Intramuscular hemangioma in buccal cheek: a case report
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Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017;43:262-266)

Hemangioma is the most common benign tumor of a vascular origin, and is characterized by the abnormal proliferation of blood vessels. Intramuscular hemangioma (IMH) usually involves the skeletal muscles of the trunk or limbs, but rarely occurs in the head and neck region. This case report presents a patient with IMH showing multiple phleboliths in the buccal cheek. A 13-year-old boy was referred for the evaluation and management of painful swelling of the left cheek that had gradually increased in size over a 6 year duration. The examination revealed a palpable firm mass. Reddish-blue buccal mucosa color was observed with an aciniform shape. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a vascular tumor in the left side adjacent to the buccinator and depressor orbicularis oris muscles. Surgical resection under general anesthesia was performed via the intraoral approach. The mass and phleboliths were extracted successfully. A histopathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of IMH. In conclusion, clinicians should be aware of the possibility of IMH in cases of a palpable mass with multiple nodules deep within the muscle in the buccal cheek. Among the several diagnostic tools, MRI provides essential information on the extent and surrounding anatomy of IMH.
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I. Introduction

Hemangioma is considered a benign vascular lesion of congenital origin, developing from abnormally differentiated blood vessels. The exact cause is unknown, but excessive muscle contraction, repeated trauma to the lesion, and hormonal factors seem to play a role. There is reportedly no gender predilection or racial factor, and it is usually detected in the first 3 decades of life.

Intramuscular hemangioma (IMH) is a relatively rare lesion, constituting less than 1% of all hemangioma cases, and is usually located in the skeletal muscles of the trunk or limbs. IMH most frequently involves the pelvic region, but 10% to 15% occur in head and neck regions, generally in the masseter, sternomastoid, and trapezius muscles. Among these, the masseter muscle is the most frequent location, comprising approximately 36% of all head and neck IMH cases.

IMH in the masseter is described as a slowly enlarging mass with varied size, rubbery and relatively firm texture, and fluctuated with palpation perpendicular to the long axis of the muscle fibers. It becomes prominent with muscle contraction, and more than a half of patients complain of associated pain with preauricular or buccal swelling. Clinically, degree of the pain correlates with speed of expansion, pressure on surrounding anatomic structures, and thrombosis. Sometimes, abrupt onset of facial palsy is reported, probably resulting from an enlarged lesion inducing pressure on the facial nerve.

Standard radiographs are a simple diagnostic method for IMH since they can detect phleboliths, which are highly suggestive of hemangioma. Other diagnostic imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound can be used to enhance the accuracy of a preoperative diagnosis. Of these, MRI is considered the most reliable imaging tool for tissue characterization and identification of the extent of IMH.

In this report, we presented a patient with IMH occurring in the left buccal cheek related to the adjacent muscles. Diagnosis was confirmed through clinical examination and
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preoperative MRI, and surgical resection was conducted. Also, optical microscope examination was conducted on the extracted specimen and internal phleboliths to determine their histopathologic features.

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

II. Case Report

A 13-year-old boy in good health was referred for evaluation and management of swelling of the left cheek. He showed a lesion suspicious of hemangioma on the left buccal cheek that first presented 6 years previous. He did not receive any treatment at the time due to his age and was recommend-
ed to return when the lesion became larger or induced pain. He re-visited our hospital since the mass had become larger and triggered intermittent pain.

On clinical examination, he complained of mild swelling on the left buccal cheek and lower lip that was not outwardly conspicuous. (Fig. 1) The mass was firm and mobile on palpation and was located over the anterior portion of the man-

Fig. 1. Extraoral clinical photo.
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Fig. 2. Intraoral clinical photo.
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Fig. 3. Axial view on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (T1-weighted). A circle shows isointense mass measuring 4×3 cm in size with well-contoured margin in the left abutting on the mandible, buccinator muscle, depressor orbicularis oris muscle, and subcutaneous fat layer.
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Fig. 4. Axial view on preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (T2-weighted). A circle shows hyperintense mass with hypointense spaces suggesting phleboliths.
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dibular angle. There were no bruits, pulsations, or lymphadenopathies.

Intraorally, we found that the lesion was bulging under the buccal mucosa. The color of the buccal mucosa appeared reddish-blue, and several nodules looked aciform. (Fig. 2)

The patient had normal dentition and no other specific sign surrounding the teeth and mandible, including phleboliths on the image of plain radiograph. MRI indicated a mass on the left side of the buccal cheek, corresponding to the clinical examination. (Fig. 3, 4) The mass appeared with distinct borders as an isointense signal on T1-weighted and as a bright hyperintense signal on T2-weighted MRI, which was consistent with the presence of fluid. The inside of the mass contained several vacant spaces, which were assumed to be phleboliths related to the hemangioma. The mass was located beneath the buccinator and depressor anguli oris muscles.

Under suspicion of hemangioma related to the adjacent muscles, we planned surgical excision of the lesion under general anesthesia. The surgical resection proceeded with minimal bleeding by ligating the feeding vessels and applying electrical coagulation to the minor vessels. (Fig. 5)

Fig. 5. Local bleeding control by ligation of feeding vessel.

Fig. 6. Extracted mass with several phleboliths.

Fig. 7. Cut surface of phlebolith (H&E staining, ×40). The phlebolith in the arrows appears concentric structure suggesting occurrence of sclerosis and the midportion looks more deep purple color because of minute calcification.

Fig. 8. Histopathologic examination of intramuscular hemangioma specimen (H&E staining, ×40). Asterisks indicate blood vessels sprouted into the muscles and multiple arrows point out the various patterns of muscle arrangement.
After surgery, we confirmed a total of 6 phleboliths with the extracted mass. (Fig. 6) Optical microscopy of the cut surface of the phlebolith suggested a concentric shape, corresponding to the growth mechanism of a phlebolith. (Fig. 7) The specimen was sent to the department for histopathological analysis. (Fig. 8) The result indicated multiple empty blood vessels and skeletal muscles around the vessels in the specimen. Skeletal muscles were observed with various patterns, forming round bundles or lining up along blood vessels. These patterns were the result of multiple blood vessels that had sprouted into the muscles and changed the patterns of muscle arrangement. According to previous findings, the final diagnosis of the specimen was established as IMH. There was no evidence of recurrence over 30 months of follow-up after surgery.

III. Discussion

It is commonly known that skin overlying a hemangioma shows increased vascularity, giving the lesion reddish-blue discoloration or even hyperthermic change. Also, thrills, compressibility, or bruits can be present in hemangioma, though they are rare. In many cases, the thickening and surrounding fibrosis of the superficial muscular layer around IMH hide these clinical signs. The lack of clear clinical findings and the rare incidence of this lesion complicate the diagnosis. Definitive preoperative diagnosis has been reported in only about 8% of cases. Also, other pathologic lesions are usually confused in the differential diagnosis, like neoplasms and various patterns, forming round bundles or lining up along blood vessels. These patterns were the result of multiple blood vessels that had sprouted into the muscles and changed the patterns of muscle arrangement. According to previous findings, the final diagnosis of the specimen was established as IMH. There was no evidence of recurrence over 30 months of follow-up after surgery.

Clinicians could confuse phleboliths in IMH close to the masseter muscle with sialoliths related to the parotid gland. Sialolithiasis can obstruct the parotid duct to cause sialadenitis with intermittent swellings and pain on the buccal cheek. In this condition, clinicians should remember the characteristics of phleboliths mentioned above and try to determine whether there is a neoplasm related to vascular malformation or a lesion in the parotid gland. Generally, phleboliths are smaller, more multiple, and more randomly located than sialoliths. Also, we found that sialoliths exist around the parotid duct and so have oval shapes. In addition to sialoliths, phleboliths from IMH should be distinguished from many other calcified lesions in the head and neck region.

The treatment of hemangioma is based on clinical factors such as size, location, accessibility, depth of invasion, age, and cosmetic appearance. Many non-surgical treatments have been suggested to cure or control IMH; for example, cryotherapy, radiation therapy, arterial ligature, isolated embolization, sclerosing agents, or steroid injection. However, the results of these methods are controversial, so they are recommended only when surgical extirpation is contraindicated. The best treatment for IMH is complete removal of the tumor including phleboliths with adjacent normal muscular tissue because of its infiltrative nature. If needed, total excision of the masseter muscle has been recommended. Rossier et al. and Addante and Donavan agree with this idea that optimal treatment of IMH is complete surgical extirpation. Even with this surgical approach, minor feeding vessels and residual tumor can be responsible for recurrence. The local recurrence rate is approximately 18%, with 7% recurring more than once.

Excision of a large lesion can result in severe hemorrhage.
Preoperative arterial embolization and injection of sclerosing agents into the lesion are advised to solve this problem and help diminish blood loss. Ligation of feeding vessels helps to minimize blood loss, and especially in cases of IMH in the lower portion of the masseter, tying the masseteric branch of the facial artery will help. In addition, Ichimura et al. posited that securing a wide surgical field to expose the whole tumor and adjacent anatomic site could enable surgery with less bleeding.

Some approaches to surgical resection of IMH in the head and neck have been described. Superficial parotidectomy via preauricular incision provides good exposure but requires facial nerve dissection. If the tumor exists anterior to the masseter muscle and close to the oral mucosa, an intraoral approach will be possible. This procedure is done with mucosal incision anterior to Stenson’s duct and allows good visualization of the lesion and direct tumor excision with local bleeding control. Also, the patient can avoid any visible scar or facial nerve damage.
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