Frozen elephant trunk procedure for complex aortic arch surgery: The Salerno experience with Thoraflex hybrid
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Abstract

Background and Aim of the Study: To report early clinical outcomes of the frozen elephant trunk (FET) technique for the treatment of complex aortic diseases after transition from conventional elephant trunk.

Methods: A single-center, retrospective study of patients who underwent hybrid aortic arch and FET repair for aortic arch and/or proximal descending aortic aneurysms, acute and chronic Stanford type A aortic dissection with arch and/or proximal descending involvement, Stanford type B acute and chronic aortic dissections with retrograde aortic arch involvement.

Results: Between December 2017 and May 2020, 70 consecutive patients (62.7 ± 10.6 years, 59 male) were treated: 41 (58.6%) for emergent conditions and 29 (41.4%) for elective. Technical success was 100%. In-hospital mortality was 14.2% (n = 12, 17.1% emergent vs. 10.3% elective, P = NS); 2 (2.9%) major strokes; 1 (1.4%) spinal cord injury. Mean follow-up was 12.5 months (interquartile range, 3.7–22.3). Overall survival at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months was 90% (95% confidence interval [CI], 83.2–97.3), 85.6% (95% CI, 77.7–94.3), 79.1% (95% CI, 69.9–89.5), 75.6% (95% CI, 65.8–86.9) and 73.5% (95% CI, 63.3–85.3). There were no aortic re-interventions and no distal stent graft-induced new entry (dSINE); 5 patients with residual type B dissection underwent TEVAR completion.

Conclusions: In a real-world setting, FET with Thoraflex Hybrid demonstrated feasibility and good clinical outcomes, even in emergent setting. Our implant technique optimize cerebral perfusion reporting good results in terms of neurological complications. Techniques to perfect the procedure and to reduce remaining risks, and consensus on considerations such as standardized cerebral protection need to be reported.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The frozen elephant trunk (FET) procedure has become established as a proven and attractive option to treat aortic disease when the arch and the thoracic aorta are involved to facilitate the conventional two-stage access. In acute and chronic aortic dissection, the use of FET can help to expand and stabilize the true lumen and cover eventual supplementary tears but the perceived technical complexity of the operation may be restricting its adoption, especially in the acute setting. Undoubtedly, the constant development of new branched prostheses, which increases the surgeons' armamentarium in the treatment of complex aortic arch pathology, plays an important role in reducing the risk of procedural failure. At present, there is little evidence weighing the burden of replacing the aortic arch as an additional procedure during elective or emergency proximal aortic repair, thus making comparison with patients undergoing secondary total arch replacement difficult. The aim of this study was to evaluate safety and short-term outcomes after FET with the Thoraflex™ Hybrid (Terumo Aortic) prosthesis in aortic arch reconstruction both in emergency and elective setting at a single institution.

2 | METHODS

This is a single-center, retrospective, observational study based on prospectively collected data obtained from institutional cardiac surgery data set at University Hospital San Giovanni di Dio and Ruggi d’Aragona in Salerno, Italy. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Institutional board approval was obtained for the study, and patient consent was waived.

All patients who underwent FET for acute and chronic arch and thoracic aorta pathologies between December 2017 and May 2020 were included. The dates were chosen to capture all routine use of the Thoraflex Hybrid FET device which consists of a proximal unstented tubular gelatin-coated Dacron graft and a distal stent-graft polyester made with a self-expandable nitinol skeleton, deployable antegrade during circulatory arrest over a guidewire. We use exclusively the Plexus configuration with four integrated lateral branches: three for the reconstruction of supra-aortic vessels and one for systemic perfusion.

We size the stent-graft portion according to the aortic diameter of the distal landing zone as evaluated by preoperative CT angiogram: 0% oversizing in acute and chronic aortic dissections; 10%–15% oversizing in ascending aorta and/or arch aneurysms, particularly when a second stage was anticipated. To minimize the risk of spinal cord ischemia, we only implant the 100 mm length.

2.1 | Surgical technique

All cases are operated under general anesthesia after invasive arterial pressure monitoring of bilateral radial arteries and a femoral artery.
10–12 ml/kg/min, consequently stopping systemic circulation. In- VOS is monitored and radial pressure maintained at 60–80 mmHg. The aortic arch is then opened and inspected.

The landing zone (usually zone 2) is reinforced with Teflon strip and, eventually, bioglue. At this stage, the distal stent-graft of the FET device is released into the descending thoracic aorta. The reinforced collar of the prosthesis is sutured to the aorta and, after cannulation of the fourth lateral branch and careful de-airing, systemic perfusion is resumed, starting to rewarm the body. The anastomosis between the surgical graft and sinotubular junction (either native or prosthetic, depending on the proximal repair) is completed and cross-clamp released allowing the heart to start beating. The prosthesis-elongated supra-aortic vessels are then end-to-end sequentially re-anastomosed to the corresponding branches of the graft, starting with the LSA to LCA and finally brachiocephalic artery. The correct deployment and fully expansion of the prosthesis is assessed by TEE.

2.2 | Cerebral and systemic perfusion

Extracorporeal circulation and cerebral antegrade perfusion are performed using a homemade, 4-branched perfusion circuit (Figure 2). It consists of four branches of the same diameter driven by a single pump LSA, LCA, brachiocephalic artery, and prosthetic branch for systemic perfusion. Perfusion is kept at full flow for CPB and it redistributes depending on physiological systemic resistance. When the brachiocephalic artery is clamped, the flow is lowered to 10–12 ml/kg/min for isolated cerebral perfusion. After the circulatory arrest time the full flow was restarted via prosthetic side branch and single cerebral vessels.

2.3 | Endpoints

The primary endpoints of the study were 30-day and in-hospital mortality, defined as death due to any cause during postoperative course at 30 days and until discharge, usually to a rehabilitation unit, respectively. Secondary endpoints included postoperative major stroke [defined as clinical and radiological evidence of a new postoperative cerebrovascular event [CVA] with a mRS ≥4], spinal cord injury (SCI) temporary or permanent, return to operating room for cardiac causes, renal failure requiring replacement therapy, respiratory insufficiency requiring prolonged ventilation and/or tracheostomy, deep sternal wound infection involving sternal bone and/or mediastinal structures, recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy and in-hospital length of stay.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) if numerical and as count and percentages if categorical. Normality was assessed using Shapiro–Wilk test. Continuous numerical variables have been compared using the Student t test, while categorical variables have been compared with χ² or Fisher exact test as appropriate. Time to event analysis has been conducted using Log-rank test and displayed with Kaplan–Meier curves. Univariable and Multiple Cox proportional Hazard models were run to identify potential factors affecting midterm survival with hazard ratios (HR) and confidence intervals (CI). The final multivariable model was obtained using those variables that had a significant p value at the univariable analysis with backward/forward selection based on AIC. Alpha error was set at 0.05 for significance and all tests are two-sided. The statistical analysis was conducted with R version 3.6.0. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/).

3 | RESULTS

Between December 2017 and May 2020, 70 consecutive patients (mean age 62.7 ± 10.6 years, 84% male) underwent FET for arch and thoracic aorta pathologies, 41 (58.6%) acute aortic dissections (AAD) and 29 (41.6%) chronic pathologies: ascending aorta/aortic arch aneurysm (An) in 19 (24.3%) and chronic type I dissection (CAD) in 10 (14.2%). The distributions of baseline characteristics for the overall population are presented in Table 1. Twelve (17.1%) patients had already undergone cardiac surgery. Fifteen AAD patients (36.5%) presented with peripheral malperfusion. There were no significant differences in terms of age, gender, and other preoperative co-morbidities between the groups. The only significant difference was previous cardiac surgery (redo) (4.9% in emergency group vs. 34.5%
### TABLE 1  Preoperative characteristics

| Characteristics                  | Overall N = 70 | Emergency n = 41 | Elective n = 29 | p value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (years)                      | 62.76 (10.6)   | 61.80 (9.7)      | 64.10 (11.9)    | .38     |
| Male gender                      | 59 (84.3)      | 35 (85.4)        | 24 (82.8)       | 1       |
| COPD                             | 17 (24.3)      | 9 (22.0)         | 8 (27.6)        | .796    |
| History of hypertension          | 65 (92.9)      | 38 (92.7)        | 27 (93.1)       | 1       |
| CKD                              | 5 (7.1)        | 3 (7.3)          | 2 (6.9)         | 1       |
| History of cancer                | 6 (8.6)        | 2 (4.9)          | 4 (13.8)        | .379    |
| Peripheral vascular disease      | 11 (15.7)      | 5 (12.2)         | 6 (20.7)        | .53     |
| Previous cardiac surgery         | 12 (17.1)      | 2 (4.9)          | 10 (34.5)       | .004    |
| Left ventricle ejection fraction (%) | 58.11 (6.18) | 57.44 (7.26)    | 59.07 (4.16)    | .28     |
| Cerebral malperfusion at presentation | 4 (5.7)     | 4 (9.8)          | 0 (0)           | na      |
| Abdominal malperfusion at presentation | 5 (7.1)      | 5 (12.2)         | 0 (0)           | na      |
| Lower limb ischemia at presentation | 6 (8.6)       | 6 (14.6)         | 0 (0)           | na      |
| Ascending aorta/arch aneurysm    | 19 (24.3)      | 0 (0)            | 19 (65.5)       | <.001   |
| Chronic aortic dissection        | 10 (14.2)      | 0 (0)            | 10 (31)         | .001    |
| De Bakey dissection classification|               |                  |                 | <.001   |
| I                                | 44 (62.8)      | 38 (92.7)        | 6 (20.6)        |         |
| III B                            | 7 (10.0)       | 3 (7.3)          | 4 (13.7)        |         |
| Stanford dissection classification|               |                  |                 | <.001   |
| A                                | 42 (61.8)      | 38 (92.7)        | 4 (14.8)        |         |
| B                                | 9 (12.8)       | 3 (7.31)         | 6 (20.6)        |         |

Note: Data are reported as mean (SD) for numerical variables and as count (%) for categorical variables. Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease.

### TABLE 2  Operative characteristics

|                        | Overall N = 70 | Emergency n = 41 | Elective n = 29 | p value |
|------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| CPB time (min)         | 197.46 (49.49) | 212.95 (42.47)   | 175.55 (51.08)  | .001    |
| Aortic cross-clamp time (min) | 108.64 (40.29) | 123.85 (38.89)   | 87.14 (31.97)   | <.001   |
| HCA time (min)         | 30.61 (6.80)   | 29.63 (6.06)     | 32.00 (7.63)    | .153    |
| Concomitant procedures |                |                  |                 |         |
| CABG                   | 12 (17.1)      | 8 (19.5)         | 4 (13.8)        | .762    |
| AV Replacement         | 10 (14.3)      | 4 (9.8)          | 6 (20.7)        | .347    |
| Aortic root surgery    |                |                  |                 |         |
| Florida Sleeve         | 9 (12.9)       | 8 (19.5)         | 1 (3.4)         | .106    |
| Modified Bentall       | 5 (7.1)        | 5 (12.2)         | 0 (0.0)         | .139    |

Note: Data are reported as mean (SD) for numerical variables and as count (%) for categorical variables. Abbreviations: AV, aortic valve; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CPB, cardio-pulmonary bypass; HCA, hypotermic circulatory arrest (including selective antegrade cerebral and visceral perfusioin).
in elective group, \( p < .01 \) Patients presenting in emergency setting had a higher incidence of malperfusion but this difference did not reach statistical significance.

No intraoperative deaths were recorded. Operative characteristics and their distributions among the two groups are showed in Table 2. Concomitant procedures were required in 36 (51.4%) patients: 12 (17.1%) coronary artery bypass grafting, 10 (14.3%) aortic valve replacement, 14 aortic root surgery such as 9 (12.9%) Florida sleeve procedures and 5 (7.1%) modified Bentall. There was a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of cardiopulmonary bypass time (212.9 ± 42.7 min in the emergency group vs. 175.5 ± 38.9 min in the elective group, \( p < .01 \)) and aortic cross-clamp time (123.8 ± 38.9 min in the emergency group vs. 87.1 ± 31.9 in the elective group, \( p < .01 \)). The mean deep hypothermic circulatory arrest time was 30.6 ± 6 min and did not differ among the two groups.

Postoperative outcomes are summarized in Table 3. Thirty-day mortality was 10.0% (n = 7) for the entire cohort of patients (12.1% in emergency vs. 6.8% in chronic settings, \( p = .421 \)). Cumulative inhospital mortality was 14.2% (n = 12, 17.1% in emergency vs. 10.3% in chronic settings, \( p = .312 \)). No differences were found in terms of postoperative CVA (mRS 5/6, 2.4% in the emergency group vs. 0% in the elective group, \( P = 1 \)), SCI with paraplegia (2.4% in the emergency group vs. 0% in the elective group, \( P = 1 \)) and AKI requiring hemodialysis (31.7% in the emergency group vs. 20.7% in the elective group, \( p = .454 \)). The incidence of respiratory failure requiring tracheostomy did not differ between the two groups (31.7% vs. 24.1%, \( p = .673 \)).

The final multivariable Cox proportional hazard model, reported in Table 4, showed that higher LVEF (HR, 0.93; 95% CI, 0.88–0.99; \( p = .03 \)) was a protective factor for midterm survival, while cerebral malperfusion at presentation (HR, 4.42; 95% CI, 1.19–16.33; \( p = .03 \)) and aortic cross-clamp time (HR, 1.01; 95% CI, 1–1.02; \( p = .05 \)) were found to be independent predictor factors affecting midterm survival. Emergency surgery did not significantly impact on survival (elective HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.21–1.7; \( p = .33 \)).

There were no aortic re-interventions in either group. Five patients with residual type B dissection underwent TEVAR successful completion of repair which was performed at least 6 weeks after the primary operation to reduce the spinal cord ischemia risk. There was no dSINE and no intraluminal thrombosis.

Median follow-up was 12.5 months (interquartile range, 3.9–22.3). Overall survival for the entire cohort at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months was 90% (95% CI, 83.2–97.3), 85.6% (95% CI, 77.7–94.3), 79.1% (95% CI, 69.9–89.5), 75.6% (95% CI, 65.8–86.9) and 73.5 (95% CI, 63.3–85.3), respectively (Figure 3). Survival rate by group were

| TABLE 3 Postoperative outcomes |
|-------------------------------|
| Overall | Emergency | Elective | \( p \) value |
| \( N = 70 \) | \( n = 41 \) | \( n = 29 \) |
| 30-day mortality | 7 (10.0) | 5 (12.1) | 2 (6.8) | .421 |
| In-hospital mortality | 10 (14.3) | 7 (17.1) | 3 (10.3) | .312 |
| Prolonged ventilation | 23 (32.9) | 15 (36.6) | 8 (27.6) | .595 |
| ICU duration (days) | 15.77 (16.6) | 16.95 (17.9) | 14.10 (14.7) | .485 |
| Hospitalization (days) | 32.11 (21.6) | 31.56 (23.7) | 32.90 (18.5) | .801 |
| Return to operating room | 8 (11.4) | 6 (14.6) | 2 (6.9) | .535 |
| Low CO syndrome | 32 (45.7) | 21 (51.2) | 11 (37.9) | .392 |
| Respiratory failure | 42 (60.0) | 25 (61.0) | 17 (58.6) | 1 |
| Tracheostomy | 20 (28.6) | 13 (31.7) | 7 (24.1) | .673 |
| Anemia | 55 (78.6) | 32 (78.0) | 23 (79.3) | 1 |
| Pericardial effusion requiring drainage | 16 (22.9) | 12 (29.3) | 4 (13.8) | .219 |
| Pleural effusion requiring drainage | 15 (21.4) | 12 (29.3) | 3 (10.3) | .108 |
| Deep sternal wound infection | 7 (10.0) | 6 (14.6) | 1 (3.4) | .258 |
| Recurrent laryngeal nerve palsy | 8 (11.4) | 6 (14.6) | 2 (6.9) | .535 |
| AKI requiring CVVH | 19 (27.1) | 13 (31.7) | 6 (20.7) | .454 |
| Spinal cord injury/paraplegia | 1 (1.4) | 1 (2.4) | 0 (0.0) | na |
| Permanent CVA | 1 (1.4) | 1 (2.4) | 0 (0.0) | na |
| Lower limb ischemia | 1 (1.4) | 1 (2.4) | 0 (0.0) | na |

Note: Data are reported as mean (SD) for numerical variables and as count (%) for categorical variables

Abbreviations: AKI, acute kidney injury; CO, cardiac output; CVVH, continuous veno-venous haemofiltration; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; ICU, intensive care unit.
85.2% versus 86.1% at 3 months, 77.3% versus 82.2% at 6 months, 71.7% versus 82.2% at 12 months, and 68.6% versus 82.2% at 24 months, all emergency versus elective, respectively (Figure 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

Surgical repair of aneurysms and aortic dissections involving the aortic arch is still challenging, carrying high mortality and morbidity. Our experience with the first 70 consecutive patients treated with FET using Thoraflex Hybrid has been overall satisfactory; technical success was achieved in all patients even in the first part of our experience, started after performing only conventional ET or conventional surgery in acute dissection (ascending aorta or hemiarch replacement). Our results show that the implantation technique for Thoraflex Hybrid graft is doable and reproducible, also in emergent setting.

Correct cerebral perfusion during hypothermic circulatory arrest is one of the main factors determining neurological outcomes.7–9 Our strategy allows a uniform cerebral perfusion throughout the operation, except for the short time needed for LCA and LSA anastomosis, thus minimizing cerebral ischemia time. We believe that this technical aspect is important to explain our particularly good results in terms of neurological complications, with a significantly lower overall incidence of permanent stroke (1.4%) compared to data from similar studies.7 Shresta et al. reported 8% of major CVA in their first experience in performing a FET with the Thoraflex with a different brain

| Table 4 Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard model |
|------------------------|--------|
| Age, years             | 1.05   |
| Gender, Male           | 0.38   |
| LVEF (perc.)           | 0.94   |
| COPD                   | 0.97   |
| PVD                    | 2.40   |
| History of CAD         | 1.40   |
| Previous cardiac surgery | 0.62 |
| Cerebral malperfusion  | 3.74   |
| Abdominal malperfusion | 2.75   |
| Peripheral malperfusion| 2.43   |
| CPB time (min)         | 1.01   |
| Cross clamp time (min) | 1.01   |
| Cerebral perfusion time (min) | 0.98 |
| Elective surgery       | 0.60   |

Note: Data are reported as mean (SD) for numerical variables and as count (%) for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CI, confidence intervals; CPB, cardio-pulmonary bypass; eGFR, effective glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.
Our cerebral perfusion strategy, while Chu et al. reported a 5% stroke incidence. In addition to our cerebral perfusion strategy, we believe that our technique, avoiding direct cannulation and manipulation of cerebral vessels, could reduce the embolism rate thus helping to minimize CVA complications.

Another interesting finding from our study is the very low rate of spinal cord injury which occurred in only one patient (1.4%) operated for acute aortic dissection. We believe that our good results are due to the use of a combination of 100 mm stent length (less intercostal arteries coverage), Thoraflex Hybrid deployment in zone 2, short circulatory arrest time, and correct sizing of the stented graft. Fiorentino et al. reported a low overall incidence of SCI (two cases of temporary isolated papaparesis) but only in 150 mm distal stented grafts. Flores et al. reported a very high incidence of SCI in FET when the stented was deployed at the lower level of the thoracic aorta.

It has been reported that in Thoraflex implant the LSA anastomosis remains the Achilles heel being too close to the collar device. We overcome this problem by extending the surgical incision with a small left supra-clavicular cervicotomy and “elongating” the LSA with a tubular prosthesis, thus making the anastomosis technically easier and achieving success in all cases. In one case, not included in this series, we successfully used a custom-made Thoraflex Hybrid, in which the plexus is separated from the main part of the prosthesis, to make anastomosis easier, improve operating times and correctly position the intra-thoracic vessels.

Overall survival for the entire cohort at 30 days was 90% (95% CI, 83.2–97.3), without statistically significant differences between emergency and elective surgery (87.9% vs. in emergency vs. 93.2% in chronic settings, p = .312).

We believe that our results should contribute to encourage employing this surgical strategy, especially in emergency setting where the FET may be helpful particularly in patients with malperfusion and could result in a definitive treatment. The idea of specialized centers with a high volume of aortic surgery to treat both chronic and acute aortic syndrome is now becoming paramount. There is a large consensus that patients affected by acute aortic syndromes may benefit from treatment at dedicated specialized aortic centers with significantly improved outcomes and decreased mortality. Patients undergoing emergency repair of acute aortic dissection by lower-volume surgeons and centers have approximately double the risk-adjusted mortality of patients undergoing repair by the highest volume care providers. We think that the future treatment of acute type A aortic dissection is going toward a total arch approach with standardized cerebral protection that should more and more be delivered by specialist aortic centers with expertise in this technique. In this case, the Thoraflex Hybrid proved to be an easy-to-implant prosthesis, making the brain protection strategy easier and reporting a low complication rate.

Finally, an important aspect of our study is the relatively large number of cases done in a short period of time in a single institution, thus allowing for a significant reduction of multicenter studies bias. Other series available in literature report results of a similar cohort of patients but operated in different centers: the Canadian experience enrolled 40 consecutive cases in 9 different centers, in about 3 years of activity while the English experience counts 66 cases in 4 years from 9 centers throughout UK.
4.1 STUDY LIMITATIONS

Due to the relatively low complication rate and limited follow-up, it was not possible to detect differences between the groups or pathologies. At present, the follow-up has a shorter duration compared to other series.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Surgical techniques involving stenting of the descending thoracic aorta during primary surgery for both acute and chronic complex aortic disease involving the arch are associated with promising early and midterm results. Result of this study and growing evidence in the literature suggest that FET in acute aortic dissection should also be routine when performed by experienced operators in dedicated aortic centers.
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