ON THE MAXIMUM ORDERS OF ELEMENTS OF FINITE ALMOST
SIMPLE GROUPS AND PRIMITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS
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ABSTRACT. We determine upper bounds for the maximum order of an element of a finite almost simple group with socle $T$ in terms of the minimum index $m(T)$ of a maximal subgroup of $T$: for $T$ not an alternating group we prove that, with finitely many exceptions, the maximum element order is at most $m(T)$. Moreover, apart from an explicit list of groups, the bound can be reduced to $m(T)/4$. These results are applied to determine all primitive permutation groups on a set of size $n$ that contain permutations of order greater than or equal to $n/4$.

1. Introduction

In 1903, Edmund Landau [25, 26] proved that the maximum order of an element of the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$ or alternating group $\text{Alt}(n)$ of degree $n$ is $e^{(1+o(1))(n \log n)^{1/2}}$, though it is now known from work of Erdős and Turan [13, 14] that most elements have far smaller orders, namely at most $n^{(1/2+o(1)) \log n}$ (see also [3, 4]). Both of these bounds compare the element orders with the parameter $n$, which is the least degree of a faithful permutation representation of $\text{Sym}(n)$ or $\text{Alt}(n)$. Here we investigate this problem for all finite almost simple groups:

Find upper bounds for the maximum element order of an almost simple group with socle $T$ in terms of the minimum degree $m(T)$ of a faithful permutation representation of $T$.

We discover that the alternating and symmetric groups are exceptional with regard to this element order comparison. We also study maximal element orders for many natural classes of subgroups of $\text{Sym}(n)$, in particular for many families of primitive subgroups. Our most general result for almost simple groups is Theorem 1.1. For a group $G$ we denote by $\text{meo}(G)$ the maximum order of an element of $G$. We note that the value of $\text{meo}(T)$ for $T$ a simple classical group of odd characteristic was determined in [22] and its relation to $m(T)$ can be deduced. If $G$ is almost simple, say $T \leq G \leq \text{Aut}(T)$ with its socle $T$ a non-abelian simple group, then naturally $\text{meo}(G) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))$.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $G$ be a finite almost simple group with socle $T$, such that $T \neq \text{Alt}(m)$ for any $m \geq 5$. Then with finitely many exceptions, $\text{meo}(G) \leq m(T)$; and indeed either $T = \text{PSL}_d(q)$ for some $d, q$, or $\text{meo}(G) \leq m(T)^{3/4}$. Moreover, given positive $\epsilon, A > 0$, there exists $Q = Q(\epsilon, A)$ such that, if $T = \text{PSU}_4(q)$ with $q > Q$, then $\text{meo}(G) > A m(T)^{3/4-\epsilon}$.

We note again that this result gives upper bounds for $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))$ in terms of $m(T)$, and for $\text{meo}(G)$ in terms of $m(G)$ (since $m(T) \leq m(G)$). Moreover equality in the upper bound $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \leq m(T)$ holds when $T = \text{PSL}_d(q)$ for all but two pairs $(d, q)$, see Table 3 and Theorem 2.16. (Theorem 2.16 and Table 3 provide good estimates for
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meo(Aut(T)) for all finite classical simple groups T in terms of the field size and dimension. We are particularly interested in linear upper bounds for meo(Aut(T)) of the form $cm(T)$ with a constant $c < 1$. It turns out that, after excluding the groups $\text{Alt}(m)$ and $\text{PSL}_d(q)$, such an upper bound holds with the constant $c = 1/4$ for all but 12 simple groups $T$.

**Theorem 1.2.** For a finite non-abelian simple group $T$, either $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) < m(T)/4$, or $T$ is listed in Table 1.

| $M_{11}$ | $M_{23}$ | $\text{Alt}(m)$ | $\text{PSL}_d(q)$ | $\text{PSU}_3(3)$ | $\text{PSp}_6(2)$ | $\text{PSU}_3(5)$ | $\text{PSp}_8(2)$ | $\text{PSU}_4(3)$ | $\text{PSp}_4(3)$ |
|----------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|

**Table 1.** Exceptions in Theorem 1.2

Clearly, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 do not provide the last word on this type of result. One might wonder, if minded so, “What is the slowest growing function of $m(T)$ with the property that Theorem 1.2 is still valid?” (possibly allowing a finite extension of the list in Table 1). We do not investigate this here. Instead we turn our attention to $\text{meo}(G)$ for a wider family of primitive permutation groups $G$ than the almost simple primitive groups. For such groups of degree $n$, it also turns out that $\text{meo}(G) < n/4$, apart from a number of explicitly determined families and individual primitive groups. We refer to [19] for the affine case in which $G$ has an abelian socle, since the proof in that case is very delicate and quite different from the arguments in this paper, which are based on properties of finite simple groups.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let $G$ be a finite primitive permutation group of degree $n$ such that $\text{meo}(G)$ is at least $n/4$. Then the socle $N \cong T^\ell$ of $G$ is isomorphic to one of the following (where $k, \ell \geq 1$):

1. $\text{Alt}(m)^\ell$ in its natural action on $\ell$-tuples of $k$-subsets from $\{1, \ldots, m\}$;
2. $\text{PSL}_d(q)^\ell$ in either of its natural actions on $\ell$-tuples of points, or $\ell$-tuples of hyperplanes, of the projective space $\text{PG}_{d-1}(q)$;
3. an elementary abelian group $C_p^\ell$ and $G$ is described in [19]; or to
4. one of the groups in Table 2.

Moreover, there exists a positive integer $\ell_T$, depending only on $T$, such that $\ell \leq \ell_T$.

**Remark 1.4.** The possibilities for the degree $n$ of $G$ in Theorem 1.3(4) are, in fact, quite restricted. In column 2 of Table 6, we list the possibilities for the degree $m$ of the permutation representation of the socle factor $T$ of a primitive group $G$ of PA type of degree $n = m^\ell$. The integer $\ell$ can be as small as 1, in which case $G$ is of AS type, and has maximum value $\ell_T$, which is also listed in column 2. If $G$ is of HS or SD type (with socle $\text{Alt}(5)^2$) then we simply have $n = 60$.

Our choice of $n/4$ in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is in some sense arbitrary. However it yields a list of exceptions that is not too cumbersome to obtain and to use, and yet is sufficient to provide useful information on the normal covering number of $\text{Sym}(m)$, an application described in [20]. (The normal covering number of a non-cyclic group $G$ is the smallest number of conjugacy classes of proper subgroups of $G$ such that the union of the subgroups in all of these conjugacy classes is equal to $G$, that is to say the classes ‘cover’ $G$.) In [20] we use Theorem 1.3 to study primitive permutation groups containing elements with at most four cycles, and our results about such groups yield critical information on normal covers of $\text{Sym}(n)$, and a consequent number theoretic application. The primitive groups containing at most two cycles have been classified by Müller [34], also for applications in number theory. Moreover, many of our methods and results, both here and in [20], were inspired by, and are quite similar to, the methods and results in [34].
| Alt(5) | $M_{11}$ | $PSL_2(7)$ | $PSL_2(49)$ | PSU$_3(3)$ | PSp$_6(2)$ | Alt(5)$^2$ |
| Alt(6) | $M_{12}$ | $PSL_2(8)$ | $PSL_3(3)$ | PSU$_4(3)$ | PSp$_8(2)$ | $T^T$ where $T$ is one of the groups in the AS type part of this table |
| Alt(7) | $M_{22}$ | $PSL_2(11)$ | $PSL_3(4)$ | PSU$_4(3)$ | PSp$_4(3)$ |
| Alt(8) | $M_{23}$ | $PSL_2(16)$ | $PSL_4(3)$ |
| Alt(9) | $M_{24}$ | $PSL_2(19)$ |
| HS     |       | $PSL_2(25)$ |

Table 2: The socles for the exceptions $G$ in Theorem 1.3 (4)

1.1. Comments on the proof of Theorem 1.3. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 uses the bounds of Theorem 1.2, and proceeds according to the structure of $G$ and its socle as specified by the “O’Nan–Scott type” of $G$. This is one of the most effective modern methods for analysing finite primitive permutation groups. The socle $N$ of $G$ is the subgroup generated by the minimal normal subgroups of $G$. For an arbitrary finite group the socle is isomorphic to a direct product of simple groups, and, for finite primitive groups these simple groups are pairwise isomorphic. The O’Nan–Scott theorem describes in detail the embedding of $N$ in $G$ and provides some useful information on the action of $N$, identifying a small number of pairwise disjoint possibilities. The subdivision we use in our proofs is described in [36] where eight types of primitive groups are defined (depending on the structure and on the action of the socle), namely HA (Holomorphic Abelian), AS (Almost Simple), SD (Simple Diagonal), CD (Compound Diagonal), HS (Holomorphic Simple), HC (Holomorphic Compound), TW (Twisted wreath), PA (Product Action), and it follows from the O’Nan–Scott Theorem (see [29] or [12, Chapter 4]) that every primitive group is of exactly one of these types.

In the light of this subdivision, Theorem 1.3 asserts that a finite primitive group containing elements of large order relative to the degree is either of AS or PA type (with a well-understood socle), or of HA type, or it has bounded order. The proof of Theorem 1.3 for primitive groups of HA type is in our companion paper [19], where we obtain an explicit description of the permutations $g \in G$ with order $|g| \geq n/4$ together with detailed information on the structure of $G$. We refer the interested reader to [19] for more information on this case.

1.2. Structure of the paper. In Section 2 we determine tight upper bounds on the maximum element orders for the almost simple groups and we give in Table 3 some valuable information on the maximum element order of Aut($T$) when $T$ is a simple group of Lie type. In Section 3, we collect some well-established results on the minimal degree of a permutation representation for the non-abelian simple groups. (These include corrections noticed by Mazurov and Vasil’ev [33] to [24, Table 5.2.A].) We then prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. The proof of Theorem 1.3, which relies on Theorem 1.2, is given in Section 5. We provide some information on the positive integers $\ell_T$ (defined in Theorem 1.2) in Remark 5.11 and in Table 6. Finally, Section 6 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Maximum element orders for simple groups

For a finite group $G$, we write $\exp(G)$ for the exponent of $G$; that is, the minimum positive integer $k$ for which $g^k = 1$ for all $g \in G$. We denote the order of the element $g \in G$ by $|g|$ and we write $\meo(G)$ for the maximum element order of $G$; that is, $\meo(G) = \max\{|g| \mid g \in G\}$. Clearly, $\meo(G)$ divides $\exp(G)$. 


In this section we study \(\text{meo}(G)\) where \(G\) is an almost simple group. We start by considering the symmetric groups. It is well-known that
\[
\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(m)) = \max \{\text{lcm}(n_1, \ldots, n_N) \mid m = n_1 + \cdots + n_N\}.
\]
The expression \(\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(m))\) is often referred to as Landau’s function (and is usually denoted by \(g(m)\)), in honour of Landau’s theorem in [25]. We record the main results from [25] and [32] on \(\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(m))\), to which we will refer in the sequel. As usual \(\log(m)\) denotes the logarithm of \(m\) to the base \(e\).

**Theorem 2.1** ([25] and [32, Theorem 2]). For all \(m \geq 3\), we have
\[
\sqrt{m \log(m)/4} \leq \log(\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(m))) \leq \sqrt{m \log m \left(1 + \frac{\log(\log(m)) - a}{2 \log(m)}\right)}
\]
with \(a = 0.975\).

**Proof.** The lower bound is proved in [25] and the upper bound is proved in [32].

Since \(\text{Aut}(\text{Alt}(m)) \cong \text{Sym}(m)\) unless \(m \in \{2, 6\}\), Theorem 2.1 gives good estimates of the maximum element order of \(\text{Aut}(\text{Alt}(m))\). And since the minimal degree of a permutation representation of \(\text{Alt}(m)\) is \(m\), for \(m \neq 6\), we find that \(\text{Alt}(m)\) is one of the exceptional groups in Theorem 1.2 listed in Table 1.

For the groups of Lie type, the following three lemmas will be used frequently in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Here \(\log_p(x)\) denotes the logarithm of \(x\) to the base \(p\) and \([x]\) denotes the least positive integer \(k\) satisfying \(x \leq k\). We denote by \(J_d\) the cyclic unipotent element of \(\text{GL}_d(q)\) that sends the canonical basis element \(e_i\) to \(e_i + e_{i+1}\) for \(i < d\) and fixes \(e_d\); that is, \(J_d\) is a \(d \times d\) unipotent Jordan block. Also, we denote the identity matrix in \(\text{GL}_d(q)\) by \(I_d\).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let \(u\) be a unipotent element of \(\text{GL}_d(p^f)\) where \(p\) is prime. Then \(|u| \leq p^{\log_p(d)}\) and equality holds if and only if the Jordan decomposition of \(u\) has a block of size \(b\) such that \([\log_p(d)] = [\log_p(b)]\).

**Proof.** Let \(b\) be the dimension of the largest Jordan block of \(u\). Let \(B = J_b - I_b\), a \(b \times b\) matrix over \(\mathbb{F}_{p^f}\). Then since \(J_b\) is unipotent, it follows that \(B\) is nilpotent and \(B^b = 0\).

Now fix a positive integer \(k\). Using the binomial theorem, we have
\[
J_b^k = (I_b + B)^{p^k} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^k} \binom{p^k}{i} B^i.
\]
Since \(\binom{p^k}{i}\) is divisible by \(p\) for every \(i \in \{1, \ldots, p^k - 1\}\), we have \(J_b^k = I_b + B^{p^k}\). In particular, \(J_b^k = I_b\) if and only if \(B^{p^k} = 0\). Since \(J_b\) is a cyclic unipotent element, \(b\) is the least positive integer such that \(B^b = 0\); therefore \(r = [\log_p(b)]\) is the least nonnegative integer such that \(B^r = 0\). Thus \(|J_b| = p^{[\log_p(b)]}\).

Suppose that the maximum size of a Jordan block of \(u\) is \(b\). Then by the previous paragraph, \(|u| = |J_b| = p^{[\log_p(b)]}\). Since \(b \leq d\), this implies that \(|u| \leq p^{[\log_p(d)]}\) and that equality holds if and only if \([\log_p(d)] = [\log_p(b)]\).

The following elementary lemma, on the direct product of cyclic groups, will be applied to the maximal tori of groups of Lie type.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \(k\) be a positive integer, and for each \(i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}\), let \(k_i\) be a multiple of \(k\) and let \(C_i = \langle x_i \rangle\) be a cyclic group of order \(k_i\). Let \(C\) be the subgroup of \(G := C_1 \times \cdots \times C_t\) of order \(k\) generated by \(x_1^{k_1/k}, \ldots, x_t^{k_t/k}\). Then the exponent of the quotient group \(G/C\) is \(k_1/k\) if \(t = 1\) and \(\text{lcm}\{k_1, \ldots, k_t\}\) if \(t \geq 2\).
Proof. If $t = 1$, then the exponent of $(x_1)/{(x_1^{k_1/k})}$ is clearly $k_1/k$. So suppose that $t \geq 2$.
Set $r = \text{lcm}\{k_1, \ldots, k_t\}$ and $r' = \exp(G/C)$. The group $G$ has exponent $r$ and so $r' = \exp(G/C) \leq r$. Conversely, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, we have $x_i^{r_i} \in C$. Since $t \geq 2$, we have
$C_i \cap C = 1$ because the non-trivial elements of $C$ all have the form $x_i^{j_{k_1/k}} \cdots x_i^{j_{k_t/k}}$ with
$1 \leq j < k_i$ and so do not lie in $C_i$. Thus $x_i^{r_i} = 1$. This shows that, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, the integer $k_i$ divides $r'$. Therefore $r \leq r'$, and so $r' = r$.

The following technical lemma will be applied repeatedly to estimate the maximum element order of a group of Lie type.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose that $m, k, f, p$ are positive integers where $p$ is prime and $q = p^f$.

Then

(i) $q^k - 1$ divides $q^{km} - 1$ and $(q^{km} - 1)/(q^k - 1) \geq p^{|\log_p(m)|}$;

(ii) if $m$ is odd, then $q^k + 1$ divides $q^{km} + 1$; furthermore, if $(p, k, m, f) \neq (2, 1, 3, 1)$,
then $(q^{km} + 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq p^{|\log_p(m)|}$;

(iii) if $m$ is even, then $q^k + 1$ divides $q^{km} - 1$; furthermore, if $(k, m, f) \neq (1, 2, 1)$, then
$(q^{km} - 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq p^{|\log_p(m)|}$.

Proof. The divisibility assertions in (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious. For Part (i), note that

$(q^{km} - 1)/(q^k - 1) = q^{(m-1)k} + q^{(m-2)k} + \cdots + q^k + 1 \geq q^{m-1}$. Furthermore, $q^{m-1} \geq p^{m-1} \geq m$ and so $m - 1 \geq |\log_p(m)|$.

Assume that $m$ is odd. The assertions hold if $m = 1$, so assume that $m \geq 3$. Then

$(q^{km} + 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq q^{k(m-2)} = p^{k(m-2)} \geq m$ (where the last inequality holds for $m \geq 3$
provided $(p, k, m, f) \neq (2, 1, 3, 1)$). So, arguing as in the previous paragraph, we have

$(q^{km} + 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq p^{|\log_p(m)|}$ for $(p, k, m, f) \neq (2, 1, 3, 1)$, which proves Part (ii).

Next, suppose that $m$ is even. The assertions all hold for $m = 2$ unless $(k, m, f) = (1, 2, 1)$. So assume that $m \geq 4$. Then $(q^{km} - 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq q^{k(m-2)} = p^{k(m-2)} \geq m$. Now
arguing as in the first paragraph we have $(q^{km} - 1)/(q^k + 1) \geq p^{|\log_p(m)|}$, which proves Part (iii). □

Before proceeding and obtaining some tight bounds on the maximum element order
for the groups of Lie type, we need to prove some results on centralizers of semisimple
elements in $\text{PGL}_d(q)$ and related classical groups. In order to do so, we introduce some
notation.

**Notation 2.5.** Let $\delta = 1$ unless we deal with a unitary group in which case let $\delta = 2$.
Let $s$ be a semisimple element of $\text{PGL}_d(q^2)$ and let $\overline{s}$ be a semisimple element of $\text{GL}_d(q^2)$
projecting to $s$ in $\text{PGL}_d(q^2)$. The action of the matrix $\overline{s}$ on the $d$-dimensional vector space
$V = F_{q^2}^d$ naturally defines the structure of an $F_{q^2}(\overline{s})$-module on $V$. Since $\overline{s}$ is semisimple,
$V$ decomposes, by Maschke’s theorem, as a direct sum of irreducible $F_{q^2}(\overline{s})$-modules, that
is, $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_l$, with $V_i$ an irreducible $F_{q^2}(\overline{s})$-module. Relabelling the index set
$\{1, \ldots, l\}$ if necessary, we may assume that the first $t$ submodules $V_1, \ldots, V_t$ are pairwise
non-isomorphic (for some $t \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$) and that for $j \in \{t + 1, \ldots, l\}$, $V_j$ is isomorphic
to some $V_i$ with $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. Now, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, let $W_i = \{W \leq V \mid W \cong V_i\}$,
the set of $F_{q^2}(\overline{s})$-submodules of $V$ isomorphic to $V_i$ and write $W_i = \sum_{W \in W_i} W$. The
module $W_i$ is usually referred to as the homogeneous component of $V$ corresponding to
the simple submodule $V_i$. We have $V = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_l$. Set $a_i = \dim_{F_{q^2}} W_i$. Since
$V$ is completely reducible, we have $W_i = V_{i,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i,m_i}$ for some $m_i \geq 1$, where
$V_{i,j} \cong V_j$ for each $j \in \{1, \ldots, m_i\}$. Thus we have $a_i = d_i m_i$, where $d_i = \dim_{F_{q^2}} V_i$, and
$\sum_{i=1}^l d_i m_i = d$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, we let $x_i$ (respectively $y_{i,j}$) denote the element in
$\text{GL}(W_i)$ (respectively $\text{GL}(V_{i,j})$) induced by the action of $\overline{s}$ on $W_i$ (respectively $V_{i,j}$). In
particular, \( x_i = y_{i,1} \cdots y_{i,m_i} \) and \( \overline{s} = x_1 \cdots x_t \). We note further that
\[
p(s) = (d_1, \ldots, d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_2, \ldots, d_t, \ldots, d_t)
\]
is a partition of \( n \).

Now let \( c \in C_{GL_d(q^d)}(\overline{s}) \). Given \( i \in \{1, \ldots, t\} \) and \( W \subseteq W_i \), we see that \( W^c \) is an \( F_{q^d}(\overline{s}) \)-submodule of \( V \) isomorphic to \( W \) (because \( c \) commutes with \( \overline{s} \)). Thus \( W^c \subseteq W_i \).

This shows that \( W_i \) is \( C_{GL_d(q^d)}(\overline{s}) \)-invariant. It follows that
\[
C_{GL_d(q^d)}(\overline{s}) = C_{GL(W_1)}(x_1) \times \cdots \times C_{GL(W_t)}(x_t)
\]
and every unipotent element of \( C_{GL_d(q^d)}(\overline{s}) \) is of the form \( u = u_1 \cdots u_t \) with \( u_i \in C_{GL(W_i)}(x_i) \) unipotent in \( GL(W_i) \), for each \( i \).

Since \( \overline{s} \) is semisimple and \( V_{i,j} \) is irreducible, Schur’s lemma implies that \( V_{i,j} \cong \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \delta_{i,j} \) and that the action of \( y_{i,j} \) on \( V_{i,j} \) is equivalent to the scalar multiplication action on \( \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \delta_{i,j} \) by a field generator \( \lambda_{i,j} \) of \( \mathbb{F}_{q^d} \). As \( V_{i,j} \subseteq V_{i,j_2} \), we have \( \lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,j_2} \) for \( j \leq j_2 \), \( j, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, m_i \} \) and we write \( \lambda_i = \lambda_{i,1} \). Under this identification, replacing \( x_i \) by a suitable conjugate in \( GL_{m_i}(q^d) \) if necessary, we have \( x_i = \lambda_i I_{m_i} \in GL_{m_i}(q^{d|m_i}) < GL_{m_i}(q^d) \). Now a direct computation shows that \( C_{GL(W_i)}(x_i) \cong GL_{m_i}(q^{d|m_i}) \).

Proposition 2.6. Let \( s \) be as in Notation 2.5. A unipotent element \( u \) of \( PGL_d(q) \) centralizing \( s \) has order at most \( \max\{p^{\log_p(m_1)}, \ldots, p^{\log_p(m_t)}\} \).

Proof. We use the notation established in Notation 2.5. Let \( u \) be a unipotent element of \( PGL_d(q) \) and let \( \overline{u} \) be the unique unipotent element of \( GL_d(q) \) projecting to \( u \). Since \( u \) centralizes \( s \), \( \overline{u} \) commutes with \( \overline{s} \) modulo \( Z(GL_d(q)) \). Thus \( \overline{u} \overline{s} = (\overline{s} \overline{u})c \), for some scalar matrix \( c \) of \( GL_d(q) \). Arguing by induction, we see that, for each \( k \geq 1 \), we have \( \overline{u}^k \overline{s} = \overline{s} \overline{u}^k c^k \). In particular, for \( k = q - 1 \), since \( c^{q-1} = 1 \), it follows that \( \overline{u}^{q-1} \) centralizes \( \overline{s} \).

Since the order of \( \overline{u} \) is a \( p \)-power, we find that \( \overline{u} \) centralizes \( \overline{s} \). Thus \( |u| \) is bounded above by the maximum order a unipotent element in \( C_{GL_d(q)}(\overline{s}) = GL_{m_1}(q^{d|m_1}) \times \cdots \times GL_{m_t}(q^{d|m_t}) \).

The result now follows from Lemma 2.2.

The following corollary is well-known and somehow not surprising.

Corollary 2.7. \( \text{meo}(PGL_d(q)) = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1) \).

Proof. A Singer cycle of \( PGL_d(q) \) has order \((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) and so \( \text{meo}(PGL_d(q)) \geq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1) \). Let \( g \in PGL_d(q) \). Then \( g \) has a unique expression as \( g = su = us \) with \( s \) semisimple and \( u \) unipotent. We use Notation 2.5 for the element \( s \). By Lemma 2.3 and the proof of Proposition 2.6, we see that if \( t = 1 \), so that \( d = m_1 d_1 \), then
\[
|g| \leq \frac{q^{d_1} - 1}{q - 1} p^{\log_p(m_1)} \leq \frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}
\]
(\text{using Lemma 2.4(i)}). If \( t \geq 2 \), then
\[
|g| \leq \text{lcm}\{(q^{d_i} - 1)p^{\log_p(m_i)} \mid i = 1, \ldots, t\} \leq \frac{1}{(q - 1)^{t-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} (q^{d_i} - 1)p^{\log_p(m_i)},
\]
which by Lemma 2.4 (i) is at most
\[
\frac{1}{(q - 1)^{t-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{t} (q^{d_i m_i} - 1) \leq \frac{q^d - 1}{(q - 1)^{t-1}} \leq \frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}.
\]
Remark 2.8. As one might expect, sometimes we have \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_d(q))) > (q^d - 1)/(q - 1) \). For example, \( \text{PGL}_2(4) = \text{PSL}_2(4) \cong \text{Alt}(5) \) and \( \text{meo}(\text{PSL}_2(4)) = 5 \), but \( \text{Aut}(\text{Alt}(5)) = \text{Sym}(5) \) and \( \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(5)) = 6 \). Similarly, \( \text{meo}(\text{PSL}_3(2)) = 7 \) but \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_3(2))) = 8 \).

Later, in Theorem 2.16 (using an application of Lang’s theorem) we will prove that, in fact, \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_d(q))) = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1) \) in all other cases.

Before studying other classical groups we need the following number-theoretic lemma which will be crucial in studying the asymptotic value of \( \text{meo}(\text{PSp}_{2m}(q)) \) as \( m \) tends to infinity (see Corollary 2.10 and Remark 2.11). In the proof of Lemma 2.9, we denote by \((a)_2\) the largest power of 2 dividing the positive integer \( a \).

Lemma 2.9. Let \( (a_1, \ldots, a_t) \) be a partition of \( d \), let \( q \) be a prime power and, for each \( i \in \{1, \ldots, t\} \), let \( \varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\} \). Then \( \text{lcm}_i \{q^{a_i} - \varepsilon_i\} \leq q^{d+1}/(q - 1) \) if \( q \) is even or \( t = 1 \), and \( \text{lcm}_i \{q^{a_i} - \varepsilon_i\} \leq q^{d+1}/2(q - 1) \) if \( q \) is odd and \( t \geq 2 \).

Proof. Set \( L := \text{lcm}_i \{q^{a_i} - \varepsilon_i\} \). If \( t = 1 \), then \( L = q^d - \varepsilon_1 \leq q^d + 1 = q^d(1 + 1/q^d) \leq q^{d+1}/(q - 1) \) and the lemma is proved. Thus we may assume that \( t > 1 \). We argue by induction on \( d \). Write \( I = \{i \in \{1, \ldots, t\} \mid \varepsilon_i = -1\} \). If \( a_i = a_j \) for distinct elements \( i, j \in I \) then, replacing \( d \) by \( d - a \) and replacing the partition \((a_1, \ldots, a_t)\) by the same partition with the part \( a_j \) removed, it follows by induction that \( L \leq q^{d-a_j+1}/(q - 1) \leq q^{d+1}/2(q - 1) \). Therefore, we may assume further that the set \( \{a_i \mid i \in I\} \) consists of pairwise distinct elements. Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be distinct elements of \( \{1, \ldots, t\} \) and write \( r = \text{gcd}(q^{a_\alpha} - \varepsilon_\alpha, q^{a_\beta} - \varepsilon_\beta) \) and \( s = (\text{gcd}(q - 1, 2))^{t-1} \). Now

\[
L = \text{lcm}_i \{q^{a_i} - \varepsilon_i\} \leq \frac{1}{rs} \prod_{i \in I} (q^{a_i} + 1) \prod_{i \notin I} (q^{a_i} - 1) \leq \frac{1}{rs} \prod_{i \in I} q^{a_i} \prod_{i \notin I} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{a_i}}\right) \prod_{i \notin I} q^{a_i}
\]

(1)

Since \( \log(1 + x) \leq x \) for \( x \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\log \left( \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^k}\right) \right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^k}\right) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{q^k} = \frac{1}{q - 1}
\]

Thus \( L \leq (q^d/rs) \exp(1/(q - 1)) \). If \( r \geq 2 \), then

\[
\frac{\exp(1/(q - 1))}{r} \leq \frac{\exp(1/(q - 1))}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q - 1} < 1 + \frac{1}{q - 1} = \frac{q}{q - 1}
\]
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and the lemma is proved. \hfill \Box

In the remainder of this section the vector space $V$ admits a non-degenerate form or quadratic form of classical type which is preserved up to a scalar multiple by the preimage in $\mathrm{GL}_d(q^q)$ of the group $G$. We frequently make use of a theorem of B. Huppert [21, Satz 2], which we apply to semisimple elements $\pi \in G$ that preserve the form. Such elements generate a subgroup acting completely reducibly on $V$, and by Huppert’s Theorem, $V$ admits an orthogonal decomposition of the following form which gives finer information than we had in Notation 2.5:

$$
(3) \quad V = V_+ \perp V_- \perp ((V_{i,1} \oplus V'_{i,1}) \perp \cdots \perp (V_{i,m_1} \oplus V'_{i,m_1})) \perp \cdots
$$

\begin{align*}
\perp (V_{r,1} \oplus V'_{r,1}) \perp \cdots \perp (V_{r,m_r} \oplus V'_{r,m_r}) \\
\perp (V_{r+1,1} \perp \cdots \perp V_{r+1,m_{r+1}}) \perp \cdots \perp (V_{t',1} \perp \cdots \perp V_{t',m_t})
\end{align*}

where $V_+$ and $V_-$ are the eigenspaces of $\pi$ for the eigenvalues 1 and $-1$, of dimensions $d_+$ and $d_-$, respectively (note $V_\pm$ is non-degenerate if $d_\pm > 0$ and we set $d_- = 0$ if $q$ is even), and each $V_{i,j}$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q(\pi)$-submodule. Moreover for $i = r + 1, \ldots, t'$, $V_{i,j}$ is non-degenerate of dimension $2d_i/\delta$ and $\pi$ induces an element $y_{i,j}$ of order dividing $q^{d_i} + 1$ on $V_{i,j}$ (in the unitary case $\delta = 2$ and the dimension $d_i$ is odd). For $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $V_{i,j}$ and $V'_{i,j}$ are totally isotropic of dimension $d_i/\delta$ (here $d_i$ is even if $\delta = 2$), $V_{i,j} \oplus V'_{i,j}$ is non-degenerate, and $\pi$ induces an element $y_{i,j}$ of order dividing $q^{d_i} - 1$ on $V_{i,j}$ while inducing the adjoint representation $(y_{i,j})^{t'}$ on $V'_{i,j}$ (where $x^{t'}$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $x$). For our claims about the orders of the $y_{i,j}$, we also refer to [7, 22] for some standard facts on the structure of the maximal tori of the finite classical groups.

We denote by $\mathrm{CSP}_{2m}(q)$ the conformal symplectic group, that is, the elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{2m}(q)$ preserving a given symplectic form up to a scalar multiple. Also $\mathrm{PCSP}_{2m}(q)$ denotes the projection of $\mathrm{CSP}_{2m}(q)$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{2m}(q)$. From [9, Table 5, page xvi], we have $|\mathrm{PCSP}_{2m}(q) : \mathrm{PSp}_{2m}(q)| = \gcd(2, q - 1)$. In the rest of this section, by abuse of notation, we write $p^{\log p(0)} = 1$.

**Lemma 2.10.** $\text{neo}(\text{PCSP}_{2m}(q)) \leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)$.

**Proof.** Using Corollary 2.7 and the fact that $\text{PCSP}_2(q) \cong \text{PGL}_2(q)$, we may assume that $m \geq 2$. Let $g$ be an element of $\text{PCSP}_{2m}(q)$ and write $g = su = us$ with $s$ semisimple and $u$ unipotent. We use Notation 2.5 for the element $s$. First suppose that $g \in \text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$, and let $\pi, \pi, \pi \in \text{Sp}_{2m}(q)$ correspond to $g, s, u$, respectively. Consider the orthogonal $\pi$-invariant decomposition of $V$ given by (3) (and note that in this case $\delta = 1$). Here $V_+$ and $V_-$ have even dimension, and we write $2m_+ := \dim V_+$, $2m_- := \dim V_-$. Note that, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $V_{i,j}$ and $V'_{i,j}$ are isomorphic $\mathbb{F}_q(\pi)$-modules if and only if $y_{i,j}$ acts as the multiplication by 1 or $-1$ on $V_{i,j}$, and by definition of $V_\pm$ this is not the case; thus $V_{i,j}$ and $V'_{i,j}$ are non-isomorphic.

Now $m = m_+ + m_- + m_1 d_1 + \cdots + m_t d_t$, and by the information from (3) on the orders of the $y_{i,j}$, and the result in Proposition 2.6 (using the notation from Notation 2.5) about the order of $\pi$, we see that the order of $g$ is at most

$$
(4) \quad \text{lcm}_{i=1}^r \{q^{d_i} - 1\} \cdot \text{lcm}_{i=r+1}^{t'} \{q^{d_i} + 1\} \cdot \max\{p^{\lfloor \log p(2m_\pm) \rfloor}, p^{\lfloor \log p(m_i) \rfloor} | i = 1, \ldots, t'\}.
$$

Using Lemma 2.4, for $i = 1, \ldots, r$, we see that by replacing the action of $g$ on $(V_{i,1} \oplus V'_{i,1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_{i,m_i} \oplus V'_{i,m_i})$ with the action given by a semisimple element of order $q^{d_i} - 1$ (and so having only two totally isotropic irreducible $\mathbb{F}_q(\pi)$-submodules), we obtain an element $g'$ such that $|g|$ divides $|g'|$ and $m_i = 1$. In particular, replacing $g$ by $g'$ if necessary, we may assume that $g = g'$. With a similar argument, for those $i \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\}$ with $m_i$ odd and $(p, d_i, m_i, f) \neq (2, 1, 3, 1)$, we may assume that $m_i = 1$. Also, applying again Lemma 2.4, for $i \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\}$, we may assume that if $m_i$ is even, then $(d_i, m_i, f) = (1, 2, 1)$.
Suppose that, for some \( i_0 \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\} \), we have \((p, d_{i_0}, m_{i_0}, f) = (2, 1, 3, 1)\). The element \( g \) induces on \( W := V_{i_0,1} \perp V_{i_0,2} \perp V_{i_0,3} \) an element of order dividing \((q+1)p^{\log_p(3)}\) = \(2^2 \cdot 3\). Let \( g' \) be the element acting as \( g \) on \( W^\perp \), inducing an element of order \( q+1 \) on \( V_{i_0,1} \) and inducing a regular unipotent element on \( V_{i_0,2} \perp V_{i_0,3} \). Now, \( g' \) induces on \( W \) an element of order \((q+1)p^{\log_p(4)}\) = \(2^2 \cdot 3\). Therefore \(|g| = |g'|\) and so, we may replace \( g \) by \( g' \) (note that in doing so the dimension of \( V_r \) increases by 2 and \( m_{i_0} \) decreases from 3 to 1). In particular, we may assume that \( m_i = 1 \) for each \( i \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\} \) with \( m_i \) odd.

Suppose that, for some \( i_0 \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\} \), we have \((d_{i_0}, m_{i_0}, f) = (1, 2, 1)\). The element \( g \) induces on \( W = V_{i_0,1} \perp V_{i_0,2} \) an element of order dividing \((p+1)p^{\log_p(2)}\) = \((p+1)p\). Let \( g' \) be the element acting as \( g \) on \( W^\perp \), inducing an element of order \( p+1 \) on \( V_{i_0,1} \) and inducing an element of order \( p \) on \( V_{i_0,2} \). Now, \( g' \) induces on \( W \) an element of order \((p+1)p\). Therefore \(|g| = |g'|\) and so, replacing \( g \) by \( g' \) if necessary, we may assume that \( m_i = 1 \), for each \( i \in \{r+1, \ldots, t'\} \). Thus \( m = m_+ + m_- + d_1 + \cdots + d_{r'} \).

Now, using Lemma 2.9, we see that the element \( g \) has order at most

\[
\frac{q^{m+1-m_+-m_-}}{q-1} \max\{p^{\log_p(2m_+)}, p^{\log_p(2m_-)}\} \leq \frac{q^{m+1}}{q-1} \max\{p^{\log_p(2m_+)}, p^{\log_p(2m_-)}\} \leq q^{m+1}/(q-1),
\]

(5)

(whence the last inequality follows from an easy computation). This proves the result for elements \( g \in \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) \). If \( q \) is even then \( \text{PCSp}_{2m}(q) = \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) \), and the proof is complete. Thus we may assume that \( q \) is odd, and in this case, by Lemma 2.9, the upper bound is reduced to \( q^{m+1}/(2(q-1)) \) if \( t' \geq 2 \).

We must consider elements \( g \in \text{PCSp}_{2m}(q) \setminus \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) \). Now \( g^2 \in \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) \) and we have just shown that \(|g^2| \leq q^{m+1}/(2(q-1)) \) if the parameter \( t' \) for \( g^2 \) is at least 2, and hence in this case \(|g| \leq q^{m+1}/(q-1) \). Thus we may assume that \( t' \in \{0, 1\} \). If \( t' = 0 \) then

\[
|g^2| \leq \max\{p^{\log_p(2m_+)}, p^{\log_p(2m_-)}\} \leq p^{\log_p(2m)} \leq q^{m+1}/(2(q-1)),
\]

where the last inequality holds unless \((m, q) = (2, 3)\) (this follows from a direct computation). We verify directly the claim of the lemma for \( \text{PCSp}_4(3) \). Therefore we may assume that the parameter \( t' = 1 \) for \( g^2 \).

In this case the parameters for \( g^2 \) satisfy \( m = m_+ + m_- + d_1 \). If \( m_+ = m_- = 0 \) then \( g^2 \) is semisimple with eigenvalues \( \lambda, \lambda^{-1}, \lambda^q, \lambda^{-q}, \ldots, \lambda^{q^{m-1}}, \lambda^{-q^{m-1}} \), where \( \lambda^{q^{m+1}} = 1 \). In particular, \( g^{m+1} = \pm I_{2m} \) and so \( g \) has order at most \( q^{m+1} + 1 \), which is less than \( q^{m+1}/(q-1) \). Thus we may assume that \( m_+ + m_- > 0 \). Now (5) gives \(|g^2| \leq (q^{d_1} + 1) \max\{p^{\log_p(2m_+)}, p^{\log_p(2m_-)}\} \). To bound the right hand side, we may assume that \( m_- = 0 \) and \( m = d_1 + m_+ \). A direct computation shows that, since \( q \) is odd, this bound is less than \( q^{m+1}/(2(q-1)) \) (and hence \(|g| \leq q^{m+1}/(q-1) \)) when \( m_+ = 2 \) unless \( q, m_+ = (3, 2) \) and \( g^2 \) has order \( 9(3^{m-2}+1) \). If \( m_+ = 1 \) then either \( g^2 \) is semisimple and has order at most \( q^{m+1}+1 \), which is less than \( q^{m+1}/(2(q-1), \) or \( g^2 = J_2 + h \) where \( h \) has order dividing \( q^{m-1}+1 \). The eigenvalues of \( g^2 \) are therefore \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2m-2}, \) with each \( \lambda_i \neq \pm 1 \) and all distinct, and \( 1 \) with algebraic multiplicity 2. The eigenvalues of \( g \) are therefore \( a, a, v_1, \ldots, v_{2m-2} \) where \( a = \pm 1 \) and each \( v_i^2 = \lambda_i \); and since \( g \) is not semisimple, the eigenvalue \( a \) must have algebraic multiplicity 2. However \( g \) is a similarity with respect to the skew-symmetric form \( J \); that is \( g^2 J g = \mu J \) for some \( \mu \in F_q \) and therefore \( J^{-1} g^2 J = \mu g^{-1} \).

In particular, \( g \) and \( \mu g^{-1} \) are \( GL_n(q) \)-conjugate and have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities. So since \( a \) is an eigenvalue of \( g \) with algebraic multiplicity 2, so is \( a \mu \) and we must have \( \mu = 1 \). But then \( g \in \text{PSp}_{2m}(q) \), contradicting our assumption.

Finally suppose that \((q, m_+) = (3, 2) \) and \( g^2 \) has order \( 9(3^{m-2}+1) \). Then the eigenvalues of \( g^2 \) are \( 1, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2m-4} \), where \( 1 \) has algebraic multiplicity 4, the \( \lambda_i \) are distinct and \( \lambda_1 \neq \pm 1 \). It follows that the eigenvalues of \( g \) are \( a, v_1, \ldots, v_{2m-4} \), where \( a = \pm 1 \) has
algebraic multiplicity 4, and each $\nu_i^2 = \lambda_i$ (since 9 divides $|g|$). Again, since $\overline{g}^T J \overline{g} = \mu J$, it follows that $\mu$ is also an eigenvalue of $\overline{g}$ with algebraic multiplicity 4, and therefore $\mu = 1$ and $g \in \text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$, which is a contradiction. □

Remark 2.11. We note that Corollary 2.10 is, for $q$ even, asymptotically the best possible. Indeed, let $q$ be a 2-power, let $k$ be a positive integer and let $s$ be a semisimple element of $\text{PSp}_{2k+1-2}(q) \cong \text{Sp}_{2k+1-2}(q)$. Suppose that the natural $\mathbb{F}_q(\mathbb{F}_q)$-module $V$ decomposes as $V_1 \perp \cdots \perp V_k$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} V_i = 2^i$ and with $\overline{\pi}$ inducing on $V_i$ an element of order $q^{2^i-1} + 1$. (This is the decomposition of (3) for $\pi$ where we have $V_\pm = 0, r = 0, t' = k$ and for each $i, m_i = 1, d_i = i$.) Now, we have

$$|s| = \text{lcm}\{q + 1, q^2 + 1, q^{2^2} + 1, \ldots, q^{2^k-1} + 1\} = (q + 1)(q^2 + 1) \cdots (q^{2^k-1} + 1)$$

which approaches $q^{2^k}/(q - 1)$ as $k$ tends to infinity.

Moreover, the extra care that we used in handling the subspaces $V_+$ and $V_-$ in the proof of Corollary 2.10 may seem ostensibly artificial and unnecessary. However we remark that the maximum order of an element $g$ of $\text{PSp}_{2k}(2)$ is $2^k \cdot (2+1) \cdot (2^2+1) \cdot (2^3+1) \cdots (2^k+1)$ (see [22, p. 808]). Such an element $g$ can be chosen to be of the form $su = us$ (with $u$ unipotent and $s$ semisimple), where the element $\pi$ fixes a 30-dimensional subspace pointwise and acts as a regular unipotent element on a 6-dimensional subspace $W$, and where the element $\pi$ acts trivially on $W$. In particular, this shows that the contribution of $V_+$ and $V_-$ are sometimes essential in achieving the maximum element order of $\text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$.

The following result is a consequence of Lemma 2.10 and results in [22].

Corollary 2.12. Let $q = p^f$ with $p$ a prime. For $m \geq 3$, we have $\text{meo}(\text{PGO}_{2m+1}(q)) \leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)$ (with $q$ odd), and for $m \geq 4$ and $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, we have $\text{meo}(\text{PGO}_{2m}(q)) \leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)$.

Proof. If $q$ is odd, then the result follows by comparing $q^{m+1}/(q - 1)$ with the maximum element order of the orthogonal groups obtained in [22]. Now, assume that $q$ is even. It is well-known that orthogonal groups of characteristic 2 are subgroups of the symplectic groups, that is, $\text{PGO}_{2m}(q) \leq \text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$, for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ (see [7, Section 5] or [24, Table 3.5.C]). It follows from Lemma 2.10 that $\text{meo}(\text{PGO}_{2m}(q)) \leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)$, for $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. □

The next two lemmas will be used for computing the maximum element order for unitary groups.

Lemma 2.13. Let $(b_1, \ldots, b_t)$ be a partition of $d$ and let $q$ be a prime power. If $t \geq 2$, then

$$\text{lcm}_{i=1}^t \{q^{b_i} - (-1)^b_i\} \leq q^{d-1} - (-1)^d - 1.$$ Furthermore, $(q^d - (-1)^d)/(q + 1) \leq q^{d-1} - (-1)^d - 1$.

Proof. For the first part of the lemma, we argue by induction on $t$. Note that $q + 1$ divides $q^{b_i} - (-1)^b_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. If $t = 2$, then

$$\text{lcm}\{q^{b_1} - (-1)^b_1, q^{b_2} - (-1)^b_2\} \leq \frac{(q^{b_1} - (-1)^b_1)(q^{b_2} - (-1)^b_2)}{q + 1} \leq q^{d-1} - (-1)^d - 1$$

(where the last inequality follows from a direct computation). Assume that $t \geq 3$. Now, by induction, $\text{lcm}_{i=1}^{t-1} \{q^{b_i} - (-1)^b_i\} \leq q^{d-b_t-1} - (-1)^{d-b_t-1}$. Therefore

$$\text{lcm}_{i=1}^t \{q^{b_i} - (-1)^b_i\} \leq \frac{1}{q + 1} \left( \text{lcm}_{i=1}^{t-1} \{q^{b_i} - (-1)^b_i\} \right) (q^{b_t} - (-1)^b_t) \leq \frac{(q^{d-b_t-1} - (-1)^{d-b_t-1})(q^{b_t} - (-1)^b_t)}{q + 1} \leq q^{d-1} - (-1)^d - 1$$
Recall that, for $1 \leq \gamma$ necessary, we may assume that $d_\gamma \leq d_{\gamma+1}$. Let $f$ be a unitary form. We consider $\Delta/\mathbb{Z}$, where $\Delta$ is the subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(q^2)$ preserving $f$ up to a scalar multiple, and $\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q^2-1}$ is the centre of $\text{GL}_d(q^2)$. We claim that $\Delta = \text{GU}_d(q)Z$, where $\text{GU}_d(q)$ is the subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(q^2)$ preserving $f$. To see this, note that, if $g \in \text{GL}_d(q^2)$ maps $f$ to $af$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, then for all $v, w \in V$, we have $af(v, w)^q = af(w, v)$ (since $f$ is unitary), which equals $f(wv, vg) = f(vg, wv)^q = a^q f(v, w)^q$, and hence $a^q = a$. Thus $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, so $a = b^{i+1}$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $g = b(b^{-1}g) \in \text{GU}_d(q)Z$. This proves the claim and thus we have $\Delta/\mathbb{Z} \cong \text{GU}_d(q)/\text{GU}_d(q) \cap Z) = \text{PGU}_d(q)$. For the unitary groups $\text{PSU}_d(q)$ to be simple and different from $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, we require $d \geq 3$ and $(d, q) \neq (3, 2)$.

**Lemma 2.15.**

\[
\text{meo}(\text{PGU}_d(q)) = \begin{cases} 
q^{d-1} - 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } q > p, \\
(p^{d-1} + 1)p & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } q = p, \\
q^{d-1} + 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } q > 2, \\
2(2^{d-3} + 1) & \text{if } d \text{ is even and } q = 2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Let $g$ be an element of $\text{PGU}_d(q)$ and write $g = su = us$ with $s$ semisimple and $u$ unipotent. If $g = u$ then, by Lemma 2.2, $|g| \leq p^{|\log_p(d)|} \leq p^{d-1}$ and the result follows. Thus we may assume that $s \neq 1$. We use Notation 2.5 for the element $s$ and a corresponding element $\sigma \in \text{GL}_d(q^2)$. From our remarks above, $\sigma = a \sigma$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $\sigma \in \text{GU}_d(q)$, and hence the $\sigma$-invariant orthogonal decomposition described in (3) is also $\sigma$-invariant. Recall that, for $1 \leq i \leq \gamma$, $|yi_j|$ divides $d_j - 1$ and $d_i$ is even, while for $r < i \leq t'$, $|yi_j|$ divides $q^{d_j} + 1$ and $d_i$ is odd (and $t' \geq 1$ since $s \neq 1$). Also the order of $\sigma|_{V_k}$ is 1 if $q$ is even and at most 2 is $q$ is odd, and the dimension $d = d_+ + d_- + d_1 m_1 + \cdots + d_t m_t$. Thus $|s|$ divides $\prod_{i=1}^{t'} (q^{d_i} - (-1)^{d_i})$. Moreover, combining Notation 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 (together with the description of the maximal tori of $\text{GU}_d(q)$ [7, 22]), we see that the order of $g$ is at most

\[
\text{lcm}\{q^{d_i} - (-1)^{d_i}\} \cdot \max\{p^{|\log_p(d_j)|}, p^{|\log_p(m_i)|} | i = 1, \ldots, t'\},
\]

if $t' > 1$, and it is at most

\[
(q^{d_i} - (-1)^{d_i}) \cdot \max\{p^{|\log_p(d_j)|}, p^{|\log_p(m_i)|}\}
\]

if $t' = 1$. Using Lemma 2.4 and arguing exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.10, we see that by replacing $g$ if necessary by an element of larger or equal order, we may assume that $m_i = 1$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, t'\}$, with the exception of at most two values of $i$ such
that \((q, d, m_i) = (2, 1, 3)\) and such that \(g\) induces an element of order \((q + 1)p^{\lceil \log_p(m_i) \rceil}\) = \(3 \cdot 2^2 = 12\) on \(V_{i,1} \perp V_{i,2} \perp V_{i,3}\). However, in these exceptional cases we have \(q = 2\) and the restriction of the element \(g\) to \(V_{i,1} \perp V_{i,2} \perp V_{i,3}\) is an element of \(\text{PGU}_3(2)\), modulo scalars, and the maximum order of such elements is 6 rather than 12. Thus in these cases we have overestimated the order by a factor of 2; we may replace the restriction of \(g\) to this space by an element inducing an element of order 3 on \(V_{i,1}\) and an element of order 2 on \(V_{i,2} \perp V_{i,3}\) (thus increasing the dimension of \(V_{i,}\) by 2). In this way, even if the exceptional cases occur, we obtain an element attaining the maximum order for which \(m_i = 1\) for every \(i \in \{1, \ldots, t'\}\). Thus we see that

\[
|g| \leq \begin{cases} 
(q^{d-\frac{d_1}{2} - d} - (-1)^{d-\frac{d_1}{2} - d} \max\{p^{\lceil \log_p(d_{1+}^\nu) \rceil}\} & \text{if } t' = 1; \\
\lcm_{i=1}^t \{q^{d_i} - (-1)^{d_i}\} \max\{p^{\lceil \log_p(d_\pm) \rceil}\} & \text{if } t' \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Using Lemma 2.13, it follows that in both cases

\[
|g| \leq (q^{d-\frac{d_1}{2} - d} - (-1)^{d-\frac{d_1}{2} - d} \max\{p^{\lceil \log_p(d_{1+}^\nu) \rceil}\})
\]

and the proof follows in these cases from Lemma 2.14.

From the description of the semisimple elements given above it is easy to see that \(\text{PGU}_d(q)\) contains an element \(g\) with \(|g|\) achieving the stated value of \(\text{meo}(\text{PGU}_d(q))\). For example, when \(d\) is odd and \(q > p\), it suffices to take \(g\) a semisimple element of order \(q^{d-1} - 1\) in the maximal torus of order \((q + 1)(q^{d-1} - 1)\). Similarly, when \(d\) is even and \(q = 2\), it suffices to fix a 3-dimensional non-degenerate subspace \(W\) and take \(g = su = us\), with \(s\) a semisimple element of order \(p^{d-3} + 1\) on \(W^\perp\) and \(u\) an element of order 4 on \(W\). The other two cases are similar.

Finally, combining all the results we have obtained for the non-abelian simple classical groups and Lang’s theorem, we are ready to give a proof of Theorem 2.16.

### Table 3. Maximum element order of \(\text{Aut}(T)\) for \(T\) a non-abelian simple classical group

| Simple Group \(T\) | \(\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))\) | Remark |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| \(\text{PSL}_d(q)\) | \(\frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}\) | \((d, q) \neq (2, 4), (3, 2)\) | \((d, q) = (2, 4)\) |
| | 6 | \((d, q) = (3, 2)\) |
| | 8 | \((d, q) = (2, 4)\) |
| \(\text{PSU}_d(q)\) | \(q^{d-1} - 1\) | \(d\) odd, \(q > p\) and \((d, q) \neq (3, 4)\) | \((d, q) = (3, 4)\) |
| | 16 | \((d, q) = (3, 2)\) |
| | \((p^{d-2} + 1)p\) | \(d\) odd, \(q = p\) and \((d, q) \neq (5, 2)\) | \((d, q) = (5, 2)\) |
| | 24 | \(d\) even and \(q > 2\) |
| | \(q^d - 1\) | \(d\) even and \(q = 2\) |
| | 4\((2^{d-3} + 1)\) | \(d\) even and \(q = 2\) |
| \(\text{PSp}_{2m}(q)\) | \(\leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)\) | \((m, q) \neq (2, 2)\) | \((m, q) = (2, 2)\) |
| \(\text{PSp}_{2m}(2)\) | 10 | \((m, q) = (2, 2)\) |
| \(\text{PO}_{2m+1}(q)\) | \(\leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)\) | \((m, q) \neq (2, 2)\) | \((m, q) = (2, 2)\) |
| \(\text{PO}_{2m}(q)\) | \(\leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)\) |
| \(\text{PO}_{2m}(q)\) | \(\leq q^{m+1}/(q - 1)\) |

**Theorem 2.16.** For a classical simple group \(T\) as in column 1 of Table 3, the value of \(\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))\) is as in column 2 of Table 3.

*Proof.* As usual, we write \(q = p^t\) for some prime \(p\). For each of the classical groups \(\text{PGL}_d(q), \text{PCSp}_{2m}(q), \text{PGO}_{2m+1}(q)\) and \(\text{PGO}_{2m}(q)\), let \(X\) be the corresponding algebraic group over the algebraic closure of the finite field \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Let \(F: X \to X\) be a Lang–Steinberg
map for \( X \). We denote the group of fixed points of \( F \) by \( X^F(q) \). In particular, \( X^F(q) \) is one of the following groups: \( \text{PGL}_d(q) \) or \( \text{PGU}_d(q) \) (when \( X \) is of type \( A_{d-1} \)), \( \text{PGO}_{2m+1}(q) \) (when \( X \) is of type \( B_m \)), \( \text{PCPS}_{2m}(q) \) (when \( X \) is of type \( C_m \)), a subgroup of index two of \( \text{PGO}^+_{2m}(q) \) or \( \text{PGO}^{-}_{2m}(q) \) (when \( X \) is of type \( D_m \); namely \( \text{PGO}^+_{2m}(q)^o/\text{Z}(\text{PGO}^+_{2m}(q)^o) \) where \( \text{GO}^+_m(q)^o \) is the subgroup of \( \text{GO}^+_m(q) \) that stabilizes each of the two \( \text{SO}^+_m(q) \)-orbits of \( m \)-dimensional totally singular subspaces; see [8, p. 39-41]). Write \( Y = \text{PGO}^+_m(q) \) or \( \text{PGO}^{-}_{2m}(q) \), as appropriate, in these last cases, and in all other cases write \( Y = X^F(q) \).

Let \( T \) be the socle of \( X^F(q) \). From [9, Table 5, page xvvi], the automorphism group \( A \) of \( T \) is \( (Y \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle, \Gamma) \) where \( \phi \) is a generator of the group of field automorphisms and \( \Gamma \) is the group of graph automorphisms of the corresponding Dynkin diagram. In particular, \( \Gamma \in \{1, 2, 6 \} \) and in fact \( |\Gamma| = 6 \) if and only if \( T = \text{PGO}^+_m(q) \). Moreover, \( |\Gamma| = 2 \) if and only if \( T = \text{PSL}_d(q) \) with \( d \geq 3 \), \( T = \text{PGO}^{-}_{2m}(q) \) with \( m \geq 5 \), or \( T = \text{PSp}_d(2^f) \).

First suppose that \( g \in Y \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle \). Then \( g = x^\psi^{-1} \) with \( x \in Y \), where \( \psi \) is an element of order \( e \) in \( \langle \phi \rangle \). We have \( |\langle \phi \rangle| = 2f \) if and only if \( Y = \text{PGO}^+_m(q) \) or \( Y = \text{PGO}^{-}_{2m}(q) \), and \( |\langle \psi \rangle| = f \) otherwise (see [9, Table 5, page xvvi] for example).

If \( \psi = 1 \), then \( g \in Y \) and \( |g| \) is at most the bound in Table 3, by the results in Corollaries 2.7 and 2.12, and Lemmas 2.10 and 2.15. So suppose that \( \psi \neq 1 \); that is \( e \geq 2 \). Observe that when \( X^F(q) \) is untwisted, \( \psi \) is the restriction to \( X^F(q) \) of the Lang–Steinberg map \( \sigma_0 \) (where \( q_0^e = q \)), which by abuse of notation, we also denote by \( \psi \). When \( X^F = \text{PGU}_d(q) \) or \( P(\text{GO}^+_{2m}(q)^o) \), then \( F = \sigma_q \tau \), where \( \tau \) is a graph automorphism of \( X \) induced from the order 2 symmetry of the Dynkin diagram, and \( \psi \) is the restriction to \( X^F(q) \) of the Lang–Steinberg map \( \sigma_0 \tau \) when \( e \) is odd (and where \( q_0^e = q \) and \( \sigma_0 \) when \( e = 2k \) is even, and where \( q_0^e = q \)). As in the untwisted case, by abuse of notation we also denote these maps by \( \psi \).

By Lang’s theorem, there exists \( a \) in the algebraic group \( X \) such that \( aa^{-\psi} = x \). Observe that \( (x^\psi)^{-e} = xx^\psi \cdots x^e - x^e - 1 \). Write \( z = a^{-1}(x^\psi)^{-1}a \). Now observe further that

\[
(6) \quad z^\psi = a^{-\psi}(x^\psi x^\psi \cdots x^e - x^e - 1) a^{-1} = a^{-1}(x^\psi x^\psi \cdots x^e - x^e - 1) a = a^{-1}(x^\psi)^e a = z
\]

and so \( z \) is invariant under the Lang–Steinberg map \( \psi \). It follows that in the untwisted cases \( z \in Y(q^{1/e}) \), where \( Y(q^{1/e}) = \text{PGL}_d(q^{1/e}), \text{PGO}_{2m+1}(q^{1/e}), \text{PCPS}_{2m}(q^{1/e}), \text{GO}^+_{2m}(q^{1/e})/\text{Z}(\text{GO}^+_{2m}(q^{1/e})), \text{GO}^+_m(q^{1/e})/\text{Z}(\text{GO}^+_m(q^{1/e})), \text{GO}^-_{2m}(q^{1/e})/\text{Z}(\text{GO}^-_{2m}(q^{1/e})). \) If \( Y \) is twisted and \( e \) is odd then \( z \in Y(q^{1/e}) \) where \( Y(q^{1/e}) = \text{PGU}_d(q^{1/e}), \text{PGO}_{2m}^+(q^{1/e})/\text{Z}(\text{PGO}_{2m}^+(q^{1/e})). \) So unless \( Y \) is twisted and \( e \) is even we have \( |g| = |x^\psi|^e \leq e |(x^\psi)^{-e}| = e |z| \leq e \text{meo}(Y(q^{1/e})). \)

Using the bounds obtained in Corollaries 2.7 and 2.12, and Lemmas 2.10 and 2.15 for \( \text{meo}(Y(q^{1/e})) \) and \( \text{meo}(Y) \), we can show (by a straightforward calculation) that the quantity \( e \text{meo}(Y(q^{1/e})) \) is in the range \( Y = X^F(q) = \text{PGL}_2(4) \), and in this case \( |g| \leq 6 \) (see line 2 of Table 3). If \( Y \) is twisted and \( e = 2k \) is even, then \( z \in \text{PGL}_d(q^{1/k}) \) or \( \text{GO}^+_m(q^{1/k})/\text{Z}(\text{GO}^+_m(q^{1/k}))/\text{Z}(\text{GO}^+_m(q^{1/k})) \) and similar arguments eliminate these cases unless \( e = 2 \) (and \( \psi \) induces a graph involution in the terminology of [17]). But in this case, we appeal to the element order preserving bijection between \( \langle \text{PGL}_m(q) \rangle, \tau \rangle \) conjugacy classes in the coset \( \text{PGL}_m(q^\tau) \) and \( \text{PGU}_m(q^\tau) \) conjugacy classes in the coset \( \text{PGU}_m(q^\tau) \). See [18, Lemmas 2.1–2.3] for details. Thus the case of \( e = 2 \) and \( Y = \text{PGU}_d(q) \) can be covered by the case of \( g = x \tau \) and \( Y = \text{PGL}_d(q) \) below. Similarly, by [18, Lemmas 2.1–2.3] the case \( e = 2 \) and \( Y = \text{PGO}_{2m}^-(q) \) is covered by the case of \( g = x \tau \), \( Y = \text{PGO}_{2m}^+(q) \) below.

Thus we assume that \( g \notin Y \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle \) from now on. In particular, \( T \) is either \( \text{PSL}_d(q) \) (with \( d \geq 3 \)), \( \text{PSp}_d(2^f) \), or \( \text{PGO}_{2m}^+(q) \) (that is, \( T \) is a simple classical group admitting a non-trivial graph automorphism). We deal with each of these three cases separately.

CASE \( Y = X^F(q) = \text{PGL}_d(q) \).
We may assume that \( g = xv^{-1} \tau \), with \( x \in X^F(q) \), \( \psi \) an element of order \( e \in \langle \phi \rangle \) and \( \tau \) the inverse-transpose automorphism. In particular, \( d \geq 3 \).

First suppose that \( \psi = 1 \) and set \( y = g^3 = xx^{-\tau} \), where \( x^{\tau} \) denotes the transpose of the matrix \( x \). The possibilities for \( y \) are described explicitly in [16, Theorem 4.2]:

1. If \( \theta(t)^k \) is an elementary divisor of \( y \), then so is \( \bar{\theta}(t)^k \) (and with the same multiplicity), where \( \bar{\theta}(t) = t^{d \deg \theta(1/t)} \theta(0) \);
2. The elementary divisors \( (t - 1)^{2k+1} \) occur with even multiplicity for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \);
3. If \( q \) is odd, the elementary divisors \( (t + 1)^{2k+1} \) occur with even multiplicity for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \)

Now \( \text{Sp}_{2n}(q) \) contains elements \( z \) with elementary divisors satisfying the following properties (see [15, p. 210] and [16, Corollary 5.3]):

1. If \( \theta(t)^k \) is an elementary divisor of \( z \), then so is \( \bar{\theta}(t)^k \) (with the same multiplicity);
2. The elementary divisors \( (t - 1)^{2k+1} \) occur with even multiplicity for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \);
3. The elementary divisors \( (t + 1)^{2k+1} \) occur with even multiplicity for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Thus, either (i) \( y \) is conjugate to an element of \( \text{Sp}_d(q) \) (and \( d \) is even), or (ii) an elementary divisor \( (t - 1)^{2k+1} \) occurs with odd multiplicity. In case (i), \( |g| \leq 2q^{d/2+1}/(q - 1) \) by Lemma 2.10, which is at most \((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) unless \((d, q) = (4, 2)\). If (ii) holds then \( y \) is conjugate to \( u + y' \) for \( u = J_{2k_1+1} + \cdots + J_{2k_l+1} \in \text{GL}_d(q) \) and \( y' \in \text{Sp}_{d-d'}(q) \); in particular,

\[
|g| \leq 2 \max\{p^{\log_p(2k+1)}\} \text{meo}(\text{Sp}_{d-d'}(q)).
\]

Clearly, to bound the right hand side, it suffices to bound \( p^{\log_p(2k+1)} \text{meo}(\text{Sp}_{d-2k-1}(q)) \).

For \( d = 3 \), either \( k = 1 \) and \( |g| = 2J_3 \) or \( k = 0 \) and \( |g| \leq 2 \text{meo}(\text{Sp}_2(q)) = 2q^2 + 2 \); thus

\[
|g| \leq (q^3 - 1)/(q - 1),
\]

unless \( q \geq 2 \). If \( d \geq 4 \), then by Lemma 2.10 we have (in case (ii))

\[
|g| \leq 2p^{\log_p(2k+1)} q^{(d-2k-1)/2}
\]

which we can check is at most \((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) unless \((d, q) = (4, 2), (5, 2)\). The exceptional cases \((d, q) = (3, 2), (4, 2), (5, 2)\) from (i) and (ii) can be dealt with by direct computation, and we note that the first case appears in line 3 of Table 3.

Next, suppose that \( \psi \) is a non-trivial element of even order \( e \). By Lang’s theorem, there exists \( a \) in the algebraic group \( X \) with \( a^{-1} = x \). Note that since \( \psi \) and \( \tau \) commute, the element \( \psi \tau \) has order \( e \). Now the same argument as in (6) shows that \( z = a^{-1} g^a \) is fixed by \( \psi \tau \). Therefore \( g'^e \) is \( X \)-conjugate to an element in \( X^e(q^{1/e}) = \text{PGU}_d(q^{1/e}) \) where \( \sigma = \tau F^1/e \) and so \( |g| \leq e \text{meo}(\text{PGU}_d(q^{1/e})) \). Lemma 2.15 implies that the right hand side is less than \((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) for \( d \geq 3 \).

It remains to consider the case where \( \psi \in \langle \phi \rangle \) has odd order \( e \geq 3 \). In this case, \( g^2 \in \text{PGL}_d(q) \) and the argument for field automorphisms applied to \( g^2 \) shows that \( |g| \leq 2e(q^{d/e} - 1)/(q^{1/e} - 1) \), and the right hand side is less than \((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) for \( e \geq 3 \).

Case \( T = \text{PSP}_4(q) \) with \( q = 2^f \).

The cases where \( f = 1, 2 \) can be treated by a direct calculation (or with the invaluable help of \textit{magma} [6]). Thus we may assume that \( f \geq 3 \). We have \( g \not\in X^F(q) \times \langle \phi \rangle \), and we note that \( g^2 \in X^F(q) \times \langle \phi \rangle \).

First suppose that \( g^2 \not\in X^F(q) \). Then \( g^2 = x'v' \), for some \( x' \in X^F(q) \) and for some field automorphism \( v' \) of order \( e \geq 2 \). The same argument as in the previous case shows that \( |g| = 2q^{2} \leq 2e \text{meo}(X^F(q^{1/e})) \). Applying Lemma 2.10 implies that \( |g| \leq 2e q^{3/e}/(q^{1/e} - 1) \), which is bounded above by \( q^2/(q - 1) \) as required.

So we may assume that \( g^2 \in X^F(q) \). Since \( g \not\in X^F(q) \), the element \( g \) projects to an element of order 2 in \( \text{Out}(T) \). Now \( \text{Out}(T) \) is cyclic of order \( 2f \) and is generated by the extraordinary “graph automorphism”. In particular, if \( f \) were even, then \( g^2 \) would not lie in \( X^F(q) \). Hence \( f \) is odd. We note that \( g^2 \) cannot have order \( q^2 - 1 \) or \( q^2 + 1 \), as in these
In this section we collect in Table 4 some results from the literature describing the minimal degree of a permutation representation of each simple group of Lie type. For the simple classical groups this information is obtained from [24, Table 5.2.1] (which in turn came from [10]) and for the exceptional groups of Lie type it is obtained from [40], [41, Theorems 1, 2 and 3], and [42, Theorems 1, 2, 3 and 4]. We note that the rows corresponding to the classical groups \( \Omega^+_{2m}(q) \) and \( \mathrm{PSU}_{2m}(2) \) in [24, Table 5.2.1] are incorrect and our Table 4 takes into account the corrections that were brilliantly spotted by Mazurov and Vasil’ev [33] in 1994.
In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 by determining the finite non-abelian simple groups $T$ for which $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \geq m(T)/4$. 

### Table 4. Degree of the minimal permutation representations

| Group             | Degree of Min. Perm. Repres. | Condition                                      |
|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| $\text{PSL}_d(q)$ | $\frac{q^d - 1}{q - 1}$      | $(q, d) \neq (2, 5), (2, 7), (2, 9), (2, 11), (4, 2)$ |
| $\text{PSL}_2(q), \text{PSL}_4(2)$ | $5, 7, 6, 11, 8$ | $q = 5, 7, 9, 11$ |
| $\text{PSp}_{2m}(q)$ | $\frac{q^{2m} - 1}{q - 1}$ | $m \geq 2$, $q > 2$, $(m, q) \neq (2, 3)$ |
| $\text{PSp}_{2m}(2)$ | $2m - 1(2^m - 1)$ | $m \geq 3$ |
| $\text{PSp}_4(2)^{\prime}, \text{PSp}_4(3)$ | $6, 27$ | |
| $\text{PO}_{2m+1}(q)$ | $\frac{q^{2m} - 1}{q - 1}$ | $m \geq 3$, $q \geq 5$ |
| $\text{PO}_{2m+1}(3)$ | $3m(3^m - 1)/2$ | $m \geq 3$ |
| $\text{PO}_{2m}^{\pm}(q)$ | $\frac{(q^m - 1)(q^{m-1} + 1)}{q - 1}$ | $m \geq 4$, $q \geq 4$ |
| $\text{PO}_{2m}^{\pm}(3)$ | $3^m - 1(3^m - 1)/2$ | $m \geq 4$ |
| $\text{PO}_{2m}^{\pm}(2)$ | $2m - 1(2^m - 1)$ | $m \geq 4$ |
| $\text{PSU}_d(q)$ | $\frac{(q^d - (-1)^d)(q^{d-1} - (-1)^{d-1})}{q^2 - 1}$ | $d \geq 5$, $d$ odd or, $d$ even and $q \neq 2$ |
| $\text{PSU}_2(2)$ | $2^{2m-1}(2^{2m} - 1)/3$ | $m \geq 3$ |
| $G_2(q)$ | $\frac{q^6 - 1}{q - 1}$ | $q > 4$ |
| $G_2(3)$ | $351$ | |
| $G_2(4)$ | $416$ | |
| $F_4(q)$ | $\frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^4 + 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $E_6(q)$ | $\frac{(q^9 - 1)(q^6 + q^4 + 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $E_7(q)$ | $\frac{(q^{14} - 1)(q^9 + 1)(q^5 - 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $E_8(q)$ | $\frac{(q^{30} - 1)(q^{12} + 1)(q^{10} + 1)(q^6 + 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $^2\text{B}_2(q)$ | $\frac{q^2 + 1}{q - 1}$ | $q = 2^f$, $f$ odd |
| $^2\text{G}_2(q)$ | $\frac{q^3 + 1}{q - 1}$ | $q = 3^f$, $f$ odd |
| $^3\text{D}_4(q)$ | $\frac{(q^8 + q^4 + 1)(q + 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $^2\text{E}_6(q)$ | $\frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^6 - q^3 + 1)(q^4 + 1)}{q - 1}$ | |
| $^2\text{F}_4(q)$ | $\frac{(q^6 + 1)(q^3 + 1)(q + 1)}{q - 1}$ | $q = 2^f$ |
Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $T$ be a finite non-abelian simple group and write $o(T) = \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))$ and $m(T)$ for the minimal degree of a faithful permutation representation of $T$. First, we quickly deal with the cases where $T$ is an alternating group or a sporadic group. Then we may assume that $T$ is a simple group of Lie type, where the situation is more complex. If $T = \text{Alt}(m)$ (and $m \geq 5$), then the minimal degree of a permutation representation of $T$ is $m$. Since $\text{Aut}(T)$ contains an element of order $m$, we have $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \geq m$ and so $T$ is one of the exceptions in the statement of the theorem. Similarly, if $T$ is a sporadic simple group (including the Tits group), then the proof follows from a case-by-case analysis using [9].

If $T$ is a classical group, then the theorem follows by comparing Table 3 with Table 4. We find that if $o(T) \geq m(T)/4$, then either $T = \text{PSL}_d(q)$ or $T$ belongs to a short list of exceptions. These exceptions are then analysed using magma.

Now suppose that $T$ is a finite exceptional group. As one might expect, we consider the possibilities for the Lie type of $T$ on a case-by-case basis. Complete information on $m(T)$ is listed in Table 4. We shall use repeatedly the inequalities

\[(7) \quad o(T) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Out}(T)) \leq \lfloor o(T)/\text{meo}(T) \rfloor.\]

Detailed information on $\lfloor o(T)/\text{meo}(T) \rfloor$ and on the group-structure of $\text{Out}(T)$ can be found in [9, Table 5, page xvi].

When $T$ has odd characteristic, we use the explicit formula for $\text{meo}(T)$ (see [22]) together with (7) to obtain upper bounds on $o(T)$. These bounds suffice to show that $o(T) < m(T)/4$ when $T = E_6(q), E_6(q), E_7(q), E_8(q), F_4(q), G_2(q), D_4(q)$ or $2G_2(3^f)$.

Now suppose that $T$ has even characteristic; in this case there is no known formula for $\text{meo}(T)$. In some cases we therefore use \textit{ad hoc} arguments.

First suppose that $T = 2B_2(2^{2k+1})$ with $k \geq 1$. From [9, Table 5, page xvi], we see that $|\text{Out}(T)| = 2k + 1$. It follows from [38] that $\text{meo}(T) = 2^{2k+1} + 2^{k+1} + 1$. In particular, $o(T) \leq (2k + 1)(2^{2k+1} + 2^{k+1} + 1)$ and $(2k + 1)(2^{2k+1} + 2^{k+1} + 1) < m(T)/4$ in all cases.

For the other exceptional groups we observe that every element $g \in T$ can be written uniquely as $g = su = us$, with $s$ semisimple and $u$ unipotent. In particular,

\[|g| = |s||u| \leq |s_{\text{max}}||u_{\text{max}}|\]

where $s_{\text{max}}$ is a semisimple element in $T$ of maximum order and $u_{\text{max}}$ is a unipotent element in $T$ of maximum order. Suppose that $T = E_6(2^f)$. By [9, Table 5, page xvi], we have $|\text{Out}(T)| = 2f(3,2^f - 1)$. The description of the maximal tori of $T$ in [23, Section 2.7] implies that the maximum order of a semisimple element of $T$ is at most $\alpha = (q + 1)(q^5 - 1)/(3, q - 1)$. From [27, Table 5] we see that the maximum order of a unipotent element in $E_6(q)$ is $16 = |u_{\text{max}}|$ when $q$ is even. Summing up, we have

\[(8) \quad o(T) \leq \alpha|u_{\text{max}}||\text{Out}(T)|,\]

and the right hand side in our case is $32f(2^f + 1)(2^{5f} - 1)$. A direct computation shows that the inequality $32f(2^f + 1)(2^{5f} - 1) < m(T)/4$ holds for all $f \geq 1$.

This argument works for nearly all of the other exceptional groups in even characteristic.

We list these cases in Table 4. For the reader’s convenience we list the formulas for $|\text{Out}(T)|$ in column 4 of Table 4 for all $q$ (not necessarily of the form $q = 2^f$). For nearly all values of $q = 2^f$, we have

\[(9) \quad m(T)/4 > \alpha|u_{\text{max}}||\text{Out}(T)|;\]

Column 5 of Table 4 lists the only values of $q = 2^f$ for which the inequality in (9) fails.

In view of Column 5 of Table 4, it remains to consider $T = G_2(4)$ and $3D_4(2)$. In the first case we see from [9, page 97] that the maximum element order of $\text{Aut}(G_2(4))$ is 24 and so $24 = o(T) < m(T)/4 = 104$. In the second case we see from [9, page 89] that the maximum element order of $\text{Aut}(3D_4(2))$ is 24 and so $24 = o(T) < m(T)/4 = 819/4$. □
In this section, we classify the primitive permutation groups of degree $n$ that contain an element of order at least $n/4$. Our proof proceeds according to the O’Nan–Scott type of the primitive permutation group $G$, and we use the notation for these types discussed in Subsection 1.1. We treat the almost simple AS and the simple diagonal SD types in separate subsections, and then consider the other types to complete the proof.

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3 for almost simple groups.

In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.3 for primitive groups of AS type. We start with a series of very technical lemmas concerning $GL_d(q)$ and the affine general linear group $AGL_d(q)$.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $d \geq 2$ and let $K$ be the subgroup of $GL_d(q)$ containing $SL_d(q)$ that satisfies $|GL_d(q) : K| = \gcd(d+1, q-1)$. Assume that there exists $H \leq K$ with $|K : H| \leq 8$. Then either $d = 2$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, or $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$, or $SL_d(q) \leq H$.

**Proof.** Write $G = GL_d(q)$, $S = SL_d(q)$ and let $Z = Z(S)$. Now either $(H \cap S)Z/Z$ equals $S/Z$ or $(H \cap S)Z/Z$ is a proper subgroup of the simple group $S/Z \cong PSL_d(q)$ of index at most 8. In the former case, since $S$ is a perfect group, we find that $S = S' = ((H \cap S)Z)' = (H \cap S)' \leq H \cap S \leq H$. Checking Table 4, we see that in the latter case we must have $d = 2$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9\}$, or $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$. If $d = 2$ and $q = 9$ then $K = GL_2(9)$ and we check using [9] that if $H$ is a subgroup of index at most 8 in $K$, then $S \leq H$. □

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $d \geq 2$ and let $K$ be the subgroup of $AGL_d(q)$ containing $ASL_d(q)$ that satisfies $|AGL_d(q) : K| = \gcd(d+1, q-1)$. Suppose that $H \leq K$ satisfies $|K : H| \leq 8$ and $H = N_K(H)$. Then either $K = H$, or $d = 2$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, or $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$.

**Proof.** Write $G = AGL_d(q)$ and $S = SL_d(q)$, and assume that $K > H$. Let $V$ be the socle of $G$. Now $|K/V : HV/V| \leq 8$ and $K/V$ is isomorphic to the subgroup of $GL_d(q)$ containing $SL_d(q)$ of index $\gcd(d+1, q-1)$. By Lemma 5.1, we see that either $d = 2$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, or $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$, or $SV \leq HV$. Suppose that $SV \leq HV$. Then the group $HV$ acts by conjugation on $V$ as a linear group containing $SL_d(q)$. Therefore either $V \cap H = 1$ or $V \cap H = V$. In the former case, $8 \geq |K : H| \geq |HV : H| = |V : (V \cap H)| = q^d$ and so $(q, d) = (2, 2)$ or $(2, 3)$. In the latter case, $V \subseteq H$ and hence $VS \leq H$ and $H \leq G$. Since $H = N_K(H)$, we have $K > H$, contradicting the fact that $K > H$. □

### Table 5. Calculations in proof of Theorem 1.2

| $T$       | $\alpha$ where $|s_{\max}| \leq \alpha$ | $|u_{\max}|$ | $|\Out(T)|$ | $2^f$ where (9) fails |
|-----------|------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| $E_6(2^f)$ | $(2^f+1)(2^{2f}-1)/(3,q-1)$              | 16           | $2f(3,q-1)$ | —                      |
| $E_7(2^f)$ | $(q+1)(q^2+1)(q^4+1)$                     | 32           | $f(2,q-1)$  | —                      |
| $E_8(2^f)$ | $(q+1)(q^2+q+1)(q^5-1)$                  | 32           | $f$         | —                      |
| $F_4(2^f)$ | $(q+1)(q^3-1)$                           | 16           | $f(2,p)$    | —                      |
| $G_2(2^f)$ | $q^2+q+1$                                | 8            | $f(3,p)$    | 4                      |
| $^3D_4(2^f)$ | $q^4+q^3-q-1$                           | 8            | $3f$        | 2                      |
| $^2E_6(2^f)$ | $(q+1)(q^2+1)(q^3-1)/(3,q+1)$          | 16           | $2f(3,q+1)$ | —                      |
| $^2F_4(2^f)$ | $q^2+\sqrt{2}q^2+q+\sqrt{2}q+1$      | 16           | $f$         | —                      |

In the case of AS types, we check using [9] that if $H$ satisfies $|H : K| = |K : H| = q - 1$ then $H$ is a subgroup of index at most 8 in $K$. Checking Table 4, we see that in the latter case we must have $d = 2$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, or $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$. In the latter case, $V \subseteq H$ and hence $VS \leq H$ and $H \leq G$. Since $H = N_K(H)$, we have $K = H$, contradicting the fact that $K > H$. □

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $K$ be the subgroup of $AGL_1(q)$ of index $\gcd(2,q-1)$. Suppose that $H \leq K$ satisfies $|K : H| \leq 4$ and $H = N_K(H)$. Then either $K = H$ or $q = 4$.

**Proof.** Write $G = AGL_1(q)$ and assume that $K > H$. Let $V$ be the subgroup of $G$ of order $q$. Since $|K : H| \leq 4$ and $H = N_K(H)$, it follows that $|K : H| = 3$ or 4 and $H$ is a
maximal subgroup of \( K \). If \( HV = H \), then \( V \leq H \) and \( H \leq G \), which is a contradiction since \( H = N_K(H) \). Thus \( H < HV \leq K \) and hence \( K = HV \).

Since \( V \) is abelian, we have \( V \cap H \leq HV = K \). Further, since \( V \cap H \leq V \) and \( K \) acts as a cyclic group of order \((q - 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1)\) on \( V \), it follows that \( V \cap H = 1 \) or \( V \cap H = V \).

In the latter case, \( V \leq H \) and \( H \leq K \), which contradicts the fact that \( H = N_K(H) \). So \( V \cap H = 1 \). Thus \( |K : H| = |HV : H| = |V : (V \cap H)| = |V| = q \), so \( q \in \{3, 4\} \). Finally, it is an easy computation to see that if \( q = 3 \), then \( K = V \) and \( H \) must be \( K \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.4.** Let \( H \) be a proper subgroup of \( T = \text{PSL}_d(q) \) such that \( H = N_T(H) \) and \(|T : H|/4 \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))\). Then one of the following holds:

(i) \( H \) is conjugate to the stabilizer of a point or a hyperplane of the projective space \( \text{PG}_{d-1}(q) \);

(ii) \( d = 2 \) and \( q \in \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 19, 25, 49\} \), or \( d = 3 \) and \( q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\} \), or \( d = 4 \) and \( q \in \{2, 3\} \), or \( d = 5 \) and \( q = 2 \).

**Proof.** Set \( q = p^f \), with \( p \) a prime and \( f \geq 1 \). Let \( K \) be a maximal subgroup of \( T \) with \( H \leq K \). Clearly, \(|T : H| \geq |T : K|\) and hence

\[
|K| \geq \frac{|T|}{4 \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))}.
\]

In the first part of the proof, we assume that (i) does not hold for the group \( K \) and show that \((d, q)\) must be as in (ii).

First we consider separately the case that \( d = 2 \). We refer to the description of the lattice of subgroups of \( T \) given in [39, Theorem 6.25, 6.26]. Every subgroup \( H \) of \( T \) is either a subgroup of a dihedral group of order \( 2(q + 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1) \) or \( 2(q - 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1) \) (if \( H \) is as in [39, Theorem 6.25(a)]), or a subgroup of a Borel subgroup of order \((q - 1)/\gcd(2, q - 1)\) (if \( H \) is as in [39, Theorem 6.25(b)]), or isomorphic to \( \text{Alt}(4) \), \( \text{Sym}(4) \) or \( \text{Alt}(5) \) (if \( H \) is as in [39, Theorem 6.25(c)]), or isomorphic to \( \text{PSL}_2(q_0) \) or to \( \text{PGL}_2(q_0) \) (if \( H \) is as in [39, Theorem 6.25(d)]), where \( q_0 \) is a power of \( p \) and \( q_0^2 = q \) for some integer \( e \) dividing \( f \). Theorem 6.26 in [39] describes in detail the conditions when each of these cases can arise. For each of the three cases \((b), (c), (d)\), it can be verified with a tedious computation (using Table 3) that the inequality \(|T : K|/4 \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))\) is only satisfied if \( q \in \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 19, 25, 49\} \).

We now suppose that \( d \geq 3 \). Let \( \overline{K} \) be the preimage of \( K \) in \( \text{SL}_d(q) \) and let \( M \) be a maximal subgroup of \( \text{GL}_d(q) \) containing \( \overline{K} Z \), where \( Z \) is the centre of \( \text{GL}_d(q) \). We have \(|M| \geq |\overline{K} Z| = (q - 1)|K|\). Assume that \(|M| < |\text{GL}_d(q)|^{1/3} \). Then (10) implies that

\[
|\text{GL}_d(q)|^{1/3} > |M| \geq (q - 1)|K| \geq \frac{(q - 1)|T|}{4 \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))}.
\]

A direct computation shows that (11) is satisfied only if \((d, q) = (3, 2)\), which is one of the values in (ii). Therefore we may assume that \(|\text{GL}_d(q)|^{1/3} \leq |M| \). Furthermore, for the rest of the proof we assume that \((d, q) \neq (3, 2)\) and so, according to Table 3, \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) = (q^d - 1)/(q - 1) \).

Alavi [1, Theorem 9.1.1] classified the maximal subgroups \( M \) of \( \text{GL}_d(q) \) not containing \( \text{SL}_d(q) \) with \(|\text{GL}_d(q)| \leq |M|^3 \), listing the possible subgroups according to their “Aschbacher class”: a detailed description for each class is given. Using the inequality \(|M| \geq (q - 1)|K|\), another (rather tedious) computation shows that, for each of the subgroups listed in [1, Theorem 9.1.1] that are not contained in the Aschbacher class \( C_9 \), the inequality \(|T : K|/4 \leq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)\) is satisfied only in the case that \( K \) is conjugate to the stabilizer of a point or a hyperplane of \( \text{PG}_{d-1}(q) \), or \((d, q)\) is as in (ii). It remains to consider the case that \( M \) is contained in the Aschbacher class \( C_9 \). In this case, Alavi’s classification implies that \( d \leq 9 \).
For the rest of the proof of our claim we use Liebeck’s result [28, Theorem 4.1]: if $H$ is a maximal subgroup of $T$ in the Aschbacher class $C_9$, then either $|H| < q^{3d}$, or $H = \text{Alt}(m)$ or $\text{Sym}(m)$ with $m = d + 1$ or $d + 2$. A straightforward calculation shows that $|\text{PSL}_d(q)/(4(d + 2)!)| \leq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$ if and only if $d \in \{3, 4\}$ and $q = 2$ or $(d, q) = (3, 3)$. However since $|\text{PSL}_3(3)|$ is not divisible by $d + 2 = 5$, the case $(d, q) = (3, 3)$ does not actually occur. In particular, we may assume that $|H| < q^{3d}$. Since $|T : H|/4 \leq (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$, we have

$$|T| \leq \frac{4(q^d - 1)}{q - 1}|H| < \frac{4(q^d - 1)}{q - 1}q^{3d},$$

which implies that $d \leq 4$. In particular, we may assume that $d = 3$ or $d = 4$. The complete list of the subgroups of $\text{PSL}_d(q)$ and $\text{PSL}_d(q)$ in the Aschbacher class $C_9$ is contained in Sections 5.1.2 and 5.1.3 of [30] and in [5, Theorem 1.1] (for $d = 3$ and $q$ odd). A case-by-case analysis now shows that $|T : K|/4 > (q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$. We have now found all of the values of $(d, q)$ for which (i) does not hold for the group $K$.

Therefore, to conclude the proof we may assume that $K$ is the stabilizer of either a point or a hyperplane of $\text{PG}_{d-1}(q)$, and that $H < K$. Now $K$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{AGL}_{d-1}(q)$, namely the subgroup $\tilde{K}$ of $\text{AGL}_{d-1}(q)$ containing $\text{ASL}_{d-1}(q)$ that satisfies $|\text{AGL}_{d-1}(q) : \tilde{K}| = \gcd(d, q - 1)$. Since $H \leq T$ and $H = \text{N}_T(H)$, we have $H = \text{N}_K(H)$.

Applying Lemma 5.2 (for $d \geq 3$) and Lemma 5.3 (for $d = 2$) implies that $(d, q) = (2, 4), d = 3$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 7, 9\}$, or $d = 4$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$.

The next proposition is the main ingredient in our proof of Theorem 1.3 for projective special linear groups.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let $G$ be a primitive group on $\Omega$ of degree $n$ with socle $\text{PSL}_d(q)$. Assume that the action of $G$ on $\Omega$ is not permutation isomorphic to the action on the points or on the hyperplanes of the projective space $\text{PG}_{d-1}(q)$, and that $n/4 \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(\text{PSL}_d(q)))$.

Then $d = 2$ and $q \in \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 19, 25, 49\}$, or $d = 3$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 7\}$, or $d = 4$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$.

**Proof.** From Table 3 and Lemma 5.4, we see that we may assume that $d = 2$ and $q \in \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 16, 19, 25, 49\}$, or $d = 3$ and $q \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7\}$, or $d = 4$ and $q \in \{2, 3\}$, or $d = 5$ and $q = 2$. Now a direct inspection with magma [6], on all the almost simple groups $G$ with socle $T$ and on all maximal subgroups of $G$, shows that only the cases listed in the proposition actually arise.

For the alternating groups, we will use the following bound in the proof of Theorem 5.7. This lemma is a modification of [34, Lemma 3.23] and we thank an anonymous referee for bringing this proof to our attention.

**Lemma 5.6.** Let $a, b$ be positive integers, let $m = ab$ and suppose that $a \geq 2$, $b \geq 2$ and $m \geq 17$. Then

$$\frac{m!}{(a!)^{b!}} \geq 3^{m/2}.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$S(a, b) := \frac{(ab)!}{(a!)^{b!}b!^{3ab/2}}.$$

It suffices to show that $S(a, b) \geq 1$ for all integers $a, b \geq 2$ such that $ab \geq 17$. First observe that $S(a, b + 1) = \frac{1}{(b + 1)^{3/2}} \prod_{k=1}^{a} \left( \frac{ab}{k} + 1 \right) \geq \frac{(b + 1)^a}{(b + 1)^{3/2}} \geq \frac{3^{a-1}}{3^{a/2}} \geq 1.$$

So if $S(a, b) \geq 1$, then $S(a, b + 1) \geq 1$ as well. Clearly any integers $a, b \geq 2$ such that $ab \geq 17$ satisfy one of the following conditions:
(i) $a = 2$ and $b \geq 9$;

(ii) $a \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and $b \geq 3$;

(iii) $a \geq 9$ and $b \geq 2$.

It is straightforward to check that $S(2, 4) \geq 1$, thus $S(2, b)$ for all $b \geq 4$ and this deals with case (i). Similarly we check that $S(a, b) \geq 1$ for $b = 3$ and $a \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$, which eliminates case (ii). So we may assume that (iii) holds. Now observe that $\binom{2a}{a}$ is the largest term in the binomial expansion of $(1+1)^{2a}$. Therefore we have $\binom{2a}{a} \geq 2^{2a}/(2a+1) > 2 \cdot 3^a$ for all $a \geq 9$, which proves that $S(a, 2) = \binom{2a}{a}/(2 \cdot 3^a) \geq 1$ for $a \geq 9$. Therefore $S(a, b) \geq 1$ in case (iii) as well.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $G$ be a finite primitive group on $\Omega$ of degree $n$ of $\mathsf{AS}$ type. If $G$ contains a permutation $g$ with $|g| \geq n/4$, then the socle $T$ of $G$ is either $\mathsf{Alt}(m)$ in its action on the $k$-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, for some $k$, or $\mathsf{PSL}_d(q)$ in its natural action on the points or on the hyperplanes of the projective space $\mathsf{PG}_{d-1}(q)$, or $T$ is one the groups in Table 2.

**Proof.** Since all the groups in Table 1 are contained in Table 2, using Theorem 1.2, we may assume that $T$ is either an alternating group or a projective special linear group. For $T \cong \mathsf{PSL}_d(q)$, the theorem follows from Proposition 5.5.

So we may assume that $T \cong \mathsf{Alt}(m)$ for some $m \geq 5$. Since $\mathsf{Alt}(m)$ is contained in Table 2 for $m = 5, \ldots, 9$, we may assume that $m \geq 10$. Now, for $\omega \in \Omega$, the stabilizer $G_\omega$ is either intransitive, imprimitive, or primitive in its action on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. If it is intransitive, then the action of $T$ is permutation equivalent to the action on the $k$-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ (for some $1 \leq k \leq m/2$). If $G_\omega$ is imprimitive in its action on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, then we can identify the elements of $\Omega$ with the partitions of a set of cardinality $m$ into $b$ parts of cardinality $a$, where $m = ab$ and $a, b \geq 2$. Using Lemma 5.6, if $m \geq 17$, then we have $n = |\Omega| = m!/(a^b b!) \geq 3^m/2$. Using this bound and the upper bound for $\mathsf{meo}(|\mathsf{Sym}(m)|)$ in Theorem 2.1, we see that the inequality $|\Omega|/4 \leq \mathsf{meo}(|\mathsf{Sym}(m)|)$ is never satisfied. For the remaining cases ($m = 11, \ldots, 16$) a computation in magma shows that no examples arise.

Finally, suppose that $G_\omega$ is primitive in its action on $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. In this case, by [35], we have $|G_\omega| \leq 4^n$ and $n = |\Omega| \geq m!/4^n$. Again, using the upper bound in Theorem 2.1, we see that the inequality $|\Omega|/4 \leq \mathsf{meo}(|\mathsf{Sym}(m)|)$ is only satisfied for $m \leq 15$. For the remaining cases ($m = 11, \ldots, 14$) a computation in magma shows that no examples arise. □

### 5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3 for primitive groups of SD type.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $T$ be a finite non-abelian simple group. Then $4|\mathsf{Out}(T)| < |T|^{2/3}$.

**Proof.** The proof follows from a case-by-case analysis (detailed information on $|T|$ and $|\mathsf{Out}(T)|$ can be found in [9]). □

**Theorem 5.9.** Let $G$ be a finite primitive group on $\Omega$ of degree $n$ of $\mathsf{SD}$ type. If $G$ contains a permutation $g$ with $|g| \geq n/4$, then the socle of $G$ is $\mathsf{Alt}(5)^2$ and $|g| = n/4 = 15$.

**Proof.** By the description of the O’Nan–Scott types in [36], there exists a non-abelian simple group $T$ such that the socle $N$ of $G$ is isomorphic to $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_\ell$ with $T_i \cong T$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. The set $\Omega$ can be identified with $T_1 \times \cdots \times T_{\ell-1}$ and, for the point $\omega \in \Omega$ that is identified with $(1, \ldots, 1)$, the stabilizer $N_\omega$ is the diagonal subgroup $\{(t, \ldots, t) \mid t \in T\}$ of $N$. That is to say, the action of $N_\omega$ on $\Omega$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $T$ on $T_{\ell-1}$ by “diagonal” component-wise conjugation: the image of the point $(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell-1})$ under the permutation corresponding to $t \in T$ is $(x_1^t, \ldots, x_{\ell-1}^t)$.
The group $G$, is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(T) \times \text{Sym}(\ell)$ and $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $T^\ell$. First suppose that $\ell \geq 3$. Using Lemma 5.8, we have

$$\text{meo}(G) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Out}(T) \times \text{Sym}(\ell)) \leq |\text{Out}(T)| \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell)) \text{meo}(T^\ell) \leq |\text{Out}(T)| \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))/|T| \leq \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))(|T|^{5/3}/4).$$

Furthermore, with a direct computation, using Theorem 2.1 and the fact that $m = 0$, we can show that $|T|^{\ell-8/3} \geq \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))$. Thus

$$\text{meo}(G) < |T|^{\ell-8/3} \frac{|T|^{5/3}}{4} = \frac{|T|^{\ell-1}}{4} = \frac{\Omega}{4}.$$

Suppose that $\ell = 2$. We claim that $\text{meo}(G) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2$. Let $x$ be an element of $G$. Now, $x = (g_1g_2)(1,2)^i$ for some $i \in \{0, 1\}$ where $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Aut}(T)$ and $g_1 \equiv g_2$ mod $\text{Inn}(T)$. If $i = 0$, then $x = (g_1g_2)$ and $|x| \leq |g_1||g_2| \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2$. If $i = 1$, then

$$x^2 = (g_1g_2)(1,2)(g_1g_2)(1,2) = (g_1g_2, g_2g_1).$$

Now $(g_1g_2)^{g_1^{-1}} = g_2g_1$ and so $|x^2| = |g_1g_2| \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))$. Thus $|x| \leq 2 \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2$, and our claim is proved.

Now assume that $T = \text{Alt}(m)$, for some $m \geq 5$. Using Theorem 2.1, we see that

$$\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2 < |T|/4 \text{ for every } m \geq 7.$$  

In particular, $\text{meo}(G) < \Omega/4$, for $m \geq 7$. If $m = 6$, then an easy computation shows that $\text{meo}(\text{Alt}(6))^2 \cdot \text{meo}(\text{Out}(\text{Alt}(6)) \times \text{Sym}(2)) = 40$. If $m = 5$, then $\Omega/4 = |\text{Alt}(5)|/4 = 360/4 = 90 > 40$. If $m = 4$, then $\Omega/4 = |\text{Alt}(4)|/4 = 30/4 = 15$ is the order of $(g_1g_2) \in G$ with $|g_1| = 3, |g_2| = 5$, and this case is in the statement of the theorem.

Next, suppose that $T = \text{PSL}_2(q)$ for some $m \geq 2$ and $q = p^t$. We may assume that $(m, q) \neq (2, 4), (2, 5), (2, 9)$ and $(4, 2)$. Using Table 3, we find that $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2 < |T|/4$, for $(m, q) \neq (2, 7), (2, 8)$ and $(3, 2)$. In particular, $\text{meo}(G) < \Omega/4$ for $(m, q) \neq (2, 7), (2, 8)$ and $(3, 2)$. Recall that $\text{PSL}_2(7) \cong \text{PSL}_3(2)$. If $(m, q) = (2, 7)$, then an easy computation shows that $\text{meo}(\text{PSL}_2(7))^2 \cdot \text{meo}(\Omega) = 28$ and $\Omega = |\text{PSL}_2(7)|/4 = 168/4 = 42 > 28$. Similarly, if $(m, q) = (2, 8)$, then $\text{meo}(\text{PSL}_2(8))^2 \cdot \text{meo}(\Omega) = 244/4 = 63$. If $(m, q) = (3, 2)$, then $\text{meo}(\text{PSL}_2(3))^2 \cdot \text{meo}(\Omega) = 504/4 = 126 > 63$.

Finally suppose that $T$ is not isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(m)$ or to $\text{PSL}_2(q)$. By Theorem 1.2, it follows that either $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) < m(T)/4$ or that $T$ is one of the groups in Table 1. In the first case, $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2 < m(T)^2/16 \leq |T|/4 = \Omega/4$ (where the last inequality follows from a direct inspection of Table 4). It remains to suppose that $T$ is one of the groups in Table 1. Now a case-by-case analysis using [9] shows that $\text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T))^2 < |T|/4$ in each of the remaining cases.

\begin{proof}{5.3} \textbf{Proof of Theorem 1.3: the end.} We are finally ready to prove Theorem 1.3. However first we need some more notation.

\begin{notation}{5.10} Let $G$ be a primitive group of PA or CD type acting on $\Omega$. When $G$ is of PA type, the socle $\text{soc}(G) = T_1 \times \cdots \times T_\ell$ is isomorphic to $T^\ell$, where $T$ is a non-abelian simple group, and $\ell \geq 2$. When $G$ is of CD type,

$$\text{soc}(G) = (T_{1,1} \times \cdots \times T_{1,r}) \times \cdots \times (T_{\ell,1} \times \cdots \times T_{\ell,r})$$

is isomorphic to $T^{\ell r}$, where $T$ is a non-abelian simple group and $\ell, r \geq 2$.

In both cases, the action of $G$ on $\Omega$ is permutation isomorphic to the product action of $G$ on a set $\Delta$. By identifying $\Omega$ with $\Delta$, we have $G \leq W = H \wr \text{Sym}(\ell)$, $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Delta)$ is primitive on $\Delta$, $\text{soc}(G)$ is the socle of $W$, and $W$ acts on $\Omega$ as in the product action. When $G$ is of PA type, $H$ is primitive of AS type and $\text{soc}(H) = T$. When $G$ is of CD type, $H$ is primitive of SD type and $\text{soc}(H) = T^r$ (in particular $|\Delta| = |T|^{\ell r - 1}$ and $|\Omega| = |T|^{\ell (r - 1)}$).
\end{notation}

\end{proof}
**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Recall that, according to [36], the finite primitive permutation groups are partitioned into eight families: AS, HA, SD, HS, HC, CD, TW and PA. If $G$ is of AS or SD type, then the proof follows from Theorems 5.7 and 5.9. If $G$ is of HA type, then the proof follows from [19].

Suppose that $G$ is of HS type. Then $G$ is contained in a primitive group $M$ of SD type (one might choose $M$ to be $N_{\text{Sym}(n)}(G)$, see [36]). If $G$ contains an element of order at least $n/4$, then Theorem 5.9 implies that the socle of $G$ is $\text{Alt}(5)^2$, which is one of the exceptions listed in Table 2.

Next, we recall that every primitive group of TW type is contained in a primitive group of HC type (see [12, Section 4.7]), and also every primitive group of HC type is contained in a primitive group of CD type (see [36]). Therefore we will assume from now on that $G$ is of CD or PA type and we will use Notation 5.10. There are two cases to consider: (i) $H$ contains a permutation $h$ with $|h| > |\Delta|/4$ and (ii) $\text{meo}(H) \leq |\Delta|/4$. Note that Case (ii) is always satisfied if $G$ is of CD type since, in this case, $H$ is of SD type and Theorem 5.9 applies. Moreover in Case (ii) we have

$$\text{meo}(G) \leq \text{meo}(H^\ell) \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell)) < (\text{meo}(H))^\ell \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))$$

$$\leq \frac{|\Delta|^\ell}{4^\ell} \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell)) = |\Omega| \frac{\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))}{4^\ell} \leq \frac{|\Omega|}{4^\ell},$$

where the second inequality follows since $\ell \geq 2$ and the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.1. Now suppose that Case (i) holds; in particular, $H$ is of AS type. By Theorem 5.7, $T = \text{soc}(H)$ is $\text{Alt}(m)$ (in its natural action on $k$-sets) or $\text{PSL}_q(q)$ (in its natural action on $\text{PG}_{d-1}(q)$), or $T$ is one of the simple groups in Table 2.

It remains to show that there exists a positive integer $\ell_T$ depending only on $T$ with $\ell \leq \ell_T$. Arguing as above, we have

$$\text{meo}(G) \leq \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)^\ell) \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))$$

$$\leq |\text{Aut}(T)| \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell)) \leq |\text{Aut}(T)| e^{2\sqrt{T \log T}}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.1. Since $|\Omega| \geq m(T)^\ell \geq 5^\ell$, it is easy to see that $\text{meo}(G) < |\Omega|/4$ for all sufficiently large $\ell$. \hfill $\square$

**Remark 5.11.** In general, the smallest value of $\ell_T$ seems hard to obtain without a careful analysis of the element orders of $\text{Aut}(T)$. Nevertheless, for some groups $T$ in Table 2 the number $\ell_T$ can be obtained using some elementary arguments. Consider for example the group $T = \text{Alt}(7)$. The element orders of $\text{Aut}(T) \cong \text{Sym}(7)$ are 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10 and 12. So the maximum element order of $\text{Sym}(7)^2$ is $7 \cdot 12 = 84$ and it is not hard to see that the maximum element order of $\text{Sym}(7)^\ell$ is $\text{lcm}(7, 10, 12) = 420$ for each integer $\ell \geq 3$.

In particular, $\text{meo}(\text{Sym}(7)) \text{wr} \text{Sym}(\ell)) \leq 420 \text{meo}(\text{Sym}(\ell))$. Now observe that the minimal degree of a permutation representation of $\text{Alt}(7)$ is 7 and 420meo($\text{Sym}(\ell)) < 7^\ell/4$ for every $\ell \geq 5$. Thus $\ell_T \leq 4$. To obtain the precise value of $\ell_T$, one has to embark on a careful analysis of the possible element orders of $\text{Sym}(7) \text{wr} \text{Sym}(\ell)$ for $\ell \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. In this case, it is easy to see that $\ell_T = 4$.

A similar argument can be used for the Higman–Sims group $T = HS$ for example. Remarkably, it turns out that $\ell_T = 1$ here, which can be seen using [9].

In Table 6 we give the values of $\ell_T$ for each of the simple groups in Table 2 (these values were obtained with the help of a computer). The number $m$ in the table is the degree of the permutation representation of the socle factor $T$ of a primitive group $G$ of PA type admitting a permutation $g \in G$ with $|g| \geq m^\ell/4$. 
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Table 6. List of degrees \( n = m^\ell \) for which there exists a primitive permutation group \( G \) of degree \( n \) as in Theorem 1.3(4)

| \( T \) | \( (m, \ell_T) \) where \( n = m^\ell \) and \( 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_T \) |
|---|---|
| \( \text{Alt}(5) \) | (5, 3), (6, 3), (10, 2) |
| \( \text{Alt}(6) \) | (6, 3), (10, 2), (15, 1) |
| \( \text{Alt}(7) \) | (7, 4), (15, 1), (21, 1), (35, 1) |
| \( \text{Alt}(8) \) | (8, 4), (15, 2), (28, 1), (35, 1), (56, 1) |
| \( \text{Alt}(9) \) | (9, 4), (36, 1) |
| \( M_{11} \) | (11, 3), (12, 3) |
| \( M_{12} \) | (12, 3) |
| \( M_{22} \) | (22, 2) |
| \( M_{23} \) | (23, 3) |
| \( M_{24} \) | (24, 3) |
| \( HS \) | (100, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(7) \) | (7, 2), (8, 3), (21, 1), (28, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(8) \) | (9, 2), (28, 1), (36, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(11) \) | (11, 2), (12, 3) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(16) \) | (17, 3), (68, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(19) \) | (20, 3), (57, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(25) \) | (26, 2) |
| \( \text{PSL}_2(49) \) | (50, 2) |
| \( \text{PSL}_4(3) \) | (13, 2), (52, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_4(4) \) | (21, 2), (56, 1) |
| \( \text{PSL}_4(3) \) | (40, 2), (130, 1) |
| \( \text{PSU}_3(3) \) | (28, 1), (36, 1) |
| \( \text{PSU}_3(5) \) | (50, 1) |
| \( \text{PSU}_4(3) \) | (112, 1) |
| \( \text{PSp}_6(2) \) | (28, 1), (36, 1) |
| \( \text{PSp}_8(2) \) | (120, 1) |
| \( \text{PSp}_4(3) \) | (27, 1), (36, 1), (40, 1), (45, 1) |

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The first part follows using the values of \( m(T) \) in Table 4 and the upper bounds on \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \) in Table 3 in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We only give full details in the case \( T = \text{PSU}_d(q) \), with \( q \geq 4 \). If \( d \geq 5 \), then \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) \leq q^{d-1} + q^2 \). So

\[
m(T)^{3/4} = \left( \frac{(q^d - (-1)^d)(q^{d-1} - (-1)^{d-1})}{q^2 - 1} \right)^{3/4} \geq (q^{2d-3})^{3/4},
\]

which is greater than \( q^{d-1} + q^2 \). If \( d = 3 \), then \( m(T)^{3/4} = (q^3 + 1)^{3/4} > q^2 \) and \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) = q^2 - 1 \) when \( q \neq 4 \) and so the bound in the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds with possibly one exception. If \( d = 4 \), then \( m(T)^{3/4} = (q^4 + q^3 + q + 1)^{3/4} \) and \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) = q^3 + 1 \) when \( q \neq 2 \) and so the bound in the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds with possibly one exception. Similar calculations show that, apart from a finite number of exceptions, (i) holds for all finite simple groups \( T \) satisfying \( T \neq \text{Alt}(m) \) and \( T \neq \text{PSL}_d(q) \).

To prove the second part of Theorem 1.1, we let \( \epsilon, A > 0 \), \( g(\epsilon) = Ax^{3/4 - \epsilon} \) and let \( T = \text{PSL}_4(q) \) with \( q \) odd. Then \( \text{meo}(\text{Aut}(T)) = q^3 + 1 \) and \( m(T) = (q^3 + 1)(q + 1) \leq 2q^4 \). Thus \( g_0(m(T)) \leq 2^{3/4} Aq^{3-4\epsilon} \), which is strictly less than \( q^3 + 1 \) for all sufficiently large \( q \).

□
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