u_t - au_t + 2r\ln r\right)u_tu_t$     | $-\frac{1}{2}\left( k(2(1 + \ln u_r) + \ln r) + u_r^3\right)\ln r$ | $c = 0, k = b(n - 1), p = -2$ |
| $+2(2\ln r)u_t$                                                   | $+2k(tu_t - u_r)u_t^{-1}r^{-1}$      | $c = 0, p = -2$          |
| $(\frac{1}{2}u_r^2 - k(1 - \ln u_r))u_r - b(n - 1)u/r$            | $-\frac{1}{6}(u_r^2 + 6k\ln u_r)u_t$ | $c = 0, p = -2$          |
| $\frac{1}{2}r^m\left( u_r^2 + \frac{2b(n - 1)}{m}h(u_t)\right)$  | $r^m\left( \frac{p - 2}{p + 2}, \frac{(1}{2}u_r^2 + k^2b^2(u_r))u_t\right)$ | $4k = b(1 - n)(p^2 - 2), p \neq -2$ |
| $-\frac{p - 2}{p + 2}r(2k^2)\frac{d}{du_t}(u_r + u_r^2u_t^2)\right)$ | $-\frac{b(n - 1)}{m}u_t + u_r^{p+1}$ | $c = 0, p \neq -4$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}(2u_t - au_t)(u_r - 3ru_t)u_t$                   | $\frac{1}{2}r^{-2}(b(n - 1)(9ru_r - 2a)(tu_t - u_r)u_r^3)$ | $4k = b(1 - n)(p - 2), p = -4$ |
| $+bt(n - 1)(9ru_r - u_r)u_r^2$                                    | $-2ru_r^2(tu_t - 3au_t)$             | $c = 0$                  |

We see from Table IX that these conservation laws are characterized by two distinguished relations among the coefficients $b, c, k; c = 0, or k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0$. These relations are simply the conditions for a radial wave equation (5b) to possess an $n$-dimensional variational structure (9) or an alternative variational structure (16) based on the radial power (128). Thus, all generalized-energy conservation laws for this wave equation correspond to variational symmetries through Noether’s theorem. We will summarize this correspondence in Subsection IV B 1. In contrast to the situation for the radial wave equation (5a), some of the symmetries here are of contact type. Interestingly, all of the generalized-energy multipliers corresponding to the contact symmetries have a product
TABLE X. Generalized-energy conservation laws for \( (n - 1)(b^2(p + 1)^2 + c^2) \neq 0, p(b + a)^2 + b^2 c^2(p + 1)^2 \neq 0 \).

| Q | Conditions |
|---|-------------|
| 1 | \( r^{n - 1}u_t \) | \( k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0 \)
| 2 | \( r^{-2}(ut + ru_t - u) \) | \( k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0 \)
| 3 | \( r^m u_t \) | \( c = 0 \)
| 4 | \( r^m \left( (p(m + 3) + 4)r u_t + (p + 4)r u_t + (2m - p)u \right) \) | \( c = 0 \), \( p \neq -4 \)
| 5 | \( r^m (2u_t - u) \) | \( c = 0 \), \( p = -4 \)
| 6 | \( r^m (u_t - u) \) | \( c = 0 \), \( p = -4 \)
| 7 | \( u_r \) | \( c = 0 \), \( p = -2 \)
| 8 | \( r^{-2}(2ru_t - u) \) | \( k = 3b(n - 1)/2, \ p = -4 \)
| 9 | \( r^{-1}(r \ln r)u_t - ut + u \) | \( k = b(n - 1), \ p = -2 \)
| 10 | \( u_r u_t \) | \( c = 0 \), \( p = -2 \)
| 11 | \( r^{-4(p + 1)/(p - 2)}(u - \frac{p - 2}{p + 2} r u_t) u_t \) | \( 4k = b(1 - n)(p - 2), \ p \neq -2 \)
| 12 | \( r^{-2}(3ru_t - u)u_t - u_t - u \) | \( 4k = b(1 - n)(p - 2), \ p = -4 \)

form distinguished by the relations \( b = 0 \), or \( p = -2 \), or \( m = 4(p + 1)/(2 - p) \). We will show later in Subsection IV B 2 that these multipliers for the radial wave equation (5b) can be derived alternatively from a variational type of Morawetz radial identity, which produces conservation laws from symmetries in a similar way to the generalized-energy conservation laws that arise in the non-variational case of the radial wave equation (5a) discussed in Subsection (IV A 2).

1. Noether correspondence for \( u_t = (c + b(p + 1)u_t^p)u_r + (n - 1)(cu_r + bu_r^{p+1})/r \) and \( u_{tt} = ku_t^p u_r + (n - 1)bu_t^{p+1}/r \)

In the two variational cases, \( k = (p + 1)b \) and \( c = 0 \), multipliers for conservation laws of the radial wave equation (5b) are in one-to-one correspondence to variational symmetries of the respective Lagrangians (10b) and (16). These cases can be merged if we consider the Lagrangian functional

\[
\mathcal{L} = \int_0^\infty L r^m dr,
L = \frac{1}{2}(-u_t^2 + cu_r^2) + \int \tilde{F}(r, u_r) du_r
\]

(134)

given in terms of the expression (15) for \( \tilde{F} \), with the coefficients \( b, c, k \) satisfying the condition

\[
c(k - (p + 1)b) = 0.
\]

(135)

Then Noether’s theorem states that

\[
X = P \partial / \partial u
\]

(136)

is a variational symmetry satisfying

\[
r^m prXL = D_t A + D_r B
\]

(137)
TABLE XI. Generalized-energy conserved quantities and variational point symmetries for \((n - 1)(b^2(p + 1)^2 + c^2) \neq 0, p(k^2 + b^2c^2(p + 1)^2) \neq 0\).

| \(\hat{X}\) | \(C\) | Conditions |
|---|---|---|
| 1 and 3 | \(-\partial_t\) | \(\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{2}(u_t^2 + ca_n^2) + \frac{b(n-1)}{m} h(u_r) \right) r^{n-1} dr\) | \(c(k - (p + 1)b) = 0\) |
| | \(-t\partial_t/r - r\partial_r\) | | |
| | \(-u\partial/u\) | | |
| | \(-t\partial_t/r - r\partial_r\) | | |
| 2 | \(p + 4\partial/r\) | \(\int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{2}(u_t^2 + ca_n^2 + 2bh(u_r)) + (ru_r - u)u_r \right)r^{-2} dr\) | | |
| | \(1\) | \(k = (p + 1)b, c \neq 0\) | |
| | \(−(p+4)\partial/r\) | | |
| | \((2m − p)\partial/r\) | | |
| 4 | \(-2\partial/r - u\partial/u\) | \(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}(3(u_r^2 - u)u_t + kru_t^2)r^m dr\) | \(c = 0\) |
| | \(+2m − p\) | | |
| 5 | \(-2\partial/r - u\partial/u\) | \(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2}(3(u_r^2 - u)u_t + kru_t^2)r^m dr\) | \(p = -4\) |
| | \(+2m − p\) | | |
| 6 | \(-r^{-m}\partial/r\) | \(\int_0^\infty u_r u_t dr\) | \(c = 0\) |
| | \(+2m − p\) | | |
| 7 | \(-2\partial/r - u\partial/u\) | \(\int_0^\infty u_r (2ru_r - u)r^{-2} dr\) | \(p = -4\) |
| | \(+2m − p\) | | |
| 8 | \(r\partial/r - r\ln r\partial/r\) | \(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} (2u - ru_r)u_r + 2(r \ln r)u_r u_t dr\) | \(k = 3b(n-1)/2\) |
| | \(+2m − p\) | | |

for some scalar functions \(A\) and \(B\) depending on \(t, r, u, u_r,\) and \(r\)-derivatives of \(u, u_r,\) if and only if

\[
\frac{\delta L}{\delta u} P = (u_{tt} - gu_{rr} - f)r^m P = D_t T + D_r X
\]

(138)

is the characteristic form for a conservation law given by the conserved density and flux

\[
T = A - Q \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_t}, \quad X = B - Q \frac{\partial L}{\partial u_r},
\]

(139)

where

\[
Q = r^m P
\]

(140)

is the multiplier.

For the generalized-energy multipliers in Table X, we see that either \(Q\) is linear in \(u_t\) and \(u_r,\)

\[
Q = u_t \alpha_0(t, r) + u_r \beta_1(t, r) + u \beta_0(t, r),
\]

(141)

where \(\alpha_0, \beta_1, \beta_0\) have no dependence on \(u,\) in which case the corresponding variational symmetry is a restricted type of point symmetry, or \(Q\) involves a product of \(u_t\) and \(u_r,\)

\[
Q = u_t (u_t \alpha_1(t, r) + \alpha_0(t, r, u)) + u_r \beta_1(t, r, u) + \beta_0(t, r, u),
\]

(142)

where \(\alpha_1\) has no dependence on \(u,\) while \(\alpha_0\) and \(\beta_1\) are at most linear in \(u,\) and \(\beta_0\) is at most quadratic in \(u,\) in which case the corresponding variational symmetry \(X\) is a restricted type of contact symmetry. This correspondence is summarized in Tables XI and XII, where \(C\) denotes the conserved quantity \((25)\) given by the conservation laws, and \(\hat{X}\) denotes the variational symmetry generator.
TABLE XII. Generalized-energy conserved quantities and variational contact symmetries for (n − 1)b(p + 1) ≠ 0, pk ≠ 0.

| \( \hat{X} \) | \( C \) | Conditions |
|---|---|---|
| \(-r^{-m}(u_t \partial_t + u_r \partial_r)\) | \( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{n}u_t^2 - k(1 - \ln u_t)u_r - b(n - 1)u/r \right) dr \) | \( c = 0, \quad p = -2 \) |
| \(+u_r u_\theta \partial / \partial u_\theta + m r^{-1} u_\theta u_\phi \partial / \partial u_\phi\) | \((p - 2)u_r - (p + 2)u \partial / \partial t \) | \( c = 0, \quad p = -2 \) |
| \(+u_\phi u_\theta \partial / \partial u_\theta + \phi(2)\int h(u_\phi)du_t + u_\phi u_\phi \partial / \partial u_\phi\) | \(-p - 2)2r(2k \int h(u_\phi)du_t + u_\phi u_\phi)u^{3m} \) | \( 4k = -b(p - 2)(n - 1), \quad p ≠ -2 \) |
| \(+u_\theta \partial / \partial u_\theta + (n - 3u_t) \partial / \partial t \) | \( \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} \left( 2u_t - u_r \right) - 3r u_t u_\phi \partial / \partial u_\phi \) | \( c = 0, \quad 4k = -b(p - 2)(n - 1), \quad p = -4 \) |

Conserved quantity \( C_1(=C_3) \) is an energy arising from the time-translation symmetry \( \hat{X}_1(=\hat{X}_3) \). Conserved quantities \( C_2, C_4, \) and \( C_5 \) are similarity energies arising from the scaling symmetries \( \hat{X}_2, \hat{X}_4, \) and \( \hat{X}_5 \), while conserved quantity \( C_0 \) is a logarithmic counterpart of \( C_4 \). Conserved quantity \( C_8 \) and \( C_7 \) are radial momenta arising respectively from the radial scaling symmetry \( \hat{X}_8 \) and the non-rigid dilation symmetry \( \hat{X}_7 \). Conserved quantity \( C_6 \) is a conformal energy arising from the temporal inversion symmetry \( \hat{X}_6 \).

We will now state the vector space structure spanned by these conserved quantities as well as the algebra structure determined by the corresponding variational symmetries.

**Theorem 9:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5) that has a variational structure (9) when \( a = pb \neq 0, \ c \neq 0 \), and an alternative variational structure (16) when \( c = 0 \), variational point symmetries are admitted in the following cases, corresponding to all generalized-energy conservation laws whose multipliers are at most linear in derivatives.

(i) For \( c = 0 \), the variational point symmetries comprise the time-translation \( \hat{X}_5 \); the scaling symmetry \( \hat{X}_4 \); the temporal scaling and inversion symmetries \( \hat{X}_5 \) and \( \hat{X}_6 \) when \( p = -4 \); the radial scaling symmetry \( \hat{X}_5 \) when \( p = -4 \), \( k = 3(b(n - 1)/2) \); the non-rigid dilation symmetries \( \hat{X}_7 \) when \( p = -2 \) and \( \hat{X}_6 \) when \( p = -2, \ k = b(n - 1) \). In the subcase \( p \neq -2, p \neq -4 \), the time-translation \( \hat{X}_3 \) and the scaling \( \hat{X}_4 \) generate a two-dimensional algebra with the commutator structure

\[ [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_4] = -(p(m + 3) + 4)\hat{X}_5. \]
The corresponding two-dimensional vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the energy $C_3$ and the similarity energy $C_4$. In the subcase $p = -4$, $k \neq 3b(n - 1)/2$, the scaling $\hat{X}_5$ and temporal inversion $\hat{X}_6$ enlarge the commutator structure (145) by

$$ [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_5] = -2\hat{X}_3, [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_5] = 0, [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_6] = \hat{X}_5, [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_6] = 4(m + 2)\hat{X}_6 \hspace{1cm} (146) $$

generating a four-dimensional algebra whose corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_3$, $C_4$, and the similarity energy $C_5$ in addition to the conformal energy $C_6$. In the subcase $p = -4$, $k = 3b(n - 1)/2$, the radial scaling $\hat{X}_8$ enlarges the commutator structure (145) and (146) by

$$ [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_5, \hat{X}_8] = [\hat{X}_6, \hat{X}_8] = 0 \hspace{1cm} (147) $$

generating a five-dimensional algebra whose corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_3$, $C_4$, $C_5$, $C_6$, and $C_8$. In the subcase $p = -2$, $k \neq b(n - 1)$, the non-rigid dilation $\hat{X}_7$ enlarges the commutator structure (145) by

$$ [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_7] = 0, [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_7] = 2(m + 1)\hat{X}_7 \hspace{1cm} (148) $$

generating another three-dimensional algebra, whose corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_3$, $C_4$, and the radial momentum $C_7$. In the subcase $p = -2$, $k = b(n - 1)$, the non-rigid dilation symmetry $\hat{X}_9$ enlarges the commutator structure (145) and (148) by

$$ [\hat{X}_3, \hat{X}_9] = [\hat{X}_4, \hat{X}_9] = [\hat{X}_5, \hat{X}_9] = [\hat{X}_6, \hat{X}_9] = -2\hat{X}_7, [\hat{X}_7, \hat{X}_9] = -\hat{X}_7 \hspace{1cm} (149) $$

generating a five-dimensional algebra whose corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_3$, $C_4$, $C_5$, and $C_7$. In the subcase $n \neq -1$, the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$ generates a one-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the energy $C_1$. In the subcase $n = -1$, the scaling $\hat{X}_2$ and the time-translation $\hat{X}_1$ generate a two-dimensional algebra with commutator structure

$$ [\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2] = -\hat{X}_1 \hspace{1cm} (150) $$

while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by $C_1$ and the similarity energy $C_2$.

We will now state the vector space structure spanned by these generalized-energy conserved quantities as well as the algebra structure determined by the corresponding variational contact symmetries.

**Theorem 10:** For a multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, nonlinear radial wave equation (5b) that has a variational structure (16) when $c = 0$, variational contact symmetries are admitted in the following cases, corresponding to all generalized-energy conservation laws whose multipliers are nonlinear in derivatives.

(i) For $p = -2$, the variational contact symmetries comprise $\hat{X}_{10}$ generating a one-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the generalized-energy $C_{10}$.

(ii) For $p \neq -2$, $4k = -b(n - 1)(p - 2)$, the variational contact symmetries comprise $\hat{X}_{11}$ and $\hat{X}_{12}$. In the subcase $p \neq -4$, the symmetry $\hat{X}_{11}$ generates a one-dimensional algebra, while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by the generalized-energy $C_{11}$. In the subcase $p = -4$, the symmetries $\hat{X}_{12}$ and $\hat{X}_{11}$ generate a two-dimensional algebra with the commutator structure

$$ [\hat{X}_{11}, \hat{X}_{12}] = 0 \hspace{1cm} (151) $$

while the corresponding vector space of conserved quantities is spanned by generalized-energies $C_{12}$ and $C_{11}$.
2. Morawetz-type variational identities for \( u_{tt} = (c + ku_t^p)u_t + (n-1)(cu_r + bu_r^{p+1})/r \)

We will now derive two different Morawetz identities of variational type for the radial wave equation (5b) in the case \( c = 0 \) with \( k \neq 0, b(p + 1) \neq 0 \). The steps are similar to the derivation of the identity (119) for the non-variational case of the radial wave equation (5a), and so we omit most details.

To derive the first identity, we use the Lagrangian (16) to write

\[
ru_t - \lambda u \Rightarrow \frac{k}{p+1} h(u_r)
\]

are the temporal and radial parts of the Lagrangian \( L = L_0 + L_1 \). We now multiply equation (152) by the expression

\[
W = ru_t - \lambda u,
\]

which represents the characteristic function given by the generator of a scaling transformation on \((r, u)\), namely \( \delta t = 0, \delta r = r, \delta u = \lambda u \), for some constant \( \lambda \). By using the operator identity (102) combined with the radial scaling properties of \( L_0 \) and \( L_1 \), we obtain

\[
(ru_t - \lambda u)E_u(r^m L_0) = -E_u(r^m \tilde{L}_0) + r^m(2r D_r + (m - \lambda + 1))L_0
\]

and

\[
(ru_t - \lambda u)E_u(r^m L_1) = -E_u(r^m \tilde{L}_1) + r^m(p - m + 1 - \lambda(p + 1))L_1
\]

with

\[
\tilde{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(ru_t - \lambda u)u_t^2
\]

and

\[
\tilde{L}_1 = \frac{k}{p+1} \left(2r \int h(u_r)du_r + (\lambda u - ru_r)h(u_r)\right)\tilde{\theta} ru_r = \frac{k}{p+3} (ru_r h(u_r) + \lambda ah(u_r)) + (\tilde{\theta} + 2)ru_r,
\]

where \( \tilde{\theta} = (\lambda - 1)/(m + 1) \) when \( \eta = -2 \) and \( \tilde{\theta} = 0 \) otherwise. Finally, we require that the terms in equation (156) containing \( L_1 \) vanish. This yields

\[
\lambda = 1 - (n-1)b/k.
\]

Thus, we have expressed the Euler-Lagrange terms (155) and (156) as the sum of a total variational derivative \( E_u(r^m \tilde{L}) \) for a new Lagrangian

\[
\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_1,
\]

plus a dilational derivative \( (r D_r + \tilde{\lambda})(r^m L_0/2) \) with

\[
\tilde{\lambda} = (1 - m - \lambda)/2 = b(n - 1)(1 - p/2)/k.
\]

As a result, equation (152) multiplied by expression (154) yields the variational dilation identity

\[
r^m(ru_t - \lambda u)(u_{tt} - ku_t^p u_{rr} - (n-1)bu_r^{p+2}/r) = -E_u(r^m \tilde{L}) - (r D_r + \tilde{\lambda})(r^m u_t^2).
\]

This identity (162) is able to produce conservation laws from multipliers that are given by certain symmetries \( X = P\partial/\partial u \) in characteristic form, in a manner similar to Noether’s theorem (39)–(40). In particular, we consider the time translation

\[
X = u_t, \partial/\partial u.
\]

When the identity (162) is multiplied by \( P = u_t \),

\[
P = u_t,
\]
we see first that the Euler-Lagrange term yields a total time derivative
\[ -u_t E_u(r^m \tilde{L}) = D_t (r^m u_t \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial u_t} - r^m p r \tilde{X} \tilde{L} = D_t (r^m u_t \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial u_t} - r^m \tilde{L}) \]  
(165)
since, by inspection, the Lagrangian functional \( \tilde{L} = \int_0^\infty L r^m dr \) is invariant under time-translation. Second, we see that the dilational derivative term produces
\[ -u_t (r D_r + \tilde{\lambda})(r^m u_t^2) = -2r^{m+1} u_t^2 u_t r - (\tilde{\lambda} + m) r^m u_t^3 = D_t \left( -\frac{2}{3} r^m u_t^3 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3} (2 - m) - \tilde{\lambda} \right) r^m u_t^3, \]  
which will reduce to a total radial derivative iff
\[ \tilde{\lambda} = (2 - m)/3. \]  
(167)
Equating expressions (167) and (161), we obtain the condition
\[ 4k = -b(n - 1)(p - 2) \]  
(168)
and hence
\[ \lambda = -(m + 1)/3, \quad m = -4(p + 1)(p - 2). \]  
(169)

Thus, for \( a, b, k, \lambda \) satisfying conditions (168) and (169), the product of the variational dilation identity (162) and the symmetry characteristic function (164) yields a conservation law, where the conserved density and flux are given by \( T_{11} \) and \( X_{11} \) in Table IX while the multiplier is given by \( Q_{11} \) in Table X. We note that the form of this multiplier consists of \( r^m \) times the product of the characteristic functions \( W = r u_t - \lambda u \) and \( P = u_t \) representing the scaling transformation and the time-translation symmetry respectively. The similar conservation law given by the conserved density \( T_{12} \) and flux \( X_{12} \) in Table IX, with the multiplier given by \( Q_{12} \) in Table X, can be obtained from the variational identity (162) by using the temporal scaling symmetry with the characteristic function \( P = tu_t - u \) (in place of the previous time-translation symmetry).

To derive the second identity, we omit the radial factor \( r^m \) from equation (152), which then yields
\[ u_{tt} - ku_t^p u_{rr} - (n - 1) bu_t^{p+1}/r = E_u(L_0) + E_u(L_1) + \mu u_t^{p+1}/r, \]  
(170)where
\[ \mu = -(n - 1)b \]  
(171)is the coefficient of the non-variational term. Now, instead of multiplying this equation by the scaling characteristic function (154), we use
\[ W = u_t, \]  
(172)which represents the characteristic function given by the generator of a translation on \( r \). We thereby obtain
\[ u_r E_u(L_0) = -E_u(\tilde{L}_0) + D_r \tilde{L}_0 \]  
(173)and
\[ u_r E_u(L_1) = -E_u(\tilde{L}_1) \]  
(174)with
\[ \tilde{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2} u_t u_t^2, \quad \tilde{L}_1 = \frac{k}{p + 1} \int h(u_t) du_t - u_r h(u_r) = \frac{k}{p + 3} u_t h(u_t) + \tilde{\theta} u_r, \]  
(175)where \( \tilde{\theta} = 2k \) when \( p = -2 \) and \( \tilde{\theta} = 0 \) otherwise. Substituting expressions (173) and (174) into equation (170) multiplied by the characteristic function (172), we find
\[ u_r (u_{tt} - ku_t^p u_{rr} - (n - 1) bu_t^{p+1}/r) = -E_u(\tilde{L}) - D_r(\frac{1}{2} u_t^2) + \mu u_t^{p+2}/r. \]  
(176)
Finally, we observe that the term \( u_r^{p+2}/r \) in equation (176) will be a total radial derivative iff
\[
p = -2
\]
in which case
\[
\mu u_r^{p+2}/r = D_r(\mu \ln r).
\]
As a result, equation (176) reduces to the variational identity
\[
ur (ur - ku_r u_r - (n - 1)b u_r^{p+2}/r) = -E_u(\bar{L}) - D_r(\frac{1}{2} u_r^2 + (n - 1)b \ln r),
\]
where
\[
\bar{L} = \bar{L}_0 + \bar{L}_1
\]
is a new Lagrangian. This identity (179) is able to produce conservation laws in a similar way to the previous identity (162). In particular, we consider the time translation (163). Multiplying the identity (179) by the characteristic function (164), we see first that the Euler-Lagrange term yields a total time derivative
\[
-u, E_u(\bar{L}) = D_r (ur \frac{\partial \bar{L}}{\partial u}) - prX \bar{L} = D_r (ur \frac{\partial \bar{L}}{\partial u} - \bar{L})
\]
since, by inspection, the Lagrangian functional \( \bar{L} = \int_0^\infty \bar{L} dr \) is invariant under time-translation. Second, we see that the radial derivative term produces the total derivatives
\[
-u, D_r(\frac{1}{2} u_r^2 + (n - 1)b \ln r) = D_r(-\frac{1}{2} u_r^2) + D_r(-(n - 1)b u/r).
\]

Thus, for \( p = -2 \), the product of the variational identity (179) and the symmetry characteristic function (164) yields a conservation law, where the conserved density and flux are given by \( T_{10} \) and \( X_{10} \) in Table IX while the multiplier is given by \( Q_{10} \) in Table X. We note that the form of this multiplier consists of just the product of the characteristic functions \( W = ur \) and \( P = ur \). Interestingly, since the Lagrangian (180) is explicitly invariant under the shift symmetry \( X = \partial/\partial u \) with the characteristic function \( P = 1 \), we can use the variational identity (179) to obtain the conservation law given by \( T_7 \) and \( X_7 \) given in Table IX, whose multiplier is \( Q_7 \) shown in Table X.

C. Computational remarks

In the computation of conservation laws for a radial wave equation (5), the multiplier determining system (30)–(31) is formulated in the jet space whose coordinates are defined by \( t, r, u, ur, u_r, \) and \( u_{rr} \), where \( ur \) is replaced by \( g ur + f \). For both wave equations (5a) and (5b), this determining system splits with respect to \( ur, u_r, \) and \( u_{rr} \) into an overdetermined system of 13 equations for unknowns \( Q = \alpha(t, r, u, ur)ur + \beta(t, r, u, ur) \), \( a, b, c, p, \) and \( n \). The resulting overdetermined systems are linear in the unknown multiplier components \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) but are nonlinear jointly in all the unknowns. In particular, the unknown \( p \) appears non-polynomially in each overdetermined system through respective exponents of \( u \) and \( ur \).

We again carry out all computations by means of computer algebra. The program CONLAW\textsuperscript{18} is used to formulate and split the conservation law determining system to get the overdetermined system, which in turn is solved for the 7 unknowns \( \alpha, \beta, a, b, c, p, \) and \( n \) by the package CRACK.\textsuperscript{19} For both wave equations (5a) and (5b), CRACK is able to complete the entire computation of multipliers automatically. Compared to the computation of point symmetries (cf. Sec. III C), the computational difficulties are similar but the total number of steps needed is approximately 2 to 4 times greater.

Once all solutions of the overdetermined system for multipliers \( Q \) are obtained from CRACK, we compute the conserved densities \( T \) and fluxes \( X \) interactively in MAPLE 14 by evaluating the homotopy integrals (34)–(36) whenever possible or by otherwise solving the linear PDE system (32)–(33). All conditions on the parameters \( a, b, c, p, \) and \( n \) for a multiplier to exist are imposed at the start of the MAPLE computation.
V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have fully classified all point symmetries (19), kinematic conservation laws (56)–(57), and generalized-energy conservation laws (46)–(47) for the two classes of nonlinear radial wave equations (5a) and (5b), which are parameterized in terms of the constant coefficients $a, b, c$, and the nonlinearity power $p$, as well as the dimension $n$. The only restrictions placed on these five parameters are that we want each wave equation to be multi-dimensional, hyperbolic, and semilinear or quasilinear.

Since Noether’s theorem is applicable for the cases in which these wave equations have a variational formulation, our classification of conservation laws directly yields a corresponding classification of all variational point symmetries and also variational contact symmetries of a certain restricted form. We find that such variational cases account for all of the generalized-energy conservation laws admitted by radial wave equation (5b) but only some of the generalized-energy conservation laws admitted by radial wave equation (5a).

In the non-variational cases for radial wave equation (5a), we show that the additional generalized-energy conservation laws arise through a new type of radial dilation identity that produces conservation laws from symmetries in a different way than Noether’s theorem. A similar radial dilation identity for radial wave equation (5b) is able to reproduce the generalized-energy conservation laws that correspond to all of the variational contact symmetries.

Some of the symmetries and conservation laws found in our classification involve non-integer values of $n$. We can interpret such cases by rewriting the wave equations (5a) and (5b) in a 2-dimensional form in terms of a parameter $\nu = 2 - n$ as follows:

\[ (ru_t)_r = (r(c + bu^p)u_r - v(cu + bH(u)))_r + (a - pb)r^{p-1}u^2_r \]
\[ (ru_r)_r = (r(cu_r + k\tilde{H}(u_r)) - vcu)_r + b(1 - v)u^{p+1}_r - k\tilde{H}(u_r), \]

where

\[ H = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p+1}u^{p+1}, & p \neq -1 \\
\ln u, & p = -1 
\end{cases} \]

and

\[ \tilde{H} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{p+1}u^{p+1}, & p \neq -1 \\
\ln u_r + b(1 - v)/k, & p = -1 
\end{cases} \]

This form of the wave equations (5a) and (5b) is applicable for any value of $n$. The meaning of $\nu$ can be understood through the kinematic quantity

\[ C(t) = \int_0^\infty urdr, \]

which satisfies

\[ \frac{d^2C}{dt^2} = \nu N + S \]

if \( \lim_{r \to 0} u_r = 0 \), where

\[ N = \lim_{r \to 0}(cu + bH(u)), \quad S = \int_0^\infty (a - pb)r^{p-1}u^2_rdr \]

for equation (183a), and

\[ N = \lim_{r \to 0} cu, \quad S = \int_0^\infty b(1 - v)u^{p+1}_r - k\tilde{H}(u_r)dr \]
for equation (183b). We see from equation (187) that if $u(t, r)$ is viewed as the amplitude of a vibrating surface then $C(t)$ describes the net transverse displacement of the surface and hence $\nu N$ has the interpretation of a time-dependent forcing term applied to the surface at $r = 0$.

The symmetries and conservation laws we have obtained in Tables I–XII in Secs. III and IV can be expected to have several important applications in the analysis of solutions for the $n$-dimensional radial wave equations (5) (in the case of positive integer values of $n$) or the radial surface wave equations (183) (in the case of all other values of $n$). For example, in variational cases, both of the $n$-dimensional radial wave equations (5) possess a conserved energy as well as a conserved similarity energy for $c = 0$ and a conserved conformal energy for $c = 0, p = −4$. The multipliers for these energies can be used to derive useful energy identities in all non-variational cases for these radial wave equations. Other typical applications for our results have been mentioned in Sec. I and will be explained in detail elsewhere.

There are several directions in which the work in this paper can be extended. First, we plan to generalize our classification of symmetries and conservation laws to include all contact symmetries (21) and all 1st order conservation laws (29) for the radial wave equations (5) in all cases $n \neq 1$. Second, in a sequel to the present paper, we plan to determine which of the symmetries and conservation laws for the $n$-dimensional case of the radial wave equations (5) can be lifted to yield corresponding symmetries and conservation laws for the translationally-invariant $n$-dimensional wave equations (4).
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