Enhancing interprofessional collaboration and interprofessional education in women’s health
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**ABSTRACT**

This article is from the ‘To The Point’ series from the Association of Professors of Gynecology and Obstetrics Undergraduate Medical Education Committee. The purpose of this review is to provide an understanding of the differing yet complementary nature of interprofessional collaboration and interprofessional education as well as their importance to the specialty of Obstetrics and Gynecology. We provide a historical perspective of how interprofessional collaboration and interprofessional education have become key aspects of clinical and educational programs, enhancing both patient care and learner development. Opportunities to incorporate interprofessional education within women’s health educational programs across organizations are suggested. This is a resource for medical educators, learners, and practicing clinicians from any field of medicine or any health-care profession.

Health-care teams have faced enormous challenges over the past several years, navigating a pandemic while also continuing to provide routine and preventive health care, striving to mitigate disparities and racism, training our next generation of health-care providers, and combatting chronic public health crises such as violence and mental health in the USA. Each of these tasks are Herculean and require collective ingenuity and efforts across specialties. Taken alone, the COVID-19 pandemic has required collaboration between physicians, public health experts, respiratory technicians, nurses, environmental services, among others. Without the complementary yet distinct skills and expertise of each of these disciplines, more profound morbidity and mortality may have occurred [1]. Put simply, the health-care challenges that we face cannot be surmounted by physicians alone. The complexity of health and health care in the 21st century demands increasingly coordinated and agile collaboration among varied health-care professionals [2]. Medical educators must ensure future physicians are trained experts at engaging with non-physician health-care professionals in a respectful, productive, and collaborative manner in order to manage day-to-day health care as well as to solve the challenges ahead.

**Collaboration versus Education**

The terms Interprofessional Collaboration (IPC) and Interprofessional Education (IPE) are often used interchangeably; however, they refer to distinct yet overlapping entities (Figure 1) [3]. Interprofessional education (IPE) refers to the co-education of learners from different disciplines, such as pharmacy and medicine, learning alongside one another as well as about each other’s professions in a classroom or workplace learning environment. A common set of learning objectives for all students engaging in interprofessional education – regardless of discipline – has been endorsed by over 60
Figure 1. Relationship between interprofessional collaboration and interprofessional education with examples.

Professional societies and includes four core competencies related to the value of collaboration, roles and responsibilities, communication, and teamwork [4]. Medical students often work alongside physician assistants, advanced practice nurses, and other students during their clinical education. Learners from different schools typically have distinct learning objectives and educational goals reflective of their future discipline and learn not only the patient care-related material alongside one another but also how the roles and perspectives of the other discipline complement patient care to achieve the core interprofessional education competencies.

In comparison, interprofessional collaboration (IPC) refers more specifically to the workplace rather than the educational environment and focuses on health-care professionals from different disciplines working cooperatively to optimize patient care. IPC occurs when clinicians from different backgrounds – such as physical therapy, physicians, and audiologists – work together using their distinct yet complementary skills and expertise to provide the highest quality of care to patients, families, and communities [5]. Substantial overlap between IPE and IPC exists given the inclusion of students into multidisciplinary teams on a regular basis in the clinical setting. As such, learners can achieve similar educational goals through IPC experiences. The communication, perspective enhancing, and collaborative skills that are the objectives of IPE may be more readily achieved through IPC opportunities already existent in health-care settings [4]. For example, arranging for case-based or patient simulation learning experiences that are mutually beneficial for students from differing vocations – such as a birthing simulation experience for medical and midwifery students – are often time-consuming and challenging to implement [5]. Instead, having a medical student spend a Labor and Delivery shift with a midwife rather than the obstetrics team, and having a midwifery student work with the obstetrics team can be much simpler to implement and potentially more effective to achieving educational goals.

An emphasis on IPE should lead to improved IPC. That is, an educational environment that respects and encourages IPE should produce health-care professionals that are more agile in involving and respecting one another’s contributions. Conversely, an educational environment where learners remain siloed, surrounded only by students from their own discipline and taught exclusively by faculty from their own domain, might be less likely to understand, involve, and respect the contributions of other professionals involved in patient care.

Both IPC and IPE have been increasing in prominence in health-care and medical education over the past several decades. Prior to 1999, IPE and IPC were considered fringe concepts in higher medical education and health-care settings. In 1999, To Err is Human was published by the then Institute of Medicine which revealed the frequency of medical errors and recommended improved collaboration between health-care professionals – called ‘caring microsystems’ in the report – to improve patient safety [6]. These caring microsystems are what we would call IPC today. With the increasing emphasis on IPC in health-care workplaces, educators developed the concept of IPE to train students to be able to interact in an increasingly collaborative health-care environment. In 2010, the World Health Organization published a framework for IPE for global medical education [7]. This was followed in the US by the release of recommendations from a collaboration of the six main educational organizations in health-care education – specifically, American Association of Colleges of Nursing, American Association of Colleges of Osteopathic Medicine, American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy, American Dental Education Association, Association of American Medical Colleges, and Association of Schools of Public Health – which hallmark the widespread introduction of IPE into medical education curricula at medical schools nationwide [8].

As of 2013, the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) required medical schools to demonstrate that students are being prepared to function collaboratively on health-care teams that include other professionals. This requirement remains a part of LCME accreditation currently represented as Standard 6.7, which requires that medical students ‘have opportunities to learn in academic environments that permit interaction with students enrolled in other health professions, graduate, and professional degree programs, and in clinical environments that provide opportunities for interaction with physicians in graduate medical education programs and in continuing medical education programs’, and Standard 7.9, which requires that medical schools ensure that students are prepared to ‘function collaboratively on health-care teams that include health professionals from other disciplines as they provide coordinated services to patients’ [9].
IPE and IPC in obstetrics and Gynecology

Obstetrics and Gynecology has long been a collaborative specialty. Even well into the 20th century, women sought care from traditional birth attendants for expertise in pregnancy and childbirth rather than from a physician. In the 1940s, nurse midwifery was promoted by public health nurses, social reformers, and obstetricians in order to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality [10]. Expertise in pregnancy and childbirth is now shared between obstetricians and other health-care providers including nurse midwives, family medicine physicians, women’s health nurse practitioners, physician assistants, and doulas. Nearly 13% of women in the USA choose a midwife rather than an obstetrician for their care [11]. Women receiving care with midwives experience fewer interventions in labor and have reduced risks of cesarean section or operative vaginal delivery [12]. It is recognized that increasing access to and learning best practices from nurse midwifery may be a primary strategy to continuing to reduce maternal morbidity and mortality in the USA [11–13]. Team-training, a form of interprofessional education, has been shown to reduce rates of adverse obstetric events including return to the operating room and birth injury [14].

Gynecologic subspecialties also commonly rely on non-physician health-care professionals to deliver care and improve patient outcomes and safety. In Gynecologic Oncology, nurse navigators improve coordination of care and are associated with increased patient satisfaction and reduced anxiety [15]. Reproductive Endocrinology regularly incorporates psychological assessment and support for patients undergoing evaluation and treatment for infertility which is associated with reduced anxiety and improved success with fertility treatments [16,17]. Urogynecologists routinely incorporate pelvic floor physical therapy into treatment planning for incontinence and prolapse which improves successful treatment and patient satisfaction[18].

Other non-physician health-care professionals have substantial roles in improving outcomes for Obstetrics and Gynecology patients across subspecialties. Involving pharmacists in bedside rounds reduces the risk of medication errors by two-thirds [19]. When physical therapists regularly participate in discharge planning, readmission rates are decreased more than twofold [20]. Routinely involving genetic counselors across disciplines is associated with increased patient satisfaction, a greater sense of control, and positive health behaviors [21]. Patients receiving pastoral care report greater sense of peace and reduced anxiety about their prognoses [22].

Interprofessional education is paramount to ensuring a future workforce that is receptive to the knowledge, perspectives, and expertise of other disciplines. Working effectively in interdisciplinary teams has been identified as the single most important skill for incoming residents and is a required component of all residency training programs [23,24]. Evidence indicates that IPE activities improve learners’ attitudes towards other members of interdisciplinary teams and enhance communication and shared problem-solving among interdisciplinary team members [25]. Given the clear patient care and population health benefits that interdisciplinary teams offer, the evidence supporting the efficacy of IPE on achieving a physician workforce more proficient in interdisciplinary teamwork and problem-solving, and the challenges inherent in health care in the 21st century, educators should strive to incorporate IPE into medical education at every opportunity.

IPE in ObGyn clinical learning environments

As pioneers in IPE, Obstetrician-Gynecologists are well positioned to be leaders in IPE. Opportunities for direct education of medical students by non-physician healthcare professionals, and for collaborative education for learners from various disciplines, may be found throughout the Obstetrics and Gynecology Clerkship. Table 1 provides examples of interprofessional collaboration in Obstetrics and Gynecology subspecialties and potential opportunities for associated IPE that can be incorporated into clinical education curricula.

Medical students often share clinical learning environments with students from physician assistant, nursing, nurse practitioner, and genetic counseling programs, among others. To encourage IPE, educators may consider placing students with complementary yet differing educators rather than adhering to siloed educational spheres [5]. For example, a genetic counseling student may benefit from spending time with sonographers; a sonography student may benefit from spending time in a Maternal-Fetal-Medicine clinic session; and a medical student may benefit from spending time with a genetic counselor. Such an approach is an example of IPC itself, as this would require coordination across and among various educational leaders. This approach may not only complement educational goals but may also increase collaboration that translates across other domains such as patient care, clinical research, or quality and safety initiatives. Medical education leaders should create opportunities for students from other disciplines to learn from physicians and concurrently develop relationships to allow opportunities for medical students to learn from non-physician professionals in return.

Similarly, both IPC and IPE may be enhanced when students participate in interdisciplinary activities already embedded into clinical settings. For instance, interdisciplinary team rounding on antepartum patients may involve a Maternal Fetal Medicine physician, a pharmacist, and a nurse. Involving a student from each discipline to accompany each clinician—a medical student, pharmacy student, and nursing
Table 1. Opportunities for interprofessional collaboration and education within Obstetrics and Gynecology learning environments.

| Clinical learning environment | Interprofessional collaboration (IPC) | Opportunity for interprofessional education (IPE) |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Obstetrics – outpatient      | Social workers (SW)                  | Spend ½ day with perinatal SW                     |
|                              | Ultrasound technologists             | Spend ½ day with OB US technician                 |
|                              | Genetic counselors                   | Spend ½ day with GC; create family tree           |
|                              | Lactation counselors (LC)            | Participate in education session with LC          |
|                              | Nutrition                            | Sit in on nutrition counseling session           |
|                              | Pelvic Floor Physical Therapist (PT) | Spend ½ day with Pelvic Floor PT                  |
|                              | Certified nurse midwives (CNM)       | Participate in labor of 1+ CNM patients           |
|                              | Labor and delivery nurses            | Shadow L&D nurse for one shift                    |
|                              | Physician assistants                 | Postpartum round with PA                          |
| Gynecology (all)             | Pharmacists                          | Invite pharmacists to round daily                 |
| Gynecologic Oncology         | Interpreter services                 | Shadow interpreter services for ½ day             |
|                              | Physical therapists                  | Shadow OT on 1+ shared patients                   |
|                              | Occupational therapists (OT)          | Shadow RT on 1+ shared patients                   |
|                              | Respiratory therapists (RT)          | Spend ½ day with social worker                    |
|                              | Social workers                       | Sit in on nutrition counseling session           |
|                              | Nutrition                            | Attend family meeting; shadow on rounds          |
|                              | Pastoral care                        | Shadow chemo nurse for one shift                  |
|                              | Genetic counselors (GC)              | Spend ½ day with GC; create family tree           |
|                              | Chemotherapy nurses                  | Shadow chemo nurse for one shift                  |
|                              | Palliative care                      | Participate in palliative care consult           |
| Urogynecology                | Pelvic floor physical therapists     | Designated session with pelvic floor PT           |
|                              | Nurse practitioners (NP)             | Designated session with NP                        |
| Reproductive Endocrinology   | Psychologists                        | Sit in on infertility-related counseling         |
|                              | Embryologists                        | Shadow during infertility care                    |

Discussion

Working effectively, productively, and respectfully with diverse team members is crucial for health-care delivery across settings and in both routine as well as pandemic-related care. Medical educators and leaders must ensure our learners – the workforce of our future – are capable and comfortable collaborating with other health-care professionals in order to prepare our students for success, both personally and for the tremendous challenges that lie ahead in health care and population health.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The author(s) reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

References

[1] Xyrichis A, Williams U. Strengthening health systems response to COVID-19: interprofessional science rising to the challenge. J Interprof Care. 2020;34 (5):577–579.

[2] Rosen MA, Diaz Granados D, Dietz AS, et al. Teamwork in healthcare: key discoveries enabling safer, high-quality care. Am Psychol. 2018;73(4):433–450.
Bridges DR, Davidson RA, Odegard PS, et al. Interprofessional collaboration: three best practice models of interprofessional education. Med Ed Online. 2011;16(1):6035.

Interprofessional Education Collaborative. Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice: 2016 update. Washington DC: Interprofessional Education Collaborative; 2016. Accessed September 1, 2021. Available from: https://ipec.memberclicks.net/assets/2016-Update.pdf

Meijer LJ, deGroot E, Blauw-Westerlaken M, et al. Intraprofessional collaboration and learning between specialists and general practitioners during postgraduate training: a qualitative study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2016;16(a):376.

Institute of Medicine. To Err is Human: building a Safer Health System. Washington DC: The National Academies Press; 2000.

World Health Organization. The Health Professions Network Nursing and Midwifery Office within the Department of Human Resources for Health. Framework for action on interprofessional education and collaborative practice. WHO; 2010. Accessed September 1, 2021. https://apps.who.intiris/handle/10665/70185

Interprofessional Education Collaborative. Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice. Washington DC: Interprofessional Education Collaborative; 2011. Accessed September 1, 2021. Available from: https://ipec.memberclicks.net/assets/2011-Original.pdf

LCME Functions and Structure of a Medical School. Published Mar 2021.

Dawley K. Origins of nurse-midwifery in the USA and its expansion in the 1940s. J Midwifery Womens Health. 2003;48(2):86–95.

Weisband YL, Gallo MF, Klebanoff M, et al. Who uses a midwife for prenatal care and for birth in the USA? A secondary analysis of Listening to Mothers III. Womens Health Issues. 2018;29(1):89–96.

Souter V, Nethery E, Kopas ML, et al. Comparison of midwifery and obstetric care in low-risk hospital births. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;134(5):1056–1065.

Styles C, Kearney L, George K. Implementation and upscaling of midwifery continuity of care: the experience of midwives and obstetricians. Women Birth. 2020;33(4):343–351.

Wagner B, Meirowitz N, Shah J, et al. Comprehensive perinatal safety initiative to reduce adverse obstetric events. J Healthc Qual. 2012;34(1):6–15.

Gordils-Perez J, Schneider SM, Gabel M, et al. Oncology nurse navigation: development and implementation of a program at a comprehensive cancer center. Clin J Oncol Nurs. 2017;21(5):581–588.

Boivin J, Gameiro S. Evolution of psychology and counseling in infertility. Fertil Steril. 2015;104(2):251–259.

Rooney KL, Domar AD. The impact of stress on fertility treatment. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2016;28(3):198–201.

Wallace SL, Miller LD, Mishra K. Pelvic floor physical therapy in the treatment of pelvic floor dysfunction in women. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2019;31(6):485–493.

Leape LL, Cullen DJ, Clapp MD, et al. Pharmacist participation on physician rounds and adverse drug events in intensive care units. JAMA. 1999;282(3):267–270.

Kadivar Z, English A, Marx BD. Understanding the relationship between physical therapist participation in interdisciplinary rounds and hospital readmission rates: preliminary study. Phys Ther. 2016;96(11):1705–1713.

Madlensky L, Trepanier AM, Cragun D, et al. A rapid systematic review of outcome studies in genetic counseling. J Genet Couns. 2017;26(3):361–378.

Lobb EA, Schmidt S, Jerzmanowska N, et al. Patient reported outcomes of pastoral care in a hospital setting. J Health Care Chaplain. 2019;25(4):131–146.

Angus S, Vu R, Halvorsen AJ, et al. What skills should new medical residents have in July? A national survey of internal medicine residency program directors. Acad Med. 2014;89(3):432–435.

Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education. Common program requirements (residency). Editorial revision effective Jul 2021. https://www.acgme.org/globalassets/PFAssets/ProgramRequirements/CPRResidency2021.pdf

Dyess AL, Brown JS, Brown ND, et al. Impact of interprofessional education on students of the health professions: a systematic review. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2019;16:33.

Brock D, Abu-Rish E, Chiu C, et al. Interprofessional education in team communication: working together to improve patient safety. BMJ Qual Saf. 2013;22(5):414–423.

Weinstein AR, Dolce MC, Koster M, et al. Integration of systematic clinical interprofessional training in a student-faculty collaborative primary care practice. J Interprof Care. 2018;32(1):104–107.