Spectral analysis of multi-dimensional self-similar Markov processes
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Abstract

In this paper we consider a discrete scale invariant (DSI) process $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ with scale $l > 1$. We consider a fixed number of observations in every scale, say $T$, and acquire our samples at discrete points $\alpha^k, k \in W$, where $\alpha$ is obtained by the equality $l = \alpha^T$ and $W = \{0, 1, \ldots\}$. We thus provide a discrete time scale invariant (DT-SI) process $X(\cdot)$ with the parameter space $\{\alpha^k, k \in W\}$. We find the spectral representation of the covariance function of such a DT-SI process. By providing the harmonic-like representation of multi-dimensional self-similar processes, spectral density functions of them are presented. We assume that the process $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ is also Markov in the wide sense and provide a discrete time scale invariant Markov (DT-SIM) process with the above scheme of sampling. We present an example of the DT-SIM process, simple Brownian motion, by the above sampling scheme and verify our results. Finally, we find the spectral density matrix of such a DT-SIM process and show that its associated $T$-dimensional self-similar Markov process is fully specified by $\{R_H^j(1), R_H^j(0), j = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1\}$, where $R_H^j(\tau)$ is the covariance function of $j$th and $(j + \tau)$th observations of the process.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ga, 05.40.Jc

1. Introduction

The concept of stationarity and self-similarity is used as a fundamental property to handle many natural phenomena. The Lamperti transformation defines a one to one correspondence between stationary and self-similar processes. A function is scale invariant if it is identical to any of its rescaled version, up to some suitable renormalization in amplitude. The discrete scale invariance (DSI) process can be defined as the Lamperti transform of the periodically correlated (PC) process [2]. Many critical systems like statistical physics, textures in geophysics, network
traffic and image processing can be interpreted by these processes [1]. Flandrin et al introduced a multiplicative spectral representation of DSI processes based on the Mellin transform and presented preliminary remarks about estimation issues [2, 6].

As the Fourier transform is known as a suited representation for stationarity, but not for self-similarity, a harmonic-like representation of the self-similar process is introduced by using the Mellin transform [6].

The covariance function and spectral density of a discrete time periodically correlated Markov process, has been studied and characterized in [11]. Markov processes have been the center of extensive research activities and wide-sense Markov processes are studied before the general theory. In some texts, these processes are defined in the case of transition probabilities of a Markov process. Various classes of wide-sense Markov processes are like jump processes, diffusion processes and processes with a discrete interference of chance [7]. A process which is Markov and self-similar is called the self-similar Markov process. These processes are involved in various parts of probability theory, such as branching processes and fragmentation theory [4].

In this paper, we consider a DSI process with some scale \( l > 1 \), and we get our samples at points \( \alpha^k \), where \( k \in W, l = \alpha^T, W = \{0, 1, \ldots\} \) and \( T \) is the number of samples in each scale. By such sampling we provide a discrete time scale invariant process in the wide sense and find the spectral representation of the covariance function of such process.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present stationary and self-similar processes by shift and renormalized dilation operators. Then we provide a suitable platform for our study of discrete time self-similar (DT-SS) and discrete time scale invariant (DT-SI) processes by introducing the quasi-Lamperti transformation. The harmonizable representation of these processes is expressed in this section too. Also by using the spectral density matrix of PC processes, the spectral representation of the covariance function of DT-SI processes are given. In section 3, a harmonic-like representation of multi-dimensional self-similar processes and spectral density functions of them are obtained. As an example we introduce a process called the simple Brownian motion which is DSI and Markov as well. Finally, a discrete time scale invariant Markov (DT-SIM) process with the above scheme of sampling is considered in section 3 and the spectral density matrix of such a process and its associated \( T \)-dimensional self-similar Markov process are characterized.

2. Theoretical framework

In this section, by using a renormalized dilation operator, we define discrete time self-similar and discrete time scale invariant processes. The quasi-Lamperti transformation and its properties are introduced. We also present the harmonizable representation of the stationary and harmonic-like representation of self-similar processes. The spectral density of PC processes and the spectral representation of the covariance function of DT-SI processes are given.

2.1. Stationary and self-similar processes

**Definition 2.1.** Given \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), the shift operator \( S_{\tau} \) operates on the process \( \{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\} \) according to

\[
(S_{\tau}Y)(t) := Y(t + \tau). \tag{2.1}
\]

A process \( \{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\} \) is said to be stationary, if for any \( t, \tau \in \mathbb{R} \)
\[(S_t, Y(t)) \overset{d}{=} (Y(t)), \quad (2.2)\]

where \( \overset{d}{=} \) is the equality of all finite-dimensional distributions.

If (2.2) holds for some \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), the process is said to be periodically correlated. The smallest of such \( \tau \) is called the period of the process.

**Definition 2.2.** Given some numbers \( H > 0 \) and \( \lambda > 0 \), the renormalized dilation operator \( D_{H, \lambda} \) operates on the process \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\} \) according to

\[
(D_{H, \lambda} X)(t) := \lambda^{-H} X(\lambda t).
\]

A process \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\} \) is said to be self-similar of the index \( H \), if for any \( \lambda > 0 \)

\[
\{(D_{H, \lambda} X)(t)\} \overset{d}{=} \{X(t)\}.
\]

The process is said to be DSI of the index \( H \) and scaling factor \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) or \( (H, \lambda_0) \)-DSI, if (2.4) holds for \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \).

As an intuition, self-similarity refers to an invariance with respect to any dilation factor. However, this requirement may be too challenging for capturing in situations where scaling properties are only observed for some preferred dilation factors.

**Definition 2.3.** A process \( \{X(k), k \in \mathbb{T}\} \) is called the discrete time self-similar (DT-SS) process with the parameter space \( \mathbb{T} \), where \( \mathbb{T} \) is any subset of distinct points of positive real numbers, if for any \( k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{T} \)

\[
\{X(k_2)\} \overset{d}{=} \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1}\right)^H \{X(k_1)\}.
\]

The process \( X(\cdot) \) is called the discrete time scale invariance (DT-SI) with the scale \( l > 0 \) and parameter space \( \mathbb{T} \), if for any \( k_1, k_2 = l k_1 \in \mathbb{T} \), (2.5) holds.

**Remark 2.1.** If the process \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\} \) is DSI with the scale \( l = \alpha^T \) for fixed \( T \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \alpha > 1 \), then by sampling of the process at points \( \alpha^k, k \in \mathbb{W} \) where \( \mathbb{W} = \{0, 1, \ldots\} \), we have \( X(\cdot) \) as a DT-SI process with the parameter space \( \mathbb{T} = \{\alpha^k; k \in \mathbb{W}\} \) and scale \( l = \alpha^T \). If we consider sampling of \( X(\cdot) \) at points \( \alpha^{nT}k \), \( n \in \mathbb{W} \), for fixed \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1 \), then \( X(\cdot) \) is a DT-SS process with the parameter space \( \mathbb{T} = \{\alpha^{nT}k; n \in \mathbb{W}\} \).

Yazici et al [13, 14] introduced wide-sense self-similar processes as the following definition, which can be obtained by applying the Lamperti transformation \( L_H \) to the class of wide-sense stationary processes. This class encompasses all strictly self-similar processes with finite variance, including Gaussian processes such as the fractional Brownian motion but no other alpha-stable processes.

**Definition 2.4.** A random process \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\} \) is said to be wide-sense self-similar with the index \( H \), for some \( H > 0 \) if the following properties are satisfied for each \( a > 0 \):

(i) \( E[X^2(t)] < \infty \),
(ii) \( E[X(at)] = a^H E[X(t)] \),
(iii) \( E[X(at_1)X(at_2)] = a^{2H} E[X(t_1)X(t_2)] \).

This process is called the wide-sense DSI of the index \( H \) and scaling factor \( a_0 > 0 \), if the above conditions hold for some \( a = a_0 \).

**Definition 2.5.** A random process \( \{X(k), k \in \mathbb{T}\} \) is called DT-SS in the wide-sense with the index \( H > 0 \) and with the parameter space \( \mathbb{T} \), where \( \mathbb{T} \) is any subset of distinct points of positive real numbers, if for all \( k, k_1 \in \mathbb{T} \) and all \( a > 0 \), where \( ak, ak_1 \in \mathbb{T} \):

\[
\{X(k_1)\} \overset{d}{=} \left(\frac{k_1}{k}\right)^H \{X(k)\}.
\]
(i) $E[X^2(k)] < \infty$.
(ii) $E[X(ak)] = a^H E[X(k)]$.
(iii) $E[X(ak)X(ak_1)] = a^{2H} E[X(k)X(k_1)]$.

If the above conditions hold for some fixed $a = a_0$, then the process is called DT-SI in the wide sense with the scale $a_0$.

**Remark 2.2.** Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$ in remark 2.1 be DSI in the wide sense. Then $X(\cdot)$ with the parameter space $\hat{T} = \{a^k; k \in \mathbb{W}\}$ for $\alpha > 1$ is DT-SI in the wide sense, where $\mathbb{W} = \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ and $X(\cdot)$ with the parameter space $\hat{T} = \{a^{nT+k}; n \in \mathbb{W}\}$ for fixed $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha > 1$ is DT-SS in the wide sense.

In this paper we deal with the wide-sense self-similar and wide-sense scale invariant processes, and for simplicity we omit the term ‘in the wide sense’ hereafter.

### 2.2. Quasi-Lamperti transformation

We introduce the quasi-Lamperti transformation and its properties by the following.

**Definition 2.6.** The quasi-Lamperti transform with the positive index $H$ and $\alpha > 1$, denoted by $L_{H,\alpha}$ operates on a random process $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ as

$$L_{H,\alpha} Y(t) = t^H Y(\log_\alpha t) \quad (2.6)$$

and the corresponding inverse quasi-Lamperti transform $L_{H,\alpha}^{-1}$ on the process $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$ acts as

$$L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} X(t) = \alpha^{-t^H} X(\alpha^t). \quad (2.7)$$

One can easily verify that $L_{H,\alpha} L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} X(t) = X(t)$ and $L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} L_{H,\alpha} Y(t) = Y(t)$. Note that in the above definition, if $\alpha = e$, we have the usual Lamperti transformation $L_H$.

**Theorem 2.1.** The quasi-Lamperti transform guarantees an equivalence between the shift operator $S_{\log_\alpha k}$ and the renormalized dilation operator $D_{H,k}$ in the sense that for any $k > 0$

$$L_{H,\alpha} D_{H,k} L_{H,\alpha} = S_{\log_\alpha k}. \quad (2.8)$$

**Proof.**

$$L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} D_{H,k} L_{H,\alpha} Y(t) = L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} D_{H,k} (t^H Y(\log_\alpha t)) = L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} (k^{-H} (kt)^H Y(\log_\alpha kt)) \nonumber$$

$$= L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} (t^H Y(\log_\alpha k t)) = \alpha^{-t^H} (\alpha^t)^H Y(\log_\alpha k \alpha^t) = Y(\log_\alpha k t) + t = S_{\log_\alpha k} Y(t). \quad \Box$$

**Corollary 2.1.** If $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the stationary process, its quasi-Lamperti transform $\{L_{H,\alpha} Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$ is self-similar. Conversely if $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$ is the self-similar process, its inverse quasi-Lamperti transform $\{L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is stationary.

**Corollary 2.2.** If $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$ is $(H, \alpha^T)$-DSI then $L_{H,\alpha}^{-1} X(t) = Y(t)$ is PC with period $T > 0$. Conversely if $\{Y(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is PC with the period $T$ then $L_{H,\alpha} Y(t) = X(t)$ is $(H, \alpha^T)$-DSI.

**Remark 2.3.** If $X(\cdot)$ is a DT-SS process with the parameter space $\hat{T} = \{t^n, n \in \mathbb{W}\}$, then its stationary counterpart $Y(\cdot)$ has the parameter space $\hat{T} = \{nT, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$:

$$X(t^n) = L_{H,\alpha} Y(t^n) = t^{nH} Y(\log_\alpha t^{nT}) = \alpha^{nTH} Y(nT).$$
Also it is clear by the following relation that if $X(\cdot)$ is a DT-SI process with the scale $l = a^T$, $T \in \mathbb{N}$ and the parameter space $\mathcal{T} = \{a^k, k \in \mathbb{W}\}$, then $Y(\cdot)$ is a discrete time periodically correlated (DT-PC) process with the period $T$ and parameter space $\mathcal{T} = \{n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$:

$$Y(n) = L_{H,a}^{-1} X(n) = a^{-nH} X(a^n).$$

### 2.3. Harmonizable representation

A stationary process $Y(t)$, $EY(t) = 0$, can be represented as

$$Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} d\phi(\omega)$$

which is called the harmonizable representation of the process, and $\phi(\omega)$ is a right continuous orthogonal increment process, see [9]. Also the covariance function can be represented as

$$R_Y(t, s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t - i\omega s} d\Phi(\omega, \omega'),$$

where the spectral measure satisfies

$$d\Phi(\omega, \omega') = E[d\phi(\omega) d\phi(\omega')] = \begin{cases} 0 & \omega \neq \omega' \\ \delta(\omega) & \omega = \omega' \end{cases},$$

and $d\Psi(\omega) = E[(d\phi(\omega))^2]$. All the spectral mass is located on the diagonal line $\omega = \omega'$. When $\Phi(\omega, \omega')$ is absolutely continuous, we have spectral density $\phi(\omega, \omega')$ such that $d\Phi(\omega, \omega') = \phi(\omega, \omega') d\omega d\omega'$. A necessary and sufficient condition for this equality to hold, as Loeve’s condition for harmonizability, is that $\Phi(\omega, \omega')$ must satisfy

$$\int \int |d\Phi(\omega, \omega')| < \infty.$$ The corresponding notion for processes after a Lamperti transformation introduces a new representation for a class of processes deviating from self-similarity, which is called multiplicative harmonizability. A self-similar process $X(t)$ has the harmonic-like representation as an inverse Mellin transform, namely an integral of uncorrelated spectral increments $d\phi(\omega)$ on the Mellin basis [1].

$$X(t) = \int t^{H+i\omega} d\phi(\omega),$$

and the process has this property if it verifies as

$$R_X(t, s) = \int \int t^{H+i\omega} s^{H-i\omega'} d\Phi(\omega, \omega').$$

The inverse Mellin transformation gives the expression of the spectral function if the correlation is known as [2]

$$\phi(\omega, \omega') = \int \int t^{-H-i\omega} s^{-H+i\omega'} R_X(t, s) \frac{dt}{t} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

### 2.4. Spectral density function

The spectral density of a PC process is introduced by Gladyshev in [8]. If $Y(n)$ is a DT-PC process, the spectral density matrix is a Hermitian nonnegative definite $T \times T$ matrix of the functions $f(\omega) = \{f_{jk}(\omega)\}_{j,k=0,1,\ldots,T-1}$, and the covariance function has the representation

$$R_n(\tau) := \text{Cov}(Y(n), Y(n+\tau)) = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} B_k(\tau) e^{2\pi i n k/T},$$
where
\[ B_k(\tau) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\tau \omega} f_k(\omega) \, d\omega. \]

Also \( f_k(\omega) \) and \( f_{jk}(\omega) \), \( j, k = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1 \), are related to
\[ f_{jk}(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} f_{k-j}(\omega - 2\pi j)/T, \quad 0 \leq \omega < 2\pi. \]

For \( k < 0, \omega < 0 \) or \( \omega > 2\pi \), the functions \( f_k(\omega) \) are defined by the equality \( f_k(\omega) = f_k(\omega + 2\pi) \).

Let \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+\} \) be a zero mean DSI process with the scale \( l \). If \( l < 1 \), we reduce the time scale, so that \( l \) in the new time scale is greater than 1. Our sampling scheme is to acquire samples at points \( \alpha_k \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where by choosing the number of samples in each scale, say \( T \in \mathbb{N} \), we find \( \alpha \) by \( \alpha \) = \( \alpha T \). Therefore, the process under study \( \{X(\alpha n), n \in \mathbb{N}\} \) is DT-SI with the scale \( l = \alpha T \).

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( X(\alpha^n) \) is DT-SI with the scale \( l = \alpha T \), \( T \in \mathbb{N} \), then we have the spectral representation of the covariance function of the process as
\[ R_n^H(\tau) := \text{Cov}(X(\alpha^n), X(\alpha^{n+\tau})) = \alpha^{(2n+\tau)H} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} B_k(\tau) e^{2k\pi i\omega/T}, \quad (2.16) \]

where
\[ B_k(\tau) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\tau \omega} f_k(\omega) \, d\omega \quad (2.17) \]
and
\[ f_{jk}(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} f_{k-j}(\omega - 2\pi j)/T, \quad (2.18) \]
for \( j, k = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1 \) and \( 0 \leq \omega < 2\pi \).

**Proof.** According to (2.6) and corollary 2.1, for any \( n, \tau \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[ R_n^H(\tau) = E[X(\alpha^n)X(\alpha^{n+\tau})] = E[L_{H,\alpha} Y(\alpha^n)L_{H,\alpha} Y(\alpha^{n+\tau})] = \alpha^{(2n+\tau)H} E[Y(n)Y(n+\tau)], \]
where \( Y(n) \) is the DT-PC process with the period \( T = \log_{\alpha} l \). Thus, by (3.1)
\[ R_n^H(\tau) = \alpha^{(2n+\tau)H} R_n(\tau) = \alpha^{(2n+\tau)H} \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} B_k(\tau) e^{2k\pi i\omega/T}. \]

3. Characterization of the spectrum

In this section we provide the spectral density matrix of a multi-dimensional self-similar process \( W(n) \). By using the harmonic-like representation of a self-similar process, we characterize the spectral density matrix of the DT-SI process in subsection 3.1. The DT-SIM process with a new scheme of sampling is considered and the properties of an introduced example are verified. The spectral density matrix of such a process and its associated \( T \)-dimensional self-similar Markov process are characterized in subsection 3.2.
3.1. Spectral representation of the multi-dimensional self-similar process

By Rozanov [12], if \( \xi(t) = [\xi^k(t)]_{k=1,\ldots,n} \) is an \( n \)-dimensional stationary process, then

\[
\xi(t) = \int e^{i\lambda t} \phi(d\lambda),
\]

is its spectral representation, where \( \phi = [\varphi_k]_{k=1,\ldots,n} \) and \( \varphi_k \) is the random spectral measure associated with the \( k \)th component \( \xi^k \) of the \( n \)-dimensional process \( \xi \). Let

\[
B_{kr}(\tau) = E[\xi^k(\tau + t)\bar{\xi}^r(t)], \quad k, r = 1, \ldots, n
\]

and \( B(\tau) = [B_{kr}(\tau)]_{k, r=1,\ldots,n} \) be the correlation matrix of \( \xi \). The components of the correlation matrix of the process \( \xi \) can be represented as

\[
B_{kr}(\tau) = \int e^{i\lambda \tau} F_{kr}(d\lambda), \quad k, r = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

where for any Borel set \( \Delta \), \( F_{kr}(\Delta) = E[\varphi_k(\Delta)\bar{\varphi}_r(\Delta)] \) are the complex valued set functions which are \( \sigma \)-additive and have bounded variation. For any \( k, r = 1, \ldots, n, \) if the sets \( \Delta \) and \( \Delta' \) do not intersect, \( E[\varphi_k(\Delta)\bar{\varphi}_r(\Delta')] = 0 \). For any interval \( \Delta = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \) when \( F_{kr}(\lambda_1) = F_{kr}(\lambda_2) = 0 \) the following relation holds:

\[
F_{kr}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Delta} \sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty} B_{kr}(\tau) e^{-i\lambda \tau} d\lambda
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} B_{kr}(0)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) + \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{0 < |\tau| \leq T} B_{kr}(\tau) \frac{e^{-i\lambda \tau} - e^{-i\lambda \tau}}{-i\tau}
\]

in the discrete parameter case, and

\[
F_{kr}(\Delta) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-a}^{a} e^{-i\lambda \tau} - e^{-i\lambda \tau} d\lambda B_{kr}(\tau) d\tau
\]

in the continuous parameter case.

Using the above results by Rozanov for multi-dimensional stationary processes and by using the Lamperti transformation, we present the definition of a multi-dimensional self-similar process and obtain the properties of the corresponding multi-dimensional self-similar process by the following theorem.

**Definition 0.1.** The process \( U(t) = (U^0(t), U^1(t), \ldots, U^{q-1}(t)) \) is a \( q \)-dimensional discrete time self-similar process in the wide sense with parameter space \( \hat{T} \), which consists of finite or countably many points of \( \mathbb{R}^s \), if the following are satisfied

(a) \( \{U^j(\cdot)\} \) for every \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, q - 1 \) is DT-SS process with parameter space \( \hat{T} \).

(b) \( U^i(\cdot) \) and \( U^j(\cdot) \) for \( i, j = 0, 1, \ldots, q - 1 \) have self-similar correlation, that is

\[
\text{Cov}(U^i(ts), U^j(tr)) = t^{2H} \text{Cov}(U^i(s), U^j(r)),
\]

where \( s, r, ts, tr \) are in \( \hat{T} \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( W(\alpha) = (W^0(\alpha), W^1(\alpha), \ldots, W^{q-1}(\alpha)) \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha > 1 \) be a discrete time \( q \)-dimensional self-similar process. Then

(i) the harmonic-like representation of \( W^j(\alpha) \) is

\[
W^j(\alpha) = \alpha^{jH} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i\omega k} d\varphi_j(\omega),
\]

where \( \varphi_j(\omega) \) is the corresponding spectral measure, that \( E[d\varphi_j(\omega)\bar{d}\varphi_j(\omega')] = dD^{H}_{j'}(\omega) \) when \( \omega = \omega' \) and is 0 when \( \omega \neq \omega' \). We call \( D^{H}_{j'}(\omega) \) the spectral distribution function of the process.
(ii) the corresponding spectral density matrix of \( W(\alpha^k) \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) is
\[
d_{jr}^H(\omega) = \left[ d_{jr}^H(\omega) \right]_{j=r, \ldots, q-1},
\]
where
\[
d_{jr}^H(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha^{-nH} e^{-\imath \omega n} Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^n),
\]
\( \alpha > 1 \) and \( Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^n) \) is the covariance function of \( W(\alpha^k) \).

Before proceeding to the proof of the theorem we recall that based on our sampling scheme at points \( \alpha^k \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), of continuous DSI process with the scale \( l = \alpha^\tau \), \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \), \( T \in N \).

So we consider \( W(\cdot) \) at points \( l^n = \alpha^nT \) as the corresponding \( T \)-dimension DT-SS process and apply this theorem in lemma 3.4.

**Proof of (i).** \( W(\alpha^k) \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, q - 1 \) is DT-SS and its stationary counterpart \( \xi^j(k) \) has the spectral representation \( \xi^j(k) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\imath \omega k} d\varphi_j(\omega) \). Thus, by (2.6)
\[
W(\alpha^k) = L_{H,\alpha} \xi^j(k) = \alpha^{kH} \xi^j(k) = \alpha^{kH} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\imath \omega k} d\varphi_j(\omega).
\]

**Proof of (ii).** The covariance matrix is denoted by
\[
Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^\tau) = E[W(\alpha^m \alpha^\tau) W(\alpha^n)] = \alpha^{2mH} E[W(\alpha^\tau) W(\alpha^1)]= \alpha^{2mH} Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^\tau).
\]

Also by (3.4)
\[
Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^\tau) = \alpha^{\tau H} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\imath \omega \tau} d\varphi_j(\omega) \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_j(\omega') \right] = \alpha^{\tau H} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\imath \omega \tau} dD_{jr}^H(\omega),
\]
where \( E[\varphi_j(\omega) \varphi_j(\omega')] = dD_{jr}^H(\omega) \) when \( \omega = \omega' \) and is 0 when \( \omega \neq \omega' \).

The spectral distribution function of the correlation matrix \( Q(\alpha^\tau) = \left[ Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^\tau) \right]_{j=r, \ldots, q-1} \) is
\[
D^H(\omega) = \left[ D_{jr}^H(\omega) \right]_{j=r, \ldots, q-1}.
\]

By (3.2), (3.3), (3.7) and appropriate transformation we have
\[
D_{jr}^H(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_A^{\infty} \alpha^{-nH} e^{-\imath \lambda n} Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^n) \, d\lambda.
\]

Let \( A = (\omega, \omega + \imath \omega) \); then we have the spectral density matrix as
\[
d_{jr}^H(\omega) = \left[ d_{jr}^H(\omega) \right]_{j=r, \ldots, q-1},
\]

where
\[
d_{jr}^H(\omega) := \frac{D_{jr}^H(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\imath} \lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{e^{-\imath \omega(\alpha^n \omega)} - e^{-\imath \omega n}}{d\omega} \right) \alpha^{-nH} Q_{jr}^H(\alpha^n).
\]

Existence of \( d_{jr}^H(\omega) \) follows from part (i) of the theorem as \( W(\alpha^k) \) is the Lamperti counterpart of the stationary process \( \xi^k(n), k = 0, \ldots, q - 1 \).  

□
3.2. Spectral density of the DT-SIM process

Let \( \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\} \) be a DSI process with the scale \( l \) and Markov in the wide sense. Using our sampling scheme described in this section, we assume \( l \) and \( \alpha \) to be greater than one. Thus, \( \{X(\alpha^n), n \in \mathbb{W}\} \) is a DT-SIM process with the scale \( l = \alpha^T \).

Let \( R(t_1, t_2) \) be some function defined on \( T \times T \) and suppose that \( R(t_1, t_2) \neq 0 \) everywhere on \( T \times T \), where \( T \) is an interval. Borisov [3] showed that the necessary and sufficient condition for \( R(t_1, t_2) \) to be the covariance function of a Gaussian Markov process with the time space \( T \) is

\[
R(t_1, t_2) = G(\min(t_1, t_2))K(\max(t_1, t_2)),
\]

(3.9)

where \( G \) and \( K \) are defined uniquely up to a constant multiple and the ratio \( G/K \) is a positive nondecreasing function on \( T \).

It should be noted that the Borisov result on Gaussian Markov processes can be easily derived in the discrete case for second-order Markov processes in the wide sense, by using theorem 8.1 of Doob [5].

Here, we present a closed formula for the covariance function of the DT-SIM process and characterized the covariance matrix of the corresponding \( T \)-dimensional self-similar Markov process by theorems 3.2 and 3.3 [10].

Theorem 3.2. Let \( \{X(\alpha^n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) be a DT-SIM process with the scale \( l = \alpha^T, \alpha > 1, T \in \mathbb{N} \); then the covariance function

\[
R_n^H(\tau) = E[X(\alpha^{n+\tau})X(\alpha^n)],
\]

(3.10)

where \( \tau \in \mathbb{W}, n = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1, R_n^H(0) = \alpha^{2HT}R_n^H(\tau) \) and \( R_n^H(\tau) \neq 0 \) is of the form

\[
R_n^H(kT + v) = [\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^{H}[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{v-n})][\tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1})]^{-1}R_n^H(0),
\]

(3.11)

\[
R_n^H(-kT + v) = \alpha^{-2kTH}R_{n+k}^H((k-1)T + T - v)
\]

where \( k \in \mathbb{W}, v = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1, \)

\[
\tilde{h}(\alpha^r) = \prod_{j=0}^{r} h(\alpha^j) = \prod_{j=0}^{r} R_j^H(1)/R_j^H(0), \quad r \in \mathbb{W}
\]

(3.12)

and \( \tilde{h}(\alpha^{-1}) = 1 \).

Proof. Here we present the sketch of the proof. From the Markov property (3.9), for \( \alpha > 1 \), we have that

\[
R_n^H(\tau) = G(\alpha^n)K(\alpha^{n+\tau}) \quad \tau \in \mathbb{W}.
\]

(3.13)

and

\[
R_n^H(0) = G(\alpha^n)K(\alpha^n).
\]

Thus,

\[
K(\alpha^{n+\tau}) = \frac{R_n^H(\tau)}{R_n^H(0)}K(\alpha^n).
\]

(3.14)

For \( \tau = 1 \), by a recursive substitution in (3.14) one can easily verify that

\[
K(\alpha^n) = K(1)\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h(\alpha^j),
\]

(3.15)
where \( h(\alpha^j) = R^H_0(1)/R^H_0(0) \). Hence, for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1, k \in \mathbb{W} \)

\[
K(\alpha^{kT+n}) = K(1) \prod_{j=0}^{kT+n-1} h(\alpha^j).
\]

As \( X(\cdot) \) is DT-SI with the scale \( \alpha^T \) by (3.10)

\[
h(\alpha^{T^i}) = \frac{R^H_{T^i}(1)}{R^H_{T^i}(0)} = \frac{R^H_1(1)}{R^H_1(0)} = h(\alpha^i), \quad i \in \mathbb{W}.
\]

Therefore, using (3.12) we have

\[
\prod_{j=0}^{kT+n-1} h(\alpha^j) = [\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^k \tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1}).
\] (3.16)

Consequently for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1 \)

\[
K(\alpha^{kT+n}) = K(1)[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^k \tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1}).
\] (3.17)

Let \( \tau = kT + v \); then it follows from (3.14) and (3.17) that

\[
R^H_n(kT + v) = \frac{K(\alpha^{nvkT+v})}{K(\alpha^n)}R^H_n(0) = \frac{K(1)[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^k \tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1})}{K(1)\tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1})} R^H_n(0)
\]

\[
[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^k \tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1})]^{-1} R^H_n(0)
\]

for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \alpha > 1 \) and \( n, v = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1 \).

Using (3.10) for \( \tau = -kT + v \) we have that

\[
R^H_n(-kT + v) = E[X(\alpha^{-(n+1)v}X(\alpha^n)] = \alpha^{-2kTH} E[X(\alpha^{n+1})X(\alpha^{kT+n})]
\]

\[
= \alpha^{-2kTH} R^H_{n+1}(kT - v) = \alpha^{-2kTH} R^H_{n+1}((k - 1)T + T - v).
\]

Example 3.1. We consider moving of a particle in different environment \( A_1, A_2, \ldots \) based on the Brownian motion with different rates. Specially, we consider this movement by \( X(t) \) with the index \( H > 0 \) and scale \( \lambda > 1 \) as

\[
X(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{nH^{-\frac{1}{2}}} I_{[\lambda^{n-1},\lambda^n)}(t) B(t),
\]

where \( B(\cdot), I(\cdot) \) are the Brownian motion and indicator function respectively and we call this process simple Brownian motion.

Let \( A_1 = [1, \lambda), A_2 = [\lambda, \lambda^2) \) and \( A_n = [\lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^n] \) as disjoint sets. The process \( X(t) \) is DSI and Markov too. For checking these properties, first we find the covariance function of it. The covariance function of the process for \( t \in A_n, s \in A_m \) and \( s \leq t \) is

\[
\text{Cov}(X(t), X(s)) = \lambda^{(n+m)H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{Cov}(B(t), B(s)) = \lambda^{(n+m)H^{-\frac{1}{2}}} s,
\] (3.18)

since as we know \( \text{Cov}(B(t), B(s)) = \min\{t, s\} \). Therefore, by the condition (3.9), the above covariance is the covariance function of a Markov process. Now we verify the DSI property. If \( t \) is in \( \lambda^{n-1}, \lambda^n \), then \( \lambda^t \) is in \( \lambda^n, \lambda^{n+1} \). Thus, for \( t \in A_{n+1} \) and \( s \in A_{m+1} \) we have

\[
\text{Cov}(X(\lambda t), X(\lambda s)) = \lambda^{(n+m+2)(H^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \text{Cov}(B(\lambda t), B(\lambda s)) = \lambda^{(n+m+2)(H^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \lambda^2 s
\]

\[
= \lambda^{2H} \lambda^{(n+m)(H^{-\frac{1}{2}})} s = \lambda^{2H} \text{Cov}(X(t), X(s)).
\]

Then, \( X(t) \) is \( (H, \lambda) \)-DSI.
By sampling of the process $X(\cdot)$ at points $\alpha^n, n \in \mathcal{W}$, where $\lambda = \alpha^T, T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 1$, we provide a DT-SIM process and investigate the conditions of theorem 3.2. For $j = kT + i$ where $i = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 2$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ by (3.18) we have that

$$h(\alpha^j) = \frac{R_{j}^H}{R_j^H(0)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X(\alpha^{j+1}), X(\alpha^j))}{\text{Cov}(X(\alpha^{j}), X(\alpha^j))} = \frac{\alpha^{2(k+1)TH+j}}{\alpha^{2k+1)TH+j+1}} = 1,$$

as $\alpha^j, \alpha^{j+1} \in A_{k+1}$ and $H' = H - \frac{1}{2}$. Also for $j = kT + T - 1$ we have that

$$h(\alpha^j) = \frac{R_{j}^H}{R_j^H(0)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X(\alpha^{j+1}), X(\alpha^j))}{\text{Cov}(X(\alpha^{j}), X(\alpha^j))} = \frac{\alpha^{2(k+3)TH+j}}{\alpha^{2k+2)TH+j}} = \alpha^{T'H},$$

as $\alpha^j \in A_{k+1}$ and $\alpha^{j+1} \in A_{k+2}$. Thus, for $j = kT + i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 2$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots$

$$\tilde{h}(\alpha^{kT+i}) = \prod_{r=0}^{T-1} h(\alpha^r) = \prod_{r=0}^{k} \alpha^{T'H} = \alpha^{kT'H}$$

and for $j = kT + T - 1$

$$\tilde{h}(\alpha^{kT+T-1}) = \prod_{r=0}^{T-2} h(\alpha^r) = \prod_{r=0}^{k+1} \alpha^{T'H} = \alpha^{(k+1)T'H}.$$  

Finally as $\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1}) = \alpha^{T'H}$,

$$\tilde{h}(\alpha^{+n-1}) = \begin{cases} 1, & v + n - 1 \leq T - 2 \\ \alpha^{T'H}, & v + n - 1 \geq T - 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{h}(\alpha^{n-1}) = 1, R_0^H = E[X(\alpha^0)X(\alpha^n)] = \alpha^{2T'H+n}, n = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1$. Thus,

$$R_n^H(\tau + v) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{(k+2)T'H+n}, & v + n - 1 \leq T - 2 \\ \alpha^{(k+3)T'H+n}, & v + n - 1 \geq T - 1. \end{cases}$$

Also by straight calculation from (3.18) we have the same result.

Corresponding to the DT-SIM process, $[X(\alpha^k), k \in \mathbb{Z}]$ with the scale $l = \alpha^T$, $\alpha > 1$, $T \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $T$-dimensional discrete time self-similar Markov process $W(t) = (W^0(t), W^1(t), \ldots, W^{T-1}(t))$ with the parameter space $\tilde{T} = \{l^n; n \in \mathcal{W}, l = \alpha^T\}$, where

$$W^k(l^n) = W^k(\alpha^{ln}) = X(\alpha^{ln+k}), \quad k = 0, \ldots, T - 1. \quad (3.19)$$

The elements of the covariance matrix which is defined by (3.6) at points $l^n$ and $l^r$ by (3.10) and (3.11) can be written as

$$Q_{jk}^H(l^n, l^r) = E[W^j(l^n)W^k(l^r)] = \alpha^{2nHT} E[X(\alpha^{T+j})X(\alpha^k)] = \alpha^{2nHT} \text{Cov}(\tilde{h}(\alpha^j)\tilde{h}(\alpha^k))$$

in which $C_{jk}^H = \tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-1})\tilde{h}(\alpha^{k-1})^{-1}$ and $R_k^H(\cdot)$ is defined in (3.10).

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $[X(\alpha^k), n \in \mathcal{W}]$ be a DT-SIM process with the covariance function $R_n^H(\cdot)$. Also let $[W(l^n), n \in \mathcal{W}]$, defined in (3.19), be its associated $T$-dimensional discrete time self-similar Markov process with the covariance function $Q^H(l^n, l^r)$. Then

$$Q^H(l^n, l^r) = \alpha^{2nHT} C_{HH} R_n^H \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})^T, \quad \tau \in \mathcal{W}.$$  

(3.21)
where $\tilde{h}(\cdot)$ is defined by \((3.12)\) and the matrices $C_H$ and $R_H$ are given by $C_H = [c_{jk}]_{j,k=0,1,\ldots,T-1}$, where $C_{jk} = \tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-k})[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{k-1})]^{-1}$, and

$$R_H = \begin{bmatrix} R_{0H}^H(0) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & R_{1H}^H(0) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & R_{T-1H}^H(0) \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

**Remark 3.1.** It follows from theorem 3.3 that for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1$ the process $W^k(t^n) = X(\alpha^n t^k)$ is a self-similar Markov process for $n \in W$. The covariance function of the process is

$$\Gamma^H_k(t^n, t'^n) = E[W^k(t^n)W^k(t'^n)] = \alpha^{2nHT}[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^T R_k^H(0), \quad \tau \in W,$$

where $C_{kk} = \tilde{h}(\alpha^{k-1})[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{k-1})]^{-1} = 1$.

The introduced $T$-dimensional self-similar Markov process $W(t)$ with the parameter space $T = \{t^n, n \in W\}$, $l = \alpha^n, T \in \mathbb{N}$, is the counterpart of the $T$-dimensional stationary Markov process $Y(t) = (Y^0(t), Y^1(t), \ldots, Y^{T-1}(t))$. The spectral density matrix of such a $T$-dimensional self-similar process is characterized by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** The spectral density matrix $d^H(\omega) = [d^H_{ij}(\omega)]_{i,j=0,\ldots,T-1}$ of the $T$-dimensional self-similar process $\{W(l^n), n \in W\}$, defined by \((3.19)\), where $l = \alpha^n$ has the Markov property and is specified by

$$d^H_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})R_{ij}^H(0)}{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})(1 - e^{-i\omega T\alpha^{j-i}}h(\alpha^{T-i}))} - \frac{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})R_{ij}^H(0)}{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})(1 - e^{-i\omega T\alpha^{j-i}}h(\alpha^{T-i}))},$$

where $R_{ij}^H(0)$ is the variance of the process $X(\cdot)$ at point $\alpha^j$ and $\tilde{h}(\cdot)$ is defined by \((3.12)\).

**Proof.** As we mentioned prior to the proof of theorem 3.1, we consider $Q^H_{ij}(\cdot, \cdot)$ at the discrete points $l^m$ and $l'$ where $m, s \in W$; then

$$Q^H_{ij}(l^m, l') = E[W^i(l^m)W^j(l')] = \alpha^{2mHT} E[W^i(l^m)W^j(1)] = \alpha^{2mHT} Q^H_{ij}(l').$$

If the $T$-dimensional discrete time self-similar Markov process $W(\cdot)$ is sampled at points $l^n = \alpha^n T$, then in \((3.7)\) we have $\tau T$ instead of $\tau$, thus in \((3.5)\) we have $nT$ instead of $n$ and the corresponding spectral density matrix of the covariance matrix $Q^H(l') = \left[Q^H_{ij}(l')\right]_{i,j=0,\ldots,T-1}$ is

$$d^H(\omega) = \left[d^H_{ij}(\omega)\right]_{i,j=0,\ldots,T-1},$$

where

$$d^H_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} l^{-hs} e^{-is\omega T} Q^H_{ij}(l^s) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{-1} l^{-hs} e^{-is\omega T} Q^H_{ij}(l^s) := d^H_{ij1}(\omega) + d^H_{ij2}(\omega).$$

First we evaluate $d^H_{ij1}(\omega)$:

$$d^H_{ij1}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-is\omega T} l^{-hs} R_{ij}^H(sT + j - r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-is\omega T} \alpha^{-hsT}[\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^T C_{ij} R_{ij}^H(0)$$

$$= \frac{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})R_{ij}^H(0)}{2\pi \tilde{h}(\alpha^{j-i})} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (e^{-is\omega T} \alpha^{-hsT} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1}))^T. \quad (3.22)$$
Now we verify the convergence of the above summation. By (3.12) we have
\[
|e^{-i\omega T} \tilde{h}(\alpha T^{-1})| = \left| \tilde{h}(\alpha T^{-1}) \right| = \prod_{j=0}^{T-1} |h(\alpha^j)| = \prod_{j=0}^{T-1} \left| \frac{R_H^j(1)}{R_H^j(0)} \right| = \prod_{j=0}^{T-2} \left| \frac{E[X(\alpha^{j+1})X(\alpha^j)]}{\sqrt{E[X^2(\alpha^{j+1})]E[X^2(\alpha^j)]}} \times \frac{E[X(\alpha^T)X(\alpha^{T-1})]}{\sqrt{E[X^2(\alpha^T)]E[X^2(\alpha^{T-1})]}} \right|.
\]
By the scale invariance of \(X(\cdot)\) we have that \(E[X^2(\alpha^T)] = \alpha^{2TH}E[X^2(1)]\). Now for \(j = 0, \ldots, T - 1\) if at least one of the Corr\[X(\alpha^{j+1})X(\alpha^j)] < 1 then \(\tilde{h}(\alpha T^{-1}) < \alpha^{TH}\), and
\[
|e^{-i\omega T} \alpha^{-TH} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})| < 1.
\]
Therefore, the summation on the right-hand side of (3.22) is convergent. Thus, the spectral density is
\[
d_{jr1}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{-1}) R_H^j(0)}{2\pi \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})} \times \frac{1}{1 - e^{-i\omega T} \alpha^{-TH} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})}.
\]
Now we are to evaluate \(d_{jr2}(\omega)\):
\[
d_{jr2}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l^{2H} \tilde{e}^{i\omega T} Q_{jr}^H(l^{-j} s).
\]
As \(Q_{jr}^H(l^{-j}) = E(W^j(l^{-j}) W^r(l)) = l^{-2H} E(W^j(1) W^r(l')) = l^{-2H} Q_{jr}^H(l')\), so by a similar method, one can easily verify that
\[
d_{jr2}(\omega) = \frac{\tilde{h}(\alpha^{-1}) R_H^j(0)}{2\pi \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})} \times \frac{e^{i\omega T} \alpha^{-HT} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})}{1 - e^{i\omega T} \alpha^{-HT} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})}.
\]
So we arrive at an assertion of the lemma. □

**Remark 3.2.** Lemma 3.4 provides the spectral density of discrete time self-similar Markov process \(\{W^k(l^n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\), defined by (3.19), for \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1\) as
\[
d_{kk}(\omega) = \frac{R_H^j(0)}{2\pi(1 - 2 \cos(\omega T) \alpha^{-HT} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1}) + [\alpha^{HT} \tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1})]^2)}.
\]

**Remark 3.3.** Using lemma 3.4, relations (2.16), (2.17) and (2.20), we see that the spectral density matrix \(f(\omega) = [f_{jr}(\omega)]_{r=0,1,\ldots,T-1}\) of a DT-SIM process which is defined by (2.18) is fully specified by \(\{R_H^j(1), R_H^j(0), j = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1\}\).

**Example 3.2.** Here, we present the \(T\)-dimensional discrete time self-similar Markov process corresponding to the simple Brownian motion, described in example 3.1, as \(W^0(l^n) = (W_0(l^n), W_1(l^n), \ldots, W^{T-1}(l^n))\), where \(W^k(l^n) = X(\alpha^{kT+\delta})\). Now as we mentioned in lemma 3.5 we obtain the spectral density matrix of \(W(l^n)\). In example 3.1 we find that \(\tilde{h}(\alpha^{-1}) = 1\) and \(\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1}) = 1\) if \(j, r = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1\), \(\tilde{h}(\alpha^{T-1}) = \alpha^{TH}\), \(H' = H - \frac{1}{2}\) and \(R_H^j(0) = \alpha^{2TH'} r^j, R_H^j(0) = \alpha^{2TH'}\) thus the spectral density matrix of \(W(l^n)\) is
\[
d_{jr}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha^j}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{\alpha^j}{e^{-i\omega T} \alpha^j - 1} + \frac{\alpha^r}{1 - e^{-i\omega T} \alpha^{-T/2}} \right].
\]
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