Immunomodulation by Mosquito Salivary Protein AgSAP Contributes to Early Host Infection by *Plasmodium*
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ABSTRACT Malaria is caused when *Plasmodium* sporozoites are injected along with saliva by an anopheline mosquito into the dermis of a vertebrate host. Arthropod saliva has pleiotropic effects that can influence local host responses, pathogen transmission, and exacerbation of the disease. A mass spectrometry screen identified mosquito salivary proteins that are associated with *Plasmodium* sporozoites during saliva secretions. In this study, we demonstrate that one of these salivary antigens, *Anopheles gambiae* sporozoite-associated protein (AgSAP), interacts directly with *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites. AgSAP binds to heparan sulfate and inhibits local inflammatory responses in the skin. The silencing of AgSAP in mosquitoes reduces their ability to effectively transmit sporozoites to mice. Moreover, immunization with AgSAP decreases the *Plasmodium* burden in mice that are bitten by *Plasmodium*-infected mosquitoes. These data suggest that AgSAP facilitates early *Plasmodium* infection in the vertebrate host and serves as a target for the prevention of malaria.

IMPORTANCE Malaria is a vector-borne disease caused by *Plasmodium* sporozoites. When an anopheline mosquito bites its host, it releases *Plasmodium* sporozoites as well as saliva components. Mosquito proteins have the potential to serve as antigens to prevent or influence malaria without directly targeting the pathogen. This may help set a new paradigm for vaccine development. In this study, we have elucidated the role of a novel salivary antigen, named *Anopheles gambiae* sporozoite-associated protein (AgSAP). The results presented here show that AgSAP interacts with *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoites and modulates local inflammatory responses in the skin. Furthermore, our results show that AgSAP is a novel mosquito salivary antigen that influences the early stages of *Plasmodium* infection in the vertebrate host. Individuals living in countries where malaria is endemic generate antibodies against AgSAP, which indicates that AgSAP can serve as a biomarker for disease prevalence and epidemiological analysis.
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ability of sporozoites to evade or modulate the local immune response before reaching the liver, where they invade hepatocytes and establish systemic infection (6, 7).

In recent years, it has been shown that some mosquito proteins act as immune modulators and help in mosquito feeding or pathogen transmission (8–12). Our current understanding of the molecular interactions among host, parasite, and mosquito proteins during the early stage of malaria infection remains fragmentary. Identifying interactions between anopheline salivary proteins and the vertebrate host and/or Plasmodium and their overall role in the establishment of Plasmodium infection is critical for developing new strategies for malaria elimination (13). Recently, a proteomics-based approach allowed us to identify mosquito salivary proteins that interact with Plasmodium sporozoites during mosquito salivation (14). Among these salivary proteins, mosquito gamma interferon (IFN-γ)-inducible lysosomal thioreductase (mosGILT) and sporozoite-associated mosquito salivary protein 1 (SAMSP1) were further shown to directly interact with Plasmodium sporozoites and modulate their infectivity and transmission (12, 14). In this study, we have studied the role of Anopheles gambiae sporozoite-associated protein (AgSAP), a novel mosquito salivary antigen, in the early stages of Plasmodium infection in the vertebrate host.

RESULTS

AgSAP is expressed in A. gambiae salivary glands. Mass spectrometry analysis of sporozoites that were collected from the saliva of Plasmodium-infected mosquitoes led to the identification of AGAP004803, which has now been named Anopheles gambiae sporozoite-associated protein (AgSAP). Although AgSAP was present in saliva from uninfected as well as Plasmodium berghei-infected A. gambiae mosquitoes, higher levels were found in the infected fraction (14). Phylogenetic analyses indicate that AgSAP has homologs in other major Anopheles vectors, such as A. stephensi (uncharacterized protein LOC118512319) (61% identity), A. sinensis (54%), A. darlingi (51%), and A. albimanus (51%). The gene is also present in Aedes aegypti, A. albopictus, (45%), and Culex quinquefasciatus (43%) (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). In some anopheline species, the AgSAP homolog is named papilin, an extracellular matrix protein present in Drosophila that inhibits metalloproteinase protein activity and influences cell rearrangements (15). Expression analyses revealed that AgSAP is expressed in both the mosquito salivary gland (SG) and midgut (MG) (Fig. 1A). The expression of AgSAP showed an insignificant uptrend in the midgut tissue (Fig. 1A) (P = 0.2071). However, upon P. berghei infection, the expression of AgSAP was significantly upregulated in the salivary glands compared to its level in the midgut (Fig. 1B) (P = 0.0027). This finding
suggests that *Plasmodium* parasites induce the expression of AgSAP in a direct or indirect manner.

**AgSAP binds to the *Plasmodium berghei* sporozoite surface.** To examine whether AgSAP interacts with *Plasmodium* sporozoites, we first cloned and purified recombinant AgSAP from an *Escherichia coli* protein expression system and then generated mouse polyclonal antisera against the recombinant protein. An immunofluorescence assay was used to validate the association of AgSAP with *Plasmodium* sporozoites as suggested by the results of a mass spectrometry screen (14). *P. berghei* sporozoites were probed with polyclonal antibodies specific for AgSAP together with mouse serum as a negative control (Fig. 2A). Sporozoites had clear staining of native AgSAP at their surface when probed with goat anti-mouse IgG antibody labeled with the fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor 488 (green). No signal was detected on sporozoites incubated with serum from naive mice or with secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody only. The binding of AgSAP to sporozoites was also demonstrated by flow cytometry (Fig. 2B). As shown in the overlay histogram, sporozoites incubated with AgSAP-specific antiserum showed binding, compared to secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody alone. It is worth noting that the majority of, but not all, sporozoites have AgSAP present on their surface (secondary antibody control, ~3.59%; control mouse serum, ~9.09%; AgSAP, ~76.2%).

To further examine the binding of AgSAP to *P. berghei* sporozoites, recombinant AgSAP was incubated with sporozoites isolated from salivary glands. As controls, two unrelated proteins, recombinant human interleukin-15 (hIL-15) (6×His tagged) and human peptidoglycan recognition protein 1 (hPGLYRP1) (8×His tagged) were incubated with sporozoites at similar concentrations. Binding was probed with mouse anti-His tag primary antibodies and anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488-labeled secondary antibody (Sec. Ab) (green). AgSAP was found to bind to sporozoites, as observed using fluorescence microscopy, while no such binding was seen with IL-15 or PGLYRP1.
Together, these studies reveal that AgSAP interacts with the surface of Plasmodium sporozoites. AgSAP is present on the Plasmodium falciparum sporozoite surface. To examine whether AgSAP interacts with sporozoites of the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum, we performed an immunofluorescence assay (IFA) using polyclonal antibodies specific for AgSAP (Fig. 3A and B). The P. falciparum sporozoites for this experiment were isolated from either A. stephensi (Fig. 3A) or A. gambiae (Fig. 3B) mosquitoes. AgSAP was detected on the surface of P. falciparum sporozoites when probed with goat anti-mouse IgG antibody labeled with the fluorescent dye Alexa Fluor 488. Binding was absent in sporozoites that were incubated with serum from naive mice or with secondary anti-mouse IgG antibody only. These results show that AgSAP is a sporozoite-associated protein that binds to P. falciparum and P. berghei sporozoites.

AgSAP does not affect the viability of Plasmodium sporozoites. We next examined whether the interaction between sporozoites and AgSAP can influence sporozoite viability, a critical requirement for Plasmodium sporozoites to establish infection. Plasmodium sporozoites were incubated with AgSAP or bovine serum albumin (BSA) (as a negative control), and viability was measured using the cell-permeant dye calcein-acetoxymethyl (calcein-AM), which becomes fluorescent after hydrolysis by intracellular esterases. As expected, AgSAP incubation did not affect the sporozoites’ viability as visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Fig. S2).

AgSAP antibodies are elicited by natural mosquito bites. Since AgSAP affects Plasmodium infection in mice, we determined whether AgSAP-specific IgG was produced in mice bitten by A. gambiae mosquitoes. We found that laboratory mice bitten by A. gambiae mosquitoes (exposed to >200 mosquito bites at six exposure times) developed IgG antibodies against AgSAP and also recognized native AgSAP in mosquito saliva (Fig. 4A), indicating that the protein is secreted into the vertebrate host. Furthermore, we assessed the antibody response in humans, which also demonstrated similarities to the murine response. Sera from individuals living in an area where malaria is endemic (Senegal) had higher IgG reactivity to AgSAP than individuals where malaria is absent (Marseille, France) (Fig. 4B). As AgSAP was identified as a sporozoite-associated protein, the humoral response to AgSAP suggests a link between the presence of antibodies to AgSAP and exposure to Plasmodium in an area where malaria is endemic.
AgSAP knockdown mosquitoes transmit *Plasmodium* less efficiently to mice. To study the influence of endogenous AgSAP on *Plasmodium* transmission, AgSAP double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) (dsAgSAP) was injected into *Anopheles* mosquitoes infected with *P. berghei* at 13 days postinfection (dpi) to decrease the expression of AgSAP. Four days after injection of the dsRNA, the expression of AgSAP was reduced >90% in the dsAgSAP-injected mosquitoes compared to the control dsRNA-injected group of mosquitoes (Fig. 5A) (luciferase dsRNA [ds luc]). To determine whether a reduction of AgSAP has an impact on *Plasmodium* transmission, mice were exposed to infected AgSAP knockdown mosquitoes. The silencing of AgSAP did not affect the feeding of mosquitoes. The parasite load was determined in the mouse liver 42 h after mosquito bites. After the silencing of AgSAP, the bites from infected *Anopheles* mosquitoes caused a 2-fold reduction in the *Plasmodium* burden in the mouse liver as measured by the mean expression level of the 18S *Plasmodium* ribosomal gene (Fig. 5B).
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**FIG 4** AgSAP-specific antibody responses in mice and humans exposed to *Anopheles* mosquitoes. (A) The AgSAP-specific IgG response was measured in mice bitten by *A. gambiae* mosquitoes. C57BL/6 mice were bitten by *A. gambiae* mosquitoes over 6 weeks. IgG antibodies against AgSAP were measured in exposed mice as well as naive mice that were not exposed to *Anopheles* bites. (B) To assess the antibody response in humans, sera from individuals living in an area where malaria is endemic (Senegal) were compared with sera from individuals where malaria is not prevalent (Marseille). OD, optical density.

**FIG 5** Effect of AgSAP knockdown on *Plasmodium* transmission by *Anopheles* mosquitoes. (A) To study the influence of endogenous AgSAP on *Plasmodium* transmission, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) against AgSAP or the luciferase gene was injected into *Anopheles* mosquitoes infected with *P. berghei* (13 days postinfection) to decrease the expression of AgSAP. Four days after injection of the dsRNA, the expression of AgSAP was reduced with that of the control dsRNA (ds-luciferase [ds luc]) using a qRT-PCR assay. (B) To determine whether a reduction of AgSAP has an impact on *Plasmodium* transmission, mice were exposed to infected AgSAP knockdown mosquitoes. The silencing of AgSAP did not affect the feeding of mosquitoes. The parasite load was determined in the mouse liver 42 h after mosquito bites. After the silencing of AgSAP, the bites from infected *Anopheles* mosquitoes caused a 2-fold reduction in the *Plasmodium* burden in the mouse liver as measured by the mean expression level of the 18S *Plasmodium* ribosomal gene (Fig. 5B).

![Graph showing effect of AgSAP knockdown on *Plasmodium* transmission.](image)
In addition, we determined whether immunizing mice with AgSAP would influence mosquito-mediated Plasmodium infection. Mice immunized with AgSAP and exposed to infectious mosquito bites developed a significantly lower liver parasite burden than BSA-immunized mice (Fig. 5C). The antibody levels after immunization were confirmed by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Fig. S3). To further understand the effect of AgSAP on Plasmodium infection, sporozoites were coincubated with AgSAP or heat-inactivated AgSAP and then stimulated with phorbol myristate acetate-ionomycin. The activity was measured using a CellTiter-Glo assay, and the relative luminescence values were compared. (D) The effect on the Jurkat cell response was also measured at the RNA level by comparing the expression levels of TNF-α.

AgSAP binds to heparan sulfate and modulates the cutaneous immune response. Heparan sulfate is an acidic polysaccharide belonging to the glycosaminoglycan family, which is present on the mammalian cell surface (16). Once inside the host, Plasmodium sporozoites are known to bind heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs), which activate sporozoites to begin the invasion process (17). Since AgSAP is present on the sporozoite surface, we assessed whether AgSAP has the ability to interact with heparan sulfate. In an ELISA, we show that recombinant AgSAP binds to heparan sulfate (Fig. 6A).
As a control, we used mosGILT, another *A. gambiae* salivary protein present on the surface of sporozoites, which did not bind to heparan sulfate (Fig. 6A).

To investigate if AgSAP can interact with heparan sulfate proteoglycans present on the mammalian cell surface, we used a Jurkat cell line, which is known to have heparan sulfate proteoglycans (18). The Jurkat cell line is an immortalized T lymphocyte cell line that is used to study immune cell signaling, cytokine expression, and interactions between immune receptors and proteoglycans (19–22). AgSAP shows significant binding to Jurkat cells compared to two control proteins, mosGILT (*A. gambiae* recombinant protein containing an 8×His tag) and PGLYRP1 (Fig. 6B). To assess the effect of AgSAP on immune cells and cytokine production, Jurkat cells were coincubated with AgSAP or heat-inactivated AgSAP (HI-AgSAP) and then stimulated with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) and ionomycin. PMA-ionomycin activates the protein kinase C and calcium signaling pathways, which results in T cell activation and the expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) and IFN-γ (23–26). We observed inhibition of T cell activity in the expression of TNF-α and overall Jurkat cell activity in the presence of AgSAP (Fig. 6C and D). In the presence of HI-AgSAP, no such inhibition was observed. We also confirmed that AgSAP does not affect the viability of Jurkat cells (Fig. S4).

The interaction of AgSAP with heparan sulfate may also influence inflammation since heparan sulfate participates in the recruitment of leukocytes to the site of inflammation and the release of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 (27, 28). In response to *Plasmodium* sporozoites, host cells produce TNF-α, which can directly or indirectly inhibit the ability of sporozoites to establish *Plasmodium* infection (29). To further understand the effect of AgSAP on the immune response at the inoculation site, AgSAP was intradermally injected into the mouse ear. As a control, BSA was injected into the other ear of the same mice. After 6 h, the expression levels of various immune effectors, IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ, IL-4, matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9), transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), ICAM-1, IL-10, VCAM1, IL-12a, IL-17, and CCL2, were compared in both groups (Fig. 7 and Fig. S5). These cytokines, chemokines, and...
other immune effectors were selected based on their role in inflammatory responses in the skin, during a mosquito bite, or immune responses related to HSPGs (30–35). The intradermal inoculation of AgSAP into the mouse ear decreased the expression of TNF-α, IL-1β, IFN-γ, IL-4, MMP-9, TGF-β, and ICAM-1 compared to the BSA control (Fig. 7 and Fig. S5). Overall, these results indicate that AgSAP can modulate host immune responses at the site of inoculation.

DISCUSSION

In arthropod-transmitted diseases, the role of vector salivary proteins has garnered interest over the last decade. The primary purpose of arthropod saliva is to facilitate blood feeding, and saliva can also affect pathogen transmission, either by modulating the host microenvironment at the bite site or by directly interacting with the pathogen. Mosquito saliva contains hundreds of proteins, many of them possessing antiautophagy, antihemostatic, anti-inflammatory, or immunomodulatory properties. Several studies have demonstrated that mosquito saliva can facilitate the transmission of viruses and other pathogens. The passive transfer of antibodies against salivary gland extract (SGE) results in a lower Plasmodium burden in mice. AgTRIO and SAMSP1 are two protein candidates that may play important roles in pathogen transmission, while other salivary proteins, such as GILT, inhibit Plasmodium infection. Many other mosquito salivary proteins, including gSG6, AgBR1, and Nest1, may be involved in hemostasis and immunomodulation, but their role in Plasmodium transmission is not clear (8, 11, 36). The role of salivary protein is not restricted to these antigens, and the role of additional targets such as AeSNAP, a calcium transporter ATPase, has also been shown to be important for the transmission of other pathogens such as dengue virus (37). In another recently reported example, a salivary protein, Aedes aegypti venom allergen 1 (AaVA-1), promotes flavivirus transmission by activating autophagy in host immune cells (9). All these studies indicate that mosquito proteins have important pharmacological activities, and the underlying mechanisms of salivary proteins in pathogen transmission should be a priority in vector biology research.

In malaria, recent studies have shown the critical role of different mosquito salivary proteins, including AgTRIO, SAMSP1, and mosGILT, in affecting Plasmodium transmission and the overall disease burden in the host (12, 14, 38). Our previous studies have shown specific salivary proteins, such as SAMSP1 and mosGILT, to be associated with the sporozoites within the mosquito. In the present study, we studied the role of AgSAP, another novel sporozoite-associated mosquito salivary protein. We provide evidence that AgSAP interacts with sporozoites, and more interestingly, it can influence host-pathogen interactions and Plasmodium infection during the early stage of infection. AgSAP silencing in mosquitoes decreases the overall Plasmodium burden in the host liver following mosquito-borne infection of mice. Furthermore, cellular assays showed that AgSAP can alter inflammation in the host. We hypothesize that AgSAP binds to sporozoites and manipulates Plasmodium infection in the skin by modulating host immune responses.

AgSAP interacts with heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG), which is a linear polysaccharide present on the mammalian cell surface and is a key regulator of the inflammatory response (16). This led us to hypothesize that AgSAP may impact the proinflammatory response, including TNF-α, which is one of the most important soluble effectors against Plasmodium infection. In the preerythrocytic stages, TNF-α directly inhibits the ability of Plasmodium sporozoites to infect human and rodent hosts (39). This hypothesis is based on the previous observation that mosquito bites decrease TNF-α levels in the serum of humanized mice (30). Upon tissue injury, heparan sulfate fragments are released and promote the release of TNF-α and other proinflammatory cytokines (40, 41). Our data suggest that AgSAP can interact with heparan sulfate and inhibit TNF-α expression in host skin as well as in Jurkat cells, a human T cell line. Furthermore, we also see decreases in the expression levels of IL-1β, IFN-γ, IL-4, MMP-
9, TGF-β, and ICAM-1. Overall, our data suggest that AgSAP binds to heparan sulfate and affects proinflammatory responses at the inoculation site.

To understand the effect of AgSAP inhibition on Plasmodium infection, we employed different strategies. RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated silencing of AgSAP expression in mosquitoes results in a 2-fold reduction of the Plasmodium burden in the mouse liver. When AgSAP-immunized mice were challenged with Plasmodium-infected mosquitoes, there was a modest decrease in the Plasmodium burden in the liver. Administering AgSAP antiserum to the mice also led to a similar decrease in the parasite burden. Finally, the Plasmodium burden in the liver was found to be higher in mice that received sporozoites mixed with AgSAP protein. Overall, these results further confirmed that AgSAP might play an important role in the preerythrocytic stages of Plasmodium infection.

After continuous exposure to mosquito bites, humans develop a humoral response against mosquito salivary proteins, and this can be useful for surveillance and as a biomarker for exposure to bites and malaria disease risk (42). Individuals living in an area where malaria is endemic develop a humoral immune response to some salivary antigens such as AgSAP, SAMP1, SG6, and 5-nucleotidase but not to other proteins like AgTRIO (12, 38, 43). Future studies are needed to understand if combining several salivary antigens such as AgSAP, SAMSP1, SG6, and 5-nucleotidase but not to other proteins like AgTRIO (12, 38, 43). Future studies are needed to understand if combining several salivary antigens would be a better approach than using individual proteins for identifying exposure to mosquitoes and malaria disease risk in areas of endemicity.

In conclusion, we have assessed the role of the novel salivary antigen AgSAP in the initial stages of Plasmodium infection and immunomodulation of the host response. Understanding the diverse role of salivary antigens in the early events associated with sporozoite infection of the vertebrate host will allow the development of mosquito antigen-based vaccines and other strategies for malaria intervention.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethics statement.** All mice were housed by the Yale Animal Resource Center at Yale University and handled according to the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (44). The experiments designed for these studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yale University (protocol number 2020-07941). Human plasma was collected after institutional review board approval. The Senegal National Ethics Committee (Senegal) and the Marseille-2 Ethical Committee (France) approved the collection of human serum samples (number 2006-A00581-50). Detailed information about these samples was provided previously (38, 45).

**Animals and mosquitoes.** Anopheles gambiae (4ARR strain; MRA-121) mosquitoes were raised at 27°C with 80% humidity under a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle and maintained with 10% sucrose. A. stephensi (Keele strain) and A. stephensi (Liston strain) were raised at the insectary of the Johns Hopkins Malaria Research Institute (JHMRI) under the same rearing conditions. Swiss Webster and C57BL/6 mice (5- to 6-week-old females) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA).

**Plasmodium berghei infection.** P. berghei (NK65 redstar) infection was maintained in 6- to 8-week-old female Swiss Webster mice as described previously (14, 46). Briefly, P. berghei (NK65 redstar)-infected erythrocytes (RBCs) were inoculated by intraperitoneal injection. Mosquitoes were starved for 20 h and then fed on anesthetized mice with parasitemia levels of >2% (2 to 3 mice/cage of 200 mosquitoes). Sixteen to eighteen days after feeding, mosquito salivary glands (SGs) were observed under a fluorescence microscope to confirm P. berghei infection.

**Plasmodium falciparum infection.** For producing P. falciparum sporozoites, female A. gambiae (Keele strain) or A. stephensi (Liston strain) mosquitoes were fed through an artificial glass membrane feeder with a blood mix containing P. falciparum NF54 (catalog number MRA-1000; BEI Resources) gametocytes adjusted to a final gametocytemia of 0.3% as described previously (14). Sporozoites were isolated from P. falciparum-infected A. gambiae or A. stephensi mosquitoes, and cryopreserved sporozoites were shipped on dry ice from JHMRI to Yale University for AgSAP binding studies.

**Gene cloning and protein purification.** The AgSAP sequence (AGAP004803-PA) was codon optimized and synthesized by IDT and cloned into the pET28a expression vector (Novagen) using NdeI and HindIII restriction sites, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. AgSAP was also cloned into a pEVT mammalian expression vector (47) using Agel and NotI restriction sites. The primers utilized for these cloning procedures are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The gene sequence was confirmed by DNA sequencing (Yale University W. M. Keck DNA Sequencing Facility). The pEVT-AgSAP construct was transfected into Exp293F cells according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The transfected cells expressing A. gambiae AgSAP were maintained at 37°C in Exp293 medium containing a 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific), mosGILT and PGLYRP1 were purified as described previously (14, 47).

AgSAP was also subcloned into an E. coli pGEX-6P2 expression vector (GE Healthcare) using BamHI and NotI restriction sites, and the sequence was confirmed. AgSAP-pET28a or AgSAP-pGEX-6P2 plasmids were transformed into ClearColi BL21 competent cells (InvivoGen). ClearColi cells express modified
lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is less immunogenic to mammalian cells. AgSAP-6×-His fusion protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16°C for 12 to 16 h.

The cells were sonicated in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 8.0], 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets [Sigma-Roche], and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF]). Soluble 6×-His-AgSAP was purified from the cytosolic fraction using nickel-nitritotriacetic acid (NTA) agarose resin according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Qiagen). Glutathione S-transferase (GST)–AgSAP protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG at 37°C for 3 to 4 h. The cells were sonicated in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl [pH 8.0], 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets [Sigma-Roche], and 1 mM PMSF). Soluble GST-AgSAP was purified from the cytosolic fraction using GST-Sepharose resin according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma). Purified His-AgSAP and GST-AgSAP were concentrated with a 3-kDa-cutoff Amicon filter (EMD Millipore), and buffer was exchanged three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

Salivary gland and sporozoite isolation. SGs were dissected from mosquitoes under a microscope and resuspended in PBS or RPMI 1640 medium. Sporozoites were isolated from the SGs by repeated passages through a 28 1/2-gauge insulin syringe. The sporozoite 5G mixture was then centrifuged at a low speed (1,500 rpm) for 5 min to remove debris. The supernatant was centrifuged at 17,200 × g for 10 min, the sporozoite pellet was resuspended in PBS or RPMI 1640 medium, and the sporozoites were counted using a hemocytometer.

**Immunofluorescence assay.** Isolated sporozoites were incubated with either AgSAP-specific polyclonal antibodies or prebleed control mouse sera at 4°C for 1 h. The sporozoites were washed with PBS twice, and the supernatant was discarded to remove unbound antibodies. The sporozoites were subsequently fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. After the removal of the fixative, sporozoites were incubated with a goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:1,000) for 30 min. The sporozoites were then again washed two times with PBS. Sporozoites were viewed using an Eos FL auto cell imaging system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

For the protein binding assays, isolated sporozoites were incubated with either AgSAP, hPGLYRP1 (47), or HlL-15 at 4°C for 1 h as described previously (12). The sporozoites were washed with PBS twice, and the supernatant was discarded to remove unbound protein. The sporozoites were subsequently fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature. After the removal of the fixative, sporozoites were incubated with purified anti-His tag antibody generated in mice (BioLegend) (1:500) for 20 min. The excess antibody was washed with PBS, and sporozoites were probed with a goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (1:1,000) for 30 min. The sporozoites were again washed two times with PBS. Sporozoites were viewed using an Eos FL auto cell imaging system (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Mosquito gene expression by qRT-PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from mosquito tissues in TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and cDNA was prepared using an iScript kit (Bio-Rad). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was done on a CFX96 real-time system (Bio-Rad) using iTaq SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad). PCR involved an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min and 40 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 10 s at 60°C, and 10 s at 72°C. Fluorescence readings were taken at 72°C after each cycle. The relative expression of AgSAP was normalized to A. gambiae actin mRNA using the comparative ΔΔC_t method.

**Mouse immunization with AgSAP.** C57BL/6 mice (6-week-old females) were immunized once with either 10 μg of AgSAP or BSA emulsified in complete Freund’s adjuvant (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by two boosts of the respective antigen with incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (Thermo Fisher Scientific) every 14 days. At the end of the experiment, serum was collected from each mouse, and the titers were tested by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to confirm antigen-specific antibodies for each mouse.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.** Microtiter plates (MaxiSorp 96-well plates; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with 100 ng AgSAP or BSA in PBS at 4°C overnight. The wells were washed three times with PBS-T (PBS with 0.1% Tween 20). The wells were blocked with 3% BSA in PBS-T for 2 h at 37°C. Serum samples from individual mice were diluted 1:10,000 in 100 μl of blocking buffer and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The wells were washed four times with 300 μl of PBS-T. After incubation with mouse antiseraum, goat anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the wells at a 1:2,500 dilution for 1 h. The wells were washed three times with 300 μl of PBS-T. One hundred microliters of SureBlue 1-component TMB substrate (SeraCare) was added to the wells, and the mixture was incubated for 10 min in the dark, followed by the addition of 100 μl of 3,3′,5,5′-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) stop solution. The absorbance was recorded at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.

For studying the humoral response in humans, the plates were coated with AgSAP and blocked with BSA as described above. Human plasma was added at a 1:100 dilution in a buffer containing 3% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. The wells were washed four times with 300 μl of PBS-T. Subsequently, anti-human IgG HRP-conjugated antibody was added at a 1:8,000 dilution.

For heparan sulfate interactions, plates were coated with heparan sulfate (2 μg) as described above. After blocking with 3% BSA and washing, either AgSAP or GILT was added at different concentrations at 4°C overnight. The wells were washed, and a 1:1,000 dilution of anti-6×-His tag monoclonal antibody (BioLegend) was incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The wells were washed four times with 300 μl of PBS-T. After primary antibody incubation, goat anti-mouse HRP secondary antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the wells at a 1:2,500 dilution for 1 h. The wells were washed four times with PBS-T, SureBlue 1-component TMB substrate (SeraCare) was added to the wells, and the mixture was incubated for 10 min in the dark, followed by the addition of 100 μl TMB stop solution. The absorbance was recorded at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer.
Infection studies. Sporozoites were isolated from infected SGs as described above and counted with a hemocytometer. AgSAP (500 ng) was added to sporozoites (c. 2,500) and administered into the dermis of the murine ear (6- to 8-week-old female C57BL/6 mice) with a 31-gauge 0.3-ml insulin syringe as described previously (14). For Plasmodium transmission, 1 day before challenge, P. berghei-infected A. gambiae mosquitoes were screened and separated into cups as described previously (14, 38). Each C57BL/6 mouse immunized with either BSA or AgSAP was exposed to three infected mosquitoes, and mosquitoes were allowed to bite for 30 min. For analysis of the Plasmodium burden in the hepatic tissue, murine livers were harvested at 42 h postinfection. The whole liver was homogenized in 4 ml of TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA was purified from 0.5 ml of the homogenate according to the manufacturer’s protocol and resuspended in 500 µl of molecular-biology-grade water. Following the extraction of RNA, cDNA was synthesized from 3 µg of total RNA using an iScript kit (Bio-Rad). qRT-PCR was performed in triplicates by targeting the F. berghei 18S rRNA gene and normalizing gene expression to mouse hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 alpha (HNF) (Table S1) by the comparative threshold cycle (Ct) method, as described above.

Intradermal inoculation of Plasmodium sporozoites mixed with AgSAP. Sporozoites were counted with Incyo C-Chip hemocytometers (Neubauer Improved). Sporozoites were washed three times with RPMI 1640 medium. Washed sporozoites were incubated with AgSAP or BSA (100 µg/ml) for 1 h on ice. Two thousand five hundred sporozoites in a final volume of 10 µl were injected intradermally into the murine ear (6- to 8-week-old female C57BL/6 mice; Charles River Laboratories) using a 31-gauge 0.3-ml insulin syringe (Easy-Touch). For analysis of the Plasmodium burden in the hepatic tissue, murine livers were harvested at 42 to 44 h postinfection. The whole liver was homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described above, and purified total RNA was resuspended in water. cDNA was synthesized from total RNA using an iScript kit (Bio-Rad), and qRT-PCR was performed for the F. berghei 18S rRNA gene, normalizing gene expression to the mouse HNF gene (Table S1) by the comparative Ct method, as described above.

dsRNA-mediated gene silencing in Anopheles mosquitoes. RNA interference of genes expressed in mosquito SGs was performed as described previously (14). Briefly, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) targeting either the AgSAP gene or an irrelevant luciferase gene (luc) from Renilla reniformis was transcribed using gene-specific primers designed with a T7 promoter and the MEGAscript RNAI kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (for primers, see Table S1). P. berghei-infected A. gambiae 4ARR mosquitoes were screened for redstar-positive SGs at 13 dpi, and dsAgSAP or dsluc at 10 mg/ml (1 µg total dsRNA) was injected into the thorax of the mosquitoes using a Nanoject II Auto-Nanoliter injector (Drummond). Mosquitoes were kept for 4 days after injection of the dsRNA. SGs were dissected at 17 dpi (4 days after dsRNA injection) to monitor gene expression in the presence of dsAgSAP and dsluc by qRT-PCR (Table S1).

Jurkat reporter assays. Jurkat-Lucia NFAT cells are derived from the human T lymphocyte-based Jurkat cell line by the stable integration of a nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT)-inducible Lucia reporter construct (catalog number jktl-nfat; InvivoGen). Jurkat cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (catalog number 11875-093; Life Technologies) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (catalog number 10099-15; Life Technologies) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Life Technologies). The cells were stimulated with PMA-ionomycin in the absence or presence of AgSAP or heat-inactivated AgSAP. Thirty microliters of the cell supernatant was mixed with 50 µl of Quanti-Luc reagent (InvivoGen), and luminescence was measured using a Synergy Mx plate reader (BioTek).

Jurkat cell viability assays. Jurkat cells were incubated in the presence or absence of different concentrations of AgSAP or AgSAP for 48 h. At the end of 48 h, cells were mixed with 50 µl CellTiter-Glo reagent (Promega), and luminescence was measured using a Synergy Mx plate reader (BioTek).

Jurkat cytokine assays. Jurkat cells were stimulated with PMA-ionomycin in the absence or presence of AgSAP or heat-inactivated AgSAP for 6 h. RNA was isolated using Qiagen RNeasy columns, and cDNA was prepared using an iScript kit. The expression of TNF-α and IFN-γ was calculated based on the ∆∆Ct method.

Analysis of local immune responses after intradermal inoculation of AgSAP. For the analysis of local immune responses after intradermal injection, AgSAP or BSA was intradermally injected into the left or right ear of the same mice. Recombinant proteins (final concentration of 0.5 µg/µl) were injected intradermally into the dorsal ear as described previously, using a Nanoject II Auto-Nanoliter injector (Drummond) (8). The inoculation sites were marked, and 6 h later, 3-mm punch biopsy specimens were taken from the intradermally injected locations. Total RNA was extracted from the ear biopsy specimen using the RNeasy fibrous tissue mini kit (Qiagen), and cDNA was generated in a total volume of 30 µl. Target gene expression was normalized to the mouse β-actin gene, and the expression of 13 genes was analyzed according to ∆∆Ct calculations.

Primers. All primers utilized in these studies are listed in Table S1.

Statistical analysis. Data from at least three replicates were used to calculate means or medians for graphing purposes. The differences between the groups were examined by unpaired Student’s t test, and the data are presented as means with standard deviations (SD). The differences among more than 3 groups were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analysis, graphs, and statistics of all data were performed using Prism v8.0 and above (GraphPad Software).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available only online.

FIG S1, TIF file, 0.1 MB.

FIG S2, TIF file, 0.1 MB.
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