A Preliminary Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis of Embryonic Cells That Express *Brachyury* in the Amphioxus, *Branchiostoma japonicum*
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Among chordate taxa, the cephalochordates diverged earlier than urochordates and vertebrates; thus, they retain unique, primitive developmental features. In particular, the amphioxus notochord has muscle-like properties, a feature not seen in urochordates or vertebrates. Amphioxus contains two *Brachyury* genes, *Bra1* and *Bra2*. *Bra2* is reportedly expressed in the blastopore, notochord, somites, and tail bud, in contrast to a low level of *Bra1* expression only in notochord. To distinguish the expression profiles of the two *Brachyury* genes at the single-cell level, we carried out single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) analysis using the amphioxus, *Branchiostoma japonicum*. This scRNA-seq analysis classified *B. japonicum* embryonic cells into 15 clusters at developmental stages from midgastrula to early swimming larva. *Brachyury* was expressed in cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9. We first confirmed that cluster 8 comprises cells that form somites since this cluster specifically expresses four myogenic factor genes. Cluster 9 contains a larger number of cells with high levels of *Bra2* expression and a smaller number of cells with *Bra1* expression. Simultaneous expression in cluster 9 of tool-kit genes, including *FoxA*, *Goosecoid*, and *hedgehog*, showed that this cluster comprises cells that form the notochord. Expression of *Bra2*, but not *Bra1*, in cells of clusters 4 and 5 at the gastrula stage together with expression of *Wnt1* and *Caudal* indicates that clusters 4 and 5 comprise cells of the blastopore, which contiguously form the tail bud. In addition, *Hox1*, *Hox3*, and *Hox4* were highly expressed in *Bra2*-expressing clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 in a temporally coordinated manner, suggesting roles of anterior Hox genes in specification of mesodermal organs, including somites, notochord, and tail bud. This scRNA-seq analysis therefore highlights differences between the two *Brachyury* genes in relation to embryonic regions in which they are expressed and their levels
INTRODUCTION

The origin and evolution of chordates are two of the most intriguing evo-devo research subjects of metazoans (Holland et al., 2015; Lowe et al., 2015; Satoh, 2016; Gee, 2018). Among the three chordate taxa, cephalochordates, urochordates (tunicates), and vertebrates, cephalochordate chordophores were the first group to diverge (Bourlat et al., 2006; Delsuc et al., 2006; Putnam et al., 2008); thus, they exhibit unique features during embryogenesis, while sharing others with echinoderms and hemichordates, and still others with urochordates and vertebrates (Whittaker, 1997; Yu et al., 2007; Bertrand and Escriva, 2011; Holland et al., 2015).

In particular, the developmental mode and properties of the cephalochordate notochord are unique, compared with those of tunicates and vertebrates (Satoh et al., 2012). First, the cephalochordate notochord is formed at roughly the neurulation stage by “pouching-off” from the dorsal region of the archenteron, like somites, which are also pinched off from both the left and right sides of the archenteron (Conklin, 1932; Hirakow and Kajita, 1994; Figure 1). This contrasts with urochordate and vertebrate notochords, which are formed by convergent extensions of precursor cells that are bilaterally positioned in the early embryo (Munro and Odell, 2002). Second, the cephalochordate notochord has muscle-like properties and possesses myofibrils (Ruppert, 1997; Suzuki and Satoh, 2000; Urano et al., 2003). This also contrasts with urochordate and vertebrate notochords, which do not possess any muscle-like properties, except for the posterior part of the Ciona embryonic notochord (Cao et al., 2019). Vacuolation within notochord cells provides both stiffness and an increase in cell volume in ascidians and vertebrates (Jiang and Smith, 2007).

We are interested in a T-box family transcription factor gene, Brachyury, and its role in formation of the notochord during chordate evolution (Satoh et al., 2012; Satoh, 2016). In non-chordate deuterostomes, Brachyury is expressed in the blastopore and stomodeum of early embryos and functions in morphogenetic movement of archenteron invagination and mouth invagination (Tagawa et al., 1998; Gross and McClay, 2001). In chordates, Brachyury is expressed in the notochord, and functions in development of that chordate-specific organ. We consider the former primary and the latter secondary expression and function of Brachyury (Satoh et al., 2012). Thus, the acquisition of secondary expression and function of Brachyury is a foundational research subject to decipher the origin and evolution of chordates.

As mentioned above, the cephalochordate notochord is unique from an evo-devo perspective. In addition, in contrast to the single copy present in genomes of deuterostomes, Brachyury was tandemly duplicated into Bra1 and Bra2 in cephalochordate genomes (Holland et al., 1995; Inoue et al., 1997). These genes are expressed in the blastopore of gastrula, notochord, somites, and the tail bud of neurulae (Holland et al., 1995; Terazawa and Satoh, 1997; Figure 1). However, due to high levels of sequence similarity, previous whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) analyses failed to distinguish the expression profiles of Bra1 and Bra2. Recently, Yuan et al. (2020) tackled this question using WISH with probes from the divergent untranslated regions specific to each of the genes. They showed that the zygotic expression level of Bra2 is much higher than that of Bra1, and that Bra2 is highly expressed in the blastopore, tail bud, and notochord, while Bra1 is weakly transcribed only in the notochord. In addition, a heterogenic transplantation assay of cis-regulatory sequences of Bra1 and Bra2 into the Ciona embryonic system demonstrated that the 5′ upstream sequence of Bra2 contains higher enhancer activity in both the notochord and somites, compared to that of the 5′ upstream sequence of Bra1 in the notochord (Tominaga et al., 2018). These results suggest that both Bra1 and Bra2 are Brachyury orthologs and that Bra1 has diverged more. Nevertheless, many questions remain to be answered in order to understand evo-devo features of cephalochordate Brachyury and the notochord.

The recently developed technology of single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) constitutes a powerful tool to categorize genes expressed in constituent cells of embryos, tissues, or organs on a cell-by-cell basis (Cao et al., 2019; Sharma et al., 2019; Stuart and Satija, 2019; Foster et al., 2020). We employed scRNA-seq analyses of amphioxus embryos to clarify how expression levels of Bra1 and Bra2 differ in embryonic regions.

RESULTS

Clustering of Embryonic Cells

Branchiostoma japonicum embryos were cultured and collected for scRNA-seq analysis using the 10 × Genomics platform. We selected six embryonic stages from midgastrula to early swimming larva (Supplementary Table 1), on the basis of dynamic features of cell fate changes anticipated by previous studies (Bertrand and Escriva, 2011; Marletaz et al., 2018). Datasets from all six stages were integrated and clustered to identify cells with similar or different gene expression profiles across early development using Seurat (Butler et al., 2018; Stuart et al., 2019). In total, transcriptomes of 14,016 cells were included in this analysis. Upon integration of the datasets and visualization with UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection)
dimensionality reduction, we identified 15 major cell clusters, numbered 0 to 14, based on marker gene expression (Figure 2A and Supplementary Table 2). Identification of 15 clusters resulted from the resolution parameter, 0.5, a conservative setting, used in the clustering step of the analysis.

The present characterization of 15 embryonic-cell clusters encountered two problems. The first was the presence of fewer clusters in the midgastrula stage than in the late gastrula and later stages (Figure 2B). This might occur because midgastrula consists of only three germ layers, outer ectoderm, inner endoderm, and intermediate mesoderm, and specification/differentiation of embryonic cells may not have occurred for sufficient clustering of cells (Figure 2B). Since the gene encoding type 1 keratin, a marker gene for epidermis specification (Petillon et al., 2017), is specifically expressed in cluster 0, this cluster corresponds to ectoderm (red brown area in Figure 2B). As described below, clusters 1, 2, 3, 11, and 12 express FoxA and Goosecoid, markers for endoderm, so these clusters (Figure 2B) constitute endoderm. Brachyury is expressed in clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 (see below), indicating that they are mesoderm territory (Figure 2B). The number of clusters therefore increased as development proceeded (compare Figure 2B to Figures 2C,D). The second was the scarceness of cells that constitute each cluster at early swimming larva (Figure 2G). It may be that the available scRNA-seq reads were inadequate to cover a large number of constituent cells of larvae at the stage. However, data from the remaining four stages (late gastrula, early neurula, midneurula, and late neurula) contained enough RNA for further characterization of cell clusters that comprise early amphibious embryos.

A Cluster of Cells With Myogenic Factor Gene Expression: Cluster 8

Spatial expression profiles of developmentally relevant genes, especially for transcription factors and signaling molecules, have been examined extensively in amphioxus embryos by cDNA cloning and WMISH analysis (Holland et al., 1995; Schubert et al., 2001). Expression profiles of most tool-kit genes did not appear specific to certain embryonic regions, but spanned multiple regions, probably due to a low level of specification in amphioxus early embryonic cells. One example of specific spatial expression was seen among myogenic factor (MF) genes, which are expressed exclusively in the developing paraxial mesoderm or somites (Schubert et al., 2003; Aase-Remedios et al., 2020). Since somites are embryonic organs with expected Brachyury expression, and since MF genes are good candidates to examine the appropriateness of our clustering method of amphibious embryonic cells, we first explored cells of clusters that expressed MF genes.

The amphioxus MF gene was duplicated in a specific manner in this lineage, independently of the vertebrate MF gene duplication into four copies, MyoD, myogenin, Myf5, and MRF4 (Araki et al., 1996; Schubert et al., 2003; Tan et al., 2014). Recently, Aase-Remedios et al. (2020) reported five MF genes in Branchiostoma genomes. Here, we independently examined the number of MF genes in amphioxus. Surveying a newly assembled genome of B. floridae (Simakov et al., 2020), we found a cluster of five MF-related genes in scaffold NC_049997.1 of chromosome 19 (Figure 3Aa). They are MDF (gene model ID, LOC118406741), MyoD1 (AY154744; LOC118407021), an uncharacterized copy (LOC118407176, tentatively called MDF-candidate), MyoD2 (AY154745; LOC118406750), and a gene for myoblast determination protein (LOC118406791, tentatively called MDP) (Figure 3Aa). A survey of the Branchiostoma belcheri genome (Huang et al., 2014) showed an orthologous cluster with five genes in scaffold NW_017804132.1 (Figure 3Ab). MDF (AY066009; LOC109480333), MyoD1 (AB092415; LOC109480329), MDF-candidate (AY313170; LOC109480322), MyoD2 (AB092416; LOC109480315), and MDP (LOC109480330) (Figure 3Ab). Molecular phylogeny using ORTHOSCOPE (Inoue and Satoh, 2018) showed that (a) MDF and MyoD2 form a clade that is sister to MyoD1, and
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FIGURE 2 | UMAP plots of early developmental stages of Branchiostoma japonicum. (A) UMAP plots based on a combined dataset of all six stages. (B) Midgastrula, (C) late gastrula, (D) early neurula, (E) midneurula, (F) late neurula, and (G) early swimming larva after alignment. A total of 14,016 single cells are colored by cluster identity. Fifteen populations (clusters 0–14) are detected across the six time points. As discussed in the text, cluster 0 corresponds to ectoderm (red brown area) since a marker gene that encodes type 1 keratin is expressed in the cluster. Clusters 1, 2, 3, 11, and 12 correspond to endoderm (light green and brown area) since FoxA and Goosecoid, markers for endoderm, are expressed in these clusters. Clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 correspond to mesoderm (green and blue area), since Brachyury is expressed in these clusters. Clusters 6, 7, and 10 may be mesoderm, while clusters 13 and 14 remain to be characterized.

(b) MDF-candidate is an early branch of amphioxus MF genes and MDP (Supplementary Figure 1A). These results coincided well with those of Aase-Remedios et al. (2020); MDF, MyoD1, MDF-candidate, MyoD2, and MDP correspond to MRF2b, MRF1, MRF3, MRF2a, and MRF4 of Aase-Remedios et al. (2020), respectively (Figure 3Ab).

With the five amphioxus MF genes as probes, we carried out scRNA-seq analysis and results obtained are shown in UMPA plots (Supplementary Figure 2) and dot plots (Figure 4). We found that (a) of the five MF genes, a cell cluster expressing MDF (Supplementary Figure 2A), MyoD1 (Supplementary Figure 2B), MyoD2 (Supplementary Figure 2C), and MDP (Supplementary Figure 2D) was evident, but no clusters expressed MDF-candidate at a detectable level (data not shown); (b) all four MF genes were expressed in cells that comprise cluster 8 (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figure 2); (c) the
expression level of MDF and MDP was higher than those of MyoD1 and MyoD2 (Figures 4A,B); (d) expression of MDF and MDP became evident at the late gastrula stage and continued until the late neurula stage (Figures 4A,B); (e) expression of MyoD1 was detected at the early, mid, and late neurula stage (Figures 4A,B); and (f) expression of MyoD2 was detected at
FIGURE 4 | Transcriptome trajectories for 15 clusters comprising early stages of *Branchiostoma japonicum* embryos. Dot size represents the percentage of cells that express genes for transcription factors, signaling molecules, and structural proteins. Dot color shows the averaged level of expression. MG, midgastrula; LG, late gastrula; EN, early neurula; MN, midneurula; LN, late neurula; ESL, early swimming larva. (A) A summary figure. (B) Enlargement of clusters 8 and 9. (C) Enlargement of clusters 4 and 5.
the four earlier stages (Figures 4A,B). These results indicate that cells of cluster 8 comprise the developing somites, consistent with results of previous studies showing that amphioxus MF genes are expressed exclusively in embryonic cells that give rise to paraxial muscle or somites at gastrula and neurula stages (Schubert et al., 2003; Urano et al., 2003; Aase-Remedios et al., 2020). Based on their higher expression levels, MDF and MDP likely function in myogenesis of amphioxus embryos rather than Myod1 and MyoD2, although this should be further examined experimentally since Aase-Remedios et al. (2020) reported expression of all MF genes in Branchiostoma embryos. Nevertheless, the appropriateness of our clustering method was also supported by these results.

Cluster of Cells With Bra1 and Bra2 Expression: Cluster 9
As in the case of MF genes, we first examined localization of Bra1 and Bra2 in amphioxus genomes and their molecular phylogenetic relationships among deuterostome T-box family members for basic information following scRNA-seq analysis. Bra1 and Bra2 were present in scaffold NC_049987.1 of chromosome 9 in the B. floridae genome (Figure 3Ba) and in scaffold NW_017803491.1 in the B. belcheri genome (Figure 3Bb). They exhibit a head-to-head orientation, and there were no other T-box family members in this genomic region. Molecular phylogeny using ORTHOSCOPE demonstrated the presence of seven T-box subfamily members in lancelet genomes, TBX20, TBX15/18/22/1, TBX11/10, TBX4/5, TBX2/3, TBR1/TBX21, and Bra (Supplementary Figure 1B). Duplication into AmphibBra1 and AmphibBra2 appears to be an amphioxus-specific event (Inoue et al., 2017). A sister clade to Bra clade is Tbrain/Tbx21. Tbrain1 is apparently expressed in the dorsal region of invaginating archenteron in gastrulae, and then in the preoral-pit region of larvae (Satoh et al., 2002), suggesting overlapping expression that of Bra and Tbrain1 in gastrulae.

The present scRNA-seq analysis showed that Bra is expressed in cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 3). A small number of cells expressed Bra weakly in cluster 13 (Figure 4), and the property of this cluster should be characterized in future studies. Expression of Bra2 (Supplementary Figure 3B) was much higher than that of Bra1 (Supplementary Figure 3A) both at the level of transcription and in embryonic regions in which the gene is expressed (Figure 4). The occurrence of cells with Bra1 expression was detected in clusters 8 and 9 at late gastrula and in cluster 9 at early neurula and midneurula and became undetectable by the late neurula stage (Figure 4B). In addition, cluster 9 contained a larger number of cells with high Bra2 expression and a smaller number of cells with Bra1 expression (Figure 4B).

As shown in the previous section, cluster 8 pertains to the developing somites. This indicates that cluster 9 comprises cells of the developing notochord. Therefore, at the late gastrula stage, Bra1 is expressed in both the presumptive notochord and the presumptive paraxial muscle. These results basically coincide with those of Yuan et al. (2020), although they reported no detectable level of Bra1 expression at the gastrula stage and in somites (cluster 8) (Yuan et al., 2020).

Clusters 8 and 9 Express Muscle Structural Genes
As already mentioned, the cephalochordate notochord has muscle-like properties. Expression of muscle structural genes, including actin and myosin heavy chain, has been reported not only in somites, but also in the notochord (Suzuki and Satoh, 2000; Urano et al., 2003; Inoue and Satoh, 2018). We first examined whether cells of cluster 8 (somites) express muscle structural genes to confirm that this cluster comprises cells for the developing somites. Three genes examined for this purpose were cytoplasmic actin (LOC109483419) (Supplementary Figure 4A), paraxial muscle actin (LOC109481400) (Supplementary Figure 4B), and myosin heavy chain (MHC2) (LOC109470521) (Supplementary Figure 4C).

As expected, considerable cytoplasmic actin gene expression was detected in most cell clusters through the six stages (Figure 4). In contrast, the gene for paraxial muscle actin was detected in cluster 8 at midneurula and later stages (Figures 4A,B), and MHC2 gene was detected in cluster 8 at late neurula and early larva stages (Figures 4A,B). Therefore, the affiliation of cluster 8 with somites was confirmed by expression of paraxial muscle structural genes. Earlier expression of MDF and MDP than paraxial muscle structural genes (Figure 4B) suggests regulatory control of MF genes over transcription of structural genes.

The cephalochordate notochord has been reported to express muscle structural genes, some shared with somites while some others are specific to the notochord (Suzuki and Satoh, 2000; Urano et al., 2003; Inoue and Satoh, 2018). Although detailed identification and characterization of muscle structural genes in the developing cephalochordate notochord using scRNA-seq data are the subject of future research, here we examined four genes, gene for troponin I2 (TNNI2; LOC109469528) (Supplementary Figure 4D), for troponin T3 (TNNT3; LOC109481859) (Supplementary Figure 4E), for possible notochord-specific actin (not characterized yet) (LOC109482101) (Supplementary Figure 4F), and for cysteine- and glycine-rich protein 2 (CSRP2) (LOC109481702) (Supplementary Figure 4G).

CSRP2 encodes a group of LIM domain proteins, a cephalochordate homolog of which was reported to express in the developing notochord (Urano et al., 2003). High levels of troponin I2 and troponin T3 expression were detected in cluster 8 at late neurula and early swimming larva stages (Figure 4B). In addition, high troponin I2 expression was evident in cluster 9 at late neurula stages (Figure 4B). On the other hand, expression of the uncharacterized actin gene and CSRP2 was detected only in cells of cluster 9 at late neurula (Figure 4B). Therefore, this analysis suggests the unique properties of the cephalochordate notochord, in which genes for several muscle structural proteins are likely controlled by Brachyury, but not by MDF/MyoD, since MDF/MyoD is not expressed in cells of cluster 9.
**Characterization of Notochord Cluster 9 With Other Transcription Factor Genes**

Previous cDNA cloning studies of amphioxus genes followed by WMISH analysis demonstrated that various genes for transcription factors and signaling molecules are expressed in the embryonic region that gives rise to the notochord. We here examined scRNA-seq data of four genes, namely, *FoxAb* (LOC10948147) (Supplementary Figure 5A), *sonic hedgehog* (LOC109474428) (Supplementary Figure 5B), *goosecoid* (LOC109470978) (Supplementary Figure 5C), and *netrin* (LOC109462789) (Supplementary Figure 5D).

*FoxA* and *Brachury* function in a coordinated manner to form endomesoderm in deuterostome embryos (e.g., Imai et al., 2006; Oliveri et al., 2006). *Branchiostoma floridac* contains two *FoxA* genes, *FoxAa* and *FoxAb* (Yu et al., 2008). Shimeld (1997) reported that transcripts of *FoxAb* are detected in the blastopore, endoderm, and notochord in amphioxus neurulae. The present scRNA-seq analysis showed that cells with *FoxAb* expression became detectable as early as the midgastrula stage in cluster 9 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5A). In late gastrula, the high level of expression was found in clusters 1, 2, 3, 9, and 11 (Figure 4), and this expression remained in early, mid-, and late neurula (Figure 4). This indicates that cells of cluster 9 express *Bra2* and *FoxAb* simultaneously. Clusters 1, 2, 3, and 11 occupy a closely associated region of UMAP plots (Figure 2), and because in addition to *FoxAb*, an anterior endodermal marker of amphioxus embryos, *goosecoid* (Onai et al., 2015), is expressed in these clusters (Figure 4, see below), cells of clusters 1, 2, 3, and 11 were thought to comprise endoderm and its derivatives.

*Goosecoid* (*gsc*) encodes a homeodomain-containing transcription factor that is expressed in the vertebrate head organizer and that can induce a secondary axis when expressed ectopically. Neidert et al. (2008) and Onai et al. (2015) reported that the expression of *Amphioxus gsc* is initially localized during gastrulation to the endomesoderm layer of the dorsal lip of the blastopore. Then, *gsc* is expressed in the anterior and dorsal endoderm and in the presumptive notochord that underlies the presumptive central nervous system (Onai et al., 2015). This scRNA-seq analysis showed that *gsc* is expressed in cells of cluster 9 at midgastrula, late gastrula, and early neurula (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 5C), further supporting the notion that cluster 9 consists of cells for the developing notochord. *gsc* expression was also detected in clusters 1, 3, and 11, suggesting that these clusters are derived from endoderm (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 5C).

A single amphioxus hedgehog gene, *hh*, is expressed in the notochord and ventral neural tube, embryonic tissues that express Sonic-type genes in vertebrates (Shimeld, 1999; Ono et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2020). Our scRNA-seq analysis detected cells with *hh* expression in cluster 9 at late gastrula and later stages (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 5B), indicating that cluster 9 comprises cells that form the notochord. A high level of *hh* expression was detected in cluster 13 at early neurula and later stages (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5B). This suggests that cluster 13 contains cells of neural tube.

An amphioxus *netrin* gene is expressed in midline structures of embryos, including the notochord and floor plate (Shimeld, 2000). This scRNA-seq analysis confirmed that *netrin* is expressed in cells of notochord cluster 9 (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure 5D). In addition, *netrin* expression was detected in cells of clusters 6 and 13 at late gastrula and later stages (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5D). As mentioned above, cluster 13 likely involves cells of the nervous system, and *netrin* expression in cluster 13 supports this notion. Cluster 6 likely pertains to mesoderm, but characterization of this cluster will be investigated in future studies.

*Tbrain1* is sister to *Bra* in the amphioxus T-box family (Supplementary Figure 1B), and this gene is reportedly expressed in the dorsal region of invaginating archenteron in gastrulae (Satoh et al., 2002). This scRNA-seq analysis showed that *Tbrain1* expression occurs in cells of cluster 8 at late gastrula and in cells of cluster 9 at mid- and late gastrula (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5E). This indicates that cells of somite cluster 8 and notochord cluster 9 are derived from the dorsal region of the invaginating archenteron. This overlapping expression of *Tbrain1* with *Bra2* suggests some role of *Tbrain1* in the developing somite and notochord. A high level of *Tbrain1* expression was also detected in cells of clusters 1 and 11 at gastrula (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5E), providing additional support for an association of clusters 1 and 11 with endoderm.

In summary, this scRNA-seq survey of five transcription factor genes almost coincides with results of previous WMISH studies and suggests that cluster 9, with *Brachury* expression, comprises cells of the developing notochord. Therefore, these transcription factor genes are likely involved either directly or indirectly in the formation of the notochord in amphioxus embryos.

**Co-expression of Bra2 and MDF**

The four MF genes, including *MDF*, are specifically expressed in cells of cluster 8 (somite) (Figure 4), and *Bra2* is also expressed in cells of clusters 8 (Figure 4), showing that presumptive somite cells simultaneously express MF genes and *Brachury*. To examine co-expression of these genes at the single-cell level, we double-plotted cells with *MDF* expression and those with *Bra2* expression (Supplementary Figure 6). At late gastrula, co-expression was detected in most cells of cluster 8 (Supplementary Figure 6; LG). On the other hand, at early neurula, cells with only *MDF* expression appeared in the distal portion of the cluster (Supplementary Figure 6; EN). As embryos further developed to mid- and late neurula stage, the expression level of *Bra2* decreased (Figure 4B) and cluster 8 contained more cells with only *MDF* expression (Supplementary Figure 6; MN and LN). These results suggest that at the single-cell level, cells of cluster 8 utilize MF genes and *Brachury* for specification as presumptive somite cells at the gastrula stage, while later at the neurula stage they use only MF genes for specification.

**Characterization of Clusters With Bra2 Expression: Clusters 4 and 5**

As mentioned above, embryonic regions with *Bra2* expression were comparatively broad because this gene is highly expressed not only in notochord cluster 9 and somite cluster 8, but also in clusters 4 and 5 (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 3).
Cells of both clusters 4 and 5 began to express Bra2 at the midgastrula stage and high level of expression was evident at late gastrula, although expression decreased as development proceeded, becoming undetectable at the late neurula stage (Figure 4C). Since Brachyury is reportedly first expressed in the blastopore region of gastrulae, and then in the tail bud, it is highly likely that clusters 4 and 5 correspond to these regions. Two clusters with Bra2 expression suggest that the tail bud is composed of two regions with different properties. According to a review of amphioxus tool-kit genes involved in tail bud formation from the blastopore region (Holland, 2002), the tail bud is formed by combinatorial expression of Brachyury, several Wnt family members, Caudal, and Notch. Therefore, we examined whether cells of clusters 4 and 5 express Wnt, Caudal, and Notch.

A previous report identified eight members of the Wnt family in B. floridae: Wnt1, Wnt3, Wnt4, Wnt5, Wnt6, Wnt7, Wnt8, and Wnt11 (Schubert et al., 2001). Among these, Wnt8 was first expressed around the blastopore, followed by Wnt1 (Schubert et al., 2001). Since an improved assembly of the B. floridae genome has been published (Simakov et al., 2020), we reexamined Wnt members in the B. floridae genome and found 12 Wnt family members: Wnt1, Wnt2, Wnt3, Wnt4, Wnt5, Wnt6, Wnt7, Wnt8, Wnt9, Wnt10, Wnt11, and Wnt16 (Supplementary Figure 7). Our scRNA-seq analysis demonstrated distinct expression of Wnt1, Wnt4, and Wnt8 in B. japonicum embryos (Supplementary Figure 8), while Wnt3 and Wnt7 were expressed at low levels (Supplementary Figure 8). A high level of Wnt1 expression was found in cluster 5 at stages from late gastrula to midneurula (Figures 4A,C), and moderate Wnt8 expression in cluster 4 at stages from late gastrula to midneurula (Figures 4A,C). Wnt4 was expressed in clusters 4 and 5, but the expression level was lower than those of Wnt1 and Wnt8 (Figures 4A,C). These changes are consistent with previously reported expression patterns of Wnt genes (Holland et al., 2000; Schubert et al., 2000). Expression profiles of the three Wnt genes in the two clusters, therefore, are not identical. Cluster 5 contains cells with higher expression of Wnt1 and cluster 4 has higher expression of Wnt8 (Figures 4A,C), suggesting that the two clusters have different properties.

Amphioxus Caudal (CdX) was reportedly expressed in the posterior mesendoderm and neural plate of early neurula and in the posterior endoderm, the walls of the neurenteric canal, and the posterior part of the nerve cord in late neurula (Brooke et al., 1998). Expression was also found in embryonic regions including the tail bud, overlapping with that of Wnt3 (Schubert et al., 2001; Osborne et al., 2009). The present scRNA-seq analysis showed a high level of CdX expression in cells of cluster 5 at stages from late gastrula to early swimming larva, but not in cluster 4 (Figures 4A,C; Supplementary Figure 9A). Expression of Wnt3 was detected in cells of cluster 5 (Supplementary Figure 8C). These results suggest that cells of cluster 5 occupy the posterior region of the tail bud. In addition to clusters 4 and 5, CdX expression was detected in cells of cluster 0 at late gastrula and cluster 14 at late neurula (Figure 4). Future studies might explore CdX expression in these clusters.

On the other hand, Notch expression was very broad and found in cells of most clusters from 0 to 13 (Figure 4; Supplementary Figure 9B). High Notch expression was detected in clusters 5, 6, 7, and 13, but only a low level was seen in cluster 4 (Figure 4). In summary, the combinatorial expression of Brachyury, Caudal, Notch, and several Wnt genes in clusters 4 and 5 provides additional support for the conclusion that these cells comprise the tail bud in amphioxus embryos.

Clusters of Cells With Hox Gene Expression

In contrast to the typical cluster of 13 Hox genes in metazoan genomes (Garcia-Fernandez and Holland, 1994), amphioxus duplicated the posterior Hox genes to 15 genes (Minguillon et al., 2005; Supplementary Figure 10). Among them, Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 are expressed with spatiotemporal collinearity in the developing neural tube of B. floridae neurulae (Holland and Garcia-Fernandez, 1996; Wada et al., 1999; Schubert et al., 2004; Pascual-Anaya et al., 2012). Hox1 is expressed in the developing neural tube of the middle and posterior parts of neurulae, and Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 show segmental modulation of expression levels, a two-segment phasing of spatial collinearity. Although previous studies focused mainly on Hox expression in the developing central nervous system, their results also showed Hox expression in the developing mesoderm (somites) as well (Holland and Garcia-Fernandez, 1996; Wada et al., 1999). The present scRNA-seq analysis of Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 expression profiles demonstrated an additional spatio-expression profile of Hox genes, providing new insight to understand evo-devo mechanisms of cephalochordate body plan formation, especially embryonic regions with Brachyury expression (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 11).

First, in relation to temporal collinearity, initiation of high-level expression of Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 was detected at early neurula, midneurula, and late neurula, respectively (Figures 4B,C and Supplementary Figure 11). That is, this result confirmed the previous study of temporal collinearity of gene expression: the most-anterior Hox1 expressed first, followed by anterior Hox3, and then middle Hox4. Second, in relation to spatial expression, the present analysis showed that expression profiles of Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 very much resemble that of Bra2, although the timing of Bra2 expression was earlier than that of Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 (Figures 4B,C). Specifically, four major clusters with Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 expression included 4 (tail bud), 5 (tail bud), 8 (somite), and 9 (notochord), in which Bra2 was specifically expressed (Figure 4). For example, in cluster 5, Hox1 expression was first detected at late gastrula. It was highest at early neurula and decreased at midneurula, whereas Hox3 expression was first detected at early neurula, was highest at mid- and late neurula, and decreased at early swimming larva (Figure 4C). Hox4 expression was detected at late neurula and decreased at early swimming larva (Figure 4C). A similar profile of Hox expression was evident in clusters 4, 8, and 9 (Figures 4A–C). This suggests that a combined regulatory network in expression and function of Brachyury and Hox is involved in the formation of somites, notochord, and tail bud of amphioxus embryos.
**FIGURE 5** | UMAP plots showing co-expression of Wnt and Brachyury, and Hox and Brachyury in amphioxus embryos. (A) Wnt4 (red), (B) Bra2 (green), and (C) co-expression (yellow) of the two genes at late gastrula (LG) and early neurula (EN) stages. Co-expression is seen in cells of clusters 8, 5, and 9. (D) HoxA1 (red), (E) Bra2 (green), and (F) co-expression (yellow) of the two genes at and early neurula (EN) stage. Co-expression is seen in cells of clusters 8, 4, 5, and 9. (G) Hox3 (red), (H) Bra2 (green), and (I) co-expression (yellow) of the two genes at early neurula (EN) and midneurula (MN) stages. Co-expression is seen in cells of clusters 8, 4, 5, 9, and 10.

**Co-expression of Bra2 and Wnt or Hox**

As shown in previous sections, clusters 4, 5, 8 and 9, which have Bra2 expression, also expressed some Wnt and Hox genes. Therefore, we examined the grade of co-expression of these genes at the single-cell level by superimposing UMAP plots of the two genes (Figure 5). For example, Wnt4 was highly expressed in cluster 8 at late gastrula and early neurula, but not in cells of clusters 4, 5, and 9 (Figure 4). Cells of these four clusters...
were classified to those expressing Bra2. Superimposed UMAP plots of the two genes (Figure 5C) indicated co-expression of Wnt4 and Bra2 in cells of the blastopore, presumptive somite cells, and presumptive notochord cells at late gastrula and early neurula stages.

Compared to Wnt genes, Hox genes showed greater co-expression with Brachyury in early amphioxus embryos (Figures 5D–F,G–I). Hox1 showed higher expression in clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 at early neurula stage (Supplementary Figure 11A), while Bra2 was expressed in the same clusters at the same stage (Figure 4B). High, single-level co-expression of Hox1 and Bra2 was found in many cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 (Figures 5D–F). Therefore, cells of the blastopore, presumptive somite cells, and presumptive notochord cells at early neurula stages co-expressed Hox1 and Bra2.

Expression of Hox3 was found in clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 at neurula stages (Figure 4), and this spatial expression profile was similar to that of Bra2 (Figure 4). Co-expression of Hox3 and Bra2 was found in many cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 (Figures 5G–I). Therefore, in addition to Hox1, cells of the blastopore, presumptive somite cells, and presumptive notochord cells at early neurula stages co-expressed Hox3 and Bra2.

DISCUSSION

Gene Expression Profiles of scRNA-seq Analyses and Modes of Embryogenesis

scRNA-seq analyses of gene expression profiles have been reported in early embryonic cells of the sea urchin Strongylocentrotus (Foster et al., 2020), the ascidian Ciona (Sharman et al., 1999; Cao et al., 2019), zebrafish (Wagner et al., 2018), and Xenopus (Briggs et al., 2018). In both echinoderms and ascidians, the number of constituent cells is not very large, approximately 2,000–3,000 cells, even at early larval stages. In addition, embryonic cells are likely specified and differentiated into certain types of organs/tissues in restricted lineages. Accordingly, scRNA-seq analysis resulted in comparatively clear-cut clustering of embryonic cells (Sharman et al., 1999; Cao et al., 2019; Foster et al., 2020). In contrast, embryogenesis of zebrafish and Xenopus proceeds by a gradual specification pattern, first regionalizing into three germ layers, ectoderm, endoderm, and mesoderm, and later gradually differentiating to tissues and organs. The number of constituent cells exceeds 20,000 cells at the neurula stage. Therefore, the number of clusters classified by the scRNA-seq method is rather small at early stages, but gradually increases as embryogenesis proceeds (Briggs et al., 2018; Wagner et al., 2018). The mode of amphioxus embryogenesis resembles that of vertebrates (Conklin, 1932; Whittaker, 1997; Holland and Holland, 1998). Therefore, our present scRNA-seq analysis resulted in only 10 clusters at midgastrula stage. In addition, constituent cells of amphioxus embryos increase in number after gastrulation, as in the case of vertebrates. Therefore, the cell sample size examined in this study was depauperate, especially in later stages. We are planning to pursue further analyses to sample a sufficient number of B. japonicum embryonic cells in the near future. Nevertheless, this scRNA-seq analysis permitted us to survey cells with Brachyury expression.

Clustering of Amphioxus Embryonic Cells by scRNA-seq

Using a standard bioinformatic protocol for scRNA-seq analysis, we classified embryonic cells of B. japonicum into 15 clusters (0 to 14) (Figure 2). Based on results of previous studies that showed specific expression of myogenic factor genes in the developing paraxial mesoderm or somites, we determined that cluster 8 comprises cells that form somites (Figure 4). Then, we showed that Brachyury is expressed in cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 (Figure 4). Based on data of other genes, we concluded that cluster 9 belongs to the notochord, and clusters 4 and 5 comprise cells of the blastopore and the developing tail bud. These notions were substantiated by simultaneous expression of various transcription factor genes reportedly expressed in the blastopore and notochord (Figure 4). An overall view of clustering by UMAP plots indicates that clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9 have some shared affinity and that they originated from mesoderm (Figure 2).

On the other hand, although UMAP plots (Figure 2) and gene expression profiles (Figure 4) suggest that cluster 0 belongs to ectoderm; clusters 1, 2, 3, 11, and 12 belong to endoderm; and clusters 6, 7, and 10 belong to mesoderm, this study could not unambiguously characterize these clusters, which should be determined in further scRNA-seq analysis. Cluster 13 contains cells with a unique profile of gene expression including troponin I, troponin T, gsc, hh, neterin, and Notch (Figure 4). This cluster also occupies a discrete position in UMAP plots (Figure 2). Characterization of cluster 13 is therefore an interesting subject of future scRNA-seq analysis.

Development of Embryonic Cells With Brachyury Expression

Brachyury was duplicated in cephalochordate genomes, independently of Brachyury in other deuterostomes (Holland et al., 1995; Inoue et al., 2017). The present scRNA-seq study showed that Bra2 is expressed at higher levels and in broader embryonic regions than Bra1 (Figure 6). Since Bra2, but not Bra1, is expressed in cells of the blastopore (Yuan et al., 2020; this study) and since Brachyury expression in the blastopore or archenteron invagination region is a feature shared by most metazoans, Bra2 is the ancestral Brachyury and Bra1 is a duplicated copy, with more divergent properties, as proposed by previous studies (Tominaga et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2020). Bra2 was strongly expressed first in the archenteron invagination region and functions in gastrulation, then in invagination-like movements of cells of the upper archenteron to form the notochord and that of cells of bilateral sides of the archenteron to form somites, and contingent from the blastopore, in tail bud formation at the posterior-most region of amphioxus embryos. On the other hand, Bra1 likely retained the potential to form the notochord and somites, serving a supplementary role for Bra2 in the formation of these organs. These results suggest differences between the two genes in upregulatory
transcriptional control, downregulatory transcriptional control of target genes, and combinatorial transcriptional control of other transcription factor genes in the formation of the blastopore, notochord, somites, and tail bud, which should be examined in future studies.

From an evo-devo point of view, the cephalochordate notochord is unique in having muscle-like properties (Ruppert, 1997). Supporting the results of previous studies (Suzuki and Satoh, 2000; Inoue and Satoh, 2018), the present scRNA-seq analysis demonstrated expression of muscle-structural genes in cells of not only cluster 8 (somites), but also cluster 9 (notochord). In other words, during evolution of cephalochordates, Brachyury recruited muscle structural genes under its expressional control. Even more intriguing is the complexity of muscle structural genes. Many muscle structural genes are expressed in the developing somites, while some muscle structural genes are also expressed in the developing notochord, and some are expressed in both somites and notochord (Figure 4). Therefore, the muscle-like properties of the amphioxus notochord did not appear by simply copying somites. Future studies should explore molecular and cellular mechanisms involved in this unique muscle gene array of the amphioxus notochord.

The present study also revealed differences in the temporal specification of the notochord and somites. Notochord cluster 9 appeared at the midgastrula stage, suggesting that specification of cells that give rise to the notochord have already occurred (Figures 2, 4B). On the other hand, somite cluster 8 was not formed at the midgastrula stage and first appeared at the late gastrula stage (Figures 2, 4B). Although further studies are required to clarify this issue, these results suggest that the notochord specification occurs earlier than somite specification in amphioxus gastrulae.

Expression of Transcription Factor Genes in Cells With Brachyury Expression

Cephalochordates are a research target to understand the origin and evolution of chordates (Holland et al., 2015; Satoh, 2016; Gee, 2018). To date, a large number of evo-devo studies have cloned cDNA of genes for major transcription factors and signaling molecules and extensively examined their spatiotemporal expression profiles by WMISH (Holland et al., 1998; Jackman et al., 2000; Meulemans and Bronner-Fraser, 2002; Schubert et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). Many such genes show overlapping expression with other genes due to the gradual specification mode of amphioxus embryos. Because Bra2 is expressed broadly in the embryonic blastopore, somites, notochord, and tail bud, cells with Bra2 expression simultaneously expressed other transcription factor genes. Here, we discuss only simultaneous expression of Bra2 and Hox cluster genes, including Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 in cells of clusters 4, 5, 8, and 9. This was clearly shown in UMAP plot figures (Figure 5). The presence of cells with co-expression of Bra2 and Hox1, Hox3, and Hox4 has not been reported previously. Although details should be explored in future studies, the similarity of expression profiles in cells of clusters 4 (blastopore and tail bud), 5 (blastopore and tail bud),
8 (somites), and 9 (notochord) suggests coordinated roles of Bra2 and Hox in the development of these major organs during amphioxus body plan formation.

Contiguous with the blastopore, the tail bud contains cells with various properties, including cells of the nervous system, mesoderm, and endoderm. As reported in *Xenopus* (Gentsch et al., 2013), Bra2 expression in the nervous system might be involved in joint regulation of embryonic neuro-mesodermal bipotency in amphibian embryos as well. *Brachyury*, *Wnt*, genes, *caudal*, and *Notch* are expressed in the tail bud in a coordinated fashion (Holland, 2002). This scRNA-seq analysis showed simultaneous and preferential expression of *Brachyury*, multiple *Wnt* genes, and *caudal* in cells of the tail bud, while *Notch* expression was found in the tail bud, but was rather broadly seen in other embryonic regions as well (Figure 4). In addition, this scRNA-seq analysis indicates that clusters 4 and 5 contain cells with simultaneous expression of these genes, suggesting the presence of two different cell types in the developing tail bud. Although we could not distinguish different properties of cells in clusters 4 and 5 at present, this may be an important focus of future studies, because the tail bud is an evolutionary novelty that occurred in chordate embryos and is profoundly involved in the establishment of the chordate-specific body plan.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Branchiostoma japonicum*

*Branchiostoma japonicum* has been maintained from generation to generation for more than 10 years, first in an aquaculture system at Kumamoto University (Yasui et al., 2013) and then at Tateyama Marine Laboratory of Ochanomizu University in Japan. Adults with mature eggs or sperm were transferred to the Marine Genomics laboratory of Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University (OIST). Spawning was induced by controlling temperature and light. Naturally spawned eggs and sperm were mixed to achieve fertilization. All embryos used in this study resulted from mating of one female and one male. Embryos were cultured in Petri dishes filled with filtered natural seawater (pore size, 1 µm). We collected embryos and larvae at six different stages including midgastrula (G3 stage), late gastrula (G5 stage), early neurula (N0 stage), midneurula (N3 stage), late neurula (N5 stage), and early swimming larva (T1 stage). Staging was based on embryonic gross morphology, referring to descriptions of developmental stages of *B. floridae* (Whittaker, 1997) and *B. lanceolatum* (Carvalho et al., 2021).

**Embryonic Cell Dissociation and Single-Cell RNA Sequencing**

Embryos or larvae at appropriate stages were collected and washed with 1.2 M glycine solution three or four times. For prehatching stages, the fertilization membrane was removed. Then, embryos or larvae were pipetted in 1.2 M glycine solution on ice until cells were completely dissociated. Dissociated cells were counted using hemocytometers (C-chip, NanoEnTek DHC-N01) and diluted with 1.2 M glycine solution to reach an appropriate concentration for the scRNA-seq protocol. Single-cell encapsulation, cDNA synthesis, and library preparation were performed using Chromium Single-Cell 3′ Reagent Kit v3 Chemistry. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq. Sequencing reads of the six embryonic stages are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Except for midgastrula (350 million reads), more than 600 million reads were obtained for each stage (Supplementary Table 1).

**Clustering of Cells That Differed in Gene Expression**

Single-cell unique molecular identifier (UMI) counting (counting of unique barcodes given to individual transcript molecules) was performed using Cell Ranger Single-Cell Software Suite 4.0.0 from 10 × Genomics. We aligned reads to the *B. belcheri* assembly Haploidv18h27 (GCF_001625305.1) using CellRanger. CellRanger gene expression matrices were further analyzed using the R package Seurat v4.0.1 (Butler et al., 2018; Stuart et al., 2019; Hao et al., 2020).

Genomes of *B. floridae* (Putnam et al., 2008; Simakov et al., 2020) and *B. belcheri* (Huang et al., 2014) have been decoded, but the *B. japonicum* genome has not been sequenced yet. However, previously, *B. japonicum* was called *B. belcheri*, and taxonomically reclassified as a new species independent of *B. belcheri* approximately 15 years ago (Zhang et al., 2006). Many previous cDNA cloning studies using *B. belcheri*, especially those done by Japanese researchers, likely used this name. Therefore, we here used the *B. belcheri* gene models as references for RNA read annotation.

The number of RNA-seq reads and cells examined at each stage are shown in Supplementary Tables 1,2. Supplementary Table 2 also shows the number of cells examined in each of the 15 clusters. Between 500 and 2500 cells were included in downstream analysis. Individual datasets were normalized by scaling gene expression in each cell by total gene expression, followed by log transformation. The top 2000 highly variable genes across datasets were then used to integrate datasets. Individual time-point datasets were integrated (employing the Seurat v4 pipeline) to identify conserved cell populations across datasets. This technique involves pairwise comparisons of individual cells across multiple datasets followed by hierarchical clustering. UMAP is a dimensional reduction technique. Projection and clustering analysis for visualization of integrated data was conducted using 36 parameter dimensions and a resolution of 0.5 (Stuart et al., 2019). Thirty-six dimensions (i.e., inclusion of 36 principal components) were used in consideration of principal component heatmaps, which show sources of heterogeneity in a dataset, and the ElbowPlot function, which depicts the number of principal components that include variance present in the data. The dataset was also visualized at a resolution of 3 to provide an example of how additional cell states may be revealed, including subtypes of states seen and identified at a resolution 0.5. No one resolution setting is optimal for all clusters, but these disparate settings are intended to assist the reader in data interpretation and identification of candidate genes. The resolution parameter of the FindClusters function can be modulated to show more or fewer clusters and a series of
different resolutions can be tested before choosing a value that is appropriate for the biological context of an experiment.

**Molecular Phylogeny Analysis**

Phylogenetic relationships of family member genes for MFs, T-box, Wnt, and Hox in amphioxus genomes were examined using ORTHOSCOPE (Inoue and Satoh, 2018)\(^1\). In short, BLAST hit sequences were screened using an E-value cutoff of $< 10^{-3}$ and the top five BLAST hits were selected for subsequent analyses. Phylogenetic trees were estimated using the NJ method with the first and second codon positions. To evaluate robustness of internal branches, 100 bootstrap replications were calculated for each dataset.
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