Comparison of Dye Extraction or Dye Penetration Methods to Quantitatively Determine Microleakage of Three Different Root Canal Sealers
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Abstract

Aim: This study investigated the feasibility of an approach to determine the volume of dye penetration using methylene blue as dye in comparison to a well known dye extraction method.

Materials and methods: One hundred and fifty teeth were randomly divided into two groups (dye extraction or dye penetration methods) of 75 teeth. After, 75 teeth were divided into 3 subgroups for three different root canal sealers. For the volumetric analysis of dye penetration method area of penetration was converted into volume and quantitative analysis was performed. For the dye extraction method dye concentration in the solution was analyzed using an UV-spectrophotometer. In both techniques roots were evacuated prior to dye introduction to prevent air entrainment providing reliable results. The sealabilities of the root canal sealers Ketac-Endo, MetaSEAL, and AH Plus JET were evaluated and results were compared regarding dye extraction or dye penetration methods. Furthermore, 36 teeth were used as positive and negative controls.

Results: Dye extraction and volume measurement of dye penetration methods showed that Ketac-Endo displayed the highest apical leakage, followed by MetaSEAL (P < 0.05). Correlation of the results obtained with these two different evaluation methods showed that there was no statistical difference between dye extraction and dye penetration methods (P > 0.05).

Conclusions: This study showed that results of volumetric determination of dye penetration method were not different when compared with the results of dye extraction method with vacuuming. In simplifying the procedures, dye extraction method may be preferred.

Keywords: Dye penetration; Methylene blue; Quantitative; Vacuuming; Volume

Introduction

Up to date, studies have evaluated the outcomes of different root canal sealers with various leakage models [1-4]. The major problem of most laboratory-based leakage testing models was that the obtained data was qualitative rather than being quantitative, raising doubts about reliability [1-3]. However dye extraction methods are reported to give qualitative results [4].

Dye extraction studies use dye penetration and samples stained using 2% methylene blue are stored in a hermetically sealed vial containing nitric acid with a concentration of 65% for three days. The vials are centrifugated at high speed to separate gutta-percha debris from the extracted dye. The supernatant from each sample is then analyzed in an UV-visible spectrophotometer with concentrated nitric acid as the blank [1,5,6]. Although this method gives quantitative results, it may have some weaknesses: the sensitivity of detecting differences among the filling techniques may be low [5], dye absorbed from the unprotected apical dentin may affect the results [1], and, more importantly, because the color of the samples has never been qualified, the possibility of it affecting the spectrophotometer readings is overlooked in all studies.

One of the major problems in which dyes are used for penetration is the entrapped air in voids along root canal fillings [7,8]. Spångberg [8] and Goldman [9] have reported that entrapped air can inhibit dye penetration which results in the failure to demonstrate preformed voids. They reported that using a vacuum technique completely penetrates dye in all samples. Oliver and Abbott [7] also evaluated the effect of entrapped air on the ability of methylene blue dye and reported the vacuum method to be the most reliable method for dye penetration studies.

An improved form of the dye extraction technique determines the penetrated dye volumetrically, which is a method commonly used in biochemistry for the quantitative analysis of materials adsorbing light via spectrophotometry [10-13]. In this technique, methylene blue, which has a known molecular weight (Mr = 319.868 g mol-1), wavelength (662 nm), color index (52015), and surface area (σ = 120 Å2), is used as the adsorbent. Adsorption is the process by which chemicals are held on the surface of a mineral or soil particle, and the volume of the chemicals can be calculated using this parameter. It is totally different from absorption, which is the process of one substance entering into the inner structure of another [12,14].

In this technique, briefly, after vacuuming and staining the teeth with dye, methylene blue penetrates the gaps, indicating leakage. It is isolated by several biochemical procedures, and the surface area

*Corresponding author: Sadullah KAYA, Dicle University, Dental Faculty, Department of Endodontics, 21280 Diyarbakir, Turkey, GSM: 90 532 6311490; E-mail: sadullakhkaya@hotmail.com

Received July 08, 2011; Accepted October 10, 2011; Published October 20, 2011

Citation: KAYA S, OZER SY, YAVUZ I, AYDIN H (2011) Comparison of Dye Extraction or Dye Penetration Methods to Quantitatively Determine Microleakage of Three Different Root Canal Sealers. Dentistry 1:105. doi:10.4172/2161-1122.1000105

Copyright: © KAYA S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
of the adsorbed dye is exactly calculated using varying formulas. The surface area is converted into volume, and the penetrated dye is calculated volumetrically. Because data are not only acquired using a spectrophotometer, the varying colors of decalcified samples are not a problem in this method.

Thus, the purpose of this study was to adapt this technique into a microleakage assay using three different-based root canal sealers, and in which the dye extraction method was served as the control. The null hypothesis is that there is difference between the volume determination of dye penetration and dye extraction methods.

Material and Methods

Specimen preparation

One hundred and eighty six single-rooted extracted human mandibular premolars were stored in distilled water at 4°C until use. The crowns were removed at the enamel-dentin junction with a diamond disk under water cooling. A #10 K-file was introduced into the canal to radiographically measure the working length and to check the patency of the apex. The root canals were prepared with ProTaper Universal (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) to an ISO #30, using a #10 K-file between the rotary files to verify the apex patency. 2.5% NaOCl was used as an irrigant between each file. The final rinse was performed using 3 mL of 2.5% NaOCl and 17% EDTA, followed by 3 mL of distilled water. Then, the root canals were dried using paper points.

Of the teeth, 36 served as negative (n = 18) and positive controls (n = 18); the negative controls were completely covered with three layers of varnish, and the positive controls were enlarged, but not root filled. The remaining 150 teeth were then randomly divided into three groups of 50 teeth each, to be filled with 0.04 tapered gutta-percha (DiaDent Group Int., Canada) and cold lateral compaction using one of three sealers. In Group 1, Ketac-Endo (3M ESPE, Seefeld, Germany) glass-ionomer based paste was mixed according to manufacturer’s information. In Group 2, MetaSeal (Parkell Inc, Farmington, NY) methacrylate resin-based sealer was hand-mixed using one scoop of powder and three drops of liquid for 30 s. In Group 3, AH Plus Jet (Dentsply Caulk, Milford, DE) epoxy resin-based components A and B were mixed using an automixing syringe. Excess gutta-percha was removed from the coronal cavity up to the level of the cementoenamel junction with a hot instrument (15), and root canal orifices were sealed with a flowable resin composite (Versite Flow, Kerr Corp., CA, US). Only MetaSeal samples were light-cured for 40 s after excess gutta-percha removal.

After root canal obturation, teeth were stored for 24 h at 37°C and 100% humidity in an incubator to allow setting of the sealer. Samples for the dye extraction method (n=75) were converted with nail varnish, with the exception of the apical 0.5 mm, and setting of the varnish was completed before dipping into methylene blue. The teeth were then placed in vacuum chambers (five chambers each containing 15 samples, Edwards E306, Severn Sciences, Bristol, UK) containing 2% methylene blue and a vacuum of 7.98 Pa for 15 min was applied [16].

After removal from the dye, the teeth were rinsed under tap water for 30 min, and all residues of methylene blue and varnish were removed with a Parker blade #15 and polishing discs.

Dye extraction method

Stained teeth from three groups (n = 75) were stored in a hermetic sealed vial containing 65% nitric acid for three days. The vials were then centrifugated at 14,000 rpm for 5 min to separate gutta-percha debris from the extracted dye. Dye concentration in the supernatant solution was analyzed using an UV-spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-160, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) at 550 nm using concentrated nitric acid as a blank.

Volumetric evaluation of dye penetration

Samples (n = 75) were placed into three different glass vials containing 65% nitric acid until they all dissolved completely approximately for 72-h. At the end of the adsorption period, the solution was centrifugated for 5 min at 14,000 rpm. After centrifugation, the dye concentration in the supernatant solutions was analyzed using an UV-spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-160, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) by monitoring the absorbance.

Biochemical procedures for volumetric evaluation of dye penetration

The stock solution of methylene blue (Merck Chemical, Istanbul Turkey) was prepared with 4.75 g/L of dye with a pH of 6.98, buffering with H2PO4-/HPO4 2- in 1000 mg/L. 100 μL of this solution was placed on the spectrophotometer, and the maximum absorption wavelength (λmax) was determined at 662 nm. A series of diluted solutions was prepared with the same MB stock using 100 mL of distilled water in each beaker to dilute the 2% MB. 20 mL of increments were added to the solution to obtain varying concentrations [14]. The absorption wavelengths for different concentrations of methylene blue were measured to construct the calibration graph (Figure 1). With the help of the calibration graph, when the spectrophotometrically obtained absorption values were used, total concentrations were calculated by means of the equation A = (0.205.C) - 0.0139.

Concentration values were converted into volumetric values in mm3 using the mass and density values that were obtained via the calibration graph. Following the use of the equation $V = \frac{M}{M_0 \sigma N_A}$,
Volumetric determination of leakage method

Microleakage results in mm$^3$ are given in Table 1. The mean volumes of apical leakage values were 7.20×10$^{-4}$ mm$^3$ for Ketac-Endo, 5.25×10$^{-4}$ mm$^3$ for MetaSeal, and 4.52×10$^{-4}$ mm$^3$ for AH Plus JET. A one-way ANOVA showed a statistical difference among the sealers (P < 0.05).

The positive control showed total dye absorbance, of 5000. Negative control samples had a low absorbance of 62, close to that of the blank (nitric acid), which had absorbance of 52 (P > 0.05) due to the color of the samples. Evaluating the leakage methods, results showed no statistical difference between the groups (P > 0.05).

### Discussion

Creating an effective sealing in the root canal is very challenging. In recent years, obturating materials and sealers, such as glass ionomer, epoxy and methacrylate-based sealers, have been developed on the basis of dentin adhesion to seal the root canal more effectively [4]. A review article by Schwartz [17] pointed out the difficulties associated with sealing.

To date, studies have evaluated the outcomes of different root canal sealers with various leakage models [1-4]. The lack of specific ADA guidelines for adhesion tests with root canal sealers led to the development of a wide array of experimental models without standardization [18]. Different results were obtained, even though the materials tested were chemically similar to each other. As noted in the review by Kim [4] some studies have reported that methacrylate resin-based sealers perform better than epoxy resins; others have reported worse or equal results. This inconsistency may be due to the variations among the evaluation methods.

Bacterial leakage studies are more reliable tests than those with dye but they do not simulate the conditions in the oral cavity, require long periods of observation time, and are labor-intensive De-Deus [5] evaluated the sensitivity and scalability of dye extraction and bacterial leakage techniques. They concluded that both techniques could be of too low sensitivity to detect differences between the filling techniques, and that the differences among the specimens might have been too small to detect.

On the other hand, the dye extraction method provides more reliable results than dye penetration because it measures all dye taken up in the root quantitatively [19]. However, this technique also presents some drawbacks that should be taken into account and limited in future studies. First, the apical millimeter of the apex exposed to methylene blue is not covered with varnish, which permits dye to penetrate the apical dentin that is stained even after washing the samples under running water. The amount of methylene blue penetrating this space may reduce standardization and have negative and confusing outcomes affecting the results [1]. To eliminate these bias, in the volumetric dye penetration method, a 15# finger-spreader was covered with laquer and inserted from the apical foramen 0.5 mm; the sample was covered with three layers of nail varnish in this position. After complete setting of the nail varnish, the finger-spreader was gently removed without damaging the hollow space, making this opening the same standard size for all samples. Pilot studies were performed to determine the spreader size and length to be used to give the optimum apical opening. As previously reported by Roda & Gutmann [20], clinically irrelevant internal air voids expand when the tooth is placed in vacuum conditions creating a seemingly significant, but artificial space for ink penetration; thus minimizing the uncovered tooth surface to 0.5 mm decreases this artificial space for ink penetration. Therefore more consistent results can be regarded by eliminating the uncertainty of entrapped air.

Secondly, the sample color may have caused small differences for the absorbance values detected using the spectrophotometer for the dye extraction method [6] because there may be vast differences in the color of samples; this issue has been overlooked until now. This difference was highlighted by Hashem [6] who explained the possible factors having an impact on their results for negative controls. In our study, because spectrophotometric readings were only a step in, but not the determining factor of, the evaluation, our results have the advantage of not being solely affected by this issue. Thirdly, the methylene blue dye can be significantly decoloured by some dental filling materials like amalgam, Cavit or ZnOE because they contain reducing agents, such as Ag, Zn, Cu, etc. which may change the chemical properties of the dye [21]. Also, formalin, which have been often used to store human teeth is reported to have possible effect on increasing the optical density of methylene blue [21]. Due to this possibility, in the present study, extracted teeth were kept in distilled water until use.

Based on these critical factors, a standardized, reliable, and reproducible method is a crucial requirement, which directed us to a new leakage model previously described [10,11,13]. The advantages of the dye extraction method are taken into account by staining the possible gaps between the root canal dentin and sealer using methylene blue with a vacuuming technique prior to staining. Dye is considered a more sensitive tracer than bacterial or bacteria-sized tracers [3,22]. The chemical adsorption phenomenon made it possible to volumetrically calculate the exact amount of dye absorbed due to leakage despite the evaluated quantities being very small [12], reducing the margin of error that may appear in other leakage techniques. In a dye penetration study by Vassiliadis [10] the volume of dye penetrating the root fillings was

---

**Table 1:** Evaluation of dye penetration using volumetric determination of dye penetration method and dye extraction method.

| Groups      | No. teeth | Volume measurement (mm$^3$) | Dye extraction (Absorbance) |
|-------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|             |           | Mean ± SD                  | Mean ± SD                   |
| Ketac-Endo  | 25        | 7.20 ± 0.5$^a$             | 610 ± 0.63$^b$              |
| MetaSEAL    | 25        | 5.25 ± 0.0$^b$             | 410 ± 1.14$^b$              |
| AH Plus JET | 25        | 4.52 ± 0.4$^c$             | 213 ± 0.57$^c$              |

$^a,b,c$ Groups with significant differences are shown with superscripted letters.
measured after dissolving the root in 5 ml of 35 % HNO₃. They found that dye was decoloured after 48 h and therefore decided to dissolve the roots for 24 h to keep the dye blue. But sample number used in this study was increased to 75 providing the methylene blue volume to increase and calculate the volume more precisely. Therefore, future studies concerning to use this volumetric approach with dye extraction should keep samples bigger in numbers which also increases the low sensitivity as was reported to be a disadvantage by De-Deus [5].

Our study results showed statistically significant differences between the groups (P < 0.05) for both leakage methods (Table 1). Ketac-Endo had, volumetrically, the highest leakage and poor sealing results, resembling previous leakage studies performed using different microleakage methods. The glass ionomer sealer is known to bond to dentin but may also bond to gutta-percha, because the polycarboxylic acid of the glass ionomer may react with the zinc component of gutta-percha in a manner similar to the polycarboxylate cements that have already been used as endodontic sealers [23]. Lee [24] stated that Ketac-Endo gutta-percha bonds were only 20% of the dentin bonds suggesting that the potential for chemical reactions was limited which may have influenced the results and increased leakage. Kaplan [25] reported that Ketac-Endo sealer presented higher disintegration when in contact with humidity before its complete setting time. During the setting time of glass ionomer cements, the presence of humidity may cause dissolution of anions and cations which form the matrix creating voids through the cement [26], however it is difficult to protect Ketac-Endo cement from water contamination during its application in root canals because of periapical tissues.

According to our results Meta SEAL performed better than Ketac-Endo; however, it leaked more than AH Plus JET (P < 0.05). Probably AH Plus JET had greater adhesion to the root dentin than did Meta SEAL and Ketac-Endo. This may be related to the fact that, as an epoxy resin-based sealer, AH Plus JET has better penetration into microirregularities due to its creep capacity and long setting time, which increases the mechanical interlocking previously reported by Nunes [18]. As stated on the manufacturer’s safety data sheets, AH Plus JET [27] has a slower setting (37°C, 8-h) time than Meta SEAL (37°C, 10 min for initial setting) when Meta SEAL [28] is light-cured. The coronal photoinitiation of the sealer, following the manufacturer’s instructions, may have prevented the flow of resin sealer [29]. A slow polymerizing sealer can help to relieve shrinkage stress via resin flow. The manufacturer of Meta SEAL (Parkell) [28] recommends using a single cone technique with their material because they state that heat will cause the sealer to set up too quickly and cause polymerization shrinkage. Heat transferred during the removal of excess gutta-percha may be the reason for increased leakage and polymerization shrinkage. A recent study by Santos [30] evaluated the sealing ability of AH Plus and a methacrylate-based resin in the long term, and concluded that AH Plus had better sealing ability; this is consistent with our results.

Conclusions

Results of volumetric determination of dye penetration method were not different when compared with the results of dye extraction method with vacuuming thus our null hypothesis is rejected. Furthermore, because of its easiness conventional dye extraction method may be preferred for future studies, in addition simulating the conditions in the oral cavity.
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