INTRODUCTION

Wheat is a major cereal crop worldwide representing the main food source in many regions. Intensive cereal cropping has recently shown slight increases in productivity through the use of innovative strategies, mainly molecular genetics, by selecting and improving varieties and increased nutrient enrichment in soils (Charmet, 2011). However, in many cases productivity has been reduced by the spread of soil diseases, soil degradation, and adverse environmental conditions (Lobell, 2009; Liu et al., 2011; Mavrodi et al., 2014; Barnett et al., 2017). Wheat productivity needs to be rapidly increased in order to cater to the demand of...
the burgeoning human populations expected during the coming decades (Fisher and Edmeades, 2010).

Microbial communities associated with various plant habitats collectively constitute the plant microbiome (Turner et al., 2013; Reinhold-Hurek et al., 2015; Schlaeppi and Bulgarelli, 2015; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016; Chang et al., 2017). This microbiome can support and aid plant development by providing additional nitrogen and other nutrients, competing with plant pathogens, promoting growth, reducing stress effects, and improving plant resistance through the production of hormones and other chemicals (Mendes et al., 2011; 2013; 2015; Ofek-Lalzar et al., 2014; Massart et al., 2015; Schlaeppi and Bulgarelli, 2015). Today, the importance of the microbiome is no longer questioned but the role of certain microbes and its function in the environment remain to be clarified. Monitoring and manipulating the plant microbiome can interfere with food supply, as well as biodiversity, safety, and ecosystem functionality (Massart et al., 2015; Sessitsch and Mitter, 2015; Chang et al., 2017). It is critical, therefore, to understand both the potential and the risks for human health and nutrition.

Soil represents one of the richest microbial ecosystems and a major source for the diversity and stability of the plant microbiome (Mendes et al., 2015; Panke-Buisse et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2017). The rhizosphere represents a fraction of soil diversity with microorganisms under the influence of the plant. It is currently known that the plant microbiome regulation changes over time from the seed stage to the flowering stage; nevertheless the process is expected to be highly dynamic and not predictable (Turner et al., 2013; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016). With regard to wheat, some studies have characterized the rhizosphere and root communities at a single time point. Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Proteobacteria largely dominate (nearly 90%) wheat root bacterial communities (Liu et al., 2011; Rasovan et al., 2016; Granzow et al., 2017) and the roots of other economically relevant plants such as maize, soybean, or cucumber (Peiffer et al., 2013; Ofek-Lalzar et al., 2014; Donn et al., 2015; Edwards et al., 2015; Rasovan et al., 2016).

The relationship between the endogenous rhizosphere microbiota and the plant host is essential to improve strategies for more productive and less degraded soils. From the perspective of microbiome management, it is important to understand which microbes are sensitive to cropping practices and whether they can be associated with other microbes to impact on plant heath, soil competition, and promote specific networking properties (Hirsch and Mauchline, 2012; Mendes et al., 2013; Massart et al., 2015; Schlaeppi and Bulgarelli, 2015; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016). Some bacterial endophytes have been shown to impact plant growth and provide protection to the plant (Araujo et al., 2017; 2019). Although the effects on plants tend to be small initially (Yang et al., 2012; Bokati et al., 2016; Araujo et al., 2017; Wemheuer et al., 2017), these valuable organisms can be used as a basis for developing safer ecological approaches to disease management, particularly against root rots that affect a range of cereals (Orakçı et al., 2010; Araujo et al., 2019). Some endophytes were shown to be able to reduce Pythium disease on wheat and other cereals, and their potential as biocontrol inoculants has been well documented (Mavrodi et al., 2014; Araujo et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the results of field trials and greenhouse tests often disagree, which can only be partly explained by climatic conditions (Franco et al., 2007; Cuppels et al., 2013; Araujo et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2018). It is possible that different cultivation systems, such as field versus greenhouse, may affect the soil biodiversity and promote taxa changes, even when the same starting soil is used.

In the present study, we detail the endophytic microbiota dynamics (both bacteria and fungi) in roots and rhizosphere soils of a wheat crop system running simultaneously in field and greenhouse cultivation systems. High-throughput amplicon sequencing is an excellent tool to study and characterize microbial communities when comparing rhizosphere soil and intraplant environments. The objectives of the study were focused on the following points: (a) to identify similarities and differences between the microbiota of wheat plants grown in the field and in greenhouse trials; (b) to monitor the root microbiota in different soil types infested with Pythium disease; (c) to confirm the impact of biocontrol agents at early and later stages (up to 12 weeks) of plant growth on the microbial population of seeds, roots, and rhizosphere soils; and (d) to monitor Paenibacillus and Streptomyces interactions within the microbiota organization of wheat roots and rhizosphere soil samples. A schematic diagram of the experimental design can be seen in Figure 1.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | Wheat plant growth and core bacterial and fungal microbiota

Wheat plants obtained from biocontrol agent-coated seeds showed earlier formation of wheat heads in greenhouse trials and occasional increase in plant shoot length (more evident in greenhouse Streptomyces fulvissimus FU14-treated plants) (Figure 2). Plant parameter results obtained from field and greenhouse trials were only slightly different, with the largest difference observed for the root/upper plant length and root accumulation in the soils; field plant roots/upper plant were shorter (Figure 2) and roots predominantly accumulated near the soil surface (where rain water accumulates in the soil), while greenhouse plant roots/tops were longer and roots accumulated in the bottom of the pots (where the water accumulates after being added manually). No significant differences were found between control and Paenibacillus peoriae SP9-treated plants (Figure 2).

A total of 7,469 bacterial and 715 fungal unique operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were found in 254 analysed samples, while the analysis of the amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) revealed 20,061 bacterial and 891 fungal ASVs. As the results regarding taxonomic classification were similar for OTUs and ASVs, the results presented below were produced using OTU information. The OTUs were organized in 588 bacterial taxonomic groups (assigned or unassigned at the genus level) and 257 fungal taxa (assigned or unassigned at the genus level), being the diversity indices calculated for the taxonomic
groups classified and unclassified at genus level found in each sample (Supporting Information 1). The rhizosphere soils showed significantly more diversity (Shannon index, SI of 5.81) than roots (SI of 4.84) or seeds (SI of 3.79). The most frequent genera found in roots and rhizosphere soils were Pseudomonas and Fusarium/Gibberella (details for frequent genera in Supporting Information 2). Some other genera were exclusively found in seeds such as Granulicatella, in roots such as Enhydrobacter, Kocuria, and Propionibacterium, or in rhizosphere soils such as Adhaeribacter, Kitasatospora, Nitrosovibrio, and Leohumicola (more details in Supporting Information 3).

The biodiversity of bacteria and fungi found in control wheat roots and rhizosphere soils was very distinct, with Pseudomonas and Gibberella dominating in the roots and Kaistobacter and Mortierella in the rhizosphere soils (biodiversity details in Figure 3 and Supporting Information 4). During the 12-week period, the disease levels in the soils, and consequently on roots, were variable (Figure 2) while the relative abundance of specific bacteria and fungi changed, as showed by the post hoc analyses (Supporting Information 5 and 6). The diversity of bacterial genera increased over the 12-week period (from SI of 5.2 to 5.58; de

Besides these differences, it was possible to define a core group of bacteria and fungi (classified at the genus level) in the roots at all stages of wheat growth in Pythium-infested soils (Figure 3b and Supporting Information 4). The core microbiota found in wheat roots was dominated by the bacterial genera Arthrobacter, Bacillus, Bradyrhizobium, Flavobacterium, Pseudomonas, Sphingomonas, Sporosarcina, Stenotrophomonas, Streptomyces, and Variovorax, while the most represented fungi were Alternaria, Aspergillus, Candida, Cryptococcus, Exophiala, Fusarium/Gibberella, Lewia, and Rhodotorula.

Principal components analyses (PCA) confirmed the relevance of sample type and root age (or crop stage) as the strongest factors separating the samples (Figure 4a,b). Additional tests using analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) and homogeneity of dispersions (PermDISP) showed that the soil type (A or B), the use of biocontrol seed coats, and the culture system (field or greenhouse) did not cause major community shifts either in the rhizosphere soil or the plant roots (Figure 4 and Supporting Information 8). Interestingly, the sterile sand used for the additional control tests of endophytes produced a profile different from the seeds (Figure 4), with Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Stenotrophomonas found in the sand samples, showing the ability of these bacteria to leave the plant environment and move to the rhizosphere environment.

### 2.2 Field versus greenhouse trials

Most of the bacteria and fungi found in the rhizosphere of plants grown in the field versus greenhouse trials, using either soil type A (high texture soil from Turretfield) or soil type B (clay soil from Spalding), were...
similar (Supporting Information 9 and 10). The bacterial and fungal communities in the rhizosphere soils, as well as the SIMPER profiles, were not distinct when comparing the systems (field versus greenhouse) and soil types (Figure 4c and Supporting Information 11).

Regarding the bacterial and fungal communities within the roots, there were no large differences (Figure 4c), although some occasional differences could still be found in \textit{Janthinobacterium}, \textit{Delftia}, unclassified Pseudeurotiaceae, and a few other taxa using the post hoc comparisons (Figure 5). By detailed comparison of the genera found in the roots of wheat plants obtained from field or greenhouse trials, the taxonomic similarity values (i.e., the same genera were found in both sets of roots) were 74% for bacteria and 53% for fungi when all weeks were considered together. These values were much lower (30% to 66% depending on the week) when each week was considered separately (Supporting Information 12); the differences were mainly observed for genera found in greenhouse plants at 4 weeks that were only seen in the field grown plants at 8 weeks. The taxonomic similarity found in the soil A versus soil B showed values of 58% and 57% for the total bacteria or fungi, respectively, and the values for the independent week were also lower (ranging from 34% to 50%) (Supporting Information 12).

2.3 | Coated wheat seeds and the effect of biocontrol agents

Network analysis was used to clarify the connection among multiple taxonomic groups. The most connected bacteria were

---

**FIGURE 2** Wheat plants obtained after 4, 8, and 12 weeks of crop growth in field and greenhouse trials run at Turretfield (soil type A) and Spalding (soil type B). Plants were grown using control, \textit{Paenibacillus peoriae} SP9, and \textit{Streptomyces fulvissimus} FU14 biocontrol-treated seeds. \(^*p < .05\)
Streptococcus, Propionibacterium, Kocuria, and Enhydrobacter, and the most connected fungi were Guehomyces, Pleiochaeta, Dioszegia, and Monographella (Figure 6). Paenibacillus and Streptomyces were not highly connected in the network, not even when detailed networks were performed for each system, soil type or plant growth in the presence of biocontrol strains (Supporting Information 13 and 14). Paenibacillus was connected generally to Facklamia, Yersinia, and Psychrobacter, while Streptomyces was connected to Butyrivibrio, Finegoldia, and KSA1. Curiously, some of the most connected genera in roots, such as Streptococcus, Propionibacterium, and Enhydrobacter could be traced to the seeds, not being detected in the initial soils (Figure 3b).

Control wheat and biocontrol-coated seeds were dominated by the genera Delftia, Erwinia, Janthinobacterium, Pseudomonas, Paenibacillus, Staphylococcus, Stenotrophomonas, Streptomyces, and Wolbachia. Some of these bacteria were then found throughout the sampling times on wheat roots, not being detected in the initial soil or in 4-week-old rhizosphere soils (Figure 3b). The strains added as coats onto seeds dominated the sequences obtained from the DNA extracted using coated seeds (>80% of the recovered sequences were classified as Paenibacillus or Streptomyces) and the 16S rRNA profile (ASV) was similar among these sequences and the profile of the pure *P. peoriae* SP9 and *S. fulvissimus* FU14 16S rRNA sequence.

Although the effects of the biocontrol strain *S. fulvissimus* FU14 could be observed and measured on wheat plant growth over the weeks, no significant differences were observed on the relative abundance of *Streptomyces* in the roots of FU14-treated plants versus the control roots (Figure 7); similar stability was observed for *Paenibacillus* in the roots obtained from *P. peoriae* SP9-treated plants. Nevertheless, a few other taxa, namely *Pseudomonas* in the plant roots and *Clostridium*, Diversispora, and Steroidobacter in the rhizosphere soils, showed differences when FU14 was present as biocontrol agent (Figure 7).

The comparison of the ASV profiles was done for *Paenibacillus* and Streptomyces and the strain profiles were traced in all the samples (black and green, respectively, for SP9 and FU14 strains in Supporting Information 15) and the profiles compared with the remaining ASVs of *Paenibacillus* and *Streptomyces* obtained from roots and soils throughout the study. In both cases it was possible to monitor the presence of the initial strains in the wheat roots at 4 weeks.
Franco et al. performed in our and other research laboratories (Cook et al., 2002; Franco et al., 2007; 2016; Orakçı et al., 2013; Araujo et al., 2017; 2019). In addition, it was possible not only to define a list of OTUs that characterized the core microbiota present in all stages of the crop growth but also a succession of OTUs and taxonomic groups found at particular stages. In fact, the microbial biodiversity in wheat roots shifted mostly in accordance with the crop age: Fusarium/Gibberella, Lewia, Alternaria, Stenotrophomonas, and unclassified Dothideomycetes dominated the first weeks, while Sphingomonas, unclassified Herpotrichiellaceae, Exophiala, and Pedobacter were highly abundant in the roots at later stages of wheat crop. Although it had been previously reported that fungal communities could change over time in wheat heads (Hertz et al., 2016) and wheat roots (Shi et al., 2015), this study shows those changes in microbial communities are not random, following instead a pattern (succession of distinct genera and OTUs). This pattern was independent of the plants being grown in the field or in the greenhouse and also of the soil types employed. The core bacterial and fungal microbiota described in previous reports (Nicolaisen et al., 2014; Ofek-Lalzar et al., 2014; Donn et al., 2015; Bokati et al., 2016; Rascovan et al., 2016) could partly be seen in the wheat plants but some additional taxonomic groups, especially among fungi, were revealed for wheat root biodiversity. Bacteria were more diverse during the initial stages of the wheat crop cycle (up to the eighth week), while the fungal biodiversity increased in the roots in the subsequent weeks and their role in the plant fitness should be carefully considered. Although such patterns of bacterial versus fungal dominance were suggested before (Coombs and Franco, 2003; Conn and Franco, 2004; Rascovan et al., 2016), fungi were believed to gain relevance much later (after the 16th week), being now proposed as an earlier contribution to plant microbiota.

In this study wheat seeds were shown to hold highly diverse native bacterial and fungal communities both internally and externally. Interestingly, some of the microbes described as wheat seed endophytes, namely Paenibacillus, Propionibacterium, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas, and Streptomyces, match the recently described taxonomic groups in barley seeds (Yang et al., 2017). Nevertheless, more than 47% of the bacterial sequences found in seeds were not assigned at family level, leaving a large fraction of unknown bacteria in wheat seeds to be studied. When the external surface of the seeds was sterilized and the endophyte community of seeds monitored by in vitro sand trials, lower biodiversity was observed (over 80% of the taxonomic groups were not detected), affecting mainly the fungal community. Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, and Stenotrophomonas were among the mobile bacteria leaving the
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seed microbiota to the rhizosphere sand environment. Some bacteria found in the seeds and absent in initial and rhizosphere soils were abundant in roots at 4 weeks, for example *Delftia*, *Streptococcus*, and *Staphylococcus*, or in later stages, for example *Sphingomonas*. Taking into account the network analyses it was noticed that some of the most connected genera in roots were acquired from the initial seeds, reinforcing the influence of some taxa, for example *Streptococcus*, *Propionibacterium*, and *Enhydrobacter*, for the overall plant microbiome. These endophytic genera, frequently described as protective for the plant, are capable of producing multiple enzymes, resist plant pathogens, and are highly adaptable to the unique seed environment (Vorobjeva, 1999; Mitter et al., 2017; Raj et al., 2019; Xie et al., 2019), being inherited in wheat seeds. Hence it is suggested some genetic determinants (OTUs) are transmitted vertically and complemented by OTUs obtained from the rhizosphere soils at multiple stages of plant development. For example, *Burkholderia* and *Caulobacter* OTUs, frequently reported in wheat microbiota (Mendes et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2013; van der Heijden and Hartmann, 2016; Sánchez-Cañizares et al., 2017), are acquired from the rhizosphere soil at early stages of wheat growth, as these bacteria are not present in the wheat seed microbiota.

Occasional differences in plant performance can be found when comparing plants grown in field and greenhouse trials (Cuppels et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2018). In this study such differences were observed mostly in roots/tops length and root density in the soil. The microbial communities of roots and rhizosphere soils were highly similar in both cultivation systems. The exception was found in particular taxonomic groups and OTUs, especially among *Archaeorhizomyces*, *Alcyclobacillus*, *Debaryomyces*, *Delftia*, *Janthinobacterium*, and unclassified *Pseudeurotiaceae*, but the influence of these particular organisms on wheat plants is still largely unknown. At the OTU level, the differences were much larger and it is possible that some OTUs play an important role in plant fitness (Mendes et al., 2013; Lakshmanan et al., 2014), but such a comparison is still hard to
achieve as the rare OTUs (abundance lower than 0.5%) still change drastically among systems, soil types, and even among replicates. The comparison of two soil types showed some taxonomic similarities in the wheat roots and rhizosphere soils after 3 months but also differences, especially associated with rare OTUs. Environmental conditions and rare OTUs may explain the differences found in field versus greenhouse trials.

Biocontrol agent-coated seeds may promote the growth of wheat plants in normal or *Pythium*-infested soils, as shown by some studies in the past (Franco et al., 2007; 2016; El-Tarabily et al., 2009; 2010; Mavrodi et al., 2014) and confirmed by this study. Two biocontrol strains were selected based on their effect on wheat plants tested in planta in previous studies (Barnett et al., 2017). *Pythium* is responsible for root rot disease in wheat and other crops, resulting in the constant presence of spores at different phases even when a break crop is used, such as a cereal–legume–canola crop rotation (Pankhurst et al., 1995). *Pythium* grows fast, continuously reinfecting the growing roots, weakening the plant, and enabling infection by other pathogens, such as *Rhizoctonia* and *Gauwarmannysces* (take all). Fungicides, such as metalaxyl, have been used as a treatment; however, they only provide partial control (Cook et al., 2002). Biological control employing certain strains, such as the strains *P. peoriae* SP9 and *S. fulvissimus* FU14 used in this study, can be advantageous against these diseases (Alabouvette et al., 2006; Barnett et al., 2006; Conn et al., 2008; El-Tarabily et al., 2010; Mavrodi et al., 2014; Araujo et al., 2017; 2019; Schlatter et al., 2017) with generally low impact on microbial communities of the roots and rhizosphere soils during the 3-month period. *Streptomyces* was one of the most abundant and genetically diverse taxa in this study, and it is frequently described as an excellent biocontrol agent for multiple plants such as wheat, barley, cucumber, and potato (Prévost et al., 2006; El-Tarabily et al., 2010; Araujo et al., 2017). Biocontrol strains added to the seeds were consistently isolated from the roots up to the eighth week, earlier than previously suggested (Conn and Franco, 2004), and rarely seen in rhizosphere soils. The high
**FIGURE 7** Relative abundance of (a) *Paenibacillus* and (b) *Streptomyces* in root and rhizosphere soils collected from control, *Paenibacillus peoriae* SP9-, and *Streptomyces fulvissimus* FU14-treated plants. Post hoc analyses of (c) root samples obtained from control, SP9-, and FU14-treated plants, and (d) rhizosphere soil samples obtained from control, SP9-, and FU14-treated plants. These analyses were done in STAMP 2.1.3 using two groups analysis and Welch’s t test (two-sided, Welch’s inverted for confidence interval method).
genetic diversity of the microbes in the soil certainly contributes to the dilution of the biocontrol agents initially added.

In the present study the physiological benefits of the biocontrol seed coating can be seen in terms of disease control, plant length, and early formation of wheat heads, as previously shown (Mavrodi et al., 2014; Araujo et al., 2017; 2019). Seed-coated inoculants are present in abundance in wheat plants for the first 8 weeks and promote plant health in soils with early disease pressure and by priming the plant defence response (Conn and Franco, 2004) to prolong the effects of biocontrol strains. However, additional strategies may be used to prolong their presence in planta, such as extra inoculations by injection in the soil or by applying sprays (Obradovic et al., 2004; Prévost et al., 2006; El-Tarabily et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2012; Cuppels et al., 2013; Araujo et al., 2017); these strategies were not tested in this work. Soils with high disease pressure may limit the development of wheat plants but these effects may be attenuated by high microbial diversity that adds sustainability and more predictability to the crop system. It needs to be proven if such alternatives can also be used to mitigate other soil problems, for example chemical contamination, besides their application to disease-infested soils.

This study showed a recognizable succession of bacteria and fungi within the wheat root environment starting with seed microorganisms, enriched by the rhizosphere communities over time, and turning roots into a vibrant and diverse microbial environment. The consistency of microbial communities found in roots and rhizosphere soils, irrespective of the cultivation system (field or greenhouse), soil type or addition of biocontrol coating on seeds, were major findings in this study. Neither the biocontrol organisms nor the pathogenic agents had major effects on the root and rhizosphere microbiota, even though they had measurable effects on the physiology of the plant. Against our initial question, Paenibacillus and Streptomyces were not central genera in the microbial community structure, playing a limited and lateral role in the plant and soil ecosystems. However, it is important to mention that these genera are well-known metabolite and antibiotic producers (Davide Spadaro, 2005; Babalola et al., 2009; Orakçı et al., 2010; Supraptà, 2012; Franco et al., 2016; 2017; Araujo et al., 2017); the release of these compounds to the root and rhizosphere environments may affect the growth of many bacteria and fungi, causing indirect fluctuations of such populations. This study provided key information on the microbes (bacteria and fungi) that modulate wheat root diversity at different stages of growth, both in the field and in the greenhouse, and under disease stress. As more information is offered by extensive analyses of microbial communities, it will become possible to clarify the role of each specific organism, and even of particular OTUs, present in the plant roots.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 | Biocontrol cultures and seed coating

The biocontrol strains P. peoriae SP9 and S. fulvissimus FU14 were used in this study; the identification of the isolates was done based on the sequence of the complete 16S rRNA gene. These strains showed protective effects in plants against Pythium sp.; the stability and survival curves of these strains were reported previously, showing a large survival rate in wheat seed coating procedures after 4 weeks (Barnett et al., 2017). The strains were identified by their 16S rRNA gene sequences amplified using the universal primers 27F and 1492R (Miller et al., 2013), against the NCBI database, and stored in culture collections at Flinders University and the South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI). A suspension of each strain (approx. 10^5 cfu/seed) was prepared in sterile 0.3% (wt/vol) xanthan gum sticker solution and applied to 20 g of wheat seeds (Barnett et al., 2006; 2017). Seeds were allowed to dry at room temperature after coating, the coated seeds were kept stored at room temperature for more than 1 week before being used in greenhouse and field trials. The cfu per seed was assessed immediately after and at 1, 2, and 7 days after application for confirmation of bacterial viability and concentration per seed (c.10^5 cfu/seed).

4.2 | Field trials and pot bioassays in greenhouse

Field trials were carried out on commercial cereal paddocks at Turretfield, South Australia (soil A) with high levels of indigenous Pythium sp. inoculum (194 pg Pythium sp. clade F DNA/g soil, based on quantitative PCR tests done at SARDI). Field experiments were set up in a split-plot randomized complete block design, with an untreated row or plot next to every biocontrol strain (SP9 and FU14) treated row or plot with four replicates. Field trials consisted of 20 m x 6 row plots, with three rows planted with microbe-treated seeds and three rows with untreated seeds. Plots were machine planted. Prior to planting, a machine seeder was used to cultivate, form rows, and add fertilizer, except no seed was planted. At 4, 8, and 12 weeks, 60 plants were dug up using a shovel, keeping the soil surrounding the roots, and each replicate was stored in an individual sterile plastic bag to be taken to the laboratory. The plants were processed in the laboratory on the same day. Each wheat plant was separated carefully from the soil, the rhizosphere (soil adherent to the roots) collected into a sterile paper bag, and the roots carefully washed in running distilled water. The nodal and seminal roots of wheat plants were cut using sterilized scissors and washed at each time point to remove all the soil and organic matter. The surface of the roots was then sterilized with sodium hypochlorite 2% (for 3 min) and ethanol 70% (for 3 min) and washed three times with sterile water (Barnett et al., 2017); a similar sterilization protocol was used for a set of control seeds. Rhizosphere soils were collected by recovering the small layer of soil on the surface of the roots. Roots were initially collected, gently shaken to discard loosely adhering soil, and the adjacent rhizosphere soil in the root surface collected by shaking the roots vigorously into a sterilized envelope (a sterile spatula was occasionally used in this procedure without damaging the roots; 5–30 g of rhizosphere soil was collected per independent pot/replicate). The plants were assessed for Pythium sp. disease on seminal and nodal roots (0–5 disease scale, 0 = healthy roots,
5 = highly diseased roots) (Barnett et al., 2017), tiller number, and shoot length and dry weight. Shoot lengths were measured and then the shoots were dried for 4 days at 60 °C and weighed. The same number of plants per plot was assessed at each time point.

Greenhouse pot trials were prepared in Bedford Park, South Australia using field soil collected at Turretfield, South Australia (soil A, from the same plot where the field trial was conducted, with 194 pg Pythium sp. clade F DNA/g soil) and Spalding, South Australia (soil B with 112 pg Pythium sp. clade F DNA/g soil). The bulk soil (150 kg) was collected from the top 10 cm of a 100 m² section of the field, avoiding the collection of plant material larger than 2 mm. Soil A was mainly characterized by high texture, while soil B showed high levels of clay. The soils were collected from the field, discarding the top 10 cm layer and any plant and other organic materials. The pot experiments were prepared with different amounts of soil: 0.6 kg for 4 weeks, 1 kg for 8 weeks, and 1.125 kg for 12 weeks) and five experiments were prepared with different amounts of soil: 0.6 kg for 4 weeks, 1 kg for 8 weeks, and 1.125 kg for 12 weeks) and five wheat seedlings were plotted per pot for 4, 8, and 12 weeks; four replicates were run simultaneously for each treatment and control. Wheat seeds from cultivar Yitpi (susceptible to Pythium disease) were used covered with 50 g soil and 50 g sand to reduce evaporation. Plants were grown in a greenhouse and the pots watered twice a week to their original starting weight. Replicates were arranged in a randomized complete block design. Plants were collected and treated as described above for field trials and assessed for growth and root disease levels.

Sterilized sand trials were run simultaneously. Control and coat-sterilized seeds (no biocontrol added) were grown for 4 weeks in a sterilized container with sand, water, and essential nutrients. This trial was conducted to monitor the presence of endophytes in the coat-sterilized seeds and to determine which microbes could move to the sand and be recovered after 4 weeks.

Pot bioassays were run simultaneously with the field and sand trials from June to September 2016. Background levels of Pythium in the soil were analysed by PreDictaB (SARDI, Urbræe, SA, Australia; http://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research/services/molecular_diagnostics/predicta_b).

4.3 | DNA extraction, sequencing, and data analysis

Sterilized roots and seeds, rhizosphere soils, and initial seeds were kept at −80 °C until use, randomized, and processed for DNA extraction. A fixed amount of five seeds, 1 g of root or 2 g of rhizosphere soil per test, were subjected to DNA extraction using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) DNA extraction strategy (Zhang et al., 2010). The final DNA was suspended in Tris-EDTA (TE) buffer. PCR was performed using Kapa HiFi PCR mastermix (Kapa Biosystems) using the following parameters: 95 °C, 10 min, 35 cycles of 95 °C, 30 s; 58 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 60 s. The bacterial community was targeted by amplification of the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA genes using the primers 341F and 806r (Muyzer et al., 1993; Caporaso et al., 2011), while the ITS1 region with ITS1F and ITS2 primers (Gardes and Bruns, 1993) was amplified for the fungal community. The loci-specific primers were incorporated into fusion primers for Illumina dual indexing and incorporation of Illumina adapters (Caporaso et al., 2012). PCR products were cleaned and normalized using a SequalPrep normalization plate (Thermofisher Inc.). The samples were equimolecular pooled and the library quantified with a Kapa library quantification kit (Kapa Biosystems). The DNA pool was sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq system with a MiSeq v. 3.2 × 300 bp sequencing kit. QIIME 1.9 (Caporaso et al., 2010) workflow was used for read merging, de novo OTU picking, and taxonomic assignment (RDP v. 11.4 was used for bacteria and UNITE v. 7.2 for fungi) (Bengtsson-Palme et al., 2013; Cole et al., 2014); the algorithms UCLUST for 16S rRNA and BLAST for ITS were used. Usearch (Edgar and Flyvbjerg, 2015) was used for chimera removal (uchime2) using the ChimeraSlayer database (Haas et al., 2011) for 16S rRNA (file name gold.fa) and UNITE/INSDC representative/reference sequences v. 7.2 (UNITE Community, 2017) for ITS sequences. Sequences with ≥97% identity defined the OTUs following sequence alignment in accordance with the model organism priors Escherichia coli; the clustering was produced in two passes of the swarm algorithm v. 2.1.6 (the first pass with an aggregation distance equal to 1 and the second pass with an aggregation distance equal to 3). In parallel, ASVs were identified to improve the resolution up to one nucleotide among the sequences (Callahan et al., 2016), using a previously suggested R pipeline and DADA2 method and RDP (rdp_train_set_16), Greengenes (gg_13_8_train_set_97), and UNITE (UNITE_public_28.06.2017) databases for classification. The minimum abundance of 10 sequences was considered for the OTUs and ASVs included in this study.

4.4 | Statistical and data analysis

Plant and disease data from pot bioassays were analysed as a three-way factorial (5 sampling times × 2 disease levels × 4 seed treatments) randomized complete block design with time fitted as a whole plot using GenStat v. 14 (VSN International Ltd.). Fisher’s least significant difference (LSD) was used to compare treatment means as the data were near normally distributed with homogeneity of variance between factors. Pythium-disease severity was analysed by Kendall’s coefficient of concordance (a nonparametric method). Data and statistical analyses were performed using Excel 2013 (Microsoft Corporation), GenStat v. 14, PRIMER-6 (PRIMER-e), and R (R Core Team, 2017). Bacterial reads classified as “Chlorophyta” at taxonomic order level and “Mitochondria” at taxonomic family level were removed from the final data analysis. The core taxonomical groups were defined as the groups found in all weeks (4, 8, and 12) and in both field and greenhouse trials. Community diversity and distribution analyses were conducted by running ANOSIM one-way analysis (calculating the resemblance and using similarity data type), nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), cluster analysis, CAP, PermdISP (calculating the resemblance, similarity data type, using root-transformed data, Bray–Curtis similarities, and 999 permutations), and SIMPER analysis (using Bray–Curtis similarities and 90% cut-off for low
contributions). Network analysis was conducted using the molecular ecological network analysis pipeline (MENA) (Deng et al., 2012) to generate the networks with a cut-off of 0.84. Cytoscape (Shannon et al., 2003) was used to visualize networks and cyto-Hubba (Chin et al., 2014) used to select the top 50 genera with more links in roots and rhizosphere soil samples using maximal clique centrality (MCC) scores. The reads in each sample were converted into percentage values according to the total number of sequences in the sample to eliminate the effect of the final number of reads (Araujo et al., 2019). These values were then transformed using the Hellinger approach—square-root of percentage (Legendre and Gallagher, 2001)—to reduce the effects of overestimation among the most common taxa and the values compared on dissimilarity matrices that could be used for multiple population analyses. Post hoc analyses were done in STAMP v. 2.1.3 (Parks et al., 2014) for multiple groups using one-way ANOVA. Tukey-Kramer (0.95), and eta-squared for effect size, while two groups analysis used Welch’s t test (two-sided, Welch’s inverted for confidence interval method). The Geneious platform (Biomatters Ltd) was used for comparison and alignment of Paenibacillus and Streptomyces sequences and organization of diversity and phylogenetic trees (Geneious Tree Builder option was chosen using the Tamura–Nei genetic distance model and the neighbour-joining method, and no outgroup was considered).
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