The Influence of Hydrophobic Blocks of PEO-Containing Copolymers on Glyceryl Monooleate Lyotropic Liquid Crystalline Nanoparticles for Drug Delivery
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Abstract: The investigation of properties of amphiphilic block copolymers as stabilizers for non-lamellar lyotropic liquid crystalline nanoparticles represents a fundamental issue for the formation, stability and upgraded functionality of these nanosystems. The aim of this work is to use amphiphilic block copolymers, not studied before, as stabilizers of glyceryl monooleate 1-(cis-9-octadecenoyl)-rac-glycerol (GMO) colloidal dispersions. Nanosystems were prepared with the use of poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(lactic acid) (PEO-b-PLA) and poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(5-methyl-5-ethyloxycarbonyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one) (PEO-b-PMEC) block copolymers. Different GMO:polymer molar ratios lead to formation of nanoparticle dispersions with different size and internal organization, depending on the type of hydrophobic block. Resveratrol was loaded into the nanosystems as a model hydrophobic drug. The physicochemical and morphological characteristics of the prepared nanosystems were investigated by dynamic light scattering (DLS), cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM), fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis and X-ray diffraction (XRD). The studies allowed the description of the lyotropic liquid crystalline nanoparticles and evaluation of impact of copolymer composition on these nanosystems. The structures formed in GMO:polymer colloidal dispersions were compared with those discussed previously. The investigations broaden the toolbox of polymeric stabilizers for the development of this type of hybrid polymer/lipid nanostructures.
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1. Introduction

Lipids, as a primary component of liposomal drug delivery systems, have been at the focus of scientific interest owing to their unique self-assembly features. Among the numerous nanostructures formed by lipids, lyotropic liquid crystals (LLC) or liquid crystalline nanoparticles (LCNPs) with highly ordered internal structure are especially important objects for research [1–3]. According to the type of internal organization, LLC can generally be classified into three categories: lamellar phase (Lα), cubic phase (V2) and hexagonal phase (H2), while the dispersion of each phase is known as liposomes, cubosomes...
and hexosomes, respectively [4–6]. Cubic and hexagonal phase have received wide interest due to their physicochemical properties and capability of encapsulating different types of compounds—from hydrophilic, through hydrophobic to amphiphilic. Combined with other properties like biocompatibility, nontoxicity and biodegradability, LLC have become an ideal potential platform for the design of versatile peptide [7] and drug delivery systems [8–15] as well as vaccines [16], imaging [17,18] and theranostics [19–21].

Various types of amphiphilic lipids are reported for the formation of lyotropic liquid crystals, such as phytantriol (PHYT) [16,22], glyceryl monooleate (GMO) [23,24], gadolinium oleate/myverol [17] and algal biomass [25]. To form stable LCNPs, aqueous dispersions of lipids require the addition of stabilizers, such as surfactants or amphiphilic block copolymers, which are one of the main class of polymeric stabilizers for these nanosystems [9,26]. It has been proven that the copolymers play a key role on the resulting formulation and determining the mesophases of final structures, while it also prevents unfavorable interactions between nanoparticles, such as aggregation [27,28]. In this case, hydrophilic block should be on the outer rim in the role of a hydrophilic corona, while the hydrophobic blocks should be anchored inside the lipid bilayer, forming hydrophobic interactions with the hydrophobic lipid tails. A schematic representation of the hybrid lipid/copolymer nanostructure can be found in [29,30]. Up until now, poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(propylene oxide)-b-poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO-PPO-PEO) triblock copolymers have been extensively used as stabilizers in these LLC systems, due to their biocompatibility and “stealth” properties [19,20,31]. However, the polymeric stabilizers can be carefully designed to provide extra properties that are extremely important due to the potential applications of lipid-based LLC for the drug delivery systems, such as stimuli-responsiveness [26,32,33]. In recent years, the finding of alternative amphiphilic block copolymers has been developing very fast, but the selection process of stabilizers is difficult, since it depends on many factors such as chemical structure, molar mass and hydrophobic–hydrophilic ratio of the chosen polymers [26,33,34].

One of the most popular lipids is glyceryl monooleate (GMO) [2,8,26,35] which is a polar, unsaturated monoglyceride. It can self-assemble into different mesophases, depending on water concentration and temperature [8,27,28]. Glyceryl monooleate is a nontoxic, biodegradable and biocompatible material, through it is commonly used for the preparation of liquid crystalline nanoparticles for drug delivery systems [8–10]. The amphiphilic stabilizer is necessary, in order for GMO dispersions to be created, because it provides steric stabilization by having its hydrophobic portion anchored in the lipid bilayers, while its more hydrophilic ends extend into the surrounding solution. In doing so, the inner cubic or hexagonal phase structure is maintained [36,37].

The most often used stabilizers in LLC systems are based on poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO), which is a polyether composed of repeated ethylene glycol units \([-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{O}]_n\). PEO is hydrophilic, non-ionic, non-toxic, non-immunogenic and biocompatible polymer. It is well investigated and has many potential applications, from industrial manufacturing, through chemistry to medicine and drug delivery [38–41]. The widespread use of PEO owes to the broad range of its possible molar mass and solubility in aqueous media, as well as in many organic solvents. Furthermore, high polarity of PEO, increases hydrophilicity and thus enhances water solubility of PEO containing structures [41–44]. It can be combined with many hydrophobic chains towards the development of block copolymers.

Another promising polymer reported for biomedical applications is poly(lactic acid) (PLA) which has been used extensively since the 1970s. It is comprised of biodegradable, aliphatic polyesters, derived from lactide or 2-hydroxy propionic acid, which is generally obtained by bacterial fermentation of carbohydrates from renewable sources, like agricultural crops such as corn, potato and cassava [45]. PLA is biocompatible and safe [46,47] thermoplastic, high-strength, high-modulus polymer and is widely used in both industry and biomedicine [48,49]. Poly(5-methyl-5-ethyloxycarbonyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one) (PMEC) is
biocompatible, crystalline, degradable and resorbable material being a methylcarboxytrimeethylene carbonate derivative. Due to its simple structure, it can be easily prepared from 2,2-bis (methylol)propionic acid (bis-MPA) in high yield and homopolymerized with predictable molar mass and narrow dispersity [50–52].

In the present study, novel potential polymeric stabilizers of glyceryl monooleate 1-(cis-9-octadecenoyl)–rac-glycerol lyotropic liquid crystals were investigated. More specifically, amphiphilic block copolymers were synthesized consisting of hydrophilic poly(ethylene oxide) and different hydrophobic blocks of poly(lactic acid) and poly(5-methyl-5-ethyloxy carbonyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one) (PMEC). We also compared its stabilizing ability with previously studied poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(ε-caprolactone) (PEO-b-PCL) block copolymers [28].

The prepared nanosystems were analyzed using a gamut of techniques, such as dynamic light scattering (DLS) for the physicochemical characterization, cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM), fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis and X-ray diffraction (XRD) for the morphological evaluation.

Studied LCNs served as nanocarriers of resveratrol, a model hydrophobic drug that is a well-studied biologically active compound and exhibits various pharmacological properties. Among the many, it can be distinguished as anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory, neuro-protective, anti-aging and anticancer drug [28,53,54].

The drug was encapsulated in the liquid crystalline nanoparticles, and its influence on the resulting structures was also examined. The resveratrol carriers obtained in this study were compared with these previously prepared with the use of PEO-b-PCL as a GMO dispersion stabilizer.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report where the above referred block copolymers were used as stabilizers for liquid crystalline nanoparticles.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All liquid crystalline nanosystems were prepared from glyceryl monooleate lipid Monomuls® 90-O18 (1-(cis-9-octadecenoyl)–rac-glycerol), (GMO) (BASF, Ludwigshafen, Germany) and used without further purification. All formulations were prepared in HPLC-grade water.

Resveratrol was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co (St. Louis, MO, USA). Dichloromethane (POCH) was dried over CaH₂ and distilled under reduced pressure prior to use. THF (POCH) was distilled over a sodium-potassium alloy. Dowex 50WX8 (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was washed with dry THF before use. Methoxy ether poly(ethylene oxide) 5000 (mPEO₃₅–OH, TCI, Mₑ(GPC) = 5 200, Mₑ(NMR) = 5 400) was dried by two azeotropic distillations using anhydrous toluene. L-lactide (LA) (>99.5%, Forusorb) was purified by sublimation two times before use. 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) (98%, Sigma-Aldrich) was distilled under reduced pressure over BaO. Triethylamine (TEA, >99%, Sigma-Aldrich) was distilled under reduced pressure over BaO. 2,2-Bis(hydroxymethyl)propionic acid (bis-MPA, >97%, TCI), ethanol (96%, POCH), ethyl chloroformate (97%, Sigma-Aldrich), Amberlyst 15 (Sigma-Aldrich) and magnesium sulphate (Chempur, Piekary Slaskie, Poland) were used as received.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. MEC Synthesis

Bis-MPA (44.2 g, 0.330 mol, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 300 mL of ethanol with 13.6 g of Amberlyst 15. Solution was refluxed for 24 h. Then, the resin was filtered, and unreacted ethanol was stripped off. The residue was dissolved in 400 mL of DCM and the insoluble part was removed via filtration. After removal of DCM, ethyl 2,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)propionate (bis-MPA-Et) was received as a colorless, viscous liquid. Yield: 75%.
In the second step, into the solution of bis-MPA-Et (40.0 g, 0.247 mol, 1 eq.) and ethyl chloroformate (58.7 mL, 0.617 mol, 2.5 eq.) in 400 cm³ of DCM at 0 °C, TEA (85.9 mL, 0.617 mol, 2.5 eq.) diluted with 100 mL of DCM was added dropwise over a period of 1 h. The reaction mixture was kept in dry nitrogen atmosphere at 0 °C for 2 h and then at room temperature for 24 h. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. Crude product was dissolved in 100 mL of DCM and washed with 50 mL 1 M HCl(aq) twice and 50 mL saturated solution of NaHCO₃, 25 mL of brine and 25 mL of distilled water. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. Then, MgSO₄ was filtered off and DCM was stripped off. Crude product was then purified by crystallization from ethyl acetate twice to give MEC, as white crystals. Yield: 39%.

2.2.2. Polymerization of the Block Copolymers

The chemical structures and the molecular characteristics of copolymer samples are presented in Figure 1 and in Table 1, respectively.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of (a) glyceryl monooleate (GMO) lipid, (b) resveratrol, (c) poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(lactic acid) (PEO-b-PLA), (d) poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(5-methyl-5-ethyloxycarbonyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one) (PEO-b-PMEC), employed in this study.

**Table 1.** Molecular characteristics of copolymers utilized.

| Polymer         | DP(NMR) of Hydrophobic Block | M_n(NMR) | M_w(GPC) | M_w/M_n | %wt PEO  |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| PEO-b-PLA       | 18                            | 6700     | 6850     | 1.06    | 81      |
| PEO-b-PMEC      | 9                             | 7100     | 6560     | 1.05    | 76      |

* By SEC in DMF, calculated using PEO standards calibration; b by ¹H-NMR in CDCl₃.

Polymerization of poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(lactic acid) (PEO-b-PLA) was performed in an anhydrous atmosphere (glove-box, H₂O < 1 ppm, O₂ < 3 ppm). The diblock copolymer was synthesized by ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of LA using mPEO₁₂₃-OH as an initiator and DBU as catalyst in dry CH₂Cl₂ at the monomer concentration equal to 1.000 mmol/mL at room temperature.

Detailed polymerization procedures and chemical characterization of the block copolymers are presented in Supporting Information.

In case of poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(5-methyl-5-ethyloxycarbonyl-1,3-dioxan-2-one) (PEO-b-PMEC), polymerization was performed in an anhydrous atmosphere (glove-box, H₂O < 1 ppm, O₂ < 3 ppm). The diblock copolymer was synthesized by ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of MEC using mPEO₂₃-OH as an initiator and DBU as catalyst in dry CH₂Cl₂ at monomer concentration equal to 1.000 mmol/mL at room temperature.

Detailed polymerization procedures and chemical characterization (Figures S1 and S2) of the block copolymers are summarized in Supporting Information.
2.2.3. Preparation of Liquid Crystalline Nanoparticle Dispersions

PEO-b-PLA and PEO-b-PMEC copolymers were tested as polymeric stabilizers, each one individually. Two different weight ratios were prepared, namely GMO:polymeric stabilizer 9:1 and 4:1 respectively, which correspond to two different concentrations of the stabilizer, namely 10% and 20% w/w relative to the lipid mass. Copolymers were able to stabilize the lipid in nanoparticles in both lipid:polymer ratios. The lipid concentration was 20 mg/mL in all prepared systems. The temperature used during the preparation process of all the systems was 45 °C.

All liquid crystalline systems were prepared by Top-Down Method (TD). More specifically, GMO was weighted into glass vials and heated to 45 °C, until free flowing. The appropriate volume of HPLC-grade water solution (pH = 6.0), containing the different amounts of the polymeric stabilizers, was added to the vials containing the lipids, in order to achieve a lipid concentration of 20 mg/mL. The mixtures were firstly sonicated using a bath sonicator for 2 min, at 45 °C, followed by two 2-min sonication cycles (amplitude 70, cycle 0.7), interrupted by a 2-min resting period, using a probe sonicator (UW 2070 Bandelin electronic, Berlin, Germany), until a milky dispersion was formed. The resultant dispersions were allowed to anneal for 30 min, then stored at room temperature and measured 5 days after preparation.

In the case of liquid crystalline systems with entrapped resveratrol, the same process as above was followed, by the difference that the appropriate amounts of resveratrol and GMO were fully dissolved in ethanol initially. Ethanol was gently evaporated until a dry film of liquid-resveratrol mixture was achieved. The total resveratrol concentration in the final dispersion was 2 mg/mL.

2.2.4. Physicochemical and Morphological Characterization of the Liquid Crystalline Dispersions

Dynamic and Electrophoretic Light Scattering

Hydrodynamic radius and zeta potential measurements were performed at least in triplicate on a Zetasizer Nano ZS 90 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) in disposable cuvettes and processed with Zetasizer Software (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) version 6.32.

Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM)

Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (cryo-TEM) images were obtained using a Tecnai F20 X TWIN microscope (FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) equipped with field emission gun, operating at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Images were recorded on the Gatan Rio 16 CMOS 4k camera (Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) and processed with Gatan Microscopy Suite (GMS) software (Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA). Specimen preparation was done by vitrification of the aqueous solutions on grids with holey carbon film (Quantifoil R 2/2; Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH, Großlöbichau, Germany). Prior to use, the grids were activated for 15 s in oxygen plasma using a Femto plasma cleaner (Diener Electronic, Ebhausen, Germany). Cryo-samples were prepared by applying a droplet (3 μL) of the suspension to the grid, blotting with filter paper and immediate freezing in liquid ethane using a fully automated blotting device Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). After preparation, the vitrified specimens were kept under liquid nitrogen until they were inserted into a cryo-TEM-holder Gatan 626 (Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) and analyzed in the TEM at −178 °C.

X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

Samples for X-Ray diffraction studies were prepared by evaporation of H2O at 50 °C, up to a final concentration around 8–10 mg/mL. Samples obtained from dispersions were placed between Capton foil in PMMA holder with additional PMMA 1 mm thick distance, in order to obtain optimal intensity of scattering in water.

XRD was performed using the D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) with Cu-Kα cathode (λ = 1.54 nm) working in transmission mode. The scan rate
was 0.02°/min with scanning step 0.01° in range of 0.5° to 3° 2Θ. All patterns were acquired at least seven times, then accumulated to obtained higher resolution. Background subtraction was performed using DIFFRAC.EVA program, using air scattering filter. Obtained patterns were smoothed using Fourier smooth filter. Typically, Im3m and Pn3m cubic structures are reported for this type of liquid crystalline, according to literature [36,55–57]. Model patterns of two cubic, hexoctahedral phases: body centered Im3m and primitive Pn3m were calculated using DIFFRAC.EVA program, assuming that lattice parameter a = 130Å [55,57], while exact lattice parameters of fitted phase were calculated using Rietveld refinement in TOPAS 6 program, based on Williamson-Hall theory. The pseudo-Voigt function was used in the description of diffraction line profiles at the Rietveld refinement [58,59]. The Rwp (weighted-pattern factor) and GOF (goodness-of-fit) parameters were used as numerical criteria of the quality of the fit of calculated to experimental diffraction data.

2.2.5. Characterization of the Liquid Crystalline Dispersions with Entrapped Resveratrol

Entrapment Efficiency and Drug Loading Determination

The ultrafiltration centrifugal method was utilized for the separation of the free resveratrol from the resveratrol entrapped in the liquid crystalline nanoparticles [15,23]. The liquid crystalline nanoparticle dispersions were centrifuged for 45 min at 8000 rpm inside the centrifugal filter tubes, with a molecular weight cutoff of 10 kDa, at 4 °C. The nanoparticles were separated from the aqueous phase and the supernatant was analyzed via UV-Vis spectroscopy in order to quantitate the free resveratrol concentration. Absorption measurements were performed at a range of 190–600 nm, while the value at 307 nm and a pre-constructed calibration curve were used for the analysis. In addition, plain liquid crystalline nanoparticles were centrifuged, and supernatant was used as blank. The entrapment efficiency (EE)% was calculated using the following equation:

\[
(EE) \% = (1 - \frac{C_{\text{supernatant}}}{C_{\text{total}}}) \% 
\]

where \(C_{\text{supernatant}}\) is the resveratrol concentration that was quantified in the supernatant (non-entrapped) and \(C_{\text{total}}\) is the total concentration of the resveratrol added in the initial hybrid liposomes aqueous solutions (2 mg/mL) [15,23,31].

The drug loading (DL)% was calculated according to the following equation

\[
(DL) \% = (\frac{C_{\text{total}} - C_{\text{supernatant}}}{C_{\text{lipid}}}) \% 
\]

where \(C_{\text{total}}\) is the total concentration of the resveratrol added in the dispersion (2 mg/mL), \(C_{\text{supernatant}}\) is the non-entrapped resveratrol concentration and \(C_{\text{lipid}}\) is the total concentration of the lipid in the aqueous solution (20 mg/mL) [15,31].

In Vitro Resveratrol Release Studies

The drug-release studies were conducted using the dialysis method in the dark, [15,23,31,60,61] based on a previous study [28]. 0.5 mL of the aqueous solution samples were placed into a dialysis sack, with a molecular weight cutoff at 10,000. The dialysis sacks were inserted in a 20 mL PBS (pH = 7.4) shaking water bath set at 37 °C. Aliquots of samples were taken from the external solution at specific time intervals, while the volume was replaced with fresh release medium. Samples were diluted with ethanol and quantified for drug content by an Analytik Jena Specord 200 plus UV-Vis spectrometer (Jena, Thuringia, Germany), in the range of 190–600 nm. The values corresponding at 307 nm of the spectra were used for the analysis, along with a pre-constructed standard curve for resveratrol in PBS/ethanol. In addition, empty hybrid liposomes were used as blanks. The % cumulative mass of resveratrol released versus time was plotted.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physicochemical and Morphological Characteristics of the Liquid Crystalline Nanosystems as Revealed by DLS and Cryo-TEM

The chemical composition of amphiphilic polymeric stabilizers PEO-b-PLA and PEO-b-PMEC affected the size, as well as the morphology of prepared nanosystems. Both block copolymers used in our study were found to provide stable GMO-based colloidal dispersions, at least at the studied ratios. Samples were measured 5 days after preparation. We assume that the present nanosystems were stable as it was in the case of previously studied GMO:PEO-b-PCL [28] and as shown for Pluronic F127 systems [27,62].

The GMO:copolymers hydrodynamic radius and size dispersity (PDI) measured by DLS and average sizes from cryo-TEM images are given in Table 2. Cryo-TEM histograms are presented in Supporting Information (Figures S3 and S4). Size distribution by intensity from DLS are shown in Supporting Information (Figures S5 and S6).

Starting from the GMO:PEO-b-PLA systems, an increase of the total amount of copolymer (from 9:1 to 4:1 w/w) led to an increase of the particle size. Nanosystems with more stabilizer content are more homogenous, exhibiting smaller values of PDI, with negative \( \zeta \)-potential values for both ratios.

Cryo-TEM revealed morphological variety inside the dispersions (Figure 2a,b). More specifically, in the first case with a smaller amount of PEO-b-PLA, multilamellar particles prevailed, with confined, striated, curved inner structure, resembling an onion. This large population was coexisting with a small number of “sponge-like” nanoparticles, consisting of an outer layer built of intersecting lamellas and an apparent dense inner core with highly disordered interior and with absence of long-range order and periodicity [63,64], and particles with a highly ordered internal structure. FFT analysis of images (Figure 3a) gave a space group close to \( Pn3m \) (double-diamond type bicontinuous cubic phase). Simple vesicles were not observed by cryo-TEM.

Table 2. Dynamic light scattering, \( \zeta \)-potential, cryo-TEM histograms, XRD and FFT analysis results.

| Sample                  | Weight Ratio | \( R_h \) (nm) | \( \pm \)SD | \( \zeta \)-Pot (mV) | Average Size (nm) | Space Group | Space Group | FFT |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| GMO: PEO-b-PLA          | 9:1          | 88 and 10      | 0.53       | -13                 | 66                | \( Pn3m \)  | \( Pn3m \)  |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PLA          | 4:1          | 137 and 18     | 0.62       | -17                 | 88                | \( Im3m \)  | \( Im3m/Pn3m \) |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PMEC         | 9:1          | 150 and 19     | 0.63       | -21                 | 85                | \( Pn3m \)  | \( Pn3m \)  |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PMEC         | 4:1          | 141 and 16     | 0.55       | -16                 | 63                | \( Pn3m \)  | \( Pn3m \)  |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PLA + resveratrol | 9:1     | 17             | 0.40       | -26                 | 85                | -           | -           |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PLA + resveratrol | 4:1     | 146 and 20     | 0.55       | -13                 | 78                | -           | -           |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PMEC + resveratrol | 9:1     | 179 and 23     | 0.58       | -27                 | 147               | -           | \( Im3m/Pn3m \) |     |
| GMO: PEO-b-PMEC + resveratrol | 4:1     | 157 and 14     | 0.85       | -18                 | 57                | -           | \( Im3m \)  |     |
Figure 2. Representative cryo-TEM images of the (a) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 9:1, (b) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1, (c) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 9:1, (d) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1.
Figure 3. Fast Fourier transform analysis of particles with ordered internal structure for (a) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 9:1, (b) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1, (c) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 9:1, and (d) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1.

In the case of GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1 ratio, cryo-TEM revealed the predominant spherical, “sponge-like” particles, and particles with a highly ordered internal structure. The fast Fourier transform analysis (Figure 3b) gave a space group symmetry likely to Im3m (primitive type bicontinuous cubic phase). In addition, particles with Pn3m symmetry were observed (Figure S7). Apart from this category of LCNPs with ordered internal structure, there were also spherical vesicles observed as a minority component.

A small number of large aggregates with irregular shape were observed for both GMO:PEO-b-PLA ratios.

The encapsulation of hydrophobic resveratrol affected the physicochemical and morphological characteristics of the prepared nanosystems. The average size of particles is higher in the case of 9:1 ratio and smaller for 4:1, compared to those without the drug (Fig. S3 and S4). They present negative ζ-potential values and smaller size dispersity (Ta-
ble 2). According to cryo-TEM results, systems with resveratrol (Figure 4a,b) are characterized by significant differences in particles morphology. For 4:1 ratio, a population of spherical vesicles and “sponge-like” particles was observed. Moreover, elongated structures that were not found in drug-free systems were observed. Smaller amount of copolymer results predominant in “sponge-like” particles, coexisting with small number of spherical vesicles. Worm-like structures were in minority.

Figure 4. Representative cryo-TEM images of the (a) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 9:1 + resveratrol, (b) GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1 + resveratrol, (c) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 9:1 + resveratrol, (d) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1 + resveratrol.

Both GMO:PEO-b-PLA ratios systems containing resveratrol resulted in particles of unorganized internal structure, which was assessed with FFT analysis.

Replacement PEO-b-PLA with PEO-b-PMEC with bigger side group in the hydrophobic blocks, lead to a different, prevailing morphology of nanosystems (Figure 2c,d). Average particles size from DLS and cryo-TEM is higher in case of 9:1, compared to GMO:PEO-b-PLA. For 4:1 ratio, average DLS results are similar, but cryo-TEM histograms
reveal smaller sizes of particles. A higher amount of this stabilizer caused a decrease of the particle size, compared to a 9:1 ratio, probably because of the larger reduction of interfacial tension between GMO lipid and water phase. Consequently, it led to the formation of a larger surface area and fragmentation of particles towards smaller sizes [32,36,65,66].

Nanosystems were found to present PDI values of 0.55 and 0.63, respectively, with negative ζ-potential values for both ratios (Table 2).

Vesicles, “sponge-like” particles and particles with organized internal structure and cubic shape were observed at both ratios. The fast Fourier transform analysis (Figure 3c,d) gave a space group symmetry likely to Pn3m. The increase of the PEO-b-PMEC concentration caused decrease of the nanoparticles with regular organization and led to the formation of higher amount of small, simple vesicles exhibiting no internal structure and irregularly shaped particles, which may represent different stages of the fusion processes that are taking place towards the formation of the more organized structures.

This is in accordance with the literature [32,56] which confirms that higher stabilizer concentrations can cause formation of vesicular structures, such as liposomes, reducing the percentage of the existing cubic structures.

The addition of resveratrol results in higher average sizes of particles, compared to pure nanosystems. PDI values are higher for the 4:1 ratio and smaller for the second ratio (Table 2). Cryo-TEM (Figure 4c,d) revealed differences in morphology of the particles for these ratios. Predominantly “sponge-like” structures were observed for higher amount of copolymer with drug present. This large population was coexisting with spherical vesicles. In the case of the 9:1 ratio, the vesicles population was increased compared to “sponge-like” structures.

Apart from these categories of structures, there were also particles with ordered internal structure, observed for both ratios in minority. FFT analysis gave a space group close to Im3m (primitive type bicontinuous cubic phase) (Figure 5a,b) and Pn3m (double-diamond type bicontinuous cubic phase) (Figure 5a).

![Figure 5](image-url) Fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis of particles with ordered internal structure for (a) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 9:1 + resveratrol, and (b) GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1 + resveratrol.

We have compared the nanosystems of GMO prepared with PEO-b-PCL from our previous work [28] with the same PEO block and similar molar mass as the copolymers used here. All PEO-b-PCL systems were found to present PDI values ≤ 0.25, with negative ζ-potential values. Higher amount of PEO-b-PCL copolymer (4:1 ratio) yielded smaller
nanoparticles, similar to GMO:PEO-b-PMEC nanosystems. It can be observed that the chemical composition of the used copolymer influenced the size of the nanoparticles. PEO-b-PCL caused formation of particles with smaller average dimension than PEO-b-PLA and PEO-b-PMEC. Furthermore, nanosystems are more homogenous exhibiting smaller values of PDI compared to new ones. All GMO:copolymers systems present negative \( \zeta \)-potential values.

In addition, significant differences in particles morphology were revealed by cryo-TEM. More specifically, spherical vesicles were coexisting with liquid crystalline nanoparticles with lower grades of internal organization that resemble “sponge-like” structures, for both ratios. Higher concentration of PEO-b-PCL results in absence of nanoparticles with ordered internal structure, as confirmed with fast Fourier transform analysis. GMO:PEO-b-PCL 9:1 systems exhibited nanoparticles with a highly ordered internal structure, being square or almost squared shaped. FFT analysis patterns give body centered \(Im3m\) symmetry.

### 3.2. X-ray Diffraction

The organized internal structure of particles, assessed with fast Fourier transform analysis is likely corresponding to cubic structures of \(Pn3m\) or \(Im3m\) symmetry \[67\]. For the full characterization of the predominant ordered internal structure (Table 2), samples were measured by X-ray diffraction (Figure 6).

For GMO:PEO-b-PLA, the fitting of calculated theoretical structure patterns gives slightly better fit for \(Im3m\) structure than \(Pn3m\) in the case of 4:1 stoichiometry. However, considering peak positions and corresponding d-spacings, it should be noticed that for primitive \(Pn3m\) the \((111)\) peak is missing, which results in worse fit for that structure. Further fitting with Rietveld refinement of the assumed body centered \(Im3m\) structure shows lattice parameter \(a = 148.4 \pm 0.7\) Å. In the case of 9:1 sample, better fit was obtained for primitive \(Pn3m\) cubic structure with lattice parameter \(a = 151.1 \pm 0.9\) Å. Calculated lattice parameters of GMO:PEO-b-PLA shows lattice enlargement in comparison to the liquid crystals described in the literature \[36,55–57\].

In case of GMO:PEO-b-PMEC nanosystems, the characteristic \((111)\) peak of \(Pn3m\) structure was observed (Figure 6). Rietveld refinement confirms presence of \(Pn3m\) structure for 4:1 and 9:1 nanosystems with lattice parameters 129.6 ± 0.6 and 131.3 ± 0.7 Å, respectively. Moreover, peak shape and intensity are more developed in the case of the 9:1 nanosystem than for the 4:1 ratio.
Comparing all of the examined samples, the best well-developed structure was observed for the GMO:PEO-b-PLA 9:1 nanosystem, while low ordered structure was detected for the GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1 nanosystem. In both copolymers some regularity was detected—higher amount of GMO lipid (9:1) allows higher order of the obtained structure. In the GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1 system—a body centered Im3m structure was observed instead of primitive Pn3m. Pn3m structure was reported in literature for PEO-PPO-PEO liquid nanoparticles [36,67] and in our studies for PEO-b-PCL cubosomes [28].

3.3. Resveratrol Entrapment and In Vitro Release Studies

In order to investigate the ability of the above lipid:copolymer hybrid nanosystems to encapsulate drug molecules and act as drug nanocarriers, the hydrophobic drug resveratrol was employed as a low molecular weight cargo molecule model.

Resveratrol was incorporated to GMO:PEO-b-PLA and GMO:PEO-b-PMEC LCNPs to test their drug-loading and release abilities in conditions similar to those inside of a human body. The results of loading and entrapment efficiency are shown in Table 3. The entrapment efficiency was over 99% for resveratrol for all systems under study. The release of the drug from its carriers takes place in three stages as shown in Figure 7. A quick resveratrol release in terms of 10–25% approximately of its total mass can be seen for the first half-hour, probably due to the large surface area to volume ratio of the nanoparticles [23]. A prolonged release is observed for the next three hours which is associated with the strong entrapment of the drug inside the nanosystem [68,69]. Finally, a plateau was observed with no extra drug-release, with the total released resveratrol mass under 40%. This is a typical behavior for such liquid crystalline nanosystems [70,71]. Resveratrol, as a
hydrophobic drug can “hide” deeply inside the GMO lipid bilayer, as proved by the high entrapment efficiency percentages. The prolonged release is driven by certain factors, such as the “pore” size [3,72], the organization of the cubic phase network and the entrapped water phase. They are crucial for the diffusion of the drug to the aqueous medium through the “water channels”. Thus, highly organized networks lead in tighter nanostructures that result in more drug retention, while looser formations lead to maximum drug release [23,31].

Table 3. Drug loading and entrapment efficiency results.

| Sample           | Weight Ratio | Entrapment Efficiency (%) | Drug Loading (%) |
|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| GMO:PEO-b-PLA    | 9:1          | 99.91                      | 9.99             |
| GMO:PEO-b-PLA    | 4:1          | 99.84                      | 9.98             |
| GMO:PEO-b-PMEC   | 9:1          | 99.84                      | 9.98             |
| GMO:PEO-b-PMEC   | 4:1          | 99.46                      | 9.94             |

Figure 7. Cumulative mass of resveratrol that is released (%) from the hybrid liquid crystalline nanoparticles (±SD values are given for 5 runs).

The differences shown in the release profile graphs in Figure 7 can be attributed to the block copolymers, which strongly influence the formation of the final nanostructures. The pore size and tortuosity of the water channels of the cubic phase contribute to the sustained release because the entrapped molecule has to be navigated through a nanotubular aqueous network assembled by the continuous lipid bilayer architecture.

All the under-study LCNPs are highly influenced by resveratrol since its encapsulation results in the formation of nanostructures with lower internal order. Vesicles and “sponge-like” nanoparticles prevail when the drug is loaded in comparison to the “empty” ones, as shown in cryo-TEM studies. It is possible that resveratrol, being the most hydrophobic molecule in these systems, antagonizes PMEC and PLA in terms of entrapment inside the GMO phase, altering the final nanostructures.

The GMO:PEO-b-PLA 4:1 nanosystem presented a minimum release of resveratrol, depicting a more complex network than the other systems, preventing the drug to “find-its-way” to the external aqueous medium. On the other hand, both GMO:PEO-b-PLA and GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 9:1 showed the maximum resveratrol release, with an almost identical behavior, probably due to a looser internal structure. Last but not least, drug release from the GMO:PEO-b-PMEC 4:1 nanoparticles was somewhere in the middle of all four systems. Such differences in the drug-release behavior of each system are driven by the
ratio of the diblock copolymers and the variations in the nature of hydrophobic units. It should be emphasized that the copolymer molar masses, and hydrophobic units in the chains were nearly identical.

Previously studied GMO:poly(ethylene oxide)-b-poly(ε-caprolactone) and GMO:poly(2-methyl-2-oxazoline)-grad-poly(2-phenyl-2-oxazoline) (MPO.) LCNPs [28] showed a similar behavior in terms of initial, prolonged and plateau release profile stages. All the systems presented excellent entrapment efficiency, over 97%, which is attributed to the lipophilicity of resveratrol and GMO bilayers formed in chimeric particles. In the case of GMO:PEO-b-PCL and GMO:MPOx, the prolonged release lasted more than 3 h. Conditions used in the present study were the same as in a previous studied GMO:PEO-b-PCL+resveratrol system (Phosphate Buffer Saline, PBS) [28]. This allowed for the comparison between the systems.

The released amount of resveratrol for the GMO:PEO-b-PMEC and GMO:PEO-b-PLA LCNPs is smaller in terms of % cumulative mass in respect with the GMO:PEO-b-PCL and GMO:MPOx. The release from hybrid particles can be related to the internal network phase, its complexity and organization. In the studied systems the ceiling release is lower than for GMO:PEO-b-PCL which also indicates that in the present case structures are better organized for preventing drug release.

4. Conclusions

Non-lamellar lipid liquid crystalline nanosystems were prepared from GMO and the PEO-b-PLA and PEO-b-PMEC block copolymers at different GMO:copolymer ratios (9:1 and 4:1). The copolymers were obtained using the same PEO macroinitiator and had hydrophobic blocks of similar weight. All block copolymers were found to act successfully as stabilizers and provide GMO-based colloidal dispersions at the studied ratios. The influence of hydrophobic blocks of the PEO-containing copolymers was investigated in physicochemical and morphological terms. Different GMO and copolymer molar ratios lead to formulation of nanoparticles with different size and internal organization. The hydrophobic block of the copolymers affected the size and morphology of the nanosystems.

The nanosystems stabilized by the PEO-b-PMEC copolymer exhibited higher grades of internal organization with respect to PEO-b-PLA, as revealed by cryo-TEM, fast Fourier transform and X-ray diffraction analysis. They appeared to be better organized than previously studied stabilizer PEO-b-PCL.

The loading of hydrophobic resveratrol influenced the morphology and internal organization of the final hybrid lipid-polymer nanostructures with incorporated drug.

These findings support the use of new, alternative polymeric stabilizers for preparation of LCNPs, also with potential applications as the drug delivery systems, broadening the already existing toolbox of polymeric stabilizers and hybrid lipid-copolymer structures.
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Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.T.; investigation, A.F., M.C., B.M., T.K., T.S., M.G. and A.K.; writing—original draft preparation, A.F.; writing—review and editing, M.C., T.S., S.P. and B.T.; visualization, A.F.; supervision, B.T., C.D. and S.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by state funds for the Centre of Polymer and Carbon Materials, Polish Academy of Sciences.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Dierking, L.; Neto, A.M.F. Novel trends in lyotropic liquid crystals. Crystals 2020, 10, 604, doi:10.3390/cryst10070604.
2. Singhvi, G.; Banerjee, S.; Khosa, A. Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Nanoparticles; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; ISBN 9780128136638.
3. Barriga, H.M.G.; Holme, M.N.; Stevens, M.M. Cubosomes: The next generation of smart lipid nanoparticles? Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2958–2978, doi:10.1002/anie.201804067.
4. Nisini, R.; Poerio, N.; Mariotti, S.; De Santis, F.; Fraziano, M. The multirole of liposomes in therapy and prevention of infectious diseases. Front. Immunol. 2018, 9, 155, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.00155.
5. Yaghmur, A.; Mu, H. Recent advances in drug delivery applications of cubosomes, hexosomes, and solid lipid nanoparticles. Acta Pharm. Sin. B 2021, 11, 871–885, doi:10.1016/j.apsb.2021.02.013.
6. Barriga, H.M.G.; Ces, O.; Law, R.V.; Seddon, J.M.; Brooks, N.J. Engineering swollen cubosomes using cholesterol and anionic lipids. Langmuir 2019, 35, 16521–16527, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b02336.
7. Boge, L.; Hallstensson, K.; Ringstad, L.; Johansson, J.; Andersson, T.; Davoudi, M.; Larsson, P.T.; Mahlapuu, M.; Håkansson, J.; Andersson, M. Cubosomes for topical delivery of the antimicrobial peptide LL-37. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2019, 134, 60–67, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2018.11.009.
8. Karami, Z.; Hamidi, M. Cubosomes: Remarkable drug delivery potential. Drug Discov. Today 2016, 21, 789–801, doi:10.1016/j.drudis.2016.01.004.
9. Azmi, I.D.M.; Moghim, S.M.; Yaghmur, A. Cubosomes and hexosomes as versatile platforms for drug delivery. Ther. Deliv. 2015, 6, 1347–1364, doi:10.4155/tde.15.81.
10. Chen, Y.; Ma, P.; Gui, S. Cubic and hexagonal liquid crystals as drug delivery systems. Biomed. Res. Int. 2014, 2014, 116, doi:10.1155/2014/8195891.
11. Chountoulesi, M.; Pippa, N.; Pispas, S.; Chrysina, E.D.; Forys, A.; Trzebicka, B.; Demetzos, C. Cubic lyotropic liquid crystals as drug delivery carriers: Physicochemical and morphological studies. Int. J. Pharm. 2018, 550, 57–70, doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.08.003.
12. Pan, X.; Han, K.; Peng, X.; Yang, Z.; Qin, L.; Zhu, C.; Huang, X.; Shi, X.; Dian, L.; Lu, M.; et al. Nanostructured cubosomes as advanced drug delivery system. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2013, 19, 6290–6297, doi:10.2174/1381612813119350006.
13. Wu, H.; Li, J.; Zhang, Q.; Yan, X.; Guo, L.; Gao, X.; Qiu, M.; Jiang, X.; Lai, R.; Chen, H. A novel small odorranalectin-bearing cubosomes: Preparation, brain delivery and pharmacodynamic study on amyloid-β 25-35-treated rats following intranasal administration. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2012, 80, 368–378, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2011.10.012.
14. Esposito, E.; Mariani, P.; Ravani, L.; Contaldo, C.; Volta, M.; Bido, S.; Drechsler, M.; Mazzoni, S.; Menegatti, E.; Morari, M.; et al. Nanoparticulate lipid dispersions for bromocriptine delivery: Characterization and in vivo study. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2012, 80, 306–314, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2011.10.015.
15. Li, Y.; Angelova, A.; Hu, F.; Garamus, V.M.; Peng, C.; Li, N.; Liu, J.; Liu, D.; Zou, A. PH responsiveness of hexosomes and cubosomes for combined delivery of brucea javanica oil and doxorubicin. Langmuir 2019, 35, 14532–14542, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b02257.
16. Rizwan, S.B.; Assmus, D.; Boehnke, A.; Hanley, T.; Boyd, B.J.; Rades, T.; Hook, S. Preparation of phytantriol cubosomes by solvent precursor dilution for the delivery of protein vaccines. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2011, 79, 15–22, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2010.12.034.
17. Liu, G.; Conn, C.E.; Waddington, L.J.; Mudie, S.T.; Drummond, C.J. Colloidal amphiphile self-assembly particles composed of gadolinium oleate and Myverol: Evaluation as contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. Langmuir 2010, 26, 2383–2391, doi:10.1021/la902845j.
18. Tran, N.; Bye, N.; Moffat, B.A.; Wright, D.K.; Cuddihy, A.; Hinton, T.M.; Hawley, A.M.; Reynolds, N.P.; Waddington, L.J.; Mulet, X.; et al. Dual-modality NIRF-MRI cubosomes and hexosomes: High throughput formulation and in vivo biodistribution. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2017, 71, 584–593, doi:10.1016/j.msec.2016.10.028.
19. Caltagirone, C.; Falchi, A.M.; Lampis, S.; Lippolis, V.; Meli, V.; Mondruzzi, M.; Prodi, L.; Schmidt, J.; Sgarzi, M.; Talmon, Y.; et al. Cancer-cell-targeted theranostic cubosomes. Langmuir 2014, 30, 6228–6236, doi:10.1021/la501332u.

20. Meli, V.; Caltagirone, C.; Falchi, A.M.; Hyde, S.T.; Lippolis, V.; Mondruzzi, M.; Obiols-Rabasa, M.; Rosa, A.; Schmidt, J.; Talmon, Y.; et al. Docetaxel-loaded fluorescent liquid-crystalline nanoparticles for cancer theranostics. Langmuir 2015, 31, 9566–9575, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b02101.

21. Zhang, L.; Li, J.; Tian, D.; Sun, L.; Wang, X.; Tian, M. Theranostic combinatorial drug-loaded coated cubosomes for enhanced targeting and efficacy against cancer cells. Cell Death Dis. 2020, 11, 1–12, doi:10.1038/s41419-019-2182-4.

22. Akhlaghi, S.P.; Loh, W. Interactions and release of two paclitaxel peptides from phytantriol cubosomes. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2017, 117, 60–67, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2017.03.022.

23. Mansour, M.; Kamel, A.O.; Mansour, S.; Mortada, N.D. Novel polyglycerol-dioleate based cubosomal dispersion with tailored physical characteristics for controlled delivery of ondansetron. Colloids Surf. B BioInterfaces 2017, 156, 44–54, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.04.052.

24. Noor, A.H.; Ghareeb, M.M. Formulation and evaluation of ondansetron HCl nanoparticles for transdermal delivery. Iraqi J. Pharm. Sci. 2020, 29, 70–79, doi:10.31531/vol29iss2pp70-79.

25. Clemente, I.; Bonechi, C.; Rodolfi, L.; Bacia-Verloop, M.; Rossi, C.; Ristori, S. Lipids from algal biomass provide new (nonlamellar) nanostructures with high carrier potentiality for natural antioxidants. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2021, 158, 410–416, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2020.11.013.

26. Chong, J.Y.T.T.; Mulet, X.; Postma, A.; Keddie, D.J.; Waddington, L.J.; Boyd, B.J.; Drummond, C.J. Novel RAFT amphiphilic brush copolymer steric stabilisers for cubosomes: Poly(octadecyl acrylate)-block-poly(polyethylene glycol methyl ether acrylate). Soft Matter 2014, 10, 6666–6676, doi:10.1039/c4sm01064g.

27. Chong, J.Y.T.; Mulet, X.; Boyd, B.J.; Drummond, C.J. Steric Stabilizers for Cubic Phase Lyotropic Liquid Crystal Nanodispersions (Cubosomes), 1st ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2015; Volume 21.

28. Chountouloumi, M.; Perinelli, D.R.; Forys, A.; Bonacucina, G.; Tezebicka, B.; Pispas, S.; Demetzos, C. Liquid crystalline nanoparticles for drug delivery: The role of gradient and block copolymers on the morphology, internal organisation and release profile. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2020, 158, 21–34, doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2020.08.008.

29. Hamada, N.; Gakhar, S.; Longo, M.L. Hybrid lipid/block copolymer vesicles display broad phase coexistence region. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr. 2021, 1863, 183552, doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2021.183552.

30. Khan, S.; McCabe, J.; Hill, K.; Beales, P.A. Biodegradable hybrid block copolymer—Lipid vesicles as potential drug delivery systems. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2020, 562, 418–428, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2019.11.101.

31. Kulikarni, C.V.; Vishwapathi, V.K.; Quasarie, A.; Moinuddin, Z.; Page, J.; Kendrekar, P.; Mashele, S.S. Self-assembled liquid cubic phase and cubosomes for the delivery of aspirin as a model drug. Langmuir 2017, 33, 9907–9915, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b02486.

32. Zhai, J.; Hinton, T.M.; Waddington, L.J.; Fong, C.; Tran, N.; Mulet, X.; Drummond, C.J.; Muir, B.W. Lipid-PEG conjugates sterically stabilize and reduce the toxicity of pyrenyl-based lyotropic liquid crystalline nanoparticles. Langmuir 2015, 31, 10871–10880, doi:10.1021/acs.langmuir.5b02797.

33. Zhai, J.; Suryadinata, R.; Luan, B.; Tran, N.; Hinton, T.M.; Ratcliffe, J.; Hao, X.; Drummond, C.J. Amphiphilic brush polymers produced using the RAFT polymerisation method stabilise and reduce the cell cytotoxicity of lipid lyotropic liquid crystalline nanoparticles. Faraday Discuss. 2016, 191, 545–563, doi:10.1039/c6fd00039h.

34. Kluzek, M.; Tyler, A.I.; Wang, S.; Chen, R.; Marques, C.M.; Thalmann, F.; Seddon, J.M.; Schmutz, M. Influence of a pH-sensitive polymer on the structure of monoolein cubosomes. Soft Matter 2017, 13, 7571–7577, doi:10.1039/c7sm01620d.

35. Peng, X.; Wen, X.; Pan, X.; Wang, R.; Chen, B.; Wu, C. Design and in vitro evaluation of capsainic transdermal controlled release cubic phase gels. AAPS SciTech 2010, 11, 1405–1410, doi:10.1208/s12249-010-9481-1.

36. Gustafsson, J.; Ljusberg-Wahren, H.; Almgren, M.; Larsson, K. Submicron particles of reversed lipid phases in water stabilized by a nonionic amphiphilic polymer. Langmuir 1997, 13, 6964–6971, doi:10.1021/la970566+.

37. Da Dong, Y.; Larson, I.; Barnes, T.J.; Prestidge, C.A.; Allen, S.; Chen, X.; Roberts, C.J.; Boyd, B.J. Understanding the interfacial properties of nanostructured liquid crystalline materials for surface-specific delivery applications. Langmuir 2012, 28, 13485–13495, doi:10.1021/la303435g.

38. D’Souza, A.A.; Shegokar, R. Polyethylene Glycol (PEG): A versatile polymer for pharmaceutical applications. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2016, 13, 1257–1275, doi:10.1080/17425247.2016.1182485.

39. Pippa, N.; Kaditi, E.; Pispas, S.; Demetzos, C. PEO-b-PEC-LPPC chimeric nanocarriers: Self-assembly aspects in aqueous and biological media and drug incorporation. Soft Matter 2013, 9, 4073–4082, doi:10.1039/c3sm27447k.

40. Gou, M.; Wei, X.; Men, K.; Wang, B.; Luo, F.; Zhao, X.; Wei, Y.; Qian, Z. PCL/PEG copolymer nanoparticles: Potential nanoplatforms for anticancer agent delivery. Curr. Drug Targets 2011, 12, 1131–1150, doi:10.2174/138945011795906642.

41. Thomas, A.; Müller, S.S.; Frey, H. Beyond poly(ethylene glycol): Linear polyglycerol as a multifunctional polyether for biomedical and pharmaceutical applications. Biomacromolecules 2014, 15, 1935–1954.

42. Abuchowski, A.; McCoy, J.R.; Palczuk, N.C.; van Es, T.; Davis, F.F. Effect of covalent attachment of polyethylene glycol on immunogenicity and circulating life of bovine liver catalase. J. Biol. Chem. 1977, 252, 3582–3586, doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(17)40292-4.

43. Milton Harris, J.; Chess, R.B. Effect of pegylation on pharmaceuticals. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2003, 2, 214–221, doi:10.1038/nrd1033.
44. Kolote, A.; Baradia, D.; Patil, S.; Vhora, I.; Kore, G.; Misra, A. PEG—a versatile conjugating ligand for drugs and drug delivery systems. J. Control Release 2014, 192, 67–81, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.06.046.

45. Södergård, A.; Stolt, M. Industrial Production of High Molecular Weight Poly(lactic acid). In Poly(Lactic Acid): Synthesis, Structures, Properties, Processing, and Applications; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2010; pp. 27–41, doi:10.1002/9780470649484.ch3.

46. Tyler, B.; Gullotti, D.; Mangraviti, A.; Utsuki, T.; Brem, H. Polylactic Acid (PLA) controlled delivery carriers for biomedical applications. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2016, 107, 163–175, doi:10.1016/j.addr.2016.06.018.

47. Wang, J.; Li, S.; Han, Y.; Guan, J.; Chung, S.; Wang, C.; Li, D. Poly(ethylene glycol)-polylactide micelles for cancer therapy. Front. Pharmacol. 2018, 9, 1–15, doi:10.3389/fphar.2018.00202.

48. Carlotta, D. A literature review of polylactic acid (PLA). J. Polym. Environ. 2019, 9, 63–84.

49. De Queiroz, T.S.; Prado, R.F.; Aparecida, I.; De Brito, W.; De Oliveira, L.D.; Marotta, L.; De Vasconcellos, R.; Camargo, E.A. Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of PLA and PCL membranes on osteoblasts. Acta Sci. Dent 2019, 3, 55–59.

50. Fukushima, K.; Pratt, R.C.; Nederberg, F.; Tan, J.P.K.; Yang, Y.Y.; Waymouth, R.M.; Hedrick, J.L. Organocatalytic approach to amphiphilic comb-block copolymers capable of stereocomplexation and self-assembly. Biomacromolecules 2008, 9, 3051–3056, doi:10.1021/bm800526k.

51. Zhu, K.J.; Hendren, R.W.; Jensen, K.; Pitt, C.G. Synthesis, properties, and biodegradation of poly(1,3-trimethylene carbonate). Macromolecules 1991, 24, 1736–1740, doi:10.1021/ma00008a008.

52. Liu, Z.L.; Zhou, Y.; Zhuo, R.X. Synthesis and properties of functional aliphatic polycarbonates. J. Polym. Sci. Part. A Polym. Chem. 2003, 41, 4001–4006, doi:10.1002/pola.11001.

53. Keyroll, M.H.; Matsura, B.S.; Stephenson, C.R.J. Chemistry and biology of resveratrol-derived natural products. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 8976–9027, doi:10.1021/ct50069b.

54. Salehi, B.; Mishra, A.P.; Nimag, M.; Sener, B.; Kilic, M.; Sharifi-Rad, M.; Fokou, P.V.T.; Martins, N.; Sharifi-Rad, J. Resveratrol: A double-edged sword in health benefits. Biomolecules 2018, 8, 71–77, doi:10.3390/biomolecules8030091.

55. Nakano, M.; Sugita, A.; Matsuoka, H.; Handa, T. Small-angle X-ray scattering and 13C NMR investigation on the internal structure of “cubosomes”. Langmuir 2001, 17, 3917–3922, doi:10.1021/la010224a.

56. Akhlaghi, S.P.; Ribeiro, I.R.; Boyd, B.J.; Loh, W. Impact of preparation method and variables on the internal structure, morphology, and presence of liposomes in phytantriol-Pluronic® F127 cubosomes. Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces 2016, 145, 845–853, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2016.05.091.

57. Chong, J.Y.T.; Mulet, X.; Waddington, L.J.; Boyd, B.J.; Drummond, C.J. Steric stabilisation of self-assembled cubic lyotropic liquid crystalline nanoparticles: High throughput evaluation of trilbok polyeethylene oxide-polypropylene oxide-polyethylene oxide copolymers. Soft Matter 2011, 7, 4768–4777, doi:10.1039/c1sm05181d.

58. Rietveld, H.M. A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1969, 2, 65–71, doi:10.1107/s0021889869006588.

59. Rietveld, H.M. Line profile analysis of neutron diffraction peaks for structure refinement. Acta Crystallogr. 1967, 22, 151–152, doi:10.1107/s0021889867006588.

60. Pippa, N.; Merkourakis, M.; Pispas, S.; Demetzos, C. DPPC:MPOx chimeric advanced drug delivery nano systems (chi-ADNnSs): Physicochemical and structural characterization, stability and drug release studies. Int. J. Pharm. 2013, 450, 225–228, doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2013.03.052.

61. Pippa, N.; Dokoumetzidis, A.; Pispas, S.; Demetzos, C. The interplay between the rate of release from polymer grafted liposomes and their fractal morphology. Int. J. Pharm. 2014, 465, 63–69, doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.02.010.

62. Chong, J.Y.T.; Mulet, X.; Waddington, L.J.; Boyd, B.J.; Drummond, C.J. High-throughput discovery of novel steric stabilizers for cubic lyotropic liquid crystal nanoparticle dispersions. Langmuir 2012, 28, 9223–9232, doi:10.1021/la301874v.

63. Barauskas, J.; Misiunas, A.; Gunnarsson, T.; Tiberf, F.; Johnsson, M. “Sponge” nanoparticle dispersions in aqueous mixtures of diglycerol monooleate, glycerol dioleate, and polysorbate 80. Langmuir 2006, 22, 6328–6334, doi:10.1021/la060295f.

64. Barauskas, J.; Johnsson, M.; Tiberf, F. Self-assembled lipid superstructures: Beyond vesicles and liposomes. Nano Lett. 2005, 5, 1615–1619, doi:10.1021/nl050678i.

65. Chountouli, M.; Perinelli, D.R.; Pippa, N.; Chrystostomou, V.; Forys, A.; Otulakowski, L.; Bonacucina, G.; Trzebicka, B.; Pispas, S.; Demetzos, C. Physicochemical, morphological and thermal evaluation of lyotropic lipidic liquid crystalline nanoparticles: The effect of stimuli-responsive polymer stabilizer. Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 2020, 595, 124678, doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2020.124678.

66. Tilley, A.J.; Drummond, C.J.; Boyd, B.J. Disposition and association of the steric stabilizer Pluronic® F127 in lyotropic liquid crystalline nanostructured particle dispersions. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2013, 392, 288–296, doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2012.09.051.

67. Sagalowicz, L.; Michel, M.; Adrian, M.; Frossard, P.; Rouvet, M.; Watzeke, H.J.; Yaghmnr, A.; De Campo, L.; Glatter, O.; Leser, M.E. Crystallography of dispersed liquid crystalline phases studied by cryo-transmission electron microscopy. J. Microsc. 2006, 221, 110–121, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2818.2006.01544.x.

68. Badie, H.; Abbás, H. Novel small self-assembled resveratrol-bearing cubosomes and hexosomes: Preparation, charachterization, and ex vivo permeation. Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 2018, 44, 2013–2025, doi:10.1080/03639045.2018.1508220.

69. Elgindy, N.A.; Mehanna, M.M.; Mohyeldin, S.M. Self-assembled nano-architecture liquid crystalline particles as a promising carrier for progesterone transdermal delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 2016, 501, 167–179, doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.01.049.
70. Boyd, B.J.; Whittaker, D.V.; Khoo, S.M.; Davey, G. Lyotropic liquid crystalline phases formed from glycerate surfactants as sustained release drug delivery systems. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2006**, *309*, 218–226, doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2005.11.033.

71. Thorn, C.R.; Clulow, A.J.; Boyd, B.I.; Prestidge, C.A.; Thomas, N. Bacterial lipase triggers the release of antibiotics from digestible liquid crystal nanoparticles. *J. Control. Release* **2020**, *319*, 168–182, doi:10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.12.037.

72. Chen, H.; Fan, Y.; Zhang, N.; Trépout, S.; Ptissam, B.; Brület, A.; Tang, B.Z.; Li, M.H. Fluorescent polymer cubosomes and hexosomes with aggregation-induced emission. *Chem. Sci.* **2021**, *12*, 5495–5504, doi:10.1039/d1sc00270h.