The role of peroxidase in the enzymatic oxidation of phenolic compounds to quinones from *Luffa aegyptiaca* (gourd) fruit juice
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**ABSTRACT**

*Luffa aegyptiaca* fruit juice was used as a low purity source of enzyme, which contained peroxidase activity of 180 IU/mL. The results of UV/VIS and IR studies suggested that *L. aegyptiaca* fruit juice works efficiently in the enzymatic conversion of phenolic compounds, namely guaiacol, *m*-cresol, *p*-cresol, *o*-cresol, anisole, resorcinol, catechol, pyrogallol, hydroquinone, veratryl alcohol and phoreguicinol to quinones at 30°C. The *p*-cresol and pyrogallol were converted to quinones more efficiently as compared to other phenolic compounds. Determination of enzymatic characteristic properties such as Michaelis–Menten constant (Km), temperature optima and pH optima using different phenolic compounds, indicated *p*-cresol as a potential substrate for the peroxidase enzyme assay at room temperature, whereas guaiacol, which is widely used as a substrate for enzyme assay, has a higher temperature optima at 60°C for its maximum catalytic activity. Enzyme activity is inhibited by sodium azide using different phenolic substrates in the reaction mixture.
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**Introduction**

Harmful aromatic compounds are generally found in wastewaters discharged from industries such as petroleum refining, coal, plastics, resins, textiles, paper and pulp, iron and steel manufacturing units, and agricultural activities. Phenolic compounds and their derivatives are considered priority pollutants because they are harmful to living organisms even at low concentrations (1). Many of these compounds are toxic and carcinogenic (2) and have been introduced into the environment in the last few decades and have, therefore, become an issue of great environmental concern. Most bioremediation studies have been carried out with bacteria and basidiomycetes which have developed the capability to utilize environmental pollutants (3–6). Conventional processes for the removal of phenolics from industrial wastewaters include extraction, adsorption on activated carbon, steam distillation, bacterial and chemical oxidation, electrochemical techniques and irradiation. All of these methods have high costs, very low efficiency, incomplete purification, discharge hazardous byproducts and only apply to a limited range of concentration (7). Due to these disadvantages, alternative methods must be developed on a large scale in the near future. The treatment through enzymatic catalysis seems to be a potential application to substitute conventional methods (8), providing ecofriendly green methods.

Peroxidase [E.C. 1. 11.1.7] is a heme-containing enzyme, which catalyzes the oxidation of a wide variety of organic and inorganic substrates using hydrogen peroxide as the electron acceptor (9–12). One-electron oxidation of aromatic substrates (AH₂) catalyzed by peroxidases is depicted by the Chance–George mechanism (13) is the following:

\[
E + H₂O₂ \rightarrow E(I) + H₂O,
\] (1)
E(I) + AH₂ → E(II) + AH⁺.

E(II) + AH₂ → E + AH⁺ + H₂O.

E(II) + H₂O₂ → E(III) + H₂O.

The native enzyme (E) is oxidized by peroxide (H₂O₂) to an active intermediate enzymatic form called complex compound I (E(I)). Complex compound I oxidizes organic substrate AH₂ and as a result a free radical (AH⁺) is produced in the reaction mixture. Enzyme remains in the complex compound II (E(II)) state. Complex compound II oxidizes the second organic substrate to a free radical product and the enzyme returns to its native state. The overall peroxidase reaction consists of the reactions described by Equations (1), (2) and (3) and a catalytic cycle (14) is shown in Figure 1. Free radicals formed during the cycle diffuse from the enzyme into the bulk solution where they react to form polyaromatic products. These polyaromatic compounds are insoluble in water and can be removed by solid-liquid operations (13).

Peroxidase-catalytic cycle (14).

Horseradish peroxidase, an enzyme isolated from the roots of horseradishes, acts as a catalyst for the treatment of discharged wastewater from industries (14–16). The main advantages of this method (7, 15, 17) are: (1) broad substrate specificity; (2) effectiveness over a wide range of operating conditions including pH, temperature, salinity and substrate concentrations; and (3) ability to remove other organic compounds by co-precipitation. Peroxidases have found applications in different industrial processes due to their high stability in solution, broad substrate specificity, tolerance to a wide range of pH and temperature, and easy availability from plant materials (18–20).

Keeping these points in view, we have isolated a peroxidase enzyme from Luffa aegyptiaca fruit juice, which has high peroxidase activity to the order of 180 IU/mL, to study the degradation of phenolics to quinones. The efficiency of enzyme quality was found to be independent of the enzyme purity and therefore, it was feasible to effectively use a crude enzyme preparation instead of a purified enzyme. This characteristic feature of the enzyme preparation leads to a significant reduction in the treatment cost (14, 15). The objective of this research work is to develop a technology based on an enzyme treatment to remove the toxic organic carcinogenic compounds from discharged wastewaters from industries and agricultural activities.

**Experimental**

**Enzyme assay**

Guaiacol was used as the target phenolic compound because it is a widely known substrate used for assaying peroxidase activity in the extract from different sources. Guaiacol was obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, St. Louis, U.S.A. Phenol and their derivatives, ethanol and H₂O₂ were purchased from S.D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai, India, and were used without further purification.

To isolate the enzyme, L. aegyptiaca fruit was cut into small pieces, crushed with a mortar and pestle, and the juice was extracted through four layers of cheese cloth. The juice was centrifuged using Sigma (Germany) model 3K-30 refrigerated centrifuge at 4000 g for 20 min at 4°C to remove the cloudiness of the juice. The clear juice was stored at 4°C. The enzyme stored does not lose activity for 3 months. The activity of peroxidase was determined spectrophotometrically by using guaiacol (21, 22) as the substrate and monitoring the absorbance change at λ = 470 nm due to the formation of product tetraguaiacol in the reaction solution using molar extinction coefficient value of 2.66 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. 1.8 IU of the enzyme solution was added at 30°C to the reaction solution of 1 mL consisting of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.6 mM H₂O₂ and using guaiacol 5 mM as the substrate. One enzyme unit transformed 1 μmol of the substrate into the product under specified assay condition.

A UV/VIS spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU UV 2600) was used for spectrophotometric measurements. The least count of the absorbance measurement was 0.001 absorbance unit.

**Enzymatic characterization**

Enzymatic characterization such as Km, pH and temperature optima was determined for different phenolic compounds taken in order to explore enzyme specificity. The enzymatic characteristic, Km value of peroxidase was determined using different phenolic compounds.
namely guaiacol, m-cresol, p-cresol, α-cresol, anisole, resorcinol, catechol, pyrogallol, hydroquinone, veratryl alcohol and phoreguicinol and H₂O₂ as the substrates. The Km values were determined by measuring steady-state velocities of peroxidase-catalyzed reactions at different concentrations of substrates varied in the range 0.0–2.0 mM and drawing double reciprocal plots. 1.8 IU of the crude enzyme was added to the reaction solution of 1 mL consisting of 250 μM, H₂O₂ in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) at 30°C, wherein the concentrations of phenolic compounds were varied in the range of 0.0–2.0 mM. The Km value was calculated by the linear regression analysis of the data points of double reciprocal plots (23, 24). The pH optimum was determined by measuring the steady-state velocities of peroxidase-catalyzed reaction in the reaction mixture having different pH ranges, from 2.0 to 10.0 and plotting steady-state velocity against the pH values. Similarly, the temperature optimum was determined by measuring the steady-state velocities in reaction solutions at different temperatures ranging from 12°C to 80°C and plotting the steady-state velocities against temperatures of the reaction solutions.

**Bioconversion of phenolic compounds**

In order to evaluate the catalytic activity of peroxidase, the catalytic oxidation of phenolic compounds using H₂O₂ as the mild oxidant was studied to prove the efficiency of the peroxidase. The reaction solution under study contained H₂O₂, phenol, 1 mL of enzyme stock (180 IU/mL) in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 (22, 25). The reaction was carried out for a fixed period of time at 30°C and the change in phenolic compounds was monitored using a UV/VIS spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU UV 2600). An FTIR Spectrophotometer (SHIMADZU) IR Affinity-I was also used for the study of product formation in the reaction solution. The reaction mixture was incubated for 24 h and then harvested. The IR spectra were recorded in the region 4000–400 cm⁻¹ for the samples in KBr pellets (26). In order to study the biotransformations, 1 mL of the reaction mixture containing 250 μM, H₂O₂ in 100 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at 30°C, substrate (phenolic compounds) was varied in the range 0.01–0.5 mM and 1.80 IU of the crude enzyme was added. H₂O₂ was added to initiate the reaction and the reaction progress was monitored spectrophotometrically at different time intervals. The different phenolic compounds taken are listed in Table 1 with Km values, pH and temperature optima determined for the maximum activity of the enzyme peroxidase.

**Inhibition of enzyme activity**

The steady-state velocity of peroxidase-catalyzed reaction has been measured (27, 28) at different concentrations of sodium azide and a graph has been plotted in velocity versus azide concentration.

**Results and discussion**

The analysis of the steady-state kinetics measurements showed the presence of 180 IU/mL of peroxidase activity in fruit juice (25). Thus, *L. aegyptiaca* fruit juice is a very rich and convenient source of peroxidase enzyme. The Michaelis constant (Km) for the *L. aegyptiaca* peroxidase enzyme is reported (25) to be 2.0 mM for guaiacol and 0.2 mM for H₂O₂, which are greater than the Km values for horseradish peroxidase, *Turkish black radish* and *Solanum melongena* fruit juice. Thus, this signifies that *L. aegyptiaca* enzyme is less specific for the substrate guaiacol and may show more specificity or affinity for other phenolic compounds. In order to explore the specificity of this enzyme for the substrate, we studied the enzymatic oxidation of phenol and their derivatives, namely guaiacol, m-cresol, p-cresol, α-cresol, anisole, resorcinol, catechol, pyrogallol, hydroquinone, veratryl alcohol and phoreguicinol in reaction solution at room temperature and found that the enzyme effectively converted phenol and their derivatives to their respective quinones as shown by enzymatic reaction in Figure 2.

**Table 1. Enzymatic characterization using different substrates.**

| S. No. | Name of the compounds | Km for substrate (mM) | Km for H₂O₂ (mM) | Temperature (°C) | pH |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----|
| 1      | Guaiacol              | 2                    | 0.2             | 60              | 6.5|
| 2      | m-Cresol              | 2.8                  | 1.0             | 35              | 6.0|
| 3      | p-Cresol              | 0.8                  | 0.08            | 30              | 7.0|
| 4      | α-Cresol              | 1.6                  | 0.18            | 35              | 6.0|
| 5      | Anisole               | 4                    | 2               | 40              | 5.5|
| 6      | Resorcinol            | 3.6                  | 1.4             | 40              | 5.0|
| 7      | Catechol              | 3.2                  | 1.2             | 35              | 6.0|
| 8      | Pyrogallol            | 1                    | 0.06            | 30              | 7.0|
| 9      | Hydroquinone          | 2                    | 0.15            | 30              | 6.5|
| 10     | Phenol                | 1.4                  | 0.09            | 28              | 7.0|
| 11     | Veratryl alcohol      | 3.6                  | 1.2             | 22              | 2.5|
| 12     | Phoreguicinol         | 2.8                  | 1.4             | 40              | 5.5|
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catalyzed reaction was studied by measuring absorbance change per minute at $\lambda = 280$ nm. The substrate binds to the available empty catalytic site of the active enzyme. If the concentration of the substrate is increased in the reaction mixture, the enzyme gets saturated with the substrate. The rate of reaction is at a maximum when the active site of the enzyme is completely saturated with the substrate. The rate of reaction is at a maximum at 2 mM enzyme saturating concentration of $p$-cresol and at 0.15 mM for $H_2O_2$ in the reaction solution as visible in Figures 3 and 4. In order to determine the $K_m$ value, two sets of experiments were done. In one set of experiments, the steady-state velocity of the enzyme catalyzed reaction was measured at different concentrations of $p$-cresol in the range of 0.0–2 mM at the fixed 0.15 mM enzyme saturating concentration of $H_2O_2$ and 1.8 IU of the enzyme in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7 at 30°C. In the second set of experiments, steady-state velocity was measured at a different concentration of $H_2O_2$ in the range 0.0–0.2 mM at a fixed 2 mM enzyme saturating concentration of $p$-cresol. Michaelis–Menten curves for $p$-cresol and $H_2O_2$ were drawn by plotting steady-state velocity versus the concentration of the substrates as shown in Figures 3 and 4. Each data point was an average of three measurements. From the graphs, the $K_m$ values were calculated. Using $p$-cresol as a substrate, the $K_m$ value was 0.8 mM, and using $H_2O_2$ as a substrate, the $K_m$ value was 0.08 mM. The $p$-cresol was selected as the best substrate because it showed better catalytic activity with lower $K_m$ value as compared to other phenolic compounds as given in Table 1. Low $K_m$ value signifies that the enzyme has more affinity for the substrate, $p$-cresol. The variation of the activity of the enzyme with temperature is shown in Figure 5. The 1 mL of reaction solution contained 1.8 IU of the enzyme, 100 $\mu$M $H_2O_2$, 200 $\mu$M $p$-cresol in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer.
The temperature of the reaction solution was varied at a fixed optimum pH of 7.0. The optimum temperature determined from the graph was found to be 30°C. The variation of the activity of the enzyme with pH is shown in Figure 6. The reaction solution 1 mL contained 1.8 IU of the enzyme, 100 μM H₂O₂, 200 μM p-cresol in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer at 30°C. The pH of the reaction solution was varied from 2 to 10. The optimum pH as determined from the graph was found to be 7.0. Km values indicating that p-cresol and pyrogallol are better substrates for the enzyme assay because the enzyme is more specific for these substrates and has a temperature optima of 30°C (i.e. room temperature) with pH nearly neutral 7.0. The catalytic activity of the enzyme with p-cresol as the substrate with time is shown in Figure 7. Figure 7(a) shows the spectra of p-cresol substrate in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, which shows a peak at 280 nm. Figure 7(b) shows the spectra of the enzyme in the same buffer having Soret band at 403 nm which is the characteristic band of the heme active site present in the enzyme. Figure 7(c) shows the change in absorption spectra of the p-cresol as the reaction proceeds with the addition of H₂O₂ in the reaction mixture consisting of 1 mM p-cresol, 1.8 IU of the enzyme in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.0 at 30°C. Spectra of the reaction mixture were monitored at zero time and at subsequent 2, 4, 6, 8 min and after 1 h. It is clear from the absorption spectra that the absorption depth at 280 nm is decreased, indicating that the p-cresol is being consumed by the enzyme as the reaction proceeds with time. Figure 7(d) shows the increased absorption at 403 nm due to the formation of the active heme–substrate complex called activated complex as the reaction proceeds after the addition of H₂O₂ to initiate the reaction and simultaneous increase in absorption near 600 nm due to formation of quinone from p-cresol, which was being consumed in the reaction. Figure 7(e) shows the absorption spectra with no peak at 280 nm indicating that p-cresol has been consumed in the reaction and complete formation of quinone at 600 nm after 1 h. Thus, p-cresol acts as a better substrate for peroxidase enzyme assay at room temperature and easily available reagent, whereas guaiacol has a temperature optima of 60°C for its maximum catalytic activity to show. The rest of the substrate was also converted to quinones, but less efficiently as compared to p-cresol and pyrogallol. IR spectra of the product in comparison to those of the respective substrate were analyzed. In both cases, the intensity of absorption appeared in the range 690–900 cm⁻¹ which may be considered due to υ (C–C) and υ (C–H) vibrations of an aromatic ring and intensities at 1500 and 3200 cm⁻¹ are denoted as υ (>C=C<) and υ (–OH) vibrations of an aromatic ring, respectively, in the substrate spectra. An intense absorption band at 1650 cm⁻¹ of the product indicated the formation of a benzoquinone structure.

Peroxidase activity was inhibited by sodium azide (27, 28) when studied using different phenolic substrates as shown in Figure 8. Enzyme activity is inhibited using guaiacol as a substrate at 80 mM, m-cresol at 80 mM, p-cresol at 100 mM, o-cresol at 60 mM, anisole at 40 mM, resorcinol at 40 mM, catechol at 50 mM, pyrogallol at 100 mM, hydroquinone at 60 mM, phenol at 80 mM, veratral alcohol at 80 mM and phoroguicinol at 40 mM in the reaction mixture. It is noted that greater concentrations of inhibitor were required to inhibit the activity of the enzyme.
Figure 7. UV–VIS spectra of (a) p-cresol in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0. (b) Enzyme with p-cresol in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.0. (c) Added H$_2$O$_2$ to initiate the reaction. Change in spectra of p-cresol at zero time, 2 min, 4 min, 6 min and 8 min. (d) Added H$_2$O$_2$ to initiate the reaction. Change in spectra of enzyme at zero time, 2, 4 and 6 min. (e) Change in spectra of enzyme and substrate p-cresol after 1 h.
peroxidase, when p-cresol and pyrogallol were used as substrates. Thus, it is convenient to use p-cresol as a substrate for enzyme assay. Inhibitors such as potassium cyanide and ammonium hydroxide were also checked for activity inhibition and found that sodium azide was significantly more effective in reducing the activity of the peroxidase compared to these inhibitors.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, this communication reports that the enzyme isolated from *L. aegyptiaca* efficiently converts phenol and their derivatives to the respective quinones at low cost and within ecofriendly green chemistry domain. This research work demonstrates an enzymatic process to remove toxic phenolic organic compounds from industrial wastewaters and agricultural wastes. Enzyme-based technology is to be explored as a viable treatment process for the conversion of phenol and its derivatives into less harmful quinones, which can be removed using adsorption methods more conveniently.
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