Central and peripheral circadian clocks and their role in Alzheimer’s disease
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ABSTRACT
Molecular and cellular oscillations constitute an internal clock that tracks the time of day and permits organisms to optimize their behaviour and metabolism to suit the daily demands they face. The workings of this internal clock become impaired with age. In this review, we discuss whether such age-related impairments in the circadian clock interact with age-related neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease. Findings from mouse and fly models of Alzheimer’s disease have accelerated our understanding of the interaction between neurodegeneration and circadian biology. These models show that circadian biology likely impairs circadian rhythms either by damaging the central clock or by blocking its communication with other brain areas and with peripheral tissues. The consequent sleep and metabolic deficits could enhance the susceptibility of the brain to further degenerative processes. Thus, circadian dysfunction might be both a cause and an effect of neurodegeneration. We also discuss the primary role of light in the entrainment of the central clock and describe important, alternative time signals, such as food, that play a role in entraining central and peripheral circadian clocks. Finally, we propose how these recent insights could inform efforts to develop novel therapeutic approaches to re-entrain arrhythmic individuals with neurodegenerative disease.

KEY WORDS: Circadian biology, Clearance, Protein aggregation, Proteostasis, Sleep dysfunction

Introduction
The circadian clock is a complex biological machine that allows organisms, from fruit flies to humans, to predict and prepare for the challenges of everyday life. According to each organism’s ecological niche, activities such as sleeping, eating, mating and predation-avoidance are optimally performed either during the day or night (Hut et al., 2012). This ability to track the hours of the day must, therefore, be of general benefit, as evidenced by the remarkable conservation of the molecular components of the circadian clock across many species (for exceptions see Bloch et al., 2013). Given the importance of circadian biology in regulating organismal health, it is of no surprise that the breakdown of daily circadian rhythms (see Glossary, Box 1) is a risk factor for a range of diseases, including metabolic syndrome, vascular disease and cancer (Anea et al., 2009; Bass and Takahashi, 2010; Evans and Davidson, 2013; Kondrato and Antoń, 2007). In rodents, as well as in humans, there is evidence that sleep disruption leads to neurodegenerative pathology (Benedict et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2009). In humans, common neurodegenerative disorders increase in prevalence with age, and so are becoming more prevalent as the human population ages (Ballard et al., 2011). The primary example, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), affects 20–40 million people worldwide, and is the most common cause of progressive cognitive dysfunction (dementia) in adults (Ballard et al., 2011; Prince et al., 2016); it has also been noted to cause circadian dysfunction from an early stage (Osorio et al., 2011; Tranah et al., 2011). Such ageing demographic trends, along with the disruptive effects of the modern environment, such as bright light at night and shift work, could result in a population predisposed to circadian dysfunction (Antunes et al., 2010). This review therefore addresses the question of whether a positive feedback loop exists, in which neurodegenerative disorders are both a cause and an effect of circadian dysfunction.

A better understanding of how circadian dysfunction can contribute to neurodegenerative disease mechanisms might help with the development of novel therapies for AD and for other neurodegenerative disorders. There are currently no licensed, disease-modifying treatments for AD, despite enormous efforts aimed primarily at preventing or clearing the characteristic protein deposits that characterize this disease (Table 1). While acknowledging the pathological primacy of amyloid deposition in AD, an understanding of the possible role of circadian disruption in mediating disease progression could provide us with novel therapeutic targets. As circadian mechanisms are highly conserved between flies, rodents and humans, there are a wide range of model systems available for study.

Here, we provide an overview of the molecular and neurological basis of circadian biology in insects and mammals. We discuss evidence from fly and mouse models of AD that highlights the involvement of circadian dysfunction in AD, and shows how circadian dysfunction, specifically sleep disruption, can promote amyloid pathology directly, and disease progression indirectly, through downstream metabolic dysfunction and diabetes. Finally, we discuss a range of therapeutic approaches that aim to correct circadian dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD, including metabolic correction, the restoration of circadian rhythms and the enhancement of sleep.

The molecular basis of the circadian clock
The molecular basis for circadian rhythms consists of conserved transcriptional and translational feedback loops of so-called ‘clock genes’. In mammals, the core transcriptional machinery consists of the bHLH-PAS [basic helix-loop-helix–PER/aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator/single minded homology domain
Box 1. Glossary

Circadian entrainment: The process by which endogenous oscillations within a period of ∼24 h are synchronized with environmental oscillations. The signal that mediates the entrainment (often light but can also be feeding) is termed the zeitgeber (i.e. time giver or timer).

Circadian rhythms: Molecular, hormonal, physiological and behavioral rhythms within a period of ∼24 h.

Fat body: This tissue is considered to be the *Drosophila* equivalent of the liver and adipose tissue of vertebrates, in terms of its storage and metabolic functions.

Glymphatic system: Clearance pathway for interstitial waste (solute and fluid) in the vertebrate central nervous system.

Humoral signals: Signals mediated by hormones.

Hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis: Three structures of the endocrine system, namely the hypothalamus, pituitary and adrenal cortex, that constitute the glucocorticoid hormone pathway.

Neurofibrillary tangles: Intracellular deposits of the microtubule binding protein tau, in deposits such as neurofibrillary tangles.

Suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN): Brain nucleus located in the hypothalamus, above the optic chiasm, which contains the central circadian clock in mammals.

Taupathies: Neurodegenerative diseases associated with the pathological aggregation of the protein tau, in deposits such as neurofibrillary tangles.

(Kewley et al., 2004)] transcription factors, such as those encoded by the genes *Bmal1 (Arntl)* and *Clock*. As well as modulating the expression of a vast number of genes across the genome, these factors stimulate the transcription of their own repressors, such as the period (PER1-PER3) and cryptochrome (CRY1/CRY2) proteins. Thus, *Per1-Per3* and *Cry1/Cry2* provide time-delayed inhibition of *Bmal1 and Clock*, resulting in gene expression patterns that oscillate within a ∼24 h period. Circadian biology has also been studied extensively in the fruit fly, *Drosophila*, because many of the clock genes have orthologues and/or conserved feedback loops (see Fig. 1 for a comparison of the mammalian and *Drosophila* molecular clocks) (Hardin and Panda, 2013; Mohawk et al., 2012).

These clock genes are responsible for circadian oscillations at the cellular level by regulating membrane electrical activity and cellular metabolism (O’Neill and Feeney, 2014; O’Neill and Reddy, 2012). The creation of whole-organism rhythms in behaviour and physiology requires the formation of dedicated neural circuits, made up of cells that express the clock genes within the central nervous system. In mammals, ∼20,000 of such ‘master clock’ neurons reside within the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (see Glossary, Box 1) of the hypothalamus (Dibner et al., 2010). In *Drosophila*, 150 central clock gene-expressing neurons are subdivided into seven groups that are located in the anterior, posterior and superior brain (Peschel and Helfrich-Förster, 2011).

While this neural circuitry generates endogenous rhythms within a period of ∼24 h, an environmental cue (a zeitgeber) is also required to keep the organism in synchrony with its optimal temporal niche (Hut et al., 2012). This process is termed circadian entrainment (see Glossary, Box 1). Light is the primary zeitgeber and, as such, is primarily responsible for entraining the endogenous rhythmicity of an organism’s neural circuits so that they oscillate in synchrony with their environment (Münch and Bromundt, 2012). In mammals, the predominant mechanism for light entrainment utilizes the nonvisual photoreceptor, melanopsin, which is found in photosensitive retinal ganglion cells that provide input directly to the SCN (Hanks et al., 2008). The central clock communicates with peripheral clocks in other brain regions and in systemic organs, such as the liver, via rhythmic neuronal and humoral signals (see Glossary, Box 1). Unlike its mammalian orthologues, CRY in *Drosophila* acts as a cell-autonomous circadian photoreceptor by destabilising the transcription repressor *timeless* upon light exposure (Fig. 1A) (Koh et al., 2006; Peschel et al., 2009). In this way, light inhibiting the fly’s cuticle directly synchronizes the central circadian clock, as well as the peripheral oscillators (Plautz et al., 1997) (see Fig. 2 for a comparison of central and peripheral mammalian and *Drosophila* clock circuitry). Notably, visual photic signals, meaning perceived visual inputs, act as a relatively minor entraining stimulus in mammals (Hanks et al., 2008) and *Drosophila* (Rieger et al., 2003).

Other zeitgebers include nonphotic stimuli, such as temperature, food availability, exercise and social interactions (Buhr et al., 2010; Carneiro and Araujo, 2012; Glaser and Stanewsky, 2007; Hastings et al., 1998; Levine et al., 2002a; Mistlberger and Skene, 2005; Simoni et al., 2014), which under certain circumstances can entrain endogenous rhythmicity. For example, when nocturnal rodents are restricted to a daytime feeding schedule, through the provision of a 2–6 h meal time during their usual rest phase, they exhibit a dissociation of peripheral circadian oscillators from the SCN. Not only will the rodents’ activity shift to realign with the expected mealtime, but the timing of clock gene expression in peripheral tissue will also be shifted by the daytime feeding schedule (Boulos and Terman, 1980; Damiola et al., 2000; Stokkan et al., 2001). Meanwhile, the SCN remains entrained to the light-dark cycle under most conditions (Hara et al., 2001).

In *Drosophila*, feeding behaviour is controlled by both central and peripheral clocks, such that feeding rhythms are diminished in flies with either no central clock or with no peripheral clock in the

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Role in AD pathology | References |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------|------------|
| APP | Amyloid β precursor protein | APP undergoes proteolytic cleavage to yield the Aβ peptide that aggregates to form amyloid plaques in the brain. Sequence variants are linked to familial AD; for example, the Swedish mutation (APP KM670/671NL) is widely used in transgenic models of AD. | (Hardy, 1997) (Citron et al., 1997) (Braak and Braak, 1994) |
| PSN1; PSN2 | Presenin 1; presenin 2 | PSEN1 and PSEN2 are subunits of the γ-secretase catalytic complex. This complex proteolytically cleaves APP to generate Aβ. Sequence variants are linked to familial AD. | (Hutton et al., 1998) (Spillantini et al., 1998) (Spillantini and Goedert, 2013) |
| MAPT | Tau (microtubule-associated protein tau) | Tau is a microtubule binding protein that becomes hyperphosphorylated and aggregates to form neurofibrillary tangles. Sequence variants are linked to frontotemporal dementia. | (Hardy, 1997) (Citron et al., 1997) (Braak and Braak, 1994) |
fat body (see Glossary, Box 1) (Xu et al., 2008). In the periphery, the fat body derived cytokine, Unpaired 2 (Upd2), conveys the fed status in Drosophila to the insulin-producing cells (IPCs) in the brain (Rajan and Perrimon, 2012). Interestingly, a subset of IPCs has been shown to regulate sleep-wake behaviour in Drosophila (Yurgel et al., 2015). Centrally, a subset of clock (DN1) neurons regulates the secretion of insulin-like peptide (ILP) in a circadian pattern, which in turn regulates fat body-mediated sugar homeostasis (Barber et al., 2016) (Fig. 2A).

The concordant and synchronized oscillation of the central clock with the various peripheral tissue clocks is thought to optimally coordinate an organism’s metabolism (Bass and Takahashi, 2010), supporting its health and fitness (Nedeltcheva and Scheer, 2014; Roenneberg and Merrow, 2016; Scheer et al., 2009). The desynchronization of the central and peripheral clocks can occur as a result of modern life, as seen in individuals exposed to light-emitting diode (LED) light at night (Hatori et al., 2017) and those undertaking shift work (Kecklund and Axelsson, 2016; Knutsson, 2003; Pan et al., 2011). The aberrant circadian signals in today’s environment pose a particular challenge to elderly people who, as we discuss below, exhibit progressively less robust circadian rhythms.

Circadian clock function in ageing and in age-related disease
Healthy ageing in humans is often linked to changes in the sleep-wake cycle. Typically, older individuals nap more often during the day (Buysse et al., 1992) and experience shallower night-time sleep with more arousals, which disrupt non-rapid eye movement sleep in
particular (Dijk et al., 2001). The relative timings (phase relationships) of sleep and of other circadian oscillations, such as body temperature, also change with age (Yoon et al., 2003), likely indicating differences in entrainment communication between various clocks. Data from experimental organisms, such as *Drosophila* (Chen et al., 2014) and mouse (Nakamura et al., 2011), indicate that communication between clock neurons, and between clock neurons and their output pathways, fails earlier than does the circadian cycling of the molecular components of the clock. While *in vitro* (Kunieda et al., 2006) and *in vivo* (Wyse and Coogan, 2010) models of ageing indicate that the molecular clock might also be impaired in aged cells and organisms, the extent to which this contributes to circadian changes in elderly humans (Münch et al., 2005; Schmidt et al., 2012) is unclear. For this reason, model organisms that carry clock gene mutations that abolish molecular rhythmicity, such as mutations in *Bmal1* in mice (Bunger et al., 2000; Laposky et al., 2005) or in *period* (*per*) in flies (Konopka and Benzer, 1971), might not be the optimal models in which to study age-related circadian deficits.

Less robust circadian signalling with age might underpin age-related sleep deficits, which might, in turn, directly injure the brain (Kondratova and Kondratov, 2012). For example, chronic ‘jet lag’ in rodents causes deficits in hippocampal neurogenesis (Rakai et al., 2014) and cognition (Kott et al., 2012), and in long-haul aircrew, jet lag has been linked to reduced hippocampal volume (Cho, 2001). The damage to the hippocampus has been likened to accelerated ageing, likely mediated by astroglisis and increased production of reactive oxygen species (Ali et al., 2015; Musiek et al., 2013). Such age-related stressors could explain at least some of the increasing incidence of neurodegenerative disease in the elderly.

The neurodegenerative disease we focus on in this review is AD, which is characterized histologically by the dual pathologies of extracellular neuritic (amyloid β, Aβ) plaques (Braak and Braak, 1994) and intraneuronal neurofibrillary tangles (see Table 1 and Glossary, Box 1) (Braak et al., 1994). These pathological features have been replicated to some extent in vertebrate and invertebrate model organisms (Drummond and Wisniewski, 2017; Moloney et al., 2010b). Genetic linkage studies in familial AD (Goate et al., 1991; Rogal et al., 1995; Sherrington et al., 1995) and whole-genome studies of the more common, sporadic form of AD (Jonsson et al., 2012) indicate that the increased production of aggregation-prone Aβ peptides, the main component of plaques (Glenner and Wong, 1984; Masters et al., 1985a,b), might initiate the disease. In addition, genome-wide association studies have implicated a wider range of biological functions that likely contribute to risk of AD, in particular innate immunity and inflammation (Cuyvers and Sleegers, 2016; Guerreiro et al., 2013; Jonsson et al., 2013; Lambert et al., 2013; Singleton and Hardy, 2016).

Memory deficits are a cardinal symptom of AD. However, many individuals with AD experience an earlier symptom (prodrome) characterized by disrupted sleep; this likely explains the strong link between ever taking benzodiazepine sleeping medication and risk of dementia (Billiotti de Gage et al., 2014). In established AD, the main sleep abnormalities resemble an exaggerated form of the sleep changes that occur during healthy ageing. The main features include increased night-time wakefulness, caused by increased sleep latency and reduced sleep consolidation (the duration of uninterrupted sleep episodes), reduced slow-wave sleep and increased day-time naps (Bonanni et al., 2005; Musiek et al., 2015). Additionally, individuals with moderate and advanced AD may exhibit ‘sundowning’, where agitation is more marked in the late afternoon (Bedrosian and Nelson, 2013; Volicer et al., 2001).

Circadian disturbance is also evident in the daily rhythms of activity and core body temperature. Individuals with AD typically show two abnormalities: first, there is less differentiation between day and night and second, the oscillations are phase shifted so that peaks in both body temperature and activity occur later in the day as compared to healthy controls (Harper et al., 2001; Satlin et al., 1995; Tranah et al., 2011; Volicer et al., 2001). By contrast, men with frontotemporal dementia exhibit activity oscillations that are phase advanced as compared to AD (Harper et al., 2001).

The link between sleep disorders and Parkinson’s disease is arguably even stronger, with rapid eye movement (REM) sleep behaviour disorder (see Glossary, Box 1) preceding classical Parkinsonian features by decades in some instances (Postuma and Berg, 2016). As many as 90% of individuals diagnosed with REM sleep behaviour disorder will go on to develop a neurodegenerative disease associated with α-synuclein aggregation (Boeve et al., 2001; Iranzo et al., 2014). The mechanisms underlying this exceptionally high rate of association are unknown; however, this association supports the hypothesis that the neural circuits controlling sleep-wake behaviour are particularly vulnerable in the early stages of neurodegenerative disease.

**Circadian disorders in AD models:** role of the central clock

Murine transgenic models of AD have been generated in various ways to yield human-like AD pathology (Fig. 3). In one approach, extracellular amyloid pathology has been driven by expressing disease-linked variants of the human Aβ precursor protein (*APP*) (Table 1). Such transgenes can be combined with disease-linked variants of human presenilin 1 (*PSEN1*) (Table 1), which encodes a subunit of the complex that cleaves APP to generate the Aβ peptide. Mice have also been generated to express variants of human tau, for example P301L or R406W, that are linked to human tauopathies (see Table 1 and Glossary, Box 1), such as frontotemporal dementia. The circadian consequences of overexpressing APP or Aβ include abnormalities in sleep, locomotor and body temperature rhythms (Ambrée et al., 2006; Gorman and Yellon, 2010; Wisor et al., 2005). Interestingly, mice that express disease-associated variants of human *APP/PSEN1* also exhibit phase delays similar to those identified in patients with AD (Duncan et al., 2012). By contrast, mice that express either of two human tau variants that contain disease-linked substitutions (P301L and R406W) exhibit abnormalities in a sleep electroencephalogram but no disruption to their circadian rhythms (Koss et al., 2016). The triple-transgenic mouse model (which carries three human variants associated with AD: the *APP* Swedish variant, KM670/671NL, the *PSEN1* variant, M146V, and the *MAPT* variant, P301L) exhibited decreased nocturnal activity (the equivalent of daytime napping in a nocturnal species), increased daytime activity (Sterniczuk et al., 2010) and age-related changes in body temperature rhythms (Knight et al., 2013). These mice also exhibit reductions in the number of vasoactive intestinal polypeptide- and arginine vasopressin-containing neurons that constitute the central clock mechanism (Sterniczuk et al., 2010). SCN degeneration and dysfunction have been observed in an apolipoprotein E (APOE) knockout mouse that recapitulates several aspects of human AD (Zhou et al., 2016), although these findings have not been replicated by other groups as yet and should thus be interpreted with caution. These findings indicate that specific neurodegenerative lesions in the SCN might cause circadian deficits, a hypothesis that finds some support in human histopathological studies (Swaab et al., 1988; Zhou et al., 1995). However, amyloid plaques are relatively sparse in the SCN in AD (Stopa et al., 1999), excluding bulk Aβ deposition as the cause of...
neurodegeneration. Perhaps smaller, soluble Aβ species are responsible instead, as suggested by the transplantation of PC12 cells that express a disease-linked variant of human proteins, such as APP, the active subunit of γ-secretase (PSEN1) and/or tau. The APOE knockout (KO) mouse also serves as a model of AD. (ii) Tg2576 (Hsiao et al., 1996) and TgCRND8 (Janus et al., 2000) mice express mutants of human APP that are cleaved by β- and γ-secretases to generate neurotoxic Aβ peptides. The APP transgene can be combined with other transgenes, for example in the 3xTgAD mouse, which carries human disease-linked variants of APP (the so-called Swedish mutation), PSEN1 and tau (Oddo et al., 2003). This triple transgenic mouse replicates many features of AD (see Aβ plaques and tau tangles in iii, arrows). High power views of Gallyas silver-stained Aβ plaques (image credit: Jensflorian, Wikimedia Commons) and Gallyas silver-stained tau tangles (image credit: Patho, Wikimedia Commons). Tauopathy, a neurodegenerative disorder related to AD, can be modelled in P301L (Lewis et al., 2000) and R406W (Tatebayashi et al., 2002) tau transgenic mice. APOE KO mice might replicate some features of AD, such as amyloid and tau deposition, and exhibit metabolic and circadian abnormalities (Zhou et al., 2016), although these observations require independent replication. The 3xTgAD mice also exhibit mild circadian dysfunction, including differences in the amplitude (iv) and timing (v) of body temperature oscillations (Knight et al., 2013). (B) (i) Fruit fly models of Aβ toxicity are typically generated by expressing the Aβ peptide downstream of a secretion signal peptide (Crowther et al., 2005; Finelli et al., 2004; Iijima et al., 2004). (ii) In these models, intraneuronal and extracellular deposits of Aβ are visible in transverse sections of fly brain stained with anti-Aβ antibodies (red, cell nuclei in blue; reproduced with permission from Ott et al., 2015). Scale bar: 200 µm. (iii) The expression of toxic forms of the Aβ1-42 peptide, such as the E22G Arctic variant, elicits progressive sleep deficits as evidenced by the loss of the rhythmicity in the actimetry traces as the transgenic flies age (reproduced with permission from Chen et al., 2014). Wild-type flies retain a youthful pattern of behaviour, resembling the 2-12 days data, at all time points shown.

**Central clock output failure in neurodegenerative disease**

Vertebrate and invertebrate models of neurodegenerative disease have shown that robustly rhythmic central molecular clocks can become disconnected from other brain-resident and peripheral clocks, to result in disrupted circadian behaviour. In particular, mouse and Drosophila models of Huntington’s disease (Pallier et al., 2007) and AD (Chen et al., 2014; Khabirova et al., 2016; Long et al., 2014) exhibit normal central clock function. Despite this, they exhibit behavioural arrhythmia, including disrupted sleep consolidation and the sleep/wake cycle (Khabirova et al., 2016). In the case of the R6/2 mouse model of Huntington’s disease, the mice were behaviourally arrhythmic and had severely disrupted sleep/wake cycles, and yet the electrophysiological activity of acute SCN brain slices from mutant mice was normal (Pallier et al., 2007). Moreover, the molecular clock in the SCN remained essentially intact in these mice, as recorded using an mPer1::luciferase bioluminescence reporter construct. Although upstream factors could affect the function of the SCN, the authors concluded that the results were consistent with a failure of the SCN to entrain downstream oscillators (Maywood et al., 2010; Pallier et al., 2007). Similarly, Drosophila that express human Aβ as a model of AD show progressive behavioural arrhythmia, despite the essentially normal oscillation of their central molecular clock (as shown by the use of a luciferase reporter) (Chen et al., 2014). These behavioural deficits were accompanied by the disruption of peptidergic neurones and of the synapses that mediate the output from the central clock.
Such findings in experimental animals are complemented by human post-mortem studies, which have compared the brains of individuals with and without a diagnosis of AD. For example, in human brain tissue from individuals with AD post mortem, the expression of clock genes in the pineal, a structure that receives central clock inputs, was found to be similar to the gene expression changes seen in rats in which the SCN-pineal projection had been experimentally lesioned (Wu et al., 2006). This suggests that in the AD brains examined, the pineal gland was deprived of its normal entraining input, which is notable because of the role of this gland in the secretion of the sleep associated hormone, melatonin. Additionally, Cermakian and colleagues measured clock gene expression in various human brain structures and correlated expression levels with the time of each individual’s death. Their conclusion was that the central, and indeed the secondary, brain clocks were rhythmic in healthy individuals and in those with AD, but in the latter there were marked phase shifts, indicating changes in their relative synchronization (Cermakian et al., 2011). This failure of clock synchronization is caused by deficits, likely at the synaptic level, in the communication of entrainment signals between clocks. One consequence of disrupting the various circadian oscillators in the brain is that sleep, the most easily accessible circadian phenotype, is affected early and profoundly. While sleep disruption in AD has been documented for decades, how this condition links mechanistically to the molecular pathogenesis of AD has become apparent only relatively recently, as discussed below.

Sleep disruption and risk of amyloid pathology
As recounted above and elsewhere (Holth et al., 2017; Ju et al., 2014; Musiek and Holtzman, 2016; Musiek et al., 2015), neurodegenerative disease results in the loss of restorative sleep, which might in turn accentuate the pathological processes that contribute to AD. This view is partly based on studies that show that diurnal fluctuations in Aβ levels in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and interstitial fluid (ISF) are directly associated with sleep-wake behaviour in both mice and humans. For example, Aβ in the ISF is higher during wakefulness in mice, representing periods of peak neuronal activity (Bero et al., 2011), and lowest during sleep (Huang et al., 2012; Kang et al., 2009) or under anaesthesia (Brody et al., 2008). In humans, this circadian variability in Aβ levels declines with age and with the progression of AD pathology (Huang et al., 2012). Sleep restriction exacerbates protein deposits in both the APP/PSEN1 (Kang et al., 2009) and the triple transgenic (3×TgAD) mouse models (Di Meco et al., 2014; Rothman et al., 2013). In healthy humans, even acute sleep deprivation is sufficient to cause detectable neuronal damage, as reflected by the presence of markers of neuronal and of blood-brain barrier damage in the blood of healthy volunteers (Benedict et al., 2014).

One factor that might contribute to the circadian variation of Aβ levels is the 60% expansion in ISF volume that occurs in the mouse brain during sleep (Xie et al., 2013). Similar changes in the human brain during sleep would favour the bulk flow of CSF and ISF through the perivascular (Rennels et al., 1985) and glymphatic drainage channels (Iliff et al., 2012; Kress et al., 2015; Tarasoff-Conway et al., 2015). The glymphatic system (see Glossary, Box 1) would then deliver CSF and ISF solutes, including Aβ, to the cervical lymph nodes for disposal in the periphery. The structural integrity of the glymphatic channels, as reported by the polarized perivascular expression of aquaporin 4 (AQP4), declines with age and more so when accompanied by amyloid pathology (Zeppenfeld et al., 2017). AQP4 likely mediates water influx into the glymphatic system, facilitating its flow, and is itself under circadian control (Zuber et al., 2009).

Circadian oscillations in the levels of Aβ are mirrored by orexin, a hormone released from neurons in the hypothalamus (de Lecea et al., 1998). Orexin promotes wakefulness, and loss of its signalling causes narcolepsy, a disorder of unwanted sleep intrusions (Pintwała and Peever, 2017). Orexin knockout mice sleep more than controls (Chemelli et al., 1999), and when crossed with APP/PSEN1 mice, they exhibit a marked reduction in Aβ plaque deposition (Roh et al., 2014). In the resulting APP/PSEN1/Or (Hct)−/− mice, lentiviral-mediated expression of orexin in the hippocampus failed to rescue the amyloid pathology, indicating that orexin does not have a direct action on susceptible neurons. By contrast, orexin expression in the hypothalamus, or indeed sleep deprivation, made amyloid pathology worse in the APP/PSEN1/Or−/− mice, as compared to mice injected with control lentivirus, or mice that were not sleep-deprived (Roh et al., 2014). This benefit of orexin blockade was confirmed in the Tg2576 AD mouse model, in which treatment with an orexin receptor blocker, almorexant, suppressed the normally elevated nocturnal levels of Aβ and reduced plaque accumulation (Kang et al., 2009). Although these effects are striking, the data do not conclusively show that sleep itself is protective. In this regard, sleep-inducing GABA agonists have been shown to improve cognitive dysfunction in Drosophila that express presenilin variants linked to AD in humans (Dissel et al., 2015). A GABA agonist had similar benefits in the R6/2 mouse model of Huntington’s disease (Pallier et al., 2007).

Taken together, the combination of reduced Aβ production, increased Aβ clearance and an increase in the volume of ISF makes sleep a valuable process for the prevention, and clearance, of protein aggregates, thereby reducing the risk of neurodegenerative disease. However, the impact of circadian dysfunction reaches beyond the central components of the circadian clock. As we discuss below, the breakdown of peripheral metabolic rhythms might also contribute materially to the pathogenesis of AD.

Peripheral clock arrhythmia and diabetes
Disturbed clocks in the brain have deleterious consequences for the whole organism, disrupting directly, or indirectly, the concerted hormonal, autonomic and metabolic functioning of various organ systems (Delezie and Challet, 2011; Reddy and Maywood, 2007) (Fig. 4). The negative effects of chronic circadian misalignment is evident in shift workers, who have an increased risk for obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Antunes et al., 2010; Haus and Smolensky, 2006; Pan et al., 2011; Scheer et al., 2009).

Central to metabolic health is the synchronization of gut, liver and muscle metabolic cycles and their interplay with the glucocorticoid hormones secreted by the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis (see Glossary, Box 1) (Dickmeis, 2009; Gamble et al., 2014; Reddy et al., 2007). In health, a host of hepatic genes, including many involved in metabolism (Schmutz et al., 2010), exhibit circadian transcriptional regulation (Delezie and Challet, 2011). However, most require clock mechanisms local to the liver to sustain their oscillations, rather than relying entirely on signals from the SCN. This was demonstrated in a mouse model in which the liver clock was specifically suppressed, resulting in all but a handful of genes losing their circadian regulation (Kornmann et al., 2007). By contrast, in the presence of functioning peripheral clocks, centrally derived signals, such as glucocorticoid hormones, are sufficient to entrain efficiently most circadian gene expression in tissues (Reddy et al., 2007). In entrained mice, the subsequent loss of central clock signals, for example by experimental lesioning of the SCN, does not
The desynchronization of peripheral clocks may also be induced by feeding rodents during the day, when they are normally sleeping. For example, Yasumoto and colleagues found that the daytime feeding of mice with a high fat and high sucrose diet resulted in the desynchronization of peripheral clocks, as measured by a range of hormones and metabolites that normally show circadian oscillation. The loss of synchrony occurs as different tissues re-entrain to the new feeding schedule at different rates. At the end of the week-long study, the daytime-fed mice gained more adipose tissue, were less physically active, exhibited increased levels of plasma insulin, and accumulated more triglycerides and cholesterol in their liver as compared to mice fed the same diet but during their active phase (Yasumoto et al., 2016). Such outcomes resemble the features of the metabolic syndrome (Sperling et al., 2015), characterized in humans by insulin resistance, abdominal obesity, abnormal lipids and hypertension, which is linked to type II diabetes. In humans, poor sleep patterns, even in the absence of overt neurodegenerative disease, are a risk factor for the metabolic syndrome and for subsequent type II diabetes (Bass and Takahashi, 2010; Pan et al., 2011; Spiegel et al., 2009; Yaggi et al., 2006).

In population-based studies, diabetes is an established risk factor for accelerated age-related cognitive decline (Allen et al., 2004), for dementia as a syndrome (Biessels et al., 2006) and for AD in particular (Huang et al., 2014; Kopf and Frölich, 2009; Wang et al., 2012). Indeed, individuals with type II diabetes who also carry the e4 APOE allele are over five times more likely to develop AD than are those with neither diabetes nor the e4 allele (Peila et al., 2002). Post-mortem human studies have indicated that the insulin resistance that occurs peripherally in type II diabetes is also seen in the brain of AD patients (Kleinridders, 2016; Talbot et al., 2012). In particular, several studies have found that insulin receptor and also insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor are expressed at lower levels on the surface of neurons in the brains of individuals with AD. These changes are accompanied by phosphorylation of the corresponding signalling proteins, such as the insulin receptor substrate 2 (Irs2), which is a hallmark of suppressed insulin signalling (Holscher, 2014a; Kleinridders, 2016; Moloney et al., 2010a; Rivera et al., 2005; Steen et al., 2005; Talbot et al., 2012). The downregulation of Irs2 signalling has been modelled by knocking out the Irs2 gene in the mouse. The resulting animals show decreased brain size accompanied by an increase in tau phosphorylation (Schubert et al., 2003), an observation that is concordant with the tau hyperphosphorylation observed in the brains of AD patients. In the mouse, elevated glucose levels are observed to interact with both age and Aβ pathology by increasing the levels of the Aβ peptide in the hippocampal ISF; the induced Aβ elevation was most marked in aged mice that had extensive plaque pathology (Macauley et al., 2015).

In diabetes, Aβ pathogenesis might also be enhanced as a consequence of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (Cretenet et al., 2010; Maillo et al., 2017), and by the associated oxidative and glycation damage that promotes a neuroinflammatory response, which is likely mediated by activated microglia (Catrysse and van}

---

**Fig. 4. A model of how circadian biology and AD pathology might interact.** Interactions between the central clock and other brain and peripheral clocks occur via the clock output pathway. Subsequent metabolic, behavioural and social cycles ensure the optimal functioning of the organism and might feedback to entrain the central clock, alongside nonvisual light input (which is the primary zeitgeber). Disrupted sleep and metabolic asynchrony might predispose an individual to AD pathology. This, in turn, might accentuate circadian deficits by damaging synaptic and other functions in the central clock mechanism and output pathway. ER stress, endoplasmic reticulum stress caused by protein misfolding and aggregation.
was sufficient to entrain the animals to a new, anticipatory sleep/in which daytime feeding, which is antiphase in a nocturnal species, power as an entraining factor has come from experiments in rodents oscillator (FEO) can act as an alternative master clock, driving particularly promising. This is because a so-called food-entrained intervention, entrainment to a regular feeding regimen is 2014). Although each of these zeitgebers offers a potential candidates include temperature (Buhr et al., 2010; Glaser other, nonphotic, zeitgebers that target peripheral circadian clocks. As a recent meta-analysis confirmed, light therapy is effective in improving sleep-wake deficits, at least in women; however, the effect sizes are small (van Maanen et al., 2016). If the output of the SCN were defective in AD, then this result would be expected since the light-entrained central clock would be functional but (as discussed above) unable to communicate effectively with other brain clocks and with the periphery. The disappointing efficacy of light therapy has raised interest in other, nonphotic, zeitgebers that target peripheral circadian clocks; potential candidates include temperature (Buhr et al., 2010; Glaser and Stanewsky, 2007), food availability (Carneiro and Araujo, 2012), exercise (Atkinson et al., 2007; Edgar and Dement, 1991; Miyazaki et al., 2001) and social interactions (Hastings et al., 1998; Levine et al., 2002b; Mistlberger and Skene, 2005; Simoni et al., 2014). Although each of these zeitgebers offers a potential intervention, entrainment to a regular feeding regimen is particularly promising. This is because a so-called food-entrained oscillator (FEO) can act as an alternative master clock, driving circadian sleep and behavioural activity. Evidence of the FEO power as an entraining factor has come from experiments in rodents in which daytime feeding, which is antiphase in a nocturnal species, was sufficient to entrain the animals to a new, anticipatory sleep/
mouth dryness and urinary retention, and, in the case of antipsychotics, increased mortality (Camargos et al., 2011, 2012, 2014; Kales et al., 2012; McCleery et al., 2016). Trazodone might also have an unexpected beneficial role in suppressing excessive ER stress signaling, at least in murine models of prion disease and tauopathy (Halliday et al., 2017). Alternative approaches have sought to intervene at the level of the circadian signals that are thought to control, or at least to consolidate, sleep rhythms. In this regard, melatonin has been trialled as a therapeutic for AD because of its use, with uncertain clinical evidence, for treating insomnia and jetlag in otherwise healthy individuals (Costello et al., 2014; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2007). Melatonin is secreted from the pineal gland, beginning in the early evening and reaching peak concentrations soon after midnight (Wehr et al., 2001). In the zebrafish, it is essential for synchronising the central clock with sleep rhythms, as demonstrated by Gandhi and colleagues. These researchers knocked out the zebrafish gene that encodes the melatonin biosynthesizing enzyme, aanat2, which resulted in the complete loss of sleep/wake rhythms when the fish were placed in constant darkness (Gandhi et al., 2015). Unfortunately, these insights have not translated well into the clinic because therapeutic trials of melatonin have yielded little to no improvement in the sleep of individuals with AD (McCleery et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2015). These data indicate that abnormal melatonin secretion in AD is not the primary cause of AD-associated sleep abnormalities.

Orexin, as discussed earlier, is a hormone that promotes wakefulness. In rodent models of AD, almorexant, an antagonist that blocks both the OXR1 and OXR2 (HCRT1 and HCRT2) orexin receptors, reduces amyloid pathology (Kang et al., 2009). Concordant with these observations, Liguori and colleagues found that individuals with AD had elevated levels of orexin over controls, and that these increased levels correlated positively with both sleep deficits and cognitive decline (Liguori et al., 2014). In our view, these data may provide ample biological justification for future trials of orexin antagonists as a therapeutic for AD.

Conclusion
Circadian biology and the sleep cycle are disrupted in a number of neurodegenerative diseases but the precise reasons for this remain unknown. Nevertheless, pathology within the central clock, and the impairment of its communication with peripheral clocks, are likely to be important factors contributing to circadian dysfunction in these diseases. The changes in sleep and feeding rhythms that occur as a result of neurodegenerative disease predispose the brain to the pathological processes that contribute to AD and to other neurodegenerative disorders. Important predisposing factors include reduced protein clearance from the brain, and central-peripheral metabolic desynchrony, which likely contributes to the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and/or diabetes. Thus, circadian disruption in AD can be seen as both a cause and an effect of neurodegeneration.

Interventions that aim to re-entrain the central clock using light have largely failed and so other therapeutic avenues are now being investigated. The FEO is a promising target that might be susceptible to environmental and/or to pharmacological interventions. All clinical trials in AD are likely to be lengthy and costly; however, a trial of simple dietary interventions that maintain a clear circadian rhythm in individuals with early AD is feasible and should be pursued. Pharmacological simulation of entraining signals, either photic or dietary, have not been developed but might have utility. The direct enhancement of sleep by modulating physiological regulators, such as orexin, might also offer advantages over previous hypnotic and antipsychotic approaches. Orexin antagonists are already being investigated for treatment of primary insomnia (Kishi et al., 2015); perhaps now they can be trialled for the bigger prize of disease modification in neurodegenerative disease.

This article is part of a special subject collection ‘Neurodegeneration: from Models to Mechanisms to Therapies’, which was launched in a dedicated issue guest edited by Aaron Gitter and James Shorter. See related articles in this collection at http://dmm.biologists.org/collection/neurodegenerative-disorders.
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