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ABSTRACT

Introduction Pancreatic cancer has a poor prognosis with <5% alive at 5 years, despite active surgical treatment. The study aim was to review patients undergoing pancreatic resection and assess the effect of clinical and pathological parameters on survival.

Patients and methods All patients who had undergone radical pancreatic surgery, January 1996 to December 2008, were identified from the unit database. Additional information was retrieved from the patient records. The demographic, clinical, and pathological records were recorded using Microsoft Excel. Survival was assessed using Kaplan-Meier and predictors of survival determined by multinominal logistic regression and log rank test.

Results 126 patients were identified from the database. The majority (106) had a Whipple’s procedure, 14 had a distal pancreatectomy and 6 had local periampullary excision. The average age of the Whipple’s group of patients was 61.7 years (±11.7) with most procedures performed for malignancy (n=100). Survival was worse with adenocarcinoma compared to all other pathologies (p=0.013), while periampullary tumours had a better prognosis compared to other locations (p=0.019). Survival decreased with poorer differentiation (p=0.001), increasing pT (p<0.001) and pN stage (p<0.001). Survival was worse with perineural (p=0.04) or lymphovascular invasion (p=0.05). A microscopic positive resection margin (R1) was associated with a worse survival (p=0.007). Tumour differentiation (p=0.001) and positive nodal status (p<0.001) were found to be independent predictors of mortality.

Conclusion Tumour differentiation and nodal status are important predictors of outcome. A positive resection margin is associated with a poorer survival.

Keywords Tumour differentiation and nodal status are important predictors of outcome. A positive resection margin is associated with a poorer survival.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer was the eleventh most common cancer in Northern Ireland in 2001, with 160-180 new cases per year in Northern Ireland and 6000 in the UK. Overall it accounts for approximately 2% of all cancer, with an average age of presentation of 69 years old and UK incidence of 10 per 100,000. The male to female ratio in Northern Ireland of pancreatic cancer diagnosis is 1.3:1. It carries a bleak prognosis, with less than 3% surviving three years following diagnosis.1 The Campbell report “Cancer services – investing for the future”, published in 2001, sought to address the provision of treatment for cancer and made several recommendations.2 Although centralisation of pancreatic surgical services in Northern Ireland has not been fully implemented, there has been an establishment of a multidisciplinary approach to cancer treatment, with clear pathways to incorporate multimodal treatment including palliative care when indicated. This is particularly important for pancreatic cancer, with an increased array of treatment interventions available and adjuvant chemotherapy standard since 2001. The involvement of oncologists, gastroenterologists, pancreatic surgeons, interventional radiologists, palliative care physicians and the primary care team in multidisciplinary discussion has resulted in improved management options and outcome, rendering the former nihilistic attitude to pancreatic cancer outdated.

Although pancreatic cancer is the main focus of this study, four anatomical locations, where cancer can arise to give similar symptoms, have been included, namely the duodenum, common bile duct, ampulla of Vater and the head of pancreas. The purpose of this study was to review patients undergoing pancreatic resection for cancer in any of these locations and assess the effect of clinical and pathological parameters on survival.

Anatomy

The pancreas gland lies transversely in the posterior portion of the epigastric and left hypochondrial areas. The broad right lateral portion is called the head, which is separated from the body by a constriction known as the neck. The tapering left
lateral portion is the tail, while the uncinate process emerges from the head at the angle between its lower and left lateral borders.

The head of the pancreas lies within the duodenal curve, with the upper, lower and right lateral borders lying intimately to the duodenum (Figure 1). The ascending portion of the duodenum lies in front of the left lateral border of the head. The anterior aspect is largely covered by the transverse colon, with the superior mesenteric artery crossing the uncinate process. The corresponding vein travels up behind the neck to form the portal vein. Posterior to the head of pancreas lies the inferior vena cava, the common bile duct, the renal veins, the aorta and right crus of the diaphragm.

The body is covered anteriorly by the stomach, with the aorta, left kidney and vessels and the origin of the superior mesenteric artery lying behind. The duodenojejunal flexure lies inferiorly. The pancreatic tail continues to the left lateral aspect to finish at the lower part of the spleen. All these anatomical relationships are very important, as the degree of local invasion will often determine operative resectability.

The common bile duct (CBD) is formed by the left and right hepatic duct at the hilar confluence. It travels down as described above to drain into the second part of the duodenum, through the ampulla of Vater, beside the pancreatic duct. Periampullary tumours are usually defined as those in the last centimetre of the CBD, where it traverses the ampullary papilla and duodenal wall.

**Presentation and risk factors**

Pancreatic cancer often progresses asymptomatically and then presents late, resulting in only a minority being suitable for resection. Symptoms can be quite non-specific and include nausea, anorexia, jaundice, weight loss and abdominal pain. Pain, when present, is in the upper abdomen radiating through to the back and is often more associated with the body or tail of the pancreas. Alternatively, tumours of the head of the pancreas are more likely to present with painless jaundice and possibly steatorrhoea. Early cachexia is often seen in patients diagnosed with a tumour in the head of the pancreas, with weight loss of at least 10% of total body weight. Other less common symptoms include diabetes, diarrhoea and depression. Tumours arising from the ampulla of Vater are likely to present initially with jaundice and thus at an earlier stage, thus increasing their resection potential. An obstructive pattern to the liver function tests is likely to exist, while it may be helpful to check the associated tumour marker Carbohydrate Antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9), although this lacks sensitivity and specificity, particularly in the presence of obstructive jaundice. Endocrine tumours of the pancreas are less common and presenting symptoms relate to the hormone being secreted.

Similar to many other cancers, smoking makes a well-recognised contribution to the risk of pancreatic cancer. A close relative with the condition doubles the risk, while the synergistic effect of these two gives an eight-fold increase to the risk. Other factors, including dietary, environmental and genetic aberrations have only weak associations.

**Pathology**

Carcinoma of the pancreas usually arises from the ductal epithelial cells of the exocrine part of the gland. Neoplasms derived from the endocrine component of the gland, so-called pancreatic endocrine neoplasms, are not uncommon, while acinar cell carcinomas, arising from the enzyme-producing pancreatic cells, are rare. Histologically the vast majority of malignant pancreatic cancers are adenocarcinoma, which can have varying degrees of mucin production. Subtypes of ductal carcinoma include adenosquamous, mucinous, signet ring cell and medullary. Some may arise within intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms.

The anatomy described above is important to the pathological specimen evaluation tumour staging and assessment of resection margins. Despite guidelines from the pathology professional bodies of the UK and USA, there is as yet no agreed consensus on what constitutes a positive resection margin (R1). There are three main surfaces to a typical pancreaticoduodenectomy specimen, namely the anterior, posterior and superior mesenteric vein (SMV) groove surfaces. At pathological evaluation, the specimen is usually serially sliced in a horizontal plane perpendicular to the duodenal axis. A R1 (incomplete) resection is usually defined as tumour within 1mm of a margin microscopically. This may be primary tumour, or tumour within lymph nodes or lymphovascular channels.
The surgical procedure is a pancreaticoduodenectomy, or Whipple’s procedure (Figure 2). This is named after Allen Oldfather Whipple (1881-1963), who pioneered the resection, although it was first described in 1898 by Codivilla. It involves removal of the distal half of the stomach, gallbladder, distal portion of the common bile duct, as well as the head of the pancreas, duodenum, proximal jejunum and lymph nodes. Reconstruction requires three anastomoses, namely pancreaticojejunostomy, choledochojejunostomy and gastrojejunostomy. Total pancreatoduodenectomy is not usually recommended and often leads to brittle diabetes. An important modification of the Whipple’s procedure is the pylorus-sparing pancreaticoduodenectomy.8

The aim of this retrospective study was to determine the survival patterns and pathological predictors of survival in patients undergoing pancreatic resection in one unit in Northern Ireland.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patient details

A retrospective review of the Hepatopancreaticobiliary unit database was performed and all patients who had major pancreatic surgery over a twelve-year period were included. Patient demographics were recoded, as well as operation and pathology results. The full pathological report of resected specimens was obtained from the department of pathology. The date of death, where appropriate was obtained from the patient’s General Practitioner. All details were recorded on Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, USA) and analysed on SPSS (Version 13, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Il, USA).

Statistical analysis

Although some patients with non-neoplastic conditions were identified as having undergone pancreatic surgery, only those with a malignant process were included in the survival analysis and the determination of survival predictors. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan-Meier for location of tumour, pT and pN stage, type of tumour and resection margin status. In this analysis, only patients who had undergone Whipple’s procedure for malignancy were included. Each variable was further investigated using analysis of variance (ANOVA) to quantify the difference. Independent predictors of survival were determined using multinominal regression analysis. A p value <0.05 was considered significant in all tests.

RESULTS

Patient selection

One hundred and seventy-four patients, underwent a pancreatic-related procedure, between January 1996 and December 2008. After exclusion of necrosectomy, pseudocyst drainage and palliative bypass procedures, 126 patients were included in the study cohort.

Spectrum of operations performed

Six patients were managed with local excision of a malignant polyp in the periampullary region of the duodenum. The average age was 75.2 years (± 11.1). There was one peri-operative death and two others have died, at follow-up periods of 3 and 7 months. The remaining three patients were alive 8, 10 and 13 months follow-up post-operatively.

Fifteen patients underwent distal pancreatectomy for either adenocarcinoma (n=4), endocrine neoplasm (n=8) or non-neoplastic conditions (n=3). The average age was 48.3 years (± 19.2). Three of the patients diagnosed with adenocarcinoma died, at a follow-up periods of 8, 8 and 47 months, with one still alive after 18 months.

During the study period 106 Whipple’s procedures were performed by three consultant surgeons. The average age of the patients was 61.7 years (± 11.7). The operation was performed for a variety of diagnoses, including chronic pancreatitis (n=3), locally invasive hepatic flexure colonic adenocarcinoma (n=1), inflammatory abscess (n=1), primary sclerosing cholangitis (n=1) and a primary tumour (n=100). There were seven peri-operative deaths (6.6%) following a Whipple’s procedure, with a overall median survival of 24 months. The number of Whipple’s procedures performed annually has increased during the study period, with a decreasing trend of mortality, with no deaths in the last 3 years of the study period out of 52 operations.

Whipple’s patients and survival trends

Histologically 92 of the 100 tumours proved to be ductal adenocarcinoma. The remaining comprised duodenal gastrointestinal stromal tumour (n=1), and well-differentiated endocrine tumours (n=6) and anaplastic carcinoma (n=1). Patients diagnosed with adenocarcinoma had a significantly lower survival than other cancers. The median survival for adenocarcinoma was 5 months, with the 1 year survival rate of 30% compared to 90% for other tumours (p=0.01, log rank test). There was a statistically significant decreasing trend of mortality, with no deaths in the last 3 years of the study period out of 52 operations.

Fig 3. Survival trends of adenocarcinoma compared to other cancers
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poorer survival rate than patients diagnosed with the other tumours grouped together (p=0.013; Figure 3).

The 92 patients with adenocarcinoma had disease arising from four areas, namely the ampulla of Vater (n=31), duodenum (n=4), head of pancreas (n=45) and common bile duct (n=12). The survival trends according to the four different locations is illustrated in Figure 4 (p=0.019). Tumour differentiation varied from well-differentiated (n=13) to moderate (n=55) and poor (n=21), with survival affected accordingly (p=0.001; Figure 5). The differentiation of 3 tumours was not reported.

Pathological (pT) tumour stages were pT1 (n=4), pT2 (n=20), pT3 (n=63) and pT4 (n=5), and this reflected outcome (p=0.001; Figure 6). The nodal status was positive (pN1) in 58 patients with an associated mortality (p=0.001; Figure 7).

The presence of perineural (p=0.044) or lymphovascular invasion (p=0.052) were also found to be poor prognostic indicators. Clear resection margins achieved microscopically in 56 operations improved outcome (p=0.007).

The mean size of tumour was 29mm (±12). Multinominal logistic regression, which included all pathological factors, demonstrated tumour differentiation (p=0.001) and nodal status (p<0.001) were the only significant predictors of mortality. Log-rank test revealed perineural invasion (p=0.012) and lymphovascular invasion (p=0.005) were significant predictors of survival in the months following surgery. In regard to these particular parameters, the relative risk of death was calculated for perineural invasion (1.76), lymphovascular invasion (1.97), positive resection margin (2.01) and positive nodal status (2.79).

**DISCUSSION**

Pancreaticoduodenectomy is a major operation, carrying significant risk of morbidity and mortality. Complications include delayed gastric emptying (20%), wound infection (10%) and intra-abdominal collections or fistulae (15%). The latter is often secondary to anastomotic breakdown, particularly the pancreatico-jejunal anastomosis. Most eventualities can be treated conservatively and mortality in high-volume institutions is about 1%.11,12 Therefore, patient selection is vital, to optimise the surgical curative rate.

The results of this study indicate that the location of the tumour can influence the survival pattern, where patients with periampullary tumours have a better prognosis. This reflects their earlier presentation and as a result the surgical resection margin was only involved in 3 (9.6%) patients of this sub-group. Consequently, while it was not the practice in these patients, some authors advocate the less radical pylorus-preserving resection to reduce morbidity, without compromise of oncological clearance.13,14 Transduodenal local excision was performed on a small number of patients in this study, but carries significant risks, including pancreatitis and duodenal or pancreatic fistula formation. Pre and intra-
operative determination of the site of the tumour is often difficult. However, only 75% of periampullary tumours are truly of pancreatic origin, of which at least 12% are of a more favourable variety than ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Thus, such patients may have a better than anticipated prognosis.

The involvement of the resection margin, known as R1 resection, is an important factor in prognosis following pancreatic resection. This varied according to tumour location, where 3 (9.6%) periampullary, 1 (25%) duodenal, 30 (54.5%) head of pancreas and 2 (16.7%) CBD tumour patients had a R1 resection. A positive surgical margin is generally accepted as a poor prognostic factor, so it is surprising that in a study of 360 patients, Raut et al. found no statistical significance in its effect on survival. They attributed this fact to the variable reporting patterns of histology and the lack of differentiating between micro and macroscopically involved margins in other studies. However, evidence from the ESPAC-1 trial indicates that R1 tumours represent a biologically more aggressive cancer. In addition to a poorer response to surgery, the magnitude of benefit from chemotherapy is decreased in patients with R1 margins.

Histological evidence of tumour deposition in lymph nodes removed with the specimen has been shown to be an independent prognostic factor. This is in keeping with the findings of other researchers and reflects the first step of tumour spread from the primary site. More recent evidence reveals that the ratio of metastatically involved to retrieved lymph nodes is also an important prognostic indicator.

A non-biological factor that is now thought to influence the outcome following pancreatic resection, is institutional experience. A Department of Health document suggested that the population of a pancreatic service catchment area should be at least 2 million. The population of Northern Ireland is projected to increase from 1.70 million in 2008 to 1.84 million in 2031, but it has been acknowledged that geographical constraints made this difficult in the province. Nevertheless, over recent years, centralisation of such surgery has been encouraged. As a consequence of this and an increase in surgeon numbers, there is a greater proportion of pancreaticoduodenectomies in this study performed in the latter years. A target of 20 resections per institution has been proposed to optimise patient outcome. This is because outcome is influenced, not just by surgical technique, but also by the holistic post-operative care.

Histological evidence of tumour in lymph nodes removed with the specimen has been shown to be an independent prognostic factor. This is in keeping with the findings of other researchers and reflects the first step of tumour spread from the primary site. More recent evidence reveals that the ratio of metastatically involved to retrieved lymph nodes is also an important prognostic indicator.

In conclusion, pancreatic cancer prognosis improved with better tumour differentiation and a lack of lymph node involvement.
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