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We present a preliminary measurement of azimuthal decorrelations between the two leading jets in multi-jet events acquired with the DØ detector during 2002 and 2003 studying $\bar{p}p$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. Such decorrelations provide a sensitive tool for examining the impact of QCD radiation on jet production. The analysis is based on an inclusive dijet event sample in the central region of rapidity of $|y_{\text{jet}}| < 0.5$. Jets are reconstructed using an iterative cone algorithm with radius $R_{\text{cone}} = 0.7$. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $L = 150\,\text{pb}^{-1}$. We observe an increased dijet azimuthal decorrelation at smaller transverse jet momenta. Perturbative QCD at lowest order of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$, does not provide a good description of the data. However, Monte Carlo event generators using parton showers can be tuned to produce the observed decorrelations.
I. INTRODUCTION

According to perturbative QCD (pQCD) at lowest order in the strong coupling constant, $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$, jets in $\bar{p}p$ collisions are produced in pairs. In this approximation, the jets have identical transverse momenta, $p_T$, and correlated azimuthal angles, $\phi$, with $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} = |\phi_{\text{jet}1} - \phi_{\text{jet}2}| = \pi$. Additional jets can be produced at higher orders and the two leading jets may be decorrelated with $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} < \pi$. The azimuthal decorrelation of the two leading jets is sensitive to additional radiation which manifests itself as additional $p_T$ in an event. Soft additional radiation with $p_T \to 0$ results in $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \to \pi$, whereas values of $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \ll \pi$ are an indication of hard additional radiation. The measurement of the $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$ distribution is thus an ideal testing ground for higher order QCD effects, without the experimental problems associated with reconstructing additional jets. We measured the dijet cross section as function of $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$, normalized by the inclusive dijet cross section, integrated over the same phase space. The observable was defined as

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{dijet}}} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{dijet}}}{d\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}}.$$  

The measurement was made in four ranges of the leading jet $p_T$, starting at $p_T > 75$ GeV. The requirement for the second leading jet was $p_T > 40$ GeV and both jets were required to be in the central rapidity region, $|y_{\text{jet}}| < 0.5$.

II. ANALYSIS

The data were acquired with the upgraded DØ detector [1] in Run II of the Fermilab Tevatron between August 2002 and September 2003 using $\bar{p}p$ collisions at a center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. The data sample corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $L = 150$ pb$^{-1}$. Events used in this analysis were triggered by one of the inclusive jet triggers, based on energy deposited in calorimeter towers [1]. Data selection was based on run quality, event properties, and jet quality criteria.

Jets were defined by the “Run II cone algorithm” [2] which combines particles within a cone radius $R_{\text{cone}} = 0.7$ in $y$ and $\phi$ around the cone axis. Calorimeter towers were combined into jets in the “$E$-scheme” (adding the four-vectors). The jet finding procedure was iterated until a stable solution was reached. The four-vector of every tower was used in the first stage of the iterative procedure. The algorithm was re-run using the midpoints between pairs of jets identified in the first stage as additional seeds (the second stage makes the procedure infrared safe). Jets with overlapping cones were merged if the overlap area contained more than 50% of the $p_T$ from the lower $p_T$ jet, otherwise the particles in the overlap region are assigned to the nearest jet.

The data were corrected for the jet energy scale, selection efficiencies, and for migrations due to the $p_T$ and position resolution. The correction for the migrations was determined using events produced by the event generator PYTHIA [3]. These events were smeared according to the resolutions, as determined from the data ($p_T$ resolution) and by the DØ detector simulation ($\phi$). The size of these corrections were typically below 10% and never larger than 26%. In general, the spacial direction of a jet is measured with higher precision than it’s energy.

The $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$ distribution was measured in different ranges of leading jet $p_T$. These ranges were chosen according to the regions where the jet triggers were at least 99% efficient. The $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$ distributions in each $p_T$ range were normalized by the inclusive dijet cross section, integrated over the same phase space in $p_T$ and $y_{\text{jet}}$. Many experimental uncertainties cancel in this ratio. The largest experimental uncertainty in this ratio is associated with the jet energy scale ($< 7\%$ for $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} > 2.5$ and up to $23\%$ at $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} < 2$).

III. RESULTS

The preliminary results of the measurement are displayed in Fig. 1. The data are presented as a function of $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$ in four ranges of the leading jet $p_T$. The data points at $p_T > 100$ GeV have been scaled by arbitrary factors in this comparison. The spectra are strongly peaked at $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} = \pi$. The peaks at $\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} = \pi$ become narrower at larger values of $p_T$.

The inclusive dijet cross section in fixed order pQCD receives contributions from configurations with at least two final state partons (O($\alpha_s^2$) and higher). The differential cross section $d\sigma_{\text{dijet}}/d\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}$ only receives contributions from three-parton configurations (O($\alpha_s^3$) and higher). The ratio is therefore proportional to $\alpha_s$ and the leading order (LO) pQCD prediction is given by the ratio of the LO predictions for the single pieces.

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{dijet}}} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{dijet}}}{d\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}}_{\text{LO}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{dijet}}} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{dijet}}}{d\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}}_{\text{LO}} = \frac{\sigma_{\rightarrow 3\text{LO}}}{\sigma_{\rightarrow 2\text{LO}}} \propto \alpha_s$$  

(2)
The dijet azimuthal decorrelation, \( \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{dijet}}} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}}} \), measured in different regions of the leading jet \( p_T \). The data for \( p_{T,\text{jet}1} > 100 \text{ GeV} \) have been multiplied by arbitrary factors.

The phase space for the LO prediction with three partons is limited to \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} > \frac{2\pi}{3} \) due to the requirement that \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \) is defined between the two leading jets. The LO prediction at \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \to \pi \) is dominated by the phase space where the third parton is soft \( (p_{T,jet3} \to 0) \). The LO prediction therefore diverges for \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \to \pi \).

The four plots in Fig. 2 show the \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \) distribution, in different regions of \( p_{T,\text{jet}1} \), overlaid by the results of the JETRAD LO pQCD calculation with the CTEQ6M PDF [5]. The renormalization and factorization scales were set to \( \mu_r = \mu_f = 0.5 \, p_{T,\text{jet}1} \). The limitations at low-\( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \) (due to phase space) and at high-\( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \) (soft limit) are obvious. The predictions are poor at \( p_{T,\text{jet}1} < 100 \text{ GeV} \) over the whole range of \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \). The LO calculation at larger values of \( p_{T,\text{jet}1} \) provides a better description of the data in the intermediate region, \( 2.3 < \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} < 2.8 \).

The limitations of fixed order pQCD are cured by calculations that resum leading logarithmic terms to all orders in \( \alpha_s \). Monte Carlo event generators with parton shower models, such as PYTHIA and HERWIG [6], are good approximations to such resummed calculations. Results from PYTHIA and HERWIG are compared to the data in Fig. 3. Also included in Fig. 3 is a PYTHIA calculation tuned to other \( \bar{p}p \) scattering data (“tune A” from R. Field [7] based on data measured by the CDF collaboration [8]). The default versions of PYTHIA and HERWIG provide a better description of the data over the whole range of \( \Delta \phi_{\text{dijet}} \) than LO pQCD. The description is substantially improved by tuning the PYTHIA parameters. The value of the parameter \( \text{PARP}(67) \), which governs the amount of initial-state radiation, was varied to investigate the sensitivity of the PYTHIA result. Fig. 4 compares the PYTHIA predictions to the data for three settings of \( \text{PARP}(67) \). This figure clearly demonstrates the sensitivity of the measurement and its potential for future efforts to tune event generators.
FIG. 2: The dijet azimuthal decorrelation, \( \frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{dijet}}} d\sigma_{\text{dijet}}/d\Delta\phi_{\text{dijet}} \), measured in different regions of the leading jet \( p_T \). The LO pQCD predictions are compared to the data.

IV. SUMMARY

We observe an increased dijet azimuthal decorrelation towards smaller transverse momenta. A pQCD calculation in lowest order of \( \alpha_s \) does not describe the data, however, Monte Carlo event generators using parton showers can be tuned to describe the data.
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