The article analyzes how higher medical education is currently undergoing a gradual but significant change to the transformation into online learning adapt accordingly in order to fulfill the core competencies of medical training and to provide quality education to medical students during the COVID-19 pandemia.

Objective – to study the challenges and opportunities faced by medical schools in introduction of the remote learning for basic science teaching in response to the COVID-19 crisis.

Conclusions. Despite the pace of this transition, both formal and informal student feedback indicated that students have an extremely high level of satisfaction and engagement with online learning activities. The use of emergent technology (e.g., artificial intelligence for adaptive learning, virtual simulation, and telehealth) for education is most likely to be indispensable components of transformative change and post-COVID medical education. These measures could then be followed by hands-on experience that is provided in a safe environment. As physicians begin to use telehealth (phone calls, video visits, and communication over online medical record applications) to communicate with their patients, students should be included (and instructed) in this learning environment. It is likely that telehealth will persist long after the pandemic recedes perhaps even as a preferred method of physician-patient interaction in some situations. Therefore, it is essential that students graduate from medical school well trained in telehealth including technological aspects as well as learning the most professional models of the physician-patient distance interaction.
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Introduction

COVID-19 has caused unprecedented disruption to the medical education process and to healthcare systems worldwide [1]. The COVID-19 pandemic puts people at risk of developing life-threatening conditions, presenting substantial challenges for medical education, as instructors must deliver lectures safely, while also ensuring the integrity and continuity of the medical education process [2]. The highly contagious nature of the virus has made it difficult to continue lectures as usual, thus influencing the medical education process, which is based on lectures and patient-based education [3]. These challenges have resulted in limited patient care due to the focus on COVID-19 patients, which restricts the availability of bedside teaching opportunities for medical students. Consequently, they are unable to complete their clerkships [2]. Medical training through clinical rotations has been suspended [4]. Other challenges include a fear that medical students may contract the virus during their training and may transmit it to the community [5]. Additionally, students are required to stay at home and to abide by social distancing guidelines. Therefore, we must develop a medical education curriculum that provides students with opportunities for continuous learning, while also avoiding delays due to the pandemic [6].

Objective

Of this review paper is to examine the challenges and opportunities faced by medical schools in implementing remote learning for basic science teaching in response to the COVID-19 crisis.

Main part

The pandemic has provided opportunities for staff to acquire skills in online pedagogy and digital media production while developing alternative modes of assessments and transferring principles of learning [7-9]. Online learning during COVID-19 received positive students’ feedback with “an extremely high level of satisfaction and engagement with online learning activities” 1 and brought a psychological level of satisfaction and engagement with online assessments and transferring principles of learning while developing alternative modes of production while developing alternative modes of acquiring skills in online pedagogy and digital media production. 2

that tomorrow’s doctors are “sufficiently trained and supported to deliver essential patient care, even in crises” [12]. Medical educators should “learn from this experience and prioritize a forward-thinking and scholarly approach” to implement best practices and flexible approaches adopted in medical education around the world for an effective transition to online learning and assessment [13]. As pandemic exposed the “flaws” in medical education and healthcare, medical educators should review curriculum using the “bioscientific model of health” and widen the educational approach by incorporating determinants of health (behavioral, social, and environmental factors). Medical curriculum should include “surveillance for disease, investment in disease and injury prevention, and disaster planning” as core components [14]. We must remain optimistic as the medical education fraternity has accepted that “transition is inevitable” and has already started preparing to ensure that “transition can be smooth through resilient educational systems” [15].

As medicine is a continuously evolving subject, medical service is a field that requires continuous up-gradation of knowledge for providing optimal health care to the patients. This pandemic called for a rapid transition to E-education for many health care professionals. Students had been removed from direct patient care activities and lectures. We needed to accept our new reality or “new normal” of learning was moving online to create the best experience possible to keep the medical fraternity on track for progression. E-education platforms such as digital conferences, webinars, etc. gave us unique opportunities to engage with both peers and mentors. These opportunities also helped in offsetting the impact of physical and social distancing [16].

The academic leadership has been placed under tremendous pressure in terms of delivery, access, and assessment of courses; while simultaneously preserving the principles of integrity, equity and inclusiveness, fairness, ethics, and safety [9, 17-20]. The unprecedented use of online pedagogy as an emergency measure during COVID-19 period, with an adaptation to the “new normal” to deliver preclinical medical education, has brought both challenges and opportunities to medical education [21-24]. The main impediments to providing online learning include lack of reliable network infrastructure (hardware and software, network bandwidth) and compatible online platforms that work...
with existing learning management system (LMS). Other challenges included shortage of competent IT personnel to extend technical support, zoom-bombing/cyberattacks on online platforms, increased cost (schools, students, and faculty), time constraints, poor computer technical skills of faculty and students, inadequate infrastructure and resources, and absence of institutional policies, which will pose real challenges for low- and middle-income countries [7, 17-18, 20].

While COVID-19 has thrown many challenges for preclinical medical education, it has also created opportunities – academic leaders with servant leadership, distribution of leadership responsibilities, and clear lines of communication dealt with the situation aptly [7-6, 17]. Online delivery of courses has the potential to enhance student engagement, geographical accessibility, and synchronous/asynchronous learning and assessment [25, 26]. Sophisticated technology is readily available which should provide excellent alternatives to the existing traditional methods of education and learning. The main difference between traditional and technology-based educational sessions is that the latter allow students to undertake the learning in their own time and in their preferred location [27]. By playing the lecture at double speed, most students “get” the content of a 60-minute class in 30 minutes. They can rewind and review multiple times any portion of the lecture they did not fully grasp the first time through. This approach saves students time, provides greater flexibility, and allows more attention to the topics they find difficult. They can group similar lectures together, rewatch them, take breaks while watching, and view the lectures with small groups of other students [28].

Online education programs, according to Ezekiel J. Emanuel, can also offer opportunities for interactive sessions such as synchronous question-and-answer sessions with faculty as well as student discussion groups. With more seamless, reliable technology and, more importantly, advances in augmented reality and virtual reality, it will soon be possible to “feel” as if everyone in a virtual group is in the same room participating in a single discussion. In addition, histology lectures and slides are already largely online, and digital simulations for anatomy dissection are already available and will improve with virtual reality [28]. A good example is Zoom – an online meeting tool that can be used for education. It allows students to either attend the educational session face to face or access it in real-time from any location. It also allows synchronous sessions and the participation of multiple users at the same time. However, Zoom teaching sessions can be disrupted by technological difficulties, particularly in areas with poor Wi-fi or for students in countries with less internet infrastructure. The provider of the teaching session needs to plan for the learning curve required for students to use Zoom effectively, and not assume that all students have the required practical knowledge to use this [27].

The current situation also raised concerns regarding unlimited amount of time spent in front of the computer, lack of social interaction, and lack of real-life verbal and nonverbal communication which could result in a passive form of learning [7, 13, 19, 21-24]. Moreover, facial expression and voice would be compromised in online teaching to some extent. Online learning will minimize the chances of building a better mentoring relationship between faculty and students as well. Nalini Pather et al., consider that the lack of hands-on training in the preclinical years may have serious implications on the training of the current cohort of preclinical students, and they may struggle later in the clinical years [21-24]. It is well established that clerk patients cannot be replaced by online learning as “clinical experience and human interaction are extremely important for the practice of medicine” and online learning cannot completely replace in-person live sessions. Clinical students can be benefited when teaching is supplemented by virtual simulations and computer-based models [29].

Amir H. Sam et al., proposed solutions that pertained to interactive online discussions about cases. In this method, students are initially granted a weekly series of immersive online cases to model a clinical role. They then use an online platform to present a review of the patient’s history, findings from the physical examination, results of investigations, and proposed management plans. Next, the topic is addressed during an online webinar with a teaching physician, and students can pose questions using a specific online platform. This visual interface will simulate bedside teaching [30].

Woolliscroft proposed method to tackle the challenges pertaining to medical education is the use of telemedicine, which has been around for several decades. In contrast to an in-person clinical visit, telemedicine involves a virtual visit, and it can play a major role in teaching medical students and helping them to acquire clinical experience by interacting with real patients, under the supervision of attending physicians [1]. Furthermore, Judd E Hollander found that virtual clinical experience offer advantages for patients, as it is provided with ease and allows for connectivity without the risk of infection transmission. It would be beneficial if clinicians had the opportunity to treat people with severe and chronic conditions, and if the workload of physicians could be reduced, especially during an outbreak [31]. In a recent study conducted in the area of emergency medicine clerkships, students’ provided positive feedback regarding a virtual clinical experience that involved direct participation in patient care under the clinicians’ supervision [32]. However, on the mind of Ahmed Alsoufi et al., this approach requires further evaluation, and more support is needed for its official implementation in medical schools; only 21.1% of the participants in our study supported the use of e-learning for clinical aspects, while 54.8% disagreed about the use of this approach. However, these proposed learning approaches should follow a systematic curriculum that is developed by experts, and which includes the establishments of goals, educational strategies, implementation methods, and evaluation processes to ensure that the intended learning goals are met. Further, as students at different levels of learning have different needs and objectives, such programs should address students’ needs and goals, as well as they university’s objectives [33].

Nevertheless, medical care will remain largely an in-person, face-to-face interaction between patients
and physicians or other clinicians. Consequently, the clinical portion of medical education will remain focused on hospitals, physician offices, patients’ homes, and other settings. The most pivotal aspect of teaching in these settings occurs in the apprenticeship model, in which an experienced physician and student share clinical situations and the imparting of knowledge and learning are inextricably woven into the actual caring for the patient. Medical schools are uniquely capable of organizing these experiences of clinical rotations with skilled mentors. The irreducible core role for medical schools will be organizing and overseeing clinical education [28].

Most of the studies previously mentioned that a large number of medical students, irrespective of their level of training, have benefitted from this extra time by focusing on their professional development and engaging in leadership and volunteering opportunities. Eagerly waiting to be at the service of others, some of us are helping health care workers with contact tracing while others are involved in discussing COVID-19 test results with patients over the phone [34].

Another challenge for the medical education process is examinations. Some schools, such as the Imperial College in London, started to implement an online examination platform during the COVID-19 pandemic for final-year medical students to prevent any further disruption and postponement of student graduations [35, 36]. This form of online examination and assessment was proposed to meet the requirement for board and fellowship examinations [37]. Albeit, it poses several technical issues, such as the availability of specific technical requirements including cameras, microphones, and speakers with specific features, so as to prevent any disruption and bias. Besides, it poses ethical challenges, and several difficulties are encountered in terms of its implementation such as risks of leaked questions, which would prevent an accurate in-person assessment [33].

Conclusions

Taking into account the challenges teachers and students of medical universities encountered, they managed to provide adequate levels of e-learning knowledge, attitudes, and practice. This evidences the usability of this teaching method in a country with limited resources despite the technical and socioeconomic challenges faced. Extensive educational support should be provided to medical students, especially during the pandemic. Our study is also in line with other studies [31, 34] which suggest that adapting interactive online learning lectures by using highly sophisticated technologies along with virtual clinical experience to combine clinical scenarios with similar bedside teaching based on discussions of medical cases. Additionally, the situation should be assessed further to examine whether online examinations can help avoid postponing student graduations and medical training. The COVID-19 pandemic is ongoing and will continue to disrupt medical education and training. COVID-19 has overloaded the healthcare system and has affected the ability of healthcare providers to provide adequate healthcare services [33].
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