INTRODUCTION

Indigenous knowledge of medicinal plants provides the basis for the discovery of new drugs. *Sterculia quadrifida* R. Br. is one of the most commonly used medicinal plants in East Nusa Tenggara Province, Indonesia. *S. quadrifida* bark is used to cure hepatitis, kidney disease, rheumatism, lower back pain, anemia, and stamina recovery [1]. This species belongs to the family Sterculiaceae which generally grows at an altitude of 1–1000 m asl. It has not been cultivated intensively, but can be propagated by seeds and cuttings [1]. *S. quadrifida* tree can grow up to 20 m high and flowers in April–June. The fruit is orange when ripe and contains 4–8 black seeds. In the Province of East Nusa Tenggara, *S. quadrifida* can be found on the islands of Timor, Sumba, Alor, Pantar, Rote, and Flores [2,3]. *S. quadrifida* is also known to grow in Australia [4] and India [5]. Aboriginal people in Australia use *S. quadrifida* leaves to treat stings and consume its young tree roots and seeds [6].

Medicinal plants that are harvested for their bark and motts are highly vulnerable to extinction due to excessive harvesting [7]. In Kupang city, *S. quadrifida* trees are frequently subjected to intensive peeling of their stem bark. In addition, people also tend to peel bark that has never been peeled because they think it is more efficacious than regrown bark. From these observations, the question arises: (1) Whether the antioxidant activity in the bark that has never been peeled is different from the regrown bark and (2) whether other parts of *S. quadrifida* trees also have potential to be used as remedies. Other studies of *S. quadrifida* have only determined the phenolic content, flavonoids, and antioxidants in the bark that has never been peeled [8,9].

Phytochemical screening in a previous study showed that *S. quadrifida* bark contains flavonoids, phenolic, alkaloids, and saponin [2]. Flavonoids are the largest group of phenol compounds [10]. Flavonoids are known to have antioxidant activity, as well as tannins which perform free radical scavenging activity. Medicinal plants that have high antioxidant activity are often used for the treatment of liver disease [11]. Medicinal plants are the main source of natural antioxidant. Antioxidant plays an important role as radical scavengers [12]. Phenolic compounds are the major contributor to the antioxidant capacity in plants [13]. Some studies indicate positive correlation of TPC and radical scavenging activity [14,15]. In the contrary, another study suggests a negative correlation between TPC and antioxidant activity [16]. The comparison of TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity among different parts of *S. quadrifida* had not been studied. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to determine the antioxidant activity, and hence the TPC, and the TFC of ethanolic extracts from different parts of *S. quadrifida* tree.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

This study was conducted from June 2015 to January 2016 in Kupang, East Nusa Tenggara Province, Indonesia, located at S 10° 07’ 40”–10° 14’ 00” and E 123° 31’ 35”–123° 41’ 00”. Kupang has a low annual average rainfall (1.290 mm/year). Its average humidity is 77% and its average temperature is 27.5°C [17]. The sampling locations are shown in Fig. 1.

Plant materials

The plant materials were identified in the Research Center for Biology, Indonesian Institute of Sciences. For the purpose of the present study, 14 *S. quadrifida* trees with diameters of 15–30 cm were selected from an altitude of <300 m asl. The trees were selected based on a former...
Determination of TPCs

TPC values in the plant extracts were determined using procedure modified from [20]. The standard curve was drawn Ga\hspace{0.1em}llic\hspace{0.1em}acid. First, 10 mg of Gallic acid was dissolved in 0.5 ml of Folin–Ciocalteau reagent and 7.5 ml of Aqua Bdest. After 10 min at room temperature, 1.5 ml of 20% sodium carbonate was added. The mixture was placed in a heated water bath at 40°C for 20 min and immediately cooled with liquid ice. The mixture was then diluted with Aqua Bdest until the volume reached 10 ml. Subsequently, it was transferred into a cuvette. The absorbance reading was done at 570 nm wavelength. Based on the result, a regression equation between the concentration of Gallic acid and the absorbance was made. TPC was expressed as milligrams of Gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per g of dried sample. Tests were carried out in triplicates.

Determination of antioxidant activity

Radical scavenging abilities of the extracts were determined by a procedure described by a previous study [21]. 50 \( \mu \)l of a test sample of various concentrations were obtained to determine the IC\(_{50}\) values. IC\(_{50}\) is the concentration of extract/fraction that has 50% antioxidant activity of the control based on a linear regression equation. The samples were mixed with 1.0 ml of 0.4 M DPPH and 3.950 ml of ethanol. The solutions were then homogenized through vortex mixing and left for 30 min. The absorbance reading was done at 517 nm wavelength versus a blank consisting of 50 \( \mu \)l of extract and 4.950 ml of ethanol. The absorbance reading of the control was carried out on a control solution made of 1 ml of DPPH and 4 ml of ethanol. IC\(_{50}\) values (\( \mu \)g/ml) (concentrations of test samples that provided 50% inhibition of the DPPH radical) were calculated from the DPPH absorption curve. Vitamin C was used as the standard control.

Data analysis

All results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were conducted using Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft) and SPSS Statistics 22.0 (IBM). One-way analysis of variance combined with Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc comparison was used to determine the differences of means among the samples. The correlation between TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity was analyzed using Pearson Correlation. IC\(_{50}\) was determined by linear regression curve.

RESULTS

It is rather difficult to find \( S.\quad quadrifida \) trees with a diameter of 15–20 cm. Consequently, most samples were obtained from trees with a diameter of 21–30 cm (Fig. 2). Of the two trees, it was not possible to obtain samples of old regrown bark due to intensive stripping. Tree dimensions, bark thickness, and leaves weight of the sampled trees are presented in (Table 1).

After stripping, \( S.\quad quadrifida \) stem bark will regenerate and new regrown bark will grow differently from the surrounding bark (Fig. 3a). It has a lighter color than non-stripped or old regrown stem bark. In addition, it is easier to peel new regrown bark. Old regrown bark has the same color as non-stripped bark but is more concave than the surrounding bark (Fig. 3b). Old regrown bark is characterized by a layer that is almost similar to non-stripped bark (Fig. 3c), and it has thicker and harder cambium tissue. Other parts sampled from the trees were leaves (Fig. 4), root (Fig. 5), and branches (Fig. 6).

TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity

The level of TFC and TPC of \( S.\quad quadrifida \) plant parts is presented in (Table 2).

The values are expressed as mean ± SD of three replicate values. Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference of superscript by LSD test at p<0.01. TPC was expressed as GAE (\( \mu \)g/ml) samples. TFC was expressed as QE (\( \mu \)g/ml) samples.
The TFC values were 0.58±0.13 mg QE/g, 0.59±0.08 mg QE/g, 0.88±0.06 mg QE/g, 1.15±0.07 mg QE/g, and 1.25±0.10 mg QE/g for leaves, old regrown stem bark, new regrown stem bark, root bark, and branch bark, respectively. TFC of old regrown stem bark was insignificantly different (p>0.01) from that of leaves, but was significantly different from other parts. Similarly, TPC of branch bark, old regrown stem bark, and new regrown stem bark was significantly different. TPC of the non-stripped bark and leaves was insignificantly different from each other (p>0.01).

The TPC values were 8.61±0.09 mg GAE/g, 9.29±0.18 mg GAE/g, 9.33±0.15 mg GAE/g, 9.50±0.09 mg GAE/g, 9.77±0.21 mg GAE/g, and 10.43±0.08 mg GAE/g for new regrown stem bark, leaves, non-stripped stem bark, old regrown stem bark, root bark, and branch bark, respectively. Branch bark had the highest TFC and TPC. Leaves had the lowest TFC, while new regrown bark had the lowest TPC. The concentration of each extract required to inhibit radical by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) is shown in (Table 3). The antioxidant activities of the examined parts were significantly different (P<0.01).

### Table 1: Sterculia quadrifida tree dimensions, bark thickness, and weight per 10 leaves of tree samples

| Tree | Dbh (cm) | Tree height (m) | Branch-free bole length (m) | Bark thickness (mm) | Leaves | Per 10 leaves (g) |
|------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|
|      |         |                 |                             | Non stripped stem bark | Branch bark | Old regrown stem bark | New regrown stem bark | Root bark |                     |
| 1    | 29      | 7               | 4.3                         | 7.66               | 9.73    | 4.86             | 5.32               | 6.39     | 3.09               |
| 2    | 19      | 4.7             | 1.5                         | 13.6               | -       | 4.92             | 4.63               | -        | 2.38               |
| 3    | 16      | 5               | 2.8                         | 9.23               | 7.68    | 8.31             | 5.74               | 6.61     | 2.91               |
| 4    | 21      | 5               | 3.7                         | 11.17              | 7.97    | 4.62             | 10.14              | 8.56     | 2.42               |
| 5    | 24      | 4               | 2.2                         | 9.38               | 7.81    | 6.39             | 5.91               | 5.43     | 2.81               |
| 6    | 21      | 3.4             | 1.9                         | 7.96               | 9.63    | 4.44             | 5.6                | -        | 2.41               |
| 7    | 30      | 7               | 3.7                         | 6.66               | 10.99   | 4.83             | 3.6                | 8.98     | 3.03               |
| 8    | 23      | 5.5             | 3                           | 13.66              | 9.46    | 3.92             | 3.06               | 11.88    | 1.61               |
| 9    | 28      | 6               | 2.8                         | 7.12               | 7.2     | 6.86             | 4.23               | 13.77    | 2.68               |
| 10   | 26      | 8               | 5.5                         | 11.25              | 4.6     | 5.47             | 6.1                | -        | 1.72               |
| 11   | 16      | 3.8             | 1.5                         | 9.86               | 8.38    | -                | -                  | -        | -                  |
| 12   | 26      | 6               | 3.4                         | 11.66              | 10.4    | 5.77             | 5.72               | 6.49     | 2.61               |
| 13   | 18      | 6.5             | 4.3                         | 10.1               | 11.25   | -                | 5.03               | -        | 2.22               |
| 14   | 27      | 5.5             | 1.5                         | 12.94              | 6.7     | 6.08             | 6.08               | 7.88     | 1.77               |
| Mean±SD |       | 23.14          | 5.32                        | 2.95               | 10.1±2.3 | 8.60±1.8 | 5.54±1.2  | 5.47±1.6 | 8.44±2.6 | 2.48±0.5 |

Remarks: (-) unavailable, n=14. SD: Standard deviation

Fig. 2: Sterculia quadrifida tree

Fig. 3: Sterculia quadrifida (a) new regrown stem bark; (b) old regrown stem bark; (c) original non-stripped stem bark

Fig. 4: Sterculia quadrifida leaves

Fig. 5: Sterculia quadrifida root
TFC values of *S. quadrifida* extracts showed a weak correlation with its antioxidant activity \((r = 0.373, p > 0.01)\) (Table 4). Likewise, the TFC values of the ethanol extracts of *S. quadrifida* showed a weak correlation with its antioxidant activity \((r = 0.211, p > 0.01)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Extraction is an important stage in the analysis of medicinal plants. Throughout the process of sample preparation and extraction, it must be ensured that no damage to or reduction in the content of the active compounds in the sample is caused [22]. The highest amount of bark was obtained from non-stripped stem bark. The largest percentage of yield was obtained from leaves while the smallest was obtained from branch bark (Table 5). The extract from non-stripped stem bark of *S. quadrifida* in the present study was lower than the extract obtained in a previous study [8], even though this study also used ethanol as a solvent. Some factors that affect the amount of extract are the parts of the plant, types of solvents [23, 24], and the amount of active biocontent [25]. Moreover, immersion time can also affect the amount of polyphenol content obtained from the extract. The greater the yield, the more efficient the extraction method is. Ethanol is a suitable polar solvent for polyphenol extraction. Ethanol solvents produce a higher total phenolic and flavonoid content as well as a higher antioxidant activity than acetone solvents [26].

Polyphenols are a major component of plants that have a therapeutic effect [27]. The TPC and TFC were identified in branch bark. TPC and TFC of *S. quadrifida* stem bark in the present study were lower than those of the previous study. The previous study obtained TPC of 1.16±0.012 (mg of GAE/g extract) and TFC of 6.61±0.123 (mg of QE/g extract) in which both values showed a close correlation with the antioxidant activity level [9]. The solvent used in the experiment affects the yield of phytochemical content [28]. Moreover, the differences in TPC and TFC were the result of the differences in location and time of sampling. During the rainy season, the intensity of solar radiation is lower; hence, it allegedly causes the lower content of secondary metabolites produced by plants during the season. Similarly, the phenol content has been reported to have a positive correlation with the intensity of solar radiation received by plants [29]. In addition, the content of secondary metabolites also correlates with precipitation, temperature, and nutrient content of the environment [30].

In addition to bark, leaves were another part of *S. quadrifida* that has been examined in previous studies. The results of phytochemical screening were detected flavonoids, steroids, terpenoids, tannins [4], amino acids, and fatty acids in the leaves of *S. quadrifida* [31]. Another study revealed that TPC, TFC, and antioxidant activity (IC₅₀) of *S. quadrifida* leaves were, respectively, 52.4±1.03 mg GAE/g, 70.5±1.45 mg CE/g, and 2.190±2.16 (µg/mL). Nevertheless, the total phenol and flavonoid contents of *S. quadrifida* were much lower than *Centella asiatica* (40.50 µg/mL), *Piper betle* (23 µg/mL), and *Morinda citrifolia* (85.20 µg/mL) [32]. Variations in phenolic content and the level antioxidant activity in different parts of the plant can be due to differences in morphological structure and physiological activity [28]. For example, IC₅₀ values of leaf, root, and bark of *Abutilon indicum* L. extracted by methanol were 1052.28, 1124.78, and 1268.47 µg/mL, respectively [24]. Moreover, the type of solvent also correlates with phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity values [33].

It is common for the local community to strip the bark that has never been stripped before. In fact, the analysis revealed that all bark tissues, including those of non-stripped, new regrown, and old regrown contained relatively the same TFC and TPC contents. Former study has also compared the phytochemical content of non-stripped bark and new regrown stem bark,

---

**Table 2: Total flavonoid content and total phenolic content of ethanolic extracts in different parts of Sterculia quadrifida**

| Plant parts                  | TFC (QE µg/ml) | TPC (GAE µg/ml) |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Non-stripped stem bark       | 0.8±0.06       | 9.3±0.15        |
| Branch bark                  | 1.2±0.10       | 10.4±0.08       |
| Root bark                    | 1.1±0.07       | 9.5±0.09        |
| Old regrown stem bark        | 0.5±0.06       | 9.7±0.21        |
| New regrown stem bark        | 1.0±0.16       | 8.6±0.09        |
| Leaves                      | 0.5±0.13       | 9.2±0.18        |

The values are expressed as mean±SD of three replicate values. Different letters in the same column indicate significant difference of superscript by LSD test at p<0.01. TPC was expressed as Gallic acid equivalents (GAE µg/ml) samples. GAE: Gallic acid equivalent. TFC was expressed as quercetin equivalents (QE µg/ml) samples. SD: Standard deviation

**Table 3: Total 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl scavenging activities of the ethanolic extracts in different parts of Sterculia quadrifida**

| Plant parts                  | IC₅₀ (µg/ml)±SD |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Non-stripped stem bark       | 7.45±0.03       |
| Branch bark                  | 5.29±0.04       |
| Root bark                    | 9.72±0.07       |
| Old regrown stem bark        | 3.43±0.12       |
| New regrown stem bark        | 2.51±0.03       |
| Leaves                      | 4.96±0.01       |
| Vitamin C                    | 4.74±0.04       |

Each value is the average of three analyses±SD. **Significant at p<0.01. SD: Standard deviation

---

**Table 4: Pearson’s correlation coefficients between total flavonoid content, total phenolic content, and inhibitory concentration of Sterculia quadrifida extracts**

| Variable | TPC | TPC | IC₅₀ |
|----------|-----|-----|------|
| TPC      | 1   | 0.21| 0.373|
| TPC      | 0.21| 1   | 0.211|
| IC₅₀     | 0.373| 0.211| 1   |

TFC: Total flavonoid content, TPC: Total phenolic content, IC₅₀: Inhibitory concentration

---

**Table 5: Rendemen of each plant part**

| Plant parts                  | Dry weight (g) | Extract (g) | Rendemen (%) |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|
| Non-stripped stem bark       | 180.65         | 9.12        | 5.05         |
| Old regrown stem bark        | 156.38         | 11.05       | 7.07         |
| New regrown stem bark        | 114.13         | 11.4        | 9.99         |
| Branch bark                  | 195.3          | 9.62        | 4.93         |
| Root bark                    | 78.96          | 4.44        | 5.62         |
| Leaves                      | 120.16         | 12.53       | 10.43        |

Extraction of each part was done once, n=1
which also found similar finding [34]. These results indicate that new regrown stem bark has the potential to be utilized in medicine.

The lower the IC50 value, the better the antioxidant activity is. Quercetin is a phenolic derivative compound from flavonoids that can be isolated from plants. In several studies, quercetin has been utilized as a standard to evaluate the antioxidant potential of medicinal plants. The IC50 value of quercetin reported in previous studies varies from 1.08 µg/ml [35], 10.25±1.45 µg/ml [36]. 14.52±2.12 µg/ml [37], to 60 µg/ml [38]. Based on these IC50 values, the antioxidant activity of S. quadrifida, overall, is equivalent or even stronger than that of quercetin. The strong antioxidant activity is in the range of 10-50 µg/ml [39]. Therefore, the antioxidant activity of the parts of S. quadrifida is classified as strong since the IC50 value is <10 µg/ml. The extract obtained from new regrown stem bark had higher antioxidant activity than those of other parts. The IC50 value of new regrown stem bark (2.51 µg/ml) is even stronger than the IC50 value of vitamin C (4.74 µg/ml). The antioxidant activity of S. quadrifida was also stronger than other plants from Sterculiaceae family such as Pterospermum reticulatum (182 µg/ml) and Pterospermum rubiginosum (1.66 µg/ml) [40].

A study on the antioxidant activity of the bark of Sclocencarya birrea found that it has a significantly higher antioxidant activity after being repeatedly stripped method compared to being stripped just once [41]. This indicates that stripping has an effect on antioxidant activity of the bark. Regrown bark also has several secondary metabolites that cannot be found in original bark [34]. Other studies on the antioxidant activity of the barks of S. quadrifida reported the IC50 values of 4.818 ± µg/ml [8] and 7.29 µg/ml [9]. Nevertheless, these studies did not specify whether the analyzed bark was non-stripped or new regrown barks.

Previous studies revealed that TPC and TFC positively correlates with antioxidant activity [32,38,42]. On the contrary, in the present study, TFC only has a weak correlation with the antioxidant activity. It is similar to the results of study on phenolic content and antioxidant activity in Phylanthus niruri [43], Pleurotus spp [44]. The weak correlation is assumed to be caused by other compounds that play a role in antioxidant activity. Thus, the TPC cannot be a benchmark for the antioxidant activity level. Another study showed a negative correlation between TPC and the DPPH free radical scavenging test [45]. This study was not able to identify the most dominant compounds that contribute to antioxidant activity. On the overall, all parts of S. quadrifida examined in this study had a very strong IC50 value.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed that some parts of S. quadrifida contain flavonoids, phenolics and show strong antioxidant activity that has the potential to be developed as a source of natural antioxidants. The strongest antioxidant activity was found in new regrown stem bark. Therefore, new regrown bark can be recommended for harvesting due to its high phytochemical content and for the purpose of sustainable harvesting. Further research is required to determine the presence of other compounds that contribute to antioxidant properties of S. quadrifida.
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