Immediate Loading of an Atrophied Maxilla Using the Principles of Cortically Fixed Titanium Hybrid Plates
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Abstract
Replacing the dental arch evolved fixed prosthesis and later dental implants. Dental implants have intended to cover missing teeth in the maxilla and mandible. However, many areas have insufficient bone, and this is critical to success and prognosis. Therefore, this report case discusses an approach of implant treatment undertaken in a patient with pronounced maxillary atrophy using Pterygoid implants, biomaterials and a new model approach: the titanium Hybrid-Plates.
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Introduction
The term osseointegration proposed by Branemark has developed an endosseous implant that forms an immobile connection with bone and has revolutionized oral rehabilitation with a significant advance in restorative dentistry [1]. Despite these success rates, some limitations have often found in sites implanted [1,2]. The need for a dental implant to completely address multiple physical and biological factors imposes huge constraints on the surgical and handling protocol [2].

Implants are the best alternative to traditional prosthodontics; however, designing an implant-supported prosthesis with function and esthetic is very difficult to the dentists. Accuracy in planning and execution of surgical procedures is important in securing a high-success rate [3].

Dental implants eliminate adjacent teeth required for the placement of fixed partial denture. However, sufficient bone around implants is critical for success. In maxilla region, specifically in the maxillary sinus, reduction of bone height or pneumatized due to periodontal bone loss is a challenge for the placing of implants. In mandible, bone height has compromised; implant placement can lead to nerve damage [4].

Improvements in surgical reconstructive methods, as well as increased prosthetic demands, require a highly accurate diagnosis, planning, and placement. New techniques of implants is emerging and search for an effective and adequate strength system to support prosthesis is necessary. Therefore, some authors developed and tested the efficacy of new implant (titanium Hybrid–Plates) for replacing the traditional system. The new implant system can easily overcome difficulties, it is economical, technically less sensitive for patient, and requires minimal arsenal for placement.

Therefore, this report case discusses an approach of implant treatment undertaken in a patient with pronounced maxillary atrophy using Pterygoid implants, biomaterials (Matri™bone) and a new model approach: the titanium Hybrid-Plates.

Case Report

Figure 1: X-Ray of Patient.

A 70-year-old female who has referred to the clinic for treatment with dental implants. The initial examination revealed that there was severe bone atrophy. The patient failed to adapt
to the removable restoration and struggled with a gag reflex. In the maxilla, the resorption was progressive from a cranial and a caudal direction. This was compounded by the presence of an extremely narrow alveolar “knife” ridge that was not going to allow screw type implants to be used unless extensive bone grafting was performed (Figure 1).

The existing denture was used both for bite registration and to take a silicone impression, which provided the basis for implementing a temporary fixed restoration immediately after implant placement.

In the maxilla, a full-thickness flap was prepared from the left to the incisal centre and freed over a wide area for good exposure of the zygomatic bone and the palatine bone (Figure 2). At the left posterior tuberosity (approximately in the 28 region), a 19 mm long and 3.5 diameter machined implant has inserted in the pterygoid plate to provide posterior cortical anchorage (Figure 3).

In view of the lack of bone at sites, 26 and 23-24 two hybrid-plates were inserted using several osteo-synthesis screws (Figure 4). These plates in titanium grade II can be bent as required to ensure that the implant fits the bone perfectly. In position 26 the length of the plate was 43 mm and 9 mm wide and in position 23-24 the plate was 41 mm long and 7 mm wide. Both plates were covered with Matri™bone which is a resorbable, osteoconductive bone substitute matrix consisting of hydroxyapatite, β-tricalcium phosphate and collagen which can be invaded with osteoblasts and thus resorbed partially within a few months (Figure 5).

Following implant placement, closure was obtained using 3.0 silk sutures. Ultracaine anaesthetic (5 ml) was administered and the bite registration re-taken. The procedure for the right side has duplicated, inserting a machined 4.5/17 mm implant in position 18 in the pterygoid plate (Figure 6).

A hybrid plate has put in position 16, 43 mm in length and 9 mm wide and another hybrid plate 41 mm 7 mm wide in position 12-13 have installed and fixed with osteo-synthesis screws. Both plates have covered with Matri™bone.

The patient received Penicillin Antibiotic cover (Augmentin 875 mg twice a day for 10 days), 2 ml injection of cortisone (Diprophos) in the masseter muscle and Ibuprofen for pain relief. A follow up appointment have made 5 days later, stitches have removed and bite registration was re-taken.
Together with the Laboratory technician, the smile line was fixed and the colour of teeth chosen.

At a third appointment, 5 days later, the bridge was positioned and screwed in all positions with a torque of 15 N/cm (Figure 7). Occlusion has checked and instructions for hygiene maintenance has given. Regular follow up checks were scheduled for 1, 3 and then 6 months. The patient had no complications and she has rehabilitated within 10 days from the surgery. No bone graft or sinus-lift was required (Figure 8).

Discussion

The present report has shown a successful case of immediate loading of an atrophied maxilla with pterygoid and hybrid plates. The pterygoid implants, same as used in this report case, have the advantage of allowing anchorage in the posterior atrophied maxilla. According a literature review [5], 1053 implants into maxilla showed an average success of 90%, and the authors concluded that pterygoid implants have similar bone loss level to those of conventional implants. Another two studies showed a viable alternative to rehabilitate of posterior atrophic maxilla with pterygoid implants: in 1608 implants into the pterygomaillary also found an average success of 90% and in evaluation of 68 pterygoid implants over 1 year of loading found a success of 97% [6,7].

The hybrid implants, same as used in this report case, have tested in five patients [4], showed good stability, and minimum patient discomfort during 1-year postoperative period evaluation. The successful incorporation of bone grafts relies on following factors: surgical asepsis, soft-tissue coverage, graft space maintenance, graft immobilization, regional acceleratory phenomenon, host bone blood vessel and optimization of growth factors [3]. Matri™bone, same as used in this report case, showed good regeneration results and quickly obtained of sufficient density for bone implants [8] and, in two cases reported [9], generated a volume of excellent bone around the implants.

Conclusion

Advances in Cortical Implantology in Europe (particularly in France and Luxembourg) have provided alternative surgical options for the rehabilitation of certain types of patients whose prognosis was extremely poor or required highly invasive and long surgical procedures.

Therefore, the described surgical technique using Pterygoid implants, hybrid plates and biomaterials has increased the range of treatment options for some edentulous patients who could be unsuitable candidates for standard forms of treatment.
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