Research Article

Comparison of the Antioxidant Activities and Phenolic Content of Five Lonicera Flowers by HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH and Chemometrics
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The Lonicera plants (family Caprifoliaceae) with strong antioxidant activity are used as potential health-supporting phytochemicals. Studying the detailed relationships between bioactive compounds and their antioxidant activity is important for further comprehensive development and application of them. In this paper, the antioxidant capacities and compositions of five species of Lonicera flowers were investigated by using the online HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH method. Results indicated that the samples contained higher amounts of phenols had better antioxidant activity. Furthermore, principal component analysis and linear regression were further used to analyze the correlations between antioxidant capacity and compounds and find the compounds having higher contribution to antioxidant activity. 5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylshikimic acid, methyl-5-O-caffeoylquinic, 1,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and 3,4,5-tri-O-caffeoylquinic acid were screened as stronger antioxidant candidates. In this study, HPLC-DAD/MS and antioxidant activity methods were combined together to analyze the compounds’ information and activity assays of Lonicera, which might provide more evidence for its quality control.

1. Introduction

Epidemiological studies strongly showed antioxidant protection effects against various diseases or illness relevant to oxidative stress, such as aging, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, stoke, diabetes, Alzheimer’s disease, hypertension, and inflammation [1–5]. Various antioxidants from natural products are excellent candidates, e.g., phenolic acids, tannins, lignans, and flavonoids) [6–10]. Thus, searching antioxidants from natural products has attracted a lot of attention.

Lonicera is one of the most crucial genera in the Caprifoliaceae family [11–13]. In China, there are several Lonicera species which have been used as herbal to treat headache, pharyngodynia, acute fever, respiratory infection, epidemic disease, and pyocutaneous disease [14–18] for centuries. Recently, a lot of studies have disclosed the existence of phenolic acids, flavonoids, saponins, and iridoids in Lonicera species [19–23]. In the Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2015), four species, including L. hypoglauca, L. confusa, L. fulvotomentosa and L. macranthoides, were recorded as Flos Lonicerae (Shanyinhua in Chinese). Antioxidant activity was the shared pharmacological activity of Lonicera species.

Some studies were proposed to compare or discover these antioxidants, namely Li et al., found that Lonicera macranthoides presented better antioxidant activities than Lonicera japonicae [24]. However, there is a lack of systematic investigations on the correlations between bioactive components and antioxidant capacity of Flos Lonicerae. Moreover, the antioxidative capacities of different varieties of Flos Lonicerae were usually affected by the contents of
antioxidant compounds and their oxidation resistances. Analysis of antioxidant capacities of different varieties of Flos Lonicerae cannot be performed accurately by any single antioxidant compound. Accordingly, the content and oxidation resistance of different antioxidant components should be considered together to comprehensively evaluate the antioxidant activities of Flos Lonicerae.

Hyphenated techniques are needed for the analysis of these antioxidants from complex samples. "HPLC-DPPH method" is one of these kinds of hyphenated techniques and had been widely applied to identify antioxidants in a complex matrix, such as herbs and natural products [25–30]. In the current study, an online HPLC technique coupled with MS" and the DPPH-HPLC method was used to screen and characterize the antioxidants in Flos Lonicerae. Firstly, the antioxidant activities of five Lonicera flowers were estimated. Then, a DPPH-HPLC assay was used to screen the active compounds, and LC-MS/MS was further used to identify the active compounds. Furthermore, the correlations between bioactive compounds and their antioxidant capacity were also constructed by using a linear regression model.

2. Experimental

2.1. Apparatus. Agilent 6530 Accurate-Mass Q-TOF-LC/MS system coupled with a Quat-Pump was utilized in this study. An InertSustain-C18 (5-micron, 4.6 × 250 mm) column was used to separate the peaks in samples. The mobile phases A (0.1% formic acid in water) and B (acetonitrile) were programmed as follows: 0–5 min, 5% B; 5–10 min, 5%~23% B; 10~15 min, 23% B; 15–20 min, 23%~36% B; 20–25 min, 36% B; 25~35 min, 36%~50% B; 45–55 min, 50%~70% B; 55–60 min, 70%~72% B. The injection volume was 10 μL, and the flow rate was 1 mL/min and column temperature was 28°C. The LC-MS system was controlled by an Agilent ChemStation to record total ion chromatograms and mass spectra, with following conditions: dry gas: N2, 8.0 L/min, 322°C; sheath gas: N2, 11 L/min, 322°C; fragmentor voltage, 180 V; capillary voltage, 3500 V).

2.2. Reagents. DPPH was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Analytical grade ethanol, methanol, and formic acid were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (China). The chromatographic grade of acetonitrile was purchased from Merk (Germany). Ultra-pure water was purified and filtered by a Milli-Q water system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

2.3. Preparation of Lonicera. Thirty batches of flower buds of Lonicera species (6 batches of each species) were collected from cultivated markets in China. The species of all samples were authenticated by Prof. Zhao-ming Xie (Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Hunan Academy of Chinese Medicine, Changsha, Hunan). All samples were powdered and screened through 80 mesh sieves. 3.0 g prepared powder of each sample was accurately weighed, mixed with 30 mL of 80% ethanol, and then extracted by ultrasonic extraction for 40 min. After adjustment to the initial weight by ethanol, the supernatant was collected and filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane filter before using for HPLC analysis.

2.4. DPPH Assay for Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity. Analysis of antioxidant activity was followed by a previous method with brief improvement [31]. The DPPH solution was used as the control. The ability to scavenge DPPH was calculated according to the following equation: \( \frac{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}})}{A_{\text{control}}} \times 100\% \). IC50 (50% inhibition) was calculated based on the graph plotting inhibition percentage. The above experiment results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), parallel tested three times.

2.5. HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH Assay. Prepared samples’ extract (300 μL) was mixed with methanol to 1.5 mL and then reacted with DPPH (300 μL, 30 mg/mL) at 38°C for 40 min. Then, each sample was passed through a 0.22 μm filter before HPLC-DAD/MS analysis. Sample 1.5 mL without DPPH was used as the control. MS data were acquired across the range m/z 50–1000 in negative ion modes with an acquisition rate of 1.02 spectra/s, by the Agilent 6530 Accurate-Mass QTOF/MS system with an ESI interface.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. Averaged result of three replicates for each sample was used for the subsequent statistical analysis. The principal component analysis (PCA) method was used to transform the original measured variables into new uncorrelated variables (principal components) and to display the relationship among samples or different species. Also, the linear regression model was proposed by using the software Matlab.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Antioxidant Activity of Five Lonicera Flowers. The antioxidant activities of these five species are shown in Table 1. The species L. macranthoides showed excellent capacity to scavenge the DPPH radical with the IC50 value of 235.27 μg/ml (Table 1). The results indicated that L. macranthoides flowers have strong antioxidant activity. Therefore, HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH was further applied to screen and characterize contained antioxidants.

3.2. Screening and Analyzing Antioxidants by HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH. HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH was a rapid method and can screen antioxidants from complex mixtures without complicated sample pretreatment. It was believed that the peak areas of these antioxidants in the HPLC chromatogram will be decreased if they react with DPPH. Figure 1 shows the results of Lonicera japonica before and after reaction with DPPH. It can be found that the peak areas of compounds 1 to compound 15 were obviously decreased after reacting with the DPPH solution. Therefore, these compounds (compound 1 to compound 15) were considered to have antioxidant properties.

Then, both positive and negative ion modes were determined to obtain the appropriate ionization of these compounds. Peak identification was assigned primarily by
means of the fragment ions and corresponding MS² fragment ions. The collision energy for MS² experiments was optimized in the range from 15 to 60 eV, and the best results for structure identification were obtained at 35 eV.

Caffeoylquinic acids were reported as main metabolites in *Lonicera* species in previous studies, and their accurate identification was a very difficult task due to their similar regional and geometrical isomerism. The distinct MS/MS fragmentation pathways and elution order could be used to assist in the structure identification (Table 2). Four compounds (1–4) were pairs of isomers with molecular weight at *m/z* value of about 353.08 (C₁₆H₁₈O₉). By analysis of the MS/MS spectra and comparison with standards, 1, 2 and 3 were confirmed as 3-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid. Then, we speculated that compound 4 could be cis-4-O-caffeoylquinic acid. It has been reported that caffeoylquinic acid derivatives underwent trans-cis isomerization after being exposed to UV, and cis caffeoylquinic acids had been found in the natural resource. Then, we detected 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid with UV light at 254 nm for 65 min, using a similar approach and by comparison with standards, compounds 11–15 were assigned as 3,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4,5-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 1,4-di-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and 3,4,5-tri-O-caffeoylquinic acid, respectively. Thus, combination of HPLC-DAD/MS techniques with DPPH-HPLC to detect antioxidant compounds has the advantages of that chromatography as the separation method, an ESI-MS/MS as the identification method, and a DPPH assay as the activity evaluation method.

### Table 1: Antioxidant activities of five *Lonicera* flowers in the DPPH assay.

| Samples                             | DPPH (IC₅₀, μg/ml) |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| *Lonicera macranthoides* Hand.-Mazz. | 235.27 ± 1.21*    |
| *Lonicera fulvotomentosa* Hsu et S. C. Cheng | 284.87 ± 1.05* |
| *Lonicera japonica* Thunb.          | 464.48 ± 1.32     |
| *Lonicera hypoglauca* Miq.          | 580.96 ± 0.95     |
| *Lonicera confusa* (Sweet) DC.      | 905.23 ± 1.02     |

Each value is mean ± SD (n = 3).

#### 3.3. Principal Component Analysis of Compound Content and Antioxidant Activity.

PCA could convert variables into a few comprehensive principal components to exhibit the relationships of data. The peak areas of these 16 compounds selected by HPLC-DAD/MS and DPPH-HPLC were imputed to PCA for data analysis. The first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) were found and accounted for approximately 81.7% of total variances, indicating that PC1 and PC2 contained most information of all variables. Generally, the score plot could discriminate differences in samples as similar or nonsimilar. As shown in Figure 2, *L. japonica*, *L. macranthoides*, *L. fulvotomentosa*, *L. confusa*, and *L. hypoglauca* could be clearly discriminated from each other. The loading values for these compounds could be used to estimate the importance or contributions to the classification. As can be seen from Figure 2, five compounds, com 2, 3, 8, 14, and 15, had the higher loading values in the classification of different species.

Furthermore, a linear regression model was carried out to identify relationships between these five compounds and the samples' antioxidant activity in Flos *Lonicerae*. In the linear regression model establish process, the peak areas of these five selected compounds were used as the independent variables (x), and the IC₅₀ values obtained by the DPPH-assay method were used as the dependent variables (y). A linear regression model was established based on the independent variables (x) and the dependent variables (y). The linear model is listed in Figure 3. Adjusted data points are computed by adding the residual to the adjusted fitted value for each observation. Two indexes, R-squared and adjusted
Table 2: ESI-MS² results of antioxidants in *Lonicera*.

| No. | tR/min | [M–H]– (m/z) | Expl. exact mass | Fragment ions (m/z) | Error (ppm) | Molecular formula | Identification                        |
|-----|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1   | 4.281  | 353.0877     |                 | 191.0559, 179.0343 | 0.53        | C16H18O9         | 3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid               |
| 2   | 15.983 | 353.0875     |                 | 191.0557           | -2.57       | C16H18O9         | 5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid               |
| 3   | 19.586 | 335.0773     | 179.0346, 161.0242, 135.0446, 317.0667 | 0.82 | C16H18O9 | 4-O-Caffeoylshikimic acid |
| 4   | 19.754 | 353.0877     | 173.0453, 179.0345, 191.0558 | 0.45 | C16H18O9 | cis-4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 5   | 19.987 | 367.1026     | 161.0244, 135.0452 | 2.37 | C17H20O9 | Methyl-4-O-cafeoylquinate |
| 6   | 21.271 | 404.3684     | 371.0243, 179.0455 | -2.15 | C17H24O11 | Secoxyloganin |
| 7   | 21.623 | 335.0775     | 179.0344, 135.0451 | 1.85 | C16H16O8 | 3-O-Caffeoylshikimic acid |
| 8   | 23.290 | 367.1026     | 179.0345, 135.0452, 191.0559, 161.0243 | -2.35 | C18H22O9 | Ethyl-4-O-cafeoylquininate |
| 9   | 27.345 | 381.1084     | 161.0243, 135.0452 | -2.17 | C18H22O9 | Ethyl-5-O-cafeoylquininate |
| 10  | 30.296 | 381.1081     | 179.0343, 135.0454, 191.0559, 161.0245 | -2.17 | C25H23O12 | 3,4-di-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 11  | 33.269 | 515.1193     | 353.0876, 173.0453, 179.0345, 191.0559 | -0.82 | C25H23O12 | 3,5-di-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 12  | 35.504 | 515.1196     | 353.0876, 191.0558, 179.0345 | 0.69 | C25H23O12 | 4,5-di-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 13  | 37.875 | 515.1195     | 353.0874, 173.0452, 191.0559, 191.0559 | -3.12 | C25H23O12 | 1,4-di-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 14  | 42.663 | 515.1197     | 353.0876, 173.0453, 191.0562, 179.0347 | -2.53 | C25H23O12 | 3,4,5-tri-O-Caffeoylquinic acid |
| 15  | 43.397 | 677.1592     | 515.1193, 353.0876 | -0.49 | C34H30O15 |                            |

Figure 2: Score and loading plot from the PCA of main antioxidant compounds.
R-squared, were calculated to estimate the linear regression model. In the current study, the peak areas of com 2, 3, 8, 14, and 15 were fitted with their antioxidant activities. The R-squared and adjusted R-squared values were 0.966 and 0.959, respectively. These results showed that these five compounds are in strong relation with their antioxidant activities.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the antioxidant assays demonstrated that all the samples exerted perfect antioxidant capacity in the following order: *Lonicera macranthoides* > *Lonicera fulvotomentosa* > *Lonicera japonica* Thunb > *Lonicera hypoglauca* Miq > *Lonicera confusa*. In addition, the results from PCA and DPPH-spiking HPLC analysis confirmed that 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-cafeoylshikimic acid, methyl-5-O-caffeoylquinate, 1,4-di-O-cafeoylquinic acid, and 3,4,5-tri-O-cafeoylquinic acid contributed to antioxidant activity. Therefore, the HPLC-DAD/MS-DPPH analysis method is expected to provide efficient, time-saving, and sensitive technology for screening and identification of radical scavengers from a complex matrix, which will benefit for the further utilization of Flos Lonicerae.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors confirm that this article content has no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

Rong-rong Zhou and Xue-hui Liu contributed equally to this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 81603400 and 81673585), Training Program for Excellent Young Innovators of Changsha (kq1802017), Key Research and Development Project of Hunan Province Science and Technology (nos. 2019XF5064 and 2019XF5062), Key Research and Development Project of Changsha Province Science and Technology (kq1901067), National Standard Project of Chinese Medicine (ZYZBH-Y-HUN-21), Scientific Research of Hunan Province of Chinese Medicine (no. 201702), Program of Survey and Monitoring of Chinese Medicines for National Drugs ([2013]135), Construction Project of Quality Monitoring System of Raw Materials of Chinese Medicine (no. [2014]76), Construction Project of Seedling Base of Rare Chinese Medicinal and Processing Technology of Chinese Medicinal Materials ([2015]78), and Program of Survey of Chinese Medicines of China ([2017]66).

References

[1] X.-L. Jiang, L. Wang, E.-J. Wang et al., “Flavonoid glycosides and alkaloids from the embryos of Nelumbo nucifera seeds and their antioxidant activity,” *Fitoterapia*, vol. 125, pp. 184–190, 2018.

[2] M. Grzesik, K. Naparlo, G. Bartosz, and I. Sadowska-Bartosz, “Antioxidant properties of catechins: comparison with other antioxidants,” *Food Chemistry*, vol. 241, pp. 480–492, 2018.
[3] M. Çol Arvaz, B. Ömür, Ö. Ertürk, and D. Kabakçı, “Phenolic profiles, antioxidant, antimicrobial, and DNA damage inhibitory activities of chestnut honeys from Black Sea region of Turkey,” Journal of Food Biochemistry, vol. 42, Article ID e12502, 2018.

[4] S. Hwang, J. K. Kim, I. H. Kim, and Y. H. Lim, “Inhibitory effect of ethanolic extract of Ramulus mori on adipogenic differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells and their antioxidant activity,” Journal of Food Biochemistry, vol. 42, Article ID e12469, 2018.

[5] T. Singh, S. C. Bhutia, M. L. Sherpa, and S. Dewan, “Correlation of cartilage metabolic markers & antioxidants with the severity of knee osteoarthritis,” The Indian Journal of Medical Research, vol. 144, p. 932, 2016.

[6] H. Parhiz, A. Roobabhakh, F. Soltani, R. Rezaee, and M. Iranshahi, “Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of the citrus flavonoids hesperidin and hesperetin: an updated review of their molecular mechanisms and experimental models,” Phytotherapy Research, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 323–331, 2015.

[7] D. L. McKay, C.-Y. O. Chen, C. A. Zampariello, and J. B. Blumberg, “Flavonoids and phenolic acids from cranberry juice are bioavailable and bioactive in healthy older adults,” Food Chemistry, vol. 168, pp. 233–240, 2015.

[8] M. Bai, S. F. Li, S. F. Liu, X. B. Wang, X. X. Huang, and S. J. Song, “Iridoid glycoside and lignans from a wild vegetable (Patrinia villosa Juss.) with antioxidant activity,” Journal of Food Biochemistry, vol. 42, Article ID e12521, 2018.

[9] M. C. Figueroa-Espinoza, A. Zafimahova, P. G. M. Alvarado, E. Dubreucq, and C. Poncet-Legrand, “Grape seed and apple tannins: emulsifying and antioxidant properties,” Journal of Food Biochemistry, vol. 71, no. 1–2, pp. 272–278, 2016.

[10] L. Ardo, G. Yin, P. Xu et al., “Chinese herbs (Astragalus membranaceus and Lonicera japonica) and boron enhance the non-specific immune response of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) and resistance against Aeromonas hydrophila,” Aquaculture, vol. 275, no. 1–4, pp. 26–33, 2008.

[11] X. Shang, H. Pan, M. Li, X. Miao, and H. Ding, “Lonicera japonica thumb.: ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry and pharmacology of an important traditional Chinese medicine,” Journal of Ethnopharmacology, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2011.

[12] L.-Y. Peng, S.-X. Mei, B. Jiang, H. Zhou, and H.-D. Sun, “Constituents from Lonicera japonica,” Fitoterapia, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 713–715, 2000.

[13] P. J. Houghton, Z. Boxu, and Z. Xisheng, “A clinical evaluation of the Chinese herbal mixture ‘Aden-I’ for treating respiratory infections,” Phytotherapy Research, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 384–386, 1993.

[14] S. J. Lee, K. H. Son, H. W. Chang, S. S. Kang, and H. P. Kim, “Antiinflammatory activity of Lonicera japonica,” Phytotherapy Research, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 445–447, 1998.

[15] X.-H. Jin, K. Ohgami, K. Shiratori et al., “Effects of blue honeysuckle (Lonicera caerulea L.) extract on lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation in vitro and in vivo,” Experimental Eye Research, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 860–867, 2006.

[16] E. J. Lee, J. S. Kim, H. P. Kim, J.-H. Lee, and S. S. Kang, “Phenolic constituents from the flower buds of Lonicera japonica and their 5-lipoxygenase inhibitory activities,” Food Chemistry, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 134–139, 2010.

[17] W. J. Kwak, C. K. Han, H. W. Chang, H. P. Kim, S. S. Kang, and K. H. Son, “Loniceroside C, an anti-inflammatory saponin from Lonicera japonica,” Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 333–335, 2003.

[18] J. Yong, X. Wu, and C. Lu, “Chemical constituents isolated from the fruits of Lonicera maackii,” Chemical of Natural Compounds, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 765–766, 2014.

[19] Y. Chen, X. Feng, X. Jia, M. Wang, J. Liang, and Y. Dong, “Triterpene glycosides from Lonicera. Isolation and structural determination of seven glycosides from flower buds of Lonicera macranthoides,” Chemistry of Natural Compounds, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 2008.

[20] Y. Peng, F. Liu, and J. Ye, “Determination of phenolic acids and flavones inLonicera japonica thumb. by capillary electrophoresis with electrochemical detection,” Electroanalysis, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 356–362, 2005.

[21] Y. Song, S.-L. Li, M.-H. Wu, H.-J. Li, and P. Li, “Qualitative and quantitative analysis of iridoid glycosides in the flower buds of Lonicera species by capillary high performance liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometer detection,” Analytica Chimica Acta, vol. 564, no. 2, pp. 211–218, 2006.

[22] I. Souzu and H. Mitsusashi, “Structures of iridoids from lonicera morrowii a. gray,” Tetrahedron Letters, vol. 10, no. 32, pp. 2725–2728, 1969.

[23] N. Dung, V. Bajpai, A. Rahman, J. Yoon, and S. Kang, “Phenolic contents, antioxidant and tyrosinase inhibitory activities of Lonicera japonica Thumb,” Journal of Food Biochemistry, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 148–160, 2010.

[24] X. Dai, Q. Huang, B. Zhou, Z. Gong, Z. Liu, and S. Shi, “Preparative isolation and purification of seven main antioxidant from Eucommia ulmoides Oliv. (Du-Zhong) leaves using HSCCC guided by DPPH–HPLC experiment,” Food Chemistry, vol. 139, no. 1–4, pp. 563–570, 2013.

[25] E. Riethmüller, A Könoczöl, D. Szakal, K. Végh, G. T. Balogh, and Á Kéry, “HPLC–DPPH screening method for evaluation of antioxidant compounds in Corylus species,” Natural Product Communications, vol. 11, no. 5, p. 1934578X1601100, 2016.

[26] J. Dang, L. Zhang, Y. Shao et al., “Preparative isolation of antioxidative compounds from Dracoxeppulum heterophyllum using off-line two–dimensional reversed-phase liquid chromatography/hydrophlic interaction chromatography guided by on-line HPLC–DPPH assay,” Journal of Chromatography B, vol. 1095, pp. 267–274, 2018.

[27] V. Pedan, N. Fischer, K. Bernath, T. Hünn, and S. Rohn, “Determination of oligomeric proanthocyanidins and their antioxidant capacity from different chocolate manufacturing stages using the NP–HPLC–online-DPPH methodology,” Food Chemistry, vol. 214, pp. 523–532, 2017.

[28] M. Liu, X. Li, Q. Liu, S. Xie, F. Zhu, and X. Chen, “Preparative isolation and purification of 12 main antioxidants from the roots of Polygonum multiflorum Thumb using high-speed countercurrent chromatography and preparative HPLC guided by 1,1′-diphenyl-2-picyrylhydrazyl–HPLC,” Journal of Separation Science.

[29] W. Wang, L. Jiao, Y. Tao et al., “On-line HPLC–DPPH bioactivity-guided assay for isolated of antioxidative phenylpropanoids from Qinghai–Tibet Plateau medicinal plant Lancea tibetica,” Journal of Chromatography B, vol. 1106–1107, pp. 1–10, 2019.

[30] P. Wang, J.-H. Yu, K. Zhu et al., “Phenolic bisabolane sesquiterpenoids from a Thai mangrove endophytic fungus, Aspergillus sp. xy02,” Fitoterapia, vol. 127, pp. 322–327, 2018.