Effect of storage temperature on volatile marker compounds in cured loins fermented with *Staphylococcus carnosus* by brine injection
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Abstract

In this study, the influence of low (5 °C), intermediate (15 °C) and high (25 °C) storage temperatures on the profile of volatile compounds of North European cured loins fermented with *Staphylococcus carnosus* strains was investigated. In this context, proteolytic activity, bacterial growth, key volatile compounds and sensory attributes were studied. In conclusion, storage temperature significantly affected the volatile marker compounds. A multiple regression indicated significant effects of seven volatile compounds (acetophenone, benzaldehyde, butanone, 3-methylbutanal, 1-octen-3-ol, nonanal and pentanone) on the overall odor ($R^2 = 95.9\%$) and overall flavor ($R^2 = 81.1\%$). The sum of the marker volatiles aldehydes, ketones and alcohol increased with rising temperatures and the highest amounts of the odor active 3-methylbutanal up to 155 and 166 ng/g meat were detected in high temperature-stored loins. Moreover, the addition of *S. carnosus* strain LTH 3838 showed maximum effect at 5 °C-storage temperature in comparison to the control.
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Introduction

Dry-cured meat products, such as raw hams or fermented sausages, are a popular and valuable group of meat products due to their typical texture and flavor [1, 2]. The curing process of hams and loins prevents the meat from growth of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms through the application of different hurdles, such as reduced water activity, increased salt content (partly with addition of nitrate and/or nitrite) and low temperatures during curing [3]. Raw material quality, processing parameters, curing ingredients, microbiota as well as other intrinsic and extrinsic factors influence the quality of cured ham or loin. Time and temperature profiles during ripening and storage particularly affect the profile of volatile compounds of cured hams and loins due to proteolytic, lipolytic and peptidolytic degradation processes in the cured meat matrix [4–8]. Traditional slowly matured dry-cured hams are mainly ripened at 15–18 °C, and temperatures around 24 °C are used for quickly ripened hams [1]. However, the production temperatures (5–15 °C) are low for North European cured hams and loins [9, 10]. In general, the processing, ripening and storage temperatures affect chemical reactions and enzymatic activities from intrinsic enzymes of the meat or added starter cultures, such as coagulase-negative staphylococci or micrococci. The demand for cured hams has increased and, therefore, accelerated processing conditions using not only higher ripening temperatures, but also starter cultures have become attractive to prevent spoilage and the growth of pathogenic bacteria and to control quality. Moreover, the application of starter cultures, such as *Staphylococcus carnosus* or *S. xylosus* strains, showed positive effects on the volatile profile (branched-chain amino acid catabolism, proteolytic and lipolytic activity) and the cured red color (nitrate reductase activity) as...
investigated in fermented sausages [11–13]. The volatile profiles of slowly matured dry-cured hams or fermented sausages from Spain, Italy or France have been studied extensively [14–16]. However, there is a lack of knowledge on the application and effects of starter cultures on North European cured hams and loins. Only research about surface starter cultures for example with Debaryomyces hansenii and Penicillium chrysogenum [17, 18] or with Lactobacillus spp. exists for cured pork loins [19]. The effect of an accelerated ripening and the addition of starter cultures can be investigated using sensory analysis as well as qualification and quantification of volatile compounds by chromatographic methods. A key step in the analysis of volatile compounds in cured loins is their isolation and enrichment prior to analysis, so a combination of a static headspace (HS) technique with an adsorption trap was used in the present study.

The aim of this study was to investigate the influence of low (5 °C), intermediate (15 °C) and high (25 °C) storage temperatures (post-ripening) on key volatiles of North European cured loins as a model muscle for other cured hams fermented with S. carnosus strain LTH 3838 during a ripening time of 12 weeks. We hypothesized that key volatile compounds and proteolytic activity increased as well as sensory quality by the addition of a proteolytic starter culture in combination with higher storage temperatures after manufacturing steps. Therefore, the key volatile compounds, proteolytic activity and sensory attributes were investigated. This study was conducted as a first step toward an industrial use of starter cultures to find the most suitable ripening and storage process for North European cured hams and loins inoculated with S. carnosus cultures by brine injection.

Table 1 Overview of the production and end product parameters (mean±standard deviation; total: mean value over all batches) of all cured loin batches cured either without a starter culture (control) or with S. carnosus LTH 3838 (starter): pH of raw meat (n = 18, except for 15 °C control and starter: n = 6), and injection weight of brine (%), n = 6, except for 15 °C control and starter: n = 3

| Batch | Temperature (°C) | Raw product (week 0) | Product at end of storage (week 15) |
|-------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
|       | pH raw meat | Injection weight (%) | pH cured loin | Weight loss (%) | Moisture content (%) | aw value |
| Control | 5 | 5.31 ± 0.04a | 9.79 ± 1.79a | 5.59 ± 0.07b* | 22.34 ± 1.91a | 63.6 ± 1.3a | 0.913 ± 0.006a |
| Starter | 5 | 5.38 ± 0.03bc | 10.32 ± 1.72a | 5.64 ± 0.04a* | 20.88 ± 1.37a | 61.1 ± 3.3ab | 0.915 ± 0.006a |
| Control | 15 | 5.42 ± 0.05bc | 8.50 ± 0.55a | 5.52 ± 0.07bc* | 22.68 ± 1.20a | 61.5 ± 0.9ab | 0.911 ± 0.006a |
| Starter | 15 | 5.37 ± 0.03a | 8.35 ± 1.65a | 5.47 ± 0.06a* | 22.68 ± 1.39a | 61.3 ± 2.5ab | 0.913 ± 0.004a |
| Control | 25 | 5.51 ± 0.06a | 9.23 ± 1.44a | 5.99 ± 0.25a* | 22.47 ± 1.36a | 61.0 ± 2.4ab | 0.907 ± 0.003a |
| Starter | 25 | 5.44 ± 0.04a | 9.44 ± 1.29a | 5.94 ± 0.07a* | 21.35 ± 0.77a | 60.3 ± 2.2b | 0.915 ± 0.006a |
| Total | 5.41 ± 0.08 | 9.44 ± 1.52 | – | 21.94 ± 1.45 | 61.0 ± 2.5 | 0.912 ± 0.007 |

End product parameters were investigated after 12 weeks of storage at three different temperatures: 5 °C, 15 °C and 25 °C (week 15): weight loss during drying (%; n = 6, except for 15 °C control and starter; n = 3; measured till week 3; Supplemental material 2), pH of the cured loins (n = 6), moisture content (%; n = 18, except for batch 15 °C control and starter; n = 9) and water activity (aw value; n = 6). Different letters indicate significant differences between batches within 1 week and * indicate significant differences of pH over time (confidence level α = 0.05).
The cold storage of vacuum-packed cured loins, which is typical in the food industry, was conducted at 5 °C. A storage temperature process for dry-cured hams [1]. Sampling was carried out at the start of processing (week 0) and the end of the storage (week 15). All analyses were repeated three times for each loin in duplicate.

**Determination of key volatiles**

The analysis of volatile compounds was performed by using a method with a headspace-trap gas chromatography system (Perkin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany) [23]; TurboMatrix 40 Trap Headspace sampler directly coupled to a Clarus® GC 580 with a flame ionization detector (fused silica capillary column Rtx®-200 (30 m, 0.32 mm internal diameter, 1 µm film thickness; Restek, Bellefonte, USA), 70 kPa column pressure, helium as carrier gas); software: Turbo Matrix (version 2.5.0) and TotalChrom Workstation (version 6.3.2). The following marker volatile compounds were investigated: 2-butanone, 3-methylbutanal, 2-pentanone, acetoin, hexanal, 1-octen-3-ol, benzaldehyde, nonanone, nonanal and acetonaphthene. On the sampling day, core samples of each loin were taken. The samples were frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen and immediately minced using a blender (Moulinette D56, Moulinex/Krups, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). They were vacuum packed and stored at −20 °C until analysis. For analysis, 1.5011 ± 0.0005 mg of cured loin was weighed directly into headspace vials (22 mL, Perkin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany), 1.49 mL saturated sodium chloride solution (≥ 99.9%; Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) and 10 µL internal standard solution (1.07 mg/mL eugenol (99.6%; Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) in ethanol (99.9%; VWR, Darmstadt, Germany) were added. After capping (20 mm crimp top aluminum silver caps with PTFE/silicone septa, Perkin Elmer, Rodgau, Germany), the vials were slightly mixed using a vortex. The settings for the HS-trap and GC used and the validation of the method were described in a prior method [23]. All chemicals (at highest purities) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Three replicates per sample were measured.
Proteolytic activity

A modified Lowry assay [24] was used to determine the tyrosine value as a parameter for proteolytic activity in the cured loins produced. Samples were cut out of the core of the cured loin slices at weeks 0, 3, 9 and 15 and stored vacuum packed at −20 °C until analysis. The analysis procedure followed the reported method [25]. All measurements were performed from duplicate and measured three times.

Sensory analysis

The sensory test was carried out at the beginning (week 3) and the end of storage (week 15) in the sensory laboratory room. A panel of 20 assessors (all experts in the field of meat science with training about sensory attributes of cured ham and loin) rated the overall aromatic odor and the overall aromatic taste of the three inoculated cured loins stored at different temperatures, in comparison to the corresponding control batch (same temperature) as a reference standard under color masking light. Therefore, cured loin slices with a thickness of approximately 2 mm (Bizera VS8A, Balingen, Germany) were prepared and core samples with a diameter

Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the cured loin production process
of approximately 25 mm were punched out. The samples were presented to the assessors \((n = 40)\) at ambient temperature. A scale from 0 (low intensity) to 6 (high intensity) was used. The reference standard was set to the middle of the scale (score 3). The test of the sensory panel was carried out in duplicate. Additionally, an olfactory analysis was carried out with a minimum of 15 assessors, selected and olfactory trained according to DIN 1096:1996-08 (Supplemental material 4). The following attributes were defined for the standards used for training with concentrations given in parentheses: grassy (hexanal: 0.08–0.83 µg/mL), buttery (acetoin: 1.0–100.0 µg/mL), almond-like (benzaldehyde: 0.10–10.44 µg/mL), flowery-sweet (acetophenone: 0.21–20.60 µg/mL) and cheesy-rancid (3-methylbutanal: 4.02–40.15 µg/mL). For this, 10 mL of the standard solution or a mixture was presented to the olfactory assessors at ambient temperature in coverable glasses. The cured loins were sliced (thickness, 5 mm) (Bizera VS8A, Balingen, Germany) and core samples with a diameter of approximately 16 mm were punched out and presented to the olfactory assessors at ambient temperature in coverable glasses.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted with Statgraphics® (Centurion XV, version 15.2.11, StatPoint Technologies, Inc.). The Shapiro–Wilks test, Levene’s test and Grubbs’ test were used to test statistical assumptions. Multiple-sample analysis (multiple ANOVA, multiple-range test with Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD), Pearson correlation coefficient) or nonparametric tests were used (Kruskal–Wallis test, Mann–Whitney (-Wilcoxon) test, Spearman rank correlation coefficient), because most of the data did not meet the assumption of normality or homoscedasticity [26]. A confidence level of \(\alpha = 0.05\) was used for all statistical calculations. A multiple regression analysis in backward selection \((\alpha = 0.1)\) was carried out using mean values of all volatile compounds and sensory scores of all inoculated cured loin samples to confirm volatile compounds with an influence on overall odor and overall taste.

Results and discussion

Technological and microbial characterization

The technological parameters such as pH, temperature and moisture content as well as microbial parameters influence the development of flavor [1]. The pH value of the fresh pork loin was between 5.25 and 5.61 (Table 1, week 0). All pH values increased significantly during cured loin production from week 0 to 15 from mean pH values of 5.41–5.73 (Table 1). A study, which investigated Black Forest hams inoculated with Staphylococcus equorum and Tetragenococcus halophilus strains, observed pH values in a range of 5.6–5.75 [27]. Significantly higher pH values were observed for the loins stored at 25 °C in comparison to a storage at 5 °C and 15 °C. Within the respective storage temperatures, no significant differences between control and starter batches were found. The production of cured loins included an injection process \((9.44 \pm 1.52\%, \text{w/w})\) to introduce the S. carnosus strains in the center of the pork loins, where no significant differences in the injection weights were determined (Table 1). Prior to the transfer of the cured loins to the different storage temperatures, a homogenous mean weight loss of approximately 22% (w/w) \((21.94 \pm 1.45\%, \text{w/w})\) was adjusted (Table 1). Consequently, the moisture content and the water activity showed no significant differences (Table 1). The mean \(a_w\) value of 0.912 is comparable to those of another study for German Black Forest hams [27] and for the inoculated pork loins after 28 days of aging [19]. The total viable and staphylococcal counts for the fresh pork loin were \(3.7 \pm 0.2 \log \text{cfu/g meat}\) and \(2.1 \pm 0.2 \log \text{cfu/g meat}\), respectively. The injection brine of the control batch contained \(3.4 \pm 0.1 \log \text{cfu/mL}\) and less than \(2.0 \log \text{cfu/mL}\) for total viable and staphylococcal count, respectively. The total viable counts, as well as the staphylococcal counts for the control loins, decreased during the first 2 weeks, while the loins were cured and dried at lower temperatures (Fig. 2). The total viable and staphylococcal count, respectively. On the other hand, the brine inoculated with S. carnosus LTH 3838 reached \(6.9 \pm 0.1 \log \text{cfu/mL}\) and \(6.0 \pm 0.2 \log \text{cfu/mL}\) for total viable and staphylococcal count, respectively. The positive effect of temperature on bacterial growth started for the control loins (Fig. 2). These microbial developments were in line with the findings for Black Forest hams [27]. The storage at 25 °C led to significantly higher total viable and staphylococcal counts for the control batch at the end of storage (Fig. 2). The positive effect of temperature on bacterial growth is well known [28, 29]. The total viable and staphylococcal counts of the inoculated cured loins decreased over the process about \(0.5–2 \log \text{cfu/g meat}\), respectively (Fig. 2). The decreasing tendency of the starter culture seems to indicate higher stress conditions for the inoculated cultures due to higher pH values, higher and homogenous salt content and low nutrient level [29], while the starter-inoculated loins stored at lower temperatures showed higher cell counts at the end of storage. Increased stress conditions showed that bacterial growth decreased with increasing salt concentration at high temperatures and high pH values [29].

Proteolytic activity

The proteolytic activity was measured as tyrosine level to observe the overall release of amino acids from proteins by proteolytic activity of meat intrinsic proteases such as
cathepsins and exopeptidases, as well as bacterial enzymes, which can serve as volatile precursors [30, 31]. The tyrosine values of fresh pork loin varied between batches (Fig. 3). The tyrosine content significantly increased for all batches due to the proteolytic activity of intrinsic and microbial enzymes [30, 31]. The tyrosine development is in line with the earlier described study [25], which investigated the tyrosine content in meat pieces treated with (1–2.3 mg tyrosine/g meat) or without a protease (0.8–1.2 mg tyrosine/g meat). The tyrosine content significantly increased with rising temperatures due to the higher enzyme activity at higher temperatures (Fig. 3) [30, 31]. Moreover, the increasing proteolytic activity led to an increase of pH due to the release of peptides and amino acids (Table 1) [1]. At the end of storage, the tyrosine content of the inoculated cured loins stored at 5 °C was significantly higher than that of the control. This can be linked to the results of the microbial study, where a higher total viable count was observed for the batch inoculated with the proteolytic S. carnosus strain (Fig. 2). In addition, for the strains Staphylococcus xylosus SS4 and Staphylococcus carnosus SC54, the highest extracellular proteinase activity was detected as well as substrate-specific aminopeptidase activity with L-methionine, L-leucine and L-phenylalanine as N-terminal residue [32]. These released peptides and amino acids are known to be involved in flavor formation and could contribute to odor and taste impression of cured meat products [32, 33]. An increase in the storage temperature to 15 °C or 25 °C led to comparable tyrosine and pH values between the starter and control batches (within the storage temperature).
Moreover, the multiple ANOVA showed significant effects for temperature ($p < 0.01$) and time ($p < 0.01$), but no significant effects for the usage of the starter culture. These results are in line with studies that showed that major proteolytic activity is provided by intrinsic proteases, but that microbial proteinases and aminopeptidases can lead to the release of peptides and amino acids as precursors for volatiles during ripening and storage [30, 32, 34, 35].

**Sensory analysis**

At the beginning of storage (week 3), the overall odor showed no significant differences between the batches as analyzed by the sensory panel. Starter-injected loins stored at 15 °C showed significantly less overall taste in comparison to the control (Table 2). At the end of storage (week 15), the starter-injected loins stored at 15 °C and 25 °C (significant) showed the highest values, 3.3 and 3.4, respectively (Table 2). The overall taste of the starter-inoculated loins increased for the storage temperatures of 15 °C and 25 °C to 3.4 and 3.3, respectively. The scores for the overall odor and taste increased for the loins with starters by using rising temperatures. These results are in accordance with the study about Black Forrest hams, which demonstrated a higher sensory acceptance of inoculated cured hams (with *S. equorum* and *T. halophilus* strains) in comparison to control hams without starter cultures [27]. The olfactory-trained panel investigated the grassy, buttery, almond-like, flowery/sweet and cheesy/rancid odor of the starter-inoculated loins in comparison to the control loins (Table 2; evaluated sensory attribute marked with superscript T). Significantly stronger cheesy/rancid, buttery and almond-like odors were observed in starter-injected loins at storage temperatures of 5 °C in comparison to the control at the start of storage (week 3) (Table 2). These observations are in line with the results from the microbial study and the pH measurements. At the end of storage, the grassy odor of the starter-inoculated loins stored at 15 °C significantly increased to a score of 3.5. The buttery odor decreased at lower temperatures, but significantly increased to 3.6 for starter-injected loins stored at 25 °C. The most important sensory attribute for the cured meat products studied was the cheesy/rancid odor, which significantly increased with rising storage temperature up to score 4.0 (Table 2). A multiple regression ($\alpha=0.1$) was performed to explain the effect of volatile compounds on the overall odor and taste, and multiple regression models were calculated with all measured key volatile compounds (Table 3). The regression models show the significant volatile compounds that affected the overall odor and taste (Eqs. 1 and 2). The fact that a numerical relationship between volatile compounds and sensory attributes does not automatically imply that the volatile compounds isolated from food have a sensory impact on the food has to be taken into account (Supplemental material 4). The threshold values as well as the interactions with the food matrix and the mixture of volatile compounds led to typical sensory impressions [7, 36, 37]. The calculated model for the overall odor ($p=0.1$) with coefficient of determination ($R^2$) of 95.87% was:

\[
\text{Overall odor} = 3.26 - 0.045 \times \text{acetophenone} - 0.009 \times \text{benzaldehyde} + 0.004 \times \text{2-butanone} + 0.014 \times 3\text{-bethylbutanal} - 0.001 \times 1\text{-Octen-3-ol}. \tag{1}
\]

The model for the overall taste ($p=0.1$) with $R^2$ of 81.08% was calculated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Overall taste} &= 2.8 + 0.3 \times \text{acetophenone} - 0.05 \times \text{benzaldehyde} + 0.02 \times 2\text{-butanone} + 0.01 \times 3\text{-bethylbutanal} - 0.001 \times 1\text{-Octen-3-ol} \\
&+ 0.004 \times 1\text{-Octen-3-ol} \\
&+ 0.014 \times 3\text{-bethylbutanal} - 0.001 \times 1\text{-Octen-3-ol}. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
\]

**Table 2 Results of the sensory evaluation (mean ± standard deviation) for the cured loin batches cured with *S. carnosus* LTH 3838 (starter) against the control batch as a reference sample (scored to 3) at the start (week 3) and the end of storage (week 15) for overall odor and overall taste conducted with a sensory panel (n=40), and grassy, buttery, almond-like, flowery/sweet and cheesy/rancid odor were evaluated with an olfactory-trained panel (n=29)**

| Sensory attribute                  | Start of storage (week 3) | End of storage (week 15) | Effects ($p$ value) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|                                  | Starter 5 °C | Starter 15 °C | Starter 25 °C | Time | Temp |
| Overall odor                       | 2.8 ± 1.1* | 3.2 ± 1.0* | 2.9 ± 1.1* | 2.9 ± 1.1* | 3.3 ± 1.1* | 3.4 ± 1.1* | 0.079 | 0.054 |
| Overall taste                      | 3.1 ± 1.1* | 2.5 ± 1.1* | 2.9 ± 1.1* | 3.0 ± 1.0* | 3.4 ± 1.2* | 3.3 ± 1.2* | 0.006 | 0.436 |
| Grassy odorT                       | 2.7 ± 1.2* | 2.8 ± 1.1* | 2.9 ± 1.2* | 3.3 ± 1.2* | 3.5 ± 1.3* | 2.8 ± 1.2* | 0.032 | 0.491 |
| Buttery odorT                      | 3.6 ± 1.2* | 3.4 ± 1.1* | 3.3 ± 1.4* | 3.2 ± 1.0* | 3.3 ± 1.0* | 3.6 ± 1.0* | 0.771 | 0.912 |
| Almond-like odorT                  | 3.4 ± 1.0* | 3.5 ± 0.9* | 3.1 ± 0.8* | 3.1 ± 0.6* | 2.9 ± 0.8* | 2.7 ± 1.0* | <0.001 | 0.071 |
| Flowery/sweet odorT                | 3.6 ± 1.5* | 3.4 ± 1.2* | 3.1 ± 1.3* | 3.2 ± 1.2* | 3.0 ± 1.2* | 3.2 ± 1.5* | 0.351 | 0.603 |
| Cheesy/rancid odorT                | 3.6 ± 1.3* | 3.0 ± 1.2* | 2.9 ± 1.4* | 3.4 ± 1.3* | 3.9 ± 1.0* | 4.0 ± 1.6* | 0.003 | 0.978 |

Different letters indicate significant differences between batches within the same week, * indicates significant differences over time within one sensory attribute and # indicates significant differences between reference score of 3 (confidence level $\alpha=0.05$). The statistical effects of time and temperature (temp.) are given as $p$ value (multiple ANOVA, confidence level $\alpha=0.05$).

T Sensory analysis was conducted with an olfactory-trained panel.
Table 3 Results of the volatile analysis (ng/g meat; mean ± standard deviation) of raw meat (n = 36); except for 2-butanone and 2-pentanone (n = 35), 3-methylbutanal acetoin and nonanone (n = 32), acetophenone (n = 33) and hexanal (n = 30) due to significant outliers and all cured loin batches cured either without starter culture (control) or with S. carnosus LTH 3838 (starter) at different storage temperatures of 5 °C, 15 °C and 25 °C over time (weeks) for marker volatile compounds (n = 6)

| Compound | Raw meat | Start of storage (week 3) | End of storage (week 15) | Effects |
|----------|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|          |          | 5 °C          | 15 °C         | 25 °C          | 5 °C    | 15 °C    | 25 °C    | Batch | Time | Temp |
| Alcohol  |          |              |              |              |         |         |         |        |      |      |
| 1-Octen-3-ol | 5.99 ± 5.07A | 2.82 ± 0.47Ab | 1.26 ± 0.51bB | < 0.92      | 2.28 ± 0.45Ab | < 0.92 | 4.74 ± 1.35Ab | 2.80 ± 0.61Ab | 8.54 ± 1.85Ab | 11.39 ± 6.81Ab | 79.85 ± 13.76eB | 0.006 < 0.001 < 0.001 |
| Aldehydes |          |              |              |              |         |         |         |        |      |      |
| Benzaldehyde | 34.36 ± 22.54A | 21.94 ± 1.49aA | 67.46 ± 9.88abA | 21.94 ± 1.49aA | 16.91 ± 3.62aA | 25.77 ± 3.88Ab | 5.31 ± 2.06aA | 109.41 ± 31.36bB | 19.40 ± 2.71aA | 14.19 ± 5.43cB | 63.72 ± 9.94bB | 37.01 ± 12.68dB | 0.003 0.008 0.001 |
| 3-Methylbutanal | 10.42 ± 5.94A | 27.85 ± 4.97aA | 47.24 ± 12.97bA | 18.65 ± 9.88abA | 18.35 ± 12.21bA | 14.89 ± 3.13abA | 4.57 ± 1.48aA | 81.86 ± 23.25aA | 35.72 ± 5.94cB | 32.20 ± 10.00bcB | 166.6 ± 63.17aB | 151.98 ± 8.51bB | 0.233 < 0.001 < 0.001 |
| Hexanal | 147.79 ± 145.06A | 62.31 ± 24.46aA | 11.34 ± 1.27bB | 87.44 ± 12.18abA | 18.35 ± 12.21aAB | 14.89 ± 3.13bB | 4.57 ± 1.48aA | 81.86 ± 23.25aB | 35.72 ± 5.94cB | 32.20 ± 10.00bcB | 166.6 ± 63.17aB | 151.98 ± 8.51bB | 0.233 < 0.001 < 0.001 |
| Nonanal | 13.64 ± 7.16A | 32.42 ± 1.91abA | 32.01 ± 2.98aB | 24.75 ± 12.12eB | 11.11 ± 3.07aB | 22.76 ± 3.78aB | 14.91 ± 2.70aA | 17.88 ± 6.50aA | 39.98 ± 5.74aA | 32.30 ± 5.44aB | 23.80 ± 4.52aA | 22.07 ± 3.61eB | 29.62 ± 5.32dB | 0.748 0.043 0.006 |
| Sum of aldehydes | 206.21 | 144.33 | 132.76 | 148.48 | 65.16 | 91.19 | 63.39 | 36.23 | 238.2 | 97.52 | 79.05 | 262.46 | 315.36 |         |
| Ketones  |          |              |              |              |         |         |         |        |      |      |
| 2-Butanone | 1187.07 ± 751.4A | 1291.2 ± 996.8aA | 1126.7 ± 777.4aA | 333.1 ± 158.6aB | 257.6 ± 42.7abB | 608.5 ± 168.5aA | 230.0 ± 58.0bB | 192.1 ± 55.2aA | 397.4 ± 49.4abB | 223.1 ± 77.8aA | 619.6 ± 160.2aA | 587.4 ± 0.29aB | 0.248 0.009 0.027 |
| 2-Pentanone | 6.88 ± 3.14A | 8.15 ± 1.15A | 4.38 ± 1.79cB | 5.73 ± 2.78aA | 3.21 ± 0.83B | 7.71 ± 1.72aB | 3.29 ± 0.60B | 5.39 ± 0.22B | 8.43 ± 1.01A | 6.35 ± 1.89cB | 16.63 ± 3.39cB | 11.66 ± 3.39cB | 6.46 ± 0.86cB | < 0.001 0.234 0.001 |
| Acetoin | 1928.2 ± 972.6A | 4085.8 ± 163.3A | 931.7 ± 211.8A | 2404.8 ± 1611.3A | 467.2 ± 336.2A | 4339.1 ± 1841.6A | 2404.8 ± 1611.3A | 599.7 ± 465.4A | 3625.7 ± 2322.9A | 1048.1 ± 1611.3A | 599.7 ± 465.4A | 3625.7 ± 2322.9A | 1048.1 ± 1611.3A | < 0.001 0.379 < 0.001 |
| Nonanone0.28 ± 0.03A | 0.63 ± 0.19Ab | 1.18 ± 0.22B | 0.47 ± 0.16Ab | <0.3 | 0.36 ± 0.09B | <0.3 | 2.19 ± 0.29B | 5.27 ± 2.51B | 100.21 ± 47.81aB | 21.08 ± 13.80A | 411.12 ± 5.90B | 21.08 ± 13.80A | 411.12 ± 5.90B | 0.639 < 0.001 < 0.001 |
| Acetophenone | 4.18 ± 1.62A | 26.55 ± 3.20aB | 23.74 ± 3.49cB | 22.18 ± 12.26aB | 6.00 ± 2.19A | 18.29 ± 2.53B | 8.70 ± 5.23A | 5.23 ± 2.30B | 10.95 ± 1.23A | 6.65 ± 3.70aA | 10.14 ± 2.44aA | 3.99 ± 0.63aA | 9.32 ± 6.60aB | 0.054 < 0.001 < 0.001 |
| Sum of ketones | 3126.49 | 5412.4 | 2087.76 | 2766.25 | 734.26 | 4973.98 | 1290.46 | 1416.12 | 2347.35 | 3325.01 | 856.41 | 4672.1 | 5697.22 |         |

Different letters indicate significant differences between batches within 1 week and capital letters indicate significant differences over time within one batch (confidence level α = 0.05). The statistical effects of batch, time and temperature (temp.) are given as p value (multiple ANOVA, confidence level α = 0.05)
Overall taste = 2.14 – 0.007 × 3-methylbutanal + 0.026 × nonanal + 0.010 × 1-octen-3-ol + 0.103 × 2-pentanone. (2)

The multiple regression showed that a mixture of volatile compounds produced the typical cured-ham odor and taste as described by other authors using gas chromatography–olfactometry [7, 38]. They conclude that the most important volatile compounds in terms of basic contribution to cured-ham odor and taste are 3-methylbutanal, hexanol, limonene, 3-methylbutanol, hexanal, 2-nonanone and benzaldehyde for Spanish dry-cured hams [38]. In addition, these cured-ham relevant volatiles were found as well in cured loins [4–6]. In the present study, four of these compounds were investigated, and 3-methylbutanal, nonanone and benzaldehyde can be found in the models described above (Eqs. 1 and 2). Nevertheless, the term ‘cured-ham flavor’ and the impression of odor and taste between a Spanish dry-cured ham and a cured pork loin can and will differ, but the identified key volatile compounds can be detected in both products and may be selected as typical for cured and/or salted meat products. Moreover, models for sensory attributes and volatile compounds of Iberian hams were calculated [7]. In this study, 3-methylbutanal was contributed to acorn odor, acorn flavor, rancid taste, rancid odor and fatty pungent flavor and benzaldehyde was present in the equation for acorn odor and nonanone in acorn flavor [7]. The substance 3-methylbutanal was present in all sensory attributes and had a great impact not only on Iberian ham, but also on North European cured loins.

**Volatile analysis**

During processing and storage, ketones were the dominant volatile group, followed by aldehydes. The major chemical classes, namely alcohols, aldehydes and ketones, of the volatile compounds studied were in agreement with a wide range of literature for dry-cured ham products of different origins [8, 12, 14, 15]. Nevertheless, for cured pork loins, different amounts of alcohols, acids, ketones and aldehydes as major groups were described, but all studies come to the conclusion that compounds from Maillard reaction and Strecker degradation of amino acids were more characteristic for cured pork loins than lipid oxidation products [4–6]. Moreover, it was pointed out that the activity of microorganisms and the addition of spices seem to highly affect the volatile profile of cured pork loins [4–6]. In general, alcohols have limited influence on the flavor profile of cured meat products due to their high threshold values [8, 14]. Compounds such as 1-octen-3-ol and 1-penten-3-ol, with mushroom-like and onion-like odors, can contribute to the flavor of cured ham and loin [4, 8]. In the current study, temperature, time and the inoculation of the starter culture affected the amount of 1-octen-3-ol (Table 3). At the start of storage (week 3), the content of 1-octen-3-ol was higher for the control samples than for the starter batch. During storage, the content of this compound increased for the starter batch up to 4.74 ng/g meat, 8.54 ng/g meat and 79.85 ng/g meat for storage temperatures of 5 °C, 15 °C and 25 °C, respectively (Table 3). The addition of *S. carnosus*, as well as higher temperatures, increased the 1-octen-3-ol content in the cured loins. The linear alcohol 1-octen-3-ol, which was also found in Spanish cured loins, is produced by beta scission pathway or lipoxygenases and both processes are influenced by temperature and/or intrinsic and microbial lipolytic activity [4, 5, 39, 40]. In addition, 1-octen-3-ol was only found in inoculated meat samples and seemed to derive from microbial metabolism [41].

**Aldehydes.** Low odor thresholds characterize the group of aldehydes, and many of these compounds were identified as key volatile compounds in cured-ham and cured loin [4–6, 8, 14]. The branched-chain aldehyde 3-methylbutanal, the aromatic benzaldehyde and the linear aldehydes hexanal and nonanal were detected in concentrations of 10.4 ng/g meat, 34.4 ng/g meat 147 ng/g meat and 13.6 ng/g meat, respectively (Table 3). The parameters of temperature, time and starter culture influenced the amount of these compounds, except for hexanal. For all temperatures, 3-methylbutanal and benzaldehyde reached their highest content at the end of storage (except for control at 5 °C), as different authors observed during longer ripening times in cured hams and loins [5, 7, 42]. In addition, benzene acetaldehyde, 3-methylbutanal and benzaldehyde were also studied as key products of amino acid catabolism of microorganisms or Strecker degradation in Spanish cured loins [4, 6]. At a storage temperature of 5 °C, benzaldehyde and 3-methylbutanal significantly decreased in the control batches, whereas these two compounds significantly increased in the starter-injected loins (Table 3). With rising storage temperatures (15 °C and 25 °C), the significant effect of the starter culture was not observed. The branched (3-methylbutanal) and aromatic aldehydes (benzaldehyde) are degradation products of the amino acids valine, isoleucine, leucine and phenylalanine [43] and were increased by the inoculation of coagulase-negative staphylococci in raw fermented sausages [33, 44, 45]. For *Staphylococcus xylosus*, 3-methylbutanal was produced from α-ketoisocapric acid [11]. At higher temperatures, the activity of intrinsic muscle enzymes, as well as microbial enzymes of autochthonous microbiota or inoculated bacteria, rose, so more precursors for volatile compounds were available. These findings are supported by significant correlations between tyrosine and 3-methylbutanal content in all starter-inoculated loins (5 °C, 15 °C and 25 °C; *p* < 0.05) and in control loins at 15 °C and 25 °C (*p* < 0.05). In addition, a significant correlation between tyrosine and benzaldehyde for starter-injected loins stored at 5 °C and 15 °C (*p* < 0.05) and control loins (5 °C and 25 °C;
released the increased activity of staphylococcal aminopeptidase that released l-methionine, l-leucine and l-phenylalanine residues that can further be degraded to their respective amino acids and converted to their branched-chain aldehydes (e.g., 3-methylbutanal) and acids (e.g., 3-methylbutanoic acid) that are involved in flavor perception [11, 32, 43]. Moreover, the proteolytic activity of the S. carnosus LTH 3838 strain at storage temperature of 5 °C was higher than that of the autochthonous microbiota and/or intrinsic enzymes of the control loins. Thus, the starter culture contributed to a higher volatile content, which can be underlined with the high sensory rating for cheesy/rancid odor (Table 2). The contribution of staphylococci to the odor and taste of cured meat products has been the focus of many studies, and it is known that these microorganisms are involved in the production of branched-chain aldehydes through α-ketoisocaproic acid [11, 12]. The straight chain aldehydes hexanal and nonanal originate from chemical fatty acid degradation [42] and only low amounts of nonanal were detected in the raw material (Table 3). The multiple ANOVA (α = 0.05) showed significant effects for time and temperature for nonanal. The starter-injected loins contained lower amounts of hexanal and nonanal than the control at the start of storage, except for the treatment at 5 °C for nonanal and 25 °C for hexanal. The nonanal content for all starter-inoculated loins significantly increased during storage, whereas the control batches stayed constant (exception: control of 5 °C decreased). Increasing temperature and nitrate addition increased the content of butanal, pentanal, hexanal and decanal in fermented sausages [45]. However, other researchers observed no influence of the ripening time on the several saturated (pentanal, hexanal, nonanal) and unsaturated aldehydes (2-octenal, 2,4-decadienal) in Spanish cured loins [5].

**Ketones** The major volatile compounds within the ketones were acetoin and 2-butanone and this result is in line with studies of Spanish cured loins [4–6]. In general, methyl ketones are produced by fatty acid β-oxidation [46] and some authors demonstrated that staphylococci can influence the methyl ketone level [33, 47]. In addition, the ketone acetoin is produced by carbohydrate catabolism [33, 46]. In the raw meat, these compounds were highly concentrated, with 1928 ng/g meat and 1187 ng/g meat, respectively. At the start of storage, lower concentrations of acetoin and 2-butanone were observed for the starter-inoculated loins than for the control (Table 3). The contents of acetoin and 2-butanone increased with rising temperature. The levels of 2-butanone for starter-injected loins were found lower at 15 °C and 25 °C than the control at the end of storage (Table 3). Moreover, the storage at 5 °C significantly decreased the content of 2-butanone from 1291 ng/g and 1126 ng/g meat to 77 ng/g meat and 192 ng/g meat for control and starter-inoculated loins, respectively. Another methyl ketone investigated was 2-pentanone, which is associated with a sweet/fruity odor [33] and was detected with low peak areas in studies of Spanish cured loins [4, 5]. It was found in the raw material with 6.9 ng/g meat (Table 3). A storage at 5 °C significantly decreased the 2-pentanone concentration for the control loins. With higher storage temperatures (15 °C and 25 °C), the 2-pentanone content significantly increased for the control and starter-inoculated loins, but the starter batches showed lower concentrations of 2-pentanone, so batch and temperature influenced the 2-pentanone content in cured loins. Nonanone was found in low amounts (0.36 ng/g to 1.18 ng/g meat) or was under the detection limit in some cured loins at the start of storage (Table 3). The storage process significantly increased the nonanone content for all batches. A storage temperature of 5 °C resulted in significantly higher amounts of nonanone in the starter-inoculated loins but, at 15 °C, the nonanone content of the control was significantly higher than that of the starter batch. At 25 °C, the nonanone content showed no significant differences between the control and starter-injected loins. The nonanone content in Spanish cured loins stayed constant over a ripening time of 55 days [5]. Acetophenone is a ketone generated by phenylalanine degradation of staphylococci [28]. During processing, the acetophenone content increased for all batches in comparison to the raw material (Table 3). At the beginning of storage, the loins inoculated with S. carnosus contained lower amounts of acetophenone than the control loins. During storage, the acetophenone content significantly decreased for all control loins to a range of 4–6.6 ng/g meat (Table 3), whereas at a storage temperature of 5 °C a significant decrease of acetophenone content for the starter-inoculated loins to 10.95 ng/g meat was observed and starter-injected loins at higher storage temperature (15 °C and 25 °C) stayed at the initial level. Acetophenone is generated by phenylalanine as well as benzaldehyde; maybe there is a precursor competition for phenylalanine between those volatiles and/or a preferred phenylalanine reaction of staphylococci. To prove this assumption, more studies need to be carried out. Time and temperature had a significant effect on the acetophenone content in cured loins. The volatile acetophenone was detected in fermented sausages inoculated with Staphylococcus carnosus and S. xylosus [44, 48], but it is the first time that acetophenone was detected in cured pork loin inoculated with S. carnosus. The storage process at 5 °C and the addition of the S. carnosus strain increased the alcohol by a factor about 2, the sum of aldehydes by about 6.5 and the sum of ketones by about 1.7 in comparison to the cured loins without a starter culture.

In conclusion, the North European cured loins showed different key volatile characteristics at the storage temperatures investigated. The content of the aldehydes 3-methylbutanal and benzaldehyde, as well as the alcohol 1-octen-3-ol, increased with rising temperatures. The results give a first
impression of the complexity of the interaction of processing factors, microbiota and flavor generation.
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