Seasonal changes in pollen limitation and femaleness along the snowmelt gradient in a distylos alpine herb, *Primula modesta*
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**Abstract**

Flowering phenology of alpine plants is strongly determined by the timing of snowmelt, and the conditions of pollination of widely distributed plants vary greatly during their flowering season. We examined the reproductive success of the distylos alpine herb, *Primula modesta*, along the snowmelt gradient under natural conditions, and compared it with the result of artificial pollination experiments. In addition, the compositions and visit frequencies of pollinators to the flower of *P. modesta* were examined during the flowering period. The pin and thrum plants of *P. modesta* growing at the same site have an equal ability to produce seeds if a sufficient amount of legitimate pollen grains are deposited on the stigma surface. However, under natural conditions, their seed-set success was often (even if not always) restricted by pollen limitation, and the functional gender of the pin and thrum plants biased to the female and male, respectively, associated with their growing sites. These variations were not ascribed to resource limitation nor biased morph ratio but to the seasonal changes in pollination situations, a replacement of pollinator types from long-to short-tongued pollinators resulted in unidirectional pollen transfer from long stamens (thrum plants) to long styles (pin plants). The functional gender specialization may enhance the evolution of dioecy from heterostyly, but the severe pollen limitation may cause the breakdown of heterostyly into homostyly. To consider the evolutionary pathway of heterostyloous plants, an accumulation of the empirical data is required demonstrating how phenological synchrony between plants and pollinators is decided and to what degree this relationship is stable over years, along with estimates of selection and gene flow in individual plants.

**Introduction**

Seed production by plants is often restricted by the quantity and quality of pollen grains deposited on the stigma surface (Burd 1994; Larson and Barrett 2000; Ashman et al. 2004; Knight et al. 2005; Aizen and Harder 2007; Harder and Aizen 2010; Alonso et al. 2013; Wolowski et al. 2013; Arceo-Gómez and Ashman 2014). This effect, termed pollen limitation, has been studied by both ecological and evolutionary biologist from the several viewpoints: for example, population dynamics, life histories, floral traits, and reproductive strategies in plants. However, the causes and consequences of pollen limitation are still unclear, because they vary greatly depending on pollination situations (e.g., pollinator composition and activity), mating system (e.g., self-compatibility), and genetic load (e.g., inbreeding depression) of individual plant (Kameyama and Kudo 2015).

Heterostyly is a mating system in which anthers and stigmas are placed reciprocally between the two (distyly)
or three (tristyly) types of floral morphs (Darwin 1877; Barrett 2002; Barrett and Shore 2008). Because most heterostylos species possess a heteromorphic self-incompatibility system that limits or prevents self- and intramorph mating (Barrett and Shore 2008), reproductive success of heterostylos species strongly depends on pollen transfer between different morphs, termed legitimate pollination (Darwin 1877). As reported by Barrett (2002), heterostyly is a mating strategy that simultaneously reduces selfing and sexual interference, while promoting efficient cross-pollination between morphs, a process known as disassortative pollination (Darwin 1877; Lloyd and Webb 1992; Barrett 2002; Lau and Bosque 2003; Barrett and Shore 2008).

The disassortative pollination hypothesis of Darwin (1877) has been examined by many studies (Ganders 1974, 1979; Barrett and Glover 1985; Barrett and Wolfe 1986; Wolfe and Barrett 1989; Stone 1995; Ree 1997; Nishihiro and Washitani 1998; Nishihiro et al. 2000; Ornelas et al. 2004; Sánchez et al. 2010; Valois-Cuesta et al. 2011; Keller et al. 2014; Simón-Porcar et al. 2014), and the results generally support the Darwin’s hypothesis (1877); the proportion of legitimate pollen grains deposited on the stigmas of each morph is greater than expected from random pollination (Barrett and Shore 2008). However, most of these studies examined the pollen transfer by “long-tongued” legitimate pollinators, which probe for nectar at the bottom of the floral tube and transfer legitimate pollen grains between reciprocal morphs. This means that changes in pollination situations may disrupt the disassortative pollination system in heterostylos species.

For example, Beach and Bawa (1980) proposed that short-tongued pollinators may cause unidirectional pollen transfer from long stamens to long styles, resulting in asymmetrical disassortative pollination; functional gender of the pin and thrum plants becomes to more female biased and male biased, respectively. On the other hand, several studies suggested that insufficient pollen transfer by illegitimate pollinators may cause significant pollen limitation, which may be associated with the repeated breakdown of heterostyly into homostyly: a mating system where the anthers and stigmas are placed at the same height in accordance with the loss of self-incompatibility (Barrett 1988, 1989; Washitani et al. 1994; Guggisberg et al. 2006; Sakai and Wright 2008; Haddadchi and Fatemi 2015). However, surprisingly few studies have examined the relationship between pollen limitation and functional gender (femaleness) of heterostylos plants.

Alpine ecosystem can be an excellent experimental system to consider seasonal changes in plant–pollinator interactions. Alpine plants usually bloom soon after snowmelt, and the length of flowering season is restricted to a short period (Holway and Ward 1965; Kudo 1991; Kudo and Suzuki 1999; Larl and Wagner 2006; Lambert et al. 2010). However, the timing of snowmelt greatly varies because of the heterogeneity of local topographical features (Thomson 2010). Thus, some plant species widely distributed along the snowmelt gradient continues to bloom over a month as the season progresses (Kudo 1991, 1996). In addition, the composition and activity of pollinators vary considerably during the season and affect the reproductive success of alpine plants (Kudo and Suzuki 2002; Kasagi and Kudo 2003, 2005; Hirao et al. 2006; Kudo 2006, 2014; Kameyama and Kudo 2009, 2015; Forrest and Thomson 2011; Forrest et al. 2011; Kudo et al. 2011). In addition, a delay of snowmelt may restrict photosynthetic carbon gain due to a very short growth period, resulting in a resource limitation (Kudo 1991; Berdanier and Klein 2011; Mallik et al. 2011; also see Lefler and Welker 2013). The reproductive success of alpine plants is apparently determined by both pollen and resource limitation.

In the current study, we examine the reproductive success of distylos alpine herb, Primula modesta Bisset et Moore (Fig. 1), along the snowmelt gradient. We expect...
that (1) if resource limitation is essential to determine the reproductive success of \textit{P. modesta}, the potential ability to produce seeds, such as the number of flowers per plant, ovules per fruit, and seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses, is smaller at the later snowmelt sites, (2) if pollen limitation is severe, the number of seeds produced under natural conditions is generally smaller than that produced by experimental crosses, and (3) if illegitimate pollinators cause unidirectional pollen transfer from long stamens to long styles, functional gender of the pin and thrum plants becomes to more female biased and male biased, respectively.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Study species and site}

\textit{Primula modesta} Bisset et Moore (Primulaceae) is a distyloous perennial herb, distributed over a wide range of montane to alpine habitats throughout Japan (Fig. 1). This species produces one flowering stem with two to four flowers and possesses a heteromorphic self-incompatibility system that almost completely prevents self- and intramorph mating (Wedderburn and Richards 1990; Shimoto and Washitani 2007).

The current study was conducted within an area of 130 × 150 m at the north-facing gentle slope of the Happo-One ridge, northern Japan Alps, central Japan (N36°42′0″, E137°50′58″) (Fig. 2). At the study area (2000 m a.s.l.), annual mean temperature is 3.0°C, and the minimum and maximum daily mean temperature are −17.7°C and 25.6°C, respectively (data from November 2010 to October 2011). At the Hakuba village situated at the foot of a study area (703 m a.s.l.), mean annual precipitation and maximum snow depth are 2097 and 970 mm, respectively (data from 1981 to 2010). Snowmelt at the study area progresses from ridge to valley due to the differences in snow depth. The snow cover disappears during early April, mid-June, and early July within the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively. Flowering of \textit{Primula modesta} progresses from ridge to valley along the snowmelt gradient, with the peak flowering occurring during early June, early July, and late July at the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively. We set 14, 4, and 5 quadrats (0.5 × 0.5 m) at the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively (square symbol). Studies of \textit{Primula modesta} were conducted within and nearby these quadrats, while pollinator observations were conducted within areas of 2 × 2 m during peak flowering at each site.

\textbf{Plant reproduction}

We set 14, 4, and 5 quadrats of the size 0.5 × 0.5 m at the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively (Fig. 2). During 2009 and 2010, we counted the number of plants, flowering plants, flowers per plant, and total number of flowers within each quadrat, discriminating between morphs (pin and thrum). To estimate the number of ovules and the seeds per fruit under natural conditions at each site (ridge, slope, and valley), we examined the plants growing within and nearby the quadrats over 2 years (2010 and 2011). The total number of pin and thrum plants examined was 78 and 79 during 2010 and 170 and 157 during 2011, respectively. We collected several fruits from each plant (2.8 on average) during 2010, and one fruit per plant during 2011. The total number of fruits collected from the pin and thrum plants was 233 and 204 during 2010 and 170 and 157 during 2011, respectively. The fruits were collected just before dehiscence, and the number of seeds and undeveloped seeds or ovules were counted using a microscope, and the seed-set was calculated as follows: the number of ovules is the estimates of \((\text{the number of seeds}) + (\text{the number of undeveloped seeds or ovules})\), and the seed to ovule ratio

\textbf{Figure 2.} Map of the study area (130 × 150 m). The contour lines show the relative height from the bottom of the valley at 5-m intervals. Snow cover disappears during early April, mid-June, and early July within the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively. Flowering of \textit{Primula modesta} progresses from ridge to valley along the snowmelt gradient, with the peak flowering occurring during early June, early July, and late July at the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively. We set 14, 4, and 5 quadrats (0.5 × 0.5 m) at the ridges, slopes, and valleys, respectively (square symbol). Studies of \textit{Primula modesta} were conducted within and nearby these quadrats, while pollinator observations were conducted within areas of 2 × 2 m during peak flowering at each site.
is the estimates of (the number of seeds)/(the number of ovules).

To estimate the potential ability to produce seeds at each site (ridge, slope, and valley), we conducted artificial pollination experiments within and nearby the quadrats over 2 years (2010 and 2011). The total numbers of pin and thrum plants pollinated were 78 and 77 during 2010 and 59 and 81 during 2011, respectively. The plants were covered with fine-meshed nylon nets to exclude insect visitors. For each plant, one target flower bud was emasculated just before opening, and other flowers were removed. After opening of the target flowers, hand pollination was conducted by legitimate pollen grains collected from a single pollen donor growing at least 5 m away from the recipient plant. All fruits derived from the pollination treatments were harvested just before dehiscence, and the number of seeds per fruit and the seed to ovule ratio was estimated as described above.

Using the data obtained, we estimated the pollen limitation of the pin and thrum plants at each site (ridge, slope, and valley) over 2 years (2010 and 2011) as follows: 1 − (the mean number of seeds per fruit produced under natural conditions)/(the mean number of seeds produced by artificial pollination treatments). In addition, we estimated the average femaleness of the pin and thrum plants as follows: (the mean number of seeds produced by the target morph)/(the mean number of seeds produced by the target morph) + (the mean number of seeds produced by the other morph)]. This formula is appropriate for the self- and intramorph incompatible plants such as P. modesta (Wedderburn and Richards 1990; Shimon and Washitani 2007) under the 1:1 morph ratio, because under this situation, the average femaleness of one morph is exactly equal to the average maleness of the other morph (Lloyd 1979).

**Pollinator visits**

We observed pollinator visits to the flowers of P. modesta during peak flowering at each site: early June, early July, and late July at the ridge, slope, and valley sites, respectively. Field observations were conducted during calm and fine periods during daylight hours (9:00 AM–3:00 PM). The total observation times were 25 h per 5 days, 12 h per 3 days, and 14 h per 4 days, at the ridge, slope, and valley sites, respectively. On each observation day, we observed 50 arbitrary set 2 × 2 m plots and recorded the pollinators and the number of flowers of P. modesta. Pollinators were classified into Diptera, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, and others during field observations, and major pollinators were collected and identified at the family or species level if possible.

**Statistical analyses**

The effects of year (2009 and 2010), site (ridge, slope, and valley site), morph (pin and thrum), and the interaction of site and morph on the number of (a) plants, (b) flowering plants, (c) flowers per plant, and (d) flowers in total within quadrats were estimated by the generalized linear mixed-effect model (GLMM), where quadrats were treated as a random factor, and a Poisson distribution with a log-link function was postulated. The chi-square-test was conducted to examine the deviation from a 1:1 ratio for the number of pin and thrum plants and their flowers in total. Here the data obtained at each quadrat were combined for each site, and the statistical significance was estimated after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons over sites (ridge, slope, and valley sites) and years (2009 and 2010).

The effects of year (2010 and 2011), site (ridge, slope, and valley sites), morph (pin and thrum), and the interaction of site and morph on (a) the number of ovules per fruit, (b) the seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses, and (c) the seed to ovule ratio under natural conditions were estimated by the GLMM. In the analysis of (a), plants were treated as a random factor and a Poisson distribution with a log-link function was postulated. In the analyses of (b) and (c), fruits were treated as a random factor and a binomial distribution with a logit-link function was postulated. The GLMMs were conducted using R version 2.11.1 (R Development Core Team 2010), and the model selection based on Akaike’s information criteria (AIC) was performed.

**Results**

**Plant reproduction**

The number of plants, flowering plants, flowers per plant, and flowers in total within the 0.5 × 0.5 m quadrats is shown in Table 1a–d. No effect of year and site on the number of plants was evident (Table 2a); however, significant yearly variations in the number of flowering plants, flowers per plant, and flowers in total were observed (Table 2b–d). The number of flowers per plant was significantly larger at the ridge with no relation to their morphs (Table 2c), and the number of flowering plants and number of flowers in total were significantly larger for thrum morphs growing at the ridge (Table 2b and d). No deviation from the ratio of 1:1 was observed at each site (ridge, slope, and valley sites) in terms of the number of pin and thrum plants (chi-squared-test, adjusted $P > 0.05$), whereas significant deviations in the pin and thrum flowers were detected at the ridge during 2010 (chi-squared-test, adjusted $P = 0.042$) and at the
seasonal changes in seed-set success of Primrose

Table 1. Number of (a) plants, (b) flowering plants, (c) flowers per plant, and (d) flowers in total estimated by GLMM. Best-fit model by AIC is shown.

|               | 2009   | 2010   |
|---------------|--------|--------|
| (a) N of plants | Ridge  | Slope  | Valley |
|               | 22.4 ± 7.8 | 28.3 ± 4.0 | 25.2 ± 7.5 |
| (b) N of flowering plants | Ridge | Slope | Valley |
|               | 5.0 ± 2.9 | 5.8 ± 1.9 | 4.0 ± 2.8 |
| (c) N of flowers per plant | Ridge | Slope | Valley |
|               | 4.1 ± 1.7 | 3.0 ± 1.8 | 3.2 ± 1.6 |
| (d) Total N of flowers | Ridge | Slope | Valley |
|               | 20.6 ± 11.1 | 17.5 ± 9.5 | 12.8 ± 12.5 |

valley during 2009 (chi-squared-test, adjusted \( P = 0.021 \)), respectively.

The number of ovules per fruit, seeds per fruit produced by experimental crosses, and seeds per fruit produced under natural conditions is shown in Table 3a–c. The number of ovules per fruit was significantly larger during 2011 and decreased from the ridge to the valley, with intermediate values evident at the slope (Table 4a). The seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses was significantly lower during 2011 and higher at the ridge with no difference between the slope and the valley (Table 4b). No effect of the morph (pin and thrum) and interaction between the morph and site was observed for the number of ovules per fruit and seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses. In contrast, the seed to ovule ratio under natural conditions was highest at the ridge, lowest at the slope, and intermediate at the valley, where the thrum plants showed a lower seed to ovule ratio associated with their growing site: The differences between morphs were largest at the slope, smallest at the ridge, and intermediate at the valley site (Table 4c).

The pollen limitation and femaleness of the plants are shown in Table 3d and e, respectively, and their relationships are illustrated in Figure 3. At the ridge, the pollen limitation was moderate and nonexistent during 2010 and 2011, respectively, irrespective of the morphs of the plant, and the femaleness of both morphs ranged from 0.48 to 0.52 over years. A sharp contrast was observed at the slope, where pollen limitation was extreme, and the

Table 2. The effects of year (2009 and 2010), site (ridge, slope, and valley), morph (pin and thrum), and the interaction of site and morph on the number of (a) plants, (b) flowering plants, (c) flowers per plant, and (d) flowers in total estimated by GLMM. Best-fit model by AIC is shown.

|                  | Coefficient | SE   | Z value | P value |
|------------------|-------------|------|---------|---------|
| (a) N of plants  | Intercept (2009, slope) | 3.122 | 0.0861 | 36.248  | <0.001 |
|                  | Year (2010) | −0.022 | 0.0605 | −0.363  | 0.717  |
| (b) N of flowering plants | Intercept (2009, slope, pin) | 1.647 | 0.248 | 6.645  | <0.001 |
|                  | Year (2010) | −0.216 | 0.099 | −2.169  | 0.030  |
| (c) N of flowers per plant | Intercept (2009, slope, pin) | 1.051 | 0.114 | 9.235  | <0.001 |
|                  | Year (2010) | −0.139 | 0.055 | −2.548  | 0.011  |
| (d) Total N of flowers | Intercept (2009, slope, pin) | 2.587 | 0.259 | 9.976  | <0.001 |
|                  | Year (2010) | −0.389 | 0.054 | −7.197  | <0.001 |

AIC, Akaike’s information criteria; GLMM, generalized linear mixed-effect model.

Dominant pollinator type

During the flowering period of \( P. modesta \), the composition and visit frequencies of pollinators changed greatly (Table 5). Most pollinators of \( P. modesta \) were classified into either Diptera (e.g., Syrphidae and Anthomyiidae) or Hymenoptera (e.g., Halictidae, Andrenidae, Anthophoridae, and Apidae), and the visits by Lepidoptera (e.g., Papilionidae) and other insects were quite rare. The visit
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frequencies of Diptera simply increased as the season progressed. The visit frequencies of Hymenoptera, however, were highest at the ridge, lowest at the slope, and intermediate at the valley.

The accurate identification of pollinators was difficult to conduct in the field, and thus, we could not estimate the visit and pollination efficiencies of each pollinator. However, based on the field observations in accordance with the collection and identification of major pollinators, the most important legitimate pollinator of *P. modesta* was considered to be a long-tongued Hymenoptera, *Eucera* sp. (Anthophoridae). It should be noted that we frequently observed this species visiting the flowers of *P. modesta* at the ridge; however, this species disappeared from the study area after early June, resulting in no visit to the flower of *P. modesta* growing at the slope and valley. The long-tongued bumblebees (Hymenoptera), such as *Bombus hypocrita* Pérez and *Bombus diversus* Smith (Apidae), were also considered to be legitimate pollinators; however, they rarely visited the flowers of *P. modesta*: The total number of flowers visited by bumblebees was only nine and once at the ridge and slope, respectively. Other long-tongued pollinators present were Lepidopterans, such as *Papilio machaon* Linnaeus (Papilionidae); however, they rarely and sporadically visited the flower of *P. modesta*. All other pollinators collected were small, short-tongued insects, which appeared to be illegitimate pollinators of *P. modesta*.

**Discussion**

**Reproductive success of *Primula modesta***

In an alpine ecosystem, plants growing at the late snow-melt sites often suffer from resource limitation; a shortage of photosynthetic carbon gain due to a very short growth period results in a decrease in reproductive output (Kudo 1991; Berdanier and Klein 2011; Mallik et al. 2011; also see Leffler and Welker 2013). In the current study, the number of flowers per plant, ovules per fruit, and seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses was smaller at the later snowmelt sites, apparently due to resource limitation. However, the effects of resource limitation on seed production did not differ between the morphs, and more importantly, the number of seeds produced under natural conditions was generally smaller than that produced by experimental crosses. This means that the pin and thrum plants of *P. modesta* growing at the same site have an equal ability of producing seeds if sufficient quantities of legitimate pollen grains are deposited on the stigma surface, but their seed-set success was restricted by pollen limitation.

**Table 3.** Number of (a) ovules per fruit, (b) seeds per fruit produced by experimental crosses, and (c) seeds per fruit produced under natural conditions across 2 years (2010 and 2011), three sites (ridge, slope, and valley), and two morphs (pin and thrum) in *Primula modesta*. Values are mean ± 1 standard deviation, and the number of samples is indicated in parentheses. Pollen limitation is the estimates of 1 − (c)/(b). Femaleness is the estimates of [(c) of the target morph]/[(c) of the target morph + (c) of the other morph], assuming the 1:1 morph ratio and no illegitimate fertilization (Lloyd 1979).

|                | 2010       |        | 2011       |        |
|----------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|
|                | Pin        | Thrum  | Pin        | Thrum  |
| (a) N of ovules per fruit |            |        |            |        |
| Ridge          | 56.6 ± 6.9 (70) | 54.8 ± 8.7 (48) | 57.4 ± 5.3 (68) | 61.0 ± 4.8 (61) |
| Slope          | 53.9 ± 5.0 (87) | 53.6 ± 4.5 (74) | 56.0 ± 4.7 (49) | 53.5 ± 4.6 (55) |
| Valley         | 40.9 ± 7.9 (76) | 39.8 ± 7.6 (82) | 50.4 ± 2.2 (53) | 51.9 ± 3.2 (41) |
| (b) N of seeds per fruit produced by experimental crosses |            |        |            |        |
| Ridge          | 50.5 ± 9.9 (40) | 51.3 ± 9.3 (39) | 33.3 ± 12.9 (41) | 31.5 ± 12.1 (43) |
| Slope          | 40.1 ± 8.5 (19) | 44.1 ± 7.1 (19) | 29.5 ± 15.1 (10) | 23.7 ± 16.2 (30) |
| Valley         | 36.3 ± 7.4 (19) | 31.8 ± 7.4 (19) | 11.4 ± 5.0 (8) | 24.3 ± 11.7 (8) |
| (c) N of seeds per fruit produced under natural conditions |            |        |            |        |
| Ridge          | 27.0 ± 18.1 (70) | 26.4 ± 21.1 (48) | 31.9 ± 13.5 (68) | 34.0 ± 18.4 (61) |
| Slope          | 10.5 ± 12.8 (87) | 6.3 ± 11.7 (74) | 6.2 ± 12.0 (49) | 2.3 ± 6.2 (55) |
| Valley         | 17.7 ± 9.9 (76) | 19.6 ± 13.2 (82) | 10.7 ± 11.1 (53) | 4.2 ± 8.4 (41) |
| (d) Pollen limitation |            |        |            |        |
| Ridge          | 0.47       | 0.49   | 0.04       | −0.08  |
| Slope          | 0.74       | 0.86   | 0.79       | 0.90   |
| Valley         | 0.51       | 0.38   | 0.06       | 0.83   |
| (e) Femaleness  |            |        |            |        |
| Ridge          | 0.51       | 0.49   | 0.48       | 0.52   |
| Slope          | 0.63       | 0.38   | 0.73       | 0.27   |
| Valley         | 0.47       | 0.53   | 0.72       | 0.28   |
Table 4. The effects of year (2010 and 2011), site (ridge, slope, and valley), morph (pin and thrum), and the interaction of site and morph on (a) the number of ovules per fruit, (b) the seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses, and (c) the seed to ovule ratio under natural conditions estimated by GLMM. Best-fit model by AIC is shown.

| Coefficient | SE   | Z value | P value |
|-------------|------|---------|---------|
| (a) N of ovules per fruit (df = 758, AIC = 638.4) |      |         |         |
| Intercept (2010, slope, pin) | 3.952 | 0.012  | 343.50  | <0.001 |
| Year (2011) | 0.095 | 0.011  | 8.780   | <0.001 |
| Ridge | 0.030 | 0.013  | 2.340   | 0.019  |
| Valley | −0.188 | 0.014 | −13.87  | <0.001 |
| Morph (thrum) | −0.004 | 0.011 | −0.39   | 0.693  |
| (b) Seed to ovule ratio by experimental crosses (df = 290, AIC = 891.6) |      |         |         |
| Intercept (2010, slope, pin) | 1.056 | 0.108  | 9.830   | <0.001 |
| Year (2011) | −1.430 | 0.099  | −14.40  | <0.001 |
| Ridge | 0.535 | 0.115  | 4.645   | <0.001 |
| Valley | −0.116 | 0.151 | −0.770  | 0.442  |
| (c) Seed to ovule ratio under natural conditions (df = 756, AIC = 3009) |      |         |         |
| Intercept (2010, slope, pin) | −3.128 | 0.2548 | −12.275 | <0.001 |
| Year (2011) | −1.221 | 0.203  | −6.016  | <0.001 |
| Ridge | 3.594 | 0.329  | 10.937  | <0.001 |
| Valley | 2.307 | 0.335  | 6.890   | <0.001 |
| Morph (thrum) | −1.812 | 0.372 | −4.867  | <0.001 |
| Ridge × Morph (thrum) | 1.766 | 0.494  | 3.577   | <0.001 |
| Valley × Morph (thrum) | 1.396 | 0.498  | 2.802   | 0.005  |

AIC, Akaike’s information criteria; GLMM, generalized linear mixed-effect model.

Number of ovules: (the number of seeds) + (the number of undeveloped seeds or ovules).

Seed to ovule ratio: (the number of seeds)/(the number of ovules).

The strength of pollen limitation and functional gender (femaleness) of *P. modesta* varied greatly depending on the morphs and their growing sites, apparently because of the differences in pollination situations. At the ridge, where the flowers of *P. modesta* were frequently visited by a long-tongued *Eucera* sp. (Hymenoptera), the femaleness of the pin and thrum plants was equal and constant, with no yearly variation, while the extent of pollen limitation over the years varied from nonexistent to moderate. At the slope, where the visit frequencies of Hymenoptera were lowest and most pollinators were composed of short-tongued insects, the femaleness of the pin plants was 1.7–2.7 times that of the thrum plants, and the extent of pollen limitation was severe, irrespective of the morphs. At the valley during 2011, where visit frequencies of pollinators were highest but mostly composed of short-tongued insects, the pin plants were functionally biased to the female with no pollen limitation, and the thrum plants were functionally biased to the male with severe pollen limitation. At the valley during 2010, however, the femaleness was not different between the morphs, and the extent of pollen limitation was moderate: A similar tendency observed at the ridge. Unfortunately, pollinators were observed only in 2011 and there are no quantitative data regarding the contribution of each pollinator to the reproductive success of *P. modesta*, which make it difficult to consider the causes of yearly variations. However, it is apparent that the variations of functional gender and pollen limitation observed in the current study are not ascribe to resource limitation nor biased morph ratio but to the seasonal changes in pollination situations along the snowmelt gradient.

The composition and activity of pollinators and the extent of resource and pollen limitation to the reproductive success of *P. modesta* observed in the current study show a sharp contrast with those reported by Shimono and Washitani (2007). Shimono and Washitani (2007) examined *P. modesta* growing at two sites (an oligotrophic flat fen site at 2100 m a.s.l. and a southwest-facing grassy slope at 2000 m a.s.l.) in the subalpine zone of Mt Asama (N36°24′12″, E138°31′34″) in central Japan, approximately 70 km away from our study sites. The number of ovules per fruit was significantly smaller at the fen site than at the grassy site, probably because of a shortage of the photosynthetic carbon gain due to the 2- to 3-week delay in snowmelt and/or nutrient limitation due to the oligotrophy of the fen site. However, annual
Table 5. Pollinators of Primula modesta observed within 2 × 2 m plots at each site (ridge, slope, and valley) during 2011. Peak flowering time, flower density, observation time of pollinators, and visit number and visit frequency of pollinators are shown. Flower density (/m²) and visit frequency of pollinators (/flower/hour) are shown as mean per day ± 1 standard deviation.

|                      | Ridge          | Slope          | Valley         |
|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Peak flowering time** | Early June     | Early July     | Late July      |
| **Flower density (/m²)** | 94.8 ± 25.1    | 98.8 ± 26.8    | 79.3 ± 13.0    |
| **Observation time of pollinators** | 25 h/5 days    | 12 h/3 days    | 14 h/4 days    |
| **Visit number of pollinators (number of flower visited in total)** | 257            | 313            | 456            |
| Diptera              | 211            | 272            | 385            |
| Hymenoptera          | 303            | 25             | 62             |
| Lepidoptera          | 9              | 12             | 8              |
| Others               | 4              | 4              | 1              |
| **Total**            | 527            | 313            | 456            |
| **Visit frequency of pollinators (/flower/hour)** | 0.0209 ± 0.0155 | 0.0531 ± 0.0391 | 0.0903 ± 0.0301 |
| Diptera              | 0.0331 ± 0.0195 | 0.0057 ± 0.0049 | 0.0157 ± 0.0042 |
| Hymenoptera          | 0.0010 ± 0.0016 | 0.0023 ± 0.0012 | 0.0015 ± 0.0020 |
| Lepidoptera          | 0.0004 ± 0.0004 | 0.0011 ± 0.0015 | 0.0004 ± 0.0010 |
| Others               | 0.0554 ± 0.0282 | 0.0622 ± 0.0414 | 0.1079 ± 0.0286 |

Seed production was relatively constant, with no sign of pollen limitation regardless of the morph and site. Shimono and Washitani (2007) concluded that long-tongued pollinators, Empis flavobasalis (Empididae) and Bombylus major (Bombylidae), contribute to the effective and symmetric disassortative pollination of P. modesta at these sites. Here, it is noteworthy that both of these pollinators did not visit the flower of P. modesta at our study sites, indicating that the composition and activity of pollinators and the extent of resource and pollen limitation of P. modesta varied greatly among the regions.

**Evolutionary implications**

Beach and Bawa (1980), following Robertson (1892) and Baker (1958), proposed that a replacement of pollinator types from long- to short-tongued pollinators may result in flowers of distylous plants remaining morphologically and physiologically long styled (pin) and short styled (thrum), but only the pin flowers setting fruit and the thrum flowers donating pollen, because short-tongued pollinators result in unidirectional pollen transfer from long stamens to long styles. In other words, asymmetrical disassortative pollination via short-tongued pollinators may result in the functional gender specialization of the pin and thrum plants to more female biased and male biased, respectively. This hypothesis has long been invoked to discuss the evolutionary pathway followed from heterostyly to dioecy in the context of ecology (Bawa 1980; Beach 1981; Muenchow and Grebus 1989; Barrett and Richards 1990; McCall 1996; Pailler and Thompson 1997; Pailler et al. 1998; Barrett and Shore 2008; Haller et al. 2014); however, surprisingly few studies have examined this process in the field.

One exception is a series of studies of the style-dimorphic plant genus Narcissus (Pérez-Barralés and Arroyo 2010; Santos-Gally et al. 2013a,b; Simón-Porcar et al. 2014). Style dimorphism is an immediate ancestral state to distyly (Charlesworth and Charlesworth 1979; Lloyd and Webb 1992; Graham and Barrett 2004), where two floral morphs with long and short styles exist; however, anthers are approximately at the same height. In Narcissus papyraceus Ker-Gawler, long-tongued insects promote disassortative pollen transfer, and a pollinator shift from long-tongued to short-tongued insects results in low reproductive success of short-styled plants, which may be related to the disappearance of short-styled plants at the edge of their geographical distribution (Pérez-Barralés and Arroyo 2010; Santos-Gally et al. 2013b; Simón-Porcar et al. 2014). Another exception is the study of distyly primrose, Primula secundiflora Franchet (Zhu et al. 2010, 2015). In this species, legitimate bumblebees contribute to the disassortative pollination between the pin and thrum flowers, but nectar-robbers and syrphid flies further pollinate pin flowers. Zhu et al. (2010, 2015) suggested that the complementary roles of bumblebee nectar robbers and syrphid flies contribute to sustain heterostyly in P. secundiflora. The results obtained by the studies of Narcissus and Primula are essential to consider the hypothesis of loss of long-tongued pollinators by Beach and Bawa’s (1980); however, the species examined in the former is not heterostylos, and the results obtained in the latter are contrastive (but not opposed) to the hypothesis, and both studies consider the pollinator compositions in a geographical scale.

The current study is remarkable in that asymmetrical disassortative pollination of distyly primrose occurs in a
local scale, apparently reflecting the seasonal changes in 
pollination situations. The seasonality in alpine ecosystem 
is largely determined by the time of snowmelt and can be 
stable for an extended time (Stanton et al. 1994; Kudo 
and Suzuki 1999; Thomson 2010). However, the conditions 
for the evolution of dioecy from distyly via the loss 
of long-tongued pollinators (Beach and Bawa 1980) are 
considered to be restrictive; the long-tongued pollinator 
must be eliminated from the population, and the sterility 
mutations in each morph must be tightly linked to the 
heterostyly supergene to prevent the abortion of the 
female part of the pin and the male part of the thrum 
(Muenchow and Grebus 1989). Recent individual-based 
simulations by Haller et al. (2014) suggest that maladap-
tive gene flow between populations may mitigate these 
restrictions. At the present time, however, it is fair to 
state that “ecologically” dioecious plants need not evolve 
into obligately dioecious plants (Muenchow and Grebus 
1989).

More importantly, the current study demonstrated that 
asymmetrical disassortative pollination of distylos plants 
often (even if not always) associated with severe pollen 
limitation. It is widely accepted that under severe pollen 
limitation, the ability of selfing (e.g., the morphological 
changes to promote self-pollination, the genetic break-
down of self-incompatibility, and the evolution of auton-
omous autogamy) often evolves to assure reproductive 
success (Darwin 1876; Baker 1955; Kalisz and Vogler 
2003; Kalisz et al. 2004; Knight et al. 2005; Morgan and 
Wilson 2005; Moeller 2006; Evans et al. 2011). The break-
down of heterostyly into homostyly in response to pollen 
limitation has been reported in many heterostylos plants 
(Barrett 1988, 1989; Washitani et al. 1994; Guggisberg 
et al. 2006; Sakai and Wright 2008; Haddadchi and 
Fatemi 2015), which were often associated with the colo-
nization into ecologically or geographically marginal 
areas. The severe pollen limitation of P. modesta provides 
the breakdown of heterostyly into homostyly more plausi-
bility than the evolution of dioecy. Apparently, an accu-
mulation of the empirical data is required demonstrating 
how phenological synchrony between plants and pollina-
tors is decided and to what degree this relationship is 
stable over years (Forrest and Thomson 2011; Kudo 
2014), along with estimates of selection and gene flow in 
individual plants to consider the possibility of adaptation 
by time in alpine plants.

Acknowledgments

We greatly thank to G. Kudo, Hokkaido University, for 
useful comments to the manuscript. This work was partly 
supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Society for 
the Promotion of Science (15H02641).

Data Accessibility

All data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository: 
http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.74jt1.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

Aizen, M. A., and L. D. Harder. 2007. Expanding the limits of 
the pollen-limitation concept: effects of pollen quantity and 
quality. Ecology 88:271–281.

Alonso, C., C. M. Navarro-Fernández, G. Arceo-Gómez, G. A. 
Meindl, V. Parra-Tabla, and T.-L. Ashman. 2013. Among-
species differences in pollen quality and quantity limitation: 
implications for endemics in biodiverse hotspots. Ann. Bot. 
112:1461–1469.

Arceo-Gómez, G., and T.-L. Ashman. 2014. Patterns of pollen 
quantity and quality limitation of pre-zygotic reproduction in 
*Mimus guttatus* vary with co-flowering community 
context. Oikos 123:1261–1269. doi:10.1111/oik.01309.

Ashman, T.-L., T. M. Knight, J. A. Steets, P. Amarasekare, M. 
Burd, D. R. Campbell, et al. 2004. Pollen limitation of plant 
reproduction: ecological and evolutionary causes and 
consequences. Ecology 85:2408–2421.

Baker, H. G. 1955. Self-compatibility and establishment after 
"long-distance" dispersal. Evolution 9:347–349.

Baker, H. G. 1958. Studies in the reproductive biology of West 
African Rubiaceae. J. West African Sci. Assoc. 4:9–24.

Barrett, S. C. H. 1988. Evolution of breeding systems in 
*Eichhornia* (Pontederiaceae): a review. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 
75:741–760.

Barrett, S. C. H. 1989. The evolutionary breakdown of 
heterostyly. Pp. 151–169 in J. H. Bock and Y. B. Linhart, eds. 
The evolutionary ecology of plants. Westview Press, Boulder.

Barrett, S. C. H. 2002. The evolution of plant sexual diversity. 
Nat. Rev. Genet. 3:274–284.

Barrett, S. C. H., and D. E. Glover. 1985. On the Darwinian 
thesis of the adaptive significance of tristyly. Evolution 
39:766–774.

Barrett, S. C. H., and J. H. Richards. 1990. Heterostyly in 
tropical plants. Mem. New York Botan. Gard. 55:35–61.

Barrett, S. C. H., and J. S. Shore. 2008. New insights on 
heterostyly: Comparative biology, ecology and genetics. 
Pp. 3–32 in V. E. Franklin-Tong, ed. Self-incompatibility in 
flowering plants – evolution, diversity, and mechanisms. 
Springer, Berlin, Germany.

Barrett, S. C. H., and L. M. Wolfe. 1986. Pollen heteromorphism 
as a tool in studies of the pollination process in *Pontederia 
cordata* L. Pp. 435–442 in D. L. Mulcahy, G. B. Mulachy and 
E. Ottaviano, eds. Biotechnology and ecology of pollen. 
Springer, New York, NY.
Bawa, K. S. 1980. Evolution of dioecy in flowering plants. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 11:15–39.
Beach, J. H. 1981. Pollinator foraging and the evolution of dioecy. Am. Nat. 118:572–577.
Beach, J. H., and K. S. Bawa. 1980. Role of pollinators in the evolution of dioecy from distyly. Evolution 34:1138–1142.
Berdanier, A. B., and J. A. Klein. 2011. Growing season length and soil moisture interactively constrain high elevation aboveground net primary production. Ecosystems 14:963–974.
Burd, M. 1994. Bateman’s principle and plant reproduction: the role of pollen limitation in fruit and seed set. Bot. Rev. 60:83–139.
Charlesworth, D., and B. Charlesworth. 1979. A model for the evolution of distyly. Am. Nat. 114:467–498.
Darwin, C. 1876. The effects of cross and self fertilization in the vegetable kingdom. J. Murray, London.
Darwin, C. 1877. The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species. John Murray, London.
Evans, M. E. K., D. J. Hearn, K. E. Theiss, K. Cranston, K. E. Holsinger, and M. J. Donoghue. 2011. Extreme environments select for reproductive assurance: evidence from evening primroses (Oenothera). New Phytol. 191:555–563.
Forrest, J. R. K., and J. D. Thomson. 2011. An examination of synchrony between insect emergence and flowering in Rocky Mountain meadows. Ecol. Monogr. 81:469–491.
Forrest, J. R. K., J. E. Ogilvie, A. M. Gorischek, and J. D. Thomson. 2011. Seasonal change in a pollinator community and the maintenance of style length variation in Mertensia fusiformis (Boraginaceae). Ann. Bot. 108:1–12.
Ganders, F. R. 1974. Disassortative pollination in the distylos plant Jepsonia heterandra. Can. J. Bot. 52:2401–2406.
Ganders, F. R. 1979. The biology of heterostyly. NZ J. Bot. 17:607–635.
Graham, S. W., and S. C. H. Barrett. 2004. Phylogenetic reconstruction of the evolution of stylar polymorphisms in Narcissus (Amaryllidaceae). Am. J. Bot. 91:1007–1021.
Guggisberg, A., G. Mansion, S. Kelso, and E. Conti. 2006. Evolution of biogeographic patterns, ploidy levels, and breeding systems in a diploid-polyploid species complex of Primula. New Phytol. 171:617–632.
Haddadchi, A., and M. Fatemi. 2015. Self-compatibility and floral traits adapted for self-pollination allow homostyous Nymphoides geminata (Menyanthaceae) to persist in marginal habitats. Plant Syst. Evol. 301:239–250.
Haller, B. C., J. M. de Vos, B. Keller, A. P. Hendry, and E. Conti. 2014. A tale of two morphs: modeling pollen transfer, magic traits, and reproductive isolation in parapatry. PLoS ONE 9:e106512. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106512.
Harder, L. D., and M. A. Aizen. 2010. Floral adaptation and diversification under pollen limitation. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 365:529–543.
Hirao, A. S., Y. Kameyama, M. Ohara, Y. Isagi, and G. Kudo. 2006. Seasonal changes in pollinator activity influence pollen dispersal and seed production of the alpine shrub Rhododendron aureum (Ericaceae). Mol. Ecol. 15:1165–1173.
Holway, J. G., and R. T. Ward. 1965. Phenology of alpine plants in northern Colorado. Ecology 46:73–83.
Kalisz, S., and D. W. Vogler. 2003. Benefits of autonomous selfing under unpredictable pollinator environments. Ecology 84:2928–2942.
Kalisz, S., D. W. Vogler, and K. M. Hanley. 2004. Context-dependent autonomous self-fertilization yields reproductive assurance and mixed mating. Nature 430:884–887.
Kameyama, Y., and G. Kudo. 2009. Flowering phenology influences seed production and outcrossing rate in populations of an alpine snowbed shrub, Phyllocladus alutica: effects of pollinators and self-incompatibility. Ann. Bot. 103:1385–1394.
Kameyama, Y., and G. Kudo. 2015. Intrinsic and extrinsic factors acting on the reproductive process in alpine-snowbed plants: roles of phenology, biological interaction, and breeding system. Plant Species Biol. 30:3–15.
Kasagi, T., and G. Kudo. 2003. Variations in bumble bee preference and pollen limitation among neighboring populations: comparisons between Phyllocladus alutica and Phyllocladus alutica (Ericaceae) along snowmelt gradients. Am. J. Bot. 90:1321–1327.
Kasagi, T., and G. Kudo. 2005. Interspecific pollinator movements and heterospecific incompatibility: comparisons between Phyllocladus alutica and Phyllocladus alutica along snowmelt gradients. Evol. Ecol. Res. 7:73–87.
Keller, B., J. D. Thomson, and E. Conti. 2014. Heterostyly promotes disassortative pollination and reduces sexual interference in Darwin’s primroses: evidence from experimental studies. Funct. Ecol. 28:1413–1425.
Knight, T. M., J. A. Steets, J. C. Vamosi, S. J. Mazer, M. Burd, D. R. Campbell, et al. 2005. Pollen limitation of plant reproduction: pattern and process. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36:467–497.
Kudo, G. 1991. Effects of snow-free period on the phenology of alpine plants inhabiting snow patches. Arct. Alp. Res. 23:436–443.
Kudo, G. 1996. Effects of snowmelt timing on reproductive phenology and pollination process of alpine plants. Mem. Natl Inst. Polar Res. 51:71–82.
Kudo, G. 2006. Flowering phenologies of animal-polinated plants: reproductive strategies and agents of selection. Pp. 139–158 in L. D. Harder and S. C. H. Barrett, eds. Ecology and evolution of flowers. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, NY.
Kudo, G. 2014. Vulnerability of phenological synchrony between plants and pollinators in an alpine ecosystem. Ecol. Res. 29:571–581.
Kudo, G., and S. Suzuki. 1999. Flowering phenology of alpine plant communities along a gradient of snowmelt timing. Polar Biol. 12:100–113.
Kudo, G., and S. Suzuki. 2002. Relationships between flowering phenology and fruit-set of dwarf shrubs in alpine fellfields in northern Japan: a comparison with subarctic heathland in northern Sweden. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 34:185–190.

Kudo, G., A. S. Hirao, and Y. Kawai. 2011. Pollination efficiency of bumblebee queens and workers in the alpine shrub Rhododendron aureum. Int. J. Plant Sci. 172:70–77.

Lambert, A. M., A. J. Miller-Rushing, and D. W. Inouye. 2010. Changes in snowmelt date and summer precipitation affect the flowering phenology of Erythronium grandiflorum (glacier lily; Liliaceae). Am. J. Bot. 97:1431–1437.

Larl, L., and J. Wagner. 2006. Timing of reproductive and vegetative development in Saxifraga oppositifolia in an alpine and a subnival climate. Plant Biol. 8:155–166.

Larson, B. M. H., and S. C. H. Barrett. 2000. A comparative analysis of pollen limitation in flowering plants. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 69:503–520.

Lau, P., and C. Bosque. 2003. Pollen flow in the distylos Palicourea fendleri (Rubiaceae): an experimental test of the disassortative pollen flow hypothesis. Oecologia 135:593–600.

Leffler, A., and J. M. Welker. 2013. Long-term increases in snow pack elevate leaf N and photosynthesis in Salix arctica: responses to a snow fence experiment in the High Arctic of NW Greenland. Environ. Res. Lett. 8:025023. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/2/025023.

Lloyd, D. G. 1979. Evolution towards dioecy in heterostylosous populations. Plant Syst. Evol. 131:71–80.

Lloyd, D. G., and C. J. Webb. 1992. The evolution of heterostyly. Pp. 151–178 in S. C. H. Barrett, ed. Evolution and function of heterostyly. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, NY.

Mallik, A. U., J. V. Wdowiak, and E. J. Cooper. 2011. Growth and reproductive responses of Cassiope tetragona, a circumpolar evergreen shrub, to experimentally delayed snowmelt. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 43:404–409.

McCull, C. 1996. Gender specialization and distyly in hoary puccoon, Lithospermum croceum (Boraginaceae). Am. J. Bot. 83:162–168.

Moeller, D. A. 2006. Geographic structure of pollinator communities, reproductive assurance, and the evolution of self-pollination. Ecology 87:1510–1522.

Morgan, M. T., and W. G. Wilson. 2005. Self-fertilization and the escape from pollen limitation in variable pollination environments. Evolution 59:1143–1148.

Muenchow, G. E., and M. Grebus. 1989. The evolution of dioecy from distyly: reevaluation of the hypothesis of the loss of long tongued pollinators. Am. Nat. 133:149–156.

Nishihiro, J., and I. Washitani. 1998. Patterns and consequences of self-pollen deposition on stigmas in heterostyous Persicaria japonica (Polygonaceae). Am. J. Bot. 85:352–359.

Nishihiro, J., I. Washitani, J. D. Thomson, and B. A. Thomson. 2000. Patterns and consequences of stigma height variation in a natural population of a distylos plant, Primula sieboldii. Funct. Ecol. 14:502–512.

Ornelas, J. F., L. Jiménez, C. González, and A. Hernández. 2004. Reproductive ecology of distylos Palicourea padifolia (Rubiaceae) in a tropical montane cloud forest. I. Hummingbirds’ effectiveness as pollen vectors. Am. J. Bot. 91:1052–1060.

Pailler, T., and J. Thompson. 1997. Distyly and variation in heteromorphic incompatibility in Gaertnera vaginata (Rubiaceae) endemic to La Reunion Island. Am. J. Bot. 84:315–327.

Pailler, T., L. Humeau, J. Figier, and J. D. Thompson. 1998. Reproductive trait variation in the functionally dioecious and morphologically heterostylos island endemic Chassalia corallioides (Rubiaceae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 64:297–313.

Pérez-Barrera, R., and J. Arroyo. 2010. Pollinator shifts and the loss of style polymorphism in Narcissus papyraceus (Amaryllidaceae). J. Evol. Biol. 23:1117–1128.

R Development Core Team 2010. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org.

Ree, R. H. 1997. Pollen flow, fecundity, and the adaptive significance of heterostyly in Palicourea padifolia (Rubiaceae). Biotropica 29:298–308.

Robertson, C. 1892. Flowers and insects. IX. Bot. Gaz. 17:269–276.

Sakai, S., and S. J. Wright. 2008. Reproductive ecology of 21 coexisting Psychotria species (Rubiaceae): when is heterostyly lost? Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 93:125–134.

Sánchez, J. M., V. Ferrero, J. Arroyo, and L. Navarro. 2010. Patterns of style polymorphism in five species of the South African genus Nivenia (Iridaceae). Ann. Bot. 106:321–331.

Santos-Gally, R., A. Gonzalez-Voyer, and J. Arroyo. 2013a. Deconstructing heterostyly: the evolutionary role of incompatibility system, pollinators, and floral architecture. Evolution 67:2072–2082.

Santos-Gally, R., R. Pérez-Barrera, V. I. Simón, and J. Arroyo. 2013b. The role of short-tongued insects in floral variation across the range of a style-dimorphic plant. Ann. Bot. 111:317–328.

Shimono, A., and I. Washitani. 2007. Factors affecting variation in seed production in the heterostylos herb Primula modesta. Plant Species Biol. 22:65–76.

Simón-Porcar, V. I., R. Santos-Gally, and J. Arroyo. 2014. Long-tongued insects promote disassortative pollen transfer in style-dimorphic Narcissus papyraceus (Amaryllidaceae). J. Ecol. 102:116–125.

Stanton, M. L., M. Rejmánek, and C. Galen. 1994. Changes in vegetation and soil fertility along a predictable snowmelt gradient in the Mosquito Range, Colorado, USA. Arct. Alp. Res. 26:364–374.

Stone, J. L. 1995. Pollen donation patterns in a tropical distylous shrub (Psychotria suerrensis; Rubiaceae). Am. J. Bot. 82:1390–1398.
Thomson, J. D. 2010. Flowering phenology, fruiting success and progressive deterioration of pollination in an early-flowering geophyte. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci. 365:3187–3199.

Valois-Cuesta, H., P. J. Soriano, and J. F. Ornelas. 2011. Asymmetrical legitimate pollination in distylos Palicourea demissa (Rubiaceae): the role of nectar production and pollinator visitation. J. Trop. Ecol. 27:393–404.

Washitani, I., R. Osawa, H. Namai, and M. Niwa. 1994. Patterns of female fertility in heterostyous Primula sieboldii under severe pollinator limitation. J. Ecol. 82:571–579.

Wedderburn, F., and A. J. Richards. 1990. Variation in within-morph incompatibility inhibition sites in heteromorphic Primula L. New Phytol. 116:149–162.

Wolfe, L. M., and S. C. H. Barrett. 1989. Patterns of pollen removal and deposition in tristylos Pontederia cordata L. (Pontederiaceae). Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 36:317–329.

Wolowski, M., T.-L. Ashman, and L. Freitas. 2013. Community-wide assessment of pollen limitation in hummingbird-pollinated plants of a tropical montane rain forest. Ann. Bot. 112:903–910.

Zhu, X.-F., J.-P. Wan, and Q.-J. Li. 2010. Nectar robbers pollinate flowers with sexual organs hidden within corollas in distylos Primula secundiflora (Primulaceae). Biol. Lett. 6:785–787. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0345.

Zhu, X.-F., X.-F. Jiang, L. Li, Z.-Q. Zhang, and Q.-J. Li. 2015. Asymmetrical disassortative pollination in a distylos primrose: the complementary roles of bumblebee nectar robbers and syrphid flies. Sci. Rep. 5:7721.