Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. Calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) mishandling is one of the most striking abnormalities in this wide spectrum of pathologies, among which heart failure (HF) remains the leading cause of death in developed countries (Benjamin et al., 2018). A hallmark of HF in both human and animal models is impaired Ca\(^{2+}\) sequestration into the SR, which contributes to the decreased contractile performance in this disease (Gwathmey et al., 1987; Meyer et al., 1995; del Monte et al., 2002). Not surprisingly, this defective mechanism has been targeted with novel therapeutic strategies that are now undergoing experimental and clinical testing in animals and patients (Pfeffer et al., 2015; Hulot et al., 2016, 2017; Motloch et al., 2018). In this issue of JGP, Keceli et al. provide novel insights into the molecular mechanism from which nitroxyl (HNO), nitric oxide (NO) and protonated sibling, recently emerged as a promising candidate for HF treatment.

**Overview of excitation–contraction coupling**

In normal hearts, Ca\(^{2+}\) enters the cell through voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels) and subsequently binds to and activates the SR Ca\(^{2+}\) release channels or ryanodine receptor 2 (RYR2) to trigger further Ca\(^{2+}\) release. (Fig. 1) This process, known as CICR (Fabiato and Fabiato, 1977), essentially amplifies the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal, increasing cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) to produce contraction at the myofilament level. Relaxation occurs when cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) returns to basal diastolic values. The majority of this task is accomplished by SERCA2a, which mediates Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake into the SR, and to a lesser extent by the Na\(^+\)/Ca\(^{2+}\) exchanger (NCX), which normally transfers Ca\(^{2+}\) to the extracellular space in exchange for entry of Na\(^+\) (Bers, 2001).

**Regulation of SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake by phospholamban**

The activity of SERCA2a is reversibly regulated by phospholamban (PLN), a 52–amino acid protein (Tada et al., 1975) localized to the longitudinal SR (Jorgensen and Jones, 1987).

Sequence analysis of PLN has shown that the protein is organized into three domains: two cytosolic domains (Ia and Ib) and a transmembrane domain (II; Simmerman and Jones, 1998). Domain Ia contains Ser\(^{16}\) and Thr\(^{17}\), the sites of phosphorylation by PKA and CaMKII, respectively (Wegener et al., 1989). It is well known that dephosphorylated PLN binds to the transmembrane domains of SERCA2a (Kimura et al., 1996) and allosterically inhibits SR Ca\(^{2+}\) reuptake (MacLennan and Kranias, 2003). Conversely, phosphorylation of PLN relieves SERCA2a inhibition, increasing the affinity of the enzyme for Ca\(^{2+}\) and enhancing the rates of SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake and myocardial relaxation. This in turn leads to an increased SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load, SR Ca\(^{2+}\) release, and contractility (Lindemann et al., 1983; Lindemann and Watanabe, 1985; Mundña-Weilenmann et al., 1996).

Experimental evidence suggests two possible mechanistic models by which PLN phosphorylation releases its inhibitory action. In one, phosphorylation results in the physical dissociation of PLN from SERCA2a (Chen et al., 2007). In the other, phosphorylation shifts the cytoplasmic domain of PLN toward a noninhibitory conformational state, leaving the transmembrane domain anchored to the Ca\(^{2+}\) pump (Gustavsson et al., 2013). Another point to consider is the dynamic equilibrium that exists between monomeric and pentameric forms of PLN in SR membranes, which is also influenced by the phosphorylation status of the protein (Simmerman and Jones, 1998). Although evidence supports the assertion that the monomer is the active species of PLN (MacLennan and Kranias, 2003), x-ray crystallography studies indicate that SERCA2a also interacts with pentameric PLN, pointing to a potential role of this oligomeric form in regulating SERCA2a activity (Stokes et al., 2006; Glaves et al., 2011).

**New insights on SERCA2a regulation: PLN partners and redox modifications. The emergence of HNO**

The classical view of SERCA2a regulation by PLN phosphorylation was modified and enriched by further investigations. These
revealed that PLN does not act alone but in association with a set of proteins that regulate SR Ca²⁺ uptake: the PLN/SERCA2a interactome (for reviews, see Haghighi et al., 2014; Mattiazzi and Kranias, 2014). Moreover, it became clear that PLN is also regulated by posttranslational modifications that are different from phosphorylation and evoked by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS, respectively; Bigelow and Squier, 2005; Froehlich et al., 2008; Lancel et al., 2009; Ha et al., 2011; Sivakumaran et al., 2013; Irie et al., 2015). Among the RNS is HNO, the one-electron reduction product of NO. HNO avidly reacts with nucleophiles, especially thiols, to yield either disulfides or sulfinamides; a redox change exclusive to HNO. Furthermore, the hydrophobic nature of HNO makes it more likely to react with thiols in hydrophobic regions. Thus, HNO reactivity toward thiol-containing proteins is different from its redox sibling NO and other recognized oxidizing agents. Intriguingly, the putative physiological relevance of HNO, as well as the possibility of an endogenous source in biological systems, has been difficult to establish, owing to its short-lived characteristics. However, the emergence of HNO donors as ideal pharmacological agents in the treatment of HF (Paolocci et al., 2001, 2003; also see below), has recently gained considerable interest.

Cardiac effects of HNO

HNO was initially identified as a vasorelaxant agent (for review, see Bullen et al., 2011). Later on, its importance as a positive inotropic and lusitropic agent was recognized in seminal works by Paolocci et al. (2001, 2003), performed in conscious instrumented dogs with normal or failing hearts. The effects were independent of the cardiac loading conditions (e.g., alterations in pre- and afterload) as well as of β-adrenergic signaling (Paolocci et al., 2003). These novel findings signaled the onset of a new era of scientific research that raised the significance of HNO from an almost overlooked agent to a potential candidate for HF treatment (Hasenfuss and Teerlink, 2011). Further work demonstrated that the effects resulted from a direct action of HNO on SERCA2a and RYR2, leading to increased SR Ca²⁺ uptake and release. These HNO cardiac effects were fully reversible and independent of both cAMP/PKA and cGMP/PKG signaling.

Additional results indicated that PLN plays a central role in the HNO enhancement of SERCA2a activity. When the three cysteines (Cys) of PLN transmembrane domain were replaced by alanine, the stimulatory action of HNO was lost (Froehlich et al., 2008). Similar to one of the suggested mechanisms for how PLN phosphorylation reduces SERCA2a inhibition (Gustavsson et al., 2013, and see above), it was proposed that HNO functionally uncouples PLN from SERCA without altering the physical association between both proteins (Sivakumaran et al., 2013).

New mechanistic insights into the effects of HNO

Keceli et al. (2019) extend these previous results by adding significant insight into the mechanism by which HNO affects SR Ca²⁺ uptake. By using ¹⁵N-edited NMR spectroscopy (a technique that allows detection of sulfinamides, redox changes on thiols that are unique to HNO) in concert with measurements of SERCA2a activity and immunoblots, the authors were able to...
address two important remaining questions regarding the mechanisms by which HNO modifies PLN function and SR Ca\(^{2+}\) sequestration. Specifically, they discovered that Cys41 and Cys46 are the main targets of HNO that functionally uncouple PLN from SERCA2a and activate SR Ca\(^{2+}\) pump. In addition, they found that when the HNO:thiol ratio approaches values similar to those expected in vivo in cardiac cells, intramolecular disulfide bond formation between Cys41 and Cys46 in PLN monomer predominates over irreversible sulfonamide links (Fig. 1). This is important because, unlike sulfonamide formation, reduction of disulfide bonds can be completed within a few minutes at physiological pH and temperature. These results match the fast reversibility of HNO-induced effects previously observed in vitro and in vivo (Paolocci et al., 2001, 2003; Froehlich et al., 2008; Sivakumaran et al., 2013), strongly suggesting that the physiological effects of HNO donors on the cardiac system are likely due to the formation of reversible disulfide linkages rather than sulfonamides. These latter results constitute an important clue for the potential role of HNO as a signaling molecule in the cardiovascular system. In addition, the results reinforce previous findings, which indicate that the HNO signaling and contractile effects are different from the more traditional β\(_1/\beta_2\) agonists.

**Perspectives**

Collectively, the results of Keceli et al. (2019) deepen understanding of the mechanism by which HNO increases SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake at the molecular level and provide new insights into the reactive redox switches of PLN. Several questions still remain, however. For instance, as the authors recognize, new accurate detection methods are needed to know where and how much HNO is produced in vivo. Moreover, it is not clear whether HNO-induced enhancement of SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake also involves direct effects on SERCA2a. Keceli et al. (2019) present evidence supporting the idea that PLN is the main HNO target. Indeed, they accurately show that mutation of Cys41 and Cys46 of PLN to alanine reduces the HNO-induced enhancement of SERCA2a activity. In contrast, prior results from Lancel et al. (2009) indicated that HNO-induced increases in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake are due to direct SERCA2a activation, independently of PLN, via S-glutathiolation at Cys674. An alternative explanation to these somewhat controversial results is that the action of HNO on PLN and SERCA might represent complementary or even redundant mechanisms (assuming that HNO is a physiological signaling molecule), which contribute similarly at the intact myocyte level.

The novel mechanistic insights presented by Keceli et al. (2019) also provide new support for the use of HNO donors in HF treatment. In this scenario, the diversity of actions evoked by HNO on excitation–contraction coupling proteins different from PLN and SERCA2a deserves comment (Fig. 1). It has been shown that HNO does not significantly affect L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) current (Kohr et al., 2010), but increases myofilament Ca\(^{2+}\) sensitivity, which would add to the potency of HNO as an inotropic agent and favor its beneficial effect on HF (Gao et al., 2012). It has also been shown that HNO enhances RYR2 activity (Tocchetti et al., 2007). This effect occurs in association with increased SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake, increased fractional Ca\(^{2+}\) release, and no change in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load.

Although these results emphasize the value of HNO donors as innovative pharmacological tools to enhance myocardial Ca\(^{2+}\) cycling, an important concern not yet clarified is their potential arrhythmogenicity. An increase in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) uptake that would potentially increase (or maintain) SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load and an enhancement in RYR2 activity (Tocchetti et al., 2007; Keceli et al., 2019) are two conditions that favor arrhythmogenic SR Ca\(^{2+}\) leak (Zima et al., 2014). Importantly, an increase in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) sparks may produce Ca\(^{2+}\) waves that propagate through cardiac cells. Spontaneous Ca\(^{2+}\) waves are arrhythmogenic because, by activating inward membrane currents (mainly the electrogenic NCX working in the forward mode), they depolarize the cell membrane and eventually produce an ectopic beat (Pogwizd and Bers, 2004; Laurita and Rosenbaum, 2008). Considering that HF myocytes often have a leaky RYR2 and are prone to proarrhythmic events (Belevych et al., 2011; Zima et al., 2014), it is still uncertain whether the beneficial effects on contractility produced by HNO donors could be compromised in nonhealthy myocytes by a possible arrhythmogenic effect. The work of Keceli et al. (2019) and previous work from the same group (Froehlich et al., 2008; Tocchetti, 2007; Sivakumaran et al., 2013), provides valuable mechanistic insight into the role of HNO on SR Ca\(^{2+}\) release will be most welcome in the near future to provide a better understanding of the effects of HNO donors on HF.
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