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Abstract—This study focuses on a virtual synchronous machine (VSM) based on voltage source converters to mimic the behavior of synchronous machines (SMs) and improve the damping ratio of the power system. The VSM model is simplified according to some assumptions (neglecting the speed variation and the stator transients) to allow for the possibility of dealing with low-frequency oscillation in large-scale systems with many VSMs. Furthermore, a virtual power system stabilizer (VPSS) structure is proposed and tuned using a method based on a linearized power system dynamic model. The linear and nonlinear analyses examine the stability of two modified versions of a 16-machine power system in which, in the first case, partial classical machines are replaced by VSMs, and in the second case, all SMs are replaced by VSMs. The simulation results of the case studies validate the efficiency of the proposed control strategy.

Index Terms—Synchronous machine (SM), small-signal stability, transient stability, virtual power system stabilizer (VPSS), virtual synchronous machine (VSM).

NOMENCLATURE

A. Operators

\[\Delta\] Perturbation-variation operator

\[p\] Differential operator \(d/dt\)

\[s\] Laplace operator

\[\sigma\] Equilibrium script

\[\tau\] Transposition script

B. Variables

\[\delta\] Angular position of rotor with respect to synchronous rotating frame

\([\omega_s, \omega]\) Angular speed and synchronous speed

\([\psi_{abc}, \psi_{dq0}]\) Vector of abc instantaneous stator flux

\([\psi_{d0q}]\) Vector of dq0 instantaneous stator flux

\(\zeta\) Damping ratio

\(1/K\) Integrator gain

\(E_q\) Synchronous internal voltage mimicked by virtual synchronous machine (VSM)

\(H, D\) Infinite bus voltage

\(K_{AVR}\) Field voltage, current, resistance, and flux

\(K_{VPSS}\) Inertia constant and damping constant

\(T_s, T_a\) Time constant and gain of automatic voltage regulator (AVR)

\(K_{VPSS}\) Gain of virtue power system stabilizer (VPSS)

\(L_{abc}, L_{dq0}\) Vector of abc instantaneous stator current

\(L_{dq0}\) Vector of dq0 instantaneous stator current

\(L_{abc}, L_{dq0}\) Proper and mutual inductances of stator

\(L_{abc}, (\theta)\) Vector of abc stator-rotor mutual inductance

\(d\)- and \(q\)-axis mutual inductances owing to flux that links rotor circuits

\(d\)- and \(q\)-axis stator current

\(L_{d0q}\) Vectors of dq0 stator-rotor and rotor-stator mutual inductance

\(L_{dq0}\) Proper inductance of field circuit and mutual inductance of stator-field circuit

\(L_{dq0}\) Leakage inductance owing to flux that does not link any rotor circuit

\(P_{\theta}\) Park transformation matrix from abc variables to dq0 variables that keeps the same
NOWADAYS, power systems may contain many green distributed generators (DGs) supplying the power via voltage source converters (VSCs). In addition, DGs are characterized by their intermittent fluctuations and unpredictable behaviors, which might affect the overall system damping by decreasing the overall inertia [1] since they lack a rotating mass. Due to the strong electromechanical coupling in the synchronous machine (SM), the kinetic energy of the storage systems provides an additional rotating inertia, which is important for the power system stability [2], [3].

In the past, power systems possessed a sufficient inertia to dampen any eventual perturbation, and the occurrence probability of variation was small. However, this fact is no longer valid today, especially when the penetration of green sources is emerging. Accordingly, the dynamic is faster than before, and it also brings new challenges to power system control. Moreover, the oscillation becomes significant with the increase in DG penetration, helping increase the system size and simulation step. By adopting some assumptions in the phasor model that do not represent the real power systems, the control of one oscillation mode can alter the other mode; otherwise, the inertia is set to be a low value when the VSM is decelerating. The only weakness of this approach is its relatively large overshooting. Recently, [18] demonstrates the direct connection of the auxiliary damping controller (ADC) to the active power loop. This is contrary to the PSS, as it is difficult to change the mechanical torque. In this approach, the system does not require any phase compensation, and pure damping can be achieved with a washout block and gain, which is directly added to the active power loop.

VSM has all the benefits and weaknesses of the SM. For example, the problem of oscillation in the SM may even exist in the VSM. VSMs exhibit oscillation as they emulate the swing equation of the classical SM. Furthermore, the option of the VSM can be extended to the PSS, which is called virtual PSS (VPSS) in this paper.

The VPSS, which has the same structure as the PSS, is implemented in the VSM routine to damp the low-frequency oscillation. The difference between the normal PSS and VPSS should be examined. In the PSS, a supplementary signal must be added to the reference voltage of the excitation circuit; however, in the VPSS, a supplementary signal must be added to the reference voltage of the voltage-reactive power loop. Section II-C is dedicated to confirming the feasibility of such damping control through a single VSM connected to an infinite bus (SVSMIB) system. The VPSS performs better than the ADC [18], and Section II-D confirms that it can fulfill all possible control techniques and structures that the well-known PSS can achieve. Furthermore, the VPSS generates less overshooting than the AMI method. Since the performances of VPSS and ADC for the dynamic stability improvement are similar, VPSS can be considered as an alternative to the ADC.

I. INTRODUCTION

The VSM and VPSS models are added to the power system toolbox (PST) [19] for a time-domain simulation and linear routine platform. VPSS tuning follows the classical approach proposed by Larsen [20], where the VPSS provides a phase lag between the reference and output voltages of VSM as small as possible.

The most suitable domain for studying electromechanical oscillation is the phasor domain. Hence, a PSS-VSM phasor model is used to analyze the dynamic stability of large-scale power systems with many VSMs, where the coordination of the damping controllers, namely VPSSs, is required because the control of one oscillation mode can alter the other modes. By adopting some assumptions in the phasor model discussed in Section II, the simulation step size can be increased, helping increase the system size and simulation time. This is how well-known commercial packages such as Eurostag®, PowerFactory®, and PSS/E® simulate large-scale systems in the phasor model for system-wide stability studies for a simulation period varying from a few seconds to 10-20 min.

The main contributions of this study are as follows.

1) The phasor models of the VSM and PSS-VSM are derived and established. The VSM model is simplified to im-
prove the efficiency so that it can handle the stability problem of large-scale power systems containing many VSMs.

2) Based on the phasor models, the VPSS controller is proposed to dampen the oscillation by adding a supplementary signal to the reference voltage of the voltage-reactive power loop.

3) A classical adjustment method is applied to complete the coordinated control of multiple VPSS damping controllers.

Therefore, the main focus of this study is to acquire the knowledge of the system behavior when it contains many VSMs and investigate how the VPSS dampens the power system oscillation. To investigate the occurrence of low-frequency modes and the performance of the VSMS to improve the power system inertia, a modified IEEE 68-bus New York-New England power system is used as the benchmark where some SMs are replaced by VSMS.

Furthermore, the tuning of VPSS parameters uses the classical tuning method in conjunction with the modal analysis and the participation factors to identify which part of the network is responsible for the oscillation and at which VSM the VPSS should be installed.

II. CONTROL STRATEGY ANALYSIS

Since the VSM mimics the SM behavior, it is appropriate to follow the procedures used for the classic SM model. Many different SM models in the literature are based on the current orientation, operation mode (motor or generator), and assumptions [2], [19]. However, considering that the VSC has to imitate the SM behavior, Kundur’s approach [2] is most suitable for this purpose.

The VSM model is simplified in this study with some assumptions, which are divided into two parts as follows.

1) The first part is related to the choice made on the VSM structure, such as neglecting the eddy currents, damper effects, saturation, and unbalanced operation. These assumptions were adopted by [7].

2) The second part is mandatory to study the electromechanical oscillation where the phasor domain is selected and the PST package is used, which consists of neglecting the transformer voltage terms, neglecting the effect of speed variation, and finally neglecting the saliency, as the PST package assumes no saliency. These assumptions lead to a significant reduction in the computation time and allow us to increase the integration steps for the simulation of a large-scale power system.

Assuming that the rotor is round and the stator has a small resistance, which can be neglected, the stator and rotor voltages are shown as (1) and (2), respectively.

\[ e_{abc} = p\psi_{abc} \]  
\[ e_{\phi} = R_{\phi}i_{\phi} + p\psi_{\phi} \]  

With neglecting the saturation, the flux is linearly dependent on the current, which is expressed as:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{abc} \\ \psi_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{abc} & L_{abc, \phi}(\theta) \\ L_{abc, \phi}(\theta)^T & L_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{abc} \\ i_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \]  

The inductance matrices can be defined as:

\[ L_{abc} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{a0} & L_{a0} & L_{a0} \\ L_{a0} & -L_{a0} & L_{a0} \\ L_{a0} & L_{a0} & -L_{a0} \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ L_{abc, \phi}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \cos(\theta - \frac{2\pi}{3}) & \cos(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}) \end{bmatrix}^T \]  

Using the Park transformation matrix \( P_\phi \) that keeps the same peak values, it is easy to obtain:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\phi} \\ \psi_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -L_{a0} & L_{a0, \phi} \\ L_{a0, \phi} & -L_{a0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_{abc} \\ i_{\phi} \end{bmatrix} \]  

The previous matrix is created as:

\[ L_{d0} = \text{diag}(L_{ad}, L_{a0}, L_{a0}) \]  
\[ L_{d0, \phi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ L_{r, d0} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ L_{d0} = L_{q} = L_{ad} + L_{a0} \]  
\[ e_{d0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  

The speed voltages \( \psi_{\phi} \) and \( \psi_{\phi} \) are usually sufficiently varying so that they are considered as constant. However, the transformer voltage terms \( p\psi_{\phi} \) are usually neglected because the stator transients are sufficiently fast that it is not recommended to be considered. Based on assumptions, we can obtain:

\[ e_{d} = -\omega L_{q} + \omega L_{q} i_{q} \]  
\[ e_{q} = -\omega L_{d} + \omega L_{d} i_{d} \]  
\[ e_{\phi} = R_{\phi}i_{\phi} + p\psi_{\phi} \]  

The per-unit transformation is based on the guidelines outlined in [2], and the leakage inductance \( L_{ad} \) and mutual inductance \( L_{ad} \) are decomposed as:

\[ e_{d} = \omega(L_{d} + L_{ad})i_{d} \]  
\[ e_{q} = -\omega L_{d} + \omega L_{ad}i_{d} \]  
\[ e_{\phi} = R_{\phi}i_{\phi} + p(L_{d}i_{d} - \frac{3}{2} L_{ad}i_{d}) \]  

As the angular speed is assumed to change very slowly, we take it as a constant, namely \( \omega \approx 1 \text{ p.u.} \). By neglecting saliency, \( X_{\phi} = L_{d} + L_{ad} = L_{d} + L_{ad} \), which allows us to develop the simplified phasor representation of the VSM as:

\[ e_{d} = X_{d}i_{d} \]  
\[ e_{q} = -X_{d}i_{d} + \omega L_{ad}i_{d} \]  
\[ e_{\phi} = R_{\phi}i_{\phi} + p(L_{d}i_{d} - L_{ad}i_{d}) \]  

where \( E_{\phi} = \omega L_{ad}i_{d} \).

The active and reactive power sent to the network can be calculated by:

\[ P_{c} = e_{d}i_{d} + e_{q}i_{q} = \omega L_{ad}i_{d}i_{q} \]  
\[ Q_{c} = -e_{d}i_{q} + e_{q}i_{d} = \omega L_{ad}i_{q}i_{d} - X_{\phi}(i_{d}^{2} + i_{q}^{2}) \]  

The term \( L_{ad}i_{d} \) in (8)-(10) is the control variable that
matches the SM behavior.

The mechanical part of the SM is vital for damping power system oscillation. Based on Newton’s first law, the per-unit swing equation is:

\[
p\omega = \frac{1}{2H} \left[ P_{net} - P_s - D_p (\omega - 1) \right] \quad (13)
\]

\[
p\delta = \omega_0 (\omega - 1) \quad (14)
\]

The structures of the active power, reactive power, and voltage regulation of the VSM taken from [7], which are improved by the automatic voltage regulator (AVR) and the VPSS, are shown in Fig. 1. As the terminal voltage \( V_t \) is close to \( E_q (V_i \approx E_q) \), \( E_q \) can replace \( V_t \) for the AVR input. The fictive reactance \( X_t \) of the VSM is assumed to belong to the network. The stator resistance \( r \), can be added, but here it is neglected.

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of VSM. (a) Structure of VSM. (b) VSM connecting to power grid.](image)

The load flow is performed to initialize the state variables of the VSM and obtain the reference values of active and reactive power \( P_{ref} \) and \( Q_{ref} \) and the terminal voltage reference \( V_{ref} \). The basic state variables of VSM are \( \omega, \delta, L_{wz} \), and they can be augmented by the state variables of the AVR and VPSS.

The three basic state variables are initialized as:

\[
\omega(0) = 1 \quad (15)
\]

\[
\delta(0) = \arctan(V_i' + jX_iI_i) \quad (16)
\]

\[
L_{wz}(0) = \frac{P}{\text{Im} \left( I_e e^{j\left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta_0 \right)} \right)} \quad (17)
\]

A. VPSS Control Method

The VPSS is a controller that acts as a classical PSS by adding an auxiliary signal to dampen the power swing rapidly and improve the power system dynamic performance. Its structure is summarized by the following transfer function:

\[
u_{\text{VPSS}} = K_{\text{VPSS}} \frac{sT_1 + 1 + sT_3}{sT_1 + 1 + sT_2} \Delta \omega \quad (18)
\]

The speed variation signal is selected from the commonly used signal to this end. The VPSS can sense the power change of the VSM, control the reference value of reactive power, and dampen the oscillation by producing an adequate component of the electrical power of VSM in phase with the speed change. The VSM should guarantee an adequate phase compensation block for the phase lag between \( V_{ref} \) and \( P_i \) [20].

B. Accuracy of Approximate Model

As mentioned in Section II-A, the assumptions are grouped into two parts. The first part is related to the choice made on the SM to be imitated (or SM imitation). In the second part, the transformer voltage term \( \psi_{e\delta} \) and the effect of the speed variation are neglected, as the interest of our study is in the power system stability.

The terms \( \psi_{q\delta} \) and \( \psi_{d\delta} \) represent the stator transient (i.e., the AC side of the VSC). By neglecting these terms, we keep only the fundamental frequency components, which causes the stator voltage equations to appear as algebraic ones. This approach is justified by the fact that the transient associated with the network decays quickly. Hence, it is almost unnecessary to model the effects of these terms. Moreover, to counterbalance the effect of neglecting these terms, the effect of the speed variation in the stator is neglected [2].

To confirm these assumptions, a three-phase short-circuit fault with duration is applied during the six cycles on an SVSMIB system shown in Fig. 2 (the SVSMIB parameters are presented in Appendix A) and cleared within 0.1.

![Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of SVSMIB system.](image)

Figure 3 shows the response of the system with and without the two aforementioned assumptions (neglecting or including the transformer voltages \( \psi_{q\delta}, \psi_{d\delta} \) and speed variation), which confirms that it is an acceptable assumption to omit the transformer voltage and speed variation terms. The inclusion of these transients significantly increases the order of the overall system; hence, it limits the system size to be simulated. Furthermore, the system without these assumptions requires a small time step, as it contains high-frequency components, which dramatically increases the computation costs.
Including transformer voltages and speed variation

Neglecting transformer voltages and speed variation

**C. Small-signal Analysis for SVSMIB**

To examine the ability of the proposed VPSS to produce a damping torque component (i.e., a component in phase with the deviation of angular speed), the small-signal model of the SVSMIB system shown in Fig. 2 is developed. Around an equilibrium operation point \((\delta^0, E_q^0, V_q^0)\), the system is perturbed to obtain the following linearized equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta i_q &= m_1 \Delta \delta + m_2 \Delta E_q \\
\Delta i_q &= n_1 \Delta \delta + n_2 \Delta E_q \\
\Delta T_e &= K_e \Delta \delta + K_e \Delta E_q \\
\Delta Q_e &= K_e \Delta \delta + K_e \Delta E_q \\
\Delta V_q &= K_e \Delta \delta + K_e \Delta E_q
\end{align*}
\]  

(App. 19)

Appendix A presents the constants \(K_1 - K_7\) and other parameters \((m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)\) related to the system in Fig. 2. Figure 4 depicts the linearized system after adding the swing equation and virtual AVR.

Assuming a low time constant \(T_s\) (i.e., \(T_s \approx 0\)), \(\Delta E_q\) produced by the VPSS is given by:

\[
\Delta E_q = \frac{K_5 K_4}{1 + s T_s} (-K_e \Delta E_q + \Delta u_{VPSS})
\]  

(20)

By grouping the \(\Delta E_q\) terms and rearranging, we can obtain:

\[
\frac{\Delta E_q}{\Delta u_{VPSS}} = \frac{K_5 K_4}{s T_s + 1 + K_e K_e} = \frac{11.61}{2.32s + 8.71}
\]  

(21)

The torque \(\Delta T_{VPSS}\) provided by the VPSS is calculated as:

\[
\frac{\Delta T_{VPSS}}{\Delta u_{VPSS}} = \frac{K_2 \Delta E_q}{9.30 \Delta u_{VPSS}} = \frac{1.39s + 8.71}{1.006}
\]  

(22)

This system exhibits only one local mode, typically with a frequency of 1 Hz \((s = 6j, f = 1 Hz)\). Hence, at \(s = 6j\), (22) gives \(\Delta T_{VPSS} = (1.05 - 9^\circ) \Delta u_{VPSS}\). For a pure damping torque, \(\Delta T_{VPSS}\) should be in phase with \(\Delta \omega\) at a frequency of 1 Hz, and \(\Delta \omega\) should be proceeded via a phase-lead compensator (i.e., VPSS) so that the signal is advanced by 9\(^\circ\) at 1 Hz. The appropriate phase-lead network is in cascade with a washout block that serves to subtract the low-frequency components of the signal. The gain \(K_{VPSS} = 10\) is obtained from the root-locus plot (as shown in Fig. 5), which provides sufficient damping (15\%). Hence, the adequate lead lag VPSS can be calculated as:

\[
\Delta u = K_{VPSS} \left(\frac{10s}{1 + 10s} \right) \frac{1 + 0.19s}{1 + 0.14s} \Delta \omega
\]  

(23)

![Fig. 5. Eigenvalues with VPSS gain.](image)

The speed deviation owing to a 1% increase in \(\Delta T_m\) at 1 s is presented in Fig. 6, which indicates that the VPSS is an option to enhance the system damping.

For comparison, the control methods of [17], [18] are selected. For example, as discussed earlier, the AMI method in [17] sets a large value of the inertia to \(H_{swa} = H\) and a small value to \(H_{swa} = H/6\), which is known as the bang-bang control strategy. However, [18] uses the concept of accessibility to the power reference control (unlike the SM, where the access to the mechanical torque control is difficult), where the ADC consists of a gain, a washout, and the phase compensation, i.e., \(ADC(s) = 100(s + 0.19s)/(1 + 10s) (1 + 0.14s)\). Note that the phase to be compensated is \(P_e(s)/P_m(s)\).

It is important to underline that we can use the ADC without its phase compensation, just with its gain and its washout block \((100s/(1 + 10s))\), as it will act directly as an ideal damper.
A. System Description

The most commonly used power system in low-frequency studies is the multimachine power system [20], as depicted in Fig. 7. This network contains five areas. Area 5 and practically the entire area 4 have detailed models. However, each of the remaining areas (areas 1, 2, and 3) is represented by one equivalent machine.

Fig. 7. Modified IEEE 68-bus New York-New England power system with VSMs in areas 4 and 5 (case 1).

To examine the ability of the virtual synchronous generators to dampen the low-frequency oscillation, three cases are analyzed.

Case 1: only the classical SMs in areas 4 and 5 are replaced by identical VSMs (except for generator 1).

Case 2: all the 16 classical SMs are replaced by identical VSMs.

Case 3: all the 16 classical SMs are kept in service [19]. The VSM parameters are summarized in Table I, the data of which are taken from [20], ensuring that the behavior of the VSM is closer to that of classical SMs. For the VPSS parameters, \( T_1 - T_4 \) are chosen to provide a phase lead in the frequency range of 0.1-2 Hz. The gain \( K_{pgs} \) is chosen to provide adequate damping and should be minimized as much as possible. \( T_s \) is selected from 1-20 s. \( K_s \) and \( T_s \) represent the simple virtual AVR constants. \( H \) and \( X_s \) are taken to be equal to the SM values they replace. From Fig. 1, the time...
constant of the frequency-droop loop is $\tau_f = 2H/D_p$ [7]. If we adopt $\tau_f = 0.01$ s, then $D_p = 2H$ because the delay is neglected, and $\tau_f$ can be quite small. Similarly, $\tau_c = K/(o_c K_i)$ (if $T_i$ is neglected). If $K_i$ and $\tau_c$ have already been fixed, $K$ can be easily set. The abovementioned time constants can be set to be very small values because no delay is involved in these two loops, unlike the case of the SM where delays exist.

### TABLE I

| Symbol | Value                  |
|--------|------------------------|
| $H(s)$ | 3.4000; 4.9494; 4.9623; 4.1629 |
|        | 4.7667; 4.9107; 4.3267; 3.9150 |
|        | 4.0365; 2.9106; 2.0053; 5.1791 |
|        | 4.0782; 3.0000; 3.0000; 4.4500 |
| $D_p$  | 0.6800; 0.9899; 0.9925; 0.8326 |
|        | 0.9533; 0.9821; 0.8653; 0.7830 |
|        | 0.8073; 0.5821; 0.4011; 1.0358 |
|        | 0.8156; 0.6000; 0.6000; 0.8900 |
| $K$    | 3.77                   |
| $T_i(s)$ | 0.01                   |
| $K_i$  | 0.2480; 0.4253; 0.3831; 0.2995 |
|        | 0.3600; 0.3543; 0.2990; 0.3538 |
|        | 0.4872; 0.4868; 0.2531; 0.5525 |
|        | 0.3345; 0.2850; 0.2850; 0.3590 |

### B. Small-signal Stability Analysis Results

The linear analysis of the power system for case 1 reveals many poorly-damped eigenvalues (with a damping ratio of less than 5%) and one unstable mode (at the right-half side), as shown in Fig. 8.

This network exhibits 10 oscillatory low-frequency modes in case 1 (as shown in Table II) and 12 oscillatory low-frequency modes in case 2 (as shown in Table III). In case 1, the frequency range of these modes is from 1.0070 to 1.7639 Hz. There are nine stable poorly-damped modes and one unstable mode. All of these 10 modes are local ones, as their frequencies range from 1 to 2 Hz.

### TABLE II

| Mode index | Mode             | $f$ (Hz) | $\zeta$ (%) | No. of VSM |
|------------|------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 20         | $-0.1172 + j6.3274$ | 1.0070   | 1.8519      | 9          |
| 22         | $-0.1680 + j6.6492$ | 1.0583   | 2.5264      | 2          |
| 24         | $-0.1781 + j7.1645$ | 1.1403   | 2.4850      | 12         |
| 26         | $-0.1859 + j7.3216$ | 1.1653   | 2.5385      | 5          |
| 28         | $-0.1762 + j7.8011$ | 1.2416   | 2.2578      | 3          |
| 30         | $-0.1867 + j7.9838$ | 1.2707   | 2.3384      | 10         |
| 32         | $-0.2012 + j8.4734$ | 1.3486   | 2.3736      | 8          |
| 35         | $-0.2027 + j9.2800$ | 1.4770   | 2.1840      | 7          |
| 37         | $-0.2039 + j9.5149$ | 1.5143   | 2.1429      | 4          |
| 41         | $0.0208 + j11.0828$ | 1.7639   | -0.1879     | 11         |

Note: bold mode is unstable mode.

### TABLE III

| Mode index | Mode             | $f$ (Hz) | $\zeta$ (%) | No. of VSM |
|------------|------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 4          | $-0.2624 + j2.3648$ | 0.3764   | 0.1103      | 13, 15, 14 |
| 12         | $-0.1154 + j6.3294$ | 1.0074   | 1.8231      | 9          |
| 14         | $-0.1679 + j6.6502$ | 1.0584   | 2.5243      | 2          |
| 16         | $-0.1778 + j7.1646$ | 1.1403   | 2.4814      | 12         |
| 18         | $-0.1859 + j7.3216$ | 1.1653   | 2.5389      | 5          |
| 20         | $-0.1759 + j7.8011$ | 1.2417   | 2.2543      | 3          |
| 22         | $-0.1840 + j7.9979$ | 1.2729   | 2.2995      | 10         |
| 25         | $-0.1922 + j8.5164$ | 1.3554   | 2.2559      | 8          |
| 27         | $-0.2027 + j9.2800$ | 1.4770   | 2.1840      | 7          |
| 29         | $-0.2039 + j9.5150$ | 1.5144   | 2.1426      | 4          |
| 31         | $0.0310 + j11.0794$ | 1.7633   | -0.2796     | 11         |
| 35         | $-0.3103 - j15.8961$ | 2.5299   | 1.9519      | 1          |

Note: underlined mode is interarea, which is presented to show characteristics of this type of mode.

The speed participation factor (SPF) can identify which VSM is responsible for the undamped mode. The SPF of the $j^{th}$ mode for a change in the $i^{th}$ diagonal element that corresponds to the speed state variable order is calculated as:

$$p_{ij} = v_j(i) u_i(j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

In addition, the SPF indicates which VSM speed signal could significantly impact an eventual mode. Figure 9 shows the SPF of the low-frequency modes in case 1. The number of VSMs that participate in a mode increases when the mode frequency decreases. The modes with a higher frequency are the local ones associated with fewer machines. Conversely, those with a lower frequency are the intermodes and involve more virtual machines.

A VPSS is proposed to increase the damping ratio $\zeta$ of these modes, as shown in Fig. 1. The bar charts depict a VSM where the highest value of the real SPF coincides. These modes are associated mainly with these machines, and VPSSs at these VSMs are mandatory. Another choice con-
sists of the tuning of $D_p$ and $H$. This option is examined at the end of this study. The 41st mode is owing to the oscillation of VSM 11 against the entire system. It is essential to increase the damping of this mode using a VPSS.

in the range of frequencies that are of interest (0.1-2.0 Hz). Since the VSMs have the same time constant loops, the obtained compensation works well for all of the machines.

| Frequency (Hz) | Imaginary | Real part of SPF |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 0.05          |           |                 |
| 0.10          |           |                 |
| 0.15          |           |                 |
| 0.20          |           |                 |
| 0.25          |           |                 |
| 0.30          |           |                 |
| 0.35          |           |                 |
| 0.40          |           |                 |

Fig. 10. Ideal PSS phase lead and proposed VPSS phase-lead compensation.

Figure 11 shows the root locus, which reveals that $K_{11} = 50$ is sufficient to move away the mode of interest from the unstable area, even over the damping ratio of 10%. The gain of the compensator at high frequencies, which is proportional to $T_1 T_p$, should be minimized as much as possible. Furthermore, the damping ratio is 18.5%. As mentioned above, the 41st mode is a local one, and there are no other altered ones.

Applying the previous method to the remaining 10 modes leads to undesirable and poorly-damped modes. In particular, these are interarea modes associated with more than one VSM. Accordingly, when the damping ratio of the local modes increases, those relative to the interarea modes may decrease. The same analysis is performed for case 2; however, the results of case 3 are taken from [19]. Table IV summarizes the VPSS gains for cases 1 and 2, which are obtained through the root-locus method. Notice that the VPSS time constants are the same for cases 1 and 2, as depicted in Fig. 10 ($T_e = 10$, $T_1 = 0.02$ s, $T_2 = 0.06$ s, $T_3 = 0.01$ s, and $T_4 = 0.01$ s). Figure 12 depicts the linear analysis for cases 1 and 2. The minimum damping ratio increases to 10%. Thus, the system damping becomes sufficient.

C. VPSS Control Results

Considering that the frequency and angle do not vary, the VPSS phase lead must match the phase lag between VSM reference voltage of VSM $V_{ref}$ and active power output of VSM $P_e$, as much as possible. Practically, this can be achieved by eliminating the rows and columns of the state space matrices corresponding to the state variables of angle and angular speed [20]. This cannot be measured; however, this phase is close to the negative of the phase lead between $V_{ref}$ and $V_e$, which could be measured in-situ with system conditions so that all modes are stable.

First, the ideal phase lead of each VSM is evaluated separately, as they are independent of the VPSS [20]. Then, we add the first VPSS and adjust its gain until we meet the desirable damping. The second VPSS is added to the system with the first VPSS, and the gain of the second VPSS is adjusted. This step-by-step method is followed until we guarantee an acceptable minimum damping ratio, generally greater than 5% [20].

The proposed VPSS phaseLead compensation elaborated by the trial error method is shown as Fig. 10 in blue. With such a phase compensator, the VPSS acts as an ideal damped, i.e., it produces a torque in antiphase to the VSM speed.
D. VSM-VPSS Damping Performance Analysis

Two contingencies check the trustworthiness and reliability of the VSMs and VPSS for damping the low-frequency modes. In the first contingency, a three-phase fault at bus 1 on line 1-2 is applied during six cycles. In the second contingency, a three-phase fault at bus 29 on line 29-28 is applied for the same duration.

As shown in Figs. 13 and 14, it is confirmed that the VPSS increases the overall system damping. For both contingencies, the angular speeds converge to steady values faster with the VPSS.

E. Tuning Analysis of $D_p$

In this subsection, the impact of the parameter $D_p$ on the oscillation mode damping is studied. For each value of $D_p$, from its initial value to a relatively infinite value ($+\infty$), the system is linearized, and the eigenvalues are computed to define the value of $D_p$ that improves the damping of the desired mode without altering other modes.

Case 1 is taken as an example. Based on Tables II and III, the 41st mode is related to VSM 11. Consequently, $D_{p11}$ will be varied until the damping ratio of the 41st mode exceeds 10%. Figure 15 shows the linear analysis when $D_{p11}$ changes from its initial value to a relatively infinite value. It is adequate for the value of $D_{p11}$ to be 15.

The same method is adopted for the remaining modes until all of them are moved to the desired damping area. The obtained new $D_p$ values are shown in Fig. 16.

Based on the angular speed of the first SM represented in Figs. 13 and 14, it seems that both of the proposed methods can dampen the low-frequency oscillation. The angular speed of the first machine reaches its steady-state value for the two contingencies. The VPSS damping technique is preferred because it allows us to easily determine the desired damping ratio and which part of the system is responsible for the undamped mode. This can be considered as an extrinsic method. However, the $D_p$ technique is regarded as an intrinsic method as it acts on one of the VSM parameters.

TABLE IV

| Number of VSM | VPSS Gains | 
|---------------|------------|
|               | Case 1     | Case 2     |
| 1             | 50         | 70         |
| 2             | 40         | 45         |
| 3             | 40         | 70         |
| 4             | 50         | 45         |
| 5             | 50         | 70         |
| 6             | 50         | 70         |
| 7             | 40         | 60         |
| 8             | 50         | 70         |
| 9             | 40         | 30         |
| 10            | 50         | 30         |
| 11            | 50         | 70         |
| 12            | 50         | 70         |
| 13            | 50         | 70         |

Fig. 12. Eigenvalues of 16 VSMs and 12 VPSSs. (a) Case 1. (b) Case 2.

Fig. 13. Diagram of the first contingency.

Fig. 14. Diagram of the second contingency.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the VSM modeling and tuning are presented to investigate and mitigate the poorly-damped modes in current power systems with several green energy sources. Moreover, the ability of power systems to operate in presence of the inverter-based generation would be possible only by adding a damping controller such as the VPSS or by adjusting the inverter-based generation constant $D_p$. Due to the lack of inertia, the inverter-based sources exhibit eventually undamped and/or unstable low-frequency modes. Nevertheless, the VPSS well masters damping in line with other available methods.

In this study, it was assumed that VSMs are plugged into constant-power green sources. In future work, various wind turbines and photovoltaic models will be added.

APPENDIX A

System algebraic and differential equations are given as:

\[ p \Delta \omega = \frac{1}{2H} (T_m - T_e - D_p \Delta \omega) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A1)}

\[ p \delta = \omega_c \Delta \omega \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A2)}

\[ pE_q = \frac{1}{K} (Q_m - Q_e + u_{stb}) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A3)}

\[ i_s = \frac{1}{R_t^2 + X_t^2} \left[ -R_t E_b \sin \delta + X_t \left( -E_b \cos \delta + E_q \right) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A4)}

\[ T_e = E_q i_q \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A5)}

\[ Q_e = E_q i_d - X_t \left( i_d^2 + i_q^2 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A6)}

\[ R_t = R_e + X_t \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A7)}

\[ n_1 = \frac{E_b}{R_t^2 + X_t^2} \left( X_t \cos \delta^0 + R_t \sin \delta^0 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A8)}

\[ n_2 = \frac{R_t}{R_t^2 + X_t^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A9)}

\[ m_1 = \frac{E_b}{R_t^2 + X_t^2} \left( -R_t \cos \delta^0 + X_t \sin \delta^0 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A10)}

\[ m_2 = \frac{X_t}{R_t^2 + X_t^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A11)}

\[ K_1 = n_1 E_q^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A12)}

\[ K_2 = n_2 E_q^0 + i_q^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A13)}

\[ K_3 = m_1 \left( E_q^0 - 2X_t i_q^0 \right) - 2n_1 X_t i_q^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A14)}

\[ K_4 = m_2 \left( E_q^0 - 2X_t i_q^0 \right) - 2n_2 X_t i_q^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A15)}

\[ K_5 = \frac{1}{K_4} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A16)}

\[ T_2 = K_2/K_4 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A17)}

\[ K_6 = \left( n_1 X_t e_q^0 - m_2 X_t e_q^0 \right)/V_t^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A18)}

\[ K_7 = \left[ n_2 X_t e_q^0 - \left( m_2 X_t + 1 \right) e_q^0 \right]/V_t^0 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A19)}

SVSMIB parameters are given as shown in Table AI.

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| $P_\nu$  | 0.9 p.u. | $X_e$  | 0.65 p.u. |
| $Q_\nu$  | 0.3 p.u. | $H$    | 5 s    |
| $V_\nu$  | 1.36 p.u. | $D_p$  | 6 p.u. |
| $E_b$    | 0.995 p.u. | $K$    | 0.2    |
| $X_t$    | 0.3 p.u. | $K_u$   | 10     |
| $R_e$    | 0 p.u. | $T_2$   | 0 s    |
SVSMIB initial values are calculated as shown in Table AII.

| Variable | Initial value |
|----------|---------------|
| $\delta_0$ | 50° |
| $\phi_0$ | 0.5076 p.u. |
| $\phi_1$ | 0.8015 p.u. |
| $\phi_2$ | 0.2404 p.u. |
| $\phi_3$ | 0.9707 p.u. |
| $E_0^r$ | 1.1229 p.u. |

Linearized constant values are given as shown in Table AIII.

| Coefficient or parameter | Value |
|--------------------------|-------|
| $n_1$ | 0.6744 |
| $n_2$ | 0 |
| $m_1$ | 0.8015 |
| $m_2$ | 1.0526 |
| $K_1$ | 0.7574 |
| $K_2$ | 0.8015 |
| $K_3$ | 0.3316 |
| $K_4$ | 0.8615 |
| $K_5$ | 1.1608 |
| $T_a$ | 0.23 s |
| $K_h$ | -0.1847 |
| $K_2$ | 0.6641 |
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