Identification of CD8\(^+\) T-cell epitope from multiple myeloma-specific antigen AKAP4
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Multiple myeloma (MM) is a malignant plasma cell disorder affecting mainly the elderly population. Revolutionary progress in immunotherapy has been made recently, including monoclonal antibodies and chimeric antigen receptor T cell (CAR-T) therapies; however, the high relapse rate remains problematic. Therefore, combination therapies against different targets would be a reasonable strategy. In this study, we present a new X-chromosome encoded testis-cancer antigen (CTA) AKAP4 as a potential target for MM. AKAP4 is expressed in MM cell lines and MM primary malignant plasma cells. HLA-A*0201-restricted cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) induced by dendritic cells (DCs) transduced with an adenovirus vector encoding the full-length AKAP4 gene were demonstrated to lyse AKAP4+ myeloma cells. Seven of the 12 candidate epitopes predicated by the BIMAS and SYFPEITHI algorithms were able to bind HLA-A*0201 in the T2 binding assay, of which only two peptides were able to induce CTL cytotoxicity in the co-culture of peptide-loaded human mature dendritic cells and the autologous peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from the same HLA-A*0201 donor. The AKAP4 630–638 VLMLIQKLL was identified as the strongest CTL epitope by the human IFN-\(\gamma\) ELISPOT assay. Finally, the VLMLIQKLL-specific CTLs can lyse the HLA-A*0201\(^\text{+}\)AKAP4\(^\text{+}\) myeloma cell line U266 \textit{in vitro}, and inhibit tumor growth in the mice bearing U266 tumors \textit{in vivo}. These results suggest that the VLMLIQKLL epitope could be used to develop cancer vaccine or T-cell receptor transgenic T cells (TCR-T) to kill myeloma cells.
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Introduction

The past decade witnessed great progress in multiple myeloma (MM) management, including new agents such as proteasome inhibitors (1, 2) and immuno-modulators (3, 4), immunotherapy targeting myeloma cells such as the monoclonal antibodies targeting CD38 (5) and SLAMF7 (CS-1) (6), anti-BCMA chimeric antigen receptor T (CAR-T) (7, 8), and the emerging XPO1 inhibitor (9), which all have shown to be effective to treat relapsed and refractory myeloma. However, MM remains incurable.

The high response rate of BCMA CAR-T cell therapy in refractory and relapsed myeloma patients made it a "superstar" in recent years (7, 8), but the high relapse rate after CAR-T is still the major concern: eventually the vast majority of MM patients with remission will relapse within 1 year. Given the advanced age of MM patients, it is not feasible to improve the efficacy of CAR-T therapy by sequential allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, which had been proven to be effective in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Attempts such as sequential infusion of two CAR-T cells have been shown to be effective to improving the overall response; however, the duration of remission has yet to be evaluated due to the limited follow-up [179 days (IQR 72–295)] (10). Theoretically, combination therapies with immune effector cells against different targets would be a reasonable strategy to solve the problem of relapse. Current studies have explored the combination of natural killer (NK) cells, cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), and T-cell receptor transgenic T (TCR-T) cells. The development of TCR-T is based on the identification of naturally processed immune dominant epitopes from antigenic proteins. As shown by the Rapoport AP group, the combination of TCR-T cells targeting NY-ESO-1 peptide with autologous stem cell transplant is promising in the treatment of MM (11).

The combination of flow cytometry cell sorting and next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology has accelerated research into cancer antigens (12). One of the most impressive and promising families of cancer-specific proteins is cancer-testis antigens (CTAs) (13). CTAs are a group of testicular proteins that are aberrantly and highly expressed in tumor tissues but rarely in usual somatic tissues. Due to the limited expression and the testicular immune privilege, CTAs are considered a great target for immunotherapy (14). However, comparing with the neo-epitopes, CTA has less advantage to distinguish human myeloma cells from mouse cells (18). However, AKAP4 protein is weakly expressed on the cell surface but highly expressed in the cytoplasm. Therefore, like most CTAs, AKAP4 is not suitable to serve as the target for antibody or the conventional CAR-T therapy but could be used as a target of CTL or TCR-T.

In this study, we adopted a reverse immunological method and identified an AKAP4-derived peptide (No. 8: VLMLIQKLL, 630–638) as a naturally processed HLA-A*0201-specific epitope. CTLs induced by this peptide can effectively kill HLA-A*0201 "AKAP4" myeloma cell line in vitro and in vivo. These results indicate that this epitope might be used to develop DC vaccine and TCR-T to kill myeloma cells.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

The following cell lines were used in this study: the human MM cell lines U266 (HLA-A*0201⁺) and RPMI-8226 (HLA-A*0201⁺); a human myeloid leukemia monocyte cancer cell line, THP-1 (HLA-A*0201⁺); a lymphoma cell line, RAMOS (HLA-A*0201⁺); and the T2 cells (HLA-A*0201⁺), a TAP-deficient human hybrid B/T lymphoblastic cell line; cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (U266, RPMI-8226, and T2) or DMEM (THP-1) medium (Euro Clone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, 100-U/ml penicillin, and 100 U/ml streptomycin (all from Life Technologies, USA), at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Peptide selection and synthesis

Twelve HLA-A*0201 restricted peptides from the AKAP4 protein sequence were selected based on an integration of their score using the HLA peptide binding prediction algorithms available at BIMAS (http://www.bimas.cit.nih.gov/molbio/hla_bind/) and SYFPEITHI (http://www.sygfeithi.de/bin/mhcservers.dll/epitopeprediction.htm) websites. The CMV peptide...
NLVPMVATV served as positive control in the T2 stabilization assays. All peptides were synthesized by Sangon (Shanghai, China) with a purity of 98% verified by mass spectrometry analysis.

**T2 peptide binding assay**

We used T2 cells to evaluate the binding and stabilization of HLA-A*0201 molecule stimulated by AKAP4-derived peptides. T2 cells were stripped in PBS for 2 h, washed, and resuspended in serum-free culture media. A total of $2 \times 10^5$ cells were incubated with 3 mg/ml β2-microglobulin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 μM peptide in a final volume of 200 μl for 4 h at 37°C. Cells were then washed and stained with the PE-conjugated HLA-A*0201 monoclonal antibody (BioLegend) before cytometry evaluation (FACSC II, BD Biosciences). As described elsewhere (19), fluorescence intensity (FI) was calculated as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of peptide-pulsed T2 cells/the MFI of T2 cells not loaded with peptide – 1.

**CTL killing assay**

First, the target cells are labeled with CFSE (Thermo, America). One microliter of CFSE was rapidly added to 1 ml of PBS resuscitated cells (the final concentration of CFSE was 5 μM), and stained at room temperature for 10 min in the absence of light. Complete medium was then added to stop staining. Cells were centrifuged at room temperature for 5 min. The complete medium was then used to resuscitate the cells at a cell density of $1 \times 10^5$/ml. The cultured CTL cells and labeled target cells were laid into a 96-well concave bottom plate at a fixed effector–target ratio for 6 h. Cells were then washed twice using PBS and stained with 7-AAD antibody before examined using flow cytometry.

**Generation of peptide-specific T cells from healthy donors**

All the relevant health donors and patients in this study signed the informed consent. Our study complied with the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Hospital of Peking University, with the approval number of 2021-323 from the Human Ethics Committee. The CTLs were generated based on the protocol described elsewhere (19). Briefly, human mature dendritic cells (DCs) were induced using rhIL-4, rhGM-CSF, and rhTNF-α (PeproTech) from bone marrow cells of HLA-A*0201+ donors. DCs were then pulsed with peptide (50 μM) overnight and then cocultured with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from the same donor in the presence of IL-2 (10 ng/ml). Peptide-induced CTLs were harvested after 5 days. Monoclonal antibodies used for DC and CTLs analysis included CD11c, CD80, CD86, CD3, CD4, and CD8 (BD Pharmingen).

**ELISPOT assay**

Human IFN-γ precoated ELISPOT kit (DAKEWE, China) was used for ELISPOT assay according to the instructions. PBMCs were isolated from the peripheral blood of healthy donors, and some cells were used to induce the formation of DC, while others were stimulated by a mixture of IL-2 and AKAP4 peptides (all 12 peptides are mixed). On the fifth day, these cells were harvested and plated. Briefly, $2 \times 10^5$ PBMCs and $5 \times 10^4$ autologous DCs were co-cultured for 24 h under the stimulation of different peptides. These cells were then harvest for ELISPOT assay. The spots were developed according to the program, and each well was analyzed by a Dual color plate-reader.

**In vivo tumor model**

NTG mice were purchased from SPF Biotechnology Co. Ltd. (Beijing), and bred in the animal breeding facilities at Peking University First Hospital under specific pathogen-free conditions. The experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University First Hospital (animal ethics approval number: 2022055). CTLs were induced by No. 8 peptide following the above-mentioned procedure. NTG mice were inoculated subcutaneously (s.c.) with $5 \times 10^5$ U266 cells in the axilla (day 0). The No. 8 peptide-induced CTLs ($5 \times 10^6$ per mice) or PBS was injected intravenously on day 1 and day 7. Tumors were measured along two orthogonal axes (a = length, b = width) every 5 days and tumor volume was calculated by the following formula: volume $= a \times b \times b/2$.

**Statistical analyses**

Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to assess difference in the data that do not meet the normality test. When comparing more than two groups, non-parametric Friedman test was first used to test the whole groups; if significant, Kruskal–Wallis rank sum test or Wilcoxon rank sum test was then used to test all possible groups. In the data that meet the normality test, unpaired t-test was used. When comparing more than two groups, two-way ANOVA was first used to test the whole groups; if significant, unpaired t-test was then used to test all possible two groups. *p*-value < 0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 software.
Results

AKAP4 was highly expressed in primary myeloma cells and the U266 cell line

We examined the expression of AKAP4 by flow cytometry in common myeloma cell lines, including U266 and RPMI-8226, control cell lines THP-1 and RAMOS, and primary myeloma cells. The expression of AKAP4 was confirmed in both cell lines (U266 and RPMI-8226) and patient samples (Figures 1A, B). It is worth noting that the expression of AKAP4 on the cell surface was lower than that in the cytoplasm. The two control cell lines, RAMOS and THP-1, were not detected to express AKAP4 by flow cytometry. Then, we examined the expression of HLA-A*0201 on these cell lines upon INF-γ stimulation. U266 cell and THP-1 cells were HLA-A*0201 positive, while RAMOS and RPMI-8226 were HLA-A*0201 negative (Figure 1C).

AKAP4 can induce effective immune response against myeloma cells

After confirming the expression of AKAP4 in the primary myeloma cells, we further explored the antigenicity of AKAP4. We cloned the full-length AKAP4 into the adenovirus vector and transduced mature DCs. Empty adenovirus vector without AKAP4 was used as negative controls. After 24 h, the adenovirus and co-incubated the transduced DCs with T cells from healthy donors. Successful transduction of AKAP4 was first confirmed by flow cytometry (Figure 1D). We then tested the killing ability of CTLs. The specific killing effect of CTLs on U266 was much higher than that on RPMI-8226 (Figure 1E), while CTLs induced by negative control adenovirus had no killing effect on U266 cells (Figures S1A, B). These results suggest that CTLs induced by AKAP4-adenovirus transduced DCs can specifically kill AKAP4-expressing U266 cells, suggesting that AKAP4 may have CTL epitopes.

Screening high-affinity peptides using T2 affinity test and concentration gradient test

Given that AKAP4 successfully induced the specific CTL cytotoxicity in vitro, we then screened possible key peptide epitopes. First, we obtained the complete amino acid sequence of AKAP4 from NCBI, and analyzed the sequence using BIMAS and SYFPEITHI algorithms to predict the potential HLA-A*0201 restricted epitopes. Twelve peptides with the highest predicted scores were selected and synthesized for further study (Table 1). We then used the T2 binding test to evaluate their ability to stabilize HLA-A*0201 molecules. After overnight incubation, the cells were washed to remove unbound peptides and stained with HLA-A2-PE mAb. HLA-A2-specific peptide binding is shown as an increase in the HLA-A2 fluorescence index (FI) (Figures 2A, B). The experiment was repeated 3 times. The fluorescence intensity (FI) was used to indicate the affinity with HLA-A*0201. As described in the method, fluorescence intensity (FI) was calculated as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of peptide-pulsed T2 cells/the MFI of T2 cells not loaded with peptide – 1; FI > 1 indicates strong affinity. Figure 2C illustrates the FI of 12 AKAP4 peptides along with the negative control (Blank) and the positive control (CMV peptide). Seven peptides (No. 3, No. 4, No. 5, No. 6, No. 8, No. 9, and No. 10) had FI > 1, indicating good affinity with HLA-A01. Finally, we carried out concentration gradient experiments on these seven peptides. We confirmed that they all had the ability to stabilize HLA-A*0201 molecules at 50 μM. Figure 2D showed the concentration-affinity curve for these seven peptides with HLA molecules in vitro.

Immunogenicity of high-affinity peptides by the human IFN-γ ELISPOT assay

Because of the different immunogenicity, peptides with the ability to stabilize HLA-A*0201 molecules are not always able to induce tumor-specific CTLs. Therefore, we used enzyme-linked immunospot assay (ELISPOT) to detect the ability of high affinity peptides identified in the T2 binding assays to induce CTLs to secrete IFN-γ. Specifically, PBMCs were isolated from healthy donors. Part of the cells were induced by cytokines to form DC and then were co-incubated with mixed high-affinity peptides. These peptide-loaded DCs were then co-cultured with the rest of the PBMCs to induce peptide-specific CTLs. In the ELISPOT experiment, we found that numbers of interferon-γ-secreting CTLs induced by different peptide-loaded DCs differed a lot. The positive control CMV peptide induced more than 400 spots per well. Among the 7 candidate peptides, peptide No. 8 (VLMILIQKLL), followed by peptide No. 5, induced the highest number of interferon-γ-secreting CTLs (Figures 3A, B). The induction of interferon-γ by the other five peptides was mild (Figure 3B). In order to further verify the specificity of interferon-γ secretion, we also used peptide No. 1 (as irrelevant control, Figure S2A) and peptide No. 8 (Figure S2B) as antigens for CTLs. As expected, peptide No. 8 produced more spots (Figure S2C). It is worth mentioning that no spots were observed when PBMCs of healthy donors and MM patients were directly stimulated with peptide No. 8 (Figures S2D, E). These results suggest that peptide No. 8 may be a natural antigen peptide of AKAP4.
CTLs induced by peptide No. 8 can specifically lyse AKAP4+ myeloma cell line in vitro

To further test whether peptide No. 8 could induce CTL-specific killing to myeloma cell lines, we carried out a tumor cell line killing assay. The killing efficiency is positively correlated with the effector-to-target (E:T) ratios, the specific killing ability to U266 cells reached ~64% at an E:T ratio of 25:1, while the killing efficiency was only about 27% and 5% at the E:T ratios of 5:1 and 1:1, respectively (Figure 4A). Among the three tested peptides, the CTLs induced by peptide No. 8 showed the highest killing ability.
compared to peptides No. 3 and No. 5 at all E:T ratios (Figure 4B). Interestingly, we found that the killing ability of CTLs to tumor cell lines depended on the expression of AKAP4 and HLA-A*0201. As shown in Figure 4C, the killing rates of CTLs to RPMI-8226 (AKAP4+ HLA-A*0201-), THP-1 (AKAP4+ HLA-A*0201+), and RAMOS (AKAP4- HLA-A*0201-) cells were significantly lower than that to U266 cells, which are AKAP4+ and HLA-A*0201+.

### TABLE 1 Information of 12 peptide candidates.

| No.  | Amino acid sequences | Position in the protein | BIMAS score | SYFPEITHI score |
|------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| AKAP4-1 | TMMSDDIDWL           | 8                       | 353.842     | 23              |
| AKAP4-2 | LLSDLQKYAL           | 114                     | 148.896     | 25              |
| AKAP4-3 | YLMNRPNQNL           | 167                     | 363.588     | 23              |
| AKAP4-4 | SIDDLSSFYV           | 213                     | 500.319     | 22              |
| AKAP4-5 | GLMVYNQV             | 332                     | 257.342     | 24              |
| AKAP4-6 | VLMTDSDFV            | 396                     | 1179.014    | 22              |
| AKAP4-7 | AMLRKLVSA            | 425                     | 131.296     | 27              |
| AKAP4-8 | VLMQIKLL             | 630                     | 154.369     | 21              |
| AKAP4-9 | KLVESVMKL            | 699                     | 705.066     | 29              |
| AKAP4-10 | LQKQLQAV             | 769                     | 159.970     | 27              |
| AKAP4-11 |QLAVLQWI             | 773                     | 131.975     | 22              |
| AKAP4-12 |QLDDLWLLANL          | 845                     | 745.355     | 29              |

The T2 binding assay showed 7 of the 12 peptides synthesized bind the HLA-A*0201 molecule with different affinity. (A, B) Representative multi-parameter flow cytometry scatter diagram for T2 cell affinity detection. (C) FI value of 12 peptides at the concentration of 50 μM (summarized the results of three independent experiments). (D) FI of the 12 peptides at different concentrations (one independent experiment). Fluorescence intensity [FI] = the mean fluorescence intensity of peptide-pulsed T2 cells/the FI of T2 cells not loaded with peptide – 1. CMV: peptide derived from CMV pp65 495-503 NLVPMVATV, served as positive control; Blank: result of T2 cells not loaded with any peptide.
Tumor growth was inhibited by peptide No. 8 specific CTLs in vivo

Furthermore, to test whether peptide No. 8-induced CTLs have antitumor functions in vivo, we adopted the U266 graft tumor model. As shown in Figure 5, U266 tumor growth was suppressed (Figure 5A) and tumor weights (Figure 5B) were lower in the mice that received the peptide No. 8-induced CTL treatment than those that received PBS. A group of tumor pictures is shown in Figure S3, indicating that the peptide No. 8-induced CTL is efficacious in inhibiting U266 HLA-A*0201 + AKAP4+ myeloma tumor in vivo. We repeated two experiments independently, and the results of individual experiments are shown in Figure S3.
Discussion

MM is still considered incurable, although the new chemotherapy regimens have greatly improved the prognosis. The high recurrence rate after treatment remains an unsolved problem. Immunotherapy, especially CAR-T therapy, is considered the most promising strategy against this malignant tumor due to the non-cross-resistant mechanisms of actions (20). However, even after CAR-T treatment, patients will eventually relapse. Therefore, the combination therapy against different targets might be a reasonable strategy. Some studies have made a breakthrough in the treatment of myeloma using the combination of natural killer cells, cytotoxic T lymphocytes, and T-cell receptor transgenic T (TCR-T) cells.
AKAP4 is mainly anchored in the tail of spermatozoa and can affect the intracellular environment and signal by regulating the PKC-ERK1/2-MAPK cascade (21). Its expression and localization in myeloma cells had been confirmed (17, 22), but accurate function of AKAP4 in the pathogenesis of MM has not been elucidated yet. Our study confirmed the expression of AKAP4 both in the cytoplasm and on the cell surface of myeloma cell lines and human primary myeloma cells. The intracellular expression of this protein is significantly higher than cell surface expression, suggesting that CTLs or TCR-T strategies would be more effective than monoclonal antibodies or CAR-T therapy (23). Given that CTAs are usually expressed in normal testicular tissue, the “on-target, off-tumor” effect should be considered when planning immunotherapy against AKAP4. Fortunately, since most MM patients are diagnosed at old age, with a median age of diagnosis at ~67 years old (20), their requirements for children are likely minimal. Therefore, AKAP4 may be a good target for MM immunotherapy.

Our study confirmed the high expression of AKAP4 in cytoplasm and low expression on cell surface in both primary myeloma cells and myeloma cell lines. However, we noticed that CTL against AKAP4 is almost absent in the patients (Figures S2D–F), despite the high expression of AKAP4 in the primary myeloma cells. This can be partially explained by the fact that tumor antigens are much less antigenic than viral antigens (17). Additionally, the multiple tumor immune escape pathways and the fragile immune system in patients with MM could have contributed to this scenario. In our study, we found that some high-affinity peptides in the T2 binding assay were not able to induce CTLs to secrete INF-γ, suggesting that high affinity does not stand for high immunogenicity. It is possible that the antigenic epitopes of AKAP4 were not well presented to CTLs in vitro, which also contributes to the nearly absent AKAP4-specific CTLs in patients. However, better outcomes and even the possibility of cure can be achieved after the patients have acquired tumor-specific immune response through antigenic vaccines or TCR-T technology, especially after reducing the tumor load of myeloma patients to a certain level using chemotherapy first.

Compared to other myeloma antigenic peptide epitopes that have been published, such as the anti-FcRH5/CD3, in which the peptide-induced CTLs had 85% killing efficiency in vitro against target cell lines at an E:T ratio of 10:1 (24), and two other weaker ones, XBP1 (25) and CS1 (26), which induced a CTL killing efficiency of about 50% against myeloma cell lines at an E:T ratio of 10:1, the APAK4 antigenic peptide-induced CTLs in our study had lower killing efficiency (27%–60%). Possible reasons include the following: First, unlike from the reported studies, the effector cells used for the killing assay in our study were mixed types of lymphocytes, of which the proportion of CD8+ T cells could only reach about 30% by the time of the killing assay; thus, the true E:T ratio would be much lower than the ratios reported. Second, the main killing validation method used in our study was the flow cytometry, which may not be as sensitive as other experimental methods such as 51Cr release assay for determining killing ability. Third, although the dendritic cells are the most potent antigen-presenting cells found in vivo (27), the DCs used in our study were induced from PBMCs in vitro, which may not be able to fully activate CTLs and induce cytotoxicity.

In summary, our study confirmed the high expression of AKAP4 in cytoplasm and low expression on cell surface in both primary myeloma cells and myeloma cell lines. We have screened 12 potential antigenic peptide epitopes and identified seven high-affinity peptides that can bind HLA-A*0201 molecules in the T2 binding assay, of which only two peptides were able to induce the specific CTLs to secrete INF-γ when encountering the cognate peptides. AKAP4 630–638 (VLMLIQKLL), which showed the strongest immunogenicity, could induce specific CTLs that showed significant anti-myeloma activity both in vitro and in vivo. The specific lysis of the AKAP4+ HLA-A*0201+ MM cell line provided strong evidence that AKAP4 630–638 (VLMLIQKLL) is a real antigenic epitope. Therefore, it is promising that the VLMLIQKLL epitope can be used as another myeloma antigen peptide to develop DC vaccine (28), TCR-T (29, 30), TCR-mimic antibody (31), or T-cell bispecific antibody (32) against myeloma.

Data availability statement
The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement
The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Committee of Peking University First Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Peking University First Hospital.

Author contributions
NM and HL contributed to data collection, data analysis, and preparation of the manuscript. YZ, WL, ZL, QW, YS, LW, and HR contributed to data collection. HL and YD contributed to the research design and preparation of the manuscript and
reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This article was supported by Beijing Natural Sciences Foundation (Grant No. 7192205).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Mateos MV, Dimopoulos MA, Cavo M, Suzuki K, Jakubowiak A, Knop S, et al. Daratumumab plus bortezomib, melphalan, and prednisone for untreated myeloma. N Engl J Med (2018) 378(6):518–28. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1714678
2. Stewart AK, Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, Mauro T, Špitka I, Orid A, et al. Carfilzomib, lenalidomide, and dexamethasone for relapsed multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(2):142–52. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1413212
3. Dimopoulos MA, Dryfeld D, Grosicki S, Moreau P, Takezako N, Horti M, et al. Elotuzumab plus pomalidomide and dexamethasone for multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2018) 379(19):1811–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1805762
4. Minarik J, Páka T, Radacha J, Jungova A, Straub J, Lilek T, et al. Survival benefit of inazomun, lenalidomide and dexamethasone (IRD) over lenalidomide and dexamethasone (RD) in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma patients in routine clinical practice. BMC Cancer (2021) 21(1):75. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-07732-1
5. Lokhorst HM, Plesner T, Laubach JP, Nahi H, Gimsing P, Hansson M, et al. Targeting CD38 with daratumumab monotherapy in multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2015) 373(13):1207–18. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1506564
6. Lonial S, Dimopoulos M, Palumbo A, White D, Grosicki S, Spicka I, et al. ELOQUENT-2 investigators. elotuzumab therapy for relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2015) 373(7):621–31. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1506564
7. Brudno JN, Maric I, Hartmann SD, Rose JJ, Wang M, Lam N, et al. T Cells genetically modified to express an anti-B-Cell maturation antigen chimeric antigen receptor cause remissions of poor-prognosis relapsed multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol (2018) 36(22):2267–80. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2018.77.8084
8. Munshi NC, Anderson LD Jr, Shah N, Madduri D, Berdeja J, Lonial S, et al. Idecabtagene vecizucel in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med (2021) 384(8):705–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1400139
9. Chari A, Vogl DT, Gavriatopoulou M, Nooka AK, Yee AJ, Huff CA, et al. Oral lenalidomide and dexamethasone (Rd) in relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma patients in routine clinical practice. Br J Haematol (2020) 210(4):658–70. doi: 10.1111/bjh.16367
10. Yan Z, Cao J, Cheng H, Qiao J, Zhang H, Wang Y, et al. A combination of h-CD3E/CD28 and 4-1BB-specific CD8+ T cell epitopes from mouse VEGFR2 that can inhibit angiogenesis and tumor growth. J Immunother (2006) 29(1):32–7. doi: 10.1097/01.cji.0000175494.13476.56
11. Zanwar S, Nandakumar B, Kumar S. Immune-based therapies in the management of multiple myeloma. Blood Cancer J (2020) 10(8):84. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-02035-x
12. Rahamim Ben-Navi L, Almog T, Yao Z, Seger R, Naor Z. A kinase anchoring protein 4 (AKAP4) is an ERK1/2 substrate and a switch molecule between cAMP/PKA and PCK/ERK1/2 in human spermatozoa. Sci Rep (2016) 6:37922. doi: 10.1038/srep37922
13. Hofmann O, Caballero OL, Stevenson BJ, Chen YT, Cohen T, Chau R, et al. Genome-wide analysis of cancer/testis gene expression. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (2008) 105(51):20422–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.081077105
14. Watanabe K, Nishikawa H. Engineering strategies for broad application of TCR-t- and CAR-t-cell therapies. Int Immunol (2020) 32(1):35–40. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxab052
15. Li J, Stagg NJ, Johnston J, Harris MJ, Menzies SA, DiCara D, et al. Membrane proximal epitope facilitates efficient T cell synapse formation by anti-FcR3i/CD5 and is a requirement for myeloma cell killing. Cancer Cell (2017) 31(3):383–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.02.001
16. Bae J, Carrasco R, Lee AH, Prabhala R, Tai YT, Anderson KC, et al. Identification of novel myeloma-specific XBP1 peptides able to generate cytotoxic T-cell responses in patients with myeloma. Blood (2015) 126(18):2188–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-02-532262

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.927804/full#supplementary-material
T lymphocytes: a potential therapeutic application in multiple myeloma. *Leukemia* (2011) 25(10):1610–9. doi:10.1038/leu.2011.120

26. Bae J, Song W, Smith R, Daley J, Tai YT, Anderson KC, et al. A novel immunogenic CS1-specific peptide inducing antigen-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes targeting multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol* (2012) 157(6):687–701. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2141.2012.09111.x

27. Ugur M, Mueller SN. T Cell and dendritic cell interactions in lymphoid organs: More than just being in the right place at the right time. *Immunol Rev* (2019) 289(1):115–28. doi:10.1111/imr.12753

28. Hobo W, Strobbe L, Maas F, Fredrix H, Greupink-Draaisma A, Esendam B, et al. Immunogenicity of dendritic cells pulsed with MAGE3, survivin and b-cell maturation antigen mRNA for vaccination of multiple myeloma patients. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* (2013) 62(8):1381–92. doi:10.1007/s00262-013-1438-2

29. San Miguel JF, Paiva B, Lasarte JJ. Engineering anti-myeloma responses using affinity enhanced TCR-engineered T cells. *Cancer Cell* (2015) 28(3):281–3. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2015.08.009

30. Jahn L, Hombrink P, Hagedoorn RS, Kester MG, van der Steen DM, Rodriguez T, et al. TCR-based therapy for multiple myeloma and other b-cell malignancies targeting intracellular transcription factor BOB1. *Blood* (2017) 129(10):1284–95. doi:10.1182/blood-2016-09-737536

31. Sergeeva A, Alatrash G, He H, Ruisaard K, Lu S, Wygant J, et al. An anti-PR1/HLA-A2 T-cell receptor-like antibody mediates complement-dependent cytotoxicity against acute myeloid leukemia progenitor cells. *Blood* (2011) 117(16):4262–72.

32. Augsberger C, Händ G, Xu W, Pulko V, Hanisch LI, Augustin A, et al. Targeting intracellular WT1 in AML with a novel RMF-peptide-MHC-specific T-cell bispecific antibody. *Blood* (2021) 138(25):2655–69. doi:10.1182/blood.2020010477