The Effect of Myco-Biocontrol Based Formulates on Yield, Physiology and Secondary Products of Organically Grown Basil

Gabriel-Ciprian Teliban 1, Marian Burducea 1,2*, Valtcho D. Zheljazkov 3, Ivayla Dincheva 4, Ilian Badjakov 4, Neculai Munteanu 1, Gabriela Mihalache 1,2, Alexandru Cojocaru 1, Lorena-Diana Popa 5 and Vasile Stoleru 1,*

1 Department of Horticulture, “Ion Ionescu de la Brad” University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, 3 M. Sadoveanu, 700440 Iasi, Romania; gabrielteliban@uaiasi.ro (G.-C.T.); marian.burducea@yahoo.com (M.B.); nmunte@uaiasi.ro (N.M.); gabriela.mihalache@uaic.ro (G.M.); acojocaru@uaiasi.ro (A.C.)
2 Research and Development Station for Aquaculture and Aquatic Ecology, Integrated Center of Environmental Science Studies in the North East Region, “Alexandru Ioan Cuza” University, Carol I Blvd, 700506 Iasi, Romania
3 Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, 3050 SW Campus Way, 109 Crop Science Building, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA; valtcho.jeliazkov@oregonstate.edu
4 Plant Genetic Research Group, AgroBioInstitute, Agricultural Academy, 8 Dragan Tsankov Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria; ivadincsheva@yahoo.com (I.D.); ibadjakov@gmail.com (I.B.)
5 Agricultural Research and Development Station, 377 Principala Street, 617415 Secuieni Neamt, Romania; dy.hemp420@gmail.com

* Correspondence: vstoleru@uaiasi.ro; Tel.: +40-332-421680

Abstract: The development of organic farming as a result of increasing consumer preference for organic food has led to the development and registration of new pest-control products for certified organic production. In this study, the effects of three biocontrol products containing spores and mycelium of Arthrobotrys oligospora—Artis®; Beauveria bassiana—Bora®, and Coniothyrium minitans—Öko-ni® were tested on four basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) cultivars: ‘Aromat de Buzau’, ‘Serafim’, ‘Macedon’ and ‘Cuisoare’. The application of Öko-ni® increased basil yields by 8% relative to Control. The application of Bora® increased chlorophyll content of basil leaves by 2% and the activity of photosynthesis by 66% relative to the Control. Basil essential oil (EO) content was increased by 18% with the application of Artis® and by 34% with the application of Bora® and Öko-ni®, respectively. The content of phenolic compounds analyzed by HPLC varied; caffeic acid concentration was higher in the plants treated with Öko-ni®, hyperoside, isoquercitrin and rutin concentrations were higher in those treated with Artis®, while the quercitrin content was higher in Bora®-treated plants. The two main EO constituents that were identified were linalool and methyl chavicol in ‘Aromat de Buzau’, linalool and eugenol in ‘Serafim’, neral and geranial in ‘Macedon’, also linalool and eugenol in ‘Cuisoare’. The investigated myco-biocontrol products had positive effects on basil fresh biomass and EO content and also influenced the content of phenolic compounds.
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1. Introduction

Basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) is one of the most widely organically grown species in the world [1]. Basil produces bioactive substances such as essential oil (EO) and phenolic compounds with great importance to drug, perfumery and food industries [2,3]. These substances, which are produced in the plant secondary metabolism pathways, have been shown to play a protective role against pests or UV radiation, and their concentration in plant biomass vary as a function of environmental factors such as drought or extreme conditions.
temperatures [4,5]. Basil can be successfully grown both in the open field and in greenhouses. Both the cultivar and the growing conditions influence the synthesis of bioactive compounds. According to Zheljazkov et al. (2008), basil cultivars have a great variability of phenotype and chemotype. The European chemotype is characterized by a high content of linalool and methyl chavicol, Reunion chemotype has a rich methyl cinnamate content, while chemotypes grown in Eastern Europe, Russia, and many parts of Asia and North Africa have a high eugenol content [2]. Selection of appropriate genetic material and growth methods can lead to better production with desired aromatic and phenolic profiles [6–8]. Organic farming and organic food production have been expanding rapidly around the world. For example, certified organic land in the European Union (EU) has increased by 70%, reaching 7.5% (13.4 million hectares) of the total cultivated land in the last 10 years [9]. The sales of organic food and non-food products in the United States reached a record $55.1 billion in 2019 [10]. Of these, $50.1 billion were organic food sales and $5 billion were organic non-food products. The growth rate for organic (4.6% for organic food and 9.2% for non-food sales) outperformed the overall U.S. food sales increase of 2% [10].

In the EU, organic farming is supported by the Common Agricultural Policy. The role of organic farming is to provide quality food while protecting the environment [11–15]. Synthetic pesticides and fertilizers, GMO, antibiotics, synthetic growth hormones, artificial flavors, colors and preservation, sewage sludge and irradiation are not allowed in certified organic production. The EU has launched a new strategy entitled Farm to Fork which aims to increase organic crops production up to 25% by 2030. It also proposes reducing by 50% the use of pesticides [16,17] and dedicating 10% of the agricultural area to high-diversity landscapes, which facilitates alternatives to chemical pest control as required in organic farming [18].

Biological control products for pests and diseases management can be used in organic cropping systems, once they have been registered as such. These products are based on the ability of viruses, bacteria, fungi, nematodes or insects to biologically control crops parasites such as nematodes, insects or fungi [19]. This study focused on biological control products based on microorganisms with action on nematodes, insects and pathogenic fungi. For example, *Arthrobotrys oligospora* can be used as a nematicide, being a nematode-trapping fungus that lives mainly as saprophyte. This species enters nematodes by three-dimensional networks through processes of adhesion, penetration and immobilization. Currently, about 100 species of parasitic nematodes are known to reduce the crop growth and yields, and therefore, nematode control is economically important [20].

In terms of insect pest control in crops, good results have been obtained with entomopathogenic fungal species (EPF) *Beauveria bassiana*, *Akanthomyces* spp., *Metarhizium anisopliae*, and *Mitosporic hypocrean* fungi [21,22]. EPF species can target Lepidoptera, Coleoptera, Hemiptera, and Diptera [23]. For example, Zafar et al. [24] showed that *Metarhizium anisopliae* can kill up to 90% of the population of diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*), a pathogen that affects cabbage culture, by weakening the immune system of hosts through reduced synthesis of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase and phenoloxidase. *Beauveria bassiana* has been used successfully as a biological agent to control western flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis*) and was efficient in plants such as impatiens and cucumbers [25,26]. Moreover, *Beauveria bassiana* has been shown to be effective in combating western flower thrips at different life stages [27].

Among the fungal diseases, *Sclerotinia* sp., a filamentous fungus that can infect about 400 species of crop plants, causes yield losses of up to 50% [28]. *Coniothyrium minitans* is a sclerotial mycoparasite that has been reported as an effective biological control agent against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in a number of crops including lettuce, celery, sunflower, bean, and oilseed rape [29].

Numerous studies have shown the effectiveness of biological control agents on investigated pathogens; however, there is insufficient information about their effect on crop physiology and the accumulation of plant primary and secondary metabolites. For exam-
ple, some studies have shown that post-endophytic colonization with *Metarhizium robertsii* can stimulate plant growth by transfer insect-derived nitrogen to plants [30]. Another example of how biocontrol agents can stimulate physiological processes is *Trichoderma* spp., which significantly increased the rate of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance in rice, increased water holding capacity and therefore, enhanced drought stress resistance [31–33]. These positive effects biocontrol agents on plants can mitigate the negative consequences associated with the ban of synthetic pesticides and fertilizers in organic cropping systems. In this context, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of three biological control products based on microorganisms (*Arthrobotrys oligospora*, *Beauveria bassiana*, and *Coniothyrium minitans*) on biomass yields, physiology and the synthesis of phenolic compounds and EO in four organically grown basil varieties (‘Aromat de Buzau’, ‘Serafim’, ‘Macedon’ and ‘Cuisoare’).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Biological Material and Experimental Site

In this study, four cultivars of common basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) were used: ‘Aromat de Buzau’ (AB)—a cultivar with green leaves and white flowers; ‘Serafim’ (S)—a cultivar with red leaves and pink flowers; ‘Macedon’ (M)—a cultivar with green leaves, white flowers and lemon scent; and ‘Cuisoare’ (C)—a cultivar with green leaves, purple flowers and clove scent. All cultivars were obtained from Vegetable Research Development Station, Buzau.

The experiment was carried out on basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) grown in an open field in 2018 and 2019. The seeds were germinated in the greenhouse on April 16, and one month after germination (May 21) the plantlets were transplanted in the experimental field (“Vasile Adamachi” Research Farm of the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Iasi, Romania). The distance between the plants was 15 cm in rows and 45 cm between the rows resulting in a density of 14.8 plants m\(^{-2}\). The crop management practices carried out during the vegetation period were those recommended by the literature [5]. The plants were harvested at full flowering at the beginning of August. Weed control was conducted by removing weeds manually, while irrigation was done only when the amount of water available in the soil dropped below 80%. The temperature, humidity and amount of precipitation during the experiment, in both research years, are presented in Table 1.

| Month | Temperature of Air (°C) | Humidity (%) | Precipitation (mm) |
|-------|------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
|       | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| April | 15.3 | 10.7 | 60 | 66 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| May   | 18.9 | 16.6 | 61 | 77 | 10.9 | 74.9 |
| June  | 21.3 | 22.7 | 72 | 59 | 161.9 | 8.4 |
| July  | 21.9 | 22.0 | 77 | 67 | 136.4 | 3.8 |
| August | 23.0  | 22.1 | 67  | 67  | 5.6  | 35.1 |

The soil at the experimental site was anthropic cambic chernozem with the following physico-chemical characteristics: 6% silt, 32% clay; pH 7.2; EC 495 μS·cm\(^{-1}\); 2.86% humus; 2.8 g·kg\(^{-1}\) N, 32 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) available P, 218 mg·kg\(^{-1}\) available K, 4.1 g·kg\(^{-1}\) CaCO\(_3\); C/N 5.93.

2.2. Experimental Design

In this study, the experimental protocol included 2 factors: (1) cultivar at four levels (‘Aromat de Buzau’ (AB), ‘Serafim’ (S), ‘Macedon’ (M), and ‘Cuisoare’ (C), and (2) three myco-biocontrol products (Artis®, Bora® and Öko-ni®), and Control (untreated). A split plot design with three replicates was arranged for treatment distribution in the field,
and each experimental unit covered a 4.05 m² surface area that included 60 individual basil plants.

The organic myco-biocontrol formulations were purchased from Kwizda Agro, and all treatments were applied by spraying the soil 5 days before transplanting at a rate of 1.5 kg·ha⁻¹.

According to the manufacturer, the products contained the spores and mycelium of three different fungi as follows: Artis®—Arthrobotrys oligospora AO1 (NCAIM 153/2012) strain (5 m/m%), 5 × 10⁵ CFU·g⁻¹; Bora®—Beauveria bassiana BB1 (NCAIM 128/2010) strain (5 m/m%), 1.5 × 10⁷ CFU·g⁻¹ and Öko-ni®—Coniothyrium minitans K1 (NCAIM 51/2004) strain (5 m/m%) 1.5 × 10⁷ CFU·g⁻¹. The products are allowed to be used in organic agriculture to combat soil pests (nematodes, insects and fungi) but also to stimulate plant growth.

2.3. Yield Determination

Fresh biomass yield per hectare was determined by harvesting the plants at 5 cm aboveground and taking the fresh weight with a Kern analytical balance with an error of 0.01 g. The yields were calculated and presented as t·ha⁻¹.

2.4. Total Chlorophyll Content Determination

The total chlorophyll content was measured with a non-destructive portable chlorophyll content meter (CCM-200 plus Opti-Sciences Chlorophyll Content Meter, ADC Bio-Scientific Ltd., Global House, Geddings Road, Hoddesdon, Herts, EN11 0NT, UK), the readings being expressed as CCI units. The measurements were done one day before harvest in the time interval 9–10 AM. For each experimental variant, 9 readings from 15 leaves were performed.

2.5. Photosynthesis Determination

Photosynthesis (µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), was measured with a portable compact system LCi (ADC Bioscientific UK Ltd., Global House, Geddings Road, Hoddesdon, Herts, EN11 0NT, UK), with a Broad Leaf Chamber, with an area of 6.4 cm², between 9–10 AM. The measurements were performed the day before harvesting, from 9 to 10 AM.

2.6. Phenolic Compounds Extraction and Chromatographic Separation

Phenolic compounds were analyzed from a 5% (w/v) leaf extract in absolute methanol, with Agilent 1100 HPLC Series (Agilent, Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The column used for separation was Zorbax SB-C18 100 × 3.0 mm i.d., 3.5 µm particle. The temperature used for the detection of the studied compounds was 48 °C, and the working mode was UV. The mobile phase was a binary gradient of methanol and 0.1% acetic acid solution (v/v). The elution started with a linear gradient, beginning with 5% methanol and ending at 42% methanol, for 35 min; isocratic elution followed for the next 3 min with 42% methanol. The flow rate was 1 mL/min and the injection volume was 5 µL. Quantitative determination of selected compounds (caffeic acid, hyperoside, isoquercitrin, rutin, and quercitrin) was performed using the external standard method. Five-point plot calibration curves in the range of 0.5–50 µg·mL⁻¹ with linearity R² > 0.999 were used. The quantification limit for all compounds was 0.5 µg·mL⁻¹. Retention times were: caffeic acid 6.52 min, hyperoside 19.32 min, isoquercitrin 20.29 min, rutin 20.76 min, and quercitrin 23.64 min. Standards of rutin and isoquercitrin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), while caffeic acid, hyperoside, and quercitrin, from Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany), with a purity ≥98.0%. Methanol of HPLC analytical-grade and acetic acid of HPLC analytical-grade, were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) [34].

2.7. Essential Oil (EO) Extraction and Chromatographic Separation

The EO from fresh basil herbage (leaves, stems, and flowers) was extracted by hydrodistillation from 50 g of material in a Clevenger type apparatus, using a ratio of 1/4
plant material/water in 3 L flasks. The extraction lasted 3 h and the oil obtained (mL oil·g⁻¹ of fresh weight) was expressed as % fresh weight (f.w.).

The chemical composition of the EOs was determined by GC/FID—GC/MS. The system used was Agilent 5975C MSD coupled to Agilent 7890A GC (Agilent Technologies Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The column (30 m, 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm) used was Agilent J&W HP-5MS, and the gas carrier (1.0 mL min⁻¹) was helium (purity 99.99%). The operating conditions were: oven temperature 60 °C (3 min), 1 °C min⁻¹ to 80 °C (3 min); 5 °C min⁻¹ to 280 °C (5 min); flow rate 1.2 mL min⁻¹ (He); injector T = 260 °C; FID T = 270 °C; 1 µL injection volume at split ratio 20:1. The mass spectrometry conditions were: ionization voltage 70 eV, ion source temperature 230 °C, transfer line temperature 280 °C, solvent delay 4.00 min, and mass range: 50–500 Da. The MS was operated in scan mode. One (1) µL of EO was diluted in n-hexane (10%, v/v) and injected into the GC/MS system. Triplicate injections were performed simultaneous using the same column and operational conditions in order to obtain the same elution order with GC/MS.

Compounds were identified by comparing mass spectra of compounds in sample with those from NIST 08 and Adams mass spectra libraries, by AMDIS (Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System), and by comparing literature and estimated Kovats (retention) indices. A mixture of homologous series of normal alkanes from C₈ to C₄₀ in hexane, under the same above-mentioned conditions, was used for determination. The percentage ratio of EOs components was computed by the normalization method of the GC/FID peak areas, and average values were taken into further consideration [35,36].

2.8. Statistical Analysis

The results were reported as means ± standard errors of the two years’ experiment (2018–2019). Descriptive statistics and Shapiro–Wilk test were performed to assess the normality. The ANOVA test was used to highlight the statistical significance of the differences. Where the differences were significant, the Tukey (p < 0.05) multiple comparison test was used. The software used was SPSS v21 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

The influence of cultivar and myco-biocontrol product on fresh yield, EO content and some physiological parameters (content of assimilatory pigments and photosynthesis rate) is presented in Table 2. The highest fresh herbage yield was obtained for ‘Cuisoare’ (+19%) followed by ‘Macedon’ (+14%) as compared to the average yield for the cultivars, while the lowest herbage yields were obtained for ‘Serafim’ (−18%). In terms of EO content, ‘Macedon’ produced the highest amount (+44%), while ‘Serafim’ produced the lowest (−20%) compared to the average oil content for cultivars. Assimilatory pigment content was almost double in ‘Serafim’ compared to the other cultivars, while photosynthesis was almost twice as high in ‘Aromat de Buzau’ and ‘Serafim’ compared to that of ‘Macedon’ and ‘Cuisoare’.

The application of biocontrol products Ōko-ni®, Artis® and Bora® increased basil fresh herbage yields compared to the control. A stimulating effect was also observed on the EO accumulation, with increases of 34% in Ōko-ni® and Bora® and 18% in Artis®. The content of assimilatory pigments increased only in Bora® (2.5%), corresponding to the largest increase in the rate of photosynthesis (66%) compared with the control.

The interaction effects of cultivar and the biocontrol products are presented in Figures 1–4. In terms of fresh yield (Figure 1), the highest value was recorded for the ‘Cuisoare’ treated with Ōko-ni® (C × Ōko-ni®), while the EO content was highest in ‘Macedon’ treated with Bora® and Ōko-ni® (M × Bora® and M × Ōko-ni®) (Figure 2). In terms of physiological parameters, the combination of the two factors showed that the highest values of assimilatory pigment contents were recorded in S × Control and S × Bora® (Figure 3), and photosynthesis was significantly higher in S × Artis® (Figure 4).
Table 2. Influence of cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulations on fresh yield, essential oil (EO) content, assimilatory pigments and photosynthesis rate of basil.

| Treatment                        | Fresh Yield (t·ha⁻¹) | Essential Oil Content (%) | Assimilatory Pigments (CCI) | Photosynthesis Rate (µmols·m⁻²·s⁻¹) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                  |                       |                            |                            |                                      |
| Cultivar                          |                       |                            |                            |                                      |
| 'Aromat de Buzau'                 | 25.41 ± 0.33 c         | 1.20 ± 0.02 b              | 11.01 ± 0.18 d             | 4.77 ± 0.12 a                        |
| 'Serafim'                        | 24.31 ± 0.12 d         | 1.05 ± 0.06 c              | 25.21 ± 0.59 a             | 3.70 ± 0.19 b                        |
| 'Macedon'                        | 34.14 ± 0.52 b         | 1.90 ± 0.14 a              | 16.34 ± 0.45 b             | 1.27 ± 0.04 d                        |
| 'Cuisoare'                       | 35.82 ± 0.59 a         | 1.12 ± 0.02 c              | 14.55 ± 0.35 c             | 1.82 ± 0.07 c                        |
| Myco-biocontrol formulations      |                       |                            |                            |                                      |
| Control                          | 28.36 ± 1.24 c         | 1.08 ± 0.04 c              | 17.26 ± 0.33 ab            | 2.09 ± 0.08 b                        |
| Artis®                           | 30.42 ± 1.38 b         | 1.28 ± 0.10 b              | 16.30 ± 0.34 ab            | 3.40 ± 0.23 a                        |
| Bora®                            | 30.09 ± 1.49 b         | 1.45 ± 0.16 a              | 17.70 ± 0.43 a             | 3.47 ± 0.18 a                        |
| Öko-ni®                          | 30.81 ± 1.86 a         | 1.45 ± 0.14 a              | 15.85 ± 0.33 b             | 2.60 ± 0.17 b                        |

Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at p < 0.05 according to Tukey’s test.

Figure 1. Interaction effects of cultivar and myco-biocontrol product on fresh yield of basil. Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at p < 0.05 according to Tukey’s test. AB—'Aromat de Buzau'; S—'Serafim'; M—'Macedon'; C—'Cuisoare'.

Figure 2. Interaction effects of cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulate on essential oil content of basil. Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at p < 0.05 according to Tukey’s test. AB—'Aromat de Buzau'; S—'Serafim'; M—'Macedon'; C—'Cuisoare'.
In this study, five phenolic compounds were selected for quantification, based on previous studies: caffeic acid, hyperoside, isoquercitrin, rutin and quercitrin. The results of the influence of the cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulates on the synthesis of phenolic compounds are shown in Table 3. Hyperoside was identified only in cv. ‘Macedon’. Moreover, isoquercitrin concentration in this cultivar was the highest, three folds higher than in cv. ‘Serafim’. The highest content of caffeic acid was obtained in ‘Aromat de Buzau’, while caffeic acid concentration in ‘Cuisoare’ was about three folds lower compared to the other cultivars. On the other hand, the highest content of rutin was identified in ‘Cuisoare’, while the highest content of quercitrin was identified in ‘Aromat de Buzau’.

Figure 3. Interaction effects of cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulate on assimilatory pigments of basil. Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at \( p < 0.05 \) according to Tukey’s test. AB—‘Aromat de Buzau’; S—‘Serafim’; M—‘Macedon’; C—‘Cuisoare’.

Figure 4. Interaction effects of cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulate on photosynthesis of basil. Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at \( p < 0.05 \) according to Tukey’s test. AB—‘Aromat de Buzau’; S—‘Serafim’; M—‘Macedon’; C—‘Cuisoare’.
Table 3. Influence of cultivar and myco-biocontrol formulates on phenolic compounds of basil.

| Treatment       | Caffeic Acid | Hyperoside | Isoquercitrin | Rutin   | Quercitrin |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| 'Aromat de Buzau' | 40.57 ± 7.53 a | tr         | 324.22 ± 7.52 c | 468.18 ± 8.81 b | 57.14 ± 1.50 a |
| 'Serafim'       | 38.50 ± 6.85 b | tr         | 122.44 ± 9.41 d | 227.35 ± 19.55 c | 21.77 ± 0.99 d |
| 'Macedon'       | 33.78 ± 5.98 c | 83.04 ± 8.30 | 413.09 ± 14.04 a | 483.55 ± 59.50 c | 35.21 ± 1.69 b |
| 'Cuisoare'      | 11.47 ± 6.05 d | tr         | 342.17 ± 15.66 b | 1057.08 ± 71.54 a | 31.11 ± 3.21 c |

Myco-biocontrol formulates

| Treatment       | Caffeic Acid | Hyperoside | Isoquercitrin | Rutin   | Quercitrin |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| Control         | tr           | 18.45 ± 9.64 c | 284.78 ± 31.42 bc | 506.48 ± 83.75 c | 32.70 ± 4.02 b |
| Artis®          | 35.99 ± 6.50 c | 26.30 ± 13.75 a | 354.11 ± 34.19 a | 664.82 ± 125.96 a | 36.90 ± 3.29 a |
| Bora®           | 37.86 ± 6.69 b | 22.78 ± 11.90 b | 279.03 ± 36.41 c | 487.89 ± 88.50 d | 37.15 ± 3.96 a |
| Öko-ni®         | 51.37 ± 1.84 a | 23.19 ± 12.11 b | 291.31 ± 33.18 b | 571.21 ± 103.00 b | 36.82 ± 5.50 a |

Values with the same lower-case letters are not statistically different at $p < 0.05$ according to Tukey's test; tr—traces.

The highest concentration increases for hyperosides, isoquercitrin and rutins (42%, 24% and 31%, respectively, compared to the control) were observed in Artis®. The application of Bora® increased the content of quercitrin. The application of Öko-ni® increased the caffeic acid content, with an increase of 42% compared to Artis® and 35% compared to Bora®. In addition, in the same treatment, the content of hyperoside, isoquercitrin, rutin and quercitrin increased compared to Control by 25%, 2%, 12%, and 12%, respectively.

The EO composition of basil is presented in Tables 4–7. The chemical composition of the EO, in terms of both the number of compounds identified their composition and concentration, varied depending on the cultivar and the treatment applied. Thirty (30) compounds were identified in the EO of 'Aromat de Buzau'. Among the main constituents, β-linalool, methyl chavicol, β-elemene, germacrene D, cis-muurol-5-en-4α-ol, and epi-α-cadinol represented 86% of the total area under the curve (Table 4). Furthermore, 30 compounds were identified in the EO of 'Serafim', with main constituents Eucalyptol (1,8-Cineole), β-linalool, eugenol, β-elemene, germacrene D, and epi-α-cadinol representing 82% of the total oil (Table 5). Twenty-six (26) compounds were identified in the EO of the 'Macedon', the main ones being β-linalool, nerol, neral, geranial, β-caryophyllene, and (E)-γ-bisabolene, representing 80% of the total (Table 6). Thirty-six (36) compounds were identified in the EO of 'Cuisoare', the main constituents being β-linalool, eugenol, β-elemene, α-trans-bergamotene, germacrene D, and epi-α-cadinol, representing 80% of the total oil (Table 7).

Table 4. Influence of myco-biocontrol formulates on essential oil composition of ‘Aromat de Buzau’.

| No | Name                     | Class                          | $R_I^{calc}$ | $R_I^{lit}$ | Control | Artis® | Bora® | Öko-ni® |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| 1  | Eucalyptol (1,8-Cineole) | Bicyclic monoterpenoids        | 1031         | 1030        | 0.19    | 0.55   | 0.38  | 0.26    |
| 2  | cis-β-Ocimene            | Acyclic monoterpenes           | 1040         | 1037        | 0.12    | 0.15   | 0.17  | tr      |
| 3  | β-Linalool                | Acyclic monoterpenes           | 1095         | 1096        | 18.49   | 21.37  | 23.05 | 20.39   |
| 4  | cis-β-Thujone             | Bicyclic monoterpenes          | 1101         | 1102        | 0.18    | 0.20   | 0.16  | 0.20    |
| 5  | trans-β-Thujone           | Bicyclic monoterpenes          | 1112         | 1114        | 0.11    | 0.11   | 0.08  | 0.12    |
| 6  | (Z)-Epoxy-ocimene        | Monocyclic monoterpenoids      | 1128         | 1132        | 0.12    | 0.16   | 0.24  | 0.18    |
| 7  | Camphor                  | Bicyclic monoterpenes          | 1141         | 1145        | 0.70    | 0.79   | 0.80  | 0.90    |
| 8  | Methyl chavicol          | Phenolic monoterpenoids        | 1195         | 1196        | 47.14   | 49.49  | 49.11 | 45.80   |
| 9  | Bornyl acetate           | Bicyclic monoterpenoids        | 1284         | 1285        | 0.91    | 0.62   | 0.67  | 0.78    |
| 10 | trans-Linalool oxide acetate | Acyclic monoterpenes          | 1287         | 1288        | 0.91    | 0.62   | 0.67  | 0.78    |
| 11 | Neryl acetate            | Acyclic monoterpenes           | 1359         | 1361        | 0.19    | tr     | 0.35  | 0.18    |
| 12 | Geranyl acetate          | Acyclic monoterpenes           | 1379         | 1381        | 0.19    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 13 | β-Elemene                | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1389         | 1390        | 4.47    | 3.74   | 3.66  | 3.26    |
| 14 | Methyl eugenol           | Phenolic monoterpenoids        | 1402         | 1403        | 0.78    | 0.62   | 0.46  | 0.89    |
| 15 | β-Caryophyllene          | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes        | 1415         | 1418        | 0.68    | 0.48   | 0.53  | 0.61    |
| 16 | α-Guaiene                | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes        | 1436         | 1439        | 0.86    | 0.75   | 0.77  | 0.74    |
Table 4. Cont.

| No | Name                      | Class                        | RI<sub>calc</sub> | RI<sub>lit</sub> | Control | Artis® | Bora® | Öko-ni® |
|----|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| 17 | cis-Muurola-3,5-diene     | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1448              | 1450            | 0.10    | 0.17   | tr     |         |
| 18 | trans-Muurola-3,5-diene   | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1451              | 1453            | 0.16    | 0.14   | tr     |         |
| 19 | Humulene (α-Caryophyllene)| Monocyclic sesquiterpenes   | 1454              | 1454            | 0.46    | 0.45   | 0.39   | 0.43    |
| 20 | trans-Muurola-4(14),5-diene| Bicyclic sesquiterpenes    | 1465              | 1466            | 0.32    | 0.25   | 0.25   | 0.26    |
| 21 | Germacrene D              | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes   | 1481              | 1481            | 4.10    | 3.37   | 2.36   | 3.82    |
| 22 | Bicyclogermacrene         | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1500              | 1501            | 0.72    | 0.48   | 0.49   | 0.50    |
| 23 | 1,10-di-epi-Cubenol       | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1618              | 1619            | 0.33    | 0.37   | 0.69   | 0.31    |
| 24 | 1-epi-Cubenol             | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1627              | 1628            | 0.78    | 0.88   | 0.72   | 0.89    |

| Acyclic monoterpenes | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.17 | tr |
| Acyclic monoterpenoids | 19.58 | 21.99 | 24.06 | 21.35 |
| Monocyclic monoterpenoids | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.18 |
| Bicyclic monoterpenoids | 1.17 | 1.95 | 1.63 | 1.84 |
| Phenolic monoterpenoids | 49.05 | 50.92 | 50.33 | 47.95 |
| Monocyclic sesquiterpenes | 9.03 | 7.56 | 6.42 | 7.51 |
| Bicyclic sesquiterpenes | 6.64 | 4.88 | 5.50 | 5.46 |
| Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids | 13.29 | 11.39 | 10.66 | 14.71 |

| RI<sub>calc</sub>—calculated Kovats index; RI<sub>lit</sub>—Kovats Index by literature data [36]; tr—traces. |

Table 5. Influence of myco-biocontrol formulates on essential oil composition of ‘Serafim’.

| No | Name                      | Class                        | RI<sub>calc</sub> | RI<sub>lit</sub> | Control | Artis® | Bora® | Öko-ni® |
|----|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| 1  | α-Pinene                  | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 932               | 939             | tr      | 0.08   | 0.06   | 0.10    |
| 2  | Sabinene                  | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 969               | 974             | 0.09    | 0.11   | 0.17   | 0.21    |
| 3  | β-Myrcene                 | Acyclic monoterpenoids      | 988               | 990             | 0.16    | 0.18   | tr     | 0.11    |
| 4  | Limonene                  | Monocyclic monoterpenoids   | 1024              | 1028            | 0.13    | 0.15   | 0.17   | 0.17    |
| 5  | Eucalyptol (1,8-Cineole)  | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 1031              | 1030            | 3.31    | 4.04   | 3.75   | 3.70    |
| 6  | cis-β-Ocimene             | Acyclic monoterpenoids      | 1041              | 1037            | tr      | tr     | 0.16   | 0.16    |
| 7  | Fenchone                  | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 1083              | 1085            | 0.23    | 0.24   | 0.24   | 0.24    |
| 8  | Terpinolene               | Monocyclic monoterpenoids   | 1086              | 1088            | 0.16    | 0.16   | 0.15   | 0.15    |
| 9  | β-Linalool                | Acyclic monoterpenoids      | 1095              | 1096            | 52.74   | 54.12  | 50.77  | 51.14   |
| 10 | Camphor                   | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 1141              | 1145            | 1.10    | 1.25   | 1.16   | 1.15    |
| 11 | α-Terpineol               | Monocyclic monoterpenoids   | 1188              | 1188            | 1.13    | tr     | 1.23   | 1.22    |
| 12 | endo-Fenchyl acetate      | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 1220              | 1221            | 0.24    | 0.30   | 0.37   | 0.37    |
| 13 | cis-Carveol               | Monocyclic monoterpenoids   | 1229              | 1229            | 0.23    | 0.20   | 0.23   | 0.22    |
| 14 | Geranial                  | Acyclic monoterpenoids      | 1266              | 1267            | 0.31    | 0.28   | 0.31   | 0.31    |
| 15 | Bornyl acetate            | Bicyclic monoterpenoids     | 1254              | 1285            | 0.45    | 0.36   | 0.53   | 0.52    |
| 16 | Eugenol                   | Phenolic monoterpenoids     | 1356              | 1358            | 8.96    | 9.12   | 10.22  | 10.70   |
| 17 | α-Copaene                 | Tricyclic sesquiterpenoids  | 1375              | 1376            | 0.19    | 0.20   | 0.20   | 0.19    |
| 18 | β-Elemene                 | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids | 1389              | 1390            | 7.44    | 6.27   | 6.41   | 6.33    |
| 19 | Methyl eugenol            | Phenolic monoterpenoids     | 1403              | 1403            | 0.32    | 0.30   | 0.41   | 0.41    |
| 20 | β-Caryophyllene           | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1417              | 1419            | 1.32    | 1.48   | 1.59   | 1.57    |
| 21 | α-trans-Bergamotene       | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1433              | 1434            | 1.91    | 2.00   | 1.09   | 1.08    |
| 22 | α-Guaiene                 | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1436              | 1439            | 1.68    | 1.46   | 1.53   | 1.50    |
| 23 | Humulene (α-Caryophyllene)| Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids | 1454              | 1454            | 0.79    | 0.69   | 0.71   | 0.70    |
| 24 | Germacrene D              | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids | 1481              | 1481            | 5.56    | 5.30   | 5.84   | 5.77    |
| 25 | β-Selinene                | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1489              | 1490            | 0.40    | 0.31   | 0.27   | 0.27    |
| 26 | Bicyclogermacrene         | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1500              | 1501            | tr      | 0.38   | 0.49   | 0.57    |
| 27 | α-Bulnesene               | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1509              | 1509            | 3.21    | 2.77   | 2.87   | 2.83    |
| 28 | γ-Cadinene                | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids   | 1513              | 1513            | 1.54    | 1.44   | 1.58   | 1.56    |
### Table 5. Cont.

| No | Name               | Class                        | RI<sub>calc</sub> | RI<sub>lit</sub> | Control | Artis® | Bora® | Öko-ni® |
|----|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| 29 | 1,10-di-epi-Cubenol| Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1618              | 1628            | 0.62    | 0.54   | 0.65  | 0.64    |
| 30 | epi-α-Cadinol      | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1638              | 1640            | 4.78    | 4.24   | 4.79  | 4.73    |

RI<sub>calc</sub>—calculated Kovats index; RI<sub>lit</sub>—Kovats Index by literature data [36]; tr—traces.

### Table 6. Influence of myco-biocontrol formulations on essential oil composition of ‘Macedon’.

| No | Name               | Class                        | RI<sub>calc</sub> | RI<sub>lit</sub> | Control | Artis® | Bora® | Öko-ni® |
|----|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| 1  | cis-β-Ocimene      | Acyclic monoterpenes         | 1041              | 1037            | 0.41    | 0.31   | 0.52  | 0.37    |
| 2  | β-Linalool         | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1095              | 1096            | 3.73    | 0.94   | 1.51  | 1.60    |
| 3  | cis-β-Thujone      | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1101              | 1102            | 0.56    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 4  | trans-β-Thujone    | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1112              | 1114            | 0.22    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 5  | Camphor            | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1141              | 1145            | 0.54    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 6  | (Z)-Isocitral      | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1163              | 1164            | 1.04    | 1.50   | 1.18  | 1.19    |
| 7  | (E)-Isocitral      | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1179              | 1180            | 1.40    | 1.59   | 1.52  | 1.55    |
| 8  | Methyl chavicol    | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1195              | 1196            | 1.70    | 0.63   | 0.92  | 1.07    |
| 9  | Neral              | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1227              | 1229            | 6.82    | 9.27   | 7.95  | 6.10    |
| 10 | Geraniol           | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1235              | 1238            | 22.56   | 28.27  | 26.06 | 28.37   |
| 11 | Geraniol           | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1251              | 1252            | 1.90    | 2.33   | 2.68  | 2.51    |
| 12 | Geraniol           | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1265              | 1267            | 30.90   | 34.05  | 31.02 | 34.34   |
| 13 | Neryl acetate      | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1359              | 1361            | 0.93    | 1.10   | 0.95  | 0.88    |
| 14 | Geranyl acetate    | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1379              | 1381            | 0.55    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 15 | α-Copaene          | Tricyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1375              | 1376            | tr      | 0.42   | 0.29  | 0.35    |
| 16 | β-Elemene          | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids  | 1389              | 1390            | 0.47    | tr     | tr    | tr      |
| 17 | Methyl eugenol     | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1403              | 1403            | 1.17    | tr     | 0.37  | 0.35    |
| 18 | β-Caryophyllene    | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1417              | 1419            | 7.73    | 6.49   | 7.52  | 6.48    |
| 19 | α-trans-Bergamotene| Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1433              | 1434            | 1.58    | 1.74   | 2.01  | 1.94    |
| 20 | Humulene (α-Caryophyllene) | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids | 1453              | 1454            | 1.29    | 1.07   | 1.18  | 1.10    |
| 21 | (E)-β-Farnesene    | Acyclic sesquiterpenoids     | 1455              | 1456            | 1.15    | 0.97   | 1.23  | 1.15    |
| 22 | Sesquisabinene     | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1457              | 1459            | 0.16    | tr     | 0.15  | tr      |
| 23 | Germacrene D       | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids  | 1481              | 1481            | 2.03    | 1.31   | 2.64  | 2.05    |
| 24 | (Z)-γ-Bisabolene   | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids  | 1514              | 1515            | 0.40    | tr     | 0.31  | tr      |
| 25 | (E)-γ-Bisabolene   | Monocyclic sesquiterpenoids  | 1528              | 1530            | 8.97    | 6.28   | 7.99  | 6.75    |
| 26 | epi-α-Cadinol      | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1638              | 1640            | 0.44    | tr     | tr    | tr      |

RI<sub>calc</sub>—calculated Kovats index; RI<sub>lit</sub>—Kovats Index by literature data [36]; tr—traces.
Table 7. Influence of myco-biocontrol formulates on essential oil composition of ‘Cuisoare’.

| No | Name                        | Class                        | R<sub>calc</sub> | R<sub>lit</sub> | Control | Artis<sup>®</sup> | Bora<sup>®</sup> | Öko-ni<sup>®</sup> |
|----|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Sabinene                    | Bicyclic monoterpenes        | 969              | 974             | tr      | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 2  | Sylvestrene                 | Monocyclic monoterpenes      | 1026             | 1030            | 0.08    | tr              | tr              | 0.10              |
| 3  | Eucalyptol (1,8-Cineole)    | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1031             | 1030            | 2.74    | 1.54            | 1.56            | 1.44              |
| 4  | cis-β-Ocimene               | Acyclic monoterpenes         | 1041             | 1037            | 0.45    | 0.20            | 0.21            | 0.40              |
| 5  | Terpinolene                 | Monocyclic monoterpenes      | 1086             | 1088            | tr      | 0.00            | 0.00            | 0.00              |
| 6  | β-Linalool                   | Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1095             | 1096            | 45.58   | 45.83           | 44.44           | 38.49             |
| 7  | cis-β-Thujone                | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1101             | 1102            | tr      | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 8  | trans-β-Thujone              | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1112             | 1114            | tr      | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 9  | (Z)-Epoxy-octenones         | Monocyclic monoterpenoids    | 1128             | 1132            | 0.52    | 0.37            | 0.38            | 0.35              |
| 10 | Camphor                     | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1141             | 1145            | 0.28    | tr              | 0.51            | 0.49              |
| 11 | α-Terpineol                 | Monocyclic monoterpenoids    | 1188             | 1188            | 1.11    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 12 | Methyl chavicol             | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1266             | 1267            | 0.58    | 0.26            | 0.26            | 0.30              |
| 13 | cis-β-Thujone                | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1284             | 1285            | 1.55    | 1.63            | 1.65            | 1.51              |
| 14 | Geraniol                    | Bicyclic monoterpenoids      | 1356             | 1358            | 12.59   | 15.98           | 16.19           | 13.16             |
| 15 | Bornyl acetate              | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1495             | 1496            | 1.11    | 0.57            | 0.58            | 0.57              |
| 16 | trans-Linalool oxide acetate| Acyclic monoterpenoids       | 1448             | 1450            | 0.31    | 0.30            | 0.31            | tr                |
| 17 | Eugenol                     | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1451             | 1452            | 0.72    | 0.61            | 0.61            | 0.86              |
| 18 | α-Copaene                   | Tricyclic sesquiterpenes     | 1453             | 1454            | 0.72    | 0.61            | 0.61            | 0.86              |
| 19 | β-Elemene                   | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes    | 1463             | 1466            | 0.47    | 0.49            | 0.50            | 0.66              |
| 20 | Methyl eugenol              | Phenolic monoterpenoids      | 1481             | 1481            | 4.83    | 3.93            | 3.98            | 4.23              |
| 21 | β-Caryophyllene             | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1501             | 1501            | 0.67    | 0.59            | 0.60            | 0.77              |
| 22 | α-trans-Bergamotene         | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1509             | 1509            | 1.44    | 1.30            | 1.31            | 1.86              |
| 23 | α-Guaiene                   | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1522             | 1522            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 24 | cis-Muurola-3,5-diene        | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1532             | 1532            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 25 | trans-Muurola-3,5-diene      | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1542             | 1542            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 26 | Humulene (β-Caryophyllene)  | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes    | 1552             | 1552            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 27 | trans-Muurola-4(14),5-diene  | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1562             | 1562            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 28 | Germacrene D                | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes    | 1572             | 1572            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 29 | Bicyclogermacrene           | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1582             | 1582            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 30 | α-Bulnesene                 | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1592             | 1592            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 31 | γ-Cadinene                  | Bicyclic sesquiterpenes      | 1602             | 1602            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 32 | β-Sesquiphellandrene        | Monocyclic sesquiterpenes    | 1612             | 1612            | 0.22    | 0.24            | 0.25            | 0.38              |
| 33 | trans-Nerolidol             | Acyclic sesquiterpenoids     | 1622             | 1622            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 34 | 5-epi-7-epi-α-Eudesmole      | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1632             | 1632            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 35 | 1,10-di-epi-Cubenol         | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1642             | 1642            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |
| 36 | epi-α-Cadinol               | Bicyclic sesquiterpenoids    | 1652             | 1652            | 0.12    | tr              | tr              | tr                |

R<sub>calc</sub>—calculated Kovats index; R<sub>lit</sub>—Kovats Index by literature data [36]; tr—traces.

4. Discussion

The use of microbial inoculants (bacteria, fungi, mycorrhizae) in agriculture as biological control products, biofertilizers, or biostimulants is very important, taking into account their beneficial effects on plants. These include (1) growth and development promotion by improving the nutrient availability and uptake or by inducing the production of phytohormones (indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), cytokinins, gibberellins, or ethylene); (2) pathogen
suppression by producing secondary metabolites (antibiotics, lipopeptides), by competing for nutrients and site through parasitism, or by inducing the systemic resistance (ISR); and (3) abiotic stress alleviation by producing osmoprotectants (proline, glycine betaine, exopolysaccharides etc.) [37,38]. Usually, one microorganism can possess more than one trait that is beneficial to plants [39]. In this study, three myco-biocontrol based products were tested for their effects on yield, physiology, production and composition of phenolic compounds and EOs in basil. Öko-ni®, a product based on Coniothyrium minitans, provided the best fresh biomass yield of basil. Coniothyrium minitans is a fungus well known for its ability to suppress plant pathogens (Sclerotinia sclerotiorum) and is considered a model organism for demonstrating the influence on plant health [38,40], but its direct ability on promoting the yield is not well documented. The studies where an increase in yield was observed did not focus on the growth promotion abilities of the fungus, an indirect effect of its pathogen suppression. For instance, in studies done on sunflower, celery, and lettuce, the control of wilt disease by Coniothyrium minitans was accompanied by yield increases [41].

According to the product manufacturer, the fungus produces secondary metabolites, which stimulate root growth and subsequently increase in crop yields. It is generally known that microorganisms can produce secondary metabolites such as auxins or cytokinins, which have an important role in the initiation, growth, and development of the roots. By enhancing the growth of the root systems, its exploratory capacity increase as does the availability of water and mineral nutrients that can be absorbed [42]. In this study, the observed biomass yield increase following Coniothyrium minitans (Öko-ni®) application might be due to this mechanism. Among the cultivars applied, ‘Cuisoare’ responded best to Öko-ni® application; the yield increase was highest compared with the control and with the rest of the treatments. This might be because the root system of ‘Cuisoare’ cultivar, due to its exudates, offers a better environment for Coniothyrium minitans to grow, multiply, and survive than the rest of the cultivar tested. It is known that root exudates play a key role in the formation and survival of microorganisms’ community. Studies have shown that root exudates are the most important in the formation of fungal populations [43]. Root exudates, through their composition and concentration of components, shape the underground communities [44]. For instance, the isoflavones from the exudates of soybean attract the fungal pathogen Phytophthora sojae [45], and the peroxidases and oxylipins from the exudates of the stressed tomato plants act as chemo-attractants for Trichoderma spp. [46]. In our study, taking into account that the abiotic factors and the soil type used in this experiment were the same for all the cultivars and treatments used, the root exudates of the cultivars might have played a decisive role in the multiplication and survival of Coniothyrium minitans; hence in the fresh yield, ‘Cuisoare’ cultivar was more suitable for the growth of fungus than the rest of the basil cultivars used.

The inoculation of microorganisms in agriculture can also influence the assimilatory pigments and the rate of photosynthesis. Previous studies demonstrated the importance of microorganisms in the physiological processes of plants. For instance, Trichoderma harzianum increased the total photosynthetic pigments in rice, Glomus spp. increased the chlorophylls in parsley, Funneliformes mosseae increased the photosynthesis rate in low moisture conditions in tomato or Trichoderma harzianum in maize [47,48]. In this study, the myco-bicontrol based products generally did not influence the assimilatory pigments or the photosynthesis rate, with the exception of ‘Serafim’ × Artis® and ‘Serafim’ × Bora® interactions that increased the photosynthetic capacity.

The presence of microorganisms in soil can equally influence the growth and development of plants, but also the synthesis of specific substances such as phenolic compounds or EOs [49,50]. The type and the amount of phenolic compounds and EOs are important for the plant itself but also for human health, enhancing the therapeutic effect [51]. For instance, in plants, caffeic acid offers protection against pests, infections, and predators and also protects the leaves against ultraviolet radiation B. In humans, caffeic acid is known for the antibacterial, antiviral, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-hepatocellular carcinoma,
and anti-diabetic activities [52]. Hyperoside protects plants against the accumulation of reactive oxygen species [53]; in humans, it can have anti-oxidant, anti-hyperglycemic, anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, or cardioprotective activities [54]. Isoquercitrin and quercitrin have shown antioxidant effects in plants and in humans against oxidative stresses, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, diabetes, anti-inflammatory disorders, or allergic reactions [55,56]. Rutin, known also as rutoside, protects plants against UV radiation or pathogenic attack, while in humans, rutin prevents the appearance of side effects of various treatments for cancer, diabetes, or hypercholesterolemia [57]. The production of phenolic compounds in plants depends on many factors such as exposure to light, drought, wounding, nutrient stresses, or the presence of beneficial or pathogenic microorganisms [58]. Depending on the microorganism’s species, the type, quality and quantity of phenolic compound can differ [59]. In our experiment, all the biocontrol products used for the 4 basil cultivars enhanced the production of phenolic compounds. Coniothyrium minitans (Öko-ni®) stimulated to a greater extend the production of caffeic acid, Beauveria bassiana the production of quercitrin, and Arthrobotry oligospora the production of hyperoside, isoquercitrin, and rutin.

Essential oils are secondary metabolites of plants used in medicine, in the pharmaceutical and cosmetic industries, or for nutritional purposes [60]. In plants, EOs are important for the adaptation to different environmental factors, for the protection against abiotic and biotic stresses or for signaling among plants [61]. The presence of microorganisms in soil can influence the production of EO, its quality, quantity and composition. For instance, an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (Glomus mosseae) significantly increased the EO content in two oregano genotypes, and the inoculation of sweet basil with the plant growth-promoting bacteria Bacillus subtilis GB03 increased the accumulation of two EO components (R-terpineol and eugenol) [62,63]. In this experiment, increases in the EO content were registered for all the treatments applied, with the highest increases observed in basil plants treated with Öko-ni® (Coniothyrium minitans) and Bora® (Beauveria bassiana). Even though ‘Cuisoare’ cultivar treated with Öko-ni® registered the highest fresh yield, the EO content was not the best. The EO content was highest in cv. ‘Macedon’ in the presence of Beauveria bassiana and Coniothyrium minitans. As expected, in this study, the EO composition depended on the cultivar and treatment applied. The application of biocontrol products stimulated the synthesis of the main compounds. For example, the linalool concentration increased in ‘Aromat de Buzau’ by 15% in Artis®, 24% in Bora®, and 10% in Öko-ni®. Linalool is an acyclic monoterpane also found in plants such as lavender and is used in perfumery and hygiene products such as soap and detergent [64]. In ‘Serafim’ and ‘Cuisoare’, the linalool content increased only with Artis® treatment. Moreover, for these cultivars, the eugenol content increased by up to 19% in ‘Serafim’ in the Öko-ni® variant and up to 28% in ‘Cuisoare’ in the Bora® variant. Eugenol belongs to the class of phenylpropanoids and is the main constituent of the EO of cloves (Syzygium aromaticum). Due to its antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, eugenol is used in the cosmetics industry but also in the food industry as a preservative [65]. The application of Öko-ni® increased the concentrations of neural and geranium by 25% and 27% in cv. ‘Macedon’. The two compounds constitute the cis (neral) and trans (geranial) form of citral, which belongs to the class of terpenoids and has the scent of lemon. It is found in many other plants such as lemongrass and ginger and has antifungal, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anti-cancer activities. It is used as a spice, as flavoring in cosmetics, and as raw material in the synthesis of medicinal compounds such as vitamin A, ionone, and methyloneone [66]. This study confirms the fact that the microorganism species and plant cultivar can influence the EO content and its composition [35].

5. Conclusions

In this study, three biocontrol formulates were tested to evaluate their effect on the yield, physiology, and synthesis of phenolic compounds, as well as EO in four varieties of basil cultivated in the ecological system. All treatments increased crop yields relative to the non-treated control. From a physiological point of view, a higher content of assimilat-
ing pigments was recorded in ‘Serafim’ probably due to the contribution of anthocyanin pigments. Increases of up to 2% in chlorophyll content and up to 66% in the rate of photosynthesis have been reported with *Beauveria bassiana* (Bora®). Oil production increased significantly with all treatments, up to 34% (Bora® and Öko-ni®). The treatments applied stimulated the synthesis of phenolic compounds; thus, the content of caffeic acid was higher in Öko-ni®, and the content of hyperoside, isoquercitrin, and rutin was higher under the treatment with *Arthrobotrys oligospora* (Artis®), while the quercitrin content was higher in Bora®. The main compounds found in the EOs were linalool and methyl chavicol in ‘Aromat de Buzau’, linalool and eugenol in ‘Serafim’, nerol and geranial in ‘Macedon’, and linalool and eugenol in ‘Cuisoare’. Overall, the investigated myco-biocontrol formulates had positive effects on basil crop, stimulating both the fresh biomass yields, as well as the accumulation of the EO and phenolic compounds.
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