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Chidamide has demonstrated significant clinical benefits for patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) PTCL in previous studies. This multi-center observational study was aimed to evaluate the objective response rate (ORR), overall survival (OS), and safety of chidamide. From February 2015 to December 2017, 548 patients with R/R PTCL from 186 research centers in China were included in the study. Among the 261 patients treated with chidamide monotherapy, ORR was 58.6% and 55 patients (21.1%) achieved complete response (CR). Among the 287 patients receiving chidamide-containing combination therapies, ORR was 73.2% and 73 patients (25.4%) achieved CR. The median OS of all patients was 15.1 months. The median OS of patients receiving chidamide monotherapy and combination therapies was 433 and 463 days, respectively. These results demonstrate a significant survival advantage of chidamide treatments as compared with international historical records. Common adverse effects (AEs) were hematological toxicities. Most AEs in both monotherapy and combined treatments were grade 1–2. No unanticipated AEs occurred. In conclusion, chidamide-based therapy led to a favorable efficacy and survival benefit for R/R PTCL. Future studies should explore the potential advantage of chidamide treatment combined with chemotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a rare and heterogeneous group of clinically aggressive mature T- and natural killer (NK)-cell neoplasms associated with poor prognosis. Twenty-seven different types of PTCL are described in the 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. PTCL represents 10–15% of non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHLs) in Western countries and accounts for about 25–30% of NHLs in China (1, 2). Moreover, the subtype distribution of PTCL is different between China and Western countries. The most common subtype of PTCL in China is extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma (NKTCL), nasal type, followed by PTCL-not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS), anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL), and angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL) (2, 3).

For relapsed or refractory PTCL, conventional chemotherapy without intensification is usually associated with high treatment failure and disease relapse rates (3–5). Novel agents that target various pathways, such as histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, have been intensively studied and developed. Epigenetic therapies is also supported by identifying mutations of epigenetic genes in different PTCL subtypes, including TET2, IDH2, RHOA, DNMT3A, CD28, and FYN (6–10). Chidamide, a novel benzamide class of HDAC inhibitors, has been demonstrated to block the catalytic pocket of class I HDACs and selectively inhibit the activity of HDAC1, 2, 3, and 10 (11–17). For relapsed/refractory (R/R) PTCL, chidamide led to an overall response rate (ORR) of 28% in a phase II study (18) and an ORR of 39% in a real-world study (19). This study was a single arm, open-label, retrospective, post-marketing observational study of chidamide. The primary objective was to evaluate the safety, efficacy, and survival benefit of chidamide-containing therapy for relapsed or refractory (R/R) PTCL.

METHODS

Patients and Study Design

The current study’s protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of all of the participating centers and was in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was waived owing to the use of a deidentified data set.

From February 2015 to December 2017, patients with R/R PTCL from 186 research centers in China were enrolled in the study. The main inclusion criteria were as follows: PTCL subtypes being relapsed or refractory disease as defined by histologic pathology, and receiving chidamide-containing therapy with a duration more than six weeks. When monotherapy was chosen, a dose of 30 mg chidamide was orally administered twice weekly. When combined with other regimens, chidamide with a dose of 20–30 mg twice a week was given consecutively or according to physicians’ choices.

The response criteria was based on the Lugano classification recommendation for response assessment of Hodgkin lymphoma and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (20). ORR was defined as the proportion of patients achieving complete remission (CR) and partial response (PR). OS was calculated from the initiation of chidamide until death or the final follow-up (June 2018). Safety assessment was graded according to the Common Toxicity Criteria for Adverse Events scale, v4.03 (CTCAEv4.03).

Statistics

Data analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS for Windows software (Version 25.0; IBM Corp). A chi-square test was used for comparison of categorical variables, and a t test was used for comparison of continuous variables. Kaplan-Meier method was employed for survival analysis. Multivariate analysis for OS was performed using the Cox proportional hazards model.
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RESULTS

Patient Characteristics
A total of 548 patients with R/R PTCL were enrolled in the study. The baseline characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The median age was 57 years (range, 18–89 years), with a male/female ratio of 1.6:1. More than one half of the patients received chidamide-containing combination treatments, in which a cytotoxic drug was predominant (Supplement Table 1).

Efficacy
For the entire cohort, the ORR and CR rate were 66.2% and 23.4%, respectively. The best ORR was observed in AITL (75.1%), followed by ALCL (70.7%), PTCL-NOS (61.4%), and NKTCL (53.0%, Table 2). The CR rates varied from 20% to 30% according to different pathology, but was not statistically significant.

| Characteristic | Number of patients (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Age ≤60 years  | 332 (60.6)            |
| Age >60 years  | 216 (39.4)            |
| ECOG PS 0–1    | 336 (61.3)            |
| ECOG PS 2–4    | 212 (38.7)            |
| Pathology type |                        |
| AITL           | 177 (32.3)            |
| PTCL-NOS       | 220 (40.1)            |
| ALCL           | 41 (7.5)              |
| ALK-positive   | 12 (2.2)              |
| ALK-negative   | 11 (2.0)              |
| ALK-unknown    | 18 (3.3)              |
| NKTCL          | 66 (12.0)             |
| Others         | 44 (8.0)              |

PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PS, performance status; AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell; PTCL-NOS, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified; ALCL, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma; ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; NKTCL, natural killer/T-cell lymphoma; IPI, International Prognostic Index.

Chidamide-containing combination therapies exhibited a better ORR (73.2% vs. 58.6%, \( P < 0.001 \)) as compared with chidamide monotherapy, but had similar CR (25.4% vs. 21.1%) rates. Among the 261 patients treated with chidamide monotherapy, 55 (21.1%) patients achieved CR, 98 (37.5%) achieved PR, and 80 (30.7%) achieved SD. Of the 287 patients receiving chidamide-containing combination therapies, 73 (25.4%) patients achieved CR, 137 (47.8%) achieved PR, and 49 (17.0%) achieved SD. The differences in either the CR rate or ORR between different combination regimens were not statistically significant.

Safety
The most common adverse events (AEs) were neutropenia (46.7%) in patients treated with chidamide monotherapy, and fatigue (89.2%) in those treated with chidamide-containing combination therapies. Neutropenia was the most common grade 3–4 AE. The incidences and severity of AEs were significantly higher in patients receiving combination treatments than in those receiving the monotherapy (Table 3). There was no unanticipated AEs during the follow-up period.

Survival
A total of 260 patients died during the follow-up period. The median OS was 15.1 months (range, 12.9–17.4 months), and the anticipated 1- and 2-year OS rates were 57.9% and 35.8%, respectively, for the entire cohort. In terms of pathological subtypes, the anticipated 1- and 2-year OS rates were 64.2% and 45.4%, respectively, for AITL; 50.7% and 27.7%, respectively, for ALCL; 41.8% and 14.5%, respectively, for NKTCL; 54.2% and 32.0%, respectively, for PTCL-NOS; and 65.4% and 41.4%, respectively, for other types (\( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1A). The survival benefit varied according to treatment responses, with an anticipated 1- and 2-year OS rate of 90.4% and 69.4%, 58.1% and 36.1%, 39.7% and 8.7%, and 12.2% and 6.5% for patients achieving CR, PR, SD, and progression disease (PD), respectively (\( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1B). The median follow-up was 4.9 months. Among patients treated with chidamide monotherapy, the expected 1- and 2-year OS rates were 58.0% and 36.5%, respectively, for all patients; 58.8% and 42.5%, respectively, for those with AITL; 46.0% and 23.0%, respectively, for those with ALCL; 48.5% and 27.0%, respectively, for those with NKTCL; 56.4% and 31.8%, respectively, for those with PTCL-NOS; and 64.5% and 41.4%, respectively, for those with other types (\( P = 0.352 \), Figure 1C). In terms of treatment responses, the expected 1- and 2-year OS rates were 95.3% and 77.5%, 53.8% and 34.9%, 47.7% and 10.1%, and 10.3% and 0 for patients achieving CR, PR, SD, and PD, respectively (\( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1D).

Among patients receiving chidamide-containing combination therapies, the expected 1- and 2-year OS rates were 57.3% and 35.2%, respectively, for all patients; 68.3% and 47.8%, respectively, for those with AITL; 43.2% and 28.8%, respectively, for those with ALCL; 32.2% and 7.4%, respectively, for those with NKTCL; 48.5% and 32.0%, respectively, for those with PTCL-NOS; and 64.5% and 45.2%, respectively, for those with other types (\( P = 0.001 \), Figure 1E). In terms of treatment responses, the expected 1- and 2-year OS rates were 86.7% and 63.4%, 60.2% and 37.1%, 27.5% and 0, and 13.9% and 4.6% for patients achieving CR, PR, SD, and PD, respectively (\( P < 0.001 \), Figure 1F).
The current large-scale, real-world study explored the safety, efficacy, and survival benefit of chidamide for R/R PTCL. Chidamide-containing therapy led to a satisfactory efficacy with an ORR of 73.2% and good tolerance without unanticipated AEs. Moreover, chidamide-containing therapy brought a survival advantage with a 2-year OS rate of 35.8%. Especially for those patients achieving CR, both chidamide monotherapy and combination therapy resulted in improved survival outcome with the 2-year OS of more than 60%.

Previous studies have shown that HDAC inhibitors have significant anticancer potential for R/R PTCL. In a phase II study involving 131 patients, romidepsin led to rapid response with a median time to objective response of 1.8 months, and resulted in an ORR of 25% and a CR rate of 15% (21). During the

| TABLE 2 | Efficacy of chidamide-based treatment stratified by baseline characteristics. |
|----------|---------------------|--|--|
|          | CR                  | ORR                |
| N (%)    | P                   | N (%)              | P               |
| Age      |                     |                    |
| ≤60      | 88 (26.5)           | 0.031              | 221 (66.6)      | 0.842 |
| > 60     | 40 (18.5)           |                     | 142 (65.7)      | 0.47  |
| Gender   |                     | 0.892              |                    |
| Male     | 79 (23.2)           | 222 (65.1)         |                    |
| Female   | 49 (23.7)           | 141 (68.1)         |                    |
| ECOG PS  | 0.177               |                    |
| 0–1      | 85 (25.3)           | 238 (70.8)         |                    |
| 2–5      | 43 (20.3)           | 125 (59.0)         |                    |
| Stage    | 0.197               |                    |
| I–II     | 124 (23.1)          | 356 (66.3)         |                    |
| III–IV   | 53 (22.9)           | 48 (72.7)          |                    |
| Pathology| 0.55                |                    |
| AITL     | 53 (29.9)           | 133 (75.1)         |                    |
| PTCL-NOS | 44 (20.0)           | 135 (61.4)         |                    |
| ALCL     | 10 (24.4)           | 29 (70.7)          |                    |
| NKTL     | 16 (24.2)           | 35 (53.0)          |                    |
| Others   | 5 (11.4)            | 31 (70.5)          |                    |
| IPI score| 0.391               |                    |
| Low risk | 90 (21.4)           | 276 (65.6)         | 0.212             |
| Low-intermediate risk | 51 (22.8) | 155 (69.2) |                    |
| High-intermediate risk | 35 (18.8) | 80 (60.2) |                    |
| High risk| 16 (24.2)           | 41 (84.1)          |                    |

CR, complete response; ORR, overall response rate; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; PS, performance status; AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; PTCL-NOS, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified; ALCL, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma; NKTL, natural killer/T-cell lymphoma; IPI, International Prognostic Index.

| TABLE 3 | Adverse events. |
|----------|----------------|
|          | Grade 1–2 | Grade 3–4 | Grade 1–2 | Grade 3–4 |
| Neutropenia | 81 (31.0) | 41 (15.7) | 80 (27.9) | 106 (36.9) |
| Anemia     | 68 (26.1) | 19 (7.3)  | 113 (39.4) | 54 (18.8)  |
| Thrombocytopenia | 62 (31.4) | 30 (11.5) | 93 (32.4) | 91 (31.7)  |
| Fatigue    | 89 (34.1) | 16 (6.1)  | 167 (58.2) | 89 (31.0)  |
| Fever      | 31 (11.9) | 0 (0)     | 58 (20.2) | 7 (2.4)    |
| Nausea/vomiting | 59 (22.6) | 3 (1.1)  | 99 (34.5) | 4 (1.4)    |
| Diarrhea   | 35 (13.4) | 2 (0.8)   | 44 (15.3) | 3 (1.0)    |
| Prolonged QTc period | 6 (2.3) | 1 (0.4) | 8 (2.8) | 0 (0) |
| Thromboembolism | 2 (0.8) | 0 (0) | 14 (4.9) | 0 (0) |
| Elevated ALT | 16 (6.1) | 4 (1.5) | 40 (13.9) | 2 (0.7) |
| Elevated AST | 14 (5.4) | 5 (1.9) | 29 (10.1) | 4 (1.4) |
| Elevated Creatinine | 7 (2.7) | 0 (0) | 11 (3.8) | 1 (0.3) |
| Proteinuria | 8 (3.1) | 0 (0) | 13 (4.5) | 0 (0) |

ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase; QTc, QT interval corrected by heart rate.
In long-term follow-up period, the median DOR for all responders was 28 months, and 32% of patients achieving CR had a DOR of more than 24 months (22). In a real-world study, romidepsin resulted in an ORR of 33%, a CR rate of 12.5%, and a median DOR of 13.4 months (23). Similarly, a pivotal phase II study showed the ORR of belinostat led to an ORR of 25.8% with a CR rate of 10.8% (24). In the current study, the ORR of chidamide-containing therapy was 66.2% for the entire cohort. Notably, a relatively higher response rate was observed in AITL with an ORR of 75.1% and a CR rate of 29.9%. AITL is characterized by high frequencies of mutations in epigenetic modifiers in neoplastic T cells (9), which can partly explain the significant clinical benefits of chidamide. In addition, the efficacy of chidamide seemed to be higher than that of pralatrexate which led to an ORR of 29% with a CR rate of 11% for relapsed or refractory PTCL (25), but it was lower than that of Brentuximab vedotin which led to an ORR of 86% with a CR rate of 57% for ALCL (26). Therefore, future studies focusing on the impact of HDAC inhibitors on the survival benefit of specific subtypes are needed.

Survival expectations for patients with R/R PTCL treated with salvage chemotherapy is very poor. A retrospective study demonstrated that patients with first-time relapsed PTCL treated with chemotherapy only had a median OS of 6.5 months (27). In contrast, HDAC inhibitors showed a better survival advantage. Romidepsin resulted in a median DOR of 28 months and a median PFS of 29 months, of which a better survival benefit was observed in those who achieved CR for ≥12 months (22). In the current study, the overall median OS for all patients was 15.1 months, and the 2-year OS rate was 69.4% for patients achieving CR, suggesting a significantly improved long-term survival benefit of chidamide to patients with R/R PTCL. Chidamide was generally well-tolerated in the current study. Most of the AEs were hematological toxicities of grades 1–2, including thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and anemia. The incidence of AEs slightly increased in patients receiving chidamide-containing combination treatments, but all AEs were manageable. Transient prolongation of QT interval corrected by heart rate (QTc) period was observed, which was not associated with concurrent cardiac symptoms. Therefore, this study further confirmed the safety of chidamide both in monotherapy and along with other chemotherapies.

There was several limitations in the current study. First, the time to response was taken into account when the inclusion
criteria was developed. The median time to objective response for romidepsin was 1.8 months (21), while chidamide led to a rapid response with 74% of all responses occurring within the first 6 weeks after treatment (18). Based on these reports, patients who received therapy with a duration more than six weeks were enrolled to explore the long-term survival benefit of chidamide in the current study. However, it resulted in a significant selection bias for the evaluation of efficacy, which led to a higher ORR (58.6%) than that reported in a previous real-world study (ORR was 51.2%) (19). Second, the optimal combined cytotoxic drugs were not determined due to the heterogeneous regimens during combined therapy, and data of salvage therapy after disease progression was not collected. Third, many baseline characteristics data including central pathology review, clinical manifestation, imaging examination methods for staging and response, and prognosis except international prognostic index was missing due to multicenter nature and enrollment, which made it difficult to select particular patient population who potentially benefitted from chidamide therapy.

In conclusion, the current large-scale study demonstrated that chidamide had a favorable efficacy and a tolerable safety profile for patients with R/R PTCL. In addition, the current study demonstrated the potential survival benefit of chidamide for patients with R/R PTCL when combined with chemotherapy.
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