Ibrutinib enhances IL-17 response by modulating the function of bone marrow derived dendritic cells
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Ibrutinib (PCI-32765) is an irreversible dual Btk/Itk inhibitor shown to be effective in treating several B cell malignancies. However, limited studies have been conducted to study the effect of this drug on myeloid cell function. Hence, we studied the effect of ibrutinib treatment on TLR-4 mediated activation of bone marrow derived dendritic cell culture (DCs). Upon ibrutinib treatment, LPS-treated DCs displayed lower synthesis of TNF-α and nitric oxide (NO) and higher induction of IL-6, TGF-β, IL-10 and IL-18. While ibrutinib dampened MHC-II and CD86 expression on DCs, CD80 expression was upregulated. Further, ibrutinib-treated DCs promoted T cell proliferation and enhanced IL-17 production upon co-culture with nylon wool enriched T cells. Taken together, our results indicate that ibrutinib modulates TLR-4 mediated DC activation to promote an IL-17 response. We describe a novel mode of action for ibrutinib on DCs which should be explored to treat other forms of cancer besides B cell malignancies.

Introduction

Ibrutinib is a potent irreversible inhibitor of Tec kinases, Btk1 and Itk.2 Extensive studies have demonstrated that ibrutinib effectively treats a variety of hematological malignancies.3-7 Additionally, its efficacy against immune complex disease models where myeloid cells such as neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages and DCs mediate chronic inflammation suggests that ibrutinib may also target myeloid cells.8 Btk is expressed in different cell types within the myeloid lineage such as DCs and macrophages.9,10 Further, Btk enhances innate immune responses, participates in TLR-4 mediated myeloid cell activation9,11-14 and also enables DCs to regulate T cell proliferation and differentiation.9 Although ibrutinib has been shown to have varied effects on B cells, T cells and NK cells,1,5-8 its effect on myeloid cells is still incompletely understood. Since DCs play a critical role in initiating and directing protective T cell responses during cancer,15,16 we wanted to study how ibrutinib affects the activation of DCs and their ability to prime T cell responses. Therefore, we determined the impact of ibrutinib treatment on DC culture and subsequent T cell activation using an in vitro model of murine bone marrow derived DCs.

Results and Discussion

Ibrutinib treatment alters cytokine and nitric oxide responses in LPS-treated DCs

Ligands to Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are potent activators of DCs and are being evaluated as adjuvants for DC based cancer therapies.15 Further, it is known that Btk participates in TLR signaling in myeloid cells including DCs.9,14 Hence, we studied how ibrutinib affects immune responses in TLR-activated DCs using lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a TLR-4 ligand, as an immunogen for our studies. We examined whether ibrutinib modulates cytokine and NO production in DCs upon LPS stimulation. We studied these responses at various time points after LPS stimulation and at different concentrations of ibrutinib. LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs dampened TNF-α production compared to LPS/control-treated DCs (Fig. 1A), while IL-12 production was comparable between both groups (Fig. 1C). There was reduced NO...
production in LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs at a later time point compared to LPS/control-treated DCs (Fig. 1B). Additionally upon ibrutinib treatment there was higher induction of IL-18, an increase in IL-6 and TGF-β at earlier time points of LPS stimulation, and an increase in IL-10 at a later time point compared to controls (Figs. 2A–D). The differences for IL-6, IL-10, IL-18 and NO were observed to be greatest mostly at the higher concentration of ibrutinib (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Taken together, our results indicate that ibrutinib decreases TNF-α and NO production, increases the expression of IL-6, IL-10, IL-18 and TGF-β and does not alter IL-12 production upon LPS stimulation (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). Our observations for reduced TNF-α and NO is consistent with previous reports of deficient TNF-α and NO in LPS stimulated myeloid cells from Btk−/− mice and XID mice which have a mutation in the PH domain of Btk that interferes with normal Btk signaling.11-14 Enhanced IL-6 production has also been reported in LPS stimulated Btk−/− macrophages.11 Further, a recent study comparing LPS-mediated

**Figure 1.** Ibrutinib dampens TNF-α and nitric oxide production in dendritic cells upon LPS stimulation. (A) TNF-α, (B) nitric oxide (NO) and (C) IL-12 production in control- and ibrutinib-treated DCs stimulated with LPS. DCs were treated with control (DMSO) or ibrutinib (1 μM or 10 μM), washed twice and treated with LPS (1 μg/mL). After 4, 12 and 24 h of LPS treatment, cytokine production was determined in the culture supernatants by ELISA. At these time points, NO levels were determined in the culture supernatants by measuring nitrite concentrations using Griess assay. The data are presented as mean ± SEM of triplicate sample values from 2 independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001, ***p < 0.0001.
cytokine production in WT and Btk−/− DCs supports some of our observations. The authors reported a decrease in TNF-α production in Btk−/− mice and increase in IL-10 production by Btk−/− DCs. However, there were contrasting differences in cytokines such as IL-6, IL-12 and IL-18 compared to the cytokine responses observed upon Btk inhibition with ibrutinib in our system. The authors observed lower IL-12 and IL-18 production by Btk−/− DCs while there were no differences in IL-6 production. We noted that the authors employed a different method of DC generation compared to our studies. The authors generated DCs by culturing bone marrow cells in the presence of FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 ligand (Flt3L) while we generated DCs in the presence of granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GMCSF) for our studies. Previous reports have demonstrated that Flt3L and GMCSF promote the development of different subsets of DCs. Further, Flt3L- and GMCSF-derived DCs also differ in their profiles of cytokine production in response to LPS activation. It is possible that Btk differentially modulates TLR-4 signaling in Flt3L- and GMCSF-derived DCs and thereby, mediates different cytokine responses in these DC subsets. Taken together, our results indicate that ibrutinib alters TLR-4 mediated cytokine and NO production in DCs. These changes in cytokine responses upon ibrutinib treatment on DCs could subsequently reprogram T cell responses.

Treatment with ibrutinib modulates the expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules on LPS-stimulated DCs

Since LPS treatment upregulates the expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules in DCs, we wanted to determine how ibrutinib affects the expression of these molecules in LPS-stimulated DCs. Ibrutinib treatment reduced the percentage of MHC-II+ and CD86+ cells and increased the percentage of CD80+ cells compared to control treatment in LPS-stimulated DCs (Figs. 3A–C). Further, LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs displayed lower levels of MHC-II and CD86 expression and higher CD80 expression compared to LPS/control-treated DCs (Figs. 3D–F). However, percentages of CD40+ DCs and expression levels of CD40 remained similar between LPS/ibrutinib- and LPS/control-treated DCs (data not shown). It has been previously shown
that IL-6 and TGF-β dampen the surface expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules.21,22 Hence, it is possible that the increased production of IL-6 and TGF-β in ibrutinib-treated DCs (Figs. 2A and 2D) contributes to the reduction of MHC-II and CD86 expression in these cells.

Ibrutinib-treated DCs promote an IL-17 response upon culture with T cells

DC-derived cytokines such as IL-6, IL-18 and TGF-β are critical in initiating and directing IL-17 production by T cells.20 Based on the cytokine profile generated upon ibrutinib treatment (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), we hypothesized that ibrutinib-treated DCs would promote an IL-17 response from T cells. We investigated this by using an in vitro antigen-specific DC:T cell co-culture model. T cells co-cultured with LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs displayed higher proliferation rates compared to T cells co-cultured with LPS/control-treated DCs (Fig. 4A). We also evaluated the production of T cell cytokines in the co-culture supernatants. LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs enhanced the production of IL-17, but not IFNγ or IL-13 compared to LPS/control-treated DCs.
We could not detect the presence of IL-4 in either treatment (data not shown).

The balance of cytokines and co-stimulatory molecules expressed by DCs determines the T-helper subset generated during T cell differentiation. The production of both IL-6 and TGF-β by DCs promotes IL-17 production by T cells. Further, IL-17 synthesis by T cells can also be mediated by other cytokines such as IL-18, which we observed to be increased in LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs (Fig. 2C). Although CD80-CD86/CD28-CTLA4 mediated co-stimulation has been suggested by some researchers to suppress IL-17 production by T cells, our studies suggest that differential regulation of CD80 and CD86 expression may complement the cytokine signals driving IL-17 synthesis by T cells. Taken together, our results indicate that ibrutinib modulates DC activation and thereby promotes an IL-17 response by T cells.

The precise role of IL-17 response in cancer immunity is still being evaluated with studies indicating that IL-17 plays both pro-tumor as well as antitumor roles depending on the type of cancer. In a murine melanoma model, Th17 immune response was more effective than Th1 immune response in rejecting melanoma tumors. In this model, Th17 cells activated tumor specific CD8+ T cells and promoted the recruitment of DCs into tumor sites. Alternatively, in healthy individuals and patients with indolent Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL), the frequency of IL-17 producing T cells was higher and inversely correlated with immunosuppressive T cells such as regulatory T cells (Tregs) which are found at relatively-higher frequency in progressive CLL patients. This suggests that IL-17 may play a protective role in the pathogenesis of CLL. The frequency of IL-17 producing T cells was higher in mice with reduced tumor burden with primary intraocular B-cell lymphoma and increased IL-17 in the tumor microenvironment was associated with improved clinical survival in patients with ovarian cancer. Such studies provide a rationale for the development of IL-17 based immunotherapies for the treatment of certain types of cancer. TLR-activated DCs are being studied for their potential anticancer effects due to their ability to generate potent T cell immune responses against various hematological malignancies and solid tumors. We propose that ibrutinib should be further explored for its use in DC-based therapies due to its ability to modulate DC function and enhance DC-mediated T cell responses in a TLR-dependent manner. Our results also reveal a new mode of action for ibrutinib on DCs besides its previously known effects on B cells, T cells and NK cells. Hence, the effects of ibrutinib on DCs and other myeloid cell

Figure 4. Ibrutinib treated DCs promote IL-17 response upon culture with T cells. (A) Analysis of T cell proliferation upon co-culture with control-, ibrutinib-, LPS/control- or LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs. DCs were treated with control (DMSO) or ibrutinib (1 μM), washed twice, pulsed with OVA (10 μg/mL) for 2 h and treated with LPS (1 μg/mL) for 22 h. After OVA/LPS stimulation, DCs were cultured in 1:4 ratio with CFSE-stained T cells enriched from spleens of OT-II mice for 5 d. At day 5, cells from co-culture were blocked, stained with anti-CD4 antibody and T cell proliferation was measured by flow cytometry. Analyses were conducted by gating on CD4+ population. The data are presented as mean ± SEM of duplicates and are representative of 2 independent experiments. (B) Production of T cell cytokines IL-17, IFNγ and IL-13 in co-culture experiments performed as mentioned in A. At Day 5 of co-culture, cell culture supernatants were collected and the respective cytokines were measured by ELISA. The data are presented as mean ± SEM of duplicates and are representative of 2 independent experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.001.
populations must be further elucidated, especially in diseases where ibrutinib is currently being employed for treatment.

Materials and Methods

Mice strains
Female C57BL/6 (age 8–10 weeks) and OT-II TCR transgenic mice (age 8–10 weeks) were purchased from Harlan and Jackson Laboratories respectively. All animals were housed in a pathogen-free animal facility in The Ohio State University in accordance with National Institutes of Health and institutional guidelines.

Cultivation and stimulation of bone marrow derived dendritic cells
Bone marrow derived DCs from C57BL/6 mice were cultivated as described previously. 32,33 Briefly, bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs and tibias of mice, treated with ACK lysis buffer and plated @ 5 million cells/ plate in sterile 100 × 15 mm petri dishes along with complete RPMI medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals), 1% penicillin (20 Units/mL)/streptomycin (20 μg/mL) (Life Technologies) and 20ng/mL GMCSF (Peprotech) for 6 d At Day 6, cells from DC culture were collected by gently harvesting the floating fraction of cells to obtain >75% purity of CD11c+ DCs in the floating fraction. The remaining cells in the floating fraction did not display lineage specific markers for T cells, B cells, NK cells and macrophages upon flow cytometric analysis. A small percentage of neutrophils were observed (approximately 6–8% of total cell population). However, these cells were short-lived and were observed to die when the DC culture was seeded in 24-well plates (Corning Life Sciences) and rested for 24 h prior to subsequent ibrutinib treatment and activation studies. For LPS activation studies, rested DCs were washed once with 1X PBS (Life Technologies), treated with DMSO (control), 1 μM ibrutinib or 10 μM ibrutinib (Pharmacyclics, Inc.) for 30 min, washed twice with 1X PBS and treated with 1 μg/mL LPS (Sigma Aldrich) at 37°C and 5% CO2. After 4, 12 and 24 h of LPS treatment, RNA was extracted from cells for real-time PCR analysis and cell culture supernatants were harvested to determine cytokine and NO production. Further at 24 h time point, cells were isolated for flow cytometric analysis.

T cell co-culture and proliferation studies
Rested DCs were treated with control or 1 μM ibrutinib as mentioned above, pulsed with 10 μg/mL OVA-peptide (323–339) (Anaspec) for 2 h and treated with 1 μg/mL LPS (Sigma Aldrich) for 22 h. After OVA/LPS stimulation, DCs were washed twice with 1X PBS and cultured with CFSE-stained T cells from OT-II mice. Prior to culture with OVA/LPS-stimulated DCs, T cells were enriched from total splenocytes of OT-II mice by nylon wool enrichment as described previously.34 Briefly, single cell suspensions were prepared from spleens from OT-II mice in complete RPMI medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals), 1% penicillin (20 Units/mL)/streptomycin (20 μg/mL) (Life Technologies), treated with ACK Lysis buffer and incubated in nylon wool columns for 1 h. After incubation, cells were eluted from the columns, washed with 1X PBS, stained with 5 μM CFSE (Life Technologies) and cultured with OVA, OVA/ibrutinib, OVA/LPS and OVA/LPS/ibrutinib-treated DCs in 1:4 ratio for 5 d. After 5 d, T cell proliferation was evaluated by flow cytometry and culture supernatants were harvested to determine the concentration of cytokines by ELISA.

Real-time PCR analysis
Total RNA from LPS-stimulated DCs was extracted by adding TRizol reagent to cells (Life Technologies) and performing chloroform-isopropanol extraction of RNA according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was washed with 75% ethanol and resuspended in 1X TE buffer (Life Technologies). RNA concentration was determined by absorbance at 260 nm. One μg RNA was used for first strand cDNA synthesis with SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). Primer sequences and cycling conditions were obtained from PRIMER BANK.35 PCR amplification was conducted in an Opticon Real-Time PCR cycler (Biorad) using SYBR Green (BioRad) for detection. Data were normalized to β-actin and represented as fold induction over control-treated cells by ΔΔCT method.

Cytokine ELISA
Cytokine ELISA was performed on culture supernatants of LPS-stimulated DCs and DC-T cell co-cultures as described previously.34 Briefly, purified anti-mouse TNF-α, IL-12, IFNγ, IL-17 (Biolegend) and IL-13 (E Biosciences) monoclonal antibodies were used as capture antibodies for the respective ELISA. Recombinant mouse TNF-α, IL-12, IFNγ, IL-13 (BD Biosciences) and IL-17 (E Biosciences) were used as standards. Detection of cytokines was performed using biotinylated anti-mouse antibodies for TNF-α, IL-12, IFNγ, IL-17 (Biolegend) and IL-13 (E Biosciences), streptavidin conjugated alkaline phosphatase (BD Pharmingen) and p-nitrophenyl phosphate (PNPP) tablets (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as substrate. Plates were read using Spectramax M3 microplate reader (Molecular Devices LLC) at an absorbance of 405 nm. Cytokine concentrations were determined by extrapolation from the generated standard curve using Softmax Pro software (Molecular Devices LLC).

Nitric oxide assay
Culture supernatants of LPS-stimulated DCs were tested for the presence of NO as described previously.36 Briefly, nitrite was measured in culture supernatants using Griess Reagent (Sigma Aldrich) with sodium nitrite as the standard. Plates were read using a Spectramax M3 microplate reader (Molecular Devices LLC) at the absorbance of 570 nm. The concentrations of NO were determined by extrapolation of generated standard curves using Softmax Pro software (Molecular Devices LLC).

Flow cytometry
LPS-stimulated DCs were washed with 1X PBS, blocked using normal mouse serum and incubated with conjugated
antibodies against various cell surface markers including CD11c, MHC-II, CD80 and CD86 (Biolegend). Cells from co-cultures assays were washed and blocked as mentioned above and incubated with conjugated antibody against CD4 (Biolegend). Samples were acquired on a BD FACS Calibur (BD Biosciences). Data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star, Inc.). During analysis, gating was performed based on respective isotype controls for the corresponding conjugated antibody. Analysis of surface marker expression on DCs was performed by gating on CD11c+ cells. Percentage of proliferating cells in T cell co-culture assay was measured on CD4+ T cells using the Proliferation platform in the FlowJo software.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done using Prism 5 (GraphPad Software). Student’s unpaired t-test was employed to determine statistical significance of values obtained. The p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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