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External Application of Traditional Chinese Medicine for Venous Ulcers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Objective. To evaluate the effectiveness of external application of traditional Chinese medicine (EA-TCM) on venous ulcers. Methods. Seven databases were searched until April 2015 for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of EA-TCM for venous ulcers. Risk of bias was assessed using Cochrane Handbook guidelines. Study outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RRs) for dichotomous data or mean differences (MDs) for continuous data. Results. Sixteen of 193 potentially relevant trials met the inclusion criteria; however, their methodological qualities were low. Comparison of the same intervention strategies revealed significant differences in total effectiveness rates between EA-TCM and conventional therapy groups (RR = 1.22, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.16–1.29, and $P < 0.00001$). Compared to conventional therapy, EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy had a superior total effectiveness rate (RR = 1.11, 95% CI = 1.04–1.19, and $P = 0.003$). There were no significant differences in recurrence rates during followup and final pain measurements between the experimental and those in the control groups (RR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.31–2.39, and $P = 0.85$; MD $−0.75$, 95% CI $=−2.15–0.65$, and $P = 0.29$). Conclusion. The evidence that EA-TCM is an effective treatment for venous ulcers is encouraging, but not conclusive due to the low methodological quality of the RCTs. Therefore, more high-quality RCTs with larger sample sizes are required.

1. Introduction

The most commonly diagnosed ulcer of the lower extremities, venous leg ulcerations, occurs in approximately 500,000 to 2 million people annually in the United States [1], with a prevalence as high as 4% in populations older than 65 years [2]. The treatment of venous ulcer disease requires significant resources and costs: in the United States, the overall cost is approximately 3 billion dollars per year [3]. The two main objectives of venous ulcer treatment are to heal the ulcer and to avoid ulcer recurrence [4]. Compression and debridement are the standard first-line clinical treatments. Second-line treatments, which involve a range of interventions, are considered when first-line treatments fail. However, until recently, there have not been widely accepted second-line treatment standards.

In addition to surgical treatments for venous ulcers, including more invasive open surgical procedures (i.e., venous ligation and stripping [5]), less invasive open surgical procedures (i.e., ambulatory conservative hemodynamic correction of venous insufficiency (CHIVA) [6] and ablative superficial venous surgery [7]), and less invasive endovenous surgical procedures (i.e., radiofrequency ablation [8] and endovenous laser [5]), therapies collectively known as traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) show a gradual and typically curative effect. In China, TCM has been used to treat human diseases for more than 2000 years. In the history of TCM, physicians have accumulated a tremendous amount of knowledge and experience in treating venous ulcers. As an integral part of TCM, external application of traditional Chinese medicine (EA-TCM) has been perceived as less expensive, safer, and more effective [9–11] than conventional...
therapies. There are numerous clinical trials regarding the use of EA-TCM for treatment of venous ulcers, with positive results; however, to our knowledge, the potential benefits of EA-TCM for patients with venous ulcers, to justify either their recommendation or their clinical role, have not been evaluated. In addition, a large number of studies could potentially be missed if literature searches are restricted to English-only sources [12]. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review to assess the effect of EA-TCM on venous ulcers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Sources and Searches. To identify relevant randomized clinical trials (RCTs), two reviewers (X. Li and Q. Xiao) systematically searched the Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (MEDLINE), Excerpta Medica data BASE (EMBASE), Cochrane Central Register, China National Knowledge Infrastructure database, Chinese Scientific Journals Full Text Database, Wanfang Data Knowledge Service Platform, and the Chinese Biomedical Literature Service System, using the search terms “venous ulcers,” “venous leg ulcer,” “TCM,” “traditional Chinese herb,” “herbal medicine,” “ointment,” and “randomized controlled trial.” In this study, we included papers dating from the earliest citation in the databases until April 2015. The references of all selected publications and reviews were manually searched for further relevant articles. We did not limit publication languages and types, including conference proceedings, abstract-only articles, and theses, as long as they met our inclusion criteria.

2.2. Study Selection

2.2.1. Studies. RCTs were included. Quasi-RCTs, non-RCTs, or randomized trials with false randomization methods were excluded.

2.2.2. Participants. Patients diagnosed with venous ulcers based on any set of explicit criteria were included; other ulcers, such as pressure ulcers, were excluded. There were no set limitations on participant age, gender, or nationality.

2.2.3. Interventions. The focused experimental groups received either EA-TCM or EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy. We did not set limitations on dosages, formulations, routes of administration of the traditional Chinese herbs, or types of conventional therapy used.

Our comparison of TCM and conventional therapy included surgical treatment, endovenous surgical procedures, compression therapy, and topical and pharmacological treatment.

2.2.4. Control Group Treatments. Control groups were defined as patients who received any type of conventional therapy for venous ulcers, without TCM treatments.

2.2.5. Outcome Measures. The primary outcomes considered in this study were the total effectiveness rates for the duration of treatment, defined as the rate of change in ulcer size, absolute change in wound size, and number of wounds completely healed. We also evaluated recurrence rates, defined as the detection of new venous ulcers by clinical evaluation after followup. The secondary outcomes included quality of life, pain, and any adverse effects from the interventions.

Trials were excluded if any of the following factors were identified: (1) insufficient information concerning evaluation rates; (2) lack of EA-TCM treatment; (3) mixed interventions in the experimental group (e.g., EA-TCM combined with internal TCM); (4) animal trials.

2.3. Data Extraction. Two reviewers (K. Ze and S. Li) extracted data independently using a predefined data extraction form. Disagreements were resolved by discussion or consensus with a third reviewer (B. Li). The data extracted included the first author; study characteristics (i.e., year, duration, setting, and design); participant characteristics (i.e., mean age, sample size, and systemic therapy); external application of the experimental and control group treatments; measured outcomes. For studies with insufficient information, the reviewers contacted the primary authors, when possible, to acquire and verify the data.

2.4. Risk of Bias Assessment. The risk of bias in each study was assessed by two independent authors (X. Li and M. Zhou) using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool [13]; disagreements were resolved either by consensus or by a third reviewer (B. Li).

2.5. Data Synthesis and Analyses. For meta-analysis, the total effectiveness rates of dichotomous data were pooled using risk ratios (RRs). All statistical analyses were performed using Review Manager 5.2.1 software (Cochrane Community, London, United Kingdom).

We compared the final results to assess the differences between experimental and control groups. Cochran’s $\chi^2$ and $I^2$ tests were used to assess the degree of heterogeneity between studies. There was considerable heterogeneity for $P$ values less than 0.10, or $I^2$ value above 50%, in the $\chi^2$ and $I^2$ tests, respectively [13]. In this case, a random-effects model was used in order to compute the global RR and MD. Otherwise, with $P$ values greater than 0.10 or $I^2$ less than 50%, the between-study heterogeneity was not substantial, and the fixed-effect models were suitable. Clinical heterogeneity was assessed by reviewing the differences in the distribution of participants’ characteristics among trials (i.e., age, gender, and duration of disorder and associated diseases).

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection. From a total of 193 titles, the full text of 75 potentially relevant studies was reviewed to confirm their eligibility. Among these 75 studies, 59 were excluded, including one non-RCT study, 23 with treatments that mixed interventions, nine with duplicate publication of data, 24 that compared treatment intervention with TCM, and two with no prescribed duration of treatment. Finally, 16 trials met the inclusion criteria (Figure 1).
3.2. Study Characteristics. All of the 16 trials included in this study were published in Chinese. A total of 1269 participants were included in these trials, with 660 and 609 in the experimental and control groups, respectively. The sample sizes of these trials ranged from 51 to 164. Six trials reported on the adverse events in the experimental group [11, 14–18], while three performed patient followup [11, 14, 19] (Table 1).

The components and suppliers of the traditional Chinese herbs used in each trial varied. The most common form of EA-TCM, used in nine trials, was ointment, including SheXiangZhenZhu [20], KuiYangPing [9], ShengJi [19], moist exposed burn [15], ShengJiYuHong [11, 21], HongYou [18, 22], and FuFangSanHuang ointments [23]. Other forms of EA-TCM used in clinical trials were powders in three trials [10, 14, 16], Chinese-herb external washing in three trials [17, 22, 24], paste in one trial [9], and oil in one trial [25] (Table 2).

3.3. Risk of Bias Assessment. The methodological quality of all included trials was poor (Figure 2). Although all these trials reported randomization, only three adequately described the randomization method: two with a random number table [14, 25] and one using clinic record numbers [9]. Moreover, none of the studies reported information such as allocation concealment or blinding of participants and study personnel; only one reported the details of the blinding of outcome assessment [16]. All of the relevant trials adequately addressed incomplete outcome data and selective reporting. We found no other biases in these trials; however, considering their poor methodological quality, we determined that an unclear risk of bias should be given to all the included trials.

3.4. Primary Outcomes

3.4.1. Total Effectiveness Rates of EA-TCM versus Conventional Therapy Based on the Same Intervention Strategies. The 11 RCTs contained 761 patients; the experimental and control groups received EA-TCM and conventional therapy, respectively. All subjects from the two groups received basic intervention strategies, including compression and debridement as first-line clinical treatment and surgical interventions (venous ligation and stripping [15, 26] and endovenous laser [18]) as second-line treatment. Pooling of the results from these trials showed a significant difference in the total effectiveness rate between the EA-TCM and conventional therapy groups (RR = 1.22, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.16–1.29, and P < 0.00001) using the fixed-effects model.
| Study          | Location | Sample size | Sample size | Age | Duration | Duration of treatment | Main outcomes | Evaluation method | Outcome odds | ADs of experimental group | FUP     |
|---------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|---------|
| Cao et al., 2005 [20] | China    | 30/30       | 63.2/62.5   | 12.5 | 12.0     | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 4.50        | [1.09–18.50]            | NR      |
| Di 2009 [14]  | China    | 32/32       | 57.75/56.59 | 44.64 | 44.16    | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 8.68        | [1.00–75.30]            | Two patients: contact dermatitis 1 |
| Fang et al., 2012 [26] | China    | 69/63       | 59.5/60.5   | NR   | NR       | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 15.71       | [0.87–284.88]           | NR      |
| Feng, 2009 [9] | China    | 82/82       | NR          | NR   | 8        | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 12.06       | [2.71–53.74]            | NR      |
| Huang et al., 2009 [19] | China    | 30/30       | 62          | NR   | NR       | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher | 5.80        | [0.63–53.01]            | NR 24   |
| Jia and Li, 2011 [10] | China    | 32/30       | 55.7/56.6   | 17.8 | 17.9     | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 6.43        | [1.26–32.83]            | NR      |
| Jia, 2012 [15] | China    | 28/28       | 53.2        | NR   | 3        | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher | 10.47       | [0.54–204.32]           | None    |
| Li and Zhang, 2013 [11] | China    | 40/38       | 48.6/46.2   | 10.8 | 13.2     | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 3.34        | [0.33–33.63]            | Three patients: ecchymosis 6 |
| Peng, 2014 [16] | China    | 30/30       | 65.9/66.4   | 81.6 | 82.44    | 4                     | TER, CR, IR, VRT | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher; PPG | 3.25        | [0.89–11.90]            | None    |
| Wang, 2013 [17] | China    | 30/30       | 63.23/63    | 126  | 120      | 4                     | TER, CR, IR, VRT, VP | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher; PPG | 4.50        | [1.09–18.50]            | None    |
| Xu, 2009 [21]  | China    | 48/42       | 58/57.8     | 9.5  | 8.4      | 2                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 2.03        | [0.45–9.05]             | NR      |
| Xu, 2012 [22]  | China    | 30/30       | 52.5        | NR   | 4        | 4                     | TER, CR, IR, pain | Verification of the area | 1.00        | [0.23–4.43]; E 0.62 (1.13); C 2.13 (1.45) | NR      |
| Zhang et al., 2007 [23] | China    | 60/45       | 66.7/65.9   | 11.3 | 14.9     | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher; photography | 10.55       | [2.22–50.02]            | NR      |
| Zhang et al., 2008 [24] | China    | 30/30       | NR          | NR   | 4        | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area | 5.35        | [0.25–116.31]           | NR      |
| Zhang et al., 2008 [18] | China    | 62/45       | 54.4/52.8   | NR   | 6        | 4                     | TER, CR, IR   | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher | 2.85        | [0.88–9.18]             | Two patients: contact dermatitis NR |
| Zhang, 2013 [25] | China    | 27/24       | 59.78/60.05 | 6.44 | 7.24     | 4                     | TER, CR, IR, pain | Verification of the area; subjective evaluation of a researcher | 10.71       | [1.21–94.96]; E 1.76 (0.52); C 1.84 (0.49) | NR      |

RCTs, randomized controlled trials; E, experimental group; C, control group; ADs, adverse events; FUP, follow-up period; NR, no report; TER, total effective rate; CR, curative ratio; IR, inefficiency rate; VRT, venous refill time; PPG, photoplethysmography; VP, venous pressure.
| Study                  | Surgical treatment | Endovenous surgical procedures | Compression therapy | Conventional therapy of experimental group/control group | Pharmacological treatment | Topical treatment of control group | External application of experimental group | Suppliers of the externally applied TCM |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Cao et al., 2005 [20] | NA                 | NA                            | NR                 | Conventional therapy of experimental group/control group | Antibiotics and nutritional support | Vaseline ointment                  | SheXiangZhenZhu ointment                | The 306th Hospital of Chinese People’s Liberation Army |
| Di, 2009 [14]         | NA                 | NA                            | Compression bandages or hosiery | NR             | Gentamicin                                               | QiXing powder                     | Chengdu University of TCM               |
| Fang et al., 2012 [26] | VLS               | NA                            | Compression bandages or hosiery | NR             | Antibiotics if necessary                                | Alginate dressing                 | Hospital of Laiwu Iron and Steel Group Limited Company (Chinese Patent Number: ZL 200710115133.0) |
| Feng, 2009 [9]        | NA                 | NA                            | NR                 | Compression bandages | Erythromycin ointment                                    | KuiYangPing ointment               | Liaoning University of TCM             |
| Huang et al., 2009 [9]| NA                 | SEPS                          | NR                 | Compression bandages | 0.1% ethacridine lactate                                | Shengli ointment                  | The Second Affiliated Hospital of Heilongjiang University of TCM |
| Jia and Li, 2011 [10] | NA                 | NA                            | Compression bandages | NR             | 0.1% ethacridine lactate                                | ShengliYuYang powder              | TCM Hospital of Hebei Province         |
| Jia, 2012 [15]        | VLS               | NA                            | NR                 | Compression bandages | Danhong injection; elastic bandage                       | Moist exposed burn ointment (MEBO) | Shantou Meibao Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. |
| Li and Zhang, 2013 [11]| NA                | EVLT                          | Compression bandages | Antibiotics and aspirin                                | NR                                      | ShengliYuHong ointment                | TCM Hospital of HanDan city             |
| Peng, 2014 [16]       | NA                 | NA                            | Compression bandages | Danhong injection; elastic bandage                       | 0.1% ethacridine lactate + Chinese herbal powder | TCM Hospital of Hebei Province         |
| Wang, 2013 [17]       | NA                 | NA                            | IPC + Compression bandages | Salvia miltiorrhiza and ligustrazine injection | Ethacridine lactate                                    | Chinese herbs external washing     | TCM Hospital of Hebei Province         |
| Xu, 2009 [21]         | NA                 | NA                            | NR                 | Antibiotics                                              | NR                                      | ShengliYuHong ointment                | TCM Hospital of Jiangsu Province        |
| Xu, 2012 [22]         | NA                 | NA                            | NR                 | Antibiotics                                              | Ethacridine lactate                  | Chinese herbs external washing + HongYou ointment | Shuguang Hospital, Shanghai University of TCM |
| Zhang et al., 2007 [23]| NA                 | NA                            | Compression hosiery | Antibiotics if necessary and nutritional support         | Vaseline ointment                    | FuFangSanHuang ointment               | TCM Hospital of Shijiazhuang City       |
| Zhang et al., 2008 [24]| VLS               | NA                            | Compression hosiery | NR             | NR                                                     | Chinese herbs external washing     | People's Hospital of Yunnan Chuxiong    |
| Zhang et al., 2008 [18]| NA                | EVLT                          | Compression bandages | NR             | Sensitive antibiotics                                   | HongYou ointment                   | Shuguang Hospital, Shanghai University of TCM |
| Zhang, 2013 [25]      | NA                 | NA                            | NR                 | Metronidazole                                            | KuiYang oil                         | Dongzhimen Hospital, Beijing University of Chinese Medicine |

NA, not available; NR, no report; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine; VLS, venous ligation and stripping; SEPS, subfascial endoscopic perforator vein surgery; EVLT, endovenous laser treatment; IPC, intermittent pneumatic compression.
There were also significant differences in each subgroup (basic intervention strategies: first-line clinical treatment RR = 1.27, 95% CI = 1.18–1.37; basic intervention strategies: first-line and surgical treatment RR = 1.13, 95% CI = 1.05–1.22) (Figure 3).

3.4.2. Total Effectiveness Rates of EA-TCM Combined with Conventional Therapy versus Conventional Therapy Alone. Five studies with 314 subjects reported that the experimental groups received EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy and that the control groups received conventional therapy only. Results of meta-analysis using the fixed-effects model indicated a significantly higher total effectiveness rate for EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy compared to that of the control groups (RR = 1.11, 95% CI = 1.04–1.19, and P = 0.003). Significant differences were found between subgroups of conventional therapy with first-line clinical treatment (RR = 1.14, 95% CI = 1.00–1.31) and conventional therapy with first-line clinical treatment combined with surgical interventions (RR = 1.09, 95% CI = 1.01–1.17) (Figure 4).

3.4.3. Recurrence Rate Sat Followup. Three studies reported recurrence rates at followup. However, the results of meta-analysis using the fixed-effects model indicated no significant effects in the experimental groups compared to the control groups (RR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.31–2.39, and P = 0.85) (Figure 5).

3.5. Secondary Outcomes. Only two studies reported final pain measurements. With random-effects modelling, the pooled data for the two studies showed a difference between the experimental and control groups in the final pain measurements (MD = −0.75, 95% CI = −2.15–0.65, and P = 0.29) (Figure 6).

3.6. Adverse Events. Six studies reported adverse events in the experimental groups. No significant adverse reactions were noted in three studies [15–17]. Two trials reported two patients with contact dermatitis that resolved with appropriate treatment [14, 18]. Three patients suffered from ecchymosis caused by surgical treatment [11].

3.7. Assessment of Publication Bias. In this review, the use of funnel plots was limited due to the small number of studies evaluated.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of Evidence. This review systematically assessed mainly Chinese-sourced RCT studies related to the effects of EA-TCM as a complementary therapy; a total of 16 RCTs were identified for systematic review and meta-analysis. The trials included in this study assessed the efficacy of several types of external application on various medical conditions. A total of 660 patients in treatment groups and 609 in control groups were evaluated, and the duration of RCTs ranged from 6.4 months to 10.5 years. All of these RCTs were conducted in mainland China. Despite the fact that most of the trials had small sample sizes and poor methodological quality, analysis of the pooled data showed a consistently superior effect of EA-TCM or EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy in terms of total effectiveness, when compared to the control groups. There were fewer adverse effects, and none were severe; only two trials mentioned any adverse effects of EA-TCM, in which two patients each presented with slight rashes [14, 18]. No patients dropped out of their trials due to adverse effects, suggesting that EA-TCM is safe for clinical use.

4.2. Limitations of This Review. As with all such studies, we acknowledge several limitations. Specifically, the distorting effects of publication and location bias on systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been well documented [27]. Although we are confident that our search strategy located all relevant studies, there remains a certain degree of uncertainty. The quality scores of the included RCTs were generally poor. Although all of the included studies had a randomization design, only three described the details of the randomization
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Study or subgroup | Experimental | Control | Weight | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI | Risk ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI |
|------------------|-------------|---------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|

### 1.1.1 Basic intervention strategies: first-line clinical treatment

- Cao et al., 2005 [20]
- Di, 2009 [14]
- Feng, 2009 [9]
- Jia and Li, 2011 [10]
- Wang, 2013 [17]
- Xu, 2012 [22]
- Zhang et al., 2007 [23]
- Zhang, 2013 [25]

Subtotal (95% CI) | 323 | 303 | 147% | 0.51 [0.34, 0.75] | 0.51 [0.34, 0.75] |

Total events | 305 | 225 |

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 6.60$, df = 7 ($P = 0.47$); $I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: Z = 6.56 ($P < 0.00001$)

### 1.1.2 Basic intervention strategies: first-line + surgical treatment

- Fang et al., 2012 [26]
- Jia, 2012 [15]
- Zhang et al., 2008 [18]

Subtotal (95% CI) | 159 | 136 | 35% | 1.13 [0.99, 1.29] |

Total events | 154 | 117 |

Heterogeneity: $\chi^2 = 0.44$, df = 2 ($P = 0.80$); $I^2 = 0$

Test for overall effect: Z = 3.23 ($P = 0.001$)

### 4.3. Possible Rationales for EA-TCM for Treatment of Venous Ulcers

As a type of chronic skin ulcer, the pathogenesis of venous ulcers is theoretically caused by "Re (heat) evil," "Yu (qi-stagnancy, blood-stasis)," and "Xu (qi blood and yin yang deficiency)" according to stage. Correspondingly, the treatment principles for the three stages of venous ulcer include clearing away heat and dampness, promoting blood circulation to dissipate blood stasis, and providing supplements for deficiencies [28]. Although the components of EA-TCM used in each trial included in our meta-analysis varied, the treatment principles were consistent: SheXiangZhenZhu ointment [20], QiXing powder [14], ShengJio ointment [19], moist exposed burn ointment [15], and Chinese herbs for external washing [17] all clear away heat and dampness and promote blood circulation to dissipate blood stasis. For example, the main effect of HuangDou paste [26] is to clear away heat and address deficiencies; other treatments [9, 11, 16, 18, 21–23] also offer combined effects for the three treatment stages.

As an important complementary therapy, the use of EA-TCM combined with conventional therapy could offer an...
effective treatment method for venous ulcers. Therefore, a substantial amount of research has investigated the chemical constituents of EA-TCMs. Moist exposed burn ointment, the most representative EA-TCM, has pharmacological effects that include prevention of dermal water loss, as well as anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and analgesic properties [29–31]. ShengJiYuHong ointment has been shown to inhibit inflammatory responses by acting on the inflammatory mediators and cells and to improve wound healing by promoting fibroblast proliferation and tissue granulation [32–34]. Wang et al. found that HongYou ointment offers a protective effect on the production and secretion of the extracellular matrix and also promotes fibroblast and endothelial cell proliferation [35].
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5. Conclusions
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