One-Pot Synthesis of Chiral N-Arylamines by Combining Biocatalytic Aminations with Buchwald–Hartwig N-Arylation

Sebastian C. Cosgrove+, Matthew P. Thompson+, Syed T. Ahmed, Fabio Parmeggiani,* and Nicholas J. Turner*

Abstract: The combination of biocatalysis and chemo-catalysis increasingly offers chemists access to more diverse chemical architectures. Here, we describe the combination of a toolbox of chiral-amine-producing biocatalysts with a Buchwald–Hartwig cross-coupling reaction, affording a variety of α-chiral aniline derivatives. The use of a surfactant allowed reactions to be performed sequentially in the same flask, preventing the palladium catalyst from being inhibited by the high concentrations of ammonia, salts, or buffers present in the aqueous media in most cases. The methodology was further extended by combining with a dual-enzyme biocatalytic hydrogen-borrowing cascade in one pot to allow for the conversion of a racemic alcohol to a chiral aniline.

N-arylamines are an important structural motif present in an array of bioactive molecules including APIs and agro-chemicals (Figure 1). Methods for the synthesis of these compounds in enantiomerically enriched form, that are typically based on asymmetric reductive aminations of ketones using anilines as the amine donor, are well known.[1] Transition metal catalysed reductive amination often requires elevated temperatures and pressures in addition to complex chiral ligands to achieve satisfactory yields and enantiomeric excess (ee).[2–5] On the other hand, organo-catalytic approaches require anhydrous conditions in order to proceed however excellent yield and ee can be obtained under relatively mild conditions.[6–8]

Biocatalysis represents a powerful tool for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure chiral amines.[9] Several different enzyme classes have been reported including amine dehydrogenases (AmDH)[10,11] imine reductases (IRED) and reductive aminases (RedAm)[12–14] amine oxidases (MAO)[15,16] α-transaminases (α-TA)[17,18] and engineered cytochrome P411s.[19,20] Despite the broad application of these biocatalysts for the synthesis of both cyclic and acyclic 1°, 2° and 3° amines, there have been few reports describing the application of these systems for the synthesis of N-aryl amines.[15,21,22] Interestingly, two recent papers reported homologues of AspRedAm that accepted aniline as amine donor with conversions up to 99%, however the substrate scope was limited.[23,24]

The combination of biocatalysts and chemo-catalysts allows chemists to effect overall transformations with high efficiency, not achievable using the individual catalysts alone.[25–28] Several strategies for merging precious-metal catalysis and biocatalysis have been reported.[29–34] In particular, palladium catalysed methods have featured in several reported chemoenzymatic reactions, with biocatalytic processes coupled to Suzuki–Miyaura[35] and Heck[36] cross-coupling or Wacker[37] oxidation. One frequently used Pd-catalysed transformation yet to be combined with biocatalysis is the Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling (BHA), which couples nucelophilic amines and aryl halides to afford anilines.[38–41]

Herein we present a chemoenzymatic approach to chiral N-arylamines, combining a variety of enantioselective biocatalytic transformations with a surfactant-enabled BHA (Scheme 1).[31,40,42]
We initially envisaged a one-pot process involving conversion of the substrate (ketone/imine/alcohol) followed by in situ BHA in an aqueous environment without any intermediate workup. In line with the principles of green chemistry there is significant interest in performing transition metal catalysed reactions in water.\[43\] For example, the use of water-soluble palladium sources in the Suzuki cross-coupling combined with an alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) reported by Borchert et al., gave excellent yields and ee but is limited to water soluble starting materials.\[33\] Other approaches using heterogeneous, immobilised or compartmentalised catalysts have also been described.\[29,34\]

One plausible reason for the limited number of one-pot chemo-enzymatic processes reported is the low solubility of many organic reagents in water,\[44\] leading to slow reaction rates and low yields. The Lipshutz group have reported a designer surfactant DL-α-tocopherol methoxypolyethylene glycol succinate (TPGS-750-M, Figure 2) that enables a variety of important cross-coupling reactions to proceed in water.\[45–47\] Owing to the relatively low concentration of the surfactant, reactants are highly concentrated within the lipophilic core. Rate acceleration, even at room temperature, is commonly observed as a result. The Lipshutz group demonstrated recently that TPGS-750-M could be used in one-pot, chemo-enzymatic transformations using several precious-metal catalysts and ADH enzymes.\[48\] They showed Pd could be used in conjunction with ADH as well, however the biotransformation mixture was added after the metal-catalysed step was complete.

From the outset, it was unknown if either the enzyme or any component of the biotransformation mixture would be detrimental to the performance of the cross-coupling step. Initially we chose to use engineered amine dehydrogenases (AmDHs), which use ammonia as the sole amine source.\[10,42\] AmDHs have also been applied in an elegant redox-neutral cascade alongside ADHs for the asymmetric amination of alcohols.\[49–52\] Hence, there is also an opportunity to generate optically enriched N-arylamines from racemic alcohols.

Initially we screened a number of palladium pre-catalysts, ligands and conditions to promote the coupling of model substrate rac-1 with bromobenzene 2a (Table 1).\[45,53,54\] To

Table 1: Optimisation of Buchwald-Hartwig amination under biocatalyst conditions.

| Entry | T     | Solvent | Pd-cat | Ligand   | Additive | Conv. [%] |
|-------|-------|---------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 1     | 100   | water   | [PdCl(cinnamyl)]<sub>2</sub> | CyJohnPhos | n.a.     | 6         |
| 2     | 100   | 1 M NH₄Cl | [PdCl(cinnamyl)]<sub>2</sub> | CyJohnPhos | n.a.     | 0         |
| 3     | room temp. | water   | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | cBRIDP    | TPGS-750-M | 57 (24 h) |
| 4     | room temp. | 1 M NH₄Cl | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | cBRIDP    | TPGS-750-M | 26       |
| 5     | 40    | 1 M NH₄Cl | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | cBRIDP    | TPGS-750-M | >99      |
| 6     | 40    | 1 M NH₄Cl + CFE | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | cBRIDP    | TPGS-750-M | 9        |
| 7     | 40    | 1 M NH₄Cl + filtered CFE | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | cBRIDP    | TPGS-750-M | 23       |
| 8     | room temp. | water   | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | 0        |
| 9     | 50    | water   | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | >99      |
| 10    | 50    | 1 M NH₄Cl | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | >99      |
| 11    | 50    | 1 M NH₄Cl + CFE | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | 80       |
| 12    | 50    | 1 M NH₄Cl + filtered CFE | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | 95       |
| 13    | 50    | 1 M NH₄Cl + purified enzyme | [PdCl(allyl)]<sub>2</sub> | iBuXPhos  | TPGS-750-M | 90       |

[a] Conversion determined by GC-FID analysis.
investigate any potential inhibitory effects, the cross-coupling conditions were screened in the presence of reagents required for the biocatalytic step. The impact of different biocatalyst preparations (cell free extract (CFE) or purified proteins), and the presence of high concentrations of ammonium salts were thus investigated.

The ligand tBuXPhos, has been reported to be a general ligand suited to the coupling of primary amines,[54] and in combination with the [PdCl(allyl)] 2 pre-catalyst reliably gave the best conversions in the absence of the biocatalyst. Importantly, the addition of concentrated ammonium chloride (necessary for the AmDH step) did not affect the outcome of the cross-coupling (entry 10). Finally, it was noted that in the presence of the CFE containing the biocatalyst (entry 11) the conversions were lower than with filtered CFE (entry 12). However, in the presence of the purified enzymes the conversions were good to excellent (90 %) (entry 13).

Table 2: Conversions for N-arylation of amines (R)-1 and (R)-5 with aryl bromides 2a–g.

| Product | Conv. (%) | Product | Conv. (%) |
|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| 3a      | 90 (81)   | 6a      | 90 (81)   |
| 3b      | 71 (64)   | 6b      | 65 (59)   |
| 3c      | 93 (83)   | 6c      | 82 (74)   |
| 3d      | 91 (82)   | 6d      | 81 (73)   |
| 3e      | 62 (56)   | 6e      | 59 (53)   |
| 3f      | 70 (63)   | 6f      | 54 (49)   |
| 3g      | 76 (68)   | 6g      | 90 (81)   |

[a] Conversion determined by GC-FID analysis. [b] Conversion for Buchwald-Hartwig step indicated out of brackets, with overall conversion from ketone to aniline indicated in brackets. [c] Oxidation to the corresponding aromatic aldehyde was observed.

The chemoenzymatic process could be combined efficiently in a one-pot, two-step process, the reductive amination of ketones 4 and 7 (50 mm) to afford amines (R)-1 and (R)-5 (90 % conversion, 90 % and > 99 % ee, respectively) was performed using previously optimised conditions for AmDH biotransformations.[55] The crude reaction mixture was diluted 1:1 with a solution of TPGS-750-M (5 wt % in H 2O) followed by addition of the reagents for the cross-coupling step (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Combined AmDH amination with BHA of ketones 4 and 7.

The coupling of amines (R)-1 and (R)-5 with a panel of aryl bromides 2a–g was accomplished using the optimised conditions for the cross-coupling step to yield N-arylamines 3a–g and 6a–g with good to excellent conversions (Table 2). Importantly, the chirality generated during the biocatalytic step was preserved during the cross-coupling step (see Supporting Information).

We next considered a one-pot N-arylation reaction in which the chiral amine was generated by an o-transaminase (o-TA).[17] o-TAs are attractive options for the first step since they possess broad substrate scope and give excellent ee.[17,18] The transamination of ketone 4 (50 mm) with ATA-117 (Codexis, USA) gave (R)-1 (> 99 % conversion, > 99 % ee) using an excess of alanine as the amine donor. However, when the one-pot N-arylation of 1 with 2a was attempted, no coupling was observed, presumably due to the presence of the competing nucleophilic amine alanine. Instead, the reaction mixture from the biotransformation containing (R)-1 was extracted into toluene and then coupling of (R)-1 with 2a–c and 2f could be performed under reported conditions to give 3a–c and 3f (63–99 % conversion and > 99 % ee) (see Supporting Information).

To further exemplify the scope of our combined chemo-enzymatic approach, we envisaged extending the process to the N-arylation of cyclic chiral amines to furnish tertiary N-arylamines. Imine reductases (IREDs) have been reported for the reduction of cyclic imines,[52] amongst which the (S)-imine reductase (S-IRED) from Streptomyces sp. GF3546 is reported to catalyse the asymmetric reduction of cyclic five-, six-, and seven-membered imines.[12,56]

The S-IRED catalysed asymmetric reduction of pyrroline 8 was performed under previously reported conditions to afford (S)-2-methylpyrrolidine 9 (80 % conv. > 92 % ee). The reaction mixture was then diluted with a solution of TPGS-750-M as described above. Using the Takasago ligand cBRIDP in lieu of tBuXPhos[57] gave efficient coupling with aryl bromides 2a–g to the corresponding N-arylamines 10a–g with good to excellent conversions (Table 3). To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, 10a was prepared on a preparative scale with the addition of a toluene layer for the BHA, affording > 100 mg in a 65 % isolated yield and > 99 % ee (see Supporting Information).

Lastly, the same methodology was shown to be compatible with the recently developed biocatalytic hydrogen-borrowing amination of alcohols.[53] The system employs a non-enantioselective variant (W110A-G198D) of an alcohol dehydrogenase from Thermoaerobacter ethanolicus coupled with ChiAmDH (Scheme 3). On a preparative scale the chiral N-arylamine 6c was obtained directly from the racemic alcohol 11 with moderate yield and > 99 % ee. The modest isolated yield of (R)-6c can be ascribed to some of the limitations of this methodology, namely: (i) the challenge of extraction and...
Table 3: Conversions for N-arylation of amine (S)-9 with aryl bromides 2a–g.

| Product | Conv. (%) |
|---------|-----------|
| 10a     | 93 (74)   |
| 10b     | 84 (67)   |
| 10c     | 94 (75)   |
| 10d     | 95 (76)   |
| 10e     | 67 (54)   |
| 10f     | 61 (49)   |
| 10g     | 77 (62)   |

[a] Conversion determined by GC-FID analysis. [b] Conversion for Buchwald-Hartwig step indicated out of brackets, with overall conversion from ketone to aniline indicated in brackets.

In summary, we have demonstrated a new approach to the synthesis of chiral N-arylamines by combining biocatalytic reductive amination or imine reduction with surfactant-enabled Buchwald-Hartwig cross-coupling. Conversions to the corresponding N-arylated amines are good to excellent (up to 90%). Importantly, the asymmetric centre established in the biocatalytic step is unaffected by the subsequent cross-coupling reaction.

We envisage that this biocompatible, surfactant-enabled cross-coupling approach will broaden the application of chemo-enzymatic processes for the synthesis of high-value compounds.
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