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The mainly Australian grass genus Austrostipa (tribe Stipeae) comprising approximately 64 species represents a remarkable example of an evolutionary radiation. To investigate aspects of diversification, macro- and micromorphological variation in this genus, we conducted molecular phylogenetic and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses including representatives from most of Austrostipa’s currently accepted subgenera. Because of its taxonomic significance in Stipeae, we studied the lemma epidermal pattern (LEP) in 34 representatives of Austrostipa. Plastid DNA variation within Austrostipa was low and only few lineages were resolved. Nuclear ITS and Acc1 yielded comparable groupings of taxa and resolved subgenera Arbuscula, Petaurista, and Bambusina in a common clade and as monophyletic. In most of the Austrostipa species studied, the LEP was relatively uniform (typical maize-like), but six species had a modified cellular structure. The species representing subgenera Lobatae, Petaurista, Bambusina as well as A. muelleri from subg. Tuberculatae were well-separated from all the other species included in the analysis. We suggest recognizing nine subgenera in Austrostipa (with number of species): Arbuscula (4), Aulax (2), Austrostipa (36), Bambusina (2), Falcatae (10), Lobatae (5), Longiaristatae (2), Petaurista (2) and the new subgenus Paucispiculatae (1) encompassing A. muelleri. Two paralogous sequence copies of Acc1, forming two distinct clades, were found in polyploid Austrostipa and Anemanthele. We found analogous patterns for our samples of Stipa s.str. with their Acc1 clades strongly separated from those of Austrostipa and Anemanthele. This underlines a previous hypothesis of Tzvelev (1977) that most extant Stipeae are of hybrid origin. We also prepared an up-to-date survey and reviewed the chromosome number variation for our molecularly studied taxa and the whole tribe Stipeae. The chromosome
INTRODUCTION

Feathergrasses (tribe Stipeae) have long attracted the interest of scientists not only because of their enormous morphological variation but also because of their worldwide ecological significance as important constituents of grasslands (steppes, prairies) under mesic to xeric, sometimes in rather cold climates, where they are often an important source of food for livestock (e.g., Kellogg, 2015). The delineation of this tribe, its taxonomic structure with regards to major lineages and the circumscription of its genera has been investigated during the past three decades using morphological, anatomical and, more recently, molecular phylogenetic approaches (Freitag, 1975, 1985; Tzvelev, 1976; Barkworth, 1983, 1990, 1993, 2007; Vickery et al., 1986; Barkworth and Everett, 1987; Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Edgar and Connor, 2000; Jacobs et al., 2000, 2007; Barkworth and Torres, 2001; Peñailillo, 2002, 2003; Soreng et al., 2003; Wu and Phillips, 2006; Cialdella et al., 2007, 2010, 2014; Barkworth et al., 2008; Romaschenko et al., 2008, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014; Barber et al., 2009; Everett et al., 2009; Schneider et al., 2009, 2011; Vázquez Pardo and Gutiérrez Esteban, 2011; Hamasha et al., 2012; Sclovich et al., 2015; Winterfeld et al., 2015; Krawczyk et al., 2017, 2018; Nobis et al., 2019a,b, 2020; Peterson et al., 2019).

The tribe Stipeae includes approximately 530 species in 33 genera and has almost worldwide distribution (Peterson et al., 2019). It has major centers of radiation in Eurasia (especially in Stipa L. s.str., Piptatherum P.Beauv., Achnatherum P.Beauv.) and the Americas [in Eriocoma Nutt., Nassella (Trin.) É.Desv., Jarava Ruiz & Pav., Pappostipa (Speg.) Romasch., P.M.Peterson & Soreng, Piptochaetium J.Presl]. Austrostipa S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and the endemic New Zealand genus Anemanthele Veldkamp (one species) are together with New Zealand Achnatherum petriei (Buchanan) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett the only indigenous representatives of this tribe in the Australian Plant Kingdom.

Austrostipa is the third largest genus in the Stipeae and encompasses approximately 64 species, most of which occur in Australia and Tasmania (Vickery et al., 1986; Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Everett et al., 2009; Williams, 2011). Only one species, A. stipoides (Hook.f.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, is considered native to New Zealand; it is also present in southeastern Australia. Other species of Australian origin are naturalized in New Zealand (Jacobs et al., 1989; Edgar and Connor, 2000). One species, A. scabra (Lindl.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, is present on Easter Island; it is thought to have been introduced there after 1860 (Everett and Jacobs, 1990). Ecologically, Austrostipa is adapted to the warm-to-hot summer Mediterranean climate of SW, S and SE Australia and the more Oceanic climate of SE Australia and Tasmania. This rather isolated southern outpost of Stipeae is widely separated from temperate Eurasia and the Americas, both regions with high diversity in Stipeae genera. Austrostipa displays a tremendous morphological diversity in, for example, habit, growth form, the size and form of individual structures such as spikelets, glumes, etc. Moreover, the rich evolutionary diversification developed sympatrically, primarily in a comparatively narrow coastal strip of S Australia. This region has Mediterranean-type to steppe-like climate with open vegetation, similar to characterizing other areas of stipoids diversity. Many Austrostipa species seem to be edaphically specialized, being restricted to specific soil types (Everett et al., 2009; Williams, 2011).

Characters of the lemma, visible even under low magnification, are taxonomically important in Poaceae and frequently used in species identification. The taxonomic value of micromorphological characters of the lemma epidermis is also substantial in many genera of grasses (for example, Thomasson, 1978, 1981, 1986; Terrell and Wergin, 1981; Barkworth and Everett, 1987; Valdés-Reyna and Hatch, 1991; Snow, 1996; Acedo and Llamas, 2001; Terrell et al., 2001; Mejía Saulés and Bisby, 2003; Ortúñez and de la Fuente, 2010; Nobis, 2013). Thomasson (1978, 1981) was the first to use lemma epidermal characters in the Stipeae, demonstrating the value of such features as the presence of hooks, the shape of the long cells and the presence of silica cells in elucidating the phylogeny of the tribe. More recently, Romaschenko et al. (2010, 2012) have described two major lemma epidermal patterns in the tribe: Stipa-like, also called saw-like, dominated by long fundamental cells and hooks, and Achnatherum-like, also called maize-like, dominated by short fundamental cells and paired with silica cells. Several authors have shown that, even though LEP is relatively uniform within a genus, it may still be useful in identifying particular species as well as in delineating relationships among and between different subgenera or sections (Ortúñez and de la Fuente, 2010; Nobis, 2013; Olonova et al., 2016; Nobis et al., 2019b), but lemmas of relatively few Austrostipa species had been studied prior to Bustam’s (2010; 2012) work.

Most research (Barkworth et al., 2008; Hamasha et al., 2012; Romaschenko et al., 2012) supports Jacobs and Everett (1996) in recognizing Austrostipa as separate from, and only distantly related to, Stipa s.str. Morphologically, Austrostipa has several florot characteristics (e.g., long, sharp calluses, lemmas are often dark and have tough margins, glabrous and prow-tipped paleas) that, although not individually unique to the genus,
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in combination distinguish it from other genera, including the rather similar and poorly understood genus *Achnatherum* (Jacobs and Everett, 1996). The closest extant relatives of *Austrostipa* within the Stipeae, however, have not yet been unequivocally identified. Analyses of morphological and anatomical data placed *Austrostipa* in a clade together with *Achnatherum* and *Ptilagrostis Griseb.* (Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Jacobs et al., 2000, Figure 2). Previous molecular phylogenetic studies showed *Austrostipa* forming a clade together with the main part of *Achnatherum*, the American genera *Nassella, Jarava*, and several smaller genera (for example, *Amelichloa Arriaga & Barkworth, Celtis F.M.Vázquez & Barkworth, Stipellula Röser & Hamasha*), which represented one of the well supported major lineages within the tribe (Barkworth et al., 2008; Romaschenko et al., 2008, 2010, 2012; Cialdella et al., 2010; Hamasha et al., 2012). Most studies sampled only one or a few species of *Austrostipa*, making it hard to assess the monophyly of this genus. One species of *Austrostipa* was sampled for the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) regions of nrDNA by Hsiao et al. (1999), 13 for ITS and five plastid DNA regions by Romaschenko et al. (2008, 2010, 2012), six for ITS1 and seven for four plastid DNA regions by Barkworth et al. (2008), two for four plastid DNA regions by Cialdella et al. (2010), five for ITS and two for one plastid DNA region by Hamasha et al. (2012) as well as 25 for ITS and one plastid DNA region by Winterfeldt et al. (2015). The ITS studies of Jacobs et al. (2000, 2007) encompassed 15 and 37 species, respectively. While monophyly of *Austrostipa* was supported by the former study, sequences of some species of *Achnatherum, Nassella, and Stipa* were interspersed in the *Austrostipa* clade of the latter. In both studies, the New Zealand endemic *Anemanthele* was included in the *Austrostipa* clade, but its position was unstable. The most comprehensive study conducted so far included 31 taxa for ITS and 52 for two plastid DNA regions (Syne et al., 2012).

Overall variation between individual *Austrostipa* ITS sequences was low and the differences between sequences from different accessions of the same species was often not much smaller than between sequences of different species (Jacobs et al., 2007). This overall low variation made it difficult to compare their results with classification of *Austrostipa* into 13 subgenera (Table 1; Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Everett et al., 2009). The main characters employed were growth form, branching of the culms, characters of the spikelets (glumes, lemmas, awns, paleas) and the formation of dispersal units (whole panicle or florets). Some of the subgenera were reflected in the ITS data (for example, subg. *Falcatae* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, whereas others were mixed up (for example, subg. *Austrostipa* and subg. *Tuberculatae* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett or subg. *Arbuscula* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and subg. *Bambusina* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, respectively), or were entirely unresolved (Jacobs et al., 2007; Syme et al., 2012). The plastid DNA analyses resolved two main clades, neither of which corresponded to the recognized subgenera, and further resolution was low (Syme et al., 2012). By using a combination of morphological and molecular approaches, this study addresses the main phylogenetic and evolutionary problems regarding *Austrostipa*, namely its monophyly and its internal phylogenetic structure.

### Table 1

| Subgenus     | 3′*trnK* | ITS | Acc1 |
|--------------|----------|-----|------|
| *Arbuscula*  | 3        | 3   | 2    |
| *Aulex*      | 1        | 2   | 1    |
| *Austrostipa*| 6        | 6   | 5    |
| *Bambusina*  | 2        | 2   | 2    |
| *Ceres*      | 5        | 5   | 1    |
| *Eremophyle* | 2        | 3   | 1    |
| *Falcatae*   | 7        | 9   | 2    |
| *Lancea*     | 4        | 4   | 2    |
| *Lanterna*   | 1        | 1   | 1    |
| *Lobatae*    | 4        | 4   | 2    |
| *Longiaristatae* | 1    | 2   | 1    |
| *Petaurista* | 2        | 2   | 1    |
| *Tuberculatae* | 5     | 5   | 1    |

The number of species sampled relative to the total number of species (in brackets) according to Jacobs and Everett (1996), Everett et al. (2009), and Williams (2011) is shown for the three DNA regions analyzed.

These questions are treated using on a broader sample of *Austrostipa* taxa by generating a taxonomically overlapping set of *A* ITS and plastid DNA sequences of the 3′*trnK* region. Both molecular markers are frequently utilized and well-established in molecular phylogenetic studies (Baldwin et al., 1995; Liang and Hilu, 1996), although ITS from the repetitive 18S–26S nrDNA can be polymorphic in individual genomes for several reasons. This may lead to paralogous sequence relationships that can potentially confound phylogenetic reconstruction (Buckler et al., 1997; Álvarez and Wendel, 2003; Bailey et al., 2003; Razafimanambison et al., 2004; Bayly and Ladiges, 2007; Nieto Feliner and Rosselló, 2007; Schneider et al., 2009, 2011). Nonetheless, ITS is a nuclear marker useful to investigate. As a second nuclear marker we studied the single-copy gene *Acc1* encoding plastid acetyl-CoA carboxylase 1 (Huang et al., 2002; Fan et al., 2007, 2009; Sha et al., 2010; Hochbach et al., 2015). The 3′*trnK* region, comprising the 3′ part of the chloroplast *matK* gene with following intron and 3′*trnK* exon, was selected as sequence marker from the plastid DNA mainly because of its comparatively high substitution rate. Moreover, these sequences are straightforward to align and are already available in many potential outgroup taxa from within Stipeae and neighboring tribes ( Dönig et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2009, 2011, 2012; Hamasha et al., 2012; Blaner et al., 2014; Wölk and Röser, 2014, 2017; Hochbach et al., 2015, 2018; Tkach et al., 2020).

The sequence data from the nuclear and the plastid genome are used to examine the potential role of hybridization, reticulation and the origin of polyploidy in *Austrostipa*. The results of the phylogenetic analyses were further used to discuss the cytogenetic characteristics of this genus and other stipoids. To this end, we conducted an up-to-date survey of chromosome numbers in the Stipeae and discussed the chromosome base number(s), dysploid variation and the evolutionary role of whole-genome duplications in this tribe.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

The sample for the molecular phylogenetic study included 51 species and subspecies of Austrostipa. Geographic origin, collector or seed exchange locality and herbarium vouchers for the taxa used in this study are listed in Supplementary Appendix 1. For half of the species more than one specimen Appendix 1

for the taxa used in this study are listed in

Supplementary

were represented by at least half their species. For the analysis of the nuclear single-copy gene Acc1 sequence data we selected at least one specimen of each subgenus and studied a total of 22 (33%) species. In addition, we included representatives of eight other stipoid genera [Achnatherum, Anemanthelae, Celtica, Nassella, Neotritania (Tzvelev) M.Nobis, P.D.Gudkova & A.Nowak, Oloptum Röser & Hamasha, Stipa, Stipellula] that previous studies have shown to be most closely related to Austrostipa (Jacobs et al., 2000, 2007; Barkworth et al., 2008; Romaschenko et al., 2008, 2010, 2012; Hamasha et al., 2012).

Genera from the tribes Bromeae (Bromus L.), Duthieae (Anisopogon R.Br.) and Triticeae (Henrardia C.E.Hubb., Hordeum, Scale L.) were chosen as outgroups for phylogenetic reconstructions based on studies of phylogenetic relationships within subf. Pooideae (for example, Catalán et al., 1997; Hilu et al., 1999; Mathews et al., 2000; Soreng and Davis, 2000; GPWG (Grass Phylogeny Working Group), 2001; Davis and Soreng, 2007; Döring et al., 2007; Soreng et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2009, 2011; Saarela et al., 2015, 2018). For the Acc1 dataset, data for selected outgroup species of Bromeae (Bromus inermis Leyss.) and Triticeae (Henardia persica (Boiss.) C.E.Hubb., Hordeum chilense Roem. & Schult., H. vulgare L.) as well as some 3′trnK and ITS sequences were taken from ENA/GenBank (Supplementary Appendix 1).

Most plant material used in this study for DNA extraction was collected in the field in 2007 by SWLJ and Mary E. Barkworth (Logan, UT, United States) along with herbarium specimens and duplicates, which have been subsequently distributed to various herbaria (Supplementary Appendix 1). The leaf samples were preserved in saturated NaCl/CTAB buffer solution prepared according to Storchová et al. (2000). Further leaf material for DNA extraction was collected from living pot plants grown from seeds stored at the Millennium Seed Bank (Wakehurst Place, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, United Kingdom). These caryopses were collected from natural populations with verified identifications and voucher specimens deposited at K and, in some instances, at PERTH (Supplementary Appendix 1). The pot plants were cultivated in the greenhouses of the Botanical Garden of the University Halle-Wittenberg (vouchers at HAL). Leaves for DNA extractions were silica gel-dried (Chase and Hills, 1991). These living plants were also used for cytogenetic studies by Winterfeld et al. (2015).

DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification and Sequencing

For DNA extraction, leaves preserved in NaCl/CTAB buffer were removed from the solution, rinsed in water, immersed in liquid nitrogen, and then ground to fine powder using mortar and pestle. Silica gel-dried fresh leaves were shredded in a FastPrep FP 120 bead mill homogenizer (Qiogene, Heidelberg, Germany). The ready-to-use NucleoSpin Plant Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) was used for extraction.

The ITS and 3′trnK region were amplified and sequenced as in our previous studies with primers listed in Table 2 (Schneider et al., 2009, 2011, 2012; Hamasha et al., 2012; Winterfeld et al., 2015). The amplification of Acc1 (exons 6–13 and intervening introns) was carried out using primers also listed in Table 2. An overview of the gene Acc1 is shown in Figure 1 together with the locations, directions and designations of the primers used in this study.

For all studied DNA regions, the PCR reactions of 20 µl usually contained 0.5 µM of each primer, 2 µl of 10 × PCR buffer, 1.9 mM MgCl2, 0.8–1 U Taq DNA polymerase (all MP Biomedicals, Heidelberg, Germany), 5% DMSO (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany), 100 µM dNTPs (GeneCraft, Lüdinghausen, Germany), 1–2 µl of template DNA (~50 ng) and distilled water.

For DNA samples, which were obtained by extraction from leaves preserved in saturated NaCl/CTAB buffer solution, the PCR reaction was performed with 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s–2 min at 50°C, 5 min at 68°C, and a final extension for 20 min at 68°C.

The DNA extracted from silica gel-dried leaf material was amplified by the following PCR program: 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s–2 min at 50°C, 2 min at 72°C, and a final extension for 20 min at 72°C. PCR products of Acc1 were column-purified with the NucleoSpin Extract II Kit (Macherey-Nagel).

Due to the presence of different Acc1 copies in Austrostipa species, Anemanthele lessoniana (Steud.) Veldkamp and other polyploids (Supplementary Appendix 2; Winterfeld et al., 2015), Acc1 amplifications were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In the next step 10–30 individual white colonies containing the insert were picked. The isolation of plasmid DNA was performed with the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep DNA Purification System (Promega). The insert of the purified plasmid DNA was sequenced using the standard primers T7 and SP6. The sequencing was performed by StarSEQ GmbH (Mainz, Germany) or Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany).

Alignment and Phylogenetic Analysis

All sequences were edited by eye in Sequencher v.5.0 (Gene Codes, Ann Arbor, MI, United States). The automatically performed alignments by using ClustalW2 (Larkin et al., 2007) were manually adjusted in Geneious v.9.1.6 (Kearse et al., 2012).

1https://www.geneious.com
**TABLE 2** | Primers used to amplify and sequence the plastid 3′trnK region, nuclear ITS1–5.8S gene–ITS2 and the **Acc1** gene (exons 6-13 and intervening introns).

| DNA region and primer name | 5′-Primer sequence–3′ | References |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| **3′trnK region**          |                       |            |
| PO-matK 1300F              | TCAGATTGGGATATTCTTGATCG | Döring et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2009 |
| psbA-R                    | CGCGCTCTCCTAAAAATTGGAGTCAT | Johnson and Soltis, 1994 |
| **ITS1–5.8S gene–ITS2**    |                       |            |
| ITS-A                     | GGAAAGGAAGCTGTAACAAAGG | Blattner, 1999 |
| ITS-B                     | CTTTTCCTGCCATTGATATGG | Blattner, 1999 |
| ITS-C                     | GCATTCAGAAGAACTGATCGCC | Blattner, 1999 |
| ITS-D                     | CTCTGGGCAACGATATCTCG | Blattner, 1999 |
| **Acc1**                  |                       |            |
| AccF1                     | CCCAATTATTATCATGAGACCTTGCA | Huang et al., 2002; Fan et al., 2009; Sha et al., 2010 |
| AccF2                     | CAACATTTGAATGAATCTCCACGG | Huang et al., 2002; Fan et al., 2009; Sha et al., 2010 |
| AccF3f1                   | GTTCCTGGCTCCCCAATATTCCAA | This study |
| AccF3r1                   | CCACAGCCTTAGCAAACCACTCC | This study |
| AccF3R3                   | GTTATCCTAACCTAGCACTCCAA | This study |
| AccF3R4a                  | CAAAACCTAGAACACCGCCAA | This study |
| AccF3R4b                  | TTGGTATTGGCGCTGATCTTAG | This study |

For **Acc1** primer positions see **Figure 1**.

**FIGURE 1** | Schematic of the acetyl-CoA carboxylase gene (**Acc1**) modified from Huang et al. (2002) with designations, locations, and directions of the primers used.

We identified few double peaks in chromatograms of the ITS dataset already documented in our previous study (Winterfeld et al., 2015). It was possible to edit these single nucleotide positions by IUPAC code and include all obtained ITS sequences.

All clone-derived sequences of the **Acc1** dataset were visually checked for the presence of chimerical sequences or PCR artifacts (see Brassac et al., 2012). Furthermore, we tested the protein sequence of the exon regions (696 bp) for each clone and compared the translation to the **Acc1** sequence of the diploid outgroup, *Bromus inermis*, taken from ENA/GenBank (Supplementary Appendix 1). We excluded chimerical sequences and clones different from that of *Bromus inermis* in more than 20 amino acid positions of the exon regions. To reduce the number of singletons in the alignment, we summarized for each specimen highly similar **Acc1** sequences of the remaining individual clones to consensus sequences.

Sequences of ITS and 3′trnK region as well as the individual **Acc1** clones used for assembling consensus sequences were submitted to ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers LR989057–LR989267 (Supplementary Appendix 1).

All DNA sequence datasets were analyzed using the phylogenetic approaches of maximum likelihood (ML), maximum parsimony (MP), and Bayesian inference (BI) following Tkach et al. (2019, 2020). The trees were visualized with FigTree v.1.4.3. Support values are cited in the text in the following sequence: ML bootstrap support/MP bootstrap support/Bayesian posterior probability (PP).

**Morphological Analyses**

We scored 65 species and subspecies of *Austrostipa* for nine morphological characters commonly used in identification keys in 1–5 specimens each or gathered the information from morphological descriptions of the taxa (Everett et al., 2009). The characters studied were: mean length of ligules of the culm leaves; surface of inflorescence branches (glabrous, with prickles or

2http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/
FIGURE 2 | Maximum likelihood phylogenies of Austrostipa species, Anemanthele lessoniana and exemplary other taxa of tribe Stipeae inferred from plastid (3′trnK region) and nuclear ribosomal (ITS1–5.8S gene–ITS2) DNA sequences with Bromus erectus (Bromeae), Hordeum vulgare, Secale sylvestre (both Triticeae), and Anisopogon avenaceus (Duthieeae) used as outgroup. Reduced datasets with each taxon represented by a single representative accession. ML and MP bootstrap support values ≥ 50% as well as Bayesian PP ≥ 0.5 are indicated on the branches. Clades with ML support < 50% are collapsed. The asterisked clade in the ITS tree was recovered also in the Acc1 gene tree of Figure 3 (copy types A and B) as well as the clade with diamond (copy type A). The taxonomic groupings of the Austrostipa species according to different treatments are marked by different colors or numbers in columns 1–3. The LEPs studied are represented in column 4. Numbers in brackets after taxon names refer to individual accessions as listed in the Supplementary Appendix 1. A., Austrostipa.
macrophairs); mean length of glumes, calli, lemmas, lemma lobes and awns; mean length ratio lemma:palea; shape of palea apex (without or with 2–4 teeth) (Table 3, Supplementary Table 1, and Supplementary Appendix 1). These characters were chosen to evaluate morphological groupings of Austrostipa taxa proposed by Jacobs and Everett (1996) and Everett et al. (2009).

**Lemma Micromorphology**
The ultrastructure of the lemma epidermis was studied in 34 taxa (species and subspecies) of Austrostipa (Supplementary Appendix 1). For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), dry samples were coated with a thin layer of gold using a JFC-1100E ion sputter (JEOL), then observed and photographed on a Hitachi S-4700 scanning electron microscope. Four diagnostic micromorphological characters, namely fundamental cells, silica cells, cork cells and hooks were recorded. We examined the middle part of the abaxial lemma surface as being the least variable. It differs from the upper part, in which a variable admixture of hooks, prickles and macrohairs is usually observed.

**Numerical Analyses**
The numerical analyses were performed on the same 34-taxa set based on (1) four above-mentioned micromorphological characters, and (2) a combination of four micromorphological with eight macromorphological characters (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 2). Each taxon was treated as an Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU), in accordance with the methods used in numerical taxonomy (Sokal and Sneath, 1963). The similarities among OTUs were calculated using Gower’s general similarity coefficient. Cluster analysis, using PAST software (Hammer et al., 2001), was performed on all OTUs to estimate morphological similarities among the species.

### Chromosome Numbers in Stipeae

To address the significance of the cytogenetic data in Austrostipa and relatives in a phylogenetic context of Stipeae, we extensively surveyed the published chromosome numbers. We prepared a comprehensive up-to-date list of chromosome numbers of Stipeae taxa (164 species, 22 infraspecific taxa) with currently accepted taxon names and painstakingly regarded nomenclature and synonyms used in the original publications (Supplementary Appendix 2). Because the secondary literature frequently had reported incorrect numbers or wrongly cited the actual authors of the chromosome counts, we checked more than 150 original references. A few original publications we could not examine are identified as such in the references list of Supplementary Appendix 2.

To infer the evolutionary history of chromosome and genomic characters of special interest utilizing a simple cladistics analysis, we mapped chromosome base numbers, occurrence of dysploidy and whole-genome duplications in the evolution of tribe Stipeae on a molecular phylogenetic cladogram simplified and modified from the plastid DNA tree of Romaschenko et al. (2012) and the plastid/nuclear DNA tree (concatenated data of congruent taxa) of Hamasha et al. (2012). The treatment of genera and estimated number of species largely followed Peterson et al. (2019).

### RESULTS

**Molecular Phylogenetics**
We analyzed a dataset of 110 DNA sequences for the 3′trnK region and 111 for ITS, respectively. The Acc1 dataset comprised a total of 266 clone-derived sequences. After evaluation of all clones of the polyploid genera Austrostipa and Anemanthele, we created 61 consensus sequences for the final dataset. We obtained two or three distinct Acc1 consensus sequences for each Austrostipa species with the exception of A. breviglumis (J.M.Black) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, which had only one consensus sequence. For tetraploid Stipa capillata L. and S. tirs Steven (both 2n = 44; Supplementary Appendix 2) we identified two different Acc1 copy types after analyzing the clone sequences. For diploid Achnatherum paradoxum (L.) Banfi, Galasso & Bartolucci and A. sibiricum (L.) Keng ex Tzvelev (both 2n = 24) as well as polyploid Nassella trichotoma (Nees) Hack. & Arechav. (2n = 36, 38; Supplementary Appendix 2), only one sequence with clear peaks in the chromatograms was identified from direct sequencing of the PCR products.

The topology of the trees inferred by ML, MP, and BI analyses were largely identical although their statistical supports differed slightly. Figure 2 shows trees with plastid and nuclear ITS DNA data reduced to a single accession per taxon. The complete phylograms with all studied accessions are presented in Supplementary Figures 1, 2.

**Plastid DNA Analysis – 3′trnK Region**
The plastid 3′trnK region DNA sequence dataset (sequence lengths 579–798 bp) for 63 taxa of the reduced dataset (each species or subspecies represented by only one accession) included

---

**TABLE 3** | Morphological characters and character states.

| Character states | 
|------------------|---|
| **Macromorphological characters** | 
| Ligules | Mean length [mm] |
| Glumes | Mean length [mm] |
| Callus | Mean length [mm] |
| Lemma | Mean length [mm] |
| Lemma lobes | Mean length [mm] |
| Awn | Mean length [mm] |
| Length lemma:palea | Ratio |
| Inflorescence branches | Glabrous or scabrous (0); pilose (1) |
| Palea apex | 2–4-toothed (0); without teeth (1) |
| **Micromorphological characters of the lemma epidermis** | 
| Fundamental cells | Wider than long to as long as wide (1); up to 1.8 times longer than wide (2); 2–3 times longer than wide (3) |
| Hooks | Present (1); absent (2) |
| Silica cells | Elongated, 2–3 times longer than wide (1); ovate to elliptic, up to 1.5 times longer than wide (2) |
| Cork cells | Present (1); absent (2) |
FIGURE 3 | Maximum likelihood phylogram of Austrostipa species, Anemanthele lessoniana and exemplary other taxa of tribe Stipeae (Achnatherum spp., Nassella trichotoma, Stipa spp.) inferred from DNA sequences of the nuclear single-copy locus Acc1 (exon 6–13) with Bromus inermis (Bromeae), Henrardia persica and Hordeum spp. (both Triticeae) used as outgroup. ML and MP bootstrap support values ≥ 50% as well as Bayesian PP ≥ 0.5 are indicated on the branches. Clades with ML support < 50% are collapsed. The asterisked clade within the copy type A and B clades was recovered also in the ITS tree of Figure 2 as well as the clad with diamond. The taxonomic groupings of the Austrostipa species according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) and this study are marked by different colors in columns 1 and 2. The ploidy levels of Austrostipa taxa and Anemanthele lessoniana is given in column 3 according to Winterfeld et al. (2015) and Supplementary Appendix 2. Numbers in brackets after taxon names refer to individual accessions, and different Acc1 sequence copies are labeled by uppercase letters as listed in the Supplementary Appendix 1. A., Austrostipa.
A. ramosissima & J.Everett, (74/60/0.98), and (2) remainder of the latter genus (S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, this clade was low, however, several supported groups of species & J.Everett, 72/63/0.95). Groups of varying size and mostly low support were formed by (1) A. petraea 'core (S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett formed A. pilata A. muelleri (Tate) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. nitida (Summerh. & C.E.Hubb.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. pilata (S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett formed a supported clade (97/95/1.00), which was sister to a large polytomy of all other species studied (77/69/1.00), here termed 'core Austrostipa' clade. Among them, A. oligostachya (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. petraea (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett formed a moderately supported species pair (72/63/0.95). Groups of varying size and mostly low support were formed by (1) A. nodosa (S.T.Blake) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. scabra, A. scabra subsp. falcata (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. trichophylla (Benth.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (74/60/0.98), and (2) A. campylachne (Nees) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. densiflora (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. hemipogon (Benth.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. juncifolia (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. mollis (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. pubinodis (Trin. & Rupr.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. rudis (Spreng.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett subsp. rudis, A. semibarbata (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. stipoides (55/−/0.92) (Figure 2).

Nuclear DNA – ITS

The reduced nr ITS DNA sequence dataset for 68 taxa (each species or subspecies represented by only one accession) included 644 aligned positions (sequence lengths 500–627 bp), of which 263 (41%) were variable and 185 (29%) parsimony-informative.

Following the outgroup taxa (Bromus erectus, Hordeum vulgare, Secale sylvestre, and Anisopogon avenaceus next to the stipoid taxa), representatives from several Stipeae genera including Achnatherum, Celtica, Nassella, Neotrinia, Oloptum, Stipa, and Stipellula were next to a clade of Anemanthele and Austrostipa (51/−/1.00) (Figure 2). Overall resolution within this clade was low, however, several supported groups of species or species pairs could be discerned, for example, that of (1) A. compresa, A. macalpinei (100/100/1.00), (2) A. ramosissima, A. verticillata (87/85/1.00), (3) A. acrociata (Reader) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. breviglumis, A. platychaeta (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (67/−/0.58), (4) A. elegantissima (Labill.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. tuckeri (F.Muell.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (87/−/0.57), (5) a larger clade encompassing A. drummondii, A. nitida, A. nodosa, A. pilata, A. pycnostachya (Benth.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. scabra, A. scabra subsp. falcata, A. trichophylla, A. tenuifolia (Steud.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. variabilis (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (99/99/1.00), (6) A. feracetacea (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. setacea (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (100/100/1.00) and (7) A. geoffreyi S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, A. juncifolia, A. stipoides (100/100/1.00). The remaining species formed a larger clade asterisked in Figure 2 (80/71/1.00). More or less supported internal clades consisted of (1) A. exilis (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. flavescens (Labill.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (56/57/1.00), (2) A. puberula (Steud.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. velutina (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (97/77/0.71) together with A. blackii (C.E.Hubb.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. mundula (J.M.Black) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (64/−/0.85), (3) A. aristiglumis (F.Muell.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. gibbosa (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (88/76/0.97), A. curticoma (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and A. stuposa (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (99/98/1.00) together with A. bigeniculata (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (68/76/1.00), (5) A. petraea and A. pubescens (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett (74/68/0.98), and (6) A. hemipogon, A. mollis, A. oligostachya, A. semibarbata (81/79/0.99).

Nuclear DNA – Single-Copy Locus Acc1

The Acc1 DNA dataset of 73 sequences from 33 species and subspecies included 1512 aligned positions (sequence lengths 1329–1458 bp), of which 568 were variable (38%) and 348 parsimony-informative (23%).

The phylogram of the single-copy region Acc1 with Bromus inermis, Henardia persica, Hordeum chilense, and H. vulgare as outgroup showed species of Stipa s.str. sister to a clade comprising all other stipoid taxa (Achnatherum, Anemanthele, Austrostipa, Nassella) (Figure 3). We identified two different copy types of Acc1 for the tetraploids S. capillata and S. tirs (Figure 3), which resulted in the formation of two separate clades that were not sister (both 100/100/1.00). One of these Stipa copy type clades was sister to the strongly supported clade with all Acc1 copy types of Achnatherum, Anemanthele and Austrostipa (100/100/1.00). The Acc1 copy types of Anemanthele and Austrostipa segregated into two lineages, copy type A and B in Figure 3. The diploids of Achnatherum (A. paradoxaum, A. sibiricum) as well as polyploid Nassella trichotoma (see Supplementary Appendix 2) had only a single copy type of Acc1. They formed a basal grade to the well-supported copy type A Australasian clade of Anemanthele and Austrostipa (87/74/1.00).

Copy type A clade comprised three subclades in a polytomy, namely (1) Anemanthele lessoniana, Austrostipa geoffreyi, and A. macalpinei (67/65/0.98), (2) A. acrociata, A. elegantissima, A. ramosissima, A. setacea, and A. verticillata (92/89/1.00) and (3) a clade (96/93/1.00) with all six A. scabra accessions plus A. trichophylla (100/100/1.00) and another clade (94/93/1.00) with some well-supported minor lineages. Copy type B clade showed A. exilis sister to a larger polytomy encompassing...
Anemanthele lessoniana and the remaining species of Austrostipa, organized in several minor lineages. Austrostipa breviglumis, which had only one Acc1 clone sequence, was placed in the copy type B clade. In both clades (copy type A and B), the accessions of A. scabra and A. trichophylla as well as A. ramosissima and A. verticillata formed supported clades, respectively. These clades, however, were differently placed in the copy type A and B clades, whose general topology was not fully corresponding.

**Morphological Analyses**

**Lemma Epidermal Patterns**

The lemma epidermal patterns (LEP) found in Austrostipa taxa and other Stipeae are illustrated in Figures 4, 5. The patterns for the Austrostipa taxa are mapped onto the phylogenetic trees (Figure 2), except for A. rudis subsp. australis (J.Everett & S.W.L.Jacobs) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, for which there are no molecular data. Information on the studied specimens is contained in Supplementary Appendix 1. In Austrostipa, four LEPs were encountered.

In 28 of the 34 taxa of Austrostipa examined, the LEP was relatively uniform (Figures 2, 4a–u, 5a–d,f) and typical of achatheroid grasses as seen, for example, in Achnatherum, Anemanthele, Jarava or Stipellula (Figures 5l–o). This maize-like LEP is characterized by wider than long, short or square to rectangular fundamental cells with undulate to almost straight side walls. Silica cells were very frequent, ovate to elongate, densely packed and regularly alternating with fundamental cells.

In four of the remaining six species, namely, A. elegantissima, A. tuckeri, A. ramosissima, and A. verticillata (Figures 2, 5g–j),
the LEP was distinctively different due to the presence of numerous hooks and longer fundamental cells, here termed ‘prickly maize-like’ LEP, and hence reminiscent of the LEP found in Old World genera such as *Stipa*, *Neotrinia*, *Orthoraphium* Nees and *Ptilagrostis* (Figures 5s–y). The LEP observed in species of subgenera *Petaurista* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett and *Bambusina* is characterized by short cells with hooks alternating with square or rectangular fundamental cells, ovate silica cells sometimes paired with cork cells, which, however, are generally sparse. Hooks were frequent in *A. pubescens* (subg. *Tuberculatae*; Figure 5e) but scattered in *A. campylachne* (subg. *Austrostipa*); Figure 4h, however, due to their short (wider than long) fundamental cells, we classified them to maize-like LEP group.
The LEP with straight- to slightly sinuously walled fundamental cells observed in A. stipoides (subg. Lobatae S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett; Figures 2, 5k) is characterized by its rectangular to elongated fundamental cells (1.5–4 times as long as wide), which often alternate with silica cells and cork cells as well as sometimes scattered hooks. The elongated silica cells were often associated with cork cells and frequently had 1–4 constrictions.

A characteristic LEP with dumbbell-shaped fundamental cells alternating with elongated silica cells was encountered only in A. muelleri (Figure 5f).

Combined Analysis of Micro- and Marcomorphological Characters

The species representing subgenera Bambusina, Lobatae, and Petaurista as well as A. muelleri were well separated from all other species in the cluster analysis (UPGMA), which was performed on a combined macro- and micromorphological 34-taxa dataset (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 2).

Similar results were obtained when the micro- and macromorphological characters were analyzed separately (34- and 65-taxa set, respectively; Supplementary Figures 3, 4 and Supplementary Tables 1, 2). The unique LEP of A. stipoides and presence of distinct lobes on the top of the lemma (A. juncifolia, A. geoffreyi, A. petraea) separated these four species of subg. Lobatae from the remaining subgenera of Austrostipa (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figures 3, 4). A cluster was formed by representatives of subgenera Petaurista and Bambusina, which had prickly maize-like LEP (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 4). The unique macromorphology of the inflorescences characterized by long pilose branches, which occurred exclusively in A. elegantissima and A. tuckeri (subg. Petaurista), resulted in a clear separation from the other subgenera of Austrostipa (Supplementary Figure 4). Due to its long apical lemma lobes as well as its particular LEP, A. muelleri was well-distinguished not only from the other representatives of subg. Tuberculatae but from all other studied species with achnatheroid, maize-like LEP (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 4). The species of the remaining subgenera of Austrostipa with maize-like LEP were grouped in several (sub)clusters in accordance to each of the three performed analyses, with rather weakly noticeable subgeneric ordination (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figures 3, 4).

**FIGURE 6** | Cluster analysis (UPGMA) performed on eight macro- and four micromorphological characters for 34 Austrostipa taxa. See Supplementary Table 2 for the data matrix evaluated. The taxonomic groupings of the Austrostipa species according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) and this study are marked by different colors in columns 1 and 2. A., Austrostipa.
Chromosome Numbers and Whole-Genome Duplications in Stipeae

The chromosome numbers of species and genera in Stipeae are listed in Supplementary Appendix 2 (bold print). For each of the 33 genera, the most frequently found chromosome numbers are underlined, if applicable. In six genera the chromosome numbers is yet unknown (Ortachne Nees, Orthoraphium, Psammochoila Hitchc., Thornroechloa Rosach., P.M.Peterson & Soreng, Timouria Roshev., Trikeraia Bor.). Monoploid chromosome numbers, chromosome base numbers (x =) and ploidy levels deduced from the chromosome counts in the Supplementary Appendix 2 were added to Figure 7. This figure represents a simplified phylogenetic tree (cladogram) portraying the genera of Stipeae, their approximate sizes and distribution (see section “Materials and Methods” and legend to Figure 7 for further explanation).

Piptatherum (32 species) and Ptilagrostis (15) are the largest genera with only diploids known (Supplementary Appendix 2). Only two small further genera have only diploids, namely Ortachne (2 species) and Oloptum (1 species). Piptochaetium (35 species) and Achnatherum (21 species) have prevalingly diploids but also polyploids (Supplementary Appendix 2). The 20 remaining genera are a consistently polyploid (2n = 4x–8x), including Stipa (ca. 150 species), the largest genus of Stipeae with seemingly consistently 2n = 4x = 44 and Austrostipa with almost consistently 2n = 4x = 44 and 6x = 66 (Supplementary Appendix 2 and Figure 7). Nassella, the second largest genus with 117 species, encompasses 4x, 6x, and 8x taxa and heteropolyploid crosses with seemingly 5x but also many species with lower chromosome numbers of 2n = 26–28 and 2n = 34–36 that might represent diploids or triploids derived from chromosome base numbers lower than x = 11, for example, x = 7–9 (Figure 7) or alternatively were derived from x = 11 via descending dyspolyploid (see below section “Discussion”). Eriocoma (27 species) also has a comparatively large range of chromosome numbers, however, the lowest numbers are 2n = 32–36 (Supplementary Appendix 2, see below).

The prevailing chromosome base number in Stipeae is x = 11, marked as orange lines in Figure 7. It occurs in Australasian Austrostipa and Anemanthele, in the genera of New World Clade B and the Main x = 11 clade but not consistently in four of their genera (Eriocoma, Nassella, Neotrinia, Piptatheropsis Rosach., P.M.Peterson & Soreng; hatched lines in Figure 7) and except for Orzyopsis Michx. with x = 12 (Figure 7). The number of x = 12 (blue lines) is less frequent and occurs in eight genera but not consistently in three of them (hatched lines). Interestingly, the x = 11 genera Austrostipa and Anemanthele are placed in a clade with mainly x = 12. Barkworthia Rosach., P.M.Peterson & Soreng (1 species) and Stipella (5 species) consistently have deviant numbers of x = 10 and x = 7(?), 9, respectively (Supplementary Appendix 2 and Figure 7).

In some instances, the occurrence of whole genome duplications (WGD) could be labeled on the tree (filled arrows in Figure 7; see below section “Discussion”). Due to missing chromosome number information for Thornroechloa and Timouria and the uncertain occurrence of diploids in Nassella and Eriocoma (triploids as hybrids involving putative diploids), it is impossible to attach WGD in Clade B to particular nodes (open arrows in Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

Molecular Phylogenetic Delineation of Austrostipa

Monophyly of Austrostipa was not clearly supported by any of the three DNA regions we investigated (plastid trnK region: Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 1; nr ITS region: Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 2; the single-copy locus Acc1: Figure 3). The plastid DNA trees showed Austrostipa as paraphyletic. Most species (36/43) belonged either to the large clade of ‘core Austrostipa’ or to the clade comprising A. drummondii, A. muelleri, A. nitida, A. pilata. These two clades formed a polytomy with the three remaining species of Austrostipa (A. macalpinei, A. ramosissima, and A. verticillata) and three Eurasian stipoid genera (Achnatherum, Oloptum, and Stipella). Anemanthele, however, was not part of this polytomy, but of the next lower one which also included the two representatives of the primarily South American genus Nassella and the western Mediterranean Celtica gigantea.

Possible explanations for the failure of the plastid data to support, even weakly, the monophyly of Austrostipa include incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) affecting the inheritance of plastids or genetic introgression from the Eurasian species into the three Austrostipa species in the lowest Austrostipa-containing clade (A. macalpinei, A. ramosissima, A. verticillata). This last seems unlikely, given the present day distribution of the species involved. Higher support for monophyly of Austrostipa (86/NA/1.00) was recorded for a set of 13 Austrostipa species using more than 6,600 aligned plastid bp (Romaschenko et al., 2012) and Anemanthele was weakly supported sister (52/NA/0.95).

Nuclear ITS grouped Austrostipa (all species) and Anemanthele in a single clade but support was minimal for the relationship (see reduced dataset with each species represented only by a single accession in Figure 2 and in Supplementary Figure 2 with all accessions studied). These results are similar to those obtained by Romaschenko et al. (2012).

Austrostipa and Anemanthele were alike in having two different copies of the nuclear gene Acc1 (copy types A and B). These resolved together in two separate clades (Figure 3). The copies obtained for Achnatherum paradoxum, A. sibiricum and Nassella trichotoma were close to the copy type A clade; those for the two species of Stipa included (S. capillata, S. tirsu) divided likewise into two copy types, both of which were outside the two Austrostipa clades (Figure 3).

Our results, in failing to contradict or providing only weak support for the monophyly of Austrostipa and the closer relationship of Austrostipa to Anemanthele rather than non-Australasian stipoids, basically agrees with the findings of several previous studies regardless of taxon sampling (Jacobs et al., 2000, 2007; Barkworth et al., 2008; Romaschenko et al., 2010, 2012; Syme, 2011; Syme et al., 2012; Hamasha et al., 2012).
The odd results for *Austrostipa stipoides* reported in two studies (Jacobs et al., 2007; Barkworth et al., 2008), which placed the species distant from other species of the genus, were from duplicate collections (Barkworth et al., 2008, p. 725) and were not corroborated by this study, in which three different collections were used (see Supplementary Appendix 1). Their plastid and nuclear DNA sequences clustered with those of other *Austrostipa* species (Figures 2, 3 and Supplementary Figures 1, 2), as did sequences from the specimens of *A. stipoides* studied by Syme (2011) and Syme et al. (2012).

### Phylogenetic Differentiation in *Austrostipa* and Taxonomy

All but one of the subgenera of *Austrostipa* were represented by two representatives for at least one of the sequences we examined (Table 1). The exception was subg. *Lanerna*, which means we cannot comment on its monophyly.

### Comparison of Plastid and nr ITS Tree

The plastid and nr ITS trees showed slightly different placements of subgenera *Bambusina* and *Longiaristatae* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett. *Austrostipa ramosissima* and *A. verticillata* (subg. *Bambusina*) and *A. macalpinei* (subg. *Longiaristatae*) were placed in the plastid DNA tree in a polytomy with the remainder of *Austrostipa* and other genera of Stipeae (Achnatherum, *Anemanthele*, *Olopum*, and *Stipellula*). This was not reflected in the ITS tree, where all studied *Austrostipa* subgenera were resolved in a weakly supported clade together with *Anemanthele*. Both subgenera (*Bambusina*, *Longiaristatae*) resolved as monophyletic considering also *A. compressa* (subg. *Longiaristatae*), which was sampled only for ITS DNA. Sampling more DNA regions could improve overall resolution of the plastid DNA phylogenetic tree.

A small clade of *Austrostipa* species in the plastid DNA tree comprised species of two different subgenera, namely three species of the large subgenus *Falcatae* and *A. muelleri* of subg. *Tuberculatae* (see also below). Both subgenera were represented also in the ‘core *Austrostipa*’ clade of the plastid DNA tree with the remaining *Austrostipa* species (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 1). The subgenus *Falcatae*, however, was resolved in the ITS tree as monophyletic, whereas *Tuberculatae* were highly polyphyletic. In other words, subg. *Falcatae* is characterized by remarkable cytonuclear discordance, having at least two different chloroplast ‘types.’ Ancient polymorphism, hybridization and introgression may be its potential causes of such discordance as encountered in many groups of angio- and gymnosperms (Rieseborg and Solits, 1991; Seehausen, 2004; Folk et al., 2017; Kawabe et al., 2018; Tkach et al., 2020).

The weakly supported clade marked by a diamond in the ITS tree of Figure 2 united species of three subgenera resolved as monophyletic: *Bambusina*, *Arbuscula*, and *Petaurista*. This diamond-marked clade, however, was not recovered in the plastid DNA tree, and altogether three different plastid types occurred in this instance.

Except for small subg. *Aulax* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett with both of its species sampled for ITS, none of the further *Austrostipa* subgenera encompassing several species resolved in our plastid and ITS DNA analyses as monophyletic, viz. *Arbuscula*, *Austrostipa*, *Ceres* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, *Eremophila* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, *Lancea* S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett, *Lobatae* and *Tuberculatae*, which were para- or polyphyletic or placed in polytomies (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures 1, 2).

### Single-Copy Locus Acc1

The sequences analyses of the *Acc1*, a gene represented by a single copy per monoploid genome (see section “Results”), corroborated monophyly of subgenera *Bambusina* and *Falcatae*, whereas subgenera *Arbuscula*, *Austrostipa*, *Lancea*, and *Lobatae* were non-monophyletic (Figure 3). Within copy type A clade, the asterisked clade supported by 94/93/1.00 (Figure 3) comprised species belonging to subgenera *Austrostipa*, *Ceres*, *Eremophila*, *Lancea*, *Lanerna*, *Lobatae*, and *Tuberculatae*. This clade was largely reflected also in the copy type B topology (asterisked; 97/92/1.00). *Austrostipa exilis* (accession shown to be tetraploid with 2n = 44; Supplementary Appendix 2; Winterfeld et al., 2015) and *A. hemipogon* (accession shown to be hexaploid with 2n = 66; Supplementary Appendix 2; Winterfeld et al., 2015) have additional copies of *Acc1* gene copy type B. That for *A. exilis* was placed external to all other Australasian stipoids in the tree (Figure 3). The asterisked clades in *Acc1* copy type A and B clades corresponded well with the asterisked clade supported by 80/71/1.00 in the ITS tree (Figure 2), thus there is consistent phylogenetic signal in both nuclear markers studied.

Polyplody, whether allo- or autopolyploidy, is difficult to recognize in Stipeae by ITS analysis. The occurrence of different *Acc1* copies (labeled as A, B, C in Figure 3) belonging to two copy types in the specimens of *Anemanthele* (4x), *Austrostipa* (4x–6x), and *Stipa* (4x) suggests consistent allopolyploidy of these genera. The presence of more than two *Acc1* copies in some tetraploids (e.g., *Austrostipa exilis*, *A. oligostachya*) rests presumably on duplicated gene loci. The data on the different gene copies provides molecular evidence of allopolyploidy in the mentioned genera of Stipeae. Allopolyploidy as suggested by sequence analyses of a nuclear gene (*Atl03*) has also been reported in the East Asian/North American stipoid genus *Patis* *Ohwi* (Romaschenko et al., 2014).

### Phyllogenetic Utility of Micromorphological Traits

In 32 of the 34 micromorphologically studied *Austrostipa* taxa, twelve of which were investigated for the first time for lemma epidermal characters, the LEP was maize-like, typical for achnatheroid grasses, with dominance of silica cells and with fundamental cells shorter, as long as wide up to 2–3 times longer than wide, in *A. densiflora* even (1–)2–4 times longer than wide (see also Bustam, 2012, Figure A2–7). The prevalence of this maize-like LEP corroborates the previous results for Australian feathergrasses (Barkworth and Everett, 1987; Romaschenko et al., 2010, 2012; Bustam, 2012).

*Austrostipa ramosissima* and *A. verticillata* (subg. *Bambusina*) as well as *A. elegantissima* and *A. tuckeri* (subg. *Petaurista*) have a large number of conspicuous hooks in the middle part of lemma in addition to rectangular fundamental cells and rounded silica cells associated with cork cells (prickly maize-like LEP), which
was not seen in the other Austrostipa taxa characterized by typical maize-like LEP. In the upper part of the lemma, however, most Austrostipa taxa have a mixture of hooks alternating with shorter to equal, rarely somewhat longer than wide fundamental cells in addition to prickles, bicellular hairs and macrohairs.

These four species together with *A. acrociilata, A. breviglumis,* and *A. platychaeta* (subg. *Arbuscula*) were placed by Barkworth and Everett (1987) in their taxonomic group 2 of the Australian Stipeae (*Figure 2*), considering for classifications not only LEPs but also a set of macromorphological characters. This group 2 is reflected by the diamond-marked clade in our nr ITS tree (*Figure 2*). Based on extremely long fundamental cells, Barkworth and Everett (1987) distinguished their group 5 including two species *A. setacea* and *A. feresetacea*. This group (subg. *Aulax*) was corroborated as monophyletic based on the ITS data (*Figure 2*) but was not resolved by the cluster analyses using morphological characters (*Supplementary Figure 4*). According to Barkworth and Everett (1987), *A. setacea* and *A. feresetacea* should have fundamental cells 3–4 times longer than silica cells, however, they were shorter in our studied specimens of *A. setacea* (only 1–3 times longer), as depicted also in Bustam (2012, Figures A2–28). Unfortunately, *A. feresetacea* was not available for this study.

The LEPs of *A. stipoides* and *A. muelleri* were strikingly different from that of all other Austrostipa taxa. Having rather long fundamental cells with elongated silica cells associated with cork cells, the LEP of *A. stipoides* (SWF) was somewhat more similar to *Ptilagrostis* than to the other examined Austrostipa taxa. The overall appearance resembles the saw-like LEP but the side walls of the fundamental cells were straight to slightly sinuate, not deeply sinuous as in the typical saw-like LEP (Barkworth and Everett, 1987; Romaschenko et al., 2010, 2012; Nobis et al., 2019b,c; *Figures 5r,v,w,x*). *Austrostipa stipoides* was the only representative of subgenus Lobatae we studied for LEP. Two further species (*A. geoffreyi* and *A. juncofolia*) were studied by Bustam (2012), and their fundamental cells also seem to be two or more times longer than wide. However, the details of the lemma epidermis are hardly discernible on the photographs presented in this publication.

*Austrostipa muelleri*, characterized by a unique LEP with dumbbell-shaped fundamental cells and elongated silica cells, is the only species of traditional subgenus Tuberculatae with distinct apical lobes on the lemma apex, otherwise found only in subgenus Lobatae. This segregation seems to fit the placement of *A. muelleri* distant to remainder of the subgenus Tuberculatae in the phylogenetic trees (*Figure 2*; see below).

**Delineation and Relationship of Subgenera**

Despite limited resolution achieved by the sequenced plastid and nr DNA loci as well as the combined macro- and micromorphological analysis, some conclusions can be drawn with respect to the infrageneric taxonomy of Austrostipa and the validity of the altogether 13 subgenera presented in Vickery et al. (1986), Jacobs and Everett (1996), and Everett et al. (2009), all of which were included in this study.

(1) The small subgenera Longiaristatae (both species sampled, plastid DNA data missing for *A. compressa*) and Bambusina (both species sampled) belong to the early branching lineages within *Austrostipa* considering the plastid DNA tree. Subg. *Bambusina* assembled together with subgenera *Petaurista* (both species sampled) and *Arbuscula* (three of four species sampled) in the same ITS and in copy type A clades of the Acc1 gene analyses marked by diamonds (*Figures 2, 3*). *Petaurista* and *Arbuscula* were placed in the ‘core Austrostipa’ clade of the plastid DNA tree distantly to the species of subg. *Bambusina*. Maintenance of subgenera *Petaurista* and *Arbuscula* is neither explicitly supported nor contradicted by our data. Thus, we argue that these four subgenera should remain unchanged.

(2) *Austrostipa muelleri* was placed distantly from all other taxa of subg. *Tuberculatae* (see below), in which it was accommodated (Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Everett et al., 2009). This deviating position was noted already previously (Jacobs et al., 2007, Figure 4; Syme et al., 2012). We propose placing *A. muelleri* by itself in a new subgenus (see below New names and combinations).

(3) Subg. *Falcatae* (9 of 10 species sampled, plastid DNA data missing for *A. pycnostachya* and *A. tenuifolia*) was supported because of the ITS and Acc1 DNA data (Copies A and B) but it disintegrated into two lineages of the plastid DNA phylogeny. One group of species possessed the ‘core Austrostipa’ plastid, the other shared a deviant plastid type with *A. muelleri* (*Figure 2* and *Supplementary Figure 1*). The placement of *A. pycnostachya* in the ITS clade of subg. *Falcatae* (*Figure 2*) supports the transfer of this species from subg. *Arbuscula*, in which it was placed by Jacobs and Everett (1996), to subg. *Falcatae* (Everett et al., 2009).

(4) Subg. *Aulax* (both species sampled, plastid DNA data missing for *A. feresetacea*) and subg. *Lobatae* (4 of 6 species sampled) could be maintained after excluding *A. petraea* from the latter (*Figure 2*). Segregation of *A. petraea* from the other species of subg. *Lobatae* was noted also by Syme et al. (2012). We found no support, however, for a placement of this species in subg. *Aulax* as suggested by the latter study (see Syme et al., 2012, Figure 1) but the taxonomic position of this comparatively narrowly distributed species of eastern South Australia should be reviewed in future investigations.

(5) The high-support clades asterisked in the ITS and Acc1 phylograms (*Figures 2, 3*) encompass, apart from *A. petraea*, the species of subgenera *Austrostipa* (6 of 7 species sampled), *Ceres* (5 of 6 species sampled), *Eremophilae* (5 of 6 species sampled), *Lancea* (six of seven species sampled), *Lanternae* (1 of 3 species sampled) and *Tuberculatae* (5 of 7 species sampled). The asterisked clades showed several sister species relationships and minor lineages within and between subgenera (see above), but none of the subgenera mentioned was resolved as separate lineage, which is in agreement with the trees presented by Syme et al. (2012). For the time being it seems best to assign all these subgenera to a single, expanded and most likely monophyletic subgenus *Austrostipa*. This suggestion, however, should not be interpreted as attempt to supersede traditional morphology-based by molecular phylogenetic taxonomic concepts. It is rather a contribution to obtain monophyletic taxa, which can serve as reliable
units addressing questions about character evolution and/or biogeography in *Austrostipa*, which have been barely touched upon to date.

Some of our suggestions for classification are not new, having been made in previous molecular phylogenetic studies of *Austrostipa*, for example, the maintenance of subgenera *Falcatae* (Jacobs et al., 2007; Bustam, 2010, 2012; Syme et al., 2012), *Longiaristatae* and *Lobatae* (Jacobs et al., 2007; Syme et al., 2012), the broadening of subg. *Austrostipa* to include also subgenera *Tuberclatae* (Jacobs et al., 2007; Syme et al., 2012) and *Eremophilae* (Syme et al., 2012), but our data do not support combining subgenera *Arbuscula* and *Bambusina*, a suggestion based on their similar habit (Jacobs et al., 2007).

In summary, we propose dividing of *Austrostipa* into the following nine subgenera (with number of species) (Table 4): *Arbuscula* (4), *Aulax* (2), *Austrostipa* (36), *Bambusina* (2), *Falcatae* (10), *Lobatae* (5), *Longiaristatae* (2), *Petaurista* (2) and *Paucispiculatae*, subg. nov., with *A. muelleri* (1).

**Chromosome Base Numbers and Whole-Genome Duplications in Stipeae**

**Austrostipa and Anemanthele**

The somatic chromosome numbers of $2n = 44$ and $2n = 66$ were established in 18 and in seven *Austrostipa* species, respectively, as well as $2n = 44$ in *Anemanthele lessoniana* in our previous study on chromosome numbers and karyotypes (Winterfeld et al., 2015). These results corroborated the earlier chromosome counts in *Austrostipa stipoides* ($2n = 44$; Murray et al., 2005) and *Anemanthele lessoniana* ($2n = 40-44$; Dawson and Beuzenberg, 2000; Edgar and Connor, 2000). In some accessions a certain degree of aneusomaty was noted, for example, $2n = 65$, 66, 68, 70 in *Austrostipa semibarbata*, but usually the chromosome number showed less variation or was uniform in the metaphase plates of each accession studied. *Austrostipa* and *Anemanthele* thus encompass consistently polyploids with a chromosome base number of $x = 11$. Apart from the overall similarity of their karyotypes, this common base number supports a close relationship of both genera and makes a common ancestry of *Austrostipa* and *Anemanthele* likely, in addition to the relationship shown by the molecular phylogenetic data (Figures 2, 3) (Jacobs et al., 2007; Romaschenko et al., 2012).

**Monoploid Chromosome Number Variation in Stipeae**

**Clade A**

*Austrostipa* and *Anemanthele* were placed in a clade, in which otherwise the chromosome base number of $x = 12$ prevails (Clade A in Figure 7). This supports recognizing $x = 11$ as a synapomorphic character of both genera in this clade. The base number of $x = 12$ was found in the likely sister of *Austrostipa* and *Anemanthele*, namely a lineage formed by *Achnatherum* ($2n = 2x = 24$; rarely $2n = 28$ and few polyploids; see Supplementary Appendix 2) and *Oloptum* (usually $2n = 2x = 24$), whereas *Stipella* most likely deviates from $x = 12$. Various somatic chromosome numbers have been reported for *S. capensis* ($2n = 18$, ca. 34, 36; Supplementary Appendix 2), $2n = 36$ being the most frequent in the whole Mediterranean (Supplementary Appendix 2). $2n = 18$ appears to be trustworthy for an accession from Gran Canaria, Canary Islands (Borgen, 1970 using the synonym *Stipa retorta* Cav.), making a derived monoploid chromosome number of $x = 9$ strongly conceivable for this species with annual life form, which is unusual in Stipeae. Moreover, $2n = 28$, possibly pointing to $x = 7$, was reported in its congener *Stipella parviflora* (Desf.) Röser & Hamasha (Supplementary Appendix 2). The clade of *Austrostipa*, *Anemanthele*, *Achnatherum*, *Oloptum* and *Stipella* has highly polyploid, monospecific *Celtica* (usually $2n = 8x = 96$; $x = 12$) as sister. Australian/New Zealand *Austrostipa* and *Anemanthele* therefore are related to a group of genera distributed in Eurasia, the Mediterranean and with few outliers in Tropical East and South Africa (Clayton, 1970, 1972; Freitag, 1989; Fish et al., 2015).

**Clade B**

Sister to all these Clade A genera is an almost exclusively and comparatively large New World lineage (Clade B) with a wide range of chromosome numbers (Supplementary Appendix 2 and Figure 7). Chromosome numbers of *Eriocoma* ($2n = 32, 34, 36, 40, 44, 48, 64, 66, 68, 70$) and very speciose *Nassella* ($2n = 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, 56, 58, 60, 64, 66, 70, 82, 88$; Supplementary Appendix 2) seem to be based prevalently on $x = 11$, implying the occurrence of $4x$, $6x$, $8x$ and possibly also $3x$ and $5x$ ploidy levels and a certain degree of aneumomeric variation. Assuming that chromosome numbers of $2n = 32-34$ are triploid numbers based on $x = 11$, the occurrence of triploids and pentaploids points toward heteroploid diploid-tetraploid and tetraploid-hexaploid hybridizations.

Also lower monoploid numbers such as $x = 6$ suggested by Stebbins and Love (1941, p. 379) for *Eriocoma*, and $x = 7, 8$ suggested by Barkworth (2007) for *Nassella* or $x = 9$ might occur in both genera, which means that accessions with $2n = 26, 28, 32, 36, 38$ would represent tetraploids or hexaploids. Given the branching order in the phylegetic scheme of Figure 7, such hypothetical monoploid chromosome sets of *Eriocoma* and *Nassella* with $x = 7-9$ have originated secondarily from $x = 11$, the most likely original number of Clade B. In this phylogenetic context they do not give evidence of a sometimes suggested low ‘original’ base chromosome number of *Stipeae* (see Stebbins and Love, 1941; Johnston, 1972; Tzvelev, 1977). Numbers reported in *Amelichloa* ($2n = 40, 44, 46$), *Jarava* ($2n = 36, 40, 44, 66$), and *Pseudoeriocoma* Romasch., P.M.Peterson & Soreng ($2n = 44, 46$) seem to be based most likely on $x = 11$ if aneusomaty also plays some role here to explain the slightly varying chromosome numbers (Figure 7). Chromosome numbers are unknown in monospecific North American *Thorneochloa* and in *Timouria*, a Central to East Asian outlier of this otherwise American clade. In summary, we suggest a secondary reduction of chromosome numbers in *Eriocoma* and *Nassella* via aneusomaty, whereas the chromosome base number originally was $x = 11$ in Clade B and not lower (Figure 7). This supposed reductional dysplody in *Nassella* would agree with the result that the species of *Nassella* with low chromosomes numbers ($2n = 26, 28, 30$ in *N. leptocoronata* (Roseng. & B.R.Arrill.) Barkworth, *N. neesiana* (Trin. & Rupr.) Barkworth, *N. longiglumis* (Phil.) Barkworth; Gonzalez et al., 2017; Supplementary Appendix 2]
FIGURE 7 | Chromosome base numbers, dysploidy and whole-genome duplications in the evolution of tribe Stipeae mapped on a simplified molecular phylogenetic tree with the genera of Stipeae and their approximate sizes. The cladogram is modified from the plastid DNA tree of Romaschenko et al. (2012) and the plastid/nuclear DNA tree (concatenated data of congruent taxa) of Hamasha et al. (2012). The treatment of genera and estimated number of species largely follows Peterson et al. (2019). For chromosome data see Supplementary Appendix 2. The species number of a genus is indicated after the genus name. Due to the unknown chromosome numbers in Thorneochloa and Timouria it is unclear at which split in Clade B whole-genome duplications occurred, potentially already in the common ancestor of all Clade B taxa (open arrows). The asterisk denotes our suggested most likely chromosome base number (see section “Discussion”). WGD, whole-genome duplication.
TABLE 4 | Subgenera and species of *Austrostipa* in this study and according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) supplemented by Williams (2011) and informal groups suggested by Barkworth and Everett (1987).

| Subgenera   | This study                                                                 | Jacobs and Everett, 1996 | Barkworth and Everett, 1987 |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Arbuscula   | S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                                                   |                          |                             |
|             | A. acrociata (Reader) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                            | Arbuscula                | 2                           |
|             | A. breviglumis (J.M.Black) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                       | Arbuscula                | 2                           |
|             | A. nullaborvensis (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett | Arbuscula                | 2                           |
|             | A. platychaeta (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Arbuscula                | 2                           |
| Aulax       | S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                                                  |                          |                             |
|             | A. feresetacea (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett | Aulax                     | 5                           |
|             | A. setacea (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                              | Aulax                     | 5                           |
| Austrostipa | A. aphylla (Rodway) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                             | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. aquarii (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                            | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. aristiglumis (F.Muell.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                      | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. bigeniculata (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                        | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. blackii (C.E.Hubb.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. campylachne (Nees) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                           | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. centralis (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                         | Eremophila               | 1                           |
|             | A. crispina (Gaudich.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                         | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. curticoma (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. densiflora (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. elongicola (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                        | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. echinata (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                          | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. e^1^remophila (Reader) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                      | Eremophila               | 1                           |
|             | A. exilis (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                             | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. favescens (Labill.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. gibbosia (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                           | Ceres                    | 1                           |
|             | A. hemipogon (Benth.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                           | Austrostipa              | –                           |
|             | A. janata (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                            | Lanterna                 | 1                           |
|             | A. metatoris (J.Everett & S.W.L.Jacobs) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett         | Eremophila               | 1                           |
|             | A. mollis (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                               | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. multispiculis (J.M.Black) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                    | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. mundula (J.M.Black) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. nivicola (J.H.Willis) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                        | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. nullanula (J.Everett & S.W.L.Jacobs) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett         | Lanterna                 | 1                           |
|             | A. oligostachya (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                        | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. petraea (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                            | Lobatae                  | 1                           |
|             | A. plumigera (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Eremophila               | 1                           |
|             | A. puberula (Steud.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                            | Eremophila               | 1                           |
|             | A. pubescens (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                            | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. pubinodis (Trin. & Rupr.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                    | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. rudis (Spreng.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                              | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. rudis subsp. australis (J.Everett & S.W.L.Jacobs) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. rudis subsp. nervosa (Vickery) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                | Tuberculatae             | 3                           |
|             | A. seminbarbata (R.Br.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                         | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. stiposa (Hughes) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                             | Austrostipa              | 1                           |
|             | A. velutina (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                          | Lancea                   | 1                           |
|             | A. vickeryiana (J.Everett & S.W.L.Jacobs) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett       | Lanterna                 | 1                           |
|             | A. wakoolica (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                         | Eremophila               | 1                           |
| Bambusina    | S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                                                 |                          |                             |
|             | A. ramosissima (Trin.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                          | Bambusina                | 2                           |
|             | A. verticillata (Nees ex Spreng.) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                | Bambusina                | 2                           |
| Falcatae     | S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett                                                 |                          |                             |
|             | A. blakei (Vickery, S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett)                             | Falcatae                 | 4                           |

(Continued)
have comparatively large chromosomes due to non-reciprocal translocations from chromosomes that finally became lost.

**Transcontinental Stipeae clade**
Both lineages of prevalingly \( x = 12 \) (Clade A) and \( x = 11 \) (Clade B), though with exceptions in *Stipella* and species of *Eriocoma* and *Nassella*, constitute one of the major clades in Stipeae, which was named ‘Transcontinental Stipeae clade’ in Hamasha et al. (2012) to denote its representation on all continents including Australia and New Zealand (Figure 7), and it is congruent with the ‘achnatheroid clade’ of Rosaschenko et al. (2012).

**Main \( x = 12 \) clade**
The Transcontinental Stipeae clade is allied with further genera of prevalingly \( x = 12 \), altogether forming the Main \( x = 12 \) clade of Stipeae (Figure 7), namely comparatively species-rich and consistently diploid *Piptatherum* from the Mediterranean and Eurasia (32 species; \( 2n = 2x = 24 \); Supplementary Appendix 2), East Asian/North American tetraploid *Pattis* (three species; \( 2n = 4x = 46, 48 \)) and monospecific North American *Barkworthia*, in which \( 2n = 4x = 40 \) was reported, implying a monoploid set of \( x = 10 \) (Supplementary Appendix 2) (Myers, 1947 citing an unpublished count of G.L. Stebbins). The Main \( x = 12 \) clade was recovered also in the plastid DNA and morphological study of Cialdella et al. (2007) in American Stipeae and was termed ‘Clade 2 or Aneuploid clade.’

**Main \( x = 11 \) clade**
This clade represents the second main clade of Stipeae and includes *Stipa* s.str., by far the largest genus of this tribe (Figure 7). It agrees with the ‘Clade 1 or \( x = 11 \) clade’ of Cialdella et al. (2007). Exceptions from \( x = 11 \) are seemingly scarce in this clade but were noted for North American monospecific *Oryzopsis* (only *O. asperifolia* Michx. with probably \( x = 12 \)), monotypic Asian *Neotrinia* (uncertain \( x = 11 \) or 12) and some species of the North American genus *Piptatheropsis* (five species; Supplementary Appendix 1). In *P. pungens* (Torr. ex Spreng.) Romasch., P.M.Peterson & Soreng \( 2n = 22 \) and 24 were found, the latter number possibly caused by aneuploidy, whereas \( 2n = 2x = 20 \) was counted in mitotic and meiotic stages of two different accessions in *P. shoshoneana* (Curto & Douglass M.Hend.) Romasch., P.M.Peterson & Soreng (Curto and Henderson, 1998), which implies \( x = 10 \), and represents the lowest chromosome number of Stipeae in the New World as noted already by these authors.

**Clades C and D**
The Main \( x = 11 \) clade is geographically clearly structured because it is divided into the Eurasian/Mediterranean Clade C (*Neotrinia, Orthoraphium, Psammochloa, Stipa, Trikeraia*) and the New World Clade D (*Aiachne* Benth., *Anatherostipa* (Hack. ex Kuntze) Peñail., *Hesperostipa* (M.K.Elias) Barkworth, *Lorenzochloa* Reeder & C.Reeder, *Ortachne*, *Pappostipa*, *Piptatheropsis*, *Pilagrostiella* Romasch., P.M.Peterson & Soreng; Figure 7). There are only few exceptions since monospecific *Oryzopsis*, widely distributed in woodland of North America, is nested in Eurasian Clade C and *Pilagrostis* occurs in mountainous to alpine landscapes of both Central Asia and western North America (Figure 7).
Stipeae Chromosome Base Number
The occurrence of two main clades in Stipeae, one clade primarily with \( x = 12 \) harboring also Austrostipa and Anemanthele, which are characterized by a derived number of \( x = 11 \), the other with primary \( x = 11 \) and few exceptions (see above Stipellula, Oryzopsis, species of Piptatheropsis and possibly also of Eriocoma and Nassella; Figure 7), raises the question which one was the ‘original’ chromosome base number of the whole tribe Stipeae. Due to the tree topology with monospecific Macrochloa Kunth as sister to the remainder of the tribe (Figure 7), this question cannot be reliably answered because in Macrochloa chromosome counts are equivocal, some suggesting \( x = 12 \) and others \( x = 11 \) or \( x = 10 \) (Supplementary Appendix 2). We regard \( x = 12 \), as firstly proposed by Avdulov (1931, p. 130) and accepted also by Romaschenko et al. (2012), a bit more probable as original chromosome base number of Stipeae than \( x = 11 \). Interestingly, this is supported mainly by chromosome numbers represented in the presumably closely related tribes of Stipeae (see below).

Further research into chromosome numbers of Stipeae, especially the re-examination of questionable counts contained in the older karyological literature as cited in reference works of Darlington and Wylie (1956) and Fedorov (1969), particularly the reported low numbers, seems worthwhile. This problem is frequently encountered with older chromosome counts also in other plants groups, because counting was made using tissue sections, in which single chromosomes could easily become lost, instead of the nowadays employed and more reliable squashing technique.

The Lowest Chromosome Number in Stipeae
\( 2n = 18 \) counted in a therefore diploid accession of Stipellula capensis from the Canary Islands (Borgen, 1970) seems to represent the lowest reliably known chromosome number of the whole tribe Stipeae (Supplementary Appendix 2). The chromosome number so far considered as lowest in Stipeae (Curto and Henderson, 1998; Barkworth, 2007) refers to a Crimean accession of Achnatherum bromoides with likewise \( 2n = 18 \) (Petrova, 1968), which was cited also in the reference works of Prokudin et al. (1977) and Agapova et al. (1993). This report appears to be questionable in view of the other chromosome counts available for \( A. \) bromoides, namely \( 2n = 24 \) (Ghukasyan, 2004) and repeatedly reported \( 2n = 28 \) (Vázquez and Devesa, 1996 and references therein). Stipellula capensis, however, is otherwise known from many tetraploid populations widespread in the Mediterranean (\( 2n = 36 \); Supplementary Appendix 2) and has further chromosome numbers (see above and Supplementary Appendix 2), which are currently difficult to interpret (possible triploid hybrids, aneusomatic specimens, and partly probably erroneous counting).

Neighbor Tribes of Stipeae Also Have \( x = 12 \)
Presumably close relatives of grass tribe Stipeae, which likewise belong to the rather early diverging lineages of grass subfamily Pooidae (Schneider et al., 2009, 2011; Romaschenko et al., 2012; Saarela et al., 2015), seem to share the chromosome base number of \( x = 12 \) with Stipeae (see above), even though only comparatively few counts are available: (1) monospecific Ampelodesmos Link [\( A. \) mauritanicus (Poir.) T.Durand & Schinz], regarded as either sole member of tribe Ampelodesmeae (GPWG (Grass Phylogeny Working Group), 2001; Soreng et al., 2017) or as morphologically anomalous genus of Stipeae (Decker, 1964; Barkworth, 2007; Schneider et al., 2009, 2011; Winterfeld et al., 2015) has \( 2n = 4x = 48 \) (Nilsson and Lassen, 1971; Schneider et al., 2011) or \( 2n = 8x = 96 \) (Myers, 1947 citing an unpublished count of G.L. Stebbins); (2) Danthoniastrium compactum (Boiss. & Heldr.) Holub, Duthiea brachypodium (P.Candargy) Keng & Keng f., Sinohasea trigyna Keng, Stephanachne monandra (P.C.Kuo & S.L.Lu) P.C.Kuo & S.L.Lu and S. pappophorea (Hack.) Keng (all tribe Duthieae) all have \( 2n = 2x = 24 \) (Fedorov, 1969, p. 565 citing an unpublished count of L.A. Alexandrova; Winterfeld, 2006; Schneider et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2018; with a discussion of a seemingly wrong previous chromosome counts of \( 2n = 14 \) in Danthoniastrium compactum of Kožuharov and Petrova, 1991), while \( n = 14 \) in was reported for Duthiea bromoides Hack. (Menra and Sharma, 1975, 1977); and (3) monospecific Phaenosperma Munro ex Benth. (\( P. \) globosum Munro ex Benth.) of monogenic tribe Phaenospermatae has \( 2n = 2x = 24 \) (Avdulov, 1931, p. 92; Tateoka, 1954, 1955, 1956; Schneider et al., 2011; Winterfeld et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018).

Whole-Genome Duplications in Stipeae
Although chromosome numbers are still unknown for a number of small genera encompassing only eight species (see above and Supplementary Appendix 2), the enormous significance of whole-genome duplications (Johnson, 1972) is clearly obvious in many genera of Stipeae, for which chromosome numbers are available. Diploids are by far the minority in this tribe and only four of 33 genera (12%) are consistently diploid and two further genera (6%) have diploid as well as polyploid species. It was pointed out already by Tzelev (1977) that most extant Stipeae are polyploids and have hybrid origin as corroborated by our exemplary findings on the single-copy gene Acc1 in Austrostipa and Stipa (Figure 3). Although no correlation between whole-genome duplication and diversification could be found in many tested clades of angiosperms (Clark and Donoghue, 2018), most, if not all of the larger, speciose genera of Stipeae have consistently polyploid species as far as known, for example, Stipa (>150 species) as delineated in the present (Stipa s.str.), Nassella (117), Austrostipa (64), Eriocoma (27), Pappostipa (31), etc., taking into account that relative low chromosome numbers in some species of Nassella and Eriocoma might be derived from polyploids (see above).

Biogeographic Relations and Origin of Austrostipa and Anemanthele
Austrostipa and Anemanthele were previously considered as overall rather derived members of Stipeae (ITS analyses of Jacobs et al., 2000, 2007), having groups of American Stipeae like Amelichloa, Jarava, Nassella, American Achnatherum, whose species have meanwhile been transferred to Eriocoma and Pseudoeriocoma (Peterson et al., 2019), and Eurasian Achnatherum as phylogenetically close relatives. On the other hand, considerable differences in lemma epidermal patterns both within Austrostipa and between some of these genera were
noted. Definitely most Austrostipa species have maize-like LEP as widespread among achnatheroids, occasionally showing variants such as the prickly maize-like or patterns with particularly shaped fundamental cells (see above), whereas some of the American genera have further maize-like types, for example, in Amelichloa, Eriocoma, or Pseudoerio coma or strongly deviant LEPs as in Nassella with ladder-like LEP (Barkworth and Everett, 1987; Romaschenko et al., 2010, 2012).

Considering Austrostipa, Tzvelev (1977) discussed a migration of Stipeae from South America via Antarctica to Australia as more likely than migration of Stipeae from north to south, i.e., from Eurasia to Australia. Nevertheless, he argued that the Australian feathergrasses were morphologically closer to Eurasian sections of Stipa than to sections of South American feathergrasses and cited among the examples also Stipa section Stipella Tzvelev which encompassed only Stipa capensis Thunb. (≡ Stipella capensis) in his view (Tzvelev, 2011). Within the Transcontinental Stipeae clade, Austrostipa and Anemanthele are closely affiliated with Eurasian to Mediterranean genera, namely Achnatherum (including few eastern to South Africa species; see above), Celtica, Oloptum and also Stipella, whereas they are distant to the American members of this clade (Figure 7). This implies that the ancestors of Austrostipa and Anemanthele with $x = 11$ came from the lineage with $x = 12$ (Clade A) and not the mainly American lineage with $x = 11$ (Clade B), and therefore acquired $x = 11$ in parallel to the American representatives of the Transcontinental Stipeae clade. The ancestors were most probably diploid like most if not all extant species of Achnatherum except for tetraploid South African A. dregeanum, comb. nov., with $2n = 48$ (Supplementary Appendix 2). Whole-genome duplication could have followed later but probably preceded the evolutionary radiation of Austrostipa. Finally, the colonization of Australia started likely from Central/East Asia, where Achnatherum species, for example, are well represented in the present and the precursors of Austrostipa might have occurred as well. Judging from the current distribution, with no occurrences of Achnatherum in subtropical and tropical southeastern mainland Asia and Indonesia/New Guinea, long-distance dispersal from Central/East Asia to Australia as pictured in Figure 8 seems plausible. It has parallels considering, for example, the sister relation between Duthiea Hack. ex Procop.-Procop., a genus of China and the Himalayas, and Anisopogon from southeastern Australia in the neighboring tribe Duthieeae (plastid DNA data of Schneider et al., 2011).

New Zealand Anemanthele could have acquired and established its main apomorphic character, the occurrence of only a single stamen per floret, due to isolation and initial small population size (Veldkamp, 1985; Jacobs and Everett, 1996; Edgar and Connor, 2000). It bears morphological resemblance with Achnatherum rather than with Austrostipa as noted by Jacobs and Everett (1996, p. 582). It is not sure that the precursor of Anemanthele came from Australia as likely in Austrostipa stipoides, the only autochthonous species of this genus in New Zealand. Achnatherum is not represented in Australia by any autochthonous species, whereas New Zealand harbors an endemic species of Achnatherum, A. petriei (Figure 8) (Edgar and Connor, 2000), the presumably only autochthonous representative of this genus in whole Australasia. Chromosome counts reported $2n = 42$ for this species (Supplementary Appendix 2), which is remarkable because otherwise $2n = 24$ is characteristic of Achnatherum (Supplementary Appendix 2), seemingly except for A. bromoides, in which both $2n = 24$ and $2n = 28$ was reported (see above). The tetraploid number of A. petriei is most likely based on $x = 11$, if aneuphasic change from 44 to 42 is assumed, rather than on $x = 12$. Achnatherum petriei thus seems to share with Austrostipa and Anemanthele the chromosome base number of $x = 11$ representing most likely a synapomorphy within Clade A, and shares the ploidy level of 4x, both in marked contrast to typical Achnatherum. It can be assumed therefore that Achnatherum petriei might be a close relative of Anemanthele and Austrostipa pending further investigation.

NEW NAMES AND COMBINATIONS

Achnatherum dregeanum (Steud.) Röser, Tkach & M.Nobis, comb. nov. ≡ Stipa dregeana Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 1(2): 132. 1854.

Note: This South African species does not belong to Stipa as delineated by most current taxonomic treatments. It seems best to accommodate this species under Achnatherum pending further
investigations. *Stipa dregeana* var. *dregena* is considered as South African endemic (Fish et al., 2015), whereas Afro-montane var. *elongata* (Nees) Stapf (syn. *keniensis* (Pilg.) Freitag subsp. *keniensis*) occurs in Ethiopia and Tanzania (Freitag, 1989).

**Austrostipa** subg. *Paucispiculatae* Röser, Tkach & M.Nobis, subg. nov. – Type: *A. muelleri* (Tate) S.W.L.Jacobs & J.Everett ≡ *Stipa muelleri* Tate in Trans. Proc. & Rep. Roy. Soc. South Australia 7: 70. 1885.

Description: Inflorescence reduced to 1–3 spikelets, lemma with maize-like epidermal pattern, with short dumbbell-shaped fundamental cells and frequent elongate to ovate silica cells, apex of lemma with two distinct, ca. 3 mm long lobes; spreading plants without basal tuft of leaves.

Included species: *A. muelleri*.

Distribution: Australia: SE South Australia and S Victoria.

Etymology: The epithet is derived from Latin ‘paucus’ (few) and ‘spicula, spiculatus’ (spikelet, with spikelets).
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**Supplementary Figure 2** | Maximum likelihood phylogram of all studied accessions of *Austrostipa* species inferred from nuclear ribosomal ITS1–5.8S gene–ITS2 DNA sequences with *Anisopogon aveaeusus* (Duthieae), *Bromus erectus* (Bromeae), *Hordeum vulgare*, and *Secale sylvestre* (both Triticeae) used as outgroup. ML and MP bootstrap support values ≥ 50% as well as Bayesian PP > 0.5 are indicated on the branches. Clades with ML support < 50% are collapsed. The taxonomic groupings of the *Austrostipa* species according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) and this study are marked by different colors in columns 1 and 2. A., *Austrostipa*.

**Supplementary Figure 3** | Cluster analysis (UPGMA) performed on four micromorphological characters of 34 *Austrostipa* taxa. See Supplementary Table 2 for the data matrix evaluated. The taxonomic groupings of the *Austrostipa* species according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) and this study are marked by different colors in columns 1 and 2. A., *Austrostipa*.

**Supplementary Figure 4** | Cluster analysis (UPGMA) performed on nine macromorphological characters of 65 *Austrostipa* taxa. See Supplementary Table 1 for the data matrix evaluated. The taxonomic groupings of the *Austrostipa* species according to Jacobs and Everett (1996) and this study are marked by different colors in columns 1 and 2. A., *Austrostipa*.

**Supplementary Table 1** | Data matrix used for macromorphological analysis. See Table 3 for measurements and character coding. A., *Austrostipa*.

**Supplementary Table 2** | Data matrix used for micromorphological and combined macro- and micromorphological analyses. See Table 3 for measurements and character coding. A., *Austrostipa*.

**Supplementary Appendix 1** | Taxa studied for DNA sequences, morphology and lemma micromorphology. Taxon, geographical origin, voucher information with collectors and herbarium code and ENA/GenBank accession numbers for plastid *matK* gene–3′trnK exon region; nuclear ribosomal ITS1–5.8S gene–ITS2 and nuclear single-copy gene *Acc1*. Sequences LR989057–LR989267 were newly generated for this study. A single asterisk (*) indicates sequences previously generated in our lab, two asterisks (**) indicate sequences taken from ENA/GenBank, a dash (–) missing data. The uppercase letters (A), (B) and (C) denote different *Acc1* sequence copies. BG, Botanical Garden; LEP, lemma epidermal pattern studied; LEP ill., LEP illustrated in Figures 4. 5; morph, morphological data for Supplementary Table 2 taken from Everett et al. (2009); MSB, Millennium Seed Bank, Kew, Wakehurst Place; NSW, New South Wales; NT, Northern Territory; SA, South Australia; TAS, Tasmania; VIC, Victoria; WA, Western Australia.

**Supplementary Appendix 2** | Annotated list of chromosome number reports for taxa of Stipeae. Underlined are the most frequent chromosome numbers in case of different counting, if this was stated in the original publications or if concluded from this survey (mostly for the genera). Original publications we did not examine are identified as such in the list of references below.
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