Identification of volatiles from six marine Celeribacter strains
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Abstract

The volatiles emitted from six marine Rhodobacteraceae species of the genus Celeribacter were investigated by GC–MS. Besides several known compounds including dimethyl trisulfide and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate, the sulfur-containing compounds ethyl (E)-3-(methylsulfanyl)acrylate and 2-(methyldisulfanyl)benzothiazole were identified and their structures were verified by synthesis. Feeding experiments with [methyl-²H₃]methionine, [methyle-¹³C]methionine and [³⁴S]-3-(dimethylsulfonio)propanoate (DMSP) resulted in the high incorporation into dimethyl trisulfide and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate, and revealed the origin of the methylsulfanyl group of 2-(methyldisulfanyl)benzothiazole from methionine or DMSP, while the biosynthetic origin of the benzothiazol-2-ylsulfanyl portion could not be traced. The heterocyclic moiety of this compound is likely of anthropogenic origin, because 2-mercaptobenzothiazole is used in the sulfur vulcanization of rubber. Also in none of the feeding experiments incorporation into ethyl (E)-3-(methylsulfanyl)acrylate could be observed, questioning its bacterial origin. Our results demonstrate that the Celeribacter strains are capable of methionine and DMSP degradation to widespread sulfur volatiles, but the analysis of trace compounds in natural samples must be taken with care.

Introduction

Bacteria from the roseobacter group belong to the most abundant microbial species in marine ecosystems [1,2]. They are present from polar to tropical regions, in marine sediments, in estuarine and open ocean environments in different pelagic zones ranging from surface waters to depths of >2,000 m [3,4]. Some species are associated with other marine organisms, e.g., Thalassococcus halodurans DSM 26915T has been isolated from the marine sponge Halichondria panicea [5], and Phaeobacter gallaeciensis DSM 26640T is an isolate from the scallop Pecten maximus [6]. Important interactions are also observed between bacteria from the roseobacter group and various types of marine algae, e.g., the first described organisms
Scheme 1: Sulfur metabolism in bacteria from the roseobacter group. A) DMSP demethylation by DmdABCD, B) DMSP hydrolysis by DddP and lysis by DddD, DddP, DddQ, DddL, DddY or DddK, and C) structures of DHPS and sulfur-containing secondary metabolites.
feeding experiments with labeled precursors to the wildtype and gene knock out strains of \textit{P. inhibens} DSM 17395\textsuperscript{T}, demonstrating the formation of TDA from phenylalanine through phenylacetyl-CoA and the phenylacetyl-CoA catabolon [32,33]. These experiments also led to a suggestion for the mechanism for sulfur incorporation, but further research is required for a deep understanding of TDA biosynthesis. Besides its function as an antibiotic, TDA acts as a signaling molecule, similar to \textit{N-acylmuramyl} lactones, at concentrations 100 times lower than required for a significant antibiotic activity [34]. The biosynthesis of tropane [35] and of the aligicidal sulfur-containing roseobacticides [36] are most likely connected to the TDA pathway. Interestingly, in the interaction with marine algae \textit{P. inhibens} can change its lifestyle from a symbiotic relationship during which the antibiotic TDA and growth stimulants are produced to a pathogenic interaction promoted by lignin degradation products in fading algal blooms that induce roseobacticide biosynthesis [36]. All these examples demonstrate the importance of sulfur metabolism for marine bacteria from the roseobacter group. Here we report on the volatiles emitted by six \textit{Celeribacter} species with a special focus on sulfur volatiles. The results from feeding studies with labeled precursors demonstrate that the \textit{Celeribacter} strains can form sulfur volatiles from methionine and DMSP, but also showed that some of the detected sulfur compounds are not or only partly of bacterial origin.

\section*{Results and Discussion}

\subsection*{Headspace analysis}

The volatiles released by six marine \textit{Celeribacter} type strains, including \textit{C. marinus} DSM 100036\textsuperscript{T}, \textit{C. neptunius} DSM 26471\textsuperscript{T}, \textit{C. manganoxidans} DSM 27541\textsuperscript{T}, \textit{C. baekdonensis} DSM 27375\textsuperscript{T}, \textit{C. halophilus} DSM 26270\textsuperscript{T} and \textit{C. indicus} DSM 27257\textsuperscript{T}, were collected through a closed-loop stripping apparatus (CLSA) on charcoal [37]. After extraction with dichloromethane the obtained extracts were analyzed by GC–MS (Figure 1). The compounds were identified by the comparison of the recorded EI mass spectra to library spectra and of retention indices [38] to tabulated literature data (Table 1), or by a direct comparison to authentic standards. The structures of the identified compounds are shown in Figure 2.

While the headspace extracts from \textit{C. marinus}, \textit{C. neptunius} and \textit{C. manganoxidans} were particularly rich, the extracts from \textit{C. baekdonensis}, \textit{C. halophilus} and \textit{C. indicus} contained fewer compounds. Most of the observed volatiles are well known [56,57] and were thus readily identified from their mass spectra and retention indices. Pyrazines including methylpyrazine (1), 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (2) and trimethylpyrazine (3) were present in the extracts from all six strains. Notably, also several \textit{α-hydroxyketones} that have been described as biosynthetic precursors to pyrazines [40], represented by 3-hydroxypentan-2-one (4), 2-hydroxypentan-3-one (5) and 2-hydroxyhexan-3-one (6), were observed in some of the investigated strains. A series of aldehydes ranging from hexanal (7) to tetradecanal (13) was found in strain specific patterns, with all identified compounds present in the bouquet from \textit{C. manganoxidans}. A similar series of γ-lactones spanning from pentan-4-olide (14) to dodecan-4-olide (20), in addition to 3-methylbutan-4-olide (21) and 4-methylhex-5-en-4-olide (22), was detected in strain-specific patterns, with almost all of these compounds present in \textit{C. marinus}; only \textit{C. halophilus} did not emit lactones. Furans included furan-2-ylmethanol (23), furfural (24), and 2-acetylfuran (25). Cyclohexanol (26) was observed only once in \textit{C. marinus}, and aromatic compounds included benzyl alcohol (27), benzaldehyde (28) and salicylaldehyde (29), acetonaphone (30) and \textit{o-aminocacetophenone} (31), 2-phenylethanol (32), and phenylacetone (33). 6-Methylhept-5-en-2-one (34) was detected in all strains, while its saturated analog 6-methylheptan-2-one (35) was only emitted by \textit{C. baekdonensis} and geranylacetone (36) only by the three productive species \textit{C. marinus}, \textit{C. neptunius}, and \textit{C. manganoxidans}. Compounds 34 and 36 have been described as non-enzymatic degradation products arising from the side chain in menaquiones [58]. Sulfur-containing compounds included dimethyl trisulfide (37), released by all six species, \textit{S-methyl methanethiosulfonate} (38), 2-acetylthiazole (39), and benzothiazole (40), the latter also in the extracts from all six strains. In addition, the extracts from the three species \textit{C. marinus}, \textit{C. neptunius} and \textit{C. baekdonensis} contained an additional volatile (41) whose mass spectrum (Figure 3A) was not included in our libraries. Furthermore, ethyl \textit{3-(methylsulfanyl)}acrylate (42) was found in \textit{C. marinus} and \textit{C. manganoxidans}, but the measured retention index \textit{(I} = 1177\textit{)} did not allow to distinguish between the \textit{E} and the \textit{Z} isomer for which retention indices \textit{of} \textit{I} = 1144 (\textit{E}) and \textit{I} = 1158 (\textit{Z}) were reported [53]. Therefore, for an unambiguous structural assignment for compounds 41 and 42 the synthesis of reference compounds was required.

\subsection*{Synthesis of reference compounds}

The mass spectrum of the component 41 showed strong similarities to the library mass spectrum of 2-mercaptobenzothiazole that has a molecular weight of 167 Da. The isotope pattern of the molecular ion at \textit{m/z} = 213 indicated the presence of three sulfur atoms. The strong base peak \textit{at} \textit{m/z} = 167 in the mass spectrum of 41 suggested a benzothiazol-2-ylsulfanyl moiety, while the mass difference to the molecular ion pointed to the connection to a methylsulfanyl group. Taken together, this analysis resulted in the structural proposal of 2-(methylsulfanyl)benzothiazole for 41. For the structural verification a synthesis was performed by a \textit{BF\textsubscript{3}}\textit{·OEt\textsubscript{2}}-catalyzed reaction of bis(benzothiazol-2-yl)disulfane with dimethyl disulfide, giving
Figure 1: Total ion chromatograms of headspace extract from A) *C. marinus* DSM 100036<sup>T</sup>, B) *C. neptunius* DSM 26471<sup>T</sup>, C) *C. manganoxidans* DSM 27541<sup>T</sup>, D) *C. baekdonensis* DSM 27375<sup>T</sup>, E) *C. halophilus* DSM 26270<sup>T</sup>, and F) *C. indicus* DSM 27257<sup>T</sup>. Peaks arising from known contaminants are indicated by asterisks.
Table 1: Volatiles from Celeribacter spp.

| Compounda | \(\delta^{b}\) | \(\delta^{\text{lit.}}^{b}\) | Id.c | Occurrence^d |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|------|--------------|
| 3-hydroxypentan-2-one (4) | 812 | 815 [39] | ri, ms | C |
| hexanal (7) | 813 | 806 [39] | ri, ms | B |
| 2-hydroxypentan-3-one (5) | 818 | 818 [40] | ri, ms | C |
| methlypyrazine (1) | 831 | 826 [41] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| furfural (24) | 841 | 841 [42] | ri, ms | B C |
| furan-2-ylmethanol (23) | 861 | 863 [43] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| cyclohexanol (26) | 888 | 886 [44] | ri, ms | A |
| 2-hydroxyhexan-3-one (6) | 899 | 900 [40] | ri, ms | A F |
| heptanal (8) | 906 | 901 [45] | ri, ms | C |
| 2,5-dimethylpyrazine (2) | 912 | 908 [45] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| 2-acetylfuran (25) | 913 | 909 [45] | ri, ms | C D |
| pentan-4-olide (14) | 953 | 956 [46] | ri, ms | A D F |
| 3-methylbutan-4-olide (21) | 957 | 958 [47] | ri, ms | A F |
| 6-methylheptan-2-olide (35) | 959 | 962 [48] | ri, ms | D |
| benzaldehyde (28) | 961 | 952 [45] | ri, ms | A B C D E |
| dimethyl trisulfide (37) | 970 | 968 [49] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| 6-methylhept-5-en-2-one (34) | 988 | 981 [45] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| trimethylpyrazine (3) | 1000 | 1000 [45] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| 2-acetyltiazole (39) | 1017 | 1014 [45] | ri, ms | A B C D |
| benzyl alcohol (27) | 1033 | 1026 [45] | ri, ms | C |
| 4-methylhex-5-en-4-olide (22) | 1039 | 1034 [45] | ri, ms | B C |
| salicylaldehyde (29) | 1042 | 1039 [45] | ri, ms | B D |
| hexan-4-olide (15) | 1052 | 1056 [50] | ri, ms | A |
| 5-methyl methanethiosulfonate (38) | 1061 | 1068 [51] | ri, ms | A B C D F |
| acetophenone (30) | 1065 | 1059 [45] | ri, ms | A B C |
| nonanal (9) | 1103 | 1100 [45] | ri, ms | A B C F |
| 2-phenylethanol (32) | 1111 | 1106 [45] | ri, ms | B C |
| phenylacetone (33) | 1127 | 1124 [52] | ri, ms | A |
| ethyl (E)-3-(methylsulfonyl)acrylate (42) | 1177 | 1144 [53] | ms | A C |
| decanal (10) | 1203 | 1201 [45] | ri, ms | A B C F |
| benzothiazole (40) | 1221 | 1222 [54] | ri, ms | A B C D E F |
| octan-4-olide (16) | 1252 | 1250 [45] | ri, ms | A |
| \(\delta^{\text{lit.}}^{e}\)octalcohol (31) | 1292 | 1296 [55] | ri, ms | A |
| undecan-11 | 1298 | 1305 [45] | ri, ms | B C |
| nonan-4-olide (17) | 1354 | 1358 [45] | ri, ms | A C |
| dodecan (12) | 1400 | 1408 [45] | ri, ms | A B C |
| geranylacetone (36) | 1445 | 1453 [45] | ri, ms | A B C |
| decan-4-olide (18) | 1461 | 1465 [45] | ri, ms | A C |
| undecan-4-olide (19) | 1568 | 1569 [45] | ri, ms | C |
| tetradecan (13) | 1605 | 1611 [45] | ri, ms | B C |
| dodecan-4-olide (20) | 1673 | 1676 [45] | ri, ms | A C |
| 2-(methylsulfonyl)benzothiazole (41) | 1860 | | std | A B D |

aIdentified by GC–MS, known typical contaminants such as plasticizers are not included and all listed compounds were not detected in blank runs with medium plates (except traces of benzaldehyde); \(^b\)retention index on a HPS-MS GC column and comparison to literature data from the same or a similar type of GC column; \(^c\)identification based on \(\delta^{}\) matching retention index (difference between measured retention index and literature data ≤10 points); ms: mass spectrum matching to a database spectrum, std: direct comparison to an authentic standard; \(^d\)occurrence in A: C. neptunius DSM 100036\(^{1}\), B: C. neptunius DSM 26471\(^{1}\), C: C. manganoxidans DSM 27541\(^{1}\), D: C. baekdonensis DSM 27375\(^{1}\), E: C. halophilus DSM 26270\(^{1}\), and F: C. indicus DSM 27257\(^{1}\).
Figure 2: Structures of the identified volatile compounds in the headspace extracts from six Celeribacter type strains.

Figure 3: EI mass spectra of A) unlabeled 2-(methyldisulfanyl)benzothiazole (41) and of labeled 41 after feeding of B) (methyl-\text{\textsuperscript{2}}H\text{\textsubscript{3}})methionine, C) (methyl-\text{\textsuperscript{13}}C)methionine and D) (\text{\textsuperscript{34}}S)DMSP.
access to 41 with a yield of 64% (Scheme 2). The synthetic compound 41 showed an identical mass spectrum and retention index compared to the volatile in the Celeribacter extracts. The Z and E stereoisomers of 42 were obtained by the Michael addition of NaSMe to ethyl propiolate (45), yielding a mixture of stereoisomers inseparable by silica gel chromatography (92%). The major stereoisomer was found to be (Z)-42 (dr 94:6), whose preferred formation may be a result of a chalcogen–chalcogen interaction between the sulfur and an ester oxygen. This phenomenon was first described in supramolecular structures by Gleiter [59] and later also used to explain the outcome of organocatalytic reactions [60]. The pure stereoisomers of 42 were isolated by preparative HPLC, for which the best separation was achieved using a YMC ChiralART Cellulose-SC column. This yielded 70% of (Z)-42 and 6% of (E)-42, and their analysis by GC–MS showed retention indices of \( I = 1177 \) for (E)-42 and \( I = 1200 \) for (Z)-42, revealing that the compound in the headspace extracts of C. marinus DSM 100036\(^T\) and C. manganoxidans DSM 27541\(^T\) was identical to (E)-42.

Feeding experiments with isotopically labeled precursors

The biosynthesis of sulfur volatiles in C. marinus was investigated in a series of feeding experiments with isotopically labeled precursors. Feeding of \( (\text{methyl}-^{13}\text{C}) \text{methionine}, showing incorporation into \) 37 (74%, Figure S1C in Supporting Information File 1), 38 (71%, Figure S1G in Supporting Information File 1), and the MeS group of 41 (71%, Figure 3C; the signal at \( m/z = 213 \) represents unlabeled 41 that, in contrast to a deuterated compound, cannot be separated from \( ^{13}\text{C} \)-labeled 41 by gas chromatography). Furthermore, feeding of \([^{13}\text{S}]\text{DMSP} \) gave an incorporation into the MeS groups of 37 (50%, Figure S1D), into both sulfur atoms of 38 (47%, Figure S1H in Supporting Information File 1), but only into one sulfur atom of 41 (46%), as indicated by the molecular ion at \( m/z = 215 \), while no signals at \( m/z = 217 \) and 219 were visible that would account for the incorporation of labeling into two or three of the sulfur atoms in 41 (Figure 3D; also here the signal at \( m/z = 213 \) represents inseparable unlabeled 41). In this experiment, the base peak did not change which allowed the localization of labeling specifically in the MeS group of 41.

The fact that no incorporation was observed for the other two sulfur atoms of 41 prompted us to further investigate the biosynthetic origin of the benzothiazol-2-ylsulfanyl portion of 41 to establish its natural origin. Several feeding experiments with central primary metabolites including \( (\text{13}\text{C}_6)\text{glucose, (13C}_3)\text{ribose and (indole-2H}_2)\text{tryptophan} \) were performed, but none of these experiments resulted in a detectable incorporation of labeling. Conclusively, a non-biological origin of this part of the molecule seems likely, which may also explain why the detection of 41 in Celeribacter was not always reproducible. Notably, 2-mercaptobenzothiazole is used in the sulfur vulcanization of rubber and could react spontaneously with MeSH of bacterial origin in the presence of oxygen to form 41, giving a reasonable explanation for its formation.

Also none of the feeding experiments with the various labeled precursors resulted in an incorporation of labeling into the...
sulfur volatiles 39, 40, and 42, which also questioned their natural origin. This finding is rather surprising for 42, especially regarding the feeding experiment with \(^{(35\text{S})}\text{DMSP}\), because its formation would be explainable by a DMSP degradation through the demethylation pathway, for which all relevant enzymes are encoded in the six \textit{Celeribacter} strains (only a DmdA homolog is missing in \textit{C. indicus}, Table S1 in Supporting Information File 1), and e.g., transesterification of the DmdC product with EtOH (Scheme 1A). Compound 42 is not a widespread sulfur volatile, but has been reported before from pineapples [53], pears [62], passion fruits [63], and apples [64].

**Conclusion**

Six marine \textit{Celeribacter} strains were investigated for their volatiles, leading to the identification of 42 compounds from different classes, including several sulfur volatiles. However, feeding experiments with isotopically labeled precursors suggested that only the widespread compounds dimethyl trisulfide (37) and S-methyl methanethiosulfonate (38) are of natural origin, while no labeling from any of the fed precursors was incorporated into 2-acetyltiazole (39), benzothiazole (40), and ethyl (E)-3-(methylsulfanyl)acrylate (42), thus questioning their natural source from \textit{Celeribacter}. These results demonstrate that the six \textit{Celeribacter} strains are able to degrade methionine and DMSP with formation of MeSH as a source for the likely non-enzymatic oxidation in the presence of air to 37 and 38, opening possibilities for future studies on methionine and DMSP degrading enzymes and pathways in \textit{Celeribacter}. Our study also shows that the results from trace compound analyses must be taken with care and contaminations from other sources must always be taken into consideration. For the unusual compound 2-(methyldisulfanyl)benzothiazole (41) the incorporation of labeling was observed only into the MeS group, while the benzothiazol-2-ylsulfanyl portion is likely of anthropogenic origin from the rubber vulcanization agent 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (37), thus questioning their natural origin from the rubber vulcanization agent 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (37) and benzothiazole (40). The volatiles emitted by \textit{Celeribacter} spp. agar plate cultures were collected on charcoal filters (Chromtech, Idstein, Germany, precision charcoal filters charged with 5 mg of charcoal) by use of a closed-loop stripping apparatus as developed by Grob and Zürcher [37]. After a collection time of 24 h the charcoal was extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (50 μL) and the extract was analyzed by GC–MS.

**GC–MS**

GC–MS analyses were carried out through a 7890B GC – 5977A MD system (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The GC was equipped with a HP5-MS fused silica capillary column (30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.50 μm film) and operated with the settings 1) inlet pressure: 77.1 kPa, He flow: 23.3 mL min\(^{-1}\), 2) injection volume: 2 μL, 3) splitless injection, 4) temperature program: 5 min isothermal at 50 °C, then increasing with 5 °C min\(^{-1}\) to 320 °C, and 5) He carrier gas flow: 1.2 mL min\(^{-1}\). The parameters of the MS were 1) transfer line temperature: 250 °C, 2) ion source temperature: 230 °C, 3) quadrupole temperature: 150 °C, and 4) electron energy: 70 eV. Retention indices were calculated from retention times in comparison to those of a homologous series of \(n\)-alkanes (C\(_7\)–C\(_{40}\)).

**General synthetic and analytical methods**

Reactions were carried out in oven-dried flasks under Ar atmosphere and using distilled and dried solvents. Chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (0.04–0.06 nm) purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium) with distilled solvents. NMR spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker (Billerica, USA) Avance III HD Ascend (500 MHz) spectrometer. Solvent peaks were used for referencing (\(^{1}\text{H} \text{NMR: CDCl}_3\) residual proton signal = 7.26 ppm, \(^{13}\text{C} \text{NMR: CDCl}_3\) δ = 77.16 ppm) [65]. Multiplicities are indicated by s (singlet) and d (doublet), coupling constants \(J\) are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker α spectrometer equipped with a diamond ATR probe, and qualitative signal intensities are reported by w (weak), m (medium), and s (strong). HPLC purification of compound 42 was performed on an Anzura HPLC system (Knauer, Berlin, Germany) equipped with a UV–vis detector MWL 2.1L (deuterium lamp, 190–700 nm) and a YMC ChiralART Cellulose-SC column (5 μm; 250 × 20 mm) with a guard column of the same type (30 × 20 mm). The elution was performed with hexane/propanol 60:40 (isocratic) at a flow rate of 10 mL min\(^{-1}\) (36 bar). The UV–vis absorption was monitored at 275 nm.

**Synthesis of 2-(methyldisulfanyl)benzothiazole (41)**

1,2-Bis(benzothiazol-2-yl)disulfane (43, 1.00 g, 3.00 mmol, 1 equiv) and dimethyl sulfide (44, 0.28 g, 3.00 mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in dry CH\(_3\)NO\(_2\) (10 mL) and dry CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (10 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C and then treated with BF\(_3\)·Et\(_2\)O (43 mg, 0.3 mmol, 0.1 equiv). After stirring at 0 °C for 3 hours and at room temperature overnight, the reaction was

---
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quenched by the addition of water (10 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 50 mL). The combined extracts were dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1) to give 41 as a colorless solid (0.82 g, 3.85 mmol, 64%). Rₜ 0.60 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5:1; TLC visualized with UV illumination at 366 nm); GC (HP-5MS): tR = 1854; IR (diamond-ATR) ṽ: 3060 (s), 2916 (s), 1425 (w), 1310 (s), 1236 (s), 1005 (w), 756 (w), 431 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 7.88 (ddd, J = 8.1, 1.2, 0.7 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.87 (ddd, J = 7.6, 1.2, 0.6 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.43 (ddd, J = 8.3, 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 7.33 (ddd, J = 8.2, 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H, CH), 2.67 (s, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 172.50 (C), 155.17 (C), 139.50 (C), 126.37 (CH), 124.70 (CH), 122.24 (CH), 121.27 (CH), 23.62 (CH₃) ppm.

Synthesis of ethyl (Z)-3-(methylsulfanyl)acrylate ((Z)-42) and ethyl (E)-3-(methylsulfanyl)acrylate ((E)-42)

Ethyl propiolate (45, 70 mg, 0.71 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in distilled water (5 mL) followed by the addition of sodium methanethiolate (50 mg, 0.71 mmol, 1 equiv). The solution was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. Water (5 mL) was added and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The combined extracts were dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to afford the crude product. Purification by column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 99:1) gave a mixture of stereoisomers (Z)-42 and (E)-42 as pale yellow oil (96 mg, 0.65 mmol, 92%, dr 94:6 by ¹H NMR). The product mixture was separated by preparative HPLC to give pure (Z)-42 (73 mg, 0.50 mmol, 70%) and (E)-42 (6 mg, 0.04 mmol, 6%).

(Z)-42. Rₜ 0.74 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1); GC (HP-5MS): tR = 1200; IR (diamond-ATR) ṽ: 2982 (w), 2927 (w), 1695 (m), 1569 (m), 1434 (w), 1374 (w), 1300 (w), 1266 (w), 1213 (m), 1166 (s), 1095 (w), 1033 (w), 986 (w), 961 (w), 800 (w), 727 (w), 687 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 7.04 (d, J = 10.14 Hz, 1H, CH), 5.83 (d, J = 10.14 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.20 (q, J = 7.15 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.29 (t, J = 7.17 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (175 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 166.75 (C), 151.84 (CH), 113.18 (CH), 60.17 (CH₂), 19.28 (CH₃), 14.44 (CH₂) ppm.

(E)-42. Rₜ 0.76 (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1:1); GC (HP-5MS): tR = 1177; IR (diamond-ATR) ṽ: 2980 (w), 2925 (w), 1701 (s), 1578 (s), 1444 (w), 1366 (w), 1322 (w), 1297 (m), 1251 (s), 1161 (s), 1095 (w), 1037 (m), 945 (m), 886 (w), 832 (w), 799 (w), 702 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) δ 7.76 (d, J = 14.93 Hz, 1H, CH), 5.68 (d, J = 14.90 Hz, 1H, CH), 4.21 (q, J = 7.14 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.31 (t, J = 7.13 Hz, 3H, CH₃) ppm; ¹³C NMR (175 MHz, CDCl₃, 297 K) δ 165.59 (C), 147.21 (CH), 113.56 (CH), 108.55 (CH₂), 27.26 (CH₃), 14.67 (CH₃) ppm.
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