Stem cell self-renewal and recovery of intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction associated with alcohol abuse
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Abstract

In a human gut organoid (HGO) model of alcohol-associated intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction (EBD), we studied the mechanism of why alcohol-induced EBD is not readily recovered. After exposure to 0.2% ethanol for 15 days, increased bacterial translocation, decreased villus/crypt ratio, decreased growth rate, and decreased numbers of various epithelial cells were shown in HGOs (alcoholized HGOs, A/HGOs). The intestinal EBD in A/HGOs was persisted for 15 days or more after re-cultivation with alcohol-free fresh media. As compared with HGOs cultured with fresh media (control HGOs, C/HGOs), decreased expression of NOTCH1, Ki-67 and LGR5 protein levels in A/HGOs were restored, at the levels shown in C/HGOs, after co-cultivation with intestinal epithelial cells differentiated from induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells (iPS-IECs). Minimum bacterial translocation was demonstrated from A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs, and the decreased ratio of villus/crypt, delayed growth and decreased mRNA expression and Ki-67 and LGR5 was shown in A/HGOs. Also, Ki-67 and LGR5 were not more after re-cultivation with alcohol-free fresh media. As compared with HGOs cultured with fresh media (control HGOs, C/HGOs), decreased expression of NOTCH1, Ki-67 (a marker of cellular proliferation) and LGR5 (a marker of mitotically active intestinal stem cells) was shown in A/HGOs. Also, Ki-67 and LGR5 were not more after re-cultivation with alcohol-free fresh media. As compared with HGOs cultured with fresh media (control HGOs, C/HGOs), decreased expression of NOTCH1, Ki-67 and LGR5 protein levels in A/HGOs were restored, at the levels shown in C/HGOs, after co-cultivation with intestinal epithelial cells differentiated from induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells (iPS-IECs). Minimum bacterial translocation was demonstrated from A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs, and the decreased ratio of villus/crypt, delayed growth of organoids, and decreased numbers of epithelial cells were restored in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs. These results indicate that intestinal EBD associated with alcohol abuse could be repaired through the reactivation of intestinal stem cell self-renewal using iPS-IECs.

Introduction

In the United States, nearly 88,000 people die from alcohol-attributed diseases each year [1]. Excessive/chronic alcohol consumption causes long lasting intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction (EBD) which causes various alcohol-attributable diseases, including gastritis, pancreatitis, high blood pressure, cardiovascular diseases (stroke), cirrhosis, dementia, cancers, and gut bacteria-associated systemic infections [2-13]. Loosening tight junctions (manifested by hyperpermeability), reduced antimicrobial peptide/protein secretion (linked to dysbiosis), alcohol-induced epithelial cell death, decreased epithelial regeneration after cell death, impaired intestinal stem cell proliferation, and bacterial translocation are involved in intestinal EBD [2-4,11-16]. Under normal conditions, intestinal epithelial cell injuries (for example, induced by oxidative stress and DNA damage) are easily repaired within 2-3 days after the incidence [2-4]. However, excessive alcohol intake (> 20 g/day of pure alcohol for years) induces serious intestinal EBD that is not readily repaired [17,18]. Intestinal EBD is lasting, at least a month, even when patients with alcohol use disorder (AUD) abstain from alcohol at alcohol rehab facilities [18-20]. Thus, any time during the first month of inpatient hospital stay, AUD patients with intestinal EBD have a risk to catch alcohol-attributable diseases. There is no consensus on the best treatment for intestinal EBD [14,17]. Therefore, a unique strategy to repair intestinal EBD is a critical need in AUD patients.

In this study, using a human gut organoid model of alcohols, we investigated the mechanism of why alcohol-induced EBD is not readily recovered. Human gut organoids (HGOs) created in our laboratory from induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells have a recapitulating crypt-villus structure of the intestine, thus stem cells and other epithelial cell lineages are located in appropriate regions of the crypt-villus axis, as seen in adult intestinal tissues [21-27]. In our studies, intestinal EBD has been seriously demonstrated in alcoholized HGOs. However, apoptotic and necrotic cells were not seen in intestinal stem cells and other epithelial cells in alcoholized HGOs [15,16]. Given the potential therapeutic advantages of use intestinal epithelial cells differentiated from iPS cells (iPS-IECs), we investigated the repairing effect of iPS-IECs on intestinal EBD. For reducing the risk of alcohol-attributable diseases in alcoholics who are seeking recovery in alcohol rehab facilities, iPS-IECs seem to be beneficial for the reactivation of the impaired function of alcoholized stem cells.

Materials and methods

Ethics

This study using iPS cells generated form human PBMCs and human gut organoids were approved by Institutional Biosafety Committee of the University of Texas Medical Branch (NU #2018030).

Reagents

Recombinant fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 2, activin A, R-spondin 1, noggin, and epidermal growth factor (EGF) were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ). Matrigel Matrix
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Growth Factor Reduced (referred as Matrigel) was purchased from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA). CD34+ Progenitor Reprogramming Kit, RosetteSep cocktail, StemSpan CD34+ expansion medium, ReproTeSR medium, TeSR medium, Advanced Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) Ham's nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/F12), fetal bovine serum (FBS), and KnockOut Serum Replacement (KSR) were purchased from STEMCELL Technologies (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada). Anti-CD34 antibody was purchased from eBioscience (San Diego, CA). High glucose (4,500 mg/L) DMEM, nonessential amino acids (NEAA), L-glutamine (L-Gln), penicillin/streptomycin, 4,6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole, Dihydrochloride (DAPI), TRIZol, and SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Bromoindirubin-30-oxime (BIO), N-(3,5-Difluorophenyl)acetyl-L-alanyl-2-phenylglycine-1,1-dimethyl ester (DAPT), (+)-R-trans-4-(1-aminooxy)-N-(4-pyridyl)cyclohexanecarboxamide dihydrochloride (Y-27632), B27 serum-free supplement, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), β-mercaptoethanol (ME), goat serum, bovine serum albumin (BSA), and FITC-dextran's were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Cell Recovery Solution was purchased from Corning (Corning, NY). iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix was purchased from Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA). Anti-LGR5 antibody (ab75732) and anti-Ki-67 antibody (ab15580) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA).

**iPSCs generated from peripheral blood CD34+ cells**

To study the mechanism of why alcohol-induced intestinal EBD is not readily recovered, we utilized human gut organoids (HGOs) and intestinal epithelial cells (iPSCs), both of which were differentiated from iPSCs. The iPSCs were generated from CD34+ cells through reprogramming using a CD34+ Progenitor Reprogramming Kit according to the manufacturer's instruction (refer Supplement Chart 1A). Peripheral blood (40-50 mL of buffy coats) from 8 healthy donors was purchased from Gulf Coast Regional Blood Center, Houston, TX. The corresponding protocol was approved by the research ethics board of The University of Texas Medical Branch. To deplete red blood cells, platelets, and lineage-committed cells in the peripheral blood, 50 µL of RosetteSep cocktail to 1 mL of the blood was added, and these cells were separated by SepMate density gradient centrifugation (1200 x g for 10 minutes). Cells in supernatant were collected, washed 2 times with PBS, resuspended with PBS, and added with selection cocktail for 10 minutes). Cells in supernatant were collected, washed 2 times (Figure 1A), as previously described [24,25]. In brief, iPSCs were harvested and resuspended in the same media (1 x 10^5 cells/mL) were cultured in ES maintenance medium (high glucose DMEM supplemented with LIF, 10% FBS, 2 mM L-Gln, 50 units/mL penicillin, 50 mg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, and 10 µM Y27632) for 2-3 days on M15 feeder cells that were previously treated with 100 µg/mL of mitomycin C for 4 hours. The cultured cells were washed with PBS and re-cultured in the first differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 2% B27, 2 mM L-Gln, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, and 100 ng/mL activin A) for 5 days to differentiate into definitive endoderm (DE). Then the culture media was switched to the second differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 10% KSR, glucose 2,000 mg/mL, 2 mM L-Gln, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, 5 µM BIO, and 10 µM DAPT), and cultured for 25 days to differentiate into intestinal epithelial cells. Media were replaced every 2 days with fresh medium. The cells were passaged every 3-4 days. M15 feeder cells were eliminated during the cultivation. The composition of intestinal epithelial cells in iPSC-IECs was revealed by flow cytometry 25 days after the second differentiation, as follows: caudal type homeobox 2 (CDX2)+ cells (enterocytes, 48.8%), lysozyme (LYZ)+ cells (Paneth cells, 13.3%), chromogranin A (CHGA)+ cells (enteroendocrine cells, 11.5%), and dolichols biflorus agglutinin (DBA)+ cells (goblet cells, 17.1%) were detected in intestinal epithelial cells (E-cadherin+ cells) (Supplement Chart 1B and C). These cells were maintained in the second differentiation medium and stored in liquid nitrogen.

**Preparation of C/HGOs and A/HGOs**

Various HGOs were prepared from human iPSC cell-derived DE in 3D cultures (Figure 1A), as previously described [24,25]. DE (50-100 cells), differentiated from iPSCs as described above, was suspended in Matrigel (50 µL), and seeded on a pre-warmed 6-well multiwell plate (four 50 µL drops/well). The plate was incubated in a CO2 incubator for 15 days. The delayed growth was first appeared in HGOs within 6 days. Media were replaced every 2 days with fresh medium. The cells were maintained in liquid nitrogen until further use. The differentiation of iPSCs to intestinal epithelial cells was performed as previously described [28,29]. In brief, iPSCs (5 x 10^4 cells/mL) were cultured in E5 maintenance medium (high glucose DMEM supplemented with LIF, 10% FBS, 100 mM NEAA, 2 mM L-Gln, 50 units/mL penicillin, 50 mg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, and 10 µM Y27632) for 2-3 days on M15 feeder cells that were previously treated with 100 µg/mL of mitomycin C for 4 hours. The cultured cells were washed with PBS and re-cultured in the first differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 2% B27, 2 mM L-Gln, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, and 100 ng/mL activin A) for 5 days to differentiate into definitive endoderm (DE). Then the culture media was switched to the second differentiation medium (high glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 10% KSR, glucose 2,000 mg/mL, 2 mM L-Gln, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, 100 µM β-ME, 5 µM BIO, and 10 µM DAPT), and cultured for 25 days to differentiate into intestinal epithelial cells. Media were replaced every 2 days with fresh medium. The cells were passaged every 3-4 days. M15 feeder cells were eliminated during the cultivation. The composition of intestinal epithelial cells in iPSC-IECs was revealed by flow cytometry 25 days after the second differentiation, as follows: caudal type homeobox 2 (CDX2)+ cells (enterocytes, 48.8%), lysozyme (LYZ)+ cells (Paneth cells, 13.3%), chromogranin A (CHGA)+ cells (enteroendocrine cells, 11.5%), and dolichols biflorus agglutinin (DBA)+ cells (goblet cells, 17.1%) were detected in intestinal epithelial cells (E-cadherin+ cells) (Supplement Chart 1B and C). These cells were maintained in the second differentiation medium and stored in liquid nitrogen.

**Preparation of C/HGOs and A/HGOs**

Various HGOs were prepared from human iPSC cell-derived DE in 3D cultures (Figure 1A), as previously described [24,25]. DE (50-100 cells), differentiated from iPSCs as described above, was suspended in Matrigel (50 µL), and seeded on a pre-warmed 6-well multiwell plate (four 50 µL drops/well). The plate was incubated in a CO2 incubator for 15 min to polymerize the Matrigel. The polymerized Matrigel domes were immersed with 3 mL of organoid culture medium (advanced DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 500 ng/mL R-spondin1, 100 ng/mL noggin, 100 ng/mL EGF; 2 mM L-Gln, 15 mM HEPES, B27 serum-free supplement, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) for 7 days (HGOs, Supplement Chart 2A). Then, HGOs were further cultured with organoid culture medium for 15 days (control HGOs, designated as C/HGOs). The microsopic shape of C/HGOs is shown in Supplement Chart 2B. Also, the cellular compositions of C/HGOs were analysed by flow cytometry, and CDX2+ cells (57.1%), CHGA+ cells (16.5%), DBA+ cells (9.61%), LYZ+ cells (13.8%), and LGR5+ cells (2.63%) were detected in C/HGOs (Supplement Chart 2C). To prepare HGOs with alcohol-associated EBD (designated as A/HGOs), the HGOs in Matrigel domes were cultured in 0.2% (v/v) alcohol for 15 days. The delayed growth was first appeared in HGOs within 6 days of cultivation with 0.2% ethanol, but not in HGOs cultured with 0.1% ethanol. Any significant morphological changes and apoptotic cells in the organoids cultured with 0.2% ethanol were not detected microscopically. During 3D cultivation, media were changed every 2 or 3 days. Cellular components of the organoids were flow cytometrically analyzed for CDX2+ cells, LYZ+ cells, CHGA+ cells, DBA+ cells, and LGR5+ cells.

Before testing their EBD, the Matrigel domes containing C/HGOs or A/HGOs were dissolved by treatment with 2 mL of Cell Recovery Solution at 4°C for 1 hour, then, the organoids in the suspension were washed with PBS. In co-culture experiments, iPSC-IECs (5,000 cells) were mixed with A/HGOs (100 organoids) in Matrigel (50 µL). The Matrigel domes were cultured in the organoid culture medium for up to 15 days.
Organoids in the Matrigel culture were isolated using Cell Recovery Solution, washed, and suspended in PBS. Single cells, including iPS-IECs, were excluded from the suspension by using 70 µm nylon mesh filter and repeated washing with PBS. Then organoids were subjected to analyses. The growth of organoids was microscopically analysed by measuring area (mm²) of the organoids various days after cultivation. Under LEICA DMLB optical microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) at magnification 200x, the pictures (at least 10 organoids) were taken and area of the organoids was analyzed by ImageJ software. Each experiment was performed in 3-5 different preparations of HGOs.

**HGO inoculation in the kidney capsule of humanized mice**

To enlarge C/HGOs, the organoids were engrafted to the kidney capsule of humanized mice [26,30]. Humanized mice are NSG-SGM3 mice 10 to 12 weeks after transplantation with human CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem cells. A robust human hematopoietic reconstitution in these mice has been previously described [31-33]. Briefly, NSG-SGM3 mice, irradiated with 2.5 Gy γ-rays 24 hours before inoculation, were i.v. inoculated with 1 x 10⁶ cells/mouse of human CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem cells. Using human CD34 MicroBead Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA), these CD34⁺ hematopoietic stem cells were magnetically isolated from PBMCs, prepared from healthy donor peripheral blood (Gulf Coast Regional Blood Centre) by Lymphoprep (STEMCELL Technologies) density gradient centrifugation. Ten to twelve weeks after the inoculation, human CD4⁺, CD3⁺, CD11c⁺, and CD14⁺ cells were demonstrated in their circulation. These humanized mice were then grafted with C/HGO under the left kidney capsule (1 HGO/kidney). Grafted C/HGOs (approximately 0.5 mm diameter) were grown in the kidney of mouse up to 4 weeks after the grafting. Then, the grafted C/HGOs were removed from kidneys. These organoids are designated as kHGOs. Light microscopic image (100x magnification) of the H&E/kHGOs section showed that the kHGOs retained the crypt-villus structure of small intestine (Supplement Chart 2D). Similar to C/HGOs, kHGOs were cultured with (A/kHGOs) or without (C/kHGOs) 0.2% ethanol for 15 days. In some experiments, C/kHGOs and A/kHGOs were co-cultured with iPS-IECs (1 x 10⁶ cells/organoid/well in 24-well plates) for 15 days on an orbital shaker (100 rpm) in a 5% CO₂ incubator. These enlarged organoids were utilized in experiments to determine bacterial translocation, crypt/villi structure, and numbers of various epithelial cells.

kHGOs, iPS-IECs, and immunocompetent cells reconstituted in humanized mice were syngeneic each other.

**Intestinal EBD in the A/kHGO co-cultured with or without iPS-IECs**

In the following experiments, at least 5 kHGOs in each group were used.

(i) **Bacterial translocation**: Each organoid obtained was washed with fresh media 3 times and microinjected with 10⁷ CFU E. faecalis (10 µL) using Micro-Cannula needle (BioTime). The number of the pathogen injected to kHGOs was confirmed by the counting of colonies on tryptic soy broth plates 16 h after cultivation of the organoid homogenates. One to 6 hours after the incubation in antibiotics-free organoid culture medium, aliquots of culture fluids were harvested at each time point, and numbers of the pathogen in the culture fluids of the organoids were measured by a colony counting methods.

(ii) **Villus/crypt ratio**: Organoids obtained were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, paraffin-embedded, sectioned (5 µm), and stained with H&E. Stained sections were analysed by Leica DMLB optical microscope at magnification 100x (for counting the number of crypts) and 200x (for measuring the length of the villus) in three different filed. The images were captured by a CoolSNAP-Pro digital camera (Media Cybernetics, MD). All measurements were performed with the program AnalySIS Docu (Soft Imaging System GmH, Munchen, Germany). The number of crypts per field was counted at least 10 microscopic fields of the organoids. The length of the villus was measured from its basal region, which coincided with the top of the crypts. A line was drowning from point on the base toward the point at the apex of the villus. The length of the line provided by the image analyzer was taken as the villus height. From these measurements, the ratio of villus height to crypt depth was calculated.

(iii) **Epithelial regeneration**: The percentages of CDX2⁺ cells (enterocytes), CHGA⁺ cells (enteroendocrine cells), DBA⁺ cells (goblet cells), LYZ⁺ cells (Paneth cells), and LGR5⁺ cells (stem cells) in the organoids were analyzed by flow cytometry. In some experiments, organoids obtained were analyzed for the mRNA expression of CDX2, CHGA, DBA, LYZ, and LGR5, as shown in “Gene expression analysis” section.

**Gene expression analysis**

Organoids in the Matrigel culture were isolated using Cell Recovery Solution, washed, and suspended in PBS. Single cells were excluded from the suspension by using 70 µm nylon mesh filter. For gene expression analysis, total RNAs were extracted from organoids with TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The cDNAs were synthesized with SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using an iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix on a Viia 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The expression levels were normalized to that of a housekeeping gene GAPDH. Primer sequences used for real-time PCR analysis are shown in Supplement Table 1.

**Western blotting analysis**

Whole cell extracts of the organoids were prepared using a cell extraction buffer, and the supernatants (20 µg proteins) obtained from the cell extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE and electrically transferred onto PVDF membranes. Following the blocking with 5% (w/v) BSA/TBS-T, the membranes were incubated with anti-LGR5 (dilution rate of 1:1,000) or anti-Ki-67 (dilution rate of 1:1,000) antibodies in 1% (w/v) BSA/TBS-T at 4°C overnight. The proteins were detected with secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate and X-ray film. The band intensities were densitometrically quantified by ImageJ software and normalized by that of β-actin for the quantification of protein levels.

**Statistical analysis**

For all experiments, n represents the number of biologic replicates (three-to-four wells were collected for each replicate). Each experiment was repeated on at least three separate occasions (independent experiments) using three-to-five different organoid preparations. Quantified data are represented as mean ± S.D. Statistical comparisons between two groups were performed using a Student t test. Differences were considered significant at P < 0.05.
Results

Intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction (EBD) demonstrated in alcoholized organoids

Intestinal EBD developed in alcoholized kHGOs was investigated. Increased bacterial translocation, reduced villus/crypt ratio, and decreased numbers of various epithelial cells in kHGOs were assayed as typical intestinal EBD markers. kHGOs were cultured with (A/kHGOs) or without (C/kHGOs) 0.2% ethanol for 15 days. After washing with fresh media, both organoids were microinjected with $10^3$ CFU of *E. faecalis* and cultured for 1 to 6 h. A large number of the pathogen ($3 \times 10^5$ CFU/mL) was detected in the culture media of A/kHGOs within 3 h of the cultivation (Figure 1A). However, a minimum number of the pathogen (12 CFU/mL, 3 h after injection) was detected in the culture fluids of C/kHGOs microinjected with the same number of *E. faecalis*. In the next experiments, a villus/crypt ratio and the number of various epithelial cells were assessed for C/kHGOs and A/kHGOs. As compared with C/kHGOs, severe damages on the crypts and villi were demonstrated in A/kHGOs (Figure 1B). The length of villi and a villus/crypt ratio in A/kHGOs were markedly reduced, as compared to those of C/kHGOs (Figure 1C). Also, the percentages of LYZ+ cells (Paneth cells) and LGR5+ cells (intestinal stem cells) were particularly reduced in A/kHGOs, rather than that of enterocytes, enteroendocrine cells, and goblet cells, as compared with those of C/kHGOs (Figure 1D). In these experiments, severe intestinal EBD was shown in C/kHGOs exposed to alcohol.

Long lasting EBD in A/HGOs is linked to the inability of intestinal stem cells to proliferate

A role of the Notch signalling pathway on the intestinal EBD in A/HGOs was investigated. Notch signalling has been described as an essential pathway on the self-renewal of intestinal stem cells [34-36]. The propagation of gut epithelial cells is induced through the ability of intestinal stem cells [37-39]. The growth of HGOs was excessively delayed in media added with 0.2% ethanol, as compared with that of HGOs cultured with alcohol-free medium (Figure 2A). Long lasting EBD in alcoholized HGOs was demonstrated by the growth rate of the organoids, when the organoids were washed out with medium.
and recultured with alcohol-free medium. C/HGOs grew up to 2.4-fold within 15 days of the cultivation in alcohol-free fresh medium; however, the growth of A/HGOs was limited when they were cultured in the same culture conditions (Figure 2B). Similarly, HGOs treated with DAPT (DAPT/HGOs) did not grow sufficiently. DAPT is an inhibitor of the Notch signalling pathway (Figure 2C). The mRNA expression of NOTCH1 was greatly suppressed in A/HGOs as well as DAPT/HGOs (Figure 2D), indicating that NOTCH1 expression in the organoids is strongly influenced by 0.2% ethanol. Also, in DAPT/HGOs, the protein levels of Ki-67 (a marker for the cellular proliferation, Figure 2E) and LGR5 (a biomarker of mitotically active intestinal stem cells, Figure 2F) were decreased in the same levels shown by those of A/HGOs. These results indicate that an inability of intestinal stem cells to proliferate and to self-renew is similarly shown in the A/HGOs and DAPT/HGOs.

Improved intestinal EBD in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs

The numbers of intestinal Paneth cells and stem cells were shown to be severely decreased in A/HGOs (see Figure 3D). Paneth cells have been described as an essential cell for the maintenance of stem cell self-renewal [34, 35]. Paneth cells activate intestinal stem cells through the activation of Notch signalling under the cell-to-cell interaction [34-38]. To recover the intestinal EBD in A/HGOs, we tried to use iPS-IECs because these cells are consisting of 4 different epithelial cells including intact Paneth cells (see Supplement Chart 1) [28, 29]. In the first experiment, A/HGOs (100 organoids), co-cultured with or without iPS-IECs (5,000 cells) in fresh alcohol-free media for 3 to 15 days, were assayed for their growth rates (the area of organoids). In the results, the delayed growth of A/HGOs was improved when the organoids

**Figure 2.** Long lasting EBD in HGOs exposed to alcohol is linked to the inability of intestinal stem cells to proliferate

A: HGOs shown in Figure 1A were 3D cultured with or without 0.2% ethanol for 15 days. The area (mm²) of the organoids was measured by ImageJ software. B: After washing with media at day 15 in cultivation, C/HGOs and A/HGOs were recultured in Matrigel with alcohol-free fresh media for 15 days. The growth rate of the organoids was calculated from the organoid size (mm²) measured by ImageJ software. C: HGOs were 3D cultured in media added with or without 10 µM DAPT (an inhibitor of the Notch signaling pathway) for 15 days. The area of the organoids was measured by ImageJ software. D: C/HGOs, A/HGOs, and HGOs cultured with DAPT for 15 days (DAPT/HGOs) were analyzed for the NOTCH1 mRNA expression by real-time PCR. E (Ki-67) and F (LGR5): the protein levels in C/HGOs, A/HGOs, and DAPT/HGOs were assayed by Western blotting. The protein levels were quantified by densitometric analysis. Data are representative at least three independent experiments. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01
were co-cultured with iPS-IECs (Figure 3A). This was confirmed by the morphology of A/HGOs 15 days after the co-cultivation with iPS-IECs (Figure 3B). In the same cultures, the growth of C/HGOs was not influenced by iPS-IECs added (Figure 3A). Furthermore, the protein levels of Ki-67 and LGR5 in the A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs were recovered to the levels shown in intact C/HGOs (Figure 3C and D). These results indicate that intestinal stem cells in A/HGOs are reactivated after co-cultivation with iPS-IECs.

In the next experiments, the effect of iPS-IECs on the intestinal EBD in A/HGOs was examined. In the first step, the bacterial translocation from A/kHGOs microinjected with *E. faecalis* was tested after the co-cultivation with iPS-IECs. Increased numbers of the pathogen were demonstrated in the culture fluids of A/HGOs (Figure 4A). However, the numbers of the pathogen were shown to be a minimum in culture fluids of A/kHGOs previously co-cultured with iPS-IECs. Also, the damaged villus structures were recovered in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs (Figure 4B). The length of villi and a villus/crypt ratio of these organoids were markedly restored, as compared to those of A/kHGOs cultured with Media (Figure 4C). The reduced expression of *CDX2*, *CHGA*, *DBA*, *LYZ*, and *LGR5* mRNAs was recovered in A/kHGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs (Figure 4D). Particularly, the decreased mRNA expression of *LYZ* (a Paneth cell marker) and *LGR5* (a mitotically active stem cell marker) was improved in A/kHGOs after co-cultivation with iPS-IECs (Figure 4D). These results indicate that iPS-IECs are effective on the repair of intestinal EBD developed in A/HGOs.

**Discussion**

Alcohol abuse causes long lasting intestinal epithelial barrier dysfunction (EBD) which is the cause of various alcohol-attributable diseases [2-4]. Intestinal EBD induced by oxidative stress or DNA damage is usually repaired within 2-3 days [2-4]. However, intestinal EBD developed under chronic alcohol abuse is not readily repaired, even when the patients abstain from alcohol at alcohol rehab facilities [17-20]. In this study, using a human gut model of alcoholics (alcoholized human gut organoid), we tried to control intestinal EBD caused by alcohol. Increased bacterial translocation, decreased villus/crypt ratio, and decreased epithelial regeneration were demonstrated in human gut organoids exposed to 0.2% ethanol for 15 days (A/HGOs), without
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any significant increase of apoptotic or necrotic cells. These EBD was persisted for 15 days or more after the A/HGOs were re-cultured with alcohol-free fresh 3D culture medium. This was shown to be linked to the inability of intestinal stem cells to self-renew, because the delayed growth and reduced Ki-67 and LGR5 protein levels were demonstrated in HGOs treated with an inhibitor of the Notch signalling pathway, similar to those shown in A/HGOs. The expression of Notch signal target genes, such as OLFM4 and HES1, was decreased in A/HGOs (data not shown). The delayed growth, reduced NOTCH1 expression, and decreased Ki-67 and LGR5 protein levels were improved in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs. Bacterial translocation was shown to be minimal in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs, and the decreased ratio of villus/crypt and decreased epithelial regeneration were improved in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPS-IECs. These results indicate that intestinal EBD associated with alcohol abuse could be restored through the reactivation of intestinal stem cell self-renewal, and iPS-IECs are shown to be a good tool to reactivate intestinal stem cells influenced by alcohol. Intestinal stem cells are located adjacent to Paneth cells, in a permissive microenvironment within the first 4 to 5 cell positions from the bottom of the crypt, with some intestinal stem cells interspersed among the Paneth cells. The G protein-coupled receptor LGR5 is capable of supporting regeneration of the intestinal epithelium [37-39]. LGR5 expression in the intestinal stem cells is largely dependent on the activation of Notch signalling that is stimulated by Paneth cells through cell-cell interaction [34-36]. When Notch ligands [delta-like (DLL) 1 or 4] bind to Notch receptors, the Notch receptors are cleaved to 2 parts by γ-secretase, and the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) translocate to the nucleus [36,40]. NICD then binds with the RBP-Jκ family to initiate transcription of Notch downstream target genes [36,40]. Genetic deletion of Notch pathway components, including the crucial Notch DNA-binding protein RBP-Jκ, Notch receptors or DLL ligands, causes the decreased cellular proliferation in the intestinal crypts together with secretory cell hyperplasia [41-43]. The decreased cellular proliferation in the intestinal stem cells has been observed in rodents after treatment with γ-secretase inhibitors which block the cleavage of Notch receptors [42,44,45]. In our results, a protein level of LGR5 was decreased in A/HGOs, and it was restored in the organoids co-cultured with iPS-IECs, however, the integration of iPS-IECs into A/HGOs was not...
demonstrated in our preliminary experiments. The results obtained in this study indicate that intestinal EBD in A/HGOs could be restored by iPSC-IECs; however, we do not know how intestinal stem cells are reactivated in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPSC-IECs. Generally, epithelial regeneration is fuelled by intestinal stem cells that give rise to daughter or progenitor cells, which can subsequently differentiate into the mature enterocytes, enteroendocrine cells, goblet cells and Paneth cells [37-39]. Thus, a reactivation of Notch signalling in intestinal stem cells of A/HGOs results in the improvement of intestinal EBD. Impaired Notch signalling in intestinal stem cells of A/HGOs may be reactivated by Paneth cells, a component of iPSC-IECs, because decreased LGR5 levels is restored in A/HGOs co-cultured with iPSC-IECs. We anticipate that intestinal EBD in A/HGOs will be efficiently restored if the organoids are co-cultured with Paneth cell alone. On the other hands, various signalling pathways activated in response to growth factors (such as Wnt, transforming growth factor β, and bone morphogenetic protein pathways) have been shown to be involved in homeostasis of intestinal epithelial cells [46]. Also, EGF and transforming growth factor α have been described as an intestinal epithelial cell mitogen [46,47]. Therefore, certain soluble growth factors produced by iPSC-IECs may have a possibility to support the regeneration of epithelial cells of A/HGOs. To clarify the mechanism by which iPSC-IECs improve alcohol-induced intestinal EBD by reactivating the self-renewal of intestinal stem cells, further studies are required.

In this study, as a model of intestinal EBD of alcoholics, we created alcohol-induced intestinal EBD in human gut organoids (A/HGOs). Because the organoids have a recapitulating crypt-villus structure of the intestine, we think that C/HGOs and A/HGOs are beneficial to develop analytical studies for intestinal EBD of AUD patients focusing on the alcohol-induced damages of intestinal stem cells and other intestinal epithelial cell lineages. In fact, alcoholized murine intestinal organoids have been utilized in some papers, as a model of the small intestine with alcohol abuse [15,16]. In previous papers using murine intestinal organoids, through the impairing Notch and Wnt/β-catenin pathway, the intestinal stem cell homeostasis has been demonstrated to be greatly suppressed by alcohol [15,16].

As one of intestinal EBD markers, loosening tight junctions have been studied in many papers using intestinal cell monolayers [48-50]. Ethanol and its metabolite (acetaldehyde) disrupt epithelial tight junction integrity [51]. In our recent studies, the expression of tight junction proteins (ZO-1 and OCLN) was reduced in A/HGOs [37,38]. After co-cultivation with iPSC-IECs, decreased expression of tight junction proteins in A/HGOs recovered [Supplement Chart 3A and B]. Although loosening tight junction is one of the possible routes of bacterial translocation, we think that the repairing the loosening tight junction by iPSC-IECs is not directly linked to the inhibition of bacterial translocation from A/HGOs microinjected with E. faecalis. In our studies, increased FITC-dextran leakage from A/HGOs was demonstrated when A/HGO was microinjected with 4 kDa FITC-dextran (Supplement Chart 4A). However, 40 and 70 kDa FITC-dextrans were not leaked from the A/HGOs (Supplement Chart 4B). molecular sizes of these dextrans are 4.62 nm (40 kDa FITC-dextran) and 5.79 nm (70 kDa FITC-dextran). Because the size of E. faecalis (used in our experiments) is 0.5-1.0 μm, the translocation of the pathogen out of the A/HGOs microinjected with E. faecalis seems to occur predominantly via transcellular route. Therefore, we consider that the increased E. faecalis translocation from A/HGOs is not simply depended on the permeability increased in A/HGOs. Generally, gut-associated bacterial translocation occurs by an increased number of microbiota, reduced mucin layers, and suppressed immune responses in the translocation sites, and bacterial translocation routinely causes via transcellular routes (mainly through M cells) [52,63]. Therefore, further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism of action how iPSC-IECs suppress the bacterial translocation from A/HGOs.

In conclusion, alcohol-induced intestinal EBD in human gut organoids was shown to be improved through the reactivation of intestinal stem cell self-renewal by iPSC-IECs. For the reactivation of alcoholized intestinal stem cells (or to improve intestinal EBD caused by alcohol), iPSC-IECs are suggested to be beneficial and advantageous, because, in our murine studies, intestinal epithelial cells created from embryonic stem cells were shown to be homed to villi and crypts in the small intestine after i.v. inoculation in chronic alcohol-consuming mice. iPSC-IECs may have a possibility to reduce the risk of alcohol-attributable diseases in alcoholics who is seeking recovery in alcohol rehab facilities.
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