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Abstract

Background: Ethiopia generally provides a story of progress in child health, but unevenly distributed. The attitude, knowledge, political drives, interest groups and institutional dynamics in health equity policy setting and execution has not been explored in Ethiopia. Without addressing these issues, the right kind of policy choice and implementation cannot be attained.

Methods: This study was conducted between 2015 and 2017 in Ethiopia. The study utilized a qualitative framework, grounded in social determinants for health and health policy. Semi-structured interviews; executed policy analysis; and reviewed the literature. In-depth interviews were conducted among twenty-one policymakers and reviewed 23 policy documents and over 350 literatures, Transcribed data, policy extracts and articles were synthesised and analysed by ATLAS.ti 7.1.4.

Results: Ethiopia is in an early state of recognizing and intervening against health inequalities. The quality and level of knowledge is mixed and gets reduced as one goes to the rural areas. Consensus is slowly developing on the major underlying causes of inequality. The contents of health policy documents are neither comprehensive nor strongly supported by evidence. There are multiple interest groups with varying level of knowledge and power. Complex political and institutional relations affect policy making process negatively. Participants demonstrated denialism; blaming of victims; misconceptions; and one size fits all attitudes.
Conclusions: Any future work for improving health equity needs to be build more on evidence and embrace more participatory processes to address all types of interest groups.
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INTRODUCTION

Despite Ethiopia’s achievement in meeting the Millennium Development Goals, some child health inequalities have worsened (1,2). According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), disparities in health are known as health inequalities (3). While, there is not a single best definition for health inequity, Whitehead and Dahlgren, proposed the most widely used definition of health inequity, as health inequalities that are not only avoidable, but also considered unfair and unjust (4,5). The Black Report from the UK, Whitehead (6) 2016and others argued health disparities are linked with bigger inequalities and unfairness (5,7,8).

WHO through its Social Determinants for Health (SDH) review concluded, the situations under which people are born, live, work and age can cause unfair health disparities (9-11). Generally, health inequalities, including inequalities in child health are considered as inequity (12-14).

Ethiopia demonstrates a very high child health inequality at impact, outcome and input levels of indicators (15-17). In a retrospective review of surveys from 54 countries, Ethiopia demonstrated one of the highest levels of socio-economic inequalities of mortality and coverage of child health-related medical services (18).

Unpicking further, Tranvag and colleagues demonstrated two major dividing lines of inequality in child health for Ethiopia: place of residence and wealth status (10). Wealth inequality for instance, contributed 84.8% of inequalities in basic vaccinations, while it attributed 13.6% to neonatal deaths inequality (19). The gap between the rich and the
poor continued widening, McKinnon found that between 2000 and 2011, Ethiopia’s pro-rich child mortality inequality increased by 1.5 for every 1,000 live births (16).

A strong geographic pro-central and urban inequality has been reported from multiple studies (20,21). Child Mortality Rate (CMR) reduction has deteriorated between 2000 and 2011 among the Ethiopia’s “peripheral” states also known as ‘Developing Regional States (DRS)’ (16)

Persistent inequality in health can affect Ethiopia’s progress towards Universal Health Coverage (UHC) and the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals (22-25). Health inequality is being increasingly seen as a political matter, resulting in political consequences that affects security and stability (26,27). Unfair distribution of health and violation of the right to ‘the highest attainable health in a country’ are interrelated (28).

Despite the growing evidence of health inequality in Ethiopia, health policy documents and programmes do not directly recognize and address it with tailored interventions (17-19). The comprehensiveness and quality of these strategies has yet to be explored (29). Moreover, Ethiopia’s policy intricates perception of policy makers, motivations, decision making process and the impact of the federal administrative arrangement have been unexplored.

This paper explores the complex relationships between policymakers’ interest and institutional dynamics affecting health inequality in Ethiopia. The study was designed to identify the current state of policy makers’ knowledge, the policy settings and implementation processes towards improving child health equity in Ethiopia. Due to the complexity of the subject, the study focused on child health.

Methods

Study design and settings

We designed a qualitative study. The study involved semi-structured interviews of health policy makers; policy analysis, and a review of the literature. Interviewing was preferred to focus group discussions to enable study participants to discuss politically sensitive
issues in private settings. The study was conducted in different phases between 2015 and 2017.

The study was grounded in a combination of Social Determinants of Health (30), the ‘3-i theory’ and the ‘Policy Triangle Framework’ (31). The ‘3-i’ theory argues that effective policy setting, and implementation requires: ‘idea’- the appropriate knowledge; the right set-up and capable ‘institute’; and ‘interest’ groups that promote a policy (32). The Policy Triangle Frameworks (PTF) recommends analysis of content, context and process in policy researching (33).

Sampling technique

Theoretical Open Sampling (TOS) approach was applied to guide the sampling for the interviews. TOS follows how new theory and concepts emerge to determine sample sizes, rather than predetermining them from the outset (34-36).

Participants were purposively selected, based on their role of policy making and implementation in relation to child health. Regional States and districts that displayed a very high and very low indicators in child health were selected purposively. Twenty-one interviews were conducted between April 2015 and June 2016, while collection and analysis of the policy documents and literature were continued until March 2017.

Articles and policy documents were searched through search engines, recommendations, and chain reference. The following databases were searched MEDLINE, ScienceDirect, SAGE, CINHAL Cochran and WHO repository. Over 1,500 records were retrieved searching using key words like: health inequality, equity, child health, and health policy researching, Universal Health Care and health inequality reduction. A further refinement based on year of publication, geographic and thematic relevance the volume of records for in-depth review got reduced to 359. Further reduction was made by focusing on articles that directly focus policy researching and child health inequality on Ethiopia. Finally, twenty-one were used for further analysis during triangulation and Critical Interpretative Synthesis along with the interview and policy documents.
The list of policy documents reviewed included the Equity Plan of Action 2016; Five Health Sector Strategic Plans, annual performance reports, a draft Health Equity Strategy and Ethiopian Health Sector Vision towards Universal Coverage, 2030.

Two interviews were conducted over telephone calls, the others were held in offices and spaces identified the participants. Eleven interviews were conducted in English, while the others were conducted in Amharic and later translated into English. The data-collection tool for the in-depth interview was based on a semi-structured interview guide. Each session took 40 to 60 minutes.

Data management

Interview and policy analysis data were processed and thematically analysed through ATLAS.ti 7.1.4. All interviews except one (based on the request of the interviewee) were recorded. The audio data was later transcribed and, Amharic transcripts were translated into English.

One ATLAS.ti hermeneutic unit was established to import interviews, selected articles and policy extracts together. The analysis adopted a deductive approach by starting with a wide range of detailed codes and through further coding to establish code families, networks, documents and document families. While the analysis of the interviews was ongoing, concept mapping and constant comparison techniques from Critical Interpretative Synthesis (CIS) were applied to develop a third construct by triangulating them with the literature and policy contents (34,36).

Ethical clearance was secured from the Research and Ethics Committee of the Department of Health Studies, University of South Africa (ethics approval no: HSHDC/304/2014), and the Ethiopian Science and Technology Ethics Board (ethical clearance no:310/2016).

Results

Among the 21 interview participants, 2 were female. While 15 participants were from government, 6 participants were from development partners. Participants included Directors and Team Leaders in the Ministry of Health (MOH), United Nations, other
development partners, regional and districts government leaders from the Benishangul Regional State Health Bureau (RHB), Somali RHB, Tigray RHBs and Addis Ababa RHB, in Ethiopia. Three hundred fifty-nine articles and 25 policy documents were reviewed in-depth, out of which 46 were included in ATLAS for further analysis.

**Mixed but improving level of knowledge**

The findings from the interviews, policy documents and government reports indicate that policy makers in Ethiopia have not reached at a level of consensus on the grade, dimension and cause of child health inequality. The participants also agreed while the awareness started from a low base, it is slowly improving in the chain of technical leadership. Table 1 below summarises the major theme emerged during second and third construct analysis.

There is a higher level of awareness of the severity and dimensions of child health inequality at the top leadership level of Federal Ministry. Policy makers' awareness of regional(geographic) inequality of child health is stronger than wealth and other inequalities. However, the middle and lower level leaders didn't demonstrate the same level of awareness. Despite the findings from literatures, a middle level leader from the MOH underplayed the effect of wealth inequality in health in peripheral regions by saying “by the way, I don't think people in DRS[peripheries] are in a different economic challenge compared with other people in the country [in the context of health inequality].”

| 1. **Mixed level of knowledge, but improving over a time** |
| "Sometimes they get confused in identifying performance and inequality issues. There was a wider confusion as a ministry office on the whole." Senior leader in the MOH |
| "I think they are now understanding it and feeling the pain [from inequality] now." Mid-level MOH |
| 2. **Conflicting interpretation and lack of consensus** |
| "That [the difference in interpretations] is the difficult part with the ministry, the gap among the higher management." Key participant from development partners |
| "Sometimes they [lower and middle rank] get confused in identifying performance and inequality issues, there was a wider confusion inside the ministry office." |
| 3. **Misconceptions and silence denialism** |

Table 1. summary of major knowledge and perception findings reflected by policy makers
“We are providing trainings and presentations to help staff understand the difference between performance and inequality issues.” Senior leader in the MOH

The new Health Equity Plan of Action is produced to “transform health status and health systems in the developing regional states and selected zones with suboptimal performance” policy excerpt (37)

No mention of inequality in Ethiopia’s three Health Sector Strategies (2005 to 2015). The Health Sector Transformation Plan, despite its thematic focus of improving equity, it doesn’t contain inequality reduction, targets, strategies and intervention. Policy analysis outcome

4. Lack of data visibility and reliability

“Districts (woredas), hospitals and facilities are not as such aware if they are below regional or national averages.” Mid-level MOH

“To me it is very unfortunate that we are not doing proper studies to ascertain where we are.” Development partner, 11

Development Partners were generally aware of the situation, although they struggled to find reliable data source and work with policy makers that have mixed understanding on the subject.

Perception on the causes of child health inequality

The participants and policy documents do not agree with the literature and globally established theories on the causes of child health disparity in Ethiopia. Without probing, the participants chose not to discuss about the impact of the political economy on health inequality. A smaller proportion of the policy makers mainly concentrated at the top was able to articulate a causal link between child health inequity and multiple broader socio-economic inequalities.

Most participants demonstrated a partial understanding of root causes, as summarised in Table 2. The most common misconception on the causes for child health inequality is ‘it is as an outcome of a mere variation in the performance and commitment of health care workers and communities at local level than broader determinants.

Table 2. Summary of findings from policy makers on awareness and perception of causes of child health inequality

Quotes, second and third construct findings
Regional leaders and development partners, however presented unfair distribution of resources and inverse equity as causes. This group argued that lifesaving child health care initiatives and supplies were first going towards the already richer and the better-off areas, widening the gap. A strong argument was made from DRS leaders that it is more about unfair distribution of health facilities, budget, medicines and staff than just bad performance by service providers at peripheries. “Everything [budget and drug supply] is calculated based on just population size. Therefore, we are not even receiving the minimum support expected from the centre.” While the federal government doesn’t agree, the outcome of literature review supports the DRS (21).

Political factors were also acknowledged as an important component of social determinant of health in Ethiopia. Some participants argued that health is of relatively a low priority in the Developing Regional States (“peripheries”), as leaders got urged to secure stability, safety, water scarcity and infrastructure first. Also, due to the complex relations between the governing party and the parties from these areas; regional health sector leaders reportedly spend a significant part of their time on political issues to get more visibility from / impact on the federal government.

**Interest groups, the motivations in the background**

The study showed that the policy drive, directions and technical guidance for reduction of inequality have come from an interest group of political leaders and technical elites. Recently a growing strong political interest to address the widening gap, “The Minister
himself made a personal ambition to achieve this.”. Three other interest groups also came out as summarized in Table 3. These groups are important factors in policy making and implementation process. Some participants argued, the latest push by the political elite is a show-off and technical views were not very well considered in the policy making process.

Table 3. Summary of interest groups that might affect equity policy making in Ethiopia

| Powerful political elite, spearheading | Ready technocrats |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| A small group comprised of ministers and a few directors, politically interested | Interested in reducing inequality but thinks the elites are motivated by politics |
| “By the way, we are a special sector as a ministry; we have received a directive from the Federal Affairs Ministry to increase equity.” a key participant | Based in regional states and mid-level central leadership |
| The ministers from Federal Affairs and Health have been perusing the recent agenda to | “The national commitment for the reduction of geographic gaps is good. The interventions do not match the level of what people talk about and what is written on the paper.” leader from DRS |

| Less interested group | The country doesn't have resources |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| development partners with specific technical and thematic priorities; and others are based in rather advantaged regions | did not emerge clearly and strongly probably motivated in |

The interest group which did not emerge clearly and strongly is a group that believes the country does not have the budget and resources to significantly reduce inequality. This group maintains- regions and communities that have progressed well should not be penalised and made to stall in progress, while awaiting the others. Some of these ideas have been strongly reflected in the national strategic plan, that missed to address basic child health inequality but urged for expansion of advanced clinical care, cancer treatment and tertiary care in areas that have already made progress in Primary Health Care (38).

**Process and Institutional relations affecting equity policy**

The study found that reducing inequality and introducing accountability through a centralized approach has proven to be a complex exercise in the federal state of Ethiopia. It was also found that lack of a quantified inequality reduction vision, lack of clear division
of role, and unproductive working relations affected the effectiveness of the response against equity in Ethiopia.

“There is quite a lot of frustration at regional level about the FMOH, because of insufficient support … and for not being heard.” Identity withheld

The Parliamentary Committee, the Ministry of Internal Affairs and the Board of Ministers provide a high-level political leadership and monitoring for equity. The MOH provides reports to the Federal Affairs and the Board of Ministers; both parties provide guidance and supervision to the FMOH. However, the division of role and link between these structures were not clear to the participants.

The engagement of regional states and other stakeholders in the policy making process was perceived as limited. Some personnel from the DRS feel unheard and not engaged in major decision-making processes. The development partners also feel not engaged in a meaningful manner. “There are still issues in the Health Extension Programme that need improvement and we do not talk about it.” Identity withheld

Our analysis of policy documents suggest that the Equity Action Plan (EAP) is the only document that has a direct focus on health inequalities in Ethiopia(37). The major drawback of previous strategic plans and documents was the failure to present the “how” and the major strategies that could tackle inequality.

Document analysis and interviews suggested the EAP has paternalistic one-size-fits-all features and built on strong views from the central government. It was developed from limited consultations with the DRS and the global evidence base. “All regions are not just the same, the situation in [name] is not just the same as [name]… the problem in our region is quite different… the FMOH believe we all are the same.” Identity withheld

Part of addressing regional inequalities requires contextualised use of local social, cultural base rather than transposing interventions from other cultures. A mid-level FMOH participant highlighted the importance of local structures as “if you want to change in short time, we have to involve the clan structure”. To narrow the gap, the central government intends to further scale up the Health Extension Program (HEP) in the form and shape that brought strong results in relatively advantaged geographic areas. The following
excerpt from the EAP confirms: “This is one of the stark achievements in the bigger regions which have to be adapted to these developing regions.”

Development partners challenge the rigid one-size-fits-all top-down model of expanding Health Extension/Women’s Development Army approach as a solution for equity.

“We did not discuss its [HEP’s] failure in the emerging regions [DRS]. They are further away from the centre; it is difficult to motivate people to come to health centres.”

Development partner participant.

Discussion

The right knowledge and perception are important in making sensitive policy decisions, and the case of Ethiopia will be no different (39). The policy makers’ knowledge and misconceptions appeared to be improving slowly from a low base in Ethiopia.

King and colleagues found that policy makers in LMICs, including in Ethiopia were less aware of health inequities (40). Policy makers preferred to improve the general status of health in their countries rather than addressing inequalities. Similar trends were found in a study that covered five counties, namely Uganda, Brazil, Cuba, Norway and Nepal in 2012 (41). Four of the five countries prioritised to improve efficiency factors than equity factors. This tendency was partially linked with the low level of awareness among policy makers. Unlike the LMICs, the awareness in developed countries is high, where equity interventions have progressed (42).

The level of knowledge and consensus on the definition of the construct of health inequality varied among the participants. Consistent to this, but from a study at the lower level of the health system, Bergen and colleagues found a wide range of definitions and perceptions on health equity in Western Ethiopia (29). Similar trends were observed when the policy-subject under consideration was a new or sensitive one. In 2014, Moore and colleagues reported that mixed quality of knowledge and widespread misconceptions were blocking the scale-up of safe abortion services policy in Uganda (43).

In the early 1980s, there was a mixed level of knowledge and limited cues for action in the UK, the US and Europe, which are now the most progressive regions (44). Consistent to the observation in this study, a historical lack of consensus on level and causes of
health inequality has also been found in other countries too (45). Exworthy reported that
the iterative process took over a decade before the UK’s policy makers agreed and started
taking actions against inequity (46).

Producing the right information and making it visible to stakeholder is a key step in policy
making. The quality of equity monitoring depends on the quality, frequency and timely
use of the information (47). This study found inequality data was not always visible to all
stakeholders in a fashion that is understandable and ready for making decisions. This
situation could have led to the varying and mainly low awareness. A similar situation of
low use of evidence for equity policy making was observed in the UK in the early 1980s.
Exworthy, Blane and Marmot outlined the steps for how the use of data and its
dissemination helped the UK to initiate and shape its equity programmes in health (48).
The Black Report in the UK was rejected without action (44,46).

Participants living in regional states and development partners highlighted inequalities got
persisted due to inverse equities that followed in appropriate policies. The richer and more
advantaged got more. Similar perceptions were observed among Primary Health Care
workers and districts managers in Ethiopia (29). Inverse equity or inverse law of health
care is a concept that argues populations with a lower health need tend to get higher
access to care; it affects progress towards equity (49). Onarihem et al. also argued that
the Ethiopian budget for health is distributed unfairly and recommended a revision of the
budget distribution formula (21).

Participants of this study demonstrated a weak understanding of broader factors that led
or sustained child health inequality, which might in turn affect their effective policy making
capacity. Socio-economic inequality, like the wealth gap, urban and rural divide were not
raised and discussed. The participants who mostly are affiliated with the leading party
might have decided not to accept that their party which came to power vowing to cut
inequality in Ethiopia has not been so successful. Ethiopia is not different from other
countries. The Kuznet theory argued per-capita income of above $26,000 was found to
be the tipping point where widening social inequalities started being reduced. However,
there are emerging counterarguments that Kuznet’s theory does not always work and
countries can achieve equity while growing fast (50).
There was an extensive use of “us versus them” language, ‘victim blaming’ and frustrations during the interviews. Some participants from the federal state tended to argue peripheral regional states are the main cause of the inequality, due to lack of responsiveness, accountability, lifestyles and cultural factors. Blaming the victims for health inequality was observed and criticised in the 1970s and 1980s in Europe. Governments wanted to make the poor and marginalised responsible for their state of life and health. This masked the need to address broader social, cultural, political and economic factors that are causes of the cause of health inequalities (51); (52).

Multiple interest groups that could facilitate or hinder equity were identified. Shearer describes the importance of an advocacy network or group that could drive the right policy setting for health inequality (53); however, this study could not find that kind of organised group for health equity in Ethiopia.

Some participants have spoken about the political arrangement as an underlying cause for some of the inequality. The Ethiopian People’s Revolutionary Democratic Front (EPRDF) has put mechanisms of controlling security, development and stability in DRS and other regions in place (54). Historically, political power has always come from the two major parties of the EPRDF, but other member parties and those from DRS are keen to have more roles in the central government. The regional health heads are part of the Cabinet that forms DRS governments, participants indicated that regional health heads spend limited resources on non-health priorities to impress or influence the central government.

Most African countries do not respond to their inequalities in a systematic and direct fashion. In 2016 42 out of 46 African countries had committed to UHC in their policy documents (55). The Ethiopian FMOH has increasingly become progressive and open on addressing inequality as a policy issue. However, most of the pressure and detailed instructions for equity interventions are coming from the top political leadership. Linked with the paternalistic approaches and shifting the blame to the DRS, there is increasing reaction from the DRS. Literature shows that top down political decisions taken at the highest level in Africa may not materialise, due lack of proper assessment; limited preparedness of the system, and availability of funds (56). The federal state in India
demonstrated the same tendency of imposing federal interest and institutional ideology on health equity policy agenda setting. The outcome was ineffective policy that miss to considered local ethnic lifestyles in regional states (57).

We included various data collection and analysis approaches which made us triangulate the findings. The study however should be interpreted in light of its limitations. This study might have been challenged by the “policeman” or other similar effects of the tendency to be politically correct by the informants. Inequality by place of residence, which is linked with ethnicity and religion in Ethiopia, is very delicate. The data collection and analysis used triangulation and coding at abstract level to mitigate this potential limitation

**Conclusion**

The progress towards reduction of health inequality in Ethiopia is in an early stage. Lack of relevant health policy that acknowledge the effect of wider determinants; unfair distribution of resources; less productive institutional set-ups and relations, coupled with limited knowledge budget and monitoring tools have affected progress in the reduction of health inequality in Ethiopia.

While there is a politically driven motivation to reduce health inequalities, the how and technical approaches are not clear, incomplete and developed by top leaders. The dynamism of the federal state, the interest of different political and technical groups should be reconsidered in the development of policy and detailed guidelines. Further studies are required to deeply investigate the feasibility of policy options that might help narrow the gap in child health as the country progresses towards UHC.
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