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The endocannabinoid system is widely expressed in the limbic system, prefrontal cortical areas, and brain structures regulating neuroendocrine stress responses, which explains the key role of this system in the control of emotions. In this review, we update recent advances on the function of the endocannabinoid system in determining the value of fear-evoking stimuli and promoting appropriate behavioral responses for stress resilience. We also review the alterations in the activity of the endocannabinoid system during fear, stress, and anxiety, and the pathophysiological role of each component of this system in the control of these protective emotional responses that also trigger pathological emotional disorders. In spite of all the evidence, we have not yet taken advantage of the therapeutic implications of this important role of the endocannabinoid system, and possible future strategies to improve the treatment of these emotional disorders are discussed.
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Introduction

Anxiety disorders are the most frequent mental alterations worldwide in different socioeconomic regions and are linked with significant comorbidities.¹ Fear and worry are core symptoms of the entire spectrum of anxiety disorders in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5).² These disorders have a complex and multifactorial origin resulting from the interaction of multiple genes and environmental factors that lead to large interindividual variability. Chronic stress is one of the main environmental risk factors that disrupt coping circuits and contributes to the etiopathology of these psychiatric disorders. In contrast, exposure to mild stress may act as a protective factor by improving coping strategies in future stressful events.³ The reasons why some individuals exposed to similar chronic stress are vulnerable and develop anxiety disorders whereas others are resilient remain unknown. Importantly, there is a lack of successful treatments for these disorders, revealing an urgent need for a better understanding of their neurobiological mechanisms.

Remarkable progress has recently been made in understanding the circuitries underlying anxiety disorders.³ A large amount of this neurobiological research has been focused on networks targeting the limbic and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and its modulation by different neurotransmitters, with special emphasis on the endocannabinoid system. The endocannabinoid system is extensively distributed in the central nervous system (CNS) and plays a crucial role in the control of emotional responses.⁴ Thus, cannabis users identify a subjective sensation of relaxation after consumption, which has been linked
with the role of the endocannabinoid system in modulating fear, anxiety, and stress responses.

The endocannabinoid system is a crucial modulatory system allowing the organism to adapt to its changing environment. This system is integrated by two main G-protein–coupled receptors, cannabinoid 1 receptor (CB₁R) and cannabinoid 2 receptor (CB₂R), the endogenous ligands that bind to these receptors, mainly anandamide and 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), and the enzymes responsible for their synthesis and degradation (Figure 1). CB₁R is highly expressed in the CNS, in neurons, glia, and different cellular organelles such as endosomes and mitochondria. In contrast, CB₂R is mainly expressed in peripheral cells of the immune system, although it is also present in the CNS, mostly restricted to the microglia.

Here, we discuss recent advances in the role of the endocannabinoid system in determining the value of fear-evoking stimuli and promoting appropriate behavioral responses for stress resilience. We review the alterations in endocannabinoid activity during fear-, stress-, and anxiety-related dysfunctions. Fear and anxiety neurobiological substrates mostly overlap and interact with the neurocircuitry that governs the stress response. The concept of stress used in this review will be specific to the stress reaction and will not involve heterogeneous concepts such as subjective distress. This stress is directly related to the activation of the limbic-HPA axis and stress hormones such as corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH), and glucocorticoids, a circuit also highly relevant for fear and anxiety. Links among the limbic system, fear circuits, and treatments for worry and fear across the spectrum of anxiety disorders are discussed throughout this review. Understanding the neurobiological mechanisms underlying resilience versus vulnerability to develop anxiety disorders is expected to open novel therapeutic interventions to battle these disorders.

**Endocannabinoid system and fear behavior**

Fear is the emotional response to real or perceived imminent threats, whereas anxiety is the anticipation of future threat. Fear is a normal emotion when it appears under a context of the threat, and it is considered an important part of the evolutionary reaction of survival that prepares
to fight or escape. Appropriate fear responses are adaptive and allow the individual to cope with dangerous or stressful situations, but exaggerated fear responses can lead to anxiety disorders.\(^2\) Although fear and anxiety can overlap, they also differ. Thus, fear is often associated with surges of autonomic arousal necessary for a fight, thoughts of immediate danger, and escape behaviors, whereas anxiety is more often associated with muscle tension and caution in preparation for future danger and with alert or avoidance behaviors.\(^7\)

The amygdala is a limbic hub of communications crucial for fear behavior owing to its anatomical connections integrating sensory and cognitive information (Figure 2). The emotion of fear may be controlled through reciprocal networks with key areas of prefrontal cortex (PFC). Motor responses of fear, mainly fighting and escape, are regulated by connections between the amygdala and periaqueductal gray. Endocrine responses that complement fear are mainly mediated by connections between amygdala and hypothalamus, leading to changes in the HPA axis.\(^7\) The autonomic nervous system is also stimulated in response to fearful stimuli, activating the cardiovascular system (enhanced blood pressure and heart rate) through increased connectivity between amygdala and locus ceruleus, the major source of brain noradrenergic innervation.\(^8\)

Fear and anxiety disorders can also be elicited internally from traumatic memories stored in the hippocampus and reactivated by the amygdala. In posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), persistent, recurring memories of traumatic events occur, which the individual is unable to extinguish.\(^3\) Extinction plays a crucial role and is considered an active associative-learning process.\(^9\) In normal conditions, natural stimuli that signal threat provoke an innate fear response. This response is used in the fear conditioning paradigm to study fear-based disorders based on Pavlovian conditioning. Such an associative learning process consists of pairing a neutral conditioned stimulus (CS) with an aversive unconditioned stimulus (US) that produces a conditioned fear response (freezing in rodents, skin conductance response in humans). In PTSD patients, fear learning, specifically extinction, is thought to be impaired. Animal models of pathological fear learning are essential to find effective treatments for such disorders. In this context, the endocannabinoid system plays...
a crucial role in fear-related brain circuits and is crucially involved in the modulation of fear-memory processing.8 The role of the endocannabinoid system in modulating the neurobiological mechanisms involved in fear behavior has been widely investigated.9 Two neuronal populations expressing CB1R widely distributed throughout the brain have been described: cortical glutamatergic and GABAergic forebrain neurons. The equilibrium between GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission provides an appropriate emotional reactivity in physiological conditions (Figure 2). Under stressful circumstances, the glutamatergic tone increases, producing an imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory transmission. To compensate for this glutamatergic overexcitation, an adaptive compensatory mechanism is activated by CB1R downregulation in GABAergic terminals. This downregulation promotes increased GABAergic inhibition of glutamatergic transmission that reestablishes the equilibrium between excitation and inhibition.8 The behavior of mutant mice lacking CB1R in cortical glutamatergic neurons indicates that the modulatory effect of CB1R in these excitatory neurons plays a complementary role in fear extinction.10 Therefore, the role of CB1R depends on the specific cell type and brain region where they are expressed. Importantly, the deletion of CB1R in forebrain GABAergic neurons of GABA-CB1R knockouts leads to an augmentation of active coping strategies in the fear conditioning paradigm, possibly due to an increased inhibition of glutamatergic cells in the basolateral amygdala (BLA), which reduces central amygdala (CeA) excitation.11 Conversely, the deletion of CB1R in cortical glutamatergic neurons of Glu-CB1R knockouts induces augmentation of passive coping strategies in the fear conditioning paradigm, possibly due to increased glutamatergic excitation in the BLA, which results in CeA hyperactivation.11 These results point to a bimodal cannabinoid control of fear in amygdala-dependent circuits12 (Figure 2). In agreement, low tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) doses have been proposed to act preferentially at CB1R on glutamatergic neurons, whereas high THC doses have been proposed to act also at GABAergic neurons.13 CB1R is less abundant on cortical glutamatergic neurons, but its activation produces more pronounced effects than on GABAergic neurons.14 Furthermore, CB1R antagonists microinjected in the BLA impair long-term extinction, and CB1R antagonism into the CeA reduces within-session extinction.15 The infralimbic PFC also has an important role in fear extinction, as shown by the impaired fear extinction after CB1R blockade in this subregion.16

In agreement with these data, mice deficient in diacylglycerol lipase-α (DAGLα), the enzyme involved in 2-AG synthesis, have reduced 2-AG brain levels and display a phenotype related to impaired fear extinction.17 In contrast, pharmacological inhibition of monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL), the enzyme involved in 2-AG degradation, enhances 2-AG levels and impairs fear extinction via CB1R of GABAergic neurons.17 These results suggest that an optimal level of 2-AG is needed for appropriate processing of fear responses and that altered 2-AG levels impair the extinction process.5 With respect to anandamide, inhibition of the enzyme involved in its degradation, fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) in the BLA, facilitates fear extinction by CB1R activation.18 Re-exposure to the CS alone increases the activity of the endocannabinoid system to promote extinction, and the fear response is reduced after CB1R activation.5 Therefore, the endocannabinoid system is crucial for appropriate fear extinction.

On the other hand, chronic stress can have deleterious effects regarding the extinction of conditioned fear, which may interfere in the treatment of PTSD patients. It is hypothesized that chronic stress creates a hypocannabinoergic state resulting in impaired fear extinction that can be alleviated by CB1R agonists.5 Chronic stress can produce a switch from passive to active coping strategies, and constitutive CB1R deletion disrupts this adaptation favoring passive responses that seem to depend on CB1R expressed on cortical glutamatergic neurons.11 Conversely, CB1R expressed on forebrain GABAergic neurons are related to coping strategies because mice lacking CB1R in these neurons display predominantly active behaviors.11 Importantly, when the responses to CS are measured during a long-term period of CS presentation, no differences in the quantity of fear responses are observed.
Maldonado et al. suggested that CB₁R in cortical glutamatergic or forebrain GABAergic neurons does not affect the memory of the conditioning event, but determines the coping style of an individual toward the threat.⁵

**Endocannabinoid system and stress**

Stress is an alteration of homeostasis as a consequence of external or internal threats. Indeed, acute stressors elicit immediate and protracted neuroendocrine responses with protective effects. These responses involve the activation of the sympathetic nervous system and the HPA axis. Within seconds of stress exposure, noradrenaline and adrenaline are released through sympathetic postganglionic neurons and adrenal gland chromaffin cells contributing to fight-or-flight protective responses. In parallel, the HPA axis is activated through CRH release from the hypothalamus, which leads to ACTH release from the pituitary gland. ACTH in the general blood stream reaches adrenal glands that pour glucocorticoids into the blood circulatory system. These corticoids activate glucocorticoid receptors that increase glucose availability and trigger transcriptional changes partly directed to limit inflammation and repair processes that can be postponed.

The endocannabinoid system present in the HPA axis and the sympathetic nervous system plays a crucial role in regulating stress responses (Figure 3). Early studies showed that repeated THC modified dopamine β-hydroxylase activity in rodent serum, a measure of sympathetic system activation. This effect was different depending on the basal status of exposed subjects revealing a complex modulatory role of the endocannabinoid system: THC alleviated sympathetic activation in naive mice but potentiated this response in rodents subjected to immobilization stress. CB₁R controls peripheral and central adrenaline, and noradrenaline release involved in stress-induced memory impairment, and the sympathetic nervous system partly mediates the anxiety-like effects observed after CB₁R blockade. In regard to the HPA axis, pharmacological and knockout studies demonstrate that CB₁R activity limits hypothalamic CRH release. CB₁R is also present in the pituitary gland and adrenal cortex cells, where it restricts ACTH and glucocorticoid release, respectively. On the contrary, glucocorticoids induce fast increases in endocannabinoid synthesis in brain areas that shape the perception of psychological stressors. These regions include areas involved in cognitive processes such as PFC and hippocampus, and areas related with affective responses such as the amygdala. Glucocorticoids released after acute stressors activate G-protein membrane receptors in the BLA, promoting a rapid increase in retrograde 2-AG signaling that leads to suppression of GABAergic synaptic inputs onto BLA principal neurons, inducing fast increases in anxiety-like behavior. These limbic areas are further connected with the hypothalamus to modulate...
stress responses (Figure 3). CB₁R located in these structures represent a unique opportunity for pharmacological modulation. However, the use of exogenous CB₁R ligands has been associated with serious health problems, from THC effects promoting addictive behaviors and anxiety disorders to the psychiatric alterations (anxiety, depression, or suicidal behaviors) related to the CB₁R inverse agonist rimonabant. Therefore, a great deal of research has focused on the pharmacological modulation of endogenous cannabinoids as an alternative approach for the treatment of stress-induced alterations. In this context, the enzymes involved in the synthesis and degradation of endocannabinoids represent potential effective targets with possible better safety profiles than drugs directly acting on CB₁R.

Models of acute immobilization stress provoke immediate increases in FAAH activity, the enzyme involved in anandamide degradation, in amygdala and hippocampus. Enhancing the activity of this degrading enzyme results in glucocorticoid release and stress-related anxiety-like behavior, whereas FAAH inhibition or costimulation of CB₁R and TRPV₁ (transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily V member 1) receptors decreases stress-induced corticoid release and anxiogenic behavior. Restraint stress or corticosterone treatments also produce a delayed increase in 2-AG levels in the hypothalamus, PFC, and hippocampus, associated with a decreased HPA-axis activity that modulates memory processes and pain perception after stressful stimuli. Therefore, acute stress exposure has emotional and physiological consequences related with decreased anandamide production, but also favors increased 2-AG levels that limit the stress response and have an impact on cognitive performance.

In contrast to acute stress, chronic stress predisposes for the development and aggravation of psychiatric disorders, including anxiety, depression, PTSD, and drug addiction. Chronic stress induces prominent and sustained changes in the endocannabinoid system, leading to decreases in CB₁R signaling in brain regions related with emotional processing such as the hippocampus, nucleus accumbens, PFC, dorsal raphe nucleus, hypothalamus, and amygdala. Indeed, sustained corticosterone increments after repeated restraint stress promote FAAH activity through glucocorticoid stimulation. As a consequence, anandamide levels are maintained low in the hippocampus, hypothalamus, PFC, and amygdala, which leads to hyperexcitability and structural remodeling of amygdalar circuits involved in anxiety. Indeed, a rat model of pathological anxiety reveals constitutive increases in corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF) signaling that lead to sustained elevation of FAAH activity and dysregulation of inhibitory control of CeA glutamatergic synapses. Inhibition of FAAH hyperactivity prevents these effects of chronic stress and protracted structural and functional changes that chronic stress promotes in BLA glutamatergic neurons. Interestingly, divergent results have been obtained after exposure to other models of chronic stress, suggesting that the nature of the stress or the existence of previous experiences can lead to differentiated stress-induced neuroadaptations. Indeed, repeated exposure to the same stress provokes increased 2-AG concentrations that underlie habituation to stress in adults. Further increasing 2-AG levels through blockade of 2-AG degradation prevents the decrease in hippocampal neurogenesis and long-term synaptic effects associated with chronic stress. Deletion of the 2-AG synthesizing enzyme DAGLα in PFC strengthens glutamatergic activity in a BLA-PFC-BLA circuit that facilitates stress-induced anxiety-like behavior. These data show that facilitating synthesis or inhibiting degradation of anandamide and 2-AG have overlapping consequences, suggesting the therapeutic potential of these enzyme modulators for the treatment of stress-related disorders. The redundancy exhibited by 2-AG and anandamide could potentially be exploited to design drug combinations with lower doses of active ingredients and may be useful to tackle different aspects of chronic stress disorders at once.

Endocannabinoid system and anxiety

Contemporary society is exposed to a multiplicity of external factors that constitute sources of anxiety, including excessive workloads, difficult personal relationships, sexual abuse, drug consumption, digital social media, or even current infectious disease pandemics. Anxiety can be defined as a mental state of discomfort triggered by uncertain threats. These threats are estimated to be distant in time or space and lead to anticipatory affective, cognitive, behavioral, and physiological changes and a continuous risk assessment that can persist until the uncertainty is resolved. Internal changes are adaptive and favor behaviors that protect the organism from dangerous situations, although in certain conditions become disproportional and result in anxiety-related disorders.
One of the main medical reasons for cannabis consumption is anxiety relief, although anxiogenic responses are also frequently reported after cannabinoid consumption. Indeed, high doses or long-term treatments with THC induce anxiety in humans and rodents. On the other hand, acute administration of the non-psychotropic cannabinoid cannabidiol (CBD) or low THC doses can relieve anxiety-related behavior. The Cre-Lox technology allowed the dissection of biological substrates underlying this dual effect of CB₁R activation. Glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons expressing CB₁R are widely distributed in key brain areas involved in anxiety, such as the amygdala, hippocampus, or PFC. Presynaptic CB₁R of cortical glutamatergic neurons inhibit glutamate release, and their activation induces anxiolysis. In agreement, anxiety-like behavior is observed in mice lacking CB₁R in cortical glutamatergic neurons and reinstatement of CB₁R expression in glutamatergic neurons of CB₁R-knockout mice partly restores normal anxiety levels. In contrast, CB₁R activation from the larger population of forebrain GABAergic neurons restricts the inhibitory GABA tone and facilitates anxiety-like behavior in basal conditions. This function is abrogated in mice lacking CB₁R in forebrain GABAergic neurons. Interestingly, the presence of CB₁R in forebrain GABAergic neurons was also essential for the serenity obtained after physical exercising, interpreted as the opposite of anxiety-like behavior. Thus, although stimulation of CB₁R of forebrain GABAergic neurons is related with the anxiogenic effects of high THC doses, the cannabinoid tone of this GABAergic neuronal population can have bidirectional anxiolytic or anxiogenic effects depending on the basal status of the individuals. This complex modulatory function of the endocannabinoid system on anxiety needs to be carefully understood to allow efficient modulation in the clinics.

Recent advances have started to unravel the complex circuitry involved in the cannabinoid modulation of anxiety. BLA represents a critical brain center for the onset of anxiety in response to environmental cues. Systemic and intra-amygdalar administration of 2-AG and anandamide reuptake inhibitors demonstrate overlapping effects reducing anxiety through CB₁R expressed in glutamatergic synapses. At least part of this anxiogenic glutamatergic input to the amygdala comes from PFC and hippocampus. Prelimbic PFC glutamatergic neurons are active after threat exposure, as demonstrated by recent optogenetic and cell-specific gene deletion experiments. A traumatic experience (foot-shock) in mice facilitates 2-AG depletion and subsequent enhancement of glutamatergic neurotransmission in this BLA-PFC-BLA pathway to trigger anxiety-like behavior. The relevance of this circuitry is reflected in clinical studies showing that the anxiolytic effects of THC are associated with reduced activity and disrupted connectivity between these two brain areas. A persistent weakening of the endocannabinoid tone could lead to enhanced functional BLA-PFC coupling and progression from a physiological stress to an anxiety disorder. The BLA also receives glutamatergic projections from the hippocampus, and these projections are bidirectionally controlled by endocannabinoids. In a similar way, increases in hippocampal 2-AG or anandamide promote stress resilience and anxiolysis, whereas CB₁R blockade or inhibition of 2-AG production precipitates anxiety.

Local CB₁R activity has also been associated with anxiogenesis in certain circuits. Thus, the amygdala sends CB₁R-positive projections to the bed nucleus of the stria terminals (BNST), a brain region closely involved in anxiety. This area is connected with midbrain structures, including the ventral tegmental area and the locus coeruleus. Glutamatergic and GABAergic projections from the amygdala to BNST are each sufficient for the development of anxious responses to unpredictable stimuli. CB₁R activity in these projections seems essential for the shift from phasic to sustained fear responses in fear conditioning chambers, interpreted as a reminiscence of the human anxiety against unpredictable threats. In the context of an established anxiogenic response, blocking cannabinoid activity in the BNST facilitates the transition from persistent to phasic freezing responses, a switch that could allow earlier reinstatement of normal anxious behavior.

A cooperation between the endocannabinoid and monoaminergic systems is required for anxiety modulation. At a molecular level, heterodimers composed of CB₁R and 5-HT₆ receptors are essential for the effects of THC promoting anxiolysis and social interaction. In agreement with this cannabinoid-serotonergic interaction, deleting CB₁R from serotonergic neurons of the raphe nuclei leads to decreased social interaction and enhanced anxiety-like behavior in a novelty-suppressed feeding test. Close coordination between both systems has also been reported at a circuit level. CB₁R-expressing glutamatergic neurons of the PFC synapse with serotonergic and GABAergic neurons in the dorsal raphe nuclei. Optogenetic approaches have...
revealed that dorsal raphe GABAergic synapses are more sensitive to the inhibitory effect of CB
R activity than serotonergic neurons. As a consequence, CB
R stimulation provokes a net increase of 5-HT output from the dorsal raphe nuclei.63 In a related circuit, CB
R stimulation shows opposite anxiogenic effects controlling the lateral habenula, an area that integrates stimuli from basal ganglia and limbic system and sends output signals to dopamine and serotonergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area.64 Blocking habenular CB
R augments proactive coping behaviors to reduce social stress and diminishes anxiety-like behavior. With cooperation between monoaminergic and endocannabinoid systems starting to be untangled, it will probably constitute an additional source of pharmacological exploitation for anxiety disorders.

Preexisting conditions can modify the effects of the manipulation of the endocannabinoid system on anxiety. Thus, a state of low arousal allows anxiety relief after potentiation of amygdala cannabinoid neurotransmission (2-AG or anandamide), whereas high arousal conditions prevent this effect.19 Protracted consequences of cannabinoids have been revealed when administered shortly after threat exposure. Thus, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) in humans has shown that single THC exposures after threatening stimuli have perceptible effects 1 week later. These effects consist of decreased amygdala-PFC activity and reduced functional coupling among PFC, hippocampus, and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex, a network involved in the extinction of aversive memories.58 Thus, the anxiolytic effects of cannabinoids depend on the quality and timing of the threats and could have delayed effects on the expression or extinction of anxiety-related behavior, not detectable immediately after the treatments.

Age and sex of individuals are important determinants for the consequences of cannabinoid exposure on anxiety.65 Women present an increased propensity to anxiety-related disorders and biological differences in the endocannabinoid system compared with men.65 These sex differences have been studied in animal models of anxiety. CB
R knockout males show a basal anxiogenic behavior that is absent in females.66 Interestingly, both sexes show anxiogenic responses after CB
R blockade,66 of higher intensity in adolescent females.67 However, mice defective in the 2-AG-synthesizing enzyme DAGLα show no gender differences, except for a maternal neglecting behavior inherent to the female condition.17 Exposure of pregnant females to THC69 or to polyunsaturated fatty acids required for endocannabinoid synthesis59 produces life-long changes on the offspring, altering the distribution and activity of neuronal populations expressing CB
R. Prenatal THC causes male-specific effects, including disrupted long-term depression (LTD) and heightened excitability in the PFC, enhanced anxiety, and social distancing.68 Although the female offspring did not show overt behavioral changes and their PFC LTD was maintained, transcriptional modifications and a switch from CB
R to TRPV1-mediated LTD have been found, suggesting the existence of protective mechanisms or latent alterations in females. Further studies will be needed to understand the long-lasting consequences of THC exposure on the developing female brain.

The possible impact of periadolescent cannabinoid exposure on anxiety levels during adulthood has been investigated. Reduced anxiety has been revealed in previously exposed males, although such an anxiolytic effect is inconsistently reported in adult females.70 THC exposure or pharmacological disruption of the endocannabinoid tone during early adolescence also provokes decreased sociability in both sexes, occasionally without evident anxiety alterations,71,72 that may not persist in the adult age.71,73 However, the existence of significant modifications during a critical age for brain development demands caution with cannabinoid intake. Indeed, fMRI studies in humans have described long-lasting consequences of THC exposure, including increased gray-matter volume of brain temporal areas and amygdala hypersensitivity, associated with the development of anxious symptomatology.74,75 The effects of cannabinoid exposure may be different in individuals who suffered previous threatening experiences. Thus, rodents subjected to early life stress show reduced anxiety and cognitive and social impairments after CB
R agonist administration during late adolescence. These effects are associated with the normalization of biochemical alterations in BLA, PFC, and hippocampus of males and females.65 Additional studies will be necessary to evaluate the therapeutic possibilities of cannabinoid treatments under these particularly sensitive conditions.

**Concluding remarks**

Recent studies have allowed a better understanding of the crucial role played by the endocannabinoid system in
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