ABSTRACT: DNA-protein conjugates are potentially repaired via proteolytic digestion to DNA-peptide conjugates. The latter have been modeled with the amino-terminal lysine of the peptide KWKK conjugated via a trimethylene linkage to the \( N^2 \)-dG amine positioned in 5'-d(GCTAGCXAGTCC)-3' (X = \( N^2 \)-dG-trimethylene link–KWKK). This linkage is a surrogate for the reversible linkage formed by the \( \gamma \)-OH-1,\( N^2 \)-propanodeoxyguanosine (\( \gamma \)-OH-PdG) adduct. This conjugated KWKK stabilizes the DNA. Amino acids K\(^{26}\), W\(^{27}\), K\(^{28}\), and K\(^{29}\) are in the minor groove. The W\(^{27}\) indolyl group does not intercalate into the DNA. The \( G^+ N^2 \) amine and the K\(^{26}\) N-terminal amine nitrogens are in the trans configuration with respect to the C\(_{\alpha}\) or C\(_{\gamma}\) of the trimethylene tether, respectively. The structure of this DNA–KWKK conjugate is discussed in the context of its biological processing.

Cellular exposures to ionizing radiation, ultraviolet light, and a variety of chemicals and metals result in the formation of DNA–protein conjugates (DPCs).\(^1\) For a review, see Barker et al. (1). These bulky lesions are anticipated to interfere with both DNA replication and transcription, but there has been a paucity of data providing insights into the mechanisms of cellular tolerance and repair of these lesions. However, inhibition of nuclear proteasomal protein degradation reduces the repair of DPCs (2). Additionally, the proteolytically active 20S core of the 26S proteasome localizes to the nucleus (3, 4), and inhibition of proteasome function with lactacystin results in the inhibition of repair of formaldehyde-induced DPCs, in normal, XP-A, and XP-F fibroblasts (2). These data are consistent with a scenario in which DPCs are targeted for proteolytic degradation. Interestingly, when topoisomerase I is conjugated to DNA in the presence of camptothecin, proteolysis occurs in a ubiquitin-dependent fashion, giving rise to a ladder of DNA–polypeptide products (5). Thus, an attractive mechanism for the repair of other DPCs involves the formation of DNA–peptide conjugates, as substrates for nucleotide excision repair (6–8).

One route to the preparation of site-specific DNA–peptide conjugates to probe the biological processing of these lesions was discovered when it was observed that peptides containing a single aromatic side chain flanked by basic residues, such as Lys-Trp-Lys (KWK), incise the phosphodiester backbones of DNA duplexes containing abasic sites. The reaction proceeds via a \( \beta \)-elimination mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of an amine at the C1' carbon at the aldehyde of the abasic site (9–11). The mechanism involves formation of an imine (Schiff base) intermediate between the polypeptide and DNA that can be isolated upon reduction by sodium borohydride (12). Such studies have shown that the N-terminal \( \alpha \)-amino groups exhibit lower \( pK_a \) values and react with greater efficiencies than do the \( \epsilon \)-amino groups of lysines (13). Similarly, chemical reducing agents have been used to probe the biochemical mechanisms of DNA glycosylase/AP lyases, such as the T4 pyrimidine dimer glycosylase (T4-pdg) (12, 14, 15). In that instance, the \( \epsilon \)-amino group of the N-terminal threonine catalyzed the \( \beta \)-elimination (12). The recognition specificity of the KWK peptide for abasic sites was attributed to the tryptophan moiety, which presumably contributed to stacking between bases adjacent to the abasic site. This model was supported by studies that demonstrated KWK binding to depurinated DNA was accompanied by an increase in fluorescence quenching (10).

More recently, DNA–peptide conjugates have been prepared from duplexes containing site-specific \( \gamma \)-hydroxy-1,\( N^2 \)-propano-2'-deoxyguanosine (\( \gamma \)-OH-PdG) lesions (16). When 2'-deoxytocosine is positioned opposite \( \gamma \)-OH-PdG in duplex DNA (17–20), the 1,\( N^2 \)-PdG ring opens to the \( N^2 \)-propionaldehyde (21–23). The aldehyde reacts with the primary amines in proteins and peptides to yield imine conjugates that can be isolated following chemical reduction (16). A similar reaction chemistry has been used to covalently link peptides to \( \gamma \)-OH-1,\( N^2 \)-propano-2'-deoxyadenosine (\( \gamma \)-OH-PdA) (24).
The biological processing of site-specific DNA–peptide conjugates has been reviewed (25). The mutagenic potentials of DNA–peptide conjugates generated by conjugating KWKK to γ-OH-PdG or γ-OH-PdA have been evaluated in a single-stranded pMS2 shuttle vector replicated in COS-7 cells (26). Replication bypass of KWKK conjugated to the γ-OH-PdG lesion resulted in mutations at an overall frequency of ~8.4%, while the γ-OH-PdA-mediated KWKK conjugate induced mutations at only ~0.4%. Thus, these conjugates are mutagenic, and their potential to cause mutations depends on the site of peptide attachment (26). The abilities of γ-OH-PdG or γ-OH-PdA DNA–KWKK conjugates to be bypassed by both human and Escherichia coli polymerases in vitro have been investigated (24). Human DNA polymerase α catalyzed efficient, error-free bypass of peptides conjugated via γ-OH-PdG. These lesions blocked E. coli polymerases II, III, and V but not polymerase IV. Cells deficient in polymerase IV were extremely inefficient in replicating these lesions (27).

Presently, we have conjugated the amino-terminal lysine of the peptide KWKK via a trimethylene linkage to the N\textsuperscript{2}-dG exocyclic amine site-specifically positioned in 5′-d(GCTAGCA-XGTCG)-3′: 5′-d(GGACTCGCTAGC)-3′ (X = N\textsuperscript{2}-dG–trimethylene linkage–KWKK conjugate) (Scheme 1). The fully reduced trimethylene linkage provides a surrogate for the reversible linkage formed by the γ-OH-PdG adduct. We report that this conjugated peptide stabilizes the DNA duplex and that the trimethylene linkage provides a surrogate for the reversible linkage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**DNA Containing the DNA–KWKK Conjugate.** The site-specific DNA–KWKK conjugate was synthesized in 5′-d(GCTAGCXA-GTCG)-3′: 5′-d(GGACTCGCTAGC)-3′ (X = N\textsuperscript{2}-dG–trimethylene linkage–KWKK conjugate) (Scheme 1). The fully reduced trimethylene linkage provides a surrogate for the reversible linkage formed by the γ-OH-PdG adduct. We report that this conjugated peptide stabilizes the DNA duplex and that the trimethylene linkage provides a surrogate for the reversible linkage.

**Distance and Torsion Angle Restraints.** NOE-derived distances from cross-peak volumes measured at mixing times of 250 ms were calculated using MAR Died RAS (29, 30). Isotropic correlation times of 2, 3, and 4 ns were used. Integrated cross-peak volumes were normalized from the intensities of protons with fixed intranuclear distances (i.e., cytosine H5–H6 and thymine CH\textsubscript{1}–H6 distances). The volume error was set to one-half the volume of the smallest peak. The RAD MARD RDI algorithm carried out 50 iterations for each set of data, randomizing peak volumes within limits specified by the input noise level (31). The standard deviation in particular distances served as the error bounds for the distances. Distance restraints were divided into classes and weighted according to the errors assessed in their measurements. Class 1, class 2, class 3, and class 4 restraints were calculated from completely resolved, slightly overlapped, medium overlapped, or heavily overlapped cross-peaks, respectively.
that were at least 0.5 ppm from the water resonance or the diagonal line of the spectrum. Class 5 distances were calculated from all of the other cross-peaks. Empirical restraints preserved Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding and prevented propeller twisting between base pairs (32). NOEs that did not have a distance calculated by MARDIGRAS were assigned an estimated distance based on the peak intensities. Deoxyribose conformation was determined with the $^3J$ coupling constants derived from DQF-COSY spectra (33). The backbone and sugar pucker torsion angle restraints were assigned from empirical data derived from B-DNA (34). The range of the dihedral angle restraints was ±30°.

**Structural Refinement.** The DNA–KWKK conjugates were constructed by bonding the trimethylene linkage Cα to G7 N2 and C1 to the N-terminal amine of K26, respectively, using Insight II (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, CA). Each nucleotide, amino acid, and the linker were considered as single residues. To provide the starting structures, duplexes were constructed with both A- and B-DNA conformations and were energy minimized by the conjugate gradient algorithm for 200 iterations without experimental restraints. Partial charges at the peptide-conjugated nucleotide were calculated using the SCF Born (GB) model (35) with neutral total charge (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The rMD calculations were conducted with the AMBER parm99 force field (36). The generalized Born (GB) model (37, 38) with parameters developed by Tsui and Case (39) was used for implicit water simulation. The cutoff radius for nonbonding interactions was 18 Å. The restraint energy function contained terms describing distance and torsion angle restraints, both in the form of square well potentials. Bond lengths involving hydrogens were fixed with the SHAKE algorithm (40).

First, a 1000-step energy minimization was performed with an iteration time of 1 fs without experimental restraints, followed by a 100000-iteration simulated annealing protocol with an integrator time step of 1 fs. The system was first heated to 600 K in 5000 iterations and kept at 600 K for 5000 iterations and then cooled to 100 K with a time constant of 8.0 ps in 80000 iterations; a final cooling procedure was applied to relax the system to 0 K with a time constant of 1.0 ps in 10000 iterations. Ten structures were converged initially from A- and B-type DNAs (five each). A force constant of 32.0 kcal·mol$^{-1}$·Å$^{-2}$ was used for all distance restraints. The lower and upper bounds for NOE restraints were obtained from MARDIGRAS calculations. The lower and upper bounds for base pair restraints were assigned empirically. The parabolic potential wells were set to 0.5 Å lower or higher than the lower and upper bounds, respectively. A force constant of 32.0 kcal·mol$^{-1}$·rad$^{-2}$ was used for all torsion angle restraints. The lower and upper bounds of torsion angle restraints were assigned empirically. The parabolic potential wells were set to 1.0° lower or higher than the lower and upper bounds, respectively. Convergence was assessed for structures having the lowest number of deviations from the experimental distance and dihedral restraints, lowest van der Waals energy, and the lowest overall energy. The structures were energy minimized for 250 iterations without restraints to obtain final structures.

CORMA (41) was utilized to estimate the NOE intensities from the structures refined from rMD calculations. Input volumes (intensities) were normalized from averaging the intensities of all protons. Random noise was added to all intensities to simulate spectral noise. An isotropic correlation time ($\tau$) of 3 ns was used.

**RESULTS**

**Characterization of the Conjugated DNA Duplex.** Analysis of the DNA–KWKK conjugate by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry verified the presence of the conjugated peptide. Further analysis by capillary electrophoresis and C-18 HPLC indicated that the duplex was of sufficient purity for spectroscopic analyses. To monitor the thermodynamic effect of linking the KWKK peptide to the γ-OH-PdG-modified duplex, thermal melting $T_m$ values were determined for an unmodified control duplex, the γ-OH-PdG-modified duplex, and the duplex with the KWKK peptide conjugated with the γ-OH-PdG. $T_m$ values for the peptide conjugate were determined to be 63, 63, and 58 °C at pH values of 5.3, 7.0, and 8.9, respectively. At neutral pH, linkage of the KWKK tetrapeptide to the DNA increased the $T_m$ by 15 °C relative to the γ-OH-PdG lesion alone, which exhibited a $T_m$ of 48 °C. At neutral pH, linkage of the KWKK tetrapeptide to the DNA also increased the $T_m$ compared to the unmodified DNA, which exhibited a $T_m$ of 60 °C. NMR analysis was conducted at pH values of 5.3, 7.0, and 8.9. At neutral pH, the spectroscopic data suggested the presence of multiple conformations in equilibrium. The NMR resonances at pH 5.3 matched the major set of resonances observed at pH 7.0. Therefore, NMR data used for structural refinement were collected at pH 5.3. Figure 1 displays $^1$H NMR spectra of the DNA–peptide conjugate at different temperatures. As the temperature increased, the base imino resonances broadened. The imino resonances of the terminal base pairs disappeared first and then the imino resonances from the penultimate base pairs. The imino resonances of nucleotides G7, T17, and G19 were the last to broaden.

**Assignments of the Nucleotide Protons.** The nonexchangeable protons of nucleotides were assigned based upon the sequential connectivity of the base proton H6 or H8 dipolar couplings with H1' sugar protons (45, 46). A complete sequential NOESY connectivity was observed for both the KWKK-conjugate-containing and complementary strands (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). Notably, the C6 H1' $\rightarrow$ G7 H8...
NOE was weaker than the other 5'-deoxyribose H1' → 3'-purine H8 NOEs, suggesting a structural perturbation between nucleotides C8 and G7. Four additional cross-peaks in this region of the NOESY spectrum were assigned to the NOEs of tryptophan indolyl protons at W27 with nucleotide H1' protons. Using standard strategies, the resonances of the H2', H2'', H3', H4', H5', and H5'' nucleoside protons were assigned, although it was not possible to assign all of the H5', H5'' protons unequivocally. The assignments are collected in Table S1 of the Supporting Information.

The resonances of base imino protons were assigned based on their sequential connectivities in NOESY spectra and were supported by NOEs to amino protons of Watson–Crick base pairs (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) (47). Except for the terminal base pairs, NOE cross-peaks arising from Watson–Crick hydrogen bonding were observed, indicating the duplex conserved Watson–Crick base pairing. The DNA–KWKK conjugate exhibited a strong G7 N1H→G7 N4H NOE, suggesting that the guanine amino proton was shielded from exchange with water. The G7 N4H amino proton also exhibited NOEs to the trimethylene linkage protons, suggesting G7 N4H was proximate to the trimethylene tether and peptide chain.

Assignments of Trimethylene Protons. The resonances of trimethylene (X25) protons were assigned based on their NOE correlations (Figure 2). An extra resonance appeared at 1.35 ppm and exhibited four strong NOE cross-peaks at δ 2.72, 2.56, 2.24, and 1.96 ppm. The resonance at δ 1.35 ppm was assigned to the geminal X25 Hα protons. The NOE between resonances at δ 2.72 and δ 2.56 ppm was strong, and these resonances exhibited medium-intensity NOEs with resonances at δ 2.24 and δ 1.96 ppm. The NOE between the latter two resonances also was strong. The δ 2.72 and δ 2.56 ppm resonances exhibited weak NOEs to A8 H2 and were assigned to the geminal X25 Hα protons. The δ 2.24 and δ 1.96 ppm resonances exhibited NOEs to K26 Hα and were assigned to the geminal X25 Hα protons. Since the resonances arising from the geminal Hα protons could not be resolved, the X25 geminal Hα and Hβ protons were assigned by NOE correlations with A8 H2 and K26 Hα.

Assignments of Amino Acid Protons. The assignments of the tryptophan W27 nonexchangeable protons are shown in Figure 3A. The geminal Hβ resonances exhibited strong NOEs to Hα. The intensity of the Hα→Hβ NOE and the 3J-coupling constants of the Hα→Hβ correlation observed in the DQFCOSY spectrum were used to determine the configurations of the Hβ protons. The Hα→Hβ NOE correlation was weaker and the 3J-coupling constant was greater, suggesting H2' and H1'' were in the trans configuration, whereas H5' and H1' were in the cis configuration. The Hα and Hβ protons exhibited NOEs to the indolyl protons H2' and H4'. The H4 protons exhibited strong NOE and J-couplings with H5', whereas H2' did not have interactions with H5'. The NOESY and COSY H5'→H6 and H6→H7 correlations determined the assignments of the H6 and H7 resonances. The indolyl imino proton appeared at 10.5 ppm (Figure 1). It had strong NOEs to H2' and H7. The assignments are collected in Table S2 of the Supporting Information.
Three sets of lysine H\textsuperscript{n} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{ɛ} NOE correlations were observed in the NOESY spectrum (Figure 3B). K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{n} exhibited NOE correlations with the X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons. The lysine K\textsuperscript{28} proton resonances were sharp, while the K\textsuperscript{29} proton resonances were broad. The K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} proton resonances were distinguished on the basis of NOEs to the amide protons (Figure 4). K\textsuperscript{28} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} had NOE correlations with W\textsuperscript{27} N\textsuperscript{H} and K\textsuperscript{26} N\textsuperscript{H}, whereas K\textsuperscript{29} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} exhibited NOEs to K\textsuperscript{28} N\textsuperscript{H} and K\textsuperscript{29} N\textsuperscript{H}. The assignments of the remaining lysine proton resonances were based on the sequential connectivity from H\textsuperscript{ɛ} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{δ} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{γ} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{δ} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{ɛ} in NOESY and COSY spectra. Figure 3B demonstrates the assignments for the K\textsuperscript{26} proton resonances. The geminal K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons exhibited strong NOEs to the H\textsuperscript{δ} and H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons, and a strong H\textsuperscript{ɛ} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{δ} NOE was used to assign the H\textsuperscript{γ} proton resonances. As for the W\textsuperscript{27} H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons, the geminal protons were assigned based on NOE intensities and \( ^3\)J-coupling constants.

The peptide amide protons exhibited NH\textsuperscript{i} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{ɛ} \( _{i-1} \) and NH\textsuperscript{i} \rightarrow H\textsuperscript{ɛ} \( _{i} \) NOEs (subscript \( i \) represents residue number) to the H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons (Figure 4). In addition, the amide protons also exhibited NOEs to the H\textsuperscript{δ} and H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons. For structure refinement distance restraints were estimated based on the intensities of these NOEs. The N-terminal amine proton at K\textsuperscript{26} was not observed, presumably due to its exchange with water.

**Chemical Shift Perturbations.** The chemical shifts of the base aromatic H\textsuperscript{6}/H\textsuperscript{8} protons and deoxyribose H\textsuperscript{1} protons were compared with the corresponding unmodified duplex (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). Small chemical shift perturbations were observed at the peptide-conjugate region of the duplex. The chemical shifts of the amino acid nonexchangeable protons were also compared with those of the free KWKK peptide, which was assumed to exist as a random coil. All H\textsuperscript{ɛ} protons had shifts \( \geq 0.18 \) ppm when the peptide was conjugated with DNA. The chemical shift perturbations of the H\textsuperscript{δ} protons upon conjugation to the DNA were notable. The K\textsuperscript{26} and K\textsuperscript{28} side chain protons also shifted significantly. K\textsuperscript{29} exhibited broad resonances. The side chain resonances were shifted \(< 0.1 \) ppm. The remarkable chemical shift differences for K\textsuperscript{28} as compared to the free KWKK peptide suggested K\textsuperscript{28} adopted an ordered conformation. However, no interresidue NOE was found for the nonexchangeable protons of either K\textsuperscript{28} or K\textsuperscript{29}. The chemical shift perturbations of the W\textsuperscript{27} indolyl resonances were \( \sim 0.1 \) ppm.

**Deoxyribose and Phosphodiester Backbone Angle Conformations.** Evaluation of the DQF-COSY spectrum revealed that for all nucleotides the pseudorotation of the deoxyribose rings was either C\textsubscript{1}-exo or C\textsubscript{2}-endo. The \( ^3\)P resonances exhibited a small chemical shift dispersion, centered in the spectral region characteristic of B-form DNA duplexes. The individual resonances were not assigned.

**Location of the Trimethylene Linkage and Amino Acids.** NOE cross-peaks associated with the trimethylene linkage and the amino acid protons are shown in Figure 5. The trimethylene (X\textsuperscript{25}) and amino acids K\textsuperscript{26} and W\textsuperscript{27} exhibited NOEs only with the minor groove protons A\textsuperscript{8} H\textsuperscript{2}, G\textsuperscript{9} H\textsuperscript{1}' and G\textsuperscript{9} H\textsuperscript{4}, and C\textsuperscript{18} H\textsuperscript{1}'. No interresidue NOEs were observed for K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29}. Table 1 lists the NOEs between the nucleotides, trimethylene linkage, and the amino acids that were converted to distance restraints used for the structure refinement.

**Structure Refinement.** A total of 447 distance restraints, including 258 intranucleotide and 189 internucleotide restraints, were calculated from the intensities of NOE cross-peaks using MARDIGRAS. These included 34 restraints between nucleotides, the trimethylene linkage, and the amino acids. For the adenine H\textsuperscript{2} protons, which exhibit long T\textsubscript{1} relaxation times, the calculated distances, especially involving A\textsuperscript{8} H\textsuperscript{2}, were examined. These distances were close to or shorter than the lower bound of the corresponding NOE distance restraints. A total of 31 distance restraints were derived from the NOE intensities of the amide correlations and were used to refine the conformations of K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29}. Since the NMR data suggested that the DNA duplex maintained a B-type structure, a total of 52 empirical distance restraints arising from Watson–Crick base pairing interactions were used, as were 200 empirical torsion angle restraints that were applied to refine the nonterminal nucleotides. The restraints used for the structure refinement are summarized in Table 2 and detailed in Table S3 of the Supporting Information.

The rMD calculations for the DNA–KWKK conjugate were performed from initial A- and B-form starting structures.
Ten final structures, five each for A- and B-DNA starting structures, with lowest energies, were obtained. All structures, overlaid in Figure 6, converged as indicated by pairwise rmsd comparisons (Table 2). However, the rMD calculations did not converge for K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29}. Lysine K\textsuperscript{28} was likely ordered, but lysine K\textsuperscript{29} and K\textsuperscript{26} did not converge, which was attributed to the lack of interresidue distance restraints.

**DISCUSSION**

If not repaired, DPCs interfere with DNA processing (1). An attractive mechanism for repair involves the initial formation of DNA–peptide conjugates via proteolytic digestion (2–4), which serve as substrates for nucleotide excision repair (6, 7, 25). This is supported by observations showing that inhibition of nuclear proteosomal protein degradation reduces the repair of DPCs (2), that the proteolytically active 20S core of the 26S
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Table 1: Interresidue NOEs between Nucleotide, Trimethylene, and Amino Acids Used for Structure Refinement

| atom     | NOEs                                                                 |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| A\textsuperscript{8} H2 | W\textsuperscript{27} H7 (s); W\textsuperscript{27} H6 (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{41} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{42} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{6} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{7} (w) |
| A\textsuperscript{8} H1\textsuperscript{'} | X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{41} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{42} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{6} (w); W\textsuperscript{27} H2 (m) |
| G\textsuperscript{7} H5\textsuperscript{'} | W\textsuperscript{27} H2 (m); W\textsuperscript{27} H4 (w); W\textsuperscript{27} H5 (w) |
| G\textsuperscript{19} H1\textsuperscript{'} | X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{6} (w) |
| G\textsuperscript{19} H4\textsuperscript{'} | K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{41} (m); K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{42} (m); K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{F} (m); K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{F} (w) |
| K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{0} | X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{F} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{41} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{42} (s) |
| K\textsuperscript{26} H\textsuperscript{12} | X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{12} (w) |
| W\textsuperscript{27} H2 | G\textsuperscript{7} H\textsuperscript{4} (m); G\textsuperscript{7} H\textsuperscript{5} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{F} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{F} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{12} (w) |
| W\textsuperscript{27} H6 | C\textsuperscript{18} H\textsuperscript{1} (w); C\textsuperscript{18} H\textsuperscript{4} (m); C\textsuperscript{18} H\textsuperscript{5} (w) |
| W\textsuperscript{27} H7 | G\textsuperscript{7} H\textsuperscript{1} (m); C\textsuperscript{18} H\textsuperscript{1} (m); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{12} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{F} (w); X\textsuperscript{25} H\textsuperscript{F} (w) |

Table 2: rMD Restraints and Statistical Analysis of rMD Converged Structures of the DNA–Peptide Conjugate

| restraint type                                      | total restraints |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| total restraints for rMD calculation                | 730              |
| experimental NOE distance restraints                | 447              |
| interresidue NOE restraints                         | 258              |
| interresidue NOE restraints                         | 189              |
| NOEs between DNTP, tether, and AA\textsuperscript{a} | 34               |
| estimated NOE restraints of amide protons           | 31               |
| empirical base pair restraints                      | 52               |
| empirical torsion angle restraints                  | 200              |
| backbone torsion angle restraints                   | 100              |
| sugar torsion angle restraints                      | 100              |
| structure statistics \(^6^)                         |                  |
| NMR weight factor (R\textsuperscript{w}) (×10\textsuperscript{−3}) | 6.61             |
| interresidue NOEs                                  | 5.77             |
| interresidue NOEs                                  | 7.98             |
| rmsd of refined structures                         | 0.59             |
| rmsd without K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29} | 0.48             |

\(^a\)DNT means nucleotides; AA means amino acids.

\(^6\)Mixing time used to calculate R\textsuperscript{w} was 250 ms. R\textsuperscript{w} = \(\sum(a_0)^{1/6} - (a_\lambda)^{1/6}\)/(\(a_0)^{1/6}\), where \(a_0\) and \(a_\lambda\) are the intensities of observed (nonzero) and calculated NOE cross-peaks, respectively.
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**Figure 6:** Superposition of the refined structures calculated from either A- or B-DNA starting structures. In the structural refinement, K\textsuperscript{28} and K\textsuperscript{29} did not converge, which was attributed to the lack of interresidue distance restraints.

**Figure 7:** An expanded view of the average structure from the minor groove. Blue, green, and red sticks represent oligodeoxynucleotide, trimethylene linkage, and peptide, respectively.
proteasome localizes to the nucleus (3, 4), and that inhibition of proteasome function with lactacystin results in the inhibition of repair of formaldehyde-induced DPCs, in normal, XP-A, and XP-F fibroblasts (2). One widely used DNA−peptide conjugate involves conjugation of KWKK to N²-dG via the γ-OH-PdG lesion, followed by chemical reduction to yield the reduced conjugate (16). Because its biological processing has been examined, the structure of this DNA−peptide conjugate is of interest.

**Structure of the Reduced DNA−Peptide Conjugate.** In this sequence, the KWKK peptide orients in the minor groove, and the DNA duplex maintains a B-type conformation (Figure 6). Amino acids K²⁶, W²⁷, and K²⁸ form an ordered structure, which is consistent with their sharp NMR resonances and the significant chemical shift perturbations observed for these amino acids. In contrast, the N-terminal lysine K²⁹ remains disordered and exposed to solvent. Its interactions with the DNA, if any, are weak. The W²⁷ indolyl group is not intercalated (Figures 7 and 8). Thus, this N²-dG peptide conjugate differs from peptide conjugates formed via a β-elimination mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of the N-terminal amine at the C¹' carbon at the aldehyde of abasic sites (9−11). The targeting of the KWK peptide for abasic sites was attributed to stacking of the tryptophan residue between bases adjacent to the abasic site. This was supported by studies demonstrating that KWK binding to depurinated DNA was accompanied by increased fluorescence quenching (10).

The trimethylene tether of the DNA−KWKK conjugate has three potentially stable conformations: the W-, U-, and skew U-conformers (48, 49). The refined structure demonstrates that the trimethylene tether prefers the W-conformer. This places the G⁷ amino nitrogen and the K²⁶ N-terminal amino nitrogen in the trans conformation with respect to the C⁴ or C⁷ of the tether, respectively. The adoption of the W-conformer appears to be favored because it minimizes the steric interactions of the tether with G⁷ and K²⁶.

The thermal stability of this KWKK conjugate is notable since there is no evidence for tryptophan intercalation. It seems plausible that electrostatic interactions involving the ε-NH₃⁺ groups of the lysines, which are anticipated to be protonated at neutral pH, contribute to the thermal stability. The formation of salt bridges between the ε-NH₃⁺ groups and the DNA phosphates does not seem to occur. The DNA ³¹P resonances do not exhibit large chemical shift perturbations, and the chemical shifts of lysine H⁺ protons remain similar to those in the free peptide KWKK. Moreover, in isothermal rMD calculations, all peptide amino groups remain > 5 Å away from any DNA phosphodieste.

This DNA−KWKK conjugate provides clues as to the thermodynamic and structural properties that might lead to other stable minor groove DNA−peptide conjugates. The failure of the tryptophan moiety to intercalate implies that aromatic amino acids such as Phe, Trp, and Tyr are not necessary to stabilize minor groove peptide conjugates. On the other hand, the favorable electrostatic interactions involving the ε-NH₃⁺ groups of lysines suggest that the presence of arginines in the peptide might also stabilize the minor groove peptide conjugate. Notably, the peptide RWRR also forms a stable conjugate with DNA containing the 1,N²-γ-OH-PdG adduct (16). Honig and co-workers (50) suggested that it is energetically less costly to remove the charged arginine guanidinium group from water than it is to remove the smaller amino group of a lysine (50). This argues that the RWRR conjugate might be of greater thermal stability than is the KWKK conjugate. Citing the nucleosome core particle, the same group (50) also proposed that the binding of arginines to regions of high negative electrostatic potential in narrow minor grooves (51), a consequence of isoelectric focusing (52), provides an important mode for protein−DNA recognition (50). Monovalent cations localize in the minor groove of narrow A-tracts in DNA (53−57). Other DNA-binding proteins that contain positively charged amino acids are reported to form DPCs (58, 59). The interactions between oligodeoxynucleotide and the KWKK peptide observed for this conjugate are anticipated to be present in the native reversible DNA−peptide conjugates induced by the 1,N²-γ-OH-PdG adduct.

**Comparison to Formaldehyde-Induced DPCs.** DPCs induced by the formaldehyde-dG adduct (2, 60−66) contain a methylene tether (dG-N²-CH₂-N=protein). They are unstable and have half-life times of several hours in vitro (2). Compared to the present DPC containing the trimethylene tether, those induced by the formaldehyde−dG adduct locate the peptide closer to the duplex. This might allow three to four amino acids to be accommodated in the minor groove. The structures of saturated aldehyde-induced DPCs that contain a methylene tether may also be modeled by the trimethylene conjugate.

**Biological Implications.** Repair of DNA−peptide cross-links has been investigated using purified NER proteins from both prokaryotic (6, 8) and human systems (67). These investigations consistently revealed that peptide lesions, less than or equal to 12 amino acids in length, were excellent substrates for these excision repair complexes. In both systems, rates of incision were roughly comparable with other efficiently recognized substrates, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon adducts or (6−4) photoproducts. These robust catalytic efficiencies are observed on DNAs containing either abasic site-linked peptides (8, 67) or γ-OH-PdG-linked peptides (6) even though these lesions stabilize, rather than destabilize duplex DNA. These data are similar to prior studies that investigated the structure−activity relationship of psoralen monoad ducted DNAs and the UvrABC complex (68−70). Although the psoralen monoad duct stabilized the DNA duplex, the UvrABC system recognized and incised it.
with a very high efficiency, while in contrast, it was a very poor substrate for the human NER complex (70).

To date, repair of DNA–peptide cross-links in which the peptide linkage is via an acrolein-mediated dG has only been analyzed using the prokaryotic NER system (6). A direct comparison of the relative kinetics of UvrABC incision measured using either KWWK– or KFEKKHHSHRGY–DNA conjugates or the T4-pdg DPC, conjugated via either abasic site or γ-OH-PdG chemistry, revealed that all of the UvrABC proteins were necessary to catalyze the incision reactions (6). The incision kinetics of the KFEKKHHSHRGY–DNA conjugate at either the abasic or γ-OH-PdG sites were significantly greater than that of DNA containing a fluorescein-conjugated dT (7). However, the KFEKKHHSHRGY conjugate attached via an abasic site was incised three times more efficiently than when conjugated via γ-OH-PdG. Thus, it seems likely that structural and biophysical differences arising due to the length of the peptide conjugates, or their sites of attachment to DNA, are likely to modulate their recognition and repair by the nucleotide excision repair apparatus.

If not repaired, these DNA–KWWK conjugates are mutagenic, and their potential to cause mutations depends on the site of peptide attachment. Compared to the reduced N2-propyl alcohol adduct, a surrogate for the reversible N2-propylaldehyde rearrangement product arising from the γ-OH-PdG adduct (21–23), this DNA–KWWK conjugate increases miscoding (8). Replication bypass in the COS-7 site-specific mutagenesis assay results in mutations at a frequency of ∼8.4%, particularly G → T transversions (26). DNA polymerase κ, which bypasses several minor groove dG adducts in an error-free manner (71–73), also catalyzes efficient, error-free bypass of this KWWK conjugate (8). The E. coli ortholog of pol κ, pol IV, pauses opposite and three nucleotides beyond the site of this lesion, with incorporation being accurate. In contrast, pol ν, an A-family polymerase involved in the bypass of bulky major groove lesions, is blocked by this KWWK conjugate (74). Neither the E. coli replicative polymerase, pol III, nor the damage-inducible polymerases, pol II and pol V, efficiently incorporate nucleotides opposite this DNA–KWWK conjugate (8). Enal-induced DPCs can also involve the amino groups of dC and dA. The DNA–KWWK conjugate arising from the 1,4,6-dA acrolein-induced adduct is less mutagenic than that arising from this DNA–KWWK conjugate (8). Moreover, it results in fewer mutations compared to the γ-OH-PdA lesion (8). DNA pol ν catalyzes efficient high-fidelity bypass of the conjugate arising from the γ-OH-PdA adduct (74). The dA (and dC) conjugates presumably place the KWWK in the more spacious minor groove whereas this γ-OH-PdG conjugate locates the peptide in the minor groove. The observation that the mutagenic potential depends on the site of peptide attachment suggests that the structural consequences of the corresponding dA (and dC) conjugates may differentially impact DNA processing, as compared to the presently studied lesion.

CONCLUSIONS

The structure of an oligodeoxynucleotide duplex containing the KWWK peptide conjugated site-specifically via a fully reduced trimethylene tether, a model for the native linkage in the presence of the γ-OH-PdG adduct, revealed that the peptide was located in the minor groove. Significantly, the thermal stability of the DNA duplex was increased. This was attributed to electrostatic interactions involving the protonated lysine ε-NH3+ groups, rather than intercalation of the tryptophan moiety into the duplex. Amino acids K20, W27, and K28 were ordered and located in the minor groove, whereas K29 was disorderly and likely exposed to solvent.
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