Impact of PReOperative Midazolam on OuTcome of Elderly patients (I-PROMOTE): study protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial
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Abstract
Introduction Premedication with benzodiazepines in surgical patients became questionable regarding risk-benefit ratio and lack of evidence. Though, preoperative benzodiazepines might alleviate preoperative anxiety, a higher risk for adverse events is described, particularly for elderly patients (≥65 years). Several German hospitals already withhold benzodiazepine premedication from elderly patients, though evidence is lacking. The patient-centred outcome global postoperative patient satisfaction is recognized as a substantial quality indicator of the anaesthesia care incorporated by the American Society of Anesthesiologists. Therefore, we aim to assess, whether the postoperative patient satisfaction after premedication with placebo compared to the preoperative administration of 3.75 mg midazolam in elderly patients differs. Methods This study is a multicentre, randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, two-arm parallel, interventional trial, conducted in 9 German hospitals. In total 614 patients (≥65-80 years of age) undergoing elective surgery with general anaesthesia will be randomised to receive either 3.75 mg midazolam or placebo. The primary outcome (global patient satisfaction) will be assessed with the validated EVAN-G questionnaire on the first postoperative day. Secondary outcomes will be assessed until the first postoperative day and 30 days after surgery, respectively. They comprise among others: functional and cognitive recovery, postoperative delirium, health-related quality of life assessment, and mortality or new-onset of serious cardiac or pulmonary complications, acute stroke, or acute kidney injury. Analysis will adhere to the intention-to-treat principle. The primary outcome will be analysed with the use of mixed linear model including treatment effect and study centre as factors and random effects for blocks. Exploratory adjusted and subgroup analyses of the primary and secondary outcomes with regard to gender effects, frailty, pre-operative anxiety level, patient demographics and surgery experience will be performed in addition. Discussion This, to the best of our knowledge, is the first study analyzing patient satisfaction after premedication with midazolam in elderly patients. In conclusion, this study will provide high quality data for decision-making process regarding premedication in the elderly surgical patients.

Background
Preoperative benzodiazepines are frequently applied to relieve the patients' preoperative anxiety and to enhance the patients' satisfaction not just in Germany. The causes for preoperative anxiety are multi-factorial and have individually different influence on the perioperative outcome [1]. Cognitive and behavioural changes, physiological reactions, different requirement for anaesthetic drugs and perception of pain, mood swings, wound-healing problems and alteration of the immune system were reported [2]. A generalized anxiety disorder was also significantly associated with major adverse cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery [3].

Postoperative patient satisfaction as a patient-centred outcome is recognized as a key quality indicator of anaesthesia care incorporated by the American Society of Anesthesiologists [4]. Anxiety is one of the multiple factors influencing patient satisfaction [5, 6], but not explicitly announced as a quality indicator. Provision of comprehensive preoperative information and patient involvement in the decision-making process are some important strategies for preoperative reduction of anxiety levels [6]. The effect of benzodiazepines to reduce preoperative anxiety remains controversial [7]. Therefore, the indiscriminate necessity of benzodiazepine premedication is questionable in regard to the risk-benefit assessment. Recent data in younger patients showed that there is an urge to reconsider the purpose of midazolam premedication [7]. Dose-dependent sedation up to respiratory depression and decreased blood pressure are possible [7]. Further, paradox reactions and the antegrade amnesia are experienced as unpleasant by some patients [8, 9]. Also, incidence of pneumonia with an increased mortality was associated with the intake of benzodiazepines [10–12].

Postoperative delirium (POD) in elderly patients (> 65 years) is a serious complication with frequently lethal consequences. Overall 13-50% of the non-cardiac surgical patients experience POD [13]. The reasons are multifactorial [14], but 30-40% of the POD cases could be avoided by preventive measures. These include the avoidance of benzodiazepines, as they potentially enhance and prolong a POD and cognitive dysfunction [13, 15]. In contrast, preoperative anxiety in elderly patients (> 65 years) is not associated with an increased risk for POD [16]. A non-pharmacological treatment of preoperative sleeping disorders and anxiety is recommended in these patients [13]. This is underlined
in the American Geriatrics Society guideline for POD in elderly patients, which advises to avoid delirium-causing drugs including benzodiazepines [17].

A recently conducted randomised, placebo-controlled study in France included 1062 elective surgical patients <70 years (mean 50 years) and showed no difference in regard to the patient satisfaction among three groups (2.5 mg lorazepam, placebo and no-premedication) [18]. The time until extubation and the early postoperative recovery were significantly prolonged respectively worse in the lorazepam group than in the control- or placebo-group. Only 24 % of the patients showed an increased preoperative anxiety level and the subgroup analysis of these patients did not reveal a difference in regard to the overall patient satisfaction. Of note, this study has analysed premedication with lorazepam and has excluded patients >70 years.

Currently, in Germany, preoperative application of benzodiazepines in elderly patients is an important subject of controversial discussions. On one hand, several hospitals in Germany already withhold benzodiazepine premedication from elderly patients, and on the other hand hospitals provide indiscriminate premedication with midazolam in all surgical patients <80 years, notwithstanding the insufficient evidence [19]. Thus, a large randomised controlled trial (RCT) is indicated, to assess the effect of premedication with midazolam on patients' satisfaction in elderly patients.

Aims and objectives

We aim to analyse the self-reported experience of elderly patients after preoperative premedication. Our primary objective is to evaluate, whether the global patient satisfaction on the first postoperative day is different in elderly patients with preoperative administration of placebo compared to midazolam (3.75 mg). Our secondary objectives are to evaluate whether other perioperative outcomes (such as e.g. POD, functional and cognitive recovery, health-related quality of life and longer-term serious outcomes within 30 postoperative days) differ and depend on pre-existing patient characteristics (such as e.g. preoperative anxiety or frailty). I-PROMOTE will be the first multicentre RCT analysing patient satisfaction in elderly surgical patients and will provide high quality data for decision-making process regarding premedication in these patients.
Trial design

This is a protocol for a multicentre, double-blinded, randomised, two-arm parallel group, placebo-controlled, interventional clinical study. The randomisation is performed as a block randomisation, stratified by centre with 1:1 allocation.

We report our protocol in accordance with the Standard Protocol Items Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) (Additional file 1) [20] and the Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) to guide the reporting of components of our intervention (Additional file 2) [21].

Methods
Participants, interventions and outcomes

Study setting

This multicentre RCT is conducted in nine German hospitals, which are listed on ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03052660. The site selection included university hospitals of tertiary care as well as hospitals of secondary care, in order to generate more generalizable results.

Study duration

Duration of subject participation is 31 days (from anaesthesia-induction until the 30th postoperative day).

Study duration in total is expected to comprise about 24 months including evaluation and manuscript drafting. The recruitment period is expected to last 18 months, followed by a follow-up period of 1 month and 6 months for data cleaning, processing, analysis and reporting. Patient recruitment has started in October 2017. The study will be terminated after inclusion of the planned sample size of patients.

Eligibility criteria for study sites
The study sites were recruited within members of the Scientific Committee Neuroanaesthesia of the German Society of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine (DGAI).

Eligibility criteria for participants

Subjects, fulfilling all of the following inclusion criteria are suitable for participation in the study:

1. Only legally competent patients

2. Written informed consent prior to study participation

3. 65-80 years, both genders

4. Elective surgery

5. Expected surgery duration ≥ 30 minutes

6. Planned general or combined regional and general anaesthesia

7. Planned extubation at the end of surgery

 Subjects, fulfilling one or more of the following exclusion criteria will not be included in the study:

1. Age > 80 years

2. Age < 65 years

3. Non-fluency in German language

4. Alcohol and/ or drug abuse

5. Chronic benzodiazepine treatment
6. Intracranial surgery

7. Local and stand by anaesthesia or solely regional anaesthesia

8. Monitored anaesthesia care

9. Cardiac surgery

10. Ambulatory surgery

11. Repeated surgery

12. Contraindications for benzodiazepine application (e.g. sleep apnoea syndrome, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, allergy)

13. Allergy against any component of the Placebo (lactose monohydrate, cellulose powder, magnesium stearate, microcrystalline cellulose) or investigational drug (midazolam, lactose) or the capsules (gelatine, E171 titanium dioxide, E132 indigotine).

14. Expected benzodiazepine requirement after surgery

15. Expected continuous mandatory ventilation after surgery

16. Patients who explicitly request anxiolytic premedication

17. Patients with severe neurological or psychiatric disorders

18. Refusal of study participation by the patient

19. Parallel participation in interventional clinical studies within the previous 30 days

Recruitment
Patients will be recruited consecutively during the preoperative anaesthesia consultation in the clinical routine by an investigator, with the support of the attending anaesthetists. Each participating centre will recruit as many patients as possible. The time-point of informed consent will be documented, to enable verification of the patient recruitment and randomisation sequence, in order to prevent selection bias. All screened patients (including the screening failures and enrolled patients) will be documented in a screening/enrolment log.

Strategies to enhance recruitment rates will include newsletters and telephone calls on a regular basis. Furthermore, the publication policy will further motivate the participating centres, as the authorship will depend on the number of enrolled and completely documented patients.

Allocation

To guarantee adequate sequence generation and allocation concealment, randomisation will be carried out computer-based by the Department of Medical Informatics RWTH Aachen University Hospital. A randomisation stratified by study centre will be implemented. Sequences will be generated using a 1:1 ratio of the treatment arms and a permuted bock randomisation. The block sizes will be concealed from the investigators. A unique randomisation number will be assigned to each randomised patient. Allocation sequence list will be provided only to the pharmacy directly by the biostatistician. The Department of Pharmacy, University Medical Center Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Germany will provide sealed, opaque containers containing the assigned treatment to each centre. These containers will be labelled with the ascending unique randomisation number. For emergency un-blinding, all centres will receive opaque, sealed emergency envelopes including the information about the assigned treatment by the Pharmacy.

The investigators are obliged to take the next consecutive container with the ascending randomisation number at visit 1, see below and Fig. 1. The container will be handed out to the independent nurse, who is responsible for the patient (see description of intervention below).
Intervention

Patients, meeting all inclusion criteria and none of the exclusion criteria, will be randomly assigned to receive an oral premedication with either 3.75 mg midazolam or placebo. Premedication will be administered once, 30-45 minutes before the estimated surgery time-point, as recommended in the summary of product characteristics for midazolam and usually performed in the participating sites. The investigational products are encapsulated and packed into single small, opaque, sealed and relabeled containers by the Department of Pharmacy, University Medical Center Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Germany according to the MHRA (Medicines wand Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency). Study investigators will have to take the next consecutive container and note the patient identification number on a prescribed space on its label. Thereafter, he/she will hand out the respective container to an independent nurse, who is responsible for the patient but not involved in the study. The principal investigator (PI) will inform the complete ward staff of the different units in the hospital about the performance of this study before initiation of the study. The responsible nurse will be informed in addition each time, when a patient is enrolled. The nurse will be advised to hand out the respective container to the patient face to face, as usually done in the clinical routine. The only difference is that the container contains a capsule and in the clinical routine the patients would receive a tablet. A specific training for this procedure is not necessary. The patients have to take the medication with a small sip of water. The location of intervention intake will be either the standard care ward of the patient or the patient preparation room, depending on the standard operating procedure (SOP) of the respective participating site.

Interventions-adherence

Intervention adherence will be assessed by storage of the empty container for each patient by the respective nurse. The monitoring team will check the entered patient-identification number and the randomisation number on the container and crosscheck it with the enrollment sequence.
Interventions-modifications

In accordance with the requirement of our Ethics Committee, patients with apparent or verbally expressed anxiety might receive additional midazolam intravenously (i.v.), when entering the surgery area, according to the clinical routine (study- and group-independent). This midazolam will be applied carefully titrated (á 0.5 mg) i.v., by the attending anaesthetist under monitoring of the patients' vital data, according to the SOP of the respective department. Additional i.v. "Rescue" midazolam will be noted in the patient’s file. These patients will be retained in the study and followed-up to prevent missing data, according to the intention-to treat (ITT) principle. Of note, the preoperative anxiety level, which is measured at operating room admission, will be recorded before administration of this "Rescue" midazolam.

Interventions-concomitant care

After patient inclusion, the entire ward-staff will be informed and it will be noted in the patient files that the patient should not receive any benzodiazepine in the clinical routine, if not indispensable until the surgery. Other medications may be provided as usual in the routine care. Anaesthetic and surgical management will be performed according to the clinical routine, without any study-specific restrictions.

Outcomes

Primary outcome measure

Global patient satisfaction will be evaluated with the self-report EVAN-G questionnaire [22] on the first postoperative day, at visit 4 (see Fig. 1). The EVAN-G is a validated questionnaire, comprising 26 items within six dimensions (attention, information, privacy, pain, discomfort and information), which is used to assess the perioperative patient satisfaction within the first 48h after surgery.

Secondary outcome measures
· Assessment of preoperative frailty within our patient population and adjusted subgroup analysis of the primary outcome depending on the patient’s frailty. Frailty assessment will be performed according to Oresanya et al. [23]. This includes in addition to the assessment of the medical history and laboratory values, the history of falls, the Mini-Cog test [24] and the timed “Up & Go” test [25].

· Analysis of the relationship of preoperative frailty and the other assessed postoperative outcomes

· Assessment of the impact of premedication on the patients’ functional and cognitive recovery (difference in proportion of patients). Functional ability will be assessed by the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) scale [26] (recovery is defined as change between baseline and day 30 after surgery). Cognitive status will be assessed by the short blessed test (SBT) [27] (recovery is defined as change between baseline and day 1 and day 30 after surgery). The SBT was chosen for the cognitive assessment, as it can also be applied by phone on postoperative day 30.

· Assessment of the impact of premedication on POD (difference in proportion of patients). Delirium will be assessed by the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) [28] or the CAM-ICU for patients on the intensive care unit [29]. Delirium will be assessed baseline, and on the first postoperative day.

· Assessment of the impact of premedication on the perioperative condition of well-being, pain and sleeping. These outcomes will be assessed by the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS, values 0-100, with 100 corresponding to best well-being, worst pain and best sleeping). These data will be assessed at baseline, in the operating room, 0.5-1.5 hours after surgery, and on the first postoperative day.

· Assessment of the impact of premedication on the patient cooperation directly preoperatively. Patient cooperation will be rated by the attending anaesthetist (via VAS, with 100 corresponding to the best cooperation).

· Assessment of the impact of premedication on the patients’ anxiety at arrival in the operating room (rated via VAS by the patient, with 100 corresponding to the strongest anxiety). A cut-off value of 72
mm will indicate high anxiety [30].

- Assessment of the difference in the proportion of patients with rescue midazolam application before surgery.

- Assessment of the difference in the proportion of patients with adverse vital data values upon arrival into the operating room, after extubation and 0.5-1.5 hours later.

- Assessment of the difference in time to extubation depending on the premedication. The attending anaesthetist will measure this time from cessation of the anaesthesia until extubation.

- Assessment of the difference between the groups regarding the change of the Health-related quality of life from baseline until postoperative day 30. This outcome will be measured by the EQ-5D-5L [31].

- Difference between the two groups in the proportion of the longer-term outcomes mortality or the new-onset of serious cardiac or pulmonary complications, acute stroke, or acute kidney injury within 30 postoperative days. Outcomes will be defined according to the following definitions:

1. **Serious cardiac complication** [Cardiac arrest]: The absence of cardiac rhythm or presence of pulseless electrical activity requiring the initiation of CPR, which includes chest compressions. **Myocardial infarction**: Electrocardiography changes, new elevation in troponin, or physician diagnosis. Signs of myocardial infarction in the autopsy.

2. **Serious pulmonary complication** [Pneumonia]: Clinical or radiological diagnosis. **Pulmonary embolism**: Radiological diagnosis. Signs of pneumonia or pulmonary embolism in the autopsy.

3. **Acute Stroke**: Defined as a new focal or generalised neurological deficit of >24h duration in motor, sensory, or coordination functions with compatible brain imaging and confirmed by a neurologist. Transient ischemic attack is not considered as acute stroke. Signs of stroke in the autopsy.
4. **Acute kidney injury** Defined according to the AKIN classification [32] as AKI stage ≥2. This means increase of creatinine >2-3x from baseline within the hospital stay. Or urine output less than 0.5 ml kg-1 per hour for more than 12 hours. Or signs of acute kidney injury in the autopsy.

After hospital discharge, events will only be defined as present if they led to hospital re-admission or death.

- Adjusted subgroup analysis of the primary outcome depending on the preoperative baseline anxiety level, the patient demographics and surgery experience of the patients and gender effects. Baseline anxiety will be assessed preoperatively by the self-reported German version of the Amsterdam Preoperative Anxiety and Information Scale (APAIS) questionnaire [33]. Patients with a cut-off value of 12 will be considered as anxious, as proposed by Berth et al. [33].

- Difference between the two groups in the proportion of adverse events (AEs) and serious adverse events (SAEs) according to the medical charts until postoperative day 30.

- Assessment of the proportion of patients with amnesia on the first postoperative day.

- Assessment of the impact of premedication on the hospital length of stay (LOS) and intensive care unit (ICU)-LOS. Difference of the durations between the two study groups.

**Participant timeline**

The time schedule of enrolment, interventions, assessments, and visits for participants is presented in Fig. 1.

**Visit 0 (Baseline Visit)**

After study-specific patient information and written informed consent, the investigator will perform a
baseline visit, which includes the assessment of the patient demographics, medical history and the most recent preoperative routine laboratory values (only if done in the clinical routine). Furthermore, the study-specific baseline testing (anxiety, cognitive and functional assessment, health-related quality of life assessment, pain, sleeping and well-being) and frailty assessment will be performed. The patient will receive the next consecutive randomisation number.

Visit 1 (Surgery day, preoperative)

Eligible and enrolled patients will receive 30-45 minutes before surgery the assigned container including the allocated treatment (relabelled concealed capsule including midazolam or placebo).

Visit 2 (Surgery day, intraoperative)

Patient cooperation and anxiety will be evaluated at patient admission into the operating room via VAS scale. Anaesthesia will be conducted according to the clinical routine, these includes also the kind of anaesthesia. Intraoperative surgery- and anaesthesia-related data will be assessed. An additional application of benzodiazepines is not desired, but left to the discretion of the attending anaesthetist, who will be blinded to the allocation treatment. The attending anaesthetist will measure the time until extubation or removing of the airway device after cessation of the anaesthetic agent (inhalative or intravenous), respectively. Pain and well-being will be asked after surgery at operating room departure via VAS scale.

Visit 3 (Surgery day, postoperative)

The patient will undergo further study-specific assessments in the post-anaesthesia care unit or ICU. Postoperative analgesia will also be assessed until Visit 3.

Visit 4 (First postoperative day)

A follow-up visit with study-specific assessments will be performed on the ward or ICU.
Visit 5 (30th postoperative day)

A follow-up visit with study-specific assessments will be performed via telephone or visit on ward, if the patient is still in hospital. The hospital LOS and ICU-LOS data will be collected from the hospital database.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated based on detecting a minimum of 5 unit difference in the primary outcome variable overall patient satisfaction measured with the EVAN-G. Assumptions regarding the standard deviation of EVAN-G in the population was based on previous work [22]. Setting a type 1 error of 0.05, a power of 0.8 and assuming the standard deviation of EVAN-G to be 14 units, 248 patients per group are needed to detect a 5 unit difference.

Considering a drop-out rate of 10% and a screening failure of 10%, we decided to include 614 patients in total (3.75 mg midazolam n=307 and placebo n=307).

Blinding

This study is planned in a double-blinded manner. The investigator, the intraoperative attending anaesthetist and the patient will not be aware of the treatment allocation in all cases, as the medication will be encapsulated and provided by an independent nurse.

Un-blinding procedures

In the event of medical emergency, which requires identification of an individual patient’s treatment, the investigators are permitted to open the respective emergency envelope. A justification has to be documented in the patient’s medical record and in the case report form (CRF). Un-blinding is not
necessary in case of additional preoperative midazolam treatment under controlled conditions in the clinical routine (see Interventions-modifications).

Data collection methods/ data management

First, all collected patient data during this clinical study will be entered and/or filed in the respective patient CRF. The patient's study participation must be documented appropriately in the patient CRF with study number, subject number, date of subject information and informed consent, and date of each visit. Source data should be filed according to the Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines. The sponsor's data manager will be responsible for data processing, according to the sponsor's SOPs. Database lock will occur only after quality assurance procedures have been completed.

Second, the investigators will transcribe all information required by the protocol into a web-based electronic data collection system OpenClinica (https://www.openclinica.com) electronic case report form (eCRF). The eCRF will be developed by the data manager for the study. Detailed information on the eCRF completion will be provided during the site initiation visits, by an eCRF completion manual and by provision of an e-learning tool. The access to the e-learning tool and to the eCRF will be password controlled. Plausibility checks will be performed according to a data validation plan. Inconsistencies in the data will be queried to the investigators via the electronic data collection system; answers to queries or changes of the data will directly be documented in the system. Plausibility checks will be performed to ensure correctness and completeness of these data. By signing the CRF (eCRF/ eSignature), the investigator confirms that all investigations have been completed and conducted in compliance with the clinical study protocol, and that reliable and complete data have been entered into the eCRF.

Quality control

Standardisation procedures will be implemented to ensure accurate, consistent, complete, and reliable data, including methods to ensure standardisation among sites (e.g., training, newsletters,
investigator meetings, monitoring, centralised evaluations, and validation methods). To prepare the investigators and to standardise performance, training will be held during the study initiation visit for each centre before study start. Manuals for standardised conduction of interviews will be provided to the investigators.

The PI of each centre will ensure adequate qualification and information about the study of all sub-investigators and the assisting study personnel. The PI will maintain a study staff authorisation log, with listed responsibilities of each person.

Record keeping

Essential documents, which comprise among others: study subject files, the subject identification code list and signed informed consent forms, should be archived for at least 10 years. The PI should take measures to prevent accidental or premature destruction of these documents.

Retention

After inclusion and randomisation of the patient, the study site will make every reasonable effort to follow the patient for the entire study period. We do not expect a high loss to follow-up for the primary outcome on the first postoperative day. To enhance the participant retention for the 30 days follow-up, the investigators will schedule an appointment for the telephone call and verify the correctness of the phone number before patient-discharge from hospital. Appointment reminders will be set in electronic calendars.

Patients may withdraw at any time from this study in whole or in parts. Investigators will have to ask the patient, if the patient is willing to continue his participation for further follow-up assessments.

Statistical methods—outcomes

Primary analysis of the study outcome will be performed according to the ITT principle. The ITT
analysis will also include the patients, who have received additional "Rescue" i.v. midazolam preoperatively, on behalf of the attending anaesthetist during the clinical routine. The exact pre-specification of the full analysis set will be performed based on a blinded data review. According to ICH-E9 guideline patients who received no treatment can be excluded, if the decision to treat or not to treat is not influenced by the knowledge of the assigned treatment. All reasonable efforts will be made to evaluate the primary endpoint in all study subjects regardless of adherence to the study protocol. A per protocol (PP) data set will be defined for secondary analyses, composed of all randomized patients who have no major protocol deviations throughout their whole study period. Safety variables will be analysed on a data set comprising all study subjects who have received study medication. Descriptive analysis of all study data will be performed for both treatment arms. Frequencies for categorical variables and means, standard deviations and selected quantiles for quantitative variables, as well as frequencies of missing data will be tabulated. Distributions of variables will be graphically examined using appropriate visualization tools.

The primary, confirmatory analysis will be performed on the EVAN-G Global Index measure using linear mixed-effects model including treatment effect, study centre and blocks, but no interaction terms. The treatment effect will be tested against a null hypothesis of no effect using an F-test, and 95% confidence intervals for the treatment effect estimate will be calculated. Secondary analyses will be performed to explore gender specific treatment effects, and the robustness of the results of the primary analysis will be explored by repeating the analysis on the per protocol data set and by imputation of missing primary endpoint data.

These analyses of secondary outcomes will be considered exploratory and will be performed independently for each secondary outcome without adjustment for the multiple analyses. The outcomes functional ability, cognitive recovery, POD, use of rescue midazolam, adverse vital data, presence of long-term outcomes, AE and amnesia will be analysed as dichotomous outcome variables and the difference in proportions between the treatment groups along with their standard errors will be calculated. The outcomes well-being, pain and sleeping, which are measured using VAS, will be
analysed using linear mixed-effect models including treatment effect and treatment-time interactions. The outcomes patient cooperation, anxiety in the operation room, length of hospital and ICU stay and time to extubation will be analysed as continuous outcome variables, and the means in each intervention group and differences in means will be calculated. Data analysis will be carried out using the R language for statistical computing (https://www.R-project.org/). The detailed trial statistical analysis plan will be finalized before database lock.

Statistical methods—additional analyses

Exploratory adjusted and subgroup analyses of the primary and selected secondary outcomes with regard to the gender effects, frailty status, the pre-operative anxiety level, the patient demographics and surgery experience will be performed in addition. These analyses will be performed independently for each outcome without adjustment for multiple analyses. The explanatory factors will be analysed as dichotomous variables.

Data monitoring

A formal Data Monitoring Committee will not be established for this study, which is performed during the clinical routine and implies minimal risks associated with the application of placebo instead of 3.75 mg midazolam.

This study will be monitored regularly by a qualified monitor from the Center for Translational & Clinical Research Aachen (CTC-A) -belonging to the sponsor- according to GCP guidelines and the respective SOPs. Monitoring procedures include study initiation visits and interim monitoring visits on a regular basis according to a mutually agreed schedule.

During these visits, the monitor will check for completion of the entries on the eCRF/CRF; for compliance with the clinical study protocol, GCP principles, and regulatory authority requirements; for the integrity of the source data with the eCRF/ CRF entries; and for subject eligibility. Monitoring will
also aim to detect any misconduct or fraud. In addition, the monitor will check whether all AEs and SAEs have been reported appropriately within the required time periods. Further details of monitoring activities will be described in a monitoring manual of the CTC-A.

Interim analysis and stopping guideline

Interim analyses are not planned in this study.

The coordinating PI may decide together with the sponsor's representative (CTC-A) to terminate this study entirely in case of a changed risk-benefit-ratio, which indicates a premature study termination in order to protect subject’s health.

The study will be prematurely terminated for an individual subject in case of:

• Request of the patient or withdrawal of informed consent

• Patient did not meet the inclusion and/or exclusion criteria

• Patient condition, which is incompatible with a premedication or any study procedure

Harms

Safety assessments will consist of monitoring and recording all AEs and SAEs and the regular monitoring of intraoperative vital data by the attending anaesthetist. All AEs will be defined according to the ICH-GCP guidelines, see Additional file 3.

Midazolam incorporates several side effects, which probably jeopardise the patient. Additional harms, other than the usually present side effects in the clinical routine are not expected in the midazolam-group in this study. All possible side effects are described in the Summary of Medicinal Products Characterisation for midazolam. For the placebo-group, we do not expect any significant harm, as in the case of strong preoperative anxiety or agitation, additional midazolam application may occur on
behalf of the attending anaesthetist at any time.

Auditing

Audits by the sponsor are not planned for this study, but a member of the sponsor's quality assurance function may arrange a visit in order to audit the performance of the study at a study site. Auditors conduct their work independently of the clinical study and its performance. Inspections by regulatory authority representatives and Institutional Ethics Committees (IECs) are possible at any time, even after the end of study. The investigator has to inform the sponsor immediately about any inspection. The investigator and institution will permit study-related monitoring, audits, reviews by the IEC and/or regulatory authorities, and will allow direct access to source data and source documents for such monitoring, audits, and reviews.

Confidentiality

All subjects will be identified by a unique 7 digits patient identification number (xxx-yyyy) and randomisation number (xxx-RAND-yyy). The first 3 digits (indicate the centre) and the 4 further digits for the ascending patient/randomisation number. Each principle investigator will keep a list safely, which will allow the identification of the pseudonymised patients.

The patient's informed consent, with their printed name and signature will be filed separately in the investigator's site file (ISF). All source data and the ISF will be protected against unauthorised access in locked cabinets with restricted access under the responsibility of the PI of each participating centre.

Patients will be informed about data protection and that data passed to other investigators or an authorised party for analysis will occur in a pseudonymised manner. Data analysis by the biostatistician will be performed pseudonymised.

Access to data
Access to encoded data or source documents will only be given to authorised bodies or persons (sponsor, authorised staff, auditors, competent authorities or ethics committee members) for validation of data. Also in case of publication confidentiality of collected data will be warranted.

Access to the online database will be restricted by personal passwords and may be checked via an audit-trail which is implemented in the OpenClinica database system.

Post-trial care

No specific post-study arrangements are made and no specific post-study care will be performed after this study. All subjects will return to their standard routine medical care after the study, as needed. This also applies to subjects who withdraw their consent during the course of the study.

Dissemination policy

The study results will be published in appropriate international scientific journals and presented at scientific conferences, regardless of the results. A professional writing service will not be engaged. Details of the publication policy will be given in the clinical study agreement. The coordinating PI will additionally disclose study results in the ClinicalTrials.gov registry.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or public were not involved in the design of this study. Published results will be disseminated to the study participants on request.

Discussion

Midazolam is a routinely used premedication in surgical patients not just in Germany [7]. It is mostly applied to alleviate preoperative anxiety [34], but some anaesthesiologists might also use benzodiazepine premedication for prevention of intraoperative awareness, induction of sedation, hemodynamic stabilisation, and analgesia [7]. However, there is no medical evidence that
benzodiazepine premedication is advantageous for all patients, especially the elderly ones. Also, there is no high quality of evidence that withholding of preoperative midazolam in all elderly patients is beneficial. A Cochrane analysis of the anxiolytic premedication effect on time to discharge in a day case surgery setting found similar discharge times between patients with premedication compared to the placebo group, though impaired psychomotor function after benzodiazepines application was described [35]. Of note, this Cochrane analysis failed to report outcomes of efficacy of anxiolytic premedication and the included studies were of poor quality and very heterogeneous. Therefore, a balanced judgement about risk and benefit of premedication was hindered. Another Cochrane review showed that there is a lack of evidence for premedication effects in elderly patients [14].

The decision to administer only 3.75 mg midazolam in this study instead of 7.5 mg is justified by the recommendation in the German summary of product characteristics for midazolam in elderly patients “Elderly patients showed a larger sedative effect, therefore they may be at increased risk of cardio-respiratory depression as well. Thus, midazolam should be used very carefully in elderly patients, and if needed, a lower dose should be considered.” Administration of a reduced midazolam dose of 3.75 mg reflects the standard routine approach for elderly patients in Germany [36]. Exclusion of patients older than 80 years was based on the clinical routine of the participating centres, which generally do not administer midazolam in patients older than 80 years.

Our decision to choose the global postoperative patient satisfaction as the primary outcome, is based on the increased importance for assessment of the patient reported experience of health care as an important outcome [4, 6]. We acknowledge, that patient satisfaction is influenced by several factors, e.g. preoperative anxiety, amnesia, pain or surgical complications. Thus, we expect that the randomised design will enable an equal distribution of aforementioned factors. Furthermore, we are going to record the postoperative analgesia requirement, pain, amnesia as well as any adverse events in this study.

One strength of this study is the double-blinded design. It will provide low-biased results and support a
high grade of quality of the study results. In contrast to the previous similar study in younger patients [18], a third parallel arm without any treatment was avoided, as blinding is an important part of the study design and an arm without treatment cannot be blinded at the patient level.

One limitation is that we are not going to control the general anaesthesia regime (type, quantity, application time), but we think that this will provide more generalizable results. A further limitation is that the study results are not generalizable to institutions, which use other kinds of benzodiazepines than midazolam or other drugs like $\alpha_2$ adrenoceptor agonists for premedication.

In conclusion, iPROMOTE will provide high quality data for decision-making process regarding premedication with 3.75 mg midazolam in the elderly patients.
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Figure 1

Participant Timeline according to the SPIRIT Statement. **Visit 0: Preoperative screening and baseline visit, Visit 1: 30-45 minutes before surgery, Visit 2: operating room, Visit 3: surgery day postoperatively within 0.5-1.5 hours after surgery, Visit 4: first postoperative day; Visit 5: 30th postoperative day.

AE, adverse event; APAIS, Amsterdam Preoperative Anxiety and Information Scale; ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists physical status; BMI, body mass index; CAM, Confusion Assessment Method; EVAN-G, Evaluation du Vécu de l’Anesthésie Générale, EQ-5D-5L, health-related quality of life assessment; IADL, Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scale; ICU, intensive care unit; RRsys, systolic blood pressure; SAE, serious adverse event; SBT, Short blessed test; SpO2, peripheral oxygen saturation; VAS, visual analogue scale.
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