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ABSTRACT

Aim and background: A systemic method for the application of Ilizarov fixators and on-table fracture reduction is described in this instructional article. This technique has been developed from the unit’s practice in adult patients. The indications, underlying principles and rationale for the method are also discussed.

Technique: The basic concept involves the construction of a series of concentric, colinear rings aligned with the mechanical axis of the limb. An orthogonal ring block is initially placed on the proximal segment and extended distally. Wire to ring reduction techniques are used resulting in the contact, alignment and stability required for early full weight-bearing, free movement of knee and ankle, and subsequent healing.

Conclusion and clinical significance: Our step-by-step guide takes the reader through a systematic approach to surgery along with tips and tricks on how to achieve reduction and avoid the common pitfalls. With this method, it is possible to achieve an on-table reduction and correction of a multiplanar deformity without the use of expensive hexapod technology. This may allow less experienced users reproduce the technique with a shorter learning curve.
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Background

Fractures of the tibia are the third most common injury to long bones in the paediatric population.¹ We describe an adaptation of our systematic approach to Ilizarov surgery for adult tibial fractures for the treatment of children.²-⁴ The basic concept involves constructing a four-ring construct, orthogonally aligned with the proximal segment and using wire to ring techniques to reduce the distal segment. The intended result is to generate contact, axial alignment and stability at the fracture, to allow early full weight-bearing, free movement of the knee and ankle, and subsequent union. This acute on-table reduction technique does not require expensive hexapod technology. In this article, we present the method with a step-by-step guide for achieving a stable ring construct and on-table reduction.

In our practice, we consider this technique for the treatment of acute tibial fractures in the following situations:

- Unstable fracture pattern
- Segmental fractures, particularly when associated with physeal injuries
- Open fractures
- Failure of conservative or other operative treatment method
- Polytrauma including bilateral tibial fractures to facilitate early weight-bearing
- Children above a weight where other relevant treatment methods would be contraindicated

Technique

Preoperative management is according to basic principles with analgesia, splinting and elevation, initiated in the emergency department. Open fractures are managed according to the Trauma BOAST (British Orthopaedic Association Standards: Open fractures and Diagnosis and management of compartment syndrome of the lower limb).³⁻⁵ Surgical management is undertaken as soon as possible, unless delay is required for other clinical reasons, on planned trauma lists.

To achieve mechanical stability, a construct with two rings per fracture segment is planned wherever possible. A minimum of four fixation elements, ideally two per ring, are used per segment. Where segments are too short to allow two rings to be placed, one ring with three fixation elements is used, with these dropped from the ring as appropriate to afford near-far fixation. We aim to reduce all acute fractures less than 14 days from injury. Where management has been delayed, and fracture union has commenced enough to block acute reduction, gradual correction is undertaken using either a hexapod or Ilizarov deformity correction construct.

It is important to note that the tibia is not a straight bone but has a natural S-shaped curve, variable between patients.⁶ Full-length anteroposterior (AP) and lateral radiographs of the tibia are essential to assess the degree of curvature preoperatively. The images obtained intraoperatively, using an image intensifier, do not allow for these views to be directly reproduced on-table. Therefore, during the procedure, we ascertain the alignment with the placement of long ‘alignment’ rods placed through the frame construct.
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**Equipment**

Lightweight carbon fibre, aluminium or titanium circular frame systems are used. Ring diameters of 140 to 180 mm are suitable for most cases. Whilst stainless steel rings are an acceptable alternative for paediatric cases, the lighter versions above are preferred to facilitate mobilisation and rehabilitation. A full set of fixation equipment should be available. We use two radiolucent bolsters, fashioned from sterile towels wrapped in a crepe bandage, to elevate the limb from the table, allowing access and facilitating lateral fluoroscopy.

Non-shedding sterile dressings (Allevyn – Smith and Nephew, UK) or standard 2 cm × 2 cm gauze as per published methods (RCN guidelines)\(^8\) are used. A large cotton cloth can be wrapped around the frame at the end of the procedure to prevent trauma to the other leg as the patient wakes up from anaesthesia.

**Set-up**

The patient is positioned supine on a radiolucent table. The rotational profile and tibial bow of the uninjured leg are assessed to determine normal limb attitude (Fig. 1A). A sandbag is then placed under the ipsilateral hip to rotate the injured limb, the tibial tuberosity facing the ceiling, aiding assessment of rotation. It is important that this does not migrate too far distally, behind the thigh, as it may interfere with the placement of the bolsters used to elevate the limb. We avoid the use of tourniquet and muscle paralytic agents. Where felt appropriate, the general anaesthetic is supplemented with a popliteal or epidural nerve block for improved postoperative pain relief. This is avoided in high-energy acute fractures and other situations with an increased risk of compartment syndrome. Prophylactic antibiotics are given on induction of anaesthesia. A shut-off drape is positioned mid-way up the thigh to avoid any proximal pooling of the antiseptic solution. The skin is prepped with alcoholic chlorhexidine from the toes to the level of the shut-off drape and sterile drapes applied. Two radiolucent bolsters are placed underneath the knee and ankle (Fig. 1A). The C-arm of the image intensifier is positioned at the opposite side of the table to the injured leg, and the screens are placed at the foot end of the table. The relevant anatomical landmarks are identified and labelled with a skin marker. These include the outline of the patella, patellar tendon, tibial tuberosity, joint lines and physis. It is important to check again for occult physeal injuries with the use of the image intensifier. If present, cannulated screws (3.5 or 4 mm) are used to stabilise after the anatomy is restored.

**Terminology**

The rings and wires are numbered in the chronological order of use (R1, R2, R3, R4) and (W1, W2, etc), respectively (Fig. 2).

**Wire Insertion Principles**

Wires are placed percutaneously in safe anatomic corridors as previously described.\(^9\) Care should be taken to avoid thermal necrosis particularly in the diaphysis, and pulsed drilling should be employed to allow the wire tip to cool. It is also important that the wire is placed so that it passes through the medullary canal rather than entirely through cortical bone as this can increase the risk of the wire overheating and lead to a stress riser on wire removal with the potential for refracture. Once the wire has breached the second cortex, it is advanced further using a mallet, minimising the risk of injury to structures on the far side of the bone. Releases should be performed on all wires if there is skin tension or tenting. Wires are connected to the rings using appropriate hardware and tensioned to between 110 and 130 kg (depending on the weight of the patient). Unless wires are being used to effect a fracture reduction, it is important that they are attached to the ring without deflection. Rather, washers or other hardware are used to attach the wires where they lie; otherwise as the wire is tensioned, a deforming force will be applied to the bone segment leading to malalignment.

**Proximal Ring Construct**

The proximal ring block is constructed first. Appropriately sized rings are selected according to the diameter of the calf at its widest point, aiming for an approximately two finger breadth clearance posteriorly. This is to allow for postoperative swelling. An olive reference wire (W1) is placed in the transverse plane (Fig. 1B), orthogonal to the shaft of the proximal tibia. This should be positioned in the metaphysis, distal to the proximal physis and posterior to the apophysis of the tibial tuberosity. The wire is inserted from lateral to medial, anterior to the proximal fibula, parallel to the coronal plane. For distal tibial fractures, this can be placed more distally, 3 to 4 cm inferior to the proximal physis. In more proximal fractures, placement 1 cm inferior to the proximal physis is preferred to obtain sufficient spread of fixation for proximal segment stability. A lateral radiograph can be taken to confirm that the apophysis has not been violated by the placement of this reference wire, particularly with more proximal placement. A second transverse wire (W2) is placed from lateral to medial at the planned position of the second ring on the proximal segment. This would usually be approximately 2 cm proximal to the fracture site (Fig. 1C).

Once wires W1 and W2 have been placed, a ring block is constructed using two rings with three threaded rods placed anterior midline, posterior midline and lateral. This double ring block can then be attached to the reference wire (W1). The alignment is checked in the AP view using the anterior midline rod as a reference against the axis of the tibia as determined on preoperative imaging, usually a mid-cortical line (Fig. 1C). If malalignment with the axis is identified at this point, it is corrected by placing appropriate washers on the wire connectors as required. In the lateral view, the threaded rod placed laterally acts as the reference for imaging. This should be aligned with the sagittal plane anatomic axis of the tibia, best represented by the posterior cortex on the lateral view. To correct malalignment in this plane, the wire connection on the distal ring is moved forwards or backwards as required. Due to the shape of the lower leg, it would be usual to see greater clearance anteriorly at R2 than at R1 when the appropriate alignment has been achieved. It is important that clearance is checked circumferentially, and once satisfactory position and alignment have been confirmed, W1 and W2 can be tightened and tensioned. When using paired half rings, the authors prefer to place the connecting seam anteriorly. This is the least likely place for it to interfere with wire attachment and, if consistently aligned with the tibial tuberosity, this can help with a judgement of rotation during reduction manoeuvres.

Fixation is completed by passing a medial-to-lateral (medial face) olive wire at each level (W3 and W4) (Fig. 1D). One more rod is added to the construct medially to give a total of four rods connecting the two rings. Finally, the medial face wires are attached to the rings and tensioned. Once satisfactory alignment is confirmed, the ends are cut flush (or bent with two right angles
### (A) Preoperative setup

Check for rotational alignment.

Setup on radiolucent table with two "bolsters" to support the leg.

![Preoperative setup image](image)

### (B) W1 and W2 placement

Anatomical landmarks marked.

Proximal reference wire (W1) and distal wire (W2) of proximal ring construct placed.

![W1 and W2 placement image](image)

### (C) Proximal ring construct

The 2 proximal rings are now connected with three rods.

The proximal ring construct is then loosely connected to the wires (W1 & W2) and alignment is checked.

![Proximal ring construct image](image)

### (D) W3 and W4 placement

One more medial rod is placed between the 2 rings to add stability before tensioning the wires (4 rods in total).

![W3 and W4 placement image](image)

### (E) Distal ring construct

The smooth ankle reference wire (W5) is passed above the physis.

The 2 distal rings can be connected with posts as in this example. The reference wire is NOT connected to the rings yet.

![Distal ring construct image](image)

### (F) Connection of ring blocks

The distal ring construct is connected to the proximal ring construct with four rods.

The foot is positioned within the construct at the correct alignment and rotation.

![Connection of ring blocks image](image)

### (G) W5 attachment

The ankle reference wire (W5) is connected to the most distal ring (R4) and tensioned.

![W5 attachment image](image)
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| (H) Distraction and valgus-varus correction |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Distraction with traction on the distal ring helps to disimpact the fracture |
| Valgus-varus correction achieved by tapping the frame with a mallet |

| (J) Residual deformity |
|------------------------|
| At R3 arching wires and/or pushing wires are placed depending on the residual deformity |

| (J) Gradual compression |
|------------------------|

| (K) Summary |

---

**Figs 1A and B: Step-by-step guidance (A to K) from preoperative set-up to reference wire (W 5)**

around the ring) and the proximal ring construct is complete (Figs 1A to D and 2A and B).

Hydroxyapatite (HA)-coated half-pins can be used in larger patients (weighing over 80 kg) to provide extra support to the frame construct. These are placed at R1 and R2 within the antero-medial arc as per Nayagam et al.\(^{10}\) Half-pins can also be substituted for wires as necessary to avoid compromised soft tissue in, for example, open fractures or patients who have had compartment syndrome. Mechanically, it may be preferable to place a pin on each ring, approximately in the same direction, oriented as close as possible to the sagittal plane.\(^{11}\)

**Distal Ring Construct**

First, a reference wire (W5) is inserted in the distal tibia (Fig. 1E). The assistant holds the foot, applying gentle in-line traction and rotation...
until a mortise view of the ankle is achieved. Under fluoroscopic
guidance, a transverse plain reference wire (W5) is passed from
lateral to medial, 5 to 10 mm proximal to the distal physis. This
wire should be placed perpendicular to the axis of the distal tibial
segment, which is usually parallel to the projection of the talar
dome. The wire entry point should be just anterior to the fibula,
exiting centrally above the medial malleolus. It is important that this
reference wire is placed in the coronal plane of the tibia (20 degrees
offset to the transmalleolar axis); otherwise, any correction along
this wire later may lead to a sagittal plane deformity.

The distal ring block, consisting of two rings (R3 and R4),
is then built with two connecting rods (or posts for very distal
fractures), placed anteriorly and posteriorly (Fig. 1F). The distal
ring block is now connected to the proximal ring block with four
threaded rods, placed anteromedial, anterolateral, posteromedial,
and posterolateral. These rods should be attached flush and fully
tightened at R3 but with enough spare length at their only finger-
tight attachment to the proximal block at R2. This allows swift
adjustment for distraction/compression manoeuvres as outlined
below. These four rods should all be the same length resulting in
the proximal and distal ring blocks being parallel, concentric, and
collinear.

**Recommended 5-step Sequence for Acute Reduction**

The frame (R1–R4) has now been constructed, aligned with the
proximal segment as reference in the sagittal and coronal planes
(Figs 1F and 2C). If the reduction manoeuvres described below do
not result in accurate reduction, it is usually the case that a previous
step is incorrect. If problems are encountered, it is usually necessary
to move back and repeat.

1. **Rotation**

In the AP view, the distal segment is manually positioned in within
the frame construct by applying in line traction at the foot. Rotation
is considered first. This is best assessed clinically, by looking from
the foot of the patient in plantigrade position from the bottom
of the operating table towards their patella and tibial tuberosity.
This essentially sets the equivalent of the thigh-foot angle. If
the proximal ring block seam has been aligned with the tibial
tuberosity, then it can aid in judgement of rotation. This usually
falls at approximately the second ray of the foot. Clues can also
be gained from lateral radiographs. If the fibula fracture re-aligns,
then tibial rotation is likely to be approximately correct. Similarly,
the proximal and distal spikes of torsional tibial fractures should
align once rotation is corrected.

2. **Translation in sagittal plane**

In the lateral view, the centre of the talar dome should align with
the proximal tibial shaft (midline). If this is incorrect, translation
is adjusted by moving the connection of the wire (W5), and therefore
the distal segment, anteriorly or posteriorly on the ring (R4),
without changing rotation. It is important to note that residual
sagittal plane angulation (pro- or recurvatum) may persist at this
point and can be corrected later. We recommend adjusting the rod
length through the frame construct (at R2–R3), so that there is a
slight downward bend (apex of bend proximal) on W5, particularly
if the fracture appears shortened. This introduces distraction at
the fracture site, once W5 tensioned. If the resulting position is
unsatisfactory, attempt further distraction by rod lengthening
at R2 to R3 as interlocking of the main fracture fragments might
hinder subsequent reduction manoeuvres. If that does not succeed,
un-tension and re-attach W5 until satisfactory rotational and
sagittal reduction is achieved (Figs 1G and H). Do not proceed until
this stage of reduction appears satisfactory.

3. **Translation in coronal plane – ‘Sliding manoeuvre’**

Some residual translation and angulation may be present due to
soft tissue tension or impingement of fragments. This is corrected
by sliding the distal fragment along the smooth wire (W5) (Fig. 1H),
thereby shifting the ankle centre underneath the proximal segment.
This is best achieved by tapping the medial or lateral side of frame
with a mallet whilst holding the foot firmly.

There is often a natural varus bow to the distal tibia in children,
and the majority of patients displace into valgus and translate
laterally. In this situation, the foot is held on the lateral border and
the most distal ring is tapped from the medial side. This brings
the distal fragment from lateral to medial and will usually correct
any residual valgus deformity. If the translation is medial, then the
manoeuvre is reversed.

4. **The ‘near fracture’ wire for further adjustments**

If minor malalignment in the coronal plane remains, this can be
corrected using a ‘near fracture’ pulling olive wire (W6) on ring R3
(Fig. 1I). Here the wire is passed from the direction that the bone
needs correcting from. For example, when correcting residual
lateral translation, a transverse olive wire is inserted from the lateral
side from the direction of maximal displacement. Before securing
this wire to the medial side of the ring, the tensioner is applied and
carefully used to draw the wire to it, pulling the bone with the olive.
X-ray control is used, and once the desired position is achieved,
the wire is secured as usual and the tensioning completed. As this
applies further fixation and begins to lock the distal fragment in
position, it is prudent to check for pro- or recurvatum in the sagittal
plane before finalising this step.

Minor residual angulation in the sagittal plane (pro- or
recurvatum) can be due to impaction of the fracture fragments,
in which case more distraction (between R2 and R3) and gentle
manual manipulation can lead to improved reduction. If sufficient
distraction has been applied, then applying a rotational force
by plantar or dorsiflexing the foot can correct angulation of this
nature by pivoting the fragment around the reference wire (W5).
If successful, then this force will need to be maintained whilst
further fixation is applied. Finally, if these manoeuvres do not
result in correction of sagittal plane angulation, then an arched
reduction wire (W6) can be placed on ring R3. Following insertion,
the wire is deformed either anteriorly or posteriorly depending
on the desired correction. The arched wire is straightened once
tensioned, moving the bone at that level anteriorly or posteriorly,
correcting angulation.

Two adjustment techniques are described here – one for
residual angulation or translation or both in the coronal plane
and another for residual sagittal plane pro- or recurvatum. Both
techniques may have to be combined into one step (achieving
fracture reduction with the same wire) if both types of residual
deformity exist concurrently.

5. **Completion**

Once the reduction is acceptable after tightening and tensioning
W6, the position of the bone is essentially set, fixation is then
completed for stability. A trans-fibular wire on ring 4 (W7) and
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Figs 2A to D: Wire to ring reduction technique: After building the anatomically aligned proximal ring construct (R1-2 in A), the frame is extended distally with further two rings (R3-4 in B). The ankle reference wire (W5) is then attached to R4 after positioning of the limb (C). Note the intentional distraction between R2-3 to disimpact fracture fragments (C). Reduction techniques as described in text complete reduction and contact at fracture site (D).

This systematic approach, adapted from our technique used in adult patients, is effective for the treatment of a wide variety of tibial fractures in children of all ages. It is reproducible and is helpful to frame surgeons working in units with lower caseload volumes and limited availability of expensive hexapod technology.
With experience, the frame construction can be completed rapidly. By following this method, on-table reduction is possible for the majority of these fractures avoiding the need of postoperative correction. Achieving immediate reduction with contact, alignment and stability of the fracture helps facilitate early weight-bearing and rehabilitation. Available evidence would suggest that Ilizarov management is safe, effective and well tolerated in children with tibial fractures.12,13
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