C-reactive protein trajectories and the risk of all cancer types: A prospective cohort study
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Abstract
A single CRP measurement is insufficient to examine the association of long-term patterns of CRP concentration with cancer risk. We prospectively examined the relationship between CRP trajectory patterns and new-onset cancers among 52,276 participants. Latent mixture modeling was used to identify CRP trajectories. Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to evaluate the association between CRP trajectory patterns and the risk of overall and specific-site cancer. Four CRP trajectories patterns were identified: low-stable pattern (n = 43,258), moderate-increasing pattern (n = 2,591), increasing-decreasing pattern (n = 2,068) and elevated-decreasing pattern (n = 4,359). Relative to the low-stable pattern, the moderate-increasing trajectory pattern was associated with an elevated risk of overall, lung, breast, leukemia, bladder, stomach, colorectal, liver, gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer and leukemia. Participants in the increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern were associated with an elevated risk of overall, lung, breast, bladder, pancreatic and liver cancer. The increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern was also associated with decreased risk of colorectal cancer in the multivariate analyses. Elevated-decreasing trajectory pattern was associated with increased risk of overall, lung, breast, bladder, starch, colorectal, liver, gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer and leukemia.
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What's new?
Chronic inflammation is closely associated with cancers. However, most previous studies used a single measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP) level at baseline. This prospective, population-
Cancer is the first or second leading cause of premature death in 134 of 183 countries, and it ranks third or fourth in 45 of the remaining countries. About one-third of deaths from non-communicable diseases are due to cancer. The morbidity and mortality rates vary across countries due to different prevalence of key risk factors, as well as the impact of preventive methods, screening and therapeutic interventions. Robust scientific evidence is essential for understanding its cause and prevention. In addition to some recognized factors like smoking, drinking, obesity, nutrition, family history of cancer, infectious disease and environmental factors, chronic inflammation has been demonstrated to be closely associated with cancers. Cancer-associated inflammation is known as the seventh hallmark of cancer, associated with the six generally recognized hallmarks of cancer: self-sufficient growth signals, evasion of apoptosis, insensitivity to antigrowth signals, unlimited replicative potential, sustained angiogenesis and metastases.

C-reactive protein (CRP) is a classic acute-phase protein that responds to inflammation, infection and tissue damage, and is the most widely used biomarker of inflammation. Recently, epidemiologic studies have demonstrated an association of elevated levels of circulating high sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP), CRP measured by a high-sensitivity assay which can accurately detect low-grade inflammatory state, with an increased risk of incident cancers. However, results from previous studies were based on a single measurement of CRP level at baseline which may yield a certain degree of variability during the follow-up period and lead to misclassification of the participants.

No prospective study has used multiple CRP measurements to examine the association of long-term patterns of CRP concentration with subsequent cancer risk. Kailuan study is an ongoing, prospective, population-based cohort study with follow-up conducted every 2 years. Repeated CRP measurements can offer us a great opportunity to ascertain the association between CRP trajectory patterns and the risk of incident cancers.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

Data was taken from the Kailuan cohort study, which was designed to explore the risk factors for common chronic diseases. The detailed study design and procedures were described previously.

All 155,418 Kailuan Corporation employees (including retirees) were invited to participate in baseline physical examinations at Kailuan General Hospital and its 10 affiliated institutions between July 2006 and October 2007. A total of 101,510 individuals (65.3%) ranging in age from 18 to 98 years, with 81,110 males and 20,400 females, accepted and were enrolled after receiving written informed consent. All participants underwent health examinations including questionnaire assessments, clinical examinations and laboratory tests at baseline examination (2006-2007), and underwent follow-up examinations with the same examinations conducted every 2 years.

In the current study, CRP trajectories were developed from 2006 to 2010 to predict cancer risk from 2010 to 2019. In other words, the study was restricted to the population who participated in the examinations in 2006, 2008 and 2010 and had their plasma CRP measurements taken biennially. Participants were excluded if they: (1) failed to take 2008 and/or 2010 examinations; (2) had missing information of plasma CRP during 2006-2010; (3) lacked measurements of relevant confounders including age, sex, total cholesterol (TC, in mmol/L), triglyceride (TG, in mmol/L), body mass index (BMI, in kg/m²), alanine aminotransferase (ALT, in U/L), total bilirubin (TBil, in umol/L), fasting blood glucose (FBG, in mmol/L), hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), dietary salt intake, marital status, sedentary lifestyle, educational background, tobacco consumption, alcohol drinking, physical exercise, family history of cancer, liver cirrhosis, fatty liver, gallstone disease, gallbladder polyp, diabetes mellitus and hypertension; and (4) had a history of cancer at baseline or were diagnosed with cancer during 2006 to 2010 (trajectory patterns). A total of 52,276 individuals were left in the final analyses and scheduled a follow-up (Figure 1).

2.2 | Assessment of plasma CRP

After an overnight fasting period (at least 8 hours), blood samples were obtained from the antecubital vein in EDTA tubes for each individual. The blood was further centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rotations per minute at 25°C. Plasma was separated and stored at −80°C until laboratory determinations were performed. CRP was measured using a high-sensitivity nephelometry assay (Cias Latex CRP-H, Kanto Chemical Co., Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and the lower limit of detection is 0.1 mg/L. The intra- and interassay coefficient of variation for CRP measurement were 6.53% and 4.78%, respectively. Plasma CRP and other blood variables were all analyzed at the central laboratory of the Kailuan Hospital using an autoanalyzer (Hitachi 747; Hitachi).
2.3 | Outcome ascertainment

Incident cancer cases were identified via (1) checking clinical examinations or questionnaires in the routine follow-up until 31 December 2019; (2) checking medical linkage with the provincial vital statistics data, the Tangshan medical insurance system and the Kailuan Social Security Information System annually; and (3) reviewing death certificates from the Provincial Vital Statistics Offices (PVSO) to prevent missed diagnosis. Trained medical staff further reviewed the hospitalization records including pathology and imaging results to identified the incident cancer cases and coded cases according to the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) as the following: head and neck cancer (00-14, 30-32, 71, 73), esophageal cancer (15), stomach cancer (16), small intestine cancer (17), colorectal cancer (18-21), liver cancer (22), gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer (23-24), pancreatic cancer (25), lung cancer (34), bone and soft tissue cancer (40-41, 49), skin cancer (43-44), breast cancer (50), cervix cancer (53), uterus cancer (54-55), ovarian cancer (56), prostate cancer (61), kidney cancer (64-65), bladder cancer (67), lymphoma (81-89), leukemia and multiple myeloma (90-96).

2.4 | Potential confounders

Information on age, sex, socioeconomic status, educational background, lifestyle behaviors, medical histories of personal and family members were collected through a questionnaire which was done via trained medical staff. Drinking and smoking status was classified into three categories: never, past or current. Physical exercise was evaluated from responses regarding the frequency of physical activity and classified as: never, occasionally or regularly (≥3 times/week, ≥30 minutes/time). Information on perceived salt intake was determined via a questionnaire survey about regular salt consumption and classified into three categories: low (<6 g/day), intermediate (6-9 g/day) or high (≥10 g/day). In 2012, a validation study was conducted by collecting random spot urine samples from 231 hypertensive participants who did not use any antihypertensive drugs from the Kailuan Study. A sedentary lifestyle was categorized into three groups according to the responses about daily sedentary time.

Trained medical staff performed physical examinations for each participant. BMI was classified into normal (<24 kg/m²), overweight (24.00-27.99 kg/m²) or obese (≥28 kg/m²). Hypertension was defined as having a SBP ≥140 mm Hg, and/or a DBP ≥90 mm Hg, and/or a previous diagnosis of hypertension. The abdominal region, including liver, gallbladder, pancreas and spleen of each participant was examined by specialists after fasting for at least 8 hours using the real-time ultrasound sonography (PHILIPS HD-15). The diagnoses of liver cirrhosis, fatty liver, gallstone disease and gallbladder polyp were based on the results of abdominal ultrasonography or through medical records from the Tangshan medical insurance system. Diabetes mellitus was defined as having a FBG level ≥7.0 mmol/L, taking oral hypoglycemic agents or insulin or having a self-reported history. TG, TC, ALT and TBil were grouped into three categories based on the tertiles of each variable.

2.5 | Statistical analysis

The changes in CRP from 2006 to 2010 were set up as the primary exposure. Latent mixture modeling was used to identify distinct groups of developmental similar trajectories within a population with
the PROC TRAJ procedure in SAS. We initiated a model with five trajectory patterns and then compared the pattern with 4, 3, 2 and 1 trajectories, respectively. The Bayesian information criterion (BIC) with the smallest negative number was used to choose the best-fit trajectory patterns. In addition, the models with different functional forms were compared via the significance level of cubic, quadratic and linear terms, starting with the highest polynomial.

The characteristics of the subjects with normal distribution were expressed as mean ± SD and compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Categorical variables were represented as absolute value with percentage and the χ² test was used for comparison among groups. The calculation of person-year was based on the time from the established CRP trajectory patterns until the date of cancer diagnosis, death or end of follow-up (31 December 2019), whichever event came first. Cox proportional hazards analysis was used to explore the hazard ratios (HRs) and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for determining the association between CRP trajectories from 2006 to 2010 and the subsequent risk of cancers. Adjustments for confounders were made when fitting three models as follows: model 1 was a univariate analysis; model 2 was adjusted for age and sex; model 3 was further adjusted for BMI, concentrations of TC, TG, ALT and TBil, smoking and drinking status, levels of education, marital status, dietary salt intake, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, sedentary lifestyle, physical exercise and family history of cancer. The selection of the confounders was based on the results from previous studies.19-21

In the analyses of pooled cancers, only the first reported cancer type was included. However, for patients with multiple cancers, site-specific analyses were conducted for each cancer type. In the site-specific analyses, HBV infection, liver cirrhosis and fatty liver were additionally adjusted in the multivariate model of liver cancer. Meanwhile, gallstone disease and gallbladder polyp were further adjusted in the model of the gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer.

As a sensitivity analysis, we first excluded participants with CRP levels greater than 10 mg/L from 2006 to 2010, which may indicate an acute inflammatory response. We further excluded participants who received oral aspirin therapy or statins therapy which may affect the CRP concentration. Although reverse causality is unlikely to exist here because of the clear temporal sequencing between CRP trajectory patterns and the occurrence of cancers, we still removed participants who had less than 1 year of follow-up.

A two-sided P value <.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using a commercially available software program (SAS software, version 9.4).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | CRP trajectory patterns

In the final model, we chose four trajectories with cubic order terms as the best-fit patterns (Figure 2). A total of 43 258 participants (82.75%) who consistently maintained a low CRP concentration (mean CRP concentrations ranged from 1.00 mg/L in 2006 to 1.30 mg/L in 2010) were referred to as the low-stable pattern; 2591 participants (4.96%) who initially had moderate CRP levels and then experienced an increase of CRP (mean FBG concentrations ranged from 2.49 mg/L in 2006 to 8.96 mg/L in 2010) were referred to as the moderate-increasing pattern; 2068 (3.96%) participants who initially had increasing CRP concentrations and then experienced a decreasing trend (mean CRP concentrations ranged from 2.37 mg/L in 2006 to 9.43 mg/L in 2008 and 2.92 mg/L in 2010) were referred to as the increasing-decreasing pattern; 4359 (8.34%) participants who initially had elevated CRP concentrations and then experienced a decreasing trend (mean CRP concentrations ranged from 8.56 mg/L in 2006 to 2.54 mg/L in 2010) were referred to as the elevated-decreasing pattern.

3.2 | Baseline characteristics of the study population

A total of 52 276 participants (39 691 men and 12 585 women) were included in the current study, the mean age was 49.29 ± 11.80 years. Significant differences were found in age, levels of TC, TG, ALT, TBil and BMI, the prevalence of chronic HBV infection, physical exercise, smoking status, drinking status, dietary salt intake, marital status, sedentary lifestyle, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, gallstone disease, fatty liver and family history of cancer among four groups. However, no differences in the prevalence of gallbladder polyp and liver cirrhosis were observed among groups (Table 1).

3.3 | Association of CRP trajectory patterns with overall cancer risk

During a median of 8.51 years of follow-up, a total of 2510 cancer cases were identified. The absolute count of specific-site cancers is presented in Table S1. For all cancers combined, the age- and sex-standardized incidence rates per 100 000 population per year are higher in our study than the results reported previously in Northern China22 (234.9 vs 213.2 per 100 000). Table 2 shows the association of CRP trajectory patterns with overall cancer risk. Compared to the low-stable pattern, the moderate-increasing trajectory pattern and
TABLE 1  Baseline characteristics of the participants according to hs-CRP trajectory patterns

| Variables                  | Low-stable | Moderate-increasing | Increasing-decreasing | Elevated-decreasing | P-value |
|----------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| n (%)                      | 43 258     | 2591                | 2068                  | 4359                |         |
| Age (year)                 | 48.40 ± 11.62 | 49.99 ± 11.97       | 52.70 ± 12.15         | 55.17 ± 11.07       | <.0001  |
| Male (%)                   | 32 915 (76.09) | 2072 (79.97)       | 1509 (72.97)          | 3195 (73.30)        | <.0001  |
| Marital status (%)         |            |                     |                       |                     | <.0001  |
| Never                      | 734 (1.70)  | 36 (1.39)           | 24 (1.16)             | 28 (0.64)           |         |
| Married                    | 40 637 (93.94) | 2337 (90.20)       | 1871 (90.48)          | 3397 (77.95)        |         |
| Divorced                   | 382 (0.88)  | 18 (0.69)           | 17 (0.82)             | 32 (0.73)           |         |
| Widowed                    | 580 (1.34)  | 45 (1.74)           | 34 (1.64)             | 103 (2.36)          |         |
| Remarried                  | 925 (2.14)  | 155 (5.98)          | 122 (5.90)            | 799 (18.32)         |         |
| Educational background (%) |            |                     |                       |                     | <.0001  |
| Never                      | 276 (0.64)  | 19 (0.73)           | 19 (0.92)             | 40 (0.91)           |         |
| Primary school             | 2954 (6.83) | 174 (6.72)         | 176 (8.51)            | 406 (9.30)          |         |
| Middle school              | 29 542 (68.29) | 1823 (70.36)       | 1436 (69.43)          | 2781 (63.80)        |         |
| High school                | 6801 (15.72) | 313 (12.08)        | 270 (13.06)           | 470 (10.80)         |         |
| College graduate or above  | 3685 (8.52) | 262 (10.11)        | 167 (8.08)            | 662 (15.19)         |         |
| TC (%)                     | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| <4.50 mmol/L               | 14 712 (34.01) | 741 (28.60)         | 564 (27.28)           | 1473 (33.80)        |         |
| 4.50-5.32 mmol/L           | 14 197 (32.82) | 862 (33.27)        | 700 (33.85)           | 1451 (33.29)        |         |
| >5.32 mmol/L               | 14 349 (33.17) | 988 (38.13)        | 804 (37.83)           | 1435 (32.91)        |         |
| TG (%)                     | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| <1.02 mmol/L               | 14 970 (34.61) | 703 (27.14)         | 546 (26.41)           | 1409 (32.33)        |         |
| 1.02-1.65 mmol/L           | 14 302 (33.06) | 773 (29.84)        | 640 (30.95)           | 1375 (31.55)        |         |
| >1.65 mmol/L               | 13 986 (33.58) | 1115 (43.02)        | 882 (42.64)           | 1575 (36.12)        |         |
| ALT (%)                    | .0095      |                     |                       |                     |         |
| <15.00 u/L                 | 15 538 (35.92) | 908 (35.05)         | 729 (35.26)           | 1649 (37.83)        |         |
| 15.00-22.00 u/L            | 13 193 (30.50) | 735 (28.37)         | 627 (30.32)           | 1328 (30.47)        |         |
| >22.00 u/L                 | 14 527 (33.07) | 948 (36.58)         | 712 (34.42)           | 1382 (31.70)        |         |
| TBil (%)                   | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| <10.70 umol/L              | 13 079 (30.23) | 986 (38.06)         | 705 (34.09)           | 2320 (53.23)        |         |
| 10.70-14.00 umol/L         | 14 757 (34.12) | 756 (29.18)         | 647 (31.29)           | 1085 (24.90)        |         |
| >14.00 umol/L              | 15 422 (35.65) | 849 (32.76)         | 716 (34.62)           | 954 (21.87)         |         |
| BMI (%)                    | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| <24 kg/m²                  | 17 638 (40.77) | 780 (30.10)         | 622 (30.08)           | 1509 (34.62)        |         |
| 24-28 kg/m²                | 17 902 (41.38) | 1108 (42.76)        | 842 (41.72)           | 1930 (44.28)        |         |
| >28 kg/m²                  | 7718 (17.85)  | 703 (27.13)         | 604 (29.20)           | 920 (21.10)         |         |
| Physical exercise (%)      | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| Never                      | 3924 (9.07)  | 181 (6.99)          | 143 (6.92)            | 224 (5.14)          |         |
| Occasionally               | 32 406 (74.91) | 1945 (75.07)       | 1518 (73.40)          | 2834 (65.01)        |         |
| Regularly                  | 6928 (16.00)  | 465 (17.94)         | 407 (19.68)           | 1301 (29.85)        |         |
| Smoking status (%)         | <.0001     |                     |                       |                     |         |
| Never                      | 25 299 (58.49) | 1404 (54.19)        | 1243 (60.11)          | 2446 (56.11)        |         |
| Past                       | 2314 (5.35)  | 102 (3.94)          | 109 (5.27)            | 207 (4.75)          |         |
| Moderate                   | 1676 (3.87)  | 92 (3.55)           | 57 (2.76)             | 119 (2.73)          |         |
| Severe                     | 13 969 (32.29) | 993 (38.32)         | 659 (31.86)           | 1587 (36.41)        |         |

(Continues)
increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern were associated with an elevated risk of pooled cancers with the corresponding multivariate HR (95%) CI of 1.44 (1.19-1.69), 1.22 (1.04-1.41), respectively. However, no significant association between elevated-decreasing pattern and overall cancer risk after adjusting for potential confounders.

### 3.4 | Association of CRP trajectory patterns with the risk of specific-site cancer

Table 3 demonstrates the effect of CRP trajectories from 2006 to 2010 on the risk of specific-site cancer. In the site-specific analyses, compared to the low-stable pattern of CRP, individuals in moderate-increasing trajectory pattern exhibited an increased risk of lung cancer (HR = 1.21, 95% CI: 1.04-1.42), breast cancer (HR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.09-1.59), leukemia (HR = 9.54, 95% CI: 6.35-14.34), bladder cancer (HR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.11-1.54), stomach cancer (HR = 1.22, 95% CI: 1.03-1.49), colorectal cancer (HR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01-1.23), liver cancer (HR = 1.07, 95% CI: 1.02-1.11) and gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer (HR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.12-1.53) in the fully-adjusted analyses.

After adjusting for the aforementioned confounders, participants in the increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern were associated with an elevated risk of lung cancer (HR = 1.09, 95% CI: 1.02-1.15), breast...
### TABLE 3  Hazard ratios (HRs) for the association between hs-CRP trajectory patterns and specific site cancer risk

| Specific cancer site   | Cases | Low-stable | Moderate-increasing | Increasing-decreasing | Elevated-decreasing |
|------------------------|-------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Lung cancer            | 664   | 1.21 (1.04-1.42) | 1.09 (1.02-1.15) | 0.79 (0.61-1.02)      |
| Breast cancer          | 202   | 1.30 (1.09-1.59) | 2.47 (1.16-2.51) | 0.89 (0.51-1.56)      |
| Leukemia               | 137   | 9.54 (6.35-14.34) | 0.93 (0.37-2.34) | 4.87 (3.27-7.26)      |
| Kidney cancer          | 141   | 0.57 (0.23-1.39) | 0.44 (0.15-1.72) | 0.34 (0.15-1.77)      |
| Bladder cancer         | 103   | 1.31 (1.11-1.54) | 6.71 (4.30-10.48) | 0.72 (0.33-1.59)      |
| Prostate cancer        | 80    | 0.77 (0.28-2.11) | 0.79 (0.29-2.19) | 1.10 (0.30-1.45)      |
| Pancreatic cancer      | 61    | 0.86 (0.21-3.58) | 1.92 (1.10-2.88) | 0.43 (0.10-1.80)      |
| Head and neck cancer   | 113   | 0.84 (0.26-2.68) | 0.37 (0.05-2.65) | 1.04 (0.44-2.45)      |
| Esophageal cancer      | 83    | 0.62 (0.20-1.96) | 1.30 (0.52-3.23) | 0.23 (0.05-0.95)      |
| Stomach cancer         | 161   | 1.22 (1.03-1.49) | 1.08 (0.52-2.21) | 0.82 (0.46-1.47)      |
| Colorectal cancer      | 348   | 1.13 (1.01-1.23) | 0.33 (0.15-0.73) | 0.54 (0.35-0.85)      |
| Liver cancer<sup>a</sup> | 138  | 1.07 (1.02-1.11) | 1.29 (1.15-1.44) | 0.88 (0.48-1.61)      |
| Gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer<sup>b</sup> | 63 | 1.33 (1.12-1.53) | 0.34 (0.05-2.44) | 0.29 (0.07-1.23)      |

Note: Models were adjusted for age (every 10 years), gender, BMI, TG, TC, TBil, ALT, diabetes, family income, educational background, marital status, salt consumption, current smoker, drinking status, physical activity, sedentary lifestyle and family history of cancer.
Results presented with bold valued were statistically significant with all p value < 0.05.
<sup>a</sup>Further adjusted for HBV infection, liver cirrhosis and fatty liver disease.
<sup>b</sup>Further adjusted for gallstone disease and gallbladder polyp.

### TABLE 4  Hazard ratios (HRs) for the association between hs-CRP trajectory patterns and specific site cancer risk in the sensitivity analysis

| Group                          | Cases/person-years | Adjusted models | HR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Exclude participants hs-CRP >10 mg/L |                     |                 |             |         |
| Low-stable pattern            | 1948/365 006       | Ref.            |             |         |
| Moderate-increasing pattern   | 178/14 999         | 1.86 (1.54-2.24) | <.0001      |
| Increasing-decreasing pattern | 131/11 627         | 1.84 (1.53-2.22) | <.0001      |
| Elevated-decreasing pattern   | 156/27 284         | 1.02 (0.87-1.20) | .0532       |
| Exclude participants who took aspirin |                   |                 |             |         |
| Low-stable pattern            | 1927/363 222       | Ref.            |             |         |
| Moderate-increasing pattern   | 210/21 423         | 1.44 (1.21-1.70) | <.0001      |
| Increasing-decreasing pattern | 154/17 310         | 1.42 (1.19-1.68) | .0001       |
| Elevated-decreasing pattern   | 195/35 668         | 1.03 (0.70-1.42) | .2129       |
| Exclude participants who took statins |                 |                 |             |         |
| Low-stable pattern            | 1929/361 654       | Ref.            |             |         |
| Moderate-increasing pattern   | 207/21 367         | 1.40 (1.17-1.65) | .0001       |
| Increasing-decreasing pattern | 151/17 214         | 1.38 (1.16-1.65) | .0003       |
| Elevated-decreasing pattern   | 178/35 350         | 0.90 (0.77-1.04) | .1555       |
| Exclude participants with follow-up <1 year |               |                 |             |         |
| Low-stable pattern            | 1773/363 832       | Ref.            |             |         |
| Moderate-increasing pattern   | 179/21 345         | 1.41 (1.16-1.64) | <.0001      |
| Increasing-decreasing pattern | 129/17 271         | 1.34 (1.11-1.58) | .0001       |
| Elevated-decreasing pattern   | 150/35 662         | 0.94 (0.80-1.10) | .2331       |

Note: Models were adjusted for age (every 10 years), gender, BMI, TG, TC, TBil, ALT, diabetes, family income, educational background, marital status, salt consumption, current smoker, drinking status, physical activity, sedentary lifestyle and family history of cancer.
cancer (HR = 2.47, 95% CI: 1.16-2.51), bladder cancer (HR = 6.71, 95% CI: 4.30-10.48), pancreatic cancer (HR = 1.92, 95% CI: 1.10-2.88) and liver cancer (HR = 1.29, 95% CI: 1.15-1.44). Remarkably, the increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern was also associated with the decreased risk of colorectal cancer in the multivariate analyses (HR = 0.33, 95% CI: 0.15-0.73).

Compared to the low-stable pattern of CRP, individuals in the elevated-decreasing trajectory pattern had a 4.8-fold increased risk of leukemia in the adjusted models (HR = 4.87, 95% CI: 3.27 to 7.26). However, the elevated-decreasing trajectory pattern is also associated with decreased risk of esophageal cancer (HR = 0.23, 95% CI: 0.05 to 0.95) and colorectal cancer (HR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.35 to 0.85).

3.5 Sensitivity analysis

In the sensitivity analysis, after excluding individuals with CRP levels greater than 10 mg/L during 2006 to 2010 (n = 2601), or who had received oral aspirin therapy (n = 282), or who took statins (n = 535) at baseline examination, or with follow-up less than 1 year (n = 879), the association between CRP trajectory patterns and the risk of pooled cancers remained significant in the multivariate analysis (Table 4).

4 DISCUSSION

In this large, prospective cohort study, compared to the low-stable CRP trajectory pattern within 4 years, we found (i) a positive association of the moderate-increasing CRP and increasing-decreasing CRP trajectory pattern with overall cancer risk; (ii) participants in the moderate-increasing CRP trajectory pattern exhibited elevated risk of lung, breast, bladder, stomach, colorectal, liver, gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer and leukemia; (iii) the increasing-decreasing CRP trajectory pattern was associated with increased risk of lung, breast, bladder, pancreatic, liver cancer and decreased risk of colorectal cancer. (iv) elevated-decreasing CRP trajectory pattern was associated with increased leukemia risk and decreased esophageal and colorectal cancer risk. As far as we are aware, this is the first study to comprehensively evaluate the impact of heterogeneous CRP trajectories on the risk of overall and specific-site cancers worldwide.

Participants in the moderate-increasing and increasing-decreasing CRP trajectory patterns were at a higher risk of developing cancer in the future. This should be a matter of concern for long-term management and control of CRP in clinical practice. Currently, no specific study has examined the effect of CRP changes on the risk of incident cancers. However, epidemiological studies have shown that elevated circulating CRP (single measurement) not only reflects the presence of cancer, but also is associated with an increased risk of cancer in the future for apparently healthy participants. A prospective study found that individuals with elevated CRP concentrations (>3 mg/L vs <1 mg/L) could expect a 1.3-fold and 2.2-fold increased risk of overall cancer and lung cancer, respectively, by analyzing 10 408 individuals from the Danish general population. Wang et al observed 19 437 female participants from the Kailuan cohort study for up to 5 years who had baseline measurement of serum CRP, and found a respective 62% and 74% increased risk of overall and breast cancer for the highest CRP group (>3 mg/L) vs the lowest CRP group (<1 mg/L). A nested case-control study of 592 lung cancer patients and 670 matched controls within the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian Cancer Screening Trial reported that elevated CRP concentrations (highest quartile vs lowest quartile) were associated with a twofold increased risk of lung cancer risk. In a nested case-control study including 375 colorectal cancer (CRC) cases, Otani et al reported a 1.6-fold increased risk of CRC, supported by similar results in a prospective cohort study by Allin et al. Our previous study found a positive association between baseline CRP levels and the risk of primary liver cancer by analyzing 95 795 participants free of liver cancer.

Our results firstly demonstrate a close association between CRP trajectory patterns and elevated risk of leukemia and bladder cancer. However, no research has focused on the impact of CRP or CRP trajectories on the occurrence of leukemia or bladder cancer, making our study being the first to analyze this issue. According to research findings, extrinsic inflammatory factors contribute to the development of premalignant of leukemia. Unlike PSA, CRP is neither a tumor-specific nor an organ-specific marker. Previous studies have shown that elevated CRP is an independent prognostic marker for survival of patients with urological malignancies, which partly confirms our findings.

However, insignificant results were also observed in previous studies. Even though inflammatory bowel diseases are established risk factors of CRC, a prospective cohort study by Zhang et al failed to find a significant association between CRP levels and the risk of CRC among 27 913 healthy women during a 10-year follow-up. Results from a prospective study showed no association between CRP levels and risk of breast cancer. Similarly, studies on the effect of serum CRP on the occurrence of prostate cancer have been mainly negative, including the largest to date nested case-control study involving 264 prostate cancer cases. This is somewhat unexpected, because prostatic inflammation is crucial for the etiology of prostate cancer.

It is worth noting that participants in the increasing-decreasing trajectory pattern and the elevated-decreasing pattern were associated with a reduced risk of esophageal or colorectal cancer. Individuals who experienced a reduction period in CRP levels found weight loss was the major
driver of lowering CRP concentration, regardless of diet composition.\textsuperscript{25} In this current study, the reversed association between the decreased trajectory of CRP and cancer risk is independent of BMI. Taken together, the antiinflammatory effect produced by changing a healthy lifestyle and weight loss may partially clarify the anticancer effect of the decreasing trajectory of CRP in our study. Future studies should be conducted to better assess the potential mechanism of decline in serum CRP levels for the anticarcinogenesis effect.

Although the exact mechanisms surrounding the association of elevated CRP levels with increased risk of cancer remain unsolved, several possible mechanisms may help to elucidate this matter. Long-term low degree inflammation can promote tumor development and progression by leading to oxidation of protein and DNA.\textsuperscript{36} Crucial pathways that maintain normal cellular homeostasis can be altered by genetic and epigenetic variations, due to mediators of the inflammatory response such as cytokines, free radicals, prostataglandins and growth factors. These variations include point mutations in tumor suppressor genes, DNA methylation and posttranslational variations, all of which can lead to the eventual presence and growth of cancer.\textsuperscript{36} The association between inflammation and cancer has also been further fortified after observing the interaction of micro-RNAs and innate immunity during inflammation.\textsuperscript{37} Previous research suggested that CRP was not just a marker of inflammation but has numerous critical proinflammatory properties.\textsuperscript{38,39} Specifically, CRP can cause the initiation of endothelial cells, monocytes and smooth muscle cells, prompt expression of adhesion molecules, chemotransactant, tissue factors and activation of the NF-κB pathway.\textsuperscript{40} Adhesion molecule expression is essential for the invasion of cancer, whereas NF-κB pathway activation has been linked to crucial oncogenic effects.

The major strength of this current study is that it provides a novel perception of the potential association between longitudinal CRP trajectory patterns and cancer risk. Furthermore, the broad evaluation of potential confounders has been well addressed in our study, including lifestyle behaviors and history of cancer-associated diseases. Finally, cancer cases were obtained through inspection of the Tangshan medical insurance system and the Kailuan social security system which record all the health information of participants. Using this method, the follow-up rate was almost 100% in the current study.

Limitations should also be noticed in our study when interpreting the results. First, the Kailuan study does not contain detailed information on other cancer-associated causal factors including hepatitis C virus (HBC) infection for liver cancer, and the consumption of cereal, vegetables and high-fiber food as well as \textit{Helicobacter pylori} (Hp) infection for stomach cancer. The lack of details regarding the consumption of these foods hinders us from assessing confounding factors more precisely. However, the prevalence of hepatitis C core antibody is only 0.43% in China.\textsuperscript{41} dietary components are closely associated with BMI, and since serum biomarkers such as TC and TG\textsuperscript{42} have been taken into account, this may only have a small impact on the results. Second, the participants were all from the Kailuan community and were not nationally representative of the Chinese population. Thus, extrapolated results might not be an accurate description of the wider Chinese population. Third, both moderate-increasing and increasing-decreasing trajectories were associated with an increased risk of several cancer types, however, the difference in meaning between moderate-increasing and increasing-decreasing trajectories in relation to cancer risk should be better elucidated in future epidemiological and experimental studies. Fourth, because of the close relationship between inflammation and cancer, a decrease in CRP levels should decrease the incidence of numerous cancer types. Whereas the antitumor effect was only observed in the esophagus and colorectal cancer, the mechanisms should be investigated in future studies.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

The results of our study have found that CRP trajectories play an important role in the occurrence of cancers, especially in the lung, breast, bladder, stomach, colorectal, liver, gallbladder or extrahepatic bile duct cancer and leukemia. The mechanism of anticarcinogenesis in the CRP decreasing trajectory pattern needs to be better elucidated in large cohort studies as well as experimental research in the future. We have also provided a novel method to explore the effect of CRP changes rather than a single CRP measurement in the prospective studies.
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