The tyrosine kinase c-Abl potentiates interferon-mediated antiviral immunity by STAT1 phosphorylation
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SUMMARY

Interferon (IFN)-induced activation of the signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) family is an important event in antiviral immunity. Here, we show that the nonreceptor kinases c-Abl and Arg directly interact with STAT1 and potentiate the phosphorylation of STAT1 on Y701. c-Abl/Arg could mediate STAT1 phosphorylation independent of Janus kinases in the absence of IFNγ and potentiate IFNγ-mediated STAT1 phosphorylation. Moreover, STAT1 dimerization, nuclear translocation, and downstream gene transcription are regulated by c-Abl/Arg. c-Abl/Arg (abl1/abl2) deficiency significantly suppresses antiviral responses in vesicular stomatitis virus-infected cells. Compared to vehicle, administration of the c-Abl/Arg selective inhibitor AMN107 resulted in significantly increased mortality in mice infected with human influenza virus. Our study demonstrates that c-Abl plays an essential role in the STAT1 activation signaling pathway and provides an important approach for antiviral immunity regulation.

INTRODUCTION

Interferons (IFNs) play key roles in innate immunity against viral infection and in immune surveillance by regulating the activation of Janus kinases (JAKs) and signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) and by reprogramming cellular gene expression (Stark and Darnell, 2012). The binding of IFNs to their respective receptors results in the cross-phosphorylation of three associated JAKs (JAK1, JAK2, and TYK2) and the recruitment of STATs, allowing single tyrosine residues near the C-terminal ends of these STATs to be phosphorylated by JAKs (Platanias, 2005). The activated STATs then dimerize, migrate to the nucleus, and bind to the promoters of IFN-responsive genes to induce their transcription.

The tyrosine phosphorylation of STATs is an essential step in the JAK-STAT pathway of IFN signaling and is involved in STAT dimerization, nuclear translocation, and DNA binding (Stark and Darnell, 2012). Thus, tyrosine phosphorylation functions as an STAT activation switch. Evidence obtained through targeted disruption of JAK genes in mice suggests that JAKs are the most important STAT tyrosine kinases (Villarino et al., 2017). However, tyrosine residues in STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5 have also been shown to be phosphorylated in JAK-deficient cells by epidermal growth factor and platelet-derived growth factor receptors with intrinsic tyrosine kinase activity (Leaman et al., 1996; Vignais et al., 1996). In addition, constitutive activation of STATs has been observed in transformed cells expressing oncogenic tyrosine kinases such as v-Src, v-Abl, and BCR-Abl, but whether STATs are the direct substrates of these kinases remains to be determined (Danial and Rothman, 2000; Reddy et al., 2000).

The mammalian Abelson kinase c-Abl encoded by the c-abl (abl1) gene and Abl-related gene protein Arg encoded by the arg (abl2) gene are members of a family of intracellular nonreceptor tyrosine kinases that are required for multiple cellular processes, including proliferation, apoptosis, adhesion, cell migration, and stress responses (Bradley and Koleske, 2009; Colicelli, 2010; Greuber et al., 2013; Pendergast, 2002). The kinase activity of c-Abl is tightly regulated under normal physiological conditions. Retroviral transduction and chromosomal translocation events give rise to the expression of v-Abl or BCR-Abl, an oncogenic form of Abl, respectively (Advani and Pendergast, 2002). In addition, targeted deletion of the c-abl gene in mice results in pleiotropic phenotypes associated with immune dysfunction, including high perinatal lethality, splenic and thymic atrophy, lymphopenia, and increased susceptibility to infection (Schwartzberg...
et al., 1991). Moreover, treatment of BCR-Abl-positive chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) with the Abl inhibitors STI571 (imatinib) and AMN107 (nilotinib) is associated with immunosuppression in a subset of patients (Dietz et al., 2004; Hochhaus et al., 2016; Mattiuzzi et al., 2003). Previous studies have demonstrated that c-Abl plays a role in the regulation of TCR (T cell receptor)- and BCR (B cell receptor)-mediated signal transduction, development, proliferation, and cytokine production (Gu et al., 2009; Pendergast, 2002; Silberman et al., 2008). Abl-deficient mice also exhibit reduced numbers of T/B cells (Gu et al., 2009; Liberatore and Goff, 2009; Schwartzberg et al., 1991). However, the mechanisms underlying the impaired immunity that arise in the absence or inhibition of c-Abl remain elusive.

It has been widely reported that the JAK-STAT signaling pathway is essential for BCR-Abl-induced transformation (Carlesso et al., 1996; Danial et al., 1998; de Groot et al., 1999). Therefore, it is worth investigating whether JAK-STAT can also contribute to c-Abl-involved innate immunity. Here, we report that STAT1 interacts with and is phosphorylated by c-Abl in a JAK-independent manner, by which the dimerization, nuclear localization, and downstream gene transcription of STAT1 are regulated. These findings revealed that, in addition to JAK kinase, c-Abl is indispensable for the full activation of STAT1 and the subsequent IFN-mediated antiviral responses.

RESULTS

The transcription of interferon gamma-activated sequence downstream genes is regulated by Abl kinase

Our previous study suggested that c-Abl widely participates in the regulation of gene transcription (Dong et al., 2017). To illustrate the role of c-Abl in gene expression, the transcription of approximately 22,000 genes in wild-type (WT) and c-abl/ arg double-knockout (DKO) MEFs (mouse embryonic fibroblasts) was detected using Affymetrix GeneChips (Mouse Genome 430 2.0) (GEO accession: GSE154568). A total of 1,744 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with fold changes >4 were identified in c-abl/arg double-knockout (DKO) and WT MEFs, of which 960 were upregulated and 784 were downregulated (Figure 1A, left). To further investigate the potential cellular functions and associated pathways of these DEGs, we performed Gene Ontology (GO) analysis (for terms in the biological process, molecular function, and cellular component) (Figure S1A). The genes downregulated by c-Abl and Arg were mainly associated with virus defense responses (GO: 0009615, GO: 0051607, GO: 0045071) and immune responses (GO: 0002376, GO: 0045087). Further analysis showed that IFN-specific genes (Liu et al., 2012) were enriched among the downregulated genes (Figures 1A, right, and S1B).

To validate the differential expression profiles, the transcript levels of antiviral genes, including cxcl10, psmb9, tap1, and isg15, were determined via quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assays and normalized to the levels of psma5, which is not highly regulated by c-Abl. Compared with WT MEFs, c-abl/ arg MEFs exhibited significantly lower transcript levels of these genes, but the DKO-induced reductions were completely reversed by c-Abl rescue in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 1B and S1C). These data suggested that a panel of IFN-induced genes were regulated by c-Abl and Arg.

To investigate the key transcriptional elements regulated by Abl kinases in response to IFN, a luciferase reporter system was constructed based on the tap1/psmb9 shared bidirectional promoter regulated by IFNy (Figure 1C). As expected, the c-Abl/Arg-selective inhibitor AMN107 significantly inhibited the transcriptional activity of the tap1 promoter (red) (Figure 1D). Notably, unlike deletion of NFκB-acting (blue) or IFN consensus sequence (yellow) elements, AMN107 treatment had little if any effect on tap1 promoter activity when the gamma-activated sequence (GAS) element (green) was deleted (Figure 1D). These findings indicate that the STAT1-targeted GAS cis-element is involved in c-Abl-regulated tap1 transcription, which strongly suggests that STAT1 is responsible for c-Abl-mediated regulation of IFN-responsive gene expression.

C-Abl directly interacts with STAT1

IFN-induced TAP1 expression is regulated by c-Abl, possibly through STAT1, suggesting that STAT1 may be associated with c-Abl. To confirm this hypothesis, lysates of MCF-7 cells were subjected to anti-c-Abl immunoprecipitation followed by immunoblotting with an anti-STAT1 antibody. STAT1 was present in anti-c-Abl but not IgG immunoprecipitates (Figure 2A). Next, 293T cells were cotransfected with Myc-c-Abl and Flag-STAT1 or Flag-Vector as a control. The presence of Myc-c-Abl in anti-Flag
immunoprecipitates prepared from cells coexpressing Flag-STAT1 but not Flag-Vector showed an association between ectopically expressed Myc-c-Abl and Flag-STAT1 (Figure 2B, left). A similar result was also obtained in a reciprocal experiment (Figure 2B, right). Moreover, the other member of the Abl family, Arg (Abl2), which is highly homologous to c-Abl in its N-terminal domain (NTD), also interacted with STAT1 (Figure S2A).

Next, lysates prepared from 293T cells expressing Flag-c-Abl were incubated with agarose-conjugated GST-STAT1 or GST alone, and Flag-c-Abl was detected in the GST-STAT1 but not the GST adsorbates (Figure 2C). To rule out indirect binding mediated by other components in the cell lysates, anti-Flag immunoprecipitates prepared from 293T cells expressing Flag-c-Abl were subjected to SDS-PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis), and the proteins were transferred to a

Figure 1. c-Abl regulates the promoters of IFN-responsive genes via GAS elements
(A) The differentially expressed genes were identified by RNA sequencing in c-abl/arg double-knockout (DKO) and WT MEFs. Left, the volcano plot of the c-Abl-regulated differentially expressed genes with >4-fold change. Right, the downregulation of IFN-related genes in DKO MEFs compared with that in WT MEFs. c-abl/arg double-knockout efficiency was detected in Figure S3A.
(B) The transcription levels of the indicated genes were determined via quantitative RT-PCR. The data were normalized to the value of psma5 to exclude nonspecific regulation due to adenoviral infection.
(C) A schematic diagram shows that the psmb9 and tap1 genes are transcribed from a shared bidirectional promoter and regulated by IFNγ.
(D) The transcription of tap1 and psmb9 genes was detected by luciferase reporter constructs in RAW264.7 cells with or without AMN107 treatment. All quantitative data are shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (unpaired Student’s t-test). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
Figure 2. c-Abl interacts with STAT1

(A) Lysates from MCF-7 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-c-Abl or normal rabbit IgG as a control and subsequently analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

(B) 293T cells were transfected with the indicated plasmids. Anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting with the indicated antibodies.

(C) Lysates from 293T cells transfected with the Flag-c-Abl expression plasmid were incubated with GST or GST-STAT1 fusion protein-conjugated agarose beads. The adsorbates were analyzed by immunoblotting and Coomassie blue staining.

(D) Anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitates prepared from 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane. The PVDF membrane was incubated with soluble GST-STAT1 or GST only and then detected with an anti-GST antibody.

(E) Upper panel, schematic diagram of the STAT1 domain. Lower panel, 293T cells expressing Flag-c-Abl were incubated with the indicated GST-STAT1 truncated mutants or GST-conjugated agarose beads. The adsorbates were analyzed by anti-Flag immunoblotting.

(F) The interaction between Flag-STAT1 and GST-Abl SH3, GST-Abl SH2, and GST only was detected by far-Western blotting as described in (D).

(G) MEFs were stimulated with IFNγ at different concentrations for 3 hr. The complexes of endogenous c-Abl and STAT1 were detected by in situ PLA using anti-c-Abl and anti-STAT1 antibodies. PLA signals were shown in red, and nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Scale bars, 10 μm.
PVDF (polyvinylidene fluoride) membrane and then blotted with soluble GST-STAT1 or GST (as a control). The results showed that GST-STAT1, but not GST, bound c-Abl directly in vitro (Figure 2D).

To define the c-Abl-binding domain, agarose-conjugated and GST-fused full length or truncated STAT1 (Figure 2E, upper panel) were incubated with the lysates of 293T cells expressing Flag-c-Abl. Analysis of the adsorbates by immunoblotting with an anti-Flag antibody demonstrated that amino acids 577–750 of STAT1, which compose a region that contains the SH2 domain and transactivation domain, were responsible for the c-Abl interaction (Figure 2E, lower panel). Similarly, Flag-STAT1 immunoprecipitated by anti-Flag antibody was fractioned by SDS-PAGE and was transferred onto a PVDF membrane. Then, the membrane was blotted with a soluble GST-c-Abl SH3 or GST-c-Abl SH2 and GST only. The result showed that c-Abl SH3 domain was the major domain responsible for STAT1 association (Figure 2F).

Furthermore, the in situ interaction of endogenous c-Abl with STAT1 was assessed by Duolink proximity ligation assay (PLA). STAT1:c-Abl complexes were observed mainly in the cytoplasm, and the formation of these complexes was substantially potentiated by IFN stimulation (Figure 2G). Collectively, these findings demonstrate a direct association between c-Abl and STAT1 both in vitro and in vivo.

c-Abl mediates JAK-independent STAT1 phosphorylation

To investigate whether STAT1 is a substrate of c-Abl, purified His-tagged STAT1 was incubated with recombinant c-Abl (containing the catalytic domain at amino acids 237–643) in the presence of ATP for an in vitro kinase assay. Immunoblotting of the reaction product with anti-phospho-tyrosine and anti-phospho-STAT1 Y701 demonstrated that STAT1 was directly phosphorylated by c-Abl at tyrosine residue(s), including Y701, in vitro (Figure 3A). Next, we found that Flag-STAT1 expressed in 293T cells was tyrosine phosphorylated, especially on residue Y701, by Myc-c-Abl but not the kinase-inactive mutant Myc-c-Abl (K290R). Furthermore, upon treatment with the c-Abl/Arg-selective inhibitor AMN107, c-Abl-mediated STAT1 phosphorylation was nearly eliminated, which indicates that the tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT1 is dependent on c-Abl kinase activity (Figure 3B). c-Abl-mediated STAT1 phosphorylation was substantially impaired when STAT1 Y701 was substituted with phenylalanine, indicating that Y701 is the major phosphosite of Abl (Figure 3C). Moreover, STAT1 was also phosphorylated by Arg (Figure 5B).

To further delineate the specific STAT1 residues phosphorylated by c-Abl, Flag-STAT1 coexpressed with Myc-c-Abl was subjected to liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry analysis. In addition to the well-defined and functionally important STAT1 phosphosite Y701 (Schindler et al., 1992; Shuai et al., 1993), two other phosphosites, Y106 and Y665, were also identified (Figure 4A). Compared with WT STAT1, both Y106F and Y701F mutants showed compromised tyrosine phosphorylation (Figure 3C). However, the phosphorylation of STAT1 Y701 was not considerably affected by the phosphorylation of Y106 and Y665, indicating that Y106 and Y665 may play distinct roles (Figure 4B). Together, these findings indicate that tyrosine residues of STAT1, including the previously reported residue Y701, can be phosphorylated by Abl family kinases.

JAKs are primarily responsible for IFN-induced STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation (Villarino et al., 2017). As expected, STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation was induced by IFNγ and was significantly inhibited by ruxolitinib, a bispecific inhibitor of JAK1 and JAK2 (Figure 3D). Notably, a compromised Y701 phosphorylation was also observed upon Abl inhibition (Figure 3D, lane 4), indicating that c-Abl partially contributes to IFNγ-induced STAT1 activation. Further, STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation was also induced by DPH, a cell-permeable c-Abl activator, to a lesser extent than by IFNγ, which could be completely reversed by AMN107 (Figure 3E). To confirm DPH-induced Abl activation, c-Abl Y412 phosphorylation, an indicator of Abl activation, was also detected (Figure 3E). It has been demonstrated that JAK2 activation occurs first and is needed for the subsequent activation of JAK1 (Briscoe et al., 1996). To exclude the effect of JAK2 on STAT1 activation, we established a Jak2−/− MCF-7 cell line via CRISPR. Decreased but detectable STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation was observed in jak2−/− MCF-7 cells compared to that in parental MCF-7 cells, which could be similarly potentiated in the presence of Myc-c-Abl but not Myc-c-Abl (K290R) (Figure 3F) and was further diminished after AMN107 treatment. In c-abl−/− arg−/− cells, IFNγ-induced phosphorylation of STAT1 at Y701 was greatly compromised in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Figure 3G). All these observations demonstrate that c-Abl kinase could directly phosphorylate and activate STAT1 independent of the IFNγ-JAK signaling pathway and that, importantly, these two kinases may have synergistic effects on STAT1 phosphorylation at Y701.
Figure 3. STAT1 is phosphorylated by c-Abl

(A) The immunoprecipitates prepared from 293T cells expressing His-STAT1 or His only were incubated with 10 ng of human Abl protein (Merck, 14–529) in the presence of 0.2 mM ATP. The reaction products were analyzed with the indicated antibodies.

(B and C) Anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitates prepared from 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(D and E) Lysates of MCF-7 cells subjected to the indicated treatment were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(F) Lysates from JAK2-deficient or wild-type MCF-7 cells expressing the indicated plasmids were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(G) Wild-type or c-abl/arg-knockout MEFs were stimulated with IFNγ at 40 ng/mL for the indicated time (left) or with IFNγ at the indicated concentrations for 120 min (right). Lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting.
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c-Abl-mediated phosphorylation regulates STAT1 transactivation activity

The tyrosine phosphorylation of STATs is an essential step in the JAK-STAT pathway of IFN signaling and is involved in STAT dimerization, nuclear translocation, and DNA binding. To investigate if c-Abl-mediated phosphorylation regulates STAT dimerization, GFP-STAT1 and Flag-STAT1 were coexpressed in 293T cells in the presence or absence of Myc-c-Abl. The levels of GFP-STAT1 in anti-Flag immunoprecipitates were examined to indicate STAT1 dimerization. As shown in Figure 4A, coexpression of c-Abl potentiated the formation of STAT1-STAT1 homodimers. Immunofluorescence microscopy of MCF-7 cells further demonstrated that ablation of c-abl/arg or treatment with AMN107 significantly impaired IFNγ-induced STAT1 nuclear translocation (Figures 4B and 4C).

Furthermore, electromobility shift assays were employed to analyze the promoter binding activity of STAT1. A biotin-tagged IRF1 promoter region containing the STAT1 binding consensus sequence was used as the detection probe (Aaronson and Horvath, 2002). This probe was incubated with nuclear extracts from 293T cells exogenously expressing STAT1 with or without c-Abl, which was balanced basing on Figure 4. c-Abl promotes STAT1 dimer formation and nuclear import

(A) Anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitates prepared from 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were analyzed by immunoblotting.

(B) In situ cellular localization of endogenous STAT1 (green) in wild-type and c-abl/arg-knockout MCF-7 cells was detected by anti-STAT1 immunofluorescence microscopy. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). c-abl/arg double-knockout efficiency was detected in Figure S3B.

(C) The nuclear level of STAT1 in Figure 4B was calculated by the ratio of fluorescence intensity in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm in the same cell. At least 15 cells were calculated, and the results are expressed as the mean ± SD.

(D) Nuclear extracts isolated from 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were incubated with a biotin-tagged nucleic acid probe containing the IRF1 promoter sequence, resolved via native PAGE, and analyzed with ECL. A 1000-fold molar excess of unlabeled oligonucleotide was used as a DNA-binding competitor. The efficiency of nuclear extract isolation was shown in Figure S5B. All quantitative data are shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (unpaired Student’s t-test). **p < 0.01.
As shown in Figure 4D, numerous IRF1 probe-bound complexes containing STAT1 were observed in nuclear extracts (Figure 4D, lane 1). Complex formation increased slightly with IFNγ treatment (Figure 4D, lane 2) and increased significantly in cells ectopically expressing c-Abl (Figure 4D, lane 3). However, compared with WT STAT1, c-Abl showed little if any effect on promoter binding of STAT1 harboring Y701F (Figure 4D, lane 7 and 8), while Y106F and Y665F mutations showed nearly no difference with WT STAT1. The specificity of the complexes containing STAT1 and the detection probe was confirmed upon the addition of an untagged competitor probe (Figure 4D, lane 9). Moreover, the addition of an anti-STAT1 antibody resulted in the formation of a supershifted band (Figure 4D, lane 11). When the tagged probes were incubated with nuclear extracts of 293T cells as a control, no complexes were observed (Figure 4D, lane 10). These results demonstrate that c-Abl-mediated STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation potentiates the binding of STAT1 with its target promoters.

Then, IFNγ-induced transactivation of major STAT1-regulated genes, including ccl5, cxcl10, cxcl11, gbp2, ido1, ifi35, irf1, isg15, oasl, psmb9, and tap1, was assessed in WT or c-abl/arg DKO MCF-7 cells via RT-PCR. As expected, gene transcription was significantly impaired by c-abl/arg depletion (Figure 5A). Moreover, the addition of an anti-STAT1 antibody resulted in the formation of a supershifted band (Figure 4D, lane 11). When the tagged probes were incubated with nuclear extracts of 293T cells as a control, no complexes were observed (Figure 4D, lane 10). These results demonstrate that c-Abl-mediated STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation potentiates the binding of STAT1 with its target promoters.

The mRNA transcript level of IFN-induced genes was analyzed by RT-PCR. IFN-induced gene expression was measured by the ratio of specific gene transcription with IFN treatment to that without IFN treatment. (A) Wild-type or c-abl/arg-knockout MCF-7 cells were treated with 40 ng/mL IFNγ for 6 hr (B and C) MCF-7 cells were treated with or without 5 μM AMN107 for 24 hr and then subjected to 40 ng/mL IFNγ (B) or 1000 U/ml IFNa (C) stimulation for 6 hr. All quantitative data are shown as the mean ± SD of three technical experiments (unpaired Student’s t-test). **p < 0.01.

Figure 5A. As shown in Figure 4D, numerous IRF1 probe-bound complexes containing STAT1 were observed in nuclear extracts (Figure 4D, lane 1). Complex formation increased slightly with IFNγ treatment (Figure 4D, lane 2) and increased significantly in cells ectopically expressing c-Abl (Figure 4D, lane 3). However, compared with WT STAT1, c-Abl showed little if any effect on promoter binding of STAT1 harboring Y701F (Figure 4D, lane 7 and 8), while Y106F and Y665F mutations showed nearly no difference with WT STAT1. The specificity of the complexes containing STAT1 and the detection probe was confirmed upon the addition of an untagged competitor probe (Figure 4D, lane 9). Moreover, the addition of an anti-STAT1 antibody resulted in the formation of a supershifted band (Figure 4D, lane 11). When the tagged probes were incubated with nuclear extracts of 293T cells as a control, no complexes were observed (Figure 4D, lane 10). These results demonstrate that c-Abl-mediated STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation potentiates the binding of STAT1 with its target promoters.

Then, IFNγ-induced transactivation of major STAT1-regulated genes, including ccl5, cxcl10, cxcl11, gbp2, ido1, ifi35, irf1, isg15, oasl, psmb9, and tap1, was assessed in WT or c-abl/arg DKO MCF-7 cells via RT-PCR. As expected, gene transcription was significantly impaired by c-abl/arg depletion (Figure 5A). Moreover, genes typically induced by IFNγ and IFNa failed to be stimulated by IFNs in the presence of AMN107 (Figures 5B and 5C). These findings demonstrate that the transactivation activity of STAT1 is positively regulated by c-Abl.

c-Abl promotes IFN-dependent antiviral effects

TAP1 and PSMB9 are involved in the production and presentation of peptides in the MHC class I antigen-processing pathway (Ghannam et al., 2014; Vitale et al., 1998). In accordance with previous finding, the antigen presentation of ovalbumin by JAWS II cells to B3Z cells was significantly suppressed by AMN107 because of the reduced IL2 production (Figure 6A). To further assess the antiviral biological consequences of STAT1 regulation by c-Abl, WT and c-abl/arg−/− MEFs pretreated with or without serially diluted IFNγ were infected with vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV). VSV infection caused more severe cell death in c-abl−/− MEFs and WT cells treated with AMN107 than in WT MEFs treated with vehicles (Figure 6B). IFNγ treatment notably decreased cell death induced by viral infection, but it had a far less pronounced effect in the presence of AMN107. Consistent with this finding, c-abl/arg ablation in MEFs resulted in insensitivity to IFN stimulation, but this effect was notably reversed by c-Abl rescue (Figure 6B). Next, A549 cells treated with or without AMN107 were infected with Newcastle disease virus (NDV) expressing GFP, and the
results revealed that viral replication was also potentiated by AMN107 treatment but suppressed by c-Abl expression (Figure 6C). In accordance with the finding that DNA transfection leads to activation of the endogenous IFN response (Park et al., 2003), transfection with the pcDNA vector inhibited viral proliferation. Notably, transfection with Flag-c-Abl resulted in a more pronounced inhibitory effect on viral replication.

Figure 6. c-Abl promotes IFN-dependent antiviral effects
(A) Antigen presentation by JAWS II dendritic cells was analyzed by B3Z-secreted IL2 levels.
(B) Wild-type and c-abl/arg double-knockout MEFs infected with Ad-Vector or Ad-Abl or treated with or without 5 μM AMN107 were infected with VSV (MOI = 10) for 48 hr. Cell survival was then analyzed by MTS assay.
(C) A549 cells were treated with 5 μM AMN107 for 18 hr or transfected with Flag-c-Abl for 24 hr and samples treated with DMSO or empty vector served as controls. Then, the cells were infected with NDV-GFP (MOI = 1) for 24 hr, and GFP fluorescence was quantified by flow cytometry analysis (left) and is shown as the geometric mean ± SD of three independent measurements (right).
(D) AMN107 or ruxolitinib-treated A549 cells were stimulated with IFNγ for 24 hr. Then, the cells were infected with NDV-GFP for 24 hr, and GFP fluorescence was analyzed by FACS.
(E) Survival analysis of mice following H1N1 infection. 8- to 10-week-old WT mice and c-abl-cKO mice (10 in each group) intravenously treated with AMN107 or saline for 12 days were intranasally infected with H1N1 at 0.1 LD50, and mortality was monitored. All quantitative data are shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments (unpaired Student’s t-test or log rank test). **p < 0.01.
proliferation than transfection with an empty vector (Figure 6C). Furthermore, IFNγ treatment had little, if any, effect on viral infection in the presence of the c-Ab1-selective inhibitor AMN107 (Figure 6D). These data suggest that c-Ab1 plays important roles in STAT1-mediated antiviral effects.

We next investigated the roles of c-Ab1 in viral infection in c-ab1-knockout, c-ab1-kO mice, in which c-ab1 was specifically knocked out in thymocytes (Figure S7A), since germline knockout of c-ab1 gene results in runting and death within the first two weeks after birth (Koleske et al., 1998; Schwartzberg et al., 1991).

Then, WT and c-ab1-kO mice were intranasally infected with influenza A virus (IAV). A statistically nonsignificant higher mortality was observed among c-ab1-kO mice than among WT mice. WT mice continuously administered AMN107, which systematically suppresses c-Ab1/Arg kinases in all tissues, demonstrated significantly increased sensitivity to IAV (Figure 6E). Collectively, these findings indicate that c-Ab1 is required for antiviral immunity in animals.

DISCUSSION

IFNγ is one of the most important immune-modulating cytokines and plays vital roles in innate immunity against viral infection. In canonical IFNγ signaling, upon IFNγ stimulation, JAK1 and JAK2 are recruited to the cytoplasmic tails of aggregated IFNγ receptors (IFNGRs) and activated through sequential auto-phosphorylation and transphosphorylation events (Stark, 2007; Villarino et al., 2017). Then, STAT1 docks to IFNGR by the association of its SH2 domain with a recognition sequence in IFNGR (Y440DKPH444), within which Y440 is phosphorylated by JAKs (Greenlund et al., 1995). Following its phosphorylation at Y701 by JAKs, STAT1 dimerizes and translocates into the nucleus, where it promotes the transcription of an array of IFNγ-responsive genes (Aaronson and Horvath, 2002; Stark and Darnell, 2012). Stat1 ablation in mice results in an absence of transcriptional responses to IFN and a lack of IFN-induced antimicrobial and antiviral activities in cells (Meraz et al., 1996). Stat1--/-- mice also demonstrate increased susceptibility to the development of spontaneous and chemical (3-methylcholanthrene)-induced tumors (Kaplan et al., 1998) and hypersensitivity to certain inflammatory pathologies (Bettelli et al., 2004; Villarino et al., 2010). Moreover, STAT1 signaling shields T cells from natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity (Kang et al., 2019). These findings suggest that STAT1 mediates innate immune activation and tumor immunity.

Schlatterer et al. reported that c-Ab1, but not Arg, could induce neuronal loss by prompting STAT1 activation and interferon production. However, the exact mechanism responsible for c-Ab1-dependent STAT1 activation has not been elucidated (Schlatterer et al., 2012). Here, we show that c-Ab1 binds and phosphorylates STAT1 and interacts with STAT2 directly in vitro (Figures 2 and 3, S8A, S9A, and S10A). STAT1 Y701 is exclusively phosphorylated by JAK kinases, which regulates STAT1 dimer formation (Schindler et al., 1992; Shuai et al., 1993) and nucleocytoplasmic shuttling during IFNγ signaling (Mao et al., 2005; Mertens et al., 2006; Zhong et al., 2005). Our study unexpectedly found that c-Ab1, another kinase other than JAKs, also contributes to STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation independently (Figure 3). Although c-Ab1-mediated Y701 phosphorylation is not as potent as that of JAKs, it seems to be necessary for Y701 to reach maximal phosphorylation since seriously impaired Y701 phosphorylation was observed in c-ab1-/-/-arg-/-/- cells upon IFNγ stimulus, and IFNγ-induced STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation was inhibited by AMN107, from 1.25 μM to 5 μM, in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 3G and S11A). However, Y701 phosphorylation is not much affected by the phosphorylation state of Y106 and Y665, the other two phosphosites of c-Ab1, indicating that potentiated STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation does not result from c-Ab1-mediated multiple-site phosphorylation of STAT1 but is possibly attributed to enhanced JAK activity. Previous studies have shown that constitutive activation of JAK1 and variable activation of JAK2 have been observed in BCR-Ab1-expressing cells (Chai et al., 1997; Henderson et al., 1997; Shuai et al., 1996). Moreover, BCR-Ab1 has been shown to modestly activate STAT1 and STAT2 through the tyrosine phosphorylation of JAK1, JAK2, and JAK3 (Henderson et al., 1997). Current evidence supports that Ab1 and JAKs may have pronounced synergistic effects on STAT1 phosphorylation at Y701 (Figure 3E).

In addition to Y701, the phosphorylation of Y106 and Y665 was identified simultaneously (Figures 3C and 4A). These phosphosites were not involved in STAT1 Y701 phosphorylation or STAT1 homodimerization (Figures 4B and 4C). However, Murphy and colleagues found that the interaction between the NTDs (N-terminal protein interaction domain, amino acids 1–136) of monomers is necessary for the dimerization of nonphosphorylated full-length STAT molecules (Ota et al., 2004). STAT1 mutants (F77A and/or
L78A) fail to form nonphosphorylated dimers, and these mutants exhibit phenotype-persistent phosphorylation in IFN-treated cells and resistance to TC45-mediated dephosphorylation in vitro (Mertens et al., 2006). Y106 in the NTD, which is near F77 and L78, may be responsible for maintaining STAT1 in a phosphorylated dimer state and for maintaining STAT1 in the nucleus under ionizing radiation (Figures S6A and S6B). Moreover, the SH2 domain interacts with the phosphorylated tyrosine-containing domain when phosphorylated homodimer formation is induced by IFN (Shuai et al., 1994). Y665, which lies in the SH2 domain, may affect dimerization. The functions of c-Abl-mediated phosphorylation sites (Y106 and Y665) require further investigation.

Similar to JAKs, c-Abl-mediated phosphorylation regulates STAT1 dimer formation (including STAT1-STAT1 homodimer and STAT1-STAT2 heterodimer formation (Figures 4A, S12A, and S12B)), nuclear translocation, DNA binding, and transcription of IFN-stimulated genes. Abl disruption contributed to potentiated viral proliferation and increased virus-induced cell death (Figures 6B, 6C, and 6D). Moreover, we found that ionizing radiation (IR) induced STAT1 nuclear translocation in the absence of the c-Abl inhibitor (Figures S6A and S6B). IR activates c-Abl directly (Pendergast, 2002) and subsequently STAT1-dependent IFNγ signaling, which may provide an underlying mechanism by which radiation therapy initiates an IFN-cascading innate and adaptive immune attack on the tumor (Burnette et al., 2011) and offers a stronger innate immune status in response to IR stimuli. Compared to WT littermates, mice in which c-abl was conditionally knocked out in thymocytes showed higher but not statistically significant mortality. Notably, mice systemically administered the c-Abl/Arg inhibitor AMN107 had higher mortality (Figure 6E), which indicates that c-Abl expression in a variety of tissues contributed to antiviral immunity in animals. From these data, we suggest that endogenous Abl offers latent basal resistance to viral infection and that activated Abl stimulates by ionizing radiation shields cells.

Abl kinases are constitutively activated in most patients with CML. The Abl kinase inhibitors STI571 and AMN107 represent the frontline treatment for CML therapy. However, upper respiratory tract infection and immunosuppression are commonly observed, which may be caused by the repression of the STAT-mediated immune regulatory pathway (Hochhaus et al., 2016; Mattiuzzi et al., 2003). Our findings added a theoretical foundation to optimize the therapy.

In summary, the tyrosine kinase c-Abl was found to associate with STAT1 in vivo and in vitro, to promote STAT1 phosphorylation at Y701 independent of JAKs, to potentiate transactivation, and to mediate innate immune responses against infection, particularly in the context of c-Abl-related stress. Our finding provides a supplementary approach for STAT1 activation, which contributes to the growing body of knowledge regarding the regulation of IFN downstream signaling pathway.

Limitations of the study
In this study, we indicated that c-Abl-mediated STAT1 phosphorylation contributed to IFN-induced antiviral effects. However, the mechanism of IFN-induced Abl activation, which was not reported previously, and the cross-talk between Abl and JAK2 under IFN simulation still need to be addressed more clearly. Although Abl kinase activity is obviously involved in STAT1-mediated antiviral effects in cytologic analysis as shown in Figure 6, the effect of JAK2 in this process could not be completely excluded. The similar situation should also be considered when understanding the result of animal experiments. In addition, the exact role of Abl-STAT1 axis in antiviral innate immunity should also be evaluated more specifically to exclude the involvement of other regulator that might be similarly targeted by Abl activation, considering the wide range of Abl substrates. Besides STAT1 Y701, the other phosphosites, such as Y106 and Y665, were also identified in this study, the potential function of which is worthy to be further investigated.
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Figure S1. DEGs Analysis of the gene expression profiles, related to Figure 1. (A)-(B) GO analysis of genes regulated ≥4-fold (A) and the changes in ISG expression (B) in c-abl/arg double-knockout MEFs compared to wild-type MEFs determined by RNA-seq. (C) Lysates of wild-type and c-abl/arg double-knockout MEFs infected with adenovirus at the indicated MOI were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S2. Arg phosphorylates STAT1, related to Figure 2 and Figure 3. (A)-(B)

Lysates of 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S3. The validation of c-abl/arg double-knockout in MEFs or MCF-7 cells, related to Figure 1 and Figure 4. (A)-(B) Lysates of wild-type and c-abl/arg double-knockout MEFs (A) or MCF-7 cells (B) were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S4. Identification of STAT1 tyrosine phosphosites, related to Figure 3. (A) Myc-STAT1 coexpressed with Flag-c-Abl was purified via anti-Flag immunoprecipitation and SDS-PAGE and then subjected to chymotrypsin digestion and LC-MS/MS analysis. Monophosphorylated peptides containing PO₃:modified Y106, Y665 and Y701 were identified. (B)-(C) Lysates of 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitates were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S5

The indicated gene expression and the validation of nuclear extracts isolation, related to Figure 4. (A) Lysates of 293T cells transfected with the indicated plasmid were analyzed by immunoblotting. (B) Nuclear and cytoplasmic extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S6. The STAT1 nuclear translocation induced by ionizing radiation, related to Figure 4. (A) MCF-7 cells treated with or without AMN107 were subjected to 10 Gy γ-irradiation. Then, the localization of endogenous STAT2 (green) and c-Abl (red) was detected via immunofluorescence microscopy. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). (B) The nuclear localization of STAT1 in C was measured by the ratio of fluorescence intensity in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm in the same cell quantified by ImageJ software. At least 15 cells were calculated, and the results are expressed as the mean ± SD (unpaired Student’s t-test). **P<0.01.
Figure S7

A. 

**Figure S7. Identification of abl genotype in mice, related to Figure 6.** (A) c-Abl expression in T cells separated from the thymuses and spleens of mice with the c-abl<sup>fl/fl</sup>; Lck-Cre, c-abl<sup>wt/wt</sup>; Lck-Cre and c-abl<sup>wt/fl</sup>; Lck-Cre genotypes was analyzed by immunoblotting. β-actin was used as an equal loading control.

Figure S8

A. 

**Figure S8. c-Abl phosphorylates STAT1 in vitro, related to Figure 3.** (A) Purified Flag-STAT1 or GST-Crk (as a positive control) was incubated with or without 10 ng of human Abl protein (Upstate Biotechnology) in the presence of 0.2 mM ATP. The reaction products were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S9. The Abl kinase domain responsible for STAT2 association, related to Figure 2. (A) Anti-Flag or IgG immunoprecipitates prepared from cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and the proteins were blotted onto a PVDF membrane. The PVDF membrane was incubated with soluble GST-c-Abl SH2, GST-c-Abl SH3 or GST only for 2 h and then analyzed with an anti-GST antibody.
Figure S10. JAK and Abl kinase-mediated STAT2 activation, related to Figure 3. (A) Lysates of MCF-7 cells subjected to the indicated treatments were analyzed by immunoblotting.

Figure S11. IFNγ-induced STAT1 phosphorylation is dependent on Abl kinase activity, related to Figure 3. (A) Lysates of MCF-7 cells treated with AMN107 at different concentrations were analyzed by immunoblotting.
Figure S12

A.

| IP       | anti-STAT2 | IgG | Lysates | IFNα (1000 U/ml) | AMN107 (5 µM) |
|----------|------------|-----|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| kDa      | –          | +   | –       | +               | +             |
| IB: anti-STAT1 |            |     |         |                 |               |
| IB: anti-STAT2 |            |     |         |                 |               |

B.

- anti-STAT1 + + + + +
- anti-STAT2 + + + + +
- IFNα (1000 U/ml) – + – + +
- AMN107 (5 µM) – – + + +

MCF-7

MCF-7/ c-abl/arg KO

anti-STAT1 + –
anti-STAT2 – +
**Figure S12.** c-Abl potentiates IFNα-induced STAT1/STAT2 heterodimerization, related to Figure 4. (A) Anti-STAT2 or normal rabbit IgG immunoprecipitates prepared from lysates of MCF-7 cells subjected to the indicated treatments were analyzed by immunoblotting. (B) *In situ* PLAs were performed on wild-type and c-abl/arg double-knockout MCF-7 cells with the indicated treatments using anti-STAT1 and anti-STAT2 antibodies. PLA signals were shown as red spots, and nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Cells stained with anti-STAT1 or anti-STAT2 antibody alone were used as negative controls. The red spots of each cell were counted, and at least 15 cells were analyzed. All quantitative data are shown as the mean ± SD (unpaired Student's t-test). **P<0.01.
| TARGETS   | QPCR PRIMERS                                      |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|
| HOMO-CCL5 | 5'- CCTGCTGCTTTGCGCTACATTGC-3' 5'- CCTGCTGCTTTGCGCTACATTGC-3' |
| HOMO-CXCL10 | 5'- GGTGAGAAGAGATGTCAGTCTGAAATCC-3' 5'- GGTGAGAAGAGATGTCAGTCTGAAATCC-3' |
| HOMO-CXCL11 | 5'- AAGGACAACGATGCTAAATCCC-3' 5'- CAGATGCCCCCTTTCCAGGACTTC-3' |
| HOMO-GBP2 | 5'- GTTCCTACATCTCAGCCATTTCC-3' 5'- CCACTGCTGCTTTGCCTACATTGC-3' |
| HOMO-IDO1 | 5'- GCCTGATTCATGAGTCTGCTG-3' 5'- GCCTGATTCATGAGTCTGCTG-3' |
| HOMO-IFI35 | 5'- CACGATCACATGGAGGAGTGC-3' 5'- GGCAGGAAATCCAGTGACCAAC-3' |
| HOMO-IRF1 | 5'- GAGGAGGTAAAGACCAGAGCA-3' 5'- TAGCATCTCCTGCTGACGCTG-3' |
| HOMO-ISG15 | 5'- CTCTGATCCTGCTGAGTAGTCTG-3' 5'- AAGGTCAGCCAGAACAGGTCGT-3' |
| HOMO-OASL | 5'- GTGCTGTAACACAGGACTGTG-3' 5'- CCTCGTCCACTGCAAGTG-3' |
| HOMO-PSMB9 | 5'- CGAGAGGACTTTGCTGACACATC-3' 5'- CACCAATGGGAAAAGGCTGTCG-3' |
| HOMO-TAP1 | 5'- GCAGTCAACCTGCGAGTGAG-3' 5'- CAAGGTTCCGACGTATCCTCAG-3' |
| MUS-CXCL10 | 5'- ATCATCTCCGAGCGCTTATCCT-3' 5'- GACCTTTTTTGCTAAACGCTTTC-3' |
| MUS-DDX58 | 5'- AGCCAAGGATGTCCTCGAGGAA-3' 5'- ACACTGAGCAGCTTTGTGGAC-3' |
| MUS-DDX60 | 5'- CGCAAGCCAGACAGTCTCATAAC-3' 5'- AAACATCGCCCTGCTCAGCGG-3' |
| MUS-GBP1 | 5'- ACATGCCACAGAAACCCTCACA-3' 5'- AGGCATCTCTGCTTTGCGCTTCCAG-3' |
| MUS-GBP2 | 5'- AGATGCACACAGAAACCCTCCA-3' 5'- AAGGCATCTCTGCTTTGCGCTTACA-3' |
| MUS-GBP7 | 5'- CGTGTCATCACAGCAGCAGCGT-3' 5'- CCGTGCTCGAAAGAAGTGCTTCG-3' |
| MUS-IFI44 | 5'- ATGCAGCTCTTCTGAGCTGTTG-3' 5'- TCAGATCCAGGCTATTCCAGGT-3' |
| MUS-IRF9 | 5'- ACAAAGGACGTCGCTTCCAGGAAC-3' 5'- ATGCACTCTCGCTTTCTGAGGCAAT-3' |
| MUS-ISG15 | 5'- CATCTGCTGAGGAAACGAAACG-3' 5'- CTCAGAGCCAGACCTGTCCAGGAAC-3' |
| MUS-OASL2 | 5'- CCACAAACGAGGTCGCTCGAAGAC-3' 5'- CCACAAACGAGGTCGCTCGAAGAC-3' |
| Gene   | 5' Primer Sequence  | 3' Primer Sequence |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------|
| MUS-PSMA5 | 5' AGCCACCTGTCCATCCCTT -3' | 5' ATTGGCTCTGTTGAGGGTG -3' |
|         | 5' TGATGAGCGGGACTTGTGGC -3' |                    |
| MUS-PSMB9 | 5' TACCGTGGAGACTTGTAGCGC -3' | 5' GGCTGTCTGATTAGCATCCCTC -3' |
| MUS-TAP1 | 5' GACTCCTTGCTCTCCACTCAGT -3' | 5' AACGCTGTCCAGTCCAGGAT -3' |
**Transparent Methods**

**Ethics statement**

This study followed the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. All mice protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the Laboratory Animal Center, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, China.

**Mice**

*c-abl*<sup>fl/fl</sup> mutant mice in which loxP sites flanked exons 5-6 of *abl* oncogene 1 were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory, and Lck-Cre mice on a C57BL/6J background were kindly donated by Prof. Xiao Yang (Beijing Proteome Research Center). These mice were generated by crossing mice bearing loxP-flanked *c-abl* alleles (*c-abl*<sup>fl/fl</sup>) with a transgenic mouse line expressing Cre recombinase under the control of a modified distal promoter of the gene encoding Lck kinase (*Lck*-Cre), which was specifically expressed in thymocytes (Fig. S5B).

Female mice (18-22 g, 6-8-wk-of-age) were used in the experiments.

**Cell culture and transfection**

293T cells, MCF-7 cells and MEFs derived from WT and *c-abl*<sup>+/−</sup>*arg*<sup>−/−</sup> littermates (Koleske et al., 1998) were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Biological Industries). 2C4 (parental) and γ2A (JAK2-deficient) cell lines were grown in the presence of 400 μg/ml G418 (Roche). RAW 264.7 and B3Z hybridoma cell lines specific for SIINFEKL were cultivated in RPMI-1640
medium (Gibco). C57BL/6-derived JAWS II dendritic cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and grown as described in the manual. Cells were treated with AMN107 (Selleck), ruxolitinib (Selleck) or IFNα/γ (PeproTech) as noted in the text. Transient transfection was performed with Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher) and the TransIT-X2™ Dynamic Delivery System (Mirus).

**Generation of gene knockout cell lines via CRISPR/Cas9.**

We used the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro plasmid (Addgene plasmid ID: 48139) for Abl/Arg and JAK2 gene targeting. The sgRNAs (abl: 5’-TGTGATTATAGCCTAAGACC-3’; arg: 5’-AGTTCGCTCTAAGAATGGGC-3’; jak2-1: 5’-AATGAAGAGTACAACCTCAG-3’; jak2-2: 5’-CTGAGCGAACAGTTTCCATC-3’) were designed using the online tool developed by F. Zhang’s lab ([http://crispr.mit.edu/](http://crispr.mit.edu/)). The plasmid carrying a specific sgRNA sequence was transfected into parental cells. After 24 h, the cells were treated with 0.25 µg/ml puromycin (Life Technologies) for at least 7 days. Cell clones were selected to expanded culture followed with genomic sequencing identification and immunoblot analysis.

**Vectors and epitope tagging of proteins**

Flag-tagged STAT1, c-Abl, and Arg and their mutants were expressed by cloning the genes into the pcDNA3-based Flag vector (Invitrogen). Myc-tagged STAT1, c-Abl, and mutants were prepared by cloning the genes into pCMV-Myc (Clontech). Plasmids
encoding EGFP-tagged STAT1 were constructed by cloning STAT1 into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech). GST- and His-tagged STAT1 fusion proteins in pGEX4T-1 (Amersham Biosciences) and pET-22b (+) (Novagen) were generated by expression in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3).

**Reporter assay**

MEFs were transfected with a luciferase plasmid that contained the Psmb9 and Tap1 bidirectional promoters. 24 h after transfection, the cells were treated with AMN107 (5 mM) for 18 h and lysed with passive lysis buffer (Promega, E1910). Reporter activity was analyzed with a Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System kit (Promega, E1910) and a TD-20/20 luminometer (Turner Designs).

**Immunoprecipitation analysis and immunoblotting**

Cell lysates were prepared in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF, 10 mg/ml aprotinin, 10 mg/ml leupeptin, and 10 mg/ml pepstatin A) containing 1% Nonidet P-40. Soluble proteins were immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag (M2, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-Myc (E6654, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-STAT1 (06-501, Millipore), and anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) antibodies. An aliquot of the total lysate (5%, v/v) was included as a control. Immunoblotting was performed with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-Myc (Sigma-Aldrich), HRP-conjugated anti-Flag (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-c-Abl (K-12, Santa Cruz), anti-c-Abl pY412 (07-788, Millipore), anti-STAT2 (06-502, Millipore), anti-STAT1 pY701 (07-307, Millipore), anti-STAT2 pY689 (07-224), anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), HRP-conjugated anti-pTyr (4G10, Millipore), anti-JAK2 (3230, CST), anti-His (Proteintech), anti-GST (B-14, Santa Cruz), and anti-BCR (SC-104, Santa Cruz).
antibodies. The resultant antigen-antibody complexes were visualized via an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system (GE Healthcare). A PageRuler Western marker (Thermo Fisher) was used as a molecular weight standard.

**Protein binding assays**

In GST pulldown experiments, the lysates of cells subjected to the indicated transfection were incubated with 5 mg of GST or GST fusion proteins conjugated with glutathione beads for 2 h at 4 °C. After lysis buffer washing, the adsorbates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblot analysis. An aliquot of the total lysate (5%, v/v) was included in SDS-PAGE as a loading control.

In direct binding assays (Far-Western blot assays), anti-Flag immunoprecipitates were separated via SDS-PAGE, and proteins were then transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were subsequently incubated with purified GST fusion proteins in TBST for 2 h at room temperature. The binding of GST fusion proteins on PVDF membranes was detected by immunoblotting with an anti-GST antibody.

**Kinase assays**

Purified His-STAT1 or GST-STAT1 was incubated with active Abl protein expressed in *E. coli* (Merck, 14-529) in protein kinase buffer for 30 min at 30 °C. The reaction products were analyzed via SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**
Anti-Flag immunoprecipitates prepared from Myc-c-Abl and Flag-STAT1 cotransfected 293T cell lysates were separated via SDS-PAGE, and the protein bands were subsequently excised for chymotrypsin digestion, LC-ESI-MS/MS-resolved peptides were analyzed using a Q-TOF 2 system (Micromass), and the data were compared against SWISS-PROT using the Mascot search engine (http://www.matrixscience.com) to detect phosphorylation.

**In situ PLA**

Duolink *in situ* PLA (Proximity Ligation Assay, Sigma-Aldrich) was applied to detect the interactions between STAT1 and c-Abl in MEFs. In brief, cells on glass coverslips were permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min after blocking with 4% PFA. Antibodies against STAT1 (06-501, Millipore) and Abl (K-12, Santa Cruz) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions for the PLA. The red fluorescent spots generated from the DNA amplification-based reporter system were detected by the Zeiss LSM 800 confocal microscope.

**Semiquantitative RT-PCR analyses**

Total cellular RNA was extracted from cells ($10^5$ cells) using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74126) and cDNA was subsequently synthesized using a GoScript™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega, A5001). RT-PCR was performed using GoTaq® qPCR Master Mix (Promega, A6001). Primers used for genes detection were listed in Table S1, of which β-actin was used as a control to normalize mRNA levels.
EMSAs

EMSAs were performed using a LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo).

The IRF1 probe employed in this assay contained a previously reported STAT1-binding sequence (Aaronson and Horvath, 2002). Unlabeled oligonucleotides were added to the reaction mixtures for DNA binding competition.

The sequences of the oligonucleotides were as follows:

5'-TAGCTCTACAACAGCCTGATTTCCCCGAAATGACGGCAGCACGACCACC-3’

5’-GCCGCTGCTGCCTCGTATTTCCCAGGAAATCAGGCTGTTGTAGAGCTA-3’

Microarray analysis

RNA was extracted with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, 74126) and verified according to the RNA integrity number (RIN). After first-strand cDNA synthesis and second-strand cDNA synthesis, the cDNA was labeled with biotin and fragmented. Then, the fragmented RNA was hybridized to a Mouse Genome 430 2.0 GeneChip (Affymetrix), scanned with a GeneChip Scanner 3000 (Affymetrix) and analyzed with Affymetrix GeneChip Command Console (AGCC) software.

Antiviral assay

A total of 5×10^3 MEFs pretreated with or without AMN107 (5 μM) and c-Abl/Arg-knockdown MEFs pre-infected with or without an adenovirus expressing Abl were seeded into 96-well plates containing four-fold serial dilutions of IFNγ. After 20-24 h of incubation
with the cytokine, the cells were infected with the Indiana strain of VSV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. When a significant cytopathic effect (CPE) was observed in the VSV-infected positive control after infection, cell viability was measured using a CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS) (Promega), and the absorbance at 492 nm was measured using a multi-label reader (PerkinElmer EnVision).

For the NDV-GFP complementation assay, A549 cells transfected with 2 µg of plasmid DNA or treated with AMN107 were seeded into a 24-well microplate. After 24 h of incubation, the cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and infected with NDV bearing the GFP gene at an MOI of 10 at 37 °C for 2 h. The viral inoculum was removed from the cells, and 0.5 ml of DMEM containing 10% FBS was added to the cells. The cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, and the green infected cells (GFP-expressing cells) were counted by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis (BD FACSCalibur).

**Analysis of nuclear-cytoplasm distribution**

Cells on glass coverslips were fixed and permeabilized as described previously. Anti-STAT1 and anti-c-Abl antibodies were applied to detect endogenous STAT1 (green) and c-Abl (red) in MCF-7 cells via immunofluorescence microscopy (Zeiss LSM 800). The nuclear level of STAT1 was calculated by the ratio of fluorescence intensity in the nucleus to that in the cytoplasm in the same cell using ImageJ software. At least 15 cells were examined, and the results are expressed as the mean±SD.
**FACS analysis**

For GFP fluorescence analysis, A549 cells were harvested and subsequently washed with PBS containing 2% FBS. After centrifugation, the cell pellets were resuspended and analyzed using an ImageStreamX Mark II flow cytometer (Millipore).

**Antigen presentation assays**

Antigen presentation by JAWS II dendritic cells was analyzed as described by Mangala Rao et al. (Steers et al., 2009). Briefly, JAWS II cells were pretreated with or without AMN107 (5 µM), washed, diluted to $2 \times 10^6$ cells/ml in serum-free medium in the presence of 0.1 mg/ml OVA in a total volume of 0.2 ml and incubated for 90 min at 37 °C. The cells were then washed three times in serum-free medium and cocultured for 18 h with $5 \times 10^3$ B3Z cells/well (B3Z is a murine CD8$^+$ T cell hybridoma line specific for SIINFEKL). At the end of the coculture period, the supernatants were collected, and the levels of IL-2 in the supernatants were measured in triplicate using a Mouse IL-2 ELISA kit (eBioscience) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Viral infection**

Infection of 8- to 10-week-old mice was carried out via intranasal administration of 0.1 LD$_{50}$ mouse-adapted UI182 IAV derived from A/Changchun/01/2009. WT mice were treated with AMN107 (200 mg/kg/d) or vehicle for 1 week. Groups of mice were monitored for survival for 12 days after infection.
**Statistical analysis**

Mean values quantified from at least three independent experiments were analyzed by Student’s t-test or log-rank test (survival curves) using GraphPad Prism software.

Differences with p-values<0.05 were considered significant.
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