Importance of spin-orbit coupling in power factor calculations for half-Heusler ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi)
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We investigate the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) effects on the electronic structures and semi-classic transport coefficients of half-Heusler ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi) by using generalized gradient approximation (GGA). Calculated results show that SOC splits the valence bands at high symmetry Γ point, and modifies the outline of Γ-centered valence bands, which has remarkable effects on the electron transport properties. Thermoelectric properties are performed through solving Boltzmann transport equations within the constant scattering time approximation. It is found that the compounds containing Sn atom have larger power factor in p-type doping than ones in n-type doping, and it is just the opposite for compounds containing Sb and Bi elements. The SOC has obvious detrimental influence on power factor in p-type doping, while has a negligible effect in n-type doping. These can be understood by considering the effects of SOC on the valence bands and conduction bands. The maximum power factors (MPF) are extracted in n-type and p-type doping with GGA and GGA+SOC, and the MPF at 300 K with SOC is predicted to be about 4.25%–44.13% smaller than that without SOC in the case of p-type doping for ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi). Therefore, it is crucial to consider SOC effects for theoretical analysis in the case of p-type doping in half-Heusler compounds composed of heavy elements.
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INTRODUCTION

Thermoelectric devices are potential energy converters to solve energy problems, which can convert waste heat directly to electricity using the Seebeck effect. The performance of thermoelectric material is characterized by the dimensionless thermoelectric figure of merit[1, 2], $ZT = S^2σT/(κ_e + κ_L)$, where S, σ, T, κ_e and κ_L are the Seebeck coefficient, electrical conductivity, absolute working temperature, the electronic and lattice thermal conductivities, respectively. Many materials have been identified for thermoelectric applications in spintronics, shape memory alloys, superconductors, topological insulators and thermoelectrics[3, 4], silicon-germanium alloys[5, 6], lead chalcogenides[7, 8] and skutterudites[9, 10]. Heusler compounds have wide applications in spintronics, shape memory alloys, superconductors, topological insulators and thermoelectrics[11], and half-Heusler have attracted intensive research interest as moderate temperature thermoelectric materials due to being environmentally friendly, mechanically and thermally robust[12–18].

Recently, the transport properties of materials can be calculated accurately by combining the first principles band structure calculations and the Boltzmann transport theory[19–21]. Many theoretical simulation calculations have been performed for thermoelectric properties of half-Heusler[22–27]. However, most of them do not consider the SOC effects on transport properties. As is well known, spin-orbit interaction plays a key role in materials composed of heavy elements such as Bi or Sb, and SOC can induce topological insulators[28]. In ref.[29], relativistic effects in thermopower calculations for Mg2X (X=Si, Ge, Sn) are very remarkable, and have a detrimental influence on the thermoelectric performance of p-type Mg2X. So, it is very necessary to know the SOC effects on the thermoelectric properties of half-Heusler compounds containing heavy elements.

Here, we investigate SOC effects on thermoelectric properties of half-Heusler ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi). It is found that SOC strongly affects the top valence bands near Γ point, which leads to a detrimental effect on p-type power factor. On the other hand, the SOC influence on conduction bands near the Fermi level is little, and a negligible SOC effect on n-type power factor is observed. At the presence of SOC, the MPF at 300 K is predicted to be about up to 44.13% smaller than that without SOC in the case of p-type doping for ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi). Therefore, it is crucial to consider SOC effects for theoretical analysis in the case of p-type doping in half-Heusler compounds composed of heavy elements.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we shall give our computational details. In the third section, we shall present our main calculated results and analysis. Finally, we shall give our conclusion in the fourth section.

COMPUTATIONAL DETAIL

We use a full-potential linearized augmented-plane-waves method within the density functional theory (DFT) [30], as implemented in the package WIEN2k [31]. We use the popular GGA[32] for the exchange-correlation potential to do our DFT calculations. The full relativistic effects are calculated with the Dirac equations for core states, and the scalar relativistic approx-
TABLE I. The experimental lattice constant $a$ (Å); the calculated gap values with GGA $E_1$ (eV) and GGA+SOC $E_2$ (eV); $E_1 - E_2$ (eV); spin-orbit splitting $\Delta$ (eV) at the $\Gamma$ point near the Fermi level in the valence bands. These values in the parentheses are GGA gaps in ref.[26].

| Name   | $a$   | $E_1$     | $E_2$     | $E_1 - E_2$ | $\Delta$ |
|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| TiNiSn | 5.921 | 0.460 (0.451) | 0.452 | 0.008 | 0.025 |
| HfNiSn | 6.084 | 0.401 (0.396) | 0.333 | 0.068 | 0.100 |
| ScNiSb | 6.055 | 0.286 (0.281) | 0.259 | 0.027 | 0.095 |
| YNiSb  | 6.312 | 0.314 (0.311) | 0.281 | 0.033 | 0.117 |
| ScNiBi | 6.191 | 0.195 (0.191) | 0.154 | 0.041 | 0.291 |
| YNiBi  | 6.411 | 0.221 (0.219) | 0.156 | 0.065 | 0.398 |

MAIN CALCULATED RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Half-Heusler ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi) forms a MgAgAs type of structure with space group $F\bar{4}3m$, where A, Ni, and B atoms occupy Wyckoff positions 4a (0, 0, 0), 4c (1/4, 1/4, 1/4), and 4b (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) positions, respectively. The experimental lattice crystal structures[40] are used to do our calculations, and the lattice constants $a$ are listed in Table I. Here, we investigate the electronic structures of TiNiSn, HfNiSn, ScNiSb, YNiSb, ScNiBi and YNiBi with the 18 valence electron count (VEC) per unit cell. By using GGA and GGA+SOC, and present their energy band structures in Figure 1. They are all indirect-gap semiconductors, with the conduction band minimum (CBM) at high symmetry point X and valence band maximum (VBM) at the $\Gamma$ point. These gaps are produced due to the strong hybridization of d states of the A and Ni atoms. The valence bands near the Fermi level are dominated by the A-d state hybridized with the Ni-d and B-p states, while the bottom of the conduction bands are constructed mostly by A-d and Ni-d states. Based on our calculation, the GGA gap values vary from about 0.195 eV to 0.460 eV, and GGA+SOC ones change from 0.154 eV to 0.452 eV. Our GGA gap values are well consistent with other
We show the related gap values in Table I. To describe the SOC effects on the valence bands near Fermi level, spin-orbit splitting at the Γ point near the Fermi level in the valence bands are calculated, and are listed in Table I. These data show SOC has larger influence on the valence bands with respect to conduction bands.

Half-Heusler is considered as a kind of potential thermoelectric material for converting heat directly to electricity. The calculations of the semi-classic transport coefficients as a function of doping level are performed within constant scattering time approximation Boltzmann theory, and the temperature and doping dependence of the band structure are supposed to have a negligible effect on the transport coefficients. Suppose we plot the corresponding relation between the doping concentration and electrons or holes per unit cell in Figure 2, and it is natural that they have a linear relation. Figure 3 shows the Seebeck coefficient $S$, power factor with respect to scattering time $S^2\sigma/\tau$ and electrical conductivity with respect to scattering time $\sigma/\tau$ as a function of doping levels at the temperature of 300 K by using GGA and GGA+SOC. To clearly see the difference of Seebeck coefficient between GGA and GGA+SOC, the enlargers near the gap are present in Figure 4. The negative doping levels imply the n-type doping with the negative Seebeck coefficient, and the positive doping levels mean p-type doping with the positive Seebeck coefficient.

FIG. 3. (Color online) At temperature of 300 K, transport coefficients as a function of doping levels (electrons [minus value] or holes [positive value] per unit cell): Seebeck coefficient $S$ (Top panel), power factor with respect to scattering time $S^2\sigma/\tau$ (Middle panel) and electrical conductivity with respect to scattering time $\sigma/\tau$ (Bottom panel) calculated with GGA (Solid line) and GGA+SOC (Dotted line).
It is obvious that SOC has little influences on n-type MPF, and has remarkable effects on p-type MPF. At temperature of 300 K, p-type TiNiSn have the largest power factor, followed by n-type YNiBi and ScNiSb. We summarize related MPF calculated with GGA and GGA+SOC in p-type and n-type doping, the corresponding doping levels and other theoretical values in Table II. Our GGA MPF and the corresponding doping levels agree well with other calculated values.[26]

DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

The SOC removes the band degeneracy, and the importance of SOC gradually increases with increasing atomic number of A and B atoms. The valence bands around the high symmetry Γ point can show obvious relativistic effects. The spin-orbit splitting removes the degeneracy of electronic states at Γ point, and modifies the outline of bands, which produces the remarkable effects on the p-type power factor. According to the spin-orbit splitting Δ in the Table I and the MPF in Table II, it is found that the larger Δ leads to the more obvious detrimental influence on p-type MPF. The MPF by using GGA+SOC in p-type doping is about 4.25%, 20.60%, 22.33%, 25.50%, 37.21% and 44.13% smaller than that with GGA for TiNiSn, ScNiSb, HfNiSn, YNiSb, ScNiBi and YNiBi, respectively, and their related spin-orbit splitting Δ also gradually increases from 0.025 eV to 0.398 eV. In fact, the power factor decay in n-type doping is connected to difference value between gap with GGA and gap with GGA+SOC $(E_1 - E_2)$, and the larger gap difference value induces the larger decay.

In summary, GGA and GGA+SOC are chosen to investigate electronic structures and thermoelectric properties of half-Heusler ANiB (A=Ti, Hf, Sc, Y; B=Sn, Sb, Bi). The strength of SOC influences on valence and conduction bands near the Fermi level is shown by the related gaps Δ and $E_1 - E_2$. It is found that the power factors of p-doped TiNiSn and HfNiSn are much higher than the values attained in n-type doping, and it is opposite to half-Heusler compounds containing Sb and Bi elements. Calculated results show that the SOC is a significant factor decreasing the power factor of p-type ANiB, especially for ScNiBi and YNiBi. In p-type doping, the MPF reduce of YNiBi by using GGA+SOC is as much...
TABLE II. The MPF in unit of $\tau \times 10^{14}$ $\mu W/(cmK^2$s) and the corresponding doping levels (electrons [n-type] or holes [p-type] per unit cell) by using GGA and GGA+SOC. These values in the parentheses are GGA MPF in ref.[26].

|          | TiNiSn | HfNiSn | ScNiSb | YNiSb  | ScNiBi | YNiBi |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| GGA p doping | 24.70 (25.13) | 21.67 (22.03) | 15.14 (15.82) | 12.35 (13.18) | 13.84 (14.54) | 11.24 (11.98) |
| n doping   | 0.027 (0.028) | 0.015 (0.014) | 0.007 (0.006) | 0.005 (0.005) | 0.006 (0.006) | 0.004 (0.004) |
| GGA+SOC p doping | 23.65 | 16.83 | 12.02 | 9.20 | 8.69 | 6.28 |
| n doping   | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.007 | 0.005 |
| MPF(n)     | 14.68 (14.45) | 14.47 (14.44) | 23.13 (20.64) | 20.93 (22.83) | 21.95 (21.63) | 23.68 (23.44) |
| n doping   | 0.013 (0.013) | 0.009 (0.009) | 0.017 (0.016) | 0.015 (0.017) | 0.018 (0.016) | 0.015 (0.015) |

as 44.13% with respect to GGA. So, it is very necessary for power factor calculations to consider SOC, when half-Heusler compounds are composed of heavy elements such as Bi or Sb.
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