Solitary fibrous tumor of the liver: A case report and review of the literature
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BACKGROUND
Hepatic solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) is a rare neoplasm. Up to now, only 90 cases have been reported in the English language literature. This report describes a case of SFT of the liver misdiagnosed as hepatocellular carcinoma.

CASE SUMMARY
A 42-year-old male had a two-year history of a gradually enlarging intrahepatic nodule. The preoperative imaging revealed a mass with a size of 2.7 cm × 2.3 cm located in the segment IV of the liver. The patient was subjected to the resection of the segment IV, such as the medial segment of the left lobe of the liver. The histological examination of the mass showed various spindled cells irregularly arranged in the stroma. The immunohistochemistry of this mass revealed a positive staining for CD34 and STAT6. The history of intracranial tumor and postoperative pathological results led to the diagnosis of SFT of the liver (SFTL) due to a metastasis from the brain.

CONCLUSION
SFTL is an uncommon mesenchymal neoplasm that can be easily overlooked or misdiagnosed. The best treatment choice is the complete surgical resection of the mass. A regular follow-up after the surgery should be performed due to the poor prognosis of metastatic or recurrent SFT.
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Core Tip: This article describes a rare case of liver mesenchymal neoplasm preoperatively misdiagnosed as hepatocellular carcinoma. The postoperative pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of solitary fibrous tumor. A metastatic lesion was primarily considered due to the history of intracranial hemangiopericytoma. Its radiological features, diagnosis, and treatment strategies are also discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Solitary fibrous tumor (SFT) is a rare mesenchymal neoplasm was first reported by Klemperer and Rabin in 1931[1]. SFT and hemangiopericytoma are the same disease according to the 2016 classification of the World Health Organization[2]. It can occur anywhere in the body, but solitary fibrous tumors of the liver (SFTL) are rare, only 90 cases reported in the literature. Thus, this report describes an additional case. The clinical symptoms and radiological features of SFTL are nonspecific. Thus, surgical resection is the preferred treatment for SFT and the diagnosis is mainly based on the results of histopathology and immunohistochemistry of the surgical specimen[3]. The diffuse nuclear STAT6 expression is the main characteristic of SFT allowing its diagnosis[4]. Patient age, tumor size, mitotic activity and tumor necrosis represent the risk stratification models for SFT to predict the risk of metastasis[5]. This report describes a case of SFTL in a 42-year-old male initially misdiagnosed as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints
A 42-year-old male patient was admitted to the hospital with a two-year history of a gradually enlarging intrahepatic nodule.

History of present illness
A space-occupying lesion of 1 cm in diameter was found in the liver of the patient by physical examination 2 years earlier, and outpatient doctors suggested periodic monitoring. The mass has recently become larger, reaching 2.7 cm in diameter, leading to occasional pain in the right upper abdomen, but without discomfort such as bloating, nausea, vomiting, or fatigue.

History of past illness
The patient had a history of cranial meningioma seven years earlier, which was subjected to surgery and the postoperative pathological diagnosis revealed a hemangiopericytoma. Adjuvant radiotherapy was performed after the surgery. In addition, the patient had a history of chronic hepatitis B infection for 30 years. An antiviral treatment with nucleotide analogue entecavir 0.5 mg/d was administered to inhibit the HBV DNA.

Personal and family history
The patient had no personal and family history related to cancer.

Physical examination
Physical examination was unremarkable, the liver and spleen were not palpable.

Laboratory examinations
The patient was positive for HbsAg, HbeAb and HbcAb. HBV-DNA was lower than 30 IU/mL. The level of tumor markers was unremarkable, including alpha-fetoprotein 2.2 ng/mL (normal range < 20 ng/mL), carcinoembryonic antigen 1.0 ng/mL (normal range < 5 ng/mL), and cancer antigen 19-9 2.9 U/mL (normal range < 37 ng/mL). Liver and kidney functions were within the normal range, as same as blood routine examination, blood biochemistry and coagulation function.

Imaging examinations
The abdominal ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the liver revealed a 2.7 cm ×
2.3 cm mass in the segment IV of the liver. The mass was slightly hypointense on the T1-weighted sequences (Figure 1A) and isointense to hyperintense on the T2-weighted sequences (Figure 1B). The use of a contrast agent revealed that the mass showed a significant arterial phase enhancement (Figure 1C), and a weakened of portal vein phase enhancement (Figure 1D). The diffusion weighted imaging revealed a restriction to diffusion (Figure 1E). Computed tomography (CT) of the chest showed no lung parenchymal abnormality. In addition, the imaging of spleen, pancreas, and gallbladder was normal.

Preoperative diagnosis
According to the radiologic features, the diagnosis prior to surgery was HCC.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS
The patient was diagnosed with SFTL after surgery due to a metastasis from the brain.

TREATMENT
After the relevant examinations, the patient was subjected to the resection of the segment IV of the liver, the medial segment of the left lobe of the liver, in June 2021. No cirrhosis or ascites was found during the intraoperative exploration. The ultrasonography showed that the tumor was in the segment IV of the liver, and the tumor was entirely resected under laparoscopy. The patient recovered well after postoperative anti-infectives, analgesia, acid suppression, and other supportive treatment.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP
At a macroscopic level, the size of the resected mass was 24 mm × 27 mm × 20 mm (Figure 2). The boundary between the tumor and the surrounding tissue was clear, and the section of the surgical specimens was grey to white with local hemorrhage and necrosis. No tumor tissue was present in the surgical margins. At a microscopic level, the tumor contained randomly arranged spindle cells, with abundant stromal collagen (Figure 3A). The immunohistochemical analysis showed that the tumor cells were positive for CD34 (Figure 3B), STAT6 (Figure 3C), and the cell proliferation marker Ki-67, but negative for smooth muscle actin, as well as for the tumor markers HMB45, Melan-A, CK (AE1/AE3), CAM5.2, EMA, PR, CD117, and DOG-1. The Ki67 Labeling index was 10%-15% (Figure 3D). Based on these clinical and histological findings, SFT was diagnosed. The patient recovered uneventfully after surgery. Two months after the liver surgery, positron emission tomography-CT was performed, revealing no local recurrence, pulmonary or bone metastases. At present, 6 mo have relapsed since the surgery and the patient is still fine with no evidence of tumor recurrence (Figure 4).

DISCUSSION
SFT is a rare neoplasm of mesenchymal origin, most commonly originating from the pleura[6]. However, it can occur in multiple parts of the body, including the meninges[7], spine[8], pancreas[9], pelvis[10], adrenal gland[11] and liver[12]. SFT of the liver is extremely rare, 84 cases reported in the literature from 1958 to 2016 according to a review by Chen and Slater[13]. However, only 6 cases with SFTL were reported in the literature in recent five years, and our patient is the seventh (Table 1). The average age of the patients (34 males, 51 females, and 6 unknown) is 57.1 (range 16-87). SFTL occurs more frequently in females (ratio 1.5:1). The mean tumor diameter is 16.0 cm (range 1.5-35 cm). The clinical symptoms of SFTL are nonspecific. It is discovered by chance during a routine examination in most patients[14]. When symptoms appear, they are caused by mass effects or paraneoplastic syndrome, and include abdominal pain, abdominal bloating, weight loss, fatigue and hypoglycemia[15,16]. Similar to this evidence, our patient had occasional pain in the right upper abdomen. Tumor serum markers in SFTL are non-specific, and also our patient showed an unremarkable expression of tumor markers.

The radiological features of SFT are also non-specific[17]. The abdominal ultrasound may display a heterogeneous mass with well-defined margins. The tumor could display a hyperechoic or hypoechoic mass with or without calcification[18]. Contrast-enhanced CT reveals irregular enhancement in arterial phase and portal venous phase[19]. MRI reveals tumors of low-to-intermediate signal intensity on T1-weighted images and heterogeneous mixtures of low-to-high signal intensity on T2-weighted images [20]. Therefore, it is difficult to distinguish SFT from other tumors based only on imaging features, including HCC, fibrosarcoma, hemangioma, leiomyomas, or inflammatory pseudotumor[21]. Our case
Table 1 Clinical data from solitary fibrous tumor of the liver in patients in the past five years

| Ref.         | Age (yr) | Sex | Chief complaint     | Size (cm) | Treatment   | Immunohistochemistry (+)                  | Follow-up |
|--------------|----------|-----|---------------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Dey et al[14] | 56       | F   | Abdominal pain      | 20        | Resection   | Vimentin, CD34, BCL2                      | 6 mo      |
| Esteves et al[20] | 68    | F   | Incidental          | 13.5      | Resection   | STAT6, CD34                               | 37 mo     |
| Yugawa et al[23] | 49   | F   | Abdominal bloating  | 13.3      | Resection   | STAT6, Vimentin                           | 12 mo     |
| Mao et al[22]  | 60       | F   | Upper back pain     | 3.5       | Resection   | CD34, STAT6                               | 24 mo     |
| Nam et al[30]  | 45       | M   | Incidental          | 2.8       | Without intervention | CD34, CD99 | N/A     |
| Roman et al[21] | 75    | F   | Incidental          | 30        | Resection   | CD34, STAT6, Bcl2, CD99, caldesmon, focally calponin | N/A     |
| Present case  | 42       | M   | Incidental          | 2.7       | Resection   | CD34, STAT6                               | 6 mo      |

M: Male; F: Female; N/A: Not applicable.

Figure 1 Contrast-enhanced liver magnetic resonance. A: T1-weighted image showing a slight hypointense mass; B: Mass showing iso- to hyperintensity on T2-weighted image, and the size was 2.7 cm × 2.3 cm; C: Well enhanced mass in the arterial phase; D: lower intensity of the mass compared with the surrounding parenchyma during the portal venous phase; E: Diffusion-weighted imaging showing higher intensity of the mass compared to the normal liver tissue.

was misdiagnosed as liver cancer based on the images of the abdominal ultrasound and MRI. Histopathology and immunohistochemistry are the golden standard for SFT diagnosis. At a microscopic level, classical architectural patterns can be seen as a random arranged spindled to ovoid cells, with abundant stromal collagen[22]. The typical SFT of the liver is immunoreactive for CD34, CD99, vimentin and BCL-2. The staining of CD34 is useful to distinguish SFT from other spindle cell neoplasms. However, a small percentage (5%-10%) of classical SFT is immunohistochemically negative for CD34[23]. Recent studies confirm that the NAB2-STAT6 fusion gene has excellent sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of SFT than other conventional immunohistochemical markers[24]. The diffuse nuclear STAT6 expression by immunohistochemical detection represents the marker for the diagnosis of SFT. Our patient was immunohistochemically positive for CD34 and STAT6, which allowed the final correct diagnosis. Although SFT is usually benign, some patients experienced an aggressive or malignant behavior of this tumor, as previously reported[25]. Traditional criteria for malignant SFT include nuclear pleomorphism, tumor hemorrhage or necrosis, cellular atypia, large tumor size (> 10 cm), and mitotic changes (≥ 4 mitotic figures per 10 high-power fields)[26]. Our patient met one of the
five criteria (necrosis/hemorrhage), indicating a potential malignant tumor. The clinical course of SFT is difficult to predict based on histological characteristics. Demicco et al[5] proposed an updated risk stratification model for SFT to predict the risk of metastasis, incorporating patient age, tumor size, mitotic activity and tumor necrosis. This model allows a better evaluation of the tumor to make an individualized treatment program.

As regards the treatment, complete surgical resection is the preferred treatment strategy for SFT. The prognosis after complete resection is significantly better than that after incomplete resection[21]. Adjuvant radiotherapy is often recommended after surgery in case of meningeal SFT. A retrospective study revealed that adjuvant radiotherapy is not beneficial to the overall survival, but it is used for a
better local control[27]. Other optional treatments are recommended for unresectable tumors, including transarterial chemoembolization, chemotherapy, and antiangiogenic drugs. However, SFT is insensitive to conventional chemotherapy, and no specific clinical trials have been reported before[20]. Some clinical studies used multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor for aggressive SFT, including sunitinib, sorafenib, and pazopanib, achieving promising results in some cases[4,28]. Our patient underwent complete resection with a tumor-free margin. We want to clarify whether this liver tumor was a metastatic focus from the brain. However, the cranial tumor specimen was not available because the operation was performed in France seven years ago. The patient does not have liver cirrhosis, and the SFTL occurred after intracranial hemangiopericytoma. The history of our patient and imaging findings revealed that SFTL was most likely a metastasis from the original brain tumor rather than a primary tumor in the liver.

The mechanisms of solitary liver metastasis from meningeal SFT might be associated with NAB2-STAT6 gene fusion and pan-TRK expression. Several studies showed that NAB2-STAT6 gene fusion can evaluate the metastasis of SFT. Singh et al[29] reported NAB2ex6-STAT6ex16 fusion detected in malignant SFT of the liver, and the original brain hemangiopericytoma showed the same fusion, suggesting a metastatic tumor rather than a primary tumor in the liver. Moreover, Barthelmeß et al[30] showed that NAB2ex6-STAT6ex16/17 fusion is correlated with a more aggressive tumor phenotype and high recurrence rate in SFTs. Pan-TRK expression is closely related to tumor recurrence or progression in SFT patients, and these patients have poor outcomes[31]. In the future, the mechanisms of solitary liver metastasis from meningeal SFT should be explored more in details.

When a patient has a history of extrahepatic SFT and a liver tumor is found, clinicians should monitor whether it is a metastatic SFT or a primary liver tumor. A fine-needle liver biopsy can be used to confirm the diagnosis if the tumor cannot be surgically removed[32]. The prognosis of metastatic SFT is unclear, and a long-term follow-up is recommended. Studies with more cases are needed to elucidate the factors influencing the prognosis and the management of metastatic SFT in the future.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a remarkable rare intrahepatic tumor misdiagnosed as HCC was described, and the postoperative diagnosis was SFT. Since the clinical symptoms and radiological features are non-specific, it is difficult to diagnose this tumor without histological and immunohistochemical evaluation. Complete surgical resection is the standard approach used in the management of SFT. The tumor may cause a potential recurrence or metastasis; thus, a long-term follow-up of patients with SFT is recommended.
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