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Abstract

We derive the scattering amplitude $N(r)$ for a QCD dipole on a dense target in the semi-classical approximation. We include the first subleading correction in the target thickness arising from $\sim \rho^4$ operators in the effective action for the large-$x$ valence charges. Our result for $N(r)$ can be matched to a phenomenological proton fit by Albacete et al. over a broad range of dipole sizes $r$ and provides a definite prediction for the $A$-dependence for heavy-ion targets. We find a suppression of $N(r)$ for finite $A$ for dipole sizes a few times smaller than the inverse saturation scale, corresponding to a suppression of the classical \textit{bremsstrahlung} tail.
In this paper we derive the dipole scattering amplitude $N(r)$ on a dense target in the semi-classical approximation. We restrict to a perturbative expansion of the Wilson lines valid at short distances $r$ but include the first subleading (in density) correction arising from $\sim \rho^4$ operators in the effective action for the large-$x$ valence charges.

Our result may prove useful for a better theoretical understanding of the $A$-dependence of the initial condition for high-energy evolution of $N(r)$, as discussed in more detail below.

Let us first recall the setup for the McLerran-Venugopalan (MV) model \cite{MV}. The “valence” charges with large light-cone momentum fraction $x$ are described as recoilless sources on the light cone with $\rho^a(x_\perp, x^-)$ the classical color charge density per unit transverse area and longitudinal length $x^-$. Kinetic terms for $\rho$ are neglected since transverse momenta are assumed to be small. In the limit of a very high density of charge $\rho$ the fluctuations of the color charge, by the central limit theorem, are described by a Gaussian effective action

$$S_{MV}[\rho] = \int d^2 x_\perp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \, \frac{\rho^a(x^-, x_\perp) \rho^b(x^-, x_\perp)}{2\mu^2(x^-)} .$$

Here, $\mu^2(x^-) dx^-$ is the density of color sources per unit transverse area in the longitudinal slice between $x^-$ and $x^- + dx^-$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^- \mu^2(x^-) \sim g^2 A^{1/3}$$

is proportional to the thickness $\sim A^{1/3}$ of the target nucleus. This action can be used to evaluate the expectation value of the dipole operator

$$D(r) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \text{tr} \, V(x_\perp) V^\dagger(y_\perp) \rangle$$

$$= \exp \left( -\frac{g^4 C_F}{8\pi} \int dx^- \mu^2(x^-) \, r^2 \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda} \right)$$

$$= \exp \left( -\frac{Q_s^2 r^2}{4} \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda} \right) ,$$

where $r \equiv |x_\perp - y_\perp|$ and $\Lambda$ is an infrared cutoff on the order of the inverse nucleon radius; the explicit $r$-dependence has been obtained in the limit $\log 1/(r\Lambda) \gg 1$. The scale $Q_s$ denotes the saturation momentum at the rapidity of the sources. For details of the calculation we refer to refs. \cite{3-5} and to the appendix below.

To go beyond the limit of infinite valence charge density one considers a “random walk” in the space of SU(3) representations constructed from the direct product of a large number
of fundamental charges \[6\]. The effective action describing color fluctuations then involves a sum of the quadratic, cubic, and quartic Casimirs which can be written in the form \[7\]

\[
S[\rho] = \int d^2v \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_1 \left\{ \frac{\rho^a(v_1, v_\perp)\rho^a(v_1, v_\perp)}{2\mu^2(v_1)} - \frac{\rho^{abc}(v_1, v_\perp)\rho^b(v_1, v_\perp)\rho^c(v_1, v_\perp)}{\kappa_3} \right. \\
\left. + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_2 \frac{\rho^a(v_1, v_\perp)\rho^a(v_2, v_\perp)\rho^b(v_1, v_\perp)\rho^b(v_2, v_\perp)}{\kappa_4} \right\}, \quad (6)
\]

The coefficients of the higher dimensional operators are

\[
\kappa_3 \sim g^3 A^{2/3}, \quad (7) \\
\kappa_4 \sim g^4 A, \quad (8)
\]

and so involve higher powers of \(gA^{1/3}\). In what follows we restrict to leading order in \(1/\kappa_4\) and drop the “odderon” operator \(\sim \rho^3\) from \(6\) since it does not contribute to the expectation value of the dipole operator at leading order in \(1/\kappa_3\). The details of the calculation are shown in the appendix, here we just quote the final result for the \(T\)-matrix

\[
N(r) \equiv 1 - D(r) \\
= \frac{Q_s^2 r^2}{4} \log \frac{1}{r \Lambda} - \frac{C_F g^8}{6\pi^3 \kappa_4} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \mu^4(z^-) \right] \frac{1}{r \Lambda} + \frac{1}{r^2 \lambda \Lambda}, \quad \left( r^2 Q_s^2 < 1 \right). \quad (9)
\]

This now involves a new moment of the valence color charge distribution, namely \(\int dx^- \mu^4(x^-)\). We have calculated the \(\mathcal{O}(1/\kappa_4)\) correction analytically only in the “short distance” regime up to order \(\sim r^2\). Recall, however, that the effective theory \((1)\) or \((6)\) does not apply to the DGLAP regime at asymptotically short distances. Our result could in principle be extended into the saturation region \(r \gtrsim 1/Q_s\) by generating the color charge configurations \(\rho^a(x^-, x_\perp)\) non-perturbatively, numerically \[8\].

The dipole scattering amplitude for a proton target has been fitted in ref. \[9\] to deep-inelastic scattering data. The Albacete-Armesto-Milhano-Quiroga-Salgado (AAMQS) model for the initial condition for small-\(x\) evolution is given by

\[
N_{\text{AAMQS}}(r, x_0 = 0.01) = 1 - \exp \left[ \frac{1}{4} r^2 Q_s^2(x_0) \gamma \log \left( e + \frac{1}{r \Lambda} \right) \right], \quad (10)
\]

with \(\gamma \simeq 1.119\) \[^1\]. This model \emph{simultaneously} provides a good description of charged hadron transverse momentum distributions in \(p + p\) collisions at 7 TeV center of mass energy \[10\].

\[^1\] There are actually several fits, we refer to the AAMQS paper \[9\] for a more detailed discussion.
This is a rather non-trivial cross-check: the MV model initial condition \(5\) overshoots the LHC data by roughly an order of magnitude at \(p_{\perp} \gtrsim 6\) GeV \(10\).

However, since the model \(10\) was introduced essentially “by hand” it is an open question how it extends to nuclei. This is a crucial issue for predicting the nuclear modification factor \(R_{pA}\) for \(p + Pb\) collisions at LHC, and for heavy-ion structure functions which could be measured at a future electron-ion eIC collider \(11\). One possibility is that the AAMQS modification of the MV model dipole is due to some unknown \(A\)-independent non-perturbative effect. Here, we explore another option, namely that for protons the effects due to the \(\sim \rho^4\) operators may not be negligible.

We can match our result \(9\) approximately to the AAMQS model by choosing

\[
\beta \equiv \frac{C_F}{6\pi^3 \frac{g^8}{Q_s^2 \kappa_4}} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^{-} \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \simeq \frac{1}{100}, \quad (A = 1).
\]

For nuclei, \(\beta_A \sim A^{-2/3}\) since each longitudinal integration over \(z^-\) is proportional to the thickness \(\sim A^{1/3}\) of the nucleus while \(\mu^2(z^-)\) and \(\mu^4(z^-)\) are \(A\)-independent. The scattering amplitude for a dipole in the adjoint representation with this \(\beta\) is shown in fig. 1. One observes that the dipole scattering amplitude derived from the quartic action is similar to the AAMQS model over a broad range, \(rQ_s \gtrsim 0.04\). Discrepancies appear at very short

\[\text{FIG. 1: Left: scattering amplitude for an adjoint dipole} \quad (N_A = 2N - N^2) \quad \text{on a proton, assuming} \quad Q_s^2 = 0.168 \text{ GeV}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^2 = 0.0576 \text{ GeV}^2. \quad \text{Right: same for a nucleus with} \quad A = 200 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3}, \quad \beta_A \sim A^{-2/3}.\]

\[\text{To regularize the behavior at large} \, r, \, \text{for this figure we have replaced} \, \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda} \to \log(e + \frac{1}{r\Lambda}) \, \text{and assumed exponentiation of the} \, \mathcal{O}(r^2) \, \text{expression. This does not affect the behavior at} \, rQ_s < 1.\]

\[\text{4}\]
distances where none of the above can be trusted. A more careful and quantitative matching
of $\beta$ to the AAMQS fit beyond the leading log $1/r\Lambda \gg 1$ approximation should be performed
in the future.

On the right, we plot the scattering amplitude for a nucleus with $A = 200$ nucleons,
assuming that $Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3}$ while $\beta_A \sim A^{-2/3}$. This illustrates how expectation values obtained
with the quartic action converge to those from the MV model when the valence charge density
is high (i.e., at large $A^{1/3}$). If our idea that the $\rho^4$ term in the action provides the explanation
for the AAMQS model is indeed correct then their modification of the MV model should
vanish like $\beta_A \sim A^{-2/3}$. This should be observable via $R_{pA}$ at the LHC.
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I. APPENDIX

Expectation values of operators $O[\rho]$ are computed as

$$\langle O[\rho] \rangle \equiv \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, O[\rho] \, e^{-S[\rho]} \bigg/ \int \mathcal{D}\rho \, e^{-S[\rho]} \bigg.}{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, e^{-S[\rho]} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int dv_1^{-} dv_2^{-} \rho_{v_1}^a \rho_{v_2}^b \rho_{v_1}^{a^*} \rho_{v_2}^{b^*} \bigg]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

We work perturbatively in $1/\kappa_4$ and keep only the first term in the expansion. Then,

$$\langle O[\rho] \rangle \equiv \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, O[\rho] \, e^{-S_G[\rho]} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int dv_1^{-} dv_2^{-} \rho_{v_1}^a \rho_{v_2}^b \rho_{v_1}^{a^*} \rho_{v_2}^{b^*} \bigg]}{\int \mathcal{D}\rho \, e^{-S_G[\rho]} \bigg[ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int dv_1^{-} dv_2^{-} \rho_{v_1}^a \rho_{v_2}^b \rho_{v_1}^{a^*} \rho_{v_2}^{b^*} \bigg]} = \frac{\langle O[\rho] \bigg( 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int dv_1^{-} dv_2^{-} \rho_{v_1}^{a^*} \rho_{v_2}^{b^*} \rho_{v_1}^a \rho_{v_2}^b \bigg) \rangle_G}{\langle 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int dv_1^{-} dv_2^{-} \rho_{v_1}^a \rho_{v_2}^b \rho_{v_1}^{a^*} \rho_{v_2}^{b^*} \rangle_G}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)
In lattice regularization the denominator evaluates to
\[
\left\langle 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int d^2v_\perp \int d\nu_1^\perp d\nu_2^\perp \rho_{\nu_1}^a \rho_{\nu_2}^b \rho_{\nu_2}^b \rho_{\nu_1}^a \right\rangle_G
\]
\[
= 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \frac{N_s}{\Delta v_\perp} \left\{ (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^2(v^-) \right]^2 + 2 \left( N_c^2 - 1 \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) \right\} ,
\]  
(13)
where \( N_s \) denotes the number of lattice sites (the volume) and \( \Delta x_\perp \) the transverse area of a single lattice site (square of lattice spacing). Also, we have used a local \( \langle \rho \rho \rangle \) correlation function:
\[
\langle \rho^a(x^-, x_\perp) \rho^b(y^-, y_\perp) \rangle = \delta^{ab} \mu^2(x^-) \delta(x^- - y^-) \delta(x_\perp - y_\perp) .
\]  
(14)

A. Dipole Operator

We are interested in the expectation value of the dipole operator defined as
\[
\hat{D}(x_\perp, y_\perp) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} \ V(x_\perp)V^\dagger(y_\perp) .
\]  
(15)

Here, \( V \) denotes a Wilson line
\[
V(x_\perp) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ -ig^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^2} \rho_a(z^-, x_\perp) t_a \right\} ,
\]  
(16)
where
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^2} \rho_a(z^-, x_\perp) = \int d^2z_\perp G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) \rho_a(z^-, z_\perp) = -\frac{1}{g} A^+ ,
\]  
(17)
is proportional to the gauge potential in covariant gauge. The matrices \( t^a \) are the generators of the fundamental representation of SU(3), normalized according to \( \text{tr} \ t^a t^b = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} \).

\( G_0 \) is the static propagator which inverts the 2-dimensional Laplacian:
\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_\perp^2} G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) = \delta(x_\perp - z_\perp) ;
\]  
(18)
\[
G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) = -\int \frac{d^2k_\perp e^{i k_\perp \cdot (x_\perp - z_\perp)}}{(2\pi)^2 k_\perp^2} .
\]  
(19)

With this propagator we can write the Wilson line as
\[
V(x_\perp) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left\{ -ig^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \int d^2z_\perp G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) \rho_a(z^-, z_\perp) t^a \right\} .
\]  
(20)

The correlator \( \langle V(x_\perp)V^\dagger(y_\perp) \rangle \) for a Gaussian (MV) action has already been calculated before, see for example ref. \([5]\). The result is:
\[
\langle V(x_\perp)V^\dagger(y_\perp) \rangle_G = \exp \left\{ -\frac{g^4}{2} (t^a t_a) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right] \int d^2z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2 \right\} .
\]  
(21)
Note that this is diagonal in color (proportional to $\mathbb{I}_{3\times 3}$). To calculate the expectation value of the dipole operator with the new action, we first expand the Wilson lines order by order in the gauge coupling $g^2$,

$$V(x_\perp) = 1 - ig^2 \int d^2 z_\perp 1 G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \rho^a(z_1)t^a$$

$$-g^4 \int d^2 z_\perp d^2 z_\perp 1 G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp 1) G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp 2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_2^- \rho^a(z_1) \rho^b(z_2)t^a t^b + \cdots$$

(22)

$$V^\dagger(y_\perp) = 1 + ig^2 \int d^2 u_\perp 1 G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_1^- \rho^a(u_1)t^a$$

$$-g^4 \int d^2 u_\perp d^2 u_\perp 1 G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp 1) G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp 2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_2^- \rho^a(u_1) \rho^b(u_2)t^a t^b + \cdots$$

(23)

For brevity we only write the terms up to $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$ but below we shall actually require terms up to $\mathcal{O}(g^8)$.

To zeroth order in $g$, the expectation value of the correlator is just 1:

$$\mathcal{O}(g^0) = 1 .$$

The order $g^2$ contribution is zero because $\langle \rho^a(z) \rangle = 0$:

$$\mathcal{O}(g^2) = 0 .$$

B. Order $g^4$

The first non-trivial contribution arises at $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$ and is given by the sum of expectation values of three terms (two from each Wilson line and one mixed term):

$$-g^4 \int d^2 z_\perp d^2 z_\perp 1 G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp 1) G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp 2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_2^- \langle \rho^a(z_1) \rho^b(z_2) \rangle t^a t^b ,$$

(24)

$$-g^4 \int d^2 u_\perp d^2 u_\perp 1 G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp 1) G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp 2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_2^- \langle \rho^a(u_1) \rho^b(u_2) \rangle t^a t^b ,$$

(25)

$$g^4 \int d^2 z_\perp \int d^2 u_\perp 1 G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp 1) G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_1^- \langle \rho^a(z_1) \rho^b(u_1) \rangle t^a t^b .$$

(26)
Using (12) and (21) the first term becomes (we will divide by the normalization factor later):

\[- \frac{g^4}{2} t^a t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0^2(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) \]

\[+ \frac{g^4}{\kappa_4} \int d^2 z_{\perp 1} d^2 z_{\perp 2} d^2 v_{\perp} G_0(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp 1}) G_0(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp 2}) \times \]

\[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \int d v_{\perp 1} d v_{\perp 2} \langle \rho^a_{z_1} \rho^b_{z_2} \rho^c_{v_{\perp 1}} \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle t^a t^b . \]  

(27)

All possible contractions for the second term in the above expression are

\[\langle \rho^a_{z_1} \rho^b_{z_2} \rho^c_{v_{\perp 1}} \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle = \langle \rho^a_{z_1} \rangle \langle \rho^b_{z_2} \rangle \left[ \langle \rho^c_{v_{\perp 1}} \rangle \langle \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle + 2 \langle \rho^c_{v_{\perp 1}} \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle \right] \]

\[+ 4 \langle \rho^a_{z_1} \rangle \left\{ \langle \rho^b_{z_2} \rangle \langle \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle + 2 \langle \rho^b_{z_2} \rho^d_{v_{\perp 2}} \rangle \right\} , \]  

(28)

and are shown diagrammatically in fig. 2. Using (14) to perform the contractions and dividing also by the normalization factor (13) leads us to

\[
1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_{\perp}} \left\{ (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^2(v^-) \right]^2 + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) \right\} \times 
\left\{ - \frac{g^4}{2} t^a t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0^2(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) \times 
\left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_{\perp}} \left[ (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^2(v^-) \right]^2 + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) \right] \right\} + 
2 \frac{g^4}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_{\perp}} t^a t_a \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0^2(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) \times 
\left\{ (N_c^2 - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^6(z^-) \right\} \right\} \]  

(29)

The third line in the above expression cancels\(^4\) the normalization factor once the latter is

\(^3\) One has to be careful with performing the integration over \(z^-\): \(\int_{z_1^-}^{z_2^-} dz^- \delta(z^- - z^-) = 1/2\). If \(x^-\) is discretized, \(z_2^-\) should be placed ahead of \(z_1^-\) by at least half a lattice spacing \(\Delta x^-\). Similarly, when expanding a single Wilson lines to order \(g^6\): \(z^-_3 \geq z^-_2 + \Delta x^-/2 \geq z^-_1 + \Delta x^-\).

\(^4\) This is the standard cancellation of disconnected diagrams.
expanded to leading order in $1/\kappa_4$ so that the previous expression simplifies to

$$
- \frac{g^4}{2} \mu t_a \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_\perp G^2_0(x_\perp - z_\perp)
\times \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right. \\
- \frac{4}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_\perp} \left[ (N_c^2 - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^6(z^-) \right] \right\}. \quad (30)
$$

The correction is absorbed into a renormalization of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-)$ in order that the two-point function $\langle \rho \rho \rangle$ remains unaffected by the quartic term in the action:

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \tilde{\mu}^2(z^-) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) - \frac{4}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_\perp} \left[ (N_c^2 - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^6(z^-) \right]. \quad (31)
$$

Finally, the expectation value of $V(x_\perp)$ to order $g^4$ can simply be written as

$$
- \frac{g^4}{2} \mu t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \tilde{\mu}^2(z^-) \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_\perp G^2_0(x_\perp - z_\perp). \quad (32)
$$

Similarly, $\langle V^+(y_\perp) \rangle$:

$$
- \frac{g^4}{2} \mu t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \tilde{\mu}^2(z^-) \int d^2 \mathbf{u}_\perp G^2_0(y_\perp - u_\perp). \quad (33)
$$

The mixed term will be the same as the previous terms except with a positive sign and without the factor of $1/2$ which originated from the path ordering ($z_\perp^-_1$ and $u_\perp^-_1$ are not ordered relative to each other):

$$
g^4 t_a t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \tilde{\mu}^2(z^-) \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_\perp G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp). \quad (34)
$$

Summing (32), (33) and (34), we obtain the complete result at order $g^4$:

$$
\mathcal{O}(g^4) = -\frac{g^4}{2} \mu t_a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \tilde{\mu}^2(z^-) \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_\perp \left[ G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp) \right]^2. \quad (35)
$$

This is identical to the result obtained in the Gaussian theory once the two-point function $\langle \rho \rho \rangle \sim \mu^2$ has been matched. This was to be expected, of course, since only two-point functions of $\rho$ arise at $\mathcal{O}(g^4)$. Note, also, that (35) vanishes as $y_\perp \to x_\perp$. 

FIG. 3: Order $g^8/\kappa_4$ contribution to $\langle V \rangle$.

C. Order $g^8$

Next, we consider order $g^8$. There are all in all five terms: two of order $g^8$ from the expansion of a single Wilson line, two mixed terms (order $g^2$ from the first line and $g^6$ from the second line and vice versa), and one term from multiplying $g^4$ terms from both Wilson lines.

1. $g^8$ from $V(x_\perp)$

First, we calculate $\langle V(x_\perp) \rangle$ at order $g^8$. In the Gaussian theory

$$\frac{g^8}{8} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2 (z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp G_0^2 (x_\perp - z_\perp) \right]^2.$$ 

Again, using [12], the correction is

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} \int d^2 z_{1\perp} d^2 z_{2\perp} d^2 z_{3\perp} d^2 z_{4\perp} \int d^2 v_\perp G_0 (x_\perp - z_{1\perp}) G_0 (x_\perp - z_{2\perp}) G_0 (x_\perp - z_{3\perp}) G_0 (x_\perp - z_{4\perp})$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_1^- \int_{z_1^-}^{\infty} dz_2^- \int_{z_2^-}^{\infty} dz_3^- \int_{z_3^-}^{\infty} dz_4^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_1^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_2^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_3^- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_4^- \langle \rho_{a_1}^b \rho_{a_2}^c \rho_{a_3}^d \rho_{a_4}^e \rangle$$

All possible contractions for $\langle \rho_{a_1}^b \rho_{a_2}^c \rho_{a_3}^d \rho_{a_4}^e \rangle$ are shown diagrammatically in fig. 3.

The disconnected diagrams [3a] give the following contribution:

$$- \frac{g^8}{8} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2 (z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp G_0^2 (x_\perp - z_\perp) \right]^2$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_\perp} N_s \left[ (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^2 (v^-) \right]^2 + 2 (N_c^2 - 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4 (v^-) \right],$$

which will cancel the normalization factor.
The connected diagrams from fig. 3B again renormalize $\mu^2(z^-)$ to $\bar{\mu}^2(z^-)$:

$$\left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \bar{\mu}^2(z^-) \right]^2 = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2$$

$$- \frac{8}{\kappa_4 \Delta v_{\perp}} \left[ (N_c^2 - 1) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^4(v^-) + 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \mu^6(v^-) \right]$$

Finally, the diagrams 3C give the correction

$$- \frac{g^8}{8} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^4_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) . \quad (39)$$

That leads us to the complete $O(g^8)$ contribution to $\langle V(x_{\perp}) \rangle$:

$$\frac{g^8}{8} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^2_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \right]^2$$

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^4_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) . \quad (40)$$

The expectation value of $V^+(y_{\perp})$ is obtained from the above by substituting $\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \to \mathbf{y}_{\perp}$.

2. $g^6$ from $V(x_{\perp}) \times g^2$ from $V^+(y_{\perp})$

Next is the mixed term obtained when multiplying the $g^6$ term from the $x$ Wilson line with the $g^2$ term from the $y$ Wilson line. In the Gaussian theory,

$$- \frac{g^8}{2} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^2_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \int d^2 \mathbf{u}_{\perp} G_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{u}_{\perp}) G_0(\mathbf{y}_{\perp} - \mathbf{u}_{\perp}) . \quad (41)$$

The correction is:

$$\frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{12} d^2 \mathbf{z}_{13} G_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{12}) G_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{13}) \int d^2 \mathbf{u}_{11} G_0(\mathbf{y}_{\perp} - \mathbf{u}_{11})$$

$$\times \int d^2 \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{11} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{13} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{11} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{13}$$

$$\times \langle \rho_{\mu_b}^{\mu_c} \rho_{\rho_{\mu_1} \rho_{\mu_2}}^\mu_{\rho_{\mu_3} \rho_{\mu_4}} \rangle t^a t^b t^c t^d . \quad (42)$$

The possible contractions are given in fig. 4. As before, the disconnected diagrams 4A cancel against the normalization, while the diagrams in 4B renormalize $\mu^2$ to $\bar{\mu}^2$; finally the diagrams 4C will give the correction. Summing all these diagrams plus the Gaussian part, we get:

$$- \frac{g^8}{2} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \bar{\mu}^2(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^2_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) \int d^2 \mathbf{u}_{\perp} G_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{u}_{\perp}) G_0(\mathbf{y}_{\perp} - \mathbf{u}_{\perp})$$

$$+ 4 \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} \left( t^a t_a \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{z}_{\perp} G^4_0(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) G_0(\mathbf{y}_{\perp} - \mathbf{z}_{\perp}) . \quad (43)$$
FIG. 4: $V(x_\perp)V^\dagger(y_\perp)$ at order $g^8/\kappa_4$ ($g^6$ from $V(x_\perp) \times g^2$ from $V^\dagger(y_\perp)$).

Once again, a similar contribution (with $x_\perp \leftrightarrow y_\perp$) arises from $V(x_\perp)$ at $O(g^2)$ times $V^\dagger(y_\perp)$ at order $g^6$.

3. $g^4$ from $V(x_\perp) \times g^4$ from $V^\dagger(y_\perp)$

The last term to consider is the one obtained when multiplying $O(g^4)$ from the $x$ Wilson line with $O(g^4)$ from the $y$ Wilson line. The Gaussian contribution is:

$$
\frac{g^8}{4} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \int d^2 z_\perp G_0^2(x_\perp - z_\perp) \int d^2 u_\perp G_0^2(y_\perp - u_\perp) \\
+ \frac{g^8}{2} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^2(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) G_0(y_\perp - u_\perp) \right]^2.
$$

(44)
FIG. 5: Expectation value of $V(x_{\perp})$ at order $g^4$ times $V(y_{\perp})$ at order $g^4$.

For the quartic action we have to add

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} \int d^2 z_{\perp 1} d^2 z_{\perp 2} G_0(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp 1}) G_0(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp 2}) \int d^2 u_{\perp 1} d^2 u_{\perp 2} G_0(y_{\perp} - u_{\perp 1}) G_0(y_{\perp} - u_{\perp 2})$$

$$\times \int d^2 v_{\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{1}^{-} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{2}^{-} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{1}^{-} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_{2}^{-} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{1}^{-} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv_{2}^{-}$$

$$\times \langle \rho^a_{z_1} \rho^b_{z_2} \rho^d_{u_{11}} \rho^e_{u_{12}} \rho^e_{v_{11}} \rho^f_{v_{12}} \rangle \tau^a b e t d .$$

(45)

Following the same procedure as before, the diagrams from fig. 5 give:

$$\frac{g^8}{4} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^{-} \tilde{\mu}^2(z^{-}) \right]^2 \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0^2(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) \int d^2 u_{\perp} G_0^2(y_{\perp} - u_{\perp})$$

$$+ \frac{g^8}{2} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^{-} \tilde{\mu}^2(z^{-}) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) G_0(y_{\perp} - u_{\perp}) \right]^2$$

$$- 6 \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^{-} \mu^4(z^{-}) \right]^2 \int d^2 z_{\perp} G_0^2(x_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) G_0^2(y_{\perp} - z_{\perp}) .$$

(46)
4. Complete order $g^8$

Combining eqs. (40) + $x_\perp \leftrightarrow y_\perp$), (43) + $x_\perp \leftrightarrow y_\perp$), and (16) we get the complete contribution to the expectation value of the dipole at order $g^8$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g_4(t^a t_a)}{2} \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \bar{\mu}^2(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2 \right]$$

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} (t^a t_a)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^4 \right].$$

Note that this again vanishes as $y_\perp \rightarrow x_\perp$.

D. Complete expectation value of the dipole operator

Adding together the terms of order 1, $g^4$ and $g^8$, the expectation value of the dipole operator becomes

$$D(x_\perp - y_\perp) \equiv \langle \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr} V(x_\perp) V^\dagger(y_\perp) \rangle =$$

$$1 - \frac{g^4}{2} C_F \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \bar{\mu}^2(z^-) \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{g^4 C_F}{2} \right)^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \bar{\mu}^2(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2 \right]^2$$

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} C_F^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^4 \right] + \cdots \quad (47)$$

where

$$C_F = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{2 N_c}.$$

To write this in a more compact form we introduce the saturation scale

$$Q_s^2 \equiv \frac{g^4}{2 \pi} C_F \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \bar{\mu}^2(z^-), \quad (48)$$

so that

$$D(r) = 1 - \pi Q_s^2 \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2$$

$$+ \frac{\pi^2}{2} Q_s^4 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2 \right]^2$$

$$- \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} C_F^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz\, \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \left[ \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^4 \right]. \quad (49)$$

Here, $r = |x_\perp - y_\perp|$. 
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E. Explicit evaluation of $D(r)$ to leading $\log 1/r$ accuracy

It is useful to obtain an explicit expression for $D(r)$ in the limit $\log 1/r\Lambda \gg 1$, where $\Lambda$ is an infrared cutoff on the order of the inverse nucleon radius.

The first non-trivial term in eq. (49) gives

$$\pi Q_s^2 \int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \int d^2 z_\perp \int d^2 k_1 d^2 k_2 d^2 k_3 d^2 k_4 \frac{1}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 k_4^2} e^{i(k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4)\cdot(x_\perp - y_\perp)}$$

$$\int \frac{dk}{k^3} [1 - J_0(kr)] \approx \frac{1}{4} r^2 Q_s^2 \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda}, \quad (50)$$

in the leading $\log 1/r\Lambda \gg 1$ approximation.

Next, we need to compute the integral

$$\int d^2 z_\perp [G_0(x_\perp - z_\perp) - G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp)]^4 . \quad (51)$$

From eq. (19) for the propagator,

$$\int d^2 z_\perp G_0^4(x_\perp - z_\perp) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^8} \int d^2 z_\perp \int d^2 k_1 d^2 k_2 d^2 k_3 d^2 k_4 \frac{1}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2 k_4^2} e^{i(k_1+k_2+k_3+k_4)\cdot(x_\perp - y_\perp)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^2 k d^2 k d^2 q d^2 q \frac{\delta(K + Q)}{\left(\frac{k}{2} + k\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} - k\right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{2} + q\right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{2} - q\right)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^2 k \left[ \int d^2 k \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k}{2} + k\right)^2 \left(\frac{k}{2} - k\right)^2} \right]^2 . \quad (52)$$

We regularize the integral in the square brackets by introducing a cutoff $\Lambda$:

$$\int d^2 k \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k}{2} + k\right)^2 + \Lambda^2} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{k}{2} - k\right)^2 + \Lambda^2} = \frac{2\pi}{K^2} \log \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} . \quad (53)$$

Then,

$$\int d^2 z_\perp G_0^4(x_\perp - z_\perp) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2 K \frac{K^2}{K^4} \log^2 \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^2 K \frac{K^2}{K^4} \log^2 \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} . \quad (54)$$

Following the same procedure for $\int d^2 z_\perp G_0^2(x_\perp - z_\perp) G_0^2(y_\perp - z_\perp)$ we arrive at:

$$\int d^2 z_\perp G_0^2(x_\perp - z_\perp) G_0^2(y_\perp - z_\perp) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^2 K \frac{K^2}{K^4} e^{iK\cdot(x_\perp - y_\perp)} \log^2 \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^2 K J_0(kr) \log^2 \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{1}{3} \lambda^2 \log^3 (r\Lambda) \right) + O \left[ r^2 \log^2 (r\Lambda) \right] . \quad (55)$$
Similarly,
\[
\int d^2z_\perp G_0^3(x_\perp - z_\perp)G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp) = \\
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int \frac{d^2K}{K^2} e^{iK \cdot (x_\perp - y_\perp)} \log \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} \int d^2q \frac{e^{iq \cdot (x_\perp - y_\perp)}}{(K/2 + q)^2 (K/2 - q)^2}.
\] (57)

Using:
\[
\int d^2q \frac{e^{iq \cdot (x_\perp - y_\perp)}}{(K/2 + q)^2 (K/2 - q)^2} \approx \int d^2q \frac{1 + iq \cdot r}{(K/2 + q)^2 (K/2 - q)^2} = \frac{2\pi}{K^2} \log \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} + \mathcal{O}(Kr),
\] (58)

we get
\[
\int d^2z_\perp G_0^3(x_\perp - z_\perp)G_0(y_\perp - z_\perp) = \\
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_\Lambda^\infty d^2K J_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} Kr \right) \log^2 \frac{K^2}{\Lambda^2} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{4}{3} r^2 \log^2 (r\Lambda) \right).
\] (59)

so that, finally,
\[
D(r) = 1 - \frac{r^2 Q_s^2}{4} \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda} + \frac{1}{6\pi^2} \frac{g^8}{\kappa_4} C_F^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \log^3 \frac{1}{r\Lambda}
\] (60)

\[
= 1 - \frac{r^2 Q_s^2}{4} \log \frac{1}{r\Lambda} + \beta r^2 Q_s^2 \log^3 \frac{1}{r\Lambda}.
\] (61)

\(\beta\) has been defined in eq. (11).

Performing a Fourier transform we obtain the transverse momentum dependence of the dipole for \(k_\perp \gg Q_s \gg \Lambda\):
\[
D(k_\perp) \approx 2\pi \frac{Q_s^2}{k_\perp^4} - \frac{g^8}{\pi^2 \kappa_4} C_F^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^- \mu^4(z^-) \right]^2 \frac{1}{k_\perp^2} \log \frac{k_\perp^2}{\Lambda^2}
\] (62)

\[
= 2\pi \frac{Q_s^2}{k_\perp^4} - 6\pi \beta \frac{Q_s^2}{k_\perp^4} \log^2 \frac{k_\perp^2}{\Lambda^2}.
\] (63)

The first term was taken from appendix B of ref. [5]. The second term provides a correction to the classical bremsstrahlung tail for finite valence parton density.

F. Gluon density

One can define [4] a Weizsäcker-Williams like gluon density of a nucleus, in the limit of small \(x_\perp^2\), as
\[
xG_A(x, x_\perp^2) = \frac{(N_c^2 - 1) \pi R^2}{\pi^2 \alpha_s N_c} \frac{1}{x_\perp^2} N(x_\perp^2).
\] (64)
For the quartic action
\[
N(x^2_{\perp}) = \frac{Q_s^2 x^2_{\perp}}{4} \log \frac{1}{x_{\perp} \Lambda} - \beta x^2_{\perp} Q_s^2 \log^3 \frac{1}{x_{\perp} \Lambda}.
\] (65)

The first term, via eq. (64), gives \( xG_A \sim A^4 \). The second term gives a correction
\[
- \frac{(N_c^2 - 1) \pi R^2}{\pi^2 \alpha_s N_c} \beta Q_s^2 \log^3 \frac{1}{x_{\perp} \Lambda} \sim - A^4.
\] (66)

G. Form of the quartic term in the action

In this section we explain why the \( \rho \)'s in the quartic term of the action should sit at two
different points in the longitudinal direction in order that \( N(r) \) vanishes as \( \sim r^2 \) as required
by color transparency.

First, let us note that the correction to the dipole scattering amplitude due to the quartic
term in the action results from the diagrams 3c, 4c and 5c. Now, let us consider the action:
\[
S[\rho] = \int d^2v_{\perp} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dv^- \left\{ \rho^a(v^-,v_{\perp})\rho^a(v^-,v_{\perp}) \right\}
\frac{2\mu^2(v^-)}{2\mu^2(v^-)}
+ \frac{\rho^a(v^-,v_{\perp})\rho^b(v^-,v_{\perp})\rho^b(v^-,v_{\perp})}{\kappa_4}
\] (67)

The analogue of diagram 3c for \( \langle V \rangle \) at order \( g^8/\kappa_4 \) for this action is shown in fig. 6. However,
this diagram vanishes due to the longitudinal path ordering in the Wilson line.

The same reasoning applies to the analogue of 4c shown in fig. 7. In this case the three
points \( z_1^- \), \( z_2^- \) and \( z_3^- \), can not be connected simultaneously to one \( v^- \) point. The delta
functions coming from this kind of contractions, \( \delta(z_1^- - v^-)\delta(z_2^- - v^-)\delta(z_3^- - v^-) \), imply
overlap of \( z_1^- \) and \( z_3^- \) which is not there in a path ordered integral.

That leaves us with only one type of diagram proportional to \( 1/\kappa_4 \), shown in fig. 8. This
diagram is not zero since there is no relative ordering between the points \( z^- \) and \( u^- \) on the
two lines. This diagram has a constant \( r \)-independent contribution which does not cancel.
because of the missing diagrams 6 and 7. This is (only terms at order 1/κ4 are given)

\[ D(r) \sim 1 - \frac{3g^8}{κ_4} (C_F^2 - \frac{2}{N_c}) \int_{-∞}^{∞} dz^- μ^8(z^-) \int d²z_⊥ C_0^2(x_⊥ - z_⊥) C_0^2(y_⊥ - z_⊥) \]

\[ = 1 - \frac{3g^8}{(2π)^3κ_4} (C_F^2 - \frac{2}{N_c}) \int_{-∞}^{∞} dz^- μ^8(z^-) \left( \frac{1}{Λ^2} - \frac{1}{3}r^2 \text{log}^3 \left( \frac{1}{rΛ} \right) \right). \quad (68) \]

Hence we see that for the action (67) that \( N(r) = 1 - D(r) \) approaches a constant as \( r \to 0 \), in violation of color transparency.

For numerical (lattice gauge) computations of expectation values (12) it may be easier to integrate \( ρ(x^-) \) and to drop the longitudinal path ordering,

\[ \tilde{ρ}(x_⊥) \equiv \int_{-∞}^{∞} dx^- ρ(x^-, x_⊥) \]  \quad (69)

\[ V(x_⊥) = e^{ig^2 \frac{1}{κ_4} \tilde{ρ}(x_⊥)}. \]  \quad (70)

For a detailed discussion, we refer to ref. [8]. One would then consider the two-dimensional action

\[ S[\tilde{ρ}] = \int d²x_⊥ \left\{ \frac{\tilde{ρ}^a(x_⊥) \tilde{ρ}^a(x_⊥)}{2μ^2} + \frac{\tilde{ρ}^a(x_⊥) \tilde{ρ}^b(x_⊥) \tilde{ρ}^b(x_⊥)}{κ_4} \right\}. \quad (71) \]

The diagrams from figs. 6 and 7 then do exist and cancel the \( r \)-independent contribution
from fig. 8 so that again $N(r) \sim r^2$ at $r \to 0$. 
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