Pattern of disinfectants use and their adverse effects on the consumers after COVID-19 outbreak
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Abstract

Background and objective The aim of this study was to investigate the pattern of disinfectants use within outbreak of COVID-19 and estimate their adverse effects on the consumer’s health.

Methods In this descriptive-analytical study, 1090 participants were entered into the study without age and gender limitations. A researcher-made electronic checklist containing 43 questions was applied to collect data. The checklist included three sections: demographic characteristics (8 questions), disinfection of body and non-living surfaces (21 questions), and adverse effects of disinfectants on health (15 questions).

Results 87% of participants used the incorrect proportions of water and alcohol to make this disinfectant available at home. The percentage of people with wrong proportion of sodium hypochlorite was 74.2%. Approximately 42% of participants experienced at least one disorder on their hands, feet, eyes, respiratory or gastrointestinal systems after sequential uses of disinfectants. The most common disorders among the participants were found to be skin dryness (76.3%), obsession (42.2%), skin itching (41.2%), coughing (41.1%), and eyes irritation (39.5%). The mean frequency of hand washing and hand disinfecting were 15.28 and 10.74 times per a day, respectively, and the clean-up in case of surfaces was 2.99 times a day. The frequency of hand washing and disinfecting in women group (16.4 and 11.2 times a day) were higher than in men (14.0 and 10.3 times a day) group. In addition, these self-care actions in married people (15.6 and 11.0 times a day) were higher compared to those in single people (14.0 and 10.6 times a day).

Conclusion Being unaware of participants with instruction for preparation and use disinfectants may harm their health. Therefore, it is suggested that the authorities provide the necessary training program for public through official media.
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Introduction

Coronaviruses are viruses that can infect the respiratory tract, gastrointestinal tract, liver, and central nervous system of humans, cows, birds, bats, rodents, and other wildlife [1–3]. Coronaviruses, like influenza viruses, are being transmitted by various animal species in the wild. Alpha-coronavirus and beta-coronavirus can infect mammals, and gamma-corona viruses and delta-coronaviruses tend to infect birds, however some types of coronaviruses can also be transmitted to mammals [3].

The serious and applicable measurements were not considered until the outbreak of viruses of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS-CoV) in 2002 and 2003 in Guangdong Province, China. The Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) coronavirus outbreak posed the greatest threat
The new and emerging coronavirus, which is considered as the seventh member of the coronavirus family [8, 9], has been responsible for this respiratory syndrome. Currently, the prevalence of this acute respiratory syndrome, known as COVID-19, has been confirmed worldwide. The total number of confirmed cases and deaths in the world as of June 9, 2020 was 7,261,476 and 410,972, respectively. In Iran, the total number of cases and death at the same date was reported to be 175,927 and 8425, respectively [10]. In Iran, the first death contributed to COVID-19 was officially announced by the Ministry of Health and Medical Education on February 19, 2020 [11, 12].

Common symptoms of COVID-19 include fever, cough, shortness of breath and respiratory problems. In more severe cases, the infection can cause pneumonia, severe acute respiratory syndrome, kidney failure, and even death [13].

The two main routes of COVID-19 transmission are respiratory and contact routes. Contact with infected person’s respiratory droplets via coughing or sneezing, contact with a person with respiratory symptoms (sneezing, coughing, etc.), and contact with surfaces on which respiratory droplets are located are currently known as the primary pathways of coronavirus transmission [14].

The literature show that the survival of human coronaviruses on surfaces varies from 2 h to 9 days [15]. Survival time depends on a variety of factors, including surface type, temperature, relative humidity, and pressure. The previous studies showed that effective inactivation (within 1 min) using common disinfectants such as ethanol 70% and sodium hypochlorite is acceptable [14].

Health tips to prevent the spread of COVID-19 include regular hand washing, covering the mouth and nose when coughing and sneezing, full cooking, avoiding close contact with a person who has symptoms of a respiratory illness such as cough and sneezing, and disinfecting surfaces [2].

There are many disinfectants that are effective against covert viruses such as the COVID-19 virus. The most commonly recommended disinfectants are ethanol 70% and chlorinated disinfectants such as sodium hypochlorite and calcium hypochlorite. After the outbreak of COVID-19 and due to the lack of familiarity of consumers with disinfectants and their preparing methods, the lack of proper training in this field by trustees, and finally profiteering of some people and companies, some poisonings and health problems in disinfectants users have been reported. The aim of this study was to investigate the pattern of consumption for disinfectants by public after the outbreak of coronavirus and compare it with standard protocol. The additional aim of present research was to identify the adverse effects of disinfectants misuse.

Material and methods

Study design

This cross-sectional study was performed within March 2020 and April 2020. The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hormozgan University of medical sciences (code: IR.HUMS.REC.1398.480). Since there is no previous study in this field, 384 cases were estimated to be studied based on Cohen’s table with 5% error and 80% power. The participants in the present study were the citizens of Hormozgan province. Hormozgan is a province in the south of Iran. This province includes 13 counties. The population of this province, according to the census in 2017 was estimated to be 177,641,500. Until 5 June 2020, the number of people afflicted with COVID-19 in this province was estimated to be 4480 and the mortalities were 56 [16]. No age or gender limitation were considered for participants.

Data collection

Data were collected by completing questionnaires. Due to the risk of transmitting the coronavirus through paper questionnaire, electronic self-made questionnaire were applied to collect data. The questionnaire consisted of three sections, including demographic characteristics (8 questions), pattern of disinfectants use (30 questions), and health effects of these substances on consumers (8 questions). The total number of questions in the questionnaire was 46. Questions were presented individually on the screen of mobile, tablet and computer and respondents were able to enter their answers by touching the corresponding buttons on the screen. Respondents were not able to move on to the next question without completing the previous one, however they were able to go back and change their previous responses.

Statistical analyses

The collected data were analyzed by SPSS22. Descriptive analysis were employed to describe the frequency, percentage, mean score and standard deviation of obtained data. T-test, one-way ANOVA or their nonparametric equivalents were applied to analyze the data and the differences between groups.

Results and discussion

Demographic characteristics

The results of the demographic characteristics of the participants in the study are shown in Table 1.
Of 1090 participants entered into the present study, 513 were male and 577 were female. The mean age of the participants in the study was 35.22 years with a range of 15 to 70 years and the highest frequency was observed in the age group of 31 to 45 years. 21.2% of the participants were married and 78.8% were single. Most of the participants (47.2%) were employed by the government or the private sectors. In terms of education level, most of the participants (50.7) were found to have bachelor degree. 21.7% of the participants lived in the rural and 78.3% in the urban areas.

**Hand washing**

The average frequency of hand washing between participants was found to be 15.28 times per day with minimum and maximum of 1 and 100, respectively. The average handwashing frequency in women was higher than in the men group, and the difference between two groups was statistically significant according to the t-test statistics (p < 0.05). The main explanation for this result may be attributed to this assumption that women pay more attention to their personal hygiene than men [17]. In addition, due to the fact that women in Iran are responsible for doing household chores, including cooking and cleaning, and therefore this can increase their responsibility in relation to the health of other family members.

The results obtained showed that married people washed their hands more compared to single people. However, the difference between two groups was not statistically significant based on the T-test statistics (p > 0.05). Among married people, those who had children washed their hands more than those who did not have children. The T-Test statistical test showed that the average hand washing frequency of two groups was significantly different. This result can be due to the responsibility of parents for the health of their and children. In addition, in the majority of Iranian families, fathers are responsible for financing the living expenses. Father's illness or death leads to temporary or permanent disruption of the family economy.

In terms of the average frequency of hand washing, there was no significant difference between urban and rural areas (p > 0.05).

The ANOVA statistics showed that there was a significant difference between different jobs in terms of the frequency of hand washing (p < 0.05). However, this difference was not statistically significant between participants with different education level.

The most effective cleaning agent for hand washing is liquid soap followed by, and solid soap is the next. 38.5% of the participants claimed that they use other ingredients, such as washing powder and dishwashing liquid, to wash their hands.

### Table 1 - Demographic characteristics of participants and their pattern for disinfecting hands and surfaces

| Characteristics       | Statistics | N   | Percent | Hand washing frequency | Hand sanitizing frequency | surface disinfection frequency |
|-----------------------|------------|-----|---------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                       |            |     |         | Mean | Min | Max | p     | Mean | Min | Max | p     | Mean | Min | Max | p     |
| Age (year)            |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| 15–30                 |            | 343 | 31.5    | 13.87| 1  |100  |0.076 |10.06| 1  |100  |0.231 |3.15 | 1  |30  |0.633 |
| 31–45                 |            | 597 | 54.8    | 15.94| 1  |100  |0.076 |11.33| 1  |100  |0.231 |2.97 | 1  |30  |0.633 |
| 46–60                 |            | 136 | 12.5    | 15.90| 1  |100  |0.076 |10.12| 1  |60   |0.231 |2.68 | 1  |30  |0.633 |
| >60                   |            | 14  | 1.3     | 15.29| 3  |30   |0.001 |6.82 | 3  |20   |0.001 |3.00 | 0  |10  |0.001 |
| Gender                |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Male                  |            | 513 | 47.1    | 14.03| 1  |100  |0.001 |10.30| 1  |60   |0.238 |3.16 | 1  |30  |0.148 |
| Female                |            | 577 | 52.9    | 16.39| 1  |100  |0.001 |11.17| 1  |100  |0.238 |2.85 | 1  |30  |0.148 |
| Education             |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Under diploma         |            | 94  | 8.6     | 12.79| 1  |50   |0.123 |10.21| 1  |50   |0.496 |3.49 | 1  |30  |0.094 |
| Diploma               |            | 228 | 20.9    | 15.12| 1  |100  |0.076 |10.51| 1  |80   |0.231 |2.91 | 1  |30  |0.231 |
| Bachelor              |            | 553 | 50.7    | 15.91| 1  |100  |0.076 |11.25| 1  |100  |0.231 |3.13 | 1  |30  |0.231 |
| Postgraduate          |            | 215 | 19.7    | 14.90| 1  |60   |0.001 |9.86 | 1  |50   |0.231 |2.53 | 1  |15  |0.231 |
| Employment            |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Unemployed            |            | 45  | 4.1     | 11.67| 1  |50   |0.001 |8.69 | 1  |30   |0.128 |2.52 | 1  |10  |0.361 |
| Retired               |            | 47  | 4.3     | 14.94| 3  |30   |0.001 |10.21| 1  |30   |0.128 |2.59 | 1  |25  |0.128 |
| Housewife             |            | 185 | 17.0    | 16.43| 1  |100  |0.001 |11.50| 1  |100  |0.231 |2.81 | 1  |15  |0.231 |
| Student               |            | 111 | 10.2    | 11.43| 1  |35   |0.001 |7.71 | 1  |30   |0.128 |3.14 | 1  |30  |0.128 |
| Self- employment      |            | 188 | 17.2    | 14.81| 1  |100  |0.001 |11.20| 1  |100  |0.231 |2.64 | 1  |20  |0.231 |
| Marital status        |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Single                |            | 362 | 21.2    | 14.06| 1  |100  |0.083 |10.94| 1  |100  |0.783 |3.19 | 1  |30  |0.338 |
| Married               |            | 728 | 78.8    | 15.60| 1  |100  |0.083 |10.69| 1  |100  |0.783 |2.94 | 1  |30  |0.338 |
| Have children         |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Yes                   |            | 728 | 66.8    | 15.84| 1  |100  |0.028 |10.90| 1  |100  |0.547 |3.00 | 1  |30  |0.866 |
| No                    |            | 362 | 33.2    | 14.14| 1  |100  |0.028 |10.43| 1  |100  |0.547 |2.96 | 1  |30  |0.866 |
| Residence place       |            |     |         |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |    |    |      |      |
| Rural                 |            | 236 | 21.7    | 14.45| 1  |100  |0.236 |10.74| 1  |100  |1.000 |3.14 | 1  |30  |0.491 |
| Urban                 |            | 854 | 78.3    | 15.50| 1  |100  |0.236 |10.74| 1  |100  |1.000 |2.95 | 1  |30  |0.491 |
The contribution of different detergent applied for hand washing are shown in the Fig. 1. Due to the presence of skin incompatible substances in these detergents, skin damage is possible for the consumers of these detergents. 1% of participants do not use any detergent to wash their hands. As water alone is not able to eliminate microorganisms, especially coronavirus, these people are at higher risk for coronavirus.

According to the world health organization (WHO), the proper time to wash hands is 20 s [18–20]. According to water supply officials in Iran, the water consumption has increased by about 20–40% since initial stage of the outbreak of COVID-19 in Iran. It is worth noting that part of this water consumption is contributed to washing and cleaning the house in special days of the new year, which coincides with the outbreak of COVID-19.

### Hand sanitizing

Approximately 77.9% of participants sanitize their hands when the outbreak of COVID-19 spread. In addition to the hands, disinfection of the feet, face and whole body was performed by 22.4, 30.2 and 13% of the participants, respectively. The average frequency of hand sanitization by participants was 10.74 times with a minimum and a maximum of 1 and 100, respectively. According to the t-test or ANOVA statistics, there was no significant difference in the number of hand disinfections between different people categorized by age, gender, level of education, marital status, having children, place of residence and occupation ($p > 0.05$).

The situations in which participants disinfect their hands are shown in the Fig. 2. As can be seen from the figure, most participants disinfect their hands after coming back to home, after eating, and after touching the face.
after shopping, after going to the toilet, and after touching the hands of other. It is recommended that people disinfect their hands when doing certain activities, such as before and after eating, after going to the toilet, after shopping, and after touching contaminated or suspected surfaces of contamination. On the one hand, not disinfecting hands in the mentioned situations can increase the risk of spreading COVID-19, on the other hand, increases in the frequency of disinfections and overuse of these matters can cause adverse effects on consumer health. To overcome these problems, it is necessary to educate the people about the correct methods of hand disinfection.

Disinfection of the face, and feet was performed by 30.2, 22.4% of the participants, respectively. 13% of participants disinfect their entire body. Apart from the hand, the recommendation to disinfect other parts of the body has not been reported so far [21, 22]. Over frequent disinfection of other organs, especially the face, can cause skin, eye, lung, and gastrointestinal disorders [23].

241 participants (22.1%) reported that they did not disinfect their hands after the coronavirus virus outbreak. Of this group, 31.1% wash their hands less than 10 times a day. Since washing hands with soapy water as well as disinfecting hands with alcoholic solutions is recommended as the most important ways to prevent COVID-19 [24–26], the risk of getting COVID-19 further threatens the health of these persons.

**Surface disinfection**

91% of participants disinfect surfaces. The average frequency of surface disinfection by participants was 2.99 times with a maximum of 30 and a minimum of 1. The frequency of disinfections recommended for surfaces is 2 [27, 28]. In addition to health problems, frequent disinfection of surfaces can also damage the family economy. According to the t-test or ANOVA statistics, there was no significant difference in the number of surface disinfections between different participants categorized by age, gender, level of education, marital status, having children, place of residence and occupation.

The most important surfaces disinfected by participants were toilet (80.7%), keys (86.1%), credit card (87%), and mobile phone (86.6%). The frequency of disinfection of other surfaces by the participants is shown in the Fig. 3.

The correlations between hand washing frequency, hand sanitizing frequency and surface disinfection frequency are shown in Fig. 4. The correlation between hand washing and hand sanitizing frequency was 0.61, while the correlation between hand washing and surface disinfection frequency, and between hand sanitizing and surface disinfection frequency were 0.16 and 0.28 and all of them were significant at level of 0.05.

**Disinfectants**

The most commonly used substance by participants to disinfect their hands was found to be alcoholic solutions (51.4%). 4.8% of people use sodium hypochlorite bleach and 43.8% use other substances. Alcohol is not available for everyone due to its high cost and scarcity. Some people, mostly low-income people, use Sodium hypochlorite bleach to disinfect their hands due to its low cost and availability. Although low-concentration of sodium hypochlorite bleach (0.05%) can be used for hand disinfection [29–31], uses of sodium hypochlorite bleach with high concentration are limited unless in emergency conditions. Repeated use of sodium hypochlorite bleach with inappropriate concentrations can cause damage.
to the skin of the hands and respiratory problems for these people. Some people have also resorted to the use of natural and herbal disinfectants such as vinegar. Given that the effectiveness of these disinfectants has not been proven by scientific sources [32–34], their use causes a false sense of the safety, and the risk of afflicting these people to COVID-19 is increased.

Sodium hypochlorite bleach is the most widely used disinfectant for surfaces disinfection by individuals (57.3%). The next ranks belong to the alcohol solution (22.6%). 20.2% of participants use other materials than these for surface disinfection. Lack of proper training to people to prepare and use sodium hypochlorite bleach can cause irreparable damage to their health. The best way to use sodium hypochlorite bleach for surface disinfection is to soak the fabric in sodium hypochlorite bleach and draw it on the surfaces. Spraying sodium hypochlorite bleach on surfaces, in addition to spreading sodium hypochlorite bleach itself in the air, removes contaminants from the surfaces and suspend them in the air [35].

Correct time for disinfection is another point that, if not followed properly, the disinfection efficiency will be reduced. The appropriate time for disinfection by sodium hypochlorite bleach (0.5%) is at least 10 min [36]. Only 5.5% of participants consider this contact time. 21.6% of people claimed that they start drying surfaces immediately after using sodium hypochlorite bleach. Due to the lack of contact time, the efficiency of the disinfection process will be reduced.

**Disinfectants preparation**

38.6% of participants buy ready-to-use disinfectant solutions, and 61.4% buy the raw materials and mix them at home with
other substances such as water to make them ready for use. Alcohol-based solutions and sodium hypochlorite bleach are the most widely used disinfectants by participants after the outbreak of Coronavirus.

2.1% of participants mix alcohol with substances other than water. The reaction of these substances with alcohol can lead to the production of harmful secondary compounds that are harmful to health. Due to the facts that the appropriate concentration of ethanol for disinfection is 60 to 70% [37–40] and the alcohol available in the market mainly has a concentration higher than 95–99%. The best way to prepare an alcohol solution to disinfect the hands and surfaces is mixing three cup of 99% alcohol with one cup of water [41, 42]. This method has been followed only by 10% of participants. Other patterns used by people neither have the necessary effectiveness for disinfection, nor have adverse health effects on consumers. 40% of participants do not follow the correct pattern of mixing alcohol with water.

The correct pattern for mixing sodium hypochlorite bleach (5% active chlorine with water to prepare a concentration of 0.1% chlorine suitable for disinfection of surfaces is one cup of sodium hypochlorite bleach with 49 cup of water [43]. About 82% of the participants used a different method other than the aforementioned pattern to prepare the sodium hypochlorite bleach solution. Approximately 0.7% of people have used the sodium hypochlorite bleach in a concentrated form without mixing it with water, which can have very adverse effects on their health. Approximately 1.3% of participants mix sodium hypochlorite bleach with other substances than water. Secondary substances produced by the reaction of these substances may have an adverse effect on consumer health [44].

Safety issues

The use of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as masks and gloves is one of the requirements when uses of surface disinfectants [13, 45]. 47.5% of people didn’t use any personal protective equipment when using disinfectants for surfaces, which endangers their health. The PPE used by consumers were shown in Fig. 5.

In Iran, the National Radio and Television are known as the most important and reputable source of news related to COVID-19, and the people have been advised to follow the news and recommendations for the preventive measurements against COVID-19 through these media. Selected references by participants to obtain instructions on the use of disinfectants are shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen from this figure, the highest contribution belongs to social networks (55.3%), followed by radio and television (43.8%). Although social media has played an undeniable role in informing about COVID-19, a large number of unscientific recommendations have been spread through social networks. The implementation of this recommendation by some people has caused irreparable damage to their health. Advices to use methanol instead of ethanol for disinfecting hands and surfaces and drink alcohol to remove the virus from the body were those that have led to poisoning, blindness and even death in some people.

5.4% of participants have used methanol (red or industrial alcohol) instead of ethanol (white or medical alcohol) for disinfection. Due to the proven adverse effects of methanol such as blindness, skin damage and even death [46–48], its use for disinfecting body organs and surfaces is strictly prohibited.

15.4% of the participants provide disinfectants from centers other than pharmacies and health centers. The huge profits from the sale of disinfectants after the outbreak of the coronavirus have led some profiteers to produce counterfeit disinfectants using hazardous chemicals; many of disinfectants produce have been seized by legal authorities [49, 50]. Therefore, it is recommended that people obtain disinfectants from reputable centers and stores and ensure the safety of these substances.

Fig. 5 PPE used by participants when they are disinfecting the surfaces

Fig. 6 The references used by participants to obtain information regarding disinfectants

### Fig. 5

| PPE       | YES | NO |
|-----------|-----|----|
| Hat       | 8.6 | 91.4 |
| Gown      | 11.5 | 88.5 |
| Glasses   | 16.5 | 83.5 |
| Mask      | 76 | 24 |
| Gloves    | 92.3 | 7.7 |

### Fig. 6

| Reference | YES | NO |
|-----------|-----|----|
| Social media | 55.3 | 44.7 |
| Radio and TV | 43.8 | 56.2 |
| Health staff | 37.9 | 62.1 |
| Friends | 37.6 | 62.4 |
| Web | 21.1 | 78.9 |
65.2% of people keep disinfectants in inappropriate places such as kitchens, bedrooms, toilets and bathrooms. Storage of disinfectants and disposal of empty containers is one of the points that must be done correctly in terms of safety. Keeping disinfectants available to children and near food items can cause poisonous effects on family members. The best place to store these materials is in a warehouse, away from family members and flammable materials [51–55]. In addition, the appropriate temperature and storage time for these materials must be considered according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Regarding the majority of chemicals, including disinfectants, it is recommended that their empty containers must be delivered separately from other waste to organizations that are responsible for managing such waste. 70.3% of participants dispose empty containers, along with other waste, which can be detrimental to the health of waste collection personnel and cause possible fires at waste storage and disposal sites.

**Adverse effect of disinfectants**

41.4% of participants had health problems in at least one organ of their body due to the use of disinfectants. The results of the adverse effects of disinfectants observed between the participants are shown in Fig. 7.

The most important skin effects on the hands and feet, were found to be itching, redness, dryness, and sores. Eye effects included eye irritation and itching, tearing, and decreased vision. Lung irritation, shortness of breath, cough and sneezing are some of the frequent effects observed in the respiratory system. Abdominal pain, diarrhea and vomiting have been common gastrointestinal symptoms.

Throat irritation, obsessive-compulsive disorder, lack of concentration, headaches and dizziness and fatigue have been other adverse effects of disinfectants on people. In addition, 1.7% of participants claimed that they or their relatives had eaten disinfectants, including alcohol, to treat coronavirus and had to see a doctor because of its side effects.

**Conclusion**

In this study, the pattern of preparation and consumption of disinfectants after corona outbreak and adverse effects of these substances on consumer health were examined. The results indicated that a significant number of participants are unfamiliar with the principles of preparation and use of disinfectants. Improper preparation, uses of disinfectants in unconventional concentrations, storage in unsafe places, excessive use of these materials, receiving instructions for the use of these materials from unreliable sources and improper disposal of empty containers are the most important mistakes made by participants. Because the misuse of these substances can have irreversible effects on people’s health, it is necessary for health authorities to provide the necessary training for consumers from official and reputable authorities.
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