Enhancement and reduction of one-dimensional heat conduction with correlated mass disorder
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Short-range order in strongly disordered structures plays an important role in their heat conduction property. Using numerical and analytical methods, we show that short-range spatial correlation (with a correlation length of $\Lambda_m$) in the mass distribution of the one-dimensional (1D) alloy-like random binary lattice leads to a dramatic enhancement of the high-frequency phonon transmittance but also increases the low-frequency phonon opacity. High-frequency semi-extended states are formed while low-frequency modes become more localized. This results in ballistic heat conduction at finite lengths but also paradoxically higher thermal resistance that scale as $\sqrt{\Lambda_m}$ in the $L \to \infty$ limit. We identify an emergent crossover length ($L_c$) below which the onset of thermal transparency appears. The crossover length is linearly dependent on but is two orders of magnitude larger than $\Lambda_m$. Our results suggest that the phonon transmittance spectrum and heat conduction in a disordered 1D lattice can be controlled via statistical clustering of the constituent component atoms into domains. They also imply that the detection of ballistic heat conduction in disordered 1D structures may be a signature of the intrinsic mass correlation at a much smaller length scale.

PACS numbers: 05.60.k, 44.10.+i, 63.20.Pw, 63.50.x

I. INTRODUCTION

Phonon-mediated heat conduction in low-dimensional nanostructures is a transport phenomenon of fundamental and applied interest. In particular, the manipulation of the thermal conductivity in nanomaterials may enable the realization of potential applications in thermoelectric devices, solid state refrigeration and thermal cloaking. One approach is to use a low-dimensional material such as nanowires in which confinement alters the intrinsic phononic properties (e.g., anisotropy, dispersion and mean free path). The other is to use a bulk material such as Si or Ge and modify its thermal conductivity ($\kappa$) via nanostructuring. This includes the creation of periodic patterns (e.g., Si-Ge superlattices and nanopore arrays), the use of resonant superstructures, and alloying. The latter appears to be one of the more promising options for obtaining the reduced thermal conductivity needed for efficient and cost-effective thermoelectric devices because an abrupt decrease in $\kappa$ can be observed upon the introduction of a small alloy concentration. Alloowing can also be combined with confinement to further reduce the thermal conductivity.

The low thermal conductivity in alloys can be attributed in part to mode localization which stems from the random placement of the component atoms and impedes phonon propagation. For the finite three-dimensional disordered harmonic solid, it has been shown that only delocalized modes contribute significantly to the heat current. In one dimension (1D) in particular, disorder results in the localization of all the modes in in the thermodynamic ($L \to \infty$) limit although for a finite system, a fraction of the states would always be sufficiently extended to contribute to the heat current. It follows from the known $\lambda \propto \omega^{-2}$ relationship that the thermal conductivity scales as $\lim_{L \to \infty} \kappa \propto L^{0.5}$ and $\lim_{L \to \infty} \kappa \propto \langle \delta m \rangle^{-1}$, where $L$ and $\langle \delta m \rangle$ are respectively the length and mass fluctuation, for free boundary conditions. On the other hand, these semirigorous results have only been established for the case of heat conduction with purely random (uncorrelated) mass disorder, in which the position of the mass fluctuation is uncorrelated across different atomic sites. In an alloy, this disorder manifests itself as the uncorrelated placement of the constituent atoms. However, when there is statistical clustering of the atoms in the form of domains, the position of the atoms and the spatial distribution of mass become correlated, introducing short-range order and modifying the localization phenomenon. A considerable amount of work has been done by de Moura and co-workers who showed by using numerical simulations that long-range correlation leads to the formation of low-energy extended states in the DHC. Duda and co-workers have also studied the effects of atomic ordering on the thermal conductivity by using molecular dynamics simulations. Using an analytical approach, Herrera-Gonzalez and co-workers also studied the relation-
ship between the scaling of the thermal conductivity with system size and long-range correlated isotopic disorder. They demonstrate that specific long-range correlations can suppress or enhance the heat current contribution of vibrational modes in pre-defined frequency windows. However, their results are confined to the case of weak isotopic disorder and weak coupling between the lattice and the heat baths, owing to the nature of their perturbative approximations. Their numerical simulations are also limited to systems with \( N \leq 10^3 \), where \( N \) is the number of atoms. Here, we adopt a numerical approach in this paper to more fully and systematically explore the implications of short-range correlated mass disorder for heat conduction, especially with regard to its dependence on length \((N \leq 1.6 \times 10^5)\) and the extent of the short-range order in mass correlation.

In this paper, we report the effect of short-range mass correlation (or correlated disorder, for short) on phonon transmittance and heat conduction through a random binary lattice (RBL), an alloy-like realization of the DHC. We restrict ourselves to the coherent processes and neglect anharmonic effects from inelastic scattering between phonons. This allows us to isolate the effects of disorder on heat conduction in micrometer-scale systems, and we expect our results to be applicable to 1D alloy nanostructures for which the reduced thermal conductivity can be traced to mass disorder. \[33, 34\] In the following sections, we first describe the 1D lattice model and how correlated disorder is generated for the lattice. The short-range order in the mass correlation, in the form of an exponentially decaying spatial correlation, is characterized by a correlation length of \( \Lambda_m \). Next, the phonon transmittance is calculated for the uncorrelated and correlated model. We find from numerical simulations that the short-range correlation in the mass distribution, through the formation of domains, leads to an emergent crossover length scale \( (L_c) \) below which the system is effectively transparent over a wide phonon frequency range and conducts heat ballistically. At very low frequencies, mass correlation decreases the attenuation length while at higher frequencies, the attenuation length actually increases. Thus, when \( L \) exceeds \( L_c \), one sees a rapid onset of phononic opacity, resulting in a dramatic increase in the thermal resistance exceeding that in the DHC with uncorrelated mass disorder. More intriguingly, \( L_c \) is two orders of magnitude larger than \( \Lambda_m \). We find a formula that connects the mass correlation length and the increase in thermal resistance in long chains. Finally, we discuss the connection between our purely 1D results to heat conduction in more realistic systems, and how correlated mass disorder can affect heat conduction at length scales much larger than the correlation length. We also interpret the recent findings of ballistic heat conduction in SiGe-alloy nanowires in Ref. \[35\] in light of our results.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Random binary lattice model

We choose as our model system the familiar disordered harmonic chain first studied by Dyson. \[36\] which we also used in our earlier paper. \[22\] Our system differs from that used in other papers in that the atomic mass is not treated as a continuous random variable. \[25, 28\] Rather, we have a random binary lattice, where the positions of the component atoms are set probabilistically so that the lattice resembles more realistic alloy systems with mass disorder. For simplicity, only adjacent atoms are coupled. The atoms are only permitted to move longitudinally and no attempt is made to include any anharmonic interaction in our model.

Our system consists of three parts. In the middle, there is a finite-size “conductor” of \( N \) equally spaced atoms. On either side, there is a homogeneous lead, i.e., a semi-infinite chain with no mass disorder. In effect, we have a finite disordered system embedded in an infinite homogeneous 1D lattice, which also corresponds to a finite disordered harmonic chain with free boundaries. \[20, 25\] The homogeneous leads act as heat reservoirs and are coupled to the conductor via the same harmonic spring terms as those between the atoms in the disordered lattice. Coupling between adjacent atoms is governed by the harmonic spring term \( V(x_i, x_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{2}k(x_i - x_{i+1})^2 \) where \( k \) is the spring constant and \( x_i \) is the displacement of the \( i \)-th atom from its equilibrium position. In the RBL, there are two species of atoms which we label \( A \) and \( B \). Species \( A \) is taken to be the substitutional impurity and exists only within the conductor. The rest of the atoms in the conductor and the leads are of species \( B \). We set the mass of the \( A (B) \) atoms to \( m_A = 9.2 \times 10^{-26} \) kg \( (m_B = 4.6 \times 10^{-26} \) kg, the mass of a Si atom), the spring constant to \( k = 32 \) Nm\(^{-1} \) (the approximate strength of the Si-Si bond) and the interatomic spacing to \( a = 0.55 \) nm. Our choice of parameters follows that in Ref. \[38\]. The maximum simulated chain length is \( N = 1.6 \times 10^5 \) or \( L = Na = 88 \) \( \mu \)m.

B. Short-range order in lattice

We define the mass fluctuation correlation function as \( \langle \delta m(x)\delta m(x') \rangle = \langle m(x)m(x') \rangle - \langle m(x) \rangle^2 \), where \( m(x) \) is the atomic mass at site \( x \). It measures the short-range correlation in mass fluctuation (or mass correlation for short). In RBL, we set the distribution of the constituent atoms such that the mass correlation has the exponential form

\[
\langle \delta m(x)\delta m(x') \rangle = c_Ac_B\Delta m^2 \exp(-|x - x'|/\Lambda_m)
\]

(1)

where \( \Delta m = |m_A - m_B| \) and \( c_A \) (\( c_B \)) is the concentration of \( A (B) \) atoms. For pure random disorder (\( \Lambda_m = 0 \)), the
The size of each type-B domain (d_B) is similarly defined. For simplicity’s sake, we set c_A = c_B = 0.5. In the uncorrelated disorder case, we do not create smaller domains but instead set the probability of each site having an A (B) atom to c_A (c_B). Figure 1 shows the normalized mass correlation function \( \langle \delta m(x) \delta m(0) \rangle / \langle \delta m(x)^2 \rangle \) for uncorrelated and correlated (\( \Lambda_m = 10a \)) mass disorder. A schematic representation of the mass distribution can also be seen in the inset of Fig. 1.

### C. Phonon transmittance calculation

There are two approaches to computing the phonon transmittance through the RBL. The first is the well-known nonequilibrium Green’s function method [28, 30] in which the transmittance is given by \( \Xi(\omega, L) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma_L G \Gamma_R G^\dagger) \), where \( G \) is the nonequilibrium Green’s function and \( \Gamma_L (\Gamma_R) \) is the term coupling the left (right) lead to the conductor. More details of this method can be found in Refs. [23, 38]. The second approach is via the eigenvalue of the products of transfer matrices, [28, 29] which we describe in Appendix A.

The correlated distribution consists of much larger domains of A and B atoms.

mass correlation function is \( \langle \delta m(x) \delta m(0) \rangle = c_A c_B (m_A - m_B)^2 \delta_{x,0} \). To generate the lattice configuration with the mass correlation in Eq. (1), we first divide the conductor into smaller domains alternating between having all A or all B atoms. The number of sites in each domain of A atoms (\( d_A \)) is generated according to the probability distribution \( P(d) = \langle d_A \rangle^{-1} \exp(-d/\langle d_A \rangle) \) where \( \langle d_A \rangle = \Lambda_m [a (1 - c_A)]^{-1} \) is the average type-A domain size. The size of each type-B domain (\( d_B \)) is similarly defined. For simplicity’s sake, we set \( c_A = c_B = 0.5 \). In the uncorrelated disorder case, we do not create smaller domains but instead set the probability of each site having an A (B) atom to \( c_A (c_B) \). Figure 1 shows the normalized mass correlation function \( \langle \delta m(x) \delta m(x') \rangle / \langle \delta m(x)^2 \rangle \) for uncorrelated and correlated (\( \Lambda_m = 10a \)) mass disorder. A schematic representation of the mass distribution can also be seen in the inset of Fig. 1.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Length dependence of phonon transmittance

Figure 2 shows the transmittance as a function of \( L \) and frequency \( \omega \) for (a) \( \Lambda_m = 0 \), (b) \( \Lambda_m = 10a \), and (c) \( \Lambda_m = 50a \). In the uncorrelated case (\( \Lambda_m = 0 \)), the transmittance scales as \( \Xi(\omega, L) \sim \exp[-L/\lambda(\omega)] \) where \( \lambda \propto \omega^{-2} \). However, for the correlated mass distribution in (b) and (c), the transmittance has the form \( \Xi(\omega, L) \sim \exp[-L/\Lambda(\omega)] \) but the attenuation length no longer scales as \( \lambda \propto \omega^{-2} \). In the intermediate frequency range (\( \omega \sim 10^{-10} \text{ THz} \)) for \( \Lambda_m = 50a \), the attenuation length is almost a constant with \( \lambda \sim 3 \mu\text{m} \) or about two orders of magnitude larger than \( \Lambda_m \).
The weak phononic opacity is increased by the mass correlation. Mass distribution results in the partial delocalization when the lattice size is comparable to their attenuation lengths. At low frequencies, i.e., \( \lambda < \lambda \Lambda \) increases with \( \lambda \Lambda \) values of the mass correlation length (\( \lambda \Lambda \)) being slightly larger. The change in \( \lambda \Lambda \) from (a) the Lyapunov exponent \( [\tanh(\omega \Lambda)] \) and (b) being slightly larger. We interpret this as the formation of semi-extended vibrational states. 

\( \omega > 1 \) THz, it deviates from that behavior as it varies weakly with \( \omega \) at a characteristic length scale of \( \lambda \sim 0.5 \mu m \). Figure 2(c) also shows a frequency regime in which \( \lambda \) is weakly \( \omega \) dependent in the range 0.5 to 10 THz. The characteristic attenuation length scale is, however, at \( \sim 2.5 \mu m \). The plots in Fig. 2 show that a finite \( \lambda \Lambda \) alters the attenuation length at high frequencies. To see this more clearly, we plot \( \lambda(\omega) \) in Fig. 3 estimated numerically from (a) the Lyapunov exponent \( \lambda = a(2\gamma)^{-1} \) and (b) the attenuation of the transmittance function \( \lambda(\omega) = \int dL \langle \Xi(\omega, L) \rangle \). There is good agreement between the \( \lambda(\omega) \) values computed from the two methods with the \( \lambda \) from (b) being slightly larger.

Two trends are immediately clear in Fig. 3. Firstly, a nonzero \( \Lambda m \) causes the attenuation length to deviate from \( \lambda_0 \) (\( \lambda \Lambda = 0 \)). At higher frequencies, transmittance is enhanced, i.e., \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \). As \( \lambda \Lambda \) increases, the frequency range in which \( \lambda \) is weakly \( \omega \)-dependent becomes wider. Furthermore, \( \lambda \gg \lambda \Lambda \), and \( \lambda(\omega) \) also increases with \( \lambda \Lambda \). Secondly, transmittance is reduced at low frequencies, i.e., \( \lambda < \lambda_0 \). The low-frequency phononic opacity is increased by the mass correlation. The weak \( \omega \) dependence of the attenuation length of the higher-frequency modes also implies that these modes are ‘semi-extended’ and participate in ballistic heat conduction when the lattice size is comparable to their attenuation lengths. Physically, the short-range order in the mass distribution results in the partial delocalization of the higher-frequency modes but greater localization of the low-frequency modes. This alters the relative participation of the phonon modes in length-dependent heat conduction.

**B. Thermal resistance**

To determine the effect of the altered transmittance on heat conduction, we compute the length-dependent thermal resistance \( R(L) \) using the Landauer formula: 

\[
R(L) = \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\omega_L} h\omega \frac{df(\omega)}{dT} \langle \Xi(\omega, L) \rangle \ d\omega \right]^{-1}
\]  

where \( T \) and \( h \) are respectively the temperature and Planck constant, and \( f(\omega) = [\exp(\frac{\omega}{k_B T})]^{-1} \) is

![Figure 3](image_url)  

**Figure 3:** (Color online) Plot of the attenuation length \( \lambda \) computed from (a) the transfer matrix method and (b) the transmittance function, as a function of frequency \( \omega \) for different values of the mass correlation length (\( \lambda \Lambda / a = 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50 \) and 75) in the frequency range of 0.5 to 10 THz. The attenuation length in (a) is slightly smaller than in (b). However, the change in \( \lambda \) with \( \lambda \Lambda \) is qualitatively the same for both methods. The arrow indicates the direction of increasing \( \lambda \Lambda \). In the uncorrelated case (\( \lambda \Lambda = 0 \)), the attenuation length scales as \( \lambda \propto \omega^{-2} \). Mass correlation increases (decreases) the attenuation length at high (low) frequencies. In the 0.5 to 10 THz range, the attenuation length for \( \lambda \Lambda = 75a \) (or 41.25 nm) is around 3 \( \mu m \), about 2 orders of magnitude larger. 
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**Figure 4:** (Color online) (a) Plot of the thermal resistance \( R \) as a function of chain length \( L \) for for different values of the mass correlation length (\( \lambda \Lambda / a = 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50 \) and 75) in the \( T \rightarrow \infty \) limit. The arrow indicates the direction of increasing \( \lambda \Lambda \). For \( \lambda \Lambda = 0 \), i.e., the uncorrelated case, \( R \) scales as \( R \propto L^{-1/2} \), as expected. However, when mass correlation is finite, the thermal resistance relative to the uncorrelated case decreases at small \( L \). As \( L \rightarrow 0 \), the resistance converges to a constant, implying the existence of ballistic heat conduction. At large \( L \), the thermal resistance goes up as we increase the mass correlation length. (b) Plot of the rescaled thermal resistance normalized by \( \langle \tanh(\frac{\omega}{\lambda}) \rangle^{1/2} \) as a function of \( L \). In the \( L \rightarrow \infty \) limit, \( R \langle \tanh(\frac{\omega}{\lambda}) \rangle^{1/2} \) for different values of \( \lambda \Lambda \) appears to converge.
We also observe that high-frequency modes relative to the uncorrelated case. Enhance attenuation length and transmittance of the disorder. However, for correlated disorder ($\Lambda_m$, $\alpha = 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50$ and $75$). We take the $\Lambda_m = 0$ curve (which we term $R_0$) to be the baseline for comparison. $R_0(L)$ scales as $L^{0.5}$ at all values of $L$, as predicted for uncorrelated disorder. However, for correlated disorder ($\Lambda_m \neq 0$), $R(L)$ deviates from the $R \propto L^{0.5}$ behavior. At small $L$, $R < R_0$, and is weakly dependent on $L$, implying that the system conducts heat ballistically. This is due to the enhanced attenuation length and transmittance of the high-frequency modes relative to the uncorrelated case. We also observe that $\lim_{L \to 0} R$ converges to the same value ($R = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{k_B\omega_L}} \approx 1.2 \times 10^{10}$K/W) regardless of the correlation length. This value is determined by the cutoff frequency and impurity mass. As $L \to \infty$, the relative contribution of the low-frequency modes grows. Thus, $R$ increases rapidly and exceeds $R_0$, scaling as $L^{0.5}$, because of greater low-frequency phonon opacity.

We quantify the dependence of the thermal resistance on mass correlation here in the $L \to \infty$ limit. As noted earlier, the ratio $\lim_{L \to \infty} R/L$ grows monotonically with $\Lambda_m$. The thermal resistance in the $\Lambda_m = 0$ case, Eq. (2) becomes $\lim_{L \to 0} R(L) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \langle \delta m^2 \rangle L}{ka(m)k_B^2}}$, (3)

is proportional to the local mass fluctuation $\sqrt{\langle \delta m^2 \rangle}$. Equation (3) is generalized (see Appendix A for the derivation) by replacing $\langle \delta m^2 \rangle$ with $\sum_x \langle \delta m(x) \delta m(0) \rangle$, which sums over the mass fluctuation across atomic sites, to yield

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} R(\Lambda_m, L) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi \sum_x \langle \delta m(x) \delta m(0) \rangle L}{ka(m)k_B^2}}$$

where $\langle \delta m(x) \delta m(0) \rangle = \langle \delta m^2 \rangle e^{-|x|/\lambda_m}$, giving us $\lim_{L \to \infty} R(\Lambda_m, L) = R_0(\lim[\tanh(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_m})])^{-1/2}$ since $\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{x \neq 0} e^{-|x|/\lambda_m} = 1/\lim[\tanh(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_m})]$. We recover Eq. (3) from Eq. (1) for $\Lambda_m = 0$, while for large $\Lambda_m$, we obtain $\lim_{L \to \infty} R(\Lambda_m, L) \propto \sqrt{\lambda_m}$. It should be noted that the results in Eqs. (3) and (1) are derived assuming that the semi-infinite homogeneous leads act as heat baths and are coupled to the lattice via the same harmonic spring terms as those between the atoms in the disordered lattice. We plot the normalized thermal resistance $R[\tanh(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_m})]^{-1/2}$ for different values of $\Lambda_m$ and $R_0(L)$, suggesting that Eq. (1) captures the effect of mass correlation on thermal resistance.

C. Crossover length

At low frequency, the attenuation length for the uncorrelated case is $\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \lambda_m(\omega) = 4ka(m)/\langle \delta m^2 \rangle\omega^2$. The corresponding attenuation length for correlated disorder is $\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \lambda(\Lambda_m, \omega) = \tan(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_m})\lambda(\Lambda_m = 0, \omega)$ (see Appendix A for the derivation). In the $\Lambda_m \to 0$ limit, we recover $\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \lambda(\Lambda_m, \omega) = \lambda_0(\omega)$ while for large $\Lambda_m$, we obtain $\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \lambda(\Lambda_m, \omega) \propto 1/\lambda_m$, i.e., the attenuation length is inversely proportional to the mass correlation length.

We define the crossover length scale $L_c$ as the minimum attenuation length at which $\lambda(\Lambda_m, \omega) \geq \tan(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_m})\lambda(\Lambda_m = 0, \omega)$, i.e., the smallest attenuation length for the correlated case is greater than or equal to the rescaled attenuation length for the uncorrelated length. Physically, $L_c$ sets the length scale above which Eq. (1) describes the thermal resistance and the $R \propto L^{-0.5}$ scaling behavior applies as the contribution of the semi-extended high-frequency modes vanishes. For $L < L_c$, the system becomes thermally transparent [i.e., $\langle \Xi(\omega, L) \rangle \approx 1$] and heat conduction becomes quasi-ballistic given the participation of the semi-extended states. Figure 5 shows the numerically computed ratio $L_c/\lambda_m$ as a function of $\Lambda_m$. We observe that $L_c$ averages about 250 times (or two orders of magnitude) larger than $\lambda_m$ over the range of correlation lengths considered. This demonstrates that ballistic heat conduction over a given length may be associated with a mass correlation that is two orders of magnitude smaller.

IV. DISCUSSION

Our simulation results imply that heat conduction in alloys is not merely a function of the mass difference between atoms in the disordered lattice.
and the relative concentrations but also depends on the mass distribution. In the perfect alloy, the solubility of the component species is assumed to be equal and the atoms are randomly distributed across lattice sites. However, differences in miscibility and growth kinetics may lead to phase segregation or the formation of domains, introducing short-range order in the mass distribution and forming semi-extended high-frequency modes that are spatially larger than the correlation length. Hence, it is possible for an alloy 1D nanostructure to conduct heat ballistically at a finite length scale that is much larger than the average domain size. The key point here is that the short-range order drastically increases the localization length of these high-frequency modes, allowing them to contribute substantially to heat conduction. Furthermore, the weakly frequency-dependent localization length of the high-frequency modes sets an intrinsic length scale below which a change in the length of the lattice does not affect the phonon contribution to the heat current, i.e., the coherent heat conduction can be ballistic over a finite length.

The interplay between ordering and heat conduction has been observed in planar SiGe superlattices. Chen and co-workers found that the thermal conductivity is considerably lower in SiGe with pure layers separated by sharp interfaces than it is in homogeneous SiGe alloys. This is attributed to the higher scattering efficiency of low-frequency phonons by the pure domains created from the long-range compositional order. Moreover, the thermal conductivity is further reduced when there is some grading in the concentration profile at the interface which introduces short-range disorder and enhances the scattering of higher-frequency phonons. This variation in the thermal conductivity and frequency-dependent scattering efficiency in the superlattice structure highlights the role of correlated disorder in heat conduction.

To elucidate the effect of correlated disorder in quasi-one-dimensional nanostructures, we calculate the thermal resistance as a function of length for a model 1.1 nm-wide rectangular Si nanowire that has a 50:50 mix of isotopes at 300 K. To mimic the effect of mass disorder in a Si$_{0.5}$Ge$_{0.5}$ nanowire, we set the atomic mass of the lighter and heavier isotope to be equal to that of Si while the rest have theirs set to that of Ge. The correlation length in the correlated disorder case is 11 nm. Our results also highlight the role of short-range order in the alloy structure on phonon-mediated heat conduction, a factor which has not been given significant consideration in the literature. It is known that the attenuation length $\lambda$ in the transmittance is proportional to the mean free path via the Thouless relation. Thus, if the positions of the alloy atoms are correlated, our results imply that the mean free paths of the low-frequency phonons are accordingly reduced while those of the high-frequency modes are extended, modifying the phonon contribution balance to the thermal conductivity. One potential application of this is the manipulation of the thermal conductivity of alloys by controlling the spatial distribution of the alloy components. One approach to the control of heat flow has been to engineer the spectrum of the phonons in order to select for phonons of specific frequencies that can be managed by a periodic structure. In particular, the fabrication of thermocrystals requires the suppression of the very-low-frequency phonons which may be realized by using a SiGe alloy with finite short-range order.

![Figure 6: (Color online) Thermal resistance as a function of length for a strongly mass-disordered 1.1 nm-wide rectangular Si nanowire with uncorrelated (circle) and correlated (square) disorder. Fifty percent of the atoms have their masses set equal to that of Ge while the rest have theirs set to that of Si. The correlation length in the correlated disorder case is 11 nm.](image-url)
V. CONCLUSION

We have simulated heat conduction in the 1D alloy-like RBL for correlated and uncorrelated mass disorder. We find that correlated mass disorder enhances (reduces) the high-frequency (low-frequency) phonon transmittance, leading to ballistic heat conduction that persists over a length scale that is two orders of magnitude larger than the mass correlation length. However, in very large systems, correlated mass disorder increases the thermal resistance of the system because of the greater low-frequency phononic opacity.
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Appendix A: Analytical estimate of low-frequency inverse localization length for correlated mass disorder

We derive an analytical expression for the inverse localization length in the $\omega \to 0^+$ limit for a given mass correlation, using the transfer matrix method. The transmittance through a lattice of $N$ atoms can be written as the absolute square of the transmission function, i.e., $\Xi(\omega) = |\tau_N|^2$, where $\tau_N$ is the transmission function with the amplitude $\lim_{N \to \infty} |\tau_N| = \exp[-\gamma(\omega)N]$. The inverse localization length $\gamma$ (also commonly known as the Lyapunov exponent) at frequency $\omega$ is thus defined as

$$\gamma(\omega) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln |\tau_N| , \quad (A1)$$

and $|\tau_N|$ can be computed from the norm of the product of $N$ transfer matrices \cite{28, 29}, i.e.,

$$|\tau_N| = \left\| \prod_{p=1}^{N} T_p \right\| . \quad (A2)$$

The transmittance attenuation length is $\lambda(\omega) = a[2\gamma(\omega)]^{-1}$, where $a$ is the interatomic spacing. $\lambda$ can be directly computed numerically from Eqs. (A1) and (A2), as in Refs. \cite{28, 29}, or estimated analytically in the $\omega \to 0$ limit as follows.

The $p$-th transfer matrix in Eq. (A2) is defined as

$$T_p = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - x_p & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad (A3)$$

where $x_p$ is the dimensionless random variable corresponding to the $p$-th atom in the chain such that $x_p = m_p \omega^2/k$ and $0 \leq x_p \leq 2$, and is a particular formulation of the equation of motion for the spatial displacement of the $p$-th atom. \cite{28}

For weak disorder or at low frequencies, we can find an analytical approximation for the localization length following the method described in Refs. \cite{44, 45}. Let $x_p = \langle x \rangle + \delta x_p$, where $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle \delta x_p \rangle = 0$. The expression in Eq. (A3) can thus be linearized as

$$T_p = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \langle x \rangle -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta x_p .$$

We choose an eigenvector transformation $U$, such that $\hat{T}_p = U^\dagger \hat{T}_p U$, to obtain

$$\hat{T}_p = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2i \sin \theta} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -e^{i\theta} & -e^{-i\theta} \\ e^{i\theta} & e^{-i\theta} \end{array} \right) \delta x_p$$

where $\theta$ is defined by $\cos \theta = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \langle x \rangle$ and $\sin \theta = \langle (x)^2 \rangle / 4^{1/2}$. For notational convenience, we define

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{2i \sin \theta} \left( \begin{array}{cc} -e^{i\theta} & -e^{-i\theta} \\ e^{i\theta} & e^{-i\theta} \end{array} \right)$$

so that we can write the $p$-th transfer matrix as $\hat{T}_p = A + B \delta x_p$. The $N$-product of the transfer matrices is

$$\prod_{p=1}^{N} \hat{T}_p = (A + B \delta x_1) \ldots (A + B \delta x_N) . \quad (A4)$$

The expression in Eq. (A4) can be systematically expanded in powers of $\delta x_p$. The zeroth-, first- and second-order terms are

$$A^N , \quad (A5)$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N} A^{p-1} B A^{N-p} \delta x_p \quad (A6)$$

and

$$\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \sum_{q=p+1}^{N} A^{p-1} B A^{q-p-1} B A^{N-q} \delta x_p \delta x_q , \quad (A7)$$

respectively. To find the low-frequency scaling behavior, we need only consider the zeroth- and first-order terms. Thus, we write Eq. (A4) as

$$\prod_{p=1}^{N} \hat{T}_p \approx A^N + \sum_{p=1}^{N} A^{p-1} B A^{N-p} \delta x_p . \quad (A8)$$
The (1,1) term of the 2 × 2 matrix in Eq. (A8) is equal to \(1/\tau_N\), and after some algebra, can be written as \(e^{iN\theta}[1-(2i\sin \theta)^{-1}\sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p]\). Hence,

\[
|\tau_N|^2 = \left[ 1 + i \frac{\cos N\theta}{\sin \theta} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p + \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 \theta} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p \right)^2 \right]^{-1}
\]

and its logarithm can be approximated as

\[
\ln |\tau_N|^2 \approx -i \frac{\cos N\theta}{\sin \theta} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p - \frac{1}{4 \sin^2 \theta} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p \right)^2 . \tag{A9}
\]

The localization length is defined as \(\gamma = -\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln |\tau_N|\). The ensemble averaging \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) removes the terms linear in \(\delta x_n\) in Eq. (A9). Bearing in mind that we are only considering the low-frequency scaling behavior, the expression for the inverse localization length is

\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \gamma(\omega) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{8N \sin^2 \theta} \left( \left( \sum_{p=1}^{N} \delta x_p \right)^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{8N \sin^2 \theta} \sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \langle \delta x_p \delta x_q \rangle \tag{A10}
\]

In the correlated case,

\[
\langle \delta x_p \delta x_q \rangle = \langle \delta x^2 \rangle \exp(-|x_p - x_q|/\Lambda_m)
\]

and the double sum in Eq. (A10) becomes

\[
\sum_{p=1}^{N} \sum_{q=1}^{N} \langle \delta x_p \delta x_q \rangle \approx N \langle \delta x^2 \rangle \coth \left( \frac{a}{2\Lambda_m} \right) .
\]

Therefore, the inverse localization length is

\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \gamma(\omega) \approx \frac{\langle \delta m^2 \rangle \omega^2}{8k(m)} \coth \left( \frac{a}{2\Lambda_m} \right) . \tag{A11}
\]

Note that in the uncorrelated case, the correlation length is \(\Lambda_m = 0\) and the expression in Eq. (A11) yields

\[
\lim_{\omega \to 0^+} \gamma(\omega) = \frac{\langle \delta m^2 \rangle \omega^2}{8k(m)}
\]

as expected. We remind the reader that the analytical expression in Eq. (A11) only applies at low frequencies. At higher frequencies, this approximation fails and the Lyapunov exponent is calculated directly from Eq. (A1).

**Appendix B: Thermal resistance in the thermodynamic \((L \to \infty)\) limit for correlated mass disorder**

Given the expression for \(\gamma(\omega)\) in Eq. (A11), we can obtain the expression for the thermal resistance in the \(L \to \infty\) limit. The phonon transmittance is

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \langle \Xi(\omega, L) \rangle = \exp(-2\gamma(\omega)L/a)
\]

and the high-temperature length-dependent thermal conductance is

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \sigma(L) = \frac{k_B}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \langle \Xi(\omega, L) \rangle d\omega . \tag{B1}
\]

The integrand in Eq. (B1) vanishes as \(\omega \to \infty\) and only its low-frequency part contributes to the integral, allowing us to use Eq. (A11). Thus, the explicit expression for Eq. (B1) is

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \sigma(L) = \frac{k_B L}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{N \langle \delta m \rangle k_B^2}{4\pi(\delta m)^2L} \tanh \left( \frac{a}{2\Lambda_m} \right) \right]^{1/2} .
\]

As a function of the mass correlation length, the asymptotic \((L \to \infty)\) expression for the thermal resistance \(R = 1/\sigma\) is

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} R(\Lambda_m, L) = \frac{R_0(L)}{\sqrt{\tanh \left( \frac{a}{2\Lambda_m} \right)}},
\]

where \(R_0(L) = \lim_{L \to \infty} R(\Lambda_m = 0, L)\).
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