Residues Responsible for the Selectivity of α-Conotoxins for Ac-AChBP or nAChRs

Bo Lin 1,2, Shihua Xiang 3,* and Mengsen Li 1,2,*

1 Hainan Provincial Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Intervention, Hainan Medical College, Haikou 571199, Hainan, China; linbo_752@163.com
2 Institution of Tumor, Hainan Medical College, Haikou 570102, Hainan, China
3 Nebraska Center for Virology, School of Veterinary Medicine and Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA
* Correspondence: sxiang2@unl.edu (S.X.); mengsenli@163.com (M.L.); Tel.: +86-898-6689-5322 (M.L.)

Abstract: Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are targets for developing new drugs to treat severe pain, nicotine addiction, Alzheimer disease, epilepsy, etc. α-Conotoxins are biologically and chemically diverse. With 12–19 residues and two disulfides, they can be specifically selected for different nAChRs. Acetylcholine-binding proteins from Aplysia californica (Ac-AChBP) are homologous to the ligand-binding domains of nAChRs and pharmacologically similar. X-ray structures of the α-conotoxin in complex with Ac-AChBP in addition to computer modeling have helped to determine the binding site of the important residues of α-conotoxin and its affinity for nAChR subtypes. Here, we present the various α-conotoxin residues that are selective for Ac-AChBP or nAChRs by comparing the structures of α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP and by modeling α-conotoxins in complex with nAChRs. The knowledge of these binding sites will assist in the discovery and design of more potent and selective α-conotoxins as drug leads.

Keywords: α-conotoxins; nAChRs; Ac-AChBP; X-ray structure; model; design

1. Introduction

Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) are members of ligand-gated ion channels; they respond to the neurotransmitters acetylcholine and choline. Consequently, nAChRs are drug targets for the treatment of severe pain, cognitive decline, epilepsy, and nicotine addiction [1–5]. α-Conotoxins, which come from sea Conus snails, are important tool for studying nAChRs. They are also used as pharmacological probes and as potential therapeutic agents for the treatment of severe pain [6–12]. α-Conotoxins are diverse and target a variety of nAChR subtypes. Examples include: α-conotoxins GI, SI, and SIA selectively block Torpedo nAChR [13–15]; α-conotoxins MII and PeIA block ra3β2- and ra6-containing nAChRs [16–18]; Vc1.1 and RglA target the ra9a10 (rat a9α10) nAChR subtypes [19–22]; Iml targets ha7 (human α7) and ha3β2 nAChRs [23]; and TxIA (A10L) has an affinity for the ra3β2 and h(r)α7 (human and rat α7) subtype receptors [24].

The residues of α-conotoxins are important for determining nAChR subtypes selectivity and potency. For instance, the A10L mutation in α-conotoxin PnIA causes a switch in selectivity from the ra3β2 to the ra7 nAChR [25,26]. Arg-5 in α-conotoxin TxIA is an efficient blocker of ra3β2 nAChR [24]; Arg-7 and Trp-10 in α-conotoxins Iml and ImlII were shown to have high affinity for ha7 nAChR [23,27]; Arg-7 in α-conotoxins RglA and Vc1.1 affected their binding to ra9a10 nAChR [28–31]; His-5 in several α-conotoxins (GIC, PeIA, OmlA) act as key residues that bind with different neuronal nAChRs [32–35]; and the positively charged residues Lys and Arg in the C-terminal region increase 3/5 α-conotoxins GI, SI, and SIA, targeting the Torpedo nAChR [13,15]. α-Conotoxins consist of two loops: loop 1 residues...
are more similar and conserved, loop 2 residues are more variable and not conserved. In general, the loop 2 residues are required for selectivity, and the loop 1 residues are crucial for binding (irrespective of the residues, which are most selective) [19]. The residues of α-conotoxin replacements leading to increased potency and selectivity to the receptors are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The residues of α-conotoxin replacements leading to increased potency and selectivity to the receptors.

| α-Conotoxins | Potent Variant | Targed AChBPs or nAChRs | Increasing Affinity |
|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| **PnIA**     | PnIA (A10L)    | h(β)α7                     | ~10-fold [26,36]    |
|              | PnIA (L5R A10L)| ra3β2                      | ~20-fold [24]       |
|              | PnIA (A10L D14K)| Ls-AChBP              | 10-fold [36]        |
|              | PnIA (L5R, A10L, D14R)| ha7                | 21-fold [37]        |
|              | PnIA (L5H)    | Ac-AChBP                 | 18-fold [37]        |
| **TxIA**     | TxIA (A10L)   | ra3β2                     | ~1.5-fold [24]      |
|              | TxIA (A10L)   | ra7                       | 10-fold [24]        |
| **SIA**      | SIA (D12S)    | Torpedo                   | 27-fold for high affinity site [15] |
|              | SIA (D12K)    | Torpedo                   | 35-fold for high affinity site [15] |
| **GI**       | GI (S12R)     | Torpedo                   | 3-fold for high affinity site [15] |
|              | GI (S12K)     | Torpedo                   | 5-fold for high affinity site [15] |
| **SI**       | SI (S12R)     | Torpedo                   | 4-fold for high affinity site [15] |
| **GID**      | GID (y4E)     | ra4β2                     | 1-fold [38,39]      |
| **PelA**     | PelA (HSN)    | ra6/α3β2β3                | 6-fold [18]         |
|              | PelA (A7V)    | ra6/α3β2β3                | 2-fold [18]         |
|              | PelA (S9R)    | ra6/α3β2β3                | 7-fold [18]         |
|              | PelA (A7V, S9H, V10A, N11R, E14A)| ra6/α3β2β3| 8-fold [18]         |
|              | PelA (S9R)    | ra3β2                     | 4-fold [18]         |
|              | PelA (V10L)   | ra3β2                     | 5-fold [18]         |
| **Vc1.1**    | Vc1.1 (N9G, A, I, L), (S4R)| ra9α10                | ~8-fold [19]        |
|              | Vc1.1 (N9G, A, I, L), (S4R), (S4K, N9A)| ha9α10| ~25-fold [19]       |
|              | Vc1.1 (N9A, I, L) | ra3β2               | ~50, 20, 2-fold respectively [19] |
|              | Vc1.1 (N8W)  | ha9α10                    | ~30-fold [31]       |
| **MII**      | RDP-MII       | ra6β2                     | 13-fold [40]        |
|              | RDP-MII (E11R)| ra6β2                     | 13-fold [40]        |

Since α-conotoxins and variants have different potency and selectivity, it is important to understand the characteristics at the molecular level to facilitate discovery and design of potent α-conotoxin analogs [3,41].

Acetylcholine-binding protein from Aplysia californica (Ac-AChBP) is a homologous ligand-binding domain of nAChRs [1,42–44]. X-ray structures of α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP generated new insights into the spatial structure of α-conotoxin interaction with particular nAChRs. The information allowed us to determine the important amino acid residues for binding and selectivity. Currently, five important structures of α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP have been solved. They are the PnIA variant (PDB: 2BR8) [36], Im1 (PDB: 2C9T, 2BYP) [45,46], the TxIA variant (PDB: 2UZ6) [24], BuIA (PDB: 4EZ1), and GIC (PDB: 5CO5) [47]. As shown in Figure 1, the five α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP structures show a similar spatial structure and nearby binding loop C of the Ac-AChBP; however, the α-conotoxin sequences differ, so they have various binding patterns. For instance, TxIA (A10L) shows a 20° backbone tilt relative to other conotoxin complexes [24].
The pattern is also similar. The structures of α-conotoxins in secondary structure (except Pro residue) [19,38]; the Ala substitutions (no change of the disulfide connectivity), showed no major changes in secondary structure (except Pro residue) [19,38]; the α-conotoxin mutants have a well-defined helix pattern that is assumed that the α-conotoxins were binding at a similar position of the receptor and displaying binding patterns similar to the native α-conotoxin GIC in complex with Ac-AChBP (PDB: 4EZ1) and (B) the crystal structure of α-conotoxin GIC in complex with Ac-AChBP (PDB: 5CO5) [47]; (C) α-conotoxin PnIA (A10L, D14K) (PDB: 2BR8) [36]; (D) α-conotoxin TxIA (A10L) (PDB: 2UZ6) [24]; (E) α-conotoxin BuIA [48] (PDB: 4EZ1); and (F) α-conotoxin lml in complex with Ac-AChBP (PDB: 2C9T) [45].

From the crystal structure of five α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP, it was found that the five α-conotoxins bound to a similar position of the nearby loop C of the Ac-AChBP, and the binding pattern is also similar. The structures of α-conotoxins are largely determined by the conserved disulfide connectivity between CysI–CysIII and CysII–CysIV [47,49]. The mutations of α-conotoxin, which were observed in the Ala substitutions (no change of the disulfide connectivity), showed no major changes in secondary structure (except Pro residue) [19,38]; the α-conotoxin mutants have a well-defined helix pattern.
that is similar to native conotoxins. From the structure of \(\alpha\)-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP, and as shown by many experiments, it is assumed that the \(\alpha\)-conotoxin mutants were binding at a similar site of the receptor and displaying binding patterns similar to native conotoxins [47,49]. Most conotoxins share a common folding structure that is not expected to change upon binding, therefore “rigid” docking can be performed to determine the residues responsible for \(\alpha\)-conotoxin’s binding and selectivity for \(\alpha\)AChRs [41,50–52].

The structure of conotoxins bound by \(\alpha\)AChRs is similar to the crystal structure of the conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP [36]. For instance, the backbone tilt of conotoxin PnIA (A10L D14K) bound by ha\(\alpha\)7 \(\alpha\)AChR is similar to the crystal structure of PnIA (A10L D14K) in complex with Ac-AChBP (PDB: 2BR8) [36]. This is favorable for using computer models to design and discover new potent \(\alpha\)-conotoxins [2,3,51–53].

Here, we review the data of the co-crystal structure of \(\alpha\)-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP and some of the computer models of \(\alpha\)-conotoxins selective for \(\alpha\)AChRs, which will help to discover and design \(\alpha\)-conotoxin analogs with higher selectivity for \(\alpha\)AChRs.

2. \(\alpha\)-Conotoxin Residue-Binding and Selectivity for Ac-AChBP

2.1. Asn-11, Asn-12 Residues of PnIA (A10L D14K), TxIA (A10L), and GIC Are the Key Residues for Binding to Ac-AChBP

Asn-11 and Asn-12 are conserved in many \(\alpha\)-conotoxins (shown in Figure 1A) [2]. From the crystal structure of \(\alpha\)-conotoxins in complex with Ac-AChBP, it was found that Asn-11 and Asn-12 mainly bind to loop C of Ac-AChBP. A comparison of the Asn-11 and Asn-12 residues of the \(\alpha\)-conotoxins in the crystal structure is shown in Figure 2. In Figure 2A, Asn-11 and Asn-12 of PnIA (A10L D14K), TxIA (A10L) and GIC form a hydrogen bond with the principal side (“+”-side, indicated in green, the residue-binding ligand is near loop C) [36] residues, Glu-191 and Tyr-91, and with the complementary side (“−”-side, indicated in blue, the residue-binding ligand is near loop E) [36,51] residues Arg-77. Mutating the residues of Asn-11 and Asn-12 to Ala resulted in the loss of affinity for Ac-AChBP [47]. Arg-11 of ImI is also a key residue for binding to Ac-AChBP. As shown in Figure 2B, the Arg-11 position of ImI is similar to the Asn-11 of GIC, which contacts the Ac-AChBP principal residue Glu-191 [45].

Figure 2. Comparison of different \(\alpha\)-conotoxins Asn-11 and Asn-12 bound by Ac-AChBP. (A) Asn-11 and Asn-12 of PnIA (A10L D14K) (PDB: 2BR8) [36], TxIA (A10L) (PDB: 2UZ6) [24], and GIC (PDB: 5CO5) [47] positions are similar and form hydrogen bonds with the principal side Glu-191 and Tyr-91 and the complementary side Arg-77 of Ac-AChBP; (B) the position of Arg-11 in ImI (PDB: 2C9T) [45] is similar to Asn-11 of GIC, which also contacts the Ac-AChBP principal side residue Glu-191.
The function of the Asn-11 and Asn-12 residues was also demonstrated by the α-conotoxin PnIA (A10L) truncation assay [54]. PnIA (A10L) loop 2 truncation indicated that removal of the residues (Pro-13, Asp-14, Tyr-15), after Asn-12 did not much affect PnIA (A10L) binding to ra7, but when truncated to Asn-12, the PnIA (A10L) lost the activity to ra7 and acetylcholine-binding protein from Lymnaea stagnalis (Ls-AChBP). The sequence length of α-conotoxin ImI is similar to that of PnIA (A10L) as the removal of the residues (Asn-12, Pro-13, Asp-14, Tyr-15), but it has higher affinity to ra7 nACHR. The reason is that the residues Asp-5 and Arg-11 of ImI and the residues Asn-11 and Asn-12 of PnIA (A10L) have similar positions, respectively. Within the binding pocket of ra7, they can form hydrogen bonds with ra7 residues Glu-191, Tyr-193, and Tyr-91. So, ImI activity is actually similar to the full-length PnIA (A10L) [54].

2.2. His-5 of GIC, Arg-5 of TxIA (A10L), and Arg-7 of ImI Are the Important Residues Responsible for α-Conotoxin’s Selectivity for the Ac-AChBP Principal Side

His-5 in α-conotoxin GIC acts as a key residue for contacting with the ha3β2 nACHRs [47]; Arg-5 acts as a key residue, binding with ra3β2 nACHR [24]; and Arg-7 is a key residue of α-conotoxin ImI for its high affinity to ha7 nACHR [45]. Comparison of the crystal structures is shown in Figure 3. His-5 of GIC, Arg-5 of TxIA (A10L), and Arg-7 of ImI reside in similar positions. His-5 of GIC contacts Tyr-91 and Tyr-186; Arg-5 of TxIA (A10L) protrudes into the principal binding site and contacts Asp-195 and Tyr-186; and Arg-7 of ImI is also located in a similar position and contacts Tyr-91, Trp-145, Ile-194, and Asp-195. Mutation of Arg-7 to Ala in ImI resulted in a loss of affinity for Ac-AChBP [24,45].

2.3. Ser-4 of GIC, PnIA (A10L D14K), and ImI Are Key Residues for Interaction with the Ac-AChBP Complementary Side

Ser-4 is highly conserved in many α-conotoxins (shown in Figure 1A), which usually binds to the complementary side of Ac-AChBP. Comparison of Ser-4 in the crystal structure of different α-conotoxins is shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4A, it is shown that Ser-4 of GIC and PnIA (A10L D14K) are key residues for binding to the Ac-AChBP complementary side residues Asp-162, Ser-164, and Ser-165. Ser-4 of α-conotoxin TxIA (A10L), with a 20° backbone tilt rotation, makes only weak contact with Ser-165 of the Ac-AChBP complementary side [24,36,47].
with Asp-162. Ser-4 of BulA is located on the left side of Ser-4 of GIC and forms a hydrogen bond with Mar.

Enhance complementary for Mar.

Residues comparison Gln h(r) Leu in positions similar to Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K) (PDB: 2BR8) [36] is located in a position similar to that of GIC and forms hydrogen bonds with the three residues. Ser-4 of α-conotoxin TxIA (A10L) (PDB: 2UZ6) [24], with a 20° rotating backbone tilt, makes only weak contact with the Ac-AChBP complementary residue Ser-165; Ser-4 of ImI (PDB: 2C9T) [45] is located on the right side of Ser-4 of GIC and contacts Asp-162; Ser-4 of BulA [48] (PDB: 4EZ1) is located on the left side of Ser-4 of GIC and forms a hydrogen bond with Ser-165.

Figure 4B shows Ser-4 of ImI located on the right side of Ser-4 of GIC and forms a hydrogen bond with Asp-162. Ser-4 of BulA is located on the left side of Ser-4 of GIC and forms a hydrogen bond with Ser-165 of the Ac-AChBP complementary side [36,47].

Figure 5. Comparison of different α-conotoxins bound by the Ac-AChBP complementary side pocket consisting of Arg-77, Val-106, Thr-108, Ser-112, and Met-114. (A) Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K), Gln-13 of GIC (PDB: 5CO5) [47], and W10 of ImI (PDB: 2C9T) [45] reside in the pocket. Gln-13 of GIC forms a hydrogen bond with Ser-112 of Ac-AChBP [47], but W10 of ImI makes a hydrogen bond with Arg-77 of Ac-AChBP [45]; (B) Leu-10 of TxIA (A10L) (PDB: 2UZ6) [24] and Val-10 of BulA [48] (PDB: 4EZ1) reside in positions similar to Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K), but Val-10 of BulA forms fewer contacts due to the shorter length of its side-chain compared to Leu-10 and results in a decreased affinity to Ac-AChBP.
2.4. Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K) and TxIA (A10L), Gln-13 of GIC, and Trp-10 of ImI Are Important Residues Responsible for α-Conotoxin’s Selectivity for the Ac-AChBP Complementary Side

Leu-10 in α-conotoxin PnIA (A10L D14K) selects for h(r)α7 nAChRs. Trp-10 of ImI has affinity for h(r)α7 nAChRs. Gln-13 of GIC is an important residue for affinity for hα3β2. The co-crystal comparison is shown in Figure 5. In Figure 5A, Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K), Tyr-10 of ImI, and Gln-13 of GIC reside in a pocket consisting of Arg-77, Val-106, Thr-108, Ser-112, and Met-114 of the complementary Ac-AChBP. Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K) and Gln-13 of GIC serve as anchors and enhance the affinity for the Ac-AChBP complementary side [36,45,47].

Tyr-10 of ImI is also located in the pocket consisting of Arg-77, Val-106, Thr-108, Ser-112, and Met-114. Importantly, the substitution of Val-106 by Arg-106 in Ls-AChBP induces a steric clash with Tyr-10 of ImI, hence the ImI decreases 14,000-fold binding affinity to Ls-AChBP compared with Ac-AChBP [3,45].

Figure 5B shows that Leu-10 of TxIA (A10L) and Val-10 of BuIA [49] reside in positions similar to Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L D14K) and make contact with Arg-77, Val-106, Thr-108, Ser-112, and Met-114 of the Ac-AChBP complementary side, but Val-10 of BuIA forms fewer contacts due to the shorter length of its side-chain compared to Leu-10, resulting in a lower affinity to Ac-AChBP than PnIA (A10L D14K) [24].

3. α-Conotoxin Residues Selective for nAChRs

From the α-conotoxin complex with Ac-AChBP structure, and as shown in many experiments, it is assumed that α-conotoxin binding sites of nAChRs are similar to the binding sites of Ac-AChBP [1,36,49]. Sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, Ls-AChBP, h(r)α3, h(r)α4, h(r)α6, h(r)α7, and h(r)α10 residues forming binding sites on the principal side are shown in Figure 6A. The residues forming binding sites on the complementary side are shown in Figure 6B. In Figure 6, it is shown that different nAChRs have different residues in the binding site, which could affect the conotoxin’s affinity. For example, the Ile-57 (number 59 in that study [31]) of hα9 was replaced by Thr-57 in rα9 (Figure 6B) result in α-conotoxin Vc1.1 increased affinity 10 folds for the rat receptor [31]. Modelling conotoxins binding to nAChRs could determine the key residues of α-conotoxins for a higher affinity to nAChRs [18,31,49].

Figure 6. Primary sequence alignment of Ac-AChBP, acetylcholine-binding protein from Lymnaea stagnalis (Ls-AChBP), h(r)α3, h(r)α4, h(r)α6, h(r)α7, h(r)α10, h(r) β2, h(r) β4, and h(r) α9 residues forming the binding sites to accommodate α-conotoxins. (A) The residues forming the binding sites on the principal side; (B) the residues forming the binding sites on the complementary side.
3.1. Residues of α-Conotoxin GIC Selective for hα3β2

As we have mentioned before, Gln-13 and His-5 of α-conotoxin GIC have high affinity for hα3β2. By docking α-conotoxin GIC to hα3β2, it was found that the GIC/hα3β2 complex was similar to the GIC/Ac-AChBP crystal structure. Mutation of His-5, Asn-11, and Asn-12 to Ala in α-conotoxin GIC (Figure 7A) caused a loss of affinity for Ac-AChBP. The mutations also lost affinity for hα3β2. Only the Gln13-Ala substitution had the least influence on GIC activity in hα3β2 or Ac-AChBP. From the GIC/hα3β2 complex model, it was found that Gln-13-Ala substitution had little effect, and it fit well with the receptor. Gln-13 or Ala-13 of GIC also fit well with the hα3β2 receptor, but Gln-13 of GIC caused a steric clash with Arg-108 in the hβ4 complementary binding side (Figure 6B) and lost affinity to hα3β4 [35,47].

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** Residues of different α-conotoxins affect selectivity for nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). (A) The residues of mutant GIC (H5A), (N11A), (N12A), and (Q13A) affect its selectivity for hα3β2; (B) the residues of mutant PnIA (L5R, A10L, D14R) affect its selectivity for hα7; (C) the residues of mutant SIA (D12K) affect its selectivity for Torpedo nAChR; (D) the residues of mutant MII (G1RDP) and (E11R) affect its selectivity for rα6β2 or rα3β2 nAChR; (E) the residues of mutant Vc1.1 (S4K, R) and (N9A, I, L) affect its selectivity for h(r)α9α10 nAChRs.
3.2. Residues of α-Conotoxin PnIA Selective for ha7

As previously mentioned, A10L in PnIA (A10L) has higher affinity to Ac-AChBP and ha7 nAChR [36]. The docking study found that Leu-10 of PnIA (A10L) interacts with the ha7 nAChR hydrophobic pocket, which is composed of the residues Leu-106, Asn-108, His-112, and Gln-114 in the complementary side (Figure 6B). Consequently, A10L mutation could extend the hydrophobic regions and increase the binding to the hydrophobic pocket of the receptor [3,36,37].

PnIA mutations (Figure 7B) PnIA (L5R, A10L, D14R) display a 10-fold higher affinity for the ha7 nAChR compared to that of PnIA (A10L) [37]. The reason could be explained by Leu-5 of the PnIA being in the vicinity of ha7 residues Asp-195, Tyr-193 (loop C), and Tyr-91. In the L5R mutation, the Arg-5 of the PnIA could form hydrogen bonds with those residues of ha7. Asp-14 of the PnIA is in the vicinity of ha7 residues His-114, Asn-110, and Lys75. The D14K mutation in PnIA (A10L) does not have much effect on its affinity to ha7 [36], but PnIA (L5R, A10L, D14R) shows high affinity to ha7; this may be due to the fact that the Arg-14 forms hydrogen bonds with Asn-110 residues of ha7 nAChRs [37].

3.3. Residues of α-Conotoxins SIA Selective for Torpedo nAChR

The PnIA (A10L D14K) mutation shows higher affinity for Ls-AChBP. Modeling studies found that the Lys-14 residue of PnIA (A10L D14K) forms a salt bridge with Glu-110 of the Ls-AChBP complementary site [36]. Kasheverov et al. found that adding positively charged residues (Lys or Arg) in the C-terminal region of α-conotoxins increased binding affinity to some nAChRs [15]. For example, the α-conotoxin SIA (D12K) mutation (Figure 7C) showed higher affinity of Torpedo nAChRs. The reason could be similar to the D14K mutation in PnIA (A10L) which gained a higher affinity for Ls-AChBP [15]. Its Lys-12 in SIA (D12K) formed a salt bridge with Glu-57 of the Torpedo nAChR γ subunit and increased binding affinity to it [15].

3.4. Residues of α-Conotoxins MII Selective for rα6β2 nAChRs

Gotti et al. discovered that the α-conotoxin MII selectively blocked rα6β2 nAChRs [40], Gly-1 of MII was mutated to three amino acids RDP (arginine (R), aspartic acid (D), and proline (P)) (Figure 7D), and the N-terminal amino acid tail motif RDP of MII increased affinity for the rα6β2 receptor by 13-fold. Modeling studies found that Arg-1 of the RDP motif makes hydrogen bonds with Asp-166 and Asp-167 of the β2 subunit [40].

Mutation of the conotoxin MII to MII (E11R) with a highly positively charged residue Arg-11 also favors local contact with the negatively charged area (Glu-19, Asp-148, Glu-151, Glu-191), and Arg-11 makes a hydrogen bond with Glu-151 (Figure 8A). So the MII (E11R) mutation also has affinity to rα6β2. Glu-19 and Glu-151 in the α6 chain are replaced by Ala-19 and Lys-151 in the α3 receptor, thus making the α3 receptor binding site more positively charged and not favorable for Arg-11 of MII(E11R) to be bound by the receptor. Then, the MII (E11R) lost affinity for rα3β2 (Figure 8B). So MII (E11R) could be selective for rα6β2 vs. rα3β2 [40].

3.5. Residues of α-Conotoxins Vc1.1 Selective for α9α10 nAChR

Vc1.1 is a conotoxin from Conus Victoriae (Figure 7E). Mutations of Vc1.1 residues 5–7 and 11–15 to Ala, Lys, or Asp led to a significant decrease of functional activity at the α9α10 nAChR. The reason is that all these mutations can cause a disruption in the native structure that result in all the peptides unable to bind to the receptor, or alternatively affecting the specific amino acid interactions [19].

In contrast, substitutions at two other positions, Ser-4 and Asn-9, could improve the functional activity of Vc1.1 at the α9α10 nAChR. Replacement of Ser-4 by a positive residue (Arg or Lys), is more favorable for the potency at the α9α10 and ha9α10 nAChRs, but not for other receptors [19]. Vc1.1 mainly binds to α9α10 nAChR at the α10α9 pocket (α10 is principle site (+), and α9 is complementary site (−)). The receptor residues involved in interactions with Ser-4 of Vc1.1 are Asp-169, Asp-166,
and Thr-32 of α9(−) [31]. Replacement of Ser-4 by Arg or Lys in Vc1.1, may favor the positive residue binding to those three residues, so increases potency at the α9α10 nAChR.

Figure 8. Structure basis for the selectivity of MII (E11R) with ra6β2 vs. ra3β2 nAChRs. (A) The pocket in ra6 is able to accommodate Arg-11 of MII (E11R), and Arg-11 forms a hydrogen bond with Glu-151 in the α6β2/MII (E11R) model; (B) Lys-151 in the pocket of ra3 is unfavorable for Arg-11 of MII (E11R) binding and then lost affinity to ra3β2 compared with the ra6β2 nAChRs.

The receptor residues involved in interactions with Asn-9 of Vc1.1 are Gln-34, Arg-57, Thr-59, Thr-117 of ra9(−). The Thr-59 of ra9(−) is replaced by Ile-59 in ha9(−) (the number in that study). Asn-9, when replaced with a hydrophobic residue (Ala, Ile, Leu), will form more hydrophobic regions, so affinity to the receptors increased and resulted in more favorable binding potency at the ra9α10 and ha9α10 nAChRs [19].

From those findings above, it could be suggested that the molecular structure combined with technology such as scanning mutagenesis, electrophysiology, etc. [18,19], not only helped us find the residues of α-conotoxins for various nAChR subtype selectivity, but also helped us design α-conotoxin analogs of higher potency and selectivity for drug application [41, 53-55].

For example, the α-conotoxin Vc1.1 potent variants such as N9A, N9I, N9L and S4R, which are highly selective for ra9α10, were first discovered by scanning mutagenesis by Craik et al. [19]. Using different computational strategies for modeling α-conotoxin Vc1.1 binding to nAChRs further determined key residues and binding sites that helped with the design of the new selective analog Vc1.1 (N9W) [31]. It also helps in the design of stable disulfide-deleted mutant of cyclic α-conotoxin Vc1.1 (C2H C8F) for oral drug application to treatment of neuropathic pain [56,57].

4. Conclusions

The diversity of α-conotoxins makes them not only excellent pharmacological probes for the dissection of structural features and functioning of nAChRs but also provides drug leads; some α-conotoxins are currently undergoing preclinical evaluation for the treatment of chronic and neuropathic pain. X-ray structures of α-conotoxins in complex with Ac-ACHBP provide high-resolution information of these amino acid interactions and binding patterns. Based on co-crystal structures, computer models further revealed α-conotoxin residue selectivity for different nAChR subtypes and helped with the design of potent analogs. So, many potent α-conotoxins have been discovered by using the molecular structure of α-conotoxins selective for nAChRs, and here we only list some representatives. Currently, the optimization of drugs usually depends on animal models, but the drugs intended for human use could be misleading, as large activity differences can arise between binding site residues displaying some differences. A structural base provides insight to the important
residues for binding to receptors and aids in the design of α-conotoxin analogs that are more potent for accurate treatment.
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