EFFECT OF CARRAGEENAN ON THE NON-SPECIFIC RESISTANCE OF MICE TO INJECTED SYNGENEIC TUMOUR CELLS, ALONE OR IN MIXTURES
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Summary.—The mechanisms of non-specific resistance to syngeneic methylcholanthrene-induced fibrosarcomas of mice were investigated. Results showed that a small tumour graft of $0.05 \times 10^5$ cells is greatly enhanced in growth when admixed with large numbers of cell fragments, killed cells or viable non-replicating cells. The enhancement of small tumour grafts in cell mixtures was found to be non-specific.

Carrageenan, a known anti-macrophage agent, significantly increased tumour growth in normal mice. However, it did not enhance the increased tumour growth of $0.05 \times 10^5$ cells mixed with $10^6$ viable, non-replicating mitomycin C-treated tumour cells. The latter observation indicates that carrageenan and admixed cells interfere with the same tumour-inhibitory mechanism and therefore cannot produce additive effects. The results give support to the concept of a non-specific macrophage “surveillance” system which appears crucial in controlling tumour growth, since it determines the establishment of small numbers of tumour cells while they can still be easily destroyed.

The behaviour and fate of small numbers of tumour cells have been a matter of considerable interest and speculation. Some tumours are capable of immediate growth by experimental inoculation or transplantation of a single cell (Furth & Kahn, 1937). Others fail to grow under similar circumstances and require relatively more cells before a tumour “take” occurs. Fisher & Fisher (1963) showed that an inoculum of a transplantable tumour, insufficient in numbers to produce growth, can start multiplication weeks later if some stimulus such as laparotomy, or an injection of tissue homogenate at the same implantation site is given. Révész (1958) found that in genetically compatible tumour–host systems, cells irreversibly damaged by heavy doses of radiation exert a profound enhancing influence on the growth of admixed viable cells. In subsequent experiments, Révész (1958) showed that the growth stimulation by irradiated cells of small numbers of viable cells failed to occur if the 2 populations were separated.

Recently, the concept of non-specific immune surveillance has been suggested as an important mechanism in the control of tumours (Alexander, 1976).

The following investigation was undertaken to determine whether carrageenan, a product of marine algae selectively cytotoxic for macrophages (Allison et al., 1966; Schwartz & Leskowitz, 1969; Catanzaro et al., 1971), would cause the establishment of small numbers of viable tumour cells which would not normally grow. Carrageenan is known to impair delayed hypersensitivity reactions in vivo (Bice et al., 1971; Schwartz & Leskowitz, 1969) and is a potent immunosuppressant of antibody formation (Aschheim & Raffel, R. L. WU AND R. KEARNEY
1972; Thomson et al., 1976). Keller (1976) and Thomson & Fowler (1977) have shown that carrageenan has an enhancing effect on the growth of relatively large tumour-cell inocula. Benjamini et al. (1977) reported that mitomycin C treatment of syngeneic tumour cells prevented replication of such cells, which could still be used to induce specific cell-mediated immunity. The following study involved mitomycin C-treated syngeneic fibrosarcoma cells as a source of non-replicating cells, to see whether they would cause the establishment of small numbers of tumour cells in mixtures and, if so, to determine whether such growth was enhanced by carrageenan.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Animals.*—Male mice (8–10 weeks old) of the highly inbred CBA/H/WEHI strain were used. Their origin and maintenance have been discussed previously (Basten et al., 1974).

*Tumours.*—Two syngeneic methylethylmethane-threne-induced fibrosarcomas designated H-1 and H-2 were used. Tumour-cell suspensions were prepared from solid tumours by pronase treatment (Kearney & Nelson, 1973). Viable tumour cells or mixtures in a total volume of 0·2 ml Dulbecco-modified Eagle's medium (DME), were injected s.c. along the midline of the abdominal wall. Tumour growth was expressed as the average tumour diameter (in units of 0·1 mm) by measuring, at daily intervals after the 4th day, the smallest and largest diameters with a Schnelltaster dial gauge as described previously (Kearney & Nelson, 1973), or by vernier calipers. The values given in the text are corrected for the average thickness of the uninjected-mouse skin-fold of the abdominal wall.

Preparation of mitomycin C-treated tumour cells (MCT).—Tumour cells obtained by incubation with 0·1% pronase in DME medium were washed × 3 in DME medium with 20% foetal calf serum (FCS). Mitomycin C (MC; Kyowa Hakko Kogyo Co. Ltd, Japan) was dissolved in DME medium with 20% FCS and then added to the tumour cells at a concentration of 30 μg/10⁶ H-1 tumour cells/ml medium. The cells were incubated at 37°C for 35 min and washed × 3 in medium alone to remove free MC and FCS.

Preparation of other inactivated cells.—A single-cell suspension of untreated tumour cells was prepared as described, and suspended to a concentration of 2 × 10⁶ cells in 0·2 ml of DME medium alone.

For heat treatment, this suspension was incubated at 56°C for 35 min. This treatment destroyed the viability of the tumour cells, as indicated by the trypan-blue exclusion test.

For freeze-thawing, the suspension was immersed in liquid N₂ and thawed under running tapwater. The procedure was repeated × 3. Viability of the cells was totally destroyed as assessed by trypan-blue exclusion.

**Latex particles.**—Calibration latex (Coulter Electronics, Hertfordshire) of diameter 2·03 μm was washed × 3 by centrifugation, in medium alone, before use.

Preparation of mixtures of inactivated cells or particles with tumour cells.—A single-cell suspension of untreated H-1 tumour cells containing 0·1 × 10⁶ cells/0·2 ml was prepared. This suspension was mixed *in vitro* with suspensions of MC-treated cells, heat-killed cells, frozen-thawed cells or latex particles containing the equivalent of 2 × 10⁶ tumour cells per 0·2 ml. For this purpose, it was calculated that latex particles were ⅛ the diameter of the average tumour cell and that therefore an equivalent volume to 2 × 10⁶ cells would be provided by ~2 × 10⁶ latex particles.

These mixtures in a volume of 0·2 ml were immediately injected s.c. into the midline of the abdominal wall of normal mice. Therefore, each animal received 0·05 × 10⁵ live H-1 cells mixed with an equivalent of 10⁶ inactivated cells, or 10⁸ latex particles.

**Carrageenan.**—Lambda carrageenan (Marine Colloids Inc., Springfield, New Jersey) was dissolved in boiling physiological saline at a concentration of 0·5 mg/0·2 ml, and then stored at −20°C until required. The method of carrageenan pretreatment was as follows: animals were given 0·5 mg of carrageenan, injected i.p., on each of 3 days before the test procedure, *i.e.*, before the inoculation of viable cells or admixtures; on the day of the experiment, a final dose of 0·5 mg was administered, about 1 h before the tumour-cell inoculation; thus a total of 2 mg was administered in 4 divided doses.
RESULTS

Admixture of 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells with 10^6 MC-treated tumour cells

Fig. 1 and Tables I, II and III show that, whereas a graft of 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells alone produces a tumour in a small minority of normal mice, the same number of cells, mixed with 10^6 viable non-replicating MCT cells, will produce a tumour in all normal animals. The resultant tumours grow at a rate comparable to a dose of 0.5 x 10^5-H-1 cells given alone (Fig. 1). The enhancement of a low dose of H-1 tumour cells is also seen when these cells are admixed with 10^6 MC-treated H-2 tumour cells. As seen in Fig. 1, the growth of 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells mixed with either 10^6 MCT-H-1 or 10^6 MCT-H-2 cells is very similar.

Effect of carrageenan pretreatment on the growth of H-1 tumour cells either alone or in mixtures

The effect of carrageenan pretreatment on the growth of a small graft (0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells) mixed with 10^6 MCT-H-1 cells is shown in Fig. 2.

Although carrageenan pretreatment significantly enhanced the growth of 0.5 x 10^5 cells and caused a 100% take of 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells, it did not affect the growth rate of 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 cells in mixtures.

Effect of admixture of killed cells

In Table II it can be seen that mixture with 10^6 heat-killed or freeze-thawed

---

TABLE I.—Effect of admixture of mitomycin C-treated tumour cells (MCT) to untreated tumour cells

| Number of live untreated H-1 tumour cells | 10^6 MCT cells added | Tumour incidence at Day 15 |
|------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| (x 10^5)                                 |                      |                            |
| 0.05                                     | —                    | 3/7                        |
| 0.5                                      | —                    | 7/7                        |
| —                                        | H-1                  | 0/8                        |
| —                                        | H-2                  | 0/8                        |
| 0.05                                     | H-1                  | 8/8                        |
| 0.05                                     | H-2                  | 8/8                        |

Fig. 2—Effect of carrageenan on growth of admixed MCT-H-1 and untreated H-1 tumour cells. ■—■, 10^6 MCT-H-1 alone; ○—○, 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 alone; ■--;■, 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 + 10^6 MCT-H-1; △—△, 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 + carrageenan; □—□, 0.05 x 10^5 H-1 + 10^6 MCT-H-1 + carrageenan; ●—●, 0.5 x 10^5 H-1 alone; ▲—▲, 0.5 x 10^5 H-1 + carrageenan.
cells also produced enhancement of $0.05 \times 10^5$ H-1 cells. However, the resultant enhancement is not as pronounced as that seen when MCT cells are used (Fig. 3). However, if latex particles are mixed with such a graft, tumour growth is totally prevented. This also occurred with a large, optimal dose of tumour cells, so that it is probable that the latex particles were toxic for the cells. A violent inflammatory reaction was seen to occur at the site of injection of latex particles, followed by considerable local ulceration.

**Table II.**—Effect of admixture of killed cells and latex on tumour growth

| Inoculum live H-1 cells or latex | No. of admixed cells or latex | Tumour incidence Day 20 |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 0.05                             | 10^6 MCT H-1                | 8/8                    |
| 0.05                             | 10^6 Heat-killed H-1        | 0/7                    |
| 0.05                             | 10^6 Frozen-thawed H-1      | 8/8                    |
| 0.05                             | 10^6 Latex                  | 1/8                    |
| 0.05                             | 10^6 MCT H-1                | 8/8                    |
| 0.05                             | 10^6 MCT H-2                | 0/7                    |

**Effect of inoculating MC-treated tumour cells at a site distant from a small live graft**

A dose of $0.05 \times 10^5$ untreated H-1 cells was inoculated s.c. into normal mice. At the same time, $10^6$ MCT–H-1 or MCT–H-2 cells were inoculated i.p. Table III shows that MCT cells separated from the small graft did not promote tumour enhancement as seen with mixtures.

**Table III.**—Effect of mitomycin C-treated tumour cells (MCT) given i.p., on the growth of a small tumour graft given s.c.

| Cells given i.p. | Cells given s.c. | Tumour incidence at Day 20 |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| 10^6 MCT H-1     | 0/7              |
| +0.05 x 10^5 H-1 | 8/8              |
| +0.05 x 10^5 H-1 | 1/7              |
| +0.05 x 10^5 H-1 | 8/8              |
| +0.05 x 10^5 H-1 | 8/8              |

**DISCUSSION**

These experiments demonstrate that a small tumour graft is greatly enhanced in growth when mixed with large numbers of inactivated tumour cells. The same effect results whether or not cells of the same antigenicity are used (H-1 and H-2 tumours do not cross-react antigenically; Wu & Kearney, submitted for publication) and is not dependent on the viability of the added cells. However, the 2 cell populations must be mixed, as a simultaneous injection of inactivated cells and a small graft at 2 different sites does not produce the effect.

Using lethally irradiated cells, Révész (1958) observed the same effect. His results were confirmed and extended by Toda et al. (1967), Yatvin et al. (1970) and Hewitt et al. (1973). The explanation which best fits existing data is that the admixed tumour cells interfere with a local, non-specific clearance mechanism which can normally remove small numbers of injected cells.

The existence of a macrophage-mediated...
tumour-inhibitory mechanism was indicated by the enhancement of tumour growth by the administration of carrageenan. This observation has been previously reported for both chemically induced (Keller, 1976; Nelson & Nelson, 1978) and virally induced (Lotzova & Richie, 1977) tumours.

It is unlikely that the enhancement is due to a direct stimulatory effect of carrageenan on tumour growth, since carrageenan has been shown to be toxic for tumour cells in vitro (Pollack & Nelson, 1973), although products from damaged macrophages may have an enhancing effect (Keller, 1976). Carrageenan has many biological effects (Di Rosa, 1972) including anticoagulant activity (Anderson & Duncan, 1965) and inhibition of complement (Borsos et al., 1965), all of which may affect tumour growth. However, the most likely explanation for the enhancement is that it is due to inhibition of macrophage function. Further evidence for this belief is found in the report of Keller (1976), who showed that poly-vinyl-pyridine-N-oxide (PVNO) could prevent the enhancement of tumour growth by both carrageenan and silica. PVNO selectively reverses the toxic effects of these agents on macrophages.

If carrageenan is enhancing tumour growth in normal animals by crippling macrophage function, 2 possible mechanisms should be considered:

Firstly, it is conceivable that carrageenan may have prevented the development of specific immunity which normally restrains tumour growth. This is unlikely, since tumour growth has already begun before specific immunity would be expected to have an effect. Also, in view of the lack of effect of carrageenan on the induction and expression of specific immunity by MCT cells (Wu & Kearney, submitted for publication), it is unlikely that such a mechanism is responsible for the observed enhancement.

A second, more plausible, explanation is that carrageenan pretreatment interferes with a non-specific, macrophage-mediated, tumour-inhibitory system which is important in clearing small numbers of tumour cells in animals without specific immunity. This mechanism may mediate a primitive surveillance system which can recognize and dispose of small numbers of neoplastic cells, thus preventing the development of small grafts but only able to reduce the growth potential of larger grafts.

In the context of the present experiments, it could be postulated that admixed inactivated tumour cells could protect small numbers of live cells by providing macrophages with an overwhelming load to handle. Thus the potent cells could escape destruction, while the macrophages were preoccupied with removing harmless, inactivated or dead cells. The observation that carrageenan neither increased nor decreased the effect may be an indication that both carrageenan and admixed cells interfere with the same tumour-inhibitory mechanism and therefore cannot produce additive effects.

In summary these findings, together with the observation that carrageenan greatly enhances tumour growth, lend some support to the concept that a non-specific, macrophage-mediated “surveillance” system may be as important as specific immunity in determining the growth of syngeneic tumours. Because of the weakness of specific H-1 tumour immunity (Wu & Kearney, submitted for publication), it could be argued that, in this instance, the non-specific mechanism is crucial in controlling tumour growth, since it prevents the establishment of small numbers of tumour cells while they are still capable of being easily controlled. However, this concept does not negate the importance of specific immune mechanisms, which may be decisive in limiting the growth of an established and growing tumour.
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