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Abstract

Background: Internal malleolus fractures and postoperative functional limitations are serious complications of deltoid ligament repair, reconstruction, while studies on conducting beak Anatomical structure classification of medial malleolus at home and abroad is reported rarely. Hence, this morphological study is mainly designed to investigate the anatomical morphological classification and clinical significance of medial malleolus based on CT three-dimensional reconstruction.

Materials and methods: From October 2018 to January 2021, 373 patients who underwent CT examination of malleolus medialis joint in the Jiang 'an Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine were observed. The medial malleolus was observed and classified, then geometric parameters were measured according to different medial malleolus types.

Results: According to the results of 373 cases, medial malleolus can be divided into 4 types: omega type (66%), radical sign type (16%), inverted triangle type (14%), and wave type (4%).

Conclusions: There are four main shapes: omega, inverted triangle, radical sign, and wave in the medial malleolus of all normal ankles. The measurement of medial malleolus parameters according to medial malleolus in different shapes was of importance to guide smooth operation of medial malleolus fixation and deltoid ligament reconstruction and epidemiological.
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INTRODUCTION

The deltoid ligament, originated from the lower margin of the medial malleolus, splits and terminates at the scaphoid, talus and anteromedial calcaneus. It was divided into deep and superficial layers [1, 2]. The superficial ligament consists of 4 bundles that are tibial navicular ligament, tibial spring ligament, tibial calcaneal ligament and tibial talus ligament in sequence. The deep layer consists of the anterior and posterior
tibial talus ligaments [3, 4]. and the construction is to keep the joint stable by limiting hyperpronation of the talus. The deltoid ligament is the main medial stabilizer of the ankle joint, preventing valgus and anterior talus displacement, and it is also the most important structure to prevent lateral displacement [5-7]. Overall, the deltoid ligament provides stability for the tibial talo-calcaneal complex. After injuries of deltoid ligament, the stability is weakened, as time goes by, gradually leading to a decrease in contact with the articular surface of the talus to the contralateral, also, leading to an increase in contralateral stress on the articular surface [8-10] and an increase in joint wear. Studies have shown that the displacement of 1 mm will lose 42% of the relative contact area and increase 30% of the stress [11-14]. Therefore, the triangular ligament should be repaired according to the anatomical and biomechanical characteristics, in order to maximize the recovery of its biomechanical structure and tensile strength, therefore the stability of the ankle and to improve patient satisfaction after surgery can be rebuilt.

The incidence of simple medial malleolus fracture accounts for 7% of all ankle fractures, about 3 times than that of the lateral malleolus fractures [15, 16], while the deltoid ligament injury accounts for 6.6-15% of ankle injuries [17]. In clinical practice, fracture reduction and internal fixation is one of the most frequently surgery methods used for medial malleolus fracture at present. While for deltoid ligament injury, deltoid ligament reconstruction is the most common choice in foreign countries [18, 19], and repair of the triangular ligament is the most common choice in China [20, 21]. In recent years, reconstruction of the triangular ligament has been used in the treatment of flat foot [22], and has received good clinical results. During the fracture reduction and internal fixation reconstruction of the deltoid ligament, it is necessary to establish the malleolus medialis - deltoid ligament, and the selection of the location, size and internal thickness of the tunnel determines the success of the operation [23]. Intraoperative superficial ligament is visible, and the hole location is easy to be determined, which has been studied at home and abroad; because of the smaller malleolus medialis and complex surrounding anatomical structures, the deep ligaments itself is difficult to be positioned accurately [24], especially in the case of
minimally invasive surgery in which the vision is limited. When drilling easy position offset, Internal malleolus fracture and postoperative functional limitations has become serious complications of deltoid ligament repair and reconstruction, and literatures conducting on beak Anatomical structure classification of medial malleolus at home and abroad is reported rarely.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to observe the anatomy of malleolus medialis, and to discuss the indications and contraindications of deltoid ligament reconstruction based on CT three-dimensional reconstruction. It can also provide the effective anatomy basis for the deltoid ligament reconstruction, in order to reduce the risk of operation and to lower the incidence of postoperative complications.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

All patients undergoing 3D CT scan were screened from October 2018 to January 2021, 373 subjects aged between 19 and 66 years were included in this study. There were 198 males and 175 females. There were 177 cases on the left side and 196 cases on the right side with a mean age of 46.04 ± 10.35 years.

Inclusion criteria: (1) The development of tibia, medial malleolus and deltoid ligament was normal; (2) There was no history of medial malleolus or tibia fracture, and no history of deltoid ligament injury.

Exclusion criteria: (1) Malformation of medial malleolus, talus or deltoid ligament; (2) A history of ankle surgery.

Methods

Supine position with a Siemens 16 row spiral 128slice CT scan mid lower tibia to calcaneus. The scanned images were transferred to simulation software for 3D reconstruction and 1 mm thin section.

Against because of their own or external damage, such as car accident injuries, sprain, no obvious cause of pain and other reasons below the knee and leg pain related
symptoms of patients with the corresponding parts of the CT examination, for no ankle inspectors additional ankle CT reconstruction, and feet are checked, so to get a large number of patients with ankle data. Then preliminary screening of the medial malleolus of all patients undergoing 3D reconstruction by two radiologists. Patients with fractures, malformations, surgical history, or age not in the 19-66 years range were directly excluded. The medial malleolus was then carefully observed for any other inconspicuous symptoms, if have any difficulties, can discuss and then geometric parameters were measured, taking the average of the final results. If different, resolved by director of radiology department. The observers all worked in professional imaging for more than 10 years.

The shape of the medial malleolus was first observed and classified on the CT three-dimensional reconstruction. Second, the clearest and most complete medial malleolus layer in the coronal and sagittal planes was selected by means of CT to measure geometric parameters and their adjacent structures, as it was shown in FIG. 1.

ab: Sagittal view, medial malleolus, distance between anterior and posterior colliculus (point a is the medial malleolus anterior colliculus, point b is the medial malleolus posterior colliculus);

cd: Medial malleolus anteroposterior to lips farthest distance (point c is the anterior labial protrusion of the medial malleolus; point d is the most protruding point of the posterior lip of the medial malleolus);

ef: The distance from the anteriorly hump point of the medial malleolus to the midpoint of the anteriorly lip of the medial malleolus(point e is the anterior and posterior dune depression of the medial malleolus, point f is the midpoint of the distance between the front and back lips);

bf: distance from posterior colliculus of medial malleolus to center of anterior and posterior labial margin of medial malleolus; af: distance between medial malleolus anterior colliculus and medial malleolus anterior labial margin center;

bi: on the sagittal plane, the distance from posterior colliculus to tibial (point i is the point from point B where it intersects the vertical line of the articular surface of the
tibial talus); $\angle \alpha$: the Angle between the midline and the horizontal line of the internal ankle joint; aj: distance from anterior colliculus to tibial joint surface (point j is the point from point a where it intersects the vertical line of the articular surface of the tibial talus).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 20.0; IBM Corp.). All the measured data were showed by mean ± standard deviation. The measure geometric parameters corresponding to the type of medial malleolus were measured by one-way analysis of variance (LSD-t). P<0.05 which was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

According to the shape of the medial malleolus, it can be divided into omega type, inverted triangle type, wave type and radical sign type, as shown in Figure. 2.

In the three-dimensional reconstruction of adult medial malleolus, 248 cases (66%) were omega type, 52 cases (14%) were inverted triangle type, 12 cases (4%) were wave type and 61 cases (16%) were radical sign type. The measure geometric parameters corresponding to each type of medial malleolus were measured as shown in Table 1. There were significant differences in the af (p=0.000), bi (p=0.005) between omega type and inverted triangle type; There were statistical differences in the ab (p=0.022), cd (p=0.005), ef (p=0.035) between inverted triangle type and radical sign type (P<0.05); There were statistical differences in the ab (p=0.000), ef (p=0.007), af (p=0.000), bf (p=0.002), aj (p=0.000), bi (p=0.005), $\angle \alpha$ (p=0.001) between inverted triangle type and wave type; There were statistical differences in the cd (p=0.000), ef (p=0.008), af (p=0.001), bf (p=0.003), $\angle \alpha$ (p=0.013) between omega type and radical sign type (P<0.05). There were statistical differences in the ab (p=0.000), ef (p=0.003), bf (p=0.000), $\angle \alpha$ (p=0.000) between omega type and wave type. There were statistical differences in the cd (p=0.000), ef (p=0.008), af (p=0.001), bf (p=0.003), $\angle \alpha$ (p=0.013) between omega type and radical sign type (P<0.05).
There were statistical differences in the ab (p=0.000), ef (p=0.000), af (p=0.001), bf (p=0.032), aj (p=0.000), bi (p=0.024), $\angle \alpha$ (p=0.016) between wave type and radical sign type. The measure geometric parameters corresponding to each type of medial malleolus were no significant differences in left and right, which were measured as shown in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

Ankle is the weight-bearing joint closest to the ground and the most important weight-bearing joint in the body [25]. The stability of the ankle joint plays a very important role in daily exercise and life. With the development of society and the improvement of people's living standard, ankle joint injury mostly occurs in people with strong athletic ability. 85% of patients suffer from ankle sprain, which is one of the most common sports injuries; 40% of patients may have residual chronic symptoms, and approximately 5% of ankle injuries are associated with deltoid ligament injuries. However, recent studies have found that 40% to 73% of patients with chronic lateral ankle instability may suffer from deltoid ligament injuries [26]. The deltoid ligament is the strongest ligament in the ankle joint, and its main function is to prevent the ankle from valgus. It starts from the medial malleolus, fanning down into a bundle and terminates in the scaphoid, talus, and calcaneus. For patients with ligament injury, ligament reconstruction can restore the stability of the ankle joint [18, 19].

Therefore, the treatment of deltoid ligament injury is the key to restore the function of the ankle joint. At present, the treatment of deltoid ligament injury in China mainly uses the suture anchor to repair the ligament [20, 21]. In foreign countries, ligament reconstruction is the main therapeutic method [18, 19]. Whether it is transosseous suture or wire repair, suture with suture anchors or newly developed ligament reconstruction, a borehole is required to establish the hole. The key to reconstruction lies in the diameter and location of the bone tunnel [23]. Improper pore size, position or drilling location can easily lead to unbefitting fixation of postoperative implants, resulting in surgical failure, redislocation, clavicle and
coracoid process clefts, and bone fractures. At present, there are few clinical studies on indications and contraindications of the deltoid ligament repairing or reconstruction under different coracoid process shapes, which is also one of the reasons for the high risk of surgical failure and multiple postoperative complications. Therefore, the anatomical morphology of medial malleolus and the anatomical parameters related to the repair or reconstruction of the deltoid ligament need to be studied urgently.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the morphology of the medial malleolus and provide anatomic basis and predictive value for the treatment and surgical guidance of ankle joint injuries. In this study, the medial malleolus could be divided into four different types, among which the omega type accounted for the highest proportion. Most of the geometrical parameters and the anatomical parameters of their attachment points are different under diverse medial malleolus. Therefore, for the ankle injury patients with different medial malleolus shapes, individualized treatment should be paid attention to, so as to improve the success rate of internal fixation of medial malleolus fracture reduction, deltoid ligament repair or reconstruction, and reduce postoperative complications, especially the incidence of medial malleolus fracture. In addition, the attachment points of the deltoid ligament were studied to guide clinicians in selecting the location of bone tunnel drilling.

Among the four shapes of medial malleolus, af was the longest and aj was longer while bi was shorter corresponding to type v. It showed that the anterior and posterior colliculus were diagonal, so the contact area between the V-shaped deltoid ligament and the medial malleolus was minor or even narrower, preventing excessive movement and other injuries of deltoid ligament caused by unbalanced factors or medial malleolus fractures. The anterior colliculus of the inverted-triangle medial malleolus is quite narrow, and theoretically the drilling location should be far away from the anterior colliculus, but the bi of the four types of medial malleolus is also shorter. Failure to drill in front of the medial malleolus when the bone tunnel is drilled may result in changes in biomechanics after deltoid ligament repair. Therefore, the inverted-triangular medial malleolus should not be fixed with the deltoid ligament of the
tunnel, and the medial malleolus upward wire anchor should be considered. The corresponding ab, cd and ef of the radical sign type are the longest, while the aj, bi and \( \angle \alpha \) are minor. The radical sign type is similar to the inverted triangle type, and the two joints are oblique and the distance is large. The corresponding population should take protective measures to avoid deltoid ligament injury and medial malleolus fracture caused by imbalanced factors or strenuous exercise. Therefore, when the deltoid ligament corresponding to the radical sign type is broken or the medial malleolus fractures, and the bone volume of medial malleolus of the radical sign type is small, the probability of medial malleolus fractures increases during drilling. In addition, the anterior colliculus is short and the annular structure is prone to slippage. Therefore, when the deltoid ligament corresponding to the medial malleolus of radical sign type is broken or the medial malleolus fractures, it is not appropriate to use deltoid ligament reconstruction, but suture anchor fixation can be adopted. Except the cd and bi, medial malleolus of the wave type are the longest, while the ab, ef, af, bf, aj and \( \angle \alpha \) are the smallest. The distance between the two colliculi is short, showing a relatively horizontal line. The distance between the two mounds, the upper and lower lips of the medial malleolus, is the smallest, and the overall height between the two lips, the upper and lower mounds, is the smallest. Theoretically, the contact area between the medial malleolus and the deltoid ligament of the wave type is relatively wide, and there is more bones in the medial malleolus hilum, and the amount of bones in the hilum of the medial malleolus is more, and the anatomical structure is appropriate. Therefore, deltoid ligament reconstruction can be recommended for the treatment of deltoid ligament injury. Of the omega type, aj and bi were the largest, but the distance was small, cd was the smallest, ab and ef were smaller. Theoretically, the distance between the two colliculi and grooves to the two lips is small, and the volume of the medial malleolus bone is larger, so the anatomical structure is appropriate. Therefore, it is recommended to carry out deltoid ligament reconstruction to treat the deltoid ligament injury. The ef of inverted triangle type was 11.19±3.73cm, and that of the omega type was 11.26±3.36cm. For deltoid ligament reconstruction or internal fixation, the locations of inverted triangle type and omega type tunnels were
approximately the same. The measure of $\angle \alpha$ of wave type was $38.07 \pm 5.55^\circ$, omega type was $45.93 \pm 6.43^\circ$, inverted triangle type was $45.36 \pm 8.09^\circ$, radical sign type was $43.43 \pm 8.30^\circ$. The measures of $\angle \alpha$ of wave type and radical sign type were smaller.

The medial malleolus was slightly horizontal, and the $\angle \alpha$ of omega type and inverted triangle type were larger, which were more vulnerable to external force injury and fracture. Wear high heels less in daily life, because the external force collision can affect the selection of fixation angle and direction of clinical internal fixators. Above all, different treatment plans and materials should be used to repair medial malleolus fractures and deltoid ligaments of different shapes.

There are some limitations in this study: (1) Only patients from southwest China were collected, mostly from rural areas, and the sample size was small. (2) In this study, further biomechanical studies could be performed to investigate whether the geometric parameters corresponding to the w-shaped and v-shaped deltoid ligament are shorter, thinner or easier to break. (3) In this study, only the relevant classification and anatomical data of the medial malleolus and the possible mechanism of related diseases were discussed, and further data tracking and improvement are needed.

CONCLUSIONS

The medial malleolus of all normal ankles has four main shapes: omega, inverted triangle, radical sign, and wave. Medial malleolus of omega type and wave type are more suitable for internal fixation, ligament repair and reconstruction, while inverted triangle type and radical sign type have the narrowest colliculi. Therefore, deltoid ligament reconstruction is generally not appropriate, and internal fixation with thread anchors is preferred. Wave-shaped and radical-sign-shaped medial malleolus have a smaller angle, and the medial malleolus is slightly inclined and horizontal. Omega and inverted triangle have a larger medial malleolus angle, which is more vulnerable to external force and violence injury resulting in fracture. Determining the corresponding measurement parameters according to medial malleolus in different shapes is of significance to guide smooth operation of medial malleolus fixation and deltoid ligament reconstruction surgery.
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Table 1. Measured values of the medial malleolus based on sides of the body and sexes

| Distribution | Male                  | Female                | Left                  | Right                 |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Numbers      | 198 (53.08%)          | 175 (46.92%)          | 183 (49.06%)          | 190 (50.94%)          |
| AB(cm)       | 14.73±2.90            | 15.04±3.15            | 14.97±3.06            | 14.78±2.98            |
| CD(cm)       | 27.11±3.87            | 27.60±3.84            | 27.53±3.87            | 27.16±3.85            |
| EF(cm)       | 11.04±3.44            | 11.51±3.21            | 11.06±3.32            | 11.45±3.36            |
| AF(cm)       | 16.45±3.62            | 16.67±3.45            | 16.36±3.52            | 16.74±3.58            |
| BF(cm)       | 14.79±3.51            | 14.66±3.14            | 14.92±2.34            | 14.73±3.26            |
| AJ(cm)       | 12.71±1.15            | 11.97±1.61            | 12.63±1.45            | 12.11±1.86            |
| BI(cm)       | 5.97±2.05             | 5.79±1.93             | 5.75±1.95             | 6.03±2.04             |
| $\angle \alpha$ (°) | 45.04±7.14          | 45.59±7.21            | 45.12±7.13            | 45.47±7.23            |

Table 2. Morphological measurements in four types.

|                  | Inverted triangle type | Omega type | Radical sign type | Wave type |
|------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Numbers          | 52 (14%)               | 248 (66%)  | 61 (16%)          | 12 (4%)   |
| AB(cm)           | 15.23±3.87<sup>bc</sup> | 14.73±2.89<sup>c</sup> | 16.50±2.35<sup>c</sup> | 11.42±6.1  |
CD(cm)  27.45±3.86bc  25.82±3.98b  29.44±2.22  27.59±3.64
EF(cm)  11.19±3.73bc  11.26±3.36bc  12.51±2.62c  8.31±3.14
AF(cm)  18.31±2.54ac  16.39±3.63b  17.94±2.47c  14.44±4.51
BF(cm)  14.73±3.33c  14.99±3.45bc  13.59±2.34c  11.35±4.35
AJ(cm)  12.39±1.85e  12.61±1.78  12.25±1.63c  8.15±2.12
BI(cm)  5.21±2.26ac  6.06±1.91  5.57±2.06c  6.98±1.14
∠α (°)  45.36±8.09c  45.93±6.43bc  43.43±8.30c  38.07±5.55

*p<0.05 vs Omega Type, ^p<0.05 vs Radical sign type, ~p<0.05 vs Wave type.

**Figure 1.** Morphological measurements were described as follows

**Figure 2.** The types of the medial malleolus
