The next wave of cellular immunotherapies in pancreatic cancer
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Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive disease that is predicted to become the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide by 2030. The overall 5-year survival rate is around 10%. Pancreatic cancer typically presents late with locally advanced or metastatic disease, and there are limited effective treatments available. Cellular immunotherapy, such as chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy, has had significant success in treating hematological malignancies. However, CAR T cell therapy efficacy in pancreatic cancer has been limited. This review provides an overview of current and ongoing CAR T cell clinical studies of pancreatic cancer and the major challenges and strategies to improve CAR T cell efficacy. These strategies include arming CAR T cells; developing off-the-shelf allogeneic CAR T cells; using other immune CAR cells, like natural killer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes; and combination therapy. Careful incorporation of preclinical models will enhance management of affected individuals, assisting incorporation of cellular immunotherapies. A multifaceted, personalized approach involving cellular immunotherapy treatment is required to improve pancreatic cancer outcomes.

INTRODUCTION
Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a deadly disease accounting for over 90% of pancreatic malignancies. The overall 5-year survival rate is around 10%. In 2021, it represented the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States, with 60,430 predicted new cases diagnosed and 48,220 deaths.1 Based on current trends, with no significant improvements in survival, it is expected to become the second leading cause of cancer-related death by 2030.2

The majority of individuals with PDAC present late at diagnosis with locally advanced or metastatic disease. There is a lack of early specific symptoms and, outside of research protocols, no early detection test exists. Diagnosis is mainly based on imaging, which is complicated by the deep anatomical location of the pancreas. Surgical resection remains the only potentially curative treatment option for individuals with pancreatic cancer, but less than 20% are suitable at diagnosis.3 Systemic chemotherapy (FOLFIRINOX, a combination of folinic acid, fluorouracil (5-FU), irinotecan, and oxaliplatin, or a combination of gemcitabine and nab-paclitaxel) is commonly used as first-line treatment for advanced individuals.4–6 However, response rates are less than 32%, and chemotherapy-related toxicities may reduce their wider utility.7

Advancements in next-generation and single-cell sequencing have greatly improved our understanding of the underlying genetic and biological mechanisms of PDAC.8 Although this has not translated to significant overall clinical improvements, it has facilitated greater precision in providing a personalized medicine approach where a molecular signature or biomarker is used to match individuals to a targeted therapy. For example, individuals with BRCA-mutated PDAC (up to 25% of PDAC) have been found to be responsive to PARP inhibitors followed by platinum-based chemotherapy,9 and immune checkpoint inhibitors are approved for use in individuals with PDAC with high microsatellite instability (1%–2% of PDAC).10 The Know Your Tumor program found that individuals with PDAC who were given a tailored therapy had longer median survival than those who were not, in retrospective analyses.11 However, it was found that only 26% had actionable mutations in this program, a limited subset of individuals, highlighting the need for further therapeutic options to improve the treatment and outcomes in individuals with PDAC.

CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPY
Cellular immunotherapy has transformed the landscape of therapeutic oncology. One approach that has garnered significant attention is chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy, a technology where an individual’s T cells are collected and genetically engineered to express a CAR that recognizes and attacks cancer cells.12,13 CAR T cells are typically infused systemically to target tumor cells and exert antitumor activity.13,14

The CAR consists of an extracellular antigen-binding domain connected to endodomain(s), responsible for downstream signaling.15,16
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To date, five generations of CARs have been developed (Figure 1). The first-generation CAR consists of the antigen-binding domain derived from the single-chain variable fragment (scFv) of an antibody. This is connected to a CD3ζ chain, acting as the transmembrane signaling domain to mediate antigen-dependent activation.17,18 The second-generation CAR adds a co-stimulatory molecule (CD28, 4-1BB, OX40, CD27, or ICOS) to enhance T cell response.19 CD28 and 4-1BB are the most commonly employed co-stimulatory domains.19,20 To improve antitumor efficacy, the third generation includes two co-stimulatory domains to the CD3ζ chain.19,20 Further research to enhance CAR efficacy resulted in fourth-generation CARs or TRUCKs (T cells redirected for antigen-unrestricted cytokine-initiated killing), which can encode secretion of cytokines.21–23 This improves CAR T cell function and regulation of the innate immune cell response. The term “armored CAR” has been used to describe this strategy of genetic engineering to encode for secretion of cytokines, modulation of cytokine function, or secretion of antibody-like proteins to enhance CAR efficacy.24,25 Another armored CAR and potential concept for a fifth-generation CAR has been developed recently to enhance the proliferation and antitumor activity of CAR T cells by insertion of interleukin (IL)-2Rβ, inducing antigen-dependent activation of the JAK-STAT pathway.26

As of July 2021, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved five CAR T cell therapies. Yescarta® (axicabtagene ciloleucel)27 and Tecartus® (brexucabtagene autoleucel),28 which utilize second-generation CD19-directed CARs with a CD28 co-stimulatory domain, were approved in 2017 and 2020, respectively. Kymriah® (tisagenlecleucel),29 approved in 2017, and Breyanzi® (lisocabtagene maraleucel),30 approved in 2021, utilize a second-generation CD19-directed CAR with a 4-1BB co-stimulatory domain. Recently, the FDA approved an anti-B cell maturation antigen (BCMA)-directed CAR, Abecma® (idecabtagene vicleucel).30 These therapies have been very successful in treatment of hematological malignancies, with one study reporting up to 90% of individuals achieving remission.31,32 So far, no CAR T cell therapy is approved for solid tumors. Early indications in solid tumor clinical trials have failed to replicate the same success observed in hematological malignancies. Completed PDAC CAR T cell clinical trials to date have demonstrated limited efficacy (Table 1). Rates of stable disease were achieved in a limited subset of individuals where 44% achieved stable disease. However, the majority only exhibited short-term responses or disease progression.

CURRENT PDAC CAR T CELL CLINICAL TRIALS

Mesothelin

Mesothelin (MSLN) is one of the most examined target antigens for immunotherapies in PDAC. MSLN is normally expressed at limited levels on the surface of cells by the mesothelial tissues of the body (pleura, peritoneum, and pericardium).15,24 However, the protein is overexpressed in many solid tumors, including PDAC, ovarian adenocarcinoma, mesothelioma, and lung adenocarcinoma.35-37

Based on promising preclinical mouse studies, where administered MSLN-targeted CAR T cells reduced tumor burden and prolonged survival,37,38 a phase 1 clinical trial (NCT01897415) involving individuals with chemorefractory PDAC was undertaken.39 These autologous T cells were engineered using mRNA electroporation, inducing transient expression of a second-generation anti-MSLN CAR construct coupled to 4-1BB and CD3ζ.39,40 6 individuals with PDAC were administered CAR T cells intravenously 3 times a week for
Another phase 1 trial (NCT02159716) investigated the safety and efficacy of lentivirally transduced CARs.40 Individuals with chemorefractory solid malignancies comprising pancreatic cancer, mesothelioma, and ovarian cancer were enrolled and administered anti-MSLN CAR therapy. MSLN expression varied between individuals, with the protein either confined to the cytoplasm or expressed on the cell surface. No individuals experienced severe adverse events (AEs) or dose-limiting toxicity. Clinical response to treatment was defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1. The best response observed was stabilization of disease in 2 individuals for 3.8 and 5.4 months.

Another phase 1 trial (NCT02159716) investigated the safety and efficacy of lentivirally transduced CARs.40 Individuals with chemorefractory solid malignancies comprising pancreatic cancer, mesothelioma, and ovarian cancer were enrolled and administered anti-MSLN CAR T cells intravenously with or without lymphodepletion, comprising a dose of 1.5 g/m² cyclophosphamide. The preconditioning chemotherapy was associated with an increase in CAR T cell expansion in peripheral blood compared with the “no lymphodepletion” group, but there was no significant difference in persistence after day 28 of treatment. Overall, CAR T cell expansion peaked on day 14 and became undetectable in individuals 6 months after administration. This is reflected in the responses observed where all individuals subsequently developed disease progression, although 11 of 15 individuals did achieve stable disease in the short term, according to RECIST v.1.1 and immune-related response criteria (irRCs). Most common AEs experienced were low-grade fatigue and nausea. Several enrolled individuals exhibited limited expression of MSLN in their primary tumor, with only 3 of 15 having greater than 75% MSLN expression. The authors noted that the murine-derived scFv in the CAR construct may potentially cause an immune response, eliminating the CAR. Phase 1 trials (NCT03054298, NCT03323944) are currently being conducted to evaluate a MSLN-directed CAR containing a human scFv along with criteria to screen for MSLN expression at baseline.

### Table 1. Completed and published CAR T cell therapy clinical trials in PDAC

| Trial number | Phase | Target | Stimulatory domain | Number of individuals with PDAC (total) | Preconditioning | Cell infusion number; treatment frequency | Efficacy in PDAC (total) | Reference |
|--------------|-------|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| NCT02541370 | I     | CD133  | anti-CD133 scFv-CD137-CD3ζ | 7 (23)                                 | nab-paclitaxel (150 mg/m²) cyclophosphamide (30 mg/kg) | 0.5–2 × 10⁹/kg cells; 2–4 cycles | PR 2 (3) SD 3 (14) PD 2 (6) | Wang et al.44 |
| NCT01869166 | I     | EGFR   | anti-EGFR scFv-CD8a-CD137-CD3ζ | 16 (16)                                | nab-paclitaxel (100–200 mg/m²) cyclophosphamide (15–35 mg/kg) | 3.48 × 10⁹/kg; 25 cycles/6 months | PR 4 (4) SD 8 (8) PD 2 (2) | Liu et al.45 |
| NCT01935843 | I     | HER2   | anti-HER2 scFv-CD8a-CD137-CD3ζ | 2 (11)                                  | nab-paclitaxel (100–200 mg/m²) cyclophosphamide (15–35 mg/kg) | 2.1 × 10⁹/kg, 1–2 cycles | PR 0 (1) SD 0 (5) PD 2 (5) | Feng et al.49 |
| NCT01897415 | I     | MSLN   | anti-MSLN scFv-4-1BB-CD3ζ | 6 (6)                                   | N/A | NA; 3 cycles 3 times/week for 3 weeks | SD 2 (2) PD 1 (1) Unknown 3 (3) | Beatty et al.50 |
| NCT02159716 | I     | MSLN   | anti-MSLN scFv-4-1BB-CD3ζ | 5 (15)                                   | with or without cyclophosphamide (1.5 g/m²) | 1–3 × 10⁹ or 1–3 × 10⁸ cells; 1 cycle | SD not specified (11) | Haas et al.40 |

PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progressive disease; MSLN, mesothelin; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; N/A, not available.

**CD133**

CD133, a transmembrane glycoprotein, is expressed by hemopoietic and epithelial cells.41,42 CD133 is highly expressed in PDAC cancer stem cells and has been found in other cancers, such as hepatocellular and gastric carcinomas.42,43 In a phase 1 clinical trial (NCT02541370), T cells were engineered using lentiviral vectors to target CD133.44 23 individuals with various solid tumors, including 7 with advanced pancreatic cancer, were enrolled in the clinical trial. All individuals’ tumors exhibited greater than 50% CD133 expression. Individuals were preconditioned using cyclophosphamide (30 mg/kg) and nab-paclitaxel (150 mg/m²) prior to CAR T cell infusion. Individuals received two to four cycles of CAR T cell therapy by intravenous infusion. Prior to treatment, 1 individual with stage IV PDAC had multiple metastases. After the first infusion cycle, the tumor was reduced by 40% for a period of 4 months. Repeated cell infusion cycles provided a greater period of disease control within the pancreatic cohort. Overall, 3 individuals achieved stable disease, 2 partial remission, and the remaining 2 disease progression (RECIST v.1.1). AEs within the pancreatic cancer cohort included grade 2 and 3 for leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, anemia, anorexia, nausea, and muscosal hyperemia, and 1 individual experienced grade 4 leukopenia. After treatment, CD133 expression was no longer observed in tissue biopsies, suggesting that CD133-positive cells had been eliminated.

**EGFR**

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a transmembrane protein that binds to the extracellular EGF family of proteins.45 EGFR has been detected in up to 90% of individuals with PDAC.46–48 A phase 1 study (NCT01869166) using EGFR-directed CAR T cells was undertaken in 16 individuals with PDAC with metastatic disease.45 All tumors had greater than 50% EGFR expression. The
individuals received up to three cycles of EGFR-directed CAR T cell infusions within 6 months of undergoing preconditioning treatment: cyclophosphamide (35 mg/kg) and nab-paclitaxel (200 mg/m²). During treatment, some individuals received palliative radiotherapy for tumor-associated pain. Low-grade AEs were experienced by 58% of individuals, such as fever, fatigue, and nausea. Grade 3 and 4 lymphopenia was experienced by 38% of individuals. 2 individuals experienced grade 3 pleural effusions and pulmonary interstitial exudation.

A phase 1 clinical trial (NCT01935843) using HER2-directed CAR T cells was undertaken to determine safety and feasibility as a target for immunotherapy. Sixty-one individuals were enrolled in the trial, 2 of which had PDAC. Participants were required to have greater than 50% HER2-positive tumor cells. Individuals were preconditioned using cyclophosphamide (35 mg/kg) and nab-paclitaxel (200 mg/m²) before receiving up to two cycles of anti-HER2 CAR T cell infusions. Low-grade AEs during preconditioning included nausea and fatigue as well as lymphopenia. All individuals experienced acute febrile syndrome related to CAR T cell infusion, in which an increase of 1.5- to 18-fold was observed in C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6 levels. No severe cytokine release syndrome (CRS) was reported, and most toxicities were reversible and treatable. At final assessment, both individuals with pancreatic cancer achieved stable disease for 5.3 and 8.3 months (RECIST v.1.1).

CURRENT ‘Car BARRIERS IN PANCREATIC CANCER
To improve the efficacy of CAR T cell therapy in solid tumors, a number of barriers have been identified (Figure 2). These include (1) the inability of T cells to efficiently traffic to tumor sites and infiltrate the tumor, (2) the limited array of targetable antigens and heterogeneous antigen expression, (3) the limited fitness and survival of CAR T cells prior to reaching the tumor site, and (4) the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME). The first barrier is failure of CAR T cells to detect the tumor while traveling through the circulatory system. This results in inefficient infiltration, limiting activation and functional persistence and, therefore, decreasing clinical response.

The unique PDAC TME presents a multitude of challenges for CAR T cells. It accounts for up to 80% of the total pancreatic tumor mass and is comprised of the extracellular matrix and numerous cellular components, such as tumor-associated macrophages, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), dendritic cells, B cell lymphocytes, T cell lymphocytes, and regulatory response for 2–4 months, and 2 exhibited disease progression (RECIST v.1.1). The remaining 2 individuals were lost during follow-up. Overall, the authors concluded that EGFR-directed CAR T cells were safe and showed modest efficacy in metastatic PDAC. However, the concept of safety when utilizing a target that is expressed in a wide range of tissues could pose potential adverse effects.

HER2
Human epidermal growth receptor 2 (HER2) is a cell surface transmembrane glycoprotein in the EGFR family of proteins that mediates cellular proliferation and differentiation. As an essential mediator of cellular activities, HER2 is expressed in epithelial, mesenchymal, and neuronal tissues. Up to 60% of individuals with PDAC exhibit HER2 overexpression. One of the first clinical trials (NCI-O9-C-0041) using HER2-directed CAR T cells reported that, within 15 min of CAR T cell infusion, an individual suffered a severe on-target/off-tumor response resulting in death, the risk of on-target/off-tumor toxicity is high.

Figure 2. The four major barriers hindering cellular immunotherapies in PDAC and potential strategies to overcome them
(A) The extracellular matrix (ECM) and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) form a dense physical barrier, limiting the ability of CAR cells to infiltrate and target tumor cells. Intratumoral delivery, CAR design, and arming CARs with chemokine receptors can assist with trafficking and tumor infiltration. (B) The heterogeneity of tumor cells results in varying antigen expression, which limits CAR cell efficacy. Dual-targeting CARs or antibody-targeting CARs can target multiple antigens, potentially increasing efficacy by targeting more tumor cells. The TME consists of ECM; various structural and immune cells, such as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs); tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs); monocytes; and regulatory T cells. (C) This results in an immunosuppressive environment that can inhibit CAR T cell fitness (exhaustion) and survival. Preconditioning regimens assist with altering the immune TME, and checkpoint inhibitors may help avoid CAR cell exhaustion. (D) Combination therapy, such as addition of oncolytic viruses with CAR cell therapy or targeting CAFs, can overcome the immunosuppressive TME to increase CAR cell therapy efficacy. References to key articles on these strategies are shown.
T cells. This results in a physical barrier preventing detection, trafficking, and infiltration of CAR T cells. This phenomenon has already been observed to limit chemotherapy delivery and activity. CARs are responsible for producing the extracellular matrix and modulating tumor behavior. TME immune cell secretes and express molecules that suppress T cell activation, limiting CAR T cell antitumor response.

Identifying an ideal target antigen in PDAC is difficult. Heterogeneity in the tumor as well as the TME may lead to reduced efficacy and emergence of resistance, limiting clinical success. A number of target antigens for cellular immunotherapy have been identified and tested in PDAC, preclinically and clinically. These include CEA, CD24, HER2, PSCA, MUC1, and MSLN, with most being expressed on the primary and secondary tumors. Thus, CAR T cell therapy may be effective in early- and late-stage disease settings. There are multiple ongoing clinical trials and studies testing different target antigens (Table 2).

CARs OF THE FUTURE: OVERCOMING THE BARRIERS FOR EFFECTIVE CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPY

Locoregional delivery

Overcoming the unique PDAC TME barrier could drastically improve outcomes. One potential method to navigate the TME is to employ physical means by locoregional or intratumoral delivery. This involves administration of CAR T cells directly to the tumor site, potentially bypassing the TME and avoiding trafficking issues. Promising results have been shown in an orthotopic mesothelioma mouse model, where intrapleural administration of MSLN-directed CAR T cells resulted in rapid antigen-induced T cell activation, leading to enhanced antitumor efficacy. Locoregional delivery resulted in a 30-fold increase in efficacy compared with intravenous delivery. This strategy is being tested in individuals with mesothelioma in a clinical trial (NCT04577326). Another study examined regional intraperitoneal delivery of anti-CEA CAR T cells for peritoneal carcinomas in mouse models. Regional delivery of the CEA-directed CAR T cells resulted in tumor regression of CEA-positive tumors and CAR T cell persistence. Although locoregional delivery has not been examined in PDAC, a number of clinical trials are testing this strategy in other solid tumors (NCT01373047, NCT02416466). One potential way this could be undertaken in PDAC is through ultrasound-guided endoscopic (EUS) administration, which has been trialed for delivery of chemotherapy, viral vectors, and immunotherapy in PDAC.

Armored CARs

Through a more comprehensive understanding of the TME and its components, CAR T cells can be designed to withstand and take advantage of the TME. Tumors secrete specific chemokines to recruit immune cells that suppress antitumor immunity. Armored CARs, such as fourth-generation CARs or TRUCKs, employ this technique. Recent studies have engineered CAR T cells to express receptors to these chemokines, aiding in recruitment to the site of the tumor, redirecting their trafficking. Preclinical studies with CAR T cells directed toward MSLN with the co-expressed chemokine receptors CCR2b and CCR4 used non-small cell lung cancer mouse models. Migration to and infiltration of the tumor were improved with these modified CAR T cells, which showed superior antitumor effects. IL-7 in CAR T cells plays an important role in maintaining the number of naive and memory T cells. IL-7 has been shown to promote persistence in solid tumors, with the absolute number of infiltrating cells being greater compared with conventional CAR T cells. Furthermore, addition of tumor-specific chemokine receptors can direct CAR T cells to the tumor site, improving CAR T cell infiltration. MSLN-directed CAR T cells expressing the chemokine receptor CXCR6 enhanced their infiltration and antitumor activity in an orthotopic human pancreatic cancer mouse model. CXCR6, along with trans-presentation of IL-15, has been shown to be critical for cytotoxic lymphocyte T cell survival and local expansion within the TME, illustrating that these chemokine receptors not only contribute to their infiltration but to other cellular functions to improve efficacy. CCL1 has also been shown to assist with homing of CCR8+ T cells toward tumor sites in a murine pancreatic cancer model. The study found that anti-MSLN CAR T cells, engineered to express CCR8, effectively recognized and eliminated target cells where CCR8+ T cells migrated toward the CCL1 gradient.

Multi-targeting CARs

Dual-targeting CAR T cells, in which T cells targeting two target antigens, have been suggested to overcome the heterogeneous nature of PDAC. One preclinical study used CAR T cells transduced with two constructs targeting CEA and MSLN. The dual-targeting CAR T cell exerted significant cytotoxicity when encountering both antigens on the tumor cell, with high-level activation of the CAR T cell resulting in reduction and elimination of tumor cells, compared with single antigen recognition. Another study tested infusing two separate CAR T cell products targeting CD19 and MSLN. However, the study experienced similar obstacles with lack of infiltration into the solid tumor, and persistence was transient. Overall, the study showed safety in individuals administered two CAR products simultaneously, but clinical outcomes were not improved.

Another approach is to prime the tumor using tumor-targeting antibodies conjugated to a label, such as fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC). This is followed by anti-FITC CAR T cells to target the antibody-bound tumor cells. This model has been validated in preclinical studies, with cytokine secretion of the CAR T cell validated against concentration of the antibody. By modulating the dose of the tumor-targeting antibody, AEs related to high-dose CAR T cells, such as CRS, may be mitigated. The advantage of this strategy is that multiple targeting antibodies labeled with FITC can be administered simultaneously with the FITC-targeted CAR T cells.

Combination therapies

Because of the complexity of PDAC and its heterogeneity, a multifaceted treatment approach targeting different carcinogenic features in combination with cellular immunotherapies may be warranted. Effective combination therapies may elicit a synergistic effect, potentially...
| Target                  | Trial          | Phase | Trial participants | Trial name                                                                 | Progress                  | Institution (location)                |
|------------------------|----------------|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| CCT301-59              | NCT03960060   | I     | 18                 | A Study of CCT301-59 CAR T Therapy in Adult Subjects With Recurrent or Refractory Solid Tumors (CAR) | active, not yet recruiting | Shanghai Zhongshan Hospital (China)    |
| CCT303-406             | NCT04511871   | I     | 15                 | A Phase I Trial of CCT303-406 in Patients With Relapsed or Refractory HER2 Positive Solid Tumors | recruiting                | Shanghai Zhongshan Hospital (China)    |
| CD22                   | NCT04556669   | I     | 30                 | Anti-PD-L1 Armored Anti-CD22 CAR-T/CAR-TILs Targeting Patients With Solid Tumors | recruiting                | Hebei Senlang Biotechnology Inc., Ltd. (China) |
| CD70                   | NCT02830724   | I/II  | 2                  | Administering Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes Transduced With a CD70-Binding Chimeric Antigen Receptor to People With CD70 Expressing Cancers | suspended                 | National Cancer Institute (NCI) (USA)  |
| CEA                    | NCT02349724   | I     | 75                 | A Clinical Research of CAR T Cells Targeting CEA Positive Cancer            | unknown                   | Southwest Hospital (China)            |
| CEA                    | NCT04348643   | I/II  | 167                | Safety and Efficacy of CEA-Targeted CAR T Therapy for Relapsed/Refractory CEA+ Cancer | recruiting                | Chongqing Precision Biotech Co., Ltd (China) |
| CEA                    | NCT04037241   | II/III| 167                | Study of Anti-CEA CAR T + Chemotherapy VS Chemotherapy Alone in Patients With CEA+ Pancreatic Cancer & Liver Metastases | not yet recruiting        | Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. (USA)     |
| CEA                    | NCT03818165   | I     | 6                  | Phase 1b Study of CAR2Anti-CEA CAR T Cell Hepatic Infusions for Pancreatic Carcinoma Patients With CEA + Liver Metastases (AntiCEA_CART) | active, not yet recruiting | Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. (USA)     |
| CEA                    | NCT03682744   | I     | 18                 | CAR T Intraperitoneal Infusions for CEA-Expressing Adenocarcinoma Peritoneal Metastases or Malignant Aseites (IPC) | active, not yet recruiting | Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. (USA)     |
| CEA                    | NCT02850536   | I     | 5                  | CAR T Hepatic Artery Infusions or Pancreatic Venous Infusions for CEA-Expressing Liver Metastases or Pancreas Cancer (HTM-SURE) | active, not yet recruiting | University of Colorado, Denver (USA)  |
| Claudin18.2            | NCT04404595   | I     | 30                 | Claudin18.2 CAR T (CT041) in Patients With Gastric or Pancreatic Cancer       | recruiting                | Carsgen Therapeutics, Ltd.            |
| Claudin18.2            | NCT03874897   | I     | 70                 | Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells Targeting claudin18.2 in Solid Tumors.     | recruiting                | Peking University (China)             |
| Claudin18.2            | NCT03159819   | NA    | 24                 | Clinical Study of CAR-CLD18 T Cells in Patients With Advanced Gastric Adenocarcinoma and Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma | recruiting                | Shanghai Hospital (China)             |
| Claudin18.2            | NCT04404595   | I     | 30                 | Claudin18.2 CAR T (CT041) in Patients With Gastric or Pancreatic Cancer       | recruiting                | Carsgen Therapeutics, Ltd.            |
| Claudin18.2            | NCT03890198   | I     | 2                  | A Phase 1 Study of LCAR-C182A Cells in the Treatment of Advanced Gastric Cancer and Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma | terminated               | First Affiliated Hospital Xi'an Jiaotong University (China) |
| Claudin18.2/CD19/BCMA/GPC3 | NCT03302403 | NA    | 18                 | Clinical Study of Redirected Autologous T Cells With a Chimeric              | active, not yet recruiting | First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (China) |

(Continued on next page)
### Table 2. Continued

| Target         | Trial Number | Phase | Participants | Trial Name                                                                                                           | Progress Status                                      | Institution (Location)                              |
|----------------|--------------|-------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| EGFR           | NCT03182816  | I/II  | 40           | Treatment of Chemotherapy Refractory EGFR (Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor) Positive Advanced Solid Tumor (CART-EGFR) | unknown                                               | Shanghai Cell Therapy Research Institute (China)    |
| EGFR           | NCT03013712  | I/II  | 60           | A Clinical Research of CAR T Cells Targeting EpCAM Positive Cancer (CARTEPC)                                        | unknown                                               | First Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College (China) |
| EpCAM          | NCT01869166  | I/II  | 60           | Safety and Activity Study of HER2-Targeted Dual Switch CAR T Cells (BPX-603) in Subjects With HER2-Positive Solid Tumors | recruiting                                            | Bellicum Pharmaceuticals (USA)                      |
| EpCAM/TM4SF1   | NCT04151186  | I     | 72           | Study on GD2 Positive Solid Tumors by 4SCAR-GD2                                                                     | unknown                                               | Shenzhen Geno-Immune Medical Institute (China)       |
| GD2            | NCT02992210  | I/II  | 100          | A Clinical Study on the Safety and Efficacy of CAR T Therapy for the TM4SF1- and EpCAM-positive Solid Tumors         | not yet recruiting                                    | Shanghai Biomed-union Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (China) |
| HER2           | NCT04650451  | I     | 220          | Treatment of Chemotherapy Refractory Human Epidermal growth Factor Receptor-2(HER-2) Positive Advanced Solid Tumors (CART-HER-2) | recruiting                                            | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China)                 |
| HER2           | NCT04660929  | I     | 18           | Study on GD2 Positive Solid Tumors by 4SCAR-GD2                                                                     | recruiting                                            | Carisma Therapeutics Inc (USA)                      |
| HER2           | NCT03740256  | I     | 45           | A Clinical Research of CAR T Cells Targeting HER2 Positive Cancer (VISTA)                                            | recruiting                                            | Baylor College of Medicine (USA)                    |
| HER2           | NCT01935843  | I/II  | 10           | Treatment of Chemotherapy Refractory Human Epidermal growth Factor Receptor-2(HER-2) Positive Advanced Solid Tumors (CART-HER-2) | unknown                                               | Southwest Hospital (China)                          |
| HER2           | NCT04660929  | I     | 18           | A Clinical Study on the Safety and Efficacy of CAR T Therapy for the TM4SF1- and EpCAM-positive Solid Tumors         | recruiting                                            | Carisma Therapeutics Inc (USA)                      |
| HER2           | NCT02713984  | I/II  | NA           | A Clinical Research of CAR T Cells Targeting HER2 Positive Cancer (VISTA)                                            | recruiting                                            | University of Pennsylvania (USA)                    |
| MSLN           | NCT02959151  | I     | 20           | The Mechanism of Enhancing the Anti-tumor Effects of CAR T on PC by Gut Microbiota Regulation                       | recruiting                                            | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China)                 |
| MSLN           | NCT03497819  | I     | 10           | Study of CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated PD-1 and TCR Gene-knocked Out Mesothelin-directed CAR T Cells in Patients With Mesothelin Positive Multiple Solid Tumors. | recruiting                                            | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China)                 |
| MSLN           | NCT03545815  | I     | 10           | Study of CRISPR-Cas9 Mediated PD-1 and TCR Gene-knocked Out Mesothelin-directed CAR T Cells in Patients With Mesothelin Positive Multiple Solid Tumors. | recruiting                                            | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China)                 |

(Continued on next page)
| Target | Trial | Phase | Trial participants | Trial name | Progress | Institution (location) |
|--------|-------|-------|-------------------|------------|----------|------------------------|
| MSLN   | NCT03182803 | I/II  | 40                | CTLA-4 and PD-1 Antibodies Expressing Mesothelin-CAR T Cells for Mesothelin Positive Advanced Solid Tumor | unknown | Shanghai Cell Therapy Research Institute (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT02465983 | I     | 4                 | Pilot Study of Autologous T-cells in Patients With Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer | terminated | University of Pennsylvania (USA) |
| MSLN   | NCT03638193 | NA    | 10                | Study of Autologous T-cells in Patients With Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer | recruiting | The First Affiliated Hospital with Nanjing Medical University (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT03747965 | I     | 10                | Study of PD-1 Gene-knocked Out Mesothelin-directed CAR T Cells With the Conditioning of PC in Mesothelin Positive Multiple Solid Tumors | unknown | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT03497819 | I     | 10                | Autologous CARTmeso/19 Against Pancreatic Cancer | unknown | First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT01583686 | I/II  | 15                | CAR T cell Receptor Immunotherapy Targeting Mesothelin for Patients With Metastatic Cancer | terminated | National Cancer Institute (NCI) (USA) |
| MSLN   | NCT03638206 | I/II  | 73                | Autologous CAR-T/TCR-T Cell Immunotherapy for Malignancies | recruiting | The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT02580747 | I     | 20                | Treatment of Relapsed and/or Chemotherapy Refractory Advanced Malignancies by CART-meso | unknown | Chinese PLA General Hospital (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT03030001 | I/II  | 40                | PD-1 Antibody Expressing CAR T Cells for Mesothelin Positive Advaned Malignancies | unknown | Ningbo Cancer Hospital (China) |
| MSLN   | NCT02706782 | I     | 30                | A Study of Mesothelin Redirected Autologous T Cells for Advanced Pancreatic Carcinoma (meso-CART) | unknown | Shanghai GeneChem Co., Ltd. (China) |
| MSLN/CD19 | NCT03497819 | I     | 10                | Autologous CARTmeso/19 Against Pancreatic Cancer | unknown | First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (China) |
| MSLN/PSCA/CEA/HER2/MUC1/EGFRvIII | NCT03267173 | I  | 10                | Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of CAR T in the Treatment of Pancreatic Cancer. | unknown | First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University (China) |
| MUC1   | NCT02839954 | I/II  | 10                | CAR-pNK Cell Immunotherapy in MUC1 Positive Relapsed or Refractory Solid Tumor | unknown | The First People’s Hospital of Hefei (China) |
| MUC1   | NCT03179007 | I/II  | 40                | CTLA-4 and PD-1 Antibodies Expressing MUC1-CAR T Cells for MUC1 Positive Advanced Solid Tumor | unknown | Shanghai Cell Therapy Research Institute (China) |
| MUC1   | NCT02587689 | I     | 20                | Phase I/II Study of Anti-Mucin1 (MUC1) CAR T Cells for Patients With MUC1+ Advanced Refractory Solid Tumor | unknown | The First People’s Hospital of Hefei (China) |
| MUC1   | NCT03633773 | I/II  | 9                 | Safety and Efficacy Evaluation of MUC-1 CART in the Treatment of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma | recruiting | Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University (China) |
| PSCA   | NCT02744287 | I/II  | 151               | Safety and Activity Study of PSCA-Targeted CAR T Cells (BPX-601) in Subjects With Selected Advanced Solid Tumors | recruiting | Bellicum Pharmaceuticals (USA) |

CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; BCMA, B cell maturation antigen; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; GD2, disialoganglioside; GPC3, Glypican 3; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; MSLN, mesothelin; PSCA, prostate stem cell antigen; MUC1, mucin 1.
increasing therapeutic antitumor activity, reducing primary resistance, and minimizing side effects.\textsuperscript{91,92} Chemotherapeutic agents, such as cyclophosphamide and nab-paclitaxel, have been utilized as preconditioning agents in PDAC prior to CAR T therapy. Recent studies have indicated that preconditioning regimens inhibit autolymphoimmunity and remove suppressive cells, reduce tumor burden, sensitize tumor cells to immunotherapy, and improve CAR T cell persistence \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{52} In the aforementioned MSLN-directed CAR T clinical trial (NCT02159716) involving individuals with PDAC, an increase in CAR T cell expansion was initially observed with individuals who had preconditioning chemotheraphy, but the difference did not persist beyond day 28.\textsuperscript{49}

Tumors can upregulate immune checkpoint receptors to evade the immune system, leading to CAR T cell inhibition.\textsuperscript{83} A range of immune checkpoint inhibitors has been approved by the FDA for solid tumors, including monoclonal antibodies against programmed death protein 1 (PD-1; such as nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and pidilizumab) and programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1; such as MDX-1105 and MPDL3280A).\textsuperscript{52,84,85} PD-1-mediated exhaustion in CAR T cells has been observed.\textsuperscript{89} Third-generation anti-PD-1 and anti-PD-L1 CAR mab) and programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1; such as nivolumab, pembrolizumab, and pidilizumab) have been observed with individuals who had preconditioning chemotheraphy, but the difference did not persist beyond day 28.\textsuperscript{49}

However, allogeneic CAR T cell therapy introduces potential risks in the form of graft versus host disease (GVHD). Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) mismatch between donor and recipient can lead to an immune response that readily eliminates the allogeneic CAR T cells.\textsuperscript{101,104} High-resolution HLA typing with next-generation sequencing may mitigate the risks of potential HLA mismatch,\textsuperscript{104} but complete matching of donor-recipient HLA haplotype could diminish donor availability.\textsuperscript{105} Gene editing technologies have made it possible to eliminate T cell receptor (TCR) expression by editing the TRAC gene, making allogeneic CAR T cells less accessible to the host immune system in preclinical models, with clinical trials currently underway.\textsuperscript{102,106} GvHD is a life-threatening complication and has prompted research into exploring use of allogeneic CAR T cells as well as other cellular immunotherapies, such as CAR natural killer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte therapy.

**OTHER CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPIES**

**Autologous CAR T cells**

Autologous CAR T cells present challenges for widespread implementation. These include the requirement for individual-specific manufacturing, their high cost and potential for inconsistent yield and function (depending on the immune system of the individual) with consequent risk of severe AEs.\textsuperscript{99,99} This has prompted research into exploring use of allogeneic CAR T cells as well as other cellular immunotherapies, such as CAR natural killer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte therapy.

**Allogeneic CAR T cells**

Allogeneic CAR T cells from healthy donors may offer advantages over use of autologous CAR T cells, including their immediate availability, standardization of product, and reduction in cost.\textsuperscript{100,101} T cells from healthy donors can be expanded exponentially and cryopreserved, allowing an off-the-shelf product without manufacturing or treatment delays.\textsuperscript{103} This standardized high-volume manufacturing provides an opportunity for affected individuals to receive more cycles with the same standard of product.\textsuperscript{103}

However, allogeneic CAR T cell therapy introduces potential risks in the form of graft versus host disease (GVHD). Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) mismatch between donor and recipient can lead to an immune response that readily eliminates the allogeneic CAR T cells.\textsuperscript{101,104} High-resolution HLA typing with next-generation sequencing may mitigate the risks of potential HLA mismatch,\textsuperscript{104} but complete matching of donor-recipient HLA haplotype could diminish donor availability.\textsuperscript{105} Gene editing technologies have made it possible to eliminate T cell receptor (TCR) expression by editing the TRAC gene, making allogeneic CAR T cells less accessible to the host immune system in preclinical models, with clinical trials currently underway.\textsuperscript{102,106} GvHD is a life-threatening complication and has prompted research into alternatives such as other immune cells; for example, natural killer cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.

**CAR natural killer cells**

The natural killer (NK) cell has been identified as one of the immune cells that may be used as an alternative to allogeneic CAR T cells.\textsuperscript{98,107} NK cells are part of the innate immune system, having the ability to target foreign or damaged cells.\textsuperscript{108} However, unlike T cells, NK cells can recognize targets in a non-antigen-specific manner without the need for prior sensitization, making them a potential candidate for therapy against cancer.\textsuperscript{108-110}
Initially, autologous NK cells were directed against tumors to prevent GvHD, but NK cells can recognize self and inhibit cytotoxic functions, diminishing their therapeutic utility.\textsuperscript{108} Allogeneic NK cells, derived from healthy individuals, exhibit greater cytotoxicity compared with autologous NK cells from individuals with cancer.\textsuperscript{106,111} One study used NK cells isolated from umbilical cord blood that were modified to express an anti-PSCA CAR construct with soluble IL-15.\textsuperscript{112} These PSCA-directed CAR NK cells were tested in a metastatic humanized pancreatic cancer mouse model. An increase in cytotoxic function, suppressed tumor growth, and prolonged survival were observed. On day 48, pancreatic biopsies revealed minimal tumor cells and a high number of NK cells, indicating persistence of the immune cells within the TME.\textsuperscript{111} Two clinical trials (NCT02839954 and NCT03941457) are currently examining allogeneic NK cell infusions in PDAC. A case study report from NCT03941457 found that allogeneic NK cell infusions targeting ROBO1 in PDAC were well tolerated and did not lead to serious toxicity.\textsuperscript{113} Although there is a lack of clinical results so far, allogeneic NK cell therapy could potentially lead to a feasible off-the-shelf product for PDAC.

Use of allogeneic NK cells has been demonstrated to be feasible, but there is a limited number of NK cells that can be collected from a given donor, prompting investigation of NK cell lines.\textsuperscript{110} A phase 1 clinical trial utilizing activated NK-92 cells was undertaken to address the practicality, safety, and activity against acute myeloid leukemia.\textsuperscript{114} The treatment was well tolerated with no grade 3 or 4 toxicity, demonstrating the potential of the cell line as an off-the-shelf therapy. CAR-engineered NK-92 cells targeting MSLN in ovarian cancer were evaluated for efficacy and therapeutic effects.\textsuperscript{115} MSLN-directed CAR NK-92 cells co-cultured with ovarian cancer cell lines killed MSLN-positive ovarian cancer cells in vitro and effectively eliminated all cancerous cells in subcutaneous and intraperitoneal tumor mouse models in vivo. A phase 1 clinical trial examined a second-generation CAR NK-92 cell directed against MUC1 and PD-1 in a range of cancers positive for both targets.\textsuperscript{116} Of the 13 individuals, 9 had stable disease, 1 showed progressive disease, and the remaining 3 were withdrawn from the study. No severe AEs were encountered during the trial. This indicates that allogeneic CAR NK cells should be the subject of further clinical trials.

An orthotopic pancreatic tumor model was used to study the synergistic efficacy of anti-ROBO1 CAR NK-92 cells in combination with brachytherapy, an internal radiation therapy where radioactive beads are placed in proximity to the tumor.\textsuperscript{117} ROBO1 is a member of the neural axon guidance receptor family and has been found to be overexpressed in PDAC.\textsuperscript{118} Tumor burden was reduced significantly in the brachytherapy-only arm, with further reductions observed in the brachytherapy and CAR NK combination arm. Second-generation anti-ROBO1 CAR NK-92 cells were administered as a case study in an individual with pancreatic cancer with liver metastases.\textsuperscript{113} The individual was treated with weekly systemic infusions and intratumoral injections to the liver metastasis. Stable disease was achieved for 5 months, and the only reported AE was fever after infusion. These promising results have led to initiation of three phase I/II clinical trials (NCT03941457, NCT03940820, NCT03931720) to assess the safety and efficacy of ROBO1 as a target for CAR NK-92 cell therapy in PDAC and other solid tumors. Use of CAR NK-92 cells is feasible and provides a foundation for further development of CAR NK cell therapy.

Checkpoint blockades and immunosuppression can limit CAR NK cell function and reduce persistence, resulting in the need for multiple infusions with consequent increased risk of rejection. To address this, CAR NK cells can be manufactured from induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). CAR iPSC-NK cells are genetically edited to carry a CAR with immune suppression genes removed to prolong NK persistence and efficacy. Unlike allogeneic NK cells, CAR iPSC NK cells are derived from triple-homozygous HLA donors, reducing the risk of rejection over multiple infusions. TAG72 is an adenocarcinoma neoantigen. TAG72-targeted CAR iPSC-NK cells were generated and tested against multiple ovarian cancer cell lines.\textsuperscript{98} The study demonstrated on-target cytotoxic function in vitro. In an ovarian cancer xenograft mouse model, iPSC-NK cells reduced tumor burden and increased median survival compared with control mice.\textsuperscript{119,120} Although clinical studies are ongoing, CAR iPSC-NK cells could potentially enable on-demand production for each individual and provide consistent off-the-shelf capabilities to treat a variety of cancers using a single cell therapy product.\textsuperscript{98}
PDAC MODELS TO EVALUATE CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPY

Murine models

Preclinical models are used to examine CAR T therapy efficacy and safety before translation to human clinical trials. The majority of preclinical CAR T studies are performed in mice, with four main murine models used: syngeneic (immunocompetent allograft), xenograft, transgenic (immunocompetent), and humanized mice.

Syngeneic mouse models use mouse-derived CAR T cells, tumors, and target antigens. These mice have an intact immune system, allowing study of a functional immune response to CAR T cells, which can reveal potential on-target/off-tumor toxicities that may be conserved between species. A disadvantage of the syngeneic model is that it reflects mouse biology, failing to be a true representation of a human. Syngeneic models have been used in CAR T cell studies to target components of the TME, such as fibroblast activation protein (FAP) on CAFs. However, FAP is also strongly expressed in bone marrow stromal cells, resulting in considerable on-target/off-tumor bone-related toxicity and limited antitumor effects.

Xenograft mouse models involve use of immunocompromised mice, where an implanted human tumor is tested for effects following administration of human CAR T cells. The most commonly used mouse strain is the non-obese diabetic (NOD) severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) gamma (NSG) mouse. Because the mice are immunocompromised, there are limited interactions with adoptive immune cells; therefore, on-target/off-tumor toxicity may be missed. Nevertheless, xenograft mice are a model for validation of proof-of-concept studies, such as testing CAR designs for efficacy, as well as investigation of human tumor biology. One study tested the efficacy of CAR constructs that also constitutively express human cytokines in systemic lymphoma. IL-7 and IL-21 were found to be superior in their effects to modulate antitumor activity. Another study utilized second- and third-generation anti-MSLN CAR T cells with either or both CD28 and 4-1BB co-stimulatory domains. Second-generation anti-MSLN 4-1BB CAR T cells have been shown to reduce tumor burden and eradicate tumors in some cases. The third-generation MSLN-CAR with 4-1BB and CD28 co-stimulatory domains enhanced T cell persistence. This study shows CAR biology within a system that mimics the nature of tumors and CAR T cell therapy.

Immunocompetent transgenic mice involve expressing a human tumor antigen in immunocompetent mice and are often employed to predict treatment safety. Several studies have utilized immunocompetent transgenic mice to evaluate CAR T cells. Transgenic mice expressing human CEA in the intestines and lung tissue were used to test anti-CEA CAR T cells in an orthotopic PDAC mouse model. Long-term tumor eradication was achieved. Although CAR T cells were found in the intestines and lungs, they did not result in a local inflammatory response.

Humanized transgenic mice are immunocompromised mice with a human immune system, human tumors, and introduced CAR T cells. NSG mice transplanted with CD34+ human cells are a relatively simple model that is used routinely to recapitulate the human immunological environment. A more complicated model is the BLT SCID mouse model, where human fetal bone marrow, liver, and thymus tissues are transplanted for a more complete reconstruction of T cells in vivo, providing a wider variety of human immune cells and immune responses.

Although, advancements are being made with preclinical mouse models, no model perfectly recapitulates the human immune system or reflects the unwanted side effects, such as CRS or on-target/off-tumor toxicity (Figure 3). Therefore, careful selection is required to evaluate CAR T cell efficacy and safety.

Organoids

Recent organoid technology has opened a new avenue in cancer models. Patient-derived organoids (PDOs) are three-dimensional structures maintaining the key cellular hierarchy and function of the host tumor. Importantly, organoids have been found to recapitulate the host tumor genetically and phenotypically and have the potential to predict therapeutic response. PDOs are rapidly replacing the standard patient-derived xenograft (PDX) models, in which human tissue is transplanted and grown in an immunocompromised mouse. It is thought that PDOs more faithfully recapitulate the pathogenic process and facilitate more timely and less costly establishment of cultures.

PDAC PDOs were first established from normal and cancerous pancreatic tissue to interrogate the pathways of tumorigenesis. Orthotopically transplanted organoids were found to induce a TME and recapitulate tumor development beyond that achieved using cell lines. Transplanted PDAC PDOs allow modeling of tumor growth from early to metastatic stages. However, in vitro PDOs do not have the capacity to produce the TME. Co-cultured PDOs with pancreatic fibroblasts have been found to support PDO organoids, recapitulating parts of the TME in vitro. A triple co-culture system of PDAC PDOs established from biopsies, pancreatic fibroblasts, and T cells has been used to study immunotherapies. PDO platforms have been established for exploring and evaluating the efficacy of therapeutic targets, generate individual-specific data, and provide a disease model capable of predicting responses of affected individuals. Ultimately, PDOs have paved the way for development of tailored “precision” treatments.

Testing cellular immunotherapies using PDOs is an emerging but promising strategy to enhance precision medicine. Several strategies and methodologies have been established and examined, but none have so far been tested in a clinical setting. A study showed that, in bladder cancer, surface antigens on primary tissue was also found on PDOs, so it could be used to identify an individual’s CAR-recognizable antigens and confirm this by testing the antigen specific CAR T cells in vitro. In addition, bioprinted (neuroblastoma) organoids could be used to preselect CAR T cell constructs. There is no standard cytotoxicity assay to test the efficacy of CARs with PDOs. One study established a luciferase-based endpoint assay and a live
microscopy assay for continuous and cell-resolved analysis to monitor NK CAR-mediated cytotoxicity against colorectal cancer organoids. The study found that killing efficiency rates differed for different sized organoids, where smaller organoids were lysed more rapidly compared with larger organoids, potentially reflecting CAR responses to solid tumor masses. Although the study found that anti-EpCAM CAR NK-92 cells were able to migrate on the surface, they were incapable of deeply penetrating the Matrigel. This may be CAR NK cell specific because another study illustrated effective killing of HER2 CAR T cells in PDAC PDOs grown in Matrigel, measured using microscopy. There is a need to develop PDO assays to evaluate cellular immunotherapies for use in clinical settings. This may lead to PDO platforms to screen cellular immunotherapies or to confirm manufacturing of cellular immunotherapies prior to infusion into affected individuals. These should lead to improved selection of individuals for specific cellular immunotherapies and greater treatment options for those with PDAC (Figure 3).

CONCLUSION
The clinical efficacy of CAR T cell therapy in PDAC is currently limited, but it remains an active, viable, and promising field of research. The current challenges in translating successful CAR T cell therapies from hematological to solid tumors are slowly being overcome by several strategies designed to adapt and overcome the barriers within the TME. CAR therapies may be improved by increasing their efficacy against the chosen antigen through CAR design and overcoming tumor heterogeneity by selecting more than one targetable antigen. Selecting tumor-restricted antigens will minimize on-target/off-tumor toxicity. Development of potential off-the-shelf cellular immunotherapies, including allogeneic CAR T, CAR NK cells, and TILs, should standardize products and reduce manufacturing costs and the time to treatment administration. Although there are many strategies being tested in preclinical and clinical settings, an approach utilizing organoid models to identify the right treatment for the right individual may be required to improve outcomes in PDAC.
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