THE DIFFERENCE IS
TAKEING THE WORK OUT OF WORKFLOW FOR 45 YEARS AND COUNTING

BD FACSLYRIC™ FLOW CYTOMETER INTEGRATED WITH THE BD FACSDUET™ SAMPLE PREPARATION SYSTEM PROVIDES A POWERFUL NEW LEVEL OF PERFORMANCE. The BD FACSDuet™ Sample Preparation System combines automation, simplicity and consistency. Physically integrated with the BD FACSLyric™ Flow Cytometer, this complete walkaway sample-to-answer solution is helping to drive your laboratory to higher productivity. Discover the difference.

Learn more at bd.com/facsduet
Clinical and neuroimaging findings in MOGAD–MRI and OCT

Frederik Bartels\textsuperscript{1,2} | Angelo Lu\textsuperscript{3,4} | Frederike Cosima Oertel\textsuperscript{3,4} | Carsten Finke\textsuperscript{1,2} | Friedemann Paul\textsuperscript{1,3,4} | Claudia Chien\textsuperscript{3,4,5}

\textsuperscript{1}Department of Neurology, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Berlin School of Mind and Brain, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin and Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
\textsuperscript{3}Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin and Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Experimental and Clinical Research Center, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
\textsuperscript{4}NeuroCure Clinical Research Center, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany
\textsuperscript{5}Department for Psychiatry and Neurosciences, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disorders (MOGAD) are rare in both children and adults, and have been recently suggested to be an autoimmune neuroinflammatory group of disorders that are different from aquaporin-4 autoantibody-associated neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder and from classic multiple sclerosis. In-vivo imaging of the MOGAD patient central nervous system has shown some distinguishing features when evaluating magnetic resonance imaging of the brain, spinal cord and optic nerves, as well as retinal imaging using optical coherence tomography. In this review, we discuss key clinical and neuroimaging characteristics of paediatric and adult MOGAD. We describe how these imaging techniques may be used to study this group of disorders and discuss how image analysis methods have led to recent insights for consideration in future studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) immunoglobulin (Ig)G antibody-associated disorders (MOGAD) describe a new entity of demyelinating neurological syndromes defined by the presence of serum IgG autoantibodies against MOG detected by cell-based assays [1–3]. MOGAD occur in both children and adults and comprise a heterogeneous disease spectrum [4,5]. Clinical presentation can include monophasic or recurrent episodes of optic neuritis (ON), myelitis, brain stem syndromes, acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) and symptoms of encephalitis such as seizures [6,7]. MOGAD are rare, with an incidence of 1.1–2.4 per million people [8] and are more frequent in children compared with adults, as reported in a recent Dutch cohort with an incidence of 3.1 per million in children [9].

A direct pathophysiological effect of the MOG-IgG in the central nervous system (CNS) has yet to be elucidated [2]. It remains unclear whether MOG-IgG has a direct pathogenic role or whether it is a biomarker reflecting an immunological response from disrupted myelin in the MOG-IgG-associated demyelinating disease spectrum. Increasing clinical and pathological evidence now strongly indicates that MOGAD represent a distinct disease entity different from other neuroinflammatory and demyelinating diseases, such as multiple sclerosis (MS) or aquaporin-4 (AQP4) IgG-positive neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) [10–15]. These conditions apparently exhibit differential responses to immunotherapies, underscoring the necessity for accurate and timely diagnostic procedures during which neuroimaging plays a paramount role [16–21]. Due to the widespread nervous system affection in MOGAD, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and optical coherence tomography (OCT) are important imaging tools in gaining more knowledge concerning the disease and for the monitoring of patients with this rare set of disorders [22,23]. This review article will give an overview of the clinical, radiological and advanced imaging aspects which are currently of high interest for the MOGAD clinical research community.

MOGAD CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS

The clinical phenotype of MOGAD is broad, and includes uni- and bilateral anterior ON, long and short transverse myelitis (TM), ADEM, brain stem encephalitis and cortical encephalitis with or without seizures [2]. In addition, combinations of these syndromes can occur, e.g. as NMOSD-like phenotype presenting with ON and TM [12]. Importantly, the clinical phenotype strongly depends upon age, with a more ADEM-like phenotype in children and a more optico-spinal phenotype in adolescents and adults [2]. In paediatric patients, the following four phenotypes account for 90% of MOGAD cases: 46% presenting with ADEM, 30% with ON, 11% with TM and 4% with a NMOSD-like phenotype (ON + TM) [24]. Relapses in both children and adults have been described in 40–80% of patients, especially in the form of ON [6,25–27].

Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis

MOG-IgG serum antibodies were first identified in a subset of children with ADEM [28,29]. Children with ADEM represent the most common phenotype among all MOGAD patients, and account for almost 50% of paediatric MOGAD patients [2,24]. Clinical presentation of ADEM includes polyfocal neurological deficits and encephalopathy (i.e. behavioural changes or altered consciousness) not explainable by fever [30]. It has recently been shown that up to 50% of all children with ADEM are seropositive for MOG-IgG [31]. In these patients, MOG-IgG seroprevalence is associated with a higher risk for longitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM), but with resolution of brain lesions and a better outcome compared to MOG-IgG-negative ADEM patients [32]. Relapses can occur with further episode(s) of ADEM as multi-phasic ADEM (MDEM), with ON (ADEM-ON) or with transverse myelitis (ADEM-TM) [33]. However, up to 75% of MOG-IgG-seropositive ADEM patients become seronegative within months, which highly correlates with a monophasic disease course [34]. In contrast, persistent seroprevalence of MOG-IgG is strongly associated with an increased risk for relapsing disease [35,36].

Optic neuritis (ON)

ON is the most common clinical presentation of MOGAD in adults, comprising more than 50% of MOGAD phenotypes at onset, as shown by three large national studies from the United Kingdom, France and Sri Lanka [6,25,37]. Clinical symptoms of ON include blurred vision and reduced visual acuity or visual loss as well as eye pain, especially retrobulbar pain with eye movement [38]. ON in MOGAD is often bilateral, either concurrently or sequentially [39,40]. Up to 25% of patients present with bilateral ON at disease onset [41]. Bilateral ON represents an important clinical presentation that can help to differentiate MOGAD-ON from ON in multiple sclerosis (MS-ON). Meanwhile, the incidence of bilateral ON is less differential when evaluating its presence in MOGAD versus AQP4-IgG-positive NMOSD [42]. Differences include a more anterior affection of the optic nerve in MOGAD with optic nerve head swelling and retrobulbar involvement.
Myelitis

Myelitis is the second most common clinical presentation in adult MOGAD patients as it accounts for 20% of disease-related attacks, but is less common in children [9,25,37]. LETM, defined as a spinal cord lesion spanning three or more vertebral segments in length, is a characteristic finding in MOGAD [43]. Typical symptoms include motor and/or sensory deficits (numbness), bladder, bowel and/or erectile dysfunction [43]. Neuropathic pain has been implicated in NMOSD to be related to the level(s) at which spinal cord lesion(s) are located, which could also be the case in MOGAD patients, as 86% of MOGAD patients in one study reportedly suffered from chronic pain [44–47]. Clinical differences distinguishing myelitis in MOGAD versus MS or AQP4-NMOSD include: a higher skew towards males, higher frequency of bladder and erectile dysfunction, younger age, prodromal infection and concurrent ADEM. Short myelitis (lesions spanning fewer than three vertebral segments) can also occur, and is found in up to 38% of MOGAD cases [48,49]. Sphincter involvement has also been found to be more prevalent in MOGAD patients with LETM compared to those with short myelitis (80 versus 50% [49].

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD)

A combination of ON and/or myelitis is the classical clinical phenotype of NMOSD. Neuromyelitis optica (NMO) was traditionally characterized by recurrent uni- or bilateral ON and TM and was later expanded to a broader spectrum with restricted or extended forms, including brain stem syndromes, referred to NMOSD [12,50,51]. Approximately one-third of AQP4-IgG-negative NMOSD patients harbour IgG serum autoantibodies against MOG [12,52]. As the presenting phenotype in MOGAD, NMOSD occurs in 5–20% of patients [6,25,53]. Therefore, in patients with an opticospinal phenotype, MOGAD represents an important differential diagnosis to AQP4-NMOSD, especially as the combination of myelitis with ON seems to be more common in MOGAD compared to AQP4-NMOSD [12,53,54]: up to 10% of MOGAD patients present with simultaneous ON and TM compared to only 4% in AQP4-NMOSD [12]. It should be noted that a recent large study by Tajfirouz et al. found that involvement of the optic chiasm was more frequent in both AQP4-NMOSD (20%) and MOGAD (16%) than have been thought previously, although MOGAD chiasmal involvement is more probably associated with a longitudinally extensive optic nerve lesion [55]. Similar to AQP4-NMOSD, MOGAD can also present with brain stem symptoms, including intractable nausea, vomiting and hiccups, described as area postrema syndrome [56]. However, this syndrome is rare (2–5%) in MOGAD [12,57].

Encephalitis

Epileptic seizures were repeatedly described in a subgroup of MOGAD patients and are more common than in AQP4-IgG-seropositive NMOSD [58–60], occurring in 20% of all adult and paediatric MOGAD patients [61].

Encephalitis with and without seizures is now becoming increasingly recognized as an important clinical phenotype of MOGAD [61]. Patients present with neuropsychiatric symptoms, behavioural changes, seizures and memory or speech problems [62]. Recently, encephalitis with MOG-IgG has been described as the most common type of autoimmune encephalitis in children, accounting for 34% of all children presenting with encephalitis other than ADEM [63].

Other rare types of clinical presentation

Another rare presentation of MOGAD is found in children who show similar symptoms of ADEM with a progressive disease course [64]. The clinical course and symmetrical confluent cerebral MRI changes resemble that of children with leukodystrophy, leading to its description as a ‘leukodystrophy-like phenotype’. Recently, overlapping central and peripheral nervous system syndromes have been described as potential additional MOGAD phenotypes, including cranial nerve involvement, myeloradiculitis, inflammatory neuropathies and combined central and peripheral demyelination syndromes [65–69].

MRI IN MOGAD

MRI abnormalities in MOGAD can be detected in the brain, the optic nerve and/or the spinal cord, depending upon the clinically affected anatomical region of the nervous system [70]. MOGAD patients are often scanned after a first presentation of ON, LETM and/or other clinical symptoms; thus, most imaging findings are cross-sectional and follow-up imaging data is scant. On cerebral MRI, findings in children mainly reflect signs of ADEM with diffuse, widespread white matter T2 lesions, while in adults cerebral MRI is either normal or shows brain stem or cortical lesions [2]. Acute ON can lead to swelling of the optic nerve and to severe retinal neurodegeneration over time [71–74]. Typical MRI findings of ON in MOGAD are
TABLE 1  Comparisons of MRI findings in adult versus paediatric MOGAD patients

| MRI finding                  | Adult MOGAD | Paediatric MOGAD |
|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Brain                        |             |                  |
| Typical ADEM-like lesionsa   | (−)         | 4b               |
| Brain stem lesions           | 4c          | (+)              |
| Cortical lesions             | +           | (+)              |
| Optic nerve                  |             |                  |
| Extensive optic neuritisd    | +           | +                |
| Optic perineuritisë          | (+)         | (−)              |
| Spinal cord                  |             |                  |
| LETMf                        | +           | +                |
| Short myelitis               | +           | +                |

aAcute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM): widespread supra- and infratentorial, asymmetrical diffuse white matter T2-hyperintensive lesions.
bIn 40–50% of paediatric MOGAD cases.
cIn up to 30% of MOGAD patients.
dExtensive (uni-/bilateral) anterior T2 hyperintense optic nerve lesions, nerve swelling and gadolinium enhancement.
ePerineural or periorbital gadolinium enhancement in the orbital soft tissue.
fLongitudinally extensive transverse myelitis (LETM): spinal cord lesion spanning three or more vertebral segments in length.

long lesions in the anterior part of the optic nerve with periorbital enhancement and often bilateral affection [42]. Spinal cord lesions in MOGAD can be visualized using MRI typically showing LETM affecting mainly the grey matter, as seen as an ‘H-sign’ on the axial plane [43]. Important differential disease diagnoses via MRI findings in MOGAD include its distinction from MS and AQP4-IgG seropositive NMOSD [75,76]. The following sections describe common radiological presentations found in both adult and paediatric MOGAD (Table 1), as well as advanced MRI techniques with the potential to further evaluate CNS changes in these disorders. Table 1 indicates the likelihood of observing these radiological features, where positive (greater) and negative (lesser) symbols denote comparative prevalence between the adults and paediatric patients. Brackets around the positive and negative symbols denote rare observations.

**Radiological presentation on clinically routine MRI**

**Cerebral MRI**

Cerebral MRI changes in MOGAD are highly dependent upon age. In children, typical MRI findings of ADEM are found in 40–50% of MOGAD cases [7]. These include widespread supra- and infratentorial, asymmetrical diffuse white matter T2 hyperintensive lesions [32,77]. In a small cohort, additional bilateralthalamic lesions were found in more than 80% of paediatric MOG-IgG-positive compared to only 10% of MOG-IgG-negative ADEM patients [78]. In adults, brain MRI lesions are typically few and either found infratentorially or presenting as cortical lesions [79,80]; however, there have been observations of large, confluent T2 hyperintense lesions in the white matter similar to ADEM [11].

Brain stem lesions can be found in up to 30% of adult MOGAD patients [6,81]. These lesions are typically poorly demarcated, located in the pons around the fourth ventricle or the cerebellar peduncles, and resolving over time [79]. Isolated brain or brain stem lesions in adults are rare (approximately 5%). However, brain lesions are found in 45% of initial cerebral MRI scans in adult MOGAD patients, mainly in combination with optico-spinal lesions [6]. One patient presented with an initial MRI pattern typical of chronic lymphocytic inflammation with pontine perivascular enhancement responsive to steroids (CLIPPERS) and then subsequently developed LETM leading to a diagnosis of MOGAD [82].

Isolated T2 hyperintense cortical lesions visible on fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequences in both adult and paediatric patients with seizures were identified and referred to as FLAMES: FLAIR-hyperintense lesions in anti-MOG-associated encephalitis with seizures [80,83]. In these patients, cerebral MRI reveal unilateral or bilateral cortical T2 hyperintense lesions, but can also include deep grey matter, white matter and brain stem lesions [58,60,84]. In paediatric MOG-associated autoimmune encephalitis, cerebral MRI findings include extensive cortical and/or subcortical grey matter involvement without the typical white matter lesions seen in ADEM [4,63]. Importantly, cerebral MRI in these children was normal in only 9% of the cohort, which is comparatively lower than other types of autoimmune encephalitides such as anti-N-methyl-D-aspartic acid or N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-receptor encephalitis, where MRI can be normal in 50% of the patients [85]. In young children presenting with the rare leukodystrophy-like MOGAD phenotype, cerebral MRI shows extensive confluent symmetrical white matter lesions with progression over time [64].

As MOGAD represents an important differential diagnosis from MS and AQP4-NMOSD, several studies have assessed potential differences using radiological features on MRI. A distinct pattern of MRI lesions defined by the so-called Matthews–Jurynczyk criterion can help to differentiate MOG-NMOSD versus MS. This criterion strongly favours MS over MOGAD, when: (i) ≥1 lesion(s) adjacent to a lateral ventricle and in the inferior temporal lobe, (ii) subcortical U-fibre lesions and (iii) Dawson’s finger-type
lesions are present [79,86,87]. However, these studies did not report criteria to help to discriminate between MOGAD and AQP4-NMOSD patients [88]. Both MOGAD and AQP4-NMOSD patients can present with lesions in the brain stem [79,87], while cortical and juxtacortical lesions are more frequently found in MOGAD versus AQP4-NMOSD patients (57 versus 0%). Meanwhile, the area postrema syndrome that often affects AQP4-NMOSD patients with its corresponding MRI lesions (50%) does not seem to be a characteristic feature in MOGAD (7%) (Figure 1) [57].

MRI findings in ON can include T2 hyperintense lesions, nerve swelling and gadolinium enhancement of the affected optic nerve on T1-weighted imaging. In MOG-ON, optic nerve lesions are usually extensive, also termed longitudinally extensive ON (LEON), affecting more than half of the pre-chiasmatic optic nerve length [42,89,90]. MOG-ON also predominantly affects the anterior part of the optic nerve. This can help with differentiating MOG-ON from AQP4-ON, which is also often extensive, but predominantly affecting the posterior part of the optic pathway (including the optic chiasm) [42,91–93]. Although MS-ON typically involves shorter segments of the optic nerve compared to both MOG-ON and AQP4-ON, bilateral ON, with bilateral radiological optic nerve involvement, is found in more than 80% of MOG-ON and

**FIGURE 1** Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in paediatric myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-associated disorders (MOGAD). (a,b) Axial T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI sequences of a 3-year-old female MOG-immunoglobulin (Ig)G-positive acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) patient showing bilateral white matter and deep grey matter thalamic lesions. (c) Axial T2-weighted MRI sequence of a 12-year-old female patient with MOG-immunoglobulin (Ig)G-positive ADEM and bilateral optic neuritis (ON) showing optic nerve swelling and hyperintensity.
AQP4-ON patients compared to only 20% in MS-ON [41]. Additionally, in paediatric patients, bilateral ON has been associated with higher MOG-IgG titres [39,42]. Another characteristic feature described in MOG-ON is perineuritis with perineural or periorbital gadolinium enhancement in the orbital soft tissue that is not typically found in MS-ON (Figure 2) [53,89,90,94–96].

Spinal cord MRI

Typical spinal cord MRI changes in both children and adult MOGAD patients are TM, often in the form of LETM, but also as short myelitis [12,48]. LETM is found in more than 70% of MOGAD patients with spinal cord involvement, mainly affecting the cervical and/or thoracic cord [43,49,53,77]. LETM is also a main radiological feature in AQP4-NMOSD [48]. Conus involvement and multiple spinal cord lesions have been more frequently observed in MOG-TM (40%) than in AQP4-TM (15%) together with multiple lesions observed 60% of the time in MOGAD [43,97,98]. Short myelitis, which is typical of MS, can similarly be found in MOG-TM (up to 50% of cases); however, it is less frequently observed (~15%) in AQP4-TM patients [27,43,49,99,100]. MOG-TM may present in spinal cord MRI as a hyperintense ‘H-sign’ observed in the axial orientation, while imaged as a longitudinal thin vertical line in the T2-weighted sagittal plane image. This suggests a predominant affection of the spinal cord grey matter, as opposed to AQP4-TM, which may not be as centrally located in the cord [43,101,102]. Gadolinium contrast-enhancement of spinal cord lesions is detected in only 25% of MOG-TM cases compared to lesions in MS (75%) or AQP4-TM (80%) [43]. Of note, spinal cord MRI can initially be normal in up to 10% of MOGAD patients with myelitis attacks (Figure 3) [103].
Structural and functional MRI analysis techniques

Structural and functional MRI analysis techniques include brain and spinal cord volumetric analyses, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and resting-state functional MRI. These techniques are usually not applied as part of the clinical routine work-up in MOGAD patients, and quantitative volumetric and/or microstructural grey and white matter analyses using advanced MRI techniques are few. Recent studies, however, have identified specific changes in MOGAD patients that are potential new imaging biomarkers and tools for a clearer understanding of MOGAD disease pathology [23,104–106].

Although brain lesion distributions have been found to differ between MOGAD and AQP4-NMOSD patients, brain MRI volumetry did not show any differences in MOGAD patients compared to healthy controls in whole brain, deep grey matter or white matter volumes [104,107]. However, there are conflicting results as to whether localized reductions in the volume of several grey matter structures exist [104,108]. In children with ADEM, reduced brain volume and failure of age-expected brain growth was found for both MOG-IgG-seropositive and -seronegative patients (Bartels et al., submitted), similar to findings in paediatric anti-NMDA-receptor encephalitis and paediatric-onset MS [7,85,109].

Spinal cord MRI analysis could identify spinal cord atrophy in patients with MOGAD compared to healthy subjects, which was found to associate with increased counts of historical myelitis attacks. However, cord lesion frequency and atrophy was found to be less frequent compared to AQP4-TM [43,105], which is in line with clinical observations that MOGAD patients often recover their motor functions more completely than AQP4-TM patients [25]. In MOG-myelitis patients, another study showed that the grey matter volume in the spinal cord was reduced during the acute phase of the attack [106], thus indicating that affection of grey matter might be a more common occurrence than previously thought. This also supports previous findings of long-term damage to cerebral grey matter.

Meanwhile, evaluating CNS changes using graph theory and network statistical methods for elucidating clinical attack-related damage in NMOSD patients has also shown promise. Both cortical topological network changes and...
deep grey matter volume changes have been detected in AQP4-NMOSD patients following ON attacks and in patients with a simultaneous combination of clinical attacks [108,110]. These findings suggest that there may be non-localized damage or affection in NMOSD, which could also be the case in MOGAD, and be of interest in cognitive impairment studies in these patients.

Using DTI, one study found decreased white matter integrity in adult MOGAD patients compared to healthy controls: specifically, reduced parallel diffusivity within whole-brain white matter tracts [104]. Parallel or axial diffusivity characterizes diffusion along the long axis of the axonal tract; thus, a reduction in this measure may be associated with various mechanisms of axonal damage or injury, commonly thought to occur via Wallerian degeneration [111].

As demyelination represents a pathological hallmark in MOGAD, in-vivo imaging of myelin integrity could represent a promising technique to further identify disease mechanisms and disease courses in MOGAD [112]. Further studies investigating white matter abnormalities may utilize quantitative techniques, such as T1-weighted/ T2-weighted intensity ratio, multi-parameter mapping and magnetization transfer MRI analysis. These advanced imaging analysis methods could help in the identification of more subtle MRI changes in MOGAD patients in the future [113–115]. Recently, the underlying pathophysiology of neuroinflammation has been evaluated using quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) MRI in MS. QSM is a technique that allows for the quantification of magnetic susceptibility differences in a spatial manner to measure different para- and diamagnetic atoms (such as iron atoms) within tissue [116]. In a relatively large MS cohort, it was found using QSM that depletions of myelin and iron concentration were associated with thalamic atrophy and disability [117], indicating that iron concentration in the brain is a possible biomarker in neurodegeneration related to myelin damage. Another method of evaluating molecular changes in the brain is by using hydrogen-1-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS), which measures hydrogen proton concentrations attached to specific metabolites such as glutamate, choline and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Some small studies have found conflicting results in MS patients, however, due to the complex analysis methods and confound corrections required for robust results [118]. Both QSM and MRS are relatively well established in many 1.5–3 Tesla MRI facilities, and could be imaging analyses of interest for clinical immunologists and MOGAD researchers in the future.

Proton emission tomography (PET)-MRI research has allowed for more in-vivo molecular imaging, where radionuclide tracers can be used to calculate myelin kinetics, concentrations of neuroinflammatory molecules and help to investigate pathophysiology [119]. PET tracers rely upon the radiolabelling of antibodies or chemical chelates that bind to specific targets of interest [120]. However, to detect the radioactive decay signals, X-ray computed tomography (CT) imaging is traditionally applied to first create an image for quantification of the signal [121], and currently very few PET-MRI systems are available globally. Often, PET research is conducted using both a CT and MRI [122]. Thus, there are still some hurdles in applying this imaging method in a clinical setting, especially in rare diseases such as MOGAD.

Resting-state functional MRI connectivity allows for the study of functional connectivity alterations, such as in the visual or sensorimotor networks of the brain [123–125]. Recently, it was found that altered interhemispheric function in patients with MOG-ON can be observed compared to healthy controls using resting-state functional MRI. These preliminary findings warrant further investigation into patient sensorimotor functions after an ON attack [126].

OCT IN MOGAD

The quantitative and qualitative assessment of the retinal changes over time can be performed in close-to-cellular resolution using spectral domain optical coherence tomography (OCT) [23,72,127]. Improvement of OCT techniques in the past decade has allowed the retina to be examined in greater detail. The unprecedented resolution of down to 3.9 µm enables measurement of retinal ganglion cell loss, evaluated by the volumes of the combined macular ganglion cell layer and inner plexiform layers (mGCIPL) and their axons, as measured by the thickness of the peripapillary retinal nerve fibre layer (pRNFL). These OCT metrics have been shown to correlate well with visual function and the damage that occurs in NMOSD and MS patients [128,129]. Thus, OCT is a valuable tool for monitoring many neuro-ophthalmological and neurological conditions, including NMOSD and MOGAD (Figure 4) [72,130,131].

Acute ON in MOGAD is often bilateral and localized in the anterior optic nerve inducing severe and characteristic retinal oedema [132]. Initially covered by the oedema, the neuroaxonal layers of the retina (pRNFL, mGCIPL) degenerate significantly during the following months (Figure 5) [23,73,127,132,133]. These losses accumulate with each additional ON episode, which occur frequently in MOGAD [73,74]. Therefore, although a single episode does not often lead to disastrous damage [91,134], the highly recurrent ON attacks accumulate with pRNFL and mGCIPL loss. This is comparable to patients with AQP4-IgG-seropositive NMOSD, which is characterized
by less frequent, but more damaging, ON episodes [74]. In comparison with MS, MOGAD patients are described as undergoing more severe retinal neurodegeneration after ON; however, a final consensus on this topic has not been reached [91,127,135].

Further studies are warranted to investigate retinal neurodegeneration independent of ON in MOGAD. One study performed a first exploratory analysis in a small data set recording pRNFL loss without associated GCIPL reduction [136]. Apart from true retinal neurodegeneration, this could potentially be explained by a remission of attack-associated oedema, which commonly affects the RNFL more than the ganglion cell layer [137]. If the absence of ON-independent GCIPL loss is confirmed, this would

**FIGURE 4** Retinal anatomy and optical coherence tomography. (a) Anatomical representation of the human retina and (b) the human retina as imaged using optical coherence tomography (OCT). These images have been kindly reproduced and modified under a Creative Common Licence from www.neurodial.de. RNFL = retinal nerve fibre layer; GCL = ganglion cell layer; IPL = inner plexiform layer; GCIP = ganglion cell and inner plexiform layer.

**FIGURE 5** Macular scans from optical coherence tomography (OCT). Macular scans of various retinas with corresponding thickness scale (0–150 µm) with heat-maps highlighting the thickness variations across the macular ganglion cell and inner plexiform (GCIP) layer. (a) Variations in the thickness across various pathologies in different patients. (b) Right eye of the same myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein-associated disorders (MOGAD) patient prior to optic neuritis (ON) after two and four ONs. Thinner areas are depicted with cooler colours (purple/blue) and thicker areas depicted with warmer colours (red/yellow). HC = healthy control; MOGAD-NON: MOGAD with no history of ON; AQP-4-IgG: aquaporin-4 immunoglobulin G.
not only stress the importance of ON attack-prevention in MOGAD but also allow a better separation from MS and AQP4-IgG-seropositive NMOSD, which are both affected by ON-independent retinal neuroaxonal loss.

OCT data in paediatric MOGAD are scarce. The results in paediatric cohorts generally mirror those in adults with measurable post-ON swelling and associated reduction and thinning of the pRNFL [73,138]. There are, however, conflicting reports concerning unilateral ON cases with subclinical involvement of the contralateral, clinically healthy eye, an area which would benefit from further research given the potential detrimental impact on the otherwise healthy eye [138,139].

In both paediatric and adult presentations, and notwithstanding the high relapse rates and severe neuroaxonal degeneration, high-contrast visual acuity is surprisingly preserved in MOGAD patients compared with AQP4-IgG-seropositive NMOSD patients, although both groups have comparable neuroaxonal loss [74,91,140–142]. How visual acuity is preserved in MOGAD remains unclear, but data suggest an influence of a primary retinal astrocytopathy in AQP4-IgG-seropositive NMOSD accumulating in additional retinal changes with functional consequences [143]. Nevertheless, MOGAD patients, with their high prevalence of ON attacks, are at risk of irreversible visual impairment when deprived of a timely diagnosis and preventative immunotherapy.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

MOGAD pathophysiology, disease treatment and monitoring are currently of high interest in the autoimmune neuroinflammatory diseases research community. Currently, most known MRI and OCT characteristics in MOGAD are based on small monocentric studies that yielded some contradicting results, thus multi-centred and prospective studies are necessary to validate findings. Such multi-centred studies are beginning to shed light upon this rare disease, such as the Collaborative OCT in NMOSD (CROCTINO) and the PParallel MRI in NMOSD (PAMRINO) studies [144]. Especially in a rare and heterogeneous disease, such as MOGAD, it is pertinent to gather information on patients from varying demographic backgrounds, over larger age ranges and with standardized imaging protocols to allow for robust investigations using a variety of analysis techniques.

In-vido imaging using MRI and OCT has given clinicians and researchers insights into the CNS affection of this rare disorder at an unprecedented rate. These imaging techniques will allow us to further investigate changes in the brain, spinal cord and retina of patients with a dissemination in time and space, providing the opportunity to find biomarkers of disease-related damage and potentially predictive markers for future attacks, thus allowing for stratification of patients and real-time communication of the risk of further attacks with patients based on bioimaging markers for treatment decisions. As new technologies and analysis methods continue to be developed, together with the increase in open-sharing and collaborative, prospective studies on the horizon, we believe that both MRI and OCT will lead the way towards personalized prognostics and treatment in MOGAD.
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