Beyond Glycolysis: GAPDHs Are Multi-functional Enzymes Involved in Regulation of ROS, Autophagy, and Plant Immune Responses
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Abstract

Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) is an important enzyme in energy metabolism with diverse cellular regulatory roles in vertebrates, but few reports have investigated the importance of plant GAPDH isoforms outside of their role in glycolysis. While animals possess one GAPDH isoform, plants possess multiple isoforms. In this study, cell biological and genetic approaches were used to investigate the role of GAPDHs during plant immune responses. Individual Arabidopsis GAPDH knockouts (KO lines) exhibited enhanced disease resistance phenotypes upon inoculation with the bacterial plant pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato. KO lines exhibited accelerated programmed cell death and increased electrolyte leakage in response to effector triggered immunity. Furthermore, KO lines displayed increased basal ROS accumulation as visualized using the fluorescent probe H2DCFDA. The gapa1-2 and gapc1 KOs exhibited constitutive autophagy phenotypes in the absence of nutrient starvation. Due to the high sequence conservation between vertebrate and plant cytosolic GAPDH, our experiments focused on cytosolic GAPC1 cellular dynamics using a complemented GAPC1-GFP line. Confocal imaging coupled with an endocytic membrane marker (FM4-64) and endosomal trafficking inhibitors (BFA, Wortmannin) demonstrated cytosolic GAPC1 is localized to the plasma membrane and the endomembrane system, in addition to the cytosol and nucleus. After perception of bacterial flagellin, GAPC1 dynamically responded with a significant increase in size of fluorescent puncta and enhanced nuclear accumulation. Taken together, these results indicate that plant GAPDHs can affect multiple aspects of plant immunity in diverse sub-cellular compartments.

Author Summary

Plants can be infected by all pathogen classes, significantly impacting crop production and food security. Innate immune responses are critical to plant survival but must be tightly
regulated in order to avoid negative impacts on growth and development. Here, we investigated the role of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) proteins in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, a mustard relative. Animals have one GAPDH isoform, which has been intensely investigated and shown to exhibit diverse moonlighting, or non-traditional, activities. Plants possess multiple GAPDH isoforms that reside in distinct sub-cellular compartments. Using a combination of genetic investigation of specific GAPDH knockouts coupled with microscopy, we found that GAPDHs regulate accumulation of reactive oxygen species and cell death in response to inoculation with the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae. The GAPC1 isoform exhibits diverse sub-cellular localizations and dynamically responds to perception of bacterial flagellin. The GAPC1 and GAPA1 isoforms also negatively regulate autophagy, which is an important component of plant immune responses. Taken together, our results demonstrate that multiple GAPDH isoforms act to negatively regulate plant defense responses. Negative regulators are important for precisely regulating the duration and amplitude of immune responses.

Introduction

Innate immunity is the most ancient and evolutionarily conserved system mediating pathogen perception in animals, fungi and plants [1]. Although plants lack an adaptive immune system, germ line encoded plant immune receptors recognize pathogen derived molecules or proteins and mount a successful defense response [2]. Commonly, extracellular domains of plant immune receptors recognize conserved microbe associated molecular patterns and subsequently activate pattern triggered immunity (PTI). Primarily intracellular immune receptors recognize pathogen effectors delivered into host cells during infection resulting in effector triggered immunity (ETI) [2,3]. Both PTI and ETI result in dramatic cellular changes including the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), Ca^{2+} influx, MAP kinase signaling, and transcriptional reprogramming [4]. Despite significant overlap in defense markers, ETI is generally viewed as a stronger response and typically culminates in a form of localized programmed cell death termed the hypersensitive response (HR) at the site of infection [5]. Consequently, constitutive activation of immune signaling can lead to seedling lethality or cell death, while insufficient activation results in enhanced susceptibility to infection [6,7]. Thus, plants have fine-tuned the duration and amplitude of immune responses at the level of the receptor and beyond to properly orchestrate plant defense responses.

Robust regulation of immune responses relies on several housekeeping proteins, including heat shock protein 90 and the ubiquitin ligase-associated protein suppressor of the G2 allele of skp1 (SGT1) [8,9]. Pathogens can also target and co-opt the use of housekeeping proteins, further highlighting their importance in immune regulation [10,11]. In animals, the glycolytic housekeeping protein glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) contributes moonlighting activities to various alternative processes such as DNA repair, RNA binding, membrane fusion and transport, cytoskeletal dynamics, autophagy and cell death [12–14]. Due to the strong impact of GAPDHs on metabolic homeostasis and its diverse moonlighting activities, GAPDHs may be attractive targets for pathogen effectors. One example is the NleB effector, conserved in E. coli and Citrobacter rodentium, which O-GlcNAcylates GAPDH and disrupts GAPDH-mediated activation of transcription factors involved in regulation of innate immunity [15]. The role of metabolic checkpoints in cellular immune responses and cell death is beginning to be unraveled, revealing complex regulation by housekeeping enzymes and organelle function [16,17].
GAPDH is found in organisms from all kingdoms of life, with a high degree of sequence conservation. As a housekeeping protein GAPDH is known for its role in glycolysis, where it catalyzes the reversible conversion of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate to 1, 3-bisphosphoglycerate [18]. Animal cells contain only one isoform of GAPDH, and many moonlighting activities as well as changes in sub-cellular localization are influenced by redox dependent post-translational modifications of GAPDH on a number of highly conserved residues [19,20]. Whether GAPDH sequence conservation carries over to regulation of its diverse functions in plants has yet to be determined. As a result of gene duplication events and diversification, plants possess multiple GAPDH isoforms [21]. Arabidopsis contains four distinct isoforms comprised of seven phosphorylating and one non-phosphorylating GAPDH. These include: chloroplastic photosynthetic GAPDHs (GAPA1, GAPA2, and GAPB), cytosolic glycolytic GAPDHs (GAPC1 and GAPC2), plastidic glycolytic GAPDHs (GAPcP1 and GAPcP2), and the NADP-dependent non-phosphorylating cytosolic GAPDH (NP-GAPDH) [18].

The substrate conversion by glycolytic GAPDHs catalyzes a concomitant reduction of NAD+ to NADH [22]. Arabidopsis GAPA1/2 and GAPB use NADPH to generate NADP+, which buffers free radical formation from the electron chain transport by dissipating the proton gradient at the thylakoid membrane [23,24]. Therefore, by contributing to the maintenance of the NAD(P)+ / NAD(P)H ratio of the cell, plant GAPDHs can influence both cellular redox as well as general metabolism.

All phosphorylating GAPDHs share a similar structure including a highly reactive catalytic cysteine that can undergo multiple redox-induced post-translational modifications in response to ROS and reactive nitrogen species [18]. GAPC1’s catalytic cysteine residue was determined to be S-nitrosylated in Arabidopsis during ETI in two independent large-scale proteomic studies [25,26]. Hydrogen peroxide also inhibits the traditional enzymatic activity of recombinant GAPC1 and GAPA1 proteins [27–29]. In Arabidopsis, treatment with hydrogen peroxide leads to increased binding of GAPC1 with Phospholipase Dδ resulting in enhanced enzyme activity of Phospholipase Dδ [30]. Overexpression of GAPA1 in yeast and Arabidopsis proplasts inhibited ROS generation and programmed cell death induced by the apoptosis regulator BAX [31]. Treatment with cadmium or other chemicals inducing cytoplasmic oxidation leads to enhanced nuclear accumulation of Arabidopsis GAPC1 in root tip cells [32]. Thus, ROS or oxidative treatments can induce GAPDH post-translational modifications and are likely to facilitate new GAPDH protein associations, influence subcellular localization, and regulate activity in plants.

In this manuscript, we focused on the role of individual GAPDH proteins in regulating plant innate immunity using the interaction between the bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst) and its plant host, Arabidopsis thaliana [33]. All tested individual GAPDH KO lines exhibited enhanced disease resistance phenotypes to both virulent and avirulent Pst, limiting bacterial growth and accelerating the HR. Protoplasts made from KO lines displayed increased intracellular ROS. Experiments focused on GAPC1, using a gapc1 KO complemented with GAPC1-GFP driven by its native promoter. In addition to the cytosol and occasionally the nucleus, GAPC1 associated with endomembrane compartments. Perception of bacterial flagellin lead to an increase in nuclear accumulation of GAPC1-GFP as well as an increase in size of GAPC1-GFP labeled vesicles. Collectively, these data highlight plant GAPDH’s involvement in diverse processes and impact on the plant innate immune response.

Results

Individual GAPDH knockouts exhibit enhanced disease resistance

To determine whether individual Arabidopsis GAPDH isoforms play a role during infection with Pst DC3000, we screened T-DNA insertion lines for knockout (KO) lines in distinct isoforms. KO lines were obtained for the following GAPDH isoforms: gapa1 (At3g26650, SALK_138657 and
SALK_145802), gapc1 (At3g04120, SALK_010839), gapc2 (At1g13440, SALK_016539), gapCp1 (At1g79530, SAIL_390_G10 and SALK_052938), and gapCp2 (At1g16300, SALK_137288 and SALK_008979). The SALK T-DNA KO lines for gapa1 have not been previously published, and RT-PCR validation is provided in S1 FigKO lines in the plastidic glycolytic GAPDHs, gapCp1 and gapCp2 were previously published [34], as were the cytosolic GAPDHs [22]. RT-PCR validation of gapCp1 and gapCp2 KO s is provided in S1 FigHomozygous T-DNA KO lines for GAPA2 and GAPB were not identified and there were no available T-DNA insertions in exons. A screen of two separate T-DNA insertion lines (SALK_023971 and SALK_067204) within the promoter of GAPA2 yielded homozygosity for the insertion without altering gene expression. The general morphology and plant size of individual KO lines during vegetative growth resembled Col-0.

After successfully identifying homozygous GAPDH KO lines, we subjected them to a variety of disease assays to determine their relative contribution during infection with Pseudomonas syringae pv. tomato (Pst) strain DC3000. KO lines and the Col-0 control were dip inoculated with Pst DC3000 and bacterial titers were determined four days post-inoculation. All of the GAPDH KO lines exhibited enhanced disease resistance, with a 10 fold reduction in bacterial titers compared to the Col-0 control when inoculated with virulent bacteria (Fig 1A and 1B). Lower bacterial titers correlated with a similar reduction in disease symptoms (Fig 1C). Due to the high degree of sequence conservation between human GAPDH with Arabidopsis GAPC1 (68% amino acid similarity), we were particularly interested in the role of GAPC1 in the innate immune response. The gapc1 KO line was complemented with GAPC1-GFP under control of its endogenous promoter (npro::GAPC1-GFP). Two independent, single insertion T3 homozygous lines were identified expressing GAPC1-GFP (S2 Fig) and bacterial growth was analyzed. Both npro::GAPC1-GFP lines 3–4 and 9–6 complemented the gapc1 KO and exhibited similar bacterial growth and disease symptoms as wild-type Col-0 (Fig 1D and 1E). We did not observe any morphological defects in the npro::GAPC1-GFP lines (Fig 1E).

Localized programmed cell death is a hallmark of ETI and is termed the hypersensitive response (HR). The HR can be visualized macroscopically when avirulent bacteria are syringe infiltrated into leaves at high concentrations (4×10⁷ CFU mL⁻¹). Pst DC3000 expressing the AvrRpt2 effector, which is recognized by the Arabidopsis RPS2 immune receptor [35], was used to investigate HR responses in individual GAPDH KO lines. The progression of cell death was quantified by measuring electrolyte leakage using a conductivity meter. All KO lines exhibited an accelerated HR and enhanced electrolyte leakage compared to the wild-type Col-0 control, indicating a more rapid cell death progression in the KO lines (Fig 2A). Macroscopic HR was evaluated as well, and KO lines displayed more rapid tissue collapse starting at 10h post-infiltration while Col-0 collapsed at 12h post-infiltration (Fig 2B). An enhanced disease resistance phenotype was also found after inoculation with avirulent Pst DC3000 expressing AvrRpt2 (S3 Fig). Although all KO lines tested exhibit enhanced disease resistance to Pst DC3000, the magnitude of responses varied between individual lines. The gapCps exhibited the highest resistance to virulent bacterial growth and displayed very few chlorotic symptoms, followed by gapc1 in overall symptom reduction and bacterial growth (Fig 1A, 1B, and 1C).

We used quantitative PCR to determine if the cause of enhanced disease resistance in the GAPDH KOs was due to transcriptional “priming” for a defense response. Pathogenesis-related 1 (PR1) is a commonly used defense marker gene whose expression is induced in response to pathogen perception and salicylic acid [36]. In unchallenged GAPDH KO lines, basal PR1 expression was constitutively up-regulated compared to Col-0 (Fig 1F). This indicates that GAPDH KO lines may be primed for pathogen defense responses in the absence of an elicitor, leading to accelerated defense responses upon pathogen inoculation. Taken together, these results indicate that multiple GAPDH isoforms act as negative regulators of plant immune responses.
Fig 1. Individual GAPDH knockouts (KO lines) display enhanced disease resistance. (A) Analysis of bacterial growth in individual GAPA1 (a1-1, a1-2), GAPC1 (c1), and GAPC2 (c2) KO lines illustrating bacterial population sizes four days post dip inoculation with Pst DC3000 at a concentration of $1 \times 10^9$ CFU mL$^{-1}$. Values represent means ± SE (n = 6). Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student's t-test ($\alpha = 0.01$) compared to wild-type Col-0. (B) Analyses of bacterial growth in individual GAPCp1 (Cp1-1, Cp1-2) and GAPCp2 (Cp2-1, Cp2-2) KO lines. Plants were inoculated and analyzed as described in (A). (C) Representative disease symptoms on GAPDH knockouts 4 days post-dip inoculation with Pst DC3000. (D) The gapc1 KO line was complemented with native promoter (npro) driven full length GAPC1 with a C-terminal fusion to eGFP. Two independent homozygous T3 lines, 3–4 and 9–6, complement the gapc1 disease phenotype. Plants were inoculated and analyzed as described in (A). (E) Representative disease symptoms of the gapc1 KO and npro::GAPC1-GFP complemented lines compared with Col-0 four days post dip inoculation with Pst DC3000. (F) The defense marker gene PR1 is constitutively expressed in GAPDH KO lines relative to wild-type Col-0. Leaf samples taken from untreated four-week-old Col-0 and GAPDH KOs were used to quantify basal expression levels of PR1. Statistical differences were calculated using Fisher’s LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$) following a significant F-statistic. Values represent means ± SE (n = 3). Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta$ct method, and normalized against Arabidopsis ELONGATION FACTOR 1a (At5g60390).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005199.g001
Single \textit{GAPDH} KO lines exhibit alterations in \textit{GAPDH} enzymatic activity and transcription of multiple \textit{GAPDH} isoforms

Plant \textit{GAPDH} isoforms arose through multiple gene duplication events [21]. Phylogenetic analyses of the seven Arabidopsis \textit{GAPDH} isoforms in addition to human and \textit{E. coli} \textit{GAPDH} reveals a high degree of sequence conservation (Fig 3A) [37]. Gene duplication can lead to diversification of biochemical functions and expression patterns. However, duplicated genes may also carry out similar or overlapping functions making genetic analyses challenging. Previously, it was reported that a KO in the non-phosphorylating \textit{GAPDH} induced higher level expression of \textit{GAPC1} [38]. In order to investigate if single \textit{GAPDH} KOs induce differential regulation of additional isoforms, we performed quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) analyses to investigate basal expression of \textit{GAPDHs} on four-week-old plants. Both \textit{GAPCp1} and \textit{GAPCp2} were expressed at a very low level and were excluded from the analyses based on their high Cq values (Cq = 34). qPCR analyses of the individual KO lines revealed complex transcriptional regulation of some \textit{GAPDH} family members relative to wild-type Col-0 (Fig 3B–3F). \textit{GAPA1} and \textit{GAPA2} were down-regulated in all the \textit{GAPDH} KOs, while \textit{GAPB} expression was unchanged in the majority of lines (Fig 3B, 3C, and 3D). Both \textit{GAPC1} and \textit{GAPC2} were down-regulated in \textit{gapa1-2} (Fig 3E and 3F). \textit{GAPC2} expression was up-regulated in the \textit{gapc1} line, presumably to help compensate for the loss of \textit{GAPC1}; however, \textit{GAPC1} expression was not significantly different from Col-0 in the \textit{gapc2} line. Overall, \textit{GAPA1}, \textit{GAPA2} and \textit{GAPC1} had the most significant alterations in expression levels in the \textit{GAPDH} KO lines. Thus, \textit{GAPDH} family members appear to be under complex regulation, with epistatic interactions occurring between \textit{GAPA1} and the cytosolic isoforms.

Fig 2. \textit{GAPDH} knockouts displayed an accelerated hypersensitive response (HR) during effector-triggered immunity. (A) Electrolyte leakage measurements of individual \textit{GAPA1-2}, \textit{GAPC1}, \textit{GAPC2}, \textit{GAPCp1-1} and \textit{GAPCp2-1} KO lines. Four-week-old leaves were infiltrated with \textit{Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2} at a concentration of $4 \times 10^7$ CFU mL$^{-1}$. Values represent means ± SE (n = 4). (B) Macroscopic HR phenotype of Col-0 and \textit{GAPDH} knockouts 10h post syringe infiltration with \textit{Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2}. DC3000 empty vector (EV) control is shown as the top leaf. Bacterial concentrations were the same as (A).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005199.g002
Fig 3. Transcription of GAPDH family members and enzymatic activity are altered in individual GAPDH knockout lines. (A) Unrooted phylogeny of Arabidopsis phosphorylating GAPDHs as well as human and E. coli GAPDH. Arabidopsis GAPCs and GAPCps cluster with human GAPDH, while photosynthetic GAPDHs cluster separately. Values at nodes indicate bootstrap values. Scale bar indicates the number of amino acid substitutions per site visualized in the branch length. (B-F) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses of leaves from four-week-old plants were used to quantify basal expression levels of phosphorylating GAPDHs across different KO lines. Expression values are shown relative to wild-type Col-0. Data were analyzed using the $\Delta \Delta \text{Ct}$ method, and normalized against Arabidopsis ELONGATION FACTOR 1α (At5g60390). Statistical differences were calculated using Fisher’s LSD test ($\alpha = 0.05$) following a significant F-statistic. Values represent means ± SE (n = 3). (G) Leaf protein extracts from four-week-old GAPDH KO lines and Col-0 were assayed.
To assess Arabidopsis GAPDH enzymatic activity in individual KO lines, whole-leaf homogenates were used to analyze rates of glycolysis and the Calvin cycle. While cytosolic GAPC1 and GAPC2 have conserved sequence and glycolytic function with their animal and yeast counterparts, plants have evolved chloroplastic GAPDHs that function in the Calvin cycle. The basic biochemical reaction performed by GAPDH isoforms in glycolysis and the Calvin cycle is the same, with the direction of the reaction being reversed. The direction being assayed can be controlled for \textit{in vitro} by utilizing a two-step enzymatic assay starting with reagents that preferentially drive substrate production in either direction. We used aldolase and fructose 1, 6-bisphosphate or 3-phosphoglycerate (with endogenous phosphoglycerate kinase) to assess GAPDH enzymatic activity in the direction of either glycolysis (Fig 3G) or the Calvin cycle (Fig 3H), respectively. Only \textit{gapa1-2} exhibited significantly impaired activity in both directions. This could be explained by the decreased transcript abundance of the cytosolic GAPDH isoforms (GAPC1 and GAPC2) in addition to chloroplastic GAPA2 in \textit{gapa1-2}. Both \textit{gapc1} and \textit{gapc2} exhibited significantly reduced activity in the glycolytic direction. The \textit{gapCp} lines were not altered in activity as compared to Col-0. It is possible that GAPDH activity is reduced in plastids of \textit{gapCp} KO lines, but this decrease is below the level of detection using a whole leaf assay. Chloroplasts play a role in the initiation and propagation of the HR, the generation of ROS involved in transcriptional reprogramming of defense-related genes, and limiting cell death [39,40]. Therefore, changes in plastid GAPDH activity may alter chloroplast contributions to immunity.

GAPDH enzymatic activity and transcription of distinct \textit{GAPDH} isoforms dynamically changes during the immune response

GAPDH enzymatically catalyzes the only reductive step in glycolysis and the Calvin cycle, and has been linked to programmed cell death in animal systems [14,41]. Since changes in GAPDH activity have been linked to cell death phenotypes in other organisms, we examined changes in GAPDH enzymatic activity during innate immune responses. Plant immune responses were evaluated after activation of Arabidopsis FLAGELLIN SENSING2 (FLS2), a pattern-triggered immune receptor which detects a 22 amino acid epitope of bacterial flagellin termed flg22 [42]. Four-week-old Arabidopsis plants were infiltrated with either 5\textmu M flg22 or 10mM MgCl$_2$. Glycolytic GAPDH activity was evaluated in samples harvested at 25min, 1h and 3h post-infiltration. Enzymatic activity significantly increased in flg22 infiltrated samples taken at 1h (p < 0.05) and 3h (p < 0.01) compared with MgCl$_2$ infiltrated samples (Fig 4A).

To determine whether transcriptional regulation of GAPDHs occurs during PTI, we performed qPCR analyses using five of the seven phosphorylating GAPDH genes in the Arabidopsis Col-0 ecotype. Four-week-old Col-0 plants were infiltrated with either 5\textmu M flg22 or water and leaf tissue was harvested 3h post infiltration. At 3h post infiltration \textit{GAPA1}, \textit{GAPA2} and \textit{GAPB} transcripts were slightly down-regulated to less than half the control, while \textit{GAPC1} transcript levels increased by more than two-fold (Fig 4C and 4D). These results demonstrate contrasting regulation of photosynthetic and glycolytic \textit{GAPDHs} during PTI. GAPDH enzymatic activity increased in the glycolytic direction during PTI (Fig 4A), consistent with an increase in transcription of \textit{GAPC1}. In order to evaluate alterations in glycolytic GAPDH enzymatic activity...
Fig 4. GAPDH transcription and enzymatic activity dynamically change during immune responses. (A) GAPDH glycolytic activity assays were performed on four-week-old Col-0 after syringe infiltration with 5μM flg22 or H2O at 25 min, 60 min, and 180 min post-infiltration. Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student's t test (α = 0.01) compared to water-treated samples at each time point. (B) Col-0 was infiltrated with 4×10^7 CFU mL^-1 Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2, DC3000 empty vector (EV) or MgCl2. Samples were harvested for the glycolytic activity assay 8h post-infiltration. Statistical analyses were performed as described in (A). (C-D) Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses of four-week-old Col-0 leaves 3h post-infiltration with 5μM flg22 or water. (C) and (D) segregate separate qPCR runs. Values represent means ± SE (n = 3). Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student’s t test (α = 0.01, and 0.001) compared to H2O treated controls. Data were analyzed using the ΔΔcT method, and normalized against Arabidopsis ELONGATION FACTOR 1α (At5g60390).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005199.g004
during ETI responses, four-week-old Col-0 plants were infiltrated with 10mM MgCl₂ or a bacterial suspension of Pst DC3000 carrying empty vector or AvrRpt2. Tissue was harvested at the first signs of the HR when vein silvering was initially visible (~8h post-infiltration). GAPDH activity was significantly increased in leaves infiltrated with Pst DC3000 empty vector compared to MgCl₂, as well as in leaves undergoing ETI responses (Fig 4B). We were unable to detect a gross change in total GAPDH protein levels during bacterial infection or flg22 elicited immune responses using anti-GAPDH western blotting (S4 Fig). However, the sensitivity of western blotting is antibody dependent [43]. Therefore, our antibody may not be sensitive enough to detect minor changes in protein abundance.

Intracellular ROS is enhanced in GAPDH knockout lines and all phosphorylating GAPDH proteins are redox sensitive

All GAPDH KO lines exhibited enhanced disease resistance to virulent and avirulent Pst DC3000. One potent set of anti-microbial molecules produced by plant cells during the innate immune response are reactive oxygen species (ROS). It is well documented that the role of extracellular ROS production mediated by the NADPH oxidase respiratory burst oxidase-D (RBOHD) is critical to mounting an effective defense response to bacterial pathogens [44]. A luminol-based extracellular ROS assay using flg22 as an elicitor was not able to cause a significant alteration in extracellular ROS production for gapc1 and gapc2 mutant lines compared to Col-0. Interestingly, when all ROS data was analyzed together, gapa1-2 had a significantly reduced burst compared to Col-0 (S5 Fig). GAPA1 is localized to the chloroplasts, a site of significant intracellular ROS production [40]. It is possible that loss of GAPA1 alters redox homeostasis, dampening the ROS burst produced by the NADPH oxidase RBOHD.

GAPDHs can directly impact cellular redox potential through their involvement in the reducing step of either glycolysis or the Calvin cycle [18,24]. Therefore, the basal intracellular ROS levels of GAPDH KO lines were analyzed. Protoplasts were isolated from four-week-old Col-0, gapa1-2, gapc1, gapc2, gapCp1-2 and gapCp2-2 plants and incubated under bright light for 1h since chloroplastic isoforms are light activated enzymes [21,23]. Following incubation in the light, the intracellular ROS probe H₂DCFDA was added and protoplasts were kept in the dark for 15 min prior to imaging (Fig 5A). The extent of H₂DCFDA fluorescence was quantified from the pixel intensity for each genotype (Fig 5B, 5C, and 5D). Although all GAPDH KO lines exhibited significantly enhanced basal intracellular ROS (p < 0.01), the magnitude of enhanced ROS varied between lines (Fig 5A–5D). The accelerated cell death observed across GAPDH KO lines during the HR may be explained by increased intracellular ROS production in response to bright light stimulation, as HR development depends on light and plants are placed under a light bank after inoculation [45].

Previous reports indicated that recombinant GAPA1, GAPC1 and GAPC2 are sensitive to hydrogen peroxide treatment, supporting a hypothesis for GAPDHs as cellular redox sensors [27–29]. In order to investigate if all phosphorylating GAPDH proteins are sensitive to hydrogen peroxide, recombinant proteins were purified from E. coli and their enzymatic activity assessed before and after incubation with hydrogen peroxide (S5B Fig). As previously shown, GAPC1 and GAPC2 activity was decreased after incubation with hydrogen peroxide (S5B Fig, [28,29]). Furthermore, GAPCp1 and GAPCp2 activity was also inhibited upon treatment with hydrogen peroxide (S5B Fig). At lower concentrations, ROS have been described as acting as inter- and intracellular signaling molecules which may condition cells for accelerated responses to stimuli [46]. If GAPDHs are acting as cellular redox buffers, loss of one of these isoforms may allow for greater ROS accumulation.
GAPC1 localizes to diverse subcellular compartments, including the plasma membrane and is sensitive to endosomal trafficking inhibitors

We chose to investigate GAPC1 localization in detail due to its highly conserved amino acid sequence similarity to GAPDHs in other organisms (Fig 3A). In addition to cytosolic and nuclear localizations, animal systems have linked GAPDH to endosomal movement and membrane fusion [47–49]. Signaling platforms at the plasma membrane and endomembrane are proving to be crucial in defense signaling of Arabidopsis as well as animal systems [50]. Arabidopsis GAPC1 has been reported to be primarily cytosolic, with some nuclear re-localization events in cells stressed by cadmium [32], but no endomembrane localization has been described. Our results indicating a change in glycolytic activity led us to hypothesize that GAPC1 undergoes dynamic partitioning during the immune response potentially mediated by subcellular re-localization. We investigated GAPC1 localization by confocal microscopy using the npro::GAPC1-GFP line 3–4. Plasmolysis using 1M NaCl revealed GAPC1-GFP localized to Hechtian strands, indicating partial plasma membrane localization (Fig 6A, 6B, and 6C). Western blotting after membrane fractionation in wild-type Col-0 using α-GAPDH shows endogenous GAPDH is present in nuclear, cytoplasmic and membrane fractions (S6 Fig). Thus, GAPC1 exhibits diverse subcellular localizations in the absence of stress conditions.

Fig 5. GAPDH knockout lines exhibit an increase in basal intracellular ROS. (A) Histochemical detection of ROS in protoplasts isolated from the indicated genotypes upon incubation with the fluorescent probe H2-DCFDA. Protoplasts were left under bright light for 1h and 750 nM of H2-DCFDA was added 15 min prior to imaging. Protoplasts were imaged using an epifluorescence microscope fitted with a GFP filter. Boxes indicate separate experiments and bar = 10 μm. (B–D) Quantification of H2-DCFDA fluorescence. Pixel intensity corresponding to fluorescence of intact protoplasts was quantified across three experimental replicates (n ≥ 25) and combined for statistical analyses. Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student’s t test (α = 0.01** and = 0.001***).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005199.g005
In order to further probe the relationship between GAPC1 and cellular membranes, we treated the first true leaves of three-week-old plants grown in soil with either 30 μM Brefeldin A (BFA) or 33μM Wortmannin and examined them by confocal microscopy. BFA is known to inhibit ARF-GEFs and block the Golgi dependent secretion pathway resulting in the characteristic formation of "BFA bodies", while Wortmannin is an inhibitor of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, and interferes with endocytosis and vacuolar sorting [50–52]. In the presence of BFA, characteristic BFA bodies stained with FM4-64 co-localized with GAPC1-GFP, indicating that GAPC1-GFP localization is BFA-sensitive (Fig 6D, 6E, and 6F). FM4-64 is an amphiphilic styryl dye that fluoresces...
in hydrophobic environments such as lipid membranes and is commonly used as an endocytic marker [53]. In animal systems BFA induces the ADP-ribosylation of two proteins: GAPDH and CtBP3/BARS [54,55]. However, subcellular localization of GAPDH in response to BFA treatment has not been previously visualized in either plants or animals. In the Wortmannin treated seedlings, an increase in the size of endosomes occurred (Fig 6G and 6H). These data indicate that GAPC1-GFP localization is sensitive to the inhibition of Golgi-mediated and late endocytic trafficking pathways. Furthermore, these results highlight the diverse sub-cellular localization of GAPC1.

Flg22 treatment induces an increase in the size of GAPC1-GFP florescent puncta

During the plant innate immune response, several proteins dynamically re-localize to different sub-cellular compartments [3]. FLS2, the well characterized flagellin receptor, is an example of a protein that is dynamically re-localized after immune activation. FLS2 is localized to the plasma membrane and is intimately connected with the endomembrane system. In the absence of flagellin perception, resting state FLS2 is recycled through the trans-Golgi network and early endosomal pathway [50]. When activated, FLS2 is endocytosed, traffics through the endocytic pathway to late endosomes and reaches the multi-vesicular body, presumably for sorting and degradation [50,56]. Thus, flg22 is an excellent probe for cellular re-localization responses during PTI.

We detected a change in total GAPDH activity during innate immune responses (Fig 4B and 4C) and an association of GAPC1 with diverse compartments in Arabidopsis (Fig 6, [57]). Therefore, GAPC1-GFP localization was examined after elicitation with flg22. Leaves of four-week-old plants were infiltrated with 10mM MgCl₂ or 5μM flg22 diluted in 10mM MgCl₂ and imaged 30 min post-infiltration by confocal microscopy. Leaves infiltrated with MgCl₂ alone exhibited GAPC1-GFP labeled fluorescent puncta that were on average half the size of those in leaves treated with flg22 (Fig 7A, 7B, and 7C). In order to statistically quantify the size change of GAPC1-GFP puncta after flg22 treatment, images from both treatments were pooled and blindly processed for average size. Flg22 treatment was found to induce a significant increase in puncta area (p< 0.01). Arabidopsis GAPC1 and animal GAPDH have also been described as dynamically re-localizing to the nucleus in response to cellular stress [32,58]. Quantification of fluorescently-labeled nuclei from confocal z-stack slices revealed an increase in nuclear-localized GAPC1-GFP after flg22 treatment (Fig 7D, 7E, and 7F). Isolation of nuclei from seedlings treated with water or 5μM flg22 supports enhanced accumulation of GAPC1-GFP in the nucleus after flg22 treatment (Fig 7G). Together, these data indicate a dynamic re-distribution of GAPC1-GFP to the endomembrane system and nucleus during innate immune signaling.

GAPA1 and GAPC1 knockout lines exhibit constitutive autophagy in the absence of nitrogen starvation

Autophagy is a highly conserved cellular recycling mechanism, involved in degrading unnecessary or damaged materials and organelles during normal growth and development [59]. Although autophagy is an active process in growth and development, few autophagy bodies are present in wild-type plants under normal basal growth conditions [60]. During specific cellular-stresses such as exposure to ROS, endoplasmic reticulum stress or nutrient starvation, autophagy is induced and can lead to programmed cell death [61]. Given that GAPDHs are involved in glucose metabolism and the individual KO lines exhibit enhanced disease resistance, accelerated HR, and enhanced intracellular ROS accumulation, we sought to examine alterations in autophagy responses. In Arabidopsis, autophagy can be induced by nitrogen starvation elicited by growing seedlings on nitrogen-limiting media [60]. For our experiments, two-week-old seedlings were
grown first on full strength MS agarose media then transferred to liquid MS or liquid MS lacking nitrogen to induce autophagy for a period of 4–5 days. Monodansylcadaverine (MDC), a fluorescent dye that specifically binds autophagosomes [60,62], was used to visualize autophagy induction by confocal microscopy. Concanamycin A, an inhibitor of vacuolar H+-ATPases, was used to de-acidify the vacuole and allow for visualization of autophagosome accumulation in the vacuole. When grown on full MS media, Col-0 exhibits very few to no autophagy bodies (Fig 8A). Interestingly, gapa1-2 and gapc1 seedlings grown on full nutrient media exhibited an enhanced accumulation of autophagosomes in the vacuole as compared to Col-0 (Fig 8B and 8C). Quantification of MDC-labeled puncta within 30 μm² sections revealed a significantly higher number of MDC-labeled autophagosomes in gapa1-2 and gapc1 seedlings than Col-0 (Fig 8G). However,
Fig 8. *gapa1-2* and *gapc1* exhibit enhanced basal autophagy. (A-C) Confocal micrographs of Col-0, *gapa1-2*, and *gapc1* KO lines show optical sections of leaves from two-week-old plants grown in full MS. Seedlings were assayed with the fluorescent probe MDC. Autophagosomes were stained using 50 μM MDC for 3 h. The *gapa1* and *gapc1* KO lines had many MDC-labeled bodies when grown in full MS, but wild-type Col-0 did not exhibit a significant number of basal MDC-labeled bodies. One μM Concanamycin A or the equivalent amount of solvent DMSO was added 15 h prior to imaging. Bar = 10 μm. (D-F) Confocal micrographs of 10 day old Col-0, *gapa1-2*, and *gapc1* KO lines transiently transfected with tRFP-Atg8a to visualize cytosolic autophagosomes. Transiently transfected seedlings all exhibit tRFP-Atg8a labeled autophagosomes, with greater numbers present in *gapa1-2* and *gapc1* KOs. Bar = 10 μm. (G-H) Images depicted above were quantified using ImageJ to calculate the number of MDC (G) or tRFP-Atg8a (H) labeled bodies in an area of 30 μm². Both *gapa1-2* and *gapc1* seedlings have significant increases in autophagosome number relative to Col-0. Statistical differences were calculated using Fisher’s LSD test (α = 0.05) following a significant F-statistic to compare means of all genotypes. Values represent means ± SE (n = 6). (I) The unfolded protein response (UPR) triggered by ER stress is not constitutively activated in *gapa1-2* or *gapc1* KOs. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *bZIP60* mRNA demonstrates no cleavage product, indicating that UPR is not activated. The positive control on the left was induced in Col-0 leaves by infiltration with 2 mM DTT. *Elongation factor 1α* (*EF1α*) served as a control for equal mRNA levels.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1005199.g008
there was no gross difference observed between Col-0 and the *gapdh* KO lines in the number of autophagy bodies present under nitrogen starvation (S7 Fig). These data suggest a role for GAPA1 and GAPC1 in the negative regulation of basal autophagy, as the induced autophagy response is phenotypically normal.

To confirm the autophagy phenotype observed with MDC, seedlings were transiently transfected with tRFP-ATG8a and autophagosomes visualized by microscopy. Autophagy is regulated by a set of autophagy-related genes (Atgs) that are highly conserved across eukaryotes [63]. When autophagy is initiated, the ubiquitin-like Atg8 protein can be used as a marker to label cytosolic autophagosomes [60,63]. Autophagosomes labeled with tRFP-Atg8a were present in the cytoplasm of Col-0, *gapa1-2*, and *gapc1* seedlings (Fig 8D, 8E, and 8F). Similar to the MDC-labeling, quantification of the number of fluorescently-labeled puncta in 30 μm² image sections revealed a significantly higher number of autophagosomes present in *gapa1-2* and *gapc1* seedlings compared to Col-0 (Fig 8H).

Autophagy is a diversely regulated process and is intricately connected to cellular glucose metabolism [61]. Not only does glucose availability directly impact glycolysis, but it also impacts glycosylation modifications in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) [61]. Under nutrient stress a disruption of protein glycosylation in the ER can lead to the unfolded protein response (UPR) and trigger autophagy [61]. To investigate UPR-triggered autophagy, we examined the UPR marker *bZIP60* [64]. During the UPR in plants, IRE1A and IRE1B are activated and cause the cleavage of the mRNA *bZIP60*, which can be visualized by the presence of a doublet after RT-PCR using primers spanning the cleavage site [64,65]. Col-0 treated with 2mM DTT was used as a positive control for induction of the UPR and confirmed the generation of a doublet PCR product (Fig 8I) using previously published primers that span the alternate splicing site [64]. No cleavage of *bZIP60* mRNA was observed in the *gapa1* or *gapc1* KO lines with or without elicitation by flg22 (Fig 8I). Without cleavage of *bZIP60* mRNA, canonical UPR activation should not be responsible for the induction of autophagy.

**Discussion**

In this manuscript, we genetically investigated the importance of five of the seven phosphorylating GAPDHs, revealing enhanced defense responses in individual KO lines. GAPDHs are differentially regulated by a variety of post-translational modifications, some of which have been linked to transcriptional reprogramming and endosomal trafficking in animals [12,18]. Our data provide evidence that plant GAPDHs serve roles outside of glycolysis and the Calvin cycle. The sub-cellular localization of GAPC1-GFP fluorescent puncta and their subsequent change in size after flg22 treatment indicates a response consistent with either ROS-induced protein aggregation or fusion of GAPC1-GFP associated endosomal compartments [66,67]. Additionally, we see a change in GAPDH glycolytic activity during immune signaling. Impacts on plant immunity imparted by individual isoforms are likely influenced by complex genetic regulation.

Our analyses of GAPDH KO lines revealed that individual KOs affected immune responses. Individual KO lines exhibited enhanced disease resistance to virulent and avirulent *Pst* DC3000, accelerated cell death in response to avirulent *Pst* DC3000, enhanced basal expression of the defense marker gene *PR1*, and enhanced intracellular ROS accumulation. Furthermore, the *gapc1* and *gapa1* possessed an increased basal autophagy phenotype. These effects may be linked as downstream consequences of the heightened basal ROS detected in each KO. Exogenous ROS application has been shown to enhance *PR1* gene expression, autophagy flux, and protein aggregation [66–70]. Although individual GAPDH KO lines exhibited similar phenotypic effects, their magnitude varied. qRT-PCR analyses revealed expression of individual GAPDH isoforms
were regulated in a complex manner across single KO lines. In most instances, there was a com-
ounding effect where mutation of a single GAPDH resulted in the down-regulation of multiple
GAPDH isoforms. For example, GAPA1 and GAPA2 were significantly down-regulated across
all single KO lines, mimicking transcriptional responses observed during PTI (Figs 3B, 3C, and
4C). During PTI in wild-type plants, GAPC1 mRNA was significantly up-regulated four-fold
compared to controls 3h post-flg22 treatment, while transcription of photosynthetic GAPDHs
was down-regulated at this time point. GAPDH is frequently used as a control to normalize
gene expression during qPCR. Our data highlight that GAPDH expression dynamically changes
upon flg22 perception. Therefore, GAPDH expression should be interrogated before use or al-
ternative marker genes should be used to normalize gene expression [71].

Total glycolytic GAPDH enzymatic activity increased during infection with virulent or aviru-
 lent Pst DC3000 as well as after perception of flg22. Enhanced glycolytic activity has been linked
to promotion of cell survival [14,72]. Additionally, a primary output of glycolysis is pyruvate, a
direct scavenger of cellular ROS [73]. Thus, in wild-type plants undergoing immune responses,
enhancing glycolysis may be important for providing elevated levels of the ROS scavenger pyru-
 vate. Furthermore, plant defense signaling is an energetic process, highlighted by the well-known
 tradeoff between growth and defense [74]. Glycolysis generates ATP. Thus, an increase in glyco-
lytic GAPDH activity during pathogen perception could provide additional energy required for
global cellular reprogramming towards defense. In mammals, GAPDH is required for glycolytic
ATP-driven rapid vesicular transport [75]. We also observed GAPC1-GFP associating with vesi-
cles (Figs 6 and 7), which could provide energy enabling vesicular movement.

Using the ROS sensitive probe H2DCFDA, we found all tested GAPDH KO lines exhibited en-
hanced intracellular ROS accumulation. GAPA1, GAPA2, and GAPB catalyze the reductive step
in the Calvin cycle with concomitant oxidation of NADPH to NADP+ [76]. Interestingly,
GAPA1 and GAPA2 mRNA expression levels were significantly lower in all KO lines. NADP+
is important as an electron acceptor for protons accumulating at the thylakoid membrane generat-
ed during photosynthesis. A reduction of NADP+ can lead to an increase in ROS production at
the thylakoid membrane due to excess proton accumulation [24]. In addition to Calvin-cycle me-
diated regulation of intracellular ROS production, GAPDH proteins are also sensitive to regula-
tion by ROS themselves. Our results in combination with previous experiments demonstrated
that hydrogen peroxide treatment inactivated GAPA1, GAPC1, GAPC2, and GAPCp1/2 enzy-
matic activity in vitro [27–29]. Large scale proteomic studies have identified S-Nitrosylation of
Arabidopsis GAPDHs during infection with avirulent Pst DC3000 and in response to treatment
with nitric oxide [25,26,77]. It will be important to determine if the oxidative state of GAPDH is
monitored and if GAPDHs directly contribute to ROS quenching in plants.

Depending on the pathogen and recognized effector, autophagy can promote cell death or
survival [16]. Autophagy is required to limit the spread of ETI induced cell death after infection
of Nicotiana benthamiana with Tobacco Mosaic Virus [78]. A pro-death role for autophagy
has also been described in the case of ETI triggered by Pst DC3000 AvrRps4 in Arabidopsis Ws-
0 [79]. Although autophagy was required for wild-type HR triggered by AvrRps4, it was not re-
quired for normal HR responses to Pst DC3000 effectors AvrRpt2 or AvrRpm1 [79]. Therefore,
the accelerated HR we observed in response to Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2 infiltration is likely due to
enhanced defense priming in the GAPDH KO lines. ROS have also been shown to be essential
for the formation of autophagosomes in mammalian cells and Arabidopsis [69,70]. We see en-
hanced ROS and PRI expression in the GAPDH KOs, indicating autophagy may be induced as
a pro-survival mechanism against accumulating ROS.

Previous studies have demonstrated primarily cytoplasmic localization for GAPC1, with occa-
sional nuclear accumulation [32,73,80]. Our npro::GAPC1-GFP complemented lines also ex-
hibited a similar localization pattern. Overexpression of GAPC1 in protoplasts enables enhanced
nuclear accumulation as well as association with mitochondria and the actin cytoskeleton [32,81]. However, these subcellular localizations were not detected in stable native promoter GAPC1-YFP lines [32]. In animal cells as well as plant roots, GAPDH can dynamically re-localize to the nucleus upon oxidative or cold stress [20,32,80]. We also detected enhanced nuclear localization and a significant alteration in the size of GAPC1-GFP fluorescent puncta during PTI. In its monomeric form, human nuclear GAPDH is active as a uracil-DNA-glycosylase [82]. Plant chloroplast isoform GAPB was demonstrated to have nuclear uracil-DNA-glycosylase activity [57,83]. This moonlighting GAPDH activity may be an important component of monitoring DNA damage.

We also detected GAPC1-GFP as plasma membrane associated and in small mobile puncta. We demonstrate that GAPC1-GFP is sensitive to the PI3K inhibitor Wortmannin which is a chemical inhibitor of autophagy. Recently, GAPC1 and GAPC2 were reported to bind membrane-localized Phospholipase D (PLD) and its downstream product Phosphatidic Acid [30,84]. Furthermore, the oxidized form of GAPCs significantly enhanced PLD enzymatic activity [30]. The association of GAPC1 with PLD under oxidizing conditions could account for an increase in the size of vesicles associated with GAPC1-GFP after perception of flg22 (Fig 7B).

Here, we provide evidence that GAPDHs can influence plant immune responses and GAPC1 exhibits diverse and dynamic cellular localization upon flagellin perception. Phenotypes observed in the KOs such as the accumulation of reactive oxygen species and induction of basal autophagy support GAPDH mediated regulation of metabolic checkpoints. Future research investigating the role of nuclear GAPC1 will determine if plant GAPDHs, like their animal counterparts, are involved in transcriptional reprogramming during times of cellular stress. We have provided evidence supporting GAPDHs as pro-survival molecules in plants, negatively regulating cell death in response to pathogen challenge. Due to a lack of gross morphological phenotypes in single gapdh KOs, individual members may be promising targets for genome editing to enhance crop disease resistance.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

T-DNA insertion lines for GAPA1 (SALK_138567 and SALK_145802; gapa1-1 and gapa1-2 respectively), GAPC1 (SALK_010839), and GAPC2 (SALK_016935) were obtained from the SALK institute, genotyped, and homozygous KO lines were verified by RT-PCR. Homozygous seed for GAPCp1 (SAIL_390_G10 and SALK_052938; gapCp1-1 and gapCp1-2 respectively) and GAPCp2 (SALK_137288, SALK_008979; gapCp2-1 and gapCp2-2 respectively) were previously described [34]. Plants were grown in a controlled environmental chamber at 23°C with a 10-h light/14-h dark photoperiod under a light intensity of 85 μE/m²/s. For all the experiments, 4–5 week old plants were used.

Transgenic npro::GAPC1-GFP lines were generated using the floral dip method [85], in order to complement the gapc1 KO. The length of native promoter we used was 811bp, and it was PCR amplified and cloned as an in-frame fusion to genomic GAPC1 in pENTR (Invitrogen). Next, the GAPC1 construct was transferred into the binary vector pGWB4 using gateway technology to generate a C-terminal GFP tag [86]. Transgenic plants were selected on 50μg/mL hygromycin. T3 homozygous lines were used for all experiments. All PCR primers used for genotyping and cloning are listed in S1 Table.

**Bacterial strains and inoculations**

*Pst* DC3000 and *Pst* DC3000 (AvrRpt2), were grown on nutrient yeast-glycerol (NYG) plates for 30 h, then cultured at 28°C in NYG media for 48 h. *Pst* DC3000 (AvrRpt2) expressed AvrRpt2.
from the broad-host range vector pDSK519 [87]. Antibiotics were used for plate selection at
the following concentrations: 25 μg/ml kanamycin, 100 μg/ml rifampicin, and 35 μg/ml chloram-
phenicol. For dip inoculation, Arabidopsis plants were grown in a mesh covered pot to facilitate
submergence for 30 sec of the aerial portion into bacterial suspension containing 1×10⁸ CFU/ml
bacteria in 10 mM MgCl₂ with 0.02% silwet L-77. Inoculated plants were left covered with a plas-
tic dome for 3h. At 4 days post inoculation, leaves were surface sterilized for 30 sec in 70% etha-
nol and bacterial populations were determined as described by Kim and colleagues [88]. All
experiments were repeated at least three times, with a minimum of six biological replicates per
time point.

HR and electrolyte leakage
For both HR and electrolyte leakage, Arabidopsis Col-0 and GAPDH KO leaves were infiltrated
using a needleless syringe with 4×10⁷ CFU/ml of Pst DC3000 and Pst DC3000 (AvrRpt2). After
infiltration, plants were placed under a light bank (100 μE/m²/s) and HR was scored at 10 h post
inoculation. For electrolyte leakage, two leaves per plant were infiltrated across four biological
replicates per genotype. Total tissue was harvested using a cork borer to generate 1.5 cm² of leaf
discs (six total leaf discs). Leaf discs were placed in distilled water (20mL in a 50mL conical tube)
for 1h and individual biological replicates kept separate. Leaf discs were transferred to a 12-well
tissue culture plate (Corning) containing 4mL of distilled water per well and placed under the
light bank. Conductivity was measured using the Orion 3 Star conductivity meter (Thermo Sci-
entific). All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Phylogenetic analysis
MEGA6 was used to perform phylogenetic analysis on GAPDH amino acid sequences and
draw the un-rooted tree [37]. A maximum-likelihood tree construction was used based on the
JTT matrix-based model with bootstraps.

RT-PCR and qRT-PCR analysis
Total RNA was extracted using the TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s in-
structions, and subsequently incubated with RNase-free DNase I (Invitrogen) to remove genomic
DNA contamination. RNA was extracted from three biological replicates per treatment. Each bi-
ological sample comprised two leaves from a single plant, and the pooled 2μg of RNA was used
as a template for reverse transcription with Promega M-MLV reverse transcriptase in the pres-
ence of 0.5μg/μl oligo(dT) primers. Equal amounts of first-strand cDNAs were used as templates
for RT-PCR amplification using the primers listed in supplemental S1 Table. Semi-quantitative
RT-PCR was run for 30 cycles for gapa1-2 lines and 35 cycles for gapCp lines. Quantitative real-
time PCR reactions used Bio-Rad SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix according to manufacturer’s di-
rections using a CFX96 Touch (Bio-Rad). Thermocycling parameters began with a first step at
95°C for 30 sec and 39 cycles afterwards alternating between 5 sec at 95°C and 15 sec at 60°C. A
melting curve followed the final cycle and ran 5s at 65°C and 5 s at 95°C. Gene expression was
normalized against the Arabidopsis ELONGATION FACTOR 1-ALPHA (At5g60390).

Protoplast preparation
Protoplasts were prepared enzymatically according to previously described methods [86]. After
isolation, protoplasts were re-suspended in W1 solution (0.5 M mannitol, 4 mM MES, pH 5.7,
20 mM KCl) after the rinse steps with W5 (154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM
MES, pH 5.7) and allowed to sit and recover for 5h before treatment. After resting, protoplasts
were quantified with a hemacytometer and aliquoted into a 24 well Corning Costar cell culture plate where they were diluted with W1 to $1 \times 10^5$ cells/200μL. The cell culture plate was moved to a light bank where the protoplasts were left under bright light for 1h. Prior to imaging, 750nM 2',7'-dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate (H2DCFDA, CalBioChem) was added and cells incubated in the dark for 12–15 min. Images used for quantification of $gapa1$ and Col-0 (Fig 5) were obtained using a Leica DM 5000B epifluorescent microscope with a GFP cube (excitation 470/40, emission 525/50). All other genotypes were imaged using the Axio Imager M2 microscope (Zeiss, Germany) using a 40X objective (EC Plan-NEOFLUAR 40X/0.75, Zeiss). Fluorescence was quantified using ImageJ from a minimum of 25 protoplasts across 10 or more images per genotype.

**Western blot analysis**

SDS-PAGE and subsequent immunoblotting were performed according to standard procedures [89]. GAPC1-GFP immunoblots were performed with Anti-GFP (ab290, Abcam) rabbit polyclonal antibody at a dilution of 1:8,000. Anti-cF6BP immunoblots were performed using rabbit polyclonal antibody at a dilution of 1: 5,000 (Agrisera). Anti-RIN4 immunoblotting used affinity purified antisera from rabbit at 1:3,000. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies anti-Histone 3 (ab1791, Abcam) and anti-psbO (ab65563, Abcam) were used at 1:1,000 and 1:3,000, respectively. Secondary goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP conjugate (Biorad) was used at a dilution of 1:3,000 for detection via enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce). GAPDH immunoblots were performed using anti-GAPDH (GenScript) goat polyclonal antibody at a dilution of 1:500. Secondary bovine anti-goat IgG-HRP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used at a dilution of 1:3,000 for detection via enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce). Plasmids containing the seven phosphorylating GAPDH cDNAs in a pET28a backbone were transfected into *E. coli*. Recombinant protein was purified on Ni-NTA Agarose beads (Qiagen) and used for Western blotting.

**GAPDH enzymatic assay**

Leaves from 4–5 week old rosette leaves were ground in liquid nitrogen by mortar and pestle. Each sample contained pooled leaves from 3–4 plants. Homogenates were otherwise prepared as described [22]. To the frozen, ground tissue, 600 μl of buffer containing 50mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5mM EDTA, 1mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 2mM 2-mercaptoethanol was added. The homogenate was transferred to an eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and protein content quantified by Pierce 660 protein assay (Thermo Scientific) and normalized across all samples and 30μg of total leaf protein was used for all activity assays. Cytosolic GAPDH activity was assayed spectrophotometrically using a Spectramax Plus384 spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices) at 340nm by the reduction of NAD+, as described [22]. Photosynthetic GAPDH activity was also assayed as described previously with minor modifications [76]. 3-phosphoglycerate kinase was omitted in the photosynthetic GAPDH activity assay since it is present and abundant in the leaf extract [90]. Experiments were repeated a minimum of three times, and data was normalized to the control and all runs combined for statistical analysis. In the recombinant GAPDH activity assays, 1.5 μg of total protein was used. Hydrogen peroxide at specified concentrations was added just prior to the initiation of the assay.

**Confocal microscopy**

All confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope equipped with a LDCApochromat 40x/1.1W Korr M27 water-immersion objective (NA 1.1). GFP was excited at 488nm, emission collected at 500–550nm for all experiments except MDC treatments.
After treatment with 50 μM MDC, GFP emission was collected at 510–560nm. Leaves incubated with 1 μM FM464 (Invitrogen) were excited at 488nm and emission collected at 620–660nm. MDC was visualized using UV laser and emission of 467–510nm.

For flg22 treatment, leaves of four-week-old plants were infiltrated using a needleless syringe with 5μM flg22 (GenScript, 80.1% purity) or water and imaged after 30 min. Images were randomized and aggregate size was blindly quantified using ImageJ. Brefeldin A (BFA, Sigma) bodies and endosomal networks were imaged using three-week-old seedlings submerged in 1μM FM4-64 for 3h with or without addition of 30μM BFA 1.5h prior to imaging.

Autophagy was examined according to [60], with slight modifications. Seeds were sown on full MS agarose plates and grown for 10 days in 16h light/ 8h dark at 23°C. Seedlings were then transferred to liquid media containing MS or nitrogen-free MS (PhytoTechnology Laboratories) for 4–5 more days under the same growth conditions. The night before imaging, 1μM Concanamycin A (Sigma) or equivalent volume of DMSO was added to each well. Three hours before imaging, 50μM MDC was added and plates were wrapped in foil and kept in the dark.

Transient expression of 2x35S::tRFP-Atg8a was as previously described [91], with some modifications. After a four day co-cultivation period with Agrobacterium GV3101 the co-cultivation media was removed and seedlings were washed three times with distilled water. Seedlings were then re-suspended in full MS media and imaged by confocal microscopy. All image analysis was performed using a combination of software tools, Zen 2012 software (Carl Zeiss), ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) and Image Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD).

Isolation of nuclei

Isolation of Arabidopsis nuclei was carried out as previously described with some modifications [92]. Two week old seedlings were transferred to a six-well culture plate and treated ± 5 μM flg22 for 30 min. One gram of seedlings from each treatment was frozen in liquid nitrogen for nuclear isolation. Seedlings were ground in liquid nitrogen and re-suspended in 5mL Extraction Buffer (2 M hexylene glycol, 20 mM PIPES-KOH (pH 7.0), 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM Spermidine, 1 mM Spermine, 1 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol, 1% Triton X-100). The suspension was stirred at 4°C for 10 minutes and then filtered through two layers of cheesecloth stacked with two layers of Miracloth (EMD Millipore).

Percoll suspensions of 30% and 80% Percoll were prepared in Gradient Buffer (0.5M hexylene glycol, 5 mM PIPES-KOH (pH 7.0), 10mM MgCl2, 1 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol, 1% Triton X-100). Three mL of 30% Percoll were added to a 15 mL conical tube and under-laid with 3 mL of 80% Percoll. The plant extract was pipetted on top and the sample was centrifuged at 1000g for 30 minutes at 4°C. Nuclei were extracted from the interface between the 30% and 80% Percoll layers into a 2 mL tube. The nuclear fraction was brought to 0.5 mL volume with Gradient buffer and under-laid with 30% Percoll and centrifuged at 2000g for 10 minutes at 4°C. After the supernatant was completely removed and the pellet was re-suspended in 0.5 mL gradient buffer, 0.5 mL of 30% Percoll was again under-laid and the 2000g centrifugation repeated. The pellet was then re-suspended in Laemmli buffer, quantified for equal loading using Pierce 660 protein assay (Thermo Scientific), and diluted to 1 μg/ 10 μl for SDS-PAGE gel analysis.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Characterization of gapa1, gapCp1 and gapCp2 knockout lines. (A) Diagram of GAPA1 illustrating locations of two independent T-DNA insertion sites. Primers used for RT-PCR were QRT-A1-F/R. (B) RT-PCR of GAPA1 T-DNA insertion lines gapa1-1 (SALK_138567) and gapa1-2 (SALK_145802). GAPA1 is not expressed in the T-DNA insertion lines. Actin2 was used as a reference. (C-D) Diagrams of GACP1 (Top, At1g79530) and GACP2 (Bottom, At1g16300),
respectively, illustrating locations of the T-DNA insertion sites. Primers used for RT-PCR were QRT-Cp1-F/R and QRT-Cp2-F/R. (E) RT-PCR of GAPCp1 and GAPCp2 on T-DNA insertion lines gapCp1-1 (SAIL_390_G10), gapCp1-2 (SALK_052938), gapCp2-1 (SALK_137288) and gapCp2-2 (SALK_008979). GAPCp1 is not expressed in gapCp1-1 or gapCp1-2, and GAPCp2 is not expressed in gapCp2-1 or gapCp2-2. ELONGATION FACTOR 1-α (At5g60390) was used as a reference.

S2 Fig. Detection of endogenous GAPDH in wild-type Col-0 and GAPC1-GFP complemented lines using α-GAPDH. (A) Antibody specificity of α-GAPDH against recombinant GAPDH protein purified from E. coli. Top: The GAPDH antibody detects GAPC1, GAPC2, GAPCp1 and GAPCp2. A weak band can be seen for GAPB (single asterisk). Neither GAPA1 nor GAPA2 are detected. Bottom: Coomassie stained gel demonstrating relative protein abundance and purity within each sample. Single asterisks in GAPA1, GAPA2 and GAPB lanes mark correct band size for those proteins. 0.25 μg of protein was loaded for western blotting and 0.35 μg for Coomassie staining. (B) Col-0, gapc1, and T3 complementation lines transformed with npro::GAPC1-GFP were subjected to western blotting using α-GAPDH. Two independent transformation lines are shown: 3–4 and 9–6. Using α-GAPDH western blotting, the GAPC1-GFP band is detected around 65kD and is indicated by a single asterisk. Endogenous GAPDH is indicated by a double asterisk at 40kD, and is present in all samples. (C) The lines described in (B) were subjected to α-GFP western blotting, revealing a 65kD band for GAPC1-GFP.

S3 Fig. Growth curves on GAPDH KO lines four days post dip inoculation with Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2 at a concentration of (1x10^9 CFU mL^-1). All GAPDH KOs exhibit reduced bacterial growth. Values represent means ±SE, n = 4. Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student’s t test (p<0.05 *, p<0.01 **, p<0.001 *** ) compared to the Col-0 control. Experiment was repeated a minimum of 3 times with similar results.

S4 Fig. GAPDH protein levels do not grossly change during PTI or ETI. (A) Leaves from four-week-old Col-0 plants were infiltrated with 5μM flg22 or water and samples taken at the indicated time points. Eight micrograms of leaf protein were subjected to western blotting with α-GAPDH. (B) Leaves from four-week-old Col-0 plants were infiltrated with 10mM MgCl2 or a bacterial suspension containing Pst DC3000 AvrRpt2 or empty vector (EV) at a concentration of 4x10^7 CFU mL^-1. Samples were harvested 8 h post-infiltration. Eight micrograms of leaf protein were subjected to western blotting with α-GAPDH.

S5 Fig. ROS phenotypes of GAPDH knockouts and recombinant proteins. (A) Analyses of the flg22-induced ROS burst in Col-0 and GAPDH KO lines. Leaf discs were taken from four-week-old plants and floated in water for 24h prior to treatment with 100nM flg22. ROS was detected as fluorescence using a luminol-based assay. Relative light units (RLUs) were quantified using a Berthold luminometer and maximum RLU values were used for quantification. Values represent means ± SE (n≥112) of ≥ 9 combined runs. Statistical differences were detected by a two-tailed Student’s t test (α = 0.01) compared to wild-type Col-0. (B) Recombinant GAPDH proteins are inhibited in a dose-dependent manner by H2O2. Recombinant proteins purified from E. coli were used in a glycolytic GAPDH activity assay with or without the addition of H2O2. Error bars indicate standard deviation on two separate runs with n = 3 for each.
S6 Fig. GAPDH is localized to the nuclear, cytosolic and microsomal fractions. Nuclear, cytosolic and microsomal fractions from two-week-old seedlings were isolated using the Minute Plasma Membrane Protein Isolation kit (Invent biotechnologies, Inc). Western blotting using α-GAPDH demonstrates primarily cytosolic localization with some protein found in the microsomal fraction and less in the nuclear fraction. Western blotting with marker proteins was used to verify enrichment of individual fractions. α-F6BP is a cytosolic marker and α-RIN4 is a microsomal marker. A total of 8µg of protein was loaded per lane.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. Induced autophagy in gapa1-2 and gapc1 is indistinguishable from wild-type Col-0. Two-week-old seedlings were grown on MS media without nitrogen for four days, and then incubated with the 50µM of the fluorescent dye MDC for 3 h. Autophagy bodies were visualized by confocal microscopy. Two independent images are shown for each genotype. Scale bar = 10 µm.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Primers used in experiments. (5’ to 3’)

(PDF)
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