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Abstract

Direct frequency-comb spectroscopy is used to probe the absolute frequencies of the \(6s^2S_{1/2} - 8s^2S_{1/2}\) two-photon transitions of atomic cesium in a hot vapor environment. By utilizing the coherent control method of temporally splitting the laser spectrum above and below the two-photon resonance frequency, Doppler-free absorption is built in two spatially distinct locations and imaged for high-precision spectroscopy. Theoretical analysis finds that these transition lines are measured with uncertainty below \(5 \times 10^{-10}\), mainly contributed from laser-induced AC Stark shift.
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1. Introduction

A frequency-comb laser generates a train of equally time-separated optical pulses and its spectrum is a comb of equally spaced frequency components, given by

\[ f_n = f_{\text{CEO}} + nf_{\text{rep}}, \]

where \(f_{\text{CEO}}\) is the carrier-envelope offset frequency (often locked to a radio-frequency standard such as an atomic clock), \(f_{\text{rep}}\) is the comb tooth spacing (or the repetition frequency of a modelocked laser), and \(n\) is an integer on the order of a million [1]. This laser provides absolute frequencies in an optical frequency domain that can be fine-tuned electronically. The linewidth of the comb modes can be quite narrow compared to transition linewidths, allowing for high-resolution spectroscopy, in spite of the large spectral range. The Doppler-free spectroscopy scheme using frequency combs was initially proposed for the 1s–2s transition of hydrogen [2] and later experimentally demonstrated [3]. This scheme has been widely used for various precision measurements in fundamental constants [4, 5], molecules and ions [6–8], and even distance-ranging applications [9].

Recently a coherent control method, which rather directly uses the frequency comb for spectroscopy than referencing continuous-wave (CW) lasers, was developed [10]. Termed as direct frequency-comb spectroscopy (DFCS), this method extends the usage of the frequency comb to Doppler-free spectroscopy of atoms in hot vapor environments. For example, rubidium 5s–7s transition lines were measured with enhanced accuracy [11]. This method is relatively simple to experimentally implement, compared to cold-atom based spectroscopy, and thus reduces systematic effects such as radiation pressure in cold-atom ensembles [12].

In this experiment, we probed the \(6s^2S_{1/2} (F = 3, 4) \rightarrow 8s^2S_{1/2} (F' = 3, 4)\) two-photon transitions of atomic cesium \((^{133}\text{Cs})\). As shown in figure 1(a), these transitions have excitation frequencies around \(2 \times 365\) THz \((822/2\text{ nm in wavelength})\). As described below, we used the DFCS method adopted from [11] and the result is compared with the previous measurement performed with picosecond lasers frequency-stabilized to frequency-comb references [13].

2. Measurement principle and setup

The experimental setup for our DFCS is schematically illustrated in figure 1(b). We used a home-made Kerr-lens mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser-oscillator, which produced laser pulses frequency-centered at \(f_L = 365\) THz \((\lambda_L = 822\text{ nm in wavelength})\) with a bandwidth of \(\Delta f = 15\) THz (FWHM, \(\Delta \lambda = 35\) nm). The pulse-repetition rate was controlled in the range from \(f_{\text{rep}} = 80\) to 90 MHz and the carrier-envelope offset frequency from \(f_{\text{CEO}} = 10\) to 30 MHz. Scanning the frequency within the comb spacing was performed through changing the reference frequency \(f_{\text{rep}}'\). We scanned \(f_{\text{rep}}'\) by changing the cavity length...
using a PZT at the output coupler, while $f_{\text{coo}}$ was kept constant by adjusting the tilt of the end mirror. The spectral output of the comb was broadened using a photonic crystal fiber. We used a commercial supercontinuum generation module (FemtoWHITE 800 from NKT photonics), of which the fiber ends were sealed with quartz ferrules for ease of use and to reduce optical damage. The laser was then frequency stabilized with the conventional $f$-to-2$f$ self-referencing Mach–Zehnder interferometer [14], where both $f_{\text{rep}}$ and $f_{\text{coo}}$ were locked to a rubidium atomic clock using custom-made phase-locked feedback loops.

Single-sided Doppler-broadened two-photon absorption was avoided with a coherent control method [11]. The initial pulse spectrum was divided into two with respect to the exact two-photon center (the frequency that corresponded to half of the $6s^2S_{1/2}$–$8s^2S_{1/2}$ transition frequency). When we denote red (blue) pulse for the spectrum below (above) the two-photon center, the red and blue pulses should be applied to the atom at the same time, to satisfy the energy conservation of the two-photon transition. The red and blue pulses were separated in time and we created a replica of these two pulses that propagated in the opposite direction. Then, at two distinct positions, each red and blue pulse collided with its back-propagating counterpart (>94% of the forward laser intensity). In this case, because the directions of the red (blue) and its counterpart were opposite to each other, Doppler-free two-photon absorption (except residual shift due to the frequency difference between the red and blue) occurred at these two positions (see the CCD image in figure 1(b)).

However, because the lifetime of the excited state ($\approx 1\,\mu$s) is longer than the typical pulse-repetition time ($\approx 10\,\text{ns}$), the excited-state population can be coherently accumulated as the pulse train passes by. The amount of the accumulated population depends on the delay ($t_{\text{rep}}$) and the phase difference ($\Delta f_{\text{coo}}$) between subsequent pulse pairs. It can also be understood in the frequency domain: as the delay and the phase difference are the two comb parameters, $f_{\text{coo}}$ and $f_{\text{rep}}$, the situation becomes simply the summation of two-photon transition from the pairs of two CW lasers whose frequencies are determined by the comb parameters. This explanation is valid for the weak-field regime that corresponds to our experimental conditions.

In the setup shown in figure 1(b), each laser pulse was split to a pair of sub-pulses with a conventional 4f geometry pulse shaper [15]. By placing a glass plate on the Fourier plane, the red spectrum pulse was time-delayed by 1.76 ps with respect to the blue part. No significant pulse broadening (less than 30fs FWHM) ensured that this plate gave no significant higher-order dispersion. We also controlled the laser power by cutting the laser spectrum with a knife edge on the Fourier plane, the red and blue sub-pulses counter-propagated and were focused with a ZnSe lens. Doppler-free $8s^2S_{1/2}$ excitation occurred at two distinct spatial locations. We measured the $8s^2S_{1/2}$ state population through a $8s \rightarrow 7p \rightarrow 6s$ decay channel, where the 456 nm fluorescence ($7p \rightarrow 6s$) was imaged with a CCD. A typical image is shown in figure 1(b), and we integrated the red boxes at the image to extract a spectroscopy signal.

### 3. Result and discussion

Figure 2 shows a typical spectrum of the cesium $6s^2S_{1/2}$–$8s^2S_{1/2}$ transitions, where the left peak corresponds to $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 3$ and the right $F = 4 \rightarrow F' = 4$. The
The measured spectrum is compared with a theoretical absorption profile, which is a refined version from [11], given by

$$|a|^2(f_{\text{rep}})^2 \approx \sum_{m,n} \left| E_m(f_{\text{rep}}) E_n(f_{\text{rep}}) \right|^2 \frac{\delta f = (m + n)\delta f_{\text{rep}}, (m + n) \sim \delta f_{\text{rep}}, (m + n) \sim 8.9 \times 10^5}. \text{ The linewidth is measured to be about 13 MHz (FWHM).}$$

Now we discuss the systematic errors caused by pressure shift, transit-time broadening, Zeeman shift, and AC Stark shift.

**Pressure shift:** previously measured pressure shifts are $-26\text{ kHz mTorr}^{-1}$ ($-12\text{ kHz mTorr}^{-1}$) for $F = 4$ ($F = 3$) [17]. In our experimental conditions, the temperature of the vapor cell was maintained at around $60^\circ\text{C}$ and the corresponding vapor pressure was $3 \times 10^{-5} \text{Torr}$ [21]. This leads to a pressure shift of $-780 (-360) \text{ Hz for } F = 4 (F = 3)$, which is smaller than our measurement resolution.

**Transit-time broadening:** the beam waist of our laser was around $50 \mu\text{m}$, resulting in transit-time broadening [18] of $\delta f = 0.4v/w = 1.6 \text{ MHz}$, where $w = 50 \mu\text{m}$ is the Gaussian beam waist and $v = 204 \text{m s}^{-1}$ the most probable speed of atoms. Due to the small beam waist, the transit-time broadening was of a similar order in magnitude to the natural linewidth of $8\text{s}$ levels, but this does not shift the line centers.

**Zeeman shift:** Zeeman shifts of these transitions have been measured to be $2\text{ kHz at } 10 \text{ Gauss}$ [13]. Our experimental region near the vapor cell was covered with $\mu$-metal so there was negligible magnetic field. So, the Zeeman shift was within our measurement uncertainty.

**AC Stark shift:** AC Stark shifts in these transitions are known to be linearly proportional to the average laser intensity (not the laser peak intensity). According to [13], the AC Stark shift is around $-0.21 \text{ Hz mW}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. In our experiment, the maximum intensity was around $\sim50 \text{ mW}$ for a beam size around $50 \mu\text{m}$. So, the expected shift of the line center is around $-132 \text{ kHz at } 50 \text{ mW laser power}. This is the main, and only considerable, cause of the systematic error to the frequency shift, among the four considered above. Figure 3 shows the Stark shifts measured for various laser intensities (controlled by the pulse shaper). The unshifted frequency was obtained through extrapolating to zero field to estimate the slopes for the $F = 4$ and $F = 3$ transitions around $-7.4(0.9) \text{ kHz mW}^{-1}$ and $-8.3(2.0) \text{ kHz mW}^{-1}$, respectively. We take the shift at typical experimental conditions, $34.8 \text{ mW}$, as the systematic error from AC Stark shift of our measurements, which give $289 \text{ kHz for } F = 4$ and $358 \text{ kHz for } F = 3$.  

| $F$ | $F'$ | $F = 3 \Rightarrow F' = 3$ | $F = 4 \Rightarrow F' = 4$ |
|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| This work | 729, 014, 476.90(40) | 729, 006, 160.73(33) |
| Fendel [13] | 729, 014, 476.834(15) | 729, 006, 160.702(15) |
| Stalnaker [16] | 729, 014, 476.652(22) | 729, 006, 160.582(22) |

| Table 1. Summary of the measured frequency of the $6s^21S_2-8s^21S_2$ transition of $^{133}\text{Cs}$. All the frequencies are in MHz unit. |

The linewidth is measured to be $1.3 \text{ MHz}$ over our integration time, and the transit-time broadening ($1.6 \text{ MHz}$).

Figure 2. Doppler-free two-photon transition spectrum of $^{133}\text{Cs}$ $6s^21S_2-8s^21S_2$ transitions. The left peak corresponds to a $F = 3 \rightarrow F' = 3$ transition and the right to $F = 4 \rightarrow F' = 4$. Blue dots are experimental data points (integration of the spatial excitation pattern in figure 1) and the red line is its fit to the product of Lorentzian and Gaussian functions. For this measurement, we used $35 \text{ mW of laser power and 10 nm (FWHM) of spectral width and averaged 30 times. The X-axis can be converted to an optical frequency domain and 1 Hz in } \Delta f_{\text{rep}} \text{ corresponds to } 8.9 \text{ MHz in } \Delta f \left[ f_2 = 2f_{\text{rep}} + (m + n)\delta f_{\text{rep}}, \delta f = (m + n)\delta f_{\text{rep}}, (m + n) \sim 8.9 \times 10^5 \right]. \text{ The linewidth is measured to be 13 MHz (FWHM).} $
The observed Stark shift is proportional to the average power instead of the peak power that might lead to much larger frequency shift. In the context of the time-domain population dynamics [19], the excited-state population is determined by the phase difference of the sequential excitation probability amplitudes given by the train of pulses, and each excitation is affected by the previous pulses by means of Stark shift. Therefore, the amount of this Stark shift is proportional to the averaged laser intensity. It is noteworthy, however, that in the strong-field regime experiments, the Stark shift depends on the pulse peak power [20] (enough to induce Z rotations in sub-picosecond time scales).

4. Conclusion

In summary, we performed DFCS of $^{133}$Cs $6s^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow 8s^2S_{1/2}$ two-photon transitions. We utilized the counter-propagating beam geometry of spectrally encoded ultrafast laser pulses to probe the two-photon transitions of atomic cesium. When being compared with theory and previous measurements, our measured Doppler-free transition profiles are in a good agreement. The absolute frequencies of these transitions are determined with uncertainty below $5 \times 10^{-10}$ and our error analysis concludes that the main cause of uncertainty comes from laser-induced AC Stark shift.
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