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ABSTRACT

We discuss how to compute connected matrix model correlators for operators related to the gravitational descendants of the puncture operator, for the topological A model on \(\mathbb{P}^1\). The relevant correlators are determined by recursion relations that follow from a systematic 1/N expansion of well chosen Schwinger-Dyson equations. Our results provide further compelling evidence for Gopakumar’s proposed “simplest gauge string duality” between the Gaussian matrix model and the topological A model on \(\mathbb{P}^1\).
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1 Introduction

Gauge-string duality\cite{1,2,3} represents a remarkable and deep connection between large $N$ gauge theories and theories of quantum gravity. It would be nice to have simple tractable toy models of the correspondence that may shed light on the workings of the duality. A natural candidate is to look for examples that relate topological gravity theories and large $N$ matrix models.

With this motivation in mind, a number of connections between the combinatorics of Wick contractions in matrix models and topological theories have been uncovered\cite{4,5,6,7,8,9,10}. This particular approach to the interpretation of the matrix models correlators, as well as certain counting problems, is very reminiscent of the string interpretation of 2d-Yang-Mills theory\cite{11,12,13,14}. In particular, the worldsheets that contribute to the correlators in the Gaussian matrix model are covering maps with only three branch points and with simple ramification over one of the branch points\cite{4}. These are called “clean” Belyi maps. This connection has been significantly extended by Gopakumar\cite{15} who has conjectured that the Gaussian matrix model is dual to the topological A-model on $\mathbb{P}^1$. The duality between this Gaussian matrix model and the topological string certainly appears to deserve the title of the simplest gauge-string duality. One may be skeptical about what can be learned from such a simple example of the duality. The arguments of\cite{15} suggest that this correspondence is a concrete example of closing the holes in ribbon graphs to obtain closed string worldsheets. This is a concrete realization of the general approach to gauge string duality outlined in\cite{16,17,18}, and suitably modified to the Gaussian matrix model in\cite{19,20}. These arguments convinced us that this example warrants further study. In particular, we will further explore the relation between matrix model correlators and correlators in the topological string theory, extending earlier works. For a review of the connection between topological string theories and matrix models, see\cite{21}. For background on the topological A-model on $\mathbb{P}^1$, see\cite{22}.

A different but closely related matrix model dual to the topological A-model on $\mathbb{P}^1$ has been given by Eguchi and Yang\cite{23,24,25}. In this study, we will also compute the relevant correlators in the Eguchi-Yang model.

Motivated by the proposed “simplest gauge-string duality” we study correlation functions of operators of the form $\text{Tr}(M^n \ln M)$ in this article. In the Eguchi-Yang model, these operators are dual to gravitational descendants of the puncture operator\cite{23,24,25}. For the Gaussian matrix model, Gopakumar and Pius\cite{26} have suggested these operators are again the natural candidates for operators dual to gravitational descendents of the puncture. We will explore this suggestion in this article by computing the relevant connected correlators, both in the topological string framework and in the matrix model description. Basically, we explain how to derive recursion relations that determine these correlators. The matrix model recursion relations follow upon applying a systematic $1/N$ expansion to well chosen Schwinger-Dyson equations. We explain this connection in section 2. For
the topological string we use the recursion relations already described in [23, 24, 25, 26]. In section 3 we compute matrix model correlators of the Eguchi-Yang model and compare them to topological string correlators. We find a complete and exact agreement between the two sets of correlators which is a convincing test of our methods. Section 4 considers the question of the operators dual to gravitational descendants of the puncture operator in the Gaussian matrix model. Again, we find a set of operators that correctly reproduce the set of three point correlators. In general there is a mismatch, which parallels results for correlators of gravitational descendants of the Kähler class [26]. The mismatch of [26] was interpreted in terms of contact terms in the topological string theory. We find that this argument also explains the mismatch we find, thereby providing highly non-trivial evidence in favor of the gauge string duality proposed in [15]. We discuss these results in Section 5. The Appendices include a discussion of the recursion relations for both the Eguchi-Yang and the Gaussian matrix models, a computation of the relevant topological string correlation functions and the computation of some matrix model correlators using orthogonal polynomials.

2 Matrix Model Correlators

To illustrate our argument, we study correlators in the Gaussian matrix model

\[ \langle O \rangle \equiv \int [dM] e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} M^2} O \]  

where \( M \) is an \( N \times N \) Hermitian matrix. The correlators that participate in the duality are connected correlators of the form

\[ \gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_l, 2n_{l+1}, \cdots, 2n_{l+q}) = \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \cdots \text{Tr}(M^{2n_l}) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_{l+1}} \ln M) \cdots \text{Tr}(M^{2n_{l+q}} \ln M) \rangle_{\text{conn}} \]  

Our notation should be clear from the above example: barred indices are associated with an insertion of \( \ln M \) in the trace. The correlators \( \gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_k) \) have been computed combinatorially in [27] and by using the method of orthogonal polynomials in [26]. The combinatorial approach derives a recursion relation, which is then solved to obtain the correlators. A central observation we use is that the recursion relation of [27] can be recovered by using a systematic \( 1/N \) expansion of a suitable matrix model Schwinger-Dyson equation. The advantage of this approach is that it is simple to generalize the Schwinger-Dyson equation to allow for correlators that include \( \ln M \) insertions. In this way, we derive a recursion relation for the general correlators \( \gamma(2n_1, \cdots, 2n_l, \overline{2n_{l+1}}, \cdots, \overline{2n_{l+q}}) \) introduced above. It seems to be a highly non-trivial task to recover this recursion relation using the methods of [27].
Correlators in the Hermitian matrix model admit an expansion in \( \frac{1}{N^2} \). For a correlator of the form
\[
\langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n}) \rangle = c_0 N + c_1 N^{-1} + \cdots + c_p N^{1-2p} + \cdots \quad (2.3)
\]
it is well known that the coefficient \( c_g \) is given by the sum of all genus \( g \) ribbon graphs. We will use the notation
\[
c_p N^{1-2p} \leftrightarrow \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n}) \rangle_p \quad (2.4)
\]
For a correlator of the form
\[
\langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle = c_0 N^2 + c_1 + \cdots + c_p N^{2-2p} + \cdots \quad (2.5)
\]
things are a little more involved. The coefficient \( c_0 \) is obtained from disconnected ribbon graphs, that have two connected components. Each component is a planar contribution to either \( \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle \) or \( \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle \). The coefficient \( c_1 \) receives two types of contributions: a connected planar graph, and disconnected ribbon graphs that have two connected components. One of the connected components has genus 1 while the other is a planar graph. Clearly, we need to distinguish between correlators and the connected piece of a correlator. We do this with a subscript: \( \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn}, g} \) is the connected contribution to \( \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle \) of genus \( g \). Using this notation, the coefficient \( c_g \) in (2.5) is given by
\[
c_g N^{2-2g} = \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn}, g-1} + \sum_{\bar{g}} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_{g-\bar{g}} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\bar{g}} \quad (2.6)
\]

The basic quantity we are interested in is \( \gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_k) \), where
\[
\langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\cdots\text{Tr}(M^{2n_k}) \rangle_{\text{conn}, 0} = N^{2-k} \gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_k) \quad (2.7)
\]
The elements involved in the derivation of the recursion relation for general \( k \) are all already present for \( k = 2 \). Since the \( k = 2 \) case has fewer details, we discuss the \( k = 2 \) relation in complete detail and are content to outline the general \( k \) argument.

Our starting point is the Schwinger-Dyson equation
\[
0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} \left( (M^{2n_1-1})_{ij} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{Tr}(M^2)} \right) \quad (2.8)
\]
which implies that
\[
0 = \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \text{Tr}(M^r)\text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \right\} + 2n_2 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1+2n_2-2}) \rangle
\]
To obtain a recursion relation for $\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2)$ we consider a systematic large $N$ expansion of the above Schwinger-Dyson equation. The leading order gives

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_0 - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_0
\]

(2.10)

To see that this leading order equation is indeed obeyed, consider

\[
0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} \left( (M^{2n_1-1})_{ij} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(M^2)} \right)
\]

(2.11)

which implies that

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_0 = 0
\]

(2.13)

which implies that (2.10) is obeyed. The first subleading order of (2.12) is

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_1 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_1 + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_1
\]

(2.14)

This equation will be important below.

Now consider the next to leading order of (2.9) which gives

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_1 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_0 + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_1 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_0 + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_1 + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_0
\]
The first, second, fourth and ninth terms above sum to zero thanks to (2.14). This leaves

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} + 2n_2 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1+2n_2-2}) \rangle_0 - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_1
\]

(2.16)

The first and last terms above sum to zero thanks to (2.10). Consequently, we now obtain

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} + \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^r) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} + 2n_2 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1+2n_2-2}) \rangle_0 - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} - N \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1}) \rangle_0 \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}) \rangle_1
\]

(2.17)

We can rewrite this as a recursion relation for \(\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2)\) \footnote{In the first two terms it is clear that \(r\) must be even to get a non-zero correlator.}

\[
0 = \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r) \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, 2n_2) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2) \gamma(2r, 2n_2) + 2n_2 \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2) - \gamma(2n_1, 2n_2)
\]

(2.18)

This is in perfect agreement with the recursion relation obtained in [27].

To obtain the general recursion relation, we start from

\[
0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} \left( (M^{2n_1-1})_{ij} \prod_{j=2}^{k} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_j}) e^{-\frac{k}{2} \text{Tr}(M^2)} \right)
\]

(2.19)

and expand to the \(k - 1\)th subleading order. After freely make use of Schwinger-Dyson equations of the above form, that have fewer than \(k - 1\) traces, we recover the general recursion relation of [27].

### 2.2 Including \(\ln M\) Insertions

In this section we demonstrate that the logic of the previous subsection can be used to obtain a recursion relation for the correlators \(\gamma(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_l, \bar{n}_{l+1}, \ldots, \bar{n}_{l+q})\). It is again
simplest to consider $k = 2$ which illustrates all the features of the general case. To obtain a recursion relation which determines $\gamma(n_1, \bar{n}_2)$ we start from the Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} (M^{2n_1-1})_{ij} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}\ln M)e^{-\frac{N}{2}\text{Tr}(M^2)}$$

which implies that

$$0 = \langle \sum_{r=0}^{2n_1-2} \text{Tr}(M^r)\text{Tr}(M^{2n_1-r-2})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}\ln M) \rangle + 2n_2\langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1+2n_2-2}\ln M) \rangle + \langle \text{Tr}(M^{2n_1+2n_2-2}) \rangle - N(\text{Tr}(M^{2n_1})\text{Tr}(M^{2n_2}\ln M))$$

Expanding this Schwinger-Dyson equation in a $\frac{1}{N}$ expansion and making use of (2.12) in much the same way that we did above, it is straightforward to obtain

$$\gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2) = \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_2)\gamma(2r) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2)\gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2)
+ 2n_2\gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2) + \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2)$$

This looks very similar to the recursion (2.18). The first two “trace splitting” terms on the right hand side are an exact match. The only difference is in the joining term - there is a term where the two traces have joined with the log in a single trace (the term with coefficient $2n_2$) as well as a term in which the log has been absorbed in the joining (the term with coefficient $1$). This relation is rather general. For example, at $k = 3$ the recursion from (27) reads

$$0 = \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r)\gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2)\gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_2)
+ \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_3)\gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2)\gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)
+ 2n_2\gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2, \bar{n}_2) + 2n_3\gamma(2n_1 + 2n_3 - 2, \bar{n}_2) - \gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)$$

One of the recursion relations relevant for the log insertions is

$$0 = \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r)\gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2r, \bar{n}_3)\gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_2)
+ \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2, \bar{n}_3)\gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2) + \sum_{r=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2n_1 - 2r - 2)\gamma(2r, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)
+ 2n_2\gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2, \bar{n}_3) + \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_2 - 2, \bar{n}_3)
+ 2n_3\gamma(2n_1 + 2n_3 - 2, \bar{n}_2) + \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_3 - 2, \bar{n}_2) - \gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)$$

The relation between these two recursions is exactly as we described it above.\(^2\)

\(^2\)The recursion given can be used to determine $\gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)$. There are two other independent recursions which would determine $\gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)$ and $\gamma(2n_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3)$.\(^3\)
2.3 Solution to the recursion relation

The solution to the recursion relation for $\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \ldots, 2n_k)$ has already been obtained in [27]. The result is

$$\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \ldots, 2n_k) \equiv N^{k-2} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{k} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_i}) \right\rangle_{\text{conn},0} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-k+2)!} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(2n_i)!}{n_i!(n_i-1)!} \quad (2.25)$$

where $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i$. The solution of the general recursion relation is now straightforward. Indeed, we have verified that the formula for the $\gamma(2n_1, \ldots, 2n_l, 2n_{l+1}, \ldots, 2n_{l+q})$, obtained through analytic continuation of $\gamma(2n_1, \ldots, 2n_l, 2n_{l+1}, \ldots, 2n_{l+q})$, solves the relevant recursion relation. As an example,

$$\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \ldots, 2n_{k-1}, 2n_k) \equiv N^{k-2} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_i}) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_k \ln M}) \right\rangle_{\text{conn},0}$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} N^{k-2} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^{k} \text{Tr}(M^{2n_i}) \text{Tr}(M^{2n_k+2\epsilon}) \right\rangle_{\text{conn},0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(n-k+2)!} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(2n_i)!}{n_i!(n_i-1)!} \times$$

$$\times \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-k+2} \frac{1}{j} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_k-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \quad (2.26)$$

3 Topological String Correlators for the A Model on $\mathbb{P}^1$

Eguchi and Yang proposed a matrix model which reproduces the A-model on $\mathbb{P}^1$[23, 24, 25]. The observables of the theory are the puncture operator $P$ and the Kähler class $Q$, as well as their gravitational descendents $\sigma_n(P)$ and $\sigma_n(Q)$. The action of the matrix model

$$Z = \int [dM] e^{N \text{Tr} V(M)} \quad (3.1)$$

is

$$\text{Tr} V(M) = -2 \text{Tr}(M \ln M - M) + \sum_{n=1} t_{n,P} \text{Tr}(M^n \ln M - c_n M^n) + \sum_{n=1} t_{n-1,Q} \frac{\text{Tr} M^n}{n} \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$c_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{j} \quad (3.3)$$
The correlators of the topological string are determined by solving recursion relations. If one puts all the coupling to zero, one finds

$$\langle \sigma_2^m(Q) \rangle_0 = \frac{(2m)!}{(m+1)!} \quad \langle \sigma_2^m(Q) \rangle_0 = 0$$

(3.4)

$$\langle \sigma_2^{m+1}(P) \rangle_0 = -2c_{m+1} \frac{(2m+1)!}{(m+1)!} \quad \langle \sigma_2^m(P) \rangle_0 = 0$$

(3.5)

As a consequence of ghost number conservation, the above correlators only get a contribution from maps of degree $m + 1$. Using these it is now straightforward to find

$$\langle \sigma_2^m(Q) \sigma_2^{m+1}(Q) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \frac{(2m_1)! (2m_2)!}{m_1! m_2! m_1! m_2!} \left[ -2c_{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \right]$$

(3.6)

$$\langle \sigma_2^{m-1}(Q) \sigma_2^{m-1}(Q) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{4(m_1 + m_2)} \frac{(2m_1)! (2m_2)!}{m_1! m_2! m_1! m_2!}$$

(3.7)

$$\langle \sigma_2^{m-1}(Q) \sigma_2^{m-1}(Q) \rangle_0 = 0$$

(3.8)

as well as (see the Appendix)

$$\langle \sigma_2^m(Q) \sigma_2^{m+1}(P) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \frac{(2m_1)! (2m_2 + 1)!}{m_1! m_1! m_2! m_2!} \left[ -2c_{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \right]$$

(3.9)

$$\langle \sigma_2^{m+1}(Q) \sigma_2^m(P) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2(m_1 + m_2 + 1)} \frac{(2m_1 + 2)!}{(m_1 + 1)! (m_1 + 1)! m_2! (m_2 - 1)!} \times$$

$$\times \left[ -2c_{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \right]$$

(3.10)

Using the identifications

$$\sigma_m(Q) \leftrightarrow \frac{\text{Tr} M^{m+1}}{m+1} \quad \sigma_m(P) \leftrightarrow 2 \text{Tr} (M^m \log M - c_m M^m)$$

(3.11)

we can use the topological string correlators $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ - $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ to solve for the expected matrix model correlators. In this way, the topological string theory makes the following predictions (all $\gamma$s predicted using the topological string correlators are hatted)

$$\hat{\gamma}(2m + 1) = \frac{(2m+1)!}{(m+1)!(m+1)!} \quad \hat{\gamma}(2m) = 0$$

(3.12)

$^3\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle_g$ denotes the genus $g$ contribution to the topological string correlator. $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle_{g,d}$ denotes the genus $g$ contribution to the topological string correlator coming from degree $d$ maps.
\[ \dot{\gamma}(2m+1) = (c_{2m+1} - c_{m+1}) \frac{(2m+1)!}{(m+1)!(m+1)!} \quad \dot{\gamma}(2m) = 0 \] (3.13)

\[ \dot{\gamma}(2m+1, 2n) = 0 \] (3.14)

\[ \dot{\gamma}(2m+1, 2n+1) = \frac{1}{m+n+1} \frac{(2m+1)!}{m!m!} \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!n!} \] (3.15)

\[ \dot{\gamma}(2m, 2n) = \frac{mn}{m+n} \frac{(2m)!}{m!m!} \frac{(2n)!}{n!n!} \] (3.16)

\[ \dot{\gamma}(2n+1, 2m+1) = \left( \frac{c_{2m+1} - c_{m+1}}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} - \frac{1}{2(m_1 + m_2 + 1)^2} \right) \frac{(2m_1+1)!}{m_1!m_1!} \frac{(2m_2+1)!}{m_2!m_2!} \] (3.17)

Using the methods of section 2, we will derive recursion relations in this section that will test these predictions. Starting from

\[ 0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} ((M^n)_{ij} e^{NTrV}) \] (3.18)

we find (recall that we have set all couplings to zero)

\[ 2N \langle \text{Tr}(M^n \ln M) \rangle = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{n-1-r}) \text{Tr}(M^r) \rangle \] (3.19)

The leading order of this Schwinger-Dyson equation implies that

\[ 2\gamma(\bar{n}) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \gamma(r) \gamma(n - 1 - r) \] (3.20)

Inserting (3.12) into (3.20) we recover (3.13). Now consider the two point correlators. Starting from

\[ 0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} ((M^n)_{ij} \text{Tr}(M^m) e^{NTrV}) \] (3.21)

we find

\[ 2N \langle \text{Tr}(M^m) \text{Tr}(M^n \ln M) \rangle = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \langle \text{Tr}(M^{n-1-r}) \text{Tr}(M^r) \text{Tr}(M^m) \rangle + m \langle \text{Tr}(M^{n+m-1}) \rangle \] (3.22)
Expanding this Schwinger-Dyson equation to subleading order, after using both the leading and subleading order of (3.19), we find

\[ 2\gamma(m, \tilde{n}) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( \gamma(r, m)\gamma(n-1-r) + \gamma(n-1-r, m)\gamma(r) \right) + m\gamma(n + m - 1) \]  
(3.23)

Using (3.14)-(3.16) in (3.23) we recover (3.17). For all of the examples we have considered, the matrix model correlators and topological string theory correlators are in perfect agreement. Notice that there is a natural way to understand the result (3.17) by analytic continuation. Indeed, it is simple to verify that

\[ \gamma(n, m) = \langle \text{Tr}(M^n)\text{Tr}(M^m\ln M) \rangle_0 \]
\[ = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \langle \text{Tr}(M^n)\text{Tr}(M^m+\epsilon) \rangle_0 \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \]
\[ = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \gamma(n, m+\epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \]  
(3.24)

In general we expect the \( \gamma(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{k-1}, n_k) \) and \( \gamma(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{k-1}, \tilde{n}_k) \) that solve the recursion relations, to be related by analytic continuation. With the assumption (3.24), we have managed to solve the Schwinger-Dyson equations for the \( \gamma(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) \) and have verified that the correlators of the gravitational descendants computed in the matrix model agree with the same correlators computed using the topological string. The translation between the two is given by the first of (3.11). As an example, the topological string correlators\[26\]

\[ \langle \prod_{i=1}^{k} \sigma_{2m_i}(Q) \rangle_0 = (d+1)^{k-3} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(2m_i)!}{m_i!m_i!} \]
\[ d = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i \]  
(3.25)

predict

\[ \gamma(2m_1 + 1, 2m_2 + 1, \ldots, 2m_k + 1) = (d+1)^{k-3} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(2m_i + 1)!}{m_i!m_i!} \]  
(3.26)

Setting

\[ \gamma(2m_1 + 1, \ldots, 2m_k + 1) = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \gamma(2m_1 + 1, \ldots, 2m_k + 1 + \epsilon) \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} \]  
(3.27)

we find that \( \gamma(2m_1 + 1, 2m_2 + 1, \ldots, 2m_k + 1) \) and \( \gamma(2m_1 + 1, \ldots, 2m_k + 1) \) do indeed satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equations. Thus, the Eguchi-Yang matrix model does indeed correctly reproduce the correlators of the topological string theory. Apart from this agreement, we now have good evidence that the recursion relations we have obtained for the correlators that include \( \text{Tr}M^n\ln M \) insertions are indeed correct.

10
4 The Simplest Gauge String Duality

In this section we return to the Gaussian matrix model. The combinatorics of the Wick contractions in the Gaussian matrix model suggests that correlators are computed by a sum over branched covers from a genus $g$ worldsheet to a target $\mathbb{P}^1$ “spacetime”. These holomorphic maps have exactly three branchpoints \[4\], and are known as Belyi maps. Using mathematical results from [29], the explicit form of these Belyi maps has been given in [15]. From the detailed form of the map, it is clear that \[
\langle \prod_{i=1}^{n} \text{Tr}(M^{2k_i}) \rangle_{\text{conn},0}
\] only receives planar contributions only from degree $d = \sum_i k_i$ maps. As a consequence of the ghost number conservation law (see [25] for more details) the genus 0 contribution to the correlator of descendants of the Kähler class

\[
\langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3}(Q) \cdots \sigma_{2k_n}(Q) \rangle
\] (4.1)

only receives contributions from maps of degree

\[
d = \sum_{i=1}^{k} k_i
\] (4.2)

which strongly suggests [15, 26] the rough identification

\[
\sigma_{2k}(Q) \sim \text{Tr}(M^{2k})
\] (4.3)

With this identification, the two point and three point functions in the Gaussian matrix model and in the topological string theory match [15] for any $k_i$

\[
\langle \frac{1}{2k_1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_1} \frac{1}{2k_2} \text{Tr}M^{2k_2} \rangle_{\text{conn},0} = \frac{1}{4(k_1 + k_2)} \frac{(2k_1)! (2k_2)!}{(k_1)!^2 (k_2)!^2} = \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q) \rangle_{0}
\] (4.4)

\[
\langle \frac{1}{2k_1 + 1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_1+1} \frac{1}{2k_2 + 1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_2+1} \rangle_{\text{conn},0} = \frac{1}{k_1 + k_2 + 1} \frac{(2k_1)! (2k_2)!}{(k_1)!^2 (k_2)!^2} = \langle \sigma_{2k_1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2}(Q) \rangle_{0}
\] (4.5)

\[
\langle \frac{1}{2k_1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_1} \frac{1}{2k_2} \text{Tr}M^{2k_2} \frac{1}{k_3} \text{Tr}M^{2k_3} \rangle_{\text{conn},0} = \frac{1}{4 k_1 k_2 k_3} \frac{(2k_1)! (2k_2)! (2k_3)!}{(k_1)!^3 (k_2)!^3 (k_3)!^3} = \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3}(Q) \rangle_{0}
\] (4.6)

\[
\langle \frac{1}{2k_1 + 1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_1+1} \frac{1}{2k_2 + 1} \text{Tr}M^{2k_2+1} \frac{1}{k_3} \text{Tr}M^{2k_3} \rangle_{\text{conn},0} = \frac{1}{k_1 k_2 k_3} \frac{(2k_1)! (2k_2)! (2k_3)!}{(k_1)!^3 (k_2)!^3 (k_3)!^3} = \langle \sigma_{2k_1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3}(Q) \rangle_{0}
\] (4.7)
The general map is as follows
\[ \langle \frac{\text{Tr} M^{2k_1}}{2k_1} \frac{\text{Tr} M^{2k_2}}{2k_2} \frac{\text{Tr} M^{2k_3}}{k_3} \cdots \frac{\text{Tr} M^{2k_n}}{k_n} \rangle_{\text{conn},0} \leftrightarrow \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3}\cdots\sigma_{2k_n}(Q) \rangle \] (4.8)

These correlators appear to agree, up to contact terms[26]. Notice that when computing the topological string correlator, we treat two of the vertex operators differently to the remaining operators. The need for this is simply to ensure that the degree of the Belyi maps contributing to the matrix model correlator matches the degree of the holomorphic map contributing to the topological string theory correlator - so that the closed surface obtained after closing the holes in the ribbon graph is the topological closed string worldsheet. This is very much like usual worldsheet descriptions, where we fix the positions of three vertex operators. Here a difference of 2 in the degree of the two maps is being accounted for by putting two of the vertex operators at fixed points on the worldsheet. These results present a rather compelling case for the duality.

We would now like to propose the operators dual to the gravitational descendents of the puncture. In [26] it was suggested that \( \sigma_n(P) \sim \text{Tr}(M^n \ln M) \), which we will see, is essentially correct. We are again guided by matching correlators. It is the three point correlators that do not receive contact term contributions[26], so that we should require that the three point topological string correlators match with the corresponding matrix model correlators.

To reproduce the complete set of three point correlators, we propose that
\[ \sigma_{2k+1}(P) \leftrightarrow \frac{2k_3+1}{k_3} \left( \text{Tr} \left( 2M^{2k_3} \ln M - \left[ \frac{1}{k_3} + 2c_{2k_3} \right] M^{2k_3} \right) \right) \] (4.9)

Notice that there is a shift of 1 between the level of the gravitational descendant \( (2k_3+1) \) of the puncture and the power of the matrix \( (2k_3) \) in the matrix model operator. To see why this shift is necessary, recall that when all the couplings are set to zero the genus \( g \) correlation functions \( \langle \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \sigma_{n_s}(O_{\alpha_s}) \rangle_g \) only receive contributions from holomorphic maps of degree \( d \) with
\[ 2d + 2(g - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^s (n_i + q_{\alpha_i} - 1) \] (4.10)

Since the \( U(1) \) charge of the puncture \( q_P = 0 \), including \( \sigma_{2k+1}(P) \) in the correlator adds \( k_3 \) to the degree. This matches the matrix model computation since including \( \text{Tr}(M^{2k_3}) \) (and hence also \( \text{Tr}(M^{2k_3} \ln M) \)) adds degree \( k_3 \) to the Belyi maps summed to reproduce the matrix model correlator. It is now straightforward to verify that
\[ \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3+1}(P) \rangle = \langle \frac{\text{Tr} M^{2k_1} \text{Tr} M^{2k_2} 2k_3+1}{2k_1 2k_2 k_3} \left( \text{Tr} \left( 2M^{2k_3} \ln M - \left[ \frac{1}{k_3} + 2c_{2k_3} \right] M^{2k_3} \right) \right) \rangle_{\text{conn},0} \] (4.11)
The fact that (4.9) reproduces an infinite number of correlators is strong evidence that it is indeed on the right track.

Let's now consider more general correlators. Comparing the topological string correlator

\[ \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q) \prod_{i=3}^{n} \sigma_{2k_i}(Q)\sigma_{2k+1}(P) \rangle = \frac{d^{n-2}}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(2k_i)!}{k_i!k_i!} \frac{(2k+1)!}{k!k!} \left( -2c_k + \frac{n - 2}{d} \right) \]  

(4.12)

to the matrix model correlator

\[ \left\langle \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\text{Tr}M^{2k_i}}{k_i} \frac{2k + 1}{k} \text{Tr} \left( 2M^{2k}\ln M - \left[ \frac{1}{k} + 2c_{2k} \right] M^{2k} \right) \right\rangle_{\text{conn},0} \]

\[ = \frac{(d - 1)!}{(d - n + 1)!} \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(2k_i)!}{k_i!k_i!} \frac{(2k + 1)!}{k!k!} \left( -2c_k + c_{d-1} - c_{d-n+1} \right) \]  

(4.13)

where \( d = k_1 + \cdots + k_n + k \), we see two sources of mismatch. First, there is an overall factor of \( d^{n-2} \) versus \( \frac{(d - 1)!}{(d - n + 1)!} \). In the large \( d \) limit these two factors are identical. This factor has an elegant interpretation in terms of contact term corrections, as explained in [26]. Further, in the large \( d \) limit, we can replace

\[ \frac{n - 2}{d} \to 0 \]  

(4.14)

in the topological string correlator and

\[ c_{d-1} - c_{d-n+1} \approx \int_{1}^{d-1} \frac{dx}{x} - \int_{1}^{d-n+1} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln \frac{d - 1}{d - n + 1} \to 0 \]  

(4.15)

in the matrix model correlator. Both of these numbers grow linearly with the number of operators in the correlator that are gravitational descendents of the puncture operator. Consequently, this term continues to go to zero in the large \( d \) limit for correlators with an arbitrary but finite number of the gravitational descendents of the puncture. This is a BMN like limit. In this limit there is perfect agreement between the topological string correlators and the matrix model correlators.

5 Discussion

Motivated by what may be the simplest proposal for a gauge string duality [15], we have explored the relation between correlators of the topological A-model on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) and the correlators of the Gaussian matrix model, as well as the correlators of the closely related
model of Eguchi and Yang. For the Gaussian matrix model, we have written down op-
erators in the matrix model whose three point function matches the three point function
of gravitational descendants in the topological A-model, thereby adding to the dictionary
between observables of the Gaussian matrix model and the topological string.

To compute the required matrix model correlators, we have developed recursion rela-
tions that allow the computation of correlators of the form (2.2) in any matrix model. As
we have explained, these recursion relations follow from a systematic $1/N$ expansion of
the Schwinger-Dyson equations.

Although three point functions computed in the matrix models and the topological
string theory agree, there are discrepancies between the two complete sets of correlation
functions. The disagreement between matrix model correlators and topological string
correlators was already discovered and discussed in [26]. Let’s review this proposal briefly.
The source of the disagreement lies in contact terms: there is no operator product ex-
pansion in the matrix model that describes what happens when two traces coincide. To
get agreement one needs to add the separate contributions from the fusing of two matrix
operators by hand. In terms of equations, it is simplest to use the alternative normaliza-
tions

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{2k}(Q) = \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k)!} \sigma_{2k}(Q) \quad O_{2k}^Q = \frac{1}{k} \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k)!} \text{Tr}(M^{2k})$$

(5.1)

We now find that the topological string correlators

$$\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1-1}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_2-1}(Q) \prod_{i=3}^n \sigma_{2k_i}(Q) \rangle = \frac{d^{n-3}}{4}$$

(5.2)

and the matrix model correlators

$$\left\langle \frac{O_{2k_1}^Q}{2} \frac{O_{2k_2}^Q}{2} \prod_{i=3}^n O_{2k_i}^Q \right\rangle_{\text{conn,0}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(d-1)!}{(d-n+2)!}$$

(5.3)

are related as

$$\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1-1}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_2-1}(Q) \prod_{i=3}^n \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_i}(Q) \rangle = \sum_{m=3}^n \tilde{S}_{n-2}^{(m-2)} \left\langle \frac{O_{2k_1}^Q}{2} \frac{O_{2k_2}^Q}{2} \prod_{i=3}^m O_{2k_i}^Q \right\rangle_{\text{conn,0}}$$

(5.4)

where the Stirling number of the second kind $\tilde{S}_{n-2}^{(m-2)}$ counts the number of ways to part-
tition $(3, 4, \cdots, n)$ into $m - 2$ sets. The above equality follows from the identity

$$d^{n-3} = \sum_{m=3}^n \tilde{S}_{n-2}^{(m-2)} \frac{(d-1)!}{(d-m+2)!}$$

(5.5)
This explanation of the disagreement is clearly not special to the gravitational descendants of the Kähler class so one should also expect this argument to resolve any mismatches for correlators involving the gravitational descendants of the puncture. This is a highly non-trivial prediction that we can now test. Using the puncture normalizations

\[ \tilde{\sigma}_{2k+1}(P) = \frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!} \sigma_{2k+1}(P) \quad O_{2k+1}^P = \frac{k!(k-1)!}{(2k)!} \text{Tr} \left( 2M^{2k} \ln M - \frac{1}{k + 2c_{2k}} \right) M^{2k} \]

we find

\[ \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1-1}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_2-1}(Q) \prod_{i=3}^n \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_i}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1+1}(P) \rangle = \frac{d^{n-2}}{4} \left( -2c_k + \frac{n-2}{d} \right) \quad (5.6) \]

and

\[ \left\langle \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^n O_{2k_i}^Q O_{2k_i}^P \right\rangle_{\text{conn}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(d-1)!}{(d-n+1)!} \left( -2c_k + c_{d-1} - c_{d-n+1} \right) \quad (5.7) \]

These two correlators are related by

\[ \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1-1}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_2-1}(Q) \prod_{i=3}^n \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_i}(Q) \tilde{\sigma}_{2k_1+1}(P) \rangle = \sum_{m=3}^{n+1} \tilde{S}_{n-1}^{(m-2)} \left\langle \frac{1}{4} \prod_{i=1}^m O_{2k_i}^Q O_{2k_i}^P \right\rangle_{\text{conn}} \quad (5.8) \]

The above equality uses the identity

\[ (n-2)d^{n-3} = \sum_{m=3}^{n+1} \tilde{S}_{n-1}^{(m-2)} \frac{(d-1)!}{(d-m+2)!} (c_{d-1} - c_{d-m+2}) \quad (5.9) \]

which easily follows by differentiating (5.5) with respect to \( d \). The derivative of (5.5) must also hold because (5.5) is just an equality between two polynomials in \( d \). This demonstrates that after contact terms are accounted for as in (5.8), there is exact agreement between the topological string and matrix model correlators, providing highly non-trivial evidence for the proposal of [15, 26].

In [26] it was already pointed out that the correlators of gravitational descendants of the Kahler class computed in the Gaussian matrix model and the topological string agree in the limit of large descendent level. This is the regime in which the contributing ribbon graphs have a large number of edges and faces. In this limit, contact term contributions are subleading. Consequently, when the descendent levels are large we have perfect agreement between the Gaussian matrix model correlators and the topological string correlators, with no need for contact term corrections. Thus, we are recovering a correct continuum description of the moduli space [19].

Our recursion relations can further be expanded in the \( 1/N \) expansion. This would allow the study of higher genus contributions and hence of the mixing of single and double...
traces in the connected correlators. Studies of these correlators would allow us to explore
the correspondence between the topological A-model and the Gaussian matrix model
beyond genus zero, which is clearly required to prove this example of gauge string duality.
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A Recursion relations for connected matrix model
correlators

In this section we summarize some of the recursion relations obtained from a systematic
\( \frac{1}{N} \) expansion of the Schwinger-Dyson equations.

A.1 The Gaussian Model

The correlators of this model are defined by (2.1). Starting from the Schwinger-Dyson
equation (2.19) and proceeding as described in section 2, we obtain the following recursion
relation

\[
\gamma(2^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \cdots, 2^{n_k}) = \sum_P \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(2j, P) \gamma(2n_1 - 2j - 2, \bar{P}) \\
+ \sum_{r=2}^{k} 2n_r \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_r - 2, S'_r) \quad (A.1)
\]

In the above equation, \( S \) stands for the set \( \{2n_2, 2n_3, \cdots, 2n_k\} \). The first term on the
right hand side is a “splitting term” in which we split the set \( 2n_1 - 2 \cup S = \{2n_1 - 2, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_k\} \) into two subsets and use each to define a \( \gamma \). This corresponds to splitting
the trace over \( M^{2n_1} \) into two traces, so that the first term on the right hand side comes
from terms in the Schwinger-Dyson equation involving \( k + 1 \) traces. We partition \( S \) into
\( P \) and \( \bar{P} \), and split \( 2n_1 \to 2j, 2n_1 - 2 - 2j \); the two parts of \( 2n_1 - 2 \cup S \) are \( 2j \cup P \) and
\( 2n_1 - 2j - 2 \cup \bar{P} \). The two sums run over all possible ways of breaking \( S \) into \( P \) and \( \bar{P} \),
and over all possible values of \( j \). The second term on the right hand side is a “joining
term” - it comes from a term in the Schwinger-Dyson equation involving \( k - 1 \) traces.
The set \( S'_r \) is given by removing \( 2n_r \) from \( S \).
The recursion relation obtained when we allow logs in the traces is very similar. There are basically two changes. First, the set $S$ includes both integers and barred integers (the terms with log insertions). Second there is a new trace splitting term. As an example of a recursion relation including logs, consider

$$
\gamma(2n_1, 2n_2, \cdots, 2n_k, \bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \cdots, \bar{n}_k) = \sum_{P} \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(j, P) \gamma(n_1 - j - 2, \bar{P}) \\
+ \sum_{r=2}^{k-2} 2n_r \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_r - 2, S'_r) + \sum_{r=k-1}^{k} 2n_r \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_r - 2, S'_r) \\
+ \sum_{r=k-1}^{k} \gamma(2n_1 + 2n_r - 2, S'_r) 
$$

(A.2)

In the last term on the right hand side of the above equation, one barred index has become unbarred. The remaining terms all agree on the barred and unbarred indices.

### A.2 Eguchi-Yang matrix model

The model is defined by the potential (3.2). In terms of this potential, the partition function is

$$
Z = \int [dM] e^{N \text{Tr} V(M)} 
$$

(A.3)

We will work entirely in the small phase space where all couplings are set to zero. Thus, we reduce (3.2) to

$$
\text{Tr} V(M) = -2 \text{Tr}(M \ln M - M) 
$$

(A.4)

Starting from the Schwinger-Dyson equation

$$
0 = \int [dM] \frac{d}{dM_{ij}} \left( (M^{2n_1-1})_{ij} \prod_{j=2}^{k} \text{Tr}(M^{2n}) e^{N \text{Tr} V(M)} \right) 
$$

(A.5)

proceeding as explained above for the Gaussian matrix model, we obtain the following recursion relation

$$
\gamma(\bar{n}_1, n_2, \cdots, n_k) = \sum_{P} \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(j, P) \gamma(n_1 - j - 1, \bar{P}) \\
+ \sum_{r=2}^{k} 2n_r \gamma(n_1 + n_r - 1, S'_r) 
$$

(A.6)
Although we did not use it for the class of correlators we focused on, note that the following recursion relation is also easily obtained

\[
\gamma(\bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \cdots, n_k) = \sum_{P} \sum_{j=0}^{n_1-1} \gamma(j, P) \gamma(n_1 - j - 1, \bar{P}) + n_2 \gamma(n_1 + n_2 - 1, S'_r) + \gamma(n_1 + n_2 - 1, S'_r) + \sum_{r=3}^{k} n_r \gamma(n_1 + n_r - 1, S'_r) \quad (A.7)
\]

Further generalizations are straightforward.

## B  Topological A-model String Theory Correlators

In this Appendix we summarize the recursion relations needed to compute the correlators used in this study. Our discussion is rather brief and the reader is referred to [23, 24, 25, 26, 28] for background. Some of the correlators we use have been computed in [23, 24, 25, 15, 26]. The new correlators that we use, that are not quoted in the literature, are derived in this Appendix.

There is a primary field for each cohomology class of the target manifold in the topological A-model string theory. For the A-model on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) there are thus two primaries, the puncture \( P \) and the Kähler class \( Q \). The observables of the theory are these primaries and their gravitational descendents \( \sigma_n(P) \), \( \sigma_n(Q) \), \( n \geq 1 \). By setting \( O_1 = P \) and \( O_2 = Q \), we describe the complete set of observables using the notation \( O_\alpha \) and \( \sigma_n(O_\alpha) \). The \( U(1) \) charges of the primary fields are \( q_1 = 0 \) and \( q_2 = 1 \). We raise and lower the indices of the primary fields using the metric \( \eta_{11} = \eta_{22} = 0 \) and \( \eta_{12} = \eta_{21} = 1 \).

The correlators of the theory are determined by solving recursion relations, which express \( n \)-point correlators in terms of lower point correlators. The partition function of the string theory contains a coupling constant for each observable in the theory. One distinguishes between recursion relations that hold in the large phase space (when all couplings are turned on) and recursion relations that hold only after certain couplings are set to zero. When all the couplings are set to zero the genus \( g \) correlation functions

\[
\langle \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_1}) \cdots \sigma_{n_s}(O_{\alpha_s}) \rangle_g
\]

only receive contributions from holomorphic maps of degree \( d \) with

\[
2d + 2(g - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} (n_i + q_{\alpha_i} - 1) \quad (B.2)
\]

This is a consequence of the ghost number conservation law. When we want to indicate the contribution to a correlator from maps of a specific degree \( d \) at genus \( g \), we will write \( \langle \cdots \rangle_{g,d} \)
The Eguchi-Hori-Yang relation (below $O_3 = 0$)

$$d^2 \langle \sigma_n(O_\alpha) \rangle_{0,d} = -2n d^2 \langle \sigma_{n-1}(O_\alpha O_\beta) \rangle_{0,d-1} \langle O_\beta \rangle_{0,d} \tag{B.3}$$

holds in the large phase space. Equation (B.5) of [26] spells this out nicely. Setting all couplings to zero and using $\langle P \rangle_{0,d} = \langle Q \rangle_{0,d} = 0$ except $\langle Q \rangle_{0,1} = 1$, the relation (B.3) implies

$$\langle \sigma_{2m}(Q) \rangle_{0,d} = \delta_{d,m+1} \frac{(2m)!}{(m+1)!} \tag{B.4}$$

$$\langle \sigma_{2m+1}(P) \rangle_{0,d} = -2c_{m+1} \delta_{d,m+1} \frac{(2m+1)!}{(m+1)!} \tag{B.5}$$

Next, using the puncture equation

$$\langle P \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_i}) \rangle_{0,d} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i \langle \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \sigma_{n_i-1}(O_{\alpha_i}) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \sigma_{n_s}(O_{\alpha_s}) \rangle_{0,d} \tag{B.6}$$

Hori’s relation [28]

$$\langle Q \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_i}) \rangle_{0,d} = d \langle \prod_{i=1}^{s} \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_i}) \rangle_{0,d}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i \langle \sigma_{n_1}(O_{\alpha_1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \sigma_{n_i-1}(O_{\alpha_i+1}) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \sigma_{n_s}(O_{\alpha_s}) \rangle_{0,d} \tag{B.7}$$

as well as the recursion relation between two point correlators,

$$\langle \sigma_n(O_{\alpha}) \sigma_m(O_{\beta}) \rangle = \frac{2mn\delta_{\phi\phi} \eta^{\delta\phi} \eta^{\gamma\sigma}}{n + m + q_{\alpha} + q_{\beta}} \langle \sigma_{n-1}(O_{\alpha}) O_{\gamma} \rangle \langle \sigma_{m-1}(O_{\beta}) O_{\delta} \rangle$$

$$- \frac{2n}{n + m + q_{\alpha} + q_{\beta}} \langle \sigma_{n-1}(O_{\alpha+1}) \sigma_m(O_{\beta}) \rangle$$

$$- \frac{2m}{n + m + q_{\alpha} + q_{\beta}} \langle \sigma_n(O_{\alpha}) \sigma_{m-1}(O_{\beta+1}) \rangle \tag{B.8}$$

we find

$$\langle \sigma_{2m_1}(Q) \sigma_{2m_2}(Q) \rangle_{0} = \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \frac{(2m_1)!}{m_1! m_1!} \frac{(2m_2)!}{m_2! m_2!} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\langle \sigma_{2m_1-1}(Q) \sigma_{2m_2-1}(Q) \rangle_{0} = \frac{1}{(m_1 + m_2)} \frac{(2m_1)!}{m_1! m_1!} \frac{(2m_2)!}{m_2! m_2!} \tag{B.10}$$
Finally, we have also computed the higher point correlators

\[ \langle \sigma_{2m_1}(Q)\sigma_{2m_2+1}(P) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \frac{(2m_1)! (2m_2 + 1)!}{m_1!m_2!m_2!} \times \left[ -2c_{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \right] \tag{B.11} \]

\[ \langle \sigma_{2m_1+1}(Q)\sigma_{2m_2}(P) \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2(m_1 + m_2 + 1)} \frac{(2m_1 + 2)! (2m_2)!}{(m_1 + 1)!(m_1 + 1)!m_2!(m_2 - 1)!} \times \left[ -c_{m_2} - c_{m_2 - 1} - \frac{1}{m_1 + m_2 + 1} \right] \tag{B.12} \]

\[ \langle \sigma_{2m_1}(P)\sigma_{2m_2}(P) \rangle_0 = \frac{2}{(m_1 + m_2)^3} \frac{(2m_1)! (2m_2)1}{m_1!m_2!m_2!} \times (m_1 m_2 - (m_1 + m_2)(m_2^2 c_{m_2} + m_1^2 c_{m_1}) + 2m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2)^2 c_{m_1} c_{m_2}) \tag{B.13} \]

Higher point correlators are now easily obtained by making use of the topological recursion relation

\[ \langle \sigma_n(O)XY \rangle_0 = n \langle \sigma_{n-1}(O)O Y_{\alpha} \sigma_{\beta} XY \rangle_0 \tag{B.14} \]

which holds in the large phase space. Application of the topological recursion relation now gives

\[ \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3}(Q) \rangle = \frac{1}{4 (k_1!)^2 (k_2!)^2 (k_3!)^2} (2k_1)! (2k_2)! (2k_3)! \tag{B.15} \]

\[ \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_3+1}(P) \rangle = \frac{-2c_{k_3} (2k_1)! (2k_2)! (2k_3 + 1)!}{4 (k_1!)^2 (k_2!)^2 (k_3!)^2} \tag{B.16} \]

\[ \langle \sigma_{2n}(P)\sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2}(Q) \rangle = \frac{(2k_1)! (2k_2)! (2n - 1)!}{(k_1!)^2 (k_2!)^2 ((n - 1)!)^2} \left(-2c_n + \frac{1}{n} \right) \tag{B.17} \]

Finally, we have also computed the higher point correlators

\[ \langle \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{2k_i}(Q)\sigma_{2k_i+1}(P) \rangle = (d + 1)^{n-2} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(2k_i)! (2k + 1)!}{k_i!k_i! k!k!} \left(-2c_k + \frac{n - 2}{d + 1} \right) \tag{B.18} \]

\[ \langle \sigma_{2k_1-1}(Q)\sigma_{2k_2-1}(Q)\prod_{i=3}^n \sigma_{2k_i}(Q)\sigma_{2k_i+1}(P) \rangle = \frac{d^{n-2}}{4} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(2k_i)! (2k + 1)!}{k_i!k_i! k!k!} \left(-2c_k + \frac{n - 2}{d} \right) \tag{B.19} \]

where \(d = \sum_i k_i + k\).
C Correlators in the Gaussian Matrix Model by orthogonal polynomials

By making use of orthogonal polynomials it is possible to compute the connected correlators we have studied in this article. If we focus on the concrete example of the Gaussian matrix model, the relevant orthogonal polynomials are the Hermite polynomials. In this case we have managed to explicitly verify all the integrals we have used by using Mathematica and also by direct numerical evaluation. In this way, there are a number of simple checks we can carry out which directly confirm the solutions to our recursion relations. This has all been done without using analytic continuation to compute any of the integrals appearing\footnote{For these examples, we are thus confirming that the integrals we need to compute can reliably be computed using analytic continuation.}. Of course, establishing the validity of analytic continuation immediately confirms our solutions of the recursion relations, in general.

For the Gaussian model we can rewrite the partition function in terms of Hermite polynomials

\[
Z = \int [dM]_{N \times N} e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{Tr}(M^2)} = \mathcal{N} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\lambda_i \left( \det \left[ H_k \left( \sqrt{N} \lambda_i \right) \right] \right)^2 e^{-\frac{N}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k^2} \right)
\]

(C.1)

normalized using so-called “probabilists” conventions

\[
H_0(x) = 1 \quad H_1(x) = x \quad H_2(x) = x^2 - 1
\]

\[
H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x \quad H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3 \quad \ldots
\]

(C.2)

We choose $\mathcal{N}$ in (C.1) such that $Z = 1$. Using the explicit form of these polynomials and standard techniques, its a trivial matter to verify that

\[
\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} H_m(x)H_n(x) = \delta_{mn} m!
\]

(C.3)

\[
x H_m(x) = H_{m+1}(x) + mH_{m-1}(x)
\]

(C.4)

and hence that

\[
Z = \mathcal{N} \left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} N! \prod_{i=1}^{N} i! \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\left( \frac{2\pi}{N} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} N! \prod_{i=1}^{N} i!}
\]

(C.5)
A very useful formula, obtained from this recursion relation at large $N$, implies that
\[
x^{2k} H_m(x) = \cdots + \frac{(2k)!}{(a)!(2k-a)!} m^a H_{m+2k-2a}(x) + \cdots
\]  
(C.6)

We will use this in performing integrals like (C.9) below. Large $N$ is used to assume that $m \gg 1$, which is true for very nearly all the terms in (C.9). This can be used to compute, for example,

\[
\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} H_{2m}(x) H_{2n}(x) x^{2q} = \frac{(2m)! (2q)!}{(m-n+q)! (q-m+n)!} (2m)^{m-n+q} 
\]  
(C.7)

\[
\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} H_{2m+1}(x) H_{2n+1}(x) x^{2q} = \frac{(2m+1)! (2q)!}{(m-n+q)! (q-m+n)!} (2m+1)^{m-n+q} 
\]  
(C.8)

which we will find extremely useful in what follows. For example, we immediately find

\[
\mathcal{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\lambda_i \left( \det H_i(\sqrt{N}\lambda_j) \right)^2 \sum_{l=1}^{N} \lambda_i^{2k} e^{-\frac{N}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2} = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!} \left( \frac{m^k}{N} \right) 
\]  
(C.9)

The last sum above can be evaluated at large $N$ by trading it for an integral. To do this replace $\frac{m}{N} \rightarrow x$ and integrate over $x$ from 0 to 1. In this way we obtain

\[
\mathcal{N} \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\lambda_i \left( \det H_i(\sqrt{N}\lambda_j) \right)^2 \sum_{l=1}^{N} \lambda_i^{2p} e^{-\frac{N}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2} = \mathcal{N} \int_0^1 dx \frac{(2k)!}{k! k!} x^k
\]

\[
= \frac{(2k)!}{(k+1)! k!} 
\]  
(C.10)

Of course, this reproduces the correct large $N$ result for the correlator

\[
\langle \text{Tr}(M^{2k}) \rangle = \frac{(2k)!}{(k+1)! k!} N
\]  
(C.11)

We will also need

\[
\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} H_{2m}(x) H_{2n}(x) x^{2q} \ln(x^2) = \frac{(2m)! (2q)! (2m)^{m-n+q}}{(m-n+q)! (q-m+n)!} \times \left( \ln(2m) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{2q} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{q+m-n} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{q-m+n} \frac{1}{j} \right) 
\]  
(C.12)

\[
\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^2} H_{2m+1}(x) H_{2n+1}(x) x^{2q} \ln(x^2) = \frac{(2m+1)! (2q)! (2m+1)^{m-n+q}}{(m-n+q)! (q-m+n)!} \times
\]  

These formulas were obtained, for small values of $q$, using Mathematica. The general $q$ values are then obtained by applying the recursion relations which follow from

$$\int \frac{dx}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} H_m(x) H_n(x) x^q \ln(x^2) \right) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.14)

Finally, we drop subleading terms at large $N$, which gives (C.12) and (C.13). Notice that (C.12) and (C.13) can be obtained from (C.7) and (C.8) by analytic continuation. This is basically what we are checking - that the analytic continuation gives the correct result.
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