Examination of diverse iron-chelating agents for the protection of differentiated PC12 cells against oxidative injury induced by 6-hydroxydopamine and dopamine
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Labile redox-active iron ions have been implicated in various neurodegenerative disorders, including the Parkinson's disease (PD). Iron chelation has been successfully used in clinical practice to manage iron overload in diseases such as thalassemia major; however, the use of conventional iron chelators in pathological states without systemic iron overload remains at the preclinical investigative level and is complicated by the risk of adverse outcomes due to systemic iron depletion. In this study, we examined three clinically-used chelators, namely, desferrioxamine, deferiprone and deferasirox and compared them with experimental agent salicylaldehyde isonicotinoyl hydrazone (SIH) and its boronate-masked prochelator BSIH for protection of differentiated PC12 cells against the toxicity of catecholamines 6-hydroxydopamine and dopamine and their oxidation products. All the assayed chelating agents were able to significantly reduce the catecholamine toxicity in a dose-dependent manner. Whereas hydrophilic chelator desferrioxamine exerted protection only at high and clinically unachievable concentrations, deferiprone and deferasirox significantly reduced the catecholamine neurotoxicity at concentrations that are within their plasma levels following standard dosage. SIH was the most effective iron chelator to protect the cells with the lowest own toxicity of all the assayed conventional chelators. This favorable feature was even more pronounced in prochelator BSIH that does not chelate iron unless its protective group is cleaved in disease-specific oxidative stress conditions. Hence, this study demonstrated that while iron chelation may have general neuroprotective potential against catecholamine auto-oxidation and toxicity, SIH and BSIH represent promising lead molecules and warrant further studies in more complex animal models.

Iron (Fe) is the most abundant transition metal in the human body with a fundamental role in various physiological processes. It serves as an electron donor and acceptor due to its easy cycling between its ferrous (Fe2+) and ferric (Fe3+) oxidation states. Iron participates in a wide variety of biochemical processes, including DNA synthesis, mitochondrial electron transport and oxidative phosphorylation, and its proper homeostasis is therefore vital for normal function of the central nervous system1. Fe is distributed heterogeneously in different brain regions. It is involved in neurotransmitter metabolism, including dopamine (DA) synthesis via stimulation of tyrosine hydroxylase activity2.

On the other hand, labile and redox-active Fe ions can catalyze the Fenton reaction yielding hydroxyl radicals—the most reactive and toxic form of reactive oxygen species (ROS)3,4. Increased Fe levels, primarily in substantia nigra zona compacta in the ventral midbrain, have been associated with neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease (PD), a severe progressive neurological disorder that primarily affects movement control5.
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For experiments with differentiated cells, naïve cells were seeded into appropriate microplates (TPP, Switzerland)
at given cellular density in medium for differentiation, consisting of RPMI-1640 medium supplemented
with 1% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution, and 50 ng/ml nerve growth factor (Sigma-Aldrich/Merck, Germany). The half volume of medium was changed for fresh differentiation medium every 2nd–3rd day, and cells were taken into experiments at the 7th–8th day of differentiation.

Experimental protocols. Catecholamines are able to undergo spontaneous oxidation to various products that have been implicated in their toxicity. In this study, three different protocols were used to assess the potential protective properties of compounds under investigation (Fig. 2). Work solutions of catecholamines ("CA"—6-hydroxydopamine or dopamine) were either freshly-prepared before the cellular exposure (protocol PI) or 24 h-preincubated (i.e., left spontaneously oxidize; oxCA) at 37 °C (protocols PII and PIII). The Fe chelator or prochelator under investigation [(pro)CHEL] was added to the solution with catecholamine either at the start of the cellular exposure (protocols PI, PII) or at the beginning of 24 h preincubation of catecholamine (protocol PIII).

Lactate dehydrogenase release assay for assessment of cytotoxicity. Cellular viability was determined using the assay based on measurement of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity as an index of membrane damage38–40. Differentiated PC12 cells seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 30,000 cells per cm² were incubated
with compounds under investigation (alone or in combinations) for indicated time period. To determine the total cellular LDH level, positive control cells were treated with lysis buffer (0.1 M potassium phosphate, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.8, 15 min at room temperature).

A sample of the culture medium was taken from each experiment well, and the activity of LDH was assayed in Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.9) containing 35 mM lactic acid and 5 mM NAD\(^+\). The rate of NAD\(^+\) reduction was monitored spectrophotometrically at \(\lambda = 340\) nm for 2 min at RT using a microplate spectrophotometer Tecan Infinite 200 M (Tecan, Austria). The slope of the linear region was calculated, and the data were expressed as a percent of total LDH in completely lysed control (100%).

**Sytox Green nucleic acid stain for assessment of cytotoxicity.** To confirm the cellular viability, another cytotoxicity assay was used. SYTOX Green nucleic acid stain, at the final concentration of 3 \(\mu\)M (Invitrogen–Molecular Probes, U.S.A.). Differentiated PC12 cells, seeded in 96-well plates at the same density as for LDH, were incubated with compounds under investigation using PI protocol or alone for up to 72 h. The fluorescence was measured at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h to observe the toxicity development over time. To determine the total amount of cells per well, they were treated with lysis buffer at the end of the experiment (8% Triton X-100 for one hour, 37 °C). The percentage of living cells were compared to the untreated control cells (DMSO).

SYTOX fluorescent dye does not cross intact membranes but penetrates compromised membranes. Upon binding nucleic acids, it exhibits >500-fold fluorescence enhancement. The fluorescent was measured at \(\lambda = 490\) nm excitation and \(\lambda = 520\) nm emission wavelengths using Tecan Infinite 200 M micro-plate spectrophotometer (Tecan, Austria).

**Epifluorescence microscopy for assessment of cellular morphology and apoptosis/necrosis induction.** Cells were observed using an inverted epifluorescence microscope Nikon Eclipse TS100 with 10–40 × air objectives (Nikon, Japan) equipped with a digital camera 1300Q (VDS Vosskühler, Germany) and the software NIS-Elements AR 3.10 (Laboratory Imaging, Czech Republic). The cellular viability was visualized using nuclei staining with Hoechst 33,342 (Molecular Probes/Invitrogen, U.S.A.) and propidium iodide (Molecular Probes). Hoechst 33,342 is a blue-fluorescent probe (\(\lambda_{ex} = 360\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 460\) nm) staining all nuclei. In apoptotic cells, chromatin condensation occurs, and apoptotic cells can be identified as those with condensed and more intensely stained chromatin. The red-fluorescent (\(\lambda_{ex} = 560\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 630\) nm) DNA-binding dye, propidium iodide, cannot cross the plasma membrane of living cells, but readily enters necrotic (or late-stage apoptotic) cells and stains their nuclei red. PC12 cells seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 30.000 cells per cm\(^2\) were incubated with compounds under investigation (alone or in combinations) for 24 h. After that, cells had been stained for 10 min at 37 °C with 10 \(\mu\)g/mL Hoechst 33,342 and 1 \(\mu\)g/mL propidium iodide, twice washed with PBS and then assayed on the microscope.

**2′,7′-Dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate assay for determination of cellular reactive oxygen species formation.** To assess cellular ROS generation, the measurement of 2′,7′-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H\(_2\)DCF-DA; Molecular Probes) fluorescence intensity was used. This originally non-fluorescent reagent diffuses passively through the cellular membrane into the cell, where acetate groups are cleaved by intracellular esterases and subsequently oxidized by ROS formed within the cell (particularly by hydroxyl radicals; •OH) to green-fluorescent 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF; \(\lambda_{ex} = 485\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 525\) nm). The fluorescence intensity is generally proportional to the •OH concentration, although several organic radicals (including thyl radicals), as well as cytochrome c, may also be determined by this assay\(^{41}\). PC12 cells seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 30,000 cells per cm\(^2\) were washed with ADS buffer (116 mM NaCl, 5.3 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO\(_4\), 1.13 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 5 mM glucose, 1 mM CaCl\(_2\), 20 mM HEPES; pH 7.4) and loaded with 10 \(\mu\)M H\(_2\)DCF-DA. After 60 min incubation at 37 °C, the loading buffer was discarded, and the cells were washed with ADS buffer and exposed to compounds under investigation (alone or in combinations). Fluorescence intensity was measured for 30 min at 37 °C using a microplate spectrophotometer Tecan Infinite 200 M. ROS formation in the experimental groups was expressed as a percentage of the untreated control (100%).

**Data analysis.** The statistical software SigmaStat for Windows 3.5 (Systat Software, CA, U.S.A.) and GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, San Diego USA) were used in this study. The Grubbs test was used for detection of outlier values. For comparisons of two groups, either Student's t-test or the nonparametric Mann–Whitney rank sum test was used. For multiple comparisons, either one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc analysis or one-way ANOVA on ranks with Dunn's post hoc analysis (data with nonnormal distribution) were used. Differences between groups were considered to be statistically significant at a significance level \(p \leq 0.05\). The concentrations of compounds under investigation inducing 50% protection of cellular viability from toxicity induced by catecholamines (EC\(_{50}\) values) were calculated with CalcuSyn 2.0 software (Biosoft, U.K.).

**Results**

**Comparison of various Fe chelators for protection against the toxicity of 6-OHDA.** In the first set of experiments, the experimental Fe chelator SHI was compared with clinically used chelators DFO, L1, and ICL670A. For the initial comparison of the neuroprotective effects of all assayed chelators against the catecholamine toxicity, the differentiated PC12 cells were incubated for 24 h simultaneously with freshly prepared 6-OHDA (100 \(\mu\)M) and various concentrations of chelators (Protocol PI; Fig. 2). Cytotoxicity was determined using the LDH release assay. All studied chelators significantly protected the cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 3). However, DFO was not able to reduce the toxicity of 6-OHDA by 50%, even in the highest tested concentration (1000 \(\mu\)M). L1, ICL670A, and SHI showed rather similar protective effects, and their EC\(_{50}\) values...
were 23.4 ± 9.9 µM, 21.6 ± 10.9 µM, and 20.3 ± 9.7 µM, respectively. At 100 µM (the highest concentration at which all the lipophilic chelators could be dissolved), SIH achieved the best efficiency as it reduced the toxicity of 6-OHDA so that the cellular viability did not differ significantly from the untreated control cells.

Comparison of toxicities of Fe chelators. Inherent cytotoxicities of studied Fe chelators (all in 100 µM concentration, at which the chelators were protective) were assessed by their incubations for 24 h, 48 h, or 72 h with differentiated PC12 cells. As seen in Fig. 4, SIH showed the lowest cytotoxicity, which was particularly evident in longer cellular exposures (48 h and 72 h), although the cytotoxicity was still statistically significant compared to control. DFO displayed generally similar results as SIH, although it was more toxic than SIH in the longest incubation (72 h). Orally active chelators L1 and ICL670A showed a statistically significant increase in cytotoxicity even after 24 h incubation. ICL670A displayed the highest cytotoxicity. Using SYTOX fluorescence measurements, practically no toxicity of all tested compounds was observed at 24 h compared to control (DMSO). As with LDH, longer exposures (48 and 72 h) resulted to higher toxicity, mostly in ICL670A. SIH displayed lower toxicity after 24 h of incubation; nevertheless, BSIH was not toxic for up to 72 h, where it displayed the same viability as untreated control cells (Fig. 8).

Comparison of the cytoprotective potential of chelator SIH and prochelator BSIH. The comparison of diverse Fe chelators revealed that although some protection can be a general property of Fe chelators, the lipophilic agents (L1, ICL-670A, SIH) have higher potential to exert neuroprotection, apparently due to the better access to intracellular labile Fe pool. However, an important issue is the own toxicity of chelators, as in states without Fe overload (such as in PD), Fe chelation can induce severe toxicity due to the depleting or withholding of this important biogenic metal. To this end, the concept of prochelators, which release active chelating agents only upon oxidative injury, has been introduced.

Hence, the Fe chelator SIH that displayed the most favorable properties with respect to both efficacy and own toxicity was studied in more detail together with its prochelator derivative BSIH. In this pivotal set of experiments, the protective effects of SIH and BSIH were assayed in a wide concentration range (3–600 µM) in cells that were challenged by two catecholamines, 6-OHDA, and DA (both 100 µM). T Three different incubation
protocols have been used to dissect the role of auto-oxidation in catecholamine neurotoxicity and the potential of SIH and BSIH to prevent it (Fig. 2).

Firstly, we used the experimental setup where the compound under investigation was co-incubated for 24 h with freshly-prepared 6-OHDA or DA (protocol P I, used for the initial comparison of various chelators). As seen in Figs. 5A,B, S3, both SIH and BSIH partially protected the cells in a concentration-dependent manner. Better protection was obtained with SIH, which significantly reduced the cytotoxic effects of catecholamines already at relatively low concentrations (≥ 10 μM or ≥ 3 μM in the case of 6-OHDA or DA, respectively). BSIH exerted protection only at concentrations one order of magnitude higher than SIH, apparently due to the need for activation and delayed onset of its protective action. To expand the knowledge of the tested compounds’ behavior, using the protocol PI, the investigation time was prolonged to 72 h and evaluated by SYTOX (Fig. 9A,B, Supplementary Fig S5). In SIH, co-exposed to 6-OHDA, after 24 h, comparable results were observed as for LDH and all concentrations of SIH were able to significantly protect the PC12 cells. At 48 and 72 h incubations, all the concentrations were able to significantly protect the cells as well, but with a decreasing efficiency (Fig. 9A). With BSIH, its concentrations 100 to 600 µM were able to partially, but significantly protect the cells at all the times.

Then the protective potentials of SIH and BSIH against the toxicities of catecholamines were assayed in setup, when the cells were incubated with studied (pro-)chelator added immediately before cellular experiments to medium with 24 h-preincubated (i.e., oxidized) catecholamines—ox6-OHDA or oxDA (Fig. 5C,D; protocol P II). As seen in Fig. 5C,D, both SIH and BSIH exerted protection in a dose-dependent manner. However, whereas at lower concentrations (≤ 100 μM), SIH was considerably more efficient than BSIH, at concentrations ≥ 300 μM BSIH was able to reduce the toxicities of ox6-OHDA and oxDA slightly better than SIH. Finally, in a setup when cells were incubated with studied compounds added to the medium at the beginning of catecholamine 24 h-preoxidation (“co-preincubation”, protocol P III), both SIH and BSIH were able to significantly reduce cytotoxicity induced by ox6-OHDA and oxDA, albeit only at concentrations ≥ 30 μM (Fig. 5E,F). A summary of the calculated EC50 values is shown in Table 1.

Furthermore, these results were confirmed by epifluorescence microscopy with nuclear co-staining by fluorescent probes Hoechst 33342 and propidium iodide. As seen in Supplementary Fig. S4, epifluorescence images reflect the pronounced toxicity of 100 μM 6-OHDA (induction of necrosis and/or late-stage apoptosis) and the ability of SIH and BSIH to protect cellular viability against apoptosis/necrosis induced by 6-OHDA. Whereas both SIH and BSIH (100 μM) were similarly protective in protocols II and III (with 24 h catecholamine preoxidation), in protocol I, using fresh 6-OHDA, SIH induced more pronounced protection than BSIH at this concentration (100 μM), which is in agreement with the LDH release assay (Figs. 5A, 9).

**Comparison of cytotoxicity of SIH and BSIH.** Inherent cytotoxicities of the chelator SIH and its derived prochelator BSIH were firstly determined following their 24 h incubations with differentiated PC12 cells in a concentration range from 3 to 600 μM (solubility limit). As shown in Fig. S2, SIH induced a statistically significant although rather mild increase in LDH release, whereas BSIH did not. Therefore, their toxicities were then observed in time, when both studied compounds at concentration 100 μM were incubated with cells for up to 96 h. Here, SIH induced statistically significant viability reduction during the whole experiment, whereas BSIH did not show even minor signs of cytotoxicity. Moreover, BSIH exerted even certain protection of differentiated PC12 cells against spontaneous cell death during prolonged experiments (Fig. 6). With SYTOX measurements, comparable results were obtained, with notable no significant difference between control and BSIH (Fig. 8).

Low toxicities of SIH and BSIH after 24 h incubation are also apparent from epifluorescence microscopy images of nuclei stained with Hoechst 33,342 and propidium iodide. As seen in Supplementary Fig. S4, the proportion of cells with apoptotic/necrotic features was comparable to that of untreated control.
Determination of intracellular reactive oxygen species formation. The H$_2$DCF-DA assay was used to assess the ability of SIH and BSIH to prevent the generation of intracellular ROS, measured as fluorescence intensity at the end of 30-min incubation of cells with SIH or BSIH (100 µM) with freshly-prepared 6-OHDA (protocol PI) or 24 h-preoxidized 6-OHDA (protocols P II and P III).

6-OHDA and ox6-OHDA (both 100 µM) induced a statistically significant increase in oxidation of H$_2$DCF to fluorescent DCF. Co-incubation with both SIH and BSIH significantly decreased ROS formation (Fig. 7), with SIH being in most experiments more effective than BSIH. The most effective protection was observed in the setup with fresh 6-OHDA and SIH/BSIH (Fig. 7A).

Figure 5. Comparison of protective effects of the Fe chelator SIH and prochelator BSIH against the toxicity of 6-hydroxodopamine (6-OHDA)- and dopamine (DA) in differentiated PC12 cells. Cellular toxicities were determined using LDH activity assay and expressed as a percentage of the LDH released from completely lysed control cells. (A, B) SIH or BSIH were added to freshly-prepared catecholamine, (A) 6-OHDA or (B) DA before the start of 24 h cellular experiments (protocol PI); (C, D) SIH or BSIH were added immediately before cellular experiments to 24 h-preoxidized catecholamines, (C) ox6-OHDA or (D) oxDA (protocol PII); (E, F) SIH or BSIH were preincubated for 24 h together with catecholamines, (E) ox6-OHDA or (F) oxDA and then added to cells (protocol PIII). Data are presented as means ± SD; n = 4–5; Statistical significance (ANOVA, p ≤ 0.05): *vs. control group, #vs. corresponding catecholamine group.
Disturbance of brain Fe homeostasis has been implicated in neuronal injury and death in various neurodegenerative diseases, such as PD, Alzheimer’s disease, Friedreich’s ataxia, or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Oxidative stress may lead to neuronal cell damage and/or loss due to the diverse processes that (depending on the mode and/or intensity of the stimulus) include necrosis, apoptosis, autophagy or ferroptosis, a relatively novel type of programmed cell death dependent on Fe and characterized by the accumulation of various lipid peroxides. Furthermore, Fe may contribute to neurodegenerative changes by various other mechanisms than only by production of ROS—e.g., Fe may enhance the translation of α-synuclein mRNA level or the imbalance in Fe homeostasis affects the expression of DA D2 receptors.

Fe chelators have been suggested as neuroprotective and neurorestorative in various neurological diseases, suggesting that Fe chelation might be a promising treatment modality. Apart from preventing Fe from entering the Haber–Weiss reaction and subsequent reduction of ROS formation, Fe chelators can induce HIF-1α that modulates the expression of several key genes involved in neuroprotection.

Previously, several studies evaluated the potential of Fe chelators for neuroprotection. Focus has been particularly on registered agents (mostly used in clinics to manage Fe accumulation in transfusion-dependent anemias) with known pharmacokinetic and safety profiles. DFO has been the first Fe chelator used in clinical practice to manage diseases associated with chronic Fe overload and characterized by the accumulation of various lipid peroxides. Furthermore, Fe may contribute to neurodegenerative changes by various other mechanisms than only by production of ROS—e.g., Fe may enhance the translation of α-synuclein mRNA level or the imbalance in Fe homeostasis affects the expression of DA D2 receptors.

Fe chelators have been suggested as neuroprotective and neurorestorative in various neurological diseases, suggesting that Fe chelation might be a promising treatment modality. Apart from preventing Fe from entering the Haber–Weiss reaction and subsequent reduction of ROS formation, Fe chelators can induce HIF-1α that modulates the expression of several key genes involved in neuroprotection.

Previously, several studies evaluated the potential of Fe chelators for neuroprotection. Focus has been particularly on registered agents (mostly used in clinics to manage Fe accumulation in transfusion-dependent anemias) with known pharmacokinetic and safety profiles. DFO has been the first Fe chelator used in clinical practice to manage diseases associated with chronic Fe overload and Chouraqui et al. showed that DFO (10–30 μM) reduced the toxicity induced by glutathione depletion agent buthionine sulfoximine in PC12 cells. DFO also significantly attenuated methamphetamine- or 6-OHDA-induced neurotoxicity in rats. However, DFO is a hydrophilic Fe chelator with a rather high molecular weight that does not readily pass through biological membranes. Orally active chelators are deferiprone (L1) and deferasirox (ICL-670A). Molina-Holgado et al. have shown in primary mouse cortical neurons significant protective action of L1 against various insults, including Fe (ferric nitrotriacetae), H₂O₂, and aggregated forms of amyloid-beta. SIH, although studied in various cells, isolated organs or in vivo experiments, has very limited data available on protection of neurons or derived cells. It has been studied by Shi et al. and significantly reduced the toxicity of 6-OHDA in SH-SY5Y cells from ~60 to ~40%, although the SIH treatment itself also led to ~40% decrease in cell viability.

### Discussion

Disturbance of brain Fe homeostasis has been implicated in neuronal injury and death in various neurodegenerative diseases, such as PD, Alzheimer’s disease, Friedreich’s ataxia, or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Oxidative stress may lead to neuronal cell damage and/or loss due to the diverse processes that (depending on the mode and/or intensity of the stimulus) include necrosis, apoptosis, autophagy or ferroptosis, a relatively novel type of programmed cell death dependent on Fe and characterized by the accumulation of various lipid peroxides. Furthermore, Fe may contribute to neurodegenerative changes by various other mechanisms than only by production of ROS—e.g., Fe may enhance the translation of α-synuclein mRNA level or the imbalance in Fe homeostasis affects the expression of DA D2 receptors.

Fe chelators have been suggested as neuroprotective and neurorestorative in various neurological diseases, suggesting that Fe chelation might be a promising treatment modality. Apart from preventing Fe from entering the Haber–Weiss reaction and subsequent reduction of ROS formation, Fe chelators can induce HIF-1α that modulates the expression of several key genes involved in neuroprotection.

Previously, several studies evaluated the potential of Fe chelators for neuroprotection. Focus has been particularly on registered agents (mostly used in clinics to manage Fe accumulation in transfusion-dependent anemias) with known pharmacokinetic and safety profiles. DFO has been the first Fe chelator used in clinical practice to manage diseases associated with chronic Fe overload and Chouraqui et al. showed that DFO (10–30 μM) reduced the toxicity induced by glutathione depletion agent buthionine sulfoximine in PC12 cells. DFO also significantly attenuated methamphetamine- or 6-OHDA-induced neurotoxicity in rats. However, DFO is a hydrophilic Fe chelator with a rather high molecular weight that does not readily pass through biological membranes. Orally active chelators are deferiprone (L1) and deferasirox (ICL-670A). Molina-Holgado et al. have shown in primary mouse cortical neurons significant protective action of L1 against various insults, including Fe (ferric nitrotriacetae), H₂O₂, and aggregated forms of amyloid-beta. SIH, although studied in various cells, isolated organs or in vivo experiments, has very limited data available on protection of neurons or derived cells. It has been studied by Shi et al. and significantly reduced the toxicity of 6-OHDA in SH-SY5Y cells from ~60 to ~40%, although the SIH treatment itself also led to ~40% decrease in cell viability.

### Table 1

Comparison of protective effects of Fe chelator SIH and its derived prochelator BSIH against toxicities of catecholamines 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) and dopamine (DA) and 24 h-preincubated catecholamines (ox6-OHDA, oxDA) using various experimental protocols and LDH assay. Protocol P I—the (pro)chelator was co-incubated for 24 h with freshly-prepared catecholamine; Protocol P II—the cells were incubated with studied (pro)chelator added just before cellular experiments to medium with 24 h-preincubated (i.e., oxidized) catecholamines; Protocol P III: cells were incubated with studied compounds added to the medium at the beginning of catecholamine 24 h-preoxidation. EC₅₀ values [μM] are calculated concentrations of (pro) chelator at which it reduces the toxicity of catecholamine to 50%.

| Protocol | 6-OHDA | DA | ox6-OHDA | oxDA | ox6-OHDA | oxDA |
|----------|--------|----|----------|------|----------|------|
| SIH      | 20 ± 10| <3 | 4 ± 2    | 7 ± 4| 21 ± 5   | 30 ± 13|
| BSIH     | 174 ± 64| 25 ± 6| 65 ± 22 | 90 ± 30| 21 ± 4 | 29 ± 8 |

Figure 6. Comparison of cytotoxic effects of Fe chelator SIH and prochelator BSIH in differentiated PC12 cells. Cellular toxicities were determined using LDH activity assay and expressed as a percentage of the LDH released from completely lysed control cells. Time-dependency of cytotoxic effects of SIH and BSIH, both 100 μM, following incubations for up to 96 h with cells. Data are presented as means ± SD; n = 4; Statistical significance (ANOVA, p ≤ 0.05): * vs. control group.
The present study is the first that directly compares all three Fe chelators used in clinical practice with SIH and its prochelator BSIH. PC12 cells were used as they have the capacity to undergo neuronal differentiation in response to NGF. Using this approach, the cell population was before the experiments turned to a postmitotic state.
phenotype with many features of sympathetic and dopaminergic neurons. Apart from DA (a natural neurotransmitter of the brain), 6-OHDA (also known as oxidopamine) was used as it is a well-established and long-used model neurotoxic agent. The local injection of 6-OHDA into the midbrain of rats and mice causes an acute degeneration of dopaminergic neurons. 6-OHDA is recognized by nigral neurons as DA and is taken up by the cells. With its entrance into the cytoplasm, 6-OHDA expresses its toxicity and destroys monoaminergic cells.

For the initial comparison of neuroprotective effects of studied chelators of Fe (DFO, L1, ICL670A and SIH), freshly prepared 6-OHDA was used in 100 µM concentration. This concentration correlated with other studies and we also verified that this concentration induced robust viability loss of PC12 cells (Fig. S1A) giving ample room for assessing potential cytoprotective agents. All the assayed chelators showed a dose-dependent decrease of a neurotoxic effect of 6-OHDA (Fig. 3). SIH displayed the best neuroprotective properties, especially in 100 µM concentration. SIH also showed the lowest inherent toxicity (Fig. 4, 8) and, therefore, the most favorable ratio of own toxicity and cytoprotective efficiency. These findings confirmed the results of previous studies, where SIH showed advantageous properties in comparison with all three clinically used Fe chelators on H9c2 cardiomyocyte-derived cells against cellular oxidative damage induced by hydrogen peroxide, tert-butylhydroperoxide or catecholamines—epinephrine and isoprenaline.

In the second part of this study, we focused on a more detailed examination of SIH and BSIH. The strategy of oxidative-stress activated prochelators in principle allows targeted chelation therapy for pathological states...
not connected with systemic Fe overload but rather with local Fe disbalance contributing to cellular injury. Their cytoprotective properties were assessed against toxicity caused by freshly prepared or 24 h-preincubated 6-OHDA and DA (Figs. 5, 9, S3). DA is a natural neurotransmitter of the brain whose toxicity closely resembles those of 6-OHDA and may involve both extracellular and intracellular generation of ROS by oxidation of the catechol moiety. SIH, as well as BSIH, showed significant and dose-dependent cytoprotective effects against toxicity induced by both 6-OHDA and DA. Here, SIH displayed better efficacy in lower concentrations than BSIH (Figs. 5A–D, 9, S3; Table 1—PI–PIII), probably due to the limited time to activate prochelator BSIH to active chelating products. This hypothesis was confirmed by subsequent experiments, where SIH or BSIH was preincubated together with 6-OHDA or DA for 24 h and where BSIH showed essentially the same cytoprotective effect as SIH (Fig. 5E,F; Table 1—PIII). Both compounds, SIH and BSIH, displayed very low inherent toxicity following 24 h incubation (Figs. 6, 8, Fig. S2). BSIH (100 µM) did not show any inherent toxicity even after 96 h exposure to differentiated PC12 cells. These findings correlated with a previous study, where BSIH (600 µM; the highest achievable concentration) did not induce any significant viability loss on H9c2 cells even after 168 h cellular exposure. The low inherent toxicity of this compound, as well as its promising cytoprotective effect, was verified using epifluorescence microscopy (Fig. S4).

In conclusion, the present study confirmed that chelation of free redox-active cellular Fe may be beneficial in the mitigation of catecholamine neurotoxicity. We observed advantageous properties of experimental Fe chelator SIH and its prochelator BSIH. Both compounds showed the ability to significantly reduce intracellular ROS generation and further oxidative stress propagation, resulting in a promising cytoprotective effect. Although BSIH required higher concentrations than SIH to achieve the same protective effect, its greatest advantage is the absence of its inherent toxicity (Fig. 10).

The present work is an initial in vitro toxicological study using rather high catecholamine concentrations and its relevance to human neurological diseases needs to be assessed using more complex in vivo models of neurodegenerative diseases with pathophysiological-relevant catecholamine levels. Future studies should also focus on the pharmacokinetic aspects of the (pro)chelators, including metabolization, excretion, eventual accumulation in tissues and, most importantly, their ability to cross the blood–brain barrier, which is an important property of all compounds intended for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.
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