Surgical Management of Neglected Tendo Achilles Tendon Rupture with Gastrosoleus turn down Flap

Authors
Dr Konchada Srikant¹, Dr Basanta Kumar Behera², Dr Sandeep Pradhan³
¹,³Senior Resident, Dept of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, KIIT University
²Professor, Dept of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, KIIT University
Corresponding Author
Dr Basanta Kumar Behera
Professor, Dept of Orthopaedics, Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, KIIT University
Phone: 9437248843, Email: basantaorth@gmail.com

Abstract
Introduction: Tendo Achilles rupture is one of the most common ruptured tendons. Late presentations are not uncommon due to negligence or misdiagnosis and can affect daily living activities. Management of such neglected ruptures are difficult with multiple treatment options depending on rupture gap and tissue status. We present the results of managing such cases with technique of reconstruction with gastrosoleus turn down flap.

Patients and Methods: 18 patients of neglected tendo achilles rupture were operated between 2010 to 2015 and considered for the study after meeting inclusion criteria. We reconstructed with harvesting of gastrosolues turn down flap with variable gaps. Post operatively immobilised in below knee cast, followed by ankle strengthening exercises. AOFAS score and modified Rupp scores were used to evaluate the results.

Results: At final follow-up, all the patients could return to their daily activities. The AOFAS scores increased from an average of 65.6 preoperatively to 95.2 postoperatively. Modified Rupp score was excellent in 9 patients. One case had superficial skin necrosis which healed with secondary suturing. None of the case had re rupture with a mean follow up of 46.9 months.

Conclusion: Achilles tendon is one of the most common ruptured tendons and can be debilitating if left untreated. Management of neglected ruptures is difficult with multiple treatment options depending on the rupture gap and state of the remaining tissue. Reconstructing with turning down the harvested gastrosoleus flap can bridge the ruptured gap of varying size, restore length, sparing the foot & ankle muscles with reasonable good outcome.
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Introduction
Tendo Achilles rupture is one of the most common tendon rupture of lower limb and has many treatment options. Treatment was initially conservative but has been surgical since past two decades for early rehabilitation and increased patient demand. Neglected tendo achilles ruptures are not uncommon in orthopaedic practice. Initial symptoms often diminish after acute rupture. Lack
of pain and sometime with no obvious loss of plantarflexion due to partial rupture/recruitment of other plantar flexors can lead to misdiagnosis and neglected with delayed treatment. Neglected ruptures can heal without any surgery with abundant scar bridging the ruptured gap which can lead to reduced plantar flexion power. Large defect, bridging scar, surrounding fibrous tissue, contracted and wasted triceps surae complex all make management of neglected ruptures difficult. Different surgical reconstruction methods have been used depending on the gap and state of the remaining tendon which are always difficult surgically with long recovery time than repairing an acute rupture posing challenge for both for the surgeon and the patient.

We routinely treat the neglected tendo achilles ruptures with gap with the technique of reconstruction with gastrosoleus turn down flap and the purpose of this study was to report results and complications of our case series with this technique.

Patients and Methods
Between February 2010 to May 2015, 20 patients with neglected tendo achilles rupture were treated with reconstruction with turn down gastrosoleus flap technique. Followed up for at least 12 months, two patients lost in follow up, so 18 patients were considered for study analysis and results.

Inclusion criteria were skeletally mature, neglected rupture >6 weeks, unilateral, rupture at watershed zone (zone of avascularity) and previously not treated. Exclusion criteria were skeletally immature, acute ruptures, bilateral, avulsion type and re-ruptures.

The study group comprised of 15 male and 3 female patients in the age range from 21 to 63 years. Diagnosis was made on clinical examination findings like presence of palpable gap, decreased plantar flexion power and a positive calf squeeze test. Plain radiograph in antero posterior and lateral were taken to rule out any avulsion fractures.

Operative technique
All patients were operated under spinal anesthesia with tourniquet on. Patients were positioned in prone position. Medial incision parallel over medial border of lower stump preferred over midline incision over tendon to overcome wound healing problems if any directly over tendon. The incision gradually centered and extended upto the musculo tendinous junction of triceps surae in curvilinear fashion. The sural nerve was safely included in lateral flap. Skin flap was handled with care. Peritenon was incised in midline. Ruptured site was identified which was frequently bridged with scar tissue. Carefully scar tissue excised and tendon ends freshened. Gap was measured keeping ankle in 30 degree plantar flexed, and also the distance of rupture site from its insertion into calcaneum.

A central turn down flap of width 1.5 cm is harvested from the musculotendinous junction which is based distally upto 2cm from the proximal end of the rupture. Excess tissue is trimmed from the harvested flap. A slit is made in the distal stump of tendo Achilles through which the harvested flap is turned down and is inserted and taken out other side in pulvertaft technique. Similarly a slit is made in proximal stump and this flap is inserted in slit and taken out to other site. Slit and passage of harvested flap is done multiple times on either side of stump till end of the flap is reached and at each pulvertaft junction sutured knot is placed with ethibond no 2 suture figures 1-4). These multiple loops will bridge the gap trying not to overcome gap with ankle in full plantar flexion making rehabilitation later difficult so ankle kept in neutral or slightly plantar flexed. Distal most part of harvested flap is made thinned out and spread in fanned out manner spreading the bridging site and slit junctions and sutures with vicryl no 2-0.

Wound was closed in layers with careful handling of flap specially the distal part keeping a closed suction drain in situ. Tourniquet was deflated. Post closure an anterior above knee slab was
applied with ankle in neutral and knee in 30 degree flexed position.

**Post operative care**
The anterior slab applied helped us for easy dressing of the wound. Sutures were removed at 14 post operative day. Patient was discharged with a below knee plaster cast in ankle neutral and advised non weight bearing for 3 weeks. Patients were called for follow up after 3 weeks discharge. Plasters were removed, wound inspection done and below knee synthetic cast was applied for weight bearing for next 3 weeks.

Post cast removal patients were encouraged to do isokinetic strengthening, isometric and proprioceptive exercises under the guidance of a physiotherapist.

**Evaluation**
All the studied patients were called for follow up looking for the integrity of repair and functional status post repair. At each follow up ankle range of movement, calf thickness compared to opposite limb, and neurological status of foot and ankle noted. At the last follow up we assessed factors specific to the repair status Achilles tendon rupture like ability of patient standing on tiptoe, ability to perform repeated toe raises and single limb hopping. Patient evaluation was performed with the pre- and postoperative American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society (AOFAS) ankle–hindfoot scores\(^1\) and for subjective satisfaction we used the kerkhoff’s modified Rupp score\(^2\) (table 1) to evaluate the at the most recent follow-up. Results of this scoring were rated as excellent (>30 points), good (15–30 points), fair (5–15 points), and poor (<5 points). Possible complications like re rupture, wound dehiscence were also looked for and noted. The collected data were analysed for statistical evaluation using SPSS 20.0 version Software.

**Results**
18 patients were considered for the study out of 20 cases operated as 2 lost in follow up. 15 were male and 3 female. The mean follow up time was 46.9 months (range 15-84 months). Mean time to surgery was 5.16 months (range 1.5-12) and with average rupture gap of 5.33 cm (range 3-8 cm) (table 2). On comparing ankle range of movement with the uninjured side, the mean plantar flexion on injured side was 131 degree(115-140) compared to 134 degree (120-150) on uninjured side similarly mean dorsi flexion on injured was 13 degree(5-20) and 15(10-20) on uninjured side. The mean calf diameter was 36.8 cm (30-44) on injured side and 39.1 cm (32-48) on uninjured site (table 3).

At final follow-up, all the patients could return to their daily activities and could perform single leg hopping for 30 seconds, repeated heel raises, and heel standing which no patients were able to do so before surgical reconstruction. Post operative ankle range of movement too regained equal to opposite normal side except in two patients where dorsi flexion short by 10 degree persisted compared to opposite site.

The mean AOFAS ankle–hindfoot scores improved from 65.6 (range, 44-88) preoperatively to 95.2 (range, 79-100) at last follow up and found to be statistically significant (p<0.001) (table 4,5). The statistical significance in difference between preoperative and postoperative AOFAS Scores were done using Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test, where p < 0.005 was considered significant. Modified Rupp’s score was excellent in 9, good in 6, and fair in 3, that means 83.3 % patient’s result considered good or excellent (table 6).

All patients returned to their daily activities and none had to change their job or activity modification. One patient developed superficial skin necrosis while healing of the surgical wound which was repaired uneventfully with secondary closure. None of the cases had re rupture of the reconstructed tendon. Two patients had hypertrophic operative scars leading to uncomfortable shoe wearing. None were disappointed or dissatisfied with their surgical reconstruction.
Table 1: modified Rupp score for subjective evaluation

| Subjective satisfaction | Score |
|-------------------------|-------|
| Excellent               | 5     |
| Good                    | 4     |
| Satisfactory            | 3     |
| Poor                    | 2     |

Do you experience pain on bearing weight?
- None: 5
- With extended weight bearing: 4
- With slight weight bearing: 3
- Continuous pain: 2

Do you experience pain independent of bearing weight?
- None: 5
- Pain associated with changes in weather: 4
- Pain sometimes associated with rest: 3
- Continuous pain: 2

Has your ankle function decreased since the operation?
- No: 5
- Reduction of muscle strength: 4
- Tendency to swelling: 3
- Tendency to cramp: 2

Do you fear re rupture?
- Yes: 5
- No: 3

Do you have limitations in your work?
- Does not apply: 5
- Minor: 4
- Major: 3

Do you have limitations in sporting activities?
- Does not apply: 5
- Minor: 4
- Major: 3

Stopped with the activity due to Achilles tendon problem: 3

Total: 15–30 Excellent
5–15 Fair
<5 Poor

Table 2: patient details

| No. | Age | Sex | Duration months | Defect in cm | Follow up in months |
|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1   | 57  | M   | 5               | 6            | 84                  |
| 2   | 43  | M   | 12              | 8            | 82                  |
| 3   | 40  | M   | 3               | 5            | 74                  |
| 4   | 58  | F   | 6               | 5            | 71                  |
| 5   | 21  | M   | 1.5             | 4            | 67                  |
| 6   | 30  | M   | 2               | 3            | 56                  |
| 7   | 63  | M   | 5               | 5            | 60                  |
| 8   | 44  | M   | 11              | 7            | 53                  |
| 9   | 50  | M   | 7               | 5            | 51                  |
| 10  | 28  | M   | 2               | 4            | 47                  |
| 11  | 55  | M   | 1.5             | 5            | 36                  |
| 12  | 43  | M   | 3               | 6            | 31                  |
| 13  | 40  | M   | 2               | 5            | 26                  |
| 14  | 56  | M   | 7               | 5            | 24                  |
| 15  | 44  | F   | 5               | 5            | 23                  |
| 16  | 60  | M   | 6               | 8            | 19                  |
| 17  | 52  | F   | 10              | 5            | 16                  |
| 18  | 25  | M   | 4               | 5            | 15                  |

Table 3: measurement of calf diameter and range of movement in normal and injured side

|            | Calf diameter(cm) | Plantar flexion(deg) | Dorsiflexion(deg) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Normal side| 39.1(32-48)       | 134(120-150)         | 15(10-20)         |
| Injured side| 36.8(30-44)      | 131(115-140)        | 13(5-20)         |

Table 4: post operative results

| No. | Pre op AOFAS score | Post op AOFAS score | Modified Rupp score |
|-----|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | 63                 | 100                 | 30                  |
| 2   | 71                 | 100                 | 32                  |
| 3   | 82                 | 97                  | 25                  |
| 4   | 44                 | 79                  | 14                  |
| 5   | 57                 | 87                  | 14                  |
| 6   | 63                 | 100                 | 32                  |
| 7   | 63                 | 90                  | 29                  |
| 8   | 58                 | 88                  | 20                  |
| 9   | 51                 | 94                  | 31                  |
| 10  | 50                 | 97                  | 31                  |
| 11  | 66                 | 88                  | 31                  |
| 12  | 88                 | 100                 | 32                  |
| 13  | 74                 | 100                 | 31                  |
| 14  | 66                 | 100                 | 31                  |
| 15  | 68                 | 96                  | 28                  |
| 16  | 73                 | 98                  | 25                  |
| 17  | 68                 | 100                 | 33                  |
| 18  | 76                 | 100                 | 32                  |

Table 5: statistical analysis of pre and post operative AOFAS Score

|            | Pre-op (n=18) | Post-op (n=18) |
|------------|---------------|----------------|
| AOFAS score| 65.6 ± 11.1(sd)| 95.2 ± 6.2(sd) |
| p          | < 0.001       | Highly significant |

Table 6: post operative modified Rupp score

| Modified Rupp score | No. of patients | % of patients |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Excellent (>30)     | 9               | 50           |
| Good (15-30)        | 6               | 33.3         |
| Fair (5-15)         | 3               | 16.7         |
| Poor (<5)           | 0               | 0            |

Figure 1: intraoperative finding of gap of 8cm after excising scar tissue
Figure 2: gastrosoleus flap harvested and turned down

Figure 3: harvested flap passed through slit made in distal stump

Figure 4: after bridging the gap

Figure 5, 6: clinical photograph of functional outcome at follow up

Discussion
Achilles tendon is one of the most common ruptured tendons and can be debilitating especially in high demand individuals. Apart from sports injury, age related risk factors do play an important role in rupture which is evident from theory of chronic degenerative changes based on histopathological examination of the tissue obtained from rupture site during surgery.\(^{13}\)

Patients in our study had neglected rupture due to either not properly diagnosed with acute tendo Achille rupture or due to diminishing symptoms after the acute episode and chronic rupture due to degenerative changes. Many methods have been described in literature for the reconstruction of neglected ruptures depending on the rupture gap between the ends.\(^{14,15}\) For the reconstruction basic requirement is to bridge the defect by tissue which can appose and unite the ends achieving continuity, strength to allow full range of movement with early rehabilitation.\(^{9}\) Simple end
to end suture is difficult in gap situation especially when its significantly neglected and even if its possible rehabilitation is very much delayed leading to poor outcome. The most popular options for the management of neglected tendo Achilles ruptures with gap are V-Y repair\textsuperscript{16}, Lindholm technique\textsuperscript{17}, and Bosworth technique\textsuperscript{18}. Our technique of reconstruction is similar to Bosworth technique with little modification by spreading the tip of the harvested flap in fanned out manner over bridging tissue which helps in better integration of graft and making surface smooth. We trimmed excess tissue from the flap to avoid volume effect and over stuffing which can lead to skin closure tightening and further skin necrosis. We didn’t use any other augmentation like flexor hallucis longus, peroneus, plantaris tendon as our harvested graft after reconstruction provided good strength conserving foot and ankle tendon motor function.

Our mean AOFAS score was 95.2, at par with the literature and with F.Khiami et al in particular\textsuperscript{19} which improved significantly from mean 65.6. In our series Mean time to surgery was 5.16 months (range 1.5-12) and with average rupture gap of 5.33 cm. As these factors increase the risk of postoperative complications, our study results were comparable with other studies due to simplicity in technique, less complexity and managing larger gaps in even longer neglected cases without harvesting and augmenting with other tendons.

**Conclusion**

Achilles tendon is one of the most common ruptured tendons and can be debilitating if left untreated. Management of neglected ruptures is difficult with multiple treatment options depending on the rupture gap and state of the remaining tissue. Reconstructing with turning down the harvested gastrosoleus flap can bridge the ruptured gap of varying size, restore length, sparing the foot & ankle muscles with reasonable good outcome.
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