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Surficial geology and geomorphology of Greg crater, Promethei Terra, Mars

V. Tsibulskaya, A.J. Hepburn, B. Hubbard and T. Holt

1. Introduction

Greg crater (38.5°S, 113.1°E; Figure 1) is a ∼66 km diameter impact crater. The crater has been widely studied, both in terms of its overall geomorphological evolution and, more specifically, its ice-rich landforms. One category of these, glacier-like forms, appears to be closely analogous to valley glaciers on Earth. However, Greg crater hosts many other features, the origins and inter-relationships between which are of continuing interest. Here, the surficial geology and geomorphology of Greg crater is presented, identifying seven distinct groups of terrain types or landforms. We identify and classify these based on their physical appearance, guided by published descriptions and interpretations to illustrate the wide variety of landforms and terrain types that, elsewhere, could be used to investigate landscape development.

Greg crater, located in Promethei Terra, Mars is a ∼66 km diameter impact crater. The crater has been widely studied, both in terms of its overall geomorphological evolution and, more specifically, its ice-rich landforms. One category of these, glacier-like forms, appears to be closely analogous to valley glaciers on Earth. However, Greg crater hosts many other features, the origins and inter-relationships between which are of continuing interest. Here, the surficial geology and geomorphology of Greg crater is presented, identifying seven distinct groups of terrain types or landforms. We identify and classify these based on their physical appearance, guided by published descriptions and interpretations to illustrate the wide variety of landforms and terrain types that, elsewhere, could be used to investigate landscape development.
martian climate (Ponderelli et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2007). However, despite sustained interest in Greg crater, such a map has yet to be created. Regional maps (e.g. Mest & Crown, 2014) and small-scale maps (e.g. Figure 2a of Hartmann et al., 2014) do exist, but these lack detail, or focus on glaciofluvial landforms, and are therefore of limited use to those seeking to interpret the broad assemblage of landforms located within Greg crater. This restricts the formulation of hypotheses for Greg crater’s observed morphology, including the range of features not directly linked to glacial action, including valleys, (putative) fans, impact features and dunes. The aim of this paper is to present a comprehensive surficial geological and geomorphological map of these landforms, land features and terrain types to contribute to identifying and interpreting the processes that have shaped Greg crater.

2. Data, methods and software

Here, we used Context Camera (CTX; Malin et al., 2007) images to distinguish and record landform assemblages within Greg crater and its immediate surroundings. In particular we used the beta01 Murray Lab CTX mosaic (Dickson et al., 2018)—a near seamless, non-destructive, and near-global mosaic of CTX images at 5 m/pixel (http://murray-lab.caltech.edu/CTX/index.html Last accessed 10-9-19). This beta01 CTX mosaic is a preliminary dataset, and care was exercised to ensure image artefacts (including seams and colour balancing) were not mapped as geologic contacts. We also made use of 100 m/pixel night-time infrared images from the Thermal Emission Imaging System (THEMIS; Christensen et al., 2004; Edwards et al., 2011) which helped discriminate morphological units on Greg’s surface. In its visual product, fine-grained or unconsolidated material appears dark (indicating low thermal inertia), cemented surfaces and those comprising sand grains appear as mid-tones (intermediate thermal inertia), and rocky surfaces or bed outcrops appear brighter due to their high thermal inertia (Putzig & Mellon, 2007). The visual THEMIS product was used to help identification of Greg crater’s bedrock and ice-rich mantling deposits. High Resolution Imaging Science experiment (HiRISE; McEwen et al., 2007) images at 50 cm/pixel were used to aid our descriptions and interpretation of certain units (e.g. to help distinguish between bedrock outcrops on the crater floor and the surrounding material). Finally, we created a 100 m/pixel blended digital elevation model (DEM) using the Ames Stereo Pipeline (Beyer et al., 2018), principally to digitise the crest of Greg crater and to map valleys, taking into consideration their 3D geometry. This blended DEM comprises the global ∼450 m/pixel Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter DEM (MOLA; Zuber et al., 1992) and the 100 m/pixel High Resolution Stereo Camera DEM (HRSC; Jaumann et al., 2007), which only partially covers Greg crater. Although the blended pixel resolution is 100 m/pixel, we note that the effective DEM resolution is only 100 m/pixel in areas with HRSC DEM coverage (Figure 1b).

Mapping was conducted in ArcGIS 10.5 at a fixed scale of 1:50,000, except for certain linear features, such as arcuate ridges, linear dunes and narrow valleys, that were mapped at a 1:25,000 scale to demarcate the orientation of their central axis clearly (whether a crest or a trough). All craters > 500 m in diameter were
mapped using the CraterTools software, an add-in for ArcGIS, that allows craters to be digitised as best-fit ellipsoids independent of distortion due to map-projection (Kneissl et al., 2011). For all data, we used the GCS Mars 2000 coordinate system and Mercator projection (central meridian positioned at 113°E). The final map is presented at 1:100,000 scale (size A0; 100%).

3. Principal surficial units and features

Twenty-one geological/geomorphological units and features, categorised into seven thematic groups, were classified based on their location, shape, texture, and relief (Figure 2). Interpretations were based on these properties, allied to the current understanding of process-form relationships, and guided by published interpretations from our study area and elsewhere on Mars, as noted in the following sections.

3.1. Highlands and plains

3.1.1. Pre-existing bedrock

The pre-existing bedrock unit (Figure 3a) comprises raised mountainous terrains distinct from Greg crater’s appearance of this terrain, as well as its intersection covers the pre-existing bedrock. The highly degraded wide and 10 km long. A thin layer of mantle deposit imagery and is dissected by valleys typically 500 m material. The bedrock appears rounded in the CTX centric to the impact that superpose Greg crater’s outline, sometimes presenting radial lineation concentric to the impact that superpose Greg crater’s ejecta. The ejecta material has a wrinkled texture that occurs in proximity to mantling deposits. The ejecta of two large impacts east (39.36°S, 113.74°E) and northeast (37.95°S, 113.76°E) of Greg crater appear pitted, with dark spots visible in THEMIS night-time infrared images (Figure 3f). These materials exhibit no evidence of fluvial activity and superpose valleys on Greg’s rim and ejecta. Therefore, they appear to postdate local hydrological activity, consistent with the chronostratigraphy established by Mest and Crown (2014).

3.1.2. Plains material

The plains material unit (Figure 3b) consists of Eridania Planitia terrain. Distinguished by its knobby-textured and cratered flat surface, it shows no directional features linked to those found on Greg crater’s ejecta material (at 1:50,000 scale). Mest and Crown (2014) also noted yardang-like features in the plains material. As with locations elsewhere in our study site, it is likely covered by a thin layer of mantling deposit.

3.2. Impact features

3.2.1. Greg crater bedrock

Bedrock is exposed as raised terrain around Greg crater’s rim (Figure 3c) and central peak. This exposed bedrock is rounded and appears substantially less cratered than adjacent ejecta material (Section 3.2.2). A thin layer of mantle deposit covers raised areas, and a thicker layer covers the valleys on the crater walls (Section 3.4). Some darker and more heavily textured areas on the crater floor appear to protrude above the material fill in HiRISE imagery. These appear white in THEMIS infrared night view images, suggesting higher thermal inertia than the surrounding material. Following Hartmann et al. (2014), we interpret these as ancient bedrock outcrops, their form being eroded by dendritic valleys (Section 3.7.1).

3.2.2. Greg crater ejecta

Greg crater is surrounded by a band of ejecta (Figure 3d) generally comprising a smooth surface covered in a thin layer of mantle deposit. The ejecta material is considerably more cratered than the bedrock exposed in and around the crater’s rim (Figure 3a), and is also commonly eroded by sinuous valleys, sometimes terraced (see Section 3.7). Except for a few impact craters < 1.5 km in diameter, which appear sharper and are likely younger, the features of this terrain are heavily degraded. Only limited ejecta blanket structure remains visible, including lineations and lobate deposits to the east and south east of our mapped area.

3.2.3. Superposed crater ejecta

The superposed crater ejecta unit (Figure 3e) includes rim and ejecta blanket terrain from several younger craters > 3 km in diameter. The ejecta forms a well-preserved and well-defined continuous blanket of lobate outline, sometimes presenting radial lineation concentric to the impact that superpose Greg crater’s ejecta. Therefore, they appear to postdate local hydrological activity, consistent with the chronostratigraphy established by Mest and Crown (2014).

3.3. Greg crater floor

Greg crater’s floor is filled with fluvial, aeolian and mass wasted materials (Hartmann et al., 2014; Mest & Crown, 2014). Several terrains of different texture and structure are recognisable, although a clear delimitation cannot always be drawn. Three units were identified within this group.

3.3.1. Lobate superposed floor terrain

Lobate superposed floor terrain (Figure 4a) describes the most textured area of Greg crater’s floor. Slightly raised lobate superposed structures are characteristic of this unit. The surface is maculated with relatively dark, rougher boulder-rich areas of bedrock protruding from the floor (Hartmann et al., 2014). It is otherwise
cratered by small impacts and appears eroded by valleys. There is also a relatively high prevalence of sinuous ridges, up to ∼2 km long, raised above the surrounding terrain in this unit. Lobate superposed floor terrain is commonly draped by a layer of mantle deposit that is insufficiently thick to obscure underlying features. The lobate structures identified could be linked to alluvial fan systems (Hartmann et al., 2014) or to debris flows from the crater walls. This unit tends to grade into other Greg crater floor units, particularly along its northern edge. Where this boundary is difficult to delineate with confidence we indicate this uncertainty using a dashed line in the main map.

3.3.2. Common crater floor terrain

The common crater floor terrain unit (Figure 4b) comprises intermediately textured terrains of Greg crater’s floor. Rougher patches of bedrock are also visible here, although less elongated and numerous than on the lobate superimposed floor terrain. The relatively smooth surface is cratered by impacts < 600 m in diameter and appears eroded by valleys that are sometimes terraced and usually larger (∼5-8 km in length) and more continuous than those located on the lobate superimposed floor terrain. Valleys are traceable into the common crater floor unit for ∼3 km from the northern crater wall. Common crater floor terrain is also covered in what appears to be a thin layer of mantle deposit. Northeast of the central peak, this unit also hosts a deposit of dark, fine-grained material that fills valleys and craters, and forms dunes over an area of ∼250 km² (Section 3.6).

3.3.3. Smooth crater floor terrain

Smooth crater floor terrain (Figure 4c) comprises smooth terrain of low elevation located south of Greg crater’s central peak, covered by a mantling deposit of unknown thickness. Valleys and relatively small (less than ∼800 m diameter) impact craters within this unit appear degraded. The smooth crater fill is located downstream of numerous valleys running through the crater floor.

3.4. Ice-rich mantling deposits

Ice-rich mantling deposits fill the valleys all around Greg crater’s rim and craters throughout the mapped area. They are also present at the foot of pole-facing slopes. These mantling deposits show a range of textures that were subdivided into four categories: lineated, pitted, smooth and dune-dominated. These textures transition into one another (their boundary

Figure 2. CTX mosaic (Dickson et al., 2018) of Greg crater showing locations of panels presented in Figures 3–8.
mapped as the approximate centreline of this transition) and appear to be at least partially dictated by slope, as described in relevant sections below.

In THEMIS night-time infrared imagery, areas covered in thicker mantling deposits appear dark, indicating low thermal inertia (Mellon et al., 2008). A similar observation was made by Hartmann et al. (2014) in Greg crater; comparable deposits of terrain draping units, referred to as latitudinal dependent mantle (LDM), are pervasive in the mid–high latitudes. Given its abundance elsewhere at similar latitudes (Kreslavsky & Head, 2002), we expect that units mapped here are ice-rich mantling deposits.

### 3.4.1. Lineated mantling deposits

Lineated mantling deposits (Figure 5a) are characterised by pits, buttes and raised lineations. The deposits occupy steep (≥∼10°) pre-existing valleys and the edges of raised terrain. In narrow valleys (<2 km wide), it forms a chevron texture (visible in CTX imagery), interpreted by Hartmann et al. (2014) to be due to a combination of sublimation lag forming knobby texture, and downslope transport with faster flow along the central valley axis. This texture is predominantly located on the southern wall of the crater which, at 12°, is steeper than the 9° northern wall (Berman et al., 2009).

---

**Figure 3.** Terrain units located on and outside the rim of Greg crater: (a) pre-existing bedrock, (b) plains material, (c) Greg crater bedrock, (d) Greg crater ejecta, and (e and f) superposed crater ejecta. All panels are CTX images except (f), which is a night-time infrared THEMIS image. This figure, and all subsequent figures, are orientated northwards and have had their contrast stretched to help distinguish features.

**Figure 4.** Terrain units located on the floor of Greg crater: (a) lobate superposed terrain, the arrow shows a patch of protruding rough bedrock, (b) common crater floor terrain, and (c) smooth crater floor terrain.
3.4.2. Pitted mantling deposits
Pitted mantling deposits (Figure 5b) display a texture of pits and buttes at 1:50,000 scale that usually fills pre-existing alcoves, valleys and rims of raised areas with slopes lower than \(\sim 10^\circ\). These are mainly found on the pole-facing inner wall and the outer walls of the crater (Berman et al., 2009). Pits and buttes are related to sublimation processes (Baker & Carter, 2019) and give no indication of flow.

3.4.3. Smooth mantling deposits
Smooth mantling deposits (Figure 5c) appear smooth at 1:50,000 scale. They are commonly found on the northern inner wall covering glacier-like forms (GLFs) and transitioning to the crater floor.

3.4.4. Dune-dominated mantling deposits
Dune-dominated mantling deposits (Figure 5d) are found on the inner rim of Greg crater and beyond the crater’s southern rim. The dunes appear to be transverse, indicating a roughly downslope wind direction on the inner rim of the crater (likely due to katabatic winds) and are aligned north–south outside the crater, implying a regional wind direction that is predominantly east–west. The spacing between crests is typically no more than \(\sim 100\) m. In the main map, a hatched symbol is used to indicate approximate crest direction.

3.5. Viscous flow features
Viscous flow features (VFFs; Milliken et al., 2003) include several landforms that show evidence of gravity-driven downhill viscous flow, commonly bounded by lobate ridges (Souness et al., 2012). Such flow is believed to occur by the deformation of water ice forming VFFs (Milliken et al., 2003). Although the ice content of VFFs here is unknown, elsewhere up to 90% water ice content has been inferred (Holt et al., 2008).

3.5.1. Concentric crater fill
Concentric crater fill (CCF) – a sub-type of VFF found throughout the mid to high latitudes (Levy et al., 2010) – is a crater-filling unit found in the crater to the north east of Greg crater, superposed on its ejecta. Sharing the surface texture of VFF elsewhere (as well as extensive superposed mantling deposits) the lineations of the CCF mapped indicate both radial and concentric flow (Figure 6a) of viscous material. Shared morphologies between CCF and other forms or VFFs is consistent with a common mode of origin (Dickson et al., 2012).

Figure 5. Terrain units (arrowed) formed of degraded icy material, predominantly found within the rim of Greg crater: (a) lineated mantling deposit, (b) pitted mantling deposit, (c) smooth mantling deposit, and (d) dune-dominated mantling deposit.

Figure 6. Viscous flow features (VFFs): (a) concentric crater fill (CCF), and (b) glacier-like forms (GLFs).
3.5.2. Glacier-like forms and arcuate ridges

Glacier-like forms (Figure 6b) are the highest order (i.e. farthest upflow) VFFs, observed flowing into (Hubbard et al., 2011) and onto lower order VFFs (Hepburn et al., 2020), and are similar in appearance to valley glaciers on Earth (Souness et al., 2012). In Greg crater, GLFs appear to be bound by bedrock above. They predominantly flow southwards down the inner slopes of Greg crater’s northern rim. The lowermost (i.e. downflow) boundary of GLFs is often defined by the presence of moraine-like arcuate ridges (sometimes nested), common on the southern facing slopes of Greg crater’s inner rim, and also on the outer slopes of its southern rim. Mantling deposits frequently overprint both arcuate ridges and GLFs, and we infer that GLFs underlie these deposits. Specific research attention has focused on Greg crater’s GLFs, reconstructing their extent (e.g. Arfstrom & Hartmann, 2005), surface composition, and flow history (Hubbard et al., 2011). As with VFFs elsewhere these GLFs are considered to be currently relict (e.g. Dickson et al., 2012; Hepburn et al., 2020). However, advanced (downflow) arcuate ridges suggest they may have reached their maximum activity during Mars’s last glacial maximum 4–6 Ma (Brough et al., 2016).

3.6. Dark dunes

A broad arcuate band of transverse dunes (Ward et al., 1985) wraps around the north and northeast side of Greg crater’s central peak (Figure 7). These dunes differ from those present in the dune-dominated mantling deposits in size and texture, with spacing between crests ~500 m. Although the dunes forming this band are somewhat irregular, they are typically hundreds of metres to several kilometres long and are aligned broadly parallel to the rim of the central peak. These dunes also appear to be asymmetric in cross-section (with the steeper face orientated towards the central peak) and formed of fine-grained material that is darker than their surroundings. Such transverse dunes generally form orthogonal to the direction of the wind responsible for their formation, with a relatively shallow stoss and steep lee face. Therefore, the orientation of dark dunes within Greg crater indicates that local winds may correspondingly blow radially towards its central pinnacle, particularly in the north and north east sectors of the crater’s floor.

3.7. Valleys and sinuous ridges

3.7.1. Valleys

Relict incisions appear as valleys (Figure 8a and b) on many of the ground terrain types identified within Greg crater (e.g. Figure 4b). Exceptions are more recent deposits formed of the ejecta from other craters (Section 3.2.3) and degraded icy material (Section 3.4). Valleys are typically several km long, forming dendritic and parallel networks. In certain cases, notably on the floor of Greg crater and ejecta mantle, valleys display terracing (Figure 8b), indicating systematic variations in the elevation of past valley-forming processes, akin to fluvial features on Earth.

3.7.2. Sinuous ridges

Raised above the surrounding terrain are sinuous ridges (Figure 8c). Some segments of these emerge from incised valleys, indicating a common origin subsequently altered by contrasting process domains, reflecting a possible change in the nature of a valley’s former sediment load or in the erodibility of the surrounding terrain (Burr et al., 2010). In some instances, on Mars, sinuous ridges have been interpreted as eskers formed by sediment deposition beneath (warm-based) ice masses (Butcher et al., 2017; Gallagher & Balme, 2015). However, given the close relationship between sinuous ridges and valleys (and disconnect between GLFs), we suggest that both units form from fluvial processes.

4. Summary and conclusions

The geological landscape and geomorphological landforms of Greg crater, Mars, represent a focus of existing and continuing research, yet a large-scale map of the entire crater and its immediate surrounding has hitherto been unavailable. Here, we present such a map (main map), identifying 21 separate landforms or terrain types classified into seven landscape groups. In mapping these landforms and terrain types we identify numerous potential avenues for further research, including the following:

- Analysing the distribution and orientation of dunes would provide insight into wind patterns within and around craters on Mars.
Mapping possible source areas for the material forming the dark dunes, as has been carried out elsewhere on Mars (e.g. Tirsch et al., 2011), would provide information on local or regional patterns of erosion, transport and deposition.

Comparing and contrasting the GLFs flowing southwards off the (outer) southern rim of Greg crater with those (already studied in detail) located inside the crater’s northern rim would contribute to understanding of the processes of GLF formation and/or preservation.

Modelling flow and geometry of former GLFs, possibly allied to DEM generation from overlapping CTX and/or HiRISE images (e.g. Hepburn et al., 2019), would improve knowledge of GLF dynamics and of the links between GLFs and martian climate change. Specific issues to be explored could include driving time-evolving GLF change with outputs from global circulation models for Mars, reconstructing multiple glacial advances by matching maximum modelled GLF extents to nested moraine sequences, and evaluating the likelihood of wet-based glaciation beneath thickest ice during periods of maximum GLF extent.

Investigating the apparent asymmetry in the slope of Greg crater’s inner walls (with the southern rim being steeper (~12°) than the northern rim (~9°)) would yield information relating to the original impact and/or to large-scale variations in surface properties.

Modelling the incision of Greg crater’s fluvial valleys would provide information relating to former water discharge and regional climate. Modelling multiple phases of channel incision, as evidenced by terraced channels, would provide additional information relating to variability in this climate history.
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