Twenty-first century ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation, and upper ocean nutrient decline from CMIP6 model projections
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Abstract. Anthropogenic climate change leads to ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation and reductions in near-surface nutrient concentrations, all of which are expected to affect marine ecosystems. Here we assess projections of these drivers of environmental change over the twenty-first century from Earth system models (ESMs) participating in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) that were forced under the CMIP6 Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs). Projections are compared to those from the previous generation (CMIP5) forced under the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). 10 CMIP5 and 13 CMIP6 models are used in the two multi-model ensembles. Under the high-emission scenario SSP5-8.5, the model mean change (2080-2099 mean values relative to 1870-1899) in sea surface temperature, surface pH, subsurface (100-600 m) oxygen concentration and euphotic (0-100 m) nitrate concentration is +3.48±0.78 °C, -0.44±0.005, -13.27±5.28 mmol m⁻³ and -1.07±0.45 mmol m⁻³, respectively. Under the low-emission, high-mitigation scenario SSP1-2.6, the corresponding changes are +1.42±0.32 °C, -0.16±0.002, -6.36±2.92 mmol m⁻³ and -0.53±0.23 mmol m⁻³. Projected exposure of the marine ecosystem to these drivers of ocean change depends largely on the extent of future emissions, consistent with previous studies. The Earth system models in CMIP6 generally project greater surface warming, acidification, deoxygenation and euphotic nitrate reductions than those from CMIP5 under comparable radiative forcing, with no reduction in inter-model uncertainties. Under the high-emission CMIP5 scenario RCP8.5, the corresponding changes in sea surface temperature, surface pH, subsurface oxygen and euphotic nitrate concentration are +3.04±0.62 °C, -0.38±0.005, -9.51±2.13 mmol m⁻³ and -0.66±0.49
mmol m\(^{-3}\), respectively. The greater surface acidification in CMIP6 is primarily a consequence of the SSPs having higher associated atmospheric CO\(_2\) concentrations than their RCP analogues. The increased projected warming results from a general increase in the climate sensitivity of CMIP6 models relative to those of CMIP5. This enhanced warming results in greater increases in upper ocean stratification in CMIP6 projections, which contributes to greater reductions in euphotic nitrate and subsurface oxygen ventilation.

1. **Introduction**

1.1 Ocean warming, acidification, deoxygenation and enhanced nutrient limitation

Since the preindustrial period the global oceans have experienced fundamental change in physical and geochemical conditions as a result of anthropogenic climate change. Although these physicochemical changes reflect the climate services that the oceans provide through heat and carbon storage, they also have major implications for the health of marine ecosystems.

Temperature is a principal determinant of biological metabolism in the ocean (e.g. Eppley, 1972) and plays a major role in shaping the global distribution of marine species (e.g. Thomas et al., 2012; Sunagawa et al., 2015). The radiative forcing associated with greenhouse gas emissions results in an accumulation of heat in the Earth system, most of which is taken up by the oceans (Frölicher et al., 2014). Global sea surface temperature (SST) has increased by +0.7 °C over the last 100 years (Bindoff et al., 2007), with observations indicating that the rate of warming in the upper 2000 m of the ocean has increased from 0.55 to 0.68 W m\(^{-2}\) since 1991 (Cheng et al., 2019).

Earth system models project 21st century increases in SST under all of the RCPs (Bopp et al., 2013). While certain species may have the potential to acclimate to rising ocean temperatures, poleward range shifts of many species have already been observed (Gregory et al., 2009; Sorte et al., 2010), with associated declines in tropical diversity projected (Thomas et al., 2012). Concurrently, the frequency, intensity and duration of ocean heat waves has increased in the observational record and is projected to substantially increase in the future (Frölicher et al., 2018). This has already had serious impacts on marine foundation taxa such as corals, seagrasses and kelps (Garrabou et al., 2009; Hobday et al., 2016; Smale et al., 2019).

A consequence of ocean warming is an increase in vertical density gradients and enhanced stratification. This results in a reduction in the supply of nutrients to the euphotic zone, with enhanced nutrient limitation generally leading to observed declines in net primary production (Behrenfeld et al., 2001; Behrenfeld et al., 2006). Earth system model projections consistently show enhanced stratification and associated reductions in euphotic nutrient concentrations under scenarios of climate change (Bopp et al., 2001; Sarmiento et al., 2004; Cabré et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2016). This generally results in projected global reductions in primary production that are driven by enhanced phytoplankton nutrient limitation in the low-latitude oceans (Steinacher et al., 2010; Bopp et al., 2013; Krumhardt et al., 2017; Kwiatkowski et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2018). The projected magnitude of primary production declines is highly uncertain across model ensembles (Bopp et al., 2013; Krumhardt et al. 2017), in part due to concurrent changes in phytoplankton light and temperature limitation, as well as altered top-down
grazing, all of which can compensate for nutrient-driven production declines (Taucher and Oschlies, 2011; Laufkötter et al., 2015). However, declines in phytoplankton primary production are consistently amplified in higher trophic levels such as zooplankton (Chust et al., 2014; Stock et al., 2014; Kwiatkowski et al., 2018) and fish (Lotze et al., 2019).

Dissolved oxygen in the ocean exerts a strong control on marine ecosystems. At low O\textsubscript{2} levels, marine animals are unable to sustain aerobic metabolism, which can lead to mortality (Vaquer-Sunyer and Duarte, 2008). Oxygen levels also affect many oceanic biogeochemical cycles through an impact on redox reactions and microbial metabolism (e.g. on the nitrogen cycle, Gruber, 2004).

Global warming is driving a global decline of dissolved oxygen in the ocean, referred to as ocean deoxygenation, because of a warming-induced reduction in O\textsubscript{2} solubility and increased stratification / reduced ventilation (Keeling et al., 2010; Oschlies et al., 2018). A recent assessment, based on three different analyses (Helm et al., 2011; Schmidtko et al., 2017; Ito et al., 2017) concluded that the oxygen content over the first 1000 m of the ocean has decreased by 0.5 to 3.3 % over 1970-2010 (Bindoff, et al., in press). In coastal systems, this warming effect is exacerbated by the effects of increased loading of nutrients and organic matter, which also lead to oxygen decline and an increase in coastal ocean dead zones (Breitburg et al., 2018). Earth System model projections consistently show continuing declines in oxygen over the 21st century as a function of the employed scenario (Bopp et al., 2013; Cocco et al., 2013), with large uncertainties in the tropics and for the evolution of oxygen minimum zones (Cabré et al., 2015).

The uptake of carbon by the oceans affects marine chemistry via ocean acidification (Gattuso and Buddemeier, 2000; Orr et al., 2005; Doney et al., 2009), a process that increases seawater concentrations of CO\textsubscript{2}, H\textsuperscript{+} and HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, and reduces levels of pH and CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}. The oceans have absorbed approximately 30% of anthropogenic carbon emissions since the pre-industrial (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2009; Khatiwala et al., 2013; Gruber et al., 2019), resulting in global surface pH declines of approximately 0.1 units (Bindoff et al., 2007).

Declines in open ocean surface pH are 0.018 per decade over 1991-2011 (Laufset et al. 2015), while Earth system models have projected 21st century global surface ocean pH declines of up to 0.33 units under previous high emissions scenarios (Bopp et al., 2013), with associated changes in the seasonal cycles of seawater carbonate chemistry (McNeil and Sasse, 2016; Kwiatkowski and Orr, 2018; Landschützer et al., 2018).

The impact of ocean acidification on marine species is extensive and diverse. Calcifying species, such as echinoderms, bryozoans and cnidarians, exhibit depressed calcification, growth and survival under acidification (Kroeker et al., 2010; Albright et al., 2016; Kwiatkowski et al., 2016), altering the competitive balance in ecosystems (Kroeker et al., 2013). In teleost fish and marine invertebrates, ion exchange is reduced under acidification, depressing protein synthesis and metabolic rates (Langenbuch et al., 2006; Pörtner, 2008).

Physiological and behavioural functioning is also sensitive to acidification, with olfactory discrimination (Munday et al., 2009) and predator-prey responses (Watson et al., 2014; Watson et al., 2017) shown to be impaired under more acidified conditions.
Marine organisms typically experience changes in multiple physical and geochemical conditions simultaneously, with impacts determined by the interactions between potential stressors. For example, the combined effect of warming and deoxygenation is projected to force poleward and vertical contractions of metabolically viable habitat for marine ectotherms (Deutsch et al., 2015). At the physiological level, experimental studies indicate that synergistic effects between potential marine stressors are common (Gunderson et al., 2016). Compound warming and acidification, has been shown to exacerbate negative impacts on photosynthesis, calcification, reproduction and survival of marine organisms (Harvey et al., 2013), while compound exposure to acidification and low oxygen can also have synergistic effects (McBryan et al., 2013), and may reduce the thermal tolerance of certain species (Pörtner, 2010).

Here we assess future projections of climate-related drivers of marine impacts within the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6; Eyring et al., 2016; O’Neill et al., 2016) simulations, evaluating how these differ from previous CMIP5 (Taylor et al., 2011) simulations. We focus on projected changes in ocean temperature, pH and dissolved O$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ concentration across 13 CMIP6 and 10 CMIP5 Earth system models.

1.2 Ocean biogeochemical model development since CMIP5

A comprehensive assessment of changes between CMIP5 and CMIP6 in the ocean biogeochemical components of ESMs and their associated skill is provided in Séférian et al, (in review). Since CMIP5, CMIP6 has seen a general increase in the horizontal grid resolution of physical ocean models and a limited increase in vertical resolution. The latter may be particularly important for ecosystem projections as it directly affects simulated stratification, a key factor influencing changes in ocean impact drivers (Capotondi et al., 2012; Bopp et al., 2013; Laufkötter et al., 2015; Kwiatkowski et al., 2017) and their impact on higher trophic levels (Stock et al., 2014; Chust et al., 2014; Kwiatkowski et al., 2018; Lotze et al., 2019). Updates in the representation of ocean biogeochemical processes between CMIP5 and CMIP6 have generally included increases in model complexity (Séférian et al., in review). Specifically, CMIP6 models provide more widespread inclusion of micronutrients, such as iron, variable stoichiometric ratios, and improved representation of lower trophic levels including bacteria and the cycling and sinking of organic matter (Séférian et al., in review).

Relative to CMIP5, the skill of the CMIP6 Earth system models is improved in terms of their skill in simulating the mean state of selected biogeochemical tracers (Séférian et al, in review). The global representation of present-day mean state air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes and surface chlorophyll concentrations show moderate improvements between CMIP5 and CMIP6. There are also moderate improvements in the representation of subsurface dissolved oxygen concentrations in most ocean basins. Model skill in the representation of surface macronutrient concentrations in CMIP6 has improved for total dissolved silicon but declined slightly for nitrate.

2. Methodology

The analysis of projected multiple ocean impact drivers presented here focuses on three key depth levels: the upper ocean, the thermocline, and the benthic zone. The surface zone is where most biological activity is
concentrated in the oceans and where impacts from climate change are typically greatest. Specifically, we assess projections of surface ocean temperature, surface ocean pH, subsurface dissolved O$_2$ concentration (averaged between 100-600 m) and upper-ocean NO$_3^-$ concentration (averaged between 0-100 m). The choice of vertical integral for O$_2$ reflects the potential importance of the expansion of oxygen minimum zones, which are most prominent at such depths. The choice of vertical integral for NO$_3^-$ reflects its importance as a critical macronutrient supporting primary production in the euphotic zone. Both vertical integrals are chosen to be compatible with the recent assessment of marine drivers in the IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere (Bindoff, et al., in press). Additionally, for the CMIP6 models we assess benthic ecosystem drivers, focusing on projections of bottom temperature, pH and O$_2$ concentration. The benthic level is defined as the bottom ocean model layer at each grid point. As such, its exact depth depends on vertical discretisation and bathymetry, which differs across the CMIP6 ensemble. All benthic model outputs were corrected for potential drift (e.g. Gehlen et al., 2014; Séférian et al., 2016) using coincident preindustrial control simulations.

2.1 Processing and analysis of model outputs

All ESMs assessed in the CMIP5 and CMIP6 ensembles (Tables 1 and 2) include physical ocean models and coupled ocean biogeochemistry schemes that account for some or all of the potential ocean impact drivers: temperature, pH, O$_2$ and NO$_3^-$ concentration. A total of 10 CMIP5 and 13 CMIP6 models are assessed with the model ensemble size differing among scenarios depending on contributions from each model group. The CMIP5 ensemble is the same as that used in the comprehensive assessment of projected ocean drivers provided by Bopp et al. (2013). Only one ensemble member per model is used for a given scenario. That is, in CMIP terminology we typically use ensemble member ‘r1i1p1’ from each CMIP5 model and ‘r1i1p1fx’ from each CMIP6 model (where ‘fx’ is the best available set of external forcings employed by the various modelling groups) Consequently, we do not assess the role of internal variability in the emergence of climate-related changes in marine ecosystem drivers (e.g. Frölicher et al., 2016; Lovenduski et al., 2016; Krumhardt et al. 2017; Freeman et al., 2018). Two of the CMIP6 models included in our analysis (GFDL-CM4 and ACCESS-ESM1.5) do not include NO$_3^-$ as a prognostic tracer. Hence their NO$_3^-$ concentrations were calculated from modelled total dissolved inorganic phosphorus assuming a constant Redfield ratio of 16:1.

To facilitate intercomparison, model output on each native grid was regridded to the same regular 1°x1° horizontal grid using distance weighted average remapping (climate data operators; remapdis). Model outputs were kept on their native vertical grids, with vertical discretisation ranging from 40 (MPI-ESM1.2) to 75 (IPSL-CM6A-LR, CNRM-ESM2-1 and UKESM1-0-LL) levels, except for models using hybrid or isopycnic vertical coordinates (GFDL-ESM4, GFDL-CM4, NorESM2-LM) for which model outputs were vertically regridded. Following generally adopted practice (e.g. Bopp et al., 2013), all models were given equal weighting in the respective CMIP6 and CMIP5 ensemble mean. However, within the CMIP6 ensemble two modelling groups contributed two ESMs and within the CMIP5 ensemble three modelling groups contributed two ESMs, which is likely to influence the extent of model independence (Masson and Knutti, 2011; Knutti et al., 2015; Sanderson et al., 2015; Lovenduski et al. 2017).
The CMIP5 historical simulations had variable start dates between 1850 and 1861, all of which finished in 2005; the subsequent RCP simulations started in 2006 and were run until at least 2099. In CMIP6, there is greater temporal consistency. All CMIP6 historical simulations were made over 1850-2014, while the subsequent SSP scenarios started in 2015 and ran until at least 2100. To facilitate comparison between CMIP5 and CMIP6, the historical and future projections of ocean impact drivers in both phases of CMIP are presented as anomalies relative to 1870-1899 mean values of their respective historical simulations. When solely evaluating 21st century projections in the SSPs however, the last 20 years of the CMIP6 historical simulations (1995-2014) are used as a baseline period.

2.2 From Representative Concentration Pathways to Shared Socioeconomic Pathways

Aside from changes in ESMs, a fundamental difference between CMIP5 and CMIP6 is that they differ in the future scenarios used for anthropogenic emissions and land-use change. Those scenarios are derived from integrated assessment models and based on plausible future pathways of societal development. In CMIP6, the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) provided via the Scenario Model Intercomparison Project (ScenarioMIP) are used instead of the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) that were used in CMIP5 (O’Neill et al., 2016). The SSPs provide revised emission and land-use scenarios relative to the RCPs (Riahi et al., 2017).

In this study, we confine our assessment of ocean impact drivers to concentration-driven simulations, focussing on SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5 of CMIP6, which result in end-of-century radiative forcing of 2.6, 4.5, 7.0 and 8.5 W m⁻², respectively. The SSPs have generally higher associated concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ and lower associated atmospheric concentrations of CH₄ and N₂O relative to their RCP counterparts (Meinshausen et al., 2011; O’Neill et al., 2016; Meinshausen et al., 2019). This is particularly the case for SSP5-8.5, which in comparison to RCP8.5, assumes that coal constitutes a greater proportion of the primary energy mix in the second half of the 21st century (Kriegler et al., 2017). Given that differences among projections of surface ocean acidification are dominated by scenario uncertainty, with relatively little model structural uncertainty and internal variability (e.g. Bopp et al., 2013; Frölicher et al., 2016), such changes in atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ are expected to have a large impact on projections of ocean pH and related carbonate system variables.

Alongside the assessment of the SSP-forced model outputs, outputs from models forced under the four RCPs (RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5) are also assessed in parallel. This allows some comparison with past CMIP5 assessments (e.g. Bopp et al., 2013). However, RCP6.0 has no direct SSP analogue, while SSP3-7.0 has no direct RCP analogue.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Global upper-ocean projections
Under all SSPs, sea surface temperature is projected to increase, while surface pH, subsurface dissolved oxygen concentrations and euphotic-zone nitrate concentrations are projected to decline during the twenty-first century (Fig. 1). The projected change in the four ocean impact drivers increases with associated radiative forcing across the four SSPs. Under the high mitigation SSP1-2.6 scenario, the end-of-century model mean changes (2080-2099 mean values relative to 1870-1899) in sea surface temperature, surface pH, subsurface oxygen concentration and euphotic nitrate concentration are +1.42±0.32 °C, -0.16±0.002, -6.36±2.92 mmol m$^{-3}$ and -0.53±0.23 mmol m$^{-3}$, respectively. Under the high emissions scenario SSP5-8.5 the corresponding changes are 3.48±0.78 °C, -0.44±0.005, -13.27±5.28 mmol m$^{-3}$ and -1.07±0.45 mmol m$^{-3}$ (Table 3), respectively. As the changes have no statistical overlap across the two scenarios (with the exception of subsurface oxygen), the CMIP6 projections further demonstrate the effectiveness of intense mitigation strategies in limiting twenty-first century marine ecosystem exposure to potential stress. This is in agreement with assessments of previous multi-model projections (e.g. CMIP5; Bopp et al., 2013).

Following previous assessments (Bopp et al., 2013), model structural uncertainty is estimated as the inter-model standard deviation. Although some of this model spread is due to internal variability, this contribution is relatively small for global averages and expected to decline throughout the twenty-first century (Frölicher et al., 2016). Relative to scenario uncertainty, which is estimated as the maximum difference between mean SSP projections, model structural uncertainty is extremely low for surface pH projections, which show distinct separation between the SSPs prior to 2050. The low model structural uncertainty associated with projections of surface ocean pH is well characterised and associated with the identical CO$_2$ forcing used by all ESMs in concentration-driven SSP and RCP projections (Lovenduski et al., 2016), a weak climate-pH feedback (Orr et al., 2005; McNeil and Matear, 2007), limited interannual variability and consistently adopted standards for ESM ocean carbonate chemistry equations (Orr et al., 2017). Surface ocean pCO$_2$ and corresponding carbonate chemistry generally follows changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ with a global mean equilibration time of approximately 8 months (Gattuso and Hansson, 2011). The differences between projected surface pH across the SSPs therefore reflect the divergence of prescribed atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations, i.e., the different scenarios.

In contrast, projections of SST exhibit greater model structural uncertainty (Fig. 1). This uncertainty is likely to result from differences in climate sensitivity between models. Historically, such differences have been attributed to diversity in cloud feedbacks and to a lesser extent water vapour and lapse-rate feedbacks (Andrews et al., 2012; Vial et al., 2013). For projections of subsurface oxygen and euphotic-zone nitrate concentrations, model structural uncertainty is greater still and can exceed scenario uncertainty. This greater structural uncertainty is a result of oxygen and nitrate concentrations being strongly influenced by both physical changes (e.g. changes in solubility, circulation and mixing) and changes in biological sources and sinks (Stramma et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2016; Bopp et al., 2017; Oschlies et al., 2018).

3.2 Regional patterns of upper-ocean change

Global scale projections of end-of-century upper-ocean impact drivers (2080-2099 anomalies relative to 1995-2014 mean values) exhibit spatial variability that is both ocean impact driver and SSP dependent (Fig. 2).
CMIP6 projections of SST show near global relatively uniform increases under both SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5, with the greatest warming evident in the Northern Hemisphere, particularly the Arctic Ocean and high-latitude North Pacific, where mean model warming can exceed 2°C in SSP1-2.6 and 5°C in SSP5-8.5. This Arctic amplification is well established in both observations (Bekryaev et al., 2010) and models, and thought to be primarily driven by temperature and surface albedo feedbacks (Screen and Simmonds, 2010; Pithan and Mauritsen, 2014). The notable exception to warming is in the subpolar North Atlantic where there is minor cooling in SSP1-2.6 and limited warming in SSP5-8.5. This ‘warming hole’ is also well documented in both observations and models and typically related to a slow down in the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Drijfhout et al., 2012; Menary and Wood, 2018). Spatial patterns of SST anomalies are broadly consistent with those of the CMIP5 ensemble (Bopp et al., 2013).

Anomalies in surface ocean pH are ubiquitously negative under both SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5, with very low associated model structural uncertainty. Consistent with past model projections (McNeil and Matear, 2007; Steinacher et al., 2009; Bopp et al., 2013), the greatest declines in pH are projected in the higher latitudes and especially the Arctic Ocean, where model mean declines can exceed 0.45 in SSP5-8.5 (2080-2099 anomalies relative to 1995-2014; Fig. 2c,d). This enhanced Arctic Ocean acidification reflects the role of sea ice loss in enhancing anthropogenic carbon uptake through gas exchange and the effects of dilution with freshwater from ice melt (McNeil and Matear, 2007; Steinacher et al., 2009; Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2009; Yamamoto et al., 2012).

Although global mean subsurface (100–600 m) O2 concentration is projected to decline under all SSPs, there is a high degree of variability in projections at regional scales (Fig. 2e,f). The largest declines in subsurface O2 generally occur at higher latitudes and in particular in the North Pacific, where declines in the model mean can exceed 40 mmol m\(^{-3}\) in SSP5-8.5. In equatorial regions of the Atlantic and Indian Ocean and upwelling regions of the Pacific, increases in subsurface O2 concentration are projected under both SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. These increases are at odds with historical observations of expanding OMZs (Stramma et al., 2012; Andrews et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013; Cabré et al., 2015).

For a subset of the CMIP6 models, projected changes in subsurface O2 concentration under SSP5-8.5 were decomposed into changes in O2 saturation (O2sat) and apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU), where \(\Delta O2 = \Delta O2sat – \Delta AOU\) (Fig. 3). O2sat was computed from model temperature and salinity outputs and represents the effect of oxygen solubility changes on dissolved O2 concentration, while AOU was calculated as \(\Delta AOU = \Delta O2sat – \Delta O2\), and is affected by both changes in biological consumption of O2 and in ventilation/stratification. The heightened reductions in subsurface O2 in the North Pacific and North Atlantic are shown to be the result of reductions in O2sat and increases in AOU, which act to reinforce O2 concentration declines. In contrast, the projected increases in O2 in the tropical Indian and Atlantic Oceans are shown to be the result of reductions in AOU that more than compensate for concurrent reductions in O2sat. The spatial patterns of CMIP6 projected changes in subsurface
O$_{2sat}$ and AOU under SSP5-8.5 are similar to that of the CMIP5 models under RCP8.5 (Bopp et al., 2017). The general reduction in O$_{2sat}$ has been shown to be predominantly due to warming driven reductions in solubility, while the heightened AOU declines in the North Pacific and North Atlantic have been attributed to reductions in ventilation and an increase in the age of these waters (Bopp et al., 2017; Tjiputra et al., 2018).

CMIP6 model-mean projections of NO$_3^-$ concentrations in the euphotic zone (0-100 m) show variable regional declines under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 (Fig. 2g,h). These declines are largest in the Arctic Ocean, equatorial Eastern Pacific, North Atlantic and North Pacific where they can exceed 3 mmol m$^{-3}$ in SSP5-8.5. NO$_3^-$ concentrations show limited anomalies in the subtropical gyres where concentrations are already very low. The CMIP6 spatial pattern of euphotic-zone NO$_3^-$ anomalies is in broad agreement with CMIP5 projections (Fu et al., 2016), which show greater relative reductions in NO$_3^-$ concentrations in regions of greater relative increase in stratification.

The difference between densities at 200 m and the surface is used as an index of stratification in the CMIP6 projections. The global mean twenty-first century increase in stratification index is $+0.15\pm0.04$ kg m$^{-3}$ and $+0.62\pm0.08$ kg m$^{-3}$ under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5, respectively (Fig. 4). Thus global mean stratification increases with the radiative forcing associated with SSPs. For both SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5, the greatest increases in stratification index are projected in the Indian Ocean, the North Atlantic and the Equatorial and North Pacific, where they can exceed +2 kg m$^{-3}$ under SSP5-8.5. Increases in stratification in the Southern Ocean are limited. This is partly due to the depth integral over which the index is defined but in the CMIP5 models was also attributed to intensified surface westerlies (Swart and Fyfe, 2012), which increases surface-layer mixing and upwelling in the Southern Ocean (Fu et al., 2016). Regions of enhanced stratification are typically projected to experience reductions in euphotic NO$_3^-$ concentrations, in agreement with previous projections (Bopp et al., 2001; Cabré et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2016). An exception to this however, is in certain Arctic Seas, where there are reductions in both stratification index and euphotic-zone NO$_3^-$ concentrations. This is presumably a consequence of the loss of permanent or semi-permanent sea ice and a corresponding increase in wind-driven mixing.

3.3 Potential exposure to compound stressors

The projected occurrence of multiple potential ecosystem stressors in the upper ocean was determined across the SSPs using prescribed thresholds of surface warming ($>+2$ °C), surface acidification ($<-0.2$ units), subsurface deoxygenation ($<-30$ mmol m$^{-3}$) and euphotic-zone NO$_3^-$ decline ($<-1$ mmol m$^{-3}$; Fig. 5). The concurrent exceedance of multiple thresholds increases with associated radiative forcing across the SSPs, indicative of greater exposure to potential compound ecosystem stressors. The tropical and subtropical oceans are generally characterised by projected exposure to compound warming and acidification under SSP3-7.0 and SSP5-8.5, with additional nutrient thresholds exceeded in regions of equatorial upwelling. The North Pacific is characterised by high sensitivity to potential compound stressors, with all thresholds of warming, acidification, deoxygenation and nutrient decline exceeded under SSP5-8.5. In contrast, the projected occurrence of compound stressors is limited in the Southern Ocean, where only the acidification threshold is consistently exceeded. The North
Atlantic is characterised by sensitivity to combined acidification and nutrient stress, while the Arctic Ocean is sensitive to compound warming, acidification and nutrient stress.

3.4 CMIP6 vs. CMIP5 projections

While the temporal behaviour of changes in ocean impact drivers is similar across the CMIP5 and CMIP6 model suites (Fig. 1), the CMIP6 Earth system models generally project greater global surface warming, surface ocean acidification, subsurface deoxygenation and euphotic-zone NO$_3$ reduction than the CMIP5 projections performed with comparable radiative forcing (Fig. 6, Table 3). There is no consistent reduction in model structural uncertainty between CMIP5 and CMIP6. The projected end-of-century SST increase (2080-2099 minus 1870-1899) in SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 is +1.42±0.32 °C, +2.10±0.43 °C and +3.48±0.78 °C compared to +1.15±0.33 °C, +1.74±0.44 °C and +3.04±0.62 °C in RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively.

This enhanced CMIP6 warming is attributable to generally greater climate sensitivity in the CMIP6 model ensemble relative to the CMIP5 ensemble (Forster et al., 2019). Indeed, the MAGICC7.0 climate model projects marginally greater warming of near-surface air temperatures in the RCPs, than SSPs with the same end-of-century radiative forcing (Meinshausen et al., 2019).

The projected end-of-century pH decline in SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 is -0.16±0.002, -0.26±0.003 and -0.44±0.005 compared to -0.14±0.001, -0.21±0.002 and -0.38±0.005 in RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively (Fig. 6, Table 3). Enhanced acidification in CMIP6 relative to CMIP5 is consistent across models and attributable to higher prescribed atmospheric CO$_2$ levels in the forcing of the SSP scenarios relative to the RCP scenarios with equivalent radiative forcing (Meinshausen et al., 2019). Year 2100 atmospheric CO$_2$ levels are 1135.2 ppm, 602.8 ppm and 445.6 ppm in SSP5-8.5, SSP2-4.5 and SSP1-2.6, respectively. The corresponding levels in RCP8.5, RCP4.5 and RCP2.6 are 936 ppm, 538 ppm and 421 ppm (Meinshausen et al., 2011). Therefore although the SSP and RCP simulation pairs have analogous end-of-century radiative forcing, the higher CO$_2$ levels in the SSPs result in greater acidification for the CMIP6 projections.

The end-of-century euphotic-zone NO$_3$ concentration decline in SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 is -0.53±0.23, -0.66±0.32 and -1.07±0.45 mmol m$^{-3}$ compared to -0.38±0.15, -0.51±0.14 and -0.66±0.49 mmol m$^{-3}$ in RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively (Fig. 6, Table 3). The greater euphotic-zone NO$_3$ concentration declines in SSPs compared to their RCP analogue is likely a consequence of the enhanced surface warming in CMIP6 models. This warming results in a greater increase in upper-ocean stratification than that projected in CMIP5 models (Cabrè et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2016), the result of which is a greater reduction in the supply of nutrient-rich deep waters to the euphotic zone in CMIP6 projections.

The end-of-century subsurface O$_2$ concentration decline in SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5 and SSP5-8.5 is -6.36±2.92, -8.14±4.08 and -13.27±5.28 mmol m$^{-3}$ compared to -3.71±2.47, -6.16±2.86 and -9.51±2.13 mmol m$^{-3}$ in RCP2.6, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, respectively (Fig. 6, Table 3). The greater projected decline in subsurface O$_2$ concentration in the SSPs is the consequence of both physical and biogeochemical processes (e.g. Bopp et al., 2017; Oschlies et al., 2018). The enhanced warming in CMIP6 projections results in a greater reduction in O$_2$
solubility, while also affecting the ventilation and transport of $O_2$ within the ocean interior. In addition, concurrent changes in biological production, export and respiration can either mitigate or exacerbate physically driven subsurface deoxygenation (Oschlies et al., 2018).

### 3.5 Global benthic ocean projections

On average, bottom waters are consistently projected to warm, acidify and deoxygenate across the twenty-first century (Fig. 7). Under SSP1-2.6, the end-of-century model mean changes (2080-2099 relative to 1870-1899) in bottom-water temperature, pH and dissolved $O_2$ are $+0.13\pm0.03$ °C, $-0.017\pm0.002$ and $-5.34\pm1.99$ mmol m$^{-3}$, respectively. Under SSP5-8.5 the corresponding changes are $+0.23\pm0.04$ °C, $-0.029\pm0.002$ and $-5.19\pm2.49$ mmol m$^{-3}$ (Table 4). Thus even for bottom waters, CMIP6 projections highlight that intense mitigation strategies can limit ecosystem exposure to potential warming and acidification stress during the twenty-first century (e.g. Tittensor et al., 2010; Levin and Le Bris, 2015).

The magnitude of projected changes in bottom waters is less than in surface and upper-ocean waters, while bottom-water uncertainties for a given scenario are larger (Fig. 7). This contrast is particularly evident for pH projections with the SSPs, whose ranges of uncertainties fully separate before 2050 in the surface ocean (Fig. 1) but still overlap in 2080 for bottom waters. This relative increase in model structural uncertainty results from surface ocean chemistry being in equilibrium with the same atmospheric $CO_2$ concentrations for all models. Conversely, benthic pH changes are strongly influenced by ocean circulation, which transports anthropogenic carbon in the upper ocean to the seafloor and is variably impacted by climate change across models (e.g. Gregory et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2013). The increased uncertainty in pH projections with depth has been previously noted for CMIP5 projections in the North Atlantic (Gehlen et al., 2014) and Arctic Ocean (Steiner et al., 2014). For projected global deoxygenation in bottom waters, model structural uncertainty is substantially larger than scenario uncertainty in CMIP6. As with projections of subsurface dissolved $O_2$, this larger model uncertainty results from the isolation of bottom waters from the atmosphere. Thus bottom waters at a given temperature and salinity may deviate substantially from the value that would be determined by their solubility and air-sea equilibrium due to effects from other physical and biogeochemical processes (e.g. Oschlies et al., 2018).

### 3.6 Regional patterns of benthic ocean change

In bottom waters, the end-of-century spatial distributions of changes in temperature, pH and dissolved $O_2$ are similar between SSPs (Fig. 8) and in broad agreement with CMIP5 projections (Sweetman et al., 2017). The intensity of warming and acidification is typically greater in SSP5-8.5 than SSP1-2.6, particularly in coastal shelf regions and the Arctic Ocean. The largest projected benthic warming in SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 occurs in continental shelf waters, the Arctic Seas and the Southern Ocean, where temperature increases can exceed 0.6 °C by the end-of-century (2080-2099 average relative to the 1995-2014 baseline). In contrast, for most of the abyssal benthic ocean projected increases in temperature are less than 0.2 °C. The characteristic North Atlantic “warming hole” present in projections of the surface ocean (Fig. 2) is also evident in benthic layers above 1000...
m, such as the mid-Atlantic ridge. This represents the only region of consistent projected cooling across SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. As in the surface ocean, this cooling is likely associated with a slow down of the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (Drijfhout et al., 2012; Menary and Wood, 2018).

Projected end-of-century acidification is highly limited in most bottom waters, however in the North Atlantic, Arctic Seas and certain continental shelf waters, pH changes can exceed -0.1 in SSP1-2.6 and -0.3 in SSP5-8.5. For shelf waters, the greater bottom-water pH declines can be the result of coupling between surface waters, which experience large changes in carbonate chemistry, and bottom waters (e.g. through mixing and entrainment), as well as benthic remineralization of organic matter (Bates et al., 2009). In contrast, enhanced bottom-water acidification in the North Atlantic is associated with deep-water formation and high uptake of anthropogenic carbon (Sabine et al., 2004), which rapidly propagates anomalies in surface ocean chemistry to depth. Bottom-water acidification has been previously projected in the North Atlantic by an ensemble of CMIP5 models under RCP8.5 (Gehlen et al., 2014; Sweetman et al., 2017).

In contrast to temperature and pH, projections of benthic dissolved O$_2$ concentration show changes that are not confined to shelf waters and specific regions. Most of the global benthic ocean is projected to experience deoxygenation under both SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5, with only isolated coastal regions exhibiting benthic oxygenation (Fig. 8). Bottom-water deoxygenation is highest in the North Atlantic and Southern Ocean where declines in the model mean can exceed 20 mmol m$^{-3}$. Although benthic deoxygenation is very similar under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5 in terms of the global mean (Fig. 7; Table 4), this is not always reflected regionally. The North Atlantic is projected to experience enhanced deoxygenation under SSP5-8.5 than SSP1-2.6, while in the equatorial Pacific and South Atlantic, greater deoxygenation is generally projected under SSP1-2.6.

### 3.7 Depth of maximum acidification

The depth of maximum end-of-century pH and [H$^+$] change is often below the surface, and it varies regionally in CMIP6 projections (Fig. 9). Although the maximum pH change is usually found in surface waters in the high latitudes and upwelling regions, it is typically located between 200-400 m in subtropical mode and intermediate waters. Because of its log scale, if the change in pH were identical in surface and subsurface waters it would imply a larger absolute change in [H$^+$] in the subsurface, where the mean [H$^+$] is higher. Indeed, a change in pH represents a relative change in [H$^+$], not an absolute change in that quantity. That relationship combined with higher [H$^+$] at depth, usually means that the maximum change in [H$^+$] is usually deeper than it is for pH. Furthermore, the spatial distribution of the maximum change in pH and [H$^+$] also differ.

Enhanced acidification in subsurface mode and intermediate waters has been observed at time series stations (Dore et al., 2009; Byrne et al., 2010; Bates et al., 2012) and in CMIP5 model projections (Resplandy et al., 2013; Bopp et al., 2013; Watanabe and Kawamiya, 2017). Although observational studies have suggested that this enhancement results from changes in circulation and biological activity (Dore et al., 2009; Byrne et al., 2010), model results indicate that it can be explained by the geochemical effect of rising atmospheric CO$_2$ and the particular carbonate chemistry of these waters (Orr, 2011; Resplandy et al., 2013). Specifically, the enhanced
acidification sensitivity in mode and intermediate waters has been attributed to their lower temperatures and their higher ratio of dissolved inorganic carbon to total alkalinity relative to that found in surface waters of the same regions (Orr, 2011; Resplandy et al., 2013).

3.8 Surface ocean seasonality

Changes in the seasonal amplitude of surface ocean temperature, pH and hydrogen concentration ([H⁺]) were determined after detrending, by subtracting a cubic spline fit from the monthly time series in each grid cell, and then calculating the annual peak-to-peak amplitude for each year of the detrended data set, following the approach of Kwiatkowski and Orr (2018). Under SSP5-8.5, the seasonal amplitude of global surface ocean [H⁺] is projected to increase by +73± 12 % across the CMIP6 ensemble (2080-2099 average relative to 1995-2014; Fig. 10). Concurrently, the seasonal amplitude of global surface ocean pH is projected to decrease by -10± 5 %.

Increases in the seasonal amplitude of [H⁺] are projected in all regions but are generally highest in the high latitudes. In contrast, projected changes in the seasonal amplitude of pH can vary in sign, notably in the Southern Ocean.

The simultaneous amplification of [H⁺] and attenuation of pH seasonal cycles is consistent with previous assessments of CMIP5 projections, with Kwiatkowski and Orr (2018) showing [H⁺] seasonal amplification of +81± 16 % and pH seasonal attenuation of -16± 7 % under RCP8.5 (2090-2099 anomalies relative to 1990-1999). Although counterintuitive, this results from the log scale of pH, which means that the seasonal amplitude of pH depends not only on the seasonal amplitude of [H⁺] but also on the inverse of the annual mean [H⁺]. As the projected increase in annual mean [H⁺] is usually greater than the corresponding increase in the seasonal amplitude of [H⁺], the seasonal amplitude of pH declines as a result. Increases in the seasonal cycle of [H⁺] have been shown to be primarily driven by the geochemical effect of increasing atmospheric CO₂. This affects both the seasonal amplitude of the controlling variables dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity, as well the sensitivity of [H⁺] to seasonal changes in temperature, dissolved inorganic carbon and alkalinity (Kwiatkowski and Orr, 2018). Given the near-linear relationship between [H⁺] and pCO₂ on annual timescales (Orr, 2011), projected increases in the seasonal amplitude of [H⁺] are in agreement with historical observations (Landschützer et al., 2018) and twenty-first century projections from CMIP5 models (McNeil and Sasse, 2016; Gallego et al., 2018) of increasing pCO₂ seasonal amplitude.

The seasonal amplitude of global surface ocean temperature is projected to increase by +0.59± 0.21 °C across SSP5-8.5 (Fig. 11). Over most of the ocean, the seasonal amplitude of sea surface temperature is projected to show limited increases (< +0.5 °C). Exceptions are found in the North Atlantic, North Pacific and Southern Ocean where increases in the seasonal amplitude of SST can exceed 2 °C, and in the Arctic Ocean, where the amplitude can increase by >7 °C (>10-fold; Fig. 11).

The CMIP6 projections of the changing seasonal amplitude of SST under SSP5-8.5 are consistent with previous projections from the CMIP5 models (Carton et al., 2015; Alexander et al., 2018). The limited increases in SST seasonal amplitude for most of the global ocean have been attributed to greater relative shoaling of the mixed
layer depth in summer than in winter (Alexander et al., 2018). However, in the Arctic Ocean the large increase in SST seasonal amplitude is primarily due to the loss of sea ice. The seasonal melting and refreezing of sea ice accounts for approximately half of the present-day seasonal Arctic Ocean net surface heat flux, buffering seasonal variability in Arctic Ocean heat content and SSTs (Serreze et al., 2007; Fig. 11). The loss of this seasonal melting/freezing cycle under high-emissions scenarios such as RCP8.5 has been shown to account for a doubling of seasonal Arctic Ocean heat content variability. Ice loss further amplifies the seasonal cycle of SSTs by increasing the seasonal cycle of net surface heat fluxes. The net downward radiative flux increases in summer as albedo declines, while the net upward radiative flux increases in winter due to greater evaporative and sensible heat loss (Carton et al., 2015).

4. Conclusions

The latest CMIP6 Earth system models consistently project surface ocean warming and acidification, subsurface deoxygenation and euphotic-zone nitrate reductions in the 21st century. The projected change in these ocean impact drivers is shown to increase with radiative forcing across the SSPs, highlighting the benefit of emissions reductions to upper-ocean ecosystems. The magnitude of projected warming, acidification and deoxygenation is lower in the benthic ocean, with greater model structural uncertainty relative to scenario uncertainty. However, the extent of warming and acidification is still limited under lower emissions scenarios, further demonstrating the benefits of mitigation to benthic ecosystems.

In addition to changing mean-state conditions, the CMIP6 models also project changes to the seasonal cycles of temperature and carbonate chemistry under the SSPs. The seasonal amplitude of surface ocean acidity ([H+] ) nearly doubles over the 21st century under SSP5-8.5, with a concurrent reduction in the seasonal amplitude of pH. Over the same period, the seasonal amplitude of temperature is projected to increase, particularly in the Arctic Ocean.

The CMIP6 projections of warming, acidification, deoxygenation and nutrient reduction are greater than those of previous CMIP5 models under comparable radiative forcing. The enhanced acidification is a consequence of higher atmospheric CO2 concentrations in the SSPs than their RCP analogues. The enhanced warming however reflects the greater climate sensitivity of the CMIP6 models. This increased warming results in greater increases in upper-ocean stratification, which contributes to greater reductions in euphotic nitrate and subsurface oxygen concentration.

Projected changes to the mean state and seasonality of physical and chemical ocean conditions are likely to present major challenges to diverse marine ecosystems from the surface ocean to abyssal depths. Potential organism stress is likely to be exacerbated by simultaneous exposure to multiple physicochemical changes, emphasising the need for extensive emissions reductions.
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Table 1. The CMIP6 Earth system models used in this study, their individual components used to represent ocean, sea ice, and marine biogeochemistry, and the ocean impact drivers and simulations that were assessed.

| Model/reference | Ocean-sea ice | MBG | Drivers | Simulations |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|---------|-------------|
| ACCESS-ESM1.5   | MOM5, CICE4   | WOMBAT | T, pH, O₂, NO₃ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Ziehn et al., in review) | | | | |
| CanESM5         | NEMO 3.4.1-LIM2 | CMOC | T, pH, O₂, NO₃ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Swart et al., 2019) | | | | |
| CESM2           | POP2-CICE5    | MARBL-BEC | T, pH, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| CESM2-WACCM     | POP2-CICE5    | MARBL-BEC | T, pH, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| CNRM-ESM2-1     | NEMOv3.6-GELATOv6 | PISCESv2-gas | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Séférian et al., 2019) | | | | |
| GFDL-CM4        | MOM6, SIS2    | BLINGv2 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP2-4.5, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Held et al., 2019; Dunne et al., in review) | | | | |
| GFDL-ESM4       | MOM6, SIS2    | COBALTv2 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Dunne et al., in review; Stock et al., in review) | | | | |
| IPSL-CM6A-LR    | NEMOv3.6-LIM3 | PISCESv2 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Boucher et al., in review) | | | | |
| MIROC-ES2L      | COCO          | OECO2 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Hajima et al., in review) | | | | |
| MPI-ESM1.2-HR   | MPIOM         | HAMOCC6 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Müller et al., 2018; Mauritsen et al., 2019) | | | | |
| MRI-ESM2        | MRICOM4       | NPZD | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Yukimoto et al., 2019) | | | | |
| NorESM2-LM      | BLOM- CICE5   | iHAMOCC | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Tjiputra et al., in review) | | | | |
| UKESM1-0-LL     | NEMO v3.6, CICE | MEDUSA-2 | T, pH, O₂, NO₃⁻ | Historical, SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, SSP3-7.0, SSP5-8.5 |
| (Sellar et al., 2019) | | | | |
Table 2. The CMIP5 Earth system models used in this study, their individual components used to represent ocean, sea ice, and marine biogeochemistry, and the simulations that were assessed. All models provided temperature, pH, oxygen and nitrate outputs.

| Model/reference | Ocean-sea ice | MBG | Simulations       |
|-----------------|---------------|-----|-------------------|
| CESM1-BGC       | POP2-CICE4    | BEC | Historical, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 |
| CMCC-ESM        | OPA8-2-LIM2   | PELAGOS | Historical, RCP8.5 |
| (Vichi et al., 2011; (Cagnazzo et al., 2013) |
| GFDL-ESM2G      | GOLD          | TOPAZ2 | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5 |
| (Dunne et al., 2012) |
| GFDL-ESM2M      | MOM5          | TOPAZ2 | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5 |
| (Dunne et al., 2012) |
| HadGEM2-ES      | UM            | Diat-HadOCC | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5 |
| (Collins et al., 2011) |
| IPSL-CM5A-LR    | NEMOv3.2-LIM2 | PISCES | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5 |
| (Dufresne et al., 2013) |
| IPSL-CM5A-MR    | NEMOv3.2-LIM2 | PISCES | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 |
| (Dufresne et al., 2013) |
| MPI-ESM-LR      | MPIOM         | HAMOCC5-2 | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 |
| (Giorgetta et al., 2013) |
| MPI-ESM-MR      | MPIOM         | HAMOCC5 | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP8.5 |
| (Giorgetta et al., 2013) |
| NorESM1-ME      | MICOM-CICE4   | HAMOCC5.1 | Historical, RCP2.6, RCP4.5, RCP6.0, RCP8.5 |
| (Bentsen et al., 2013) |
Table 3. Global mean changes in multiple ocean impact drivers across the CMIP6 and CMIP5 ensembles.

Global mean anomalies of sea surface temperature, surface ocean pH, subsurface dissolved O$_2$ concentration (averaged between 100-600 m) and upper-ocean NO$_3$ (averaged between 0-100 m) for the CMIP6 SSPs and CMIP5 RCPs. Anomalies are given as 2080-2099 mean values relative to the 1870-1899 mean. Uncertainty estimates are the inter-model standard deviation.

|                | CMIP5         | CMIP6         |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
|                | RCP2.6  | RCP4.5  | RCP6.0  | RCP8.5  | SSP1-2.6 | SSP2-4.5 | SSP3-7.0 | SSP5-8.5 |
| ΔSST (°C)      | +1.15±  | +1.74±  | +1.82±  | +3.04±  | +1.42±   | +2.10±   | +2.89±   | +3.48±   |
|                | 0.33     | 0.44     | 0.54     | 0.62     | 0.32     | 0.43     | 0.61     | 0.78     |
| ΔpH            | -0.14   | -0.21   | -0.27   | -0.38   | -0.16   | -0.26   | -0.35   | -0.44   |
|                | ±0.001  | ±0.002  | ±0.004  | ±0.005  | ±0.002  | ±0.003  | ±0.003  | ±0.005  |
| ΔO$_2$ (mmol m$^{-3}$) | -3.71 | -6.16 | -6.56 | -9.51 | -6.36 | -8.14 | -12.44 | -13.27 |
|                | ±2.47   | ±2.86   | 3.27    | 2.13    | ±2.92   | ±4.08   | 4.40    | 5.28    |
| ΔNO$_3$ (mmol m$^{-3}$) | -0.38  | -0.51  | -0.60  | -0.66  | -0.55  | -0.66  | -0.87  | -1.07  |
|                | ±0.15   | ±0.14   | 0.18    | 0.49    | ±0.23   | ±0.32   | 0.43    | 0.45    |
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Table 4. Global mean projected changes in benthic ocean impact drivers in CMIP6. Global mean anomalies of bottom-water temperature (°C), pH and dissolved O$_2$ concentration for the CMIP6 SSPs. Anomalies are 2080-2099 mean values relative to the 1870-1899 baseline period. Uncertainty estimates are the inter-model standard deviation.

|       | SSP1-2.6 | SSP2-4.5 | SSP3-7.0 | SSP5-8.5 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| ΔSST (°C) | +0.13 ± 0.03 | +0.17 ± 0.04 | +0.20 ± 0.04 | +0.23 ± 0.04 |
| ΔpH    | -0.017 ± 0.002 | -0.022 ± 0.001 | -0.025 ± 0.002 | -0.029 ± 0.002 |
| ΔO$_2$ (mmol m$^{-3}$) | -5.34 ± 1.99 | -5.05 ± 2.40 | -5.99 ± 2.13 | -5.19 ± 2.49 |
Figure 1: Global mean projections of upper-ocean impact drivers. Global mean projections of (a) sea surface temperature (°C), (b) surface ocean pH, (c) subsurface dissolved O$_2$ concentration (averaged between 100-600 m; mmol m$^{-3}$) and (d) euphotic-zone NO$_3$ (averaged between 0-100 m; mmol m$^{-3}$). Values are anomalies relative to the 1870-1899 reference period. CMIP6 mean anomalies for the historical and SSP simulations are shown as solid lines with shading representing the inter-model standard deviation. CMIP5 projections only show the multi-model mean. The model ensemble size for each scenario is given in parentheses.
Figure 2: Projections of multiple upper-ocean impact drivers under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. CMIP6 multi-model mean anomalies in (a-b) sea surface temperature (°C), (c-d) surface ocean pH, (e-f) subsurface dissolved O$_2$ concentration (averaged between 100-600 m; mmol m$^{-3}$) and (g-h) euphotic-zone NO$_3$ (averaged between 0-100 m; mmol m$^{-3}$). Anomalies are 2080-2099 mean values relative to the 1995-2014 baseline period.
Figure 3: Change in subsurface oxygen saturation and apparent oxygen utilisation. CMIP6 multi-model mean changes in (a) subsurface dissolved O\textsubscript{2} concentration (averaged between 100-600 m; mmol m\textsuperscript{-3}), (b) subsurface O\textsubscript{2} saturation (O\textsubscript{2sat}) and (c) subsurface apparent oxygen utilisation (AOU) in 2080-2099 of SSP5-8.5 relative to 1995–2014.
Figure 4: Change in upper ocean stratification in SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. The CMIP6 multi-model mean change in stratification index (kg m$^{-3}$) in (a) SSP1-2.6 and (b) SSP5-8.5. Anomalies are 2080-2099 mean values relative to 1995-2014. The stratification index is defined as the difference in density between 200 m and the surface.
Figure 5: Compound upper-ocean impact drivers. Regions where projected CMIP6 sea surface warming exceeds 2°C (red), euphotic-zone (0-100 m) NO$_3^-$ decline exceeds 1 mmol m$^{-3}$ (blue), surface ocean pH decline exceeds 0.2 (hatching) and subsurface (100-600 m) dissolved O$_2$ concentration decline exceeds 30 mmol m$^{-3}$ (stippling) in (a) SSP1-2.6, (b) SSP2-4.5, (c) SSP3-7.0 and (d) SSP5-8.5. The exceedance of driver thresholds is determined from 2080-2099 anomalies relative to 1995-2014 values.
Figure 6: Comparison between CMIP6 and CMIP5 end-of-century changes in upper-ocean impact drivers. Global mean anomalies of (a) sea surface temperature (°C) and surface ocean pH, and (b) subsurface dissolved O$_2$ concentration (averaged between 100-600 m; mmol m$^{-3}$) and euphotic-zone NO$_3$ (averaged between 0-100 m; mmol m$^{-3}$) for the CMIP6 SSPs and CMIP5 RCPs. Anomalies are 2080-2099 mean values relative to the 1870-1899 baseline period. Error bars represent the inter-model standard deviation.
Figure 7: Global mean anomaly projections of multiple benthic ocean impact drivers. CMIP6 multi-model mean anomalies in benthic (a) temperature (°C), (b) pH and (c) dissolved \( O_2 \) concentration (mmol m\(^{-3}\)). Mean anomalies for the historical and SSP simulations are shown as solid lines with shading representing the inter-model standard deviation. The model ensemble size for each scenario is given in parentheses.
Figure 8: Projections of multiple benthic ocean impact drivers under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5. CMIP6 multi-model mean anomalies in benthic (a-b) temperature (°C), (c-d) pH and (e-f) dissolved O$_2$ concentration (mmol m$^{-3}$). Anomalies are 2080-2099 mean values relative to 1995-2014.
Figure 9: Magnitude and depth of maximum pH and $[\text{H}^+]$ change under SSP5-8.5. The CMIP6 ensemble mean maximum change in (a) pH and (b) $[\text{H}^+]$ in 2080-2099 of SSP5-8.5 relative to 1995-2014. The mean depth at which the maximum (c) pH and (d) $[\text{H}^+]$ change is projected.
Figure 10: Change in the seasonal amplitude of surface ocean [H⁺] and pH. The CMIP6 multi-model mean change (%) in the peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude of surface ocean (a) [H⁺] and (b) pH under SSP5-8.5. Changes are calculated from the mean seasonal amplitude in 2080-2099 relative to that in 1995-2014.
Figure 11: The seasonal amplitude of surface ocean temperature. The CMIP6 multi-model mean peak-to-peak seasonal amplitude of surface ocean temperature (°C) in (a) 1995-2014 of the historical simulations, (b) 2080-2099 of the SSP5-8.5 simulations and (c) the change in seasonal amplitude between the two periods.