Activating natural product synthesis using CRISPR interference and activation systems in *Streptomyces*
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ABSTRACT

The rise of antibiotic-resistant bacteria represents a major threat to global health, creating an urgent need to discover new antibiotics. Natural products derived from the genus *Streptomyces* represent a rich and diverse repertoire of chemical molecules from which new antibiotics are likely to be found. However, a major challenge is that the biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) responsible for natural product synthesis are often poorly expressed under laboratory culturing conditions, thus preventing the isolation and screening of novel chemicals. To address this, we describe a novel approach to activate silent BGCs through rewiring endogenous regulation using synthetic gene regulators based upon CRISPR-Cas. First, we refine CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and create CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) systems that allow for highly programmable and effective gene repression and activation in *Streptomyces*. We then harness these tools to activate a silent BGC by perturbing its endogenous regulatory network. Together, this work advances the synthetic regulatory toolbox for *Streptomyces* and facilitates the programmable activation of silent BGCs for novel chemical discovery.

INTRODUCTION

Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents is globally recognized as one of the major challenges facing public health (1,2). Addressing the antibiotic resistance crisis requires a multipronged approach, including the discovery of new antibiotics for which resistance has not been reported (3). However, over the last decades, there has been a sharp decline in the discovery of new antibiotics, and it is widely recognized that innovative approaches for antibiotic discovery are required (4).

Natural products derived from *Streptomyces* species are a likely major source of new antibiotics (5). Microbial natural products are encoded by complex genomic regions called Biosynthetic Gene Clusters (BGCs). BGCs typically consist of dozens of genes that are co-localized at a single portion of a bacterial genome and encode components for natural product synthesis, transport, regulation, and resistance (6). While natural products derived from *Streptomyces* species were once thought to be exhausted, advances in bioinformatic tools and genome sequencing have revealed that BGCs are far more abundant than previously thought, averaging 39 per genome (6). Additionally, greater diversity is likely to exist: evidence indicates that the same species can vary in the BGCs they carry, due to high rates of horizontal gene transfer and formation of novel clusters through duplication and rearrangement events (6). Furthermore, new natural products are likely to come from exploring *Streptomyces* species beyond traditionally mined soil microorganisms. For example, *Streptomyces* have been found within host-associated microbiomes of marine organisms (7) and insects (8), which are likely to contain different chemical diversity due to distinct evolutionary trajectories and environmental ecologies (9).

While a rich repertoire of BGCs exists, the vast majority remain uncharacterized (10). A key reason for this lack of extensive characterization is that the majority of BGCs are expressed poorly or not at all when grown under laboratory conditions (11). This is due to stringent expression control imposed by networks of gene regulators located within the BGC, called cluster-situated regulators (CSRs), and/or global regulators encoded at distinct genomic loci. These networks ensure that BGCs are only expressed under certain environmental stimuli (12). Identifying these stimuli to induce BGC expression in the laboratory requires screening of large parameter spaces (9). Additionally, it is hard to activate specific and individual BGCs using this strategy (5). Taken together, there is strong motivation to find new synthetic routes to predictably and precisely activate silent BGCs.
Engineering of CRISPR-Cas systems has led to a powerful suite of trans-acting gene regulatory tools able to precisely program gene expression in bacteria (13–15). For example, CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) leverages a catalytically inactive version of the Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (dead Cas9, or dCas9) and a single guide RNA (sgRNA) to target DNA to sterically block transcription initiation and elongation (16,17). In addition to gene repression, CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) systems are able to turn on the transcription of a target gene. CRISPRa has also been designed to activate a silent BGC (18–22). CRISPRa is achieved by recruiting protein activation domains (ADs) to the sgRNA: dCas9 complex that stimulates transcription when localized upstream of promoter elements. The power of these regulatory tools lies in the facile synthesis and flexibility of sgRNAs, which can be designed to target any DNA sequence that is proximal to a three-nucleotide protospacer adjacent motif (PAM). Additionally, because these systems act in trans, they can be encoded on easily transferable plasmids and provide a route to perturb the expression of chromosome-encoded genes without the need for chromosome engineering which can be time-consuming and arduous. Taken together, CRISPRi and CRISPRa provide a strategy to perturb the expression of endogenous genes rapidly and precisely.

We posit that CRISPRi and CRISPRa can provide a novel approach to activate BGC expression in Streptomyces through perturbing and rewiring the underlying regulatory gene networks. For example, CRISPRa could be used to directly activate BGCs or to induce overexpression of endogenous transcription activators controlling BGC expression. Likewise, CRISPRi could be used to relieve BGC repression exerted by endogenous regulators. Importantly, the CRISPRi regulatory mechanism is highly portable, and CRISPRi tools have been developed for Streptomyces species. While these tools have been applied to turn off BGC expression (23–25) and redirect metabolic flux from primary to secondary metabolism (26), their application to activate silent BGC has yet to be explored. As for CRISPRa, its development has proven especially challenging in bacteria, and the majority of efforts have focused on optimizing these technologies for the model species Escherichia coli (17–22). Crucially, CRISPRa systems have not been demonstrated in non-model species with high biosynthetic potential, such as Streptomyces, or applied to activate natural product synthesis.

To address this, here we applied CRISPRi and CRISPRa to the activation of BGCs in Streptomyces venezuelae. We first characterized the performance of CRISPRi by exploring key parameters with a fluorescent reporter. Next, we established a functional CRISPRa system and explored its design rules. Finally, we demonstrated the applicability of both CRISPR tools for the activation of a silent BGC. Overall, our work introduces a facile and predictable strategy for the activation of silent BGCs within Streptomyces.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid assembly

All plasmids used in this study can be found in Supplementary Table S1 with key sequences provided in Supplementary Tables S2–S5. All plasmids were constructed with either Gibson assembly (27), Golden Gate assembly (28) or PCR. DNA manipulations were performed in E. coli strain NEB Turbo. Enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs.

Strains and growth media

E. coli strains were grown in Luria Bertani (LB) broth, containing 50 μg/ml spectinomycin or 50 μg/ml apramycin as needed. 2,6-diaminopimelic acid (DAP) was added to LB at a final concentration of 0.1 mM for culturing E. coli strain WM6029 (29). S. venezuelae ATCC 10712 was cultured in complete supplement medium (CSM) unless otherwise indicated. To prepare CSM, 30 g of tryptic soy broth, 1.2 g of yeast extract and 1 g of MgSO4 were added to 1 L of water and autoclaved. A filter-sterilized solution of alanine, arginine and asparagine were added to a final concentration of 0.02% w/v of each amino acid. Finally, an autoclaved Na2SO4 solution was added to a final concentration of 1%. To prepare the MSM minimal medium for fermentation experiments, MgSO4 (0.04%, w/v), MOPS (0.377%, w/v), salt solution (0.9%, v/v), trace mineral solution (0.45%, v/v) and 0.2% w/v FeSO4·7H2O solution (0.45%, v/v) were added to ddH2O and the pH was adjusted to 7.5. The salt solution was made by addition of NaCl (1%, w/v) and CaCl2 (1%, w/v) to ddH2O. The trace mineral solution was made by addition of ZnSO4·7H2O (0.088%, w/v), CuSO4·5H2O (0.0039%, w/v), MnSO4·4H2O (0.00061%, w/v), H3BO3 (0.00057%, w/v) and (NH4)Mo7O24·4H2O (0.00037%, w/v) to ddH2O.

Reporter strains construction

Constructs containing different promoter-mCherry combinations were assembled as described above using plasmid JBEI16292, harboring the pC31 integration system, as a backbone. These reporter plasmids were subsequently conjugated (see below) and integrated into S. venezuelae ATCC 10712, thus yielding the reporter strains.

Interspecies conjugation

E. coli WM6029 cells were transformed with the plasmids to be conjugated. Colonies were picked into liquid LB media containing the appropriate antibiotic and 0.1 mM DAP, and grown overnight at 37 °C. At the same time, S. venezuelae mycelia were grown overnight in CSM. Liquid cultures were then pelleted and the medium was removed. Each WM6029 sample was resuspended in 500 μl of fresh LB with no antibiotics, while S. venezuelae pellets were resuspended in 2 ml of fresh CSM. WM6029 and S. venezuelae were then mixed at a 1:1 ratio, and each co-culture was spotted on AS-1 plates supplemented with 0.1 mM DAP. After incubating for 16–20 h at 30°C, the plates were flooded with solutions containing 500 μg of nalidixic acid and 1 mg of the appropriate antibiotic. Plates were then stored at 30°C until
the appearance of exconjugant colonies (3–6 days). Exconjugant colonies were streaked on fresh ISP-2 plates supplemented with either apramycin or spectinomycin at a final concentration of 50 μg/ml.

**Fluorescence measurements**

*S. venezuelae* exconjugants were picked from ISP-2 plates and used to inoculate 5 ml of CSM supplemented with antibiotics as needed (apramycin or spectinomycin, final concentration 50 μg/ml). These seed cultures were grown for 2–3 days at 30°C, then diluted to an optical density at 600 nm (ODⱼ₀₀) of 0.01 in fresh CSM supplemented with antibiotics and grown for 24 h. After 24 h, 25 μl of each culture were transferred to 75 μl of fresh CSM media supplemented with antibiotics as needed inside a 96-well microplate (Costar). ODⱼ₀₀ (OD) and fluorescence (FL) (excitation 587 nm and emission 610 nm) were measured in a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro). When performing CRISPRi and CRISPRa experiments, a *S. venezuelae* strain harboring a previously integrated mCherry reporter was used as the recipient for conjugation. After conjugation, fluorescence measurement experiments were carried out as described above.

**Fluorescence data analysis**

In each fluorescence measurement experiment, ODⱼ₀₀ and FL values for each sample were corrected by subtracting the mean OD and FL values of a media blank. The ratio of FL to ODⱼ₀₀ (FL/OD) was then calculated. Data are reported as mean FL/OD values for each condition, and error bars represent standard deviation (s.d.).

**Growth curve experiments**

*S. venezuelae* colonies were grown at 30°C with 250 rpm shaking in 5 ml CSM supplemented with apramycin or spectinomycin as needed. Upon reaching high cell density (~2 days), cells were diluted in the same medium to an ODⱼ₀₀ of 0.08 directly in a Costar 96-well microplate (final volume 100 μl). Cells were then grown in a microplate reader (Tecan Spark multimode plate reader) at 30°C with 90 rpm shaking for 24 h. ODⱼ₀₀ measurements were automatically taken every 10 min. For data analysis, ODⱼ₀₀ values of the media controls were averaged at each time point, and then subtracted from the individual values of each condition at each time point.

**Distance-dependent CRISPRa experiments**

To evaluate distance-dependent effects, sgRNAs were designed to target sequences proximal to PAMs positioned on the non-template strand at a distance of 73, 83, 93 bp upstream of the reporter promoter’s TSS. Distances do not include either the PAM or the TSS. The same sgRNAs were used to target sequences upstream of a reporter that contained five additional nucleotides to extend the distance between the TSS and each PAM by 5 bp (thus creating 78 and 88 bp binding sites). Fluorescence measurements were performed as described above, and FL/OD for each sample were calculated. As each reporter had slightly different mCherry expression values, data are reported as fold activation. Fold activation was calculated by normalizing FL/OD values of each sample to the mean FL/OD values of the no-CRISPRa control of each reporter. Corresponding FL/OD values used for fold activation calculations are shown in Supplementary Figure S4.

**Fermentation for jadomycin B production**

Fermentations were conducted under previously described conditions (30,31). CRISPRi or CRISPRa plasmids carrying appropriate sgRNAs were conjugated into wild-type *S. venezuelae*, as described above. Exconjugants were then picked to inoculate 100 ml of CSM supplemented with apramycin, and grown to high density (usually 3–5 days) in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks at 30°C. Cultures were then centrifuged, and the pellets washed twice in MSM to remove any trace of CSM. After resuspension in 6 ml of MSM, each sample was diluted in MSM supplemented with apramycin to an ODⱼ₀₀ of 0.6 and a final volume of 50 ml. Fermentation cultures were incubated at 30°C with 250 rpm shaking. After 72 h, cultures were centrifuged and the pellets were stored at −80°C for LC-MS analysis.

**Extraction and LC-MS analysis**

Pellets obtained from fermentations were extracted with an equal volume of acetone. Mixtures were transferred to an Erlenmeyer flask and shaken at room temperature for 30 min at 180 rpm. Acetone was then evaporated in a rotovap at 40°C and 200 mbar. Crude extracts were reconstituted in 5 ml of acetonitrile, and 500 μl of the reconstituted extract was combined with 500 μl of LC-MS grade water. LC-MS was carried out on an Agilent 6470B Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer interface to an Agilent 1290 Infinity II HPLC system through a Jet Spray ESI source. The LC column was an Agilent 2.1 mm ID × 50 mm, 1.8 μm SB-C18 column. Mobile phase A was water with 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B was acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid. LC flow rate was 0.4 ml/min. Initial conditions were 10% B up to 95% B over 3 min. The column was flushed with 95% B from 3 to 6 min and returned to initial conditions from 6 to 6.5 min. The column was equilibrated at initial conditions from 6.5 to 9.5 min.

**Data analysis**

Experiments were performed using at least three biological replicates per condition tested unless otherwise indicated. Data for Figures 1B, C, E and 2B are reported as bars showing mean, with error bars showing standard deviation. Individual samples are also shown as black circles. Two-tailed unpaired Welch’s t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance. Corresponding P-values are reported in the figure legends as appropriate. Data for Figure 1D are reported as a line showing the average of three biological replicates for each time point, with shaded area representing standard deviation. Jadomycin B production experiments were performed in biological duplicates. Data in Figure 3C, D show multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) chromatograms of one representative biological sample for each condition. All
remaining biological replicates for LC-MS experiments are shown in Supplementary Figures S6 and S8.

RESULTS

Developing a CRISPRI platform for programmable transcription repression in *Streptomyces venezuelae*

As a starting point, our goal was to establish a CRISPRI system optimized for performance in *S. venezuelae*, which is an increasingly important biotechnological strain rich in silent BGCs (32). The most established CRISPRI system leverages a dCas9 derived from *S. pyogenes* and a synthetic sgRNA (16). To achieve repression, the sgRNA can be designed to bind the target gene’s promoter, preventing transcription initiation, and thus turning off the expression of the gene (Figure 1A, left). Alternatively, this complex can be targeted to the coding sequence of a gene to sterically block transcription elongation (Figure 1A, right).

To initially characterize CRISPRI in *S. venezuelae*, we constructed plasmids that express a codon-optimized dCas9 and a sgRNA from the constitutive promoters rpsL(XC) and gapdh(EL), respectively. To measure transcription repression, we created a reporter strain in which a constitutive mCherry expression cassette, under the control of the Ka-shRNA leads to higher repression by CRISPRI. Schematic of the sgRNA binding sites used that target different PAMs in the non-template (NT) and template (T) strand (Figure 1B). Next, we investigated the effect of dCas9 expression by replacing the original mCherry fluorescence expression cassette, under the control of the Ka-shRNA, that was integrated into the FdC31 attB site of *S. venezuelae* as previously described (33). We designed and cloned a corresponding sgRNA to target a PAM located at 11 bp on the non-template strand within the mCherry reporter gene. Additionally, a plasmid containing only the antibiotic resistance cassette was constructed to be used as a no-CRISPRI control. We conjugated these plasmids into the *S. venezuelae* reporter strain and measured mCherry fluorescence (587 nm excitation and 610 nm emission) and optical density at 600 nm for each culture. From these experiments, we observed that CRISPRI produced significant repression of fluorescence compared to the no-CRISPRI control (Supplementary Figure S1).

While successful, we next sought to optimize the performance of CRISPRI by tuning the expression of its components. To do this, we first constructed a library of natural and synthetic constitutive promoters and characterized their expression strength through mCherry fluorescence assays (Supplementary Figure S2). Subsequently, we decided to replace the gapdh(EL) promoter driving the expression of the sgRNA with the SP34 promoter (34). SP34 is not only stronger than gapdh(EL) but also has an annotated transcription start site (TSS) that allows for the precise expression of the sgRNA without amending additional promoter-encoded sequences on to this transcript. When comparing the two conditions in mCherry fluorescence assays, we observed greater reduction in fluorescence in the presence of SP43 than in the presence of gapdh(EL), indicating stronger CRISPRI repression (Figure 1B). Next, we investigated the effect of dCas9 expression by replacing the original rpsL(XC) promoter with a weaker (SP1) and stronger (SP30) promoter (Supplementary Figure S2), while keeping the sgRNA under the control of SP43. We observed little difference in the level of transcription repression in response to different dCas9 expression levels (Figure 1C). Interestingly, while performing these experiments we observed a significant decrease in fluorescence in two cases when dCas9
was present: in absence of a sgRNA or in the presence of a non-targeting sgRNA (i.e. a sgRNA designed to target a DNA sequence absent in *Streptomyces*) (Supplementary Figure S3). In contrast, the inclusion of dCas9 alongside a sgRNA targeting a non-coding region in the genome but not mCherry, resulted in no decrease in fluorescence when compared to a no-CRISPRi control (Supplementary Figure S3). Characterizing the growth rate of these control conditions uncovered significant growth defects when dCas9 is present either with a non-targeting sgRNA or without a sgRNA, but not when a genomic targeting sgRNA was present (Figure 1D). While the exact cause of this effect is unknown, we reason it is likely due to nonspecific binding of dCas9 to DNA (35) that appears to be particularly detrimental for *Streptomyces*, potentially due to the high frequency of PAMs within its GC-rich genome (36).

Having optimized a CRISPRi platform for *S. venezuelae* we next sought to gain deeper insight into the design rules. Specifically, we were interested in understanding how the strand (i.e. non-template or template) and position of CRISPRi targeting within the coding sequence affected the level of transcription repression. To test this, we designed and cloned a series of sgRNAs targeting PAMs in the non-template and template strand of the mCherry gene located at 11, 123, 230, 531, 623 bp and 29, 132, 242, 560, 647 bp respectively. From these experiments, we saw significant repression when CRISPRi is targeted to the 5’ end of the coding sequence on the non-template strand and negligible repression when targeting either the template strand or downstream regions of the non-template strand (Figure 1E). This is consistent with previous results of CRISPRi in *Streptomyces* (24) and other microbes (37).

Creating a CRISPRa platform for transcription activation in *S. venezuelae*

While CRISPRa systems have been developed for *E. coli*, their application in other bacteria is lacking. Specifically, there have been a handful of demonstrations in gram-negative species (38,39) and a single demonstration in a gram-positive species, *Bacillus subtilis* (40). To address this, our goal was to establish a CRISPRa platform in *Streptomyces* for the first time. The most established CRISPRa design motif relies upon translationally fusing dCas9 to a protein-based activation domain (AD) that, when localized close to promoter elements, activates transcription through recruitment of the RNAP or stabilization of the RNAP initiation complex (Figure 2A). Variations of this design include the use of different ADs, linker sequences, and recruitment strategies (17,18,20,21).

To create a CRISPRa system, we first sought to identify a functional AD for *S. venezuelae*. Specifically, we decided to investigate the ω subunit and N terminal domain of the α subunit (α NTD) of RNAP as ADs. The ω subunit is a nonessential component of RNAP and plays a role in structurally stabilizing the holoenzyme, functionalities that can activate transcription when localized to promoter elements (41). The ω subunit was the first AD used in a bacterial CRISPRa system in *E. coli* (17) and has been adapted for use in other species (40). The α NTD is responsible for initiating RNAP assembly and has recently been demonstrated as a robust AD (21). In addition to these ADs, we also considered a transcription-factor-based AD. Specifically, we investigated the RNA polymerase binding protein A (RbpA), a transcription activator unique to Actinobacteria (42). RbpA activates transcription through a combina-
tion of stabilizing RNAP-promoter open complex and recruiting the principal $\sigma$ factor, functionalities that we hypothesized would allow RbpA to serve as an AD. Plasmids were constructed in which the $\alpha$NTD, $\omega$ and RbpA ADs derived from \textit{S. venezuelae} were translationally fused to the C terminus of dCas9. For the fusion, we employed a synthetic XTEN linker (SGSETPGTSEATPES) that has seen broad utility for creating chimeric fusions with Cas proteins (21,43,44). To evaluate the performance of CRISPRa, we constructed a reporter cassette where an mCherry gene was placed under the control of the SP10 synthetic promoter, which we then integrated at the $\Phi C31$ attB site of \textit{S. venezuelae}. We then designed sgRNAs to direct CRISPRa to sites upstream of the SP10 promoter. Specifically, we targeted PAM sites located at 83 bp upstream of the promoter’s transcription start site (TSS) on the non-template strand and at 82 bp upstream of TSS on the template strand. As a control for non-specific activation, for each CRISPRa design, we used an off-target sgRNA binding to a non-coding region in the genome and a no-CRISPRa condition. From these measurements, we observed significant activation of mCherry expression when targeting the non-template strand with the $\alpha$NTD AD (Figure 2B). No activation was observed from the RbpA or the $\omega$ subunit AD, which could be due to competition with the endogenous $\omega$ subunit for the RNAP, as has been observed in other species (17,18,20,21). Overall, these results show we can create a functional CRISPRa system for \textit{Streptomyces} for the first time using the $\alpha$NTD AD.

**Distance dependent activation of CRISPRa in \textit{S. venezuelae}**

An intriguing design constraint of CRISPRa systems in \textit{E. coli} are periodical activation patterns in which activation is only observed when the system is targeted to PAMs within a 2–4 bp window that repeat every 10–11 bp from the promoter’s TSS (19,21). It has been proposed that these patterns are due to the requirement for ADs to be localized on specific faces of the DNA helix relative to the targeted promoter’s TSS (19,21). These activation patterns have been observed for different ADs, and are independent of the dCas9-AD linker sequence and recruitment strategies being used (i.e. recruitment through binding sgRNA or dCas9) (19,21). To determine if periodicity in activation is observed for CRISPRa in \textit{Streptomyces}, we introduced PAM sites on the non-template strand at 73, 78, 83, 88, 93 bp upstream of the SP10 promoter. Specifically, we targeted PAM sites located at 83 bp upstream of the non-template strand with the $\alpha$NTD AD (Figure 2B). No activation was observed from the RbpA or the $\omega$ subunit AD, which could be due to competition with the endogenous $\omega$ subunit for the RNAP, as has been observed in other species (17,18,20,21). Overall, these results show we can create a functional CRISPRa system for \textit{Streptomyces} for the first time using the $\alpha$NTD AD.

**Activating a silent BGC using CRISPRi and CRISPRa regulators**

We next sought to demonstrate the utility of CRISPR-Cas regulatory tools for activating silent BGCs through perturbation and rewiring of endogenous regulation. For this, we decided to focus on the \textit{S. venezuelae} jadomycin B (jdB) cluster, which encodes a type II polyketide synthase biosynthetic pathway. This cluster spans $\sim$28 kb and includes 31 genes: 23 biosynthetic genes, 7 regulatory genes and 1 trans-
porter gene (Figure 3A). The expression of the jdB cluster is under the control of a complicated gene regulatory network involving numerous regulators including JadR1, JadR2, JadR3, JadR* and JadW1-W3. While our understanding of the regulators and network is likely incomplete, two of the most prominent regulators are JadR1 and JadR2 (Figure 3B). JadR1 is the main activator that directly turns on the expression of the jadJ-V operon in the presence of low levels of jdB and is essential for jdB production. However, at high levels of jdB, jadR1 represses its own promoter (45). JadR2, a pseudo γ-butyrolactone (GBL) receptor, is the main cluster repressor as it directly shuts off jadR1 expression, thus indirectly repressing BGC activation (46). The net result of this regulation is that under standard laboratory culturing conditions the jdB cluster is not expressed. Interestingly, in the presence of environmental stressors such as ethanol shock, heat shock, and phage infection, jadR2 repression can be relieved and jdB synthesis induced. Inspired by this, we reasoned that CRISPRi could be used to synthetically knock down the expression of JadR2, relieving JadR1 repression and thus activating jdB synthesis (Figure 3C). To test this, we created a JadR2-repressing CRISPRi plasmid and conjugated it into wild-type S. venezuelae cells. A no-CRISPRi condition, containing an empty plasmid, was conjugated in parallel as a negative control. After growing and fermenting the exconjugants, solvent extraction was performed on the mycelia, and liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC/MS) analysis was performed on the crude extracts. From these measurements, we observed production of jadomycin B ([m/z 550.2059, [M + H] +] in the presence of CRISPRi, with minimal presence of jdB in the no-CRISPRi control (Figure 3C, Supplementary Figures S5 and 6). This demonstrated that CRISPRi can be used as a tool to activate silent BGCs by relieving the regulation of endogenous repressors.

Next, we sought to demonstrate that CRISPRas could also be used to induce activation of the jdB cluster. Specifically, our idea was to use CRISPRa to synthetically induce the expression of the main biosynthetic operon (jadJ-V), in essence, rewiring the native regulatory network (Figure 3D). To this end, we conjugated a jadJ-V-activating CRISPRa plasmid into wild-type S. venezuelae cells. Subsequently, we tested the ability of CRISPRa to induce production of jdB by performing LC/MS analysis on the crude extracts obtained from fermentation, as described above. We detected the production of jdB in the presence of CRISPRa, but not in the control, demonstrating the ability of CRISPRa to activate silent BGCs through direct activation of key biosynthetic genes (Figure 3D; Supplementary Figures S7 and 8).

Taken together, these data show that our CRISPR regulatory tools can effectively be used to synthetically perturb and rewire the endogenous regulation of the jdB BGC and in doing so, activate the expression of this silent BGC to induce natural product synthesis.

DISCUSSION

In our work, we establish two CRISPR-Cas systems for gene expression control in Streptomyces, and successfully use them to activate a silent BGC. Specifically, we optimize and resolve the design rules for our CRISPRi system in Streptomyces and demonstrate, for the first time, its ability to activate a silent BGC through relieving the repression of endogenous regulators. In addition, we provide the first example of a CRISPRa system for Streptomyces, and demonstrate the ability of this system to directly activate BGC expression through targeting ‘silent’ promoter elements. Collectively, this work provides an expanded toolbox for gene expression control in Streptomyces and more broadly, demonstrates a new framework for using CRISPR-Cas regulators for natural product discovery in Streptomyces.

Our work advances the available molecular tools for engineering Streptomyces, which is an important genus for drug discovery and biomanufacturing. Specifically, we optimized a CRISPRi system for S. venezuelae and advanced the current understanding of design rules for CRISPRi in Streptomyces spp. So far, previous work in Streptomyces has mainly focused on proof-of-principle demonstrations of the repression capabilities of CRISPRi by using genes encoding for pigments as target reporters to easily visualize repression (24). In addition, these studies demonstrated the possibility of multiplexing CRISPRi in Streptomyces by directing dCas9 to multiple targets at the same time using multiple sgRNAs (23). Subsequently, one study expanded on this groundwork by coupling CRISPRi to a quorum sensing system, to create a synthetic circuit that maximizes flux through the rapamycin biosynthetic pathway only in the presence of high cell density (26). Adding to these works, we introduce a more rigorous, quantitative understanding of how component expression and sgRNA design affect the repression efficiency of CRISPRi. Interestingly, besides observing robust repression and sgRNA design rules that are consistent with previous studies in bacteria (37), we also observed marked impairment of growth in the absence of a sgRNA targeting a defined genomic target. We posit that this effect is due to the nonspecific binding of dCas9 to genomic DNA (35). Additionally, our work shows for the first time that CRISPRi can be used to activate a silent BGC, which adds to prior demonstrations that CRISPRi can be used to repress natural, non-silent BGCs (23–25) and to maximize flux (26). Taken together, this work adds further evidence that CRISPRi is a highly portable regulatory mechanism across the bacterial domain of life, advances the current knowledge of CRISPRi design rules in Streptomyces and demonstrates the applicability of CRISPRi for the activation of silent BGCs.

In addition to CRISPRi, we also created the first example of CRISPRa for Streptomyces. Importantly, while CRISPRi has been demonstrated across diverse bacterial species, CRISPRa systems have largely been restricted to model Gram-negative bacteria (e.g. E. coli), with only a single demonstration in a gram-positive bacterium, B. subtilis (40). Part of the challenge of CRISPRa is the identification of functional ADs for each host. Our results, along with other recent demonstrations in E. coli (21), suggest that a host-derived αNTD AD can serve as a potentially generalizable strategy to create CRISPRa systems for diverse bacterial species. We anticipate the creation of new CRISPR-Cas regulatory systems will advance both basic science investigations of Streptomyces and application-specific manipu-
lations that include functional genomic investigations (47) and metabolic engineering (48–55).

Our work complements and contributes to existing pathway-specific approaches to activate silent BGCs in a native producer strain. Previous pathway-specific methods have relied on manipulating cluster-specific regulators, either by knocking out repressors within genomically encoded BGC or by overexpressing activators encoded on plasmids (5,56). While successful, these approaches can be challenging for complex BGCs, especially when multiple regulators are present that need to be investigated to identify the specific genes or combination of genes that need to be perturbed to achieve activation. For example, as methods to modify the genome have limited ability to be multiplexed (24,57), knocking out different gene targets requires iterative genome engineering that can be arduous and time-consuming. While overexpression of activators on plasmids is more straightforward, this still requires the synthesis and sequence verification of larger gene fragments (~1 kb). In contrast, CRISPR-Cas regulators provide a potentially more scalable framework. Libraries of 20 bp sgRNAs are cheap and easy to synthesize, and regulators are easily transferred into desired hosts through established and efficient conjugation methods. CRISPR-Cas regulators can also be used for pooled screening to effectively investigate large numbers of individual gene perturbations at the genome-scale (58). Finally, combining CRISPRi and CRISPRa offers the intriguing possibility of dual screens (i.e. simultaneous knocking-up and knocking-down multiple gene targets) to activate and investigate BGC under the control of complex gene networks (59). Thus, we anticipate our work can facilitate the systematic investigation of BGCs on a larger scale. More broadly, our work adds to a growing set of novel technologies for BGC activation (10,60–66) that we anticipate can be synergistically combined in the future. For example, CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing can be used to permanently edit BGCs and obtain producing strains once key parameters and regulators have been identified with our CRISPRi and CRISPRa tools.

While our CRISPR-Cas regulators represent a novel approach to activate silent BGCs, challenges remain. In particular, we observed that CRISPRa systems are only able to activate transcription when targeted to specific positions relative to the TSS. This periodical 10 bp activation pattern is proposed to be due to the DNA double helix (~10.5 bp) and the requirement of the AD to be localized to specific faces of the DNA relative to the promoter (19,21). Because of this, prior knowledge of the TSS or library screening is likely to be required to activate a given target gene. Additionally, while the likelihood of encountering an NGG PAM is high in Streptomyces spp. given their ~70% GC content (67), not all promoters will possess optimally positioned PAMs. Importantly, several strategies have been demonstrated in E. coli to overcome this limitation, which we anticipate could be applied to Streptomyces. For example, engineered dCas9 proteins with relaxed PAM requirements such dxCas(3.7) have been used for CRISPRa systems to allow activation from non-canonical PAMs (19). Additionally, circularly permuted dCas9 proteins (cpdCas9) have also been used to create CRISPRa systems with distinct, non-overlapping activation patterns (21). Finally, alternative CRISPR-Cas systems beyond type II have also been used to create CRISPRa systems with distinct regulatory properties (68). Beyond the limitations of CRISPRa, we anticipate more work is required to understand the generalizability of our approach. For example, it remains to be elucidated if CRISPRa can activate the diversity of different endogenous promoter elements in Streptomyces. Finally, we anticipate a greater understanding of the regulatory networks controlling natural product synthesis will be required to precisely engineer the corresponding metabolic pathways. For example, while bioinformatic tools can predict regulators in each BGC (69–71), it remains hard to understand which regulators to perturb and in what direction (i.e. activation or repression). Adding to this complexity is the role of ‘global’ regulators in controlling BGC expression, the understanding of which is likely incomplete (56,72).

In summary, this work expands the current CRISPR-Cas tools for Streptomyces and explores their application to activate silent BGCs through perturbing and rewiring the underlying regulatory gene networks. While more work is still needed to expand and generalize the approach, our system demonstrates the applicability of CRISPRi and CRISPRa systems to activate BGCs in Streptomyces. This method only requires the bioinformatic prediction of plausible targets within a BGC, followed by the design of specific sgRNA(s) and the straightforward transformation of a single plasmid, thus representing a simple approach to activate silent BGCs. We anticipate that this work will pave the way for the development of high-throughput technologies for the discovery of novel secondary metabolites on a large scale.
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