A 12 MINUTE ORBITAL PERIOD DETACHED WHITE DWARF ECLIPSING BINARY*
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ABSTRACT

We have discovered a detached pair of white dwarfs (WDs) with a 12.75 minute orbital period and a 1315 km s\(^{-1}\) radial velocity amplitude. We measure the full orbital parameters of the system using its light curve, which shows ellipsoidal variations, Doppler boosting, and primary and secondary eclipses. The primary is a 0.25\(M_\odot\) tidally distorted helium WD, only the second tidally distorted WD known. The unseen secondary is a 0.55\(M_\odot\) carbon–oxygen WD. The two WDs will come into contact in 0.9 Myr due to loss of energy and angular momentum via gravitational wave radiation. Upon contact the systems may merge (yielding a rapidly spinning massive WD), form a stable interacting binary, or possibly explode as an underluminous Type Ia supernova. The system currently has a gravitational wave strain of \(10^{-22}\), about 10,000 times larger than the Hulse–Taylor pulsar; this system would be detected by the proposed Laser Interferometer Space Antenna gravitational wave mission in the first week of operation. This system’s rapid change in orbital period will provide a fundamental test of general relativity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In Einstein’s general theory of relativity, close pairs of stars produce gravitational waves, ripples in the curvature of spacetime (Einstein 1916, 1918). Observations of the Hulse–Taylor binary pulsar PSR B1913+16 confirm the slow decay of the orbit predicted by Einstein’s theory and provide indirect evidence for gravitational waves (Hulse & Taylor 1975; Weisberg et al. 2010). Ongoing and proposed instruments seek to detect gravitational waves directly (Jafry et al. 1994; Hobbs et al. 2009; Abbott et al. 2010). The strongest known gravitational wave sources are compact binaries containing neutron stars and white dwarfs (WDs; e.g., Nelemans 2009). There are presently four known binaries with orbital periods less than 15 minutes—three AM CVn stars (Haberl & Motch 1995; Israel et al. 1999; Warner & Woudt 2002) and one low mass X-ray binary (Stella et al. 1987)—but all binaries with < 1 hr orbital periods, with one exception (Kilic et al. 2011b), are interacting systems that complicate direct tests of Einstein’s theory. Marsh & Nelemans (2005) show that the expected period change due to gravitational wave radiation can be modified by both induction-driven and angular momentum interchange in magnetic WD systems and by mass transfer in accreting systems. Moreover, there are different explanations for both the nature of the shortest-period AM CVn systems and their observed period changes (Strohmayer 2004; D’Antona et al. 2006; Deloye & Taam 2006; Roelofs et al. 2010).

Here, we report the discovery of a detached, 765 s orbital period binary, SDSS J065133.33+284423.3 (hereafter J0651). The discovery comes from our ongoing Extremely Low Mass (ELM) WD Survey, a targeted spectroscopic survey for extremely low mass < 0.25\(M_\odot\) WDs (Brown et al. 2010; Kilic et al. 2010). The ELM Survey demonstrates that extremely low mass WDs are found in compact binaries, and that the majority of these binaries have < 10 Gyr merger times due to gravitational wave radiation (Kilic et al. 2011a). Depending on the poorly constrained physics of the merger process, these merging WD systems may produce single helium-rich sdO stars, stable mass-transfer AM CVn binaries, or possibly underluminous supernovae (as discussed in Kilic et al. 2010). The observed merger rate of the low mass WD binaries is about 1% of the Type Ia supernovae rate—comparable to the rate of underluminous supernovae (Brown et al. 2011). The ELM Survey is also responsible for finding the first tidally distorted WD (Kilic et al. 2011b). J0651 is the most extreme system discovered to date and shows that the ELM Survey may open a new window on gravitational wave sources.

In J0651, the orientation of the binary allows us to observe eclipses of each star by each other, leading to accurate measurement of the orbital parameters, masses, and WD radii. There is no evidence for mass transfer. These results suggest that J0651 is the cleanest known strong gravitational wave source, with an orbital decay time of less than 1 Myr. Future observations will allow us to measure the change in the orbital period. We hope to one day compare this change with direct measurements of gravitational waves and provide an unprecedented test of general relativity.

2. DATA AND ANALYSIS

We discovered J0651 on 2011 March 2 as part of our targeted spectroscopic survey for extremely low mass WDs using the 6.5 m MMT telescope. We used the MMT Blue Channel Spectrograph (Schmidt et al. 1989) to obtain 1 Å resolution spectra in the wavelength range 3600 Å–4500 Å. We recognized
J0651 as a low mass WD due to its pressure-broadened hydrogen Balmer lines, which can be seen in Figure 1.

Spectral fits to hydrogen atmosphere WD models (Koester 2008) yield a surface gravity of $\log g = 6.79 \pm 0.04$ dex ($g$ in cm s$^{-2}$) and an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 16,400 \pm 300$ K. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Aihara et al. 2011) spectrum of this object, on the other hand, yields a systematically larger $\log g = 6.97 \pm 0.05$ dex and $T_{\text{eff}} = 17,700 \pm 250$ K (S. J. Kleinman 2011, private communication) because the SDSS exposure spans 3.5 orbital periods and thus measures artificially broadened Balmer lines. Our own 6–10 minute exposures of J0651 show similarly overestimated surface gravities and temperatures. A correct understanding of J0651 requires time-resolved spectroscopy only possible with a larger telescope like the 6.5 m MMT.

Figure 2 compares our best-fit model atmosphere to dereddened ($E(B - V) = 0.0706$ mag) ultraviolet Galaxy Evolution Explorer (GALEX) photometry (Martin et al. 2005), optical SDSS photometry, and our own near-infrared $J = 19.599 \pm 0.029$ mag measurement obtained with SWIRC (Brown et al. 2008) on MMT. The broadband photometry supports our spectroscopic effective temperature and surface gravity measurement with one possible exception. The GALEX near-ultraviolet point shows a 2σ discrepancy with the model spectrum convolved with the filter bandpass; however, the photometric error does not include the significant uncertainty in the extinction correction for GALEX filters (Wyder et al. 2005) and so we consider the discrepancy suspect. Comparison with WD evolutionary tracks (Panei et al. 2007) indicates that J0651 is consistent with an extremely low mass 0.25 $M_{\odot}$ helium-core WD.

We discovered that J0651 is a compact binary system when back-to-back spectra separated by six minutes showed a $\pm 1300$ km s$^{-1}$ change in radial velocity (Figure 3). Despite the faintness of the $g = 19.1$ WD, the light-gathering power of the 6.5 m MMT telescope allowed us to reduce our exposure times to 2–2.5 minutes and resolve the orbital period. The data are presented in Table 1. The observed velocity amplitude is an underestimate, however, because (1) our exposures span 18% of the orbital phase and (2) the radial velocity curve is sinusoidal, not linear. By integrating a sine curve at the phase covered by our exposures, we determine that the velocity amplitude correction is 5.5%. The observed velocity amplitude is also underestimated if the WD is tidally distorted and its center-of-light differs from its center-of-mass. We do not correct for this effect given that the velocity correction is less than 1%—comparable to our measurement uncertainty—for the observed oblateness.

The best-fit orbital parameters to the radial velocities are: period $P = 765.4 \pm 7.9$ s, corrected velocity semi-amplitude $K = 657.3 \pm 2.4$ km s$^{-1}$, and systemic velocity $\gamma = 16.6 \pm 0.6$ km s$^{-1}$. J0651 is very likely a Galactic disk object based on its small proper motion, $(\mu_{\alpha}, \mu_{\delta}) = (-3.6, -1.2)$ mas yr$^{-1}$ (Munn et al. 2004), and small systemic velocity. J0651’s location 220 pc above the plane (for a distance of 1 kpc, see below) is also consistent with a disk object.

We obtained time-series optical photometry of J0651 with the McDonald Observatory’s 2.1 m Otto Struve Telescope using the ARGOS frame transfer camera (Nather & Mukadam 2004). Images were obtained with a BG40 Schott glass filter. Our set of 3038 10 s and 15 s exposures comes from five different nights in 2011 April covering a total time baseline of 12.1 days. The
Figure 2. Best-fit WD model atmosphere (dotted line) compared to broadband photometry (dots). The ultraviolet, optical, and near-infrared measurements support our spectroscopic fit for a $0.25 M_\odot$ WD.

Figure 3. Radial velocity observations phased to the 765 s orbital period. The best-fit orbit (dotted line) has a 1314.6 km s$^{-1}$ velocity amplitude, which is 0.44% the speed of light.
observed light curve, phased to the best-fit period, is plotted in Figure 4 and shows three significant features: a sinusoidal pattern due to ellipsoidal variations from the tidally distorted WD, an asymmetric peak in light due to relativistic beaming (so-called Doppler boosting), and periodic dips in light from the eclipses of the primary (at phase 0) and secondary (at phase 0.5) WDs.

We model the light curve of J0651 using JKTEBOP (Southworth et al. 2004) and verify our results with PHOEBE (Prša & Zwitter 2005). JKTEBOP and PHOEBE are based on the Eclipsing Binary Orbit Program (Popper & Etzel 1981) and the Wilson & Devinney (1971) codes, respectively. We first remove the 0.5% Doppler boosting (relativistic beaming) signal, however, because neither code models Doppler boosting. Doppler boosting has been seen in only a handful of systems (van Kerkwijk et al. 2010; Mazeh & Faigler 2010; Shporer et al. 2010; Bloemen et al. 2011); its asymmetric contribution to the J0651 light curve confirms the 765 s orbital period. We then fit the 5% amplitude ellipsoidal variations and the 15% primary eclipse and 4% secondary eclipse. Reflection effects due to the heating of each WD by its companion are weak, 0.3% ± 0.4%.

The light curve yields a much more precise measurement of orbital period, \( P = 765.2062 \pm 0.003 \) s. The ellipsoidal variations are due to the changing projected area of the distorted primary WD, hence they strongly depend on the inclination angle of the binary system. J0651’s ellipsoidal variations and eclipses constrain the orbital inclination to \( i = 86.9^{+1.6}_{-1.0} \) degrees.

Eclipses also provide a precise measurement of the WD radii. The 0.25 \( M_\odot \) primary WD has an observed radius of 0.0353 ± 0.0004 \( R_\odot \) that differs by 5% from the 0.0337 \( R_\odot \) radius predicted by helium WD models (Panei et al. 2007). Going in the other direction, the models predict that a WD with the observed radius and with mass 0.24 \( M_\odot \) has \( \log g = 6.71 \) dex and \( T_{\text{eff}} = 16,000 \) K, consistent with our spectroscopic observations. Given the uncertainties, we consider the observed and predicted radii in excellent agreement.

The unseen secondary has a mass of 0.55 \( M_\odot \) and an observed radius of 0.0132 ± 0.0003 \( R_\odot \) typical for a carbon–oxygen WD. The eclipse depths indicate that the secondary is 3.7% ± 0.3% as bright as the primary. Adopting \( M_p = 8.9 \pm 0.1 \) mag as the absolute magnitude of the 0.25 \( M_\odot \) primary (Panei et al. 2007), the secondary has \( M_s = 12.5 \) mag. A carbon–oxygen WD of this luminosity has \( T_{\text{eff}} = 9000 \) K, \( \log g = 7.9 \) dex, a cooling age of 700 Myr, and a radius of 0.0137 \( R_\odot \) (P.-E. Tremblay 2011, private communication). The observed secondary radius differs by 4% from the predicted radius. There are only two other model-independent mass and radius determinations of helium and carbon–oxygen WDs (Steinfadt et al. 2010; Parsons et al. 2011). Our results thus provide important constraints and reveal an overall agreement with current WD models.

6 JKTEBOP models the projection of each star as a biaxial ellipsoid and calculates a light curve by numerical integration of concentric circles over each star. A by-product of this calculation is an estimate of the oblateness of the primary WD. The 3% oblateness of J0651 falls within JKTEBOP’s 4% oblateness limit for accurate light curve analysis.

7 Errors are estimated from 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations with JKTEBOP as described in Southworth et al. (2005).
3. DISCUSSION

Having accurately measured J0651’s binary parameters, we now calculate the gravitational wave emission predicted by Einstein’s general theory of relativity. J0651, with a dereddened apparent magnitude of $g_0 = 18.84 \pm 0.01$ and thus a $1.0 \pm 0.1$ kpc distance from the Sun, has a predicted gravitational wave strain (e.g., Roelofs et al. 2007) of $h = 1.2 \times 10^{-22}$. J0651 is among the strongest known gravitational wave sources and, more importantly, has an orbital frequency that places it well above the expected gravitational wave foreground (Nelemans 2009). The proposed ESA/NASA Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) mission has its peak sensitivity at frequencies corresponding to $\leq 5$ minute orbital periods, and it should detect a strain of $10^{-22}$ at these frequencies with a signal-to-noise ratio of $\geq 100$ in one year (Roelofs et al. 2007). In other words, J0651 is a verification source that LISA, if built, would detect in the first week of operation.

We also predict that J0651’s orbital period is shrinking by $2.7 \times 10^{-10}$ s yr$^{-1}$ due to gravitational wave radiation. The expected change in period adds up to a $5.5$ s change in time-of-eclipse in one year. When we measure this change we expect to provide yet another fundamental test of general relativity and the existence of gravitational waves.

The absence of mass transfer in J0651 is perhaps surprising given how quickly it will merge. In all known binaries with periods comparable to J0651 (e.g., Nelemans 2009), one star fills its Roche lobe and transfers mass to its companion. Our data show that the J0651 primary has a Roche lobe radius 1.5 times its current radius. Under the assumption of energy and angular momentum loss due to gravitational wave radiation, the primary WD will reach its Roche lobe radius at an orbital period of 6.5 minutes in 0.9 Myr.

What happens when the primary WD fills its Roche lobe and begins mass transfer in 0.9 Myr is an open theoretical question. The stability of the mass transfer phase depends in part on the known binary mass ratio $q = 0.45$ and in part on the unknown tidal synchronization and entropy of the primary WD (Marsh et al. 2004). If mass transfer is stable, J0651 will become an AM CVn system like HM Cancri (Roelofs et al. 2010). Recent WD mass transfer models, on the other hand, predict that mass transfer will cause the pair of WDs to quickly coalesce and merge (Dan et al. 2011). A merger will likely produce a rapidly spinning massive WD, but an underluminous supernova explosion is also a possibility. Underluminous supernovae, such as SN 2005E, are rare types of supernovae that are 10–100 times less luminous than a normal Type Ia supernova and have only $\sim 0.25 M_\odot$ worth of ejecta (Perets et al. 2010). The spectrum and light curve of SN 2005E, for example, can be explained by the detonation of a $0.2 M_\odot$ helium layer on a 0.45 $M_\odot$ WD (Waldman et al. 2011)—parameters very similar to the J0651 system. Depending on the nature of the mass transfer, there may be other mechanisms by which the system could detonate (Bildsten et al. 2007; Guillochon et al. 2010). Completing our survey for extremely low mass WD systems such as J0651 will allow us to measure the space density and merger rate of these systems and compare with the rate of underluminous supernovae.

4. CONCLUSION

The eclipsing detached WD binary system J0651 presents us with a remarkable laboratory. We can use its eclipses to measure WD masses and radii for detailed tests of WD models. We can use its changing binary orbital period and gravitational wave strain to test for the existence of gravitational waves predicted by general relativity. We can use its very existence to constrain the space density and merger rate of low mass WD binaries and links to underluminous supernovae. In the future, we plan to use multi-passband photometry to directly measure the nature of the secondary WD and to detect the change in orbital period predicted by general relativity.
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