Effects of Mitochondrial DNA Deletion and OSW-1 on PI3K-AKT Signaling Pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 Cells
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Abstract

To investigate the effect of mitochondrial DNA deletion and OSW-1 on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 hepatocellular carcinoma cells, we prepared SK-Hep1 cells with mtDNA deletion, that is, p⁰SK-Hep. Then the OSW-1 of 100 ng/L was used to intervene SK-Hep1 and p⁰SK-Hep1. RT-qPCR was used to detect the difference of gene expression on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in four groups of cells. The gene expression of TLR4, FOS and TSC2 markers in SK-Hep1 cells treated with OSW-1 were significantly increased. The gene expressions of PDPK1, GJA1, TLR4 and TSC2 markers were significantly increased in p⁰SK-Hep1 cells, and the gene expressions of IRAK1 and GJA1 markers were significantly increased in p⁰SK-Hep1 cells treated with OSW-1. OSW-1 mainly affects the genes related to TLR4 pathway on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 HCC cells. P⁰SK-Hep1 mainly affects the upstream PDK1 gene and downstream TSC2 gene of Akt on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array, and also affects the gene expression of gap junction at the same time.
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1. Introduction

Phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K)-mediated signal transduction pathway is closely related to the occurrence and development of malignant tumors and plays an important role in the proliferation, migration and metabolism of tumor cells [1]. Activated AKT phosphorylated Bad, blocks the binding of Bad to Bcl-2...
or Bcl-xl to form a dimer and promotes the loss of apoptosis, thus promoting the survival of tumor cells [2]. Activated AKT can prevent the release of mitochondrial cytochrome C and apoptosis-inducing factor, and play an anti-apoptosis role [3].

In our previous study, 3β, 16β, 17α-trihydroxycholest-5-en-22-one16-O-(2-O-4-methoxybenzoyl-β-Dxylopyranosyl)-(1 → 3)-(2-O-acetyl-α-L-arabinopyranoside) (OSW-1) inhibits the growth of tumor cells in the aspects of cell invasion, angiogenesis, cell polarity and cell adhesion, affects the core genes in each signaling pathway, and induces apoptosis of hepatoma cells [4]. OSW-1 swelled hepatoma cells and vacuolated the cytoplasm, nuclear dissolution and content overflow, a large number of lysosomes, cell membrane integrity destruction [5]. OSW-1 induced special apoptosis is different from the mitochondrial death pathway and the death receptor pathway and the final result is not Caspase family's activating [6]. So in this study, we prepared SK-Hep1 cells with mitochondrial DNA deletion, that is, p0SK-Hep1, used real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and detected the expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in order to clarify the effects of OSW-1 and mitochondrial DNA deletion on PI3K signaling pathway in hepatoma cells.

The complete combination of RT-PCR technology and gene chip technology is called PCR Array. PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array can simultaneously detect the expression of 84 genes related to PI3K-AKT signaling pathway. The new tablets include members of AKT (protein kinase B) and PI3K family and their regulatory genes. These genes are involved in many biological processes: Gsk3 inactivation, B-catenin deposition, actin assembly regulation and cell migration, BAD phosphorylation and so on. Genes related to IGF-1, mTOR and anti-apoptosis signaling pathway are also included.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Cell lines and main reagents. The human hepatoma cell line SK-Hep1 was obtained from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Sodium pyruvate, uridine were from Sigma (USA). Ethidium bromide (EB) was from Ding-Guo Biotechnology (China). TRIZOL* Reagent was from Invitrogen (USA). Chloroform, Isopropyl alcohol, 75% Ethanol (in DEPC-treated water), RNase-free water were from Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (China). RNase-free glycogen was from Ambion (USA).

2) Preparation and Identification of Hepatocellular carcinoma cells with Mitochondrial deletion (p0SK-Hep1). SK-Hep1 cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, ethidium bromide 100 µg/L, sodium pyruvate 100 mg/L and uracil nucleoside 50 mg/L, it was cultured at 37˚C in 5% CO2 incubator and subcultured once every 3 days to 21 generations. 3 × 10^5 cells per well were inoculated into the six-well culture plate and cultured in the medium without EB for 2 days. Because the growth process of mtDNA requires pyrimidine nucleoside and pyruvate to pro-
vide energy to maintain, nutritional defects are used to identify the growth of mtDNA deletion cells. In the p0SK-Hep1 cells cultured to logarithmic growth phase, the medium without pyruvate and uracil nucleoside was replaced, and the growth, morphological changes and death of the cells were observed, and SK-Hep1 cells were used as control. The living cells were identified by tabular blue exclusion method.

3) Detection of COX-I and COX-II by PCR amplification. To detect p0SK-Hep1 completely lacking mtDNA, using COX-I and COX-II upstream primer, downstream primer. The result was detected by agarose gel electrophoresis. See our previous research for details [6].

4) RNA isolation, cDNA labeling Synthesis and Labeling and Expression profiling using microarray. RNA was converted into cDNA using Superscript Double-Stranded cDNA Synthesis Kit. The Labelled cRNAs were hybridized to the NimbleGen Human Gene Expression. See our previous research for details [6].

5) Date Analysis. ΔΔCt Method. 1). Calculate the ΔCt for each pathway-focused gene in each treatment group. ΔCt (group 1) = average Ct – average of HK genes’ Ct for group 1 array ΔCt (group 2) = average Ct – average of HK genes’ Ct for group 2 array. The expression level of the housekeeping genes chosen for normalization in the ΔΔCt method must not be influenced by your experimental conditions. If one or more such genes have been previously identified by independent means and if the PCR Array reproduces those results, use the average of their Ct values in the equation above. If an appropriate housekeeping gene has not been previously identified, use the average Ct value of all five housekeeping genes, but only if the difference in the average values between the two groups to be compared is less than one cycle. Otherwise, simply use zero in the place of the average of HK genes’ Ct values for each group to be compared, and rely on the consistency in the quantity and quality of your original input total RNA across your groups to effectively normalize your results. 2). Calculate the ΔΔCt for each gene across two PCR Arrays (or groups). ΔΔCt = ΔCt (group 2) − ΔCt (group 1) Where group 1 is the control and group 2 is the experimental. 3). Calculate the fold-change for each gene from group 1 to group 2 as 2 −ΔΔCt. If the fold-change is greater than 1, then the result may be reported as a fold up-regulation. If the fold-change is less than 1, then the negative inverse of the result may be reported as a fold down-regulation.

3. Results

1) Culture medium and identification of p0SK-Hep1 cells. In the medium containing ethidium bromide without pyruvate and uracil, p0SK-Hep1 cells were observed under inverted microscope to have a small amount of suspension and swelling on the first day, a large amount of suspension on the third day, independent non-aggregation of morphology and poor adhesion to the wall, almost all floated on the seventh day. The living cells were identified by tabular blue ex-
clusion method, and the results showed that the living cells could not be found from the 6th day. In contrast, p₀SK-Hep1 cells rarely floated and grew normally in a medium supplemented with ethidium bromide, pyruvate and uracil (Figure 1(a), Figure 1(b)). Because the expression of COX-I and COX-II was inhibited in mitochondrial deletion cells, we determined whether mtDNA deletion cells were constructed by detecting COX-I and COX-II. In SK-Hep1 cells, COX-I, COX-II and GAPDH were expressed, but in p₀SK-Hep1 cells, COX-I and COX-II were almost not expressed (Figure 1(c), Figure 1(d)).

2) **SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array.** The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 and SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 were detected by RT-qPCR method (see Figure 2, Figure 3). The expression of FOS, GRB2, HSPB1, IRAK1, PDPK1, PRKCA, PRKCB, TLR4, TOLLIP, TSC2 were up-regulated more than 2 times, especially in TLR4 (20.01 times), FOS (15.85 times), TSC2 (8.17 times). APC, IGF1, IRS1, PDGFRA, PTPN11, SHC1 were down-regulated more than 2 times. See Table 1 for details.

3) **p₀SK-Hep1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array.** The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 and p₀SK-Hep1 were detected by RT-qPCR method (see Figure 4). The expressions of CASP9, CD14, FOXO1, GJA1, GRB2, HSPB1, IGF1, MAPK3, DPK1, PRKCA,
Figure 2. The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 was detected by RT-qPCR.

Figure 3. The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 was detected by RT-qPCR.
Figure 4. The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in p0SK-Hep1 was detected by RT-qPCR.

Table 1. SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array.

| Symbol | AVG ΔCt(Ct(GOI)-Ave Ct(HKG)) | 2Δ-ΔCt | Fold Change | Fold up - or Down regulation |
|--------|-----------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|        | SK-Hep1+OSW1 | SK-Hep1 | SK-Hep1+OSW1 | SK-Hep1 | SK-Hep1+OSW1/SK-Hep1 | SK-Hep1+OSW1/SK-Hep1 |
| APC    | 11.73         | 10.63   | 2.9E−04     | 6.3E−04 | 0.47          | −2.15          |
| FOS    | 9.67          | 13.66   | 1.2E−03     | 7.7E−05 | 15.85         | 15.85         |
| GRB2   | 5.20          | 6.84    | 2.7E−02     | 8.7E−03 | 3.11          | 3.11          |
| HSPB1  | 10.36         | 11.51   | 7.6E−04     | 3.4E−04 | 2.23          | 2.23          |
| IGF1   | 16.86         | 15.48   | 8.4E−06     | 2.2E−05 | 0.39          | −2.59         |
| IRAK1  | 11.15         | 12.37   | 4.4E−04     | 1.9E−04 | 2.32          | 2.32          |
| IRS1   | 13.32         | 12.10   | 9.8E−05     | 2.3E−04 | 0.43          | −2.34         |
| PDGFRA | 18.36         | 17.36   | 3.0E−06     | 5.9E−06 | 0.5           | −2            |
| PDK1   | 7.60          | 9.31    | 5.1E−03     | 1.6E−03 | 3.26          | 3.26          |
| PRKCA  | 6.48          | 8.08    | 1.1E−02     | 3.7E−03 | 3.04          | 3.04          |
| PRKCB  | 17.16         | 18.23   | 6.8E−06     | 3.2E−06 | 2.1           | 2.1           |
| PTPN11 | 8.40          | 7.22    | 3.0E−03     | 6.7E−03 | 0.44          | −2.26         |
| SHC1   | 6.74          | 4.85    | 9.4E−03     | 3.5E−02 | 0.27          | −3.69         |
| TLR4   | 14.33         | 18.66   | 4.8E−05     | 2.4E−06 | 20.01         | 20.01         |
| TOLLIP | 6.85          | 8.17    | 8.7E−03     | 3.5E−03 | 2.5           | 2.5           |
| TSC2   | 10.02         | 13.05   | 9.6E−04     | 1.2E−04 | 8.17          | 8.17          |
RP6KA1, TLR4 and TSC2 were up-regulated more than 2 times, especially in PDPK1 (13.27 times), GJA1 (9.67 times), TLR4 (4.42 times) and TSC2 (4.37 times). Only APC and CTNNB1 were down-regulated more than 2 times. See Table 2 for details.

4) p0SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array. The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 and p0SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 were detected by RT-qPCR method (see Figure 5) (Table 3). The expressions of AKT1 CD14 CDKN1B FASLG GJA1 GRB2 HSPB1 IRAK1 IRS1 MAPK3 PDR2 PDPK1 PIK3R2 PRKCA PKRCB RP6KA1 SHC1 SRF TOLLIP TSC2 were up-regulated more than 2 times, especially in IRAK1 (101.93 times) and GJA1 (7.62 times). The expression of APC CHUK MTCP1 PAR1 PDK1 PIK3CG RASA1 RPS6KB1 SOS1 WASL were down-regulated more than 2 times, especially PIK3CG was 4.15 times lower. See Table 3 for details.

4. Discussion

Most primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is associated with inflammation and cirrhosis [7]. Chronic injury and inflammation are closely related to tumorigenesis [8]. TLR4 in TLRs family is one of the important members that regulate the immune response and inflammatory reaction, TLR4 activates downstream signal transduction elements through two downstream pathways MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent to induce apoptosis and necroptosis [9]. TLRs signaling pathway promotes the production of related cytokines by

Table 2. p0SK-Hep1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array.

| Symbol  | AVG ΔCt(Ct(GOI)-Ave Ct(HKG)) | 2Δ-ΔCt | Fold Change | Fold up - or Down regulation |
|---------|------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|         | p0SK-Hep1 | SK-Hep1 | p0SK-Hep1 | SK-Hep1 | p0SK-Hep1/SK-Hep1 | p0SK-Hep1/SK-Hep1 |
| APC     | 11.80       | 10.63    | 2.8E−04    | 6.3E−04 | 0.44             | −2.25               |
| CASP9   | 7.41        | 8.42     | 5.9E−03    | 2.9E−03 | 2.01             | 2.01                |
| CD14    | 9.78        | 10.87    | 1.1E−03    | 5.3E−04 | 2.13             | 2.13                |
| CTNNB1  | 6.02        | 4.89     | 1.5E−02    | 3.4E−02 | 0.46             | −2.19               |
| FOXO1   | 9.77        | 10.91    | 1.1E−03    | 5.2E−04 | 2.2              | 2.2                 |
| GJA1    | 15.38       | 18.66    | 2.3E−05    | 2.4E−06 | 9.67             | 9.67                |
| GRB2    | 5.57        | 6.84     | 2.1E−02    | 8.7E−03 | 2.4              | 2.4                 |
| HSPB1   | 9.10        | 11.51    | 1.8E−03    | 3.4E−04 | 5.32             | 5.32                |
| IGF1    | 13.82       | 15.48    | 6.9E−05    | 2.2E−05 | 3.17             | 3.17                |
| MAPK3   | 6.16        | 7.28     | 1.4E−02    | 6.4E−03 | 2.16             | 2.16                |
| PDPK1   | 5.58        | 9.31     | 2.1E−02    | 1.6E−03 | 13.27            | 13.27               |
| PRKCA   | 6.19        | 8.08     | 1.4E−02    | 6.4E−03 | 2.16             | 2.16                |
| RP6KA1  | 7.72        | 8.82     | 4.7E−03    | 2.2E−03 | 2.15             | 2.15                |
| TLR4    | 16.51       | 18.66    | 1.1E−05    | 2.4E−06 | 4.42             | 4.42                |
| TSC2    | 10.92       | 13.05    | 5.2E−04    | 1.2E−04 | 4.37             | 4.37                |
Table 3. p0SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 was compared with SK-Hep1 in the PI3K pathway PCR Array.

| Symbol | AVG ΔCt(GOI)-Ave Ct(HKG) | 2Δ-ΔCt | Fold Change | Fold up - or Down regulation |
|--------|--------------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|
|        | p0SK-Hep1+OSW1          | SK-Hep1| p0SK-Hep1+OSW1 | SK-Hep1 | p0SK-Hep1+OSW1/SK-Hep1 | p0SK-Hep1+OSW1/SK-Hep1 |
| AKT1   | 6.85                    | 8.22   | 8.7E−03     | 3.4E−03 | 2.58              | 2.58             |
| APC    | 12.16                   | 10.63  | 2.2E−04     | 6.3E−04 | 0.35              | −2.89            |
| CD14   | 8.83                    | 10.87  | 2.2E−03     | 5.3E−04 | 4.12              | 4.12             |
| CDKN1B | 12.12                   | 14.54  | 2.2E−04     | 4.2E−05 | 5.34              | 5.34             |
| FASLG  | 16.75                   | 18.66  | 9.1E−06     | 2.4E−06 | 3.75              | 3.75             |
| GJA1   | 15.73                   | 18.66  | 1.8E−05     | 2.4E−06 | 7.62              | 7.62             |
| GRB2   | 5.15                    | 6.84   | 2.8E−02     | 8.7E−03 | 3.23              | 3.23             |
| HSPB1  | 9.31                    | 11.51  | 1.6E−03     | 3.4E−04 | 4.6               | 4.6              |
| IRAK1  | 5.70                    | 12.37  | 1.9E−02     | 1.9E−04 | 101.93            | 101.93           |
| IRS1   | 10.63                   | 12.10  | 6.3E−04     | 2.3E−04 | 2.77              | 2.77             |
| MAPK3  | 5.54                    | 7.28   | 2.1E−02     | 6.4E−03 | 3.33              | 3.33             |
| MTC1P1 | 11.65                   | 10.64  | 3.1E−04     | 6.3E−04 | 0.5               | −2.01            |
| PAK1   | 9.05                    | 7.71   | 1.9E−03     | 4.8E−03 | 0.4               | −2.53            |
| PDK1   | 11.05                   | 9.18   | 4.7E−04     | 1.7E−03 | 0.27              | −3.67            |
| PDK2   | 10.44                   | 12.95  | 7.2E−04     | 1.3E−04 | 5.72              | 5.72             |
| PDPK1  | 6.62                    | 9.31   | 1.0E−02     | 1.6E−03 | 6.46              | 6.46             |
| PIK3CG | 13.56                   | 11.50  | 8.3E−05     | 3.4E−04 | 0.24              | −4.15            |
| PIK3R2 | 8.51                    | 9.75   | 2.8E−03     | 1.2E−03 | 2.38              | 2.38             |
| PRKCA  | 6.48                    | 8.08   | 1.1E−02     | 3.7E−03 | 3.02              | 3.02             |
| PRKCB  | 16.48                   | 18.23  | 1.1E−05     | 3.2E−06 | 3.37              | 3.37             |
| RASA1  | 7.06                    | 5.91   | 7.5E−03     | 1.7E−02 | 0.45              | −2.22            |
| RPS6KA1| 7.31                    | 8.82   | 6.3E−03     | 2.2E−03 | 2.85              | 2.85             |
| RPS6KB1| 8.38                    | 7.23   | 3.0E−03     | 6.7E−03 | 0.45              | −2.15            |
| SHC1   | 2.55                    | 4.85   | 1.7E−01     | 3.5E−02 | 4.89              | 4.89             |
| SOS1   | 8.00                    | 6.90   | 3.9E−03     | 8.4E−03 | 0.47              | −2.15            |
| SRF    | 6.95                    | 8.33   | 8.1E−03     | 3.1E−03 | 2.61              | 2.61             |
| TOLLIP | 6.30                    | 8.17   | 1.3E−02     | 3.5E−03 | 3.64              | 3.64             |
| TSC2   | 10.09                   | 13.05  | 9.2E−04     | 1.2E−04 | 7.78              | 7.78             |
| WASL   | 9.31                    | 7.61   | 1.6E−03     | 5.1E−03 | 0.31              | −3.26            |

integrating connecting molecules such as MyD88 and tumor necrosis factor receptor related molecule 6 (TRAF6), and finally activates AP-1 and NF-kappa B [10]. AP-1 can affect inflammatory response by regulating the activity of innate immune system [11]. As a molecular switch to initiate gene transcription, AP-1 regulates gene expression through various conditions such as cell stress stimulation, and participates in a variety of cellular processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, transformation, cell migration, inflammation and wound healing. Transcription factor AP-1 is a dimer complex, which is composed of
Figure 5. The expression of PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in p53SK-Hep1 treated with OSW-1 were detected by RT-qPCR method.

Jun family and Fos family proteins encoded by proto-oncogenes. Fos and Jun form heterodimer [12]. Among the many AP-1 dimers, AP-1 heterodimer formed by Fos and Jun is the most stable [13]. AP-1 plays a dual role in regulating apoptosis. AP-1 can promote or inhibit apoptosis in different cells. Different signal pathways are involved in the pathogenesis of HCC, and the inflammatory signal pathway is closely related to the occurrence and development of HCC [14][15]. The role of IRAK1 in different tumors has been widely concerned [16][17], IRAK1 is a downstream signal molecule of activated MyD88 recruitment, which can activate FADD to induce apoptosis. IRAK1 can also activate TRAF6 induce the expression of downstream specific genes. IRAK1 is an essential factor in the induction of mitochondrial division and necroptosis [18]. IRAK 1 is related to the formation and development of tumors, is an important tumor target [19]. Infinite proliferation is an important feature of most malignant tumors, including hepatocellular carcinoma [20][21]. The results showed that the TLR4 and FOS genes were significantly increased by OSW-1 in SK-Hep1 HCC cells, and the IRAK1 type genes were significantly increased by p53SK/Hep1 induced by OSW-1. Therefore, we predict that OSW-1 has a significant effect on TLR4 signaling pathway in SK-Hep1 HCC cells and induce apoptosis and necrotic apoptosis in HCC cells.

The PDPK1 marker genes in the PCR chip of PI3K gene represent PDK1 and
PDPK2 genes. PDK1 is an important kinase in PI3K/Akt pathway. PDK1 plays an important role in cell growth, proliferation, metabolism and survival [22]. PDK1 can be activated by binding to PIP3 on the plasma membrane. As an upstream regulatory molecule of Akt, activated PDK1 can phosphorylate Akt, activate Akt [23], and activate Akt to transmit intracellular signals downstream by phosphorylation of its downstream protein TSC2. In order to regulate the biological processes such as glycolgen and protein synthesis and cell growth and proliferation. When TSC2 mutates, it can affect the intracellular signal transmission, resulting in the dysfunction of cell function. When lack of energy, the level of AMP in the body increases, and then the activated AMPK of AMPK, acts on the gene $TSC1/TSC2$ [24], which binds to the C terminal of TSC2 and enhances the activity of TSC2, thus inhibiting the activity of m TOR. Inhibit apoptosis caused by glucose deficiency and enhance the survivability of cells [25].

The GJA1 marker gene in the PCR chip of PI3K gene represents the gap junction protein. There are defects of gap junction communication function and abnormal expression of gap junction protein in tumor cells. Gap junction protein can form gap junction channel and mediate the exchange of information between cells [26], which is widely expressed in various types of human cells, in which the abnormal expression of $C43$ is closely related to the occurrence and development of tumor [27]. In hepatocellular carcinoma (hepatocellular carcinoma, HCC), the expression of $C43$ in patients with distant metastasis was lower than that in patients without metastasis or liver metastasis, and the expression of $C43$ mRNA in patients with early recurrence was lower than that in patients without recurrence [28]. $C43$ exists not only in cell membrane [29], but also in the mitochondrial intima [30]. $C43$ was transported to the inner membrane of mitochondria through the general outer membrane transporter/intimal transporter pathway, and the transport pathway depended on the cytoplasmic chaperone heat shock protein 90 [31]. Our results show that the deletion of mitochondrial DNA leads to the increase of the expression level of gap junction protein in SK-Hep1 hepatocellular carcinoma cells. The deletion of mitochondrial DNA also increased the gene expression of PDPK1 and TSC2 markers in SK-Hep1 HCC cells.

5. Conclusion

As a classical signal pathway, PI3K/Akt plays an important role in cell proliferation, differentiation, survival and migration, and is also the main target of drug development in many diseases. OSW-1 mainly affects the genes related to TLR4 pathway on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array in SK-Hep1 HCC cells. P$^+$SK-Hep1 mainly affects the upstream PDK1 gene and downstream TSC2 gene of Akt on PI3K-AKT signaling pathway PCR Array, and also affects the gene expression of gap junction at the same time.
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