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Abstract

It has been reported that the performance of bulk heterojunction organic solar cells can be improved by incorporation of nano-heterostructures of metals, semiconductors, and dielectric materials in the active layer. In this manuscript, CdS or Sb2S3 nanocrystals were in situ generated inside the poly(3-hexylthiophene): [6,6]-phenyl C61-butyric acid (P3HT:PC61BM) system by randomly mixing P3HT and PC61BM in the presence of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor. Hybrid solar cells (HSCs) with the configurations of tin-doped indium oxide substrate (ITO)/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC61BM: x wt.% CdS/MoO3/Ag and ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC61BM: x wt.% Sb2S3/MoO3/Ag were fabricated. Hybrid active layers (P3HT:PC61BM: x wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC61BM: x wt.% Sb2S3) were formed completely by thermally annealing the film resulting in the decomposition of the cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor to CdS or Sb2S3 nanocrystals, respectively. The effects of x wt.% CdS (or Sb2S3) nanocrystals on the performance of the HSCs were studied. From UV–Vis absorption, hole mobilities, and surface morphological characterizations, it has been proved that incorporation of 3 wt.% CdS (or Sb2S3) nanocrystals on the performance of the HSCs were improved. Thus resulting in the improved power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of the devices.
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Background

Organic semiconductors-based photovoltaic devices are complimented by various advantageous characteristics, such as light weight, low cost, ease of solution-based roll-to-roll large-scale manufacture and compatibility with flexible materials [1, 2]. Besides, inorganic semiconductors are ideal for highly efficient solar cells for their superior charge mobility, chemical stability, as well as the enhanced light absorption (potential to tune their optical band gap into the near-infrared region) [3, 4]. Therefore, hybrid solar cells (HSCs), composed of both organic semiconductors and inorganic semiconductors, have garnered considerable attention mainly due to the promise of integrating the attractive qualities of both classes of materials [5–7]. A typical HSC is based on the bulk heterojunction concept in which a blend of organic materials and inorganic nanoparticles active layer sandwiched between two charge collecting electrodes [5–7]. To date, a wide range of organic materials, such as low band gap conjugated polymers [7], along with many inorganic materials, including metal nanomaterials (Ag, Au) [8, 9], silicon [10, 11], metal oxide nanoparticles (ZnO, TiO2) [12–14], silicon dioxide nanoparticles (SiO2) [15], cadmium compounds (CdS, CdSe, CdTe) [16–18], low band gap nanoparticles (PbS, PbSe, Sb2S3, Cu2S, SnS2, SnSe2).
CulnS₂, FeS₂) [19–25], and so on, have been applied as the active layer in HSCs.

The performance of HSCs depends critically on the ability to control materials and interface structure at the nanometer length scale [26]. The conventional method for preparing the organic and inorganic composite active layer is directly mixing a given organic polymer with the as-prepared ligand-capped inorganic nanocrystal by using a cosolvent [16–25]. Although incorporation of the surface ligands into the organic/inorganic composite can improve distribution of nanocrystals in a conjugated polymer, the long chain ligands would form an insulating interface between the polymer matrix and the nanocrystals. To be a result, the insulating interface will inhibit charge transfer between the polymer and the nanocrystals, and the cosolvent will adversely affect polymer chain orientation as well as the solubility of inorganic nanocrystals, thereby affect the power conversion efficiencies (PCEs) of the devices [5, 27]. These drawbacks can largely be circumvented by several alternative strategies, including ligand exchange [27, 28], the use of thiols, poly- and oligothiophenes, and amine-functionalized copolymer surfactants [29–31], employing of thermally cleavable ligands [32] and the synthesis of nanocrystals in conducting polymer solution [33]. Another alternative strategy is in situ generation of the inorganic semiconductor inside the organic material without surfactants or ligands [26, 34, 35]. In the process, a polymer solution containing a well-soluble organometallic precursor of the inorganic nanoparticles is deposited. Because the organometallic precursor is readily soluble in organic media, it can be cast into a thin film together with the polymer from solution. Upon thermal decomposition of the film, the organometallic precursor is converted into inorganic material with the polymer layer, ensuring intimate mixing and concomitantly efficient photo-induced charge transport. In this way, the hybrid active layers can be formed under the condition of no surface ligands and cosolvent. Haque’s group has reported a general method based upon the controlled in situ thermal decomposition of a single-source metal xanthate precursor inside a polymer film [26, 36–40]. Photovoltaic devices based upon such hybrid layers with the configuration of tin-doped indium oxide substrate (ITO)/TiO₂/CdS interface layer/P3HT: CdS/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) doped with polystyrrene sulfonic acid (PEDOT:PSS)/Ag and ITO/TiO₂/CdS interface layer/P3HT: Sb₂S₃/PEDOT:PSS/Ag were shown to exhibit PCEs of 2.17 and 1.29%, respectively [36, 38].

In this article, for the considerations of using the synergistic effects between P3HT:PC₆₁BM-based solar cells and metal sulfide nanocrystals (CdS and Sb₂S₃) as a doped material for offering superior charge mobility and enhancing light absorption, we report the HSCs with the configuration of ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT: PC₆₁BM: x wt.% CdS/MoO₃/Ag and ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT: PC₆₁BM: x wt.% Sb₂S₃/MoO₃/Ag. Here, ITO and Ag were made as cathode and the top anode, while CdS interface layer and MoO₃ were used for electron and hole transporting layers, respectively. CdS or Sb₂S₃ nanocrystals were in situ generated inside the P3HT:PC₆₁BM system by randomly mixing P3HT and PC₆₁BM in the presence or absence of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor. Hybrid active layers (P3HT:PC₆₁BM: x wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC₆₁BM: x wt.% Sb₂S₃) were formed completely by thermally annealing the film resulting in the decomposition of the cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor to CdS or Sb₂S₃ nanocrystals, respectively. The effects of x wt.% CdS (or Sb₂S₃) nanocrystals on the performance of P3HT:PC₆₁BM-based HSCs were studied. And the highest PCEs of 2.91 and 2.92% were obtained for the HSCs with 3 wt.% CdS nanocrystals and 3 wt.% Sb₂S₃ nanocrystals, respectively. UV–Vis absorption, hole mobilities, and surface morphological characterizations of the active layers have been carried out in order to understand the probable reasons for the improvement of the device performance.

Methods/Experimental

Fabrication and Characterization of HSCs

The organic/inorganic HSCs, with device configuration of ITO/CdS interface layer /P3HT:PC₆₁BMx wt.% CdS or Sb₂S₃/MoO₃/Ag were fabricated as follows: firstly, cadmium xanthate precursor (Di(ethylxanthato-κ²SS)bis(pyridine-κN)cadmium(II), Cd(S₂COEt₂)(C₆H₄N)₂, Et = ethyl) and antimony xanthate precursor (Tri(ethylxanthato-κ²SSS')antimony(III), Sb(S₂COEt₃)₃) were prepared respectively following the previously published procedure [26, 38, 39]. Secondly, a patterned ITO-coated glass with a sheet resistance of 10–15 Ω square⁻¹ was cleaned in de-ionized water, acetone, and isopropanol in turn. After that, CdS interface layer (10 nm) was deposited as electron transporting layer following the previously published work [41], from a 100 mg/mL chlorobenzene solution of Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₆H₄N)₂ by spin coating at 6000 rpm for 40 s followed by annealing at 160 °C for 15 min in a nitrogen glove box. The active layer was deposited on top of the CdS interface layer. The pristine P3HT:PC₆₁BM at 1:1 weight-ratio solution in chlorobenzene with the concentration of 17 mg mL⁻¹ of P3HT was prepared. To form the hybrid solution, cadmium xanthate precursor (Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₆H₄N)₂) or antimony xanthate precursor (Sb(S₂COEt₃)₃) were added to the pristine solution (x wt.% CdS or Sb₂S₃ with respect to the weight of P3HT). The active layer was spin-casting from these blend solutions at 600 rpm for 40 s followed by annealing on a hot plate at 160 °C for 30 min in a glove box. In a control experiment, P3HT:PC₆₁BM-only layer (at 1:1 weight-ratio solution in chlorobenzene with the concentration of 17 mg mL⁻¹ of P3HT) without Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₆H₄N)₂ or
Sb(S₂COEt)₃ was also spin-coated and annealed at the same experimental conditions. Then, the samples were transferred into a high vacuum chamber (under vacuum of \(3 \times 10^{-10}\) Pa) to complete the HSCs, where an 8-nm-thick MoO₃ hole collecting layer and a 100-nm-thick Ag anode were thermally evaporated through shadow masks. The thickness of the evaporated cathode was monitored by a quartz crystal thickness/ratio monitor (SI-TM206, Shenyang Science Co.). In addition, each device had an active area of 0.10 cm². All the fabrication processes were carried out inside a controlled atmosphere in a nitrogen drybox (Etelux Co.) containing less than 1 ppm oxygen and moisture.

**Thin Film and Device Characterization**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were measured on a PANalytical X’Pert Pro X-ray diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromatized Cu Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 1.541874\) Å). The accelerating voltage was set at 40 kV with 40 mA flux in the 2θ range of 10–70°. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements of metal xanthate precursor complex were performed on a thermal analysis system (pyris diamond 6300, PerkinElmer) under a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and a nitrogen flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹. UV–Vis absorption measurements of the samples were recorded at room temperature with a U-3900H spectrophotometer (Shanghai Tianmei). The PCEs of the resulting HSCs were measured under 1 sun, AM 1.5G (Air mass 1.5 global) condition using a solar simulator (XES-70S1, San-EI Electric Co.) (100 mW cm⁻²).

**Results and Discussion**

Thermal stabilities of Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₅H₄N)₂ and Sb(S₂COEt)₃ were investigated by TGA firstly, as shown in Fig. 1. Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₅H₄N)₂ begins decomposing at about 50 °C and is complete by 150 °C, the final residual mass (about 25.0%) is close to that of CdS (28.1%), which was also proved in the previous work [41]. Sb(S₂COEt)₃ begins decomposing at about 120 °C and is complete by 160 °C, and the weight remaining (35.8%) corresponds to Sb₂S₃ (35.0%), which is consistent with the earlier work [42].

Thin films were spin coated from chlorobenzene solution of Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₅H₄N)₂ or Sb(S₂COEt)₃ firstly, then were annealed at 160 °C for 30 min. As a result, the yellow or orange thin films were obtained, respectively. In order to characterize the structure properties of the thin films, XRD studies of the annealed films were performed. The XRD patterns of the product are shown in Fig. 2. According to the reference patterns for hexagonal CdS (PDF 41–1049) and cubic CdS (PDF 01–080–0019), it is apparent that the diffraction peaks in Fig. 2a can be indexed to a blend of hexagonal and cubic crystal structure, which were shown above the peaks (h and c indicate the hexagonal and cubic phase, respectively), as described in the previous article [37]. The diffraction peaks in Fig. 2b can be fully indexed to the orthorhombic phase of Sb₂S₃ (cell constants \(a = 11.23\) Å, \(b = 11.31\) Å, \(c = 3.841\) Å; JCPDS card file 42–1393) [43, 44], which is in good agreement with the TG results in Fig. 1.

The surface morphologies of CdS and Sb₂S₃ thin films have also been explored. Additional file 1: Figure S1 presents the morphology evolution of ITO before (Additional file 1: Figure S1a) and after thermal decomposition (160 °C, 15 min) of the chlorobenzene solution of Cd(S₂COEt)₂(C₅H₄N)₂ (Additional file 1: Figure S1b) and Sb(S₂COEt)₃ (Additional file 1: Figure S1c). As described in our previous work [41], it can be seen that the surface of bare ITO shows a densely packed gathering of fine crystals with the grain size of about 10 nm. After thermal decomposition of the chlorobenzene solution of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor, it is apparent that CdS nanocrystal (about 60–100 nm) film or Sb₂S₃ nanocrystal (100–200 nm sized clusters) film is formed on ITO substrate.

In order to study the effect of CdS (or Sb₂S₃) nanocrystals on the performance of P3HT:PC₆₁BM-based HSCs, devices have been fabricated using the structure ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC₆₁BM: x wt.% CdS (or Sb₂S₃)/MoO₃/Ag as shown in Fig. 3a. CdS or Sb₂S₃
nanocrystals were in situ generated inside the P3HT:PC_{61}BM system by randomly mixing P3HT and PC_{61}BM in the presence or absence of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor. Hybrid active layers (P3HT:PC_{61}BM: x wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC_{61}BM: x wt.% Sb_{2}S_{3}) were formed completely by thermally annealing the film causing the cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor decomposed into CdS or Sb_{2}S_{3} nanocrystals, respectively (SEM images of P3HT:PC_{61}BM, P3HT:PC_{61}BM:3 wt.% CdS, and P3HT:PC_{61}BM:3 wt.% Sb_{2}S_{3} films on ITO substrates were shown in Additional file 1: Figure S2). Annealing temperature of 160 °C and annealing time for 30 min were chosen in our experiment in order to make the cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor decompose completely (see the TGA plots of Cd(S_{2}COEt)(C_{5}H_{4}N)_{2} and Sb(S_{2}COEt)_{3} in Fig. 1).

J–V characteristics of the HSCs with different wt.% CdS (or Sb_{2}S_{3}) nanocrystals incorporated into the organic layers are shown in Fig. 3b (or Fig. 3c), and the photovoltaic parameters, including short-circuit current ($J_{sc}$), open-circuit voltage ($V_{oc}$), fill factor (FF), the series resistance ($R_s$), and PCE, are listed in Table 1. All given data are the average values calculated from more than 20 devices. The device without CdS (or Sb_{2}S_{3}) nanocrystals (ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC_{61}BM:MoO_{3}/Ag) showed a $J_{sc}$ of 7.77 mA cm$^{-2}$, a $V_{oc}$ of 0.58 V, a FF of 0.52, and a PCE of 2.34%. With addition of CdS or Sb_{2}S_{3} nanocrystals, it was observed that $V_{oc}$, which is limited by the energy difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level of polymer donor and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level of the acceptor in polymer solar cells [45, 46], remains around 0.58–
0.59 V in all HSCs. This can be understood from the fact that PC61BM is acting as the acceptor and CdS or Sb2S3 might be acting as an electron cascade (the energy band diagram of the materials used in the active layer in the HSCs is shown in Fig. 3d), as mentioned in the previous work [47]. For the HSCs with addition of CdS, \( J_{sc} \) firstly increases from 7.77 to 8.72 mA cm\(^{-2} \) with the increase of CdS from 0 to 3 wt.%, and then decreases to 8.23 mA cm\(^{-2} \) when the mass of CdS further increases from 3 to 7 wt.%. Simultaneously, \( R_S \) reduces obviously from 22.15 \( \Omega \) cm\(^{2} \) (0 wt.% CdS) to 16.70 \( \Omega \) cm\(^{2} \) (3 wt.% CdS), contributing to a remarkable increase of FF from 0.52 to 0.56. As a result, the HSC with 3 wt.% CdS nanocrystals yields the best device performance, providing the PCE of 2.91%. It is worth mentioning here that this value is much higher than the best PCE of 0.95% which Chand’s group has been obtained in the HSCs (ITO/PEDOT:PSS/P3HT:PC61BM:CdS/Al) using CdS nanocrystals fabricated by solution chemistry as one of the components in active layer [48]. The change rules of \( J_{sc} \) and FF in the HSCs with addition of Sb2S3 were similar to that in the HSCs with addition of CdS, except for the more obvious increase of \( J_{sc} \) (from 7.77 to 9.15 mA cm\(^{-2} \)) with the increase of Sb2S3 from 0 to 3 wt.%. Coincidentally, the device with 3 wt.% Sb2S3 nanocrystals also provides the highest PCE of 2.92% with \( J_{sc} \) of 9.15 mA cm\(^{-2} \), \( V_{oc} \) of 0.58 V, FF of 0.54.

Another useful parameter for determining the PCE of the HSCs is the IPCE, which reaches 100% when all incident photons generate electron hole pairs. However, in practical situations, because of losses caused by the reflection of incident photons, imperfect absorption of photons by the semiconductor, and recombination of charge carriers within the semiconductor, IPCE is typically less than 100% [8]. IPCE spectra for the photovoltaic devices based on P3HT:PC61BM, P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS, and P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3 are displayed in Fig. 4a for comparison. Although all IPCE spectra are similar in shape, the IPCE value for the HSCs containing P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS (or P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3) is higher than that for the P3HT:PC61BM in all wavelength (300–650 nm). For example, the photovoltaic device of P3HT:PC61BM was found to have an IPCE maximum near 55% at 540 nm and the IPCEs of the HSCs with P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS and P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3 are 60 and 65% at the same wavelength, respectively.

In order to understand the probable reasons for improvement in the device performance by addition of CdS or Sb2S3 nanocrystals, UV–Vis absorption studies firstly have been carried out on the films of P3HT:PC61BM, P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS, and P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3 with the same thickness. UV-Visible absorption spectra in Fig. 4b show that the absorption of the films addition of CdS or Sb2S3 nanocrystals in P3HT:PC61BM were almost similar with that of P3HT:PC61BM, while absorption of P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3 was obviously higher than that of P3HT:PC61BM. Furthermore, the absorption of P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb2S3 was slightly higher than that of P3HT:PC61BM. That is, the embedding of 3 wt.% CdS or Sb2S3 in P3HT:PC61BM matrix

### Table 1: Photovoltaic properties of the HSCs based on P3HT:PC61BM: CdS or P3HT:PC61BM: Sb2S3 with different wt.% of CdS or Sb2S3 nanocrystals

| Photoactive blend | \( V_{oc} \) (V) | \( J_{sc} \) (mA cm\(^{-2} \)) | FF | PCE (%) | \( R_S \) (\( \Omega \) cm\(^{2} \)) |
|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|----------|------------------|
| 0% CdS or Sb2S3  | 0.58            | 7.77                | 0.52| 2.34     | 22.15             |
| 1% CdS            | 0.58            | 8.12                | 0.53| 2.51     | 19.40             |
| 3% CdS            | 0.59            | 8.72                | 0.56| 2.91     | 16.70             |
| 7% CdS            | 0.59            | 8.23                | 0.54| 2.63     | 20.74             |
| 1% Sb2S3          | 0.58            | 8.97                | 0.53| 2.80     | 19.14             |
| 3% Sb2S3          | 0.58            | 9.15                | 0.54| 2.92     | 17.98             |
| 7% Sb2S3          | 0.58            | 8.65                | 0.54| 2.73     | 18.70             |
properly improved the optical absorption in comparison with P3HT:PC61BM, therefore improving the $J_{SC}$ of the devices.

After that, the hole mobilities of devices based on P3HT:PC61BM, P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS, and P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ were determined by applying the space-charge limited current (SCLC) model [49]. Figure 5 shows $J^{1/2}$–$V$ curves of the hole-only devices (ITO/PEDOT:PSS/P3HT:PC61BM(or P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC61BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$)/MoO$_3$/Ag). The apparent hole mobilities calculated from SCLC model were found to be $4.09 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, $1.53 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and $1.69 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the devices with P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM, P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS, and P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ as the active layer, respectively. Obviously, the hole mobility increases when 3 wt.% CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ embedded in P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM matrix. Studies have shown that in P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM, electron mobility is higher than hole mobility and this carrier imbalance like that is detrimental to photovoltaic performance [9, 50]. The increase in hole mobility of device based on P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ allows more balanced charge transport in the active layer, thus improving the $J_{SC}$ and FF, furthermore improving the PCE of the device, as mentioned in the previous work [9].

The micromorphologies of the active layers were further investigated with AFM in tapping mode to reveal the effects of the addition of CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$. The height images of a pristine P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM film and two ternary films with 3 wt.% CdS and 3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ are shown in Fig. 6. The surface morphology of the P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS and P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ layer show an obvious increase in surface roughness with the root mean square roughness increasing from 2.82 to 8.89 nm and 7.13 nm, respectively. The larger roughness observed for the P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS and P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ film could be a consequence of the presence of the CdS and Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanocrystals in the P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM active layer. The CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanocrystals are thought to serve as a medium for enhancing the interpenetration of P3HT molecules and PC$_{61}$BM in the composite film, leading to superior exciton dissociation. As a result of this superior exciton dissociation, the $J_{SC}$ of the HSCs based on P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS and P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ were increased [51]. On the other hand, the incorporation of CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanocrystals in P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM increases the surface roughness of the film, thus increases the interfacial contact area between the active layer (P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% CdS or P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM:3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$) and the hole transporting layer (MoO$_3$). In this way, a more efficient hole collection at the anode was appeared, which might result in the improved $J_{SC}$ and FF of the devices [52].

Conclusions

In conclusion, as a doped material for offering superior charge mobility and enhancing light absorption, CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanocrystals were in situ generated inside the P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM system by randomly mixing P3HT and PC$_{61}$BM in the presence of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor. The thermal stability of cadmium or antimony xanthate precursor and structure of the CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ films were characterized. The HSCs with the configuration of ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM: x wt.% CdS/MoO$_3$/Ag and ITO/CdS interface layer/P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM: x wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$/MoO$_3$/Ag were fabricated. The effects of x wt.%
CdS (or Sb$_2$S$_3$) nanocrystals on the performance of P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM-based HSCs were studied. It has been proved that incorporation of CdS (or Sb$_2$S$_3$) nanocrystals in the active layer of P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM-based solar cells helps in improving PCEs. And the highest PCEs of 2.91 and 2.92% were obtained for the HSCs with 3 wt.% CdS nanocrystals and 3 wt.% Sb$_2$S$_3$ nanocrystals, respectively. From UV–Vis absorption, hole mobilities, and surface morphological characterizations, our studies have suggested that 3 wt.% CdS or Sb$_2$S$_3$ embedded in P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM matrix improved the optical absorption, the hole mobility and surface roughness in comparison with P3HT:PC$_{61}$BM, thus resulting in the improved PCEs of the devices. The method of in situ generation of inorganic semiconductor nanocrystals inside the organic materials can be applied to design high-efficiency HSCs.
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