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INTRODUCTION
The access to electricity in the aftermath of natural disasters especially floods and typhoons can be prolonged, thus devices that can store energy indefinitely and generate electricity on demand are highly sought after. While most batteries could be a solution to this issue, they self-discharge considerably overtime. Therefore, one of the many ways to address this self-discharge issue is by separating the electrolyte from the battery cell during storage. Nonetheless, the electrode of this electrolyte activated battery should be stable in air, easily activated with electrolyte, long-lasting, low self-corrosion, light-weighted, affordable, disposable and recyclable (Ilya et al. 2017; Mokhtar et al. 2015). The basic reaction of a battery is the exchange of electrons between cathode and anode electrodes. The cathode is where the reduction occurs whereas oxidation takes place at anode. It is also applicable to electrolyte activated batteries.

Among the different metals, aluminum-based electrode is a good candidate for this type of battery because it is light, a high negative standard potential of 1.676 V vs. SHE, high theoretical energy density of 8076 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) (Pino et al. 2015), and abundant in the Earth crust (third most abundant element after O and Si) (Raptis...
et al. 2018). In addition to that, it is low production cost and easy recycling processes have contributed to price stability and affordability (Pino et al. 2016). The 6000 group of aluminum alloys contain magnesium and silicon as major alloying elements and is widely used for automotive and aerospace structures due to their good extrudability, weldability and excellent corrosion resistance. Aluminum 6061 is a typical alloy of this group and contains Mg–Si–Cu alloying elements. The high level of alloying elements added to increase the strength, leads to the formation of large constituent intermetallic particles during casting. These particles are too large to be greatly affected by subsequent thermomechanical processing (Nikseresht et al. 2010). Aluminum alloy reinforcement with SiC, report lower corrosion resistance for the composites compared to matrix alloys (Wang et al. 2014a). On the other hand, aqueous electrolyte is used as an ionic conductor and activator because of its availability, fluidity and high ionic conductivity (Mokhtar et al. 2018, 2015). For instance, salt water (Abdulrehman et al. 2015; Deng et al. 2016; Hongyang et al. 2009; Kim et al. 2019, 2016; Kobashi et al. 2009; Li et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2017; Pino et al. 2015; Shi et al. 2017a, 2017b; Tang et al. 2018; Vuorilehto et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2014b; Wen et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2015) is one of the most commonly used electrolytes in this type of battery. Nonetheless, aluminum formed an oxide film on the surface when in contact with an aqueous solution, preventing the active dissolution of Al and thus less energy can be extracted from the electrochemical reaction (Pino et al. 2016).

This paper provides a detailed study on the corrosion resistance and discharge performance of Al anode with respect to salt water, urea and water at different discharge currents. These electrolytes were selected because they are easily available in every household and more relevant to be used for power generation during natural disaster. The discharged performance and corrosion behaviours were investigated by varying the discharged current to simulate its usage in different small appliances.

**Materials and Methods**

**Electrodes and Materials**

Aluminum alloys (Al 6061) with the thickness of 2 mm are cut into 3 × 3 cm² area and used as the anode materials. The samples were polished with emery paper (grade 200-800) and then cleaned with distilled water and acetone. The cathode was prepared by grinding the manganese (IV) oxide (MnO₂) powder together with carbon black and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in the ratio of 15:4:1 by weight. Next, N-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone (NMP) solution was added to the powder mixture to produce a medium viscous paste. Subsequently, the MnO₂ composite paste was loaded on the nickel foam. Three different electrolytes in a 100 mL volumetric flask were prepared: 1 M of NaCl solution (R&M Malaysia), 1 M of urea solution (R&M Malaysia) and distilled water.

**Microstructure Characterization**

To investigate the microstructure of the experimental alloys before and after galvanostatic discharge test, a Scanning Electron Microscope (Carl Zeiss VP-SEM EVO MA 10) instrument was utilized. The chemical composition of the corroded products on the alloy surface were characterized and mapped via energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) after performing the discharge measurement at different current discharge.

**Electrochemical Tests**

The polarization curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of experimental alloy anodes were measured with a Metrohm Autolab (NOVA) system in a three-electrode system, comprising of a working electrode, a counter electrode and a reference electrode (Silver chloride). All acquired data was processed with the Nova 1.10 software. The electrodes were immersed in the electrolyte for 5 min to stabilize the electrodes and to allow good contact between electrodes and electrolyte before the measurement of polarization curves. Polarization curves were recorded in the potential range of -0.5 to +1.0 V. The cut-off potential for all cases was set at 0.0 V. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is an effective method to investigate the discharge behavior and corrosion mechanism of aluminum anode. The EIS results were fitted with an equivalent circuit in a Nyquist plot using the Nova software. Similarly to the measurement of the polarization curve, the electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) measurements were recorded after immersing the electrodes in electrolytes for 5 min. The perturbation potential of 5 mV with a frequency range from 0.01-100 kHz was used in this measurement. Finally, a single cell with Al as the anode, MnO₂ as the cathode and gauze swab as the separator, was assembled for discharge performance measurement with a galvanometer. The effect of discharge current (0.001, 0.01, and 1 mA) was investigated.

**Results and Discussion**

**Morphology and Microstructure of Aluminum Anode**

Figure 1 shows the morphology and microstructural changes of aluminum anode before (a) and after the discharge test in different electrolytes at different discharge currents (b-j). The respective EDX element mappings were taken and reported in Figure 2, where 'K' represents the K x-ray photon generated by the electron transitions from the L-shell to the K-shell. Both Figures 1 and 2 are complimentary to each other. Various forms of corrosion morphology were formed on the surface of the alloys upon the discharge test. It can be seen that the corrosion products increased with discharge current, except for the samples in urea electrolyte. Depending on
the electrolyte, the corrosion mechanism of aluminum anode is a competition between self-corrosion and dissolution of anode into electrolyte. Generally, self-corrosion is more dominant at low discharge current and more prone to pitting corrosion.

The fundamental reactions of the corrosion of aluminum are shown in (1), (2) and (3).

Oxidation at the anode:

\[ 2\text{Al} \rightarrow 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 6\text{e}^- \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Reduction at the cathode:

\[ \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow 6\text{OH}^- \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

or

\[ 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow 3\text{H}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Aluminum corrosion results in the formation of alumina \( \text{Al(OH)}_3 \), which is insoluble in water and precipitates as a white gel. The overall reaction of pitting corrosion on aluminum is represented by (4) (Mroczkowska et al. 2019):

\[ 2\text{Al} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \frac{3}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_3 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

At a low discharge current of 0.001 mA, sample in water electrolyte shown in Figure 1(b) formed a
A protective layer consists of aluminum hydroxide and aluminum oxide (Leisegang et al. 2019) as described in (5), where the corrosion products were granular and small. The corrosion products in the form of oxide and hydroxide corresponded with the EDX results in Figure 2(b), where oxygen were distributed evenly on the aluminum surface. It is noted that EDX technique is unable to distinguish the oxide and hydroxide products due to the low detectability of hydrogen with X-ray. This observation may be caused by random but uniform nucleation on the surface as well as slow growth rate of corrosion products at low current, which allows the corrosion products to attach and crystalline on top of each other. At a higher discharge current, the corrosion rate is higher which leads to the formation of uneven corrosion products on the anode surface caused by the detachment of corrosion film (Zhang et al. 2017), exposing more new surfaces for further corrosion as shown in Figure 2(d), where the elemental distribution of Al was slightly increased.

$$\text{Al} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_3 + 3\text{H}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

On the other hand, the corrosion of sample in salt water electrolyte was contributed by both hydroxide and chloride ions. When aluminum is wetted with salt water, corrosion happens initially. At low discharge current, more oxygen content is consumed due to the dissolution and precipitation of aluminum. Unexpectedly, the concentration of chloride ion on the anode surface is low at low discharge current, indicating the corrosion at this discharge current is predominately self-corrosion. Nevertheless, chloride ion is predominantly responsible for the corrosion of aluminum in salt water at higher discharge current because the presence of aggressive chloride ions leads to the initiation and development of pitting corrosion and cause the dissolution of the Al(OH)$_3$ film when adsorbed at electrode surface, as can be seen in both Figure 2(e) and 2(f), where the concentration of Na and Cl was detected on the anode surface was high. The presence of aggressive chloride ions leads to the initiation and development of pitting corrosion and causes the dissolution of the Al(OH)$_3$ film (Shi et al. 2017a; Wen et al. 2016) as can be seen from Figure 1(e) to 1(f).

Interestingly, the samples in urea electrolyte started with severe pitting corrosion at low discharge current as shown in Figure 1(h) and shifting to the least corroded surface at high discharge current in Figure 1(j). While the nitrate ions should passivate the anode surface at a high concentration of urea (1M) (Starostin et al. 2016), it seems that the nitrate ions penetrate into the aluminum anode at a low discharge current. One of the possibilities would be low discharge current results in the slow formation of the passive film on the surface, which then allows more time for the nitrate ions to further diffuse into the anode to propagate the self-corrosion and pitting effect. While at higher discharge current, the fast formation of a uniform passive film reduces the site-specific attacked by nitrate ions and chloride ions. This hypothesis was confirmed with the high concentration of oxygen around the corrosion pits as shown in Figure 2(h), which may be contributed by the nitrate ions and oxide ions. At higher discharge current, the micrographs in Figure 1(i) and 1(j) showed that a smooth and uniform layer of passive layer was formed on the anode surface with some excess small crystalline oxide particles attached to it.
Figure 3 shows the polarization curves of aluminum alloys tested in water, salt water, and urea. All these curves composed of two branches, which are the cathodic branch and the anodic branch; and their shapes are not symmetrical. The corrosion potential, cathodic Tafel slope, corrosion current density and corrosion rate obtained from the polarization measurement are summarized in Table 1.

The corrosion potentials of aluminum alloy in water, salt water and urea are -1.2685, -0.64843, and -0.91211 V, respectively. The corrosion potential of aluminum alloy in salt water is more positive than the rest of the samples, one may be misled to conclude that aluminum anode in salt-water should be the most corrosion-resistant. However, the corrosion potential is the measurement of the thermodynamic driving force for the anode to dissolve into a solution (Brantley et al. 2017). Although the corrosion potential maybe large, the severity of corrosion is very much affected by the corrosion kinetic (Ropital et al. 2011). Therefore, the corrosion of aluminum anode in salt water is more severe and at a higher rate, judging from its corrosion rate of 0.1928 mm/year, which is at least 20-fold higher and 200-fold higher than that of aluminum in urea and water, respectively. The Tafel slope is defined using (6), in which $n$ is the value of the cathodic potential minus the corrosion potential, $a_c$ is the intercept, $b_c$ is the Tafel slope for the cathodic branch, and $J$ is the corresponding cathodic current density (Shi et al. 2017a; Wen et al. 2016). Due to the fact that the positive and negative signs of a current density in a potentiostat represent the state of reactions only, the modulus value of current density was used in the calculation.

$$n = a_c + b_c \log |J|$$

(6)
The corrosion current densities of aluminum in different electrolytes show a similar trend, where aluminum in salt water with a corrosion current density of $1.6594 \times 10^{-5}$ A.cm$^{-2}$ is at least 2-fold higher and 20-fold that of aluminum in urea and water, respectively. Such low corrosion rate of aluminum anode in water is expected to reduce the extractable energy significantly because the combination of dissolved $\text{Al}^{3+}$ and $\text{OH}^-$ form a protective precipitate, $\text{Al(OH)}_3$, on anode surface (as shown in Figure 1), inhibiting the anode from corrosion and dissolution (Wen et al. 2016). The corrosion rate is obtained from the test. The discharge behavior will be further confirmed and discussed in the galvanostatic discharge section.

![FIGURE 3. Polarization curve of commercial aluminum alloy anode and manganese dioxide cathode using water, salt water and urea solution as electrolytes](image)

**TABLE 1.** Corrosion parameters of aluminum alloys tested in water, salt water and urea obtained from polarization measurements

| Electrolyte   | Corrosion potential (V) | Tafel slope, $b_c$ (m.V.dec$^{-1}$) | Corrosion current density, $J_{corr}$ (A.cm$^{-2}$) | Corrosion rate (mm/year) |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Water         | -1.2685                 | 0.59468                             | $6.4807 \times 10^{-7}$                        | 0.0009                   |
| Salt water    | -0.64843                | 0.13189                             | $1.6594 \times 10^{-5}$                        | 0.1928                   |
| Urea          | -0.91211                | 0.48703                             | $7.4677 \times 10^{-6}$                        | 0.0075                   |

**ELECTROCHEMICAL IMPEDANCE SPECTROSCOPY**

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurement is performed to investigate the discharge behavior and corrosion mechanism of aluminum anode via fitting its Nyquist plot with an equivalent circuit model, as shown in Figure 4. The equivalent circuit consists of a solution resistance ($R_s$), which is the intercept on the x-axis at the higher frequencies in series of two parallel circuits, i.e. a charge transfer resistance ($R_t$) in parallel to a constant phase angle double layer ($\text{CPE}_{dl}$) and a film resistance ($R_f$) in parallel to a film capacitance ($\text{CPE}_{f}$) (Abdulrehman et al. 2015). The parallel connection $R_f$
and CPE\text{dl} are corresponding to the diameter of large capacitive loop in the high frequency region of Nyquist plot in Figure 5 (Wen et al. 2016). Whereas, the \( R_t \) and CPE\text{f} at the low frequency region are associated with the corrosion film. Constant phase angle double layer (CPE\text{dl}) was used instead of capacitance double layer to accommodate the inhomogeneity in electrochemical system. The total of these resistances is the polarization resistance, \( R_p \), which is represented in (7) (Starostin et al. 2016).

\[
R_p = R_s + R_t + R_f
\]

(7)

The fitted parameters are listed in Table 2. Polarization resistance of aluminum anode in salt water is the lowest among all, which indicates that the overall corrosion resistivity of this electrode-electrolyte combination is the lowest. A further analysis into two main resistance components that are responsible for the corrosion mechanism of the anode, both \( R_t \) and \( R_f \) have a similar trend where the values of each resistance is in the order of aluminum in water > urea > salt water. The trend of \( R_t \) components, which are the interface resistivity between the electrode and electrolyte, is in agreement with the reciprocal of corrosion current density, where the lower the charge transfer resistivity, the higher corrosion current density is, hence the higher the corrosion rate is. Meanwhile, the \( R_f \) components also share the similar trend as that of \( R_t \) components, suggesting that the dissolubility of inhibitor film on the aluminum surface is in the order of water > urea > salt water. In addition, the CPE\text{f} values can also be correlated to the film thickness of protection film (Kushima et al. 2015) which includes two parameters \( Y_{dl} \) and \( n \) as shown in (8). According to software, the iteration was converge and the \( \chi^2 \) values showed less than 0.01, indicating the model was well fitted with the measurements. Thus, the data is valid to make an equivalent circuit. The low \( C_{dl} \) value for the aluminum alloy indicates the formation of relatively thick and dense protective film on the alloy surface. As expected, the aluminum alloy tested in salt water obtains the highest \( C_{dl} \) value and aluminum alloy tested in water obtains the lowest value. In the present study, it is also seen that increasing \( R_t \) is accompanied by the reduction of \( C_{dl} \) due to the totally different corrosion behaviors (Yu et al. 2015). The parallel connection of CPE\text{dl} and \( R_f \) is employed to illustrate the high-frequency capacitive loop, and CPE\text{f} normally includes two parameters, i.e. \( Y_{dl} \) and \( n \) (0 < \( n \) < 1). The constant phase angle element (CPE) is introduced to describe the non-ideal capacitive behavior, and it can also compensate the nonhomogeneity in electrochemical system (Yu et al. 2015). The parameter \( Y \) can be converted into the capacitance via (8), in which \( \omega_m \) is the angular frequency as the imaginary part (\( Z_{im} \)) reaches a maximum value. A larger CPE\text{f} value indicates the inhibitor film on electrode surface is thinner, hence has a poor corrosion inhibition. The values of \( R_f \) that are larger than \( R_t \) also indicate that all three electrode-electrolyte combinations are predominantly by activation-controlled corrosion (Wen et al. 2016).

\[
C = Y \cdot (\omega_m)^{n-1}
\]

(8)

Similarly, the parallel connection of \( R_f \) and CPE\text{f} is used to model the low-frequency capacitive loop, which is a result of the protection film on the electrode surface (Feng et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2015). In addition, (9) was employed to calculate the time constant (\( \tau \)) (Wang et al. 2014a).

\[
\tau = C \cdot R
\]

(9)

![FIGURE 4. Equivalent circuit for fitting EIS of the aluminum alloy tested in different electrolytes](image)
TABLE 2. Electrochemical parameters of aluminum alloys tested in water, salt water and urea obtained by fitting the electrochemical impedance spectra

| Electrolyte | $R_s$ (Ω) | $R_f$ (Ω) | $\text{CPE}_{\text{dl}}$ (Ω$^{-1}$. cm$^2$. s) | $\text{CPE}_{\text{dl}}$ (Ω$^{-1}$. cm$^2$. s) | $\chi^2$ |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Water       | 492.77    | 154.91    | $2.933 \times 10^{-7}$          | 1.003                           | 394.25 | 0.00455 |
| Salt water  | 594.46    | 34.70     | $2.3326 \times 10^{-5}$         | 0.997                           | 209.92 | 0.00765 |
| Urea        | 593.01    | 51.55     | $2.0527 \times 10^{-6}$         | 1.001                           | 227.57 | 0.00957 |

FIGURE 5. Nyquist plots after immersion of different electrolytes

GALVANOSTATIC DISCHARGE

The discharge performance illustrated in Figure 6, was measured on a single cell at different discharged currents, which are (a) at open circuit potential (OCP, i.e. 0 A), (b) 0.001 mA, (c) 0.01 mA, and (d) 1 mA, and the corresponding discharge parameters are listed in Table 3. The measurement OCP was to identify the initiation and propagation of corrosion in different electrolytes (Li et al. 2016; Tang et al. 2019). The average OCP values shifted to a lower value in the rank of salt water < urea < water. The discharge curve of water electrolyte fluctuates throughout the measurement because of the unsteadiness between dissolution of Al and formation of corrosion products on Al surface. Contrary, both urea and salt water exhibited a considerably stable and enhanced active dissolution because the free ions in the electrolytes promote the dissolving-reprecipitation mechanism. This mechanism can be deduced from the observation of EDX in Figure 2, particularly the chlorine elements. Unfortunately, light element such as nitrogen is not obvious with x-ray measurement.

As a whole, the average discharge potential of all electrode-electrolyte combinations at 0.001 mA, except aluminum-salt water, decreased when the discharge current is increased to 0.1 mA and slightly increased when the discharge current is further increased to 1 mA. The general decrement of discharge potential values at higher discharge current is because of the internal resistance, where part of the potential energy from the anode is converted into heat during discharge and formation of corrosion products. It should be noted that the higher the discharge potential value (larger overpotential) is, the stronger the discharge activity (Kushima et al. 2015; Starostin et al. 2016). In other words, a stronger discharge activity leads to higher utilization efficiency (Deng et al. 2016). Electrode-electrolyte combination that has a higher utilization efficiency,
provides more electrons per unit mass to generate current when used as the anode for an electrolyte-activated battery (Wen et al. 2016), which is an important feature for Al as an anode for electrolyte-activated battery. Hence, it can be concluded that the aluminum alloy tested in water and urea exhibited better discharge performance.

### TABLE 3. Galvanostatic discharge parameters of experimental alloys using different electrolytes

| Electrolyte  | Discharge current (mA) | Starting potential (mV) | Average potential (mV) | Open circuit voltage (mV) |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Water        | 0.001                   | 895.9                   | 744.3                   | -                        |
|              | 0.01                    | 759.2                   | 716.1                   | -                        |
|              | 1                       | 797.9                   | 729.4                   | 635.9                    |
|              | 0.001                   | 578.4                   | 598.9                   | -                        |
| Urea         | 0.01                    | 1016.2                  | 586.5                   | -                        |
|              | 1                       | 1207.8                  | 651.6                   | 609.2                    |
|              | 0.001                   | 856.2                   | 357.4                   | -                        |
| Salt water   | 0.01                    | 974                     | 385.9                   | -                        |
|              | 1                       | 614.4                   | 607                     | 554.7                    |
CONCLUSION

In this work, the effects of electrolyte and discharge current of aluminum alloy (Al 6061) anode in an electrolyte activated battery were investigated. The microstructure results showed that the corrosion rate of battery tested in salt water is the highest, followed by urea and water. The potentiodynamic polarization showed that water has the highest negative value of -1.2685 V, followed by urea with the negative value of -0.91211 V and salt water with the value of -0.64843 V. A more negative value is beneficial for the utilization of anode material in the electrolyte activated battery. The galvanostatic discharge showed that salt water had the lowest average potential compared to water and urea. EIS results showed that water has the highest value of charge transfer resistance (R_t) of 394.25 Ω then followed by urea and salt water which are 227.57 and 209.92 Ω, respectively. EIS also showed the corrosion mechanism of all three combination is activation-controlled. The higher the value of R_t, the lower the corrosion rate. From all the physicochemical and electrochemical characterizations, the results showed that water is the best electrolyte among these three electrolytes, however the low discharge potential required a further modification on the anode electrode. A good anode for electrolyte battery should have a balance between of self-corrosion and dissolution.
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