Physical Activity after Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis and Mortality in a Nationwide Retrospective Cohort Study
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Simple Summary: Physical activity can help to prevent colorectal cancer, but its importance after cancer diagnosis has not been validated. In this nationwide insurance data-based study of 43,596 colorectal cancer patients, a high level of physical activity after colorectal cancer diagnosis was negatively associated with a risk of death in both colon and rectal cancer patients, particularly in the surgically treated group. Our findings support the importance of the physical activity among colorectal cancer patients.

Abstract: Physical activity reduces the risk of colon cancer, but its prognostic impact after cancer diagnosis remains unclear. To evaluate the association between post-diagnosis activity and cause-specific mortality, we reconstructed a colorectal cancer patient cohort from the 2009–16 Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) database. Subgroup analyses were performed by treatment group. In total, 27,143 colon cancer patients and 16,453 rectal cancer patients were included in the analysis (mean follow-up, 4.3 years; median 4.0 years). In the surgically treated group, a high level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity greater than or equal to 3 times/week) was inversely associated with all-cause mortality (colon cancer: HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.88; rectal cancer: HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.86) and colorectal cancer-specific mortality (colon cancer: HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.97; rectal cancer: HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.90). No significant results were shown for cardiovascular disease-specific mortality. No association was shown in patients who received chemoradiotherapy without surgery. The present study may provide evidence for post-diagnosis physical activity as a prognostic factor in colorectal cancer, particularly in surgically treated early-stage patients.
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1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the one of the most common cancer types, with an annual incidence of approximately 185 million cases worldwide [1]. In South Korea, it is the second-most common cancer in terms of incidence, with a relative 5 year survival rate of 75.9% [2].

Among many lifestyle factors, physical activity is a preventive factor for colon cancer [3–6]. Increasing evidence has also shown the role of physical activity in colorectal cancer prognosis. Inverse associations between physical activity and mortality have been suggested across different types of activity, from exercise to leisure time or recreational physical activity, and tumor characteristics including site, stage, and molecular profiles [7–18].
However, large-scale population-based studies comprehensively and conjointly considering potential variation by cancer treatment and lifestyle variables are scarce, particularly regarding the East Asian population where the prevalence of insufficient physical activity is higher than the global average [19].

This study assessed the association between post-diagnosis physical activity and overall and cause-specific mortality in a large retrospective cohort of adult colorectal cancer patients between 2009 and 2016 from the Korean National Health Insurance Service (NHIS) database. Additionally, we analyzed the association by causes of death and according to cancer treatment groups to identify patients who could best benefit from physical activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Database and Patient Selection

This study was retrospectively conducted based on the NHIS database. The NHIS covers 98% of the Korean population, and its research database includes information on medical resource utilization, demographic variables, and national health examinations [20].

Colorectal cancer patients were defined as subjects with records for hospitalization with diagnostic codes corresponding to colorectal cancer (ICD-10 code C18–20) as well as treatment such as surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy [21]. Patients with an initial cancer claim from 1 January 2009 to 31 December 2016 were included in the study. The date of cancer diagnosis was defined as the date of the first claim with hospitalization for colorectal cancer. We excluded patients without information on activity before or after diagnosis, with missing life-style variables, who were diagnosed before the age of 20 years, without follow-up, or with concurrent diagnoses of colon and rectal cancers. For cancer site-stratified analysis, the diagnosis with ICD-10 code C18 was classified as colon cancer, and C19–C20 was classified as rectal cancer.

2.2. Physical Activity Measurement

Physical activity was measured during the biennial National Health Screening, which included questions on the weekly frequency of vigorous, moderate intensity physical activity and walking performed over a minimal duration of 20 to 30 min. Considering the relative energy expenditure of different activity types, the score for moderate exercise and two times the score for vigorous exercise were added to generate an activity score for individuals aged younger than 65 years. For individuals aged 65 years and older, the scores for moderate exercise and walking were added to two times the score for vigorous exercise. Individuals with a total score greater than or equal to 3 were classified as “high level” exercisers, while the rest were classified as “low level” exercisers. This score was used to reflect the global activity recommendation by the World Health Organization (WHO).

The post-diagnosis physical activity level was extracted from the questionnaire from the first health checkup after cancer diagnosis. Additionally, the pre-diagnosis physical activity was obtained from the closest health checkup before cancer diagnosis.

2.3. Mortality Follow-Up

For each patient, follow-up started at the time of post-diagnosis activity measurement and ended at death or the end of the cohort study (31 December 2016). We linked the patient data with death certificates from the Korean National Statistical Office, from which the dates and the specific causes of death were extracted. All-cause mortality was defined as any death with a recorded date. Colorectal cancer-related mortality and cardiovascular disease-related mortality were identified with ICD-10 codes of the cause of death, C18–C20 for colorectal cancer, and I20-I25 (coronary heart disease) and I60–I69 (stroke) for cardiovascular diseases.

2.4. Covariates

Baseline characteristics were assessed until the post-diagnosis health examination, when physical activity was measured and follow-up period started. Age, sex, and insurance
premium level (in tertiles, including Medicare recipients in the first tertile) were provided from the insurance claim and the eligibility data at the time of cancer diagnosis. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated from the height and the weight measured during the first post-diagnosis checkup. BMI was categorized as <18.5 kg/m\(^2\), 18.5–22.9 kg/m\(^2\), 23.0–24.9 kg/m\(^2\), and ≥25.0 kg/m\(^2\) based on the WHO Asia-Pacific obesity classification [22]. Information on cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption was collected using self-administered questionnaires during the health checkup. Alcohol consumption data were converted into the number of drinks consumed per day and then classified into three groups (nondrinker/social/heavy) in accordance with the U.S. alcohol and alcoholism guidelines [23]. Men were considered to drink heavily if they consumed at least 2 drinks per day on average, and women were considered to drink heavily if they consumed at least 1 drink per day on average. Otherwise, the subjects were considered to drink socially.

The Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) was calculated from claims data of at least one hospitalization for specified comorbidities, including cancer, during the year before colorectal cancer diagnosis [24].

Information concerning the cancer stage, which is the most important prognostic factor, was not available from the NHIS database. Instead, we sought to address this issue by stratifying the patients according to the type of cancer treatment they received. The treatment groups were classified into three types: surgery only, surgery with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, and chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

The distribution of general characteristics was described as n (%) for colon and rectal cancers separately. Chi-square test was used to compare the characteristics of the activity groups with each cancer. Using the low activity group as a reference, Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the HRs and the 95% CIs of all-cause, colorectal cancer-related, and cardiovascular disease-related mortality. Proportional hazard assumptions were confirmed using log minus log survival plots as well as the Schoenfeld residual method [25]. Stratified analysis was performed according to the treatment group.

The hazards models were adjusted for patient age, sex, BMI, smoking status, level of alcohol consumption, insurance premium, CCI, physical activity before cancer diagnosis, and treatment group. The potential confounding effects of each covariate were tested using Chi-square tests and univariate survival analyses.

For sensitivity analysis, an alternative measurement of physical activity was tested by accounting for the sum of frequencies for moderate-to-vigorous activity instead of the weighted sum.

To exclude the possibility of reverse causality between post-diagnosis physical activity and the health status of the patient, an additional analysis was performed by excluding patients with less than a half-year of follow-up.

A two-sided \( p \)-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 and SAS Enterprise Guide (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

3. Results
3.1. Baseline Characteristics by Physical Activity Category

In total, 27,143 colon cancer patients and 16,453 rectal cancer patients were identified from the NHIS database after applying the exclusion criteria (Figure 1). A comparison of the demographic and the clinical characteristics of patients before and after selection is shown in Table 1. Briefly, the final study population was younger and more likely to be a male, to be in the lower insurance premium tertiles, or to receive surgery only.
Patients who had at least 3 insurance claims, were hospitalized, and were treated for colorectal cancer (ICD-10 C18-C20), 2009–2016 (n = 162,320)

Excluded if:
1. Absence of health checkup data
   - incomplete activity data (n = 39,990)
   - post-diagnosis physical activity (n = 62,557)
   - pre-diagnosis physical activity (n = 14,500)
   - lifestyle variables (n = 1194)
2. Age < 20 yr (n = 0)
3. Follow-up period of 0 (n = 22)
4. Concurrence of both colon and rectal cancer (n = 461)

Figure 1. Flow chart to selecting study subjects from the Korean National Health Insurance Service Database. ICD-10 indicates International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision.

Table 1. Comparison of the demographic and the clinical characteristics of colorectal cancer patients before and after selection, 2009–2016.

| Characteristics          | Before Selection a (n = 162,320) | After Selection b (n = 43,596) | p  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|
| Age, ≥60 years           | 107,249 (66.21%)                 | 27,426 (62.92%)                | <0.01 |
| missing value c          | 280 (0.17%)                      | 0 (0)                          |    |
| Sex                      |                                   |                                | <0.01 |
| male                     | 97,190 (59.99%)                  | 27,077 (62.11%)                |    |
| female                   | 64,818 (40.01%)                  | 16,519 (37.89%)                |    |
| missing value            | 312 (0.19%)                      | 0 (0)                          |    |
| BMI, kg/m²                |                                   |                                | 0.01 |
| <18.5                    | 2020 (3.37%)                     | 1366 (3.13%)                   |    |
| 18.5–22.9                | 21,623 (36.12%)                  | 15,455 (35.45%)                |    |
| 23.0–24.9                | 15,616 (26.09%)                  | 11,504 (26.39%)                |    |
| ≥25.0                    | 20,601 (34.42%)                  | 15,271 (35.03%)                |    |
| missing value            | 102,460 (63.12%)                 | 0 (0)                          |    |
| Smoking                  |                                   |                                | <0.01 |
| never                    | 35,855 (59.88%)                  | 26,135 (59.95%)                |    |
| former                   | 18,074 (30.19%)                  | 13,485 (30.93%)                |    |
| current                  | 5946 (9.93%)                     | 3976 (9.12%)                   |    |
| missing value            | 102,445 (63.11%)                 | 0 (0)                          |    |
| Alcohol consumption      |                                   |                                | 0.29 |
| nondrinker               | 48,583 (81.25%)                  | 35,507 (81.45%)                |    |
| social                   | 7308 (12.22%)                    | 5351 (12.27%)                  |    |
| heavy                    | 3901 (6.53%)                     | 2738 (6.28%)                   |    |
| missing value            | 102,528 (63.16%)                 | 0 (0)                          |    |
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics          | Before Selection | After Selection | p    |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|
|                          | (n = 162,320)    | (n = 43,596)    |      |
| Insurance premium        |                  |                 |      |
| 1st tertile              | 34,653           | 11,080          | 0.01 |
| 2nd tertile              | 43,254           | 12,232          |      |
| 3rd tertile              | 73,063           | 20,284          |      |
| missing value            | 11,350           | 0               |      |
| Pre-diagnosis PA         |                  |                 | 0.39 |
| low                     | 51,654           | 20,804          |      |
| high                    | 56,043           | 22,792          |      |
| missing value            | 54,623           | 0               |      |
| Post-diagnosis PA        |                  |                 | <0.01|
| low                     | 25,376           | 17,892          |      |
| high                    | 34,383           | 25,704          |      |
| missing value            | 102,561          | 0               |      |
| Treatment                |                  |                 | <0.01|
| surgery only             | 89,570           | 30,224          |      |
| surgery with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy | 56,245 | 12,687 | 29.10 |
| chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy | 10,494 | 685 | 1.57 |
| missing value            | 6011             | 0               |      |

Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; n, number of patients; BMI, body mass index. a Colorectal cancer patients who were collected as the initial study subjects from the Korean National Health Insurance Service Database before applying the exclusion criteria (absence of health checkup data, under the age of 20 years, follow-up period of 0, concurrence of both colon and rectal cancer). b Colorectal cancer patients who were selected as the final study subjects after applying the exclusion criteria. c The percentage in parentheses is the fraction of missing values for each variable.

Their baseline characteristics were assessed from the closest health checkup before diagnosis until the first health checkup after diagnosis. The mean age at the time of cancer diagnosis was 62.7 years (standard deviation, 10.4 years). The patients were followed for a mean duration of 4.3 years (median, 4.0 years). The physical activity level was assessed at an average of 1.8 years after cancer diagnosis.

The baseline characteristics of the colorectal cancer patients are shown according to the cancer location and the physical activity categories (Table 2). In both colon and rectal cancers, physically active patients were significantly older, had a greater male proportion, were less underweight or obese, had a smaller ratio of current smokers and heavy drinkers, had greater income levels, had a higher pre-diagnosis activity level, and included a lower proportion of patients treated with chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy. The distribution of the CCI was not significantly different. For both cancers, the most common treatment was surgery without additional radiotherapy or chemotherapy, which was performed on 74.5% of colon cancer patients and 60.7% of rectal cancer patients. Fewer than 2% of the patients had no record of surgical resection but received chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy.
### Table 2. Demographic and clinical characteristics of colorectal cancer patients by post-diagnosis physical activity levels, 2009–2016.

| Characteristics | Colon Cancer | Rectal Cancer | p | p |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|---|---|
|                 | low PA \(^a\) | high PA \(^b\) | low PA | high PA |
| Age, years      | (n = 10,995) | (n = 16,148)  | (n = 6897) | (n = 9556) |
| 20–29           | 17          | 0.2          | 24      | 0.2  | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 30–39           | 171         | 1.6          | 238     | 1.5  | 1.6  | 1.9  |
| 40–49           | 914         | 8.3          | 1259    | 7.8  | 9.2  | 9.7  |
| 50–59           | 3265        | 29.7         | 3660    | 22.7 | 31.1 | 25.7 |
| 60–69           | 3724        | 33.9         | 5531    | 34.3 | 33.3 | 34.9 |
| 70–79           | 2405        | 21.9         | 4846    | 30.0 | 19.1 | 25.2 |
| 80–89           | 488         | 4.4          | 579     | 3.6  | 3.3  | 2.5  |
| 90–99           | 11          | 0.1          | 11      | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |
| Sex             | <0.01       | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| male            | 5912        | 53.8         | 10,529  | 65.2 | 65.2 | 69.0 |
| female          | 5083        | 46.2         | 5619    | 34.8 | 34.8 | 31.0 |
| BMI, kg/m\(^2\) | <0.01       | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| <18.5           | 371         | 3.4          | 406     | 2.5  | 3.9  | 3.4  |
| 18.5–22.9       | 3615        | 32.9         | 5503    | 34.1 | 37.7 | 39.1 |
| 23.0–24.9       | 2783        | 25.3         | 4481    | 27.8 | 24.9 | 26.4 |
| ≥25.0           | 4226        | 38.4         | 5758    | 35.8 | 33.6 | 31.1 |
| Smoking         | <0.01       | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| never           | 7225        | 65.7         | 9437    | 58.4 | 62.2 | 51.85 |
| former          | 2632        | 24.0         | 5482    | 34.0 | 25.8 | 35.8 |
| current         | 1138        | 10.4         | 1229    | 7.6  | 12.0 | 8.2  |
| Alcohol consumption | <0.01  | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| nondrinker      | 9047        | 82.3         | 12,990  | 80.4 | 82.8 | 81.2 |
| social          | 1178        | 10.7         | 2185    | 13.5 | 10.6 | 13.2 |
| heavy           | 770         | 7.0          | 973     | 6.0  | 6.7  | 5.6  |
| Insurance premium | <0.01  | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| 1st tertile     | 2929        | 26.6         | 3905    | 24.2 | 27.7 | 24.5 |
| 2nd tertile     | 3279        | 29.8         | 4178    | 25.9 | 30.9 | 27.7 |
| 3rd tertile     | 4787        | 43.5         | 8065    | 49.9 | 44.4 | 47.9 |
| CCI             | 0.30        | 0.55         |         |     |
| 0               | 8504        | 77.3         | 12,550  | 77.7 | 78.6 | 78.8 |
| 1               | 1369        | 12.5         | 2037    | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| 2               | 430         | 3.9          | 562     | 3.5  | 3.4  | 3.0  |
| ≥3              | 692         | 6.3          | 999     | 6.2  | 6.0  | 5.9  |
| Pre-diagnosis PA | <0.01  | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| low             | 6836        | 62.2         | 5919    | 36.7 | 62.6 | 37.3 |
| high            | 4159        | 37.8         | 10,229  | 63.4 | 37.4 | 58.2 |
| Treatment       | <0.01       | <0.01        | <0.01   | <0.01 |
| surgery only    | 8333        | 75.8         | 11,898  | 73.7 | 59.3 | 61.8 |
| surgery with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy | 2561 | 23.3 | 4160 | 25.8 | 37.5 | 35.4 |
| with or without radiotherapy | 101 | 0.9 | 90 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 275 |

Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; n, number of patients; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson comorbidity index. \(^a\) Patients with a low level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity fewer than three times/week). \(^b\) Patients with a high level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity greater than or equal to three times/week).
3.2. Physical Activity and All-Cause, Colorectal Cancer, and Cardiovascular Mortality

During 106,927 person-years of follow up, 1673 deaths by colon cancer patients and 971 deaths by rectal cancer patients were observed. Among them, 69.6% of the deaths were due to colorectal cancer, and 3.0% were due to other causes including cardiovascular diseases such as coronary heart diseases (International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) code I20–I25) or stroke (ICD-10 code I60–I69). After adjustment, both colon and rectal cancer patients with a high level of activity showed lower all-cause mortality (colon cancer: hazard ratio (HR), 0.79; 95% confidence interval (95% CI), 0.72 to 0.88; rectal cancer: HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.86) (Table 2; Figure 2). A high level of activity was similarly associated with a lowered risk of colorectal cancer-specific mortality (colon cancer: HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.97; rectal cancer: HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.90) (Table 3). However, no significant association was found between cardiovascular disease-related deaths and physical activity (colon cancer: HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.49 to 1.54; rectal cancer: HR, 0.88, 95% CI, 0.40 to 1.94) (Table 3). Additionally, sensitivity analysis for physical activity was performed by considering only the sum of the frequency of moderate-to-vigorous activity. Again, all-cause mortality and colorectal cancer-related mortality were lower in the physically active patients but not cardiovascular disease-related mortality (Supplementary Table S1).

Table 3. Post-diagnosis physical activity and all-cause/colorectal cancer/cardiovascular mortality in colon and rectal cancer patients.

| Treatment                     | PA | n       | Person-Years | All-Cause | Colorectal Cancer | Cardiovascular |
|-------------------------------|----|---------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
|                               |    |         |              | Events    | HR (95% CI)       | Events         | HR (95% CI)    |
| Colon cancer                  |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
|                               | Low| 27,143  | 66,458.54    | 1673      | 1155              | 51             |                |
|                               | High| 16,148 | 39,676.27    | 939       | 667               | 28             | 0.87 (0.49, 1.54) |
|                               |    |         |              | 734       | 486               | 23             | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    | 10,995  | 26,780.26    | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 7              |                |
|                               |    | 9,155   | 22,896.28    | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 7              |                |
| Surgery only                  |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
|                               | Low| 20,231  | 51,613.34    | 754       | 380               | 44             |                |
|                               | High| 11,898 | 30,464.80    | 402       | 208               | 24             | 0.86 (0.46, 1.59) |
|                               |    |         |              | 352       | 172               | 20             | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    | 8,333   | 21,145.54    | 1 (Ref)   | 72                | 0              |                |
|                               |    | 3,502   | 7,313.25     | 1 (Ref)   | 34                | 0              |                |
| Surgery with chemotherapy     |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
| and/or radiotherapy           | Low| 6,721   | 14,495.30    | 841       | 703               | 7              |                |
|                               | High| 3,251  | 7,204.16     | 500       | 425               | 4              | 0.92 (0.20, 4.28) |
|                               |    |         |              | 341       | 278               | 3              | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    | 1,130   | 2,460.01     | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 4              |                |
| Chemotherapy with or without  |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
| radiotherapy                  | Low| 191     | 349.90       | 78        | 72                | 0              |                |
|                               | High| 90     | 172.99       | 37        | 34                | 0              |                |
| Rectal cancer                 |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
|                               | Low| 16,453  | 40,468.54    | 971       | 686               | 27             |                |
|                               | High| 9,565  | 23,738.25    | 508       | 358               | 16             | 0.88 (0.40, 1.94) |
|                               |    |         |              | 463       | 328               | 11             | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    | 6,897   | 16,730.29    | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 7              |                |
| Surgery only                  |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
|                               | Low| 9,993   | 26,008.18    | 318       | 148               | 19             |                |
|                               | High| 4,092  | 10,566.05    | 149       | 74                | 7              | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    |         |              | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 4              |                |
| Surgery with chemotherapy     |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
| and/or radiotherapy           | Low| 5,966   | 13,666.00    | 552       | 447               | 8              |                |
|                               | High| 2,786  | 5,045.20     | 262       | 207               | 4              | 1 (Ref)        |
|                               |    |         |              | 1 (Ref)   | 1 (Ref)           | 4              |                |
| Chemotherapy with or without  |    |         |              |           |                   |                |                |
| radiotherapy                  | Low| 494     | 794.36       | 101       | 91                | 0              |                |
|                               | High| 219     | 319.03       | 52        | 47                | 0              |                |

Adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, insurance premium, Charlson comorbidity index, pre-diagnosis physical activity. For all patients, treatment options were also adjusted. Abbreviations: PA, physical activity; n, number of patients; HR, hazard ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; Ref, reference. a Patients with a low level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity fewer than three times/week). b Patients with a high level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity greater than or equal to three times/week).
Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier survival curves as stratified by physical activity groups for colon and rectal cancer. Kaplan–Meier survival curves for colon cancer patients (a) and rectal cancer patients (b), stratified by the following treatment groups: total, surgery only, surgery with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, and chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy. The red line represents the survival probability of patients with a high level of activity (the weighted sum of the frequencies for walking, moderate, and vigorous activity greater than or equal to three times/week), and the blue line represents the survival probability of patients with a low level of activity. **, *, and ns next to graphs indicate *p*-values lower than 0.01, *p*-values lower than 0.05, and nonsignificant results, respectively. The results indicate that satisfying the recommended level of activity is associated with a lowered risk of mortality in colorectal cancer patients, particularly in patients who received surgery with or without other treatment options such as chemotherapy or radiotherapy.
The difference in mortality could also be due to reverse causation such as premorbid or elderly patients only having a limited amount of physical capacity. This possibility was explored by excluding patients who died within 6 months of follow-up. Even after excluding them, the association between physical activity and overall mortality was still significant (colon cancer: HR, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.91; rectal cancer: HR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.91). The association was also consistent in patients aged 65 years or older (colon cancer: HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.86; rectal cancer: HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.90).

Analysis of the effect of pre-diagnostic physical activity on colorectal cancer prognosis revealed that satisfying the activity recommendation during the pre-diagnosis period was associated with significantly lower all-cause, colorectal cancer-related, and cardiovascular disease-related mortality among colon cancer patients. In rectal cancer, however, the association was only significant for all-cause mortality (Supplementary Table S2). The results of the analyses for the change between pre- and post-diagnostic physical activity were conflicting, but a decrease in physical activity after cancer diagnosis was consistently associated with higher mortality in both colon and rectal cancer patients (Supplementary Table S3).

3.3. Physical Activity and Colorectal Cancer Treatment

In the stratified analysis by cancer treatment, active patients who only received surgical resection had an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.75 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.87), and those who received surgery as well as chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy had an adjusted hazard ratio of 0.84 with a high level of activity (95% CI, 0.73 to 0.97) for colon cancer. However, physical activity was not significantly associated with mortality in those who did not receive surgery but received chemotherapy with or without radiotherapy. Likewise, in rectal cancer patients, physical activity was associated with lower mortality in surgically treated patients but not in the nonsurgically treated patients (Table 3; Figure 2).

4. Discussion

Our analyses uncovered an inverse association between post-diagnosis physical activity and mortality in both colon and rectal cancer patients. This finding implies that physical activity, apart from being an independent protective factor against colorectal cancer, may also serve as a prognostic determinant of colorectal cancer. Additionally, our results suggest that activity may be most beneficial in surgically treatable patients, who are presumably early-stage cancer patients with longer survival times after cancer treatment.

The benefits of physical activity may have resulted from changing the biological processes related to cancer progression. Physical activity was suggested to affect tumor metabolism in the acute phase and then induce immunological control of tumor growth in the long term [26]. The Akt/mTOR signaling pathway, a mechanism potentially related to colorectal cancer growth and metastasis, was shown to be differentially regulated during exercise [27,28]. Inflammatory markers such as TNF-α and IL-6 decreased after exercise training in a study of breast cancer survivors [29]. A mouse model study also suggested that natural killer cells were mobilized during exercise [30]. The accumulation of these effects could have led to better survival of the active patients.

Additionally, physical activity may be crucial in the quality of life of patients. Active cancer patients are generally shown to experience better cardiovascular recovery during treatment [31]. They also have less cancer-related fatigue and fewer side effects such as treatment-induced anemia [32,33]. In accordance with these results, the amount of anxiety or depression is lower in patients with higher amounts of physical activity [34]. Combined with our results, these studies provide evidence that physical activity may be crucial for both the quantity and the quality of life. However, this interpretation requires further evaluation, because previous studies primarily focused on the effects of exercise, which only included voluntary and regular body movements and not all types of physical activity.

The similarity of results for colon and rectal cancer patients should be noted, because the role of physical activity in cancer prevention is established for only colon cancer [35].
These cancers differ not only in their anatomical origin but also in several important clinical features. The role of radiotherapy is limited in colon cancer treatment, but the effect of adjuvant systemic treatment on survival is more evident against this cancer. The most common metastatic site of colorectal cancers is the liver, but rectal cancer may also initially metastasize to the lungs because of its drainage into the inferior vena cava rather than the portal venous system [36]. Our study suggests evidence that, despite these differences, physical activity acts as a common prognostic factor in these cancers.

In our study, patients who did not receive surgery received no benefit from high levels of activity. An explanation for this result is that the stages of cancer in this group were too far advanced to be moderated by physical activity. Another possibility is that these patients had more comorbidities that overwhelmed the benefits of physical activity. However, the results remained unchanged even after adjusting for the CCI. Finally, surveillance or treatment for other primary cancers, recorded in 10% of colorectal cancer patients, could have added heterogeneity to the data. When we omitted patients with at least one record of other cancers (identified by the ICD-10 “C” code) within three years before the colorectal cancer diagnosis, the association between activity and mortality in nonsurgically treated patients was significant for colon cancer but not rectal cancer (colon cancer: HR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.42 to 0.98; rectal cancer: HR, 0.75; 95% CI, 0.45 to 1.17). Careful interpretation is required because of the small number of patients treated without surgery [37]. However, although other studies suggested a significant reduction in mortality in advanced but active cancer patients [38–40], our results suggest that exercise rehabilitation may be most beneficial during earlier stages of cancer in which medical treatments such as surgery, chemotherapy, or radiotherapy are all available.

Despite the decrease in all-cause mortality as well as colorectal cancer-related mortality, we did not observe a decrease in cardiovascular disease-related mortality, in contrast to results from the previous literature [41]. The reason may be due to the insufficiency of follow-up to capture the slow development of cardiovascular events, because we observed 3% of deaths from cardiovascular diseases, whereas 10% to 20% of deaths were reported from other study of cancer patients [42]. Another possibility is that the noncancer death profiles are truly unaffected by activity in the cancer patients. Additional studies with longer follow-up could provide further insight into how the causes of death in colorectal cancer patients change according to activity level.

One of the strengths of this study relates to the large size of the cohort. A meta-analysis on the relationship between post-diagnosis physical activity and colorectal cancer showed that the number of total subjects in previous studies was in the hundreds to thousands [43]. By contrast, our results clarified the relationship between activity and mortality in a larger cohort that was representative of the national population and included more than 40,000 patients. Furthermore, the use of Korean NHIS data allowed us to evaluate the effect of physical activity on the Asian population, a phenomenon that was not sufficiently studied previously.

Additionally, the large size of the cohort enabled us to perform stratified analyses on cancer sites and treatment options without decreasing the reliability of the statistical results. Cancer site-stratification was used to distinguish among the results from colon and rectal cancers. The treatment options were used as indicators of the cancer stage, the primary prognostic factor of colorectal cancer that was not directly available from our data. These stratifications made it possible to demonstrate the adjuvant effect of physical activity in detail, the finding of which could be meaningful during clinical applications of the data.

There are several limitations that must be considered. First, selection bias may occur during study subject selection because many colorectal patients were excluded because of the absent or the incomplete post-diagnosis health checkups. The largest difference was shown in the distribution of treatment options, likely because of the short survival in patients with advanced cancer (Table 1). Although no differences were found in the proportion of the social/heavy alcohol drinkers between total and final study populations, the final study population showed a slightly higher proportion of former smokers and
high pre- and post-diagnostic PA levels. The study population may have a more desirable lifestyle than the excluded patients, and it is unlikely that the internal validity for comparing PA groups was affected.

Second, the retrospective design of the study made it challenging to incorporate residual confounding factors such as performance status and validate the causality between mortality and different factors that were included in the analyses. The level of physical activity may only reflect health status but may not be a determinant of health status. To counter this interpretation, we adjusted our model for diverse prognostic factors of colorectal cancer and performed sensitivity analysis to confirm our results. An analysis with residual confounding factors and time-varying covariates can further strengthen the data. Third, our data only included information on the minimal duration and intensity of physical activity. This approach precluded the evaluation of activities in the standard measurement of MET minutes per week or the analysis on the dose effect of the activity. When we validated our activity criteria by comparing the achievement rates using the national cancer statistics, the proportion of active patients was larger in our study than the national average of 57.1%, suggesting that our criteria might have been more relaxed [44]. However, our finding could also be explained by a greater interest in activity among colorectal cancer patients, and analyses using an alternative definition of activity showed a similar relationship to survival. Fourth, a relatively long interval existed between cancer diagnosis and health checkup (average, 1.8 years; standard deviation, 1.2 years), possibly leading to a bias toward patients with relatively better survival sufficient to attend health checkups. Finally, the bias due to competing risk between the causes of death cannot be completely excluded.

5. Conclusions

A high level of post-diagnosis physical activity was associated with lower mortality in surgically treated colorectal patients, considering the variation by cancer treatment and lifestyle factors. Future research is necessary to consider the interaction among activity, performance status, and cancer stage and to understand the underlying molecular mechanisms supporting the clinical role of post-diagnosis activity.
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