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1 Introduction

If \( f(X) = f(1)(X) = X^2 + 1 \) then the iterates \( f^{(n)}(X) = f^{(n-1)}(f(X)) \) are irreducible over \( \mathbb{Q} \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Indeed the polynomials \( f^{(n)}(X) \) are irreducible over \( \mathbb{F}_3 \).

This paper will explore a number of questions suggested by this result. In particular we investigate the situation in which one composes more than one polynomial. We make the following definition:

**Definition** Let \( f_1(X), \ldots, f_r(X) \) be polynomials of positive degree over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). We say they are “dynamically-irreducible” if all polynomials formed by composition of \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \) are irreducible over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

Other authors have used the term “stable” for the situation \( r = 1 \) (see also [5]), but we believe that “dynamically-irreducible” is more suggestive in this general context.

We will be particularly interested in the situation in which the \( f_i \) are quadratic polynomials. When \( r = 1 \) a beautiful criterion for a quadratic to be dynamically-irreducible was developed by Boston and Jones [1, Proposition 2.3], but unfortunately the proof included a minor error.\(^1\) After correcting this the criterion says that \( f(X) = a\{(X - b)^2 + c\} \in \mathbb{F}_q \) is dynamically-irreducible if and only if \( f \) is irreducible, and \( f^{(n)}(c) \notin a\mathbb{F}_q^2 \) for \( n \geq 1 \). For example, when \( f(X) = X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_3 \) we see that \( f^{(n)}(1) = 2 \notin \mathbb{F}_3^2 \), for all \( n \geq 1 \). This confirms the claim made above that this polynomial is dynamically-irreducible (in fact, this is exactly the polynomial needed to build an infinite \( \mathbb{F} \)-set in [4] for \( p = 3 \)).

\(^1\)In the final display on page 1851, the second equality must be adjusted unless \( g \circ f^{n-1} \) is monic.
If \( f(X) = (X - b)^2 + b - 2 \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \) we find that \( f^{(n)}(b - 2) = b + 2 \) for \( n \geq 1 \). If \( q \) is odd we therefore obtain a dynamically-irreducible polynomial whenever \( 2 - b \) and \( 2 + b \) are both non-squares in \( \mathbb{F}_q \). It is an elementary exercise to show that a suitable \( b \) may always be found.

Since the set of iterates above must eventually produce a cycle it is clear from the above criterion that one can test in finite time whether a given quadratic polynomial over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is dynamically-irreducible. Indeed Ostafe and Shparlinski [3] show that one has a repetition within \( O(q^{3/4}) \) steps, so that one can test whether a polynomial is dynamically-irreducible in \( O(q^{3/4}) \) operations.

The focus of this paper will be on large dynamically-irreducible sets of quadratic polynomials. The criterion of Boston and Jones has been extended as follows by Ferraguti, Micheli and Schnyder [2, Theorem 2.4].

**Lemma 1** Let \( f_i(X) = (X - b_i)^2 + c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \) be irreducible polynomials for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). Then the set \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \) is dynamically-irreducible if and only if every iterate

\[
(f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_2} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_n}) (c_j)
\]

with \( n \geq 1 \) and \( 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_n, j \leq r \), is a non-square in \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

As an example (taken from [2]) we may consider the polynomials \( (X - a)^2 + a \) and \( (X - a - 1)^2 + a \) in \( \mathbb{F}_q \), with \( q \equiv 1 \) (mod 4). One then finds that the iterates (1) take only the values \( a \) and \( a + 1 \). Thus, choosing \( a \) so that both \( a \) and \( a + 1 \) are non-squares (as we always can) we obtain a dynamically-irreducible set of size 2.

Notice that this criterion above is only formulated for the case in which all the polynomials \( f_i \) are monic. However, it has been pointed out by Alina Ostafe that one should be able to extend this criterion to non-monic quadratics, with consequences for the various results in the present paper. Indeed she is able to exhibit large dynamically-irreducible sets of non-monic quadratics over fields of prime cardinality \( p \equiv 1 \) (mod 4).

Our first new results concern the existence of large dynamically-irreducible sets of quadratic polynomials. Of course, without the restriction to quadratic polynomials, one can have infinitely large sets over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), since once \( f \) is dynamically-irreducible, the set \( f^{(1)}, f^{(2)}, \ldots \) is dynamically-irreducible. We write \( M(q) \) for the size of the maximal set of monic quadratic polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_q \) which is dynamically-irreducible.

**Theorem 1** Let \( p \) be a prime and \( h \) a non-zero element of \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Then the polynomial \( X^p - X - h \) is irreducible over \( \mathbb{F}_p \). Take \( \xi \) to be a root of \( X^p - X - h \)
let $K = \mathbb{F}_p(\xi)$, so that $K$ is a finite field with $q = p^p$ elements. Define polynomials

$$f_{b,c}(X) = (X - b - \xi)^2 + c + \xi \in K[X], \text{ for all } (b, c) \in \mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p.$$ 

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the polynomials $f_{b,c}(X)$ form a dynamically-irreducible set over $K$ whenever $h$ is a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_p$.

**Theorem 2** There are infinitely many finite fields for which

$$M(q) \geq \frac{1}{2} (\log q)^2.$$ 

The coefficient $1/2$ can be replaced by any constant $C < (\log 4)^{-2}$. There is such a finite field for any sufficiently large characteristic $p \equiv 1 \mod{4}$.

Notice that the explicit construction in Theorem 1 yields $M(p^p) \geq p^2$ and hence provides examples with $M(q) \geq (\log q)^2(\log \log q)^{-2}$.

Unfortunately Theorems 1 and 2 do not provide fields of prime order in which there are large dynamically-irreducible sets. A little experimentation shows that $M(3) = 1$, $M(5) = 3$, $M(7) = 2$, and $M(11) = 1$. In particular, over $\mathbb{F}_5$, the three polynomials

$$(x - 2)^2 + 2, \ (x - 3)^2 + 2, \ x^2 + 3$$

form a dynamically-irreducible set. Similarly, over $\mathbb{F}_{13}$, the three polynomials

$$(x - 1)^2 - 2, \ (x - 9)^2 - 6, \ (x - 3)^2 - 5$$

form a dynamically-irreducible set. As mentioned above, we can find a dynamically-irreducible set of size 2 over $\mathbb{F}_p$ whenever $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Our next result describes the set of iterates (1).

**Theorem 3** Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be a dynamically-irreducible set of monic quadratic polynomials over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of odd characteristic, and suppose that $r \geq 2$. Then the set of iterates (1), together with the values of the $c_j$, has size at most $((\log 5)^{-2} + (\log 2)^{-2})(\log q)^2 \sqrt{q}$.

Notice that the upper bound given by Theorem 3 is uniform in $r$.

One should compare this to the corresponding result for $r = 1$ given by Ostafe and Shparlinski [3] which we mentioned above, and in which the size is $O(q^{3/4})$. It may seem counter-intuitive that one should have fewer values (1), despite having more polynomials to use (and possibly more starting points). However the requirement that all the elements obtained should be non-squares imposes the additional conditions which compress the size of the set of values of (1).

We have three corollaries to Theorem 3.
Corollary 1 Let $C = (\log 5)^{-2} + (\log 2)^{-2}$. Let $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ be a set of monic dynamically-irreducible polynomials, all with the same value for $c_j$. Then $r \leq 2C(\log q)^2 \sqrt{q}$.

Corollary 2 Let $C = (\log 5)^{-2} + (\log 2)^{-2}$. For any odd prime power $q$ we have $M(q) \leq C^2(\log q)^4 q$.

Corollary 3 Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of odd characteristic. There is an algorithm to test whether or not a set $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ of monic quadratic polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is dynamically-irreducible, which takes $O(r(\log q)^3 q^{1/2})$ operations, and requires $O((\log q)^2 q^{1/2})$ storage locations.

The upper bound in Corollary 2 is disappointingly weak. The proof we give is extremely simple and discards much of the available information. It would be interesting to know whether a more sophisticated approach would lead to an improved estimate for $M(q)$.

As mentioned above Ostafe and Shparlinski [3] show that one can test whether a quadratic polynomial is dynamically-irreducible in $O(q^{3/4})$ operations. It is pleasing to see that Corollary 3 produces a faster algorithm for $r = 2$, say, than one has for $r = 1$. However when $r = 1$ the space requirement is $O(1)$, while our algorithm needs non-trivial amounts of memory since it uses a tree structure.
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2 Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

To prove that $T(X) = X^p - X - h$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_p$ we begin by observing that if $\xi$ is a root of $T(X)$ then so is $\xi + a$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Thus the roots of $T(X)$ are precisely the values $\xi + a$ as $a$ runs over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $G(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ be a factor of $T(X)$ of degree $d$, with roots $\xi + a_1, \ldots, \xi + a_d$ say. Then the sum of the roots is given by the coefficient of $X^{d-1}$, and hence lies in $\mathbb{F}_p$. However the sum of the roots will be $d\xi + a_1 + \ldots + a_d$. If this is in $\mathbb{F}_p$ then either $p \mid d$ or $\xi \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$. However $T(n) = n^p - n - h = -h \neq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{F}_p$, whence $G$ must have degree 0 or $p$. The proof of this fact (which is the basis for Artin-Schreier theory) can also be found in [6, p. 325].
We now come to the key idea for the two theorems. Suppose now that we have an extension $M/L$ of finite fields of odd characteristic and $\xi \in M$. For $b,c \in L$, the polynomials

$$f_{b,c}(X) = (X - b - \xi)^2 + c + \xi.$$  

are irreducible over $M$ if $-c - \xi$ is not a square in $M$. Let $g_{b,c}(X) = (X - b)^2 + c$, $G = g_{b_1,c_1} \circ \ldots \circ g_{b_n,c_n}$ and $F = f_{b_1,c_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{b_n,c_n}$. Observe that $F(X) = G(X - \xi) + \xi$. For any $c \in L$ we have $F(c + \xi) = G(c) + \xi$. However $G(c) \in L$. It therefore follows from Lemma 1 that the polynomials $f_{b,c}$ form a dynamically-irreducible set over $M$, provided that every element of the additive cosets $L + \xi$ and $L - \xi$ is a non-square in $M$. If $-1$ is a square in $L$ it will be enough to consider $L + \xi$.

For Theorem 1 we take $L = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $M = K$. If $a + \xi$ were a square in $K$, then its norm $N_{K/\mathbb{F}_p}(a + \xi)$ would be a square in $\mathbb{F}_p$. However this norm is simply the product of the roots of $F(X) = X^p - X - h$, which is $h$. Thus if $h$ is a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_p$, then every element $a + \xi$ is a non-square in $K$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

For Theorem 2, we let $q$ be a power $p^e$ of a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and apply the previous ideas with $L = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $M = \mathbb{F}_q$. We therefore hope to find an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $a + \alpha$ is a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_q$ for every $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. For this we will use estimates for character sums.

Let $\chi$ be the quadratic character for $\mathbb{F}_q$, and consider

$$S = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \{1 - \chi(a + \alpha)\}. \quad (2)$$

Our goal is therefore to show that $S > 0$, so that there must be some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $a + \alpha$ is a non-square for every $a$.

On expanding the product in (2) we see that

$$S = q + \sum_{H} \varepsilon(H) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(H(\alpha)),$$

where $H$ runs over polynomials of the form

$$H(X) = \prod_{a \in A} (X + a)$$

for the various non-empty subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p$, and $\varepsilon(H) = (-1)^{\#A}$. It follows from Weil’s bound for character sums that

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(H(\alpha)) \right| \leq \deg(H)q^{1/2},$$
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whence

\[ S \geq q - q^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \binom{p}{k} k = q - q^{1/2} p 2^{p-1}. \]

It follows that a suitable \( \alpha \) exists as soon as \( q \geq p^2 4^p \). In particular, it suffices to take \( e \) as the first integer greater than equal to \( 2 + p (\log 4) / (\log p) \). With this choice we have

\[ e \leq 3 + p (\log 4) / (\log p) \leq \sqrt{2} p \frac{p}{\log p} \]

provided that we take \( p \) large enough. As a result we have \( \log q \leq \sqrt{2} p \log p \), whence \( M(q) \geq p^2 \geq \frac{1}{8} (\log q)^2 \), as claimed. Moreover we may replace \( \sqrt{2} \) by any constant \( C < (\log 4)^{-2} \).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 3

For \( q = 3 \) the theorem is clearly true, so we can restrict to \( q \geq 5 \). First, we need an ancillary result

**Lemma 2** Let \( f_1, f_2 \) be distinct monic quadratic polynomials over \( \mathbb{F}_q \), with \( q \) odd. Suppose that

\[ f_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_n} = f_{j_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{j_m} \quad (3) \]

with \( i_1, \ldots, i_n, j_1, \ldots, j_m \in \{1, 2\} \). Then \( m = n \) and \( i_h = j_h \) for every index \( h \).

**Proof.** If (3) holds, the two sides have degrees \( 2^n \) and \( 2^m \) so that we must have \( n = m \). We now argue by contradiction, supposing that we have a non-trivial relation (3) in which \( n \) is minimal. Then \( f_i \circ F = f_j \circ G \), say, in which either \( f_i \neq f_j \), or \( f_i = f_j \) but \( F \neq G \). Let \( f_i(X) = (X - a)^2 + b \) and \( f_j(X) = (X - c)^2 + d \). Then

\[ b - d = (G(X) - c)^2 - (F(X) - a)^2 = (G(X) + F(X) - a - c \{G(X) - F(X) + a - c}. \]

Since \( F \) and \( G \) are monic, and \( \mathbb{F}_q \) has odd characteristic, the polynomial \( G(X) + F(X) - a - c \) has positive degree. We therefore see that \( b = d \), and that \( G(X) - F(X) + a - c = 0 \). If \( a = c \) we would have \( f_i = f_j \) and \( F = G \), giving us a contradiction. Hence \( a \neq c \) so that \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are \((X - a)^2 + b \) and \((X - c)^2 + b \), in some order. Moreover \( F \neq G \), so that we must have \( n \geq 2 \).
Now let $F = f_r \circ U$ and $G = f_s \circ V$, say, with $f_r(X) = (X - e)^2 + b$ and $f_s(X) = (X - f)^2 + b$ for $\{e, f\} = \{a, c\}$. Then

$$0 \neq a - c = F(X) - G(X) = (U(X) - e)^2 - (V(X) - f)^2 = \{U(X) + V(X) - e - f\}\{U(X) - V(X) + f - e\}.$$ 

This however is impossible, since the factor $U(X) + V(X) - e - f$ has positive degree, its leading coefficient being $2 \neq 0$. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma. □

Let now $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ denote the set of values (1), together with the values of the $c_j$. Assuming that $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ form a dynamically-irreducible set, one sees that $(f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_2} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_n})(\beta)$ must be a non-square in $\mathbb{F}_q$ for every $\beta \in \mathcal{J}$. We shall work with a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$ of distinct polynomials given as compositions $f_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{i_n}$ for some fixed $n \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ consists of distinct irreducible monic polynomials of degree $2^n$.

If $\chi$ is the quadratic character for $\mathbb{F}_q$ we have

$$\prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{1 - \chi(F(\beta))\} = 2^{\# \mathcal{F}}, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{J},$$

$$\geq 0, \quad \beta \not\in \mathcal{J},$$

and it follows that

$$\# \mathcal{J} \leq 2^{-\# \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{1 - \chi(F(\beta))\}. \quad (4)$$

We proceed to expand the product and to use the Weil bound to estimate the resulting character sums

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(F_1(\beta) \ldots F_m(\beta)).$$

The polynomial $F_1 \ldots F_m$ will be square-free, with degree $2^n m \leq 2^n \# \mathcal{F}$, whence the Weil bound produces

$$\left| \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(F_1(\beta) \ldots F_m(\beta)) \right| \leq 2^n (\# \mathcal{F}) q^{1/2}$$

as long as $m \geq 1$. The sum corresponding to $m = 0$ is just $q$, and there are $2^{\# \mathcal{F}} - 1$ other sums, so that (4) yields

$$\# \mathcal{J} \leq 2^{-\# \mathcal{F}} q + 2^n (\# \mathcal{F}) q^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$
However at this point we encounter a potential difficulty. If it were true that all compositions $f_1 \circ \ldots \circ f_n$ were different we could take $\#F = 2^n$. Unfortunately this is not the case. For example if $f_1(X) = (X+1)^2, f_2(X) = X^2$ and $f_3(X) = X^2+1$ then $f_1 \circ f_2 = f_2 \circ f_3$. Of course this problem does not arise when $r = 1$ since in this situation the iterates will have different degrees. Lemma 2 come now into help: we take $F$ to consist of all compositions of $n$ polynomials each of which is either $f_1$ or $f_2$. By Lemma 2 we obtain $2^n$ distinct polynomials this way, and (5) becomes

$$\#J \leq 2^{-2^n} q + 4^n q^{1/2}.$$ 

Finally, for $q \geq 5$ we choose $n \geq 1$ so that

$$\frac{\log q}{\log 4} \leq 2^n < 2 \frac{\log q}{\log 4},$$

whence

$$\#J \leq \sqrt{q} + \left( \frac{\log q}{\log 2} \right)^2 \sqrt{q} \leq (1/(\log 5)^2 + 1/(\log 2)^2)(\log q)^2 \sqrt{q},$$

as claimed.

4 Proof of the Corollaries

We now prove Corollary 1. By the assumption, we have that $c_j = u \in \mathbb{F}_q$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Consider the map

$$\Gamma : \{f_1, \ldots f_r\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$f_i \mapsto f_i(u).$$

Suppose that $f_i(u) = f_j(u) = f_k(u)$ for distinct $i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, then

$$(u - a)^2 = (u - b)^2 = (u - c)^2$$

for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. After reordering $a, b, c$ if necessary, this implies $u = (a + b)/2 = (a + c)/2$, which forces $b = c$, but then $f_j = f_k$. This shows that the map $\Gamma$ is at most 2-to-1. Since the image of $\Gamma$ is clearly contained in the set of iterates (1), the claim follows by applying directly Theorem 3.

We now prove Corollary 2. Since the bound of Corollary 1 is stronger, without loss of generality we can assume that $c_1$ and $c_2$ are distinct (in the notation of Lemma 1). Consider now the map

$$\Gamma' : \{f_1, \ldots f_r\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$$
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\[ f_i \mapsto (f_i(c_1), f_i(c_2)). \]

Since \( \Gamma' \) is injective, Theorem 3 leads directly to \( r \leq (C(\log q)^2\sqrt{q})^2 \).

Finally we tackle Corollary 3. The algorithm uses a "Red-Black tree", for a description of which we refer the reader to [7, Chapter 13]. In brief, a red-black tree is a binary search tree which allows rapid detections of duplicates. We assume that the elements of \( \mathbb{F}_q \) are described in such a way as to enable us to impose an ordering on them. For example, if we have \( q = p^d \) and take \( \mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p[\theta] \) where \( \theta \) is the root of an irreducible polynomial of degree \( d \), we might arrange the elements \( a_0 + a_1\theta + \ldots + a_{d-1}\theta^{d-1} \) using lexicographic order. Our algorithm inputs a set of irreducible quadratic polynomials \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \). It then uses the following steps.

1. Build a Red-Black tree \( T \), starting with the empty set and successively adding the values \( c_1, \ldots, c_r \), using the ordering mentioned above, and discarding any duplicate values.

2. Set \( j = 0 \) and form a list \( L_j \) of the elements in \( T \).

3. Set \( L = \emptyset \). Then, for each \( i = 1, \ldots, r \) and each \( t \in L_j \)
   
   i) Check whether \( f_i(t) \) is a square. If it is, STOP (the polynomials do not form a dynamically-irreducible set).

   ii) Otherwise check whether \( f_i(t) \) is already in \( T \). If it is, move on to the next pair \( i, t \).

   iii) If \( f_i(t) \) is not yet in \( T \) insert it into the tree, and add it to the list \( L \).

4. If \( L \) is empty, STOP (the polynomials form a dynamically-irreducible set).

5. If \( L \) is non-empty, add one to the value of \( j \) and set \( L_j = L \). Return to Step 3.

If the algorithm stops at Step 3(i) it has found a square iterate, and so it correctly reports that we do not have a dynamically-irreducible set. Otherwise, when we begin Step 3 the tree \( T \) contains all distinct values \( (1) \) with \( n \leq j \), and the list \( L_j \) will consist of all such values with \( n = j \) which cannot be obtained from any smaller \( n \). If we stop at Step 4 then there are no new values with \( n = j + 1 \), so that further iteration will always produce results already contained in \( T \). In this case all iterates will be non-squares.
and the algorithm correctly reports that we have a dynamically-irreducible set of quadratics.

One readily sees that, whenever we begin Step 3, the size of $T$ is $\#L_0 + \ldots + \#L_j$. On the other hand, Theorem 3 shows that $T$ can have at most $B = [4(\log q)^2 \sqrt{q}]$ elements. Since the algorithm will terminate at Step 4 unless $L$ is non-empty, it is clear that we must stop after at most $B$ loops.

To analyze the running time of the algorithm we note that we may test an element of $\mathbb{F}_q$ to check whether it is a square using $O(\log q)$ field operations. Moreover, since $T$ has size at most $B$, we can check whether an element belongs to $T$, and if not add it to $T$, in $O(\log q)$ operations. Step 3 requires $r(\#L_j)$ tests of this type, so that the total number of operations needed is

$$\ll r(\#L_0 + \#L_1 + \ldots) \log q \ll rB \log q \ll r(\log q)^3 q^{1/2};$$

as claimed. As to the memory requirement, the tree $T$ and the lists $L_j$ will need space $O(B)$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

The use of a Red-Black tree, into which one may insert new elements in order, was suggested to us by Professor Edith Elkind. It is a pleasure to record our thanks for this.
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