Low-energy V $t_{2g}$ orbital excitations in NdVO$_3$
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Abstract

The electronic structure of NdVO$_3$ and YVO$_3$ has been investigated as a function of sample temperature using resonant inelastic soft x-ray scattering at the V $L_3$-edge. Most of the observed spectral features are in good agreement with an atomic crystal-field multiplet model. However, a low energy feature is observed at $\sim 0.4$ eV that cannot be explained by crystal-field arguments. The resonant behaviour of this feature establishes it as due to excitations of the V $t_{2g}$ states. Moreover, this feature exhibits a strong sample temperature dependence, reaching maximum intensity in the orbitally-ordered phase of NdVO$_3$, before becoming suppressed at low temperatures. This behaviour indicates that the origin of this feature is a collective orbital excitation, i.e. the bi-orbon.
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1. Introduction

The competition and interplay between spin, orbital and charge degrees of freedom in transition metal oxides yield extremely rich, and often unexpected, phase diagrams [1]. In contrast to $e_g$ active systems, such as the manganites, partially filled $t_{2g}$ electron systems experience weaker coupling to the lattice, leading to weaker Jahn–Teller distortions. Alongside the strong coupling between spin and orbital degrees of freedom in $t_{2g}$ systems, this leads to a wealth of near-degenerate spin- orbital- and charge-ordered structures and are relevant at similar temperatures. In particular, in the rare-earth orthovanadates, RVO$_3$ (R = Y, La-Lu), both orbital order (OO) and antiferromagnetic spin order (SO) develop in at least three distinct ordered phases, concomitant with structural transitions and Jahn–Teller distortions [2, 3].

Below the OO transition temperature ($T_{OO} \sim 200$ K), the V $t_{2g}$ electrons in RVO$_3$ become orbitally ordered in a G-type (G-OO) arrangement [3], in which $d_{xy}$ orbitals are always occupied and the $d_{yz}$ and $d_{zx}$ orbitals are alternately occupied. Below the Néel temperature, $T_N \sim 120$ K, C-type SO (C-SO) sets in, retaining the G-OO, and in which the spins are aligned antiferromagnetically in the $ab$ plane, and ferromagnetically along $c$. For the smaller rare-earth ions (Y, Dy-Lu), an additional transition occurs below $\sim 80$ K, in which the OO switches to C-type (C-OO) and the SO to G-type (G-SO) [3]. More recently, there has been some evidence that C-OO coexists with G-OO below $T_N$ for some of the larger rare-earths, including NdVO$_3$, leading to phase-separated regions that grow from small droplets [4, 5]. Optical measurements have observed a substantial temperature dependence in optically-allowed $dd^*$ excitations, at energies of 1.8, 2.4 and 3.3 eV [6, 7]. Although on-site $dd^*$ transitions are optically forbidden, dimer-type excitations, $d^2 d^2 \rightarrow d^3 d^1$, are allowed, and the
optical excitations have been interpreted in terms of the $d^3$
multiplet structure [7]. Subsequent time domain spectroscopy
measurements have suggested the low-energy excitation is a
Hubbard excitation, i.e. a bound exciton across the Mott–Hubbard
gap, which the authors attribute to a collective orbital excitation
[9] in the form of a bi-orbiton [10]. Although previous orbiton
assignments of optical data in RVO$_3$ have been controversial
(see, for example, [11, 12]), such excitations have previously
been observed at similar energies in the $d^1$ titanates with
both optical spectroscopy [13] and resonant inelastic x-ray
scattering (RIXS) [14]. In the cuprates, the momentum
dispersion of the orbiton has recently been revealed by high-
resolution RIXS, providing unambiguous evidence of their
origin [15].

RIXS is fast developing as a powerful and valuable probe
of correlated electron systems (e.g. [15, 16]). Transition metal
$L$-edge RIXS is a bulk sensitive, direct two-step process [17],
in which the incident photon is resonantly tuned to a feature of
the absorption spectrum, i.e. a core level transition, leading to
the unambiguous association of RIXS features with a particular
atomic site and even a particular orbital. The specific direct
RIXS process at the $V$ $L$-edge in RVO$_3$ can be summarised
as, $2p^6 3d^3 \rightarrow 2p^5 3d^3 \rightarrow 2p^5 3d^{3-\delta}$, where the $*\delta$ in the final
state indicates it may be an excited state or configuration of
the ion. For example, $dd^*$ transitions include excitations of the
3d electrons both within the $V t_{2g}$ manifold, and between
$\ell_{2g}$ and $\ell_{\delta g}$ states. Moreover, unlike optical measurements, soft
x-rays carry appreciable momentum, and can probe excitations
away from the zone centre. Here, we present a detailed study
of the RIXS excitations of the $V t_{2g}$ electrons of NdVO$_3$,
demonstrating that a low energy feature at $\sim$0.4 eV may be
associated with the delocalised orbital excitation of the $V t_{2g}$
electrons.

2. Methods

High-quality single crystals of NdVO$_3$ and YVO$_3$ were grown
by the floating zone technique as described in [18]. Soft x-ray
spectroscopy measurements were carried out at beamline XIB
of the National Synchrotron Light Source, Brookhaven and
the AXIS endstation of beamline 7.0.1 at the Advanced Light
Source, Berkeley. Samples were cleaved ex-situ, and loaded
into ultra-high vacuum within 10 min to ensure fresh, clean
surfaces. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) measurements
were performed in total electron yield (TEY) mode with an
incident photon energy resolution $\Delta E_{\text{inh}}$ of 0.2 eV at FWHM,
and the photon energy was calibrated using TiO$_2$ reference
spectra of the Ti $L$-edge and O $K$-edge. RIXS spectra were
recorded with a Nordgren-type spectrometer [19], and the
instrument was calibrated using a Zn reference spectrum.
The incident photon was polarised in the scattering plane ($\pi$
scattering geometry), and the scattering angle was $90^\circ$, with
the surface normal at $45^\circ$ to the incident photons. All spectra
used to construct the RIXS intensity map of NdVO$_3$ were
recorded during the same sample conditions with spectrometer
resolution $\Delta E_{\text{spec}}$ and $\Delta E_{\text{inh}}$ of 0.36 eV at FWHM [20].

Additional, separate, measurements on NdVO$_3$ and YVO$_3$
were performed at several temperatures between 80 K and
room temperature at higher resolution, with $\Delta E_{\text{spec}} = 0.26$ eV
and $\Delta E_{\text{inh}} = 0.21$ eV (with combined resolution $\approx 0.33$ eV). In
these measurements, the incident photon energy was kept fixed
to ensure quantitative comparison between spectra. Since the
natural pixel width of these measurements is just one-half of the
FWHM of the resolution function, we have employed sub-
pixel sampling to these higher resolution measurements (see
appendix A for details). For presentation purposes, and since
error bars are difficult to quantify in this process, we show error
bars at the natural pixel width of the measurement, with the
sub-pixel sampled data shown as a ‘guide for the eye’.

3. Results

V $L_{3,2}$-edge XAS spectra are shown in figure 1(a), and are in
very good agreement with similar measurements of YVO$_3$ [21, 22], as well as with atomic crystal field multiplet
(CFM) calculations of the $d^3$ $V^{3+}$ ion, shown in tetragonal
$D_{4h}$ symmetry at the bottom of the figure. Since the $\ell_{\delta g}$ states
are well separated from the $t_{2g}$ states in RVO$_3$, we choose
combinations of the crystal field (CF) parameters $D_e$ and $D_i$
that preserve the degeneracy of the $\ell_{\delta g}$ levels, leaving just a
single parameter that characterises the lowering in symmetry
between $O_h$ and $D_{4h}$. This parameter, $\delta$ ($D_e = -\delta/7$ and
$D_i = 4\delta/35$), represents the splitting between the $d_{xyz}$
and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ states, and is illustrated in the inset to figure 1(b). The
CFM spectrum in figure 1(a) is calculated with $10Dq = 2.0$ eV
and $\delta = 0.1$ eV, approximately accounting for the lowering
in energy of the $d_{yz}$ level by 0.1–0.2 eV that is anticipated by first-principles studies [23, 24] and which has recently
been observed directly in high-resolution RIXS measurements
of YVO$_3$ [22]. From the CFM calculations, we associate the
shoulder around 515 eV predominantly with excitations
within the $t_{2g}$ states, and the peak at 516.5 eV with excitations
into the $\ell_{\delta g}$ states. The experimental XAS spectra are found
to be relatively insensitive to temperature; for example, the
room temperature spectra are slightly broader, with a larger
contribution $\sim 517.5$ eV and a weaker intensity of the pre-edge
features at $\sim 513$ eV. We associate these very slight differences
(which are reversible and reproducible) with the small change
in the local CF between the high temperature orthorhombic phase and the low temperature monoclinic phase [23].

In order to separate localised CF ($dd^*$) transitions from
delocalised excitations in the RIXS data, calculations of the
multiplet configurations have been performed in both
dodecahedral ($Oh$) and $D_{4h}$ symmetry, shown in figure 1(b). In
wide band-gap insulators (such as RVO$_3$), local CF ($dd^*$)
transitions in RIXS are described well by the CFM model
[25, 26]. On the left of figure 1(b), the evolution in multiplet
energies are shown as 10$Dq$ is increased from zero (the free ion
picture) to 2.0 eV in $Oh$, including spin–orbit coupling (which
weakly splits some of the lines). Subsequently, the $\delta$ parameter
is increased in $D_{4h}$ symmetry to 0.1 eV, approximating the CF
of RVO$_3$ (and indicated by the arrow in figure 1(b)). Finally,
the Slater integrals are reduced to zero, reflecting the single-
particle parentage of the states (i.e. $t_{2g}^2$, $t_{2g}^2 \ell_{\delta g}^2$ and $\ell_{\delta g}^2$). In this

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 26 (2014) 455603 J Laverock et al
picture, the $t_{2g}^{3}$ occupation is further split into three levels: $d_{x^2-y^2}^1$, $d_{xy}^1$, $d_{1z^2-r^2}^1$, and $d_{x^2-y^2}^2$.

The V $L_3$-edge RIXS measurements are summarised in figure 2, shown as an intensity map constructed from eleven discrete incident photon energies between 512.5 eV and 518.5 eV (indicated in figure 1(a)). Below resonance, the spectra consist of quasi-elastic scattering (including very low energy phonon contributions), with a weak contribution from charge-transfer processes centered $\approx 7$ eV lower in energy, in good agreement with estimations based on our photoemission measurements (not shown). As the incident photon energy is increased, the inelastic RIXS processes (i.e. $dd^*$ excitations) become more intense, developing into local maxima at $\sim 514.5$ eV and $\sim 516.5$ eV, corresponding to excitations into unoccupied $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ states, respectively (as illustrated in figure 1(a)). Therefore, we can associate $dd^*$ loss features at the first of these resonances (near 514.5 eV) predominantly with excitations within the $t_{2g}$ manifold, whereas features at the second resonance (near 516.5 eV) are associated to a greater extent with excitations into the $e_g$ states.

In figure 3, five representative spectra are shown on an energy transfer scale at room temperature and at 100 K, and are broadly in good agreement with the recent high-resolution RIXS data of [22] on YVO$_3$. The excitation energies used for the two different temperatures have been carefully checked to agree to within $\pm 0.1$ eV. Spectra (D–F), separated by just 0.6 eV, correspond to energies at the $t_{2g}$ absorption resonance, whereas spectra (H) and (J) are recorded near the $e_g$ resonance. Note that there is only a very weak dependence of the intensity of the $dd^*$ transitions with temperature between the disordered phase (300 K) and spin- and orbitally-ordered (G-OO, C-SO) phase at 100 K. Through comparison with the CFM model of figure 1(b), features I and III can be associated with singlet $t_{2g}^1$ excitations, and II is a triplet transition into a $t_{1g}^0 e_g^1$ excited state. At higher energies, IV represents a broad group of $t_{1g}^1 e_g^0$ transitions, and V and VI are $e_g^2$ final states. The symmetries of these excitations (in $O_h$ and neglecting spin–orbit coupling) are labelled in figure 3. We emphasise that the $^{1}T_{2g}/^{1}E$ transition in figure 1(b) is relatively insensitive to the CF, and is primarily determined by $2J_H = 2(3B + C)$ [27].
Figure 2. RIXS intensity map of NdVO$_3$ at room temperature. The discrete incident photon energies used to construct the intensity maps are shown by the arrows at the left of the figure. The colour intensity scale represents the experimental transition rate.

Figure 3. Representative RIXS spectra at room temperature and 100 K.

where $J_H$ is the on-site Hund’s exchange parameter, and $B$ and $C$ are the Racah parameters. This transition can therefore be used as an independent measurement of $J_H$ and $B$, irrespective of the agreement of the CFM model elsewhere, yielding $J_H = 0.55$ eV and $B = 0.083$ eV, which are similar to, but smaller than, typical values used in theoretical models of the orbital order [23, 28].

Having established the origin of the CF excitations in the RIXS spectra, we now turn to the feature that cannot be explained by the CFM model. At −0.4 eV, a weak feature ‘O’ is present for several different incident energies at room temperature. This feature is found to resonate (have largest intensity) at the $t_{2g}$ absorption resonance, indicating its origin is excitations of the V $t_{2g}$ states, and is most apparent in
Figure 4. Temperature dependence of RIXS excitations in NdVO$_3$, recorded with an incident photon energy of 514.5 eV. (a) Spectra after removal of the elastic peak, showing the peak at $-0.4$ eV that cannot be explained by the CFM model. Representative error bars are shown for one of the spectra. An example of the elastic peak subtraction is shown in the lower inset. The upper inset shows the integrated intensity of the spectra between $-0.55$ and $-0.25$ eV. (b) Temperature dependence of the RIXS excitations in (a). The intensity is calculated in a $0.3$ eV window centered about $0$ eV (elastic peak), $-0.4$ eV (bi-orbiton, after elastic peak subtraction), $-1.0$ eV ($^1T_2^1/2E$) and $-1.9$ eV ($^3T_2$). The horizontal dotted line corresponds to zero intensity, and the vertical dashed lines indicate $T_{\text{OO}}$ and $T_{\text{N}}$ [3].

spectrum (F). At 100 K, it becomes indistinguishable from elastic scattering. This feature is not expected from the CFM model, and we now investigate its origin through higher resolution ($\approx 0.33$ eV combined resolution), temperature-dependent measurements. In figure 4(a), five spectra between room temperature and 80 K are shown at a single incident photon energy of 514.5 eV. This incident photon energy (close to spectrum (E) in figure 3) is chosen to maximise the contribution from ‘O’ (resonant with $t_{2g}$ absorption). The quasi-elastic scattering is strong, even at this energy, and partially obscures ‘O’ in its tails, although some asymmetry of this feature is clear, particularly at 160 K. In order to clarify the temperature behaviour of ‘O’, the quasi-elastic peak has been subtracted from the spectra in figure 4(a) (an example of this subtraction is shown in the lower inset to figure 4(a)). Owing to contribution from other low-energy loss processes, such as phonons and low-energy CF excitations [22], the elastic signal is fitted to a Voigt lineshape only above 0 eV, corresponding only to instrument-broadened elastic scattering. In figure 4(a), the linewidth of the quasi-elastic peak has been fitted to each spectrum independently, accounting for the temperature-dependent evolution in the phonon contribution and thermal population of the CF ground state. However, we emphasise that the following conclusions are insensitive
to such details, and we obtain similar results if a single (mean) linewidth is used. The subtracted spectra contain a large temperature-dependent component centered at $-0.4$ eV, which peaks at 160 K, before diminishing to zero at 80 K. The inset to figure 4(a) displays the integrated intensity between $-0.55$ eV and $-0.25$ eV of each spectrum, illustrating the large relative contribution of this feature in the OO phase, before its suppression in the SO/OO phase. Even at room temperature, this component has a reasonably large contribution. Although low-energy local CF excitations are expected [23] below $-0.2$ eV, they are observed to be relatively weak, compared with elastic scattering, in YVO$_3$, and do not display appreciable temperature dependence [22]. Moreover, most of the spectral weight that contributes to the temperature dependence observed in figure 4(a) is concentrated well above $-0.2$ eV. In figure 4(b), this temperature dependence is compared with the temperature dependence of elastic scattering and local CF excitations. As anticipated by figure 3, the elastic scattering and local CF transitions above 80 K are relatively insensitive to the orbital and spin order, showing only weak (and approximately linear) variations in intensity with temperature, in contrast to the pronounced temperature dependence of the $-0.4$ eV feature. As we discuss in more detail below, the spectrum recorded at 80 K exhibits quite different CF transition probabilities to the other spectra, with more weight appearing at $-1.7$ eV and $-1.2$ eV.

Before we discuss the origin of the low-energy excitation in more detail, we briefly reinforce its presence through non-parameterised analysis. In figure 5(a), the RIXS map of figure 2 has been integrated over the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ resonances, yielding a (weighted) summed spectrum on an energy transfer scale. Here, fluorescent features (which are dispersive in energy transfer) are spread out and contribute a broad ‘background’, whereas RIXS features reinforce. A slight asymmetry of the elastic peak in figure 5(a) develops into a prominent shoulder at $-0.4$ eV after treatment with a maximum-entropy deconvolution technique (MaxEnt) [20]. At the bottom of figure 5(a), the gradient of this MaxEnt spectrum is shown, in which the shoulder centered at $-0.4$ eV corresponds to feature ‘O’.

We now focus on the temperature-dependent data of figure 4, and employ difference spectra to examine the low-energy feature. Since the quasi-elastic peak intensity evolves with temperature, we choose two temperatures that are close together (120 K and 160 K) to reduce problems associated with the variation of the CF population with temperature. Owing to the increased noise level of difference spectra, the raw spectra of figure 4 are first passed through a noise filter [26] before subtraction, and are shown in figure 5(b). The difference spectrum is shown at the bottom of figure 5(b), illustrating the excess intensity in the 160 K spectrum at $-0.4$ eV. For comparison, the difference in the raw spectra is also shown, exhibiting the same structure. In figure 5(c), the same two spectra have been treated with the MaxEnt deconvolution procedure, and the extra spectral weight at $-0.4$ eV can be directly seen. Finally, we note that the data presented in figures 5(a)–(c) were recorded several months apart on different cleaves of the sample boule, illustrating the reproducibility of the data.

Figure 5. Parameter-free analysis of V L$_2$-edge RIXS spectra of NdVO$_3$ showing the presence of a weak feature at $\approx -0.4$ eV. (a) Summed spectra at room temperature, obtained by integrating the RIXS map of figure 2 between 514.7 eV and 517.3 eV, i.e. over the $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ resonances. The summed spectrum has also been deconvoluted using the MaxEnt procedure, and the gradient of this spectrum is also shown. (b) Difference between the 160 K and 120 K spectra of figure 4 after applying a noise filter (NF) to the data. For comparison, the difference between the raw spectra is also shown. (c) The same 160 K and 120 K spectra after being treated by the MaxEnt deconvolution procedure. Their difference is also shown for comparison.
4. Discussion

The above results indicate that the $-0.4 \, \text{eV}$ feature is associated with the orbital order, but is strongly suppressed at low temperature. CF (multiplet) excitations can be ruled out: low-energy multiplet excitations occur on the order of the parameter $\delta$ (illustrated in the inset to figure 1(b)), and are not expected as large as $0.4 \, \text{eV}$. In $\text{R}_2\text{TiO}_3$, whose CF splitting is roughly twice as large as the vanadates [23, 29], local CF excitations were predicted below $300 \, \text{meV}$ [14]. Moreover, it is hard to reconcile the temperature evolution shown in figure 4(b) with local CF excitations. Secondly, the lowest energy dimer excitations are expected at $3J_{\text{hf}}$ [30], and are observed in optical spectra at $1.8 \, \text{eV}$ [6], and can therefore also be ruled out. Finally, the energy is well above that of phonon and magnon modes, which occur below $100 \, \text{meV}$ in $\text{RVO}_3$ [11].

Orbital excitations (in the form of bi-orbitons) have previously been observed with RIXS at the $\text{Ti} \, L_3$-edge of $\text{LaTiO}_3$ and $\text{YTiO}_3$ at an energy of $0.25 \, \text{eV}$ [14], following an earlier observation of a similar feature in optical measurements [13]. These excitations were described well by a superexchange model, in which the bi-orbiton process (which displays only very weak dispersion) was found to be of stronger intensity in the RIXS spectrum than single orbiton modes. In $\text{YVO}_3$ and $\text{HoVO}_3$, optical measurements exhibit a strong peak at $0.4 \, \text{eV}$, which the authors attribute to the collective bi-orbiton [10]. This feature, present at room temperature, has largest intensity in the G-OO phase before being suppressed in the C-OO phase of $\text{YVO}_3$ due to the specific low-temperature orbital ordering pattern. In their model of the bi-orbiton, $d_{x^2-1}$ and $d_{x^2-2}$ orbitals on the same site can be excited to $d_{x^2-1}$ and $d_{x^2-2}$, conserving the spin order in the process [10]. This is allowed in the G-OO/C-SO pattern, but forbidden in the C-OO/G-SO pattern, explaining the temperature dependence of the optical spectra. Our measurements reveal a similar behaviour with temperature, in which feature ‘0’ grows in intensity in the intermediate G-OO phase, and persists into the G-OO/C-SO phase transition ($T_N = 138 \, \text{K}$). Below $T_N$, however, recent high-resolution diffraction measurements have suggested a coexistence of G-OO and C-OO for rare earth ions of intermediate size (including $\text{NdVO}_3$) [4, 5]. The fraction of C-OO in this phase-separated region is suggested to be as high as $65\%$ for $\text{GdVO}_3$ and $\text{TbVO}_3$ [5]. In $\text{La}_1-x\text{Lu}_x\text{VO}_3$, the suppression of G-OO in favour of C-OO (due to cation disorder) has been found to result in large differences in the RIXS intensities of the $T_2$ and $\text{L}_2\text{L}_3\text{E}$ excitations [31]. In figure 4(a), the transition probabilities of these features are observed to be notably different at $80 \, \text{K}$ compared with other temperatures, consistent with a growing fraction of C-OO coexisting with G-OO below $T_N$ in $\text{NdVO}_3$. In the model of [10] this kind (C-type) of ordering would suppress the two-orbiton process, since it consists of nearest neighbours with the same spin but also the same orbital occupation. Even if the ratio of C-OO is low, the formation of small droplets of C-OO within the G-OO matrix, as suggested by [4], would scatter the propagation of the delocalised orbital wave.

A very recent high-resolution RIXS study of $\text{YVO}_3$ has also found a feature at $0.4 \, \text{eV}$ in difference (between photon energies) spectra at the O $K$-edge, which the authors link to the bi-orbiton [22]. However, although their V $L_3$-edge RIXS spectra are in agreement with our CF energies, the $0.4 \, \text{eV}$ feature was not observed at the V $L$-edge in their data, eliminating the possibility of directly associating this transition with V electrons. This also raises the question of why the bi-orbiton feature is observed in our $\text{NdVO}_3$ data at the V $L$-edge, but not by [22] in $\text{YVO}_3$ at the same edge. In figure 6, we show similar temperature-dependent V $L$-edge RIXS measurements at $514.5 \, \text{eV}$ on $\text{YVO}_3$, recorded with the same instrument settings and treated in the same way as the $\text{NdVO}_3$ data of figure 4. Although some intensity is observed near $-0.4 \, \text{eV}$, it is much weaker than in $\text{NdVO}_3$, and its temperature dependence is only barely discernible. As pointed out by Pavarini and co-workers in the rare-earth titanates, the precise details of the electronic structure, and hence CF levels and orbital order patterns, of perovskite-like materials is very sensitive to the A-site hybridisation [29]. In particular, the hybridisation between the unoccupied Y $4d$ and V $t_{2g}$ orbitals is likely quite different to that between the broader and energetically higher lanthanide $5d$ bands and V $t_{2g}$. Our measurements suggest that although $\text{YVO}_3$ is one of the most studied $\text{RVO}_3$ compounds, it may not be representative of a typical rare earth ion, and that future high-resolution studies of orbital wave physics in these compounds may be more fruitful in other compounds.

5. Conclusions

To summarise, we have presented a comprehensive RIXS study of the excitation spectrum of $\text{NdVO}_3$ above and below the OO and SO transitions. Whereas most of the experimental features show very weak temperature dependence and can be explained very well from an atomic CFM perspective, a low-energy feature is observed with an energy of $0.4 \, \text{eV}$. The resonant behaviour of this peak confirms its origin as excitations of the
V $t_{2g}$ electrons. This feature cannot be explained as a local CF excitation, and exhibits a strong temperature dependence consistent with the orbital wave model of [10]. In this model, this peak is due to a collective bi-orbiton excitation, which is allowed in the G-OO and G-OO/C-SO phases. Below $T^* \sim 125$ K, a finite fraction of C-OO coexists with the G-OO, and suppresses the excitation and propagation of the bi-orbiton. Our measurements are consistent with this model, suggesting the $\sim -0.4$ eV feature observed in NdVO$_3$ may be associated with the bi-orbiton excitation. This feature is found to be heavily suppressed in similar RIXS measurements of YVO$_3$. Future high-resolution and momentum-resolved RIXS experiments are encouraged to unambiguously rule on its origin, and should be focussed near the $t_{2g}$ resonance of compounds other than YVO$_3$.
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Appendix. Sub-pixel sampling

The emission spectrometer used in these measurements is a Nordgren-type Rowland circle spectrometer [19]. The x-rays are collected from the sample through an adjustable entrance slit assembly, after which they are diffracted by a spherical grating. The diffracted x-rays are subsequently detected by a 2D multi-channel plate (MCP) detector. The image of the straight entrance slit through the spherical grating is curved, necessitating the 2D detection system (see, for example, figure 2 of [19]). However, this curvature also affords the possibility of sampling below the natural pixel width of the MCP detector.

Shown in figure A.1(a) is the 2D image of the region near the elastic peak of a representative RIXS measurement, displaying the curved image of the elastic scattering, as well as a low-energy CF transition. The 2D image is binned into 1024 horizontal ‘energy’ channels (actually evenly-spaced in wavelength), and 32 vertical ‘slices’. Next to this image, in figure A.1(b), iso-energy curves are shown for the same measurement at the same part of the detector. In addition to the obvious offset in energy between each slice, the individual slices each have their own (different) calibration (pixel width). It is clear that, in general, there is a non-integer offset (in pixels) between each slice, which means that the centres of each pixel do not align. When correcting for the curvature, one is therefore faced with two possibilities: (1) to share
the counts of a pixel that is offset by a fractional amount with the bins either side (i.e. bilinear interpolation), which is the conventional approach, or (2) to sample the data below the natural pixel width, and take advantage of this extra fidelity.

Sub-pixel sampling does not improve the instrument resolution, of course, since this is limited by the optics of the detector and the width of the entrance slit. However, it does allow for higher data fidelity, which is useful in assessing whether low-energy features of the data are due to random noise, or intrinsic to the system under investigation. At broad resolution functions, there is little to be gained by sub-pixel sampling, since one is already sampling at a small fraction of the resolution function using the natural pixel width. However, in our measurements, we set the instrument resolution function to 0.26 eV FWHM (the smallest achievable slit width with manageable count rates), and the natural pixel width of 0.13 eV FWHM (the smallest achievable slit width with the conventional natural pixel width indicated by the vertical solid lines, indicating the energy coverage of the MCP detector. The vertical dashed lines correspond to sub-pixel sampling every fifth of a pixel, with many pixels contributing to each sampling point.

Owing to the varying pixel coverage of sub-pixel sampling, and the unknown errors introduced by the (bilinear) interpolation, a reliable error map is difficult to quantify at the sub-pixel level. Instead, in figures 4 and 5 of the manuscript, we have chosen to present the data using statistical error bars for the data points that are sampled at the natural pixel width, with the sub-pixel sampled data points shown as lines connecting these points (in effect, ‘guides for the eye’). We believe this is the fairest way of the presenting this kind of analysis, where we make no direct claim on the error distribution of the sub-pixel sampled data points, but this information is provided as a qualitative impression of the higher fidelity that is available. Finally, the analysis of simulated data indicates that this approach does not introduce artefacts in the data. We emphasise that no cubic interpolants, or other high-order interpolation methods are used—only bilinear interpolation is used to distribute the counts (which is incapable, by itself, of developing peaks between data points).
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