Order in the playground
Formation of plant gene clusters in dynamic chromosomal regions
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In eukaryotes the arrangement of genes along the chromosome is not as random as it at first appeared, and distinctive clusters of functionally related but non-homologous genes can be found in the genomes of certain animals and fungi. These include the major histocompatibility complex in mammals and gene clusters for nutrient use and secondary metabolite production in fungi. A growing number of functional gene clusters for different types of secondary metabolite are now being discovered in plant genomes. However, the molecular mechanisms and evolutionary pressures behind their formation are poorly understood. Here we discuss the implications of our recent investigation into the origin of two functional gene clusters in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana.

We recently reported the discovery of the marneral cluster, a functional gene cluster for the biosynthesis of previously unknown triterpene derivatives, in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana.¹ Our study is one of the latest of a growing number of reports on the identification of functional gene clusters in plants. In eukaryotes functionally related genes are usually scattered across the genome. However, clusters of functionally related but non-homologous genes have been identified in the genomes of animals and certain fungi.²,³ These include the MHC in mammals,⁴ gene clusters for nutrient use in yeast,⁵,⁶ and a multitude of clusters for diverse secondary metabolic pathways in filamentous fungi.⁸,⁹ Although these clusters have operon-like features (physical clustering and co-regulation) they are clearly distinct from operons because the genes within each cluster are independently transcribed.³

In plants, genes for secondary metabolic pathways are not generally considered to be clustered. For example, genes for the well studied phenylpropanoid and glucosinolate pathways are not clustered in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. However, as more plant genomes are sequenced and analyzed it is becoming clear that clustering of genes for secondary metabolism may be more common than previously realized. Ten years ago a gene cluster for the synthesis of cyclic hydroxamic acids associated with plant defense was discovered in maize (Zea mays).¹⁰ Since then gene clusters for defense-related secondary metabolic pathways have been discovered in a variety of other species, including oat (Avena strigosa),¹¹-¹⁴ rice (Oryza sativa),¹⁵-¹⁷ sorghum (Sorghum bicolor),¹⁸ cassava (Manihot esculenta),¹⁹ Lotus japonicas¹⁹ and Arabidopsis thaliana.¹,³ The discovery of these functional gene clusters in plants, with other as yet unpublished examples in the pipeline, has allowed us to start looking for the rules that may underlie gene cluster formation and genome dynamics in plants.

Two functional gene clusters have been identified in the genome of Arabidopsis thaliana so far- first the thalianol cluster, and more recently the marneral cluster (Fig. 1).¹,³ Each cluster consists of a set of co-expressed genes that encode enzymatic pathways for the synthesis and elaboration of either thalianol or marnerol, specialized
The Timing of Gene Cluster Assembly

Analysis of the Arabidopsis lyrata genome (a close relative of A. thaliana) revealed that the thalianol cluster is present, and that the marneral cluster is absent. Two observations suggest that the absence of the marneral cluster is due to a deletion event. First, we found that two of the marneral cluster gene products (MRN1 and CYP705A12) have more basal phylogenetic origins than their thalianol cluster paralogs (THAS and THAD). Second, the thalianol cluster genes from A. thaliana each have a direct ortholog in A. lyrata, while the marneral cluster genes do not. This evidence combined with phylogenetic evidence for the individual genes within each cluster allowed us to propose that gene cluster assembly occurred before the divergence of A. lyrata and A. thaliana, or about 13 million years ago based on a dated phylogeny. Our phylogenetic evidence also showed that the marneral and thalianol cluster genes are restricted to the Brassicaceae lineage, putting an upper limit on gene cluster age. More recent evidence now suggests that the thalianol and marneral clusters are likely to be restricted to a single clade within the Brassicaceae: we cannot detect intact clusters in the newly sequenced genomes of Brassica rapa, Thellungiella parvula or Thellungiella halophila. Interestingly there are also no direct orthologs to the majority of the cluster genes. These findings suggest that the clusters and the majority of associated genes were not yet present in the common ancestor of B. rapa, Thellungiella and A. thaliana, which is thought to have existed about 43 million years ago (Fig. 2). An alternative, although less parsimonious, explanation is that the gene clusters have been lost from both B. rapa and Thellungiella. This explanation would place cluster formation considerably earlier at between 43 and 64 million years ago, or around the same time as the α-whole genome duplication event. Whole genome sequencing of more Brassicacea species, such as Capsella bursa which is currently underway, will increase our resolution of the timing of cluster formation.

Location Matters

The thalianol and marneral clusters are not simply the result of the whole-scale duplication of an ancestral cluster. Instead, the two clusters were most probably founded either independently, or else by the duplication of an ancestral gene pair followed by independent rearrangements and the recruitment of different genes (Fig. 1). Crucially, these hypotheses suggest that the marneral and thalianol cluster regions could share special features that are required for cluster formation.

Each cluster is located in an island between segments of the genome that were duplicated in the most recent whole genome duplication event, which occurred 47–69 mya (the α-event). This location is rather unusual, because only 11% of annotated genes exist outside of α-duplicated segments. The discovery of both gene clusters in non-α regions implies that such locations may be...
important for gene cluster assembly. Non-
α regions are known to be generally poor
in genes and to contain an excess of TEs
and pseudogenes.\textsuperscript{25,26} We found that TE
density was indeed higher for the cluster
regions than in flanking chromosomal
regions. Cluster TE density was also higher
than in other comparable regions between
α-duplicated chromosome segments. It
is tempting to suggest that these TEs
directly contributed to the assembly of
gene clusters: TEs promote ectopic recom-
bination, and certain classes such as
helitrons, which are present in both
clusters, can transduplicate genes.\textsuperscript{27,28}
However, due to their relatively short half
lives (0.6–2 million years in Arabidopsis\textsuperscript{29})
and an almost complete absence of TE
conservation between \textit{A. thaliana} and
\textit{A. lyrata} it is very unlikely that present
day TEs directly contributed to gene
cluster assembly. At the same time, the
short lifespan of TEs means that they serve
as excellent markers of recent ectopic
recombination activity. The TE enrich-
ment at the marneral and thalianol clusters
therefore suggests that these regions are
particularly dynamic because they accept
and retain segmental duplications (SDs)
more frequently than neighboring regions.
SD acceptor sites have been identified in
other eukaryotic genomes and are, for
example, present in the human genome
where they are thought to play a key role
in the generation of new gene functions.\textsuperscript{30}
Interestingly, human SD acceptor sites are
suggested to have arisen following a burst
in retrotransposition activity (the \textit{Alu}
burst) 35 million years ago, implicating
TEs in the establishment of SD acceptor
regions.\textsuperscript{30}

Is enhanced recombinational activity
an inherent feature of the cluster regions?
The evidence appears to indicate that it is.
The thalianol cluster is TE rich and has a
completely different TE composition in
both \textit{A. thaliana} and \textit{A. lyrata}. This
suggests that the thalianol region was still
a recombinational hotspot in the lyrata/
thaliana ancestor, and that recombinational
activity is independent of the TE
composition of these regions. The thalia-
nonol and marneral clusters also show evi-
dence of large-scale recombination events.
In \textit{A. lyrata} the marneral cluster appears
to have been entirely deleted, and the thalia-
nonol cluster has experienced the insertion
of a large stretch of TE rich DNA.\textsuperscript{31}
A syntenic map between \textit{A. thaliana} and
\textit{A. lyrata} shows that the two cluster regions
are located either side of the centromere
of \textit{A. lyrata} chromosome 8 due to chro-
mosomal rearrangements (Fig. 3). Notably
we see that the cluster regions are located

Figure 2. Timing of cluster assembly. The evolutionary tree highlights the period over which the
thalianol (orange line) and marneral (green line) clusters may have been present in the Brassicaceae.
The blue line indicates the period over which the thalianol and marneral clusters probably formed. These
timings are predicted by the most parsimonious explanation of gene cluster assembly
(see text). The α and β whole genome duplication events are indicated as circles. Figure adapted from reference 1.

Figure 3. Inferring the ancestral position of the thalianol and marneral gene clusters.
(A) The present-day location of the thalianol (T) and marneral (M) clusters in \textit{A. thaliana} and
\textit{A. lyrata}, which has an ancestral karyotype. Chromosome maps were prepared using the SynMap
tool in CoGe,\textsuperscript{38} and letters V,W and X refer to the syntenic genomic blocks that make up
the genomes of different members of the Brassicaceae.\textsuperscript{22} (B) DNA FISH of \textit{Avena strigosa} (diploid
oat) chromosomes using the gene for the first committed step in avenacin biosynthesis, \textit{Sad1},
as a probe. Image adapted from reference 14.
very near to the chromosome breakpoints that occurred during these rearrangements. Little is known about the nature of chromosome breakpoints in plants. However, in mammals breakpoints are strongly associated with the presence of SDs. The cluster region breakpoints also appear to have been repeatedly used during evolution because the chromosome segments that they delimit are found in different chromosomal positions in many different Brassicaceae species. These observations reinforce our hypothesis that the marneral and thalianol gene clusters lie in dynamic chromosomal regions.

Relative to other members of the Brassicaceae A. thaliana has an atypical genome that has been reduced in size and chromosome number. Therefore, it is possible that the marneral and thalianol clusters were assembled at a different chromosomal location. Chromosome painting experiments and the sequencing of different Brassicaceae genomes together indicate that A. lyrata has retained an essentially ancestral karyotype. As a consequence, the close proximity of the marneral and thalianol clusters to the centromere of A. lyrata chromosome 8 (Fig. 3) is likely to have been preserved in the common ancestor of A. thaliana and A. lyrata where the gene clusters were first assembled (Fig. 2). If this hypothesis is correct then the centromere border would be a distinctive new location for assembly of a functional gene cluster in plants. Interestingly, while many fungal gene clusters are subtelomeric, in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) the well known galactose utilization pathway (GAL) cluster is located next to the centromere of chromosome 2. We previously noted that there is at least one other putative functional cluster region in A. thaliana that contains the PEN1/BARS1 OSC genes. The PEN1/BARS1 region is also TE rich, and is located near to the centromere of chromosome 6 in A. lyrata. However, the proximity of the PEN1/BARS1 region to the centromere (approximately 8% of the total chromosome length from the centromere) is not as extreme as we see for the thalianol and marneral clusters (< 1%).

The positioning of the marneral and thalianol clusters points toward a general mechanism underlying functional gene cluster assembly, because other plant gene clusters are similarly positioned in dynamic chromosomal regions. Three other plant functional gene clusters—the maize cluster for cyclic hydroxamic acids, the sorghum cluster for cyanogenic glucosides, and the oat avenacin cluster (Fig. 3)—are located in subtelomeres. Subtelomeres are well known hotspots for chromosomal recombination and SD. The enhanced turnover of SDs within such regions is likely to provide a special environment or “evolutionary playground” that will accelerate the sampling of different gene combinations, and thus the assembly and functional optimisation of operon-like gene clusters in response to selection pressure.

Of the remaining plant gene clusters three—the L. japonicus cyanogenic glucoside cluster and the rice phytoestrogens and momilactone clusters—are not located in sub-telomeric regions. The location of the cyanogenic glucoside cluster in cassava is not currently known. Further investigations will be needed to find out how these clusters were formed and whether they are also associated with dynamic chromosomal regions.

**Better Together?**

The primary advantages conferred by clustering of the genes for a metabolic pathway are likely to be co-regulation and co-inheritance (see ref. 14 for a recent review). Little is known about the transcriptional regulation of plant gene clusters. If we take the reasonable assumption that there will be parallels with fungal gene cluster regulation then it is likely that plant gene cluster regulation will be complex and multi-faceted, and will involve cluster-wide chromatin remodelling. In relation to the TE-rich Arabidopsis clusters the suspected chromatin-level regulation of the fungal penicillin cluster by a neighboring TE is particularly intriguing. Preliminary evidence does support the notion that chromatin-level regulation may be important for the coordination of gene expression in plant functional gene clusters. In oat activation of the avenacin gene cluster is accompanied by chromatin decondensation. In A. thaliana the marneral and thalianol cluster genes are marked with histone methylation, and the expression of at least several cluster genes appears to be controlled by chromatin remodelling factors. These findings now need to be built upon and the principal mechanisms behind the transcriptional regulation of these and other plant gene clusters established.

The persistence of secondary metabolite gene clusters in unstable regions is remarkable. Cluster persistence is likely to be promoted by selection for the ability to produce protective compounds. Disruption of these clusters may lead not only to loss of the pathway end products but also to accumulation of toxic/bioactive intermediates, which may further enhance selection for clustering. Furthermore, while subtelomeric, centromere proximal and TE-rich regions may experience relatively high rates of ectopic recombination this does not necessarily extend to increased rates of meiotic recombination. Rather, although the situation in plants is not yet clear, in a number of eukaryotic organisms meiotic recombination is suppressed at centromeres and at many telomeres, and can also be suppressed by repetitive elements that maintain a closed chromatin conformation. Therefore, the position and chromatin status of functional gene clusters in plants and in other organisms may serve to protect the cluster regions from breakup during meiosis. Such a feature would have the potential to play a very important role during the fixation of gene clusters in genetically heterogeneous populations.

**Conclusions**

Over recent years the rate of plant gene cluster discovery has accelerated. The majority of these clusters formed independently, are present in a restricted range of species and can be considered to serve adaptive functions. Our work and the work of others has helped show that similar mechanisms are likely to be behind the formation of the majority of these diverse clusters. We anticipate further important advances into our understanding of gene cluster formation and function as more clusters are analyzed in
detail, and ever more plant genomes are sequenced and scrutinised. The discovery of more clusters may also allow us to finally establish whether functional gene clusters form only for the production of secondary metabolites in plants or whether they also form for different pathways and a wider range of adaptive functions.
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