Weed Species Diversity, Distribution and Infestation Trend in Small Scale Irrigated Vegetable Production Area of Mid-Rift-Valley of Ethiopia

Abstract
The gradual worsening of crop pests in mid rift valley of Ethiopia is among the major bottlenecks of vegetable production and productivity. This study was to reveal the contribution of weeds in complicating the pest control in irrigated vegetable production area of Ethiopian. The study was carried out in five districts of East Shewa Zone of mid-Rift valley of Ethiopia (Adami Tulu, Dugda, Liben Chukala, Bora and Lume) known by their high potential irrigated vegetable cultivation. A quadrat sampling and group and individual discussions were used as a tool of data collection. Abundance (A), Dominancy (D), Frequency (F) and Importance Value (IV) and their corresponding Relative value of the species were calculated from the species count and cover data collected. The assessment result showed that 27 weed families comprised 78 weed species were identified. Asteraceae, Poaceae, Amaranthaceae, Chenopodaceae and Solanaceae were found the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 5th important weed families comprising a total of 17, 14, 5 and 5 species respectively. Information obtained from farmer’s interview noted that some weeds which scored low importance value (IV) were found important in influencing the livelihood of the community in one way or another. Amaranthus spinosus, Tribulus cistoides, Euphorbia schimperian, Sorghum arundinacium, Acanthospermum hispidum, Amaranthus hybridus, Parthenium hysterophorus, Snowdenia polystachya and Xanthium spinosum were categorized as weeds known by poisoning livestock in the area. Foeniculum vulgare, Orobanche minor, Portthenium hysterophorus and Portulaca oleracea were categorized among weeds difficult to control using herbicide. Portulaca oleracea, Argemone mexicana, Orobanche minor, Chenopodium murale, Verbesina enceloides, Parthenium hysterophorus, Flaveria trinervia and Lanneaentibacea were listed among weed species currently highly invading the area. Nicandra physaloides, Xanthium strumarium, Parthenium hysterophorus, Datura stramonium and Eracstrumdyssicinicum were marked as weeds serving as alternate host for either insects or disease of vegetables. Limited use of crop rotation and herbicide in vegetable fields, unavailability of species-specific herbicides, poor field sanitation and fragmented landholding system of the area as a whole were observed as a driving force for the ever expansion of weed invasion in the area.
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Introduction
Mid rift valley of east Shewa, Ethiopia is among the potential commercial irrigated intensive vegetable production areas [1] supplying a variety of vegetables to every corners of the country. Onion, tomato, maize, kale, hot pepper, watermelon, green bean and head cabbage are among the major vegetable crops widely produced for national and local markets. Okra, cucumber and sweet melon are also cultivated on small plots. This created a potential job opportunity that result in drifting of job seekers to the area from all parts of the country.

The gradual worsening of crop pests (disease, insect and weed) is becoming the major bottlenecks of vegetable production and productivity [2] in mid rift valley of Ethiopia. The same author explained as chemical pesticides used to protect pests are predominantly exalting the production cost. Producers have been applying high shower of pesticides with high frequency [3] and above the recommended dose to overcome the problem; which resulted in accelerated prevalence and severity of pests. This came from application of pesticides without adequate knowledge of the pest and the identity of the pesticide [4]. Furthermore the practice resulted in ineffective pest control using pesticide due to development of resistance and decimated natural controlling factors.

Although most farmers give less concern for the negative impact that weeds impose on their crop, study results indicated as weeds share up to 45% of the total annual losses of agricultural products [5]. Currently weeds are playing significant role in making pest problems very complex. Weeds can play a beneficial role by harboring insect natural enemies and pollinators; however the consequences resulting from weeds harboring crop pests largely outweighs the benefits they potentially provide. Palumbo
[6] noted that weed species found in and around vegetable crops can serve as host plants to many disease and insect pests that can later infest nearby crops.

Therefore bringing importance of weeds contribution in complicating the control of crop pests to attention of every concerned body was found vital. Maynard & Hochmuth [7] indicated that accurate identification of the particular weed species is the primary step to control the problems weed impose on agriculture. In addition identification of the existing weed flora helps to manage the balance between crop and non-crop vegetation and then maintain the natural enemies of crop pests [8]. Hence, in this paper the important weed species of the area identified and categorized based on their importance, invasion trend of each weed species, factors supporting weed invasion and the contribution of weeds which so far got insignificant attention were well elucidated.

Materials and Methods

Study area description

Mid rift valley of Oromia is the part of Great Rift Valley with high potential irrigation based agriculture. Especially, east Shewa zone is known with available water sources for irrigation. Both surface and underground water sources are suitable for irrigation [3]. The area of irrigation based crop cultivation is increasing from year to year. The very erratic nature of rain fall of the area made irrigation based crop cultivation very preferable. The potential irrigation lands were owned majorly by the irrigation land using farmers’ association and large scale private investors. There are also a few individual farmers engaged on using their own irrigation land. Most of the lands owned by the individual farmers and farmers association have been transferred to small scale investors through contractual agreement for one year to several years due to the rise of production cost that small scale farmers can’t afford. This approach made the crop pest and soil fertility management very complicated. Especially those producers producing on land of short period contractual agreement were found solely giving priority for productivity of that season leaving aside the issue of pest resistance development and soil fertility degradation. This is in line with Yung et al. [9] investigation that absentee land owners were well elucidated.

Methods

The study was carried out in five districts of East Shewa Zones of mid-Rift valley of Ethiopia (Adami Tulu, Dugda, Liben Chukala, Bora and Lume) known by their high potential of irrigated farming. Small scale vegetable production areas were purposively selected. In each irrigation watershed representative sample farmers’ fields were visited. A quadrate of 1m * 1m was thrown randomly. The number of quadrate varies in such a way it can represent the irrigation watershed. A total of 113 sample quadrates were considered. Although water hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes) weed has been common in the study area, since it was only limited to water body it was not considered in this study. Volunteer crop species were also not considered as weed in this study. All weed species fall in a quadrate were counted per specie and registered. Different weed specie observed outside the quadrate also recorded. Land cover estimate was taken for every species separately. Voucher specimens were collected, coded, pressed and taken to Addis Ababa University National Herbarium and identified to species level following Flora of Ethiopia and Eritrea volumes.

Information about the field management and problems related to weed were collected from the field workers/managers through group discussion based on a checklist prepared. The status of the crop, the weed available, the type of infestation, the infestation level, the level of farmers/workers knowledge about the problem of weed and the control options used were collected. The collected data were summarized using descriptive statistics. Abundance (A), Dominancy (D), Frequency (F) and Importance Value (IV) and their corresponding Relative values of the species were calculated. Importance value (IV) of each species recorded was computed following Shabbir and Bajwa (2006). IV is equal to the sum of the relative Abundance (RA), Relative Dominance (RD) and relative frequency (RF) of species in the stand.

\[
RA\% = \frac{\text{Absolute density for a given specie}}{\text{Total absolute density for all specie}} \times 100
\]

\[
RD\% = \frac{\text{Absolute dominancy for a given specie}}{\text{Total absolute dominancy for all specie}} \times 100
\]

\[
RF\% = \frac{\text{Absolute frequency value for a specie}}{\text{Total absolute frequency for all specie}} \times 100
\]

\[
IV = RA\% + RD\% + RF\%
\]

Result and Discussion

Diversity of weeds

With the assessment made in irrigated farms of five districts of east Shewa zone (Adami Tulu, Dugda, Liben Chukala, Bora and Lume) 78 weed species comprised in 27plant families were identified. The study result was in line with Eshetu Bekele et al. [10] investigation that the weed species were composed of a wide range of perennial and annual grasses and broad leaved weeds, sedges, parasitic and invasive weed species. Asteraceae (15 spp.), Poaceae (14 spp.), Solonaceae (6 spp.), Chenopodaceae (5 spp.), Amaranthaceae (5 spp.) and Fabaceae (5 spp.) were found the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th abundant weed families respectively (Table...
Weeds in family Fabaceae were found richer than Polygonaceae, Brassicaceae and Convulvulaceae in species number but got lesser area coverage. Nicandra physaloides (RF = 73%), Galinsoga parviflora (RF = 64%), Cyperus rotundus (RF = 64%), Xanthium strumarium (RF = 54%), Amaranthus hybridus (RF = 56%), Setaria verticillata (RF = 50%), Datura stramonium (RF = 50%), Argemone mexicana (RF = 43%), Erucastum abyssinicum (RF = 45%), and Xanthium spinosum (RF = 43%) were found among the weeds species frequently observed or widely distributed within the study area. Nicandra physaloides (RD = 13%), Xanthium strumarium (RD = 8%), Galinsoga parviflora (RD = 7%), Datura stramonium (RD = 6%), Amaranthus hybridus (RD = 6%), Parthenium hysterophorus (RD = 4%), Cyperus rotundus (RD = 4%), Argemone mexicana (RD = 4%), Xanthium spinosum (RD = 3%) and Portulaca oleracea (RD = 3%) were found weed species relatively highly dominated the area. Galinsoga parviflora (RA = 18%), Cyperus rotundus (RA = 18%) Nicandra physaloides (RA = 7%), Portulaca oleracea (RA = 6%), Argemone mexicana (RA = 5%), Amaranthus spinosus (RA = 4%), Orobanche minor (RA = 4%), Parthenium hysterophorus (RA = 4%), Setaria verticillata (RA = 3%) and Cynodon nlemfuensis (RA = 3%) were found among the top ten abundant weed species of the study area (Table 2). Weed species nationally categorized as troublesome noxious weeds and highly constraining agriculture (Digitaria abyssinica, Cynodon spp., Setaria verticillata, Sorghum arundinaceum, Ageratum conzoides, Amaranthus spp., Argemone mexicana, Bidens pilosa, Parthenium hysterophorus, Commelina spp. Convovolus arvensis, Datura stramonium, Flaveria trinervia, Galinsoga parviflora and Guizotia scabra) [10] were identified as the major weeds of the study area. Nicandra physaloides, Xanthium strumarium, Erucastrum abyssinicum, Xanthium spinosum, Portulaca oleracea, Flaveria trinervia, Chenopodium spp., Erugrostis spp., and Launaeantibacea were among weed species equally important in the area with the weed species nationally categorized as problematic weeds; but not yet got attention.

**Table 1:** Number of weed families identified and number of species they comprise.

| No. | Family  | Specie       | No. | Family  | Specie       |
|-----|---------|--------------|-----|---------|--------------|
| 1   | Asteraceae | 15           | 15  | Boraginaceae | 1  |
| 2   | Poaceae  | 14           | 16  | Capparidaceae | 1  |
| 3   | Chenopodiaceae | 6     | 17  | Commelinaceae | 1  |
| 4   | Solanaceae | 5           | 18  | Cyperaceae   | 1  |
| 5   | Amaranthaceae | 5        | 19  | Euphorbiaceae | 1  |
| 6   | Fabaceae  | 5           | 20  | Orobanchaceae | 1  |
| 7   | Polygonaceae | 3         | 21  | Papaveraceae  | 1  |
| 8   | Brassicaceae | 2          | 22  | Plantaginaceae | 1  |
| 9   | Convolvulaceae | 2        | 23  | Primulaceae  | 1  |
| 10  | Lamiaeae  | 2           | 24  | Resedaceae   | 1  |
| 11  | Malvaceae | 2           | 25  | Scrophulariaceae | 1  |
| 12  | Portulacaceae | 2         | 26  | Verbenaceae  | 1  |
| 13  | Acanthaceae | 1           | 27  | Zygophylaceae | 1  |
| 14  | Apiaceae  | 1           | Total | 78   |               |

**Table 2:** The top twenty weed species of the study area in terms of their importance value (IV).

| Rank | Scientific Name                      | RF (%) | RA (%) | RD (%) | IV (%) |
|------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1    | Nicandra physaloides L.             | 73     | 7      | 13     | 92     |
| 2    | Galinsoga parviflora Cav.           | 64     | 18     | 7      | 89     |
| 3    | Cyperus rotundus L.                 | 64     | 18     | 4      | 85     |
| 4    | Xanthium strumarium L.              | 54     | 3      | 8      | 65     |
| 5    | Amaranthus hybridus L.              | 56     | 2      | 6      | 64     |
| 6    | Setaria verticillata L.             | 50     | 3      | 3      | 55     |
| 7    | Datura stramonium L.                | 48     | 1      | 6      | 55     |
| 8    | Argemone Mexicana Sweet.            | 43     | 5      | 4      | 52     |
| 9    | Xanthium spinosum L.                | 43     | 1      | 3      | 48     |
| 10   | Erucastum abyssinicum (A. Rich.) OE Schulz. | 45     | 1      | 2      | 48     |
| 11   | Portulaca oleracea L.               | 35     | 6      | 3      | 44     |
| 12   | Cynodon nlemfuensis Vanderyst.      | 35     | 3      | 2      | 41     |
| 13   | Parthenium hysterophorus L.         | 33     | 4      | 4      | 41     |
| 14   | Flaveria trinervia (Spreng.) C Mohr | 34     | 3      | 3      | 39     |
| 15   | Setaria incassate (Hochst.) Hack.   | 34     | 2      | 2      | 37     |
| 16   | Erugrostis ciliannensis All.        | 33     | 1      | 1      | 34     |
| 17   | Amaranthus spinosus L.              | 26     | 4      | 2      | 32     |
| 18   | Eleusine indica L.                  | 27     | 1      | 2      | 30     |
| 19   | Orobanche minor Smith.              | 23     | 4      | 2      | 29     |
| 20   | Chenopodium opulifolium Schrader ex Koch &Ziz. | 24     | 3      | 2      | 29     |
Among the weed species recorded, two parasitic weeds; namely Orobanche spp and Cuscuta spp. were found prominent. Orobanche weed was found attached mainly on tomato and some alternative host weeds. Cuscuta weed was previously not found attached on vegetable crops rather on border weeds like Xanthium strumarium and Hypoestes forskolii, but during 2015 GC assessment it was found invading onion of many farmers. Information from field visit and raised on discussion confirmed that no action has been taken to limit invasion of Orobanche weed due to the assumption that hand pulling could cause damage on root zone of the host and encourage multiplication of parasite weed Orobanche.

Similar to Izquierdo et al. [11] investigation, the similarity(S), diversity (H') and dominancy (D) of weed species were found variable from crop field to crop field indicating the variability of weed management intensity among crops. The high diversity, dominancy and abundance values of weed species in tomato has been as a result of the weeds made to thrive long period in the field due to the farmers assumption that physical contact in late ages of tomato aggravates disease occurrence. Kale was found a crop with relatively high intensive management because of the frequent harvesting nature of the crop and as a result it was found with low weed abundance, diversity and dominance values (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution and diversity of the weed in major crop field of the study area.

| Crop            | Mean | Similarity (S) | Evenness (E) | Diversity (H) | Dominancy (D) |
|-----------------|------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Haricot bean    | 8    | 34             | 0.65         | 2.287         | 0.795         |
| Kale            | 1    | 15             | 0.77         | 2.091         | 0.829         |
| Maize           | 8    | 37             | 0.62         | 2.227         | 0.749         |
| Onion           | 10   | 31             | 0.68         | 2.33          | 0.839         |
| Hot pepper      | 1    | 25             | 0.7          | 2.257         | 0.76          |
| Tomato          | 76   | 72             | 0.69         | 2.934         | 0.903         |

The dominant weeds of the study district and common irrigation sites were identified. In Adami Tulu district Amaranthus hybridus, Nicandra physaloides, Galinsoga parviflora, Flaveria trinervia and Galinsoga parviflora were found dominant at Haleku, Golba, Abne Germama, Edo Gojola and Elka Chelemo irrigation sites respectively during 2013 growing season. During 2015 growing season, Nicandra physaloides was found over dominated Amaranthus hybridus, at Haleku, Parthenium hysterophorus was found over dominated Galinsoga parviflora and Flaveria trinervia over dominated Galinsoga parviflora at Abne Germama and Elka Chelemo respectively. In Dugda district Galinsoga parviflora, Verbesina encelioides, Cynodon nlemfuensis and Xanthium strumarium were found the dominant weeds of the area. Galinsoga parviflora was found over dominated by Nicandra physaloides at Girris a while Nicandra physaloides and Verbesina encelioides were found dominated by Cynodon nlemfuensis, and Xanthium strumarium at Korke Adi and Dodota Dembel respectively in Bora district (Table 4).

Table 4: Weed species dominancy trend in the area within three years (2013 & 2015).

| District      | Sample Sites | Weed Species           | 2013 | 2015 | 2013 (%) | 2015 (%) |
|---------------|--------------|------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|
| Adami Tulu    | Haleku       | Amaranthus hybridus     | 19   | 21   |          |          |
|               |              | Cynodon nlemfuensis     | 11   | 11   |          |          |
|               |              | Galinsoga parviflora    | 11   | 11   |          |          |
|               | Golba        | Nicandra physaloides    | 34   | 14   |          |          |
|               |              | Cynodon nlemfuensis     | 8    | 9    |          |          |
|               | Abne Germama | Galinsoga parviflora    | 25   | 22   |          |          |
|               |              | Portulaca oleracea      | 14   | 11   |          |          |
|               | Edo Gojola   | Xanthium spinosum       | 14   | 9    |          |          |
|               |              | Chenopodium opulifolium | 11   | 9    |          |          |
|               | Elka Chelemo | Flaveria trinervia      | 12   | 11   |          |          |
|               |              | Chenopodium murale      | 7    | 11   |          |          |
|               |              | Galinsoga parviflora    | 19   | 17   |          |          |
|               |              | Xanthium strumarium     | 13   | 14   |          |          |
|               |              | Nicandra physaloides    | 13   | 8    |          |          |
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Weeds of miscellaneous contemplation

No plant is a weed in nature; but human activities create weed and weed problem. Based on that plants which their undesirable qualities outweigh their good points in agriculture have been considered as weeds. No one intentionally sow weeds, but they are well adapted to the environment held by humans and have been co-existing associated with crops since the origin of agriculture. In perspective of crop protection, weeds are undesirable plants which have negative competitive impact on crops and needed to be controlled, in an economical and practical way, in order to produce food, feed, and fiber for humans and animals. Weeds are plants serving as reservoirs of most crop viruses and their insect and nematode vectors, and of pathogenic fungi and bacteria in addition to their direct competition effect in crop growth [12]. On other side weeds can also play a role of reducing the risk of disease and pest epidemics in addition to their contribution for the resource base of the rural community in providing a secondary source of foods, medicines and insecticides if their diversity maintained in agriculture. *Portulaca spp.* are among noxious weeds difficult to control because of their fast growth rate once infested the field, but, used as a famine food even in southern part of Ethiopia [13]. *Portulaca oleracea* and *Amaranthus* spp. were used in Wollo Ethiopia, as a relief from famine during 1984 drought [14], still the weeds have been challenging the livelihood of the community neither controlled nor domesticated. People of the study area also raised positive values of weeds like using as feed resource for their livestock, using as supplemental food for their family during the food shortage and for medicinal purpose.

Some weed species which scored low importance value (IV) were raised during farmer’s group discussion as very problematic in influencing the livelihood of the community. *Amaranthus spinosus*, *Tribulus cistoides*, *Euphorbia schimperian*, *Sorghum arundinacium*, *Acanthospermum hispidum*, *Amaranthus hybridus*, *Parthenium hysterophorus*, *Snowdenia polystachya* and *Xanthium spinosum* were raised as weeds known by poisoning livestock in the area. *Erucastrum vulgar, Orobanche minor, Parthenium hysterophorus* and *Portulaca oleracea* were categorized among weeds resistant to herbicide. *Portulaca oleracea, Argemone mexicana, Orobanche minor, Chenopodium murale, Verbesina encelioides, Parthenium hysterophorus* and *Flaveria trinervia* were listed among weeds species currently highly invading the area. *Nicandra physaloides, Xanthium strumarium, Parthenium hysterophorus, Portulaca oleracea* and *Erucastrum abyssinicum* were marked as weeds serving as alternate host for either insects or disease of vegetables (Table 5). Study carried out in Chile to identify weeds serving as alternate host of cucurbits mosaic virus (CMV), tomato spotted wilt virus (TSWV) and potato virus Y (PVY), Datura, Nicandira and *Solanum nigrum* were identified as alternate host of CMY and PVY and *Galinsoga parviflora* and *Sonchus* weeds were identified as alternate host of TSWV while Chenopodium was found responsible to transmit all viruses [15].
The farmers’ opinion is coincided with the study result of Alemu et al. (2002) that identified *Datura stramonium* and *Nicandra physaloides* as potential alternate hosts of Capsicum viruses, Cucumber mosaic virus, tomato mosaic virus and potato virus in mid-rift valley of Ethiopia. Study made in Cyprus confirmed that weed in family *Chenopodiaceae, Compositae, Convolvulaceae, Cruciferae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Malvaceae, Orobanchaceae, Plantaginaceae, Solonaceae, Umbelliferae* and *Primulaceae* were identified as alternate host of tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) [16]. More than 60% of the study area weeds identified were comprised in the above listed plant families indicating, almost all weed species have significant contribution for the aggravation of vegetable pests problem.

**Factors driving weed invasion in the area**

Limited use of crop rotation, limited use of herbicide in vegetable fields, unavailability of species specific herbicides and poor field sanitation as a whole were observed as a driving force for the ever expansion of weed invasion in the area. The fields were made to be visited by livestock after harvest and only those preferable weeds were grazed and those unpalatable were left on field and seed to their potential till the field required for the other

---

**Table 5: Weeds species categorized based on information from the farmers.**

| Weed Species          | Poisoning Livestock | Resistant to Herbicide | Currently on Invasion | Limited to Arable Land | Serving as Alternate Hosts of Pest |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Hypoestes forskaoilia  |                     | *                      |                       |                        |                                   |
| Amaranthus hybridus    |                     | *                      |                       |                        |                                   |
| Amaranthus spinosus    |                     | ***                    |                       |                        |                                   |
| Foeniculum vulgare     | *                   |                        | *                     |                        |                                   |
| Acanthospermum hispidum| *                   |                        |                       |                        |                                   |
| Datura stramonium      |                     | *                      |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Flaveria trinervia     |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Launaeaintybacea       | *                   |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Parthenium hysterophorus| *                 |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Verbesina encelioides  |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Xanthium strumarium    |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Erucastrumabyssinicum  |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Chenopodium murale     |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Chenopodium opullfolium|                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Cyperus rotundus       |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Euphobia schimperiana  | **                  | *                      |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Orobanche minor        |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Argemone mexicana      |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Cynodon nlemfuensis    |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Setia incassata        |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Snowdenia polystachya  |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Sorghum arundinacum    | **                  | *                      |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Portulaca oleracea      |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Eragrostis cilianensis  |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Nicandra physaloides   |                     |                        |                       | *                      | *                                 |
| Xanthium spinosum      |                     | *                      |                       |                        | *                                 |
| Tribulus cistoides     |                     |                        |                       |                        | *                                 |

***: Commonly known; **: Partially known; *: Less commonly known.

---
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round cultivation. Herbicides are only adopted for controlling broad leaved weeds in cereals (Teff and wheat). No tradition of field sanitation after the crop has been harvested until the land needed for another round planting. Weeds simply grow and bear their seed using the residual moisture and fertilizer. Weed seeds germination and growth is year round in irrigated vegetable production areas. In tomato field specifically, weeding activity terminated immediately after flowering due to the assumption that weeding aggravates disease severity in the field. Till the final harvest the field totally covered by the weed. After harvest the field left abandoned and the weeds complete their lifecycle and shed their seed to the soil.

**Weeds of considerable cost implications**

Information obtained through personal discussion with some farmers elucidated that some weeds species inevitably incur extra costs in vegetable farms. *Amaranthus spinosus* and *Xanthium spinosum* are among weeds need special negotiation for extra payment of up to 50-70% from the usual labor cost rate. Laborers are not willing to work fields infested with these two special weeds in contractual agreement unless with daily basis work. The other weed with considerable cost implication due to its fast growth rate was *Portulaca oleracea*. As information obtained from farmers dictated, field infested with *Portulaca oleracea* demand regular weeding as compared to the other weeds.

**Conclusion and Recommendations**

This study revealed that weeds have been imposing greater challenge to vegetable producing farmers, and consumers of the produce. Weeds are contributing in production cost amplifying through its direct labour consumption and indirectly by harboring insect and disease pests for which huge expense on pesticide. All weeds are not equally problematic in agriculture. Some weeds in addition to their ecological benefit they provide medicinal, food and insecticidal uses. Farmers clearly know which weed specie highly challenging their livelihood. Therefore, strategies in which the noxious weeds controlled and the beneficial maintained in the farm or farm margins should be developed. The fragmented land holding of the area is also another impediment in effective weed control strategy. Based on either the crop requirement or the interest of the producer, when one farmer field is under production the other neighboring farmer remain abandoned. At that time disease inoculums and weed seeds coming from the adjacent fields are the most significant barrier to effective disease, insect and weed control. Therefore there should be legal ground that enforces the cooperation between the neighboring land owners for effective weed and then insect and disease management. The farming community of the study area, even though they didn't appreciate the existence of weeds in their farm; they have been doing less effort to control the expansion of weed population. As a result the build up of pests of the particular crops is also very high. To overcome the problem farmers apply cocktail of pesticides with in an interval of 3-5day which finally ends up to greater than 36 times spray within the single cropping period which is becoming worsen and worsen from time to time. Therefore, shift in crop type and pest management strategy should be devised to combat the ever worsening of the problem. To solution the controversy on weed management strategies, an integrated crop protection system should be to the needs of the resource-poor farmer, which weeds are managed in such a way their biodiversity is maintained and the more useful species retained within the field or field margin (Appendix 1).
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