We currently do not understand the fundamental physical processes that govern mass and energy flow through the Earth’s magnetosphere. Knowledge of these processes is critical to understanding the mass loss rate of Earth’s atmosphere, as well as for determining the role that a planetary magnetic field plays in atmospheric retention, and therefore habitability, for Earth-like planets beyond the solar system. Mass and energy flow processes are challenging to determine at Earth in part because Earth’s planetary magnetic field creates a complex “system of systems” composed of interdependent plasma populations and overlapping spatial regions that perpetually exchange mass and energy across a broad range of temporal and spatial scales. Further, the primary mass carrier in the magnetosphere is cold plasma (as cold as ~0.1 eV), which is invisible to many space-borne instruments that operate in the inner magnetosphere. The Plasma Imaging LOcal and Tomographic experiment (PILOT) mission concept, described here, provides the transformational multi-scale observations required to answer fundamental open questions about mass and energy flow dynamics in the Earth’s magnetosphere. PILOT uses a constellation of spacecraft to make radio tomographic, remote sensing, and in-situ measurements simultaneously, fully capturing cold plasma mass dynamics and its impact on magnetospheric systems over an unprecedented range of spatial and temporal scales. This article details the scientific motivation for the PILOT mission concept as well as a potential mission implementation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Magnetospheric physics has a massive problem: we have not yet determined the fundamental processes that govern plasma mass and energy flow through the terrestrial magnetosphere, nor the degree to which these flows regulate key magnetospheric subsystems.

Hundreds of metric tons of ionized atmospheric gases pass into and through Earth’s magnetic field. This mass accumulates in inner magnetospheric reservoirs, is transported through the magnetosphere, where it profoundly regulates magnetospheric subsystems, and can eventually be lost to the solar wind. Cold plasma (<1–100 eV) carries the overwhelming majority of this mass, and tracking its flow is the weakest link in our chain of understanding for magnetospheric physics (Delzanno et al., 2021). Currently, we understand more about the physics of atmospheric mass loss at Mars and Venus than we do at Earth (Titov et al., 2006; Jakosky, 2015). Understanding magnetospheric mass flows and associated energy flows is critical to understanding the mass loss rate of Earth’s atmosphere, as well as to determining the importance of a planetary magnetic field for atmospheric retention (Ramstad and Barabash, 2021), and therefore habitability, for Earth-like planets beyond the solar system.

Knowledge gaps related to the processes that govern plasma mass and energy flow through the magnetosphere have persisted through decades of magnetospheric measurements due to three primary limitations. First, the Earth’s planetary magnetic field creates a complex “system of systems” composed of interdependent plasma populations and overlapping spatial regions that perpetually exchange mass and energy. Because of this complexity, inherent to any planet with an internal dynamo-driven magnetic field, measurements are required that span a broad range of temporal and spatial scales in order to disentangle the coupled processes that drive mass and energy flow. Second, cold plasma is not directly detectable by most space-borne particle instruments traversing the inner magnetosphere, because its thermal energy is well below the floating electrical potential of spacecraft surfaces immersed in the ambient plasma (Delzanno et al., 2021). To make new progress, instrumentation specifically designed to detect cold plasma is required. Third, prior observations of mass flow and its impact on magnetospheric subsystems are limited to either single-spacecraft local measurements of total plasma density with limited composition data and no contextual mass spatial distribution information (Engwall et al., 2009; Kurth et al., 2015; Andriopoulos et al., 2018), or to single-view line-of-sight integrated measurements of minor ion species without in-situ measurements embedded in the imaged plasma (Sandel et al., 2001). New progress requires combining measurements of the plasma mass spatial distribution in the inner magnetosphere with simultaneous embedded in-situ measurements.

The Plasma Imaging LOcal and Tomographic experiment (PILOT) mission concept overcomes all three of these limitations by using a constellation of 34 spacecraft to simultaneously make rapidly refreshing, spatially resolved images of total plasma density in the equatorial plane, images of ion density and flows in the meridional plane, and in-situ ground-truth measurements embedded within the imaged regions.

The PILOT mission concept constellation consists of 30 identical microsat spacecraft (‘RadioSats’) and four smallest spacecraft (“PlasmaSats”) in two near-equatorial orbits. The network of RadioSats produces equatorial plasma density images through radio tomographic inversion of a network of line-of-sight total electron content (TEC) measurements (see Supplementary Appendix S1 and Ergun et al. (2000)), combined with in-situ total plasma density measurements. The resulting density images have high spatial (~0.5 RE) and temporal (~15 s) resolution. Meridional ion density and flows are determined by imaging extreme ultraviolet (EUV) photons at 30.4 nm (He+) and 83.4 nm (O+/O++)(Sandel et al., 2001; Burch et al., 2001a; Burch et al., 2001b; Burch, 2001; Goldstein et al., 2003; Goldstein et al., 2018; Goldstein et al., 2019), with high spatial (~0.05 RE) and temporal (<15 s) resolution. Two of the four PlasmaSats carry EUV instruments. All four PlasmaSats are equipped to make embedded in-situ measurements of both DC-coupled and AC-coupled electric and magnetic fields, cold plasma composition, flux, and distribution functions, as well as energetic proton and electron flux and distribution functions.

The PILOT spacecraft are arranged in two highly-elliptical orbits (1.52 RE × 4.25 RE and 1.10 RE × 6.25 RE). The orbits are optimized to enable 1) near-equatorial radio tomographic images with instantaneous coverage over a large region of the inner magnetosphere: ~3 Earth radii (~3 Earth radii in radial distance and ~3 hrs in MLT, 2) coverage in the meridional plane of ±4.8 RE for He+ images and ±2.2 RE for O+ images, and 3) in-situ measurements along orbits with apogees near L-shells of 4 and 6, embedded within the imaged plasma.

The PILOT implementation leverages several technological innovations to support a fully feasible mission. First, spacecraft manufacturing has matured to the point where numerous commercial vendors exist that can produce spacecraft in the quantities needed for radio tomographic imaging (a few tens of spacecraft). Second, instrumentation miniaturization has progressed such that relatively small spacecraft can host the range of instruments needed for PILOT in-situ measurements. Third, the launch and deployment of large spacecraft constellations has become commonplace, and a number of technologies that enable constellation deployment are now available.

By taking advantage of these technological advancements, the PILOT mission concept makes the transformational measurements needed to close fundamental and persistent knowledge gaps about mass and energy flow through the magnetosphere of a magnetized terrestrial planet.

The following sections first lay out the specific science goals and objectives that motivate the PILOT mission concept, then discuss the measurements required to achieve them. A mission implementation is then described, including notional orbits, spacecraft bus configurations, and instrumentation. A detailed discussion on the generation of plasma density images by radio tomography for PILOT is included in Supplementary Appendix S1. Finally, inversion and forward model algorithms that can be
used for EUV image deconvolution are discussed in Supplementary Appendix S2.

2 MOTIVATING SCIENCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The driving science goal of PILOT is to determine the primary pathways of mass and energy flow through the coupled systems of the terrestrial magnetosphere. This goal motivates three specific science objectives. Each targets a critical aspect of mass and energy exchange among the plasma populations that make up the magnetospheric meta-system. The science objectives are arranged to “follow the mass” as ionized gases exit the ionosphere, enter and are transported through and out of the inner magnetosphere, and profoundly modify magnetospheric systems and magnetospheric energy transport along their journey.

The PILOT science objectives are: 1) Identify and quantify the key processes that govern mass and energy exchange between the ionosphere and the magnetosphere, 2) Discover the pathways and processes governing cold plasma mass transport through and out of the inner magnetosphere, and 3) Determine how, where, and when cold plasma mass acts most efficiently to regulate coupling between magnetospheric regions and between plasma populations. Achieving each science objective requires addressing specific science questions, listed and described below.

Figure 1 presents an overview of PILOT’s science objectives and high-level measurement strategy: simultaneous radio tomography, EUV imaging, and embedded in-situ measurement. The radio tomographic mesh formed by the RadioSats is shown in the equatorial plane, the meridional plane imaged by the outer-orbit PlasmaSatEUV instruments is shown, and locations of all four in-situ measurements (PlasmaSats) are indicated. RadioSats and PlasmaSats are discussed in Section 3.1. Plasma density is represented by colored contours, where the equatorial mass distribution is adapted from an EUV image in Figure 2B of Goldstein et al. (2004a). The meridional mass distribution is schematic, based on simulated EUV images created using the forward model of Goldstein et al. (2018). PILOT’s three science objectives (Ob1, Ob2, and Ob3) are indicated on the right of the figure. The science questions that underpin those objectives are discussed in detail next.

2.1 Objective 1, Science Question 1a: How Is the Plasmasphere Refilled From Ionospheric Sources?

When atmospheric gases are ionized, by solar radiation or electron bombardment, electromagnetic interaction with the solar wind causes them to flow out of the ionosphere (e.g., Banks, 1968; Banks, 1969; Singh and Horwitz, 1992). Earth’s planetary magnetic field traps much of this plasma in the plasmasphere, preventing it from escaping directly to the solar wind (Chappell, 2015). During geomagnetic storms, some of the mass held in this reservoir is forced into the solar wind and lost from the magnetosphere. Ionospheric outflow then refills the plasmaspheric reservoir and the process repeats (Hultqvist et al., 1999; Welling et al., 2015).

After decades of research, fundamental questions concerning plasmaspheric refilling remain unanswered. The observations required to address these questions do not yet exist (Gallagher et al., 2021). In this observational void, gaps in our basic knowledge persist: What causes refilling rates to vary by orders of magnitude? Why is the amount of mass trapped by the magnetosphere only weakly correlated with the polar
outflow rates (Denton et al., 2012)? What fundamental plasma processes are responsible for trapping new ions in the plasmasphere torus (Goldstein et al., 2020; Goldstein et al., 2021)?

Ionospheric outflow and plasmaspheric refilling shows intense variability (Gallagher et al., 2021). For $2.5 \leq L \leq 4.5$ refilling rates range from 4 to 800 cm$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$ (Park, 1973; Park, 1974; Farrugia et al., 1989; Carpenter et al., 1993; Reinisch et al., 2004; Dent et al., 2006; Sandel and Denton, 2007; Gallagher et al., 2021). At geosynchronous orbit, quiet-time refilling rates range from as little as ~0.6 cm$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$ (Lawrence et al., 1999) to as much as 50 cm$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$ (Sojka et al., 1986). In stark contrast, Borovsky et al. (2014) discovered long-lived plasmaspheric plume active-time events at geosynchronous orbit that could only persist if fueled by refilling rates of 100–500 cm$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$.

We lack basic knowledge about the formation of the dense O$^+$ torus in the outer plasmasphere (Horwitz and Lockwood, 1985; Roberts et al., 1987; Andersson et al., 2005; Goldstein et al., 2018; Hull et al., 2019). Two possible origins for the O$^+$ torus have been identified: it may originate from the local ionosphere near the magnetic equator, or it could arrive in part or in total from high latitude as the low energy portion of the warm plasma cloak (Chappell et al., 2008).

The PILOT mission concept achieves closure on this science question by comparing multi-scale measurements of cold plasma mass flow and variability over a broad swath of the inner magnetosphere against theories for ion trapping (Hrbáčková et al., 2015), refilling variability (Gallagher et al., 2021), refilling mass dependence (Sandel, 2011), and O$^+$ torus formation (Goldstein et al., 2018).

These comparisons are enabled by direct and simultaneous measurement of: 1) spatially and temporally resolved plasma density across a broad region of the near-equatorial inner magnetosphere ($\geq 3$ h Magnetic Local Time (MLT)), covering radial distances ($3 < L$-shell $< 6$) where field aligned ions are converted into trapped isotropic distributions during plasmasphere refilling, 2) global meridional EUV-He measurements to quantify the spatial and temporal variation of field-aligned light ion distributions, 3) in-situ observations, embedded within the imaged regions, of cold (0.1–100 eV) H$^+$, H$^+$, and O$^+$ distribution functions, densities, and temperatures, as well as plasma waves that may isotropize outflowing ions (Gurnett, 1976; Olsen et al., 1987; Boardsen et al., 1992; Singh, 1996; Hrbáčková et al., 2015), and 4) EUV global meridional imaging of O$^+$, to determine the extent to which O$^+$ torus ions are supplied from the ionosphere, by cusp outflow, or directly from the auroral zone (Horwitz and Lockwood, 1985; Roberts et al., 1987; Hull et al., 2019).

Imaging the O$^+$ torus enables its basic morphology to be quantified, including its symmetry (or lack thereof) in MLT, its latitudinal extent, and what processes control these attributes (Nosé et al., 2011; Nosé et al., 2015; Goldstein et al., 2018; Nosé et al., 2018). In-situ observations for this science question must cover radial distances where refilling is most dynamic ($3 < L$-shell $< 6$), in the minutes, hours, and days that follow geomagnetically active times.
2.2 Objective 1, Science Question 1b: By What Processes, and to What Extent, Does Plasmaspheric Mass Return to the Ionosphere or Neutral Exosphere?

A full accounting of mass flow between the ionosphere and plasmasphere must include flow from the plasmasphere back into the ionosphere and transported to other magnetospheric regions. Known and speculated mass transport pathways include plasmaspheric convective pathways (Matsui et al., 2013), the validity of this assumption (Lemaire and Gringauz, 1998), or neutral exosphere (Nass and Fahr, 1984). There is a large knowledge gap related to this mass flow pathway. In the absence of sunlight, low-altitude recombination reduces ion pressure gradients, which is expected to cause downward transport (Lemaire and Gringauz, 1998; Gallagher et al., 2021), allowing nightside flux tubes to return plasmaspheric mass to the ionosphere. This mechanism may explain the anomalous mass loss reported on L-shells far from the eroded plasmapause boundary (Gallagher et al., 2021). However, the cross-scale measurements required to test this hypothesis, or to identify a new one, currently do not exist.

PILOT achieves closure on this science question by comparing existing predictions for downward ion transport of plasmaspheric mass (Gallagher et al., 2021) with direct multi-scale measurements of cold plasma mass content and its variability.

Making these comparisons requires direct and simultaneous measurements of: 1) spatially and temporally resolved total plasma density over several hours of MLT and several L-shell, 2) meridional imaging of ionospheric plasma inflows (of both light ions and O⁺), and 3) in-situ observations of cold (0.1–100 eV) proton and heavy ion distribution functions embedded in the imaged regions. The observations most effective at addressing this science question will be within 3 < L-shell < 5, where plasmapause erosion is weakly active during quiet or moderately-disturbed times.

2.3 Objective 2, Science Question 2a: What Are the Key Pathways for Transport of Plasma Mass Through and Out of the Inner Magnetosphere?

After cold plasma mass reaches the plasmasphere, it is distributed and transported to other magnetospheric regions. Known and speculated mass transport pathways include plasmaspheric plume flows (Darrouzet et al., 2009), interchange instability (Pierrard and Lemaire, 2004), and the warm plasma cloak (Borovsky et al., 2013; Gallagher and Comfort, 2016). Each transport pathway is driven by different, sometimes competing, physical mechanisms. Limited existing measurements prevent us from knowing which mass flow pathways are most important under which geomagnetic conditions, what feedback exists among transport mechanisms, and how these mechanisms combine to produce the observed distribution of mass in the magnetosphere.

A plasmaspheric plume is a dramatic structure formed by sunward plasma transport, where the density can be 50–100 times greater than adjacent regions. A plume can span many Earth radii (5 Re or more) or be narrow (< 1 Re). A plume can detach from the plasmasphere and extend into the dayside magnetopause. A basic understanding of how a plasmaspheric plume forms and maintains itself is lacking. A plume can form over the course of tens of minutes. It can be short lived (hours) or last for weeks (Borovsky et al., 2014; Krall et al., 2018). The physics that drives the refilling necessary to sustain this structure and quantification of the appropriate refilling rates both remain poorly determined (Denton and Borovsky, 2014; Gallagher and Comfort, 2016).

The warm plasma cloak is a population outside the plasmasphere transported in from the magnetotail, rich with oxygen (Chappell et al., 2008; Nose et al., 2015; Jahn et al., 2017). Many key questions remain about the transport and coupling of this population. What mechanism is responsible for heating the cloak plasma (Hill et al., 2020)? Does the plasma cloak play a dominant role in mass loading the dayside magnetosphere (Borovsky et al., 2013)? Is the cloak a candidate for nightside plasmasphere refilling (Gallagher and Comfort, 2016)?

PILOT achieves closure on this science question by comparing the observed morphology of cold plasma flows in the near-equatorial magnetosphere, and signatures of cold ion heating or cooling, against theoretical expectations for each pathway.

These comparisons require measurement of rapidly refreshing images of total plasma density in the near-equatorial plane across several MLT in the inner magnetosphere. Observations must target radial distances where strong transport is observed (3 < L < 6). Simultaneously, meridional plasma flows of He⁺ and O⁺ must be imaged to determine whether equatorial plasma density changes are due to flows out of the equatorial plane or within it. Embedded in-situ observations of heavy (He⁺, O⁺) and light (H⁺) ion distributions spanning 0.1–100 eV are required to observe heating or cooling of cold plasma that may be a prerequisite for some transport pathways (Borovsky et al., 2013).

2.4 Objective 2, Science Question 2b: What Are the Mechanisms of Plasma Mass Transport and Their Drivers?

Once ionospheric plasma mass reaches the plasmasphere, it may go sunward to the dayside magnetopause and participate in reconnection, or it may be diverted to the flanks and travel anti-sunward to load the plasmasheet. What fundamental mechanisms dictate the transport and distribution of this mass?

Mass transport is expected to be primarily determined by the dynamic electric and magnetic fields in the magnetosphere. While the geomagnetic field is relatively well-known, the electric (E) field is more elusive. Single-point in-situ E-field measurements have been leveraged to create empirical models, i.e., statistical maps. However, empirical models usually impose an electrostatic assumption (\( E \times \mathbf{B} = 0 \)). Although it facilitates visualization of convective pathways (Matsui et al., 2013), the validity of this assumption is questionable, in particular at higher L-shells. It is also difficult to quantify the extent to which statistical models can accurately describe dynamical evolution in case studies.

Moreover, statistical data analysis blurs/obscures small scale features and/or highly structured features naturally present in the database. These features include sub-auroral polarization streams (SAPS) (Foster et al., 2007) and sub-auroral ion drifts (SAID) (Anderson et al., 1991). While statistical experimental models for
SAPS exist (Kunduri et al., 2018), how they connect to the global topology of the electric fields, thus, how they organize plasma mass transport, remains unclear (Elphic et al., 1997; Liemohn et al., 2004; Foster et al., 2020).

Small-scale features are definitely present in the global electric field topology, but their role in plasma transport remains to be determined. For instance, to what extent is plasmasphere erosion governed by global vs. local electric field dynamics? Observations from the IMAGE EUV instrument revealed the existence of many small scale structures on the plasmasphere boundary, including notches, crenulations, fingers and shoulders. The time evolution of these structures was leveraged to extract information about the average spatial variability of the large-scale DC electric field (Galvan et al., 2010). Yet statistical models for the electric field dynamics seldom accurately reproduce such features (Goldstein and Sandel, 2005).

PILOT achieves closure on this science question by comparing electric field drivers and magnetic field context with the resulting plasma mass motion, allowing key transport mechanisms to be identified.

Measuring bulk plasma motion requires rapidly refreshing images of total density in the equatorial plane. Embedded in the image plane, in-situ point observations of DC-coupled electric and magnetic fields, along with measurements of cold proton, electron, and heavy ion distribution functions, provide ground truth point-sampling of the cold plasma bulk motion and the electric fields that drive it. Simultaneous meridional imaging of cold plasma flow is required to quantify transport into and out of the equatorial plane. This set of measurements enables determination of the mechanisms and drivers of cold plasma mass transport.

2.5 Objective 3, Science Question 3a: To What Degree Does the Dynamic Distribution of Plasma Mass Regulate the Expression of Alfven Waves?

Propagating at the Alfven speed, Ultra Low Frequency (ULF) waves carry information via magnetic field fluctuations that enable magnetosphere-ionosphere coupling (Lysak, 1990), magnetosphere-solar wind coupling (Wright, 1996), as well as radiation belt energization and particle transport via radial diffusion (Elkington et al., 2003).

The inner magnetosphere supports various ULF modes, including those that carry Earthward from the magnetotail and from the magnetopause (Takahashi et al., 2015). These energy inputs can either drive propagating waves, or drive field line resonances and cavity modes (Dungey, 1955; Samson and Rostoker, 1972; Kivelson and Southwood, 1985; Lee and Lysak, 1989; Samson et al., 1992). Energy flux transport via these modes, and energy exchange between these modes is critically sensitive to the Alfven speed.

Modeling has shown that the spatial distribution of plasma mass should significantly alter the ULF wave power distribution, both radially and in MLT. For example, the plasma mass distribution determines the radial location where the compressional (fast mode) radial wavenumber goes to zero, beyond which compressional waves transfer energy into local field line resonances (Claudepierre et al., 2016).

PILOT achieves closure on this science question by measuring the dynamic spatial distribution of Alfven speed, across scale sizes, over a broad region of the inner magnetosphere, while simultaneously quantifying the degree to which this distribution regulates ULF plasma wave properties.

Determining the spatial distribution of Alfven speed requires a network of magnetic field measurements and total plasma density images. This information must be sampled on timescales faster than plasma mass is redistributed in order to resolve temporal changes to this distribution (likely minutes or faster). A network of magnetic field measurements over a broad region of space is required to measure fluctuating ULF-wave magnetic fields to establish how ULF wave amplitudes and modes (e.g., field line resonance or propagating mode) are determined by the spatial distribution of total plasma density. The Alfven speed is also a function of plasma mass density. Therefore, point measurements of ion composition (H+, He+, and O+) embedded within the total plasma density image plane are required to determine the extent to which the spatial distribution of cold ions significantly impacts ULF wave properties.

2.6 Objective 3, Science Question 3b: By What Mechanisms and to What Extent Does the Distribution of Plasma Mass Govern the Dynamics of Meso-Scale Plasma Flows That Reach the Inner Magnetosphere?

The Dungey cycle (Dungey, 1961) describes the fundamental flow of magnetic energy and flux through Earth’s solar-wind driven magnetosphere. A key portion of the Dungey cycle involves the return flow of magnetic field and plasma sunward from the magnetotail. Numerous studies have established that these return flows take the form of structured plasma flows, often called Bursty Bulk Flows (BBFs) (Baumjohann et al., 1989; Angelopoulos et al., 1992; Angelopoulos et al., 1994; Runov et al., 2011; Wiltberger et al., 2015). The evolution of BBFs is well understood > ~ 8R_E from Earth. BBFs originate in the magnetotail boundary ~ 15R_E (Ohtani et al., 2006; Sitnov et al., 2009; Runov et al., 2011). They are accompanied by dipolarization of the Earth’s magnetic field (Angelopoulos, 2008). BBFs are known to be responsible for much of the energy transport from the Earth’s magnetotail into the inner magnetosphere [Turner et al. (2015); Stawarz et al. (2016) and references therein] and are known to drive ionospheric energy deposition, as demonstrated by their association with specific auroral forms (Sergeev et al., 1999; Sergeev et al., 2000; Nakamura et al., 2001; Stawarz et al., 2015).

BBF velocities slow to the order of 100 km/s as they travel from ~ 12R_E to ~ 8R_E and the Earth’s magnetic field becomes stronger. This region, called the BBF braking region (McPherron et al., 2011), displays strong turbulence, wherein ions and electrons are energized and Alfven waves are launched toward Earth’s ionosphere (Ergun et al., 2015). The fate of BBFs is not well understood Earthward of ~ 8R_E, where their flow speed is again dramatically reduced (Reeves et al., 1996; Malaspina et al., 2015).
One of the many unknowns is how BBFs inject energetic particles and heavy ions into the inner magnetosphere (Takada et al., 2006; Dubyagin et al., 2011; Gkioulidou et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2015).

Mounting evidence indicates that the distribution of plasmaspheric mass determines the final deceleration of Earthward plasma flows (Li et al., 2011; Khooh et al., 2018; Glocer et al., 2020; Allison et al., 2021), thereby regulating the deposition of their remaining flow energy into the inner magnetosphere, and their ability to transport plasmasheet particles into the inner magnetosphere (Sorathia et al., 2018). Further, the Poynting flux generated during BBF breaking is implicated in the energization of ions in the ionosphere and subsequent production of low-energy ion outflow that contributes to re-population of the outer plasmasphere (Chaston et al., 2016).

Fundamental aspects of Earthward flow physics cannot be determined using single-point or serendipitous multi-point in-situ measurements. A lack of coordinated in-situ and context imaging measurements prevents quantitative evaluation of how the energy and mass carried by these flows is redistributed through the inner magnetosphere as they slow and stop. Evidence from multi-spacecraft case studies (Motoba et al., 2020) supports the long-suspected [e.g., Turner et al. (2015) and Turner et al. (2017)] and references therein connection between BBFs and the particle injections that supply the ring current (Gkioulidou et al., 2014), as well as radiation belt source and seed particles (Jaynes et al., 2015).

PILOT achieves closure on this SQ by determining the extent to which cold plasma regulates plasma flow evolution in the inner magnetosphere, including particle injection physics and energy transfer from flows to plasma waves.

A network of magnetic field sensors and rapidly refreshing total density maps are required to end long-persistent questions about the flow spatial structure and deceleration in the inner magnetosphere (e.g., Reeves et al., 1996; Wiltberger et al., 2015; Khooh et al., 2018)). A network of energetic electron flux measurements are required to definitively connect flow deceleration with electron injection spatial and temporal evolution, including energy-dependent radial penetration (Li et al., 2011; Turner et al., 2015; Turner et al., 2017; Khooh et al., 2018; Glocer et al., 2020; Motoba et al., 2020; Allison et al., 2021). Embedded within the density image plane, in-situ ground-truth observations are needed. Electron, proton, and heavy ion distribution functions across a wide range of energies (0.1 eV–1 MeV for e- and p+, up to 50 keV for heavy ions) are required to determine the evolution of flow-entrained particles. DC-coupled electric and magnetic field observations are required to definitively identify injection flows. AC-coupled electric and magnetic field measurements are needed to observe dissipation of flow energy into plasma waves. Meridional cold plasma observations, made in concert with the previously described measurements, are needed to constrain the amount of new ion outflow that results from flow-breaking energy input into the ionosphere (Chaston et al., 2016) during the dissipation of flow events. A typical flow is expected to be 1 to 2R_E in radial and azimuthal spatial extent, traveling Earthward at 35 km/s with a total plasma density \((n_p)\) fractional depletion of \(\Delta n_i/n_p \approx 50\%\) (Reeves et al., 1996; Runov et al., 2011; Fletcher et al., 2019). Given these parameters, a density image spatial resolution of \(\leq 0.5 R_E\) and temporal resolution of \(< 20\ s\) are needed to fully characterize these flows (Yang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015).

2.7 Objective 3, Science Question 3c: To What Extent Does the Dynamic Distribution of Plasma Mass in the Magnetosphere Determine the Expression of Wave-Particle Interactions That Energize, De-Energize, and Precipitate Energetic Plasma?

Plasma waves are a fundamental driver of particle energization and loss in the inner magnetosphere (Horne, 2005; Thorne, 2010; Jaynes et al., 2015). Ambient cold plasma density and magnetic field strongly determine the efficiency of the relevant wave-particle interactions on kinetic scales (Young et al., 1981; Kozyra et al., 1984; Summers et al., 1998; Omura et al., 2007). However, our knowledge of the spatial extent of these processes currently relies on an elaborate chain of complex modeling and statistical inference (Ni et al., 2014; Meredith et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018; He et al., 2020; Malaspina et al., 2020; Meredith et al., 2020; Delzanno et al., 2021). Predictions resulting from this chain often sharply disagree with any individual geomagnetic event (Jaynes et al., 2018; Watt et al., 2019), especially for extreme driving cases, blurring the picture as to which plasma wave processes are most relevant under which geomagnetic conditions.

Some of the plasma wave modes most effective at sculpting particle populations in the inner magnetosphere are Very Low Frequency (VLF) waves such as chorus and hiss (e.g., Horne, 2005; Thorne, 2010; Ripoll et al., 2014; Breneman et al., 2015), and electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves (e.g. Sandanger et al., 2007; Usanov et al., 2014; Shprits et al., 2016).

Plasma density regulates the growth and damping of these wave modes (Nicholson, 1983; Summers et al., 1998; Agapitov et al., 2019). Because of this, the plasmapause defines a sharp boundary between hiss (radiation-belt loss) and chorus (radiation-belt energization and/or loss) (Thorne, 2010; Malaspina et al., 2016; Malaspina et al., 2018), making it a critical boundary for radiation belt dynamics (Baker et al., 2013), auroral precipitation (He et al., 2020) and ionospheric heating (Liang et al., 2018).

The generation and propagation of EMIC waves depend strongly on plasma density and ion composition (Young et al., 1981; Kozyra et al., 1984). In a multi-component plasma, EMIC waves appear frequency bands separated by the cyclotron frequencies of individual ion species. Models show that EMIC source regions overlap with regions of anisotropic ring current protons and plasmaspheric drainage plumes (Jordanova et al., 2001; Chen et al., 10). Simulated global images of proton precipitation match the temporal and spatial evolution of IMAGE observations of subauroral proton arcs, indicating that cyclotron resonant wave-particle interactions are a viable mechanism for their generation (Jordanova et al., 2007). Observationally, however, the dependence of EMIC waves on cold plasma density and/or density gradients is controversial.
50 keV to 1 MeV. DC-coupled electric and magnetic fields are defined by frequencies between ~10 Hz and 15 kHz for magnetic fields, and between ~10 Hz and ~500 kHz for electric fields.

The next section describes a mission implementation that is fully capable of making the required PILOT measurements and thereby addressing the PILOT science objectives and questions.

## 3 MISSION IMPLEMENTATION CONCEPT

### 3.1 Mission Overview

PILOT uses a constellation of 30 identical microsat spacecraft (RadioSats) and four smallsat spacecraft (PlasmaSats) in two near-equatorial, highly-elliptical orbits. The PILOT orbits are 1.52 $R_E \times 4.25 R_E$ and 1.10 $R_E \times 6.25 R_E$ (Figure 3). These orbits are chosen to optimize measurements of the most mass-dynamic regions of the inner magnetosphere, particularly near the plasmapause. Over the course of a three-year primary mission, PILOT measures near-equatorial plasma density with images constructed from radio tomography measurements, measures meridional plasma flows with EUV images, and makes embedded in-situ fields and particle measurements.

Radio tomography, active plasma sounding, in-situ magnetic field, and in-situ energetic electron flux measurements are made by 14 RadioSats in the inner orbit and 16 RadioSats in the outer orbit. The RadioSat instrument complement and the heritage of notional instruments is shown in Table 1. RadioSat measurements are complemented by PlasmaSat measurements of DC and AC electric and magnetic fields, as well as proton, ion, and electron distribution functions, as well as local total electron density. These measurements are made by four PlasmaSats, which are identical except that the two PlasmaSats in the outer orbit, PlasmaSatEUVs, also carry EUV cameras that image the spatial distribution and flow of He$^+$ and O$^+$ ions in the meridional plane. The PlasmaSat instrument complement and heritage of notional instruments is shown in Table 2.

The PILOT flight system design is driven primarily by the orbits required to achieve PILOT science. To maintain the radio tomographic mesh, the two orbits are required to have parallel lines of apsides and precess at the same rate for the full mission duration through all MLTs, and necessarily traverse a high-radiation environment. An orbit design that meets this co-precession requirement uses an inner orbit perigee of 1.52 $R_E$. The orbit selected precessed through all MLTs each 1.7 years, allowing 1.75 full precessions through MLT during the nominal 3 year mission. To dispose of the spacecraft on this orbit within 25 years, 40 m/s of delta-V is required to place the inner orbit spacecraft into a graveyard orbit. The outer spacecraft are deorbed into the Earth’s atmosphere, requiring 57 m/s of delta-V. The PILOT mission design includes ample propellant to accommodate collision avoidance maneuvers. Parts selection and shielding mass are driven by the requirement to withstand up to 125 krad-Si/year of radiation. The PILOT spacecraft are launched by two Falcon Heavy launch vehicles, one for each orbit, from Cape Canaveral, FL. It should be noted that near-future launch vehicles (for example, the SpaceX Starship), allow the deployment of all PILOT spacecraft in one launch at significantly reduced cost.

### 2.8 Science Objectives to Measurements

Figure 2 shows the flow from PILOT’s science goal to its science objectives to specific science questions, to targeted physical processes, and finally to required measurements. The required measurements are separated into those made by RadioSats and those made by PlasmaSats. A key maps each measurement number to the physical property being measured.

Cold particles are defined here as having thermal energy between ~0.1 and ~100 eV. Warm particles are defined from ~100 eV to ~50 keV, and energetic particles are those with thermal energy from ~100 keV to 1 MeV. DC-coupled electric and magnetic fields are defined as fields with fluctuation frequencies between quasi-static and ~20 Hz. AC-coupled fields are defined by frequencies between ~10 Hz and 15 kHz for magnetic fields, and between ~10 Hz and ~500 kHz for electric fields.

PILOT achieves closure on this science question by determining the degree to which the dynamic spatial distribution of cold plasma mass and magnetic field controls the expression (growth, damping) of plasma waves over a broad region of the inner magnetosphere.

A network of magnetic field measurements and rapidly refreshing total plasma density images are required to define the two-dimensional spatial distribution of plasma wave growth conditions near the magnetic equatorial plane. Embedded in this two-dimensional space, in-situ measurements of electron, proton, and heavy ion distribution functions, along with AC-coupled electric and magnetic fields, are needed to provide ground-truth point-sampling of energy transfer from particles to plasma waves as the surrounding density and magnetic field conditions that regulate wave properties vary in time and space.
PILOT’s mission architecture is resilient to risks associated with the loss of a spacecraft. For example, if up to four RadioSats fail before reaching the baseline mission duration, the remaining RadioSats can be re-positioned to meet the resolution requirement for tomographic imaging with a reduced duty cycle.

### 3.2 RadioSat

PILOT uses 30 RadioSats: 16 in the inner orbit and 14 in the outer orbit. An industry survey was conducted to determine the capability of current spacecraft providers to produce 30 RadioSats that meet PILOT science and environment requirements. Multiple providers were identified, and the PILOT mission concept adopts a representative RadioSat spacecraft design from this survey.

To facilitate the production of large-quantity RadioSat instruments, PILOT’s approach is to have instruments designed by universities or research institutes, but to have instrument manufacture, as well as integration and test completed by commercial companies.

#### 3.2.1 Instrument Payload Description

Each RadioSat carries three scientific instruments: Radio Tomography (RT), Fluxgate Magnetometer (FGM), and Energetic Electron Detector (EED).

The RT instrument consists of two subsystems: Radio Frequency (RF) and Active and Passive Plasma Sounder (APPS), both of which share the same dipole pair of axially-deployed 1.5 m stacer antennas (Figure 4) for transmitting and receiving signals. RF transmits dual frequency (50 and 150 MHz) radio signals from each RadioSat to all other RadioSats. The RF on each RadioSat receives these signals and measures their relative phase delay to determine the TEC along the line of sight between spacecraft (Ergun et al., 2000). Two-dimensional total plasma density images are derived from this network of TEC measurements via tomographic inversion. APPS is a relaxation sounder, similar to Cluster Whisper (Trotignon et al., 2003) and the relaxation sounding portion of MAVEN/LPW (Andersson et al., 2015), that measures in-situ electron density needed for radio
tomographic inversion. APPS stimulates the ambient plasma with a low-power electrostatic signal and measures the frequency of the resultant plasma-resonant waves, both actively and passively. The FGM is located at the end of a 2 m boom and measures the DC-coupled three-axis magnetic field. The network of FGMs measure the detailed terrestrial magnetic field configuration, as well as spatial variation in ULF wave properties. The EED is a compact solid state telescope that measures fluxes of trapped (~ 90° pitch angle) electrons in the 50 keV–3 MeV range. The science goal of the EED instruments is to resolve time variability of trapped electron fluxes at many locations simultaneously (e.g., observing a front of newly injected electrons pass over each spacecraft in sequence). Therefore, EED does not need to resolve pitch angle, and larger measurement errors compared to non-miniaturized solid-state particle instruments are acceptable for PILOT.

A discussion and demonstration of how RT RF measurements are used to produce images of plasma density for PILOT is presented in Supplementary Appendix S1.

3.2.2 Spacecraft
RadioSat spacecraft (Figure 4) are three-axis stabilized and carry an X-band high-gain antenna and S-band patch antenna. They contact an Amazon Web Services ground station at each perigee for data downlink and commanding. Their pointing knowledge is determined by combining data from six sun sensors with comparisons between FGM measurements and geomagnetic field models near perigee. Position knowledge is determined via GPS near perigee combined with orbit modeling. Reaction wheels are used for attitude control. RadioSats are deployed on two SpaceX Falcon Heavy launch vehicles, one for each orbit, with four RadioSats mounted per ESPA-Grande port. Once deployed from the launch vehicle, they use on-board propulsion to achieve spacing in mean anomaly to optimize radio tomography image resolution.

3.3 PlasmaSat
PILOT has four PlasmaSat spacecraft. The two on the outer orbit carry EUV imaging instruments (PlasmaSatEUVs), and the two on the inner orbit do not (PlasmaSats). The PlasmaSat design is driven by the need to spin for particle and fields measurements.

3.3.1 Instrument Payload Description
All four PlasmaSats have identical spacecraft busses, and carry identical copies of the Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) instrument suite, Energetic Particle Sensors (EPS), and the Plasmasphere Ion Mass Spectrometer (PIMS). The two outer-orbit PlasmaSatEUVs also carry an EUV Camera System (EUVCS).

The EMF suite measures in-situ electric and magnetic fields across a range of frequencies using several sensors. The Electric Field Instrument (EFI) measures electric fields from DC to ~500 kHz along two axes, as well as the spacecraft floating potential, using the double probe technique (Mozer, 2016). A fluxgate magnetometer (FGM) identical to the one flown on the RadioSats, measures the DC-coupled magnetic field vector, and a search coil magnetometer (SCM) measures the AC magnetic field vector. EMF includes identical APPS electronics to the RadioSats. The PlasmaSat APPS uses EFI booms to broadcast and receive relaxation sounding signals. EMF-suite instruments have extensive heritage on magnetospheric missions including the Van Allen Probes (Kletzing et al., 2013; Mauk et al., 2013; Wygant et al., 2013), THEMIS (Angelopoulos, 2008; Bonnell et al., 2008), and MMS (Burch et al., 2015; Torbert et al., 2016).

PIMS obtains in-situ distribution functions (flux versus energy, pitch angle, gyrophase angle) and derived moments (density, temperature) for three major ion species (H⁺, He⁺, and O⁺) and electrons. PIMS is a nearly identical copy of the Van Allen Probes HOPE instrument that comprises an electrostatic analyzer (ESA) with a time of flight (TOF) subsystem (Funsten et al., 2013). Measurement of full cold ion distributions (down to ~0 eV) is enabled by the Sensor-Panel Bias (SPB) system, which applies a voltage to the entire PIMS instrument and its adjacent spacecraft panel relative to the spacecraft chassis ground, allowing cold ions to reach PIMS while minimizing angular deflection.

EPS consists of five electron and proton magnetic spectrometers per PlasmaSat. Together, they measure the in-situ distribution functions of energetic electrons (~0.2 to ~1.5 MeV) and protons (~0.15 to ~8 MeV). Each spectrometer has a 20° x 10° field of view.
view, and five spectrometers are arranged on each PlasmaSat such that the 20° portion of their fields of view are symmetrically distributed along the 180° between PlasmaSat spin axes. This arrangement, combined with spacecraft rotation, enables a nearly 4π steradian coverage of the sky. The baseline for the PILOT EPS spectrometer is the REMS instrument on GTOSat (Blum et al., 2020). REMS is a miniaturized version of the MagEIS-Medium instrument on Van Allen Probes (Blake et al., 2013).

EUVCS comprises two imager instruments: EUV-He and EUV-O. EUVCs provides global images of EUV light scattered from He+ at 30.4 nm (EUV-He, two cameras) and O+/O++ at 83.4 nm (EUV-O, one camera). EUVCs images meridional ion distribution, orthogonal and complementary to the equatorial distribution obtained via radio tomography. The optical design shared by EUV-He and EUV-O is an evolution of the IMAGE EUV instrument (Sandel et al., 2000), with an expanded 40° field of view and improved 0.45° resolution (Davis et al., 2013; Goldstein et al., 2022) to image structures as small as 0.05 R_E.

A discussion of inversion and forward modeling algorithms for EUV image deconvolution is given in Supplementary Appendix S2.

3.3.2 Spacecraft

PlasmaSat and PlasmaSatEUV spacecraft (Figure 5) are identical except for the EUVCs instrument. The bus design is driven by instrument power, mass, and accommodation requirements, the radiation environment, and propellant needed for disposal delta-V. PlasmaSat spacecraft spin at ~5 RPM, and the spin axis is nearly orthogonal to the spacecraft orbital plane. Each PlasmaSat carries a high-gain omnidirectional X-band antenna and contacts Amazon Web Services ground station throughout the orbit to downlink data, and uplink commands once per day. Star trackers are used for attitude determination. Position knowledge is determined using single-way ranging. PlasmaSats are designed with avionics radiation tolerant to 100 krad, and use shielding to provide additional radiation mitigation.

4 CONCLUSION

There are currently large gaps in our understanding of the physical processes that transport mass into, through, and out of the magnetosphere. These processes are fundamental to our understanding of the role that planetary magnetic fields may play in atmospheric retention at terrestrial planets. A comprehensive picture of mass flow dynamics is also critical to understanding how the evolving spatial distribution of magnetospheric plasma mass regulates the behavior of key magnetospheric subsystems, and binds these subsystems together into a coherent whole.

These considerations motivate the primary science goal of PILOT: to determine the primary pathways of mass and energy flow through the coupled systems of the terrestrial magnetosphere. Three science objectives flow from this goal: 1) Identify and quantify the key processes that govern mass and energy exchange between the ionosphere and the magnetosphere, 2) Discover the pathways and processes governing cold plasma mass transport through and out of the inner magnetosphere, and 3) Determine how, where, and when cold plasma mass acts most efficiently to regulate coupling between magnetospheric regions and between plasma populations. To address these science objectives, the PILOT mission concept ‘follows the mass’ through the magnetosphere, combining simultaneous measurements of the spatial distribution of total plasma density in the near-equatorial plane, the ion density distribution in the meridional plane, and ground-truth in-situ measurements of particles and fields.

The PILOT mission implementation concept leverages technological innovations to construct a fully realizable mission from 34 spacecraft: 30 RadioSats that create a radio tomographic mesh for plasma density images, and 4 PlasmaSats carrying comprehensive in-situ payloads, with 2 of those 4 also carrying EUV imaging payloads. These 34 spacecraft are arrayed in two co-precessing orbits to provide high spatial and temporal coverage of a large swath of the inner magnetosphere.

The PILOT mission concept is fully capable of making the transformational measurements needed to close fundamental and persistent knowledge gaps about mass and energy flow through the magnetosphere of a magnetized terrestrial planet.
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