The COSEVAST Study Outcome: Evidence of COVID-19 Severity Proportionate to Surge in Arterial Stiffness
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ABSTRACT

Background: The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection affects the cardiovascular system at many levels. It initially infects endothelial cells, inducing marked endothelial damage and inflammation. However, there was no empirical evidence of functional compromise of arterial walls.

Aims and objective: Our primary objective was to study functional arterial damage in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and establish the noninvasive measurement of arterial stiffness as an independent marker of disease severity.

Materials and methods: We recorded the arterial stiffness of 23 mild, 21 moderate, and 20 severe COVID-19 patients grouped on the latest National Institute of Health (NIH) severity criteria. We observed arterial stiffness of COVID-19 patients with standard parameters like noninvasive estimated carotid–femoral pulse wave velocity (cfPWV), age-normalized increase in cfPWV (ANI_cPWV), age-normalized increase in aortic augmentation pressure (ANI_AugP), and heart rate-normalized augmentation index (HRN_AIx). All the parameters were also corrected for statistically significant confounding factors.

Results: Moderate and severe COVID-19 patients have extremely significantly elevated arterial stiffness than mild patients. In mild patients, cfPWV (829.1 ± 139.2 cm/second) was significantly lower than both moderate (1067 ± 152.5 cm/second; p < 0.0001) and severe (1416 ± 253.9 cm/second; p < 0.0001) patients. ANI_cPWV in moderate and severe patients was significantly higher than mild patients (mild: 101.2 ± 126.1 cm/second; moderate: 279 ± 114.4 cm/second; severe: 580.1 ± 216.4 cm/second; intergroup p < 0.0001). The results even after correction for significant confounding factors did not show any considerable change in the increasing trend of arterial stiffness.

Conclusion: This study establishes the functional deterioration of arteries in proportion to the severity of COVID-19.
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INTRODUCTION

World Health Organization (WHO) declared coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) as global pandemic and it is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). As of March 2021, in the second week, confirmed cases of COVID-19 in India alone are around 114 million, which include more than 159,000 deaths. This pandemic is affecting millions of people around the globe and cases are rising every day, leading to a public health emergency situation. Still the treatment options to manage this disease condition are hard to find by our medical professionals. Drugs like remdesivir or convalescent plasma have been tried under emergency use authorization without much success. Vaccines for COVID-19 have been under development as early as May 2020,1 and a few have been discovered. As of now, few vaccines are already in use. But the challenge of managing COVID-19 complications remains as new strains emerge and cause recent spikes in infections worldwide. The priority is to establish and understand the pathophysiology and relationship of arterial damage in COVID-19 patients. This enables the caregiver to guide the therapy and control long- and short-term complications in the cardiovascular system.

Some evidence may radically change the therapeutic strategy or give rise to a new therapy to improve the prognosis and lessen the burden on the healthcare facilities to a large extent. SARS-CoV-2 infection affects the cardiovascular system at many levels. There is evident endothelial damage and inflammation due to COVID-19.2 There is reported evidence of cardiac infection3, endothelial injury, and endotheliitis over the multiple vascular beds4 in various patients after autopsy due to COVID-19. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) establishes a two-way close association between COVID-19 and cardiovascular
diseases (CVD). A preexisting cardiovascular system has a worse prognosis.6 Similar to primary inflammatory diseases, there are reports of immune-mediated vascular damage due to COVID-19 associated inflammation.6 There is an increased risk of cardiovascular events due to the overactivation of innate immunity following severe COVID-19 infection, presence of cytokine-release syndrome, and altered immune response and may result in indirect, immune-mediated damage to the systemic vasculature.7 Cardiovascular involvement is dominant in COVID-19 patients. The independent predictors of cardiovascular risk are arterial stiffness and enhanced pulse wave velocity (PWV).9–10 Due to stiffness of larger arteries, there may be mechanical fatiguability of the arterial wall leading to increased left ventricular afterload and altered coronary perfusion.11 However, the findings so far are not empirical that there is a compromise in the function of the arterial walls. A study to determine systemic inflammation and arterial stiffness in COVID-19 patients was designed. The study is justified by a comprehensive review article.12

Hypothesis and Objectives
We hypothesized that mild, moderate, and severe categories of COVID-19 patients were associated with a corresponding increase in arterial stiffness. The main objective of the COVID-19 severity with arterial stiffness (COSEVAST) study was to evaluate the presence of arterial stiffness after COVID-19 infection. The primary endpoint was decided as estimated carotid–femoral PWV (cfPWV), an established biomarker of arterial stiffness. Secondary objectives were as follows:

- To evaluate if the overall cardiovascular health is affected by measuring other markers, like age-normalized increase in aortic augmentation pressure (ANI_AugP), heart rate-normalized augmentation index (HRN_A1x), age-normalized in cfPWV (ANI_cfPWV), in all three categories of mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19 patients.
- The objective is to study if arterial stiffness basal measures in COVID-19 patients at detection of infection can lead to assess the magnitude of potential confounding.

Materials and Methods
Study Design
The COSEVAST study is a nonrandomized observational study conducted at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences Patna, Bihar, India for COVID-19 patients admitted to intensive care units and medical wards. The entire duration of this study was 3 months, i.e., from November 2019 to January 2020.

Ethical Considerations
The COSEVAST study was a research study involving human participants with minimal risks and burdens. This study got approval from the Clinical Trials Registry of India having CTRI/2020/10/028489. We obtained Institutional Ethics Committee approval for conducting this study vide IEC letter No. AIIMS/Pat/IEC/2020/595.

Study Population
All participants were confirmed COVID-19 with an RT-PCR test. This study included three categories of COVID-19 patients. The inclusion criteria were as follows:

- Age more than 18 years.
- Patients with a confirmed infection by SARS-CoV-2, requiring hospitalization in hospital wards and intensive care units.
- The selected mild, moderate, and severe categories of patients were based on the latest National Institute of Health (NIH) guidelines as per the following:
  - **Mild category:** Patients with signs of vomiting, sore throat, nausea, headache, cough, fever, malaise, muscle pain, loss of taste or smell or both, and diarrhea, but with no evidence of dyspnea, shortness of breath, or abnormal chest imaging.
  - **Moderate category:** Patients who have a saturation of oxygen (SpO2) ≥94% on room air, and on clinical and radiological imaging suggests the evidence of lower respiratory disease.
  - **Severe category:** Patients who have PaO2/FiO2 <300 mm Hg, or lung infiltrates >50%, saturation of oxygen (SpO2) <94% on room air, and a respiratory rate of >30 breaths/minute.

The exclusion criteria were inability to provide written consent, pregnancy or breastfeeding, and known history of any of these diseases: diabetes mellitus, hypertension, coronary artery disease (CAD), stroke, neuropathy, peripheral arterial disease, kidney diseases, and any preexisting cardiovascular disorder.

Methods
Measures of Arterial Stiffness
By applying Framingham risk equations, the cardiovascular risk, atherosclerotic event, and number of cardiovascular risk factors (treatable vs nontreatable) were assessed based on arterial stiffness and PWV.15 The carotid intima-media thickness is the marker of atherosclerotic burden in the coronary arteries, and it is directly correlated with PWV.13 Arterial stiffness in this study is primarily measured in terms of PWV. Aortic and brachial PWV16 and arterial pressure augmentation index (Alx)17 are independently related to the levels of inflammation, suggesting that inflammation plays a role in the regulation of arterial stiffening.

Arterial Stiffness Assessment in Our Study
There are various techniques to measure PWV; since COVID-19 is a contagious disease, minimal contact between patient and technician must be prioritized while conducting the test. It would be challenging for the observer to conduct the entire test while wearing a complete PPE kit. So, a test device, which lacks probe holding, avoids close contact and exposure time, while testing was needed for the study especially in these COVID-19 conditions. These requirements were fulfilled by using the medical device Periscope™ manufactured by Genesis Medical Systems, India. It was a noninvasive medical device used to measure brachial–ankle PWV (baPWV). It calculates the cfPWV, nearly equal to aortic PWV.19 The operator’s manual of Periscope was used for performing the test. Firstly, over the ventral surface of both wrists and medial side of ankles, the electrocardiogram (ECG) electrodes were applied, and over both the upper and lower limbs, blood pressure (BP) cuffs were wrapped on brachial artery and tibial artery, respectively. All data were automatically stored in the computer for analysis of the ECG and pressure waveforms using integrated software algorithms. The Periscope device detects two lead ECGs (Lead I and II) along with pressure waveforms from four-limb locations, i.e., both brachial and ankles. The time difference between lowest initiation points of pulse pressure matching with QRS complex for calculating the pulse transit time (PTT). The software estimated cfPWV from the composite
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baPWV (Avg. baPWV) found out by averaging left and right baPWV as per the following validated equation:

\[ \text{CfPWV} = 0.8333 \times \text{(Avg. baPWV)} - 233.33 \]

The established markers for arterial stiffness are aortic pressure values. Due to an increase in arterial stiffness, at the aortic root level, Periscope estimates the aortic pressures and the systolic pressure augmentation (AugP). The pressure augmentation index (AIx) values derived with Periscope device were very accurate and highly comparable with other internationally accepted noninvasive devices.20

**Sample Size**

Since COVID-19 is a completely new condition, sample size calculation cannot be based on preliminary data. In a study by Hofmann et al.,21 the mean cfPWV values in CAD patients and healthy patients were 9.3 ± 1.9 m/second and 7.7 ± 1.1 m/second, respectively. Thus, we estimated that the minimal detectable difference in PWV of 20% in different groups of patients with COVID-19; the sample size was calculated to be 16 in each group, taking an alpha error of 0.05, power of 80%, and p-value <0.05 as statistically significant. Assuming a drop out of 5%, we included 23 patients in each group (mild, moderate, and severe category).

**Statistical Analysis**

The Kolmogorov—Smirnov (KS) test was used for calculating the normal distribution of demographic data of the patient. By applying the nonparametric unpaired Student's "t" test, we measure the difference of arterial stiffness among all three study groups. The normality and variance were not tested for the applied parametric tests to be appropriate or not. Probability values of p <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. All p values are two-tailed and expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad PRISM software version 5.03 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, California, USA).

**Results**

Recruited patients in the study were a total of 64 (22 female/42 male). Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. It was observed that all the patients were under healthy and normal body mass index (BMI) range. Lipid profile or blood sugar levels were not taken into consideration since the patients did not have any known comorbidity, age from 18 years and the maximum was 69 years considered. Though there was a difference between the mean values of age, height, and weight, it was not consistent and highly significant between the groups. All the demographic data passed the normality KS test except for gender (Table 1). For doing accurate comparison, we regularly measure the PaO₂ % and SpO₂ % for every patient while conducting the arterial stiffness test. The best way to quantify the COVID severity was to take the ratio of SpO₂ % and FiO₂ % as an indication of respiratory distress since removal of external oxygen support was not advisable in moderate and severe cases. The noninvasive measurement of SpO₂ % to FiO₂ % ratio closely relates with PaO₂ % to FiO₂ % ratio as per various studies and guidelines,22–25 especially in COVID-19 patients. The statistical analysis of the segregation criteria is shown in Table 2.

Arterial stiffness was analyzed in terms of the values of estimated cfPWV as a primary marker. It is well established that the value (functional) of arterial stiffness is a function of a person's current physiologic/chronologic age.25 Hence, an increase in cfPWV from the age-dependent normal value indicates the real increase in arterial stiffness from the normal level. A central AugP increase from age-dependent normal value and AIx are independent markers of arterial stiffness. All these measurements were analyzed for finding any relation between COVID-19 severity and arterial stiffness. The analysis of arterial stiffness parameters is given in Table 3, and Figure 1 shows (A) cfPWV in all three patient categories; (B) ANI_cfPWV; (C) ANI_AugP; and (D) HRN_AIx values. These measurements may be dependent on other confounding factors, like weight, height, gender, mean arterial pressure (MAP), and heart rate of the patient. To find out the effect of these factors on the results, we did an independent regression analysis of the

### Table 1: Patient demographic characteristics as per COVID-19 severity

| Parameter | Mild (1) | Moderate (2) | Severe (3) | Total | Group 1–2 | Group 2–3 | Group 1–3 | p value |
|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Sex (Male/Female) | 13/10 | 18/3 | 11/9 | 42/22 | – | – | – | 0.0701 0.2007 0.0028* |
| Age (years) | 37.87 ± 13.36 | 45.29 ± 13.07 | 50.50 ± 12.56 | 44.25 ± 13.85 | 0.0001 | 0.2019 | 0.0031* |
| Weight (Kg) | 61.96 ± 13.32 | 70.14 ± 12.75 | 59.05 ± 10.12 | 63.73 ± 12.89 | 0.0438* | 0.0038* | 0.4307 |
| Height (cm) | 162.8 ± 8.574 | 167.9 ± 8.402 | 161.7 ± 7.680 | 164.1 ± 8.555 | 0.0541 | 0.0174* | 0.6403 |
| BMI (Kg/m²) | 23.18 ± 3.547 | 24.78 ± 3.492 | 22.47 ± 2.532 | 23.48 ± 3.334 | 0.1405 | 0.0207* | 0.4605 |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD. *Significant difference in mean values

### Table 2: Patient categorization criteria as per COVID-19 severity

| Parameter | Mild (1) | Moderate (2) | Severe (3) | Group 1–2 | Group 2–3 | Group 1–3 | p value |
|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Respiration rate | 20.87 ± 1.180 | 22.33 ± 4.139 | 29.55 ± 13.19 | 0.1113 | 0.2129* | 0.0031* |
| FiO₂ (%) | 21.00 ± 0.0 | 35.48 ± 12.68 | 57.85 ± 23.13 | <0.0001* | 0.0004* | <0.0001* |
| SpO₂ (%) | 97.30 ± 1.428 | 96.48 ± 2.136 | 96.50 ± 2.236 | 0.1350 | 0.7994 | 0.0861 |
| SPO₂/FiO₂ ratio | 463.4 ± 6.801 | 304.1 ± 101.6 | 195.9 ± 82.33 | <0.0001* | 0.0006* | <0.0001* |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD. *Significant difference in mean values. *Mann–Whitney “t” test
confounding factors with cfPWV. Table 4 shows the significance of possible confounding factors in cfPWV.

This regression analysis found that weight, MAP, and age make a significant contribution to cfPWV apart from the severity grade in our dataset. Since the normal value of arterial stiffness progressively increases with age, we corrected the observed values of cfPWV in our dataset for MAP and weight, and the other significant factors, as per the age-based predicted values, found a significant increasing trend of cfPWV among the three groups, viz. mild, moderate, and severe. We treated all other measurements for regression analysis and found that ANI_cfPWV is affected only by MAP after eliminating the insignificant factors. Similarly, AugP depends on MAP, HR, and age; AIX depends on MAP and age for the data in our study. After correction for the confounding variables, the values of these measurements showed a significant correlation between the patient severity and values of these parameters.

A study done earlier shows that both AIX values and aortic root systolic pressure (AoSysP) are dependent on heart rate. To rule out the role of heart rate in our findings, AIX values were normalized for HR. After correction for the confounding factors, there were changes in the values of the measurements. However, the corrected values showed increasing trends similar to uncorrected values. The significance of the differences in the mean values was also more or less unaffected after the correction. Table 5 shows the statistical analysis of the measurements, corrected for significant confounding factors, and Figure 2 shows the results of arterial stiffness measurements of COVID-19 patients after correction in graphical format.

Table 3: Patient arterial stiffness measures as per COVID-19 severity

| Parameter                  | Mild (1)          | Moderate (2)       | Severe (3)        | Group 1–2  | Group 2–3  | Group 1–3  |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| cfPWV (cm/second)          | 829.1 ± 139.2     | 1067 ± 152.5      | 1416 ± 253.9     | <0.0001    | <0.0001    | <0.0001    |
| cfPWV increase* (cm/second)| 101.2 ± 126.1     | 279 ± 114.4       | 580.1 ± 216.4    | <0.0001    | <0.0001    | <0.0001    |
| AugP increase* (mm Hg)     | −1.891 ± 2.817    | 3.212 ± 3.124     | 7.246 ± 4.908    | <0.0001    | 0.0031     | <0.0001    |
| AIX                        | 4.670 ± 13.07     | 22.16 ± 7.833     | 34.24 ± 8.467    | <0.0001    | <0.0001    | <0.0001    |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD. *Significant difference in mean values. "Age normalized"
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Figs 2A to D: It shows the results of arterial stiffness measurements of COVID-19 patients after correction in graphical format.

Table 4: Significance of possible confounding factors in cfPWV

| Possible confounding variables       | t ratio | p value | Level of significance |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|
| Age (years)                         | 6.644   | <0.0001 | Yes                   |
| Gender                              | 0.3518  | 0.7263  | No                    |
| Weight (kg)                         | 2.441   | 0.0178  | Yes                   |
| Height (cm)                         | 1.133   | 0.2621  | No                    |
| Brachial average MAP (mm Hg)        | 4.5     | <0.0001 | Yes                   |
| Heart rate (bpm)                    | 0.04871 | 0.9613  | No                    |

Only age, weight, and brachial average MAP make significant contribution in cfPWV.

Table 5: Patient arterial stiffness measures after correction for significant or known confounding factors as per COVID-19 severity

| Parameter                         | Mild (1)       | Moderate (2)  | Severe (3)     | p value Group 1–2 | p value Group 2–3 | p value Group 1–3 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| cfPWV (cm/second)                 | 606.4 ± 125.9  | 781.5 ± 165.1 | 982.9 ± 243.1  | <0.0001           | <0.0001           | <0.0001           |
| cfPWV increase® (cm/second)       | 118.2 ± 142.2  | 273.2 ± 128.8 | 558.3 ± 241.0  | <0.0001           | <0.0001           | <0.0001           |
| AugP increase® (mm Hg)            | −2.230 ± 3.237 | 0.3146 ± 2.968 | 2.8 ± 4.142   | 0.0097            | 0.0325            | <0.0001           |
| Aix                               | −6.573 ± 10.06 | 2.038 ± 7.222 | 6.069 ± 7.979  | <0.0024           | 0.0976            | <0.0001           |
| HR-normalized Aix                 | −13.34 ± 14.18 | 5.656 ± 8.610 | 24.80 ± 7.745  | <0.0001           | <0.0001           | <0.0001           |

Values are expressed as mean ± SD. *Significant difference in mean values. **Age normalized.
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DISCUSSION
The SARS-CoV-2 binds its viral spike (S) protein to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) on the host cell’s surface to gain entry into the cell. The full endothelial layer is affected throughout the body and the disease called endotheliitis sets in due to COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) infection. The Lancet article22 shows clear images with endothelial layer involvement. Chen et al.26 in their epidemiological studies show that patients with COVID-19 admitted to hospital/intensive care unit frequently present with accompanying conditions, such as advanced age, diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases, suggesting compromised endothelial integrity may possibly be playing a major part in (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 mortality.

The estimated cfPWV, which we observed in severe (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 patients, was higher than the cfPWV in patients with long-term chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, CAD, and end-stage renal disease. For example, in CAD patients, it was $1204 \pm 301.8$ cm/second.19,20 This indicates that (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 damage to the patient vasculature within less than 15 days is comparable to the damage caused by CAD in the number of years. Conducted COSEVAST study had strict exclusion criteria. Patients with known hypertension and diabetes were not included. Patients ailing with existing cardiovascular diseases and related surgical intervention/therapy were excluded. Patients with a history of smoking and increased obesity were also excluded. This eliminated the possible basal deviation of arterial stiffness from the normal vasculature. The validity of our study findings was more accurate because we strictly followed the exclusions criteria to avoid selection bias. An ongoing similar large-scale, multicentric longitudinal study on more than 2,500 people (COVID-19 ARTERial Stiffness and vascular AgiNg CARTESIAN study)29 identified the association between early vascular aging and its relationship with COVID-19 based on its disease severity. The COSEVAST study will help to determine efficacious therapy in reducing (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 disease complications. Based on our scientific literature search, this is the first study which observed that arterial inflammation in COVID-19 patients in terms of noninvasive measurement of arterial stiffness, like PWV, has brought significant functional changes in the vascular beds.

LIMITATIONS
Since it was an observational study only, the patients were not abstained from the standard therapy to reduce the (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 symptoms. Medications were uniform intragroup and did not include any drug that affects arterial stiffness. Especially, the therapy of moderate and severe groups was identical except for the difference in oxygen supplementation volume. The sample size of patients was small given the limitations on entry in a COVID ward for any test procedure.

CONCLUSION
It can be reasonably stated that this study suggests that a noninvasive measure of arterial stiffness may be useful as an independent severity marker in COVID-19 patients. There is a strong indication that the management of arterial stiffness by titration of therapeutics may possibly reduce acute cardiovascular complications and mortality in (SARS-CoV-2) COVID-19 patients.
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LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS
AS—Arterial Stiffness
RT-PCR—Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction
cf—Carotid Femoral
CVD—Cardiovascular Disease
HR—Heart rate
PP—Pulse Pressure
PWV—Pulse Wave Velocity
SBP—Systolic Blood Pressure
SE—Standard Error
NIH—National Institute of Health
COVID 19—Coronavirus disease 2019
SARS—Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome
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