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Abstract—Magnetic micro-robots are used widely in a narrow space, such as internal inspections and desilting of slender pipelines, minimal- or non-invasive diagnoses, and treatments of various human diseases in blood vessels, and micro-manipulations, micro-sensing fields. Magnetic micro-robots are usually driven by several electromagnetic coils. It is essential to understand the magnetic field and magnetic forces acting on micro-robots to drive the magnetic micro-robots more effectively. In this paper, the finite element method is applied to simulate the magnetic field generated by a coil assembly. Moreover, a three-dimensional magnetic force simulation is also performed to reveal the magnetic forces acting on a cylindrical magnetic micro-robot. Experimental measurements validate the simulated results. A Hall sensor is used to measure the magnetic field along the coil assembly’s axial and radial direction. The micro-robot is glued to a connecting rod, fixing a force sensor to measure the magnetic forces acting on it. The measured results are in good accordance with the simulated ones, which prove the validity of the simulation. The results from this study show potential to provide a reference to magnetic micro-robot applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, more and more researchers focus on micro-robots. Various methods have been suggested to drive micro-robots, such as electric field, magnetic field, light energy, ultrasonic field, polymer actuators, and chemical reaction [1–3]. Compared with the mentioned methods, magnetic actuation is a typical method of driving micro-robots remotely, which has the advantages of rapid response, high control accuracy, and high compatibility [4–6]. Nelson et al. [7] and Sitti et al. [8] published a review to analyze the types of micro-robots and predicted the application prospect, respectively. In particular, wireless magnetic micro-robots can be applied to micromanipulations, such as assembling micro-parts to build complex micro-mechanical systems, micro-objects transporting in a limited space, and even implanting human bodies to perform drug delivery and biomanipulation of single cell tasks in the biomedicine field [9–14].

To achieve the applications mentioned above, the magnetic micro-robots must be driven and controlled accurately. In general, permanent magnets and electromagnetic coils can generate a magnetic field to use as magnetic driving sources; the former can generate a higher magnetic field, while the latter performs better in controlling electromagnetic field strength and direction. Besides, using coils to control magnetic micro-robots’ trajectory is more flexible by adjusting the input voltages or currents of coils. Therefore, the electromagnetic coil could work better in this respect. To date, several magnetic micro-robots and corresponding driving devices have been developed [15–18]. For instance, Jeong et al. proposed a three-dimensional (3D) electromagnetic actuation (EMA) system to propel a micro-robot in blood vessels, consisting of a pair of stationary Helmholtz-Maxwell coils and a pair of rotational Helmholtz-Maxwell coils [19]. Choi et al. proposed two static EMA systems. One includes two pairs
of Helmholtz coils and one pair of Maxwell coils, and the other consists of two pairs of Helmholtz and Maxwell coils [20, 21]. Kee et al. proposed a quadrupole EMA system with movable magnetic cores and confirmed the developed system’s effectiveness for driving micro-robots with various sizes [22].

Both soft magnetic materials (e.g., Fe, Ni, NiFe, FeSiB, MnZn) and hard magnetic materials (e.g., NdFeB, SmCo) can be utilized to fabricate micro-robots [23–26]. The former is characterized by high permeability and low coercivity \( (H_{ci} < 10^3 \text{ A/m}) \), easily magnetized and demagnetized by external magnetic fields. The latter has much lower permeability but high coercivity \( (H_{ci} > 10^4 \text{ A/m}) \) and large remnant magnetization [27]. In this paper, a permanent magnetic micro-cylinder acts as a micro-robot. In order to control the micro-robot precisely, it is crucial to characterize the magnetic field near the micro-robot [28] and understand the magnetic forces exerting on it [22, 29, 30].

Aforementioned EMA systems [19–21] present analogous structures consisted of several coils without iron cores, which are used to drive micro-robots by setting the voltages or currents in each coil [31, 32]. However, shortcomings are oversize and thermal effect because of many coil turns or a large current in the coils to generate a sufficient magnetic field and gradient for driving micro-robots. The coil with an iron core is a good method to increase the magnetic field and gradient. Thus this work aims to simulate the magnetic field generated by a coil assembly (a coil with an iron core) and the magnetic forces acting on a magnetic micro-robot. The simulation results are validated by experimental measurements. Simulation and measurement of the magnetic field and forces for the single coil assembly can contribute to novel EMA systems’ optimal design to drive micro-robots more effectively. Furthermore, determine the working area where the magnetic micro-robot can reach and precisely control its movement.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The second section briefly introduces the single coil assembly. The third section simulates and measures the magnetic field generated by the coil assembly, including the axial and radial directions of the coil assembly. The fourth section simulates and measures the magnetic forces acting on the micro cylinder along the axial and radial directions of the coil assembly in the three dimensions. The final section of this paper summarizes the conclusions.

\section*{2. COIL ASSEMBLY}

The schematic diagram of the single coil assembly is illustrated in Fig. 1. Its compositions mainly include a coil, an iron core, and plastic brackets, a fundamental component for developing new electromagnetic driving systems. Plastic brackets are used to limit the position of the coil. The iron core is made of electromagnetic pure iron material with a grade of DT4C, which exhibits excellent electromagnetic properties, such as low coercivity, high permeability, high-saturation magnetic induction, and no magnetic aging problem. The iron core can concentrate the coil’s magnetic field and increase the magnetic field gradient, but further add to the complexity of the magnetic field. Consequently, the finite element method (FEM) is an effective method for the following magnetic field simulation.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{coilassembly.png}
\caption{Schematic diagram of the coil assembly.}
\end{figure}

\section*{3. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT FOR THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD}

\subsection*{3.1. Electromagnetic Field Simulation}

The magnetic field generated by the coil assembly is simulated in ANSYS Maxwell software. The parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table 1. In order to describe the magnetic field along...
Table 1. Parameters used in the simulation.

| Parameter                        | Value       |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Wire diameter of the coil, $d_0$ | 0.75 mm     |
| Length of the coil, $L_1$        | 49 mm       |
| Thickness of the coil, $T$       | 14.35 mm    |
| Turn of the coil, $N$            | 1035        |
| Resistance of the coil, $R$      | 6.9 Ω       |
| Length of the core, $L_2$        | 99.5 mm     |
| Diameter of the core, $D$        | 23 mm       |
| Relative permeability of the core, $\mu_r$ | 4000 |

the central axis and radial direction of the coil assembly, a 3D geometric model is constructed and shown in Fig. 2. The height between the magnetic field position and the iron core’s upper surface is along the coil assembly axis, expressed by $h_1$; $\varepsilon_1$ denotes the radial distance between the magnetic field position and the coil assembly’s central axis.

The model is simplified as a section rotating around the symmetry axis due to the structure symmetry along the model’s central axis ($Z$-axis). The two-dimensional (2D) simulation of the magnetic field is performed to improve the simulation speed. The face current $I_f = NU/R$ is applied to the coil, where $U$ is the input voltage of the coil. The air domain’s size is three times of the model’s size. The balloon boundary condition is applied to the air domain, which is an infinite far boundary condition, and the magnetic field can cross this boundary. The mesh refinement can improve simulation accuracy, and the mesh statistics is shown in Table 2.

When the coil assembly’s input voltage is 6 V, the 2D simulation model and magnetic flux lines of the coil assembly are shown in Fig. 3(a). The magnetic flux density (sometimes also called magnetic induction, it is a parameter representing the magnetic field) is shown in Fig. 3(b), which displays the maximum magnetic flux density in the position of the iron core corresponding to the coil middle position.

Figure 4 shows the effect of input voltage $U$ on magnetic flux density $B_z$ along the coil assembly’s axial and radial directions. Fig. 4(a) indicates that the magnetic flux density $B_z$ along the central axis of the coil assembly and at the same height $h_1$ is proportional to the input voltage. At a given voltage,
Table 2. Mesh statistics.

| Model     | Mesh type | Number of elements | Min edge length (mm) | Max edge length (mm) |
|-----------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Iron Core | Triangular| 19352              | 0.19                 | 0.5                  |
| Coil      | Triangular| 14004              | 0.18                 | 0.5                  |
| Air       | Triangular| 256334             | 0.2                  | 1.0                  |

Figure 3. 2D simulation model and magnetic flux. (a) Magnetic flux lines; (b) Magnetic flux density.

the magnetic flux density $B_z$ gradually decreases when the height $h_1$ increases. It is shown that the magnetic flux density $B_z$ along the radial direction is a positive correlation between the input voltage under the same height $h_1$ of 5 mm from Fig. 4(b). When the coil assembly voltage is constant and the radial distance $e_1$ less than about 11 mm, the magnetic flux density $B_z$ changes hardly, which can be explained as that the iron core can concentrate the magnetic field within the radial range of iron core. The magnetic flux density $B_z$ decreases significantly when the radial distance $e_1$ is greater than 11 mm. Hence, the shape and size of the iron core influence the magnetic field and the drivable area of the coil assembly, which could provide a reference to determine the work positions for micro-robots.

3.2. Electromagnetic Field Measurement

The magnetic flux density $B_z$ along the axial and radial directions of the coil assembly is measured by the Hall sensor to validate the simulated results. The electromagnetic field measurement device is shown in Fig. 5. A XYZ motion system is used to adjust the relative position between the Hall sensor and coil assembly. The power is supplied to the coil through a power amplifier; meanwhile, the voltage
Figure 4. Effect of the voltage $U$, height $h_1$, and radial distance $e_1$ on magnetic flux density $B_z$. (a) $B_z$ versus $h_1$ and $U$, $e_1 = 0$; (b) $B_z$ versus $e_1$ and $U$, $h_1 = 5$ mm.

Figure 5. Electromagnetic field measurement device.

cmd to the coil and measured data acquisition and transmission to the computer are completed by the data acquisition card (DAC). The force sensor is used to measure the magnetic forces in the next section.

The constant voltages of 0, ±4 V, and ±8 V are applied to the coil assembly through the power supply and power amplifier in the electromagnetic field measurement. The measured magnetic flux density $B_z$ of the coil assembly is compared with the simulated one in Fig. 6. The measured results tend to be consistent with that of simulated ones on the whole. The magnetic field produced by the coil assembly has a good symmetry under the voltage with the same sizes and different directions. According to Fig. 6(a), the magnetic flux density $B_z$ is proportional inversely to the height $h_1$ but proportional to the input voltage $U$. However, the measured magnetic flux density $B_z$ is slightly smaller than the
simulated value. It can be explained that it is challenging to keep the measurement probe (Hall sensor) accurately on the coil assembly’s central axis during the measuring process. It can be seen from Fig. 6(b) that the magnetic flux density $B_z$ is constant when the radial distance $e_1$ is less than about 11 mm at a given voltage. The magnetic flux density $B_z$ decreases to zero rapidly when the radial distance $e_1$ is more than about 11 mm. Meanwhile, the simulated value is a little larger than the measured one. One possible reason is that the actual measurement height $h_1$ is slightly higher than the ideal height ($h_1 = 5 \text{ mm}$), which causes the measured value decaying faster than the simulated one.

The magnetic field measurement results validate the magnetic field simulation ones. The coil assembly’s stability and usability are proved, enabling the development of new electromagnetic actuation systems with improved performance and decreased size. The electromagnetic field for driving micro-robots can be controlled by programming the coil assembly’s input voltages or currents. More importantly, in view of the control of a micro-robot movement, it is interesting to learn about the magnetic forces acting on a micro-robot, which is the goal of the following section.

4. SIMULATION AND MEASUREMENT FOR MAGNETIC FORCE

4.1. Theoretical Background

A permanent magnetic micro-cylinder acting as the micro-robot is considered to evaluate the forces acting on the micro-robot. The micro-cylinder is made of NdFeB material; the remanence and relative magnetic permeability are 1 T and 1.1, respectively; the diameter and height are 5 mm. When the magnetized micro-cylinder is exposed to an externally applied electromagnetic field, the interaction between the micro-robot and electromagnetic field gradient generates the magnetic force. The magnetic force acting on the micro-cylinder is defined by the following equation [14, 27]:

$$F = V(M \cdot \nabla)B \quad (1)$$

where $F$ is the magnetic force, $B$ a uniform gradient magnetic field, and $\nabla$ a gradient operator. $V$ is the volume of the micro-cylinder, and $M$ is its magnetization under the assuming of uniform magnetization. The magnetic force acting on the micro-robot is expressed as a function of magnetization $M$ and magnetic field $B$ and field gradient. However, there is no analytical expression of the magnetic field generated by the coil with an iron core [30]. Calculating the magnetization is difficult for more complex geometries than ellipsoids [33], and the magnetic force cannot be determined directly. Consequently, the finite element method (FEM) is applied to determine the magnetic force and validated by experimental measurement.
4.2. Magnetic Force Simulation

The magnetic force simulation is also implemented by ANSYS Maxwell. The parameters of the coil assembly are the same as that in Section 3. The model is not axisymmetric when this micro-cylinder is added to the coil assembly. Thus, the three-dimensional simulation is performed to characterize the magnetic forces along the axial and radial directions of the coil assembly. Fig. 7 shows the position relationship between the coil assembly and the micro-cylinder. The upper end and lower end of the micro-cylinder are $N$ pole and $S$ pole, respectively. The magnetization direction of the micro-cylinder is along its central axis. It should be noted that the dimensions are not all scaled to show the fundamental structures clearly in the figure, and the air gap distance is expressed as $ap$. Fig. 8 shows the mesh of the coil assembly and the micro-cylinder in simulation.

![Figure 7. Position relationship between the coil assembly and the micro-cylinder.](image)

![Figure 8. Mesh of the model.](image)

Figure 9 shows the simulated results of magnetic forces at input voltages of the coil of $\pm 12$ V when the micro-cylinder moves along the coil assembly’s radial direction. In order to be consistent with the experimental measurement direction, we use $F_y$ to express $-F_y$ here. It can be found that $F_x$ is equal to zero all the way, which results from the symmetry configuration about the $Y$-axis between

![Figure 9. Simulated magnetic forces versus $e_2$, $h_2 = 5$ mm, $ap = 0$. (a) $U = 12$ V; (b) $U = -12$ V.](image)
the coil assembly and micro-cylinder. $F_y$ and $F_z$ increase and then decrease when radial distance $e_2$ increases, and their variation gradient gradually increases. However, $F_y$ approaches its maximum value at $e_2 = 14$ mm, while $F_z$ gets its maximum value at $e_2 = 9$ mm. The other simulated results are indicated and compared with measured ones in the next section.

4.3. Magnetic Force Measurement

As shown in Fig. 5, the force sensor is mounted with the XYZ motion system. The force sensor LZ-SW46 (Provided by Hefei Lizhi Sensor (China) Co., Ltd.) can detect the three-dimensional force information ($F_x$, $F_y$, $F_z$) in Cartesian coordinates simultaneously, and its sensitivity is $0.5 \sim 1.5$ mV/V. The micro-cylinder is glued to the tip of a connecting rod with cyanoacrylate glue to measure the magnetic forces applied to this micro-cylinder. The connecting rod is fixed with the force sensor. The arrangement of the force sensor, micro-cylinder, and coil assembly is shown in Fig. 10, which also displays a flowchart for measuring the forces.

A photograph of the micro-cylinder and coil assembly is shown in Fig. 11. The relative position between the coil assembly and micro-cylinder is controlled through the XYZ motion system in

![Figure 10](image.png)

**Figure 10.** Arrangement of the force sensor, micro-cylinder, and coil assembly; measurement flowchart. Solid line: hardware connection; dotted line: command signal and data transmission.

![Figure 11](image.png)

**Figure 11.** Photograph of the micro-cylinder and coil assembly.

![Figure 12](image.png)

**Figure 12.** Measured and simulated magnetic forces versus height $h_2$ ($U = 12$ V, $e_2 = 0$, $ap = 0.4$ mm).
measurement. A small air gap distance is kept between the micro-cylinder and coil assembly to avoid any contact. The force measuring path includes the axial and radial directions of the coil assembly.

When the micro-cylinder moves along the central axis of the coil assembly, at the input voltage of 12 V, the measured magnetic forces are shown in Fig. 12. The measured results are in good agreement with the simulated ones. The magnetic forces $F_x$ and $F_y$ are tiny and nearly zero compared with the magnetic force $F_z$, and it can be explained by the symmetry configuration between the micro-cylinder and coil assembly. The magnetic force $F_z$ decreases with the increase of height $h_2$, but its variation gradient gradually decreases. The magnetic force $F_z$ is less than zero when the height $h_2$ is less than 3 mm, which indicates that the direction of force $F_z$ is downward. The main reason is the mutual attraction between the micro-cylinder and iron core. The magnetic force $F_z$ is greater than zero when height $h_2$ is greater than 3 mm, which can be explained by that the interaction between the micro-cylinder and iron core weakens to none with the increase of height $h_2$. The interaction between the coil magnetic field and micro-cylinder plays a dominant role, leading to a change in force direction due to the same magnetic poles repelling. The magnetic force $F_z$ gradually decreases to zero when height $h_2$ continues increasing.

Figure 13 indicates the influence of radial distance $e_2$ on the measured and simulated magnetic forces when the micro-cylinder moves along the coil assembly’s radial direction. It also shows that the measured three-dimensional magnetic forces $F_x$, $F_y$, and $F_z$ are consistent with the simulated ones on the overall trend no matter the coil assembly’s voltage is 10 V or $-10$ V.

According to Fig. 13, the solid red line with left triangles and solid blue line with right triangles represent the simulated magnetic forces and the measured ones. As shown in Fig. 13(a), $F_x$ keeps nearly

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13.** Measured and simulated magnetic forces versus radial distance $e_2$, $U = \pm 10$ V, $h_2 = 5$ mm, $ap = 0$. (a) $F_x$ versus $e_2$; (b) $F_y$ versus $e_2$; (c) $F_z$ versus $e_2$. 
zero and does not vary with voltage $U$ or radial distance $e_2$ compared with $F_y$ and $F_z$, which can be explained by the $Y$-axis symmetry configuration between the micro-cylinder and coil assembly. As we can see in Fig. 13(b), $F_y$ increases firstly and then decreases when the radial distance $e_2$ increases; correspondingly, its rate of change gradually increases. Fig. 13(c) depicts that the magnetic force $F_z$ increases slightly and decreases when $e_2$ varies from 0 to 25 mm. Its gradient gradually increases and then decreases whether the voltage of the coil is 10 V or $-10$ V. It can be explained by Fig. 6(b) that there is a relatively uniform magnetic field within the diameter range of the iron core. After that, the magnetic field decreases evidently when the radial distance $e_2$ is beyond the iron core range. At the top end of the iron core, the magnetic pole is $N$ pole when the coil assembly’s voltage is negative. Now the magnetized iron core is attractive to the micro-cylinder. Thus, the magnetic force $F_z$ is larger under the negative voltage than it under the positive voltage of the coil assembly, so is $F_y$. However, when the radial distance $e_2$ is less than about 10 mm, the measured magnetic force $F_z$ fluctuates around the simulated one. On one hand, this can be explained by the minor change of height $h_2$ in the real experiment progress, because of the intense attraction between micro-cylinder and iron core and the position error of the $XYZ$ motion system. On the other hand, the uneven magnetization of the micro-cylinder is also a potential reason. Both can affect the measured magnetic force $F_z$.

Another micro-cylinder ($D_2 \times 2$ mm) is used to perform the measuring experiments to verify the repeatability and generality of the force measurement. The measurements have been carried out four times with the same input current (1 A) in the coil when the micro-cylinder moves along the coil assembly’s central axis ($Z$-axis). The results are shown in Fig. 14. For each measurement, the maximum value of the three-dimensional forces between micro-cylinder and coil assembly is displayed in Table 3. The force measurement curves trend is consistent with four measurements. The standard deviation

![Figure 14. Four measurements of magnetic force at a coil current of 1 A. (a) Measurement 1. (b) Measurement 2. (c) Measurement 3. (d) Measurement 4.](image-url)
Table 3. Measured maximum force in four measurements ($I = 1\, \text{A}$).

| Measurement | Maximum force (N) |
|-------------|------------------|
| 1st         | −0.4886          |
| 2nd         | −0.5608          |
| 3rd         | −0.5740          |
| 4th         | −0.5654          |
| Average value | −0.5472  |
| Standard deviation | 0.0683 |

of the measured maximum magnetic force is less than 0.07 N, which shows that the magnetic force measurement has good repeatability.

5. CONCLUSION

The following conclusions can be arrived from the above work.

1. The magnetic field generated by a coil assembly is analyzed by the finite element method with two-dimensional simulation, which has improved the simulation speed (about 5 minutes). Static magnetic force simulation is performed to characterize the magnetic forces acting on a cylindrical permanent magnetic micro-robot in three dimensions.

2. An affordable experiment measurement device for the magnetic field and force is developed. Both the measured electromagnetic field and forces agree with the simulated ones, demonstrating the simulation’s effectiveness. Meanwhile, the repeatability of the force measurement has been confirmed.

3. The gradient magnetic field can be obtained in the coil assembly’s axial direction, and the uniform magnetic field can be obtained in the radial direction within the iron core range. The parameters of relative positions, input voltages or currents, and iron cores influence the electromagnetic field and forces, which could refer researchers to design corresponding electromagnetic driving systems according to different controlled magnetic micro-robots.

In future work, a micro-robot will be driven and controlled by a newly developed electromagnetic driving system composed of five coil assemblies arranged orthogonally.
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