Anisotropic dual-plasmonic hetero-nanostructures with tunable plasmonic coupling effects

Anisotropic Au nanorods@Cu_{2-x}Se hetero-nanostructures with well-controlled morphologies and optical properties were obtained to study the intriguing plasmonic coupling effects between noble metal core and non-stoichiometric copper chalcogenide shell. The localized surface plasmon resonance bands of gold and copper selenide have been super-positioned in the near-infrared spectral region, resulting in superior photocatalytic activities of the hybrid nanostructures.
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The influence of plasmonic coupling effects between different components in Au NRs@Cu₂₆Se nanostructures on their characteristics was studied. To this aim, anisotropic Au@Cu₂₆Se hetero-nanostructures with well-controlled design and optical properties were obtained. The LSPR bands of gold and copper selenide are superimposed in the NIR region, resulting in superior photocatalytic properties of the nanostructures.

Nowadays, hetero-nanostructures with various compositions and morphologies have received scientific attention due to generated synergistic effects and promising multifunctional physicochemical properties.1-6 Specifically, noble metal–semiconductor heterostructures harnessing the phenomenon of localized surface plasmon resonance are utilized for a broad range of applications including photocatalysis,7-8 photovoltaics,9,10 water splitting,11,12 optics,13 and biomedicine.14-17 In addition to noble metals, which support LSPRs in the visible spectral range and are traditionally used in plasmonics,18 vacancy-doped copper chalcogenides are actively investigated for prospective utilization in multiple fields.19,20 Unlike plasmons formed by the collective oscillation of free electrons in metals, plasmons in such semiconductors arise due to the presence of free holes.21 Considering the abundant hole carriers in copper-deficient semiconductors, their LSPRs could be tuned to the NIR region.22 Integration of those two constituents into one nano-entity could combine the advantages of both components and improve their potential application performance.23,24

The plasmonic properties of hetero-nanostructures are strongly dependent on the size, shape, composition, arrangement, and distribution of each component. Hybrid nanomaterials with asymmetric architecture offer richer surface chemistry and a higher number of active sites, compared to symmetric core–shell structures, which permits their properties and functionality to be modulated to a higher extent.23,24 Besides the formation of a Schottky barrier for effective charge separation and plasmon–exciton interactions, joining of a noble metal and plasmonic semiconductor also results in plasmonic coupling between the core and shell materials, making these hybrids dual plasmonic nanomaterials. Such hetero-nanostructures showed enhanced NIR absorption compared to that of nonstoichiometric copper chalcogenides themselves due to the presence of the surface-enhanced near-field at the Au surface and its effect on the collective oscillation of free holes in the semiconductor.25,26

Combination of two distinctive plasmonic nanomaterials provides the ability to selectively control the LSPR of each constituent. The spectral position of the plasmon resonance response from metal NPs upon interaction with light can be altered by changing their geometry or effective dielectric environment.27 Isotropic metal NPs demonstrate a single dipolar LSPR, while nanoparticles with anisotropic shapes, such as rods, cubes, plates, triangles, and stars exhibit multiple higher-order LSPR modes. In non-spherical NPs, ununiform charge distribution gives rise to large electromagnetic field enhancements localized near the tips, corners, and edges.28,29 The plasmon resonance frequency of noble metal NPs is pre-set during synthesis and cannot be substantially changed after fabrication. On the other hand, the free carrier density in p-doped semiconductors can be controlled during fabrication and post-synthesis by exposure to oxidizing agents,30 cation intercalation and cation exchange,31 ligand exchange,32 and thermal treatment.33 Also, the wavelengths of LSPR mode in semiconductors can be adjusted using the same strategy as for noble metals, particularly by changing the size, or aspect ratio for anisotropic NPs.34 For binary copper chalcogenides, the extinction peak is moved farther into the IR region and its intensity decreases with approaching the Cu₂E (E = S, Se, Te) stoichiometry.32,34,35
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In our previously reported studies, we successfully demonstrated such plasmonic coupling effect between two dissimilar building blocks on the accelerated photocatalytic reactions in heterostructures using isotropic Au nanoparticles as the core and Cu$_2$Se as the shell materials, respectively. Although the superior activities of dual plasmonic nanostructures in photocatalysis were ascribed to the enhanced electromagnetic field intensities as shown in our work, the limited tunability in the extent of plasmonic coupling due to the low spectral overlap of two distinctive plasmon resonances between the spherical Au core and the shell of Cu$_2$Se compromised our further understanding of the role of the strengths of plasmonic coupling in photocatalysis. In such context, hetero-nanostructures with more efficient plasmonic coupling need to be designed and fabricated to allow us to elucidate the effect of such fundamental crosstalk on molecule-transformation processes. Utilization of plasmonic nanoparticles with anisotropic shapes and structures can ensure more efficient coupling since the LSPRs of the metallic component can be experimentally fine-tuned to the NIR range, where the LSPRs of vacancy-doped copper chalcogenides reside to maximize the band overlap in spectra. Thus, anisotropic noble metal–vacancy-doped semiconductor hetero-nanostructures become suitable objects for research of plasmonic effects, and fundamental understanding of different LSPR couplings, and their influence on characteristics of such nanomaterials. It must be noted that the aim is not to compare structures based on isotropic and anisotropic Au NPs. Instead, we studied the effect of the bands overlap extent in anisotropic structures by synthesizing Au NRs cores with different aspect ratios to obtain Au NRs-1@Cu$_2$Se with partial band overlap and Au NRs-2@Cu$_2$Se with full overlap.

In this work, we studied dual plasmon coupling in anisotropic Au@Cu$_2$Se using Au NRs as the core and demonstrated its effect on photocatalytic activities. By experimentally tuning the aspect ratios, two monodisperse Au NRs (Au NRs-1 and Au NRs-2) with longitudinal plasmon resonance peaks centered at 680 nm and 880 nm, respectively were fabricated and used as the anisotropic cores to construct 2 sets of dual-plasmonic heteronanostructures (Au NRs-1@Cu$_2$Se and Au NRs-2@Cu$_2$Se) via unidirectional overgrowth of the Cu$_2$Se shell on one-side of Au NRs in the presence of capping agents CTAC. Owing to the varying extents of spectral overlap between 2 distinctive LSPRs in such dual-plasmonic heteronanostructures, the effectiveness of plasmonic coupling was maneuvered in the current work. To better investigate the sole effect of plasmonic coupling on the kinetics of photocatalysis without complications, we deliberately tuned the shell thicknesses of Au NRs-1@Cu$_2$Se and Au NRs-2@Cu$_2$Se hybrids to have the same dimensions when comparing their rate constants obtained from the trajectories of photocatalytic reactions under illumination. The photocatalytic performance of hybrid nanostructures with the same shell thicknesses of 25 nm was firstly studied and then the outcomes were substantiated by the structures with thicker shells (66 nm). Based on experimental results, the best photocatalytic performance among studied Au NRs@Cu$_2$Se nanostructures was demonstrated by nanomaterials with the strongest plasmonic coupling and the thickest shell due to more effective near-field enhancement, efficient interfacial charge transfer, and larger surface area.

To study the dependence of the coupling strength between two dissimilar LSPRs present in Au NRs@Cu$_2$Se hetero-nanostructures on their properties, nanomaterials based on the anisotropic gold core, specifically Au NRs, and nonstoichiometric copper selenide with increasing shell thickness were designed. Uniform Au NRs with different dimensions were synthesized as shown in TEM micrographs (Fig. S1b and c, ESI†). Au NRs-1 with an aspect ratio of 2.4 had an average length of 85.3 ± 6.7 nm and a diameter of 36.3 ± 2.8 nm and Au NRs-2 with an aspect ratio of 3.8 had an average length of 58.5 ± 8.9 nm and a diameter of 15.3 ± 1.5 nm (Fig. S2, ESI†). Longitudinal and transverse LSPR bands of Au NRs-1 were positioned at 683 nm and 518 nm, respectively. Manipulating the dimensions of anisotropic plasmonic nanomaterials allowed us to further tune the resonance frequency. Thus, Au NRs-2 extinction peaks were centred at 887 nm and 507 nm in the spectrum for longitudinal and transverse LSPRs, respectively (Fig. S1a, ESI†).

To fabricate Au NRs@Cu$_2$Se hetero-nanostructures, a simple Se-mediated approach in aqueous media with cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTAC) as the surfactant was used. CTAC serves not only as the stabilizer, but also determines the morphology of the produced nanostructures. First, an amorphous Se shell was deposited on the Au NRs core by reducing SeO$_2$ with ascorbic acid (AA). Both extinction peaks of Au NRs underwent bathochromic shift, which was caused by the higher refractive index of Se than that of water (Fig. S3, ESI†). Corresponding color changes were observed in a series of colloidal solutions (Fig. S4, ESI†). The high affinity of Se to Au and its amorphous structure help to avoid synthetic issues related to gold and copper selenide lattice mismatch (Fig. S5, ESI†). After addition of the copper precursor in the presence of AA to previously formed Au NRs@Se NPs, the nonstoichiometric copper selenide crystalline shell was formed. This synthetic method permits us to vary the semiconductor shell thickness by varying the amount of Se-precursor used as well as simultaneously control of its doping level by timing the oxidation by air after addition of CuSO$_4$.

In addition to the two resonance peaks of the Au NR core, in the extinction spectra of Au NRs@Cu$_2$Se one more intense and relatively broad band in the NIR region from Cu$_2$Se could be observed (Fig. 1). Au NRs@Cu$_2$Se nanocomposites display stronger extinction in visible and NIR spectral regions than the individual Au and Cu$_2$Se components. As the Cu$_2$Se shell grows, the intensity of its LSPR band increased mainly due to the larger cross-section of the nanostructures. While bathochromic spectral movement of Au NR extinction bands was due to the combination of factors, which are the coupling between the plasmons in the Au core and the Cu$_2$Se shell and larger refractive indices of the Cu$_2$Se surrounding Au NR core compared to water, the $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ position change of copper selenide was determined by the concentration of free holes in the shell. The stoichiometry of the semiconductor is determined by the time of oxidation in air and overall shell thickness. Because
the same volume of \( \text{SeO}_2 \) resulted in various shell sizes for \( \text{Au NRs} \) with different aspect ratios but the stirring time after \( \text{CuSO}_4 \) addition was fixed based on corresponding volumes, different trends in the NIR peak position were observed. As can be concluded from the obtained spectra, the density of free charges could be easily tuned, so that longitudinal LSPRs of \( \text{Au NRs} \) and \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) superimpose to a certain degree at NIR frequencies. As a result, there were only two LSPR peaks observed in the spectra of \( \text{Au NR-2}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \), which means that the longitudinal LSPR band of the \( \text{Au NR core} \) overlaps completely with the \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) LSPR band (Fig. 1b).

Thus, through elaborate synthetic design of the \( \text{Au NR core} \) and \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell the LSPRs of both can be accurately tuned to the same wavelength. Such maximum degree coupling of the LSPRs arising from collective oscillations of different charged species may enhance charge carrier transfer between metallic and semiconductor phases and therefore, positively affect the properties of the nanocomposites.

The semiconductor shell is attached to the core and mainly grows on one of the lateral sides of gold NRs, which was observed in obtained TEM images (Fig. 2). The \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) domain had a pseudo-hemispherical shape with the highest thickness at the centre, decreasing, and curving to the ends. Due to the blocking effect of high energy \( \text{Au NR} \) surface facets by the Cl\(^-\) anion from CTAC and surface strains caused by \( \text{Se} \) overgrowth, the final core@shell products were asymmetric. The contrast difference of the dark \( \text{Au nanorods} \) and the gray \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) in TEM micrographs confirms that \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) nanocomposites possess two domains and are well dispersed. The dimensions of the \( \text{Au NR core} \) remained the same after \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell deposition. The copper selenide shell exhibits good crystallinity. The lattice spacing with 0.33 nm was determined from well-defined fringes in the high-resolution TEM image and assigned to the (111) planes of copper selenide (Fig. S6, ESI†).

The \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell thickness was adjusted by changing the \( \text{SeO}_2 \) concentration and measured directly from the TEM images. To get the same thickness of the \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell on \( \text{Au NRs} \) with different dimensions and concentrations in the colloid, the amount of \( \text{Se} \) precursor had to be changed. To obtain 25 nm-thick \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) on \( \text{Au NRs-1} \) only 10 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 10 mM \( \text{SeO}_2 \) was used, compared to 30 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 10 mM \( \text{SeO}_2 \) to form the semiconductor shell of the same thickness on \( \text{Au NRs-2} \) (Fig. S7, ESI†). Among prepared hetero-nanostructures, two pairs of samples were selected for photocatalytic studies based on the same copper selenide domain size. Specifically, \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) hetero-nanostructures had shell thicknesses of ~25 nm and ~66 nm. Distribution histograms of the shell thickness indicate that the relative standard deviation is in the 4.8% and 7.2% range (Fig. S8, ESI†). Also, before conducting the photocatalytic experiment, the concentration of \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) was adjusted based on ICP-OES results for gold content (Fig. S9, ESI†). Different aspect ratios of \( \text{Au NRs} \), various concentrations of copper vacancies in the semiconductor, changing size of both core and shell contribute to strong NIR extinction and permit achieving different degrees of spectral band overlap in the nanosystems studied in the photocatalytic experiment (Fig. S10, ESI†). \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) hybrid nanostructures showed improved photocatalytic efficiency by increasing the carrier separation and life-time due to the charge transfer process through the Schottky barrier between the metal and semiconductor and the plasmon-mediated enhancement effect. The anisotropic gold core with facets partially exposed to the reaction medium and the non-stoichiometric copper deficient shell produce charge transporters, undergo diverse surface chemistry, and generate reactive oxygen species (ROS). The photocatalytic performance of the \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) nanostructures was evaluated by setting a model reaction of the photodegradation of \( \text{RhB} \) dye. The reactive species generated during the photocatalytic process, ‘\( \text{O}_2^- \)’ and ‘\( \text{OH} \)’, destroy different chemical bonds present in the \( \text{RhB} \) molecule in four steps: N-deethylation, cleavage of the C=N bond, ring-opening with possible isomerization, and mineralization.\(^7\) The temporal UV-Vis spectra of the degraded \( \text{RhB} \) solution under light illumination with wavelengths longer than 420 nm were recorded (Fig. S11, ESI†). The absorbance of \( \text{RhB} \) at a wavelength of 553 nm decreased during the reaction time, which indicates that \( \text{RhB} \) was gradually degraded. The process of photocatalytic degradation obeys the pseudo-first-order kinetic model.

Fig. 1 UV-Vis-NIR extinction spectra of aqueous solutions of \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) nanoparticles with (a) \( \text{Au NRs-1} \) and (b) \( \text{Au NRs-2} \). Corresponding volume of 0.01 M \( \text{SeO}_2 \) used for synthesis is noted in the figure.

Fig. 2 TEM images of \( \text{Au NRs}@\text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) nanostructures with (a)–(d) the \( \text{Au NRs-1 core} \) and increasing \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell size obtained using (a) 30 \( \mu \text{L} \), (b) 50 \( \mu \text{L} \), (c) 70 \( \mu \text{L} \), and (d) 100 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 0.01 M \( \text{SeO}_2 \) and with (e)–(h) the \( \text{Au NRs-2 core} \) and increasing \( \text{Cu}_2\text{Se} \) shell size obtained using (e) 30 \( \mu \text{L} \), (f) 50 \( \mu \text{L} \), (g) 70 \( \mu \text{L} \), and (h) 100 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 0.01 M \( \text{SeO}_2 \). Scale bar corresponds to 200 nm.
The dual-plasmon tuning the LSPR bands’ spectral positions, and ensuring an adjustable and different origin that come from two constituents, which leads to an enhanced local field, plasmon-induced hot-electron transfer under light illumination at the heterostructure interface, and advanced photocatalytic activities.
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