A Study of 4H-SiC Semi-Superjunction Rectifiers for Practical Realisation
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Abstract. This paper discusses the design and simulation of 4H-SiC semi-SJ structures producing results that are below the unipolar limit, whilst also ensuring practical and cost-effective realisation. The results demonstrate that a semi-SJ structure with a 10° sidewall angle increases the implantation window of the device by 45 %, relative to the full-SJ, whilst maintaining a high VBD of ~2 kV and a low RON,SP. This design facilitates a wide implantation window with a reduced trench aspect ratio, significantly improving the practical realisation of the device. It also offers softer reverse recovery characteristics as a result of both the angled trench sidewall and the n-bottom assist layer (n-BAL) which allows for the structure to be depleted gradually.

Introduction

Silicon Carbide (SiC) Schottky diodes and power MOSFETs now offer circuit designers a fast-switching alternative to Silicon (Si) PiN diodes and IGBTs within the 600 – 1700 V class. However, despite the low specific on-resistance (RON,SP) of SiC power devices when compared to Si devices, the relationship between RON,SP and the blocking voltage (VBD) (RON,SP ∝ VBD².5 [1]) limits the use of SiC unipolar devices at higher voltage ratings. As established in Si, superjunction (SJ) devices allows the relationship between RON,SP and VBD to be almost linearized, reducing the RON,SP to below the material’s unipolar limit. SJ structures thereby reduce on-state losses at higher voltages, whilst maintaining fast switching compared to bipolar devices.

Superjunction devices are achieved by developing highly-doped and perfectly charge balanced n- and p-columns throughout the drift region, as opposed to a single lowly-doped drift region. The requirement for both charge-balanced columns and a high trench aspect ratio (ASJ; the ratio of trench width to trench depth) results in complex process flows. Many of the traditional SJ fabrication techniques developed for Si SJ processing are yet currently not possible in SiC [2]. However, there have been promising developments in SiC SJ fabrication with Kosugi et al. [3] demonstrating a 6.8 kV SJ via a trench-refill process, Baba et al. demonstrating a 3.3 kV SJ with an epitaxial regrowth and implantation process [4].

Previously, we proposed a 2 kV full-SJ device that could be realised via a trench-etch and tilted implantation [5]. The introduction of a trench sidewall angle of 10°, in reference to the y-axis, established a graded charge profile throughout the drift region, resulting in an implantation window that was 20 % wider when compared to the vertical SJ - making device fabrication more likely.

In this paper, both the trench sidewall angle and tilted implantation are introduced into a semi-SJ topology. The impact of the 10° sidewall angle will be analysed via TCAD simulation (Sentaurus) and discussed with the aim of maintaining a VBD > 2 kV whilst improving the implantation window.
Device Structure and Simulation Setup

The proposed semi-SJ as can be seen in Fig. 1 and could be developed via a trench-etch and tilted sidewall implantation. The key dimensions and parameters of the proposed structure can be seen in Table 1. The substrate of the structure is 4H-SiC with a doping concentration \( N_{\text{SUB}} \) of \( 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) and a thickness \( t_{\text{SUB}} \) of 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), as if it had been thinned post-processing. The drift-region is the combination of the n-drift \( (N_D) \) and the n-BAL \( (N_{\text{BAL}}) \), both of which have a doping concentration of \( 3.5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \). The structure has a uniform doping concentration throughout to simplify device fabrication. The thickness of both the n-drift and n-BAL \( (t_{\text{drift}}) \) total 9.0 \( \mu \text{m} \) and the thicknesses are split between the t BAL-ratio \( = (t_{\text{BAL}}/t_{\text{drift}}) \times 100 \). A controllable bevelled-trench profile has been demonstrated in [6]. The p-pillar has a thickness \( (t_{\text{pillar}}) \) of 200 nm, a doping concentration \( (N_A) \), and is assumed to have a box-shape profile. The implantation profile is formed via two Al ions implantations. The first of which is a tilted implantation into both sides of the trench sidewalls, for a given \( \alpha = 10^\circ \) a tilted implantation at 30\(^\circ\) allows for the Al ions to reach the bottom of the trench. The second implantation is a vertical implant into the trench bottom. The simulated doping concentrations consider the impact of both incomplete ionisation and activation [7], however, the effects of compensation have not been considered. The half-cell mesa width \( (t_{\text{MW}}) \) is measured at the trench mid-point and has a width of 2.1 \( \mu \text{m} \), with \( \alpha \) in reference to the \( y \)-axis. Pivoting the trench angle about the mid-point allows for charge balance to be maintained with varying degrees of trench sidewall angle \( (\alpha) \) for a given \( t_{\text{BAL}} \)-ratio. A The trench would be passivated with a high-quality \( \text{SiO}_2 \) layer before being refilled with polyamide (PI). Metal contacts can be formed on the top- and bottom-side of the device. The work-function of the top-side contact is 5.2 eV, similar to that of Ni. The half-cell pitch \( (t_{\text{cell}}) \) is fixed at 4.2 \( \mu \text{m} \) for all devices to ensure comparable current densities. The models utilised within this study have been discussed in our previous work [5].

Simulation Results and Discussion

This paper reports on the TCAD optimisation of semi-SJs with a uniform doping concentration and an angled trench sidewall, as seen in Fig. 1. Firstly, the \( t_{\text{BAL}} \)-ratio for vertical structures must be identified. As outlined in Fig. 2 (left-left), the \( N_A \) was swept for SJ structures with a \( t_{\text{BAL}} \)-ratio varying between 0 % - 60 %. It should be noted that a \( t_{\text{BAL}} \)-ratio of 0 % means the structure is a full-SJ. Fig. 2

---

Table 1. Key dimensions and parameters of proposed semi-SJ structure

| Symbol  | Definition                      | Value     | Units |
|---------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|
| \( t_{\text{SJ}} \) | Thickness of drift-region       | 3.6-9.0   | \( \mu \text{m} \) |
| \( t_{\text{cell}} \) | Cell pitch                      | 4.2       |       |
| \( t_{\text{SCA}} \) | Min. Schottky contact area      | 1.1       |       |
| \( t_{\text{pillar}} \) | Thickness of p-pillar           | 0.2       |       |
| \( t_{\text{BAL}} \) | Thickness of BAL                | 0.0-5.4   | \( \mu \text{m} \) |
| \( t_{\text{MW}} \) | Mesa-width                      | 2.1       |       |
| \( t_{\text{TB}} \) | Min. Trench Bottom              | 1.3       |       |
| \( t_{\text{drift}} \) | Total drift region thickness    | 9.0       |       |
| \( t_{\text{SUB}} \) | Substrate thickness             | 100       |       |
| \( N_D \)  | Drift region doping             | \( 3.5 \times 10^{16} \) | \( \text{cm}^{-3} \) |
| \( N_{\text{BAL}} \) | Bottom assist layer doping      | \( 3.5 \times 10^{16} \) | \( \text{cm}^{-3} \) |
| \( N_A \)  | P-pillar doping                 | 3.25 - 4.5 \( \times 10^{17} \) | \( \text{cm}^{-3} \) |
| \( N_{\text{SUB}} \) | Substrate doping                | 1.0 \( \times 10^{19} \) |       |
| \( \alpha \) | Trench sidewall angle*          | 0, 10     | \( ^\circ \) |
| \( \beta \) | Tilted implantation angle*      | \( \sim30 \) | \( ^\circ \) |

* Angles in reference to the \( y \)-axis
demonstrates that the full-SJ achieves a VBD of 2090 V and a RON,SP of 0.59 mΩ·cm² – both the highest VBD and RON,SP in the study. This is because the structure is fully depleted by the alternating SJ pillars and thus achieves the maximum VBD. However, it is worth noting a tBAL-ratio of 10 % also achieves a high VBD of 2080 V and a comparable RON,SP to the full-SJ, whilst also being easier to fabricate. This shows the true nature of the 2-D field shaping in SJ structures. The thin and highly doped BAL being fully depleted by the p-doping that surrounds the trench bottom. A VBD > 2 kV can be maintained up to a tBAL-ratio of 22 %, beyond which a significant reduction in VBD occurs. This is the combined effect of having a shallower SJ region and also a thicker highly doped n-BAL region as tBAL increases. As the value of the tBAL is further increased, the electric field cannot spread into the thicker region, and thus greater proportions of VBD are lost with increasing tBAL.

The RON,SP of the structures continues to decrease with increasing tBAL-ratio, as can be seen in Fig. 2 (left-right). This is the result of two effects; firstly, the impact of the pinching between the alternating n- and p-pillars is reduced as the tSJ is reduced, and secondly, the additional unbalanced charge within the BAL adds a significant number of carriers to the forward operating state. It must be noted that as the RON,SP decreases, so does the VBD. As demonstrated in Fig. 2 (left-left), the implantation window (defined as the full-width at 85 % of the peak VBD) is widened from 22-38 % for tBAL-ratios between 10-22 % compared to the vertical full-SJ. The wider implantation window within semi-SJ structures is the result of how the electric field spreads throughout the n-BAL. If the p-pillars are under-doped, a high Qn condition occurs in the SJ region. This leads to a reduction in VBD as a result of the reduction of the peak electric field at the n-drift/n-BAL interface, which further leads to a reduction in the electric field supported within the n-BAL. Conversely, if the p-pillars are over-doped, a high Qp condition occurs within the SJ region. This results in a high electric field at the n-drift/n-BAL interface, which in turn allows for a greater electric field to be supported in the n-BAL. This means that semi-SJs offer a greater tolerance to charge imbalance as a result of the peak VBD occurring at high Qp conditions.

Hereafter, a tBAL of 2.0 µm was used throughout the rest of this study, equating to a tBAL-ratio of 22 %. This structure achieved a VBD of 2090 V and a RON,SP of 0.59 mΩ·cm² – both the highest VBD and RON,SP in the study. This is because of the combination of both the graded charge profile and the n-BAL, which aids the modulation of the electric field, even under the presence of charge imbalance. Thus, the semi-SJ with
A graded charge profile offers a significantly wider implantation window and a reduced A_SJ, thus increasing its manufacturability.

The similar on-state results can be seen in Fig. 3 (left-right) with all devices turning-on around 1.6 V - consistent with the work function of Ni. Fig. 3 (left-right) reveals that the vertical semi-SJ has a comparable R_{ON,SP} to the full-SJ. The impact of $\alpha = 10^\circ$ degrading the device V_{BD} and R_{ON,SP} is reduced when implemented within a semi-SJ topology. The semi-SJ with a $10^\circ$ sidewall reduces the V_{BD} by 5 % and increases the R_{ON,SP} by 8 %, relative the vertical full-SJ. These characteristics could be recovered by either optimising the n-BAL region and/or by rebalancing the top of the structure.

Finally, it was found that the introduction of the $\alpha = 10^\circ$ allowed for the gradual depletion of the drift region, thus reducing the rapid decrease in dC/dV as the structure is fully depleted. In turn, this allows for smoother switching characteristics as can be seen in Fig. 3 (right) comparing the semi-SJ with a $10^\circ$ sidewall to the full-SJ. Not only are the effects of ringing suppressed by the gradual depletion of the device, but the peak reverse recovery current is also reduced.

**Summary**

Simulations have shown that the proposed semi-SJ with a $10^\circ$ sidewall is a practically realisable solution to SJ design. The structure achieves a wider implantation window and softer switching characteristics whilst only partially degrading the V_{BD} and R_{ON,SP} relative to the full-SJ. The combination of both the graded charge profile with the n-BAL allow for greater tolerance to charge imbalance whilst also allowing the structure to deplete more slowly and thus reducing the dC/dV response, hence producing a softer reverse recovery.
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