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ABSTRACT

Pseudo-differential operator equations with parameter are studied. Uniform $L^p$-separability properties and resolvent estimates are obtained in terms of fractional derivatives. Moreover, maximal regularity properties of the pseudo-differential abstract parabolic equation are established. Particularly, it is proven that the operators generated by these pseudo-differential equations are positive and also are generators of analytic semigroups. As an application, the anisotropic parameter dependent pseudo-differential equations and the system of pseudo-differential equations are studied.
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1. Introduction, notations and background

Differential-operator equations (DOEs) have found many applications in PDEs and pseudo-differential equations (PsDEs) (see e.g. [1], [5], [11], [12], [16−19], [24]). Regularity properties of PsDEs have been studied extensively by many researchers; see e.g. [6, 10], [21-22] and the references therein. The boundedness of PsDEs in Sobolev spaces have been treated e.g. in [10], [14], [22]. Moreover, the smoothness of PsDEs with bounded operator coefficients have been explored e.g. in [8], [15]. In contrast to [8], [15], the PsDE considered here contain unbounded operators and parameters. In particular, the main objective of the present paper is to discuss the uniform $L^p(R^n;E)$—maximal regularity of elliptic pseudo-differential operator equations (PsDOEs) with parameters

$$ P_t (D) u + Au + \sum_{|\alpha|<m} t(\alpha) A_\alpha (x) D^\alpha u + \lambda u = f(x), \ x \in R^n, \ (1.1) $$

where $P_t (D)$ is the pseudo-differential operator, $A$ and $A_\alpha (x)$ are linear operators in a Banach space $E$, for $\alpha_i \in [0, \infty)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ... \alpha_n)$ and $D^\alpha =$
$D_1^{\alpha_1}D_2^{\alpha_2}...D_n^{\alpha_n}$ are the Liouville derivatives; $m$ is a positive number, $t_k$ are positive, $\lambda$ is a complex parameter, $t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_m)$ and $t(\alpha) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} t_k^{\alpha_k}$; $L_p(\Omega; E)$ denotes the space of strongly measurable $E$-valued functions that are defined on the measurable subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the norm given by

$$
\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; E)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|_E^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty .
$$

We prove that problem (1.1) has a maximal regular unique solution and the following uniform coercive estimate holds

$$
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t(\alpha) |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|}{m}} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p(R^n; E)} + \|Au\|_{L_p(R^n; E)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(R^n; E)} \tag{1.2}
$$

for $f \in L_p(R^n; E)$, $\lambda \in S_\varphi$, where $S_\varphi$ is a set of complex numbers that is related with the spectrum of the operator $A$. The estimate (1.2) implies that the operator $O_t$ generated by (1.1) has a bounded inverse from $L_p(R^n; E)$ into the space $H_p^m(R^n; E(A), E)$, which will be defined subsequently. Particularly, from the estimate (1.2) we obtain that the operator $O_t$ is uniformly positive in $L_p(R^n; E)$. By using this property we prove the uniform well posedness of the Cauchy problem for the following parabolic PsDOE with parameter

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + P_t(D)u + Au = f(y, x), \quad u(0, x) = 0, \tag{1.3}
$$

in $E$-valued mixed spaces $L_p$, $p = (p_1, p_2)$. In other words, we show that problem (1.3) has a unique solution

$$
u \in W^{1,m}_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E(A), E \right)
$$

for $f \in L_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E \right)$ satisfying the following uniform coercive estimate

$$
\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right\|_{L_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E \right)} + \|P_t(D)u\|_{L_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E \right)} + \|Au\|_{L_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E \right)} \leq M \|f\|_{L_p \left( R^{n+1}_t; E \right)}. \tag{1.4}
$$

Note that, constants $C, M$ in estimates (1.2) and (1.4) are independent of parameters. As an application in this paper the following are established: (a) maximal regularity properties of the anisotropic elliptic PsDE in mixed $L_p$, $p = (p_1, p_2)$ spaces; (b) coercive properties of the system of PsDEs of infinite order in $L_p$ spaces.

Let $(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu)$ be a complete probability space, $1 \leq p < \infty$. $L_p(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu, E)$ denotes $\mu$-measurable $E$-valued Bochner space with norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu, E)} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \|f(x)\|_E^p \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$
A Banach space \( E \) is called UMD space (see [7, § 5]) if \( E \)-valued martingale difference sequences are unconditional in \( L_p(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu, E) \) for \( p \in (1, \infty) \), i.e., there exists a positive constant \( C_p \) such that for any martingale \( \{f_k\} \) any choice of signs \( \{\varepsilon_k\} \in \{-1, 1\} \), \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\left\| f_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varepsilon_k (f_k - f_{k-1}) \right\|_{L_p(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu, E)} \leq C_p \|f_N\|_{L_p(\Omega; \Sigma, \mu, E)}.
\]

It is shown (see [3 – 4]) that the Hilbert operator

\[
(Hf)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|x-y| < \varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \, dy
\]

is bounded in the space \( L_p(R, E) \), \( p \in (1, \infty) \) for those and only those spaces \( E \) which possess the property of UMD spaces. UMD spaces include e.g. \( L_p \), \( l_p \) and Lorentz spaces \( L_{pq}, p, q \in (1, \infty) \).

Let \( \mathbb{C} \) denote the set of complex numbers and

\[
S_\varphi = \{\lambda: \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi \} \cup \{0\}, 0 \leq \varphi < \pi.
\]

A linear operator \( A \) is said to be \( \varphi \)-positive (or positive) in a Banach space \( E \) if \( D(A) \) is dense on \( E \) and

\[
\left\| (A + \lambda I)^{-1} \right\|_{B(E)} \leq M (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}
\]

for any \( \lambda \in S_\varphi \), where \( \varphi \in [0, \pi) \), \( I \) is the identity operator in \( E \), \( B(E) \) is the space of bounded linear operators in \( E \). Sometimes \( A + \lambda I \) will be written \( A + \lambda \) and will be denoted by \( A_\lambda \). It is known [20, §1.15.1] that the powers \( A^\theta \), \( \theta \in (-\infty, \infty) \) for a positive operator \( A \) exist.

The operator \( A(h), h \in Q \subset \mathbb{C} \) is said to be \( \varphi \)-positive (or positive) in \( E \) uniformly with respect to \( h \in Q \) if \( D(A(h)) \) is independent of \( h \), \( D(A(h)) \) is dense in \( E \) and

\[
\left\| (A(h) + \lambda)^{-1} \right\| \leq M (1 + |\lambda|)^{-1}
\]

for all \( \lambda \in S_\varphi \), \( 0 \leq \varphi < \pi \), where \( M \) does not depend on \( h \) and \( \lambda \). Let \( E(A^\varphi) \) denote the space \( D(A^\theta) \) with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{E(A^\varphi)} = \left( \|u\|^p + \|A^\theta u\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < \infty, 0 < \varphi < \infty.
\]

A set \( W \subset B(E_1, E_2) \) is called \( R \)-bounded (see e.g. [23]) if there is a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for all \( T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_m \in W \) and \( u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \in E_1 \), \( m \in \mathbb{N} \)

\[
\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) T_j u_j \right\|_{E_2} \, dy \leq C \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) u_j \right\|_{E_1} \, dy,
\]

where \( \{r_j\} \) is an arbitrary sequence of independent symmetric \( \{-1, 1\} \)-valued random variables on \([0, 1]\).
The smallest $C$ for which the above estimate holds is called a $R$-bound of the collection $W$ and is denoted by $R(W)$.

A set of operators $G_h \subset B(E_1, E_2)$ depending on parameter $h \in Q \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called uniformly $R$-bounded with respect to $h$ if there is a constant $C$ independent of $h \in Q$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) T_j(h) u_j \right\|_{E_2} dy \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) u_j \right\|_{E_1} dy$$

for all $T_1(h), T_2(h), ..., T_m(h) \in G_h$ and $u_1, u_2, ..., u_m \in E_1, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

It implies that

$$\sup_{h \in Q} R(G_h) \leq C.$$

The operator $A$ is said to be $R$-positive in a Banach space $E$ if the set

$$\left\{ \lambda (A + \lambda)^{-1} : \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_\varphi \right\}$$

is uniformly $R$-bounded. Let $S(R^n; E)$ denote the $E$-valued Schwartz class, i.e., the space of all $E$-valued rapidly decreasing smooth functions on $R^n$ equipped with its usual topology generated by seminorms. For $E = \mathbb{C}$ this space will be denoted by $S = S(R^n)$. $S'(E) = S'(R^n; E)$ denotes the space of linear continuous mappings from $S$ into $E$ and is called $E$-valued Schwartz distributions. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n), \ \alpha_i \in [0, \infty)$ the function $(i\xi)^\alpha$ will be defined such that

$$(i\xi)^\alpha = \left\{ (i\xi_1)^{\alpha_1} ... (i\xi_n)^{\alpha_n} : \xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n \neq 0, \right.$$

$$\left. 0, \ \xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n = 0, \right.$$  

where

$$(i\xi_k)^{\alpha_k} = \exp \left[ \alpha_k (\ln |\xi_k| + i\pi \text{sgn} \xi_k/2) \right], \ k = 1, 2, ..., n.$$  

The Liouville derivatives $D^\alpha u$ of an $E$-valued function $u$ are defined similarly to the case of scalar functions [13].

$C(\Omega; E)$ and $C^{(m)}(\Omega; E)$ will denote the spaces of $E$-valued bounded uniformly strongly continuous and $m$ times continuously differentiable functions on $\Omega$, respectively. Let $F$ and $F^{-1}$ denote the Fourier and inverse Fourier transforms defined as

$$F u = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{R^n} [\exp (x, \xi)] u(x) dx, \ F^{-1} u = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{R^n} [\exp (x, \xi)] u(\xi) d\xi,$$
where
\[ x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), \] \[ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \]
\[ (x, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \xi_k. \]

Through this section, the Fourier transformation of a function \( u \) will be denoted by \( \hat{u} \). It is known that
\[ F_\xi (D^\alpha u) = (i \xi_1)^{\alpha_1} ... (i \xi_n)^{\alpha_n} \hat{u}, \]
\[ D^\alpha (F (u)) = F \left[ ( - i x_n )^{\alpha_1} ... ( - i x_n )^{\alpha_n} u \right] \]
for all \( u \in S' (\mathbb{R}^n; E) \). Let \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) be two Banach spaces. \( B (E_1, E_2) \) denotes the space of bounded linear operators from \( E_1 \) to \( E_2 \). A function \( \Psi \in C (\mathbb{R}^n; B (E_1, E_2)) \) is called a Fourier multiplier from \( L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_1) \) to \( L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_2) \) if the map
\[ u \rightarrow \Lambda u = F^{-1} \Psi (\xi) F u, \quad u \in S (\mathbb{R}^n; E_1) \]
is well defined and extends to a bounded linear operator
\[ \Lambda : L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_1) \rightarrow L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_2). \]
The set of all multipliers from \( L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_1) \) to \( L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_2) \) will be denoted by \( M_p^p (E_1, E_2) \). For \( E_1 = E_2 = E \) it is denoted by \( M_p^p (E) \).

Let \( \Phi_h = \{ \Psi_h \in M_p^p (E_1, E_2), h \in Q \} \) denote a collection of multipliers depending on the parameter \( h \).

We say that \( W_h \) is a uniform collection of multipliers if there exists a positive constant \( M \) independent of \( h \in Q \) such that
\[ \left\| F^{-1} \Psi_h F u \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n; E_2)} \leq M \left\| u \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n; E_1)} \]
for all \( h \in Q \) and \( u \in S (\mathbb{R}^n; E_1) \).

Let \( E_0 \) and \( E \) be two Banach spaces and \( E_0 \) be continuously and densely embedded into \( E \). Let \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Consider the following Liouville-Lions space
\[ H^s_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E) = \left\{ u \in S' (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0), \ F^{-1} (1 + |\xi|)^{\frac{s}{2}} F u \in L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E), \right\}. \]

\[ \| u \|_{H^s_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E)} = \| u \|_{L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0)} + \left\| F^{-1} (1 + |\xi|)^{\frac{s}{2}} F u \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} < \infty. \]

Let \( t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_n) \) and \( t_k \) be positive parameters. We define the following parameterized norm in \( H^s_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E) \):
\[ \| u \|_{H^s_{p,t} (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0, E)} = \| u \|_{L_p (\mathbb{R}^n; E_0)} + \left\| F^{-1} \left[ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{t_k}{\xi_k} \right)^{\frac{2}{t_k}} \right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \right] F u \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n; E)} < \infty. \]
Sometimes we use one and the same symbol $C$ without distinction in order to denote positive constants which may differ from each other even in a single context. When we want to specify the dependence of such a constant on a parameter, say $\alpha$, we write $C_\alpha$.

By using the techniques of [9, Theorem 3.7] and reasoning as in [19, Theorem A0] we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition A0.** Let $E_1$ and $E_2$ be two UMD spaces and

$$\Psi_h \in C^n (R^n \setminus \{0\}; B (E_1, E_2)).$$

Suppose there is a positive constant $K$ such that

$$\sup_{h \in Q} R \left( \left\{ |\xi|^\beta D^\beta \Psi_h (\xi) : \xi \in R^n \setminus \{0\}, \beta_i \in \{0, 1\} \right\} \right) \leq K,$$

for

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n), \quad |\beta| = \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_k.$$

Then $\Psi_h$ is a uniform collection of multipliers from $L_p (R^n; E_1)$ to $L_p (R^n; E_2)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$.

**Proof:** Some steps (Lemma 3.1, Proposition 3.2) of proof [9, Theorem 3.7] trivially work for the parameter dependent case. Other steps (Theorem 3.3, Lemma 3.5) can be easily shown by replacing

$$\left\{ |\xi|^\beta D^\beta \Psi (\xi) : \xi \in R^n \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

with

$$\Sigma_h = \left\{ |\xi|^\beta D^\beta \Psi_h (\xi) : \xi \in R^n \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

and by using the uniform $R$-boundedness of the set $\Sigma_h$. However, the parameter dependent analog of Proposition 3.4 in [9] is not straightforward. Let $M_h, M_{h,N} \in L_{loc}^1 (R^n; B (E_1, E_2))$ be Fourier multipliers from $L_p (R^n; E_1)$ to $L_p (R^n; E_2)$. Let $M_{h,N}$ converge to $M_h$ in $L_{loc}^1 (R^n; B (E_1, E_2))$ and $T_{h,N} = F^{-1} M_{h,N} F$ be uniformly bounded with respect to $h$ and $N$. Then the operator $T_h = F^{-1} M_h F$ is uniformly bounded, so we obtain the assertion of Proposition A0.

The embedding theorems in vector valued spaces play a key role in the theory of DOEs. For estimating lower order derivatives in terms of interpolation spaces we use following embedding theorems from [17].

**Theorem A1.** Suppose $E$ is an UMD space, $0 < t_k \leq t_0 < \infty, 1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and $A$ is an $R$-positive operator in $E$. Then for $s \in (0, \infty)$ with $\varkappa = |\alpha| + n \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \leq s, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1 - \varkappa$ the embedding

$$D^n H^s_p (R^n; E (A), E) \subset L_q (R^n; E (A^{1-\varkappa-\mu})).$$
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is continuous and there exists a constant $C_{\mu} > 0$, depending only on $\mu$ such that
\[
t(\alpha) \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p(R^n;E(A^{1-\kappa-\mu}))} \leq C_{\mu} \left[ h^{n} \|u\|_{H^p_{E} (R^n;E(A),E)} + h^{-1}(1-\mu) \|u\|_{L_p(R^n;E)} \right]
\]
for all $u \in H^p_{E} (R^n;E(A),E)$ and $0 < h \leq h_0 < \infty$.

2. PsDOE with parameters in Banach spaces

Consider the principal part of the problem (1.1):
\[
(L_t + \lambda) u = P_t(D) u + Au + \lambda u = f(t), \quad x \in R^n,
\]
where $P_t(D)$ is the pseudo-differential operator defined by
\[
P_t(D) u = F^{-1} P_t(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{R^n} e^{i(x,\xi)} P_t(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad (2.2)
\]

**Condition 2.1.** Assume $P_t(\xi) \in S^m$ for some positive number $m$, i.e.,
\[
|D^\alpha_t P_t(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha \left[ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha}{t_k m} \xi_k^2 \right)^{\frac{m-|\alpha|}{2}} \right]
\]
for all $\xi \in R^n$ and $t_k \in (0, t_0)$. Suppose $P_t(\xi) \in S_{\varphi_1}$ for all $\xi \in R^n, t_k \in (0, t_0)$, $0 \leq \varphi_1 < \pi$ and there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $|P_t(\xi)| \geq \gamma \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k |\xi_k|^m$.

Let
\[
Y = H^m_{E} (R^n;E(A),E).
\]
In this section we prove the following

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume the Condition 2.1 hold. Suppose $E$ is an UMD space, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $A$ is an $R$-positive operator in $E$ with respect to $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$. Then for $f \in L_p(R^n;E)$, $\lambda \in S_{\varphi_2}$, $0 \leq \varphi_2 < \pi - \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \leq \varphi$ there is a unique solution $u$ of the equation (2.1) belonging to $Y$ and the following coercive uniform estimate holds
\[
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t(\alpha) |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{m}} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L_p(R^n;E)} + \|Au\|_{L_p(R^n;E)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(R^n;E)). \quad (2.3)
\]

**Proof.** By applying the Fourier transform to equation (2.1) we obtain
\[
[P_t(\xi) + A + \lambda] \hat{u}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi). \quad (2.4)
\]

By construction $\lambda + P_t(\xi) \in S_{\varphi}$, for all $t_k \in (0, t_0)$, $\xi \in R^n$ and the operator $A + \lambda + P_t(\xi)$ is invertible in $E$. So, from (2.4) we obtain that the solution of equation (2.1) can be represented in the form
\[
u(x) = F^{-1} [A + \lambda + P_t(\xi)]^{-1} \hat{f}. \quad (2.5)
\]
By definition of the positive operator \( A \), the inverse of \( A^{-1} \) is bounded in \( E \). Then the operator \( A \) is a closed linear operator (as an inverse of bounded linear operator \( A^{-1} \)). By the differential properties of the Fourier transform and by using (2.5) we have

\[
\| Au \|_X = \left\| F^{-1} A [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1} \hat{f} \right\|_X ,
\]

\[
\| D^\theta u \|_X = \left\| F^{-1} \xi^\alpha [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1} \hat{f} \right\|_X ,
\]

where \( X = L_p (R^n; E) \). Hence, it suffices to show that operator-functions

\[
\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) = A [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1} ,
\]

\[
\sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) = t (\alpha) |\lambda|^{1 - \frac{m}{n}} \xi^\alpha [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1}
\]

are collections of multipliers in \( X \) uniformly with respect to \( t_k \in (0, t_0] \) and \( \lambda \in S_{\varphi_2} \). By virtue of [5, Lemma 2.3], for \( \lambda \in S_{\varphi_1} \) and \( \nu \in S_{\varphi_2} \) with \( \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 < \pi \) there is a positive constant \( C \) such that

\[
|\lambda + \nu| \geq C (|\lambda| + |\nu|) . \tag{2.6}
\]

By using the positivity properties of operator \( A \) we get that

\[
B (\lambda, t) = [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1}
\]

is bounded for all \( \xi \in R^n, \lambda \in S_{\varphi_1}, t_k \in (0, t_0] \) and

\[
\| B (\lambda, t) \| \leq C (1 + |\lambda + P_t (\xi)|)^{-1} .
\]

By using Condition 2.1 and estimate (2.6) we obtain that

\[
\| B (\lambda, t) \| \leq C (1 + |\lambda + P_t (\xi)|)^{-1} \leq (2.7)
\]

\[
C_2 \left[ 1 + |\lambda| + \sum_{k=1}^n t_k |\xi_k|^m \right]^{-1}.
\]

Then by using the resolvent properties of positive operators and uniform estimate (2.7) we obtain

\[
\| \sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) \| \leq \left\| I + (\lambda + P_t (\xi)) [A + \lambda + P_t (\xi)]^{-1} \right\| \leq
\]

\[
1 + (|\lambda| + |P_t (\xi)|)(1 + |\lambda| + |P_t (\xi)|)^{-1} \leq C_3 ,
\]

where \( I \) is an identity operator in \( E \). Moreover, by using the well known inequality

\[
y_1^{\beta_1} y_2^{\beta_2} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n} \leq C \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^m \right)
\]
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for $|\beta| \leq m, y_k > 0$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n)$ for all $u \in E$ we have

$$
\|\sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) u\|_E \leq t(\alpha) |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{m}} |\xi^\alpha| \|B (\lambda, t) u\|_E \leq \\
|\lambda| \prod_{k=1}^n \left( t_k^\frac{1}{|m|} |\xi_k| \right)^{\alpha_k} \|B (\lambda, t) u\|_E \leq C_\alpha \left( |\lambda| + \sum_{k=1}^n t_k |\xi_k|^m \right) \|B (\lambda, t) u\|_E.
$$

In view of estimate (2.7) and by Condition 2.1 we get from the above inequality

$$
\|\sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) u\|_E \leq C_\alpha \|u\|_E.
$$

So, we obtain that the operator functions $\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi)$ and $\sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi)$ are uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$
\|\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi)\|_{B(E)} \leq C, \|\sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi)\|_{B(E)} \leq C_\alpha. \tag{2.8}
$$

Due to $R$-positivity of $A$, by (2.8) and by Kahane’s contraction principle [6, Lemma 3.5] we obtain that the set

$$
\{\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\}
$$

is uniformly $R$-bounded, i.e.,

$$
\sup_{t,\lambda} R \{\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\} \leq M_0.
$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$
R \left( \left\{ |\xi|^{|\beta|} D^\beta_{\xi} \sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right\} \right) \leq M \tag{2.9}
$$

for

$$
\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n), \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}.
$$

Consider the following sets

$$
\sigma^\beta (t, \lambda, \xi) = \left\{ |\xi|^{|\beta|} D^\beta_{\xi} \sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right\},
$$

$$
\sigma^\beta_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) = \left\{ |\xi|^{|\beta|} D^\beta_{\xi} \sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) ; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \right\},
$$

$$
\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n), \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}.
$$

In view of the $R$—positivity properties of operator $A$ and due to Kahane’s contraction, addition and product properties of the collection of $R$-bounded operators (see e.g. [7, 23]) and by (2.9) for all $\left\{\xi^{(j)}\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\left\{\sigma^\beta_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi^{(j)})\right\}$, $j = 1, 2, ..., \mu$, $u_1, u_2, ..., u_\mu \in E$ and independent symmetric $\{-1, 1\}$—valued random variables $r_j (y), \mu \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain the following uniform estimate
the Fourier transform we have
\[ \lambda \]
for \( \alpha \) are positive constants \( C \) following result:
and estimate (2.3) holds
\[ \text{Hence, we infer that the operator-valued functions } \sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) \text{ and } \sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) \text{ are uniform } R\text{-bounded multipliers and it’s } R\text{-bounds are independent of } t \text{ and } \lambda. \]
By virtue of Preposition A0, the operator-valued functions \( \sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) \) and \( \sigma_\alpha (t, \lambda, \xi) \) are uniform collections of Fourier multipliers in \( L_p (R^n; E) \). So, we obtain that for all \( f \in L_p (R^n; E) \) there is a unique solution of equation (2.1) and \( \text{estimate} \ (2.3) \) holds.

Let \( O_t \) denote the operator in \( X = L_p (R^n; E) \) generated by problem (2.1) for \( \lambda = 0 \), i.e.,
\[ D (O_t) \subset H^m_p (R^n; E (A), E), \quad O_t u = P_t (D) u + Au. \]

Theorem 2.1 and the definition of the space \( H^m_p (R^n; E (A), E) \) imply the following result:

**Result 2.1.** Assume all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then there are positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) so that
\[ C_1 \| O_t u \|_X \leq \| u \|_{H^m_p (R^n; E (A), E)} \leq C_2 \| O_t u \|_X \]
for \( u \in Y \). Indeed, if we put \( \lambda = 1 \) in (2.3), by Theorem 2.1 we get
\[ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t (\alpha) \| D^\alpha u \|_X + \| Au \|_X \leq C \| O_t u \|_X \quad (2.10) \]
for \( u \in Y \). Due to the closedness of \( A \) and by the differential properties of the Fourier transform we have
\[ \| Au \|_X = \left\| F^{-1} A \hat{u} \right\|_X, \quad \| D^\alpha u \|_X = \left\| F^{-1} \xi^\alpha \hat{u} \right\|_X. \]

So, in view of estimate (2.10) and by definition of \( Y \) we obtain
\[ \| u \|_{H^m_p (R^n; E (A), E)} \leq C_2 \| O_t u \|_X. \]
The first inequality is equivalent to the following estimate
\[ \left\| F^{-1} A \hat{u} \right\|_X + \| F^{-1} P_t (\xi) \hat{u} \|_X \leq \]
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\[ C \left\{ \| F^{-1} Au \|_X + \| F^{-1} \left[ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^m \xi_k^2 \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right] \|_X \right\}. \]

So, it suffices to show that the operator functions
\[ A \left\{ A + \left[ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^m \xi_k^2 \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right] \right\}^{-1}, \ t(\alpha) \xi^{\alpha} \left[ 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k^m \xi_k^2 \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right]^{-m} \]
are uniform Fourier multipliers in \( X \). This fact is proved in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

From Theorem 2.1 we have:

**Result 2.2.** Assume all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then, for all \( \lambda \in S_{\varphi} \) the resolvent of operator \( O_t \) exists and the following sharp uniform estimate holds
\[ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t(\alpha) \left\| D^\alpha (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \right\|_{B(X)} + \| A \left( O_t + \lambda \right)^{-1} \|_{B(X)} \leq C. \quad (2.11) \]

Indeed, we infer from Theorem 2.1 that the operator \( O_t + \lambda \) has a bounded inverse from \( X \) to \( Y \). So, the solution \( u \) of equation (2.1) can be expressed as \( u(x) = (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} f \) for all \( f \in X \). Then estimate (2.3) implies the estimate (2.11).

**Result 2.3.** Theorem 2.1 particularly implies that the operator \( O_t \) is positive in \( X \). Then the operators \( O_t^\sigma \) are generators of analytic semigroups in \( X \) for \( \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} \) (see e.g. [20, §1.14.5]).

Now consider the problem (1.1). By using Theorem 2.1 and the perturbation theory of linear operators we have the following

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Suppose \( A_\alpha(x) A^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{m|\alpha|}{m} \right) \in L_\infty \left( R^n; B(E) \right) \) for \( \mu \in \left( 0, 1 - \frac{m|\alpha|}{m} \right) \). Then for \( f \in L_p \left( R^n; E \right), \lambda \in S_{\varphi_2}, 0 \leq \varphi_2 < \pi - \varphi_1, \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \leq \varphi \) and for sufficiently large \( |\lambda| \) there is a unique solution \( u \) of the equation (1.1) belonging to \( Y \) and the following coercive uniform estimate holds
\[ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t(\alpha) |\lambda|^{1-\frac{|\alpha|m}{m}} \left\| D^\alpha u \right\|_{L_p \left( R^n; E \right)} + \| Au \|_{L_p \left( R^n; E \right)} \leq C \| f \|_{L_p \left( R^n; E \right)}. \quad (2.12) \]

**Proof.** It is clear that \( Q_t = O_t + L_t \), where \( O_t \) is the operator in \( L_p \left( R^n; E \right) \) generated by problem (2.1) for \( \lambda = 0 \) and
\[ L_t u = \sum_{|\alpha| < m} t(\alpha) A_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u, \ u \in Y. \]

In view of the condition on \( A_\alpha(x) \) and by the Theorem A_1 for \( u \in Y \) we have
\[ \|L_tu\|_X \leq \sum_{|\alpha|<m} t(\alpha) \|A_{\alpha}(x) D^\alpha u\|_X \leq \]
\[ C_{\mu} \sum_{|\alpha|<m} t(\alpha) \left\| A^{1-\frac{|\alpha|}{m}-\mu} D^\alpha u \right\|_X \leq \]
\[ C_{\mu} \left[ \mu^\mu \|u\|_{H^m_{\mu,t}(R^n;E(\mathcal{A}),E)} + h^{-(1-\mu)} \|u\|_X \right]. \]  
(2.13)

Then from estimates (2.12), (2.13) and for \( u \in Y \) we obtain
\[ \|L_tu\|_X \leq C \left[ h^\mu \|O_tu\|_X + h^{-(1-\mu)} \|u\|_X \right]. \]  
(2.14)

Since \( \|u\|_X = \frac{1}{\lambda} \|(O_t + \lambda) u + L_tu\|_X \) for \( \lambda \in S_{\varphi_2} \). Hence, for \( u \in Y \) we get
\[ \|u\|_X \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left[ \|(O_t + \lambda) u\|_X + \|O_tu\|_X \right] \leq \]  
\[ \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left( \|(O_t + \lambda) u\|_X + \sum_{|\alpha|<m} t(\alpha) \|D^\alpha u\|_X + \|Au\|_X \right). \]  
(2.15)

From estimates (2.13) – (2.15) for \( u \in Y \) we obtain
\[ \|L_tu\|_X \leq Ch^\mu \|(O_t + \lambda) u\|_X + C_1 |\lambda|^{-1} h^{-\mu} \|(O_t + \lambda) u\|_X. \]  
(2.16)

Then choosing \( h \) and \( \lambda \) such that \( Ch^\mu < 1, \ C_1 |\lambda|^{-1} h^{-\mu} < 1 \) from (2.16) we obtain that
\[ \left\| L_t (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \right\|_{B(X)} < 1. \]  
(2.17)

From Theorem 2.1 and (2.17) we get that the operator \( (Q_t + \lambda) \) has a bounded inverse in \( X \). Moreover, it is clear that
\[ (Q_t + \lambda)^{-1} = \left[ I + L_t (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} \right] (O_t + \lambda), \]  
(2.18)

where \( I \) is an identity operator in \( X \). Using relation (2.18), estimates (2.3), (2.17) and perturbation theory of linear operators, we obtain that the operator \( Q_t + \lambda \) has a bounded inverse from \( X \) into \( Y \) and the estimate (2.12) holds.

3. The Cauchy problem for parabolic PsDOE with parameter

In this section, we shall consider the following Cauchy problem for the parabolic PsDO equation
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + P_t (D) u + Au = f(y,x), \ u(0,x) = 0, \]  
(3.1)

where \( P_t (D) \) is the pseudo-differential operator defined by (2.2) and \( A \) is a linear operator in \( E, \ t = (t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_n), \ t_k \) are positive parameters.
In this section, by applying Theorem 2.1 we establish the maximal regularity of the problem (3.1) in $E$-valued mixed $L_p$ spaces, where $p = (p_1, p)$.

Let $O_t$ denote the operator generated by problem (2.1). For this aim we need the following result:

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose Condition 2.1 hold, $E$ is an UMD space and the operator $A$ is $R$-positive in $E$ with respect to $\varphi$ with $0 \leq \varphi < \pi - \varphi$. Then operator $O_t$ is uniformly $R$-positive in $L_p(R^n; E)$.

**Proof.** From Result 2.3 we obtain that the operator $O_t$ is positive in $X = L_p(R^n; E)$. We have to prove the $R$-boundedness of the set

$$\sigma (t, \lambda, \xi) = \left\{ \lambda (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} : \lambda \in S_\varphi \right\}.$$  

From the proof of Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\lambda (O_t + \lambda)^{-1} f = F^{-1}(t, \xi, \lambda) \int_{E} f \, dy,$$

where

$$\Phi (t, \xi, \lambda) = \lambda (A + P_t (\xi) + \lambda)^{-1}.$$  

By reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following uniform estimate

$$\| \Phi (t, \xi, \lambda) \|_{B(E)} \leq |\lambda| \left\| (A + P_t (\xi) + \lambda)^{-1} \right\|_{B(E)} \leq C.$$  

By definition of $R$-boundedness, it suffices to show that the operator function $\Phi (t, \xi, \lambda)$ (which depends on variable $\lambda$ and parameters $\xi, t$) is a multiplier in $L_p(R^n; E)$ uniformly with respect to $\xi$ and $t$. Indeed, by reasoning as in Theorem 2.1 we can easily show that $\Phi (t, \xi, \lambda)$ is a uniform multiplier in $L_p(R; E)$. Then, by the definition of a $R$-bounded set we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) \lambda_j (O + \lambda_j)^{-1} f_j \right\|_X \, dy = \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) F^{-1}(t, \xi, \lambda_j) f_j \right\|_X \, dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left\| F^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) \Phi (t, \xi, \lambda_j) f_j \right\|_X \, dy \leq C \int_{0}^{1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(y) f_j \right\|_X \, dy$$

for all $\xi \in R$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m \in S_\varphi$, $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m \in X$, $m \in N$, where $\{r_j\}$ is a sequence of independent symmetric $\{-1, 1\}$-valued random variables on $[0, 1]$. Hence, the set $\Phi (t, \xi, \lambda)$ is uniformly $R$-bounded.

Let $E$ be a Banach space. For $p = (p_1, p_2)$, $Z = L_p(R^n_+; E)$ will denote the space of all $p$-summed $E$-valued functions with mixed norm (see e.g. [2, § 4] for the complex-valued case), i.e., the space of all measurable $E$-valued functions $f$ defined on $R^n_+$, for which

$$\|f\|_{L_p(R^n_+; E)} = \left( \int_{R^n} \left( \int_{R^n} \|f(x, y)\|_{E,p}^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{p_1}{p_2}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} < \infty.$$
Let $E$ be a Banach space and $A$ be a positive operator in $E$. Suppose, $l$ is a positive integer number. $W^l_p(R_+;E(A),E)$ denotes the space of all functions $u \in L_p(R_+;E(A))$ possessing the generalized derivatives $u^{(i)} \in L_p(R_+;E)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^l_p(R_+;E(A),E)} = \|Au\|_{L_p(R_+;E)} + \|u^{(i)}\|_{L_p(R_+;E)}.$$ 

Let $m$ be a positive number. $W^{1,m}_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A),E)$ denotes the space of all functions $u \in L_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A))$ possessing the generalized derivative $D_y u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \in Z$ with respect to $y$ and fractional derivatives $D_x^\alpha u \in Z$ with respect to $x$ for $|\alpha| \leq m$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,m}_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A),E)} = \|Au\|_Z + \|\frac{du}{dy}\|_Z + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_Z,$$

where $u = u(y,x)$.

Now, we are ready to state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold for $\varphi \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\right)$. Then for $f \in Z$ problem (3.1) has a unique solution

$$u \in W^{1,m}_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A),E)$$

satisfying the following uniform coercive estimate

$$\left\|\frac{du}{dy}\right\|_Z + \|P_l(D) u\|_Z + \|Au\|_Z \leq C \|f\|_Z.$$

**Proof.** By definition of $X = L_p(R^n;E)$ and mixed space $L_p(R^{n+1}_+;E)$, $p = (p,p_1)$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L_p(R_+;X)} = \left(\int_{R_+} \|u(y)\|_{L_p(R^n;E)}^{p_1} \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} = \left(\int_{R_+} \|u(y)\|_{L_p(R^n;E)}^{p_1} \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} = \left(\int_{R^n} \left(\int_{R_+} \|u(y,x)\|_{L_p(R^n;E)}^{p_1} \, dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \, dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p_1}} = \|u\|_Z.$$

Moreover, by definition of the space $W^{1,m}_p(R_+;E(A),E)$ and by Result 2.1 we obtain

$$\|u\|_{W^{1}_p(R_+;E(A),E)} = \|O t u\|_{L_p(R_+;X)} + \|u\|_{L_p(R_+;X)} = \|u\|_{W^{1,m}_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A),E)}.$$

Moreover, by definition of the space $W^{1}_p(R_+;E(A),E)$ and by Result 2.1 we obtain

$$\|D_y u\|_Z + \|D_x^\alpha u\|_Z = \|u\|_{W^{1,m}_p(R^{n+1}_+;E(A),E)}.$$
Hence, we deduced from the above equalities that,
\[ Z = L_p \left( R^{n+1}_+ ; E \right) = L_{p_1} \left( R_+ ; X \right), \quad W^{1,m}_p \left( R^{n+1}_+ ; E(A) , E \right) = W^{1}_p \left( R_+ ; D(O_t) , X \right). \]
Therefore, the problem (3.1) can be expressed as the following Cauchy problem
for the abstract parabolic equation
\[ \frac{du}{dy} + O_t u (y) = f (y) , \quad u (0) = 0 , \quad y \in R_+. \tag{3.3} \]
By virtue of [1, Theorem 4.5.2], the condition \( E \in UMD \) implies \( X \in UMD \) for \( p \in (1, \infty) \). Then due to the \( R \)--positivity of \( O_t \), by virtue of [23, Theorem 4.2] we obtain that for \( f \in L^{p_1}_1 (R_+ ; X) \) equation (3.3) has a unique solution \( u \in W^{1}_p \left( R_+ ; D(O_t) , X \right) \) satisfying the following estimate
\[ \left\| \frac{du}{dy} \right\|_{L^{p_1}_1 \left( R_+ ; X \right)} + \left\| O_t u \right\|_{L^{p_1}_1 (R_+ ; X)} \leq C \left\| f \right\|_{L^{p_1}_1 (R_+ ; X)}. \]
From the Theorem 2.1, relation (3.2) and from the above estimate we get the assertion.

4. BVP for Anisotropic PsDE

In this section, the maximal regularity properties of the anisotropic PsDE are studied.

Let \( \Omega = \Omega \times R^n \), where \( \Omega \subset R^n \) is an open connected set with compact \( C^{2l} \)--boundary \( \partial \Omega \). Consider the BVP for the pseudo-differential equation
\[ P_t (D) u + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \left( b_\alpha D^\alpha_y + \lambda \right) u = f (x, y) , \quad y \in \Omega, \quad x \in R^n, \tag{4.1} \]
\[ B_j u = \sum_{|\beta| \leq l_j} b_{j,\beta} (y) D^\beta_y u (x, y) = 0 , \quad x \in \Omega, \quad y \in \partial \Omega, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., l, \tag{4.2} \]
where \( u = u (x, y) \), \( P_t (D) \) is the pseudo differential operator defined by (2.1) with respect to \( x \) and \( y \)
\[ D_j = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}, \quad y = (y_1, ..., y_n), \quad b_\alpha = b_\alpha (y), \]
where \( \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_u) \), \( \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_y) \) are nonnegative integer numbers, \( t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_n) \) and \( t_k \) are positive parameters.
If $\tilde{\Omega} = R^n \times \Omega$, $p = (p_1, p)$, \( L_p(\tilde{\Omega}) \) will denote the space of all \( p \)-summable scalar-valued functions with mixed norm (see e.g.\([2, \S 4]\)), i.e., the space of all measurable functions \( f \) defined on \( \tilde{\Omega} \), for which

\[
\|f\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})} = \left( \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f(x, y)|^{p_1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p_1}} \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.
\]

Analogously, \( W^{m, 2l}_{p, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}) \) denotes the anisotropic fractional Sobolev space with corresponding mixed norm, i.e., \( W^{m, 2l}_{p, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}) \) denotes the space of all functions \( u \in L_p(\tilde{\Omega}) \) possessing the fractional derivatives \( D_x^\alpha u \in L_p(\tilde{\Omega}) \) with respect to \( x \) for \( |\alpha| \leq m \) and generalized derivative \( \frac{\partial^{2l} u}{\partial y_k} \in L_p(\tilde{\Omega}) \) with respect to \( y \) with the norm

\[
\|u\|_{W^{m, 2l}_{p, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega})} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})} + \sum_{k=1}^l \left\| \frac{\partial^{2l} u}{\partial y_k} \right\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})}.
\]

Let \( Q \) denote the operator generated by problem (4.1) – (4.2), i.e.,

\[
D(Q) = W^{m, 2l}_{p, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}, B_j) = \left\{ u : u \in W^{m, 2l}_{p, \alpha}(\tilde{\Omega}), B_j u = 0, j = 1, 2, ... l \right\},
\]

\[
Q u = P_t(D) u + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} b_\alpha D^\alpha u.
\]

Let \( \xi' = (\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_{\mu-1}) \in R^{\mu-1}, \alpha' = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{\mu-1}) \in Z^\mu \) and

\[
A(y_0, \xi', D_y) = \sum_{|\alpha'| + j \leq 2l} a_{\alpha'}(y_0) \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} ... \xi_{\mu-1}^{\alpha_{\mu-1}} D_j \text{ for } y_0 \in \tilde{G}
\]

\[
B_j(y_0, \xi', D_y) = \sum_{|\beta'| + j \leq l_j} b_{j\beta'}(y_0) \xi_1^{\beta_1} \xi_2^{\beta_2} ... \xi_{\mu-1}^{\beta_{\mu-1}} D_j \text{ for } y_0 \in \partial G.
\]

**Condition 4.1.** Let the following conditions be satisfied:

1. \( b_\alpha \in C(\tilde{\Omega}) \) for each \(|\alpha| = 2l\) and \( b_\alpha \in L_\infty(\Omega) + L_{r_k}(\Omega) \) for each \(|\alpha| = k < 2l\) with \( r_k \geq p_1, p_1 \in (1, \infty) \) and \( 2l - k > \frac{1}{r_k} \);
2. \( b_{j\beta} \in C^{2l-j}(\partial \Omega) \) for each \( j, \beta, l_j < 2l, p \in (1, \infty) \), \( \lambda \in S_\varphi, \varphi \in [0, \pi] \);
3. for \( y \in \tilde{\Omega}, \xi \in R^n, \sigma \in S_{\varphi_0}, \varphi_0 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \), \(|\xi| + |\sigma| \neq 0 \) let \( \sigma + \sum_{|\alpha| = 2l} b_\alpha(y) \xi^\alpha \neq 0 \);
4. for each \( y_0 \in \partial \Omega \) local BVP in local coordinates corresponding to \( y_0 \)

\[
\lambda + A(y_0, \xi', D_y) \vartheta(y) = 0,
\]

\[
B_j(y_0, \xi', D_y) \vartheta(0) = h_j, j = 1, 2, ..., l
\]
has a unique solution \( \vartheta \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \) for all \( h = (h_1, h_2, ..., h_l) \in \mathbb{C}^l \) and for \( \xi' \in R^{n-1} \).

Suppose \( \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, ..., \nu_n) \) are nonnegative real numbers. In this section, we present the following result:

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume Condition 2.1 and Condition 4.1 are satisfied. Then for \( f \in L_p(\Omega) \), \( \lambda \in S_{\varphi}, \varphi \in (0, \pi] \) problem (4.1) – (4.2) has a unique solution \( u \in W_{m, 2l}(\tilde{\Omega}) \) and the following coercive uniform estimate holds

\[
\sum_{|\nu| \leq m} \prod_{k=1}^l T_k^{\nu_k} |\lambda|^{1-\frac{m}{p}} \|D_{x}^\nu u\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} \|D_{y}^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C \|f\|_{L_p(\tilde{\Omega})}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( E = L_{p_1}(\Omega) \). It is known [4] that \( L_{p_1}(\Omega) \) is an UMD space for \( p_1 \in (1, \infty) \). Consider the operator \( A \) defined by

\[
D(A) = W_{p_1}^{2l}(\Omega; B_j u = 0), \quad A u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} b_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u(y).
\]

Therefore, the problem (4.1) – (4.2) can be rewritten in the form of (2.1), where \( u(x) = u(x, \cdot), f(x) = f(x, \cdot) \) are functions with values in \( E = L_{p_1}(\Omega) \). From [6, Theorem 8.2] we get that the following problem

\[
\eta u(y) + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2l} b_\alpha(y) D^\alpha u(y) = f(y),
\]

\[
B_j u = \sum_{|\beta| \leq l_j} b_{j\beta}(y) D^\beta u(y) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., l
\]

has a unique solution for \( f \in L_{p_1}(\Omega) \) and \( \arg \eta \in S(\varphi_1), |\eta| \to \infty \). Moreover, the operator \( A \) generated by (4.3) is \( R \)-positive in \( L_{p_1}(\tilde{\Omega}) \). Then from Theorem 2.1 we obtain the assertion.

5. The System of PsDE of Infinite Order

Consider the following system of PsDEs of infinite order

\[
P_t(D) u_i + \sum_{j=1}^N (a_{ij} + \lambda) u_j(x) = f_i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
\]

\[
i = 1, 2, ..., N, \quad N \in [1, \infty],
\]

where \( P_t(D) \) is the pseudo-differential operator defined by (2.2), \( t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_n) \) and \( t_k \) are positive parameters. Let \( a_{ij} \) be real numbers and

\[
l_q(A) = \left\{ u \in l_q, ||u||_{l_q(A)} = ||Au||_{l_q} = \right\}
\]
\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |(Au)_i|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}u_j \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,
\]

\[u = \{u_j\}, \quad Au = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}u_j \right\}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots N.\]

**Condition 5.1.** Let

\[a_{ij} = a_{ji}, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq C_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0.\]

Let

\[f(x) = \{f_i(x)\}_1^N, \quad u = \{u_i(x)\}_1^N.\]

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume Condition 2.1 and Condition 5.1 are satisfied. Then, for \(f(x) \in L_p(R^n; l_q), \ |\arg \lambda| \leq \varphi, \ \varphi \in (0, \pi]\) and for sufficiently large \(|\lambda|, \) problem (5.1) has a unique solution \(u\) that belongs to the space \(H_m^p (R^n, l_q(A), l_q)\) and the following uniform coercive estimate holds

\[
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t (\alpha) |\lambda|^{1 - |\alpha|} \left[ \int_{R^n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |D^\alpha u_i(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} dx \right]^\frac{1}{q} + \]

\[
\left[ \int_{R^n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}u_j \right|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left[ \int_{R^n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |f_i(x)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(E = l_q, \ A\) be a matrix such that \(A = [a_{ij}], \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots N.\) It is easy to see that

\[B(\lambda) = \lambda(A + \lambda)^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{D(\lambda)} [A_{ji}(\lambda)], \ i, j = 1, 2, \ldots N,\]

where \(D(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I), \ A_{ji}(\lambda)\) are entries of the corresponding adjoint matrix of \(A - \lambda I.\) Since the matrix \(A\) is symmetric and positive definite, it generates a positive operator in \(l_q\) for \(q \in (1, \infty).\) For all \(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_\mu \in l_q, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\mu \in \mathbb{C}\) and independent symmetric \(\{-1, 1\}\)-valued random variables \(r_k(y), \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, \mu, \mu \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[
\int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} r_k(y) B(\lambda_k) u_k \right\|_{l_q}^q \, dy \leq \]
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\[
C \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} \frac{\lambda_k}{D(\lambda_k)} A_{ji}(\lambda_k) r_k(y) u_{ki} \right|^q dy \leq \right.
\]
\[
\sup_{k,i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\lambda_k}{D(\lambda_k)} A_{ji}(\lambda_k) \right|^q \int_{\Omega} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} r_k(y) u_{kj} \right|^q dy. \quad (5.2)
\]

Since \( A \) is symmetric and positive definite, we have
\[
\sup_{k,i} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\lambda_k}{D(\lambda_k)} A_{ji}(\lambda_k) \right|^q \leq C. \quad (5.3)
\]

From (5.2) and (5.3) we get
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} r_k(y) B(\lambda_k) u_k \right\|_{l_q}^q dy \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\mu} r_k(y) u_k \right\|_{l_q}^q dy.
\]

i.e., the operator \( A \) is \( R \)-positive in \( l_q \). From Theorem 2.1 we obtain that problem (5.1) has a unique solution \( u \in H^m_{p} (\mathbb{R}^n; l_q (A), l_q) \) for \( f \in L^p_{p} (\mathbb{R}^n; l_q) \) and the following estimate holds
\[
\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} t(\alpha) |\lambda|^{|\alpha|} \| D^\alpha u \|_{L^p_{p}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q)} + \| Au \|_{L^p_{p}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q)} \leq M \| f \|_{L^p_{p}(\mathbb{R}^n; l_q)}.
\]

From the above estimate we obtain the assertion.
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