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Magnetic field is unstable in a medium with time-independent chiral conductivity. Owing to the chiral anomaly, the electromagnetic field and the medium exchange helicity which results in time-evolution of the chiral conductivity. Using the fastest growing momentum and helicity state of the vector potential as an ansatz, the time-evolution of the chiral conductivity and magnetic field is solved analytically. The solution for the hot and cold equations of state shows that the magnetic field does not develop an instability due to helicity conservation. Moreover, as a function of time, it develops a peak only if a significant part of the initial helicity is stored in the medium. The initial helicity determines the height and position of the peak.

I. INTRODUCTION: INSTABILITY OF MAGNETIC FIELD

A medium with chiral anomaly responds to magnetic field by generation of anomalous electric current flowing in the magnetic field direction. The strength of this response is determined by the chiral conductivity $\sigma^{\chi}$. The induced current in turn produces the magnetic field in medium. This process obeys the Maxwell equations that yield an equation for the magnetic field in a chiral medium

$$-\nabla^2 B = -\partial_t^2 B + \sigma^{\chi} \nabla \times B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Assuming that $\sigma^{\chi}$ is time-independent constant, a solution to (1) can be written as a superposition of the Chandrasekhar-Kendall states [1, 2]. In particular, for a circularly polarized plane wave with momentum $k$

$$B_{k\lambda} \sim e^{ik \cdot r} \exp \left\{ -it \lambda_1 \sqrt{k(k + \lambda \sigma^{\chi})} \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm 1$ (see e.g. [3, 4]). The state with $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda = -1$ grows exponentially with time at $k < \sigma^{\chi}$ indicating that the magnetic field is unstable in the chiral medium. This effect and its consequences have been discussed in various contexts [2–17].

The chiral conductivity is actually a function of time since energy and helicity are exchanged between the chiral medium and the magnetic field due to the chiral anomaly. It has been recently
argued in [16] that the instability of the magnetic field is curtailed by the total energy and helicity conservation. The goal of this paper is to investigate this mechanism in more detail. To this end, the vector potential is expanded into a complete set of the helicity states. The circularly polarized plane waves are used in this paper, but the same result can be obtained with any other Chandrasekhar-Kendall states as well. The vector potential is then approximated by a single fastest growing (as a function of time) state. Momentum $k_0$ of the fastest growing state is proportional to the chiral conductivity and hence is a function of time. This is different from the monochromatic approximation discussed in [4, 6], where the momentum of a monochromatic state is constant. In the present model, the rate of the state growth is determined by the anomaly equation.

In order to solve the Maxwell and the chiral anomaly equations one needs to know the equation of state of the medium that relates the chiral charge density to the axial chemical potential. We consider two equations of state (38) and (55) corresponding to hot and cold media. In each case an analytical solution is derived for the chiral conductivity and magnetic field. The details of this derivation are discussed in the subsequent sections. The results are shown in Fig. 1–Fig. 4. One can see that the vector potential increases rapidly with time. However the magnetic field develops a maximum only if at the initial time a large enough fraction of the total helicity is stored in the medium rather than in the field. Eventually, the magnetic field vanishes at later times.

II. MAXWELL EQUATIONS

Electrodynamics coupled to the topological charge carried by the gluon field is governed by the Maxwell-Chern-Simons equations [18–21]

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot E = \rho - c_A \nabla \theta \cdot B, \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \times E = -\partial_t B, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla \times B = \partial_t E + j + c_A (\theta \nabla \times E), \quad (6)$$

where $\theta$ is a pseudo-scalar field and $c_A = N_c \sum_f q_f^2 e^2 / 2\pi^2$, $N_c$ is the number of colors, $f$ is flavor index and $q_f$ is a quark electric charge in units of $e$. In a chiral medium, the time-derivative of $\theta$ can be identified with the axial chemical potential $\dot{\theta} = \mu_5$. We are going to consider an idealized case of a homogeneous medium $\nabla \theta = 0$ with vanishing charge density $\rho = 0$. In this case the anomalous current is given by

$$j_A = \sigma \chi B, \quad (7)$$
where the chiral conductivity defined as [21, 22]
\[ \sigma_{\chi} = c_A \mu_5 \]  
(8)
is a function of only time. In the radiation gauge \( \nabla \cdot A = 0 \), \( A^0 = 0 \) Eq. (6) can be written as an equation for the vector potential
\[ -\nabla^2 A = -\partial_t^2 A + j + \sigma_{\chi}(t) \nabla \times A. \]  
(9)

III. THE FASTEST GROWING STATE

We proceed by expanding the vector potential into eigenstates of the curl operator \( W_{k\lambda}(x) \), known also as the Chandrasekhar-Kendall (CK) states [1]. A particular form of these functions is not important, but its easier to deal with them in Cartesian coordinates where they are represented by the circularly polarized plane waves
\[ W_{k\lambda}(x) = \frac{e^\lambda}{\sqrt{2kV}} e^{i k \cdot x}, \]  
(10)
where \( V \) is volume and \( \lambda = \pm 1 \) corresponds to the right and left polarizations. Functions (10) satisfy the eigenvalue equation
\[ \nabla \times W_{k\lambda}(x) = \lambda k W_{k\lambda}(x) \]  
(11)
and the normalization condition
\[ \int W_{k\lambda}^*(x) \cdot W_{k'\lambda'}(x) d^3 x = \frac{1}{2k} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta_{k,k'}. \]  
(12)

Expansion of the vector potential into a complete set of the CK states reads
\[ A = \sum_{k,\lambda} [a_{k\lambda}(t) W_{k'\lambda'}(x) + a_{k'\lambda'}^*(t) W_{k\lambda}^*(x)]. \]  
(13)
The corresponding electric and magnetic fields are given by
\[ E = -\partial_t A = \sum_{k,\lambda} [-\dot{a}_{k\lambda}(t) W_{k'\lambda'}(x) - \dot{a}_{k'\lambda'}^*(t) W_{k\lambda}^*(x)], \]  
(14)\[ B = \nabla \times A = \sum_{k,\lambda} [\lambda k a_{k\lambda}(t) W_{k\lambda}(x) + \lambda k a_{k\lambda}^*(t) W_{k\lambda}^*(x)]. \]  
(15)
Substituting (13) into (9) and using the Ohm’s law \( j = \sigma E \) one gets an equation
\[ k^2 a_{k\lambda} = -\dot{a}_{k\lambda} - \sigma \dot{a}_{k\lambda} + \sigma_{\chi}(t) \lambda k a_{k\lambda}. \]  
(16)
It can be solved in the adiabatic approximation, which is adequate for analysis of the unstable states *. Namely, we are seeking a solution in the form

\[ a_{k\lambda} = e^{-i \int_0^t \omega_{k\lambda}(t') dt'} \]  

and assume that \( \omega_{k\lambda}(t) \) is a slow varying function, which allows one to neglect terms proportional to \( \dot{\omega}_{k\lambda} \). This yields

\[ \omega_{k\lambda}(t) = \left[ -\frac{i \sigma}{2} + \lambda_1 \sqrt{k^2 - \sigma \chi \lambda k - \sigma^2/4} \right], \]  

with \( \lambda_1 = \pm 1 \). States with \( \lambda_1 = 1 \) and \( k \) such that the expression under the square root is negative are unstable. A more detailed analyzes can be found in [3]. We are going to concentrate on the fastest growing state, whose momentum \( k_0 \) corresponds to the maximum of the function \(- (k^2 - \sigma \chi \lambda k - \sigma^2/4)\). Namely,

\[ k_0 = \frac{\sigma \chi \lambda}{2}. \]  

Clearly, \( \sigma \chi \lambda \) is positive in an unstable state. We assume that \( \sigma \chi > 0 \) and \( \lambda = 1 \).† At \( k = k_0 \) Eq. (18) becomes

\[ \omega_0(t) = -\frac{i \sigma}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_\chi(t)}. \]  

Thus, the fastest growing state is

\[ a_0(t) = e^{\gamma(t)/2}, \]  

with

\[ \gamma(t) = \int_0^t \left[ \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_\chi(t')} - \sigma \right] dt'. \]  

The model employed in the ensuing sections of this paper, consists in approximating the vector potential by the fastest growing mode given by (21),(22). The corresponding vector potential is

\[ A(r, t) \approx a_0(t) W_{k_0+}(r) + \text{c.c.} \]  

To verify that the ansatz Eq. (23) is indeed a solution to Eq. (9) one has to keep in mind that when taking the time-derivative of \( A \), function \( W_{k_0+} \) is treated as time-independent (even though \( k_0 \) depends on time), because its time-derivative is proportional to \( \dot{\omega}_0 \), which is neglected in the adiabatic approximation.

* The self-consistency of this approximation is confirmed in Appendix.
† If during the evolution \( \sigma \chi \) changes sign, then \( \lambda = 1 \) state stops growing while \( \lambda = -1 \) becomes the fastest growing state.
IV. ENERGY AND HELICITY

Now let us verify whether the energy conservation restricts the functional form of $\sigma_\chi(t)$. Energy stored in the electromagnetic field is

$$\mathcal{E}_{em} = \frac{1}{2} \int (E^2 + B^2) d^3x = \sum_{k,\lambda} \frac{1}{2k} (|\dot{a}_{k\lambda}|^2 + |a_{k\lambda}|^2 k^2),$$

(24)

where (14),(15),(12) were used. Similarly, magnetic helicity is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{em} = \int \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} d^3x = \sum_{k,\lambda} \lambda |a_{k\lambda}|^2,$$

(25)

while the energy loss due to Ohm’s currents reads

$$Q = \int j \cdot E d^3x = \sigma \int E^2 d^3x = \sigma \sum_{k,\lambda} \frac{1}{k} |\dot{a}_{k\lambda}|^2.$$

(26)

The energy balance equation follows from Maxwell equations. Subtracting the scalar product of (5) with $\mathbf{B}$ from the scalar product of (6) with $\mathbf{E}$ and integrating over volume yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \int (E^2 + B^2) d^3x + \int j \cdot E d^3x + \sigma_\chi(t) \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3x = 0,$$

(27)

where we neglected the surface contribution. Noting that up to a surface term

$$\partial_t \mathcal{H}_{em} = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_\chi(t) \partial_t \mathcal{H}_{em},$$

(28)

we can write (27) as

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{em} + Q - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\chi(t) \partial_t \mathcal{H}_{em} = 0.$$

(29)

In the framework of our model, i.e. using the fastest growing state (23) as an ansatz for the vector potential, one obtains

$$\mathcal{E}_{em} = \frac{1}{2\sigma_\chi} \left( \sigma_\chi^2 + \sigma^2 - \sigma \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_\chi^2} \right) e^\gamma,$$

(30)

$$\mathcal{H}_{em} = e^\gamma,$$

(31)

$$Q = \frac{\sigma}{2\sigma_\chi} \left( \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_\chi^2} - \sigma \right)^2 e^\gamma.$$  

(32)

Noting that in the adiabatic approximation $\dot{\mathcal{E}}_{em} \approx \dot{\gamma} \mathcal{E}_{em}$ it is straightforward to verify that Eqs. (30)–(32) satisfy the energy balance equation (29).

\[\text{‡} \] The gauge-dependence of magnetic helicity does not affect its time-evolution, see e.g. [23].
We emphasize that Eq. (29) is satisfied for any function $\sigma_\chi(t)$. Thus, energy conservation does not tame the instability of the magnetic field. In particular, for a constant chiral conductivity, Eqs. (30),(31),(32) diverge exponentially with time. This does not contradict the conclusions of Ref. [16], because it explicitly used the chiral anomaly equation.

V. CHIRAL ANOMALY

Time-dependence of $\sigma_\chi$ is determined by the chiral anomaly equation and the equation of state that connects the average chiral charge density $\langle n_A \rangle$ to the axial chemical potential $\mu_5$. The chiral anomaly equation reads [24, 25]

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu_A = c_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$  (33)

In a homogeneous medium $\nabla \cdot j_A = \sigma_\chi \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, so that (33) reduces to an equation for the time component of the anomalous current

$$\dot{n}_A = c_A \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$  (34)

Averaging over volume and using (28) gives

$$\partial_t \langle n_A \rangle = \frac{c_A}{V} \int \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, d^3x = -\frac{c_A}{2V} \partial_t \mathcal{H}_{em}.$$  (35)

Integrating, one obtains the total helicity conservation condition

$$\frac{2V}{c_A} \langle n_A \rangle + \mathcal{H}_{em} = \mathcal{H}_{tot},$$  (36)

where $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ is a constant.

Magnetic helicity $\mathcal{H}_{em}$ explicitly depends on $\sigma_\chi(t)$, rather than on $\langle n_A \rangle$. Therefore, in order to solve (36) one needs an equation of state of the chiral medium that connects the chiral charge density and the axial potential. It can be computed from the grand canonical potential $\Omega$ as [22]

$$\langle n_A \rangle = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \mu_5}.$$  (37)

Clearly, the equation of state depends on medium properties and, in general, is complicated even in the non-interacting approximation. It simplifies in two important limits: when temperatures $T$ and quark chemical potentials $\mu$ are much higher or much lower than the axial chemical potential $\mu_5$. We refer to these limits as the hot and cold medium respectively and consider separately in the following two sections.

---

5 Our notations generally follow [2].
VI. MAGNETIC FIELD EVOLUTION IN HOT MEDIUM

In a hot medium with $\mu, T \gg \mu_5$, the equation of state is linear \[22\]
\[
\langle n_A \rangle = \chi \mu_5, \tag{38}
\]
where the susceptibility $\chi$ depends on $\mu$ and $T$, but not on time. It follows then from (8) that
\[
\sigma_\chi(t) = \frac{c_A}{\chi} \langle n_A(t) \rangle, \tag{39}
\]
The helicity conservation (36) now reads
\[
\frac{\sigma_\chi(t)}{\alpha} = 1 - \frac{H_{\text{em}}(t)}{H_{\text{tot}}}, \tag{40}
\]
where $\alpha = H_{\text{tot}} c_A^2 / (2 V \chi)$ is a characteristic energy scale.

The vector potential in (23) is normalized such that at the initial time the magnetic helicity equals unity $H_{\text{em}}(0) = 1$. Denoting the initial value of the chiral conductivity by $\sigma_\chi(0) = \sigma_0$ one can infer from (40) that
\[
\sigma_0 = \alpha (1 - H_{\text{em}}^{-1}) < \alpha. \tag{41}
\]
The ratio $\sigma'_0 = \sigma_0 / \alpha$ determines the fraction of the total initial helicity stored in the medium. If at $t = 0 \ H_{\text{tot}} \gg 1$, then most of the initial helicity is stored in the medium, implying $\sigma'_0 \lesssim 1$. Note that $\sigma'_0$ never equals 1 for a finite positive total helicity.\footnote{In the case of negative helicity, all terms in (36) would change sign, see footnote \footnotemark.}

Substituting (31) into (40), using the definition of $\gamma$ from (22) and taking the time-derivative, one derives an equation for $\sigma_\chi$
\[
\dot{\sigma}_\chi = - \left( \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_\chi} - \sigma \right) (\alpha - \sigma_\chi). \tag{42}
\]
It is convenient to use a set of dimensionless quantities
\[
\sigma'_\chi = \sigma_\chi / \alpha, \quad \sigma' = \sigma / \alpha, \quad \tau = \alpha t, \tag{43}
\]
in terms of which Eq. (42) is cast into the form
\[
\dot{\sigma}'_\chi = - \left( \sqrt{\sigma'^2 + \sigma'^2_\chi} - \sigma' \right) (1 - \sigma'_\chi). \tag{44}
\]
In view of (41), the right-hand-side of (44) is always negative. Perforce, $\sigma_\chi$ is a monotonically decreasing function of time implying that helicity always flows from the medium to the field until all of it is stored in the field. This is in contrast to [2, 4] where the helicity can flow in both directions. How long it takes to transfer the helicity to the field depends on an equation of state as discussed in this and the following sections.

Eq. (44) can be analytically integrated and yields a transcendental equation for $\sigma_\chi'(\tau)$. It is not very illuminating though, so instead we directly plot its solution in left panel of Fig. 1.

Once the chiral conductivity is determined from (44), the vector potential can be computed using (23) and (10). Its time-dependence is given by

$$A \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_\chi(t)}} a_0(t).$$

(45)

Since $\gamma$ is an increasing function of time, $a_0$ and $A$ are also increasing functions of time, which is important for the model self-consistency. Time-dependence of $A$ is exhibited in the right panel of Fig. 1. The magnetic helicity $\mathcal{H}_{em} = a_0^2$ grows from its initial value $\mathcal{H}_{em}(0) = 1$ to the final value of $\mathcal{H}_{em}(\infty) = \mathcal{H}_{tot}$.

Unlike the vector potential, the magnetic field is not necessarily a monotonic function. Its time-dependence follows from (15) and is proportional to a product of an increasing and decreasing functions

$$B = k_0 A \propto \sqrt{\sigma_\chi(t)} a_0(t).$$

(46)
The time $t_*$ at which $B(t)$ attains its maximum satisfies $\dot{B}(t_*) = 0$ implying
\[ \left[ \dot{\gamma} + \frac{\dot{\sigma}'}{\sigma_0'} \right]_{\tau=\tau_*} = 0. \] (47)

Using (22) and (44) one derives
\[ \sigma'_x(\tau_*) = \frac{1}{2}. \] (48)

Since $\sigma'_x(\tau)$ is monotonically decreasing from its initial value $\sigma'_0$, the magnetic field has maximum only if $\sigma'_0 > 1/2$, i.e. if most of the initial helicity is in the medium. Otherwise, $B(t)$ is a monotonically decreasing function of time (despite the fact that $A$ always grows). This is shown in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2: Evolution of the magnetic field in hot medium for $\sigma' = 0$ (solid line), 1 (dotted line), 10 (dashed line). The initial condition is $\sigma'_x(0) \equiv \sigma'_0 = 0.5$, i.e. 50% of the initial helicity is in the field (left panel) and $\sigma'_0 = 0.95$, i.e. 95% of the initial helicity is in medium, (right panel).

The self-consistency of the adiabatic approximation employed in this section is verified in Appendix.

A. Insulating medium $\sigma = 0$

The chiral conductivity can be explicitly expressed as a function of $\tau$ in the case of vanishing electrical conductivity $\sigma = 0$. In this case, solution to Eq. (44) reads
\[ \sigma'_x(\tau) = \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma'_0} - 1 \right) e^{\tau}}. \] (49)

Clearly, at $\tau \ll 1$, the chiral conductivity is constant, while at $t > 1$ it exponentially decreases with time, see Fig. 1. To compute the corresponding magnetic field substitute (49) into (22), which yields
\[ \gamma(t) = \int_0^t \sigma_x(t') dt' = \tau - \ln \left[ e^{\tau} \left( 1 - \sigma'_0 \right) + \sigma'_0 \right]. \] (50)
Plugging this into (21) one derives for an insulating medium

\[ a_0(t) = \frac{e^{\tau/2}}{\sqrt{e^{\tau} (1 - \sigma'_0) + \sigma'_0}}. \]  

(51)

Since \( \dot{a}_0 > 0 \) for any \( \sigma'_0 > 0 \), magnetic helicity \( \mathcal{H}_{em} = a_0^2 \) increases from its initial value \( \mathcal{H}_{em}(0) = 1 \) to the final value of \( \mathcal{H}_{em}(\infty) = (1 - \sigma'_0)^{-1} = \mathcal{H}_{tot} \), where (41) has been used.

In view of (45), time-dependence of the vector potential is given by

\[ A \propto e^{\tau/2}. \]  

(52)

It is an exponentially growing function of time as one would expect for an unstable state. See the solid line in right panel of Fig. 1. Time-dependence of the corresponding magnetic field follows from see (15)

\[ B \propto \frac{e^{\tau/2}}{e^{\tau}(1 - \sigma'_0) + \sigma'_0}. \]  

(53)

At later times magnetic field exponentially decays even though vector potential grows exponentially. At \( \sigma'_0 \leq 1/2 \) the magnetic field is a monotonically decreasing function of time. This is seen in the left panel of Fig. 2. It has a maximum only if \( \sigma'_0 > 1/2 \), i.e. when most of the initial helicity is in the medium, in agreement with the general conclusion derived after (48). In this case the maximum of magnetic field

\[ B(t_*) \propto \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma'_0(1 - \sigma'_0)}} \]  

(54)

occurs at \( \tau_* = \ln[\sigma'_0/(1 - \sigma'_0)] \). This is seen in the right panel of Fig. 2.

As have been already mentioned, for a finite total helicity \( \sigma'_0 < 1 \), therefore the right-hand-side of (44) does not vanish and \( \sigma_X \) is never a constant. Thus the divergence of the type shown in (2) never arises. It is always tamed by the helicity conservation.

\section*{VII. MAGNETIC FIELD EVOLUTION IN COLD MEDIUM}

In the cold medium, namely \( T, \mu \ll \mu_5 \), the equation of state is [22]

\[ \mu_5^3 = 3\pi^2 \langle n_A \rangle. \]  

(55)

Together with the definition (8), this implies that

\[ \langle n_A \rangle = \frac{\sigma^3}{3\pi^2 c^3_A}. \]  

(56)
Using this in (36) yields
\[ \frac{\sigma_3^2(t)}{\beta^3} = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}_{em}(t)}{\mathcal{H}_{tot}}, \]  
where \( \beta^3 = 3\pi^2 c_A^4 \mathcal{H}_{tot}/(2V) \) is a characteristic momentum scale. This time, in place of (41) one gets
\[ \sigma_0 = \beta (1 - \mathcal{H}_{tot}^{-1})^{1/3} < \beta. \]  
Once again using (31) in (57) one derives an equation obeyed by the chiral conductivity
\[ 3\sigma_3^2 \dot{\sigma}_3 = -\left(\sqrt{\sigma_3^2 + \sigma_3^2 - \sigma}\right) (\beta^3 - \sigma_3^3). \]  
It is convenient to define dimensionless quantities \( \sigma' = \sigma_3/\beta, \sigma' = \sigma/\beta \) and \( \tau = \beta t \) and write (59) as
\[ 3\sigma_3^2 \dot{\sigma}_3 = -\left(\sqrt{\sigma'^2 + \sigma'^2 - \sigma'}\right) (1 - \sigma'^3), \]  
where the dot means the \( \tau \)-derivative. As in the previous section, solution to this equation is bulky and is not recorded here. Rather it is shown in Fig. 3. As in the hot medium, \( \sigma_3 \) is a decreasing function of time, which is also clear from (60) since its right-hand-side is negative. However, in contrast to Fig. 1, it vanishes not asymptotically at \( \tau \to \infty \), but at a finial time \( \tau_m \).

![FIG. 3: Chiral conductivity of cold medium as a function of time for \( \sigma'_0 = 0.5 \). Lines represent solution of (60) at \( \sigma' = 0 \) (solid line), 1 (dotted line), 10 (dashed line).](image)

Time dependence of the vector potential and magnetic field can be computed employing (45), (46). They imply that the vector potential diverges whereas the magnetic field vanishes at \( \tau = \tau_m \). The results for the magnetic field are shown in Fig. 4. In order that \( B(t) \) has a maximum, condition (47) must be satisfied. In the cold medium it yields
\[ \sigma'_3(t_*) = \frac{1}{2^{2/3}}. \]
Thus, if $\sigma'_0 > 1/2^{2/3}$, magnetic field has a maximum, whereas if $\sigma'_0 < 1/2^{2/3}$, it monotonically decreases. Thus, in order for the magnetic field to grow in the cold medium, more than 62% of the initial helicity must be stored in medium.

\[ B/B_0 = 1.0 \]

\[ B/B_0 = 1.5 \]

**FIG. 4:** Evolution of the magnetic field in cold medium for $\sigma' = 0$ (solid line), 1 (dotted line), 10 (dashed line). The initial condition is $\sigma'_0(0) \equiv \sigma'_0 = 0.5$, i.e. 50% of the initial helicity is in the field (left panel) and $\sigma'_0 = 0.95$, i.e. 95% of the initial helicity is in medium, (right panel).

### A. Insulating medium $\sigma = 0$

In the insulating medium, evolution of the chiral conductivity is determined by the equation

\[ 3\sigma'_\chi \dot{\sigma}'_{\chi} = -(1 - \sigma'^3_{\chi}). \quad (62) \]

Its solution in a form of a transcendental equation for $\sigma'_\chi$ reads

\[ \tau = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \sigma'^3_{\chi}}{1 + \sigma'_\chi + \sigma'^2_{\chi}} + \sqrt{3} \arctan \frac{2\sigma'_{\chi} + 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \sigma'^3_0}{1 + \sigma'_0 + \sigma'^2_0} - \sqrt{3} \arctan \frac{2\sigma'_0 + 1}{\sqrt{3}} \right). \quad (63) \]

Explicit dependence of the chiral conductivity $\sigma'_\chi$ on time is shown in Fig. 3 by the solid line. It vanishes at $\tau_m$ that follows directly from (63)

\[ \tau_m = \frac{\pi}{6\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{1 - \sigma'^3_0}{1 + \sigma'_0 + \sigma'^2_0} \right) - \sqrt{3} \arctan \frac{2\sigma'_0 + 1}{\sqrt{3}}. \quad (64) \]

At later times $\tau > \tau_m$, the chiral conductivity is identically zero implying that the chiral anomaly ceases to play any role in the magnetic field evolution. This is in contrast to the hot medium, where $\sigma'_\chi$ vanishes only asymptotically at $\tau \to \infty$.

### B. Conducting medium $\sigma \gg \sigma_{\chi}$

In this limit (60) reduces to

\[ \dot{\sigma}'_{\chi} = -\frac{1}{6\sigma'}(1 - \sigma'^3_{\chi}), \quad (65) \]
and is solved by

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\sigma'} \ln \frac{(1 - \sigma'_x)^2}{1 + \sigma'_x + \sigma'^2_x} - \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{3}\sigma'_x}{2 + \sigma'_x} - \ln \frac{(1 - \sigma'_0)^2}{1 + \sigma'_0 + \sigma'^2_0} + \frac{6}{\sqrt{3}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{3}\sigma'_0}{2 + \sigma'_0}. \quad (66)$$

The evolution of the chiral conductivity proceeds from $\tau = 0$ up to $\tau = \tau_m$ where $\sigma'_x$ vanishes. Thus $\tau_m/\sigma'$ is given by the last two terms in (66). Notice that $\tau_m$ is proportional to the medium conductivity. This is seen in Fig. 3.

VIII. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Development of magnetic field instability in a chiral medium has been the main subject of this paper. For a qualitative analytical understanding of the instability dynamics, we developed a model that approximates the vector potential by the fastest growing helicity state. Maxwell equations with anomalous currents determine dependence of the magnetic field on the chiral conductivity. They however, do not determine the functional form of $\sigma_x(t)$ and neither does the energy conservation requirement, as was verified in Sec. IV. It is the chiral anomaly equation (33) and the resulting helicity conservation (36), in conjunction with the equation of state that fix the time-dependence of the chiral conductivity.

Two equations of state were considered (38) and (55), which are referred to as the hot and cold matter respectively. Whether the magnetic field increases at the early stages of its evolution depends on a single parameter, the fraction of the total helicity initially in the medium $\sigma'_0$, which also happens to be the initial value of the chiral conductivity (in dimensionless units). In hot medium it must be more than $1/2$ while in the cold medium more than $1/2^{2/3}$. This parameter also determines the instant $t_*$ when the maximum occurs and the peak strength of the magnetic field $B(t_*)$. If $\sigma'_0$ is below the values indicated above, the magnetic field is a monotonically decreasing function of time. This is seen in Fig. 2 and Fig. 4. Magnetic helicity always monotonically increases from unity at the initial time to $\mathcal{H}_{\text{tot}}$ at the later times. This is because in the present model helicity flows only from the medium to the magnetic field. The eventual vanishing of the chiral conductivity at late times and the transfer of all helicity to magnetic field is a general property of the MCS theory in homogeneous medium, even though the details of the time-evolution are model-dependent. This is because in a stationary state of the chiral medium with a finite value of $\sigma_x = \sigma_\infty$, the magnetic field contains unstable modes with $k < \sigma_\infty$, which increase the magnetic helicity, thereby driving $\sigma_x$ to smaller values due to the helicity conservation (35). The stability is achieved only when
\[ \sigma_\infty = 0.** \]

Duration of the chiral evolution strongly depends on the equation of state. In hot matter, which is appropriate for the description of the quark-gluon plasma produced in relativistic heavy-ion collisions, the magnetic helicity approaches the total helicity only asymptotically at \( t \to \infty \). However, in cold matter, the chiral conductivity vanishes at finite time \( \tau_m \) that depends on \( \sigma' \) and the electrical conductivity. At this time, the magnetic field vanishes, while the vector potential diverges (this is why the magnetic helicity is finite).

Our results for the hot matter are qualitatively similar to the numerical results of [2] (stages II and III). One however, has to bear in mind that [2] neglected the second time derivative of \( A \) in (9), which is important at not very large electrical conductivity. Another numerical calculation of the time-evolution of magnetic helicity in chiral medium was reported in [4]. There a different approximation was adopted which precludes the direct comparison with the results of the present work.

**Acknowledgments**

The author wishes to thank Dmitri Kharzeev for helpful communications. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-87ER40371.

**Appendix A: Verification of the adiabatic approximation**

The adiabatic approximation adopted for the analysis of the time evolution in this paper assumes that \( \ddot{\gamma} \ll \dot{\gamma} \), see the text following Eqs. (17) and (31). Plotted in Fig. 5 is the ratio \( |\ddot{\gamma}/\dot{\gamma}| \) for certain values of conductivity. Similar results hold for other values of the parameters. Clearly, the adiabatic approximation is self-consistent.
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