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Abstract. W. Thurston suggested a method for computing hyperbolic volume of hyperbolic 3–
manifolds, based on a triangulation of the manifold. The method was implemented by J. Weeks in
the program SnapPea, which produces a decimal approximation as a result. For hyperbolic 2-bridge
links, we give formulae that allow one to find the exact volume, i.e. to construct a polynomial and to
find volume as an analytic function of one of its roots. The computation is performed directly from
a reduced, alternating link diagram.
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1. Introduction

The purpose of this note is to calculate the volume of hyperbolic 2-bridge links exactly,
i.e. to construct a polynomial such that the volume can be expressed as an analytic function
of one of its roots. It turns out that one can do it directly from a reduced, alternating
diagram of a 2-bridge link. The constructive process amounts to assigning labels to the
link diagram, counting twists and bigons, and substituting the corresponding labels and
numbers in the given formulas. This idea emerged from an example, considered by M.
Thistlethwaite.

This work was motivated by two questions. The first one concerns computing the vol-
ume of a hyperbolic 3-manifold exactly. W. Thurston suggested a method for computing the
volume, based on a triangulation of a manifold ([12]). If done by hand, the process becomes
tedious even for manifolds with just several tetrahedra. The method was implemented by
J. Weeks in the program SnapPea ([13]), which produces a decimal approximation as a
result. The program Snap ([3]), intended for exact calculations, followed. It approximates
the hyperbolic structure to a high precision, and then makes an intelligent guess of the cor-
responding algebraic numbers (from which the volume can be computed). For hyperbolic
2-bridge links, we suggest a simple alternative. It does not involve constructing gluing equa-
tions for a triangulation (compared to SnapPea) or using the LLL algorithm for guessing
the polynomial (compared to Snap).

The second question concerns relating diagrammatic properties of a link to the geome-
try of its complement, and, in particular, to its hyperbolic volume. In this spirit, estimates
for the volume of various families of links were previously obtained. For example, M. Lack-
enby showed in [7] that the hyperbolic volume of an alternating link has upper and lower
bounds as functions of the number of twist regions of a reduced, alternating diagram. D.
Futer, E. Kalfagianni and J. Purcell extended these results to highly twisted knots ([4]) and
to sums of alternating tangles ([5]). We do not provide any bounds (asymptotically sharp
volume bounds for 2-bridge links are given in [6]), but the suggested calculation of the exact
volume is based solely on the layout of a reduced link diagram.

Previously, polynomials that allow one to compute volumes of 2-bridge links exactly
were obtained in [10] using different methods. In particular, the authors reduced the gluing
equations for a triangulation to a single equation. This equation can be obtained by substituting subvectors of \((a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)\), where the numbers \(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\) come from a continued fraction expansion of the link, into a set of recursive formulae given by the authors. The polynomials suggested here are obtained from a reduced alternating diagram instead, and are closely tied to it. Into the suggested formulae one substitutes labels of the link diagram; these labels are complex numbers assigned to strands and crossings. The construction implies that these polynomials immediately give extra geometric information, such as, for example, translation distance along horoballs between crossing arcs, or distances and angles between specific horospheres and preimages of meridians in \(\mathbb{H}^3\). These geometric parameters are closely related to the invariant trace field of the link, and the connection will be discussed in an upcoming paper ([9]). For a discussion on how the degrees of the polynomials obtained by the two different methods relate, see Remark 2.4.

2. Obtaining exact edge and crossing labels

In [11], an alternative method for computing the hyperbolic structure of a link complement is described. The key idea of the method is to use isometries of ideal polygons arising from the regions of a link diagram. The method parameterizes the horoball pattern obtained by lifting cusp neighborhoods to the universal cover \(\mathbb{H}^3\) using complex numbers, called edge and crossing labels. According to their names, crossing labels are assigned to crossings of a link projection, and edge labels are assigned to edges of the regions.

Consider an alternating, reduced diagram \(D\) of a hyperbolic 2–bridge link \(L\) with \(k\) twists, where the leftmost twist has \(n_1\) crossings, the twist next to it has \(n_2\) crossings, and so on up to \(n_k\). We will call the leftmost twist - the first, the next twist to the right - the second, etc. Note that there always exists a reduced, alternating diagram of a 2-bridge link such that the first and the last twists have at least two crossing each, so we may assume that \(n_1 > 1\) and \(n_k > 1\).

We assume that horospherical cross-sections of the cusps of \(S^3 \setminus L\) have been chosen so that a (geodesic) meridian curve on the cross-sectional torus has length 1. The preimage of each cross-sectional torus in the universal cover \(\mathbb{H}^3\) is a union of horospheres, and we specify a complex affine structure on each horosphere by declaring that meridional translation corresponds to the real number 1. Finally, we assume that coordinates in \(\mathbb{H}^3\) are chosen so that one of the horospheres is the Euclidean plane of (Euclidean) height 1 above the \(xy\)–plane. With these conventions, a crossing label contains the geometric information about a preimage of an arc from an overpass to an underpass of the crossing. An edge label contains information about a preimage of the corresponding arc on the boundary torus. Further details and rigorous definitions of the labels can be found in [11].

Endow \(D\) with a labeling scheme \(\mathcal{L}\) as follows. Every arc from an underpass to an overpass of a crossing is homotopic to any other such arc from the same twist. Therefore, we may use just one crossing label per twist, and edge labels inside any bigon are 0. Denote \(w_1\) the leftmost crossing label of the first horizontal twist in \(D\) (and hence all crossing labels of that twist), \(w_2\) the leftmost crossing label of the second twist (and hence all crossing labels of that twist), and so on up to \(w_k\). Recall from [11] that given a checkerboard coloring of \(D\), if \(u\) and \(v\) label two different sides of the same edge of \(D\), then \(u - v = \pm 1\) (the sign is plus iff \(u\) is in a white region). Hence the edge labels outside bigons are \(\pm 1\) depending on the coloring chosen. Let us label the rest of the edges of the regions adjacent to twists as shown in Fig. 1. In addition, let us choose an orientation of the link, and let \(\kappa_j = 1\), if two strands in the \(j^{th}\) twist are oriented coherently, and \(\kappa_j = -1\) otherwise.
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The layout of a reduced, alternating diagram of a 2-bridge link allows us to find all the labels solely from the label $w_1$. This can be done recursively, using regions of a diagram and proceeding from left to right. The rightmost region yields an extra relation, which gives a polynomial in $w_1$. Therefore, $w_1$ can be found exactly, and all other labels can be expressed in terms of $w_1$.

The recursive formulae for the labels are a bit unwieldy, but are obtained in a straightforward manner from the region equations given in [11], as we demonstrate in the next proposition. They involve Fibonacci type polynomials defined for the $j^{th}$ twist as follows: $f_0 = 0$, $f_1 = 1$, and $f_{m+1} = f_m - \kappa_j w_j f_{m-1}$ for all natural $m$. Remark 2.5 provides the closed formulae for these polynomials.

In this note, we consider edge and crossing labels mainly as parameters aiding in the computation of hyperbolic volume. However the definition of the labels implies that they immediately provide extra geometric information. In particular, $w_i$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, give geometric information about intercusp geodesics at the crossings of $D$, while $u_j$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, give information about translations on the boundary torus between the crossings in $D$ (the ones labeled by $w_{j-1}$ and $w_j$, $w_{j-1}$ and $w_{j+1}$ respectively). This geometric perspective is explained in [11] in detail.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $D$ be an alternating, reduced diagram of a hyperbolic 2-bridge link, endowed with the labeling scheme $\mathcal{L}$ as above. Suppose the $j^{th}$ twist has $n$ crossings. Then the labels $u_3^j$, $u_1^j$, and $w_{j+1}$ can be found from $w_j$ as follows:

(i) for $j = 1$, $u_1^1 = u_3^1 = \frac{\kappa_1 w_1 f_{n-1}}{f_n}$ and $w_2 = -\frac{(\kappa_1 w_1)^n}{\kappa_2 f_n^2}$;

(ii) for $1 < j < k$ and $n > 1$, $u_1^j = u_3^j = (-1)^j - 1$, $u_3^j = \frac{w_j (\kappa_j w_j^j f_{n-1} - w_j f_{n-2})}{u_1^j f_n + (-1)^j \kappa_j w_j f_{n-1}}$,

and $w_{j+1} = \frac{\kappa_{j-1} (\kappa_j w_j)^n w_j - 1}{\kappa_{j+1} (u_1^j f_n + (-1)^j \kappa_j w_j f_{n-1})^2}$;

(iii) for $1 < j < k$ and $n = 1$, $u_1^j = u_3^j = (-1)^j - 1$, $u_3^j = \frac{\kappa_j w_j}{u_1^j}$,

$w_{j+1} = \frac{\kappa_{j-1} \kappa_j w_{j-1} w_j}{\kappa_{j+1} (u_1^j)^2}$;

(iv) for $j = k$, $u_3^k = \frac{(-1)^{k+1} \kappa_k w_k f_{n-1}}{f_n}$ and $u_1^k = u_3^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-1}$.

**Proof.** Let $R_j$ be a region of the diagram $D$, adjacent to the $j^{th}$ twist with $n$ crossings. The region $R_j$ gives a rise to a disk $\Delta_j$, whose boundary consists of sub-arcs on the peripheral torus travelling between adjacent crossings incident to $R_j$, and arcs travelling between the underpass and the overpass at crossings of $R_j$. Denote the corresponding ideal polygon in the cover $\mathbb{H}^3$ by $\tilde{\Delta}_j$. Recall from [11] that the shape parameter assigned to the preimage
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of an arc from an overpass to an underpass is, up to sign, the quotient of the label at that
crossing by the product of the two incident edge labels.

Without loss of generality, let us choose such a checkerboard coloring of the regions
of the diagram $D$ so that the bigons in the first twist are black. Recall that for two edge
labels $u$ and $v$ located at different sides of the same edge, $u - v = 1$ if $u$ is in a white region,
and $u - v = -1$ otherwise. This together with the chosen coloring yields the relations for
$u_i^j$ from (ii) and (iii), and the relation for $u_k^1$ from (iv). Note also that the edge labels are
$-1$ outside a white bigon, and 1 outside a black bigon, which can be written as $(-1)^{j+1}$
for the $j$th twist.

For every twist, there are two possible situations, namely the number of crossings $n = 1,$
and $n > 1.$ Suppose first that $n > 1.$ Then for $1 < j < k$ (Fig. 2(i)), some of the shape
parameters for $R_j$ are

$$
\zeta_1 = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\kappa_j w_j}{u_1^j}, \quad \zeta_2 = \frac{\kappa_j w_{j+1}}{u_2^j}, \quad \zeta_3 = \frac{\kappa_j w_{j-1}}{u_3^j}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_4 = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{\kappa_j w_j}{u_3^j}.
$$

(i) $1 < j < k$  
(ii) $j = 0$ or $j = k$

\begin{figure}
\centering
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node (zeta1) at (-2,0) {$\zeta_1$};
\node (zeta2) at (2,0) {$\zeta_2$};
\node (zeta3) at (-2,-2) {$\zeta_3$};
\node (zeta4) at (2,-2) {$\zeta_4$};
\end{tikzpicture}
\caption{Fig. 2}
\end{figure}

For the first and last regions the situation is slightly different (Fig. 2(ii)):

$$
\zeta_1 = \frac{\kappa_1 w_1}{u_1^1}, \quad \zeta_0 = \frac{\kappa_2 w_2}{u_1^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_2 = \frac{\kappa_1 w_1}{u_1^3} \quad \text{for } R_1;
$$

$$
\zeta_1 = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\kappa_k w_k}{u_3^k}, \quad \zeta_0 = \frac{\kappa_k w_{k+1}}{u_1^k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_2 = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\kappa_k w_k}{u_1^3} \quad \text{for } R_k.
$$

All the other shape parameters of $R_j$ are $\kappa_j w_j$ for all $j.$

Let $Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\zeta_i \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 4,$ and $W = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\kappa_j w_j \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$

First suppose $1 < j < k,$ i.e. the $j$th twist is not the first or the last one. Denote $c_m$ the
element in row 2, column 1 of the product matrix $Z_3 Z_2 Z_1 W^{m-2} Z_4.$ Using mathematical
induction, one can prove that

$$
c_m = (-1)^{m-1} f_m + (-1)^m f_{m-1} \zeta_1 + (-1)^m f_m \zeta_2 \quad \text{for all natural } m > 1.
$$

The product $Z_3 Z_2 Z_1 W^{n-2} Z_4$ corresponds to the composition of hyperbolic isome-
tries, rotating the polygon $\tilde{\Delta}_j.$ Since the polygon closes up, this composition is 1, whence
$Z_3 Z_2 Z_1 W^{n-2} Z_4$ is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix. Therefore, $c_n = 0.$ This
together with the above equality for $c_m$ implies $\zeta_2 = \frac{f_n - f_{n-1} \zeta_1}{f_n}.$ Substituting the shape
parameters, we obtain

$$
u_j^2 = \frac{\kappa_j w_j - 1 f_n}{u_1^j f_n + \kappa_j w_j f_n (-1)^j}.
$$
Similarly, the product \( Z_2Z_1W^{n-2}Z_3 \) yields
\[
(-1)^{n-1}f_n + (-1)^{n-1}\zeta_4 f_{n-2} + (-1)^n(\zeta_1 + \zeta_4)f_{n-1} = 0,
\]
whence
\[
\zeta_4 = \frac{f_n - f_{n-1}\zeta_1}{f_{n-1} - f_{n-2}\zeta_1},
\]
and the equality for \( u_j^2 \) stated in (ii) follows (there is a factor \((\kappa_j)^2\) in the resulting formulae that we can safely omit, since \(\kappa_j = \pm 1\), and therefore \((\kappa_j)^2 = 1\)).

Using the symmetry of the region \( R_j \), we can substitute \( \zeta_1 \) by \( \zeta_4 \), and \( \zeta_2 \) by \( \zeta_3 \) in the first equality proved by induction. Then
\[
\zeta_3 = \frac{f_n - f_{n-1}\zeta_4}{f_n} = 1 - \frac{f_{n-1}}{f_n} \cdot \frac{f_n - f_{n-1}\zeta_1}{f_{n-1} - f_{n-2}\zeta_1}.
\]

Note that
\[
f_{m+1} - f_{m+2}f_m = (f_m - \kappa_j w_j f_{m-1})f_{m+1} - (f_{m+1} - \kappa_j w_j f_m)f_m = \kappa_j w_j(f_m^2 - f_{m+1}f_{m-1})
\]
for every natural \( m > 1 \), and therefore, by induction, \((\kappa_j w_j)^m = f_m^2 - f_{m+1}f_{m-1}\). So the relation for \( \zeta_3 \) becomes
\[
\zeta_3 = \frac{(\kappa_j w_j)^{n-2}\zeta_1}{f_n(f_{n-1} - f_{n-2}\zeta_1)}.
\]
Substituting the shape parameters and the above formulae for \( u_j^2 \) and \( u_j^3 \), we obtain the relation for \( w_{j+1} \) stated in (ii).

For the first and last regions \(( j = 1 \) and \( j = k \)), the matrix product \( Z_0Z_1W^{n-2}Z_2 \) and the symmetry of the region imply \( \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \frac{f_n}{f_{n-1}} \). The relations (i) and (iv) follow.

In a similar fashion, the product \( W^{n-2}Z_2Z_0Z_1 \) yields
\[
\zeta_0 = -\frac{\zeta_2 f_{n-2} + f_{n-1}}{f_{n-1}} = -\frac{f_{n-1}^2 - f_{n-2}f_n}{f_{n-1}^2} = -\frac{(\kappa_j w_j)^{n-2}}{f_{n-1}^2},
\]
and the formula for \( w_2 \) stated in (i) follows.

In the case of \( n = 1 \), \( R_j \) is 3–sided, and all the shape parameters are equal to 1. This implies (iii), completing the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.2.** In the proof of Proposition 2.1, the relation \( \zeta_2 = \frac{f_n}{f_{n-1}} \) for the last region yields an extra equation
\[
u_k^2 f_n + (-1)^k \kappa_k w_k f_{n-1} = 0,
\]
where \( n > 1 \) is the number of crossings in the last twist. This equation together with the recursive formulae from Proposition 2.1 describe a constructive process of obtaining a polynomial \( P \) from the link diagram, for which \( w_1 \) is a root. The polynomial has several complex roots. The root that corresponds to the geometric structure is the one that maximizes hyperbolic volume.

By a twist link with \( n + 2 \) crossings we will mean a link (or a knot) that has a reduced alternating diagram with two crossings in the first twist and \( n \) crossings in the second twist.

**Lemma 2.3.** For a twist link with \( n + 2 \) crossings, the degree of the polynomial \( P \) is exactly \( n \).

**Proof.** The recursive definition of the polynomial \( f_n \) in terms of \( w_j \) implies that its degree is 0 for \( n = 0 \), \( n = \frac{n}{2} - 1 \) for \( n \) even, and \( n/2 \) for \( n \) odd.

For a twist link \( L \), let \( f_n \) be the polynomial for the second twist. From Proposition 2.1, \( w_2 = \pm(w_1)^2 \), \( u_1^1 = u_3^1 = \pm w_1 \), \( u_1^2 = \pm w_1 \pm 1 \), and \( u_3^3 = \pm(w_1)^2 f_{n-1}/f_n \). If we rewrite \( f_n \) in terms of \( w_1 \), its degree will be \( n - 2 \) for \( n \) even, and \( n - 1 \) for \( n \) odd. Therefore,
\[ P(w_1) = (\pm w_1 \pm 1) f_n \pm (w_1)^2 f_{n-1}. \]

Treating \( n \) even and \( n \) odd as two separate cases, we obtain that the degree of \( P \) is always \( n \). \( \Box \)

**Remark 2.4.** Given a link, both the polynomial \( P \) from Remark 2.2 and the polynomial obtained using formulae of Sakuma and Weeks ([10]) can be used for volume computation. The methods and formulae are different, and it is unclear how the two resulting polynomials or their degrees might relate for an arbitrary 2-bridge link. Partial information about the degree of the polynomial suggested by Sakuma and Weeks is given in Lemma II.5.8 of [10]. In particular, the lemma states that for a twist link with \( n + 2 \) crossings, the degree can be written in terms of a recursive function \( \alpha \), defined in [10], and is exactly \( \alpha(2, n) - 1 \) if \( \alpha(2, n) \) is odd. Note that \( \alpha(2, n) = n\alpha(2) + \alpha(0) = 2n + 1 \) is always odd, and therefore the degree of the Sakuma-Weeks polynomial is exactly \( n \) for the twist link. This coincides with the degree of the polynomial \( P \) (as proved in Lemma 2.3).

**Remark 2.5.** The formulae for the labels involve Fibonacci type polynomials: \( f_0 = 0 \), \( f_1 = 1 \), and \( f_{m+1} = f_m - \kappa w f_{m-1} \) for all natural \( m \). Below we provide a closed formula for this recurrence relation.

The characteristic polynomial for the recurrence relation is \( r^2 - r + \kappa w = 0 \). Solving for \( r \), we obtain the characteristic roots \( r_1 = (1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa w})/2 \) and \( r_2 = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa w})/2 \). For \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) to be equal, \( w \) would have to be real number, which is never the case for a crossing label \( w \) that corresponds to the hyperbolic structure of a link complement. Therefore, \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are distinct. Then \( f_n = C(r_1)^n + D(r_2)^n \), where \( C \) and \( D \) are constants chosen based on two initial relations \( f_0 = 0 \) and \( f_1 = 1 \). Finding \( C \) and \( D \), one establishes the closed formula

\[ f_n = \frac{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa w})^n - (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa w})^n}{2^n \sqrt{1 - 4\kappa w}}. \]

This suggests that closed formulae for the labels and the polynomial \( P \) might be established as well, albeit they might be rather cumbersome.

3. **Shapes of ideal tetrahedra**

In [10], the conjectural canonical cell decomposition of 2-bridge links was described (the proof that this ideal triangulation is indeed the canonical cell decomposition was announced in [1] and should appear in a sequel). According to the Sakuma-Weeks description, there are \( 2(n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_k - 3) \) tetrahedra occurring in isometric pairs in the complement \( S^3 \setminus L \) of a hyperbolic 2-bridge link \( L \). In this section, we give simple formulas that allow one to find the tetrahedra shapes from the labels of a reduced, alternating diagram \( D \) of \( L \).

Suppose \( D \) is endowed with a labeling scheme \( \mathcal{L} \) as above. We will add more labels, and call the resulting scheme \( \mathcal{L}_1 \). On Fig. 3(i), the vertical dotted lines represent geodesics in the complement \( S^3 \setminus L \) that correspond to edges of the canonical cell decomposition. Every \( b^1 \), except for the first and last ones ( \( b^1_1 \) and \( b^k_{n_k} \)), is the edge label corresponding to a (directed) Euclidean line segment on the boundary torus between such a geodesic and the geodesic for the next to the right crossing arc in the twist. The first one, \( b^1_1 \), corresponds to the segment from the first (leftmost) crossing arc to the second crossing arc. The last one, \( b^k_{n_k} \), corresponds to the segment between the last crossing arc and the one preceding it. In our notation the upper index indicates the adjacent twist.
Vertical dotted lines subdivide the diagram into parts. We will refer to these parts as “levels”. Examining the Sakuma and Weeks description, we see that there are two isometric tetrahedra on every level. We will say that an ideal tetrahedron $T$ is adjacent to a crossing, if an arc from the overpass to the underpass of this crossing is (homotopic to) a truncated edge of $T$. We will also say that $T$ is adjacent to a twist, if $T$ is adjacent to one of the crossings of this twist.

The following theorem gives expressions for the tetrahedra shapes in terms of the labels.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $D$ be the reduced, alternating diagram of a hyperbolic 2-bridge link $L$ with a labeling scheme $\mathcal{L}_1$ as above. In the canonical cell decomposition of $S^3 \setminus L$, a tetrahedron shape is $z$ if $\text{Arg}(z) > 0$, and $1/z$ otherwise, where $z$ is a ratio of the form

1. $(b_{n_k-1}^k - 1)/b_{n_k}^k$ for a pair of tetrahedra adjacent to the last crossing of the last twist;
2. $-b_{n_j}^j/u_{j+1}^j$ for a pair of tetrahedra adjacent to the $j^{\text{th}}$ and $(j+1)^{\text{th}}$ twists, $n_j > 1$;
3. $-u_{j}^3/b_{j+1}^j$ for a pair of tetrahedra adjacent to the $j^{\text{th}}$ and $(j+1)^{\text{th}}$ twists, $n_j = 1$;
4. $b_{i}^j/b_{i+1}^j$ for all other pairs of tetrahedra.

**Proof.** Denote the strands of the diagram $D$ by $s_1$, $s_2$, $s_3$, $s_4$. Consider an ideal tetrahedron in the canonical cell decomposition of $S^3 \setminus L$. Inspection of the Sakuma-Weeks description ([10]) shows that each Euclidean cusp cross-section on a (thickened) strand $s_i$ has its three vertices $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$ on geodesics joining $s_i$ with each of the other three strands. Fig. 3(ii) illustrates this situation for a tetrahedron adjacent to a twist with more than one crossing. Grey dotted arcs from $v_1$ to $v_2$ and from $v_2$ to $v_3$ along the boundary torus are edges of the cross-section. Fig. 3(i) extends this picture, showing pairs of edges for cross-sections of multiple tetrahedra.

We have two cases to consider, namely, when a pair of isometric tetrahedra is adjacent just to one twist, and when it is adjacent to two. In the first case, the complex translations corresponding to the edges of a Euclidean cusp cross-section are the edge labels $b_{i+1}$ and $-b_i$ (the latter due to the symmetry of the diagram near a twist), unless tetrahedra are adjacent to the last crossing of the last twist. For that last crossing, the complex translations are $1 - b_{n_k-1}^k$ and $b_{n_k}^k$. In the second case, i.e. at the levels, where the diagram goes from one twist into another, the situation is slightly different. The complex translations along the edges of a Euclidean cross-section correspond to the complex numbers $u_{j}^3$ and $b_{j+1}^j$ if the $j^{\text{th}}$ twist has just one crossing (Fig. 4(i)), and to $b_{n_j}^j$ and $u_{i+1}^j$ otherwise (as shown on Fig. 3(i)).
From the layout of the diagram, we see that some crossings are between the strands \( s_1 \) and \( s_2 \), while all others are between \( s_2 \) and \( s_3 \). For the first type of crossings, we can write \( z \) as suggested in (i)-(iv), and then shapes for the corresponding pair of isometric tetrahedra become the usual \( z, 1 - \frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{1 - z} \). The extra minus before the ratios in (i)-(iv) guarantees that the argument of a shape corresponds to the interior dihedral angle of the tetrahedron (not an exterior one). For the second type of crossing, \( \frac{1}{z} \) gives a corresponding shape. Note that every shape has a positive imaginary part, while its reciprocal has a negative one. \( \Box \)

It is intended to express shapes of all tetrahedra in terms of just one label, \( w_1 \), which is a root of the polynomial \( P \) (defined in Remark 2.2). With this purpose in mind, we give the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( D \) be a reduced, alternating diagram of a hyperbolic 2-bridge link, endowed with a labeling scheme \( L \_1 \) as above. Then every \( b_i^j \) can be found as follows:

(i) \( b_1^1 = 1 \);

(ii) \( b_{n_k}^j = (-1)^{k+1} \);

(iii) for all other \( b_i^j, b_{i+1}^j = (-1)^{j+1} - \frac{\kappa_j w_j}{b_i^j} \) and \( b_1^i = (-1)^{j+1} - \frac{\kappa_j w_j}{u_1^i} \).

**Proof.** In a region adjacent to the \( j \)th twist, the edge label along each bigon is \( (-1)^{j+1} \). Therefore, \( b_1^1 = 1 \) and \( b_{n_k}^j = (-1)^{k+1} \). To find all other \( b_i^j \), note that the vertical dotted geodesics split the \( j \)th region into triangles. All the shape parameters in triangular regions are 1, and equal the quotient of \( \kappa_j w_j \) by the product of two incident edge labels. From this we obtain (iii). \( \Box \)

**Corollary 3.1.1.** A shape of every tetrahedron in the canonical cell decomposition of \( S^3 \backslash L \) can be written as a rational function of \( w_1 \).

**Proof.** Theorem 3.1 demonstrates that any tetrahedron shape is a rational function of the labels \( w_j, u_i^j, \) and \( b_i^j \). Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 3.2 show that any of these labels, in its turn, can be written as a rational function of \( w_1 \). \( \Box \)

**Remark 3.3.** The dihedral angles of a tetrahedron with the shape \( z_p \), \( p \) from 1 to \( 2(n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_k - 3) \), are \( \alpha_p = \text{Arg} \left( z_p \right), \beta_p = \text{Arg} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{z_p} \right), \gamma_p = \text{Arg} \left( \frac{1}{1 - z_p} \right) \), and
the volume is thus \( 2 \sum_{p=1}^{2(n_1+n_2+\ldots+n_k-3)} (\Lambda(\alpha_p) + \Lambda(\beta_p) + \Lambda(\gamma_p)) \), where \( \Lambda \) is the Lobachevsky function. Therefore, the volume of \( S^3 \setminus L \) can be calculated solely in terms of \( w_1 \).

We proceed with two examples: one of a knot, and one of a link.

**Example 3.4.** Consider a 2–bridge knot with a Conway code 3 1 3. There are 4 pairs of isometric tetrahedra in its complement. Fix orientation as on Fig. 4(ii). Note that \( \kappa_1 = \kappa_3 = -1 \), \( \kappa_2 = 1 \).

For the region adjacent to the first (leftmost) twist, \( f_0 = 0 \), \( f_1 = f_2 = 1 \), \( f_3 = f_2 + w_1 f_1 \). Therefore, from Proposition 2.1, \( u_1^1 = u_3^3 = -\frac{w_1 f_2}{f_3} \), \( w_2 = \frac{(w_1)^3}{(f_3)^2} \). The region adjacent to the second twist has just one crossing, hence we obtain \( u_1^1 = u_3^3 - 1 \), \( u_2^2 = \frac{w_2}{u_1^1} \), \( u_3^3 = \frac{w_1 w_2}{(u_1^1)^2} \). For the region adjacent to the third twist, \( f_0 = 0 \), \( f_1 = f_2 = 1 \), \( f_3 = f_2 + w_3 f_1 \), and therefore, \( u_3^3 = u_2^2 + 1 \).

Now we can construct the polynomial in \( w_1 \) using Remark 2.2: \( u_1^1 f_3 + w_3 f_2 = 0 \), which becomes

\[
1 + 7w_1 + 18w_1^2 + 19w_1^3 + 6w_1^4 + 2w_1^5 + 4w_1^6 - w_1^7 = 0.
\]

The root that gives the geometric structure is

\[
w_1 = \frac{\sqrt{9}}{\sqrt{2}(27 + 18i\sqrt{17})^{\frac{1}{3}} - 12 + 16(1196 + 12\sqrt{177})^{\frac{1}{3}} + i\sqrt{3}(1196 + 12\sqrt{177})^{\frac{1}{3}} - 12i\sqrt{3}}.
\]

This allows us to compute all other labels exactly as well.

Let us turn to the edges of the canonical cell decomposition (Fig. 4): \( b_1^1 = b_3^3 = 1 \), \( b_2^2 = 1 + \frac{w_1}{b_1^1} \), and \( b_4^4 = 1 + \frac{w_2}{u_1^1} \) by Lemma 3.2. The shape parameters are \( z_1 = -\frac{b_1^1}{b_1^1} \), \( z_2 = -\frac{u_1^1}{b_2^2} \), \( z_3 = -\frac{u_2^2}{1-b_1^1} \), \( z_4 = -\frac{b_1^1}{b_2^2} - 1 \). If we substitute the decimal approximation of \( w_1 \), we obtain the volume of \( 5.1379412018734177698 \).

**Example 3.5.** Consider a 2–bridge link with a Conway code 3 2 3. Fix orientation as on Fig. 5. For this link, there are 5 pairs of isometric tetrahedra in the canonical cell decomposition. Note that \( \kappa_1 = \kappa_3 = 1 \), \( \kappa_2 = -1 \).

For the first region, \( f_0 = 0 \), \( f_1 = f_2 = 1 \), \( f_3 = f_2 - w_1 f_1 \). Therefore, from Proposition 2.1 we obtain \( u_1^1 = u_3^3 = \frac{w_1 f_2}{f_3} \), \( u_2^2 = u_1^1 - 1 \), \( w_2 = -\frac{(w_1)^3}{(f_2)^2} \). For the second region, the Fibonacci-type polynomials \( f_0 \), \( f_1 \), and \( f_2 \) are the same, and \( u_2^2 = u_3^3 - 1 \), \( u_3^3 = \frac{w_2 u_1^1 f_1 - f_0(w_2)^2}{u_1^1 f_2 - w_2 f_1} \), \( u_3^3 = \frac{(w_2)^2 w_1}{(u_1^1 f_2 - w_2 f_1)^2} \), \( u_3^3 = u_2^2 + 1 \). Using Remark 2.2, we obtain a polynomial:

\[-1 + 7w_1 - 18w_1^2 + 16w_1^3 + 9w_1^4 - 19w_1^5 - 4w_1^6 + 10w_1^7 + 4w_1^8 = 0.\]

A decimal approximation of the root that gives the hyperbolic structure is \( w_1 = 0.45899397977032988781 + 0.223638949954782658251180 \cdot i \). Now we can go back and compute the other labels.
Turn to the edges of the canonical cell decomposition: 
\[ b_1^1 = b_2^3 = 1, \quad b_2^1 = 1 - \frac{w_1}{b_1^1}, \]
\[ b_2^2 = -1 + \frac{w_2}{u_1^1}, \quad b_3^3 = 1 - \frac{w_3}{u_1^1} \]
by Remark 3.2. The shapes for five pairs of isometric tetrahedra are:
\[ z_1 = -(\frac{b_1^1}{b_2^1}), \quad z_2 = -\frac{b_2^1}{u_1^1}, \quad z_3 = -\frac{b_3^2}{u_1^1}, \quad z_4 = -\frac{1 - b_1^3}{u_2^3}, \quad z_5 = -\frac{b_2^3}{b_1^3 - 1}. \]
Now we can compute the volume; its decimal approximation is 7.5176898964745685429.

It would be very interesting if one could generalize the process of obtaining the exact tetrahedra parameters from the diagram beyond the family of two-bridged links.

4. Complex volume

The complex volume is an invariant of hyperbolic manifolds of mixed geometric and algebraic nature. It is a complex number: the real part is hyperbolic volume, and the imaginary part is the Chern-Simons invariant. ([8]).

Similar machinery and formulas from [14] can be used for a computation of the exact complex volume of a hyperbolic 2-bridge link \( L \). In particular, some of our edge labels (e.g., \( b_i^j \)) correspond to labels of short edges (in the terminology of [14]) of tetrahedra in a triangulation of the complement of \( L \). To see the exact correspondence, faces and edges of every tetrahedron should be located on a reduced, alternating diagram of \( L \), and the gluing pattern should be traced. From these labels, one can compute a flattening of every tetrahedron, and then the complex volume of a complement of \( L \). Such a computation would save the step of developing an image of every cusp, allowing one to find the labels from a link diagram instead.
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