Vertical Transmission at the Pathogen-Symbiont Interface: Serratia symbiotica and Aphids
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ABSTRACT

Many insects possess beneficial bacterial symbionts that occupy specialized host cells and are maternally transmitted. As a consequence of their host-restricted lifestyle, these symbionts often possess reduced genomes and cannot be cultured outside hosts, limiting their study. The bacterial species Serratia symbiotica was originally characterized as noncultured strains that live as mutualistic symbionts of aphids and are vertically transmitted through transovarial endocytosis within the mother’s body. More recently, culturable strains of S. symbiotica were discovered that retain a larger set of ancestral Serratia genes, are gut pathogens in aphid hosts, and are principally transmitted via a fecal-oral route. We find that these culturable strains, when injected into pea aphids, replicate in the hemolymph and are pathogenic. Unexpectedly, they are also capable of maternal transmission via transovarial endocytosis: using green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged strains, we observe that pathogenic S. symbiotica strains, but not Escherichia coli, are endocytosed into early embryos. Furthermore, pathogenic S. symbiotica strains are compartmentalized into specialized aphid cells in a fashion similar to that of mutualistic S. symbiotica strains during later stages of embryonic development. However, infected embryos do not appear to develop properly, and offspring infected by a transovarial route are not observed. Thus, cultured pathogenic strains of S. symbiotica have the latent capacity to transition to lifestyles as mutualistic symbionts of aphid hosts, but persistent vertical transmission is blocked by their pathogenicity. To transition into stably inherited symbionts, culturable S. symbiotica strains may need to adapt to regulate their titer, limit their pathogenicity, and/or provide benefits to aphids that outweigh their cost.

IMPORTANCE

Insects have evolved various mechanisms to reliably transmit their beneficial bacterial symbionts to the next generation. Sap-sucking insects, including aphids, transmit symbionts by endocytosis of the symbiont into cells of the early embryo within the mother’s body. Experimental studies of this process are hampered by the inability to culture or genetically manipulate host-restricted, symbiotic bacteria. Serratia symbiotica is a bacterial species that includes strains ranging from obligate, heritable symbionts to gut pathogens. We demonstrate that culturable S. symbiotica strains, which are aphid gut pathogens, can be maternally transmitted. Cultured S. symbiotica therefore possesses a latent capacity for evolving a host-restricted lifestyle and can be used to understand the transition from pathogenicity to beneficial symbiosis.
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Host-associated bacteria can be placed on a continuum ranging from parasitic to mutualistic. Mutualistic symbionts often arise from pathogenic ancestors and rarely revert back to pathogenicity (1). This one-way transition is expected when vertical transmission, usually from mother to offspring, replaces horizontal transmission as
the dominant route of new infection, causing symbionts to benefit from host reproduction and thereby face strong selection for avirulence (2–4). In insects, the reliable vertical transmission of mutualistic symbionts can be accomplished by mechanisms that are external, including the placement of symbionts or symbiont-containing capsules on the egg surface, or internal, via transovarial transmission (5, 6).

Transovarial transmission, the transfer of maternal symbionts to eggs or embryos within the mother’s body, is common in obligate symbioses wherein symbionts occupy special host cells (bacteriocytes) within the body cavity (7). Individual bacteria may be transferred, as in aphids, cicadas, leafhoppers, cockroaches, and bedbugs, or entire bacteriocytes may be transferred, as in whiteflies (7–10). In ancient symbioses with exclusively maternal transmission, hosts appear to control transmission, as the symbionts involved often possess reduced genomes devoid of pathogenicity factors that would allow them to invade host cells (11). However, host mechanisms for transmission may depend on bacterial factors for interpartner recognition and thereby limit which bacterial species or strains can make the transition from pathogenicity to commensal or mutualistic symbioses.

Aphids (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aphidoidea) are a clade of roughly 5,000 species that feed exclusively on nutrient-poor plant sap and depend on the primary bacterial symbiont Buchnera aphidicola for biosynthesis of essential amino acids missing from their diet (12–16). B. aphidicola has been transovarially transmitted in aphids for over 160 million years (17). These bacteria occupy bacteriocytes, possess highly reduced genomes, and cannot persist outside of their hosts (11, 18). In addition to B. aphidicola, many aphids also host secondary symbionts, such as Serratia symbiotica, “Candidatus Hamiltonella defensa,” “Candidatus Regiella insecticola,” and “Candidatus Fukatsuia symbiotica” (19–22). Due to their more recent associations with aphids, these secondary symbionts are commonly found at intermediate stages of genome reduction (23, 24). Unlike Buchnera, their genomes retain mobile genetic elements, pseudogenes, and even intact virulence factors (25, 26). These features can inform hypotheses regarding their origins, the mechanisms they use to infect new hosts, and the contribution of selfish genetic elements to their decay. Further, some secondary symbionts have genomes with sizes similar to those found in free-living bacteria (2 to 4 Mb). These symbionts are promising candidates for axenic culture, genetic manipulation, and reestablishment in hosts. These experimental capabilities greatly facilitate the study of symbiotic factors involved in host-microbe interactions.

S. symbiotica strains have evolved diverse associations with aphids. They range from pathogens and facultative mutualists to obligate mutualists that co-reside with B. aphidicola (27–36). The genome sizes and gene contents of S. symbiotica strains reflect this variation in lifestyle, ranging from larger genomes similar to those of free-living Serratia (35, 37) to highly reduced genomes similar to those of B. aphidicola and other obligate symbionts (28, 30, 31, 36). The first descriptions of S. symbiotica, initially named pea aphid secondary symbiont (PASS) or the “R-type” symbiont, were of strains that occupied secondary bacteriocytes, sheath cells, and hemolymph (19, 38). Unlike B. aphidicola, these S. symbiotica strains are not required by their hosts; they provide context-dependent benefits, such as protection against heat stress (27, 39) and parasitoid wasps (40). However, similar to B. aphidicola, they are host restricted and vertically transmitted by a transovarial route (8, 41, 42). A detailed study showed that a mutualistic S. symbiotica strain, present in the hemolymph, migrates to early embryos and is endocytosed with B. aphidicola into the syncytium, a specialized, multinucleated cell of the early embryo (8). In the pea aphid, B. aphidicola and S. symbiotica are later segregated into distinct bacteriocytes.

Recently, strains of pathogenic S. symbiotica have been discovered living in the guts of Aphis species collected in Belgium and Tunisia (29, 33–35). These strains are hypothesized to resemble ancestors of facultative and co-obligate S. symbiotica strains (43, 44). In contrast to previously studied S. symbiotica strains, their primary transmission route appears to be horizontal, through honeydew (feces) and host plant phloem...
Also, in contrast to previously studied strains, these *S. symbiotica* strains can be cultured axenically. *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3\(^{T}\), from the black bean aphid (*Aphis fabae*) (29), retains a larger gene set and larger genome (3.58 Mb) (37) than do facultative *S. symbiotica* strains Tucson and IS (2.79 and 2.82 Mb, respectively) (23, 45).

In this work, we investigated whether cultured *S. symbiotica* strains are capable of vertical transmission similar to facultative or obligate symbionts. We isolated a new *S. symbiotica* strain, HB1, that shares many features with CWBI-2.3\(^{T}\) but is notably less pathogenic. We examined the capacity of each of these strains to colonize hemolymph of the pea aphid (*Acyrthosiphon pisum*). Both strains are endocytosed into embryos, but offspring infected by the transovarial route do not appear to develop properly, and offspring infected by a transovarial route are not observed. Using green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged strains, we addressed whether transovarial transmission is open to any bacterial cell that comes into contact with the embryo or whether this process involves specific partner recognition. We found that *Escherichia coli* cannot colonize embryos, despite achieving a high titer within hosts. Thus, the endocytosis step required for transovarial transmission limits the taxonomic range of bacteria that can readily evolve to become aphid symbionts.

**RESULTS**

Pathogenic *S. symbiotica* strains form a distinct group closely related to mutualistic strains. We examined the evolutionary relationships of all *S. symbiotica* strains with publicly available complete genome sequences. To this list, we added a recently isolated and sequenced strain, designated *S. symbiotica* HB1, from the melon aphid (*Aphis gossypii*). Our phylogenetic analysis was based on 176 shared orthologous genes and was rooted with outgroups that included other *Serratia* and more distant *Enterobacterales* species (Fig. 1; see Fig. S1 and Table S1A in the supplemental material). *S. symbiotica* strains are split into two clades, as previously reported (46). Clade A is composed of strains that act as pathogens, mutualists, or co-obligate symbionts in aphids from across the family Aphididae, while clade B is composed of strains that live only as co-obligate symbionts in aphids of the subfamily Lachninae. Clade A strains possess a range of genome sizes (1.54 to 3.58 Mb), GC content (48.7 to 52.5%), host species, and lifestyles. Within clade A, the cultured, gut pathogen strains (CWBI-2.3\(^{T}\), HB1, Apa8A1, and 24.1) form a clade closely related to vertically transmitted mutualist strains.
strains (Tucson, IS, MCAR-56S, and AURT-53S). Although average nucleotide identities are high (95.8 to 98.6%) across these sets of strains (Table S1B), the gut pathogen strains retain larger genomes (3.09 to 3.58 Mb) and more Serratia-specific marker genes than nonpathogenic, maternally transmitted S. symbiotica strains (0.65 to 2.82 Mb) (Fig. 1; Table S1A). Together, these observations suggest that both lifestyles have recently emerged from a common, presumably pathogenic ancestor.

Cultured S. symbiotica strains are pathogenic when injected into pea aphid hemolymph. Based on previous studies, S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T acts as a gut pathogen in its original host, the black bean aphid (44). In both black bean aphid and pea aphid hosts, S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T appears to be restricted to the gut and is not observed infecting hemolymph (44, 47, 48). To determine whether S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T and HB1 can persist and act as pathogens in the hemolymph of pea aphids, we injected fourth-instar pea aphids with tagged strain CWBI-GFP or HB1-GFP at two doses: low (~80 cells injected, 3 replicate trials per treatment) and high (~800 cells injected, 1 trial per treatment). Then, we tracked aphid survival and bacterial titer over time. For comparison, we simultaneously performed injections of Serratia marcescens Db11, a known insect pathogen (49), injections of hemolymph from pea aphids infected with facultative, vertically transmitted S. symbiotica Tucson (23), and injections of buffer as a negative control. We found that S. symbiotica CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP act
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as pathogens in pea aphid hemolymph, regardless of dose injected. The survival rates of aphids injected with *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP, *S. symbiotica* HB1-GFP, and *S. marcescens* Db11 were much lower than those of aphids injected with buffer or *S. symbiotica* Tucson (*P* < 0.001, Cox proportional-hazards model) (Fig. 2A).

We hypothesized that the virulence of *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP was related to their titer in hemolymph. To test this hypothesis, aphids were sacrificed every 24 h to measure the number of CFU per aphid. Regardless of the injection dose, *S. symbiotica* Tucson, regardless of dose injected, yielded much lower survival rates than buffer or *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP, HB1-GFP, and *S. marcescens* Db11 (*P* < 0.001, Cox proportional-hazards model) (Fig. 2A).

*FIG 3* Differences in transmission of *S. symbiotica* Tucson and *S. symbiotica* HB1 following injection into pea aphid adults. (A) Fecundity and transmission of *S. symbiotica* for adults injected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson. Box plots in gray depict the total offspring, including infected and uninfected offspring, per adult. Box plots in green depict the total offspring infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson per adult. Filled curves represent a locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) regression and display the increase in offspring infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson from 10 dpi to 15 dpi. (B) Left, fecundity of adults injected with a low dose (~80 cells) of *S. symbiotica* HB1. Middle and right, transmission of HB1-GFP to offspring born 10 to 15 dpi and surviving to 15 dpi (middle) and 20 dpi (right). Infection was determined by GFP fluorescence. (C) Infected offspring sampled from three different mothers possess HB1-GFP in their gut. Additional images are presented in Fig. S3 in the supplemental material. (D) Second-generation embryos, dissected from fluorescent and nonfluorescent offspring of injected mothers when they were 9 days old, do not contain HB1-GFP.
symbiotica CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP grow exponentially within aphids, plateauing at \(-10^9\) CFU per aphid by 5 to 7 days postinjection (dpi) (Fig. 2B; Fig. S2). The difference in growth of CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP at 3 and 4 dpi may explain the difference in aphid survival rate across these treatment groups (Fig. 2B). As S. symbiotica Tucson is not culturable, quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to compare titers of CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP to those of S. symbiotica Tucson, immediately after injection (initial) and several days after injection (5 dpi), and to the titers at which other mutualist S. symbiotica strains persist in pea aphids reared in the laboratory (Fig. 2C). The relative titer was calculated as the copy number of a single-copy Serratia gene (dnaK) normalized by a single-copy pea aphid gene (ef1a). Although similar numbers of S. symbiotica CWBI-GFP, HB1-GFP, and Tucson cells were injected into aphids, both S. symbiotica CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP reach higher titers than S. symbiotica Tucson at 5 dpi (Fig. 2C). The titer of S. symbiotica Tucson at 5 dpi is comparable to the steady-state titer of S. symbiotica strains maintained in naturally infected, clonal pea aphids established as laboratory lines (Fig. 2C).

Cultured S. symbiotica strains are not vertically transmitted in pea aphids. Typically, S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T infects aphids by a fecal-oral route or from plants (44). To determine if S. symbiotica CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP can be transmitted to offspring via the transovarial route used by mutualistic symbiotic strains, we screened the offspring of surviving aphids for S. symbiotica by looking for GFP fluorescence and by plating for CFU. As transovarial transmission of symbionts occurs early in embryonic development, there is a delay between injection and the birth of infected offspring (38). To determine when after injection we should begin to observe offspring infected by transovarial transmission, we injected mutualistic S. symbiotica Tucson and monitored its transmission by sampling offspring and using PCR to screen for the presence of S. symbiotica. Transmission of S. symbiotica Tucson was identified in newborn offspring starting at 10 dpi (Fig. 3A). The proportion of infected offspring per mother increased over time, reaching 100% for most mothers by 15 dpi (Fig. 3A).

In contrast to aphids injected with S. symbiotica Tucson, most aphids injected with S. symbiotica CWBI-GFP and HB1-GFP did not survive to 10 dpi (Fig. 2A) or did not produce offspring past 10 dpi. However, 36 of the 144 females injected with a low dose (~80 cells) of S. symbiotica HB1 did survive and produce offspring beyond 10 dpi. Of these, only 20 females (55.6%) produced both infected offspring and offspring that survived for more than 5 days. The number of offspring produced by these females decreased from 10 to 15 dpi, and no females survived past 16 dpi (Fig. 3B). At 15 dpi, all offspring born from 10 to 15 dpi were screened for GFP fluorescence to determine infection status. A large proportion of offspring born from 10 to 15 dpi were fluorescent at 15 dpi (72/117, or 61.5%) and at 20 dpi (58/88, 65.9%) (Fig. 3B). Fluorescence from S. symbiotica HB1-GFP appeared to be limited to the guts of these offspring (Fig. 3C; Fig. S3). To determine if offspring infected with HB1-GFP could transmit HB1-GFP to the next generation, we waited until they were reproductive adults (9 days old) and dissected out embryos from 12 fluorescent and 12 nonfluorescent offspring. We observed no evidence of HB1-GFP in embryos (Fig. 3D). We plated the remaining offspring (46 fluorescent, 18 not fluorescent) and observed that only fluorescent aphids produced fluorescent colonies. Together, these results suggest that the majority of infected offspring that survive to adulthood are infected by a fecal-oral route and that S. symbiotica HB1-GFP cannot be stably vertically transmitted through the transovarial route across multiple generations.

Cultured S. symbiotica strains are capable of colonizing pea aphid embryos. Stable intergenerational transmission of S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T and HB1 was not observed, apparently due to the pathogenicity of these strains and/or their limited ability to colonize offspring. In order to determine whether these strains were, nevertheless, capable of transovarial transmission, we injected aphids with S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T and HB1, dissected ovarioles at 7 dpi, and used fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) to visualize its infection pattern relative to that of the primary symbiont B. aphidicola during embryonic development. To compare these results to the
transmission pattern of a mutualist strain, we injected aphids with hemolymph from pea aphids infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson (Fig. 4A to D).

Embryos injected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson display regular growth and development, reaching 400 μm in length by the time of katatrepsis (41) (Fig. 4A). As previously described, *B. aphidicola* and mutualistic *S. symbiotica* are transmitted to the syncytium of stage 7 blastula from hemolymph via an endocytic process (8, 41, 50). *S. symbiotica* Tucson appears to be unable to infect embryos that are beyond stage 7 of development at the time of injection, as indicated by a lack of *S. symbiotica* Tucson in late-stage embryos. (C) In infected, midstage embryos, *S. symbiotica* Tucson cannot infect primary bacteriocytes that contain *B. aphidicola* but does infect sheath cells. (D) *S. symbiotica* Tucson enters the syncytium of an early-stage blastula with *B. aphidicola* but is underrepresented relative to *B. aphidicola* during this infection. (Yellow near the top of the embryo is due to particularly bright red signal plus overlap of red and green channels). (E and F) *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3T infection across embryonic stages at 7 dpi. Embryos depicted in panels E and F derive from the same ovariole but were separated during dissection. Infection with this strain results in reduced embryonic growth, as indicated by scale bars. (G) *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3T does not infect embryos that are beyond stage 7 of development at the time of injection, as indicated by a lack of CWBI-2.3T in late-stage embryos. (H) In infected, midstage embryos, *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3T cannot infect primary bacteriocytes that contain *B. aphidicola* but does infect sheath cells. (I) *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3T enters the syncytium of an early-stage blastula with *B. aphidicola* and is overrepresented relative to *B. aphidicola* during this infection.
sorted into sheath cells and cannot colonize the primary bacteriocytes that house \textit{B. aphidicola} (Fig. 4C and H). CWBI-2.3\textsuperscript{T} is endocytosed into early embryos with \textit{B. aphidicola} (Fig. 4I), as is \textit{S. symbiotica} HB1 (Movie S1). Remarkably, at 7 dpi, CWBI-2.3\textsuperscript{T} greatly outnumbers \textit{B. aphidicola} in the syncytial cell (Fig. 4I). In contrast to \textit{S. symbiotica} Tucson, infection with cultured \textit{S. symbiotica} CWBI-2.3\textsuperscript{T} stunts embryonic growth, though it does not prevent progression through characteristic early developmental stages (Fig. 4E and F).

Transovarial transmission is a specific capability of \textit{S. symbiotica} strains. To determine if endocytosis is selective at the level of bacterial species, we tested the transmission capability of \textit{E. coli} K-12 strain BW25113. \textit{E. coli} is related to \textit{B. aphidicola}, \textit{S. symbiotica}, and several other mutualistic symbionts of aphids, which are all within \textit{Enterobacterales} (20). We chose strain BW25113 because it can infect the gut and hemolymph of pea aphids and kills aphids a few days postinfection (51). We created the tagged \textit{E. coli} strain BW25113-GFP and injected it into fourth-instar aphids as described above. For comparison, we injected \textit{S. symbiotica} CWBI-GFP into a separate set of fourth-instar aphids. \textit{E. coli} BW25113-GFP forms a robust infection in pea aphid hemolymph, reaching titers comparable to those of \textit{S. symbiotica} CWBI-GFP at 5 dpi (Fig. 5A). We dissected single ovarioles from 10 aphids in each treatment group at 5 dpi and observed early embryos to determine infection status. Using this approach, \textit{S. symbiotica} CWBI-GFP could be seen infecting early embryos (Fig. 5B and C; Movies S2 and S3). In contrast, \textit{E. coli} BW25113-GFP attaches to the embryonic surface, sometimes coating the entire exterior of the embryo, but was never observed endocytosing into embryos (Fig. 5D and E; Movies S4 and S5).

\textbf{DISCUSSION}

Transovarial transmission is a key feature of many insect-bacterium symbioses wherein bacteria provide a benefit to their host. This transmission route is linked to irreversible bacterial transitions, from pathogenicity to mutualism (2). However, many relationships that rely on transovarial transmission are ancient, and their early stages cannot be experimentally recapitulated, leaving unanswered if and how pathogenic bacteria access this transmission route. Focusing on secondary symbionts may be
more useful for understanding these early transitions, as some secondary symbionts or their close relatives are culturable, genetically tractable, and can be removed from or introduced to hosts without dramatically compromising host fitness (52–54). For example, Sodalis praeaeptivus, a close relative to Sodalis species found as host-restricted symbionts across diverse insects, uses quorum sensing to attenuate virulence and gain access to vertical transmission in a nonnative host, the tsetse fly (55). The bacterial species S. symbiotica was first known as a vertically transmitted mutualist, but pathogenic strains were subsequently cultured from aphids collected in Europe and Africa (29, 33–35) and, in this study, in North America. These strains have provided a new opportunity to dissect the early steps involved in the transition to a host-restricted lifestyle. Knowing that vertical transmission is a key to this transition, we aimed to determine whether culturable, pathogenic S. symbiotica could access this pathway and what, if any, limitations are faced by S. symbiotica in this transition.

Cultured S. symbiotica strains are close relatives to nonculturable, vertically transmitted mutualists. However, several lines of evidence suggest that these strains lack a history of maternal transmission in aphids. For one, persistent vertical transmission generally leads to the irreversible loss of genes such that symbionts can no longer access a free-living or pathogenic lifestyle (56). In comparison to vertically transmitted strains, CWBI-2.3T, HB1, Apa8A1, and 24.1 are culturable, maintain larger genomes, and possess more ancestral genes common to free-living Serratia (Fig. 1). Second, these strains do not appear to undergo vertical transmission in natural infections. Following ingestion by black bean or pea aphids, S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T is not subsequently detected in hemolymph or embryos but is present in the gut and in honeydew, suggesting that the dominant route of transmission for this strain is fecal-oral (33, 43, 47, 48). We injected CWBI-2.3T and HB1 into hemolymph to determine if they are nonetheless capable of transovarial transmission in pea aphids. Vertical transmission is theorized to be the primary force driving permanent bacterial transitions from pathogenicity to mutualism, but to do so, vertical transmission must precede mutualism (2). That pathogenic S. symbiotica strains CWBI-2.3T and HB1 are endocytosed into the syncytial cell of early embryos along with B. aphidicola provides empirical evidence for the precedence of vertical transmission in this system. Together, these results suggest that the aphid gut has served as an access point for environmental or plant-associated Serratia to infect aphids and that gut pathogenicity was an ancestral lifestyle for strains that are now intracellular and mutualistic (33).

S. symbiotica is common to natural populations of pea aphids (38, 57), and previous 16S rRNA gene surveys have identified S. symbiotica in aphid tribes from across the Aphidoidea (33, 58). However, pathogenic and mutualistic strains have near-identical 16S rRNA sequences, so it is unclear how many cases represent S. symbiotica pathogens. To date, pathogenic strains have been cultured only from Aphis species. However, S. symbiotica CWBI-2.3T can horizontally transmit across aphids feeding on the same plant (43) and can infect the guts of alternative aphid species, including the pea aphid (47), suggesting that pathogenic S. symbiotica may be more widespread across aphid genera in nature. The global distribution of Aphis-associated strains, along with their ability to transmit using the same transovarial route as B. aphidicola, suggests that related gut-associated strains may serve as a source pool for the evolution of commensal or mutualistic strains. Mutualism may have arisen several times independently in S. symbiotica and, along with the subsequent horizontal transfer, would contribute to the phylogenetic discordance between facultative strains and their aphid hosts (58). The acquisition and replacement of secondary symbionts have occurred during the evolution of many ancient insect-microbe symbioses and may help hosts to escape the “evolutionary rabbit hole” of dependence on a primary symbiont that has become an ineffective mutualist due to genome decay (59–61).

Transovarial transmission in aphids generally occurs by bacterial endocytosis into the syncytial cell of early embryos (8). What host and bacterial factors are involved in this pathway are unclear, but insights may be gained from other systems in which
hosts are genetically tractable. In *Drosophila*, knockout of yolk proteins or the Yolkless receptor results in reduced localization to and/or endocytosis of *Spiroplasma* in embryos, suggesting that the vitellogenin pathway is involved in transovarial transmission (62). While parthenogenetic aphids do not undergo vitellogenesis or produce visible yolk, it is possible that similar receptor-mediated processes are used for *B. aphidicola* and *S. symbiotica* transmission and that specific bacterial ligands are required. If this is the case, *S. symbiotica* strains that normally live in the aphid gut appear to possess the requisite molecular determinants, as they display an innate potential for endocytosis into embryos. Furthermore, the inability of *E. coli* BW25113 to endocytose into the syncytial cell of embryos suggests that the endocytic step of transovarial transmission contributes to selectivity in this system. While many bacterial taxa occasionally infect pea aphids (34, 63), few are found as long-term mutualists. The primary symbiont, *B. aphidicola*, is stably maintained in most aphid lineages, though rare replacements exist (e.g., see reference 64). Additionally, few species are found as secondary symbionts, and most are members of the *Enterobacterales*, including *S. symbiotica*, “Ca. H. defensa,” “Ca. R. insecticola,” “Ca. F. symbiotica,” “Ca. Erwinia haradaeae,” and *Arsenophonus*; and, less commonly, other bacterial groups, including *Wolbachia*, *Rickettsia*, and *Spiroplasma* (21, 22, 61).

Aphids that are coinfected with *B. aphidicola* and mutualistic secondary symbionts possess several known mechanisms that limit the competition between these bacteria. For one, hosts can sort symbionts into distinct cell types, with *B. aphidicola* in primary bacteriocytes and *S. symbiotica* relegated to secondary bacteriocytes and sheath cells. Despite its pathogenicity, we observed that CWBI-2.3T is not able to invade primary bacteriocytes with *B. aphidicola* and is compartmentalized into sheath cells in a manner similar to that of mutualistic strains (Fig. 3). Pea aphid genotypes may vary in their ability to associate with secondary symbionts. In contrast to the results obtained with *Acyrthosiphon pisum* LSR1 in our study, when the facultative, host-restricted strain *S. symbiotica* IS was transferred to *Acyrthosiphon pisum* AIST, it showed a disordered localization, invading primary bacteriocytes with *B. aphidicola* (8, 65, 80). In these cases, *S. symbiotica* IS was trapped in primary bacteriocytes, unable to exocytose during transmission (8). The specific exocytosis of *B. aphidicola* also likely plays a role in limiting competition between *B. aphidicola* and secondary symbionts across multiple generations.

The vertical transmission of CWBI-2.3T and HB1 in pea aphids is limited by their virulence in hemolymph. Both CWBI-2.3T and HB1 are more pathogenic in hemolymph than facultative *S. symbiotica* Tucson but also notably far less pathogenic than *S. marcescens* Db11. Possibly, adaptation to the gut selects for reduced *Serratia* virulence by allowing *Serratia* the time to form a robust gut infection and transmit to other aphids, including offspring, via honeydew (44). The genome of CWBI-2.3T appears to already reflect some transition to symbiont status, having lost some genes common to free-living *Serratia*, such as those underlying chemotaxis (66). However, this strain also retains factors that promote host cell invasion and may continue to contribute to pathogenicity, including a complete type III secretion system. The virulence of CWBI-2.3T and HB1 coincides with unregulated titer, as both of these strains attain 100- to 1,000-fold higher titers than mutualistic *S. symbiotica* when injected into hemolymph. This enormous difference in titer, and the constancy of the low titers observed for the symbiotic strains, suggests that mutualistic *S. symbiotica* growth is regulated. The regulation of virulence and titer is important in the establishment of vertical transmission. Self-regulation of both titer and virulence through quorum sensing has been demonstrated in *Sodalis praecaptivus* and allows this species to establish vertically transmitted infections in weevil and tsetse fly hosts (55, 67). Whether mutualistic *S. symbiotica* strains have relied on similar mechanisms to establish persistent vertical transmission in aphids is unclear. Alternatively, *S. symbiotica* virulence may be attenuated by the loss of one or several key virulence factors before the establishment of vertical transmission. The experimental tractability of these strains will allow for future investigations focused on
these attenuation mechanisms and the role of vertical transmission in the transition to a host-restricted lifestyle in aphids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Isolation and culture of *S. symbiotica*. *S. symbiotica* strain CWBI-2.3'T (DSM 23270) was obtained from the DSMZ German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures and grown on tryptic soy agar (TSA) plates at 27°C (29). *S. symbiotica* strain HB1 was isolated from the melon aphid (*Aphis gossypii*), collected in August 2018 from HausBar Farms in Austin, Texas. Details are provided in Text S1 in the supplemental material.

*S. symbiotica* HB1 genome sequencing. *S. symbiotica* HB1 was grown in tryptic soy broth (TSB) at room temperature and harvested at an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of ~1.0, and DNA was extracted with the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen). A paired-end sequencing library with dual barcodes was prepared using the Illumina Nextera XT DNA kit, and sequencing was performed on an Illumina (Seq 100). Raw reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic (68) and assembled using the SPAdes algorithm (69) via Unicycler (70). Genome contamination and completeness were assessed using CheckM (71).

Phylogenetic analysis. *S. symbiotica* and outgroup genomes used for phylogenetic analysis are listed in Table S1A in the supplemental material. Genomes were downloaded from the NCBI Assembly Database on 2 March 2020. All outgroup genomes were filtered for >95% completeness and <5% contamination using CheckM (71). Annotations were obtained using Prokka (72), and 176 single-copy orthologs were identified by OrthoFinder (73). These single-copy orthologs were aligned with MAFFT (74), trimmed using a BLOSUM62 matrix in BMGE (75), and concatenated using an in-house script, producing an alignment with 56,881 total amino acid positions. A tree was constructed by maximum likelihood with a JTT + R10 model and 100 bootstraps, using IQ-TREE (76). The complete phylogeny is available in Fig. S1. The presence of *Serratia* marker genes was determined using CheckM with the *Serratia* marker gene set provided with CheckM. The average nucleotide identity for *S. symbiotica* genomes was calculated using FastANI (77).

Chromosomal integration of sfGFP. Superfolder GFP (sfGFP) was integrated into the chromosomes of *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3'T, *S. symbiotica* HB1, and *E. coli* BW25113 through mini-Tn7 tagging, as described by Choi and Schweizer (78). Details are provided in Text S1.

Tracking aphid survival, fecundity, and transmission after injection with *S. marcescens*, *S. symbiotica*, and injection buffer. Fourth-instar pea aphids were injected with *S. marcescens* Db11, recombinant *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP, recombinant *S. symbiotica* HB1-GFP, hemolymph from pea aphids infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson, or injection buffer, as described in Text S1. Every 24 h, survival was recorded, offspring were collected, and surviving adults were moved to a fresh dish. Adults were collected at death or at the end of the experiment at 15 dpi and screened for the presence or absence of *S. symbiotica*. Details are provided in Text S1.

Bacterial titer by spot-plating and qPCR. Fourth-instar pea aphids were injected with recombinant *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP, recombinant *S. symbiotica* HB1-GFP, or hemolymph from pea aphids infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson, as described in Text S1. At 24 h, aphids were transferred in sets of 15 to seedlings of *Vicia faba* and stored under long-day conditions (16-h light, 8-h dark) in incubators held at a constant 20°C. At each time point, aphids were collected in separate tubes, surface sterilized in 10% bleach for 1 min, rinsed in deionized water for 1 min, and then crushed and resuspended in 100 µl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). For aphids injected with culturable *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP or HB1-GFP, 50 µl of this homogenate was used for spot-plating and 50 µl was frozen for DNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR). For aphids injected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson, all 100 µl of homogenate was frozen and used for DNA extraction and qPCR. Details are provided in Text S1.

Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses and graphing were performed in the R programming language (version 3.6.3) (79). Survival rates for each treatment group were visualized as Kaplan-Meier survival curves, and comparisons of rates across treatment groups were performed using the Cox proportional-hazards model. Bacterial titers across treatment groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis analysis of variance, followed by Dunn’s multiple-comparison test.

FISH microscopy. Fourth-instar pea aphids were injected with wild-type *S. symbiotica* CWBI-2.3'T, wild-type *S. symbiotica* HB1, or hemolymph from pea aphids infected with *S. symbiotica* Tucson, as described in Text S1. Embryos were dissected at 4 dpi (Movie S1) or 7 dpi (Fig. 4) in 70% ethanol. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed as described by Koga et al. (8) with slight modifications. Details are provided in Text S1.

Live imaging of *E. coli* and *S. symbiotica* in pea aphids. For live imaging, fourth-instar *Acyrthosiphon pisum* L5S1 aphids were injected with recombinant *S. symbiotica* CWBI-GFP or recombinant *E. coli* BW25113-GFP, as described in Text S1. At 24 h, the aphids were transferred in sets of 15 to seedlings of *V. faba* and stored under long-day conditions (16-h light, 8-h dark) in incubators held at a constant 20°C. At 5 dpi, a subset of aphids from each treatment group were used to obtain titer counts via spot-plating, as described above, and the remaining aphids were dissected in TC-100 insect medium. Single ovarioles from 10 infected aphids per treatment group were observed under a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope.

Data availability. This whole-genome shotgun project for *S. symbiotica* HB1 has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession no. JACBGK00000000000. The version described in this paper is version JACBGK01000000000.
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