Two cases of cytomegalovirus panuveitis in immunocompetent patients
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A 46-year-old man had a history of recurrent anterior chamber inflammations with elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) > 30 mmHg in his left eye for seven years. He was initially diagnosed with Posner-Schlossman syndrome (PSS), and he had been well-controlled with topical betamethasone eye drops at first. However, the IOP gradually increased, therefore, he underwent cataract surgery with trabeculectomy. Thereafter the IOP was kept within normal range for three years. Due to the development of postoperative capsule opacity, Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy was performed one year earlier. Thereafter exacerbation of the anterior chamber inflammation and gradual development of vitreous opacity appeared. He received sub-Tenon injection of triamcinolone acetonide (STTA), and oral prednisolone (5mg/day). He was then referred to our hospital. He had not responded well to anti-viral therapies. At the first visit, the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 1.5 in the right eye (OD) and 0.7 in the left eye (OS). Intraocular pressure was 21 mmHg OD and 14 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 1+ flare and 2+ cells anterior chamber (AC) inflammation with keratic precipitates (KPs) was seen, the intraocular lens was well-located, and the posterior capsule was widely open OS. Fundus examination showed 2+ of vitreous haze (Fig. 1a) and sheathings of a part of peripheral retinal veins OS (Fig. 1b). Fluorescein angiography (FA) revealed leakages from the optic disk and retinal veins all over the fundus OS (Fig. 1c). There were no remarkable findings OD. Viral infection was suspected, and the patient then underwent AC paracentesis. A polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing revealed the presence of CMV DNA (9.5 × 10⁴ copies/mL) but neither herpes simplex virus nor varicella zoster virus. CMV antigenemia was negative, and blood tests for syphilis and tuberculosis were negative.

We started intravitreal injections of ganciclovir (GCV) at a dose of 0.4mg/0.05mL weekly. After one course of intravitreal injections of GCV (every week for eight weeks), AC inflammation, diffuse vitreous haze, and peripherebitis had developed. CMV DNA was detected in the AC. Intravitreal injections of GCV and oral valganciclovir were administered, and ocular inflammation finally improved.

Conclusions: and importance: We experienced two cases of CMV panuveitis in immunocompetent adults, both of which responded well to anti-viral therapies.
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number decreased to $9.3 \times 10^3$ copies/mL, although FA showed no difference compared to prior to the intravitreal injections of GCV. Administration of intravitreal injections of GCV was then stopped, and the patient was observed carefully.

The ocular inflammation was minimal for a while; however, four months later, it relapsed with 2 + of anterior chamber cells and 2 + of vitreous haze. BCVA decreased to 0.9 OS, and the CMV DNA copy number increased to $2.0 \times 10^5$ copies/mL. Another course of intravitreal injections of GCV (every week for eight weeks) was administered to the patient. At one month from the end of the second intravitreal injections of GCV course, anterior chamber cells and the vitreous haze were diminished, BCVA improved to 1.2 OS, and the CMV DNA copy number was at an undetectable level. After the second intravitreal injections of GCV course, monthly intravitreal injections of GCV administration was performed as maintenance therapy for four months. Meanwhile, no recurrences of ocular inflammations were seen, and FA showed a marked decrease in leakages from retinal veins (Fig. 1d). After the second series of intravitreal injections of GCV therapy, the patient has not shown any relapses for 13 months. The final BCVA was 1.2 OS.

2.2. Case 2

A 63-year-old woman had a history of recurrent anterior uveitis with elevated intraocular pressure OS for 18 years. She was initially diagnosed with PSS, and had been well-controlled with topical betamethasone eye drops. Cataract surgery was performed six years earlier. Four years earlier, diffuse vitreous opacity developed in addition to AC inflammation with elevated intraocular pressure. She was then referred to our hospital three years earlier. She had a history of type-2 diabetes of which the HbA1c was 7.0% controlled with oral hypoglycemic agents. She also had a history of breast cancer and she underwent mastectomy 17 years and 10 years ago. She had been in complete remission for 10 years.

At the first visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the second visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the third visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the fourth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the fifth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the sixth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the seventh visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the eighth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the ninth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the tenth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the eleventh visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the twelfth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.

At the thirteenth visit, her BCVA was 1.2 OD and 0.9 OS. Intraocular pressure was 18 mmHg OD and 16 mmHg OS. With slit-lamp examination, 2 + flare and 2 + cells AC inflammation with pigmented mutton-fat KP's was seen, and the intraocular lens was well-located OS.
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and/or corneal endothelial cell loss, and it has already been known that the some of the cases that have been diagnosed as PSS or Fuchs heterochromic iridocyclitis are positive for CMV DNA in the AC, indicating that they are actually CMV iridocyclitis and corneal endotheliitis.5,23

It is considered that our cases, previously diagnosed as PSS, are also truly CMV iridocyclitis, and CMV in the anterior chamber may have disseminated to the vitreous cavity. In both cases, cataract surgeries had been previously performed ahead of the onset of panuveitis. Additionally, in Case 1, panuveitis developed after Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. Cataract surgery and laser posterior capsulotomy may be a trigger of the dissemination of the virus.

There have been no reports that described posterior segment inflammation with CMV iridocyclitis in immunocompetent adults except one paper reported by Wong MH et al.4 They reported that among 11 cases with CMV iridocyclitis, two cases presented cystoid macular edema, and one of them presented disk swelling, 1+ of vitreous haze, and vascular leakages in FA examination. Although there was no mention of laser posterior capsulotomy, both cases were pseudophakia, that is consistent with our present cases.

Of course, we cannot exclude the possibility that posterior segment inflammations undetected with funduscope may be accompanied in some patients with CMV iridocyclitis.

Our cases were obviously far from cytomegalovirus retinitis in that they had long history of antecedent iridocyclitis and no retinal exudates. Case 2 had a history of diabetes and history of breast cancer those are known as risk factors of cytomegalovirus retinitis, however, both disease were well controlled at the onset of the uveitis. In addition, local immunocompromised state can be a risk factor of CMV retinitis. Michael A et al. described a case who developed CMV retinitis after intravitreous injection of triamcinolone (IVTA) in an immunocompetent patient.5 Indeed, both our cases had received neither STTA nor IVTA before the onset of uveitis.

It has not been revealed what cells are the host for CMV persisting in the vitreous cavity or retinal tissue. Although CMV is generally not a pathogenic microbe in immunocompetent people; however, anterior chamber-associated immune deviation (ACAID) may be considered to play a role in the pathogenic mechanism.6 Once CMV migrate into the anterior chamber (the mechanism how to migrate has not been revealed yet), immune tolerance toward the CMV antigen is induced by the mechanism of ACAID, consequently anterior chamber and vitreous cavity become the favorable environment for the persistence of CMV infection, even in immunocompetent patients.

The patient of Case 1 responded well to a series of intravitreal injections of GCV therapy, which is commonly used for CMV retinitis. Considering that CMV retinitis is one of the symptoms representative of opportunistic CMV infections that develop in immunocompromised patients, systemic treatment with ganciclovir - as we performed in Case 2 - should be the principle therapy, and intravitreal injections of GCV should only be an adjunctive therapy. However, as regards CMV panuveitis that developed in immunocompetent patients, serum CMV antigen is theorized to be negative with infection localized in the eye. Therefore, intravitreal injection of GCV is considered to be the reasonable treatment in our current cases, and the CMV DNA copy number can be a good indicator of this disease activity.
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