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Abstract. We derive free-tropospheric NO$_2$ volume mixing ratios (VMRs) and stratospheric column amounts of NO$_2$ by applying a cloud slicing technique to data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) on the Aura satellite. In the cloud-slicing approach, the slope of the above-cloud NO$_2$ column versus the cloud scene pressure is proportional to the NO$_2$ VMR. In this work, we use a sample of nearby OMI pixel data from a single orbit for the linear fit. The OMI data include cloud scene pressures from the rotational-Raman algorithm and above-cloud NO$_2$ vertical column density (VCD) (defined as the NO$_2$ column from the cloud scene pressure to the top-of-the-atmosphere) from a differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) algorithm. Estimates of stratospheric column NO$_2$ are obtained by extrapolating the linear fits to the tropopause. We compare OMI-derived NO$_2$ VMRs with in situ aircraft profiles measured during the NASA Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment Phase B (INTEX-B) campaign in 2006. The agreement is generally within the estimated uncertainties when appropriate data screening is applied. We then derive a global seasonal climatology of free-tropospheric NO$_2$ VMR in cloudy conditions. Enhanced NO$_2$ in the free troposphere commonly appears near polluted urban locations where NO$_2$ produced in the boundary layer may be transported vertically out of the boundary layer and then horizontally away from the source. Signatures of lightning NO$_2$ are also shown throughout low and middle latitude regions in summer months. A profile analysis of our cloud slicing data indicates signatures of uplifted and transported anthropogenic NO$_2$ in the middle troposphere as well as lightning-generated NO$_2$ in the upper troposphere. Comparison of the climatology with simulations from the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) for cloudy conditions (cloud optical thicknesses $> 10$) shows similarities in the spatial patterns of continental pollution outflow. However, there are also some differences in the seasonal variation of free-tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs near highly populated regions and in areas affected by lightning-generated NO$_2$. Stratospheric column NO$_2$ obtained from cloud slicing agrees well with other independently-generated estimates, providing further confidence in the free-tropospheric results.
1 Introduction

Tropospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) is mainly produced by fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, and soil emission near the Earth’s surface and by lightning and aircraft emissions in middle and upper troposphere. NO$_2$ is an important tropospheric constituent, because it is both a pollutant and climate agent. It has adverse effects on human health (Brook et al., 2007) and is one of six criteria pollutants designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). It is contributes to the formation of ozone, another EPA criteria pollutant. NO$_2$ also has both direct and indirect radiative effects. The direct effect results from NO$_2$ absorption of incoming sunlight in the ultraviolet (UV) and visible (VIS) spectral range (e.g., Solomon et al., 2007; Vasilkov et al., 2009). Because NO$_2$ is an ozone precursor and affects tropospheric concentrations of methane, it also has indirect short- and long-wave radiative effects (e.g. Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; Wild et al., 2001; Shindell et al., 2009).

NO$_2$ has distinct absorption features in the UV/VIS (primarily at blue wavelengths) that can be remotely sensed by satellite spectrometers using Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) techniques. For example, tropospheric vertical column densities (VCDs) of NO$_2$ have been estimated using spectral radiance measurements from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) (Richter and Burrows, 2002), SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY) (Richter et al., 2005), the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) (Boersma et al., 2008, 2011; Bucsela et al., 2006, 2008), and the Second Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME-2) (Munro et al., 2006). The retrieved tropospheric columns of NO$_2$ have been evaluated with aircraft, ground-based, and balloon measurements. For example, OMI-derived VCDs show moderately good agreement with aircraft measurements from the NASA Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-A (INTEX-A) and -B (INTEX-B) Experiment (Bucsela et al., 2008; Boersma et al., 2008, 2011), ground-based direct-sun DOAS measurements (Herman et al., 2009), and multi-axis DOAS measurements (Celarier et al., 2008; Hains et al., 2010).

With their global coverage, satellite tropospheric column estimates have provided important information related to tropospheric NO$_2$ chemistry and transport. Satellite retrievals show decreases of NO$_2$ tropospheric columns over the United States in recent years (Russell et al., 2012; Duncan et al., 2013) and Europe (Castellanos and Boersma, 2012). These reductions result from emission controls and the economic recession. Reductions in NO$_2$ were also observed over Beijing and the surrounding areas during the 2008 olympic and paralympic games (Witte et al., 2009). Lamsal et al. (2013) showed that OMI-derived surface NO$_2$ concentrations are highly correlated with urban population, but that the NO$_2$ to population relationship is geographically dependent. Satellite measurements of tropospheric NO$_2$ columns have also been utilized to study sources and long range transport of NO$_x$ in conjunction with chemical transport models (e.g., Martin et al., 2003, 2006; Zhang et al., 2007; Beirle et al., 2004, 2011; Jaeglé et al., 2005; Frost et al., 2006; Boersma et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2010; Russell et al., 2010). Top-down approaches using satellite measurements provide NO$_x$ source constraints for regional- and global- scale chemical transport models (Martin et al., 2003; Choi et
There have been only a few studies that have utilized cloudy satellite NO$_2$ observations, and they have primarily focused on lightning-generated NO$_x$ (e.g., Boersma et al., 2005). Cloudy data are typically discarded in most studies that use satellite-derived tropospheric NO$_2$ columns, because clouds screen the near-surface from observation. However, the screening property of clouds can be exploited to provide unique estimates of NO$_2$ concentrations in the free troposphere using cloud-slicing techniques. It is otherwise difficult to separate the boundary layer portion of the NO$_2$ column from the free-tropospheric contribution. Cloud slicing can also be used to estimate stratospheric NO$_2$ burdens. Ziemke et al. (2001, 2003, 2005, 2009) pioneered cloud slicing approaches to estimate free tropospheric O$_3$ concentrations as well as stratospheric column amounts of O$_3$. The ozone derived from cloud slicing has been validated by extensive comparisons with ozonesondes (Ziemke et al., 2003) and Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) data (Ziemke et al., 2009). Ziemke et al. (2010) and Ziemke and Chandra (2010) subsequently derived tropospheric and stratospheric ozone climatologies, and Ziemke et al. (2010) developed the ozone El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) index that has been compared with chemistry-climate simulations (Oman et al., 2013).

Measurements of NO$_2$ in the free-troposphere are sparse. Aircraft in situ measurements, lidar observations, and balloon-sonde soundings have been confined mainly to field campaigns that are limited in spatial and temporal extents. UV/VIS limb soundings provide vertical profiles of NO$_2$, but the measurements are limited to the stratosphere (Bovensmann et al., 1999).

Cloud-slicing of NO$_2$ from satellite measurements can potentially provide additional information about spatial and temporal variations in free tropospheric NO$_2$ concentrations. Model studies show that lightning NO$_x$ production contributes to free tropospheric NO$_2$ abundances, but magnitudes and distributions are still largely unknown; in particular, vertical distributions of lightning NO$_x$ are dependent upon the characteristics of the convection parameterizations in the models (Choi et al., 2005, 2008; Allen et al., 2012; Martini et al., 2011). The NO$_2$ lifetime in the free troposphere (up to a week or more) allows for intercontinental transport of uplifted anthropogenic and lightning-generated NO$_2$ (e.g., Li et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2010).

While this transport has been simulated, global NO$_2$ observations in the free troposphere have not been available for extensive evaluation. In addition, knowledge of the distributions of NO$_2$ in the free troposphere is important for calculations of its anthropogenic radiative forcing (e.g. Fuglestvedt et al., 2008; Wild et al., 2001; Shindell et al., 2009).

In this study, we use OMI to infer free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs and stratospheric column amounts of NO$_2$. To derive these quantities, we use the OMI-inferred above-cloud NO$_2$ columns and cloud parameters from highly cloudy scenes. We evaluate the derived OMI NO$_2$ VMRs with available aircraft data from the NASA INTEX-B campaign. We derive a global seasonal climatology of free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs from OMI. For reference, we show an example of a comparison with NO$_2$ fields simulated by a chemical-transport model, the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI). We also con-
2 Data description

2.1 Space-based measurements from OMI

OMI is a UV/VIS grating spectrometer that flies aboard the NASA Aura spacecraft (Levelt et al., 2006). Aura is in a sun-synchronous orbit with a local equator crossing time of 13:35 ± 0:05 (ascending node). OMI provides daily global coverage with a nadir pixel size of approximately 13×24 km$^2$ and a swath width of about 2600 km. It has separate channels for UV and VIS observations. The OMI spectral resolutions in the VIS and UV channels are 0.63 and 0.45 nm, respectively. An obstruction outside the instrument (known as the “row anomaly”) has reduced the swath coverage starting in May 2008. In order to avoid the row anomaly, we focus on OMI data obtained from 2005–2007.

2.1.1 OMI cloud scene pressure

OMI has two independent cloud retrieval algorithms. They are described in detail by Stammes et al. (2007). Here, we provide a brief explanation of these algorithms. One algorithm uses the collision-induced O$_2$-O$_2$ absorption band near 477 nm in the VIS channel; its official product name is OMCLDO2 (Acarreta et al., 2004; Sneep et al., 2008). The other makes use of the filling-in effect of rotational Raman scattering (RRS) at wavelengths from 345 to 354 nm in the UV-2 channel (OMCLDRR) (Joiner and Vasilkov, 2006; Vasilkov et al., 2008).

Both algorithms use the Mixed Lambertian Equivalent Reflectivity (MLER) model that accurately reproduces the observed Rayleigh scattering or atmospheric absorption in a cloudy scene (Koelemeijer and Stammes, 1999; Ahmad et al., 2004). The MLER model utilizes the independent pixel approximation; it treats a measured cloudy pixel radiance ($I_m$) as a weighted sum of two independent subpixels: clear ($I_{clr}$) and cloudy ($I_{cld}$). The clear and cloudy subpixels are weighted by an effective cloud fraction ($f_c$), i.e.,

$$I_m = I_{clr}(P_{terrain}) \cdot (1-f_c) + I_{cld}(P_c) \cdot f_c,$$  

where $P_{terrain}$ is the terrain pressure and $P_c$ is the cloud optical centroid pressure; $P_c$ can be considered as a reflectance-weighted pressure located inside a cloud (Vasilkov et al., 2008; Joiner et al., 2012). This is distinct from the cloud-top pressure derived from thermal infrared measurements. To model $I_{cld}$ and $I_{clr}$, clouds and the Earth’s surface are treated as Lambertian reflectors (i.e., through which no light is transmitted). For the clear-sky contribution, the surface LER is taken from a pre-computed climatology that varies in space and time. The Lambertian clouds are treated as having a
fixed albedo of 0.8. In scenes containing transmissive clouds with an overall LER < 0.8, \( f_c < 1 \); the clear subpixel contribution (first term in the right-hand side of Eq. 1) accounts for light transmitted through the cloud. We also note that \( f_c \) is different from the geometric cloud fraction as it is designed to account for cloud transmission within the context of the MLER model. We have found that \( f_c \) is practically spectrally invariant over the US/VIS wavelengths considered here. In the OMCLDRR algorithm, \( f_c \) is retrieved by inverting Eq. 1 at a wavelength unaffected by RRS. Then \( P_c \) is retrieved to be consistent with the observed amount of RRS filling-in.

We also make use of a wavelength-dependent quantity known as the cloud radiance fraction \( f_r \), defined as the fraction of radiance contributed by clouds (and aerosol). Within the context of the MLER model, \( f_r \) is computed as

\[
f_r = \frac{I_{\text{cld}}(P_c) \cdot f_c}{I_m}.
\]

Cloud optical centroid pressures from OMCLDO2 and OMCLDRR are very similar, particularly for pixels with high values of \( f_c \) and \( f_r \) (Joiner et al., 2012). However, there are some subtle differences, particularly over the Pacific where there is a high incidence of multi-layer clouds. As a result, cloud slicing NO\(_2\) VMRs derived with the two cloud products exhibit some differences in spatial patterns, particularly over equatorial pacific and Gulf of Mexico. In this work, we use \( P_c \) from OMCLDRR. For reference, we show sample results that use OMCLDO2 \( P_c \) in Appendix D.

### 2.1.2 OMI Above-cloud column NO\(_2\)

NO\(_2\) slant column densities (SCD) are retrieved from solar backscattered radiances in the VIS channel with a spectral fitting window of 405-465 nm. These data are provided in the OMNO2A product (Boersma et al., 2011). Fitting errors of NO\(_2\) SCDs range from 0.3–1 \( \times \) 10\(^{15} \) cm\(^{-2}\). There is evidence that NO\(_2\) SCDs are positively biased by \( \sim 25\% \) (Krotkov, 2013); therefore our estimates from cloud slicing will be biased by the same amount.

Here, we divide the OMI NO\(_2\) SCD by the geometric air mass factor (\( \text{AMF}_{\text{geometric}} \)) to obtain estimates of NO\(_2\) VCDs in highly cloudy conditions. AMF\(_{\text{geometric}}\) is given by

\[
\text{AMF}_{\text{geometric}} = \sec(SZA) + \sec(VZA),
\]

where SZA and VZA are the solar and view zenith angles, respectively. AMF\(_{\text{geometric}}\) is appropriate for use in an atmosphere where the effects of Rayleigh scattering are relatively small. This is generally the case for highly cloudy observations at NO\(_2\) wavelengths at moderate SZAs.

It is useful at this point to introduce the concept of cloud scene pressure (\( P_{\text{scene}} \)) given by

\[
P_{\text{scene}} = f_r \cdot P_c + (1 - f_r) \cdot P_{\text{terrain}}.
\]

The derived NO\(_2\) VCD in a cloudy pixel can be interpreted as the total column from \( P_{\text{scene}} \) to the top-of-the-atmosphere (i.e., the total column above \( P_{\text{scene}} \)). Eq. 4 is derived by assuming that the NO\(_2\)}
profile is vertically uniform between $P_{\text{terrain}}$ and $P_e$ (Joiner et al., 2009). Because this condition will not be met for NO$_2$ in highly polluted regions, here we use only pixels where $f_r > 0.9$. For these pixels, the below-cloud contribution to the observed VCD (i.e., from the second term on the right hand side of Eq. 4) is small and $P_{\text{scene}} \simeq P_e$. Like $P_e$, $P_{\text{scene}}$ is located below the physical cloud top altitude. Henceforth we refer to the derived NO$_2$ VCD in a cloudy scene (NO$_2$ VCD = NO$_2$ SCD / AMF$_{\text{geometric}}$) as the above-cloud NO$_2$ VCD.

### 2.1.3 OMNO2B estimates of Stratospheric and Tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs

Stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are estimated and reported in the OMNO2B product (Bucsela et al., 2013). We use these estimates as an independent check on our derived stratospheric NO$_2$ VCDs from cloud slicing. The OMNO2B procedure for estimating stratospheric VCDs is explained in detail by Bucsela et al. (2013). Here we provide a brief explanation of the procedure. First, an initial VCD is obtained by dividing the NO$_2$ SCD (Sect. 2.1.2) by a stratospheric air mass factor (i.e., the air mass factor is calculated using radiative transfer, assuming that all NO$_2$ is contained in the stratosphere). Then, the stratospheric VCD is estimated for two cases: (1) In clean areas (with small amounts of tropospheric NO$_2$), stratospheric VCDs are obtained by subtracting GMI estimates of the tropospheric column from the initial VCD. Spatial smoothing is then applied to the resulting geographic field; (2) Where there is substantial tropospheric NO$_2$ pollution, the stratospheric VCDs are estimated using spatial interpolation from the surrounding clean regions. Tropospheric NO$_2$ VCDs are then estimated by taking the differences between the total and stratospheric SCDs and converting them to VCDs using appropriate stratospheric and tropospheric AMFs.

### 2.2 NO$_2$ in-situ measurements from NASA DC-8 aircraft during INTEX-B

We evaluate OMI NO$_2$ cloud slicing results using INTEX-B aircraft in situ NO$_2$ measurements. INTEX-B was an atmospheric field campaign conducted in the spring of 2006. Its major goals included (1) understanding transport and evolution of Asian pollution and its implications for air quality, and (2) validating space-borne retrievals of tropospheric composition including those from OMI (Singh et al., 2009). INTEX-B NO$_2$ data were obtained using the University of California at Berkeley Laser-Induced Fluorescence instrument (TD-LIF) on the NASA DC-8 aircraft in 1 second intervals (Thornton et al., 2000; Perring et al., 2010; Bucsela et al., 2008). At 1 Hz, the mixing ratio observations have precisions ranging from ±23 pptv at 1000 hPa to ±46 pptv at 200 hPa at a signal to noise ratio of 2.

### 2.3 GMI model simulation

We use GMI chemical transport model simulations for comparison with our NO$_2$ cloud slicing results. A detailed model description is provided in Duncan et al. (2007) and Strahan et al. (2007). Here, we provide a brief explanation of the model. The model is driven by Goddard Earth Observing
System 5 (GEOS-5) meteorological fields (Rienecker et al., 2011). The GMI spatial resolution is 2° latitude × 2.5° longitude. The GMI vertical extent is from the surface to 0.01 hPa, with 72 levels; vertical resolution ranges from ∼150 m in the boundary layer to ∼1 km in the free troposphere and lower stratosphere. Model outputs are sampled at the local time of the Aura overpass.

The GMI chemistry combines stratospheric chemical mechanisms (Douglass et al., 2004) with detailed tropospheric O$_3$-NO$_x$-hydrocarbon chemistry that has its origins in the Harvard GEOS-Chem model (Bey et al., 2001). In addition to chemistry, the model includes various emissions sources, aerosol microphysics, deposition, radiation, advection, and other important chemical and physical processes including lightning NO$_x$ production (Allen et al., 2010).

In this study, we extract GMI NO$_2$ concentrations/burdens for four different sets of conditions and vertical ranges (three tropospheric and one stratospheric): (1) tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs for heavily cloudy conditions (cloud optical thickness $\tau > 10$), (2) tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs for all-sky conditions, (3) lightning contribution to the tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs, and (4) stratospheric column NO$_2$. The contribution of lightning to tropospheric NO$_2$ is obtained by subtracting a no-lightning run from the full run with lightning for all-sky conditions. For comparison with OMI cloud slicing tropospheric VMRs, we average the GMI NO$_2$ VMRs over the appropriate OMI scene pressure range.

3 Cloud slicing technique

The cloud slicing technique takes advantage of optically thick clouds to estimate a VMR of a target trace gas in the free troposphere between the clouds (Ziemke et al., 2001, 2003). We infer NO$_2$ VMRs using the slope derived from linearly fitting the collocated OMI above-cloud column NO$_2$ to cloud scene pressures. Figure 1 illustrates a simple example of this technique (not to scale). We require at least two nearby above-cloud NO$_2$ VCDs for different cloud scene pressures as in Fig. 1-(a). The two OMI measurements are shown in a pressure-VCD coordinate plane in Fig. 1-(b). NO$_2$ VCD (VCD$_{NO_2}$) between the two pressure levels P1 and P2 (P1 < P2) can be derived by integrating the NO$_2$ VMR (VMR$_{NO_2}$) over pressure from P1 to P2, i.e.,

$$VCD_{NO_2}^{P_2 P_1} = \frac{R_{\text{air}}}{k_B g} \times \int_{P_1}^{P_2} \text{VMR}_{NO_2}(P) \, dP, \quad (5)$$

where $R_{\text{air}}$ is the gas constant, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. Assuming a constant mixing ratio over the range P1 to P2 in Eq. 5, the mean NO$_2$ VMR in this pressure interval is given by

$$\text{VMR}_{NO_2} = \frac{\Delta VCD}{\Delta P} \frac{k_B g}{R_{\text{air}}}. \quad (6)$$

From this relationship, the NO$_2$ VMR in the pressure range of OMI cloud measurements is proportional to the fitted slope of NO$_2$ VCD versus cloud scene pressure, as shown in Fig. 1-(c). The
confidence interval of NO$_2$ VMR also can be derived from the linear fit if more than two observations are available. In Fig. 1-(c), we show the pressure range of the NO$_2$ VMR (vertical error bar) as well as the confidence interval (horizontal error bar).

In addition, a stratospheric column NO$_2$ can be derived by extrapolating the linear fit to the tropopause as shown in Fig. 1-(d). This estimate is based on the assumption that the mixing ratio of NO$_2$ remains constant from the lowest cloud pressure to the tropopause. By assuming a uniform free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMR, we limit the number of retrieved parameters to 2 (slope and y-intercept, related to free-tropospheric VMR and stratospheric VCD, respectively). This simplifies the retrieval and its error analysis.

While the cloud slicing technique derives the free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMR without the need for a prescribed stratospheric column or other a priori information, it relies on several assumptions and conditions. The method works well only with a relatively large number of nearby cloudy OMI pixels that have a sufficient variation in cloud pressure. We also note that the derived NO$_2$ VMR information is based on the assumption that NO$_2$ is vertically and horizontally well mixed in the given pressure range and spatial extent of the OMI pixel collections. In addition, we assume that the stratospheric column remains constant during the time period and over the area of the OMI pixel sample. Finally, the absolute magnitudes of the derived tropospheric mixing ratios and stratospheric columns are only as accurate as the above-cloud NO$_2$ VCDs. Errors in the derived cloud scene pressures may contribute additional uncertainty. It should also be noted that the NO$_2$ VMRs are derived in highly cloudy conditions. These conditions may not be representative of the general all-sky atmosphere.

In order to ensure that appropriate data are used for cloud-slicing, we apply rigorous data filtering criteria. This results in the use of approximately 10-15% of the available pixel data depending on season and geolocation. The data selection criteria are summarized in Table 1 and discussed in detail in Appendix A1.

Although we show a case of two adjacent OMI measurements in Fig. 1 for simplicity, we typically use an OMI pixel collection that consists of a number of nearby measurements collected over one OMI orbit; this minimizes the effects of random errors from both the above-cloud OMI NO$_2$ VCD and $P_{\text{scene}}$. Examples are discussed in detail in Sect. 4.1. The detailed methodology used to obtain the seasonal climatologies is explained in Appendix A2 and Section 4.2.

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Evaluation of OMI NO$_2$ VMR with INTEX-B data

In this section, we evaluate OMI NO$_2$ VMRs derived from cloud slicing using aircraft in situ NO$_2$ measurements made during INTEX-B. For individual comparisons, we use OMI pixel collections from a single orbit that must have occurred within 2 days of an aircraft measurement. Furthermore,
the absolute value of the difference in the time of day between the aircraft and satellite measurements must be < 5 hours. We use relatively relaxed temporal collocation criteria (different days for OMI and INTEX-B NO$_2$ measurements) because most of the aircraft column measurements (from aircraft spirals) are made in clear conditions (Singh et al., 2009) while cloud slicing from OMI requires highly cloudy conditions.

To meet the spatial collocation requirements, OMI pixels must be within a box of 8° latitude × 10° longitude, centered at the location of each INTEX-B profile; we use this relatively large box to ensure the availability of an adequate number of OMI cloudy pixels. If we have multiple OMI pixel collections from adjacent days for a single aircraft profile, we average the derived VMRs from all applicable collections. Even with these relatively relaxed collocation criteria, we obtained matchups in only a few areas.

Figure 2 shows examples of cases of reasonably good agreement (within the calculated uncertainties) between OMI cloud slicing and INTEX-B NO$_2$ VMR. For each row, the first column shows the collection of above-cloud NO$_2$ columns and cloud scene pressures (light blue dots) and the fitted slope (black line) with the date of the OMI measurement, similar to Fig. 1-(b). Here, $\Delta t$ refers to the aircraft minus OMI time differential. The second column, similar to Fig. 1-(c), shows the OMI cloud slicing NO$_2$ VMR marked by a square in the same color as used in the first column (light blue). The vertical error bar represents the applicable OMI cloud scene pressure range, and the horizontal error bar is the 95% confidence interval of the retrieved VMR.

Also shown are the collocated INTEX-B NO$_2$ profiles (dark blue lines) with the corresponding standard errors of the mean (gray shaded areas) and the date of the DC-8 aircraft measurement. We also show the average of the INTEX-B NO$_2$ VMR over the OMI cloud scene pressure range (dark blue square). The vertical and horizontal error bars represent the pressure range and the standard error of the mean for the INTEX-B measurements, respectively. This standard error of the mean (blue horizontal error bar) is smaller than that of the profiles (gray shaded area), as more VMR measurements are averaged. The third column shows the location of OMI pixels and INTEX-B profiles (in the same colors as used in the first and the second columns).

The top row of Fig. 2 shows an example of NO$_2$ observations over a populated area. The INTEX-B profile was measured near Houston, TX on 19 March 2006. OMI cloudy observations were made on the same day. According to the flight report, this flight segment was affected by clouds; thus this is one of the very few cases when cloudy aircraft measurements coincide with OMI cloud slicing results. The INTEX-B free tropospheric NO$_2$ profile is fairly uniform for P < 880 hPa, while the profile shows a sharp vertical gradient for P $\gtrsim$ 900 hPa. We use only OMI pixels with $P_{\text{scene}}$ < 900 hPa, thereby avoiding pixels affected by the sharp NO$_2$ profile gradient. The retrieved OMI VMR agrees moderately well with the INTEX-B profile for this case (OMI minus INTEX-B difference of $\sim$17 pptv or 32%). The high bias of the OMI NO$_2$ VMR is consistent with the OMI NO$_2$ SCD bias (25%) reported by Krotkov (2013).
The bottom row in Fig. 2 shows an example for a clean oceanic region, measured over the north-east Pacific on 8 May 2006. The INTEX-B profile has a significantly lower average NO$_2$ VMR, and the profile is nearly uniform throughout the measured pressure range. There are no surface NO$_x$ emission sources in this region, and there is no evidence of a significant elevated NO$_2$ pollution plume. The OMI above-cloud column NO$_2$ has higher values than in the Houston case at 30° N in March, presumably because the stratospheric column NO$_2$ is higher in this Pacific case at 45° N in May (see Fig. 7), giving a higher baseline value to the above-cloud columns. The retrieved OMI NO$_2$ VMR has a large confidence interval as a result of the large scatter in the above-cloud OMI NO$_2$ column. Nevertheless, the obtained OMI NO$_2$ VMR and the INTEX-B NO$_2$ profile agree moderately well (OMI minus INTEX-B difference ~10 pptv or 35 %), again consistent with the high bias of OMI NO$_2$ SCDs as discussed above.

Although there are several examples of relatively good agreement as shown in Fig. 2, there are also a number of cases with significant discrepancies. There may be several reasons these differences. Firstly, the INTEX-B NO$_2$ profiles were obtained in relatively cloud-free conditions (except for a few cases including the 19 March 2006 profile shown in Fig. 2). Cloud conditions may alter NO$_x$-O$_3$ photochemistry; this poses an intrinsic problem for the comparison. Spatial and temporal variability of tropospheric NO$_2$ also contribute to differences between aircraft and satellite data given the relaxed collocation criteria. We show examples of discrepancies between OMI and aircraft data in Appendix B.

Figure 3 summarizes all comparisons between OMI and INTEX-B NO$_2$ VMRs. We analyzed all successful collocations of INTEX-B profiles and OMI cloud slicing NO$_2$ VMRs and produced a scatter diagram in the left panel of Fig. 3. The vertical error bars are the 95% confidence intervals of OMI NO$_2$ VMRs, and the horizontal error bars are the standard error of the mean of INTEX-B NO$_2$ VMRs. The INTEX-B standard error of the mean is small ($\lesssim$ 3 pptv) as compared with the magnitude of the NO$_2$ VMR, except for two cases that deviate significantly from the 1:1 line (~6 pptv) marked in red color in the left panel of Fig. 3. The locations of the INTEX-B profiles are presented in the right panel of the Fig 3, with high standard error cases marked in red. The left panel using all the matchups shows significant scatter; the root mean square (RMS) of the difference is $\simeq$ 44 pptv. OMI and INTEX-B VMRs do not show any correlation. However, if we remove the INTEX-B profiles with high standard errors, OMI and INTEX-B VMRs exhibit a weak correlation (R=0.3) and the scatter is reduced (RMS differences $\simeq$36 pptv). In either case, the mean OMI VMR (36–39 pptv) is larger than that of the INTEX-B VMR (22–27 pptv). These differences are in the same direction and general range (25-44%) of the suspected OMI NO$_2$ SCD high bias (25%). Overall, this comparison, even with its intrinsic limitations, provides some confidence in the ability to estimate NO$_2$ mixing ratios with OMI cloud slicing.

For comparison between OMCLDRR and OMCLDO2 results, a scattergram using OMI VMRs derived with OMCLDO2 cloud data is presented in Appendix D. OMCLDO2 results show similar
magnitudes and scatter as compared with OMCLDRI. When we exclude the high standard error cases, OMCLDO2 data result in slightly higher scatter and lower correlation versus INTEX-B.

4.2 Global Seasonal Climatology of free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMR

We construct a seasonal climatology of OMI free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} as explained in Sect. 3 and Appendix A2. In analyzing the global climatology, we focus on spatial and temporal variations of the NO\textsubscript{2} VMR rather than its absolute magnitude. In this section, we examine aspects of the OMI free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} climatology in the context of anthropogenic and lightning contributions. We also show GMI free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs for comparison.

Details regarding the construction of the climatology are provided in Appendix A2. NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs are not obtained where clouds rarely form (e.g., Sahara) or where cloud pressure variability is small (e.g., oceanic areas with persistent low clouds due to subsidence, such as off the western coasts of South America and southern Africa). As we sample GMI output over the OMI cloud pressure range, we do not obtain GMI NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs where OMI NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs and the corresponding cloud pressure range are not reported.

Here, we note the possible sampling biases in the NO\textsubscript{2} climatology. Since we collect OMI measurements in cloudy scenes, the climatology represents NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs in highly cloudy conditions as explained in Sect. 3. In addition, because NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs are retrieved only if significant cloud pressure variability exists, the sampled NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs may be indicative of those found in presence of frontal storms, where uplift of boundary layer pollution can frequently occur.

We use the standard error as an estimate of uncertainty for the derived NO\textsubscript{2} climatology; this assumes that the error of the derived NO\textsubscript{2} VMR has zero mean and that errors for individual measurements are random and uncorrelated with respect to each other. While these assumptions are not likely to strictly hold (there are indications of a bias), they may lead to reasonable uncertainties with respect to the derived spatial and temporal patterns. We show the NO\textsubscript{2} VMR climatology where the standard error <10 pptv (if VMR <20 pptv) or 50% (if VMR >20 pptv). For more details regarding quality assurance, see Appendix C. In addition to the standard errors, we present auxiliary data to help interpret the climatology, including the number of measurements, confidence intervals, standard deviations, and the mean cloud scene pressures corresponding to the NO\textsubscript{2} climatology in Fig. C1 of Appendix C.

Fig. 4 shows global data averaged over Jun-Aug (left column) and Dec-Feb (right column) for 2005–2007. The first row shows the OMI-derived 3-month seasonal climatology of free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs. The second row displays the GMI NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs in cloudy (τ > 10) conditions, averaged over the corresponding OMI cloud scene pressure range. The effect of clouds on NO\textsubscript{x} chemistry is complex; it depends on altitude with respect to clouds. For example, NO\textsubscript{2} photolysis rates may be increased above or within bright clouds, but decreased below them. In general, the GMI cloudy VMRs are higher than those in all-sky conditions over urban regions and lower over remote and
oceanic regions (see Fig. C2 in Appendix C). The third row shows lightning contributions to the free tropospheric NO$_2$ as taken from the GMI model. Note that we use a log scale for NO$_2$ VMRs to highlight seasonal and spatial variations. In Appendix C, we show additional NO$_2$ fields for reference including GMI all-sky NO$_2$ VMR, OMI tropospheric column NO$_2$, and GMI tropospheric column NO$_2$.

Overall, the OMI VMR maps show some notable differences with respect to GMI, while the OMI and GMI tropospheric column maps in Appendix C look very similar. Below, we examine the potential contributions from different sources by analyzing rough vertical profiles (Sect. 4.3) as well as temporal/spatial variations of free tropospheric VMRs (Sect. 4.2.1 and 4.2.2). Further analysis related to the differences between OMI and GMI free tropospheric NO$_2$ is ongoing.

### 4.2.1 Anthropogenic contributions

In the northern hemisphere (NH) winter (Dec-Feb), the primary source of free tropospheric NO$_2$ appears to be anthropogenic emissions; high free tropospheric VMRs are seen over densely populated regions and the lightning contribution is expected to be negligible during these months (top right panel of Fig. 4). Over most of the highly populated areas of North America, southeast (SE) Asia, and Europe, free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs are higher in winter (Dec-Feb) as compared with summer (Jun-Aug). It is well known that boundary layer NO$_2$ VMRs are generally higher in winter as compared with summer owing to a longer chemical lifetime in winter; the OMI-derived tropospheric columns (the first row of Fig. C3 in Appendix C), that are dominated by boundary layer pollution in heavily populated areas, also reflect higher values in winter than in summer. In contrast to NO$_2$ VMRs from OMI, the NO$_2$ VMRs from GMI are higher in summer as compared with winter over southeast Asia (the second row of Fig. 4 for cloudy conditions, and Fig. C2 for all-sky conditions), while the tropospheric column NO$_2$ from GMI is higher in winter in this region (the second row of Fig. C3 in Appendix C). Examination of GMI NO$_2$ and NO vertical profiles confirms that this is not a simple partitioning problem of NO$_x$.

Overall, OMI NO$_2$ VMRs have lower values in the SH during the austral winter as compared with the NH. This is also shown in the GMI output. It should be noted that there are not many large population centers in the SH, particularly at high latitudes, nor as much NO$_x$ contribution from aircraft at high latitudes in the SH as compared with the NH. However, it should also be noted that cloud slicing data are not available around many of the major population centers in the SH (e.g., Johannesburg, South Africa and Sao Paulo, Brazil) owing to a lack of optically thick clouds and/or cloud pressure variation.

Regarding transport of anthropogenic NO$_2$, we focus on winter months when lightning NO$_2$ contributions are likely to be small. The OMI cloud slicing NO$_2$ climatology shows a spatial patterns consistent with pollution outflow from North America and Asia. For example, the persistent Asian northeasterly outflow of NO$_2$ via the Bering Sea resembles that of CO (e.g., Liang et al., 2004), a
tracer of incomplete combustion emissions. The spatial extents of continental outflows are different for the free tropospheric VMRs and tropospheric columns. This might be explained by extended transport at higher altitudes where the NO₂ lifetime is longer.

4.2.2 Lightning contributions

A band of enhanced NO₂ appears extensively during the summer in the both hemispheres (∼0–30° and possibly higher latitudes in the NH). The low cloud scene pressures (shown in the fifth row of Fig. C1 in Appendix C) in these regions are indicative of frequent convection. In particular, extensive enhancements in summertime NO₂ VMRs over NH tropical and subtropical oceans, are similar to modeled lightning NOₓ enhancements in previous studies (e.g., Choi et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2012; Martini et al., 2011; Walker et al., 2010). This suggests that lightning is a major source of free tropospheric NO₂ in tropical and subtropical regions in summer. Because the SH is far less polluted than the NH, potential NO₂ enhancements due to lightning are more apparent there. Finally, we note that these extensive NO₂ enhancements indicated by cloud slicing during summer over oceans are not as apparent in the OMI tropospheric columns.

While the locations of these apparent lightning-enhancements of NO₂ are similar in summer in both GMI and OMI data sets, there are a few key differences to note. For example, the seasonality of the NO₂ enhancements over oceans shown by OMI is not as strongly reflected in the GMI output. In addition, there appears to be a much stronger land/ocean contrast in GMI than in the OMI climatology.

For comparison, we also show maps of free-tropospheric NO₂ climatology obtained with OMCLDO2 cloud data in Fig. D2 of Appendix D. The OMCLDO2 climatology shows very similar spatial and temporal patterns as compared with that derived using OMCLDRR data presented here with slightly lower VMRs in general. However, the OMCLDO2 climatology does not show a strong signature of lightning-enhanced NO₂ over the tropical North Pacific in Jun-Aug as is shown in the OMCLDRR climatology. This is discussed in more detail in Appendix D.

4.3 Profile analysis

We examine the pressure dependence of the derived VMRs over large regions (to reduce random errors) in order to provide a rough vertical distribution of free tropospheric NO₂. We highlight two types of areas: (1) East Asia and its outflow region to focus on anthropogenic contributions, and (2) tropical portions of the NH and SH to examine potential lightning contributions.

Figure 5 shows NO₂ profiles obtained over East Asia and its outflow region in summer 2005–2007; in this region and season, a large number of cloudy pixels are available and cloud pressures exhibit enough variability to construct profiles due to the large sampling area and monsoon. This is not the case for many other urban regions and seasons. The sampling areas are shown in blue (East Asia) and purple (outflow) on the maps, and the corresponding profiles are presented in the same
colors. The standard errors are also shown and are relatively small owing to the large number of samples. We note that NO$_2$ profile information is not obtained in the lowermost troposphere over East Asia; we attempt to avoid boundary layer contamination in order to preserve the assumption of uniform NO$_2$ VMRs over the observed cloud pressure range. We obtain a profile down to 850 hPa in the outflow region because there is little boundary layer pollution in that area. The profile of East Asia clearly indicates the presence of uplifted anthropogenic NO$_2$ in the middle troposphere of 600-800 hPa. In the outflow region, the NO$_2$ VMRs are higher at $P \lesssim 700$ hPa as compared with those at $P > 800$ hPa. This suggests that there is not a significant surface source NO$_2$, and that uplifted anthropogenic NO$_2$ is transported at around $\sim 700$ hPa or above in this region.

Figure 6 shows variations in the derived NO$_2$ profiles in tropical regions of the NH and SH. Here, we examine two latitudinal bands with enhanced summertime NO$_2$ based on the spatial distributions shown in Fig. 4. Again, owing to the large number of samples, the standard errors are relatively small ($\sim 5$ pptv). In summer, the NO$_2$ VMRs increase with altitude in both hemispheres. The profile shapes suggest that NO$_2$ sources, presumably lightning, are located primarily in the upper troposphere in these regions. This is consistent with aircraft measurements (e.g., Huntrieser et al., 2009) and modeling studies (e.g., Allen et al., 2010, 2012; Martini et al., 2011) of lightning-generated NO$_x$. In contrast, NO$_2$ VMR profiles are more uniform in winter, possibly owing to less frequent lightning activity associated with convection in the shifting Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). We note that the winter baseline NO$_2$ VMR is higher in NH by approximately a factor of two possibly due to more pollution sources in NH. In contrast, the summertime profiles of NO$_2$ are very similar in the NH and SH.

Overall, our analysis indicates a capability of the cloud slicing technique to retrieve NO$_2$ profile information when provided with a relatively large sample size. Our profile results are consistent with an anthropogenic source for the enhanced NO$_2$ in middle to high latitudes offshore the coasts of highly populated areas. They also indicate a lightning source in the summer over tropical areas, primarily located in the upper troposphere.

4.4 Stratospheric column NO$_2$

We generated estimates of stratospheric NO$_2$ columns as described in Sect. 3. As done for the free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs, the derived stratospheric columns of NO$_2$ are averaged for 3 month intervals using data collected from 2005–2007. Zonal means are shown as a function of latitude in Fig. 7. We also show the estimates of stratospheric NO$_2$ columns from OMNO2B (derived using a completely different method as discussed in Sect. 2.1.3) and GMI. Both the OMI cloud slicing and OMNO2B stratospheric NO$_2$ column estimates show similar zonal structure as compared with that from GMI in all seasons. Note that both OMI estimates are $\sim 30\%$ higher than GMI; this is consistent with the expected high bias described in Sect 2.1.2. The overall excellent agreement between the cloud-slicing stratospheric column and other independent estimates provides a closure check on the
derived free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs; if the cloud-slicing procedure was not performing well in the free troposphere, we would not obtain reasonable stratospheric column estimates. Therefore, this exercise provides validation of both stratospheric and free tropospheric results. Estimates of stratospheric columns from OMCLDO2 product display similar zonal structure as compared with those from OMCLDRR (not shown).

5 Conclusions

We have estimated free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs and stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} columns using a cloud slicing approach applied to OMI data from 2005 to 2007. Optically thick clouds provide excellent sensitivity of satellite radiances to NO\textsubscript{2} above the cloud scene pressure; they also effectively shield satellite observations from NO\textsubscript{2} below clouds. In order to retrieve NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs, our approach requires a large number of cloudy measurements with substantial cloud pressure variability.

We conducted a detailed comparison between OMI cloud slicing free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs and INTEX-B aircraft in situ measurements. Our analysis shows that the cloud slicing technique provides similar magnitudes as compared with in situ measurements when known satellite biases are taken into consideration. However, individual comparisons of INTEX-B and cloud slicing NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs do not always exhibit good agreement. Small-scale temporal and spatial variability, poor collocation, and fairly large OMI measurement uncertainties contribute to these discrepancies.

We generated global seasonal maps of free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs as well as free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} vertical profiles over selected regions. With appropriate data filtering over a three year time period, we obtain a sufficient number of cloudy OMI measurements to cover most of the Earth. Confidence intervals for individual cloud slicing VMRs are fairly large; however, averaging over nine months (3 months × 3 years) reduces random errors and provides a reasonable estimate of the mean values. The free-tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMR climatology shows distinct spatial and seasonal patterns; these patterns differ from those of OMI-estimated tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} columns. The combination of mapped and profile analyses indicates that spatial patterns of the OMI-derived free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} are consistent with (1) uplifted anthropogenic NO\textsubscript{2} over densely populated regions; (2) continental outflow of anthropogenic NO\textsubscript{2}; and (3) lightning-generated NO\textsubscript{x}, particularly in summer months at low to middle latitudes with a source located primarily in the upper troposphere. Anthropogenic sources appear to dominate in the winter hemisphere, especially in the northern hemisphere at high latitudes near heavily populated regions, while lightning contributions dominate over ocean at low to middle latitudes in summer in both hemispheres.

GMI model simulations suggest that NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs vary with cloud conditions by altering the photochemistry. Spatial patterns of continental outflow show general agreement between the OMI cloud slicing climatology and GMI simulations for cloudy conditions. However, some differences, particularly with respect to lightning-generated NO\textsubscript{x}, were noted.
We also provided estimates of NO$_2$ stratospheric columns from the cloud slicing technique. These estimates agree well with those from the OMNO2B algorithm that are based on a completely independent technique (NO$_2$ columns over clean regions). The two OMI stratospheric NO$_2$ estimates display similar seasonal and latitudinal zonal mean variations. These variations are also consistent with those produced in GMI simulations. The excellent agreement between these stratospheric column NO$_2$ estimates provides a closure validation of the free tropospheric OMI cloud slicing results.

Our overall analysis shows that the cloud slicing technique can provide valuable information on the free tropospheric distribution of NO$_2$ that is distinct from the derived tropospheric total columns. In particular, we expect to apply this technique to future geostationary missions including the NASA Earth Ventures Instrument (EVI) 1 selected mission Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring of Pollution (TEMPO) over the North America (Chance et al., 2013) and the Korean Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS) over the Asia-Pacific region (Kim, 2012). These missions should provide excellent cloud slicing results; they will provide improved sampling (with higher spatial and temporal resolutions) as compared with OMI.

Appendix A

Additional details in applying the cloud slicing technique

A1 Data Filtering Criteria

We apply the following checks to ensure that only high quality data are used in our analysis. With these checks, approximately 10-15% of OMI pixels are retained, depending on season and geolocation: (1) we use only pixels with $f_r > 0.9$ to remove OMI pixels with an insufficient cloud shielding of the boundary layer; (2) we remove data with aerosol indices $> 1.0$, because absorbing aerosols are known to produce biases in the retrieved cloud properties (Vasilkov et al., 2008); (3) we exclude data with solar zenith angles (SZA) $> 80^\circ$; the use of the geometrical AMFs may not be appropriate at higher SZAs owing to higher amounts of Rayleigh scattering; (4) we exclude data affected by snow and ice because UV/VIS cloud measurements cannot differentiate between snow/ice and clouds; In the presence of snow/ice, we cannot be assured of boundary layer cloud shielding. We use a flag for snow- and ice-covered pixels based on the Near-real-time SSM/I EASE-grid daily global Ice and snow concentration and Snow Extent (NISE) data set (Nolin et al., 1998) provided in OMCLDRR product.

We also apply checks to ensure sufficient cloud variability; we only use collections with at least 30 OMI pixels, a cloud pressure standard deviation $> 35$ hPa, and a cloud pressure range $> 200$ hPa. Finally, we employ outlier checks to remove data that fall outside the range expected from our assumptions including a uniform mixing ratio over the appropriate pressure range and homogeneous stratospheric column over the corresponding area; we empirically selected a threshold of $2\sigma$ from
the linear fit for this check.

A2 Application of cloud slicing to seasonal climatology

In order to create a global seasonal climatology of free-tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs, we average individual retrievals in three month segments (one for each season) using data collected over 3 years (2005–2007). We grid the data at a spatial resolution of 6° latitude × 8° longitude.

In Fig. A1, we show two examples of how the NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs are calculated for a single grid box. For these examples, we use only one month in summer (June) and winter (January). The grid box encompasses New York City, NY, USA. In order to remove pixels affected by substantial vertical gradients in the NO\textsubscript{2} VMR, we use only cloudy data with \(P_{\text{scene}} < \) a lower boundary (\(P_{\text{lower}}\), gray lines) where the mean NO\textsubscript{2} vertical profile is relatively well mixed according GMI; specifically, \(P_{\text{lower}}\) is pressure above which the absolute magnitude of vertical gradient of monthly-mean NO\textsubscript{2} VMR < 0.33 pptv/hPa. Note that \(P_{\text{lower}}\) varies with season (as shown in Fig. A1) and geolocation (not shown). For reference, we also show GMI daily and monthly mean profiles.

Using an OMI pixel collection from a single orbit, we calculate the free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMR (small black dots), the confidence interval (horizontal bars), and the pressure range (vertical bars). Then, we average the derived single-orbit NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs (weighted inversely by the square of the confidence intervals) to obtain a single representative NO\textsubscript{2} VMR for the given time period (large black dots).

In Fig. A1, we have shown data from one month for simplicity. To construct a seasonal climatology, we use the same spatial grid but a larger temporal window (3 months × 3 years) to reduce the sampling biases and random noise. For quality control of the climatology, we show data only where the NO\textsubscript{2} VMR standard error of the mean < 50% for NO\textsubscript{2} VMR > 20 pptv or NO\textsubscript{2} VMR standard error of the mean < 10 pptv for NO\textsubscript{2} VMR ≤ 20 pptv. With these criteria, there are some areas with no OMI-derived NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs. These are mainly areas with little variability in cloud pressure or regions covered with ice/snow. A similar approach is used to obtain gridded values of the stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} column.

Appendix B

Additional case studies of OMI and INTEX-B comparisons

We show additional comparisons in which OMI and INTEX-B NO\textsubscript{2} VMR display poor agreement. These discrepancies are presumably caused by small-scale spatial and temporal variations in NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs, different cloud conditions that might alter the NO\textsubscript{2} photochemistry, and/or poor collocations. Figure B1 shows a case with discrepancies likely due to the differences in the locations, times, and the spatial scales of the measurements. The DC-8 profile was taken over a small area near Houston.
in the morning (∼8:35 am LT), while the OMI pixel collection covers a large area over Louisiana in the afternoon (∼1:35 pm LT) on the same day; thus the OMI and DC-8 measurements were taken in adjacent locations with a ∼5 hour time gap. The DC-8 NO$_2$ profile (second column) appears to be affected by local pollution in the 600-800 hPa range. In contrast, OMI retrieves a low NO$_2$ VMR over a wide area that includes less populated regions. OMI and INTEX-B VMRs show a significant difference of ∼50 pptv in this case.

Figure B2 shows an example of small scale spatial variations in NO$_2$ profiles as seen by the aircraft measurements. The second column of Fig. B2 shows two DC-8 NO$_2$ profiles that were taken on the same day at nearby locations. The first column shows the two corresponding OMI pixel collections closest to the DC-8 profiles. In order to differentiate the two cases, the first row uses dark blue for the DC-8 profile and light blue for OMI pixels, and the second row uses red for the DC-8 profile and pink for OMI pixels. Since the two DC-8 profiles encompass many of the same OMI pixels, the shared pixels are marked with purple on the map (top right). Although the two DC-8 profiles are within a close proximity in both time and space, the averaged NO$_2$ VMRs differ by about 20 pptv, perhaps due to a transported pollution plume. However, since the OMI pixel collections corresponding the two DC-8 profiles share many OMI pixels, this gives similar NO$_2$ OMI VMRs for the two corresponding DC-8 profiles. As a result, OMI and INTEX-B profiles differ by ∼30 pptv in the first row case, while the difference is smaller in the second row case, about ∼15 pptv.

Variability of OMI NO$_2$ VMRs can also cause discrepancies between OMI and INTEX-B VMRs. This variability may be due to actual variability in the NO$_2$ profile over the course of a day and/or errors in the OMI measurements. Figure B3 shows a case of OMI cloud slicing VMR variation between orbits for one DC-8 NO$_2$ profile. The first and second panels of Fig. B3 show two OMI pixel collections taken from two adjacent orbits on the same day. They correspond to one DC-8 profile taken over the Pacific north of Hawaii. Even though the OMI pixel collections cover a similar area and time, the resulting NO$_2$ VMRs differ by ∼30 pptv. This variability may be due to a small scale feature such as a transported pollution plume, altered photochemistry due to the different solar illuminations or cloud conditions, and/or measurement uncertainties in the OMI data, although the differences appear to be outside the expected OMI uncertainties.

Appendix C

Auxiliary data to interpret cloud slicing NO2 VMR

Here, we show auxiliary data that is helpful for quality assurance and interpretation of the NO$_2$ VMR climatology. The first row of Fig. C1 shows the gridded numbers of OMI pixel collections that are used to derive the seasonal free tropospheric NO$_2$ climatology. The maps show a sufficiently large
number of collections (> 60) for many areas of interest. Large numbers of collections are available over the frontal storm track regions of the North Atlantic, North Pacific and Southern ocean as well as the intertropical convergence zone (ITCZ). In addition, there are large numbers of orbits at high latitudes (> 60°), because these regions can have more than one overpass (orbit) per day. However, some relatively cloud free areas (e.g., the Sahara) as well as oceanic regions, in areas of subsidence with little cloud pressure variability, have smaller numbers of collections (<20).

The second row of Fig. C1 shows the weighted root mean square (RMS) of 95% confidence intervals of NO$_2$ VMRs. As discussed above, the confidence interval is a measure of the fitting uncertainty for single NO$_2$ VMRs derived from individual pixel collections, i.e. a large RMS of the confidence interval means a large uncertainty in the individually fitted NO$_2$ VMRs. There are two types of regions that have large uncertainties: (1) Regions with low numbers of OMI orbits, i.e. small amounts clouds or low cloud pressure variability; (2) Areas where NO$_2$ VMRs are high, e.g., major metropolitan areas. In these regions, we may expect larger variability in the NO$_2$ VCDs within a single collection.

The third row of Fig. C1 shows maps of standard deviations of the gridded climatological NO$_2$ VMRs. This is a measure of how much the individually fitted NO$_2$ VMRs vary in each grid box. Similar to the confidence interval, the standard deviations are large in areas of high NO$_2$ VMRs (major urban areas and continental plumes) and areas with small clouds amounts and/or small cloud variability (deserts and oceans near 20°N latitude). In addition, high standard deviations are present near ~60°S in Sep.-Nov., possibly owing to stratospheric variability and/or larger errors at high solar zenith angles.

The fourth row of Fig. C1 shows maps of the standard error of the mean for the gridded NO$_2$ VMR climatology (i.e., the standard deviation divided by square root of the number of measurements). The standard errors provide an estimate of uncertainty for the spatial and temporal variations shown in the climatology (in the absence of a constant bias). We use this quantity for quality control as described in Sect. 4.2.

The fifth row of Fig. C1 shows maps of the OMCLDRR cloud scene pressure for the gridded NO$_2$ VMR climatology. Owing to significant light penetration inside clouds, the lowest mean cloud pressures are around 450 hPa, well below the typical cloud top pressures. The cloud pressures also vary with season.

Figure C2 shows seasonal mean GMI free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMRs for all-sky conditions. While the maps of all-sky VMR show similar patterns as compared with those of cloudy conditions, all-sky NO$_2$ VMRs are generally lower over urban regions and higher over oceans than cloudy NO$_2$ VMRs.

Figure C3 shows tropospheric column NO$_2$ from OMI (upper row) and GMI (bottom row). OMI and GMI tropospheric columns NO$_2$ agree very well, showing higher columns in winter and lower columns in summer over major urban areas. This seasonal variation is also shown in the OMI climatology of free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMR as presented in Sect. 4.2.1.
Appendix D

OMCLDO2 sample results

While we used OMCLDRR cloud parameters for analysis in the main text, here we show results obtained when using cloud parameters from the OMCLDO2 product. Similar to Fig. 3, Figure D1 shows a scattergram of INTEX-B and OMI cloud slicing NO$_2$ VMRs, but using OMCLDO2 cloud data. As above for OMCLDRR, the left panel shows results from all available matchups between INTEX-B and OMI, and the middle panel shows matchups where the standard error of the mean of INTEX-B measurement < 5 pptv. We note that the number of matchups is different for the OMCLDRR and OMCLDO2 results. Since OMCLDRR and OMCLDO2 report slightly different cloud scene pressures for the same OMI pixel, differences in the cloud data results in different quality control decisions, and this produces the different numbers of successful collocations. Similarly, the reported INTEX-B VMRs used in the scattergram can change with the cloud pressure data set as the INTEX-B VMRs are sampled over the appropriate range of OMI-derived cloud pressures.

The RMS differences between INTEX-B and OMI NO$_2$ VMRs using both cloud products are similar in magnitude. OMCLDO2 results have a slightly lower correlation with INTEX-B if we exclude INTEX-B measurement with large standard errors (> 5 pptv).

Similar to the two upper rows of Fig. 4, Figure D2 shows global maps of the free tropospheric NO$_2$ climatology obtained with OMCLDO2 cloud parameters. OMCLDO2 NO$_2$ VMRs (first row) overall have slightly lower magnitudes as compared with OMCLDRR results. The spatial and temporal patterns of OMCLDO2 NO$_2$ VMR over densely populated regions as well as the continental outflow patterns are similar to those from OMCLDRR. NO$_2$ VMRs in areas that are thought be affected by lightning, however, display some differences. In OMCLDRR results, lightning-generated NO$_2$ appears to be present extensively during summer in the both hemispheres. In OMCLDO2 results, we can see an indication of lightning-generated NO$_2$ in the SH in Dec.–Feb. While we see possible lightning NO$_2$ signatures with OMCLDO2 over the Gulf of Mexico, the north equatorial Atlantic, and India, there is not a significant lightning NO$_2$ feature in the low latitudes of the NH Pacific in Jun.–Aug. as was shown in OMCLDRR results. The reasons for these differences are not well understood. Joiner et al. (2010) showed that there is a high frequency of multi-layer clouds in the NH Pacific. The two cloud algorithms may behave differently in these complex conditions as Raman scattering has a linear response with cloud pressure, while oxygen dimer absorption has a pressure-squared dependence.
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Fig. 1. Schematic view of the cloud slicing technique (not to scale): (a) two above-cloud NO$_2$ column measurements at different cloud scene pressures (blue: column with lower scene pressure; and red: column with higher scene pressure); (b) the measurements shown on a pressure-column coordinate plane; (c) NO$_2$ VMR derived from the slope of above-cloud NO$_2$ VCD versus cloud scene pressure with confidence interval (horizontal error bar) and pressure range (vertical error bar); (d) stratospheric column NO$_2$ derived by extrapolating the linear fit to the tropopause.
Fig. 2. Examples of relatively good agreement between OMI cloud slicing VMRs and INTEX-B NO$_2$ profiles near Houston, Texas, US (top row) and the northeastern Pacific (bottom row). In each example, left: OMI above-cloud NO$_2$ column versus cloud scene pressure (similar to Fig. 1b); center: INTEX-B NO$_2$ profiles (dark blue line), INTEX-B NO$_2$ VMR averaged over the OMI pressure range (dark blue square with error bars), and OMI-derived NO$_2$ VMR (light blue square with error bars); right: locations of OMI and INTEX-B aircraft measurements.

Fig. 3. Scattergram of INTEX-B and OMI cloud slicing NO$_2$ VMRs; Left: all available collocations of INTEX-B and OMI NO$_2$ VMR; Middle: collocations where the INTEX-B standard error of the mean < 5 pptv; Right: locations of the profiles. Red shows cases where the INTEX-B standard error of the mean > 5pptv.
**Fig. 4.** For Jun-Aug (left column) and Dec-Feb (right column) averages over 2005-2007; First row: Climatology of free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMR; Second row: cloudy (\(\tau > 10\)) GMI free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMR; Third row: GMI lightning contribution to the free tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} VMRs.

**Fig. 5.** Left: sampling areas for profiles over East Asia (blue) and its outflow region (purple); right: NO\textsubscript{2} profiles over East Asia (blue) and its outflow region (purple) with standard errors in summer for 2005–2007.
Fig. 6. Left: sampling areas for profiles over tropics of NH (blue) and SH (purple); center: NO$_2$ profiles over NH tropics for Jun-Aug (blue solid line) and Dec-Feb (blue dotted line) with standard errors; right: NO$_2$ profiles over SH tropics for Jun-Aug (purple solid line) and Dec-Feb (purple dotted line) for 2005–2007.

Fig. 7. Seasonal, zonal mean of various estimates of stratospheric column NO$_2$ averaged over 2005–2007.
**Fig. A1.** Example of calculating a climatological free tropospheric NO$_2$ VMR for a grid box that encompasses New York City; Left: May 2005; Right: January 2007; Lines show daily (orange) and monthly mean (red) GMI NO$_2$ profiles. Grey horizontal lines show the pressure threshold above which the NO$_2$ vertical gradient is $< 0.33$ pptv/hPa.

**Fig. B1.** Similar to Fig. 2, but showing a case with a discrepancy between satellite and aircraft measurements, possibly due to poor collocation, with INTEX-B measurements near Houston, Texas, US and OMI measurements over Louisiana, US.
Fig. B2. Similar to Fig. B1, showing another example over the northeastern Pacific with a discrepancy between satellite and aircraft data apparently due to small-scale spatial variations in the INTEX-B NO$_2$ profiles.

Fig. B3. Similar to Fig. 2, but showing an example of variation in OMI NO$_2$ VMRs over two adjacent orbits (1.5 hour time difference) at the same location north of Hawaii, US.
Fig. C1. Left: Jun-Aug and Right: Dec-Feb averages over 2005-2007: First row: Number of OMI overpasses used to derive NO$_2$ VMR climatology; Second row: 95% confidence interval of NO$_2$ VMRs; Third row: Standard deviation of NO$_2$ VMRs; Fourth row: Standard error of the mean of NO$_2$ VMRs; Fifth row: mean OMCLDRR cloud scene pressures used to compute the NO$_2$ VMR climatology.
Fig. C2. GMI all-sky free tropospheric NO$_2$ for Jun-Aug (left) and Dec-Feb (right) averages over 2005-2007.

Fig. C3. For Jun-Aug (left column) and Dec-Feb (right column) averages over 2005-2007; Top: OMI tropospheric column NO$_2$; Bottom: GMI tropospheric column NO$_2$. 
Fig. D1. Similar to Fig. 3 but using OMCLDO2 data.

Fig. D2. For Jun.-Aug. (left) and Dec.-Feb. (right) averaged over 2005-2007. Top: Global maps of NO$_2$ VMR calculated using OMCLDO2 cloud parameters; Bottom: Mean cloud scene pressures from OMCLDO2.
| Individual pixel | Cloud radiance fraction ($f_r$) | > 0.9 |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
|                  | UV aerosol index                 | < 1.0 |
|                  | Solar zenith angle (SZA)         | < 80° |
|                  | Snow and ice flag                | = 0 (not affected by snow/ice) |
| Pixel collection | Number of OMI Pixels             | > 30  |
|                  | Range of cloud effective scene pressure ($P_{\text{scene}}$) | > 200 hPa |
|                  | Standard deviation of cloud effective scene pressure ($P_{\text{scene}}$) | > 35 hPa |
|                  | Gradient of NO$_2$ VMR over pressure (dVMR/dP)* | < 0.33 pptv / hPa |

*Obtained from INTEX-B or GMI profiles