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Engineered quantum systems enabling novel capabilities for computation and sensing have blossomed in the last decade. Architectures benefiting from combining complementary physical systems have emerged as promising approaches for quantum technologies. A new class of hybrid quantum systems based on collective spin excitations in ferromagnetic materials has led to the diverse set of platforms outlined in this review article. The coherent interaction between microwave cavity modes and spin-wave modes is presented as a key ingredient for the development of more complex hybrid systems. Indeed, quanta of excitation of the spin-wave modes, called magnons, can also interact coherently with optical photons, phonons, and superconducting qubits in the fields of cavity optomagnonics, cavity magnomechanics, and quantum magnonics, respectively. Notably, quantum optics experiments in magnetically-ordered solid-state systems are within reach thanks to quantum magnonics. Applications of hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics for quantum information processing and quantum sensing are briefly outlined. © 2019 The Japan Society of Applied Physics

1. Introduction

In the last few decades, technologies engineered from quantum systems have flourished and found applications in quantum computing,1–3 quantum simulation,4–6 quantum communication,7–10 and quantum sensing.11 An exciting strategy has been explored in the last few years to access through hybrid quantum systems novel capabilities in existing quantum technologies by the combination of distinct physical systems possessing complementary characteristics.12–14 For example, merging techniques developed in the field of circuit quantum electrodynamics (cQED) to the field of electron spin resonance has enabled drastic improvements of the detection sensitivity of electron spins.15–19

In recent years, hybrid quantum systems based on collective spin excitations in ferromagnetic crystals have become a promising platform for novel quantum technologies. Indeed, the quanta of these collective excitations, called magnons, can interact coherently with microwave and optical photons, as well as with phonons through magnetic dipole20–24 magneto-optical,25–32 and magnetostrictive interactions,33,34 respectively. As schematically shown in Fig. 1, this diverse set of interactions allows one to further engineer coherent interactions between drastically different physical systems. Of particular interest is the architecture of quantum magnonics,24,35–37 where the strong and coherent effective interaction between magnetostatic modes and superconducting quantum circuits enables one to reach the quantum regime of magnonics to resolve single magnons,38 for example. More generally, hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics offer opportunities to develop novel quantum technologies such as microwave-to-optical quantum transducers25 for quantum information processing and quantum-enhanced detection of magnons for applications such as magnon spintronics38 and dark matter searches.39

The theoretical background and experimental landscape of the field of cavity electromagnonics, in which the magnetic dipole coupling of magnetostatic modes to microwave-frequency cavity modes is investigated, are first discussed in Sect. 2. Section 3 discusses the possibility of entering the quantum regime of magnonics by engineering an effective coherent interaction between magnetostatic modes and microwave-frequency superconducting circuits. Section 4 then discusses the fields of optomagnonics and cavity optomagnonics, which are both key platforms for future applications of hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics in the optical domain, such as microwave-to-optical quantum transducers. Section 5 discusses the relatively new subfield of cavity magnomechanics which combines cavity electromagnonics and the coupling between mechanical and magnetostatic modes through magnetostrictive forces. Finally, Sect. 6 provides perspectives and outlook, as well as possible future applications, for hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics, with a particular focus on quantum magnonics. For clarity, Table I summarizes the definitions of the excitations, bosonic operators, frequencies, and decay rates of the modes of the different physical systems considered in this review.

2. Cavity electromagnonics

The interaction between collective degrees of freedom of solid-state systems and electromagnetic fields of cavities serves as the basis of cQED40,41 and cavity optomechanics42 through electric dipole and radiation-pressure interactions, respectively. More recently, the strong and coherent magnetic dipole interaction between collective spin excitations in ensembles of spins and microwave cavities has been considered for both paramagnetic13,43–52 and ferromagnetic spin ensembles.20–24 Applications of such hybrid systems for quantum technologies include storage and retrieval of microwave fields,53,54 quantum transduction,55,56 and quantum sensing.11,13,16

In this section, the field of cavity electromagnonics, which makes use of the magnetic dipole interaction between magnetostatic modes in a ferromagnetic spin ensemble and the modes of a microwave cavity, is introduced. Cavity electromagnonics serves as the basis of almost all hybrid systems based on magnonics. Indeed, the magnetic dipole interaction between magnetostatic modes and microwave cavity modes is used in both quantum magnonics and cavity magnomechanics to engineer interactions with modes of physically distinct systems [Fig. 1].
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cavity mode, depicted in Fig. 2(a), is considered here. The Hamiltonian of a cavity mode of frequency $\omega_c$ is given by

$$\hat{H}_c / h = \omega_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a},$$

(5)

where $\hat{a}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}$ are the creation and annihilation operators of a microwave photon in the mode. It is worth noting that both magnetostatic modes and microwave cavity modes are linear systems described as quantum harmonic oscillators. Notably, as will be later discussed, this means that tools developed for quantum optics can readily be applied to magnonics in the quantum regime.

### 2.2.2. Coupling to magnetostatic modes.

The magnetic dipole interaction of magnetostatic modes with microwave-frequency cavity modes is achieved by placing a ferromagnetic crystal so that it overlaps with the microwave magnetic field of the cavity mode.\(^{24}\) This magnetic dipole interaction is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{m-c} / h = g_B \mu_B (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + \hat{a}) \sum_i \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i,$$

(6)

where $\mathbf{B}_i(\mathbf{r}_i) = \delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{a} \hat{a})$ is the microwave cavity magnetic field at position $\mathbf{r}_i$ of spin $i$, and $|\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}_i)|$ is the amplitude of the corresponding vacuum fluctuations. Notably, this interaction Hamiltonian is the same for a paramagnetic spin ensemble.\(^{43,44}\)

Considering a linearly-polarized microwave cavity magnetic field and applying the rotating wave approximation, the Hamiltonian of Eq. (6) can be expressed in terms of the magnetostatic modes in the ferromagnetic spin ensemble as

$$\hat{H}_{m-c} / h = \sum_n g_m^{(n)} (\hat{a}_n^\dagger \hat{a}_n^\dagger + \hat{a}_n \hat{a}_n),$$

(7)

where $g_m^{(n)}$ is the coupling strength between the magnetostatic mode $n$ and the microwave cavity mode.\(^{24}\) The magnetic dipole coupling strength is given by

$$\hbar g_m^{(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} g B \mu_B \int_V \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}_n(\mathbf{r}),$$

(8)

where the interaction is integrated throughout the volume $V$ of the ferromagnetic crystal, and $\mathbf{s}_n(\mathbf{r})$ is the orthonormal mode function describing the spatial profile of the amplitude and phase for the magnetostatic mode $n$.

### 2.2.3. Coupling to the Kittel mode.

If the microwave magnetic field $\delta \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ is uniform throughout the ferromagnetic crystal, the magnetic dipole coupling vanishes except for the uniform magnetostatic mode, i.e., the Kittel mode.\(^{24}\) As will be later discussed, coupling between higher-order magnetostatic modes and a microwave cavity mode therefore requires a non-uniform cavity magnetic field. Considering only the Kittel mode, the Hamiltonian of the interaction is given by

$$\hat{H}_{m-c} / h = g_m^{(K)} (\hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_K^\dagger + \hat{a}_K \hat{a}_K),$$

(9)

where the coupling strength $g_m^{(K)}$ between the Kittel mode and the microwave cavity mode is given by

$$\hbar g_m^{(K)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} g B \mu_B \delta \mathbf{B} \sqrt{N},$$

(10)

where $\delta \mathbf{B} \equiv |\delta \mathbf{B}|$ is the amplitude of the vacuum fluctuations of the microwave cavity magnetic field in the ferromagnetic regime.
crystal transverse to the external magnetic field $B_0$. As in a paramagnetic spin ensemble, a $\sqrt{N}$ collective enhancement of the coupling strength between a single spin and the microwave cavity mode is realized by using an ensemble of $N$ spins.\textsuperscript{43-46,52} As depicted in Fig. 2(a), the resulting coupling strength $g_{\text{m-c}}$ between the Kittel mode and the microwave cavity mode has to be compared with the linewidth of the Kittel mode, $\gamma_{\text{m}}$ and the linewidth of the cavity mode, $\kappa_{\text{c}}$. When $g_{\text{m-c}} \gg \gamma_{\text{m}}$, $\kappa_{\text{c}}$, the hybrid system enters the strong coupling regime.

### 2.3. Magnetic dipole interaction with a microwave cavity mode: experiments

#### 2.3.1. Strong coupling regime

Magnetic dipole coupling strengths of tens of MHz between microwave cavity modes and paramagnetic spin ensembles with spin densities of $10^{16} - 10^{18}$ $\mu_B$ cm$^{-3}$ have been previously demonstrated.\textsuperscript{45,46,48,51,52} As ferromagnetic materials have much larger spin densities of about $10^{22}$ $\mu_B$ cm$^{-3}$, larger coupling strengths between the magnetostatic modes of a ferromagnetic crystal and microwave cavity modes are expected.\textsuperscript{20,21} By combining these large coupling strengths with the linewidths of magnetostatic modes, which are in the MHz range even at room temperature, the strong coupling regime of cavity electromagnonics can be readily reached.

Furthermore, as opposed to paramagnetic spin ensembles, the ferromagnetic exchange interaction between neighboring spins offers a rigidity to the magnetostatic modes that favors long-wavelength excitations.

A first step towards reaching the strong coupling regime in cavity electromagnonics was achieved in an experiment which used a rectangular gallium-doped ferrimagnetic YIG crystal placed directly on top of a superconducting resonator,\textsuperscript{20} analogous to pioneering experiments with paramagnetic spin ensembles.\textsuperscript{45,46,48,51,52} However, due to the inherent inhomogeneity of the microwave magnetic field of the superconducting resonator and the large magnon linewidth due to the gallium doping, the normal-mode splitting was not observed despite the hybrid system achieving a large cooperativity.

These issues were addressed in subsequent experiments by using three-dimensional microwave cavities and spherical crystals of undoped YIG [Fig. 2(b)], enabling the observation of the normal-mode splitting at both cryogenic temperatures\textsuperscript{21,22} and at room temperature\textsuperscript{23} with coupling strengths reaching up to a few GHz.\textsuperscript{22,23} Indeed, as both systems are linear and are coupled through a linear beam splitter-like interaction, the underlying physics is mostly classical. Therefore, thermal populations of the different modes do not significantly affect the dynamics of the coupled hybrid system and the normal-mode splitting can be observed even at room temperature.\textsuperscript{23}

#### 2.3.2. Example of the strong coupling regime

As an illustrative example, Fig. 2(c) shows a measurement of the normal-mode splitting between the Kittel mode of a YIG sphere and a mode of a three-dimensional microwave cavity at millikelvin temperatures. The microwave cavity is a three-dimensional cavity made out of copper with dimensions of $24 \times 3 \times 53$ mm$^3$. The lowest frequency modes are the transverse electric (TE) modes $\text{TE}_{102}$ of frequencies $\omega_{\text{TE}_{102}}$ and linewidths $\kappa_{\text{TE}_{102}}$. For example, the $\text{TE}_{102}$ mode has a frequency $\omega_{\text{TE}_{102}}/2\pi = 8.41$ GHz and a linewidth $\kappa_{\text{TE}_{102}}/2\pi = 2.1$ MHz. The two ports of the microwave cavity enable one to probe the cavity modes either in reflection or transmission.

A YIG sphere with a diameter of 0.5 mm is placed inside the microwave cavity near the antinode of the microwave magnetic field of the $\text{TE}_{102}$ mode. The uniformity of the microwave cavity magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$ throughout the sphere is better than 1% for the $\text{TE}_{102}$ mode, highly favoring the magnetic dipole coupling to the Kittel mode compared to higher-order modes. A static magnetic field $B_0$ is applied along the (100) crystal axis and perpendicular to $\mathbf{B}$. The amplitude $B_0$ of the static field sets the magnon frequency $\omega_{\text{m}} = g^* \mu_B B_0 / \hbar$ and is created by a combination of a pair of permanent magnets, a yoke made out of pure iron, and a $10^3$-turn superconducting coil. The disk-shaped neodymium permanent magnets produce a uniform static magnetic field $B_0 \approx 0.29$ T at the YIG sphere inside the microwave cavity. The coil enables one to change in situ the magnon frequency by varying the current $I$ in the coil with a proportionality constant of $\sim 1.7$ mT mA$^{-1}$.

The device is placed inside a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of a few tens of millikelvins, leading to a thermal occupancy much smaller than unity of every relevant mode of the hybrid system. Furthermore, the microwave line connected to the input port of the microwave cavity is highly attenuated to prevent further thermal excitations from stages of the dilution refrigerator at higher temperatures. The output port is connected to cryogenic and room-temperature low-noise microwave amplifiers. The device is isolated from the thermal noise of the amplifiers by cryogenic circulators and isolators. More details on the experimental setup can be found in Refs. 24, 35, and 36.

The normalized amplitude of the transmission coefficient, $|r|/|t_0|$, is shown in Fig. 2(c) as a function of the current $I$ in the coil, effectively changing the magnon frequency $\omega_{\text{m}}$. The microwave cavity is probed at a power corresponding to, on average, much less than a single photon populating the cavity mode. Due to the large magnetic dipole interaction, when the Kittel mode is close to resonance with the $\text{TE}_{102}$ cavity mode, the two modes hybridize, leading to a normal-mode splitting; the hallmark of the strong coupling regime of cavity electromagnonics.\textsuperscript{20-23} Indeed, the coupling strength $g_{\text{m-c}}/2\pi = 22.9$ MHz, extracted from the spectrum measured when the hybrid system is fully hybridized, is much larger than the linewidths of the Kittel and microwave cavity modes, $\gamma_{\text{m}}/2\pi = 1.4$ MHz and $\kappa_{\text{c}}/2\pi = 2.1$ MHz, respectively. The magnon linewidth is determined from the linewidth $\gamma_{\text{m}} + \kappa_{\text{c}})/2$ when $\omega_{\text{m}} = \omega_0$ and from a measurement of the microwave cavity linewidth $\kappa_{\text{c}}$ far from the avoided crossing.

#### 2.4. Further experimental work

##### 2.4.1. Materials

Early works on cavity electromagnonics have mainly focused on using YIG as the ferromagnetic material.\textsuperscript{20-23,63} These experiments prompted the study of the properties of ferromagnetic materials at millikelvin temperatures, which did result in novel observations. Notably, in Ref. 21, the temperature dependence of the linewidth $\gamma_{\text{m}}$ of the Kittel mode was investigated from a few kelvins down to about 10 mK. When decreasing the temperature down to about 1 K, the linewidth decreases monotonically as expected from slow relaxation due to impurity...
ions and losses due to magnon-phonon scattering. However, for temperatures below 1 K, the magnon linewidth increases again and saturates at $\gamma_m/2\pi \approx 1 \text{MHz}$ at a temperature of about 100 mK. This behavior can be understood by the presence of a bath of two-level systems (TLSs) resonant with the Kittel mode, similar to losses from TLSs in superconducting resonators. Indeed, for a temperature $T \ll \hbar \omega_m/k_B$, the bath of resonant TLSs acts as a new decay channel for magnons. For $k_B T \gg \hbar \omega_m$, however, the TLSs are saturated and therefore do not contribute to magnon decay. The observed temperature dependence of the magnon linewidth is well reproduced by this model. The origin of the bath of TLSs however remains to be clearly identified and is an important open question for hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics. While losses attributed to TLSs make up a large fraction of the losses at millikelvin temperatures, the remaining linewidth can be ascribed to elastic magnon-magnon scattering at the surface of the ferromagnetic crystal. Fortunately, this contribution to the magnon linewidth can probably be improved by decreasing the surface roughness of the ferromagnetic sample. The signature of TLSs in YIG has also been observed in Refs. 68, 69.

Subsequent works in cavity electromagnonics have also been performed on other materials. For example, the strong coupling between magnetostatic modes in lithium ferrite and a microwave cavity has been demonstrated. Interestingly, the softening of the magnetostatic modes at low magnetic fields enables the hybrid system to reach a regime where the magnon frequency is both first-order and second-order insensitive to the amplitude of the external magnetic field, in analogy to clock transitions in atomic and spin systems. Furthermore, the multiferroic chiral magnetic insulator Cu$_2$OSeO$_3$ has also been investigated in the strong coupling regime of cavity electromagnonics. Notably, the phase transition of the material from paramagnetic to ferromagnetic is observed through a change in the coupling strength between the collective spin excitations in the material and the microwave cavity mode. Finally, the coupling between antiferromagnetic magnons and high-frequency cavity modes has been recently observed in dysprosium ferrite. These early results hint that cavity electromagnonics could be used as a novel tool to characterize materials with a magnetic order.

2.4.2. Microwave cavity geometries. Many different geometries of microwave cavities have been investigated in cavity electromagnonics. In addition to the three-dimensional microwave cavities previously discussed [Fig. 2(b)], superconducting coplanar waveguide resonators, coaxial-like cavities and lumped-element cavities have been used to reach the strong coupling regime. In particular, lumped-element cavities, also used in experiments with paramagnetic spin ensembles, enable one to achieve larger filling factors $\eta$ of the microwave cavity magnetic field within the volume of the ferromagnetic crystal compared to a three-dimensional cavity of the same frequency, leading to a larger magnetic dipole coupling strength $\gamma_m \propto \sqrt{\eta}$. Furthermore, antinodes of the microwave cavity magnetic and electric fields can be more easily spatially separated in a lumped-element cavity as they are respectively located at the inductive and capacitive elements. This is a great advantage for adding elements, such as superconducting qubits, that couple to the electric field of the microwave cavity through an electric dipole interaction and that are adversely affected by the external magnetic field applied to the ferromagnetic crystal. Finally, as lumped-element cavities have an effective dimensionality of zero, smaller cavities can be designed while keeping the frequencies of the modes at about 10 GHz. As discussed in Sect. 4, this could be particularly advantageous for cavity electromagnonics, where the optomagnonic coupling is increased in smaller ferromagnetic samples.

2.4.3. Multiple modes and multiple ferromagnetic crystals. Thus far, the interaction between a single microwave cavity mode and a single magnetostatic mode in a ferromagnetic crystal has been discussed. The theory presented earlier can readily be adapted to consider the multimode nature of both the ferromagnetic crystal and most types of cavities simply by summing the system and interaction Hamiltonians over all the relevant modes. Furthermore, devices incorporating multiple ferromagnetic crystals within the mode volume of a single microwave cavity mode have been investigated. Notably, the coupling between the collective modes of strongly coupled Kittel modes in up to 8 spheres to a common microwave cavity mode has enabled one to realize a gradient memory in dark collective modes. Furthermore, an effective coupling between the Kittel modes of two spatially separated spheres has been achieved by using the commonly coupled cavity mode as a coupling bus. These results show that coupling magnetostatic modes to microwave cavity modes provides a new way to couple distinct magnetic systems, such as ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic samples.

2.4.4. Higher-order magnetostatic modes. In ferromagnetic spheres, the spatial uniformity of the Kittel mode favors coupling to the microwave cavity modes compared to higher-order magnetostatic modes when the cavity mode is uniform throughout the ferromagnetic sample. This enables one to greatly simplify the description of the hybrid system by having to only consider a single magnetostatic mode strongly coupled to microwave cavity modes. Even then, in most experiments, hints of a finite coupling to higher-order magnetostatic modes are observed in the measurement of the avoided crossing between the Kittel mode and a microwave cavity mode. Experiments voluntarily having a nonuniform microwave magnetic field throughout the volume of the ferromagnetic sphere have been performed to investigate the coupling to higher-order magnetostatic modes. This is of particular interest for cavity electromagnonics, discussed in Sect. 4, as the optomagnonic coupling is expected to be larger for higher-order modes. To this end, a tomography technique has been recently devised to experimentally characterize magnetostatic modes in a ferromagnetic sphere.

2.4.5. Dissipative coupling. Up to now, the coherent coupling between a magnetostatic mode and a microwave cavity mode due to the magnetic dipole interaction has been discussed. Recently, it has been shown that a dissipative coupling due to the Lenz effect is also possible in cavity electromagnonics. For example, when the ferromagnetic crystal is placed at the node of the magnetic field of a microwave cavity mode, the coherent coupling vanishes [Eq. (10)]. However, the cavity Lenz effect induces a
microwave current in the cavity when magnons are excited, which in turn impedes the excitation of magnons. This leads to a level attraction when the hybrid system is on resonance, in stark contrast to the usual avoided crossing observed for a coherent coupling [Fig. 2(c)]. As discussed in Ref. 97, this hints that great care needs to be taken when evaluating the coherent coupling strength $g_{m-c}$ from the avoided crossing as a finite dissipative coupling will affect the experimentally observed normal-mode splitting. In the presence of both coherent and dissipative couplings, the interaction Hamiltonian of Eq. (9) between a magnetostatic mode and a microwave cavity mode can be generalized as

$$\hat{H}_{m-c}/\hbar = g_{m-c}(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{c} + e^{i\Phi}\hat{a}\hat{c}^\dagger),$$

(11)

where the coupling phase $\Phi$ describes the competing coherent and dissipative couplings. The Hamiltonian of Eq. (9), describing only the coherent coupling, corresponds to the case $\Phi = 0$.

3. Quantum magnonics

A promising approach to observe quantum effects in magnonics is to consider a nonlinear system interacting through a linear, beam splitter-like interaction with the magnetostatic modes. Such nonlinear quantum systems can be implemented in superconducting circuits where the Josephson effect provides the nonlinearity necessary to use these circuits as qubits. Furthermore, superconducting qubits can interact strongly with microwave cavity modes through a Jaynes–Cummings-like electric dipole interaction in the cQED paradigm in close relation to cavity quantum electrodynamics. In quantum magnonics, this qubit–cavity interaction is combined with the beam-splitter-like magnetic dipole interaction between the microwave cavity modes and magnetostatic modes of a ferromagnetic crystal to provide the nonlinearity necessary to explore quantum effects in magnonics. We note that a similar approach was investigated in paramagnetic spin ensembles.

3.1. Coupling to a qubit: theory

3.1.1. Superconducting qubit. The hybrid system considered in quantum magnonics, depicted schematically in Fig. 3(a), is composed of a ferromagnetic crystal, a microwave cavity, and a superconducting qubit. The superconducting qubit can be described as an anharmonic oscillator by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_q/\hbar = \left(\omega_q - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\hat{q}^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{q}^\dagger \hat{q})^2,$$

(12)

where $\omega_q$ is the frequency of the transition between the ground state $|g\rangle$ and the first excited state $|e\rangle$, $\alpha$ is the anharmonicity, and $\hat{q}^\dagger$ and $\hat{q}$ are respectively the creation and annihilation operators for the qubit. The frequency of the transition between the first and second excited states is given by $\omega_q + \alpha$. For the so-called transmon regime of a superconducting qubit, $\alpha$ is negative and sufficiently large to operate such anharmonic oscillators as qubits. The coherence time $T_2^\text{s}$ of the qubit is related to its linewidth $\gamma_q$ through $\gamma_q = 2/T_2^\text{s}$.

For example, for a very modest coherence time $T_2^\text{s} = 1\ \mu\text{s}$, the qubit linewidth $\gamma_q/2\pi = 0.32\ \text{MHz}$ is already smaller than the linewidth $\gamma_m/2\pi \approx 1\ \text{MHz}$ of the Kittel mode at millikelvin temperatures.

3.1.2. Description of the hybrid system. The large electric dipole of superconducting qubits enables them to strongly couple to the electric field of the modes of planar superconducting resonators and three-dimensional microwave
cavities. Under the rotating wave approximation, the qubit-cavity electric dipole interaction is described by the Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H}_{q-c} = \frac{g_{q-c}}{\hbar} \hat{q} \hat{a}^\dagger + \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{a}, \]

where \( g_{q-c} \) is the qubit–cavity coupling strength. It is therefore possible to describe the hybrid system considered in quantum magnonics by the Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{m} + \hat{H}_{c} + \hat{H}_{q} + \hat{H}_{m-c} + \hat{H}_{q-c}, \]

where the different terms are given by Eqs. (4), (5), (12), (9), and (13), respectively.

### 3.1.3. Effective coupling

The individual interactions of both the magnetostatic mode and the qubit to common microwave cavity modes enable one to engineer an effective interaction between these two very distinct macroscopic physical systems. When the magnetostatic mode and the qubit are close to resonance and far detuned from the microwave cavity, so that \(|\omega_{q} - \omega_{m}| \ll g_{m-c}, g_{q-c} \ll |\omega_{q} - \omega_{c}|, |\omega_{m} - \omega_{c}|\), the effective qubit-magnon interaction is described by the Jaynes–Cummings-type Hamiltonian

\[ \hat{H}_{q-m} = \frac{g_{q-m}}{\hbar} (\hat{q} \hat{c}^\dagger + \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{c}), \]

where the microwave cavity modes have been adiabatically eliminated. With the magnetostatic mode and the qubit on resonance, such that \( \omega_{m} = \omega_{q} \equiv \omega_{q,m} \), the coupling strength \( g_{q-m} \) is given by

\[ g_{q-m} \approx \sum_{p} \frac{g_{m-c}^{(p)} g_{q}^{(p)}}{\omega_{q,m} - \omega_{p}^{(c)}}, \]

where \( \omega_{p}^{(c)} \) is the frequency of the microwave cavity mode of index \( p \) with magnetic and electric dipole coupling strengths \( g_{m-c}^{(p)} \) and \( g_{q}^{(p)} \) to the magnetostatic mode and the qubit, respectively.

The regime of strong qubit-magnon coupling is reached when \( g_{q-m} \) is much larger than the linewidths of both the magnetostatic mode and the qubit, \( \gamma_{m} \) and \( \gamma_{q} \), respectively.

### 3.2. Coupling to a qubit: experiment

#### 3.2.1. Device

Figure 3(b) shows a schematic representation of the device used to demonstrate the strong coupling regime of quantum magnonics. The results mentioned here are with the same device as in Sect. 2 and Ref. 36. The transmon-type superconducting qubit is made out of two large-area aluminum antenna pads connected by a Josephson junction (Al/AlO/Al) fabricated on a silicon substrate. The bare frequency \( \omega_{0}/2\pi = 7.97 \) GHz and the charging energy \( E_{C}/\hbar \approx 60 \) GHz.

The qubit is placed close to the antinode of the electric field of the TE102 cavity mode and is separated by about 35 mm from the YIG sphere. A magnetic shield made of aluminum and pure iron covers half of the microwave cavity to protect the qubit from the stray magnetic field of the magnetic circuit used to apply the external magnetic field.

#### 3.2.2. Experiment

The effective coupling between the Kittel mode and the qubit is investigated by performing two-tone spectroscopy of the qubit. Indeed, the dispersive qubit–cavity interaction enables one to measure the qubit absorption spectrum by probing the change in reflection or transmission of one of the microwave cavity modes. While the qubit-magnon coupling is mainly mediated by the TE102 cavity mode of frequency \( \omega_{c}^{(2)}/2\pi = 8.450 \) GHz, the TE103 cavity mode of frequency \( \omega_{c}^{(3)}/2\pi = 10.446 \) GHz is used for reading out the qubit. Figure 3(c) shows the measured qubit spectrum close to resonance with the Kittel mode. The clear avoided crossing indicates that the engineered effective interaction has reached the strong coupling regime. Indeed, the coupling strength \( g_{q-m}/2\pi = 7.2 \) MHz is much larger than the linewidths of the Kittel mode and the qubit.

While the coil current can be used to control in situ the detuning between the qubit and the magnetostatic modes, the strength of the qubit-magnon coupling \( g_{q-m} \) is determined by the static detuning between \( \omega_{m} = \omega_{q} \equiv \omega_{q,m} \) and the frequencies of the microwave cavity modes [Eq. (16)]. A dynamically tunable qubit-magnon interaction can be engineered by using a parametric drive at a frequency given by the average frequency of the detuned Kittel mode and qubit. While the resulting coupling strength is smaller than for the static case, the ability to activate the coupling demand on a nanosecond timescale without any modifications to the hardware of the hybrid system can be useful to transfer excitations between the Kittel mode and the qubit in a controllable way.

### 3.3. Dispersive coupling

#### 3.3.1. Dispersive regime

A dynamically tunable coupling or detuning can be used to prepare quantum states in bosonic systems. Alternatively, a strong and static dispersive coupling enables one to prepare and characterize quantum states in a harmonic oscillator such as the Kittel mode. The qubit-magnon hybrid system enters the dispersive regime when the detuning between the qubit and the Kittel mode is much larger than the static coupling strength, i.e., \( |\omega_{q} - \omega_{m}| \gg g_{q-m} \). In analogy to the qubit–cavity dispersive regime in cavity cQED, the Hamiltonian of the interaction between a magnetostatic mode and the superconducting qubit in the dispersive regime is given by

\[ \hat{H}_{q-m}^{\text{disp}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} 2\chi_{q-m} \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{c} \hat{c} \hat{q}, \]

where \( \chi_{q-m} \) is the strength of the dispersive interaction. The dispersive coupling therefore shifts the qubit frequency by \( 2\chi_{q-m} \) for every magnon in the Kittel mode, and, reciprocally, shifts the frequency of the Kittel mode by \( 2\chi_{q-m} \) when the qubit is excited.

As the interaction between the Kittel mode and the qubit is a second-order process mediated by the couplings to the microwave cavity modes, the effective dispersive interaction is a fourth-order process, leading to the ordering \( |\chi_{q-m}| \ll g_{q-m} \ll g_{m-c} \approx g_{q-c} \). While an analytical expression of \( \chi_{q-m} \) is given in Ref. 35, it can also be calculated directly by diagonalizing numerically the Hamiltonian of the hybrid system given by Eq. (14).

#### 3.3.2. Strong dispersive regime

Of particular interest is the strong dispersive regime, characterized by a shift per excitation larger than the linewidths of both systems. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), this regime enables one to resolve quanta of excitations in the bosonic mode to distinguish, for example, coherent, thermal, and squeezed states. Experimentally, the strong dispersive regime has been used in cQED to resolve single microwave photons in a mode of a
microwave cavity\textsuperscript{121–123} and in cavity electromechanics to resolve single microwave-frequency phonons.\textsuperscript{124–126}

The strong dispersive regime of quantum magnonics was demonstrated in Ref. 36 by performing qubit spectroscopy while driving the Kittel mode to create a steady-state coherent state with different average numbers of magnons \( n_m \). Through a careful analysis of the spectra based on the theory of Ref. 109, these results establish that the Kittel mode has a thermal population below 0.01 magnons, as expected from thermal equilibrium at \( T = 10 \) mK. This shows that the Kittel mode is very close to the vacuum state when undriven; a significant advantage compared to MHz-frequency mechanical modes which need to be sideband cooled to reach the quantum ground state.\textsuperscript{127,128} Furthermore, the probability distributions of the magnon number states \( \ket{n_m} \) follow closely the Poisson distributions expected for a bosonic mode.\textsuperscript{128,129}

As an alternative to the continuous-wave measurements used in Ref. 36, the qubit absorption spectrum can be obtained from the Fourier transform of the Ramsey fringes measured in a time-domain experiment. Figure 4(b) shows the resulting spectrum when the Kittel mode is undriven. Compared to the continuous-wave measurements, the broadening of the qubit by the spectroscopy tone is avoided and a qubit linewidth \( \gamma_q/2\pi = 0.38 \) MHz is obtained, corresponding to a coherence time \( T_2^* = 850 \) ns, limited by the Purcell effect and dephasing from thermal photons in the microwave cavity modes. Figure 4(c) shows the corresponding measurement in the presence of a coherent drive on resonance with the Kittel mode when the qubit is in the ground state at \( \omega_m^0/2\pi = 7.7915 \) GHz. A peak corresponding to a single magnon in the Kittel mode is clearly visible as the shift per magnon \( 2|\chi_{q-m}|/2\pi = 2.5 \) MHz is larger than the linewidths of the Kittel mode and the qubit of 1.4 MHz and 0.38 MHz, respectively. As later discussed, the ability to count magnons, starting from the vacuum, is a key ingredient for future applications of quantum magnonics.

3.4. Other nonlinearities

3.4.1. Self-Kerr effect. The strong and coherent coupling between the Kittel mode and a superconducting qubit gives access to new types of nonlinearities in quantum magnonics beyond the dispersive qubit-magnon interaction previously discussed. Indeed, a self-Kerr nonlinearity at the level of single magnons is made possible by the presence of the qubit.\textsuperscript{36} In the presence of such an interaction, the Hamiltonian of the magnetostatic mode becomes

\[
\hat{H}_m / h = \left( \omega_m + \frac{K_m}{2} \right) \hat{c} \hat{c} - \frac{K_m}{2} (\hat{c} \hat{c})^2,
\]  

where \( K_m \) is the coefficient of the self-Kerr interaction.\textsuperscript{120,130,131} In Ref. 36, a self-Kerr coefficient \( K_m/2\pi = -0.2 \) MHz is detected by the presence of a small nonlinearity in the average number of magnons \( \bar{n}_m \) when increasing the Kittel mode drive power. While this nonlinearity is smaller than the linewidth of the Kittel mode, it is many orders of magnitude larger than the intrinsic nonlinearity of the magnetostatic modes\textsuperscript{76,132,133} and could potentially be used to study nonlinear magnonics in the

---

**Fig. 4.** (Color online) Resolving single magnons. (a) Schematic diagram of the dispersive coupling between the Kittel mode and the superconducting qubit. The strong dispersive regime corresponds to a shift per magnon, \( 2|\chi_{q-m}|/2\pi \), larger than both \( \gamma_m \) and \( \gamma_q \). In this regime, when the Kittel mode is coherently driven to a nonzero magnon population \( n_m > 0 \), the qubit spectrum splits into multiple peaks, each corresponding to a given magnon number state \( \ket{n_m} \). (b), (c) Normalized qubit spectra \( S(\omega_q) \) obtained from Ramsey interferometry with the Kittel mode in (b) the vacuum state and in (c) a coherent state corresponding to a population of \( n_m = 0.53 \) magnons obtained through a continuous coherent drive resonant with the Kittel mode. The detuning \( |\omega_q - \omega_m^0| \) is between the spectroscopy frequency \( \omega_m^0 \) and the frequency of the qubit with the Kittel mode in the vacuum state, \( \omega_m^0/2\pi = 7.9332 \) GHz. The shift per magnon \( 2|\chi_{q-m}|/2\pi = 2.5 \) MHz is larger than the linewidth of each of the systems. The frequency of the Kittel mode with the qubit in the ground state, \( \omega_m^0/2\pi = 7.7915 \) GHz, is far detuned from the qubit frequency.
quantum regime. Furthermore, it is worth noting that it is possible to achieve a large qubit-magnon dispersive interaction with $|\chi_{q-m}| \gg \gamma_m$ while keeping the Kittel mode linear with $|K_m| \ll \gamma_m$ by a careful choice of the magnon frequency $\omega_m$ relative to the qubit transition frequencies $\omega_q$ and $\omega_q + \Delta$.

### 3.4.2. Cross-Kerr effect

A cross-Kerr nonlinearity between the magnetostatic modes and the microwave cavity modes is also made possible by their mutual coupling to the qubit.\(^{135,136}\) In the presence of such a cross-Kerr interaction, the Hamiltonian of the interaction between a magnetostatic mode and a microwave cavity mode becomes

$$\hat{H}_{m-c}/\hbar = g_{m-c}(\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{c} + \hat{a} \hat{c}^\dagger) + K_{m-c} \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c},$$

(19)

where $K_{m-c}$ is the cross-Kerr coefficient\(^{130,136}\) which depends strongly on the magnon frequency relative to the qubit transition frequencies. The cross-Kerr interaction leads to a frequency shift of the microwave cavity mode (magnetostatic mode) for every magnon (microwave photon) excited in the magnetostatic mode (microwave cavity mode). Evidence of such a cross-Kerr interaction in quantum magnonics is seen in Ref. 36 as a power-dependent offset of the qubit spectra, indicating a magnon-number-dependent shift of the readout cavity mode. Similar to the self-Kerr effect, the amplitude of the cross-Kerr effect depends strongly on the frequency of the Kittel mode relative to the transition frequencies of the qubit. In the single-excitation-resolved cross-Kerr interaction regime, corresponding to $|K_{m-c}| \gg \kappa_c, \gamma_m$, a number state in one of the modes can be stabilized through dissipation in the other mode.\(^{136}\)

### 4. Cavity optomagnonics

Similar to the interaction between magnetostatic modes and superconducting qubits, the interaction between light and spin waves in ferromagnetic crystals is indirect. Indeed, the optomagnonic interaction consists of electric dipole interactions between the electric component of the optical field and the electrons of the ferromagnetic material, mediated by the spin–orbit interaction.\(^{137-140}\) The magnetic dipole interaction, in comparison, is negligible.\(^{141-143}\)

Phenomenologically, magneto-optical effects are contained in the expression of the dielectric tensor $\varepsilon(\mathbf{M})$ which depends on the magnetization $\mathbf{M}$\(^{139,144,145}\) and encapsulates the Faraday and Cotton–Mouton effects. For decades, light has been used to probe magnon physics. As illustrated in Fig. 5 (a), now with the advent of quantum magnonics, the coherent control of spin waves with light holds the promise for an efficient microwave-to-optics transduction, enabling quantum-limited microwave amplification and optical interfacing of superconducting qubits. After the first implementation of such a magnon-based transducer\(^{25}\) at the frontiers between optomagnonics and cavity electromagnonics [Fig. 5(b)], the interaction is now explored in an optical cavity, revealing a new physical playground, cavity optomagnonics [Fig. 5(c)].

#### 4.1. Optomagnonics in solids

##### 4.1.1. Light as a probe

From pioneering experiments revealing one and two-magnon scattering in the antiferromagnetic material FeF$_2$\(^{146}\) coherent spin waves in a YIG rectangular bar\(^{147}\) and thermally-excited magnons in metallic thin films of Ni and Fe,\(^{148}\) light scattering has been frequently used to probe spin-wave physics. Micro-focused Brillouin light scattering,\(^{149}\) with a diffraction-limited spatial resolution, is now a mature imaging tool for magnon spintronics\(^{150,151}\) and fundamental magnon studies,\(^{152-154}\) notably for the observation of Bose–Einstein condensation of magnons at room temperature in YIG thin films.\(^{155,156}\)

##### 4.1.2. Light as a control interface

Another attractive aspect of optomagnonics lies in the ability to control magnons with light. For example, ultrafast optics experiments have...
unveiled the time-resolved dynamics of the magnetization in nanostructures by exciting spin waves with femtosecond pulsed lasers.157-160) Later, the bidirectional and coherent conversion between optical and microwave photons using spin waves was demonstrated with the Kittel mode of a 750 μm diameter YIG sphere.25) As schematically illustrated in Fig. 5(b), the sphere is embedded in a three-dimensional microwave cavity and is illuminated by a 1550 nm continuous-wave laser. The Kittel mode is hybridized with the fundamental mode of the microwave cavity through a magnetic dipole coupling. Induced by the spin waves excited by the microwave photons, the Faraday effect results in a modulation of the polarization of the transmitted beam at the frequency of the hybridized mode, generating two optical sidebands around the laser frequency which can be detected by a heterodyne measurement with a high-speed photodiode.

The creation of microwave photons by light is, on the other hand, established by using two co-propagating lasers with a stabilized relative phase and frequencies different by the magnon frequency. The created magnons relax in the microwave cavity, resulting in a microwave signal measured with a vector network analyzer. The phase coherence is preserved in the conversion process, resulting in a photon conversion efficiency of ~10−10 for 20 mW of optical pump power. Bidirectional conversion between microwave and optical photons is appealing for quantum-limited microwave amplifiers,161) and quantum telecommunication.162,163) This inaugural work calls for more developments to increase the optomagnonic interaction, especially towards experiments in an optical cavity.

4.2. Cavity optomagnonics in solids

4.2.1. Optical WGMs. A spherical dielectric ferromagnetic crystal itself hosts optical WGMs.29) Optical WGMs in monolithic resonators offering high optical quality factors and relatively small mode volumes162,163) are particularly appealing for cavity quantum electrodynamics,164) optomechanics,165,166) and frequency combs.167) The low absorption of YIG in the telecommunication band,168) could lead to optical quality factors of ~106 but has been limited to an order of magnitude less by losses due to surface roughness, resulting in an optical cavity linewidth of κ/2π ~ 2 GHz. In a large sphere, the optical WGMs are confined close to the equator and can be discriminated into two families; quasi-TM and quasi-TE modes, corresponding respectively to a polarization in-plane and out-of-plane with respect to the equator. The input and output light modes are evanescently coupled to the optical cavity along the plane transverse to the external magnetic field B0, such that whispering gallery and magnetostatic modes share the same equatorial plane [Fig. 5(c)].

Similar to Brillouin light scattering in thin films, an incoming photon is scattered by a magnon into an orthogonally polarized photon. The scattered photon sideband is dependent on whether the process creates or annihilates a magnon. The Hamiltonian of the linearized optomagnonic interaction can be written as

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{om}} = \hbar g_{\text{om}} (\hat{b}^\dagger \hat{c} + \hat{b} \hat{c}^\dagger), \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \int dt \mathbf{E}_{\text{out}}^*(t) \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}(t), \]

where \( g_{\text{om}} \) is the optomagnonic coupling strength, \( \hat{b}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{b} \) respectively creates and annihilates an optical photon from the WGM, and \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{in}}(t) \) and \( \mathbf{E}_{\text{out}}(t) \) are respectively the electric fields of the input and scattered photons of the WGM.25-29) The contribution of the spin-wave mode is enclosed in the permittivity \( \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{M}) \) through the expression of its magnetization \( \mathbf{M} \). The scattered light leaks out of the cavity and can be measured by a heterodyne measurement with a high-speed photodiode.

4.2.2. Triple resonance condition. By energy conservation, the optomagnonic interaction should be maximized at the triple resonance condition, where the frequency of the considered magnetostatic mode matches the difference between the frequencies of the input and scattered optical photons. Two optical modes of the same polarization family separated by one azimuthal index are split by the free spectral range \( \text{FSR} = c/2n_\text{YIG}, \) where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( d \) is the diameter of the sphere, and \( n_\text{YIG} = 2.19 \) is the refractive index of YIG. For a millimeter-sized sphere, the resulting FSR is about 50 GHz. Due to birefringences in the sphere, the frequency of a TM mode is systematically shifted by \( B \sim \text{FSR}/n_\text{YIG} \approx 0.89 \times \text{FSR} \) compared to a TE mode with the same indices. Therefore, for an external magnetic field \( B_0 \approx 0.3 \) T, leading to magnetostatic mode frequencies in the GHz range, the triple resonance condition is within reach for scattering between a TM mode and a TE mode separated by one azimuthal index, as their frequency difference is \( \text{FSR} - B \approx 0.11 \times \text{FSR} \sim 5 \) GHz.

4.2.3. Experiments on the Kittel mode. In an early experiment, infrared light was evanescently coupled with a tapered fiber to the optical modes of a 750 μm diameter YIG sphere.26) This first demonstration of Brillouin light scattering of WGM photons with magnons in the Kittel mode revealed non-reciprocal behavior: the two possible input orbit directions of light in the sample, with respect to the external magnetic field, resulted in scattering levels which differed by one order of magnitude.

Demonstration of the triple resonance has been realized on spheres with diameters of 500 μm and 300 μm, respectively coupled by a birefringent rutile prism and a SiN optical waveguide.27) These experiments are deep into the weak coupling regime as the intrinsic optomagnonic coupling strength \( g_{\text{om}}/\mu \sim 5 \) Hz is much smaller than the linewidths of both the magnetostatic and optical cavity modes, \( \gamma_\text{in}/2\pi \sim 1 \) MHz and \( \kappa/2\pi \sim 1 \) GHz, respectively. Following these early demonstrations, a theoretical framework has been established for cavity optomagnonics,29,169,170) followed by thorough work more specifically on spherical cavity optomagnonics, extending the studies to spin-wave modes beyond the Kittel mode.30)

4.2.4. Higher-order magnetostatic modes and angular momentum conservation. Higher-order magnetostatic modes, presenting a variety of spin textures, allow a deeper understanding of the optomagnonic interaction. In particular, the exchange of orbital angular momentum between magnons and optical photons has been experimentally demonstrated with a 1 mm diameter YIG sphere.32,95) Associated with birefringences in the system favoring a particular sideband, the selection rules along the azimuthal axis explain the non-reciprocal behavior of the Brillouin light scattering. Dependent on the azimuthal dependence of the considered magnetostatic mode and on the input orbit direction of light in the sample,31) this phenomenon constitutes an original implementation of chiral photonics.171)
4.3. Future directions

4.3.1. Increasing the optomagnonic coupling. The optomagnonic coupling, faint for the Kittel mode because of the low spatial overlap with the optical WGMs, will be increased for higher-order modes whose spatial distribution is further localized towards the resonator boundaries where the optical modes are located.\(^{32,95}\) The challenge lies in the ability to efficiently excite these higher-order modes, respecting energy and orbital angular momentum conservation laws and eventually being able to identify them properly.\(^{96}\)

New magnetic materials could be investigated, such as bismuth-doped YIG\(^ {172}\) and, at low temperatures, CrBr\(_3\)\(^ {173}\) or fully concentrated rare-earth ion crystals.\(^ {174}\) The ideal material would require a small linewidth \(\gamma_m\) for the magnetostatic modes, strong magneto-optical effects, low optical absorption, and the technological ability to prepare surfaces which allow for high optical quality factors. The magnetostatic mode volume could also be tuned by changing the shape of the optical resonator. A disk would have reduced spin-wave mode volumes compared to a sphere of the same diameter. Additionally, as recently proposed in Ref.\(^ {175}\), this geometry would allow the exploration of a new kind of optomagnonic coupling by working with a vortex mode in an optical resonator, which could also couple to microwave-frequency cavity modes through a magnetic dipole interaction.\(^ {176}\)

More generally, the size of the sample can be reduced to increase the optomagnonic coupling.\(^ {29}\) The challenge here lies in preparing high optical quality magnetic structures below 250 \(\mu\)m. Recent progress in YIG microfabrication,\(^ {177,178}\) could grant access to regimes where light-matter interactions are governed by optical Mie resonances,\(^ {179}\) potentially opening up a new paradigm of cavity nano-optomagnonics.\(^ {33,34,183–185}\)

5. Cavity magnomechanics

In analogy to cavity optomechanics\(^ {42}\) and cavity electromechanics,\(^ {182}\) the use of mechanical degrees of freedom in ferromagnetic crystals is a natural new avenue for hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics.\(^ {33,34,183–185}\) Notably, deformation modes in a ferromagnetic crystal could be used as mechanical modes intrinsic to the sample that couples to magnetostatic modes through magnetostrictive forces.\(^ {33,34,183–185}\)

As depicted in Fig. 6(a), combined with the magnetic dipole interaction between magnetostatic and microwave cavity modes, this leads to the recently demonstrated platform of cavity magnomechanics.\(^ {33}\)

5.1. Coupling to deformation modes

A mechanical mode of frequency \(\omega_d\) can be described as a harmonic oscillator by the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d / h = \omega_d \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a},
\]

where \(\hat{a}^\dagger\) and \(\hat{a}\) respectively create and annihilate a phonon in the mechanical mode. When this mode corresponds to a deformation mode hosted in a ferromagnetic material, magnetostrictive forces lead to a radiation pressure-like interaction between a magnetostatic mode and the mechanical mode.
described by the Hamiltonian
\[ \hat{H}_{m-d} = g_{m-d} \hat{\xi} (\hat{d} + \hat{d}^\dagger), \]
where \( g_{m-d} \) is the magnomechanical coupling strength.\(^{33}\) As opposed to the beam splitter-like interaction previously discussed, the radiation pressure-like interaction between the deformation and magnetostatic modes enables the access, as in cavity optomechanics,\(^{42}\) to phenomena such as sideband cooling of the mechanical mode and parametric enhancement of the coupling strength.

5.2. Experiment
The platform of cavity magnomechanics has been first demonstrated at room temperature with a millimeter-sized YIG sphere inside a three-dimensional microwave cavity.\(^{33}\) For spheres with a diameter of approximately 250 \( \mu \)m, the frequency \( \omega_d \) of the low-order mechanical modes reaches about 10 MHz. Mechanical modes at larger frequencies could be possible by using micrometer-sized ferromagnetic mechanical oscillators.\(^{177,178}\) In the experiment of Ref. 33, in order to greatly reduce the clamping losses of the deformation modes, the YIG sphere is glued to an optical fiber, enabling a mechanical linewidth of \( \gamma_d/2\pi = 150 \) Hz.

In this system, the magnomechanical coupling strength \( g_{m-d}/2\pi \sim 10 \) MHz is much smaller than every linewidth in the hybrid system. However, as the magnetostrictive interaction is of the radiation pressure type, the coupling strength can be parametrically enhanced to approximately 30 kHz by strongly pumping the magnetostatic mode.\(^{33}\) This enhancement leads to a coupling strength larger than the linewidth \( \gamma_d \) of the deformation mode, but still smaller than the \( \sim \)MHz linewidth of magnetostatic modes in YIG spheres. Despite this limitation, a large diversity of phenomena has been observed in this hybrid system.\(^{33}\) Notably, the so-called triple resonance condition, in which the frequency of the mechanical mode matches the magnetic dipole coupling strength between the resonant magnetostatic and microwave cavity modes, is demonstrated.

5.3. Future directions
Recently, it has been proposed that tripartite entanglement between the deformation, magnetostatic and microwave cavity modes could be realized in cavity magnomechanics to study, for example, macroscopic quantum phenomena.\(^{34,184,185}\) The key ingredient of the proposal is to strongly pump the magnetostatic mode in order to enhance the magnetostrictive interaction and to cool down the mechanical mode to the quantum ground state using sideband cooling.\(^{42}\) It is shown that the multipartite entanglement survives for temperatures slightly above \( \sim 100 \) mK. This proposal could be challenging to achieve experimentally as it requires one to pump the magnetostatic mode with milli-watts of power, a feat potentially difficult to achieve in a dilution refrigerator. Despite the possible difficulties, this proposal shows the potential of cavity magnomechanics to bring quantum phenomena to magnonics.

6. Perspectives and outlook for quantum magnonics
Hybrid systems based on magnonics have progressed at a rapid pace since the first experiments were conducted.\(^{20,21,25,26,28,32,33,35,36}\) This progress has been partially made possible by the adaption of tools developed in cavity and cQED to the field of quantum magnonics. This path has enabled steady progress, while the features specific to quantum magnonics, which can be considered as quantum optics in magnetically-ordered solid-state systems, still provide insight into newly discovered physical phenomena and novel applications.
The important steps demonstrated in quantum magnonics, as well as potential future demonstrations, are shown schematically in Fig. 7. Two main applications are envisioned here. The first one aims to develop a quantum transducer based on magnonics to interface microwave-only superconducting circuits with optical photons. One key ingredient for such a technology to be demonstrated in quantum magnonics is to faithfully transfer quantum information from a superconducting qubit to a magnetostatic mode. The second main application aims to use quantum magnonics to provide sensitive detection of magnons through quantum-enhanced sensing protocols. As later discussed, this could be useful for a variety of fields, from ultra-sensitive detection of magnetic excitations for magnon spintronics to dark-matter searches for axions.

6.1. Encoding quantum information in magnetostatic modes

As a magnetostatic mode can be described as a harmonic oscillator, arbitrary nonclassical states are not naturally created in this system. Indeed, for example, a coherent drive creates coherent states of magnons. A nonlinearity in the system is therefore necessary in order to create nonclassical states. Furthermore, while creating any nonclassical state in a magnetostatic mode is a great feat in itself, schemes enabling one to encode arbitrary quantum states of a qubit into the quantum state of a magnetostatic mode are of potentially even greater interest. We identify two such schemes based on the strong resonant and dispersive couplings between a superconducting qubit and a magnetostatic mode previously demonstrated in quantum magnonics.

6.1.1. Schemes based on a resonant coupling. The most natural scheme to prepare quantum states in a magnetostatic mode is by using the strong and coherent interaction with a superconducting qubit when both systems are resonant. Indeed, on resonance, quanta of excitations are exchanged between the two systems at a rate proportional to the coupling strength $g_{\text{q-m}}$. Therefore, single excitations can be transferred to the magnetostatic mode after preparing the qubit in the excited state $|e\rangle$ using a classical drive, an operation possible due to the nonlinearity of the qubit. This scheme has been successfully implemented to create quantum states of the motional degree of freedom of a trapped ion, and, more recently, phonons in a mechanical oscillator.

With an always-on resonant interaction, the exchange of excitations is continuous and a single excitation is swapped back and forth between the two systems. It is therefore necessary to have either a dynamically tunable coupling or detuning. With such a dynamical control, after the excitation is transferred from the qubit to the bosonic mode, the coupling can be turned off to halt the exchange, or, alternatively, the detuning can be increased to suppress the amplitude of the exchange. The quantum states naturally created with this resonant scheme are number states as well as arbitrary quantum states.

A dynamically tunable coupling has been demonstrated in quantum magnonics, and a dynamically tunable detuning could be implemented, for example, by using a flux-tunable superconducting qubit. Therefore, arbitrary states of the qubit can be encoded in a magnetostatic mode provided that the coupling strength $g_{\text{q-m}}$ is much larger than the rate at which the quantum information is lost in the qubit ($\gamma = 2/T_2^*)$ and in the magnetostatic mode ($\gamma_{\text{qm}}$). While the strong coupling regime of quantum magnonics has been demonstrated, improvements are required to further increase the ratio between the coupling strength and the decoherence and dissipation rates.

Two possible paths for increasing the coupling strength $g_{\text{q-m}}$ relative to the linewidths of the different constituents of the hybrid system are discussed here. First, in order to decrease the qubit linewidth to a few tens of kHz, the losses of the microwave cavity modes need to be reduced. However, as an external magnetic field needs to be applied to the ferromagnetic crystal inside the microwave cavity, this cannot be readily achieved by using a standard superconducting microwave cavity due to the Meissner effect. Secondly, as there is no clear path on how to reduce the linewidth of magnetostatic modes significantly below 1 MHz in the quantum regime, the coupling strength between the magnetostatic modes and the qubit needs to be increased from the $\sim 10$ MHz previously demonstrated. This can be achieved, for example, by increasing the strength of the magnetic dipole interaction between the magnetostatic modes and the microwave cavity modes in order to match the electric dipole coupling strength between the qubit and the cavity modes of about 100 MHz. Such improvements are within experimental reach as magnetic dipole coupling strengths up to 2 GHz have been demonstrated in cavity electromagnonics.

6.1.2. Schemes based on a dispersive coupling. As an alternative to the resonant coupling, a dispersive interaction can be used to transfer the quantum information encoded in superconducting qubits into bosonic modes such as magnetostatic modes. An advantage of this approach is that a dynamical control of the coupling or the detuning is not necessary. However, the time required to perform the encoding is proportional to $1/\left|\chi_{\text{q-m}}\right|$ as opposed to $1/g_{\text{q-m}}$ for the resonant scheme, such that any scheme based on a dispersive coupling is inherently slower than those based on a resonant coupling. Another distinction is that the quantum states prepared using a dispersive interaction are superpositions of coherent states, often called cat states.

The protocol used in Ref. to encode the quantum state of a superconducting qubit into a cat state in a microwave cavity mode will be described as an illustrative example. This scheme uses the strong dispersive interaction between the qubit and the bosonic mode enabling conditional operations on one system depending on the state of the other system. More specifically, a coherent state in the bosonic mode acquires an additional phase shift if the qubit is in the excited state $|e\rangle$, therefore corresponding to a conditional rotation in phase space. Starting with the qubit in a coherent superposition of the ground and excited states, this operation directly leads, after a free evolution time $\tau = \pi/2|\chi_{\text{q-m}}|$, to a cat state in the bosonic mode entangled with the qubit. Additional steps enable one to disentangle the bosonic mode and the qubit. In the end, deterministic encoding of arbitrary quantum states of the qubit into cat states of the bosonic mode can be achieved with this protocol.

While the strong dispersive regime has been previously demonstrated in quantum magnonics, the ratio between the amplitude of the dispersive shift $|\chi_{\text{q-m}}|$ and the linewidths of
the Kittel mode and the qubit, $\gamma_m$ and $\gamma_q$ respectively, needs to be significantly improved in order to implement the encoding protocol presented here. This can be quantified by the dispersive cooperativity $C_d \equiv \frac{\chi}{\gamma_m \gamma_q}$.

In quantum magnonics, the best demonstrated dispersive cooperativity was $C_d \sim 12$. In comparison, in cQED, $C_d$ reaches $\sim 8 \times 10^4$. While the amplitude of the dispersive interaction is similar, the dispersive cooperativity is much larger in the latter thanks to the very small linewidth of three-dimensional superconducting microwave cavity modes ($\kappa/2\pi \sim 10$ kHz) compared to the linewidth of magnetostatic modes ($\gamma_m/2\pi \sim 1$ MHz). Furthermore, in quantum magnonics, the qubit linewidth $\gamma_q/2\pi \approx 0.4$ MHz is limited, at the moment, by a reduced lifetime due to the Purcell effect and increased dephasing due to thermal populations in the lossy microwave cavity modes mediating the coupling.

For example, in order to reach the desired dispersive cooperativity $C_d = 10^4$, the amplitude of the dispersive coupling needs to reach about 10 MHz and the qubit linewidth needs to be reduced to about 40 kHz. As both are realistic figures, the parameters necessary to faithfully encode the quantum state of a superconducting qubit into a nonclassical magnon state in a magnetostatic mode for quantum transducers should be within experimental reach in the near future.

### 6.2. Quantum sensing of magnons

The development of hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics opens up opportunities for using tools developed in cryogenic microwave experiments for quantum-enhanced detection of magnons. Sensing of magnons in hybrid quantum systems has been demonstrated in cavity electromagnonics at millikelvin temperatures. For example, ferromagnetic resonance has been measured while probing the system with, on average, much less than a single magnon in the ferromagnetic crystal. Magnetostatic modes can therefore be probed with minimal disturbance of the ferromagnetic order. For example, a new relaxation mechanism of magnons, which can only be observed in the quantum regime of quantum magnonics makes it possible to use the device as a single-magnon detector. The many avenues for quantum sensing of magnons in quantum magnonics, made possible by the coupling of the magnetostatic modes to the qubit, makes this architecture promising for achieving the equivalent of a single-photon detector for magnonics, a technological step potentially useful, for example, for magnon spintronics.

### 7. Conclusions

To conclude, the various hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics outlined in this review article have allowed proof-of-principle experiments that show great potential for a variety of quantum technologies such as quantum-enhanced sensing of magnons and microwave-to-optical quantum transduction for superconducting circuits. More specifically, the development of cavity electromagnonics has prompted a large variety of experiments for the study of the coupling between collective spin excitations in different ferromagnetic materials and modes of different types of microwave cavities.

Starting from cavity electromagnonics, the platform of quantum magnonics adds nonlinear elements in the form of superconducting qubits in order to engineer an effective and coherent interaction between qubits and magnetostatic modes. While strong and coherent couplings have been demonstrated in both the resonant and dispersive regimes, improvements in the microwave cavity should increase the coupling strengths and reduce qubit losses. Through these improvements, deterministic encoding of the state of a superconducting qubit into a nonclassical magnon state should be within experimental reach and would constitute a great step towards building a quantum transducer based on this architecture. Even with the currently demonstrated parameters, it is expected that quantum-enhanced sensing of magnons by using the qubit as a probe is possible, as best exemplified by the observation of magnon number states. Bringing to the field of magnonics the equivalent of the single-photon detector for optics would be a great demonstration of the possibilities of quantum sensing in quantum magnonics.

In combination with cavity electromagnonics, cavity optomagnonics serves as a key ingredient for the bidirectional conversion between microwave and optical photons for the development of quantum transducers. Increasing the optomagnonic coupling with, for example, smaller ferromagnetic samples or higher-order magnetostatic modes, could potentially enable the efficient coherent control of magnons with light. Finally, the platform of cavity magnomechanics promises to offer the possibility to observe quantum
effects in magnonics with linear systems only.\textsuperscript{33,34,184,185} Overall, the diversity of platforms based on hybrid quantum systems with magnetostatic modes provides many opportunities for novel quantum technologies with applications in both fundamental and applied physics.\textsuperscript{37,66,68,69,74,80,92,93,133,183,184}
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