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From the perspective of crystallography, there exist crystals as well as boundary planes in NdFeB permanent magnets and accordingly, there should exist orientation textures of Nd2Fe14B crystals and orientation texture of boundary planes. This work therefore aims to study the misorientations across boundary planes in a sintered NdFeB permanent magnet. As an interdisciplinary study, serial stereological methods are developed to extract more structural information from the material and as the result, spreading wetting and penetration of Nd-Rich phases to the Nd2Fe14B phase are quantitatively characterized. The entire boundaries are sorted into Nd2Fe14B/Nd2Fe14B grain boundaries (N/N boundaries) and Nd2Fe14B/(thin-layer-like)Nd-Rich phase boundaries (N/R boundaries). 31°/[0 0 1] and 60°/[0 0 1] twist boundaries are preferred among N/N boundaries, while 31°/[0 0 1] and 72°/[0 0 1] misorientations are preferred among N/R boundaries. The structural features of these misorientations are elaborated by the Five Parameter Analysis (FPA) method. The potential correlations between the grain boundary plane distributions (GBPDs) and magnetic properties are then discussed regarding how the anisotropic features at boundary locations impact coercivity, domain and remanence.

1. Introduction

Following the discovery of NdFeB permanent magnets in 1984,1,2 research efforts have increased the understanding of the main intrinsic magnetic properties of the Nd2Fe14B phase (chemical name: neodymium iron boride, space group: P4_2/mmm) including coercivity, remanence and magnetic domain mechanisms.3 From the perspective of polycrystalline structure, a NdFeB permanent magnet is composed of a Nd2Fe14B main phase and various Nd-Rich phases. The Nd-Rich phases can either distribute as thin layer between Nd2Fe14B crystals (such case is preferred since it can act as “shells” and thus uniformly isolate the Nd2Fe14B crystals) or distribute as “Nd-Rich corners” at triple junctions of Nd2Fe14B crystals (such case is not preferred since it contribute poorly to the magnetic properties). Accordingly, to comprehensively study the structure–property relationship in a NdFeB permanent magnet, two kinds of boundary planes needs to be focused: the one is grain boundaries between Nd2Fe14B crystals (abbreviated as Nd2Fe14B/Nd2Fe14B grain boundaries, or, N/N boundaries, in this work), and the other is phase boundaries between Nd2Fe14B crystals and thin-layer-like Nd-Rich phases (abbreviated as Nd2Fe14B/Nd-Rich phase boundaries, or, N/R boundaries, in this work).

From the perspective of methodology, corresponding to Nd2Fe14B crystals and various boundary planes, there should have orientation texture of Nd2Fe14B crystals and orientation texture of boundary planes.4 Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) is the basic technique that transfers the collected Kikuchi patterns to the crystal orientation information via the Hough conversion. For NdFeB permanent magnets, the axial [001] texture of Nd2Fe14B crystals is commonly used to show the alignment of {001} crystal directions with reference to the sample normal in a statistical meaning. However, compared to abundant studies about orientation texture of Nd2Fe14B crystals, reports about orientation texture of boundary planes in NdFeB permanent magnets remains limited. Nevertheless, methodology to study the orientation texture of boundary planes, namely “Five Parameter Analysis (FPA)” method5,6 has been widely used to study the grain boundary plane distribution (GBPD) in multiple polycrystalline materials. To emphasize, FPA on a single planar section merely suitable for bulk with weak orientation texture of crystals, while FPA on consecutive planar sections (3D-EBSD) is also suitable for bulk with strong orientation texture of crystals (like the case in most NdFeB permanent magnets).

As the interdisciplinary between polycrystalline structure and stereological methods, the current work studies the orientation texture of boundary planes in NdFeB permanent magnets under the following motivations: (1) the lattice order at boundary plane locations is generally lower than that in the crystal interior. This reminds us to study the GBPD of both N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries, and further study the potential...
correlation between the GBPD with the anisotropic magnetic properties. (2) The boundary planes occupy a considerable volume fraction in NdFeB permanent magnets. The smaller the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystal size, the larger the volume of the boundary plane. This reminds us to check the impact of higher volume fraction of boundary planes, or, thicker boundary layers, to the magnetic properties. (3) Specific method has been developed to sort N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries (compared with ref. 6, the major difference in current work is that both N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries are studied), which is helpful to seek more beneficial clues for improving magnetic properties via optimizing of GBPD. (4) New routines are emerging for fabricating NdFeB permanent magnets. Therefore, it should be clarified that whether the stereology method is suitable for various NdFeB structures, and in-turn, whether results in academic laboratories can be applied to practices in industrial laboratories.

2. Experimental

Most of NdFeB permanent magnets have strong orientation texture of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals, and GBPD in such cases can merely be measured via 3D-EBSD. Since the main prospect of this work is developing practical stereological methods for characterizing the microstructure of NdFeB permanent magnets, a specimen with a weak orientation texture of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals is chosen and regular EBSD measurements on a single planar section is performed.

To fabricate such a specimen, commercial jet-milled powders with the nominal particle size of 5 µm were used as the starting materials. The powders were mixed with a 2.5 wt% epoxy and then were aligned and pressed in a 1.0 T magnetic field to obtain the green compact. Next, the green compact was isostatically consolidated by using a spark plasma sintering (SPS) equipment, with a temperature of 1050 °C for 5 h, followed by a two-step annealing treatment. The features of the selected specimen are: (1) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals have a smaller average size; (2) Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals have a relative weak orientation texture and (3) the thin layer of Nd-Rich phases has a average thickness larger than 0.1 micron. These features can ensure the spatial accuracy of EBSD data and can facilitate the boundary sorting step.

The phase components of the specimen were investigated by an X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Rigaku Ultima IV) with Cu Kα as the incident radiation. The magnetic properties of the specimen were tested by a hysteresis loop tracer, and a commercial equipment, with a step size of 0.05 micron. During EBSD measurement, only the phase file of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B is loaded. As the result, on the crystal orientation map, only Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals are identified and the Nd-Rich phases are shown as black regions. The EBSD data then underwent a clean-up procedure and then exported reconstructed boundary files for further analysis.

Before the stereological analysis, the whole boundaries in the collected EBSD data are sorted into different subsets: if the phases on the two sides of a boundary trace are both Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phases, that boundary is a N/N boundary; if merely one phase on the two sides of a boundary trace can be identified as Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase, that boundary is a N/R boundary. To emphasize, Nd-Rich phases contain complicated components (they might have fcc, dhcp and other symmetries and even can be amorphous under specific conditions), therefore, when and only when study GBCD this work, the Nd-Rich phases are simplified as one suppositional phase that has the same tetragonal symmetry of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B main phase. Although this assumption is not exactly appropriate and may lead to errors that correlated to symmetries, it presents an intuitional way to study the lattice feature of N/R boundaries at the present stage.

Next, stereological analysis to N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries are performed. Detailed stereological statistics of the two subsets include number fraction, length fraction, number density (number of that boundary type per square micron), length density (length of that boundary type per square micron), average length (total length divided by total number of that boundary type) and triple junction density (number of a certain triple junction type per square micron), respectively.

And next, FPA analysis is performed on both N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries. For the two subsets, the misorientation angles across the boundary plane are counted, and by comparing with the random distribution, the preferred misorientation angles in each subset are observed. The observations needed for FPA analysis are line segments that are extracted from the EBSD measurement data and are associated with the crystal orientations. The FPA method does not determine the detailed crystallographic information for a specific boundary, but presents statistical information of GBCD. Using FPA method, the GBPD, $\lambda(Dg, n)$, is defined as the relative area of a boundary plane with a misorientation, $Dg$, and a plane normal, $n$, in units of multiples of a random distribution (abbreviated as MRD). Therefore, FPA has two calculation modes: $\lambda(Dg, n)$ represents the orientation texture of boundary planes with specific misorientation, and $\lambda(n)$ represents the orientation texture of habit planes when misorientations are ignored. For the tetragonal symmetry, $45 \times 10^4$ line segments are needed for $\lambda(Dg, n)$ mode, and $1 \times 10^4$ line segments are needed for $\lambda(n)$ mode. For the preferred misorientations in N/N boundaries, $\lambda(Dg, n)$ analysis is given, and the geometrically characteristic locations of the studied misorientations were calculated via a crystallographic program named as GBToolbox, which would define the boundary structure as twist, tilt, 180°-twist (symmetric) or 180°-tilt (improperly quasi-symmetric). For N/N boundaries, N/R boundaries and the preferred misorientations in N/N boundaries, $\lambda(n)$ analysis is given, respectively.

3. Results

The microstructure of a selected measurement region is shown by the inverse pole figure (IPF) map in Fig. 1a, with crystallographic orientations indicated by the orientation legend for
tetragonal symmetry. A relative weak orientation texture of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals can be observed, and the Ni-Rich phases between Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals are identified as black regions. Several regions were measured and the EBSD data were combined to meet the statistical requirement of FPA method. To accent, the Hikari camera does scanned the whole measurement region. Since only the phase file of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B is loaded, the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals can be identified and the colors corresponding to their orientations can be assigned in Fig. 1a. On the contrary, since the in-tact Nd-rich phases have completely different crystal structures compared with Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, the Nd-rich phases crystals can not be identified during scanning and no color can be assigned, in-turn, Nd-rich phases would be shown as black pixels in Fig. 1a. As the consequence, the N/R boundaries exist between colored pixels and black pixels in Fig. 1a.

A SEM image (preferably the backscatter electron, BSE, image) would be helpful to illustrate the microstructure, but it is not presented in this work due to the following reasons: (1) the electron beam scatters more at greater depths, which would results in poorer resolution compared with that of the secondary electron (SE) image as well as EBSD map; (2) both Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase and Nd-Rich phases contains Nd atoms, which would lead to the difficulty in distinguishing the phases planarly in the measurement region. As the alternative, the phase distribution of the same measurement region is shown by the phase map in Fig. 1b, in which green is for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase while red is for Nd-Rich phases. By comparing with Fig. 1a and b, can (1) directly show the spatial distribution feature of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase and Nd-Rich phases, that is, the Nd-Rich phases scatter along the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals, which in-turn locate the distribution of both crystals and boundaries; (2) further show that the manually-processed black pixels in Fig. 1a corresponds to the in-tact Nd-Rich phases and do not mean the absence of orientation assignment.

The XRD measurement result of the specimen is shown in Fig. 2. Three strongest diffraction peaks can be indexed to the standard patterns of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase (JCPDS 39-0473), and the rest strong peaks might correspond to Nd-Rich phases. The result clearly shows the coexistence of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase and Nd-Rich phases.

The magnetic properties are illustrated by the magnetization hysteresis loop that were measured at room temperature and are shown in Fig. 3. The specimen has relative weak properties, including a remanence (Br) of 8.02 kG and a coercivity (Hc) of 11.16 kOe. By comparing with the properties of N48 (a remanence of 14.87 kG, a coercivity of 12.98 kOe and thus a square-loop configuration), it can be conceived that high Br can be achieved if the microscopically anisotropic crystals are aligned with their easy axes pointing in the same direction. Moreover, the specimen has a remanence that is approximately half the saturation magnetisation for Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B at room temperature, which means that the material is more isotropic, in other words, orientation textures of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals is relatively weak, despite the application of a magnetic field during processing. However, the orderliness of the boundary planes between these crystals, that is, orientation texture of boundary planes, still needs to be clarified. As the consequence, the structure-
property relationship can be more comprehensive if the orientation texture contents can be surveyed more completely.

The results of serial stereological analysis to N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries are summarized in Table 1, which reveals new structural information from the measured planar EBSD data. By virtue of number fraction, length fraction, number density and length density, the spatial distribution features of N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries can be quantified and thus, the four indexes can be used as the proxy to elaborate the boundary components in NdFeB permanent magnets. The average length is a novel and significant index: for N/N boundaries, longer average length corresponds to tighter contacting between Nd2Fe14B crystals; while for N/R boundaries, longer average length corresponds to better spreading wetting of Nd-Rich phases on the surface of Nd2Fe14B crystals. The triple junction density is calculated as the number of triple junctions in the "Nd-Rich corners" is subtracted from the number of triple junctions in the whole boundaries; therefore, the calculated triple junctions in N/R subsets should correspond to either the Nd2Fe14B/Nd-Rich/Nd2Fe14B (abbreviated as N/R/N) or the Nd-Rich/Nd2Fe14B/Nd-Rich (abbreviated as R/N/R) triple boundaries. Consequently, the calculated triple junction density represents the infiltration effect of Nd-Rich phases to Nd2Fe14B phase. As to the veracity of Table 1, it can be seen from Fig. 1b that the individual pixels of the Nd-Rich phases have been included in the statistics in Table 1. The average length of both N/N and N/R boundaries is about 0.1 μm while the step size of Fig. 1a is 0.05 μm, therefore, the average length of N/N and N/R boundaries is about 2 pixels. N/N boundaries occupy 83% boundary populations in Table 1, which means a not-very satisfied enwrapping of Nd-Rich phases to Nd2Fe14B phase. On the other hand, the 11.16 kOe coercivity of the specimen (see Fig. 3) means a passable enwrapping of Nd-Rich phases to Nd2Fe14B phase. Such contradiction means that N/R/N takes up a higher percentage in the triple junctions than that of R/N/R.

To remark, the significance of Table 1 is that it quantitatively illustrates the components and distribution features of both N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries; besides, the distribution features of triple junctions can describe the penetrating effect of Nd-Rich phases to Nd2Fe14B phase. As the beginning of GBPD study, the preferential misorientations between crystals should be clarified and accordingly, the misorientation angle distributions across both N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries are examined and the results are shown in Fig. 4. It can be observed that the experimental distributions obviously deviate the random case. For N/N boundaries, two preferential misorientation angles (31° and 60°) can be observed. The misorientation distribution function (MDF) analysis is shown in Fig. 5 and indicates that for these two misorientation angles, the most preferred rotation axis is [0 0 1], therefore, two preferential misorientation relationships, 31°/|0 0 1| and 60°/|0 0 1|, can be identified. The lattice structures of these two misorientations are illustrated by the schematic diagrams in Fig. 6. Since no coincidence lattice site can be found between the original and the (clockwisely or counterclockwisely) rotated (0 0 1) surfaces of Nd2Fe14B unit cell, the structure of 31°/|0 0 1| and 60°/|0 0 1| misorientations cannot be explained by coincidence site lattice (CSL) model. Here, the misorientation is a geometrical construction based on the lattice geometry rather than on the atom positions. The 31°/|0 0 1| and 60°/|0 0 1| misorientations show no fraction of lattice

| Table 1 Stereological statistics for N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Nd2Fe14B/Nd2Fe14B** grain boundaries | **Nd2Fe14B/Nd-Rich** phase boundaries |
| Number fraction (%) | 80.15 | 17.66 |
| Length fraction (%) | 82.85 | 14.97 |
| Number density (μm²) | 60.79 | 13.39 |
| Length density (μm) | 6.48 | 1.17 |
| Average length (μm) | 0.11 | 0.09 |
| Triple junction density (μm²) | 42.56 | 36.73 |
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sites that are coincident; nevertheless, the boundary structures of these two misorientations are more regular than that of random boundaries and thus, we should concentrate on the special properties that associated with the two surfaces that make up the boundary. For N/R boundaries, two preferential misorientation angles (31°/C14° and 72°/C14°) can be observed, indicating that at N/R boundary locations, Nd2Fe14B phase and Nd-Rich phases are more prone to combine to each other with a 31° and 72° misorientation angle. Since the Nd-Rich phases are simplified as one tetragonal phase in this work, the MDF analysis of 31°/C14° N/R misorientation angle can refers to that of 31° N/N misorientation angle listed in Fig. 5a, and the MDF analysis of 72° N/R misorientation angle is listed in Fig. 5c. Therefore, preferential distribution of 31°/[0 0 1] and 72°/[0 0 1] misorientations among N/R boundaries can be identified. Because the lattice symmetries of Nd-Rich phases are commonly different from that of Nd2Fe14B phase, so it is not necessary to compare lattice coincidence at N/R boundary locations. To remark, Table 1 and Fig. 4 can be counted regardless the orientation texture degree of Nd2Fe14B crystals, while Fig. 4 reveals that there exist orientation bias between crystals in NdFeB permanent magnets.

For the preferred 31°/[0 0 1] and 60°/[0 0 1] misorientations in N/N boundaries, \( \langle \Delta g, n \rangle \) results are shown in Fig. 7. For 31°/[0 0 1] misorientation, by comparing with the maximum positions in experimental pole plot (see Fig. 7a) with the geometrically characteristic locations (see Fig. 7b), it can be found that 31°/[0 0 1] has an obvious twist structure mixed with a weak 180°-tilt component; besides, according to the MRD values in Fig. 7a, the occurring frequency of 31°/[0 0 1] is 13 times larger than that in random boundaries. Similarly, for 60°/[0 0 1] misorientation, by comparing with Fig. 7c and d, twist structure spreads along various zone axes can be observed, besides, the occurring frequency of 61°/[0 0 1] is 12 times larger than that in random boundaries.

For N/N boundaries, N/R boundaries and the preferred misorientations in N/N boundaries, their \( \langle \Delta g, n \rangle \) results are shown in Fig. 8. For N/N boundaries, peaks at (1 1 0) and (1 1 2) locations indicate that for the measured Nd2Fe14B crystals, their total area of (1 1 0) prismatic planes and (1 1 2) planes is larger than that of (0 0 1) basal planes. For N/R boundaries, peak at (0 0 1) location indicates that Nd2Fe14B crystals are more prone to combine with Nd-Rich phases on (0 0 1) basal planes. Specifically, for N/N boundaries with 31°/[0 0 1] misorientation, (2 1 0) planes are preferred; and for N/N boundaries with 60°/[0 0 1] misorientation, (0 0 1) and (2 1 3) planes are preferred. Due to the border presence of random boundaries, the peak positions in Fig. 8c and d cannot be reflected on Fig. 8a.

### 4. Discussion

#### 4.1 New cognitions about the boundary networks in NdFeB permanent magnets

For entire N/N boundaries, they occupy the majority in the whole boundaries (see Table 1). Via the N/N boundaries, Nd2Fe14B crystals combine mainly through bi-crystals or tri-crystals pattern. Two specific misorientations, 31°/[0 0 1] and 60°/[0 0 1], are preferred among N/N boundaries, and similar misorientation bias can be found in a previous observation.13 These two misorientations both have a twist structure by referring to [0 0 1] axis and have a relative larger population if compared with random boundaries (see Fig. 4 and 7). Particularly, the 31° misorientation is explained as the standard deviation of Nd2Fe14B crystal alignment distribution.14 Symmetric misorientations would show different local magnetic moments compared with crystal interior or compared with random boundaries.15 Accordingly, although the two preferred misorientations do not present any coincidence lattice site, their symmetric lattice structures (see Fig. 6) might...
lead to specific magnetic moment state at the corresponding boundary locations.

For entire N/R boundaries, they occupy the minority in the whole boundaries (see Table 1). Lattice distortion and reduced local magnetic anisotropy has been observed at N/R boundary locations,\(^\text{16}\) and the combination approaches at N/R boundary locations, or, the interconnection between Nd-Rich phases, is decisive to obtain higher coercivity.\(^\text{17}\) In this work, two combination ways at N/R boundary locations are quantificationally clarified. One is spreading wetting of Nd-Rich phases to Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B crystals (indexed by average length in Table 1). Poor grain-boundary wetting might hinder the development of the intrinsic coercivity,\(^\text{18}\) and small additions of certain elements that concentrated at boundaries can improve the wetting of liquid phase.\(^\text{19}\) The other is the penetrating of Nd-Rich phases to Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B phase at triple junctions (indexed by triple junction density in Table 1). Liquid phase that penetrates into the N/N boundaries would impact the final intrinsic coercivity via minimizing the exchange interactions between the adjacent Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B crystals.\(^\text{3}\) For the specific N/R boundaries, two

Fig. 6  The lattice structures of 31°/[0 0 1] and 60°/[0 0 1] misorientations. For each schematic diagram, red lattice refers to the original (0 0 1) plane of a Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B unit cell, and blue lattice refers to the rotated (0 0 1) plane of another Nd\(_2\)Fe\(_{14}\)B unit cell.
preferred misorientations, $31^\circ/[0 0 1]$ and $72^\circ/[0 0 1]$, are observed (see Fig. 4), indicating the two most preferred combination approaches between Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase and Nd-Rich phases.

Orientation texture of habit planes is also observed in the studied specimen. $(1 1 0)$ and $(1 1 2)$ planes occupy a relative large percentage in N/N habit planes, $(0 0 1)$ plane occupies a relative large percentage in N/R habit planes, while $(2 1 0)$ and $(2 1 3)$ planes are preferred among specific N/N boundaries (see Fig. 8). These results indicate that N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries are developed along low-indexed prismatic and basal planes of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals to minimize interfacial energy, and some similar results can be found in earlier reports. Orientation texture of habit planes is helpful to explain some anisotropic behaviors, for example, the anisotropic boundary diffusion.

It should be noted that the data in Table 1, Fig. 4, 7 and 8 would alter under different conditions, including: (1) fabrication routines for NdFeB permanent magnets, which would lead to various anisotropies in the magnets; (2) texture degree (means a stronger or a weaker texture) in the magnet, which would lead to various boundary network features, and these features would impact the magnetic anisotropy and the size of interaction domains; (3) the volume fraction of Nd-Rich
phases, which would impact the thickness of Nd-Rich phases between \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) crystals, and (4) the spatial sampling locations: generally speaking, orientation texture of both crystals and boundary planes are weaker if the sampling location is more close to the center of the magnet bulk. After taken these factors into account, the statistical methods used in this work are also suitable to other (\( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} + \text{Nd-Rich} \)) systems.

### 4.2 Potential correlations between GBPD and magnetic properties

As an interdisciplinary work, structure–property relationship, or, potential relationship between GBPD and magnetic properties, can be studied based on the new structural information that extracted from the planar EBSD data.

#### 4.2.1 Regards coercivity

This work sorts the previous called “grain boundaries” into N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries. Different from the \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) crystal interior, the lattice fitting is more complicated at boundary locations. For N/N boundaries, the alignment between \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) crystals should be noted. It was accepted that the coercive force of NdFeB permanent magnets decreases as the \( \text{Nd}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B} \) crystal alignment improves, and it was expected that the coercive force of perfectly aligned magnet reached 70% of coercive force in isotropically aligned magnet when the coercive force is determined by magnetic domain wall motion. In this work, the 31°/[0 0 1]
indicates the alignment between Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals and the angular dependence of the coercive force. In the case of a higher degree of misalignment, diffusion at boundary locations is a significant approach to enhance the coercivity, especially when diffusion is made parallel to the texture axis of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals, or when diffusion is made via replacing Nd with heavy rare earth elements (Dy or Tb). During the anisotropic local hardening, diffusion channels are interrupted along the [0 0 1] easy axis (see Fig. 6), and Nd atoms would pile up and lead to the rotation of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals.

For N/R boundaries, Nd-Rich phases magnetically isolate the individual Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals from each other and form shell structure around Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals. Accordingly, the composition and width of the boundary could impact the coercivity at N/R boundary locations and could determine the magnetic domain wall energy at N/R boundary locations. Here, the atomic arrangement of the Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystal that very close to the N/R boundary is necessarily distorted over a distance of typically 1 nm, which can be attributed to the redistribution of surface energy that would distort crystal lattice and create stacking faults. As to the population of N/R boundaries, it can be controlled by proper processing routines, and the disappearance of N/R boundaries can result in a substantial reduction in coercivity.

The lattice order at either N/N boundaries or N/R boundaries is generally lower than that in the crystal interior, therefore, boundary locations can be regarded as “defects” if compared with crystal interior. Evenly distributed N/R boundaries, or, broader existence of thin-layer-like Nd-Rich phases, can reduce defects on Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystal surfaces. The lattice distortion of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystal that close to N/R boundary locations can act as nucleation sites for the nucleation of magnetic reversal domains. The “defects” structure would also lead to anisotropic behaviors at N/N boundaries or N/R boundaries including reduced local magnetic anisotropy, de-pinning of magnetic domain walls on tilted crystals, and higher magnetocrystalline anisotropy field when heavy rare earth elements (Dy or Tb) is added.

Coupling and pinning are the two major mechanisms to explain the coercivity. Coupling is more suitable for sintered NdFeB permanent magnets to obtain high coercivity. There should exist short-range ferromagnetic coupling across N/N boundaries or N/R boundaries, however, the preferred misorientations observed in this work remind us to pay more attention on long-range magnetostatic interactions, which can hinder the maximizing of intrinsic coercivity when the [0 0 1] orientation texture of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals is strongest. In this work, 6.84% and 5.64% Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals rotate around [0 0 1] axis 31° and 60°, respectively. The preferred misorientations along [0 0 1] axis that between Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals make the lattice sequence more diversified if observed perpendicular to the (0 0 1) plane and as the consequence, the nucleation effect between uncorrelated matrix crystals would present new features on a statistical meaning (see Fig. 9 as the sketch). Pinning is more suitable for hot deformed NdFeB permanent magnets and domain wall pinning at boundary locations is the dominant magnetic hardening mechanism for such magnets.

4.2.2 Regards domain. Domain features in this work could be analyzed from the crystallographic information. For a single Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystal, easy axis is an alternative to extend domain branches and to pin domain wall across twin boundaries. Meantime, magnetic domain walls are strongly pinned at tilted crystals. The crystal surface with reduced magnetic anisotropy can facilitate the nucleation of energy-favorable reverse magnetic domains. For example, defects in the crystal (often close to the N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries) can act as nucleation or pinning sites for magnetic domain walls and can affect the nucleation of reversal domains. Moreover, coupling between neighboring crystals is the prerequisite to form interaction domains. In short, crystal orientation as well as the alignment between individual crystallites significantly influences the formation and the size of interaction domains.

It has been well known that boundaries favor the nucleation of reversed domains, while coupling that leads to the interaction domains is closely correlated to the boundary structures. The preferred boundaries with specific misorientations might be repulsed by the domain wall, whereas the random boundaries might be attracted to the domain wall. Distribution of N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries simultaneously leads to the textures and anisotropies between crystals. The heterogeneous magnet has locally different crystallographic textures and magnetic domain patterns, and degree of texture impacts the scale of the interaction domains. For sintered NdFeB permanent magnets, the dominant magnetization processes in a field applied parallel to the texture axis are the nucleation of reverse domains and the propagation of easily moveable domain walls. In a more general situation, domain walls prefer to stay at the low energy state, for example, trapping at specific boundary locations; thus, the actual position of the domain wall is determined by its energy state. Accordingly, coercivity can be improved by increasing the anisotropy field of possible nucleation sites.

4.2.3 Regards remanence. NdFeB permanent magnets should have a proper remanence if a strong torque is needed. The dominant magnetic hardening mechanism can be described as domain wall pinning from dependent of normalized coercivity and remanence on the maximum applied field. In the remanent state, most of the magnetic moments are close to their crystal easy axes, resulting in the anisotropies by referring to the easy axis. As an example, the development of remanence depends on the diffusion depth, which is anisotropic if parallel or perpendicular to the texture axis. Approaches for enhancing the remanence include the intergranular exchange coupling, substitution of low coercivity layer with high magnetization and the reinforcing of amorphous (that is, isotropic) attributes at boundary locations. On the contrary, factors that can reduce the remanence include reduction of anisotropy constants near boundary locations, crystal misalignment during parallel diffusion, poor orientation bias of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals in hot deformed NdFeB permanent magnets (which can leads to the nucleation of reversal magnetic domains and the deflection of magnetic moments from the easy direction) and the addition of heavy...
rare earth elements (Dy or Tb) (due to the low magnetization of Dy$_2$Fe$_{14}$B and Tb$_2$Fe$_{14}$B than that of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B).

5. Summaries and future perspectives

The work focuses on the misorientations across boundary planes in a sintered NdFeB permanent magnet. The entire boundaries are sorted into N/N boundaries and N/R boundaries. For N/N boundaries, 31°/[0 0 1] and 60°/[0 0 1] misorientations that have twist configurations are preferred, and the two misorientations cannot be explained by the CSL model. For N/R boundaries, two combination ways at N/R boundary locations: spreading wetting or penetrating of Nd-Rich phases to Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B phase, are quantitatively clarified. Moreover, N/N boundaries favor (1 1 0) and (1 1 2) prismatic habit planes, while N/R boundaries favor (0 0 1) basal habit plane.

The anisotropic features of GBPDs would potentially impact the magnetic properties. Regards coercivity, lattice distortion and energy state at boundary locations might impact the coupling and pinning mechanisms. 31° misorientation angle indicates the alignment distribution of Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B crystals and in-turn the angular dependence of the coercive force. Regards domain, the lattice alignment at boundary locations could influence the formation and the size of interaction domains. Regards remanence, the arrangement of magnetic moments close to the boundary locations should be highlighted.

Future works should be include: (1) observations of specific boundaries (via Lorentz microscopy) and domains (via Kerr microscopy); (2) make GBPD comparison in serial specimens with different techniques or with relative changes with respect to the current specimen; (3) the role of defects and vacancies should be highlighted when Nd addition is added to the nanocrystalline Nd–Fe–B permanent magnets or when non-equilibrium rapid solidification of the magnet is performed. Multiple phases that correlated to Nd addition should be recognized in the EBSD maps; (4) the evolution of the microstructure as well as magnetic properties along with the increase of the temperature should be focused. Although the EBSD camera can not work when the sample is heated to the Curie temperature, the SEM can report the real-time structure of the microstructure. The "in situ SEM" technique would provide beneficial clues to the temperature dependent magnetic properties.
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