Homogeneous Isotropic Superfluid Turbulence in Two Dimensions: Inverse and Forward Cascades in the Hall-Vinen-Bekharevich-Khalatnikov model
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We present the first direct-numerical-simulation study of the statistical properties of two-dimensional superfluid turbulence in the Hall-Vinen-Bekharevich-Khalatnikov two-fluid model. We show that both normal-fluid and superfluid energy spectra can exhibit two power-law regimes, the first associated with an inverse cascade of energy and the second with the forward cascade of enstrophy. We quantify the mutual-friction-induced alignment of normal and superfluid velocities by obtaining probability distribution functions of the angle between them and the ratio of their moduli. Our study leads to specific suggestions for experiments.
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The elucidation of superfluid turbulence, a problem of central importance in quantum fluids and nonequilibrium statistical mechanics, continues to provide challenges for experiments, theory, and numerical simulations [1–3]. Such turbulence has been studied more often in three dimensions (3D) than in two dimensions (2D). It is well known that 2D and 3D fluid turbulence are qualitatively different [2,3]: similar differences have not been explored in detail for superfluid turbulence. Therefore, we initiate a study of the statistical properties of 2D homogeneous, isotropic, superfluid turbulence, at the level the Hall-Vinen-Bekharevich-Khalatnikov (HVBK), two-fluid model [4, 5, 6, 7, 8], with the specific goal of elucidating the natures of both inverse and forward cascades of energy and enstrophy, the mean square vorticity. Homogeneous, isotropic, 2D and 3D fluid turbulence are essentially different because, in the former, both the energy and the enstrophy are conserved in the inviscid, unforced limit, whereas, in the latter, only the energy is conserved [2, 8]. Therefore, in 2D fluid turbulence, energy, injected at a wave number $k_i$, shows an inverse cascade towards large length scales (wave number $k < k_i$), whereas the enstrophy displays a forward cascade to small length scales ($k > k_f$); these inverse and forward cascades yield, respectively, energy spectra that scale as $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$ and $E(k) \sim k^{-6}$, where $\delta$ depends on the friction ($\delta = 3$ if there is no friction). By contrast, 3D fluid turbulence shows only a forward cascade of energy with $E(k) \sim k^{-5/3}$, at the level of Kolmogorov’s (K41) phenomenological theory [8] and for $k_f \ll k \ll k_d$, where $k_d$ is the wave number scale at which viscous dissipation becomes significant.

Our direct numerical simulation (DNS), which we have designed to study the statistical properties of inverse and forward cascades in the HVBK model, yields several interesting results that have not been anticipated hitherto: (1) Both normal-fluid and superfluid energy spectra, $E^n(k)$ and $E^s(k)$, respectively, show inverse- and forward-cascade power-law regimes. (2) The forward-cascade power law depends on (a) the friction coefficient, as in 2D fluid turbulence, and, in addition, on (b) the coefficient $B$ of mutual friction, which couples normal and superfluid velocities. (3) As $B$ increases, the normal and superfluid velocities, $u_n$ and $u_s$, respectively, tend to get locked to each other, and, therefore, $E^s(k) \simeq E^n(k)$, especially in the inverse-cascade regime. (4) We quantify this locking tendency by calculating the probability distribution functions (PDFs) $P(\cos(\theta))$ and $P(\gamma)$, where the angle $\theta \equiv \cos^{-1}(\langle|u_n|u_s|\rangle)/\langle|u_n||u_s|\rangle)$ and the amplitude ratio $\gamma = |u_n|/|u_s|$: the former has a peak at $\cos(\theta) = 1$; and the latter exhibits a peak at $\gamma = 1$ and power-law tails on both sides of this peak. (5) This locking increases as we increase $B$, but the power-law exponents for the tails of $P(\gamma)$ are universal, in so far as they do not depend on $B$, $\rho_n/\rho$, where $\rho_n$ and $\rho$ are normal-fluid and total densities, respectively, and $k_i$.

The incompressible, 2D HVBK equations are [4, 5, 6, 12]

\[
D_t u_n = -\frac{1}{\rho_n} \nabla p_n + \nu_n \nabla^2 u_n - \mu_n u_n + F_{\text{mf}}^n + f_n^u, \quad (1a)
\]

\[
D_t u_s = -\frac{1}{\rho_s} \nabla p_s + \nu_s \nabla^2 u_s - \mu_s u_s + F_{\text{mf}}^s + f_s^u, \quad (1b)
\]

where $D_i u_i = \partial_i + u_i \cdot \nabla$, $\nabla \cdot u_i = 0$ is the incompressibility condition, and the subscript $i \in \{n, s\}$ denotes the normal fluid ($n$) or the superfluid ($s$); $\rho_i$, $p_i$, and $\nu_i$ are the density, partial pressure, and viscosity, respectively, of the component $i$. Linear-friction terms, with coefficients $\mu_i$, model air-drag-induced or bottom friction. For the
superfluid $\nu_s$ and $\mu_s$ are zero, but any DNS study must use $\nu_s(\neq 0) \ll \nu_n$ and $\mu_s \ll \mu_n$ to avoid numerical instabilities and to achieve a statistically steady state. The mutual-friction terms can be written as $F_{\text{nf}}^{\text{mf}} = (\rho_s/\rho)f_{\text{nf}}$ and $F_{\text{mf}}^{\text{mf}} = -(\rho_n/\rho)f_{\text{mf}}$ in Eqs. (1a) and (1b), respectively, where

$$f_{\text{mf}} = \frac{B}{2} \omega_s \times (\omega_s \times u_{ns}) + \frac{B'}{2} \omega_s \times u_{ns},$$

with $u_{ns} = (u_n - u_s)$ the slip velocity, and $B$ and $B'$ the coefficients of mutual friction. In most of our studies we set $B' = 0$ so, in 2D, $f_{\text{mf}} = -\frac{B}{2} \omega_s |u_{ns}|$. (We have checked in one representative case that our results do not change qualitatively if $B' > 0$.) In our DNS, we use the stream-function $\psi$ and vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times u = -\nabla^2 \psi$ formulation [13]. To obtain a statistically steady state, we use our system by computing the spectra in the Supplemental Material [16]. We characterize our DNS runs are given in Table I (and in the Supplemental Material [16]). We characterize our system by computing the spectra $E_n(k)$ and $E_s(k)$, $E_i(k) = \sum_{n-s}^{k_1} u_i |k'|^2 k' |u_i(k',t)|^2$, ($\langle i \rangle$ denotes a time average), the probability distribution functions (PDFs) $P(\omega_i)$ of the vorticities and $P(\cos(\theta))$, the cumulative PDF $Q(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$, energy and enstrophy fluxes $\Pi_i(k,t)$ and $Z_i(k)$ ($i \in (n,s)$, respectively), and the mutual-friction transfer function $M_i(k)$, which we define below.

In Fig. 1 we present pseudocolor plots of $\omega_n$ and $\omega_s$ for run R1 (panels (a) and (b)). Similar plots for run R2a with $k_1 = 50$ are given in Fig. 1 in the Supplemental Material [16]. The sizes of the vortical regions in these plots are $\sim k_1^{-1}$ (as in 2D fluid turbulence with friction [12,13]). Figures (a) and (b) show that the normal and superfluid components are nearly locked to each other; this is illustrated dramatically in Video M1 [16], in which the lower two panels show the spatiotemporal evolution of Figs. (a) and (b) and the top two panels show how $\omega_n$ and $\omega_s$ evolve in the absence of mutual friction (i.e., $B = B' = 0$); in the latter case, $\omega_n$ evolves to a frozen, stationary state; however, if $B > 0$, then the turbulence in the superfluid component is transferred to the normal component (top two panels of Video M1). Such a transfer of turbulence has been envisaged in 3D superfluid turbulence [17,19] but never displayed as graphically as in our Video M1.

We quantify the locking of the normal and superfluid velocities by plotting, in Fig. (c), for the illustrative runs R1 and R2a-R2c, the PDF $P(\cos(\theta))$, which shows

| $\rho_n/\rho$ | B | $\nu_n$ | $\nu_s$ | $k_1^2$ | $k_2^2$ | $f_0^2$ | $f_0^{\gamma}$ | $Re_{\gamma}^i$ | $Re_{\gamma}^s$ |
|----------|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| R0       |   | $-10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 2 | 2 | $10^{-4}$ | $10^{-4}$ | 92.77 | $1.25 \times 10^3$ |
| R1       | 0.1 | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 2 | 2 | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-3}$ | 112.9 | $1.3 \times 10^3$ |
| R2a      | 0.1 | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 108.4 | 876.7 |
| R2b      | 0.1 | $2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 100.6 | 876.8 |
| R2c      | 0.1 | $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 94.3 | 876.5 |
| R3       | 0.05 | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 119.1 | 976.7 |
| R4       | 0.3 | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 62.9 | 487.6 |
| R5       | 0.5 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $10^{-5}$ | 50 | 50 | $10^{-1}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 484.1 | 4.1 \times 10^3 |
| R6       | 0.9 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $50 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1 | 1 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | $61.7 \times 10^{-1}$ |

FIG. 1. (Color online) Pseudocolor plots of the vorticity fields, $\omega_n$ and $\omega_s$, from our DNS run R1 at $t = 1209$ (panels (a) and (b)), $k_1 = 2$; these plots show that the normal and superfluid component are locked to each other. (c) Semilogarithmic (base 10) plots of the PDF $P(\cos(\theta))$ of the angle $\theta$ between $u_n$ and $u_s$ for runs R1 (red circles), R2a (B = 1, blue squares), R2b (B = 2, green diamonds), and R2c (B = 5, purple triangles). (d) Log-log (base 10) plots of the complementary cumulative distribution functions (CDF) $R(\gamma)$ of $\gamma = |u_n|/|u_s|$ for the runs R1, R2a-R2c, R5, and R6. These CDFs show power-law tails ($R(\gamma) \sim \gamma^{-2}$) that imply $P(\gamma) \sim \gamma^{-3}$, for $\gamma \gg 1$. 

TABLE I. Parameters for our DNS runs R0-R6 with $N_2^2 = 1024^2$ collocation points: $\rho_n/\rho$ is the fraction of the normal fluid, $B$ the mutual-friction coefficient, $\nu_n (\nu_s)$ the normal-fluid (superfluid) kinematic viscosity, and $k_1^2 (k_2^2)$ and $f_0^2 (f_0^{\gamma})$ are the forcing wave vector and the forcing amplitude for the normal fluid (superfluid). The coefficient of linear friction for the normal fluid (superfluid) $\mu_n = 10^{-2} (\mu_s = 5 \times 10^{-3})$ is kept fixed. For more parameters see Table 1 in [16].
a peak at $\cos(\theta) = 1$ and falls rapidly with increasing $\theta$; this indicates that $\mathbf{u}_i(r, t)$ and $\mathbf{u}_s(r, t)$ align preferentially along the same direction; the degree of alignment increases as we increase $B$. In Figs. 1(d) we show, respectively, plots of the complementary cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) $R(\gamma)$ of $\gamma = |\mathbf{u}_i|/|\mathbf{u}_s|$, for the runs R1, R2a-R2c, R5, and R6. These CDFs exhibit power-law tails that imply that $P(\gamma) \sim \gamma^{-3}$, for $\gamma \gg 1$ (A similar analysis of the left tail [10] yields $P(\gamma) \sim \gamma$, for $\gamma \ll 1$). The power-law exponents of these tails of $P(\gamma)$ are universal in the sense that they do not depend on $B$, $\rho_n/\rho$, and $k_t$.

Figure 2(a) compares energy spectra from runs R0 and R1, in which there are no inverse-cascade regimes in energy spectra; this figure illustrates how the mutual-friction-induced interaction between the two components modifies the energy spectra $E_i(k, t)$. For the run R0, in which $B = 0$ and, therefore, the normal and superfluid components are uncoupled, $E_n^u(k)$ and $E_f^s(k)$ are shown in Fig. 2(a) by full and dashed purple lines, respectively: the power-law regimes, more prominent in $E^u(k)$ than in $E^s(k)$, are characterized by different, apparent scaling exponents, because the normal-fluid Reynolds number is too small for fully developed, normal-fluid turbulence. When we couple the normal and superfluid components, as in the run R1, $E^u(k)$ (green full curve in Fig. 2(a)) is pulled up towards $E^s(k)$ (green dashed curve in Fig. 2(a)), by virtue of the locking tendency that we have mentioned above; furthermore, both $E^u(k)$ and $E^s(k)$ now (i) display $k^{-3}$ forward-cascade, scaling ranges, with $\delta \approx 4.2$, (ii) lie very close to each other at small wave numbers, and (iii) show dissipation regions at much higher wave numbers than in their counterparts when there is no coupling ($B = 0$ and run R0).

To study dual cascades, i.e., (i) an inverse cascade of energy for $k < k_i$ and (ii) a direct cascade of enstrophy for $k > k_f$, we use our DNS runs R2a-R6 (see Table I). Figure 2(b) shows $E_n^u(k)$ (full curves) and $E_f^s(k)$ (dashed curves) with dual cascades, for the runs R2a with $B = 1$ (purple curves), R2b with $B = 2$ (green curves), and R2c with $B = 5$ (blue curves). The inverse-cascade inertial ranges (with $k < k_i$) of $E^u(k)$ and $E^s(k)$ exhibit scaling that is consistent with a $k^{-5/3}$ form (orange, dashed line), whereas the forward-cascade ranges (with $k > k_f$) are consistent with $k^{-5}$ scaling, and $\delta \approx 4.2$ (black, dashed line). In the forward-cascade regime of 2D fluid turbulence, the value of $\delta$ depends on the coefficient of linear friction [13, 20, 21]; we find that, in the 2D HVBK model, $\delta$ depends not only on the coefficients of linear friction, but also on $B$. Furthermore, the locking that we have discussed above makes $E^u(k)$ and $E^s(k)$ lie more or less on top of each other for a considerable range of wave numbers; not surprisingly, this range of overlap increases as $B$ increases; for $B = 5$ it extends into the direct-cascade regime. Figure 2(c) shows inverse- and forward-cascade regimes in log-log plots of $E^u(k)$ (full curves) and $E^s(k)$ (dashed curves) versus $k$ for five representative values of $\rho_n/\rho$ (runs R2a (purple curves), R3 (green curves), R4 (blue curves), R5 (black curves), and R6 (yellow curves)), with $B = 1$ and $k = k_i = 50$.

The HVBK model allows us to study the evolution of two-fluid turbulence as we change $\rho_n/\rho$, which is small at low temperatures and increases as the temperature increases and approaches the superfluid transition temperature; if $\rho_n/\rho = 0.05$, HVBK turbulence is close to that of a pure superfluid, on the length and Mach-number scales at which the HVBK model is valid; in contrast, HVBK turbulence at $\rho_n/\rho = 0.9$ is close to that of a classical, incompressible fluid. In Fig. 2(c), the orange, dot-dashed line indicates a $k^{-5/3}$ power-law form that is visually close to the slopes (in log-log plots) of the energy spectra in the inverse-cascade scaling ranges; the black, dot-dashed line indicates a $k^{-1.2}$ power-law form that is visually close to the slope of the $E^u(k)$ spectrum in the forward-cascade scaling range for $\rho_n/\rho = 0.9$. A complete study of the dependence of $\delta$ on $\mu_i$ and $B$ requires extensive, and high-resolution DNS studies whose current computational cost is prohibitive.

To characterize fluxes in the inverse- and forward-cascade regimes we use the energy-transfer relations for 2D, homogeneous, isotropic, HVBK, turbulence, namely,

$$\partial_t E_i(k, t) = -D_i(k, t) + T_i(k, t) + M_i(k, t) + F^0_i(k), \quad (3)$$

where $\partial_t$ is the energy-injection spectrum for the component $i$ in (n, s). $D_i(k, t) \equiv \sum_{k - \frac{1}{2} < k' \leq k + \frac{1}{2}} (\nu \partial_t k^2 + \mu_i) |\mathbf{u}_i(k')|^2$ is the transfer function, which combines the effects of viscous dissipation and the friction, $T_i(k, t)$ is the kinetic-energy transfer because of the triad interactions of the Fourier components of the velocities, and $F^0_i(k)$ is the energy-injection spectrum for the component $i$ in (n, s). The mutual-friction-induced exchange of energy between the normal and the superfluid components is measured by

$$M_i(k, t) \equiv \sum_{k - \frac{1}{2} < k' \leq k + \frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{F}^\text{mf} (\mathbf{k}', t) \cdot \mathbf{u}_i (-\mathbf{k}', t). \quad (4)$$

The kinetic-energy fluxes, through the wave number $k$, are $\Pi_i(k, t) = (\int^{k_{\text{max}}}_{k} T_i(k', t) dk')_i$; and their analogs for the enstrophy fluxes are $Z_i(k)$ ($i \in \{n, s\}$). We plot these versus $k$ in Figs. 2(d) and (e), respectively, for the same runs (R2a and R3-R6) and the same color codes as in Fig. 2(c). In Fig. 2(d), for each one of these runs, the energy fluxes $\Pi_i(k) < 0$, for $k < k_i$, which confirms that we have inverse cascades of energy; in contrast, the enstrophy fluxes $Z_i(k) > 0$, for $k > k_i$, in Fig. 2(e), so we have forward cascades of enstrophy. For the runs R2a and R3-R6 we plot, in Fig. 2(f), the transfer functions $M_i(k) = (M_i(k, t))_t$ versus $k$, which characterizes the energy exchange between the normal and superfluid components.

Other PDFs, e.g., those of velocity components and the vorticity, in 2D HVBK turbulence are qualita-
FIG. 2. (Color online) [Top panels] Log-log plots of the energy spectra $E_n(k)$ (full lines) and $E_a(k)$ (dashed lines) from our DNS runs: (a) $R_0$ ($B = 0$, purple lines) and $R_1$ ($B = 1$, green lines) with $k_i = 2$; (b) $R_{2a}$ ($B = 1$, purple curves), $R_{2b}$ ($B = 2$, green curves), and $R_{2c}$ ($B = 5$, sky-blue curves) with $k_i = 50$ and $\rho_n/\rho = 0.1$; (c) $R_{2a}$ (purple curves), $R_3$ (green curves), $R_4$ (sky-blue curves), $R_5$ (black curves), and $R_6$ (yellow curves), with $B = 1$; we force the dominant component. [Lower panels] Plots of (d) the energy flux $\Pi_i(k)$, (e) the enstrophy flux $Z_i(k)$, and (f) the mutual-friction transfer function $M_i(k)$, for the DNS runs represented in (c), with the same color codes as mentioned above. The abbreviation NF (SF) stands for normal-fluid (superfluid).

Quantized vortices in helium II

Our DNS study of homogeneous, isotropic turbulence in the 2D HVBK model has led to the first elucidation of inverse and forward cascades in this system, has contrasted them with their counterparts in 2D fluid turbulence, and led to qualitatively new results that await experimental confirmation in turbulent superfluid films. We have shown that both $E_n(k)$ and $E_a(k)$ exhibit inverse- and forward-cascade power-law regimes. We have demonstrated that, as $B$ increases, $u_i$ and $u_t$ tend to align with each other: the PDF $P(\cos(\theta))$ has a peak at $\cos(\theta) = 1$ and $P(\gamma)$ displays power-law tails with universal exponents, which do not depend on $B$, $\rho_n/\rho$, and $k_i$. The parameters $B$ and $\rho_n/\rho$ depend on the temperature; and this dependence has been measured in experiments [38] in 3D; such experimental studies have not been carried out in 2D.
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Supplemental Material

In this Supplemental Material we give details of our calculations; these augment the results that we have presented in the main part of this paper.

Video M1 ([http://youtu.be/-ZDkoxQInXY](http://youtu.be/-ZDkoxQInXY))

This video illustrates the spatiotemporal evolution, via pseudocolor plots, of $\omega_n$ (left panels) and $\omega_s$ (right panels) in which the mutual friction is (a) absent in the top two panels (DNS run $R_0$) and (b) present in the lower two panels (DNS run $R_1$).

In Table II we give the detailed list of parameters, which we use in our DNS runs. The energy and enstrophy are defined as 

$$E_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k E_i(k)$$

and

$$\Omega_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k k^2 E_i(k)$$

($i \in (n, s)$), respectively. The root-mean-square velocity is 

$$u_{\text{rms}}^i = \sqrt{E_i};$$

the Taylor microscale is 

$$\ell_i^\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{E_i}{2\Omega_i}};$$

the Taylor-microscale Reynolds number is 

$$Re_i^\lambda = \frac{u_{\text{rms}}^i \ell_i^\lambda}{\nu_i};$$

the integral length scale is 

$$l_i^0 = \frac{\sum_k E_i(k)/k}{E_i};$$

the eddy-turnover time is 

$$\tau_{\text{eddy}}^i = \frac{l_i^0}{u_{\text{rms}}^i};$$

and the dissipation scale is 

$$\eta_i = \left[ \frac{\nu^2_i}{2\Omega_i^2} \right]^{1/4};$$

here $i \in (n, s)$.

In Fig. 3 we present the pseudocolor plots of $\omega_n$ and $\omega_s$ for run $R_2a$ (panels (a) and (b)).

In Fig. 4 we show plots of the cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) $Q(\gamma)$ of $\gamma = |u_n|/|u_s|$, for the runs $R_1$, $R_2a-R_2c$, $R_5$, and $R_6$. These CDFs exhibit power-law tails that imply that the PDF $P(\gamma) \sim \gamma$, for $\gamma \ll 1$; the power-law exponents of these tails of $P(\gamma)$ are universal in the sense that they do not depend on $B$, $\rho_n/\rho$, and $k_f$.

In Figs. 5 and 6 we show that the PDFs of the Cartesian components of the normal and superfluid velocities in 2D HVBK turbulence are close to Gaussian (as in 2D, classical-fluid turbulence). Figure 7 shows that the tails of the PDFs of the normal and superfluid vorticity in 2D HVBK turbulence are close to exponentials, as in 2D, classical-fluid turbulence. In Fig. 8 we show the PDFs of the Okubo-Weiss parameter $\Lambda_i = (\omega_i^2 - \sigma_i^2)/4$, $i \in (n, s)$, whose sign determines whether the flow in a given region is vortical ($\Lambda_i > 0$) or strain-dominated ($\Lambda_i < 0$); $\omega_i^2$ and $\sigma_i^2$ are the squares of the vorticity and the strain-rate, respectively. These PDFs are similar to their 2D, classical-fluid-turbulence counterparts.
TABLE II. Parameters for our DNS runs

| $N_c$ | $\rho_n/\rho$ | $B$ | $\nu_n$ | $\nu_s$ | $\mu_n$ | $\mu_s$ | $k_f^n$ | $k_f^s$ | $f_0^n$ | $f_0^s$ | $\ell_n^\lambda$ | $\ell_s^\lambda$ | $Re_n^\lambda$ | $Re_s^\lambda$ | $\tau_{\text{eddy}}^n$ | $\tau_{\text{eddy}}^s$ | $k_{\text{max}}\eta_n$ | $k_{\text{max}}\eta_s$ |
|-------|--------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| R0    | 1024         | -   | 1.0     | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 2       | 2       | 10^{-3} | 3       | 0.36            | 0.38            | 92.77         | 1.25 x 10^4    | 51.1            | 45.8            | 17.7           | 5.16            |
| R1    | 1024         | 0.1 | 1.0     | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 2       | 2       | 10^{-3} | 3       | 0.371           | 0.378           | 112.9         | 1.3 x 10^3     | 46.3            | 42.3            | 16.8           | 5.01            |
| R2a   | 1024         | 0.1 | 1.0     | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 2       | 2       | 10^{-3} | 3       | 0.062           | 0.049           | 108.4         | 5.43           | 5.12            | 2.89            | 0.82           |
| R2b   | 1024         | 0.1 | 2.0     | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | 0.058 | 0.05           | 100.6           | 876.7         | 5.23           | 5.05            | 2.80            | 0.82           |
| R2c   | 1024         | 0.1 | 5.0     | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | 0.054 | 0.05           | 94.3            | 876.5         | 5.12           | 5.04            | 2.7             | 0.82           |
| R3    | 1024         | 0.05| 1.0    | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | 0.064 | 0.051          | 119.1           | 976.7         | 5.03           | 4.77            | 2.84            | 0.771          |
| R4    | 1024         | 0.3 | 1.0    | 10^{-4} | 10^{-5} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | 0.052 | 0.039          | 62.9            | 487.6         | 5.72           | 5.14            | 3.18            | 0.868          |
| R5    | 1024         | 0.5 | 1.0    | 10^{-5} | 10^{-6} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | 0.043 | 0.035          | 484.1           | 4.1 x 10^3    | 4.88           | 4.49            | 0.916           | 0.264          |
| R6    | 1024         | 0.9 | 1.0    | 10^{-5} | 10^{-6} | 10^{-2} | 5 x 10^{-3} | 50      | -       | 10^{-1} | -    | 0.039           | 0.047           | 617.0         | 3.50           | 3.84            | 0.771           | 0.268          |

$\rho_n/\rho$ is the fraction of the normal fluid, $B$ the mutual-friction coefficient, $N_c$ the number of collocation points, $\nu_n$ ($\nu_s$) the normal-fluid (superfluid) kinematic viscosity, $\mu_n$ ($\mu_s$) the coefficient of linear friction for the normal fluid (superfluid), and $k_f^n$ ($k_f^s$) and $f_0^n$ ($f_0^s$) are the forcing wavevector and the forcing amplitude for the normal fluid (superfluid); $\nu_s$ and $\mu_s$ should vanish for a superfluid but they are included here for numerical stability, with $\nu_s \ll \nu_n$ and $\mu_s \ll \mu_n$; $\ell_n^\lambda$ and $\ell_s^\lambda$ are the normal-fluid and superfluid Taylor microscales, $Re_n^\lambda$ and $Re_s^\lambda$ the associated Reynolds numbers, $\tau_{\text{eddy}}^n$ and $\tau_{\text{eddy}}^s$ the eddy-turnover times; $\eta_n$ and $\eta_s$ are the dissipation length scales; $k_{\text{max}}$ is the magnitude of the largest wave vector in our 2/3-dealiased DNS. In runs R2a-R6 we force the dominant component (i.e., the normal-fluid (superfluid) component if $\rho_n/\rho > 0.5$ ($\rho_n/\rho \leq 0.5$)).

FIG. 3. (Color online) Pseudocolor plots of the vorticity fields, $\omega_n$ and $\omega_s$, from our DNS run R2a at $t = 1500$ (panels (c) and (d), $k_f = 50$); these plots show that the normal and superfluid component are locked to each other.
FIG. 4. (Color online) Log-log (base 10) plots of the cumulative distribution functions (CDF) $Q(\gamma)$ of $\gamma = |u_n|/|u_s|$ for the runs R1, R2a-R2c, R5, and R6. These CDFs show power-law tails $Q(\gamma) \sim \gamma^2$, i.e., the PDF $P(\gamma) \sim \gamma$, for $\gamma \ll 1$.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Semilogarithmic (base 10) plots of the PDFs of the (a) $x$ component $u_n^x$ and (b) $y$ component $u_n^y$ of the normal fluid velocity; PDFs of (c) $x$ component $u_s^x$; (d) $y$ component $u_s^y$ of the superfluid velocity. $\sigma_{u_j^i}$ denotes the standard-deviation of the field $u_j^i$, here $i \in (n,s)$ and $j \in (x,y)$. These data are from our DNS runs R2a (red circles), R2b (blue squares), and R2c (green diamonds), respectively; the orange dashed line indicates a Gaussian fit.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Semilogarithmic (base 10) plots of the PDFs of the (a) $x$ component $u_n^x$ and (b) $y$ component $u_n^y$ of the normal fluid velocity; PDFs of (c) $x$ component $u_s^x$; (d) $y$ component $u_s^y$ of the superfluid velocity. $\sigma_{u_j^i}$ denotes the standard-deviation of the field $u_j^i$, here $i \in (n,s)$ and $j \in (x,y)$. These data are from our DNS runs R2a (red circles), R5 (blue squares), and R6 (green diamonds), respectively; the orange dashed line indicates a Gaussian fit.
FIG. 7. (Color online) Semilogarithmic (base 10) plots of the PDFs of the vorticity of the (a) normal fluid ($\omega_n$) from our DNS runs $R2a$ (red circles), $R2b$ (blue squares), and $R2c$ (green diamonds); the black- and the orange-dashed lines indicate an exponential fit to the left (slope = $-0.5064$) and the right (slope = $0.5207$) branches of the PDF $P(\omega_n/\sigma_{\omega_n})$ for the DNS run $R2a$; PDFs of the (b) superfluid ($\omega_s$) from our DNS runs $R2a$ (red circles), $R2b$ (blue squares), and $R2c$ (green diamonds); the black- and the orange-dashed lines indicate an exponential fit to the left (slope = $-0.6818$) and the right (slope = $0.6951$) branches of the PDF $P(\omega_s/\sigma_{\omega_s})$ for the DNS run $R2a$; PDFs of the (c) normal fluid ($\omega_n$) from our DNS runs $R2a$ (red circles), $R5$ (blue squares), and $R6$ (green diamonds); the black- and the orange-dashed lines indicate an exponential fit to the left (slope = $-0.5064$) and the right (slope = $0.5207$) branches of the PDF $P(\omega_n/\sigma_{\omega_n})$ for the DNS run $R2a$; PDFs of the (d) superfluid ($\omega_s$) from our DNS runs $R2a$ (red circles), $R5$ (blue squares), and $R6$ (green diamonds); the black- and the orange-dashed lines indicate an exponential fit to the left (slope = $-0.6818$) and the right (slope = $0.6951$) branches of the PDF $P(\omega_s/\sigma_{\omega_s})$ for the DNS run $R2a$. $\sigma_{\omega_i}$ denotes the standard-deviation of the field $\omega_i$, here $i \in (n, s)$.

FIG. 8. (Color online) Semilogarithmic (base 10) plots of the PDFs of the Okubo-Weiss parameter for the normal fluid $\Lambda_n$ (solid line) and the superfluid $\Lambda_s$ (dashed line); (a) $R2a$ (red line), $R2b$ (blue line), and $R2c$ (green line) showing the variation of these PDF with the mutual-friction coefficients $B = 1$, $B = 2$, and $B = 5$, respectively; (b) $R2a$ (red line), $R5$ (blue line), and $R6$ (green line) showing the variation of these PDF with the $\rho_n/\rho = 0.1$, $\rho_n/\rho = 0.5$, and $\rho_n/\rho = 0.9$, respectively. In 2D, classical-fluid turbulence, the PDF of the Okubo-Weiss parameter is qualitatively similar to the PDFs shown here.