Implementing a Longitudinal Adolescent Transition of Care Curriculum: Identifying Comfort and Barriers Among Residents
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Abstract

Amid growing recognition of the importance of transitioning adolescents and young adults (AYA) from pediatric- to adult-oriented health care systems, residency programs are being tasked with educating residents on best transition practices. However, consensus on how to approach training residents in transition of care (TOC) is limited. Our academic residency program therefore created and implemented a TOC of AYA curriculum for pediatric residents in an effort to increase provider knowledge and comfort with this topic. Three classes of post-graduate year one (PGY1) pediatric residents participated in this curriculum from 2017-2019 (n=35) and subsequently completed a problem-based learning (PBL) exercise in a primary care clinic with adolescent patients based on core goals in transitioning AYA. Residents completed pre-PBL and post-PBL surveys quantifying provider comfort in several aspects of the transition process. The majority of residents (94%) identified the PBL exercise as being useful, with no significant difference between classes. Eighty-nine percent (n=31) identified 1) earlier introduction of TOC and/or 2) incorporation of TOC discussions during AYA well visits as intended areas of future practice change. Overall provider comfort in transitioning AYA increased significantly from matched pre-PBL to post-PBL surveys (p=0.004). Paired mean differences also showed a significant increase in provider comfort based on several identifiable skillsets in transitioning AYA. This study suggests that a formal curriculum for pediatric residents significantly increases resident comfort in transitioning AYA and encourages change in future clinical practice. Future directions include evaluating the implementation of a formal longitudinal curriculum across several PGY levels and expansion of the curriculum to include internal medicine residents. Standardized curricula on this topic may improve resident comfort on a national level.

Introduction

Transition of care (TOC) is defined as the “purposeful, planned movement of adolescents and young adults (AYA) with chronic physical and medical conditions from child-centered to adult-oriented health care systems.” [1]. With improvements in the care of complex pediatric patients and increased life expectancy, approximately 500,000 AYA are eligible for transfer to an adult-based system every year in the USA, many of whom have special healthcare needs [2,3]. Both pediatric and internal medicine providers report little comfort in navigating barriers to the TOC process that can be identified at the provider, practice, and system level [2]. Amid a growing recognition of the importance of TOC of AYA, residency programs are increasingly tasked with educating trainees about this topic.

Although residency programs are encouraged to incorporate specific training on TOC of AYA, there is sparse research and thus limited consensus as to how this should best be accomplished [1]. Surveys of pediatric and internal medicine providers indicate that they desire a formal curriculum on transitioning to adulthood, specifically a longitudinal curriculum, due to low comfort levels [4-6]. A few residency programs have implemented formal curricula focused on transitioning AYA with neurodevelopmental disorders, disabilities, or specific chronic disease states such as cystic fibrosis, sickle cell and Type 1 diabetes [5-7]. However, in our literature search to date, we have not found a formal longitudinal transitional care curriculum with a primary care focus.

In response, we have created a longitudinal curriculum aimed at training pediatric residents about aspects of TOC of AYA in the primary care setting. This paper describes the first phase of the longitudinal curriculum which was delivered to residents in the first post-graduate year of their program (PGY1). Residents engaged in a three-pronged curriculum, with 1) a self-paced didactic on TOC, 2) clinical encounters with AYA where topics pertaining to TOC were discussed, and 3) a self-reflective exercise. The goal of this curriculum was to increase resident knowledge, familiarity, and comfort in the process of transitioning AYA.
Materials And Methods

This study was determined to be exempt from human subject research by the University of Maryland Baltimore Institutional Review Board (approval HP-00079757). Subjects included PGY1 categorical Pediatric, combined Internal Medicine and Pediatrics (Med-Peds), and combined Pediatrics and Emergency Medicine (Peds-EM) residents from the 2017-18, 2018-19, and 2019-20 academic years. Subjects participated in the AYA TOC curriculum at the University of Maryland Medical Center in Baltimore, Maryland, and completed: 1) pre-curriculum surveys, 2) a self-paced PowerPoint, 3) Got TransitionTM Readiness Assessments with one to three patients, 4) problem-based learning (PBL) exercises, and 5) post-curriculum surveys. Each subject was assigned a unique coded identifier, allowing the research team to link survey responses while maintaining anonymity.

The pre-curriculum survey consisted of three baseline questions focused on prior training, exposure, and experience in transitioning AYA, as well as 10 questions evaluating resident comfort in several aspects of transitioning patients (Table 1). This included comfort in working with AYA ready to begin the transition process, discussing TOC with AYA and families, discussing specific differences between pediatric and adult health care models, and the ability to access resources on transitioning patients both with and without chronic conditions to provide to families, modeled after principles in the Got TransitionTM Initiative and joint consensus physician organization statements [8,9]. Residents were surveyed on their comfort with identifying patient factors needed for successful transition, including patient readiness, required patient skillsets, and barriers to transitioning. Comfort levels were identified using a Likert Scale from 0 to 100 (0=cannot do at all, 50=moderately certain can do, 100=certainly can do).

| Table 1: Pre- and Post-Curriculum Survey Questions |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| Surveys were matched at the participant level.   |

Participating residents reviewed a self-paced 10-minute PowerPoint presentation (Table 2) that introduced relevant TOC topics such as an introduction on basic definitions of transition-of-care versus transfer-of-care. The PowerPoint highlighted potential barriers to TOC such as patient, family, and practitioner perceptions and differences between pediatric- and adult-centered models of care. The presentation also provided methods by which practitioners can address TOC with AYA.
Residents were asked to identify between one and three AYAs with chronic conditions during routine clinic visits at the pediatric and adolescent medicine primary care clinic, and to then incorporate a discussion on TOC to adult-based systems using the Got Transition™ Readiness Assessment [8] (Figure 1). Based on the Got Transition™ Readiness Assessment, AYA and resident physicians together established three goals for...
the AYA to work towards such as learning names and purposes of medications or calling to make one's own appointment.

![Sample Transition Readiness Assessment](image)

**FIGURE 1: Got TransitionTM Transition Readiness Assessment**

White P, Schmidt A, Shorr J, Ilango S, Beck D, McManus M. Six Core Elements of Health Care Transition™ 3.0. Washington, DC: Got Transition, The National Alliance to Advance Adolescent Health, July 2020.

Residents utilized the assessment during their clinical encounter with AYA patients.

Residents then completed and submitted a PBL exercise which consisted of free text responses assessing change in perception on the importance of transitioning AYA, usefulness of the PBL, barriers to transitioning AYA, and plans to change clinical practice (Table 3).
TABLE 3: Problem-Based Learning Exercise
Residents completed the problem-based learning exercise (PBL) after completion of the didactic and clinical encounter portions of the curriculum. Residents received a post-curriculum survey identical to the pre-curriculum survey six to 12 months after introduction to the curriculum (Table 3). Participating subjects used a de-identified code to complete a pre- and post-curriculum survey and responses were matched at the participant level. Pre-curriculum responses were compared to matched post-curriculum data using the paired T-test to evaluate changes in resident self-assessed comfort after participating in the curriculum. Results were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) and the mean (SD) difference in score was presented. Participants also completed one post-participating survey on their perception of the utility of the PBL (non-paired data) and the resulting binary and categorical data were compared using Chi Square or Fisher Exact Test as appropriate. P-values <0.05 were considered significant. Analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results
A total of 63 PGY1 residents were eligible during the three academic years from 2017-2020 to participate in the longitudinal curriculum, of whom 22 (35%) completed both pre- and post-curriculum surveys and 35 (56%) completed the PBL exercise. Subjects who completed only one of the pre- or post-surveys were excluded. At baseline, of those who participated, two residents (9%) were exposed to formal curricula and/or various AYA TOC models prior to our intervention.

Comfort in resident self-ability significantly improved in several measures from pre- to post-curriculum, including discussing the differences between pediatric- and adult-centered models of care (p=0.02), accessing resources pertaining to transition of AYA (p=0.006), and more specifically accessing transition resources for chronic conditions (p=0.019) (Figure 2). Residents reported significantly increased comfort in identifying patient factors necessary for successful transition of AYA patients such as barriers to care (p=0.027), patient transition readiness (p=0.017), and skills required of patients prior to transfer (p=0.0004) (Table 4). Comfort in identifying factors affecting the transition and transfer processes such as identifying family/patient perceptions on the differences between pediatric- and adult-centered care also increased significantly in the post-curriculum period (p=0.008). Overall comfort in transitioning AYA patients significantly increased from pre-curriculum to post-curriculum (p=0.004). Resident comfort in discussing the importance of transitioning with AYA did increase in our cohort, though this did not reach statistical significance (p=0.071). There was no significant difference in resident reported self-ability to care for AYA patients ready for the transitioning process.
Thirty-five residents completed the free-form short answer PBL. Eighty-six percent (n=30) identified that the PBL exercise changed their perception on the importance of introducing TOC topics during AYA clinic visits. Of the 33 residents who responded to the question on utility of the PBL, 94% (n=31) identified the PBL as being overall useful. No differences were noted between annual PGY1 cohorts (Table 5). After completion of the PBL, most residents designated more than one intended change in clinical practice. These included earlier introduction of the topic of TOC to patients (n=16, 46%), incorporation of the topic during routine visits with patients (n=15, 43%), usage of transition-readiness assessments (n=6, 17%), and focusing on health literacy (n=4, 11%) (Figure 3).

**TABLE 4: Resident Confidence in Self-Ability from Pre- to Post-Curriculum Surveys**

SD: standard deviation, AYA: adolescents and young adults, TOC: transition of care

| Resident Comfort (N=32) | Pre-Curriculum Mean (SD) | Post-Curriculum Mean (SD) | Paired Difference (SD) | p-value   |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Care for transition-ready AYA patient | 52 (21) | 65 (20) | +7 (24) | 0.1655 |
| Discuss importance of transitioning | 58 (23) | 70 (24) | +12 (22) | 0.0713 |
| Discuss differences between pediatric and adult healthcare systems | 46 (25) | 62 (21) | +16 (35) | 0.0200 |
| Accessing resources for TOC | 40 (21) | 53 (22) | +13 (27) | 0.0064 |
| Accessing resources specifically for TOC with chronic conditions | 30 (22) | 44 (19) | +14 (26) | 0.0186 |
| Identify barriers to care | 49 (23) | 62 (20) | +13 (26) | 0.0274 |
| Identify patient and family perceptions of pediatric vs adult health care | 49 (21) | 62 (19) | +13 (25) | 0.0378 |
| Identify AYA patient skills necessary for transitioning | 47 (20) | 57 (19) | +10 (24) | 0.0304 |
| Assess patient readiness for transitioning | 49 (24) | 55 (21) | +6 (26) | 0.0167 |
| Overall comfort in transitioning AYA patients | 44 (23) | 53 (20) | +9 (22) | 0.0063 |

**FIGURE 2: Resident Confidence in Self-Ability from Pre- to Post-Curriculum Surveys**

AYA: adolescents and young adults
### TABLE 5: Resident Perception of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) and Patient Transition Readiness by Year

1 Survey of residents on perception of PBL after participation in the curriculum.

2 Of 13 residents did not respond to the question “Was PBL useful” during 2018-2019 cohort. Denominator for 2018-2019 cohort for PBL usefulness is 11, and for total N answering question was 33.

| Resident Perception | 2017-2018 (N=16) n (%) | 2018-2019 (N=13) n (%) | 2019-2020 (N=6) n (%) | P-Value | Total (N=35) n (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------|
| The PBL was useful. | 15 (94)                | 10 (91)                | 6 (100)                | 0.7536  | 31 (94)          |
| The PBL changed the perception on introducing transition of care to patients | 13 (81)                | 11 (85)                | 6 (100)                | 0.4299  | 30 (86)          |
| Expected patient transition readiness |                       |                        |                        |         |                  |
| More than expected | 8 (50)                 | 4 (31)                 | 1 (17)                 | 0.6415  | 13 (37)          |
| Equivalent         | 3 (19)                 | 3 (23)                 | 2 (33)                 |         | 8 (23)           |
| Less than expected | 5 (31)                 | 6 (46)                 | 3 (50)                 |         | 14 (40)          |

**FIGURE 3: Resident reported intended change in practice on the PBL.**

PBL: problem-based learning, TOC: transition of care

Through the PBL exercise, residents identified one or more barriers in transitioning care of AYA patients, including healthcare literacy (n=16, 46%), socioeconomic factors (n=12, 34%), provider or AYA/family perception on differences in pediatric versus adult care (n=9, 26%), and time constraints (n=1, 3%) (Figure 4). Healthcare literacy barriers included knowledge of medical history and medications, access to medical records, and skills required for adequate care such as making appointments. Barriers in the form of socioeconomic factors included navigating insurance issues, access to adequate finances and transportation, and housing instability. Thirty-two percent (n=11) further identified lack of patient readiness or lack of provider readiness (discomfort with transitioning those with chronic conditions, being unaware of adult resources to provide to patients) as barriers to transitioning patients (Figure 4). Patient readiness as gauged by residents was not significantly different across cohorts (p=0.64) (Table 5).
When surveyed regarding gaps in the curriculum, 40% (n=14) of the residents requested resources for patients such as printed handouts related to TOC, lists of adult providers, and insurance information. Thirty-one percent (n=11) also requested further information for themselves such as transitioning patients with specific health conditions, how to engage in a warm handoff, and improving knowledge on insurance.

**Discussion**

Though transitioning care of AYA from pediatric-centered to adult-oriented health care systems is of the utmost importance, consensus on the ideal curriculum to teach resident trainees about this topic is lacking. Prior studies have evaluated curricula focused on transitioning AYA with specific disorders or chronic disease. Our study is the first to evaluate a formal longitudinal transitional care curriculum with a primary care focus. After implementation of the first phase of our curriculum, results from our pilot study showed a statistically significant increase in resident comfort level in skills required to transition AYA such as the ability to discuss the transition process with patients and to identify barriers that AYA may face during the transition process.

Review of the literature shows few formal curricula addressing TOC of AYA among residency programs despite reported discomfort and desire to learn further about this topic among both pediatric and internal medicine providers [4-7]. Specifically, residency programs that do have formal curricula often target specific chronic conditions. Our TOC curriculum is intended as a basic introduction in transitioning AYA with chronic conditions in the primary care setting. With the growing need for residency programs to address TOC of AYA formally, our curriculum was kept as generalized as possible in order to aid in ease and feasibility of disseminating and applying the curriculum broadly across various residency institutions.

In addition to statistically significant increased individual comfort level in the process of transitioning AYA after implementation of the curriculum, residents self-identified areas of intended change in future clinical practice. Though we did not study patient outcomes, we hope that increased provider comfort and identifiable ways of improving future clinical practices may impact patient outcomes around the transition and transfer periods in favorable ways.

The research team anticipated time constraint to be a large barrier in initiating the discussion of transitioning AYA, but only one resident identified this as a barrier. These findings suggest that introducing and discussing the topic of TOC is plausible during a routinely scheduled AYA visit, even with often packed clinic schedules.

The only measure that did not significantly increase was resident comfort in ability to care for a transition-ready AYA patient, though overall comfort in transitioning patients did significantly increase. We suspect that residents were not aware of the difference in nomenclature of transitioning patients versus transferring patients prior to participating in the curriculum and that may have played a role in how residents answered pre-curriculum vs post-curriculum surveys.
Our study has several limiting factors. First, there is a small sample size due to incomplete resident participation, with only ~50% for the 2017-18 and 2018-19 cohorts completing all aspects of the curriculum. The 2019-20 cohort had even less participation due to impact of COVID-19 on clinical rotations. Our results therefore may not reflect the views of our entire resident cohort. The study was also conducted at a single urban academic center and may not be generalizable to all residency programs. Third, post-survey responses were delayed by six to 12 months from the time of introduction of the curriculum and may reflect increased comfort due to the clinical nature of residency rather than a formal curriculum. Responses from former graduates of the program who did not participate in a formal curriculum on AYA TOC will serve as a baseline to assess for difference in timing in ongoing analyses of this curriculum. Lastly, our residents evaluated the educational aspect of the curriculum rather than evaluating the process of transitioning AYA itself and therefore cannot be used to gauge if the curriculum has positive effects on patient outcomes.

We will continue to expand on our curriculum in order to address the reported discomfort in the literature among both pediatric and internal medicine providers on TOC of AYA [4-6]. The second phase of the curriculum will include following up with patients to see if they have made progress on the goals outlined during the initial visit and to gauge if the patient is progressing in the transitioning process. This will provide valuable experience for residents on how to help patients navigate the transition process. The third phase of the curriculum will simulate a warm handoff between pediatric and internal medicine residents, providing a space for both sets of residents to enhance their communication skills and practice transferring a patient’s care. Future versions of this curriculum may also include the distribution of more resources to support providers and patients navigating the difficult transitioning process, as identified by our residents, while still maintaining generalizability.

Conclusions
We developed a longitudinal curriculum focused on the TOC of AYA for pediatric residents. The first phase of the curriculum aimed at PGY1 included learning through didactic, clinical experiences, and self-reflections. Residents subsequently demonstrated significantly increased comfort across various measures of the process of transitioning patients after implementation of the first phase of our curriculum. Significant increases were seen post-curriculum overall in self-determined resident ability to transition AYA. Though our study was performed at a single academic urban institution, we hope that our curriculum can serve as a guide for curricula at other pediatric residency programs in the future.
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