Humeral internal rotation osteotomy for the treatment of Erb-Duchenne-type obstetric palsy: clinical and radiographic results
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OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the functional and radiographic results in patients undergoing shoulder anterior soft tissue stretching in association with open reduction and internal rotation osteotomy to centralize the humeral head as a treatment for Erb-Duchenne obstetric palsy sequelae.

METHOD: A total of 35 patients underwent this surgical treatment, and the mean follow-up was 4.6 years. The Mallet scale was applied before and after the surgical procedure. A total of 20 patients underwent computed tomography to assess the glenoid version and humeral head subluxation.

RESULTS: Functional improvement was achieved, as evidenced by an increase in the Mallet scale score from 12.14 to 16.46 (p<0.001). The correction of retroversion was achieved once the glenoid version ranged from -21.4 to -12 degrees (p<0.001). The humeral head subluxation improved from 6.5 to 35.2% (p<0.001). Patients older than 6 years of age did not achieve glenohumeral joint improvement with respect to dysplastic abnormalities.

CONCLUSION: Internal rotation osteotomy in association with the stretching of anterior soft tissues of the shoulder in patients under the age of 7 years provided improvements in the function, retroversion, and subluxation of the glenohumeral joint.
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INTRODUCTION

Obstetric palsy results from traction of the brachial plexus in above-average-weight newborns in cases of difficult delivery (such as breech presentation) and in cases of shoulder dystocia (1). The incidence of obstetric palsy ranges from 1 to 4.6 cases per 1,000 live births (2).

Although complete motor recovery may occur in many patients, some patients develop growth deformities due to nerve injury resulting from the secondary muscle imbalance. One of the more common deformities is a contracture in adduction and internal rotation of the shoulder because of the predominance of the adductor and internal rotator muscles with respect to the elevators and external rotators. This deformity is mainly caused by injury to the suprascapular nerve (3,4). This imbalance leads to misalignment of the humeral head in relation to the glenoid, which promotes both increased retroversion and hypoplasia of the humeral head and retroversion of the glenoid. The persistence of this abnormal positioning evolves to posterior glenohumeral subluxation or even dislocation within a short time (5).

Microsurgical nerve reconstruction, soft-tissue releases, tendon transfers, open reduction, and humeral osteotomies have been proposed as methods to improve upper-extremity function in appropriately selected patients (6-15). Waters et al. (8) demonstrated that glenoid abnormalities showed little improvement with muscle-tendon stretching, even when performed in association with muscle transfers, if the presence of bony deformities was neglected. There have been descriptions of an internal rotation osteotomy of the proximal humerus in addition to the reduction of the humeral head in the glenoid cavity associated with tendon stretching performed during the same operation. The objective is to reduce the humeral head retroversion, yielding better positioning of the head in relation to the glenoid cavity. This operation has benefits related to osteoarticular modeling, this modeling...
is potentially large-scale in very young children (14,15). There have been no studies assessing the effect of this technique on glenohumeral joint development as it applies to the remodeling of a retroverted glenoid.

The objective of the present study was to present functional and radiographic results from patients who underwent internal rotator stretching and open reduction of the humeral head in the glenoid cavity in association with an internal-rotation osteotomy to center the humeral head.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Between 1996 and 2008, a total of 42 children who sustained obstetric palsy sequelae in adduction and internal rotation shoulder contracture underwent stretching of the anterior soft tissues (Sever’s procedure), open reduction of the humeral head in the glenoid cavity, and internal-rotation osteotomy of the proximal humerus.

This surgical treatment was indicated for patients with adduction and internal rotation contracture (Erb-Duchenne palsy) with posterior subluxation or dislocation of the shoulder. To obtain and maintain joint congruity of the glenohumeral joint, we indicated this procedure for children beginning at 18 months of age in consideration of the greater potential for bone remodeling in younger children. Patients were excluded from the study if they did not complete a minimum postoperative follow-up period of 2 years.

The patients were clinically assessed pre- and post-operatively according to the modified Mallet scale (16). They were also assessed radiographically before and after the operation. The radiographic exams were performed during the preoperative and postoperative evaluations, which were performed at 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, and 24 weeks after the surgery and then annually. The radiographic assessment of the shoulder included the anteroposterior view, axillary view, and true lateral view. The humeral head was described as centered, subluxated, or dislocated with respect to the glenoid cavity.

A total of 20 patients underwent computed tomography (CT) scans of both shoulders before and after the operation. The post-operative CT scans were performed at least 2 years after the surgical procedure. On the CT scan, the glenoid version was measured on the axial slices (17) in the following manner: a line was drawn parallel to the scapula body through the midpoint of the glenoid (scapular line), and another line was drawn parallel to the glenoid cavity and joined the anterior and posterior edges. These two lines intersected to form 4 quadrants. By definition, the state of the glenoid cavity version was obtained as the value of the angle of the posteros medial quadrant after subtracting 90 degrees. The glenoid was considered retroverted when this value was negative and antverted when the value was positive. The degree of subluxation of the humeral head was measured in the following manner: the largest anteroposterior diameter of the humeral head was measured (AP line); the intersection of the scapular line with the AP line created the AE line (between the intersection point and the anterior point of the AP line); and the subluxation or dislocation was measured as the percentage of posterior deviation of the humeral head (PD), which was calculated by dividing AE by AP and multiplying the result by 100. The classification method of Waters et al. (5) was also used to assess the degree of glenohumeral deformity.

**RESULTS**

A total of 7 patients were excluded from the study, and the 35 remaining patients included in the study comprised the following: 21 males and 14 females, with a mean follow-up of 4.6 years (range: 2 to 12 years). The patients’ mean age was 4.4 years (range: 1 to 9 years) at the time of the proposed surgical treatment (Table 1).

The mean score was 12.14 points (range: 8 to 18) on the modified Mallet scale before surgery and 16.46 points (score: 12 to 22) after surgery, representing a mean gain of 4.32 points (range: 0 to 10) \( (p<0.001) \). Within the age groups, patients who were 7 years of age and older had a mean functional gain of only one point, with 3 patients not achieving any functional improvement. Despite this small difference, the gain obtained was significant \( (p=0.02) \) (Table 2). There was no significant difference with respect to functional gain between patients presenting with subluxated and dislocated glenohumeral joints.

The radiographic evaluation revealed that 15 patients initially presented with subluxation and 20 presented with humeral head posterior dislocation. Radiographic control at the last follow-up demonstrated that 28 patients presented
Table 1 - Pre- and postoperative clinical and radiographic patient data.

| Patient | Sex | Age at time of surgery (yrs) | Follow-up (yrs) | Pre-surgery Mallet score | Post-surgery Mallet score | Gain in the Mallet score | Pre-surgery X-ray finding | Post-surgery X-ray finding |
|---------|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1       | M   | 2                             | 2               | 12                       | 17                       | 5                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 2       | M   | 6                             | 2               | 9                        | 16                       | 7                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 3       | M   | 2                             | 2               | 10                       | 16                       | 6                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 4       | F   | 2                             | 2               | 12                       | 14                       | 2                        | dislocated               | subluxated               |
| 5       | F   | 4                             | 2               | 12                       | 19                       | 7                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 6       | M   | 3                             | 3               | 14                       | 19                       | 5                        | dislocated               | subluxated               |
| 7       | F   | 2                             | 3               | 14                       | 21                       | 7                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 8       | F   | 3                             | 3               | 8                        | 18                       | 10                       | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 9       | M   | 2                             | 2               | 13                       | 17                       | 4                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 10      | M   | 2                             | 2               | 12                       | 14                       | 2                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 11      | F   | 5                             | 2               | 17                       | 22                       | 5                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 12      | M   | 5                             | 6               | 10                       | 17                       | 7                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 13      | F   | 5                             | 6               | 13                       | 17                       | 4                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 14      | M   | 2                             | 6               | 10                       | 16                       | 6                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 15      | M   | 3                             | 6               | 10                       | 14                       | 4                        | subluxated               | subluxated               |
| 16      | M   | 3                             | 6               | 11                       | 14                       | 3                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 17      | M   | 5                             | 2               | 10                       | 18                       | 8                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 18      | F   | 1                             | 7               | 13                       | 20                       | 7                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 19      | M   | 3                             | 7               | 14                       | 16                       | 2                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 20      | F   | 4                             | 7               | 13                       | 14                       | 1                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 21      | F   | 6                             | 8               | 10                       | 18                       | 8                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 22      | M   | 3                             | 8               | 14                       | 20                       | 6                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 23      | M   | 1                             | 9               | 11                       | 20                       | 9                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 24      | M   | 3                             | 9               | 10                       | 13                       | 3                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 25      | M   | 3                             | 12              | 10                       | 18                       | 8                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 26      | F   | 4                             | 2               | 12                       | 16                       | 4                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 27      | F   | 6                             | 2               | 13                       | 16                       | 3                        | subluxated               | subluxated               |
| 28      | M   | 8                             | 7               | 13                       | 15                       | 2                        | dislocated               | subluxated               |
| 29      | F   | 9                             | 6               | 11                       | 12                       | 1                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 30      | F   | 8                             | 2               | 13                       | 15                       | 2                        | dislocated               | subluxated               |
| 31      | M   | 9                             | 5               | 14                       | 14                       | 0                        | dislocated               | centered                 |
| 32      | F   | 7                             | 5               | 12                       | 12                       | 0                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 33      | M   | 8                             | 3               | 18                       | 18                       | 0                        | dislocated               | subluxated               |
| 34      | M   | 8                             | 4               | 14                       | 15                       | 1                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| 35      | M   | 7                             | 2               | 13                       | 15                       | 2                        | subluxated               | centered                 |
| Mean    |     | 4.4                           | 4.6             | 12.14                    | 16.46                    | 4.32                      |                          |                          |

with a concentric reduced humeral head, while 7 patients sustained posterior subluxation. Among these 7 patients, 3 underwent surgical treatment after reaching the age of 6 years (Table 3).

A total of 20 patients underwent CT scans before and after surgery. Of these patients, 6 patients were classified as Waters type III, one was type IV, 3 were type V, and 10 were type VI before surgical treatment. After treatment, 2 became type I, 10 became type II, 4 became type III, and 4 became type IV. All patients who presented Waters type IV on the operated side, the mean retroversion was -21.4 degrees (range: -10 to 4) after the operation (Table 3).

CT scans were used to evaluate the glenoid retroversion and the degree of subluxation or dislocation of the humeral head (20 patients). Postoperative CT scans were performed, on average, 3.85 years (range: 2 to 11) after the surgical procedure. On the unaffected side, the mean glenoid version was -4 degrees (range: -10 to 4) before the operation and -3.7 degrees (range: -10 to 4) after the operation (p = 0.58). On the operated side, the mean retroversion was -21.4 degrees (range: -34 to -3) before the operation and -12 degrees (range: -32 to -2) after the operation (p < 0.001). Glenoid retroversion decreased in all patients younger than 7 years of age who underwent the operation, with a mean change from -23.3 to -9.5 degrees (p < 0.001). Among the patients older than 6 years of age, the retroversion increased (from -23.3 to -9.5 degrees, on average). The degree of correction of the glenoid cavity version after the operation was independent of whether the humeral head was subluxated or dislocated before surgery (Figures 1 and 2).

The percentage of posterior deviation of the humeral head (PD) was improved in all patients, ranging from 6.5% (range: 0 to 28%) to 35.2% (range: 10 to 50%), on average (p < 0.001). Among the patients younger than 7 years of age, the mean preoperative PD was 3.1% (range: 0 to 27%), and the mean postoperative PD was 38.8% (range: 18.3 to 50%) (p < 0.001). Among the patients older than 7 years of age, the mean PD changed from 16.6 to 24.3% (p = 0.21). On the contralateral unaffected side, the PD measurement was 46.8% before the operation and 47.1% after the operation (p = 0.87) (Table 2).

There were 2 cases in which a varus deformity of the osteotomy was observed, but the growth of these patients led to complete correction of the deformity. In 4 patients, the plate and screws were removed because of complaints of local pain. There were no cases of infection or additional neurological lesions.

**DISCUSSION**

Obstetric palsy treatments vary according to the phase of the disease. The use of orthoses and rehabilitation is indicated in the initial phase of treatment (in newborns). Brachial plexus surgical exploration is indicated when the disease. The use of orthoses and rehabilitation is indicated in the initial phase of treatment (in newborns). Brachial plexus surgical exploration is indicated when
recovery of biceps muscle function has not been achieved within six months.

Tendon stretching (1,6) with or without muscle transfer (1) can be performed in patients with internal shoulder rotation and adduction contracture without bone deformities. The objective in this phase is to achieve a concentric and stable glenohumeral joint and provide as extensive a functional range of motion as possible.

In Brazil, we have observed that the natural history of the osteoarticular sequelae of OP is influenced by 2 factors: the use of conservative treatment methods (e.g., physiotherapy and orthoses) for exceedingly long periods because of a traditional belief in their efficacy and a delay in surgical indications because the parents fear their children undergoing surgery or because the professionals who treat them are inexperienced.

The optimum time for avoiding or minimizing the severe osteoarticular abnormalities caused by muscle lesions and imbalance as sequelae from obstetric palsy is frequently missed. In recent years, efforts have been made to perform corrections at the proper time (18).

The maintenance of shoulder contracture gives rise to the posterior displacement of the humeral head. In the initial stages of this displacement, early changes to the proximal epiphysis of the humerus appear and evolve rapidly to osteoarticular deformities (retroversion of the humerus and retroversion and hypoplasia of the glenoid), followed by posterior subluxation. Over time, this imbalance leads to the total loss of joint congruence. All these factors have severe consequences for shoulder function (13).

Tendon stretching and tendon transfers significantly improve overall shoulder functioning but have only a modest influence on glenoid cavity retroversion, deformation, and humeral head subluxation as an evolution of glenohumeral dysmorphism. In a follow-up of 25 patients, Waters (8) obtained a mean gain of 5 points on the Mallet scale, but the dysplastic abnormalities of the glenohumeral joint were only modestly reduced.

The importance of restoring muscle balance must be supported by a concentric reduction of the humeral head in the glenoid cavity. This statement was confirmed by Hui et al. (12); specifically, in 23 patients, open reduction of the humeral head and tendon stretching were able to significantly reduce the glenoid cavity retroversion in these patients. Among 22 patients, Pedowitz et al. (13) demonstrated that a considerable improvement in the dysplastic abnormalities of the glenoid occurred when these patients underwent arthroscopic release of the anterior capsule, tenotomy of the subscapular tendon, and reduction of the humeral head in the glenoid. The glenoid version changed from -37 to -8 degrees ($p<0.001$), and the PD increased from 15.6 to 46.9% ($p<0.001$). In addition to the open reduction of the humeral head and tendon stretching, Sinbiski and Synder (14) performed an internal-rotation osteotomy in some cases, with the aim of maintaining the stability of the reduction that had been achieved during the operation. They obtained a mean improvement of 4.7 points on the Mallet scale but did not describe if there was any improvement in the dysplastic glenoid abnormalities. Kambhampati et al. (15) not only performed open reduction of the humeral head but also performed an internal-rotation osteotomy in cases in which the humeral head retroversion was greater than 40 degrees.

In the present study, all patients underwent pectoralis major and subscapularis muscle tendon stretching, stretching of the anteroinferior capsule, reduction of the humeral head, and internal-rotation osteotomy of the shoulder. These procedures enabled the stable reduction of the glenohumeral joint with the correction of the retroversion of the humeral head. Retroversion is abnormally greater in

### Table 2 - Mean pre- and postoperative Mallet scores, degrees of glenoid retroversion, and percentages of posterior deviation of the humeral head (PD).

| Age       | <7 years (range) | ≥7 years (range) | All (range) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Mean preoperative Mallet score (range) | 11.61 (8-17)* | 13.66 (11-18)* | 12.14 (8-18)* |
| Mean postoperative Mallet score (range) | 16.90 (14-22)* | 14.66 (12-18)* | 16.46 (12-22)* |
| Mean preoperative glenoid retroversion (range) | -23.3° (-34/-12)* | -16° (-25/-6) | -21.4° (-34/-3)* |
| Mean postoperative glenoid retroversion (range) | -9.5° (-15/-6)* | -19.4° (-32/-10) | -12° (-32/-2)* |
| Mean preoperative PD Humeral Head (range) | 3.1% (0-27%)* | 16.6% (10-28%) | 6.5% (0-28%)* |
| Mean postoperative PD Humeral Head (range) | 38.8% (18.3-50%)* | 24.3% (10-30%) | 35.2% (10-50%)* |

*$p<0.05$.

### Table 4 - Pre- and postoperative CT evaluations.

| CT evaluation | Preoperative (patients n) | Postoperative (patients n) |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Waters I      | 0                         | 2                          |
| Waters II     | 0                         | 10                         |
| Waters III    | 6                         | 4                          |
| Waters IV     | 1                         | 4                          |
| Waters V      | 3                         | 0                          |
| Waters VI     | 10                        | 0                          |
obstetric palsy patients (19) but is frequently considered unimportant during treatment.

The humeral head internal-rotation osteotomy presented in this study, which is also known as a centering osteotomy, is analogous to the procedure that is performed in newborns with dysplastic hips. The aim is to keep the humeral head contained in the glenoid cavity, enabling reciprocal stimulation to form a congruent and functionally efficient joint (18).

We obtained a mean improvement of 4.32 points in the Mallet score, similar to that reported in other studies (8,14,15). If only patients younger than 7 years of age are considered, our improvement is 5.29 points. In our group of patients, we obtained significant reductions of the glenoid retroversion, which decreased from 23.3 to 9.5 degrees, and of the humeral head subluxation, which increased from 3.13 to 38.8%. Among the patients older than 6 years of age, we did not achieve the same success; specifically, reversal of the dysplastic abnormalities of the glenoid and humeral head was not obtained, and there were only very slight gains in shoulder function. We have ceased to indicate this surgical procedure for patients aged 7 years and older.

Kambhampati et al. (15) reported worse results in older patients. They described 20 cases of persistent humeral head dislocation in older patients compared with younger patients in whom successful glenohumeral joint reductions were achieved. We agree with these authors; performing the centering osteotomy at an early stage is necessary in patients with internal rotation and adduction contracture, particularly in those with posterior subluxation or dislocation of the humeral head. We further recommend that this technique should be used in children up to the age of 6 years who do not present any major bone deformities of the glenohumeral joint because the potential for remodeling of the glenohumeral joint is greater than that in older children (18).

For patients younger than 7 years of age without signs of subluxation of the humeral head, we recommend that Sever’s procedure be used. This procedure may be performed in association with muscle transfer. We would indicate an external rotation osteotomy (18) for patients older than 6 years of age or for patients with major dysplastic abnormalities.

The main limitations of this study are its non-comparative design and the lack of a CT evaluation before and after surgery in some cases. In recent years, CT and magnetic resonance imaging have become the best modalities for conducting radiographic analysis. Our study began in 1996, when these imaging modalities were not readily available; while approximately 20 patients had pre- and postoperative CT scans, all patients were examined by conventional radiography exams. The modified Mallet scale is the score used in most clinical studies, but it has not been previously validated for the Portuguese language. A number of studies have assessed this scale and found good intra- and interobserver reliability (20,21).

Despite significant improvements in external rotation and elevation, some patients continued to exhibit diminished strength in the contralateral shoulder. To address this problem, we are performing muscle transfers involving the teres major and latissimus dorsi in selected patients in a subsequent surgical procedure.

Humeral head internal rotation osteotomy in association with shoulder internal rotator stretching significantly improved the shoulder function of obstetric palsy sequelae patients. Glenoid retroversion was achieved in patients younger than 7 years of age, with significant improvements obtained in the relationship of the humeral head within the glenoid cavity.
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