Effects of Using Plant Extracts and Liquid Mineral on Growth Performance, Organ Weight and Meat Quality of Broiler Chickens
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ABSTRACT

A 35-day trial investigated the influence of Mentha arvensis (MA) and Geranium thunbergii (GT) extracts and liquid mineral (LM) in drinking water, on the growth performance, breast and thigh meat yield, digestive organ weights and meat quality characteristics in broilers. A total of 210 broilers were divided into the following treatment groups: (i) control, (ii) T1 (0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.01% LM), (iii) T2 (0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.05% LM), (iv) T3 (0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.1% LM), (v) T4 (0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.01% LM), (vi) T5 (0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.05% LM) and (vii) T6 (0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.1% LM). Significantly improved weight gain (P<0.05) and FCR was observed in T2 relative to the control and other treatment groups. Breast and thigh meat yields were not influenced by the treatments. However, the weight of small intestine was significantly reduced (P<0.05) in birds receiving dietary treatment T4 as compared with the control. On an average, meat TBARS value was decreased (P<0.05) in all supplemented groups (except T1 and T2) and the lowest (P<0.05) meat microbiological count was seen in T4. These results indicate that certain ratios of plant extracts and liquid minerals can be used to enhance the performance and meat quality in poultry.

INTRODUCTION

To improve animal health and productivity, antibiotic feed additives (AFAs) have been widely used in poultry feed for decades. Despite their beneficial contribution to the industry, the unregulated and prolonged use of AFAs has resulted in drug resistant strains of poultry pathogens. As the global consumption of chicken is increasing at a steady rate of 3.6% annually, it is imperative to develop effective antibiotic alternatives without compromising the productivity (Suresh et al., 2017). In this context, the use of phytogenic feed additives (PFAs) in poultry feed has resulted in drug resistant strains of poultry pathogens. As the global consumption of chicken is increasing at a steady rate of 3.6% annually, it is imperative to develop effective antibiotic alternatives without compromising the productivity (Suresh et al., 2017). In this context, the use of phytogenic feed additives (PFAs) in poultry feed has gained interest among the manufacturers and consumers. PFAs are known to have antioxidative, antimicrobial and immunomodulatory properties, and have also been shown to improve nutrient absorption and digestion in the gut (Ahmed et al., 2013). Their ability to increase poultry productivity is suggested to include mechanisms such as stimulation of intestinal mucosa, an increase in the digestive secretions, promotion of beneficial microorganism in the gut and inducing morphological changes in crypt size and villi in the intestine (Diaz-Sanchez et al., 2015).

The poultry meat is susceptible to oxidative reactions due to the higher composition of unsaturated muscle lipids. The oxidative processes have a unfavorable impact on animal growth, performance and food quality (Estévez, 2015), there is a growing need to control these reactions by using antioxidant products. Of these, the natural antioxidants derived from plants and specific inorganic elements are of great interest among the industry and consumers (Fellenberg and Speisky, 2006). Mentha arvensis (MA) belongs to the family of Lamiaceae, which is used in season foods, as a household remedy and for industrial purposes. Recent researches have confirmed that the extract of MA possesses antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic and antimicrobial properties.
and have positive impact on growth performance in poultry (Biswas et al., 2014; Dilawar et al., 2019). It is also reported by Chanwitheesuk et al. (2005) that among 43 plant extracts evaluated, MA contains the highest vitamin E (0.0294 mg%) and total xanthophyll (26.5 mg%), which is linked to higher antioxidant activity of this plant. Geranium thunbergii (GT) is a perennial plant that belongs to the Geraniaceae family and is found in Korea, Japan and China. It is reported to possess anti-inflammatory, anti-mutagenic and anti-oxidant properties (Sung et al., 2011). Among the 20 plants compared, GT showed the highest antioxidant potential; the gallic acid and total phenolic contents of this plant were 2312 mg/100g and 104 mg Gallic Acid Equivalent (GAE)/g, respectively (Kim et al., 2010).

Minerals are important for a wide range of physiological processes in all animals. It is suggested that certain minerals in the diets of poultry exert beneficial effects on meat quality and growth (Shastak and Rodehutscord, 2015). However, excess dietary level of some minerals could interfere with the availability and metabolism of other minerals. Major problems regarding mineral requirement in animals are environmental concerns, if they exceed the physiological requirements (Carlson et al., 1999). Additionally, the density of minerals differs from the other ingredients used in poultry feed. Consequently, there is difficulty in uniform mixing of these micro-ingredients in the feed, and each animal may not get the optimum level of minerals required for production. Sericite and clay mineral supplements have generally been included in animal diet to provide better flowability and pellet quality while preventing contamination by mycotoxins (Fowler et al., 2015). Hence, supplementing minerals in drinking water could be an alternative approach that ensures uniform intake by each bird. Although numerous studies have reported the beneficial effects on the broiler’s performance and meat quality by supplementing the diets with plant extracts and minerals but the optimal combination of plant extracts and liquid minerals and their addition in drinking water, has not yet been extensively studied. The present study, therefore, aimed to supplement liquid minerals and plant extracts in drinking water and determine if they have an impact on growth performance, carcass yield, internal organ weights, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) value, microbial count and pH of poultry meat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant extract and liquid mineral preparation

Plant extracts of Mentha arvensis (MA) and Geranium thunbergii (GT) were prepared by drying the leaves, followed by grinding using a blender (Wong et al., 2006). Both plant extracts were prepared separately, using 100 g of dried ground leaves in 5 L of distilled water. The mixture was allowed to stand at normal room temperature for 2 h in the dark, with occasional agitation. The procedure was repeated for the extraction of 2 kg dried sample of each plant and adjusted to 1° Brix with sterile water. Each extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and stored at room temperature without any further treatment. Combination treatments were prepared in the poultry house prior to feeding, and added to drinking water.

The liquid mineral (LM) used in the experiment was provided by the Korea Food Ingredients Association (Daejeon, South Korea). The minerals were extracted from sericite and yellow clay. Mineral extracts for broilers were prepared by adding 5 g of citric acid, 5 g of malic acid and 20 L of water to 1 kg of mineral material mixed with sericite and yellow clay. After 24 h of extraction, extracts were filtered through a 1 μm filter bag. The liquid mineral contained (in ppm) K 3,109.11, Mg 643.61, Na 3,510.72, Pb 0.13, Cd 0.20, As 0.16, Hg undetermined, F 5.03. Combination treatments were mixed in the poultry house prior to feeding, and added to drinking water.

Animal care

All experimental procedures used in this study were authorized by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Sunchon National University, South Korea.

Birds and experimental design

Totally, 210 one-day old male “Ross” broiler chicks purchased from a commercial hatchery were weighed and randomly allocated into 7 treatment groups, having 5 replicates with 6 birds each (7 treatments x 5 replicates x 6 birds). The chicks were housed and reared in a closed, ventilated, and caged experimental broiler house (100 cm long × 80 cm wide × 40 cm high/cage). A linear feeder at the front for ad libitum feed intake and a nipple drinker at the back were provided in the cage for free access to water. Every morning, equal amounts of water were supplied to each replicate cage, to ensure uniform intake throughout the entire study. Temperature was maintained at 33°C from d 1 to d 7, gradually decreased to 24°C at a rate of 3°C per week, and then kept at 24°C until the end of the experiment. The relative humidity was maintained at 50% all over the experiment. The light schedule and stocking density were similar to the guidelines set in the Ross Broiler Management Handbook 2018.

The ingredients, calculated nutrient composition, vitamin and mineral content of the experimental basal diets are presented in Table I. The dietary treatments are summarized in Table II.
Table I.- Feed ingredients and analyzed chemical composition of the broiler diets.

| Broiler diets          | Starter | Finisher |
|------------------------|---------|----------|
| **Ingredients (%), as-fed basis** |         |          |
| Corn                   | 50.00   | 56.00    |
| Soybean meal           | 37.00   | 28.84    |
| Corn gluten meal       | 0.50    | 1.00     |
| Wheat-10%              | 6.00    | 7.00     |
| Limestone-Small        | 2.03    | 1.92     |
| Salt-Proc              | 0.25    | 0.25     |
| DCP-18%                | 0.40    | 0.46     |
| L-Lys sulfate 70%      | 0.30    | 0.18     |
| Minemix                | 0.20    | 0.20     |
| Vitamix                | 0.05    | 0.05     |
| L-Threonine-98%        | 0.01    | 0.01     |
| MHA-Liquid             | 0.26    | 0.29     |
| Sunphase 5000FTU       | 0.01    | 0.01     |
| Soybean oil            | 3.00    | 3.80     |
| **Total**              | 100.00  | 100.00   |

**Calculated composition (% DM)**

- **ME (kcal/kg)**: 3,090.97 in starter vs. 3,207.91 in finisher.
- **Crude protein (%):** 22.04 in starter vs. 19.00 in finisher.
- **Crude fat (%):** 5.35 in starter vs. 6.23 in finisher.
- **Crude ash (%):** 5.71 in starter vs. 5.26 in finisher.
- **Crude fibre (%):** 2.59 in starter vs. 2.39 in finisher.
- **Ca (%):** 1.09 in starter vs. 1.04 in finisher.
- **Phosphorus (%):** 0.45 in starter vs. 0.43 in finisher.
- **Lysine (%):** 1.34 in starter vs. 1.07 in finisher.
- **Methionine (%):** 0.57 in starter vs. 0.55 in finisher.

Each kilogram contains: vitamin A, 12,061.00 IU (starter) and 12,122.00 IU (finisher); vitamin D3, 3000.00 IU; vitamin E, 28.06 ppm (starter) and 29.35 ppm (finisher); vitamin K, 2.10 ppm (starter) and 2.11 ppm (finisher); choline chloride, 1,329.10 ppm (starter) and 1,146.20 ppm (finisher); copper, 73.02 ppm (starter) and 72.07 ppm (finisher); manganese, 77.92 ppm (starter) and 75.80 ppm (finisher); zinc, 73.75 ppm (starter) and 71.57 ppm (finisher); iodine, 0.94 ppm; selenium, 0.30 ppm; iron, 148.63 ppm (starter) and 414.21 ppm (finisher).

Table II.- Treatment groups and the percentage of Mentha arvensis (MA) and Geranium thunbergii (GT) extract and liquid mineral (LM) used in the study.

| Treatments          | LM     | 4 MA:1 GT |
|---------------------|--------|-----------|
| 0% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0% LM | Control | -         |
| 0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.01% LM | T1 | 0.01% | 0.05% |
| 0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.05% LM | T2 | 0.05% | 0.05% |
| 0.05% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.1% LM | T3 | 0.1% | 0.05% |
| 0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.01% LM | T4 | 0.01% | 0.1% |
| 0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.05% LM | T5 | 0.05% | 0.1% |
| 0.1% 4 MA: 1 GT + 0.1% LM | T6 | 0.1% | 0.1% |

**Growth performance measurement**

For 5 weeks, the chicks were monitored daily and body weight gain (BWG) was measured every week. Feed intake (FI) was also determined weekly by deducting the residual feed from the total feed provided to the broilers, and the feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated subsequently for each treatment.

**Thigh and breast meat yield, internal organ weights and sampling procedures**

At 35 d of age, 3 broilers from each replicate (15 birds per treatment) were selected randomly; a sharp knife was used for cutting the neck, followed by hanging the birds for three min bleeding time and then subjected to carcass processing. The thigh and breast meat were separated from the carcass and weighed.

The meat samples were ground with the help of a meat grinder and stored at 4°C for oxidative stability (TBARS value) and pH analysis. The gizzard, proventriculus, pancreas, liver without the gallbladder, and small and large intestine were removed and weighed. The gastrointestinal tract was weighed after the removal of contents.

**Oxidative stability and pH analysis of meat**

After refrigerating meat samples, the TBARS value of the meat samples were determined at 0, 1, 2 and 3 weeks after storage, applying a previously described method (Ahmed et al., 2015a). Briefly, about 4 g meat was mixed into a 10 ml solution consisting of 20% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) in 2M phosphoric acid and 10 ml of distilled water. The mixture was homogenized using a homogenizer (Ultra-Turrax T-25 Basic, IKA Werke, GMBH and Co. KG, Staufen, Germany) at maximum speed for 1.5 min. The mixture was filtered through a Hyundai Micro No. 60 (Hyundai Micro Co., Ltd.) filter paper. An equal amount of the filtrate (2 ml) and 2-thiobarbituric acid (98% 0.005 M 4,6-dihydroxy-2-mercaptopyrimidine prepared in distilled water) were heated in a shaking water bath at 80°C for 30 min. After cooling, a VIS-Spectrophotometer (Libra S22, Biochrom Ltd. Cambridge, England) was used to measure the absorbance at 530 nm after cooling. The TBARS value was expressed as milligrams of malondialdehyde (MDA) per 100 g of meat.

The pH was determined by mixing 1 g of meat sample with 9 ml of distilled water for 1.5 min in a homogenizer. The pH values of samples were measured using a standardized electrode attached to digital pH meter (Docu-pH+ meter, Sartorius, USA).

**Meat microbiological count**

About 25 g of meat samples (3 replicates) from each treatment group were taken from the thigh meat.
and were examined for microorganisms. Samples were homogenized with 225 mL of 0.85% (w/v) NaCl solution, thereby obtaining a 1:10 dilution of the sample; subsequently, 10-fold serial dilutions (10⁻² to 10⁻¹³) were prepared using 0.85% NaCl solution. Using a sterile micro-pipette, 20µl sample from each serial dilution was transferred and spread on Tryptic soy agar plates (Becton, Dickinson and Company, sparks, MD 21152 USA) using a sterilized triangle spreader for microbial enumeration. For each dilution, duplicate plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h, and colonies were immediately counted after appropriate incubation time. The microbial number was then calculated as follows: multiplied value = no. of colonies × 10⁻ⁿ × (100/20); where n=dilution value. The log (multiplied value) was determined after calculating the multiplied value, and the calculated log value of microbial count was expressed as log₁₀CFU/g.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Growth performance, meat yield and internal organs weight**

The initial body weight of birds did not differ (P>0.05) between the dietary treatments (Table III). From 0-5 weeks, broilers supplemented with the T2 treatment had a greater (P<0.05) BWG (1658 g) as compared to the control (1534 g). FCR was also significantly improved (P<0.05) in the T2 group (1.62) compared with birds fed with the control diet (1.72). The mean thigh and breast meat yield and the weight of organs percentage relative to the body weight are shown in Tables IV and V. The meat yield showed no significant difference between the plant extracts and liquid mineral supplemented groups and control group (P>0.05). Similarly, no differences were observed for weight of organs and relative weight of organs, except weight of the small intestine, which was significantly decreased in birds receiving T4 and T5 diets relative to the control (P<0.05).

Several studies have shown that the supplementation of plant extracts in broiler diets can either increase, decrease or have no significant effect on productivity (Agah et al., 2019). In the current study, plant extract supplementation did not improve BWG and FI during the starter phase (0-3 weeks). This may be due the low digestive enzyme secretion capacity in young birds (Khattak et al., 2014).

**Table III.- Effect of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on growth performance of broilers.**

| Performance parameter | Control (n=30) | T1 (n=30) | T2 (n=30) | T3 (n=30) | T4 (n=30) | T5 (n=30) | T6 (n=30) | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| **0 to 3 weeks**      |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |     |         |
| Initial wt (g)        | 39            | 39        | 39        | 39        | 39        | 39        | 39        | 0.14| 0.52    |
| Final wt (g)          | 763           | 788       | 788       | 748       | 760       | 788       | 773       | 20.29| 0.79    |
| Weight gain           | 724           | 749       | 749       | 709       | 721       | 749       | 734       | 20.26| 0.79    |
| Feed intake           | 936           | 970       | 960       | 912       | 922       | 971       | 937       | 30.51| 0.79    |
| FCR                   | 1.29          | 1.30      | 1.28      | 1.28      | 1.28      | 1.30      | 1.28      | 0.02| 0.99    |
| **4 to 5 weeks**      |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |     |         |
| Initial wt (g)        | 763           | 788       | 788       | 748       | 760       | 788       | 773       | 20.29| 0.79    |
| Final wt (g)          | 1.573abc      | 1.630ab   | 1.698a    | 1.460c    | 1.644b    | 1.507c    | 1.570bc   | 33.83| 0.001   |
| Weight gain           | 810abc        | 842ab     | 910a      | 712c      | 884b      | 719d      | 798c      | 24.53| 0.0001  |
| Feed intake           | 1.695a        | 1.724a    | 1.724a    | 1.600c    | 1.738a    | 1.602c    | 1.664a    | 36.01| 0.02    |
| FCR                   | 2.09a         | 2.16a     | 1.90b     | 2.26ac    | 1.97e     | 2.24ac    | 2.09b     | 0.07| 0.001   |
| **0 to 5 weeks**      |               |           |           |           |           |           |           |     |         |
| Final wt (g)          | 1.573abc      | 1.630abc  | 1.698a    | 1.460c    | 1.644abc  | 1.507c    | 1.570abc  | 33.83| 0.001   |
| Weight gain           | 1.534abc      | 1.590abc  | 1.658a    | 1.421c    | 1.605abc  | 1.468b    | 1.531bc   | 33.37| 0.001   |
| Feed intake           | 2.631         | 2.762     | 2.685     | 2.513     | 2.661     | 2.573     | 2.601     | 58.10| 0.18    |
| FCR                   | 1.72abc       | 1.75abc   | 1.62c     | 1.77c     | 1.66bc    | 1.75ab    | 1.70ab    | 0.02| 0.03    |

a,b,c Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05). n, number of birds. For details of treatments see Table II.
In contrast, during finishing period (4-5 weeks), inclusion of plant and mineral extracts in water significantly improved the BWG in T2 while a decrease was observed in T3 and T5 relative to the control. It is well reported that feeding of plant extracts has the ability to increase the feed intake by enhancing the palatability and flavor of feed (Upadhaya and Kim, 2017). Furthermore, the influence of phytogenic feed additives on improved growth performance could be explained as their beneficial impact on the intestinal tract by increasing the activity of digestive enzymes, as well as the antioxidant activity of active compounds present in plant extracts, improved utilization and absorption of nutrients, and inhibition of harmful bacteria (Windisch et al., 2008). Khattak et al. (2014) also reported the same positive results on broiler performance after supplementing the feeds with extracts from basil, laurel, caraway, oregano, lemon, sage, tea and thyme. In contrast, the physiological difference in the alimentary tract and the dose of phytogenic extracts supplemented in the water used in this study might have caused differences in the results of T3 and T5 group during

### Table IV.- Effect of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on the meat yield and internal organ weight of broilers.

| Performance parameter | Control (n=15) | T1 (n=15) | T2 (n=15) | T3 (n=15) | T4 (n=15) | T5 (n=15) | T6 (n=15) | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| Breast meat           | 355.67        | 309.20    | 326.80    | 292.00    | 312.00    | 299.60    | 289.60    | 22.96| 0.76    |
| Thigh meat            | 249.00        | 218.40    | 232.40    | 230.00    | 206.40    | 215.20    | 200.40    | 11.64| 0.40    |

**Body organ weight (g)**

|                          | Control (n=15) | T1 (n=15) | T2 (n=15) | T3 (n=15) | T4 (n=15) | T5 (n=15) | T6 (n=15) | SEM | P-value |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| Crop                     | 4.87          | 5.36      | 4.39      | 3.32      | 4.50      | 3.68      | 4.41      | 0.56| 0.23    |
| Proventriculus           | 5.67          | 5.19      | 4.97      | 5.28      | 4.85      | 4.87      | 5.48      | 0.37| 0.61    |
| Gizzard                  | 27.97         | 23.70     | 22.34     | 21.79     | 22.80     | 19.59     | 24.20     | 1.60| 0.07    |
| Liver                    | 25.33         | 22.76     | 26.34     | 23.98     | 23.29     | 21.25     | 23.17     | 1.25| 0.15    |
| Pancreas                 | 3.11          | 3.19      | 2.93      | 2.88      | 2.82      | 2.81      | 2.98      | 0.24| 0.91    |
| Heart                    | 6.60          | 6.24      | 6.07      | 6.78      | 5.32      | 5.14      | 6.32      | 0.52| 0.38    |
| Gallbladder              | 2.15          | 2.07      | 1.85      | 1.60      | 1.79      | 1.76      | 1.67      | 0.23| 0.70    |
| Bursa                    | 1.90          | 1.72      | 1.30      | 1.04      | 1.59      | 1.15      | 1.35      | 0.21| 0.21    |
| Small intestine          | 33.15*        | 30.54*    | 30.20*    | 33.02*    | 24.32*    | 27.24*    | 29.44*    | 1.62| 0.01    |
| Colon                    | 2.16          | 2.39      | 2.19      | 1.31      | 1.97      | 1.76      | 1.54      | 0.30| 0.41    |
| Cecum                    | 6.94          | 7.65      | 7.17      | 5.81      | 5.04      | 3.95      | 6.68      | 0.89| 0.14    |
| Kidney                   | 8.50          | 6.76      | 7.43      | 6.51      | 7.04      | 6.62      | 7.06      | 0.63| 0.39    |
| Spleen                   | 1.04          | 1.03      | 0.58      | 0.80      | 0.89      | 0.75      | 0.86      | 0.09| 0.08    |

*abc Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05). n, number of birds. For details of treatments see Table II.

### Table V.- Effect of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on the relative organ weight of broilers (%).

| Organ              | Control (n=15) | T1 (n=15) | T2 (n=15) | T3 (n=15) | T4 (n=15) | T5 (n=15) | T6 (n=15) | SEM | P-value |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| Crop               | 0.31          | 0.33      | 0.26      | 0.23      | 0.27      | 0.25      | 0.29      | 0.01| 0.15    |
| Proventriculus     | 0.36          | 0.32      | 0.29      | 0.36      | 0.29      | 0.32      | 0.35      | 0.01| 0.73    |
| Gizzard            | 1.78          | 1.46      | 1.32      | 1.49      | 1.39      | 1.30      | 1.54      | 0.14| 0.05    |
| Liver              | 1.61          | 1.40      | 1.35      | 1.64      | 1.41      | 1.41      | 1.47      | 0.15| 0.08    |
| Pancreas           | 0.20          | 0.20      | 0.17      | 0.19      | 0.17      | 0.18      | 0.19      | 0.02| 0.10    |
| Heart              | 0.42          | 0.38      | 0.36      | 0.46      | 0.32      | 0.34      | 0.40      | 0.04| 0.30    |
| Gallbladder        | 0.14          | 0.13      | 0.11      | 0.10      | 0.11      | 0.12      | 0.10      | 0.003| 0.60   |
| Bursa              | 0.12          | 0.11      | 0.08      | 0.07      | 0.09      | 0.07      | 0.08      | 0.006| 0.17   |
| Small intestine    | 2.10*         | 1.87*     | 1.78*     | 2.07*     | 1.48*     | 1.81*     | 1.87*     | 0.16| 0.01    |
| Colon              | 0.14          | 0.15      | 0.13      | 0.09      | 0.12      | 0.11      | 0.10      | 0.003| 0.30   |
| Cecum              | 0.44          | 0.47      | 0.42      | 0.39      | 0.31      | 0.27      | 0.43      | 0.05| 0.06    |
| Kidney             | 0.54          | 0.42      | 0.44      | 0.45      | 0.43      | 0.43      | 0.40      | 0.03| 0.45    |
| Spleen             | 0.06          | 0.06      | 0.03      | 0.05      | 0.05      | 0.04      | 0.05      | 0.001| 0.07   |

*abc Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05). n, number of birds. For details of treatments see Table II.
4-5 weeks. It was reported by Schone et al. (2006) that supplementation with fennel or caraway oil significantly reduces the feed intake in growing pigs. The increased percentage of plant extracts in T3 and T5 during finishing phase may have decreased the palatability due to the excess flavor in water, thereby resulting in reduced FI and BWG. Considering the previous reports that mineral level of diets has no or very little impact on growth performance in pigs and poultry (Burkett et al., 2009), we therefore deduce that only plant extracts are responsible for the increased or decreased FI and BWG in our trial.

Breast and thigh meat yield did not differ significantly between treatment groups and the control (Table IV). Similar results were obtained by Carlos et al. (2014) subsequent to supplementation of plant extracts derived from oregano, chili and cinnamon. However, these results differ from the experiment of Jamroz et al. (2005), who reported an increase in the breast yield in broilers fed diets with a mixture of plant extracts. In terms of weight and relative weight of different body organs, no parameters were influenced except weight of the small intestine (Table V). The weight of intestine of birds receiving treatments T4 and T5 were significantly lower than the birds fed with control diet. Shargh et al. (2012) also found a lower ileum weight in birds receiving garlic. They proposed that the weight of intestine reduces due to a reduction in muscular thickness, which in turn increases the nutrient absorption. In the present study, the lowest small intestine weight was found in the supplemented groups, which may therefore be linked with increased nutrient absorption and finally increased body weight.

![Fig. 1. Effects of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on chicken meat TBARS value.](image)

| Storage period | Control (n=15) | T1 (n=15) | T2 (n=15) | T3 (n=15) | T4 (n=15) | T5 (n=15) | T6 (n=15) | SEM | P-value |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|
| 0 week         | 6.33           | 6.44      | 6.31      | 6.51      | 6.35      | 6.48      | 6.41      | 0.06| 0.23    |
| 1 week         | 6.51           | 6.64      | 6.38      | 6.52      | 6.34      | 6.41      | 6.36      | 0.06| 0.08    |
| 2 weeks        | 6.20           | 6.32      | 6.19      | 6.38      | 6.30      | 6.34      | 6.34      | 0.05| 0.15    |
| 3 weeks        | 6.41           | 6.59      | 6.51      | 6.66      | 6.65      | 6.75      | 6.56      | 0.07| 0.05    |
| Average        | 6.37           | 6.50      | 6.35      | 6.52      | 6.41      | 6.49      | 6.42      | 0.05| 0.29    |

Fig. 1. Effects of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on chicken meat TBARS value. a,b,c Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05). n, number of birds (n=15). For details of treatments see Table II.

Table VI.- Effects of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on broiler meat pH.

For details of treatments see Table II.
Oxidative stability and pH of meat

The effects of plant extracts and liquid minerals on the TBARS value of broiler meat are presented in Figure 1. The dietary treatments had no significant effect on the oxidative stability values of thigh meat after 1 week of storage (P>0.05). However, after 3 weeks of storage, significantly lower (P<0.05) TBARS values were seen in the meat of broilers fed diets with inclusion of plant extracts and liquid minerals except T1 and T4. Furthermore, the pH of meat remained unaffected (P>0.05) in all treatments, after 0, 1, 2 and 3 weeks of storage (Table VI).

The shelf life of packed meat is short due to microbial proliferation and rapid oxidation of lipids. Free radicals are produced as a result of lipid oxidation, which subsequently result in the production of unpleasant color and flavors in meat. Phospholipids are more prone to oxidation. Since the contents of phospholipids are higher in poultry meat, it is considered to be more prone to oxidation among the meat products (Puvača et al., 2015). In the present study, supplementing the diet of birds with different levels and ratios of plant extracts and liquid minerals significantly decreased the oxidation of lipids, as demonstrated by the lower TBARS values of broiler meat. It is well documented that polyphenolic compounds present in plant extracts have the ability to act as antioxidants by scavenging free radicals and protecting lipids from oxidative reactions (Ahmed et al., 2015b). Several plant components like polyphenols, tannins and flavonoids are present in MA and GT, which are known to have antioxidant properties (Biswas et al., 2014). The antioxidant capacities of different plants like thymol and carvacrol (Luna et al., 2010), pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) (Ahmed et al., 2015a) and oregano (Forte et al., 2018) are reported to reduce the oxidative deterioration of fat in poultry meat, thereby decreasing malondialdehyde (MDA) production. The reduction of TBARS values in this experiment can be explained by the fact that tannins and flavonoids like quercetin, which are present in MA and GT, have the ability to scavenge superoxide free radicals and retard lipid oxidation. Likewise, Ghazaghi et al. (2014) reported the improved oxidative stability and meat quality in Japanese quail due to supplementation with Mentha species. Additionally, MA and GT are reported to possess high amounts of total phenolics and DPPH free radical scavenging ability, which subsequently increases the antioxidant capacity of plant extracts and reduces the TBARS values (Wong et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2010).

One critical factor in determining meat quality is postmortem pH following muscle glycolysis (Janisch et al., 2012). In this study, the pH of meat remains unaffected by diet. These results are in agreement with other reports where supplementation with plant extracts or minerals did not modify the pH of poultry meat (Jung et al., 2010).

Meat microbiological count

The microbial count of broiler meat for dietary treatments is presented in Figure 2. On an average and after 0 weeks of storage, the meat microbiological count was decreased (P<0.05) for the T4 group as compared to the control and other treatments. After 1 and 3 weeks of storage, the microbial count of meat showed no significant differences between the dietary treatments (P>0.05).

![Fig. 2. Effects of plant extracts and liquid mineral combination on chicken meat microbial value. a,b,c Values with different superscripts in the same row differ significantly (P<0.05). n, number of birds (n=15). For details of treatments see Table II.](image-url)
The antimicrobial activity of plant extracts against food borne organisms is due to the presence of phenolic substances such as thymol, carvacrol, citronellol and eugenol (Gheisar and Kim, 2018). Broilers fed T4 diet were found to have a lower meat microbial count (at 0 week and on average). The antimicrobial activity of menthol present in M. arvensis against various microorganisms has been previously reported (Singh et al., 2015), whereas the antibacterial activity of G. thunbergii is reported by Bigos et al. (2012). Elimination of microorganisms from poultry before processing improves the shelf life of processed feed. Therefore, feed supplementation with plant extracts is generally used to inhibit bacterial growth. The phenolic compounds of MA and GT have the ability to enter the cell membrane of bacteria, leading to disruption of the membrane, and ultimately reducing the final microbial count (Upadhyaya and Kim, 2017). The phenolics present in both plant extracts have proven activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Brenes and Roura, 2010), which may be the reason of reduced microbial count. Interestingly, antimicrobial action of plant extracts is known to improve the microbial hygiene of carcass. Aksit et al. (2006) reported the beneficial effect of adding 0.1% Origanum onites to the feed (15g/kg of commercial product), on the microbial load of total carcass. Aksit et al. (2013). The authors have declared no conflict of interests.
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