Hypofibrinogenemia is associated with a high degree of risk in infectious diseases: a post-hoc analysis of post-marketing surveillance of patients with disseminated intravascular coagulation treated with thrombomodulin alfa
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Abstract

Background: In patients with infectious diseases, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) is often diagnosed without the fibrinogen value. The relationship between hypofibrinogenemia and outcomes of DIC in infectious diseases has thus remained unclear.

Methods: We analyzed 3204 patients who received with thrombomodulin alfa (TM-α) for DIC and suspected DIC. Hypofibrinogenemia was defined by a fibrinogen level < 1.5 g/L.

Results: Hypofibrinogenemia was observed in 10.3% of patients with infectious diseases. The frequencies of both bleeding and organ failure symptoms, and the scores for organ failure or the DIC diagnostic criteria were significantly higher in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia, suggesting that in patients with infectious diseases, hypofibrinogenemia is associated with more progressive and severe DIC. Although the 28-day survival rate and the DIC resolution rate were both significantly lower for infectious disease patients with DIC with hypofibrinogenemia than for those without hypofibrinogenemia, this difference was not observed in DIC patients with hematological diseases.

Conclusions: Hypofibrinogenemia among infectious disease patients with DIC may reflect increased consumption of fibrinogen due to accelerated coagulation reactions, while hypofibrinogenemia among hematological disease patients with DIC may be caused by fibrinogenolysis due to hyperfibrinolysis, and frequently results in bleeding and multiple-organ failure.
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Introduction
Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), which occurs in association with various underlying diseases, such as sepsis, hematological malignancy, solid tumors and aneurysm, is often associated with severe and life-threatening bleeding or organ failure with [1–3]. DIC is characterized by the systemic activation or consumption of components in the coagulation pathways, such as increased fibrin generation or thrombocytopenia, resulting in multiple-organ failure or bleeding tendency [4, 5].

The diagnostic criteria for DIC have been established by the Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare (JMHW) [6], the International Society for Thrombosis Haemostasis (ISTH) [4], the Japanese Association for Acute Medicine (JAAM) [7] and the Japanese Society of Thrombosis and Hemostasis (JSTH) [8]. These four sets of diagnostic criteria are all based on scoring systems using similar laboratory tests; however, the cutoff values for DIC scores and laboratory test results differ. The JSTH diagnostic criteria [8, 9] classified the diseases underlying DIC into infectious, hematopoietic disorder and basic types.

The guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of DIC have been published by the British Committee for Standards in Haematology (BCSH) [10], the JSTH [11], the Italian Society for Thrombosis and Haemostasis (SISET) [12] and the ISTH [13]. Most guidelines show that infectious-type DIC is frequently associated with organ failure, whereas hypofibrinogenemia or bleeding tendency is less common. They have recommended the treatment of DIC via treatment of the underlying diseases, along with supportive therapy such as administration of platelet concentrates and fresh frozen plasma [10–13]. The administration of antithrombin (AT) and thrombomodulin alfa (TM-α) are also recommended in the JSTH guidelines [11, 14]. A phase 3 study [15] and several retrospective studies [16–18], including postmarketing surveillance (PMS), have reported the efficacy and safety of TM-α for DIC patients with infectious and hematological diseases. A randomized controlled trial of AT, activated protein C and TM-α for severe sepsis failed to confirm any significant improvement in the outcomes of patients with severe sepsis [19–21].

The present study examined the frequency of hypofibrinogenemia in infectious and hematological diseases with DIC and suspected DIC, and analyzed the characteristics of hypofibrinogenemia in infectious disease patients with DIC.

Patients and methods
The clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of patients with DIC and suspected DIC that was treated with TM-α between May 2008 and April 2010 were retrospectively analyzed in a subgroup analysis of PMS data. The original PMS study was an open-label, multicenter, non-interventional, prospective, observational cohort study of patients with DIC who received TM-α [16]. The PMS for TM-α was conducted in accordance with the Japanese Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis Post-Marketing Surveillance Committee for Recombinuling Injection and the guidelines for Good Postmarketing Study Practice, as required by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (JMHLW). We used existing data without personally identifiable information throughout our study. The original PMS study was therefore exempted from local institutional review and formal approval, as well as the requirement for informed consent. In the PMS for TM-α, all patients were diagnosed with DIC and suspected DIC by attending physicians based on JMHLW or JAAM diagnostic criteria.

The PMS data included 3204 patients with DIC and suspected DIC (patients with infectious diseases, n = 2083; patients with hematological diseases, n = 1121). The underlying diseases of the patients with infectious diseases were as follows; sepsis (n = 837), pneumonia (n = 359), peritonitis (n = 260), urinary tract infection (n = 163), hematobiliary pancreatic system infection (n = 117), skin and muscle infections (n = 55), gastrointestinal infections (n = 53), meningitis (n = 37), viral infection (n = 25), wound infection (n = 24), pleural infection (n = 18), and others (n = 135). The underlying diseases of the patients with hematological diseases were as follows; acute myeloid leukemia (n = 235), acute promyelocytic leukemia (n = 167), non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (n = 156), acute lymphocytic leukemia (n = 144), acute myelomonocytic leukemia (n = 55), myelodysplastic syndromes (n = 51), acute monocytic leukemia (n = 47), multiple myeloma (n = 35), adult T cell leukemia (n = 18), hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (n = 17), chronic myelogenous leukemia (n = 17), hemophagocytic syndrome (n = 14), acute erythroid leukemia (n = 13), febrile neutropenia (n = 11), acute megakaryoblastic leukemia (n = 7), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (n = 7), aplastic anemia (n = 5), Hodgkin’s lymphoma (n = 5), and others (n = 117).

The DIC resolution rate, 28-day survival rate, rates of adverse drug reaction and bleeding-related adverse drug reactions were investigated. The degree of coagulopathy was evaluated by calculating DIC scores according to the DIC diagnostic criteria of the JAAM [7] for infectious diseases and those of the JMHW [6] and ISTH [4] for hematological diseases. After treatment with TM-α, resolution of DIC was defined as a score ≤3 using the diagnostic criteria of the JAAM, ≤2 using the diagnostic criteria of the JMHW for DIC in patients with hematological diseases, ≤5 using the diagnostic criteria of the JMHW for infectious diseases, and ≤4 using the diagnostic criteria of the ISTH for both diseases. The PMS for TM-α started before the establishment of the
JSTH DIC diagnostic criteria. As a result, there were few records that included "change of platelet count" or a few data for soluble fibrin and thrombin-antithrombin complex (TAT); thus, the JSTH scores could not be calculated. Survival was calculated at 28 days from the beginning of TM-α treatment or at the end of observation.

In patients with infectious diseases, the severity of organ failure was assessed using the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score [22]. The symptoms of organ failure were decided by the attending physician based on clinical signs indicating organ dysfunction due to DIC [6]. Laboratory tests such as the white blood cell (WBC) count and platelet count, and the measurement of hemoglobin, albumin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), total bilirubin (T-Bil), creatine and C-reactive protein (CRP) levels, and hemostatic tests such as the prothrombin time (PT)-international ratio (INR), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), fibrinogen, fibrinogen, fibrin degradation products (FDP), D-dimer, AT, protein C, TAT and plasmin-α2 plasmin inhibitor complex (PIC) were performed in each participating institute. Patients with fibrinogen < 1.5 g/L were considered to have hypofibrinogenemia. The rates of adverse drug reaction and bleeding-related adverse drug reaction were evaluated from the start of TM-α treatment to day 28 after the end of TM-α treatment. The safety data were coded using preferred terms from the Japanese translation of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (version 13.1).

### Statistical analysis
In the descriptive analyses of baseline characteristics, numerical data were expressed as the median (Q1, Q3; interquartile range). The chi-square test and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test were performed as statistical analyses. *P* values of < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. Multiplicity adjustment was not considered. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) by EPS Corporation (Tokyo, Japan) according to the plan for the statistical analysis.

### Results
Among cases in which the fibrinogen levels were measured, hypofibrinogenemia (fibrinogen < 1.5 g/L) was observed in 10.3% (215/2083) of the patients with infectious diseases, and 27.8% (312/1121) of the patients with hematological diseases (Table 1). In both diseases, the ratio of males was slightly lower among patients with hypofibrinogenemia than among those without hypofibrinogenemia. Before registration, the administration rate of AT concentrate administration was significantly lower in the infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without

| Demographic characteristics in infectious disease and hematological disease patients with or without hypofibrinogenemia |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Fibrinogen, g/L** | **Infectious disease** | **Hematological disease** |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| ≥1.5                 | < 1.5                  | ≥1.5                    | < 1.5                  | p-value | ≥1.5 | < 1.5             | p-value                   |
| Age, years           | 1865                   | 215                     | 70 (53–79)             | 0.1094 | 809  | 61 (43–70)       | 311                      | 63 (41–73) | 0.0578 |
| Male                 | 1868                   | 215 (109 (50.7)         | 0.0249                 | 809  | 506 (62.5)      | 312                      | 165 (52.9) | 0.0045 |
| Body weight, kg      | 1861                   | 215 (53 (46–62)         | 0.0005                 | 808. | 55 (48.4–64)    | 312                      | 55 (48.2–63.8) | 0.7995 |
| SOFA score           | 1164                   | 118 (11 (8–15)          | 0.0144                 | 551  | 4 (3–5)         | 226                      | 6 (5–7) | <0.0001 |
| JMHW DIC score       | 1081                   | 104 (9 (7–10)           | <0.0001                | 551  | 4 (3–5)         | 226                      | 6 (5–7) | <0.0001 |
| ISTH DIC score       | 580                    | 54 (5 (4–7)             | 0.0002                 | 209  | 5 (4–6)         | 81                       | 6 (5–7) | <0.0001 |
| JAAM DIC score       | 1198                   | 119 (5 (6–8)            | 0.0005                 | –    | –               | –                        | –                    | –                  |
| Pre-administration   | 1868                   | 215                     | –                      | –    | –               | –                        | –                    | –                  |
| AT concentrate       | 364 (195)              | 27 (12.6)               | 0.0138                 | 62   | 7 (7)           | 13 (4.2)                 | 0.0357               |
| Gabexate mesylate    | 343 (18.4)             | 42 (19.5)               | 0.6748                 | 61   | 7 (5.3)         | 29 (9.3)                 | 0.3326               |
| Nafamostat mesylate  | 175 (9.4)              | 12 (5.6)                | 0.0658                 | 29   | 3 (4.3)         | 12 (3.8)                 | 0.8344               |
| Unfractionated heparin | 91 (4.9)              | 6 (2.8)                 | 0.1703                 | 29   | 2 (2.4)         | 7 (2.2)                  | 0.2537               |
| LMWH                 | 34 (1.8)               | 5 (2.3)                 | 0.6046                 | 65   | 8 (0.3)         | 10 (3.2)                 | 0.0037               |
| Danaparoid sodium    | 66 (3.5)               | 8 (3.7)                 | 0.8880                 | 31   | 3 (3.8)         | 20 (6.4)                 | 0.0634               |
| Comorbidities        | 1868                   | 215                     | 809                    | 312  | 312             | –                        | –                    | –                  |
| Bleeding symptoms    | 234 (12.5)             | 54 (25.1)               | <0.0001                | 225  | 27 (27.8)       | 144 (46.2)               | <0.0001              |
| Organ symptoms       | 861 (46.1)             | 116 (54.0)              | 0.0292                 | 221  | 27 (27.3)       | 71 (22.8)                | 0.1189               |

Data are given as n (%) or median (IQR)

DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; AT, antithrombin; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; JMHW, Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare; ISTH, International Society of Thrombosis Haemostasis; JAAM, Japanese Association for Acute Medicine
hypofibrinogenemia. The rates of bleeding and organ failure symptoms were both significantly higher in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without hypofibrinogenemia. The median SOFA, JMHW, ISTH and JAAM scores were significantly higher in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia (11, 9, 5 and 6, respectively) than in those without hypofibrinogenemia (10, 6, 4 and 5, respectively; Table 1).

Regarding the hemostatic examinations, the PT-INR was significantly prolonged among patients with hypofibrinogenemia, and the fibrinogen and AT levels were significantly lower among patients with hypofibrinogenemia than among those without hypofibrinogenemia (Supplementary Table 1). The FDP, D-dimer and TAT levels were significantly higher among patients with hypofibrinogenemia than among those without hypofibrinogenemia. The PIC levels in infectious disease patients with and without hypofibrinogenemia did not differ to a statistically significant extent; however, the PIC levels in hematological disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia were significantly higher than in those without hypofibrinogenemia. The platelet counts in infectious disease patients were significantly lower among patients with hypofibrinogenemia than among those without hypofibrinogenemia (Supplementary Table 2). In both diseases, the albumin and LDH levels were significantly higher and the CRP levels were significantly lower in patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without hypofibrinogenemia.

In the treatment of DIC, the TM-α dose and the period of administration in patients with and without hypofibrinogenemia did not differ to a statistically significant extent (Supplementary Table 3). AT concentrate, gabexate mesylate, nafamostat mesylate, unfractionated heparin, low molecular weight heparin, danaparoid sodium, platelet concentrates, fresh frozen plasma and red blood cells were also administered, as shown in Supplementary Table 3. Although the 28-day survival rate of infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia (50.0%) was significantly lower ($p < 0.0001$) than that of infectious disease patients without hypofibrinogenemia (71.6%), the 28-day survival rate of hematological diseases patients with and without hypofibrinogenemia did not differ to a statistically significant extent (Table 2). The resolution rates according to the JMHW, ISTH and JAAM DIC diagnostic criteria were significantly lower ($p = 0.0058$, $p < 0.0001$ and $p < 0.0001$, respectively) in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without hypofibrinogenemia; this difference was not observed in the hematological disease patients with and without hypofibrinogenemia. In both the infectious disease and hematological disease groups, the clinical course of bleeding symptoms did not differ between patients with and without hypofibrinogenemia.

### Discussion

As hypofibrinogenemia is frequently related to the bleeding tendency in DIC patients with hematological disease and organ failure is often associated with DIC patients with infectious disease, hypofibrinogenemia is considered to be frequently associated with DIC in patients with hematological disease, but rarely with DIC in patients with infectious disease [5, 14]. However, some septic cases have been reported to be associated with severe bleeding [23, 24]. Our analysis of the PMS data revealed that hypofibrinogenemia was present in 10.3% of infectious diseases with DIC and suspected DIC, suggesting that hypofibrinogenemia is sometimes associated with DIC in infectious diseases. As the DIC scores were high, many patients in this study were considered to meet the definition of severe DIC. Thus, the frequency of hypofibrinogenemia in DIC patients with infectious disease may generally be less than 10.3%. Both the frequency of bleeding and organ failure symptoms, and SOFA, JMHW, ISTH and JAAM scores in infectious disease were significantly higher in DIC patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without hypofibrinogenemia. This suggests that hypofibrinogenemia in DIC patients with infectious disease can be considered to

### Table 2 Outcomes of infectious disease or hematological disease patients in with or without hypofibrinogenemia

| Fibrinogen, g/L | Infectious diseases | Hematological diseases |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                 | ≥1.5 | <1.5 | p-value | ≥1.5 | <1.5 | p-value |
| 28-day survival | 1846 | 1321 (71.6) | 212 | 106 (50.0) | <0.0001 | 807 | 587 (72.7) | 312 | 220 (70.5) | 0.4566 |
| JMHW DIC resolution | 683 | 427 (62.5) | 98 | 47 (48.0) | 0.0058 | 476 | 275 (57.8) | 231 | 113 (48.9) | 0.0265 |
| ISTH DIC resolution | 651 | 449 (69.0) | 119 | 57 (47.9) | <0.0001 | 385 | 240 (62.3) | 202 | 116 (57.4) | 0.2472 |
| JAAM DIC resolution | 1266 | 513 (40.5) | 156 | 30 (19.2) | <0.0000 | – | – | – | – |
| Clinical course of bleeding symptoms | | | | | | | | | |
| Disappeared+Improved | 216 | 99 (45.8) | 48 | 24 (50.0) | 0.6007 | 217 | 110 (50.7) | 142 | 86 (60.6) | 0.0662 |
| Unchanged+Exacerbated | 216 | 117 (54.2) | 48 | 24 (50.0) | 0.6007 | 217 | 107 (49.3) | 142 | 56 (39.4) | 0.0662 |

Data are given as n (%). DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; JMHW, Japanese Ministry of Health and Welfare; ISTH, International Society of Thrombosis Haemostasis; JAAM, Japanese Association for Acute Medicine.
indicate severe and advanced DIC, in which patients exhibit both bleeding and organ-failure symptoms.

The platelet count in infectious diseases was lower in DIC patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without hypofibrinogenemia, suggesting that more severe thrombocytopenia contributes to increased bleeding symptoms or is reflected by multiple thrombi and a subsequent poor prognosis.

The APTT, AT, FDP, D-dimer and TAT levels were significantly greater in patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without. In DIC patients with infectious disease, no significant differences in PIC levels were seen between the subgroups with and without hypofibrinogenemia. However, in hematological disease patients with DIC, the PIC levels were significantly higher in patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without. These findings indicate that the mechanisms of hypofibrinogenemia in DIC may differ between patients with infectious diseases and hematological diseases, in whom DIC often causes hyperfibrinolysis due to hyper-plasminogen activation by leukemic cells [25, 26]. Conversely, the relatively low levels of PIC in infectious disease did not show hyperfibrinolysis in DIC patients with hypofibrinogenemia, and the increased FDP and D-dimer levels showed the progression of DIC, resulting in high SOFA scores in patients with this type of DIC.

Reduced AT levels may be caused by decreased production due to liver dysfunction, the consumption of AT, leakage into the third space due to increased permeability of vascular endothelial cells [27] or less administration of AT. Although a high DIC score indicates the existence of consumption coagulopathy in DIC patients with severe AT deficiency, decreased albumin and cholinesterase levels and increased T-Bil and creatinine levels suggest that liver dysfunction, plasma leakage, or renal dysfunction might play some role in AT deficiency in patients with DIC. In comparison, the mechanisms underlying hypofibrinogenemia in patients with infectious diseases and DIC are suggested to involve a progressive hypercoagulable state that disseminates and causes the consumption of coagulation factors, such as fibrinogen.

Before registration, the frequency of AT administration was significantly lower in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in those without, suggesting that single anticoagulation therapy tended to be selected in daily clinical practice. Another possibility is that DIC in patients with infectious diseases may result in hypofibrinogenemia with low AT levels in patients who are not treated with AT. The effect of recombinant thrombomodulin administration in sepsis-induced coagulopathy in the SCARLET study [21] was not statistically significant. However, a post hoc analysis revealed a 5.4% reduction in absolute mortality among patients who fulfilled the entry criterion at baseline [28]. Although no significant differences in the TM-α dose or the period of administration were seen between these two states in both types of DIC, the 28-day survival rate and resolution rate according to JMHW, ISTH or JAAM diagnostic criteria in infectious diseases were significantly lower in DIC patients with hypofibrinogenemia than in DIC patients without hypofibrinogenemia. This was only observed in DIC patients with infectious disease. As the mechanism of hypofibrinogenemia in DIC differs between infectious and hematological diseases, hypofibrinogenemia in DIC is considered to be associated with a high risk of infectious diseases, but not hematological diseases. That is, hypofibrinogenemia in infectious diseases may correlate with the severity of DIC, but may be caused by hyperfibrinolysis in hematological diseases, and thus is not associated with poor outcomes. Conversely, no significant differences in the 28-day survival rate or the resolution rate (according to the JMHW, ISTH or JAAM diagnostic criteria for DIC) were evident in hematological disease patients with DIC. DIC patients with increased fibrinogen levels were previously reported to show poor outcomes; however, that previous study included many patients with hematological diseases [29]. High fibrinogen levels might be associated with a hypofibrinolytic state and elevated levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 [30], which is a biomarker for a poor outcome or organ failure due to infection. Production of fibrinogen is upregulated by the liver in infectious diseases, resulting in the observation of increased fibrinogen levels in many DIC patients with infectious diseases [3]. However, hypofibrinogenemia is sometimes caused by consumption of fibrinogen under conditions of hypercoagulability, suppressed production by liver injury, or hyperfibrinolysis [3]. After improvement of these conditions, the plasma fibrinogen level will increase and show various levels depending on the clinical course of infectious disease or liver function. Hypofibrinogen levels may thus strongly reflect the clinical course of the underlying disease, liver function, fibrinolysis, or timing of evaluations [5]. PMS data for TM-α did not show details of bleeding or organ failure symptoms related to the mortality in infectious disease patients with hypofibrinogenemia. Further studies to examine the relationships between severe bleeding or organ failure with hypofibrinogenemia and poor outcome in infectious disease patients with DIC are needed.

In conclusion, DIC in infectious diseases is sometimes associated with hypofibrinogenemia, which reflects the increased consumption of fibrinogen due to accelerated coagulation. Hypofibrinogenemia in DIC patients with
infectious diseases is therefore associated with poor outcomes, and the monitoring of fibrinogen levels appears important in the management of DIC in infectious diseases.
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