Universal proximity effect in target search kinetics in the few-encounter limit
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When does a diffusing particle reach its target for the first time? This first-passage time (FPT) problem is central to the kinetics of molecular reactions in chemistry and molecular biology. Here we explain the behavior of smooth FPT densities, for which all moments are finite, and demonstrate universal yet generally non-Poissonian long-time asymptotics for a broad variety of transport processes. While Poisson-like asymptotics arise generically in the presence of an effective repulsion in the immediate vicinity of the target, a time-scale separation between direct and reflected indirect trajectories gives rise to a universal proximity effect: Direct paths, heading more or less straight from the point of release to the target, become typical and focused, with a narrow spread of the corresponding first-passage times. Conversely, statistically dominant indirect paths exploring the system size tend to be massively dissimilar. The initial distance to the target particularly impacts gene regulatory or competitive stochastic processes, for which often few binding events determine the regulatory outcome. The proximity effect is independent of details of the transport, highlighting the robust character of the FPT features uncovered here.

I. INTRODUCTION

Exactly 100 years ago Marian Smoluchowski derived the rate for the reactive encounter of two diffusing particles\textsuperscript{1}. Based on Smoluchowski’s concepts first passage time statistics characterize the diffusion limitation of molecular reactions\textsuperscript{2–13}. A case of particular relevance is cellular signaling by specific molecules\textsuperscript{13–21}. The existence and impact of significant sample-to-sample fluctuations in the precise timing of cellular regulation, often at low-copy numbers of the signaling molecules, are by now well established both experimentally and theoretically\textsuperscript{8, 18, 20, 22–26}. Remarkably, despite the highly heterogeneous character of the motion of signaling molecules in the nucleus and cell cytoplasm\textsuperscript{27, 28}, the overall signaling kinetics appears to be universally stable, thus allowing cellular operation at a remarkable precision\textsuperscript{30}. In the particular case of transcription regulation the experimentally observed strong positional correlations between pairs of downstream coregulated genes in both prokaryotic\textsuperscript{21} and eukaryotic cells\textsuperscript{39} indicate that proximity may indeed represent a tuning mechanism, which was also supported theoretically in a 3-dimensional setting\textsuperscript{15}. According to\textsuperscript{8} such proximity effects should only be relevant for so-called geometry-controlled kinetics when the molecules explore their surrounding space in a compact manner, that is, for recurrent motion\textsuperscript{40} such as 1-dimensional diffusion or diffusion on fractals\textsuperscript{8}. Currently, there is no consensus on whether the eukaryotic chromosome has a fractal structure\textsuperscript{41, 42}. In most bacteria the DNA is segregated and spatially highly organized yet lacks a fractal organization\textsuperscript{43}. In this context the immediate question arises whether compact exploration is indeed necessary for the observed regulatory precision\textsuperscript{30, 44}: namely, are proximity effects truly limited to compact exploration—or could there in fact be a more general mechanism to boost signaling speed and precision?

Beyond the scope of transcription regulation, initial distances in spatial search processes are important in a large variety of contexts, ranging e.g. from locating enemy vessels in the ocean\textsuperscript{31} and search strategies of animals foraging for food\textsuperscript{32} to the global spreading of diseases\textsuperscript{33}. Here, the initial distance to the target is often essential\textsuperscript{31, 34, 35}, in particular, it plays an important role in the foraging behavior of animals when food resources are sparse\textsuperscript{32}, or in the predation behavior of marine vertebrates, including basking sharks\textsuperscript{36}, jellyfish and leatherback turtles\textsuperscript{37}, and southern elephant seals\textsuperscript{38}. Proximity becomes essential in the first-come-first-serve sense corresponding to a destructive search-limit\textsuperscript{34}, i.e. when the target (food) disappears upon the first time location by a searcher.

A key experimental factor in gene regulation is the fact, that in each realization only the fastest few of the $10^2$ to $10^4$ transcription factor molecules relaying the biochemical signal to a gene determine the outcome (i.e. the cell’s response)\textsuperscript{15}. Similarly, the arrival of the fastest sperm cell at the egg cell decides the future of the new organism to be formed in each particular case. As we will demonstrate below, this situation is fundamentally very different from the question of how long it takes for the first signal to arrive on average in an ensemble of independent non-destructive search
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FIG. 1: Schematic of the two limiting forms of long-time FPT behavior a) and b) depending on the effective potential experienced by the searcher in the vicinity of the absorbing target (originating either from an external force or from the geometric spurious drift in the radial direction). c) Schematics of two molecules (large spheres) searching for a target (small sphere) with two different realizations of direct and indirect trajectories $t_d$ and $t_i$, respectively, depending on the initial distance to the target (see text for details). As soon as a time-scale separation exists between $t_d$ and $t_i$ a robust proximity effect emerges, with any two $t_d$ being typical and very similar while any two $t_i$ tend to be strongly dissimilar. This focusing of $t_d$ in turn enables faster and more precise gene regulation.

realizations (see, e.g. [8, 46, 47]). This average scenario could correspond, e.g. to ensemble experiments of molecular signaling effects in a colony of genetically identical cells. The characteristic arrival time of the fastest searcher in an ensemble of non-destructive search realizations is influenced to a dominant extent by massively dissimilar indirect trajectories, i.e. those which interact with the confining boundary before reaching the target [8, 18, 25]. The statistics of such indirect trajectories was found to exhibit a qualitatively different behavior with respect to the compactness of spatial exploration in the limit of a large system size, and were therefore suggested to define two different universality classes [8]. How is this finding compatible with the fact that the FPT statistics in both cases follow an exponential asymptotic decay? And moreover, is the long-time behavior of the FPT statistics also sufficient to describe the first arrival kinetics in each given realization, such as encountered in transcription regulation?

Here we address these questions in two steps. First, without specifying the actual motion pattern, we prove that the long-time behavior of all molecular target search processes in a confined and in particular small domain belongs to the same universality class, irrespective of whether the dynamics is compact or not. Second, we analyze various specific types of motion patterns and show how Poisson-like asymptotics arise if the particle experiences an effective repulsion in the immediate vicinity of the target (Fig. 1a and b). In contrast, the proximity effects we uncover and quantify here naturally lead to an accumulation of probability for observing direct trajectories for both compact and non-compact Poisson-like dynamics (Fig. 1c), which enhances the speed and precision of the target search process. In this sense, to rationalize the proximity effect in gene regulation one needs to consider explicitly the statistics of direct trajectories, i.e. those which do not interact with the confining boundary before reaching the target. Using experimentally relevant parameters for transcription regulation we argue that proximity effects may indeed represent a universal means for tuning cellular signaling kinetics.

The paper is structured as follows. First we represent a general theory for the long-time behavior of smooth densities, for which all moments are finite, and establish a unification of the previously proposed universality classes
in the finite volume case. Next we demonstrate, on the hand of exact result for a variety of different passive and driven diffusion processes, the existence of a universal proximity effect in the presence of a time-scale separation between direct and indirect trajectories. Next, we discuss the biophysical implications of these results in the context of transcription regulation. We conclude with a summary of our results and offer a perspective on the importance of our results for future studies.

II. THEORY AND GENERAL RESULTS FOR THE LONG-TIME BEHAVIOR

To address the problem in the most general setting we impose only mild constraints, which are warranted by the physical setting: we assume the finiteness of the moments $\langle T^n \rangle$ of the FPT density. This means that the dynamics has a finite natural time-scale, as expected in a finite volume. Then the Laplace transform of the FPT density, $\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\varphi : t \rightarrow s]$, of a particle starting at position $x_0$ to arrive at the target at $x_a$ (Fig. S1), admits a moment expansion $\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle T^n \rangle (-s)^n/n!$. Moreover, it has the following representation in the form of a quotient of convergent power series

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s) = \frac{q(s; x_0)}{h(s; x_a)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(k)}(x_0)s^k/k!}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h^{(k)}(x_a)s^k/k!}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

(see S1 in Supplementary information, S1), where the superscript $(k)$ denotes the $k$th derivative with respect to $s$ evaluated at $s = 0$ [18]. Here, we limit the discussion to hyper-spherically symmetric Markov systems but our general result can be readily applied to any geometry given the knowledge of $g^{(k)}, h^{(k)}$. By Cauchy’s theorem the asymptotic behavior of $\varphi(t)$ is determined by the root of $h(s)$ which is closest to the origin at $s = 0$.

In a confined domain the transport operator $\hat{L}$ for such dynamics has a discrete, real and non-degenerate eigenvalue spectrum $\{\lambda_i\}$ with eigenvectors $\psi_i$. Moreover, $\lambda_i < 0$ for all $i$ due to the absorbing boundary [19]. The long-time behavior of $\varphi(t)$ is determined in terms of the lowest eigenpair $(\lambda_0, \psi_0)$: $\varphi(t) \sim -K\psi_0(x_0)\frac{\partial\psi_0(x_a)}{\partial x_a}e^{-\lambda_0 t}$, where the constant $K$ takes into account the specific properties of the given system and $~$ denotes asymptotic equality.

By necessity $\lambda_0$ is a simple pole. To find it, we must invert $h(s)$ in the vicinity of 0 for negative real $s$. As long as $\tilde{\varphi}(s)$ does not have a branch cut along the negative real axis—an assumption, which is confirmed below—this can be done exactly in the form of a Newton series (for details see SI-S2). The first step of this procedure consists of obtaining the solution $-\lambda_0$ of the non-linear equation $h(s; x_a) = 0$. The exact result is given by the Newton series (see SI-S2)

$$\lambda_0(x_a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(0)}(x_a)^k}{h^{(1)}(x_a)^{2k-1}} \frac{\det A_k}{(k-1)!},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $A_k$ is an almost triangular square matrix with elements

$$A_n(i, j) = \frac{h^{(i+j+2)}(\Theta(i-j+1))}{(i-j+2)!} [n(i-j+1)\Theta(j-2) + i\Theta(1-j) + j-1].$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here $\Theta(n)$ is the discrete Heaviside step function and we use the symbolic convention $\det A_1 \equiv 1$ (see also SI, Eq. (S11)). The series \[2\] is generally rapidly converging, e.g. for 1-dimensional Brownian motion it converges to within 99.4% of the known result $\frac{\pi^2}{8}$ [3, 23] already at $k = 4$. As long as the particle is not strongly biased towards the target, in which case $k \sim \mathcal{O}(100)$, as $\varphi(t)$ tends to a delta function, $\lesssim 10$ terms are typically sufficient to achieve convergence to numerical precision. Explicitly, the lowest order terms of Eq. [2] have the form

$$\lambda_0(x_a) \sim \frac{h^{(0)}(x_a)}{h^{(1)}(x_a)} \left[ 1 + \frac{h^{(0)}(x_a)}{2} \frac{h^{(2)}(x_a)}{h^{(1)}(x_a)^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}] \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the first terms of the remainder $\mathcal{O}$ read

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{h^{(0)} h^{(2)}}{h^{(1)}^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{h^{(0)} h^{(2)}}{h^{(1)}^2} \right] - \frac{11}{18} \frac{h^{(0)} h^{(3)}}{h^{(1)}^3} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{h^{(0)} h^{(4)}}{h^{(1)}^2} + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

After obtaining $\lambda_0$, we can isolate the leading order term of $\tilde{\varphi}(s)$ under the quite loose condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi^{(n)}(0)}{n!} < \infty$, which is fulfilled in all the cases studied here and appears to follow generally from the positivity and finiteness of $\langle T^n \rangle$ (for a detailed discussion and justification see SI-S3). Now it is essentially straightforward to invert the Laplace
transform using Cauchy’s theorem to obtain the exact long-time behavior of the FPT density (for a detailed proof see SI-S2). The result reads

$$\varphi(t) \sim \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=1}^{k-l} \frac{1}{(l+m)!} h(l+m)(-\lambda_0)^{l+m-1} e^{-\lambda_0 t}. \quad (6)$$

Note that the moments $\langle T^n \rangle$ can be obtained recursively from the coefficients $g(k)$ and $h(k)$ and vice versa (see Eq. (S2) in SI-S1 for details). Eqs. (2) to (6) are our first main result. These expressions are important as they enable us to obtain the exact long-time behavior of any smooth density, which has an exponential asymptotic decay. Eqs. (4) and (6) are universal in the sense that the obtained asymptotic exponential decay holds for any first passage process with a smooth density, for which all $\langle T^n \rangle < \infty$. Note that they also hold irrespective of the initial distance to the target. Namely, while in general the Laplace transform of some arbitrary asymptotically exponential density $\varphi(s)$ may display multi-scaling with respect to $s$ (see, e.g. [50]), smoothness and finiteness of $\langle T^n \rangle$ assure exactly the validity of the power series in Eq. (S1) at least in the domain $-\lambda_0 - \delta < \Re(s) < \lambda_0$ for some real $\delta > 0$ [50]. This satisfies the requirements for the above result to apply. Our general result should therefore also apply to non-Markovian dynamics as soon as all moments of the FPT density are finite. However, while results for the mean FPT for a broad class of Gaussian non-Markovian processes in bounded domains were obtained recently in [51] and it is also known that a specific class of confined Gaussian non-Markovian processes indeed has exponential long-time asymptotics [52], the properties of higher moments and the long-time asymptotics of FPT density for a general non-Markovian processes remain elusive.

A. Unification of universality classes

To first demonstrate the universality implied by Eq. (6) we analyze the FPT behavior in a broad variety of spatially confined systems, in which the stochastic dynamics ranges from compact to non-compact cases, and we also consider diffusion in external fields. Specifically, we study unbiased diffusion in hyper-spherical domains in the presence of a centered absorbing target in dimensions $1 \leq d \leq 3$. As an extension to Brownian motion we also examine diffusion in disordered media and fractals with fractal dimension $d_f$ [53, 54]. Thus we analyze the scaling limit of a Markovian random walk, whose mean squared displacement scales as $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{2/d_w}$, where $d_w \geq 2$ denotes the random walk dimension and $d_w > 2$ describes subdiffusive motion [54]. The various different regimes of the FPT behavior are captured by the parameter $\nu = \frac{d - d_w - 1}{d - 2}$. Among biased diffusion processes we analyze 1-dimensional diffusion in a linear potential (Taylor dispersion) [53], 2-dimensional diffusion in a radial potential flow [53, 55] and the Kramer’s escape of an overdamped particle from a harmonic potential [56].

Particle-based computer simulations are carried out to corroborate the analytical results. Passive diffusion and 2-dimensional radially biased diffusion is simulated in terms of the (squared) Bessel process with parameter $\nu$ by numerically integrating the Ito stochastic equation $dY_t = (1 - 2\nu)Y_t + \eta_t$ with zero mean Gaussian white noise of variance $\langle \eta(t)\eta(t') \rangle = 2D\delta(t-t')$ up to the FPT $Y_t = r_a$ [53]. Biased 1-dimensional diffusion and the Kramer’s escape from a parabolic potential are simulated by numerically integrating the Ito stochastic equations: $dY_t = v + \eta_t$, and $dY_t = -m\gamma^{-1}\omega^2Y_t + \eta'_t$, respectively. 1-5 x 10^4 realizations were taken to measure $\varphi(t)$.

According to Eq. (6) the FPT densities for these fundamentally different processes should collapse, after rescaling, to the asymptotic unit exponential $\varphi(\theta = t/\lambda_0)/C \sim e^{-\theta}$ where $C$ represents the prefactor in (6). In excellent agreement, Fig. 2 demonstrates that the rescaled FPT densities indeed collapse to a master curve at long times for all processes considered here. Note the excellent agreement of the data with the predicted single-exponential Therefore, the long-time behavior of all first passage processes with finite moments unifies in a single universality class—the family of exponential densities. How can this be reconciled with the two different universality classes obtained in Ref. [53]? The solution of this seeming contradiction lies in the exact way the limits are taken, as we detail now.

In Ref. [53] the authors considered passive Markovian diffusion in a (generally fractal) medium with (fractal) dimension $d_f$ in a large volume limit of the confining domain. Since such Markovian diffusion is self-similar in arbitrary dimension [62], the results are invariant with respect to the exact volume (or equivalently, the radius of the domain $R$) if we express time in natural units $\tau = R^{d_w}/D_{d_w}$, where we defined the (generalized) diffusion coefficient $D_{d_w}$. Therefore we can, without any loss of generality, consider the problem in a domain of unit radius, and express spatial coordinates in units of $R$ (see below for details of the transformation). In this invariant setting the large volume limit in Ref. [53] corresponds to the limit when the dimensionless target size $x_a$ tends to be very small.

In a passive fractal medium the particle experiences the aforementioned effective, $d_f$- and $d_w$- dependent geometric outward radial bias (called the ‘centrifugal drift’ in [3]). As we will show below, the mathematical origin for the different limiting behaviors with respect to $d_f$ and $d_w$ found in [53] is actually rooted in the fact, that the limit $x_a \to 0$
corresponds to a singular perturbation in the case of non-compact exploration, but merely to a change of the time unit for compact exploration. Mathematically, the limit of a large volume thus has a different meaning for compact and non-compact exploration.

Another important point in this context follows from the exact limiting behavior of the coefficients $h^{(k)}$ and the rate of convergence of the Newton series \((2)\) when the effective potential experienced by the searching particle in the vicinity of the absorbing target (originating either from an external force or from the geometric spurious drift in the radial direction) becomes sufficiently repulsive, such that at least locally in the vicinity of the target the motion is effectively non-recurrent. As we prove below, in this limit already the first correction $\frac{h^{(2)}(x_a)}{h^{(1)}(x_a)^2}$ to the leading order behavior in Eq. \((1)\) converges uniformly to 0. Similarly, the prefactor in Eq. \((6)\) converges with the first term, and Eq. \((6)\) reduces to

$$\varphi(t) \sim \frac{\langle T(x_0) \rangle}{\langle T(x^1) \rangle} \frac{1}{\langle T(x^1) \rangle} e^{-t/(\tau(x^1))}. \quad (7)$$

Here $\langle T(x_0) \rangle$ and $\langle T(x^1) \rangle$ are the mean FPT from the initial location $x_0$ and the location $x^1$ of the potential minimum. If the potential is monotonic or when the bias has a geometric origin then $x^1$ corresponds to the location of the confining boundary.

In the limit $x_a \to 0$ this effective bias becomes sufficiently large for $\frac{3}{2} < \frac{d_{x+1}}{d_w} \leq 2$ (i.e. for so-called non-compact spatial exploration \([8]\)) and leads to Eq. \((7)\)—the emergence of Poisson-like asymptotics (for details, explicit results and proofs see below and SI-S3). In contrast, as we show below in the case of compact exploration, i.e., $0 < \frac{d_{x+1}}{d_w} \leq \frac{3}{2}$, the coefficients $h^{(k)}$ become independent of $x_a$ in the limit $x_a \to 0$ as the effective outward bias vanishes. As a result, higher order terms in Eq. \((2)\) need to be considered as well.

Therefore, the long-time behavior of the FPT density for diffusion in generally fractal media in fact falls into a single universality class, i.e. it can be described by the single universal equation \((6)\). It is only the behavior of the coefficients in Eqs. \((2)\) to \((6)\), which in the limit of a vanishing target (or large volume, in the language of \([8]\)) depends on the compactness of the exploration of space. In fact one can check, by a suitable identification of parameters and a corresponding change of units, that our single universal result reproduces both qualitative regimes of the limiting long-time behavior found in \([8]\). In that sense our results in Eqs. \((2)\) to \((6)\) fully corroborate and unify the findings in Ref. \([8]\). In addition, we here present the exact forms of the short- and intermediate-time FPT behavior.

Here we generalize the cases considered in \([8]\) and demonstrate explicitly that the single universal result also captures diffusion in external fields. In addition, we prove the reduction of Eq. \((6)\) to the Poisson-like behavior \((4)\) for a sufficiently strong locally repulsive potential. An important point we will make is that in all these cases the long-time behavior is in fact insufficient to describe and explain the kinetics in the few-encounter regime, such as those occurring in gene regulation, and that the cognizance of the full FPT distribution $\varphi(t)$ is needed to quantitatively
understand the system.

III. TIME-SCALE SEPARATION AND THE PROXIMITY EFFECT

As we will show later on, the long-time behavior of \( \varphi(t) \) is not sufficient to explain the proximity effect. Note that even the emergence of Poisson-like asymptotics in Eq. (11) in general does not imply an existence of a single time scale in the kinetics. In the presence of a time-scale separation between direct and reflected indirect trajectories (Fig. 3) proximity effects emerge for biased as well as for compact and non-compact passive motion.

In contrast to the long-time asymptotic, the short-time behavior of \( \varphi(t) \), involving the direct trajectories from the initial position towards the target which do not feel the presence of the confinement, shows no such universality. While it contains in all the studied cases the Lévy-Smirnov density

\[
\Phi(t; x_0 - x_a) = \frac{|x_0 - x_a|}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}} \exp \left(-\frac{|x_0 - x_a|^2}{4t}\right)
\]

(8)
describing trajectories propagating directly towards the target, in general this expression is multiplied by a non-universal prefactor and potentially also a \( t \)-dependent function (see below). The behavior in Eq. (8) is generally known as the Sparre Andersen result and describes the universal behavior of the free-space FPT statistics of 1-dimensional Markov processes with symmetric steps \([18, 63, 64]\) and thus even holds for Lévy flights with a diverging universal prefactor and potentially also a \( t \)-dependent function (see below).

Depending on the initial distance to the target, there also exists an intermediate power-law regime corresponding to trajectories which make a brief excursion away from the target. The range of validity is strongly parameter and system-dependent, but the resulting proximity effect is universal. We now address the aforementioned specific systems explicitly. The practical consequences of the proximity effect for gene regulation are discussed in the last subsection.

A. Passive diffusion

We focus first on the unbiased diffusion of a particle in a hyperspherically symmetric domain of radius \( R \) and a perfectly absorbing target with radius \( r_a \) in the center. In our discussion we also include the case of subdiffusion and consider the possibility of a fractal medium with fractal dimension \( d_f \) \([8, 53, 54]\). For this model the qualitative regimes of the FPT behavior are captured by the single parameter \( \nu = \frac{d}{2} - \frac{d_f + 1}{d_w} \) (see Eqs. (9) to (11) as well as SI-S3). If we limit ourselves to embedding dimensions \( 1 \leq d \leq 3 \) and recall that \( d_w \geq 2 \) and \( 0 \leq d_f \leq d \), the possible values of \( \nu \) fall in the interval spanned between 3- and 1-dimensional Brownian motion and hence \( -\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu < \frac{3}{2} \). Moreover, the size \( R \) of the confining domain factors out, as it merely sets the characteristic diffusion time \( \tau = R^{d_w}/D_{d_w} \). Hence, without loss of generality we introduce dimensionless units \( x_i = \frac{x_i}{R} \) as well as the short-hand notation \( \hat{x} = \frac{x}{x_a} \) and express time in units of \( \tau \). The short-time asymptotic reads (for a derivation see SI-S3)

\[
\varphi(t) \sim \left(\frac{x_0}{x_a}\right)^{\nu - 1/2} \Phi(t; |\hat{x}_0 - \hat{x}_a|),
\]

(9)
valid for \( t \ll \hat{x}_a^2, \hat{z}_0^2 \). Apart from the \( t \)-independent prefactor this result exhibits the Sparre Andersen form in Eq. (8) \([18, 63]\). On the level of a stochastic differential equation the effective process underlying the mean field limit of diffusion in hyperspherically symmetric fractal media is indeed a 1-dimensional Markov process in the radial coordinate (i.e. the squared Bessel process with parameter \( \nu \) \([61]\)). Yet, it is not symmetric due to the spurious geometric outward drift in the radial direction. This fact readily explains the additional dimensionality-dependent prefactor. Note that for \( \nu > \frac{1}{2} \) the prefactor tends to be large in the limit \( x_a \to 0 \) and \( x_0 \neq x_a \).

Following this short-time behavior, there is an intermediate regime for \([\Gamma(1+|\nu|)/\Gamma(2-|\nu|)]^{1/(1-|\nu|)}(\hat{x}_0/2)^2 \ll t \ll 1 \) (SI-S3),

\[
\varphi(t) \sim \left(\frac{x_0}{x_a}\right)^{2\nu \Theta(-\nu)} \left[\left(\frac{\hat{x}_0}{2}\right)^{2|\nu|} - \left(\frac{\hat{x}_a}{2}\right)^{2|\nu|}\right] t^{-(1+\nu)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(|\nu|)} - \frac{\left(\hat{x}_0/2\right)^{2|\nu|}}{\Gamma(-2|\nu|)} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-|\nu|)}{\Gamma(1+|\nu|)}\right)^2 t^{-\nu} \right\},
\]

(10)
for \( \nu \neq 0 \). \( \Theta(z) \) and \( \Gamma(z) \) denote the Heaviside and Gamma functions. In the limit \( \nu = 0 \) (including 2-dimensional Brownian motion with \( d_f = d_w = 2 \)) we recover a logarithmic correction to the power-law scaling, \( \varphi(t) \sim 2\log(x_0/x_a)/(t \log^2(t)) \). Note that the leading terms of Eqs. (9) and (11) coincide for \( |\nu| = 1/2 \) (including...
and for arbitrary $x_0$ the correction term in Eqs. (S32) and (S33) vanishes and we find in the limit $x_0 \to 0$ that the correction term given in Eq. (S37) vanishes and the known result for 2-dimensional diffusion (see e.g. [19]). Other cases can be checked analogously by explicit computation. In contrast, for $0 \leq \nu < 1$, i.e. compact (recurrent) dynamics [40], where the particle densely explores the space $[\mathbb{R}^d]$ and hence $d_f > d_w$ or $\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu < 0$. Examples include normal diffusion in $d \geq 2$ or diffusion and subdiffusion on fractals when the fractal dimension is larger than the walk dimension, $d_f > d_w$ [8]. Here the aforementioned effective potential at the target site is the geometric or centrifugal bias away from the center controlled by the target radius $x_a$. From the exact solutions for $g^{(k)}$ and $h^{(k)}$ (given in SI-S3) it follows in the regime $d_f > d_w$, that in the limit $x_a \to 0$ the correction term in Eqs. (S32) and (S33) vanishes and we find for arbitrary $x_0$

$$\lambda_0 \sim \frac{4|\nu|(1 + |\nu|)}{\hat{x}_0^{-2|\nu|} - |\nu| - 1}, \quad C \sim \frac{4|\nu|(1 + |\nu|)[\hat{x}_0^{-2|\nu|} - \hat{x}_0^{-2|\nu|} - |\nu|\hat{x}_0^2]}{(\hat{x}_0^{-2|\nu|} - |\nu| - 1)^2}. \quad (11)$$

As an important example we consider the limit $\nu \to 0$ (i.e. null-recurrent dynamics [40]) and make use of the identity $\lim_{x \to 0}(1 - x^\delta)/\delta = -\log(x)$. Thus we recover smoothly the limiting case of critical compactness ($\nu = 0$),

$$\lambda_0 \sim \frac{2}{-\log(\hat{x}_a)}, \quad C \sim \frac{\log \left(\frac{x_0}{\hat{x}_0}\right)}{\log^2(\hat{x}_a)}. \quad (12)$$

Eqs. (11) and (12) have the form of Eq. (7) and the prefactor in Eqs. (11) and (12) tends to be very small for $x_0 \approx x_a$. For example, in the case of normal diffusion in 3 dimensions ($d_f = 3, d_w = 2$) we have $\langle T(x_0) \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(x_a^{-1} - x_0^{-1} + (x_a^2 - x_0^2)/2)$ [18]. Thus we find in the limit $x_a \to 0$ for $\nu = -\frac{1}{3}$ that $C = \langle T(x_0)/\langle T(1)\rangle^2$ and $\lambda_0 = \langle T(1)\rangle^{-1}$, as predicted by Eq. (4). Similarly, we find a perfect agreement between Eq. (12) and the known result for 2-dimensional diffusion (see e.g. [19]). Other cases can be checked analogously by explicit computation.

Conversely, for non-recurrent exploration ($\nu < 0$), there is no such invariance and for any choice of $\tau$, as the exponent $\lambda_0^{-1}$ contains the term $x_a^{-\nu}$ (see Eq. (11)), such that $\lambda_0$ vanishes uniformly as $x_a \to 0$. This demonstrates that this limit for non-compact exploration corresponds mathematically to a genuine singular perturbation. The limits $r_a \to 0$ at fixed $R$ and $R \to \infty$ at fixed $r_a$, both giving $x_a \to 0$, are equivalent under an appropriate change of time units and we already demonstrated that there is no difference between compact and non-compact FPT kinetics as long as $x_a \ll 1$. Taking the limit $x_a \to 0$ therefore has a very different mathematical meaning for $\nu \geq 0$. This is an important observation we will return to below.

### B. Deterministically biased diffusion

Turning now from passive to biased (driven) diffusion, we first address Taylor dispersion, the 1-dimensional diffusion under the influence of a constant bias $\nu$ away from an absorbing target at 0, with a reflective boundary at $R$. Rescaling $x \to \frac{x}{x_a}$, the dimensionless Péclet number $\text{Pe} = \frac{2\nu}{D}$ captures all dynamical regimes [3]. The natural time unit is $\tau = \frac{D}{\nu} = \frac{R}{2x_a^2\nu}$, and the dynamics is non-recurrent (non-compact). The short time asymptotic reads (SI-S4)

$$\psi(t) \sim \Phi(t; x_0)e^{-\text{Pe}(x_0 + \text{Pe}t)} = (2\pi t^3)^{-1/2}e^{-(x_0 + 2\text{Pe}t)^2/4t} \quad (13)$$

for $t \ll 1$, which apparently deviates from the Sparre Andersen form [63]. Instead, in the limit of a large bias towards the target $\text{Pe} \to \infty$ the entire exponential term effectively acts as a cut-off at the deterministic FPT $t = x_0/2\text{Pe}$. Note that a detailed analysis of the record and first-passage statistics of the corresponding discrete version of the model was studied in Ref. [53] using a random walk approach.

In the opposite limit when $t \to \infty$ and when $\text{Pe} \gg 1$, the correction term given in Eq. (S37) vanishes and the Newton series in Eq. (2) reduces to (SI-S4)

$$\lambda_0 \sim e^{-2\text{Pe}}, \quad C \sim e^{-2\text{Pe}(1 - e^{-2\text{Pe}x_0})}. \quad (14)$$
This result has the universal form of Eq. (7). When \( Pe \to -\infty \) we need an increasing number of terms in Eqs. (6) and (2) as we approach the deterministic limit \( \varphi(t) \sim \delta(t - t_0^2/2|Pe|) \). This finding agrees with the qualitative change of the behavior found in Ref. [63], when the bias switches from strong repulsion to strong attraction.

Similar observations are made for 2-dimensional diffusion under the influence of a radial bias \( v(r) = \alpha r/2 \) (SI-S5). We introduce \( Pe = \frac{\alpha}{T} \) (\( Pe > 0 \) for outward bias; note the different form with respect to the 1-dimensional case) and dimensionless coordinates \( x_{a,0} = \frac{r_{a,0}}{a} \). Due to the analogy with passive diffusion in a fractal medium when \( \nu = -\frac{Pe}{2} \) (see also [3, 55]) we immediately read off the short- and intermediate-time asymptotics in Eqs. (9) and (10). For \( Pe \to \infty \), the long-time behavior is given by (11) and we recover the universal form (7). As in the passive fractal case the limit \( \nu \to 0 \) for \( x_a \ll 1 \) in Eq. (12) is approached symmetrically for \( Pe \to 0 \) from below and above. For \( Pe \to -\infty \) (inward bias) increasingly many terms are needed in Eqs. (6) and (2) as we slowly approach the deterministic limit \( \varphi(t) \sim \delta(t - x_0^2/2|Pe|) \) (SI-S5).

As a second example we address the FPT problem for diffusion in a harmonic potential, i.e. the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process or a concrete example for the Kramer’s escape from a potential well [2, 57]. Specifically, we consider a particle with mass \( m \) and friction coefficient \( \kappa \) starting at \( x_0 \) and diffusing in a harmonic potential \( U(x) = m\omega^2x^2/2 \) with an absorbing boundary at \( x_a \) (we choose \( x_0 < x_a \) in the overdamped limit \( \kappa \ll \omega \). We introduce the characteristic length \( l = \sqrt{k_B T/(m\omega^2)} \) of the potential gauged by the thermal energy \( k_BT \), rescale coordinates \( x \to x/l \), and express time in natural units \( \tau_K = \kappa/(m\omega)^2 \). The OU process is positive-recurrent [40] and hence corresponds to compact dynamics. When both \( x_a \) and \( x_0 \) are not too large the short time asymptotics are obtained using the expansions in [66]. For \( t \ll x_a^{-2}x_0^{-2} \) (SI-S6),

\[
\varphi(t) \sim e^{\delta^2x_0^2/2} \Phi(t; x_a - x_0) \circ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{i!}} + e^{f(t)} \text{erfc}(P, \sqrt{i}) \right]
\]

where \( \circ \) denotes the one-sided convolution, \( f(t) = \int_0^t f(t')g(t - t')dt' \). Here we use

\[
Q_{1,2} = \frac{x_0^3 + x_a^3}{48} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 144x_a^2}} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x_a} - \frac{x_0^3}{x_a^3} \right) + \frac{x_0^3 + x_a^3}{48} \right],
\]

\[
P_{1,2} = e^{x_0^2/4} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 144x_a^{-4}} \right),
\]

where the upper sign is associated with the index 1. The correction terms, which are necessary when \( x_a \) and \( x_0 \) become significantly larger than 1 (yet remain moderate), extend the range of validity to longer \( t \). In the case of \( x_a, x_0 \ll 1 \), Eq. (13) reduces to

\[
\varphi(t) \sim e^{(x_0^2/2 - x_a^2)/4} \Phi(t; x_a - x_0),
\]

which apart from a \( t \)-independent prefactor is the Sparre Andersen form [63].

For the OU process the coefficients \( h(k), g(k) \) in Eqs. (2) and (3) are given in terms of \( H_{\alpha}^{0,0}(x) \equiv (-1)^n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} H_{\alpha}(x)|_{\alpha=0} \), where \( H_{\alpha}(x) \) represent generalized Hermite functions, which are readily implemented, for instance, in Mathematica. For large barrier heights the correction term in Eq. (14) vanishes and it can be shown (SI-S6) that the long-time FPT asymptotic attains the universal form of Eq. (7) with

\[
\lambda_0 \sim \frac{x_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x_a^2/2}, \quad C \sim \frac{x_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x_a^2/2} \left[ 1 - \frac{x_a}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x_a^2/2} H_{0,1}^{1,0}(x_0) \right],
\]

and where we use the fact that

\[
H_{0,1}^{1,0}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{-x}{\sqrt{3}} \right) - x^2/2 F_2 \left( \frac{1}{3/2}, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{x^2}{2} \right) - \delta \right].
\]

Here \( \delta = \gamma + 2\log 2 \) and \( \gamma \approx 0.5772 \) is the Euler-Mascheroni constant [66] and we introduced the generalized hypergeometric function \( 2\,F_2(z) \) [66]. Note that since \( \lim_{x \to -\infty} H_{0,1}^{1,0}(x) \sim -\log(x) \), \( \varphi(t) \) only weakly depends on \( x_0 \) for large initial separations. Conversely, \( C \) can become very small in the case when \( x_0 \sim x_a \). Developing an asymptotic expansion via analytic continuation to complex \( x \) for Eq. (13) and for the exact mean FPT

\[
\langle T(x_0) \rangle = H_{0,1}^{1,0}(x_0) - H_{0,1}^{1,0}(x_a)
\]

we recover the universal form in Eq. (7). In the opposite case when \( x_a \ll -1 \) we approach the deterministic limit \( \varphi(t) \sim \delta(t - \log(x_0)) \) (see SI-S6 and [64]).
C. Discussion of the results in the context of molecular signaling in biological cells

Nature apparently developed at least two distinct strategies to achieve both fast and precise molecular signaling in cells, necessary, for instance, in gene regulation. One is the energetically expensive and highly specific directed active transport by hitchhiking molecular motors and pertains to trafficking over large distances [22, 67, 70]. The second one is the spatial colocalization, i.e., proximity, of genes in which the protein encoded by one gene regulates the second gene [15, 21, 39]. The kinetics of this regulation process of the second gene include the diffusion of the protein of the first gene to the second gene. Despite the variety of motion patterns (diffusion, subdiffusion, diffusion on fractals etc.) observed in different experiments [27, 30, 41, 42], our results provide an explanation of the robustness of the proximity effect for efficient and precise transcription regulation.

Typically, in bacterial cells and eukaryotic nuclei, respectively, there are roughly $\lesssim 10^2$ [22, 30] and $\lesssim 10^4$ [44] transcription factors (TFs) of one kind searching for their specific binding site on the cellular DNA. The linear extension of bacteria and eukaryotic nuclei is $\sim 1\mu$m and $\lesssim 5\mu$m, respectively [22, 30, 44, 71]. The vast majority of the DNA binding sequences (promoters) is occupied by only a few proteins [45]. The promoter binding sites have a typical size of $\sim 3\text{nm}$ [22, 30, 44, 71], thus $x_0 \approx 10^{-3}$. The typical distance between colocalized genes is of order $\approx 30 - 300\text{nm}$ (the size of a transcription factory) [30, 44], hence $|x_0 - x_n| \approx 5 \times 10^{-2}$.

Comparing $\wp(t)$ for different $\nu$ for these parameters on their respective natural time-scale (Fig. 3b) we observe identical qualitative behavior, regardless of the compactness of the exploration. Namely, in all cases we find a disparity of 2 to 4 orders of magnitude between the likelihood for direct trajectories—corresponding to Eqs. (9) and (10)—versus indirect trajectories—Eqs. (6), (11) and (12). This peak of accumulated probability mass is very narrow, i.e. 1 to 4 orders of magnitude narrower than the respective time scale $\lambda_0^{-1}$. The exponential long-time region is invariably statistically dominant (somewhat less so for compact dynamics, where the MFPT is shifted into the intermediate regime—compare the arrows in Fig. 3a) but have a $\gtrsim 4$ orders of magnitude smaller value of $\wp(t)$. This means that, while the majority of trajectories is indirect and falls into the long-time regime, any two such indirect realizations will have massively different FPT (see also [22, 72]). Conversely, direct trajectories are focused, i.e. have very similar FPT, giving rise to a sharp mode in the direct regime, $t_{\text{typ}} = (x_0 - x_n)^2/6$. As it suffices that only a few of the $10^2$-10$^4$ TFs, i.e. the fastest 0.01% to 1%, actually need to bind their target, the numerous, strongly dissimilar, indirect realizations are in fact irrelevant. Gene regulation kinetics as uncovered by modern experimental techniques in single cells [22, 72, 76] therefore occur in the few-encounters limit, for which our results are relevant. Conversely, the results of Ref. [8] are relevant if we ask for the typical FPT of any of the TF to their site, as would be measured in a bulk experiment for the behavior of an ensemble of genetically identical cells in a colony. In this sense the results found here readily explain both the speed- as well as the precision-enhancement for the regulation of colocalized genes, irrespectively of the compactness of spatial exploration. The proof and explanation of the proximity effect in transcription regulation is our second main result. These principles can readily be extended to the more complex facilitated diffusion model [15, 21, 73].

Further substantiating the robustness of the proximity effect is the fact that qualitatively the results remain unchanged in the presence of a bias, Fig. 3b. Naturally, a bias towards the target shifts the long-time regime towards shorter $t$. What might appear somewhat surprising at first, is that it does not affect, apart from a trivial renormalization, the statistics, i.e. the width and position of direct trajectories (Fig. 3b). This observation is, however, a straightforward consequence of the independence of drift and diffusion. It highlights the intrinsic universality of direct paths and conceptually extends the Sparre Andersen universality [18]. Remarkably, proximity effects persist in the OU process (Fig. 3b-violet), for which direct, strictly uphill trajectories are typical and in turn imply excessive fluctuations of FPT times of indirect trajectories.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Our rigorous results provide a general method to determine the exact long-time behavior of a smooth one-sided probability density with finite moments from its Laplace transform. They extend the existing generic asymptotic inversion methods, such as Tauberian theorems for slowly varying functions, to functions varying exponentially fast at long times. Here this new method enabled us to unify the first passage time statistics of all FPT densities with asymptotic exponential decay, both passive as well as those under the influence of a deterministic bias, into a single universality class. Moreover, they provided a deep physical insight into the general effects of (non)recurrent spatial exploration on the FPT behavior, and highlighted the qualitatively very different meaning of assuming a large volume or a small target size. Beyond the theoretical advance our results are directly relevant in a biophysical context.

Thus, modern experimental methods allow the observation of individual molecular regulation events. It is therefore timely to extend the classical vista of mean rate approaches to biochemical kinetics and consider the full distribution of FPTs [78, 79]. The theoretical results presented here will be a quantitative basis for the development and analysis
FIG. 3: FPT densities for various passive (a) and biased (b) diffusive systems along with mean FPT values (arrows). a) $x_a = 0.006$, $x_0 = 0.04$ and $\nu = -1/2$ (violet), $\nu = -1/4$ (red), $\nu = -1/4$ (orange), $\nu = 1/2$ (yellow) and $\nu = 0.7$-strong subdiffusion (blue). The symbols represent results of numerical simulations (see Methods), full lines correspond to (6) (or the Poissonian limit for $\nu < 0$) and dashed lines to (10). Inset: Magnification of the intermediate regime with the asymptotic (10) depicted by dashed lines. Note that the long-time behavior for both $\nu < 0$ cases is well within the Poisson-like regime. b) 1d diffusion under constant bias for $x_a - x_0 = 0.1$ (orange; $P_e = -5$ (circles) and $P_e = 5$ (triangles)), 2d diffusion with radial bias for $x_a = 0.006$, $x_0 = 0.04$ (red; $P_e = 0.75$ (circles) and $P_e = -0.75$) and OU process (violet; $x_a = 3$, $x_0 = 2.5$ (triangles), $x_a = 2.5$, $x_0 = 2.4$ (circles)). The symbols represent results of numerical simulations (see Methods), full lines correspond to (6) (or the Poissonian limit for $\nu < 0$), black dashed lines to (9) and (15), respectively, and the blue full lines to (10).

of massive single-molecule experiments for FPT dynamics in living cells or chemical reactions in micro- and nano-containers. The few-encounter limit introduced here together with the proximity effect complements the many-encounter regime associated with the mean FPT theory developed in Ref. [8]. In this latter regime we fully corroborate the results of Ref. [8], in particular, the observation that the initial distance to the target will be critically more important for compact exploration.

Our results have immediate consequences for the interpretation of single-molecule reaction or binding experiments (e.g. [20] and refs. therein), that nowadays allow one to directly visualize the few-encounter regime. Namely, the quantification of reaction kinetics is paradigmatically reduced to the mean FPT [2, 7, 17], which is meaningful if we are interested in systems, in which most of the molecules are required to react. In contrast, when only a small number of reactive encounters are required, e.g. the binding of a few TFs to their targets deciding the regulatory pathway of a cell [23] or phosphorylation cascades in cellular signal-transduction propagating towards the nucleus [80], our results show that typical and direct realizations are essential. In this few-encounter regime, mean FPT-based concepts grossly underestimate the speed and precision of experimentally observed signaling kinetics, and would thus lead to severe parameter misjudgments. These would deteriorate further for FPT cascades. In other words, the notion of a kinetic rate in the traditional bulk sense ceases to exist in the few-encounters regime. The universality of the proximity effect in target search kinetics enabling temporal signal focusing therefore challenges traditional views on biochemical reactions in cells and provides the basis for new models for molecular regulatory kinetics in the few-encounters regime.
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