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Abstract
The anomalous Hall effect in time-reversal symmetry broken systems is underpinned by the concept of Berry curvature in band theory. However, recent experiments reveal that the nonlinear Hall effect (NHE) can be observed in non-magnetic systems without applying an external magnetic field. The emergence of NHE under time-reversal symmetric conditions can be explained in terms of non-vanishing Berry curvature dipole (BCD) arising from inversion symmetry breaking. In this work, we availed realistic tight-binding models, first-principles calculations, and symmetry analyses to explore the combined effect of transverse electric field and strain, which leads to a giant BCD in the elemental buckled honeycomb lattices—silicene, germanene, and stanene. The external electric field breaks the inversion symmetry of these systems, while strain helps to attain an asymmetrical distribution of Berry curvature of a single valley. Furthermore, the topology of the electronic wavefunction switches from the band inverted quantum spin Hall state to normal insulating one at the gapless point. This band gap closing at the critical electric field strength is accompanied by an enhanced Berry curvature and concomitantly a giant BCD at the Fermi level. Our results predict the occurrence of an electrically switchable nonlinear electrical and thermal Hall effect in a new class of elemental systems that can be experimentally verified.

1. Introduction
The appearance of Hall current is invariably contingent on the breaking of time-reversal symmetry (TRS) in the linear response regime [1]. TRS in a material can be broken by an external magnetic field, or suitable magnetic dopants. However, in recent experiments, nonlinear Hall effects (NHEs) [2, 3] have been detected in non-magnetic transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) under time-reversal-symmetric conditions [4–6]. In their seminal work, Sodemann and Fu [7] have explored the quantum origin of this nonlinear response by introducing an intrinsic effect of the dipole moment of the Berry curvature. This Berry curvature dipole (BCD) can be observed in time-reversal invariant systems, but its non-zero value is strictly protected by the breaking of inversion symmetry of the crystal. Moreover, symmetry-based indicators are also crucial in determining the strength of BCD in a noncentrosymmetric system. For example, a uniaxial strain reduces the symmetry of TMDs and gives rise to enhanced BCD [8–10]. Similar enhancements are also observed for few-layer TMDs [4–6, 11], where the lowering of symmetry is the result of the stacking of monolayers. It has further been observed that the electric field can efficiently tune the anomalous NHE in low-symmetry TMDs [12]. Moreover, a pressure-driven topological phase transition in three-dimensional bismuth tellurium iodide with a strong Rashba effect is also predicted to lead to a large BCD [13]. In principle, the BCD-induced NHE can be considered as a second-order response to the electric field in the system's plane. The combined effect of this in-plane electric field and BCD is responsible for several exotic physical properties, such as giant magneto-optical effects [14], orbital valley magnetization [10], non-linear Nernst effects [15, 16], and thermal Hall effect [17].

In general, large Berry curvature segregation occurs at the Brillouin zone (BZ) points, where two bands nearly touch each other. The shape of
the Bloch states rapidly modifies near such narrow-gap points of the BZ. Therefore, massive tilted Dirac cones [7, 18, 19] or Weyl cones [20–24] are the natural choices for realizing sizeable BCD. In these systems, the BCD and corresponding NHE systematically provide the geometrical information of Bloch wavefunctions even under TRS. Moreover, Battilomo et al have revealed that the Fermi surface warping triggers appreciable BCD in uniaxially strained monolayer and bilayer graphene [25]. It is worth mentioning that the magnitude of BCD in the warped graphene systems is comparable with that of the TMDs. Further, the merging of Dirac points near the Fermi level can also lead to non-zero BCD even in the absence of any tilt or warping term [26]. The BCD and the unconventional NHE drive the understanding of topological physics and quantum transport phenomena to the non-linear domain. This generalization opens up many exciting prospects for direct applications, such as nonlinear photocurrents [27] and terahertz radiation detection [28].

In a pioneering work, Kane and Mele [29] first explored the fascinating quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE) in graphene. However, in reality, the QSHE in graphene is not experimentally accessible because of the negligible strength of spin–orbit coupling (SOC). The strength of SOC largely determines the occurrence of helical edge states with well-defined spin texture in topological insulators. In real systems, the requirement of large SOC is considerably fulfilled by the experimental realization of ‘graphene counterparts’—silicene, germanene, and stanene [30–33]. Silicene, germanene, and stanene are two-dimensional (2D) Dirac materials having a buckled honeycomb geometry. The buckling can be exploited by employing a transverse electric field that can tune the electronic band structure, particularly, the band gap [34]. Furthermore, an electric field driven topological phase transition from QSHE to a normal insulating (NI) state is a primary characteristic of these systems [35–37].

In this work, we discover a large and electrically switchable NHE in these elemental buckled honeycomb lattices silicene, germanene, and stanene. Using tight-binding (TB) calculations, in conjunction with symmetry arguments, we explore the tunability of the BCD in these systems, particularly near the topological phase transitions. We demonstrate a giant enhancement of the BCD near the electric field tuned topological critical points and connect it to the underlying variations of the Berry curvature. Our findings put forward a new class of systems to explore non-linear topological phenomena, and highlight an as-yet-unexplored aspect of elemental buckled honeycomb lattices. We hope that our work motivates experimental as well theoretical work along this front in the near future.

### 2. Methodology

The nonlinear current in response to an oscillating electric field \( \vec{E}(t) = \text{Re}[\vec{E}_0 e^{i\omega t}] \), with a magnitude \( E_0 \) and frequency \( \omega \) can be expressed as \( \vec{J}_\alpha = \text{Re}(j_\alpha^{(0)} + j_\alpha^{(2\omega)}) \exp^{i\omega t} \) [7]. Therefore, the response current has been clearly decomposed into a static part (rectified current) \( j_\alpha^{(0)} = \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \vec{E}_0 \vec{E}_\gamma \) and a double frequency oscillating part (second harmonic) \( j_\alpha^{(2\omega)} = \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \vec{E}_0 \vec{E}_{\gamma\gamma} \). Under time-reversal symmetric conditions, the nonlinear conductivity tensor \( \chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \) depends on the momentum derivative of the Berry curvature over the occupied states as follows

\[
\chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = -\epsilon_{\alpha\delta\gamma} \frac{e^2}{2(1 + i\omega \tau)} \int_{k} \langle \vec{d}\kappa \rangle \left( \frac{\partial \Omega_k}{\partial \kappa_\beta} \right).
\]

Here, \( e, \tau, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, f_0 \) and \( \Omega_\delta \) represent the electron charge, scattering time, Levi-Civita symbol, equilibrium Fermi–Dirac distribution, and Berry curvature component along \( \delta \), respectively with \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \), \( \delta \in \{x, y, z\} \). Here the integration is performed with respect to \( [\vec{d}\kappa] \), which has the expression \( d^2k/(2\pi)^d \) in \( d \) dimensions. BCD can be defined in reciprocal space as \( D_{\alpha\beta} = \int [d\kappa] f_0 (\partial \Omega_\delta / \partial \kappa_\beta) \). Particularly, in 2D materials, only the out-of-plane (z) component of Berry curvature is non-vanishing, i.e. \( \Omega_\beta \equiv \Omega_z \). In the framework of the well-known Kubo formalism, this \( \Omega_z(\vec{k}) \) has the following form [38]

\[
\Omega_z(\vec{k}) = 2i \sum_{\hat{i} \neq \hat{j}} \frac{\langle i|\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{i}}\hat{\kappa}_{\hat{j}}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{\Omega}_{\hat{i}}\hat{\kappa}_{\hat{j}}|i\rangle}{(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j)^2},
\]

where \( \varepsilon_i \) and \( \varepsilon_j \) are the eigenenergies of the Hamiltonian \( H \) with eigenstates \( |i\rangle \) and \( |j\rangle \), respectively. The methodology discussed above for calculating BCD is implemented in the Wannier-Berri package [39], which is compatible with the PyThTB module [40]. It is worth mentioning that the Berry curvature of time-reversal invariant systems is an odd function of momentum, i.e. \( T^\dagger \Omega_z(\vec{k}) T = -\Omega_z(\vec{k}) \), where \( T \) is the time-reversal operator. In contrast, BCD is even under the above situation, as it satisfies \( T^\dagger D_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) T = D_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) \). Therefore, it is clear that BCD can manifest a significant anomalous electronic response, NHE, even in the presence of TRS.

In order to support the TB results, first-principles calculations are carried out based on the density functional theory (DFT) framework as implemented in the Quantum ESPRESSO code [41, 42]. A kinetic energy cut-off of 40 Ry is considered, using the ultrasoft pseudopotentials [43] to describe the core electrons, including SOC interactions. We used the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof form for the exchange-correlation functional [44]. The BZ is sampled over a uniform Γ-centered \( k \)-mesh of \( 8 \times 8 \times 1 \), and the monolayers were modeled with a 15 Å vacuum along the \( z \)-direction to avoid any spurious interaction.
between the periodic images. To study the topological properties, maximally localized Wannier functions were computed to derive a TB model from the ab-initio calculations, with complete s,p orbitals as the basis, using the wannier90 code [45]. Further calculation of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological invariants and analysis of the edge spectra is performed using the wanniertools code [46].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Model and symmetry analysis

Next, we introduce the TB model Hamiltonian for buckled honeycomb lattices used in this work. In spite of the similarity in their basic geometry, the buckled honeycomb lattices primarily differ in their bond lengths ($d$), hopping integrals ($t$), and lattice parameters ($a = \sqrt{3}d$). Further, the strength of SOC ($\lambda_{SO}$) and buckling height ($l$) between the two sublattices also depend on the atomic number of the constituent atom and vary from system to system. All the above-mentioned parameters of buckled honeycomb lattices are compared with that of graphene in Table 1. In our study, we shall extensively use these values in Table 1 to extract the system-specific information, while also using general symmetry arguments. In the presence of buckling, the transverse electric field ($E_z$) assigns different mass terms to the two sublattices. This difference in mass terms, in turn, breaks the inversion symmetry of the system. The generalized TB Hamiltonian [35] of buckled honeycomb lattices in the presence of an external transverse electric field can be expressed as

$$
\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} \hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\sigma} \hat{c}_{j,\sigma} + i \frac{\lambda_{SO}}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle, \sigma} \sigma \zeta_{ij} \hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\sigma} \hat{c}_{j,\sigma} - \nu E_z \sum_{\sigma} \hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}. \tag{3}
$$

Here $\langle ij \rangle$ and $\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle$ indicate hopping between $i$ and $j$ sites up to nearest and next-nearest neighbors. Further, $\sigma$ represents spin degrees of freedom and denotes either $\uparrow (+1)$, or $\downarrow (-1)$ spin. The SOC and staggered sublattice potential, $\zeta_{ij} (= \pm 1)$ and $\nu (= \pm 1)$ explicitly depend on the direction (clockwise or anticlockwise) of hopping and type of sublattice, respectively. Furthermore, equation (3) reveals that buckled honeycomb lattices exhibit a topologically nontrivial band gap, $\Delta \epsilon = 2 \lambda_{SO}$, in the absence of an external electric field [35]. Application of the electric field reduces this value of the band gap to zero at a topological critical point $E_C = \lambda_{SO}/l$, where the system behaves like a semimetal. Beyond this point, $\Delta \epsilon$ again increases and gives rise to a topologically trivial phase. The TB parameters for equation (3) vary across different systems we considered. The parameters for silicene, germanene, and stanene are compared with graphene in Table 1. Because of the negligible SOC and planar geometry, graphene does not show an electrically tunable quantum spin Hall state.

To trace this topological transition, we initiate our calculations in the presence of a non-zero electric field. The electric field helps us to attain the essential criterion of inversion symmetry breaking for BCD. Regardless of the breaking of inversion symmetry in buckled honeycomb lattices, we obtain a vanishing value of BCD at different electric field strengths. This can be understood from the crystallographic symmetry of the buckled honeycomb lattices. The buckling in buckled honeycomb lattices primarily eliminates the $C_6$, $\sigma_h$, and three $\sigma_d$ symmetry elements of the planar honeycomb lattices ($D_{6h}$). Consequently, the system possesses only three $C_2$ rotational axes perpendicular to the principal axis of symmetry. Further, three mirror planes of the buckled system bisect the angle between each neighboring pair of these $C_2$ rotational axes. Therefore, the groups of wavevectors in buckled honeycomb lattices are $D_{2d}$ (point group of buckled honeycomb lattices) and $D_3$ at symmetry points $\Gamma$ and $K$ respectively. The order of the finite group $D_3$ is $3 \times 2 = 6$ with 3 rotational and three reflection symmetry elements. In particular, the rotational symmetry elements are $2\pi, 2\pi/3$, and $4\pi/3$ rotation about the $C_3$ axis, while the reflection symmetry elements represent the symmetry planes ($\sigma_d$) passing through the three medians of the equilateral triangle. The character tables are presented in Tables S1 and S2 of ESI (available online at stacks.iop.org/TDM/9/035013/mmedia). The presence of two or more mirror axes in 2D buckled honeycomb lattices (here, three) relates non-linear Hall conductance to a null pseudovector field [3], so that the BCD is zero. Fundamentally, the maximum permitted symmetry in two dimensions for the occurrence of non-vanishing BCD is a single mirror line. This symmetry analysis motivates us to reduce the symmetry of buckled honeycomb lattices down to a single mirror axis by minimal operations. We have achieved this by applying a small uniaxial strain that elongates one nearest neighbor bond ($d'$) compared to the other two ($d$) as depicted in Figure 1(a). As a representative value we have chosen $d'$ to be different from $d$ by 2%. Application of such a strain does not break the inversion symmetry of these systems but essentially reduces its rotational symmetry. In the above case, $C_3$ rotational symmetry is destroyed along with two $\sigma_d$ reflection symmetry planes. The strained buckled honeycomb lattices possess only one $\sigma_d$ symmetry element, which corresponds to $M_z$ mirror symmetry plane in our case. We expect that these strained buckled honeycomb lattices will be suitable candidates for obtaining sizable BCD in the presence of an external transverse electric field.
The structural and tight binding model parameters of silicene, germanene, and stanene are compared to that of graphene. Here, $a$, $t$, $\lambda_{SO}$, and $l$ represent the lattice parameter, hopping integral, SOC strength, and buckling heights, respectively. The values are taken from [47].

| Systems   | $a$ (Å) | $t$ (eV) | $\lambda_{SO}$ (meV) | $l$ (Å) |
|-----------|---------|----------|----------------------|---------|
| Graphene  | 2.46    | 2.8      | $10^{-3}$            | 0.00    |
| Silicene  | 3.86    | 1.6      | 3.9                  | 0.23    |
| Germanene | 4.02    | 1.3      | 43.0                 | 0.33    |
| Stanene   | 4.70    | 1.3      | 100.0                | 0.40    |

Figure 1. Buckled honeycomb lattices and their topological nature. (a) The top and side view of buckled strained honeycomb structures—silicene, germanene, and stanene. One of the three nearest bonds is stretched (up to $\approx 2\%$). Therefore, the angle between the translation vectors increases from the usual $60^\circ$. A transverse electric field ($\vec{E}$) adequately exploits the buckling and breaks the inversion symmetry of the lattice. Different colors indicate two sub-lattices for clarity. The elongated bond destroys the $C_3$ rotational symmetry but protects the mirror axis ($M_x$) in the direction perpendicular to the stretching. (b) The BZ of the symmetry reduced buckled honeycomb structures. The coordinates of the symmetry point $K$ are distinct from that of the original systems. (c) The phase diagram of the perturbed system ($\approx 2\%$ stretch) resembles the pristine structure. The blue line represents the variation of band gap with external electric field. Appreciable spin-orbit interaction gives rise to the quantum spin Hall (QSH) phase with a finite band gap ($\Delta E$). The band gap decreases with increasing electric field, and above a critical value ($|E_c|$), a topological phase transition from topological insulator to NI occurs. The bottom panel (d) Band structures at different electric fields. Two spin bands touch the Fermi level for the electric field strength $|E_c|$. At this point, the system behaves as a semimetal with gapless bands. The systems exhibit a band gap below and above the critical field. The spin degeneracy of the bands is broken due to the presence of a finite electric field.

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \mu + h_{11} & v_F k_x + i v_F k_y \\ v_F k_x - i v_F k_y & \mu - h_{11} \end{bmatrix}, \]  

where $v_F = v_F = v_F$ is the Fermi velocity. The matrix elements $h_{11}$ and $\mu$ are related to the Pauli spin matrix $\sigma_z$ by the relations $h_{11} = -\lambda_{SO}\sigma_z$ and $\mu = lE_z\sigma_z$. The three-dimensional band structures obtained using $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ around $K$ are illustrated in figure 1(d). As expected, the band structures of strained buckled honeycomb lattices exhibit band opening on both sides of the critical field $E_c$. The DFT band structures for all the three strained systems, given in figure 2(a), also indicate that the strained buckled honeycomb lattices invariably
Figure 2. The electronic properties obtained by DFT methods. (a) The band structures of 2\% strained buckled honeycomb lattices exhibit a band splitting at $K$ point. (b) Wannier charge centers for strained silicene demonstrate the nontrivial $Z_2$ index of the system. (c) The protected edge states have been calculated in the nontrivial topological region of the phase space.

(e) Silicene Germanene Stanene

| $E_B$ (eV) | $E_B$ (eV) | $E_B$ (eV) |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| $E_B$     | $E_B$     | $E_B$     |

Figure 3(a) indicates that a straight line parallel to $k_y$ intersects WCC an odd number of times ($Z_2 = 1$) in half of the BZ of strained stanene under 0.15 V Å$^{-1}$.
electric field. On the other hand, the same has an even number of intersections ($Z_2 = 0$) for the case of 0.35 V Å$^{-1}$ electric field (figure 4(b)). The $Z_2$ invariant establishes that the external electric field drives the strained stanene from a non-trivial topological state to a trivial one. Similar results have also been observed for strained silicene and germanene structures. Therefore, the electric field dependent topological phase transition in BHLs is robust to this applied strain. On that account, the required criteria for tracing the topological phase transition in BHLs by BCD are entirely fulfilled.

### 3.3. Berry curvature dipole

This rapid change with electric field in sign and magnitude of Berry curvature in momentum space strongly suggests the possibility of large and tunable BCD in strained buckled honeycomb lattices. We next explore this aspect in these systems by calculating the BCD in the presence of an external electric field. As we noted, the strained buckled honeycomb lattices possess only one mirror symmetry $M_x$. In the presence of $M_x$ symmetry, $k_x$ and $k_y$ transform as odd and even parameters, respectively. $\Omega_z$ is the only non-zero component of Berry curvature in these 2D systems, which is odd in momentum. The above observations immediately show that the gradient of $\Omega_z$ along $k_x$, or $d_{xz} = \partial \Omega_z / \partial k_x$, will be the only even term. In other words, the momentum integrated $d_{xz}$, i.e. $D_{xz}$ will be the only non-zero BCD tensor component in strained buckled honeycomb lattices. We find that all these strained buckled honeycomb lattices exhibit a substantial BCD in the presence of electric field induced inversion symmetry breaking. Moreover, we have discovered a generic feature of $D_{xz}$ in these systems—the contribution of $D_{xz}$ is enormous near the Fermi level for all the strained buckled honeycomb lattices as shown in figure 5(a). This giant BCD value can be well-explained by the high concentration of Berry curvature near the Fermi level. Furthermore, the sign of BCD is found to be reversed when the direction of electric field, $E_z$, is flipped. A Fermi smearing of 40 K is considered for quantitative discussions. The band gap of these systems gradually decreases to zero at $E_c$ and then again increases linearly. This band gap variation results in a maximum BCD response near the topological transition point, as we found. In particular, the maximum BCD of strained germanene at the Fermi level is $D_{x0} = 239.35 \, \text{Å}$, which is larger than that of silicene ($D_{x0} = 217.16 \, \text{Å}$). In contrast, the maximum BCD of stanene $D_{x0} = 179.73 \, \text{Å}$ does not follow the above trend of with increasing SOC. Similar results have been reported for Weyl
SOC gives rise to massive Dirac cones by opening a gap \( \Delta \equiv \Delta \approx 2 |E_2 - \lambda_{SO}/l| \) in the energy spectra. In the case of these strained buckled honeycomb lattices, another term proportional to \( k_x (\lambda k_y) \) can be included to address the non-isotropic dispersion arising from the strain. The term proportional to \( \lambda \) gives the anisotropic velocity, depending on the applied strain. It is worth noting that this \( \lambda \) term is responsible for the non-zero value of the BCD. Further, two non-equivalent massive Dirac cones are related by the time-reversal symmetry. Hence, only the out-of-plane component of Berry curvature is non-zero, which is segregated in these two valleys with a different sign. Furthermore, the small external strain is responsible for a perturbed Berry curvature distribution and assigns different weights to it in the BZ. We can write a low energy model Hamiltonian for the system considering the states near the Fermi level as

\[
H = (v_x k_x \sigma_y - \tau v_y k_y \sigma_x) + \Delta \sigma_z + \tau \lambda k_y. \tag{5}
\]

Here valley index \( \tau = \pm \), \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_y \) are Pauli spin matrices. The Hamiltonian given above allows only the \( M_y \) crystal symmetry, where \( k_x \rightarrow -k_x \) symmetry is preserved. The dispersion relation obtained using equation (5) is obtained as

\[
\varepsilon_{\pm}(k_x, k_y) = \tau \lambda k_y \pm \left( \Delta^2 + v_x^2 k_x^2 + v_y^2 k_y^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{6}
\]

Now, the Berry curvature \( \Omega_{z}(\vec{k}) \) can be calculated using equation (2). For buckled honeycomb lattices \( \Omega_{z}(\vec{k}) \) can be expressed as

\[
\Omega_{z}(k_x, k_y) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau v_x v_y \Delta}{\left( \Delta^2 + v_x^2 k_x^2 + v_y^2 k_y^2 \right)^{3/2}}. \tag{7}
\]

The BCD is related to the Berry curvature by \( D_{\alpha\beta} = \int [d\vec{k}] f_0 (\partial \Omega_{z}/\partial k_{\alpha}) \). It is then straightforward to write down the expression of BCD mentioned above in terms of BCD density \( d_{\alpha\beta} \) as follows

\[
D_{\alpha\beta} = - \sum_n \int \frac{\partial f_n(\vec{k})}{\partial \varepsilon_n(\vec{k})} v_{\alpha\beta}(\vec{k}) d[\vec{k}].
\]

In the above, \( f_n(\vec{k}) \) is the distribution function and the velocity \( v_{\alpha\beta} \) can be obtained by \( \partial \varepsilon_n(\vec{k})/\partial k_{\alpha} \). The BCD density then has the following expression

\[
d_{\alpha\beta} = - \sum_n v_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{z}(\vec{k}). \tag{8}
\]

The partial differentiation gives the delta function in the low energy limit, which indicates that BCD is a Fermi surface property. We note that the band gap
of the system is $E_k = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta^2 + v_x^2 k_x^2 + v_y^2 k_y^2 \right)^{1/2}$. From the above discussion it is evident that

$$\lim_{E_k \to 0} \frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{E_k \to 0} \sum_n \frac{v_{xx} v_{xx} v_{y} \Delta}{\left( \Delta^2 + v_x^2 k_x^2 + v_y^2 k_y^2 \right)^{3/2}} \to \infty.$$ (10)

Therefore, we find that the BCD density predominantly varies as $\sim 1/\Delta^2$ and diverges near the topological critical point where the band gap closes.

3.4. Nonlinear thermal Hall effect

Similar to the electrical Hall effect, the thermal Hall current also vanishes under time reversal symmetric condition in the linear response regime. However, in case of the strained honeycomb lattices the perturbed distribution function gives rise to nonlinear thermal Hall effect. The thermal Hall current, $j^T_a$, in the nonlinear regime can be obtained using Boltzmann equation as given below

$$j^T_a = -\kappa_{abd} \Delta_y T \Delta_a T.$$ (11)

Here $\Delta T$ represents temperature gradient and the subscripts $\{a, b, d\} \in \{x, y, z\}$ stand for the components. The coefficient of nonlinear thermal Hall effect is denoted by $\kappa_{abd}$. From the symmetry analysis it is clear that the temperature gradient along a direction normal to $M_x$ gives rise to nonlinear thermal Hall effect in the perpendicular direction. The intrinsic contribution of the nonlinear thermal Hall coefficient, $\kappa^{BCD}_{abd}$, comes from the BCD [17] given as follows

$$\kappa^{BCD}_{abd} = \zeta T^2 G_0(\mu) + \mathcal{O}[T^4],$$ (12)

where $\zeta = \frac{7 \pi \hbar^2}{14 m} c$ is a constant under the constant relaxation time ($\tau_0$) approximation. The chemical potential dependent parameter $G_0(\mu) = \partial G_0(\mu) / \partial \mu$ is related to the BCD by

$$G_{\alpha\beta}(\mu) = \int [dk] \delta (\varepsilon - \varepsilon(k)) \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(k) \frac{\partial \varepsilon(k)}{\partial k}.$$ (13)

In presence of disorder, relaxation time $\tau_0$ can be written as $\tau_0^{-1} = n V_0^2 (\mu^2 + 3 \Delta^2) / 4 \hbar \mu v_{xx} v_{y}$, where, $n$ and $V_0$ are the concentration and strength of disorder, respectively [17]. In the case of our buckled honeycomb lattices, the $yxx$ component of nonlinear thermal Hall coefficient $\kappa^{BCD}$ can be written as

$$\kappa^{BCD} = 7\pi \hbar^2 \Delta v_{xx} v_{y} (\mu^2 - \Delta^2) / (5m \hbar^2 V_0^2 \mu^2 + 3\Delta^2) k_B T.$$ (14)

We have studied the variation of the nonlinear Hall coefficient for the strained buckled honeycomb lattices (here, shown for stanene) with chemical potential, for different temperatures, presented in figure 6. We have considered representative values of the different parameters for our buckled honeycomb systems: $v_x = v_y = v_F = at = 6.11$ eV Å (velocity for stanene given in table 1), $\Delta = 0.1$ eV (band gap of stanene given in table 1), $\lambda = 0.1 V, n V_0 = 100$ eV Å$^2$. Therefore, starting from the situation where the Fermi level touches the bottom of CB ($\mu \approx 0.1$ eV), the chemical potential can be tuned using a gate voltage. We find that for all temperatures, the nonlinear thermal Hall coefficient changes its sign and exhibits a peak at $\mu > 0.1$ eV for stanene. Further, the coefficient invariably goes to zero for large value of $\mu$. The peak value of nonlinear thermal Hall coefficient increases with increasing temperature. In a nutshell, BCD can give rise to the nonlinear thermal Hall conductivity, which varies quadratically in the temperature difference.

We note that a stronger BCD response can be attained by approaching the critical point with more precision. Finally, we compare the BCD response in the two distinct topological phases. All the strained buckled honeycomb lattices exhibit universal behavior around the topological critical point. Similar to the observation during reversal of applied electric field, the sign of BCD changes when going from topologically non-trivial to trivial state. This is presented in figure 5(b). This can be understood to be the result of the exchange of Berry curvature between VB and CB around the topological phase transition. Overall, our results put forward a new platform to explore large and tunable BCD in buckled honeycomb lattices. This electrically switchable BCD will facilitate the exploration of various exotic quantum mechanical phenomena, such as NHE [7], chiral polaritonic effects [51], nonlinear Nernst effect [15, 16], nonlinear thermal Hall effect [17], and orbital-Edelstein [52] effects.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we introduced a class of elemental systems that exhibit electrically switchable giant BCD at the Fermi level. In particular, the elemental
buckled honeycomb lattices—silicene, germanene, and stanene—exhibit an electric field-driven topological phase transition. The transverse electric field breaks the inversion symmetry of the systems and opens the possibility of obtaining a large BCD. However, the non-zero value of BCD is still restricted by the point group symmetry of the crystals. Therefore, we proposed that the symmetry of the crystals is reduced down to a single mirror plane using appropriate strain. The strain essentially perturbs the distribution of Berry curvature and induces asymmetry in a valley. Consequently, a sizable BCD is obtained for all the systems mentioned above. Moreover, a vanishing band gap near the critical band gap closing point triggers a giant BCD at the Fermi level. The value of BCD switches when the electric field strength crosses a critical value. This flipping can be explained in terms of the change in sign of Berry curvature across the critical point. Our study paves the way for exploring field tunable electrical and thermal nonlinear effects in a class of elemental systems.
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