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Abstract. We show that the largest character degree of an alternating group $A_n$ with $n \geq 5$ can be bounded in terms of smaller degrees in the sense that

$$b(A_n)^2 < \sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n), \psi(1) < b(A_n)} \psi(1)^2,$$

where $\text{Irr}(A_n)$ and $b(A_n)$ respectively denote the set of irreducible complex characters of $A_n$ and the largest degree of a character in $\text{Irr}(A_n)$. This confirms a prediction of I. M. Isaacs for the alternating groups and answers a question of M. Larsen, G. Malle, and P. H. Tiep.

1. Introduction

For a finite group $G$, let $\text{Irr}(G)$ and $b(G)$ respectively denote the set of irreducible complex characters of $G$ and the largest degree of a character in $\text{Irr}(G)$, then set

$$\varepsilon(G) := \frac{\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G), \chi(1) < b(G)} \chi(1)^2}{b(G)^2}.$$  

Since $b(G)$ divides $|G|$ and $b(G)^2 \leq |G|$, one can write $|G| = b(G)(b(G) + e)$ for some non-negative integer $e$. The (near-)extremal situations where $b(G)$ is very close to $\sqrt{|G|}$, or equivalently $e$ is very small, have been studied considerably in the literature, see [Ber, Sny]. According to the result of Y. Berkovich [Ber] which says that $e = 1$ if and only if $G$ is either an order 2 group or a 2-transitive Frobenius group, there is no upper bound for $|G|$ in this case. On the other hand, when $e > 1$, N. Snyder [Sny] showed that $|G|$ is bounded in terms of $e$ and indeed $|G| \leq ((2e)!)^2$.
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In an attempt to replace Snyder’s factorial bound with a polynomial bound of the form $Be^6$ for some constant $B$, Isaacs [Isa] raised the question whether the largest character degree of a non-abelian simple group can be bounded in terms of smaller degrees in the sense that $\varepsilon(S) \geq \varepsilon$ for some universal constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all non-abelian simple groups $S$. Answering Isaacs’s question in the affirmative, Larsen, Malle, and Tiep [LMT] showed that the bounding constant $\varepsilon$ can be taken to be $2/(120\,000!)$. We note that this rather small bound comes from the alternating groups, see [LMT, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2] for more details.

To further improve Snyder’s bound from $Be^6$ to $e^6 + e^4$, Isaacs even predicted that $\varepsilon(S) > 1$ for every non-abelian simple group $S$. This was in fact confirmed in [LMT] for the majority of simple classical groups, and for all simple exceptional groups of Lie type as well as sporadic simple groups. Therefore, Larsen, Malle and Tiep questioned whether one can improve the bound $2/(120\,000!)$ for the remaining non-abelian simple groups – the alternating groups $A_n$ of degree at least 5. Though Snyder’s bound has been improved significantly by different methods in recent works of C. Durfee and S. Jensen [DJ] and M. L. Lewis [Lew], Isaacs’s prediction and in particular Larsen-Malle-Tiep’s question are still open.

In this paper we are able to show that $\varepsilon(A_n) > 1$ for every $n \geq 5$.

**Theorem 1.** For every integer $n \geq 5$,

$$\sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n)} \psi(1)^2 > b(A_n)^2.$$ 

Unlike the simple groups of Lie type where one can use Lusztig’s classification of their irreducible complex characters, it seems more difficult to work with the largest character degree of the alternating groups. For instance, while $b(S)$ is known for $S$ a simple exceptional groups of Lie type or a simple classical group whose underlying field is sufficiently large (see [Sei, LMT]), $b(A_n)$ as well as $b(S_n)$ are far from determined. We note that the current best bound for $b(S_n)$ is due to A. M. Vershik and S. V. Kerov [VK].

It is clear that $b(S_n)/2 \leq b(A_n) \leq b(S_n)$ and as we will prove in Section 4, indeed $b(S_n)/2 < b(A_n) \leq b(S_n)$ is always the case. As far as we know, it is still unknown for what $n$ the equality $b(A_n) = b(S_n)$ actually occurs. It would be interesting to solve this. Though it appears at first sight that $b(A_n) = b(S_n)$ holds most of the time, computational evidence indicates that $b(A_n) < b(S_n)$ is true quite often.

When $A_n$ and $S_n$ do have the same largest character degree, Theorem 1 is indeed a direct consequence of a similar but stronger inequality for the symmetric groups.
Theorem 2. For every integer \( n \geq 7 \),
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n) \atop \chi(1) < b(S_n)} \chi(1)^2 > 2b(S_n)^2.
\]

Our ideas to prove Theorems 1 and 2 are different from those in [LMT] and are described briefly as follows. We first introduce a graph with the partitions of \( n \) as vertices and a partition \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k) \) is connected by an edge to \( \lambda_{up} := (\lambda_1 + 1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k - 1) \) only when \( \lambda_k = 1 \) and to \( \lambda_{dn} := (\lambda_1 - 1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1) \) only when \( \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \). It turns out that if \( \lambda \) corresponds to an irreducible character of \( S_n \) of the largest degree, then \( \lambda \) has precisely two neighbors in this graph. Furthermore, the degrees of the characters corresponding to \( \lambda_{up} \) and \( \lambda_{dn} \) are shown to be ‘close’ to that corresponding to \( \lambda \), see Lemma 7. With this in hand, we deduce that \( S_n \) has at least as many irreducible characters of degree close to but smaller than \( b(S_n) \) as those of degree \( b(S_n) \), and therefore Theorem 2 holds when the largest character degree \( b(S_n) \) has large enough multiplicity. When this multiplicity is smaller, we consider the irreducible constituents of the induced character \( (\chi \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})^{S_n} \) where \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n) \) is a character of degree \( b(S_n) \) and observe that there are enough constituents of degree smaller than \( b(S_n) \) to prove the desired inequality.

As mentioned already, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2 in the case \( b(A_n) = b(S_n) \). However, the other case \( b(A_n) < b(S_n) \) creates some difficulties. To handle this, we reduce the problem to the situation where \( S_n \) has precisely one irreducible character of degree \( b(S_n) \) and the second largest character degree equal to \( b(A_n) \). We then work with the multiplicity of degree \( b(A_n) \) and follow similar but more delicate arguments than in the case \( b(A_n) = b(S_n) \).

Following the ideas outlined above, we can also prove the following

Theorem 3. We have \( \varepsilon(A_n) \to \infty \) and \( \varepsilon(S_n) \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \).

This convinces us to believe that \( \varepsilon(S) \to \infty \) as \( |S| \to \infty \) for all non-abelian simple groups \( S \) and it would be interesting to confirm this.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we give a brief summary of the character theory of the symmetric and alternating groups. The graph on partitions and relevant results are presented in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 and finally Theorem 3 is proved in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

For the reader’s convenience and to introduce notation, we briefly summarize some basic facts on the representation theory of the symmetric and alternating groups.

We say that a finite sequence \( \lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) is a partition of \( n \) if \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k \) and \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_k = n \). The Young diagram corresponding to \( \lambda \), denoted
by $Y_\lambda$, is defined to be the finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that 
\[(i, j) \in Y_\lambda \text{ if and only if } i \leq \lambda_j.\]
The conjugate partition of $\lambda$, denoted by $\overline{\lambda}$, is the partition whose associated Young diagram is obtained from $Y_\lambda$ by reflecting it about the line $y = x$. So $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$ if and only if $Y_\lambda$ is symmetric and in that case we say that $\lambda$ is self-conjugate.

For each node $(i, j) \in Y_\lambda$, the so-called hook length $h(i, j)$ is defined by 
\[h(i, j) := 1 + \lambda_j + \lambda_i - i - j.\]
That is, $h(i, j)$ is the number of nodes that are directly above it, directly to the right of it, or equal to it. The hook-length product of $\lambda$ is then defined by
\[H(\lambda) := \prod_{(i, j) \in Y_\lambda} h(\lambda, j).\]

For each positive integer $n$, it is known that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible complex characters of the symmetric group $S_n$ and the partitions of $n$. We denote by $\chi_\lambda$ the irreducible character of $S_n$ corresponding to $\lambda$. The degree of $\chi_\lambda$ is given by the hook-length formula, see [FRT]:
\[\chi_\lambda(1) = \frac{n!}{H(\lambda)}.\]
The irreducible characters of $A_n$ can be obtained by restricting those of $S_n$ to $A_n$. More explicitly, if $\lambda$ is not self-conjugate then $\chi_\lambda \downarrow A_n = \chi_{\overline{\lambda}} \downarrow A_n$ is irreducible and otherwise, $\chi_\lambda \downarrow A_n$ splits into two different irreducible characters of the same degree. Therefore, the degrees of the irreducible characters of $A_n$ are
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\chi_\lambda(1) & \text{if } \lambda \neq \overline{\lambda}, \\
\chi_\lambda(1)/2 & \text{if } \lambda = \overline{\lambda}.
\end{array} \right.
\]

For each partition $\lambda$ of $n$, let $A(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$ denote the sets of nodes that can be respectively added or removed from $Y_\lambda$ to obtain another Young diagram corresponding to a certain partition of $n + 1$ or $n - 1$ respectively. As shown in [LMT, page 67], we have $|A(\lambda)|^2 - |A(\lambda)| \leq 2n$, and hence
\[A(\lambda) \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2}.
\]
Similarly, we have $|R(\lambda)| + |R(\lambda)| \leq 2n$ and
\[R(\lambda) \leq \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2}.
\]

The well-known branching rule (see [Jam, §9.2] for instance) asserts that the restriction of $\chi_\lambda$ to $S_{n-1}$ is a sum of irreducible characters of the form $\chi_{Y_\lambda \setminus \{(i,j)\}}$ as $(i, j)$ goes over all nodes in $R(\lambda)$. Also, by Frobenius reciprocity, the induction of $\chi_\lambda$ to
S_{n+1} is a sum of irreducible characters of the form \( \chi_{Y_\lambda \cup \{(i,j)\}} \) as \((i,j)\) goes over all nodes in \( A(\lambda) \).

It follows from the branching rule that the number of irreducible constituents of the induced characters \((\chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}})^{S_n}\) is at most

\[
-1 + \frac{\sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2} \cdot 1 + \frac{\sqrt{1 + 8(n-1)}}{2}.
\]

In particular, this number is smaller than \(2^n\).

3. A graph on partitions

Let \( \mathcal{P} \) denote the set of partitions of \( n \). Furthermore, let

\[
b_1 = b(S_n) > b_2 > \ldots > b_m = 1
\]

be the distinct character degrees of \( S_n \). For every \( 1 \leq i \leq m \) let

\[
\mathcal{M}_i := \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P} \mid \chi_\lambda(1) = b_i \}
\]

so that

\[
\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{M}_m \quad \text{(disjoint union)}.
\]

**Definition 4.** For a partition \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k) \) we define partitions \( \lambda_{dn} \) and \( \lambda_{up} \) in the following way. The partition \( \lambda_{dn} \) is defined only if \( \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \) and in this case let \( \lambda_{dn} := (\lambda_1 - 1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k \geq 1) \). Similarly, the partition \( \lambda_{up} \) is defined only if \( \lambda_k = 1 \) and in this case let \( \lambda_{up} := (\lambda_1 + 1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k-1}) \).

Next we define a graph on \( \mathcal{P} \).

**Definition 5.** Let \( \Gamma = (V,E) \) be the graph with vertex set \( V = \mathcal{P} \) and edge set \( E = \{ (\lambda,\mu) \mid \mu = \lambda_{dn} \text{ or } \mu = \lambda_{up} \} \). Furthermore, let \( \Gamma_{\mathcal{M}_i} \) be the induced subgraph of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathcal{M}_i \).

For each vertex \( \lambda \in V \), let \( d(\lambda) \) denote the degree of \( \lambda \), that is, the number of vertices that are connected to \( \lambda \) by an edge of \( \Gamma \). It is clear that \( d(\lambda) \leq 2 \) for every \( \lambda \in V \). Moreover, every connected component of \( \Gamma \) is a simple path.

**Lemma 6.** For every \( 1 \leq r \leq m \) we have

\[
|\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid d(\lambda) < 2 \}| \leq 2|\bigcup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|.
\]

In particular, we have the following

1. \( d(\lambda) = 2 \) for all partitions \( \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_1 \).
2. If \( |\mathcal{M}_1| = 1 \), then \( d(\lambda) = 2 \) for all but at most two partitions \( \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_2 \).

**Proof.** First we prove that

\[
|\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \# \lambda_{dn} \}| \leq |\bigcup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|.
\]
Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k) \in \mathcal{M}_r \) such that \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_s = t \) but \( \lambda_{s+1} < t \) for some \( 1 < s \leq k \). Let \( \lambda_{r-1} := (\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s - 1, \lambda_{s+1}, \ldots, \lambda_k) \) and \( x_j := h_{\lambda}(s, j) \) for every \( 1 \leq j \leq t - 1 \). Calculating the ratio of the hook-length products \( H(\lambda_{r-1}) \) and \( H(\lambda) \) we get

\[
\frac{H(\lambda_{r-1})}{H(\lambda)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}(h_{\lambda_{r-1}}(s, j)h_{\lambda_{r-1}}(1, j))\prod_{i=2}^{t-1}h_{\lambda_{r-1}}(i, t)}{\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}(h_{\lambda}(s, j)h_{\lambda}(1, j))\prod_{i=2}^{t-1}h_{\lambda}(i, t)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}((x_j - 1)(x_j + s)) \cdot (s - 2)!}{\prod_{j=1}^{t-1}(x_j(x_j + s - 1)) \cdot (s - 1)!}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{s - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{t} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{x_j(x_j + s - 1)} \right) < 1.
\]

Hence for the degrees of characters we get

\[
\frac{\chi_\lambda(1)}{\chi_{\lambda_{r-1}}(1)} = \frac{H(\lambda_{r-1})}{H(\lambda)} < 1.
\]

Thus, we have defined a map \( \lambda \mapsto \lambda_{r-1} \) from the set \( \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{dn} \} \) into \( \cup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i \). This map is clearly injective, so

\[
|\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{dn} \}| \leq |\cup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|
\]

follows. The dual map \( \lambda \mapsto \overline{\lambda} \) defines a bijection between \( \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{dn} \} \) and \( \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{up} \} \). It follows that

\[
|\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{up} \}| \leq |\cup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|.
\]

Therefore,

\[
|\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid d(\lambda) < 2 \}| \leq |\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{dn} \}| + |\{ \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_r \mid \not\exists \lambda_{up} \}| \leq 2|\cup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|
\]

and the proof is complete. \( \square \)

**Lemma 7.** If \( d(\lambda) = 2 \) then

\[
1 < \frac{H(\lambda_{dn})H(\lambda_{up})}{H(\lambda)^2} < 4.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_k = 1) \in \mathcal{P} \) with \( d(\lambda) = 2 \). Furthermore, let \( x_j := h(1, j) \) for \( 2 \leq j \leq \lambda_1 - 1 \) and \( y_i := h(i, 1) \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq k - 1 \). Then we have \( x_2 > x_3 > \ldots > x_{\lambda_1 - 1} \geq 2 \) and \( y_2 > y_3 > \ldots > y_{k-1} \geq 2 \).

Calculating the ratios \( H(\lambda_{dn})/H(\lambda) \) and \( H(\lambda_{up})/H(\lambda) \) we obtain

\[
\frac{H(\lambda_{dn})}{H(\lambda)} = \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_1-1} \frac{x_j - 1}{x_j} \cdot 2 \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{y_i + 1}{y_i}
\]
and
\[
\frac{H(\lambda_{up})}{H(\lambda)} = 2 \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_1-1} \frac{x_j + 1}{x_j} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{y_i - 1}{y_i}.
\]

It follows that
\[
\frac{H(\lambda_{dn})H(\lambda_{up})}{H(\lambda)^2} = 4 \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_1-1} \frac{x_j^2 - 1}{x_j^2} \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{y_i^2 - 1}{y_i^2}.
\]

The right hand side of this inequality is clearly smaller than 4. Regarding the lower bound, we argue as follows. First, since the hook lengths \(x_i\) are different integers bigger than 1, we have
\[
2 \prod_{j=2}^{\lambda_1-1} \frac{x_j^2 - 1}{x_j^2} > 2 \prod_{m=2}^\infty \frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{m^2} = 1.
\]
The same can be said about \(2 \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \frac{y_i^2 - 1}{y_i^2}\) and so their product is also bigger than 1.

The proof is complete. \(\square\)

Using the previous lemma, we can show that \(S_n\) has many irreducible characters of degree close to but smaller than \(b(S_n)\).

**Proposition 8.** For every \(1 \leq r \leq m\) we have
\[
\left| \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \frac{b_1}{4} < \chi_\mu(1) < \frac{b_r}{4}\} \right| \geq |\mathcal{M}_r| - 4| \cup_{i<r} \mathcal{M}_i|.
\]

In particular, we have

1. \(\left| \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \frac{b_1}{4} < \chi_\mu(1) < \frac{b_1}{4}\} \right| \geq |\mathcal{M}_1|\).

2. If \(|\mathcal{M}_1| = 1\), then
\[
\left| \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \frac{b_2}{4} < \chi_\mu(1) < \frac{b_2}{4}\} \right| \geq |\mathcal{M}_2| - 4.
\]

**Proof.** For a real-valued function \(f : V \mapsto \mathbb{R}\) defined on the vertex set of the graph \(\Gamma = (V, E)\) we say that \(x \in V\) is a local maximum (resp. minimum) of \(f\) if \(f(y) \leq f(x)\) (resp. \(f(y) \geq f(x)\)) for every \((x, y) \in E\).

Let \(C\) be any connected component of \(\Gamma\), so \(C\) is a simple path. We note that if \(d(\lambda) = 2\) then either \(H(\lambda) < H(\lambda_{dn})\) or \(H(\lambda) < H(\lambda_{up})\) by Lemma 7. Therefore, there is no local maximum \(\lambda \in C\) of the hook-length product function \(H : C \mapsto \mathbb{N}\) with \(d(\lambda) = 2\). It follows that if \(\lambda, \mu \in C\) are both local minimums of \(H\) on \(C\), then \(H\) is constant on the subpath connecting \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\). Furthermore, the restriction of
H to a subpath of C of length ≥ 3 cannot be constant, since for an inner point λ of such a subpath we would have

\[ \frac{H(\lambda_{dn})H(\lambda_{up})}{H(\lambda)^2} = 1 \]

and this violates the inequality in Lemma 7.

It follows from this argument that |C ∩ Mr| ≤ 2. Furthermore, if |C ∩ Mr| = 2, then the two vertices of C ∩ Mr are either neighboring vertices in Γ or all the inner points of the subpath connecting them are elements from the set \( \bigcup_{i<r} M_i \). This implies that

\[ |\{ \lambda \in Mr | d(\lambda) = 2, \min(H(\lambda_{dn}), H(\lambda_{up})) < H(\lambda) \}| \leq 2| \bigcup_{i<r} M_i |. \]

Let

\[ X := \{ \lambda \in Mr | d(\lambda) = 2, \min(H(\lambda_{dn}), H(\lambda_{up})) \geq H(\lambda) \}. \]

Taking also the result of Lemma 6 into account we deduce that

\[ |X| \geq |Mr| - 4| \bigcup_{i<r} M_i |. \]

Now, for every \( \lambda \in X \) we will associate a \( \mu \in \mathcal{P} \) such that \((\lambda, \mu) \in E\) and \( \chi_\lambda(1)/4 < \chi_\mu(1) < \chi_\lambda(1) \). Let C be the component of Γ containing \( \lambda \). If \( \lambda \) is the only vertex of \( C \cap X \) then

\[ 1 < \frac{H(\lambda_{dn})}{H(\lambda)}, \frac{H(\lambda_{up})}{H(\lambda)} < 4 \]

so that both \( \mu = \lambda_{dn} \) and \( \mu = \lambda_{up} \) are good choices. On the other hand, if |C ∩ X| = 2, then |{\lambda_{dn}, \lambda_{up}} ∩ X| = 1 and we just choose \( \mu \) to be the vertex in \{\lambda_{dn}, \lambda_{up}\} that is not in X.

It remains to prove that the function \( \lambda \mapsto \mu \) we have just defined is injective. But this follows from the fact that disjoint elements of X cannot have a common neighbor in Γ.

\[ \square \]

4. Theorems 1 and 2

We now show that Proposition 8 implies Theorem 2 when the cardinality of Mr is large enough.

Corollary 9. If |Mr| ≥ 32, then Theorem 2 holds.

Proof. Let

\[ \mathcal{T} := \left\{ \chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n) \left| \frac{b(S_n)}{4} < \chi(1) < b(S_n) \right. \right\}. \]

By Proposition 8 (1) we have |\mathcal{T}| ≥ |Mr| ≥ 32. Thus,

\[ \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n)} \chi(1)^2 \geq \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{T}} \chi(1)^2 \geq |\mathcal{T}| \left( \frac{b(S_n)}{4} \right)^2 \geq 2b(S_n)^2, \]
as desired.

The case where $|\mathcal{M}_1|$ is small is handled by a different technique. From now on, for characters $\chi_1, \chi_2$ of a group $G$ we write $[\chi_1, \chi_2]$ to denote their inner product.

**Proposition 10.** Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and let $\chi := \chi_\lambda$. If $n \geq 50$ and $|\mathcal{M}_1| \leq 31$, then

$$\sum_{[\varphi, (\chi \downarrow_{S_n-1})^5n] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(S_n)} \varphi^2(1) > 2b(S_n)^2.$$ 

In particular, Theorem 2 holds in this case.

**Proof.** By the branching rule we have

$$(\chi \downarrow_{S_n-1})^5n = |R(\lambda)| \cdot \chi + \sum_{i \neq j} \chi_{\lambda_i \rightarrow j},$$

where we recall that $R(\lambda)$ is the set of nodes that can be removed from $Y_\lambda$ to obtain another Young diagram of size $n - 1$, and $\lambda_i \rightarrow j$ denotes the partition obtained from $\lambda$ by moving the last node from row $i$ to the end of the row $j$.

We also recall that $|R(\lambda)| \leq \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2}$ and if $\mu$ is a partition of $n - 1$ then $|A(\mu)| < \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2}$. Therefore the sum on the right hand side has at most

$$\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2} \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{8n - 7}}{2} < 2n$$

characters. Furthermore, $\chi$ appears at most $\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2} < \sqrt{2n}$ times, while there are at most 30 other characters in this sum with degree $b(S_n)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{[\varphi, (\chi \downarrow_{S_n-1})^5n] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(S_n)} \varphi(1) > (n - \sqrt{2n} - 30)b(S_n).$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$\sum_{[\varphi, (\chi \downarrow_{S_n-1})^5n] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(S_n)} \varphi^2(1) > \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(n - \sqrt{2n} - 30) \right)^2 \cdot b(S_n)^2.$$ 

It remains to check that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(n - \sqrt{2n} - 30) \geq 1$$

but this is clear as $n \geq 50$. 

We are now ready to finish the proof of Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 2. In light of Corollary 9 and Proposition 10, we only need to prove the theorem for \(7 \leq n \leq 49\). We have done that by computations in [GAP] and the codes are available upon request.

For each \(n \leq 75\), partition corresponding to a character of \(S_n\) of the largest degree is available in [McK]. Let \(Y\) be the Young diagram corresponding to this partition. We consider all possible Young diagrams obtained from \(Y\) by moving one node from one row to another. For all those Young diagrams the degrees of the corresponding irreducible characters will be determined. If the degree of such a character coincides with the largest character degree of \(S_n\), then it will be excluded. We finally check that the sum of the squares of the remaining degrees is greater than \(2b(S_n)^2\), as desired.

We now move on to a proof of Theorem 1. First we handle the case where \(A_n\) and \(S_n\) have the same largest character degree.

**Proposition 11.** If \(b(A_n) = b(S_n)\) then Theorem 1 holds.

**Proof.** Recall that the restriction of each irreducible character of \(S_n\) to \(A_n\) is either irreducible or a sum of two irreducible characters of equal degree. Therefore,

\[
\sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n), \psi(1) < b(A_n)} \psi(1)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n), \chi(1) < b(S_n)} \chi(1)^2.
\]

Using Theorem 2, we obtain

\[
\sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n), \psi(1) < b(A_n)} \psi(1)^2 > b(S_n)^2 = b(A_n)^2,
\]

as desired. \(\square\)

The proof of Theorem 1 in the case \(b(A_n) < b(S_n)\) turns out to be more complicated. We will explain this in the rest of this section.

Let \(\lambda\) be the partition corresponding to a character of the largest degree of \(S_n\). Then \(\lambda\) is self-conjugate as \(b(A_n) < b(S_n)\). Lemma 6 guarantees that \(d(\lambda) = 2\) and it follows that \(\lambda_{\text{up}}\) and \(\lambda_{\text{dn}}\) are not self-conjugate. In particular, \(\chi_{\lambda_{\text{up}}}(1)\) and \(\chi_{\lambda_{\text{dn}}}(1)\) are both at most \(b(A_n)\). Using Lemma 7, we deduce that

\[
\frac{b(S_n)^2}{b(A_n)^2} = \frac{\chi_{\lambda}(1)^2}{b(A_n)^2} \leq \frac{\chi_{\lambda}(1)^2}{\chi_{\lambda_{\text{up}}}(1)\chi_{\lambda_{\text{dn}}}(1)} < 4,
\]

which in turns implies that \(b(S_n)/2 < b(A_n)\). In summary, we have \(\frac{b(S_n)}{2} < b(A_n) < b(S_n)\).
If there are two irreducible characters of $S_n$ of the largest degree, then the associated partitions are both self-conjugate and so there are four irreducible characters of $A_n$ of degree $b(S_n)/2$, and we are done. So from now on we assume that there is only one irreducible character of degree $b(S_n)$ of $S_n$. In other words, $|\mathcal{M}_1| = 1$.

If there is $\mu \in P$ such that $b(A_n) < \chi_{\mu}(1) < b(S_n)$ then clearly $\mu$ must be self-conjugate. In this case $A_n$ has two irreducible characters (lying under $\chi_{\lambda}$) of degree $b(S_n)/2$ and two irreducible characters (lying under $\chi_{\mu}$) of degree at least $b(A_n)/2$, and we are done again. So we assume furthermore that $b(A_n)$ is the second largest character degree of $S_n$, that is, $b(A_n) = b_2$.

**Proposition 12.** Assume that there is precisely one irreducible character of $S_n$ of degree $b(S_n)$ and $b(A_n)$ is the second largest character degree of $S_n$. If $|\mathcal{M}_2| \geq 19$, then Theorem 1 holds.

**Proof.** Proposition 8 (2) and the hypothesis $|\mathcal{M}_2| \geq 20$ imply that

$$\left| \left\{ \nu \in P \left| \frac{b(A_n)}{4} < \chi_{\nu}(1) < b(A_n) \right. \right\} \right| \geq 16.$$

Thus the sum of the squares of the degrees of irreducible characters of $A_n$ lying under these characters $\nu$ is at least

$$16 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{b(A_n)}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{b(A_n)^2}{2}.$$

On the other hand, the sum of the squares of the degrees of the two characters of $A_n$ lying under $\chi_{\lambda}$ is $b(S_n)^2/2$, which is larger than $b(A_n)^2/2$. So we conclude that

$$\sum_{\substack{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n) \\ \psi(1) < b(A_n)}} \psi(1)^2 > b(A_n)^2,$$

as the theorem claimed. \hfill \square

**Proposition 13.** Assume that there is precisely one irreducible character of $S_n$ of degree $b(S_n)$ and $b(A_n)$ is the second largest character degree of $S_n$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and let $\chi := \chi_{\mu}$. If $n \geq 43$ and $|\mathcal{M}_2| \leq 19$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{[\varphi, \chi|_{S_{n-1}}]^{S_n} \neq 0 \\ \varphi(1) < b(A_n)}} \varphi(1)^2 > 2b(A_n)^2.$$

In particular, Theorem 1 holds in this case.

**Proof.** The proof goes along the same lines as that of Proposition 10 and so we will skip some details. First, by the branching rule,

$$(\chi|_{S_{n-1}})^{S_n} = |R(\mu)| \cdot \chi + \sum_{i \neq j} \chi_{\mu_i \mu_j}.$$
where $R(\mu)$ is the set of nodes that can be removed from $Y_\mu$ to obtain another Young diagram of size $n - 1$, and $\mu_{i \rightarrow j}$ denotes the partition obtained from $\mu$ by moving the last node from row $i$ to the end of the row $j$.

In the sum on the right hand side, there are at most 18 irreducible characters (other than $\chi$) with degree $b(A_n)$, and at most one irreducible character with degree $b(S_n)$. We recall that $|R(\mu)| < \sqrt{2n} < \sqrt{2}n$ and $b(S_n) < 2b(A_n)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{[\varphi, (\chi_{S_{n-1}})^b] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(A_n)} \varphi(1) > (n - \sqrt{2n} - 20)b(A_n).$$

As the sum on the right hand side has at most $2n$ terms, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality then implies that

$$\sum_{[\varphi, (\chi_{S_{n-1}})^b] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(A_n)} \varphi^2(1) > \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(n - \sqrt{2n} - 20) \right)^2 \cdot b(A_n)^2.$$

Now the inequality in the proposition follows as $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2n}}(n - \sqrt{2n} - 20) > \sqrt{2}$ when $n \geq 43$.

To see that Theorem 1 holds under the given hypothesis, we just observe that

$$\sum_{\psi \in \text{Irr}(A_n), \psi(1) < b(A_n)} \psi(1)^2 > \frac{1}{2} \sum_{[\varphi, (\chi_{S_{n-1}})^b] \neq 0, \varphi(1) < b(A_n)} \varphi^2(1) > b(A_n)^2.$$

Finally we can prove Theorem 1 in the case $b(A_n) < b(S_n)$.

**Proposition 14.** If $b(A_n) < b(S_n)$ then Theorem 1 holds.

**Proof.** As discussed at the beginning of this section, it suffices to assume that there is precisely one irreducible character of $S_n$ of degree $b(S_n)$ and $b(A_n)$ is the second largest character degree of $S_n$. Now the proposition follows from Propositions 12 and 13 when $n \geq 43$.

Let us now describe how we verify the theorem for $n < 43$. As pointed out earlier the partition $\lambda$ corresponding to the largest degree in $[\text{McK}]$ is self-conjugate. Denote the Young diagram corresponding to this partition by $Y$, so $Y$ is symmetric. Then as before we consider all Young diagrams obtained from $Y$ by moving one node from one row to another. Note that all these Young diagrams are not symmetric anymore and $Y_{up}$ and $Y_{dn}$ (the Young diagrams of $\lambda_{up}$ and $\lambda_{dn}$) are among these diagrams. For such a Young diagram we compute by $[\text{GAP}]$ the associated character degree. There are two cases:
1) \(b(A_n)\) is not \(\chi_{\lambda_{up}}(1)\) and \(\chi_{\lambda_{dn}}(1)\). We have
\[
\chi_{\lambda_{up}}(1)^2 + \chi_{\lambda_{dn}}(1)^2 \geq 2\chi_{\lambda_{up}}(1)\chi_{\lambda_{dn}}(1) > \frac{\chi_{\lambda}(1)^2}{2} = \frac{b(S_n)^2}{2}
\]
where the inequality in the middle comes from Lemma 7. Using two irreducible characters lying under \(\chi_{\lambda}\) as well, we obtain the desired inequality.

2) \(b(A_n)\) is either \(\chi_{\lambda_{up}}(1)\) or \(\chi_{\lambda_{dn}}(1)\). In particular, the largest degree (among the degrees we have computed) falls into either \(Y_{up}\) or \(Y_{dn}\). Then we just check that the sum of the squares of all other smaller degrees is bigger than the square of this largest degree.

\[\square\]

Theorem 1 now is just a consequence of Propositions 11 and 14.

5. Theorem 3

We will prove Theorem 3 in this section. As the main ideas are basically the same as those in Sections 4, we will skip most of the details.

Proof of Theorem 3. Following the proofs of Corollary 9 and Proposition 10, we obtain
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(S_n) \atop \chi(1) < b(S_n)} \chi(1)^2 \geq \max \left\{ \frac{|\mathcal{M}_1|}{16}, \frac{(n - \sqrt{2n}) - (|\mathcal{M}_1| - 1)^2}{2n} \right\} b(S_n)^2,
\]
which implies that
\[
\varepsilon(S_n) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{|\mathcal{M}_1|}{16}, \frac{(n - \sqrt{2n}) - (|\mathcal{M}_1| - 1)^2}{2n} \right\}.
\]
It now easily follows that \(\varepsilon(S_n) \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\).

To estimate \(\varepsilon(A_n)\), we again consider two cases. If \(b(A_n) = b(S_n)\) we would have
\[
\varepsilon(A_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon(S_n)
\]
and therefore there is nothing more to prove.

So from now on we assume that \(b(A_n) < b(S_n)\). Let \(x\) be the number of irreducible characters of \(S_n\) of degree bigger than \(b(A_n)\). These characters produce \(2x\) irreducible characters of \(A_n\) of degree at least \(b(A_n)/2\) and therefore
\[
\sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(A_n) \atop \chi(1) < b(A_n)} \chi(1)^2 \geq 2x \cdot \left( \frac{b(A_n)}{2} \right)^2,
\]
which yield

(1) \[ \varepsilon(A_n) \geq \frac{x}{2}. \]

Let \( y \) be the multiplicity of the character degree \( b(A_n) \) of \( S_n \). Then we have

\[
\left| \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P} \mid \frac{b(A_n)}{4} < \chi_{\nu}(1) < b(A_n) \right\} \right| \geq y - 4x.
\]

Each \( \nu \) in this set produces either one irreducible character of \( A_n \) of degree greater than \( b(A_n)/4 \) or two irreducible characters of \( A_n \) of degree greater than \( b(A_n)/8 \). Thus

(2) \[ \varepsilon(A_n) \geq \frac{y - 4x}{32}. \]

On the other hand, by following similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 13, we get

(3) \[ \varepsilon(A_n) \geq \frac{(n - \sqrt{2n} - 2x - (y - 1))^2}{2n}. \]

Now combining Equations 1, 2, and 3, we have

\[ \varepsilon(A_n) \geq \max \left\{ \frac{x}{2}, \frac{y - 4x}{32}, \frac{(n - \sqrt{2n} - 2x - (y - 1))^2}{2n} \right\}. \]

From this it is clear that \( \varepsilon(A_n) \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \) and the proof is complete. \( \square \)
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