Computed tomography (CT) and ultrasound (US) guided core biopsy in the management of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma
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Summary Histological examination of adequate biopsy specimens is fundamental to the management of patients with non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL). A practical alternative to open biopsy, provided enough tissue can be obtained, has obvious advantages, especially if the lesion in question is deep seated, and might call for laparotomy or thoracotomy. Core biopsy with computed tomography (CT) or ultrasound (US) guidance may be such an alternative, particularly when a spring-loaded firing device is used. Thirty-four biopsies were performed in 26 patients with known or suspected NHL. A primary histological diagnosis was made in 7/7 (six NHL, one seminoma). Relapse was confirmed in 15/15 patients overall. In patients with follicular NHL, 8/15 biopsies showed progression to high grade histology. Biopsies were also performed to assess the nature of residual abnormalities after treatment and to obtain fresh tissue for immunocytochemistry. Tissue was obtained in all cases and a further procedure (two laparotomies, one second needle biopsy) was required on only three occasions. The procedure was well tolerated and there were no complications. This technique is therefore a valuable alternative to more invasive surgical procedures and may be of major benefit in the management of NHL.

Tissue biopsy allowing histological classification is essential for defining the optimal management of patients with non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. It is necessary for establishing a diagnosis, contributing information about natural history, responsiveness to therapy and prognosis. Repeat biopsy may be required to confirm relapse or progression of disease, especially when transformation of follicular lymphoma to high grade histology is suspected (Cullen et al., 1979; Hubbard et al., 1982; Gallagher et al., 1986), and to define the nature of radiological abnormalities remaining after therapy. Immunocytochemical analysis is an important adjunct to morphological diagnosis but requires extra tissue. Furthermore, certain differentiation antigens can only be detected using fresh tissue (Cosson et al., 1984; Pallesen, 1988).

The mainstay of tissue diagnosis in lymphoma is excision biopsy of peripheral lymph nodes. In the absence of palpable disease, resort has been made to more invasive methods such as laparotomy and mediastinoscopy but these require general anaesthesia, carry significant morbidity, and are expensive and time consuming. In patients with intra-abdominal and mediastinal disease, guided needle biopsy may offer an alternative. However, in the past, this technique has been limited to providing cytological material only and therefore has been of limited application in lymphoma where morphological accuracy requires more substantial tissue, particularly to determine nodularity (Zornoza et al., 1981; Buscarini et al., 1985; Pontifex & Klimo, 1984; Webb et al., 1989). The use of a spring loaded device to fire a cutting needle has stimulated radiologists to sample otherwise inaccessible tissue and obtain specimens suitable for histologic examination. The use of this device, the Biopsy Gun (Radiopty Biop ty, Henleys Medical Supplies Ltd, London) was evaluated, at the time of diagnosis or at relapse, in a series of patients with known or suspected non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.

Patients and methods

Patients

Twenty-six patients in whom radiological evaluation confirmed that appropriate tissue was amenable to biopsy form the basis of this report. Thirteen men and 13 women with a median age of 50 years (range 30–86) had 34 biopsies. The indications for biopsy are shown in Table 1.

Seven patients had more than one biopsy. A 66 year old woman with a 12 year history of follicular lymphoma had tissue sampled at three separate relapses. Confirmation of a further relapse was made by second biopsy in three other patients. Fresh tissue for immunophenotyping was needed in two patients, one of whom had had an earlier diagnostic biopsy, the other later requiring clarification of the nature of a residual mass after therapy. An initial biopsy in another patient with suspected relapse of high grade lymphoma garnered fibrous tissue only and a second biopsy was therefore performed.

The platelet count and clotting screen were normal in all patients except one man with a platelet count of 33 × 10^9/1 who was successfully biopsied under platelet cover.

Site and extent of disease biopsied

A mass had been previously identified in all patients either by computed tomography or ultrasound scanning. The site of disease was intra-abdominal in 27 cases, pelvic in four and intrathoracic in three cases. The intra-abdominal sites included the mesentery in eight and the retroperitoneum in ten. One was infrahepatic. The maximum dimensions ranged from 3–19 cm (approximate volume: 94–1,853 ml).

Biopsy technique

Twenty-eight biopsies were performed under CT (25 abdominal, three thoracic) and six under US guidance. The choice of imaging guidance was based predominantly on likely ease of access for the biopsy. Limited confirmatory scanning was performed in an appropriate position. The skin was then cleaned and sterile towels applied. Local anaesthesia was obtained using 1% lignocaine injected down to the level of

| Table 1 | Indications for biopsy |
|---------|------------------------|
| Diagnosis: | 7 |
| Suspected relapse: |  |
| Follicular: | 11 |
| High Grade: | 4 |
| Evaluation of residual radiological abnormality: | 3 |
| Fresh tissue for immunocytochemistry: | 1 |
| Total: | 26 |
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the lesion. The Biopsy Gun was loaded with a 14G needle to ensure an adequate sample in all except one patient in whom an 18G needle was used.

When ultrasound was used, a 2 mm skin incision was made at the chosen site. The transducer was sterilised and under real time guidance the assembled Biopsy Gun advanced to the edge of the lesion. The single handed operation of this instrument allows the operator a hand free to perform the scanning. After releasing the safety catch and warning the patient of the noise of the ‘gun’s’ discharge, the sample was taken. At least two passes were made to provide both fresh and formalin-fixed tissue specimens. When CT guidance was used, the patient was prepared as described above, a 20G spinal needle was advanced into the lesion and a scan obtained to check the position. The exact site, depth and angulation were noted and reproduced using the Biopsy Gun, allowing for a 2.3 cm ‘throw’. The samples were then obtained as above.

Tissue samples were treated routinely. Immunocytochemistry was performed using a well-characterised panel of monoclonal antibodies directed against B and T antigens, on either fresh tissue or paraffin sections. Drying artefact in the former was avoided by placing samples directly into phosphate buffered saline. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. Precollection was not routinely given but sedation was occasionally employed in anxious individuals. All patients were observed overnight in hospital after the procedure. Simple analgesia was given as appropriate.

Results

Tissue samples were obtained at all 34 attempts. The procedure was well tolerated on every occasion and there were no complications. An initial diagnosis was made in the seven patients with a suspected lymphoma, six of whom proved to have NHL and one seminoma. Three patients had diffuse, high grade lymphoma and three low grade lymphoma (Kiel Classification, Gerard-Marchant et al., 1974). A follicular pattern was evident in one of the latter biopsies, suspected and subsequently confirmed at laparotomy in a second patient but the third biopsy could not be characterised beyond ‘low grade’. Fifteen biopsies were performed in 11 patients with previously diagnosed centroblastic/centrocytic, follicular lymphoma. Progression to high grade histology was found in eight patients, with a persistent follicular pattern apparent in the other three. Two patients who were then treated for high grade lymphoma had further biopsies at subsequent relapse, once more confirming centroblastic lymphoma.

Suspected relapse of high grade lymphoma was verified at re-biopsy in 4/4 patients. However, two procedures were required in a man with previously treated stage IV high grade lymphoma with liver involvement. The first biopsy was of a retroperitoneal mass which on radiological review showed no features of progression since the end of previous therapy. Only fibrous tissue was obtained but the relapse was subsequently confirmed at a second guided biopsy, of the liver. In another patient with previously treated immunoblastic lymphoma, ultrasound at relapse included features consistent with transformation from lymphoplasmacytoid lymphoma although this was not seen in the original diagnostic specimen, a peripheral lymph node.

Three patients had biopsies of abdominal masses persisting after chemotherapy for high grade lymphoma. In one, a biopsy showed fibrous tissue only and complete remission was confirmed at laparotomy. Two other patients were shunted to have residual follicular, centroblastic/centrocytic lymphoma with no features of high grade histology and were then treated accordingly.

Fibrous tissue alone was found in another patient, in whom a biopsy was performed to obtain fresh tissue for immunocytochemistry. This represents a ‘false negative’ result but no further procedure was deemed appropriate.

Discussion

Alternatives to open biopsy in the diagnosis and management of mediastinal and intra-abdominal masses have been explored for more than a decade as experience of imaging techniques such as ultrasound and computed tomography has grown (Haaga, 1979; Staab et al., 1979; Husband & Golding, 1983; Ferrucci et al., 1980). Several authors have reported the use of guided biopsy in patients with Hodgkin’s disease and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, in particular using fine needle aspiration to provide material for cytological analysis (Zorron et al., 1981; Buscarini et al., 1985; Pontefex & Klimo, 1984; Webb et al., 1989). The latter technique has some potential advantage of speed in making a diagnosis in the gravely ill patient but rarely provides adequate morphological information to fully characterise non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas; importantly, nodularity is difficult to determine. Immunocytochemistry is also less satisfactory.

Haaga first described the use of a cutting needle for retroperitoneal biopsies, but in his series of 29 cases only four were lymphomas, two of which were successfully diagnosed (Haaga, 1979). Others have compared the use of fine needle with cutting needle biopsies and shown an advantage for the latter in the diagnosis and classification of lymphomas in addition to other benign and malignant conditions (Haaga et al., 1983; Erwin et al., 1986; Goralnik et al., 1988; Jennings et al., 1989; Knelson et al., 1989). Lindgren’s development of a hand-held device for automatically firing a Tru-cut needle (Trumtel Laboratories) represented a major advance, allowing precise control with consequent better specimen size and preservation (Lindgren, 1982). In particular, the speed of sampling with this device avoids the shearing artefact which limited interpretation of needle biopsy obtained by conventional methods. Wotherspoon et al. (1989) has described using this device, the Biopsy Gun, in 24 patients thought to be unsuitable for open surgery, including six known or thought to be HIV positive. A diagnosis of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma with adequate morphological and immunocytochemical details was made in 14 cases.

The experience reported above, however, suggests that core biopsy with the Biopsy Gun has applications beyond diagnosis in those unfit for laparotomy or mediastinotomy. Correct clinical information was provided in 30/31 diagnostic procedures. Whilst sampling error might potentially have been a problem in view of the relatively small size of the biopsies, in fact this was not the case. Optimal characterisation of a lymphoma was deemed necessary on only one occasion when a laparotomy was performed. One other patient had a laparotomy which confirmed the single ‘true negative’ result in this series. In contrast even to the most recent experience with aspiration cytology (Tani et al., 1988; Lillemark et al., 1989) assessment of follicles was possible with this technique. Very large follicular structures might potentially be missed, but this theoretical drawback was not encountered. Primary diagnosis of rare lymphomas may also be compromised by small samples, and as with biopsies negative for lymphoma, open biopsy may be needed.

This series relates primarily to patients requiring biopsy at the time of recurrence rather than patients at first presentation reflecting the referral pattern at this centre, in that patients are usually seen when the primary diagnosis has been made and a stringent policy of re-biopsy at relapse is followed, particularly in patients with follicular lymphoma. Detection of histological transformation at relapse is invaluable, allowing early adjustment of treatment with the potential for improving the currently poor prognosis in this group of patients (Gallagher et al., 1986). The benefits of this technique in patients with residual masses after treatment were also demonstrated, the results substantially influencing subsequent management in these cases. Ampule tissue was available for immunocytochemistry, on only one occasion was the sample too small to allow planned immunophenotyping studies. Gene rearrangement studies could have been performed on the amount of tissue obtained had they been required. The choice of CT or ultrasound guidance is based
on the preference of the radiologist and availability of equipment, at this centre, CT being considered preferable for visualising the retro-peritoneum.

In summary, core needle biopsy using the Biopry Gun has major value in the clinical management of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, providing a safe, quick and reliable alternative to surgical tissue sampling without compromising lymphoma characterisation. However, it requires particular expertise from the radiologist and pathologist to both obtain and interpret the small specimens. Furthermore, negative results may require further clarification. More experience is needed to define the place of core needle biopsy in mediastinal and thoracic inlet disease when the potential risk of haemorrhage and contrast-induced bronchospasm may outweigh the advantages. In the absence of peripheral lymphadenopathy, it is now the procedure of choice for histological sampling of abdominal disease in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.
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