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Abstract

Unraveling the roles of distinct neuron types is a fundamental challenge to understanding brain function in health and disease. In the amygdala, a brain structure regulating emotional behavior, the diversity of GABAergic neurons has been only partially explored. We report a novel population of GABAergic amygdala neurons expressing high levels of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS). These cells are predominantly localized along basolateral amygdala (BLA) boundaries. Performing ex vivo patch-clamp recordings from nNOS+/H11001 neurons in Nos1-CreER;Ai9 mice, we observed that nNOS+/H11001 neurons located along the external capsule display distinctive electrophysiological properties, axonal and dendritic arborization, and connectivity. Examining their c-Fos expression, we found that paracapsular nNOS+/H11001 neurons are activated during a period of undisturbed sleep following sleep deprivation, but not during sleep deprivation. Consistently, we found that dorsal raphe serotonin [5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)] neurons, which are involved in sleep–wake regulation, innervate nNOS+/H11001 neurons. Bath application of 5-HT hyperpolarizes nNOS+/H11001 neurons via 5-HT1A receptors. This hyperpolarization produces a reduction in firing rate and, occasionally, a switch from tonic to burst firing mode, thereby contrasting with the classic depolarizing effect of 5-HT on BLA GABAergic cells reported so far. Thus, nNOS+ cells are a distinct cell type of the amygdala that controls the activity of downstream neurons in both amygdaloid and extra-amygdaloid regions in a vigilance state-dependent fashion. Given the strong links among mood, sleep deprivation, and 5-HT, the recruitment of paracapsular nNOS+ neurons following high sleep pressure may represent an important mechanism in emotional regulation.
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Significance Statement

Understanding the function of GABAergic neurons of the amygdala can greatly improve our knowledge of the cellular underpinnings of emotional behavior and improve therapies for psychiatric disorders. Here we report a novel GABAergic neuron type of the BLA that displays high levels of neuronal nitric oxide synthase. This neuron type shows high or low early gene expression during sleep or wakefulness, respectively. Our data suggest that reduced recruitment of these cells during sleep deprivation could originate, at least in part, from their inhibition by 5-HT, which is preferentially released during wakefulness but not during sleep. This work provides an important link between a specific GABAergic cell type of the amygdala, a wake-promoting neuromodulator, and the sleep–wake cycle.
Introduction

The presence of functionally heterogeneous GABAergic neurons equips the brain with unparalleled computational power (Klausberger and Somogyi, 2008; Hangya et al., 2014). Deciphering the operations carried out by distinct classes of inhibitory cells is considered one of the major neurobiological challenges (Lovett-Barron and Lozonczy, 2014).

The basolateral amygdala (BLA) is a corticolike brain region controlling emotional behavior (Duvarcé and Pare, 2014; Janak and Tye, 2015). Compared to hippocampus or neocortex, our knowledge of anatomy, physiology and role in behavior of specific GABAergic populations in the rodent BLA is limited (Capogna, 2014). From a functional perspective, two inhibitory neuron classes have received particular attention so far: the parvalbumin (PV)-expressing and the somatostatin (SOM)-expressing interneurons (Rainnie et al., 2006; Woodruff and Sah, 2007b; Wolff et al., 2014).

Many other GABAergic neuron types have been detected in the BLA of rodents (Spampinato et al., 2011), but our understanding of their functional roles is scant. In addition to the expression of PV and SOM, BLA interneurons express a variety of neurochemical markers, such as calbindin (McDonald and Mascagni, 2001; Bienvenu et al., 2012), calretinin (McDonald and Mascagni, 2001), vasoactive intestinal peptide (Mascagni and McDonald, 2003), cholecystokinin (Jasnow et al., 2009; Vogel et al., 2016), and neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS; McDonald et al., 1993; Usunoff et al., 2006). Neurons of the BLA expressing nNOS represent a particularly intriguing cell populations for several reasons. First, in areas with interneuron diversity similar to the BLA, such as hippocampus or neocortex, nNOS+ neurons are as abundant, or even denser, than PV+ and SOM+ cells (Fuentesalba et al., 2008; Tricoire and Vitalis, 2012), suggesting a prominent impact on both hippocampal and cortical circuits. Second, they are able to modulate neurons through a variety of mechanisms, including slow inhibition (Capogna and Pearce, 2011), retrograde release of nitric oxide (Li et al., 2014), and potentially via other neuropeptides (Fuentesalba et al., 2008; Tricoire and Vitalis, 2012), suggesting they might fulfill a function that is different from those of other classic interneuron types. Third, neocortical nNOS+ neurons coexpressing SOM and NPY are thought to be atypical long-range GABAergic projection neurons (Tomikoa et al., 2005; Tamamaki and Tomikoa, 2010), and this might also apply to the BLA (McDonald et al., 2012; McDonald and Zaric, 2015). Despite their prominence, the physiological and behavioral roles of GABAergic nNOS+ neurons of the BLA remain elusive.

The activity of BLA GABAergic neurons is eminently controlled by subcortical neuromodulators released during arousal, such as serotonin [5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)], acetylcholine, and noradrenaline (Tully et al., 2007; Bocchio et al., 2015; Unal et al., 2015a). Among those, 5-HT neurotransmission is compelling because it modulates emotional learning in the BLA (Bocchio et al., 2016), but it is also involved in the sleep–wake cycle (Portas et al., 2000; Gao et al., 2002). Specifically, extracellular forebrain 5-HT levels are low during non-rapid eye movement (NREM) and rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, and high during wakefulness (Portas et al., 1998; Bjorvatn et al., 2002). Consistently, electrophysiologically experiments have shown that dorsal raphe nuclei (DRN) 5-HT neurons fire at higher rates during wakefulness and at lower rates during NREM sleep, and are virtually silent during REM sleep (Sakai, 2011). Since the activity of BLA neurons also follows the sleep–wake cycle (Paré and Gaudreau, 1996), 5-HT could play a crucial role in the vigilance state–dependent activity of BLA cells.

At a cellular level, the most commonly established effect of 5-HT in the BLA is the depolarization of GABAergic interneurons (Rainnie, 1999), and among those of PV+ interneurons via 5-HT2A receptors (Bocchio et al., 2015). However, recent in situ hybridization data have shown that BLA NPY+ cells, some of which are thought to be nNOS+ (McDonald et al., 1993), can also express inhibitory 5-HT1A receptors (Bonn et al., 2013), suggesting that 5-HT could also hyperpolarize some GABAergic cells. Defining the diversity of 5-HT actions on BLA neuron types is crucial if we are to understand the cellular dynamics occurring in the BLA across different brain states.

In this study, we aimed to functionally characterize nNOS+ neurons of the mouse BLA and to shed light on their behavioral role. Additionally, we wished to probe whether the 5-HT modulation of nNOS+ neurons is in line with the action of 5-HT on previously characterized GABAergic neurons, and whether this modulation is consistent with the behavioral recruitment of nNOS+ neurons.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Since nNOS is broadly expressed during development (Bredt and Snyder, 1994), but its expression is more restricted to particular cells following postnatal day 15 (P15; Kubota et al., 2011; Taniguchi et al., 2011), an inducible Cre driver line (Nos1-CreER, B6;129S-
**Ex vivo recordings**

Nos1-CreER<sup>TM1(cre/ERT2)2J/J</sup>; stock #014541, The Jackson Laboratory) was used to elicit Cre recombination postnatally. Nos1-Cre<sup>ER<sup>−/−</sup></sup> mice were crossed with Ai9<sup>−/−</sup> reporter mice (B6.Cg-Tg(Rosa)26Sor<sup>tm1(H11001;ROSA)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze/J</sup>; stock #007909, The Jackson Laboratory) to generate Nos1-Cre; Ai9 offspring. To quantify the overlap of neurochemical markers, WT C57BL/6J mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used. For anterograde tracing experiments, SERT-markers, WT C57BL/6J mice (Charles River Laboratories) to generate Nos1-Cre; Ai9 offspring. To quantify the overlap of neurochemical markers, WT C57BL/6J mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used. For anterograde tracing experiments, SERT-Cre<sup>+/−</sup> mice (MMRRC, B6.Cg-Tg(Slc6a4-cre)Et33Gsat; stock #031028-113, UC Davis) were used. Mice were housed with their littersmates with ad libitum access to food and water in a dedicated housing room with a 12 h light/dark cycle. To induce Cre recombinase and label rNOS<sup>+</sup> neurons with tdTomato, Nos1-CreER<sup>TM1</sup>;Ai9 mice (age, postnatal day 20–45) received one to three intraperitoneal injections of tamoxifen (10 mg/ml in corn oil, 10 µl/g body weight/d). For patch-clamp and anatomical experiments, mice (age range, postnatal day 27–60) were used at least 1 week after the first tamoxifen injection.

For sleep experiments, adult male C57BL/6J mice (15 weeks of age) were individually housed in custom-made clear Plexiglas cages (20.3 × 32 × 35 cm) with free access to a running wheel and ad libitum food and water. Cages were housed in ventilated, sound-attenuated Faraday chambers (two cages per chamber; Campden Instruments) under a standard 12 h light/dark cycle [lights on 8:00 A.M., zeitgeber time 0 (ZT0); light levels, ~120–180 lux]. Room temperature (RT) and relative humidity were maintained at 22 ± 1°C and 50 ± 20%, respectively. Mice were habituated to both the cage and recording cables for a minimum of 16 d prior to recording.

All procedures involving experimental animals were performed in compliance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 (UK) and associated regulations, under approved project licenses by Home Office UK (30/3061 and 70/7483) and with Society for Neuroscience Policies on the Use of Animals in Neuroscience Research.
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re-sectioned into 60- to 80-μm-thick sections with a VT-1000 vibrating microtome (Leica).

**Analysis of ex vivo recordings**

Analysis of synaptic currents and intrinsic membrane properties was performed using IGOR Pro (WaveMetrics) and MATLAB (MathWorks). The Rm was calculated from the slope of steady-state voltage responses to a series of 8–10 subthreshold current injections (from −30 to +60 pA) lasting 400 ms. The AHP (in mV) was determined from the first spike in response to a juxtathreshold positive current injection. The spike duration of the action potential was measured as the width at half-amplitude between the threshold potential and the peak of the action potential, which was evoked by a strong (800–1000 pA) and short (2–5 ms) depolarizing current pulse. The membrane time constant τ was estimated from the monoexponential curve fitting of voltage responses to a −30 pA hyperpolarizing pulse. The rheobase (in pA) was determined as a 50 ms current injection, able to generate a spike in 50% of the cases in 10 trials. The instantaneous firing rate (in Hz) was defined as the number of action potentials evoked during a 1 s depolarizing current pulse of twice the amplitude of the rheobase current. The membrane capacitance was calculated as the ratio between the time constant and the Rm. The adaptation index (range, 0–1) was defined as the ratio between the first and last interspike intervals (ISIs; in ms) elicited by the same pulse used to measure the instantaneous firing rate. The resting Vm was estimated by averaging a 20 s current-clamp trace recorded at a 0 pA holding current. Although many nNOS+ neurons were spontaneously active, spikes did not contaminate this estimate because firing rates were <5 Hz and the average of the 20 s trace matched the Vm sampled during ISIs.

To minimize artificial changes in firing rate due to recording conditions, cell-attached recordings were performed in loose-patch configuration (<50 MΩ seal). Spikes were acquired in voltage clamp by setting the pipette potential to obtain 0 pA of membrane current (Alcamì et al., 2012). Neurons were defined as “bursting” during 5-HT application if the peak of the ISI histogram (in Log scale) was <100 ms.

**Electroencephalogram recordings and sleep deprivation**

**Surgical procedures and electrode implantation**

Surgical procedures were performed using aseptic techniques under isoflurane anesthesia (9–5% induction, 1–2% maintenance) and Metacam (1–2 mg/kg, s.c.; Boehringer Ingelheim) was administered preoperatively. During surgery, animals were head fixed using a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments) and liquid gel (Visco-tek, Alcon Laboratories) was applied to protect the eyes. In all animals, electroencephalogram (EEG) screws were placed in the frontal [motor area: anteroposterior (AP), +2 mm; mediolateral (ML), +2 mm] and occipital (visual area, V1: AP, −3.5/−4 mm; ML, +2.5 mm) cortical regions using procedures previously described (Cuì et al., 2014). A reference screw electrode was placed above the cerebellum, and an additional anchor screw was placed in the left parietal hemisphere to ensure implant stability. EEG screws were soldered (prior to implantation) to custom-made headmounts (Pinnacle Technology), and all screws and wires were secured to the skull using dental acrylic. Two single-stranded, stainless steel wires were inserted on either side of the nuchal muscle to record electromyography (EMG). Saline (0.1 ml/20 g body weight, s.c.) was administered postoperatively and animals were provided thermal support throughout and following surgery. Metacam (1–2 mg/kg) was orally administered for at least 3 d after surgery. A minimum 2 week recovery period was permitted prior to cabling the animals.

**Experimental design**

On the experimental day, following a stable 24 h baseline recording, mice were divided into the following two groups: sleep deprivation (SD, n = 4); and SD plus recovery sleep (RS; n = 4). RS was defined as the sleep opportunity occurring immediately following SD, and was limited to 1.5–2 h (Morairty et al., 2013). In both groups, SD was performed in the home cage of the animal for a continuous 4 h period starting at light onset. During this time, animals were spontaneously awake, and their behavior as well as their EEG/EMG recordings were under constant visual observation. Sleep was prevented by regularly providing the animals with novel objects, an effective method that mimics natural conditions of wakefulness, is ethologically relevant, and does not appear to stress the animals (Palchykova et al., 2006; Vyazovskiy et al., 2007). All mice were well habituated to the experimenter and to the exposure to novel objects prior to the experiment. Novel objects included nesting and bedding material from other cages, wooden blocks, paper boxes, and tubes of different shape and color. SD was successful with 97.98 ± 2.51% of time spent awake during the 4 h procedure. After completion of the SD experiment, animals in the SD group were injected with an overdose of Euthatal (pentobarbitone sodium 200 mg/ml; 0.3 ml, i.p.), and upon the loss of a response to toe pinch were perfused transcardially with 30 ml of 0.9% PBS followed by 50 ml of 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB. All mice were perfused within ~30 min after the end of 4 h of SD, and were kept awake continuously until the moment of injection with Euthatal. The mice in the SD+RS group were allowed to sleep undisturbed for a period of 1.5–2 h (an average of 1.81 ± 0.15 h spent in NREM and REM sleep) and then were perfused according to the same procedure. Special care was taken to ensure that the animals in the SD+RS group were not awake for longer than a few minutes prior to the injection of Euthanal. Brains were removed, postfixed in 4% PFA (in 0.1 M PB) overnight at 4°C, then thoroughly washed in PBS and left in 0.1 M PB plus 0.05% sodium azide until further processing for c-Fos/nNOS immunohistochemical analysis (see below). c-Fos protein is a marker of neuronal activation that is produced 30–60 min following stimulus/behavior onset (Sheng and Greenberg, 1990; Morgan and Curran, 1991). Several lines of evidence indicate that c-Fos levels can rapidly increase and decrease during both wake and sleep (Basheer et al., 1997; Cirelli and Tononi, 2000.
Gerashchenko et al., 2008). These aspects render c-Fos staining a convenient approach to investigate effects of sleep and waking on neuronal activity (Cirelli and Tononi, 2000).

EEG recordings and power spectra analysis
Data acquisition was performed using the Multichannel Neurophysiology Recording System (TDT). Cortical EEG was recorded from frontal and occipital derivations. EEG/EMG data were filtered between 0.1 and 100 Hz, amplified (PZ5 NeuroDigitizer Preamplifier, TDT) and stored on a local computer at a sampling rate of 256.9 Hz. EEG/EMG data were resampled off-line at a sampling rate of 256 Hz. Signal conversion was performed using custom-written MATLAB scripts and was then transformed into European Data Format using open source Neurotraces software (www.neurotraces.com). For each recording, EEG power spectra were computed by a fast Fourier transform routine for 4 s epochs (using a Hanning window), with a 0.25 Hz resolution (SleepSign Kissei Comtec Co.). Vigilance states were scored off-line through manual visual inspection of consecutive 4 s epochs (SleepSign, Kissei Comtec Co.). Two EEG channels (frontal and occipital) and EMG were displayed simultaneously to aid vigilance state scoring. Vigilance states were classified as waking (low-voltage, high-frequency EEG with a high level of phasic EMG activity), NREM sleep (presence of slow waves, EEG signal of a high amplitude and low frequency), or REM sleep (low-voltage, high-frequency EEG with a low level of EMG activity). Great care was taken to eliminate epochs contaminated by eating, drinking, or gross movements resulting in artifacts in at least one of the two EEG derivations.

Anterograde tracing
To selectively label dorsal raphe 5-HT axons, the viral vector AAV2-EF1a-DIO EYFP (UNC Vector Core, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC) was stereotaxically injected (1 μl at 100 nl/min) into the dorsal raphe nuclei [coordinates according to bregma and the brain surface (in mm): AP, −4.1; dorsoventral, −2.5, −2.2, −1.9] of SERT-Cre mice (age range, P30 to P75) anesthetized using 1–2% isoflurane in oxygen (2 L/min). On recovery from surgery, mice were administered buprenorphine 0.3 mg/kg, s.c., for postoperative analgesia. Three weeks were allowed for anterograde tracing before fixation by perfusion.

Histological procedures

Immunohistochemistry
For quantification of the overlap between neurochemical markers, mice were transcardially perfused with saline followed by 4% PFA, 15% saturated picric acid in 0.1 M PB. Brains were sectioned using a vibratome (VT 1000 S, Leica) into 60-μm-thick slices. Sections were stored in 0.1 M PB containing 0.05% sodium azide until further usage. Resected slices (60–80 μm thickness) containing recorded and biocytin-filled neurons were incubated overnight at 4°C in 1:2000 Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen). Following blocking with 10% normal donkey serum for 1 h at RT, sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: anti-5-HT raised in rabbit (1:2500; provided by H. Steinbusch, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands); anti-c-Fos raised in rabbit (1:500; catalog #ab7963, Abcam); anti-GFP raised in chicken (1:1000; catalog #GFP-1020, Aves Labs); anti-nNOS raised in goat (1:500; catalog #ab1376, Abcam); anti-NK1 (substance P receptor) raised in rabbit (1:1000; AB5060, Chemicon); anti-somatostatin raised in rat (1:250; catalog #MAB354, Chemicon); and anti-vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT) raised in rabbit (1:500; provided by M. Watanabe, Frontier Institute Co. Ltd., Hokkaido, Japan; http://www.frontier-institute.com). Following 3× washes in PBS, immunoreactivity was revealed with Alexa Fluor 488- (1:500), DyLight Cy3- (1:500), or Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated (1:250) secondary antibodies (all raised in donkey; Jackson ImmunoResearch). For negative controls, the primary antibody was routinely omitted from the staining procedure with no positive fluorescence signal detected. In some cases, each secondary antibody was omitted in turn to confirm its specificity. Nissl staining was obtained via incubation in NeuroTrace 640/660 Deep-Red Fluorescent Nissl Stain (1:200; catalog #N-21483, Thermo Fisher).

All reagents were diluted in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100. Immunoreactivity was visualized using an epifluorescence microscope (AxioImager M2, Zeiss) or a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM 510, Zeiss). The boundaries between nuclei were determined with bright-field microscopy or Nissl staining.

Quantification of overlap between neurochemical markers
Sections containing the BLA of SD and SD+RS mice were imaged with the epifluorescence microscope mentioned above and StereoInvestigator software (MBF Bioscience). A region of interest delineating either the BLA or the external capsule next to the lateral amygdala (LA) was defined using a bright-field microscope under a 5× 0.16 numerical aperture (NA) objective lens. For quantification of neurochemical markers expressed by nNOS cells, stereological sampling was performed in both hemispheres from one of three sections in the range −0.8 to −2.2 mm from bregma. Series of tiled stacked images were acquired using a 40× 1.3 NA oil-immersion objective and 1 μm steps at a depth of 2–22 μm (“optical sections”) from the upper surface of each section. In order to minimize artifacts arising from surface irregularities, the first 2 μm from the upper surface were defined as the “guard zone” and were not scanned. Counting was performed off-line in StereoInvestigator. A neuron was counted only if its immunopositive nucleus came into focus in the optical section. Nuclei already in focus at the top optical section were not counted (West, 1999). For quantification of the overall percentage of c-Fos+ cells in the paracapsular area, stereological counting was performed by sampling three evenly spaced sections in the range −0.8 to −2.2 mm from bregma. To ensure the quantification of neuronal c-Fos, Nissl staining was used. Only nuclear c-Fos expression in Nissl-stained cells with a diameter of >10 μm was quantified. The experimenter was blind to behavioral...
testing conditions. Data were exported to Excel (Microsoft) and pooled for further analysis.

Neurolucida reconstruction

Two-dimensional drawings were performed for two biocytin-filled cells to reveal dendrites and axonal arborization present in the 325-μm-thick slice. The 60- to 80-μm-thick sections were processed with DAB using a previously published protocol (Unal et al., 2015b). Drawings were made using Neurolucida software (MBF Bioscience) under a light microscope (100× objective). Final drawings were corrected for tissue shrinkage caused by Triton X-100 processing. Dendritic and axonal lengths were calculated using the same software.

Statistical testing

Data are presented as the means ± SEM. Distributions were compared using Student’s t tests or one-way ANOVAs with Bonferroni post hoc correction. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software) and SigmaPlot (Systat Software Inc.), where p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Drugs

Serotonin hydrochloride, WAY 100635 maleate, NBQX, D-APV, and SR95531 were purchased from Tocris Bioscience. Kynurenic acid and tamoxifen were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Results

Neurochemical profile of nNOS+ type I neurons of the BLA

We aimed to uncover the anatomical and physiological features of GABAergic nNOS+ neurons of the BLA. First, we immunolabeled mouse coronal brain sections containing the amygdala for nNOS. We detected neurons with strong nNOS expression and others with light immunoreactivity (Fig. 1A), suggesting that nNOS+ neurons of the BLA can be classified according to the intensity of nNOS expression, as in the case of neocortex (Yan et al., 1996; Smiley et al., 2000; Lee and Jeon, 2005). Following previously used nomenclature (Yan et al., 1996; Perenoud et al., 2012), we refer to neurons with strong nNOS expression as “type I” nNOS+ cells, and to neurons with weak nNOS expression as “type II” nNOS+ cells. Type I neurons displayed large ovoid somata and bifurcated dendrites, whereas type II neurons had more heterogeneous soma size and dendritic emissions.

In cortical areas, type I nNOS+ cells often coexpress other SOM, neuropeptide Y (NPY), and neurokinin 1 (NK1) receptor. To investigate whether this coexpression pattern also applies to the BLA, we examined the proportion of type I and type II nNOS+ cells expressing these three markers. We found that 93 ± 4% of nNOS+ type I cells coexpressed SOM (n = 3 brains), 95 ± 2% coexpressed NPY (n = 6 brains), and 85 ± 2% coexpressed NK1 (n = 3 brains; Fig. 1A–C). In contrast, nNOS+ type II cells were mostly devoid of these neurochemical markers, with 2 ± 2% coexpressing SOM (n = 3 brains), 9 ± 3% coexpressing NPY (n = 6 brains), and none coexpressing NK1 (n = 3 brains; data not shown).

Thus, intense nNOS labeling identifies a neurochemically homogeneous population of BLA neurons. Since observations of neocortical nNOS+ neurons have demonstrated that type II cells are more heterogeneous and comprise several cell types (Tricoire and Vitalis, 2012), further investigations were focused on nNOS+ type I neurons. The latter cells were localized primarily along BLA borders, namely adjacent to the external capsule, intermediate capsule, and the border between basal (BA) and basomedial nuclei (Fig. 1D), which is in line with previous reports of nNOS+ “border cells” (McDonald et al., 1993; Usunoff et al., 2006). Importantly, type I neurons represented the great majority of cells expressing SOM and NPY (98.4 ± 1.6%, n = 2 brains).

Intrinsic electrophysiological properties of pc-nNOS neurons

To study the physiology of nNOS type I neurons of the BLA, we crossed an inducible Cre driver mouse line (Nos1-CreER; Taniguchi et al., 2011) with an Ai9 reporter line. This enabled specific expression of tdTomato in nNOS+ neurons (97.9 ± 0.7% nNOS/tdTomato overlap; n = 3 brains), because neurons that express nNOS only transiently during development were not labeled with tdTomato. To selectively target nNOS+ type I neurons, we prepared acute coronal brain slices and performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from tdTomato+ cells located along the external capsule that separates the LA from the endopiriform claustrum/piriform cortex (in the following, called pc-nNOS+ neurons). In this region, type I cells constitute 80 ± 3% (n = 3 brains) of nNOS+ neurons and are easily distinguishable from type II cells due to their significantly larger ovoid somata (area, 128 ± 5 vs 88 ± 2 μm2; p < 0.0001; n = 25 cells from six brains).

We examined the intrinsic membrane properties displayed by pc-nNOS neurons in brain slices from Nos1-CreER:Ai9 mice (Fig. 2; Table 1; n = 10 neurons). These cells were characterized by high Rm values (852.8 ± 51.8 MΩ), high membrane time constant (τm, 27.2 ± 2.0 ms), and high excitability (rheobase current, 28.3 ± 4.0 pA), with even small positive current injections leading to sustained firing. Consistent with the general physiology of BLA SOM+ neurons (Wolff et al., 2014), pc-nNOS neurons were not fast spiking (instantaneous firing rate, 23.2 ± 1.8 Hz) and showed relatively broad spikes (half-width, 0.75 ± 0.04 ms). Additionally, pc-nNOS cells exhibited very depolarized resting Vm (−39.7 ± 2.4 mV), often resulting in spontaneous firing (Fig. 2E). Finally, when hyperpolarizing currents were injected, pc-nNOS neurons displayed a depolarizing sag and rebound depolarization (Fig. 2). Both responses were mediated by the hyperpolarization-activated cationic current (Ih) because they were abolished by the Ih blocker ZD7288 (30 μM; sag ratio: control, 0.813 ± 0.031; ZD7288, 1.076 ± 0.081; rebound amplitude: control, 5.9 ± 1 mV; ZD7288, −4.5 ± 3.3 mV; both p = 0.048, paired t test; n = 4; Fig. 2F).

Projection pattern and synaptic connectivity of paracapsular type I nNOS+ neurons

Thus, pc-nNOS neurons are highly excitable because they display high Rm values, low rheobase currents, and
depolarized $V_m$ values, suggesting that even small depolarizing synaptic inputs can elicit action potentials in these cells. To clarify the involvement of pc-nNOS cells in the BLA microcircuit, recorded neurons were filled with biocytin to allow post hoc anatomical examinations. Biocytin-filled pc-nNOS cells revealed bitufted dendrites, mainly running parallel to the external capsule. The projection pattern of pc-nNOS neurons was examined with immunofluorescence in 13 cells in which the axon was filled with biocytin. The majority of pc-nNOS cells (10 of 13 cells) innervated the BLA complex. Notably, many pc-nNOS (8 of 13 cells) also sent axonal branches outside the BLA, specifically to the endopiriform claustrum (7 of 13 cells), perirhinal (6 of 13 cells), and piriform (4 of 13 cells) cortices. Additionally, one cell innervated the ectorhinal and temporal association cortices, one cell innervated the amygdalostriatal transition area/caudate–putamen, and one cell innervated the caudate–putamen. This suggests that pc-nNOS cells are not interneurons, because they do not exclusively innervate the BLA, but also innervate extra-amygdaloid regions.

Two pc-nNOS cells were further processed for DAB and reconstructed. One of them displayed a dendritic length of 1555.4 μm. Its axon innervated the BLA (2912.14 μm) as well as the caudate–putamen (1954.04 μm; cell MB131202_1). The other one displayed a dendritic length of 1253.45 μm and innervated the BLA more densely (8077.08 μm). However,
shorter axonal branches also targeted the amygdalostratal transition area/caudate–putamen (185.57 μm), the dorsal endopiriform claustrum (519.97 μm), and the perirhinal cortex (558.8 μm; MB151113_2; Fig. 3C). Both cells (together with the other 11 cells observed with fluorescence microscopy) did not show a dense local axonal plexus in

**Figure 2.** Electrophysiological properties of pc-nNOS neurons. A, Colocalization of tdTomato and nNOS in BLA neurons from a Nos1-CreER;Ai9 mouse. B, C, Voltage responses to hyperpolarizing–depolarizing current pulses (calibration: −30/+15 pA, 5 pA steps, 400 ms; B) used to construct the I–V plot shown in C and to determine the value of $R_{in}$. D, Adapting instantaneous firing, obtained by injecting twice the rheobase current for 1 s. E, Spontaneous firing at resting $V_m$ ($V_{m\,rest}$). F, Action potential evoked by a short depolarizing current (3 ms, +800 pA). G, Voltage sag and rebound depolarization generated by hyperpolarizing current injection (500 ms, −150 pA) and blocked by $I_h$ blocker ZD7288 (30 μM).
the BLA like other NPY⁺ cells (neurogliaform cells; Maňko et al., 2012). Additionally, their axon terminals did not usually form perisomatic basket-like formations (as observed in basket cells; Bienvenu et al., 2012; Vereczki et al., 2016), suggesting that the majority of postsynaptic targets could be dendrites. Thus, pc-nNOS neurons modulate the BLA but also extra-amygdaloid regions.

Immunofluorescence staining for VGAT of sections containing bicynbin-filled axons revealed that pc-nNOS boutons are VGAT⁺ (n = 2 cells; Fig. 4A), confirming that these cells are GABAergic. To study their output synaptic connectivity, we performed paired whole-cell recordings with pc-nNOS as presynaptic neurons and nearby (within ~100 μm distance) BLA cells as postsynaptic. Firing of a pc-nNOS cell evoked a detectable unitary synaptic current in only 1 of 11 principal neurons (Fig. 4B). The unitary synaptic response amplitude was 7.8 pA, its 20–80% after-hyperpolarization; Vm rest: resting Vm.

To determine the activity of pc-nNOS neurons in relation to sleep–wake state mice were subjected to a 4 h SD, beginning at light onset. The SD was successful, with, on average, 97.98 ± 2.51% of time spent awake during the 4 h period. While one group was killed immediately after SD (SD group, n = 4 mice), ensuring animals were continuously awake until the moment of perfusion (see Materials and Methods), a second group of mice (SD+RS group, n = 4 mice) were allowed a 1.5–2 h sleep opportunity (group average, 1.81 ± 0.15 h) before perfusion. During this interval, the animals were awake for only 5.86 ± 4.13% of the total recording time, while 94.14 ± 4.13% of the interval was spent asleep (89.07 ± 4.78 and 12.48 ± 0.97 min of NREM and REM sleep, respectively). As is typical for early sleep after sleep deprivation, NREM EEG spectral power in slow frequencies during the RS interval were consistently above corresponding baseline values, with the maximal increase observed <4 Hz (Fig. 5D). To determine the activation of pc-nNOS neurons, we examined the expression of c-Fos (a marker of neuronal activation; Sheng and Greenberg, 1990) in pc-nNOS⁺ cells in both groups of mice (SD and SD+RS; Fig. 5E). Strikingly, we detected no c-Fos⁺ pc-nNOS in mice killed immediately after SD (n = 4 brains; 21 ± 3 nNOS neurons counted per brain) In contrast, the percentage of c-Fos⁺ pc-nNOS neurons was significantly higher in SD+RS mice (p = 0.0088, unpaired t test; n = 4 brains; 22 ± 3 nNOS neurons counted per brain; Fig. 5F).

Notably, the effect of SD and RS is highly specific for pc-nNOS neurons, because the proportion of c-Fos⁺ neurons (regardless of their neurochemical identity) in the paracapsular region was higher after SD and lower after RS (p = 0.0494, unpaired t test; n = 4 per brains per condition; 223 ± 48 neurons counted per SD brain; 197 ± 22 neurons counted per SD+RS brain; Fig. 5G). This finding is in line with previous reports (Cirelli et al., 1995; Semba et al., 2001). These results define a relationship between a specific identified neuron type of the amygdala, namely GABAergic nNOS neurons adjacent to the external capsule, and the vigilance state.

### Table 1: Electrophysiological responses of pc-nNOS neurons

| Electrophysiological parameter | Mean ± SEM (n = 10) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Rm (MΩ)                       | 852.8 ± 51.8        |
| Membrane r (ms)               | 27.2 ± 2.0          |
| Membrane capacitance (pF)    | 32.8 ± 3.1          |
| Rheobase current (pA)        | 28.3 ± 4.0          |
| Instantaneous firing rate (Hz)| 23.2 ± 1.8          |
| Adaptation index             | 0.62 ± 0.05         |
| CV ISI                       | 0.429 ± 0.071       |
| Rebound depolarization amplitude (mV) | 9.6 ± 1.4 |
| Rebound depolarization area (mV × s) | 36.5 ± 0.8 |
| Sag ratio                    | 0.915 ± 0.010       |
| Spike half-width (ms)        | 0.75 ± 0.04         |
| Spike amplitude (mV)         | 80.6 ± 1.6          |
| fAHP (mV)                    | 16.5 ± 1.2          |
| Threshold potential (mV)     | −31.6 ± 0.8         |
| Vm rest (mV)                 | −39.7 ± 2.4         |

Abbreviations: Rm: input resistance; CV ISI: coefficient of variation of the interspike interval (calculated on the instantaneous firing rate); fAHP: fast after-hyperpolarization; Vm rest: resting Vm.
Dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons innervate pc-nNOS neurons

It has been proposed that the brain state-dependent activity of cortical nNOS+ type I cells is powerfully controlled by inhibition exerted by neuromodulators released in arousal states, such as 5-HT, acetylcholine, noradrenaline, and histamine (Kilduff et al., 2011). Conversely, sleep-promoting peptides and hormones have been suggested to promote the recruitment of cortical nNOS+ type I cells (Kilduff et al., 2011). Indeed, the release of 5-HT from dorsal raphe neurons is highly dependent on the sleep–wake cycle (Portas et al., 2000). Specifically, the firing of raphe neurons and, as a consequence, extracellular forebrain 5-HT levels are low during sleep and high during spontaneous wakefulness (Portas et al., 1998; Sakai, 2011) or SD (Bjorvatn et al., 2002).

Based on this evidence, we hypothesized that dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons target pc-nNOS cells. To this end, we traced the axons of dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons by injecting the viral vector AAV2-EF1a-DIO-EYFP in the dorsal raphe of SERT-Cre mice (Fig. 6A). This resulted in selective expression of enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP) in dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons (with 100% of eYFP+ cells also expressing 5-HT, n = 3 brains; Fig. 6B). eYFP+ axons innervated the amygdaloid complex, including the BLA (Fig. 6C). Although the LA displayed relatively sparse innervation.
eYFP⁺ axons, the pericapsular area, where pc-nNOS are located, displayed stronger eYFP⁺ innervation. Examining sections double labeled for eYFP and nNOS from three transfected brains, we consistently found eYFP sections double labeled for eYFP and nNOS from three c-Fos expressing pc-nNOS neurons (10); thus, pc-nNOS cells could be modulated by 5-HT released by dorsal raphe neurons.

5-HT inhibits pc-nNOS neurons

To test the above-mentioned possibility, electrophysiological and pharmacological experiments were performed. We recorded pc-nNOS neurons in a cell-attached configuration (n = 18). As reported above, pc-nNOS neurons fired spontaneously in control conditions. Bath application of 50 μM 5-HT produced a significant slowing in firing rate (from 3.6 ± 1.6 to 1.6 ± 0.4 Hz; p < 0.0001, paired t test; Fig. 7A–C), suggesting an inhibitory effect of 5-HT. Furthermore, 5-HT enhanced pc-nNOS cell firing irregularity [coefficient of variation (CV) of ISI: 0.5 ± 0.06 in controls; 2.9 ± 0.4 in the presence of 5-HT; p < 0.0001, paired t test; Fig. 7D] and, in a minority of cells (n = 7), caused a switch from tonic to burst firing (intraburst ISI range, 25–70 ms; Fig. 7A,E,F). Thus, the action of 5-HT on pc-nNOS is inhibitory, and not excitatory, and contrasts with the previously reported depolarizing effects on other BLA GABAergic neuron populations (Rainnie, 1999; Bocchio et al., 2015).

To study the mechanisms through which 5-HT inhibits the firing of pc-nNOS neurons, we performed whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from these neurons in current clamp. Consistent with the inhibition of firing, the application of 50 μM 5-HT elicited membrane hyperpolarization (from −59.3 ± 0.2 to −64 ± 0.7 mV; p = 0.001, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test; n = 10; Fig. 8A,B,D), together with a reduction of the Rm (by 11.3 ± 1.9%; p = 0.0006, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test; n = 10; Fig. 8). Both effects were blocked by prior incubation with the 5-HT1A antagonist WAY100635 (10 μM; p = 0.0235 and p = 0.0064, respectively, paired t tests; n = 5; Fig. 8). Finally, we confirmed that 5-HT1A-mediated hyperpolarization occurred by a direct effect on pc-nNOS neurons, and was not an indirect network action, because it persisted in the presence of synaptic blockers (10 μM NBQX, 50 μM D-APV, 10 μM SR95531; p = 0.0017, paired t test; n = 5; Fig. 8H). Thus, pc-nNOS cells are hyperpolarized by 5-HT via 5-HT1A receptors, in line with the expression of 5-HT1A mRNA in NPY⁺ BLA neurons (Bonn et al., 2013).

Together, these data indicate that pc-nNOS neurons are distinct from other BLA GABAergic cells in that they are hyperpolarized and not depolarized by 5-HT. This hyperpolarization leads to a reduction in firing rate and, in a few cases, to a switch in firing mode. Such 5-HT inhibition could mediate, at least in part, the sleep–wake-dependent modulation of pc-nNOS activity, because extracellular forebrain 5-HT levels are lower during sleep than during wakefulness and SD (Portas et al., 1998; Bjorvatn et al., 2002).

Discussion

The present study provides novel information on nNOS⁺ type I neurons that surround the BLA. In particular, it describes for the first time the anatomical and physiological properties of these cells, as well as their synaptic connectivity, their activity throughout sleep and wakefulness, and their 5-HT innervation and modulation. We discovered that nNOS⁺ type I neurons are distributed along the boundaries of the BLA, and express SOM, NPY, and NK1. We observed that pc-nNOS neurons are GABAergic, display high intrinsic excitability, relatively broad spikes, voltage sag, and rebound depolarizations, and project both inside and outside the BLA. The activity of pc-nNOS (measured by their c-Fos expression) is low during wakefulness and sleep. The present study provides novel information on nNOS⁺ type I neurons that surround the BLA. In particular, it describes for the first time the anatomical and physiological properties of these cells, as well as their synaptic connectivity, their activity throughout sleep and wakefulness, and their 5-HT innervation and modulation. We discovered that nNOS⁺ type I neurons are distributed along the boundaries of the BLA, and express SOM, NPY, and NK1. We observed that pc-nNOS neurons are GABAergic, display high intrinsic excitability, relatively broad spikes, voltage sag, and rebound depolarizations, and project both inside and outside the BLA. The activity of pc-nNOS (measured by their c-Fos expression) is low during wakefulness and sleep.

Figure 4. VGAT expression and connectivity of pc-nNOS cells. A, Top, VGAT immunoreactivity of biocytin-filled axonal varicosities of a pc-nNOS neuron (arrows). Bottom, VGAT immunoreactivity in a biocytin-filled bouton from another pc-nNOS cell (arrow). B, Dual whole-cell recording (voltage clamp) showing a presynaptic pc-nNOS neuron functionally connected to a postsynaptic principal neuron (PN). Top, Schematic showing the dual whole-cell recording configuration. Middle, Action current evoked in the presynaptic pc-nNOS. Bottom, uIPSC recorded in the postsynaptic PN (holding potential, −40 mV; gray, overlap of 10 sweeps repeated every 10 s; black, average of the 10 sweeps). Inset, Stereotypical PN firing evoked by 500-ms-long, ±100 pA current injection in the postsynaptic cell held at −65 mV. C, Rate of connectivity between a pc-nNOS cell and BLA cells. One of eleven nearby PN cells received a uIPSC, whereas no uIPSC could be recorded in five nearby pc-nNOS cells or three nearby nNOS-negative interneurons.
during sleep deprivation and high during subsequent sleep. As a putative cellular mechanism of pc-nNOS cell inhibition during sleep deprivation (and more generally wakefulness), 5-HT, which is known to depolarize GABAergic cells in the BLA, instead hyperpolarizes pc-nNOS cells. Although previous groups reported the pres-
ence of putative GABAergic nNOS neurons of the BLA (McDonald et al., 1993; Usunoff et al., 2006), their physiology and role in behavior remained unexplored.

We discovered that BLA nNOS neurons can be divided based on the strength of nNOS expression, as previously described for neocortex (Yan et al., 1996). As for neocortex (Magno et al., 2012; Perrenoud et al., 2012), we have termed neurons with strong nNOS expression as type I nNOS cells and neurons with weak nNOS expression as type II nNOS cells. We found that nNOS type I neurons of the BLA coexpress SOM, NPY, and NK1. This combination of neurochemical markers is consistent with the patterns of expression of cortical type I nNOS cells (Kubota et al., 2011). These data corroborate the notion that the BLA exhibits cortex-like GABAergic neuron diversity patterns (Spampanato et al., 2011; Capogna, 2014). We found that BLA nNOS type I neurons are preferentially located along the BLA, namely along the external capsule, intermediate capsule, and border between BA and basomedial nucleus. Additionally, examination of biocytin-filled pc-nNOS neurons revealed that their dendrites run in parallel to external or intermediate capsules. The functional reason of this specific localization remains enigmatic. Since external and intermediate capsules are fiber bundles containing axons that originate from several external structures, this distribution might favor their recruitment by glutamatergic axons from distant areas (e.g., sensory thalamus and cortex). Preferential recruitment of these cells could also be facilitated by their high input resistance, low rheobase current, and depolarized resting membrane potential. Overall, these features determine a high intrinsic excitability, with even small excitatory inputs able to elicit action potentials.

Interestingly, SOM and NPY neurons located in the external capsule next to the BLA have been shown to be long-range projection neurons, sending their axons to entorhinal cortex (McDonald and Zaric, 2015) and basal forebrain (McDonald et al., 2012). Our study did not demonstrate whether pc-nNOS cells project to these areas or other distant regions, mainly because their axon is likely severed in acute brain slices. However, we found that nNOS type I cells represent virtually all (98.4%) SOM and NPY cells of the BLA, suggesting that the SOM and NPY pericapsular neurons reported by McDonald et al. (2012) and McDonald and Zaric (2015) could indeed be pc-nNOS cells.

Neurolucida reconstructions of two pc-nNOS cells suggest that these neurons are not pure projection neurons, because they have considerable local projections to the BLA. Nonetheless, for the majority of filled pc-nNOS cells, we detected axonal branches in nearby structures, such as endopiriform claustrum, piriform cortex, and amygdalo- striatal transition area/caudate–putamen. In some cases, an axonal branch projected for several hundreds of...
micrometers into caudate–putamen or cortex. However, we never detected a main, thicker axon typical of other GABAergic long-range projection neurons (e.g., hippocampestral and septohippocampal cells; Jinno et al., 2007; Unal et al., 2015b). Importantly, we cannot fully rule out that pc-nNOS cells have a thicker main axon that is myelinated and therefore could not be visualized using fluorescence or light microscopy. Nevertheless, our data keep open the intriguing possibility that pc-nNOS cells coordinate BLA activity with extra-amygdaloid regions.

Using paired whole-cell recordings, we detected a presynaptic pc-nNOS cell functionally connected, likely via a GABAergic connection, to a postsynaptic BLA principal cell. This indicates that BLA principal cells are one of the postsynaptic targets of pc-nNOS neurons. Crucially, pc-nNOS connection probability to principal cells (1 of 11 cells) is much lower than the one of other BLA NPY+/H11001 interneurons (neurogliaform cells; Maniko et al., 2012) or of BLA PV+/H11001 interneurons (Woodruff and Sah, 2007a). It is not clear whether pc-nNOS cells target BLA GABAergic cells, because we did not detect connections from pc-nNOS cells and nearby pc-nNOS cells (0 of 5 cells) or nearby nNOS-negative interneurons (0 of 3 cells). In addition to clarifying pc-nNOS postsynaptic targets (both in the BLA and in extra-amygdaloid regions), future studies should assess which cellular domains of BLA principal cells are targeted by pc-nNOS neurons. In the BLA, SOM− neurons target distal dendrites of principal neurons, as well as dendrites and cell bodies of interneurons. In line with potential dendritic targeting, our Neurolucida reconstructions revealed that pc-nNOS cells do not innervate BLA or extra-amygdaloid regions with perisomatic basket-like terminals.

pc-nNOS cells are likely to modulate other neurons (i.e., nNOS, NPY, and SOM) not only via GABA release, but also via other neurochemicals. Nitric oxide signaling has been shown to promote long-term potentiation at inhibitory synapses in the LA (Lange et al., 2012), while SOM

Figure 7. 5-HT inhibits pc-nNOS neurons. A, Representative cell-attached recording from a pc-nNOS neuron (voltage-clamp mode) inhibited by bath application of 5-HT (50 μM). In this cell, 5-HT did not trigger burst firing. B, Representative cell-attached recording from a pc-nNOS neuron (voltage-clamp mode) in which bath application of 5-HT elicited a reduction in both firing rate and burst firing. Insets, Magnified examples of tonic firing in control conditions and burst firing upon bath application of 5-HT (50 μM). C, Significant decrease in firing rate promoted by 5-HT (from 3.6 ± 1.6 Hz to 1.6 ± 0.4 Hz; p < 0.0001, paired t test; n = 18). D, Significant increase in firing irregularity (measured by the CV of the ISI: from 0.5 ± 0.06 to 2.9 ± 0.4; p < 0.0001, paired t test; n = 18) caused by 5-HT. E, 5-HT application enhances the burstiness of pc-nNOS neurons: the peak of the ISI histogram (in Log scale) shifts to the left (n = 18). F, 5-HT triggered spike bursts in only 7 of 18 pc-nNOS neurons. The remaining neurons displayed a reduction in firing rate only upon 5-HT application. G, In four cells displaying bursts upon 5-HT application, 5-HT was reapplied in the presence of synaptic blockers (10 μM NBQX, 50 μM D-APV, and 10 μM SR95531). In these conditions, 5-HT still triggered bursting (the peak of the Log ISI histogram shifted to the left), suggesting that synaptic inputs are not necessary for bursting activity. ***p < 0.0001. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.
and NPY appear to hyperpolarize LA principal neurons via G-protein-coupled, inwardly rectifying, potassium channel activation (Meis et al., 2005; Sosulina et al., 2008).

The present study suggests that pc-nNOS cells modulate amygdaloid and extra-amygdaloid neurons in a vigilance state-dependent manner, because our c-Fos data demonstrate that these cells are strongly activated during sleep (at least when sleep follows sleep deprivation). In contrast, pc-nNOS/H11001 neurons do not express c-Fos after prolonged wakefulness. To our knowledge, our results represent the first demonstration of a GABAergic neuron type of the amygdala that dichotomously changes its activity as a function of the sleep–wake cycle. Thus, selective sleep activation of nNOS/H11001 type I neurons appears to be more widespread and not restricted only to the cortex (Gerashchenko et al., 2008; Morairty et al., 2013; Dittrich et al., 2015).

In agreement with previous findings (Semba et al., 2001), we show that the overall neuronal activation in the paracapsular area is higher after sleep deprivation than after recovery sleep (i.e., a pattern of activation that is the opposite of the one of pc-nNOS neurons). This observation suggests cell type-specific, and not broad, sleep activation in the BLA. Importantly, it is not clear whether all nNOS\(^+\) type I neurons along or inside BLA boundaries are equally inhibited by 5-HT and are activated by recovery sleep following sleep deprivation. In this study, we limited our quantification to pc-nNOS neurons adjacent to the external capsule to match the location of our patch-clamp recordings.

5-HT has been proposed to be one of the neuromodulators promoting the inhibition of nNOS type I cells in neocortex (Kilduff et al., 2011; Tricoire and Vitalis, 2012). Our study corroborates this hypothesis, because we detected axons from dorsal raphe 5-HT neurons innervating pc-nNOS cells. In addition, electrophysiological experiments demonstrate that 5-HT hyperpolarizes pc-nNOS cells via 5-HT1A receptors. As this hyperpolarization was associated with a decrease in Rin, it likely arises from the opening of a K\(^+\)/H11001 conductance, as described in hippocampal neurons (Andrade and Nicoll, 1987). Interestingly, striatal nNOS interneurons are also inhibited by 5-HT, but this effect is mediated by another class of serotonin receptors (5-HT2C; Cains et al., 2012). Furthermore, in a subset of cells 5-HT also altered the pc-nNOS the firing mode of neurons from tonic to bursting. This bursting physiology in response to membrane hyperpolarization resembles effects previously reported in striatal low-threshold spike.
interneurons (Dehorter et al., 2009; Beatty et al., 2012), cells that are also SOM⁺, NPY⁺, and nNOS⁺ (Kawaguchi, 1993; Ibáñez-Sandoval et al., 2011). However, this might originate from different mechanisms because pc-nNOS neurons do not display a low-threshold spiking phenotype. The effect exerted by 5-HT provides a putative cellular mechanism that could explain, at least in part, the activity of pc-nNOS neurons across sleep and wakefulness. Their 5-HT-mediated inhibition, an effect previously proposed by Kilduff et al. (2011), could be prominent during sleep deprivation, when 5-HT release from raphe neurons is high, compared with NREM and REM sleep, when 5-HT release is lower (Portas et al., 1998).

It is unlikely that 5-HT is the only neurotransmitter released during wakefulness and arousal that suppresses pc-nNOS neuron activity. For example, paracapsular SOM⁺ and NPY⁺ neurons have been shown to coexpress the muscarinic type 2 acetylcholine receptor (McDonald and Mascagni, 2011), implying an inhibitory action of acetylcholine. Since pc-nNOS neurons express NK1 receptors, a putative source of neuromodulatory excitatory drive on these cells is the NK1 agonist substance P. Importantly, NK1⁻ neurons have been shown to regulate anxiety and reward processing (Gadd et al., 2003; Truitt et al., 2009). Future studies should establish whether pc-nNOS neuron activity is high during sleep due to intrinsic membrane properties or also because of stronger excitatory inputs. These inputs could include peptides and hormones released during sleep, such as adenosine (as proposed by Kilduff et al., 2011), or glutamatergic axons contained in external/intermediate capsules.

In summary, our work turns the spotlight on a novel BLA GABAergic cell type that is activated by sleep and inhibited by wakefulness and 5-HT, and establishes a link between BLA circuits and sleep–wake history. Given the crucial involvement of the BLA in conditioned fear and anxiety (Tovote et al., 2015), this discovery is particularly compelling because sleep deprivation has been shown to impact both emotional phenomena (Graves et al., 2003; Silva et al., 2004). In the future, intersectional genetic approaches will allow selective tagging of nNOS⁻ type I neurons (He et al., 2016), for instance by taking advantage of their SOM, NPY, or NK1 expression. In addition to facilitating their targeting for electrophysiological recordings, these strategies could also permit specific manipulation of BLA nNOS⁻ type I cells during behavior, which could probe their precise role in sleep and emotion regulation.
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