Recent studies showed the modulatory effect of kisspeptin (KP) on calcium waves through the cell membrane and inside the cell. Spermatozoon can induce similar ooplasmic calcium oscillations at fertilization to trigger meiosis II. Here, we evaluated the effect of KP supplementation with 6-dimethylaminopurine (6-DMAP) for 4 h on embryonic development after oocyte activation with single electric pulse, 5 μM ionomycin, or 8% ethanol. Compared to control nonsupplemented groups, KP significantly improved embryo developmental competence electric- and ethanol-activated oocytes in terms of cleavage (75.3% and 58.6% versus 64% and 48%, respectively, \( p < 0.05 \)) and blastocyst development (31.3% and 10% versus 19.3% and 4%, respectively, \( p < 0.05 \)). MOS expression was increased in electrically activated oocytes in presence of KP while it significantly reduced CCNB1 expression. In ionomycin treated group, both MOS and CCNB1 showed significant increase with no difference between KP and control groups. In ethanol-treated group, KP significantly reduced CCNB1 but no effect was observed on MOS expression. The early alterations in MOS and CCNB1 mRNA transcripts caused by KP may explain the significant differences in the developmental competence between the experimental groups. Kisspeptin supplementation may be adopted in protocols for porcine oocyte activation through electric current and ethanol to improve embryonic developmental competence.

1. Introduction

In mammals, meiosis II resumes in mature oocytes after fertilization and is mainly mediated by the highly repetitive calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) signals that last for 2-3 h rather than a single Ca\(^{2+}\) rise [1, 2]. Ca\(^{2+}\) modulates the transition of the cell from one meiotic phase and cell cycle control checkpoints to the following phase till the extrusion of the second polar body [3]. Lack of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) elevation prevents spontaneous meiosis resumption in vitro [4]. Moreover, injection of Ca\(^{2+}\) in mouse oocytes has been shown to cause parthenogenetic activation and subsequent embryonic development [1].

The c-Mos (MOS) proto-oncogene is a serine/threonine kinase expressed in vertebrate oocytes and plays a crucial role in meiosis and germ cell development [5, 6]. MOS is functionally expressed during G2/M progression of oocyte cell cycle [7] and was found to be expressed highly in oocytes while it reduced with the cleavage and mitosis of the zygote [8]. MOS activates mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), which in turn activates M-phase promoting factor.
Kisspeptin (KP) is a multifunctional peptide known for its function in reproductive endocrinology, cancer, cardiovascular system, and urogenital system [24, 25]. Our previous report and other studies showed that kisspeptin receptor (KISSIR) is functional throughout the oocyte maturation until early embryonic stages [26–31]. In addition, KP supplementation during in vitro maturation (IVM) increases the maternal mRNA transcripts, including that for MOS [31]. KP is thought to modulate Ca\(^{2+}\) oscillations through the cell membrane and inside the cell, including spermatozoa [32–38]. Activation of KISSIR results in a biphasic rise in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) characterized by a short and transient phase followed by a sustained phase [37].

Therefore, this study aimed to examine whether KP, as a Ca\(^{2+}\) modulator, can differentially support the transition of oocytes from MII stage to further embryonic developmental stages when oocytes activated with electric stimulus, ionomycin, or ethanol through investigating the effect of KP supplementation on the expression of early maternal transcripts involved in meiotic progression, MOS and CCNB1.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals. Kisspeptin (112–121) amide was obtained from Phoenix Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Burlingame, CA, USA). Other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise stated.

2.2. Collection of Cumulus-Oocyte Complexes (COCs) and Their In Vitro Maturation. Ovaries obtained from a local abattoir were transported to the laboratory within 4 h in 0.9% (v/v) sodium chloride (NaCl) solution at 30–33°C. Follicular contents from antral follicles, 2–6 mm in diameter, were collected using an 18-gauge needle attached to a 10 mL sterile syringe. COCs enclosed by more than three layers of compact cumulus cells and with evenly granulated cytoplasm were selected and washed thrice with HEPES-buffered tissue culture medium-199 (TCM-199) supplemented with 2 mM sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO\(_3\)), 5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1 μL/mL gentamicin sulfate (Caisson Lab. Inc., Smithfield, UT, USA). Groups of 50 COCs were cultured in four-well dishes (Falcon, Becton Dickinson Ltd., Plymouth, UK) in 500 μL maturation medium comprising bicarbonate-buffered TCM-199 supplemented with 10% (v/v) porcine follicular fluid, 10 μg/mL follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), 1 μg/mL luteinizing hormone (LH), 1 μg/mL 17β-estradiol, 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (EGF), 1 μL/mL insulin-transferrin-selenium (ITS), 0.3 μM cysteinme, 0.15 mg/mL L-glutamine, and 1 μL/mL gentamycin sulfate at 39°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\) for 44 h (two stages, 22 h each with change of medium for the second stage).

2.3. Parthenogenetic Activation and KP Supplementation. After 44 h of IVM, oocytes and cumulus cells were separated by pipetting with 0.1% hyaluronidase in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 0.1% polyvinyl alcohol. Denuded oocytes were randomly separated into three groups and subjected to one of the following parthenogenetic activation methods: (1) in electrical activation, oocytes were activated with a single DC pulse of 1.5 kV/cm for 100 μs in mannitol (0.25 M) using BTX Electro Cell Manipulator 2001 designed electrodes chamber (BTX, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA); (2) in ionomycin activation, oocytes were incubated in TCM-199 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5 μM ionomycin for 5 min in a dark chamber; or (3) in ethanol activation, oocytes were incubated in TCM-199 containing 10% FBS and 8% ethanol for 10 min. Activated oocytes were washed in TCM and cultured in 500 μL of 4 mM 6-DMAP with or without 1 μM KP for 4 h at 39°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO\(_2\), 5% O\(_2\), and 90% N\(_2\) to produce diploid zygotes.

2.4. Experimental Design. Denuded oocytes were randomly allocated into three groups, 75 oocytes each, and incubated in 50 μL microdrops of TCM-199 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) for 10 min. Oocytes were then activated with specific treatment (electric, ionomycin, or ethanol activation), and a pool of oocytes (n = 25) was immediately collected from each group to extract mRNA (0 h after activation). After incubation with 6-DMAP with or without KP, another pool of oocytes (n = 25) was collected to extract mRNA from each group (4 h after activation batch). The remaining 25 oocytes were then used for in vitro culture. The experiment was repeated six times and the data were presented as mean ± SEM.

2.5. In Vitro Culture of Embryos. Presumptive zygotes were washed and cultured in 30 μL microdrops of porcine zygote medium-5 covered with mineral oil at 39°C in presence of 5% CO\(_2\), 5% O\(_2\), and 90% N\(_2\). Embryos were evaluated
for cleavage and blastocyst formation on days 2 and 7, respectively.

2.6. Real-Time qPCR for Relative Quantification of mRNA Transcripts. Cumulus-free oocytes (n = 25 for each replicate, confirmed by visual examination of each individual oocyte) at different stages of activation were collected, washed twice with PBS, and stored at −80°C in diethylyprocarbonate-(DEPC-) treated water until analysis. Total RNAs were extracted from all samples following the manufacturer’s protocol (iNTRON Biotechnology, Seoul, Korea). RNA concentration and purity were evaluated using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) by calculating the ratios of absorbance at 260 and 280 nm; samples showing values ≥1.8 for A260/A280 were used for reverse transcription (RT). RT was carried out at 50°C for 50 min. Individual RT reaction was performed using random hexamer, 100 ng of total RNA and superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in a 20-μL reaction volume. Complementary DNA (cDNA) concentration was measured through NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Relative quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was carried out according to the Takara Bio Inc. guidelines. A total of 22 μL PCR reaction was made by adding 0.1 μg cDNA, 1 μM forward primer, 1 μM reverse primer, 8 μL SYBR Premix Ex Taq, 0.4 μL ROX reference (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan), and 9.6 μL nuclease-free water (Ambion Inc., Austin, TX, USA). The reaction was performed on 7300 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Forest City, CA, USA) according to the company’s instructions using the following program: 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 40 s. MOS and CCNB1 mRNA transcripts were relatively quantified, with gene for glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) used as a normalization control [39] using 2−ΔΔCt method [ΔCt Experimental = Ct (test gene) − Ct (GAPDH); ΔCt Control = Ct (test gene) − Ct (GAPDH); and ΔΔCt = ΔCt Experimental − ΔCt Control] [40]. Primer sequences, annealing temperatures, and approximate sizes of the amplified fragments are listed in Table 1. To determine primers specificity, melting curves for each primer pair were evaluated by Applied Biosystems 7300 Real-Time PCR apparatus-associated software and the product size was confirmed by gel electrophoresis of PCR products on agarose 1.5% referred to by 1 Kb DNA ladder. Samples from four replicates and three technical replicates were recorded for each qPCR sample reaction. Data were recorded and used for statistical analysis and presented as mean ± SEM.

2.7. Statistical Analysis. The COCs and oocytes were randomly distributed within each experimental group and experiments were repeated at least five times. Embryo development proportions were calculated for each replicate and relative quantification of transcripts was analyzed by one-way ANOVA using SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA, 2000) which was followed by Tukey’s Multiple Range Test to determine the differences among the groups. Values were presented as means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Data were considered statistically significant at value of p < 0.05.

3. Results and Discussion

Results showed that supplementation of 6-DMAP culture with KP (KP+) significantly increased embryo cleavage proportion in oocytes activated with electric pulse and ethanol (75.42 ± 2.9% and 58.0 ± 0.6%, respectively) as compared with the control groups (KP−) (Table 2) with maximum effect observed with electrical activation (p < 0.05). On the other hand, KP supplementation had no advantageous effect on the oocytes activated with ionomycin (Table 2, Figure 1). Similar trend was observed for blastocysts; KP-supplemented groups activated with electric pulse and ethanol showed a significant increase in blastocyst proportion (31.75 ± 0.9% and 10.25 ± 0.25%, respectively) as compared with control groups (Table 2, p < 0.05). On the other hand, KP supplementation showed no effect on blastocyst formation in oocytes activated with ionomycin.

Additionally, a significant increase in embryo cleavage and blastocyst was observed following oocyte activation with electric pulse (64.25 ± 2.1% and 19.5 ± 0.3%, respectively) and ionomycin (63.25 ± 0.25% and 22.25 ± 0.8%, respectively) as compared to that in ethanol-activated oocytes (47.5 ± 0.3% and 4.0 ± 0.6%, respectively, p < 0.05) (Table 2, Figure 1). This is consistent with the results by Cheng et al. [16], wherein the electrical and ionomycin activation resulted in a threefold increase in developmental competence of porcine oocytes as compared with the ethanol-mediated activation. Moreover, ethanol treatment in oocytes was reported to yield a very low percentage of cleavage and blastocyst formation [19]. On the contrary, Koo et al. [41] showed that electrical activation significantly increased the cleavage and blastocyst development as compared with ionomycin activation.

Recent reports have described the mechanism of action of KP through the modulation of Ca2+ oscillation in different cell types, including spermatozoa [32–36]. In addition, KP is known to cause biphasic increase in intracellular Ca2+ characterized by a short and transient phase followed by a

| Gene       | Forward 3′→5′          | Reverse 3′→5′         | Tm (°C) | Product size (bp) | Information       |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|
| MOS        | GGGAGGCAA CACTGAGAG    | AGAATGTGGC TGGTTCAG    | 60      | 115               |                   |
|            | (accession number)     | (accession number)     |         |                   |                   |
| CCNB1      | CAACCTGTGGTTGTC ACTGC  | TTCCATGCTGCTGATT TGT  | 60      | 126               |                   |
| GAPDH      | ACACCTA CACTTTTACCTTTG |CAAATTCATTGCTGTA CACAG| 60      | 90                |                   |

Table 1: Primer sequences and product size used for real-time quantitative PCR.
Table 2: Embryonic development after activation of oocytes with electric pulse, ionomycin, and ethanol with or without supplementation of kisspeptin during 6-DMAP culture.

|                      | Electric | Ionomycin | Ethanol |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|---------|
|                      | KP+ | KP− | KP+ | KP− | KP+ | KP− |
| Oocyte Number*       | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| Cleavage%            | 5.42 ± 2.9\textsuperscript{a} | 64.25 ± 2.1\textsuperscript{b} | 63.25 ± 2.4\textsuperscript{b} | 3.25 ± 0.25\textsuperscript{b} | 58.0 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{b} | 47.5 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{c} |
| Blastocyst%          | 31.75 ± 0.9\textsuperscript{a} | 19.5 ± 0.3\textsuperscript{b} | 22.0 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{b} | 22.25 ± 0.8\textsuperscript{b} | 10.25 ± 0.25\textsuperscript{c} | 4.0 ± 0.6\textsuperscript{d} |
| Fold change of MOS\textsuperscript{e} | 2.5 | 1.61 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 1.18 |
| Fold change of CCNB1\textsuperscript{f} | 0.4 | 0.65 | 2.5 | 2.25 | 0.3 | 0.98 |

*6 replicates with average 25 oocytes per each replicate. The proportion of cleavage and blastocyst was calculated for each replicate and data expressed as mean ± SEM. \textsuperscript{a}The mean of fold change in MOS and CCNB1 expression in oocytes at 0 h and 4 h after activation = expression level at 4 h divided by the expression at 0 h (values are shown in Figure 2). \textsuperscript{ab,c,d}Values carrying different superscripts are considered significant when \( p < 0.05 \).

Figure 1: Preimplantation embryonic development of porcine oocytes after parthenogenetic activation with electrical stimulation, ionomycin, and ethanol. (a), (c), and (e) development without KP (plain), and (b), (d), and (f) with KP supplementation during incubation with 6-DMAP.
sustained phase [37]. Following oocyte activation, the oscillation in Ca$^{2+}$ signals, in particular the early Ca$^{2+}$/calmodulin-dependent kinase pathways, results in the emission of the second polar body [42]. The recruitment of maternal mRNAs is thought to be a graded response to the number of Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations experienced by the oocyte [43]. In addition, artificial methods of oocyte activation may result in the recruitment of the maternal mRNA in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$ elevation [44], indicative of the indirect effect of Ca$^{2+}$ on the process downstream of cell cycle resumption. Electric pulse showed a higher and longer Ca$^{2+}$ transient in the oocyte immediately after its activation [45]. Moreover, electrical activation induces a rapid reduction in histone H1 kinase activity [46]. However, the elevation in intracellular levels of free Ca$^{2+}$ by ionomycin was less effective for parthenogenetic activation of oocytes than that caused by electrical pulse [47].

Studies have reviewed the different mechanistic pathways for porcine parthenogenesis [48, 49]. In particular, electrical pulse causes influx of Ca$^{2+}$ by increasing the permeability of the oolemma [50, 51], while 7% ethanol and calcium ionophores cause a surge in the intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ from Ca$^{2+}$ stores by increasing the intracellular pH [47, 52–54]. The sodium-hydrogen (Na$^+$/H$^+$) antiporter and the bicarbonate-chloride (HCO$_3^-$/Cl$^-$) exchanger are suggested to play no role during calcium ionophore treatment; however, ethanol treatment in oocytes may be dependent upon either Na$^+$ or HCO$_3^-$ flux (or both) [53]. On the other hand, calcium ionophores inhibit the vacuolar-type H$^+$ ATPases, thereby increasing the intracellular pH [53]. The pH rise plays an important role in activating oocyte metabolism and increasing DNA and protein synthesis [55]. Since the Ca$^{2+}$ ions have two opposing roles during Xenopus oocyte maturation, it negatively regulates meiosis entry by delaying the activation of the cell cycle machinery (downstream of protein kinase A and upstream of MOS), while on the other hand, it is required for completion of meiosis [56]. Therefore, modulation of temporal calcium influx to the ooplasm is essential for successful meiosis. The three activation methods use calcium signals as the main pathway for oocyte activation; however, how acute or repetitive calcium influx is and for how long this influx continues are questions for clarifying the difference between these methods for supporting meiosis II and early embryo development.

We investigated the possible cause of the difference between activation methods with or without KP supplementation. MOS and CCNB1 transcripts were relatively quantified through real-time PCR immediately after activation (0 h after activation), and 4 h after activation and culture in 6-DMAP (4 h after activation) with or without KP. Statistical analysis showed significant interaction among the treatments and the temporal expression of MOS and CCNB1.

It has been clarified that 6-DMAP, a phosphatase inhibitor, caused a higher and longer Ca$^{2+}$ transient and may activate oocytes by changing Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations in oocytes and inhibiting phosphotyrosine dephosphorylation after parthenogenetic activation [45]. However, oocytes activated with calcium ionophores and 6-DMAP displayed abnormal pattern of karyokinesis during the first cell cycle [57]. Although 6-DMAP-mediated inhibition of protein kinase is an efficient way to induce oocyte activation, interfering with one or several kinases involved in other functions may be deleterious for cellular events after activation. Therefore, we aimed to examine whether KP may act as a Ca$^{2+}$ modulator during this period.

Kisspeptin supplementation after 4 h from activation resulted in differential alteration in the expression patterns of MOS and CCNB1 in different treatment groups; it significantly increased MOS expression (2.5-fold, Table 2) when compared with both control (KP−) and 0 h after activation in electrically activated oocytes (p < 0.05; Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). In ethanol-treated group, KP significantly decreased the level of CCNB1 by 0.3-fold when compared with 0 h after activation and control nonsupplemented group (p < 0.05); however, no effect was observed on MOS (Figures 2(e) and 2(f)). On the other hand, oocytes treated with ionomycin showed no difference on MOS and CCNB1 both with and without KP supplementation (p = 0.9), although MOS and CCNB1 expressions significantly increased (~2.5-fold) after 4 h from activation (Figures 2(c) and 2(d), p < 0.05).

Some reports showed that mRNA expression of proto-oncogenes (such as MYC) is tightly and coordinately regulated and rapidly turned over with half-lives of 9–40 min [23, 58, 59]. Moreover, transcription rates for transiently expressed genes and mRNA stability are often rapidly and coordinately affected by calcium-dependent signaling pathways [60, 61]. These observations might explain the rapid transcriptional changes in proto-oncogene MOS and CCNB1 associated with oocyte stimulation and its incubation with calcium modulator, KP.

The early changes in cyclin B and c-Mos complexes are critical for pronuclear formation; after oocyte fertilization or parthenogenetic activation, an increase in intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ causes degradation of c-Mos protein, inactivation of cyclostatic factor (CSF) and MPF, and release of eggs from meiotic metaphase arrest [62]. These results suggest that the early changes in MOS and CCNB1 may influence the embryonic developmental competence, including cleavage and subsequent mitosis stages until the blastocyst formation. On the other hand, Lazare et al. [63] clarified that MOS mRNA expression is associated with meiosis progression and occurs independent of MAPK. In addition, Koo et al. [41] showed inactivation of MPF and MAPK after oocyte activation with single electrical stimulus.

In the current study, KP increased the level of MOS (2.5-fold) in electrically activated oocytes, highlighting the need of MOS for successful completion of cell cycle progression after activation [6, 7]. Moreover, supplementation of the electrically or ethanol-activated oocytes with KP significantly reduced the level of CCNB1 transcript, which is essential for MPF inhibition to allow meiosis II to proceed [9]. Interestingly, the interplay between MOS and CCNB1 plays an important role during meiosis in mammalian oocytes [10–15]. Physiologically, a spermatozoa Ca$^{2+}$ signal induces degradation of cyclin B1 which in turn results in exit of oocyte from metaphase II arrest and permits extruding the second polar body [14]. On the other hand, MOS is critical for protracted metaphase II arrest, but it is not required for
its establishment [64, 65]. Paradoxically, MPF activity may negatively regulate the MOS pathway; Yamamoto et al. [66] showed that when MPF activity reaches a critical lower level, the c-Mos/MAPK pathway suppresses cyclin B degradation in order to elevate MPF levels, while elevation of MPF beyond a critical upper level activates cyclin B degradation.

4. Conclusion

Kisspeptin supplementation with 6-DMAP culture improved the developmental competence of oocytes activated with electric pulse and 8% ethanol. Relative quantitative analysis of the transcript showed changes associated with the efficient
activation of oocytes; MOS expression was increased after electric and ionomycin activation and this effect was magnified in presence of KP in electrically activated oocytes. This could explain the difference in cleavage and blastocyst development observed in the two groups as compared with the ethanol-activated group. On the other hand, CCNB1 expression was decreased in electric and ethanol-activated oocytes treated with KP. This result might also explain the interplay between different regulatory components of calcium ions, MOS/CCNB1/MAPK pathway to exit from oocyte metaphase II arrest which in turn reflects difference in embryo cleavage, and blastocyst development observed between groups treated with the calcium modulatory peptide KP.

We suggest that KP supplementation may be adopted in the protocol for electric and ethanol activation of porcine oocyte to improve the embryonic developmental competence.
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