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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The morphometry of distal end radius (DER) comprises the four necessary parameters: radial inclination, palmer tilt, radial height, and ulnar variance. The unblemished intellect about the morphometry is urged for the management of fracture of DER. The goal of our study was to determine the values of morphometric parameters of the DER from the adult Indian.

Materials and Methods: It was a single hospital-based observational cross-sectional, prospective study. Radial inclination, radial height, and ulnar variance were measured on posteroanterior view, and the measurement of palmer tilt was accomplished on the lateral view. All the statistical analysis was done by Microsoft XL 2007 (data add in function were installed for data analysis). T-test was used for comparing the means of the parameters.

Results: Two hundred and forty two (n = 242) X-rays were included in this study to analyze. The mean value (n = 242) of radial inclination was 23.27° ± (standard deviation [SD]) 7.42° (range: 11.3–42.1°), palmer tilt 10.07° ± (SD) 5.28° (range: 1–16.9°), radial height 11.31 mm ± (SD) 4.9 mm (range: 7.1–30.4 mm), and ulnar variance 0.66 mm ± (SD) 2.46 mm (range: −2.4 to +4.1).

Conclusion: This study may provide an inaugural plinth to prosecute the further analytical research in the Indian population. Moreover, the data may also be used as a reference data for the anatomical alignment while treating the injuries of the DER in the Indian population.
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INTRODUCTION

The merit of fracture reduction of distal end radius (DER) is saliently evaluated by the restoration of the prefractured value of radial inclination and the volar tilt. Loss of the radial height along with the altered palmer tilt has the substantial influence in the kinesiology and the grip strength of wrist joint. Furthermore, the ulnar variance is important parameters for the management of the DER. Hence, the morphometric measurement of the DER includes the necessary parameters as radial inclination, palmer tilt radial height, and ulnar variance. Morphometry of the DER is significant in various conditions such as fracture of distal radius, distal radius plate design, and kinesiology.

Amidst the chronicle of the management of the DER, there has been a central issue of the relationship between the anatomical and the functional result. There are very fewer studies available for the morphometry of distal radius in the Indian population. Hence, the unblemished intellect about the morphometry is urged for the management of DER. The goal of our study was to determine the values of radiographic morphometric parameters of the DER among the adult Indian.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website: www.ijoonline.com

DOI: 10.4103/0019-5413.193482

How to cite this article: Mishra PK, Nagar M, Gaur SC, Gupta A. Morphometry of distal end radius in the Indian population: A radiological study. Indian J Orthop 2016;50:610-5.
Materials and Methods

It was a single hospital based, observational cross sectional, prospective study, which was carried out (May 2014 to October 2014) at the one transcendent hospital of India. Successive wrist radiographs (either right or left) of the patients were taken in the hospital, who visited with the wrist-related complaints. For the morphometry of the DER, we included the radial inclination, palmer tilt, radial height, and ulnar variance as parameters.

We included the wrists only with the fused epiphysis. We excluded the entire wrist X-rays of the unossified bone and showing the irregularities due to structural deformity, injured DER, and pathological conditions (i.e., arthritis) because they could have been responsible for the mismeasurement. We also compared the right and left side’s morphometric values of patients.

The standard protocol was followed for taking the wrist radiographs. Only the true posteroanterior (PA) and lateral X-rays (with neutral rotation) were considered in the study and the films, not centered over the wrist and with the rotation were discarded. For keeping the authenticity and to avoid the inter observer error, all the morphometric measurements were done by the single independent personnel at the IMAGE (version 14.01) (Evertech Software Private Limited, Mumbai, India) work station. Radial inclination, radial height, and ulnar variance were measured on PA view, and the measurement of palmer tilt was accomplished on the lateral view. All the statistical analysis was done by Microsoft XL 2007 (data add in function were installed for data analysis). T-test was used for comparing the means of the parameters and the level of significance set for the $P < 0.05$. Data were as analyzed as a whole population and in the groups also (right side and left side group, male and female gender group).

For subtending the angle of radial inclination on PA view, first we drew the line from the tip of the radial styloid of the radius to the medial edge of the distal radius (line A-B) then the second horizontal line drawn (line B-C) perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the radius (line D-E) at the level of the lunate fossa [Figure 1]. Thus, the subtended angle ($\angle ABC = \angle a$) is the angle of radial inclination. Palmer tilt was measured on lateral radiographs by drawing a line connecting the dorsal and palmer edge (line A-B) of the articular surface of the DER, then a perpendicular line (line B-C) from the palmer edge of the articular surface to the long axis (Line D-E) at the level of radial styloid process of the radius. Thus, the subtended angle ($\angle ABC = \angle b$) is the angle of palmer tilt [Figure 2]. For measuring the radial height in PA view, the first horizontal line (line A-B) drawn on the line perpendicular to the long axis of the radius (line C-D) passing through the distal tip of the radial styloid, and the second horizontal line drawn to perpendicular to the long axis of the radius passing through the lunate fossa (line E-F). Now, the length (h) between the two horizontal lines (line A-B to line E-F) is radial height [Figure 3]. Ulnar variance was also measured on PA radiographs. Moreover, it is a distance (h) measured between the line A-B drawn at the cortical margin (perpendicular to the long axis, line E-F) of the distal ulna relative to the line C-D drawn at the cortical margin (perpendicular to the long axis, line G-H) of the distal radius [Figure 4]. Measured values of ulnar variance were designated as positive or negative according to the relative distal or proximal position of the distal ulnar cortical margin (compared to the distal radial cortical margin), respectively.

Figure 1: Radial inclination (a) is measured as an angle made by the tangential line connecting the radial styloid to the medial edge of the distal radius (A-B) and the horizontal line perpendicular to the axis of radius at the level of lunate fossa (B-C)
RESULTS

Two hundred and forty two (n = 242) X-rays were included in this study to analyze. The male and female ratio was of 170 (70.24%) male and 72 (29.76%) female patients. The right and left distribution was of 86 left (28 female, 58 male) and 156 right (44 female, 112 male). Age of the patients ranges from 17 to 65 years with an average of 33.58 ± 22.36 (standard deviation [SD]) years old.

The analytic outcomes of the study in the various perspectives are depicted in Tables 1-3. The average value (n = 242) of radial inclination was 23.27°± (SD) 7.42° (range: 11.3–42.1°), palmer tilt 10.07° ± (SD) 5.28° (range: 1–16.9°), radial height 11.31 mm ± (SD) 4.9 mm (range: 7.1–30.4 mm), and ulnar variance 0.66 mm ± (SD) 2.46 mm (range: −2.4 to +4.1) are [Table 1].

The values (average ± SD) of the radial inclination 23.18 ± 7.98°, palmer tilt 10.14 ± 5.22°, radial height 11.66 ± 5.34 mm, and ulnar variance was 00.55 ± 2.34 mm for the male gender. Moreover, the values (average ± SD) for the female gender were radial inclination 23.49 ± 5.92°, palmer tilt 9.9 ± 5.44°, radial height 10.48 ± 3.14 mm, and ulnar variance 0.93 ± 2.7 mm [Table 2]. However, the differences were not significant statistically, except the radial height which showed the statistical difference of $P < 0.05$ (0.0032).

Measurements of parameters for the right side had the values for the (average ± SD) radial inclination 23.18 ± 7.84°, palmer tilt 10.48 ± 5.16°, radial height 11.27 ± 3.62 mm, and ulnar variance 0.77 ± 2.54 mm, respectively. Furthermore, the left side had the values for the (average ± SD) radial inclination 23.42 ± 6.72°, palmer tilt 9.30 ± 5.24°, radial height 11.36 ± 6.76 mm, and ulnar variance 0.47 ± 2.34, respectively [Table 3]. Moreover, the comparison of the parameters of the left and right side also did not reveal any statistically significant differences.

### Table 1: Distribution of radial inclination, palmar tilt, radial height, and ulnar variance in n=242 subjects

| Parameter                     | Mean±SD (range)          |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Radial inclination (°) (range) | 23.27±7.42 (11.3-42.1)   |
| Palmar tilt (°) (range)        | 10.07±5.28 (1-16.9)      |
| Radial height (mm) (range)     | 11.31±4.9 (7.1-30.4)     |
| Ulnar variance (mm) (range)    | 0.66±2.46 (−2.4-+4.1)    |

### Table 2: Distribution of morphometric parameters (average±standard deviation) in genders

| Parameters          | Male             | Female           | $P$  |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------|
| Radial inclination (°) | 23.18±7.98       | 23.49±5.92       | >0.05|
| Palmar tilt (°)     | 10.14±5.22       | 9.9±5.44         | >0.05|
| Radial height (mm)  | 11.66±5.34       | 10.48±3.14       | <0.05 (0.0032) |
| Ulnar variance (mm) | 0.55±2.34        | 0.93±2.7         | >0.05|

### Table 3: Distribution of morphometric parameters for left and right side

| Parameters          | Right             | Left              | $P$  |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Radial inclination (°) | 23.18±7.84       | 23.42±6.72        | >0.05|
| Palmar tilt (°)     | 10.48±5.16       | 9.30±5.24         | >0.05|
| Radial height (mm)  | 11.27±3.62       | 11.36±6.76        | >0.05|
| Ulnar variance (mm) | 0.77±2.54        | 0.47±2.34         | >0.05|
In the clinical practice, mostly orthopedic surgeons have been conceded the available reference values of Gartland and Werley as a standard value to treat the injuries of DER. However, the literature is illuminating the radiographic study for the morphometry of the DER of their country’s population. The morphometric parameters of DER vary among the races. So the unawareness of this fact may be responsible for the orthopaedists to adopt the only available Western data of morphometric parameters of DER. The study of the Chan et al. of the Malaysian population found that the ulnar variance is statistically (significant) variable in the Chinese and Malaysian population.

The use of radiography as a tool for evaluating the morphometric measurements of the DER have been criticized by the few authors. A cadaveric study of Johnson and Sazbo found that Palmer tilt is influenced by the rotation. Hence, in a lateral view radiograph, the 5° of rotation is responsible for the 1.6° of alteration in the Palmer tilt. In a subsequent study of Pennock et al. has shown the effect of forearm rotation over the radial inclination, radial height, and Palmer tilt. They found that supination increases the apparent measurements and forearm pronation decreases the apparent measurements significantly. Since our study was the prospective study, so during the forearm positioning we tried to keep the limb in neutral rotation to neutralize the effect of pronation and supination. It is obvious that population in the cadaveric study tends to be older than the general population, while our study has almost homogenous age distribution, so the our study is superior. It is very reasonable to assume that there are higher chances to include the pathologic bone in the study, due to unavailability of the history of cadavers. However, the question becomes very important that how the cadaveric (osteometric) morphometric values will be translated in the clinical practice, where the perspective of acceptance and non acceptance is purely radiological. Or in second hand, we can say that clinically the quality of reduction is assessed mainly by the radiological restoration of angle of radial inclination and Palmer tilt.

Reciprocation between the morphometry of DER and functional after effect following the fracture reduction and biomechanics of wrist joint has been well mentioned in the literature. Miyake et al. Studied over the twenty cadavers (artificially created dorsal angulation at the wrist) and found that in the neutral position the force concentrated at the volar regions of the radiolunate joint and if the dorsal angulation is increased then the stress shifted to the dorsal region of radiolunate joint. Pogue also found the almost same result. Subsequently, the Short et al. depicted the changes of stress transfer and found that the dorsal angulation increases the force transmitted through the ulna so that at 30 degrees of dorsal angulation results into 50% increased weight bearing by ulna.

Gelberman et al. established that the negative ulnar variance is (statistically significant) liable for the Kienbock’s disease, which is more common in whites. Even the domain of negative ulnar variance over the avascular necrosis of the lunate, avascular necrosis of scaphoid, and scapho-lunate dissociation have also been proved by the study of DeSmet. Contrariwise the positive ulnar variance causes superabundant loading over ulnar compartment, which leads to triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) degeneration, perforation, and further causes degeneration on carpal bone cartilage. In a laboratory setting of Adams, the radial shortening is mostly distorting TFCC and caused the greatest disturbance in the kinematics around the wrist. Radial inclination also does the same but of meager value.

The fracture incidence of the lower end of radius prevails up to the 8–15% among all the fractures of the upper
The anatomical alignment while treating the injuries of the Upper limb. Moreover, from the above discussion, it is evident that the morphometric study of the lower radius has an utter importance during the evaluation and treatment of the injuries involving the wrist area. Hence, the absolute knowledge of the normal values of morphometric parameters of the DER is imperative for managing the anatomical alignment.

The limitations of the study are that it was a single center study (single observer) with the smaller population. Moreover, even the study had the unequal distribution of gender and side so that may affect the comparison of values. Hence, for the homogeneity, the further observational studies involving the multiple examiners are needed. In this study, we also compared the morphometric parameters of the right and left side. Because the few schools of thoughts never agree to use the present (existing) population as a reference parameter. As an example, the study of Hollevoot et al. (overfifty patients) variability of the left-right differences was compared with the variability of the whole group and was significantly less for radial inclination, ulnar variance, and palmar tilt. Hence, they suggested that contralateral wrist is the best parameter (reference value) for an individual for DER management, rather than population data.

However, our study did not show the Table 2 statistical significant difference in the comparison of the left and right side.

Table 4 shows the comparison of the data. Among the studies, only the Werner et al. showed the highest radial height and palmer tilt. The contemporaneous practice in India is often times based on Western data and is not par excellence. From Table 5, it seems that it is not wise to use the parameters of Western literature because our parameters are quite different.

In our study, the radial inclination is 23.2° which is closer to most commonly used Orthopaedic Trauma Association criteria (23°) and the study of Schuind et al. and Altissimi et al. The mean value of radial inclination of our study lies between the mean values of two Indian cadaveric studies by Gupta et al. and Prithishkumar et al. The radiographic study of Chan et al. and cadaveric study of Werner et al. have found the significantly higher values of radial inclination. The lowest value of palmer tilt is 6° in the study of Werner et al., while the study and other studies have the nearby same results. In our study, the mean value of radial height is comparable to the mean value of the radial height of the other studies mentioned in Table 5. The various studies are mentioning the negative mean value of ulnar variance, but our study depicted the positive ulnar variance, which coincides to the result (positive ulnar variance) of Malaysian study done over the Indian race. Which emphasizes that Indian have positive ulnar variance.

Only few literature has mentioned the gender-specific distribution of morphometric parameters. In our study, only the radial height showed the statistical difference (gender specific), while the other parameters were statistically insignificant [Table 2]. In comparison to us, the study of Chan et al. even also did not find the gender-wise variation among their reported parameters. However, it is also notable that they did not study the radial height too.

If we discuss the side (left and right) distribution of morphometric parameters, then our study did not reveal any significant statistical difference [Table 3] among the parameters. Among the available literature, either they have not discussed the left and right distribution or did not found any statistical difference of parameters. Only the study of Prithishkumar et al. found that the right side has the more palmer tilt than the left side [Table 4] and the difference was statistically significant (P = 0.05).

| Table 4: Comparison between our study and other earlier reported studies |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Morphometric parameters of the distal end radius** | **Our study in India (Indian cadaveric study)** | **Gupta et al. 2015 (Indian cadaveric study)** | **Prithishkumar et al. 2012 (Indian cadaveric study)** | **Chan et al. (Indian race) 2008** | **Schuind et al., 1992** | **Werner et al., 1992 (cadaveric study)** | **Altissimi et al., 1986** | **Gartland and Werley 1951** |
| Radial inclination (°) | 23.27±7.42 Total: 25.05 | Left side: 24.0 | Right side: 25.6 | 27±3.18 | 24 (19-29) | 30 | 16-28 | 23 (13-30) |
| Palmer tilt (°) | 10.07±5.28 Not reported | Left side: 8.2±2.9 | Right side: 9.1±2.0 (P=0.05) | 13±3.57 | Not reported | 6 | 0-18 | 11 (1-21) |
| Radial height (mm) | 11.31±4.9 Left side: 10±0.13 | Right side: 9.7±0.14 | Left side: 11±1.4 | Right side: 10.8±1.5 | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | Not reported |
| Ulnar variance (mm) | 0.66±2.46 Not reported | Not reported | Not reported | 0.13±0.70 | −4.2±2.3 | −40.1±1.4 | −2.5±3.1 | Not reported |

**Conclusion**

This study may provide an inaugeratory plinth to prosecute the further analytical research in the Indian population. Moreover, the data may also be used as a reference data for the anatomical alignment while treating the injuries of the
DER in Indian population. The distinct apprehension of the normal distribution of morphometry in a population (racial) group is utmost needed for the clinical practice. Hence, probably the study over the very large population, with racial consideration and comparing the radiographic and cadaveric morphometric parameters would be better to define the normal parameters of DER in the Indian population.

**Financial support and sponsorship**
Nil.

**Conflicts of interest**
There are no conflicts of interest.

**References**

1. van Eerten PV, Lindeboom R, Oosterkamp AE, Goslings JC. An X-ray template assessment for distal radial fractures. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2008;128:217-21.
2. Leung F, Ozkan M, Chow SP. Conservative treatment of intraarticular fractures of the distal radius – Factors affecting functional outcome. Hand Surg 2000;5:145-53.
3. Slutsky DJ. Predicting the outcome of distal radius fractures. Hand Clin 2005;21:289-94.
4. Ando Y, Yasuda M, Goto K. Is ulnar variance suitable for a parameter of Colles' fracture preoperatively? Osaka City Med J 2006;52:63-6.
5. Hollevoet N, Verdonk R. The functional importance of malunion in distal radius fractures. Acta Orthop Belg 2003;69:239-45.
6. Gupta C, Kalthur SG, Malsawmzuali JC, D'souza AS. A morphological and morphometric study of proximal and distal ends of dry radii with its clinical implications. Biomed J 2015;38:323-8.
7. Prithishkumar IJ, Francis DV, Nithyanand M, Verrhegese VD, Samuel P. Morphometry of the distal radius – An osteometric study in the Indian population. Indian J Basic Appl Med Res 2012;1:166-71.
8. Gartland JJ Jr., Werley CW. Evaluation of healed Colles' fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1951;33:895-907.
9. Hadi S, Wijono W. Distal radius morphometry of Indonesian population. Med J Indonesia 2013;22:173-7.
10. Chan CY, Vivek AS, Leong WH, Rukmanikanthan S. Distal radius morphometry in the Malaysian population. Malaysian Orthop J 2008;22:27-30.
11. Johnson PG, Szabo RM. Angle measurements of the distal radius: A cadaver study. Skeletal Radiol 1993;22:243-6.
12. Pennock AT, Phillips CS, Matzon JL, Daley E. The effects of forearm rotation on three wrist measurements: Radial inclination, radial height and palmar tilt. Hand Surg 2005;10:17-22.
13. Miyake T, Hashizume H, Inoue H, Shi Q, Nagayama N. Malunited Colles' fracture. Analysis of stress distribution. J Hand Surg Br 1994;19:737-42.
14. Pogue DJ, Viegas SF, Patterson RM, Peterson PD, Jenkins DK, Sweo TD, et al. Effects of distal radius fracture malunion on wrist joint mechanics. J Hand Surg Am 1990;15:721-7.
15. Short WH, Palmer AK, Werner FW, Murphy DJ. A biomechanical study of distal radial fractures. J Hand Surg Am 1987;12:529-34.
16. Gelberman RH, Salamon PB, Jurist JM, Posch JL. Ulnar variance in Kienböck's disease. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1975;57:674-6.
17. De Smet L. Ulnar variance: Facts and fiction review article. Acta Orthop Belg 1994;60:1-9.
18. Adams BD. Effects of radial deformity on distal radioulnar joint mechanics. J Hand Surg Am 1993;18:492-8.
19. Austin L, Veillette C. Distal radius fracture. In: Orthopedia-Collaborative Orthopaedic Knowledgebase. 2009;13:26.
20. Nana AD, Joshi A, Lichtman DM. Plating of the distal radius. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2005;13:159-71.
21. Sandjaja G. Overview The average value of the distal radius angle normal access to the visitors at the RSCM[Thesis]. Mount Pleasant, MI: Universitas Indonesia; 1993.
22. Hollevoet N, Van Maele G, Van Seymortier P, Verdonk R. Comparison of palmar tilt, radial inclination and ulnar variance in left and right wrists. J Hand Surg Br 2000;25:431-3.
23. Werner FW, Palmer AK, Fortino MD, Short WH. Force transmission through the distal ulna: Effect of ulnar variance, lunate fossa angulation, and radial and palmar tilt of the distal radius. J Hand Surg Am 1992;17:423-8.
24. Schuinid FA, Linscheid RL, An KN, Chao EY. A normal data base of posteroanterior roentgenographic measurements of the wrist. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1992;74:1418-29.
25. Altissimi M, Antenucci R, Fiaccia C, Mancini GB. Long term results of conservative treatment of fractures of the distal radius. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1986;206:202-10.