Prospective observational study protocol to investigate long-term adverse effects of methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD: the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Methylphenidate is the most frequently used medication for the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in Europe. Following concerns about its safety, the European Commission called for research into the long-term effects of methylphenidate on children and adolescents with ADHD. The Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) research programme was designed to address this call. At the heart of this programme is a 2-year longitudinal naturalistic pharmacovigilance study being conducted in 27 European sites.

Methods and analysis: 3 cohorts of children and adolescents (aged 6–17) living in the UK, Germany, Italy and Hungary are being recruited:

Group 1 (Medicated ADHD): 800 ADHD medication-naive children and adolescents with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD about to start methylphenidate treatment for the first time.

Group 2 (Unmedicated ADHD): 400 children and adolescents with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD who have never been treated with ADHD medication and have no intention of beginning medication.

Group 3 (Non-ADHD): 400 children and adolescents without ADHD who are siblings of individuals in either group 1 or 2.

All participants will be assessed 5 times during their 2-year follow-up period for growth and development, psychiatric, neurological and cardiovascular health. The primary outcome measure will be the height velocity SD score.

Ethics and dissemination: Ethical approval for the study has been granted by the East of Scotland Research Ethics Service. Following this approval, patient information leaflets and consent forms were translated as necessary and submissions made by lead sites in each of the other 3 countries to their own ethics committees. Following ethical approval in each country, local ethical permissions at each site were sought and obtained as needed. The study’s website

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) will provide long-term pharmacovigilance data about methylphenidate use in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

- In particular, the study will provide information about the effects of methylphenidate on growth and development, psychiatric health, neurological health, cardiovascular function.

- The study includes two control groups: one of children and adolescents with ADHD who are unmedicated, and one of children and adolescents without ADHD.

- Owing to its naturalistic design, participants are not randomised to a particular group and there is no placebo-control group in the design.

- The number and type of assessments that we wished to conduct has to be balanced against the time burden placed on our participants. Therefore, while it would have been desirable to collect data on cognitive testing, acceptability of the medication and quality of life, we were unable to fit these tests into our assessment schedule.

- Although the European Union agreed that the 2-year follow-up described in this study fulfilled their requirement for an investigation of the ‘long-term’ effects of methylphenidate, many children and adolescents are prescribed the drug for longer than this. If future funding is secured, consent may be sought from some or all participants for additional, longer term follow-up.
INTRODUCTION

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterised by core symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity. The worldwide prevalence is estimated at 5.3% with a prevalence for children around 6.5% and 2.7% for adolescents with no significant differences between Northern America and Europe.

The most commonly prescribed medication for the treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents is methylphenidate (MPH), a central nervous system psychostimulant medication.

The mechanism of action of psychostimulants is not completely clear; however, it is believed that they inhibit the reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine into the presynaptic neuron and/or increase their release into extraneuronal space, thus increasing intrasynaptic concentrations. Randomised controlled trials of MPH have established its efficacy with around 70% of patients responding positively, with improvements seen in many areas including inattentiveness, impulsiveness, hyperactivity and self-esteem.

MPH has been available in the European Union (EU) since the 1950s, and its use has increased markedly in Western countries over the past decade. In England and Wales, prescriptions for MPH rose to over 650,000 in 2012, a 56% rise since 2007, and a similar pattern of growth in prescriptions has been evident in other European countries such as Germany, Sweden, Switzerland and Spain, the USA and Asia. Perhaps surprisingly for a drug so widely prescribed, the adverse effect profile of the drug is not fully characterised. This is partly because the regulatory requirements for such information at the time the drug was licensed were less stringent than those currently in place. Much of the clinical research in this area has focused on short-term efficacy with trial designs often limited by short experimental duration, small numbers of patients and inappropriate control groups. This has resulted in data sets with limited information on drug tolerability and safety. Some of the data obtained by these studies, together with spontaneous reports of adverse events by marketing authorisation holders, raised sufficient concern over the safety of MPH that the European Commission requested a community referral to its Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) for all MPH-containing products in June 2007. Such referrals are initiated when there are concerns relating to the protection of public health or where other community interests are at stake. The CHMP concluded in January 2009 that overall the benefit of MPH outweighs the risk when prescribed to children with ADHD aged 6 years and over. However, the Committee made recommendations to standardise prescribing and provision of safety information across all EU member states. Importantly, the report also stated that more data are required on the long-term effects of MPH on children and adolescents and concluded that further research should be carried out to investigate long-term effects of MPH on (1) growth and development, (2) neurological health, (3) psychiatric health, (4) sexual development and fertility and (5) cardiovascular effects in adults who have taken/are taking MPH. The CHMP emphasised the need for designs that allow the comparison of exposed children with suitable control groups bearing in mind that ADHD covers a broad spectrum of behaviour and may be associated with other disorders. As a result of these recommendations for further research, the Fourth Call of the European Commission’s Framework Programme (FP7) invited proposals addressing the ‘long-term effects in children and in young adults of MPH in the treatment of ADHD’.

To answer this call, the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) consortium was established, comprising experts in the fields of ADHD, drug safety, neuropsychopharmacology and cardiovascular research. It developed a programme of research designed to fill the identified gaps in the current literature and to address the concerns of CHMP, and it was funded in 2012.

The ADDUCE programme has a number of empirical work packages (WPs). This paper focuses on the work of WP 3, which is a 2-year prospective cohort study with appropriate control groups that forms part of the ADDUCE project and is designed to provide new data to specifically answer the questions raised by CHMP about long-term MPH safety in children and adolescents with ADHD. This study is underway and data collection will be completed in January 2016.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Objectives

ADDUCE WP 3 addresses scientific questions about prevalence, clinical significance, development and moderating and/or mediating factors of four specific classes of potential long-term adverse effects of MPH on growth, neurological, psychiatric and cardiovascular health.

Evidence from observational studies providing longitudinal data indicates that treatment with MPH may result in a reduction in the rate of growth, in particular during the first year of treatment. There remain uncertainties over whether any changes in height persist in the long term, and whether changes are the result of medication or whether ADHD itself is associated with growth problems. In view of these uncertainties and the clear importance of determining whether MPH treatment does have effects on growth, the height velocity SD score (SDS) was chosen as the primary outcome measure.
While the height velocity SDS was chosen as the primary outcome measure on which to base the sample size, the study will collect data on other key outcomes in the fields of growth, cardiovascular system, psychiatric and neurological health. MPH acts primarily as a dopamine-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor by binding to and blocking dopamine transporters and increased levels of norepinephrine and dopamine can potentially affect the cardiovascular system such as heart rate, and the central nervous system (CNS) system such as psychosis. The effectiveness of MPH medication will also be assessed.

Study design
Design
The study is a 2-year naturalistic longitudinal prospective pharmacovigilance multicentre study investigating the long-term tolerability and safety of MPH in children and adolescents aged between 6 and 17 years.

Cohorts
Three cohorts of children and adolescents (aged 6–17) are being recruited from child and adolescent mental health services in the UK, Germany, Italy and Hungary:
- Group 1 (Medicated ADHD): comprises children and adolescents with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM IV) criteria who have not yet been medicated with any ADHD medication and are about to start MPH treatment for the first time.
- Group 2 (Unmedicated ADHD): comprises children and adolescents with a clinical diagnosis of ADHD according to DSM IV criteria who have never been treated with ADHD medication and for whom there is no current intention of beginning treatment with ADHD medication.
- Group 3 (Non-ADHD): comprises children and adolescents without ADHD who are siblings of individuals in either group 1 or 2.

Eligibility criteria
The inclusion criteria are deliberately broad and the exclusion criteria minimal. This is to ensure that the study captures a typical group of patients with ADHD presenting to clinical services throughout the EU and that the results are applicable across Europe.

Participants eligible for group 1 or 2 must be diagnosed as having ADHD according to DSM IV criteria by a qualified clinician according to normal clinical practice in each country. The diagnosis may take into account reports from schools and carers.

Participants in group 3 must score less than 1.5 on the Swanson Nolan and Pelham IV Rating scale (SNAP IV) for the ADHD items. Their hyperactivity score on the parent-rated Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), should be within the normal range for their country (eg, <6 for the UK). Full biological siblings are preferred for this group, although half-siblings and siblings not biologically related to the proband will also be considered. A strict order of preference is defined so that older siblings of the same sex as the proband have the highest preference, followed by younger siblings of the same sex, older siblings of the opposite sex and finally younger siblings of the opposite sex.

Participants are eligible if they are taking other psychotropic drugs. A medication history is collected at each study visit.

Enrolment
Participants eligible for groups 1 and 2 are identified by the principal investigator or a designee who is experienced in the evaluation of children and adolescents with ADHD. Age-appropriate information about the study is provided to the child/adolescent and parent/legal guardian. If the participant is eligible and willing, a researcher who has received Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and consent training takes informed written consent from the parent/legal guardian and assent from the participant. Participants aged 16 or 17 years may provide their own written consent, depending on the regulations of the country in which they are recruited. The right of a child or parent to refuse to participate is respected. Participants are free to withdraw at any time during the study, and this will not influence their clinical treatment. If a participant withdraws during the assessment period, data collected up until the point of withdrawal will remain in the study database and be included in the final analysis.

Siblings of participants enrolled into groups 1 and 2 are invited to participate in the study in group 3 and given age-appropriate study information. If they are willing to participate, they are asked to give written consent/assent as for groups 1 and 2 and, if they meet the eligibility criteria, are enrolled into the study.

Outcome measures
The study outcomes are aligned with the categories highlighted by CHMP as requiring more research; growth, cardiovascular system, psychiatric and neurological health, together with effectiveness of MPH treatment (table 1).

Height velocity, the primary outcome measure on which the sample size was calculated, was operationalised as height velocity SDS, defined as height velocity, \( v \), estimated from at least two consecutive measurements, and normalised with reference to the mean and SD of a population of the same age and sex:

\[
\text{height velocity SDS} = \frac{v - \bar{v}}{\text{SD}}
\]

The mean and SD height velocities for each country represented in the study will be obtained from the most recent charts available for each country.

Sample size calculation
A variation in instantaneous height velocity SDS of 0.25 is equivalent to a reduction in height velocity of around 0.5 cm/year. In a sample of 600 treated patients and 300 untreated patients, such a variation can be detected.
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with a power of 94% (type 1 error=0.05). Further power calculations for the secondary outcomes confirmed that 600 medicated patients with ADHD (group 1) and 300 non-medicated patients with ADHD (group 2) will produce sufficient power to determine the adverse effects of MPH.

### Table 1: Outcome measures

| Category                     | Assessment tool          | Outcomes                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Growth and development       | Height measure\(^{32}\)  | Proportion with height below 2nd centile                                  |
|                              | Weight measure\(^{32}\)   | Proportion with height below 0.4th centile                                |
|                              | Calculated BMI            | BMI                                                                        |
|                              | T Tanner pubertal staging\(^{33, 34}\) | Pubertal stage                                                            |
|                              | Hand X-ray                | Bone age (substudy)                                                      |
| Cardiovascular system        | Heart rate\(^{32}\)       | Proportion with heart rate above 120 bpm                                    |
|                              | Diastolic blood pressure\(^{32}\) | Proportion with diastolic blood pressure above 90 mm Hg                   |
|                              | Systolic blood pressure\(^{32}\) | Proportion with systolic blood pressure above 95th centile                |
| Psychiatric                  | MFQ\(^{39}\)              | Assessment of depression.                                                |
|                              | PLikS\(^{40}\)            | Proportion with definite PLikS and proportion with suspected PLikS        |
|                              | DAWBA modules\(^{41}\)    | Rapidly changing mood. Proportion above cut-off                           |
|                              | YGTSS\(^{42}\)            | Proportion above cut-off                                                  |
|                              | C-SSRS\(^{63}\)           | Proportion reporting any suicidal ideation                                 |
|                              | SUQ\(^{43}\)              | Proportion reporting motor tics                                            |
|                              |                           | Proportion reporting phonic tics                                           |
|                              |                           | Proportion reporting any suicidal ideation                                 |
|                              |                           | Proportion reporting any suicidal behaviour                               |
|                              |                           | Number of suicidal behaviours                                             |
|                              |                           | Lethality of suicidal behaviours                                          |
|                              |                           | Proportion reporting each type of suicidal ideation                       |
|                              |                           | Suicidal ideations severity rating                                        |
|                              |                           | Suicidal ideation intensity rating                                        |
|                              | CSHQ\(^{45}\)             | Total score and subscores; proportion above cut-offs                      |
|                              | AIMS\(^{34}\)             | Total score.                                                              |
|                              |                           | Question 8 score                                                         |
| Neurological                 |                           | CLINICAL                        |                                                                 |
| Treatment effectiveness      | SNAP-IV\(^{27}\)          | Clinically significant change defined as SNAP total ADHD score <27         |
|                              | CGI\(^{25}\)              | Global evaluation of severity of symptoms and improvement over time        |
|                              | CGAS\(^{36}\)             | Global evaluation of severity of symptoms and improvement over time        |
|                              | SDQ\(^{28}\)              | Behavioural screen of emotional symptoms, conduct problems, hyperactivity/inattention, peer relationship problems and prosocial behaviour. Total score and proportion above clinical cut-off |
|                              | DCDQ’07\(^{27}\)          | Scores motor control, fine motor control and general coordination         |
|                              | SCQ\(^{38}\)              | Evaluates communication skills and social functioning in children who may have autism spectrum disorders |

ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale; BMI, body mass index; CGAS, Children’s Global Assessment; CGI, Clinical Global Impressions; CSHQ, Child’s Sleep Habits Questionnaire; C-SSRS, Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale; DAWBA, Development and Wellbeing Assessment; DCDQ’07, Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire ’07; MFQ, Mood and Feelings Questionnaire; PLikS, Psychosis Like Symptoms; SCQ, Social Communication Questionnaire; SDQ, Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire; SNAP-IV, Swanson Nolan and Pelham IV rating scale; SUQ, Substance Use Questionnaire; YGTSS, Yale Global Tic Severity Scale.
To calculate the sample size needed for each group, the likely attrition rate due to dropouts over the 24-month follow-up period was anticipated to be around 25% based on experience from a previous longitudinal study of a similar population (ADORE study,31 personal communication D. Coghill). Thus, the target size is 800 for group 1, 400 for group 2 and 400 for group 3.

Recruitment targets are divided among the four countries so that each country is required to recruit 200 to group 1 and 100 to group 3. Since the population of unmedicated children with ADHD is much larger in Italy and Hungary compared with that in the UK and Germany, Italy and Hungary are required to recruit 200 children each to group 2, with the UK and Germany having no target for this group.

Assessment tools
The assessment tools were chosen to provide outcome measures in each of the four topic areas identified by CHMP as requiring new information,16 together with outcomes describing the effectiveness of MPH medication. Details of the assessment tools and the outcomes associated with each tool are given in table 1. A brief description is given below.

1. Growth and development: Height and weight will be measured following the standard operating procedures developed by the Scottish Children’s Research Network.32 Standardised measures of growth parameters at each time point will be calculated according to the best available country-specific norms. Pubertal maturation will be assessed using the Tanner scale.33 34 In a subgroup of 70 medicated Italian participants with ADHD, pubertal staging will be performed by an expert paediatrician endocrinologist by using Tanner staging of breast development and pubic hair and by physical examination of testicle volume using Prader’s orchidometer. The same patients will undergo an X-ray of the left hand and wrist for determination of age. Bone age is determined by comparing each of 20 bonds of an X-ray of the left hand with the Tanner and Whitehouse atlas, giving a score to each one and then calculating an ‘age’ from the score of the 20 bones from long bones (see section Substudy below for additional details). An assessment of intellectual function will be made at baseline by determining whether and to what extent the child receives extra help at school.

2. Psychiatric health: The assessments chosen to assess psychiatric health are detailed in table 1. The SNAP-IV27 will be used to measure the ADHD-related behaviours of participants. The Clinical Global Impressions (CGI35) and Children’s Global Assessment Scales (CGAS36) will be used as a secondary measure of effectiveness, in particular for ADHD symptoms. The SDQ is a brief parent-rated instrument designed to evaluate communication skills and social functioning in children who may have autism or autism spectrum disorders38 and the Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ) Short Version to assess depressive symptoms.39 The Psychosis Like Symptoms (PLiKs) is a semistructured instrument that draws on the principles of the Present State Examination.40 We will be using an abbreviated version with items relating to hallucinations and delusions since these are the most common psychotic symptoms. Modules from the Developmental and Wellbeing Assessment (DAWBA) package will be used to screen for the presence of tics and to rate emotional lability.41 If the DAWBA tics screen is positive, the Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS) will be used to describe the severity of the tics and the level of impairment they impart.42 Suicidal ideation and behaviour will be measured using the Columbia—Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS, Kelly Posner, personal communication), which is the instrument recommended by the Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) in child and adolescent clinical trials. Any substance misuse will be assessed using the Substance Use Questionnaire (SUQ), developed for use in the Multimodal Treatment study of children with ADHD (MTA).43

3. Neurological health: Two tools will be used to assess neurological health: the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS) to measure dyskinesias44 and the Child’s Sleep Habits Questionnaire (CSHQ) to assess sleep difficulties.45

4. Cardiovascular health: To assess cardiovascular health, blood pressure will be measured at each visit following the standard operating procedure developed by the Scottish Children’s Research Network32 and recorded as age-adjusted and height-adjusted centiles.46 A brief cardiac examination will also be performed by a clinician at the first study visit (table 2). A more detailed study of the effects of long-term use of MPH on cardiovascular health in a separate cohort of children and adolescents will also be carried out by the ADDUCE consortium. This separate study will be described elsewhere.

5. Effectiveness: The effectiveness of MPH treatment will be assessed using the tools described in table 1.

Together with these assessments, demographic data and information about the medical and psychiatric histories of the participant and their relatives will be collected (table 3).

Assessment schedule
Participants in all three groups will undergo the same assessments, at six-monthly intervals for 2 years, so that...
they have five study visits in total (figure 1). Participants in the medicated ADHD group (group 1) will have their first assessment before they begin MPH treatment. The study visits can be carried out during an 8-week period around the date that the visit is due, maximising the opportunity for the visit to take place. Assessments of all primary and secondary measures will be made at each study visit, with the exception of the visit at 18 months at which only the height, weight, blood pressure, vital signs and pubertal maturation will be assessed, and medication history updated (table 4).

**Study set up**

The lead country for the study is the UK, with sponsorship provided by Tayside Medical Science Centre (TASC), a joint partnership of National Health Service (NHS) Tayside and the University of Dundee. Patient information leaflets and consent forms were translated as necessary in each country and submissions were then made by each of the other three countries to secure ethical permission at their own sites. Overall management of the trial is being provided by the Tayside Clinical Trials Unit (TCTU), a UK Clinical Trials Unit (CTU).

---

**Table 2  Cardiac examination**

| Inspection       | Palpation                  | Auscultation                           |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Look at the patient | Feeling for the apex beat | Simultaneously listen and palpate a pulse (preferably a central pulse) to time any murmur to the cardiac cycle |
| Look at the hands | Assess rate (over 15 s) and rhythm (sinus, regularly irregular or irregularly irregular) |
| Feel the radial pulse |                             | Listen for heart sounds 1 and 2 (and 3 and 4), systolic and diastolic murmurs |

A brief cardiac examination will be conducted to include.

---

**Table 3  Assessment of demographic details and family history**

| Demographics | Personal history | Medication history | Family history |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Age          | Birth weight    | Past psychiatric medications (name, dose, frequency, date started, date stopped) |
| Sex          | Gestational age at delivery | Current psychiatric medications (name, dose, frequency, date started) |
| Nationality  | Intellectual functioning | Other current medications (name, dose, frequency, date started) |
| Ethnicity    | Physical health (history of syncope, head injury, seizures, genetic syndromes, cardiac problems, hypertension, diabetes, asthma or epilepsy) |
| Siblings     | Psychological health (axes 1 and 2 mental disorders) | Allergies or sensitivity to medication |
| Marital status of parents | | | Physical health (cardiac problems, hypertension, diabetes, asthma or epilepsy) |
| Type of family home | | | Psychological health (axes 1 and 2 mental disorders) |

---
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Research Collaboration (UKCRC)-registered clinical trials unit.47

Study-specific training was given to lead representatives of all sites at an initial set-up meeting. A training package was developed on the basis of that meeting and provided to all researchers working on the study.

The design of the project enables the study to be run differently in the four participating countries, within the boundaries of the study protocol. Each country has a lead site which coordinates and supports the study at the other sites within that country.

Training and quality control across sites

To ensure that the data collected from the study are of the highest quality, the ADDUCE study is being conducted to standards that accord with GCP guidelines.48 A number of measures have been put in place to ensure that the data are of high quality and are collected in a consistent way across all sites. These include a comprehensive training package which includes audio and video records of the initial training meeting and will be completed by all researchers before they begin work on the study. Additionally, training meetings have been held in each country for which there is more than one site, to ensure that information is disseminated from the lead sites to their satellite sites, and there is good communication between sites in each country. This communication will be maintained throughout the study period through regular teleconferences, emails and face-to-face meetings. Finally, the trial manager located in Dundee will oversee the work at all sites, ensuring that all sites are adhering to the research governance regulations, are collecting good-quality data and are entering those data into the electronic data management system correctly.

Substudy

As a complementary part of the present 2-year naturalistic pharmacovigilance European multicentre study, a specific substudy within the ADDUCE project will contribute to evaluate the long-term effects of MPH on growth and pubertal maturation.

Poor growth is a common concern related to stimulant medications, especially with children already on the lower growth percentiles. Studies providing longitudinal data indicate a decrease in height and weight gain; these effects are usually minimal, but there is substantial variability with some children being completely unaffected, whereas others show significant growth suppression.49–51 Early studies have suggested that final adult height is not affected by administration of psychostimulants;52 53 nevertheless, follow-up periods are often not sufficiently long enough to allow firm conclusions to be drawn and these studies do not appear to consider the effect of puberty on growing processes and were not normalised for pubertal stage.

Within the Italian medicated cohort, 70 medicated Italian patients with ADHD, aged 6–12, will be assessed by an accurate assessment of growth parameters and pubertal staging performed by an expert paediatrician endocrinologist. The monitoring of bone age will also be explored as a possible helpful tool, adding value to the routine measures of growth. Bone age is regarded as the gold standard to evaluate the ‘growing power’ of an individual and represents a major tool to calculate expected final height. The sample size of N=70 has been calculated as for an exploratory study. The paucity of previous data about X-rays in this population and its cost prevented the procedure being extended to the entire sample. The number of 70 has been therefore considered sufficient to provide general information in order to evaluate the validity of this tool.

Measurements of bone age at baseline allow calculation of the expected final height using the methods of Tanner et al.54 Subsequent yearly measurements will allow calculation of the rate of bone maturation. Bone age/chronological age ratio is related to the growth potential of a child; an increase of the ratio is negatively related to the predicted final and adult height. Therefore, a decrease in height velocity with no decrease in bone maturation rate will reduce the final expected height and may result in short stature; hence, it will represent an adverse outcome on growth. An increase in the difference (bone age−chronological age) ≥ ±6 months (+1 SD) is deemed clinically significant, representing a change in a child’s growing potential.

The radiological risk related to X-ray of the left hand is very low, equivalent to a 2-week stay at a mountain or seaside area.55 The child will not be exposed to the risk of a cumulative effect of radiation because the time interval between two radiograms is long enough.

Data collection and management

Data collected at each study visit will be entered into a paper case report form (CRF). The data will
subsequently be entered into an electronic version of the CRF (eCRF) at each site. The eCRF was developed by the Health Informatics Centre (HIC) at the University of Dundee using OpenClinica open source software.

Primary outcome data entered into the database will be verified against source data in the paper CRFs. A proportion of secondary outcome data will also be verified depending on the resources available.

Analysis plan

Description at baseline

Characteristics of participants included in the study will be presented using the ‘five number summary’

Table 4 Schedule of study visits and assessments

| Visit Schedule | Screen Visit -4-0 | Visit 1 Baseline Week 0 | Visit 2 6-month Weeks 22–30 | Visit 3 12-month Weeks 48–56 | Visit 4 18-month Weeks 74–82 | Visit 5 24-month Weeks 100–108 |
|----------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Informed consent/assent | ✓ or ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Inclusion/exclusion criteria | ✓ or ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Demographics | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Family medical and psychiatric history | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Child medical and psychiatric history | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Developmental history | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Medication history and current medications | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Psychiatric medication(s) prescribed or continued at visit (including drug and dose and concordance) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Other medication since last visit | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Learning difficulties | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Cardiac examination | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Vital signs | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Height | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Weight | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| BMI | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Parental height | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Target height | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Calculation of predictive definitive height value (Tanner-Whitehouse method) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Pubertal maturation | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| SNAP-IV | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| CGI-S | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| CGI-I | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| CGAS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DCDQ'07 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| SCQ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| SDQ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| AIMS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| CSHQ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| MFQ-P (parent version) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| MFQ-C (self-report version) ages ≥8 years | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| PLiks | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DAWBA Tics | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| YGTSS | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DAWBA rapidly changing mood (parent version) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DAWBA rapidly changing mood (child version) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| DAWBA awkward and troublesome behaviour | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| C-SSRS (baseline) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| C-SSRS (since last seen) | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Substance use questionnaire | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

AIMS, Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale; BMI, body mass index; CGAS, Children’s Global Assessment; CGI, Clinical Global Impressions; CSHQ, Child’s Sleep Habits Questionnaire; C-SSRS, Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale; DAWBA, Development and Wellbeing Assessment; DCDQ’07, Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire ‘07; MFQ, Mood and Feelings Questionnaire; PLiks, Psychosis Like Symptoms; SCQ, Social Communication Questionnaire; SDQ, Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire; SNAP-IV, Swanson Nolan and Pelham IV rating scale; YGTSS, Yale Global Tic Severity Scale.
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(minimum, maximum, lower and upper quartiles and median) for the quantitative variables, and percentages for categorical variables. The whole sample and each of the three groups will be presented in this way. Traditional trivariate/bivariate comparisons will be carried out to compare the groups (e.g., analysis of variance (ANOVA), $\chi^2$ tests or non-parametric tests, according to their conditions of validity). Graphical representations will be used to characterise distributions (histograms, density plots, box plots) or to explore patterns of association of covariates (multidimensional exploratory graphical methods). When participants change their status, their data will be censored for analyses.

**Longitudinal description**

The evolution of data with time will be graphically and numerically presented. This part is essential, in particular to design the mixed models used to analyse the primary end point (see below).

**Incidence rates and relative risk**

These will be estimated according to the number of participant-years available in the study at the time of analysis. For a given participant, only the first occurrence of a side effect will be considered. Incidence rates will be estimated in each of the three groups.

**Bivariate analysis**

The association with the primary and secondary end points will be statistically tested with all potential covariates of interest (including time on drug and dose and duration of treatment) using traditional procedures (e.g., correlations, ANOVA, $\chi^2$ tests or non-parametric tests according to conditions of validity). These tests will be regarded as strictly exploratory.

**Analysis of primary end point**

The child’s height velocity will be estimated from all available data using a simple linear regression of height with time (one linear regression for each participant). Child’s height velocity and child’s height velocity SDS will thus be available only for participants having at least two visits. The distribution of height velocity SDS will be carefully examined (density plot with standardised normal plot). If this distribution is normal, a mixed model for normal outcome will be used. The primary predictor variable will be ‘group’ (medicated ADHD, unmedicated ADHD, non-ADHD controls). The covariates will be:

1. Three propensity scores contrasting each of the three pairs of group categories (i.e., medicated vs unmedicated, medicated vs non-ADHD, unmedicated vs non-ADHD). These propensity scores will be estimated from a logistic regression incorporating all available data (at the condition of convergence of the maximum likelihood estimator). A regression spline between height velocity SDS and each of the three logistic scores will help to determine how these propensity scores will be introduced in the model (linear, polynomial, deciles, etc). The propensity scores will be estimated after imputing missing data using a Gibbs sampler.
2. ‘Family’ as a random effect (to take into account the pairing of groups medicated ADHD and non-medicated sibling).
3. ‘Country’.
4. ‘Duration of treatment’.

Additional adjustment for potential confounders, or adjustment by inclusion of variables (measured at baseline) that are considered essential (because of their clinical relevance, because of the bivariate analysis or because the propensity scores were unsuccessful in suppressing the imbalance between groups for a given covariate), may also be necessary.

No adjustment of p values for inclusion of multiple covariates will be carried out, since the primary hypothesis concerns the effect of the ‘group’ variable, and since in a pharmacovigilance study statistical power is at least as important as type one error.

The effect of the ‘group’ variable will be assessed globally, then with planned contrasts comparing medicated versus unmedicated, and medicated versus non-ADHD.

Missing data in adjustment covariates will be imputed using a Gibbs sampler (simple imputation because inferential statistics especially concern the variable ‘group’). Regression diagnostics will be performed. Interaction terms, for example, age and sex, will be tested one at a time to look for specificity of populations at risk.

If the height velocity SDS is not normally distributed, linearising transformations will be tried (log, box-cox, etc). If no transformation is possible, a bootstrap procedure will be used.

Finally, sensitivity analyses will be conducted to test the robustness of the results, for example, removal of patients who switch treatment and patients with concurrent psychotropic drugs.

**Ethics and dissemination**

The study will be conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with the Research Governance Framework Scotland and other appropriate guidelines and regulations in each country. Since the study is purely observational, there are no anticipated extra risks to participants. Results will be disseminated through peer-reviewed publications and a lay summary of the results will be posted on the study website.

MPH is recommended as the first choice medication for ADHD and is the most frequently used in Europe. Despite the evidence of positive effects of stimulants on the core symptoms of ADHD supported by numerous studies, many unanswered questions remain about the underlying biological mechanism and the mediators...
and moderators of possible adverse effects as the ones emphasised by CHMP and objectives of this project.

At present, a guidelines group of the European Network for Hyperkinetic Disorders (EUNETHYDIS) recommends careful physical assessment of children and adolescents before and during chronic treatment with psychostimulants. With regard to the possible effects on growth, it is highly recommended to assess growth parameters before stimulant treatment with periodic monitoring through repeated measurements of weight and height and their changes over time (at least three or four times per year). Likewise, pretreatment checking and monitoring of pulse and blood pressure are also recommended with ADHD medication, with frequency of monitoring either three-monthly or six-monthly. Blood pressure recorded as a centile score allows appropriate referral to a paediatric hypertension expert when at least two consecutive recordings are above the 95th centile. When the pulse rate is consistently above 120/min, a referral to a paediatric cardiologist is appropriate. According to the same guidelines, a careful monitoring of psychiatric and neurological potential adverse effects is also recommended.

In this context, the ADDUCE project, with a long follow-up period and a rigorous methodology, will provide important information about the safety of psychostimulant medications within the ADHD population, covering various aspects of potential adverse events in children and adolescents with a range of different ADHD symptoms. The project will also help in understanding and answering the present unsolved questions about underlying biological mechanisms including possible mediators, moderators and confounders such as clinical and demographic characteristics and medication factors (average daily dose, cumulative dosage of MPH, pretreatment history of medication, use of co-medication). We expect that ADDUCE will also have an impact on research in paediatric psychopharmacology by establishing a collaborative network of expert centres, and that the dissemination of results will lead to improved standards of care and management of ADHD through the development of guidelines for a comprehensive personalised monitoring of treatment.
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