Cancer stem cells in esophageal squamous cell cancer (Review)
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Abstract. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are hypothesized to govern the origin, progression, drug resistance, recurrence and metastasis of human cancer. CSCs have been identified in nearly all types of human cancer, including esophageal squamous cell cancer (ESCC). Four major methods are typically used to isolate or enrich CSCs, including: i) fluorescence-activated cell sorting or magnetic-activated cell sorting using cell-specific surface markers; ii) stem cell markers, including aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1; iii) side population cell phenotype markers; and iv) microsphere culture methods. ESCC stem cells have been identified using a number of these methods. An increasing number of stem cell signatures and pathways have been identified, which have assisted in the clarification of molecular mechanisms that regulate the stemness of ESCC stem cells. Certain viruses, such as human papillomavirus and hepatitis B virus, are also considered to be important in the formation of CSCs, and there is a crosstalk between stemness and viruses-associated genes/pathways, which may suggest a potential therapeutic strategy for the eradication of CSCs. In the present review, findings are summarized along these lines of inquiry.
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1. Introduction

Cancer is one of the most devastating diseases afflicting humans, not only because it negatively affects the health and quality of life of patients, but also because it places a great burden on society as a whole (1). A significant amount of progress in cancer treatment has been made over the last two decades; however, For all cancers combined, the 5-year relative survival rate of patients at advance stages with cancer remains less than 20% during the most recent time period (2007-2013) in United States (2). Surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy are currently the main forms of cancer treatment; however, novel therapeutic approaches, including precision treatment (3), personalized therapy (4), molecular target therapy (5), complex immunologic therapy (6) and endocrine therapy (7,8), have also emerged. In addition, targeting cancer stem cells (CSCs) is an approach that has attracted an increasing amount of attention in cancer research, both in the lab and in clinical settings (9,10).

In the early 20th century, Rous (11) reported that cancer resembles embryonic tissues, to a certain degree, which led to the speculation that cancer may originate from certain types of stem cells. However, Rous's speculation did not draw much attention until 1997, when Bonnet and Dick (12) demonstrated that, in acute myeloid leukemia, cancer cells are heterogeneous instead of homogeneous. Normal stem cells are now being viewed as the primary source of CSCs, which are also known as cancer stem cells (CSCs) and tumor initiating cells, since genetic mutations in these cells result in cancer and other malignant tumors, which is mediated by the acquirement of self-renewal ability. CSCs originate from stem cells or progenitor cells in transformed tissues through deregulated self-renewal (13). In addition, CSCs have been identified and later isolated from a variety of different cancer types such as in acute myeloid leukemia (14), and several solid tumors including breast cancer (15), glioblastoma (16), prostate cancer (17) and colon cancer (18,19). All CSCs share the following major characteristics: i) Unlimited proliferation: ii) self-renewal,
and iii) multiple differentiation. In addition, the asymmetric division of CSCs can produce entire tumors that resemble the primary tumor. CSCs originate from the mutation of normal tissue stem cells or the dedifferentiation of differentiated tissue cells (Fig. 1). Different experimental strategies have been used to examine stemness. For example, MTT and/or CCK-8 assays can examine proliferation ability (20). In addition, microsphere formation can be used to investigate self-renewal ability and enrich CSCs, since only the CSCs can form microspheres when cultured in suspension without serum but with growth factors, including epidermal growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, B27 and insulin. However, limited dilution combined with xenograft in immune deficient mice is the gold standard in testing for cell stemness (21).

As one of the most prevalent and deadly malignancies in China, esophageal cancer with respective modality and mortality rates of 478 and 375 per 10,000, has become the focus of an increasing number of studies (1). Among different types of esophageal cancer, squamous cell cancer is the most common malignancy among the Chinese population (22). The present review will focus on the role of CSCs in esophageal squamous cell cancer (ESCC), including their incidence and 5-year survive rate, isolation, identification, signaling pathways, association with viral infection, and their involvement in diagnosis and treatment.

2. Isolation of CSCs from ESCC

ESCC is a common malignant tumor of the digestive tract, and relapse and metastasis to surrounding lymphatic nodes are major traits of ESCC (23). Since this type of cancer usually exhibits few or no obvious symptoms at the early stages of disease, >50% of patients with ESCC present with metastases and unresectable tumor at the time of diagnosis (24) and the overall 5-year survive rate remains at 15-25% (25). In addition, due to the anatomical location, ESCC is difficult to completely resect, and ESCC is less sensitive to chemotherapy and radiotherapy (26). Given the important roles of CSCs in cancer initiation, progression, recurrence and metastasis (27), targeting ESCC-specific CSCs has become an attractive alternative. One of the major obstacles in CSC research and application is obtaining CSCs. There are currently four frequently used, well-established methods in CSC isolation. Although the gold standard for defining a CSC or tissue stem cell would be serial transplantation, this is a timely and costly experiment (28).

3. Cell surface markers

A common method used to isolate CSCs is to utilize cell surface markers, including cluster of differentiation (CD) 90 and CD44, for isolation by flow cytometry (10). This was also the earliest reported method. Using flow cytometry Bonnet and Dick (12) identified that CD34+ /CD38- leukemic cells were acute myeloid leukemia stem cells in 1997. Since then, it appears that CSCs can be isolated from almost every type of cancer using this method, i.e. utilizing special cell surface markers, primarily CD molecules. Although several studies (29-32) have demonstrated an association between CDs, including CD133, and self-renewal and multidirectional differentiation abilities, it is not apparent as to why CDs can be regarded as surface markers for CSCs. Following the silencing of CD133, the stemness of CSCs was decreased (33). ESCC was not an exception to this phenomenon. In a previous study, CD90+ ESCC cells were isolated using flow cytometry, and further characterization of this population by mRNA profiling suggested that CD90+ is involved in tumor growth and metastasis, via the dysregulation of the Ets-1/matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) signaling pathway and a change from an epithelial phenotype to a mesenchymal phenotype. The isolated CD90+ ESCC cells possessed a higher self-renewal capability and were able to initiate tumor growth, differentiation, metastasis and chemotherapeutic resistance (34). CD44 is a common stem cell marker that has been identified in several types of human cancer, including breast (31,35), gastric (36), prostate (37) and colorectal cancer (38), as well as glioma (39). In addition, CD44 is considered to be a stem cell marker of ESCC (40).

4. Serum-free suspension culture

The second method for isolating CSCs from ESCC is to use a serum-free medium suspension culture. In the mid-1960s, serum-free culture was first used to enable the L cell line to propagate from its low density of inoculum by adding specific nutrients to substitute the serum (41). Serum-free culture was subsequently applied in a cytokine supported stroma-free suspension culture protocols (42,43). To achieve a specific aim, including collecting cytokines or high molecular weight proteins released from cultured cells. Serum-free suspension culture was first applied to isolate human primitive hematopoietic progenitor cells (44) and is widely regarded as an effective method for isolating stem cells or CSCs. However, serum-free suspension culture exhibits limitations, due to the impaired differentiation and increased proliferation abilities of CSCs. It is speculated that the cells in the center of spheres are prone to be senescent. In a sphere, the CSCs are not homogeneous, but hierarchical. The cells closer to the core of the sphere are more differentiated, which is possibly explained by the fact that fewer nutrients reach the core. The cells in the core are prone to differentiation due to a lack of growth factor (45). It is largely accepted that the number of CSCs in the sphere continuously increases, as the cells undergo further passage (46). However, the risk of gene variation increases with each passage. Theoretically, only CSCs can form microspheres; however, not every sphere cell is a CSC. The sphere formation ability can, to a certain extent, reflect the self-renewal ability of CSCs (46). In numerous types of cancer, microsphere cells from the serum-free medium suspension culture have been demonstrated to exhibit stem cell-like characteristics (47,48). Wang et al (49) reported that sphere cells isolated from the ESCC cell lines KYSE 150 and TE1 are more resistant to radiotherapy, when compared with parental cells.

5. Side population cells

A third method of CSC isolation is to utilize specific stem cell phenotype determinants, including side population (SP) cells. In 1997, Goodell et al (50) utilized dual-wavelength flow cytometry analysis of murine bone marrow cells stained with
the fluorescent DNA-binding dye Hoechst 33342 to obtain a small fraction of cells that could efflux the Hoechst dye, defining an extremely small and homogeneous population of cells termed SP cells. These cells are primarily CD34- and lineage marker-negative, highly enriched for long-term culture-initiating cells, which is an indicator of primitive hematopoietic cells, and exhibit the capacity to differentiate into T cells. In addition, SP cells have been demonstrated to have a special type of stem cell phenotype in several normal tissues, including the hematopoietic system (51) and breast epithelium tissue (52). SP cells have also been identified in numerous types of cancer tissue, including leukemia (53), multiple myeloma (54) and breast cancer tissues (55). Furthermore, SP cells can trans-differentiate into other tissue cells. For example, bone marrow-derived SP cells can promote respiratory damage repair in mice in vivo (56). Although SP markers can be viewed as a common stem cell phenotype marker, some debate still exists. ATP binding cassette subfamily G member 2 (ABCG2) is a membrane receptor, which has a pump function that can remove toxic substances from the cytoplasm, contributing to the phenotype of SP cells. ABCG2 can protect cancer and normal stem cells from X-ray damage. Additionally, ABCG2 has been demonstrated to be a phenotype determinant of SP cells (57). Patrawala et al (58) reported that ~30% of cultured human cancer cells and xenograft tumors possess a detectable SP. Purified SP cells from U373 glioma cells, MCF7 breast cancer cells and a xenograft prostate tumor were more tumorigenic compared with the corresponding non-SP cells. These SP cells also possess intrinsic stem cell properties, as they generate non-SP cells in vivo, can be further transplanted, and preferentially express specific stemness genes, including Notch-1 and β-catenin (58).

Since the SP phenotype is primarily mediated by ABCG2, an ATP-binding cassette half-transporter associated with multidrug resistance, the tumorigenicity of ABCG2+ and ABCG2- cancer cells was investigated. Although SP cells exhibited an increased ABCG2 mRNA expression compared with the non-SP cells, all examined cancer cells and xenograft tumors expressed ABCG2 in a small fraction (0.5-3.0%) of the cells, highly purified ABCG2+ cancer cells have a very similar tumorigenicity to the ABCG2- cancer cells (58). A mechanistic study has indicated that ABCG2 expression is associated with proliferation and ABCG2+ cancer cells can generate ABCG2- cells (58). However, ABCG2- cancer cells can also generate ABCG2+ cells. Furthermore, the ABCG2- cancer cells form more and larger clones in long-term clonal analyses, and the ABCG2+ population preferentially expresses several stemness genes (58). These results suggested that, although the SP is enriched with tumorigenic cancer stem-like cells and the ABCG2 expression primarily identifies fast-cycling tumor progenitors, the ABCG2+ population contains primitive cancer stem-like cells. Therefore, ABCG2+ cancer cells are not equal to SP cells.

Several studies have isolated CSCs from ESCC using SP cells. For example, in 2008, Zhang et al (59) used flow cytometry to serially sort stem-like SP cells, demonstrating that radioresistant cell lines included more SP cells than parent cell lines. Another Chinese research group reported that the number of SP cells was increased in tumor spheres, when compared with adherent cells (60). A previous study by Yue et al (61) demonstrated that stem cell-like SPs in ESCC were a cause of chemotherapy resistance and metastasis. The SP subpopulation was detected using Hoechst 33342 staining in five ESCC cell lines, OE19, OE21, OE33,
PT1590 and LN1590. Chemotherapy-resistant cell lines were developed following long-term exposure to 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and cisplatin, and were validated by an analysis of resistance markers, thymidylate synthase and excision repair cross-complement gene 1. While the LN1590 and PT1590 cell lines did not exhibit detectable SP cells, OE19, OE21 and OE33 cells were observed to contain varying levels of SP cells. With the increasing duration of 5-FU or cisplatin therapy, the SP subpopulation substantially emerged in the PT1590 and LN1590 cell lines. SP OE19 cells displayed a significantly higher tumorigenicity compared with non-SP OE19 cells, following the subcutaneous injection of tumor cells in vivo. SP cells isolated from OE19 and OE19 5-FU-resistant cells were subsequently analyzed by an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) polymerase chain reaction array. Notably, the SP fraction of 5-FU resistant OE19 cells led to a marked upregulation of EMT-associated genes, when compared with the SP fraction of OE19 cells (61). These results provided the following evidence: i) The proportion of SP cells is different in ESCC; ii) SP cells exhibit stem cell-like properties and are associated with chemotherapy resistance; and iii) long-term exposure to cytotoxic drug selects for SP cells with an upregulated EMT gene profile, which may be the source of systemic disease relapse. Further investigations are necessary to target these EMT-associated SP cells in ESCC.

6. ALDH1

The fourth method for isolating CSCs is the utilization of chemotherapy resistance markers, including ALDH1. ALDH1 has been demonstrated to be a candidate CSC biomarker (62) and has been associated with CSC-like characteristics in numerous types of human cancer (63). Early in 2005, ALDH1 was reported to be expressed at a higher level in CD34+ cells, when compared with differentiated cells, highlighting the important role of ALDH1 in normal hemopoietic stem cell biology (64). Seigel et al (65) reported that <1% of retino-blastoma cells exhibit immunoreactivity against the stem cell markers ABCG2 and ALDH1, suggesting that CSCs account for a small fraction of the cells in human cancer. In the following decade, ALDH1 was identified to be overexpressed in various other CSCs, including those of breast (35), lung (66) and pancreatic cancer (67), and can therefore be regarded as a common CSC marker. In ESCC, the expression of ALDH1 protein in the nucleus of ESCCs is associated with lymph node metastasis and a poor survival, suggesting that ALDH1 may be involved in the aggressive behavior of ESCC (68). Although there is no direct evidence to validate that ALDH1+ cells in ESCC are cancer stem-like cells, several studies hypothesize this to be the case. For example, Song et al (69) demonstrated that the short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown of yes-associated protein 1 (YAP1) or SRY-box (SOX) 9 in transformed cells attenuates CSC phenotypes in vitro and tumorigenicity in vivo in ESCC. The small-molecule inhibitor of YAP1, verteporfin, blocks ESCC CSC properties in cells with high YAP1 and a high proportion of ALDH1+ cells (69). Furthermore, Chen et al (70) recently revealed that ALDH1 staining was positively linked to a higher clinical stage, higher loco-regional failure rate, and shorter survival time in ESCC.

7. Identification of ESCC CSCs

Following the isolation of a CSC, its identification and verification is imperative. Due to the abundance of isolation methods, different isolation methods have been reported to identify the same CSC with a different cellular phenotype (71). A common method to identify CSCs is to examine the stemness of isolated cells based on three major characteristics: i) Unlimited proliferation ability; ii) self-renewal ability; and iii) strong tumorigenesis (71). Since the concept of CSCs was first introduced, scientists have attempted to isolate CSCs from human ESCC cell lines and tissues. The three aforementioned CSC characteristics have been applied to investigate the stemness of isolated ESCC cells. Yang et al (72) identified leucine zipper and EF-hand containing transmembrane protein 1 (LETM1) as a marker of cancer stem-like cells and predicted a poor prognosis in ESCC based on the protein expression of LETM1, which was positively correlated with CSC markers in ESCC cell lines. Liu et al (73) reported that Cripto-1 could act as a functional marker of cancer stem-like cells and predict prognosis in patients with ESCC. The suppression of the Cripto-1 expression by shRNA markedly reduced the expression of stemness- and EMT-associated genes, in addition to their self-renewal capabilities in vitro, and tumorigenicity and metastasis in vivo in ESCC cells. Aldefluor, a fluorescent substrate of aldehyde dehydrogenase, has been used to isolate CSCs; however, it was not as easy to observe the interaction between CSCs and non-CSCs (74). Almanaa et al (75) introduced the attached-cell Aldefluor method to detect CSCs in ESCC cell lines. Almanaa et al (75) also demonstrated a novel method for generating and growing tumor spheres without the formation of a capsule and the suppression and longer survival in Seg-1R-bearing mice with parental cells. Ad/TRAIL-E1 resulted in significant tumor growth suppression and longer survival in Seg-1R-bearing mice with no significant toxicity. Chen et al (80) hypothesized that the placental growth factor (PLGF)+ ESCC TE1 cell line may be CSCs, since significantly higher levels of PLGF and MMP9 were detected in ESCCs with metastasis, when compared with those without, and the expression levels of PLGF and MMP9 were strongly correlated with each other. This resulted...
in the hypothesis that PLGF may activate MMP9 to drive the stemness of ESCC. Tsai et al (81) identified intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1) as a potential CSC marker of ESCC using two distinct stemness characteristics of cancer cell lines by a comparative approach that screened for novel CSC membrane protein markers. ICAM1 promotes cancer cell migration and invasion, increases mesenchymal marker expression and attenuates epithelial marker expression. Furthermore, ICAM1 has been reported to contribute to CSC properties, including sphere formation, drug resistance and tumorigenesis in a mouse xenotransplantation model (81). Based on the analysis of ICAM1-regulated proteins, it was revealed that ICAM1 regulates CSC properties, partly through an ICAM1-pituitary tumor-transforming gene 1 protein-interacting protein-p53-DNA methyltransferase 1 pathway. It was also observed that ICAM1 and CD44 could have a compensation effect on maintaining the stemness characteristics of ESCC, suggesting that a combination of multi-targeting therapies should be considered to acquire a more potent therapeutic effect on ESCC CSCs (81). A commonly used CSC marker, ALDH1A1, was identified to define invasive cancer stem-like cells and predict poor prognosis in patients with ESCC (68). CD90 (also termed Thy-1) is a surface glycoprotein of 25-28 kDa, which is expressed on the cytoplasmic membrane of different cell types (82). CD90+ ESCC cells have been observed to possess stem cell-like characteristics, and a high tumorigenic and metastatic potential (34). mRNA profiling of CD90+ ESCC cells suggested they drive tumor growth and metastasis via the dysregulation of an Ets-1/MMP signaling pathway (34). CD90+ ESCC cells possess higher self-renewal activity and are sufficient for tumor growth, differentiation, metastasis and chemotherapeutic resistance. CD90+ tumor-initiating cells were isolated and characterized from ESCC clinical specimens as well as ESCC cell lines. In freshly resected clinical specimens, they represented a rare cell population, the levels of which correlated with strong family histories and lymph node metastasis (34). Although emerging evidence has suggested that bone marrow derived stem cells can cause cancer cells to become CSCs (83), as has been observed in gastric cancer, this was not the case in ESCC. Fan and Lu (84) reported that fusion of human bone marrow cells with esophageal carcinoma cells was unable to generate esophageal cancer stem cells.

8. Signaling pathways dysregulated in ESCC CSCs

A growing number of signaling pathways, such as Hippo (85) and Wnt (86), have been demonstrated to participate in the regulation of stem cells and CSCs are no exceptions to this. Stem cells are defined by their capacity to undergo unlimited cell division while retaining their stem cell identity, and give rise to more specialized cells with a limited proliferative capacity. Unlimited proliferation, self-renewal and multi-directional differentiation are three major traits closely associated with the stemness of stem cells. During unlimited proliferation, stem cells can retain their stem cell identity; thus, populations of stem cells are self-renewing. On the other hand, proliferation can also generate specialized cells that are differentiated. Numerous stemness pathways can regulate these three major stem-like traits and different signaling pathways such as WNT/NOTCH Pathway and Sonic Hedgehog Signaling can regulate different stemness characteristics (87,88).

From the signaling pathways dysregulated in ESCC, certain stem-like characteristics have been identified to be associated with CSCs in ESCC. Zhang et al (60) reported that R cells in ESCC exhibited CSC-like traits and highly expressed β-catenin. In addition, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor NS398 had a radiosensitization effect on R cells via the downregulation of β-catenin (89). SB525334, a transforming growth factor-β 1 (TGF-β1) inhibitor, has been identified to markedly inhibit the migration and invasion of sphere-forming stem-like cells, yet had no effect on their sphere-forming ability, this suggested that TGF-β1 may be a biomarker for metastasis in ESCC stem cells; however, was not required for self-renewal (90). TGF-β1 can be regarded as a molecular target for the eradication of ESCC stem cell metastasis (90). In addition to its implication in ESCC stem cells, TGF-β1 has been reported to regulate the stemness of other CSCs, including those of liver cancer (91,92). TGF-β1 can also be induced by a bispecific EpCAM* CD3 antibody to be released from pre-activated lymphocytes and target EpCAM* retinoblastoma stem cells (93). Although immunotherapy applied to ESCC is not yet effective, we anticipate that in the near future, CSCs may become a highly efficient therapeutic target cell type for immunotherapy in ESCC. The hedgehog (Hh) pathway is involved in CSC maintenance in various types of tumor, and Glioma-associated oncogene homolog 1 (Gli1) is a key mediator of the Hh pathway (94,95). Yang et al (96) reported Gli1 to be expressed in 28.3% of ESCC and to be an indicator of ESCC stem cells, and its expression was correlated with the expression of the stemness genes SOX9 and CD44. Gli1, CD44 and SOX9 were highly expressed in poorly differentiated ESCC cell lines, including TE8 and TE1 cells. Notably, the Gli1 expression was positively associated with distant metastasis, increased microvessel density and the expression of cell cycle regulators, including p21, cyclin D1, cyclin E1 and NF-κB. Fujiwara et al (97) used a NanoCulture® Plate for 3-dimensional cell culture to prepare spheroids from ESCC cells and demonstrated that these spheroids possessed stem cell-like characteristics. Li et al (unpublished data) also utilized serum-free medium supplemented with growth factors to culture ESCC microspheres and observed that these spheroids displayed a number of CSC-like characteristics. These spheroid cells highly expressed the ALDH1 enzyme, and the mRNA expression of the stem cell-associated genes SOX2, NANOG, OCT3/4 and LIN28 were also elevated (97). Notably, several common stem cell surface markers, including CD44, CD133, CD338 (ABCG2), CD318 (CDCP1) and CD326 (EpCAM), were not observed to be elevated. However, Kanamoto et al (98) reported that a single dose of irradiation can induce EMT and CD44 expression, conferring ESCC to acquire cancer stem-like cell properties. miRNAs were also identified to be involved in the signaling pathways of ESCC cancer stem cells. Downregulation of miRNA-644a was suggested to promote ESCC aggressiveness and stem cell-like phenotype via dysregulation of paired like homeodomain 2 (99).

9. Signaling pathway differences between normal and CSCs

Until now, stem cells could be classified into several categories, including embryonic or totipotent stem cells, pluripotent...
stem cells, and tissue-specific stem or precursor cells, thus constituting a hierarchy of stem cells (100). CSCs can also be organized in a hierarchical fashion (101). This theory was initially proposed by Bonnet and Dick (12) in a pioneering study that isolated and identified CSCs in human acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer research then began to focus on stem cells. To a certain extent, a large portion of the CSC research that followed was derived from this initial study. As with normal stem cells, different signaling pathways such as Wnt and Hedgehog signaling participate in the maintenance and regulation of CSCs (102,103). Due to the fact that CSCs are aberrant stem cells, stemness genes are abnormally upregulated or downregulated in CSCs. In addition, CSCs are cancer cells; thus these signaling molecules should also be specific to cancer and dysregulated in cancer cells. Therefore, stemness genes or signaling pathways dysregulated in CSCs should possess two properties: i) The ability to signal the activation of downstream targets that contribute to the maintenance of the three major characteristics of stem cells; and ii) the ability to maintain malignant characteristics (104).

10. Virus and ESCC stem cells

It is widely accepted that viruses, including hepatitis B (105), herpes simplex (106), Epstein-Barr viruses (107) and human papillomavirus (HPV) (108), can induce carcinogenesis in specific organs and tissues. In addition, specific viruses have been identified to participate in the formation of CSCs and can target CSCs, and targeting CSCs has been hypothesized to be a treatment method for metastatic cancer due to their high metastatic ability (109). Early in 1999, Hirai et al (110) identified that replication-deficient recombinant adenovirus (Adv) containing the p53 tumor suppressor gene (Adv-p53) can eliminate the human breast CSC products to decrease relapse. In 2007, Eriksson et al (111) reported that oncolytic adenoviruses Ad5/3-Delta24 and Ad5.pk7-Delta24 can selectively kill breast cancer-initiating CD44⁺CD24⁻ cells, and Cho et al (112) isolated and characterized CSCs in MMTV-Wnt-1 murine breast tumors. It has also been demonstrated that the Epstein-Barr virus is an important human carcinogen (113), particularly in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (114). In addition, Satoru et al (115) demonstrated that the principal oncoprotein of Epstein-Barr virus, latent membrane protein 1, promotes tumor cell invasion and metastasis, as well as EMT, and is involved in the formation of CSCs in nasopharyngeal cancer. Furthermore, HPV, which is a common virus closely associated with the occurrence of cervical, oropharyngeal and penile cancer, and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, was implicated in the occurrence of CSCs (116-119). Cervosphere cells from cervical cancer cell lines, including SiHa, were selectively infected with the HPV virus, since, in confocal images, only cervosphere cells expressed the HPV co-receptor Annexin II on their surface (118). HPV co-receptor Annexin II was less expressed in C-33A cells, an HPV-negative cervical cancer cell line, and in HaCaT cells, a non-tumorigenic immortalized cell line, compared with HPV-positive cervical cancer cell line and tumorigenic cervical cancer cell line (118). Notably, HPV⁺ oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas had an improved prognosis and an increased sensitivity to radiation and chemotherapy compared with their HPV⁻ counterparts; differences in the role of the host immune system can partly explain this deviation (118).

Figure 2. Certain viruses can cause normal stem cells to undergo malignant transformation to cancer stem cells via the inactivation of important suppressors, including p53 and retinoblastoma genes.
Although the mechanism through which viruses contribute to the stemness of cancers remains to be completely elucidated, several studies have attempted to investigate it. For example, the HPV E6 protein can dysregulate p53, which has been observed to be closely associated with CSCs (120). p53 is ‘the guardian of the genome’, since genome mutation or instability leads to tumor occurrence (121). Recently, several studies have implied that p53-deficiency confers a CSC-like phenotype (122,123). In cases of cell damage, p53 can lead to cell growth arrest and apoptosis with expression level changes of Nanog and Notch via binding to the promoter region of these two stemness genes. Under normal circumstances, p53 inhibits the Notch pathway; however, when infected with HPV this inhibition is relieved, causing a more proliferative state through the activation of Notch (124). Another common tumor suppressor, the retinoblastoma (Rb) gene, is often mutated in several types of tumors and is associated with CSCs. HPV can inactivate Rb, thereby causing cancer. Inactivated Rb predisposes cells to a highly proliferative state through binding to and activating the E2F protein. The E2F protein is a transcriptional factor that promotes cell division (125). The HPV E7 protein forms complexes with Rb, which frees Rb from E2F and promotes unregulated cell proliferation (126). This process is summarized in Fig. 2.

Numerous viruses, including HPV, herpes simplex virus, cytomegalovirus and Epstein-Barr virus, can infect the esophageal epithelium (127-129). As a result, infection with these viruses may contribute to the pathogenesis of ESCCs. HPV was the first virus to be associated with the pathogenesis of ESCC. In 1990, a study performed in an area of China with a high ESCC-associated morbidity rate revealed that HPV was associated with the carcinogenesis of ESCC, as demonstrated by histological analysis and in situ DNA hybridization (130). Subsequently, a number of studies (131-133) have reinforced this association, although to the best of our knowledge, evidence for HPV as an initiating factor has yet to be established. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the molecular mechanism of HPV infection-associated carcinogenesis in esophagus mucosa and ESCC tissue is unclear. In 2016, Xi et al (134) utilized a lentiviral vector to stably overexpress the high-risk HPV 16, E6 and E7 proteins, and conferred stem-like characteristics on an ESCC cell line, thus providing direct evidence to support HPV participation in the formation of CSCs. However, in ESCC low-morbidity areas, such as Italy, Syrjänen (135) reported that HPV cannot be detected in the ESCC tissue. This result was consistent with those of other studies (136-138), suggesting that, in ESCC low-morbidity areas, HPV is not crucial for esophageal epithelium carcinogenesis. A case-controlled study in Zambia implied that HPV infection was not a risk factor; however, HIV infection and domestic smoke exposure were (139). Consequently, a conclusion can be drawn that HPV alone is insufficient for the occurrence of ESCC, neither is it essential. HPV infection may be a promoting factor in the pathogenesis of ESCC, but not an initiating one.

11. Conclusion

In conclusion, CSCs are regarded as target cells that when effectively eradicated have the potential to treat human cancer. However, there are currently no therapeutics or treatments aimed at eradicating CSCs. Such is the case in ESCC, although CSCs have been identified in ESCC. Due to a lack of early detection methods in ESCC, the disease is frequently diagnosed at the mid or late stages of progression, accompanied by lymph-node metastasis. Systemic therapy, such as targeting CSCs, may ultimately prove crucial for the eradication of this malignant disease. CSCs have been isolated and identified in ESCC for over a decade; however, effective therapies targeting these cells are lacking. Further studies along these lines of investigation are urgently required in order to identify novel and effective therapeutics for ESCC.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

The present study was funded by grants from the Natural Science Funding of China (grant no. 71473073) and the Department of Science and Technology of Hubei province (grant nos. 2015CFC7470 and 2015CFC773).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during the present study are included in this published article.

Authors’ contributions

QW, ZW, CB and WL wrote the manuscript. HH, YS and ZC contributed to the review of the literature. HZ and ZN contributed to the review of the literature. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Patient consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, Jemal A, Yu XQ and He J: Cancer statistics in China 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 66: 115-132, 2016.
2. Siegel RL, Miller KD and Jemal A: Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 68: 7-30, 2018.
3. Huang Y, Qu S, Zhu G, Wang F, Liu R, Shen X, Viola D, Elisei R, Puxeddu E, Fugazzola L, et al.: BRAF V600E mutation-assisted risk stratification of solitary intrathyroidal papillary thyroid cancer for precision treatment. J Natl Cancer Inst 110: 362-370, 2018.
4. Leonard KL and Wazer DE: Genomic assays and individualized treatment of ductal carcinoma in situ in the era of value-based cancer care. J Clin Oncol 34: 3953-2955, 2016.
5. Dos Santos M, Brachet PE, Chevreau C and Joly F: Impact of targeted therapies in metastatic renal cell carcinoma on patient-reported outcomes: Methodology of clinical trials and clinical trial benchmarks. J Urol 197: 979-989, 2017.

6. Chen DS and Mellman I: Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. Nature 541: 321-330, 2017.

7. Basaran GA, Twelves C, Diéras V, Cortés J and Awada A: Ongoing unmet needs in treating estrogen receptor-positive HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. Cancer Treat Rev 63: 101550, 2022.

8. Bourke L, Kirkbride P, Hooper R, Rosario AJ, Chico TJ and Rosario DJ: Endocrine therapy in prostate cancer: Time for reappraisal of risks, benefits and cost-effectiveness? Br J Cancer 108: 9-13, 2013.

9. Han SH, Kim JW, Kim M, Kim JH, Lee KW, Kim BH, Oh HK, Kim DW, Kang SB, Kim H and Shin E: Prognostic implication of ABC transporters and cancer stem cell markers in patients with stage III colon cancer receiving adjuvant FOLFOX-4 chemotherapy. Oncol Lett 17: 5572-5580, 2019.

10. Xu P, Fu D, Li YJ, Hu JD, Wang X, Zhou JF, Yu H, Zhao X, Huang YH, Jiang L, et al: Anthracine dose optimisation in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A multicentre, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet Haematol 6: e238-e337, 2019.

11. Rous P: The relations of embryonic tissue and tumor in mixed grafts. J Exp Med 13: 239-247, 1911.

12. Bonnet D and Dick JE: Human acute myeloid leukemia is organized as a hierarchy that originates from a primitive hematopoietic cell. Nat Med 3: 730-737, 1997.

13. ZD: Carcinogenesis. Medicine (ed. Zaridze, D.G.): 1-567, 2004.

14. Dick JE and Tsvee L: Biology of normal and acute myeloid leukemic cells. Int J Hematol 82: 389-396, 2005.

15. Wright MH, Calcagno AM, Salecido CD, Carlson MD, Ambudkar SV and Lyuba V: Brca1 breast tumors contain distinct CD44+CD24- and CD133+ cells with cancer stem cell characteristics. Breast Cancer Res 10: R10, 2008.

16. Singh SK, Hawkins C, Clarke ID, Squire JA, Bayani J, Hide T, Henkelman RM, Cusimano MD and Dirks PB: Identification of human brain tumour initiating cells. Nature 432: 396-401, 2004.

17. Collins AT, Berry PA, Hyde C, Stower MJ and Maitland NJ: ST6GALNAC1 is a promising biomarker and therapeutic target for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell line. Exp Cell Res 351: 283-290, 2017.

18. Lin Y, Totsuka Y, He Y, Gollin SM, Gamblin TC, Geller DA and Lagasse E: A stochastic model for cancer stem cell origin in metastatic colon cancer. Cancer Research 68: 6932-6941, 2008.

19. Vermeulen L, Todaro M, de Sousa Mello F, Sprick MR, Kemper K, Reimondi C, Mokbel K, Gabellini C, Buglioni S, Pallocca M, Alessandrini G, Gabellini D, Holding I, Madjed Z: Co-expression of putative cancer stem cell markers CD44, CD133 and CD166 in colorectal cancer. Pathol Oncol Res 23: 793-802, 2017.

20. Zhang H, Hao C, Wang H, Shang H and Li Z: Carboxypeptidase A4 promotes proliferation and stem cell characteristics of hepatoma cells. Cancer Discov: pii: CD-18-1308, 2019.

21. Haylock DN, To LB, Dowse TL, Juttner CA and Simmons PJ: Expression of the natural product geranylgeraniol and farnesol in oesophageal cancer. World J Gastroenterol 20: 18296-18305, 2014.

22. Lin PP, Li M, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D and Bray F: Cancer incidence in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 136: E359-E386, 2015.

23. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D and Bray F: Cancer incidence in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 136: E359-E386, 2015.

24. Abasa N, Xie W, Li Q, Qu W, Gao Y, Hu X, Cao H, Zhou J, Li L, et al: PRMT5 promotes tumor progression through the PI3K-Akt pathway by decreasing tumor-initiating cell frequency. Clin Cancer Res 25: 2835-2847, 2019.
50. Goodell MA, Rosenzweig M, Kim H, Marks DF, DeMaria M, Paradis G, Grupp SA, Sieff CA, Mulligan RC and Johnson RP: Dye efflux studies suggest that hematopoietic stem cells express drug efflux transporters at detectable levels of CD34 antigen exist in multiple species. Nat Med 3: 1337-1345, 1997.

51. Parmar K, Sank-Schubert C, Burdick D, Handley M and Mauch P: Current approaches in identification and isolation of cancer stem cells. J Cell Physiol: Feb 11, 2019 doi: 10.1002/jcp.28271 (Epub ahead of print).

52. Alvi AJ, Clayton H, Joshi C, Alvi MA, Jayaraj V, Nadeem M, Laskar A, Kondapalli P, Verma V, Mathew P and Parida S: Identification of LEMI as a functional molecule in cancer stem-like cells and predictor of poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Hum Pathol 81: 145-156, 2018.

53. Liu Q, Cui X, Xu Y, Bian BS, Qian F, Hu XG, Ji CD, Yang L, Ren Y, Cui W, et al: Cripto-1 acts as a functional marker of cancer stem-like cells and predicts prognosis of the patients in squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Cancer 16: 81, 2017.

54. Cabrera MC, Hollingsworth RE and Hurt EM: Cancer stem cell plasticity and tumor hierarchy. World J Stem Cells 7: 27-36, 2015.

55. Almamna TN, Geuz M and Jamasi RJ: A new method for identifying stem-like cells in esophageal cancer cell lines. J Cancer 5: 536-548, 2013.

56. Chen MF, Chen PT, Lu MS and Chen WC: Role of ALDH1 in the progression of esophageal cancer and its relationship with tumor microenvironment. Mol Carcinog 57: 78-88, 2018.

57. Akahane M, Maronpot RF, Tazekkadem AP, Akahane M, Bastani S, Safdari R, Farzane A, Fattahi A, Nejadati HR, Norri M and Samadi N: Current approaches in identification and isolation of cancer stem cells. J Cell Physiol: Feb 11, 2019 doi: 10.1002/jcp.28271 (Epub ahead of print).

58. Wang Y, Cui X, Xu Y, Bian BS, Qian F, Hu XG, Ji CD, Yang L, Ren Y, Cui W, et al: Cripto-1 acts as a functional marker of cancer stem-like cells and predicts prognosis of the patients in squamous cell carcinoma. Mol Cancer 16: 81, 2017.

59. Almamna TN, Geuz M and Jamasi RJ: A new method for identifying stem-like cells in esophageal cancer cell lines. J Cancer 5: 536-548, 2013.
110. Hirai M, Kelsey LS, Vaillancourt M, Maneval DC, Watanabe T, Pandey S and Robertson ES: Oncogenic Epstein-Barr virus.

107. Irwin CR, Hitt MM and Evans DH: Targeting nucleotide biosynthesis.

106. Lin TA, Garden AS, Elhalawani H, Elgohari B, Jethanandani A, Galoczova M, Coates P and Vojtesek B: STAT3, stem cells, and associated neoplasia.

104. Reya T, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF and Weissman IL: Stem cells, cancer, and cancer stem cells. Nature 494: 54-64, 2013.

101. Zhang JX, Chen ZH, Xu Y, Chen JW, Weng HW, Yun M, Arai MA, Ochi F, Makita Y, Chiba T, Higashi K, Suganami A, Kanamoto A, Ninomiya I, Harada S, Tsukada T, Okamoto K, Yang Z, Cui Y, Ni W, Kim S and Xuan Y: Gli1, a potential stem cell marker in retinoblastoma and an effective target for treatment of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection in non-anogenital carcinomas. Cancers Onco 8: 220-225, 2017.

108. Ding W, Mouzaki M, You H, Laird JC, Mato J, Lu SC and Cao Z: Triptonide inhibits lung cancer cell tumorigenicity and cancer stem cell activity. J Cell Mol Biol 23: 12, 2018.

105. Zhang M, Kumar B, Piao L, Xie X, Schmitt A, Arradaza N, Swaminathan S and Krishnakumar S: EpCAM is a putative stem marker in retinoblastoma and an effective target for treatment of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection in non-anogenital carcinomas. Cancers Onco 8: 220-225, 2017.

103. Mui UN, Haley CT and Tyring SK: Viral oncology: Molecular basis and pathology. J Clin Med 6: pii: 111, 2017.

111. Cho RW, Wang X, Diethm K, Shedden K, Chen GY, Sherlock G, Gurney A, Lewicki J and Clarke MF: Isolation and molecular characterization of cancer stem cells in MMTV-Wnt-1 murine breast tumors. Stem Cells 24: 364-371, 2006.

112. Mui UN, Haley CT and Tysking S: Viral oncology: Molecular basis and pathology. J Clin Med 6: pii: 111, 2017.

117. Ortiz-Sanchez E, Santiago-Lopez L, Cruz-Dominguez VB, Toledo-Guzman ME, Hernandez-Cueto D, Muniz-Hernandez S, Garin E and Rodriguez E: Characterization of cervical cancer stem cell-like cells: Phenotyping, stemness, and human papillomavirus co-receptor expression. Onco Target 7: 31943-31954, 2016.

113. Mui UN, Haley CT and Tyring SK: Viral oncology: Molecular basis and pathology. J Clin Med 6: pii: 111, 2017.

114. Ortiz-Sanchez E, Santiago-Lopez L, Cruz-Dominguez VB, Toledo-Guzman ME, Hernandez-Cueto D, Muniz-Hernandez S, Garin E and Rodriguez E: Characterization of cervical cancer stem cell-like cells: Phenotyping, stemness, and human papillomavirus co-receptor expression. Onco Target 7: 31943-31954, 2016.

118. Ortiz-Sanchez E, Santiago-Lopez L, Cruz-Dominguez VB, Toledo-Guzman ME, Hernandez-Cueto D, Muniz-Hernandez S, Garin E and Rodriguez E: Characterization of cervical cancer stem cell-like cells: Phenotyping, stemness, and human papillomavirus co-receptor expression. Onco Target 7: 31943-31954, 2016.

119. Ortiz-Sanchez E, Santiago-Lopez L, Cruz-Dominguez VB, Toledo-Guzman ME, Hernandez-Cueto D, Muniz-Hernandez S, Garin E and Rodriguez E: Characterization of cervical cancer stem cell-like cells: Phenotyping, stemness, and human papillomavirus co-receptor expression. Onco Target 7: 31943-31954, 2016.

120. Zhang M, Kumar B, Piao L, Xie X, Schmitt A, Arradaza N, Swaminathan S and Krishnakumar S: EpCAM is a putative stem marker in retinoblastoma and an effective target for treatment of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection in non-anogenital carcinomas. Cancers Onco 8: 220-225, 2017.

121. Zhang M, Zhuang G, Sun X, Shen Y, Wang W, Li Q and Di W: TP53 mutation-mediated genomic instability induces the evolution of chemoresistance and recurrence in epithelial ovarian cancer. Diagn Pathol 12: 16, 2017.

122. Zhang M, Zhuang G, Sun X, Shen Y, Wang W, Di W and Li Q: TP53 mutation-mediated genomic instability induces the evolution of chemoresistance and recurrence in epithelial ovarian cancer. Diagn Pathol 12: 16, 2017.

123. Shetzer Y, Molchadsky A and Rotter V: Oncogenic mutant p53 gain of function nourishes the vicious cycle of tumor development and cancer stem-cell formation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6: pii: a026203, 2016.

124. Tan MJ, White EA, Sowa ME, Harper JW, Aster JC and Howley PM: Cutaneous β-human papillomavirus E6 proteins bind Mastermind-like coactivators and repress Notch signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: E1473-E1480, 2012.

125. Shetzer Y, Molchadsky A and Rotter V: Oncogenic mutant p53 gain of function nourishes the vicious cycle of tumor development and cancer stem-cell formation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6: pii: a026203, 2016.

126. Dyson N, Howley PM, Münger K and Harlow E: The human papillomavirus-16 E7 oncoprotein is able to bind to the retino/E2F pathway and is associated with poor prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer 72: 3414-3423, 2012.

127. Shetzer Y, Molchadsky A and Rotter V: Oncogenic mutant p53 gain of function nourishes the vicious cycle of tumor development and cancer stem-cell formation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 6: pii: a026203, 2016.

128. Dyson N, Howley PM, Münger K and Harlow E: The human papillomavirus-16 E7 oncoprotein is able to bind to the retino/E2F pathway and is associated with poor prognosis in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer 72: 3414-3423, 2012.

129. Chang F, Suryanen S, Wang L and Suryanen K: Infectious agents in the etiology of esophageal cancer. Gastroenterology 103: 1336-1348, 1992.

130. Chang F, Suryanen S, Shen Q, Ji XH and Suryanen K: Human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA in esophageal precancer lesions and squamous cell carcinomas from China. Int J Cancer 45: 21-25, 1990.
131. He Z, Xu Z, Hang D, Guo F, Abliz A, Weiss NS, Xi L, Liu F, Ning T, Pan Y, et al: Anti-HPV-E7 seropositivity and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a high-risk population in China. Carcinogenesis 35: 816-821, 2014.

132. Wang L, Li J, Hou J, Li M, Cui X, Li S, Yu X, Zhang Z, Liang W, Jiang J, et al: p53 expression but not p16(INK4A) correlates with human papillomavirus-associated esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Kazakh population. Infect Agent Cancer 11: 19, 2016.

133. Ludmir EB, Stephens SJ, Palta M, Willett CG and Czito BG: Human papillomavirus tumor infection in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Gastrointest Oncol 6: 287-295, 2015.

134. Xi R, Pan S, Chen X, Hui B, Zhang L, Fu S, Li X, Zhang X, Gong T, Guo J, et al: HPV16 E6-E7 induces cancer stem-like cells phenotypes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma through the activation of PI3K/Akt signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo. Oncotarget 7: 57050-57065, 2016.

135. Syrjänen KJ: HPV infections and oesophageal cancer. J Clin Pathol 55: 721-728, 2002.

136. Halec G, Schmitt M, Egger S, Abnet CC, Babb C, Dawsey SM, Flechtenmacher C, Gheif T, Hale M, Holzinger D, et al: Mucosal alpha-papillomaviruses are not associated with esophageal squamous cell carcinomas: Lack of mechanistic evidence from South Africa, China and Iran and from a world-wide meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 139: 85-98, 2016.

137. Yang L, Ji Y, Chen L, Li M, Wu F, Hu J, Jiang J, Cui X, Chen Y, Pang L, et al: Genetic variability in LMP2 and LMP7 is associated with the risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in the Kazakh population but is not associated with HPV infection. PLoS One 12: e0186319, 2017.

138. da Costa AM, Fregnani JHTG, Pastrez PRA, Mariano VS, Silva EM, Neto CS, Guimarães DP, Villa LL, Sichero L, Syrjänen KJ and Longatto-Filho A: HPV infection and p53 and p16 expression in esophageal cancer: Are they prognostic factors? Infect Agent Cancer 12: 54, 2017.

139. Kayamba V, Bateman AC, Asombang AW, Shimbamb A, Zymbo K, Banda T, Soko R and Kelly P: S HIV infection and domestic smoke exposure, but not human papilloma virus, are risk factors for oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Zambia: A case-control study. Cancer Med 4: 588-595, 2015.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.