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BACKGROUND
Magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) is a novel suture-free reconstruction of the digestive tract. It has been used in gastrointestinal anastomosis, jejunal anastomosis, cholangioenteric anastomosis and so on. The traditional operative outcomes of congenital esophageal atresia and benign esophageal stricture are poor, and there are too many complications postoperatively.

AIM
To test MCA technology to reconstruct the esophagus in dogs, prior to studying the feasibility and safety of MCA in humans.

METHODS
Thirty-six dogs were randomized into either the study or control group (n = 18 per group). The dogs in the study group were subjected to end-to-end esophageal anastomosis with the magnetic compression device, while those in the control group underwent hand-sewn anastomosis with 4-0 absorbable multifilament Vicryl. We used interrupted single-layer inverting sutures. The anastomosis time, gross appearance, weight and pathology of the anastomosis were evaluated at one month, three months and six months postoperatively.
RESULTS
The anastomosis time of the MCA group was shorter than that of the hand-sewn group (7.5 ± 1.0 min vs 12.5 ± 1.8 min, P < 0.01). In the MCA group, X-ray examination was performed every day to locate the magnetic device in the esophagus before the magnetic device fell off from the esophagus. In the hand-sewn group, dogs did not undergo X-ray examination. One month after the surgeries, the mean weight of the dogs in the hand-sewn group had decreased more than that of the dogs in the MCA group (11.63 ± 0.71 kg vs 12.73 ± 0.80 kg, P < 0.05). At 3 mo and 6 mo after the operation, the dogs’ weights were similar between the two groups (13.75 ± 0.84 kg vs 14.03 ± 0.82 kg, 14.93 ± 0.80 kg vs 15.44 ± 0.47 kg). The number of inflammatory cells in MCA group was lower than that in hand-sewn group on 1 mo after operation.

CONCLUSION
MCA is an effective and safe method for esophageal reconstruction. The anastomosis time of the MCA group was less than that of the hand-sewn group. This study shows that MCA technology may be applied to human esophageal reconstruction, provided these favorable results are confirmed by more publications.
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Core Tip: We used magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) technology to reconstruct the esophagus. The anastomosis time of the MCA group was shorter than that of the hand-sewn group (7.5 ± 1.0 min vs 12.5 ± 1.8 min, P < 0.01). One month after the surgeries, the mean weight of the dogs in the hand-sewn group had decreased more than that of the dogs in the MCA group (11.63 ± 0.71 kg vs 12.73 ± 0.80 kg, P < 0.05). At 3 mo and 6 mo after the operation, the dogs’ weights were similar between the two groups. MCA is an effective and safe method for esophageal reconstruction. This study shows that MCA technology may be applied to human esophageal reconstruction, provided these favorable results are confirmed by more publications.

INTRODUCTION
Esophageal atresia (EA) is a serious and fatal gastrointestinal developmental malformation in the neonatal period. It has a worldwide prevalence of 2.4 to 3.8 per 10000 newborns[1-4]. Because of the development of surgical and good neonatal intensive care, the survival is about 90% in those born with EA with severe associated anomalies and is even higher in those born with EA alone[5]. However, the postoperative complications of traditional hand-sewn anastomosis are numerous, and the therapeutic effect is not good. The primary complications during the postoperative period are leakage (incidence 15%-20%), stenosis of the anastomosis (30%-40%), gastroesophageal reflux (40%-65%), esophageal dysmotility, fistula recurrence, scoliosis, deformities of the thoracic wall and respiratory disorders[6-8]. After surgery, children suffer from various complications, which seriously affect their development and quality of life.

Magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) is a novel suture-free reconstruction of the digestive tract. Since Kanshin et al[9] reported using a magnetic device to anastomose gastroduodenal and cecojejunal in dogs in 1978, there have been many reports of this technique using animal experiments and regarding its clinical applications. At present, clinically, it has been used in gastrointestinal anastomosis[10,11], jejunal anastomosis[12,13], cholangioenteric anastomosis[14-16] and so on. Fourteen patients underwent MCA for gastrointestinal anastomosis, and the technical success of MCA was achieved in 100% of the cases. Two patients underwent anastomotic restenosis, and 1 patient had an anastomotic perforation due to balloon dilatation to prevent restenosis. Fifteen patients underwent MCA for jejunal anastomosis, of which five patients had severe systemic disease and underwent complex open urinary reconstruction procedures. The device was successfully placed and effectively formed a side-to-side, functional end-to-end jejunal anastomosis. Forty-seven patients underwent MCA for cholangioenteric anastomosis. Thirty-eight patients had a malignant primary disease, while nine had benign disease. With a median
follow-up of 547.5 d (range 223-1042 d), no patients had biliary fistula, while two developed anastomotic stricture at 4 mo and 14 mo after surgery. Magnetic materials have noncontact suction characteristics that will greatly simplify the process of gastrointestinal anastomosis, especially in the case of gastrointestinal stenosis or atresia. Recently, Kamada et al.\textsuperscript{10} reported that MCA without general anesthesia is a valuable alternative for surgery for gastrointestinal obstruction. Some patients with biliary obstruction are not eligible for conventional endoscopic procedures or are unsuitable for surgery. The MCA technique is an available method for performing choledochocholedochostomy and choledochoenterostomy interventionally\textsuperscript{16}. The mean age of the patients in these two reports was above 60 years. Therefore, MCA technology can be designed as a minimally invasive technique and is tolerated by elderly patients.

Because of the many complications of traditional operations and the ability to obtain minimally invasive surgery by MCA, MCA could be a superior method to treat congenital EA. There have been several clinical reports on esophageal reconstruction using MCA\textsuperscript{17-19}. Muensterer et al.\textsuperscript{17} reported that they used esophageal MCA for staged EA repair in 3 patients (Gross type A, B, and C) at high risk for conventional surgical repair. Surgeries were all successful, and there were no perioperative complications. Liu et al.\textsuperscript{18} reported that two patients who had severe stricture after simultaneous EA and duodenal obstruction repair underwent magnetic compression stricturoplasty. Magnetic compression stricturoplasty successfully established the patency of the esophagus in these two patients with refractory EA stricture. These two cases required multiple additional procedures, but durable esophageal patency with absence of dysphagia was achieved at 15 or 10 mo after magnetic compression stricturoplasty. Dorman et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported a case in which EA was repaired with a proximal fistula using endoscopic MCA after staged lengthening. Magnetic coupling occurred at 4 d, and after magnet removal at 13 d, an esophagram demonstrated a 10 French channel without leakage. A series of studies on MCA technology have been carried out in our laboratory. The technology has been used in choledochojejunostomy, rectovaginal fistula repair, laparoscopic pancreatoduodenectomy (LPD) and liver transplantation. Twenty-six mongrel dogs underwent choledochojejunostomy, stricturoplasty with different magnetic pressure magnets. The surgical procedures were all successful\textsuperscript{20}. There was a comparative study with 12 pigs for rectovaginal fistula repair. Eight animals were in the MCA group, and four animals were in the hand-sewn group. The rectovaginal fistula site was smooth, and healing was complete. This technology was used in one case clinically and achieved success\textsuperscript{21}. Seven patients received MCA technology in LPD. LPD was successfully completed in all seven patients, of which seven underwent laparoscopic magnetic compression choledochojejunostomy and two received laparoscopic magnetic compression pancreatojejunostomy. No leakages were observed after the operation. After a median follow-up period of 11 mo (range 4-18 mo), there was no incidence of anastomotic stricture\textsuperscript{22}. We also used MCA to reconstruct vessels in liver transplantation. In pig liver transplantation, we used MCA to reconstruct the suprahepatic vena cava, infrahepatic vena cava and portal vein. They were all successful, and the pig lived for over one month\textsuperscript{23}. Currently, we want to use MCA technology to treat EA, but there is a paucity of published research data and animal studies. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to study the difference between MCA and hand-sewn anastomosis of the esophagus in dogs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

The protocol of the animal study was reviewed and approved by the committee for Ethics of Animal Experiments of Xi’an Jiaotong University (No. XJTULAC2020-1441). Thirty-six mongrel dogs (male = 18, female = 18) with body weights of 10-15 kg were provided by the Laboratory Animal Center of Xi’an Jiaotong University. Dogs were acclimatized to laboratory conditions (23 °C, ad libitum access to food and water) for 2 wk prior to experimentation. In this study, we selected mongrel dogs because the esophagus in the neck is long enough for reconstruction. This purpose is to avoid performing surgery in the thoracic cavity and to improve the survival rate.

**Study design**

Thirty-six dogs were randomized into either the MCA group or the hand-sewn group, n = 18 per group, with the same male to female ratio. The dogs in the MCA group were subjected to end-to-end esophageal anastomosis with the magnetic device, while those in the hand-sewn group underwent hand-sewn anastomosis with 4-0 absorbable multifilament Vicryl. We used interrupted single-layer sutures. In both groups, there was no surgical left in place. Postoperative complications and weight were observed. The anastomosis time, gross appearance and pathology of the anastomoses were evaluated at one month, three months and six months after the operation.

**Magnetic anastomosis device**

The magnetic anastomosis device used in the study possessed a parent magnetic ring (PMR) and daughter magnetic ring (DMR), which were made of rare earth neodymium iron boron (NdFeB, N40) and plated with nickel from Northwest Institute for Nonferrous Metal Research, Xi’an, China. A special...
Surgical procedures

The dogs were first anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of 30 mg/kg pentobarbital sodium solution. The dogs were anesthetized and then placed on the right side on a temperature-controlled operating table with their cervical region shaved and sterilized. The experimental process is shown in Figure 1. A 5 cm incision was made along the anterior edge of the sternocleidomastoid muscle in the neck. Then, the muscle was separated layer by layer, and a 3 cm long portion of the esophagus was found. Next, the esophagus was transected.

In the MCA group, the PMR and DMR were placed in the upper and lower esophagus, respectively, after transecting the esophagus (Figure 1A). The magnetic anastomosis device was shown in Figure 2A. The upper and lower ends of the esophageal anastomosis were sutured with 4-0 silk thread and tightened to the position of the special drainage tube. Under the action of attraction, the magnetic rings at both ends are attracted to each other automatically and press the anastomotic tissue together, and the esophageal anastomosis is then completed (Figure 2C). When the anastomotic tissue is necrotic and falls off, the magnetic device is discharged into the stomach with the digesta and is discharged out of the body. In the control group, the operation was the same as that in the study group, except that end-to-end anastomosis was performed with 4-0 absorbable sutures (Figure 2D).

Postoperative care

An X-ray (Perlove Medical Equipment incorporated company, Nanjing, China) was performed on the dogs in the study group to confirm the target location and precise mating of the magnetic compression rings (Figure 2B). X-ray was performed every day before the magnets fell off the esophagus. Postoper-
Figure 3 The analysis about anastomosis time, weight changes, the time of the magnetic device fell and the number of inflammatory cells between two groups. A: The anastomosis time of the magnetic compression anastomosis group and hand-sewn group. There were 18 dogs in each group; B: The dogs were weighed at 1 mo, and every group contained 6 dogs. There was a significant difference between the two groups; C: The time when the magnetic device had fallen into the stomach of 18 dogs; D-F: The number of inflammatory cells respectively at 1 mo, 3 mo and 6 mo. MCA: Magnetic compression anastomosis.
anastomotic stoma tissue. We observed one dog in the hand-sewn group who suddenly refused to eat, and the incision skin was red, swollen and purulent 8 d after the operation. We performed surgical exploration and performed a second esophageal reconstruction.

**Expulsion time of the magnetic compression rings and weight changes**

We observed how long the magnetic rings took to fall off into the stomach. The mean time was 8.3 ± 2.7 d (range 3-15 d) (Figure 3C). The weights of dogs in the MCA group (13.87 ± 0.63 kg) and the hand-sewn group (13.98 ± 0.80 kg) were similar at the beginning of the experiment. The difference in weight between the two groups was not statistically significant. However, the weights decreased more in the hand-sewn group than in the MCA group 1 mo after the operation. The mean weight of the MCA group was 12.73 ± 0.80 kg, while the mean weight of the hand-sewn group was 11.63 ± 0.71 kg, \( P < 0.05 \). At 3 mo and 6 mo after the operation, the dog weights were similar between the two groups (13.75 ± 0.84 kg vs 14.03 ± 0.82 kg, 14.93 ± 0.80 kg vs 15.44 ± 0.47 kg).

**Gross appearance of the anastomosis**

The esophagi grew well, and there were no ulcerations or fistulas. The mucosa layers were intact. However, the anastomotic stomas were slightly thinner than the peripheral tissue at 1 mo. We could see that the muscle layer tissues were not completely covered. In the hand-sewn anastomosis group, the suture plots were still visible 1 mo after the operation (Figure 4D). With the passage of time, the submucosa and muscle layers were gradually covered, and the anastomoses became increasingly smooth and flat. However, at 1 mo, 3 mo and 6 mo, the anastomoses of the MCA group were smoother than those of the hand-sewn group.

**Histological appearance of the anastomosis**

Light microscopy showed that the anastomotic mucosa of the MCA group had grown well during the first month after surgery, while the submucosal and muscular layers were still fractured (Figure 5A). Three months after the operation, the submucosal and muscular layers had completely covered the anastomoses, and the anastomotic tissue was similar to the normal esophageal tissue under light microscopy (Figure 5C). In the hand-sewn group, the mucosa was continuous one month after surgery,
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DISCUSSION

We studied the tissue status of the anastomotic esophagus after esophageal reconstruction by magnetic anastomosis technology and clarified the safety of MCA surgery. A comparative study was conducted between magnetic anastomosis and hand-sewn anastomosis for esophageal reconstruction to determine whether the effects of MCA were superior to those of the hand-sewn method.

By observing gross specimens and tissue sections at different time periods in the MCA and hand-sewn groups, we found that in both the MCA group and the hand-sewn anastomosis group, the anastomotic tissues were not completely healed at 1 mo after surgery. The mucosal surface of the two groups was smooth without ischemia or necrosis, while some submucosa and muscularis were still missing. After 3 mo, the anastomotic sites of the two groups had healed well, and the mucosal layer, submucosal layer and muscular layer were continuous. Liquid food is still the main diet in the early stage to reduce the stimulation of esophageal mucosa and prevent the occurrence of ulcers. Based on the results of the experiment, we suggest that MCA patients should consume a liquid diet or a semiliquid diet for at least one month.

The tissue healing of the MCA group was faster and better than that of the hand-sewn group. Six months after the operation, the specimens of the MCA group were smoother than those in the hand-sewn group, and a slight amount of scar tissue was observed in the hand-sewn group. There are several reasons for this. Magnetic anastomosis technology applies the mutual attraction between magnetic rings and the tissue healing is faster and better than that of the hand-sewn group.
to maintain a constant and balanced pressure on the tissues at the anastomosis, resulting in slow ischemic necrosis of the tissues between the magnetic rings and the growth and healing of the surrounding tissues. This is a slow process that allows time for esophageal compensation to grow. Hand-sewn sutures use Vicryl to quickly tighten the tissues at both ends of the esophagus. There is a certain tension at both ends of the esophagus, which may lead to shearing forces placed on the esophageal tissue from Vicryl. Second, Vicryl, as an absorbable thread, will exist in the tissue for a long time as a foreign body, which may cause infection and scar hyperplasia. The suture material could play an important role in the healing of esophageal anastomosis. Vicryl is an absorbable material, but the time of absorption is more than 1 mo. During this period, Vicryl may act as a foreign body, leading to foreign body granuloma or anastomosis edema. Braided sutures are more prone to infection than monofilament sutures. Vicryl is the braided suture and perhaps in this study Vicryl is not the best selection.

At six months postoperatively, the magnetic anastomosis group grew slightly better than the hand-sewn group. Before surgery, the weights of the dogs in both groups were the same. One month after surgery, the body weight of the hand-sewn group was significantly lower than that of the magnetic anastomosis group. Three months after surgery, the weights of the dogs were similar in both groups. This suggests that MCA may contribute to enhanced recovery after esophageal reconstruction. There are two reasons that may contribute to the enhanced recovery with MCA technology: (1) The magnetic device has a gap in the middle, through which the liquid can enter the stomach, while it will not contact the anastomotic tissue. It may relieve pain and reduce the incidence of infection; and (2) The shedding time of the magnetic device is shorter than the time of the suture being discharged or absorbed by the tissues. The absorbable time of Vicryl RapideR is approximately 40 d [24], while the magnetic device discharge time is approximately 8 d. We observed that the dogs in the MCA group could feed earlier than those in the hand-sewn group. The MCA group of dogs recovered faster and better than the dogs in the hand-sewn group.

During 6 mo of observation, there was 1 stenosis in the MCA group and 1 stenosis and 1 Leakage in the hand-sewn group. Because the sample size was small, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. The rate of stenosis and leakage of anastomosis using the traditional hand-sewn operation is high. This has seriously affected the postoperative growth of children. We hope that this novel MCA technology can improve EA. The complication rate needs to be verified by more animal experiments and clinical case reports.
One clinical report showed that anastomosis was achieved in an average of 6 d (range 3 to 7 d) in 5 patients (age 6 mo to 5.9 years) with severe recurrent postsurgical esophageal stenosis refractory to dilatation[25]. Another MCA was achieved on day 36[26]. Clinical reports have shown that the anastomosis time ranges from 3 d to 36 d[26-28]. It involves many factors, such as the age of the child, type of EA, size of the magnetic ring and field strength of the magnetic device. This will be our next experimental purpose. Based on a large amount of experimental and clinical data, a model was established to estimate various parameters of magnetic devices preoperatively.

There are also some limitations of the study. First, our surgery location was on the dog’s neck, not in the thoracic cavity. This is not consistent with the clinicopathology. The position of EA is generally flush with the bifurcation of the main bronchus and may have a tracheoesophageal fistula. Second, in this study, we did not consider the effect of different structural sutures on the anastomosis. Does monofilament have less effect on anastomotic healing than multifilament? Third, this is a model of esophageal anastomosis, and not of anastomosis in the setting of an atresia, there are several differences.

CONCLUSION
MCA is an effective and safe method for esophageal reconstruction in dogs. The anastomosis with MCA is faster than the hand-sewn anastomosis. Postoperatively, some aspects of the recovery of the MCA group were faster and better than those of the hand-sewn group. We provide some information useful for the future clinical application of the device in selected cases.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS
Research background
Magnetic compression anastomosis (MCA) is a novel suture-free reconstruction of the digestive tract. It has been used in gastrointestinal anastomosis, jejunal anastomosis, cholangioenteric anastomosis and so on. The traditional operative outcomes of congenital esophageal atresia and benign esophageal stricture are poor, and there are too many complications postoperatively.

Research motivation
There are several case reports of using MCA to treat esophageal stenosis. However, systematic animal experimental studies are scarce. This has restricted further clinical application of MCA.

Research objectives
This study was conducted to demonstrate the feasibility and safety of MCA for esophageal reconstruction and studied the difference between MCA and hand-sewn esophageal reconstruction.

Research methods
Thirty-six dogs were randomized into either the study or control group (n = 18 per group). The dogs in the study group were subjected to end-to-end esophageal anastomosis with the magnetic compression device, while those in the control group underwent hand-sewn anastomosis with 4-0 absorbable multifilament Vicryl. We used interrupted single-layer sutures. The anastomosis time, gross appearance, weight and pathology of the anastomosis were evaluated at one month, three months and six months postoperatively.

Research results
The anastomosis time of the MCA group was shorter than that of the hand-sewn group (7.5 ± 1.0 min vs 12.5 ± 1.8 min, P < 0.01). One month after the surgeries, the mean weight of the dogs in the hand-sewn group had decreased more than that of the dogs in the MCA group (11.63 ± 0.71 kg vs 12.73 ± 0.80 kg, P < 0.05). At 3 mo and 6 mo after the operation, the dogs’ weights were similar between the two groups (13.75 ± 0.84 kg vs 14.03 ± 0.82 kg, 14.93 ± 0.80 kg vs 15.44 ± 0.47 kg). Under an optical microscope, the number of inflammatory cells in MCA group was lower than that in hand-sewn group on 1 mo after operation.

Research conclusions
MCA is an effective and safe method for esophageal reconstruction. The anastomosis time of the MCA was less than that of the hand-sewn group. This study shows that MCA technology may be applied to human esophageal reconstruction, provided these favorable results are confirmed by more publications.

Research perspectives
MCA for esophageal reconstruction in the thoracic cavity needs to be tested, and further clinical trials
are needed to test its safety and guide its clinical application.
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