The Borel/Novikov conjectures and stable diffeomorphisms of 4-manifolds

James F. Davis
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington, IN 47401
USA
jfdavis@indiana.edu

Abstract. Two 4-manifolds are stably diffeomorphic if they become diffeomorphic after connected sum with $S^2 \times S^2$'s. This paper shows that two closed, orientable, homotopy equivalent, smooth 4-manifolds are stably diffeomorphic provided a certain map from the second homology of the fundamental group with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$ to the $L$-theory of the group is injective. This injectivity is implied by the Borel/Novikov conjecture for torsion-free groups, which is known for many groups. There are also results concerning the homotopy invariance of the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant. The method of proof is to use Poincare duality in Spin bordism to translate between Wall’s classical surgery and Kreck’s modified surgery.

1 Introduction

Two smooth 4-dimensional manifolds $M$ and $N$ are stably diffeomorphic if for some non-negative integers $r$ and $s$, the connected sum $M \# r(S^2 \times S^2)$ is diffeomorphic to $N \# s(S^2 \times S^2)$. This sort of stabilization plays a fundamental role in 4-dimensional topology, see, for example [27], [3]. This paper examines the extent to which homotopy equivalent, smooth manifolds are stably diffeomorphic. In this paper we make the following two conjectures, relate them to standard conjectures in manifold theory, and thereby prove the following two conjectures for large classes of fundamental groups.

Conjecture 1.1 If $M$ and $N$ are closed, orientable, smooth 4-manifolds which are homotopy equivalent and have torsion-free fundamental group, then they are stably diffeomorphic.
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**Conjecture 1.2** If $M$ and $N$ are closed, orientable, topological 4-manifolds which are homotopy equivalent, have torsion-free fundamental group, and have the same Kirby-Siebenmann invariant, then they are stably homeomorphic.

In the simply-connected case the validity of Conjecture 1.1 is well-known by the work of Wall [27], who showed that homotopy equivalent, smooth, simply-connected 4-manifolds are h-cobordant, and that h-cobordant, smooth, simply-connected manifolds are stably diffeomorphic. Using gauge theory, Donaldson [7] showed that they need not be diffeomorphic. In the simply-connected case, Conjecture 1.2 follows from the work of Freedman [10], with the stronger conclusion that the manifolds are actually homeomorphic. (The Kirby-Siebenmann invariant of a topological 4-manifold $M$ is a class $ks(M) \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ which vanishes if and only if $M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a smooth structure.)

The study of the stable diffeomorphism type of 4-manifolds divides into two cases, depending on whether the universal cover does or does not admit a Spin structure. The case where the universal cover does not admit a Spin structure is much easier to analyze. The following theorem (proved in Section 2) follows easily from results of Kreck.

**Theorem 1.3** (Kreck) Let $M$ and $N$ be closed, homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds whose universal covers do not admit a Spin structure.

1. Suppose $M$ and $N$ are smooth manifolds. Then $M$ and $N$ are stably diffeomorphic if and only if there is a homotopy equivalence $h : M \to N$ so that $w_1 M = h^* w_1 N$.
2. Suppose $M$ and $N$ are topological manifolds. Then $M$ and $N$ are stably homeomorphic if and only if they have same Kirby-Siebenmann invariants and if there is a homotopy equivalence $h : M \to N$ so that $w_1 M = h^* w_1 N$.

Note that, in particular, that any two homotopy equivalent, closed, orientable smooth 4-manifolds whose universal covers have a non-trivial second Stiefel-Whitney class are stably diffeomorphic. Note also that when the universal cover is not Spin, Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 hold for any fundamental group.

The case where the universal covers are Spin is much more subtle. Teichner in his thesis [23, Example 8.2.4] constructed an example of two closed, orientable, homotopy equivalent, smooth 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group which are not stably diffeomorphic.

We put the question of whether two homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds are stably diffeomorphic in the context of surgery theory. There is a map $\kappa_2 : H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to L_4(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$, which appears in the surgery classification of high-dimensional manifolds. (Here $L = L^h$, and refers to the Witt group of quadratic forms on free $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-modules.) As we shall see, this map is conjectured to be injective for all torsion-free groups $\pi$, and has been shown to be injective in many cases, including those listed in the corollary below. In this note we give an alternate description of $\kappa_2$ and show:

**Theorem 1.4** If $\kappa_2 : H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to L_4(\mathbb{Z}\pi)$ is injective for a group $\pi$, and if $M$ and $N$ are closed, orientable, homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds with fundamental group isomorphic to $\pi$, then

1. If $M$ and $N$ are smooth manifolds, then they are stably diffeomorphic.
2. If $M$ and $N$ are topological manifolds with the same Kirby-Siebenmann invariant, then they are stably homeomorphic.
3. If $M$ and $N$ are smooth manifolds with zero second Stiefel-Whitney classes, then for some choice of Spin structure and identification of their fundamental groups with $\pi$, they are equal in $\Omega^\mathrm{Spin}_4(B\pi)$.

4. If $M$ and $N$ are topological manifolds with zero second Stiefel-Whitney classes, then for some choice of Spin structure and identification of their fundamental groups with $\pi$, they are equal in $\Omega^\mathrm{TopSpin}_4(B\pi)$.

5. If $M$ and $N$ are topological manifolds so that the second Stiefel-Whitney classes of their universal covers vanish, then $\kappa_2(M) = \kappa_2(N)$.

Our theorem holds even when the group is not torsion-free, but for finite groups $\kappa_2$ need not be injective (see [11, Prop. 7.4]). All these results are well-known in the simply-connected case, for example, part 5 is clear, since the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant for Spin manifolds is given by the signature divided by 8 considered modulo 2. We conjecture that the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant is a homotopy invariant for closed, orientable 4-manifolds with torsion-free fundamental group whose universal cover is Spin, but this is false in general for manifolds with finite fundamental group (see e.g. [23, 8.2.2] or Example 3.15 of this paper). The techniques of our paper should also apply to non-orientable 4-manifolds; however we do not study them here.

Theorem 1.4 is the main result of this paper. Its proof involves a comparison of C. T. C. Wall’s surgery program for studying homotopy equivalences with M. Kreck’s surgery program for studying stable diffeomorphisms. We point out the ingredients of the proof. Theorem 2.1 states Kreck’s classification of 4-manifolds up to stable diffeomorphism in terms of bordism. Theorems 3.10 and 3.12 translate from surgery theory to bordism. Corollary 3.11 applies the characteristic class formulae 3.6 to $\kappa_2$ to complete the proof.

We now switch to a discussion of the Borel/Novikov conjectures and their relationships with $\kappa_2$. Corollary 1.5 will apply the work of other mathematicians on the Borel/Novikov conjectures to Theorem 1.4 to give a proof of the stable diffeomorphism conjectures in many cases.

A space is aspherical if its universal cover is contractible. Let $M$ be a compact aspherical $n$-manifold.

**Borel Conjecture for $M$:** Any homotopy equivalence from an $n$-dimensional manifold to $M$ which is a homeomorphism on the boundary is homotopic relative to the boundary to a homeomorphism.

Equivalently the surgery structure set $S(M \text{ rel } \partial M)$ is trivial. Reinterpreting this in terms of the surgery exact sequence leads to:

**Strong Borel Conjecture for a torsion-free group $\pi$:** The assembly map $H_*(\pi; \mathbb{L} \mathbb{Z}) \to L_*(\mathbb{Z} \pi)$ is an isomorphism.

A more modest conjecture is that this is an isomorphism when the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\pi$ is finite-dimensional. Knowing the Borel conjecture for $M$, $M \times D^1$, $M \times D^2$, and $M \times D^3$, implies the strong Borel conjecture for $\pi_1 M$, as well as the vanishing of the Whitehead group $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$. Conversely, given a compact aspherical manifold $M$ of dimension greater than four, the vanishing of the Whitehead group $\text{Wh}(\pi_1 M)$ and the strong Borel conjecture for $\pi_1 M$ implies the Borel conjecture for $M \times D^i$, all $i$. Farrell-Jones [9] have proven the Borel conjecture for closed manifolds with non-negative sectional curvature and their product with disks, provided the total dimension is greater than 4, and hence the
strong Borel conjecture is known for the fundamental groups of such manifolds. In addition the strong Borel conjecture can be proven for some groups built from the above groups using amalgamated products and HNN extensions, provided an extra condition called square-root closed is satisfied (see Cappell [3]) and provided results of Waldhausen [26] apply to show the Whitehead group is zero. The injectivity part of the strong Borel conjecture is often known as the integral Novikov conjecture; the original Novikov conjecture is equivalent to that statement that for all groups $\pi$, the assembly map is a rational injection. The integral Novikov conjecture is known for $\pi$ when $B\pi$ is a finite complex and $E\pi$ admits a certain type of compactification by Carlsson-Pedersen [5]. (For example, this holds true when $\pi$ is a negatively-curved group in the sense of Gromov or when $\pi$ is a discrete, torsion-free, co-compact subgroup of a virtually connected Lie group.) There is a version of the above for non-orientable manifolds or equivalently for groups equipped with an orientation character $w : \pi \to \{\pm 1\}$, but the reader is advised to consider only the orientable case $w = 1$ on a first reading. The study of Borel/Novikov conjectures is a rapidly advancing area of mathematics. For background the reader can consult reports to three international congresses [8], [19], [12] and references given there.

The $i$-th homotopy group of the simply-connected $L$-spectrum $L(\mathbb{Z})$ is zero for $i$ odd, $\mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 4k$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $i = 4k + 2$. There is a natural splitting of $L(\mathbb{Z})$ as a wedge of Eilenberg-MacLane spectra [22], and this gives an injection $H_2(B\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H_4(B\pi; L(\mathbb{Z}))$ and the composite with the assembly map is called $\kappa_2$. Thus $\kappa_2$ is conjecturally injective for all torsion-free groups and is injective whenever the integral Novikov conjecture holds.

**Corollary 1.5** If $\pi$ is

1. the fundamental group of a closed Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature everywhere less than or equal to zero, or
2. a discrete, torsion-free subgroup of a virtually connected Lie group $G$ so that $\pi \backslash G/K$ is compact where $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G$, or
3. a negatively curved group in the sense of Gromov,

then $\kappa_2$ is injective, and hence for closed, orientable, homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds with fundamental group isomorphic to $\pi$, the stable diffeomorphism conjectures 1-5 of Theorem 1.4 hold true.

It would be interesting to give a purely algebraic definition of $\kappa_2$. It would be more interesting to give four-dimensional proofs of the stable diffeomorphism conjectures, even in known cases, as this would shed light on the Borel/Novikov conjectures.

I would like to thank my colleagues at Mainz: Fang Fuquan, Matthias Kreck, and Wolfgang Lück, the former for many discussions and the latter two for suggesting that I investigate the relationship between the Borel/Novikov conjectures and four-dimensional topology. I would also like to thank Qayum Khan for pointing out a subtlety in the proof of Proposition 3.6.

### 2 Stable diffeomorphism of 4-manifolds

M. Kreck [15] showed that stable diffeomorphism is a bordism question, and P. Teichner [23], [24] studied this question in dimension 4. We review this theory and use it to motivate our key invariant for homotopy equivalent 4-manifolds, the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant.
Let \( \xi : B \to BO \) be a fibration. Let \( \Omega_*(\xi) \) be bordism classes of smooth
manifolds equipped with a lift of the stable normal bundle \( \nu \) to \( \xi \). (For details see [20] or [21].) Elements of \( \Omega_*(\xi) \) are represented by pairs \((M, \tilde{\nu} : M \to B)\), where \( \xi \circ \tilde{\nu} = \nu : M \to BO \).

If \( \tilde{\nu} : M \to B \) is a \( k \)-equivalence (i.e. \( \pi_i M \to \pi_i B \) is an isomorphism for \( i < k \)
and a surjection for \( i = k \)), then \((M, \tilde{\nu})\) is called a normal \((k-1)\)-smoothing in \( \xi \).

Two normal \((k-1)\)-smoothings \((M, \tilde{\nu})\) and \((M', \tilde{\nu}')\) in \( \xi \) are diffeomorphic if there
is a diffeomorphism \( f : M \to M' \) so that \( \tilde{\nu} \) and \( \tilde{\nu}' \circ f \) are homotopic. Let \( NSt_{2n}(\xi) \)
be stable diffeomorphism classes of \( 2n \)-dimensional normal \((n-1)\)-smoothings in \( \xi \).

The following theorem is a consequence of Section 4 of [15].

**Theorem 2.1** (Kreck) Let \( \xi : B \to BO \) be a fibration where the \( n \)-skeleton of
\( B \) has the homotopy type of a connected finite complex. For \( n \geq 2 \), the following map is a bijection
\[
NSt_{2n}(\xi) \to \Omega_{2n}(\xi).
\]

For a fibration \( \xi : B \to BTOP \) with \( B \) as above and \( n \geq 2 \), the following is a bijection:
\[
NSt_{2n}^{TOP}(\xi) \to \Omega_{2n}^{TOP}(\xi).
\]

It is possible for the same manifold to represent two different elements of these
sets by composing one representation with an automorphism of \( \xi \). Let \( \text{Aut}(\xi) \) be the
group of fiber homotopy equivalences of \( \xi \); elements are given by homotopy
equivalences \( f : B \to B \) where \( \xi \circ f = \xi \). \( \text{Aut}(\xi) \) acts on \( NSt_{2n}(\xi) \) and \( \Omega_{2n}(\xi) \) by
\[
f(M, \tilde{\nu}) = (M, f \circ \tilde{\nu}).
\]

**Definition 2.2** If \( \xi : B \to BO \) is \( k \)-coconnected (i.e. i.e. \( \pi_i B \to \pi_i BO \) is an
isomorphism for \( i > k \) and a injection for \( i = k \)), then \( \xi \) is \((k-1)\)-universal. If
\( \tilde{\nu} : M \to B \) is a normal \((k-1)\)-smoothing in \( \xi \) where \( \xi \) is \((k-1)\)-universal, then
\( \xi : B \to BO \) is the normal \((k-1)\)-type of \( M \).

Obstruction theory and the Moore-Postnikov factorization show that the normal
\((k-1)\)-type of \( M \) exists and is unique up to fiber homotopy equivalence. Thus
if \( \xi \) is \((n-1)\)-universal, \( n \geq 2 \) there is a bijection between stably diffeomorphism
classes of manifolds with normal \((n-1)\)-type \( \xi \) and \( \Omega_{2n}(\xi)/\text{Aut}(\xi) \).

For stable diffeomorphism classes of 4-manifolds there are basically two cases:
\( w_2(M) \neq 0 \) and \( w_2(M) = 0 \). The first case is quite simple; the following lemma
implies that in this case, homotopy equivalence implies stable diffeomorphism, even
for manifolds with torsion in the fundamental group.

We assume all manifolds in this paper are connected. If \( M \) is a closed manifold
\( n \)-manifold, then a fundamental class \([M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)\) is a choice of generator
for this infinite cyclic group, where \( \mathbb{Z}^w \) denotes the \( \mathbb{Z}\pi_1 M \)-module given by the
integers twisted by the orientation character \( w = w_1 M : \pi_1 M \to \{\pm 1\} \). The
following lemma is a easy consequence of Theorem 2.1 (cf. [23]).

**Theorem 2.3** Two closed, smooth, orientable (resp. non-orientable) 4-manifolds
\( M \) and \( N \) whose universal covers are not Spin are stably diffeomorphic iff there
are fundamental classes \([M]\) and \([N]\) and an isomorphism \( f : \pi_1 M \to \pi_1 N \) so that
\( w_1 M = w_1 N \circ f \), \( f_*[M] = [N] \), and \( \text{sign} M = \text{sign} N \) (resp. \( \chi(M) = \chi(N) \)

---

1Throughout this paper there are parallel theories for smooth manifolds and topological
manifolds, with \( BO \) replaced by \( BTOP \). In our theorems we will state both cases, but in
the definitions and proofs we will only deal with the smooth case unless the topological case involves
a substantive difference.
mod 2). Two closed, topological, orientable (resp. non-orientable) 4-manifolds M and N whose universal covers are not Spin are stably homeomorphic iff there are fundamental classes [M] and [N] and an isomorphism f : π₁M → π₁N so that w₁M = w₁N o f, f_*[M] = [N], sign M = sign N (resp. χ(M) ≡ χ(N) mod 2), and ks(M) = ks(N).

**Proof** Of the four cases, we will only write the proof for the simplest (smooth and orientable) and the hardest (topological and non-orientable). In the smooth and orientable case the normal 1-type of M is given by ξ : BSO × Bπ → BO, where ξ is the projection on the first factor followed by the double cover. Thus

\[ \Omega_*(\xi) = \Omega^SO_*(B\pi) \]

The A-H-S-S (= Atiyah-Hirzebruch Spectral Sequence) gives

\[ H_p(B\pi; \Omega^SO_q) \Rightarrow \Omega^SO_{p+q}(B\pi) \]

Since \( \Omega^SO_q = \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z} \) for \( q = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we see \( \Omega^SO_4(B\pi) = \Omega^SO_4 \oplus H_4(\pi) \) and the result follows.

In the topological, non-orientable case, the normal 1-type of M is given by \( \xi : BSTOP × B\pi → BTOP \), where ξ classifies the product of the universal bundle γ over BSTOP with the orientation line bundle ζ over Bπ. We write

\[ \Omega^STOPTOP_*(B\pi; w) = \Omega_*(\xi) \]

This is isomorphic to \( \Omega^STOPTOP_*(D(\gamma), S(\xi)) \), where the isomorphism is given by pulling back the line bundle and the inverse map by taking the transverse inverse image of the zero section under a representative map \( W → D(\gamma) \).

There is an A-H-S-S \( H_p(B\pi; (\Omega^STOPTOP_w)w) \Rightarrow \Omega^STOPTOP_{p+q}(B\pi; w) \). Now \( \Omega^STOPTOP_q = \mathbb{Z}, 0, 0, 0, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \) for \( q = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \), with the extra class given by the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant [13]. One deduces

\[ \Omega^STOPTOP_4(B\pi; w) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus H_4(B\pi; \mathbb{Z}w) \]

with the invariants given by χ mod 2, ks, and the fundamental class. The result follows.

The more interesting case is when the universal cover is Spin. If M itself is Spin, then the normal 1-type of M is \( \xi : BSpin × B\pi → BO \) and hence \( \Omega_*(\xi) = \Omega^{Spin}_*(B\pi) \). Thus two Spin 4-manifolds with fundamental group isomorphic to \( \pi \) are stably diffeomorphic if for some choice of orientations, Spin structures, and identification of the fundamental group, they represent the same element in \( \Omega^{Spin}_*(B\pi) \). There is an A-H-S-S \( H_p(B\pi; \Omega^{Spin}_q) \Rightarrow \Omega^{Spin}_{p+q}(B\pi) \) and \( \Omega^{Spin}_q = \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2, 0, \mathbb{Z} \) for \( q = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \).

Teichner [24] constructs the “James spectral sequence” to say that much the same is true when one only has \( w_2(\tilde{M}) = 0 \). The sequence

\[ 0 → H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) → H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) → H^2(\tilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \]

is exact and we let \( w_i ∈ H^i(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2), i = 1, 2 \) denotes the elements which maps to \( w_i(M) \). The normal 1-type of M is given as the homotopy pullback

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \rightarrow & B\pi \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \ w_1 \times w_2 \\
BO & \xrightarrow{w_1(\gamma) \times w_2(\gamma)} & K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) \times K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 2)
\end{array}
\]

(Homotopy pullback means convert the bottom and right hand maps to fibrations and take the ordinary pullback.) Denote the left hand map by \( ξ(Βπ, w_1, w_2) \) and the upper left hand space by \( B(Bπ, w_1, w_2) \). Use analogous notation when \( Bπ \) is replaced by an arbitrary space X.
We call this element the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant and prefer to identify it with the codimension 2 Kervaire invariant equivalence. Although we could give a direct proof that this is well-defined, we will show that if \( f : M \rightarrow N \) is a homotopy equivalence between closed 4-manifolds whose universal covers have zero second Stiefel-Whitney classes, one can choose lifts \( \tilde{\nu}_M, \tilde{\nu}_N \) ("Spin structures") so that \( (M, \tilde{\nu}_M) \) \( - \) \( (N, \tilde{\nu}_N) \) lies in \( F_{2,2} \) and that the corresponding element in \( H_2(B\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2)/(d_2, d_3) \) is independent of the choice of lifts. We call this element the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant of the homotopy equivalence. Although we could give a direct proof that this is well-defined, we prefer to identify it with the codimension 2 Kervaire invariant coming from surgery theory. We do so in the next section.

There is a special case where the James spectral sequence can be replaced by a more familiar spectral sequence (cf. [24, p. 53]).

**Lemma 2.5** Let \( \eta : X \rightarrow BO(k) \).

1. The bundle \( \xi(X, w_1(\eta), w_2(\eta)) \) can be identified with
   \[
   \xi : BSpin \times X \rightarrow BO,
   \]
   classifying the product of the universal Spin bundle over \( BSpin \) and the bundle \( \eta \).
2. \( \Omega_n(\xi) \) is given by bordism of closed smooth manifolds \( (M^n, f : M^n \rightarrow X) \) together with a Spin structure on \( \nu_M \oplus f^*\eta \).
3. \( \Omega^{Spin}_n(D(\eta), S(\eta)) \cong \Omega_n(\xi) \). The map from left to right is given by taking the transverse inverse image of the zero section. The map from right to left is given by taking the pullback \( f^*(D(\eta), S(\eta)) \).
4. There is an isomorphism of the A-H-S-S of the pair with the James spectral sequence of \( \Omega_*(\xi) \) from \( E^2 \) on. The map on \( E^2 \) is the Thom isomorphism.

3 Surgery

**Definition 3.1** [25, 2] A degree one normal map is a map \( f : M \rightarrow N \) between closed manifolds equipped with fundamental classes so that \( f_*[M] = [N] \), together with a bundle \( \xi \) over \( N \) and a stable trivialization of \( \tau_M \oplus f^*\xi \).

**Example 3.2** If \( M \) is a closed, oriented \( n \)-manifold with a framing of the stable normal bundle, let \( f : M \rightarrow S^n \) be a degree one map and \( \xi \) the trivial bundle.

**Example 3.3** Let \( f : M \rightarrow N \) be homotopy equivalence of closed manifolds, together with a fundamental class for \( M \). Choose a homotopy inverse \( g \) of \( f \) and let \( \xi \) be \( g^*\nu_M \). Then a homotopy from \( g \circ f \) to the identity gives an isomorphism of \( f^*\xi \) with \( \nu_M \), and hence a framing of \( \tau_M \oplus f^*\xi \).

**Definition 3.4** (See [17, Theorem 2.23], also [2, Section II.4] for a more homotopy theoretic approach.) If \( f \) is a degree one normal map, let \( \lambda \) be the stable vector bundle \( \nu_N - \xi \), and perturb the map \( f \) from \( M \) to the zero section to an embedding \( M \rightarrow E(\lambda) \). The stable trivialization leads to an extension to a codimension zero embedding \( M \times D^k \rightarrow E(\lambda) \) and by the Pontryagin-Thom construction to a map \( T(\lambda) \rightarrow \Sigma^k M_+ \), where \( \Sigma^k M_+ \) denote the k-fold reduced suspension of the disjoint union of \( M \) and a base point. There is thus a fiber homotopy equivalence \( S(\lambda \oplus 1) \rightarrow N \times S^k \) where the map to the first factor is given by projection to \( N \) and the map to the second by mapping to the Thom space, composing with the
Pontryagin-Thom map, followed by collapsing $M$ to a point. A fiber homotopy equivalence is classified by a map to $G/O = \text{homotopy fiber}(BO \to BG)$ where $G$ is the monoid of self-homotopy equivalences of the sphere and $BG$ classifies spherical fibrations. The homotopy class of the classifying map in $[N, G/O]$ is the normal invariant of $f$.

If two degree one normal maps to $N$ have the same normal invariant, then the maps are normally bordant. Geometrically, this means that the two bundles over $N$ are stably isomorphic and that one can do surgery to get from one map to the other. In the above two examples, there is a well-defined normal bordism class, independent of choices. Note that $G/O$ and $G/TOP$ are connected, simply-connected and have $\pi_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. This uses the fact that the homotopy groups of $G$ are the stable homotopy groups of spheres $[17]$ Corollary 3.8. For a closed 2-manifold $N$, not necessarily orientable, the bijection $[N, G/TOP] \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ is called the Kervaire invariant. A homotopy theoretic definition is given in [2] Section III.4, a geometric one in [28].

**Definition 3.5** If $f : M \to N$ is a degree one normal map, the codimension 2 Kervaire invariant $\text{kervaire}^2(f) \in H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the first obstruction to the normal invariant being null-homotopic. Geometrically this corresponds to the cohomology class given by representing a homology class by a 2-dimensional submanifold $P$ of $N$, making $f$ transverse to $P$ and assigning to $[P] \in H_2(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ the classical Kervaire invariant of the 2-dimensional normal map $f^{-1}(P) \to P$.

The fundamental theorem of surgery theory [28] states that there are 4-periodic abelian groups $L_n(\mathbb{Z}; w)$, natural in $(\pi; w)$, and a function $\theta : [N, G/O] \to L_n(\mathbb{Z}; w)$ so that for $n > 4$, $(n = \text{dimension } N, w = w_1(N))$, $\theta(f) = 0$ if and only if $f$ is normally bordant to a homotopy equivalence. The analogous thing is true in the topological category by [13].

**Proposition 3.6** Let $\pi$ be a group with orientation character $w$. There are homomorphisms

$$I_0 : H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \to L_4(\mathbb{Z}^\pi; w)$$
$$\kappa_2 : H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to L_4(\mathbb{Z}^\pi; w)$$

so that for any degree one normal map $f : M \to N$ between closed, connected 4-manifolds with classifying map $\hat{f} : N \to G/TOP$, and map $F : N \to B\pi$ so that $w \circ F_\pi$ is the orientation character of $N$, then one has the following characteristic class formulae:

$$I_0((\text{sign } M - \text{sign } N)/8) + \kappa_2(F_\pi(\text{kervaire}^2(f) \cap [N])) = \theta(\hat{f}) \quad (w = 1)$$
$$I_0(\text{ks}(M) - \text{ks}(N) + \text{kervaire}^2(f)^2) + \kappa_2(F_\pi(\text{kervaire}^2(f) \cap [N])) = \theta(\hat{f}) \quad (w \neq 1)$$

where we have identified $H_0(\pi; Z)$ with $Z$ and when $w \neq 1$ we have identified $H^4(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$.

**Proof** By allowing surgeries in both the domain and range, Sullivan-Wall [28 13B.3] show that the surgery obstruction map factors through a homomorphism

$$\theta : \Omega^{\infty}_{\ast \text{TOP}}(B\pi \times G/TOP, B\pi \times pt; w) \to L_\ast(\mathbb{Z}^\pi; w).$$

For $\ast = 4$, the A-H-S-S identifies the domain of this map with

$$H_4(B\pi \times G/TOP, B\pi \times pt; \mathbb{Z}^w),$$
with the identification given by the image of the fundamental class. The fourth stage of the Postnikov tower for $G/TOP$ is $K(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 2) \times K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$ (see [13] p. 329).

Recall $H_{4}(K(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 2); \mathbb{Z}_{2}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. We thus have a splitting of the domain of $\theta$ into

$$H_{0}(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^{w}) \oplus H_{0}(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \oplus H_{2}(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_{2})$$

and this defines maps $I_{0}$, $J_{0}$, and $\kappa_{2}$ so that $\theta = I_{0} \oplus J_{0} \oplus \kappa_{2}$.

The generators of the low-dimensional cohomology of $G/TOP$ are given by $k \in H^{2}(G/TOP; \mathbb{Z}_{2})$ and $l \in H^{4}(G/TOP; \mathbb{Z})$. For a degree one normal map $f : M \to N$ between oriented 4-manifolds with classifying map $\hat{f}$, one has

$$\hat{f}^{*}(k) = \text{kervaire}^{2}(f)$$

$$\hat{f}^{*}(l) = ((\text{sign } M - \text{sign } N)/8)\mu_{N}$$

where $\mu_{N} \in H^{4}N$ is dual to the fundamental class. It follows that

$$\theta(\hat{f}) = I_{0}((\text{sign } M - \text{sign } N)/8) + J_{0}(\text{kervaire}^{2}(f)^{2}) + \kappa_{2}(F_{*}(\text{kervaire}^{2}(f) \cap [N]))$$

where we have identified $H_{0}(\pi)$ with $\mathbb{Z}$ and have identified $H_{0}(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2} = H^{4}(N; \mathbb{Z}_{2})$.

There is a topological manifold $Ch^{4}$ homotopy equivalent, but not homeomorphic to $CP^{2}$ (see [10]). The corresponding homotopy equivalence $h$ has non-trivial normal invariant, hence non-trivial codimension 2 Kervaire invariant. The above formula then shows that $J_{0}(\text{kervaire}^{2}(h)^{2}) = 0$. Hence, by naturality in $(\pi; w)$, the homomorphism $J_{0}$ is always zero.

If $f : M \to N$ is a degree one normal map between 4-manifolds with $N$ non-orientable, then by [13] p. 329], one has

$$\hat{f}^{*}(k) = \text{kervaire}^{2}(f)$$

$$\hat{f}^{*}(l) = \text{kervaire}^{2}(f)^{2} + (\text{ks } M - \text{ks } N)$$

after identifying $H^{4}(N; \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. The characteristic class formula follows.

\[\square\]

**Remark 3.7** This proposition is a special case of the characteristic class formulae of [22], although the proof is considerably simpler in dimension 4. The $|E_{8}|$-manifold shows that in the simply-connected case that $I_{0}$ is an isomorphism. In general $I_{0}(1)$ is the image of the $E_{8}$-quadratic form. Since $1 \to \pi$, is a split injection of groups, in the oriented case the map $I_{0}$ is always injective. In the non-oriented case this need not be the case. (See [25] for geometric applications.)

We wish to identify the codimension 2 Kervaire invariant with the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant. In doing so we will show that the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant is well-defined and draw the connection between stable diffeomorphism and $\kappa_{2}$ and thereby prove our theorem. The problem is that one invariant lies in a cohomology theory and and the other lies in a homology theory, so we are led naturally to duality. Recall that for any generalized homology theory there is a generalized cohomology theory [29] and that if a manifold is orientable with respect to that theory there is a Poincaré duality between them. For bordism and cobordism there is a geometric interpretation [11, 6]. For a compact, Spin manifold $N$ of dimension $n$, there is a duality $\Omega^{\text{Spin}}_{k}(N, \partial N) \cong \Omega^{n-k}_{\text{Spin}}(N)$. Geometrically this goes as follows: if $k < n/2$, represent an element of Spin bordism by an embedding
(V, ∂V) → (N, ∂N). Since V and N both have Spin structures there is a Spin structure on the normal bundle of V in N. The Pontryagin-Thom construction gives a map N → T(ν\subset N) → T(γ_{n-k}), and hence a map N → MSpin(n-k). If k is large, we suspend by embedding V in N × ℝ^p, and the Pontryagin-Thom construction gives a map Σ^pN_+ → MSpin(n−k+p), which gives a representative of Spin cobordism. The inverse map is given by restricting a map Σ^pN_+ → MSpin(n−k+p) to N × ℝ^p, making this transverse to the zero section BSpin(n−k+p), and letting V be the inverse image.

**Lemma 3.8** If N is a compact Spin manifold of dimension n, then the A-H-S-S’s for Ω^* Spin(N) and Ω^* Spin(N, ∂N) are dual in the sense that ∩[N]: (E_{p,q}^r, d_r) → (E_{n−p−q}^{r−1}, d_r) is an isomorphism for all r ≥ 2, and the induced map on E_∞ corresponds to Spin bordism Poincaré duality.

**Proof** Poincaré duality can be factored as the composite of a Thom isomorphism map and a Spanier-Whitehead duality isomorphism. (See [21] and [2].) The Thom isomorphism goes from the cohomology of the Thom space of the normal bundle ν to the reduced cohomology of the Thom space of the normal bundle ν of N and S-duality maps further to the reduced homology of N/∂N. This works equally well for Spin bordism and ordinary homology. The Thom classes and fundamental classes correspond under the natural transformation MSpin → H(ℤ).

By choosing the cell structure on T(ν) corresponding to that of N, one can even guarantee that Ω^k Spin(N) → Ω^{k+n} Spin(T(ν)) induces an isomorphism on the A-H-S-S’s from E_1 on, which on E_2 is given by cupping with the Thom class. Next suppose X is a finite complex and we have a S-duality map μ: X ∧ X* → S^L. By restriction we have a map X^i ∧ (X*)^k−i → S^L and hence a map Ω^k Spin(X^i) → Ω^k Spin((X*)^(L−i−1)). Since S-duality is well-behaved with respect to cofibrations (see, for example, [21] 14.31), it follows that there are maps from the Spin cobordism exact sequence of the pair (X^i, X^(i−1)) to the Spin bordism exact sequence of the pair ((X*)^(L−i), (X*)^(L−i−1)). This gives a map of A-H-S-S’s for Ω^k Spin(X) and Ω^k Spin(X*) from E_1 on, which is the S-duality isomorphism both at the E_2 level and the Spin bordism level. Since T(ν)(= X) and N/∂N are S-dual, this completes the proof.

We omit the proof of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.9** Let a : Σ^{−p−q}X_+ → MSpin be a stable, base point preserving map which is trivial on the (−q − 1)-skeleton. Then the image of a in E_{p,q}^∞ equals the image of the first obstruction to null-homotopy θ(a) ∈ H^p(X; Ω^q Spin). Here we consider E_{∞}^{p,q} as both a subquotient of Ω^{p+q} Spin(X) and of H^p(X; Ω^q Spin).

If two maps are normally bordant, then their domains are stably diffeomorphic by [16] or [15] Section 4. This leads one to expect that the obstruction to two maps being normally bordant should determine whether the domains are stably diffeomorphic. The precise relationship is given by the following theorem which identifies the dimension 2 Spin-Kervaire invariant with the image of the codimension 2 Kervaire invariant. Technically speaking, it is the main result of this paper. For simplicity we first consider the Spin case, and later briefly indicate the changes necessary for non-Spin manifolds.
Theorem 3.10 Let \( f : M \rightarrow N \) be a degree one normal map between closed, smooth 4-manifolds which both have the same signature and both admit Spin structures. Given any Spin structure \( \tilde{\nu}_M : M \rightarrow B\text{Spin} \) there exists a unique Spin structure \( \tilde{\nu}_N : N \rightarrow B\text{Spin} \) so that \( \alpha = [(M, \tilde{\nu}_M), f] - [(N, \tilde{\nu}_N), Id] \) is in the filtration subgroup \( F_{2,2}(\Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(N)) = \text{Im}(\Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(N(2)) \rightarrow \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(N)) \) where \( N(2) \) is the 2-skeleton of \( N \). For any such choice of Spin structure, \( \alpha \) maps to \( \text{kervaire}^2(f) \cap [N] \) in \( E_2^{02} = H_2(N; \Omega_2^{\text{Spin}}) \).

An analogous statement is true in the topological category.

Corollary 3.11 If \( \kappa_2 : H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow L_4(\mathbb{Z}_2) \) is injective and \( M \) and \( N \) are closed, homotopy equivalent, smooth (resp. topological) 4-manifolds which admit Spin structures and have fundamental groups isomorphic to \( \pi \), then there exist Spin structures \( \tilde{\nu}_M \) and \( \tilde{\nu}_N \), and maps \( F_M : M \rightarrow B\pi \), \( F_N : N \rightarrow B\pi \) which induce isomorphisms on the fundamental group, so that \( [(M, \tilde{\nu}_M), F_M] = [(N, \tilde{\nu}_N), F_N] \in \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(B\pi) \) (resp. \( \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(B\pi) \)). Hence \( M \) and \( N \) are stably diffeomorphic (resp. stably homeomorphic).

Proof of Corollary Let \( f : M \rightarrow N \) be a homotopy equivalence. First choose Spin structures \( \tilde{\nu}_M \) and \( \tilde{\nu}_N \) specified by the above theorem. Then choose any map \( F_N : N \rightarrow B\pi \) inducing an isomorphism on the fundamental group and let \( F_M = F_N \circ f \). Since we may assume the map \( F_N \) is cellular, the above theorem shows

\[ \alpha = [(M, \tilde{\nu}_M), F_M] - [(N, \tilde{\nu}_N), F_N] \in F_{2,2}(\Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(B\pi)). \]

Since \( \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(pt) = 0 \) there is a split exact sequence

\[ 0 \rightarrow \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}}(pt) \rightarrow F_{2,2} \rightarrow E_2^{02} = H_2(B\pi; \Omega_2^{\text{Spin}}) / \langle \text{im } d_2, \text{im } d_3 \rangle \rightarrow 0. \]

For a homotopy equivalence \( f : M \rightarrow N \), the surgery obstruction \( \theta(f) \) vanishes. By the characteristic class formula (Proposition 3.6) and the injectivity of \( \kappa_2 \), one has \( F_{N*}(\text{kervaire}^2(f) \cap [N]) = 0 \). Hence by the previous theorem, \( \alpha \) maps to zero in \( E_2^{02} \), and hence is detected by \( \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}} \). Since \( M \) and \( N \) have the same signature and since \( \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}} \) and \( \Omega_4^{\text{Spin}} \) are both detected by signature (see [13, p. 325]) we are done.

Proof of Theorem Let \( \hat{f} \in [N, G/O] \) be the classifying map for the degree one normal map \( f \). We first lift \( \hat{f} \) to \( \hat{\alpha} \in [N, G] \), and then modify \( \hat{\alpha} \) so that it becomes trivial on the 1-skeleton \( N^{(1)} \). Let \( \xi \) be the bundle over \( N \) given as part of the degree one normal map structure. Since \( f \) is a degree one normal map with sign \( N = \text{sign } M \), it is easy to check that \( w_2(\xi) = w_2(\nu_N) \) and \( p_1(\xi) = p_1(\nu_N) \), and hence \( \xi \) and \( \nu_N \) are isomorphic bundles over the 4-manifold \( N \). Thus we may assume our map \( \hat{f} \) classifies a fiber homotopy equivalence \( N \times S^k \rightarrow N \times S^k \) which gives a map \( \hat{\alpha} : N \rightarrow G_{k+1} \subset G \). By possibly multiplying by a matrix of determinant \(-1\), we can assume that \( \hat{\alpha} \) lands in the orientation preserving component \( SG \).

Let \( b \in H^1(N; \pi_1G) \) be the first obstruction to null-homotopy. There is a map \( \phi : \mathbb{R}P^\infty \rightarrow SO \) inducing an isomorphism on \( \pi_1 \). Here \( \phi([v]) = R_v \circ R_w \) where \( R_w \) is reflection through the line \( \mathbb{R}w \) and \( * = (1, 0, \ldots, 0) \). Thus by representing \( b \) by a map to \( \mathbb{R}P^\infty \), one can find an element \( B \in [N, SO] \) whose first obstruction to null-homotopy is \( b \) (using the identification \( \pi_1O = \pi_1G \)). Replacing the lift \( \hat{\alpha} \) by \( \hat{\alpha} - B \) we get our lift \( \tilde{\alpha} \in [N, G] \) of \( \hat{f} \) so that \( \tilde{\alpha}(N^{(1)}) = * \). Now \( \tilde{\alpha} \) lands in the
orientation-preserving component \(SG_k\) and the first obstruction to null-homotopy is kervaire\(^2(f)\) in \(H^2(N; \pi_2 G)\).

To a map \(g : N \to SG_k\) assign the map \(\Gamma(g) : \Sigma^k N_+ \to S^k\) given by

\[
\Gamma(g) = ((Ad g) \vee (pr_1 \circ \nu_\Sigma)) \circ \mu_\Sigma
\]

where \(\mu_\Sigma\) is the comultiplication given by the first suspension coordinate \(\Sigma^k N_+, \nu_\Sigma\) is the coinverse, \(pr_1\) is given by collapsing \(N\) to a point and \((Ad g)(t, u) = g(u)t\).

(\text{In other words, } \Gamma(g) = Ad g - pr_1.) This gives a well-defined transformation \(\Gamma : [N, SG] \to [N, S^k]\) where \(S^k\) is the sphere spectrum. If \(g(N^{(1)}) = *,\) then using the coinverse, \(\Gamma(g)\) admits a canonical homotopy to a map trivial on \(\Sigma^k N^{(1)}\).

We claim that the first obstruction for \(g\) being null-homotopic equals that of \(\Gamma(g)\) in \(H^2(N; \pi_2 G) = H^2(N; \pi_2 \Sigma^0)\). This follows from the identification of \([S^2, SG_k]\) with \([S^{k+2}, S^k]\) which goes as follows (see [17]).

\[
[S^2, SG_k] = [(S^2_+, +), (SG_k, Id)] \\
\cong [(S^2_+, +), (\Omega^1 S^k, Id)] \\
\cong [(S^2_+, +), (\Omega^1_0 S^k, *)] \\
\cong [\Sigma^k S^2_+, +), (S^k, *)] \\
= [S^{k+2}, S^k]
\]

where \(\Omega^1 S^k\) is the degree \(i\) component of \(\Omega^1 S^k,\) the first isomorphism comes from the inclusion of \(\Omega^1 S^k \to SG_k\) as basepoint preserving degree \(1\) maps, the second isomorphism by \(\mu_\Omega(- \wedge \nu_\Omega \circ (Ad Id))\) and the third isomorphism is given by the adjoint. Note that before the adjoint correspondence can be applied, the basepoint needs to be the constant loop.

There is a map \(i : \Sigma^0 \to MSpin\) given by inclusion of a fiber, which induces an isomorphism on \(\pi_i\) for \(i \leq 2\). (See [18] for information on Spin structures.) Thus the first obstruction to null-homotopy of \(i \circ \Gamma(g) : \Sigma^k N_+ \to MSpin(k)\) is still kervaire\(^2(f)\). On the other hand if \(N\) is given a Spin structure \(\nu_N\), the Poincaré dual of \(i \circ \Gamma(g)\) is given by \(\alpha = [(M, \nu_M), f] - [(N, \nu_N), Id].\) Hence by [5,8] and [49] we have identified images of \(\alpha\) and kervaire\(^2(f)\wedge [N]\) in \(E_2^\infty\).

The uniqueness of the Spin structure on \(N\) follows from the fact that a change in the Spin structure would make the Poincaré dual of \(\alpha\) in \(E_\infty^{1-1} \subset H^1(N; \Omega^{-1}_{Spin}) = H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) non-zero.

Finally, according to [23] Lemma 5.3.2, \(d_2 : E_2^2 \to E_2^3\) is the dual of \(Sq^2 : H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^4(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) which is zero since \(N\) is Spin. This shows that \(E_2^\infty = H_2(N; \Omega^2_{Spin}).\) (This last fact was occurred in the statement of Theorem 3.10 but was not used in the proof of Corollary 3.11.)

For the non-Spin case on has:

**Theorem 3.12** Let \(f : M \to N\) be a degree one normal map between closed, oriented, smooth 4-manifolds with the same signature inducing an isomorphism on fundamental group, and so that \(w_2 M\) and \(w_2 N\) are both zero. Then there are normal 1-smoothings \(\nu_M\) and \(\nu_N\) in \(\xi = \xi(N, 0, w_2(\nu_N))\) so that \(\alpha = [M, \nu_M] - [N, \nu_N]\) is in the filtration subgroup \(F_2(\Omega_4(\xi))\) of the James spectral sequence and \(\alpha\) maps to kervaire\(^2(f)\wedge [N]\) in \(E_2^\infty = H_2(N; \Omega^2_{Spin} w_2).\) An analogous statement is true in the topological category, provided that, in addition, \(ks(M) = ks(N)\).
The proof runs parallel to the Spin case up to the point where we obtain $i \circ \Gamma(g) \in [N+ \text{M} \text{Spin}]$. Next we use that $D(\nu_N)$ is canonical framed and so admits a Spin structure. We use Poincaré duality $\Omega^i_{\text{Spin}}(D(\nu_N)) \cong \Omega^i_{\text{Spin}}(D(\nu_N), S(\nu_N))$ and see that $i \circ \Gamma(g)$ maps to $[D(f^*(\nu_N))] - [D(\nu_N)]$, where both manifolds are framed and hence have Spin structures. To identify this with the element from the James spectral sequence we use Lemma 2.5.

In the topological case, there is a lemma that may be of independent interest.

**Lemma 3.13** If $\kappa_2$ is injective for $\pi$, and $f : M \to N$ is a homotopy equivalence between closed, orientable, topological 4-manifolds whose fundamental groups are isomorphic to $\pi$ and whose universal covers admit Spin structures, then $ks(M) = ks(N)$.

**Proof** Since $f$ is a degree one normal map we have

$$ks(M) - ks(N) = (\text{sign}(M) - \text{sign}(N))/8 + \text{kervair}^2(f)^2$$

(see [13, p. 328-330]). Since $f$ is a homotopy equivalence the signature term vanishes. Let $\alpha = \text{kervair}^2(f)$, let $w \in H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ map to $w_2(N)$, and let $F : N \to B\pi$ induce an isomorphism on $\pi$. Since $f$ is a homotopy equivalence and $\kappa_2$ is injective, $F_*(\alpha \cap [N]) = 0$ by Proposition 3.6. We have

$$\alpha^2 = (w_2 \cup \alpha)[N] = w_2(\alpha \cap [N]) = w(F_*(\alpha \cap [N])) = 0$$

**Corollary 3.14** If $\kappa_2$ is injective for $\pi$, and $f : M \to N$ is a homotopy equivalence between orientable, topological 4-manifolds whose universal cover is Spin and with fundamental group $\pi$, then $M$ and $N$ are stably homeomorphic.

As advertised.

**Example 3.15** Let $N$ be a closed, orientable, smooth 4-manifold with fundamental group $\mathbb{Z}_2$, non-trivial $w_2$, but so that $w_2(N) = 0$. (The existence of such an $N$ is shown by computing $\Omega_4(\xi(B\mathbb{Z}_2, 0, \neq 0))$ or is explicitly given by a quotient of a free involution on the Kummer surface.) Then there is an $\alpha \in H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ so that $\alpha^2 \neq 0$. Choose $\hat{f} \in [N, G/\text{TOP}] = H^4(N; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ corresponding to $(0, \alpha)$. Since $\kappa_2$ vanishes for $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see [11, Prop. 7.4]), there is no obstruction to surgery to a homotopy equivalence $M \to N$. Then $ks(M) = \alpha^2 \neq 0$. Thus the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant is not homotopy invariant for closed 4-manifolds whose universal cover is Spin. (Note the fundamental group in this example is not torsion-free.)

**Note:** The paper of Teichner [25], dealing with homotopy invariance of the Kirby-Siebenmann invariant for 4-manifolds, could be profitably revisited from the point of view of the homomorphism $I_0 \oplus \kappa_2$.

The survey of Kirby and Taylor [14, Theorem 22] gives quite a different approach to Theorem 1.4 of our paper. It may be interesting to compare the two approaches.
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