A comparative clinical study of perineural administration of 0.75% ropivacaine with and without dexmedetomidine in upper limb surgery by ultrasound guided single injection supraclavicular brachial plexus block
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Abstract
Many adjuvants to local anesthetic agents for brachial plexus block are investigated in an attempt to increase the onset and duration of block and to improve post-operative analgesia. The present study was designed to assess clinical utility of adding dexmedetomidine to local anesthetics during peripheral nerve blockade. 120 patients of age 18-58 yr, of either sex, of ASA grade I/II were randomized into two equal groups of 60 patients each, group R received 20 ml of 0.75% ropivacaine with placebo while patients in group RD received 20 ml of 0.75% ropivacaine with 50µg of dexmedetomidine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block using ultrasound guidance. Sensory and motor onset time, sensory and motor block duration, duration of analgesia, sedation scores, hemodynamic changes and any side effect were all recorded and statistically analyzed. Onset of sensory block (8.30 ± 2.62 min vs 11.50 ± 3.14 mins) and establishment of complete motor blockade (11.62 ± 3.39 min vs. 15.18 ± 4.25 mins) were significantly earlier in the ropivacaine with dexmedetomidine group. Postoperative analgesia was prolonged significantly in the ropivacaine with dexmedetomidine group (1209.90 ± 107.536 mins). In conclusion dexmedetomidine as adjuvant to 0.75% ropivacaine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block extends the duration of analgesia and shortened the onset time of sensory and motor block.

Introduction
Brachial plexus block is the most reliable and commonly preferred techniques for regional anesthesia of the upper extremity. The use of ultrasound guidance for nerve block has attained massive recognition in last few years. The concept of nerve visualisation by ultrasound is convincing and supported by literature [1-3]. Many adjuvants to local anesthetic agents are investigated including opioid [4-8] and non-opioid agents [9-11], in an attempt to increase the onset and duration of block and to improve post-operative analgesia. Clonidine, an α-2 adrenergic agonist has been evaluated as an adjuvant to LA in brachial plexus block but dexmedetomidine, also an α-2 adrenergic agonist has some advantageous characteristics compared to clonidine like greater hemodynamic stability as it is more selective for α2 adrenergic (α2:α1 = 1620:1) [12] receptors and both baroreceptor reflexes and heart rate responses are well preserved with dexmedetomidine. However, there remains limited knowledge on the analgesic efficacy and clinical utility of adding dexmedetomidine to local anesthetics during peripheral nerve blockade in human population [13,14]. Therefore the present study was designed to evaluate the effect of adding dexmedetomidine to a 0.75% ropivacaine for ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block. We compared the onset and duration of sensory and motor block, duration of analgesia, sedation level, hemodynamic changes and complications (if any). An approval from the Institutional committee of ethics and written informed consent from patients were obtained.

Methods
This prospective randomized double blind controlled study was conducted in Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Subharti Medical College, Meerut on 120 adult consented patients scheduled for elective unilateral upper limb (below shoulder) surgeries under ultrasound guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block. Patients of age 18 -58 yr, of either sex, of ASA grade I/II were randomized into two equal groups of 60 patients each, group R received 20 ml of 0.75% ropivacaine with placebo while patients in group RD received 20 ml of 0.75% ropivacaine with 50µg of dexmedetomidine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block using ultrasound guidance. Sensory and motor onset time, sensory and motor block duration, duration of analgesia, sedation scores, hemodynamic changes and any side effect were all recorded and statistically analyzed. Onset of sensory block (8.30 ± 2.62 min vs 11.50 ± 3.14 mins) and establishment of complete motor blockade (11.62 ± 3.39 min vs. 15.18 ± 4.25 mins) were significantly earlier in the ropivacaine with dexmedetomidine group. Postoperative analgesia was prolonged significantly in the ropivacaine with dexmedetomidine group (1209.90 ± 107.536 mins). In conclusion dexmedetomidine as adjuvant to 0.75% ropivacaine for supraclavicular brachial plexus block extends the duration of analgesia and shortened the onset time of sensory and motor block.
supravcicular brachial plexus block using ultrasound guidance.

Patients were not premedicated before the Supravcicular brachial plexus block. On arrival in the operating room, a venous access was established on the contralateral arm and standard monitoring for BloodPressure, heart rate, pulse oximetry and ECG were commenced. All the blocks were performed by the same anaesthesiologist using transportable ultrasound system (Sonosiemicromax, SonositeInc., Bothell, WA, USA) with a 38 mm 8-13 MHz linear high frequency ultrasound transducer (HFL-38).

The study solution was prepared by an anaesthesiologist who was not aware about this study. The predetermined volume of the drug was administered around the brachial plexus after visualisation by ultrasound probe according to group R or group RD with a 22 gauge needle. Sensory block was confirmed by loss to cold sensation using an alcohol swab and pinprick sensation using a 25 G hypodermic needle in all dermatomes of the brachial plexus (C5 – T1). Supravcicular block was considered successful when all dermatomes of brachial plexus (C5 – T1) were blocked within 30 mins. Onset of sensory block was defined as a decrease of sensation to 25% or less by pinprick in comparison to contralateral limb as a reference. It was evaluated at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 15 min. and every five minutes thereafter until the effectiveness or failure of the blockade was identified. Sensory block duration was defined as the time from injection of local anesthetic study solution to complete recovery from cold and pain sensation in all dermatomes of the brachial plexus.

Motor block onset was defined as the time from injection of local anesthetic study solution until achieving a reduction in motor power to grade 3/4. It was evaluated at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 15 min. and every five minutes thereafter until the effectiveness or failure of the blockade was identified. Motor block duration was defined as the time interval between the end of LA mixture administration and the recovery of complete motor function of the hand and forearm of the blocked limb. Motor blockade was evaluated by motor blockade scale
to.

Table 1:

| Demo graphics. | R (Mean ± SD) | RD (Mean ± SD) | p-value |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Age(years)     | 35.38 ± 13.537 | 35.75 ± 13.646 | 0.88    |
| Wt.(kgs)       | 59.52 ± 10.419 | 60.27 ± 9.107  | 0.67    |
| Gender(M/F)    | 41/19         | 43/17          | 0.69    |
| ASA(II)        | 29/31         | 28/32          | 0.85    |

*p ≤ 0.05-significant, **p ≤ 0.001-highly significant.

Table 2: Sensory Block Characteristics.

| R (Mean ± SD) | RD (Mean ± SD) | p-value |
|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Sensory onset (min) | 11.50 ± 3.143 | 8.30 ± 2.625 | <0.001** |
| Sensory duration (min) | 568.67 ± 87.096 | 1049.48 ± 101.009 | <0.001** |
| Duration of analgesia (min) | 784.60 ± 88.121 | 1209.90 ± 107.536 | <0.001** |

*p ≤ 0.05-significant, **p ≤ 0.001-highly significant.

Table 3: On set and duration of Motor Block.

| R (Mean ± SD) | RD (Mean ± SD) | p-value |
|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Motor onset (min) | 15.18 ± 4.257 | 11.62 ± 3.395 | <0.001** |
| Motor duration (min) | 449.98 ± 46.302 | 895.37 ± 61.626 | <0.001** |

*p ≤ 0.05-significant, **p ≤ 0.001-highly significant.

Duration of analgesia was defined as the time from injection of local anesthetic mixture to the use of rescue analgesic. Pain was assessed using the visual analog scale (0-10). The rescue analgesic (inj. Tramadol 100 mg i.v.) was administered when the patient started complaining of pain (VAS Score > 4).

Any complications pertaining to block technique or side effects of medications (if any) were also noted. After completion of study, the collected data was tabulated as mean± SD and compared by using software SPSS (15 Inc. version, Chicago). A p-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The study was successfully conducted on 120 adult patients and all patients were included for data analysis. The demographic profile of the patients age, sex, weight, ASA grade were comparable in each group. (Table 1)

Time to sensory onset was significantly faster in group RD than group R [8.30 ± 2.62 min vs. 11.50 ± 3.14 min]. The mean duration of sensory blockade was found to be significantly prolonged in patients of group RD compared to group R [1049.48 ± 101.009 mins vs. 568.67 ± 87.09 mins]. Addition of dexmedetomidine also significantly enhanced the duration of analgesia. The mean duration of analgesia in group RD was 1209.90 ± 107.536 mins while in group it was 784.60 ± 88.12 mins. (Table 2). Time to motor onset was also found to be significantly faster in group RD compared to group R [11.62 ± 3.39 min vs 15.18 ± 4.25 mins]. The mean duration of motor blockade was found to be significantly larger in group RD (895.37 ± 61.62 mins) compared to group R (449.98 ± 46.30 mins) Table 3.

The patients of group RD had significantly lower heart rates at all times intraoperatively and till 2 hours post operatively compared to patients of group R (Figure 1a). There was no statistically significant
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difference in the post-operative heart rates between the two groups after the 2 hours. (Figure 1b) There was no statistically significant difference in the SBP between the two groups at baseline, intraoperatively or postoperatively (Figure 2a and 2b). No statistically significant difference was noted in the baseline DBP between the two groups. However, statistically significant and lower DBP were noted at 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 50 min, 60 min and 70 min time intervals intraoperatively in group RD compared to group R (Figure 3a). No statistically significant difference was noted in the postoperative DBP values between the two groups. (Figure 3b) No significant difference was noted in the baseline MAP between the two groups. However, statistically significant & lower MAP were noted at 10 min, 20 min, 30 min, 40 min, 50 min, 60 min and 70 min time intervals intraoperatively in group RD compared to group R (Figure 4a). No statistically significant difference was noted in the postoperative MAP between the two groups. (Figure 4b) There was no significant difference in the baseline or intraoperative sedation scores between the groups.

No incidence of hypotension, respiratory depression (respiratory rate < 10 breaths/min. or SPO2 < 90% on air) or drowsiness (sedation) or any other study drug related side effects were noted in the two groups. However Bradycardia (HR<50/min) was noted in 2 cases in RD group and none in group R. Bradycardia was treated with injection atropine (0.2mg -0.6 mg I/V). There were no noted adverse effects associated with lower heart rates.

Discussion
When this study was designed, there were only a few clinical
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studies of peripheral perineural dexmedetomidine in humans and till date only a limited literature is available for peripheral use of perineural dexmedetomidine in humans in conjunction with ropivacaine exist. Among the many pharmacologic agents added to local anesthetics including opioids [4-8] and non-opioids [9-11] (midazolam, dexamethasone, ketamine), epinephrine has traditionally been added to local anesthetic solutions to enhance the duration of analgesia and reduce the systemic absorption. Clonidine (a partial α 2 adrenoceptor agonist) has been found to enhance and prolong the effect of ropivacaine for brachial plexus blockade [17]. Dexmedetomidine has selectivity 8 times more than clonidine for α2 receptor (α2/α1 -1620:1) [18-20].

Several hypothesized mechanism of action has been suggested to explain the analgesic effect of α2 adrenoceptors agonists. Some of these include vasoconstriction around the injection site, direct suppression of impulse propagation through neurons as a result of a complex interaction of axonal ion channels or receptors, local release of encephalin-like substance, a decrease in localized inflammatory mediators and increase in anti-inflammatory cytokines through a α2adrenoceptors-mediated mechanism.

In present study a dosage of 50 µg dexmedetomidine was chosen, based on the doses that have been proven safe for IV sedation [21] and on basis of data from previous studies that used dexmedetomidine intrathecally [19,22] (up to 10 µg) or perineurally [13] (1 µg/kg). An animal study showed that high-dose dexmedetomidine (25-40 µg/kg) on perineural administration did not damage axons or myelin sheaths and could even reduce the bupivacaine-induced acute perineural inflammation, thus highlighting safety of perineural dexmedetomidine [23].

In this prospective, randomized, double blind, controlled study adding 50 µg dexmedetomidine to 19 ml ropivacaine 0.75% for supraclavicular brachial plexus block shortens the sensory and motor block onset time, prolongs sensory and motor block duration and duration of analgesia and decreases the total analgesic requirement with no side effects. The mean duration of sensory and motor block were 1049.48 ± 101.009 mins. And 895.37 ± 121 mins respectively in dexmedetomidine plus ropivacaine group whereas duration of sensory and motor block was just 568.67 ± 87.096 mins and 449.98 ± 46.302 mins respectively in ropivacaine alone group. The duration of analgesia was significantly (p<0.001) longer in group dexmedetomidine plus ropivacaine group (1209.90 ± 107.536 mins) than in ropivacaine alone (784.60 ± 88.121 mins).

Gerhard Fritsch et al. in their study compared 12 mL of 0.5% ropivacaine with 0.5% ropivacaine plus 150-µg dexmedetomidine for ultrasound-guided interscalene blocks and concluded that Dexmedetomidine added to ropivacaine for interscalene blocks increased the duration of the block and improved postoperative analgesia. These additional efficacy and safety data should encourage further study of peripheral perineural dexmedetomidine in humans. Dexmedetomidine group has significantly reduced heart rates compared to the control group. However they did not found any consistently significant differences in blood pressures between the groups [24].

Amany S. Ammar et al. in their clinical trial added dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine during placement of ultrasound guided infraclavicular block and they concluded that adding dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine enhanced onset and duration of sensory and motor block, prolonged duration of analgesia and yields lower VAS pain scores [25].

Esmaoglu et al. in their study added dexmedetomidine to levobupivacaine for an axillary brachial plexus block Dexmedetomidine added to levobupivacaine for axillary brachial plexus block shortens

Figure 3b: Postoperative Mean DBP.

Figure 4a: Intraoperative Mean MAP.

Figure 4b: Postoperative Mean MAP.
the onset time and prolongs the duration of the block and the duration of postoperative analgesia. Sometimes dexmedetomidine can cause bradycardia. They also explained that peripherally, α2 receptor agonists produce analgesia by reducing release of norepinephrine and causing receptor-independent inhibitory effects on nerve fibre action potentials [14]. In another study D. Marhofer et al. added dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to ropivacaine in patients undergoing ultrasound guided ulnar nerve block. They showed that addition of dexmedetomidine prolonged the duration of sensory and motor block and shortened the motor onset although the time to sensory onset was not shortened [26].

Dexmedetomidine may also have side effects such as hypotension and bradycardia which usually occurs with increased dosage, along with other effects such as sedation and anxiolysis. Hemodynamic effects which occurs after perineural administration of dexmedetomidine possibly occurs due to some systemic uptake of the drug. The dexmedetomidine results in decreased systemic vascular resistance possibly occurs due to some systemic uptake of the drug. The decrease in heart rate caused by α-2 agonist can also be explained on the basis of their central action by which they decrease sympathetic outflow and nor-epinephrine release.
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