WASP and SCAR are evolutionarily conserved in actin-filled pseudopod-based motility
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Diverse eukaryotic cells crawl through complex environments using distinct modes of migration. To understand the underlying mechanisms and their evolutionary relationships, we must define each mode and identify its phenotypic and molecular markers. In this study, we focus on a widely dispersed migration mode characterized by dynamic actin-filled pseudopods that we call “α-motility.” Mining genomic data reveals a clear trend: only organisms with both WASP and SCAR/WAVE—activators of branched actin assembly—make actin-filled pseudopods. Although SCAR has been shown to drive pseudopod formation, WASP’s role in this process is controversial. We hypothesize that these genes collectively represent a genetic signature of α-motility because both are used for pseudopod formation. WASP depletion from human neutrophils confirms that both proteins are involved in explosive actin polymerization, pseudopod formation, and cell migration. WASP and WAVE also colocalize to dynamic signaling structures. Moreover, retention of WASP together with SCAR correctly predicts α-motility in disease-causing chytrid fungi, which we show crawl at >30 µm/min with actin-filled pseudopods. By focusing on one migration mode in many eukaryotes, we identify a genetic marker of pseudopod formation, the morphological feature of α-motility, providing evidence for a widely distributed mode of cell crawling with a single evolutionary origin.

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells move using several distinct modes of locomotion, including crawling and flagella-driven swimming. The stereotyped architecture of flagella and the conservation of their protein components make the evolutionary conservation of cell swimming clear. In contrast, “crawling motility” is a collection of distinct processes whose evolutionary relationships are not well understood (Rodriguez et al., 2005; Lämmermann and Sixt, 2009; Paluch and Raz, 2013). Some crawling cells require dedicated adhesion molecules to make specific, high-affinity contacts with their surroundings, whereas other cells rely on weaker, nonspecific interactions. Crawling cells also use different mechanisms to advance their leading edge, either assembling polymerized actin networks to push the plasma membrane forward or detaching the membrane from the underlying cytoskeleton to form a rapidly expanding bleb. Furthermore, some cell types have been shown to use contractile forces to generate forward movement (Lämmermann et al., 2008; Bergert et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015). Different cells can also use different sets of molecules to drive similar modes of crawling. In an extreme example, nematode sperm have evolved a method of crawling in which polymer assembly advances the leading-edge membrane, but in these cells, the force-generating polymer networks are composed of major sperm protein rather than actin (Rodriguez et al., 2005). Given this variety of crawling behaviors, it is clear that one cannot simply assume that the underlying molecular mechanisms are the same.

The best-understood mode of crawling is the slow (1–10 µm/h) creeping of adherent animal cells, including fibroblasts and epithelial cells (Petrie and Yamada, 2015). These cells move by extending across a surface a sheet-like protrusion called a lamellipodium while also gripping substrate molecules using integrins, which are often clustered into large focal adhesions. Although clinically and physiologically important, this form of adhesion-based crawling is unique to the animal lineage and is largely restricted to molecular highways formed by the extracellular matrix.

In contrast, many motile cells—including free-living amoebae and human immune cells—make 3D actin-filled pseudopods and navigate complex environments at speeds exceeding 20 µm/min (100–1,000× faster than fibroblasts) without forming specific molecular adhesions (Buennemann et al., 2010; Butler et al., 2010). Although this mode of fast cell crawling has been called “ameboid motility,” this term is also used to describe a range of behaviors, including cell motility that relies on membrane blebs rather than actin-filled pseudopods (Lämmermann and Sixt, 2009).
To narrow our focus, we use the term “α-motility” specifically to describe cell crawling that is characterized by: (i) highly dynamic 3D pseudopods at the leading edge that are filled with branched actin networks assembled by the Arp2/3 complex; (ii) fast migration typically on the order of tens of μm/min; and (iii) the absence of specific, high-affinity adhesions to the extracellular environment. This independence from specific molecular adhesions separates α-motility from the adhesion-based motility of fibroblasts and epithelial cells. Furthermore, the use of pseudopods discriminates it from the fast bleb-based motility adopted by fibroblasts in environments that preclude adhesion formation (Liu et al., 2015; Ruprecht et al., 2015). Some organisms using α-motility may also use additional methods of generating forward movement, such as contractility, retrograde flow, and/or blebbing (Yoshida and Soldati, 2006; Lämmermann et al., 2008; Bergert et al., 2012), but in this study, we focus on a single phenotype readily observable in diverse species, including nonmodel organisms.

Organisms with cells capable of α-motility appear throughout the eukaryotic tree, and we hypothesize that this form of locomotion reflects a single, discrete process that arose early in eukaryotic evolution and has been conserved. If this hypothesis is correct, then elements of this ancient process—specific molecules and mechanisms—may be conserved and still associated with cell crawling in distantly related organisms that use α-motility. Such molecular remnants would help to unravel the evolutionary history of cell locomotion and might enable us to predict the existence of specific modes of motility in poorly characterized species. Identifying genes associated with a process such as α-motility is not trivial because the core machinery driving pseudopod formation (e.g., actin and the Arp2/3 complex) is shared with other cellular processes, including some types of endocytosis (Winter et al., 1997). The participation of these proteins in multiple essential processes likely explains their ubiquity in the eukaryotic family tree. Actin, for example, is found in the genomes of all eukaryotes and, based on phylogenetic analysis, is widely accepted to have been present in the eukaryotic ancestor (Goodson and Hawse, 2002). The Arp2/3 complex is also highly conserved (Beltzner and Pollard, 2004). It is present in the genomes of all sequenced eukaryotes except the parasitic protist Giardia intestinalis, whose lineage either split off before the evolution of the Arp2/3 complex or lost it, depending on the placement of the root of the eukaryotic tree (Paredez et al., 2011). The ubiquity and multifunctionality of actin and the Arp2/3 complex make them difficult to use as markers for tracing the evolutionary history of α-motility.

We therefore turned our attention to upstream regulators of actin assembly. There are several nucleation-promoting factors that stimulate branched actin network assembly by the Arp2/3 complex in response to various upstream cellular signals (Rottner et al., 2010). Some of these Arp2/3 activators are restricted to specific eukaryotic lineages, particularly multicellular animals that evolved JMY and WHAMM families of Arp2/3 activators, whereas other activators are more widely distributed (Veltman and Insall, 2010; Kollmar et al., 2012). For example, WASP and SCAR (also known as WAVE) are widely conserved Arp2/3 activators that respond to different signaling cascades (Rohatgi et al., 1999; Moreau et al., 2000; Koronakis et al., 2011) and promote different levels of Arp2/3 activity (Zalewsky et al., 2001). Multiple published phylogenetic analyses of WASP and SCAR gene families suggest that both genes are ancient and likely to have been present in the eukaryotic ancestor (Veltman and Insall, 2010; Kollmar et al., 2012). However, neither family is found in all eukaryotic lineages, making them appealing candidates for genetic markers of α-motility.

SCAR is generally accepted to play a major role in the formation of protrusions used for cell motility (Miki et al., 1998; Steffen et al., 2004; Weiner et al., 2006; Veltman et al., 2012). In contrast, the involvement of WASP genes (particularly the two mammalian homologues WASP and N-WASP) in cell crawling is less clear. N-WASP is ubiquitously expressed in mammals and is dispensable for lamellipodia or filopodia formation by adherent fibroblasts (Lommel et al., 2001; Snapper et al., 2001; Sarmiento et al., 2008), which has led many researchers to discount a role for any WASP protein in protrusions or motility (Small and Rottner, 2010; Veltman and Insall, 2010). Mammalian WASP, however, is expressed only in blood cells, where it has been shown to be involved in migration and pseudopod formation (Badolato et al., 1998; Burns et al., 2001; Jones et al., 2002, 2013; Shi et al., 2009; Ishihara et al., 2012). Further evidence for a role of WASP in cell migration comes from the handful of papers studying WASP in nonmammalian cells (Veltman et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2016; and see Tables S1 and S2 for an annotated bibliography of the 29 papers on WASP and N-WASP relating to cell migration summarized here). The use of WASP by highly motile cells but not by adherent fibroblasts may therefore reflect unique requirements for α-motility.

To understand the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton during pseudopod formation, we exploit the diversity of organisms that use α-motility. By comparing the genomes of many eukaryotes, we find that organisms with genes encoding both WASP and SCAR make pseudopods, and organisms that do not build pseudopods have lost either or both Arp2/3 activators. We validate this molecular signature using a negative test (depleting the protein disrupts pseudopod formation in well-studied cells) as well as a positive test (a new prediction of α-motility in a little-studied organism). Differentiating α-motility from slow/adhesive cell migration helps clarify the confusion over WASP’s importance in cell motility and shifts the major question from whether WASP or SCAR is required for motility in a given single cell type to how WASP and SCAR work together to construct and maintain pseudopods in many species. The retention of WASP and SCAR by organisms that form pseudopods represents the first molecular support, to our knowledge, for a single origin of this widespread form of cell motility in an ancestor of extant eukaryotes (see Fig. 8 for summary).

Results
Evolutionary retention of both WASP and SCAR correlates with pseudopod formation
To trace the evolutionary history of α-motility, we first determined which sequenced eukaryotic organisms might use α-motility. The obvious structural features associated with α-motility are dynamic, actin-filled pseudopods. In addition to α-motility, some organisms use these structures for feeding. Pseudopods used for feeding and α-motility share so many structural and signaling components that unless specific receptors and/or prey are known to be involved, they are largely indistinguishable (Heinrich and Lee, 2011). Therefore, we combed the literature for references to organisms with cells that form pseudopods for feeding and/or α-motility. Eukaryotic phyla fall into at least six large clades, and species with sequenced genomes and that form pseudopods can be found in most (see Fig. 8 and Table 1).
On to this map of the phylogenetic distribution of pseudopods we overlaid the conservation of WASP and SCAR/WAVE genes, using a recently published manually curated database of nucleation-promoting factors from genomes spanning eukaryotic diversity (Kollmar et al., 2012). Multiple analyses have concluded that both WASP and SCAR were present in the last common ancestor of eukaryotes (Veltman and Insall, 2010; Kollmar et al., 2012) and therefore argue that a lack of either gene reflects loss during evolution.

To understand whether these gene loss events reveal a significant pattern, we compared the conservation of individual nucleation-promoting factors across large evolutionary distances with the ability to assemble pseudopods. We identified a correlation between the conservation of WASP and SCAR and pseudopod formation (see Fig. 8 and Table 1).

For example, no plant cells build pseudopods, and no sequenced plant genomes contain a WASP orthologue. Similarly, multicellular fungi—the dikarya—lack SCAR and are also not known to build pseudopods. Conversely, almost all sequenced genomes of Amoebozoan species (including dictyostelids) encode orthologues of WASP and SCAR, and almost all move with the help of dynamic, actin-rich pseudopods. A potential counterexample is the amoeba Entamoeba histolytica, which lacks both WASP and SCAR but forms Arp2/3-dependent phagocytic “food cups” to engulf bacteria (Babuta et al., 2015). The absence of both genes indicates that Entamoeba must use another Arp2/3 activation system for protrusions, an idea supported by its use of blebs and not pseudopods for motility (Maugis et al., 2010). But the most glaring exception to the correlation was a pair of little-studied species of chytrid fungi that retain both nucleation-promoting factors but are not known to build pseudopods: Allomyces macrognymus and Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd).

We took a two-pronged approach to testing our hypothesis that retention of WASP together with SCAR serves as a molecular signature of pseudopod formation. First, we took the more traditional approach and confirmed that both genes are involved in pseudopod formation in mammalian cells. We followed this with an evolution-based approach by verifying the ability of this molecular signature to predict the capacity for pseudopod formation in chytrid fungi.

**WASP and SCAR localize to the same dynamic arcs within pseudopods of human neutrophils**

Our evolutionary evidence indicates that WASP and SCAR may both be required to build pseudopods. To test this hypothesis

---

**Table 1. SCAR and WASP orthologues for indicated species (Kollmar et al., 2012) for protein sequences (except for orthologues in Sarracenia rosea, which we identified via BLAST, NCBI identifiers indicated). See also Fig. 8.**

| Species       | Group           | SCAR            | WASP          | Pseudopod reference                      |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|
| *H. sapiens*  | Animals (opisthokont) | WAVE1, WAVE2, WAVE3 | WASP, N-WASP  | Ramsey, 1972                               |
| *M. brevicollis* | Choanoflagellate (orthologues in S. rusea) | Mb-WAVE [S. rusea: XP_004994362] | Mb-WASP (S. rusea: XP_004997564) | Pseudopod-based feeding has been observed in all choanoflagellate families (Pettitt et al., 2002); for detailed analysis of pseudopods of S. rosea see Dayel and King, 2014 |
| C. owczarzaki | Opisthokont      | Co-WAVE         | Co-WASP       | Hertel et al., 2002                      |
| A. nidulans   | Fungi (opisthokont) | n.f.            | En-WASP       | n.f.                                     |
| B. dendrobatidis | Fungi (opisthokont) | Bad_b-WAVE      | Bad_b-WASP    | this work                                 |
| A. macrogynus | Fungi (opisthokont) | Alm-WAVE        | Alm-WASP_A, Alm-WASP_B | n.f.                                     |
| D. discoideum | Amoebozoan       | SCAR1           | Dd-WASP       | Raper, 1935                              |
| E. histolytica | Amoebozoan       | n.f.            | n.f.          | Bowers and Korn, 1968                    |
| A. castellanii | Amoebozoan       | Ac-WAVE         | Ac-WASP_A, Ac-WASP_B, Ac-WASP_C | n.f.                                     |
| T. trahens++  | Apsusozoa        | Tc-WAVE         | Tc-WASP       | Cavalier-Smith and Chao, 2010            |
| A. thaliana   | Plant            | SCAR1, SCAR2, SCAR3, SCAR4, SCAR-like domain-containing protein | n.f.       | n.f.                                     |
| P. ramorum    | Stramenopile (SAR) | n.f.            | n.f.          | n.f.                                     |
| B. natans     | Rhizarian (SAR)  | n.f.            | Bin-WASP_A, Bin-WASP_B | n.f.                                     |
| P. falciparum | Alveolate (SAR)  | n.f.            | n.f.          | n.f.                                     |
| T. thermophila | Alveolate (SAR)  | n.f.            | Ti-WASP_A, Ti-WASP_B | n.f.                                     |
| E. hexlayi    | Haplophyte       | Hap-WASP        | Emi-WASP      | n.f.                                     |
| N. gruberi    | Heterolobosean   | Ng-WAVE_A, Ng-WAVE_B | Ng-WASP_A, Ng-WASP_B, Ng-WASP_C, Ng-WASP_D | Fulton, 1970                              |
| G. lambia     | Diplomonad       | n.f.            | n.f.          | Kusdian et al., 2013                     |
| T. vaginalis  | Parabasalid      | Tv_o-WAVE_A, Tv_o-WAVE_B, Tv_o-WAVE_C, Tv_o-WAVE_D, Tv_o-WAVE_E, Tv_o-WAVE_F, Tv_o-WAVE_G, Tv_o-WAVE_H, Tv_o-WAVE_I, Tv_o-WAVE_J, Tv_o-WAVE_K | n.f.       | n.f.                                     |

Example references for observations of pseudopods are given. Cases where genes or references to cells with active pseudopods were not found are indicated by “n.f.” See also Fig. 8.
directly, we turned to human cell lines capable of forming pseudopods. HL-60 cells are derived from an acute myeloid leukemia (Collins et al., 1977) and retain many features of hematopoietic cells, including expression of hematopoietic WASP and the capacity to differentiate into fast-migrating neutrophils with dynamic pseudopods (Collins et al., 1978).

To follow the dynamics of WASP localization in live cells, we created an HL-60 line stably expressing full-length WASP fused at the N terminus to the red fluorescent protein TagRFP-T. By confocal fluorescence microscopy, TagRFP-WASP concentrated in two distinct locations within migrating HL-60 cells: punctate foci distributed throughout the cell and a broad zone near the leading edge (Fig. S1 A).

A previous study has shown that the SCAR regulatory complex localizes to fast-moving anterograde “waves” that break against the leading edge of actively migrating HL-60 cells (Weiner et al., 2007). This localization pattern is most easily observed using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy, which illuminates a ~100-nm thick region of the cell near the ventral surface (Axelrod, 1981). Using TIRF microscopy on rapidly migrating HL-60 cells, we observed that TagRFP-WASP concentrates near the leading edge in linear arcs that move in an anterograde direction similar to previously observed patterns of the SCAR regulatory complex (Weiner et al., 2007).

To see whether WASP and SCAR travel together in the same waves, we introduced TagRFP-WASP into cells expressing YFP-Hem1, a core component of the SCAR regulatory complex (Weiner et al., 2007). TIRF microscopy of these cells revealed that WASP and the SCAR regulatory complex move together in the same dynamic, linear arcs (Fig. 1, A and B; Fig. S1 B; and Video 1). Interestingly, however, the localization patterns of the two are not identical, an observation confirmed by quantifying WASP and SCAR localization across the leading edge (Fig. S1 C). Spinning-disk confocal microscopy indicated that WASP and SCAR colocalize throughout the growing pseudopods, not only at the ventral surface (Fig. 1 C). Within the resolution limits of our imaging, the localization patterns moved together, with neither protein consistently leading the other (Fig. S1 B and Video 1). This dynamic localization pattern suggests that both WASP and SCAR activate the Arp2/3 complex in leading-edge pseudopods, promoting assembly of the branched actin networks required for membrane protrusion.

WASP participates in pseudopod assembly in neutrophils

To investigate whether WASP is involved in pseudopod assembly by HL-60 cells, we generated anti-WASP shRNAs, expression of which resulted in a >90% reduction of WASP protein but no obvious change in WAVE2 (Fig. 2 A). We next examined whether WASP-depleted (WASP-knockdown; KD: WASP-KD) cells can form pseudopods. In a gradient of chemottractant (the peptide fMet-Leu-Phe [fMLP]), wild-type HL-60 cells became strongly polarized, with broad, actin-rich pseudopods used to rapidly move toward the source of chemottractant (Fig. 2 B and Video 2). Compared with control, 50% fewer WASP-KD cells formed pseudopods (Fig. 2, B and C). Despite numerous attempts, we never succeeded in developing WASP-KD cell lines in which this phenotype was 100% penetrant. Although it is possible that this was caused by residual WASP protein, this seems an insufficient explanation because the WASP-KD cells still capable of forming pseudopods were also aberrant (see the next section). Moreover, cells from WASP knockout mice also showed only a partial defect in gross cell motility in vivo (Snapper et al., 2005).

In addition to the defect in pseudopod formation, ~20% of WASP-KD cells formed large protrusions that tapered to a point, reminiscent of a rhinoceros horn (Fig. 2, B, D, and F; Fig. S2; and Video 2; WASP-KD cells 11, 32, 33, 39, and 42, for example). To verify its specificity, we rescued this “rhino” phenotype by expressing a functional WASP containing three silent mutations in the sequence targeted by the shRNA (Fig. 2 E). Additionally, a second shRNA that targets a separate region of the WASP gene resulted in a significantly smaller effect on both WASP expression and the number of cells with the rhino phenotype (not depicted). Immunofluorescence combined with phalloidin staining of polymerized actin revealed that the aberrant rhino protrusions contained actin filaments but lacked microtubules (Fig. 2 D). The expression of a probe specific for polymerized actin (mCherry fused to the calponin homology domain of Utrophin; Utr261; Burkel et al., 2007) revealed a highly dynamic and surprisingly hollow actin filament network inside the protrusions (Figs. 2 F and S2). This distribution, enriched near the membrane but depleted from the core of the protrusion, is more reminiscent of cortical actin networks than of filopodia, which are packed tightly with actin bundles (Tilney et al., 1973).

Because some WASP family proteins contribute to endocytosis (Naqvi et al., 1998; Merrifield et al., 2004; Benesch et al., 2005), we investigated whether the defects in WASP-KD cells are caused by reduction of endocytosis. In undifferentiated HL-60s, we observed no difference in transferrin receptor endocytosis and recycling between WASP-KD and control cells (Fig. S3 E). After differentiation into cells capable of making pseudopods, WASP-KD HL-60s actually showed increased surface receptor densities (Fig. S3 D), receptor internalization (Fig. S3 B), and receptor recycling (Fig. S3 C) compared with control cells. Therefore, we cannot attribute the WASP-KD phenotypes simply to a curtailment of endocytosis activity.

WASP-depleted neutrophils polymerize less actin in response to chemoattractant

Addition of chemoattractant to nonpolarized (quiescent) HL-60 cells induced a burst of actin polymerization that drove polarization and pseudopod formation, nearly doubling the cell’s polymerized actin content within 30 s of stimulation. This response is already known to depend on the activity of the SCAR regulatory complex (Weiner et al., 2006). To determine what role WASP might play in this explosive actin assembly, we synchronized pseudopod formation by stimulating populations of quiescent HL-60s with fMLP and then fixed and stained the cells with phalloidin at different time points and analyzed total polymerized actin content in each cell by confocal microscopy and FACS (Fig. 3, A and B). In the absence of chemoattractant, the amount of polymerized actin in quiescent WASP-KD cells was roughly equal to that in control cells. However, as reported for SCAR-depleted cells (Weiner et al., 2006), WASP-KD cells had greatly reduced actin polymerization at both short (30 s) and long times (3 min) after stimulation. This reduced actin polymerization indicates that WASP, like SCAR, is central to the explosive actin polymerization required for cell polarization and subsequent pseudopod formation.

To understand more about the pseudopods formed by some WASP-KD cells, we analyzed confocal images of hundreds of
individual phalloidin-stained cells. Quantification of the actin content in the subset of WASP-KD cells that make pseudopods compared with control cells revealed that even WASP-KD cells that appeared to make “normal” pseudopods contained about half the quantity of polymerized actin (Fig. 3, C and D).

**WASP depletion impairs neutrophil motility**

To determine the effect of WASP depletion on cell locomotion, we imaged HL-60 cells migrating through a chemoattractant gradient in a 5-µm-tall glass chamber (Millius and Weiner, 2010). Tracking individual cells revealed a severe migration defect in WASP-KD cells (reported means ± SD of three biological replicates): although control cells moved at 12 ± 0.8 µm/min, WASP-KD cells averaged 5.5 ± 1.5 µm/min, and cells with rhino protrusions were almost completely immotile, moving at 1.7 ± 0.4 µm/min (Fig. 4, A and B; and Video 2). This motility defect was not limited to the rhino cells: when these cells were excluded from the analysis, we still observed a significantly reduced speed (6.7 ± 0.8 µm/min) compared with control cells (Fig. 4 B). We did not observe an effect of WASP depletion on directional persistence (Fig. 4 C).

Because the chamber we used to measure directional migration was not precoated with fibronectin (or any other specific molecule), we doubt this migration defect was caused by an integrin-mediated adhesion defect. We confirmed this by directly testing adhesion to fibronectin-coated surfaces and found no significant difference between WASP-KD and control cells (Fig. S3 A). We conclude that HL-60 cells use WASP along with SCAR (Weiner et al., 2006) for normal pseudopod formation and efficient α-motility.
A potential exception to the tight correlation between actin-rich pseudopods and the genomic retention of WASP and SCAR were two deeply branching and little-studied species of fungi: the chytrids *A. macrogynus* and *Bd*. These chytrid species contain genes encoding both WASP and SCAR but have not been reported in the literature to migrate using pseudopods. We were, however, able to find references to pseudopod formation by unsequenced infectious species related to *A. macrogynus* (*Catenaria anguillulae*), which may use these structures for motility across the surface of its target host (Deacon and Saxena, 1997; Gleason and Lilje, 2009). However, because chytrid fungi are not a monophyletic group but rather comprise multiple deeply branching clades that are estimated to have diverged ~800 million years ago (James et al., 2006; Stajich et al., 2009), one cannot assume that distantly related species share this capacity. Therefore, we used *Bd* as a predictive test of our hypothesis that WASP and SCAR genes represent a marker for α-motility.

Like other species of chytrid fungi, the lifecycle of *Bd* has two stages: a large (10–40 µm) reproductive zoosporangium, which releases a host of small (3–5 µm), motile, and flagellated zoospore cells (Longcore et al., 1999; Berger et al., 2005). These infectious zoospores can form cysts beneath the skin of an amphibian host that develop into new zoosporangia to complete the life cycle (Berger et al., 2005). We searched for α-motility in *Bd* zoospores because, unlike the sessile cyst and zoosporangium, these free-swimming flagellates lack a cell wall and have been reported to assume nonuniform shapes with dense cytoplasmic extensions (Longcore et al., 1999). To restrict the fast-swimming *Bd* zoospores to the imaging plane, we adhered zoospores to concanavalin A–coated glass. In initial experiments, we observed only a small fraction (<1%) of zoospores forming pseudopodlike protrusions. The rarity of pseudopod-forming cells made us suspect that α-motility might only occur during a short phase of the life cycle. We therefore enriched for cells of the same age by washing zoosporangia to remove previously released zoospores and collecting flagellates released during the subsequent 2 h.
During the first 6 h after release from the zoosporangium, ~40% of zoospores created dynamic pseudopodlike protrusions (Fig. 5, A and B; Fig. S4 A; and Video 3) that extended from the cell body at a rate of 25 ± 9 µm/min (Fig. 5 C), consistent with speeds expected for pseudopods (Chodniewicz and Zhelev, 2003; Zhelev et al., 2004). Unlike blebs, these cellular protrusions were not spherical but were irregularly shaped and amorphous, similar to the actin-rich pseudopods of amoebae and neutrophils.

To ensure that these crawling cells were not contaminating organisms, we obtained Bd cultures from three different laboratories and observed similar pseudopods in each.

To better investigate the morphology of these tiny pseudopods, we performed scanning electron microscopy on fixed cells (Figs. 5 D and S4 B) and observed a similar proportion of flagellated zoospores with one or more thick protrusions. Each protrusion was ~1 µm long and 1 µm wide, and many appeared to be composed of multiple discrete terraces (Figs. 5 D and S4 B).

Chytrid pseudopods contain actin and require Arp2/3 activity

Using our assay to image chytrid zoospores, we next investigated whether extension of Bd pseudopods is driven by assembly of branched actin networks as in other cells that crawl using α-motility. We first fixed the cells to preserve the actin cytoskeleton and then stained them with fluorescent phalloidin, revealing a thin shell of cortical actin surrounding the cell body and a dense network of filamentous actin filling the pseudopod (Fig. 6 A).

To test whether actin-filled chytrid pseudopods required actin polymerization, we treated zoospores with latrunculin, a small molecule that sequesters actin monomers and inhibits the growth of actin polymers. Within minutes of adding 10 nM latrunculin B, nearly all pseudopods ceased growing and/or disappeared (Fig. 6, B and C). This effect was reversed within 1 h after removing the drug.

To determine whether assembly of the pseudopodial actin network required the nucleation and branching activity of the Arp2/3 complex, we incubated zoospores with CK-666, a small molecule that inhibits actin nucleation by mammalian and fungal Arp2/3 complexes (Nolen et al., 2009). Addition of 10 µM CK-666 reduced the number of cells with active protrusions by nearly 100%, an effect that was reversed by washing out the drug (Fig. 6, D and E). These experiments reveal that protrusion of Bd pseudopods requires Arp2/3-dependent actin assembly.

Chytrid zoospores use pseudopods for α-motility

Although pseudopod-forming Bd cells adhered tightly to glass surfaces coated with concanavalin A, they were not able to move or swim away from the site of initial attachment, and other coatings did not promote any form of attachment (including collagen, fibronectin, and human keratin; not depicted). Several types of animal cells are known to migrate without specific molecular adhesions in confined environments (Lämmermann et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2015; Ruprecht et al., 2015). To test whether Bd zoospores might also be capable of migration in confined environments, we sandwiched cells between two uncoated glass coverslips held apart by 1-µm-diameter glass microspheres and observed rapidly migrating cells (Fig. 7 and Video 4). Obviously migrating cells
had a mean instantaneous speed of $19 \pm 9$ µm/min, with individual cells averaging speeds $>30$ µm/min (Fig. 7C), consistent with the measured rates of pseudopod extension (Fig. 5C). The trajectories of these cells appeared fairly straight (Fig. 7B), with a mean directional persistence of $0.61 \pm 0.25$ (Fig. 7D).

Some pseudopod-forming zoospores retained flagella, whereas other cells had clearly lost or resorbed their flagella and strongly resembled free-living amoebae (Fig. 7A and Videos 3 and 4). We also observed cells switching from crawling to flagellar motility and vice versa as well as cells rapidly retracting their flagellar axonemes into the cell body (Video 5).

**Discussion**

Our results reveal that across eukaryotic phyla, cells that construct actin-rich pseudopods and undergo fast, low-adhesion crawling have retained the Arp2/3 complex as well as two distinct activators of their actin nucleation activity: WASP and SCAR/WAVE. This finding is well supported by a recent paper implicating both WASP and SCAR in *Caenorhabditis elegans* neuroblast cell migration (Zhu et al., 2016). In that system, the phenotype of SCAR mutants is enhanced by the loss of WASP, and both WASP and SCAR are found at the leading edge of migrating neuroblasts in vivo. Our hypothesis is also consistent with a study of myoblast cell fusion events during muscle formation: like pseudopods, myoblast protrusions are an actin-filled force generating machines that require both WASP and SCAR (Sens et al., 2010).

Organisms without the capacity to crawl using pseudopods turn out to have lost one or both of these nucleation-promoting factors (Fig. 8 and Table 1). The presence of genes encoding both WASP and SCAR, therefore, provides a molecular correlate for a suite of behaviors that we call “α-motility.” The conservation and phylogeny of WASP and SCAR indicate that both were present in a common ancestor of living eukaryotes (Veltman and Insall, 2010; Kollmar et al., 2012). The power of the coconservation of WASP and SCAR as a genomic marker with the ability to identify cryptic pseudopod-forming organisms—together with cell biology evidence that both WASP and SCAR are required for α-motility in well-studied organisms—argues that this widespread behavior arose from a single, ancient origin. It is formally possible that α-motility did not have a single evolutionary origin, but that scenario would require both WASP and SCAR to be coopted together for pseudopod assembly multiple times during eukaryotic evolution. Because WASP and SCAR are only two of a large number of Arp2/3 activators (Rottner et al., 2010), we have no reason to believe that motility would repeatedly converge on these two in particular.

Metazoans and fungi, together with a handful of protists, form a major clade known as the “opisthokonts” (Fig. 8). Our identification of α-motility in a fungal species argues that the...
ancestor of all the opisthokonts was capable of fast pseudopod-associated crawling and that multicellular fungi represent lineages that have lost α-motility (Fritz-Laylin et al., 2010). Because cells of multicellular fungi are always ensaced in rigid cell walls that would block pseudopods, selection pressure to preserve gene networks specific to pseudopod formation was relieved, and the genes unique to this behavior were subsequently lost. The fungi would therefore represent a large eukaryotic lineage from which crawling motility has almost completely disappeared.

Images from an earlier study revealed individual Bd zoospores with irregular shapes and cytoplasmic extensions (Longcore et al., 1999). Actin-driven pseudopod formation and cell motility, however, were not previously described in Bd cells, in part because this species was discovered quite recently (Longcore et al., 1999), and relatively few studies have been devoted to its cell biology. In addition, Bd zoospores are quite small (<5 µm) and highly motile, so visualizing their tiny (~1 µm) pseudopods requires physical confinement and high-resolution microscopy. Finally, because only recently released zoospores crawl, synchronization of cell cultures was crucial. These advances not only enabled us to observe α-motility but also revealed Bd zoospores retracting their flagella by coiling the entire axoneme into the cell body in <1 s (Video 5), a process that has been observed to take minutes in other chytrid species (Koch, 1968).

The α-motility of Bd fills an important gap in our understanding of the life cycle of this pathogen. As proposed for other chytrid species (Gleason and Lilje, 2009), Bd zoospores may use pseudopods during the initial stages of their interaction with a host either to move across epithelia or to crawl between epithelial cells and invade the underlying stroma. Alternatively, our observation that newly hatched zoospores make more pseudopods suggests that Bd may rely on α-motility to crawl along or within the epithelial surface to uninfected tissues or to exit the host.

Imaging chytrid zoospores provided key evidence for the involvement of WASP and SCAR in a conserved mode of cell migration, but further exploration of WASP and SCAR function in Bd is hampered by several factors. First, the zoospores are small enough to pose challenges to live-cell imaging. Second, the absence of genetic tools makes it impossible to fluorescently label or deplete proteins in live zoospores. Finally, the lack of potent and specific inhibitors of WASP and SCAR (Guerriero and Weisz, 2007; Bompard et al., 2008) precludes chemical disruption of their activity.

Several protein families are known to activate the Arp2/3 complex, including WASP, SCAR, WASH, JMY, and WHAMM (Rottner et al., 2010). A conventional explanation for the multi-
plicity of Arp2/3 activators is that each promotes the construction of an actin network with a unique cellular function and/or location. However, the evolutionary connection between WASP, SCAR, and pseudopod formation suggests that nucleation-promoting factors can work together, in this case to drive the explosive actin polymerization required for α-motility. Indeed, we find that WASP and SCAR colocalize at the leading edge of crawling neutrophils, and WASP depletion results in aberrant pseudopods and reduced motility similar to reported effects of SCAR depletion (Weiner et al., 2006). But why have multiple distinct Arp2/3 activators instead of simply increasing the concentration of one of them? The answer may lie in the positive feedback that drives the explosive actin polymerization required for α-motility, but which can also result in spurious pseudopod formation (Chung et al., 2000). We propose that the use of two distinct activation systems, WASP and SCAR, reduces the probability of errant pseudopod formation by using both activators to raise Arp2/3 activity above the threshold required for robust pseudopod formation.

Under this coincidence detection model, an occasional spike in the local activity of either WASP or SCAR may be sufficient to trigger pseudopod formation, but activation of both should be more efficient at driving explosive actin assembly. This fits our observation that WASP depletion results in a subset
of cells capable of pseudopod formation but with less polymerized actin (Fig. 3, C and D) and reduced migration speeds (Fig. 4 B) and explains previous studies showing the incomplete penetrance of WASP and SCAR phenotypes (Blagg et al., 2003; Myers et al., 2005; Snapper et al., 2005; Weiner et al., 2006; Veltman et al., 2012). This model may also explain the rhino phenotype: without the additional Arp2/3 activation provided by WASP, the resulting sparse actin networks may collapse and coalesce into the observed horn-shaped structures. This idea is supported by studies of the upstream activators of WASP (Cdc42) and SCAR (Rac) that indicate that Rac mediates a positive feedback loop required for leading-edge formation but that the stability of the resulting protrusion requires Cdc42 (Srinivasan et al., 2003; Stradal and Scita, 2006).

This model also clears up confusion in the field regarding WASP’s role in cell migration. Our results are supported by papers showing that blood cells rely on WASP for efficient cell migration (Binks et al., 1998; Zicha et al., 1998; Anderson et al., 2003; Snapper et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006; Blundell et al., 2008; Dovas et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2012; Worth et al., 2013) and others suggesting that WASP plays a direct role in protrusion formation, including pseudopods (Badolato et al., 1998; Burns et al., 2001; Jones et al., 2002, 2013; Shi et al., 2009; Ishihara et al., 2012). However, these data have been overshadowed by studies showing that fibroblasts do not require N-WASP for filopodia or sheet-like surface-adhered lamellipodia (Lommel et al., 2001; Snapper et al., 2001; Sarmiento et al., 2008). Such papers have been cited as proof that all WASP family proteins are dispensable for protrusions in general (Small and Rottner, 2010). Such generalizations depend on two assumptions: that N-WASP and WASP have the same molecular function and that the adherent motility of fibroblasts and α-motility use the same molecular pathways. However, a recent molecular replacement study showed that WASP and the ubiquitously expressed N-WASP have different functions and cannot compensate for each other (Jain and Thanabalu, 2015). Furthermore, when one considers the large body of mammalian WASP literature in the light of distinct modes of motility, a simple pattern emerges: cell types that do not natively express WASP do not make pseudopods (although they may make surface-bound lamellipodia, linear filopodia, or adhesive structures called podosomes); WASP is only expressed in blood cells, and these cells use WASP for pseudopod-based migration (see Tables S1 and S2 for an annotated summary of WASP/N-WASP literature). The predominant view that WASP is not involved in cell migration demonstrates the peril of assuming that insights based on adhesion-dependent cell motility apply to other modes of cell crawling.

In addition to motility, Arp2/3 activators have been shown to play roles in other cellular processes, including endocytosis.
predicted to have possessed both WASP and SCAR gene families (Kollmar et al., 2011; Parfrey et al., 2011; Knoll, 2014) and has been shown to have α-motility in the fungus Bd, we conclude that both proteins have been conserved together to facilitate this evolutionarily ancient mode of cell motility.

Although a large number of eukaryotes make pseudopods (Fig. 8 and Table 1), only two lineages are currently genetically tractable: animals and dictyostelids. Studies in both confirm that pseudopod formation involves both WASP (Badolato et al., 1998; Burns et al., 2001; Jones et al., 2002, 2013; Shi et al., 2009; Ishihara et al., 2012) and SCAR (Miki et al., 1998; Steffen et al., 2004; Weiner et al., 2006; Veltman et al., 2012), in contrast to some animal cell types that may only require SCAR for lamellipodia-based migration (Snapper et al., 2001; Bryce et al., 2005; Misra et al., 2007; Sarmiento et al., 2008). With our discovery of α-motility in the fungus Bd, we conclude that both proteins have been conserved together to facilitate this evolutionarily ancient mode of cell motility.

### Materials and methods

#### Antibodies and Western blotting

Rabbit anti-WASP antibody (sc-8353) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., as was the goat anti-WAVE2 (sc-10394). Mouse anti-tubulin (DM1A) was from Sigma-Aldrich, and mouse anti-actin (JLA20) was from EMD Millipore. Western blotting was conducted using standard protocols and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc.).

#### Generation of HL-60 cell lines

HL-60 lines were derived from CCL-240 (ATCC) and were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 15% FBS, 25 mM Hepes, and 2.0 g/liter NaHCO3 and were grown at 37°C and 5% CO2. WASP-KD was achieved using Sigma-Aldrich’s Mission Control shRNA vector (TRCN0000029819; hairpin sequence 5′-CCGGCGAGACCTCTAAAC TTATCTACTCGAGTAGATAAATTTAGAGGTCTCCTTTTTT-3′) with corresponding control vector expressing anti-GFP shRNA (SCH005; hairpin sequence 5′-CCCGGCTGATCTTCCAGCA AGACTCCAGATCTTTGTCGGAAGATCTTTTTT-3′). Lentivirus was produced in HEK293T grown in six-well plates and transfected with equal amounts of the lentiviral backbone vector (either protein expression vector derived from pHRSIN-CSGW [Demaison et al., 2002] or shRNA expression vectors described in the previous sentence), pCMV4.91 (encoding essential packaging genes), and pMD2.G (encoding VSV-G gene to pseudotype virus). pHRSIN-CSGW and packaging vectors were obtained from R. Vale (University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). After 48 h, the supernatant from each well was removed, centrifuged at 14,000 g for 5 min to remove debris, and then incubated with ~106 HL-60 cells suspended in 1 ml complete RPMI for 5–12 h. Fresh medium was then added, and the cells were recovered for 3 d to allow for target protein or shRNA expression. TagRFP-WASP fusion was cloned by first swapping out eGFP for TagRFP-T (Shaner et al., 2006) in the pHRSIN-CSGW by PCR amplifying TagRFP-T with 5′-CCGGCGAGATCCACCG TCGCCACCATGGTGTCAGAGCGAACAGCTGATTAGG-3′
hardware was controlled with Micro-Manager software (Edelstein et al., 2010). Image analysis was performed with the ImageJ bundle Fiji (National Institutes of Health; Schindelin et al., 2012). All imaging was done at room temperature.

Quantification of actin polymerization by flow cytometry

HL-60 cells were depolarized in serum-free medium supplemented with 2% low-endotoxin BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ before simulation with 20 nM fMLP for the indicated time. Cells were immediately fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in cytoskeleton buffer on ice for 20 min, stained with PBS supplemented with 2% BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 66 nM Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated phalloidin (A12379; Molecular Probes) for 20 min, and then washed thrice with PBS supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 before FACS analysis.

Cell adhesion assay

Differentiated control and WASP-KD HL-60 cells were each stained with either green or blue acetoxyethyl ester dyes (CellTrace Calcein green and blue; Thermo Fisher Scientific), and equal numbers were mixed and allowed to attach to fibronectin-coated cover glass–bottomed 96-well plates for 30 min at 37°C. One set of wells was gently washed three times with fresh media. 100 random locations within the well were immediately imaged, and the percentage of remaining cells was calculated and normalized to control unwashed wells.

Transferrin uptake endocytosis assays

5 × 10⁶ differentiated HL-60 cells were washed twice with ice-cold serum-free growth medium (SF), transferred to 37°C for 5 min (to clear surface-bound transferrin), and chilled on ice for 1 min. An equal volume of cold SF supplemented with 100 µg/ml Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated transferrin (T-13342; Molecular Probes; McGraw and Subtil, 2001) was added and incubated on ice for 10 min. Cells were then washed twice with cold SF medium, transferred to 37°C for the indicated time period, washed twice with ice-cold acid buffer (8.76 g NaCl, 9.74 g 2-morpholin-4-ylthanesulfonic acid in 900 ml, pH to 4.0, and water to 1 liter), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1x PBS for 20 min, and washed twice more with ice-cold PBS before immediate FACS analysis.

Motility of chytrid zoospores

The Bd strain JEL423 was obtained from J. Longcore (University of Maine, Orono, ME) and grown in 1% tryptone broth or on agar plates (1% tryptone and 2% agar) at 25°C. Before imaging, cultures were synchronized by either washing three times in 1% tryptone and harvesting zoospores 2 h later (for liquid cultures) or by flooding agar plates with ~2 ml water (for agar plates) passed through a 40-µm filter (Falcon), were collected by centrifuging at 1,200 × g for 5 min, and then resuspended in Bonner’s Salts (Bonner, 1947). Cell motility was imaged by sandwiching cells between a number 1.5 glass coverslip and glass slide (cleaned by sonication in pure water) separated using 1-µm glass microspheres (Bangs Laboratories). Coverslips and glass slides were sonicated in deionized water and dried. Cells were treated with either 10-µM CK-666 (Sigma-Aldrich) or 10-nM latrunculin B (Sigma-Aldrich) while being adhered to concanavalin A–coated glass.

For visualization of polymerized actin: 400 µl fixation buffer (50 mM cacodylate buffer, pH 7.2) supplemented with 4% glutaraldehyde was added to 100 µl cells attached to a concanavalin A–coated coverslip and incubated for 20 min at 4°C. Samples were quenched with tetraborohydride, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100, incubated for 20 min with Alexa Fluor 488–labeled phalloidin (Invitrogen), rinsed four times, and imaged described in the Imaging section. Samples for scanning electron microscopy were fixed as for visualization of polymerized actin, stained with osmium tetroxide, dehydrated, critical point dried, and imaged described in the Imaging section.
Au/Pd sputter–coated according to standard protocols and then were imaged using a scanning electron microscope (S-5000; Hitachi) in the University of California, Berkeley, Electron Microscopy Laboratory.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows how WASP localizes to pseudopods of migrating neutrophils. Fig. S2 shows how WASP depletion in neutrophils leads to dynamic rhino protrusions. Fig. S3 shows how WASP is not required for adhesion or endocytosis by HL-60 cells. Fig. S4 shows additional examples of chytrid pseudopods. Video 1 shows TIRF microscopy of HL-60 cells. Video 2 shows chemotaxis of HL-60 cells. Video 3 shows time lapses of chytrid zoospores making pseudopods. Video 4 shows chytrid cells crawling. Video 5 shows an example of a chytrid zoospore retracting its flagellum. Tables S1 and S2 summarize the literature on the roles of WASP and N-WASP in protrusion formation and cell motility.
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