A Compact Triple Band Antenna for Military Satellite Communication, Radar and Fifth Generation Applications
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ABSTRACT A compact triple band antenna by using etching slots technique in the radiation element is presented in this paper. The proposed antenna is designed to target three different frequencies: 7.5 GHz (military satellite communication), 9 GHz (radar applications) and 28 GHz (fifth generation applications). The fabricated prototype of the antenna has overall dimensions of 13x12.8x1.6 mm³. To validate the results of the simulation, measurements have been performed. The bandwidths at -10 dB are 259 MHz (7.435-7.695 MHz), 355 MHz (8.86-9.221 MHz) and 2.67 GHz (26.79-29.433 GHz). Furthermore, the suggested antenna provides a gain of 3.96 dBi, 3.05 dBi and 5.86 dBi at 7.5 GHz, 9 GHz and 28 GHz respectively. Therefore, the results demonstrate that the proposed triple band antenna can be used for military satellite communication, radar applications and is a good candidate for the future 5G applications.

INDEX TERMS Etching slots, fifth generation, radar application, triple band antenna.

I. INTRODUCTION

A rapid technological development in the field of telecommunications, including wireless communication systems, mobile phones, satellites, radar applications (civil, military, aeronautics, maritime and meteorology…), etc. is noticeable. Wireless communication systems have developed enormously from the first generation 1G to the fifth generation 5G. The main characteristics and applications of 5G are detailed in [1], while the 5G services are described in [2]. The fifth generation, also known as IMT-2020, will use the millimeter wave frequency band, specifically the 28 GHz frequency band because propagation losses at 28 GHz are not significant compared to other frequencies in the millimeter wave band [3, 4]. The essential point of the 5G is that it will respond to a large number of completely new challenges in industrial automation (industry 4.0).

The diversity of applications involves the integration of many antennas and the use of several bands. These challenges have led to a great focus on multi-band antennas that allow simultaneous coverage of different bands. The advantage of using these antennas is the reduction in the number of antennas on board by combining multiple applications on the same antenna. Therefore, the main goal of our work is the conception of a multiband antenna that has a high performance and small in size.

The different techniques used to obtain multi-band antennas are: metamaterial structure [5, 6], fractal technology [7 - 13] loading stubs [14, 15] and etching slots [16, 17]. There are various designs of multi-band antennas in the literature. In [18], a miniaturized dual-band planar monopole antenna, formed by a CPW feed line and a rectangular ring with a vertical strip was designed for WLAN and WiMAX wireless communication applications. In [19], a decaband printed antenna for 4G/5G/ WLAN mobile phones is presented. The Hexadecagon Circular Patch (HDCP) dual-band antenna is presented in [20] for Ku band for satellite communications. A tri-band compact printed antenna consists of a folded open stub, long and short L-shaped strips, and asymmetric trapezoid ground plane was proposed in [21] for WLAN and WiMAX applications. An O-shape multiband integrated wideband antenna for Bluetooth/WLAN applications was presented in [22]. Triplet-band antenna for WLAN/WiMAX applications reported in [23] consists of two F-shaped slot radiators and a defected ground plane. A multiband monopole antenna, presented in [24] for Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) and Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) applications, is achieved by introduced Complementary Split Ring Resonators (CSRRs) and offset-fed microstrip line. In [25] a CPW fed multiband folded slot antenna for WLAN application is studied.
In this work, a compact triple band antenna is proposed for military satellite communications (7.5 GHz), radar (9 GHz) and fifth generation applications (28 GHz). In order to achieve multiband, the etching slots technique was used. The influence of each slot of the proposed antenna on the performance of the antenna in terms of reflection coefficient is studied and discussed. The size of the suggested antenna is 13x12.8 mm$^2$. It is fabricated on FR4 substrate ($\varepsilon=4.4$, $h=1.6$ mm). The simulated results of the proposed triple band antenna are validated by experimental results and shown an acceptable agreement.

II. ANTENNA DESIGN AND DIMENSIONS

Fig. 1 illustrates the layout of the triple band antenna and the photograph of the fabricated antenna. The antenna is printed on a FR4 substrate with dielectric constant of 4.4 and thickness of 1.6 mm. The total size of the triple band antenna is 13 mm x 12.8 mm. A microstrip line with length of LF=6 mm and width of WF=3 mm is used for feeding the proposed antenna. The ground plane and the patch are composed of copper material with 0.035 mm of thickness.

After a parametric study using CST Microwave Studio, the final dimensions of the proposed triple band antenna are: $W_S=13$ mm, $L_S=12.8$ mm, $W_F=3$ mm, $L_F=6$ mm, $W_P=12$ mm, $L_P=5$ mm, $L_1=10$ mm, $L_2=4$ mm, $L_3=10$ mm, $L_4=4$ mm, $W=1$ mm, $L=1$ mm, $D=3.3$ mm.

III. DESIGN EVOLUTION

The antenna design evolution steps to achieve the triple band operation for X-band (satellite communications and radar) and fifth generation applications is shown in Fig. 2. The proposed antenna is accomplished in four steps. In each step, a slot is introduced into the patch. Fig. 3 illustrate the reflection coefficient $S_{11}$ at different steps of the design antenna.

In the first step we start with a conventional microstrip patch antenna, which produces resonance around 23 GHz. In the second step L-slot 1 is etched on the radiating element to generate double resonance frequencies of 7.5 GHz and 25 GHz. In step 3, another L-slot 2 is etched to achieve triple band of 7.5 GHz, 11 GHz and 28 GHz. In order to attain impedance matching of the first band (7.5 GHz) and shift the resonance frequency from 11 GHz to 9 GHz, a slot 3 of 1 mm of width and 1 mm of high is cut in the patch (Step 4).

IV. PARAMETRIC STUDY

The effect of geometrical parameters on the reflection coefficient of the proposed triple band antenna was analyzed using the CST Microwave Studio.

The simulated reflection coefficient as a function of lengths of L-Slot 1, L1 and L2, are illustrated in Fig. 4. As can be seen in Fig. 4 (a), when the value of L1 is varied from 11 mm to 9 mm, the first resonance frequency increase while the second resonance frequency decrease. On the other hand, when the length L2 changes from 3 mm to 5 mm, the first resonance frequency increase and the second resonance frequency is slightly affected as shown in Fig. 4 (b). The optimum values of L-slot 1 lengths are as follows: $L_1=10$ mm and $L_2=4$ mm.
Fig. 5 shown the reflection coefficient as a function of lengths of L-Slot 2 L3 and L4. It is clear that an increase in L3 causes a diminution in antenna reflection coefficient and the appearance of a new band. From Fig. 5 (a) we can see that the resonance frequency corresponds to the value L3=10 mm is 28 GHz (the fifth generation band), which is the desired frequency, we maintain this value of L3 and adjust L4 from 3 mm to 5 mm. There is a slight variation in the level of adaptation in Fig. 5 (b). From this figure, we also notice that the second and third bands are adapted, therefore, to better adapt the first resonant frequency we will add a third slot.

The effect of position “D” and width “W” of Slot 3 on the reflection coefficient are shown in Fig. 6. The D parameter is changed from 2.3 mm to 4.3 mm, the optimal value for D is founded to be 3.3 mm for the best adaptation at the first band 7.5 GHz (military satellite communication band). Decreasing the D parameter leads to a reduction in the resonant frequency of the second band. It is worth noting from Fig. 6 (a) that the variation of D parameter have no effect on the resonance frequency of 28 GHz. D=3.3 mm is kept and W is varied from 0.5 to 1.5 with a 0.5 mm step as shown in Fig. 6 (b) and it is noticed that as W increases the frequency of the second band also increases. The optimal value is W=1 mm, which corresponds to 9 GHz (Radar band).
V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. REFLECTION COEFFICIENT

In order to validate the simulation results, a prototype of the proposed triple band antenna is fabricated using LPKF machine Proto Mat E33. The reflection coefficient is measured using PNA-L Network Analyzer N5234A (10 MHz - 43.5 GHz) and the simulated results are carried out by CST Microwave Studio. Fig. 7 demonstrates both the measured and simulated S11 results versus frequency for the proposed triple band antenna. The simulated impedance bandwidths for S11 < -10 dB is 3.44% (7.435-7.695 GHz) 4% (8.86-9.221 GHz) and 9.37% (26.79-29.433 GHz) at resonant frequencies 7.56 GHz, 9.016 GHz and 28.156 GHz respectively. An acceptable agreement can be observed between the simulated and measured results of the reflection coefficient of the proposed triple band antenna.

B. SURFACE CURRENT DISTRIBUTIONS

The observation of surface currents on the antenna structure provides a better understanding of how the current propagates over the radiating element. For this reason, Fig. 8 shows the surface current distribution of the proposed triple band antenna. The maximum surface currents is 35 A/m at frequencies of 7.5 GHz, 9 GHz and 28 GHz.

Fig. 8 (a) shows that at 7.5 GHz, a strong distribution of surface currents appears on the radiating element and especially on the right side of the patch around the second L-slot 2. Which confirms that this slot is responsible for the creation of the 7.5 GHz military satellite communication band. As shown in the Fig. 8 (b), at the second resonance frequency 9 GHz, the strongest currents are located in the upper part of the radiating element, confirming that this resonance is mainly related to this part of antenna which is situated to the left of the third slot (Slot 3). At 28 GHz fifth generation band, the radiated current is concentrated at the edges of matching line as illustrated in the Fig. 8 (c).
C. RADIATION PATTERN, GAIN AND EFFICIENCY

The radiation patterns of the proposed triple band antenna at resonance frequencies are studied. Fig. 9 shows the 3D radiation patterns at 7.56 GHz, 9.016 GHz and 28.156 GHz.

![3D radiation patterns of the proposed triple band antenna](image)

FIGURE 9. 3D radiation patterns of the proposed triple band antenna.

The simulated and measured far-field 2D radiation patterns of the proposed triple band antenna is illustrated in Fig. 11 (a) and Fig. 11 (b), in two principal planes: the elevation plane “E plane” (xz plane, phi=0) and azimuth plane “H plane” (xy plane, theta=0), co-polarization and cross-polarization at resonant frequencies 7.5 GHz and 9 GHz. While, the measured far-field 2D radiation pattern of the proposed triple band antenna at 28 GHz was not feasible, because the limited frequency of the Horn antenna used is 26 GHz in our laboratory. Consequently, Fig. 11 (c) represents only the simulated radiation pattern at 28 GHz frequency. From Fig. 11 it can be observed that the measured results are slightly difference compared to the simulated results, because the radiation pattern measurements are not carried out in an anechoic chamber. In addition, we can see from Fig. 11 that the cross-polarization is a little high due to the high thickness of the substrate used (h = 1.6 mm) [26].
The simulated gain and efficiency variation with the frequency are plotted in Fig. 12. It can be seen that the proposed antenna has radiation efficiency of 47%, 40% and 83% at the resonance frequencies 7.5 GHz, 9.01 GHz and 28.1 GHz respectively. The proposed antenna provides a gain of 3.96 dBi at 7.5 GHz, 3.05 dBi at 9.01 GHz and 5.86 dBi at 28 GHz.
VI. PERFORMANCE COMPARISON
The proposed triple band antenna is compared with the previous reported antenna, and the comparison is indicated in Table I. This table comparing antennas performance in terms of size, resonant frequencies, gain achieved and also the technique used to obtain multiband. It is clearly observed that the proposed antenna is compact in size as compared to all the references listed in table I. In addition, the proposed antenna has a high gain.

| Ref | Size (mm²) | Resonant frequencies (GHz) | Gain (dBi) | Technique |
|-----|------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| P.S | 13x12.8    | 7.5, 9, 28                | 3.96, 3.05, 5.86 | Etching slots |
| [5] | 30x30      | 2.7, 7.34                 | 1.49, 3.23  | Metamaterial structure |
| [6] | 31x26.27   | 3.4, 5.16, 9.5            | -          | Metamaterial structure |
| [14] | 18x34.5   | 2.45, 5.5, 7.5           | -          | Loading stubs |
| [15] | 16x24     | 4.34, 4.6, 7.5          | 2.46, 1.62, 1.86 | Loading stubs |
| [16] | 26x23     | 4.27, 5.5, 7.20      | -          | Etching slots |
| [17] | 18x22     | 2.4, 3.5, 5.8, 8         | 2.87, 3.48, 1.82, 3.34 | Etching slots |
| [27] | 15.5x14. 5 | 28, 38, 40, 67       | 2.33, 2.46, 1.69, 2.17 | – |
| [28] | 60x60     | 3.5, 7.5               | 7.51, 3.14 | – |
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