Assessment of “depression, anxiety and stress” among students of schools at RHTC area catered by private medical college in South India

V. R. Zare, B. Ramesh, Prashant Kokiwar*

INTRODUCTION

Students in the schools especially in the higher secondary level of 6th to 10th class represent a very important group. Everyone almost in the society perceives the higher studies. Hence on these children falls the academic performance pressure. They are asked in the schools to study more. Many schools do not have playground facilities and they give more emphasis to the academics. The school puts pressure on children to score more marks. Being human it is not possible for all students to do well in studies only. Not all students can cope up with this kind of pressure and can fall prey to “depression, anxiety, and stress”. Academic pressure leads to “depression, anxiety, and stress” and this in turn can lead to poor performance in the academics. This period of life is very crucial where base for future life is formed. Presence of “depression, anxiety, and stress” can affect overall relationship with family and friends. It can land the student or attract him towards substance use. The child can develop a feeling of abandoned. He or she may develop the anti social behavior which can land him or her into murder or suicidal tendencies.1,2
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Conclusions: Prevalence of “depression, anxiety, and stress” was very high in the study area. Measures like free environment in the schools and recreational activities should be employed in all schools.

Keywords: Depression, Anxiety, Stress
anxiety, and stress” were experienced by these subjects in their childhood. There was no one to listen to their symptoms or they were probably not able to communicate these symptoms with their parents. Thus the condition which could have been diagnosed and treated well in the beginning went unnoticed and the subjects landed in the “depression, anxiety, and stress” in their adult life.  

Another study stated that “Depression is the fourth leading cause of all disease, accounting for 4.4% of total burden.”  

Hence it is important to screen the higher secondary school children for “depression, anxiety, and stress”. This will help in early detection of any such condition. Such children can be given support in the form of counseling by experts at school level. This will prevent the future occurrence of the “depression, anxiety, and stress” in the population.  

With this background present study was carried out to assess depression, anxiety and stress among students of schools at RHTC area catered by private medical college in south India.  

METHODS  
Study design  
Institution based cross sectional study.  
Study place  
Schools at RHTC area catered by private medical college in south India.  
Study period  
April 2017 to December 2017.  
Study population  
Students in schools at RHTC area catered by private medical college in South India.  
Sample size  
A total of 400 school children belonging to class 6th to class 10th i.e. 300 from public schools and 100 from private schools were enrolled for the present study.  
Inclusion criteria  
Inclusion criteria were school children belonging to 6th to 10th class; children present on the day of the survey; schools permitting to carry out the present study; parents available to give consent of participation of their kids in the present study.  
Exclusion criteria  
Exclusion criteria were schools denying permission for the present study; students not available at the time of survey; mentally unfit children.  
Institutional Ethics Committee permission was obtained for the present study. Permission from the schools was obtained and protocol of the study was explained to the principal and teachers of the schools. Only those schools having 6th to 10th class were enrolled in the present study  
The data was collected in the pre designed, pre tested, and semi structured study questionnaire developed for the present study based on the extensive review of literature.  
Details like age, sex, class, mothers education, fathers education, mothers occupation, fathers occupation, was recorded in the study questionnaire of the present study. Questions related to depression, anxiety and stress were asked to the participants and the score was assessed.  
The data was analyzed using proportions.  
RESULTS  
Maximum number of study participants in public school was females (59.33%) were as males (57%) in private school.  
Table 1: Sex wise distribution of study participants  
| Sex   | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%)  |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Male  | 122 (40.66)       | 57 (57)           | 179 (44.75)|
| Female| 178 (59.33)       | 43 (43)           | 221 (55.25)|
| Total | 300               | 100               | 400        |

Table 2: Class wise distribution of study participants.  
| Class | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%)  |
|-------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 6th   | 2 (0.66)          | 4 (4)             | 6 (1.5)    |
| 7th   | 15 (5)            | 1 (1)             | 16 (4)     |
| 8th   | 59 (19.66)        | 36 (36)           | 95 (23.75) |
| 9th   | 115 (38.33)       | 15 (15)           | 130 (32.5) |
| 10th  | 109 (36.33)       | 54 (54)           | 163 (40.75)|
| Total | 300               | 100               | 400        |

In public school maximum number of students was from class 10th (36.33%) and in private school maximum was from class 10th (54%).  
Illiteracy was found to be more in public school children’s mother (51.33%) compared to private school.
About 91% of mothers of public school children were homemakers. In private school 51% were home maker. In public school 55% of study participants mothers were involved in skilled work and 31% in private school.

About 39.33% of illiteracy was found in father’s education in public school were as 23% were illiterate in private school. Near about 7% were educated till graduate in public school were as 23% were illiterate in private school. UG education was less in public school (1.33%).

DISCUSSION

Maximum number of study participants in public school was females (59.33%). Maximum number of study participants in private school was males (57%). In public school maximum number of students was from class 10th (36.33%) and in private school maximum was from class 10th (54%). Illiteracy was found to be more in public school children’s mother (51.33%) compared to private school (28%). UG education was less in public school compared to private school. Near about 60.67% in public school children’s father were involved in skilled work and 48% in private school respectively.

Mild and other severe grades of depression were more in public schools than the private. Prevalence of mild anxiety was similar in students of both the schools. Moderate anxiety was slightly more in public school students. But extremely severe form of anxiety was found to be much more in students of private schools. Stress was reported to be more in private school children than public school children.

Table 3: Distribution of study participants with mother’s education.

| Mother’s education | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Illiterate         | 154 (51.33)      | 28 (28)           | 182 (45.5) |
| Primary school     | 109 (36.33)      | 35 (35)           | 144 (36)  |
| Secondary school   | 30 (10)          | 19 (19)           | 49 (12.25)|
| Inter              | 3 (1)            | 8 (8)             | 11 (2.75) |
| UG                 | 4 (1.33)         | 10 (10)           | 14 (3.5)  |
| PG                 | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)     |
| Total              | 300              | 100               | 400       |

Table 4: Distribution of study participants with mother’s occupation.

| Mother’s occupation | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Homemaker           | 91 (30.33)       | 51 (51)           | 142 (35.5)|
| Labour              | 32 (10.67)       | 15 (15)           | 47 (11.75)|
| Skilled             | 165 (55)         | 31 (31)           | 196 (49)  |
| Unskilled           | 12 (4)           | 3 (3)             | 15 (3.75) |
| Total               | 300              | 100               | 400       |

Table 5: Distribution of study participants with father’s education.

| Father’s education | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Illiterate         | 118 (39.33)      | 23 (23)           | 141 (35.25)|
| Primary school     | 103 (34.33)      | 31 (31)           | 134 (33.5) |
| Secondary school   | 59 (19.07)       | 34 (34)           | 93 (23.25) |
| Inter              | 15 (5)           | 5 (5)             | 20 (5)    |
| UG                 | 5 (1.67)         | 7 (7)             | 12 (3)    |
| PG                 | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)     |
| Total              | 300              | 100               | 400       |

Table 6: Distribution of study participants with father’s occupation.

| Father’s occupation | Public school (%) | Private school (%) | Total (%) |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Farmer              | 53 (17.67)       | 35 (35)           | 88 (22)   |
| Labour              | 25 (8.33)        | 8 (8)             | 33 (8.25) |
| Skilled             | 182 (60.67)      | 48 (48)           | 230 (57.5) |
| Unskilled           | 40 (13.33)       | 9 (9)             | 49 (12.25) |
| Total               | 300              | 100               | 400       |

Table 7: Distribution of study participants with depression, anxiety and stress.

| Grade             | Public school | Private school | Private school |
|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                   | Depression (%)| Anxiety (%)    | Stress (%)     |
| Normal            | 261 (87)      | 111 (37)       | 261 (87)       |
| Mild              | 25 (8.3)      | 41 (13.6)      | 28 (9.3)       |
| Moderate          | 12 (4)        | 118 (39.3)     | 10 (3.3)       |
| Severe            | 2 (0.6)       | 14 (4.6)       | 1 (0.3)        |
| Extremely severe  | 0             | 16 (5.3)       | 0              |
| Total             | 300 (100)     | 300 (100)      | 300 (100)      |

| Grade             | Depression (%)| Anxiety (%) | Stress (%) |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| Normal            | 94            | 40          | 77         |
| Mild              | 6             | 13          | 13         |
| Moderate          | 0             | 35          | 9          |
| Severe            | 0             | 4           | 1          |
| Extremely severe  | 0             | 8           | 0          |
| Total             | 100           | 100         | 100        |
illiteracy was found in father’s education in private school were as 23% were illiterate in private school. Nearly 7% were educated till graduate in private school and 1.67% was under graduated in public school. Among public school students it was found that depression was 13%, anxiety about 63%, stress about 13%. In private school students depression was nearly 6%, anxiety was 60%, stress was 27%. People with depression, anxiety and stress they cope up with those situation by watching TV nearly 94% in private school students and 95% in public school students. None of them share their emotions with friends.

Satish et al found that the prevalence of “depression, anxiety, and stress” was “19.5%, 24.4%, and 21.1%, respectively.” Overall the authors stated that more than 80% of the participants had at least one disorder. All three were present in around 34% of the study participants in their study. It was more in females as compared to males. 12th class students had more significantly prevalence than other class students.5

Offord et al studied children at ages of 4-16 years for prevalence of emotional disorder, conduct disorder, somatization and hyperactivity. Overall combined prevalence was found to be 18.1%. It was more in urban children as compared to the rural children. Before study took place, the children with these conditions were using the mental health services and the use rate was four times more among them as compared to the children without these disorders.5

Bhasin et al found that depression was more common among females as compared to males. This was found to be statistically significant. The students belonging to 10th and 12th classes were significantly under pressure compared to students from other classes. As the prevalence of “depression, anxiety, and stress” increased the academic performance was found to be decreased. It was also noticed by author that “depression, anxiety, and stress” was significantly correlated with the adverse events in their life.9

Rodrigo et al found that the prevalence of depression was 36% which is higher than the findings of the present study. The prevalence of mild depression was 17% which is almost double than the findings of the present study. The prevalence of severe depression was 19% which is very high than the findings of the present study. The author found that 28% had severe form of anxiety which is very high compared to the findings of the present study. The authors also noted that anxiety and depression was more in females than males. This prevalence was significantly more in students facing examination as compared to the other students.10

Mathet et al found a prevalence of 5% which is less than the findings of the present study. 6% had major depression which is very high when compared with the findings of the present study.11

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of “depression, anxiety, and stress” was very high in the study area. Measures like free environment in the schools and recreational activities should be employed in all schools. All schools as well as parents should encourage positive attitude among children. Study is not everything. This dictum should be taught to school children. They should be given proper environment to develop and love the surroundings.
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