Effect of Post-harvest Treatments and Packaging on Spinach Beet (Beta vulgaris var bengalensis Hort.) under Ambient Condition
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ABSTRACT

The present experiment was conducted in postharvest laboratory of Department of Horticulture & Postharvest Technology, Institute of Agriculture to find out the ideal chemical treatment and packaging to increase the postharvest storage life of spinach beet under ambient storage condition. After harvesting the spinach beet plants were treated with different chemicals (0.3% ascorbic acid, 0.3% citric acid, 0.5% common salt, 0.5%sugar, 0.005%benzoic acid and distill water) and packed in various packing materials (perforated LDPE packing, news paper packaging and without packing). The treatments consisted of chemical treatments and packing. The experiment was laid out in completely Randomized Design (CRD) in factorial manner. Results from the experiment reviled that highest number of days (6.5) to 50% colour change, 50% rotting and lowest respiration rate (52.28 mL.kg⁻¹. hr⁻¹) was observed in T₃P₃ (0.005% benzoic acid +LDPE packing). Lowest physiological loss in weight (78.80g/100g), ethylene production (8.41 nl.g⁻¹.hr⁻¹), highest dry matter content (22.96g/100g), which led to increase in shelf life up to 5days in T₁P₃ (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE packing).
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Introduction

Spinach beet belongs to the family Chenopodiaceae is one of the most important leafy vegetables of tropical and sub-tropical region and is grown widely in India. It has the potential source of Vitamin A, C and contains appreciable amount of protein, calcium, iron and low oxalic acid. Post-harvest losses of leafy vegetables is one of the important production problem estimated over 30% which are generally caused by poor handling and storage conditions (Nyaura et al., 2005).

Post-harvest losses in spinach beet is about 25% which is mainly due its high perishability due to high respiration rate and rapid deterioration after harvest, poor handling after harvesting, improper packaging and lack of improved storage techniques etc. Ascorbic acid, citric acid and benzoic acids are the most widely used post-harvest chemicals to enhance the storage life and delaying in colour change of harvested produce inhibition of oxidation from cut leafy vegetables and improvement of shelf life in leafy vegetables, respectively (Whitaker, and Lee, 1995). Natural
preservatives like common salt, sugar are also potential and cheap sources to increase the postharvest shelf life and quality standards through exosmosis of water which inhibit the growth of microorganisms and endogenous sugar levels increases shelf life. Rapid loss of water from leafy vegetables is a serious problem and packing with suitable material is advocated. Appropriate packaging helps to maintain high humidity, inhibit wilting and reduce weight loss (Brandl and Mandrell, 2002). Therefore, selection of suitable packing material is an important issue apart from its easy availability. Leafy vegetables contain high respiration rate and knowledge on respiration rate and ethylene production will help to understand post-harvest behavior of leafy vegetables under different chemical treatments and packing condition, thereby providing information for the selection of appropriate chemical treatment and packaging for the leafy vegetable. Considering the above views, the present experiment was conducted to find out the best chemical treatment and packaging condition to enhance spinach beet storage life.

**Materials and Methods**

Present experiment was conducted in Post-Harvest laboratory of Department of Horticulture and Post-Harvest Technology, Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati University. Spinach beet plants were harvested at commercial maturity stage from properly managed field. After washing with water, plants were treated separately for 15 minutes with chemicals viz. Ascorbic acid-0.3% (T1), Citric acid-0.3% (T2), Benzoic acid-0.005% (T3), Common salt (NaCl)-0.5% (T4), Sugar-0.5% (T5) and Distil water- (T6) and placed on blotting paper to remove surface moisture. About 100g of spinach beet plants were packed in each type of packing material viz., without packing material (as control) (P1), Low Density Poly Ethylene (LDPE) pack (100 gauge, having 0.1% perforation (P2) and News print (0.1% perforations) (P3). The experiment was designed in FCRD and each treatment was replicated thrice. The treatment combinations consisted of chemical treatments and packing.

Ethylene and respiration rate were measured by gas chromatography. It is a static system, the commodity is enclosed in an airtight container and can be accurately detected by using ethylene analyzer (CI-900, CID Bio-Science, Inc.). The experiment was laid out in completely Randomized Design (CRD) with eighteen treatments and three replications. Observations recorded on Physiological loss in Weight (PLW), dry matter content (%), shelf life (days), number of days to colour change, days to rotting initiation and 50% rotting, Ethylene production rate (nl.g⁻¹.hr⁻¹), respiration rate (ml.kg⁻¹.hr⁻¹), oxygen consumption rate (ml.kg⁻¹.hr⁻¹), temperature (°C), relative humidity (%) and vital heat (Kcal.Ton⁻¹.24hrs.) was observed at initial and final consumption stage (shelf life).

Data were statistically analyzed using XLSTAT (US, NY, 2016 version) software to determine the mean difference between treatments. The method of Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used to differentiate treatment means at \( p \leq 0.05 \). Regression analysis was conducted to find out relationships between shelf life and ethylene, respiration rate and temperature.

**Results and Discussion**

Irrespective of chemical treatments significant reduction in physiological loss in weight (PLW) was observed in LDPE, followed by newsprint packing. The maximum PLW (63.47, 52.07 and 20.73 g /100g respectively) was recorded in T₆P₁ (distilled water + no packing) during all the stages of observations (i.e. 2, 4 and 6th day). The minimum values
in this regard was observed in T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE packing) during the said stages (Fig. 1). The reduction in PLW of spinach beet under T1P3 might be due to development of high relative humid condition in LDPE led to reduction in respiration rate of spinach beet due to inhibitory activity of oxidases such as polyphenol oxidase, ascorbic acid oxidase and beet glycol acid oxidase (Burton, 1978), further high PLW under ambient storage of French bean was also observed (Prasad et al., 2014). The maximum drying percentage (22.96%) was observed in treatment T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE packing) and minimum (8.90%) in this regard in T6P1 (distilled water + no packing) (Table.1). Retention of high dry matter with the use of any packaging material might be due to comparatively lower respiration rate. Use of ascorbic acid produced the maximum benefit probably by decreasing the degradation of reserved food by dint of its antioxidant activity.

Among various treatment combinations highest shelf life (5days) was observed where the palak plants treated with 0.3% ascorbic acid and stored under LDPE packaging condition the lowest shelf life observed in distill water treatment with no packaging condition. The highest shelf life (5days) was observed in the treatment T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE packing) and also in T3P3 (0.005% Benzoic acid + LDPE packing). The lowest shelf life (2.5 days) was observed in T6P1 (0.5% common salt + no packing) and T6P1 (distilled water + no packing) (Table.1). Among the chemicals used in the experiment, 0.3% ascorbic acid and 0.005% benzoic acid both under LDPE condition increased the shelf life of spinach beet. This might be due to retention of more humidity in LDPE which helped to reduce the rate of respiration and thus increased the shelf life of spinach beet. Benzyl adenine being a cytokinin derivative and ascorbic acid having antioxidant properties, which might have delayed senescence, decreased respiration and thus helped in retention of chlorophyll content in leafy vegetables (Majeski and Brasley, 1968).

Days taken to 50% colour change of the leaves were remarkably delayed under LDPE packing and it was accentuated under no packing condition. The maximum number of days to 50% colour change (6.5 days) was recorded in T3P3 (0.005% Benzoic acid and LDPE packing) (Table.1). The lowest value (3.0 days) was recorded in T6P1 (distilled water and no packing) and T4P1 (0.5% common salt and no packing) in this regard. Minimum number of days to 50% colour change as observed with 0.5% common salt and no packing might be due to loss of water through exosmosis due to presence of salt and increased transpiration from leaf tissue.

Highest number of days to 50% rotting (7 days) of spinach beet leaves was found in T3P1 (0.005% Benzoic acid and no packaging condition) and it was closely followed with T1P1 and T1P10 (6.5 days). The minimum value (4 days) observed in T6P3 (distilled water and LDPE packaging). The delay in days to 50% rotting as observed in the treatment T3P1 (0.005% Benzoic acid and no packaging condition) and T4P1 (0.5% common salt along and no packaging condition) might be due to action of Benzoic acid for reduction in the respiration rate of harvested produce and prevention of microbial decomposition due to presence of common salt, respectively. Moreover, increased moisture in the micro environment of LDPE packaging might have become congenial for early initiation 50% rotting. The marginal improvement in delay in days to 50% rotting under news print packing over LDPE packaging might have capillary action of news paper packaging to absorb moisture evolved through transpiration and respiration.
| Treatment | Chemical          | Packaging     | Drying percentage (%) | Shelf life (Days) | Days to 50% colour change | Days to 50% rotting | Ascorbic acid (mg/g) |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| T1P1      | 0.3% ascorbic acid| No packing    | 9.66                  | 3                 | 3.5                        | 6.5                 | 23.00                |
| T1P2      | 0.3% ascorbic acid| News print    | 15.98                 | 4                 | 5.5                        | 5.5                 | 25.00                |
| T1P3      | 0.3% ascorbic acid| LDPE Packing  | 22.96                 | 5                 | 6.0                        | 6                   | 26.80                |
| T2P1      | 0.3% citric acid  | No packing    | 10.62                 | 3.5               | 4                          | 6                   | 23.95                |
| T2P2      | 0.3% citric acid  | News print    | 14.38                 | 4                 | 5                          | 5                   | 24.88                |
| T2P3      | 0.3% citric acid  | LDPE Packing  | 19.93                 | 4.5               | 5.5                        | 5.5                 | 25.00                |
| T3P1      | 0.005% Benzoic acid| No packing   | 9.65                  | 3                 | 4                          | 7                   | 22.95                |
| T3P2      | 0.005% Benzoic acid| News print   | 16.50                 | 4.5               | 5.5                        | 5                   | 23.55                |
| T3P3      | 0.005% Benzoic acid| LDPE Packing| 20.16                 | 5                 | 6.5                        | 5.5                 | 23.17                |
| T4P1      | 0.5% Common salt  | No packing    | 9.76                  | 2.5               | 3                          | 6.5                 | 22.12                |
| T4P2      | 0.5% Common salt  | News print    | 13.13                 | 3                 | 3.5                        | 5                   | 23.18                |
| T4P3      | 0.5% Common salt  | LDPE Packing  | 16.08                 | 3.5               | 4.5                        | 4.5                 | 23.89                |
| T5P1      | 0.5% Sugar        | No packing    | 9.95                  | 3                 | 4                          | 5.5                 | 23.01                |
| T5P2      | 0.5% Sugar        | News print    | 14.88                 | 3.5               | 4.5                        | 4.5                 | 23.85                |
| T5P3      | 0.5% Sugar        | LDPE Packing  | 16.76                 | 4                 | 5                          | 5.5                 | 24.00                |
| T6P1      | Distilled water   | No packing    | 8.90                  | 2.5               | 3                          | 5                   | 22.00                |
| T6P2      | Distilled water   | News print    | 14.45                 | 3                 | 4                          | 4.5                 | 23.50                |
| T6P3      | Distilled water   | LDPE Packing  | 14.41                 | 4                 | 5                          | 4                   | 23.00                |

SE.m (±)  
0.89 0.66 0.57 0.41 0.77

CD-5%   
2.76 1.94 1.65 1.30 2.26
Table 2 Effect of Postharvest treatments and Packaging on ethylene production and respiration rate Spinach beet under ambient condition

| Treatment | Chemical          | Packaging       | Ethylene (nL L⁻¹.g⁻¹.hour⁻¹) | Carbon dioxide (ml Kg⁻¹.hour⁻¹) | Oxygen (ml Kg⁻¹.hour⁻¹) | Temperature (°C) | Vital heat (Kcal L⁻¹.Ton⁻¹.24 hrs) |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| T₁P₁      | 0.3% ascorbic acid | No packing     | 38.33                         | 100.43                         | 72.267                 | 34.35            | 6179.44                             |
| T₁P₂      | 0.3% ascorbic acid | News print     | 13.85                         | 72.27                          | 52.45                  | 34.93            | 4415.28                             |
| T₁P₃      | 0.3% ascorbic acid | LDPE Packing   | 8.41                          | 52.28                          | 38.70                  | 34.67            | 3314.15                             |
| T₂P₁      | 0.3% citric acid  | No packing     | 25.16                         | 81.21                          | 59.13                  | 31.70            | 4970.18                             |
| T₂P₂      | 0.3% citric acid  | News print     | 20.51                         | 68.13                          | 49.63                  | 32.50            | 4190.15                             |
| T₂P₃      | 0.3% citric acid  | LDPE Packing   | 9.36                          | 56.91                          | 41.44                  | 33.45            | 3482.60                             |
| T₃P₁      | 0.005% Benzoic acid | No packing     | 39.40                         | 99.90                          | 72.62                  | 29.58            | 6113.56                             |
| T₃P₂      | 0.005% Benzoic acid | News print     | 11.86                         | 65.58                          | 40.63                  | 30.56            | 3422.40                             |
| T₃P₃      | 0.005% Benzoic acid | LDPE Packing   | 9.15                          | 55.46                          | 47.49                  | 28.29            | 4013.43                             |
| T₄P₁      | 0.5% Common salt | No packing     | 50.03                         | 105.63                         | 76.76                  | 35.18            | 6464.45                             |
| T₄P₂      | 0.5% Common salt | News print     | 11.54                         | 93.28                          | 67.75                  | 35.47            | 5708.47                             |
| T₄P₃      | 0.5% Common salt | LDPE Packing   | 29.84                         | 79.88                          | 58.29                  | 36.34            | 4884.89                             |
| T₅P₁      | 0.5% Sugar       | No packing     | 13.68                         | 95.82                          | 69.36                  | 35.70            | 5864.18                             |
| T₅P₂      | 0.5% Sugar       | News print     | 22.72                         | 77.27                          | 56.44                  | 35.80            | 4728.57                             |
| T₅P₃      | 0.5% Sugar       | LDPE Packing   | 15.36                         | 78.52                          | 57.37                  | 35.93            | 4805.33                             |
| T₆P₁      | Distilled water  | No packing     | 44.53                         | 110.34                         | 80.20                  | 35.11            | 6766.88                             |
| T₆P₂      | Distilled water  | News print     | 32.60                         | 88.53                          | 64.61                  | 36.28            | 5418.20                             |
| T₆P₃      | Distilled water  | LDPE Packing   | 19.33                         | 76.14                          | 55.41                  | 36.00            | 4659.66                             |

SE.m (±)   | 0.88             | 1.26            | 1.20                          | 0.99                          | 48.66                  |
CD-5%      | 2.53             | 3.63            | 1.70                          | 2.85                          | 139.58
Fig. 1 Effect of postharvest treatments and packaging on Physiological Loss in Weight (PLW) (g) in spinach beet under ambient condition

Fig. 2 Effect of postharvest treatments and packaging on chlorophyll content (mg/g) and TSS (°Bx) of spinach beet under ambient condition
Fig. 3 Mean values of ethylene (nl.g$^{-1}$.hr$^{-1}$), respiration rate (ml.kg$^{-1}$.hr$^{-1}$) and temperature ($^0$C) of different treatments

Finally, failure to develop high humidity under no packaging condition might be the reason for delay in 50 % rotting, similar results was also reported by Piagentini et al., (2000).

The highest initial and final TSS (4.5$^0$Bx and 15.20$^0$Bx) was observed in the treatment T$_6$P$_1$ where plans were treated with distilled water along with no packing condition and lowest values (3.15$^0$Bx and 8.00$^0$Bx, respectively) were observed in the treatment T$_3$P$_3$ (0.005% Benzoic acid and LDPE packing) and T$_1$P$_3$ (0.3% ascorbic acid and LDPE packing) respectively (Fig. 2).

Increase of total soluble solids (TSS) with no packing condition followed by newsprint and LDPE packaging regardless of chemical treatments might be due to the loss in moisture content which led to increased concentration of TSS and similar findings are supported the response (Goukh et al., 1995). However, the response observed did not corroborate with the findings Hussain et al., (2005).
Retention of highest chlorophyll content (34.23 mg/g and 25.53 mg/g) was observed in palak plants under the treatment of 0.3% ascorbic acid + no packing condition (T1P1) and lowest values (21.80 mg/g and 14.11 mg/g, respectively) observed in T4P2 (Fig. 2) in this regard. Superior results from T1P1 (0.3% ascorbic acid and no packing) might be due to beet antioxidant property of ascorbic acid which prevented degradation of chloroplasts (Cadenas, 1985) and (Sies, 1985).

Sufficient availability of light in open condition might have played important role for stabilization and retention of chlorophyll than other packaging materials (i.e. Newsprint and Low Density Poly Ethylene).

Significantly highest ascorbic acid (26.80 mg/100 g) was recorded in T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid and LDPE packing). Whereas, the lowest (22 mg/100 g) observed in T6P1 (distilled water and no packaging) (Table 1). Analyzed results also revealed better retention of ascorbic acid with LDPE packing. The decrease in vitamin C content with storage duration can be attributed to the oxidation of ascorbic acid into dehydroascorbic acid by the enzyme ascorbic acid oxidase. Decrease in ascorbic acid content was found to be maximum with no packing condition than Low Density Poly Ethylene packing which might be due to insufficient level of oxygen in LDPE packing for oxidation of ascorbic acid. Similar findings reported in spinach beet (Mogren et al., 2012) and chard (Daiss et al., 2008).

**Estimation of ethylene production and respiration rate of Spinach beet**

**Ethylene (C2H4) production rate**

The endogenous ethylene might have impacts on shelf life and quality of harvested vegetables (Porat et al., 2001). Initial ethylene production of the spinach beet was 7.40 nl g⁻¹ hr⁻¹ and at final consumption stage (shelf life) the production range was registered 8.41-50.03 nlg⁻¹ hr⁻¹ (Table 2). Significant reduction in ethylene release (8.41 nl g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) was observed in the treatment T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid and LDPE packing) followed by T3P3 (9.15 nl g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) and T2P3 (9.36 nl g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) (Table 2). Whereas, it was highest (50.03 nl g⁻¹ hr⁻¹) in T4P1 (0.5% Common salt + no packing).

**Respiration rate**

Uneven respiration rate was identified in all the treatments. It was lowest (52.28 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) under T3P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid and LDPE packing) followed by T2P3 (55.46 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) and T3P3 (56.91 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹). But, it was highest (110.34 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) under T6P1 (Distilled water + no packing) (Fig. 3). The variations in ethylene production might be due to storage temperature and relative humidity inside the packing condition (Wills et al., 1998). Production of endogenous ethylene increases cellular respiration, which in turn increases metabolic rate during storage and it was effected by packing condition (Mahajan and Goswani, 2001). These changes might be due to retention of more humidity in LDPE packing helped to reduce the respiration rate which automatically reduced ethylene production. Further, treatment of palak plants with 0.3% ascorbic acid might have deterrent effect on ethylene production and respiration rate respectively, due to their antioxidant property.

**O2 consumption rate**

Lowest oxygen consumption rate (38.70 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) was reviled in the treatment T1P3 (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE packing) followed by T3P3 (40.63 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) and T2P3 (41.44 ml kg⁻¹ hr⁻¹) and highest in this regard was observed in T6P1.
(80.20 ml kg$^{-1}$ hr$^{-1}$). During respiration process consumption of O$_2$ shown similar behavior to that of release in CO$_2$ (reparation rate), the loss of O$_2$ and gain in the respiration rate will spoil the product quality due to the internal heat evolved from the tissues by the process of respiration.

**Temperature ($^0$C) and vital heat**

Production of temperature was varied among the treatments and its range was observed 28.29 $^0$C to 36.34 $^0$C. Lowest temperature (28.29 $^0$C) reviled in the treatment T$_3$P$_3$ (0.05% benzoic acid and LDPE packing) and highest in this regard was in T$_4$P$_3$ (36.34$^0$C). Among all the treatments lowest vital heat 3314.15 Kcal.Ton.$^{-1}$24 hrs$^{-1}$ was observed T$_1$P$_3$ (0.3% ascorbic acid + LDPE Packing) followed by T$_3$P$_2$ (3422.40 Kcal.Ton.$^{-1}$24 hrs$^{-1}$) and highest in this regard 6766.88 Kcal.Ton.$^{-1}$24 hrs$^{-1}$was observed in T$_6$P$_1$ (Distilled water+ No packing) condition. Production of highest temperature and vital heat under LDPE and newsprint packing condition might be due to rise in respiration rate inside the packing condition will increase the internal temperature.

**Relationships between shelf life and ethylene production, respiration rate and temperature**

Regression analysis to reveal the relations between the two variables, i.e., ethylene production (nL$^{-1}$g$^{-1}$hr$^{-1}$) and shelf life (days) indicated a linear relation as well as a highly significant ($P \leq 0.01$) correlation coefficient ($r= 0.62$, P-value $< 0.01$). Besides, $R^2$ (coefficient of determination), revealed that it was possible to account up to 63% of the variability in shelf life ($y$), to ethylene production. The relationship between ethylene production and shelf life was negative and followed the linear equation: of $Y=4.715–0.0464x$, representing a high negative value of coefficient of regression ($b$), which means shelf life decrease against increased ethylene production (Fig. 4 a-c). Also, analysis of regression indicated that a best described response as a linear regression for respiration rate (Respiration rate production) and shelf life (respiration rate $= 7.07 – 0.042 x$, $R^2 = 89.88$; $p \leq 0.01$), (Fig. 4a-c). The relationship between internal temperature and shelf life was negative and followed the linear equation: of $Y=8.01 –0.12x$, representing a negative value of coefficient of regression ($b$), which means shelf life decrease against increased internal temperature (Fig. 4a-c). Significant linear relationship between shelf life, ethylene production, respiration rate and temperature provides the clue that shelf life was depending on all these traits. Linear regression equations for shelf life suggested that increase in one unit (10 nL.g$^{-1}$ .hr$^{-1}$, 10ml.kg$^{-1}$ .hr$^{-1}$, 2$^0$C) of ethylene content, Respiration rate production and temperature lead to decreased shelf life by 0.04 days, 0.04 days and 0.12 days respectively. From the above mentioned results, it could be concluded that the coefficients of determination ($R^2$) of 0.89 and 0.62 indicated that the shelf life involved in this study affected the total variability of ethylene production and respiration rate by 63% and 89%, respectively and the temperature indicated less (15%) impact.

It was observed that treatment with 0.3% ascorbic acid and LDPE packing helps to reduce the physiological loss in weight, ethylene production rate and helps to increase shelf life of spinach beet during storage
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