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Abstract—Decision trees are very important machine learning algorithms used for the classification and predictive analytic purposes in computer science and related disciplines. ID3 decision tree algorithm was designed by Quinlan in 1986. The algorithm is based on Hunt’s algorithm and was serially implemented. ID3 tree is constructed in two phases: tree building and tree pruning. Data is sorted at every node during the tree building phase to choose the best splitting single attribute. The main ideas behind the ID3 algorithm are: 1) each non-leaf node of a decision tree corresponds to an input attribute, and each arc to a possible value of that attribute. In this paper, ID3, a machine learning algorithm is used to predict weather condition for an outdoor tennis match. The paper demonstrates the use of ID3 decision tree to predict weather conditions with outlooks such as sunny, overcast, and rain; temperature conditions such as hot, mild, and cool; humidity conditions such as high and normal; wind conditions such as weak and strong and the necessary conditions such as yes or no. Based on the results computed using the entropy and information gains, a decision tree is constructed thus providing information for tennis and other sports athletes who wish to play out-door games.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Decision Tree [1][2] is a machine learning algorithm used for data classification and predictive analysis. It is a cross-disciplinary technique for prediction and classification, artificial intelligence, machine learning, knowledge discovery and inductive rule-builder used in data mining, knowledge discovery, machine learning and artificial intelligence problems [3]. Decision learning algorithms guarantees decision trees from the training data to solve classification and regression problem. Basically, they are used as predictive models to predict the value of a target variable by learning from simple decision rules inferred from the features of data. These rules are usually in the form of if-then-statements [4][5]. Information gain is then used to decide which features to split on at each setup in building the tree. Several types of decision trees are available in literature. They include ID3, CART, C4.5, C5.5, etc. In this paper, we discussed ID3 decision tree algorithm for data classification and predictive analysis.
training data. Entropy is a measure of uncertainty in communication systems. Thus ID3 uses Entropy function and Information gain as metrics [11] [12].

Decision tree algorithms transform raw data to rule-based decision-making trees. Demechanisation is the process of dividing something or object into two completely opposite things. Therefore, the ID3 algorithm is an iterative algorithm that iteratively divide attributes into two groups the dominant attributes and others to construct a tree. It then calculates the entropy and information gains of each attribute. This way, the most dominant attribute can be determined and put on the tree as decision node. After this the entropy and gain scores are calculated again among the other attributes. Then the next most dominant attribute is determined and used. This process continues iteratively, until a decision is reached for that branch. The example of decision-making factors to play tennis in the open (outside) for the previous 14 days is considered and used [13] [14] [15].

In this paper, ID3, a machine learning algorithm is used to predict weather conditions for an out-door tennis match. Section 2 discuss related work and section 3 discussed an example of the use of ID3 decision tree for predicting weather condition. That is, it demonstrates the use of ID3 decision tree to predict weather conditions with outlooks such as sunny, overcast, and rain; temperature conditions such as hot, mild, and cool; humidity conditions such as high and normal; wind conditions such as weak and strong and the necessary conditions such as yes or no. Section 4 discussed the results based on the implementation of the ID# algorithm for weather forecast computed in our example and the output of the program is displayed. Based on the results computed using the entropy and information gains, a decision tree is constructed thus providing information for tennis and other sports athletes who wish to play out-door gam. Finally, section 5 draws the conclusion.

II. RELATED WORK

Kumar and Kiruthika [16] provide a review of the classification algorithms in data mining. Basically, their work considered various decision tree classification algorithms such as CHAD, ID3, C4.5 and C5.5 algorithms by classifying data into disjoint groups. They further discussed the major differences between these decision tree algorithms. Krishna et al. [17] proposed a technique for predicting students’ performance in examinations using classification and Regression Trees (CART) decision tree classifier to classify students and predict those at risk based on the impact of four online activities: message exchanging, group wiki content creation, course file opening, and online quiz taking. The correct classification results show that the CART model is very good as a classification algorithm. Their work further tests the ability of CART analysis to predict success in web-based blended learning environment using online interactions stored in the system log file. The number of messages exchanged by team members with their colleagues and instructors as well as the number of contributions made by individual to the team content creation activities were noted. These were used to determine the performance of each student.

Lakshimi et al. [18] proposed an empirical study of decision tree classification algorithms such as CART, ID3, C4.5, CHAD and MARS by providing a brief description of the basic concepts of each of the decision tree algorithms. They pointed out the basic features of each of the algorithms and the advantages and disadvantages of each. They also suggested the various situations when it is best to use any of the algorithms. Patel and Rana [19] provides a survey of decision tree algorithm by making a comparative study of some of the decision tree algorithms such as ID3, C4.5, C5.0 and CART. The authors also discussed the basic features of each algorithm, their advantages and disadvantages and the challenges in each of the algorithms. They concluded that the performance of these algorithms strongly depends on the entropy, information gain and the nature of data used in the classification.

Mianye et al. [20] proposed a technique for predicting the performance of decision tree algorithms using in-depth review of the various techniques used. Comparative study of various decision tree algorithms are discussed. Yang et al. [21] proposed an improved ID3 algorithm for medical classification or the prediction of diseases. Using a heuristic strategy, the improved ID3 algorithm solves the problem of multi-value biasness when selectin test/split attributes. The technique also solves the problem of numeric attribute discretization by storing the classifier model in the form of rule-based for easier model in the form of rule-based for easy understanding and memory usage. The result obtained shows that the improved ID3 performs better using criteria obtained shows that the improved ID3 performs better using criteria such as accuracy, stability, and error corrections.
Oshoiribor et al. [22] proposed the use of ID3 decision tree for tax fraud control and prevention. They used ID3 decision tree classifier to classify taxpayers into tears for proper monitoring, control and reduction of fraudulent activities in the collection of taxes. First, they gathered data, they then provide a profitability model for determining the profit earned. The profitability model is then used to compute the amount of tax due to each worker or business thus providing an automated system for tax collection so as to ensure issues of cash suppression and diversion and controlled. Adhatrao et al. [23] proposed a technique for predicting students’ performance using ID3 and C4.5 decision tree classifiers. They analyzed dataset containing information about students using criteria such as gender, marks scored and rank in entrance examinations and their previous year’s results to predict the general and individual performance of the students in future examinations.

### III. METHODOLOGY

In this work, we demonstrate the use of ID3 decision tree to predict weather conditions with outlooks such as sunny, overcast, and rain; temperature conditions such as hot, mild, and cool; humidity conditions such as high and normal; wind conditions such as weak and strong and the necessary conditions such as yes or no. Table 1 provides a summary of decision-making factors or necessary conditions that can lead to whether tennis players can play the game of tennis or not.

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 1   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Weak | No       |
| 2   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Strong | No      |
| 3   | Overcast| Hot         | High     | Weak | Yes      |
| 4   | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Weak | Yes      |
| 5   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Week | Yes      |
| 6   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Strong | No     |
| 7   | Overcast| Cool        | Normal   | Strong | Yes    |
| 8   | Sunny   | Mild        | High     | Weak | No       |
| 9   | Sunny   | Cool        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 10  | Rain    | Mild        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 11  | Sunny   | Mild        | Normal   | Strong | Yes    |
| 12  | Overcast| Mild        | High     | Strong | Yes    |
| 13  | Overcast| Hot         | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 14  | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Strong | No     |

Using Table 1, we can summarize the ID3 algorithm as follows:

**Entropy**

The first thing to do is to calculate the entropy. Decision column consists of 14 instances with two possible outcomes “yes” or “no.” There are 9 decisions labelled yes while the remaining 5 are labelled no. To calculate the entropy, we have:

\[ \text{Entropy (S)} = \sum - P(1) \cdot \log_2 P(1) \]

\[ \text{Entropy (Decision)} = - P(\text{Yes}) \cdot \log_2 P(\text{Yes}) - P(\text{No}) \cdot \log_2 P(\text{No}) \]

\[ \text{Entropy (Decision)} = - (9/14) \cdot \log_2 (9/14) - (5/14) \cdot \log_2 (5/14) = 0.940 \]

Next we determine the most dominant factor for decisioning.

**Wind Factor on Decision**
The wind factor are either strong or weak. There are 6 strong and 8 weak wind factors. To determine the gain, we compute the wind factor using the formula:

\[
\text{Gain (Decision, Wind)} = \text{Entropy (Decision)} - \sum [P(\text{Decision} | \text{Wind}) \times \text{Entropy (Decision} | \text{Wind})]
\]

**Strong Wind Factor on Decision**

For the strong wind attribute, we have:

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 2   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Strong | No       |
| 6   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Strong | No       |
| 7   | Overcast| Cool        | Normal   | Strong | Yes      |
| 11  | Sunny   | Cool        | Normal   | Strong | Yes      |
| 12  | Overcast| Mild        | High     | Strong | Yes      |
| 14  | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Strong | No       |

As stated earlier, there are 6 instances of strong wind. Decision is divided into two equal parts of 3 each for **yes** and **no**.

Entrop (Decision | Wind = Strong) = - \(P(\text{No}) \times \log_2 P(\text{No}) - P(\text{Yes}) \times \log_2 P(\text{Yes})\)

\[
= - (\frac{3}{6}) \times \log_2 (\frac{3}{6}) - (\frac{3}{6}) \times \log_2 (\frac{3}{6})
\]

\[= 1\]

**Weak Wind Factor on Decision**

For the weak wind attribute, we have:

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 1   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Weak | No       |
| 3   | Overcast| Hot         | High     | Weak | Yes      |
| 4   | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Weak | Yes      |
| 5   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Week | Yes      |
| 8   | Sunny   | Mild        | High     | Weak | No       |
| 9   | Sunny   | Cool        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 10  | Rain    | Mild        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 13  | Overcast| Hot         | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |

As seen in Table 3, there are 8 instances for weak wind out of which 2 has **no** as decision and 6 has **yes** as decision. We now calculate the entropy.

\[
\text{Entropy (Decision | Wind = Weak)} = - (\frac{3}{8}) \times \log_2 (\frac{3}{8}) - (\frac{6}{8}) \times \log_2 (\frac{6}{8})
\]

\[= 0.811\]

Therefore, using the gain equation:

\[
\text{Gain (Decision, Wind)} = \text{Entropy (Decision)} - [P(\text{Decision} | \text{Wind} = \text{Weak}) \times \text{Entropy (Decision} | \text{Wind} = \text{Weak})] - [P(\text{Decision} | \text{Wind} = \text{Strong}) \times \text{Entropy (Decision} | \text{Wind} = \text{Strong})].
\]
Gain (Decision, Wind) = 0.940 – [(8/14) \cdot 0.811] – [(6/14) \cdot 1] = 0.048

At this stage, calculation for wind column has been completed. Now we need to apply same calculations for other columns to determine the most dominant factor on decision.

**Information Gain**

Information gain is a property that measures how well a given attribute separates the training examples based on their target classification.

Information Gain (S, A) = Entropy (S) \(-\sum P(S/A) \cdot \text{Entropy}(S/A)\)

To determine the outlook factor, there are three labels for outlook attribute: Sunny, Overcast, and Rain. Using the information gain, we have:

\[
\text{Gain (Decision, Outlook)} = \text{Entropy (Decision)} - \sum P(\text{Decision | Outlook}) \cdot \text{Entropy (Decision | Outlook)}
\]

Since there are 3 labels, we have

Therefore, Gain (Decision, Outlook) = Entropy (Decision) – [P(Decision | Outlook = Sunny \cdot \text{Entropy (Decision | Outlook = Sunny)}) – [P(Decision | Outlook = Overcast) \cdot \text{Entropy (Decision | Outlook = Overcast)}] – [P(Decision | Outlook = Rain) \cdot \text{Entropy (Decision | Outlook = Rain)}]

As this state, we need to calcite (Decision | Outlook = Sunny), (Decision | Outlook = Overcast), and (Decision | Outlook = Rain) respectively.

**Sunny Outlook Factor on Decision**

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 1   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Weak | No       |
| 2   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Strong| No       |
| 8   | Sunny   | Mild        | High     | Weak | No       |
| 9   | Sunny   | Cool        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 11  | Sunny   | Mild        | Normal   | Strong| Yes      |

Table 3.0 shows that there are 5 instances of Sunny Outlook with decision of 3 items No and 2 items Yes. These are used to compute the entropy for Sunny Outlook as shown below.

Entropy (Decision | Outlook = Sunny) = - P(No) \cdot \log_2 P(No) – P(Yes) \cdot \log_2 P(Yes)

\[
\therefore \text{Entropy (Decision | Outlook = Sunny)} = - (3/5) \cdot \log_2(3/5) – (2/5) \cdot \log_2(2/5)
\]

1. Gain (Outlook = Sunny | Temperature) = 0.570
2. Gain (Outlook = Sunny | Wind) = 0.019
3. Gain (Outlook = Sunny | Humidity) = 0.970

Here, humidity is the decision because it produces the highest score if outlook were sunny. That is, decision will always be No if humidity were high. This is shown in Table 5.

**High Humidity**

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 1   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Weak | No       |
| 2   | Sunny   | Hot         | High     | Strong| No       |
| 8   | Sunny   | Mild        | High     | Weak | No       |

On the other hand, decision will always be Yes if humidity were normal. This is shown in Table 6.
Table 6: Normal Humidity

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 9   | Sunny   | Cool        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 11  | Sunny   | Mild        | Normal   | Strong| Yes      |

Finally, it means we need to check the humidity and decide if outlook were sunny.

**Overcast Outlook Factor on Decision**

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind  | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|-------|----------|
| 3   | Overcast| Hot         | High     | Weak  | Yes      |
| 7   | Overcast| Cool        | Normal   | Strong| Yes      |
| 12  | Overcast| Mild        | High     | Strong| Yes      |
| 13  | Overcast| Hot         | Normal   | Weak  | Yes      |

As seen in Table 7 decision will always be Yes if outlook were overcast

**Other Factors on Decision**

Using similar process to calculate the other columns (i.e., Outlook, Temperature, and Humidity), we have:

1. Gain (Decision, Outlook) = 0.246
2. Gain (Decision, Temperature) = 0.029
3. Gain (Decision, Humidity) = 0.151

It can be seen that the outlook factor on decision produces the highest score. Thus outlook decision will appeared at the root node of the tree.

![Decision Tree Diagram](image)

**Rain Outlook on Decision**

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|------|----------|
| 4   | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Weak | Yes      |
| 5   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Week | Yes      |
| 6   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Strong| No       |
| 10  | Rain    | Mild        | Normal   | Weak | Yes      |
| 14  | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Strong| No       |
1. Gain (Outlook = Rain | Temperature)
2. Gain (Outlook = Rain | Humidity)
3. Gain (Outlook = Rain | Wind)

In this case, wind produces the highest score if outlook were rain. Thus we need to check wind attribute in second level if outlook were rain. Therefore, the decision will always be Yes if wind were weak and outlook were rain.

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind  | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|-------|----------|
| 4   | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Weak  | Yes      |
| 5   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Weak  | Yes      |
| 10  | Rain    | Mild        | Normal   | Weak  | Yes      |

Table 9: Decision when Wind are weak and Outlook were rain

| Day | Outlook | Temperature | Humidity | Wind  | Decision |
|-----|---------|-------------|----------|-------|----------|
| 6   | Rain    | Cool        | Normal   | Strong| No       |
| 14  | Rain    | Mild        | High     | Strong| No       |

Table 10: Decision is no when wind are strong and outlook were rain

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the various computations done using entropy and information gain, we constructed the decision tree as shown in figure 3. This decision tree provides a summary of all the computation done so far in this work.

As seen in the decision trees in figure 3, weather outlook can be sunny, overcast or raining. Whenever the weather is sunny, there is humidity which can be high or normal and whenever there is rainfall there is wind, which of course could be strong or weak.

Fig.3: Decision tree for playing tennis outside

V. CONCLUSION

ID3 decision tree algorithm was designed by Quinlan in 1986. The algorithm is based on Hunt’s algorithm and was serially implemented. ID3 tree is constructed in two phases: tree building and tree pruning. Data is sorted at every node during the tree building phase to choose the best splitting single attribute. The main ideas behind the ID3 algorithm are: 1) each non-leaf node of a decision tree corresponds to an input attribute, and each arc to a possible value of that attribute. In this paper, ID3, a machine learning algorithm is used to predict weather conditions for an outdoor tennis match. The paper demonstrates the use of ID3 decision tree to predict weather conditions with outlooks such as sunny, overcast, and rain;
temperature conditions such as hot, mild, and cool; humidity conditions such as high and normal; wind conditions such as weak and strong and the necessary conditions such as yes or no. Based on the results computed using the entropy and information gains, a decision tree is constructed thus providing information for tennis and other sports athletes who wish to play out-door gam.
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