Proteome-wide prediction and analysis of the Cryptosporidium parvum protein–protein interaction network through integrative methods
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A B S T R A C T

As one of the most studied Apicomplexan parasite Cryptosporidium, Cryptosporidium parvum (C. parvum) causes worldwide serious diarrhea disease cryptosporidiosis, which can be deadly to immunodeficiency individuals, newly born children, and animals. Proteome-wide identification of protein–protein interactions (PPIs) has proven valuable in the systematic understanding of the genome-phenome relationship. However, the PPIs of C. parvum are largely unknown because of the limited experimental studies carried out. Therefore, we took full advantage of three bioinformatics methods, i.e., interolog mapping (IM), domain-domain interaction (DDI)-based inference, and machine learning (ML) method, to jointly predict PPIs of C. parvum. Due to the lack of experimental PPIs of C. parvum, we used the PPI data of Plasmodium falciparum (P. falciparum), which owned the largest number of PPIs in Apicomplexa, to train an ML model to infer C. parvum PPIs. We utilized consistent results of these three methods as the predicted high-confidence PPI network, which contains 4,578 PPIs covering 554 proteins. To further explore the biological significance of the constructed PPI network, we also conducted essential network and protein functional analysis, mainly focusing on hub proteins and functional modules. We anticipate the constructed PPI network can become an important data resource to accelerate the functional genomics studies of C. parvum as well as offer new hints to the target discovery in developing drugs/vaccines.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Cryptosporidium spp. are zoonotic Apicomplexan parasites leading to serious diarrheal disease, i.e., cryptosporidiosis, which is the second leading cause of moderate-to-severe diarrheal disease in children. In 2016, cryptosporidiosis caused approximately 4.2 million infections and 57,000 deaths worldwide [1–3]. There are currently more than 40 accepted Cryptosporidium species with different host preferences [4,5]. Among them, Cryptosporidium parvum (C. parvum) is the most studied species reported in both humans and livestock [6]. In humans, malnourished children under the age of five and HIV/AIDS patients are more susceptible to C. parvum [7]. C. parvum infection is usually accompanied by clinical symptoms such as abdominal pain and moderate to severe diarrhea, which can easily lead to some sequelae, including weight loss, fatigue, and post-infection irritable bowel syndrome [8,9]. Except for the non-fatal diseases, in 2017, a study in Lebanon has also shown a strong association between human colon cancer and C. parvum [10]. Livestock, especially neonatal calves and lambs, can be infected by C. parvum and get diarrhea and impair gain of body weight, causing losses in meat and milk production [11–13]. Besides, some wild animals and fishes can also be infected [14,15]. Currently, there are only two drugs, nitazoxanide and paromomycin, developed for cryptosporidiosis treatment, but these two drugs are not fully effective in severely immunocompromised individuals and generate toxicity in dehydrated animals [16,17]. Thus, an immense need still exists for the development of more effective drugs/vaccines to treat cryptosporidiosis.

Proteins perform their molecular functions by interacting with each other. For instance, numerous fundamental biological
processes such as transcription, translation, and protein trafficking are mediated by protein–protein interactions (PPIs) [18]. Thus, systematic identification of the PPI network of *C. parvum* will be extremely valuable to understand the genome-phenome relationship as well as provide new hints to seek out reliable drug targets and develop effective vaccines rapidly for treating the cryptosporidiosis. Generally, scientific researchers always make full use of experimental techniques to identify PPIs, such as yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) [19,20], protein complementation assay (PCA) [21], affinity purification coupled with mass spectrometry (AP-MS) [22], surface plasmon resonance (SPR) [23], and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) [24]. Nonetheless, the wet-lab experimental methods to validate PPIs are costly, time-consuming, and labor-intensive. Moreover, research on *C. parvum* have been hampered by the long-time unavailable culture for its full lifecycle in vitro as well as the poorly annotated reference genome [25]. Therefore, only a very limited number of *C. parvum* PPIs have been identified [26].

In this context, bioinformatics methods could contribute to the identification of *C. parvum* PPIs without the limitations mentioned above [27]. A plethora of PPI prediction methods have been developed, such as interolog mapping (IM) [28], domain-domain interaction (DDI)-based inference [29], domain-motif interaction (DMI)-based inference [30], and increasingly popular artificial intelligence (AI) technique. Machine learning (ML) [31], as the core of AI technique, has been widely used in the field of PPI identification and has shown its powerful predictive potential [32]. Briefly, ML methods train a binary classification model by using experimentally known PPIs and selecting potential non-PPIs to learn the differences between them. In this way, we can further accurately identify protein interactions from the query protein pairs. To construct an ML model, the key step is to employ effective feature encoding schemes, which convert protein sequences (i.e., the most commonly used input information) to fixed-dimensional feature encoding schemes, several common sequence-based feature encoding schemes such as Di-peptide Composition (DPC) [33], Auto-Covariance (AC) [34], and Local Descriptor (LD) [35] are widely used, in which amino acid composition/physicochemical properties or residue interaction effects in sequences have been taken into account. Recently, an embedding technique (i.e., Doc2Vec) derived from natural language processing has been applied to encode protein sequences to further predict PPIs and has been evaluated to improve the PPI prediction performance significantly [32].

In this work, we combined traditional prediction methods (i.e., IM and DDI inference) and the ML method (i.e., Random Forest (RF)) to predict proteome-scale *C. parvum* PPIs (Fig. 1). Two sequence encoding schemes (i.e., DPC and Doc2Vec) were adopted to capture protein composition and semantic information, respectively. Although few experimental PPI data of *C. parvum* can be used, we developed the ML model based on the largest number of *Plasmodium falciparum* (P. falciparum) PPI data in Apicomplexa [36]. Then, the learned knowledge was transferred to predict *C. parvum* PPIs. By means of a 5-fold cross-validation and independent test, we extensively compared the prediction performance of our prediction framework with other popular sequence encodings-based RF methods, suggesting that our pipeline outperforms other approaches. Finally, we predicted proteome-scale *C. parvum* PPIs based on our proposed computational framework and achieved the *C. parvum* PPI network. Unlike the traditional prediction method, we yielded an interaction probability score [37] in each protein pair. According to the network topology of the constructed PPI network, we inferred several hub proteins and sub-networks that can potentially help explore the pathogenesis of cryptosporidiosis and speed up the discovery of effective drugs/vaccines.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data collection and dataset construction

2.1.1. *C. parvum* and *P. falciparum* proteins

We downloaded the proteomes of the *C. parvum* and *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7) from the Uniprot database (https://www.uniprot.org/) [38], which contained 3,805 and 5,387 proteins, respectively.

2.1.2. The PPIs of *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7)

There are limited *C. parvum* PPIs experimentally determined in previous studies. However, the *C. parvum* belongs to the Apicomplexa [36]. By investigating PPI data of Apicomplexa in BioGRID [39], we discovered only *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7) evolutionarily close to *C. parvum* has a relatively large number of interaction data available to train a PPI prediction model which can be further transferred to predict *C. parvum* PPIs. Therefore, we downloaded *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7) PPIs from BioGRID [39]. To obtain a reasonable *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7) protein interaction dataset, we excluded non-physical interactions, redundant interactions, and interactions containing too short/long sequences (i.e., length ≤ 30 or ≥ 5,000 amino acids). As a result, we obtained 1,968 experimentally verified *P. falciparum* (isolate 3D7) PPIs, which were regarded as the positive samples. Regarding the sam-

---

**Fig. 1.** Workflow of the proposed computational pipeline to predict *C. parvum* PPIs. In the dataset preparation step, we constructed positive and negative samples based on *P. falciparum* PPI data from BioGRID as well as the Swiss-Prot database and divided the dataset into a training set (80%) and an independent test set (20%). Furthermore, we extracted protein features using the Doc2Vec encoding scheme and DPC encoding scheme. The Doc2Vec model was trained on the compiled protein corpus covering sequences from Swiss-Prot, *P. falciparum* PPI dataset, and proteomes of *P. falciparum* and *C. parvum*. Based on the encoded feature vectors of the *P. falciparum* PPI dataset, we further trained the ML classification model using the RF algorithm and assessed the model’s performance. Finally, we transferred the trained ML model to predict *C. parvum* PPIs and combined two other traditional methods (i.e., IM and DDI inference) to obtain the high-confidence *C. parvum* PPI network.
pling of the negative samples, we randomly selected protein pairs from the \textit{P. falciparum} (isolate 3D7) interaction dataset and its proteome, ensuring the selected protein pairs will not occur in the positive samples. Here, an unbalanced ratio of 1:10 positives to negatives was set. In other words, the number of negative samples is 19,680. Moreover, we randomly divided the samples into a training set (80%) and an independent test set (20%) for model training and assessment separately (Supplementary Table S1). \textit{C. parvum} and \textit{P. falciparum} belong to different parasite species. To confirm the transferable generalization ability of the ML model trained on \textit{P. falciparum} dataset to predict \textit{C. parvum} PPIs, we also used another \textit{P. falciparum} dataset partition, in which we divided samples into a training set and an independent test set including 800/8,000 and 200/2,000 positive/negative samples, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). Briefly, in this novel \textit{P. falciparum} dataset partition, proteins in the independent test set will not occur in the training set, i.e., each protein being tested is equivalent to a novel protein unseen in the trained model. Therefore, the performance on the novel dataset partition can indirectly reflect the transferable generalization ability of the model testing on a novel parasite species.

### 2.2. Document to vector (Doc2Vec) model

Doc2Vec adopts an unsupervised embedding learning framework and trains the model based on the hypothesis that a series of protein sequences constitute a 'document' (also called a corpus). Thus, each sequence represents a sentence in a certain biological language suggesting its biological functions can be semantically interpreted [32]. In our previous study regarding the human-virus PPI prediction issue [32], we employed Doc2Vec to convert protein sequences into fixed-dimensional feature vectors for RF classifier training. The results showed that the Doc2Vec encoding improved model prediction performance and outperformed some traditional sequence-based encoding schemes (e.g., AC and LD) [32]. To implement the Doc2Vec encoding, here we first used the protein sequences from the Swiss-Prot database [40] with a length between 30 and 5,000 amino acids to establish a complete corpus (i.e., training data). Then, we removed the redundancy of the above database by using CD-HIT [41] (sequence identity ≤ 0.5). In addition, we also added the protein sequences from our positive/negative samples and the proteomes of \textit{C. parvum} and \textit{P. falciparum} (isolate 3D7). When finishing the above steps, the non-redundant protein sequences were compiled as a corpus for the Doc2Vec model training. Specifically, we split each protein sequence into several small k-mer residue segments regarded as biological words. Next, these completed protein sequences (sentences) and k-mer residue segments (words) were utilized to train the Doc2Vec model. The model made full use of the distributed-memory (DM) model architecture to let us describe each residue segment through the sentence vector and context words. Iteratively, we utilized stochastic gradient descent (SGD) [42] and backpropagation to update model parameters. Finally, we considered the output sentence vectors as the protein sequence features.

We used the Python library Gensim [43] to train the Doc2Vec model. The hyperparameters (e.g., k-mers and the dimensionality of output vectors) were optimized by the 5-fold cross-validation [44]. In particular, we trained multiple RF classifiers based on feature vectors extracted from different Doc2Vec models that were trained on different lengths of k-mers (2 to 7) and different dimensions of output vectors (16, 32, 64, 128, 256) to obtain optimal parameters of the Doc2Vec model. The final dimension of the Doc2Vec encoding for a protein pair is 128 (64 × 2) after parameter optimization.

### 2.3. Random Forest algorithm and parameter optimization

Random Forest (RF) is a popular decision tree-based ensemble ML algorithm [45]. In general, the RF model always has a comparatively more robust and perfect performance than other frequently-used ML methods in the issue of PPI prediction [32]. Therefore, based on the \textit{P. falciparum} PPI dataset, we utilized the RF algorithm to train the model. We used a variety of bootstrap samples of the raw data (‘bagging’) to construct the classification trees. Then, when the classification trees are constructed by isolating each node and using the best among a predictor subnet randomly chosen at that node (‘boosting’), RF will change accordingly. We mainly optimized three parameters for RF model training, including ‘n_estimators’ (the number of trees in the forest), ‘max_depth’ (the maximum depth of the decision trees), and ‘criterion’ (feature selection method). The optimal range of these parameters is [100, 500, 1,000, 1,500], [10, 50, 100, 200] and [‘entropy’, ‘gini’], respectively. The above RF algorithm is implemented by a Python library called Scikit-learn [46]. We used 5-fold cross-validation and regarded the ‘neg_log_loss’ scoring function as an assessment criterion for various sequence encoding schemes-based RF algorithms. Besides, we took advantage of the ‘GridSearchCV’ function to optimize all parameters [47].

### 2.4. Other traditional sequence-based encoding schemes

In addition to the Doc2Vec, we also utilized three other sequence-based encoding schemes to train the RF model.

#### 2.4.1. Di-peptide composition (DPC)

DPC represents the ratio of two continuous amino acids composition in the whole protein sequence. The concrete formula is:

\[
S_{DPC}(A_i A_j) = \frac{N_{A_i A_j}}{\sum_{i,j} N_{A_i A_j}}, \quad i,j \in \{1, 2, ..., 20\},
\]

where \(A_i\) and \(A_j\) represent 20 standard amino acids separately, \(N_{A_i A_j}\) is the number of specific di-peptide in a protein sequence, and \(L\) is the length of the corresponding protein sequence. Therefore, the final dimension of DPC encoding for a protein pair is 800 (20 × 20 × 2).

#### 2.4.2. Local Descriptor (LD)

We divided the protein sequence into several subdomains by utilizing the LD encoding scheme and extracted each subdomain’s traits, which mainly captures the local feature of the protein [48]. First, we divided the 20 standard amino acids into seven classes (AGV, DE, FILP, HNQW, KR, MSTY, and C) based on the physicochemical properties of the amino acids’ side chains. In addition, the protein sequence was separated into ten different regions, and each of them was expressed by three traits (i.e., Composition (C), Transition (T), and Distribution (D)). Among them, \(C\) represents the composition of each class of amino acids, and its dimensionality is 7. \(T\) reflects the composition of any two classes of amino acids, and its dimensionality is 21 (6 × 7/2). \(D\) represents the distribution (i.e., the first, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%) of each class of amino acids, and its dimensionality is 35 (5 × 7). As a consequence, the LD encoding transforms a protein pair into a 1,260-dimensional \([7 + 21 + 35] \times 10 \times 2\) vector.

#### 2.4.3. Auto covariance (AC)

AC encoding takes into account the interaction effect of amino acids spaced at a certain distance. Herein, we employed seven physicochemical properties i.e., hydrophobicity (H1), hydrophilicity (H2), net charge index of side chains (NC), polarity (P1), polarizability (P2), solvent accessible surface area (SASA), and volume of side chains (V) to represent the protein feature [34]. For each protein sequence, corresponding AC features can be inferred by:
In the above formula, \( i \) and \( k \) represent the \( i \)th and \( k \)th residue in the protein sequence separately, \( j \) stands for one of the seven physicochemical features, \( R_{ij} \) and \( R_{kj} \) represent the \( j \)th physicochemical feature of the \( i \)th and \( k \)th residue, and \( \log \) is the distance between the \( i \)th residue and its adjacent residue, ranging from 1 to 30. Finally, the dimensionality of AC encoding scheme is 420 (30 \times 7 \times 2).

2.4.4. Combination of encoding schemes

In addition to solely using Doc2Vec, DPC, LD, and AC encoding schemes, we also used the different combinations among them by concatenating their sequence encodings. Firstly, we tried two encoding schemes (i.e., Doc2Vec + LD, Doc2Vec + AC, Doc2Vec + DPC, LD + AC, LD + DPC, AC + DPC). Secondly, we utilized three encoding schemes (i.e., Doc2Vec + LD + AC, Doc2Vec + LD + DPC, Doc2Vec + AC + DPC). Finally, we used all four encoding schemes (i.e., Doc2Vec + LD + AC + DPC).

2.5. Performance evaluation

We conducted a 5-fold cross-validation and an independent test to evaluate the performance of various models. We adopted two metrics to comprehensively assess the models, including the areas under Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve and Precision-Recall (PR) curve (i.e., AUC and AUPRC, respectively). PR curve and AUPRC have been proved to be more suitable for assessing the prediction model when the ratio of positive-to-negative samples is imbalanced. Generally, the closer the value of AUC/AUPRC is to 1, the better the performance of the PPI prediction model is. We used the R package ROCR to plot ROC and PR curves [49].

2.6. Other traditional prediction methods

2.6.1. The IM method

The IM method predicts interactions based on the homology between protein sequences of known PPIs and unknown protein pairs. Firstly, we downloaded all PPIs of various organisms from five public protein interaction databases including IntAct [50], BioGRID [39], MINT [51], DIP [52] and HPIKB [53] to obtain an interaction template library. Subsequently, we used the scoring strategy of HIPPIE [54] to compute the quality scores of the template interactions according to experimental detection techniques, the number of involved species, and the number of references reporting the template interaction. Furthermore, we used BLAST to align sequences of \( C. \) parvum proteome against all the sequences in the PPI template library to obtain homologs. Specifically, we used the homology thresholds: sequence identity \( \geq 30\% \) and alignment coverage of query protein \( \geq 40\% \). Thirdly, according to our previous study, we calculated the IM probability scores for proteome-scale \( C. \) parvum protein pairs [37].

2.6.2. The DDI inference method

The DDI inference method predicts PPIs based on the detected interacting domain pairs. First of all, we obtained the Pfam domains for interacting proteins by domain scanning using HHMER [55] (E-value \( \leq 10^{-5} \)). Next, we identified co-occurrence domain pairs of known protein interactions to construct a DDI library. Domains of \( C. \) parvum protein pairs were also retrieved by domain scanning based on the Pfam database using the same E-value cut-off. Similar to the IM method, each domain pair in the DDI template library was assigned a confidence score through the expectation maximization (EM) algorithm. Finally, we also retrieved DDI probability scores for proteome-scale \( C. \) parvum protein pairs [37].

2.7. Network analysis

The enrichment of Gene Ontology (GO) terms [56] and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways [57] were performed using DAVID 6.8 (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/). When a PPI network performs its function, some densely-connected subnetworks, also termed as functional modules, play important biological roles [58]. We utilized one plug-in called MCODE [59] in the Cytoscape software [60] to identify the potential functional modules, and the default parameters were set. All of them are clustered in GO terms and KEGG pathways by using ClueGO [61].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The performance of Doc2Vec-based RF model

According to the previous work [32], the ML algorithm RF has a better performance in PPI prediction, and a new sequence embedding technique Doc2Vec has a robust performance in interspecies PPI prediction. Thus, we employed Doc2Vec to encode protein sequences and further trained the RF classifier based on \( P. \) falciparum (isolate 3D7) PPI dataset. We utilized a 5-fold cross-validation to optimize the parameters (i.e., k-mers, window size, epoch, and vector size) of the Doc2Vec model by comparing corresponding RF models for \( P. \) falciparum (isolate 3D7) PPI prediction. In particular, we set the optimization baseline for k, window size, vector size, and epoch are 5, 3, 32, and 70, respectively, which were used and shown relatively superior performance in our previous work [32]. Subsequently, we optimized one of them while keeping other parameters unchanged and obtained the best one to replace. The order and optimization range of the parameters are shown in Supplementary Table S2. For instance, the performance of RF models under different k-mers can be seen in Supplementary Table S3. As a result, the combination of Doc2Vec with 3-mers, window size 5, vector size 64 and 70 epochs, and RF (Doc2Vec + RF) provided the best performance where the corresponding AUC and AUPRC values were 0.961 and 0.770 in the 5-fold cross-validation. Moreover, we applied an independent test set to assess the RF model in which Doc2Vec + RF achieved an AUC = 0.957 and an AUPRC = 0.762.

3.2. Comparison with other popular sequence encoding schemes

We also compared Doc2Vec with three other traditional sequence-based encoding schemes (i.e., DPC, LD, and AC) under the computational framework of RF. To ensure a fair comparison, all the RF classifiers based on different encoding schemes were trained on the same datasets and evaluated on the same independent test sets. In this study, we mainly assessed the performance of various models depending on the AUPRC values since the ratio of positive-to-negative samples of training sets is highly unbalanced (i.e., 1:10). Firstly, we randomly chose a training set and an independent test set, which means these two sets may have the same proteins (see Materials and methods for details). Among the individual sequence encodings, we found Doc2Vec (AUPRC = 0.762) outperformed DPC (AUPRC = 0.745), LD (AUPRC = 0.710) and AC (AUPRC = 0.687) (Fig. 2A and Table 1). To seek the best combination of different encoding schemes, moreover, we applied various encoding combinations, and we observed that Doc2Vec + DPC showed the best performance (AUPRC = 0.768) which outperformed the corresponding performance of both individual encod-
Performance of individual or combined encoding schemes-based RF classifiers to predict P. falciparum PPIs. Areas under the Precision-Recall curves (AUPRC) indicate that Document to Vector (Doc2Vec) outperformed Di-peptide composition (DPC), Local Descriptor (LD), and Auto Covariance (AC) applying an independent test set. (B) Performance of two best individual sequence encoding schemes (i.e., Doc2Vec and DPC)-based RF classifiers and their sequence encoding combination (Doc2Vec + DPC)-based RF classifier to predict P. falciparum PPIs. AUPRC indicates that the combination sequence encoding scheme slightly outperformed each individual sequence encoding scheme.

| Method                     | AUC    | AUPRC  |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|
| **Individual encoding scheme** |        |        |
| Doc2Vec                    | 0.957  | 0.762  |
| DPC                        | 0.955  | 0.745  |
| LD                         | 0.950  | 0.710  |
| AC                         | 0.928  | 0.687  |
| **Combined encoding schemes** |        |        |
| Doc2Vec + DPC              | 0.963  | 0.768  |
| Doc2Vec + LD               | 0.958  | 0.742  |
| Doc2Vec + AC               | 0.957  | 0.752  |
| DPC + LD                   | 0.954  | 0.732  |
| DPC + AC                   | 0.953  | 0.741  |
| LD + AC                    | 0.951  | 0.712  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD         | 0.959  | 0.752  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + AC         | 0.956  | 0.755  |
| Doc2Vec + LD + AC          | 0.958  | 0.742  |
| DPC + LD + AC              | 0.954  | 0.731  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD + AC    | 0.959  | 0.749  |

The results suggest the two sequence encodings are highly complementary in which Doc2Vec and DPC can effectively capture context semantic information and amino acid composition information of protein sequences, respectively. We also added another two repeats of this sample partition approach, and the corresponding performance of different models is available in Supplementary Table S5.

The best combination of the encoding schemes is Doc2Vec + DPC. However, the performance dropped a little when utilizing the stricter dataset, implying that Doc2Vec + DPC-based RF model has a good generalization ability. Thus, we employed the RF model based on the combined sequence encoding, i.e., Doc2Vec + DPC, to predict proteome-scale C. parvum PPIs in the end. The training set and test set of the model are displayed in Supplementary Table S6. In addition, due to the lack of experimental PPIs of C. parvum, we used PPIs of P. falciparum as substitutes for training the prediction model since they both belong to Apicomplexan parasites. But it should be noted that this strategy of model training may inevitably introduce some biases since it relies on learned protein features of P. falciparum.

**3.3. A high-confidence proteome-scale C. Parvum PPI network**

To obtain highly reliable prediction data, we also employed another two traditional prediction methods (i.e., IM and DDI-inference), which can reduce the bias of the ML method introduced by P. falciparum training data. Therefore, a comprehensive computational framework was established by three computational biology methods (IM, DDI-inference, and ML). After utilizing these three methods, we obtained a high-confidence C. parvum PPI network under a false positive rate control of 5% (Fig. 4). The number of PPIs from these three methods is 119,803, 148,143, and 487,048, separately. A high-confidence C. parvum PPI network consisted of 554 proteins with 4,578 PPIs jointly existing in these three methods. In this constructed PPI network (see Supplementary Table S7 for the full list), the average network degree for each protein is 16.5. Generally, the proteins ranked as top high-degree in the network are defined as hub proteins, which may perform important cellular functions involved in different biological processes. Therefore, we focus on hub proteins with a high-degree in our predicted...
In molecular function (MF) terms, ATP binding, helicase activity, GTP binding were significantly enriched. In cellular component (CC) terms, cytoplasm, proteasome complex, MCM complex were significantly enriched in three protein sets. In biological process (BP) terms, protein folding, cellular protein folding and remodeling processes, and it has been widely used as the molecular marker in cryptosporidiosis epidemiology [4,62]. Simultaneously, HSPs in the P. falciparum proteasome pathway (KEGG:03050) (Fig. 6) were enriched at the proteasome pathway (cgd2_1350, cgd4_1170, cgd4_2540, cgd4_3950, cgd6_920 and cgd8_840) were enriched at the proteasome pathway (cgd2_1600) encoding MCM proteins were enriched not only for the biological process DNA replication initiation (GO:0006261) (Fig. 6) but also for the DNA replication KEGG pathway (KEGG:03030) (Fig. 6D). We also found evidence at the transcription level that those three genes were highly expressed in 24 h cultures [66]. C. parvum completes its lifecycle in a single host, and the observation of trophozoites after 24 h means the ongoing process of mitosis. Besides, six genes encoding proteasome subunits (cgd2_1350, cgd4_1170, cgd4_2540, cgd4_3950, cgd6_920 and cgd8_840) were enriched at the proteasome pathway (KEGG:03050) (Fig. 6D). The proteasome was reported to be responsible for the regulated degradation of intracellular proteins [67]. Several related GO terms were also enriched in part of these proteins, such as positive regulation of protein catabolic process (GO:0045732) (Fig. 6A) and peptidase complex activity (GO:0042600) (Fig. 6B) in cellular component and ATPase activity (GO:0008468) (Fig. 6C) in molecular function. Moreover, several proteins, including two encoding HSP90 proteins (cgd3_3770 and cgd7_3670), were annotated with unfolded protein binding (GO:00051082) (Fig. 6C). Collectively, we inferred this module might play important roles in C. parvum development, especially in the DNA replication stage. Similarly, the enrichment analysis high-confidence C. parvum PPI network. In particular, all of the top three high-degree hub proteins are the heat shock proteins, including 70 kDa heat shock proteins (HSP70s, encoded by cgd7_360 and cgd2_20) and 105 kDa heat shock protein (encoded by cgd4_3270), suggesting potential important functional roles in the lifecycle of the C. parvum. Specifically, HSP70s play important roles in various cellular protein folding and remodeling processes, and it has been widely used as the molecular marker in cryptosporidiosis epidemiology [4,62]. Simultaneously, HSPs in the P. falciparum are potentially functionally associated with human proteins to facilitate parasite survival and pathogenicity [63]. A total of 383 interactions with cgd7_360, 360 interactions with cgd2_20, 292 interactions with cgd4_3270, were predicted, and GO and KEGG enrichments for these protein sets indicated the potential function in the development, reproduction, and alimentation of C. parvum (Fig. 5). In biological process (BP) terms, protein folding, DNA replication initiation, and protein catabolic process were all significantly enriched in three protein sets. In cellular component (CC) terms, cytoplasm, proteasome complex, MCM complex were significantly enriched. In molecular function (MF) terms, ATP binding, helicase activity, GTP binding were significantly enriched. In KEGG pathways, Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis is significantly enriched. In addition, these three genes are highly expressed at the intracellular stage (24 h post-infection) according to CryptoDB [64,65]. Combined with the enrichment analysis, these three hub genes in our predicted interaction network probably play crucial roles related to C. parvum development and infection.

3.4. Functional module analysis of C. parvum PPIs

In total, six modules were identified through MCODE [59], and the full list of PPIs involved in these modules is available in Supplementary Table S8. To explore the biological significance of the identified modules, we conducted further analysis on one module with the highest score, which consists of 26 proteins and 254 interactions (Fig. 6). Functional enrichment analysis indicated this module shares possible functions related to C. parvum proliferation (Fig. 6). In this module, three genes (cgd7_2920, cgd4_970, and cgd2_1600) encoding MCM proteins were enriched not only for the biological process DNA replication initiation (GO:0006261) (Fig. 6A) but also for the DNA replication KEGG pathway (KEGG:03030) (Fig. 6D). We also found evidence at the transcription level that those three genes were highly expressed in 24 h cultures [66]. C. parvum completes its lifecycle in a single host, and the observation of trophozoites after 24 h means the ongoing process of mitosis. Besides, six genes encoding proteasome subunits (cgd2_1350, cgd4_1170, cgd4_2540, cgd4_3950, cgd6_920 and cgd8_840) were enriched at the proteasome pathway (KEGG:03050) (Fig. 6D). The proteasome was reported to be responsible for the regulated degradation of intracellular proteins [67]. Several related GO terms were also enriched in part of these proteins, such as positive regulation of protein catabolic process (GO:0045732) (Fig. 6A) in biological process, peptidase complex activity (GO:1905368) (Fig. 6B) in cellular component and ATPase activity (GO:0008468) (Fig. 6C) in molecular function. Moreover, several proteins, including two encoding HSP90 proteins (cgd3_3770 and cgd7_3670), were annotated with unfolded protein binding (GO:00051082) (Fig. 6C). Collectively, we inferred this module might play important roles in C. parvum development, especially in the DNA replication stage. Similarly, the enrichment analysis

Table 2

Performance of each individual or combined encoding schemes-based RF classifiers by using the novel dataset partition (i.e., non-overlapped proteins between training set and test set).

| Method               | AUC  | AUPRC  |
|----------------------|------|--------|
| Individual encoding scheme |      |        |
| Doc2Vec              | 0.936| 0.613  |
| DPC                  | 0.930| 0.631  |
| LD                   | 0.919| 0.509  |
| AC                   | 0.813| 0.340  |
| Combined encoding schemes |      |        |
| Doc2Vec + DPC        | 0.960| 0.742  |
| Doc2Vec + LD         | 0.942| 0.635  |
| Doc2Vec + AC         | 0.949| 0.700  |
| DPC + LD             | 0.928| 0.558  |
| DPC + AC             | 0.929| 0.615  |
| LD + AC              | 0.918| 0.527  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD   | 0.944| 0.505  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + AC   | 0.958| 0.732  |
| Doc2Vec + LD + AC    | 0.942| 0.644  |
| DPC + LD + AC        | 0.927| 0.572  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD + AC | 0.945| 0.656  |

Fig. 3. (A) Performance of different individual sequence encoding schemes-based Random Forest (RF) classifiers in predicting P. falciparum PPIs based on the novel partition of the dataset (i.e., non-overlapped proteins between the training set and test set). Areas under the Precision-Recall curves (AUPRC) indicate that Ds-peptide composition (DPC) outperformed Document to Vector (Doc2Vec), Local Descriptor (LD), and Auto Covariance (AC) applying an independent test set. (B) Performance of two best individual sequence encoding schemes (i.e., DPC and Doc2Vec)-based RF classifiers and their combination (Doc2Vec + DPC)-based RF classifier in predicting P. falciparum PPIs. AUPRC indicates that the sequence encoding scheme combination significantly improves the performance compared to each sequence encoding scheme.

Table 2

Performance of each individual or combined encoding schemes-based RF classifiers by using the novel dataset partition (i.e., non-overlapped proteins between training set and test set).

| Method               | AUC  | AUPRC  |
|----------------------|------|--------|
| Individual encoding scheme |      |        |
| Doc2Vec              | 0.936| 0.613  |
| DPC                  | 0.930| 0.631  |
| LD                   | 0.919| 0.509  |
| AC                   | 0.813| 0.340  |
| Combined encoding schemes |      |        |
| Doc2Vec + DPC        | 0.960| 0.742  |
| Doc2Vec + LD         | 0.942| 0.635  |
| Doc2Vec + AC         | 0.949| 0.700  |
| DPC + LD             | 0.928| 0.558  |
| DPC + AC             | 0.929| 0.615  |
| LD + AC              | 0.918| 0.527  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD   | 0.944| 0.505  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + AC   | 0.958| 0.732  |
| Doc2Vec + LD + AC    | 0.942| 0.644  |
| DPC + LD + AC        | 0.927| 0.572  |
| Doc2Vec + DPC + LD + AC | 0.945| 0.656  |
of the other five modules also indicates module functions related to *C. parvum* development (Supplementary Table S9).

Due to limited experimental progress, researches on drug targets need clues from diverse development-related pathways [68]. Several attempts have been focused on the minimalistic metabolic capacities of *Cryptosporidium*, aiming at suppressing parasite development. For instance, DNA replication is indispensable when the parasite resides in the small intestinal epithelium of different hosts. Inosine 5'-monophosphate dehydrogenase (IMPDH) is required for the biosynthesis of guanine nucleotides in *Cryptosporidium* as the inability to de novo synthesize nucleotides, making it as a promising drug target [69,70]. However, Pawlowic et al. proposed the existence of possible alternative pathway(s) to salvage nucleotides, leading to more challenges for drug development [71]. By testing the influence of *C. parvum* on host cellular metabolic signatures, Velez et al. have reported that glycolysis can

![Fig. 4. Overlaps of predicted *C. parvum* PPIs among three computational prediction methods.](image)

![Fig. 5. Enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways of the predicted interactors of the hub proteins.](image)
be taken as the anti-cryptosporidial target, and also proved glutaminolysis and lactate release as necessities of the parasite replication [72]. Moreover, gene silencing of the nucleoside-diphosphate kinase (NDK) markedly inhibited parasite development [73]. Although our network cannot directly map modules to those pathways, the modules we identified could be a good supplement as they show a high relevance to the parasite development.

4. Conclusions

*Cryptosporidium parvum* is the leading cause of waterborne and foodborne diarrhea with limited vaccine or medicine. In this study, we predicted proteome-wide *C. parvum* PPIs for the first time to fill the gap in a few experimentally validated PPIs in *Cryptosporidium*. We utilized traditional PPI prediction methods (IM and DDI inference) and the ML-based method to predict PPIs and employed the overlapping interactions as the final high-confidence PPIs (4,578 PPIs covering 554 proteins). It is worth mentioning that the ML-based method (i.e., the usage of RF and Doc2Vec encoding scheme) plays a key role in our final prediction model. To explore the value of the constructed PPI network, some essential network and functional analyses were carried out. We discovered three important hub genes (cgd2_20, cgd7_360, and cgd4_3270) encoding HSPs, which may play important roles in the cell cycle or life cycle of *C. parvum*. Thus, we suppose that an in-depth investigation on hub genes may provide new hints for the prevention of cryptosporidiosis [74]. We also found one functional module that could potentially contribute to the development of *C. parvum*. Given the lack of effective drugs in treating the cryptosporidiosis, our identified functional modules can provide clues for experimental scientists to further analyze the pathogenesis of cryptosporidiosis so as to discover drug targets. Regarding future development, we will pay more attention to another important research direction, i.e., the identification of PPIs between *C. parvum* and host cells, which can directly help to accelerate the discovery of therapeutic targets. Taken together, we hope the constructed high-confidence PPI network will become an important data resource to understand the genome-phenome relationship of *C. parvum* as well as speed up target discovery for the exploration of effective drugs/vaccines.
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