Boosting the Higher Heating Value of *Eucalyptus globulus* via Thermochemical Liquefaction
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Abstract: Biomass can be envisaged as a potential solution to mitigate the problems that the extensive exploitation of fossil sources causes on the environment. Transforming biomass into added-value products with better calorific properties is highly desired. Thermochemical liquefaction can convert biomass into a bio-oil. The work herein presented concerns the study of direct liquefaction of *Eucalyptus globulus* sawdust. The main goal was to optimise the operating conditions of the process to achieve high bio-oil conversion rates. Studies were carried out to understand the impact of the process factors, such as the residence time, catalyst concentration, temperature, and the biomass-to-solvent ratio. The *E. globulus* sawdust conversion into bio-oil was achieved with a maximum conversion of 96.2%. A higher conversion was reached when the eucalyptus sawdust’s thermochemical liquefaction was conducted over 180 minutes in the presence of a >2.44% catalyst concentration at 160 °C. A lower biomass-to-solvent ratio favours the process leading to a higher conversion of biomass into bio-oil. The afforded bio-oil presented a better higher heating value than that of *E. globulus* sawdust.
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1. Introduction

Most environmental pollution, global warming, domestic energy shortage, economic crisis, and crude oil import dependency are embedded in the distorted exploitation of fossil fuels for electricity generation, transportation, industries, and agriculture [1]. The issues related to the decreasing fossil fuel resources and environmental concerns have been addressed in the research devoted to biomass utilisation [2]. Such alternatives are essential and crucial for a more sustainable society. These alternatives play a vital role in the successful transition toward a renewable energy future, based on feedstocks’ multiplicity to make a diverse array of fuels. The development of various conversion technologies must advocate the three foundations of sustainability: environment, society, and economy [3]. The bioenergy produced from biomass has been envisaged as a promising way out of society’s dependence on petroleum.

The 21st century will witness the development of a new organics industry based on biomass refining. The implementation of novel technologies, such as integrated biorefineries—producing energy, fuels, and chemicals—must respect the economic viability, laws, and politics aiming at a cleaner and safer chemical industry [4].
The lignocellulosic biomass is mainly composed of cellulose (34–54 wt %). Cellulose forms a skeleton, enclosed by hemicellulose (19–34 wt %) and lignin (11–30 wt %). Such biomass also contains minor compounds like ashes (between 0.5 wt % in wood and 25 wt % in agricultural wastes) [5]. Lignin is highly resistant to chemical and biochemical degradation, acting as a structure that holds the remaining compounds together, providing the necessary stiffness for the cell walls [6].

In the presence of an acid catalyst, biomass can break down the complex lignocellulosic structure into smaller molecules, and is easily manipulated for different applications. Such a process is referred to as thermochemical liquefaction [6]. During the liquefaction process, the protons donated by the acid catalyst aid in the hydrolysis of the glycosidic bonds. These linkages are dominant in cellulose and hemicellulose structures. [7] This process is suitable for any biomass type, such as agriculture [6,8–10], lignocellulosic biomass [11–14], sludges [15], and food waste [16–18].

Domingos et al. [19] studied liquefied eucalyptus branches (Eucalyptus globulus). The process occurred at 180 °C for 120 minutes, and a mixture of glycerol and ethylene glycol was used as a solvent. The reaction’s catalysis occurred with a concentration of 3% (w/w) of sulfuric acid. The process’s conversion yield was 61% with a biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:1, and 72% using a ratio of 1:9. However, the amount of solvent needed was relatively high, and glycerol tends to lead to highly viscous products. Mateus et al. [6] studied the bark of E. globulus’s direct liquefaction within this context. The impact that temperature variation (120–180 °C) and reaction time (20–240 minutes) had on the liquefaction of this biomass was assessed. The eucalyptus bark was liquefied in the presence of p-toluene sulfonic acid at a concentration of 3%. A mixture of diethylene glycol and 2-ethylhexanol was used, although the biomass-to-solvent ratio used was also high at 1:9 [6].

The goal of the present work was to optimise the operating conditions of the process to achieve high bio-oil conversion rates. It was also intended to evaluate the potential of the bio-oil to be used as biofuel. The process optimisation study was based on an iteration of some operational parameters separately screened for their effects on biomass conversion. The impact that the variations of reaction time, catalyst concentration, temperature, and the ratio of biomass-to-solvent have on the liquefaction process was studied. Fourier transform infrared-attenuated total reflectance-FTIR-ATR, elemental analysis, and thermogravimetry were used to characterise the bio-oil.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Chemicals

E. globulus sawdust (44.80% water content) from RAIZ (Navigator) was used. 2-Ethylhexanol and p-toluenesulfonic acid, p.a., and technical acetone was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Liquefaction Procedure

The procedure was adapted from the liquefaction of E. globulus bark in diethylene glycol (DEG) and 2-ethylhexanol (2-EH) in the presence of p-toluene sulfonic acid, from work previously developed by Mateus et al. [6], and performed as per the following description. The acid liquefaction process consisted of biomass hydrolysis under atmospheric pressure and temperatures ranging from 120 to 180 °C. 2-EH was used as a unique solvent. The acid catalyst used was p-toluene sulfonic acid with a concentration between 0.5 and 3%. The process was carried out from 90 to 300 minutes, while the biomass-to-solvent ratio was also evaluated from 1:1 to 1:5. A 2 L glass LENZ reactor (with a bottom withdrawal valve) was charged with the biomass, catalyst, and solvent. The reactor was sealed with an O-ring clamped between the reactor neck and a multiple-neck glass lid. The lid was equipped with a Dean–Stark apparatus, a thermocouple (J-type), nitrogen injection, and a stirring shaft. The reaction mixture was mechanically stirred and heated with various temperatures, time periods, catalyst concentrations, and biomass-to-solvent
ratios. After that, the reactional mixture was left to cool to 80 °C and filtered under vacuum conditions. The remaining solid residue was then washed with acetone and dried in an oven at 110 °C for 24 hours. Afterwards, it was weighted for the calculation of the conversion ratio. The bio-oils produced were submitted to rotary evaporation to extract the remaining solvent and were further characterised.

2.3. Measurement of Liquefaction Extent

The liquefaction conversion was calculated based on the solid residues left from the process after the drying stage, according to Eq. 1.

\[
\text{Biomass conversion} (\%) = \left(1 - \frac{m_{\text{res}}}{m_{\text{biom}}}\right) \times 100
\]

where \(m_{\text{res}}\) stands for the mass of solid residues after drying and \(m_{\text{biom}}\) stands for the initial mass of biomass on a dry basis.

2.4. Elemental Analysis

The elemental analysis in the bio-oil was performed with a LECO TruSpec CHN to assess the carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen content, while a LECO CNS2000 determined the sulfur content. Most biomass and its derivatives are composed of up to 97–99% C, H, and O. Other elements, like sulfur and nitrogen, are present in lower contents, and thus, are difficult to measure or not so rarely below the detection limit. Consequently, since the bio-oil samples’ sulfur and nitrogen content is below the detection limit, it is assumed that they are negligible. Thus, these minor elements are combined into the oxygen content [20], according to Equation 2.

\[
\%O = 100 - (\%C + \%H)
\]

2.5. High Heat Value (HHV) Determination

The High Heat Value (HHV) of the bio-oil was calculated using the empirical correlation, according to Dermibas et al. [21], as Equation 3:

\[
\text{HHV(MJ/Kg)} = 0.335C + 1.423H - 0.154O
\]

2.6. Fourier Transformed Infrared (FTIR-ATR) Analysis

The FTIR-ATR spectra were acquired with a Spectrum Two from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA) equipped with a UATR Two accessory. The spectra were captured in 600–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) with 4 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution and eight scans of data accumulation and treated in Perkin Elmer Spectrum IR software.

2.7. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

The thermogravimetric analysis of the bio-oils and biomass was performed using the Hitachi-STA7200. Before the analyses, the equipment was calibrated following the instructions of the manufacturer. Samples of each bio-oil and biomass were placed in aluminium crucibles and heated from an ambient temperature up to 600 °C, at a heating rate of 10 °C/min\(^{-1}\), under a 100 ml/min\(^{-1}\) nitrogen flow.

3. Results

This work aimed to optimise the thermochemical liquefaction of E. globulus sawdust. An initial set of conditions was defined based on the literature [6,22]. The influence of the catalyst concentration on the biomass conversion was tested, carrying out, for such purpose, the liquefaction for 90 minutes, at 160 °C with a biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:5. The catalyst concentrations of 0.50, 0.99, 1.48, 1.96, and 2.44% (w/w) were screened. The experiment conditions and their outcomes are summarized in Table 1. Figure 1a clearly
expresses the variations in biomass conversion. The figure shows an increasing profile of the conversion due to an increased catalyst concentration from 52.4% to 86.1%. This behaviour was expected due to the cellulose hydrolysis mechanism. Due to the higher concentration of protons, the glycosidic bonds’ cleavage occurs more easily. That said, and with cellulose accounting for about 50% of the wood’s weight, the phenomenon was expected [6]. The gradual increase of the bio-oil conversion, observed with the increased catalyst concentration, reached a plateau for higher concentrations, 2.44% and 3%. Overall, the highest conversion, 87.2%, was obtained with a 3% catalyst concentration. However, the slight increase in the conversion, compared with that of the 2.44% concentration, is not significant. Thus, the 2.44% concentration is more advisable since it led to good conversion, as did the 3% concentration, but with less catalyst and, consequently, a lower cost. Moreover, the high acid concentrations are reported as enhancing the recondensation reactions, leading to higher amounts of insoluble residue and decreasing the biomass conversions [23].

**Table 1. Liquefaction of eucalyptus sawdust in the presence of different catalyst concentrations.**

| Run | Biomass/Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst (% w/w) | Conversion (%) |
|-----|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 1   |                |            |                  | 0.5              | 52.4          |
| 2   |                |            |                  | 0.99             | 66            |
| 3   | 1:5            | 90         | 160              | 1.48             | 74.3          |
| 4   |                |            |                  | 1.96             | 82.2          |
| 5   |                |            |                  | 2.44             | 86.1          |
| 6   |                |            |                  | 1.3              | 87.2          |

The influence of the temperature on the eucalyptus sawdust liquefaction process was studied. In this regard, tests were performed with the same operating conditions, varying only the process temperature. Experiments were performed at 120, 140, 160, and 180 °C for 90 minutes, with a 2.44% (w/w) catalyst concentration and a biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:5 (Table 2). At lower temperatures, 120 and 140 °C, low biomass conversions were observed, below 50%. This behaviour is better understood considering that the eucalyptus constituents’ decomposition activation energy (hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin) are different, lignin having the highest value, followed by cellulose and hemicellulose [6]. It is also necessary to consider the presence of crystalline cellulose, which can affect the process [6]. The decomposition activation energy is higher for crystalline cellulose than for the amorphous counterpart. At low temperatures, the reaction medium’s energy is insufficient to break down the cellulose’s crystallinity structure and the glycosidic bonds as well. Thus, this may indicate that hemicellulose and amorphous cellulose are the only hydrolysed species at a low temperature.

The increase in the reaction temperature, 180 °C, naturally resulted in higher conversion rates than the initial conditions, with a conversion of 91.6%. This effect results from the increase in energy supplied to the reaction medium, facilitating depolymerisation. Figure 1b demonstrates that higher conversions are achieved with temperatures above 160 °C.

**Table 2. Liquefaction of eucalyptus at different temperatures.**

| Run | Biomass/Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst (% w/w) | Conversion (%) |
|-----|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 7   |                |            | 120              |                  | 19.9          |
| 8   |                |            | 140              |                  | 46.6          |
| 5   | 1:5            | 90         | 160              | 2.44             | 86.1          |
| 9   |                |            | 180              |                  | 94.8          |
Some previous studies have addressed the influence of the increase in the biomass-to-solvent ratio [24–27]. In this regard, the potential of carrying out the liquefaction by decreasing the amount of solvent was investigated. For this purpose, tests were carried out with biomass-to-solvent mass ratios of 1:5, 1:2, and 1:1. To assess this factor’s impact on eucalyptus liquefaction, the process was conducted with a 2.44% catalyst concentration at 180 °C for 90 minutes (Table 3). No visible liquefaction occurred at a high biomass-to-solvent ratio (1:1). After the reaction time, the reactor contained a compact and highly viscous slurry.

Given the aforementioned, it was assumed that the biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:1 did not allow for the formation of bio-oil due, possibly, to the recondensation reactions of the decomposed lignin units. Pan et al. [28] described such a phenomenon after finding out that lignin’s content on liquefaction residues decreased, consistently, when decreasing the biomass-to-solvent ratio. Sarkanen [29] and Kobayashi et al. [30] also reported on the lignin monomer units’ tendency to undergo secondary condensation reactions. Pu and Shiraishi [31] further inferred that the lignin content present in the biomass liquefaction residue gradually decreased with a higher amount of solvent and a decreased reaction time. Finally, Pan et al. [28] mention the work developed by Lin [32], which showed that guaiacol, resulting from a reaction of a lignin model compound, was still present at the end of the process due to the existence of an excess of solvent, preventing the nucleophilic reactions. Thus, by increasing the biomass-to-solvent ratio, the solvent can slow down the lignin derivatives’ tendency to undergo recondensation reaction. However, the possibility that the solvent reacting with the liquefied lignin to form a new insoluble intermediate retained in the solid residue cannot be ruled out.

Figure 2a clearly demonstrates that the liquefaction process is prone to changes in the biomass-to-solvent ratio. Thus, it is assumed that bio-oil production occurs to a considerable extent only for biomass-to-solvent ratios higher than 1:3, evidence also reported by Boocock and Sherman [27]. The results herein disclosed allude to the difficulty in optimising the process concerning solvent reduction. Thus, the optimal biomass-to-solvent ratio for the liquefaction of eucalyptus sawdust was found to be 1:5, which led to the highest conversion.

| Run | Biomass/Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst (% w/w) | Conversion (%) |
|-----|-----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
| 9   | 1:5             |            |                  |                  | 94.8          |
| 10  | 1:2             | 90         | 180              | 2.44             | 22.4          |
| 11  | 1:1             |            |                  |                  | 0             |

The study of the influence of the time was conducted at 160 °C. Despite that the boiling temperature of 2-EH is 184.6 °C, in previous experiments at 180 °C, there was
considerable evaporation of the solvent. For this reason, to prevent it, the process was conducted at a lower temperature. In doing so, we intended to understand if it would be possible to achieve higher conversions by increasing the liquefaction time, thus avoiding conducting the process at 180 °C, which leads to solvent loss. The study was conducted for 90 min, 180 min, and 300 min, at 160 °C, with a 2.44% catalyst concentration and a biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:5 (Table 4).

Figure 2b demonstrates the influence of time on the extension of the biomass liquefaction. There was a significant increase in the conversion between the first two runs, 90 and 180 minutes, corresponding to 86.1 and 96.2% conversions, respectively. After reaching a maximum, the conversion decreased to 87.4% after 300 minutes. After 180 minutes at 160 °C, it was possible to convert more biomass than at 180 °C for 90 minutes, avoiding the solvent’s evaporation, as intended. Increasing the reaction time resulted, as expected, in an increase in the reaction’s extent and, consequently, in higher conversions. However, after reaching the maximum value, the conversion rate began to decrease, possibly because the decomposition reactions became dominant, leading to the formation of insoluble residues and decreasing the overall liquefaction conversion rate. This effect has already been described for these thermochemical processes. For longer times, tar-type and humin content, which are associated with recondensation reactions or decomposition products, increased [11,18,33,34].

Moreover, the strong network allied to the crystalline part of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin makes the biomass resistant to liquefaction. It has been reported that there are initial stages of rapid liquefaction due to the dissolution of easily accessible biomass components, followed by the slower stages that involve the hydrolysis of the less accessible components and those less predisposed to hydrolysis, like crystalline cellulose [35]. Thus, it was expected, as well, that with a longer residence time, the conversion of biomass would increase.

Table 4. Liquefaction of eucalyptus at different residence times.

| Run | Biomass/Solvent | Time (min) | Temperature (°C) | Catalyst (% w/w) | Conversion (%) |
|-----|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 5   | 1:5            | 90         | -                | -                | 86.1         |
| 12  | 1:5            | 180        | 160              | 2.44             | 96.2         |
| 13  | 1:5            | 300        | -                | -                | 87.4         |

Figure 2. Liquefaction of eucalyptus sawdust under different biomass-to-solvent ratios (a) and times (b).

The bio-oil from the liquefaction of the eucalyptus sawdust, obtained on Run 12 (96.2%), was subjected to elemental analysis. Table 5 shows the content of nitrogen (N), carbon (C), hydrogen (H), sulfur (S), and Oxygen (O), as well as the Higher Heating Value computed from Equation 3 of the bio-oil, as well as that for E. globulus. The results expressed in Table 5 reflect the energetic valorisation that occurred due to the liquefaction
process of the eucalyptus sawdust. The elemental analysis of *E. globulus*, retrieved from the Phyllis2 Database of biomasses [36], shows that this lignocellulosic material has a carbon content of 48.72%, hydrogen content of 5.99%, oxygen content of 44.67%, and negligible quantities of sulfur and nitrogen. The sample subjected to elemental analysis uncovered, given that reference, an increase in the carbon and hydrogen content, of 43% and 69%, respectively, and a decrease in oxygen content to 61%, which is translated into an increase of the Higher Heating Value. The HHV of the bio-oil reached a value of 34.98 MJ/kg, which represents an increase of about 80% over the value of *E. globulus*, 19.44 MJ/kg.

**Table 5. Chemical composition and Higher Heating Value (HHV) of *E. globulus* and bio-oil.**

| Samples        | Chemical Composition | HHV (MJ/kg) |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| *E. globulus* [36] | C: 48.72, H: 5.99, S: 0.01, N: 0.39, O: 44.67 | 19.44       |
| Bio-oil R12    | C: 69.53, H: 10.14, S: <2, N: <0.5, O: 17.82 | 34.98*      |

*calculated

FTIR-ATR experiments on the bio-oil from Run 12 were conducted to identify characteristic signals of the bio-oil sample, corresponding to the biomass's fragments resulting from its liquefaction, Figure 3. The spectra display a band from 3025 to 3630 cm⁻¹, corresponding to OH groups, and a band from 2079 to 3025 cm⁻¹, typical for the methylene and methyl groups from cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin [37]. A peak at 1720 cm⁻¹, characteristic of the carbonyl group, was also identified, which can be related to some compounds resulting from cellulose decomposition and oxidation, that is, levulinic acid or furfural [38]. The peaks of 1461 cm⁻¹ and 1178 cm⁻¹, corresponding to CH₃−, −CH₂−, and C-H bend, are related to the presence of carbohydrates and lignin [6,39]. The signals at 1516 cm⁻¹, 1384 cm⁻¹, and 1031 cm⁻¹ can also confirm lignin derivatives’ presence. The first one, 1516 cm⁻¹, corresponds to the C=C stretch, while the aromatic C-H deformation is usually observed at 1384 cm⁻¹ [6]. The peak of 1031 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the aromatic C-H in-plane deformation of guaiacyl moieties [39,40]. The signal at 1340 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the C-H deformation of cellulose and hemicellulose derivatives present on the bio-oil [41]. The C-O-H bend at 1227 cm⁻¹ confirms the existence of the CH₂OH group from the hexose units [6,42]. At 937 cm⁻¹, a peak usually related to the C-O and C-C stretches, associated with glycoside linkages, is seen. The appearance of this signal confirms the presence of di- or oligosaccharides [6]. The spectra undoubtedly confirm the presence of biomass-based compounds in the bio-oil.

![Figure 3. FTIR-ATR spectra of *E. globulus* and bio-oil (run 12).](image)
The Thermogravimetric (TG) curves are displayed in Figure 4 and detect weight loss as a function of temperature, the determined thermal stability, the moisture of materials, the volatility of the bio-oil, and the calculation of the carbon residue.

A large stage of degradation dominated the thermal analysis of the samples. For the biomass (Figure 4a), the initial weight loss of 3% at 50 °C is attributed to the evaporation of water and consequent drying of the material. A zone of thermal stability followed it until 281 °C, where it occurred a loss of 20%, which coincides with the significant degradation of hemicellulose and lignin [43]. The stage at 352 °C corresponds to the most significant mass loss of 50%, which indicates the degradation of cellulose from the eucalyptus bark. This gave a residual mass of 27%.

The bio-oil (Figure 4b) shows very similar behaviour when compared to the biomass but with mass losses at lower temperatures. The first step of degradation began at 152 °C, where evaporation of the residual solvent 2-ethylhexanol occurred along with the degradation of low molecular compounds from the biomass liquefaction, with a difference of 31% of the mass. It was followed, at 209 °C, by the main degradation of 35% of some remaining holocellulose and lignin derivatives. This gave a residual mass of 34%.

![Figure 4. Thermogravimetric curves of E. globulus (a) and bio-oil (Run 12) (b).](image)

4. Conclusions

The results disclosed herein allowed us to gather essential information to better understand the liquefaction process of eucalyptus sawdust through acid catalysis. Focusing on process optimisation, and in order to increase the conversion of eucalyptus into bio-oil, it was possible to achieve, in this work, a maximum conversion of 96.2%. Parameters such as the reaction time, catalyst concentration, reaction temperature, and the biomass-to-solvent ratio were evaluated and proved to be decisive for the reaction’s extent. In a nutshell, the higher conversion was achieved when the eucalyptus sawdust’s thermochemical liquefaction was conducted over 180–300 minutes, and in the presence of a >2.44% catalyst concentration. The preferable temperature was 160 °C, with it being possible to conduct the process at 180 °C with solvent loss. The biomass-to-solvent ratio of 1:5 led to higher biomass conversion. The elemental analysis of the bio-oil allowed us to calculate its HHV. An HHV value of 34.98 MJ/kg was computed for the bio-oil. Such a value represents an increase of about 80% when compared to that of the eucalyptus sawdust.
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