EBV attachment stimulates FHOS/FHOD1 redistribution and co-aggregation with CD21: formin interactions with the cytoplasmic domain of human CD21
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Summary

CD21 is a multifunctional receptor for Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), for C3dg and for CD23. Upon engagement of immune complexes CD21 modulates immunoreceptor signaling, linking innate and adaptive immune responses. The mechanisms enabling CD21 to independently relay information between the exterior and interior of the cell, however, remain unresolved. We show that formin homologue overexpressed in spleen (FHOS/FHOD1) binds the cytoplasmic domain of human CD21 through its C terminus. When expressed in cells, EGF-FHOS localizes to the cytoplasm and accumulates with actin in membrane protrusions. Plasma membrane aggregation, redistribution and co-localization of both proteins are stimulated when EBV (ligand) binds CD21. Though widely expressed, FHOS RNA is most abundant in the littoral cell, a major constituent of the red pulp of human spleen believed to function in antigen filtration. Formins are molecular scaffolds that nucleate actin by a pathway distinct from Arp2/3 complex, linking signal transduction to actin reorganization and gene transcription. Thus, ligand stimulation of FHOS-CD21 interaction may transmit signals through promotion of cytoskeletal rearrangement. Moreover, formin recruitment to sites of actin assembly initiated by immunoreceptors could be a general mechanism whereby co-receptors such as CD21 modulate intracellular signaling.
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Introduction

Human CD21 is a multifunctional cell surface glycoprotein that is highly expressed on B-lymphocytes and follicular dendritic cells (FDCs), although it can be detected on many additional cell types. CD21 is the receptor for the C3dg fragment of complement (Iida et al., 1983), for CD23 (Aubry et al., 1994) and human CD21 and is also the major cellular attachment protein for Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) (Fingeroth et al., 1984). CD21 is composed of an extracellular domain consisting of 15-16 short consensus repeat modules, a hydrophobic transmembrane, and a 34 amino acid cytoplasmic domain (Fearon and Carroll, 2000; Moore et al., 1987). The three known ligands all bind within the two N-terminal repeats (Aubry et al., 1994; Fearon and Carroll, 2000), which form a highly flexible domain as demonstrated by crystal structure analysis (Prota et al., 2002).

At present, the role of human CD21 is best understood in the context of the immune response. Many relevant studies have been conducted in mice (Fearon and Carroll, 2000; Holers, 2000), where a related though clearly diverged protein (mCD21/CD35) serves the dual function of both a C3b receptor (complement receptor type 1(CR1)/CD35) and a C3d receptor (CR2/CD21), depending on the pattern of N-terminal splicing. In man, two independent proteins, CD21 and CD35 are synthesized; however, the intracellular domain of human CD21 is most similar to that of mCD21/CD35 (Fingeroth, 1990).

CD21 participates in regulation of antibody (Ab) production through immune complex (C3d-Ab-Ag)-mediated modulation of B-cell receptor (BCR) signaling and internalization, Fc receptor signaling and through retention of pathogenic antigens (including HIV) on FDCs for stimulation of B-cell memory (Cherukuri et al., 2001; Fearon and Carroll, 2000; Moir et al., 2000; Poe et al., 2001; Prodinger, 1999). Interaction of CD21 with CD23 on B-cells is believed to additionally protect B-cells from apoptosis (Bonnefoy et al., 1993). However, the function of CD21 on most other cell types remains unknown.

The attachment of C3d-coated antigen to B-cells and FDCs physically links CD21 to the BCR. Precisely how these interactions culminate in quantitative and qualitative alterations in antibody production is not fully understood. On the resting B-lymphocyte, noncovalent associations between
the extracellular domain of CD21 and other B-cell surface proteins, in particular CD19, that independently mediate B-cell signal transduction have been shown to play a key role (Fearon and Carroll, 2000; Poe et al., 2001). Both mCD21/CD35 and CD19 can co-localize with a retained BCR in cholesterol-rich microdomains (lipid rafts). This event extends the duration and efficiency of intracellular signaling (Cherukuri et al., 2001), augments B-cell proliferation and may logarithmically increase antibody production (Fearon and Carroll, 2000). There is still considerable debate about whether human CD21 regulates ligand (C3d, EBV, CD23) internalization and/or contributes to transmission of any stimuli across the plasma membrane directly and independently of CD19 (Bradbury et al., 1992; Carel et al., 1990; Martin et al., 1994; Tanner et al., 1987).

No direct role for the short conserved cytoplasmic domain of human CD21 in relation to CD21 biology has been established. Recently, human CD46, a related complement receptor, pathogen receptor and a TCR co-receptor, was shown to signal in vivo through its short cytoplasmic domains (cyt1 and cyt2) (reviewed by Lee et al., 2002). Phosphorylation of cyt2 was required to effectively anchor gonococcal pilin to the surface of epithelial cells. Furthermore, direct CD46 stimulation of primary T-cells activated Vav while costimulation with CD3 enhanced Rac activation and induced actin reorganization and morphological change (Zaffran et al., 2001). Crosslinking of human CD21 on normal B-lymphocytes by virus and by monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) has also been observed to result in rapid F actin assembly (Melamed et al., 2001). Crosslinking of human CD21 in relation to CD21 biology has been established. Recent studies indicate that anchorage of CD21 by extracellular ligand produces (Koka et al., 2003; Tojo et al., 2003).

FHOS can interact with actin monomers and may play a role in epithelial cells lacking CD19. This receptor aggregation is greatly diminished in the absence of the C-terminal amino acids of FHOS. We propose a mechanism to explain the interaction of these proteins in vivo, and suggest that anchorage and aggregation of cell surface proteins are an effector function of certain formins. Unexpectedly, FHOS was abundant in the splenic littoral cell, a major constituent of the red pulp of human spleen that lines the splenic sinuses. Littoral cells are believed to play a key role in antigen filtration, though at present little is known about their biology.

**Materials and Methods**

**Construct design**

**Expression vectors: yeast**

cDNA encoding the cytoplasmic 34 amino acids (aa) of CD21 (GenBank accession no. P20023) was amplified using Taq polymerase, Advantage Taq-2 (Clontech) with the forward and reverse primers [5’ GGGGAAATTCTCAAAACACAGAGAAGCCAT 3’ (EcoRI)] and 5’ GCCCTGAGCGCTGGCTGTTGATG 3’ (PstI)]. cDNA encoding the cytoplasmic 43 aa of CD21 (last 34 + 9 aa of proximal transmembrane domain) was amplified by PCR using the same reverse primer and the forward primer 5’ GCCGAATTCCTGATGGTCACCAAGCTTACCTTA 3’ (EcoRI). Both PCR products were cloned into the pGBTLC vector (Clontech), placing the insert CD21 sequence in frame with a Gal4 binding domain. The resulting target plasmids were verified by sequencing and named pGBT.C-D21-CT and pGBT.C-D21-TMCT respectively.

**Bacterial**

To generate a glutathione S-transferase (GST)-FHOS CT fusion protein, a 600 bp C-terminal fragment, including nucleotides that encoded the last 199 aa and the stop codon of HeLa FHOS was amplified by PCR using the gene-specific primers: 5’-GGGGACTCGAGGGGCGGACGACGGGCC-3’ (KpnI) and 5’-GGGTTACCATGATGCGGGCGGAGGAGA-3’ (KpnI). The PCR product was cloned into the expression plasmid, pGEX4T-1 (Pharmacia), and sequenced (both strands), generating pGEX4T-1-FHOS-CT.

**Mammalian**

To synthesize pEGFP-FHOS (full length, 1-1164) and pEGFP-FHOSACT (C terminus deletion, 1-965), FHOS cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into pEGFP-C2 (Clontech) using unique restriction sites as follows: FHOS full length primers [MG182 5’ GGGGTTACCATGATGCGGGCGGAGGAGA 3’ (KpnI) and MG113 5’ CGGGAATTCCTGATGGTCACCAAGCTTACCTTA 3’ (BamHI)] and FHOSACT primers [MG 182 and MG196 5’ CGGGAATTCCTGATGGTCACCAAGCTTACCTTA 3’ (BamHI)]. To generate pBABE-CD21, CD21 cDNA was cloned into the multiple cloning site of pBABE (Morgenstern and Land, 1990), an eukaryotic expression vector.

**Cells**

**Lines**

Raji, Burkitt’s lymphoma; Nalm6, B-cell leukemia (a gift from Arnold Freedman, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute); 293T, embryonic kidney line transfomed with adenovirus fragments and bearing SV40-T antigen [a gift from Hava Avraham, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC)]; JY, B lymphoblastoid line (a gift from Jack Strominger, Harvard University); HeLa, cervical carcinoma and the murine fibroblast line, 3T3 were utilized in these studies. Cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection except as indicated.
Primary cells

B- and T-lymphocytes and monocyte/macrophages were independently isolated from freshly obtained normal human spleen using a Rosette-Sep Cell purification kit (STEM Cell Technologies). Discarded splenic tissue was obtained in accordance with the policies of the Institutional Review Board at BIDMC. The resultant cell preparations were examined by flow cytometry to confirm the purity of the individual cell populations using phycoerythin (PE)-labeled mAbs to human IgG, CD19, CD3, CD14 and CD16 (Sigma-Aldrich). Purified dendritic cells were a generous gift from Dr David Avigan, BIDMC, and FDCs were a generous gift from Dr Arnold Freedman.

Yeast two-hybrid screen

A HeLa cDNA library, pGAD10-HeLa cDNA Matchmaker (Clontech), was screened with the pGBT.C-CD21.CT target plasmid. Briefly, plasmid DNA prepared from a single library amplification and pGBT.C-CD21.CT were co-transformed into the yeast strain, Y190 (Clontech) by the lithium acetate method. Approximately 106 independent clones were screened. Transformants were selected on the basis of their ability to activate both the GAL1::His3 and GAL1::LacZ reporter genes using standard procedures for yeast manipulations (Chien et al., 1991).

Yeast plasmid DNA was isolated and sequenced. To confirm the specificity of the candidate interactions, isolated plasmids were independently re-transformed into Y190 with either pGBT.C-CD21.CT or three unrelated target plasmids, encoding lamin (Bartel et al., 1996), bacteriophage T7 gene 2 (Bartel et al., 1996), or the intracellular domain of the Coxackie and adenovirus receptor, CAR (Bergelson et al., 1997). Candidates that activated both reporter genes (as above) when co-transformed with pGBT.C-CD21.CT were considered true positives.

Cloning of full length HeLa FHOS cDNA

The full-length FHOS HeLa cDNA sequence was amplified from a Marathon-Ready™ human HeLa cDNA library (Clontech) using the FHOS-specific forward and reverse primers (5′TGGACCCGGGCAGGAGCCAGGACC 3′ and 5′CGAGAATTCGCGGCCGCTGAGATGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′) respectively. The Hela cDNA clone obtained was sequenced on both strands and deposited in GenBank under accession no. AY192154.

Demonstration of FHOS-CD21 interaction

In vitro

Initially GST-FHOS.CT, GST-EBV.TK (thymidine kinase) and GST alone were expressed and purified from BL21 carrying pGEX-F1-FHOS.CT, pGEX6F2-EBV.TK (Gustafson et al., 1998) and pGEX4T-1 vectors, respectively, using a glutathione-Sepharose 4B column (Amersham), and assessed for purity. Cell lysates were prepared from both Raji (CD21+) and Nalm6 (CD21-) cell lines. 107 cells were lysed in 0.5 ml of 1% NP-40 buffer supplemented with 1 mM of each of the following protease inhibitors: leupeptin, pepstatin, PMSF and aprotinin. The lysates were centrifuged at 800 × g of purified (a) GST-FHOS.CT or CD21.CT both genes were amplified, introducing unique (EcoRI) restriction sites for subcloning. The primers used were as follows: 5′CGGAATTCACAAGGGCGGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ and 5′CGGAATTCGCGGCCGCTGAGATGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ (for FHOS.CT) and 5′CGGAATTCACAAGGGCGGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ and 5′CGGAATTCGCGGCCGCTGAGATGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ (for CD21.CT). To generate bait vectors, FHOS.CT (966-1164) and CD21.CT (1000-1033) were fused to the VP16 activation domain, generating the clones pP-M-FHOS.CT and pM-CD21.CT, respectively. To generate target vectors FHOS.CT (966-1164) and CD21.CT (1000-1033) were fused to the VP16 activation domain, generating the clones pVP16-FHOS.CT and pVP16-CD21.CT, respectively. 293T cells were co-transfected using Lipofectamine 2000™ (Invitrogen) with 0.5 μg of pG5CAT-EFGR plus each of the following combination of plasmids: (1) pM3-VP16 (+ control) (2) pM-53 + pVP16-T (+ control) (3) pM-53 + pVP16-CP (− control) (4) pM-FHOS.CT + pVP16-CD21.CT and (4) pM-CD21.CT + pVP16-FHOS.CT. Additionally, the bait and target vectors were co-transfected with an irrelevant corresponding positive control vector (pM-53 or pVP16-T). Twenty-four hours later cells were fixed and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy.

Fluorescence microscopy

For indirect immunofluorescence cells were plated into 12-well trays at 2×105 cells/well. Co-localization studies were performed with cells (293T, HeLa) that were transfected with 1 μg of pEGFP-FHOS, pEGFP-FHOSACT, or pBABE-CD21 alone or in combination as stated. At selected time points after transfection cells were fixed and stained as outlined below and imaged using the Eclipse E600 fluorescence microscope (Nikon) at either 40× or 100× magnification employing Spot Advance for image capture and processing (Diagnostic Instruments). Twenty-four hours after transfection cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) (0.2 M NaH2PO4.H2O, 0.2 M NaHPO4, pH 7.2) for 30 minutes at RT. Fixed cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100/PB/3% BSA for 10 minutes at RT and extensively washed with PB. For actin staining, fixed cells were incubated with FITC-labeled phallolidin (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1% BSA/PB at 1:200 dilution) for 1 hours at RT. For α-tubulin staining fixed cells were incubated with anti-α-tubulin mAb (clone B-5-5-1-2, Sigma-Aldrich) in 1% BSA/PB at a 1:200 dilution) for 1 hours at RT. All cells were mounted using AquaPoly/mount (Polysciences). For CD21 staining, fixed cells (above) were incubated with anti-CD21 mAb HB-5 (Teuder et al., In vivo

An EGFP-modified version of the mammalian MATCHMAKER two-hybrid assay (Clontech, Catalog no. K1602-1) was used to confirm in vivo FHOS-CD21 interaction. To increase the sensitivity of the reporter activity the chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) reporter gene in pG5CAT was replaced with EGFP (Clontech). Briefly, the CAT open reading frame was removed from pG5CAT by PCR with Pfu polymerase (Stratagene) using the forward and reverse primers, 5′GAGATCTTTAAGTCTAGTGCCTCC 3′ (Bgl II) and 5′ATAAGAATTCGGCGCGTCTGCCCTTAAAGCCTGTTGTCG 3′ (NotI), respectively. The PCR product (pG5CAT) was ligated with the EGFP gene isolated from pEGFPN2 by Bgl II and NotI digestion. The resulting plasmid was named pG5CAT-EGFP.

To generate bait and target vectors containing either FHOS.CT or CD21.CT both genes were amplified, introducing unique (EcoRI) restriction sites for subcloning. The primers used were as follows: 5′CGGAATTCACAAGGGCGGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ and 5′CGGAATTCGCGGCCGCTGAGATGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ (for FHOS.CT) and 5′CGGAATTCACAAGGGCGGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ and 5′CGGAATTCGCGGCCGCTGAGATGCGCATATGCGTGCA 3′ (for CD21.CT). To generate bait vectors, FHOS.CT (966-1164) and CD21.CT (1000-1033) were fused to the VP16 activation domain, generating the clones pP-M-FHOS.CT and pM-CD21.CT, respectively. To generate target vectors FHOS.CT (966-1164) and CD21.CT (1000-1033) were fused to the VP16 activation domain, generating the clones pVP16-FHOS.CT and pVP16-CD21.CT, respectively. 293T cells were co-transfected using Lipofectamine 2000™ (Invitrogen) with 0.5 μg of pG5CAT-EGFP plus each of the following combination of plasmids: (1) pM3-VP16 (+ control) (2) pM-53 + pVP16-T (+ control) (3) pM-53 + pVP16-CP (− control) (4) pM-FHOS.CT + pVP16-CD21.CT and (4) pM-CD21.CT + pVP16-FHOS.CT. Additionally, the bait and target vectors were co-transfected with an irrelevant corresponding positive control vector (pM-53 or pVP16-T). Twenty-four hours later cells were fixed and analyzed by microscopy.
FHOS probe. Sections were incubated in an identical concentration of the sense (Promega) to facilitate preparation of an antisense probe. Control FHOS cDNA, which had been first cloned into the pGEMT vector cRNA probe containing 3496 nucleotides (ATG – STOP codon) of previously (Berger and Hediger, 2001) using a digoxigenin-labeled Non-radioactive in situ hybridization was performed as described In situ hybridization.
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RT-PCR was performed using a GeneAmp kit (Roche) with 1 total RNA was prepared from cells using a RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). RT-PCR

m

53+pVP16-CP) as shown in Fig. 1c, top. Both bait and target vectors provided by the manufacturer (positive control: pM-53+pVP16-T and negative control: pM-1a, activation of the HIS3 (and LacZ, data not shown) reporter gene only occurred in co-transformants that expressed CD21.CT (or CD21-TMCT) together with the C terminus of FHOS (Fig. 1a). These findings confirm that FHOS-CD21 interaction is specific and highly reproducible in yeast. CD21 expressed in human cells interacts with FHOS

To determine whether FHOS could interact with endogenous CD21 that is expressed on the plasma membrane of human B-lymphocytes a bacterial vector linking GST with the C-terminal 199 aa residues of FHOS was generated to enable expression and purification of a GST-FHOS fusion protein. GST-FHOS was then immobilized on glutathione-Sepharose and used to precipitate CD21 from freshly prepared cell membrane lysates originating from B-cell lines. After elution, GST-FHOS and its bound ligand(s) were analyzed by immunoblot using both an anti-GST Ab to confirm the elution of the GST-FHOS fusion protein and using an anti-CD21 Ab to confirm the presence of CD21 as a FHOS-interacting protein on the column. When cell lysates prepared from CD21+ Raji cells were used (Fig. 1b, lane 4), CD21 could be readily detected in the fraction eluted from GST-FHOS. No protein was detected when lysates from the CD21-Nalm6 line was identically analyzed (Fig. 1b, lane 6). When GST-FHOS was replaced with GST alone or with GST-TK (irrelevant control) as the immobilized ligand, neither of the control ligands bound CD21 (Fig. 1b, lanes 2 and 3). As shown in Fig. 1b, lane 1, the size of CD21 detected in Raji cell lysates was the same as that of CD21 co-precipitated by FHOS. These results demonstrate that FHOS interacts with endogenously expressed CD21 from cell membrane lysates of human B-cells confirming that the FHOS-CD21 interaction first identified in yeast occurs in vitro. FHOS interacts with CD21 in vivo

To demonstrate the in vivo interaction between FHOS and CD21 a modified version of the mammalian two-hybrid system (Clontech) was employed in which the reporter vector enzyme CAT was replaced with the fluorescent protein EGFP and cells were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy to visualize EGFP (see Materials and Methods). First the fluorescent two-hybrid assay was validated using the bait (pM) and target (pVP16) control vectors provided by the manufacturer (positive control: pM3-VP16 and pM-53+pVP16-T and negative control: pM-53+pVP16-CP) as shown in Fig. 1c, top. Both bait and target vectors were then generated that contained either FHOS.CT (C

In situ hybridization

Non-radioactive in situ hybridization was performed as described previously (Berger and Hediger, 2001) using a digoxigenin-labeled cRNA probe containing 3496 nucleotides (ATG – STOP codon) of FHOS cDNA, which had been first cloned into the pGEMT vector (Promega) to facilitate preparation of an antisense probe. Control sections were incubated in an identical concentration of the sense FHOS probe.

Results

The cytoplasmic domain of CD21 interacts with the C-termmus of FHOS

To identify candidate proteins that interact with the cytoplasmic domain of human CD21 a modified version of Field’s yeast two-hybrid assay (Fields and Song, 1989) was utilized (see Materials and Methods). A vector, CD21.CT (target) expressing the cytoplasmic 34 aa of human CD21 was synthesized and then co-transformed into yeast with a HeLa cDNA vector library (bait). A positively identified transformant was sequenced and shown to contain an 858 bp insert predicting an open reading frame of 199 aa (966-1164) followed by a stop codon and 3’ untranslated sequence. The complete DNA sequence encoding a 1164 aa protein was subsequently cloned and found to be identical to FHOS (Westendorf et al., 1999). The HeLa cDNA fragment identified encodes the carboxyl terminus 199 aa of FHOS. This is a variable region located C-terminal to the highly conserved formin homology domains (FH1/FH2) that may confer unique interaction(s) to different formin family members (Evangelista et al., 2003; Wallar and Alberts, 2003).

Followinig identification of the HeLa FHOS fragment, the full length HeLa cDNA clone was amplified by PCR (GenBank accession no. AY192154) using a HeLa cDNA library as a template. Comparison of splenic and HeLa derived-sequences revealed 13 nucleotide alterations that resulted in 9 predominantly conservative aa changes (E264D, E359D, S387T, D633E, V634L, T700S, R689Q, E745G, G751E, D849E) all located upstream of the C-terminal CD21 interactive domain. As expected, HeLa-derived FHOS contained the predicted domains that are conserved among diverse formin family members (Wallar and Alberts, 2003; Westendorf, 2001; Westendorf et al., 1999) including the FH domains, two coil-coiled domains, a collagen-like domain and a putative diaphanous autoregulatory domain (DAD)-like domain (Wallar and Alberts, 2003). The latter is proposed to link the N terminus with the C terminus in an intramolecular inhibitory interaction.

To verify the specificity of the CD21-FHOS interaction a direct yeast two-hybrid assay was performed. The bait vector containing the C terminus of FHOS was co-transformed with CD21.CT (the original target vector) or with CD21-TMCT, a related vector which contains nine amino acids from the adjacent transmembrane of CD21, together with three control target vectors expressing unrelated proteins. As shown in Fig. 1a, activation of the HIS3 (and LacZ, data not shown) reporter gene only occurred in co-transformants that expressed CD21.CT (or CD21-TMCT) together with the C terminus of FHOS (Fig. 1a). These findings confirm that FHOS-CD21 interaction is specific and highly reproducible in yeast.
Formin interaction with CD21

The intracellular domain of CD21 interacts with FHOS. (a) In yeast. A GAL4 activation domain plasmid encoding the C-terminal amino acids of FHOS was serially diluted (10⁻¹-10⁻⁴; UD, undiluted) and co-transformed into a yeast reporter strain with plasmids encoding the GAL4 DNA binding domain fused to one of the following: the 34 aa residues of the CD21 cytoplasmic tail (CD21-CT), the 34 aa residues of the CD21 cytoplasmic domain plus nine residues of the transmembrane domain (CD21-TMCT), lamin, the 105 aa residues of the CAR cytoplasmic domain (CAR-CT), or bacteriophage T7 gene 2 (T7(gp2); see Materials and Methods). Co-transformants were selected on minimal medium. Growth (i.e. activation of the HIS3 reporter) indicates a positive interaction. +C (positive control) represents co-transformation of plasmids encoding SNF1 and SNF4, proteins that interact in the two-hybrid assay. (b) In vitro. Purified fusion proteins expressing the C terminus of FHOS, GST-FHOS, GST alone or GST-TK (irrelevant protein) were incubated with cell lysates prepared from the B-cell lines Raji (CD21+; lanes 2-4) and Nalm6 (CD21–; lanes 5 and 6). Proteins interacting with FHOS were co-purified on glutathione beads, separated by SDS-PAGE and detected by immunoblot using a monospecific rabbit anti-CD21 antiserum. A total protein lysate from Raji cells (lane 1) was analyzed as a CD21 positive control. (c) In vivo. 293T cells were transfected with pG5∆CAT-EGFP (reporter) plus the desired combination of bait (pM-X) and target (pVP16-X) vectors as indicated. X denotes the fusion protein of interest. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were identified with Hoechst (nuclear stain), fixed and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Fluorescent EGFP+ cells indicates a positive interaction between bait and target fusion proteins. Top panel (method verification): EGFP induction was assessed using positive control vectors (pM53-VP16 and pM-53 + pVP16-CP, control protein; Clontech). Middle panel: EGFP induction following co-transfection of recombinant vectors encoding the cytoplasmic domain of CD21, CD21.CT together with the C terminus of FHOS, FHOS.CT. Right and left panels show reciprocal experiments. Bottom panel (control), EGFP induction following transfection of CD21.CT or of FHOS.CT together with cognate two-hybrid vectors encoding irrelevant proteins (T antigen or p53).
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vector (i.e. pM-FHOS.CT/pVP16-CD21.CT and pM-CD21.CT/pVP16-FHOS.CT) were co-transfected into 293T cells along with pG5CAT-EGFP. As seen in Fig. 1c middle, each combination of the bait and target proteins was able to interact in 293T cells inducing expression of the fluorescent reporter. For additional controls both the bait and target vectors were transfected with a corresponding control plasmid provided by the manufacturer (e.g. pM-CD21.CT + pVP16-T) (Fig. 1c, bottom) to ensure absence of nonspecific EGFP induction. These results demonstrate that in mammalian cells, the C terminus of FHOS is able to specifically interact with the cytoplasmic domain of human CD21.

FHOS distribution and co-localization with actin

Next, a series of experiments were undertaken to analyze the cell biology of the FHOS-CD21 interaction. First a eukaryotic expression vector encoding full length FHOS fused at the N-terminus to the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP), generating pEGFP-FHOS, was utilized to assess the cellular distribution of FHOS in live cells. pEGFP-FHOS was transfected into 293T cells and 24 hours later the cells were imaged by fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Fig. 2a, panels 1 and 2, FHOS localized to the cytoplasm of the cell, where it was observed surrounding the nuclear membrane, though it was excluded from the nucleus itself as demonstrated by Hoechst staining (Fig. 2a, panels 5 and 8). FHOS accumulated at the cell periphery (Fig. 2a) and at the borders between two cells (Fig. 2b). However, FHOS was most apparent close to the leading edge where it was prominently observed in filopodia, microspikes (Fig. 2a, panel 2) and in small lamellipodia (Fig. 2a, panels 3 and 6). Fig. 2a, panel 6 further demonstrates FHOS accumulation along one side of the nucleus as well as at the tips of elongating protrusions. These findings are consistent with the integral role of formins in the regulation of actin organization (Pelham and Chang, 2002; Tolliday et al., 2002). Coordinate staining to detect both actin (TRITC-phalloidin) (Fig. 2a, panel 4) and microtubules (α-tubulin, anti-mouse PE) (Fig. 2a, panel 7) further showed that FHOS specifically co-localizes with actin at the cell periphery and at the borders between cells (Fig. 2a panel 5 and Fig. 2b, panels 1-7), but does not co-localize with tubulin (Fig. 2a, panel 8). Remarkably, as shown in Fig. 2a, panel 3, overexpressed FHOS formed actin cables (inset), as has been reported for yeast formins (Feierbach and Chang, 2001; Sagot et al., 2002a). In the perinuclear region, the association of FHOS with actin was less prominent than at other locations (Fig. 2b, panels 1-3), as has been previously noted (Koka et al., 2003).

Aggregation and co-localization of CD21 and FHOS is dynamically enhanced in the presence of a cross-linking ligand

Based on the known functions of both CD21 and FHOS we hypothesized that the interaction of both proteins would be influenced by environmental and/or intracellular cues that occur only in vivo. To investigate the mechanics of this interaction in cells that lack CD19, 293T cells were initially co-transfected with both pEGFP-FHOS and pBABE-CD21 (a eukaryotic expression vector that encodes full length human CD21) in order to highly express the respective proteins (Fig. 3a). Twenty-four hours later the cells were analyzed with either a mAb directed to human CD21 (anti-CD21, HB-5) (Fig. 3a, panel 5) or with an isotype-matched control mAb, UPC10 (Fig. 3a, panel 2) or with second Ab alone, the latter to document
the absence of non-specific FcR interactions (not shown). Examination of the cells by fluorescence microscopy revealed that CD21 was localized to the outer membrane of the cell (Fig. 3a, panel 5), whereas FHOS was predominately located in the cytoplasm, with some protein localized to patches under the outer plasma membrane (Fig. 3a, panels 1 and 4). Overlapping images for both overexpressed CD21 (red) and FHOS (green) demonstrated minimal co-localization (yellow) of both proteins, as shown in Fig. 3a, panel 6.

To address whether extracellular ligand engagement of CD21 alters its cellular localization relative to FHOS, epithelial cells expressing both CD21 and EGFP-FHOS were incubated with either purified EBV (or purified Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus as a control) or with rabbit anti-CD21 Ab (or a pre-immune serum control). Attachment of Ab or EBV to CD21 is known to cause receptor cross-linking (dimerization in the case of antibody (IgG), multimerization in the case of virus), potentially leading to receptor redistribution within the plasma membrane.

When 293T cells were challenged with EBV, CD21 was redistributed into larger membrane patches and in some cells a more discrete cap-like structure could be observed (Fig. 3a, panel 8). Remarkably, in the regions where CD21 formed tight aggregates in the presence of EBV, some of the expressed FHOS protein coordinately re-localized with CD21 and accumulated in the same cap-like structures (Fig. 3a, panel 7). Merging of both images for CD21 (red) and FHOS (green) demonstrated the co-localization (yellow) of both proteins, especially in the cap-like structure, as shown in Fig. 3a, panel 9. Enhanced co-localization of both CD21 and FHOS was also observed when cells were challenged with rabbit anti-CD21 Ab, though it was less pronounced (not shown). The addition of either Kaposi’s sarcoma herpesvirus or pre-immune serum did not cause redistribution of CD21 with FHOS in 293T cells.

To extend the observations obtained when using 293T cells, both EGFP-FHOS and EGFP-FHOS\Delta CT (FHOS 1-965, lacking the C-terminal 199aa/the CD21 interacting domain) were co-expressed with CD21 in a HeLa cell line. HeLa cells lack all HLA-Class II expression (Zhou et al., 1997) whereas 293T cells can express minimal HLA-DP (Fingeroth et al., 1999) (personal observation). Selection of the HeLa cell line for further study was based upon knowledge that HLA-Class II can act as a co-receptor for a distinct glycoprotein present on EBV, following initial attachment of EBV gp350 to CD21 (Li et al., 1997). The cellular distribution of FHOS, FHOS\Delta CT and CD21 were examined in HeLa cells, first in the absence (Fig.

Fig. 3. FHOS co-localizes, aggregates and caps with CD21 in response to cell surface EBV binding. (a) FHOS co-localizes with aggregated CD21. Transfected 293T cells expressing EGFP-FHOS (green) and CD21 were co-stained with an isotype control mAb (UPC10; panel 2) or with anti-CD21 (mAb HB-5; panels 5 and 8) followed by goat F(ab')2 anti-mouse IgG-R-PE (red). Cells challenged with concentrated EBV are shown in panels 4-9. Merged imaged (panel 4 with 5 and panel 7 with 8) are shown in panels 6 and 9 respectively. (b) The C terminus of FHOS is required for efficient aggregation and co-localization. Transfected HeLa cells expressing either FHOS (EGFP-FHOS, green; panels 1-9) or FHOS\Delta CT (EGFP-FHOS\Delta CT, green; panels 10-15) and CD21 (red; stained as in a) were incubated with EBV (panels 4-9 and 13-15) or without EBV (panels 1-3 and 10-12). Merged images of FHOS with CD21 are shown in panels 3 (panel 1+2), 6 (panel 4+5) and 9 (panel 7+8). Merged images for FHOS\Delta CT with CD21 are shown in panels 12 (panel 10+11) and 15 (panel 13+14). All cells were fixed, stained and imaged as stated in Fig. 2.
Fig. 4. FHOS is abundantly expressed in splenic littoral cells. (a) FHOS expression in primary hematopoietic cells. RT-PCR of total RNA was performed using internal FHOS-specific primers (Materials and Methods) and demonstrates the ubiquitous expression of FHOS RNA in distinct primary hematopoietic cells (B cells, T cells, monocytes, dendritic cells, FDCs) and certain cell lines (HeLa, JY). Negative control, murine 3T3 cells. (b) FHOS expression in spleen. Normal frozen human spleen was sectioned and analyzed by in situ hybridization. The antisense panel demonstrates high expression of FHOS mRNA in splenic littoral cells, whereas no signal can be detected in the sense panel (control).

FHOS is highly expressed in splenic littoral cells

Based on the observation that FHOS interacts with the cytoplasmic domain of CD21, we hypothesized that splenic 'overexpression' of FHOS as described by Westendorf (Westendorf et al., 1999) would localize to B-lymphocytes and FDCs where CD21 is expressed. To identify the splenic cell type that abundantly expresses FHOS we purified cell populations from the hematopoietic constituents of splenic white pulp (B-cells, T-cells, macrophages, dendritic cells and FDCs), using both splenic and peripheral blood sources of the respective lineages to prepare total RNA. Surprisingly, when analyzed semi-quantitatively by RT-PCR (Fig. 4a), the expression of FHOS mRNA in each cell population appeared approximately equivalent suggesting that abundant expression of FHOS RNA in spleen might result from cumulative expression of the transcript in white pulp. To test this hypothesis RNA in situ hybridization was performed on human splenic tissue using full-length FHOS cDNA as a probe. Surprisingly FHOS mRNA was most highly transcribed in the red pulp, specifically in a population of sinus lining cells known as littoral cells (Fig. 4b). Littoral cells express cell surface markers indicative of a mixed endothelial and histiocytic lineage, although they also express the T-cell marker CD8 (Buckley, 1991). Characterization of this ubiquitous red pulp cell type (~30% of cellular constituents) has in fact been quite limited (Arber et al., 1997; Hirasawa and Tokuihiro, 1970). To confirm that the FHOS-expressing cells were littoral cells, an adjacent section was fixed in formalin and analyzed by immunoperoxidase staining. This revealed expression of relevant antigens including von Willebrand factor and CD8 on morphologically identical cells (not shown). These studies demonstrate that FHOS is highly expressed in a specialized cell type that lines the vascular channels of the red pulp of human
and is believed to be important for antigen internalization and clearance.

Discussion

Formins are a family of actin binding proteins that are conserved throughout eukaryotic evolution (Wasserman, 1998; Zeller et al., 1999). They function in dynamic remodeling of the cytoskeleton thereby regulating cell polarity and migration, cytokinesis, trafficking of vesicles, signaling to the nucleus and cell survival (Tanaka, 2000; Wallar and Alberts, 2003; Wasserman, 1998; Zeller et al., 1999). In higher eukaryotes, these functions form the basis for control of embryonic development and organ formation. Nine mammalian formins have thus far been identified (Li and Higgs, 2003). In vivo, mutation of the C terminus of certain of these proteins has been associated with limb deformity (mouse formin1), renal aplasia (mouse formin1), deafness (human diaphanosian1) and ovarian failure (human diaphanous2, mouse formin2) (reviewed by Leader et al., 2002).

Until recently formins were believed to function solely as molecular scaffolds providing a platform for crosstalk between signal transduction effectors (Rho family GTPases, src family kinases, wnt pathway proteins, others), actin binding proteins (profilin and profilactin binding proteins) and mediators of transcriptional regulation (activators of the serum-response transcription factor). Several critical studies now show that the highly conserved FH2 domain from yeast formins (Kovar et al., 2003; Pring et al., 2003; Pruyne et al., 2002; Sagot et al., 2002b) and from a mammalian formin, mDia1 (Li and Higgs, 2003) can directly nucleate the barbed ends of actin filaments leading to actin cable formation. This process is independent of assembly of branched actin filaments mediated by Arp2/3 complex and provides evidence for a separate cellular pathway of actin nucleation and dynamic control of cytoskeletal reorganization.

We show that FHOS, a human formin recently implicated in the regulation of cell motility (Koka et al., 2003) and vesicle trafficking (i.e. of IRAP/GLUT4 (insulin-responsive aminopeptidase/glucose transporter isomorph type 4) bearing vesicles) (Tojo et al., 2003) binds to the short cytoplasmic domain of human CD21. The FHOS-CD21 interaction, which was originally identified using a yeast two-hybrid assay, was confirmed in vitro using a stringent GST-FHOS pull down assay to precipitate B-lymphocyte CD21 and was further verified in vivo using an EGFP-modified version of a mammalian two-hybrid assay. Interaction with CD21 occurs via the C-terminal amino acid residues of FHOS (aa 966-1164) in a region that encompasses a DAD-like domain and has been proposed to bind its own N terminus in an intramolecular inhibitory interaction (Westendorf, 2001). In the case of diaphanosian-related formins this interaction is released upon binding of phosphorylated Rho GTPases to an N-terminal GTPase binding domain. Recently this region of FHOS has also been reported to interact with IRAP (Tojo et al., 2003). The significance of this overlapping FHOS domain is unknown, however, interestingly a related sequence in the short interactive region of each protein partner, ESSxK (in IRAP) and DTSxK (in CD21) can be identified (though this motif is not conserved in mCD21/mCD35). These findings suggest that human FHOS may participate in vesicle trafficking or more speculatively may regulate exo- and endocytosis. We are currently using our modified EGFP-mammalian two-hybrid technology to fine map the region(s) in both FHOS and CD21 that enables their specific interaction in vivo.

In addition to the direct binding experiments, the functional consequences of FHOS-CD21 interaction were directly visualized by overexpression of the full-length proteins in two epithelial lines. These cells lack CD19 (and also CD35; personal observation), a protein that complexes with the extracytoplasmic domains of CD21 on B-lymphocytes and is believed to mediate the B-cell effector functions linked to CD21 ligation and crosslinking (Fearon and Carroll, 2000; Poe et al., 2001). In the absence of a suitable antibody and to optimize visualization of intracellular distribution, EGFP fusion proteins were generated for both full length FHOS and FHOS lacking the C-terminal CD21 interactive domain. When expressed in cells both EGFP fusion proteins localized to the cytoplasm of the cell, as has been reported recently (Koka et al., 2003). EGFP-FHOS was present together with F-actin in leading edge structures where it could be clearly visualized in elongating lamellipodia as well as in filopodia, microspikes, regions of cell-cell contact, as well as at the contractile ring in dividing cells (not shown).

In cells that were co-transfected with both CD21 and FHOS, FHOS was predominately localized in the cytoplasm and CD21 was uniformly expressed along the cell surface, thus co-localization under these conditions was limited. However, when challenged with either EBV or polyclonal antibodies raised to the extracellular portion of CD21, both FHOS and CD21 coordinate re-localization forming aggregates and cap-like structures along the plasma membrane. When C-terminal truncated FHOS was utilized in these studies, even in the presence of EBV, neither re-localization of FHOS nor significant CD21 aggregation was observed. From the data obtained in this study we propose that upon extracellular ligand engagement of CD21 in vivo, FHOS binds to the cytoplasmic domain of CD21, in turn linking this membrane protein to the actin cytoskeleton. Formin attachment anchors the ligand bound receptor and provides physical control for CD21 re-localization in the form of aggregation and cap formation at the cell surface (Fig. 5).

The precise mechanisms that cause FHOS to redistribute to the cell membrane enabling it to interact with and co-aggregate CD21 are not known. FHOS recruitment may occur upon ligand induced modulation of intracellular CD21 (Barel et al., 2003) or may result from ligand-stimulated application of a mechanical force generating a physical and/or spatial cue (GTPase activation, Rac1) that attracts FHOS proximate to CD21 (Riveline et al., 2001). Although the overall structural organization of FHOS is similar to that of diaphanosian-related formins, important differences are suggested by the observation that Rac1 can bind FHOS in the absence of phosphorylation (and therefore activation). Furthermore, the location of the FHOS GTPase binding domain is altered relative to that of other formins and the results of transcription regulation studies suggest that Rac1 may function downstream rather than upstream of FHOS (Westendorf, 2001). Although FHOS contains a C-terminal DAD-like domain, the structure differs from that of diaphanosian-related formins (Wallar and Alberts, 2003). Together with current findings (unpublished data) (Tojo et al., 2003) these observations raise the possibility...
that FHOS may initiate activation (release of intramolecular bonds and exposure of FH1/FH2) through interaction of the C-terminal DAD with specific membrane proteins, rather than through its N-terminal GTPase binding domain, which implies that FHOS is functionally distinct (Fig. 5, model). Irrespective of the mechanism of interaction, release of FHOS from a presumed autoinhibitory (intramolecular) conformation may further initiate or enhance actin polymerization through positive feedback loops (Wedlich-Soldner et al., 2003) and this could provide the first direct link to downstream signal transduction pathways initiated by CD21 alone (Fig. 5, model).

The discovery of two separate actin nucleation pathways suggests that cooperation between actin networks initiated by branched Arp2/3 complex nucleated filaments and by formin-mediated nucleation at barbed ends may represent a major mechanism for directing and enhancing actin assembly in mammalian cells (Svitkina et al., 2003). Recently in yeast, the conserved PCH family protein Cdc15, a protein critical for formation of the cytokinetic actin ring, was found to coordinate both nucleation pathways by binding directly to both the Arp2/3 complex activator Myo1p and the formin Cdc12p (Carnahan and Gould, 2003). In man, a Cdc15-like adaptor protein (CD2AP) interacts with the cytoplasmic domain of the T-cell co-receptor CD2 (Li et al., 1998), and this interaction has recently been linked via WASp interaction to Arp2/3 complex mediated nucleation. Although actin polymerization following CD2 ligation was impaired in a WAS/p (Arp2/3 complex activator)-deficient cell line (Badour et al., 2003), it was not abolished, suggesting other important interactions are involved. On the basis of several recent discoveries we speculate that physical ligation by immune complexes of both CD21 (formin) and immunoreceptor (Arp2/3 complex) actin nucleation pathways, particularly in lymphocytes that contain the GEF Vav (activator of Rac1) and WASp (activator of Arp2/3 complex), may alter the pattern and/or augment actin assembly at the immunoreceptor. These cytoskeletal alterations could contribute to aggregation of the BCR in microdomains, alter the rate of endocytosis or otherwise enhance signal transduction and lymphocyte activation. An understanding of precisely how ligand bound CD21 initiates actin assembly and of how this differs from patterns of actin cytoskeletal rearrangement initiated by ligation of the BCR or of CD19 may explain the unresolved mechanisms through which human CD21 functions: as a tether for C3d-bound antigen on FDCs, as a mediator of virus (and ligand) endocytosis on other cell types and as a lymphocyte co-receptor (for the BCR and other immunoreceptors).

Since FHOS was highly expressed in spleen we hypothesized that it would be abundant in either lymphocyte or FDC cell populations that also expressed CD21. Although FHOS was detected in many tissues and in most immortalized cell lines, in the majority of cases FHOS was more highly expressed in normal cells than in their cognate lines (data not shown). As FHOS RNA was not detected in human brain tissue or in cells of the central nervous system, FHOS is not a ubiquitously expressed protein. Unexpectedly, when we analyzed human spleen sections by RNA in situ hybridization, FHOS was most abundant in the splenic littoral cell. Littoral cells constitute more than 30% of the splenic red pulp (Hirasawa and Tokuhiro, 1970). They line the splenic sinusoids and display antigens consistent with a dual endothelial and histocytic lineage (Arber et al., 1997; Buckley, 1991). Littoral cells can extend long protrusions into the splenic sinuses and contain abundant intracellular vesicles. Therefore a role has been proposed for these cells in antigen capture, filtration and clearance – though no direct proof is available. Interestingly,
in the littoral cell angioma, a vascular tumor of red pulp that originates from littoral cells, the expression of CD21 is significantly upregulated (Arber et al., 1997).

Although implicated in organ malformation, thus far no abnormalities in splenic development have been linked to mammalian formins. FHOS maps proximate to the locus for the CREBBP gene on chromosome 16 and deletions of CREBBP are associated with Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. Intriguingly, in a report about the association of some cases of Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome with polysplenia and hypoplastic heart it was suggested that a contiguous gene syndrome may sometimes occur (Bartsch et al., 1999).

In this study we identify FHOS as a novel CD21 interacting protein, a formin that binds the cytoplasmic domain of CD21 through its own carboxyl terminus, and itself re-localizes in the cell coordinately with CD21 at the plasma membrane upon specific ligand engagement of CD21. FHOS may provide CD21 with an independent and critical link to the cytoskeletal reorganization and interior signaling pathways of the cell.
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