Downregulation of neurodevelopmental gene expression in iPSC-derived cerebral organoids upon infection by human cytomegalovirus

Benjamin S. O’Brien, Rebekah L. Mokry, Megan L. Schumacher, Kirthi Pulakanti, Sridhar Rao, Scott S. Terhune, Allison D. Ebert

**Highlights**

- HCMV-infected organoids exhibit downregulation of key neurodevelopmental pathways
- Significant transcriptional changes occur in cell populations with low HCMV viral load
- Transcriptional downregulation is both IE1-dependent and -independent

O’Brien et al., iScience 25, 104098
April 15, 2022 © 2022 The Author(s).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104098
Downregulation of neurodevelopmental gene expression in iPSC-derived cerebral organoids upon infection by human cytomegalovirus

Benjamin S. O’Brien,1 Rebekah L. Mokry,2,5 Megan L. Schumacher,2 Kirthi Pulakanti,3 Sridhar Rao,1,3 Scott S. Terhune,2 and Allison D. Ebert1,4,*

SUMMARY
Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a betaherpesvirus that can cause severe birth defects including vision and hearing loss, microcephaly, and seizures. Currently, no approved treatment options exist for in utero infections. Here, we aimed to determine the impact of HCMV infection on the transcriptome of developing neurons in an organoid model system. Cell populations isolated from organoids based on a marker for infection and transcriptomes were defined. We uncovered downregulation in key cortical, neurodevelopmental, and functional gene pathways which occurred regardless of the degree of infection. To test the contributions of specific HCMV immediate early proteins known to disrupt neural differentiation, we infected NPCs using a recombinant virus harboring a destabilization domain. Despite suppressing their expression, HCMV-mediated transcriptional downregulation still occurred. Together, our studies have revealed that HCMV infection causes a profound downregulation of neurodevelopmental genes and suggest a role for other viral factors in this process.

INTRODUCTION
Human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) is a betaherpesvirus with a 235-kbp double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) genome and the potential to express more than 700 proteins (Mocarski and Kemble, 1996; Mocarski, 2007; Stern-Ginossar et al., 2012). The virus infects most of the world’s population with seroprevalence of 40%–100% depending on age, socio-economic status, and geographic location (Sinzger et al., 2008; Griffiths et al., 2015). Infection by HCMV is lifelong and can result in a range of conditions. Vertical transmission can also occur causing congenital CMV (cCMV) infection (Mocarski and Kemble, 1996; Griffiths et al., 2015). A portion of infants born with cCMV infection will have long-term health problems including vision and hearing loss, microcephaly, and seizures. Neurological symptoms are likely the result of infection of neural progenitor cells (NPCs) (Luo et al., 2008; Sun et al., 2020). However, in vivo, fetal tissue studies have reported HCMV-positive cells throughout the cortex along with diffuse inflammatory infiltrate and widespread cellular effects even in areas lacking infiltrate (Gabrielli et al., 2009, 2012). These studies also highlight extensive laminar necrosis and infiltration of macrophages as well as activated microglia associated with regions of high HCMV positivity (Teissier et al., 2014). In vitro, NPCs derived from both induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and fetal stem cells are fully permissive for HCMV infection, though susceptibility may depend upon degree of NPC terminal differentiation (Odeberg et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2013; Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2015). In the fetal brain, NPCs are in the bilateral subventricular zone aligned with the developing ventricle, and express key transcription factors that are required for maintenance of the progenitor cell pool and subsequent differentiation. NPCs can differentiate into the multiple neuronal and glial lineages found in the central nervous system (CNS). HCMV infection of NPCs has been shown to alter differentiation and function (Luo et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017a; Wu et al., 2018; Brown et al., 2019; Sison et al., 2019). However, the mechanisms controlling this effect remain to be fully established.

Upon infection, HCMV genes are expressed in three sequential steps known as immediate early (IE), early (E), and late (L). IE gene expression can be detected within hours of infection and along with early gene expression, leads to the onset of viral DNA synthesis. Viral particles are assembled and released within 72–96 hpi as has been shown in fibroblasts (Mocarski, 2007; Marcinowski et al., 2012; Griffiths et al., 2012).
In general, IE proteins are responsible for inhibiting intrinsic and innate host cell responses and initiating the transcription of viral early genes (Adamson and Nevels, 2020). These genes regulate host cell function to allow for viral genome replication and packaging (Griffiths et al., 2015). Late viral genes are expressed following the onset of viral DNA synthesis and encode many structural proteins required for particle assembly and egress (Mocarski, 2007; Perng et al., 2011; Tirosh et al., 2015). Following primary infection, the virus may remain lytic, replicating and activating the immune system, or enter a latent stage in hematopoietic progenitor cells and monocytes during which the virus does not replicate (Griffiths et al., 2015). HCMV has broad cell tropism, being shown to replicate in fibroblasts, epithelial cells, monocytes, macrophages, and NPCs among others (Marcinowski et al., 2012; Pan et al., 2013; Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2015). In symptomatic children and adults, HCMV infection can be managed using (val)ganciclovir, cidofovir, and/or foscarnet, all of which inhibit viral DNA synthesis, or etermovir, which targets viral DNA packaging into nucleocapsids (Britt and Prichard, 2018; Pass and Arav-Boger, 2018; Altman et al., 2019; Steingruber and Marschall, 2020). Although clinical trials are being conducted for use of valganciclovir in infants and children with confirmed symptomatic and asymptomatic cCMV infections, there is no FDA-approved therapy for treating expecting mothers, making vaccine development a major public health priority.

Initial human microarray experiments using RNA isolated from HCMV-infected NPCs identified several downregulated neurodevelopmental genes such as NES, SOX2/4, and OLIG1 along with disruptions to differentiation (Luo et al., 2010; D’Aiuto et al., 2012). A subsequent mechanistic study in human NPCs demonstrated that expression of HCMV IE1 causes loss of SOX2 expression through sequestering of unphosphorylated STAT3 in the nucleus (Wu et al., 2018). A recent RNA-seq study conducted in HCMV-infected cerebral organoids support these findings and identify SOX2, OLIG1, ENO2, ALDOC, and FEZF2 among other downregulated neurodevelopmental genes (Sun et al., 2020). Additional work from us and others using the cerebral organoid model have found consistent disruptions to neuronal differentiation, cortical layering, calcium signaling, and electrical activity after HCMV infection (Brown et al., 2019; Sison et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020). Disruption to these transcription factor networks do correspond with in vivo phenotypes like cerebral cortical necrosis, telencephalic leukoencephalopathy, and polymicrogyria observed in the fetal brain tissue of patients with cCMV (Gabrielli et al., 2009).

In the current study, we aim to identify whether specific viral proteins contribute to dysregulation of neurodevelopmental transcriptional networks that are altered in response to HCMV infection. We found extensive transcriptional downregulation that was not limited to cells expressing high levels of GFP, a surrogate marker of viral replication. Previous studies have suggested that IE1 viral protein expression can regulate host gene expression (Marcinowski et al., 2012; Pignoloni et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017b), which we found to be the case for some cellular signaling-related genes. However, neurodevelopmental gene dysregulation appeared to be independent of IE1 and IE2 protein expression suggesting that other HCMV-related mechanisms are involved. Together, these data show that limiting IE1 and IE2 protein expression offers only minimal benefit to neurodevelopment and suggests that a more comprehensive therapeutic approach is needed to combat cCMV infection.

RESULTS

Cells from infected neural organoids exhibit reduced transcriptional profiles despite varying levels of viral gene expression

Human iPSC-derived cerebral organoids were generated from a WT (4.2) independent healthy control iPSC line (Ebert et al., 2013; Sison et al., 2019) and infected after 30 days of development with a recombinant HCMV strain TB40/E expressing EGFP. An additional WT (21.8) independent healthy control iPSC line was used within the mock samples (see STAR Methods section for which line corresponds with what sample). Also, because tissues varied in size and likely cell numbers, we infected organoids using 500 infectious units per µg of tissue (IU/µg) and allowed infection to progress for two weeks. We detected GFP fluorescence by 4 days post infection (dpi). GFP signal increased by 8 dpi, and continued to be present at 12 dpi, indicating viral spread (Figure 1A). At 13–16 dpi, HCMV-infected and mock organoids (Figure 1B, one image from each organoid pool is shown) were dissociated into single cell suspensions, and cells were sorted based on levels of GFP fluorescence as an indirect measurement of infection. The gating parameters for live cells were set based on cells isolated from uninfected organoids (Figures S1 and S2). This approach is routinely used to investigate latency in HCMV-infected hematopoietic cells (Rak et al., 2018). Expression of the EGFP gene is driven by the SV40 promte and fluorescence is postulated to be proportional to...
**Figure A**

Day 30 Organoids (D30) with HCMV infection at 4 dpi, 8 dpi, and 12 dpi. Brightfield and GFP images are shown for each time point.

**Figure B**

HCMV and Mock Brightfield images at 12 dpi.

**Figure C**

GFP Signal Intensity vs. Count graph showing infected cells.

**Figure D**

GFP Signal Intensity vs. Count graph for Mock infection.

**Figure E**

Bar graph showing cell number per 1000x.

**Figure F**

Bar graph showing percent of live cells.

**Figure G**

Graph showing fold change for GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) for UL122, UL123, UL44, and UL99.

**Figure H**

Graph showing fold change for GFP (Low) for UL122, UL123, UL44, and UL99.

**Figure I**

Relative viral genome expression.
transcriptionally competent HCMV genomes (Rak et al., 2018; Collins-McMillen et al., 2019). We sorted cells into groups exhibiting high, intermediate, and negligible to undetectable levels of fluorescence (Figure 1C). We defined these populations as GFP positive (+), GFP intermediate (Inter), and GFP (Low) based on gating strategies when compared to mock-infected organoids (Figure 1D). With this gating strategy, the gates defining GFP (Inter) are set broadly resulting in a mixed population of GFP (Low) and GFP (+) cells. These histograms also validate the absence of GFP (+) cells within mock-sorted organoids. The total number of live cells from a representative experiment was approximately $3.5 \times 10^6$ which consisted of $0.5 \times 10^6$ GFP (+) cells, $2 \times 10^6$ GFP (Inter) cells, and $1 \times 10^6$ GFP (Low) cells (Figure 1E). Infection did not cause large scale cell death as we observed that the number of live cells within each infected organoid group was comparable to the uninfected mock group (Figure 1F). High expression of GFP likely represents a population of cells exhibiting high viral genome copies and viral gene expression. In contrast, cells isolated based on the absence of fluorescence are anticipated to be uninfected or infected but with GFP fluorescence below the threshold of detection. To understand these differences, we quantified expression levels of HCMV gene classes, immediate early (IE; UL123, UL122), early-late (E-L; UL44), and late (L; UL99) with late expression dependent on viral genome synthesis (Chambers et al., 1999). Cell populations of GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) exhibited high levels of viral gene expression in all classes with differences consistent with their level of fluorescence (Figure 1G). In the GFP (Low) group, we did observe low but statistically significant levels of viral IE RNAs versus the limit of detection (Figure 1H) indicating that this population is a mixture of uninfected and infected cells within the tissue. This is further supported by the relative amounts of viral to host genomes in all infected organoid populations including a detectable number of genomes within the GFP (Low) population (Figure 1I).

We quantified infection-induced changes to host cell gene expression using RNA sequencing on sorted cell populations from uninfected and infected organoids. These studies were completed using three biological replicate experiments across two sequencing runs. Three organoids were combined for each condition per biological replicate experiment. Mock and infected-sorted cell populations were mixed for each biological replicate resulting in the pooled sample. Libraries had at least 2.5 million aligned reads and the External RNA Controls Consortium (ERCC) spike-in was used to normalize variation in RNA expression across samples. After trimming, 80% of the bases had a quality score of 30, indicating the probability of an incorrect base call was 1 in 1000. One of the GFP (+) replicates was removed from our subsequent analysis due to a large drop off in total number of mapped reads likely related to technical error in the sequencing reaction. Initially, we performed principal component analysis (PCA) on the datasets which revealed two groups (Figure 2A). One group contained the Mock-infected samples, and the other contained samples isolated from infected organoids regardless of GFP signal strength (Figure 2A). We initially hypothesized that the subpopulations from infected organoids would cluster separately based on varying states of infection. However, as noted in Figure 1, we did detect low levels of viral transcripts and genomes in the GFP (Low) group despite negligible GFP expression indicating this population contains some infected cells.

We identified 1,222 cellular transcripts upregulated, 17,696 downregulated, and 2,882 not differentially expressed in GFP (+) cells compared to cells from mock-treated tissues based on differential expression analysis, using an adjusted p value < 0.05 and 3-fold cutoff. In the GFP (Low) population with substantially lower viral RNA and genomes, we observed 911 transcripts upregulated and 18,379 downregulated.
Figure 2. All infected organoid subpopulations cluster distinctly from mock samples with many genes being downregulated

(A) PCA with components being all mapped genes across samples split by axis determined by adj p value and compared between each sample. Hierarchical cluster analysis of all sequenced samples for the top 500 most variable genes according to read counts assigned. Hierarchical cluster analysis of all infected samples for the top 500 most variable genes according to read counts assigned.

(C) Volcano plot of the top 250 differentially expressed genes comparing GFP (+) vs. Mock and GFP (Low) vs. Mock as determined by DESEQ2 analysis in R. Arrows denote genes downregulated in both groups.

(D) Venn diagram comparing the 2,500 most differentially expressed genes in GFP (Low) vs. Mock and GFP (+) vs. Mock.

(E) Volcano plot of the differentially expressed genes found from DESEQ2 analysis of GFP (+) vs. GFP (Low) using the same significance cutoff. Also see Tables S1–S3.

Cell populations from HCMV-infected organoids display downregulation in critical neurodevelopmental and signaling genes

To determine which biological processes are disproportionately altered in response to infection, we began by analyzing the complete datasets using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) that defines significant differences between two biological states in hallmark genes defining specific biological processes. Full gene lists for each analyzed gene set and rank metrics can be found in Table S4. Compared to HCMV-infected GFP (+) cells, GSEA identified enrichment in genes upregulated in response to interferon alpha (Figure 3A). We have included a representative heatmap of 35 of the 97 hallmark genes in this category (Figure 3A). This interferon response is known to occur during HCMV infection (Boyle et al., 1999; Paulus et al., 2006). Although GFP (+) and GFP (Low) populations clustered together, the inflammatory response gene set was not significantly enriched in HCMV-infected GFP (Low) compared to Mock as it was in the GFP (+) cells, which was likely due to the lack of induction of CD47 and EIF2AK2 in the GFP (Low) samples (Figure 3A). In contrast, HCMV-infected GFP (+) cells exhibited negative correlation and dysregulation in several sets (Figure 3B). This included genes upregulated during the unfolded protein response (UPR), a subgroup of genes regulated by c-Myc, genes involved in the G2/M checkpoint, genes upregulated in response to TGFβ1, and genes upregulated by activation of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. Disruption to genes involved with c-Myc, TGFβ1, and Akt signaling in the GFP (+) population is likely related to HCMV-induced cell cycle dysregulation (Spector, 2015). We have included heatmaps of 20 genes within each hallmark set to further demonstrate that most genes were downregulated upon infection (Figure 3B). Others have demonstrated HCMV-mediated dysregulation of several of these processes in 2D cultures of primary human fibroblasts early post-infection (e.g. 4 dpi and earlier) with many of the activities dysregulated by HCMV immediate early genes (Michelson et al., 1994; Jault et al., 1995; Kudchodkar et al., 2006; Moorman et al., 2008). Our data show that HCMV-mediated disruption of c-Myc, TGFβ1, and Akt signaling is not limited to cultured fibroblasts, but also occurs in infected neural tissues and for prolonged periods of infection (14 dpi).

Next, we used gene ontology enrichment analysis to more precisely map specific processes that are impacted during infection. We analyzed the top 3,000 differentially expressed genes identified by...
Levels of Enrichment in GFP (+) vs Mock
NES .64 NOM p-value .883

Levels of Enrichment in GFP (Low) vs Mock
NES Not significant NOM p-value > 1

Levels of Enrichment in GFP (+) vs Mock
NES -1.37 NOM p-value < .01

NES -1.61 NOM p-value < .01

NES -1.17 NOM p-value < .2

Levels of Enrichment in GFP (Low) vs Mock
NES Not significant NOM p-value > 1

Levels of Enrichment in GFP (+) vs Mock
NES -1.31 NOM p-value < .01

NES -1.12 NOM p-value < .01
DESEQ2 analysis, both increased and decreased, between cells isolated from HCMV-infected GFP (+) and Mock-infected organoids. As noted earlier, most expression was downregulated in infected populations. Using a p value cutoff of <0.01, gene ontology (GO) analysis identified significant changes in 804 terms related to biological processes, 95 molecular functions, and 201 cellular components. The top five statistically significant terms are shown in Figure 4A with full lists in Table S3 and additional enriched terms in Figure S3 (additional ontology analysis performed using DAVID is found in Figure S3). The GO terms ion signaling, cell-cell communication, and junction signaling were all significantly affected in HCMV GFP (+) cells (Figure 4B). For example, we observed a 10.9-fold reduction in expression of GJA1, 7.8-fold reduction in CACNA1G, 5.4-fold for CAMKV, and 5.8-fold for KCNF1 with fold changes determined by differential expression analysis of GFP (+) vs. Mock. We observed similar changes in the GFP (Low) group compared to Mock, with GJA1 reduced by 11.2-fold, CACNA1C by 4.7-fold, CACNA1G by 5.6-fold, and CAMKV by 7.1-fold (data found in Tables S1 and S2). KCNF1 was also down-regulated but did not reach the level of significance in the GFP (Low) group. These data are consistent with previous studies demonstrating reduced expression of neuron-specific ion channel subunits and gap junctions (Luo et al., 2010; Khan et al., 2014) as well as our previous studies demonstrating reduced Ca²⁺ signaling in 2D NPC cultures and cells derived from HCMV-infected organoids (Sison et al., 2019).

We observed significant changes in the GO category nervous system development (Figure 4B). In the GFP (+) cells compared to Mock, we quantified a 9.7-fold reduction in SOX2, 8.3-fold in FOXG1, 8.4-fold in DMRTA2, 7.8-fold in EMX1, and 9.5-fold in FEZF2 (Table S1). In GFP (Low) cells, SOX2 decreased by 9.1-fold, FOXG1 by 7.5-fold, and FEZF2 by 8.5-fold while no significant changes occurred in DMRTA2 and EMX1 (Table S2). Other developmental genes that were significantly reduced in both GFP (+) and GFP (Low) include HES1, PAX6, and NEUROG1 and NEUROG2 (Figure 4B). Several of these transcription factors are decreased in hCVM-infected NPCs (Luo et al., 2008, 2010; Li et al., 2015), and our data suggest similar effects are occurring within the context of a more complex 3D tissue model system. However, considering that these gene sets are still profoundly downregulated in the GFP (Low) populations, these data indicate that even low levels of viral transcript expression and/or microenvironment-mediated effects are sufficient to induce dramatic alterations in neurodevelopment.

The remaining most significantly enriched terms included cell cycle, cellular component organization, and RNA/protein binding (Figure 4A) with several genes spanning multiple categories. Examples of genes related to cell cycle included NPM1 and PP2R1A, to cellular organization included NEFL and DPYSL2, and to RNA-protein binding included EEF2 and RPL3 (Figure 4B). A complete list of GO terms enriched using the top 5,000 differentially expressed genes for GFP (+) and GFP (Low) vs. Mock as determined by adjusted p value of <0.01 and fold change of >5 are presented in Table S5. We observed conservation of enriched terms between GFP (+) and GFP (Low) populations including processes regulating protein expression, neuron differentiation, cellular component organization, and cell cycle.

Finally, we sought to define specific pathways using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis tool. We analyzed the top 3,000 differentially expressed genes which defined 12 pathways as significantly altered during infection (Figure 4C). Most pathway components are downregulated and consistent with results from the GO analysis. Several of these pathways involve regulating protein translation and degradation including protein ubiquitination, signaling relating to BAG2, EIF2 signaling, regulation of EIF4 and p70S6K, and mTOR signaling (Figure S4A). Other dysregulated neurodevelopmental processes included synaptogenesis signaling pathway and Huntington disease signaling (Figure S4A). In contrast, RHOGDI signaling was one of a few pathways containing several upregulated components, and many of the unchanged genes between GFP (+) and Mock are related to GPCR signaling (Figure S4B). Finally, IPA’s core analysis tool generated a node diagram connecting regulators from the major affected canonical pathways (Figure S5). Several of these regulators fall into identified ontology classifications (TGFβ1, RICTOR, NGF, and SP1) and have impacts on functional pathways that match our earlier analyses. Complete analyses from IPA comparing GFP (+) vs. Mock can be found in Table S6. We find it novel that these disruptions connect...
gene expression of nervous system developmental genes FEZF2, FOXG1, DMRTA2, and EMX1 in the populations. For these experiments, NPCs were infected at day 3 and analyzed for differences in cellular components (for complete list see Table S5).

Impact of HCMV replication on cellular gene expression

The GFP (Low) cell populations isolated from infected neural organoids exhibit profound changes in host transcriptomes under conditions of significantly lower levels of HCMV IE gene expression than GFP (+). Previous studies have demonstrated that expression of HCMV IE1 disrupts SOX2 expression in a STAT3-dependent mechanism (Reitsma et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2018). Therefore, we hypothesized that expression of viral protein IE1 and/or IE2 might be necessary to downregulate other key neurodevelopmental genes identified by our RNA-seq experiments. To test their contributions, we infected cultures of NPCs using TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP that expresses IE1 and IE2 with a destabilization domain (DD) tag in a shared exon (Glass et al., 2009). Addition of Shield-1 ligand to the culture media suppresses DD-dependent NPCs using TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP that expresses IE1 and IE2 with a destabilization domain (DD) tag in a shared exon (Glass et al., 2009). This strain also encodes GFP to allow for visualizing infected cells. We cultured neurosphere-derived NPCs for 3 and 7 days to mimic developmental states prior to infection. Cells were infected using TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP at an MOI of 1.0 infectious unit per cell (IU/cell), based on titers from fibroblasts, and we added 1 μM Shield-1 ligand (Shield-1 (+)) or ethanol vehicle (Shield-1 (−)) at 2 hpi. We quantified changes in HCMV gene expression and observed a 85% reduction in UL123 (IE1), 80% reduction in UL122 (IE2), 60% reduction in UL44, and 75% reduction in UL99 expression in day 3 NPCs in Shield-1 (−) compared to Shield-1 (+) conditions (Figures 5A and 5B). Day 7 NPCs showed similar reductions (Figures 5C and 5D). Representative images from day 3 NPCs showed increased numbers of UL123 and GFP positive cells at 24 hpi in Shield-1 (+) versus Shield-1 (−) conditions, which increased at later time points in Shield-1 (+) (Figure 5E). We did observe several GFP and UL123-positive cells in the Shield-1 (−) condition (Figure 5E). These data indicate that in the absence of Shield-1, the onset of infection in NPCs and expression of IE1 and IE2 is substantially reduced but not eliminated. To further understand the viral proteins expressed in Shield-1 (+) and (−) conditions, we stained for viral tegument proteins pp65 and pp71 (Figures 6A and 6B). In both conditions, positive staining for both proteins are present; however, as expected, the expression is more abundant in the Shield-1 (+) group (Figures 6A and 6B). Staining for the neurodevelopmental transcription factor HES1, which has been shown to be downregulated by HCMV IE1 (Liu et al., 2017b), seemed to cause a loss of nuclear HES1 in Shield-1 (+) groups overtime. Moreover, we also observed cellular reorganization based on the presence of multinucleated cells and the formation of ring-like structures resembling replication zones in the Shield-1 (+) (Figure 6B); these phenotypes were not detected in the Shield-1 (−) samples at the time points analyzed here. Together with data in Figure 5, infection in the absence of Shield-1 causes limited viral transcript and protein expression reducing the overall viral burden.

We next asked whether destabilizing IE1 and IE2 and limiting infection in the population might prevent downregulation of key developmental and cell signaling transcription factors observed in organoid populations. For these experiments, NPCs were infected at day 3 and analyzed for differences in cellular gene expression of nervous system developmental genes FEZF2, FOXG1, DMRTA2, and EMX1 in the Shield-1 (+) and Shield-1 (−) conditions (Figure 7). We observed significant reductions in FEZF2 and FOXG1 RNA levels by 24 hpi regardless of the presence or absence of Shield-1 (Figure 7A). Changes in DMRTA2 and EMX1 occurred later during infection at 48 or 72 hpi, respectively, and did not show a dependence on Shield-1 (Figure 7A). We next investigated the ion signaling, cell-cell communication, and junction organization genes KCNF1, CACNA1C, CAMKV, CACNA1G, and GJA1 as these genes were also reduced in our RNA-seq data (Figure 7B). We consistently observed decreases in KCNF1 and
immune cells (Sison et al., 2019) suggesting that multiple aspects of HCMV infection are at play. Thus, a reduction in neurodevelopmental gene transcript expression and neural function even in the absence of large transcription factor networks (Chen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2013). FOXG1 knockout mice experience severe specification of subcerebral projection neurons through activation of downstream transcription factor GFP levels. These transcription factors are involved in telencephalon development and regulation of early developmental transcription factors DMRTA2, FEZF2, EMX1, and FOXG1 in all populations regardless of sorted for GFP signal strength as a proxy for the level of infection revealed downregulation of develop into a variety of terminally differentiated CNS cell types. RNA-seq analysis of day 30 organoids large transcription factor networks within the NPC population. Ultimately, these cues cause NPCs to Neurodevelopment is a highly complex process dependent on both spatial and temporal cues that activate behind HCMV’s impact on neural development. what role viral proteins or inflammatory infiltrate play in this process is needed to define a mechanism more thorough examination of how the transcriptional landscape is altered upon HCMV infection and limited expression of viral proteins IE1 and IE2 (UL122/UL123) unless Shield-1 is administered. NPCs were infected at 3 or 7 days post plate down with TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP at an MOI of 1. One group was then supplemented with 1 μM Shield-1 daily (Shield-1 +) while the other was given vehicle (Shield-1 -). (A–D) Expression of HCMV viral genes (UL122 (IE), UL123 (IE), UL44 (E), and UL99 (L)) within NPCs infected 3- or 7- days post plate down at 24, 48, and 72 hours post infection (hpi) as determined by qPCR. A significant upregulation of viral transcripts was observed in (Shield-1 +) conditions compared to (Shield-1 -). Stars were assigned based on level of significance as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test: ** = p ≤ 0.01, *** = p ≤ 0.001, and **** = p ≤ 0.0001. (E) Immunocytochemical images at 24, 48, and 72 hpi from NPCs infected 3 days post plate down with HCMV-IE1/IE2-ddFKBP and probed for GFP (green), neurodevelopmental transcription factor SOX2 (red), UL123 (IE1) (purple), Hoescht (blue), and merge. All images taken at 40X using Zeiss LSM980 and scale bar is 20 μm. qPCR data from 3 biological replicate experiments and error bars represent mean ± SEM. CACNA1C across time points independent of Shield addition; however, a trend toward a dependent effect was noted for CACNA1C at 48 hpi (Figure 7B). Decreases in CAMKV across time points were also observed independent of Shield-1 (Figure 7B). However, the Shield (−) group had significantly higher CAMKV expression than the Shield (+) condition at 24 and 48 hpi, although this did not reach Mock levels (Figure 7B). When assessing CACNA1G and GJA1 expression in the presence and absence of Shield-1, we did identify a Shield-1-dependent effect at 24 and 48 hpi (Figure 7B). Together with our previous results (Sison et al., 2019), our data confirm that HCMV infection mediates a disruption of several key neurodevelopmental and functional genes. However, we further demonstrate that downregulation of key cortical developmental genes FEZF2, FOXG1, DMRTA2, and EMX1 is not dependent on robust expression of IE1 and IE2. DISCUSSION Stem cell-derived organoids provide a unique model system in which to study the developing human brain. Their 3D structure more closely represents the developing brain compared to 2D monolayer culture system and allows for the generation of a layered cortical structure expressing a wide variety of the markers associated with the human brain (Lancaster et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2018; Sison et al., 2019). We and others have previously demonstrated that cerebral organoids can be infected by HCMV, which substantially alters organoid structure and function (Brown et al., 2019; Sison et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020). However, a limitation of this model is the lack of inflammatory cells, namely microglia or macrophages, within the organoids. We do find a subtle but significant enrichment in the interferon alpha response when comparing GFP (+) vs. Mock populations that is not present in the GFP (Low) vs Mock comparisons using GSEA. Studies conducted using HCMV-infected fetal brain tissues have shown a major role for the inflammatory response and a particular correlation between the amount of inflammatory infiltrate produced and severity of damage (Gabrielli et al., 2009, 2012). However, our current data and our past work demonstrate a dramatic reduction in neurodevelopmental gene transcript expression and neural function even in the absence of immune cells (Sison et al., 2019) suggesting that multiple aspects of HCMV infection are at play. Thus, a more thorough examination of how the transcriptional landscape is altered upon HCMV infection and what role viral proteins or inflammatory infiltrate play in this process is needed to define a mechanism behind HCMV’s impact on neural development. Neurodevelopment is a highly complex process dependent on both spatial and temporal cues that activate large transcription factor networks within the NPC population. Ultimately, these cues cause NPCs to develop into a variety of terminally differentiated CNS cell types. RNA-seq analysis of day 30 organoids sorted for GFP signal strength as a proxy for the level of infection revealed downregulation of developmental transcription factors DMRTA2, FEZF2, EMX1, and FOXG1 in all populations regardless of GFP levels. These transcription factors are involved in telencephalon development and regulation of early neuronal cell fate decision making. FEZF2 is expressed in progenitor cell populations and is critical for fate specification of subcerebral projection neurons through activation of downstream transcription factor networks (Chen et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Guo et al., 2013). FOXG1 knockout mouse experience severe microcephaly, which can also occur during cCMV infection (Martynoga et al., 2005; Kumamoto and Hanashima, 2017). In humans, FOXG1 syndrome, caused by mutations or deletions in the long (q) arm of chromosome 14, is characterized by impaired development and structural brain abnormalities (Tohyma et al., 2011; Chiola et al., 2019). Many of these abnormalities overlap with findings in brain tissue collected from congenitally infected fetuses, such as necrosis of the cerebral cortex, degeneration of radial glia populations, and telencephalic leukoencephalopathy (Gabrielli et al., 2009; Teissier et al., 2014). DMRTA2 and EMX1 are highly expressed in the developing dorsal telencephalon where research in mice reports reduced cortex size upon mutation (Shinozaki et al., 2004; Konno et al., 2012; Young et al.,
In addition to these roles, several of these transcription factors are involved in key signaling pathways with wide-ranging impacts. For example, DMRTA2 is cross regulated by the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, FOXG1 is associated with the SHH pathway, and FEZF2 is an upstream regulator of cTIP2 and SATB2 regulating fate decisions (Chen et al., 2008; Kuwahara et al., 2010; Lui et al., 2011). Interestingly, despite no overt indication of active viral replication, the GFP (Low) population exhibits similar transcriptional effects as the GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) populations. This result suggests that viral infection has more widespread consequences that likely go beyond active viral replication. For example, the early downregulation of FEZF2 and FOXG1 transcript expression (Figure 7A) may directly or indirectly regulate expression of DMRTA2 and EMX1 such that their later downregulation (Figure 7A) is not related to the infection process but rather to misregulation of neurogenic networks. Neurodevelopmental literature indicates the importance of FEZF2 expression early in the neural differentiation process, as its expression identifies multipotent neural progenitors in humans, regulates telencephalic precursor differentiation in mouse embryonic stem cells, and controls multilineage neuronal differentiation in zebrafish through bHLH domains and homeodomain genes (Wang et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2013). Thus, it remains possible that HCMV-mediated downregulation to FEZF2 expression could have trickle down effects on transcription factors like FOXG1 and DMRTA2 that are responsible for specifying the dorsal and ventral telencephalic regions and generating the cerebral cortex, respectively (Martynoga et al., 2005; Konno et al., 2012). Although further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying

---

**Figure 6.** NPCs infected with TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP and administered Shield-1 show positive staining for viral tegument proteins pp65 and pp71 by 24 hpi.

NPCs were infected at 3 dpi with TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP at an MOI of 1. One group was then supplemented with 1 μM Shield-1 daily (Shield-1 +) while the other was given vehicle (Shield-1 –), cells were fixed at noted times post infection for staining.

(A) Immunocytochemical images from the Shield-1 (–) group at 24, 48, and 72 hpi for viral tegument proteins pp65 or pp71 (purple), neurodevelopmental transcription factor HES1 (red), GFP (green), Hoechst (blue), and merge.

(B) Immunohistochemical images from the Shield (+) group using the same staining targets as (A). All images taken at 40X using Zeiss LSM980 with scale bar of 20 μM.
the global effect on the transcriptional profile, disruption of transcriptional interactions, cell-cell communication processes, spread of viral proteins, or distribution of tegument proteins that disrupt cellular differentiation and function could all be potential mechanisms.

Figure 7. Cellular gene targets are downregulated within NPCs infected with TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP with varying dependence on Shield-1 administration

qPCRs were performed for several key neurodevelopmental transcription factors (FezF2, FOXG1, DMRTA2, and EMX1) and signaling, cell-cell communication, and junctional genes (CACNA1C, CACNA1G, CAMKV, KCNF1, and GJA1) within the HCMV-IE1/IE2-ddFKBP-infected (Shield +) and (Shield –) groups plus uninfected (Mock) NPCs at 3 days post plate down.

(A) No robust or consistent effect of Shield administration was observed for these targets; instead, genes were downregulated regardless of administration at all time points.

(B) Downregulation of KCNF1, CACNA1C, CAMKV, CACNA1G, and GJA1 was observed regardless of Shield administration; however, trends toward an effect of Shield-1 administration can be observed for CACNA1C at 48 hpi or CAMKV at 72 hpi. A significant Shield-1-dependent effect was noted for genes CACNA1G and GJA1 at both 48 and 72 hpi. Stars were assigned based on level of significance as determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc test: * = p ≤ 0.05, ** = p ≤ 0.01, *** = p ≤ 0.001, and **** = p ≤ 0.00001. Data from 3 biological replicate experiments and error bars represent mean ± SEM.
CACNA1G or CACNA1C can cause phenotypes similar to those associated with cCMV infection. CAMKV, while not involved in the activation of CREB, is a Ca\(^+\)/calmodulin pseudo kinase that is phosphorylated via local Ca\(^+\)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CAMKII) signaling; interestingly, (Calhoun et al., 2016; Barresi et al., 2020). The gene CACNA1C encodes a critical subunit leading to the mutations in CACNA1G have been shown to be associated with early onset cerebellar ataxia and epilepsy gives rise to T-type calcium channels that belong to the low-voltage-activated subgroup. Recently, CACNA1G are genes that encode unique voltage-gated calcium channel subtypes. CACNA1G expression downregulation within the GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) groups (Figure 4D, Table S1). CACNA1C and genes responsible for ion transport and maintaining membrane potential. Several such targets showed therefore hypothesized that these functional deficits could be due to downregulation of channel encoding proteins (Odeberg et al., 2006; Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2015). While our experiments using HCMV TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP support this idea, our data also show that infection at 7 days post plate down still results in transcript-level expression of several viral genes (Figures 5A and 5B). When focusing on the role of IE1 and IE2 expression in the 3-day NPCs, neurodevelopmental and signaling targets were clearly downregulated (Figure 7). These data suggest that transcriptional downregulation was largely independent of major IE protein expression, which further highlights the ability of other viral components and/or transcriptional networks to impact transcription and translation in infected NPCs. Staining for viral tegument proteins pp65 and pp71 (Figures 6A and 6B) did reveal expression in both Shield-1 (+) and (−) infection conditions similar to previous findings in fibroblasts (Pan et al., 2016). This finding is consistent with the role of IE1/IE2 in transactivating early and late viral gene expression and align with the small but detectable amount of IE1/IE2 transcripts present in the Shield-1 (−) condition (Figures 5A and 5B). Therefore, the presence of these transcripts and observable tegument proteins might be contributing to the gene target downregulation observed among in the Shield-1 (−) group.

Previous studies from us and others have shown that HCMV infection disrupts calcium and potassium signaling in infected NPCs and organoids (Brown et al., 2019; Sison et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020). We therefore hypothesized that these functional deficits could be due to downregulation of channel encoding genes responsible for ion transport and maintaining membrane potential. Several such targets showed downregulation within the GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) groups (Figure 4D, Table S1). CACNA1C and CACNA1G are genes that encode unique voltage-gated calcium channel subtypes. CACNA1G expression gives rise to T-type calcium channels that belong to the low-voltage-activated subgroup. Recently, mutations in CACNA1G have been shown to be associated with early onset cerebellar ataxia and epilepsy (Calhoun et al., 2016; Barresi et al., 2020). The gene CACNA1C encodes a critical subunit leading to the formation of L-type calcium channels. L-type channels have a prominent role in controlling gene expression through coupling membrane depolarization with cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB) phosphorylation via local Ca\(^+\)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CAMKII) signaling; interestingly, both CAMKIIB and Calmodulin 1/3 (CALM1/3) are downregulated following infection (Zhang et al., 2005; Liang et al., 2016), thus limiting the ability of CREB to act as the transcriptional regulator of nearly 4,000 downstream gene targets (Wheeler et al., 2012; Chiola et al., 2019). In mice, it has been demonstrated that reduced expression of CACNA1C during development leads to a reduction in neurogenesis (Lee et al., 2016; Moon et al., 2018). These experiments demonstrate that altered expression of either CACNA1G or CACNA1C can cause phenotypes similar to those associated with cCMV infection. CAMKV, while not involved in the activation of CREB, is a Ca\(^+\)/calmodulin pseudo kinase that is required for the activity-dependent maintenance of dendritic spines (Saneyoshi et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2016). It is unsurprising that HCMV-infected organoids and NPCs that display decreased neuronal firing and ability to transport calcium have CAMKV deficits as many of these processes are cross regulated (Odeberg et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2019; Sison et al., 2019; Sun et al., 2020). Meanwhile, several potassium channel-encoding genes were downregulated in the GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) groups. These genes are important for potassium ion transport leading to neurotransmitter release and neural excitation. KCNF1, which encodes a member of the voltage-gated potassium channel subfamily F, was particularly interesting...
because previous studies have shown it to be downregulated upon HCMV infection in NPCs (Luo et al., 2010; Young et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2019), and mutations in it and other family members have been linked to seizures and epilepsy, phenotypes of cCMV infection (Kohling and Wolfart, 2016).

Finally, GJA1, which encodes the protein connexin-43, was downregulated in both GFP (+) and GFP (Inter) groups compared to mock (Figure 4B and Tables S1 and S2). In addition to ion channels and calcium sensors, NPCs rely on gap and tight junctions to communicate with each other through intercellular ion transport (Wei et al., 2004; Goodenough and Paul, 2009; Zhou and Jiang, 2014). This type of communication can inform neural cell fating by cueing NPCs to continue proliferating or to begin differentiating (Lemcke and Kuznetsov, 2013; Zhou and Jiang, 2014). There is some evidence to suggest that HCMV hijacks the existing junctional machinery to spread viral material (Silva et al., 2005; Cohen and Stern-Ginossar, 2014; Khan et al., 2014). In HCMV-infected fibroblasts, there is clear evidence that expression of IE genes specifically leads to decreased expression of GJA1 and GJC1 (Luo et al., 2008; Khan et al., 2014).

Intriguingly, our data suggest that this is also the case in NPCs (Figure 7). As such, we postulate that remodeling of the cell-cell communication network could be a way by which the virus impacts both signaling and development in the host and promotes cell-to-cell viral spread early in infection.

Despite the differences in viral strain, viral titer, and 2D vs. 3D culture models, the Shield-1 (−) NPCs and isolated GFP (Low) organoid cells have some interesting similarities. Previous studies show that the TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP construct maintains a low level of viral transcript expression (Pan et al., 2016; Rak et al., 2018); however, our data suggest that this level of expression is likely not sufficient to cause full replication (Figure 5A and 5B). Similarly, IE viral transcripts were still significantly increased in the isolated GFP (Low) cells compared to mock conditions despite a lack of robust GFP expression (Figures 1C and 1D). It is possible that if the GFP (Low) cells were given more time in culture, GFP expression and E-L and L viral transcripts would be more evident. Nevertheless, significant downregulation of several gene targets was still observed in the absence of robust viral replication (Figures 2, 3, and 6) suggesting that the presence of some viral transcripts and/or viral material is sufficient to induce significant gene expression changes in neural tissues. These findings are supported by in vivo tissue studies in which infected fetal brains displayed necrosis of cerebral tissue, radial glia degeneration, and hypertrophic cell morphology even in brain regions with low HCMV positivity and immune infiltration (Teissier et al., 2014).

Taken together, we demonstrate that neurodevelopmental gene networks and critical neural signaling pathways can still be downregulated under conditions of HCMV infection where IE1 and IE2 protein levels are reduced indicating that other HCMV-related mechanisms are involved. Therefore, these data suggest that therapeutics designed to solely limit viral replication and/or viral gene and protein expression may be insufficient to impact the widespread neural differentiation and functional deficits induced by cCMV infection.

Limitations of the study
Owing to the unavailability of tissue samples from fetuses infected with HCMV and species-specific strain differences, we were limited to using iPSC models to model and test the impact of HCMV infection on human brain development. The cerebral organoid system, while having many advantages, does lack macrophages and microglial cell populations that have both been shown to exhibit major cellular responses to HCMV infection. As such, our results miss the contributions that these cells may make on the overall phenotype. We also would like to acknowledge that while the HCMV strain TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP is a valuable tool to limit IE1 and IE2 expression, it does not fully eliminate expression. Thus, additional approaches are necessary to definitively elucidate the role of IE1 and IE2 protein expression in neural cell transcriptional downregulation. Although we identified multiple cellular pathways that were dysregulated upon HCMV infection in human neural cells, the downstream mechanisms and developmental implications as well as the role of specific viral genes need further study.
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti HES1 | Invitrogen | PAS-28802 |
| Chicken polyclonal anti TuJ1 | GeneTex | GTX85469 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti UL44 | Virusys | CA006-100 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti IE1 | Shenk Lab | Clone 1B12 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti IE2 | Shenk Lab | Clone 3A9 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti pp28 | Shenk Lab | Clone 10B4-29 |
| Mouse monoclonal anti pp65 | Shenk Lab | Clone |
| Mouse monoclonal anti pp71 | Shenk Lab | Clone 2M10-9 |
| Rabbit polyclonal anti SOX2 | Millipore Sigma | AB5603 |
| **Bacterial and virus strains** | | |
| TB40/EeGFP | Goodrum Lab | |
| TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP BAC | Borst Lab | |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** | | |
| Shield-1 | Aobious | AOB1848 |
| Human EGF | Miltenyi Biotec | 130-097-749 |
| Human bFGF | StemCell Technologies | 78003 |
| Heparin | Millipore Sigma | H5152 |
| **Critical commercial assays** | | |
| RNA Screentape | Agilent | 5067-5576 |
| NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module | New England Biolabs | E7490L |
| NEBNext Library Quant Kit for Illumina | New England Biolabs | E7630L |
| DNA Screentape | Agilent | 5067-5582 |
| NextSeq PhiX Control Kit | Illumina | FC-110-3002 |
| NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2.5 (75 Cycles) | Illumina | 20024906 |
| Reverse Transcription Kit | Promega | A3500 |
| Pierce BCA | Thermo Fisher Scientific | 23225 |
| STEMdiff Cerebral Organoid Kit | StemCell Technologies | 08570 |
| STEMdiff Cerebral Organoid Maturation Kit | StemCell Technologies | 08571 |
| DNeasy Blood and Tissues Kit | Qiagen | 69504 |
| Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix | Thermo Fisher Scientific | 4367659 |
| **Deposited data** | | |
| Manuscript - BioRxiv | This paper | https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.01.45465 |
| Raw and Analyzed Data - GEO | This paper | ID# GSE193759 |
| **Experimental models: Cell lines** | | |
| Human iPSC WT 4.2 Line | | |
| Human iPSC WT 21.8 Line | | |
| **Oligonucleotides** | | |
| Primers for XX, see Table S7 | This paper | N/A |

(Continued on next page)
**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dr. Allison Ebert (aebert@mcw.edu).

**Materials availability**
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and code availability**
Bulk RNA-seq data have been deposited at GEO (GSE193759) and the preprint manuscript has been made publicly available on BioRXiv as of the date of publication. Accession numbers are listed in the key resources table. Microscopy data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon request. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

**Neural progenitor culture and infection**
MRC-5 fibroblasts were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Atlanta Biologicals) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific). Virus stocks were prepared by infecting MRC-5 fibroblasts (ATCC) with HCMV strain TB40/E encoding EGFP (Umashankar et al., 2011). Cell culture medium was collected and pelleted through a sorbitol cushion (20% sorbitol, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 1 mM MgCl₂) at 55,000 × g for 1 h in a Sorvall WX-90 ultracentrifuge and SureSpin 630 rotor (ThermoFisher Scientific). The TB40r mGFP-IE-FKBP BAC was kindly provided by E. Borst (unpublished). The virus was cultured in the presence of 1 μM Shield-1 (AOBIUS #AOB1848) and replaced every 24 h. Titers of viral stocks were determined by a limiting dilution assay with the 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀) in MRC-5 cells in a 96-well dish. At 2 weeks post infection, HCMV IE1-positive cells were counted to determine viral titers, reported as the number of infectious units (IU) per milliliter. IE1-positive cells were determined using a mouse anti-HCMV IE1 (UL123) antibody (clone 1B12; generously provided by Tom Shenk, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ).

Two independent iPSC lines were used in organoid differentiations (4.2 and 21.5/8), meanwhile neural progenitor cells (NPC) were differentiated from the 4.2 line alone (Ebert et al., 2013). The iPSCs were grown and maintained in Essential 8 Media (ThermoFisher Scientific) and were cultured in feeder-free conditions on Matrigel (Corning). NPCs were differentiated and maintained as neurospheres (EZ spheres) in Stemline (Millipore Sigma) supplemented with 0.5% N-2 supplement (ThermoFisher Scientific), 100 ng/mL EGF (Miltenyi Biotech), 100 ng/mL fibroblast growth factor (FGF; Stem Cell Technologies), and 5 μg/mL heparin (Millipore Sigma) as described previously (Ebert et al., 2013). Plated NPCs were grown in Neurobasal medium (ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 2% B-27 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (ThermoFisher Scientific). Cells were plated at 1.0 × 10⁴ cells per well of a 24-well plate onto Matrigel coated coverslips. Matrigel was diluted in DMEM, placed on coverslips for approximately 12 h, and aspirated off prior to plating cells.

**Cerebral organoid culture and infection**
Cerebral organoid cultures were differentiated from iPSCs according to the specification of the cerebral organoid kit from StemCell Technologies (#08570) that relies on an established protocol.
These organoids were made from either of the two independent WT stem cell lines (4.2 and 21.8). Infected 1 was derived from the 4.2 line, Infected 2 and 3 were derived from the 4.2 line, and Mock 4 was derived from the 21.8 line. (Ebert et al., 2013). Briefly, iPSCs were seeded at 9 x 10^3 cells per well onto 96-well ultralow attachment plates for embryoid body (EB) formation and grown in EB formation media (StemCell Technologies). At day 5, the induction of neural epithelium was initiated by moving the EBs into an ultralow attachment 24-well plate where they were then fed with induction media (StemCell Technologies). On day 7, neural tissues were embedded in Matrigel droplets and moved to ultralow attachment 6-well plates and fed expansion media (Stemcell Technologies). Then at day 10, the plate of developing organoids was transferred to a rocker which elicits the circulation of nutrients and prevents organoids from sticking to the dish. From this point on the organoids were fed maturation media (StemCell Technologies) every 3 days. At day 30, organoids were weighed and infected with 500 infectious units/ug HCMV strain TB40/E encoding GFP for 2 h then virus was removed, and fresh media added (Collins-McMillen et al., 2018; Rak et al., 2018; Collins-McMillen et al., 2019). Media was then changed every 3–4 days. At 14 days post infection, organoids were either fixed for cryosectioning, prepped for FACs sorting, or dissociated in accutase enzyme and lysed for protein or RNA isolation. Three organoids containing approximately 1-2 million cells each were combined prior to sorting to ensure sufficient cell numbers in each population for whole transcriptome analysis.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**Flow cytometry, immunohistochemistry, and Western blot**

Organoids were dissociated using the enzyme accutase at 37°C for 15–20 min, and then washed in PBS. Organoid sorting buffer (1% FBS and 2 mM EDTA in DPBS) was then added to the tube and gently blown at the organoid until it completely dissociated. This cell suspension was then filtered to remove any remaining cell clumps. Finally, the filtered suspension was placed on ice until ready for sorting. Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACs) was performed on BD FACS Diva 8.0.1. Live cell gating was established using the forward and side scatter plots from an uninfected organoid. Infected organoids were then further sorted based on GFP fluorescence signal into GFP (+), GFP (Inter), or GFP (Low) that were consistent across replicates. Gating analysis and plots were generated using Flowjo.

Neural progenitor cells were fixed on coverslips with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min at 4°C, washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and placed in PBS. For immunohistochemistry, coverslips were blocked with 5% normal donkey serum (S30; Sigma) and 0.1% Triton in PBS for 30 min, incubated in primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, and incubated in secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. The nuclear stain Hoechst was used to label nuclei. Primary antibodies used were HES1 (rabbit, PA5-28802; Thermo Fisher), IE1 (UL123) (mouse, 1:1000, clone 1B12, Shenk Lab), SOX2 (rabbit, AB5603; Millipore Sigma), pp65 (mouse, 1:500, clone, Shenk Lab), pp71 (mouse, 1:500, clone 2M10-9, Shenk Lab) and Tuj1 (chicken, GTX85469; GeneTex). Species-appropriate fluorescent secondary antibodies were used. An upright TS100 Nikon fluorescence microscope and NIS Elements were used for imaging and analysis.

**RNA sequencing, qRT-PCR, and viral DNA quantification**

Total RNA was isolated from organoid samples following FACs sorting for live cells and GFP expression. To insure a high enough RNA concentration, 3 infected organoids were combined for each N. After isolation RNA was analyzed on the tape station using screen tape from Agilent (#5067-5576) for quantity and quality. External RNA Controls Consortium (ERCC) spike-in control from Invitrogen (#4456740) was added to each sample to minimize external sources of variability. cDNA libraries were then generated for each sample using the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (NEB #E7490). Libraries were then analyzed by qPCR generating CT values using NEBNext Library Quant Kit (#E7630) and by tape station with D1000 screen tape from Agilent (#5067-5582) to calculate fragment base pair size. These measures were then analyzed by the NEB bio-calculator tool to get an estimated library concentration. Libraries were then diluted to 4 nM and pooled. Another qPCR was run with the pooled library to confirm concentration and a new dilution was performed if necessary. The diluted pooled library (25 pM) was then combined with diluted NEXTSeq PhiX control (#FC-110-3002). The PhiX control and library were then combined and loaded into the cartridge. Sequencing was performed using the NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2.5 (#20024906) from Illumina. Preliminary analysis was then performed using the online platform Basepair Technologies.
Total RNA was isolated, and reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Promega RT Kit (#A3500). q-RT-PCR was performed using specific primer sequences as outlined in (Table S7). The resulting CT values for each technical replicate were averaged and the CT average of the gene of interest was subtracted from the average CT of the GAPDH calculating the delta CT. A reference sample was then set (the uninfected group was used for this purpose except when assessing viral transcripts in which case the sample hypothesized to express the highest level of viral transcripts was selected). This reference/control delta CT value once calculated was used to calculate the delta delta CT value for the rest of the samples via subtraction. Following calculation of the delta delta CT value, the value was multiplied by a common normalization factor and plotted as a fold change.

DNA was isolated from organoid samples following FACs sorting for live cells and GFP expression using Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (69504). DNA yield from three independent organoids was then combined to boost concentration as with the RNA isolation. After DNA isolation qPCR was run for housekeeping gene GAPDH and HCMV gene UL123 to estimate total viral genomes within our GFP (Low), GFP (Int), and GFP (+) sorted populations. Relative expression was calculated using a five-point standard curve made from dilutions of our GFP (+) cells (group with highest viral gene expression). Once the relative expression values were determined for each sample, we divided the GAPDH by UL123 and plotted this ratio as relative host/viral genomes.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis
All statistical analysis was performed using Graph Pad Version 9. Data were collected from 2 independent organoid differentiations and 1 set of infections for bulk RNA-seq experiments. For experiments conducted using NPCs, 3 biological replicates were included for all qPCRs and data was analyzed by one-way ANOVA or Student’s T-test as appropriate with Tukey post hoc test for qPCR. p < 0.05 was considered significant (details on qPCR quantification method can be found above).

Bioinformatic analyses
Fastq files were exported from illumina sequence hub following sequencing then optical duplicates were removed and reference genome created using the raw fasta sequences for hg19. The raw RNA Seq reads are mapped and quantified to combined reference genome using Salmon v1.4.0. The read count matrix for all transcripts were utilized in R to make PCA plots and to run differential expression analysis. DESeq2 in R was used to perform the comparisons against mock samples to get significant up regulated and down regulated genes at adjusted p value < 0.05 and fold change of 3. Basipair Tech software and expression count analysis of the trimmed reads was conducted using STAR. Differentially expressed genes were identified by DESeq2 analysis using cutoffs of adjusted p-value < 0.05 and log2 fold change of ±3. Gene ontology analysis was subsequently performed using G-profiler and DAVID. Additional RNA seq analysis was performed using ingenuity pathway analysis from Qiagen and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis tool from UC San Diego and the Broad Institute. For both G-profiler and IPA analysis a list of the 3,000 most differentially expressed genes across samples analyzed were used. All these genes met the threshold of adj p value < 0.01 and log2 fold change ±9 as determined by DESeq2 analysis. For GSEA analysis the entire list of differentially expressed genes identified by DESeq2 meeting the adj p value < 0.05 and log2 fold change of ±3 cutoffs were used.

Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using GSEA version 3.0 software with default parameters, classic enrichment, and phenotype permutations at 1000 times. GSEA was performed on differentially expressed genes determined from DESeq2 analysis of GFP (+) vs. Mock and GFP (Low) vs. Mock samples using genes that met the cutoff of adj p value of <0.05 and log2 fold change ±3. The enrichment plots displayed in Figure 3 were generated from analysis of GFP (+) vs. Mock or GFP (Low) vs. Mock using the software’s hallmark gene set.