Could the domestic cat play a significant role in the transmission of *Echinococcus multilocularis*? A study based on qPCR analysis of cat feces in a rural area in France
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**Abstract** – *Echinococcus multilocularis*, a cestode parasite responsible for alveolar echinococcosis in humans, is often reported in Europe. It involves red foxes, domestic dogs, and domestic and wild cats as definitive hosts. The parasite infects small mammals and accidentally humans as intermediate hosts and develops in a similar way to a tumor, usually in the liver. Domestic animals are suspected of playing a role in parasite transmission, but this is rarely proven. Moreover, the role of domestic cats is thought to be small, because of experimental studies showing incomplete development of the parasite observed in their intestines. In the present study, we investigated copro-sampling performed in a rural and highly endemic area in Eastern France, on carnivore feces (*n* = 150). From these samples, the parasite was detected and identified by DNA analysis using quantitative PCR targeting part of a mitochondrial gene (Em-qPCR). Taeniid eggs were isolated from positive-Em-qPCR samples by flotation, and species identification was confirmed by sequencing on DNA extracts. From a total of 43 copro-samples from cats, four tested positive for *E. multilocularis* by the Em-qPCR. In two of these, we found parasite eggs that were identified as *E. multilocularis*. This finding was confirmed by sequencing, while one dog stool out of 61 collected was found to be positive, no egg was detectable. At the same time, 34% of fox stools tested positive for the parasite. The present study challenges the current idea that cats are only of minor significance in the *E. multilocularis* life cycle.
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**Résumé** – Le chat domestique pourrait-il jouer un rôle important dans la transmission d' *Echinococcus multilocularis* ? Une étude basée sur l'analyse qPCR de fèces de chats dans une zone rurale en France. *Echinococcus multilocularis*, un cestode parasite responsable de l’échinococcose alvéolaire chez l’homme est souvent mentionné en Europe. Il implique comme hôtes définitifs les renards roux, les chiens domestiques et les chats domestiques et sauvages. Le parasite implique, comme hôtes intermédiaires, des petits mammifères et accidentellement l’homme, et se développe de manière similaire à une tumeur, habituellement dans le foie. Les animaux domestiques sont suspectés de jouer un rôle dans la transmission du parasite, mais ce fait est rarement prouvé. De plus, le rôle des chats domestiques est connu pour être minime, en raison d’études expérimentales montrant un développement incomplet du parasite observé dans leurs intestins. Dans la présente étude nous avons examiné un échantillonnage coprologique réalisé dans une aire rurale hautement endémique dans l’Est de la France, sur des fèces de carnivores (*n* = 150). À partir de ces échantillons, le parasite a été détecté et identifié par analyse ADN en utilisant la PCR quantitative ciblant une partie d’un gène mitochondrial (qPCR-Em). Des œufs de Taeniidae ont été isolés à partir des échantillons positifs à la qPCR-Em par flottaison et l’identification spécifique a été confirmée par séquençage sur des extraits d’ADN. À partir d’un total de 43 échantillons de chats, quatre ont été testés positifs pour *E. multilocularis* par la qPCR-Em. Pour deux d’entre eux, nous avons...
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Introduction

The tapeworm *Echinococcus multilocularis* is often described in Europe as involving wild definitive hosts (DHs), red foxes and increasingly raccoon dogs, domestic dogs and, more rarely, cats [4]. However, a wide range of intermediate hosts (IHs), such as small mammals, including voles [35], have been described. Aberrant IHs such as humans, monkeys, pigs, or even dogs have also been described [30]. The IHs are infected after consumption of parasite eggs, which are released into the environment from adult worms in carnivore stools. The parasite is the causative agent of alveolar echinococcosis in humans, one of the most challenging zoonoses in Europe [32], involving mass epidemiological surveillance [2].

The infection of humans by the parasite is likely to be due to multifactorial factors, e.g., consumption of wild berries, hunting activities, agricultural activities, having a dog, or spending time outdoors [9, 18, 27]. In Europe, numerous field studies have been conducted on foxes to investigate the presence of *E. multilocularis*, both on necropsies [3, 13] and copro-samples [10, 19, 21], because of their role in parasite transmission and because of the high prevalence of *E. multilocularis* in these wild animals. By comparison, fewer studies have assessed the role of domestic dogs and cats in terms of the risk of *E. multilocularis* transmission. In an assessment of a copro-ELISA test in Switzerland, the parasite was described in 0.75% of dogs studied and 0.76% of cats from a cohort of 660 and 263 copro-samples, respectively, and confirmation was possible in about half of the positive dogs and cats after necropsy and/or PCR [8]. A French study conducted on 81 necropsied domestic cats in the Alps region highlighted a parasite prevalence of 2.4% [26]. No investigation has focused on the presence of eggs in the worms from these cats. A study based on routine veterinary parasite analysis conducted in Germany and other countries in Europe on copro-samples by egg flotation emphasized low *E. multilocularis* egg prevalence in dogs (0.24%) and cats (0.23%), but a real risk for humans was underlined [12]. In the Hokkaido area in Japan, the prevalence of *E. multilocularis* eggs in cat stools was described for the first time [24]. According to recent data, 6.9% of dog copro-samples in Swiss farmlands [16] have been described as positive after testing 402 dog feces by the flotation method. Moreover, another study was conducted on domestic and wild cats from North-Eastern France, in the Ardennes region, where a high prevalence of *E. multilocularis* [3] and human cases of alveolar echinococcosis has been reported [28]. One positive necropsied domestic cat (1/19 cats) and one wildcat (1/5 cats) were found to be positive, but only for immature worms. The evaluation of 321 feces from cats from the same area using qPCR [19] led to the detection of *E. multilocularis* DNA in 3.2% of stools but no *E. multilocularis* eggs were observed by flotation in the positive samples [34].

In these studies, the presence of eggs in cat stools was rarely observed or went undetected, because the diagnosis was often based only on the presence of adult worms in the intestines, and most of the studies focused on animals that visited the vet regularly, but investigations rarely involved blind sampling from fields. From experimental studies, the adult worms were shown to have lower egg production in cats than in foxes and dogs, and cats have been described as a poor host for the parasite [5, 17]. Therefore, contact with domestic animals such as dogs and cats has seldom been described in the literature as a reliable factor for parasite transmission [15, 18, 27]. However, due to the close and frequent contact these animals have with humans, they could potentially be important actors in parasite transmission to humans, even with low prevalence, especially in urban areas [22].

In the field of epidemiology, the recent advances in molecular biology on *E. multilocularis* copro-DNA samples [10, 19, 20, 33] enable us to investigate a large collection of samples using non-invasive sampling, and to identify the parasite and the host species simultaneously. This molecular approach has multiple advantages: it has high sensitivity, high specificity, is inexpensive, and could help improve studies on *E. multilocularis* transmission by domestic animals. The present study was carried out in order to assess the presence of *E. multilocularis* eggs in feces of cats and dogs from an endemic rural area observed as a snapshot, with initial screening by qPCR, research of *E. multilocularis* eggs by flotation combined with qPCR, and sequencing for confirmation.

Materials and methods

Copro-sampling

The study was conducted in an endemic area, in the Haute-Saône area of France, in a town located in the North-Eastern part of the country (47°35’27” N, 6°24’56” E). The surface area of the town was estimated at 5.78 km². The human population density in the town was 25 inhabitants/km² at the time of the study, and 146 inhabitants, from 2013 population census. The prevalence of *E. multilocularis* was recently assessed at 36% in red foxes [3] and about 50 human cases have been documented in Haute-Saône since 1984 (FrancEchino Data). Whole accessible copro-samples were collected in the course of one day, in January 2014. All the access roads to the town were investigated on both sides, at a 2 m distance from the road border. Morphological aspects of the copro-samples were noted. The samples were collected in an airtight plastic bag, with safety precautions (disposable chopsticks to manipulate the sample, placed into double plastic bags), and the sample was geolocated with a Global Positioning System (GPS) device. A total of 150 stool samples were collected. The samples were stored at −80 °C for seven days,
Copro-DNA extraction, qPCR protocols, and *E. multilocularis* diagnostic confirmation

The copro-DNA was purified using the QIAamp Fast DNA Stool kit, following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), on 0.5 g of sample, and eluted in 200 μL of the elution buffer provided. From the copro-DNA, a host fecal PCR test was performed to confirm the feces host identity. The test was carried out with real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) as previously described, based on the amplification of a fragment of the *cytB* gene [20] (*Vv*-qPCR for *Vulpes vulpes*, *Cf*-qPCR for *Canis familiaris*, *Fc*-qPCR for *Felis catus*, *Mm*-qPCR for *Meles meles*, and *Mf*-qPCR for *Martes foina*). Copro-samples were tested for the presence of *E. multilocularis* DNA and quantification of it, based on the amplification of a part of the mitochondrial gene *rrnL* (*Em*-qPCR) [19]. The qPCR protocol was the same as that used by Knapp and co-workers [20], in a duplex qPCR, combining two hydrolysis probes with different fluorochromes and specific primer sets. The presence of inhibitor factors was tested using the Alea tool (Alea qPCR), previously developed [20], in a duplex qPCR ([Em/Alea]-qPCR, *Vv/Cf*-qPCR, and *Fc/Alea*-qPCR). All qPCR tests were performed twice in two different runs, so that four results were obtained for each sample. The host fecal test and *E. multilocularis* diagnosis were both confirmed by sequencing from long-qPCR products of host SEQ-PCR and Em SEQ-PCR [20], by using the Sanger sequencing method [29]. The sequences obtained were compared using the online genetic databases and the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website.

Isolation and molecular identification of eggs from *Em*-qPCR-positive copro-samples

The copro-samples found to be positive with the Em-qPCR were tested for the presence of tapeworm eggs. A flotation protocol described by Dryden and co-workers was followed, based on the principle of differential specific gravity (SG) among parasite eggs, fecal debris, and the flotation solution, the latter having the greater SG [11]. The helminths SG was among parasite eggs, fecal debris, and the flotation solution, kg/L of the elution buffer provided. Six milliliters of NaCl solution was added to 5 g of copro-sample and mixed for homogenization. The mixture was poured through a tea strainer to fill a new tube and formed a positive meniscus. A glass slide was applied to the meniscus for 20 min to collect eggs. The presence of tapeworm eggs was checked under an inverted microscope and manipulated with the DMI3000-B micromanipulator system (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), in order to isolate taenid eggs and pool them for DNA purification. Furthermore, all slides were rinsed with Tris-EDTA pH 8.0 buffer solution for parasite DNA detection. The High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) was used following the manufacturer’s recommendations to purify DNA. DNA was eluted in 100 μL of the elution buffer provided. Em-qPCR was performed on the purified egg DNA from flotation and SEQ-PCR was done for sequencing and confirmation of the identity of eggs as *E. multilocularis*. A comparison on the NCBI databases was performed as explained above.

Results

Copro-sampling and host fecal PCR test

From the copro-sampling (*N* = 150), the host fecal PCR test enabled us to identify 43 feces from cats (29%), and 61 from dogs (41%). The feces were found in a wide area, from the center of the town all the way to the edge of the area studied (Figs. 1 and 2). The other feces were identified as belonging to red foxes for 35 samples (23%), 3 stone martens (2%) and 8 remained unidentified (5%). The Alea inhibitor presence test found one sample from the collection to be inhibited for the qPCRs and the host and parasite were classified as unidentifiable.

*E. multilocularis* diagnosis

Four out of 43 cat feces presented a positive Em-qPCR (9.3%) on the same road in the village (Fig. 1). In two samples (14HS142 and 14HS267), Em-qPCR analyses were positive for the two duplicates in the two runs performed (4/4 positive analyses), with a Cq average of 35.2 and 38.4, respectively. For the 14HS142 and 14HS267 samples, the *E. multilocularis* diagnosis was confirmed on copro-DNA using the Em SEQ-PCR, with 100% identity with the referenced isolate GenBank Ref. KP941429.1. Taenid eggs were observed under microscope after flotation and confirmed as *E. multilocularis* with the same nucleotide sequence obtained from the Em SEQ-PCR.

For the two other samples from cats (14HS127 and 14HS262), Em-qPCR were positive in only one replicate per run (1/4 positive analyses for each) with higher Cq (40.5 and 41.9, respectively). For these two latter samples with high Cq, no Em SEQ-PCR products could be obtained and no eggs were observed after flotation.

From the 61 dog feces, one sample was found to be positive for the Em-qPCR (1.6%) (Fig. 2), with only one positive replicate (sample 14HS266, Cq 39.9). For this sample, no Em SEQ-PCR products could be obtained and no eggs were observed after flotation.

For the 35 fox feces, 12 samples were found to be positive for the Em-qPCR (34%) and one positive for the unidentified samples. No positive qPCR was detected among stone marten feces.

Host identity was confirmed for the Em-qPCR-positive copro-samples in domestic animals by host SEQ-PCR product
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sequencing, with 100% identity with the reference isolate GenBank Ref. KU253483.1 for the cats and KU253532.1 for the dog.

Discussion

The advantages of studies based on copro-DNA are numerous compared to necropsy. First, the collection of samples is easy to implement, a large number of samples can be stored and analyzed, the research on numerous DNA targets (e.g. parasites, metagenomic studies) is possible, and this sampling method is often considered more ethical by the public due to its gentle approach. Furthermore, in the case of *E. multilocularis* studies, an estimation of the risk of pathogen transmission to humans can be performed by observing eggs. Indeed, if *E. multilocularis* adult worms are observed in cats [8, 26, 34], the worms are unlikely to be able to produce eggs [17]. However, *E. multilocularis* has previously been observed in a highly endemic area in France, involving domestic mice
and probably domestic animals, harboring fertile worms [25]. A domestic cycle can then occur.

In the present study, a relatively high prevalence of *E. multilocularis* was observed from cat stools (9.3%) in comparison to previous studies, whereas the prevalence in dogs (1.6%) is consistent with the literature [12]. In cats, this could be due to the relatively small number of samples tested or the possibility that more than one fecal sample originated from the same infected cat. Individual genotyping should be performed to discriminate between the different animals excreting in towns with the current molecular tools available. Indeed, Menotti-Raymond and co-workers identified 11 tetranucleotide short tandem repeat (STR) loci in domestic cats for the genetic identification of cats, even in inbred cat populations [23]. Nevertheless, the present survey highlights an environmental risk of infection by *E. multilocularis* with the contaminating sources spread by hosts. In cats and dogs, the samples with the highest qPCR Cq values (Cq ≥ 39.9) were not confirmed by sequencing on copro-DNA or on flotation products. These results correspond to picograms of DNA detected in the 0.5 g of the copro-samples [20]. From a previous study, for the present Em-qPCR, it was described that beyond 38 cycles of PCR, DNA was considered to be detectable but not quantifiable [20]. Moreover, although small quantities of DNA can be detected by qPCR, this represents less than the DNA contained in one egg [19]. Indeed, it is possible that parasite DNA may be detected in copro-samples after ingestion of contaminated prey [34]. Moreover, the DNA from released worms could also be detected. The information about the presence of the parasite in this way is nevertheless interesting. On the other hand, one could consider these samples as false-negatives as only one replicate was positive in Em-qPCR. First, the SEQ-PCR [20] enabled us to confirm positive copro-samples; second the flotation step and sequencing permitted us to confirm the presence of *E. multilocularis* eggs. The possible risk of contamination to intermediate hosts could be assessed, rather than simply the detection of DNA traces without excreted eggs. However, if eggs can be observed in cat feces, a final experiment should be conducted on the viability and infectiosity of these eggs, especially as previous experimental infection of cats by *E. multilocularis* has only resulted in non-infectious eggs in the mouse [17]. However, there is a high risk of infection for the handler in this experiment and it should therefore be performed with caution.

The involvement of domestic animals such as cats and dogs in parasite spreading is also worrying when animals travel, with no preventive de-worming, veterinary advice, or border controls. Countries that are *E. multilocularis*-free are particularly concerned about importing the parasite into their countries by domestic dogs and cats [7, 14, 31]. Indeed, a high risk of contamination in dogs traveling from Britain to Germany has been found, emphasizing the importance of praziquantel treatment prior to travel for domestic animals [31]. Moreover, information provided by vets in different European countries to people intending to travel with pets in Europe was estimated to be insufficient [7]. As far as transmission to humans is concerned, the level of knowledge of alveolar echinococcosis among primary care physicians and pharmacists in France was also assessed [1] and remains poor even in endemic regions, especially relating to the question of sources of contamination.

Our study emphasized the potential role of cats in transmitting *E. multilocularis* to humans, with the detection of eggs in cat stools. Not only local public health campaigns, but also awareness programs on the risks to human and animal health, especially to promote the de-worming of pets and the practice of basic hygiene rules when handling soil or animals, should be conducted.
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