CT-Scan Based Evaluation of Dorsal-to-Ventral Ratios of Paraspinal Musculature in Chondrodystrophic and Non-chondrodystrophic Dogs
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Objective: To assess and objectively quantify, with CT-scan exams, differences in cervical paraspinal musculature and vertebrae angulation that might influence the different predisposed sites for intervertebral disk disease observed in chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic breeds.

Sample: Retrospective evaluation and analysis of cervical spine CT-scans performed on 30 dogs presented for clinical reasons unrelated to a cervical disk problem. 15 chondrodystrophic (Dachshunds) and 15 non-chondrodystrophic dogs (Labrador Retrievers) were included.

Procedures: Height measurements of dorsal and ventral paraspinal musculature were performed on sagittal CT-scan reconstructions to generate dorsal-to-ventral height ratios. Additionally, disk angulation to the floor of the vertebral canal was determined for each cervical disk. On transverse plane images the areas of the dorsal and the ventral paraspinal musculature were measured and ratios calculated. Furthermore, estimations of moments exerted on the disk were evaluated through calculation of a dorsal-to-ventral ratio of moments applied at the level of each disk.

Results: Dachshunds showed a relatively more prominent dorsal paraspinal musculature than Labrador Retrievers with statistically significant higher dorsal-to-ventral height ratios at C3/C4, C4/C5, C7/T1 ($p = 0.034^*,$ $p = 0.004^{**},$ $p = 0.004^{**}$) and a dorsal-to-ventral area ratio at C3/C4 ($p < 0.001^{**}$). Regarding the disk angle to the spinal canal floor along the cervical spine, Labrador Retrievers had a less steep conformation compared to Dachshunds with a significant difference at C2/C3 ($p < 0.001^{**}$). Relation of moments calculations revealed statistically significant differences at C2/C3 ($p = 0.021^*$).

Conclusion and Clinical Relevance: Significant differences have been found in the cervical spine of chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic dogs, regarding paraspinal musculature height and area ratios along with ratio of moments and vertebrae angulation. These differences may affect the anatomical and biomechanical dorsal-to-ventral paraspinal muscle relationship and potentially influence the load on
INTRODUCTION

Intervertebral disk disease (IVDD) is a widespread condition in dogs. Chondrodystrophic (CD) and non-chondrodystrophic (NCD) dogs display significant differences in the type, age of onset, prevalence, and spinal location of IVDD. CD dog breeds are characterized by an accelerated form of intervertebral disk (IVD) degeneration at an early age (1). Consequently, the nucleus pulposus (NP) abruptly loses its hydraulic function, with consequent degenerative changes in the annulus fibrosus (AF) (1). In NCD dogs, degeneration of the IVD occurs more gradually later in life (2, 3). Degeneration of the AF can occur independently of NP degeneration, and mainly consists of partial ruptures of the AF fibers (1). Due to these distinct differences, it is conceivable that some etiological factors for IVDD are different between these two groups of dogs.

Causative factors for the high susceptibility of IVDD at specific spinal levels (cervical and thoracolumbar spine) in dogs are still unclear. In CD breeds especially, the cranial aspect (C2/C3) of the cervical spine is found to be at highest risk for cervical IVDD (4, 5). Non-chondrodystrophic (NCD) breeds, such as Labrador Retrievers, are more commonly affected in the caudal cervical spine, mainly the sixth (C6) to seventh (C7) intervertebral disk space (1, 5–8). It has been proposed that the transition from a rigid to a more flexible spine segment is a causative biomechanical factor for IVD pathologies in CD dogs; however, definitive evidence to support this theory, especially for the cervical spine, is still to be found (9).

The cervical spine can be regarded mechanically as a series of freely-hinged vertebrae needing further support from surrounding tensile structures to control posture. The intervertebral disk together with the adjacent cervical vertebrae, respective facet joints, ligaments, and musculature form a motion segment. Within these motion segments, the IVDs are bonded cranially and caudally to the adjacent vertebral bodies (10). Therefore, vertebral bodies and their conformation influence the angulation of the disk.

During the different forms of locomotion: axial torsion, flexion-extension, and lateral bending, moments are important loads that modulate the spine. Tensile structures such as muscles and ligaments must be active to compensate for the applied moments, leaving the spine under considerable axial compression (11). The other mechanical loads are transformed by the surrounding musculature into axial compression, and eventually facet joint loads (12). The IVD can be regarded as a water-filled cushion that mediates and transmits compressive forces between vertebral bodies, and that provides mobility, as well as stability, to the spinal segment (11, 13–15).

The paraspinal musculature is part of the complex axial musculoskeletal system with multiple functions (16). The dorsal and ventral musculature components play an essential role in locomotion, dynamic stabilization and they ensure the integrity of the spine (16). In terms of statics, the cervical spine functions as a kinematic chain (17) with the neck and head cantilevering beyond the forelimb, and acting as a reverse bow in relation to the trunk. The musculature of the neck, in combination with the nuchal ligament, provides the tension of the dorsal side in the bridge-arch model described by Fischer (18). An isolated contraction of the dorsal paraspinal musculature, due to increased distance to the geometric center of the disk would rather physically lead to compression of the dorsal and tension on the ventral AF, whereas in contrast an isolated contraction of the ventral paraspinal musculature would rather act the other way around. From a biomechanical point of view a stronger developed muscle group might exert a stronger moment on the disk compared to the antagonist muscle group. The real situation might be more complex in which both muscle groups interact with each other. The maximal force assembled by a muscle depends on muscle morphology such as the physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA), muscle fascicle length, muscle insertion site (19), and muscle fiber quality. In an attempt to evaluate the structural and functional anatomy of the canine neck musculature, a canine cadaveric study of mixed-breed dogs was conducted (20). The authors provided a systematic description of the anatomy and morphometry of the canine neck musculature.

Beyond this fact that this information was achieved post-mortem, no comparison was made between CD and NCD dogs. In vivo studies to determine muscle forces, loads and stresses in vertebrae are technically difficult to perform and the data obtained from such studies are limited (20). To the author’s knowledge, no biometric study has currently addressed the influence of cervical musculature on the different predisposition sites for cervical disk disease in CD and NCD dogs.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate and to compare the morphometry of cervical dorsal to ventral paraspinal muscles and cervical vertebrae angulation in CD and NCD dogs. We hypothesized that there are breed-associated regional differences comparing the dorsal and ventral paraspinal cervical musculature and different cervical vertebral angulations between chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic dogs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

CT examinations performed between 2012 to 2018, on 15 Dachshunds (CD breed) and 15 Labrador Retrievers (NCD breed) were retrospectively evaluated in the study. All scans were performed, for reasons unrelated to this study, at a small animal referring center on dogs clinically free of cervical...
spine pathologies. Exclusion criteria were cervical spinal cord compression, pathologies like cervical spondylomyelopathy, congenital cervical vertebral anomalies, incorrect positioning, and poor image resolution.

**CT-Scan Exam**
All dogs were anesthetized. A short stay intravenous catheter was inserted into a cephalic vein. Intravenous anesthesia was induced with propofol (4 mg/kg, Narcofol, 10 mg/ml, CP Pharma GmbH, Germany) and diazepam (0.5 mg/kg, Ziapam, 5 mg/ml, Ecuphar, Belgium) titrated to effect. After endotracheal intubation, anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane (1–3 Vol%, Isofluran, CP Pharma GmbH, Germany), oxygen and room air. Dogs were positioned in a standardized dorsal recumbency with their front limbs fully extended cranially (Picture 1). For image acquisition a 16-slice high-powered GE CT BrightSpeed scanner was used (GE Healthcare GmbH, Germany). Slice thickness was set as 0.6 mm at a regular reconstruction interval of 0.4 mm. A soft-tissue window (W 400; L 30) for better visualization and identification of muscular margins was used.

**Image Review and Measurements**
All measurements were performed by one observer from C2/C3–C7/T1 on sagittal reconstructions and transversal images. Evaluation was performed in the vertical axis of each cervical IVD space. For image review and measurements ADW 4.0 Workstation, GE Healthcare GmbH, Germany was used.

**Sagittal Plane**

**Height ratio**
The following two anatomical distances were evaluated at the level of each intervertebral disk space (Figure 1):

- **Distance v** Evaluation of the ventral paraspinal musculature: Dorsal tracheal margin to ventral margin of IVD
- **Distance d** Evaluation of dorsal paraspinal musculature: To include the paraspinal musculature located dorsal and lateral to the Proc. spinosus and arcus vertebrae, the distance from the ventral margin of arcus vertebrae to the dorsal paraspinal musculature-fat transition was defined. Due to anatomical circumstances at the level of C2/C3 the dorsal border was the dorsal margin of the M. rectus capitis dorsalis major.

The height ratio between the dorsal and ventral paraspinal musculature was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Height ratio of paraspinal musculature} = \frac{\text{Distance } d \text{ (dorsal paraspinal musculature)}}{\text{Distance } v \text{ (ventral paraspinal musculature)}}
\]

For each measurement, either in non-chondrodystrophic or chondrodystrophic breeds, the mean distances were calculated and consequently the mean ratio for each investigated cervical site was determined.

**Angle Measurements**
In addition, the angles between the IVD spaces, in relation to the axis of the vertebral column, were measured along the cervical spine in order to evaluate disk angulation (Figure 2). Therefore, a line was drawn on the floor of the vertebral canal from the
cranial to the caudal endplate of each vertebral body. A second line was drawn from the caudal endplate in the same axis of the intervertebral disk space to the dorsal margin of the trachea. The angle between those two lines was determined at each cervical intervertebral disk space. For both breeds the mean angle for each location per breed was calculated.

**Transverse Plane**

**Area ratio**

In the transverse plane, measurements were taken in the axis of IVD space, at the same level of measurements performed in the sagittal plane. Muscle anatomy varies along the cervical spine, and the muscle groups evaluated were defined for each investigated segment (Table 1). The area of the dorsal and ventral paraspinal musculature was outlined on the CT image and the computer program calculated the value. The sum of the dorsal and ventral paraspinal musculature area from right and left was calculated using ventral right (vr) + ventral left (vl) and dorsal right (dr) + dorsal left (dl) area measurements (Figure 3). The area ratio between the dorsal paraspinal musculature (drl) and ventral paraspinal musculature (vrl) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Area ratio of paraspinal musculature} = \frac{\text{Dorsal paraspinal musculature area (drl)}}{\text{Ventral paraspinal musculature area (vrl)}}.
\]

**Ratio of Moments**

The mean ratio of dorsal-to-ventral moments at each cervical intervertebral disk space was calculated for Labrador Retrievers and Dachshunds. To bundle the two pairs of dorsal and ventral paraspinal musculature left and right, four ellipsoid models were applied over the respective areas. This model was used for the identification of the center of the assumptive muscle group. The distances from these assumptive centers to the center of the disk were measured (VR = ventral right, VL = ventral left, DR = dorsal right, DL = dorsal left) describing the lever arms of each muscle group. Lever arms multiplied with the respective acting forces form the moments (Figure 2). For simplification during calculation, it was assumed that the force of a muscle is proportional to its area. The forces create a moment about the respective IVD. The relation of these moments is defined in the following equation:

\[
\text{Relation of moments} = \frac{(dr \times DR) + (dl \times DL)}{(vr \times VR) + (vl \times VL)}.
\]

**Statistical Analysis**

To test the differences between the two groups of dogs at each cervical intervertebral disk space, a two-sided t-test was applied, since the data is continuous and approximates a normal distribution. The data were tested for normality using histograms. No concerns of violations of the normality assumptions were raised. Although the sample size is relatively small \( n < 30 \), the t-test is robust enough to still be accurate (for a sample size of 15 in each group) to show a trend in the data. Due to the exploratory nature of the analyses, the presented \( p \)-values are raw unadjusted \( p \)-values. \( P \)-values \( \alpha < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant and are flagged with an asterisk. Although the analysis is exploratory, multiple comparisons are considered using a Bonferroni adjusted \( \alpha < 0.0083 \) with a flag of **.

**RESULTS**

Cervical CT-scans of a total of 30 patients, 15 Dachshunds (CD breed) and 15 Labrador Retrievers (NCD breed) were evaluated. Dachshunds had a mean age of 6.5 years (SD ± 2.47, CI 5.22–7.72) with a mean weight of 8.39 kg (SD ± 2.67). Labrador Retriever had a mean age of 3.7 years (SD ± 2.97, CI 2.23–5.24) and a mean weight of 33.32 kg (SD ± 5.81). An overview of all measurements is presented in Figures 4–7 and Table 2. The ages between the two groups of dogs were comparable as the confidence intervals (CI) cross each other.

**Sagittal Plane Measurements**

**Height Ratio**

Throughout the cervical spine the height of the dorsal paraspinal musculature increased toward caudal in both breeds. Dachshunds had statistically significant higher mean height ratios than Labrador Retriever at C3/4, C4/5, C7/T1 (\( p = 0.034^*, p = 0.004^{**}, p = 0.004^{***} \)). Similarly, but not statistically significant, trends were found at C5/C6 and C6/C7 (Figure 4).

**Angle Measurements**

There was a statistically significant difference in intervertebral disk angulation to vertebral body length axis at C2/C3 (\( p < 0.001^{**} \)) and C4/C5 (\( p = 0.003^{**} \)) with Labrador Retrievers having the larger angles (Figure 5).
TABLE 1 | Considered dorsal and ventral paraspinal muscle groups for each cervical IVD space (10, 21–24).

| C2/C3   | Dorsal | M. obliquus capitis caudalis | M. complexus |
|---------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------|
|         |        | M. longissimus atlantis et. M. longissimus capitis |              |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli | M. longus capitis |
|         |        | Ventral parts of Mm. intertransversarii |              |
| C3/C4   | Dorsal | M. obliquus capitis caudalis | M. longissimus atlantis et. M. longissimus capitis |
|         |        | M. biventer cervicis |              |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli | M. longus capitis |
|         |        | Ventral parts of Mm. intertransversarii | M. omotransversarius |
| C4/C5   | Dorsal | M. spinalis cervicis | M. multifidi |
|         |        | M. complexus | M. longissimus capitis et. M. longissimus cervicis |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli | M. longus capitis |
|         |        | Ventral parts of Mm. intertransversarii |              |
| C5/C6   | Dorsal | M. multifidi | M. spinalis cervicis |
|         |        | M. biventer cervicis | M. complexus |
|         |        | M. longissimus capitis et. M. longissimus cervicis |              |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli | M. longus capitis |
|         |        | Ventral parts of Mm. intertransversarii |              |
| C6/C7   | Dorsal | M. multifidi | M. spinalis cervicis |
|         |        | M. complexus | M. biventer cervicis |
|         |        | M. longissimus capitis et. M. longissimus cervicis | Dorsal parts of Mm. intertransversarii |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli | M. scalenus medius |
| C7/T1   | Dorsal | M. multifidi | M. spinalis cervicis |
|         |        | M. complexus | M. biventer cervicis |
|         |        | M. longissimus capitis et. M. longissimus cervicis | Dorsal parts of Mm. intertransversarii |
| Ventral |        | M. longus colli |              |

Transverse Plane Measurements

Area Ratio

There were statistically significant differences in dorsal-to-ventral mean musculature area ratios between both breeds, with Dachshunds having the higher ratios, at the level of C3/C4 ($p < 0.001**$). At C7/T1 statistical significance was approached ($p = 0.054$) (Figure 6).

Mean Ratio of Moments

Both breeds showed an increase in mean ratio of moments from cranial to caudal. There were statistically significant differences in mean ratio of moments between the two groups. Cranially, Labrador Retrievers showed a higher mean ratio of moments compared to Dachshunds, at C2/C3 ($p = 0.021*$). In contrast, at C3/C4 there was a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.001**$) with Labrador Retrievers having a smaller ratio. Caudally, the ratio of moments increased more severely in Dachshunds, with a higher value at C7/T1, although this did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.141$) (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

In validating our hypothesis we found breed-associated regional differences comparing the dorsal and ventral paraspinal cervical musculature and differences in cervical vertebrae angulation between chondrodystrophic and non-chondrodystrophic dogs.

Previous studies have shown that breed-specific differences in vertebral anatomy can have a significant effect on motion (25, 26). The cervical spine is described as the most flexible region of the canine spine, and within the cervical spine the caudal region, is the one with the greatest range of motion (27). Differences affecting intervertebral disk and endplates morphometry where found within the cervical spine (26). Significant breed-associated differences were also found in canine cervical intervertebral disk-to-vertebral body area and length ratios (28). All these breed specific dissimilarities may play a role in the regional development of cervical spine diseases in predisposed groups of dogs.

To the authors’ knowledge, no prior reports have exclusively assessed paraspinal musculature to detect differences as a potential risk factor that might predispose certain breeds to cervical IVDD. Degeneration of the IVD is the fundamental process that lies at the origin of IVDD. Due to these degenerative changes, the NP loses the ability to absorb and maintain water and, thereby, to function as a hydraulic cushion (2, 3, 29–31). The NP is surrounded ventrally, dorsally and laterally by the AF (1, 32, 33). The fibers of the AF provide reinforcement when the healthy IVD is twisted (axial rotation), bent (flexion/extension), and/or compressed (axial compression), with the inner and outer AF mainly resisting compressive and tensile forces, respectively (34–36).

Consequently, more of the compressive forces exerted by the paraspinal muscles, which are normally resisted by the hydrated NP, are taken by AF (37–39). As a result, the AF shows a compensatory increase in functional size (37, 40–42). However, the AF is not built to resist compressive forces, and the increase in functional size consists of a biomechanically inferior matrix (2, 3, 29). As a result, the AF becomes stiffer and weaker leading to structural failure, impeding the AF to resist tensile forces and to contain the NP. Since the dorsal AF is 2 to 3 times thinner than the ventral AF, the dorsal side is usually where the AF shows structural failure and IVD displacement.

The interactions between the musculature, vertebrae and the soft-tissue structures as a motion segment are complex. The paraspinal musculature represents one possible influencing factor for the development of IVDD. The imbalance of dorsal and ventral musculature could lead to an earlier degeneration of the disk. Or, if there is already a degenerated disk (due to other
FIGURE 3 | Transversal plane CT images of cervical spine with area measurements of dorsal (light blue) and ventral (light green) paraspinal musculature. Model measurements indicated (dark blue circles = dorsal area measurements; dark green circles = ventral area measurements; red line = distance measurements from the hypothetical center of the intervertebral disk to the center of respective muscle group). (A) C2/C3 Dachshund, (B) C6/C7 Dachshund, (C) C2/C3 Labrador Retriever, (D) C6/C7 Labrador Retriever.

TABLE 2 | Mean and standard deviations of Dachshunds (D) and Labrador Retrievers (L) for the four endpoints at each location.

| Height ratio | Angles | Area ratio | Ratio of moment |
|--------------|--------|------------|----------------|
| Mean (SD) t-test | Mean (SD) t-test | Mean (SD) t-test | Mean (SD) t-test |
| C2/C3 | 1.49 0.30 0.895 | 103.00 2.89 <0.001** | 1.22 0.26 0.222 | 1.87 0.30 0.021* |
| C3/C4 | 0.94 0.23 0.034* | 110.00 3.37 0.730 | 1.20 0.18 <0.001** | 2.24 0.42 0.001** |
| C4/C5 | 1.13 0.28 0.004** | 116.00 4.60 0.003** | 1.33 0.22 0.106 | 2.97 0.65 0.643 |
| C5/C6 | 1.54 0.40 | 112.00 2.39 | 1.49 0.30 | 3.09 0.67 |
| C6/C7 | 1.96 0.46 0.119 | 121.00 6.09 0.122 | 1.59 0.19 0.477 | 3.98 0.42 0.107 |
| C7/T1 | 3.55 0.88 0.332 | 118.00 3.48 | 1.66 0.30 | 3.60 0.74 |
| C6/C7 | 3.91 1.06 | 122.00 5.31 | 1.64 0.72 0.680 | 4.06 2.60 0.964 |
| C7/T1 | 4.76 0.98 0.004** | 113.00 3.33 0.533 | 8.03 1.38 0.054 | 25.00 8.19 0.141 |
| C6/C7 | 6.81 2.13 | 112.00 4.36 | 9.41 2.15 | 29.10 7.95 |

Also shown are t-test p-values comparing CD and NCD dogs at each cervical vertebral location. P-values $\alpha < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant and are flagged with *. Although the analysis is exploratory, multiple comparisons are considered using a Bonferroni adjusted $\alpha < 0.0083$ with a flag of **.
reasons), the imbalance of the paraspinal musculature might also induce variable rates of IVDD at different sites.

The generally higher dorsal-to-ventral ratios in height, area measurements, as well as dorsal-to-ventral moment ratios observed in this study are representative of the stronger developed cervical dorsal paraspinal musculature. However, the statistically significant difference in ratio of moments detected at C2/C3, with Dachshunds having the lower ratio compared to Labrador Retrievers, may play a biomechanical role for development of IVDD at these sites in CD. The smaller ratio is indicative of a relatively stronger ventral paraspinal muscle group especially since the distance between the center of these
muscle groups to the center of the disk is smaller than the distance from the center of the dorsal muscle group to this same point. This finding might explain a greater tensile strain on the dorsal aspect of the pathologically less resistant AF at this site that would lead to extrusion in cases of disk degeneration. Contradictorily, this difference could not be identified at C3/C4 even though that site is also frequently affected by IVDD in Dachshunds. Consequently, it is not yet possible to draw a final conclusion, and it is still unclear how adjacent motion segments influence each other and if those transitions could play a role. In addition, the disk angulation to the length axis of the vertebral column observed in the present study may also
influence the muscular compressive load bearing applied to the intervertebral disk. Our results showed a steeper conformation (smaller angle, 94°) between C2/C3 in Dachshunds compared to Labrador Retrievers. Steeper intervertebral disk conformation at this location could be an additional influencing biomechanical risk factor for cervical IVDD in CD breeds at C2/C3 because of different load transmission.

In the cervical spine of large breed NCD dogs, the caudal cervical spine, especially C6/C7, is at highest risk for the development of IVD degeneration and displacement (43–45). In the present study, we did not find statistical differences at C6/C7 in vertebral angulation or in height, area and moment ratios of the paraspinal musculature. However, at C7/T1 the difference in height ratio was statistically significant, which is an uncommon site of intervertebral disk disease in both breeds. It is unclear whether C7/T1 could play a role as an adjacent motion segment and influence the development of IVDD at the site C6/C7. The relevance of this observation is ambiguous. If not clearly associated with cervical muscular anatomy, this high susceptibility has previously been related to the conformation of the facet joints of the caudal cervical spine: due to their shape and conformation, the facet joints of the caudal cervical spine allow considerable axial rotation and can induce significantly more spinal instability than cranial spinal segments (26, 46). Therefore, the workloads and stresses on the IVDs of the caudal cervical spine may be relatively high, thereby promoting IVD degeneration and displacement at these locations (47).

Several limitations have to be taken into consideration when interpreting the results of the present study. The lack of information about the microstructure of the paraspinal musculature is one major limitation. As the muscle fiber quality is an important factor for the strength of a muscle, this information would have enriched the study. However, histological examination would have required the sampling of muscle tissue, which would have been invasive. Previous reports in human medicine suggest prediction of muscle strength by diffusion tensor imaging (48). Unfortunately, this technique was not accessible for our group during the planning phase of the study. Furthermore, CT-scans were performed on anesthetized dogs, muscle relaxation induced by anesthesia might have influenced the measured values. Since ratios were considered this error might have been minimized. Standardized dorsal recumbency was selected to reduce discrepancies in measurements, even so a minimal percentage of variability is still possible. However, kinematic studies performed on awake dogs would provide more realistic results. Furthermore, due to the fact that the CT-scans were analyzed retrospectively, it was also not possible to create age-matched groups. We therefore presumed that the shape of paraspinal musculature does not change substantially throughout middle age.

The effect of the body condition score on the constitution of paraspinal musculature could not be evaluated even though this could have been beneficial for the study. Body condition scoring was not available in all patients due to the retrospective design of the study and was therefore excluded in the statistical analysis of the data. Further information, which could have been valuable for the study (like head size and neck length), was likewise not available due to the retrospective nature of the study.

The CT-scan was used to perform measurements in the present study, even if an MRI is known to be a more sensitive investigation method when looking at muscles. However, several morphometric studies have outlined the benefits of using CT examinations to analyze anatomical structures of the canine spine (49, 50).

Finally, this study only addressed one possible etiological factor potentially leading to IVDD. Since IVDD has a multifactorial etiology, the collection of a wide range of further information, including other anatomical and morphological risk factors found in previous studies would be necessary. Furthermore, environmental influencing factors, activity level and occupation of the patient (working dog) should be included in the assessment of the real risk of IVDD for a specific patient (51). It would also be interesting to analyse if there are differences within chondrodystrophic breeds that have different vertebral conformations (e.g. Dachshund vs. French Bulldog).

In conclusion, significant differences have been found in the cervical spine of CD and NCD dogs, regarding paraspinal musculature height and area ratios along with ratio of moments and vertebral angulation. Those morphometric differences might influence the biomechanics of the intervertebral disk, especially in the upper cervical spine and could therefore play a role in the complex development of IVDD at preferential sites. Further studies are needed to better understand the clinical implications’ of differences in paraspinal muscle conformation on intervertebral disk disease.
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