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Abstract

Aims: The study had two aims: First, we assess the prevalence of resilience based on the person-based classification depicting a balance between the severity of trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Second, we examine the role of resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge in protecting children’s mental health from negative trauma impacts.

Methods: The participants were 386 Palestinian children and adolescents from Gaza (age 13.4±2.96, 52.07% boys and 47.93% girls). The results revealed a 25% prevalence of resilient children, and resilience was more common in well-educated families and children from geographical areas exposed to heavily Israeli shelling and destruction. Children were interviewed by sociodemographic scale, Gaza Traumatic Events Checklist, Child Depression and Anxiety Scale, UCLA PTSD Index for DSM-IV-Adolescent Version, and Resilience Attitude Scale.

Results: There were generally no gender differences in the exposure to traumatic events, as all. Neither were there gender differences in the mean number of traumatic events related to Israeli military violence or Palestinian factional fighting. According to the DSM-IV criterion, 12.4% of the children and adolescents reported probable PTSD, and 22.37% filled the two criteria partial PTSD, and 26.7% the one criteria partial PTSD (re-experiencing or avoidance or hyper arousal). More than a third (38.4% of the children did not have PTSD. There were no significant differences between boys and girls in PTSD. For depression and anxiety, boys and girls did not differ in the levels of PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms. Also only one marginal gender difference was found concerning resilience characteristics: girls reported more feelings of control than boys. The results revealed that 25.0% of the participants was classified as resilient indicating presence of high exposure to traumatic events and absence of PTSD and 22.2% as traumatized, i.e., presence of both high exposure to trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Of the children 12.7% were classified as vulnerable, and 40.1% were spared of both high trauma and PTSD. As hypothesized, resilience characteristics protected children’s mental health from trauma, e.g., military trauma was less associated with PTSD and anxiety among children showing high commitment. Discussion focuses on specific functions of resilience in the context of different kind of traumatic events of war, political and military violence.
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Introduction

In conditions of war and military violence, children need remarkable resources, strengths and invincibility to guarantee their basic adjustment and healthy development. Resilience is conceptualized as a capacity to return into normal functioning or even blossom after severe trauma. Resilience also refers to the absence of psychiatric or psychosocial problems and presence of developmental competences [1-3].

There is a discussion whether resilience or traumatisation are the norm in life-endangering conditions of war and terrorism [4]. Empirically neither resilience nor excessive psychiatric disorders is the most prevalent among trauma surviving children. Instead, they show various ways of recovery, and attempts to cope and protect their well-being and psychological integrity. A majority of children shows excessive fears and posttraumatic symptoms (PTSD) and cling to parents in acute life danger, but most of them gradually recover if psychological and social resources are available [5,6]. It is thus important to know about child- and environment-related factors that contribute to the resilience. The present study analyses resilience prevalence and function among Palestinian children who lived in conditions of military violence and siege in Gaza.

Children’s resilience has been conceptualized and assessed in three different ways. First, the classic definition of resilience suggests a person-based classification or typology depicting the balance between the severity of trauma and emergence of psychiatric disorder [3]. In addition to identifying resilient individuals, i.e., those with high exposure to trauma and high competence, the classification provides also prevalence of children who are traumatized (both severe trauma and severe disorders), vulnerable (high level of disorders without trauma exposure) and spared (saved from both trauma and disorders). We could find two studies reporting the prevalence of children’s resilience based on the person-related classification. A follow-up study in American families with chronic stress and adversities documented the prevalence of 22% of resilient children, and 7% vulnerable, 13% traumatized and 58% spared children [7]. Interestingly, similar
prevalence of resilience (21%) was found among Palestinian children in conditions of military occupation, whereas 23% were classified as both traumatized and vulnerable, and a third was spared from both military trauma and psychological disorders [8].

Second, a variable-based approach to resilience seeks for children’s positive experiences, resources and competence. The beneficial issues can be available in family, school and society, characterize children themselves [9,10]. There is augmenting evidence that supportive parent-child relations [11,12]. Encouraging school atmosphere and high academic achievements, and supportive societies and respect for children’s rights contribute to resilience [13,14].

High self-esteem, creativity, commitment and strong believes in one’s ability to cope with hardships are commonly found among resilient children [13,15]. Research further suggest that resilient children are curious, prosocial and able and willing to create novel, flexible solutions for problems [7,11]. Commitment and thrust in oneself and others make it possible to plan for future and share aspirations with others. Moreover, resilient children are able adequately to process stressful and traumatic experiences and seek for realistic causal explanations, without being overwhelmed by guilt and fear [16]. The feeling of control, whether real or illusory can be decisive for the recovery among children struggling to overcome traumatic experiences [17]. Ability to control and regulate traumatic memories forms a protective shield against PTSD and other trauma-related psychiatric distress [18,19].

Finally, there are some hypotheses that children’s temperament such as novelty seeking, high stimulus threshold and easy attenuating of arousal would be one of the secrets of resilience [20,21]. This means that some children experience traumatic events and even adversities as a challenge, which in turn indicates that resilience can depend on the goodness of fit between individual needs and environmental demands.

Aims of the Study

This study applies both person- and variable-based concepts of resilience among children living in life-endangering conditions and being personally exposed to military violence. First, we assess the prevalence of resilience based on the person-based classification depicting a balance between the severity of trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Second, we examine the role of resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge in protecting children’s mental health from negative trauma impacts. We hypothesize that traumatic events of military violence and fighting do not associate with PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms among children who show high levels of these three resilience characteristics.

Method

Participants and procedure

This was descriptive analytic study. The participants were 386 Palestinian boys (52.07%) and girls (47.93%) living in Gaza Strip. They were 8-18 years old (M=13.41 ± 2.96), and there were no gender differences according to age F(1,384)=0.42, p=ns.). The original sample consisted of 400 children, and the drop out was thus 3.50% consisting of 14 children who did not complete the questionnaires during the interviews in their homes in Gaza Strip. The sample selection was done using EPI 6 program for sampling process.

The sample was randomly selected from the population census representing the five areas of the Gaza Strip and including two-parent families. In selecting the children each area was divided into blocks and from each block one street was chosen, and from each street every 15th home was approached for the data collection. The field work was conducted by professionals who had experiences in working with children and families. They were 5 social workers, 4 psychologist, 2 nurses, and 3 physicians working in Gaza Community Mental Health Program (GCMPH). They were trained for research and data collection, and they visited the families according to prepared lists of number of children selected to the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the responsible body in the Ministry of Health in Gaza Strip. The field workers presented an information letter to the parents, and if agreed, they obtained a written permission for their children’s participation. Children were interviewed individually in their homes and each interview lasted approximately 30 minutes. The interviewers informed children that there was no right or wrong answers, provided guidance in filling-up the scales. Children and parents were also informed that that they were free to withdraw from the study at any time. Sociodemographic data was collected from mothers, while exposure to trauma, PTSD, anxiety, resilience was collected through face to face interview with children. The exclusion criteria include children with disability, mental retardation, and diagnosed psychiatric disorders. The data collection was done between March and April 2008.

Instruments

Sociodemographic information was collected from parents and information about traumatic events, mental health and resilience from children themselves. Sociodemographic characteristics include sex, age, and place of residence, and education of the family head.

Traumatic events were assessed by two check lists that reflected the nature of violence, trauma and losses during the two-year of Israeli siege of the Gaza strip. First, Israeli military violence was assessed by the Gaza Traumatic Events Checklist for Israeli Violence [24] consisting of 28 items covering three domains of events typical for the Israeli siege: (1) witnessing personally acts of violence (e.g., killing of relatives, home demolition, bombardment, and injuries), (2) having experiences of loss, injury and destruction in family and other close persons, and (3) being personally the target of violence (e.g., being shot, injured, or beaten by the soldiers). Second, Palestinian factional fighting was assessed by the Gaza Traumatic Events Checklist for Fancional Fighting consisting of 20 items covering similar domains of traumatic events due to the regional conflict between Fatah and Hamas faction fighting in the Gaza Strip in June 2007. The content of traumatic events related to Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting are shown in Table 1. In both checklists children were asked whether they had been exposed to each of these events: 0 “no” 1 “yes” during the last 12 months. Gaza Traumatic Events Checklist for Israeli Violence Cronbach’s alpha was 0.88 and 0.91 for Gaza Traumatic Events Checklist for Fancional Fighting.

Separate sum variables were constructed by accounting the occurrences of the traumatic events for Israeli military violence (ranging between 0-28), Palestinian factional fighting (0-20), and total traumatic events (3-48). To construct the 2 x 2 resilience classification,
the total traumatic events –variable was dichotomized to low (2-5 events) and high (6-18 events) levels. It would have been ideal to construct a dichotomized variable indicating zero-trauma vs. yes-trauma, but there were only 2 children who had not reported exposure to traumatic events. The cut-off points were decided according to empirical statistics and earlier knowledge about cumulative trauma exposure in war conditions [25].

PTSD-symptoms were measured by the UCLA PTSD Index for DSM-IV-Adolescent Version [26]. The scale comprises 22 self-report items based on DSM-IV PTSD symptom criteria: re-experiencing symptoms (e.g., "I act or feel like it is happening all over again"), avoidance symptoms (e.g., "I have trouble remembering important parts of what happened"), and hyperarousal symptoms (e.g., "I watch out for danger or things I’m afraid of"). Children were asked to indicate the frequency of symptoms experienced on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (never) to 4 (most of the time). A PTSD symptom severity scale was computed as the sum of the responses to the 20 (of 22) items and categorical prevalence score. The UCLA PTSD Index has been widely used among children and adolescents in the Middle East and elsewhere [27-30]. The original English version of the PTSD scale had Cronbach’s β of .90, and good to excellent test-retest reliability [31]. The reliability of our Arabic version of the PTSD scale Cronbach’s in this study α=0.89; split half=0.82.

Child Depression and Anxiety Scale The scale consists of 25 items of depressive and anxious symptoms. Depression items were drawn from the self-report scale of the Children’s Depression Inventory, and anxiety items form the Revised-Children’s Manifest Anxiety Scale [32,33]. Children were instructed to estimate whether they had had the symptom during the last month. No (0) or Yes (1). Sum variables were constructed to indicate children’s depressive symptoms including somatic dimensions (13 items, e.g., “I feel sadness when waking up in the morning”; I do not have appetite for food), anxiety and fears (12 items, e.g., “I am worried about many things”; “I am afraid of unknown”). The internal consistency were good for the Arabic version of the total score (Cronbach’s alpha=0.77; split half=0.74) and depressive symptoms symptoms (Cronbach’s α=72), but not for anxiety symptoms (Cronbach’s α=0.65).

Resilient attitudes were measured by The Resilience Attitude Scale by (Mekhaemer, 2002) [34]. The scale contains 47 items covering the resilience characteristics of commitment (items: 1,4,7,10,16,19,22,25 28,31,34,37,40,43,46), control (items: 2,5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26,29,32,35,3 8,41,44), and willingness to take challenges (items: 3,6,9,12,15,18,21,24, 7,30,33,36,39,42,45,47).

Children were instructed to evaluate on a three-point scale how well the feelings and thoughts describe theirs: not at all (0), to some extent (1), and very well (2). The total score ranges from 0-141, with higher score reflecting greater resilience. Three subscales were constructed: Commitment (16 items, e.g., “I care much for problems and things that happen around me”; “I care for all possible initiative that may help my family and community”, Control (14 items, e.g., “I think luck and accidents play major role in my life”; “I think people’s life is influenced by external forces that they cannot control”) and Challenge (17 items, e.g., “I am curious to know the unknown”; “When I have solved one problem, I enjoy moving into to solving another one”. The Resilience attitudes -scale has been validated in Arabic culture in Egypt [34] and in Gaza Strip, and has been found reliable among Palestinian children in Gaza Strip (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.84 and split half=0.84) [35,36]. In this study the internal consistencies were good for Commitment (Cronbach’s α=0.80). However, they were not satisfactory for Control (Cronbach’s α=0.64) and Willingness to take challenges (Cronbach’s α=0.60) scales.

Resiliency classification was constructed as a balance between the severity of trauma exposure and PTSD. The cross tabulation of the 10 dichotomized total sum of traumatic events and PTSD classification (0=no PTSD;1=partial and full-blown) resulted in four groups: Resilient children (high level of traumatic events and absence of PTSD), Vulnerable children (low level of traumatic events and presence of PTSD), Traumatized children (high level of traumatic events and presence of PTSD), and Spared children (low level of traumatic events and absence of PTSD). Resiliency classification was constructed as a balance between the severity of trauma exposure and PTSD. The cross tabulation of the dichotomized total sum of traumatic events and PTSD classification (0=no PTSD;=partial and full-blown) resulted in four groups: Resilient children (high level of traumatic events and absence of PTSD), Vulnerable children (low level of traumatic events and presence of PTSD), Traumatized children (high level of traumatic events and presence of PTSD), and Spared children (low level of traumatic events and absence of PTSD).

Statistics analyses

Cross table s with Pearson χ²-statistics were applied to analyse the associations between demographic factors and psychiatric symptoms, and gender differences in exposure to traumatic events. The independent variable for this study was the traumatic events and dependent variables were PTSD, Depression, Anxiety, and resilience. T-tests were applied to analyse gender differences in psychiatric symptoms. To analyse the protective role of resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge, we used hierarchial multiple regression analyses with main and interaction effects. The estimated main effects indicate direct associations between resilience characteristics and psychiatric symptoms, and trauma X resilience characteristics-interaction effects the protective power of commitment, control and challenge in attenuating the links between trauma and symptoms. The dependent variables were PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms. In the Step I, demographic factors were entered, in the Step II, the two variables of traumatic events (Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting) were entered, followed by resilience characteristics at Step III. In the Step IV, the interaction terms between Israeli military violence and resilience characteristics, and in the Step V, the corresponding interactions between the Palestinian factional fighting and resilience characteristics were entered. All variables used in the construction of the interaction terms were first centered (the score-mean-value) in order to avoid multicollinearity between predictor variables [37].

Results

Descriptive statistics

The participating children and adolescents presented the relative share of residents in the five areas in the Gaza Strip. More than a third (36.79%) was from Gaza City, a fifth (20.73%) from the other large city, Khan Younis, and 16.58% from the North and 13.99% from the Middle area of Gaza. Southern area, Rafah was presented by 11.92%. About a half (57.25%) of the participants lived in cities, 32.64% in refugee camps and 10.10% in villages. Three quarters (73.58%) of them were offspring of 1948 refugees from the historical Palestine and 26.42% were Gaza citizens. These distributions correspond with general population census in the Gaza Strip [37].
Table 1 presents the percentages of the traumatic events of Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting. Of the military violence, the most common traumas were the sonic boom sounds caused by jetfighters (90%), hearing of shelling by artillery (87%) and witnessing assassination of close people by targeted rockets (85%). Of the factional fighting, the most common traumas were hearing of shooting and gunfire in the streets (87%) and deprivation of water or electricity (55%). There were generally no gender differences in the exposure to traumatic events, as all $\beta$-values were non-significant.

Neither were there gender differences in the mean number of traumatic events related to Israeli military violence \( [\text{boys } M=9.96, \text{SD}=6.19; \text{girls } M=10.43, \text{SD}=6.65; t (384)=0.72, p=.47] \) or Palestinian factional fighting \( [\text{boys } M=7.17, \text{SD}=4.7; \text{girls } M=7.70, \text{SD}=4.95; t (384)=1.06, p=.29] \).

According to the DSM-IV criterion, 12.4% \((n=48)\) of the children and adolescents reported probable PTSD, and 22.37% \((n=86)\) filled the two criteria partial PTSD, and 26.7% \((n=103)\) the one criteria partial PTSD \((\text{re-experiencing or avoidance or hyperarousal})\). More than a third \((38.4%, n=149)\) of the children did not have PTSD. There were no significant differences between boys and girls in PTSD \( (\chi^2=4.70, p=\text{ns}, N=386)\) or in PTSD symptoms. Table 2 shows that boys and girls did not differ in the levels of PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms. Also only one marginal gender difference was found concerning resilience characteristics: girls reported more feelings of control than boys.

The prevalence and demographic characteristics of resilient children

The results revealed that 25.0% of the participants was classified as resilient indicating presence of high exposure to traumatic events and absence of PTSD and 22.2% as traumatized, i.e., presence of both high exposure to trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Of the children 12.7% were classified as vulnerable, and 40.1% were spared of both high trauma and PTSD.

Table 3 illustrates how the resilience classification varies according to the demographic factors. Family’s high education was associated with high prevalence of resilient children. There were over twice as much resilient children \((62.5\%)\) in families where father had a university education than in families with lower educational level \((26-28\%)\). Prevalence of resilience differed also between Gaza areas, being highest in the North Gaza \((33\%)\) and Khan Yunion \((32.5\%)\), and lowest in the Middle area \((15\%)\). The distribution of the resilience prevalence according to the place of residence is in Figure 1, illustrating that the share of resilient children was lower in refugee camps than in towns and villages. Yet, in villages there were less traumatized children than in camps and towns.

Resiliency characteristics and mental health

Regression analyses about the protective mental health function of resiliency characteristics are presented in Table 4. The models were significant for PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms, explaining, however, only 10-16% of the variation. As hypothesized, the resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge could protect children from psychiatric symptoms when exposed to Israeli military violence. The protective function was not valid when exposed to Palestinian factional fighting. The model of Israeli military violence X resilience characteristics significantly contributed to PTSD \((F\text{-change in } R^2 (3,374)=3.55, p<0.01), \text{depressiveness } (F\text{-change in } R^2 (3,374)=3.02, p<0.03), \text{and anxiety } (F\text{-change in } R^2 (3,369) = 2.91, p<0.035). Significant $\beta$-values indicate that exposure to Israeli military violence was less associated with PTSD \((\beta=0.13, t=2.34, p<0.05)\) and anxiety \((\beta=-0.16, t=-2.55, p<0.01)\) among children reporting high levels of commitment. Further, exposure to Israeli military violence was relatively less associated PTSD symptoms \((\beta=-0.12, t=2.28, p<0.05)\) when showing high level of control, and with depressive symptoms \((\beta=-0.15, t=2.43, p<0.02)\) when children show high challenge. As an example, Figure 2 illustrates the protective factor of commitment on PTSD symptoms.
### Table 3: Resilience-classification according to demographic variables (%)

| Place of residency   | Spared children | Resilient children | Vulnerable children | Traumatized children |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Area in the Gaza strip* |                 |                    |                     |                      |
| North Gaza           | 32.8            | 32.8               | 21                  | 21                   |
| Gaza City            | 40.8            | 24.6               | 35                  | 12.7                 |
| Middle area          | 48.1            | 14.8               | 8                   | 24.1                 |
| Khan Yunis           | 26.3            | 32.5               | 26                  | 11.3                 |
| Rafah                | 56.5            | 21.7               | 10                  | 4.3                  |
| Place of residency   |                 |                    |                     |                      |
| Town                 | 38.9            | 28.1               | 62                  | 26                   |
| Refugee camp         | 42.9            | 20.6               | 26                  | 15.9                 |
| Village              | 30.8            | 30.8               | 12                  | 10.3                 |

Note: Sample sizes differ due to missing value, *, p<0.05, **p<0.01; N=386

Figure 1: Distribution of resilience classification according to place of residency.

#### Discussion

This study applies both person- and variable-based concepts of resilience among children living in life-endangering conditions and being personally exposed to military violence. First, we assess the prevalence of resilience based on the person-based classification depicting a balance between the severity of trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Second, we examine the role of resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge in protecting children’s mental health from negative trauma impacts.

This study showed that the most common traumas were the sonic boom sounds caused by jetfighters (90%), hearing of shelling by artillery (87%) and witnessing assassination of close people by targeted rockets (85%). Of the factional fighting, the most common traumas were hearing of shooting and gunfire in the streets (87%) and deprivation of water or electricity (55%). There were generally no gender differences in the exposure to traumatic events. Neither were there gender differences in the mean number of traumatic events related to Israeli military or Palestinian factional fighting. Such results were inconsistent with previous studies in the area in which boys reported more traumatic events than girls [24].

According to the DSM-IV criterion, 12.4% of the children and adolescents reported probable PTSD, and 22.37% filled the two criteria partial PTSD, and 26.7% the one criteria partial PTSD (reexperiencing or avoidance or hyper arousal). More than a third (38.4%) of the children did not have PTSD. There were no significant differences between boys and girls in PTSD or in PTSD symptoms.

The results revealed that 25.0% of the participants was classified as resilient indicating presence of high exposure to traumatic events and absence of PTSD and 22.2% as traumatized, i.e., presence of both high exposure to trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Of the children violence were associated with high level of depressive symptoms, and young age with anxiety symptoms.

#### Symptoms

The interaction effects between resilience characteristics and factional fighting on mental health were all non-significant, thus indicating that the moderating effects of commitment, control and challenge were trauma-specific.

Main effect results revealed that only Israeli military violence was significantly associated with increased levels of PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms, whereas exposure to the Palestinian factional fighting was not. Interestingly, there were no significant main effect of resilience characteristics on psychiatric symptoms, although the model was significant for anxiety (F-change in R²(3,372)=3.12, p<.03). However, the βfor commitment, control and challenge did not reach significance. Main effects further specified that PTSD symptoms were most likely among young children from low education families, exposed to severe Israeli military violence. Low family education and military education were associated with high level of depressive symptoms, and young age with anxiety symptoms.
12.7% were classified as vulnerable, and 40.1% were spared of both high trauma and PTSD.

Family’s high education was associated with high prevalence of resilient children. There were over twice as much resilient children (62.5%) in families where father had a university education than in families with lower educational level (26-28%). Prevalence of resilience differed also between Gaza areas, being highest in the North Gaza (33%) and Khan Yusonis (32.5%), and lowest in the Middle area (15%).

The models were significant for PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms. Explaining, however, only 10-16% of the variation. The resilience characteristics of commitment control and challenge could protect children from psychiatric symptoms when exposed to Israeli military violence. The protective function was not valid when exposed to Palestinian factional fighting. The model of Israeli military violence X resilience characteristics significantly contributed to PTSD), depressiveness, and anxiety. Significant, that exposure to Israeli military violence was less associated with PTSD and anxiety among children reporting high levels of commitment. Further, exposure to Israeli military violence was relatively less associated PTSD symptoms when showing high level of control, and with depressive symptoms when children show high challenge. Main effect results revealed that only Israeli military violence was significantly associated with increased levels of PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms, whereas exposure to the Palestinian factional fighting was not. Interestingly, there was no significant main effect of resilience characteristics on psychiatric symptoms, although the model was significant for anxiety. However, values for commitment control and challenge did not reach significance. Main effects further specified that PTSD symptoms were most likely among young children from low education families, exposed to severe Israeli military violence. Low family education and military violence were associated with high level of depressive symptoms, and young age with anxiety symptoms.

Most parents agree that children should be protected from war and military violence, and yet, the very habit of us adults is to solve political problems by military means. The fight between the militarily strong and technically superior Israeli army and Palestinian resistance in Gaza and West-Bank provides an example of the modern wars, characterized by excessive civilian exposure and children suffering. In this study we observed children’s trauma experiences from an optimistic and more positive angle by evaluating the prevalence and function of resilience.

Most reviews on child mental health in conditions of war, terrorist attacks and disasters note that children show ‘amazing’ level of resilience [38,39]. Yet, the conclusion is predominantly based on research results showing a lack of expected psychiatric symptoms or absence
of correlations between severity of trauma and PTSD. Our results revealed that about a quarter of Palestinian children were resilient when assessed through a balance between the exposure to severe trauma and occurrence of PTSD. Interestingly enough, the prevalence is very similar to that assessed in a random sample of Palestinian children after the First Intifada [8]. Also the share of traumatized children was similar, around 20%, in the earlier and current study. In the First Intifada sample, a third of children were spared from both severe trauma and psychiatric symptoms, which is slightly less than in the current study (40%). It corresponds with historical change of Israeli occupation forces leaving Gaza gradually after the First Intifada. Although shelling, targeted killing and siege continued, families were not daily exposed to occupation soldiers.

The long-lasting Israeli-Palestinian conflict about land and self-determination includes also two occasions of Palestinian 'civil war' of factional fighting. After the 1982 Lebanon war and Sabra and Shatilla massacres, fighting broke between the PLO and dissident Palestinian forces in the Tripoli refugee camps in Northern Lebanon. The context of our study was the aftermath of the second Palestinian factional fighting that took place between the Islamist Hamas and Fatah forces in the Gaza strip in June 2007. Our study is unique as it allows analysing how similar traumatic events due to either enemy army or own national groups associate with children’s mental health.

There is an intuitive belief that it is psychologically easier to suffer from ‘enemy fire’ than to be victimized by one’s own people. The meaning making process is vital in war conditions, and traumatization in the context of fighting against the oppressors can be considered heroic and worth of social support. Our results showed, however, the opposite. The experiences related to the Israeli military actions in Gaza were associated with increased PTSD and depressive and anxiety symptoms, whereas traumatic events due to the Palestinian factional fighting were not. To some extent, children reported similar trauma due to both fights, e.g., loss of close persons and witnessing killing of friends. Yet, the duration of factional fighting was few months as compared to accumulated Israeli shelling, bombardment and destruction of residential areas. However, the time window for reporting the personal exposure to violence was the same, one year. We would need more information about children’s making sense of different actions of military violence. The study setting could have provided opportunity to assess perceptions of the same traumatic event in two politically different contexts, that of civil war and enemy fighting. We deserve criticism for missing this opportunity and for the absence of analyses about appraisals and meanings of different trauma.

The phenomenon of resilience involves positive and resourceful capacities, and should not be limited only to lack of symptoms. Accordingly, we conceptualized resilience also as commitment, ability to control and manage and enjoy challenges, and hypothesized that these positive resilience resources would protect children’s mental health from negative trauma impacts. The hypothesis was substantiated considering PTSD, depressive and anxiety symptoms. The context of trauma was decisive for the effectiveness of resilience characteristics of commitment, control and challenge in protecting children from trauma. Their protective functioning was present only when children were exposed to Israeli military trauma. The reason may lie in the very nature of studied resilience characteristics. Research suggests that ideological commitment, especially in adolescence can protect from mental health problems in war [40]. Commitment and control are resilience characteristics that connate ideological aspects of endurance and sacrifice in the context of national struggle. One may argue that when traumatic events were caused by own Palestinian people, although in the atmosphere of executed hatred and life threat, children and adolescents did not respond to them by stronger ideological commitment. Instead, there is some evidence that this intensified national commitment is true when personally suffering from enemy violence. Palestinian adolescents who were severely traumatized by witnessed the enemy killing friends or siblings more readily liked to join suicide bombing missions [41].

Methodological Issues

Our assessment of trauma cover events of physical violence targeted to the child (e.g., bullet wounds), witnessing violence towards a close person (e.g., witnessing killing and wounding of family member or friend), and material losses (e.g., damage to property). The score does not differentiate their relative severity, which serves criticism. We admit that witnessing a killing of a friend by enemy soldiers may signify specific age-salient pain and cannot be equated by the memory of witnessing artillery. However, majority of the assessed events of Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting is highly severe and can negative impact child development [42].

Further criticism of the study relates to the conceptualizing resilience in individual terms and concentrating on child resilience characteristics. There are well-argued demands to comprise feature of family and society that enhance resilience [43] and extend the child characteristics into underlying cognitive, emotional, social and psychophysiological processes that explain the occurrence of resilience in life endangering conditions [44].

The person-based conceptualization of resilience as a typology between the trauma-disorder-balance is not without problems in a sample where we cannot find children with zero-exposure to trauma. Luckily there were enough cases to use non-PTSD group as a cutting point. The choice of the trauma cut-off points is open to criticism as we used empirical results of the accumulation of trauma as a criterion. Also for the reason of parsimony we combined traumatic events related to the Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting. The choice can be criticized because our results argue for distinctive nature of trauma by revealing that the source of military violence was decisive for the protective function of resilience.

Clinical Implication

This study highlight the impact of political violence due to Israeli military violence and Palestinian factional fighting on Palestinian children mental health and resilience. Children reported PTSD, depression, and anxiety symptoms and they tried to use many ways to cover such impact. Family’s high education was associated with high prevalence of resilient children. Resilient was more in children where father had a university education, resilient children was lower in refugee camps than in towns and villages. Such findings increase the needs for enhancing community centres activities to deal children mental health problems by introducing new programs of using art, psychotherapy, Cognitive behaviour therapy for traumatized people. Also, increase programs which targeting parents and children and increasing their resilience in face of stress and trauma. Also, training courses for professionals working in different organization on early detection of children with mental health problems and management of such problems.

Our study may have some contribution to the field of research in findings other factors which may increase resilience in children such as...
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