Study of The Influence of Viscosity on The Morphology of Polyethersulfone Hollow Fiber Membranes/Additives
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The aim of this work was to prepare hollow fiber membrane changing the composition of polyethersulfone, polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and clay, through the phase inversion technique, evaluating the influence of these constituents on the viscosity and distinct morphologies. Flat membranes were produced and their contact angles were determined and showed difference when clay was added and/or PVP. For the hollow fiber membranes, the addition of clay, the PVP and/or clay in the solution, can change significantly the viscosity and effect the membrane formation. From the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, it was identified the influence on morphology with the compositional variation of each additive, with the PVP promoting shorter precipitation time, favoring the formation of pores of the “fingers” type and increasing the presence of macrovoids. The addition of clay favored the formation of spherical pores, reducing the presence of macropores.
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1. Introduction

Through morphological aspects, the membranes are being developed and used commercially in order to separate liquid, gas and solids1–5. The study of membranes, presents its motivation based on the energy cost, compared to other processes, besides the efficiency of separation6.

Among the types of polymeric membranes, there are natural and synthetic membranes, the massive majority is produced in a synthetic way7. Diverse are the factors that affect the properties of the membranes, from solvent, temperature, additives and others8. Among the membrane configurations, at least two types can be obtained through phase inversion technique, hollow fiber and flat polymer membranes9. Hollow fiber membranes have a cylindrical shape, with a varied diameter, being prepared by an extruded polymeric solution with a non-solvent in the inner diameter, thus occurring a flow of precipitation of the polymer solution10. The internal fluid has the function to accelerate the precipitation of the membrane, forming small pores and improving properties such as porosity, hydrophilicity and permeation, besides preventing the collapse of the fiber walls. There is a tendency towards the formation of an external precipitated layer, with or without small pores, due to the time of exposure of the solution to the air. Contact with water generates an immediate precipitation, creating large pores11.

Different types of polymers are used for the development of membranes, such as: polysulfone, cellulose acetate, polyetherimide, polyethersulfone, etc. The polyethersulfone (PES), due to its flexibility in morphology, exhibit a range of applications and, presents hardness and high rigidity, owning bulky groups in the polymeric chains. Its character is amorphous, presenting good thermal and dimensional stability12. Among some disadvantages of the membranes of polyethersulfone, can be highlighted: low hydrophilicity and permeability what can cause membrane fouling13. For this reason, there is another line of study that are the nanocomposite membranes, adding to the polymer matrix clay materials, aiming to improve mechanical properties and reduce costs14.

In order to obtain polymeric nanocomposites with the addition of clay, bentonite is the most widely used clay, with montmorillonite (MMT) being the predominantly clay mineral15,16. There are other additives used in the processing of polyethersulfone, including polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), used to control viscosity, accelerate the precipitation and form pores15,16.

In this way, adding clay and PVP, we change properties such as viscosity, morphology, hydrophilicity, pore size and quantity13,17. This shows the interest in evaluating the morphology of hollow fiber membranes by varying the composition and viscosity of the PES solution, being prepared by phase inversion technique.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The following materials were used for this research: polyethersulfone – PES, commercially known as Veradel® 3000p, provided by Solvay; N, N-dimethylformamide P.A./ACS (DMF) Labsynth Produtos para Laboratório LTDA; polyvinylpyrrolidone - PVP, (C₆H₉O)n, produced by
Labsynth Produtos para Laboratório LTDA and a regional clay provided by Bentonit União Nordeste (BUN).

2.2 Methodology

2.2.1 Preparation of the spinning solution

They were prepared three different compositions, performing compositional variations between polymer and additives: PES/PVP, PES/clay and PES/clay/PVP.

To obtain PES/PVP, solution with DMF, PVP and PES, under constant mixing at 1200 rpm for 1 hour was prepared. For PES/clay, initially, dispersions with clay and DMF, under mixing, at the speed of 1200 rpm, for a period of 1 hour was prepared, then the PES was added also under stirring for more 1 hour.

For PES/clay/PVP, at first, the dispersion between clay and DMF were prepared under stirring at 1200 rpm for 1 hour. Subsequently, PES and PVP were added, under mixing for 1 hour. All solutions remained at rest for 24 hours, to eliminating bubbles.

2.2.2 Production of hollow fiber membranes

To obtain the hollow fiber membranes, 400 g of solution was used, obtaining a continuous flow in the process. Hollow fiber were formed through an extruder, illustrated in Figure 1, with two concentric holes, allowing simultaneous extrusion of the internal liquid and solution, preventing the solution from collapsing. After extrusion, the hollow fiber membranes precipitated in a non-solvent bath and washed in water and remained immersed in water for 24 hours.

Flat membranes were produced according to the methodology used by Medeiros, to perform the contact angle test.

2.3 Characterizations

2.3.1 Viscosity measurements

Viscosity measurements were performed at room temperature, using a rotary viscometer model Q860M21 from Quimis, with reading range from 100 to 600000 mPa.s.

2.3.2 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

For analysis of the morphology of the hollow fiber membranes, cross section images were performed using VEGA 3 – Tescan, operating at 30 kV. The samples were fractured in liquid nitrogen and coated with gold.

2.3.3 Contact angle

Contact angle was performed by the sessile drop method, through a portable contact angle meter, Phoenix-I model from Surface Electro Optics – SEO. The drop was formed manually by a micrometric feeder, the drop image was captured by the camera built into the equipment, where subsequently analyzed by software program.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Viscosity measurements

The viscosities of the solutions are listed in Table 2. With higher polymer concentration and greater viscosity of the solution, the solution did not have sufficient stability to form the hollow fiber, because the precipitation was slow. In solutions with viscosity above 600000 MPa.s, the hollow fiber membrane did not formed, however, flat membranes for contact angle were produced. When adding the PVP, the membrane was formed, even with higher viscosity. This is probably due to the precipitation kinetics that has been modified, shifting the binodal curve of the system.

For the compositions only with clay, only the compositions with 3 and 5% of clay succeeded to form the membranes, in the other compositions the solutions took longer to precipitate and membranes were not uniform. All compositions with PVP, formed membranes, proving the need for the use of this additive in thermodynamic stabilization of the system.

Table 1. Experimental parameters for hollow fiber membranes preparation.

| Parameters                  | Values          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Solution temperature        | 26°C            |
| GAP                         | 5 cm            |
| Internal liquid flow        | 3 g/min         |
| Polymer solution flow       | 6 g/min         |

The parameters for hollow fiber membranes preparation are defined in Table 1 and were obtained through preliminary tests, analyzing the viscosity of the solution, the GAP, the flow of the internal liquid and as well as the flow of the polymeric solution.
As cited by Bouchard et al., phase inversion involves mixing and precipitation of a three-component system: solvent/polymer/non-solvent. The polymer is first solubilized in a solvent to form a homogeneous solution in a stable thermodynamic state. A change in thermodynamic state is then induced by contact with the polymer solution with a coagulation solution consisting of a non-solvent polymer which is also miscible with the polymeric solvent. This change creates a balance between two phases: a liquid phase poor in polymer and a liquid phase rich in polymer that allows different possibilities of morphology (porous, dense or mixed), which will also depend on a diffusional exchange of solvent and non-solvent and its kinetic separation during membrane formation.

According to Machado, the increase in the viscosity of the solutions, decreases the mass transfer rates between the fibers and the ambient air, the presence of macromolecular additives (for example, PVP) that moves the binodal curve of the system, favors the increasing of the region where the phase separation process occurs. Thus, the use of PVP makes the solutions more sensitive (more unstable) to the presence of the non-solvent, decreasing the time of precipitation and explaining the decrease of the stability time of the films.

Bildyukevich et al. explain that the behavior is probably related to changes in the phase separation mechanism. The phase separation diffusion mechanism involves the contact between a polymer solution and an internal (non-solvent) fluid that leads to concomitant co-counter-diffusion (interdiffusion) of the non-solvent spinning solution. With increased viscosity, mass exchange processes decrease speed. The kinetics delays the precipitation of the polymer exerting a certain effect in both the precipitation rate and the stretch ratio of the spinning of the hollow fiber membrane.

The viscosity influences the precipitation rate and with this the speed of spinning for membranes that use knobs tend to decrease, altering the geometric dimensions of the fibers. On the other hand, in the case of the free-fall spinning process, the precipitation rate is determined not only by the flow of the spinning solution, the flow of the internal liquid and the GAP, but also by the polymer solidification kinetics (increased viscosity) after the separation because of the gravitational under action of its weight.

Another variable that is influenced by viscosity is the shape and quantity of pores. According to Chou and Yang, as the speed of spinning increases, the pore size decreases, and is explained by the increased phase separation rate and shrinkage in high speed of spinning of hollow fiber membranes.

### 3.2 Contact angle

The contact angles of the membranes with distilled water are present in Figure 2. The contact angle for the pure PES membrane (no additives) was approximately 65.3°. Membranes with pure PES have a low hydrophilicity, resulting from the presence of the hydrophilic ether groups in PES chains. As noted in the Figures 2(a) and 2(b), the membranes that had in their composition both the PVP and the clay decreased the static contact angle.

| Sample | Solvent (wt%) | PES (wt%) | PVP (wt%) | CLAY (wt%) | Viscosity (mPa.s) | Membrane |
|--------|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------------|----------|
| AM1    | 70            | 29        | 1         | -          | 77581            | Formed   |
| AM2    | 70            | 27        | 3         | -          | 6674             | Formed   |
| AM3    | 70            | 25        | 5         | -          | 5899             | Formed   |
| AM4    | 70            | 23        | 7         | -          | 4583             | Formed   |
| AM5    | 70            | 21        | 9         | -          | 3658             | Formed   |
| AM6    | 70            | 29        | -         | 1          | 71092            | Did not formed |
| AM7    | 70            | 27        | -         | 3          | 15938            | Formed   |
| AM8    | 70            | 25        | -         | 5          | 30108            | Formed   |
| AM9    | 70            | 23        | -         | 7          | 180449           | Did not formed |
| AM10   | 70            | 21        | -         | 9          | **               | Did not formed |
| AM11   | 70            | 20        | 9         | 1          | 3274             | Formed   |
| AM12   | 70            | 20        | 7         | 3          | 1245             | Formed   |
| AM13   | 70            | 20        | 5         | 5          | 2586             | Formed   |
| AM14   | 70            | 20        | 3         | 7          | 2833             | Formed   |
| AM15   | 70            | 20        | 1         | 9          | 2434             | Formed   |

*1 mPa.s = 1 cP

** The equipment was not able to measure, the limit of the viscometer is 600000 mPa.s
Both additives had similar behavior, where the higher the concentration of PVP-9% and Brasgel PA-7%, the lower the angle (46.6º and 49.5º, respectively), i.e., the greater the hydrophilicity.

For the compositions that presented the two additives simultaneously (Figure 2c), PVP and Brasgel PA, there is a reduction in the angle, comparing the pure membrane, however among them there are no major changes in values.

The decrease observed in the contact angle reveals that the additives improved the hydrophilicity of the modified PES membranes, the minimum values achieved were for the compositions with 9% of PVP and 7% of Brasgel PA.

Similar results for the addition of PVP were obtained by Abdel-Karim et al.\textsuperscript{[4]}, where they investigated the use of different polymeric additives with high molar mass, to increase hydrophilicity, and, consequently, water permeability. Another similar result was observed by Al Malek et al.\textsuperscript{[26]} when studied different concentrations of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) in the preparation of polyethersulfone membrane (PES), using the phase inversion method. They found that adding PVP to the PES solution strongly improves the permeability of the membrane as consequence of increase in the hydrophilicity of the membrane.

For the addition of clay, Mierzwa et al.\textsuperscript{[27]} achieved reduction of contact angles by adding clay + sodium hexametaphosphate in concentrations of 1 wt%; 2.5 wt% and 5 wt%. A possible explanation for this effect is because the clay facilitates hydrogen bonds, thus favoring hydrophilicity.

### 3.3 Membrane morphology-PVP influence

The SEM images of the cross sections of the hollow fiber membranes produced by immersion-precipitation technique, with different contents of the PVP are shown in Figure 3. All membranes had the same tendency in their morphology, that is, composed of skins in the internal and external extremities, apparently dense, followed by a double layer of macropores, of the type “fingers”. This double layer is due to the precipitations from both sides. According to Pulido et al.\textsuperscript{[29]}, PES membranes produced with the DMF solvent favors this pore type.
The increase in the PVP concentration favored the increase of the pore size of “fingers” type, probably due to decreased viscosity, as previously reported. Machado et al.\textsuperscript{22} explains that the instantaneous precipitation in the film/bath interface, promoted by a high rate of mass transfer in the initial instants of immersion, provides conditions for stabilization of the sublayers, which, in turn, favors the formation of “fingers”.

All SEM images show the presence of pores with high interconnectivity. This type of morphology is frequently observed in solutions that contain PVP as a macromolecular additive. As reported by Machado et al.\textsuperscript{22}, the phase separation process is due to the nucleation and growth mechanism of the polymer dilute phase, and the interconnectivity induced by PVP would result from the spinodal de-mixing during the membrane formation process. On the other hand, subsequently, Boom et al.\textsuperscript{30} admitted that the presence of PVP can promote the separation of phases through the spinodal mechanism, which would give rise to interconnected phases.

According to Guillen et al.\textsuperscript{28}, the addition of organic or inorganic components as a third component to a spinning solution is used for to promote or not to a spongy membrane structure, avoiding the formation of macropores in the form of “fingers”, improving the interconnectivity of the pores and/or increases hydrophilicity.

Boom et al.\textsuperscript{30} studied the influence of polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as a polymeric additive in the formation of polyethersulfone (PES) membranes. They observed that the addition of PVP suppresses the formation of macrovoids. The polyvinylpyrrolidone can be trapped in the polymeric films and conferring some hydrophilic character. This additive can also release from the membranes after many hours of operation. On the other hand, Yeo et al.\textsuperscript{31} and Mosqueda-Jimenez et al.\textsuperscript{32} concludes that the addition of PVP to the spinning solution of PSf and DMF contributed to the enlargement of the macropores structure in the prepared membranes, rather than the suppression of this structure.

Yoo et al.\textsuperscript{33} suggest that the changes in the viscosity of the solution caused by the addition of PVP change the phase separation rate, which ultimately causes the differences in membrane morphology. Chakrabarty et al.\textsuperscript{34} also studied PVP variation added to the spinning solution. As the molecular

\textbf{Figure 3.} SEM images of PES hollow fiber membranes with PVP concentrations of 1 wt\%, 3 wt\%, 5 wt\%, 7 wt\% and 9 wt\%
weight of PVP increased, the membrane sublayers presented dense structures with less macrovoids and the pore number and porosity of the membrane increased. The skin layers of the membrane were thicker as more PVP was added and the number of macrovoids in the form of “finger” gradually disappeared.

Already Abdel-Karim et al. observed that the modified membrane with PVP presented a greater amount of structure similar to “fingers” than the PES membranes modified by the additives P31R1 (Pluronic) and T904 (Tetronic) due to its hydrophilic character that increases the miscibility of PVP with water. This results in the formation of large macrovoids. Another possible cause is due to the interaction between the amide group in PVP and the PES sulfone group, which may also have caused the formation of macropores in the membrane structure.

3.4 Morphology of membranes - influence of clay

The Figure 4 illustrates the SEM images of the cross section of the membranes produced with the addition of the Brasgel PA clay, in the proportions of 3 wt% and 5 wt%. The other proportions were not possible to produce the membranes, since the viscosities of the spinning solution were quite high, exceeding the limit curve to which the membranes are obtained.

In general, it is possible to observe that all membranes have a typically asymmetric structure with the presence of pores and macropores in the entire cross section. There is an extremely thin and apparently dense upper layer, where they are maintained by porous membrane support, with the presence of pores and macropores. It is also noted that the inclusion of clay favors the formation of spherical pores, with some macropores distributed along the cross section of the membrane.

Spherical pores may be related to precipitation of the membrane with delayed when in contact with the non-solvent bath, since these solutions presented the highest viscosity and confirming the hypothesis of Machado et al. that explains the instantaneous precipitation in the film/bath interface, promoted by a high rate of mass transfer in the initial instant of immersion, favors the formation of “fingers”, already more viscous solutions the effect is the opposite, favoring the spherical pores.

When the proportion of clay increases, the viscosity of the solution is far superior, practically double the value (Table 2). As an effect of this, there is a greater amount of pores of smaller sizes.

Mierzwa et al. report that it is possible to identify internal changes of the pore structure due to the addition of clay nanoparticles, where the formation of a thin and spongy structure on the membrane surface is observed. These higher density sponge structures can add resistance to water permeability and act as a filter.

According to Hajasgarkhani et al., during the formation of the membrane in the coagulation bath, the rate of the precipitation process affects the structure of the prepared membranes. The formation of a more porous structure with greater permeability is favored after the addition of montmorillonite clays (MMT). This may also be related to the increased thermodynamic instability of the polymeric solution. Montmorillonite behaves as a hydrophilic additive with non-solvent properties (an additive that, like other non-solvent, has a strong affinity for the DMF solvent and a weak affinity for the polymer). Thus, MMT increases the thermodynamic instability of the polymeric solution. The intensification of the thermodynamic instability of the spinning solution may favor the formation of a more porous structure.

Mukherjee and De observed that with the increase in the concentration of TiO₂ nanoparticles, the porous layer spreads through the skin. This type of structure is formed due to the instability of the suspension-coagulation interface, developed during phase inversion.

3.5 Morphology of membranes-influence of the pair of PVP/clay additives

The SEM images of the cross section of membranes prepared with the PVP (9 wt%, 7 wt%, 5 wt%, 3 wt% and 1 wt%) and clay (1 wt%, 3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7 wt% and 9 wt%) are visualized in Figure 5. All membranes exhibit a typical asymmetric structure with the presence of macropores. There are two layers in the (outer and inner) edges of the membrane, which are thin and selective, seemingly dense, followed by a porous layer, with macropores and pores “fingers” type, similar to results reported by Salahi et al. and Mierzwa et al. This type of morphology is characteristic of membranes, obtained by phase inversion (immersion precipitation), where

Figure 4. SEM images of PES hollow fiber membranes with Brasgel PA clay (3 wt% and 5 wt%).
the morphology depends on the kinetics of phase inversion and thermodynamics.

The membranes that presented higher PVP contents favored the morphology of the pore type “fingers”. When the PVP concentration decreases and increases the clay concentration, this tendency is delayed and “fingers” decrease and spherical pores in the central layer of the membrane appear.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to describe the formation of macropores and pores of “fingers” type. Matz, Frommer and Lancet suggested that macrovoids are the result of interfacial hydrodynamic instability controlled by a surface tension gradient. Strathman et al. believe that it is the precipitation rate that determines the macropore structure. Boom et al. and Smolders et al. also showed that the formation of macrovoids occurs in the separation of phases, where nuclei are formed from the diluted phase when the composition of the nucleotides remain stable for a relatively long period of time. The diffusion of the evaporated solvent from the polymer solution causes the growth of these pores. This type of pore is usually formed in systems where instantaneous precipitation occurs, except when the polymer additive concentration and the concentration of non-solvent in the polymer solution exceeds a minimum value. Therefore, they affirm that the composition of polymer solution close to the binodal composition favors the formation of spongy structures.

Guillen et al. explain that the diffusion of the solvent from the polymer solution causes the growth of the macrovoids. Already Chung, Teoh and Hu explain that these vacancies and “fingers” pores are probably formed by the spinodal decomposition with the aid of unbalanced localized stresses of the surface tension.

The amount and shape of the pores are fundamental to define and direct the use of the membrane. According to Guillen et al., the presence of macrovoids in the membranes has advantages and disadvantages. Macropores, for example, may result in membrane compaction or collapse and therefore limit application in high-pressure processes such as reverse osmosis. On the other hand, the macropores structure is
suitable for ultrafiltration processes and can be used as support layers for composite membranes.

4. Conclusions

Hollow fiber membranes were produced with PES and different amount of PVP (1 wt%, 3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7 wt% and 9 wt%) and Brasgel PA clay (1 wt%, 3 wt%, 5 wt%, 7 wt% and 9 wt%) and with mixture of PVP/clay. The morphology of the membrane as a function of the viscosity of the spinning solution were evaluated, where the addition of PVP decreased the viscosity of the solution, favoring the high speed of precipitation and the formation of pores of “fingers” type. The clay considerably increased the viscosity of the spinning solution, destabilizing the thermodynamics of precipitation and prevent membrane formation. Membranes with clay and PVP presented spherical and well-defined pores. When PVP and clay was added to the spinning solution, the binodal curve was displaced, favoring the stability and formation of membranes. The morphology of this system was influenced by the amount of PVP, which favored the pores of the type “fingers”.
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