Case Report

Percutaneous CT-guided superior mesenteric vein access for portal vein recanalization-transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt✩✩
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Abstract

Portal vein recanalization-transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (PVR-TIPS) is a valuable technique in the treatment cirrhosis and portal vein (PV) thrombosis. Only a few studies have reported cases of utilizing the transmesenteric approach in the procedure's initial portal access. Here, we report the successful utilization of a CT-guided percutaneous puncture of the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) for PVR-TIPS in a patient with splenic vein thrombosis. A 54-year-old male with a history of morbid obesity (BMI: 44.67), hepatitis C, NASH cirrhosis, esophageal varices, and complete PV thrombosis presented for PVR-TIPS. An initial percutaneous transplenic approach was attempted, but was aborted due to the discovery of a splenic vein thrombosis. Subsequently, the patient was brought back into the hybrid-angiography CT suite, and the SMV was accessed percutaneously with a 21-gauge needle under 4D CT-guidance. A 5-Fr micropuncture sheath was then placed. Additional portal venogram confirmed PV thrombosis. Right internal jugular vein (IJV) access was then obtained, and the right hepatic vein was catheterized. A loop snare was advanced from the SMV access into the right PV. A Colapinto needle was later positioned in the right hepatic vein, and the right PV was accessed using the loop snare as a target. A wire was then advanced and captured by the snare, and brought down through the PV. The tract was dilated with a 10 mm balloon, and a Viatorr stent was deployed. Balloon embolectomy of the SMV, splenomesenteric vein, and TIPS were then performed with a CODA balloon with improvement in flow through the TIPS on final portal venogram. Portosystemic gradient was
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Background

Portal vein recanalization-transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (PVR-TIPS) is an indispensable technique in the treatment of portal vein (PV) thrombosis and cirrhosis. By restoring portal venous flow and facilitating the placement of a portohepatic shunt, PVR-TIPS provides an effective bridge to liver transplantation [1]. Over the past few years, the percutaneous transsplenic access of the PV has gained immense popularity over the percutaneous transhepatic approach in regards to technical success of PVR-TIPS [2–3]. However, in cases where neither the transsplenic or transhepatic routes are accessible another avenue is certainly warranted.

Previous literature has explored transmesenteric access via minilaparotomy, but also cautiously warned us of the extreme surgical risks associated with this option [4]. Recently, a study has observed the successful completion of PVR-TIPS via a percutaneous transmesenteric approach [5]. However, these attempts were solely conducted through ultrasound (US) guidance, which at times can be limited by factors such as operator experience and patient BMI [6]. In these instances, computed tomography (CT) remains a viable approach. To the authors’ knowledge, the feasibility of CT in guiding transabdominal access has not been applied to PVR-TIPS. Here, we report the utilization of a CT-guided percutaneous puncture of the superior mesenteric vein (SMV) for PVR-TIPS in a patient with a splenic vein thrombosis.

Case Presentation

A 54-year-old male with a history of morbid obesity (BMI: 44.67), hepatitis C, NASH cirrhosis, esophageal varices, and

11 mmHg initially and 10 mmHg post-TIPS. Follow-up TIPS venogram in 3 weeks showed a widely patent TIPS. CT-guided percutaneous SMV access may serve as valuable technique in PVR-TIPS when traditional modes of initial portal access for recanalization are unobtainable.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)
complete PV thrombosis presented for PVR-TIPS. An initial percutaneous transplenic approach was attempted, but was aborted due to the discovery of a splenic vein thrombosis (Fig. 1). After a multidisciplinary discussion ensued, the patient was brought back into a 4D CT or hybrid angio-CT suite (Canon, Tustin, CA) to undergo percutaneous transmesenteric access.

Using CT-guidance, a 21-gauge needle (Cook, Bloomington, IN) was advanced trans-abdominally into the SMV (Fig. 2). A 5-Fr micropuncture sheath (Cook, Bloomington, IN) was then later placed. A subsequent portal venogram confirmed portal vein thrombosis (Fig. 3). A right internal jugular vein (IJV) access was then obtained, and the right hepatic vein was catheterized. A loop snare (Argon, Frisco, TX) was advanced from the SMV access into the right portal vein (Fig. 4). A Colapinto needle (Cook, Bloomington, IN) was then positioned in the right hepatic vein, and the right portal vein was accessed using the loop snare as a target (Fig. 5). A wire was then advanced and captured by the snare, and later brought down through

---

**Fig. 4** – Right hepatic venogram was performed from right IJV access. A loop snare was positioned in the PV from the SMV access

**Fig. 5** – Through and through wire access from the right hepatic vein to the right PV was obtained using the loop snare as a target

**Fig. 6** – Final portal venogram directly after the procedure showcased a widely patent TIPS

**Fig. 7** – TIPS venogram 3 weeks after initial placement also demonstrated a widely patent TIPS
the portal vein. The tract was subsequently dilated with a 10 mm balloon, and a 10 mm x 70 mm Viatorr stent (W.L. Gore & Associates, Flagstaff, Arizona) was deployed. Balloon embolization of the SMV, splenomesenteric vein, and TIPS was then performed with a CODA balloon (Cook, Bloomington, IN). A final portal venogram revealed a patent TIPS as well as an improvement in flow through the portal vasculature (Fig. 6). The portosystemic gradient was 11 mmHg initially, and was 10 mmHg post-TIPS. After 3 weeks from initial placement, a follow-up venogram still demonstrated a widely patent TIPS (Fig. 7).

**Conclusion**

PVR-TIPS remains a valuable technique in the treatment of PV thrombosis and cirrhosis. In regards to accessing the PV for reconstruction in this procedure, the traditional percutaneous transhepatic method comes with a couple drawbacks. First, the approach is predicated on the PV not being occluded, and the PV intrahepatic branches being sufficiently patent [7–8]. Second, transhepatic access increases the risk of tumor seeding in the event of a largely infiltrated tumor in the path of puncture [9]. Similar to the transhepatic approach, the percutaneous transsplenic method also has its limitations such as being inaccessible in splenic vein thrombosis (like this case) or asplenia. In instances where neither of the above routes are feasible, percutaneous SMV access remains a worthwhile option, especially considering its early success [5]. One particular highlight to this approach centers on the anatomy of the SMV in relation to the PV. Unlike the splenic vein in transsplenic access or many of the intrahepatic PV branches in transhepatic access, the SMV is nearly vertically in line with the PV [4]. This allows operators to obtain portal access in a hypothetically efficient way, potentially contributing to lower fluoroscopy use, quicker turnaround for PVR-TIPS, and easier maneuverability within the portal system to conduct related procedures (ie, occluding gastroesophageal varices). Nonetheless, a large clinical trial is necessary to confirm whether transsplenic access truly possesses these advantages over transhepatic and/or transsplenic access.

Previously reported cases on percutaneous transsplenic access centered on ultrasound technology, which is not always the ideal form of guidance. Ultrasound use is entirely operator-dependent, and poses significant technical challenges in obese patients [6]. Meanwhile, CT is a readily available alternative that is not as highly skill dependent, and can easily accommodate larger individuals such as our individual in this case [6]. In addition, CT has been shown to provide better visualization than US in some hepatoporal anatomy in TIPS candidates [10]. In one study, CT scored higher than US in detecting PV branches and the splenic vein [10]. Also, CT was shown to be more sensitive than US in detecting varices, and spontaneous shunts [10]. By improving hepatoporal visualization, CT can increase the technical success rate of PVR-TIPS, and optimize operator decision-making skills in procedure. Like US, CT has its own limitations such as increased radiation exposure, and the inability to capture real-time vessel flow (ie, PV). Nonetheless, CT, whenever appropriate, can serve as a valuable modality in the interventional radiologists’ arsenal for PVR-TIPS via percutaneous SMV access.
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