**PCM1-JAK2 Fusion Tyrosine Kinase Gene-Related Neoplasia: A Systematic Review of the Clinical Literature**
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**Abstract**

**Background:** This review summarizes the case studies of PCM1-JAK2 fusion tyrosine kinase gene-related neoplasia. Recommended treatment includes JAK2 inhibitors and hematologic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), although the small number of patients has limited study of their efficacy. Herein, we present all available cases in the current searchable literature with their demographics, diagnoses, treatments, and outcomes.

**Methods:** PubMed, ScienceDirect, Publons, the Cochrane Library, and Google were searched with the following terms: PCM1-JAK2, ruxolitinib and myeloid/lymphoid.

**Results:** Sixty-six patients (mean age = 50, 77% male) had an initial diagnosis of myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN) in 40, acute leukemia in 21 and T-cell cutaneous lymphoma in 5. Thirty-five patients (53%) had completed 5-year follow-up. The 5-year survival for the MPN, acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), acute lymphocytic leukemia, and lymphoma groups are 62.7%, 14.9%, 40.0%, and 100%, respectively. Too few patients have been treated with ruxolitinib to draw conclusions regarding its effect on survival while the 5-year survival for MPN patients with or without HSCT was 80.2% (40.3%-94.8%) versus 51.5% (22.3%-74.6%), respectively. The T-cell cutaneous lymphoma patients have all survived at least 7 years.

**Conclusion:** This rare condition may be increasingly detected with wider use of genomics. Ruxolitinib can yield hematologic and molecular remissions. However, HSCT is, at this time, the only potentially curative treatment. Useful prognostic markers are needed to determine appropriate timing for HSCT in patients with MPN. Patients presenting with acute leukemia have a poor prognosis.
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**Implications for Practice**

While PCM1-JAK2 fusion is an uncommon condition it affects a wide variety of hematopoietic cell lines and therefore can present as a myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN), acute leukemia, non-Hodgkin lymphoma (including mycosis fungoides), and perhaps Hodgkin disease. Eosinophilia and erythrocyte dysplasia can be prominent clues to the diagnosis. While cytogenetics often can demonstrate the fusion, specific probes, and next generation sequencing may be required. JAK2 inhibitors may be helpful in MPN and stem cell transplantation has been successful in a number of cases. Clarifying indicators for early transplantation would be helpful. Patients presenting with acute leukemia have a very poor prognosis.

**Introduction**

A variety of JAK2 variants that activate tyrosine kinases have been reported, including the well-known V617F variant which occurs in most patients with polycythemia vera and approximately half of the patients with essential thrombocytosis and primary myelofibrosis.1-5 Many of these patients will respond favorably to JAK2 inhibitors.6,7 Translocations and rearrangements involving JAK2, however, are less common. These variants affect many hematopoietic cell lines and may lead to malignancy in any of them.8-10 They are now classified by the World Health Organization as “Myeloid/lymphoid Neoplasms with Eosinophilia and TK Fusion Genes.”11-14 While the diagnosis can usually be made with routine cytogenetic studies, it can sometimes require specific probes, FISH, and next generation sequencing.15-19 This is extremely important as different therapies may be indicated for different variants. For example, within this broader group of neoplasms, fusions of
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**Review of the Clinical Literature**

In our review of the literature as of September 5, 2021 interrogating PubMed, Science Direct, Publons, Cochrane Library, and Google utilizing search terms PCM1-JAK2, ruxolitinib, and myeloid/lymphoid we were able to identify 66 cases harboring the PCM1-JAK2 fusion mutation. These patients spanned the entire gamut of ages with a median (interquartile range) of 47 (36.5, 69.3) and range of 6 to 86 years (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Forty-eight of the 62 (77%) that reported patient gender were male. The reason for the male preponderance is not clear. Some of these reports overlap with the same patients included in multiple studies, though this overlap has been eliminated in this review by curation of the individual case reports.

In assessing all 66 patients, 40 carried a variety of initial diagnoses that we have curated to be consistent with a myeloproliferative neoplasm (MPN; Fig. 2 and Table 1). These cases included atypical chronic myelogenous leukemia and chronic eosinophilic leukemia. In Fig. 2, we have retained the original diagnoses noted in the source manuscripts to provide historical perspective. However, per current terminology, they are noted as MPN’s in other figures and Table 1. There were also 21 cases of acute leukemia at first diagnosis (32%), 9 cases of acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), 3 cases of the AML subgroup acute erythroleukemia (AEL) and 1 of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). There were 6 cases of B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), and 2 of T-cell ALL. There were also 5 lymphoma patients. In this report we have summarized the individual cases (Table 1) and discuss the course of disease by diagnostic category. Six reports lack clinical outcome data, though they are included in Table 1

**Figure 1. Age and gender distribution in 64 patients with PCM1-JAK2.**

**Five-Year Survival by Initial Diagnosis**

Long-term survivals were analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier estimate and compared by the log-rank test. Median survival time was reported with the 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org). Based on the reported data in the literature, only 35 patients (53%) had completed 5-year follow-up (45%, 62%, 63%, and 80% in the MPN, AML, ALL, and Lymphoma initial diagnosis groups, respectively). The survival by the above 4 groups are shown in Fig. 3. The median survival time for AML and ALL groups are 10 and 8 months, respectively. The 5-year survival for the MPN, AML, ALL, and lymphoma initial diagnosis groups are 62.7% (95% CI 39.6-79.0%), 14.9% (0.8-47.3%), 40.0% (6.6-73.4%), and 100%, respectively.

**Myeloproliferative Neoplasms**

The PCM1-JAK2 fusion was first described as a syndrome of MPNs in multiple patients with acute and chronic leukemia by Reiter in 2005.26 Rearrangements have been noted to involve a variety of breakpoints in both genes. All of the fusions contain a number of the coiled-coil domains of PCM1 and the complete catalytic tyrosine kinase domain of JAK2.37 This leads to the constitutive activation of the JAK2 kinase due to the oligomerization mediated by the coiled-coil domains of PCM1, which in turn, activates the JAK/STAT axis. All of these fusion rearrangements have been associated with eosinophilia and not surprisingly, in view of the polycythemia noted with the V617F mutation, dysplastic erythroid proliferation has been prominently seen as well.32

Ruxolitinib is a potent JAK2 inhibitor that is widely used to treat polycythemia vera and myelofibrosis.6,7 Studies have evaluated this drug in cell lines with a variety of JAK2 fusion variants, including PCM1-JAK2, and found it to be active at nanomolar concentrations.11,12,33,38 Ruxolitinib has produced significant clinical responses in this type of MPN, including hematologic remissions. Eleven patients in this series received ruxolitinib for MPN,27,30,33,35,39 The 5-year survival for MPN patients treated with or without ruxolitinib were 60.6% (95% CI 19.3%-85.9%) versus 64.4% (95% CI 36.6%-82.4%), respectively, P = .736 (Fig. 4). The median survival for patients not treated with ruxolitinib was 89 months and not enough data to compute for ruxolitinib-treated patients. However, this may be biased by the fact that the ruxolitinib group is a smaller, more recent group than those who did not receive this drug. Analysis of ruxolitinib’s effect on survival is also complicated by the fact that 5 of these patients also received HSCT.27,30,33,39 For these 5 patients, the 5-year survival was 75.0% (95% CI 12.8%-96.1%) and for the 6 who did not receive transplant27,30,33,39 the 5-year survival was unavailable (Fig. 5). For the 25 MPN patients who did not receive ruxolitinib, 7 received HSCT25,28,32,34,36,37 and had 5-year survival of 85.7% (95% CI 33.4%-97.9%); 18 patients who did not receive HSCT26,29,31,34,36,39,41,58 had 5-year survival of 53.8% (95% CI 21.0%-78.2%; Fig. 5).

Considering the entire cohort of 36 MPN patients for whom we have clinical data, the 5-year survival for MPN patients with or without HSCT was 80.2% (95% CI 40.3%-94.8%) versus 51.5% (95% CI 22.3%-74.6%), respectively.
Table 1. Clinical course of patients with PCM1-JAK2 fusion variant.

| ID | Author          | Initial Dx | Eosinophilia | Initial Rx                      | Duration 1st phase (months) | Transformed Dx | 2nd Rx          | Survival after 2nd Rx in months | Age | Sex | Survival in months |
|----|-----------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|
| 1  | Bousquet        | MPN        | No           | HU, HSCT                        | 7                          |                |                | 4                             | 46  | M   | 12                |
| 2  | Reiter          | MPN        | No           | None                            | 10                         | ALL            | HSCT           | 53+                           | 32  | M   | 63+               |
| 3  | Reiter          | MPN        | Yes          | IFN, then HSCT                  | 10                         |                |                | 13+                           | 42  | M   | 23+               |
| 4  | Reiter          | MPN        | Yes          | None                            | 72                         | AML            |                |                               | 74  | M   | 73                |
| 5  | Schwaab         | MPN        | No           | Ruxolitinib—CHR                 |                            |                |                |                               | 70  | M   | 26+               |
| 6  | Cornfield       | MPN        | Yes          | Imatinib, HU several month, then HSCT |                            |                |                |                               | 45  | M   | 28+               |
| 7  | Dargent         | MPN        | Yes          | HU                              | 30+                        |                |                |                               | 57  | M   | 30+               |
| 8  | Lierman         | MPN        | Yes          | HU                              | 15                         |                |                | 15+                           | 72  | M   | 30+               |
| 9  | Precup          | MPN        | Yes          | IFN + prednisone, PR            | 12+                        | Myelofibrosis |                |                               | 47  | M   | 12+               |
| 10 | Prochorec-Sobieszek | MPN  | Yes          | IFN, HU, Ara C                  | 30                         |                | HSCT           | 3+                            | 22  | F   | 33+               |
| 11 | Reiter          | MPN        | Yes          | IFN—CR                         |                            |                |                |                               | 47  | M   | 89                |
| 12 | Rumi            | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib, CR                 | 46+                        |                |                |                               | 31  | F   | 46+               |
| 13 | Rumi            | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib                     | 36+                        |                |                |                               | 72  | M   | 39                |
| 14 | Murati          | MPN        | Yes          | HU + IFN, HSCT                  | 60+                        |                |                |                               | 43  | M   | 60+               |
| 15 | Reiter          | MPN        | Yes          | None                            |                            |                |                |                               | 72  | M   | 0.1               |
| 16 | Schwaab         | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib + HSCT (preplanned) | 2                          | HD after HSCT  |                | 43+                           | 29  | M   | 45+               |
| 17 | Schwaab         | MPN        | No           | Ruxolitinib—no response         | 1                          |                |                |                               | 76  | M   | 4                |
| 18 | Tang            | MPN        | No           | HU                              |                            |                |                | AML                           | 43  | M   | 35                |
| 19 | Heiss           | MPN        | Yes          | HU                              | 10                         | Acute erythroleukemia | HSCT          |                               | 61  | M   | 10+               |
| 20 | Kaplan          | MPN        | No           | None                            | 27                         | T-cell ALL     | HyperCVAD, HSCT | 41+                           | 28  | F   | 68+               |
| 21 | Schwaab         | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib—CHR                 | 38                         |                |                | Progressive disease           | 49  | M   | 43+               |
| 22 | Schwaab         | MPN        | Yes          | RUXOLITINIB—CHR                 | 26                         |                |                | Clonal evolution; Burkitt after HSCT | 50  | M   | 31                |
| 23 | Schwaab         | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib—CHR                 | 32                         |                |                | Progressive disease           | 51  | M   | 69+               |
| 24 | Chase           | MPN        | Yes          | HU 2 months, IFN + HU + Ara C   |                            |                |                | HU + IFN + Ara C              | 20  | M   | 22                |
| 25 | Murati          | MPN        | Yes          | HU 1 month, IFN 1 year, imatinib 9 months |                            |                |                | AML                           | 45  | M   | 21+               |
| 26 | Murati          | MPN        | Yes          | HU 1 month, IFN 1 year, imatinib 9 months |                            |                |                |                               | 50  | M   | 16+               |
| 27 | Patterer        | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib, splenectomy        |                            |                |                |                               | 72  | M   | 5                |
| 28 | Patterer        | MPN        | Yes          | HU, Ara C                       |                            |                |                |                               | 35  | M   |                  |
| ID  | Author   | Initial Dx | Eosinophilia | Initial Rx                          | Duration 1st phase (months) | Transformed Dx | 2nd Rx                        | Survival after 2nd Rx in months | Age | Sex | Survival in months |
|-----|----------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|
| 30  | Stewart  | MPN        | Yes          | HU, splenectomy                      |                             |                 |                               | 24                               | M   | 12  |                   |
| 31  | Tang     | MPN        |              | Decitabine                           |                             |                 |                               | 82                               | F   | 8+  |                   |
| 32  | Tang     | MPN        | No           | HU, ATRA                             |                             |                 |                               | 86                               | F   | 1   |                   |
| 33  | Song     | MPN        | No           | After 13 months                      | 13+                         |                 | 13+                           | 42                               | M   | 13+ |                   |
| 34  | Tang     | MPN        | Yes          | 9-Aminocamptotochrome               |                             |                 |                               | 37                               | M   | 24+ |                   |
| 35  | Tang     | MPN        | Yes          | Ruxolitinib, HSCT                    |                             |                 |                               | 40                               | M   | 29+ |                   |
| 36  | Tang     | MPN        | Yes          | HU                                   |                             |                 |                               | 53                               | F   | 104+|                   |
| 37  | Tang     | MPN        | Yes          | None                                 |                             |                 |                               | 70                               | M   | 13+ |                   |
| 38  | Tang     | MPN        | Yes          | None                                 |                             |                 |                               | 71                               | M   | 142 |                   |
| 39  | Dunlap   | MPN        |              |                                      |                             |                 |                               |                                  |     |     |                   |
| 40  | Patnaik  | MPN        |              |                                      |                             |                 |                               |                                  |     |     |                   |
| 41  | Bousquet | AML        | Yes          | Ara C + ida + XRT, CR                |                             |                 |                               | 44                               | M   | 1+  |                   |
| 42  | Huang    | AML        | Yes          | Ara C + ida + Hi Ara C—CR            |                             |                 |                               | 48                               | F   | 7   |                   |
| 43  | Luedke   | AML        | No           |                                      |                             |                 |                               | 32                               | M   |     |                   |
| 44  | Masselli | AML        |              | Induction chemo + HSCT               | 3.3+                       |                 |                               |                                  |     |     |                   |
| 45  | Patterer | AML        | Yes          | Ara C + doxorubicin                  |                             |                 |                               | 47                               | M   | 6   |                   |
| 46  | Patterer | AML        |              | palliative care                      |                             |                 |                               | 73                               | M   | 0.3 |                   |
| 47  | Patterer | AML        |              | palliative care                      |                             |                 |                               | 75                               | F   | 7+  |                   |
| 48  | Reiter   | AML        |              | induction-CR, then IFN 6 yrs         |                             |                 |                               | 54                               | M   | 180+|                   |
| 49  | Schwaa   | AML        | No           | Ruxolitinib—no response              | 2                          |                 |                               | 69                               | F   | 14 |                   |
| 50  | Salehi   | APL        | Yes          | Arsenic Trioxide, all trans-retinoic acid |                             |                 |                               | 86                               | F   | 1   |                   |
| 51  | Cheng    | Erythroleukemia |           | Ruxolitinib, HSCT                    |                             |                 |                               | 40                               | M   | 2+  |                   |
| 52  | Lee      | Erythroleukemia |           | Induction chemo-CR 19 mths; reinduction, HSCT |                             |                 |                               | 51                               | F   | 29 |                   |
| 53  | Murati   | Erythroleukemia |           | CDDP, VP16, ifos                     |                             |                 |                               | 12                               | F   | 10 |                   |
| 54  | Patterer | B-cell ALL |              | GMALL protocol, cân CR, genomic PR   | 4                          |                 |                               |                                  |     |     |                   |
| 55  | Tang     | B-cell ALL | No           | HyperCVAD                            |                             |                 |                               | 47                               | M   | 2   |                   |
| 56  | Tang     | B-cell ALL | No           | HyperCVAD, inotuzumab, rituxan       |                             |                 |                               | 69                               | M   | 7+  |                   |
| 57  | Wouters  | B-cell ALL | No           | VCR, DNM, pred, IT                 | 12                         |                 |                               | Ruxolitinib 12+ | 77  | F   | 24+  |
| 58  | Reiter   | Pre-B-cell ALL |          | Chemo                               |                             |                 |                               |                                  |     |     |                   |
| 59  | Schwaa   | Pre-B-cell ALL | No        | Induction chemo + HSCT               |                             |                 |                               | Ruxolitinib 6  | 63  | M   | 6    |
The Oncologist, 2022, Vol. 27, No. 8

The median survival for no HSCT patients was 73 months and not enough data to compute for HSCT patients (Fig. 6).

Seven patients underwent HSCT while still in chronic phases of MPN. Three other patients were transplanted in a state of “progressive disease” or “clonal evolution,” though they were not reported to have actually transformed into acute leukemia. Eight of these patients were still alive at the time of reportage (Table 1).

A variety of other therapies have been undertaken in chronic phase MPN either simultaneously or sequentially. 14 patients have received hydroxyurea, 5 received interferon, 4 received cytosine arabinoside, 2 received imatinib and 1 each of daunomycin, 9-aminocamptothecan, and ATRA have been noted. Six patients received no therapy whatsoever for chronic phase MPN, with 3 transforming to acute leukemia at 10, 27, and 72 months, while 3 others did not transform and survived 0.1, 13+, and 142 months.

The NCCN guidelines recommend JAK2 inhibitors or experimental therapy with consideration of early transplant for these MPN patients. With data reported for only 11 patients treated with ruxolitinib for MPN it is not possible to draw any conclusions regarding its effect on survival. Schwaab has suggested that the role of ruxolitinib be as a temporizing measure prior to transplantation. It will be important to evaluate prognostic factors that might predict early transformation to guide timing of transplantation, given the presence of untreated and ruxolitinib only treated survivors ranging beyond 5 years.

Acute Leukemia

Among the PCM1-JAK2 patients presenting with acute leukemia, survivals were short with the exception of a patient with AML with prolonged interferon therapy alive at 180 months and the T-cell patient with ALL alive at 85+ months. One patient with ALL was transplanted and was alive at 3+ months and one patient was alive at 2+ months immediately after HSCT. The patient reported by Lee did not obtain remission with HSCT and survived 29 months. Whether or not other patients than these had experienced a more chronic MPN phase prior to their initial diagnosis of acute leukemia could not be ascertained from the review of the literature.
Figure 2. Swimmer plot showing survival and treatments of the 64 PCM1-JAK2 patients by the initial diagnosis. Abbreviations: aCML, atypical chronic myelogenous leukemia; AML, acute myelogenous leukemia; APL, acute promyelocytic leukemia; CEL, chronic eosinophilic leukemia; CML, chronic myeloid leukemia; CMML, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; CP, chronic phase; F, female; HSCT, hematologic stem cell transplant; M, male; MDS, myelodysplastic syndrome; MF, mycosis fungoides; MPD, myeloproliferative disease; MPN, myeloproliferative neoplasm.
A number of reports have linked PCM1-JAK2 fusions to the development of both Hodgkin’s disease (HD) and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Remarkably, these cases can evolve over many years. In 1992, in the first reported case of PCM1-JAK2 fusion, Davis described a patient with mycosis fungoides (MF) who was initially treated with radiation therapy. Over the course of 16 years this patient developed mixed cellularity CD30+ HD and eventually fatal cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma. All 3 types of lymphoma demonstrated the same sequence of the T-cell receptor alpha chain. Riedlinger also reported a patient with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma/mycosis fungoides. Twelve years later this patient developed what was diagnosed as HD. Eventually, the lymphoma transformed into large cell lymphoma. When lost to follow-up in year 17 the patient had stable disease. The cutaneous lymphoma, the HD, and the large cell lymphoma all possessed the PCM1-JAK2 variant and the authors noted that what was initially diagnosed as HD may well have been an atypical form of T large cell anaplastic lymphoma. All 3 types of lymphoma demonstrated the same sequence of the T-cell receptor alpha chain.

Riedlinger also reported a patient with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma/mycosis fungoides. Twelve years later this patient developed what was diagnosed as HD. Eventually, the lymphoma transformed into large cell lymphoma. When lost to follow-up in year 17 the patient had stable disease. The cutaneous lymphoma, the HD, and the large cell lymphoma all possessed the PCM1-JAK2 variant and the authors noted that what was initially diagnosed as HD may well have been an atypical form of T large cell anaplastic lymphoma.

Fernandez-Pol described 2 additional cases of MF with PCM1-JAK2 variants out of 71 MF cases studied in detail at their institution. In year 6, the first patient’s T-cell clone was demonstrated in peripheral blood and in year 9 her disease transformed to CD30+ HD and eventually fatal cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma. The second patient is alive 7 years after diagnosis with persistent mycosis fungoides. These authors also raise the question of whether or not the Davis and Riedlinger cases actually represent true HD. Both HD cases were CD30+ but also showed the T-cell clone of the cutaneous lymphomas, raising the possibility that while the histology may vary over time in such cases they may all be variants of the same large cell T-cell lymphoma rather than both HD and large cell lymphoma. This concept is supported by the recent report of 6 cases of a variety of JAK2 rearrangements with peripheral T-cell lymphoma, one of which harbored the PCM1-JAK2 fusion. These cases were all CD30+ ALK- and the authors commented on the presence of Hodgkin-like features with Reed-Sternberg-like cells in all of them. No clinical follow-up data are available for the patient with the PCM1-JAK2 variant. Additionally, in Schwaab’s recent report of cases of PCM1-JAK2-related MPN cases of HD and Burkitt’s lymphoma arose after successful HSCT for the myelodysplasia. The Burkitt lymphoma, which proved fatal, was noted to be positive for the fusion gene variant while the HD case was not.

We recently reported a case in which a young woman presented with PCM1-JAK2-related MPN that transformed into T-cell ALL. She had a history of mixed cellularity HD-treated 13 years previously. However, we were unable to obtain adequate tissue from her HD to determine whether or not this was also caused by the fusion variant.

**Discussion**

PCM1-JAK2 fusion mutations are very uncommon and present with an overwhelmingly male predominance. The most frequent presentation is as an MPN, often, but not always, with eosinophilia. Erythrodyplasia can also be quite
prominent and de novo leukemia of any lineage may be seen, including erythroleukemia. While cytogenetics usually will detect this variant there are patients who require specific probes, PCR and/or NGS.16-19

This variant usually produces aggressive disease. While there have been a number of good responses to ruxolitinib for MPN, the small number of patients reported precludes a definitive statement regarding its effect on survival. Similarly, HSCT has been successful for a number of patients but, again, analysis is limited by small numbers and insufficient follow-up. While the data presented here suggest that early HSCT may be more effective, this retrospective analysis lacks the scientific rigor of a randomized prospective trial and is not conclusive. Since only 2 patients have been treated with ruxolitinib for acute leukemia it is unclear if this drug has a role once acute leukemia has developed, though the report of a stable hematologic response in B-cell ALL is encouraging.27,50 Thus, HSCT or experimental therapy has been recommended to be considered early in the course of disease.8,27

Occasional patients presenting with MPN can do well for long periods of time either with ruxolitinib or even without treatment. It seems reasonable to consider initial treatment for MPN with symptomatic care such as hydroxyurea or interferon or a JAK2 inhibitor if the patient is stable. However, there are no data to suggest that JAK2 inhibitors are curative for this condition. HSCT is, for now, the only potentially curative treatment. All patients with PCM1-JAK2 fusion mutation-related MPN should be evaluated at a transplantation center early in their course. It is important that biomarkers be developed to help guide the timing of transplantation.

The outlook is quite poor for patients presenting with or transforming into AML. These patients should receive induction therapy and undergo HSCT or experimental therapies. Whether the 2 transformed patients with ALL noted here who have done well with HSCT represent a leukemia subgroup with better prognosis remains to be seen with additional cases and follow-up. The favorable response of the one patient with ALL treated with ruxolitinib may be significant as well. Since this variant affects a range of hematopoietic cell lines lymphomas can also be seen. As noted, there has been one case of Burkitt’s lymphoma.27 Of note, 3 patients have now been reported with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma/mycosis fungoides.15-18 All with follow-up data have survived at least 7 years, though 3 of the 4 have transformed into large cell lymphoma. There is not sufficient information to draw any conclusions regarding whether or not these patients behave differently from similar T-cell lymphomas that do not possess the PCM1-JAK2 variant. Though 2 of these patients were initially thought to have HD, there is doubt as to whether they truly had both HD and large cell lymphoma or T cell variants that looked similar to HD.15-18 Finally, we are unaware of any reports of JAK2 inhibitor use for these lymphomas. It would be quite informative to evaluate their use in such cases.

Conclusion
This rare condition may be increasingly detected with wider use of genomics. Ruxolitinib treatment can lead to hematologic or molecular improvement but further studies are needed to determine how best to utilize it. HSCT has demonstrated good results in patients, particularly in the absence of acute leukemia. At this point in time it remains the only potentially curative treatment though very small sample size, lack of random assignment to treatment and often short follow-up make comparisons between treatments underpowered and outcomes difficult to assess. Given the limitations of the data, at this point in time symptomatic care, ruxolitinib or experimental therapy can be considered for patients with stable MPN and may serve as a bridge to HSCT but the development of biomarkers to help determine timing of HSCT would be helpful. The outlook for patients presenting with acute leukemia is poor. These patients should be considered for aggressive treatment. Whether or not JAK2 inhibitors are effective against acute leukemia or lymphoma caused by this fusion remains to be determined.
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