Biliary Duct Hamartomas: A Systematic Review

Abdul Ahad E. Sheikh 1, Anthony P. Nguyen 2, Katarina Leyba 2, Nismat Javed 3, Sana Shah 4, Alexander Deradke 2, Christopher Cormier 3, Rahul Shekhar 2, Abu Baker Sheikh 2

1. Internal Medicine, The Wright Center for Graduate Medical Education, Scranton, USA
2. Internal Medicine, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, USA
3. Internal Medicine, Shifa International Hospital, Islamabad, PAK
4. Medicine, Aga Khan University, Karachi, PAK
5. Pathology, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, USA

Corresponding author: Abu Baker Sheikh, abubaker.sheikh@gmail.com

Abstract

Biliary duct hamartomas are benign intrahepatic bile duct lesions. Despite being primarily incidental findings on imaging, these lesions can provide a diagnostic conundrum due to their shared characteristics with malignant tumors. The goal of this systematic review is to offer a thorough clinical profile of biliary duct hamartomas.

There were 139 cases of biliary duct hamartomas identified in a structured systematic review of the literature. Patient demographics, clinical presentation, significant laboratory and imaging data, diagnostic modalities, treatment choices, and outcomes were all studied and reported.

Biliary duct hamartomas present with mild symptoms and laboratory abnormalities, and while being visible on imaging, the results are non-specific and may require biopsy in case of red flag signs such as weight loss and a progressive increase in the size of the lesion. Furthermore, there are currently no published guidelines for the treatment of biliary duct hamartomas, and many people have had surgery despite the clinically benign nature of these abnormalities. As per the findings of the study, individuals who exhibit signs of malignancy should be investigated further. Eyeballing for red flag symptoms, followed by a specialized imaging scan and invasive treatment, is the three-step approach to biliary duct hamartomas. Since our recommendations include a shift in strategy and do not contradict existing rules, there are likely to be few roadblocks to improvement; the key barriers being technological equipment and image quality.

In this study, we intended to pave the way for future research in the field. In our opinion, the next decade will bring a better understanding of the characteristics of biliary hamartomas, disease symptoms, and better recognition of any suspicious features. These indications will aid in reducing the number of unneeded surgical or invasive operations. Finally, the findings of these future studies will allow the medical community to improve and provide the best care possible.
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Introduction And Background

Biliary duct hamartomas, often known as 'von Meyenberg complexes,' are benign bile duct malformations [1,2]. These clinically benign lesions are frequently discovered accidentally during imaging or laparotomy and might resemble malignant lesions by presenting with clinical symptoms that may necessitate a lengthy diagnostic workup and even surgical intervention. Because of its rarity and diverse clinical presentation, management of this condition can be challenging [1,3]. As a result, detecting and distinguishing biliary hamartomas from other diseases is critical.

Biliary duct hamartomas are malformations of the tiny interlobular bile ducts caused by the failure of embryonic bile duct involution. Their estimated frequency on autopsy ranges from 0.6% to 5.6% and is around 1% on imaging [4, 5]. Although biliary duct hamartomas have been observed in children, they are most common in adults over the age of 35 [1]. Furthermore, polycystic liver and polycystic kidney disease patients are much more likely to have them [6]. They are also more common in individuals with cirrhosis, indicating that environmental exposure plays an important role in their pathophysiology [1,7].

The majority of biliary hamartomas are asymptomatic, however, they might cause fever, jaundice, or abdominal pain. Similarly, even though most patients have a normal clinical exam and lab findings, rare abnormalities in transaminases, alkaline phosphatase, or gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) levels have been reported [1]. Even while their clinical history is often benign, as is the case with other ductal plate malformation diseases, biliary hamartomas do pose a minor risk of malignant transition to intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma or, less commonly, hepatocellular carcinomas [8]. Given the significant variations in care of biliary duct hamartomas and other disorders with similar symptoms, it is critical to adequately define
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them to aid in correct diagnosis and effective management. Our systematic review seeks to provide a comprehensive clinical picture of biliary duct hamartomas, as well as an up-to-date summary of all cases published in the literature, to identify any prominent and distinctive characteristics.

This article was previously posted to the medRxiv preprint server on April 30, 2021 [9].

**Review**

**Methods**

**Protocol Development and Systematic Review Registration**

We developed the protocol for this review per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) criteria after obtaining consensus with all of the reviewers and topic experts. Following protocol preparation and the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) registration (CRD42021230745), the data search was carried out as described below.

![PRISMA flow chart](chart.png)

**FIGURE 1: PRISMA flow chart**

PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses, CINAHL: Cumulated Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature

**Search Strategy**
The following keywords were used in the search strategy: ‘Biliary hamartomas’ OR ‘Von Meyenburg Complexes’ OR ‘Biliary duct hamartomas’ OR ‘Hamartomas AND biliary’ OR ‘Multiple biliary hamartomas’ OR ‘Multiple biliary duct hamartomas.’

Data Extraction (Selection and Coding)

PubMed, Web of Science, and Cumulated Index to Nursing and Allied (CINAHL) were used to conduct our search. This systematic review includes articles published in English during the last thirty years. During the initial search, 163 articles were found on PubMed, 33 on Web of Science (excluding Medline), and 63 on CINHAL (excluding Medline). Following the first search, we deleted all duplicates and imported all included studies into the EndNote (Thomson Reuters, Toronto) online software (Figure 1). The remaining studies were reviewed by two separate reviewers based on the inclusion criteria. The researchers were blinded to each other’s findings to avoid bias. Mendeley desktop and Rayyan applications were utilized. The screening process began with the abstracts and progressed to the full-text articles if needed. The initial review included publications published in English as well as those with English translations. Following the first screening, the full-text articles were reviewed for final inclusion by two independent reviewers. The reviewers were blind to each other’s conclusions, and a third reviewer was called in to resolve any potential disagreements.

Following that, information on the study’s design and methodology, participant demographics and baseline characteristics, the country where it was conducted, the published journal, clinical presentation, laboratory and imaging data, interventions, treatment, clinical outcomes, morbidity, and mortality were extracted from the study records.

One reviewer extracted data, while another double-checked the collected information for accuracy and completeness. Any discrepancies between individual judgments were handled by the third reviewer. Through email correspondence with investigators, we attempted to retrieve any missing data from the research. On a case-by-case basis, studies were removed from the analysis if data could not be collected. This analysis also omitted papers that had not been peer-reviewed. The preliminary data was recorded in an excel spreadsheet, and the final analysis contained 82 studies.

Risk of Bias (Quality) Assessment

The methodological quality and synthesis of case series and case reports as stated by Murad et al. (2018) [10] were used to assess the quality of all included studies (see Tables 1, 2).

| Leading explanatory questions | The question used in the evaluation |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1. Does the patient(s) represent(s) the whole experience of the investigator (Centre) or is the selection method unclear to the extent that other patients with a similar presentation may not have been reported? | Yes |
| 2. Was the exposure adequately ascertained? | Yes |
| 3. Was the outcome adequately ascertained? | Yes |
| 4. Were other alternative causes that may explain the observation ruled out? | Yes |
| 5. Was there a challenge and/or rechallenge phenomenon? | No |
| 6. Was there a dose-response effect? | No |
| 7. Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur? | Yes |
| 8. Is the case(s) described with sufficient details to allow other investigators to replicate the research or to allow practitioners to make inferences related to their practice? | Yes |

TABLE 1: Tool used to evaluate the methodological quality of included case reports and case series
Quality assessment of the included studies

| Judgment | %   | N | Case study (n=67) | Case series (n=15) |
|----------|-----|---|------------------|-------------------|
| Good     | 90.2| 74 | 59               | 15                |
| Fair     | 9.8 | 8  | 8                | 0                 |
| Poor     | 0.0 | 0  | 0                | 0                 |

**TABLE 2: Quality assessment of the included studies (n=82)**

According to this technique, four broad viewpoints were employed to assess quality: study group selection, ascertainment of the observed outcome, causation of the observed outcome, and case reporting.

**Strategy for Data Synthesis**

We utilized Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) for statistical analysis. Continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation based on the distribution of data, whereas qualitative variables were expressed as frequency and percentages.

**Results**

There have been 139 cases of bile duct hamartomas published in the literature to date, comprising 68 case reports and 15 case series. Our analysis includes these 139 patients. Tables 3, 4, 5 [2,7,8,11-90] summarise the data from all of the cases studied.

| Article                              | Age | Sex | Comorbidities          | Time to presentation |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|------------------------|----------------------|
|                                      |     |     |                        |                      |
| Andres et al. (2006) [11]            | 50  | M   | -                      | NA                   |
| Barboi et al. (2013) [2]             | 71  | M   | -                      | NA                   |
| Beard et al. (2014) [12]             | 48  | F   | Positive               | NA                   |
| Bieze et al. (2013) [13]             | 44  | F   | -                      | NA                   |
| Brunner et al. (1994) [14]           | 65  | F   | -                      | NA                   |
| Del Nero et al. (2015) [15]          | 65  | M   | -                      | NA                   |
| Dilli et al. (2012) [16]             | 61  | F   | -                      | NA                   |
| Fritz et al. (2006) [17]             | 66 & 47 | 2M | -                      | Esophageal carcinoma x2 | NA |
| Fuks et al. (2009) [18]              | 56  | F   | -                      | Breast cancer        | 10 years |
| Gil Bello et al. (2012) [19]         | 56  | M   | -                      | Prostate cancer      | NA |
| Gong et al. (2015) [20]              | 57  | M   | -                      | Several years        |                |
| Guiu et al. (2009) [21]              | 53  | F   | -                      | Breast cancer        | NA |
| Gupta et al. (2016)                  |     |     |                        |                      |
| Reference                          | Age | Sex | Description                     | Duration |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|---------------------------------|----------|
| Gupta et al. (2017) [23]          | 53  | M   | -                               | 1 month  |
| Hasebe et al. (1994) [24]         | 59  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Hashimoto et al. (2011) [25]      | 75  | M   | Sigmoid colon cancer            | NA       |
| Heinke et al. (2008) [26]         | 19 & 39 | 1F & 1M | -                               | NA       |
| Jain et al. (2000) [27]           | 63, 81 & 66 | 2M & 1F | Positive in 81-year-old male | NA       |
| Jain et al. (2010) [28]           | 55 to 60 | 4 M | Positive x2                     | NA       |
| Jang et al. (2014) [29]           | 44  | F   | -                               | NA       |
| Jáquez-Quintana et al. (2017) [30]| 50  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| JF Blanc et al. (2000) [31]       | 61  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| JF Krahn et al. (2012) [32]       | 52  | F   | -                               | NA       |
| Kim et al. (2011) [33]            | 66  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Kim et al. (1999) [34]            | 74  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Koay et al. (2016) [35]           | 62  | M   | -                               | 7 years  |
| Kobayashi et al. (2004) [36]      | 30  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Li et al. (2009) [37]             | 71  | F   | Positive                        | NA       |
| Li et al. (2020) [38]             | 40  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Lin et al. (2018) [39]            | 62 & 57 | 2F | Positive x2                    | NA       |
| Lin et al. (2013) [7]             | 17 to 63 | 4M & 2F | Positive x1                    | NA       |
| Liu et al. (2005) [40]            | 61  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Liu et al. (2014) [41]            | 28-66 | 5M & 7F | Positive x3                   | NA       |
| Lung et al. (2013) [42]           | 81  | M   | -                               | 1 week   |
| Madakshira et al. (2019) [43]     | 50  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Madhusudhan et al. (2009) [44]    | 61  | M   | -                               | 1 month  |
| Madigan et al. (2015) [45]        | 45  | M   | -                               | NA       |
| Manjunath et al. (1999) [46]      | 57  | M   | -                               | 3 months |
| Mansour et al. (2011) [47]        | 51  | F   | -                               | NA       |
| Study Authors         | Year  | Age (Median or Range) | Sex  | History (Primary) | Follow-up Period | Additional Information |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Merkley et al. (2005) |       | 71                    | F    | -                 | 5 years          |                        |
| Michalakis et al. (2011) | 54    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Mimatsu et al. (2008) | 60    | M                     | -    | -                 | 1 year           |                        |
| Miura et al. (2007)   | 55    | M                     | -    | -                 | 1 week           |                        |
| Nagano et al. (2006)  | 76    | M                     | -    | Common bile duct cancer | NA               |                        |
| Nasr et al. (2006)    | 45    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Neri et al. (2004)    | 51    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Neto et al. (2006)    | 70    | F                     | Positive | -             | 3 weeks          |                        |
| Neubert et al. (2020) | 52    | F                     | -    | -                 | 3 months         |                        |
| Panaro et al. (2004)  | 55    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Panda et al. (2019)   | 62    | F                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Parekh et al. (2013)  | 76    | F                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Park et al. (2007)    | 53    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Pinho et al. (2012)   | 56    | F                     | -    | -                 | 2 months         |                        |
| Rocken et al. (2020)  | 59    | F                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Saidi et al. (2013)   | 49    | F                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Sato et al. (2012)    | 59    | M                     | -    | -                 | 1 year           |                        |
| Sato et al. (2009)    | 63    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Schlachterman et al. (2015) | 45 | M | - | - | NA |                        |
| Sharma et al. (2016)  | 73    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Shi et al. (2015)     | 37    | M                     | Positive | -         | 6 months         |                        |
| Shin et al. (2011)    | 62 & 79 | 1F & 1M | - | - | Colon cancer in a 79-year-old male | NA |
| Shirazi et al. (2019) | 65    | M                     | -    | -                 | 1 month          |                        |
| Sinakos et al. (2011) | 25-60 | 2M & 2F | - | - | 3 days to 6 months | NA |
| Singh et al. (2017)   | 55    | M                     | -    | -                 | NA               |                        |
| Song et al. (2008)    | 59-75 | 4M                     | Positive x1 | Positive x1 | Fibrocystic liver disease x1 | NA |
| Article                        | Tumor Markers | MRI and Biopsy                                                                 | Diagnosis (Number of Lesions) | Management | Outcome |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Andres et al. (2017) [11]     | NA            | MRI: Multiple hypointense diffuse liver lesions of variable size, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | NA         | Alive   |
| Barboi et al. (2013) [2]      | Normal        | MRI: Multiple small cystic lesions were detected with T1 hyposignal and T2 hypersignal, the largest being in segment 7, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Supportive | Alive   |
| Beard et al.                  | NA            | MRI: Tubulocystic composition and intermingled normal hepatic tissue, Biopsy: Thick, dense fibrous | Biliary adenofibroma in setting of biliary | Surgical   | Alive   |

TABLE 3: Demographic characteristics of the cases reviewed

NA: Not available, M: Male, F: Female
| Reference | MRI Results | Biopsy Results | Pathology | Outcome |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Bieze et al. (2013) [13] | Normal | MRI and Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Surgical | Alive |
| Brunner et al. (1994) [14] | Normal | MRI: 2 hypointense cysts in the 4th and 8th hepatic segments, Biopsy: Regular epithelium in the setting of dense fibrous stroma, pericentrolobular cholestasis | Biliary hamartoma without malignancy (multiple lesions) | Surgical | Alive |
| Del Nero et al. (2015) [15] | Normal | MRI: Numerous small (<1.0 to 1.5 cm diameter) liver lesions, hypointense on T1-weighted scans and hyperintense on heavily T2-weighted scans, not communicating with the bile ducts, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | NA | Alive |
| Dilli et al. (2012) [16] | Normal | MRI: Multiple, small, nodular lesions with slightly irregular contours in the liver parenchyma, measuring 1.5 cm or less in size. The lesions were hypointense on T1-weighted images and hyperintense on T2-weighted images, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Supportive | Alive |
| Fritz et al. (2006) [17] | NA | MRI and Biopsy: NA x2 | Biliary hamartoma x2 (multiple lesions) | Surgical x2 | Alive |
| Fuks et al. (2009) [18] | Normal | MRI: Multiple and small lesions with high signal intensity scattered throughout the liver, especially in the subcapsular area in T2-weighted images. After contrast injection, the multiple masses showed irregular progressive enhancement from the arterial phase through a delayed phase, Biopsy: Fibrosis | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Surgical | Alive |
| Gil Bello et al. (2012) [19] | Normal | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Multiple nodules composed of multiple bile ducts; periductal fibrous stroma and fibrous bridges between nodules | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Autopsy finding | Death |
| Gong et al. (2015) [20] | Normal | MRI: Multiple small cysts of hypointense on T1-weighted images and hyperintense on T2-weighted images, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | NA | NA |
| Guiu et al. (2009) [21] | Normal | MRI: Multiple irregularly delineated lesions hyperintense and cystic, less than 1 cm, Biopsy: Biliary epithelium with fibrous stroma | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | NA | NA |
| Gupta et al. (2016) [22] | Normal | MRI: Dilated bilateral intrahepatic bile duct radicles with a collapsed gallbladder. There was a presence of a 2.5 by 2.0 cm heterogeneous lesion with altered intensity at the porta. The common bile duct was not visualized, Biopsy: Multiple biliary hamartomas with complete ductal plate dysgenesis | Biliary hamartoma with Klatskin tumor (multiple) | Surgical | Alive |
| Gupta et al. (2017) [23] | Normal | MRI and Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma | NA | Alive |
| Hasebe et al. (1994) [24] | Elevated CEA | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Site 1 - Bile duct adenoma and atypical ductules growing into the liver parenchyma, granular/hyperchromatic large nuclei. Site 2 - Metastatic adenocarcinoma from sigmoid colon | Bile duct adenocarcinoma with a focal area of biliary hamartoma | Surgical | Alive |
| Hashimoto et al. (2011) [25] | Normal | MRI and Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma | Supportive | Alive |
| Heinke et al. (2008) [26] | Normal | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Patient 1 - Well-differentiated pattern with polygonal cells, vesicular nuclei, prominent nucleioli, irregularly dilated bile ducts w/ fibrous stroma consistent with bile duct hamartomas. Patient 2 - 3.2cm tumor w/ central fibrosis consistent with HCC and biliary hamartomas | Hepatocellular carcinoma with underlying biliary hamartomas x2 | Autopsy finding x1; surgical x1 | Death x1; alive x1 |
| Jain et al. | Normal | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Diffuse nodularity and fibrosis, numerous biliary hamartomas, ductal proliferations showing adenocarcinoma, neoplastic changes in | Intrahepatic | Surgical x1; autopsy x2 | Death x2;
| Reference                                      | Lesion Type                                      | Diagnosis                                      | Supporting Evidence | Outcome |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Kobayashi et al. (2004) [36]                   | Biliary hamartoma (irregular contours, inspissated bile, hyalinized stroma) x3 | Cholangiocarcinoma x3 finding x1; NA x1 | Surgical | Alive   |
| Li et al. (2009) [37]                           | Normal MRI and Biopsy: NA                        | Cholangiocarcinoma arising from an underlying biliary hamartoma | Surgical | Alive   |
| Li et al. (2020) [38]                           | NA                                              | Biliary hamartoma                              | Supportive | Alive   |
| Lin et al. (2018) [39]                          | NA                                              | Patient 1: Hepatocellular carcinoma & biliary hamartoma; Patient 2: Biliary hamartoma | Supportive | Alive   |
| Liu et al. (2005) [40]                          | Normal MRI: 4 by 3.7 cm well-defined lesion, with low signal intensities on T1-weighted images and high signal intensities in the central portion on T2-weighted images. Biopsy: Expanded portal areas, with variably dilated and disorganized bile ducts, embedded in the fibrous stroma, and containing altered bile. No evidence of malignancy. | Biliary hamartoma (Multiple lesions) | Supportive | Alive   |
| Liu et al. (2014) [41]                          | Normal MRI: Hypointense x12, hyperintense x12, rim-like enhancement x6; Biopsy: Lesions were subsequently classified into class 1 (predominantly solid lesions with narrow bile channels), class 2 (intermediate, with mild or focal dilatation of bile channels), and class 3 (predominantly cystic), Class 1: 0, Class 2: 8, Class 3: 4 | Biliary hamartomas x 12 NA x12 Alive x12 |                  |         |
| Lung et al. (2013) [42]                         | Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Normal background hepatic parenchyma. Focal lesions: expanded portal tracts with dense stroma, containing dilated bile ducts; a few of which contained inspissated bile. One dilated congested blood vessel. | Biliary hamartoma | Surgical | Alive   |
| Madakshira et al. (2019) [43]                  | Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Bile-stained lesions, likely micro-hamartomas composed of a collection of dilated varying-sized ducts lined by cuboidal epithelium in a fibrotic stroma. The cells lining these ducts were positive for CK7, confirming them to be of bile duct origin | Biliary hamartoma | Autopsy finding | Death   |
| Madhusudhan et al. (2009) [44]                 | Normal MRI: Diffusely scattered lesions, less than 1 cm in size, in both lobes of the liver, which were hypointense on the T1-weighted image and hyperintense on the T2-weighted image, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Surgical | Alive   |
| Madigan et al. (2015) [45]                     | Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Fibrosis, hepatocellular extinction, mild iron deposition | Polycystic liver disease and biliary hamartoma | NA | Alive   |
| Manjunath et al. (1999) [46]                   | Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Dilated bile ducts lined by attenuated bland epithelium. Inspissated bile seen in one duct | Biliary hamartoma | Supportive | Alive   |
| Mansour et al. (2011) [47]                     | Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Irregularly shaped ductular structures lined by cuboidal epithelium, surrounded by dense fibrous stroma (interface between the biliary hamartoma and the liver parenchyma) | Biliary hamartoma | Supportive | Alive   |
| Merkley et al. (2005) [48]                     | Elevated CA-A 19 MRI: | Biliary hamartoma | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | NA | Alive   |
| Study                  | Status          | MRI Description                                                                 | Biopsy Description                                                                 | Outcome |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Michalakis et al. (2011) [49] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Intraportal proliferations of small to medium-sized irregular ductuli lined by benign flattened biliary epithelium, embedded within fibrous stroma | Biliary hamartoma                                                                  | NA      |
| Mimatsu et al. (2008) [50] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Multiple dilated bile ducts with surrounding fibrous stroma    | Biliary hamartoma                                                                   | Surgical |
| Miura et al. (2007) [51] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Aggregation of irregular-shaped cystic dilated bile ducts embedded in fibrous stroma, with minimal inflammatory reaction | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                | NA      |
| Nagano et al. (2006) [52] | Normal          | MRI: Multiple irregularly delineated hyperintense nodules were not, communicating with the biliary tree, Biopsy: Multiple bile ducts with slightly dilated lumens embedded in the collagenous stroma | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                | Surgical |
| Nasr et al. (2006) [53] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Biliary hamartoma                                              | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                | Surgical x1; supportive x1 |
| Neri et al. (2004) [54] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Periportal cysts on the mono-stratified cubical epithelium and a conspicuous increase in connective tissue | Biliary hamartoma                                                                   | Supportive |
| Neto et al. (2006) [55] | Normal          | MRI: Well-circumscribed mass within segments 2 and 3 of the liver, Biopsy: Tumor within the lumen of the bile duct without invasion into the liver parenchyma | Intraductal papillary cholangiocarcinoma (single lesion)                            | Surgical |
| Neubert et al. (2020) [56] | Normal          | MRI: Multiple innumerable cysts, Biopsy: NA                                     | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                | Surgical |
| Panaro et al. (2004) [57] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Dilated bile ducts lined by cuboidal epithelium that were embedded in a dense fibrous stroma | Biliary hamartoma                                                                   | Surgical |
| Panda et al. (2019) [58] | Normal          | MRI: Multiple innumerable lesions, Biopsy: Biliary hamartoma without evidence of advanced fibrosis | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                | NA      |
| Parekh et al. (2013) [59] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Well-differentiated cholangiocarcinoma and numerous biliary hamartomas. Tumor cells were positive for CK7 and CA19-9 with focal staining for CK20, but negative for TTF-1 and p63 | Cholangiocarcinoma                                                                 | Surgical |
| Park et al. (2007) [60] | Normal          | MRI and Biopsy: NA                                                              | Biliary hamartoma                                                                   | NA      |
| Pinho et al. (2012) [61] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Bile duct adenoma                                              | Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the setting of bile duct adenomas                | Surgical |
| Rocken et al. (2000) [62] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Non-encapsulated tumor with columnar/cuboidal cells with necrosis, likely poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. Liver nodules scattered throughout were found to be biliary hamartomas | Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma                                                    | Surgical |
| Saidi et al. (2013) [63] | Normal          | MRI and Biopsy: NA                                                             | Biliary hamartomas                                                                 | NA      |
| Sato et al. (2009) [64] | Normal          | MRI: Multiple small hyperintense nodules that were scattered throughout the liver but did not communicate with the biliary tree, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma (Multiple lesions)                                                | NA      |
| Sato et al. (2012) [65] | Normal          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Hepatic cysts lined by cuboidal, flattened biliary-type epithelium without atypia | Biliary hamartoma                                                                   | NA      |
| Schlachterman et al. | Normal          | MRI: Extensive multinodulancy, Biopsy: Biliary hamartomas and features of ductal plate malformation | Biliary hamartomas                                                                | NA      |
| Authors                  | Year     | MRI Findings                                                                 | Pathological Findings                                                                 |
|-------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sharma et al. (2016)    |          | MRI: Round lesion measuring 15 mm in diameter was found in the upper segment   | Biliary hamartomas                                                                       |
|                         |          | of the right anterior hepatic lobe, with hypo-intense signals on T1-weighted   |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | images, and hyper-intense signals on T2-weighted images (T2WI), and high     |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | diffusion on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), respectively. Rim enhancement,  |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | Biopsy: Irregularly-arranged small bile ducts surrounded by fibrocollagenous |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | stroma. The bile duct epithelia were significantly hyperplastic without       |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | cellular heteromorphism                                                        |                                                                                         |
| Shi et al. (2015) [68]  |          | NA                                                                           | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                     |
|                         |          | MRI: Low signal intensity on T1-weighted image, and high signal intensities   | Supportive                                                                             |
|                         |          | on T2-weighted image and diffusion image. After contrast enhancement, it       | Alive                                                                                   |
|                         |          | showed a subtle rim-like enhancement. Biopsy: Many proliferating bile ducts   |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | are lined by a single layer of uniform cuboidal epithelium without mitotic    |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | activity. Mild-to-moderate fibrosis around these ductules.                    |                                                                                         |
| Shin et al. (2011)      | [69]     | MRI: Presence of multiple cystic liver lesions with a maximum size of 1.5cm.  | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                     |
|                         |          | These lesions had no communication with the bile ducts. Biopsy: NA            |                                                                                         |
| Shirazi et al. (2019)   | [70]     | NA x2, Normal x2                                                               |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI: Presence of multiple cystic liver lesions with a maximum size of 1.5cm.   | Metastatic colon cancer with biliary hamartoma x2; presumed unusual type of hepatocellular |
|                         |          | These lesions had no communication with the bile ducts. Biopsy: NA            | carcinoma or hemangiomatia with biliary hamartoma x1; hepatic adenocarcinoma with biliary |
|                         |          |                                                                 |                                                                                         |
| Sinakos et al. (2011)   | [71]     | MRI: Presence of multiple cystic liver lesions with a maximum size of 1.5cm.   | Metastatic colon cancer with biliary hamartoma x2; presumed unusual type of hepatocellular |
|                         |          | These lesions had no communication with the bile ducts. Biopsy: NA            | carcinoma or hemangiomatia with biliary hamartoma x1; hepatic adenocarcinoma with biliary |
|                         |          |                                                                 |                                                                                         |
| Singh et al. (2017)     | [72]     | MRI: Cyst wall composed of loose collagenous tissue lined by a single layer of  | Biliary hamartoma and cyst (multiple lesions)                                            |
|                         |          | cuboidal cells in keeping with biliary type epithelium. The adjacent liver    | Surgical                                                                               |
|                         |          | parenchyma contained several ectactic bile ducts with focal branching. The    | Alive                                                                                   |
|                         |          | surrounding stroma was densely hyalinized with a mild lymphocytic infiltrate  |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | and dilated lymphatic channels                                               |                                                                                         |
| Song et al. (2008) [8]  |          | Elevated CA-A 19 x1, NA x3                                                    |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI: Low signal intensity in T1-weighted imaging. Biopsy: Tumor composed of   | Metastatic colon cancer with biliary hamartoma x2; presumed unusual type of hepatocellular |
|                         |          | benign biliary hamartomas characterized by irregular and dilated ducts        | carcinoma or hemangiomatia with biliary hamartoma x1; hepatic adenocarcinoma with biliary |
|                         |          | without luminal bile. Multiple foci of adenocarcinoma consisting of           |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | infiltrative and dysplastic glandular/solid structures. Dysplasia shown as    |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | pseudostratification comprising cells with enlarged and variably sized nuclei |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | and some apical snouts x3; fibrocystic liver disease x1                      |                                                                                         |
| Sugawara et al. (2008)  | [73]     | Elevated CA-A 19                                                               |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI: Hypointense on T1, hyperintense on T2 x1, Biopsy: Intrahepatic           | Cholangiocarcinoma with concurrent biliary hamartoma                                     |
|                         |          | cholangiocarcinoma with diffuse microhamartomas and dilated/irregular        | Surgical                                                                               |
|                         |          | hyperplastic bile ducts                                                       | Alive                                                                                   |
| Suzuki et al. (2008)    | [74]     | Normal                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI: Multiple hypointense and hyperintense hepatic nodules on T1-weighted     | Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions)                                                     |
|                         |          | gradient echo images and T2-weighted fast spin echo images, respectively.    | Supportive                                                                             |
|                         |          | On heavily T2-weighted images, the signal intensity increased. Resovist-enhanced | Alive                                                                                   |
|                         |          | T1-weighted image showed no enhancement of these lesions, Biopsy: NA         |                                                                                         |
| Swinnen et al. (1995)   | [75]     | Normal                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Multiple, tortuous, dilated bile ducts, lined with normal    | Biliary hamartoma                                                                       |
|                         |          | cuboidal epithelium and embedded in a fibrous stroma                          | Supportive                                                                             |
| Tarar et al. (2015)     | [76]     | Normal                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|                         |          | MRI and Biopsy: NA                                                            | Biliary hamartoma                                                                       |
|                         |          |                                                                 | Supportive                                                                             |

*Sharma et al.* (2016) [67] Normal MRI and Biopsy: NA Biliary hamartomas NA Alive

*Shi et al.* (2015) [68] NA MRI: Low signal intensity on T1-weighted image, and high signal intensities on T2-weighted image and diffusion image. After contrast enhancement, it showed a subtle rim-like enhancement. Biopsy: Many proliferating bile ducts are lined by a single layer of uniform cuboidal epithelium without mitotic activity. Mild-to-moderate fibrosis around these ductules. Biliary hamartoma (single lesion) Supportive Alive

*Shin et al.* (2011) [69] MRI: NA, Biopsy: Patient 1 - Biliary hamartoma with cystic dilation, Patient 2 - NA Biliary hamartoma NA x1, surgical x1 Alive

*Shirazi et al.* (2019) [70] Normal MRI- Low signal intensity on T1-weighted imaging, and high signal intensities on T2-weighted image and diffusion image. After contrast enhancement, it showed a subtle rim-like enhancement. Biopsy: Many proliferating bile ducts are lined by a single layer of uniform cuboidal epithelium without mitotic activity. Mild-to-moderate fibrosis around these ductules. Biliary hamartoma (single lesion) Supportive Alive

*Sinakos et al.* (2011) [71] NA x2, Normal x2 MRI: Presence of multiple cystic liver lesions with a maximum size of 1.5cm. These lesions had no communication with the bile ducts. Biopsy: NA Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) NA x2; supportive x2 Alive

*Singh et al.* (2017) [72] MRI: Cyst wall composed of loose collagenous tissue lined by a single layer of cuboidal cells in keeping with biliary type epithelium. The adjacent liver parenchyma contained several ectatic bile ducts with focal branching. The surrounding stroma was densely hyalinized with a mild lymphocytic infiltrate and dilated lymphatic channels Biliary hamartoma and cyst (multiple lesions) Surgical Alive

*Song et al.* (2008) [8] Elevated CA-A 19 x1, NA x3 MRI: Low signal intensity in T1-weighted imaging. Biopsy: Tumor composed of benign biliary hamartomas characterized by irregular and dilated ducts without luminal bile. Multiple foci of adenocarcinoma consisting of infiltrative and dysplastic glandular/solid structures. Dysplasia shown as pseudostratification comprising cells with enlarged and variably sized nuclei and some apical snouts x3; fibrocystic liver disease x1 Metastatic colon cancer with biliary hamartoma x2; presumed unusual type of hepatocellular carcinoma or hemangiomatia with biliary hamartoma x1; hepatic adenocarcinoma with biliary hamartoma x1 Surgical x4 Death x1; alive x3

*Sugawara et al.* (2008) [73] Elevated CA-A 19 MRI: Hypointense on T1, hyperintense on T2 x1, Biopsy: Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma with diffuse microhamartomas and dilated/irregular hyperplastic bile ducts Cholangiocarcinoma with concurrent biliary hamartoma Surgical Alive

*Suzuki et al.* (2008) [74] Normal MRI: Multiple hypointense and hyperintense hepatic nodules on T1-weighted gradient echo images and T2-weighted fast spin echo images, respectively. On heavily T2-weighted images, the signal intensity increased. Resovist-enhanced T1-weighted image showed no enhancement of these lesions, Biopsy: NA Biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) Supportive Alive

*Swinnen et al.* (1995) [75] Normal MRI: NA, Biopsy: Multiple, tortuous, dilated bile ducts, lined with normal cuboidal epithelium and embedded in a fibrous stroma Biliary hamartoma Supportive Alive

*Tarar et al.* (2015) [76] Normal MRI and Biopsy: NA Biliary hamartoma Supportive Alive

*MRI*: Tiny lesions ranging from 1 mm to 10 mm diffusely distributed in both lobes. These lesions had...
| Reference                  | Normal MRI | Normal Biopsy                                                                 | Diagnosis                                                                 | Procedure | Status |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Teng et al. (2015) [77]   | Normal     | Low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and increased signal intensity on T2-weighted images. The signal intensity on T2-weighted images were slightly less than that of simple fluid. Biopsy: Multiple biliary channels lined by the regular cuboidal epithelium with dense fibrous stroma | Biliary hamartoma (Multiple lesions)                                      | Supportive| Alive  |
| Tohme et al. (2008) [78]  | Normal     | MRI: All lesions were hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2-weighted images, Biopsy: Numerous preserved biliary hamartomas sustained by hyalinized collagenous stroma were observed inside the tumor, some of them were malignant. | Biliary hamartoma x9; cholangiocarcinoma x1 | NA        | Alive x11 |
| Turdean et al. (2011) [79] | Normal     | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Bile ducts were lined with simple columnar epithelium, focally flattened, without nuclear atypia. The luminal content was amorphous, slightly eosinophilic, and negative to the Alcian blue-PAS stain. The stroma between these ducts was dense, rich in collagen fibers, and was continued by the stroma of adjacent portal areas. A reduced quantity of interstitial Alcian blue-positive mucin was also present. | Biliary hamartoma | Surgical | Alive  |
| van Baardewijk et al. (2010) [80] | Normal     | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Biliary hamartomas | Biliary hamartoma | NA        | Alive  |
| Van Dorpe et al. (2017) [81] | Normal     | MRI: Multiple small liver lesions hyperintense on T2-weighted images and hypointense on T1-weighted images with no enhancement after intravenous administration of gadolinium, Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartomas | NA        | Alive  |
| Varotti et al. (2017) [82] | Normal     | MRI and Biopsy: NA | Biliary hamartoma | Surgical | Alive  |
| Vțule et al. (2010) [83]   | Normal     | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Cystic dilations involving granulomatous fibrotic tissue of intrahepatic biliary ducts | Biliary hamartomas | Surgical | Alive  |
| Waledziak et al. (2018) [84] | Normal     | MRI: NA, Biopsy: Initial resected tumor - Low-grade intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma without vascular invasion, CK7+, CK19+, CK20-, p53+, PAS-, CK20-. Subsequent right hepatectomy: focal bile duct hamartoma w/o signs of malignancy | Low-grade intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma | Surgical | Alive  |
| Xu et al. (2009) [85]      | Elevated AFP and CA-A19 x1, Normal x1 | MRI: RA, Biopsy: Tumor with areas of dysplastic ductal cells consistent with adenocarcinoma that appear to transition from mild dysplasia to invasive, inflammatory infiltrates with lymph follicles in connective tissue, necrosis present x2; cavitation lesions x1 | Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma x2 | Surgical x2 | Death x2 |
| Yang et al. (2017) [86]    | Normal     | MRI: 5/8 patients revealed hypointensity on T1-weighted imaging (WI) and hyperintensity on T2WI. A total of 4 patients exhibited enhancement and 1 exhibited no enhancement in the arterial phase, Biopsy: Bile duct proliferation x6; Bile duct proliferation, partly nest-like arrangement x1; Bile duct proliferation, partly nest-like arrangement, liver cirrhosis x1; Obvious atypical cells, invasive growth, partly bile duct proliferation, cholangiocarcinoma x1 | Biliary hamartomas x6; Biliary duct hamartomas with partial malignant transformation x3 | NA        | Alive x8 |
| Yoh et al. (2004) [87]     | Normal     | MRI: T1-weighted images revealed a low-density mass, T2-weighted images revealed a dappled-density mass w/ honeycomb-like dilated distal bile duct and dilation of the major intrahepatic bile duct, Biopsy: Multicystic mass consisting of dilated cystic bile ducts, periductal glands, connective tissue, and blood vessels with thickened walls. Findings consistent with biliary hamartoma, suggestive of multicystic biliary hamartoma | Multicystic biliary hamartoma (multiple lesions) | Surgical | Alive  |
Yoshida et al. (2009) [88]  
**Normal**

MRI: Multiple small hyperintense lesions <1 cm diameter and normal intrahepatic and extrahepatic bile ducts. Inferior vena cavography and hepatic venography showed no angiostenosis or thrombus in the major blood vessels. Biopsy: Diffuse bile duct hamartomas. H&E staining showed bile duct microhamartomas consisting of circumscribed fibrous areas containing many irregularly dilated bile duct structures and only a few narrowed vessels in the portal region.

| Biliary hamartoma and portal HTN (Multiple lesions) | Supportive | Alive |
|---|---|---|

Zheng et al. (2005) [89]  
**Normal**

MRI: Multiple small hepatic lesions were demonstrated to be of low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images with no enhancement (3/6). MRCP clearly portrayed numerous tiny irregular-shaped and few round-shaped small hyper-intense nodules (2/3). Biopsy: Multiple dilated bile ducts lined by a single layer of cubic epithelium x2

| Biliary hamartoma x5 | NA | Alive x5 |
|---|---|---|

Zimpfer et al. (2007) [90]  
**Normal**

MRI (NA), Biopsy: Multiple dilated bile ducts, some containing bile plugs, small glandular units lined by cuboidal cells with marked atypia, dense desmoplastic stroma that invaded the liver parenchyma. CK7+

| Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and biliary hamartoma | NA | NA |
|---|---|---|

**TABLE 4: Pathological findings of cases reviewed**

| Article (Year) | Symptoms | Labs | Clinical exam |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Abdominal pain | Jaundice | Fever | AST/ALT | GGT | Bilirubin | |
| Andres et al. (2017) [11] | - | - | Elevated | Elevated | - | NA |
| Barboi et al. (2013) [2] | - | - | - | - | - | Hepatomegaly |
| Beard et al. (2014) [12] | Present | - | - | - | - | NA |
| Bieze et al. (2013) [13] | Present | - | Elevated | - | - | NA |
| Brunner et al. (1994) [14] | - | - | - | - | - | NA |
| Del Nero et al. (2015) [15] | - | - | - | Elevated | - | Hepatomegaly |
| Dilli et al. (2012) [16] | Present | - | - | - | - | NA |
| Fritz et al. (2008) [17] | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Fuks et al. (2009) [18] | - | - | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Gil Bello et al. (2012) [19] | - | - | - | - | - | NA |
| Gong et al. (2015) [20] | Present | - | Elevated ALT only | - | Elevated | NA |
| Guiu et al. (2009) [21] | - | - | - | - | - | NA |
| Gupta et al. | - | Present | Elevated | Elevated | Elevated | Jaundice, hepatomegaly |
| Reference | Year | Status | ALT | Other Findings |
|-----------|------|--------|-----|----------------|
| Gupta et al. (2017) [23] | Present | - | - | - |
| Hasebe et al. (1994) [24] | - | - | - | NA |
| Hashimoto et al. (2011) [25] | Present | Elevated | Elevated | - |
| Heinke et al. (2008) [26] | Present | - | - | NA |
| Jain et al. (2000) [27] | Present x2 | Elevated ALT only x1 | - | Splenomegaly x2, ascites x2, varices x1, portal HTN x1 |
| Jain et al. (2010) [28] | Present x2 | Present x1 | - | NA |
| Jang et al. (2014) [29] | - | - | Elevated | NA |
| Jáquez-Quintana et al. (2017) [30] | - | Elevated AST only | Elevated | - |
| JF Blanc et al. (2000) [31] | - | - | Elevated | NA |
| JF Krahn et al. (2012) [32] | - | - | Elevated | HTN |
| Kim et al. (2011) [33] | - | Elevated | - | NA |
| Kim et al. (1999) [34] | - | - | - | NA |
| Koay et al. (2016) [35] | Present | Present | Present | NA |
| Kobayashi et al. (2004) [36] | - | NA | NA | NA |
| Li et al. (2009) [37] | - | - | - | NA |
| Li et al. (2020) [38] | - | - | Elevated | NA |
| Lin et al (2018) [39] | - | Elevated x2 | - | NA |
| Lin et al (2013) [42] | Present x1 | Elevated x1 | - | NA |
| Liu et al. (2005) [40] | - | - | - | NA |
| Liu et al. (2014) [41] | - | - | - | NA |
| Lung et al. (2013) [42] | Present | - | - | Abdominal distension and guarding |
| Madakshira et al. (2019) [43] | - | - | - | NA |
| Madhusudhan et al. (2009) [44] | - | - | - | NA |
| Madigan et al. (2015) [45] | - | - | Elevated | Splenomegaly, gynecomastia, +1 pedal edema |
| Manjunath et al. (1999) [46] | Present | - | - | Hepatomegaly |
| Mansour et al. (2011) [47] | - | Elevated ALT only | Elevated | NA |
| Merkley et al. (2005) [48] | Present | - | - | NA |
| Authors               | Year | Present | Postoperative | Serum ALT | Serum AST | Jaundice | HTN, fever, tachycardia | Positive Murphy’s sign, RUQ tenderness | Bilateral peripheral edema and distal polyneuropathy | Vague mass in the RUQ | Vague mass in the LUQ |
|----------------------|------|---------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Michalakis et al.    | 2011 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Mimatsu et al.       | 2008 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Miura et al.         | 2007 | Present | Present       | -         | Elevated  | Elevated | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Nagano et al.        | 2006 | Present | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Nasr et al.          | 2006 | Present | x1            | -         | -         | -       | Positive Murphy’s sign, RUQ pain x1 |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Neri et al.          | 2004 | Present | -             | -         | -         | -       | Hepatomegaly            |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Neto et al.          | 2006 | Present | -             | Elevated  | -         | -       | Positive Murphy’s sign, RUQ tenderness |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Neubert et al.       | 2020 | -       | Present       | Present   | -         | Elevated | HTN, fever, tachycardia |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Panaro et al.        | 2004 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Panda et al.         | 2019 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Parekh et al.        | 2013 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Park et al.          | 2007 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Pinho et al.         | 2012 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Rocken et al.        | 2000 | Present | -             | -         | Elevated  | -       | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Saidi et al.         | 2013 | -       | -             | Elevated  | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Sato et al.          | 2012 | -       | Present       | Elevated  | -         | -       | Bilateral peripheral edema and distal polyneuropathy |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Sato et al.          | 2009 | Present | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Schlachterman et al.| 2015 | -       | -             | Elevated  | ALT only  | Elevated | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Sharma et al.        | 2016 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Shi et al.           | 2015 | -       | -             | NA        | NA        | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Shin et al.          | 2011 | -       | -             | -         | -         | Weight loss | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Shirazi et al.       | 2019 | Present | -             | -         | -         | Elevated | Vague mass in the RUQ  |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Sinakos et al.       | 2011 | Present | x4            | Present   | x1       | Elevated | x2                     | Elevated x1                             | RUQ tenderness x2                          |                   |                   |
| Singh et al.         | 2017 | Present | -             | -         | -         | -       | Vague mass in the LUQ  |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Song et al.          | 2008 | -       | -             | NA        | NA        | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Sugawara et al.      | 2008 | -       | Present       | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Suzuki et al.        | 2008 | -       | -             | -         | -         | NA      | NA                     |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
| Swinnen et al.       |      |         |               |           |           |         |                        |                                          |                                          |                   |                   |
### Table 5: Clinical presentation and laboratory findings of the cases reviewed

| Reference | Presentation | Laboratory Findings |
|-----------|--------------|---------------------|
| Tarar et al. (2015) [76] | Present | Normal physical exam |
| Teng et al. (2015) [77] | Present | Positive Murphy’s sign, RUQ tenderness |
| Tohme et al. (2008) [78] | Present | Elevated RUQ tenderness |
| Turdean et al. (2011) [79] | Present | Friable tumor on rectal exam |
| van Baardewijk et al. (2010) [80] | Present | Elevated RUQ tenderness |
| van Dorpe et al. (2017) [81] | Present | Elevated RUQ tenderness |
| Varotti et al. (2017) [82] | NA | NA |
| Vitule et al. (2010) [83] | Present | NA |
| Waledziak et al. (2018) [84] | NA | NA |
| Xu et al. (2009) [85] | Present x2 | Elevated ALT only x1 |
| Yang et al. (2017) [86] | Present x2 | Elevated x2 and AST elevated x3 |
| Yoh et al. (2004) [87] | NA | NA |
| Yoshida et al. (2009) [88] | NA | Elevated RUQ tenderness |
| Zheng et al. (2005) [89] | NA | NA |
| Zimpfer et al. (2007) [90] | NA | NA |

**Patient Demographics**

Patients’ ages ranged from 17 to 88 years old at the time of diagnosis, with a mean age of 55±13 (mean±SD) years. Males accounted for 63.3% of all reported cases (88 vs 51), suggesting a slight male predominance.

**Comorbidities**

An underlying comorbidity was identified in 48.9% of the 139 documented cases. Cancer was the most often reported comorbidity, with 12.9% of patients reporting it. Among these, gastrointestinal cancers (esophageal tumors, gastric cancer, common bile duct cancer, and colorectal cancer) were the most prevalent, accounting for 12 out of 18 or 66.7% of all underlying malignancies. Comorbid cancers of the breast, prostate, lung, and thyroid were also reported.

Viral hepatitis was found in 8.6% of the patients in this study, with hepatitis B accounting for seven of the 12 cases (58.3%) and hepatitis C accounting for five of the 12 cases (41.7%). Furthermore, 8.6% of patients had underlying hypertension, and 5% had type 2 diabetes. Tobacco usage (4.3%), alcohol use (3.6%), hemochromatosis (1.4%), and tuberculosis (1.4%) were among the other comorbidities noted.

**Clinical Presentation**
Only 36% of the patients in this study were symptomatic, whereas the vast majority (almost 2/3 of them) were asymptomatic at the time of presentation. Abdominal pain was the most prevalent presenting symptom among those who were symptomatic, with 22.3% of patients reporting abdominal pain ranging from nonspecific abdominal discomfort to localized epigastric or right hypochondrial pain. Fever (18%), weight loss (14%), and jaundice (14%) were other frequently mentioned symptoms. Clinical symptoms that were less often described were abdominal distension and upper and lower gastrointestinal haemorrhage. The interval between the onset of symptoms and presentation varied amongst studies, ranging from one day to 10 years.

Although clinical exams were recorded inconsistently, a positive Murphys’ sign and right hypochondrial soreness to palpation were prevalent among patients who had a physical exam (nine out of 26 or 34.6%), particularly among those who arrived with abdominal pain. Hepatomegaly and splenomegaly were seen in a limited percentage of individuals with documented physical examinations (19.2% and 15.6%, respectively).

**Laboratory Findings**

Laboratory data, mostly alanine or aspartate transaminases (ALT/AST), were recorded for 66 of the 139 patients in this study. Around 33.3 % of the 66 individuals showed increased transaminase levels to some degree. The levels of gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) were measured in 71 individuals, with 42.2 percent (30 of 71) having high GGT or ALP. Bilirubin levels were measured in 60 people in the research, with 15 (25%) having hyperbilirubinemia. Other documented laboratory abnormalities include hypoalbuminemia, increased C-reactive protein (CRP), and hematologic abnormalities such as anaemia, leukocytosis, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia.

Tumor marker information was recorded for 42 of the 139 patients. The great majority of patients (85.7 percent) had no tumour marker elevations. Carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9), a tumor marker linked with gastrointestinal malignancies, particularly pancreatic malignancies [89,91], was found to be high in four patients (9.5%). One patient (2.4 percent) had high levels of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), a tumor marker linked to liver and testicular malignancies [91,92]. One patient also had a rise in CEA, a tumor marker related to malignancies in numerous organ systems, including the gastrointestinal system [91].

**Imaging**

In this analysis, 122 of the 139 patients had some type of abdominal imaging, while the others were diagnosed based on biopsy data. Sixty (43.2%) of the 122 patients had ultrasound imaging, 69 (49.6%) had computed tomography (CT) scans, and 81 (58.2%) had magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans. All imaging modalities indicated radiographic abnormalities. The lesions suspected of being benign biliary duct hamartomas were hypoechoic in 50% (30/60) of the ultrasounds, hyperechoic in 21.7% (13/60), and mixed in 28.3% (17/60).

Furthermore, CT scans for suspected lesions indicated hypodensity and hyperdensity in 81.2% (56/69) and 13.0% (9/69) of patients, respectively, whereas 5.8% (4/69) had mixed density lesions. Contrast enhancement was found in 32.5% of the 40 CT scans that included contrast.

This review included studies that used both T1-weighted and T2-weighted MRI images. T1 hypo-intensity was found in 96.3% of the 81 T1-weighted scans for suspected lesions, whereas T1 hyper-intensity was seen in 3.7%. In contrast, T2 hypo-intensity was found in 6.2% of the 80 T2-weighted scans for benign lesions, whereas T2 hyper-intensity was seen in 93.8%.

Forty-one MRI scans used contrast, with 41.5 % of them reporting contrast enhancement. Several individuals had magnetic resonance choangioresotography (MRCP), which revealed hepatic lesions or cysts that had no relationship to the biliary network. On imaging, however, three of these individuals had dilated biliary ducts, which were discovered by ultrasonography. In one case, the CT scan also indicated dilated biliary ducts. The MRI revealed a similar result in four patients.

**Lesion Characteristics**

The size and location of the lesions of interest were reported on radiography for 44.6% and 48.2% of the patients, respectively. The average size of the lesions was 1 cm in 53.2% of the cases. Twenty-two of the 67 lesions (32.8%) were uniformly distributed across the liver, whereas 21 of the 67 (31.3%) were limited to a single segment. Only two lesions were on the surface of the liver in the right lobe (16 vs 6). They were frequently diagnosed intraoperatively as grey or white nodular lesions, with some cases indicating a cystic component.

**Diagnosis, Management, and Outcome**

The majority of the patients in this study had biopsies as part of the diagnostic process. The pathologic
diagnosis of benign bile duct hamartoma was found in 69.6% of the 112 instances for which biopsy findings were available. In 8.9% of instances, the pathologic diagnosis was bile duct hamartoma with partial malignant alterations, and in 21.4% of cases, the biopsy material was determined to be consistent with malignancy.

The proportion of patients classified radiologically with a malignancy was comparable to the pathology results when considering the final diagnosis provided in each instance; 26.6% of patients were diagnosed with some sort of malignancy, while 73.3% were determined to have a benign diagnosis.

The management strategies used in each case differed significantly. Around 59% of patients with a recorded treatment method had some sort of surgical intervention, the most prevalent of which was partial hepatectomy. Other individuals had cholecystectomies or liver transplants. Prior to the final procedure, 42.7% of patients that received surgical management got a biopsy. In comparison, 36.1% of patients got supportive treatment. Furthermore, 24.4% of patients who underwent surgical intervention were diagnosed with cancer, the most common of which was cholangiocarcinoma (14/20; 70%). A small proportion of cases (4.8%) had postmortem lesions, with two individuals being diagnosed with cancer (2/7; 28.6%).

Overall, the patients in this study had satisfactory results. Of the 116 instances documented patient outcomes, 107 cases (92.2%) stated that the patients were alive at the time of publication, and nine cases (7.8%), including those describing lesions discovered on autopsy, indicated that the patients were deceased at the time of publication. There were no outcomes in the remaining 23 cases.

Discussion

Our systematic review included 82 studies on the incidence of biliary duct hamartomas. In these studies, 139 people with biliary duct hamartomas presented with symptoms or were identified incidentally on imaging, after surgery, or after a malignant transformation.

Their ages varied from 17 to 88 years, with the average patient age being 55 ±13 (mean±SD) years. In our evaluation, the age range was considerably greater than in another study, which reported biliary duct hamartomas detected in individuals above the age of 55 on average [7]. Male dominance was also discovered (88 male patients vs 51 female patients). This conclusion contrasted with one that found female preponderance for this condition [7].

Approximately half of the patients with malignancies had comorbid conditions, with gastrointestinal malignancies being the most prevalent (12.9 %), followed by chronic viral hepatitis B and C (8.6 %). This study supports earlier findings that biliary hamartomas are not always congenital, but can also be acquired as a result of viral inflammation caused by hepatitis B and C [3]. It’s worth noting, however, that this higher incidence might be attributable to selection bias since individuals with chronic liver illness are more likely to receive abdominal imaging.

Multiple investigations [1,89] have found that the majority of the patients were asymptomatic (64%). Abdominal discomfort was the most prevalent symptom reported by patients, followed by fever, weight loss, and jaundice. However, 41 symptomatic patients (82%) were identified with biliary duct hamartomas, whereas nine symptomatic patients (18%) had malignancies (cholangiocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma and metastatic cancer). These symptoms have also been reported in other investigations [91,92].

A third of the 66 patients in our study showed high transaminases, while a quarter had hyperbilirubinemia. These increases can point to two possibilities: derangement in tests might be caused by a big lesion obstructing the biliary network, or the derangement could be caused by transient damage to the lesion [93]. Forty-two out of 139 patients (85.7 %) were found to have normal tumor markers. This was consistent with previously published research [3-6]. However, there were a few cases when CA 19-9, AFP, and CEA levels were increased. This is unlikely to be unique to biliary hamartomas, as increased tumor markers are linked to a variety of cancers and may also be present in situations with underlying liver cirrhosis, portal hypertension, and jaundice [86]. As a result, the significance of tumor markers in biliary duct hamartomas remains debatable.

Ultrasonography revealed a number of common features. The lesions were mostly hypoechoic (80%) and smaller than 1 cm in diameter (53.2%). This is an intriguing observation, as one research found that smaller lesions are hyperechogenic due to acoustic reflection from tightly apposed walls [41]. As a result, our data support the hypothesis that ultrasonography characteristics for biliary duct hamartomas are usually non-specific [94,95]. On CT scan, the lesions were predominantly hypointense. According to a few studies, these lesions grow clearer rather than enhance with contrast (32.5%), which is a puzzling feature that might lead to a malignancy diagnosis [96,97]. On MRI, the majority of the lesions exhibited hypointense and hyperintense signals on T1 and T2-weighted images, as reported earlier [96,97]. Contrast enhancement was found in 41.5% of the lesions, which might be attributed to the compressed liver parenchyma around the masses [78].
Biliary duct hamartomas were mostly detected on the liver’s surface (32.8%), however, they might sometimes be restricted to a segment (31.5%). The right lobe had a greater involvement than the left. It is unknown what causes the differences in lobe participation. However, the diffuse distribution of nodules on the surface of the liver was considered a trait indicative of a benign form of biliary duct hamartomas [96].

The biopsy is the best diagnostic tool and was performed on 112 patients. Despite the fact that the majority of the patients (73.3%) had benign bile duct hamartomas, 59.9% of them underwent some form of surgical procedure as part of their treatment. Surgical therapy may not be necessary until red flag signs, such as weight loss or a rise in the size of the lesion, are observed. Given the benign nature of the lesion, regular surveillance is not indicated in individuals with stable biliary hamartomas. This would save money and eliminate the need for invasive treatments.

As stated in several studies, the results were usually positive, with many patients living long-term unless they had additional illnesses that might potentially worsen their health in the long run [1,93]. This is true for hamartomas in all organ systems; lungs, small bowel, and pancreatic hamartomas have all been documented to have generally positive outcomes [97-99]. Aside from the small chance of malignancy, biliary hamartomas are a benign disorder with no known long-term consequences. As a result, in asymptomatic patients, no intervention is necessary [1].

Bile duct hamartomas are benign lesions that are lined with bile duct epithelium and are frequently dilated due to bile collections in their lumen. The vast majority of cases manifest as non-enhancing, hypoattenuating lesions (Figure 2). The stromal characteristics of these lesions differ, as does the presence of cystic dilatations of intrahepatic bile ducts covered by a single layer of cuboidal cells with fibrous stroma between them (Figure 3). Biliary duct hamartomas pose a diagnostic challenge due to their similar appearance to malignant tumors [3]. As a result, the goal of this study is to give common clinical symptoms, laboratory tests, and imaging results that can help diagnose biliary hamartomas in individuals with atypical hepatic lesions.

**FIGURE 2: CT of the abdomen and pelvis with contrast showing 1.1 cm hypodense lesion within segment IVb**

This CT image is used here in the article with written consent from the patient’s legal guardian.
FIGURE 3: Liver parenchyma showing a well-demarcated lesion with small to medium-sized, irregularly shaped dilated glands lined by bland cuboidal epithelium with intervening fibrous stroma and surrounding inflammatory cells consistent with biliary hamartomas (H&E staining, x20)  

This image is used here in the article with written consent from the patient's legal guardian.

H&E: Hematoxylin and eosin

The large number of patients allowed for a detailed study of the clinical, diagnostic, and prognostic aspects of biliary duct hamartomas, which is a key strength of this research. One possible drawback is publication bias; instances with substantial or atypical symptoms or those linked with malignant transformation are more likely to be published in the literature than cases with clinically insignificant symptoms.

Conclusions

Biliary duct hamartomas are benign tumors of the intrahepatic bile ducts that can produce mild symptoms and test abnormalities. However, while symptoms, clinical exam findings, and raised liver enzymes are all valuable markers, they are not specific and may not be diagnostic of biliary duct hamartomas. As a result, imaging methods are critical for diagnosing and treating them. Even though regular monitoring is not indicated due to their benign nature, imaging findings for biliary duct hamartomas are often non-specific, necessitating biopsy, especially in situations with red flag symptoms such as weight loss and a gradual increase in lesion size.

Patients with symptoms suggestive of malignancy should be investigated further, as per the findings of the review. This three-step process of looking for red flag symptoms, a specific imaging scan, and invasive therapy is more of a paradigm change in how bile duct hamartomas are approached. As previously stated, because our recommendations entail a shift in approach rather than contradicting existing norms, there are likely few hurdles to improvement; the key roadblocks are technical equipment and image quality.

There are currently no standard treatment guidelines for biliary duct hamartomas, and even though these malformations are clinically benign, many patients have received surgery. More research is needed in several areas to identify the best strategy to manage this rare disorder, with the ultimate objective of delivering the greatest care to these patients. One of these areas is the development of new and improved guidelines for determining the usage of invasive vs non-invasive approaches to treating biliary duct hamartomas. These guidelines would necessitate additional research, including observational studies, case series, and hospital surveys on imaging, as well as data on imaging modalities. Diagnostic modalities, the kind of modality necessary for benign or malignant lesions in biliary duct hamartomas, and the specificity of each type of
modality for the lesions being treated are all factors to take into account. It is crucial to define the features of the lesion more precisely to rule out the possibility of malignancy in biliary duct hamartomas. This distinction would require more precise and clear imaging techniques, which are heavily reliant on the resolution and quality of images generated by various devices. It’s possible that in the future, we’ll have access to more specific technological advancements that will allow us to better visualize bile duct hamartomas.

This study was done to give insight into the present diagnosis and care of individuals with biliary duct hamartomas while keeping the disease’s potential evolution in mind. We also intended to pave the way for further research in this area. In our opinion, the next decade will provide a deeper understanding of biliary hamartomas’ characteristics, disease symptoms and processes, and a clearer detection of any suspicious features. Using these cues will drastically reduce the number of unneeded surgical or invasive operations. Ultimately, the findings of all future research will enable the healthcare community to modify and provide the best standards of practice.
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