SINGULARITIES OF DUALS OF GRASSMANNIANS

FRÉDÉRIC HOLWECK

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth irreducible nondegenerate projective variety and let $X^* \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ denote its dual variety. The locus of bitangent hyperplanes, i.e. hyperplanes tangent to at least two points of $X$, is a component of the singular locus of $X^*$. In this paper we provide a sufficient condition for this component to be of maximal dimension and show how it can be used to determine which dual varieties of Grassmannians are normal. That last part may be compared to what has been done for hyperdeterminants by J. Weyman and A. Zelevinski (1996) in [23].

1. Introduction

Let $X = \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)^2-1}$ be the Segre embedding of the product of two projective spaces of dimension $n$. The variety $X$ corresponds to the projectivization of the variety of rank one matrices embedded in the projectivization of the space of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices. It is well known its dual variety (the variety of tangent hyperplanes, see below for the definition), denoted by $X^*$, can be identified with the variety of rank at most $n$ matrices. Up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar, the equation defining $X^* \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)\times(n+1)-1}$ is the determinant. That point leads to a higher dimensional generalization of the determinant, called hyperdeterminant, which was first introduced by Cayley (1840) and rediscovered by Gelfand, Kapranov and Zelevinsky (1992). In [6, 7] the authors define the hyperdeterminant of format $(k_1+1) \times \cdots \times (k_r+1)$ by the equation (up to scale) of the dual variety of $X = \mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{k_r} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(k_1+1)\times\cdots\times(k_r+1)-1}$. When the dual variety $X^*$ is not a hypersurface, the corresponding hyperdeterminant is defined to be zero.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a projective variety and let $T_x X$ denote the embedded tangent space of $X$ at a point $x \in \text{Sm}(X)$ (smooth points of $X$). Define the dual variety $X^*$ by

$$X^* = \{ H \in \mathbb{P}(V^*) \mid \exists x \in \text{Sm}(X) \text{ such that } T_x X \subset H \} \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*).$$

The biduality theorem $(X^*)^* = X$ (true in characteristic zero) implies that the original variety can be reconstructed from its dual variety. Thus geometric invariants of $X^*$ reflect in geometric properties of $X$. The dimension, degree and singularities of $X^*$ carry meaningful information about the hyperplane sections of $X$ (see [24]). These invariants have been studied for hyperdeterminants. In [6] a condition is given to decide whether or not the hyperdeterminant of a given format is nonzero (i.e. the dual of the Segre embedding is actually a hypersurface), moreover in the same paper the authors give a combinatorial formula to compute the degree of a given hyperdeterminant. They also conjectured that there is only one hyperdeterminant whose corresponding hypersurface is regular in codimension one, i.e. $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing}(X^*) \geq 2$, and this hyperdeterminant is of format $(2, 2, 2)$. In other words $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ is the only Segre product of at least three projective spaces whose dual variety is a normal hypersurface. That conjecture was proved by Weyman and Zelevinsky in [23].

Let $G(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}^{(n-k)}$ denote the Grassmannian of $k$-planes in $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, $k \leq n-k$, embedded through the Plücker map. Its dual variety is a hypersurface except if $k = 2$ and $n$ is odd [14]. The degree of $G(k, n)^*$ has been studied in [17]. However the study of $\text{Sing}(G(k, n)^*)$ has not been carried out so far. In this article we answer the question of the normality of the duals of Grassmannian varieties. The case of the Grassmannian of 2-planes is known and similar to the Segre product of two projective spaces. The variety $G(2, n) \subset \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^n)$ corresponds to the projectivization of the rank 2 skew-symmetric matrices and its dual is identified with degenerate skew-symmetric matrices. Like for the determinant, the singular locus of the degenerate skew-symmetric matrices is regular in codimension 1 and arithmetically Cohen Macaulay ([12]). This proves that $G(2, n)^*$ is normal.
For $k \geq 3$ the dual variety $G(k,n)^*$ is a hypersuface. Thus $G(k,n)^*$ will be normal if and only if $G(k,n)^*$ is regular in codimension one. This will be the main result of this article:

**Theorem 1.** Let $X = G(k,n) \subset \mathbb{P}^k \setminus 1$, with $k \geq 3$. The dual variety $G(k,n)^*$ is normal if and only if $X$ is one of the following:

$$G(3, 6) \subset \mathbb{P}^{19}, \ G(3, 7) \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}, \ G(3, 8) \subset \mathbb{P}^{55}$$

**Remark 1.1.** Like for hyperdeterminants the general pattern is the following: the variety $X^*$ has a singular locus of codimension one and the only exceptions come from group actions with finite numbers of orbits.

The proof is based on the calculation of the dimension of $\sigma_2(X)^*$, the dual of the secant variety of $X$, which is always a component of $\text{Sing}(X)^*$. It turns out that this component appears in the decomposition of the singular locus of hyperdeterminants by [23]. In their paper it corresponds to the general double point locus or node locus denoted by $\nabla_{\text{node}}(\emptyset)$ (i.e. the set of hyperplane having more than one point of tangency on $X$). An other component of interest is the cusp locus (i.e. set of hyperplanes defining degenerate quadrics). The geometrical meaning of $\nabla_{\text{node}}(\emptyset)$ is not emphasized in [23] when they calculate the dimension of this component. Here in the contrary we mainly use geometric arguments to calculate the dimension of $\sigma_2(X)^*$ in the general case. Let $\tilde{T}_x(2)X$ be the (cone over the) second osculating space, i.e. the linear span of second osculating spaces of smooth curves $x(t) \subset X$ with $x(0) = x$. In [3] we prove:

**Proposition 1.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n$. Assume $X^*$ is a hypersurface. Suppose for a general pair of point $(x,y) \in X \times X$ we have $\tilde{T}_x(2)X \cap \tilde{T}_yX = \{0\}$, then $\text{codim}_{X^*}\sigma_2(X)^* = 1$. In particular $X^*$ is not normal.

In [4] we apply Proposition 1 to homogeneous rational varieties. In particular we obtain the following criteria on normality of duals of homogeneous varieties $G/P$ with $G$ a simple Lie group $G$ and $P$ a parabolic subgroup. Let $R_+$ denote the set of positive roots (for some choice of the ordering of the roots of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$) and $w_0$ the involution on the dual of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$:

**Proposition 2.** Let $G$ be a simple complex Lie group and $V_\lambda$ an irreducible representation. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}(G.v_\lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ the projectivization of the highest weight orbit. If $X^*$ is normal then either $\sigma_2(X)$ is defective (i.e. not of the expected dimension) or there exists $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R_+$ such that

$$\lambda - w_0(\lambda) = \alpha + \beta + \gamma \quad (\circ)$$

The table of homogeneous varieties satisfying equation (\circ) is given and the case of defective secant with defective secant is detailed.

In [5] Proposition 1 and an explicit calculation of the second fundamental form of $\sigma_2(G(3,n))$ allow us to prove Theorem 2. We provide in that section geometric interpretations for the orbits in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8)$ and explicitly describe the bijection between orbits in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8)$ and orbits in the dual space. The orbits and their Bruhat order are written down in a graphical way in the appendix of the paper.

In [6] we show how Proposition 1 can be applied to Veronese embedding ($v_d(X)$ denotes the $d$-th Veronese re-embedding of $X$) and Segre products of nondegenerate smooth projective varieties:

**Theorem 2.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ two smooth nondegenerate projective varieties. Then

1. For $d \geq 2$, $\sigma_2(v_d(X))^*$ is a codimension one subvariety of $v_d(X)^*$ if and only if $(X,d) \neq (\mathbb{P}^n,2)$.
2. $\sigma_2(X \times Y)^*$ is a codimension one subvariety of $(X \times Y)^*$ when $Y \neq \mathbb{P}^m$ or $\sigma_2(X)$ is not defective.

As an example we recover the fact that $(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1)^*$ is the only one hyperdeterminant to be normal.

2. Notations and definitions

2.1. Second fundamental form. We work throughout with algebraic varieties over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. In particular we denote by $V$ a complex vector space of dimension $N + 1$ and $X^n \subset \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^N$ is a complex projective nondegenerate variety (i.e. not contained in a hyperplane) of dimension $n$. Given a smooth point of $X$, we denote by $T_x X$ the intrinsic tangent space, $\hat{T}_x X$ the
embedded tangent space, and \( \tilde{T}_{x}^{(2)} X \) the second osculating space of \( X \) at \( x \). The notation \( \hat{X} \) (resp. \( \hat{T}_{x}X \), ...) means we consider the cone over \( X \) (resp. the cone over the embedded tangent space, ...). Define the conormal space \( N_{x}^{*}X := \mathcal{I} \otimes (V/T_{x}X)^{*} \). To avoid unnecessary complications we ignore twists and write \( N_{x}^{*}X = (V/\tilde{T}_{x}X)^{*} \). Let \( H \) be a hyperplane tangent to \( X \) at \( x \), i.e. \( \tilde{T}_{x}X \subset H \), we denote by \( L_{H} \) the linear form on \( V \) defining \( H \), \( L_{H} \in N_{x}^{*}X \) and the restriction \( L_{H}|_{X,x} = 0 \) is a singular polynomial. Denote by \( S^{2}T_{x}^{*}X \) the space of quadratic forms on \( T_{x}X \). The quadratic part of \( L_{H}|_{X,x} = 0 \), denoted by \( Q^{H} \), allows us to define a map,

\[
II_{X,x} : \ N_{x}^{*}X \rightarrow S^{2}T_{x}^{*}X \\
L_{H} \rightarrow Q^{H}
\]

This map is the second fundamental form. Its image is a system of quadrics denoted by \( |II_{X,x}| \). We have \( |II_{X,x}| \simeq N_{x}^{*}X \) where the second conormal space is defined by \( N_{x}^{*}X = (\tilde{T}_{x}^{(2)}X/\tilde{T}_{x}X)^{*} \) (see \([23]\)). By abuse of notation we write \( H \in N_{x}^{*}X \) instead of \( L_{H} \in N_{x}^{*}X \).

We say \( x \in X \) is a general point in the sense of the Zariski topology. The locus of smooth points of \( X \) is denoted by \( Sm(X) \) and the locus of singular points by \( \text{Sing}(X) \).

2.2. Auxiliary varieties. The \( s \)-secant variety of a projective variety \( X \subset \mathbb{P}^{m} \) is the variety \( \sigma_{s}(X) \) defined to be the Zariski closure of the union of the linear span of \( s \)-tuples points of \( X \)

\[
\sigma_{s}(X) = \bigcup_{x_{1},...,x_{s} \in X} \mathbb{P}^{s-1}_{x_{1},...,x_{s}}
\]

where \( \mathbb{P}^{s-1}_{x_{1},...,x_{s}} \) is a projective space of dimension \( s - 1 \) passing through \( x_{1},...,x_{s} \). The dimension of \( \sigma_{s}(X) \) is often calculated from the famous Terracini’s Lemma \([23]\).

**Theorem 3. [Terracini’s Lemma]:** Let \( x_{1},...,x_{s} \) be a general collection of points of \( X \) and let \( z \) be a general point in \( \mathbb{P}^{s-1}_{x_{1},...,x_{s}} \). Then the tangent space to \( \sigma_{s}(X) \) at \( z \) is given by

\[
\tilde{T}_{z}\sigma_{s}(X) = \langle \tilde{T}_{x_{1}}X,...,\tilde{T}_{x_{s}}X >
\]

where \( \langle \tilde{T}_{x_{1}}X,...,\tilde{T}_{x_{s}}X > \) denotes the projective span.

**Remark 2.1.** It is clear from Terracini’s Lemma that given \( H \) a smooth point of \( \sigma_{s}(X)^{*} \), i.e. \( H \) is a general hyperplane tangent to \( \sigma_{s}(X) \), then \( H \) is tangent to \( X \) at \( s \) points. In other words Terracini’s Lemma implies \( \sigma_{s}(X)^{*} \subset X^{*} \).

Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be two projective varieties and let \( \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy} \) denote the projective line containing \( x \in X \) and \( y \in Y \). The join of \( X \) and \( Y \) is the Zariski closure of the lines joining \( X \) and \( Y \):

\[
J(X,Y) = \bigcup_{x \in X, y \in Y, x \neq y} \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy}
\]

In particular \( J(X,Y) = \sigma_{2}(X) \).

Assume \( Y \subset X \) and let \( T_{X,Y}^{*} \) denote the union of \( \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy} \)’s where \( \mathbb{P}^{1}_{1} \) is the limit of \( \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy} \) with \( x \in X, y \in Y \) and \( x,y \rightarrow y_{0} \in Y \). The union of the \( T_{X,Y}^{*} \) is called the variety of relative tangent stars of \( X \) with respect to \( Y \) (see \([23]\)):

\[
T(Y,X) = \bigcup_{y \in Y} T_{X,Y}^{*}
\]

If \( Y = X \), the variety \( T(X,X) \), also denoted by \( \tau(X) \), is the usual tangential variety.

3. Dimension of \( \sigma_{s}(X)^{*} \)

In this section we give a sufficient condition for \( \sigma_{2}(X)^{*} \) to be of maximal dimension in \( X^{*} \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( X \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \) be a smooth projective variety of dimension \( n \). Suppose for a general pair of point \( (x,y) \in X \times X \) there exists \( H \) with the following properties,

\[
\begin{cases}
\tilde{T}_{x}X \subset H, \tilde{T}_{y}X \subset H \\
\text{rank}(II_{X,x}(H)) = n \text{ and rank}(II_{X,y}(H)) = n
\end{cases}
\]
Then the Katz dimension formula \([11]\) gives the dimension of the dual of a projective variety from the rank of a generic quadric in the image of the second fundamental form. More precisely let \(Z \subset \mathbb{P}(V)\) be a projective variety of codimension \(a\) and \(z \in Z\) is a general point. Assume \(r\) is the rank of a generic quadric in \(\vert II_{Z,z}\vert\), then \(\dim(Z^*) = r + a - 1\). In particular when generic quadrics in \(\vert II_{Z,z}\vert\) are not of maximal rank, the dimension of \(Z^*\) is less than expected, i.e. \(Z^*\) is not a hypersurface and the tangent hyperplanes are tangent to \(Z\) along a (at least) one dimensional subset of \(Z\).

Let us consider \(Z = \sigma_2(X)\). By the Terracini lemma, if \((x, y)\) is a general pair of points of \(X \times X\), then the tangent space \(\tilde{T}_z\sigma_2(X)\) is constant along the line \(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy}\) (by abuse of notation we will write \(z = x + y\) the point \(z\) on \(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy}\)). Therefore a quadric in \(\vert II_{\sigma_2(X),z}\vert\) has always a degenerate direction and its rank is bounded by \(2n\). Suppose there exists \(H\) with the hypothesis of the lemma. Then we claim that \(\text{rank}(II_{\sigma_2(X),z}(H)) = 2n\). If not there exists a curve \(z(t) \not\in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy}\) such that \(H\) is tangent to \(\sigma_2(X)\) along \(z(t)\). But \(z(t) = x(t) + y(t)\) and we can suppose \(x(t) \neq x\). Then the hyperplane \(H\) is tangent to \(X\) along \(x(t)\), but it contradicts the assumption \(\text{rank}(II_{X,x}(H)) = n\). \(\square\)

**Remark 3.1.** The hypothesis on the rank of \(II_{X,x}(H)\) implies that \(X^*\) is a hypersurface.

We now state our criteria to have \(\text{codim}_{X^*}\sigma_2(X)^* = 1\):

**Proposition 1.** Let \(X \subset \mathbb{P}(V)\) be a smooth projective variety of dimension \(n\). Assume \(X^*\) is a hypersurface. Suppose for a general pair of point \((x, y) \in X \times X\) we have \(\tilde{T}_{x}^2 X \cap \tilde{T}_{y} X = \{0\}\), then \(\text{codim}_{X^*}\sigma_2(X)^* = 1\). In particular \(X^*\) is not normal.

**Remark 3.2.** In Proposition \([2]\) a consequence of the hypothesis \(\tilde{T}_{x}^2 X \cap \tilde{T}_{y} X = \{0\}\) is that \(\sigma_2(X)\) is of maximal dimension (we also say nondefective).

**Proof.** Let \(z \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{xy} \subset \sigma_2(X)\) be a general point of the 2-secant variety. Let us consider the maps:

\[
r : N_{x}^* X \rightarrow N_{z,x}^* X = (\tilde{T}_{x}^2 X/\tilde{T}_{x} X)^*
\]

\[
i : N_{z}^* \sigma_2(X) = (V/ < \tilde{T}_{y} X + \tilde{T}_{y} X >)^* \hookrightarrow N_{z}^* X.
\]

The assumption \(\tilde{T}_{x}^2 X \cap \tilde{T}_{y} X = \{0\}\) says that for any \(L \in N_{z,x}^* X\) one can find a hyperplane \(H \in N_{z,x}^* X\) such that its restriction is \(L = r(H)\), and \(T_{x} X \subset H\) (i.e. \(H\) is obtained, by the map \(i\) from a hyperplane of \(N_{z,x}^* \sigma_2(X)\), we write \(H \in N_{z,x}^* \sigma_2(X)\)). The dual variety \(X^*\) is a hypersurface by hypothesis, thus we can choose \(L\) such that \(II_{X,x}(H) = Q^L\) is of full rank. One obtains a hyperplane \(H \in N_{z,x}^* \sigma_2(X)\) such that the quadric \(Q^H = Q^L\) is of \(\vert II_{X,x}\vert\) is of rank \(n\). The same construction works for \(y\) and one obtains a hyperplane \(H' \in N_{z,y}^* \sigma_2(X)\) such that \(II_{X,y}(H') = Q^{L'}\) is of rank \(n\). We now consider the line \(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{H,H'}\). That line can be seen either as a line in the projectivized conormal space of \(X\) at \(x\) (\(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{H,H'} \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{x}^* X)\)) or in the projectivized conormal space of \(X\) at \(y\) (\(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{H,H'} \subset \mathbb{P}(N_{y}^* X)\)). In each case there is only a finite number of points on \(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{H,H'}\) such that the corresponding quadrics at \(x, y\) are not of full rank (the quadrics corresponding to \(H\) and \(H'\) being of full rank, the line can not be contained in the subvariety of degenerate quadrics). In other words there exists \(H'' \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{H,H'}\) (there exists an infinity of such) such that \(II_{X,x}(H'')\) and \(II_{X,y}(H'')\) are of rank \(n\). The lemma \([1]\) implies \(\sigma_2(X)^*\) has codimension 1 in \(X^*\). \(\square\)

4. **Application: A criteria of Normality for \((G/P)^*\)**

We apply Proposition \([1]\) when \(X = G/P\) is a rational homogeneous variety. One obtains a general criteria which is a necessary condition for \((G/P)^*\) to be normal and we list the homogeneous varieties which satisfy the criteria. Let \(G\) be a complex simple Lie group and \(P\) a parabolic subgroup. The homogeneous space \(G/P\) has a homogeneous embedding in an irreducible representation \(V_\lambda\) of \(G\) (representation of highest weight \(\lambda\)) where \(\lambda = \sum_{i} a_i \omega_i\) with \(\omega_i\) the \(i\)-th fundamental weight and \(a_i \in \mathbb{N}\). The embedding \(X = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)\) is the projectivization of the highest weight orbit, i.e. \(X = \mathbb{P}(G.v_\lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)\). For \(\lambda = \omega_i\) we denote by \(P_1\) the corresponding parabolic subgroup.
Example 4.1. (1) Let $G = SL_n$, which acts on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, and $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \leq n - 1$. The Lie group $G$ acts on $W = \Lambda^{a_1} V \otimes \Lambda^{a_2} V \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{a_n} V$, and the highest weight orbit in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is the flag variety $\mathbb{P}_{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n}$, i.e. the variety of (partial) flag $0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_p \subset V$ with $E_i$ linear space of $V$ such that $\dim(E_i) = i$. In particular the variety $F_k(V)$ is the Grassmannian of $k$-planes in $V$. The variety of complete flag $\mathbb{P}_{1, \ldots, n-1}$ is obtained with $\lambda = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_{n-1}$ and $P = B$ is the Borel subgroup of $G$ see [3].

(2) Let $G = SO_n$ acting on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, $V$ equipped with a nondegenerate quadratic form $Q$, $W = \Lambda^k V$ and $\lambda = \omega_k$. The corresponding highest weight orbit $G/P \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ is the variety $X = G_{Q}(k,n)$, the Grassmannian of isotropic $k$-planes, i.e. $X = G_{Q}(k,n) := \{ E \in G(k,n), Q(v,w) = 0 \forall v, w \in E \}$. For $k = m - 1$ and $n = 2m - 1$ (resp. $k = m$ and $n = 2m$) the variety $G_{Q}(m-1,2m-1)$ (resp. $G_{Q}(m,2m)$) has two isomorphic components. The components are called Spinor varieties $m$ and can be obtained as the highest weight orbit of the spinor representation of the group $Spin_{2m-1}$ of type $B_{m-1}$ with highest weight $\omega_{m-1}$ (resp. $Spin_{2m}$ of type $D_m$ with highest weight $\omega_m$).

(3) Let $G = Sp_{2n}$ acting on $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, $V$ equipped with a nondegenerate symplectic form $\omega$, and $W = \Lambda^k W$. The variety $X = G/P \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ is the Grassmannian of isotropic $k$-planes for $\omega$, $X = G_{\omega}(k,n) := \{ E \in G(k,n), \omega(v,w) = 0 \forall v, w \in E \}$.

(4) Let $G = E_6$ and $\lambda = \omega_1$. The homogeneous variety $E_6 = E_6/P_{1} \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{1})$, called the Severi variety of type $E_6$, can be identified with the Cayley projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$ embedded in the Jordan algebra of the $3 \times 3$ $\mathbb{O}$-Hermitian symmetric matrices, see [16, 25].

For $X = \mathbb{P}(G.V_3) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ a general pair of points can be chosen to be $(v_{3}, v_{4})$ with $\mu$ the lowest weight of the representation. The representation-theoretic interpretation of the osculating spaces of $X$ are given in [16]: consider $g$ the simple Lie algebra of $G$ and $g^{(2)}$, the second term in the natural filtration of the universal Lie algebra, i.e. $g^{(2)} = g \otimes g/\{ x \otimes y - y \otimes x \mid x, y \in g \}$, then the tangent and second osculating spaces at $v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are given by $T_{v_{3}} = g.v_{3}$ and $T_{v_{4}}^{(2)}X = g^{(2)}v_{4}$.

Moreover if we denote by $R_{+}$ the positive roots of $g$ and by $V_{\rho}$ the eigenspace corresponding to the weight $\rho$ we have (the root spaces $g_{\alpha}$ of $g$ act on the eigenspaces $V_{\rho}$ by «translation» see [5]):

$$g.v_{3} \subset v_{3} \oplus (\oplus_{\gamma \in R_{+}} V_{\lambda - \gamma})$$
$$g^{(2)}v_{4} \subset v_{4} \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha, \beta \in R_{+}} V_{\mu + \alpha + \beta})$$

The condition $T_{v_{3}}X \cap T_{v_{4}}^{(2)}X = \{ 0 \}$ is satisfied when $\lambda - \gamma \neq \mu + \alpha + \beta$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R_{+}$ and $\lambda - \gamma \neq \mu + \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \gamma \in R_{+}$ (this corresponds to $T_{v_{3}}X \cap T_{v_{4}}X = \{ 0 \}$, i.e. $\sigma_{2}(X)$ is nondefective). Denote by $w_{0}$ the involution on the dual of the Cartan subalgebra of $g$, which transforms $R_{+}$ into $R_{-}$, i.e such that $w_{0}(\lambda) = -\lambda$ then a consequence of Proposition 1 is the following general statement:

Proposition 2. Let $G$ be a simple complex Lie group and $V_{\lambda}$ an irreducible representation. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}(G.V_{\lambda}) \subset \mathbb{P}(V_{\lambda})$ the projectivization of the highest weight orbit. If $X^{*}$ is normal then either $\sigma_{2}(X)$ is defective or there exists $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R_{+}$ such that $\lambda - w_{0}(\lambda) = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$.

In Table [1] we list the homogenous varieties $G/P$ which satisfy (9), i.e. such that their duals $(G/P)^{*}$ are potentially normal.

Example 4.2. As an example we solve equation (9) when $G = F_4$ (the proof follows the same steps for the other types). We use the notation of [2] and denote by $W = \mathbb{R}^{4}$ the real vector space spanned by the root lattice of the Lie algebra of type $F_4$ with orthogonal basis $(e_{i})_{1 \leq i \leq 4}$. The positive roots are $\epsilon_{i}, \epsilon_{i} \pm \epsilon_{j}$ ($i < j$) and $\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{1} + \epsilon_{2} + \epsilon_{3} + \epsilon_{4})$. The fundamental weights are $\omega_1 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$, $\omega_2 = 2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$, $\omega_3 = \frac{1}{2}(3\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4)$ and $\omega_4 = \epsilon_1$. We consider the following norm on $W$: for all $v \in W$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} e_{i}$ we define $\| v \| = \sum_{i=1}^{4} | a_{i} |$. In particular if $\alpha$ is a positive root we have $\| \alpha \| = 1$ or 2 and the norm of the sum of three positive roots $|\alpha + \beta + \gamma\|$ is equal to 3, 4, 5 or 6. On the other hand the involution $w_{0}$ on $W$ gives $w_{0}(\epsilon_{i}) = -\epsilon_{i}$. Thus for any fundamental weight we have $\| w_{0}(\omega_{i}) \| = \| 2\omega_{i} \|$ which can be equal to 2 ($i = 4$), 4 ($i = 1$), or 6 ($i = 2, 3$). Let $\lambda$ be a highest weight for the Lie algebra
$F_{4}$, then $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_i \omega_i$ with $a_i \geq 0$ and $||\lambda - v_0(\lambda)|| = \sum_{i=1}^{4} |a_i| ||\omega_i - w_0(\omega_i)||$. The restrictions on the possible values of $||\alpha + \beta + \gamma||$ and $||\omega_i - w_0(\omega_i)||$ lead to the following list of weights $\lambda$ which can be solution of (φ): $\lambda = 3\omega_1, 2\omega_1, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3 + \omega_4$. Among those candidates one checks that only $\omega_3 - w_0(\omega_3)$ is the sum of three positive roots. Thus $\lambda = \omega_3$ is the only solution for the Lie group of type $F_4$. □

### Table 1. Homogeneous varieties which satisfy (φ)

| Type   | highest weight | $X$          |
|--------|----------------|--------------|
| $A_n$  | $3\omega_1, 3\omega_n$ | $v_3(\mathbb{P}^n)$ |
|        | $\omega_1 + \omega_2, \omega_n - 1 + \omega_n$ | $F_{1,2}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ |
|        | $\omega_1 + \omega_n - 1, \omega_2 + \omega_n$ | $F_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ |
|        | $\omega_3$ | $G(3, n)$ |
| $B_5$  | $\omega_0$ | 6 |
| $B_6$  | $\omega_0$ | 7 |
| $B_n$  | $\omega_3$ | $G_Q(3, 2n + 1)$ |
| $C_n$  | $3\omega_1$ | $v_3(\mathbb{P}^{2n-1})$ |
|        | $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ | $F_{1,2,\omega}(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$ (isotropic flag variety) |
|        | $\omega_3$ | $G_\omega(3, 2n)$ |
| $D_6$  | $\omega_0$ | 6 |
| $D_7$  | $\omega_7$ | 7 |
| $D_n$  | $\omega_3$ | $G_Q(3, 2n)$ |
| $E_6$  | $\omega_3, \omega_5$ | $E_6/P_3$ |
| $E_7$  | $\omega_2$ | $E_7/P_2$ |
|        | $\omega_7$ | $E_7/P_7$ |
| $F_4$  | $\omega_3$ | $F_4/P_3$ |

We now discuss the normality of the dual of $(G/P)$ when $(G/P)$ has a defective secant variety. The homogeneous varieties with defective secant are (see $[10]$): the smooth quadric hypersurface $\mathbb{Q}^n$, the 5-Spinor variety 5, the Scorza varieties ($v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)$, $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$, $G(2, n)$, $E_6$), the general hyperplane section of $E_6$ and the adjoint varieties (highest weight orbit for the action of $G$ on $P(g)$).

1. The varieties $\mathbb{Q}^n$, 5 are smooth self-dual varieties and therefore normal.
2. The varieties $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^n$, $G(2, n)$ have normal duals as it has been recalled in the introduction. The same is true for $v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)$ as it will be stated in $[6]$.
3. A description of the varieties $E_6 = E_6/P_3$ and $F_4/P_3 = E_6 \cap H$ (general hyperplane section of $E_6$) and their duals can be found in $[25]$ Chapter III. The dual of the Severi variety $E_6$ is normal and the dual of $E_6 \cap H$ is not.
4. The normality of the duals of the adjoint varieties can be solved using results of $[13]$. In that paper F. Knop studied the hyperplane sections of the adjoint varieties. Because a normal variety is regular in codimension 1, a dual hypersurface $X^*$ is normal if and only if it parametrizes hyperplane sections of $X$ with either a unique quadratic singularity (hyperplanes which correspond to smooth points of $X^*$) or with nonisolated singularities (hyperplanes in $\text{Sing}(X^*)$). Following Knop’s Theorem only the adjoint varieties for the Lie groups $Sp_n$ (i.e. $X = v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)$ see $[6]$) and $G_2$ (denoted by $X = G_2/P_3$) have singular hyperplane sections with either a unique quadratic singularity or nonisolated singularities. Thus the only adjoint varieties with normal duals are $v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)$ and $G_2/P_3$.

**Remark 4.1.** Some varieties of Table 1 have been studied in details and we know according to $[15]$ that the varieties $G_\omega(3, 6)$, $G(3, 6)$, 6, $E_7/P_7$ have normal duals.

5. **Normality of the duals of Grassmannians**

In this section we prove Theorem 4 in three steps. First we apply Proposition 1 (we recover without reference to roots and weights the result of §4 in the case of the Grassmannians). Then we study in...
details the case of \( G(3, n) \) with \( n \geq 9 \) where Proposition 1 does not apply directly. The remaining cases correspond to the action of \( SL_n \) on \( \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^n \) with finitely many orbits \( (n = 6, 7, 8) \). In \[4\] we will recover the result of \[22\] on normality of hyperdeterminants following the same three steps.

5.1. The varieties \( G(k, n) \) with \( k \geq 4 \). Proposition 1 implies \( G(k, n)^* \) is not normal for \( k \geq 4 \):

**Proposition 3.** Let \( X = G(k, n) \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \), with \( k \geq 3 \). Given a general pair of points \((x, y) \in G(k, n) \times G(k, n)\) we have

\[
\mathcal{T}_x^{(2)} G(k, n) \cap \mathcal{T}_y G(k, n) \neq \{0\} \iff k = 3
\]

*Proof.* Consider \( E \) and \( E' \) two transverse \( k \)-planes in \( V = \mathbb{C}^n \), i.e. \([(E), (E')]\) is a general pair of point in \( G(k, n) \times G(k, n) \). The tangent and second osculating spaces at \( E \) and \( E' \) are:

\[
\hat{T}_E G(k, n) = \Lambda^{k-1} E V \text{ and } \hat{T}_E^{(2)} G(k, n) = \Lambda^{k-2} E' \Lambda(\Lambda^2 V)
\]

It is clear that \( \hat{T}_E G(k, n) \cap \hat{T}_E^{(2)} G(k, n) = \{0\} \) for all \( k \) such that \( k - 2 \geq 2 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 5.1.** If \( k \geq 4 \) then \( G(k, n)^* \) is singular in codimension one.

5.2. The varieties \( G(3, n) \) with \( n \geq 9 \). In the case of Grassmannians of 3-planes Proposition 1 does not allow us to conclude. However the proof of Proposition 1 is based on the existence of a hyperplane \( H \in N^*_x X \) such that \( r(H) \) is of maximal rank and \( H \supset T_y X \). We now prove the existence of such a hyperplane for \( k = 3 \) and \( n \geq 9 \). Let \( e_1, \ldots, e_n \) a basis of \( V = \mathbb{C}^n \). Using Plücker embedding we denote a general pair of points \((x, y) \) by \( x = [e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3] \) and \( y = [e_4 \wedge e_5 \wedge e_6] \) \((U = \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \) and \( U' = \langle e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle \) be the corresponding 3-planes in \( V = \mathbb{C}^n \). A direct calculation shows that

\[
\hat{T}_x^{(2)} G(3, n) \cap \hat{T}_y G(3, n) = U \Lambda(\Lambda^2 U')
\]

Thus \( H \in N^*_x G(3, n) \) is tangent to \( G(3, n) \) at \( y \) if and only if

\[
r(H) \in (\hat{T}_x^{(2)} G(3, n) / \hat{T}_x G(3, n) + \hat{T}_x^{(2)} G(3, n) \cap \hat{T}_y G(3, n))^*
\]

i.e.

\[
r(H) \in (U \Lambda(\Lambda^2 U') / (\Lambda^2 U \Lambda V + U \Lambda(\Lambda^2 U')))^*
\]

Given such \( H \) can we have \( H_{G(3, n), e_1, e_2, e_3} (H) \) is a quadric of full rank? To answer that question one needs to compute \( H_{G(3, n), e_i, e_j, e_k} \). This can be done using moving frames techniques (see \[22\] page 100):

\[
H_{G(3, n), e_i, e_j, e_k} = \sum_{s \leq t} \omega^s \omega^t - \omega^s \omega^t e_s \wedge e_t \wedge e_i + (\omega^s \omega^t - \omega^s \omega^t) e_s \wedge e_t \wedge e_r + \omega^s \omega^t e_s \wedge e_t \wedge e_i
\]

where \( \omega = (\omega^s) \) is the Maurer-Cartan form for the \( GL(\mathbb{C}^n) \)-frame bundle with indices \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \) and \( 4 \leq s \leq n \). Considering \( \{\omega^s\} \) as a basis of \( T_{e_1, e_2, e_3} G(3, n) \), then for any \( H \in N^*_x G(3, n) \) the quadric \( H_{G(3, n), e_i, e_j, e_k} (H) \in S^2 T^*_x G(3, n) \) is of type

\[
Q^H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ tA & 0 & C \\ tB & tC & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( A, B, C \) being skew symmetric matrices of size \((n - 3) \times (n - 3)\).

The condition \( r(H) \in (U \Lambda(\Lambda^2 U') / (\Lambda^2 U \Lambda V + U \Lambda(\Lambda^2 U')))^* \) is equivalent to the fact that there are no terms of type \( \omega^s \omega^t - \omega^s \omega^t, \omega^s \omega^t - \omega^s \omega^t \) and \( \omega^s \omega^t - \omega^s \omega^t \) with \( 4 \leq s < t \leq 6 \) in \( H(H) \). In other words the matrices \( A, B, C \) are skew-symmetric and of type:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}
\]
One needs to determine if one can build a symmetric matrix $Q^H$ of maximal rank of type $(\star)$ with the condition $(\star \star)$ on the blocks. If such a quadric exists then there exists $H$ such that $H$ is tangent to $X$ at $x$ and $y$ and $Q^H$ is of maximal rank.

Lemma 2. For $n \geq 9$ such a quadric exists.

Proof. By induction

- From $n$ to $n + 3$: suppose $Q$ is a quadric satisfying $(\star)$ and $(\star \star)$. We consider the basis \( \{ \omega_1^s, \omega_2^s, \omega_3^s, \omega_4^s, \omega_5^s, \omega_6^s, \omega_7^s, \omega_8^s, \omega_9^s \} \) with $4 \leq s \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then the following quadric of size $(3(n + 3))^2$ satisfies $(\star)$ and $(\star \star)$:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & q
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where $q$ is a symmetric matrix of size $9 \times 9$ and rank 9 satisfying $(\star)$.

- For $n = 9, 10, 11$ we give explicit examples of symmetric matrices satisfying $(\star), (\star \star)$:

1. For $n = 9$ we consider the blocks:

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
C = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

2. For $n = 10$,

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
C = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

3. For $n = 11$,

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Corollary 5.2. If \( n \geq 9 \) then \( G(3, n)^* \) is singular in codimension 1.

5.3. The varieties \( G(3, 6), G(3, 7) \) and \( G(3, 8) \). To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we prove that \( G(3, 6)^*, G(3, 7)^* \) and \( G(3, 8)^* \) are regular in codimension one (the cases \( G(3, 5) \) and \( G(3, 4) \) follow from \( G(3, 5) \cong G(2, 5) \) and \( G(3, 4) \cong \mathbb{P}^3 \)). The classification of orbits for the action of \( SL_n \) on \( \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^n \) is known for \( n \leq 8 \) (there is a classification for \( n = 9 \) but the number of orbits is not finite) see [1] [8]. For \( n = 6, 7 \) the geometric nature of the orbits has been investigated in various papers ([3] [13] for \( n = 6 \) and [1] for \( n = 7 \)). However for \( n = 8 \) we did not find in the literature a geometric approach for the orbits decomposition. We take advantage of the present paper to put together what is known for \( n = 6, 7 \) and provide geometric descriptions for \( n = 8 \). In particular we describe the duality between the orbits and answer in this particular case a question of E. A. Tevelev on group actions with finitely many orbits (see §2.2 of [22] on the Pyasetskii Pairing). To simplify the notation we will write \( e_{ijk} \) for \( e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k \). The following tables give for each orbit a representative, the dimension and the variety corresponding to the closure of the orbit. A direct consequence of the tables is:

Corollary 5.3. The varieties \( G(3, 6)^*, G(3, 7)^* \) and \( G(3, 8)^* \) are regular in codimension one.

Remark 5.1. The corollaries 5.2, 5.3 prove Theorem 1.

Table 2. \( SL_6 \)-orbits in \( \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6) \)

| Representative | Dimension | Geometric interpretation |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| \( e_{123} \)  | 9         | \( G(3, 6) \)           |
| \( e_{123} + e_{345} \) | 14     | \( \text{Sing}(G(3, 6)^*) \cong \sigma_{2,+}(G(3, 6)) \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{345} + e_{156} \) | 18     | \( \tau(G(3, 6)) \cong G(3, 6)^* \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{456} \) | 19     | \( \mathbb{P}^{19} \) |

Table 3. \( SL_7 \)-orbits in \( \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^7) \)

| Representative | Dimension | Geometric interpretation |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| \( e_{123} \)  | 12        | \( G(3, 7) \)           |
| \( e_{123} + e_{147} \) | 19     | \( \sigma_{2,+}(G(3, 7)) \) |
| \( e_{456} + e_{147} + e_{257} \) | 24     | \( \tau(G(3, 7)) \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{456} \) | 25     | \( \sigma_2(G(3, 7)) \) |
| \( e_{147} + e_{257} + e_{367} \) | 20     | \( \sigma_2(G(3, 7))^* \) |
| \( e_{456} + e_{147} + e_{257} + e_{367} \) | 27     | \( \tau(G(3, 7))^* \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{456} + e_{147} \) | 30     | \( \text{Sing}(G(3, 7)^*) \cong \sigma_{2,+}(G(3, 7))^* \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{456} + e_{147} + e_{257} + e_{367} \) | 33     | \( G(3, 7)^* \) |
| \( e_{123} + e_{456} + e_{147} + e_{257} + e_{367} \) | 34     | \( \mathbb{P}^{34} \) |

Remark 5.2. The variety \( \sigma_{2,+}(G(3, n)) \) is defined as the set of chords \( \mathbb{P}^1_{xy} \) such that \( x, y \in G(3, n) \) and the corresponding 3-planes intersect along a line. In the three tables we have \( \text{Sing}(X)^* \cong \sigma_{2,+}(G(3, n))^* \).
In Table 5 this variety is self-dual. The variety \( \sigma_{3,+}(G(3,8)) \), in Table 2 is defined as the closure of the set of planes \( \mathbb{P}^2_{xyz} \) passing through \( x, y, z \in G(3,8) \) such that the three corresponding 3-planes in \( \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8 \) meet along a line.

| Orbit | Representative | Dimension | Geometric interpretation |
|-------|---------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| II    | \( e_{123} \) | 15        | \( G(3,8) \)           |
| III   | \( e_{123} + e_{145} \) | 24        | \( \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8)) \) |
| IV    | \( e_{124} + e_{135} + e_{236} \) | 30        | \( \tau(G(3,8)) \) |
| V     | \( e_{123} + e_{146} \) | 31        | \( \sigma_2(G(3,8)) \) |
| VI    | \( e_{123} + e_{145} + e_{167} \) | 27        | \( \sigma_{3,+}(G(3,8)) \) |
| VII   | \( e_{125} + e_{136} + e_{147} + e_{234} \) | 34        | \( X_7 \) |
| VIII  | \( e_{134} + e_{256} + e_{127} \) | 37        | \( J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8)))^* \) |
| IX    | \( e_{125} + e_{134} + e_{137} + e_{247} \) | 40        | \( J(G(3,8), \sigma_{3,+}(G(3,8)))^* \) |
| X     | \( e_{123} + e_{156} + e_{147} + e_{247} + e_{257} + e_{367} \) | 41        | \( \sigma_{3,+}(G(3,8))^* \) |
| XI    | \( e_{127} + e_{138} + e_{146} + e_{235} \) | 39        | \( T(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) \) |
| XII   | \( e_{128} + e_{137} + e_{146} + e_{236} + e_{245} \) | 42        | \( T(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8)))^* \) |
| XIII  | \( e_{135} + e_{246} + e_{147} + e_{238} \) | 43        | \( X_{13} \simeq X_{13} \) |
| XIV   | \( e_{138} + e_{147} + e_{156} + e_{235} + e_{246} \) | 45        | \( T(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \) |
| XV    | \( e_{124} + e_{137} + e_{146} + e_{247} + e_{256} + e_{345} \) | 47        | \( T(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8)))^* \) |
| XVI   | \( e_{156} + e_{178} + e_{234} \) | 40        | \( J(G(3,8), \sigma_2(G(3,8))) \) |
| XVII  | \( e_{158} + e_{167} + e_{234} + e_{246} + e_{256} + e_{345} \) | 46        | \( J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \) |
| XVIII | \( e_{148} + e_{157} + e_{236} + e_{245} + e_{347} \) | 49        | \( X_7^* \) |
| XIX   | \( e_{134} + e_{234} + e_{156} + e_{278} \) | 47        | \( \sigma_2(G(3,8))^* \simeq \sigma_3(G(3,8)) \) |
| XX    | \( e_{137} + e_{237} + e_{256} + e_{148} + e_{345} \) | 51        | \( \tau(G(3,8))^* \) |
| XXI   | \( e_{138} + e_{147} + e_{245} + e_{267} + e_{356} \) | 52        | \( \text{Sing}(G(3,8))^* \simeq \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))^* \) |
| XXII  | \( e_{128} + e_{147} + e_{236} + e_{257} + e_{358} + e_{456} \) | 54        | \( G(3,8)^* \) |
| XXIII | \( e_{124} + e_{134} + e_{256} + e_{378} + e_{157} + e_{468} \) | 55        | \( \mathbb{P}^{25} \) |

**Remark 5.3.** The varieties corresponding to orbits VII and XIII (notations of D. Ž. Djoković) are denoted by \( X_7 \) and \( X_{13} \) because we do not have a geometric interpretation for those orbits. The variety \( X_{13} \) is a new example of non-smooth self-dual variety. For more examples of non-smooth self-dual varieties arising from group actions see [19, 20].

**Proof.** The first five orbits are clearly identified from their representatives. The same is true for \( \mathcal{O}_{XVI} \) (closure of orbit XVI): its representative \( e_{156} + e_{178} + e_{234} \) is a general point of \( J(G(3,8), \sigma_2(G(3,8))) \). That variety has the expected dimension,

\[
\dim(J(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8)))) = \dim(G(3,8)) + \dim(\sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) + 1 = 40
\]

therefore there exists an orbit of dimension 39 which corresponds to \( T(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) \) (this is a consequence of the Fulton-Hansen connectedness Theorem, [25]). But there is only one orbit of dimension 39, thus \( \mathcal{O}_{XIII} = T(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) \). The variety \( J(G(3,8), \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) \) is included in \( \sigma_3(G(3,8)) \) and we know by [4] that \( \sigma_3(G(3,8)) \) has dimension 47. The order among the orbits ([4] and the appendix of this article) proves that \( \mathcal{O}_{XIX} = \sigma_3(G(3,8)) \). The representative of \( \mathcal{O}_{XVII}, \quad e_{158} + e_{167} + e_{234} + e_{246} + e_{256} + e_{345} \)

\[
e_{158} + e_{246} + e_{256} + e_{245} + e_{347} \]

belongs to \( J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \). Thus \( \mathcal{O}_{XVII} \subset J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \). But \( \dim(\mathcal{O}_{XVII}) = 46 \) which is the expected dimension of \( J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \). It proves \( \mathcal{O}_{XVII} = J(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \). Then there exists an orbit of dimension 45 which corresponds by the Fulton-Hansen Theorem to \( T(G(3,8), \tau(G(3,8))) \) and this orbit is \( \mathcal{O}_{XIV} \).

To prove the duality between the orbits we first identify \( \Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8 \) and \( \Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^*)^8 \) by the usual pairing

\[
<e_{ijk}, e^{*kl}> = \det(e^{*(ei)})_{i=j,k=u=r,s,t}
\]

where \( e^1, \ldots, e^8 \) is a basis of \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^8 \). For each orbit \( \mathcal{O} \) we construct
y ∈ (T_xO)\perp such that y is a representative of an orbit O'. That construction shows O' ⊂ O\perp. Then the order among the orbits allow to conclude:

1. Clearly G(3,8)\perp ∼ O_{XXII}.
2. Let x = e_{846} + e_{857} a representative of \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8)), the element y = e_{138} + e_{147} + e_{245} + e_{267} + e_{356} ∈ (T_x\sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8)))\perp. Thus \mathbb{P}(G,y) \subset \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))\perp. But y is a representative of O_{XXI}. Then we conclude O_{XXI} \subset \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))\perp ⊂ O_{XXII} and therefore O_{XXI} ∼ \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))\perp because there is no orbit between O_{XXI} and O_{XXII}.
3. In the next table we give for each orbit O a representative x, an element y ∈ (T_xO)\perp and the orbit corresponding to \mathbb{P}(O,y). This table proves \mathbb{P}(O,y) ⊂ O\perp and we conclude to the equality by looking at the order among the orbits (see the appendix and [4]):

Table 5. Duality between the orbits

| Orbit O = \mathbb{P}(G,x) | Representative x | Representative y ∈ (T_yO)\perp | Orbit \mathbb{P}(G,y) |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| \sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))     | \epsilon_{846} + \epsilon_{857} | \epsilon_{138} + \epsilon_{147} + \epsilon_{245} + \epsilon_{267} + \epsilon_{356} | O_{XXI}           |
| \tau(G(3,8))             | \epsilon_{467} + \epsilon_{368} + \epsilon_{578} | \epsilon_{137} + \epsilon_{217} + \epsilon_{256} + \epsilon_{148} + \epsilon_{345} | O_{XX}            |
| \sigma(G(3,8))           | \epsilon_{357} + \epsilon_{368} | \epsilon_{134} + \epsilon_{234} + \epsilon_{156} + \epsilon_{278} | O_{XIX}           |
| \chi_{7}                 | \epsilon_{385} + \epsilon_{372} + \epsilon_{464} + \epsilon_{567} | \epsilon_{148} + \epsilon_{157} + \epsilon_{236} + \epsilon_{245} + \epsilon_{347} | O_{XVII}          |
| \sigma_{VIII}            | \epsilon_{134} + \epsilon_{256} + \epsilon_{127} | \epsilon_{832} + \epsilon_{831} + \epsilon_{764} + \epsilon_{375} | J(G(3,8),\tau(G(3,8))) |
| \sigma_{IX}             | \epsilon_{126} + \epsilon_{346} + \epsilon_{137} + \epsilon_{247} | \epsilon_{841} + \epsilon_{823} + \epsilon_{567} | J(G(3,6),\sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) |
| T(G(3,8),\sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))) | \epsilon_{821} + \epsilon_{836} + \epsilon_{875} + \epsilon_{472} | \epsilon_{128} + \epsilon_{137} + \epsilon_{146} + \epsilon_{247} + \epsilon_{256} + \epsilon_{345} | O_{XV} |
| \sigma_{XII}            | \epsilon_{126} + \epsilon_{346} + \epsilon_{137} + \epsilon_{247} | \epsilon_{812} + \epsilon_{865} + \epsilon_{834} + \epsilon_{731} + \epsilon_{754} | T(G(3,8),\tau(G(3,8))) |
| \chi_{13}               | \epsilon_{752} + \epsilon_{861} + \epsilon_{763} + \epsilon_{845} | \epsilon_{135} + \epsilon_{246} + \epsilon_{147} + \epsilon_{238} | \chi_{13} |
| \sigma_{3,+}(G(3,8))    | \epsilon_{815} + \epsilon_{826} + \epsilon_{834} | \epsilon_{123} + \epsilon_{456} + \epsilon_{147} + \epsilon_{257} + \epsilon_{367} | O_{X}           |

Remark 5.4. The representatives for orbits with geometric interpretation can easily be identified. For instance it is clear that \epsilon_{467} + \epsilon_{368} + \epsilon_{578} is a representative of the tangential variety. The varieties for which we need to explicitly write the new indexation of the basis to identify the representative are T(G(3,8),\sigma_{2,+}(G(3,8))), \chi_{13}, T(G(3,8),\tau(G(3,8))) and \chi_{7}. For instance for T(G(3,8),\tau(G(3,8))) we consider the following change of basis g: \epsilon_3 → \epsilon_1, \epsilon_1 → \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2 → \epsilon_8, \epsilon_8 → -\epsilon_4, \epsilon_6 → \epsilon_7, \epsilon_3 → \epsilon_5, \epsilon_4 → \epsilon_6, \epsilon_7 → -\epsilon_2, with g ∈ SL_8 and g(\epsilon_{846} + \epsilon_{865} + \epsilon_{834} + \epsilon_{731} + \epsilon_{754}) = \epsilon_{138} + \epsilon_{147} + \epsilon_{156} + \epsilon_{235} + \epsilon_{246} which is the representative of T(G(3,8),\tau(G(3,8))) in Table 5. Similar changes of basis exist for the remaining cases.

6. Veronese embeddings and Segre products

The Proposition [4] can be used to get similar results on Veronese re-embeddings and Segre products of smooth projective varieties.

Theorem 2. Let X ⊂ \mathbb{P}^n and Y ⊂ \mathbb{P}^m two smooth nondegenerate projective varieties. Then

1. For d ≥ 2, \sigma_2(v_d(X))^* is a codimension one subvariety of v_d(X)^* if and only if (X,d) ≠ (\mathbb{P}^n,2).
2. \sigma_2(X × Y)^* is a codimension one subvariety of (X × Y)^* when either X × Y ≠ X × \mathbb{P}^m or \sigma_2(X) is not defective.

Proof. We calculate the tangent space and the second osculating space:
(1) Let \((x^d, y^d)\) be a general pair of points of \(v_d(X)\) then using Leibniz’s rule we have \(\tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x^d} X = \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x^d} X \circ x^{d-1} + T_{x^d} X \circ \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x^d} X \circ x^{d-2}\) and \(\tilde{T}^{(2)}_{y^d} v_d(X) = T_{y^d} X \circ y^{d-1}\). The intersection \(\tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x^d} X \cap \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{y^d} v_d(X) \neq \{0\}\) if and only if \(d = 2\) and \(y \in T_x X\) i.e. \(X = \mathbb{P}^n\). Thus Proposition 1 applies. On the other hand it is known that \(v_2(\mathbb{P}^n)\) is regular in codimension one (25).

(2) Let \((x \otimes y, u \otimes v)\) be a general pair of points of \(X \times Y\). Then \(\tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x \otimes y} (X \times Y) = \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x} X \otimes \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{y} X \otimes T_{y} Y + x \otimes \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{y} Y + \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{u \otimes v} (X \times Y) = \tilde{T} u \otimes v \otimes V + u \otimes \tilde{T} v Y\). The intersection \(\tilde{T}^{(2)}_{x \otimes y} (X \times Y) \cap \tilde{T}^{(2)}_{u \otimes v} (X \times Y)\) does not reduced to 0 only if
(a) \(\tilde{T} x X = \mathbb{P}^n\) and \(\tilde{T} y Y \cap \tilde{T} u Y \neq \{0\}\) i.e. \(X = \mathbb{P}^n\) and \(\sigma_2(Y)\) is defective.
(b) \(\tilde{T} u X = \mathbb{P}^n\) and \(\tilde{T} y^{2} Y = \mathbb{P}^m\) i.e. \(X = \mathbb{P}^m\) and \(\sigma_2(Y)\) is defective.
(c) \(\tilde{T} u Y = \mathbb{P}^m\) and \(\tilde{T} y^{2} X = \mathbb{P}^m\) i.e. \(Y = \mathbb{P}^m\) and \(\sigma_2(X)\) is defective.
(d) \(\tilde{T} y Y = \mathbb{P}^m\) and \(\tilde{T} y X \cap \tilde{T} u X \neq \{0\}\) i.e. \(Y = \mathbb{P}^m\) and \(\sigma_2(X)\) is defective.

Thus Proposition 1 applies outside the previous four cases. □

Back to Hyperdeterminants: The steps we followed in (2) allow us to recover the result on normality of hyperdeterminants. Let \(X = \mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{P}^{k_s} \subset \mathbb{P}^{(k_1+1)(k_2+1)-(k_1+1)-1}\). Suppose \(k_1 \leq k_2 + \cdots + k_s + 1\) so that \(X^*\) is a hypersurface (6):
(1) The second part of Theorem 2 shows that for hyperdeterminants the only chance to get a dual variety regular in codimension one is when we consider \(X = \mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_3}\).
(2) Similar arguments to (5) prove that \((\mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_3})^*\) is singular in codimension 1 when \(k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \geq 6\). More precisely the calculation on the rank of specific quadrics of \(\bigdet_{\mathbb{P}^{k_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_2} \times \mathbb{P}^{k_3}}\) leads to consider the matrices of type \(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ t' A & 0 & C \\ t' B & t' C & 0 \end{pmatrix}\) with blocks \(A, B, C\) respectively of size \(k_1 \times k_2, k_1 \times k_3, k_2 \times k_3\) and with the additional condition \(a_{11} = b_{11} = c_{11} = 0\). The condition on the corner entry of each block appears from the same reason as condition (**) in section 5.
(3) To finish the proof we consider the following orbits:
(a) action of \(SL_3 \times SL_3 \times SL_2\) on \(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\),
(b) action of \(SL_3 \times SL_2 \times SL_2\) on \(\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\),
(c) action of \(SL_3 \times SL_2 \times SL_2\) on \(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2\).
All of those group actions have finitely many orbits and there is bijection between the orbits in \(\mathbb{P}(V_1 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4)\) and \(\mathbb{P}(V_1^* \otimes V_2^* \otimes V_3^*)\) (see 18). It follows that we find a hypersurface regular in codimension 1 only in (c).
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Appendix A. Orbits decompositions

We reproduce the order relation among the orbits for \( k = 3 \) and \( n = 6, 7, 8 \). We use the graphical notation coming from [21] and [1]. Each node corresponds to an element of the basis of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). The linked nodes represent a tri-vector and for each diagram a representative of an orbit is the sum of the corresponding trivectors. We number the nodes only for the orbit corresponding to the ambient space. The representatives are those of Gurevich’s book [3].

\[ \tau(G(3,6)) \]
\[ \sigma(G(3,7)) \]
\[ G(3,7) \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,6)) \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,7)) \]
\[ \tau(G(3,7)) \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,7))^* \]
\[ \tau(G(3,7))^* \]
\[ \sigma(G(3,7))^* \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,7))^* \]
\[ \tau(G(3,7)) \]
\[ \tau(G(3,7)) \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,7)) \]
\[ \sigma_2(G(3,7)) \]
\[ G(3,7) \]

\[ \mathbb{P}^{19} = \sigma_2(G(3,6)) \]

\[ G(3,7)^* \simeq \sigma_3(G(3,7)) \]

\[ \text{Sing}(G(3,7)^*) \simeq \sigma_2(G(3,7))^* \]

\[ \text{Figure 1. Orbits in } \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^6) \]

\[ \text{Figure 2. Orbits in } \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^7) \]
Figure 3. Orbits in $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^3 \mathbb{C}^8)$