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Abstract: The amount of anodically dissolved charge of silver by linear sweep stripping voltammetry has been observed to be smaller than that of the potentiostatically deposited charge. The imbalance in the charge is opposite to the participation in the double-layer capacitance. This can be explained in terms of the negative capacitive current, which is caused by dipoles of generated redox charge (Ag⁺) with counterions (NO₃⁻). Lower concentrations of counterions may suppress the capacitance to retain the equality of the charge. This prediction is examined in this work by the oxidation of silver film at various concentrations of NO₃⁻ by anodic stripping voltammetry. The capacitance decreased with a decrease in the salt concentrations less than 0.05 mol dm⁻³. Low concentrations of salts prevent loss of the anodic charge in electroanalysis. This dependence was related with the lifespan of generated silver nitrate dipoles and is described theoretically.
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1. Introduction

Supporting electrolyte is often added to a voltammetric solution [1] (143–145) in order to (i) provide ionic conduction between an anode and a cathode, (ii) to suppress the electric migration of redox species in solution, and (iii) to facilitate the charge transfer reactions with the help of charge neutrality. Item (i) can be represented quantitatively as the Poisson equation for microscopic localization of charge [2–5] and the Laplace equation for Ohm’s law macroscopically [6–10]. Parameters determining item (ii) are molar conductivity proper to each ion and its concentration [11]. In contrast, item (iii) is observed as chemical complications with electrolytes to generate unpredictable species [12–16]. It also causes a potential shift through the locally electrostatic interaction [17], which is distinguished from a long-ranged electric field in a cell by microelectrode voltammetry [18,19].

The above behavior has been discussed for voltammograms under a quasi-steady state. There are some dynamic effects of electrolytes on electrode reactions of which the classical one is the Frumkin’s effect [20]. This effect involves the dependence of the rates of the redox reactions on the potential in the double layer by electrolytes [21]. The evaluated rates depend on the concentrations of electrolytes as well as the adsorbed species [1] (pp. 571–575), [22]. However, the concentrations of electrolytes vary only slightly the experimental values of the double-layer capacitances [23–26], which are different from the prediction by the Gouy-Chapman’s theory. As a result, the Frumkin’s effect is not suitable for explaining the experimental results. Moreover, no typical redox couple has been found which proceeds through heterogeneous kinetics such as the Butler–Volmer type [27]. In spite of these problems, the Frumkin’s model has been used for an explanation of the concentration effects of the electrolyte [28,29].
A recently developed double-layer effect on redox reactions was revealed in a dynamically observed current, which is less than the diffusion-controlled one [30,31]. This effect was demonstrated specifically in ac impedance, for example, with the non-negligible values of the remainders of the real components of the Warburg admittance subtracted from the imaginary one [1] (pp. 377–380), [27]. It has been considered through the following: A redox species just after the charge transfer reaction is coupled with a counterion for electric neutrality to yield an electric dipole. Since the dipole is oriented in such a direction that the external field is enhanced, it yields a capacitance with a sign opposite to a conventional double-layer capacitance [30], called a negative capacitance. A double-layer capacitance relaxes the applied electric field, while a charge transfer reaction enhances it. Voltage dynamic techniques make it necessary to decrease diffusion-controlled currents by the amount of the charging currents of the negative capacitance. Since this effect occurs entropically toward an energy minimum, it should always be involved in every dynamic measurement by voltage change.

In order to evaluate the negative capacitance associated with redox reactions, it is necessary to extract the current proper to the redox reaction from the observed current. The remainder of the extraction should be the capacitive component. For example, diffusion-controlled currents by linear sweep voltammetry at the scan rate, \( v \), are proportional to \( v^{1/2} \), whereas those by capacitance are to \( v \). The observed current is represented as a sum of the two components. The graphical analysis enabled us to evaluate the capacitive current [32–34]. If adsorption occurs potentiostatically for a long time, the observed charge is purely the faradaic component without capacitive one. When the subsequent desorption is made by linear sweep voltammetry, the charge includes both the faradaic and the capacitive one. Then, the observed charge is imbalanced. The imbalance is caused by the difference in techniques of time-dependent potential control. The imbalance has been found in the stripping voltammetry of silver ion [35] and silver chloride [36] to demonstrate the participation in the negative capacitance.

When concentrations of electrolytes are so low that negative capacitance may be negligible, the reaction potential subtracting ohmic voltage may be shifted from the thermodynamic potential in order to satisfy the energy minimum on the reaction path. This prediction is close to the apparent behavior of the Frumkin’s effect [29]. In order to demonstrate the difference of the negative capacitance from the Frumkin’s effect, we will pay attention to the charge balance between the deposition and dissolution rather than the curve fitting [29]. Here, we explored concentration dependence on the exhibition of the negative capacitance, including the potential shift, by carrying out stripping voltammetry of silver ion. The imbalance of the charge had direct effects on the stripping voltammetry.

2. Experimental Section

Most experimental apparatuses, chemicals, procedures, and conditions were the same as in a previous report [35]. A noteworthy tool was a working electrode of an oil-penetrated carbon rod, 0.5 mm in diameter, used for a mechanical pencil, which was inserted into 10 mm of solution. The inserted electrode without insulator was helpful for avoiding floating capacitance at crevices between an electrode and an insulator. The depth and the meniscus at the boundary were observed through a microscope. There was no rise or recess of the meniscus. The rod was renewed at each voltammetric run by cutting off a used part. The reference electrodes were a Ag | AgCl (sat. KCl) and a Ag | Ag\textsubscript{2}O electrode. The former was employed for cyclic voltammetry, whereas the latter was used for avoiding leakage of chloride in low concentrations of electrolyte (NaNO\textsubscript{3}) at a series of long experimental runs of stripping voltammetry. Potentials by the Ag | Ag\textsubscript{2}O electrode was corrected to those by the Ag | AgCl electrode. The counter electrode was a platinum wire. The values of pH in the AgNO\textsubscript{3} + NaNO\textsubscript{3} solutions were adjusted to 3.0 by titration of the HNO\textsubscript{3} solution. The solutions were deaerated with nitrogen gas for 20 min before each voltammetric run.
3. Results

The deposited silver metal was dissolved anodically to Ag\(^+\) with the help of electric neutralization by NO\(_3^-\) to temporally form a dipole Ag\(^+\)-NO\(_3^-\), which is dissociated into Ag\(^+\) and NO\(_3^-\) to be dispersed by diffusion toward the solution. The former step yielded the capacitive flux, \(J_c\), through the formation of the dipole, whereas the latter step may be controlled by the diffusion of Ag\(^+\) or the flux controlled by the Nernst equation. Cyclic voltammograms of the AgNO\(_3\) solution, including low (a) and high (b) concentrations of NaNO\(_3\), are shown in the inset of Figure 1. The Ag\(^+\)-free solution showed only a flat voltammogram, as was demonstrated previously [35]. There was no remarkable difference in the voltammograms, except for the potential shift, which may be caused by the IR drop of the solution. We confirmed through the voltammogram, shown in the inset of Figure 1 and in Figure 2 in [35], that the reduction in H\(^+\) and NO\(_3^-\) had no effect on the stripping voltammograms. The scan rate (\(v\)) dependence of the cathodic peak currents at \(-0.17\) V vs. Ag\(_x\)O showed proportionality to \(v^{1/2}\) for \(0.01\) V s\(^{-1}\) < \(v\) < \(0.12\) V s\(^{-1}\). Therefore, the current should be controlled by the diffusion of Ag\(^+\). The proportional constant provided the diffusion coefficient of Ag\(^+\) to be \(2.9 \times 10^{-5}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\). Figure 1 also shows the current–time curves responding to the application of the reduction potential for 60 s and then of the anodic potential scan in a solution of 0.05 mM AgNO\(_3\) including two concentrations of NaNO\(_3\). Few differences were noticed at the peak potential and peak currents, which can be neglected mostly for the conventional stripping analysis.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Current–time curves by a constant potential of \(-0.25\) V vs. Ag\(_x\)O for the reduction and then by the anodic scan at \(v\) = 10 mV s\(^{-1}\) in a solution of 0.05 mM AgNO\(_3\) including (a) 1 and (b) 500 mM NaNO\(_3\). The inset shows cyclic voltammograms.

Our aim was to compare the deposited reduction charge, \(q_r\), and the dissolved oxidation charge, \(q_o\), rather than the curve fitting of the voltammetric shapes [29]. The currents were integrated with respect to time over the effective reacting periods to yield the charge numbers of \(q_r\) and \(q_o\). The experimental results were \(|q_r| < q_o\) at high concentration of NaNO\(_3\) [35]. The inequality was inconsistent with Faraday’s law for electrolysis, \(q_o = |q_r|\). Figure 2 shows variations of \(q_o / |q_r|\) with \(|q_r|\) for some values of concentrations of electrolyte, NaNO\(_3\), exhibiting \(0.8 < q_o / |q_r| < 1.0\). This ratio of the charge loss has always been neglected in electroanalytical chemistry as a contribution of double-layer charge and/or impurity. Especially, lower values of \(q_o / |q_r|\) were found at higher concentrations as well as higher values of \(|q_r|\). We discuss, here, some possibilities of the unequal charge at the cathodic and the anodic process. The unequal amount, \(|q_r| > q_o\), means whether the \(|q_r|\) is overestimated from the diffusion-controlled charge or \(q_o\) is underestimated from the net charge of the deposition. The deposited charge, \(q_r\), was provided quantitatively.
by diffusion-controlled current of Ag\(^{+}\) in the controlled concentration without any side reaction through the analysis of scan-rate-dependent voltammograms. It did not include the charging current because of a constant voltage control. Therefore, \(q_r\) represents the amount of deposited charge. The unequal charge should be attributed to underestimation of the anodic charge. The anodic current obtained by the anodic scan necessarily includes a capacitive component. Nevertheless, Figure 2 shows that the anodic charge was smaller than the deposited one. Another possibility is the dispersion of silver metal as small particles in solution such as the automatic dispersion of mercury metal \[37\]. The silver deposited from the Ag\(^{+}\) solution at concentrations over 1 mM was found to be lost by 10\% when the deposition was left in an open circuit for periods over 60 s. No loss was found for the silver deposited in the present solutions of 0.05 mM AgNO\(_3\).

![Figure 2. Dependence of the difference in the charges, \(q_o\) and \(|q_r|\), on the reduction charge in the solutions of (a) 200; (b) 50; (c) 1 mM NaNO\(_3\) at \(v = 10\) mV s\(^{-1}\).](image)

The imbalance of the charge was caused by the capacitive current responsible for the formation of the dipoles of electrochemically generated Ag\(^{+}\) coupled with the counterion (NO\(_3^{-}\)). The dipoles were oriented from the electrode to the solution such that the externally applied field may be enhanced rather than be relaxed. The direction of the orientation was opposite to that of the solvent dipoles, which take part in double-layer capacitances. Therefore, a part of the oxidation charge was suppressed by the capacitive currents for Ag\(^{+}\)-NO\(_3^{-}\) dipoles during the anodic scan to yield \(q_o < |q_r|\) [26]. In other words, a decrease in [NO\(_3^{-}\)] prevents the formation of the dipoles to make \(q_o\) approach \(|q_r|\). This was one of the aims of the present work.

The contribution of NO\(_3^{-}\) to \(q_o < |q_r|\) can be justified with forced supply of NO\(_3^{-}\) to the electrode. We stirred the solution with a magnetic stirrer during the stripping voltammetry. Figure 3 shows the variations of \(q_o/|q_r|\) with log \(|q_r|\) at several rotation rates of the magnetic stirrer. With the rotation rates, the ratios decreased or the consumption ratios increased. Therefore, the convective supply of NO\(_3^{-}\) should be responsible for the imbalance of the charges.

We conducted stripping voltammetry of CuSO\(_4\) and Pb(NO\(_3\))\(_2\). Dissolution of Cu into two forms, Cu\(^{+}\) and Cu\(^{2+}\), made the analysis complicated. Lead ion generated insoluble lead hydroxide in the pH domain avoiding the reduction of H\(^{+}\). At present, the simplest system is the present one, AgNO\(_3\).
Figure 3. Plots of \( q_o / |q_r| \) vs. \( \log |q_r| \) at rotation rates of (a) 0; (b) 0.25; (c) 0.67; (d) 1.50 rps in 0.05 mM AgNO\(_3\) + 50 mM NaNO\(_3\) for \( v = 10 \) mV s\(^{-1}\).

4. Theory for Anodic Charge

The present theory describes the contribution of salt concentration to the negative capacitance associated with the oxidation of silver. The deposited silver metal is dissolved anodically in the form of Ag\(^{3+}\) together with electric neutralization by NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) to generate temporally a dipole Ag\(^{3+}\)-NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), which is followed by dissociation into Ag\(^{+}\) and NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) to be dispersed by diffusion toward the solution. The former step yields the capacitive current density, \( j_c \), through the formation of the dipole, whereas the latter step may be controlled by the flux, \( j_N \), from the deposited charge amount, \( q_r \). If the two steps occur in a series, the total impedance is the sum of two impedances, or the inverse of the total current density \( j \) is the sum of \( 1/j_c \) and \( 1/j_N \):

\[
j = j_c j_N / (j_c + j_N)
\] (1)

When the capacitive impedance is inferior to the impedance of the Nernstian dispersion, \( j_c > j_N \), the observed current can be approximated as \( j \approx j_N (1 - j_N / j_c) \) by the Taylor expansion. The time integral of \( j \) is \( q_o \), whereas that of \( j_N \) is \( -q_r \). Then, we have:

\[
q_o = -q_r - \int (j_N^2 / j_c) dt = \int |q_r| - q_c
\] (2)

where \( q_c = \int (j_N^2 / j_c) dt \) is the capacitive charge by the dipole.

Under the assumption of the reversible oxidation of Ag, the surface concentration of Ag\(^{3+}\) at potential \( E \), denoted by \( \Gamma(E) \), is obeyed with the Nernst equation [1] (pp. 591–592):

\[
\Gamma(E) / \Gamma^0 = \exp[(E-E^0)/F/RT]
\] (3)

where \( \Gamma^0 \) is the standard amount at the standard potential, \( E^0 \). The time derivative of \( F \Gamma(E) \) yields \( j_N \):

\[
j_N = d[F \Gamma(E)]/dt = (\Gamma^0 \nu^2 / RT) \exp[(E-E^0)/F/RT]
\] (4)

for the linearly potential scan rate, \( \nu \). In contrast, \( j_c \) is caused by the dipole Ag\(^{3+}\)-NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\), which is generated at the electrode as an intermediate through the anodic dissolution of Ag. The dipole is soon dissociated to Ag\(^{+}\) and NO\(_3\)\(^{-}\) to be dispersed to the solution by diffusion after a lifespan, \( \tau \) [38]. Since it can work as a capacitance during \( \tau , j_c \) should be proportional to \( \tau \). The surface concentration of dipole is equivalent to \( \Gamma(E) \). As a result, we have \( j_c = k \nu \tau \Gamma^0 \exp(F(E-E^0)/RT) \) for a proportional constant, \( k' \). Since the lifespan is a stagnation period for the dipole at a given location, it is inversely proportional to the transferring velocity, \( u_{tr} \), for a random walk through \( \tau = k' / u_{tr} \). The effect of \( \tau \) on the current has been justified by the convection of the solution in Figure 3. The transferring velocity can be represented as the square root of the kinetic (motional) energy or a part
of free energy, \( U = U_0 + RT \ln(c./c^o) \) of the dipole through \( u_{tr} = k'' U^{1/2} \), where \( c. \) is the concentration of NO\(_3^−\). The series of \( \tau, v_{tr}, U \) and \( c. \) provides:

\[
\tau = (k''/k''') U^{-1/2} = \tau_o[1 + (RT/U_0) \ln(c./c^o)]^{-1/2}
\]

(5)

where \( \tau_o \) is the lifespan at the standard concentration, \( c^o \). The Gibbs free energy of solvation for anions is of the order of \( U_0 = −300 \) kJ mol\(^{-1} \) [39], whereas \( RT = 2.5 \) kJ mol\(^{-1} \). The Taylor expansion of the power \(-1/2\) yields:

\[
j_c = k' v \tau_o[1 - (RT/2U_0) \ln(c./c^o)] \Gamma^o \exp(F(E-E^o)/RT)
\]

(6)

This implies that the capacitive current by the dipole should increase exponentially with the voltage and that it decrease with concentration of NO\(_3^−\) in the logarithmic form.

Insertion of Equations (4) and (6) into the integrand of Equation (2), we obtain:

\[
j_c^2/j_c = k_1 v \exp(F(E-E^o)/RT) [1 + (RT/U_0) \ln(c./c^o)]^{1/2}
\]

(7)

where \( k_1 = (\Gamma^o/k'\tau_o)(F^2/RT)^2 \). The time integration yields:

\[
\dot{q}_c = (k_1 RT/F) \exp(F(E-E^o)/RT) [1 - (RT/2U_0) \ln(c./c^o)]
\]

(8)

It varies with the salt concentration logarithmically with the independence of the scan rates. There is a limitation of the theory in Equation (5), because the free energy of salt may take part in the lifespan in a complicated form.

5. Discussion

Experimentally available variables for the negative capacitance were (A) the amount of the deposit, (B) scan rates, and (C) concentrations of salt. Item (A) can be represented by the dependence of \( q_c \) on \( q_r \), exhibiting an approximately linear relationship in Figure 2. Deviation came into prominence for large values of \( |q_r| \), probably because thicker Ag films at large values of \( |q_r| \) make the flow stagnant near the dipoles to extend the lifespan, which can be predicted from Equation (8). On the other hand, the scan rate dependence of \( q_c \), item (B), is shown in Figure 4, keeping almost constant, as supported by Equation (8). The constant implies that \( q_c \) should be independent of any operation of the dissolution.

![Figure 4. Variations of \( q_c \) with the scan rates for the deposition times of (a) 40; (b) 70; (c) 100 s.](image)

Item (C) is roughly shown in Figure 2 as the increase in the slopes with an increase in the concentrations of NaNO\(_3\). The imbalance in the charge becomes conspicuous at high concentrations of salt. The values of the slope were plotted against logarithmic concentrations of NaNO\(_3\) in Figure 5. They increased linearly with the logarithmic concentration, as expected from Equation (8). The increase in \( q_c \) with an increase in [NO\(_3^−\)] points to our aim that the negative capacitance was caused by the formation of dipoles of Ag\(^+\) with NO\(_3^−\).
The logarithmic variation with $[\text{NO}_3^-]$ can be understood to be due to the entropic effect of the concentration as follows: When concentrations are high, a newborn $\text{Ag}^+$ on the electrode can catch specifically a neighboring $\text{NO}_3^-$ ion to form a dipole as illustrated in Figure 6a. In contrast, a dope in low concentrations does not consist of one $\text{NO}_3^-$ but statistically of several or many $\text{NO}_3^-$ as shown in Figure 6b. As a result, the entropic effect is exhibited in the logarithmic form.

The decrease in the anodic current with an increase in $[\text{NO}_3^-]$, demonstrated in Figures 2 and 5, implies that lower concentrations of supporting electrolytes would be desirable for the analytical sensitivity of stripped species. We examined the salt effect on the stripping analysis for practical electrochemical detection. Figure 7 shows the dependence of the peak currents of stripped anodic voltammograms on $|q_1|$ at two concentrations of $\text{NaNO}_3$. The current values were almost independent of the salt concentrations. This fact seems to be inconsistent with the concentration dependence of the charge in Figure 2. Low concentrations of salts often caused the peak potential to shift, associated with a decrease in peak currents due to the $\text{IR}$ drop. Therefore, the decrease in the peak current was compensated with the loss of the charge at low concentrations of the salt.
Figure 7. Dependence of the anodic peak currents on $|q_r|$ in the solutions including 2 mM NaNO$_3$ (triangles) and 50 mM NaNO$_3$ (circles) with 5 mM AgNO$_3$ at $v = 0.01$ V s$^{-1}$.

6. Conclusions

Charges measured using time variations were observed to be smaller than the latent redox charge by the amount of the current generating dipoles of the redox species. The direction of the current was opposite to that of the double-layer capacitance because of the difference in the directions of the oriented dipoles. As a result, the dissolution charge by linear sweep voltammetry was smaller than that of the potentiostatically deposited one in magnitude, exhibiting a charge imbalance. This phenomenon can be generalized to induce that time-dependent electrolysis should necessarily cause capacitive currents such that the faradaic charge may be underestimated. This is the result of an energetic phenomenon of nature.

Although the imbalanced charge can be minimized at low concentrations of counterions, this effect is not conspicuous at concentrations less than 50 mM. Therefore, it is desirable to maintain concentrations of electrolyte to be low. The imbalance of the charge was not reflected to the peak currents of stripped voltammograms, because the peak currents were observed to be smaller owing to the $IR$ drop of the solution.
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List of Variables

- \( c \): concentration of \( \text{NO}_3^- \), mol m\(^{-3}\)
- \( F \): Faraday's constant, C mol\(^{-1}\)
- \( j \): total current density, A m\(^{-2}\)
- \( j_c \): capacitive current density, A m\(^{-2}\)
- \( \eta \): current density for Nernstian dispersion, A m\(^{-2}\)
- \( \eta_0 \): charge of dissolved Ag, C
- \( \eta_t \): deposited charge, C
- \( q_c \): capacitive charge by the dipole, given by \( \int j c^2/j_c \) df, C
- \( R \): gas constant, J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)
- \( T \): temperature, K
- \( t \): time, s
- \( U \): kinetic (motional) energy of a dipole, J
- \( u_{tr} \): tripping velocity of a dipole for random walk, m s\(^{-1}\)
- \( v \): potential scan rate, V s\(^{-1}\)
- \( \Gamma \): surface concentration of Ag\(^+\), mol m\(^{-2}\)
- \( \Gamma_0 \): standard amount at the standard potential, E\(^0\), mol m\(^{-2}\)
- \( \tau \): lifespan of a dipole before dispersion by diffusion, s
- \( \tau_0 \): lifespan at the standard concentration, E\(^0\), s
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