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Abstract
Introduction Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors (PCSK9Is) were associated with a risk of neurocognitive adverse drug reactions (ADRs).

Objective We aimed to investigate the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs related to PCSK9Is.

Methods We analyzed Individual Case Safety Reports (ICSRs) sent through the European pharmacovigilance database that reported alirocumab or evolocumab as the suspected drug and at least one neurological or psychiatric ADR. The reporting odds ratio (ROR) was computed to compare the probability of reporting ICSRs with neuropsychiatric ADRs between alirocumab, evolocumab and statins.

Results Overall, 2041 ICSRs with alirocumab and/or evolocumab as the suspected drug described the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs. The most reported preferred terms for both drugs were headache, insomnia and depression. No difference between alirocumab and evolocumab was observed for the RORs of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the System Organ Classes (SOCs) ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ (ROR 1.02, 95% confidence interval 0.91–1.14; and 1.12, 95% CI 0.94–1.34, respectively), while evolocumab and alirocumab had a higher reporting probability of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ compared with atorvastatin and fluvastatin. A lower reporting probability was instead found for ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ for evolocumab and alirocumab versus simvastatin, pravastatin and rosuvastatin.

Conclusion Our results demonstrated that 22.7% of all ICSRs reporting alirocumab or evolocumab as suspect drugs described the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs. The ROR showed that evolocumab and alirocumab had a higher reporting probability of neurological ADRs compared with statins. Further data from real-life contexts are needed.

1 Introduction

For decades, statins have represented the mainstay of the pharmacological treatment of hypercholesterolaemia, having been shown to reduce low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) levels and the incidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease [1]. Apart from the decrease in hepatic intracellular cholesterol, statins are able to induce an increase in nuclear translocation of sterol-regulatory element binding protein-2 (SREBP-2) that activates low-density lipoprotein receptors (LDLRs) and proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) [2].

PCSK9 is an enzyme encoded by the PCSK9 gene in humans on chromosome 1. Studies have demonstrated that mutations in the PCSK9 gene caused autosomal dominant hypercholesterolaemia, and that PCSK9 plays a crucial role in the lifecycle of the LDLR [3, 4]. Thus, the discovery of PCSK9 in 2003 identified a new target for drug intervention,
leading to the development of PCSK9 inhibitors (PCSK9Is; alirocumab and evolocumab) as novel treatment options in modern lipidology [3, 5]. Both drugs obtained marketing approval in European Union (EU) countries in 2015. Publication of the results of the FOURIER and ODYSSEY OUTCOMES studies, two large-scale randomized clinical trials involving PCSK9Is, brought the use of these drugs in LDL-C-lowering therapy a step further [6, 7]. Indeed, in both trials, an incremental reduction in LDL-C of > 50% from baseline was observed, with no major safety concerns over the trials’ median follow-up (2.2 and 2.8 years, respectively).

Currently, alirocumab is indicated in adults with primary hypercholesterolaemia (homozygous familial and non-familial) and mixed dyslipidaemia, either in combination with a statin or alone in patients who are statin-intolerant. Instead, evolocumab is indicated in adults with hypercholesterolaemia (heterozygous familial and non-familial) and mixed dyslipidaemia (alone or in combination with other lipid-lowering therapies) and in adults and adolescents with homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia (in combination with other lipid-lowering therapies). Based on data from epidemiological studies demonstrating a correlation between the reduction of plasma LDL-C levels and a reduction of cardiovascular risk [8], both drugs have obtained the indication for the reduction of cardiovascular risk in adults with established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Nowadays, some questions remain to be answered, especially those related to the safety profile of PCSK9Is, in terms of immunogenicity, diabetes, and neurocognitive adverse events. With regard to immunogenicity and diabetes, long-term studies have suggested the absence of significant risk of these events [9, 10]. Neurocognitive adverse events associated with the lipid-lowering treatments remains an area of debate. Postmarketing surveillance reports, observational studies, and some small randomized trials on statins [11, 12] have suggested that these drugs (or the low levels of LDL-C resulting from their use) may be associated with impaired cognitive function. These data led the US FDA to issue a warning in 2012. In 2014, the FDA directed developers of PCSK9Is to monitor neurocognitive adverse effects and consider neurocognitive testing in at least a subset of participants in ongoing late-stage trials [13]. Furthermore, a recent preclinical study reported that cognitive impairment observed in patients with Alzheimer’s disease could be associated with cholesterol metabolism alterations, which could involve PCSK9. Indeed, authors found that PCSK9 levels differ between control and Alzheimer’s disease brains [14]. On the other hand, it should be highlighted that cardiovascular risk itself plays an important role in the etiology of neurocognitive diseases [15]. In fact, a high cardiovascular risk can cause morphological alterations of brain structures, and can consequently lead to more serious conditions, such as cerebrovascular disease, cognitive decline, and dementia [16].

Based on literature data and regulatory agency communications reporting a risk of neurocognitive adverse events associated with PCSK9Is [17–22], we aimed to describe neurological and psychiatric adverse drug reactions (ADRs) reported for evolocumab and alirocumab in the Eudravigilance database. We also aimed to assess the reporting odds ratio (ROR) and 95% confidence interval (CI), as well as the Chi-square test, of drugs under evaluation to evaluate if they have a lower/higher probability of reporting Individual Case Safety Reports (ICSRs) with neurological or psychiatric adverse events in a direct comparison or compared with statins.

2 Methods

2.1 Data Source

Data on ICSRs with a PCSK9I as the suspected drug were retrieved from the website of suspected ADRs (www.adreports.eu) of the European pharmacovigilance database (Eudravigilance) for the period January 2015 to March 2020. Eudravigilance is a system used for managing and analyzing information on suspected adverse reactions related to drugs, which have been authorized or are being studied in clinical trials in the European Economic Area (EEA). The Eudravigilance database is maintained by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) on behalf of the EU medicines regulatory network. Specifically, Eudravigilance contains all ICSRs related to either drugs or vaccines that are reported by a healthcare professional (HCP) or non-HCP to an EU national competent authority or a marketing authorization holder. These data are publicly available for transparency through the EMA website (www.adreports.eu).
All ICSRs that reported alirocumab or evolocumab as the suspected drug, and that reported at least one neurological or psychiatric ADR, were retrieved using the line-listing function. To identify ICSRs that reported neurological or psychiatric ADRs, we use the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA®) System Organ Classes (SOCs) ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’.  

A case was defined as ‘serious’ when the ADR (1) results in death, (2) is life-threatening, (3) requires hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, (4) results in persistent or significant disability/incapacity, (5) is a congenital anomaly/birth defect, or (6) results in some other medically important conditions.

The outcome of ADRs was classified as ‘recovered/resolved’, ‘recovering/resolving’, ‘recovered/resolved with sequelae’, ‘not recovered/not resolved’, ‘fatal’ and ‘unknown’, and was reported for ADRs belonging to the ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’. If a case reported more than one ADR belonging to the same SOC or the Standardized MedDRA Query (SMQ), but with different outcomes, the outcome with the lower level of resolution was chosen for classification.

We also analyzed the annual trend in ICSRs of evolocumab and alirocumab-induced neurological and psychiatric ADRs. Neurological and psychiatric ADRs were tabulated for evolocumab and alirocumab.

Finally, the ROR and 95% CI, as well as the Chi-square test, were computed to compare the probability of reporting ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ between alirocumab and evolocumab, using evolocumab as the reference drug, and between evolocumab or alirocumab and statins (atorvastatin, simvastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin or fluvastatin).

Safety data deriving from the spontaneous reporting system are anonymous and are in compliance with the ethical standards; therefore, no further ethical measures were required.

From January 2015 to March 2020, 8987 ICSRs reporting alirocumab or evolocumab as suspect were sent to the EudraVigilance database (data not shown). Among these ICSRs, 2041 (22.7%) described the occurrence of ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ and ‘Psychiatric disorders’, of which 856 related to alirocumab and 1231 related to evolocumab (Table 1). As reported in Fig. 1, starting from 2015 and until 2019, for both drugs a constant increase in ICSR reporting was observed; it should be specified that data relating to 2020 are incomplete (updated 30 March 2020).

The sum of ICSRs related to alirocumab and evolocumab exceeds the total number of ICSRs (n = 2087) since 46 ICSRs reported both PCSK9s as suspect drugs. Overall, those ICSRs (n = 2041) covered 3170 ADRs, of which 2381 belonged to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ and 789 belonged to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ (Table 2).

As reported in Table 1, the median age of patients who experienced ADRs was 66 years (interquartile range 59–73) and 55% were female. Regarding distribution by seriousness, 66.6% of ICSRs reported ADRs that were considered as serious, while 33.4% reported ADRs that were classified as not serious.

For the majority of ADRs, both neurological and psychiatric, the outcome was not reported (50%), and was unfavourable (not recovered/not resolved or death) for 16.7% and 18.2% of ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Psychiatric disorders’ and ‘Nervous system disorders’, respectively. Lastly, the outcome was favourable (recovered or recovering) for 36.2% and 32.2% of ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Psychiatric disorders’ and ‘Nervous system disorders’, respectively (Fig. 2).

The primary source qualification was mainly represented by HCPs (72.3%), while the primary source country for regulatory purposes was the non-EEA (55.3%). In the majority of ICSRs (94%), no suspected drugs other than PCSK9Is were reported. A higher percentage of ICSRs (61.1%) did not report any concomitant medications (Table 1). Among ICSRs reporting concomitant medications (38.9%), the most common reported medications were acetylsalicylic acid (n = 313), ezetimibe (n = 181), levothyroxine (n = 120), clopidogrel (n = 113), atorvastatin (n = 84) and rosuvastatin (n = 73) [data not shown].

Regarding the distribution of ICSRs by therapeutic indications (Table 3), both alirocumab and evolocumab were mainly used for the treatment of ‘Lipid metabolism disorders’ (579/856 and 870/1232, respectively), followed by ‘Cardiovascular disorders’ (55/856 and 123/1232, respectively) and ‘Other therapeutic indications’ (7/856 and
Lastly, in 635 ICSRs, the therapeutic indication was not reported. As reported in Table 2, alirocumab was associated with 975 ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ and with 321 ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’. The most commonly reported Preferred Terms (PTs) for alirocumab among the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ were headache (14.05%), dizziness (12.82%), cerebrovascular accident (6.15%), memory impairment (4.41%), gait disturbance (3.49%), hypoesthesia (2.87%), and amnesia (2.15%). On the other hand, the most common reported PTs for alirocumab among the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ were insomnia (11.84%), depression (9.66%), confusional state (8.72%), depressed mood (6.54%), anxiety (6.23%), sleep disorders (5.61%), and disturbance in attention (4.67%) (Table 2). Evolocumab was instead associated with 1406 ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ and to 468 ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’. Specifically, the most commonly reported PTs for evolocumab among the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ were headache (17.71%), dizziness (13.02%), cerebrovascular accident (5.48%), memory impairment (5.33%), paresthesia (4.69%), amnesia (3.56%), hypoesthesia (2.49%), and cognitive disorder (2.06%). Lastly, the most commonly reported PTs for evolocumab among the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ were depression (9.40%), insomnia (8.76%), confusional state and sleep disorders (both 8.33%), disturbance in attention (7.48%), anxiety (6.20%), and depressed mood (4.27%) (Table 2). The complete list of PTs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ and ‘Nervous system disorders’ is reported in electronic supplementary Table 1.

No difference between alirocumab and evolocumab was observed for the RORs of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ (ROR 1.02, 95% CI 0.91–1.14; and ROR 1.12, 95% CI 0.94–1.34, respectively) (Table 4). In the comparison with statins, evolocumab and alirocumab had a higher reporting probability of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ compared with atorvastatin.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of Individual Case Safety Reports reporting ADRs related to the System Organ Class “Nervous system disorder” or “Psychiatric disorders” and having alirocumab or evolocumab as suspect drugs sent through the Eudravigilance database from January 2015 to March 2020

| Variable                                     | Level               | All ICSRs [n = 2041] | ICSRs reporting alirocumab as the suspect drug [n = 856] | ICSRs reporting evolocumab as the suspect drug [n = 1231] |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Age, years                                   | Median (IQR)        | 66 (59–73)            | 67 (59–73)                                                | 66 (58–72)                                               |
| Sex                                          | Male                | 1121 (55)             | 475 (55.5)                                                | 668 (54.3)                                               |
|                                              | Female              | 864 (42.3)            | 357 (41.7)                                                | 525 (42.6)                                               |
|                                              | Missing             | 56 (2.7)              | 24 (2.8)                                                  | 38 (3.1)                                                 |
| Seriousness                                  | Serious             | 1359 (66.6)           | 556 (65)                                                  | 835 (67.8)                                               |
|                                              | Not serious         | 682 (33.4)            | 300 (35)                                                  | 396 (32.2)                                               |
| Primary source qualification                | Healthcare professional | 1475 (72.3)         | 433 (50.6)                                                | 1078 (87.6)                                              |
|                                              | Non-healthcare professional | 566 (27.7)          | 423 (49.4)                                                | 153 (12.4)                                               |
| Primary source country for regulatory purposes | European Economic Area | 912 (44.7)          | 389 (45.4)                                                | 551 (44.8)                                               |
|                                              | Non-European Economic Area | 1129 (55.3)         | 467 (54.6)                                                | 680 (55.2)                                               |
| Suspected drug(s) other than PCSK9I          | 0                   | 1917 (94)             | 790 (92.3)                                                | 1128 (91.6)                                              |
|                                              | 1                   | 83 (4)                | 53 (6.2)                                                  | 71 (5.8)                                                 |
|                                              | 2                   | 24 (1.2)              | 11 (1.3)                                                  | 17 (1.4)                                                 |
|                                              | 3                   | 6 (0.3)               | –                                                          | 6 (0.5)                                                  |
|                                              | 4                   | 5 (0.2)               | –                                                          | 5 (0.4)                                                  |
|                                              | ≥ 5                 | 6 (0.3)               | 2 (0.2)                                                   | 4 (0.3)                                                  |
| Concomitant drug(s)                          | 0                   | 1246 (61.1)           | 527 (61.6)                                                | 749 (60.9)                                               |
|                                              | 1                   | 148 (7.3)             | 52 (6.1)                                                  | 96 (7.8)                                                 |
|                                              | 2                   | 119 (5.8)             | 44 (5.1)                                                  | 79 (6.4)                                                 |
|                                              | 3                   | 84 (4.1)              | 40 (4.7)                                                  | 46 (3.7)                                                 |
|                                              | 4                   | 79 (3.9)              | 37 (4.3)                                                  | 44 (3.6)                                                 |
|                                              | ≥ 5                 | 365 (17.8)            | 156 (18.2)                                                | 217 (17.6)                                               |

Data are expressed as n (%) unless otherwise specified

ICSRs Individual Case Safety Reports, IQR interquartile range, PCSK9I proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitor

"Nervous system disorders” were headache (17.71%), dizziness (13.02%), cerebrovascular accident (5.48%), memory impairment (5.33%), paresthesia (4.69%), amnesia (3.56%), hypoesthesia (2.49%), and cognitive disorder (2.06%). Finally, the most commonly reported PTs for evolocumab among the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ were depression (9.40%), insomnia (8.76%), confusional state and sleep disorders (both 8.33%), disturbance in attention (7.48%), anxiety (6.20%), and depressed mood (4.27%) (Table 2). The complete list of PTs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ and ‘Nervous system disorders’ is reported in electronic supplementary Table 1.

No difference between alirocumab and evolocumab was observed for the RORs of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ (ROR 1.02, 95% CI 0.91–1.14; and ROR 1.12, 95% CI 0.94–1.34, respectively) (Table 4). In the comparison with statins, evolocumab and alirocumab had a higher reporting probability of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Nervous system disorders’ compared with atorvastatin.
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(ROR 1.55, 95% CI 1.44–1.68; and ROR 1.58, 95% CI 1.45–1.74, respectively) and fluvastatin (ROR 1.49, 95% CI 1.25–1.77; and ROR 1.51, 95% CI 1.27–1.82, respectively) (Table 5). A lower reporting probability was instead found for ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOC ‘Psychiatric disorders’ for evolocumab and alirocumab versus simvastatin (ROR 0.56, 95% CI 0.49–0.64; and ROR 0.63, 95% CI 0.54–0.73, respectively), pravastatin (ROR 0.61, 95% CI 0.51–0.73; and ROR 0.69, 95% CI 0.57–0.83, respectively), and rosuvastatin (ROR 0.68, 95% CI 0.60–0.78; and ROR 0.76, 95% CI 0.66–0.89, respectively) (Table 6).

4 Discussion

In this study, we investigated the safety profile of PCSK9Is, in terms of neurological and psychiatric ADRs through the analysis of data from the Eudravigilance database. The choice to evaluate these specific safety concerns has been driven by scientific evidences that demonstrated a higher risk of neurocognitive adverse events with these drugs [17–22]. Indeed, according to the literature, it is biologically plausible that lipid-lowering therapies could impact brain function, causing cognitive adverse effects, and also considering that about 25% of the body’s cholesterol is found in the brain [23]. Furthermore, cholesterol is the principal component of myelin, a fatty sheath that serves an essential role in cellular signalling and blood–brain barrier (BBB) integrity [24]. Despite these evidences, currently no studies have demonstrated a causal association between central nervous system cholesterol reductions and functional impairment, while factors such as coronary heart disease and age are independent risk factors for Alzheimer’s disease and many other age-related conditions associated with cognitive decline [25]. Data on the role of PCSK9 in the clearance of apolipoprotein E are quite conflicting. Indeed, a study reported that PCSK9 is able to modulate the degradation of the β-site amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE), which is involved in the generation of the amyloid β-peptides [26], leading to an increase in BACE1 levels and total amyloid β in the brain of PCSK9 knockout mice; however, these results were not confirmed in another study [27].

In our study, we found that 2041 ICSRs reporting alirocumab or evolocumab as suspect, and ADRs referring to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ and ‘Psychiatric disorders’ were sent to the Eudravigilance database. From 2015 until 2019, for both drugs there was a constant increase in ICSR reporting that achieved a peak in 2019. The increase in ADR reporting is probably related to the increased utilization of both PCSK9Is. Indeed, these drugs obtained marketing authorization in 2015, becoming gradually available across EU and non-EU countries for use in clinical practice, and their use has constantly increased, as demonstrated by several studies [19, 28–31].
| System Organ Class                      | Alirocumab (no. of ICSRs = 856) | Evolocumab (no. of ICSRs = 1231) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Nervous system disorder (no. of ADRs = 2381) |                                 |                                  |
| Headache                               | 137 (14.05)                      | 249 (17.71)                      |
| Dizziness                              | 125 (12.82)                      | 183 (13.02)                      |
| Cerebrovascular accident               | 60 (6.15)                        | 77 (5.48)                        |
| Memory impairment                      | 37 (3.79)                        | 75 (5.33)                        |
| Parasthesia                            | 43 (4.41)                        | 66 (4.69)                        |
| Amnesia                                | 21 (2.15)                        | 50 (3.56)                        |
| Hypoaesthesia                          | 28 (2.87)                        | 35 (2.49)                        |
| Gait disturbance                       | 34 (3.49)                        | 27 (1.92)                        |
| Cognitive disorder                     | 19 (1.95)                        | 29 (2.06)                        |
| Burning sensation                      | 20 (2.05)                        | 27 (1.92)                        |
| Loss of consciousness                  | 17 (1.74)                        | 27 (1.92)                        |
| Tremor                                 | 21 (2.15)                        | 23 (1.64)                        |
| Transient ischaemic attack             | 17 (1.74)                        | 24 (1.71)                        |
| Muscular weakness                      | 18 (1.85)                        | 22 (1.56)                        |
| Neuropathy peripheral                  | 16 (1.64)                        | 22 (1.56)                        |
| Syncope                                | 14 (1.44)                        | 18 (1.28)                        |
| Somnolence                             | 14 (1.44)                        | 15 (1.07)                        |
| Balance disorder                       | 16 (1.64)                        | 12 (0.85)                        |
| Seizure                                | 10 (1.03)                        | 16 (1.14)                        |
| Mobility decreased                     | 10 (1.03)                        | 13 (0.92)                        |
| Dementia                               | 16 (1.64)                        | 6 (0.43)                         |
| Dysgeusia                              | 13 (1.33)                        | 9 (0.64)                         |
| Migraine                               | 7 (0.72)                         | 15 (1.07)                        |
| Vertigo                                | 9 (0.92)                         | 13 (0.92)                        |
| Presyncope                             | 6 (0.62)                         | 15 (1.07)                        |
| Other*                                 | 247 (25.31)                      | 377 (23.75)                      |
| Psychiatric disorders (no. of ADRs = 789) |                                 |                                  |
| Insomnia                               | 38 (11.84)                       | 41 (8.76)                        |
| Depression                             | 31 (9.66)                        | 44 (9.40)                        |
| Confusional state                      | 28 (8.72)                        | 39 (8.33)                        |
| Sleep disorder                         | 18 (5.61)                        | 39 (8.33)                        |
| Disturbance in attention               | 15 (4.67)                        | 35 (7.48)                        |
| Anxiety                                | 20 (6.23)                        | 29 (6.20)                        |
| Depressed mood                         | 21 (6.54)                        | 20 (4.27)                        |
| Restlessness                           | 12 (3.74)                        | 19 (4.06)                        |
| Apathy                                 | 5 (1.56)                         | 11 (2.35)                        |
| Nightmare                               | 7 (2.18)                         | 9 (1.92)                         |
| Irritability                           | 7 (2.18)                         | 8 (1.71)                         |
| Stress                                 | 4 (1.25)                         | 11 (2.35)                        |
| Suicidal ideation                      | 6 (1.87)                         | 9 (1.92)                         |
| Disorientation                         | 4 (1.25)                         | 9 (1.92)                         |
| Mental disorder                        | 5 (1.56)                         | 8 (1.71)                         |
| Nervousness                            | 5 (1.56)                         | 8 (1.71)                         |
| Hallucination                          | 4 (1.25)                         | 8 (1.71)                         |
| Panic attack                           | 6 (1.87)                         | 6 (1.28)                         |
| Dysarthria                             | 7 (2.18)                         | 4 (0.85)                         |
| Sleep disorder due to a general medical condition | –                                | 11 (2.35)                        |
| Abnormal behaviour                     | 7 (2.18)                         | 2 (0.43)                         |
| Abnormal dreams                        | 4 (1.25)                         | 4 (0.85)                         |
We found that the median age of patients who experienced ADRs was 66 years, and 55% were female. In line with our results, the literature suggests that the population treated with these drugs is typically older, often with comorbidities and concomitant medication use [22]. Furthermore, hypercholesterolaemia seems to be more common in women, particularly after menopause, which has been shown to be associated with an increase in total and LDL-cholesterol [32–39]. Lastly, it should be noted that, independently by drug classes, women are more susceptible to experience ADRs due to hormonal factors, as well as changes in pharmacokinetics [40–42].

Regarding distribution by seriousness, 66.6% of ICSRs reported ADRs that were considered as serious (this percentage was slightly higher for evolocumab). In contrast with this, as reported by a recent study based on a hospital registry and two pharmacovigilance databases, PCSK9I-induced adverse events were usually mild and tended to resolve during follow-up [39]. In our opinion, the higher rate of serious ADRs that we found could be related to two main factors. First, neuropsychiatric ADRs can include different signs and symptoms, ranging from mild ADRs, including sleep disturbance, abnormal dreams, dizziness, loss of balance, and tinnitus, to more severe ADRs, such as depression, suicide, seizures and paralysis [43–45]. The second factor could be the source of ICSRs. Indeed, in our study, 72.3% of ICSRs were reported by HCPs and, according to Inácio et al., these reporters seem to be especially prone to report

Table 2  (continued)

| System Organ Class | Alirocumab [no. of ICSRs = 856] | Evolocumab [no. of ICSRs = 1231] |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Aggression        | 2 (0.62)                        | 6 (1.28)                         |
| Mood swings       | 4 (1.25)                        | 4 (0.85)                         |
| Bradyphrenia      | 2 (0.62)                        | 5 (1.07)                         |
| Other*            | 59 (18.30)                      | 79 (16.79)                       |

Data are expressed as n (%)

ICSRs Individual Case Safety Reports, ADRs adverse drug reactions

*Other Preferred Terms belonging to the System Organ Classes ‘Nervous system disorder’ and ‘Psychiatric disorders’ and reported in electronic supplementary Table 1
more serious ADRs that result in hospitalization, are life-threatening, or result in death [46]. The higher rate of ICSRs coming from HCPs is in line with findings from other studies [47–49]. Moreover, the new pharmacovigilance legislation has further increased the involvement of HCPs in pharmacovigilance activities [50–52].

Table 3 Distribution of Individual Case Safety Reports having alirocumab or evolocumab as the suspected drugs, by therapeutic indication

| Variable                  | Level                        | All ICSRs [n = 2041] | ICRs reporting alirocumab as the suspect drug [n = 856] | ICRs reporting evolocumab as the suspect drug [n = 1231] |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Therapeutic indication    | Lipid metabolism disorder    | 142^3^ (69.7)         | 579^3^ (67.6)                                          | 870^3^ (70.7)                                          |
| Cardiovascular disorders  | 176^5^ (8.6)                 |                       | 55^5^ (6.4)                                            | 123^5^ (10)                                            |
| Product used for unknown indication | 635^6^ (31.1) | 271^6^ (31.6)         | 386^6^ (31.3)                                          |                                                        |
| Other therapeutic indications^a| 18^b^ (0.8)            | 7^b^ (0.8)             | 11^b^ (0.9)                                            |                                                        |

Data are expressed as n (%)

ICSRs Individual Case Safety Reports

^aOther therapeutic indications: clinical trial participant; diabetes mellitus; disease risk factor; drug intolerance/drug hypersensitivity; hypolipidaemia; ill-defined disorder; intentional overdose; multiple sclerosis; plasmacytoma; product use in unapproved indication; routine health maintenance; dyspnea

^bThe sum of therapeutic indications reported in ICRs is higher than the total number of ICRs, since more than one therapeutic indication can be reported in each ICSR

Table 4 Reporting odds ratio of ICRs with ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ for the comparison of alirocumab versus evolocumab

| ICRs | ROR (95% CI) | p value |
|------|--------------|---------|
| Nervous system disorders | 1.02 (0.91–1.14) | 0.720 |
| Psychiatric disorders   | 1.12 (0.94–1.34) | 0.187 |

ICSRs Individual Case Safety Reports, ADRs adverse drug reactions, SOCs System Organ Classes, ROR reporting odds ratio, CI confidence interval

Table 5 Reporting odds ratio of ICRs with nervous system disorders for the comparison of PCSK9Is versus statins

| PCSK9i     | Statin      | ROR (95% CI) | p value |
|------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Evolocumab | Atorvastatin| 1.55 (1.44–1.68) | <0.001 |
| Evolocumab | Simvastatin | 1.12 (1.02–1.22) | 0.013 |
| Evolocumab | Pravastatin | 1.11 (0.98–1.26) | 0.098 |
| Evolocumab | Rosuvastatin| 1.00 (0.92–1.09) | 0.976 |
| Evolocumab | Fluvastatin | 1.49 (1.25–1.77) | <0.001 |
| Alirocumab | Atorvastatin| 1.58 (1.45–1.74) | <0.001 |
| Alirocumab | Simvastatin | 1.14 (1.03–1.26) | 0.011 |
| Alirocumab | Pravastatin | 1.13 (0.99–1.29) | 0.067 |
| Alirocumab | Rosuvastatin| 1.02 (0.92–1.13) | 0.676 |
| Alirocumab | Fluvastatin | 1.51 (1.27–1.82) | <0.001 |

ICSR Individual Case Safety Reports, PCSK9Is proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitors, ROR reporting odds ratio, CI confidence interval

In almost 40% of ICRs, concomitant medications, especially acetylsalicylic acid, ezetimibe, levothyroixine, clopidogrel, atorvastatin and rosuvastatin, were reported. These data are not surprising considering that diseases for which those drugs are used are quite common in patients with hypercholesterolaemia, for whom multiple pharmacological treatments are frequently planned [53–60]. Therefore, the role of these concomitant medications in the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs could not be excluded. Indeed, literature data suggest that psychiatric ADRs are associated with both statins and ezetimibe [61]. The observation that these lipid-lowering agents have similar adverse effects supports the hypothesis that decreased brain cell membrane cholesterol may be important in the etiology of psychiatric reactions. Furthermore, in a case report published in 2016, clopidogrel was associated with the occurrence of hallucinations [62]. Lastly, data on the role of aspirin in the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs are quite conflicting.

Δ Adis
Indeed, some authors reported that salicylate intoxication could lead to psychosis and delirium [63, 64]. Other studies have suggested that aspirin might have beneficial effects in patients with dementia, by reducing the risk of recurrent vascular events. In addition, high-dose aspirin (325 mg/day) was associated with reduced rates of Alzheimer’s disease [65, 66]. Lastly, the concomitant use of multiple drugs could increase the occurrence of ADRs, also as a result of drug–drug interactions (DDIs), even though, to our knowledge, no data on DDIs between PCSK9Is and other drugs currently exist.

The RORs of ICSRs with ADRs belonging to the SOCs ‘Nervous system disorders’ or ‘Psychiatric disorders’ showed no difference between alirocumab and evolocumab. Currently, studies that have directly compared alirocumab and evolocumab, in terms of efficacy and safety profile, are mainly represented by network meta-analysis. According to the results of a recent systematic review and network meta-analysis of 30 clinical trials (59,026 patients), no significant differences between alirocumab and evolocumab in myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary revascularization, treatment discontinuations, systemic allergic reaction, neurocognitive events, ophthalmologic events, or new-onset of or worsening of pre-existing diabetes were found. Alirocumab was associated with an increased risk of injection site reaction compared with evolocumab [67]. In addition, the results of a further meta-analysis of 39 clinical trials (66,478 patients, of whom 14,639 were treated with alirocumab, 21,257 were treated with evolocumab, and 30,582 were controls) showed that the use of both PCSK9Is was not associated with increased risk of neurocognitive adverse events (p = 0.91) [68]. Based on the same results, Bajaj et al. concluded that PCSK9Is have neuroprotective effects due to the decrease in ischaemic-mediated neurovascular events [69]. Harvey et al. recently carried out a meta-analysis of individual patient data (3340 patients treated with alirocumab 75/150 mg every 2 weeks, 1276 treated with placebo, and 618 treated with ezetimibe). Neurocognitive ADRs were observed in 0.9% of alirocumab-treated patients versus 0.7% of placebo-treated patients, and in 1.2% of alirocumab-treated patients versus 1.3% of ezetimibe-treated patients. The incidence of neurocognitive ADRs was similar between alirocumab and controls when stratified by age. Up to 104 weeks, no significant difference was found in the incidence of neurocognitive ADRs between the alirocumab and control groups [70]. Giugliano et al. have recently evaluated the effect of evolocumab on cognitive function in 1204 patients (mean age 65 years) who were followed for 1.6 years without evidencing any association with adverse cognitive effects [71]. Despite the fact that the lack of major safety concerns with PCSK9Is found in both the FOURIER and ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trials is encouraging, several important questions remain to be answered. In particular, more data on the long-term effects of PCSK9Is are strongly needed [72, 73] and postmarket surveillance data could provide additional relevant long-term safety data. Open-label extension studies from previously published trials on PCSK9Is are underway and can also address potentially long-term benefits, at the same time ruling out possible long-term harms (NCT02867813, NCT03080935, NCT01439880, NCT01954394, NCT01854918). Furthermore, a dedicated study for neurocognitive evaluation is ongoing in a separate study in the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial.

Therefore, according to the above results, no evidence on the effects on cognitive function was found for alirocumab or evolocumab. As recently reported by Adorni et al., neither preclinical nor clinical studies have demonstrated a correlation between low levels of LDL-cholesterol and neurocognitive effects. Furthermore, the BBB limits the access of both PCSK9Is to the central nervous system. Therefore, if the BBB is intact, the penetration of alirocumab and evolocumab is estimated to be about 0.1% [74]. Lastly, Paciullo et al. recently speculated about the possible pleiotropic effects of PCSK9Is on platelet activity and coagulation factors. Specifically, the authors reported that in patients with acute coronary syndrome treated with P2Y12 inhibitors, a direct association between serum PCSK9 levels and residual platelet reactivity was found. Furthermore, blood coagulation factor VIII (FVIII) is eliminated from circulation by members of the LDLR family; given that PCSK9 degrades LDLRs, it is conceivable that PCSK9Is, by enhancing LDLR expression, may reduce circulating FVIII, thus contributing to the prevention of cardiovascular events [75].

Lastly, while evolocumab and alirocumab showed a higher reporting probability of ICSRs with neurologic ADRs compared with atorvastatin and fluvastatin, they showed a lower reporting probability of ICSRs with psychiatric ADRs compared with simvastatin, pravastatin, and rosuvastatin. Although our data are apparently in contrast with the literature data in terms of the potency of statins, statins may induce both psychiatric and neurological ADRs [76, 77], which seem to increase when the level of cholesterol and omega-3 fatty acid are reduced (both conditions are associated with statins). Data from a meta-analysis of 11 clinical studies found that neurocognitive events occurred in 0.8% of patients receiving PCSK9Is versus 0.5% of patients not receiving PCSK9Is [78]. Since, to our knowledge, no other studies have previously compared the risk of neuropsychiatric ADRs between statins and PCSK9Is, no firm conclusion can be drawn regarding our findings, which need to be confirmed by ad hoc pharmacoepidemiology studies.

4.1 Strengths and Limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first study that has analyzed the safety profile of PCSK9Is in terms of neurocognitive
adverse events, using data from the spontaneous reporting system on a European level. Considering the recent authorization of these drugs, the specificity of our analysis, and given the lack of safety data from the real-life context, our study represents the first comprehensive evaluation of safety data related to PCSK9Is, using data from the Eudravigilance database. Furthermore, we have analyzed a huge amount of data providing statistical comparison, ROR, 95% CI, and Chi-square test, between alirocumab and evolocumab, and a lower/higher probability of reporting neuropsychiatric ADRs. Furthermore, data from the spontaneous reporting system contribute to a better characterization of the drug safety profiles, especially for newly authorized medicines and in the frail population, including the elderly and patients with multiple comorbidities, which are usually excluded by premarketing clinical trials. Therefore, data obtained from the spontaneous reporting system represent one of the main sources of information for these populations.

Despite its strengths, the spontaneous reporting system is affected by limitations that are mainly related to the under-reporting and inaccuracy or incompleteness of information. Therefore, we cannot exclude that important information was not listed in ICSRs that we have evaluated (i.e. degrees of seriousness and outcome, previous/current medical conditions, further suspected or concomitant medications). All information that was not reported could have potentially affected the occurrence of ADRs that we have analyzed. Another important limitation related to our data source was the impossibility to compute the ROR only for ICSRs related to the impairment of cognitive function, as not all ICSRs classified into nervous system disorders or psychiatric disorders may be relevant to impaired cognitive function. Finally, even though in our study ICSRs were individually reviewed, considering also data on other drugs that could have potentially induced neuropsychiatric ADRs, the risk of notoriety bias cannot be excluded.

5 Conclusion

Using data from the Eudravigilance database, we carried out a descriptive analysis of ICSRs related to alirocumab and evolocumab and reporting neurological and psychiatric ADRs. Our results have demonstrated that 22.7% of all ICSRs reporting alirocumab or evolocumab as suspect described the occurrence of neuropsychiatric ADRs. The majority of reported ADRs were serious and were associated with female sex. Moreover, alirocumab was associated with an increased reporting probability of nervous and psychiatric ADRs compared with evolocumab.

Considering that both drugs have recently obtained marketing authorization, further studies are strongly needed to evaluate the safety profile of PCSK9Is on neurocognitive function, and, specifically, data from real-life contexts needs to be collected. Indeed, such adverse events may have a strong impact on the quality of life of patients, especially the elderly, and also the economic burden of such treatments. In this context, we believe that an effective pharmacovigilance system would be able to guarantee continuous monitoring of the safety profile of these drugs, playing a key role in the real definition of the risk/benefit profile of the PCSK9Is, ensuring proper use of these medications, and providing ideas and directions for future research in order to confirm or confute any possible association between a drug and an adverse event, such as those that we have evaluated.
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