Localization, multifractality, and many-body localization in periodically kicked quasiperiodic lattices
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We study the combined effect of quasiperiodic disorder, driven and interaction in the periodically kicked Aubry-André model. In the non-interacting limit, by analyzing the quasienergy spectrum statistics, we verify the existence of a dynamical localization transition in the high-frequency region, whereas the spectrum statistics becomes intricate in the low-frequency region due to the emergence of the extended/localized-to-multifractal edges in the quasienergy spectrum, which separate the multifractal states from the extended (localized) states. When the interaction is introduced, we find the periodically kicked incommensurate potential can lead to a transition from ergodic to many-body-localization phase in the high-frequency region. However, the many-body localization phase vanishes in the low-frequency region even for strong quasiperiodic disorder. Our studies demonstrate that the periodically kicked Aubry-André model displays rich dynamical phenomena and the driving frequency plays an important role in the formation of many-body localization in addition to the disorder strength.

I. INTRODUCTION

Anderson localization is a fundamental phenomenon of quantum disorder systems and has attracted longstanding attention in condensed matter physics.14 While localization-delocalization transition and mobility edges only occur in three dimensions for random disorder systems, the localization-delocalization transition can be found in one-dimensional quasiperiodic systems, which have attracted increasing interest in recent years.4–6 When the quasiperiodical potential strength exceeds a critical value, a localization transition takes place as illustrated by the prototypical quasiperiodic model known as the Aubry-André (AA) model.7–9 The quasiperiodic optical lattices have become an ideal platform for studying the localization-delocalization transition.10 Particularly, the interplay of interaction and disorder can induce many-body localization (MBL),9,11 which violates eigenstate thermalization hypothesis and prevents ergodicity.11–13 The existence of MBL has been confirmed in one-dimensional interacting systems with random disorder14–16 or incommensurate potential.17,18 Moreover, the MBL phase has been experimentally observed in the ultracold atomic gases trapped in incommensurate optical lattices.19–21

Exploring novel non-equilibrium phases in driven, interacting quantum systems is a topic of perennial interest. In general, periodically driving a quantum system results in thermalization of the system.22–24 Nevertheless, recent works have demonstrated the existence of MBL which allows avoiding heating in the presence of driving.25,26 The combination of MBL and Floquet driving can lead to new non-equilibrium phases of matter, such as time crystals,27,28,29 suggesting that the interplay of periodic driving and MBL would give rise to rich dynamical phenomena. On the other hand, by applying a pulsed incommensurate potential to an optical lattice, a periodically kicked AA model was proposed to exhibit dynamical Anderson transition22, which is revealed from its dynamical evolution of wave packets. A dynamical localization is characterized by the halt of the spreading of an initial wave packet, and the transition depends on both the strength of the quasiperiodic potential and the kicking period22,24. For the periodical kicked case, while the time evolution is governed by an effective time-independent AA model in the high-frequency region, the dynamics in the low-frequency region is far more intricate and has not yet been well understood. Besides the kicked AA model, other periodically driving quasiperiodic models are also studied,25–58 and the effect of temporal disorder on the wave-packet dynamics is also analyzed.59 Particularly, a recent experiment has observed non-ergodic and ergodic phases in the driven quasiperiodic many-body system which are separated by a drive-induced delocalization transition.60

Motivated by these theoretical and experimental progresses, we shall study the periodically kicked interacting AA model and investigate the combined effect of quasiperiodic disorder, driven period (frequency), and interaction on the dynamical localization by analyzing the quasienergy spectrum of Floquet operator and the related dynamical behavior. To understand the interplay of the quasiperiodic potential and kicked period, we first analyze the quasienergy spectrum statistics of the noninteracting kicked AA model, which displays different behaviors in the high-frequency and low-frequency region. In the high-frequency region, the spectrum statistics clearly demonstrates a dynamical localization transition signaled by the abrupt change of the average ratio of adjacent quasienergy gaps. In the low-frequency region, the spectrum statistics becomes intricate due to the emergence of the extended/localized-to-multifractal
edges, which separate the multifractal states from the localized (extended) states. The corresponding average ratio of adjacent quasienergy gaps is not an universal value and depends on the ratio of numbers of multifractal states and localized (extended) states. The multifractal states can be identified by finite-size scaling analyze of the corresponding wavefunctions, and we propose a scheme to extract the average multifractal exponent from the long-time survival probability. We then investigate the interacting kicked AA model and identify the existence of MBL in the high-frequency region. Through the finite-size analyse, we unveil the occurrence of a transition from the ergodic phase to the MBL phase when the quasiperiodic potential strength exceeds a critical value. However, in the low-frequency region we find that the MBL phase vanishes and no MBL occurs even for strong quasiperiodic disorder.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the model and the method of quasienergy spectrum statistics. In Sec. III, we analyze the quasienergy spectral statistics and carry out multifractal analysis for the noninteracting kicked AA model. We unveil the existence of dynamical localization transition in the high-frequency region and the emergence of extended/localized-to-multifractal edges in the low-frequency region. In Sec. IV, we study the MBL in the interacting kicked AA model in detail. A summary is given in the final section.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We consider a periodically kicked quasiperiodic model described by the Hamiltonian

\[ H = H_0 + H_K, \]

with

\[ H_0 = H_j + H_V = -J \sum_j (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1} + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_j V \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_{j+1} \]

and

\[ H_K = \sum_n \delta(t - nT) \sum_j \mu_j \hat{n}_j, \]

where \( \hat{c}_j \) (\( \hat{c}_j^\dagger \)) is the fermion creation (annihilation) operator, \( \hat{n}_j = \hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j \) is the particle number operator, \( J \) is the hopping amplitude between nearest-neighbor sites, and \( V \) is the interaction strength between the neighboring particles. The kicking part of the Hamiltonian is described by \( H_K \) with the quasiperiodic potential

\[ \mu_j = \lambda \cos(2\pi j \alpha + \phi) \]

being periodically added with a pulsed period \( T \), where \( \alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \), \( \lambda \) is the strength of the quasiperiodic potential and \( \phi \) is a random phase. Taking sample average for the random phase \( \phi \) can reduce statistical and finite-size effects. For convenience we set \( h = 1 \) and take \( J = 1 \) as the unit of energy in the following calculation. Our model is similar to the interacting spinless fermions model in a quasiperiodic lattice, which has been applied to study MBL, but with a periodic kicked potential. We shall demonstrate that the periodically kicked quasiperiodic lattice displays rich dynamical phenomena, including the emergence of multifractal states with no equilibrium counterpart, and the driving frequency plays an important role in the formation of MBL in addition to the quasiperiodic potential strength.

The dynamical evolution of the periodically kicked system is determined by the Floquet unitary propagator, which can be written as

\[ U(T) = e^{-iH_0 T} e^{-i\lambda \sum_j \cos(2\pi j \alpha + \phi) \hat{n}_j}. \]

For a given initial state \( \psi(t) \), the final state after \( N \) periods can be written as \( \psi(t + NT) = [U(T)]^N \psi(t) \). For a Floquet unitary propagator, all the quasienergies are distributed on the unit circle and we use angles \( \theta_n \) to denote different quasienergies:

\[ \Theta = \{ \theta_n | \lambda_n = e^{i\theta_n}, \theta_n \in [-\pi, \pi) \}, \]

where \( \lambda_n \) are the eigenvalues of the operator \( U(T) \) and \( \theta_n < \theta_{n+1} \). In analogy to Hamiltonian systems, we define \( s_n = \theta_{n+1} - \theta_n \), and the level spacing distribution of \( \theta \) can be captured by the ratio between adjacent gaps

\[ r_n = \frac{\min\{s_n, s_{n+1}\}}{\max\{s_n, s_{n+1}\}}. \]

The average of \( r_n \) is introduced as

\[ \langle r \rangle = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{n=1}^D r_n, \]

where \( D = \mathcal{N} - 1 \) with \( \mathcal{N} \) being the size of Hilbert space. For the static Hamiltonian system with eigenvalues \( E_n \), we have \( s_n = E_{n+1} - E_n \) and the ratio can serve as a probe of the phase transition between the ergodic and MBL phases. In the ergodic phase the energy level spacings satisfy the Wigner-Dyson distribution with \( \langle r \rangle \approx 0.529 \), whereas in the localized phase with the Poisson distribution \( \langle r \rangle \approx 0.38 \). This quantity was also applied to study the disordered Floquet systems.

III. SPECTRAL STATISTICS AND MULTIFRACTAL ANALYSIS FOR THE KICKED AA MODEL

We first consider the noninteracting case with \( V = 0 \), for which the model reduces to the periodically kicked AA model. In the high-frequency region, the time evolution can be effectively described by the AA model with the critical point given by \( \lambda/T = 2 \). However, in the low-frequency region, the time evolution is far more intricate and has not been fully explored yet.
Here we shall scrutinize the kicked AA model by studying the spectral statistics of the quasienergies. To reduce the impact of the edge, we take the lattice size as the Fibonacci number and consider the periodic boundary condition (PBC) in the calculation. In Fig.1(a), we show $\langle r \rangle$ (the average ratio of two consecutive quasienergy gaps) in the parameter space spanned by $\lambda$ and $T$. In the high-frequency region of $T \ll 1$, it is shown that there is an abrupt transition in $\langle r \rangle$ when the parameter $\lambda$ or $T$ crosses over the diagonal line $\lambda/T = 2$. This is also witnessed in Fig.1(b) and 1(c), which indicate an abrupt transition around the diagonal region of $\lambda/T = 2$. When the system is in the dynamically extended region, the ratio is close to 0, whereas $\langle r \rangle \approx 0.39$ in the region of dynamical localization. It turns out that increasing $\lambda$ can lead to a transition from the extended region to the localized region in the high-frequency region. On the other hand, when $T > 1$, an abrupt transition is observed before reaching the diagonal line $\lambda/T = 2$, due to the emergence of multifractal eigenstates, which are neither fully localized nor fully extended and separated from the extended (localized) eigenstates by extended/localized-to-multifractal edges.

We note that $\langle r \rangle \approx 0$ is due to the existence of nearly double degeneracy in the dynamically extended region. To see it clearly, we define the even-odd (odd-even) level spacings of the quasienergies as

$$ s_n^{e-o} = \theta_{2n} - \theta_{2n-1} (s_n^{o-e} = \theta_{2n+1} - \theta_{2n}) . $$

In Fig.2(a)-(c), we show the even-odd (odd-even) spacings $s_n^{e-o}$ and $s_n^{o-e}$ in the parameter space spanned by $\lambda$ and $T$. The dashed lines are given by $\lambda/T = 2$. The system is under the PBC with $L = 987$ and we take 100 samples for each point.
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Figure 1. (a) The average ratio ($r$) in the parameter space spanned by $\lambda$ and $T$. (b) $\langle r \rangle$ vs $T$ for fixed $\lambda$. (c) $\langle r \rangle$ vs $\lambda$ for fixed $T$. The dashed lines are given by $\lambda/T = 2$. The system is under the PBC with $L = 987$ and we take 100 samples for each point.

Figure 2. Even-odd (odd-even) level spacings for the kicked AA model with $T = 0.2$ and $L = 987$ under the PBC. From top to bottom: (a) $\lambda = 0.2$ (extended), (b) $\lambda = 0.4$ (critical), and (c) $\lambda = 0.6$ (localized).
Figure 4. Finite-size scaling analysis for different eigenstates.

Figure 3. Even-odd level spacing \( (s^{e-o}) \) and odd-even level spacing \( (s^{o-e}) \) for (a) \( T = 1.5, \lambda = 1.6 \) and (b) \( T = 0.8, \lambda = 3 \), respectively. IPR for (c) \( T = 1.5, \lambda = 1.6 \) and (d) \( T = 0.8, \lambda = 3 \), respectively. The system is under the PBC with \( L = 987 \).

the system with \( \lambda = 1.6 \) and \( T = 1.5 \), we see \( P_n \sim 1/L \) in the middle region, indicating that the corresponding states are extended states. In the side regions, the corresponding states are multifractal (critical) states which are separated from the extended states by the presence of extended-to-multifractal edges. On the other hand, for the system with \( \lambda = 3 \) and \( T = 0.8 \) as shown in Fig.3(d), the IPR tends to a finite number in the middle region with the corresponding state being localized. Also there exist localized-to-multifractal edges separating the localized and critical regions. By carrying out a finite-size scaling analysis for the eigenstates, we can distinguish the extended, localized and multifractal states.

Now we carry out a finite-size scaling analysis for eigenstates in different regions. For a given eigenstate \( |\psi_n\rangle = \sum_j \psi_n(j)|j\rangle \), we can use the moments

\[
I_q(n) = \sum_j |\psi_n(j)|^{2q} \propto L^{-D_q(q-1)}
\]  

(9)

to characterize the distribution information of the eigenstate. \( D_q \) are the fractal dimensions and take difference values in different regions: \( D_q = 1 \) in the extended region, \( D_q = 0 \) in the localized region and \( 0 < D_q < 1 \) in the multifractal region. In our calculation, we choose \( q = 2 \) and the fractal dimensions can be obtained from the inverse participation ratio \( (I_2) \). After a simple transformation, it is easy to get

\[-\ln[I_2(n)]/\ln(L) = -c/\ln(L) + D_2,
\]

where \( c \) is a size-independent coefficient. We can get the \( D_2 \) by the intercept of the curve in the space spanned by \( 1/\ln(L) \) and \(-\ln[I_2(n)]/\ln(L)\). In Fig.4, we plot the curves in different regions, as marked by the red squares in Fig.3(c) and (d). For localized states and extended states, we choose a typical eigenstate at the middle of the spectrum \( (n/L = 1/2) \). For multifractal states, we choose 20 eigenstates near the \( n \)-th eigenstate with \( n/L = 0.1 \) and take an average to eliminate fluctuation. After a linear fitting, we find that \( D_2 = 1 \) for extended states and \( D_2 = 0 \) for localized states when \( L \rightarrow \infty \). We also find that \( 0 < D_2 < 1 \) for the multifractal eigenstates while \( L \rightarrow \infty \). It confirms the existence of the multifractal states.

Similar to the AA model, we note that the unitary operator fulfills a self-duality relation at \( \lambda = 2T \) after a dual transformation (see appendix A for details). The existence of a duality mapping suggests that there is a one-to-one correspondence for parameters which are symmetric about \( \lambda = 2T \), for example \( T = 0.8, \lambda = 3 \) and \( T = 1.5, \lambda = 1.6 \). When across the self-duality point, there is a sharp transition from localized (extended) to extended (localized) states. As we discussed above, there are multifractal states in the low frequency region which can be detected by analyzing the spectrum and eigenvectors. The transition from extended to multifractal or localized to multifractal cannot be predicted by the self-duality relation. In order to study the behavior in the region that the multifractal states begin to appear, we calculate the \( \langle r \rangle \) along the line \( T = 1 \) and make finite size analysis. As shown in Fig.5, there is a sharp transition when \( \lambda \approx 1.68 \) and this value is smaller than the self-dual point \( \lambda/T = 2 \). When we increase the system size, we find that the transition of \( \langle r \rangle \) around the transition point becomes more and more sharper, which shows a signature of transition instead of crossover. Fixing the strength of quasiperiodic potential \( \lambda = 2 \) and tuning the period \( T \), we observe that there is also a sharp change of \( \langle r \rangle \) around \( T \approx 0.8 \) as shown in Fig.5. It is worth pointing out that a sharp change around the self-duality point \( \lambda/T = 2 \) is always observed in Fig.5(a) and (b). Such a change is induced by the change of extended (localized) to localized (extended) states in the middle region when across the self-duality point.

Besides, we define a quantity to describe the fraction of multifractal states:

\[ Q = n_{mul}/n_{all}, \]
Figure 5. (a) $\langle r \rangle$ versus $\lambda$ with fixed $T = 1$. (b) $\langle r \rangle$ versus $T$ with fixed $\lambda = 2$. Black lines (right y axis) depict the fraction of multifractal states for the system with $L = 987$ and different parameters. We take 100 samples for each point.

where $n_{mul}$ is the number of multifractal states and $n_{all}$ is the number of all the eigenstates. We show the change of $Q$ versus $\lambda$ and $T$ for the system with $L = 987$ in Fig.5(a) and Fig.5(b), respectively. Below the first transition point, $Q = 0$. When the parameters satisfy $\lambda = 2T$, all the eigenstates are multifractal and $Q = 1$. The sharp change of $\langle r \rangle$ has a one-to-one correspondence to the change of $Q$. When $\langle r \rangle$ goes to zero or Poisson value, the fraction of multifractal states approaches to zero, indicating completely delocalized or localized bands, respectively.

In general, multifractal eigenstates or mobility edges can lead to exotic dynamical behaviors. Next we study the expansion dynamics of wavepacket in the region with multifractal states and try to extract the multifractal exponents from the dynamical behavior. We label the center of the lattice as $j_0$ and choose the initial state localized at site of $j_0$. The time evolution of an initial state can be expanded by the eigenstates of $U(t)$:

$$\psi(t) = \sum_m e^{-i\theta_m t} \langle \psi_m | \psi(0) \rangle | \psi_m \rangle = \sum_j C_j(t) | j \rangle,$$

with $C_j(t) = \sum_m C_j^{(m)} C_j^{(m)} e^{-i\theta_m t}$, where $C_j^{(m)} = \langle j | \psi_m \rangle$. Here we focus on the long-time survival probability $P(r)$ defined as

$$P(r) = \sum_{|j-j_0| \leq r/2} |C_j(t \to \infty)|^2,$$

which is the probability of finding the particle in sites within the region $(-r/2, r/2)$ after a long time evolution. $P(r)$ is proportional to $(r/L)^{D_2}$, where $D_2$ is the generalized dimension of the spectral measure. For one-dimensional systems, the dimension of eigenstates fulfills $D_2 = D [6,3,13]$. It is obvious that $D_2 = 0$ in the localized region and $D_2 = 1$ in the extended region.

Consider the case with localized-to-multifractal edge, for which the eigenstates are either localized or multifractal. While the wavepacket does not expand in the localized region, the multifractal states play an important role in the expansion of wavepacket. As shown in Fig.6, $P(r)$ shows quite different behaviors in the localized region ($T = 0.3, \lambda = 1$), extended region ($T = 0.5, \lambda = 0.6$) and region with localized-to-multifractal edge ($T = 0.8, \lambda = 3$). In the localized region, all eigenstates are localized, and $P(r)$ grows to 1 rapidly because the wavefunction is mainly distributed at the initial position. In the extended region, all the eigenstates are extended, $P(r)$ grows uniformly and the wavefunction is distributed in space uniformly. In the region with localized-to-multifractal edge, $P(r)$ increase with $r$ but with a nonzero value at $r = 0$. Due to the existence of some localized states, a part of the wavefunction remains at the initial position. As the increase of $P(r)$ is entirely determined by the multifractal states, we can extract the average multifractal exponent by

$$\ln(P(r) - c_0) \approx D_2 \ln(r/L) + \ln(1 - c_0),$$

where $c_0$ is a constant and depends on the proportion of localized states in all the eigenstates. $D_2$ is determined by the slope of $\ln(P(r) - c_0) - \ln(r/L)$ line, which gives rise to $D_2 \approx 0.53$. Because all eigenstates contribute to the time evolution, the multifractal exponents extracted by the wavepacket dynamics should be an average for all multifractal states. In Fig.6(b), we compare the multifractal exponent extracted from the wavepacket dynamics with the result from the finite size analysis, which also approaches 0.53 in the limit $L \to \infty$. For the case with extended-to-multifractal edge ($T = 1.5, \lambda = 1.6$), since both the extended and multifractal eigenstates attribute to the expansion of the wavepacket, it is hard to read out the multifractal exponent directly from $P(r)$. However, we note that one may roughly estimate the average multifractal exponent $D'_2$ by using the duality property and we get the result $D'_2 = 0.55$ which is consistent with the result from the finite-size analysis (see the appendix B for details).
with time in the kicked quasiperiodic lattice is also expected to show different dynamical behaviors in the ergodic and MBL phase. We choose the initial state as $|10 \ldots 10\rangle$ with all the odd sites being occupied and all the even sites empty. In our calculation, we act $U(T)^N$ on the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$ to get the final state $|\psi(NT)\rangle$. In order to calculate the growth of entanglement entropy, we divide the system into two parts A and B with the same length and take the trace of subsystem B to get the reduced density matrix $\rho_A$. The entanglement entropy can be written as

$$S = -\text{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A).$$

In Fig.8a, we display the entanglement entropy growth for $\lambda = 0.1, 0.3$ and 1, which exhibits distinct behaviors in different phases. In the ergodic phase with $\lambda = 0.1$, the entanglement entropy increases with time and approaches a saturation value (about 3.916 at $t = 10^4T$). As shown in Fig.8(b) for systems with $\lambda = 0.1$ and different system sizes, the saturation value of the entanglement entropy displays a linear increase with $L$ in the ergodic phase and fulfills the volume law\cite{916}. In contrast, the entanglement entropy in the localized phase takes a small value and is not sensitive to the system size. In Fig.8(c), we display the long-time behavior of entanglement entropy in MBL phase for different interaction strengths. It is shown that the entanglement entropy for the interacting systems grows slowly after a long time evolution, whereas the entanglement entropy for the non-interacting system keeps almost unchanged. Although the entanglement entropy in the MBL phase keeps growing, it is always much smaller than that in the ergodic phase\cite{66}.

Further, the dynamics of the system can be intuitively illustrated through the evolution of density distributions. In Fig.8(d), we display the change of real space density distribution $\langle n_i(t) - n_i(0)\rangle$ for various $\lambda$, where $n_i(t)$ is the time-dependent local density at site $i$. $\langle \ldots \rangle$ means sample averages over different phase $\phi$, and $t = NT$ with $N = 10^4$ kicked periods. In the ergodic region with $\lambda = 0.1$, $n_i(t)$ tends to 0.5 and it means all the particles are evenly distributed on the sites after a long time evolution, whereas in the localized region with $\lambda = 1$ the change of density distribution is small which means the system retains the initial state information.

In the non-interacting case, we have shown the existence of multifractal states and extended/localized-to-multifractal edges in the low-frequency region. Now we study the fate of multifractal states and check whether extended/localized-to-multifractal edges survive in the interacting case. To this end, we plot the energy-resolved spectral statistics in the parameter space spanned by $\lambda$ and $\epsilon$ for $V = 1$, $T = 0.3$ and $T = 1.5$ in Fig.9 where $\epsilon$ is defined as

$$\epsilon = \frac{\theta_n - \theta_{\min}}{\theta_{\max} - \theta_{\min}},$$

which labels the place of the quasi-energy $\theta_n$ lying in the quasi-energy density spectrum. We note that the energy-resolved spectral statistics have been used to characterize...

\[\text{FIGURE 7. (a) The average ratio } r \text{ versus } \lambda \text{ for systems with } T = 0.1 \text{ and different sizes. (b) } r \text{ versus scaled } \lambda \text{ with different sizes collapse into a single curve. Each data point is averaged over 2000 quasiperiodic disorder realizations for } L = 12 \text{ and } L = 14, \text{ and 50 realizations for } L = 16.\]
In summary, we have studied the phenomenon of dynamical localization and many-body localization as well as their breakdown in the periodically kicked AA model. By analyzing the quasienergy spectrum statistics in the non-interacting limit, we have verified the existence of multiscaling and multifractal states, adding an interaction term leads to the thermalization of the system, characterized by \( \langle r \rangle \approx 0.53 \). No signature of MBL is observed by further increasing \( \lambda \). In Fig. 10, we display \( \langle r \rangle \) versus \( T \) for system with the interaction strength \( V = 1 \) and different strength of quasiperiodic potential \( \lambda \) (discussion on effect of the interaction strength can be found in the appendix D). In the low-frequency region, it is shown that the ratio \( \langle r \rangle \) increases with the increase of \( T \) for various \( \lambda \) and approaches 0.53. Our results show that the MBL vanishes when the systems enter the low-frequency region, and the systems are ergodic even for a very large \( \lambda \). The absence of MBL in the low-frequency region is related to the emergence of localized-to-multifractal edges in the quasienergy spectrum of non-interacting kicked AA model discussed in the previous section. The presence of a localized-to-multifractal edge means that both localized and multifractal single-particle orbitals are present and their interplay to the interaction may induce the absence of MBL. Although MBL can occur in the static interacting systems with single-particle mobility edge, it has been shown that the presence of a mobility edge anywhere in the spectrum is enough to induce delocalization for any driving strength and frequency. Our model, however, provides a different scenario in which either the presence or absence of MBL is possible by tuning the driving frequency.

V. SUMMARY

In summary, we have studied the phenomenon of dynamical localization and many-body localization as well as their breakdown in the periodically kicked AA model. By analyzing the quasienergy spectrum statistics in the non-interacting limit, we have verified the existence of...
The dynamical localization transition in the high-frequency region, which is characterized by an abrupt change of average quasienergy level-spacing ratio $\langle r \rangle$ across the self-dual point $\lambda/T = 2$. On the other hand, the spectrum statistics becomes intricate in the low-frequency region due to the emergence of the extended/localization-to-multifractal edges in the quasiperiodic spectrum, which separate the multifractal states from the localized (extended) states. We also find that there is a sharp transition when the multifractal states occur in the low-frequency region. Furthermore, we discuss the dynamical behavior in different regions and extract the multifractal exponent from the long-time survival probability. For the interacting periodically kicked AA model, we have found the occurrence of a transition from the ergodic phase to the MBL phase in the high-frequency region, when the quasiperiodic potential strength exceeds a critical value. The transition point and the critical exponent of the ergodic-MBL transition are obtained by a finite-size scaling analysis. We also calculate the time evolution of entanglement entropy and the density distribution to confirm the existence of the MBL phase. We find that the interaction can lead to the thermalization of the system when there are multifractal states in the non-interacting limit, and demonstrate that the MBL phase vanishes even for strong quasiperiodic potential. Our results show that the interplay of quasiperiodic disorder, driven period, and interaction can lead to rich dynamical phenomena in the periodically kicked AA model.

Note added: Recently, we became aware of the experimental realization of the kicked AA quasiperiodic model studied in the present work and the study of multifractality in a related parallel experimental work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFA1402104), the NSFC under Grants No.12174436, No.11974413 and No.T2121001 and the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. XDB33000000.

Appendix A: Dual transformation for the Floquet unitary propagator of kicked AA model.

The phase diagram of kicked AA model displays a symmetrical structure about the diagonal line $\lambda = 2T$ and this is due to the existence of a duality mapping for the kicked AA model. The Floquet unitary propagator is given by

$$U(T) = e^{-i \lambda \sum_j \cos(2\pi \alpha_j + \phi) n_i}.$$  

For convenience, we fix $\phi = 0$ and take a Fourier transform $c_j = \sum_k c_k e^{i 2\pi \alpha k j}$. It follows

$$U(T,0) \approx e^{-i \lambda \sum_j \cos(2\pi \alpha_j) c_j} e^{i 2\pi \alpha k j}.$$  

In the last step, we use “$\approx$” instead of “=” because we use an approximation $\sum_j e^{i 2\pi \alpha (k-k')} \approx \delta(k-k')$, which holds true exactly only in the limit of $L \to \infty$ or by taking $\alpha = M/L$ to approximately represent an irrational number with $M$ being coprime to $L$. Using $X$ to represent the transformation, we get the following relation:

$$X^{-1} U(T,\lambda) X \approx U^\dagger(-\frac{\lambda}{2},-2T).$$

According the properties of Floquet operator $U^\dagger(T) = U^{-1}(T)$, we can get

$$X^{-1} U(T,\lambda) X \approx U^\dagger(-\frac{\lambda}{2},-2T).$$

After the transformation, we can see that the hopping term in real space corresponds to the on-site potential term in momentum space, and the on-site potential term in real space corresponds to the hopping term in momentum space. It is clear that $\lambda = 2T$ is the self-duality point. We note that the duality mapping is also discussed in Ref.

Figures and tables:

Figure 11. The average ratio $\langle r \rangle$ versus $T$ with different quasiperiodic strength $\lambda$. The system size is $L=14$ and we take the average over 500 samples.
Appendix B: Multifractal exponent in the case with extended-to-multifractal edge

For the case with extended-to-multifractal edge, both the extended and multifractal eigenstates attribute to the expansion of the wavepacket. In order to extract the average multifractal exponent, we need to eliminate the effect of the extended states. According to the duality properties, the position of the extended-to-multifractal edge is the same as the position of the localized-to-multifractal edge of its dual model if the parameters satisfy the dual mapping relation. Extended eigenstates lead to a linear increase of the long-time survival probability $P(r)$. We can divide the eigenstates into two parts: all the eigenvalues are extended in the first part and all the eigenvalues are multifractal in the second part. We can estimate the number of extended states by the number of localized states in its dual model. We assume that the proportion of extended states in all eigenstates is $p$ and we define a modified long-time survival probability:

$$P_1(r) = P(r) - p \times \frac{r}{L},$$

where the second term is used to eliminate the effect of the extended states. We can extract the average multifractal exponent by

$$\ln(P_1(r)) \approx D'_2 \ln(r/L) + \ln(1-p),$$

where $D'_2$ is determined by the slope of $\ln(P_1(r)) - \ln(r/L)$ line and $p = c_0$ with $c_0$ determined from its dual model.

Appendix C: Finite-size scaling analysis

As we know, the ratio $\langle r \rangle$ changes from 0.53 to 0.39 when the system undergoes a transition from ergodic phase to MBL phase. We perform a finite-size scaling analysis for $\langle r \rangle = f \left[ (\lambda - \lambda_c) L^{1/\nu} \right]$ with a fixed kicked period $T = 0.1$ and interaction strength $V = 1$. Here $\lambda_c$ denotes the transition point from the ergodic phase to MBL phase and $\nu$ is the associated critical exponent. We fit numerical data for the region close to the phase transition by Taylor expanding the scaling function $f \left[ (\lambda - \lambda_c) L^{1/\nu} \right]$ and the scaling variables

$$\langle r \rangle \approx f^{(0)} + f^{(1)} (\lambda - \lambda_c) L^{1/\nu} + \ldots.$$

By performing a non-linear least squares fitting, we find the best fitting is $\lambda_c \approx 0.3138$ and $\nu \approx 0.6$.

Appendix D: Effect of the interaction strength

In the discussion of the main text, we choose the interaction strength as $V = 1$. Here we discuss the effect of interaction strength on the thermalization of the system. The results are shown in Fig.12. We choose $\lambda = 3.5$ and $T = 0.3$ and 1.5, respectively. In the non-interacting case, all eigenstates are localized for $T = 0.3$ (case 1) and there are multifractal-to-localized edges for $T = 1.5$ (case 2). As we can see, for the case 1, $\langle r \rangle$ remains at 0.39 in the MBL region when we increase the interaction strength and the system size. In contrast, for the case 2, increasing interaction strength can lead to the thermalization of the system. As we increase the size of the system, smaller interaction can lead to thermalization of the system.

---
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