Cell cycle arrest and anti-cancer potential of probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus against HT-29 cancer cells
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Abstract
Introduction: Nowadays, probiotic bacteria have been considered as a factor in the prevention and treatment of cancer, especially by induction of apoptosis. This study aimed to evaluate the cytotoxic, anti-proliferative, and apoptotic effects of the supernatant of probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus on HT-29 cell line.

Methods: Molecular identification of probiotic L. rhamnosus was carried out using specific primers of 16S rRNA gene and sequencing. HT-29 cells were treated with different concentrations of bacterial supernatants at 24, 48, and 72 hours. MTT assay, Annexin V-FITC, real-time PCR, cell cycle analysis, and DAPI staining tests were conducted to evaluate the induction of apoptosis. The level of cyclin D1 protein was measured by immunocytochemistry method.

Results: The supernatant of L. rhamnosus inhibited the growth of HT-29 cancer cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner. The results of flow cytometry confirmed apoptotic cell death. Probiotic bacterial supernatant caused up-regulation of pro-apoptotic genes including caspase-3, caspase-9, and Bax. In addition, they resulted in down-regulation of Bcl2 and a decrease in expression levels of cyclin D1, cyclin E, and ERBB2 genes. Cancer cells were arrested in the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle. The results of immunocytochemistry showed significant down-regulation of cyclin D1 protein during the 48 hours treatment with bacterial supernatant compared to the untreated cells.

Conclusion: The supernatant of probiotic L. rhamnosus has a great potential to inhibit the proliferation of HT-29 cells and the induction of apoptosis. L. rhamnosus might be used as a biological anti-cancer factor in the prevention and treatment of colon cancer.

Introduction
Cancer is the second most common cause of death worldwide after cardiovascular disease. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the four most common cancers in the world. CRC is the most common type of gastrointestinal cancer, and it is the third and fourth most common cancer among Iranian males and females, respectively.\textsuperscript{1}

Resistance to programmed cell death and uncontrolled cell proliferation are the key features of cancer cells. Therefore, a factor that can induce apoptosis in cancer cells can be used as an anti-cancer medication.\textsuperscript{2}

Current cancer treatments often kill healthy cells and cause toxicity and side effects for the patients. In addition, resistance to chemotherapy has become a major problem.

Therefore, it is necessary to find new methods and therapeutic compounds for cancer treatment.\textsuperscript{3}

Studies have shown that at least half of all cancers are due to the existence of some compounds in dietary patterns.\textsuperscript{4} Therefore, the nutritional compounds including probiotics and their relationship to human health are of interest to many researchers.\textsuperscript{5}

Probiotics are non-pathogenic micro-organisms that have beneficial effects on the host’s health and appear to have anti-tumor effects as well.\textsuperscript{6,7} The use of these beneficial bacteria in sufficient amounts regulates the microflora of the digestive system.\textsuperscript{8}

Although probiotics are used to manage and control certain digestive disorders including diarrhea, infections,
and inflammation, their role in the prevention and treatment of colon cancer remains under investigation.\(^9\)

Considering the effect of intestinal microflora on reducing the progression of colon cancer, it is important to produce novel products that, in addition to cancer-preventing properties, inhibit the growth of cancer cells while having no harmful effects on the healthy cells. Therefore, probiotics are a good choice for this purpose.

Probiotic bacteria can play an important role in preventing colon cancer as chemopreventive agents and reduce the risk of cancer by stimulating the immune system, changes in intestinal microflora, increased antioxidant activity, cell cycle control, and degradation of potential carcinogens such as the mutagenic compounds and secondary bile acids produced by the intestinal bacteria.\(^{10-12}\)

According to the previous studies, non-living probiotic bacteria including heat-killed probiotics, peptidoglycans, exopolysaccharide extracts, cellular extracts, and other cellular components exhibit potential anti-cancer activity.\(^{13-18}\)

Several studies have been conducted to investigate the probiotics and their products’ cytotoxic effects and inhibitory activity on cancer cells proliferation.\(^{19-21}\) Anti-proliferative activity is highly strain-dependent and differs widely from one strain to another. Moreover, using different bacterial components produces different results such as inhibition of cancer cells proliferation, induction of apoptosis, and anti-cancer properties.\(^{22}\)

Therefore, probiotic bacteria can be used as biological treatments in the future.\(^{22}\)

The present study aimed to investigate the anti-proliferative, apoptotic, and cell cycle arrest effects of indigenous probiotic \textit{L. rhamnosus} as an effective biological compound on HT-29 cells.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strain

\textbf{Strain isolation and characterization}

The bacterial strain used in this study (\textit{L. rhamnosus} strain Y5) was isolated in our previous study from traditional and non-industrial yogurt from Arak province, Iran.\(^{23}\) The safety and efficacy of the strain were assessed based on the WHO guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food.\(^{24}\)

\textbf{Molecular identification}

\textit{DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene amplification, and sequencing}

Bacterial genomic DNA was extracted from MRS broth cultures by DNA extraction kit (MBST, Tehran, Iran) according to the instructions. The quantity and quality of the extracted DNAs were determined by DeNovix DS-11 Spectrophotometer (DeNovix Technologies, Wilmington, Delaware, USA). The PCR amplification was carried out in a total volume of 25 μL containing 12.5 μL Ampliqon master mix buffer, 50 ng DNA, and 0.5 μL (0.4 μM) of 16S rRNA gene-specific primers (27 F (5'-GAGAGTTGTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3'), 1495 R (5'-CTACGGCTACCTGTGTAGCA-3')). The PCR condition was optimized using a BioRad PCR system (Bio-Rad, USA) with the following program: pre-denaturation step at 94°C for 5 minutes, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 60 seconds, annealing at 56°C for 60 seconds; and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes.

The PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel, stained with DNA safe stain and visualized using a Gel Doc device (UVITECH, UK). Finally, the PCR products were sent for sequencing (Bioneer Company, South Korea). Then, the sequences of PCR products were blasted for molecular identification in GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

\textbf{Preparation of active crude supernatant}

The bacterial strain was cultured on MRS broth and incubated at 37°C for 24, 48 and 72 hours under a microaerophilic atmosphere (5% CO\(_2\)). The growth of bacteria was identified by measuring the optical density at 600 nm. Viable cells count was enumerated by pour plating of serially diluted of culture on MRS agar with 2 replicates. After incubation time, the number of bacteria was identified by the following equation:

\[ N = \frac{\sum C}{(n_1 - 0.1n_2)d} \]

which \(N\) is the total viable cell count per mL; \(\sum C\) is the sum of colonies counted on all the dishes retained; \(n_1\) is the number of dishes retained in the first dilution; \(n_2\) is the number of dishes retained in the second dilution; and \(d\) is the dilution factor corresponding to the first dilution.

Crude cell-free supernatant (CFS) was prepared by centrifugation of the incubated culture (24, 48, and 72 hours) at 13000 rpm for 10 minutes. CFS was filtered through 0.22 μm nitrocellulose membrane. Supernatant small aliquots were stored frozen at -20°C until analysis.

\textbf{Cell line, culture medium, and MTT assay}

The human colorectal adenocarcinoma HT-29 cell line was purchased from the Iranian Biological Resource Center (IBRC C10097). Briefly, 1×10\(^4\) cell/well were seeded into 96-well plates followed by incubation overnight in a CO\(_2\) incubator at 37°C. Then, the cells were treated with concentrations of probiotic bacterial supernatant (0.0038, 0.0192, 0.096, 0.48, 2.4, 12.00, 60.00, and 1000 mg/mL) for 24, 48 and 72 hours. In order to determine the cytotoxicity of probiotic bacterial supernatant against HT-29 cells, the MTT [3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] colorimetric method was used. Then, the MTT was added into the wells and the reaction mixture was kept at 37°C in a 5% CO\(_2\) for 4 hours. The MTT dye was then removed and the formazan crystals were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Finally, the absorbance was recorded at 570 nm using an
ELISA Reader (Organon Teknika, Netherlands) and cell viability was determined. According to these results, IC_{50} values and cell viability percentage were reported.

**Detection of apoptosis by Annexin V-FITC**
The detection of apoptosis/necrosis ratio in HT-29 cells was carried out using Annexin V-FITC kit (Roch, Penzberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The HT-29 cells (1×10^6 cell/well) were treated with IC_{50} values of bacterial supernatant for 48 and 72 hours. The untreated HT-29 cells were used as a control. Finally, the apoptotic/necrotic cell ratios were measured. Flow cytometry device (Biocompare, San Francisco, USA) was used for cellular analysis.

**Cell cycle analysis**
The HT-29 cells were seeded into 6-well plates (1×10^6 cell/well) and incubated for 24 hours. Then, the semi-confluent cells were treated with IC_{50} values of bacterial supernatant for 48 and 72 hours. Following the treatment, the cells were washed twice with PBS. The cells were then harvested by centrifuging at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes. Subsequently, cell pellets were suspended in 250 µL of propidium iodide (PI) staining solution and kept at room temperature for 30 minutes. The profile of the cell cycle was determined using a BD FACScan Cell Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lake, USA). The data obtained were subjected to analysis by Flow Jo software and reported as the percentage of the cell cycle.

**Gene expression**
The HT-29 cells were incubated with IC_{50} of bacterial supernatant for 48 and 72 hours. Then, RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were carried out according to CinnaGen and Revert Aid™ First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit protocol (Fermentas, Burlington, Canada), respectively. The expression level of β-actin (housekeeping gene), caspase-3, caspase-9, Bax, Bcl2, cyclin D1, cyclin E, and ERBB2 genes was determined according to SYBER Green method. A detail of Real-time PCR reaction and the thermal program have been described in the previous study. The sequences of primers are presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. Primers sequences of target genes**

| Genes        | Primer sequence                        |
|--------------|----------------------------------------|
| β-actin      | Forward: 5'- TCTGCTCGAGGCAATAC-3'     |
|              | Revers: 5'- CTTGCTGTCATACGCAAC-3'     |
| Caspase 3    | Forward: 5'- CTATCTCCAGGACCGTCGTT-3'  |
|              | Revers: 5'- ACTTCAAGTCGGCAAC-3'       |
| Caspase 9    | Forward: 5'- TATCCCTGGAAGGACCTC-3'    |
|              | Revers: 5'- TTATGTGGAAGGGGACTCC-3'    |
| Cyclin D1    | Forward: 5'- TGATATGACAAAGAGCC-3'     |
|              | Revers: 5'- AAGTGTTGGAAGGGACTCC-3'    |
| ERBB2        | Forward: 5'- TCCCTAGGCTTTAGTAC-3'     |
|              | Revers: 5'- GAGTCTTGTGGATCTGAGG-3'    |
| Cyclin E     | Forward: 5'- CTCAAGGAGGGAGGAAAGG-3'   |
|              | Revers: 5'- TTGGGAAACCGGCTGATCA-3'    |
| Bax          | Forward: 5'- GAGCTGCGAGGATGATGTC-3'   |
|              | Revers: 5'- AAGTGTGGCCTACGAAACATG-3'  |
| Bcl2         | Forward: 5'- ATGGAATTTTCAATACG-3'     |
|              | Revers: 5'- CAGTCTACTTCCTGTAGTG-3'    |

**Statistical analysis**
The data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) employing SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). The evaluation of expression levels of the genes in the treated and untreated cells was determined by Tukey’s HSD post hoc test. The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three replicates and P value<0.05 was considered as significant.

**Results**

**Strain characterization and molecular identification**
The isolated strain was gram-positive, oxidase-negative, catalase-negative and non-motile bacillus with no hemolytic activity. Our results showed that isolated strain had up to 87% resistance after 3 hours treatment in pH=3. Based on the growth rate in bile salt complemented MRS broth, this strain was non-sensitive to bile salts with Cl<sub>m</sub>=0.48.

Similarity analysis of partial 16S rDNA sequence of the isolate was carried out using the BLAST search tool, which revealed that the isolate belonged to the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) family. A similarity rate of about 99% was identified as L. rhamnosus.

According to 16S rDNA sequencing, our isolate was identified as L. rhamnosus and registered in GenBank under accession number Lactobacillus sp. strain YJ1 (MK453288.1).

**Cell viability and cytotoxicity**
The 72-hour bacterial supernatant was used for all
biological tests and to evaluate the apoptosis. The cytotoxic effects and anti-proliferative activity of the bacterial supernatant on the HT-29 cells for 24, 48, and 72 hours were presented in Fig. 1. The results revealed that an increase in the concentration of supernatant and the period of cell treatment increased cytotoxic effects and reduction of proliferative activity of HT-29 cells. Moreover, 99% inhibition was detected in HT-29 cancer cells after 72 hours at a concentration of 30 mg/mL. The IC_{50} values of bacterial supernatant were 1.95, 0.25, and 0.053 mg/mL after 24, 48, and 72 hours, respectively. As the treatment time increased, the IC_{50} decreased.

**Apoptosis induction in HT-29 cells**

Annexin V-FITC kit and flow cytometry were used for a more detailed examination of cell death (apoptosis or necrosis). As shown in Fig. 2, after simultaneous staining of the cells with Annexin V-FITC and PI, early apoptotic cells absorbed Annexin V-FITC, necrotic cells absorbed PI, and late apoptotic cells absorbed both Annexin V-FITC and PI.

Induction of apoptosis in HT-29 cells after treatment with bacterial supernatant was observed at both 48 and 72 hours compared to the untreated cells, so that after 48 and 72 hours, 58.2% and 35.35% apoptosis occurred in the cells, respectively. The cancer cells showed a significant increase in apoptosis in 48 hours than in 72 hours (P<0.001).

**Cell cycle analysis**

Treatment of HT-29 cells with bacterial supernatants prevented the cells from crossing the G0/G1 phase to subsequent stages of the cell cycle and arrested them. Also, the percentage of cell population in the sub-G1 phase increased significantly compared to the untreated cells (Fig. 3). The cell population in the sub-G1 phase was 30.67% and 55.75% after 48 and 72 hours, respectively compared to the untreated cells.
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Gene expression analysis

The pro-apoptotic genes; caspase-3, caspase-9 and Bax were up-regulated after 48 and 72 hours. Cyclin D1, which is involved in cell cycle progression, was down-regulated after 48 and 72 hours. The decrease in Bcl2 expression as an anti-apoptotic gene after 48 hours ($P<0.01$) and ErBb2 an anti-proliferation gene and cyclin E gene were significant after 72 hours ($P<0.05$). Gene expression pattern showed that apoptosis at 48 hours was stronger than 72 hours (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the results of gene expression confirmed the results of apoptosis induction using the flow cytometry method.

Cyclin D1 protein expression

The level of cyclin D1 protein expression was assessed by immunocytochemistry. The expression of cyclin D1 marker was reported as a percentage in 48 hours treatment of the cells with bacterial supernatant compared to the control group. Cyclin D1 protein expression decreased significantly in the cancer cells treated with bacterial supernatant compared to the untreated cells (Fig. 5). The expression of cyclin D1 in treated cells was estimated to be about 43% compared to the control group (78%).

Discussion

The usage of human microbiota is one of the new ways of treating and preventing CRC. Research studies have found a complex relationship between the intestinal microflora and the development of cancer and its effects on cancer treatment. The studies on mice and humans have also suggested that the microbiome is associated with colon cancer. Studies have shown that probiotics are one of the most important factors in regulating intestinal microbiota. The specific types of probiotics have been identified that are used to improve and treat a number of diseases such as diabetes, obesity, gastrointestinal infections, and colon cancers. Probiotics are considered dietary supplements and contain non-pathogenic microorganisms that promote health in the host.

In the present study, the isolation and identification of the indigenous probiotic bacterium L. rhamnosus and its cytotoxic and anti-cancer effects on HT-29 cells were investigated. The results showed that L. rhamnosus was capable of inhibiting HT-29 cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis. Anti-proliferative activity and apoptosis induction are dependent on the time and dose of probiotic bacterial supernatant. Induction of apoptosis was observed after 48 and 72 hours compared to the control group, but the induction of apoptosis at 48 hours was stronger than 72 hours. Besides, the analysis of the cell cycle showed that probiotic bacterial supernatant increased the peak of apoptosis.
sub-G1 and cell cycle arrest in G0/G1 phase, and finally prevented cell proliferation. The expression level of pro-apoptotic genes like Bax was also increased. It seems that increased expression levels of Bax led to the activation of the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway, increased caspase-3 and caspase-9, and release of cytochrome c and apoptosis induction. Similarly, the expression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl2 gene and the genes involved in cell cycle progression (cyclin D1 and cyclin E) decreased. There has also been a decrease in ERBB2 gene expression that is involved in cell proliferation. It is also reported to have over-expression in many cancers.

In addition, decreased expression of cyclin D1 protein in HT-29 cells treated with probiotic bacteria was confirmed. The results of the present study were in line with the results of other similar studies mentioned as follows.

A study reported that Bb12 L. rhamnosus GG and B. lactis supernatants could induce apoptosis by reducing Bcl2, regulating Bak, reducing HT-29 cell growth, and preventing cell proliferation by arresting cells in the G0/ G1 phase. In a study similar to ours, the supernatant of Bacillus polyfermenticus suppressed colony formation of HT-29, decreased mRNA levels and expression of ERBB2, ERBB3 proteins. It also decreased the cyclin D1 expression levels in HT-29 cells.

Increased chromatin condensation and apoptotic bodies formation, increased caspase activity, and release of cytochrome c was observed following the effect of fermented milk supernatant of Propionibacterium freudenreichii on HGT-1 cancer cells.

De Marco et al examined epithelial cells of HT-29 after a period of treatment with supernatants of L. acidophilus, L. casei, L. lactis, L. reuteri, and Saccharomyces boulardii. The results showed anti-inflammatory effects of probiotics. They also found that the metabolites of these bacteria could down-regulate the expression of IL-8 and PGE-2 in HT-29 cells.

Thirabunyanon et al studied the effects of Enterococcus faecium RM11 and L. fermentum RM2 probiotics supernatant on Caco-2 cells and observed decreased cancer cell viability.

The supernatants of L. delbrueckii CU/22 have been shown to increase superoxide radicals and bacterial hydrogen peroxide, and subsequently increase in HT-29 cells apoptosis preventing cancer progression.

In vitro studies have also shown that cell-free supernatants of L. casei probiotic and L. rhamnosus GG reduce the invasion of colon cancer cells and prevent metastasis of CRC cells. These probiotics inhibit matrix metalloproteinase 9 activity and increase the level of ZO-1 (adhesion protein) in metastatic colorectal carcinoma cells in humans.

The supernatants derived from L. fermentum were shown to be useful in preventing CRC by increasing the levels of short-chain fatty acids that are involved in colon health and the production of anti-carcinogenic compounds.

The study by An and Ha also showed that combination therapy with L. plantarum supernatant and 5-Fluouracil (the current medication used in CRC chemotherapy) could increase the chemical sensitivity of cancer cells and reduce the size of the colonospheres by the inactivation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling. They found that the supernatant of this bacterium could selectively inhibit 5-fluouracil -resistant cancer cells in the HCT-116 and HT-29 cancer cell lines.

Another study has also suggested the effect of probiotic L. delbrueckii supernatants on preventing G1 cell proliferation, induction of apoptosis, and reducing matrix metalloproteinase 9, which is associated with cancer cell invasion. It has also been suggested that probiotic bacteria can be used as a potent anti-tumor agent in the treatment of cancers.

The supernatant of bacteria degenerates the stability of the chromatin within the nucleus by increasing the reactive oxygen species and lipid peroxidation, as well as decreasing the mitochondrial membrane potential.
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Research Highlights

What is the current knowledge?

✓ Apoptosis induction in cancerous cells is one of the most suitable methods for cancer treatment.
✓ Finding anticancer compounds, especially apoptosis inducers, has attracted great attention in recent years.
✓ There are limited articles and reports regarding the effect of supernatant of indigenous probiotic bacteria on cancer cells.

What is new here?

✓ The supernatant of indigenous probiotic bacteria isolated from Iranian traditional dairy products induced apoptosis in HT–29 cell line.
✓ The supernatant of probiotic bacteria inhibited the growths of HT-29 tumor cells in a dose-, and time-dependent manner.
✓ It is proposed that these bacteria can be used as anticancer biological products for the treatment and prevention of cancer.

Increasing caspase-3 activity and reducing Bcl-2 anti-apoptotic activity.44,45

Considering the results reported by all these studies, it might be concluded that probiotic bacteria can be introduced as effective supplements in the treatment and prevention of cancers, especially CRC because they are both inexpensive and easily available.46

Conclusion

The results showed that L. rhamnosus as a probiotic strain has great potential to inhibit cancer cell growth and induce apoptotic cell death in HT-29 colon cancer cells. It seems that L. rhamnosus supernatant could be contributing to the release of cytochrome c by activating the mitochondrial pathway and promoting apoptosis. Given that the anti-cancer effects of probiotic bacteria are genus- and strain-dependent, it is proposed that after sufficient complementary testing, these indigenous bacteria can be used as supplementary drugs for inhibiting the growth of cancer cells.
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