The effect of noni Morinda citrifolia L. fruit extracts on the gill histopathological changes of Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus
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Abstract. Noni (Morinda citrifolia L.) fruit has a bioactive compound potentially used as an immunomodulatory ingredient in fish. The toxicity of noni fruit extract is therefore important to determine. This study aimed to learn about the effects of noni fruit extract on the gill histopathological changes of Nile tilapia. The method used an experiment using a completely random design with six treatments of different noni fruit extract concentrations with four replications respectively. The fish were immersed in the noni fruit extract solution for 24 and 96 hours respectively. Then, the gill tissue was collected and stored in a BNF solution at room temperature for the histology. This study showed that a concentration of 18% and 20% (5.2 and 6.0 g/L, respectively) caused severe damage to the gill tissue, to the point where necrosis was found. A concentration of less than 18% (5.2 g/L) was indicated by a decrease in the damage to the gill tissue after an immersion of 96 hours compared to the immersion for 24 hours. The increased concentration of noni fruit extract has therefore been shown to cause more damage to the tissues and organs.

1. Introduction
Noni fruit, also known as Morinda citrifolia L., contains scopoletin, polyphenols, carotenoids, flavonoids, tannins, anthocyanins, ascorbic acid, nitrate, oxalate, phytate, saponins, and free amino acids [1]. Noni fruit is known to contain several active compounds that are capable of affecting immunomodulators, which activate the immune system’s bodily responses after pathogen infection [2], besides being antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal and antiparasitic [3].

Tilapia is an organism used for toxicity tests [4]; it has a widespread population and is a euryhaline [5]. Tilapia occupy a variety of habitats, including shallow freshwater waterways, ponds, rivers, and lakes. Toxicity tests using fish tilapia organisms have had an important impact on the development of aquaculture management [6].

The presence of a foreign substance in the form of the noni fruit extract received by the fish retrieved from the environment will affect the structure of the cells or tissues. Changes in the gills of the fish were one of the most common responses, in which it retaliated against the foreign substances in the environment [7]. A highly toxic substance concentration decreases the ability of the liver to...
eliminate toxic substances, as this organ is particularly vulnerable to the influence of chemical substances, resulting in the frequently damaged and abnormal organ structure.

The toxicity test of the noni fruit aqueous extract using the immersion method was conducted to observe the impact of accidental poisoning from the noni fruit extract in the fish. Deng et al. [8] reported that the toxicity of the noni fruit extract using the Brine Shrimp Lethality Test (BLST) obtained a result that was 76.13% of LC₅₀. The LD₅₀ test results for the noni fruit extract after 24 hours showed that it was not toxic in the fish tilapia at a concentration of 1.42 g/L [9]. The active antimicrobial compounds at high concentrations could poison the fish seed due to the high level of toxic compounds, namely saponins [10].

The role of the noni fruit extract in tilapia is not widely known. This study was intended to observe the immersion influence of noni fruit extract on the gill histopathological changes of Nile tilapia fish.

2. Materials and methods

This study was conducted in the Laboratory of the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine, Universitas Airlangga and in Balai Karantina Ikan Kelas I Juanda, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia.

2.1. Test organisms

In this study, the fish used were Nile tilapia that were 7 - 9 cm in size with an 11 g average weight originating from the Installation of Freshwater Aquaculture Umbulan, Pasuruan, East Java, Indonesia.

2.2. Noni fruit extract

The noni fruit was characterized by a white-yellowish color and slightly soft meat; they were used as the extraction object, as according to [11]. The noni fruit was washed and cut into small pieces. The aqueous extract method was conducted as according to [12]; i.e. 100 g/L of noni fruit pieces were mixed with aquadest using a blender and filtered using a filter cloth. The extract solution was then applied directly to the treatment.

2.3. Fish rearing

The tilapias were reared in a 10 L-volume aquarium at a density of 1 fish/L for each treatment. The fish were acclimated for two days before the treatment was done.

2.4. Treatment

This study used an experimental method using a complete randomized design with six treatments of noni fruit extract concentration and four replications respectively. The concentrations of the noni fruit extract were based on the preliminary trials that had been done to determine the LC₅₀ over 96 hours, resulting in 5.4 g/L. The treatments were done through the immersion of the tilapia in the noni extract solution (0.0 g/L as control, 3.6, 4.2, 4.8, 5.4, and 6.0 g/L) for 96 hours. Any sub-lethal effects on the fish’s swimming and movement were measured by counting the tachiventilation for 24 and 96 hours respectively.

2.5. Histological preparation

The sampling of the fish’s gill tissue was done after 24- and 96-hours. The gill tissue from each treatment of noni fruit extract concentration was separated into different sample bottles and fixated using a buffer neutral formalin (BNF) solution, as according to Junqueira and Carneiro [13]. The histological method was conducted by McCann [14] using hematoxylin and eosin staining [15].

2.6. Histopathological observation

The histology samples were observed under 100× and 400 × magnification using an Olympus microscope (Olympus Optical Ltd. Tokyo, Japan), which was equipped with a monitor. The histopathology was evaluated using the histopathological scoring standard according to Crawford [16], such as shown in Table 1.
2.7 Data analysis

The data were analyzed using the non-parametric statistical method of Kruskal-Wallis and continued using the Mann-Whitney test with the SPSS 16.0 computer software application.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Results

Based on the Kruskal-Wallis test, the concentration of 0.0 g/L was observed to show no damage with a histopathological scoring of 0.00. The other concentrations indicated that they had a histopathological scoring of more than zero, which means that the damage occurred on the gill organ ranged from mild to very heavy. The highest damage was observed in the concentration of 6 g/L, as there was a massive loss of gill tissue in the treatment. Significant histopathological damage was observed in the concentrations of 4.8 and 5.4 g/L, as shown in Table 2. The histopathological changes in the fish’s gill after 24 and 96 hours have been shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 1. Histopathological scoring [17]

| Field Area      | Score of damage |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Normal          | 0 (normal)      |
| Abnormal <25%   | 1 (mild)        |
| Abnormal 26 - 50% | 2 (moderate)  |
| Abnormal 51 - 75% | 3 (heavy)     |
| Abnormal 76 - 100% | 4 (very heavy) |

Table 2. Histopathological scoring of the tilapia’s gills after the treatment of the different noni fruit extract concentrations

| The concentration of noni fruit extract (g/L) | Damage score on observation time |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                             | 24 hours | 96 hours |
| 0.0                                         | 0.00a    | 0.00a    |
| 3.6                                         | 0.75b    | 1.00b    |
| 4.2                                         | 1.00c    | 1.25c    |
| 4.8                                         | 1.75d    | 1.50d    |
| 5.4                                         | 3.50e    | 3.75e    |
| 6.0                                         | 3.75f    | 4.00f    |

Note: Different superscript in the same column indicates a significant difference (p<0.05).
Figure 1. Gill histopathological changes in the tilapia after immersion in the noni extract concentration for 24 hours observed at 400 × magnification. a: 0.0 g/L, b: 3.6 g/L, c: 4.2 g/L, d: 4.8 g/L, e: 5.4 g/L, and f: 6.0 g/L; E = oedema, F = lamellae fusion, H = hyperplasia, N = necrosis, and T = telangiectasis. Bar scale = 50 µm.
Figure 2. Gill histopathology changes in the tilapia after immersion in the noni extract concentration for 96 hours observed at 400 × magnification. a: 0.0 g/L, b: 3.6 g/L, c: 4.2 g/L, d: 4.8 g/L, e: 5.4 g/L, and f: 6.0 g/L. D = desquamation, E = oedema, F = lamellae fusion, H = hyperplasia, N = necrosis, and T = telangiectasis. Bar scale = 50 µm.

3.2 Discussion
Histopathological changes occurred in the gill tissue of the tilapia immersed in the noni fruit extract. Early damage which occurred on the gills was seen at 24 and 96 hours after immersion. Changes in the histopathological tissue included edema, hyperplasia, telangiectasis, lamellae fusion and necrosis. A thin layer of epithelial in the gill was directly related to the external environment, where the gill was exposed by and to the existing pollutants in the water. The slightest damage may lead to a disruption in the function of the gill as a regulator and this can lead to breathing difficulties [18].

The result of the scoring value related to the different immersion times came from the longer immersion in the noni fruit extract, where the greater tissue damage arose. Edema is a condition where there is an increased amount of fluid in the bodily tissues [19]. The extract contained saponin, which was a foreign substance to the fish in their environment. The function of the gill as an osmoregulation organ caused the gill to be highly influenced by the fish’s environmental condition, including the concentration difference in the environment. Edema can cause tissue swelling and inflammation due to
fluid accumulation, as there is an electrolyte imbalance that exists in the aquatic environment [20]. Edema is usually followed by the desquamation of the secondary epithelial lamellae [21].

Hyperplasia in the treatments happened due as a response to reducing the extract diffusion. Lin and Randall [22] stated that mucous cell hyperplasia was due to the diffusion reduction of the active ingredients from the plant extracts through the branchial epithelium, besides the possibility of an electrolyte imbalance response caused by a large permeability branch. Hyperplasia resulted in an interlamellar space, resulting in the production of mucous being clogged. Hyperplasia can also cause epithelium thickening at the tip of the filament, which showed as having a shape like a baseball (distal clubbing) or tissue thickening located near the base of the lamellae (basal hyperplasia) [23].

Lamellae fusion occurred as the hyperplasia spread over the basal cells of the epithelium. This event resulted in the inhibition process of respiration as well as expiration. Branchial epithelial necrosis occurred due to direct cytotoxic effects [24] from the noni fruit extract and concurrently with the changes in cellular permeability caused by the surfactants/saponins [25]. Telangiectasis happened due to blood coagulation in the secondary lamellae, disrupting the respiration process.

Foreign substances in the form of the noni fruit extract were able to pass through the blood vessels of the gills and into the liver of the tilapia. The content of some of the active ingredients of noni extract was characterized as being toxic, such as the saponin, flavonoid, and polyphenol compounds. Necrosis is the low activity of cells that experience cell death, causing a loss of cell function in the area which has suffered necrosis.

Harborne [26] stated that saponin has active compounds like soap, which can be detected from their ability to shape the foam and to hemolyze the blood cells. Saponin is contained in the leaves. Saponin affects the fish, as it was used in an excess concentration, causing a toxic effect [10]. Saponin is toxic to cold-blooded organisms, as it was able to hemolyze the red blood cells [27]; this is a symptom of the toxicity caused by saponin as a respiratory toxin.

4. Conclusion
The immersion in the noni fruit extract caused significant gill histopathological changes in the tilapia fish. A high concentration of noni fruit extract significantly increased the histopathological damage. Damages to the gill tissue included edema, desquamation, lamellae fusion, hyperplasia, telangiectasis, and necrosis. A study on the effect of noni fruit extract on the liver and other tissues is needed.
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