Application of Mineral Compounds for a High-Voltage Portable Grounding System: An Experimental Study
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Abstract: Electrical grounding is an indispensable part of the power system network. The grounding system is mainly affected by grounding resistance and the nature of the soil. High ground resistance produces the phenomenon of soil ionization, surface arching, and back flashover. A conventional grounding system requires the deep digging of electrodes, thus creating maintenance difficulties. This research work focuses on the safe operation of an electric power system from external and internal impulses arising due to lightning strikes or short circuits. The study proposes an application of mineral samples as grounding materials, and bentonite is used as backfilling material in portable grounding systems. A detailed experimental analysis was conducted under controlled conditions to evaluate the performance of selected materials in high-resistance soil. The problem of deeply driven electrode is addressed by designing the portable grounding system. The study results demonstrate that the proposed portable grounding system could be installed in troubled environments such as forests, deserts, and rocky terrains. To measure the breakdown voltages of the proposed samples, X-ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis and other laboratory tests were conducted. The electric field intensities are extracted through Finite Element Analysis (FEA). The experimental and simulation findings show the expected performance of mineral samples under various operating conditions. The findings of this study can guide the practitioners for safe and efficient operations of portable electrical grounding systems.
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1. Introduction

A portable grounding system is vital to provide the essential safety, reliability, and continuity of the power distributive system’s services. Grounding systems have a twofold role in electrical power systems: first, to ground high impulse current in the shortest possible time; second, to provide a reference potential point for electrical equipment. The grounding system is primarily designed for power frequency fault conditions such as short circuits; with design improvement, it can divert high impulse currents such as lightning strikes into the earth. Lightning strikes have an enormous amount of energy in the form of high magnitude current and voltage and fast rise times. They can severely damage the electrical equipment as well as put human safety in jeopardizing situations [1]. The
frequency and rate of energy transferring make the difference between these systems, as lightning strikes have a frequency up to 10 kHz, while the power system has a frequency of 50/60 Hz [2,3]. The grounding system behavior under power fault conditions is distinct from transient fault conditions as under later conditions. The current can vary from a few Amps to 20–30 kA [4]. Consequently, a carefully designed power system suitable to dissipate high impulse current is imperative regardless of the fault type [5].

Soil resistance is subjected to several variables, such as the chemical content of soils, water content, geography, and temperature [6]. Rain is another significant source affecting soil resistivity. Thus, it is essential that grounding resistance values must be controlled during the system’s operation to ensure a low impedance path for the surge current to dissipate in the ground in the shortest possible time [7,8]. In general, the resistance value for the grounding system must be lower than the demanded value. Literature suggests that for lightning protection, its value should be around 10 $\Omega$ [9]. Similarly, for protective devices on site requiring a quick operation, the grounding resistance value must be lower than 0.1 $\Omega$ [10,11]. However, it is a very challenging task to obtain the desired resistance value, especially in troubled environments such as forests, deserts, and hilly areas. For such circumstances, the ground resistance is decreased by increasing the number of grounding electrodes or using backfill material. The phenomena that occur in the surrounding soil of the electrode resulting in arching and coupling are referred to as potential ground rise [12]. In literature, various ground-enhancement compounds, such as chemical and natural products, have been used on different grounding system types. Though numerous research studies have focused on the problem of grounding resistance, there is room for improvement [13–15].

Grounding electrode impedance is measured at a low frequency (50/60 Hz), as these systems are designed to absorb nominal power frequency. Moreover, practical constraints arise due to high frequencies while measuring grounding impedance. Grounding impedance is mainly represented by the resistance of electrodes, the mass of the soil, and the contact resistance between the electrode and the soil [16]. The soil’s electrical and magnetic properties under fast transient conditions such as lightning strikes can be explained by three parameters: electrical conductivity, magnetic permeability, and dielectric permittivity [17]. Soil behavior varies under electromagnetic fields due to the different parameters comprising its structure, such as grain size, moisture, salt content, lattice arrangement, and temperature [18–20]. Soil homogeneity is divided into two layers. The upper layer soil resistivity typically ranges from 10 $\Omega$ to 100 $\Omega$, while the lower layer soil resistivity generally remains at 400 $\Omega$ [21]. The soil magnetic permeability is equivalent to the permeability of air in most cases and does not vary with frequency. However, up until now, it was considered on the basis of experimental results that the permeability and resistivity of soil are dependent on field frequency [22].

The relative permittivity and electrical resistivity are the basic physical agents of grounding materials. The ability to resist the flow of electric current is the volume resistivity of the material. Hence, it is used to estimate the time required for the electrical charge to dissipate in the ground [23]. Relative permittivity shows the polarizability of material, i.e., electric field variation inside a material relative to a vacuum. The relative permittivity is a function of the frequency of the applied electric field. If the material is homogenous in nature, the resistivity to some extent relates to the relative permittivity of the material. Resistivity is measured using a parallel plate cell. The powder is placed between two cells of the capacitor, voltage is generated across electrodes, and current is measured. The measure capacitance is directly proportional to relative permittivity [24,25].

The conventional method of a deeply driven electrode for grounding is still used for household applications in many countries. The ufer grounding technique, which utilizes the metal framework of structures such as high-rise buildings and towers, is also in practice [26]. The limitation in these systems is the grounding resistance. The main feature of the grounding system is to dissipate the unwanted electrical surges providing them with a low impedance path [27]. Ground resistance value depends on soil resistivity and
electrode configurations. A convenient method to reduce grounding resistivity is the use of Grounding Enhancement Compounds (GEC). Many materials are tested in literature, such as coconut coir peat, palm kernel oil cake (PKOC), paddy dust, bentonite, etc., and chemical products such as concrete, water-absorbent polymer, synthetic resins, mixed inorganic salts are used as GEC [28]. Yet, these compounds show discrepancies in terms of grounding the high voltages. Table 1 shows the properties comparison of conventional materials and proposed material for grounding system.

Table 1. Grounding material description.

| REF | Publication Year | Grounding Material | Description | Limitation |
|-----|------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| [22] | 2013 | Salt, sand, charcoal mixture | Conventional method Low-voltage sites Low cost | No maintenance Dissipated in ground Short life span Corroses grounding rod |
| [25] | 2015 | Soil, conductive cement and bentonite | Hydroscopic Low resistance Better conductivity | Work best in wet conditions only No maintenance Reliability issues |
| [18] | 2016 | Ufer ground (concrete) | Stable material Best for wet and dry conditions Application: Troubled environment | Coupling Maintenance problem |
| [23] | 2018 | Bentonite and sand | Do not produce corrosion to ground electrode Moisture absorption capability Inert materials | Installation problems: Irregular areas Resistance Maintenance problem |
| proposed | | Bauxite, laterite, china clay, silica sand and bentonite | Inert Portable Easy maintenance Low resistance Work best both in wet and dry conditions Can be applied to both low- and high-voltage sites | Extraction of pure minerals from soil layers |

Aiming at finding solutions to the problems of the deep digging of electrodes and high-resistance soils, this paper makes a novel contribution by presenting an application of electrical breakdown characteristics of various mineral compounds for portable Applications. Mineral compounds such as laterite, bauxite, and china clay are introduced as novel materials, and their breakdown behaviors are analyzed for an improved grounding system. The main contribution of this research work is an easy installation at troubled environments, i.e., forests, rocky desert terrains, etc., easy maintenance, better conductivity, and lower breakdown voltages. Compared to a conventional grounding system, the proposed system is cost-efficient, and the minerals used are easily available at low prices in the market. Prior to experimental testing, material characterizations such as XRD analysis is performed to observe the orientation and electrical characteristics of the proposed samples. The electric field intensity of the proposed setup is measured using an FEA tool. The grounding system is made portable, illustrating that it will apply to equipment as well as system grounding. A portable grounding system is movable. It is composed of an acrylic sheet container with grounding compounds in it. The size of the grounding system depends upon the requirement of the system and the type of equipment. This system has the advantage of preventing the deep digging of electrodes and can be easily installed in the troubled environment and high-resistance soil. The proposed materials (minerals and ores) used for grounding purposes act as an interface between earth and high-voltage surges. The system
allows high voltages effectively into the ground to avoid back flashover. Experimental results showed that proposed materials could be effectively used for portable applications.

2. Faults in Power System

Transient voltages or impulses are defined as a sudden sizing of voltage to a peak in a very short interval of time. Two types of transient voltage arise in the electrical power systems: one occurs due to external sources; the second occurs due to internal sources. The internal sources’ faults are insulation failure, arcing ground, and resonance, etc. during insulation failure, a live conductor is earthed that produces voltage peaks in the power system. Resonance is the phenomenon that occurs in a power system when capacitive reactance and inductive reactance become equal and thus cancel each other’s effects, and the line becomes purely resistive; hence, the system voltage increases sufficiently and is called low-frequency faults. The external faults are lightning impulse (LI) and switching impulses, which occur due to direct lightning strikes on energized conductors and have a very high frequency (up to 300 kHZ). When a no-load transmission line is suddenly switched on, transient voltage arises; similarly, when the loaded line is switched off or turned on, a large peak is generated across the transmission line, which has a very high frequency. In order to avoid these types of faults in a power system, an efficient grounding system is required.

The primary high-frequency electrical circuit model of the grounding grid system is shown in Figure 1. The passive parameters of resistance and inductance are shown to evaluate the high-frequency behavior of the grounding system with soil ionization. Figure 2 shows the circuit-based diagram. As can be seen, there are some series impedance branches with real and complex conjugate poles enforced to be at a stable state. Hence, the real part of fitted poles will have a negative value, as located at the left side of the imaginary axis in the complex plane. “d and h” are real if they existed, d = L0, and h = Ro.

![Figure 1. Conventional grounding system impedance model.](image-url)
3. Research Methodology

This research was conducted mainly in four steps. Firstly, materials were selected based on their texture and resistance. Then, XRD tests are performed to observe its atomic and molecular structure, and their curves obtained are matching with the standard patterns. Then, the electric field behaviors of the proposed compounds are obtained and analyzed in the FEA software, and lastly, practical experiments are conducted to observe the breakdown behavior of the samples. The schematic flowchart for the research methodology is shown in Figure 3. A brief explanation of each phase is briefly explained in the subsequent passages.
3.1. Selection of Material

The physical composition of soil subjected to lightning impulse voltages strongly influences soil discharge characteristics [29]. In this research work, a variety of grounding materials are studied, out of which two types of bauxite ore, two types of laterite ore, silica sand, and china clay, are selected. The materials are selected based on their physical and chemical properties. All the materials are chemically inert and are minerals and ores extracted from the ground. The selected material has a lower value of resistance, conductive in nature, and retains moisture. Bauxite absorbs 34.6% of water, china clay absorbs 37%, and bentonite absorbs water and swells up to 20% of its volume concerning dry conditions. Soil texture is an important aspect of selecting the material for grounding purposes. The proposed samples are analyzed for structure and grain size; all the samples are composed of solid grain particles with void spaces. Soil texture is the relative proportion of clay, silt, and sand. The United States Department of Agricultural (USDA) has classified soil texture based on the following proportions: sand 2.0–0.05 mm; silt 0.05–0.002 mm; and clay < 0.002 mm particles [30]. The proposed samples are sieved using a sieving machine for uniform grain size and the proper distribution of particles.

3.2. Sample Preparation for Experiments

Prior to experimental investigation, the proposed samples’ texture was carefully observed; bauxite, laterite, china clay is in rock form, while silica sand and rock sand were in crystal form. Several steps were followed to convert samples in rock form to refine powder form appropriate for lab tests. The first step is the drying process, in which the rocks were placed in open atmosphere air and sunlight for two hours and then in a microwave oven for two days (the temperature of the oven was set to 105 °C). The samples were dried to regain their natural form and make it easy to crush the dry rocks. The temperatures applied to the samples are closely monitored so that its properties are not affected by applying heat for a prolonged period of time. The next step is the crushing process, in which the samples were crushed to powder form in a Los Angles Abrasion machine with 12 steel balls and a 60 mm diameter drum. Abrasion machine rotation was set to 500 turns, and the process was repeated three times. The next step of the sample preparation process is the sieving process, in which different sizes of the sieve are used in a sieve shaker machine to obtain a uniform powder. The samples must be sieved properly and pure from impurities so that their morphological structure is retained. The final step is the weighing process, in which all the samples are carefully weighed before the experiments are performed. Figure 4 shows all the steps involved during the sample preparation process.
3.3. Test Specimen

The test specimens prepared for experimental purposes are placed inside a container measuring 14 cm in length, 14 cm in width, and 10 cm in height, as shown in Figure 5. High voltages were applied through HV Rod, and a hole with wrapped aluminium foil on the bottom sheet of a container is connected with a grounding electrode. The container comprised an acrylic sheet with a 2 cm internal diameter to withstand the high magnitude voltages and current. The container was seated on a stand to attain a suitable height and was grounded to the equi-potentization ground surface of the high-voltage lab.
4. Experimental Result

The breakdown voltages of test samples were calculated subjected to Alternating and Direct current conditions. Tests were conducted following IEC60060-1 (2010), and standard atmospheric conditions were maintained in lab (temperature $t_0 = 20 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, pressure $b_0 = 101.3 \, \text{kPa}$, absolute humidity $h_0 = 11 \, \text{gm/m}^3$).

The TERCO transformer generated alternating and direct current voltages. The experimental circuit consists of a control desk (CD), HV 9103, with a built-in peak voltmeter, HV 9150, whose voltage ranges are from 100 kV to 1000 kV and variable voltage supply ranges from 0 V to 230 V. Control desk specification is discussed in Table 2. The test transformer specification is discussed in Table 3. Figure 6 shows a pictorial view of the control desk and test transformer.

### Table 2. Control desk specifications.

| Supply Voltage | 230 V 50/60 Hz |
|----------------|---------------|
| Regulating Transformer | 5 kVA Continues |
| Regulating Voltage | 220 V/0-230 V |
| Output | 5 kVA Continues |
| Frequency | 10 kVA Short-time duty 2 min |
| Drive | 50/60 Hz |
| Drive | 24 V Geared motor drive |

### Table 3. Test transformer specifications.

| Input AC Supply | 220 V 50/60 Hz |
|----------------|---------------|
| Output Power | 5 kVA, 10 kVA for 60 min |
| Output Voltage | Up to 100 kV |

Figure 6. Control desk and test transformer.

4.1. AC Setup

Two stages of the TERCO Test transformer up to 200 kV (HV 9105) are used. For protection purposes, a resistor was connected while performing the test. The AC voltage of already-known values was applied to the measuring apparatus and voltmeter to calibrate it to avoid any error and improve the accuracy of the experiments. The block diagram of the AC setup is shown in Figure 7.
4.2. DC Setup

The breakdown voltages of selected samples are tested by applying DC voltage. The breakdown voltages of the samples and their mixes are calculated and compared with each other. The DC setup consists of a control desk (HV9103), transformer (HV9105), series and parallel capacitor (HV9112), diode rectifier (HV9111), resistor (HV9113), a protective resistor of 100 Ω, and a test panel (HV9133). The block diagram of the DC setup used is shown in Figure 8.

4.3. FEA Analysis

Quick field software is used to simulate the grounding system. The problem was solved in two stages: the first one is pre-processing, the second one is solving and getting a result. In the first stage, the properties and boundary conditions were assigned. In the second stage, parametric analysis was performed, and electric field intensity was calculated. The impedance of the grounding electrodes is shown in Equations (1) and (2).

\[
Z = \frac{V}{I} \quad (1)
\]

\[
Z = Z_c \frac{1 + \lambda \exp(-2\gamma l)}{1 - \lambda \exp(-2\gamma l)} \quad (2)
\]

where \(Z\) is the impedance, \(V\) is the voltage applied to the material its unit is (Volts), \(I\) is the input injected current unit (A), \(Z_c\) is the characteristic impedance, \(\gamma\) is the propagation constant, \(\lambda\) is the reflection factor, and \(l\) is the length of the electrode. The proposed samples are of an ohmic nature, i.e., they show low resistance to high impulse current in the case of short circuits or lightning strikes, as can be seen from Equations (1) and (3).
\[ \rho = \frac{RA}{L} \quad \text{(3)} \]

\[ \rho_{\text{eff}} = x_1 \rho_1 + x_2 \rho_2 \quad \text{(4)} \]

\( \rho \) is the resistivity, \( R \) is the resistance (\( \Omega \)), \( A \) is area (m\(^2\)), and \( L \) is the lengths (l) of the material. \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are the material ratios, and the effective resistivity of two random mixtures can be obtained by using Equation (4).

\[ \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{x_1}{\rho_1} + \frac{x_2}{\rho_2} \quad \text{(5)} \]

The effective resistivity of two ordered mixtures, i.e., having the same proportion of materials, can be found using Equation (5). Figure 9 reveals the voltage distribution with and without electric field vector presentation.

\[ C = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \frac{A}{d} \quad \text{(6)} \]

\[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon_2} \quad \text{(7)} \]

Figure 9. Voltage showing (a) with vectors of electric field strength (b) and without electric field strength.
\( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective permittivity of two ordered mixtures and \( x_1, x_2 \) are the material ratio. The relative permittivity of the powder mixture is obtained using Equations (6) and (7). The minerals induce strong charge trapping, i.e., capacitance effect, so they help retain the charge and avoid back flashover in the case of short circuits or lightning impulse.

\[
\delta R% = \frac{(R_0 - R)}{R_0} \tag{8}
\]

\[
R = R_0 1 - \frac{\delta R}{100} \tag{9}
\]

The quantity of the backfill material used in designing the grounding system can be obtained using Equations (8) and (9).

The process involved in the first stage is as follows. First, the AC/DC module selected is electrostatic, and the geometric model is a 2D axisymmetric plot. The parameters selected are relative permittivity. The relative permittivity of copper, laterite, bauxite, china clay and silica sand are 1.0006, 3.25, 4.10, 5, 7.0, respectively. The boundary conditions are electric potential (material breakdown voltage), and ground meshing is selected as fine.

The process involved in the second stage is as follows. The solver used in the FEA software is parametric analysis. The result obtained is for electric field strength. The surface plot obtained is (2D), and the line plot is (1D).

The FEA simulation is done using Gauss’s law, the current continuity equation, and ohm’s law given in Equations (10)–(12) was applied in this analysis.

\[
V.\varepsilon E = \rho \tag{10}
\]

\[
\Delta J = -\frac{\delta \rho}{\delta t} \tag{11}
\]

\[
J = \delta E \tag{12}
\]

where \( \delta \) is conductivity, \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric constant, \( J \) is the current density (A/m\(^2\)), and \( E \) is the electric field strength (V/m). The surface plot of voltage showing vectors of electron strength from a high-voltage electrode to the ground and without electron strength is shown in Figure 8. Furthermore, the surface plot of the laterite green and bentonite mixture is shown in Figure 10. The container of dimension 14 \( \times \) 14 \( \times \) 10 cm\(^3\) is designed in solver and assigned properties of a material to it. The copper rod with a pointy tip is designed and made in contact with the material. A vertex P1 is selected at the top of the container. After completion of the simulation, a parametric analysis of the results was performed. In the parametric analysis, the vertex P1 is displaced downward with the step size of 0.4 cm; the parametric analysis will help us get the electric field strength of materials from the tip to the end. The electric field strength is strong at the tip point, as this is the point where high voltage is applied. The field strength is weak at the middle point; the electric field strength is firm at the bottom. The field strength is weak in the middle, as we have assigned it with the properties of our proposed material, which are conductive in nature; the bottom region is the grounding point. Its high intensity reveals that incoming charges are effectively grounded. Figure 10 shows the electric field intensity when 10 kV of voltage is applied to bentonite and laterite green mixture. Figure 11 shows the meshing and design of the grounding system composed of a high-voltage electrode and the container comprising grounding materials.
Figure 10. Surface plot of laterite bentonite.

The line plot of the electric field intensity of the laterite green and bentonite mixture is shown in Figure 12. The vertex point is displaced from the tip of the electrode to the bottom of the material using 16 steps of equal size (0.4 cm). The field strength at the top is a maximum of $4 \times 10^6 \text{ V/cm}$ and nearly equal to $1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ V/cm}$ in the middle of the container. The field strength increases at the bottom of the container, illustrating that the proposed mixture allows for the shortest path for the incoming surges and immediately grounds the high voltages, as the field strength is minimum at the center and maximum at the ground plate of the container. The vertical axis represents the electric field intensity, and the horizontal axis represents the equal distance of 0.4 from the tip of the high-voltage electrode to the ground electrode. The electric field intensity as a function of distance is shown in Figures 12–16.

Figure 11. Meshing and design.
Figure 12. Line plot of laterite green bentonite.

Figure 13. Line plot of laterite red bentonite.

Figure 14. Line plot of silica sand bentonite.
Similarly, the line plots of all the other samples tested are as follows.

5. XRD Analysis of the Proposed Sample

The atomic and molecular structure of a crystal can be obtained using an X-ray diffraction technique, in which the X-ray beam is diffracted into many specific directions due to the sample crystalline structure. X-ray crystallography or diffraction is the only technique that shows structural data such as crystal structure, chemical composition, crystallite size, orientation, and layer thickness. XRD curves for all the samples were done, and the obtained results are shown in Figures 17–19, whereas the Gaussian curve fitting is presented in Figures 20–22. XRD analysis can be performed on powder, solids, thin films, and nanomaterials. XRD stands on the constructive interference of monochromatic X-rays and refines crystalline powder samples. X-rays are generated by cathode ray tubes. These rays are then filtered to generate monochromatic radiations, concentrated and directed towards the sample placed on the stand. When Bragg’s law is satisfied, the incident ray after hitting the sample produces constructive interference [31].

\[ n\lambda = 2d\sin\theta \]  

(13)

Figure 15. Line plot of bentonite bauxite.

Figure 16. Line plot of bentonite china clay.
Here, $\lambda$ is the incident X-ray beam wavelength, and $d$ is the distance between two consecutive lattices, which means the distance between the two layers of samples. $n$ is a positive integer, $\theta$ is the angle of incidence. Bragg’s law relates the X-rays’ radiation to the crystalline sample’s lattice spacing and diffraction angle. The X-rays after diffraction are detected, counted, and processed. The samples are scanned through a range of $2\theta$ angles, and all possible diffraction directions of a powdered mixture are obtained.

$$\tau = \frac{k\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

where $\tau$ is the mean size of the crystalline domain, $k$ is the dimensionless shape factor, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength, $\beta$ is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity, and $\theta$ is the Bragg’s law. The obtained peaks are then converted to d-spacing using Gaussian fitting and Scherrer formulae; this allows us to identify the minerals, as all minerals have a set of unique d-spacing. Identification of minerals can be achieved by comparing them with the standard patterns. XRD analysis is performed for the characterization of material and unit crystalline structure, and the level of purity of the powder material is achieved. The $2\theta$ angles are set according to the standard, i.e., 20–80 degrees. As the samples are extracted from Mines in Pakistan to determine their natural characteristics and compare them with standard materials, pattern XRD analysis is compulsory. XRD analysis helps in configuring the test sample’s orientation, phases, chemical combination, lattice structure, and other natural characteristics. The chemical combination and phases of the test sample suggest its conduction and dielectric properties.

Figure 17. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) of laterite green and silica sand.
**Figure 18.** XRD of laterite red and silica sand.

**Figure 19.** XRD of bauxite and bentonite.
Figure 20. Gaussian fitting of laterite red and silica sand.

Figure 21. Gaussian fitting of laterite green and silica sand.
Figure 22. Gaussian fitting of bauxite and bentonite.

The Gaussian fitting graph of test samples are presented let us take laterite green and silica sand for explanation. Here, the pink line represents the laterite green and silica sand mixture curve. The vertical blue line represents the silica sand standard pattern, and the vertical red line represents the laterite standard curve. The horizontal red line is obtained by applying the Gaussian fitting smoothening factor. The vertical lines almost cover all the mixture curves; hence, it is proved that the sample tested shows properties equivalent to standard material.

The XRD curves of the test samples are compared with the standard pattern. The Gaussian fitting curves of all the samples fitted the standard curve almost at every peak, showing that the minerals selected are almost close to standard materials.

6. Experimental Result

The test specimens were prepared carefully, tested through XRD analysis for their origin properties, and simulated through FEA software for their electric field distribution properties for the laboratory results. The next step was to test the selected samples experimentally. The samples are two ores of laterite (laterite green and laterite red), two ores of bauxite (white and red), china clay, silica sand, and bentonite as backfill material. The samples were tested in a high-voltage lab under alternating and direct current conditions. Three forms of tests are conducted firstly; samples were tested separately, then tested as compound mixed with 1:1 proportion, and then tested with bentonite to achieve better results. Breakdown voltages of proposed minerals are indicated in Table 4.

Table 4. AC and DC breakdown voltages.

| Materials         | AC BD * Voltage (kV) | DC BD * Voltage (kV) |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Laterite Green    | 8.42                 | 16.48                |
| China Clay        | 14.18                | 25.61                |
| Bauxite White     | 7.76                 | 30.52                |
| Silica Sand       | 12.81                | 19.18                |
| Laterite Red      | 12.76                | 18.32                |
| Bauxite Red       | 7.41                 | 33.04                |

* BD = Breakdown voltage.
The samples were tested ten times each, and their average value was taken. Under alternating current conditions, bauxite ores and laterite showed the lowest rate of breakdown. Under direct current conditions, laterite yielded a lower value of breakdown voltage.

The materials tested separately are then investigated by adding the backfill-material bentonite for both the AC and the DC conditions. Bentonite is added to lower the earth resistance; hence, the conductivity of materials is increased, resulting in an acquired low breakdown voltage. The sample showing the lowest breakdown voltage is preferred for the grounding system, as over-voltage transients that arise in the system are grounded within a short period of time. Practical experiments are conducted to prove the claim. Table 5 shows the breakdown characteristics of the test specimen.

Table 5. Breakdown voltages of samples mixed with bentonite in equal proportions.

| Materials                              | AC BD * Voltage (kV) | DC BD * Voltage (kV) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Laterite (Green) and Bentonite         | 6.71                 | 7.92                 |
| China Clay and Bentonite               | 7.89                 | 15.83                |
| Bauxite (White) and Bentonite          | 7.09                 | 14.22                |
| Silica Sand and Bentonite              | 4.74                 | 10.04                |
| Laterite (Red) and Bentonite           | 6.85                 | 9.98                 |
| Bauxite (Red) and Bentonite            | 6.35                 | 19.3                 |

* BD = Breakdown voltage.

Bentonite was added in a 1:1 proportion. Laterite ore mixed with bentonite showed the lowest breakdown voltage than other test samples. The silica sand and bentonite mixture also reduces breakdown characteristics under both AC and DC conditions.

The test samples were then tested with each other to analyze their breakdown capability. The materials were mixed in a 1:1 proportion, and the results were obtained as shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Breakdown voltages of samples mixed together.

| Materials                              | AC BD * Voltage (kV) | DC BD * Voltage (kV) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Silica sand and bauxite red            | 12.60                | 24.96                |
| Laterite green and bauxite             | 13.25                | 17.18                |
| Laterite green and bauxite red         | 8.83                 | 27.38                |
| Bauxite red and laterite red           | 17.56                | 12.34                |
| China clay and bauxite                 | 11.36                | 21.28                |
| China clay and laterite green          | 8.36                 | 15.7                 |
| China clay and laterite red            | 10.05                | 36.16                |
| Silica sand and bauxite                | 6.88                 | 28.9                 |

* BD = Breakdown voltage.

Under the AC conditions, the silica sand and bauxite mixture and the laterite and bauxite mixture showed a lower breakdown voltage value than all the other samples tested. Under DC conditions, the china clay and laterite ore (green) mixture and the bauxite and laterite mixture had a lower breakdown voltage value than all the other samples tested. The corresponding results are presented in Table 5.

All the samples tested were carefully tested for grain size and moisture content. Samples are processed through a sieve shaker machine to attain uniform particle size and placed in an oven for 48 h at 105 °C to remove all the moisture content. It is concluded by performing experimental tests that china clay and bauxite ore showed a lower value of breakdown voltages than the other samples tested. Bauxite mixed with laterite and china clay mixed with laterite gives the most feasible results among all mixed compounds. Bentonite addition clearly lowers the breakdown voltage due to its intrinsic properties (resistance reduction and increasing conduction), as seen in Tables 4–6.
The limitation that occurs in conducting this research is finding the mineral samples in their purest form. Many samples were collected and tested under XRD analysis, but they lack properties—that is, their pattern does not match the standard curves of material and s, thus, rejected.

7. Conclusions and Outlook

This research work includes breakdown characteristics of minerals used as grounding materials under alternating and direct current conditions. The resistance of grounding system reduction is clearly indicated by the use of bentonite as backfill material. Under alternating current conditions, the laterite ores and bentonite mixture show 41% better results than all the other samples. Bauxite bentonite mixtures show a 34% lower breakdown voltage than the entire set of samples tested. Under direct current conditions, only laterite ores show the lower value of breakdown voltage when mixed with bentonite in equal proportion, i.e., 46% laterite green and 36% laterite red. In short, bauxite ore, laterite, and china clay can be used as grounding compounds for portable applications. The breakdown characteristics of these mineral compounds are analyzed for the first time, along with their electric field simulation. The grounding system proposed is portable, its maintenance is easy, and it can be installed both as system and equipment grounding applications. The future recommendation includes aging these proposed samples, regularly testing for at least one year, and observing their performance in different weather conditions. Future research may include wetting these samples and analyzing their breakdown strength. The residual left behind after breakdown occurs should also be studied for further research.
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Abbreviations

| Acronym | Description                        |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| XRD     | X-ray Diffraction                  |
| GEC     | Ground Enhancement Compounds       |
| PKOC    | Palm Kernel Oil Cake               |
| USDA    | United States Department of Agriculture |
| CD      | Control Desk                       |
| AC      | Alternating Current                |
| DC      | Direct Current                     |
| HV      | High Voltage                       |
| FEA     | Finite Element Analysis            |
| BD      | Breakdown Voltages                 |
| LI      | Lightning Impulse                  |
| SI      | Switching Impulse                  |

References

1. Androvitsaneas, V.P.; Gonos, I.F.; Stathopulos, I.A. Experimental study on transient impedance of grounding rods encased in ground enhancing compounds. *Electr. Power Syst. Res.* 2016, 139, 109–115. [CrossRef]
2. Lee, C.H.; Meliopoulos, A.S. Safety assessment of AC grounding systems based on voltage-dependent body resistance. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 2015, 51, 5204–5211. [CrossRef]
3. Sekioka, S. Frequency and current-dependent grounding resistance model for lightning surge analysis. *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Comput.* 2019, 61, 419–425. [CrossRef]

4. Permal, N.; Osman, M.; Kadir, M.Z.A.A.; Ariffin, A.M. Review of substation grounding system behavior under high frequency and transient faults in uniform soil. *IEEE Access* 2020, 8, 142468–142482. [CrossRef]

5. BSI. IEC62305-3, Protection against Lightning Part 3: Physical Damage to Structures and Life Hazard. 2010. Available online: https://isfsm.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/3-DEHN-UK-Lightning-prot-Physical-damage-to-structures-IFSM.pdf (accessed on 15 June 2021).

6. Honarbaksh, B.; Karami, H.; Sheshyekani, K. Direct characterization of grounding system wide-band input impedance. *IEEE Trans. Electromagn. Comput.* 2020, 63, 328–331. [CrossRef]

7. Ahmad, R.; Khan, F.; Jamal, A.; Khan, S.; Ali, S.; Horoub, M.M.; Albalasie, A. Simulation and breakdown characteristics of china clay and silica sand for improved grounding system. In Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Electrical, Communication, and Computer Engineering (ICECCE), Istanbul, Turkey, 12–13 June 2020; pp. 1–6. [CrossRef]

8. McGowan, K.L. Use of conductive backfills in reducing transmission tower surge impedance and footing resistance. In Proceedings of the IEEE PES Summer Meeting, San Francisco, CA, USA, 20–25 July 1975; pp. 484–486.

9. Eduful, G.; Cole, J.E.; Okyere, P.Y. Optimum mix of ground electrodes and conductive backfills to achieve a low groundresistance. In Proceedings of the IEEE 2nd International Conference on Adaptive Science Technology, Accra, Ghana, 14–16 January 2009; pp. 140–145.

10. IEEE Working Group. Estimating lightning performance of transmission lines II updates to analytical models. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* 1993, 8, 1254–1267. Available online: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/252651 (accessed on 28 May 2021). [CrossRef]

11. Steinsland, V.; Sivertsen, L.H.; Cimpan, E.; Zhang, S. A new approach to include complex grounding system in lightning transient studies and EMI evaluations. *Energies* 2019, 12, 3142. [CrossRef]

12. Jones, W.R. Bentonite rods assure ground rod installation in problem soils. *IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst.* 1980, PAS-99, 1343–1346. [CrossRef]

13. Kostic, M.B.; Radakovic, Z.R.; Radovanovic, N.S.; Tomasevic-Canovic, M.R. Improvement of electrical properties of grounding loops by using bentonite and waste drilling mud. *IEEE Proc. Gener. Transm. Distrib.* 1999, 146, 1–6. [CrossRef]

14. Nor, N.M.; Haddad, A.; Griffiths, H. Performance of earthing systems of low resistivity soils. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* 2006, 21, 2039–2047. [CrossRef]

15. Galván, A.D.; Pretelin, G.G.; Gaona, E.E. Practical evaluation of ground enhancing compounds for high soil resistivities. In Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP), Cagliari, Italy, 13–17 September 2010; pp. 1233–1–1233-4.

16. Wan Ahmad, W.F.; Abdul Rahman, M.S.; Jasni, J.; Ab Kadir, M.Z.A.; Hizam, H. Chemical enhancement materials for grounding purposes. In Proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP), Cagliari, Italy, 13–17 September 2010; pp. 1106–1–1106-6.

17. Androvitsaneas, V.P.; Gonos, I.F.; Stathopulos, I.A. Research and applications of ground enhancing compounds in grounding systems. *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.* 2017, 11, 3195–3201. [CrossRef]

18. Lim, S.; Gomes, C.; Kadir, M.; Nourirad, G.; Malek, Z. Behaviour of backfill materials for electrical grounding systems under high voltage conditions. *J. Eng. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 10, 811–826.

19. Mousa, A.M. Soil ionization gradient associated with discharge of high currents into concentrated electrodes. *IEEE Trans. Power Deliv.* 1994, 9, 1669–1677. [CrossRef]

20. Peltonen, J.; Murtooma, M.; Robinson, K.; Salonen, J. The electrical resistivity and relative permittivity of binary powder mixtures. *Powder Technol.* 2018, 325, 228–233. [CrossRef]

21. Coelho, V.L.; Piantini, A.; Almaguer, H.A.; Coelho, R.A.; Boaventura, W.D.C.; Paulino, J.O.S. The influence of seasonal soil moisture on the behavior of soil resistivity and power distribution grounding systems. *Electr. Power Syst. Res.* 2015, 118, 76–82. [CrossRef]

22. Lim, S.C.; Gomes, C.; Ab Kadir, M.Z.A. Characterizing of bentonite with chemical, physical and electrical perspectives for improvement of electrical grounding systems. *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.* 2013, 8, 11429–11447.

23. Sabry, R.Z.; Gomes, C.; Izadi, M.; Ab Kadir, M.Z.A.; Hizam, H.; Jasni, J. Experimental investigation on breakdown characteristics of sand, bentonite and their mixes. *J. Electrost.* 2018, 93, 79–84. [CrossRef]

24. Ahmad, R.; Khan, F.; Ullah, I.; Ahmad, N.; Khan, S.; Khan, B. Portable grounding system using backfill materials. In Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Power Generation Systems and Renewable Energy Technologies (PGSRET), Islamabad, Pakistan, 10–12 September 2018; pp. 1–5.

25. Gomes, C.; Lalitha, C.; Priyadarshan, C. Improvement of earthing systems with backfill materials. In Proceedings of the 2010 30th International Conference on Lightning Protection (ICLP), Cagliari, Italy, 13–17 September 2010; pp. 1–9.

26. Lim, S.C.; Gomes, C.; Ab Kadir, M.Z.A. Electrical earthing in troubled environment. *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.* 2013, 47, 117–128. [CrossRef]

27. Androvitsaneas, V.P.; Gonos, I.F.; Stathopulos, I.A. Performance of ground enhancing compounds during the year. In Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Lightning Protection, Vienna, Austria, 2–7 September 2012.

28. Carter, M.R.; Gregorich, E.G. *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis*, 2nd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2007.
29. Lim, S.C.; Choun, L.W.; Gomes, C.; Ab Kadir, M.Z.A. Environmental effects on the performance of electrical grounding systems. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE 7th International Power Engineering and Optimization Conference (PEOCO), Langkawi, Malaysia, 3–4 June 2013; pp. 330–333.

30. CIGRE Working Group on Lightning. Guide to Procedures for Estimating the Lightning Performance of Transmission Lines. 1991. Available online: https://e-cigre.org/publication/063-guide-to-procedures-for-estimating-the-lightning-performance-of-transmission-lines (accessed on 10 June 2021).

31. Siddiqui, M.N.; Ali, M.F.; Shirokoff, J. Use of X-ray diffraction in assessing the aging pattern of asphalt fractions. Fuel 2002, 81, 51–58. [CrossRef]