Regularity of minimizers of some variational integrals with discontinuity
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Abstract

We prove regularity properties in the vector valued case for minimizers of variational integrals of the form

\[ A(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du)dx \]

where the integrand \( A(x, u, Du) \) is not necessarily continuous respect to the variable \( x \), grows polynomially like \( |\xi|^p \), \( p \geq 2 \).
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1 Introduction

In this note we consider the regularity problem of minimizers of the variational integral

\[ A(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, Du)dx \]

where \( \Omega \) is a bounded domain of \( \mathbb{R}^m \), \( u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n \) is a mapping in a suitable Sobolev space, \( Du = (D_\alpha u^i) \) \( (\alpha = 1, \ldots, m, \ i = 1, \ldots, n) \). The nonnegative integrand \( A(x, u, Du) \) is in the class \( VMO \) with respect to the variable \( x \), continuous in \( u \) and of class \( C^2 \) with respect to \( Du \). It is also assumed that for some \( p \geq 2 \) there exist two constants \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \Lambda_1 \) such that

\[ \lambda_1 (1 + |\xi|^p) \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda_1 (1 + |\xi|^p), \quad \forall (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}, \]

A minimizer for the functional \( A \) is a function \( u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) such that for every \( \varphi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \)

\[ A(u; \text{supp}\varphi) \leq A(u + \varphi; \text{supp}\varphi). \]
For the case that $A(x, u, \xi)$ is continuous in $x$, many sharp regularity results for minimizers of $A$ have been already known. (e.g. [7, 8, 10, 12].) On the other hand, when $A(\cdot, u, \xi)$ is assumed only to be $L^\infty$, we can not expect the regularity of minimizers in general, as a famous example due to De Giorgi contained in [5] asserts. So, it seems to be natural to consider the regularity problems for $A(x, u, \xi)$ with “mild” discontinuity with respect to $x$. In 1996 Huang in [13] investigates regularity results for the elliptic system

$$-D_\alpha (a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\beta u^j) = g_i(x) - \text{div} f^i(x), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n; \alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, m$$

assuming that $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ belong to the Sarason class $VMO$ of vanishing mean oscillation functions, then he generalizes Acquistapace’s [1] and Campanato’s results [7, p.88, Theorem 3.2]. Campanato showed regularity properties under the assumption that the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are in $C^\alpha(\Omega)$. Acquistapace refined the results by Campanato, considering the coefficients in the class so-called “small multipliers of $BMO$”.

In the recent study made by Danček and Viszus [4] they consider the following functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j + g(x, u, Du) \right\} dx,$$

where $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are in general discontinuous, more precisely belong to the vanishing mean oscillation class ($VMO$ class) and satisfy strong ellipticity condition while the lower order term $g$ is a Charathéodory function satisfying the following growth condition

$$|g(x, u, z)| \leq f(x) + H|z|^\kappa$$

where $f \geq 0$, a.e. in $\Omega$, $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $2 < p \leq \infty$, $H \geq 0$, $0 \leq \kappa < 2$.

We also recall the paper by Di Gironimo, Esposito and Sgambati [6] where is treated the Morrey regularity for minimizers of the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j dx,$$

where $(A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u))$ are elliptic and of the $VMO$ class in the variable $x$.

In [17] the authors extend the results of [4] and [6] to the case that the functional is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, u) D_\alpha u^i D_\beta u^j + g(x, u, Du) \right\} dx.$$

In the note [18], is studied the Morrey regularity for minmizer of the more general functionals

$$A(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, D) dx,$$

where $A(x, u, \xi)$ is a nonnegative function defined on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ which is of class $VMO$ as a function of $x$, continuous in $u$ and of class $C^2$ with respect to $\xi$. We point out that is assumed that for some positive constants $\mu_0 \leq \mu_1$, $\mu_0 |\xi|^2 \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \mu_1 |\xi|^2$ $\forall (x, u, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$. 
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We point out that in the above mentioned papers concerning functionals given by integrals with VMO class integrands, we have considered quadratic growth functionals.

The super quadratic cases with continuous coefficients are treated in [2] and [11].

In the present note we investigate the partial regularity of the minima of \( A \), defined by (1.1) under \( p \)-growth hypothesis of the integrand function \( A, p \geq 2 \). This study can be considered as an improving of [17] and [18] because of the growth condition is more general.

2 Definitions and Preliminary Tools

In the sequel we set

\[ Q(x, R) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y^\alpha - x^\alpha| < R, \alpha = 1, \ldots, m \} \]

a generic cube in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) having center \( x \) and side \( 2R \).

Let us now give some useful definitions, starting to the Morrey space \( L^{p, \lambda} \).

**Definition 2.1.** (see [16]). Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, 0 \leq \lambda < m \). A measurable function \( G \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) belongs to the Morrey class \( L^{p, \lambda}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) if

\[
\|G\|_{L^{p, \lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{0 < \rho < \text{diam} \Omega} \frac{1}{\rho^\lambda} \int_{\Omega \cap Q(x, \rho)} |G(y)|^p dy < +\infty
\]

where \( Q(x, \rho) \) ranges in the class of the cubes of \( \mathbb{R}^m \).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( H \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) we set the integral average \( H_{x,R} \) by

\[
H_{x,R} = \int_{\Omega \cap Q(x, R)} H(y) dy = \frac{1}{|\Omega \cap Q(x, R)|} \int_{\Omega \cap Q(x, R)} H(y) dy
\]

where \( |\Omega \cap Q(x, R)| \) is the Lebesgue measure of \( \Omega \cap Q(x, R) \).

In the case that we are not interested in specifying which the center is, we simply write \( H_R \).

Let us introduce the Bounded Mean Oscillation class.

**Definition 2.3.** (see [15]). Let \( H \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^m) \). We say that \( H \) belongs to \( BMO(\mathbb{R}^m) \) if

\[
\|H\|_* \equiv \sup_{Q(x, R)} \frac{1}{|Q(x, R)|} \int_{Q(x, R)} |H(y) - H_{x,R}| dy < \infty.
\]

Let us now introduce the space of vanishing mean oscillation functions ([19]).
Definition 2.4. If $H \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and
\[
\eta(H; R) = \sup_{\rho \leq R} \frac{1}{|Q(x, \rho)|} \int_{Q(x, \rho)} |H(y) - H_\rho|dy
\]
We have that $H \in VMO(\Omega)$ if
\[
\lim_{R \to 0} \eta(H; R) = 0.
\]
Throughout the present paper we consider $p \geq 2$ and $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a minimizer of the functional
\[
A(u) = \int_\Omega A(x, u, Du)dx
\]
where the hypothesis on the integrand function $A(x, u, \xi)$ are the following.

(A-1) For every $(u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$, $A(\cdot, u, \xi) \in VMO(\Omega)$ and the mean oscillation of $A(\cdot, u, \xi)/|\xi|^p$ vanishes uniformly with respect to $u, \xi$ in the following sense: there exist a positive number $\rho_0$ and a function $\sigma(z, \rho) : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \rho_0) \to [0, \infty)$ with
\[
\lim_{R \to 0} \sup_{\rho < R} \int_{Q(0, \rho) \cap \Omega} \sigma(z, \rho)dz = 0,
\]
such that $A(\cdot, u, \xi)$ satisfies for every $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $y \in Q(x, \rho_0) \cap \Omega$
\[
|A(y, u, \xi) - A_{x, \rho}(u, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x - y, \rho)(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad \forall (u, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn},
\]
where
\[
A_{x, \rho}(u, \xi) = \int_{Q(x, \rho) \cap \Omega} A(y, u, \xi)dy.
\]

(A-2) For every $x \in \Omega$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
\[
|A(x, u, \xi) - A(x, v, \xi)| \leq (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}\omega(|u - v|^2)
\]
where $\omega$ is some monotone increasing concave function with $\omega(0) = 0$,

(A-3) For almost all $x \in \Omega$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A(x, u, \cdot) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$,

(A-4) There exist positive constants $\lambda_1, \Lambda_1$ such that
\[
\lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p) \leq A(x, u, \xi) \leq \Lambda_1(1 + |\xi|^p)
\]
\[
\lambda_1(1 + |\eta|^p) \leq \frac{\partial^2 A(x, u, \xi)}{\partial \xi^i \partial \xi^j} \eta^i \eta^j \leq \Lambda_1(1 + |\eta|^p)
\]
for all $(x, u, \xi, \eta) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \times \mathbb{R}^{mn}$.

Let us state the main theorem of the paper concerning the partial regularity of the minimizers of the functionals $\mathcal{A}$. 

\[\text{4}\]
**Theorem 2.5.** Assume that \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \) is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary \( \partial \Omega \) and that \( p \geq 2 \). Let \( u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \) a minimizer of the functional
\[
A(u, \Omega) = \int_\Omega A(x, u, Du)dx
\]
in the class
\[
X_g(\Omega) = \{ u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega) \ ; \ u - g \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \}
\]
for a given boundary data \( g \in H^{1,s}(\Omega) \) with \( s > p \). Suppose that assumptions (A-1), (A-2), (A-3) and (A-4) are satisfied. Then, for some positive \( \varepsilon \), for every \( 0 < \tau < \min\{2 + \varepsilon, m(1 - \frac{p}{s})\} \) we have
\[
(2.3) \quad Du \in L^{p,\tau}(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^{mn})
\]
where \( \Omega_0 \) is a relatively open subset of \( \overline{\Omega} \) which satisfies
\[
\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_0 = \{ x \in \Omega : \liminf_{R \to 0} \frac{1}{R^{m-p}} \int_{\Omega(x,R)} |Du(y)|^pdy > 0 \}.
\]
Moreover, we have
\[
\mathcal{H}^{m-p-\delta}_{\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_0} = 0
\]
for some \( \delta > 0 \), where \( \mathcal{H}^r \) denotes the \( r \)-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

As a corollary of the above theorem we have the following partial Hölder regularity result.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \( g, u \) and \( \Omega_0 \) be as in Theorem 2.5. Assume that \( p+2 \geq m \) and that \( s > \max\{m, p\} \). Then, for some \( \alpha \in (0,1) \), we have
\[
(2.4) \quad u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega_0, \mathbb{R}^n).
\]

Moreover, as a corollary of the proof of Theorem 2.5 we have the following full-regularity result for the case that \( A \) does not depend on \( u \).

**Corollary 2.7.** Assume that \( A \) and \( g \) satisfy all assumptions of Theorem 2.5 and that \( A \) does not depend on \( u \). Let \( u \) be a minimizer of \( A \) in the class \( X_g \) then
\[
(2.5) \quad Du \in L^{p,\tau}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{mn}).
\]
Moreover, if \( p+2 \geq m \) and \( s > \max\{m, p\} \), we have full-Hölder regularity of \( u \). Namely we have
\[
u \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n).
\]
3 Preliminary Lemmas and Proof of the main results

Throughout the paper we use the following notation:

\[ Q^+(x, R) = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : |y^\alpha - x^\alpha| < R, \quad \alpha = 1, \ldots, m, \quad y^m > 0 \} \]

for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^m \cap \{ x ; x^m = 0 \} \), \( R > 0 \),

\[ \Omega(x, R) = Q(x, R) \cap \Omega \]

\[ \Gamma(x, R) = Q(x, R) \cap \partial \Omega. \]

When the center \( x \) is understood, we sometimes omit the center and write simply \( Q(R) \), \( Q^+(R) \) etc. For the sake of simplicity, we always assume that \( 0 < R < 1 \) in the following.

We can always reduce locally to the case of flat boundary, by means of a diffeomorphism which does not change properties of the functional assumed in the conditions (A-1)–(A-4). More precisely, we can choose a positive constant \( R_1 \) depending only on \( \partial \Omega \) which has the following properties:

1. A finite number of cubes \( \{ Q(x, R_1) \} \) centered at \( x \in \partial \Omega \) cover the boundary. Namely,

\[ \partial \Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N} Q(x_k, R_1) \quad x_k \in \partial \Omega \quad k = 1, \ldots, N, \]

2. For every \( Q(x_k, 2R_1) \), by means of a suitable diffeomorphism, we can assume that \( x_k = 0 \) and that

\[ \Gamma(x_k, 2R_1) = Q(0, 2R_1) \cap \partial \Omega \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; x^m = 0 \}, \]

\[ Q(x_k, 2R_1) \cap \Omega = Q^+(0, 2R_1) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; |x| < 2R_1, \quad x^m > 0 \}. \]

Let us define so-called frozen functional. For some fixed point \( x_0 \in \Omega \) and \( R > 0 \) let us define \( A^0(\xi) \) and \( A^0(u) \) by

\[ A^0(\xi) = A_R(u_R, \xi) := \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} A(y, u_R, \xi) dy, \]

\[ A^0(u, \Omega(x_0, R)) := \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} A^0(Du) dx, \]

where

\[ u_R = u_{x_0, R} = \int_{\Omega(x_0, R)} u(y) dy. \]

For weak solutions of the Euler-Lagrange equation of \( A^0 \), we have the following regularity results.

For interior points, we have the following. (See [2, Theorem 3.1].)
Lemma 3.1. Let \( u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n) \ p \geq 2 \), a solution of the system

\[
D_\alpha a^\alpha_i (Du) = 0 \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]
in the sense that

\[
\int_{\Omega} a^\alpha_i (Du) D_\alpha \varphi^i dx = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)
\]

under the conditions

(1) \( a^\alpha_i(0) = 0 \)

(2) there exist two constants \( \nu > 0 \) and \( M > 0 \) such that, \( \forall x \in \Omega, \forall \xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{mn} \)

\[
\| A(\xi) \| \leq M \cdot (1 + \| \xi \|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}
\]

\[
A^\alpha_{ij}^{\alpha \beta}(\xi)c^i_\alpha c^j_\beta \geq \nu \cdot (1 + \| \xi \|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \| \zeta \|^2
\]

where \( A = (A^\alpha_{ij}) \) and \( A^\alpha_{ij}(\xi) = \partial a^\alpha_i(\xi)/\partial \xi^j_\beta \).

Then, \( \forall Q(\sigma) = Q(x_0, \sigma) \subset \subset \Omega \) and \( \forall t \in (0, 1) \)

(3.3)

\[
\int_{Q(t\sigma)} |Du|^p dx \leq c \cdot t^{\lambda_0} \cdot \int_{Q(\sigma)} |Du|^p dx, \quad \lambda_0 = \min\{2 + \varepsilon_0, m\}
\]

for some positive constants \( \varepsilon_0 \) and \( c \) which do not depend on \( t, \sigma \) and \( x_0 \).

In the neighborhood of the boundary, by the proof of [2, Theorem 7.1], we have the following.

Lemma 3.2. Let \( a^\alpha_i(\xi) \) and \( \lambda_0 \) be as in Lemma 3.1 and \( v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(0, R)) \) a solution of the problem

(3.4)

\[
\begin{cases}
\int_{Q^+(0, R)} a^\alpha_i(Dv + Dg) D_\alpha \varphi^i dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(Q^+(0, R)), \\
v = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma(0, R),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( g \) is a given function with

\( Dg \in L^s(Q^+(0, R)) \)

for some \( s > p \). Then, for every \( x_0 \in \Gamma(0, R) \) and \( \tau_0 \) with \( 0 < \tau_0 < \min\{\lambda_0, m(1 - p/s)\} \), there exist a constant \( c > 0 \) such that

(3.5)

\[
\int_{Q^+(x_0, t\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \leq c t^{\tau_0} \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + c\sigma^{\tau_0} \left( \int_{Q^+(x_0, \sigma)} |W(Dg)|^{\frac{2s}{p}} dx \right)^{\frac{s}{2}},
\]

for any \( \sigma \in (0, R - |x_0|) \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \), where

\[
W(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{4}} \xi.
\]
Outline of the proof. Since (3.4) is exactly (7.6) of [2], we can proceed as in p.148–150 of [2] and get the following estimates:

\[
\int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
\leq c_1 t^\lambda \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + c_1 \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} (1 + |Du| + |Dg|)^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx,
\]

\[
\int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} (1 + |Du| + |Dg|)^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx \\
\leq c_2 \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx + c_2 \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |Du|^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx.
\]

\[
\int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |Du|^{p-2} |Dg|^2 dx \\
\leq (1 - \frac{2}{p}) \delta \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx + \frac{2}{p} \delta^{1-p/2} \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx
\]

for any \( \delta > 0 \). These estimates are nothing else than (17)–(19) of [2]. Combining them, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
&\int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
&\leq c_1 \{t^\lambda + c_2 (1 - \frac{2}{p}) \delta \} \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + c_1 c_2 (1 + \frac{2}{p} \delta^{1-p/2}) \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dg)|^2 dx.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.6)

\[
\leq c_1 \{t^\lambda + c_1 c_2 (1 - \frac{2}{p}) \delta \} \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dv)|^2 dx \\
\quad + c_3 (p, \delta) \sigma^{m(1-p/2)} \left( \int_{Q^+(x_0,\sigma)} |W(Dg)|^{2s/p} dx \right)^{p/s}.
\]

Now, using ”A useful lemma” of [8, p.44], we get (3.5). □

Moreover, we have the following \( L^s \)-estimate for \( u \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that \( u \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(0,R)) \) satisfies

\[ \mathcal{A}(u, Q^+(0, R)) \leq \mathcal{A}(u + \varphi, Q^+(0, R)) \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^{1,p}(Q^+(0,R)), \]

and that \( u = g \) on \( \Gamma(0,R) \) for some \( g \in H^{1,q_1}(Q^+(0,R)) \) with \( q_1 > p \). Then there exists an exponent \( q \in (p, q_1] \) such that \( u \in H^{1,q}(Q^+(0,r)) \) for any \( r < R \). Moreover, if \( x_0 \in Q^+(0, r) \cup \Gamma(0, r) \) and \( \rho < R - r \), we have the estimate

\[
\left( \int_{Q(x_0, \rho) \cap Q^+(0,R)} (1 + |Du|^q)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q} \\
\leq c \left( \int_{Q(x_0, \rho) \cap Q^+(0,R)} (1 + |Du|^q)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p} + c \left( \int_{Q(x_0, \rho) \cap Q^+(0,R)} (1 + |Dg|^q)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q}.
\]

(3.7)
In addition, if \( Q(x_0, \rho) \subset Q^+(0,R) \), then we have

\[
(3.8) \quad \left( \int_{Q(x_0, \rho/2)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{q/2} dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c \left( \int_{Q(x_0, \rho)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}.
\]

Outline of the Proof. For the case that \( Q(x_0, \rho) \subset Q^+(0,R) \), we can proceed as in the proof of [9, Theorem 4.1] to get (3.8). For general case, mentioning the difference on the growth conditions, we can proceed as in the proof of [14, Lemma 1].

Mention that the above lemma is valid for minimizers of \( A^0 \) also.

For bounded domain \( D \) with smooth boundary, covering \( \partial D \) with a finite number of cubes and using the above local estimates we get the following global \( L^q \)-estimates for a minimizer.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^m \) be an open set with smooth boundary \( \partial D \), and let \( v \in H^{1,p}(D) \) be a minimizer for the functional \( A \) (or \( A^0 \)) in the class

\[
X_g := \{ w \in H^{1,p}(D); w - g \in H_0^{1,p}(D) \}
\]

for a given map \( g \in H^{1,q_1}(D) \), \( q_1 > p \). Then \( Dv \in L^q(D) \) for some \( q \in (p,q_1) \) and

\[
(3.9) \quad \int_D (1 + |Dv|)^{q/2} dx \leq c \int_D (1 + |Dg|)^{q_1/2} dx.
\]

We show the partial regularity of \( u \) by comparing \( u \) with \( v \). For this purpose, we need the following lemma which can be shown as [11, Theorem 4.2, (4.8)].

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( v \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r)) \) be a minimizer for \( A^0(w, \Omega(x_0, r)) \) in the class

\[
\{ w \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r)); w - u \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r)) \}
\]

for a given function \( u \in H^{1,p}(\Omega(x_0, r)) \). Then we have

\[
(3.10) \quad \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |Du - Dv|^p dx \leq c \{ A^0(u; \Omega(x_0, r)) - A^0(v; \Omega(x_0, r)) \}.
\]

Now, we can prove our main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.** Assume that \( Q(R) = Q(x_0, R) \subset \subset \Omega \). Let \( v \in H^{1,p}(Q(R)) \) be a minimizer of \( A^0(\tilde{v}, Q(R)) \) in the class

\[
\{ \tilde{v} \in H^{1,p}(Q(R)); u - \tilde{v} \in H_0^{1,p}(Q(R)) \},
\]

and let \( w = u - v \). First we will estimate \( \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx \). By Lemma 3.5, we can see
that
\[ \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx = c \{ A^0(u) - A^0(v) \} \]
\[ \leq c \int_{Q(R)} |A_R(u_R, Du) - A(x, u_R, Du)| dx \]
\[ + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, u_R, Du) - A(x, u, Du)| dx \]
\[ + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, v, Dv) - A(x, u, Dv)| dx \]
\[ + c \int_{Q(R)} |A(x, u_R, Dv) - A_R(u_R, Dv)| dx. \]  

(3.11)

Here we have used the minimality of \( u \). So, using the assumptions on \( A \), we get
\[ \int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx \]
\[ \leq \int_{Q(R)} \{ \sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2) \} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \]
\[ + \int_{Q(R)} \{ \sigma(x, R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2) \} (1 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx. \]  

(3.12)

Using Hölder’s inequality, Lemma 3.3 (3.8) and the boundedness of \( \omega \) and \( \sigma \), we have
\[ \int_{Q(R)} \{ \sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2) \} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx \]
\[ \leq C \left\{ \left( \int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \left( \int_{Q(R)} \omega(|u - u_R|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \]
\[ \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx. \]  

(3.13)
Using Corollary 3.4 and (3.8) we get similarly

\[
\int_{Q(R)} \{ \sigma(x,R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2) \} (1 + |Dv(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx
\]

(3.14)

\[
\leq C \left\{ \left( \int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x,R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \left( \int_{Q(R)} \omega(|v - u_R|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx.
\]

By virtue of concavity of \( \omega \), using Jensen's inequality and Poincaré inequality, we have

\[
\int_{Q(R)} \omega(|u - u_R|^2) dx, \quad \int_{Q(R)} \omega(|v - u_R|^2) dx
\]

(3.15)

\[
\leq C \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^p dx \right).
\]

Combining (3.12) – (3.15), we obtain

\[
\int_{Q(R)} |Dw|^p dx
\]

(3.16)

\[
\leq C \left\{ \left( \int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x,R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx.
\]

Now, from Lemma 3.1 and the above inequality, we get

\[
\int_{Q(r)} |Du|^p dx \leq \int_{Q(r)} (|Dv|^p + |Dw|^p) dx
\]

(3.17)

\[
\leq C \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\lambda} \left( \int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x,R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right. \\
\left. + \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q(R)} |Du|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \cdot \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^p)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx.
\]

Let us consider the behavior of \( u \) near the boundary. Let \( Q(x_l, 2R_1) \) be a member of the covering \{\( Q(x_k, 2R_1) \}\} which is introduced at the beginning of this section. Then, \( u \) satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}(u, Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)) &\leq \mathcal{A}(u + \varphi, Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)) \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1_0(Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)), \\
\text{and} \quad u &= g \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma(x_l, 2R_1).
\end{align*}
\]
Fix a point $x_0 \in \Gamma(x_l, R_1)$ and a positive number $R < R_1$ arbitrarily (here, mention that $Q^+(x_0, R) \subset Q^+(x_l, 2R_1)$). Let $v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(x_0, R))$ be a minimizer of $A^0(v, Q^+(x_0, R))$ in the class

$$\{ v \in H^{1,p}(Q^+(x_0, R)) \ ; \ u - v \in H^{1,p}_0(Q^+(x_0, R)) \},$$

and put $w = u - v$. Then, using Lemma 3.5 we can proceed as in the interior case and get

$$\int_{Q^+(R)} |Dw|^p \, dx \leq \int_{Q^+(R)} \left\{ \sigma(x, R) + \omega(|u - u_R|^2) \right\} \left( 1 + |Du(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx$$

(3.19)

$$+ \int_{Q^+(R)} \left\{ \sigma(x, R) + \omega(|v - u_R|^2) \right\} \left( 1 + |Dv(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx.$$

Moreover, using (3.7) instead of (3.8) and proceeding as in the interior case, we have

$$\int_{Q^+(R)} |Dw|^p \, dx \leq C \left\{ \left( \int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) \, dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q^+(R)} |Du|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\}$$

$$\cdot \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx$$

$$+ CR^{m\frac{q-p}{q}} \left( \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q}}.$$

(3.20)

Now, combining (3.5) and (3.20), we obtain

$$\int_{Q^+(r)} |Du|^p \, dx \leq C \left\{ \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^{\tau_0} \left( \int_{Q^+(R)} \sigma(x, R) \, dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q^+(R)} |Du|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\}$$

(3.21)

$$\cdot \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx$$

$$+ cR^{\tau_0} \left( \int_{Q^+(R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q}} + CR^{m\frac{q-p}{q}} \left( \int_{Q^+(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q}}.$$
Since we are assuming that \( Dg \in L^s \) for some \( s > p \), and we can choose \( q > p \) sufficiently near to \( p \), without loss of generality we can assume that \( s > q > p \). So, we can estimate the last term of (3.21) as follows:

\[
R^{m\frac{s-p}{q}} \left( \int_{Q^{+}(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{s}} \leq CR^{m(1-p/s)} \left( \int_{Q^{+}(2R)} (1 + |Dg|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{s}}.
\]

Here, we can assume that \( R < 1 \), so the above estimates hold even if \( m(1 - p/s) \) can be replaced by the smaller constant \( \tau_0 \). Mentioning the above fact and combining the above estimate with (3.21), we get the following estimate.

\[
\int_{Q^{+}(r)} |Du|^p \, dx \leq C \left\{ \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^{\tau_0} + \int_{Q^{+}(R)} \sigma(x, R) \, dx \right\}^{\frac{q-p}{q}} + \omega \left( R^{p-m} \int_{Q^{+}(R)} |Du|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} 
\]

\[
\cdot \int_{Q^{+}(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx + C(g) R^{\tau_0}.
\]

By the assumption (A-1), we have

\[
\int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) \, dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad R \to 0.
\]

So, using "A useful Lemma" on p.44 of [8] for (3.17) and (3.22), and putting

\[
(3.23) \quad \Phi(x, r) = \int_{\Omega(x,r)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \, dx,
\]

we can see that for any \( \tau \) with \( 0 < \tau < \tau_0(< \lambda_0) \) there exist positive constants \( \delta, M \) and \( R_0 \) (\( R_0 < R_1/2 \)) with the following properties.

**[Interior Case]** If

\[
\Phi(x, r_1), \quad \Phi(x, r_1) < \delta
\]

for some \( r_1 \in (0, R_0) \) with \( Q(x, r_1) \subset \subset \Omega \), then for \( 0 < \rho < r < r_1 \) we have

\[
(3.24) \quad \Phi(x, \rho) \leq M \left( \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{\tau} \Phi(x, r).
\]

**[Boundary Case]** For \( x \in \partial \Omega \), if

\[
\Phi(x, r_1), \quad \Phi(x, r_1) < \delta
\]

for some \( r_1 \in (0, R_0) \), then we have

\[
(3.25) \quad \Phi(x, \rho) \leq M \left( \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{\tau} \Phi(x, r) + M \rho^\tau.
\]
Now, we can proceed as in pp.318–319 of Giusti’s book [12] to show partial Morrey-type regularity of \( u \). Namely, there exist positive constants \( \delta \) and \( M \) with the following properties. For any \( x \in \Omega \), if
\[
(3.26) \quad r_0, \quad r_0^{p-m}\Phi(x, r_0) \leq \delta
\]
for some \( r_0 > 0 \), then
\[
(3.27) \quad \rho^{-\tau}\Phi(x, \rho) \leq \tilde{M}.
\]
So, we get the assertion. \( \square \)

*Proof of Corollary 2.6.* When \( p + 2 \geq m \) and \( s > \max\{m, p\} \), we can take \( \tau \) sufficiently near to \( \min\{2 + \varepsilon, m(1 - \frac{p}{s})\} \) so that \( \tau > m - p \). So, Corollary 2.6 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.5 and Morrey’s theorem on the growth of the Dirichlet integral (see, for example, p.43 of [8]). \( \square \)

*Proof of Corollary 2.7.* When \( A(x, u, \xi) \) does not depend on \( u \), we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 without the term with \( \omega \) and get, instead of (3.17) and (3.21),
\[
\int_{Q(2R)} |Du|^p dx \leq C \left\{ \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^\lambda + \left( \int_{Q(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \int_{Q(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx.
\]
for \( Q(2R) = Q(x_0, 2R) \subset \subset \Omega \) and
\[
\int_{Q+(x_0, r)} |Du|^p dx \leq C \left\{ \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^\lambda + \left( \int_{Q+(R)} \sigma(x, R) dx \right)^{\frac{q-p}{q}} \right\} \int_{Q+(2R)} (1 + |Du(x)|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx + C(g)R^\tau,
\]
for \( x_0 \in \partial \Omega \). So, we can proceed as in the last part of Theorem 2.5 without assuming that
\[
r_1^{p-m}\Phi(x, r_1) = r_1^{p-m} \int_{\Omega(x, r_1)} (1 + |Du|^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} dx < \delta.
\]
and see that
\[
\rho^{-\tau}\Phi(x, \rho) \leq \tilde{M}
\]
for all \( x \in \Omega \). Thus we get the assertions. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.6.** Without any restriction on the dimension of the domain, it is not possible to obtain Hölder regularity result in all the domain \( \Omega \) as showed by V. Šverak and X. Yan in a counterexample contained in [20].
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