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Site-specific N-glycan Analysis of Antibody-binding Fcγ Receptors from Primary Human Monocytes

Abstract
FcγRIIIa (CD16a) and FcγRIIa (CD32a) on monocytes are essential for proper effector functions including antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP). Indeed, therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that bind FcγRs with greater affinity exhibit greater efficacy. Furthermore, post-translational modification impacts antibody binding affinity, most notably the composition of the asparagine(N)-linked glycan at N162 of CD16a. CD16a is widely recognized as the key receptor for the monocyte response, however the post-translational modifications of CD16a from endogenous monocytes are not described. Here we isolated monocytes from individual donors and characterized the composition of CD16a and CD32a N-glycans from all modified sites. The composition of CD16a N-glycans varied by glycosylation site and donor. CD16a displayed primarily complex-type biantennary N-glycans at N162, however some individuals expressed CD16a V158 with ~20% hybrid and oligomannose types which increased affinity for IgG1 Fc according to surface plasmon resonance binding analyses. The CD16a N45-glycans contain markedly less processing than other sites with >75% hybrid and oligomannose forms. N38 and N74 of CD16a both contain highly processed complex-type N-glycans with N-acetyllactosamine repeats and complex-type biantennary N-glycans dominate at N169. The composition of CD16a N-glycans isolated from monocytes included a higher proportion of oligomannose-type N-glycans at N45 and less sialylation plus greater branch fucosylation than we observed in a recent analysis of NK cell CD16a. The additional analysis of CD32a from monocytes revealed different features than observed for CD16a including the presence of a predominantly biantennary complex-type N-glycans with two sialic acids at both sites (N64 and N145).

Disciplines
Biochemistry | Biophysics | Molecular Biology | Structural Biology

Comments
This research was originally published in Molecular and Cellular Proteomics. Roberts, Jacob T., Kashyap R. Patel, and Adam W. Barb. "Site-specific N-glycan analysis of antibody-binding Fcγ receptors from primary human monocytes." Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 2020; 19:362-374. © Roberts et al. doi: 10.1074/mcp.RA119.001733.

This article is available at Iowa State University Digital Repository: https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/bbmb_ag_pubs/281
Site-specific N-glycan Analysis of Antibody-binding Fc γ Receptors from Primary Human Monocytes

Authors
Jacob T. Roberts, Kashyap R. Patel, and Adam W. Barb

Correspondence
abarb@uga.edu

In Brief
The composition of post-translational modifications, particularly carbohydrate chains attached to specific asparagine residue (N-glycans), that are attached to antibodies are well described in the human body and modulate the binding to Fc γ receptors. The N-glycan composition of these receptors likewise impacts antibody binding affinity, however receptor composition in the human body is unknown because of challenges associated with obtaining sufficient receptor from a single donor and limited analytical techniques. Here we characterize all N-glycosylation sites of CD16a and CD32a isolated from primary human monocytes that are isolated from a normally discarded filter following platelet and plasma donation.

Highlights
- Monocytes are isolated from single donors after apheresis.
- Monocytes process CD16a and CD32a N-glycosylation differently by site and donor.
- CD16a with hybrid and oligomannose type N-glycans bind IgG1 Fc with stronger affinity than complex type.
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Site-specific N-glycan Analysis of Antibody-binding Fc \( \gamma \) Receptors from Primary Human Monocytes*§

Jacob T. Roberts‡, Kashyap R. Patel‡, and Adam W. Barb‡§¶

Fc\( \gamma \)RIIIa (CD16a) and Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa (CD32a) on monocytes are essential for proper effector functions including antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and phagocytosis (ADCP). Indeed, therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that bind Fc\( \gamma \)Rs with greater affinity exhibit greater efficacy. Furthermore, post-translational modification impacts antibody binding affinity, most notably the composition of the asparagine(N)-linked glycan at N162 of CD16a. CD16a is widely recognized as the key receptor for the monocyte response, however the post-translational modifications of CD16a from endogenous monocytes are not described. Here we isolated monocytes from individual donors and characterized the composition of CD16a and CD32a N-glycans from all modified sites. The composition of CD16a N-glycans varied by glycosylation site and donor. CD16a displayed primarily complex-type biantennary N-glycans at N162, however some individuals expressed CD16a V158 with \(-20\%\) hybrid and oligomannose types which increased affinity for IgG1 Fc according to surface plasmon resonance binding analyses. The CD16a N45-glycans contain markedly less processing than other sites with \(>75\%\) hybrid and oligomannose forms. N38 and N74 of CD16a both contain highly processed complex-type N-glycans with N-acetyllactosamine repeats and complex-type biantennary N-glycans dominate at N169. The composition of CD16a N-glycans isolated from monocytes included a higher proportion of oligomannose-type N-glycans at N45 and less sialylation plus greater branch fucosylation than we observed in a recent analysis of NK cell CD16a. The additional analysis of CD32a from monocytes revealed different features than observed for CD16a including the presence of a predominantly biantennary complex-type N-glycans with two sialic acids at both sites (N64 and N145). Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 19: 362–374, 2020. DOI: 10.1074/mcp.RA119.001733.

Circulating leukocytes express Fc \( \gamma \) receptors (Fc\( \gamma \)Rs) that trigger a protective response after encountering an antibody-coated target cell. Therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are a rapidly growing class of highly specific and well tolerated drugs with a predicted global market of \$140B by 2024 with many new variants in the development pipeline (1). Many mAbs treat diseases by eliciting effector functions including antibody dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and antibody dependent cellular phagocytosis (ADCP) that are triggered by Fc\( \gamma \)Rs.

Fc\( \gamma \)RIII (CD16) binds to the IgG1 crystallizable fragment (Fc) and is likely the predominant receptor contributing to the therapeutic efficacy of mAbs that require effector functions, thus the affinity of Fc binding to CD16a is an important therapeutic consideration (2–6). NK cells, macrophages and a subset of monocytes express CD16a as an integral membrane protein whereas neutrophils express the related glycoprophosphatidylinositol-anchored receptor CD16b (7). Though stimulating NK cell-mediated effector functions represents a goal for mAb development, other CD16- cell types including monocytes respond to antibody-coated targets, contributing to clearance and potentially therapeutic efficacy (8, 9). Indeed, monocytes appeared as the dominant effector cell for B cell removal and platelet phagocytosis with little contribution from NK or T cells (10, 11). Furthermore, increasing the strength of IgG binding by monocyte CD16a increased ADCC, indicating that increasing the strength of the monocyte CD16a-IgG interaction may lead to greater clinical efficacy (12). These results indicate that enhancing mAb affinity for CD16a expressed on monocytes/macrophage may lead to enhanced clinical efficacy.

Monocytes display classical, non-classical and intermediate phenotypes (13, 14). The classical CD14+++, CD16- phenotype represents \(>80\%\) of peripheral monocytes with non-classical (CD14+, CD16+) and intermediate (CD14++, CD16+) monocytes accounting for the remainder. Monocytes also express the Fc\( \gamma \)Rs CD32a and CD64. Monocytes can differentiate into macrophages, dendritic cells and osteoclasts and adopt critical roles in tissue specific immune responses as well as wound healing (8, 15). A recent study demonstrated that monocyte ADCC activity is exclusive to

---
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CD16+ non-classical/intermediate monocytes (16). This manuscript showed that CD16 is indispensable for proper ADCC activity whereas CD32a contributes to a lesser extent.

The affinity between IgG and CD16a is dependent on polymorphisms and post-translational modification. The CD16a V158 allele encodes a receptor with 4–5-fold greater affinity for IgG1 than the CD16a F158 allele (17). Interestingly, clinical efficacy of glycoengineered mAbs designed to bind CD16a with higher affinity is greater in V158 homozygous individuals than F158 homozygotes, suggesting the affinity between IgG and CD16a is directly correlated to clinical response (18, 19). Furthermore, composition of the asparagine(N)-linked glycans also impacts the affinity of the CD16a-IgG interaction, though most studies focused on IgG N-glycan composition (2–6, 20–23). Recent in vitro studies indicated that CD16a N-glycan composition likewise affects binding to IgG (24–26). CD16a with an oligomannose-type N-glycan bound with greater affinity to IgG1 Fc than CD16a with complex-type N-glycans (21, 23, 27). Of the five CD16a N-glycans, the effect of N-glycan composition is primarily because of the modification at N162. Prior studies from our group identified the presence of minimally-processed endogenous CD16a glycoforms from primary NK cells and substantial donor-to-donor variability in processing at select sites (27, 28). Thus, post-translational modification plays a critical role in antibody-mediated functions, though little is known about how monocytes process CD16a.

A description of FcγR processing from monocytes is expected to define what receptor forms and affinities are present at the cell surface. Isolating receptors from the surface of primary human cells represents an enormous challenge because of the small amounts of receptor in the body. We recently described the analysis of CD16a N-glycans from NK cells (28), however, we expect that monocytes represent a greater challenge. Despite the greater abundance of monocytes in the body compared with NK cells, CD16a+ /non-classical monocytes represent ~17% of the total and it is unclear if monocytes express as much CD16a as NK cells.

CD16a and CD32a are highly modified molecules with five and two conserved N-glycan sites, respectively. N-glycans are commonly found on secreted proteins, particularly serum proteins that exhibit variable composition resulting from differential processing. In general, N-glycans are attached immediately following translation and processed in the ER and Golgi into one of three main N-glycosylation types: oligomannose, hybrid or complex-type (reviewed in (29)). Processing enzymes in the medial and trans Golgi modify N-glycans with “caps” including sialic acid or introduce branches to generate highly-processed complex-type N-glycans. Some N-glycans escape the majority of the processing enzymes; these N-glycan types include oligomannose-type which are minimally processed and hybrid-type which experience extensive processing on only part of the glycan. N-glycosylation heterogeneity is likely dictated by abundance of the remodeling enzymes as well as enzyme localization in the ER and Golgi network (30, 31). Cell-specific glycosylation differences have been described to be important in many biological processes, especially in development and the immune system (30, 32). Here we characterize the N-glycosylation profile of CD16a and CD32a from monocytes retained during plasma and platelet donation.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**—All materials were purchased from Millipore-Sigma (Burlington, MA) unless otherwise noted.

**Experimental Design**—This study was judged by Iowa State University’s institutional review to be exempt because the donors were de-identified, the only available donor information is shown in Table I. Biological samples are represented by four single donors and six donors combined into two separate pools (MoA and MoB). Lysates from certain donors were pooled and processed as a single sample because of low monocyte yields or unavailability of basic information typically available for other donors. Technical replicates were not possible because cell isolation from a single donor or donor pool only provided enough material to perform a single CD16a characterization.

**Protein Expression**—Anti-CD16 (humanized Fc) 3g8, Anti-CD16 mouse 3g8, or Anti-CD16 mouse 3g8 N297Q (heavy chain), which caused removal of N-glycosylation and was used for most of the immunoprecipitations in this study. Recombinant CD16A in HEK293F and HEK293S were transfected and purified as previously described (33). CD16a-Man9 and CD16a-Hybrid recombinant proteins were made by adding kifunensine and swainsonine to the culture, respectively (5 μm).

**Surface Plasmon Resonance**—Experiments were performed and IgG1 Fc was glycoengineered as described previously (33).

**Cell Isolation**—Leukocyte reduction system (LRS) filters were obtained from either LifeServe (Ames, Iowa) or the DeGowin Blood Center (University of Iowa, Iowa City) and processed within three hours of plasma or platelet donation. Cell isolations for NK cells were performed as described (27) and then frozen at −80 °C except the RosetteSep Human Monocyte Enrichment Mixture (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) was used to isolate purified monocytes through negative selection using Lymphoprep (Stem Cell Technologies).

**Flow Cytometry**—Non-specific antibody binding sites on 5 × 10^6 monocytes in 0.2 ml were blocked with 1 μM human serum. Then, anti-human CD14 (a mouse IgG2a, clone M5E2, BioLegend, San Diego, California) and/or anti-human CD16 (3G8, mouse IgG1) was added to a final concentration of 2 μg/ml and cells incubated on ice for 30 min. Cells were then washed 2 × 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline plus 0.05% sodium azide; cells were pelleted during each wash by centrifugation at 600 × g for 8 min. The secondary antibodies anti-mIgG1 (RMG1–1 conjugated to allophycocyanin, BioLegend) and anti-mIgG2a (RMG2a–62 conjugated to phycoerythrin, BioLegend) were then added to a final concentration of 2 μg/ml, mixed and cells incubated on ice for 30 min. Cells were then washed again as described above and fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde before flow cytometry analysis on the BD FACSanto (BD Biosciences, San Jose, Cali-
CD16a Proteolysis—CD16a was resuspended in 100 μl of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.0 and heat denatured at 90 °C for 5 min and cooled on ice. A 1:40 (w:w) ratio of chymotrypsin and 1:30 (w:w) of Glu-C (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin) was added and the solution incubated at 25 °C overnight. Fresh chymotrypsin and Glu-C was added and the solutions incubated for 3 h. Chymotrypsin is specific for FYW with lower specificity for ML whereas Glu-C is specific for E. Both enzymes cut at the C-terminal of the mentioned amino acid residue. Following proteolysis, dithiothreitol was added to a final concentration of 5 mM and the solution incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Iodoacetamide was then added to a final concentration of 15 mM and the solution incubated in the dark at RT for 1 h. The sample was then centrifuged for 2 min at 9600 × g, the supernatant transferred to a new tube, frozen at −80 °C and lyophilized. Lyophilized samples were resuspended in 10 μl of water and then glycopolypeptides were enriched using the ProteoExtract Glycopeptide Enrichment Kit following the manufacturer’s guidelines to separate peptides from glycopeptides. Isolated glycopolypeptides were then frozen, lyophilized, and resuspended in a solution containing 5% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid before MS analysis.

Detergent present in the peptide sample following glycopeptide depletion was removed using ethyl acetate. First, an empty glass tube was washed with 200 μl ethyl acetate. Water saturated ethyl acetate was made by mixing 5 ml ethyl acetate with 625 μl water in the washed glass tube. 1 ml of the upper phase was added per 100 μl sample. Samples were vortexed for 1 min, centrifuged for 12,500 × g and the upper layer was removed and discarded. This washing procedure was repeated 3 times. Residual ethyl acetate was removed from the top under a nitrogen stream. Isolated peptides were then frozen, lyophilized, resuspended in 20 μl of a solution containing 5% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid in water prior to MS analysis (35).

Mass Spectrometry—Complete methods were implemented as described (28). Additional to the cited study, AGC values were set to 5 × 10^5, the resolving power was set to 17500 and NCE were set at 17, 27 and 37 eV for glycopeptides and 37 eV for peptides. The instrument automatically determined a mass rage for the MS2 spectra based on the parent ion mass. The lower mass cutoff was often 200–250 m/z, excluding important fragments corresponding to the most abundant carbohydrate decomposition product ions.

Data Analysis—Raw data files were initially analyzed with Byonic (Protein Metrics Version 2.12.0, Cupertino, California) against FASTA files including CD32a, CD16a, IgG1, FcRγ and CD3δ. The search parameters included Cleavage site (FYWEL), Cleavage side (C-terminal), Digestion specificity (Semi specific (slow)), Missed cleavages (2), Precursor mass tolerance (0.01), Fragment mass tolerance (0.05 Dalton), Recalibration (lock mass) (none), maximum precursor mass (5000), Precursor and mass charge assignments (Compute from MS1), Maximum # of precursors per scan (10), smoothing width (0.01 m/z) and the following modifications included (in addition to N- and O-glycan databases): Carbamidomethyl/+57.021464 @ C; fixed; Deamidated/+0.984016 @ N | common1; Acetyl/+42.015655 @ Protein N-term | rare1; Phospho/+79.966331 @ C, D, H, K, R, S, T, Y | common2; HexNAc/+203.073937 @ N,S,T | common1. The combine cutoff threshold scores was set to “auto” in Byonic. Hits were then manually validated and additional peaks not identified by Byonic were found. Glycopeptide annotations were made in Glycoworkbench Version 2.1 (36). Annotated MS2s for each species are shown in a Supplemental PDF (glycopeptide_annotations.pdf) and a recent characterisation of this purification and analysis strategy using NK cells (28). The 600 m/z peak in MS2 spectra is because of carryover from a calibration standard and is present in spectra taken following water injection that were collected between each sample analysis. MS2 fragmentation was used to confirm oxonium ions expected from the glycan composition and the glycan fragmentation attached to the
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forgina) instrument. Gating of the monocyte population based on forward and side scattering was determined by excluding cell debris and smaller contaminating cells such as platelets and erythrocytes. CD14 and CD16 gating was decided based on negative controls lacking the primary antibody.

Immunoprecipitation—Frozen cell pellets were thawed and immediately lysed using 40 μl of lysis buffer (100 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)amino methane, 100 mM sodium chloride, 5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 5 mM oxidized glutathione, 10 μM potassium ferricyanide, 1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride, 10 mg/ml dodecylmaltoside, pH 8.0) per 1 × 10^6 cells by repeated pipetting. Lysed cells were centrifuged for 20 min at 4400 × g in 4 °C to clarify the supernatant, which was saved separately, frozen overnight, thawed on ice and centrifuged again at 4400 × g for 20 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was sonicated for 1 min at full power in a bath sonicator, 100 μl protein G Sepharose (GE healthcare, Chicago, Illinois) was added to the supernatant and incubated for 2 h with end-over-end mixing. Resin was washed 2

Soluble CD16a (sCD16a) from NK cell and monocytes was obtained by boiling the samples in presence of SDS-PAGE gel loading buffer. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and was stopped by adding NP-40, reaction buffer and PNGaseF. The amount of recovered CD16a was estimated by Western blotting. Western blots were performed as described (27).

Western Blots—The amount of recovered CD16a was estimated by Western blotting using recombinant CD16a as a standard. Western blots were performed as described (27).

CD16a Glycosidase Treatment—CD16a from primary NK cell and monocyte was immunoprecipitated as mentioned above except CD16a was not eluted after the wash steps and digestions were performed on CD16a bound to 3G8 agarose resin. Endo F1 was expressed using a plasmid provided by Dr. Kelley Moremen (University of Georgia) and isolated as mentioned previously (34). CD16a loaded 3G8 agarose resin was diluted using 250 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. Endo F1 was added and incubated at 37 °C for 1.5 h. The reaction was stopped by boiling for 5 min in the presence of SDS-PAGE loading buffer (BioRad). PNGase F digestion was performed by following the manufacturer’s protocol (New England Biolab, Ipswich, Massachusetts). Briefly, resin was boiled in presence of denaturing buffer before adding NP-40, reaction buffer and PNGaseF. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 4 h and was stopped by boiling the samples in presence of SDS-PAGE gel loading buffer. Soluble CD16a (sCD16a) from NK cell and monocytes was obtained by incubating isolated NK cell and monocytes at 37 °C for 1 h at a cell density of 10 × 10^6 cells/ml in RPMI 1640 (Thermo Scientific) medium supplemented with 1% fetal bovine serum (VWR, Suwanee, Georgia), 1 μg/ml phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate and 1 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (Millipore Sigma). The cells were removed by centrifugation and the supernatant frozen until sCD16a was immunoprecipitated using the method described above. Negative controls for each reaction were treated as digested samples except enzymes were not added. Recombinant CD16a (250 ng) expressed in HEK293F or HEK293S served as a positive control. Boiled samples were directly loaded on 12% SDS-PAGE gels and analyzed using antiCD16 Western blotting.
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intact peptide is annotated with the peptide + GlcNAc peak being the most intense, peptide diagnostic ion. Species without clear MS2 spectra were assigned if they showed retention times and predictable differences from known species (for example those with a mass difference of 162.05 Da or 365.15 Da). The supplemental MCP_glycopeptides.xls sheet scores each glycopeptide based on mass error (ppm), retention time, and whether the MS2 included glycan specific differences from known species (for example those with a mass spectra were assigned if they showed retention times and predictable most intense, peptide diagnostic ion. Species without clear MS2 elution at a comparable retention time it was given a score of 1 or 0 if not. This system adds individual scores for a range of 1 to 6 with a 6 indicating the highest probability of correct identification. In the glycan column, the fifth number is a phosphate/sulfate modification considered an adduct in this analysis (see (28) for a complete description of these species). The analyses of MS1 and MS2 spectra provided assignments of moderate confidence. A Mascot search of ESI-MS/MS data for the peptide samples searched the human database for matches. N-glycan structures indicate composition only without linkage information elucidated. Analyte intensities were measured from MS1 spectra using scripts in R based on retention time windows defined for each species (28). This approach measured the intensity of as many as seven isotopolog peaks for each glycopeptide. Isobaric species were not differentiated.

Donor Genotyping—Blood retained in the tubing of the apheresis filters (~50 μl) was centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min and the cell pellet and serum fractions were stored separately at ~80 °C. The RNA from the cell pellet was isolated using the Trizol Reagent as directed by manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). cDNA was prepared from the isolated RNA using the High Capacity RNA to cDNA kit directed by manufacturer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The full FOG3a ORF including region coding for amino acid 158 in the final protein was then amplified using AccuPrime PFX with forward (5'-CAGTGACATGCTCGGCACTGTA-3') and reverse (5'-TTTGCTTTTCTGGTGCTCT-3') primers. Resulting DNA was purified with a Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, Maryland) and submitted for Sanger Sequencing at the Iowa State Sequencing Facility.

**RESULTS**

**Apheresis Filters Entrap Monocytes**—We isolated primary human monocytes from apheresis filters following plasma or platelet donation to define specific features of CD16a expressed by monocytes. Apheresis filters provided an average of 4 × 10^6 monocytes per donor following negative selection (Table I). Sequencing cDNA from each donor’s leukocytes revealed that most donors expressed both the V158 and F158 alleles, as expected (Table I and supplemental Fig. S1). Flow cytometry indicated a high percentage of cells (82.3–97.6%) expressed CD14 (supplemental Fig. S2) in the expected proportion of “classical” CD14+ CD16^dim to “non-classical” CD14^aCD16^+ monocytes (83–85% and 15–17%, respectively; (13, 14, 37)). Individual donors also displayed variation in the level of CD16 staining for the CD16^+ monocytes (supplemental Fig. S2).

**Striking Similarity of the Monocyte and NK cell CD16a Extracellular Domains**—CD16a enrichment is essential to study processing. CD16a purified from monocyte lysate through immunoprecipitation with typical yields of ~0.5 μg CD16a/400 × 10^6 monocytes (supplemental Fig. S3). By comparison, immunoprecipitation yields from NK cells that express higher levels of CD16a are more than 10× greater on a per-cell basis (13, 14, 27, 28, 37).

Though CD16a is expressed from the same gene by NK cells and monocytes, mobility differences appeared in SDS-PAGE, a valuable technique to probe CD16 processing using intact protein (23, 27). CD16a from NK cells migrates slower than the same protein from monocytes (Fig. 1A and 1C). The relative mobility difference is maintained following PNGaseF treatment, indicating that N-glycans likely restrict CD16a mobility from NK cells or monocytes to a similar extent (Fig. 1B). Consistent with this conclusion, the mobility of CD16a from NK cells and monocytes, following proteolytic shedding induced with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA), is comparable before and after PNGaseF treatment (Fig. 1C; (38–41)). Furthermore, the extracellular domains migrate at the
same position as the extracellular domain of CD16a expressed in HEK293F cells following PNGaseF digestion. These data suggest the polypeptide backbone of the extracellular domains are similarly mobile and that the N-glycans of CD16a from NK cell and monocytes impact mobility of the extracellular domains to a similar extent. However, there is a difference in CD16a processing that causes restricted mobility of the full length CD16a expressed in NK cells, and this modification is most likely present in the portion of the protein retained on the cell following proteolytic shedding. Thus, contrary to previous reports, the mobility differences between CD16a on monocytes and NK cells are not because of PNGaseF-cleavable N-glycans (42, 43).

It is also worthwhile to consider the effect of Endoglycosidase (Endo)F1 treatment on CD16a. Endo F1 treatment cleaves oligomannose and certain hybrid N-glycans and increased the mobility of NK cell CD16a but had little noticeable impact on monocyte CD16a (Fig. 1A). This result is consistent with previous reports that indicated NK cell CD16a contains hybrid and oligomannose-type N-glycans (27, 28). Based on this result, we expect less EndoF1-sensitive hybrid and oligomannose forms in monocyte CD16a. Soluble CD16a expressed in HEK293S cells displays oligomannose forms at each site and shows a substantial mobility increase.

**CD16a N-glycan Modifications**—Previous studies indicated CD16a N-glycosylation composition affects binding to IgG1, however it was unknown if forms that bind with high affinity in vitro are present on circulating monocytes (23, 27). We analyzed six monocyte CD16a samples, four isolated from different donors and two as pools of three donors (MoA and MoB) to increase CD16a yield and improve the depth of coverage at a single site. The most abundant N-glycan for each glycosite varied and is illustrated in Fig. 2A with N-glycan types shown by individual donor or pool in Fig. 2B. Data from these donors revealed between 13 to 39 unique species at each N-glycosylation site and a total of 77 unique N-glycan compositions (Table II; Supplemental glycopeptide_annotations.pdf).

Our analysis revealed only N-glycan modifications. We also characterized non-modified peptides for a total of 84% coverage of the peptide backbone (Fig. 2C). An analysis of the peptide spectra indicated that IgG and CD16a were the predominant glycoproteins in each dataset (supplemental MCP_Proteomics.xls). The MoA and MoB datasets also contained CD32a. Byonic identified fibrinogen glycopeptides in only the MoB dataset that compromised 5 out of 183 total spectral counts.

**Subtle Donor-dependent Variation in N162-Glycosylation**—The N162 glycopeptides also contain F158 or V158, linking the CD16a allele with processing at N162. The glycopeptides identified for each donor matched those expected based on cDNA sequencing (Table II and supplemental Fig. S1). F158 introduces a chymotrypsin cut site resulting in a shorter N162 glycopeptide (Peptide 2) (Fig. 3A and 3C). The N162 glycopeptides produced ions with relatively high intensity and high quality MS2s for most species as shown in Fig. 3A–3D. The identification of more peptide 1 (V158) species could result from increased ionization efficiency as compared with peptide 2 (F158), increased proteolysis or differences in V158 and F158 expression cannot be distinguished with these methods. HCD fragmented ions confirm composition of the parent ion, in particular through monosaccharide species specific for sialic acid (292.10 Da or 274.09 Da (-H2O)) and HexNAc (204.09 Da), disaccharide species including HexNAc-Hexose (366.14 Da), and trisaccharide species including HexNAc-Hexose-Sialic acid (657.24 Da). A peak corresponding to the peptide + HexNAc is a common diagnostic glycopeptide and one of the most intense as noted previously (28, 44). Most of these ions contain a deoxyhexose residue and fragments corresponding to the peptide + HexNAc + deoxyhexose and are likely core fucosylated, though we cannot eliminate the possibility of branch fucosylation because isomeric species were likely not separated during chromatography.

The predominant N162 glycans, averaged across all donors and allotypes, are summarized in Fig. 3A–3B with a core-fucosylated monosialylated complex-type N-glycan repre-
senting the most abundant species, though other forms also appear including complex-type biantennary, triantennary and hybrid-types. Other low abundant species include tetraantennary complex-type as well as oligomannose-type N-glycans. Interestingly, four datasets revealed small amounts of oligomannose-type N162-glycans (~10%). Overall, we determined that the N162-(F158)-glycan from these six data sets was 99.7% complex-type and 0.3% hybrid-type.
whereas the N162-(V158)-glycan was 88.9% complex-type, 7.1% hybrid-type and 3.9% oligomannose-type N-glycans (Fig. 3A–3B).

**CD16a V158 with Hybrid or Oligomannose-type N162-glycans Exhibits Greater Affinity for IgG1 Fc**—The appearance of under-processed N162 glycoforms from some donors is noteworthy because CD16a V158 with oligomannose-type N162 glycans binds IgG1 Fc with greater affinity in vitro, suggesting the monocytes from some donors express CD16a with enhanced affinity (20, 21, 23, 27). However, it is unclear how hybrid-type N-glycans impact affinity or if the CD16a F158 allotype displays a similar enhancement. SPR binding studies between IgG1 Fc and CD16a expressing complex-type (CT), Man9 or hybrid-type N-glycans indicate an affinity enhancement when CD16a has Man9 or hybrid-type as compared with complex-type (Table III). These results are comparable to previous measurements from our lab, though the absolute difference in enhancement because of the presence of a oligomannose-type N-glycans is reduced (20, 21, 23, 27).

Likewise, these receptors glycan composition did not appear to impact binding affinities of the CD16a F158 allotype.

**Restricted Processing and Variability at N45**—N45 glycosylation shows the most restricted processing and greatest variability compared with the other four CD16a N-glycosylation sites (Fig. 4A–4C). Two N45 peptides contained the N45-glycan (Table II), N45 peptide 1 is the expected degradation product and peptide 2 is the product of a missed Glu-C cleavage. A hybrid-type N-glycan with a sialic acid residue, three mannose residues that lacks fucosylation is the most

---

**Figure 3.** The CD16a N162-glycan is predominantly a monosialylated biantennary complex-type with some hybrid/oligomannose. The relative abundance represents the averages of each species from datasets originating from individual donors and donor pools and the pie charts represent the average abundance of each N-glycan type. A, Relative abundance of F158 glycopeptides (1 and 7). B, Relative abundance of glycopeptides for a single V158-specific peptide. C, A representative MS2 spectrum for most abundant N162 F158 glycopeptide. D, A representative MS2 spectrum for most abundant N162 V158 glycopeptide. Cartoons indicate one possible glycan configuration consistent with the composition, isobaric ions are not distinguished.

---

**Table III**

| Receptor        | IgG1 Fc G0F | IgG1 Fc G0 | KD (nM) | Error | KD (nM) | Error |
|-----------------|-------------|------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| CD16a V158-CT   | 500         | 30         | 10      |       | 100     |       |
| CD16a V158-Man9 | 170         | 20         | 40      |       | 40      |       |
| CD16a V158-Hybrid| 220        | 20         | 70      |       | 10      |       |
| CD16a F158-CT   | 1500        | 100        | 240     |       | 40      |       |
| CD16a F158-Man9 | 1300        | 200        | 200     |       | 70      |       |
| CD16a F158-Hybrid| 1000      | 100        | 200     |       | 200     |       |
The N45 glycan exhibited substantial variability between donors with a range of 1.0–50.2% complex types, 36.0–64.0% hybrid types and 1.7–58.8% for oligomannose types. MS2 fragmentation of hybrid-type N-glycan with one fucose and one sialic acid as well as an oligomannose-type glycan are illustrated in Fig. 4D and 4E. Diagnostic fragments in the MS2 spectra include hexose (163.06 Da), HexNAc (204.09 Da) and one sialic acid as well as an oligomannose-type glycan. A complex-type biantennary with one fucose and one sialic acid was the most predominant N169-glycan, the same predominant form found at N162 (Fig. 3). The ADAM17 cleaved peptide (N169 peptide 2) was identified but at a much lower intensity when compared with the expected Glu-C and chymotrypsin peptide (N169 peptide 1) (Table II and supplemental MCP_glycopeptides.xls), though this may result from nonspecific proteolysis during peptide purification.

**Extensive Modification with LacNAc Units at N38 and N74**—N-glycans at the N38 and N74 sites displayed exclusively highly processed complex-type N-glycans (Fig. 5A and 5B). Repeats of a hexose-HexNAc moiety define the predominant features of these species, though sialic acids and fucosylation are also present. The most intense ions differed by the number of hexose-HexNAc units and contained 2–5 sialic acids. Analysis of the monoisotopic peaks from the parent ions in the MS1 dimension provided differentiation of species to determine the presence of two fucose residues versus one sialic. Because of the large size of these ions and overall lower intensity compared with the N45 and N162 glycopeptides, MS2 fragmentation proved much weaker for these species and only showed the diagnostic peptide + GlcNAc ion for N38 and N74 (supplemental glycopeptide_annotations.pdf).

**N169-glycans are Predominantly Complex-type**—Complex-type N-glycans exclusively occupied N169 in all donors (Fig. 5C). A complex-type biantennary with one fucose and one sialic acid was the most predominant N169-glycan, the same predominant form found at N162 (Fig. 3). The ADAM17 cleaved peptide (N169 peptide 2) was identified but at a much lower intensity when compared with the expected Glu-C and chymotrypsin peptide (N169 peptide 1) (Table II and supplemental MCP_glycopeptides.xls), though this may result from nonspecific proteolysis during peptide purification.

**Monocytes Process CD32a Differently than CD16a**—Monocytes also express CD32a that contains two N-glycosylation sites and glycan profiles that are unlike CD16a (Fig. 6A). Two pooled datasets (MoA and MoB) included CD32a peptides because we purified CD16a from these samples using 3G8 (a mouse IgG1) that contained an Fc N297-glycan that captured CD32a, unlike the single-donor data sets purified over immobilized 3G8 without Fc N-glycosylation that didn’t bind CD32a (45). Analysis of the peptides from these datasets provided 61% sequence coverage of CD32a (Fig. 6C and supplemental MCP_glycopeptides.xls). Of these two datasets, MoB produced N64 and N145 N-glycopeptide ions with high intensity in the MS1 dimension and high quality MS2 spectra (Fig. 6B–6F). N-glycans at these sites were predominantly complex-type biantennary species with a core fucose residue and two sialic acids. MS2 fragmentation confirmed these assignments (Fig. 6E and 6F). MoA provided only a single
N64 glycopeptide that matched the predominant N64 species from MoB. Similarly, the most abundant N145 species from both datasets proved identical. The MoA dataset included 7% oligomannose forms and 2% hybrid forms unlike the MoB dataset that revealed no oligomannose forms and 17% hybrid forms (supplemental MCP_glycopeptides.xls). These data indicate the possibility for a surprising degree of variability at the N145 site and stark differences in processing compared with CD16a from the same donor pools.

**DISCUSSION**

We defined the composition of CD16a N-glycans isolated using primary human monocytes recovered from apheresis filters. These data indicated that the predominant N-glycoforms at a single location shared greater similarity to N-glycoforms at that site from a different donor than they did to glycoforms at a different site from the same donor. This is evident in many ways, but is most clearly shown with glycan type in Fig. 2B. In general, the CD16a N38 and N74-glycans are exclusively of a highly-processed complex-type with N-acetyllactosamine repeats, N169 are complex-type, N162 are predominantly complex-type with some less-processed forms present in some donors, and N45 contains a mixture of complex, hybrid and oligomannose types. This analysis reports similar glycopeptide coverage with less purified CD16a compared with the previous analysis of CD16a from detergent-lysed NK cells of single donors reported by our group (28). Though monocytes are more abundant in the peripheral blood of most donors, there is less monocyte CD16a than NK cell CD16a because only a subset of monocytes express CD16a (~17%) and those that do usually express less CD16a compared with NK cells as judged by flow cytometry (supplemental Fig. S2).

The initial comparison of CD16a from monocytes and NK cells revealed differences that are attributable to N-glycan composition (Fig. 1A–1C). Surprisingly, EndoF1 digested NK cell CD16a but not monocyte CD16a. This is likely because of differences in N45-glycan composition; monocyte N45 contains a much higher proportion of smaller hybrid types that lack a fourth mannose residue (likely the α1–3Man on the α1–6Man branch) that is required for EndoF1 activity (46) and is found on the NK cell CD16a N45 N-glycans (28).

Restricted processing at N45 is not unique to monocyte CD16a (Fig. 4B and 4C). NK cell CD16a N-glycosylation displays more restricted processing than recombinant CD16a expressed in HEK293F cells (27). A recent study found CD16a N45 on NK cells displays predominantly hybrid-type (28) and hardly any oligomannose forms unlike CD16a from monocytes reported here which has mostly hybrid and oligomannose-type. Furthermore, CD16b, the highly related FcγR expressed by neutrophils contains predominantly oligomannose
and minimal hybrid-type N45-glycans unlike CD16a from both NK cells and monocytes (44, 47). CD16 N45 N-glycosylation processing can be summarized as NK cell > monocyte > neutrophil. NMR and molecular dynamics simulations indicate the CD16 N45 N-glycan makes intramolecular contacts with the polypeptide leading to decreased mobility of the N-glycan (21, 22). Thus, restricted processing of N45 likely results from carbohydrate-polypeptide contacts that reduce accessibility to glycosyl transferases and glycosidases.

CD16 N162 processing on NK cells varied by donor though neutrophils or monocytes expressed receptor with much less variability at this site (Fig. 3) (28, 44, 47). Processing at the remaining three sites is more directly comparable between the three cell types. Gene microarray
data indicate that monocytes express the biosynthetic machinery for multiantennary branching of N-glycans including N-acetyllactosamine structures (48). MGAT5 catalyzes addition of the fourth branch GlcNAc residue to the 6-OH of the α1–6Man residue of a triantennary complex-type N-glycan, providing the likely site for LacNAc polymerization (49). Interestingly, Galectin 3 binds poly-LacNAc motifs on N-glycans and regulates T cell receptor clustering and cell activation (50, 51). It remains unclear if CD16a LacNAc motifs on NK cells, monocytes or neutrophils adopt similar roles.

This cell isolation and purification strategy proved sufficient to determine the unique distribution of N-glycoforms at each of the five CD16a N-glycan sites and provided a comparable analysis of CD32a from the same cells. Interestingly, the two N-glycosylation sites on CD32a, N64, and N145, were predominantly complex-type biantennary with two sialic acids and one fucose, unlike any of the major forms on the five CD16a sites. Thus, these monocytes processed CD16a and CD32a N-glycosylation differently. Because the purification targeted CD16a, it is possible that stronger binding CD32a glycoforms preferentially bound the mlG1 and are thus enriched in these datasets, however this appears unlikely because binding differences of CD32a glycoforms for human IgG1 Fc were not identified (23).

Saggu and coworkers recently characterized CD32a N-glycans purified from neutrophils and identified the identical predominant N64-glycan but a high percentage of underprocessed N145 glycans including oligomannose and hybrid-types (45). These authors found sialylated CD32a interacted with Mac1 which downregulated CD32a activity implicating CD32a N-glycosylation as functionally relevant mediators of neutrophil activation. Interestingly, monocytes reportedly downregulate Mac-1 expression after incubation with IgG; CD32a N-glycans may perform a comparable role on monocytes (52).

This analysis clearly identifies the predominant CD16a glycoforms expressed by primary human monocytes, though because of the relatively low sample size we are unable to define the distribution of modifications at each site in the broader population. We observed variable CD16a surface expression between donors that, when combined with different cell counts from each donor, led to recovery yields that varied by an order of magnitude (100 ng – 1000 ng) (supplemental Fig. S2–S3). This variability in recovery diminished the number of species identified from some donors that is evident from extracted ion currents for characteristic oxonium ions (supplemental Figs. S4–S9). Despite the low yields from certain donors, the predominant species identified in these cases matched the most abundant species from the datasets with deeper coverage, supporting the identification of the predominant N-glycoforms.

In summary, these data provide insight into how an individual donor modifies individual N-glycosylation sites on two different FcγRs recovered from a single cell type. Differential modifications potentially provide an individual with the ability to modify the activation threshold by controlling receptor affinity, and this approach affords the ability to characterize FcγRs from circulating monocytes using cells isolated from a single donor.
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