Giant Cell Malignant Fibrous Histiocytoma of the Breast: A Case Report

A case of primary malignant fibrous histiocytoma of the breast is reported. The patient was a 48-yr-old woman with a huge tumor involving almost the entire left breast. The central portion of her left breast was already rotted by extensive necrosis and inflammation. She was treated by radical mastectomy and axillary lymphadenectomy to level I. Pathologic examination supported by immunohistochemical staining confirmed the tumor as malignant fibrous histiocytoma of giant cell type. Axillary lymph nodes were free from tumor metastasis. She had not taken any postoperative adjuvant therapy. The metastasis to lungs was found 2 months after the operation, and she died within 6 months.
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INTRODUCTION

Malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH) is a soft tissue neoplasm with poor prognosis, representing the most common soft tissue sarcoma of middle and late adulthood (1, 2). This tumor occurs most frequently in the lower and upper extremities and retroperitoneum, but the occurrence in the breast is very rare (1). MFH is also known as malignant fibrous xanthoma, pleomorphic fibrous histiocytoma, and pleomorphic fibrous xanthoma (1, 3). It is one of the diverse group of benign and malignant tumors that O’Brien and Stout proposed first as having a common origin from the tissue histiocyte. The newer concepts of these disease entities have been accepted and refined (4). It is possible that some of the tumors diagnosed in the past as MFH were actually pleomorphic variants of liposarcoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and leiomyosarcoma, and conversely, some of the tumors diagnosed as the latter were MFH. Recently, the diagnosis of MFH has become more accurate by the development of immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy (1, 5).

In this case report, we present a 48-yr-old woman with MFH involving almost the entire left breast, of which the central portion has rotted due to extensive necrosis.

CASE REPORT

A 48-yr-old woman presented with a huge necrotic mass in her left breast. She had first noticed the mass 1 yr before, since then it had rapidly grown and become necrotic. She was a mother of two children in good health, and had no family history of breast disease. On physical examination, the mass was seen to involve the entire left breast. The central portion of left breast including the nipple was rotted by severe necrosis, and the surrounding skin was erythematous. The tumor tissue was very friable with profuse foul-odored discharge (Fig. 1). Several lymph nodes were palpated in the left axilla.

Pseudomonas and Candida were grown on a bacterial culture containing discharge from the necrotic tumor. Although there were no symptoms or signs of generalized infection, systemic antibiotics were administered for fear of disseminating inflammation for several days before operation. At a later date, the patient underwent a radical mastectomy. In the operative field, numerous enlarged lymph nodes were observed in the left axilla. Among these, the largest one was more than
2 cm in diameter, and diagnosed benign in the frozen section examination. The axillary node dissection was performed to level I only. Because primary skin closure was impossible, the defect in the left anterior chest wall was covered with the myocutaneous flap using the left latissimus dorsi muscle.

During the pathological examination, the breast was replaced by a 12.5 × 11 × 5 cm gray, hard mass occupying almost the whole portion of the left breast, except pectoralis major muscle. On cut section, the mass showed areas of hemorrhage and necroses. The nipple and skin also showed necroses and involvement of a tumor component. In the microscopic examinations, some lobular and ductal structures were well preserved. The mass was composed of spindle-shaped tumor cells having polygonal nuclei and plump eosinophilic cytoplasm. There were some scattered lymphocytes among the tumor cells. Large portions of tumor also showed many bizarre multinucleated giant cells. The nuclei comprising giant cells were hyperchromatic and vesicular, and showed prominent nucleoli with frequent and abnormal mitoses (Fig. 2). On immunohistochemical staining, the cytoplasm of tumor cells were diffusely positive for vimentin and lysozyme, and negative for cytokeratin, epithelial membrane antigen, S-100 protein, and smooth muscle actin. These pathologic findings were compatible with the giant cell type of malignant fibrous histiocytoma.

The patient made an uneventful recovery. While the patient is hesitating to take postoperative adjuvant therapy, she had metastasis to lungs 2 months after the operation. Thereafter, she took only conservative care and died 4 months later.

**DISCUSSION**

Primary malignancies of the breast stromal elements and sarcomas of mesenchymal origin comprise less than 1% of all breast neoplasms, and are a highly heterogeneous group of tumors. The majority of these are made up of malignant fibrous histiocytomas, fibrosarcomas, liposarcomas, and the less common angiosarcomas; true “stromal sarcomas” of the breast are distinctly rare (6). Stromal sarcoma of the breast was initially defined as all sarcomas of the breast, excluding...
cystosarcoma phyllodes and angiosarcoma (7); but later it was redefined as rare sarcomas of a nonspecific type that arose in intralobular stroma (8). Since MFH and stromal sarcoma consist of spindle cells resembling fibroblasts, the only distinguishing feature are the primary involvement of extralobular stroma and intralobular stroma, respectively. This distinction is very difficult (2). Jones et al. (9) proposed that MFH and fibrosarcoma have many features in common and thus, classification into one group or another can be arbitrary. Therefore, it is reasonable to group both neoplasms together and divide them into low- and high-grade forms based on nuclear atypia and mitotic activity.

MFH arises most frequently (58-75%) from the deep fascia or skeletal muscle of the limbs, and then occurs frequently in the retroperitoneum and abdominal cavity with a frequency of 9-16%. However its primary development in the breast, not in combination with any other malignancies such as cystosarcoma phyllodes, or secondary to radiation therapy, is extremely rare; and to our knowledge, only 25 cases have been reported in medical journals written in English (1, 2, 10, 11). Histologically, the tumor is a pleomorphic sarcoma that contains two cell types: fibroblast-like cells and histiocyte-like cells. Each tumor contains varying proportions of the two cell types so that a wide range of histologic features is possible (2). As a result, MFHs have been characterized into the following subtypes: storiform-pleomorphic, myxoid, giant cell, and inflammatory (12). Most reported cases of primary MFH of the breast were of the storiform-pleomorphic variant (13). Recently, Ajisaka et al. (2) reported the first case of the myxoid variant occurring as a primary tumor in the breast. To our knowledge, the giant cell variant has not yet been reported as a primary tumor like the myxoid variant.

For the proper management and prediction of prognosis, differentiating malignant fibrous histiocytoma from other carcinoma or sarcoma is very important. Differential diagnosis of MFH includes spindle cell (metaplastic) carcinoma, pleomorphic rhabdomyosarcoma, and malignant phyllodes tumor (cystosarcoma phyllodes). Spindle cell carcinoma, the more frequent form of breast carcinoma characterized by a sarcomatous metaplasia of invasive ductal carcinoma, is characterized by positive immunohistochemical staining for cytokeratin, epithelial membrane antigen, and ER (14) while MFH is positive for vimentin and lysozyme. In our case, the tumor cells were positive for vimentin and lysozyme. Although the normal ducts were positive, tumor cells were exclusively negative for cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen. Rhabdomyosarcoma can be differentiated for its immunoreactivity for desmin. As MFH can also occurs as a component of cystosarcoma phyllodes, it is very important to differentiate them. To rule out the possibility of cystosarcoma phyllodes completely, many tissue sections for microscopic examination are recommended and immunoreactivity for alph-l-antitrypsin, S-100 protein, and lysozyme should be evaluated.

Metastases of MFH are mainly hematogenous, and lymph node involvement is very unusual. When the involvement of lymph node is present, it is considered as a sign of a widely disseminated disease (12). For this reason, axillary node dissection has not generally been recommended in the treatment of this tumor (3). However, clarifying lymph node status by dissection is useful for predicting the prognosis, and helping to determine the indications for the postoperative adjuvant therapy. In addition, van Niekerk et al. (15), who for the first time found metastasis in the axillary lymph nodes, asserted lymphadenectomy to be mandatory. Moreover, axillary lymph node dissection is easy to perform without significant morbidity. Consequently, it will be up to the surgeon in case by case matter to decide whether axillary dissection is necessary or not. Our patient was treated by total mastectomy, including resection of the pectoral muscles and lymphadenectomy was performed to level I. There has been no evidence of metastasis to the lymph nodes during the final pathologic examination.

In terms of the postoperative adjuvant therapy, radiation therapy seems to play an important role (16). The effect of chemotherapy, however, is still uncertain, although Clamon et al. (17) reported a remission of more than 42 months by using aggressive combination chemotherapy. In general, prognosis of MFH is poor. In our case, the metastases of the lungs were found 2 months during which the patient had hesitated to take the adjuvant therapy, and she died 4 months later.

In spite of its rarity, surgeons should be alert to the existence of this type of soft tissue tumors in the breast. More information about the biological and pathological behavior of these tumors is necessary in order to expand and improve our ability to treat these patients. So far, surgery is the first step in the treatment. Although it remains doubtful whether radical or modified radical mastectomy is superior to wide local excision, the important point is to secure safe surgical margins of more than 3 cm (5), in which ever procedure that would be carried out.
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