Genetically engineered human cortical spheroid models of tuberous sclerosis

John D. Blair¹, Dirk Hockemeyer¹ and Helen S. Bateup¹,2*

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a multisystem developmental disorder caused by mutations in the TSC1 or TSC2 genes, whose protein products are negative regulators of mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 signaling. Hallmark pathologies of TSC are cortical tubers—regions of dysmorphic, disorganized neurons and glia in the cortex that are linked to epileptogenesis. To determine the developmental origin of tuber cells, we established human cellular models of TSC by CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing of TSC1 or TSC2 in human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs). Using heterozygous TSC2 hPSCs with a conditional mutation in the functional allele, we show that mosaic biallelic inactivation during neural progenitor expansion is necessary for the formation of dysplastic cells and increased glia production in three-dimensional cortical spheroids. Our findings provide support for the second-hit model of cortical tuber formation and suggest that variable developmental timing of somatic mutations could contribute to the heterogeneity in the neurological presentation of TSC.

Tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) is a developmental disorder caused by mutations in the TSC1 or TSC2 genes. TSC affects multiple systems causing nonmalignant hamartomas that can affect the skin, heart, kidney, lung, and brain. Among the most debilitating aspects of TSC are the neurological symptoms. Approximately 90% of TSC patients have epilepsy that begins in infancy and early childhood and in many cases becomes intractable. Intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder occur in about half of TSC patients, with other psychiatric conditions prevalent. The origins of the neurological aspects of TSC are not well understood; however, patients present with characteristic pathologies, called cortical tubers, which are macroscopic regions of disorganized and dysmorphic cells in the cortex. Tubers and the perituberal cortex often become epileptic foci and increased tuber load is correlated with more severe epilepsy and cognitive impairment.

Work from mouse models indicates that loss of Tsc1 or Tsc2 from cortical progenitor cells results in altered neuronal differentiation, morphology, and migration, consistent with histological observations in patient tissue. However, bona fide tubers are not found in rodent models. This may be a result of differences between mouse and human cortical development. Human cortical neurogenesis occurs over a longer time (about 140 days in humans compared with 8 days in mice), requires many more cell divisions, and exhibits unique proliferative zones and progenitor cell types. Therefore, an experimental system that recapitulates early human cortical development is needed to understand the molecular and cellular origins of tubers.

At the biochemical level, the protein products of TSC1 and TSC2 form a heterodimeric protein complex that is an essential negative regulator of mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) signaling. mTORC1 is a kinase that controls key cellular processes including nutrient sensing, protein synthesis, and autophagy. Two primary effectors of mTORC1 signaling are p70S6 kinase, which phosphorylates the ribosomal protein S6, and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), which controls formation of the translation initiation complex. TSC2 is a GTPase-activating protein for the small GTP-binding protein Rheb, which is a direct activator of mTORC1. TSC1 is required to stabilize TSC2 and loss of either protein disrupts TSC1–TSC2 complex function. In the absence of the TSC1–TSC2 complex, mTORC1 signaling is constitutively active, leading to alterations in cell growth, metabolism, and proliferation.

The proposed model of cortical tuber formation is that somatic “second-hit” mutations in patients with heterozygous germ line mutations result in loss of function of the TSC1–TSC2 complex and hyperactivation of mTORC1 signaling in a subset of cortical progenitor cells. In line with this, there is clear evidence that loss of heterozygosity of TSC1 or TSC2 causes TSC-associated hamartomas including those in the brain, lung, and kidney. However, second-hit mutations have only been observed in a minority of surgically resected cortical tubers from TSC patients, giving rise to the idea that haploinsufficiency may contribute to the neurological and cognitive aspects of TSC. Here we investigate the developmental origins of tuber cells using two- (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) human neuronal cultures with engineered mutations in the TSC1 or TSC2 genes. We find that homozygous, but not heterozygous, loss of TSC1 or TSC2 profoundly affects the development of human cortical neurons and glia, giving rise to dysplastic cells resembling those found in tubers.

Results

Gene editing TSC1 and TSC2 in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs). To establish a genetically controlled platform for assessing the impact of loss-of-function mutations in TSC1 and TSC2 on human neural development, we used CRISPR-Cas9 to delete either exon 17 of TSC1 (Fig. 1a) or exon 5 of TSC2 (Fig. 1b) in hESCs. We chose these exons for targeted deletion based on their small size and expected introduction of a frameshift and premature stop codon. Mutations were engineered in the same hESC line (WIBR3), and cell lines were generated with heterozygous or homozygous mutations for each gene (Supplementary Fig. 1a,b). All hESC lines expressed pluripotency markers, exhibited normal morphology, and had no major chromosomal abnormalities (Supplementary Fig. 1c–g and Supplementary Table 1).
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We verified by western blotting that homozygous mutant hESCs exhibited complete loss of TSC1 or TSC2 protein and heterozygous cells exhibited partial loss (Fig. 1c–e, Supplementary Fig. 2, and Supplementary Table 2). We observed a significant reduction in TSC2 protein in TSC1+/− cells and TSC1 protein in TSC2+/− cells, consistent with prior data showing that the TSC1 and TSC2 proteins stabilize each other and that in the absence of one protein, the other is degraded36. We examined the phosphorylation state of the mTORC1 pathway targets, ribosomal protein S6 and 4E-BP1, and found significant elevations in p-S6 in TSC2+/− cells and p-4E-BP1 in TSC1+/− and TSC2+/− hESCs (Fig. 1c,f,h). Total levels of S6 protein were also significantly increased in TSC1+/− cells (Fig. 1c, g), consistent with mTORC1’s role in promoting the synthesis of ribosomal proteins39,40. Notably, hESCs with heterozygous mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 did not display activation of mTORC1 signaling (Fig. 1c,f–i).
AKT (also called protein kinase B) is an upstream activator of mTORC1 that controls its activity through phosphorylation and inhibition of TSC2. AKT and other upstream regulators of mTORC1 are subject to potent negative feedback regulation. Consistent with engagement of a negative feedback pathway, we observed significantly reduced phosphorylation of AKT at Ser473 in both TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− hESCs, and a small but significant decrease in TSC1−/− hESCs (Fig. 1c,j). Interestingly, we also observed increased total AKT protein in TSC1−/− and TSC1−/− hESCs, which was not observed in hESCs with TSC2 mutations (Fig. 1c,k). Together, these results demonstrate that homozygous, but not heterozygous, disruption of TSC1 or TSC2 increases mTORC1 signaling and decreases AKT phosphorylation in hESCs.

Phenotypes of neural progenitor cells lacking TSC1 or TSC2. To investigate how mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 affect early human neural development, we differentiated our panel of hESCs into 2D cultures of forebrain neural progenitor cells (NPCs). We found that nestin-positive NPCs could be generated from hESCs of all genotypes (Supplementary Fig. 3a). However, TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− NPCs were hypertrophic (Supplementary Fig. 3a,b), consistent with observations in other cell types exhibiting high mTORC1 signaling. We observed a large increase in p-S6 in both TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− NPCs, with TSC2−/− NPCs exhibiting significantly higher p-S6 levels than TSC1−/− cells (Supplementary Fig. 3c–f). TSC1−/− NPCs displayed increased 4E-BP1 phosphorylation as well as total levels of S6 and 4E-BP1 (Supplementary Fig. 3c,g–i). We observed strongly reduced AKT (Ser473) phosphorylation in TSC2−/− NPCs, and to a more variable extent in TSC1−/− NPCs (Supplementary Fig. 3c,j). In contrast to hESCs, total levels of AKT were reduced in TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− NPCs (Supplementary Fig. 3c,k).

3D cortical spheroid differentiation. The human cortex develops from neuroepithelial cell precursors that generate radial glia progenitors, which divide asymmetrically to produce excitatory neurons followed by astrocytes, a type of glia. To investigate how mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 affect neural development in a 3D tissue context that recapitulates this progression, we differentiated our panel of hESCs into cortical spheroids using an established protocol. At 20 days post-differentiation, rosette structures resembling cortical ventricular zones could be observed in spheroids of all genotypes (Fig. 2b,c). These structures contained cells that expressed the neural progenitor markers paired box protein Pax-6 (PAX6) and transcription factor SOX-2 (SOX2). There were no significant differences in the percentage of PAX6- or SOX2-positive cells in spheroids from hESCs with mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 (Fig. 2d,e), indicating that TSC mutations do not strongly affect differentiation into forebrain progenitors. We performed staining for the cell proliferation marker protein Ki-67 and found that 49.7±5.5% of cells in wild-type (WT) spheroids were proliferating at day 20 (Fig. 2f). We did not find significant differences in the proportion of Ki-67-positive cells in spheroids with mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 (Fig. 2f).

In WT spheroids, expression of neuronal markers including NeuN and microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) began around day 30–50 post-differentiation and increased through day 150 (Fig. 2g,h and Supplementary Fig. 4a). Markers of glial lineage cells, which include astrocytes, emerged later with expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and S100 calcium-binding protein B (S100B) beginning around day 100 and increasing through day 150, consistent with in vivo human cortical development. While spheroids with heterozygous mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 showed a normal profile of neuron and glia development (Fig. 2g,m and Supplementary Fig. 4a–f), TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids exhibited reduced or delayed expression of neuronal markers and increased expression of glial lineage markers. This was demonstrated by significantly reduced MAP2 and increased GFAP protein expression from days 100 to 150 in TSC2−/− spheroids and on day 100 and 150, respectively, in TSC1−/− spheroids (Fig. 2g–i). mRNA levels showed a similar pattern with decreased RBFOX3 (NeuN) and increased S100B expression in TSC2−/− spheroids on day 100 (Fig. 2j,k). TSC2−/− spheroids also exhibited consistently reduced neuron/glia ratios on day 100, assessed by immunostaining for NeuN and S100B, although this did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 2l and Supplementary Fig. 4c). This may reflect biased differentiation into glial lineage cells as opposed to enhanced glial proliferation since we did not find significantly increased numbers of Ki-67/S100B double-positive cells in TSC1−/− or TSC2−/− spheroids (Supplementary Fig. 4d,e). The neurons and glia that were generated in TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids were enlarged, dysmorphic, and had high levels of p-S6 (Fig. 2l,m and Supplementary Fig. 4e,f), similar to those observed in TSC patient tubers.

We verified that these phenotypes were not specific to the hESC line used for gene editing by using CRISPR-Cas9 to generate a homozygous deletion of TSC2 in an independent human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC) line that we generated from B fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. 1i,j and Supplementary Table 1). Similar to hiPSC spheroids, we observed an increase in p-S6 staining in TSC2−/− spheroids, the hyperphosphorylation of the mTORC1 pathway targets in hiPSC spheroids was in contrast to AKT phosphorylation, which was strongly reduced throughout development in TSC2−/− spheroids (Fig. 3f,g).

3D cortical spheroid differentiation. The human cortex develops from neuroepithelial cell precursors that generate radial glia progenitors, which divide asymmetrically to produce excitatory neurons followed by astrocytes, a type of glia. To investigate how mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 affect neural development in a 3D tissue context that recapitulates this progression, we differentiated our panel of hESCs into cortical spheroids using an established protocol. At 20 days post-differentiation, rosette structures resembling cortical ventricular zones could be observed in spheroids of all genotypes (Fig. 2b,c). These structures contained cells that expressed the neural progenitor markers paired box protein Pax-6 (PAX6) and transcription factor SOX-2 (SOX2). There were no significant differences in the percentage of PAX6- or SOX2-positive cells in spheroids from hESCs with mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 (Fig. 2d,e), indicating that TSC mutations do not strongly affect differentiation into forebrain progenitors. We performed staining for the cell proliferation marker protein Ki-67 and found that 49.7±5.5% of cells in wild-type (WT) spheroids were proliferating at day 20 (Fig. 2f). We did not find significant differences in the proportion of Ki-67-positive cells in spheroids with mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 (Fig. 2f).

In WT spheroids, expression of neuronal markers including NeuN and microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2) began around day 30–50 post-differentiation and increased through day 150 (Fig. 2g,h and Supplementary Fig. 4a). Markers of glial lineage cells, which include astrocytes, emerged later with expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and S100 calcium-binding protein B (S100B) beginning around day 100 and increasing through day 150, consistent with in vivo human cortical development. While spheroids with heterozygous mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 showed a normal profile of neuron and glia development (Fig. 2g,m and Supplementary Fig. 4a–f), TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids exhibited reduced or delayed expression of neuronal markers and increased expression.
Fig. 2 | TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− cortical spheroids have impaired neuronal and enhanced glial differentiation. a, Schematic of the 3D cortical spheroid differentiation protocol (based on Pasca et al.)33. b–c, Confocal images of day 20 cortical spheroid sections stained with antibodies against nestin (green) and PAX6 (red) (b) or tubulin beta-3 chain (TUJ1) (green), SOX2 (red), and Ki-67 (gray) (c). DAPI staining is in blue. Scale bars represent 25 μm.

This experiment was replicated six times (three separate differentiations). c, Representative western blots of wild-type (WT), TSC1−/−, and TSC2−/− cortical spheroids collected at different time points post-differentiation from hESCs (day 0). Western blots were cropped to show the relevant bands; molecular weight (MW) markers are indicated on the right (in kD). See Supplementary Fig. 2 for uncropped western blots.

d, Bar graphs (mean ± s.e.m.) display quantification of PAX6- (d), SOX2- (e), or Ki-67-positive (f) cells expressed as a percentage of total DAPI-labeled cells in day 20 cortical spheroids. Dots represent data from individual spheroids, n = 3 spheroids per genotype from three separate differentiations. Red asterisks for WT versus TSC2−/−, blue asterisks for WT versus TSC1−/−.

This experiment was replicated six times (three separate differentiations).

e, Quantification of western blot results for the neuronal protein MAP2 (i) across cortical spheroid development (mean ± s.e.m.). Day 0, n = 6 hESC cultures per genotype. Days 20–150, n = 6 spheroids per genotype per time point, from three separate differentiations. Two-way ANOVA P values are shown. Significant differences with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test are indicated by blue asterisks for WT versus TSC1−/− (P = 0.0021, P < 0.0001) and red asterisks for WT versus TSC2−/− (P = 0.0003, P < 0.0001). g, qPCR results (mean ± s.e.m.) for R8F0X3 (NeuN) (j) and S100B (k) mRNA in day 100 cortical spheroids. Dots represent individual spheroids, n = 7 spheroids per genotype from five separate differentiations. Data were analyzed with a one-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test: *P (WT versus TSC1−/−), **P (WT versus TSC2−/−).

m, Confocal images of day 150 cortical spheroid sections stained with antibodies against MAP2 (green) and p-S6 (Ser240/244, red). DAPI staining is in blue. Scale bars represent 20 μm. This experiment was performed once. See Supplementary Table 2 for sample sizes, sample definition, P values, F values, t-values, degrees of freedom, and confidence intervals for all comparisons.
**Fig. 3** | *TSC1*−/− and *TSC2*−/− cortical spheroids fail to suppress mTORC1 signaling during neuronal differentiation. **a.** Representative western blots of mTORC1 signaling pathway proteins from wild-type (WT) or *TSC2*−/− cortical spheroids collected on different days post-differentiation. Day 0 is hESCs. This experiment was replicated five times (three separate differentiations). Western blots were cropped to show the relevant bands; molecular weight (MW) markers are indicated on the right (in kD). See Supplementary Fig. 2 for uncropped western blots. **b–g.** Quantification (mean ± s.e.m.) of western blot results for p-S6 (Ser240/244) (**b**), total S6 (**c**), p-AKT (Ser473) (**d**), p-4E-BP1 (Ser65) (**e**), 4E-BP1 (**f**), and total AKT (**g**). Data are expressed as a percentage of WT day 150 values. Two-way ANOVA P values are shown, *n* = 5 hESC cultures (day 0) or 5 cortical spheroids (days 10–100) per genotype. See Supplementary Table 2 for *P* values and complete statistics for Sidak’s multiple comparisons tests. **h.** Representative western blots of phosphorylated and total STAT3 protein from WT, *TSC1*−/−, or *TSC2*−/− cortical spheroids collected on different days post-differentiation. This experiment was replicated six times (three separate differentiations). Western blots were cropped to show the relevant bands; molecular weight (MW) markers are indicated on the right (in kD). **i–k.** Quantification (mean ± s.e.m.) of western blot results for p-STAT3 (Tyr705) (**i**), p-STAT3 (Ser727) (**j**), and total STAT3 (**k**). Data are expressed as a percentage of WT day 150 values. Two-way ANOVA *P* values are shown, *n* = 6 hESC cultures (day 0) or 6 cortical spheroids (days 20–150) per genotype. See Supplementary Table 2 for *P* values and complete statistics for Tukey’s multiple comparisons tests.
which binds to TSC1 and is required for complex stability. We measured protein levels of TBC1D7 in TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids over time and found that TBC1D7 was strongly reduced in TSC1−/− spheroids from day 20 onwards, likely due to degradation in the absence of TSC1 protein (Supplementary Fig. 5h,i). We also observed smaller but significant reductions in TBC1D7 in TSC2−/− spheroids compared to WT on days 20, 50, and 150 (Supplementary Fig. 5h,i), which may reflect the approximately 60% loss of TSC1 protein in these cells.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that mTORC1 signaling is strongly suppressed during human neuronal differentiation and that homozygous loss-of-function mutations in TSC1 or TSC2 prevent this developmental regulation.

**STAT3 phosphorylation is activated in TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids.** The cortical radial glial lineage generates excitatory neurons first, followed by astrocytes. Studies in rodent models have shown that the gene expression programs that drive neuron and astrocyte differentiation are regulated by competitive cell-intrinsic and -extrinsic signals. Since the strong developmental suppression of mTORC1 signaling that we observed in WT spheroids coincided with the time when neurogenesis begins, we hypothesized that mTORC1 signaling may promote gliogenic signals, which are normally suppressed to allow neurogenesis.

In rodent models, the JAK-STAT signaling pathway induces astrocyte differentiation from cortical precursor cells. Specifically, activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) promotes the transcription of genes involved in astrocyte differentiation including *gfp*. We investigated the phosphorylation of STAT3 at two key residues, Ser727 and Tyr705, in WT, TSC1−/−, and TSC2−/− cortical spheroids over time. We found that Ser727 was high in hESCs and reduced in all genotypes during neural differentiation (Fig. 3h,i). By contrast, Tyr705 was low in hESCs and increased in WT spheroids from days 100 to 150 when astrocytes begin to appear (Fig. 3h,j). Notably, TSC1−/− and TSC2−/− spheroids exhibited increased p-STAT3 (Tyr705), an effect that was most pronounced in TSC2−/− spheroids (Fig. 3j). Total STAT3 levels did not change significantly with development or TSC1 or TSC2 loss (Fig. 3h,k,l).

The increased p-STAT3 (Tyr705) was mTOR-dependent as it was strongly reduced in day 100 TSC2−/− spheroids treated chronically with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin (20 nM, treatment started on day 20). mTORC1 suppresses cortical gliogenesis with the idea that tuber cells arise from a somatic second-hit mutation that causes biallelic inactivation of TSC1 or TSC2. To test this, we generated a model that recapitulates a second-hit mutation by engineering hESCs with a conditional allele of TSC2. This cell line has a constitutive loss-of-function mutation in one allele and a Cre-inducible conditional mutation in the second allele (TSC2+/−; Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1b). We chose to target TSC2 because the majority of patient mutations are in TSC2 and homozygous loss of TSC2 generally caused more severe phenotypes compared to TSC1 (see Figs. 2, 3 and Supplementary Figs. 3 and 5). We confirmed that viral delivery of Cre recombinase to neurons differentiated in 2D from TSC2−/− hESCs resulted in loss of TSC2 protein and upregulation of mTORC1 signaling (Fig. 4b). To allow for the visualization and fate mapping of Cre-expressing cells, we generated a tdTomato Cre reporter allele, a gene trap approach was used to insert a CAGGS promoter-floxed STOP-tdTomato cassette into the TSC2 locus. To generate hESCs with a conditional allele to model a second-hit mutation, because a Cre reporter allele, a gene trap approach was used to insert a CAGGS promoter-floxed STOP-tdTomato cassette into the TSC2 locus. To generate hESCs with a conditional allele to model a second-hit mutation, we targeted the adenoviral genome integration site s (AASV) “safe harbor” locus with CRISPR–Cas9. (We refer to this cell line as TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom, see Fig. 4c.) We validated the pluripotency of TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs with POU domain, class 5 transcription factor 1 (OCT4) and homeobox protein NANOG immunostaining and teratoma formation assays (Supplementary Fig. 1h,m−r).

To test how biallelic inactivation of TSC2 cell autonomously affects neuronal development, we differentiated TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs, treated with Cre just before differentiation, into 2D cultures of NPCs and neurons (Fig. 4d,e). We found that Cre-expressing, tdTomato-positive cells, which had homozygous loss of TSC2, exhibited significantly increased p-S6 levels compared to neighboring green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing heterozygous neurons.

**Engineering a conditional TSC2 allele to model a second-hit mutation.** Our results in 2D and 3D human neural cultures indicated that homozygous loss of TSC1 or TSC2 profoundly affects the developmental regulation of mTORC1 signaling, cell morphology, and neuronal and glial differentiation. Notably, we found minimal to no alterations in heterozygous cells. These results are consistent with the idea that tuber cells arise from a somatic second-hit mutation that causes biallelic inactivation of TSC1 or TSC2. To test this, we generated a model that recapitulates a second-hit mutation by engineering hESCs with a conditional allele of TSC2. This cell line has a constitutive loss-of-function mutation in one allele and a Cre-inducible conditional mutation in the second allele (TSC2+/−; Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 1b). We chose to target TSC2 because the majority of patient mutations are in TSC2 and homozygous loss of TSC2 generally caused more severe phenotypes compared to TSC1 (see Figs. 2, 3 and Supplementary Figs. 3 and 5). We confirmed that viral delivery of Cre recombinase to neurons differentiated in 2D from TSC2−/− hESCs resulted in loss of TSC2 protein and upregulation of mTORC1 signaling (Fig. 4b). To allow for the visualization and fate mapping of Cre-expressing cells, we generated a tdTomato Cre reporter allele, a gene trap approach was used to insert a CAGGS promoter-floxed STOP-tdTomato cassette into the TSC2 locus. To generate hESCs with a conditional allele to model a second-hit mutation, because a Cre reporter allele, a gene trap approach was used to insert a CAGGS promoter-floxed STOP-tdTomato cassette into the TSC2 locus. To generate hESCs with a conditional allele to model a second-hit mutation, we targeted the adenoviral genome integration site s (AASV) “safe harbor” locus with CRISPR–Cas9. (We refer to this cell line as TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom, see Fig. 4c.) We validated the pluripotency of TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs with POU domain, class 5 transcription factor 1 (OCT4) and homeobox protein NANOG immunostaining and teratoma formation assays (Supplementary Fig. 1h,m−r).

To test how biallelic inactivation of TSC2 cell autonomously affects neuronal development, we differentiated TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs, treated with Cre just before differentiation, into 2D cultures of NPCs and neurons (Fig. 4d,e). We found that Cre-expressing, tdTomato-positive cells, which had homozygous loss of TSC2, exhibited significantly increased p-S6 levels compared to neighboring green fluorescent protein (GFP)-expressing heterozygous neurons.

**Fig. 4 | Conditional inactivation of TSC2 models a second-hit mutation.** a, CRISPR-Cas9-based gene editing strategy to create a conditional allele of TSC2. b, Representative western blots of lysates from TSC2−/− neurons in 2D culture treated with either GFP or Cre lentivirus on day 2 of differentiation and collected on day 21. This experiment was replicated twice. (Only one experiment with two independent cultures per treatment is shown.) c, To generate a Cre reporter allele, a gene trap approach was used to insert a CAGGS promoter-floxed STOP-tdTomato cassette into the AAVS1 locus of TSC2−/− hESCs (TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom). d, TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs were differentiated into 2D cultures of NPCs. Subsaturating amounts of Cre-GFP and GFP lentivirus were added on day 10 post-neural induction (passage 3) and cells were collected on day 25 (passage 6). Scale bar represents 50 μm. This experiment was replicated with four separate cultures, from one differentiation. e, Cre-GFP and GFP viruses were added to neurons on day 5 post-neural differentiation and neurons were collected on day 75. Cre-expressing cells are marked by tdTomato expression (red). This experiment was replicated with five separate cultures, from one differentiation. f, + Box-and-whisker plots display quantification of p-S6 (Ser240/244) levels per cell for NPCs (f) and neurons (g), and cross-sectional area for NPCs (h) and neuron soma (i) in 2D culture. a.u., arbitrary unit; center line, median; box limits, 25-75th percentile; whiskers, minimum to maximum; n = 58 GFP-positive NPCs, 83 Cre-negative NPCs, 83 GFP-positive neurons, and 24 Cre-positive neurons. **P < 0.001, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (PNS6_gfp < 0.0003, PNS6_mer < 0.0001, PNS6_glu < 0.0001, PNS6_neu < 0.0001). j, Sholl analysis (mean ± s.e.m.) of dendritic arborization of day 75 neurons in 2D culture expressing either GFP or Cre. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA P values are shown; see Supplementary Table 2 for F values and degrees of freedom. n = 16 GFP-positive neurons and 7 Cre-negative neurons (from five separate cultures from one differentiation). k, Representative confocal image of a section from a day 110 TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom cortical spheroid showing a region of tdTomato-positive TSC2 homozygous mutant cells (arrow). Scale bar represents 250 μm. l, Higher magnification image from panel k showing enlarged and dysmorphic tdTomato-positive cells. Scale bar represents 25 μm. The experiment in panels k and l was replicated three times (three separate differentiations). m, Confocal image of a section from a day 205 TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom cortical spheroid. Scale bar represents 250 μm. n, Higher magnification image from panel m. Scale bar represents 25 μm. The experiment in panels m and n was performed once. o, Confocal images of a day 110 TSC2−/−/c;LSL-tdTom cortical spheroid section stained with an antibody against nestin (green). Scale bars represent 25 μm. This experiment was performed once. For panels k–o, DAPI staining is in blue.
cells (Fig. 4f,g and Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). tdTomato-positive cells were also enlarged compared to neighboring cells (Fig. 4h,i). In addition to soma enlargement, neurons with a second-hit TSC2 mutation exhibited significant dendritic hypertrophy measured by Sholl analysis (Fig. 4j). We also observed highly enlarged, multinucleated tdTomato-positive TSC2 mutant cells that resembled "giant cells"—a unique cell type found in TSC patient tubers (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Multiple nuclei were not observed in TSC2 heterozygous cells. These results demonstrate that cell-autonomous changes in mTORC1 signaling are sufficient to cause enlarged and dysmorphic cells resembling those found in tubers.

**Biallelic inactivation of TSC2 in cortical spheroids.** To test whether biallelic inactivation of TSC2 in developing cortical spheroids causes the formation of dysplastic cells, we differentiated TSC2^+/c;LSL-tdTom hESCs into cortical spheroids and treated them with a subsaturating amount of Cre and GFP virus on day 12 post-differentiation, during the neural progenitor expansion phase. We...
confirmed that tdTomato-positive NPCs in cortical spheroids were enlarged (Supplementary Fig. 6d,e), consistent with complete loss of TSC2 and activation of mTORC1. We found that Cre-expressing TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells gave rise to highly dysmorphic neurons, glial lineage cells, and giant cells that became larger over time (Fig. 4k–o and Supplementary Fig. 6f–h). These cells were phenotypically similar to those observed in cortical tubers from TSC patients<sup>50</sup>. Specifically, the cells were hypertrophic and dysplastic with high levels of p-S6 and diffuse cytoplasmic expression of filament proteins including nestin and vimentin (Fig. 4k–o and Supplementary Fig. 6f,g). In addition, some tdTomato-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells coexpressed neuronal (MAP2) and glial (S100B) proteins (Supplementary Fig. 6h), which has been observed in patient tuber cells<sup>40</sup>. Importantly, cyto-megalid cells did not develop in Cre-treated TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> spheroids (Supplementary Fig. 6i), indicating that biallelic inactivation of TSC2 is required for the formation of dysplastic cells.

We next tested whether a second-hit mutation is required for dysplastic cells to form in the context of TSC patient cells. To do this we reprogrammed fibroblasts from a TSC patient (Coriell Institute cell line GM04520) into hiPSCs (Supplementary Fig. 1k and Supplementary Table 1). This patient has a heterozygous deletion of exons 1–14 of TSC2 (Supplementary Fig. 7a) and a history of childhood seizures and mild intellectual disability. To generate a conditional second-hit allele, we first deleted exon 5 of the patient’s WT TSC2 allele using CRISPR–Cas9 and then introduced a conditional exon 5 allele using the same strategy as for TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> hiPSCs (Supplementary Fig. 7b,c). The resulting hiPSC line had the patient mutation in one TSC2 allele and a conditional loss-of-function mutation in the second allele (referred to as 4520<sup>−/−</sup>; Supplementary Fig. 1l and Supplementary Table 1).

4520<sup>−/−</sup> hiPSCs were differentiated into cortical spheroids and Cre-GFP virus was added 12 days post-differentiation. On day 100, we found that Cre-expressing cells were dysmorphic, had high levels of p-S6, and were positive for vimentin (Supplementary Fig. 7d). Neighboring uninfected cells did not exhibit the characteristics of tuber cells, indicating that biallelic inactivation is necessary for dysplastic cell formation in TSC patient-derived cortical spheroids.

**Rescue of neuronal differentiation and morphology with rapamycin treatment.** To test whether the formation of dysplastic cells in TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> hiPSCs could be prevented or rescued by blocking mTORC1 signaling, we treated spheroids with rapamycin at different time points during development (Supplementary Fig. 8a).

For all conditions, subsaturating amounts of Cre and GFP virus were added on day 12 and spheroids were collected on day 110.

We found that chronic rapamycin starting on day 12 strongly reduced mTORC1 signaling in both heterozygous and homozygous TSC2 mutant cells and prevented cellular hypertrophy induced by biallelic TSC2 inactivation (Fig. 5a–e and Supplementary Fig. 8b,c). To assess neuronal differentiation, we calculated the percentage of tdTomato (Cre) or GFP-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells that expressed the neuronal marker HuC/HuD or the glial protein S100B on day 110 (Fig. 5d,e). For GFP-labeled TSC2 heterozygous cells, 15.8% of cells expressed HuC/HuD, 34.2% expressed S100B, and 50% were not labeled by either marker (Fig. 5h). The unlabeled cells likely represent neural progenitors or immature neurons and glia. Consistent with findings in the constitutive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> spheroids, tdTomato-positive TSC2 homozygous mutant cells preferentially generated glial lineage cells over neurons at a ratio of 7.3:1 (72.6% S100B positive versus 9.9% HuC/HuD-positive, Fig. 5i). We confirmed that the majority of tdTomato-positive cells were likely astrocytes by immunostaining them with additional astrocyte markers including excitatory amino acid transporter 1 (EAAT1) and CD44 antigen (Supplementary Fig. 8f,g). Chronic rapamycin starting on day 12 completely reversed the glial differentiation bias, causing tdTomato-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells to generate neurons at the expense of astrocytes (9.9% S100B-positive versus 70.4% HuC/HuD-positive, Fig. 5i).

Chronic rapamycin also nearly tripled the percentage of neurons generated by GFP-positive cells (from 15.8 to 46.5% HuC/HuD-positive, Fig. 5h). These findings are consistent with our western blot results with rapamycin-treated TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> spheroids (see Supplementary Fig. 5j), indicating that suppression of mTOR signaling acts downstream of the TSC1–TSC2 complex to promote neuronal differentiation and inhibit or delay glial lineage cell production.

To test whether rapamycin treatment could reverse the phenotypes of TSC2 loss, we treated spheroids with rapamycin starting on day 80. Chronic rapamycin from day 80 to 110 strongly reduced mTORC1 signaling and reversed cellular hypertrophy to a similar extent as day 12–110 rapamycin treatment (Fig. 5a–f and Supplementary Fig. 8b–d). Rapamycin from day 80 to 110 also improved the neuronal differentiation of tdTomato-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells (from 9.9 to 22.3% HuC/HuD-positive, Fig. 5i); however, the effect was more modest compared to day 12–110 treatment. Rapamycin from day 80 to 110 had little effect on neuronal differentiation in GFP-positive cells (15.8 versus 22.1% HuC/HuD-positive, Fig. 5h). These results suggest that (1) the majority of neuronal cell fate decisions are made before day 80 in the cortical spheroid model and (2) rapamycin treatment cannot reverse this decision.

Based on these findings, we tested whether rapamycin treatment during the primary period of neuronal differentiation (from day 12 to 80) could prevent phenotypes due to homozygous loss of TSC2. We found that rapamycin treatment from day 12 to 80 improved neuronal differentiation in tdTomato-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells (from 9.9 to 30.3% HuC/HuD-positive), albeit to a lesser degree than in spheroids continuously treated with rapamycin (Fig. 5e,g,i). Despite improvements in neuronal differentiation, when rapamycin was withdrawn at day 80, tdTomato-positive TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> cells reactivated mTORC1 signaling to a similar level as vehicle-treated mutant cells (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 8b,e).

Taken together, these results suggest that there is a developmental window for pharmacological mTORC1 suppression to prevent neuronal differentiation defects caused by loss of TSC2. Later rapamycin treatment cannot reverse cell fate decisions that have already been made, but can rescue mTORC1 hyperactivation and reduce neuronal and glial hypertrophy. Sustained mTORC1 inhibition is required to prevent the re-emergence of mTORC1 hyperactivity in differentiated cells.

**Discussion**

In this study, we generated a panel of hiPSC lines with targeted loss-of-function mutations in the TSC1 and TSC2 genes and used this genetically controlled system to investigate the contributions of TSC–mTOR signaling to human cortical development. We found that mTORC1 signaling is strongly suppressed during human neuronal differentiation and that this is required for normal neurogenesis and gliogenesis. Homozygous, but not heterozygous, loss of TSC1 or TSC2 disrupts the developmental suppression of mTORC1 signaling resulting in abnormal differentiation and hypertrophy of human neurons and glia. We provide support for the second-hit hypothesis of cortical tuber formation, as biallelic inactivation of TSC2 in NPCs was necessary and sufficient to cause the formation of dysplastic cells in human cortical spheroids. Finally, we demonstrate that mTOR inhibition during a critical developmental period promotes neuronal differentiation and prevents cellular hypertrophy.

A major finding of our study is that bidirectional changes in mTORC1 signaling profoundly affect the balance of neurons and glia in developing human cortical spheroids. Specifically, mTORC1 hyperactivation resulted in greater production of glial lineage cells, which include astrocytes, at the expense of neurons. By contrast, mTORC1 suppression strongly promoted neurogenesis and impaired gliogenesis. These effects were observed both in cortical spheroids with constitutive mutations in TSC2 and in cells with
a second-hit TSC2 mutation, which generated seven times more glia than neurons. The latter result suggests a cell-autonomous change in cell fate decision, as neighboring TSC2 heterozygous cells produced higher neuron/glia ratios. These findings are consistent with histological observations of large numbers of astrocytes in cortical tubers, mouse studies demonstrating increased GFAP...
expression following loss of Tsc1 or Tsc2\(^{10,11,13,14}\), and recent studies showing reduced neuronal and increased glial markers in 2D human TSC2\(^{-/-}\) neural cultures\(^{12,15}\).

In the developing human cortex, early neuroepithelial progenitors give rise to radial glia, which can divide symmetrically to produce more radial glia, or asymmetrically to produce a neuron or an astrocyte\(^{16,21}\). The mechanisms underlying neuron–astrocyte cell fate decisions in the human cortex are not well understood; however, studies in animal models have identified a cascade of intrinsic and extrinsic signaling events that govern time-dependent shifts from progenitor self-renewal to neurogenesis to gliogenesis\(^{16}\). Our findings demonstrate that suppression of mTORC1 signaling during the transition from proliferation to neuronal differentiation (between day 25 and 43 in the cortical spheroid model) is required for normal neurogenesis.

Mechanistically, mTORC1 could be inhibiting neurogenic gene expression programs, activating gliogenic signaling pathways, or increasing glial proliferation. In contrast to a study using 2D neural cultures derived from a TSC patient hiPSC line\(^{14}\), we did not find significant increases in the proportion of actively dividing glia in TSC1\(^{-/-}\) cortical spheroids with TSC mutations. Instead, we found that Tyr705 phosphorylation of the gliogenic transcription factor STAT3 was significantly elevated in TSC1\(^{-/-}\) and TSC2\(^{-/-}\) cortical spheroids in a rapamycin-sensitive manner, consistent with previous observations in 2D mouse and human neural cultures\(^{11,15,16}\). Importantly, we found that p-STAT3 levels were increased before the onset of neurogenesis in TSC mutant spheroids. Since neurogenic and gliogenic signals suppress one another, the activation of STAT3 may directly promote gliogenesis, while at the same time interfering with or delaying neurogenesis. In addition to increased glial cell production, it is also possible that high mTORC1 signaling may impair the survival of newborn neurons\(^{11,19}\), further contributing to an altered neuron/glia ratio.

Our finding that rapamycin treatment early in cortical spheroid development alters cell fate decisions, including in TSC2\(^{-/-}\) cells, has important clinical implications. Rapamycin derivatives called rapalogues are used clinically to treat TSC and related disorders\(^{9}\), and it has been suggested that prenatal rapalogue treatment could be beneficial to prevent developmental abnormalities in TSC. We find that strong mTORC1 suppression alters the normal pattern of cortical differentiation. This suggests that prenatal rapamycin, while effective at preventing cellular phenotypes caused by mTORC1 hyperactivation, may be detrimental to the developing brain, consistent with behavioral studies in mice exposed to rapamycin in utero\(^{16}\).

Our models will facilitate further testing of therapeutics for TSC and allow the definition of critical windows for treatment to have the most impact without causing detrimental outcomes.

Here we provide causal evidence that second-hit mutations are necessary and sufficient to generate dysplastic cells in developing human cortical spheroids. While this has been proposed to occur\(^{22,25}\), second-hit mutations have only been detected in a subset of cortical tubers examined\(^{14,35–37}\). This is in contrast to TSC-related hamartomas, including subependymal giant cell astrocytomas, in which second-hit events are frequently observed\(^{10,34}\). Using conditional TSC2 knockout hESCs and patient-derived hiPSCs, we have shown that a sporadic second-hit mutation in TSC2\(^{-/-}\) NPCs causes the formation of dysplastic cells in human cortical spheroids. These cells are strikingly similar to those observed in TSC patient tubers. Specifically, we found cell types resembling dysmorphic neurons, dysplastic glia, and giant cells that are hypertrophic and have high levels of mTORC1 signaling\(^{14}\). These cells express many of the same markers that have been observed in patient tubers\(^{14}\). Importantly, we did not observe tuber cell features in heterozygous TSC1 or TSC2 cells, or in TSC2\(^{-/-}\) cells treated with Cre, demonstrating that biallelic inactivation is necessary for the generation of dysplastic cells.

One possible reason that second-hit mutations have not been consistently identified in TSC patient brain tissue is that tubers comprise a mixture of cell types of different origin. Tubers contain dysmorphic cells with high mTORC1 activity as well as normal-appearing neurons and glia. Additionally, immune cells infiltrate the tubers\(^{15}\), further diluting the number of cells with biallelic inactivation. Thus, low allelic frequency may have hindered the identification of somatic mutations. Indeed, in a recently reported focal cortical dysplasia case with a second-hit mutation in TSC2, the somatic mutation was present in only ~7% of brain cells\(^{17}\). Alternatively, mutations may occur in introns\(^{18}\), promoters, or other regulatory regions, or could be large copy number variants, which are not detectable by exome sequencing.

Taken together, our findings support the model that second-hit somatic mutations in small populations of NPCs give rise to the dysplastic cells that comprise cortical tubers. Such a stochastic mechanism can explain the large heterogeneity in tuber number and size among TSC patients. Since higher tuber load is linked to increased severity of epilepsy and intellectual disability, somatic mosaicism may be a key factor underlying the significant heterogeneity in the presentation of neurological phenotypes in TSC patients.

URLs. ImageJ, Image Processing and Analysis in Java, https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/.
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Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0139-y.
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Methods

Stem cell research was approved by the University of California, Berkeley Stem Cell Research Oversight Committee. Animals were used in accordance with protocols approved by the University of California, Berkeley Animal Care and Use Committee. See the Supplementary Information for additional details about experimental design, cell lines, and reagents used in this study.

hESC cell culture. WIBR3 hESCs (NIH stem cell registry 0079) were obtained from Dr. Rudolf Jaenisch’s laboratory and authenticated as reported in Lengner et al.64. hESC culture was carried out as previously described65. Briefly, all hESC lines were maintained on a layer of inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs, CD-1 strain, Charles River) in hESC medium composed of DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 20% KnockOut Serum Replacement (KSR) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2 mM L-glutamine (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1% nonessential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1,000 U ml−1 penicillin-streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and 4 ng ml−1 fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-Basic (AA 1-155) recombinant human protein (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cultures were passaged every 7 days with collagenase type IV (1.5 mg ml−1; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and gravitational sedimentation by washing 3 times in wash media composed of DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1,000 U ml−1 penicillin-streptomycin. All hESC lines were tested monthly for Mycoplasma contamination.

hiPSC reprogramming. TSC patient (Cortell Institute cell line GM04520, referred here as hPSC line 1), as well as a healthy control BJ-290 hPSC line, were reprogrammed into iPSCs using a commercial kit that delivers the reprogramming factors via an mRNA-based system66 (Stemgent). After reprogramming, cell lines were validated by expression of pluripotency markers and array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) analysis (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The patient TSC2 genotype was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). All hiPSC lines were tested monthly for Mycoplasma contamination.

CRISPR–Cas9 genome editing. Single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs; see Supplementary Table 3) targeting the genomic region of interest were inserted into the CRISPR–Cas9 encoding plasmid67. Following transpision by trypsin-EDTA buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 15 μg of each px330 plasmid, and 7.5 μg of a GFP-encoding plasmid were electroporated into approximately 1 x 104 hESCs and replanted onto MEFS as previously described63. After 72 h, cells underwent FACS to obtain 1 x 105 GFP-positive single cells and were then replated. After 12 days, 48–72 single-cell-derived hESC colonies were manually picked and replated into individual wells. After 7 days, colonies were picked and replated, with the remaining cells genotyped using PCR. Genotyping primers are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The same sgRNAs and genotyping strategy used to create the TSC2−/−WIBR3 hESCs were used to create homozygous deletion of TSC2 exon 5 in the BJ hiPSC line.

To generate a conditional allele of TSC2, we inserted an exon 5 cassette that was flanked by loxp sites into TSC2−/− hESCs. To do this we cloned a new sgRNA targeting the nonhomologous end joining junction into px330. A repair plasmid further processing. Cells were matured until day 50–100 when they were collected for 3D cortical sphere differentiation. 3D differentiation of hESCs and hiPSCs was performed as described previously68. Briefly, confluent, undifferentiated colonies of hESCs were removed from MEFS using collagenase. Colonies were washed once with media and suspended in hESC media without fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), supplemented with 10 μM Y-27632 dichydrodihydrochloride and plated into 6-well low attachment plates (Corning). On days 1–5, media was changed to hESCs–FGF2 media, supplemented with 10 μM dorsomorphin (ab146997, Abcam) and 10 μM SB431542. On day 6, developing spheroids were put into neural induction media composed of Neurobasal–A (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1% B-27 Supplement minus vitamin A (Thermo Fisher Scientific), penicillin-streptomycin, and GlutaMAX supplement, supplemented with 20 ng ml−1 basic fibroblast growth factor (BDNF, Sigma–Aldrich) and 20 ng ml−1 NT-3 (Sigma–Aldrich), with half volume media changes every 4 days. On day 30, cells in 6-well plates were dissociated with StemPro Accutase and replated onto poly-l-lysine (PD-L, Sigma–Aldrich) and laminin-coated (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 12 mm glass coverslips in 24-well plates for immunocytochemistry or plates coated with BSA (BioRad) for western blotting. Cells were matured until day 100 when they were collected for further processing.

Array comparative genomic hybridization. hiPSC samples were collected by collagenase treatment (1.5 mg ml−1) and separated from feeder cells by sedimentation, and subsequently pelleted by centrifugation. Samples were frozen and sent to Cell Line Genetics for aCGH analysis. For aCGH, 1–5 μg of DNA was extracted from samples and run on a SurePrint G3 Human Cytotag CHG Microarray (Agilent Technologies) covering 60,000 probes evenly spaced across the genome.

2D neuronal culture. Neural induction was performed as described previously, with minor alterations. Single hESCs were initially plated at a density of 30,000 per 12-well plate and maintained in media changes for 10 days. The composition of induction media changed throughout induction with 100% induction media A (A) from days 1–4, 75% A and 25% induction media B (B) on days 5–6, 50% A and 50% B on days 7–8, and 25% A and 75% B on days 9–10. Induction media A is composed of KnockOut DMEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 5% KSR, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1,000 U ml−1 penicillin/streptomycin, and 55 μM Gibco NEAA. Induction media B is composed of DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 50% Neurobasal medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific), N-2 Supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific), GlutaMAX supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1,000 U ml−1 penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.2% human insulin (Sigma–Aldrich), and 0.075% BSA (Sigma–Aldrich, w/v) as previously described. After neural induction was complete, cells were dissociated with StemPro Accutase cell dissociation reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), spun down for 4 min at 800 r.p.m., resuspended in N-2 Supplement augmented with 25 ng ml−1 FGF and 40 ng ml−1 recombinant human epidermal growth factor (EGF) protein (R&D Systems), and replated at 1:2. Cells were passaged as such every 5 days until passage 4, when they were split at 1:3.

Neuronal differentiation from NPCs was commenced at passage 8. NPCs were plated at low density: 80,000 per cm2 (8 x 103 cells per well of a 6-well plate) in 4 ml of growth media. Growth media (N2B27 media) is composed of 50% DMEM/F12, 50% Neurobasal Medium, N-2 Supplement (100x), B-27 Supplement (30x), Thermo Fisher Scientific), GlutaMAX supplement, 1,000 U ml−1 penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.075% BSA (w/v). For the first 12 days, growth media was supplemented with 20 ng ml−1 brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF, Sigma–Aldrich) and 20 ng ml−1 NT-3 (Sigma–Aldrich), with half volume media changes every 4 days. On day 30, cells in 6-well plates were dissociated with StemPro Accutase and replated onto poly-l-lysine (PD-L, Sigma–Aldrich) and laminin-coated (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 12 mm glass coverslips in 24-well plates for immunocytochemistry or plates coated with BSA (BioRad) for western blotting. Cells were matured until day 100 when they were collected for further processing.

3D cortical sphere differentiation. 3D differentiation of hESCs and hiPSCs was performed as described previously. Briefly, confluent, undifferentiated colonies of hESCs were removed from MEFS using collagenase. Colonies were washed once with media and suspended in hESC media without fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2), supplemented with 10 μM Y-27632 dichydrodihydrochloride and plated into 6-well low attachment plates (Corning). On days 1–5, media was changed to hESCs–FGF2 media, supplemented with 10 μM dorsoSphingomorphin (ab146997, Abcam) and 10 μM SB431542. On day 6, developing spheroids were put into neural induction media composed of Neurobasal–A (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.1% B-27 Supplement minus vitamin A (Thermo Fisher Scientific), penicillin-streptomycin, and GlutaMAX supplement, supplemented with 20 ng ml−1 FGF and 20 ng ml−1 EGF. Media was changed in this manner every day from days 6–15 and then every other day until day 25. From days 25 to 49, developing spheroids were grown in neural induction media supplemented with 20 ng ml−1 BDNF and 20 ng ml−1 NT-3, with media changes every 4 days. From day 43 onward, spheroids were maintained in neural induction media without BDNF or NT-3, with media changes every 4 days until harvest.

Western blotting. 2D cultured cells were collected in lysis buffer containing 2 mM EDTA (Sigma–Aldrich), 2 mM EGTA chelating agent (Sigma–Aldrich), 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma–Aldrich), and 0.5% SDS solution (Sigma–Aldrich) in 1x PBS with Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cOmplete Mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). 3D spheroids were collected in lysis buffer containing 1% SDS, phosphatase inhibitors, and protease inhibitor in 1x PBS. Total protein was determined by bichinonic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 5–15 μg of protein in 4x Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) were loaded onto 4–15% Criterion TGX gels (Bio-Rad). Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Bio-Rad),
blocked in 5% milk in tris-buffered saline (TBS)-Tween 20 for 1 hour at room temperature, and incubated with primary antibodies diluted in 5% milk in TBS-Tween 20 overnight at 4°C. The following day, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad) for 1 hour at room temperature, washed, incubated with Western Lightning Plus-ECL, Enhanced Chemiluminescence Substrate (Perkin-Elmer), and developed on GE Amersham Hyperfilm ECL (VWR). Membranes were stripped with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) to re-block on subsequent days. Bands were quantified by densitometry using ImageJ software (see URLs). Phosphoproteins were normalized to their respective total proteins and non-phosphoproteins were normalized to a β-actin loading control. Antibody vendors, catalog numbers, and dilutions are listed in Supplementary Table 4. Vendors and catalog numbers for all other reagents are listed in Supplementary Table 5.

Immunocytochemistry on 2D cultured cells. Cells plated onto 12 mm glass coverslips were washed in ice-cold 1× PBS with Ca/Mg followed by fixation for 10 mins in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, VWR) and three 5-min washes in 1× PBS. Coverslips were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in buffer containing 5% normal goat serum (NGS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS and incubated in primary antibodies in antibody dilution buffer (1% BSA and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS) overnight at 4°C. The following day, coverslips were washed three times for 5 min in 1× PBS, incubated with secondary antibodies in antibody dilution buffer (1:500) for 1 hour at room temperature and washed three times for 5 min in 1× PBS. Coverslips were mounted onto slides with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant with or without 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAP), (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and allowed to set for one day before imaging. Antibody vendors, catalog numbers, and dilutions are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

Immunohistochemistry on 3D spheroids. Spheroids were removed from growth media and washed once in ice-cold 1× PBS with Ca/Mg before being fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. After fixation, spheroids were placed into a conical tube containing 30% sucrose solution overnight at 4°C and allowed to settle. The following day, spheroids were frozen in tissue blocks with OCT compound (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and sectioned on a cryostat into 10 or 16 μm sections. Sections were washed once with PBS and blocked in buffer containing 10% NGS, 0.1% BSA, and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS for 1 hour at room temperature. Sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C in primary antibodies in antibody dilution buffer (2% NGS and 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1× PBS). The following day, sections were washed three times with 1× PBS, incubated in secondary antibody (1:500 in antibody dilution buffer) for 1 hour at room temperature and washed again three times with 1× PBS. Slides were coverslipped with ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant with or without DAPI and allowed to set for 1 day before imaging. Antibody vendors, catalog numbers, and dilutions are listed in Supplementary Table 4.

qPCR. RNA was extracted from whole spheroids using an RNaseasy kit (Qagen) with an on-column DNase digestion. RNA levels and purity were assessed with a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse transcription was performed using random hexamer primers and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time PCR was performed in triplicate on 60 ng of cDNA using a QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with SYBR FAST qPCR Master Mix (Kapa Biosystems). Values for all genes were normalized to β-actin for each sample; qPCR primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Lentiviral infection. Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter-driven Cre-GFP, Cre-red fluorescent protein (RFP), and/or GFP lentivirus (Kerafast; titer > 1.0 × 10^8 CFU ml^-1) were added to cell culture media at the indicated time points. For high efficiency infection for the western blot experiments, 2.0 μl per well was used in 24-well plates. For sparse infection, 0.25–0.5 μl per well was used in 6-well plates. This amount of Cre-GFP or Cre-RFP virus resulted in Cre expression in approximately 5–15% of the cells in the spheroid or 2D culture.

Sholl analysis. Cultured 2D TSC2<sup>−/−</sup> or TSC2<sup>+</sup> neurons were treated with Cre lentivirus on day 5 of neural induction and fixed on day 75. Coverslips were stained with MAP2 antibody and subsequently imaged. Multiple cells per coverslip were traced by hand using the MAP2 channel. Cell traces were imported into ImageJ (see URLs) and analyzed using the built-in Sholl analysis feature. Concentric circles of 5 μm up to 160 μm from the center of the soma were used for quantification of dendritic intersections.

Rapamycin treatment. A quantity of 20 μM rapamycin (LC Laboratories) stock solution was prepared in ethanol and stored at −20°C. Rapamycin stock was diluted to a final concentration of 20 nM and added to the spheroids during every media change for the time periods indicated. Ethanol vehicle was added in the same concentration to the control samples.

Confocal microscopy and image analysis. Images were taken on either an FV1000 Olympus Fluoview confocal microscope with 10× or 20× objectives (Nikon) or a ZEISS Laser Scanning Microscope 710 AxiObserver with 20× or 40× objectives. For experiments where two or more conditions were quantitatively compared, the same exposure and acquisition settings were used for each image. All images were processed using the ImageJ software (see URLs). Only healthy regions of the spheroids, defined by intact DAPI nuclei, were used for quantification and analysis. To assess cell body size, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn around each cell in Image J. ROIs were saved and applied to the p-S6 images where the mean fluorescence units averaged across the ROI were used as the p-S6 value for that cell. For images analyzed for the presence or absence of cellular markers, a threshold was set based on the background fluorescence level and applied to all ROIs. If the mean fluorescence units averaged across the ROI were above the threshold, the cell was considered positive for the marker. For the analysis of cell fate in Fig. 5, cells were analyzed from 2–4 spheroids per condition (as indicated in Supplementary Table 2) and the percentage of HuC/HuD- and/or s100B-positive cells out of the total population of GFP or tdTomato-positive cells analyzed was quantified.

Statistics summary. Sample sizes were chosen based on previous studies. All samples were included in the analysis. Spheroids were randomly assigned to the rapamycin or vehicle treatment groups. The investigator was blind to genotype for image analysis of constitutive spheroids. Other quantifications were not performed blindly. Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad software) for Windows and the specific test for each experiment is noted in the figure legend and in Supplementary Table 2. To compare the means of two normally distributed groups, an unpaired two-tailed t-test was used. To compare the distributions or different numbers of samples per condition, a Kruskal–Wallis test was used. To compare the means of three or more groups, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used followed by Bonferroni’s or Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. For data sets with three or more groups with nonnormal distributions or different numbers of samples per condition, a Kruskal–Wallis test was used followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. To compare two independent variables, a two-way ANOVA was used with Dunnett’s or Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. Reported P values are adjusted for multiple comparisons for experiments with three or more groups. Supplementary Table 2 lists the statistical test, sample size, sample definition, P values, F values, t-values, degrees of freedom, and confidence intervals for all comparisons.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Statistical parameters

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section).

| n/a | Confirmed |
|-----|-----------|
| ✗   | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| ✗   | An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| ✗   | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided |
| ✗   | Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| ✗   | A description of all covariates tested |
| ✗   | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| ✗   | A full description of the statistics including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| ✗   | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted |
| ✗   | Give P values as exact values whenever suitable. |
| ✗   | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| ✗   | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| ✗   | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |
| ✗   | Clearly defined error bars |
| ✗   | State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI) |

Our web collection on statistics for biologists may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

| Data collection | Image J with FIJI |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Data analysis   | GraphPad Prism    |

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

**Sample size**
Sample sizes for all experiments are reported in Supplementary Table 2. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. Our sample sizes are consistent with what is generally used in the field.

**Data exclusions**
No data were excluded.

**Replication**
Experiments were reliably reproduced using independent samples (cultures or spheroids) from separate differentiations or passages. Exceptions to this were the following figure panels for which replication experiments were not attempted: Fig. 2m, Fig. 4m-o, Fig. S1c-l, Fig. S4f-h, Fig. S5j, Fig. S6a-c & F-i, Fig. S7d, Fig. S8f & g.

**Randomization**
Spheroids were randomly assigned to rapamycin or vehicle treatment groups.

**Blinding**
The investigator was blind to genotype during the image analysis of cortical spheroids with constitutive mutations. The investigator was not blind during the analysis of TSC2-/-;LSL-tdTom cells and spheroids since the mutant cells are labeled with a tdTomato reporter.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

**Materials & experimental systems**

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| [ ] | Unique biological materials |
| [ ] | Antibodies |
| [ ] | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| [ ] | Palaeontology |
| [ ] | Animals and other organisms |
| [ ] | Human research participants |

**Methods**

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| [ ] | ChIP-seq |
| [ ] | Flow cytometry |
| [ ] | MRI-based neuroimaging |

Unique biological materials

Policy information about availability of materials

Obtaining unique materials

The hESC and hiPSC lines we generated will be made available to researchers upon reasonable request.

Antibodies

**Antibodies used**

- Antibody - Host species - Company - Catalog # - Western blot dilution - ICC/IHC dilution
- 4E-BP1 - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 9644 - 1:1000 - n/a
- phospho-4E-BP1 (Ser65) - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 9451 - 1:1000 - n/a
- AKT - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 4691 - 1:1000 - n/a
- phospho-AKT (Ser473) - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 4060 - 1:1000 - n/a
- B-Actin - mouse - Sigma - a1972 - 1:15000 - n/a
- CAMK2A - mouse - Cell Signaling - 50049 - n/a - 1:300
- CD44 - mouse - Cell Signaling - 3570 - n/a - 1:400
- Doublecortin (DCX) - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 4604 - n/a - 1:2000
- EAAT1 - rabbit - Cell Signaling - 5684 - n/a - 1:100
- GFAP - rabbit - Fisher - 180063 - 1:100 - 1:100
- GFP - chicken - AbCam - ab13970 - n/a - 1:5000
- GLUA1 - rabbit - Millipore - AB1504 - 1:800 - n/a
- HuC/HuD - mouse - Fisher - A21271 - n/a - 1:500
- Ki-67 - mouse - Cell Signaling - 9449T - n/a - 1:500
Validation

Antibody validation was performed by the manufacturer, we used antibodies that have been validated for each assay (i.e. western blot or immunostaining).

### Eukaryotic cell lines

| Policy information about cell lines |
|-------------------------------------|
| **Cell line source(s)**              |
| WIBR3 hESCs were obtained from Dr. Rudolf Jaenisch's lab, BJ fibroblasts were purchased from ATCC, TSC patient cells were obtained from the Coriell Institute. |
| **Authentication**                   |
| WIBR3 hESCs were authenticated as described in Lengner et al 2010. TSC patient cells were authenticated by qPCR. All stem cell lines were assessed for pluripotency by marker staining and genomic integrity was confirmed by array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) analysis. |
| **Mycoplasma contamination**         |
| All cell lines were tested monthly for mycoplasma contamination and were negative. |
| **Commonly misidentified lines**    |
| None of the cell lines used are listed in the ICLAC database. |

### Animals and other organisms

| Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Laboratory animals**                                        |
| The teratoma formation assay was performed using six-month old male NOD-SCID mice (26-30g) obtained from Taconic. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were isolated from E13.5 male and female CD-1 (Charles River) or DR-4 mice (Jackson Laboratories strain #003208). |
| **Wild animals**                                              |
| The study did not involve wild animals.                       |
| **Field-collected samples**                                  |
| The study did not involve samples collected from the field. |