A comprehensive introduction to the genetic basis of non-syndromic hearing loss in the Saudi Arabian population
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Abstract

Background: Hearing loss is a clinically and genetically heterogeneous disorder. Mutations in the DFNB1 locus have been reported to be the most common cause of autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss worldwide. Apart from DFNB1, many other loci and their underlying genes have also been identified and the basis of our study was to provide a comprehensive introduction to the delineation of the molecular basis of non-syndromic hearing loss in the Saudi Arabian population. This was performed by screening DFNB1 and to initiate prioritized linkage analysis or homozygosity mapping for a pilot number of families in which DFNB1 has been excluded.

Methods: Individuals from 130 families of Saudi Arabian tribal origin diagnosed with an autosomal recessive non-syndromic sensorineural hearing loss were screened for mutations at the DFNB1 locus by direct sequencing. If negative, genome wide linkage analysis or homozygosity mapping were performed using Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 250K/6.0 Arrays to identify regions containing any known-deafness causing genes that were subsequently sequenced.

Results: Our results strongly indicate that DFNB1 only accounts for 3% of non-syndromic hearing loss in the Saudi Arabian population of ethnic ancestry. Prioritized linkage analysis or homozygosity mapping in five separate families established that their hearing loss was caused by five different known-deafness causing genes thus confirming the genetic heterogeneity of this disorder in the kingdom.

Conclusion: The overall results of this study are highly suggestive that underlying molecular basis of autosomal recessive non-syndromic deafness in Saudi Arabia is very genetically heterogeneous. In addition, we report that the preliminary results indicate that there does not seem to be any common or more prevalent loci, genes or mutations in patients with autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss in patients of Saudi Arabian tribal origin.

Background

Deafness, the inability to hear, is the most common sensory deficit in human populations with both genetic and environmental etiologies. It is estimated that it affects 1 in 1000 child births of which approximately 60% cases are attributed to genetic factors [1]. Hearing impairment is clinically and genetically heterogeneous. Impaired auditory function can be the only clinical manifestation (non-syndromic forms of deafness) or can be associated with other symptoms or anomalies (syndromic forms of deafness). It has been estimated that at least 300 human protein-coding genes are involved in the hearing process [2]. During the last decade, many deafness loci and the underlying genes have been identified at a rapid rate.

The main pattern of inheritance in severe childhood deafness is autosomal recessive (over 75%) while autosomal dominant (12-24%), X-linked (1-3%) and mitochondrial is also involved [3]. In general, recessive deafness is
presenting 85 recessive deafness (ARNSHL) is the most frequent cause of hereditary deafness. Effect is observed for recessively inherited cases of mon, like in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The same populations where consanguineous marriages are common, like in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The same effect is observed for recessively inherited cases of deafness.

Autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss (ARNSHL) is the most frequent cause of hereditary deafness and often exhibits the most severe hearing phenotype. Presently, 85 recessive deafness (DFNB) loci have been registered and 35 of the corresponding genes have been identified as documented on the Hereditary Hearing Loss Homepage http://hereditaryhearingloss.org. Interestingly, even though a substantial number of genes are known to cause ARNSHL, mutations at the first identified DFNB1 locus, account for up to 50% of all cases with this diagnosis in various populations. DFNB1 contains the GJB2 and GJB6 genes that respectively code for connexin 26 (C×26) and connexin 30 (C×30), which are gap junction binding proteins, most abundantly expressed in the cochlea. Although over 100 mutations have been reported in GJB2 (The Connexin-deafness homepage: http://davinci.crg.es/deafness), a single mutation, c.35delG, is the most common cause of ARNSHL and can account for up to 85% of DFNB1 in various populations [5]. DFNB1 also harbors the second most common ARNSHL causing mutation, a 309 kb deletion of GJB6, often found in trans with mutations in GJB2, giving rise to a digenic inheritance of this form of hereditary hearing loss.

In view of the extensive studies conducted worldwide, the major objective of this study was to begin to comprehensively delineate the genetic basis of ARNSHL in individuals of Saudi Arabian origin. To achieve this objective, individuals segregating with severe to profound, non-syndromic congenital deafness with an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance were identified from different cities of Saudi Arabia and initially screened for mutations encompassing the DFNB1 locus.

The second aim was to initiate prioritized linkage analysis (in families of three or more affected individuals) and homozygosity mapping (in families with two affected sib-pair individuals) of other known deafness loci for families in which DFNB1 has been excluded. This further bi-directional approach was necessary due to the vast heterogeneity and complexity of the number of genes known and unknown causing hereditary deafness. In this study, using SNP-based genotyping arrays, candidate genes were selected and screened in the chromosomal region that generated the highest LOD score (multipoint linkage analysis) or in the largest region of homozygosity shared by the affected sib-pairs that was not seen in the parents or unaffected siblings if applicable. This genome-wide homozygosity mapping analysis approach was used in this study in particular, as it assumes that individuals affected with an autosomal recessive disease, born from parents of a consanguineous marriage are very likely to be homozygous for the pathogenic mutation and for a substantial number of SNPs surrounding it [6].

The overall results of this study are highly suggestive that underlying molecular basis of autosomal recessive non-syndromic deafness in Saudi Arabia is very genetically heterogeneous. In addition, we report that from the results of our pilot study there does not seem to be any common or more prevalent loci, genes or mutations in patients with ARNSHL originating from the kingdom.

Methods
Subjects
All of the individuals (patients and family members) who participated in this study provided an approved informed consent form, which adhered to institutional (King Faisal Specialist Hospital; RAC# 2040039) and international guidelines as outlined by the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical evaluation
Detailed medical histories were obtained for all of the enrolled affected individuals regarding time and age of onset of hearing loss (pre-lingual, post-lingual), severity of hearing loss (mild, moderate or profound) and in order to exclude syndromic abnormalities, environmental causes for hearing loss and an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern of hearing loss. Pure tone audiometry tests for air and bone conduction were performed on all affected individuals. Evaluation of vestibular and ocular function was performed to exclude suspected forms of syndromic deafness.

Sample Collection and DNA Extraction
Whole blood samples (10 ml) for molecular genetic analysis were obtained from affected patients, their parents and unaffected siblings (if available). Genomic extraction of DNA was performed using the standard salting-out method [7].

Mutation Detection in GJB2 and GJB6
Mutation screening by direct dideoxy chain-termination sequencing of the entire coding region of PCR generated amplicons (using standard PCR conditions) was performed for both the GJB2 and GJB6 genes in all affected individuals in the study using an ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions and processed on a MegaBACE 1000 DNA Analysis System (Molecular Dynamics;
Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Sequence analysis was performed using the SeqMan 6.1 module of the Lasergene (DNA Star Inc. WI, USA) software package, then compared to the reference GenBank sequence (accession number: GJB2; NM_004004 and GJB6; NM_001110219). Numbering commenced with the A of the ATG initiation codon as +1.

**Linkage analysis**

SNP-based genotyping was performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Mapping 250 K and 6.0 Arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) as per the user’s manual. The genotypes of SNPs were called using Affymetrix GCOS 1.4 software, which generated an overall average SNP call rate of 97% and were further sorted per chromosome and by physical position. The Allegro module of the Easy Linkage software package was used to calculate multipoint logarithm of odds (LOD) scores, with the parameters that assume a disease model with autosomal-recessive mode of inheritance with 100% penetrance and a disease allele frequency of 0.0001.

The resulting multipoint linkage analysis of the affected patients, their unaffected siblings, and their parents which resulted in the highest maximum LOD score was investigated. Any known deafness causing gene(s) located in this interval were assumed the likely disease-affected patients, their unaffected siblings, and their parents confirmed consanguineous marriages and that sporadic cases. Initially as a pilot study, patients from five families that were enrolled in the study had 2 or more affected individuals, the remaining being made up of sporadic cases. As previously reported by researchers using this approach [8], it is advantageous to identify and initially screen candidate genes present in the largest region of homozygosity shared between affected family members, however this was not true in all families that were analyzed in this manner.

**Homozygosity mapping**

The resulting SNP-based genotyping data (as in Linkage Analysis) was analyzed to detect regions of homozygosity using CNAG 3.0 and GTConsole Version 3.0.1 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) software packages. Conventionally, regions of homozygosity are defined as fragments where SNPs are homozygous for a stretch of consecutive alleles in affected individuals and heterozygous or homozygous for the other allele in unaffected members of the same family. As previously reported by researchers using this approach [8], it is advantageous to identify and initially screen candidate genes present in the largest region of homozygosity shared between affected family members, however this was not true in all families that were analyzed in this manner.

**Mutation Detection in MYO15A, TMPRSS3, TRIC, LHFPL5, TMC1**

After linkage and homozygosity mapping analysis, genomic DNA from relevant individuals was amplified using standard PCR conditions with intronic primers that were designed to flank (50-100 bp) each of coding exons of MYO15A, TMPRSS3, TRIC, LHFPL5 and TMC1 as mentioned previously (primer sequences and conditions are available on request).

**RT-PCR**

To determine the effect of the novel splice-acceptor site mutation (IVS12-1 g>c) in family KT1 with respect to mRNA splicing, a fragment comprising exon 11 and 12 of TMPRSS3 was amplified using RT-PCR with mRNA isolated from peripheral blood lymphocytes. Preparation of the cDNA was carried out using the iScript™ cDNA synthesis kit and random primers (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA). One microgram of total RNA from the patient and a normal control was reverse transcribed using an aliquot of a reverse transcription reaction containing 1 × PCR buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, and 0.5 U AMV reverse transcriptase (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). 10 μl of cDNA was amplified by PCR using the 5’ primer (TCC AAC AAG ATC TGC AAC CA) and the 3’ primer (ATC CAG TCC AGG AAG GAG GT) using standard conditions. PCR products were then evaluated on 2% agarose gel and were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and then sequenced as described above.

**Results**

GJB2 and GJB6 were screened from affected individuals with pre-lingual severe to profound ARNSHL from a total of 130 families, which included both multiple affected and sporadic cases. DFNB1 mutations were identified in only 4 of the 130 families. Patients from two of the families were homoallelic for the common c.35delG mutation in GJB2. One patient was homozygous for the R32H mutation and the fourth patient was homozygous for W77R, both of which have been previously reported in GJB2 (Table 1). No other mutations have been found in GJB2 or GJB6 (including the 309-kb deletion) in this largest cohort of patients from this population. Therefore, our results show that mutations in DFNB1 account for only 3% of ARNSHL in patients of Saudi Arabian tribal ethnicity.

**Linkage analysis and homozygosity mapping in 5 Families with ARNSHL**

The exclusion of DFNB1 as a major cause of ARNSHL allowed us to accelerate our efforts with respect to linkage analysis and homozygosity mapping. 70 of the 130 families that were enrolled in the study had 2 or more affected individuals, the remaining being made up of sporadic cases. Initially as a pilot study, patients from five families were selected for analysis on the basis that the parents confirmed consanguineous marriages and that the non-syndromic hearing loss was consistent with a
A recessive mode of inheritance. Two families of 3 affected individuals were subjected to LOD score calculation by linkage analysis and the remaining 3 families with two affected individuals were analyzed by homozygosity mapping (Figure 1). Multipoint linkage analysis of the first family generated a LOD score of 2.5 on chromosome 17p12-p11.2 in which MYO15A was selected as a candidate within a 6.0 Mb linkage interval. Similar analysis on the second family resulted in a maximum LOD score of 2.7 (Figure 1) and identified a disease locus on chromosome 21q22.3, which spanned approximately 1.5 Mb. TMPRSS3 was selected as a potential candidate in this region. Homozygosity mapping analysis in the remaining 3 sibships generated multiple regions of homozygosity that was shared only among affected individuals. But as previously discussed, any known DFNB genes that were located in the longest stretch of homozygosity were chosen for sequencing in the respective family. On this basis, TRIC, LHFPL5, and TMC1 were selected to be directly sequenced from the genomic DNA

| FAMILY/SIBSHIPS | MODE OF ANALYSIS | REGION OF INTEREST | GENE OF INTEREST | NUCLEOTIDE CHANGE | PROTEIN CHANGE | REFERENCE |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| NSHD28/69       | DFNB1 SCREENING  | NA                  | GJB2            | c.35delG          | Frameshift     | [10]      |
| NSHD74          | DFNB1 SCREENING  | NA                  | GJB2            | 95 G>A            | p.R32H         | [11]      |
| KT7             | DFNB1 SCREENING  | NA                  | GJB2            | c.229T>C          | p.W77R         | [12]      |
| SS16            | Linkage (LOD SCORE) | Chr 17p12-q11.2     | MYO15A          | c.1047C>A         | p.Y349X        | This study |
| KT1             | Linkage (LOD SCORE) | Chr 21q22.2-q22.3   | TMPRSS3         | IVS12-1 g>c       | Splicing error | This study |
| TA12            | Homozygosity (GT console) | Chr 5q11.2-q13.3   | TRIC            | c.1498C>T         | p.R500X        | [13]      |
| SS3             | Homozygosity (GT console) | Chr 6p24.3-p12.3   | LHFPL5          | c.1A>G            | p.M1V          | [8]       |
| SS17            | Homozygosity (CNAG) | Chr 9p13.3-q21.13  | TMC1            | c.100C>T          | p.R34K         | [14]      |

Figure 1: Family pedigrees, linkage analysis/homozygosity mapping, and mutation data. A) Pedigrees of five Saudi families segregating with autosomal recessive prelingual profound hearing loss. B) Linkage analysis showing LOD scores of 3.3 on chromosome 17 and 2.7 on chromosome 21 for families SS16 and KT1, indicating linkage to MYO15A and TMPRSS3 genes, respectively. Homozygosity mapping with GT console is shown for TRIC and LHFPL5 as genes of interest for families TA12 and SS3, respectively. For family SS17, homozygosity mapping with CNAG shows the region of homozygosity on chromosome 9 where TMC1 resides. C) Sequencing chromatogram of wild type, heterozygous and mutant alleles of respective deafness genes for a normal control, a carrier and affected member of each of the families.
of all members in the particular family that affected patients were homozygous for the interval containing the applicable gene.

**Mutation Screening in MYO15A, TMPRSS3, TRIC, LHFPL5, TMC1**

Direct sequencing in both the forward and reverse directions of each candidate gene that the respective affected siblings linked to, revealed the presence of homozygous mutations in all of the five genes (Figure 1). The mutations segregated with the disease phenotype where applicable, i.e. parents were heterozygous carriers for the mutation and unaffected siblings were either heterozygous carriers or wild-type normal. Two of the five mutations found were novel (Table 1). Neither of the novel mutations were found in 300 ethnically matched normal controls, which indicated that these variants were not population-based polymorphisms.

RT-PCR products were utilized to establish the effect of the novel splice-acceptor site mutation (IVS12-1 g>c) in the family KT1 with respect to mRNA splicing in TMPRSS3. Sequencing results provided the evidence that the patients which are homozygous for a novel intronic splice-acceptor site mutation shows the activation of cryptic splice site 8 bp downstream in the exon 12 leading to a frameshift and incorporation of faulty amino acids and hence confirms that this mutation does indeed affect normal splicing and had a major deleterious impact on the structure of the TMPRSS3 mRNA (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Our results strongly indicate that DFNB1 only accounts for 3% of ARNSHL in the Saudi population (Table 1). This percentage is significantly lower than a recent study by Al-Qahtani et al [9] who reported that the total mutations in GJB2 account for 15.59% in their cohort of patients from the western province of Saudi Arabia. However, this number is most likely elevated compared to our results as they reported GJB2 mutation findings on patients of different ethnic origins, which they also suggest may be a consequence of migration and settlement in the geographical area of where their study was conducted. All of the patients in this study were of Saudi Arabian tribal origin and therefore, we believe that 3% is a more accurate estimation of the contribution of DFNB1 in ARNSHL in individuals ethnically originating from the Kingdom.

Three of the five mutations identified by direct sequencing of known deafness genes in the candidate regions identified by linkage analysis/homozygosity mapping in 5 families in which DFNB1 had been excluded have been reported previously (Table 1). The novel nonsense mutation detected in MYO15A in family SS16 results in the premature truncation at amino acid position 349 located in the first domain (N-terminal extension) of the myosin XVα protein, which is normally comprised of 3530 amino acids. The second novel mutation, a splice-acceptor site disruption (IVS12-1 g>c) in the TMPRSS3 gene in family KT1 was confirmed by RT-PCR to cause abnormal splicing by activation of a cryptic splice site resulting in a frameshift and incorporation of faulty amino acids in this serine protease.

Consanguineous families are a powerful resource for genetic linkage studies of recessively inherited hearing impairment. So far, family based analyses have proven that this methodology is successful and the results have shown that the hearing loss in five separate families was caused by five different genes (Table 1), thus tentatively confirming the already well-established finding of genetic heterogeneity in ARNSHL worldwide is in fact analogous in the Saudi population. From the combined approach and data of the current study, it is not possible to exclude the possibility of more prevalent ARNSHL-causing genes in this population that have not yet been identified. However, it is pertinent to highlight that this
is a pilot study and the findings discussed here will be validated by our future direction once results are obtained from whole exome/genome sequencing of our large number of families with a single affected proband that are not amenable to analysis using the same linkage and homozygosity mapping methodology detailed in this preliminary report.

Conclusion

In conclusion, DFNB1 is a very minor cause of ARNSHL in individuals of Saudi Arabian tribal origin. By using the effective bi-directional approach of linkage analysis and homozygosity mapping that we have initiated, we will be able to identify the most common forms of hereditary hearing loss, their incidence and distribution in the Saudi population. The benefit of this study will hopefully provide the foundation for knowledge and awareness through screening of carrier status and genetic counselling, thereby having a major impact upon early intervention for and prevention of hereditary hearing loss.
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