Genome-wide association for heat tolerance at seedling stage in historical spring wheat cultivars
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Increasing global temperature has adverse effects on crop health and productivity at both seedling and reproductivity stages. It is paramount to develop heat tolerant wheat cultivars able to sustain under high and fluctuating temperature conditions. An experiment was conducted to characterize 194 historical wheat cultivars of Pakistan under high temperature at seedling stage to identify loci associated with heat tolerance using genome-wide association studies (GWAS). A quantitative trait locus, TaHST1, on chr4A was also characterized to identify the haplotypes at this locus associated with heat tolerance in wheat from Pakistan. Initially, the diversity panel was planted under control conditions (25°C/20°C day and night temperature) in a glass house. At three leaf stage, plants were subjected to heat stress (HS) by increasing temperature (40°C/35°C day and night), while one treatment was kept at control condition. After 7 days of HS, data were collected for seedling morphology. Heat stress reduced these traits by 25% (root weight) to 40% (shoot weight), and shoot biomass was largely affected by heat stress. A GWAS model, fixed and random model circulating probability unification (FarmCPU), identified 43 quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) on all chromosomes, except chr7B, were associated under both HS and control conditions. Thirteen QTNs were identified in control, while 30 QTNs were identified in HS condition. In total, 24 haplotypes were identified at TaHST1 locus, and most of the heat tolerant genotypes were assigned to Hap-20 and Hap-21. Eleven QTNs were identified within 0.3–3.1Mb proximity of heat shock protein (HSP). Conclusively, this study provided a detailed genetic framework of heat tolerance in wheat at the seedling stage and identify potential genetic regions associated with heat tolerance which can be used for marker assisted selection (MAS) in breeding for heat stress tolerance.
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Introduction

Bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the most widely farmed cereal grain crop, accounting for one-fifth of the calories consumed worldwide (Shahinna et al., 2016). It is primarily farmed as a food source for humanity, feeding over 35% of the world’s population (Tahmasebi et al., 2013). According to the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), global wheat production is projected to exceed 761.7 million tonnes in 2020 (FAO, 2020). It is estimated that annual cereal production must increase by nearly 1 billion tonnes to feed the projected population of 9.1 billion by 2050.

Wheat is widely grown in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, which are subjected to a variety of biotic and abiotic stresses. High temperature is one of the abiotic stresses which drastically affects the production of wheat (Rahaei et al., 2013). Global climate models reported that the average ambient temperature is expected to rise by 6°C by the end of the twenty-first century (De Costa, 2011). Many trials have revealed considerable yield losses in wheat due to HS, and it is anticipated that global wheat yields will drop by 4.1%–6.4% for every 1°C increase in global temperature (Li et al., 2016). High temperature damages the wheat by effecting its physiological, biological and biochemical processes (Asseng et al., 2015). Heat stress affects the plant by damaging its photosynthetic machinery, compromised seed germination, reduce grain filling time duration, decrease in grain number, inactivation of Rubisco enzyme, slower the transportation of nutrients, premature leaf senescence, and reduce chlorophyll content which results in the reduction of yield (Hossain et al., 2013). Starch and protein content of grains are also affected by HS. Heat stress causes the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which leads to membrane instability, lipid peroxidation, protein oxidation, and nucleic acid damage (Mishra et al., 2011; Mittler et al., 2011).

Several genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping concluded that heat stress tolerance (HST) in wheat is polygenic and is influenced by environmental factors (Guan et al., 2018; El Hassouni et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). Several major and minor QTL were identified in these studies at vegetative and reproductive stages. For example, five QTL were identified on chr1B, chr1D, chr2B, chr6A and chr7A for HST in a recombinant inbred line (RIL) population (Ventnor × Karl 92) by using AFLP, SSR and EST markers (Talukder et al., 2014). In another study, several QTL were detected at chr1A, chr1B, chr2B, chr3B, chr5A and chr6D for HST in a RIL population (Halberd × Cutter) at grain filling stage using HSI as phenotypic data for QTL mapping (Mason et al., 2010). A GWAS study in US winter wheat identified four loci at chr3B, chr7D and chr2A for shoot length and several loci were detected at chr2B, chr2D, chr4A, chr4B and chr5B for chlorophyll content at seedling stage under heat stress (Maulana et al., 2018). Recently, Lu et al. (2022) evaluated 48 wheat genotypes and concluded that genotypes tolerant at seedling stage showed higher yield at reproductive stage after heat treatment, and seedling evaluation can be used for early selection of heat tolerant wheat genotypes.

The genome size of hexaploid bread wheat is ~17Gb with 85% of repetitive sequence (IWGSC, 2018), frequent translocations, large deletions and inversions are found among wheat cultivars (Cheng et al., 2019; He et al., 2019). It is very challenging to isolate the genes responsible for HST in wheat by map-based cloning although heat tolerant mutants were identified 20 years ago (Mullarkey and Jones, 2000). TaHST1 is a QTL that is significantly associated with HST in both vegetative and reproductive stages of wheat (Zhai et al., 2021). It was mapped on the distal terminal of chr4A. According to reference genome sequence of Chinese spring (CS), this 0.949 Mb region has 19 high confidence genes (IWGSC, 2018). Five markers Xhau1-Xhau5 were used for the detection different haplotypes in this region in wheat cultivars (Zhai et al., 2021). Further investigation revealed that an unusual high number of deletion mutation in this region was observed, which was confirmed by using sequencing data from 10+ wheat genome project.

This study was designed to (i) characterize a diversity panel consisting of historical spring wheat cultivars against heat stress at seedling stage, (ii) identify quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs) associated with heat tolerance at seedling stage in historical spring wheat cultivars of Pakistan, and (iii) characterize TaHST1 locus in diversity panel using gene-specific markers for its association with HST at seedling stage.

Materials and methods

Germplasm

The germplasm used in this study consists of a panel of 194 historical bread wheat cultivars of Pakistan released in the years between 1911 and 2019. Each cultivar with its release year and pedigree is given in Supplementary Table 1.

Phenotyping at seedling stage

Screening of seedlings against HS was performed at National Agriculture Research Centre, Islamabad, Pakistan. For this experiment, small transparent glasses (3 inch × 6 inch) filled with a mixture of peat moss and soil (80%:20%) were used. Six seeds of each cultivar were sown in a single glass. Three glasses of each cultivar in randomization were used for experimentation in each treatment, i.e., control and heat stress (HS). Before sowing, seeds were surface sterilized with 2% NaOCl. During the whole experiment, the plants were watered regularly to ensure that there will be no drought stress. At three leaves stage after germination, one panel was kept in control conditions with 25°C/20°C day/night temperature.
night temperature, respectively. The 20 days old seedlings were subjected to HS by applying 40°C/35°C day and night time temperature, respectively. After 7 days of stress treatment, root length (RL), shoot length (SL), root weight (RW), and shoot weight (SW) was measured. A total of six measurements were taken from each line and then average data was used for further statistical analysis. Biomass of both control and stressed plants was taken by electrical weighing balance.

Heat susceptible index of all traits in optimal and stress condition was calculated by formula proposed by Fisher and Maurer (1978):

\[
HSI = \frac{1 - YD / YP}{D}
\]

where YD is the mean of genotypes in heat stress condition, YP is the mean of genotypes in optimal conditions, D = 1 − [mean of genotypes in stress condition/mean of genotypes in control condition].

**DNA extraction and genotyping**

DNA from each cultivar was extracted following standard protocol (Dreisigacker et al., 2013). Wheat cultivars were genotyped using 50 K SNP array, which generated 66,876 SNPs. After filtering with minor allele frequency of >5% and missing data of <10%, a total of 52,610 SNPs were retained and used for the GWAS analysis.

**Genotyping for TaHST1 locus**

The details of primers used in the reaction are described in Supplementary Table 2. The PCR reaction mix (10 μl) consisted of 3 μl PCR H2O, 5 μl master mix (2× Taq PCR mix), forward and reverse primers 0.5 μl each and 1 μl of DNA. The PCR was carried out at following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min (1 cycle), 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 65°C, 56°C, 58°C, 65°C, and 60°C, respectively, for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min (35 cycles). The PCR products were checked in 2% agarose gel.

**Statistical analysis**

Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) among all traits was used to examine the correlation between different traits in control and HS conditions. This statistical analysis was performed by using R statistical software. Genotypic data from the 50 K SNP array was subjected to quality control for further use. Initially, all the SNPs with missing data >10% and minor allele frequency (MAF) <5% were excluded. The remaining SNPs were used for GWAS.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to get information about principal structure and first five PC scores were taken as a Q matrix. Kinship matrix (k) was calculated by TASSEL v5.1. FarmCPU model was used to identify the quantitative trait nucleotides (QTNs). The GWAS was carried out using R-Package of Rnyp v3.1. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was determined between SNPs identified as QTNs. To check the linkage disequilibrium within and across three genomes of bread wheat (A, B and D), squared allele frequency correlation (r²) values were used between marker pairs. Markers containing (r²) value 1 on the same chromosome were removed.

**Results**

**Phenotypic data analysis**

In both temperature treatments (control and HS), significant variations in seedling phenotypes were observed in the diversity panel. The descriptive statistics and frequency distribution plots under control and HS conditions are given in Table 1; Figure 1, respectively. Mean RL at control condition was 13.74 cm with a range from 9.3 cm (Raj) to 22.3 cm (C-250), while under HS, the mean RL was 14.14 cm with a range from 7 cm (AZRC) to 21.5 cm (Lasani-08). In control condition, the mean RW was 0.12 g with a range from 0.03 (Raj) g to 0.5 g (Sutluj-86), while in HS condition the mean RW was 0.12 g with a range from 0.01 (AZRC) to 0.45 g (Takbeer). Mean SL was 40.75 cm with a range of 26 cm (Dilkash) to 59 cm (Faisalabad-83) compared with HS condition where mean SL was 37 cm with a range from 24 cm (Nishan-21) to 49 cm (NIA-Sunder). Similarly, mean SW at control condition was 1.5 g with a range from 0.5 g (Pakhunkhawa-15) to 3 g (Rashkoh-05) and in HS condition mean SW was 0.7 g with a range of 0.3 g

### Table 1

| Traits            | Control |            |            | HS |            |            |            |            | Fold increase (HS) |
|-------------------|---------|------------|------------|----|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
|                   | Mean    | Range      | SD         |    | Mean       | Range      | SD         |            |                   |
| Root length (cm)  | 13.74   | 9.3–23.3   | 2.1        |    | 14.14      | 7–21.5     | 2.12       |            | 1.64–4.7          | 1.02-fold – 0.12-fold |
| Root weight (g)   | 0.125   | 0.03–0.5   | 0.07       |    | 0.12       | 0.01–0.45  | 0.07       |            | –0.24–7.5         | 3-fold – 0.12-fold   |
| Shoot length (cm) | 40.75   | 26–59      | 5.2        |    | 37         | 24–49      | 4.5        |            | 0.99–10.5         | 0.42-fold – 0.06-fold |
| Shoot weight (g)  | 1.5     | 0.5–3      | 0.4        |    | 0.7        | 0.3–1.4    | 0.19       |            | 1.01–3.2          | 1.43-fold – 0.02-fold |

*HS, heat stress.

*HSI, Heat susceptible index.

*Fold-increase, fold-increase in data value in heat stress treatment.
FIGURE 1. Frequency distribution of traits at optimal and heat stress condition. (A,B) shows root length at optimal and HS conditions, while (C,D) shows root weight, (E,F) shoot length, and (G,H) shows shoot weight at optimal and heat stress conditions, respectively.

(Subhani) to 1.4 g (Takbeer). In HS, SL was reduced by 15%–20%, and SW was reduced by 40%–45%. RL and RW were only reduced by 10%–15% each. Fold variation was observed in HS treatment. Mean fold variation for RL was 1.02-fold ranging from 0 to 2-fold. In RW, there was 3-fold variation ranging from 1 to 5.4-fold. Similarly, mean fold variation for SL in HS was 0.42-fold ranging from 0.006 to 1.0-fold.

Pearson coefficient of correlation between all traits under control and HS conditions are shown in Figure 2. Positive correlation was observed between RL and RW under control ($r = 0.39$) and HS ($r = 0.61$) conditions. Correlation between RW and SW was ($r = 0.32$) and ($r = 0.5$) under control and HS, respectively. While RW and SL had ($r = 0.2$) and ($r = 0.19$) in control and HS, respectively. SL and SW also showed significantly positive correlation of in control ($r = 0.62$) and HS ($r = 0.42$).

Mean values of all traits were used to calculate heat susceptible index (HSI). Almost 7.7% genotypes showed HSI < 0.5 for SW and 23% genotypes showed HSI < 0.5 for SL. Phenotypic data of all 194 wheat cultivars used in this study under control and heat stress conditions is given in Supplementary Table 4.

SNP marker distribution and statistics

In total 66,836 SNP markers were genotyped with 50 K SNP array. After quality control, 38 markers were removed having missing data and 14,188 markers were removed with MAF <0.05. Subsequently, 52,610 SNPs were used for GWAS. The SNPs were distributed on all chromosomes with maximum number of SNPs on chr2B ($n = 3,510$) and least number of SNPs on chr4D ($n = 1,210$). Highest number of SNPs were distributed
on B-genome (20,636) followed by (18,564) on A-genome and (13,408) on D-genome. Genotypic data as HapMap file for all wheat cultivars used in this study is given in Supplementary Table 3.

QTNs associated with HS tolerance at seedling stage

FarmCPU model has the high statistical power to control the false positive therefore we used this model in our study to report QTNs associated with phenotypes. Manhattan plots of all traits under study are presented in Figures 3, 4. SNP markers which were significantly associated with the traits in control and HS conditions are given in Table 2. In total 43 QTNs were identified that were associated with all four traits in control (n=13) and HS conditions (n=30). For RL in control condition, two QTNs were identified on chr3A at 721.3 Mb and chr5B at 559.4 Mb (Figure 3A; Table 2). Three QTNs were identified on chr2A, chr3D and chr6D for RL in HS condition (Figure 3B; Table 3).

For RW in control conditions, no significant QTN was identified. In HS conditions, four QTNs were identified on chr3B (720.85 Mb), chr6A and chr6B (Figure 3D; Table 2). In control condition, four QTNs were identified for SL on chr3B, chr6A, chr6B and chr7D. All these QTNs were mapped at 594.59 Mb, 615.41 Mb, 711.28 Mb and 443.42 Mb, respectively and each QTN was represented by one SNP (Figure 4A; Table 2). Fourteen QTNs were identified for SL in HS condition (Figure 4B; Table 2). For SW in control condition, 7 QTNs were identified at chr1A, chr1D, chr4B, chr5B and chr5D (Figure 4C; Table 2). In HS condition, 10 QTNs were identified for SW (Figure 4D; Table 2).

Based on SNP effect, favorable and unfavorable alleles were identified, and their frequencies were determined. For RL under control conditions, 43 (38%) cultivars did not have any of the favorable allele, while five cultivars had maximum number of two favorable alleles. Similarly, four cultivars (3.6%) did not have unfavorable allele while 59 cultivars (53.1%) had maximum number of three unfavorable alleles. For RW under control condition, 81 (71%) cultivars had no favorable allele, while 30 (26%) cultivars had one favorable allele. Similarly in heat stress condition, only one cultivar had no unfavorable allele, while maximum number of 69 (61%) cultivars had three unfavorable alleles. For SL in control condition, 60 (53%) cultivars had no favorable allele while six cultivars had more than three favorable alleles. Similarly, in HS two cultivars had one unfavorable allele and maximum number of 17 cultivars had 14 unfavorable alleles. For SW in control, 40 (35%) had no favorable allele, while 5 (4%) cultivars had maximum seven favorable alleles. Similarly, in HS condition, 20 (17%) cultivars had no unfavorable allele while maximum number of 92 (81%) cultivars had one unfavorable allele. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) indicated that effect of favorable alleles ranged from $R^2=0.94$ (RL) to $R^2=0.76$ (SW; Figures 5A–D), while effect of unfavorable alleles ranged from $R^2=0.96$ (RL) to $R^2=0.76$ (SW; Figures 6A–D).

In wheat, the position of all heat shock proteins (HSPs) were identified according to Kumar et al. (2020). In total, 11 QTNs were identified in proximity of HSPs (Table 3). The closest QTN was for RL (AX-179558694) on chr3D which was
Manhattan plot showing density of SNP markers associated with shoot length (A) control condition; (B) HS condition, shoot weight (C) control condition; (D) HS condition.

Manhattan plot showing density of SNP markers associated with root length (A) control condition; (B) HS condition, root weight (C) control condition; (D) HS condition.

0.31 Mb close to HSP in upstream region. The same QTN was 0.65 Mb away from another HSP in upstream region. Another QTN for RW (AX-111026550) on chr3B was present between two HSPs at 3.14 Mb and 3.17 Mb away in upstream and downstream region, respectively. Three QTNs for SL (AX-111242222, AX-86167844, and AX-95156239) on chr6A,
chr1A and chr6D were present at 3.03 Mb, 3.0 Mb and 0.80 Mb in upstream and downstream region, respectively. On chr6A, QTN for RW (AX-110621537) was present at 0.52 Mb in upstream region. For SW three QTNs (AX-94794804, AX-95654436, and AX-111862796) on chr1A, chr1B and chr 4D at 1.43 Mb, 0.99 Mb and 2.99 Mb in upstream and downstream region, respectively.

### TABLE 2 Quantitative Trait Nucleotides (QTNs) associated with RL, RW, SL and SW at seedling stage in control and HS conditions.

| Condition | Traits | SNP       | Chr | Position | MAF | Value of p | Effect |
|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|----------|-----|------------|--------|
| Control   | RL     | AX-112287935 | 3A  | 721.39   | 0.3 | 3.79E-05   | −1     |
|           |       | AX-95684632  | 5B  | 549.4    | 0.2 | 2.59E-05   | 1.4    |
|           | SL     | AX-10925061  | 3B  | 594.47   | 0.4 | 8.17E-05   | 2.1    |
|           |       | AX-108727314 | 6A  | 615.42   | 0.1 | 5.73E-05   | 3.7    |
|           |       | AX-86169320  | 6B  | 711.29   | 0.1 | 5.93E-05   | 4.3    |
|           |       | AX-110237200 | 7D  | 434.43   | 0.1 | 7.52E-06   | 4.6    |
|           | SL     | AX-95653494  | 1A  | 548.04   | 0.3 | 1.73E-05   | 0.2    |
|           |       | AX-179558207 | 1D  | 473.96   | 0.3 | 4.13E-05   | 0.2    |
|           |       | AX-111700768 | 4B  | 15.5     | 0.1 | 8.68E-06   | 0.3    |
|           |       | AX-94569628  | 5B  | 532.42   | 0.4 | 4.67E-05   | 0.2    |
|           |       | AX-86179100  | 5B  | 495.8    | 0.3 | 5.02E-05   | 0.2    |
|           |       | AX-94582897  | 5D  | 457.68   | 0.5 | 3.22E-05   | 0.2    |
|           |       | AX-111025904 | 5D  | 171.09   | 0.5 | 3.46E-05   | −0.2   |
| HS        | RL     | AX-111504604 | 2A  | 46.4     | 0.1 | 9.80E-07   | 2.4    |
|           |       | AX-179558694 | 3D  | 462.53   | 0.2 | 7.17E-05   | 2      |
|           |       | AX-10884268  | 6D  | 0.87     | 0.1 | 4.63E-06   | 1.7    |
|           | RW     | AX-111026550 | 3B  | 720.85   | 0.2 | 9.79E-05   | 0      |
|           |       | AX-108843268 | 6D  | 0.87     | 0.1 | 4.63E-06   | 1.7    |
|           | SL     | AX-86167844  | 1A  | 500.83   | 0.1 | 2.13E-05   | 4.2    |
|           |       | AX-94782852  | 1A  | 120.7    | 0.1 | 2.95E-05   | 4.8    |
|           |       | AX-108843795 | 2A  | 585.71   | 0.1 | 6.58E-06   | 4.7    |
|           |       | AX-94770627  | 2B  | 803.19   | 0.1 | 1.78E-05   | −4.9   |
|           |       | AX-94530046  | 2D  | 29.26    | 0.5 | 1.25E-05   | 2.7    |
|           |       | AX-94594742  | 4A  | 17.45    | 0.1 | 1.94E-05   | 4.5    |
|           |       | AX-94750554  | 5A  | 543.34   | 0.1 | 2.39E-05   | 4.4    |
|           |       | AX-94633634  | 5B  | 408.03   | 0.3 | 5.45E-05   | 2.8    |
|           |       | AX-94490118  | 5D  | 546.55   | 0.1 | 7.26E-05   | 3.2    |
|           |       | AX-111242222 | 6A  | 571.46   | 0.1 | 3.96E-05   | 4.3    |
|           |       | AX-108856108 | 6B  | 181.67   | 0.1 | 2.65E-05   | 4.5    |
|           |       | AX-95156239  | 6D  | 463.26   | 0.1 | 7.19E-05   | 4.6    |
|           |       | AX-108774450 | 7A  | 55.9     | 0.1 | 4.47E-05   | 3.5    |
|           | SW     | AX-94794804  | 1A  | 536.37   | 0.1 | 4.16E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-95654436  | 1B  | 647.18   | 0.1 | 7.93E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-95104351  | 1D  | 461.77   | 0.3 | 6.83E-04   | −0.1   |
|           |       | AX-179475749 | 2D  | 29.15    | 0.3 | 6.76E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-179558144 | 2D  | 569.41   | 0.1 | 2.07E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-109576124 | 3B  | 733.29   | 0.1 | 3.74E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-111862796 | 4D  | 325.81   | 0.5 | 2.39E-04   | −0.1   |
|           |       | AX-94643729  | 5D  | 576.15   | 0.4 | 9.59E-04   | −0.1   |
|           |       | AX-179558555 | 6A  | 48.85    | 0.1 | 6.48E-04   | 0.1    |
|           |       | AX-95155896  | 7D  | 88.55    | 0.2 | 9.57E-04   | 0.1    |

Characterization of TaHST1 locus in diversity panel

A locus on terminal end of chr4A consisting of 0.949 Mbp plays an important role in heat stress tolerance in wheat and likely to have a heat stress tolerance gene (Zhai et al., 2021). This region contains 19 high confidence genes and was characterized by using
five gene-specific DNA markers (Zhai et al., 2021). Among them, Xhaul-1, -2, -3, and 5 were dominant markers, while Xhaul-4 was either co-dominant or dominant depending on the lines analyzed. In total, 24 haplotypes in historical bread wheat cultivars of Pakistan were identified based on the allelic variation of five markers (Table 4). Haplotype 1 has highest frequency of 19.4% with 3 deleted sites followed by Hap2 (13.1%) with 5 deleted sites and Hap17 (11.4%) with 2 deleted sites. Twenty-three (13%) cultivars showed complete deletion of region as no amplification was observed with any marker, whereas 17 cultivars (9.7%) were amplified with all markers used in the study.

The basal expression of these genes in different lines was observed using RNAseq data of 24 cultivars present in the diversity panel (unpublished data). Five genes were differentially expressed in roots, while only one gene (TraesCS4A02G499500) was differentially expressed in leaves (Figure 7). This gene is a cellular component of plant and resides in thylakoid membrane of chloroplast. At molecular level, this gene is involved in copper ion binding and electron transfer activity. Higher expression of the gene was observed in Punjab-96, Pothowar-7, Parwaz-94, Pari, Inquilab-91, Dharabi-2011 and chakwal-50.
Discussion

In present study, a diversity panel consisting of 194 historical wheat cultivars was evaluated for heat stress tolerance and identification of loci associated with RL, RW, SL, and SW under HS tolerance. The panel used in this study for association mapping showed great variations in RL, RW, SL, and SW at control and HS conditions.

HSI of historical wheat cultivars

Significant variations in all traits were observed in both control and HS conditions. The cultivars having HSI higher than 0.5 were regarded as sensitive to HS, while those having lower HSI values were heat tolerant (Fisher and Maurer, 1978). More than 75% cultivars showed 0–1 HSI values, and modern cultivars like Markaz-19 and MA-21 were highly sensitive to HS. Sutluj-86, Bahawalpur-97 and Pirsabak-15 showed very low HSI values. Genotypes with minimum HSI values for SL were Bahawalpur-97, Pirsabak-15 and Sutluj-86. For SW minimum HSI values were shown by Sutluj-86, Bahawalpur-97 and Pirsabak-15. Based on these results, these three cultivars were considered as heat tolerant as compared to other genotypes.

The analysis indicated that all the traits are highly co-related with each other. No significant correlation was found previously between these traits (Ram Poudel et al., 2021). Under HS, RL and RW were significantly correlated to SL and SW. The higher positive correlation indicated that HS effect on the below-ground parts can be selected based on the above-ground shoot traits.

To the best of our knowledge, very few GWAS have been conducted for HST at seedling stage in wheat. Maulana et al. (2018) evaluated US winter wheat cultivars and assessed HS on traits like leaf chlorophyll content, SL, number of leaves per seedling and seedling recovery. However, many gene mapping studies were conducted for heat stress tolerance at flowering and reproductive stages (Vijayalakshmi et al., 2010; Talukder et al., 2014). In control condition, the QTNs associated with RL on chr3A and chr5B were not found in stress condition. At seedling stage, very little is known for MTAs associated with roots under heat stress in wheat. In HS, two QTNs were identified on chr2D for SL and SW at 29 Mb which are located in close proximity of 4 QTL identified by Sangwan et al. (2019) on chr2D at 27.9 cM for days to heading, days to maturity and photosynthetic rate.

In our findings, common QTNs associated with SL were found in both control and heat stress conditions. The presence of QTNs on chr6A, chr6B and chr7D for SL and SW conditions indicated that these QTL are strictly related to SL and have no effect of HS. Contrary to Maulana et al. (2018), no QTN on chr3B and chr7B for SL in control and HS conditions.

Under control, QTN associated with SL were positioned on chr1A, chr1D, chr4B, chr5B and chr5D. These QTNs were not found under HS conditions. No QTN was identified on chr3B for SW in HS. In a previous study, a QTL on chr3B chromosome was found responsible for increase shoot biomass in heat stress condition not found in this study (Thomelin et al., 2021).
TABLE 4 Description of 24 haplotypes for TaHST1 QTL.

| Haplotype | Xhau-1 | Xhau-2 | Xhau-3 | Xhau-4 | Xhau-5 | Deleted sites | Number of lines | Frequency (%) | RW (g) in HS | SL (cm) in HS | SW (g) in HS |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Hap1      | −      | −      | +      | 127    | −      | 3            | 34             | 19.4          | 14.3         | 0.14         | 36.4         | 0.8          |
| Hap2      | −      | −      | −      | 5      | 23     | 14.4         | 20             | 11.4          | 13.7         | 0.1          | 37.6         | 0.84         |
| Hap3      | −      | −      | +      | 195    | −      | 4            | 17             | 9.7           | 14.1         | 0.12         | 38.8         | 0.75         |
| Hap4      | +      | +      | +      | 0      | 16     | 16.2         | 12             | 15.1          | 0.15         | 37.3         | 0.83         |
| Hap5      | −      | −      | −      | 127    | +      | 3            | 11             | 6.3           | 15.3         | 0.17         | 38           | 0.72         |
| Hap6      | −      | −      | −      | 127    | −      | 4            | 9              | 5.1           | 9.4          | 0.09         | 35.3         | 0.87         |
| Hap7      | −      | −      | −      | 127    | −      | 4            | 9              | 5.1           | 9.4          | 0.09         | 35.3         | 0.72         |
| Hap8      | −      | −      | −      | 127    | −      | 4            | 9              | 13.6          | 0.09         | 37           | 0.72         |
| Hap9      | +      | −      | +      | 127    | +      | 1            | 8              | 4.6           | 12.8         | 0.09         | 36.4         | 0.73         |
| Hap10     | +      | +      | +      | 127    | −      | 1            | 7              | 4             | 15           | 0.13         | 41.1         | 0.85         |
| Hap11     | −      | +      | +      | 127    | −      | 2            | 5              | 2.9           | 13.8         | 0.13         | 35           | 0.67         |
| Hap12     | −      | −      | −      | 195    | +      | 3            | 5              | 2.9           | 15           | 0.11         | 36           | 0.82         |
| Hap13     | −      | −      | −      | 127    | −      | 4            | 4              | 2.3           | 13.2         | 0.07         | 37.2         | 0.73         |
| Hap14     | −      | −      | −      | +      | 4      | 3            | 1.7            | 12.7          | 0.07         | 31.2         | 0.66         |
| Hap15     | +      | +      | +      | −      | +      | 1            | 3              | 1.7           | 15.6         | 0.17         | 39.7         | 0.82         |
| Hap16     | +      | +      | −      | 127    | −      | 2            | 2              | 1.1           | 14.7         | 0.13         | 35.6         | 0.71         |
| Hap17     | −      | +      | −      | −      | −      | 4            | 1              | 0.6           | 20.2         | 0.17         | 40           | 0.88         |
| Hap18     | +      | +      | +      | −      | −      | 2            | 1              | 0.6           | 14.3         | 0.26         | 42           | 0.59         |
| Hap19     | +      | +      | +      | −      | +      | 2            | 1              | 0.6           | 13.2         | 0.14         | 43           | 0.76         |
| Hap20     | +      | +      | +      | 195    | +      | 0            | 1              | 0.6           | 14           | 0.11         | 40.6         | 1.03         |
| Hap21     | −      | +      | −      | 127    | −      | 3            | 1              | 0.6           | 14           | 0.22         | 41.3         | 1            |
| Hap22     | −      | −      | −      | 127    | +      | 2            | 1              | 0.6           | 13.4         | 0.07         | 32           | 0.7          |
| Hap23     | −      | −      | +      | 195    | −      | 3            | 1              | 0.6           | 12.5         | 0.09         | 36.3         | 0.7          |
| Hap24     | −      | −      | +      | 195    | +      | 2            | 1              | 0.6           | 14.3         | 0.07         | 36           | 0.8          |
| Total     |        |        |        |        |        |              | 175            | 100           |              |              |              |

* and − shows positive and negative amplifications. Xhau-4 is a co-dominant marker showed amplifications of 127 bp or 195 bp depending on the genotype.

FIGURE 7
Expression of 19 genes in roots and leaves of a subset of 28 wheat cultivars used in this study.

**TaHST1** locus plays a significant role in heat stress tolerance at both seedling and reproductive stage. Twenty-four haplotypes were detected using a set of five primers to detect **TaHST1** locus. Among the most frequent haplotypes, hap-20 had the highest SW values followed by hap-21. The results indicated that the presence of **TaHST1** has significant effects on wheat in heat stress condition, rather than optimal conditions. The genes that are present in this region has higher expression in roots, and only one gene involved...
in copper ion binding and electron transfer activity was highly expressed in leaves. It is likely that this gene is an important component of this locus and is associated with SL in HS conditions.

Conclusion

In a nutshell, some of the QTNs that are related to heat stress tolerance found in this research were already identified by other studies, although the stages of development were different in the previous and current study. Many new QTNs were also identified that are significantly related to HST. Wheat cultivars having favorable haplotypes of TaHST1 locus could be promising candidates for breeding for heat stress adaptability. To the best of our knowledge, this is first GWAS on historical wheat cultivars of Pakistan at seedling stage. The significant SNP markers and cultivars identified in this study will be used for marker assisted selection (MAS) for heat tolerant to facilitate the trait selection during breeding.
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