Influence of Local Pressures on Maldivian Coral Reef Resilience Following Repeated Bleaching Events, and Recovery Perspectives
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Two severe heat waves triggered coral bleaching and mass mortality in the Maldives in 1998 and 2016. Analysis of live coral cover data from 1997 to 2019 in shallow (5 m depth) reefs of the Maldives showed that the 1998 heat wave caused more than 90% of coral mortality leaving only 6.8 ± 0.3% of survived corals in all the shallow reefs investigated. No significant difference in coral mortality was observed among atolls with different levels of human pressure. Maldivian reefs needed 16 years to recover to the pre-bleaching hard coral cover values. The 2016 heat wave affected all reefs investigated, but reefs in atolls with higher human pressure showed greater coral mortality than reefs in atolls with lower human pressure. Additionally, exposed (ocean) reefs showed lower coral mortality than those in sheltered (lagoon) reefs. The reduced coral mortality in 2016 as compared to 1998 may provide some support to the Adaptive Bleaching Hypothesis (ABH) in shallow Maldivian reefs, but intensity and duration of the two heat waves were different. Analysis of coral cover data collected along depth profiles on the ocean sides of atolls, from 10 to 50 m, allowed the comparison of coral mortality at different depths to discuss the Deep Refuge Hypothesis (DRH). In the upper mesophotic zone (i.e., between 30 and 50 m), coral mortality after bleaching was negligible. However, live coral cover did not exceed 15%, a value lower than coral survival in shallow reefs. Low cover values of corals surviving in the mesophotic reefs suggest that their role as refuge or seed banks for the future recovery of some species in shallow-water reefs of the Maldives may be small. The repeatedly high coral mortality after bleaching events and the long recovery period, especially in sites with human pressure, suggest that the foreseen increased frequency of bleaching events would jeopardize the future of Maldivian reefs, and ask for reducing local pressures to improve their resilience.
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INTRODUCTION

Coral reefs throughout the world are facing the consequences of changing Earth's climate. The ENSO phenomenon, which is a natural periodic fluctuation in sea surface temperature (El Niño) and air pressure of the overlying atmosphere (Southern Oscillation) across the equatorial Pacific Ocean (Dijkstra, 2006), has important consequences for the climate around the globe. Ocean
warming worldwide and particularly intense ENSO episodes are leading to an increase in the severity, duration and frequency of coral bleaching events, which threatens the long-term stability of coral reefs, hampering their resilience to local human pressure (Hughes et al., 2018a). Reefs in the world have recently been affected by three major global bleaching events, in 1998, 2010, and 2016. The U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) declared that the last bleaching event can be considered as the longest, the most widespread, and probably the most damaging on record (Hughes et al., 2017). More than 70% of coral reefs around the world bleached and experienced catastrophic levels of coral mortality (Eakin et al., 2016).

Corals suffer thermal stress when sea surface temperatures exceed the seasonal means and protract for extended periods to cause the disruption of the mutualistic symbiosis between corals and their dinoflagellate endosymbionts (zooxanthellae), resulting in the expulsion of zooxanthellae and consequent coral mortality (LaJeunesse et al., 2018). The relationship among heat exposure, bleaching, and consequent mortality of different taxa is still not well understood (Hughes et al., 2018b). However, a protracted value of 1°C above the maximum summer mean has been often described as high enough to cause coral bleaching (Kayanne, 2017), depending also upon sea and weather conditions. Current projections foresee the collapse of coral reefs by the end of this century due to the increased frequency and severity of coral bleaching events worldwide (Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Veron et al., 2009; Bay et al., 2017; Heron et al., 2017). However, these projections do not consider the potential of corals to survive a changing climate by adapting and/or developing responses apt to increase their resilience to climate-driven stressors. In this regard, two hypotheses have been proposed: (i) the adaptive bleaching hypothesis (hereafter ABH); and (ii) the deep refuge hypothesis (hereafter DRH).

Although coral reefs are confined to stable habitats and narrow environmental conditions, the ABH postulates that the loss of one or more zooxanthellae strains, rapidly replaced by different ones with the formation of a new symbiotic consortium, has the potential to create new and more tolerant organisms, capable of surviving a variety of extreme conditions (Buddemeier and Fautin, 1993). Capacity to adapt ensured coral reef communities to persist over geological time scales through significant climate and sea-level fluctuations (Buddemeier et al., 2004); however, oceans are presently warming at a faster rate with respect to the geological past and decadal evolutionary responses to warming may drive the selection of the most adequate species able to adapt rapidly to environmental change (Padfield et al., 2015). Genetic adaptation to sea warming has been predicted (Matz et al., 2018), and reduced burning and mortality by some corals exposed to previous bleaching events has been often reported (Coles et al., 2018, and references therein). Adaptive bleaching mechanisms are not common in all corals (McClanahan and Muthiga, 2014; McClanahan, 2017), and shifts in species composition and decline in coral diversity are predicted to occur as temperature increases (Bahr et al., 2016; Richardson et al., 2018).

According to the DRH, marine organisms in deeper habitats are buffered from high surface temperatures and increased temperature variability (Bongaerts et al., 2010; Morri et al., 2017; Bianchi et al., 2019, and references therein). Mesophotic coral ecosystems are light-dependent communities developing at tropical and subtropical latitudes that extend from 30 m depth to as deep as about 165 m (Kahng et al., 2010), at the lower limit of the photic zone (Hinderstein et al., 2010; Loya et al., 2016; Laverick et al., 2017). Although working at mesophotic depths is constrained by traditional scuba limits, investigations on mesophotic coral reefs have grown exponentially in recent years due to advances in technology and because of the increasing recognition of their potential role as refuge for shallow-water reefs (Hoegh-Guldberg and Bruno, 2010; Loya et al., 2016; Muir et al., 2017, 2018). Corals show bleaching and mortality especially in shallow waters (Jokiel, 2004) whilst the occurrence of bleaching events and consequent mortality is normally lower at greater depths (Baird et al., 2018). DRH therefore suggests that mesophotic coral ecosystems may provide refuge and a source of recruits to facilitate recovery of shallow-water reefs damaged after a disturbance (Glynn, 1996; Riegl and Piller, 2003; Holstein et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2015; Praseta et al., 2017; Baird et al., 2018). Mesophotic reefs may display an important degree of overlap in species composition, and maintain connectivity with shallow reefs (Lesser et al., 2018; Muir et al., 2018), thus contributing to the local persistence of heat sensitive species despite periods of elevated seawater temperatures during strong ENSO episodes (Gatti et al., 2017; Shlesinger et al., 2018). Most families of scleractinian corals have been shown to be represented in the mesophotic zone of the Great Barrier Reef, with many also extending to greater depths, thus giving each evolutionary lineage some potential for being safeguarded in the case of shallow-reef degradation (Muir et al., 2018).

Repeated heat waves in the Maldives during the last decades provided the opportunity to better understand the impact of bleaching and mass mortality on coral communities. The general trend of coral recovery after the severe bleaching event of 1998 has already been investigated in previous papers (Bianchi et al., 2003, 2006, 2017; Lasagna et al., 2008), while the bleaching event of 2010 was comparatively milder and did not cause widespread mortality (Morri et al., 2015). The consequences of the further severe bleaching event of 2016 have been analyzed by Montefalcone et al. (2018a), especially with respect to the constructional potential of Maldivian reefs. The availability of a twenty-three year series of data on coral cover in the Maldives, encompassing the two most important bleaching events of 1998 and 2016 allowed comparing their impact and exploring the following hypotheses: (1) coral mortality after the 2016 bleaching event should be lower than after the 1998 event, according to the ABH; (2) coral mortality in deep waters should be lower than in shallow waters, meaning that mesophotic reefs can offer refuge to thermal stress as postulated by the DRH. Clearly, we did not analyze the ecophysiological mechanisms of ABH and DRH but simply concentrated on their manifest consequence: increased coral survival.

This study aims at: (i) reconstructing the thermal regime in the Maldives to identify the heat waves that caused severe bleaching and mass coral mortality in the last two decades; (ii) reconstructing the trend of human pressure (tourism and
resident population) in the Maldives to be compared with coral mortality rates in coincidence with the bleaching events; (iii) evaluating the trajectories of change and recovery patterns in shallow reef communities after the two mass mortality events; (iv) investigating geographical patterns of hard coral survival during the last bleaching event according to location (i.e., atolls with high or low human pressure) and reef exposure (i.e., lagoon- or ocean-facing reefs); (v) discussing the ABH using our long-term series of coral cover data collected on shallow reefs at about 5 m depth; (vi) discussing the DRH by analyzing coral cover reduction and mortality along depth profiles, from 10 to 50 m, surveyed in years with and without coral mortality.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Area and Field Activities**

The Maldives Archipelago consists of 27 atolls with ca. 1190 small coral islands stretched over an area of 860 km long from about 7°070 N to 0°400 S in latitude and 72°330 E to 73°450 E in longitude, with more than 99% of its territory covered by water. Coral reefs of the Maldives are considered as the seventh largest coral reef system on Earth, representing 3.14% of the World’s reef area (Dhunyu et al., 2017).

Scientific cruises took place annually in late April – early May between 1997 and 2019. Every year, eight to eleven sites were chosen randomly and surveyed across the atolls of Ari, Felidhoo, Gaafu Alifu (Suvadiva), North Malé, South Malé, Rasdhoo, and Thoddoo (Supplementary Table S1). Based on the occurrence of inhabited islands and infrastructures, the atolls of North Malé and South Malé were considered to be subjected to higher human pressure with respect to the remaining atolls (Godfrey, 2006). Data (see below for detail) were collected by scuba diving at reef sites located either on the ocean-exposed reefs or in lagoon sites (lagoon-facing sides of the atoll rim or patch reefs).

To evaluate the overall reef status of the Maldives (with a main focus on the central atolls because of logistic constraints), our sampling design implied a completely random selection of the study sites each year, to assure data independency. Monitoring the history of a specific reef site was indeed not within the scope of this long series of cruises. Each year an equal number of ocean reefs and lagoon reefs has been sampled, always distinguishing between atolls with high or low human pressure. Although the cruise route differed from year to year, some reefs (31%) were casually revisited in different years (Supplementary Table S1). In total, 168 sites were surveyed; their geographical position was recorded using a portable GPS.

**Climate and Local Human Pressure Regimes**

Sea surface temperature data was used as a proxy for climate change (Montefalcone et al., 2018b). A twenty-three year trend (1997–2019) of monthly maximum sea surface temperature (SST) was plotted from data provided by the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmosphere Administration (NOAA) (available at http://coralreefwatch.noaa.gov/vs/gauges/maldives.php) for the area of the Maldives Archipelago. Satellite data were calibrated by the usual process of linear regression with discontinuous field data on sea surface temperature from our own archives, collected contemporaneously with the biological data. Maximum SSTs were compared to the two regional bleaching thresholds defined for the Maldives, corresponding to: (i) 30.9°C for severe bleaching events that are likely to cause widespread coral mortality and live coral cover reduction (NOAA, 2016); and (ii) 30.5°C for moderate bleaching events that usually have no wide-scale impacts on Maldivian coral reefs (Montefalcone et al., 2018a).

Since both the intensity and the duration of thermal stress are key factors in bleaching response, the Coral Bleaching Degree Heating Week (DHW) has been developed by NOAA (Kayanne, 2017) as an index of thermal stress, which measures the amount of heat stress accumulated in an area over the past 12 weeks. Intensity and duration of thermal stress are combined into the DHW single number, which is thus expressed as “degree C-weeks”. DHW values >4.0 are likely to induce some bleaching, whilst DHW values >8.0 result in widespread bleaching and mass coral mortality (Kayanne, 2017). To estimate DHW for the Maldives we counted the number of weeks per year when the monthly mean SST was higher than the temperatures triggering moderate (30.5°C) or severe (30.9°C) bleaching events in the Maldives, and we added up all temperatures exceeding the regional bleaching thresholds during that time period. The estimated values of DHW for the Maldives were then compared with DHW values available from the NOAA coral reef watch database considering the region of the “northern-eastern hemisphere centre” (i.e., the central Indian Ocean) over an area of 60 degrees × 40 degrees. A linear regression was performed on a total of 19 observations to test relationships between estimated values of DHW for the Maldives and DHW values available from NOAA coral reef watch.

As regards human pressure, the twenty-three year trends (1997–2019) of the resident population (number of inhabitants) and of the number of tourist arrivals in the Maldives were plotted from data provided by the United Nations (Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division), by the National Bureau of Statistics in the Maldives (Department of National Registration), and by the Ministry of Tourism data (compiled from annual reports, available at https://www. tourism.gov.mv/downloads/stats). For the four main central atolls investigated in this study (North and South Malé, Felidhoo, and Ari), the resident population (number of inhabitants) obtained from the national census carried out in 1995, 2000, 2006, and 2014 (available at http://statisticsmaldives.gov.mv/yearbook/ statisticalarchive) and the twenty-three year trends (1997–2019) of the number of beds in the resorts were also provided.

**Data Collection**

Shallow reefs at 5±1 m depth were surveyed each year. Composition and status of reef communities were described using live hard coral, bleached (but still alive) coral, and recently dead coral. The latter has been defined as coral deprived of living polyps but with the whole colony still in place and with
a well-defined shape of the corallites (meaning that the main erosive processes have not started yet, i.e., usually within 1 year from coral death). The percent substratum cover for each of the three categories was visually estimated by the plain view technique of Wilson et al. (2007). Divers hovered 1–2 m above the bottom observing an area of 20 m², in three replicate spots (tens of meters apart) at each reef site and at each sampling time. To reduce bias in visual estimations, the same observers collected data during the surveys from 1997 to 2013, while new observers trained by the former estimated cover values from 2014 to 2019.

In a limited number of years (i.e., 1997, 1998, 1999, 2007, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019) the same surveying method (making sure to maintain a constant depth during each data collection) has also been used to visually estimate the percent substratum cover of live hard coral, bleached coral, and recently dead coral down depth profiles in each of the surveyed reefs (Supplementary Table S1), at depths corresponding to the lower photic reef (i.e., 10 and 20 m) and at depths corresponding to the upper mesophotic reef (i.e., 30, 40, and 50 m) (Loya et al., 2016, and references therein).

### Data Management and Analysis

Twenty-three year (1997–2019) trends of the mean (± standard error) percent cover of live hard coral and recently dead coral in shallow reefs were obtained. While the severe bleaching event of 1998 had been already described in detail in previous papers (Bianchi et al., 2003, 2005, 2017; Lasagna et al., 2008; Morri et al., 2015), here we analyzed with greater detail the bleaching event of 2016, exploring patterns of live hard coral cover in the pre-bleaching year (2015), during the event (2016), in the post-bleaching year (2017), and in the following early recovery years (i.e., 2018 and 2019), contrasting atolls with high or low human pressure.

To compare the two bleaching events of 1998 and 2016, two subsets were extrapolated from the whole dataset (Montefalcone et al., 2018a): the pre-bleaching years (1997 vs. 2015), the years of the bleaching (1998 vs. 2016), and the 2 years after (1999 and 2000 vs. 2017 and 2018).

The mean (± standard error) coral mortality (in %) was computed as the ratio of recently dead coral to live coral in the two subsets (i.e., 1997–2000 and 2015–2018), using the following formula:

\[
\text{coral mortality} = \frac{\text{RDC}/(\text{HC} + \text{BC} + \text{RDC})}{} \times 100
\]

where RDC is the cover of recently dead coral, HC the cover of live hard coral, and BC the cover of bleached coral.

The mean (± standard error) of the coral mortality and of the percent cover of HC and RDC was computed down the depth profile (10 m to 50 m) in two periods: i) years without coral mortality (i.e., 1997, 1998, 2012, 2013, 2015, and 2019), and ii) years with coral mortality during or short after either the moderate or severe bleaching events (i.e., 1999, 2007, 2016, 2017, and 2018).

Non-parametric permutational analysis of variance (one-way PERMANOVA, PRIMER6 + PERMANOVA; Anderson, 2001), run on untransformed data using the Euclidean distance, was used to test for differences in:

1. Live hard coral cover in shallow reefs (5 m depth) between atolls with high human pressure (North Malé and South Malé) and atolls with low local human pressure (2 levels) after the bleaching event of 2016;
2. Live hard coral cover in shallow reefs (5 m depth) between lagoon reefs and ocean reefs (2 levels) after the bleaching event of 2016;
3. Coral mortality in shallow reefs (5 m depth) among the years when coral mortality occurred (4 levels: 1999, 2016, 2017, and 2018);
4. Coral mortality across depths (5 levels: 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 m) when coral mortality occurred (pooling data from 1999, 2007, 2016, 2017, and 2018).

To test for differences in the percent cover of live hard corals, a two-way PERMANOVA was also performed with the factor “Mortality occurrence” (2 fixed levels: years without coral mortality and years with coral mortality during or short after bleaching events) and the factor Depth (5 levels: 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 m) fixed and orthogonal.

The pair-wise test was used to discriminate among levels of significant factors.

### RESULTS

#### Climate and Human Pressure Regimes in the Maldives

Peaks in maximum SST exceeded the moderate regional bleaching threshold in various years of the investigated period, but only the heat waves of 1998 and 2016 surpassed the severe regional bleaching threshold of 30.9°C (Figure 1). The heat wave of 1998 lasted from April to June and reached an estimated DHW value of 11.2, distinctly higher than the value of 8.2 reported in the NOAA database (Table 1). In 2016, we estimated a DHW value of 8.8, comparable to that of 8.9 provided by NOAA (Table 1), which was reached between mid-April and mid-June 2016. Notwithstanding occasional discrepancies due to the different size of the cells investigated, the DHW values estimated for the Maldives proper were positively correlated (\( r = 0.96, n = 19 \)) with those contained in the NOAA database for the whole central Indian Ocean.

Resident population in the Maldives increased slowly but steadily in the last decades (Figure 2A), passing from 244,814 people in the census of the year 1995 to 298,968 in 2006 and to 344,023 in 2014; the trend has been linear, leading to an estimated growth rate of 1.8% yearly. The same constant increase of the resident population was observed for the atolls of North and South Malé (Figure 2B), which have seen their population doubled in the last 20 years with an estimated growth rate of 4.2% yearly. On the contrary, the atoll of Ari passed from 11,955 people in the census of the year 1995 to 14,050 in 2014 and that of Felidhoo reduced from 1,678 people to 1,601 in the same period.

Tourist arrivals in the country grew exponentially, passing from around 366 thousands in 1997 to one million and half in 2019, with an increase rate of over 400%; a reduction in
2005 - possibly a consequence of the December 2004 tsunami – was rapidly recovered in 2006 (Figure 2C). The number of beds in the resorts increased exponentially in the atolls of Ari and Felidhoo, and more than exponentially in North and South Malé, where an impressive increase occurred especially after 2013 (Figure 2D); the number of beds in North and South Malé was twice than in Ari and one order of magnitude higher than that in Felidhoo.

**Impact of Bleaching on Shallow Reefs**

Live hard coral cover on shallow reefs of the Maldives dropped from values around 70% to a value lower than 8% after the 1998 bleaching event, and gradually returned to a value comparable to the pre-bleaching one only by 2014 (Figure 3). In 2015, recovery was apparently complete, with live hard coral cover reaching over 70% in several reefs. However, in reefs of North Malé atoll subjected to high human pressure live hard coral cover hardly exceeded 40% (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S2). In 2016, many hard corals died following the new mass bleaching and dropped to a mean live cover value of around 20% (Figure 3). Bleaching affected indistinctly all sites (Supplementary Table S2) in both lagoon and ocean reefs. However, coral mortality after the bleaching event showed high spatial variability (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S2), with reefs in atolls affected by comparatively lower human pressure exhibiting higher values of live hard coral cover compared to reefs in the two atolls of North Malé and South Malé, where human pressure is high on average (Table 2). Reefs started to recover already in 2017, and reached a mean live hard coral cover value of over 30% (Figure 3). Recovery, however, was distinctly lower in the atolls of North and South Malé (Figure 4) than in atolls with lower human pressure. Pattern of recovery was also different according to reef type: ocean reefs had suffered lower mortality with respect to lagoon reefs (Figure 5), and were therefore able to show live hard coral cover values higher than lagoon reefs in the immediate aftermath of the bleaching event (Table 3). Between 2018 and 2019 live hard coral in reefs subjected to low human pressure exhibited cover values up to 50–70%, whilst most reefs in atolls with high human pressure hardly reached 40% (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table S2).

After the two bleaching events of 1998 and 2016, at 5 m depth, cover of recently dead corals was higher in 1999 than in 2017 (Figure 3). Accordingly, coral mortality was significantly higher...
FIGURE 2 | (A) Twenty-three year trend (1997–2019) of the total number of inhabitants of the Maldives (number of inhabitants = 5.584 year^{-10889}; \( R^2 = 0.997 \)) from United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division; National Bureau of Statistics in Maldives, Department of National Registration; (B) Number of inhabitants according to the national census of 1995, 2000, 2006, and 2014 (available at http://statisticsmaldives.gov.mv/yearbook/statisticalarchive) for the four main atolls compared in this study: North and South Malé (number of inhabitants = 3.659 year^{-7240}; \( R^2 = 0.987 \)), Ari (number of inhabitants = 0.106 year^{-199.2}; \( R^2 = 0.733 \)), and Felidhoo (number of inhabitants = –0.006 year^{-1399}; \( R^2 = 0.492 \)); (C) Twenty-three year trend (1997–2019) of tourists arrivals in the Maldives (tourists arrivals = 2E^{-56}·e^{0.067}·year; \( R^2 = 0.943 \)) (from Ministry of Tourism data, compiled from annual reports and available at https://www.tourism.gov.mv/downloads/stats); (D) Twenty-three year trends (1997–2019) of the number of beds in the resorts of the four main atolls compared in this study: North and South Malé (number of beds = 9E^{-27}·e^{0.034}·year; \( R^2 = 0.779 \)), Ari (number of beds = 3E^{-22}·e^{0.029}·year; \( R^2 = 0.920 \)), and Felidhoo (number of beds = 3E^{-36}·e^{0.043}·year; \( R^2 = 0.821 \)) (from Ministry of Tourism data, compiled from annual reports and available at https://www.tourism.gov.mv/downloads/stats).

FIGURE 3 | Twenty-three year trends (1997–2019) of the mean (± standard error) percent cover of live hard coral (violet solid line) and recently dead coral (orange dashed line) in shallow reefs (about 5 m depth) of the Maldives.
FIGURE 4 | Geographical setting of the Maldives Archipelago and of the central atolls surveyed in recent years, with the mean percent cover of live hard corals at shallow reefs (5 m depth) in the 51 reefs surveyed during the 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019 scientific cruises. Each reef is labeled according to the codes reported in Supplementary Table S2. In green are the reefs surveyed before the 2016 mass bleaching event (i.e., the pre-bleaching year in 2015), in red are the reefs surveyed during the bleaching event (in 2016), and in yellow are the sites surveyed after the bleaching event. For a safe of clarity the post-bleaching year (in 2017) and the years of early recovery (2018 and 2019) are grouped in this figure. North Malé and South Malé are atolls affected by high human pressure, whilst Ari and Felidhoo atolls by low human pressure.

TABLE 2 | Results of one-way PERMANOVA on live hard coral cover in shallow reefs (5 m depth) between atolls with high human pressure (North Malé and South Malé) and atolls with low local human pressure after the bleaching event of 2016.

| Live hard coral cover | df | MS    | Pseudo-F | P (perm) | Unique permutations |
|-----------------------|----|-------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| Condition             | 1  | 5867  | 16.55    | 0.001    | 397                |
| Residual              | 121| 355   |          |          |                    |
| Total                 | 122|       |          |          |                    |

Significant values are in bold.

Impact of Bleaching With Depth

Hard corals bleached from shallow water down to about 30 m depth. Consistently, bleaching-induced coral mortality was high at 10 m and 20 m, low at 30 m and negligible at 40 m and 50 m depth (Figure 7A and Table 5). The cover of live hard corals decreased gradually with depth (Figure 7B). At the lower photic depths (10 and 20 m), live coral cover decreased after the mass mortality episodes, whilst at the upper mesophotic depths (i.e., 30, 40, and 50 m) live hard coral cover showed no significant decline (Figure 7B). Only at lower photic depths (10 and 20 m) differences in live hard coral cover between years with or without mortality were significant (Table 6).
DISCUSSION

Maldivian coral reefs experienced two severe bleaching events in 1998 and in 2016. After the 1998 bleaching event, more than 90% of hard corals died (Bianchi et al., 2003, 2006), and it took 16 years for reefs to recover the pre-bleaching values of live hard coral cover (Morri et al., 2015). Such a recovery was consistent with that observed in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean (Romero-Torres et al., 2020), but was surprisingly slow as compared to the fast coral cover recovery observed in the neighboring Chagos Archipelago (Sheppard et al., 2008) and in other remote Indian Ocean locations with similar oceanographic conditions (Gilmour et al., 2013). All these latter studies concerned nearly uninhabited islands; on the contrary, the Maldives are experiencing a still moderate but nevertheless continuously increasing level of human pressure because of population increase, coastal development, and tourism intensification (Jaleel, 2013; Nepote et al., 2016). In addition, starting from 2016, the atolls of North and South Malé have been subject to important land reclamation engineering works with consequent increase of sediments in the lagoons (Pancrazi et al., 2020).

Visual estimation of substratum cover is among the most universally used metric to quantify sessile benthic organisms (Bianchi et al., 2004), but might be an insufficient descriptor of recovery when considered alone (Johns et al., 2014). In the Maldives, coral species richness was recovered after 4 years with that observed in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean (Romero-Torres et al., 2020), but was surprisingly slow as compared to the fast coral cover recovery observed in the neighboring Chagos Archipelago (Sheppard et al., 2008) and in other remote Indian Ocean locations with similar oceanographic conditions (Gilmour et al., 2013). All these latter studies concerned nearly uninhabited islands; on the contrary, the Maldives are experiencing a still moderate but nevertheless continuously increasing level of human pressure because of population increase, coastal development, and tourism intensification (Jaleel, 2013; Nepote et al., 2016). In addition, starting from 2016, the atolls of North and South Malé have been subject to important land reclamation engineering works with consequent increase of sediments in the lagoons (Pancrazi et al., 2020).

Visual estimation of substratum cover is among the most universally used metric to quantify sessile benthic organisms (Bianchi et al., 2004), but might be an insufficient descriptor of recovery when considered alone (Johns et al., 2014). In the Maldives, coral species richness was recovered after 4 years with that observed in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean (Romero-Torres et al., 2020), but was surprisingly slow as compared to the fast coral cover recovery observed in the neighboring Chagos Archipelago (Sheppard et al., 2008) and in other remote Indian Ocean locations with similar oceanographic conditions (Gilmour et al., 2013). All these latter studies concerned nearly uninhabited islands; on the contrary, the Maldives are experiencing a still moderate but nevertheless continuously increasing level of human pressure because of population increase, coastal development, and tourism intensification (Jaleel, 2013; Nepote et al., 2016). In addition, starting from 2016, the atolls of North and South Malé have been subject to important land reclamation engineering works with consequent increase of sediments in the lagoons (Pancrazi et al., 2020).

Visual estimation of substratum cover is among the most universally used metric to quantify sessile benthic organisms (Bianchi et al., 2004), but might be an insufficient descriptor of recovery when considered alone (Johns et al., 2014). In the Maldives, coral species richness was recovered after 4 years with that observed in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean (Romero-Torres et al., 2020), but was surprisingly slow as compared to the fast coral cover recovery observed in the neighboring Chagos Archipelago (Sheppard et al., 2008) and in other remote Indian Ocean locations with similar oceanographic conditions (Gilmour et al., 2013). All these latter studies concerned nearly uninhabited islands; on the contrary, the Maldives are experiencing a still moderate but nevertheless continuously increasing level of human pressure because of population increase, coastal development, and tourism intensification (Jaleel, 2013; Nepote et al., 2016). In addition, starting from 2016, the atolls of North and South Malé have been subject to important land reclamation engineering works with consequent increase of sediments in the lagoons (Pancrazi et al., 2020).
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**FIGURE 6** | Mean (± standard error) coral mortality (in %) in shallow reefs (5 m depth) of the Maldives in the years before (−1, i.e., 1997, 2015), during (B1, i.e., 1998, and B2, i.e., 2016), in the 2 years after (+1 and +2, i.e., 1999 and 2000) the mass bleaching event of 1998, and in the 3 years after (+1, +2, and +3, i.e., 2017, 2018, and 2019) the mass bleaching event of 2016.

(Benzi and Pichon, 2007) and *Acropora* colonies density and size after 6 years (Lasagna et al., 2010b). However, recovery of all descriptors at ecosystem level (community structure, seascape, trophic organization, and structural complexity) took 14 to more than 16 years and was rarely complete (Bianchi et al., 2017). While previous papers already investigated the general trend of coral recovery in the Maldives after the mass bleaching event in 1998 (Lasagna et al., 2008; Morri et al., 2015; Bianchi et al., 2017), this study showed that during the 2016 bleaching event, 60% of hard corals in the Maldives bleached and died when the accumulated heat exposure exceeded the critical regional bleaching threshold of degree heating weeks (DHW = 8.0) for 2 months. Hard corals bleached in almost all reefs surveyed during 2016, although differences in coral mortality were observed among the reefs investigated in the subsequent years. Local ecological and environmental differences influenced the severity of coral bleaching in the various areas (McClanahan et al., 2018). Reefs in remote areas or affected by a comparatively lower degree of human pressure, such as in Felidhoo and Ari atolls, kept a higher value of live hard coral cover than reefs in more developed and urbanized areas, such as in North and South Malé atolls (Dhunya et al., 2017; Stevens and Froman, 2019). Reefs affected by local human pressure have already been shown to be more vulnerable to climate disturbance (Montefalcone et al., 2011; Nepote et al., 2016).

Corals in ocean reefs proved more resistant to the 2016 bleaching event than those in lagoon reefs. Ocean reefs are close to deep cooler waters, have higher water movement and waves that may attenuate light intensity and ensure mixing of water, and are generally unaffected by nutrients coming from land: all factors that can potentially reduce bleaching and mortality (Muir et al., 2017). Differences between ocean and lagoon reefs are expressed not only in morphology, topography and exposure (Lasagna et al., 2008, 2010a; Rovere et al., 2018), but also in the species composition of the coral communities. Maldivian lagoon reefs are typically dominated by tabular and branching *Acropora* corals (Bianchi et al., 1997; Lasagna et al., 2010b).

**TABLE 4** | Results of one-way PERMANOVA on coral mortality in shallow reefs (5 m depth) among the years when coral mortality occurred (i.e., 1999, 2016, 2017, and 2018).

| Coral mortality | df | MS | Pseudo-F | P (perm) | Unique permutations |
|-----------------|----|----|----------|----------|---------------------|
| Year            | 3  | 33606 | 99.91 | 0.001 | 999 |
| Residual        | 92 | 336 | | | |
| Total           | 95 | | | | |
| Pair-wise test: 1999 > 2016**; 1999 > 2017**; 1999 > 2018**; 2016 < 2017**; 2016 > 2018**; 2017 > 2018** |

Significant values are in bold; **p < 0.001.

**TABLE 5** | Results of one-way PERMANOVA on coral mortality across depths (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 m) when coral mortality occurred in the Maldives (pooling data from 1999, 2007, 2016, 2017, and 2018).

| Coral mortality | df | MS | Pseudo-F | P (perm) | Unique permutations |
|-----------------|----|----|----------|----------|---------------------|
| Depth           | 4  | 1869.1 | 6.93 | 0.001 | 999 |
| Residual        | 63 | 269.3 | | | |
| Total           | 67 | | | | |
| Pair-wise test: 10 m > 30 m; 10 m > 40 m; 10 m > 50 m; 20 m > 40 m; 30 m > 50 m |

Significant values are in bold; *p < 0.05.
Fewer explorations have been conducted in the lower photic zone, due to scuba diving constraints, comparatively of most coral-reef monitoring programs (Jimenez et al., 2012; Grottoli et al., 2014; McClanahan, 2017; Coles et al., 2018). Processes of acclimatization, ecological reorganization, and might be not the only explanation for a lower coral mortality in the two heat waves hamper a definite answer. Coral adaptability some support for the ABH in some species of shallow Maldivian wave was a relatively longer event, whilst the 2016 heat wave an area wider than the Maldives). In any case, the 1998 heat currents and water movements in comparison to lagoon reefs also likely linked to higher propagule exchanges due to stronger other localities (Loya et al., 2001; Pratchett et al., 2013). On the contrary, ocean reefs are dominated by massive corals (Bianchi et al., 1997), which experienced only partial colony mortality after the two bleaching events (Bianchi et al., 2006; Perry and Morgan, 2017; Pisapia et al., 2019). Higher resistance of ocean reefs is also likely linked to higher propagule exchanges due to stronger currents and water movements in comparison to lagoon reefs (Kinlan and Gaines, 2003).

Susceptibility to thermal stress of Acropora corals is known to be widely variable (Muir et al., 2018), but in the Maldives they suffered a catastrophic die-off in both 1998 (Tkachenko, 2014) and 2016 (Pisapia et al., 2019), as already reported by studies in other localities (Loya et al., 2001; Pratchett et al., 2013). On the contrary, ocean reefs are dominated by massive corals (Bianchi et al., 1997), which experienced only partial colony mortality after the two bleaching events (Bianchi et al., 2006; Perry and Morgan, 2017; Pisapia et al., 2019). Higher resistance of ocean reefs is also likely linked to higher propagule exchanges due to stronger currents and water movements in comparison to lagoon reefs (Kinlan and Gaines, 2003).

Although the 2016 heat wave has been declared as the most damaging on record (Eakin et al., 2016; Hughes et al., 2017), corals in the Maldives survived to a larger extent with respect to 1998. According to our calculation for the Maldives, the thermal anomaly of 1998 was distinctly higher than that of 2016 in terms of DHW, but the two were comparable according to the NOAA coral reef watch database (which, however, considered an area wider than the Maldives). In any case, the 1998 heat wave was a relatively longer event, whilst the 2016 heat wave was less prolonged. The lower coral mortality in 2016 might give some support for the ABH in some species of shallow Maldivian coral reefs, as already hypothesized by McClanahan and Muthiga (2014). However, differences in duration and intensity between the two heat waves hamper a definite answer. Coral adaptability might be not the only explanation for a lower coral mortality in 2016: processes of acclimatization, ecological reorganization, and an effective coral heterotrophy (Houbreque and Ferrier-Pagès, 2009; Grottoli et al., 2014; McClanahan, 2017; Coles et al., 2018) have also been evoked.

In the Maldives (as elsewhere in the world) shallow reefs in the upper photic zone, within 10 m depth, are usually the target of most coral-reef monitoring programs (Jimenez et al., 2012; Tkachenko, 2012). Due to scuba diving constrains, comparatively fewer explorations have been conducted in the lower photic zone, below 10 m depth, where coral reefs can still be constructional (Morri et al., 1995; Bianchi et al., 1997) and even exhibit high coral cover in some cases (Sheppard, 1980). Although mesophotic reefs are rarely included in reef assessments (Pyle et al., 2016; Garavelli et al., 2018; Studivan and Voss, 2018), there is a growing interest to investigate the upper mesophotic coral-reef ecosystems, 30 m to 50 m depth, for their potential to serve as thermal refuge (Semmler et al., 2016). Nevertheless, ecological information on mesophotic reefs in the Maldives is poor compared to that on shallow reefs (Morri et al., 1995, 2010; Bianchi, 1997).

Our results showed significantly reduced bleaching in the upper mesophotic reefs and a negligible coral mortality after the two bleaching events at depths between 30 and 50 m, thus providing some support to DRH. In many coral reefs of the world, the upper mesophotic reef community represents an extension of the shallow-water community (Pyle et al., 2016; Lesser et al., 2018), as many coral species are shared across these depths (Kahng et al., 2017; Studivan and Voss, 2018); Zlatarski, 2018). However, our results also indicated that coral cover reduced drastically with depth from shallow to deep waters, with cover values always <20% in the latter. These values are lower than that of the survivors on shallow reefs. Even assuming a great fecundity for mesophotic coral species, there is no reason to think that their potential for contributing to reef recovery after severe events surpasses that of the survivors in photic reefs.

Although widely used as indicator of reef health (Montefalcone et al., 2018a), coral cover does not account for differences in susceptibility between species. Susceptibility of the different species to bleaching over depth has never been widely quantified, and available information on deep reefs during severe bleaching events is limited (Muir et al., 2017; Morais and Santos, 2018). Except for the ultrasensitive fire corals of the genus Millepora (Smith et al., 2014; Morri et al., 2017), some recent studies suggest that the applicability of the DRH may be only site- and species-specific (Semmler et al., 2016), and should not be considered as a general phenomenon (Smith et al., 2016; Bongaerts et al., 2017). Some controversy occurs regarding the hypothesis that deep reefs can provide a refuge from bleaching. An important role of mesophotic habitats has been demonstrated in the Indo-Pacific Ocean due to the high species richness of scleractinian corals, particularly at 30–45 m depths, able to preserve evolutionary lineages (Muir et al., 2018). During the 2016 bleaching event, 73% of the Maldivian coral species at 24–30 m depth had been not affected by bleaching (Muir et al., 2017). Deep areas of the ocean-side reefs on the Great Barrier Reef provided a refuge from the 2016 bleaching event for some colonies of the most abundant and ecologically important coral genera, e.g., Acropora, Pocillopora, and Porites (Baird et al., 2018). The survivors at depth may well be species that have been severely depleted in shallow reefs, thus making their contribution potentially critical. Shallow-reef assemblages in the Maldives share species with reefs at 30 m depth, but there are species that are not depth generalists (Benzoni and Pichon, 2007; Bigot and Amir, 2012): contrasting results might reflect local variations in both species composition and depth distribution of individual species down the reef slope (Laverick et al., 2018; Rocha et al., 2018). More data on recovery through time and at different

| TABLE 6 | Results of two-way PERMANOVA on the percentage cover (in %) of live hard coral in the years without coral mortality (years nm) and years with coral mortality (years m) across a depth profile (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 m) in the Maldives. |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|             | df | MS | Pseudo-F | P (perm) | Unique permutations |
| Mortality occurrence (Mo) | 1 | 2064 | 14.06 | 0.001 | 997 |
| Depth (D) | 4 | 5927 | 40.37 | 0.001 | 999 |
| Mo × D | 4 | 328 | 2.23 | 0.072 | 999 |
| Residual | 200 | 146 | | | |
| Total | 209 | | | | |
| Pair-wise test. Mo: years nm > years m; D: 10 m > 20 m; 10 m > 30 m; 10 m > 40 m; 10 m > 50 m; 20 m > 30 m; 20 m > 40 m; 20 m > 50 m; 30 m > 40 m; 30 m > 50 m; 40 m > 50 m |
| Significant values are in bold. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001. |
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local human pressure (Lasagna et al., 2014; Dhunya et al., 2017), thus making Maldivian coral reef ecosystems more resilient to climate change (Brown et al., 2013; Shaver et al., 2018). Keeping local impacts under control may represent a better conservation strategy than relying upon coral adaptive responses and depth refuge efficacy.
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