Syn-metamorphic sulfidation of the Gamsberg zinc deposit, South Africa
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Abstract
The Mesoproterozoic Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district, South Africa, is one of the world’s largest sulfidic base metal concentrations and well-known as a prime example of Broken Hill-type base metal deposits, traditionally interpreted as metamorphosed SEDEX deposits. Within this district, the Gamsberg deposit stands out for its huge size and strongly Zn-dominated ore (>14 Mt contained Zn). New electron microprobe analyses and element abundance maps of sulfides and silicates point to fluid-driven sulfidation during retrograde metamorphism. Differences in the chemistry of sulfide inclusions within zoned garnet grains reflect different degrees of interaction of sulfides with high metal/sulfur-ratio with a sulfur-rich metamorphic fluid. Independent evidence of sulfidation during retrograde metamorphism comes from graphic-textured sulfide aggregates that previously have been interpreted as quenched sulfidic melts, replacement of pyrrhotite by pyrite along microfractures, and sulfides in phyllic alteration zones. Limited availability of fluid under retrograde conditions caused locally different degrees of segregation of Fe-rich sphalerite into Zn-rich sphalerite and pyrite, and thus considerable heterogeneity in sphalerite chemistry. The invoked sulfur-rich metamorphic fluids would have been able to sulfidize base metal-rich zones in the whole deposit and thus camouflage a potential pre-metamorphic oxidation. These findings support the recently established hypothesis of a pre-Klondikean weathering-induced oxidation event and challenge the traditional explanation of Broken Hill-type deposits as merely metamorphosed SEDEX deposits. Instead, we suggest that the massive sulfide deposits experienced a complex history, starting with initial SEDEX-type mineralization, followed by near-surface oxidation with spatial metal separation, and then sulfidation of this oxidized ore during medium- to high-grade metamorphism.
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Introduction
The world-class Gamsberg Zn deposit is part of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district, located c. 700 km north of Cape Town (South Africa). Due to its very high Zn tonnage it is classified as giant, close to the group of the supergiant deposits (Large et al. 2002). Together with the Broken Hill, Black Mountain and Big Syncline deposits it forms one of the world’s largest base metal anomalies (Fig. 1).

With resources of at least 214 Mt at 6.73% Zn, 0.5% Pb and 5 g/t Ag, Gamsberg is the most Zn-rich deposit within the ore district (Rozendaal et al. 2017) and the end member of a pronounced spatial metal zonation with Cu-Pb-rich deposits in the west (Broken Hill, Black Mountain) and the huge Gamsberg Zn deposit in the east. All deposits of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district have been classified as Broken Hill-type (e.g. Spry and Teale 2021), generally thought to represent sedimentary exhalative (SEDEX) deposits that experienced amphibolite- to granulite-facies metamorphism (Sangster 2020 and references therein). However, a high mineralogical variability, the pronounced metal zonation and significant levels of base metals in non-sulfides (e.g. gahnite) cannot be explained easily by this genetic model.
Furthermore, various geochemical anomalies in the immediate vicinity of the ore district (e.g., Willner et al. 1990) and several studies, which point to inconsistencies in the redox conditions of the deposits (e.g., Stalder and Rozendaal 2005b; Cawood and Rozendaal 2020), all suggest a more complicated metallogeny. An oxidation event affecting the whole ore district between the Okiepian (1210–1180 Ma) and Klondikean (1040–1020 Ma) orogenic events (Clifford et al. 2004; Cornell et al. 2009). Granulite-facies peak-metamorphic conditions were reached at least in the Okiepian event (Bial et al. 2015), whereas the wider region around Aggeneys only experienced an upper amphibolite-facies overprint (Willner et al. 1990; Frimmel et al. 1995).

The ore district comprises four major deposits (Fig. 1) with estimated resources of 385 Mt ore with up to 6.73% Zn, 2.88% Pb, 0.5% Cu, and 34 ppm Ag (Rozendaal et al. 2017). In places, “meta-exhalites” like iron formation, cotéules, apatite-rich rocks and quartz-gahnite rocks are spatially associated with the ore (Fig. 2; McClung et al. 2007; Rozendaal et al. 2017).

The Gamsberg itself is a steep-sided inselberg at the eastern margin of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district. It comprises a large sheath fold that plunges in northeasterly direction (Fig. 2b, c). In the ore district, the Bushmanland Group lacks an identifiable basement because of peak-metamorphic anataxis (Cornell et al. 2009). The oldest recognizable stratigraphic unit is the Wortel Formation. At its base, it consists of a quartz-biotite-sillimanite schist that crops out at the flanks of the inselberg and develops into a white quartzite at the highest elevations of the hill. The top of the Wortel Formation is a thin aluminous schist. The base of the Hotson Formation consists of a dark ferraliginous quartzite with quartz pebble conglomerate and thin layers of aluminous schist (Rozendaal et al. 2017). The Gams Member at the top of the Hotson Formation comprises two similar psammo-pelitic schists at the base and the top with the ore zone between them (McClung et al. 2011, Rozendaal 1986). The Gams Member is located at the outer rim of the central depression within the Gamsberg. A major unconformity in the hanging wall separates the mineralized part of the Hotson Formation from the Koeris Formation. Metabasalt above the conglomerate, quartzite and mica schist of the Koeris Formation yielded a U/Pb zircon age of 1130 ± 35 Ma, which is younger...
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Therefore, the first pervasive deformation of the Koeris Formation rocks has been related to the Klondikean orogenic event. Surface exposure of the Koeris Formation is concentrated in the middle of the central depression of the Gamsberg. The stratigraphic position of the gneisses surrounding the inselberg is still a matter of debate. The Hooogoer Gneiss has been referred to as basement and source of the detritus for the metasedimentary succession of the Bushmanland Group. However, no zircon grains with typical Hooogoer age have been found so far in the succession in the hanging wall of the gneiss (Bailie et al. 2007). Furthermore, Cornell et al. (2009) investigated the Hooogoer Gneiss at the base of the Gamsberg and argued for an intrusive origin with an age of 1149 ± 15 Ma. This would make the unit younger than the mineralized zone but older than the Koeris Formation.

At the Gamsberg, the mineralized Hotson Formation can be subdivided into three units (Fig. 2a). The A unit consists of a thin garnet-pyroxene-amphibolite-magnetite rock at the base, several meters of impure marble and a fine-grained quartz-garnet-feldspar-clinopyroxene rock, which add up to a total thickness of 10 to 30 m (Stalder and Rozendaal 2004). The B unit consists predominantly of muscovite-sillimanite schist with disseminated sphalerite and galena and, at the northern and southern orebody, a garnet-apatite ore of minor thickness at the top (Rozendaal et al. 2017). Apatite nodules within the upper B unit have been suggested to represent a palecto-redox boundary (Stalder and Rozendaal 2004). The C unit is heterogeneous and consists of several Fe–Mn-rich rocks. In the case of the northern orebody, which is essentially devoid of sulfides, these consist of Fe–Mn-silicates, Fe-oxides and minor carbonates.
Materials and methods

Sample collection

Sample material comprised drill cores from four different locations in the northern orebody of the Gamsberg deposit (Fig. 3; Supplementary material 1). It was provided by Vedanta Resources in March 2019. All samples are garnet-apatite ore from the upper part of the B unit.

Thin section preparation and optical petrography

Standard-sized ~27 × 47 mm thin sections of 25 µm thickness were prepared from selected drill core portions following routine procedures, using bonded silicon-carbide papers to final fineness of 1 200 mesh. Section surfaces were polished with diamond pastes on cloth to obtain a mirror finish.

The polished sections were examined using a Leica DM RXP petrographic microscope in plane- and cross-polarized, transmitted and reflected light. Locations suitable for determination of mineral chemistry were selected having immaculate surface finish, no visible solid or fluid inclusions or underlying wedges, and with well-defined mineral grain boundaries.

Mineral chemistry by electron microprobe analysis (EMPA)

After petrographic analysis, selected sulfide domains were analyzed for their chemical composition using a JEOL JXA 8800L electron microprobe at the Institute of Geography and Geology, University of Würzburg. Polished section surfaces were coated with a ~ 20 nm carbon layer in a Leybold-Heraeus AS 050 device to minimize charging under the electron beam.

The emitted Kα and Lα X-rays were analyzed with four wavelength-dispersive spectrometers (WDS), equipped with TAP (As, Si), PET (Ag, Cd, S, Pb, Ni) and LIF (Fe, Cu, Co, Zn, Mn) crystals. The acceleration voltage for all measurements was 15 kV with a beam current of 20 nA. The Mo-Line was used for Pb, the Lα-line for As, Ag and Cd and Kα for the other elements. For As, Ag, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn, the measuring time was 30 s on the peak and 15 s on background positions before and after the peak. For Fe, Si, Co it was 20 s on the peak and 10 s for the background. For S it was 30 s to 20 s and for Mn 40 s to 20 s. The beam parameters for the compositional maps of garnet were 15 kV, 20 nA and a spot size of 1 µm.

Si was included in the analysis of the smallest sulfide inclusions (< 5 µm) in garnet to determine any X-ray influences from the garnet host. Only results with Si content of < 0.07 wt% were considered in the further interpretation of the data. For all elements, the Cameca standard-set SX GEO STDS 1987 was used for calibration. Raw element data were ZAF corrected (Z: Philibert-Tixier (1968); A: Philibert (1963); F: Reed 1965) and corrected element contents in silicate minerals were converted to weight percentage oxides assuming stoichiometry. FeOT represents total iron (oxide) content. Oxide contents were recast into mineral composition formulae in atoms per formula unit (apfu) using the application by Locock (2008) for garnets. Analyses of pyrite and/or sphalerite were recast into apfu on the basis of a fixed number of sulfide atoms.

The lower limits of detection (LLD) were generally better than 0.05 wt%, and are specified in a separate column together with the data. Selected data are collated in

Fig. 3 Sections through the northern ore-body of the Gamsberg deposit with the bore holes GPFD048, GPFD051, GPFD57 and b GPFD028. Sampled core is marked with red boxes
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Results

Garnet

A total of ninety-six analyses were conducted on various areas of seventeen garnet grains, which showed a distinct zonation in their back-scatter electron images with a patchy/streaky rim with large sulfide inclusions (> 25 µm). All revealed a relatively uniform core zone with smaller (< 15 µm) sulfide inclusions (Fig. 4a, b). The contact between both zones is relatively sharp, irregular and does not show any shapes typical of crystal overgrowth (Fig. 4c, d).

The garnet is mainly spessartine but some compositional zonation was noted between cores and rims (Table 1). The former have a compositional range of Sp86.2–89.4 Alm1.1–8.0 Gro0.5–3.0 Pyr1.3–2.4. The calculated Fe³⁺ content on average was 1.93 wt% within the cores (Supplementary electronic material 2). The patchy/streaky rim areas are somewhat more Fe³⁺-rich giving rise to a distinct minor andradite component with Sp86.2–90.7 Alm0.1–7.2 Pyr0.5–2.9 And0.0–3.6. The lower Al contents are in agreement with more Fe³⁺ in the octahedral sites (φ = 3.3 wt%).

Sulfides

A total of 711 analyses were conducted on sulfides from the garnet-apatite ore (upper B Unit). With few exceptions Co, Ni, Cu, As, Ag and Pb were below the detection limit in sphalerite and Pb, Ni, Cu, Ag, Cd and Mn values were below the detection limit in pyrite.

Sulfide inclusions in garnet

Sulfide inclusions are ubiquitous in garnet grains of the ore. Nevertheless, garnet grains revealing a pronounced zonation with an inner core and a rim zone and both hosting sulfide inclusions (e.g. Fig. 4) are quite rare. Altogether 191 analyses were performed on such sulfide inclusions (Table 2; Supplementary electronic material 3). Because of the Zn- and Fe-rich nature of the deposit, the sulfide inclusions are generally dominated by sphalerite and subordinate pyrite. Galena is rare.

A total of 162 analyses were performed on sphalerite inclusions in zoned garnet grains. In general, the sphalerite

| Table 1 | Typical compositions of garnet from borehole GPFD-028 of the Gamsberg deposit (wt%) |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Position | LLD-value | Gams02G9 | Gams02G12 | Gams02G17 | Gams02G31 | Gams02G45 | Gams02G46 | Gams02G57 | Gams02G60 |
| SiO₂     | <108      | 35.50    | 35.39    | 35.33    | 35.69    | 34.96    | 35.33    | 35.23    | 36.00    |
| TiO₂     | <174      | b.d.     | b.d.     | 0.06     | 0.07     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     |
| Al₂O₃    | <86       | 21.40    | 21.20    | 21.30    | 21.26    | 20.10    | 20.16    | 19.53    | 19.98    |
| Cr₂O₃    | <265      | 0.06     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     | b.d.     | 0.08     |
| FeO      | <267      | 3.53     | 4.45     | 3.47     | 4.68     | 5.37     | 5.26     | 5.78     | 4.92     |
| MnO      | <203      | 38.02    | 38.77    | 38.40    | 37.44    | 37.67    | 37.49    | 38.63    | 38.49    |
| MgO      | <72       | 0.56     | 0.41     | 0.58     | 0.39     | 0.49     | 0.53     | 0.46     | 0.47     |
| CaO      | <98       | 1.14     | 0.57     | 1.00     | 0.68     | 1.26     | 1.28     | 0.31     | 0.08     |
| Total    | 100.25    | 99.94    | 100.18   | 100.20   | 99.90    | 100.09   | 99.98    | 100.03   |           |
| End-member (mol%) | | | | | | | | | |
| Spessartine | 87.62    | 87.78    | 88.62    | 86.52    | 87.57    | 86.91    | 90.09    | 89.51    |           |
| Almandine  | 3.46     | 5.78     | 2.48     | 7.42     | 1.85     | 3.52     | and      | 3.86     |           |
| Pyrope     | 2.28     | 1.68     | 2.36     | 1.6      | 2.01     | 2.15     | 0.47     | 1.87     |           |
| Grossular  | 2.98     | 1.48     | 2.63     | 1.77     |           |           |           |           |           |
| Uvarovite  | 0.19     | 0.09     | 0.12     |           |           |           |           |           | 0.2      |
| Andradite  | 3.56     | 3.63     | 0.81     |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Skiagite   | 0.9      | 0.44     | 4.17     | 3.32     |           |           |           |           |           |
| Recalculated (wt%) | | | | | | | | | |
| Fe²⁺      | 1.52     | 2.53     | 1.09     | 3.25     | 1.20     | 1.73     | 1.81     | 3.13     |           |
| Fe³⁺      | 2.24     | 2.13     | 2.65     | 1.58     | 4.64     | 3.92     | 4.41     | 1.99     |           |
Inclusions are rich in Zn (ø = 57.94 wt%; σ = 2.92) and Mn (ø = 2.11 wt%; σ = 1.00) but relatively poor in Fe (ø = 6.18 wt%; σ = 1.87). With an average of 0.07 wt% Cd is very low (Supplementary material 3).

In comparison to the average sphalerite composition, the small sphalerite inclusions (< 15 µm) from the Fe-poor core zones (Sp-C) of the garnet grains are rich in Fe (ø = 7.64 wt%; σ = 1.19; n = 67) and Mn (ø = 3.00 wt%; σ = 1.41); Table 2.

Table 2  Typical compositions of sphalerite from sulfide inclusions in garnet of the Gamsberg deposit (wt%)

| Measurement | LLD-values | K1P2Sp186 | K1Sp597 | K1Sp604 | K1Sp620 | K1Sp606 | K1Sp608 | K1P1Sp129j | K1P1Sp30j |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|-----------|
| Position    | (ppm)      | Core      | Core    | Core    | Core    | Core    | Core    | Core        | Core      |
| Zn          | <411       | 56.58     | 54.46   | 55.34   | 54.19   | 60.20   | 59.92   | 61.64       | 61.10     |
| Fe          | <270       | 7.95      | 8.19    | 7.93    | 8.00    | 4.49    | 4.28    | 4.28        | 4.35      |
| S           | <112       | 33.09     | 33.23   | 33.68   | 33.82   | 33.00   | 33.37   | 33.05       | 33.01     |
| Mn          | <179       | 2.25      | 3.53    | 2.79    | 3.46    | 2.06    | 2.24    | 1.10        | 1.41      |
| Co          | <249 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | 0.05    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Ni          | <226 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Cu          | <273 b.d.  | b.d.      | 0.05    | 0.06    | 0.06    | 0.07    | b.d.    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| As          | <414 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | 0.06    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Ag          | <277 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | 0.06    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Cd          | <321 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | 0.06    | b.d.        | 0.10      |
| Pb          | <405 b.d.  | b.d.      | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Si          | <54        | b.d.      | b.d.    | 0.06    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.    | b.d.        | b.d.      |
| Total       | 100.05     | 99.62     | 99.91   | 99.64   | 99.95   | 99.95   | 99.82   | 100.05      | 100.05    |

Atoms per formula unit (apfu)

| Measurement | Zn | Fe | Mn |
|-------------|----|----|----|
|             | 0.82 | 0.14 | 0.04 |
|             | 0.80 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
|             | 0.81 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
|             | 0.80 | 0.14 | 0.06 |
|             | 0.89 | 0.08 | 0.04 |
|             | 0.89 | 0.07 | 0.04 |
|             | 0.91 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
|             | 0.90 | 0.07 | 0.02 |

_b.d_ below lower limit of detection, _LLD_ Lower limit of detection
σ = 0.87) and correspondingly depleted in Zn (σ = 55.26 wt%; σ = 2.00). The Cd content is the same (0.07 wt%).

Compared to sphalerite from the garnet cores (Sp-C), sphalerite from larger inclusions (>25 μm) from the Fe-rich rim zones (Sp-R) of the garnet grains is significantly lower in Fe (σ = 5.14 wt%; σ = 1.34; n = 95) and Mn (σ = 1.49 wt%; σ = 0.46) and the Zn contents correspondingly higher (σ = 59.83 wt%; σ = 1.75). With regard to the trace elements, there are no significant differences to Sp-C. Again, the Cd content is on average at 0.07 wt%.

Sphalerite from outside the garnet grains (Sp-H) revealed the lowest Fe (σ = 2.78 wt%; σ = 0.73; n = 40) and Mn (σ = 0.48 wt%; σ = 0.20) contents of all investigated sphalerite types, which is in good agreement with its honey-yellow color. The Cd concentrations (σ = 0.08 wt%; σ = 0.03) are very similar to those in both sphalerite types in the interior of garnet grains.

The comparison between the three sphalerite types shows a distinct trend from the core of garnet grains (Sp-C), over the rims (Sp-R) to texturally late honey-yellow sphalerite (Sp-H). A decrease in Fe and Mn contents from the core to the exterior is matched by an increase in Zn as predicted by the mineral’s stoichiometry (Fig. 5a, b). Even though some trace elements like Cd and Co reach detectable contents, no systematic relationship with Zn is noted (Fig. 5c, d).

Pyrite inclusions larger than 1 μm were observed only in Fe-rich rim zones of the garnet grains. Smaller Fe-sulfides within sulfide inclusions from the core zones are only visible in compositional maps.

A total of twenty-nine analyses were performed on pyrite from sulfide inclusions in Fe-rich garnet rims. In general, this pyrite is very poor in trace elements but rich in Mn (σ = 0.40 wt%; σ = 0.17). With an average of 0.53 wt% and a standard deviation of 0.40, the Zn distribution is heterogeneous. Arsenic concentrations are low with an average of 0.34 wt% (σ = 0.69).

**Graphic-textured sulfide aggregates**

A prominent textural feature of the Gamsberg ore are fine graphic-like intergrowths of pyrite and sphalerite, which show droplet- and bulge-shaped phase boundaries (Fig. 6). These sulfide aggregates are enriched in pyrite compared to their local surroundings.

Seventy-nine chemical analyses were performed on sphalerite from seven graphic-textured sulfide aggregates (Table 3; Supplementary electronic material 3). No significant differences could be found between the sulfide aggregates but all of them share a characteristic chemical signature. Sphalerite in these aggregates is generally very poor in Mn and Zn.

**Fig. 5** Various element ratios of sphalerite in and around garnet grains from the Gamsberg deposit. Sp-C = sphalerite from garnet core areas, Sp-R = sphalerite from garnet rims, Sp-H = texturally late sphalerite around garnet grains. Position of the drill cores GPFD051 and GPFD057 indicated in Supplementary material 1.
in Mn ($\sigma = 0.65$ wt%; $\sigma = 0.18$) but rich in Fe ($\sigma = 8.90$ wt%; $\sigma = 0.61$) and Cd ($\sigma = 0.11$ wt%; $\sigma = 0.05$).

The sixtysix chemical analyses on pyrite (Table 3; Supplementary electronic material 4) closely intergrown with sphalerite reveal no significant differences between the graphic-textured sulfide aggregates. A characteristic feature is their relatively Zn-rich nature ($\sigma = 0.21$ wt%) with a very heterogeneous distribution ($\sigma = 0.22$). Its Mn content is with 0.04 wt% lower than in the closely associated sphalerite.

In spite of their close spatial association, the concentrations of Zn and Fe in pyrite and sphalerite are uniform with sharp contact zones and without any internal zonation in the grains (Fig. 7c, d). Grain boundaries between magnetite/pyrrhotite and the sulfide aggregates mostly reveal irregular shapes indicative of chemical disequilibrium (Fig. 6b, magnetite grain in the center). Small Al-rich veins are a common feature associated with these disequilibrium textures and point at the presence of minute Al-silicates (Fig. 7b).

**Replacement of pyrrhotite by pyrite along micro-fractures**

A completely different feature of the Gamsberg deposit is the replacement of pyrrhotite by pyrite along micro-fractures (Fig. 8). Element mapping revealed a progressive decrease in Fe content from a given micro-fracture into the surrounding pyrrhotite grain (Fig. 8c), which correlates with a corresponding increase in S (Fig. 8d). Compared to the graphic-textured sulfide, this transition is rather smooth. Quartz is located as open space filling within the main micro-fractures but the Si-abundance map shows elevated Si-contents in the whole area affected by sulfidation. Noticeable is the absence of Si within the high-S pyrite areas and its spatial concentration between the two sulfide phases (Fig. 8b). Whereas it is obvious that those chemical and mineralogical features are related to brittle deformation, they are typically cut by one or more fracture generations, which show no signs of sulfidation. In places where fracture-related sulfidation and graphic-textured sulfides intersect, the latter cut across the former.

**Phyllic alteration**

In some volumetrically subordinate domains of the garnet-apatite ore, a strong phyllic alteration is noted. In contrast to all adjacent core material, these zones nearly exclusively consist of medium to fine-grained muscovite, quartz and chlorite (GPFD051; Fig. 9). The most prominent features of these phyllic alteration zones are sulfide-rich veins, spatially associated with coarse-grained quartz (> 250 µm), muscovite and chlorite. The sulfides comprise nearly equal amounts of sphalerite, pyrite and pyrrhotite. The areas around the veins show an alternation of layers of medium-grained quartz (up to 100 µm in size), sphalerite, pyrite, pyrrhotite and minor sericite with layers of fine-grained quartz, sericite and sphalerite. Fe-sulfides are mostly absent in the
Table 3  Typical compositions of sphalerite and pyrite from graphic-textured aggregates from the Gamsberg deposit (wt%)

| Measurement | LLD-value | 10K2Sp523 | 10K2Sp532 | 10K2Sp536 | 10K2Sp13 | LLD-value | 10K3_Sp702 | 10K3_Sp705 | 10K3_Sp708 | 10K3_Sp729 |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mineralogy  | (ppm)     | Sphalerite| Sphalerite| Sphalerite| Sphalerite| (ppm)     | Pyrite    | Pyrite    | Pyrite    | Pyrite    |
| Zn          | <415      | 57.20     | 57.26     | 56.44     | 57.54     | <277      | 47.10     | 46.79     | 46.81     | 46.79     |
| Fe          | <257      | 8.75      | 8.14      | 8.84      | 8.34      | <87       | 52.83     | 53.07     | 53.06     | 53.25     |
| S           | <79       | 33.29     | 33.92     | 33.99     | 33.52     | <159      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Mn          | <173      | 0.61      | 0.55      | 0.68      | 0.40      | <244      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Co          | <250      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <194      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Ni          | <217      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <242      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Cu          | <269      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <330      | 0.08      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| As          | <155      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <161      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Ag          | <261      | d.b.      | d.b.      | 0.06      | d.b.      | <264      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Cd          | <302      | d.b.      | 0.09      | 0.07      | 0.25      | <264      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Pb          | <363      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <410      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Si          | <53       | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | d.b.      | <54       | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      | b.d.      |
| Total       |           | 99.93     | 99.98     | 100.12    | 100.08    | 100.15    | 99.93     | 100.00    | 100.15    |           |
| Atoms per formular unit (apfu) |         |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Zn          |           | 0.84      | 0.85      | 0.83      | 0.85      | 1.00      | 1.00      | 1.00      | 1.00      |           |
| Fe          |           | 0.15      | 0.14      | 0.15      | 0.14      |           |           |           |           |           |
| Mn          |           | 0.01      | 0.01      | 0.01      | 0.01      |           |           |           |           |           |

bd below lower limit of detection, LLD Lower limit of detection
fine-grained (<70 µm) domains but present in medium-to coarse-grained layers and domains.

**Sulfide veins**

Coarse-grained veins of massive sulfide are spatially associated with chlorite and muscovite (Fig. 9a, b). Fifty chemical analyses were performed on sphalerite from the veins and their immediate surroundings (Sp-cg; Table 4; Supplementary electronic material 5). Fe (ø = 9.51 wt%; σ = 0.58). The Mn contents (ø = 5.13 wt%; σ = 0.40) vary widely and correlate with those in nearby silicate minerals. Thus, sphalerite with the highest Zn and correspondingly lowest Fe- and Mn contents occurs next to Fe- and Mn-rich chlorite (Fig. 10). The associated pyrite is low in trace elements, except for As with ø = 0.18 wt% (σ = 0.16, n = 26). The mean values of Co (0.07 wt%) and Zn (0.06 wt%) are above the detection limit but with high standard deviations of 0.06 and 0.08.

**Sulfides in medium-grained domains**

Sulfides in medium-grained domains comprise predominantly sphalerite but also pyrite and pyrrhotite both of which are absent in the fine-grained domains. Prominent is the abundance of inclusions in quartz within the coarse-grained domains. We conducted thirty-nine analyses on thirty medium-sized (>100 µm) sphalerite grains (Sp-mg) (Table 5; Supplementary electronic material 6). With an average Fe content of 9.20 ± 0.37 wt% and a Mn content of 4.98 ± 0.33) these coarse sphalerite grains are slightly depleted in these elements compared to sphalerite in the veins (Fig. 9a, b), although the concentrations overlap within error. The Cd concentration in sphalerite is with 0.11 wt% among the highest of the deposit. Pyrite from the medium-grained domains (Supplementary material 6) revealed a chemistry very similar to pyrite from the veins themselves. Only the As contents are, on average, slightly lower (ø = 0.12 wt%), whereas those of Zn vary strongly (σ = 0.11 wt%) on a negligibly higher level (ø = 0.25 wt%).
Sulfides in fine-grained domains

In the finest grained areas of the deposit, sulfides, predominantly sphalerite (<30 µm in size), appear as pore-fillings between quartz and muscovite. Sixty-nine analyses were performed on forty-three sphalerite grains (Sp-fg; Table 5; Supplementary electronic material 7). Among sphalerite within the phyllic alteration zone, the finest grained sphalerite is poorest in Fe (ø = 8.92 wt%; σ = 0.19) and Mn (ø = 4.90 wt%; σ = 0.15). The Cd values 0.12 wt% (σ = 0.04) are similar to those of sphalerite from the coarser domains (Fig. 11).

Interpretation and discussion

Theoretically, the chemical zonation in the larger garnet grains (>1 mm) could be due to changing physio-chemical conditions during crystal growth. The contacts between the cores and the particularly Fe-rich rims are, however, highly irregular, often patchy and in few cases show a spatial connection to fractures within the grains (Fig. 4c, d). Therefore, it is concluded that the Fe-rich domains are not the product of prograde garnet growth but of diffusional alteration from the exterior into the rims of the garnet grains. A potential Fe source for this alteration could be the break-down of magnetite, which occurs only as a relict phase. The observed contrast between a heterogeneous Fe- and the uniform Mn- and Ca-distribution in garnet is in agreement with the typical diffusive behavior of elements in garnet at high temperature metamorphic conditions (Caddick et al. 2010). Although the long-term high-temperature history of the ore district would make complete diffusional homogenization of garnet likely, the observed zonation with respect to Fe points at very low fluid/rock ratios, which markedly decrease diffusion rates (Florence and Spear 1995). Such low fluid/rock ratios are supported by the local layer-to-layer variations in oxygen and HF fugacity developed during metamorphism (Frimmel 1993; Stalder and Rozendaal 2005a).
Apart from the above-mentioned variations in chemistry, the strong contrast between size and quantity of sulfide inclusions in the two garnet domains speaks for different degrees of dissolution and reprecipitation. The primary sulfide inclusions in the rims of the garnet grains dissolved and reprecipitated to fewer but larger inclusions (typically > 25 µm), while the sulfide inclusions within the relic core areas remained small (typically < 15 µm) and underwent this process to a lesser extent. The prominent segregation of Fe-rich sphalerite (Sp-C) into Fe-poor sphalerite (Sp-R) and Zn-rich pyrite (Py-R), which goes along with the change in garnet chemistry and the change in inclusion size, is best explained by the addition of sulfur to the inclusions of the altered zones (e.g. Hannington and Scott 1989). The fact that all three characteristics of the garnet and its inclusions show a perfect spatial correlation indicates that they are most likely products of the same process. The plots of Fe and Mn vs. Zn show that the chemistry of the texturally late sphalerite in the vicinity of the garnet grains (Sp-H) can be seen as a continuation of this sulfidation process. In fact, there are smooth transitions between the Fe-, Mn-, and Zn-contents in all three sphalerite types.

Our findings are best explained by infiltration of a S-rich metamorphic fluid. As the garnet grains acted as a fluid barrier and the crystallization of pyrite buffered the S-activity in the interior of the grains (e.g. Scott 1983; Hannington and Scott 1989), different zones developed within these garnet grains. At the outside of a given grain, a marginal zone formed under a relatively high, though overall still low fluid/rock ratio and high S-fugacity, which caused metamorphic sulfide recrystallization to larger inclusions and the segregation of sulfides with lower metal/sulfur-ratios. The fluid/rock-ratio in the core zones was even much lower and the fluid reaching the interior was already S-buffered by the crystallization of pyrite in the rim. Where no fluid reached the interior of the garnet, core zones with their original...
### Table 4  Typical compositions of sphalerite and pyrite associated with phyllic alteration on the Gamsberg deposit (wt%)

| Measurement | LLD-value | 11_K1P1Sp517 | 11K1_Sp777 | 11K1_Sp791 | 11K1_Sp811 | LLD-value | 11K1P1Py915 | 11K1P1Py925 | 11K1P1Py928 | 11K1P1Py934 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Mineralogy  | (ppm)     | Sphalerite   | Sphalerite | Sphalerite | Sphalerite | (ppm)     | Pyrite      | Pyrite      | Pyrite      | Pyrite      |
| Zn          | <400      | 53.21        | 52.08      | 50.47      | 52.19      | <261      | 46.70       | 46.44       | 46.77       | 46.84       |
| Fe          | <276      | 8.37         | 8.99       | 10.14      | 9.24       | <128      | 53.09       | 53.24       | 52.70       | 52.65       |
| S           | <79       | 33.70        | 33.70      | 33.87      | 33.10      | <165      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Mn          | <181      | 4.48         | 5.07       | 5.30       | 5.24       | <193      | b.d.        | 0.12        | 0.09        | 0.06        |
| Co          | <251      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <197      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Ni          | <217      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <241      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Cu          | <273      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <332      | b.d.        | 0.13        | 0.13        | b.d.        |
| As          | <155      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <163      | 0.10        | 0.10        | b.d.        | 0.20        |
| Ag          | <283      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <259      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Cd          | <307      | 0.10         | 0.09       | 0.12       | 0.12       | <279      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Pb          | <388      | b.d.         | b.d.       | b.d.       | b.d.       | <614      | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        | b.d.        |
| Total       | 99.87     | 100.00       | 99.95      | 99.84      | 99.93      | 100.10    | 99.72       | 99.81       | 100.00      | 100.00      |
| Atoms per formular unit (apfu) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zn | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fe | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| Mn | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |

*b*d: below lower limit of detection, *LLD*: Lower Limit of detection
chemical characteristics survived in spite of the protracted high-grade metamorphic history.

The driving force for the chemical differences between sphalerite types was the growth of pyrite in the inclusions. The significantly lower Fe- and Mn-contents in the recrystallized sphalerite (Sp-R) reflect the growth of Mn-rich pyrite (Py-R) at its expense. Theoretically, the texturally late sphalerite (Sp-H) should have crystallized under maximum fluid/rock ratio because it was not surrounded by a fluid-barrier and this is indeed indicated by the chemistry of this sphalerite generation near the garnet grains (Sp-H) (Fig. 5). The fact that this Sp-H sphalerite is chemically uniform on a centimeter-scale but reveals significant chemical differences between drill cores (Fig. 5a + b) on a scale of tens of meters reflects highly variable fluid/rock ratio and/or sulfur activity on the latter scale and precludes pervasive retrograde metamorphic fluid flow on a deposit scale. These observations are supported by those of McClung and Viljoen (2011), who described a wide compositional range (71 ± 3.4 to 95 ± 4.9 mol% ZnS) for sphalerite filling open spaces in the Gamsberg deposit. Seemingly, this sphalerite type is the product of very short-range sulfide remobilization of pre-existing, chemically heterogenous sulfides. All three sphalerite types have very similar Cd concentrations (c. 0.07 wt%), whereas Cd is below the detection limit in the associated pyrite. This indicates that its concentration in the different sphalerite types was not affected by the crystallisation of pyrite but is an earlier feature, which speaks for a close genetic connection between those sphalerite types.

Independent evidence of metamorphic sulfidation of base metal minerals in the deposit comes from the graphic-textured intergrowths of sphalerite and pyrite. These show remarkable similarities with quenched sulfide melt textures (see Mavrogenes et al. 2001). However, the possibility of partial melting of the sulfidic ore in Aggeneys had been discussed previously for the Broken Hill deposit a few kilometers further west (Bailie and Reid 2005). The sulfides in the graphic-textured aggregates do not show, however, any enrichment in metals with a low melting-point, such as Ag, As and Cd, which is a common feature of sulfidic
Table 5  Typical compositions of sphalerite from medium- and fine-grained domains associated with phyllic alteration (wt%)

| Measurement | LLD-value | 11_K4P1Sp402 | 11_K4P1Sp404 | 11_K4P1Sp426 | 11_K4P1Sp431 | LLD-value | 11_K4P1Sp459 | 11_K4P1Sp465 | 11_K4P1Sp466 | 11_K4P1Sp471 |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Grain size  | (ppm)     | medium       | medium       | medium       | medium       | (ppm)     | fine         | fine         | fine         | fine         |
| Zn          | <406      | 51.48        | 52.10        | 51.79        | 52.46        | <408      | 52.30        | 52.27        | 52.17        | 52.23        |
| Fe          | <279      | 9.38         | 9.18         | 9.36         | 9.10         | <277      | 8.87         | 8.72         | 9.00         | 8.66         |
| S           | <79       | 33.85        | 33.62        | 33.61        | 33.54        | <76       | 33.49        | 33.48        | 33.74        | 33.87        |
| Mn          | <79       | 5.00         | 4.91         | 4.96         | 4.72         | <181      | 4.89         | 5.40         | 4.88         | 5.12         |
| Co          | <252      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <255      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Ni          | <218      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <220      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Cu          | <276      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <275      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| As          | <153      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <158      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Ag          | <282      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <272      | 0.08         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Cd          | <311      | 0.12         | 0.13         | 0.17         | 0.14         | <298      | 0.16         | 0.13         | 0.16         | 0.09         |
| Pb          | <367      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <368      | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Si          | <54       | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | <54       | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         | b.d.         |
| Total       | 99.92     | 100.00       | 99.90        | 100.00       | 99.88        | 100.07    | 100.03       | 100.01       |               |               |
| Zn          | 0.75      | 0.76         | 0.75         | 0.76         | 0.76         | 0.76      | 0.76         | 0.76         | 0.76         | 0.76         |
| Fe          | 0.16      | 0.16         | 0.16         | 0.15         | 0.15         | 0.15      | 0.15         | 0.15         | 0.15         | 0.15         |
| Mn          | 0.09      | 0.08         | 0.09         | 0.08         | 0.08         | 0.09      | 0.09         | 0.08         | 0.08         | 0.09         |

_bdbelow lower limit of detection, _LLD_Lower limit of detection_
melts (Frost et al. 2002). Whereas the background concentrations of Ag and As are very low in the sulfides of the deposit, at least Cd is present in significant amounts and could have been concentrated if sulfide melting had taken place. However, no evidence of this could be found. Furthermore, graphic-textured aggregates with very few exceptions consist exclusively of sphalerite and pyrite, both of which are very poor in trace elements. Consequently, the melting point for those aggregates would be very high. Only a few graphic-textured sulfide aggregates also contain magnetite. Such magnetite grains occur in isolation within the sulfide aggregates and display irregular grain boundaries that indicate their relict nature. In places, graphic-textured aggregates show clear signs of replacement of pyrrhotite and magnetite by pyrite and sphalerite. Pyrite tends to occur as large euhedral crystals, especially where it replaced pyrrhotite (e.g. Fig. 6c, d), which speaks for stable growth conditions and against quenching. The high-angle orientation of the segregated elongated pyrite and sphalerite to the nearest grain boundary makes it highly likely that the segregation process proceeded from this outer surface into the grain (e.g. Fig. 6c, d). The elongated shape of the individual crystals is comparable to graphic textures in granites and thus interpreted as product of slow or limited lateral diffusion along the crystal-growth front (London 2009). This could be the product of low availability of fluid and/or low temperatures, which both hinder diffusion.

Other sulfidation features along fluid pathways, like fractures, show a smoother transition between sulfides with high- and low metal/sulfur-ratio. In the domains of strong phyllic alteration, the marked grain-size gradients and sulfide mineralogy both indicate different fluid/rock ratios. The finest grained domains are likely to represent those with lowest fluid/rock ratio, inhibiting crystal growth (e.g. Tullis and Yund 1982). Sphalerite, which is the only sulfide present in these domains, has Fe (8.92 ± 0.19 wt%) and Mn (4.9 ± 0.15 wt%) contents similar to the sphalerite inclusions in the relic core zones of garnet (Fe: 7.64 ± 1.19 wt% and Mn: 3.00 ± 0.87 wt%). We deduce, therefore, that the two sphalerite types are genetically related.

The more complex sulfide paragenesis (sphalerite + pyrite + pyrrhotite) in the medium-grained domains is probably the product of recrystallization in the presence of a fluid with a high Fe-content and a sulfur activity buffered by pyrite-pyrrhotite (Craig and Vokes 1983). Similarly, the high Fe- (and Mn-) contents in the coarsest grained sphalerite in veins within the phyllic alteration zone can be explained. As these veins are associated with chlorite and muscovite, they must be related to fluid migration on the retrograde metamorphic path.
Previous studies on the sulfur isotopic composition of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district (e.g. von Gehlen et al. 1983; McClung et al. 2007) revealed a distinct increase in δ34S for the sulfides from Black Mountain in the west (δ34S = 16.0 ± 1.6 ‰) to Gamsberg in the east (δ34S = 29.9 ± 1.0 ‰). This had been explained by syn-sedimentary sulfide formation in several sub-basins with anomalously high seawater sulfide as S source in the eastern basins (Foukes 2014). And indeed, the δ34S ratios reported for Gamsberg are fairly close to the global average for Mesoproterozoic sulfates (c. 24 ‰; Fike et al. 2015), reported for Gamsberg are fairly close to the global average for Mesoproterozoic sulfates (c. 24 ‰; Fike et al. 2015), which indicates a strong connection between those and the sulfur content of the deposit.

Based on our new results, the observed trend in δ34S could be the product of variations in the amount of sulfur-rich fluid that reacted with the already metamorphosed rocks and/or a consequence of differences in the oxidation state of the precursor. Considering its stratigraphic position right below the pre-Klondikean unconformity and its Zn-dominated base metal content, the Gamsberg deposit probably experienced the most intense pre-Klondikean weathering-induced oxidation at the time of the hiatus (Höhn et al. 2020) and consequently the most intense resulfidation thereafter. In that case, the δ34S ratios of its sulfides should not describe the primary but rather the metamorphic sulfur source.

The stratigraphic position of the hiatus, which most probably caused the oxidation of the ore, between the Okiepian (1210–1180 Ma) and the Klondikean (1040–1020 Ma; Cornell et al. 2009) metamorphic events narrows down the potential sulfur sources. The rocks in the footwall of the unconformity between the Koeris and the Hotson Formation experienced temperatures and pressures of c. 850 °C and 4–6 kbar during Okiepian metamorphism and thus had been effectively devolatilized prior to oxidation. They could not have been a significant sulfur source. In contrast, the shallow-water rift sediments of the Koeris Formation were deposited after the hiatus and might have included evaporites before the high-grade Klondikean metamorphism. Even though high-grade meta-evaporites are hard to identify, calc-silicate rocks at the base of the Koeris Formation at Gamsberg (Praekelt et al. 2006) could well represent former evaporite beds. Be that as it may, depending on the pre-metamorphic oxidation state a more or less pervasive fluid flow would be necessary, which is in conflict with local observations of low fluid/rock ratios (Frimmel 1993; Stalder and Rozendaal 2005a).

Alternatively, the postulated sulfates might have formed during the pre-Klondikean oxidation. The formation of Zn-sulfate is a key factor in the mineralization of supergene base metal deposits (Boni and Large 2003). For a Zn-dominated base metal enrichment, this can happen without significant sulfur loss. An unusually high graphite content in the Gamsberg ore (Stalder 2004) could have caused subsequent thermochemical sulfate reduction.

Under the influence of the postulated H2S-rich fluids, prograde metamorphic re-equilibration and recrystallization of base metal-sulfates, -silicates, -oxides and –hydroxides should have formed a paragenesis of high metal/sulfur ratio sulfides, like pyrrhotite and sphalerite (Aubourg et al. 2019; Ejtemaei et al. 2014) together with magnetite (Pilchin 2010). Sulfidation textures from such a prograde path are, however, very unlikely to survive a typical metamorphic development with extensive recrystallization under peak metamorphic conditions. We suspect, therefore, that the retrograde sulfidation described in this study was merely the continuation of sulfidation that had commenced already on the prograde metamorphic path. The graphic-textured aggregates, sulfidation products in close spatial association to fractures and the phyllic alteration can all be assigned to temperatures <450 °C. The phyllic alteration represents the highest temperatures and highest fluid/rock ratios with a well-buffered fluid close to the boundary of the stability fields of pyrite and pyrrhotite. This was followed by sulfidation along fractures and then the development of the graphic sulfide textures, reflecting the lowest fluid/rock ratio and lowest metamorphic temperatures. Only under these late metamorphic conditions, the well-documented high sulfidation state with Fe-disulfides like pyrite replacing peak metamorphic pyrrhotite and magnetite (e.g. Qian et al. 2009) was reached. The observed sulfidation features are still preserved because they formed under decreasing temperatures on the retrograde path. If they had formed on the prograde path, they would not have survived bearing in mind the overall long-lasting high-temperature history of the area.

Even though the amount of fluid liberated during retrograde metamorphism is generally much lower than along the prograde path (e.g. Yardley et al. 2000), uplift and exhumation of metamorphic rocks can trigger locally higher fluid accessibility by fracturing of wallrocks (Henley et al. 1976; Vry et al. 2010). These processes could have provided the fluids necessary for the retrograde metamorphic small-scale continuation of the sulfidation.

The suggested metamorphic sulfidation fills a hitherto unexplained gap in the complex metallogenetic history of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district. The position of the orebodies only a few meters below a major unconformity and Cu isotopic characteristics of the orebodies from Black Mountain and Broken Hill (Höhn et al. 2020) indicate a pre-Klondikean oxidation event. A phase of strong oxidative chemical weathering on a pre-Klondikean paleosurface is supported by the widespread occurrence of peraluminous rocks around Aggeneys (Willner et al. 1990).
Our findings suggest that sulfur-rich metamorphic fluids suggested in this study provides the link between this oxidation event and the currently reduced, high sulfidation state of the deposits in the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore-district.

Conclusions

- The data presented in this study show that graphic textured sulfides previously interpreted as quenched sulfidic melts are more likely a late, low-temperature metamorphic sulfidation feature. This explains not only the lack of elements associated with low-melting point in these sulfides but also the preservation of those textures, which in case of a quenched sulfidic melt should have been destroyed in the course of metamorphic recrystallization under high-grade conditions.

- The data obtained from the analyses of sulfide inclusions in garnet provide further evidence of retrograde sulfidation. In this context, the microfracture-related replacement of pyrrhotite by pyrite may also speak in favor of this process. Assuming a typical metamorphic history, this process can be seen as continuation of an even more intense prograde sulfidation, when the vast majority of H₂S-rich fluids was liberated, possibly from sulfates in the oxidation zone or from meta-evaporites in the overlying Koeris Formation. Considering the stratigraphic position of the sulfidic orebodies of the Aggeneys-Gamsberg ore district only meters below a major pre-Klondikean unconformity, it is highly probable that they had been exposed to oxidation during this pre-Klondikean hiatus. This opens up the possibility that the metamorphic sulfidation suggested here affected not only previously existing sulfides with a lower sulfidation state but also supergene alteration products such as base metal silicates, -oxides and –hydroxides. Such reduction/sulfidation of previously oxidized base metal minerals would also explain recently obtained Cu isotope ratios of the different deposits presented by Höhn et al. (2020).

- Our findings suggest that sulfur-rich metamorphic fluids were able to (re-)sulfidize base metal-rich rocks on a deposit scale and thereby to camouflage previous oxidation as expected beneath a chemically weathered land surface. This sheds new light on the metallogenic history of high-grade metamorphic sulfide deposits, especially Broken Hill-type deposits, which are full of apparent redox-inconsistencies and in some cases show a base metal distribution that cannot be explained solely by the metamorphism of a former SEDEX deposit.
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