Allez Hop, a nationwide programme for the promotion of physical activity in Switzerland: what is the evidence for a population impact after one decade of implementation?
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ABSTRACT

Objectives To present evidence for a population impact of a national physical activity promotion programme after a decade of implementation.

Methods The programme Allez Hop offered local physical activity courses (mainly walking and Nordic walking) once a week over 12 weeks. Data from a pretest posttest survey in 2005 course participants (N=2157 at baseline (of 4130, 52.2%); 1587 at first follow-up (73.6%); smaller subsample with second follow-up) and from repeated cross-sectional national surveys have been analysed regarding changes in physical activity behaviour.

Results The total number of Allez Hop courses was 18 684 between 1997 and 2008. 89.2% of participants were women, the mean age was 48.5 years. The proportion meeting the physical activity recommendations was 31.7% at baseline, in participants with first follow-up data it increased from 33.1% to 42.3% (p<0.001). On the population level in the main user group of Allez Hop (middle-aged women) the proportion not engaging in any sport decreased from 50.1% (p<0.001). Walking/hiking was the second most frequently performed sport (33.7%) in 2007, with the most remarkable increase since 2000 (+11.1%).

Conclusions Allez Hop was successfully implemented for more than a decade, reached middle-aged women and a high proportion of insufficiently active individuals. Changes in participants’ physical activity behaviour must be interpreted cautiously because of low response rates and short follow-up. However, indications for behavioural changes were observed at the population level, even though these data do not allow a causal link to Allez Hop.

Physical activity has been recognised as an important public health issue,1 and many countries are currently in the process of developing and implementing respective policies and strategies.2 Attempts at promoting sports for all have an even longer history. Given the solid evidence on the health effects of walking,3 many countries are now promoting such activities in particular, for example, the ‘Walking the Way to Health’ programme in England4 and the ‘Paths to Health’ programme in Scotland.5 While there is some evidence about the effectiveness of interventions for the promotion of walking,6 there is only very limited documented evidence for the effectiveness of sports programmes in promoting physical activity at the population level and only a few national multi-year physical activity promotion programmes have been systematically analysed.7–10 Switzerland (population of 7.1 million in 1996) has a strong tradition of sports clubs, with approximately one quarter of the adult population being members,11 it has successfully implemented the national programme ‘Youth and Sport’ for adolescents since the 1970s, and it has an excellent walking infrastructure including a network of approximately 60 000 km hiking trails. Nevertheless, the first national representative data on physical activity behaviour in Switzerland showed that the proportion of individuals reporting less than one vigorous exercise episode per week increased from 35.7% in 1992 to 39.4% in 1997.12

Allez Hop as a nationwide programme for the promotion of physical activity was launched in 1997 as a joint initiative of three Swiss health insurance companies and the Swiss Olympic Association, the umbrella organisation of Swiss sports federations. Later, the single health insurance companies were replaced by Santésuisse, their national umbrella organisation, and the foundation Health Promotion Switzerland as well as the Swiss Federal Office of Sport joined the partners behind the project. In 2003 the overall responsibility for the programme changed from the Swiss Olympic Association to the Federal Office of Sport. The core of the programme were local physical activity courses offered by trained and qualified Allez Hop instructors once a week for approximately 12 weeks, targeted at inactive and insufficiently active adults. Course disciplines included gymnastics, walking, tennis, water gymnastics, Nordic walking, running and Fitgym (indoor conditioning exercises). The budget of Allez Hop was approximately €1.5 million per year between 1998 and 2001 and approximately €0.8 million thereafter. The budget was originally participation-driven, with increasing costs for each additional course. To absorb these costs despite a decreasing budget, a franchising system was introduced in 2004 requiring Allez Hop instructors to obtain a licence in order to offer courses under the label ‘Allez Hop’. In addition, Allez Hop implemented self-financing strategies through merchandising and course offers.
for companies, generating €0.3 million annually by the year 2006. Allez Hop has always been an implementation and not a research project. There was no constant and consistent evaluation strategy, but several evaluation projects have been carried out.\textsuperscript{13–16} Based on findings that a number of participants took part in more than one course, so-called Allez Hop ‘meetings’ were created for experienced participants wishing to continue their physical activities in a structured format with qualified guidance.

The experiences of a national long-term physical activity programme with the promotion of leisure-time walking as one of its main aims may also be of interest to other countries. Therefore, this publication is looking at the following research questions: What was the success in developing the programme and what was its reach over more than a decade? What were the characteristics of its users and what were indications for behavioural change in them? What were the indications for changes in behavioural patterns at the population level?

\section*{METHODS}
\subsection*{Data assessments}
\textbf{Development and utilisation of the programme Allez Hop}
The central course registration system used for the financial reimbursement of instructors and the advertisement of courses provided the Allez Hop course database. Allez Hop instructors registered the courses they offered over the internet or by email. Data regarding the development of Allez Hop courses were derived from that database and could be stratified by course discipline and year.

\textbf{Course evaluations in 2005}
A written questionnaire survey was carried out among all course participants of Allez Hop walking and Nordic walking courses that started in April and ended in July 2005. Participants were asked to complete questionnaires with items on course expectations, course satisfaction, physical activity behaviour and sociodemographic variables at the beginning (baseline) and the end (first follow-up) of the course. In a subsample in three regions (Aargau representing the German-speaking, Romandie the French-speaking and Tessin the Italian-speaking parts of Switzerland), course participants were furthermore asked to complete a second follow-up assessment 3 months after the end of the courses in October. An originally planned third follow-up after 1 year was not carried out because of the low response already at the second follow-up (see Results section for response).

\textsuperscript{χ²} Tests for categorical variables and \textit{t} tests for continuous variables were used to examine differences between regions at baseline. McNemar tests were applied to examine changes in physical activity behaviour over time.

\textbf{Swiss Health Survey 1997, 2002 and 2007}
The Swiss Health Survey is a representative repeated cross-sectional survey assessing data on a number of health variables, including physical activity behaviour. The survey has been carried out every 5 years since 1992 in a random sample of Swiss adults above 15 years of age. Computer-assisted telephone interviews were used to assess the data presented here (1997, 2002 and 2007). The numbers of participants (response) for the respective years were: 13 004 (69\%), 19 706 (64\%) and 18 760 (66.3\%). In order to be representative of the Swiss population, the data were weighted according to gender, age, linguistic region and nationality. To compare physical activity behaviour between survey years, \textit{SE} were calculated using the complex sample command, and \textit{z} tests were used to derive \textit{p} values. The survey is described in more detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{17}

\subsection*{Sports surveys in 1999 and 2007}
Two representative cross-sectional sports surveys were carried out in 1999 and in 2007. The main purpose of the surveys was the assessment of sports preferences and habits in the Swiss population. In 1999, a representative sample of the population aged 14–74 years was selected based on a random–random procedure and interviewed using computer-assisted telephone interviews ($N=2064$, response 56.8\%). In order to represent the Swiss population, the data were weighted according to age, household size and language region. Similarly, the survey in 2007 was carried out in several regionally representative samples aged 15–74 years using a random–random procedure. Data were assessed using computer-assisted telephone interviews ($N=10262$, response 55.0\%). To account for the different regional sample sizes and to represent the general Swiss population, the data were weighted according to gender, age and region. The surveys are described in more detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{11,18}

\subsection*{Outcome measures}
The main outcome measure in the 2005 course evaluations was the proportion of participants meeting the health-enhancing physical activity (HEPA) recommendations ($\geq30$ min of moderate intensity activities on $\geq5$ days per week or $\geq20$ min of vigorous intensity activities on $\geq3$ days per week). At the population level, the proportion of the Swiss population that did not engage in any sport, fitness activities or gymnastics was analysed for 1997, 2002 and 2007. The proportion of individuals engaging in specific sports activities was assessed in 2000 and 2007 (of respondents who reported participating in any sport).

\section*{RESULTS}
\subsection*{Participation in Allez Hop}
Figure 1 shows the development of Allez Hop courses according to the different course disciplines between 1997 and 2008 (data for 2008 not available by course discipline). An increasing number of courses were offered, with a peak of 2174 courses in 2005 and a levelling off thereafter. The total number of registered courses amounts to 18 684. Nordic walking evolved as the main course discipline after 2003. In more recent years, approximately one-third to one-quarter of the courses were Allez Hop ‘meetings’.

\subsection*{Course evaluations in 2005: response}
A total of 856 walking and Nordic walking courses started in April 2005. Approximately 6000 questionnaires were handed out to course instructors. The estimated number of course participants, based on a mean number of 11.6 individuals per course,\textsuperscript{15} was 4130. Of those, 2157 completed the baseline questionnaire (52.2\%) at the beginning of the courses. Records from baseline and first follow-up (beginning and end of the courses) were available for 1587 participants (73.6\% of baseline respondents). There were no significant differences between respondents and non-respondents at the first follow-up regarding gender ($p=0.87$), age ($p=0.52$) and meeting the HEPA recommendations ($p=0.81$).

In the subsample selected for participation in the second follow-up, 483 (558 in German-speaking, 81 in French-
speaking and 44 in Italian-speaking Switzerland) of the 580 questionnaires distributed at baseline were returned (83.3%). First follow-up questionnaires were available for 313 participants (64.8% of baseline respondents). Of 216 individuals who agreed to take part in the second follow-up assessment, 169 responded (78.2%). This corresponds to a response of 29.1% of the 580 distributed questionnaires and of 35.0% of the 483 baseline respondents. Complete records regarding physical activity behaviour for all three assessments were available for 141 individuals. There were no significant differences between those who responded at the second follow-up and those who did not regarding gender (p=0.34), age (p=0.20) and meeting the HEPA recommendations at baseline (p=0.11).

Course evaluations in 2005: characteristics of participants at baseline
At baseline, 89.2% of the course participants were women (N=2157). The mean age was 48.5 years, with a range of 13–87 years. Of the respondents, 2.4% were from the Italian-speaking, 17.9% from the French-speaking and 79.7% from the German-speaking parts of Switzerland. The proportion of women was highest in the Italian-speaking part, with 95.7%; in the French and German-speaking parts the corresponding values were 92.7% and 88.5%, respectively (p=0.02). The mean age was highest in the French-speaking part, with 51.0 years compared with 50.2 years in the Italian and 47.8 years in the German-speaking parts (p<0.01).

Figure 1  Development of Allez Hop courses and 'meetings' between 1997 and 2008, by course discipline. Data were not available by course discipline for 2008.

Figure 2  Changes in the proportion of men and women that did not engage in any sport, fitness activities or gymnastics (based on the Swiss Health Survey) between 1997 and 2007.
A total of 31.7% of the participants with complete physical activity data (N=1822) met the HEPA recommendations at baseline. The proportion was highest in the German-speaking part, with 33.2% compared with the French (27.2%) and the Italian-speaking parts (16.7%, p<0.01), although the number of complete responses from the Italian part was very low.

Course evaluations in 2005: changes in behaviour
The proportion meeting the HEPA recommendations increased significantly from 33.1% at baseline to 42.3% at the first follow-up (individuals with complete data at both time points, N=1213, p<0.001). The patterns were similar in all regions, with significant increases in the German (p<0.001) and the French-speaking parts (p=0.001), but a non-significant increase in the Italian-speaking part.

For the subgroup participating in all three surveys including the second follow-up (N=141), the proportion meeting the HEPA recommendations increased significantly from 30.5% at baseline to 40.4% at the first follow-up (p=0.03), but decreased to baseline levels at the second follow-up in autumn (27.7%, p=0.006). There were no significant differences between baseline and second follow-up (p=0.62). The patterns were similar in the German-speaking and the Italian-speaking parts. In the French-speaking part, physical activity levels increased from 29.2% (baseline) to 33.3% (first follow-up) and to 41.7% (second follow-up); however, these changes were not significant.

At the second follow-up, 36.0% of the respondents participated in either another Allez Hop course (11.0%) or an Allez Hop ‘meeting’ (18.3%) or both (6.7%); 4.8% had joined a sports club after the end of the Allez Hop course.

Changes in behaviour at the population level
Figure 2 shows the changes in the proportion of men and women (by age group) that did not engage in any sport, fitness activities or gymnastics based on the Swiss Health Survey. In the main user group of Allez Hop (women aged 45–54 years), the proportion decreased from 50.1% in 1997 to 47.2% in 2002 and to 43.1% in 2007 (p<0.01). The corresponding proportions for men of the same age group were 46.8% in 1997, 49.8% in 2002 and 45.0% in 2007 (not significant).

Between 1999 and 2007, the proportion of individuals who participated in any sport increased from 71.8% to 72.9% in 2002 and 45.0% in 2007 (not significant).

The presented activities refer to those sports named by 10% or more of individuals. After bicycling/mountain biking, walking/hiking was the second most popular sport (33.7%) according to the 2007 sports survey. Walking/hiking was not only one of the most popular sports activity, it has also experienced the most remarkable increase, with 11.1% between 1999 and 2007.

DISCUSSION
Allez Hop, a nationwide programme to promote physical activity, has been successfully implemented in Switzerland for more than a decade. Over 18 000 courses were realised, with a mean of 11.6 participants per course, resulting in approximately 200 000 participants in total, although up to one-third of them may have been multiple participants. The main user group was middle-aged women, and Allez Hop has succeeded in reaching a high proportion of insufficiently active individuals (68.3% in 2005 compared with 64.1% in the general Swiss population in 2002). Although the reduction in funding coupled with the change to a franchising system did not result in a large decrease regarding course numbers, the slight decrease after 2008 may be attributable to a delayed effect of these budgetary changes. Other reasons may be a higher number of trained instructors not offering any courses, dwindling institutional support, and other private and commercial organisations starting to offer similar physical activity courses competing with Allez Hop.

Allez Hop has reached quite a high level of awareness in the Swiss population, which has moreover risen continuously. According to a demographic market research survey, only 8% of the population in Switzerland had heard about Allez Hop in March 1998 before the start of a large advertising campaign. Two months after the end of the campaign in July 1998, 21% had heard about Allez Hop. According to two population surveys, 20% and 22% of the population had heard about Allez Hop in 2001 and 2002, respectively. Finally in 2004, 26% knew about Allez Hop. The national programme ‘Youth and Sport’ has reached a much higher level of awareness (86.2% in 2004), however, ‘Youth and Sport’ has been implemented for more than 30 years based on the national law on the promotion of physical education and sport (1972), has a federal budget of approximately €37 million per year, and is well disseminated in the population with more than half a million adolescents participating in the programme every year. Despite the difference in levels of awareness, the acceptance and appreciation of the two programmes in the population was similarly high (data not shown).

Table 1 Most popular sports activities in Switzerland in 1999 and 2007 for men and women aged 15–74 years (including those sports named by at least 10% of the population)

|                          | Men (aged 15–74 years) |          |          | Women (aged 15–74 years) |          |          |
|--------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
|                          | Level 1999             | Level 2007|          |                          | Level 1999| Level 2007|
| Bicycle, mountain bike   | 31.9%                  | 38.4%    |          |                          | 31.5%    | 31.7%    |
| Walking/hiking*          | 18.2%                  | 29.4%    |          |                          | 26.0%    | 37.9%    |
| Swimming                 | 21.1%                  | 20.7%    |          |                          | 31.3%    | 30.0%    |
| Skiing                   | 19.2%                  | 22.9%    |          |                          | 16.8%    | 20.6%    |
| Jogging/running          | 19.6%                  | 19.1%    |          |                          | 15.7%    | 14.6%    |
| Fitness training         | 8.2%                   | 11.2%    |          |                          | 14.8%    | 16.8%    |
| General gymnastics       | 7.3%                   | 7.7%     |          |                          | 26.4%    | 15.6%    |

*Walking/hiking was composed of two-thirds hiking and one-third walking, the latter including 47% Nordic walking, 20% walking and 33% brisk walking in 2007.
Previous\textsuperscript{23} and current evaluation attempts have not succeeded in creating satisfying evidence for changes in physical activity behaviour in Allez Hop course participants. The data presented in this article showed an increase in physical activity behaviour between the beginning (April) and end of the courses (July), but activity levels were back to baseline levels at the second follow-up in October. It is unclear whether this indicates a lack of effectiveness of the intervention, or whether physical activity levels actually improved after taking into account seasonal variations in behaviour. This distinction was not possible due to the lack of a synchronous control group. Furthermore, the low response made it impossible to carry out a third follow-up 1 year after baseline in April when spontaneous physical activity levels would be expected to be higher than in autumn or winter. Therefore, the results do not allow meaningful interpretation. Different reasons are responsible for these limitations. First, Allez Hop started as an implementation rather than a research project, and measuring behavioural changes was not a priority. Second, there was a lack of an overarching evaluation concept and there were changing conditions regarding sponsorship, political priorities and organisational aspects.\textsuperscript{22} Planning of programme development and evaluation was thus difficult in the long term. There is no doubt that a randomised study design would be desirable to test the effectiveness of Allez Hop thoroughly compared with a control group in order to account for seasonal variations in physical activity behaviour; however, in community-wide studies this may be challenging, and quasi-experimental, or even good time series population surveillance might be all that could be achieved to improve future evaluations. It is generally acknowledged that randomised controlled trials are often not feasible or are even inappropriate to evaluate public health interventions.\textsuperscript{23 24} Further analyses of the 2005 course evaluations showed that acceptance and appreciation of the programme was high in participants overall (data not shown, but comparable to results published for course evaluations in 2006 and 2007).\textsuperscript{25}

Data from population-based cross-sectional surveys show increases in physical activity levels in the main user group of Allez Hop (middle-aged women) between 1997 and 2007, and remarkable increases especially in walking (including Nordic walking), which became one of the most popular activities offered in Allez Hop courses. In fact, Allez Hop has probably contributed to the rise of Nordic walking in Switzerland. These data indicate a potential impact on the population level, even though they do not allow a causal link to specific programmes such as Allez Hop. The high level of awareness and appreciation of Allez Hop in the population\textsuperscript{23} support evidence for a positive impact at the population level.

Ideally, large population-based programmes such as Allez Hop are based on national physical activity promotion policies, which justify and support the programme politically. Since 2000, Switzerland has a ‘federal government’s concept for a national sport policy’,\textsuperscript{26} in which Allez Hop is named as one measure to promote physical activity in adults.\textsuperscript{26} This commitment was renewed for the period 2007–10.\textsuperscript{26} Nevertheless, Allez Hop as a national implementation project was terminated at the end of 2008 and only the instructors’ training was integrated into the new concept ‘sports for adults’ of the Swiss Federal Office of Sport. Two regional organisations are continuing with the label Allez Hop in the Italian and the French-speaking parts of Switzerland.

Although it seems obvious that long-term planning and implementation of physical activity promotion projects—ideally coupled with repeated evaluation and adaptation—are desirable, this is far from the norm. Out of the four national programmes from European countries analysed in the 1990s,\textsuperscript{8} only the Finnish ‘Fit for Life’ is still active 10 years later. Not only Allez Hop, but also ‘The Netherlands on the Move’ and the English ‘Active for Life’ have been discontinued, in the earlier case together with the transfer of the responsibility for physical activity promotion from the ‘Netherlands Olympic Committee/Netherlands Sports Confederation NOC/NSF’ to the new National Institute for Sport and Physical Activity, in the latter case with the dismantling of the organising health education authority.\textsuperscript{27}

It is possible that local, regional or entirely private structures can take over the legacy of Allez Hop. However, particularly the outreach to insufficiently active individuals can be a challenge in systems in which only the training of instructors, but not the recruitment of participants, has support from national public institutions, as the limited evaluation results available from the national seniors’ sports programme are indicating.\textsuperscript{28}

In summary, even in a country with well-established sports structures,\textsuperscript{11} Allez Hop has succeeded in reaching a previously underserved proportion of the population over more than a decade. Awareness has improved in the general population and the number of courses has increased accordingly. Methodological limitations do not allow direct inference about the effectiveness of the programme regarding changes in physical activity behaviour in participants. Although no causal links can be established, population-level data indicate changes in physical activity patterns in the main user group of Allez Hop and similar offers. Continuing implementation and an overall evaluation concept are desirable in large population-based programmes such as Allez Hop, ideally based on a national physical activity policy.
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