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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate the impact of computed $b = 1400$ s/mm² (C-b1400) vs measured $b = 1400$ s/mm² (M-b1400) diffusion-weighted images (DWI) on lesion detection rate, image quality and quality of lesion demarcation using a modern 3T-MR system based on a small-field-of-view sequence (sFOV).

METHODS: Thirty patients (PSA: 9.5 ± 8.7 ng/mL; 68 ± 12 years) referred for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the prostate were enrolled in this study. All measurements were performed on a 3T MR system. For DWI, a single-shot EPI diffusion sequence ($b = 0$, 100, 400, 800 s/mm²) was utilized. C-b1400 was calculated voxelwise from the ADC and diffusion images. Additionally, M-b1400 was acquired for evaluation and comparison. Lesion detection rate and maximum lesion diameters were obtained and compared. Image quality and quality of lesion demarcation were rated according to a 5-point Likert-type scale. Ratios of lesion-to-bladder as well as prostate-to-bladder signal intensity (SI) were calculated to estimate the signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR).

RESULTS: Twenty-four lesions were detected on M-b1400 images and compared to C-b1400 images. C-b1400 detected three additional cancer suspicious lesions. Overall image quality was rated significantly better and SI ratios were significantly higher on C-b1400 ($2.3 ± 0.8$ vs $3.1 ± 1.0$, $P < 0.001$; $5.6 ± 1.8$ vs $2.8 ± 0.9$, $P < 0.001$). Comparison of lesion size showed no significant differences between C- and M-b1400 ($P = 0.22$).

CONCLUSION: Combination of a high b-value extrapolation and sFOV may contribute to increase diagnostic accuracy of DWI without an increase of acquisition time, which may be useful to guide targeted prostate biopsies and to improve quality of multiparametric MRI (mMRI) especially under economical aspects in a private practice setting.
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Core tip: Prostate cancer is the most common malignant tumor entity in males. Combination of a high b-value extrapolation and small-field-of-view sequence readout may contribute to increase diagnostic accuracy
of diffusion-weighted images without an increase of acquisition time, which may be useful to guide targeted prostate biopsies and to improve quality of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging especially under economical aspects in a private practice setting.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is currently the most commonly diagnosed non-skin cancer and the second leading cause of cancer related death in the United States[1]. Recent technical improvements such as the beneficiary SNR gains of higher field strength made MRI a valuable diagnostic measure that allows to detect prostate cancer with a high diagnostic accuracy even at earlier disease stages[2]. Multi-parametric MR (mMRI) imaging is the mainstay of prostate cancer imaging[3-6], most commonly consisting of T2-weighted imaging (T2w), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging (DCE) and proton MR spectroscopy imaging (MRSI)[7,8]. Among those, DWI is probably the most promising technique, especially for detection of peripheral zone (pz) tumors and estimation of Pca aggressiveness[9,10], but also for monitoring therapy[11]. Recent studies indicate that the measurement of high b-values (2000 s/mm²) increases the lesion detection rate[12], even though the ability to discriminate malignant from benign tissue in the pz is limited due to a significant overlap of ADC-values[13].

In clinical routine, the standard protocol commonly involves b-values from 0 to 800 s/mm². The use of higher b-values is currently limited by the two following factors: reduction of SNR at b-values > 1000 s/mm² due to T2 signal decay as a consequence of a prolonged TE[14] and cost effectiveness considerations due to a prolonged acquisition time, especially in a private practice setting. Due to these shortcomings, techniques that allow for the calculation of a high b-value DWI based on routinely measured lower b-values[15] seem to be appealing. In this context, recent studies indicate that computed DWI (cDWI) is feasible with good SNR and without additional scan time, which may improve disease detection[16].

On the one hand high field strength imaging at 3 Tesla (T) with higher intrinsic SNR is superior to 1.5 T for the detection of prostate cancer[17], on the other hand susceptibility artifacts related to the proximity of the pz to the air-filled rectum are more relevant. Recently, small FOV (sFOV) imaging strategies for DWI based on[18] the use of 2d radiofrequency (RF) excitation pulses for the excitation of a small volume spanning of the prostate region only were developed to overcome these shortcomings of 3T MRI[19] and allow for a reduction of artifacts and a smooth fusion with morphologic T2w images. For this study a b1400 sequence was extrapolated from a sFOV and low (< 1000 s/mm²) b-value protocol to combine the advantages of reduced distortion artifacts and higher SNR of computed images. The purpose of this study is to compare lesion detection rate, image quality and quality of lesion demarcation of a computed (C-b1400) and a measured b = 1400 s/mm² (M-b1400) sequence based on a sFOV image set utilizing a modern 3T MR-system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

Thirty consecutive patients (median PSA: 9.5 ± 8.7 ng/mL; mean age: 68 ± 12 years) who underwent functional prostate MR at our institution were enrolled in this study. Among the indications for the study, 21 patients were referred due to elevated PSA levels, 4 for PCa staging, 4 for surveillance of post-treatment recurrence and one for suspected prostatitis.

MR Imaging

All measurements were performed on a 3 T clinical whole-body MR scanner (Verio, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) and state-of-the-art mMRI parameters were applied[19]. One hundred mL endorectal gel was administered prior to the examination, in order to reduce artifacts due to heterogeneous rectal content. For DWI, a single-shot EPI diffusion sequence with the following parameters was utilized: TE/TR= 63/4200 ms, 8 averages, FOV 300 mm × 93 mm, matrix 192 × 60, slice thickness 3.6 mm, no gap, SPAIR fat saturation, b-values = 0, 100, 400, 800 s/mm². An outer volume suppression by two sharp defined coronal saturation bands was applied which allowed to reduce the acquisition to a small rectangular FOV with an inplane resolution of 1.5 mm × 1.5 mm to gain SNR and to reduce distortion artifacts. The conventional full excitation was used in the sequence, followed by a short EPI readout covering only the sFOV in anterior-posterior direction. Total scan time for this sequence was 5 min 49 s. The ADC was calculated from diffusion images with all the measured b-values. C-b1400 was calculated voxelwise from the ADC and diffusion images according to:

$$S(b) = S_0 \times \exp(-ADC \times b \times 1000)$$

Pixel intensity so in b = 0 s/mm².

A b-value of 1400 s/mm² was chosen to keep sequence parameters similar. Additionally, the M-b1400 sequence (b = 1400 s/mm², TE/TR = 73/4400 ms, all other parameters identical, duration: 1min45s) was acquired immediately after the original DWI sequence for evaluation and comparison (Figure 1).

Image analysis

Data sets of every patient were analyzed by two radiologists (DH, 3 years of experience in prostate imaging) and (LKB, 6 years of experience in prostate imaging) in con-
sensus, For the measurement of prostate signal intensity, a region-of-interest (ROI) was placed overlying the visualized portion of the prostate at the level of the bladder. Another ROI which was placed in the bladder content served as reference. The size and the localization of these ROIs were chosen identical on both the C-b1400 and M-b1400, to allow for an adequate comparison (Figure 2).

Lesion detection rate (cancer suspicious lesions) as well as lesion diameters were obtained and compared. Image quality, quality of lesion demarcation and diagnostic confidence of the observers were rated according to a 5-point Likert-type scale from “1” (“excellent”) to “5” (“poor”). Lesion-to-bladder and prostate-to-bladder signal-intensity (SI) ratios were obtained and compared to estimate signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR).

Statistical analysis
SPSS (Version 20; IBM SPSS Statistics, United States) was used for statistical analysis. Measured values did not show Gaussian distribution so Wilcoxon-tests were performed for comparison of C-b1400 and M-b1400.

RESULTS

Patients and lesions
In 30 consecutive patients, a total of 27 lesions were evaluated. Lesions were rated as prostate cancer \( (n = 15) \), nodules of benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) \( (n = 8) \) and cancer recurrence \( (n = 4) \). Two patients presented with locally recurrent cancer after prostatectomy.

Image quality, lesion detection rate, lesion demarcation and diagnostic confidence
Image quality was rated significantly better in C-b1400 (mean = 2.3 ± 0.8) compared to M-b1400 (mean = 3.1 ± 1.0; \( P < 0.001 \)). Moreover, the computed images sub-
lesion-to-bladder were obtained for C-b1400 compared to M-b1400 (\(P < 0.001\) and \(P < 0.001\), respectively). Comparison of lesion size showed no significant differences between calculated and measured images (\(P < 0.22\)) (Table 2). All statistical data are given as means and standard deviations.

**DISCUSSION**

The study compared lesion detection rate, image quality and quality of lesion demarcation of a C-b1400 derived from a standard DWI protocol with M-b1400 images. A significantly better image quality of the C-b1400 was observed. Moreover, C-b1400 revealed more additional suspicious lesions compared to M-b1400 images, which were confirmed by ADC maps, T2w and DCE evaluation. Overall diagnostic confidence was rated slightly better on C-b1400, even though results were not significantly different (2.2 ± 0.9 vs 2.1 ± 0.8, \(P = 0.48\), respectively) (Table 1).

**SI-ratios and lesion size**

Significantly higher SI-ratio of prostate-to-bladder and lesion-to-bladder were obtained for C-b1400 compared to M-b1400 (\(P < 0.001\) and \(P < 0.001\), respectively). Comparison of lesion size showed no significant differences between calculated and measured images (\(P < 0.22\)) (Table 2). All statistical data are given as means and standard deviations.
Blackledge et al.\cite{18} described a method to extrapolate high-b-value images from DWI performed at lower b-values which allowed for an improved lesion conspicuity. Computation of DWI resulted in a higher SNR compared with measured DWI, especially at b-values > 840 s/mm\(^2\). Moreover, in oncologic patients, a computed b-value of 2000 s/mm\(^2\) showed good image quality and high background suppression. Evaluation of images with a computed b-value of 2000 s/mm\(^2\) resulted in higher overall diagnostic sensitivity (96.0\%) and specificity (96.6\%), compared with a measured b-value of 900 s/mm\(^2\) (sensitivity, 89.4\%; specificity, 87.5\%; \(P < 0.01\)).

In concordance with this study, our results suggest that computed b1400-images can be obtained with a better image quality due to lesser artifacts and more anatomical detail than measured b1400-images, probably as a benefit of the high SNR of the original lower b-value image sets. Nevertheless, there was no significant difference of the overall diagnostic confidence between the two image sets with a trend to better results for C-b1400 indicating a similar diagnostic performance of both, even though objectively three additional cancer suspicious lesions could be detected on C-b1400. Moreover, the comparison of the diameters of the largest lesions on measured and computed b1400 images did not reveal a significant difference between the techniques (Table 2).

Our study had a number of limitations. First of all, due to the private practice setting, there was no histopathologic confirmation of the assigned lesions. Then again, the study predominantly focused on image quality, lesion detection rate and lesion conspicuity. With regards to the reported ADC overlap of lesions, we believe that the primary value of the presented technique is to detect and draw attention to any lesion. The dignity of these lesions should be evaluated taking into account the clinical context of the patients and additional sequences such as T2w, DCE and MRSI. Moreover, we applied a monoeponential model for the computation of C-b1400 images. Several recent publications report that there is a significant deviation from a pure Gaussian probabilistic model when applying high b-values \(\geq 1000\) s/mm\(^2\). It was confirmed that the deviation quantified by kurtosis has a greater sensitivity than ADC or the diffusion constant D for the differentiation between PCa and benign lesions of the pz (93.3\% vs 78.5\% and 83.5\%)\cite{23}. A more recently published study shows that kurtosis has the best performance in differentiating PCs from benign prostate, using different sets of b-values\cite{24}. In both studies, high b-values up to 2000-2300 s/mm\(^2\) were applied for acquisition which results in a relevant deviation from a pure Gaussian probabilistic model. On the other hand, the impact of kurtosis in ADC calculation using lower b-values up to 800 is small and can be neglected, which falls in the range of the b-values acquired in the present study\cite{23}. Furthermore, in this preliminary feasibility study, patients were included consecutively, and the indications and clinical contexts for the examinations were not homogeneous. In addition, a major concern of b-values above 1000 s/mm\(^2\) in DWI acquisition is their intrinsically lower SNR.

### Table 1  Comparison of C-b1400 and M-b1400 images; image quality, quality of lesion demarcation and level of confidence were rated according to a 5-point Likert-type scale

| b = 1400 s/mm\(^2\) | Image quality (\(\alpha = 30\)) | Lesion demarcation (\(\alpha = 24\)) | Diagnostic confidence (\(\alpha = 30\)) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| M-b1400             | 3.1 ± 1.0                        | 2.8 ± 1.0                            | 2.2 ± 0.8                             |
| C-b1400             | 2.3 ± 0.8                        | 2.6 ± 1.0                            | 2.1 ± 0.8                             |
| \(P\)-value         | < 0.001                          | 0.29                                 | 0.48                                  |

### Table 2  Objective parameters: Prostate-to-bladder and lesion-to-bladder signal intensity ratios were obtained to estimate signal-to-noise-ratio and contrast-to-noise ratio

| b = 1400 s/mm\(^2\) | SI prostate/bladder (\(\alpha = 28\)) | SI lesion/bladder (\(\alpha = 24\)) | Lesion diameter (mm) (\(\alpha = 24\)) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| M-b1400             | 1.9 ± 0.5                            | 2.8 ± 0.9                           | 16.9 ± 10.0                           |
| C-b1400             | 3.7 ± 1.0                            | 5.6 ± 1.8                           | 17.7 ± 10.0                           |
| \(P\)-value         | < 0.001                              | < 0.001                             | 0.22                                   |

Lesion diameters are presented in units of mm. SI: Signal intensity.
and the consecutive compromise of the calculation of the ADC. In clinical practice, stronger diffusion encodings are usually achieved by the prolongation of the motion probing gradients, which leads to a longer TE and an increased T2 decay of the signal. As a result, the highest chosen b-value ultimately determines the TE of the whole diffusion scan and may thus affect the SNR of the whole image set. It is therefore appealing to avoid signal loss by maintaining a shorter TE for conventional diffusion acquisition protocol, while associating the computation of high b-value image sets (i.e., above $b = 1200 \text{s/mm}^2$), in order to benefit from the better SNR of the calculated ADC. In this study, only the readout was reduced to a sFOV, whereas more sophisticated techniques combine a sFOV readout with a sFOV excitation pulse [26]. Finally, a direct measurement of SNR was not feasible due to the sFOV which did not allow for inclusion of surrounding air for precise calculations. Nevertheless, the prostate-to-bladder and lesion-to-bladder SI ratios were calculated as a potential surrogate for the actual SNR.

In conclusion, computed high b-value images derived from a sFOV DWI protocol is clinically feasible and may increase accuracy in comparison to measured $b = 1400 \text{s/mm}^2$ images, without an increase of examination time, which is especially important regarding further application in clinical routine in a time and cost-efficient manner. Additionally, this approach allows for the calculation of virtually any given b-value from the originally measured DWI sequence, which can be further fine-tuned for different clinical settings and applications.

Combination of a high b-value extrapolation and sFOV readout may contribute to increase diagnostic accuracy of DWI without an increase of acquisition time, which may be useful to guide targeted prostate biopsies and to improve quality of mMRI especially under economical aspects in a private practice setting. However, further studies are needed to proof the preliminary results of this study in larger cohorts of clinical patients.
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