Nucleosomes Are Depleted at the VSG Expression Site Transcribed by RNA Polymerase I in African Trypanosomes
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In most eukaryotes, RNA polymerase I (Pol I) exclusively transcribes long arrays of identical rRNA genes (ribosomal DNA [rDNA]). African trypanosomes have the unique property of using Pol I to also transcribe the variant surface glycoprotein VSG genes. VSGs are important virulence factors because their switching allows trypanosomes to escape the host immune system, a mechanism known as antigenic variation. Only one VSG is transcribed at a time from one of 15 bloodstream-form expression sites (BESs). Although it is clear that switching among BESs does not involve DNA rearrangements and that regulation is probably epigenetic, it remains unknown why BESs are transcribed by Pol I and what roles are played by chromatin structure and histone modifications. Using chromatin immunoprecipitation, micrococcal nuclease digestion, and chromatin fractionation, we observed that there are fewer nucleosomes at the active BES and that these are irregularly spaced compared to silent BESs. rDNA coding regions are also depleted of nucleosomes, relative to the rDNA spacer. In contrast, genes transcribed by Pol II are organized in a more compact, regularly spaced, nucleosomal structure. These observations provide new insight on antigenic variation by showing that chromatin remodeling is an intrinsic feature of BES regulation.

Chromatin structure is dynamic, adopting a more condensed conformation at transcriptionally silent regions (closed chromatin) than at transcriptionally active regions (open chromatin) (6). Studies of genes transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II) have shown that open and closed chromatin structures are dynamically regulated through multiple mechanisms, including histone modifications, histone variant incorporation, and DNA methylation (reviewed in reference 17). In addition, the density and positioning of nucleosomes have also been linked to transcriptional regulation. Genome-wide studies in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, for example, have revealed that promoters of most actively transcribed genes are depleted of nucleosomes and that histone density is inversely proportional to the transcription rate within coding regions, suggesting that nucleosomes are dynamically disassembled and reassembled at each passage of the polymerase (16). Regulation of nucleosome density is therefore an important mechanism to enable the transcription machinery to access the DNA.

Chromatin also regulates Pol I transcription, although its mechanistic details are less understood. In most organisms, Pol I exclusively transcribes rRNA genes, of which there are usually 100 to 1,000 copies arranged in tandem. Only ~50% of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) is transcribed in proliferating cells. Active and silent rDNAs have distinct chromatin states at the promoter and within the transcribed region. In mammalian cells, active and silent rDNA promoters are characterized by specific histone modifications, DNA methylation, and positioning of the promoter-bound nucleosome (reviewed in reference 22). Coding regions of active rDNA were initially thought to be devoid of nucleosomes, as suggested by electron microscopy and psoralen cross-linking experiments (4). Recent studies have both corroborated and contradicted these observations. Chromatin endogenous cleavage (ChEC) and psoralen experiments indicated that active rDNA is devoid of histones (23), whereas chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and genetic studies led to the conclusion that active rDNA has at least a few histones and is associated with chromatin-remodeling enzymes (15, 31, 34). Thus, although there appear to be differences between transcribed and nontranscribed rDNA coding regions, their exact nature remains to be elucidated.

Trypanosoma brucei is a unicellular eukaryote that, in the human host, lives in the bloodstream and extracellular tissue spaces, causing the fatal disease called human African trypanosomiasis, or sleeping sickness. As far as we know, T. brucei is the only eukaryote that uses Pol I to transcribe genes other than rDNA. This is possible because transcription and mRNA capping are uncoupled in this parasite and other Kinetoplastidae, which enables Pol I to transcribe rDNA and genes that encode proteins, including the variant surface glycoproteins (VSGs) and procyclins (reviewed in reference 30).

Tight regulation of VSG transcription by Pol I would seem to be essential for parasite survival. T. brucei evades the immune system by periodically switching among antigenically distinct VSGs, a mechanism known as antigenic variation. Although there are hundreds of VSG genes in the genome, only one VSG is expressed at a time (reviewed in reference 7). VSG genes are transcribed only if they are positioned in a specialized subtelomeric locus called a bloodstream-form expression site (BES), which is transcribed polycistronically from a Pol I promoter that is located ~50 kb upstream from the VSG (10). Identification of the first complex of Pol I transcription factors suggests that BES and rDNA share at least the basic Pol I transcription machinery (3).
BES transcriptional status is inherited from one generation to the next, and switching between BESs does not involve DNA rearrangements, suggesting that it is regulated epigenetically. The first players involved in the epigenetic control of VSG regulation have been recently identified: a chromatin remodeler (ISWI), a histone methyltransferase (DOT1B), and a telomeric protein (RAP1) (8, 13, 33). Depletion of these genes is associated with an increase in transcripts from silent BESs, indicating a role in BES silencing. However, it is still unclear exactly how these factors affect the BES chromatin structure. Further evidence that active and silent BESs probably have different chromatin conformations comes from two studies that showed the active BES to be more sensitive to single-strand-specific endonucleases and pancreatic DNase than silent BESs (9, 27).

It remains a mystery why T. brucei uses Pol I to transcribe VSGs, although it is commonly accepted that this RNA polymerase may allow for a faster rate of VSG mRNA synthesis, which is probably necessary to produce the 7 to 10% of cellular protein that VSG represents. In this study we investigated the chromatin structure of coding regions in active and silent BESs and we compared it to that of the rDNA and Pol II-transcribed genes. We used pairs of isogenic cell lines in which a specific BES was either transcriptionally active or silent. Using three independent methods, we demonstrated that the chromatin of the active BES is dramatically different from that of silent BESs. The active BES was depleted of nucleosomes, and those that remained were irregularly spaced. Coding sections of rDNA were also nucleosome depleted, whereas actively transcribed Pol II genes showed a regularly organized nucleosomal structure. Our study provides new insight on monoallelic expression by showing that chromatin remodeling is an intrinsic feature of BES regulation.

**Materials and Methods**

Strains and growth medium. *T. brucei* bloodstream form cells (strain Lister 427, antigenic type MiTat 1.2, clone 221a) (14) were cultured at 37°C in HMI-9 medium (11). BES double-tagged cell lines were generated as described previously (18) but with several modifications. A total of 2×10^7 cells was prepared as described above for ChIP analysis. For the final results to be independent of gene copy number, a “total DNA” control was included in which the same protocol was followed except that cross-linking was omitted. Sonicated chromatin was submitted to two consecutive phenol-chloroform extractions, and DNA was ethanol precipitated overnight in the presence of 20 μg/ml of glycogen, resuspended in elution buffer (Qiagen), and treated with 100 μg/ml of RNase at 37°C for 1 h. Quantification of the FAIRE and total DNA samples was performed by real-time PCR as described above.

**Results**

The active BES is depleted of histone H3. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments were performed using an anti-H3 antibody in the cell line PUR-VSG2, where the actively transcribed expression site (BES1) contains a puromycin-resistance gene (PUR) downstream of the promoter and VSG427-2 (abbreviated to VSG2) proximal to the telomere (Fig. 1A). Quantification of immunoprecipitated DNA by real-time PCR showed that both PUR and VSG2 were immunoprecipitated very inefficiently (0.5% of input, which is very close to background levels) (Fig. 1B). In contrast, VSG genes located at silent BESs (VSG3 and VSG18) (10) were immunoprecipitated much more efficiently (8% of input) (Fig. 1B). These results suggested that the actively transcribed BES contains at least 10-fold less H3 than the silent BESs.

To test if H3 depletion is a general phenomenon of Pol I transcription, we measured the H3 content at rDNA loci using PCR primers for the rDNA spacer, 18S, and 28S rDNA subunits (Fig. 1A). The actively transcribed 18S and 28S rDNA regions were also depleted of H3 relative to the rDNA spacer although the difference was less dramatic (5-fold) than the difference between active and inactive BESs (Fig. 1B). The immunoprecipitation efficiency was 4- to 10-fold higher for 18S and 28S than for PUR and VSG2, implying that rDNA is less depleted of nucleosomes than the active BES. This value is independent of gene copy

---

**Table 1. T. brucei cell lines used in this study**

| Cell line       | Drug resistance gene (BES location) | Studied genes and transcription status | Original name |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| PUR-VSG2        | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES7)              | PUR, VSG2                             | BF-LF17.9     |
| NEO-VSG2        | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES7)              | NEO, VSG3                             | BF-LF17.9a.1  |
| PUR-VSG2(b)     | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES4)              | PUR, VSG2                             | BF-LF17.21    |
| NEO-VSG21       | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES4)              | NEO, VSG21                            | BF-LF17.21s1  |
| PUR-VSG2(c)     | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES17)             | PUR, VSG2                             | BF-LF17.13    |
| NEO-VSG13       | PUR (BES1) NEO (BES17)             | NEO, VSG13                            | BF-LF17.13s2  |

* According to reference 10.
number since the ChIP efficiency is expressed as a percentage of the input material.

Experiments in which reporter constructs were integrated at different Pol II and Pol I loci have shown that transcription of a typical Pol II locus is about 10-fold less efficient than that of a Pol I-transcribed locus (21). To test if H3 depletion extended to Pol II-transcribed regions, we used ChIP to detect H3 at a Pol I-transcribed locus (21). To test if H3 depletion extended a typical Pol II locus is about 10-fold less efficient than that of different Pol II and Pol I loci have shown that transcription of rDNA unit of the PUR-VSG2 cell line. Horizontal bars denote the regions amplified by real-time PCR. Exact locations and sizes of PCR products are detailed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The diagram is not to scale. (B) H3 immunoprecipitation in PUR-VSG2 cells. PUR and VSG2 are located in BES1, which is the actively transcribed expression site. DNA was quantified by real-time PCR and compared to the total input material. PUR, puromycin resistance gene; Act, actin; βTub, β-tubulin.

FIG. 1. Histone H3 is depleted from the active BES and rDNA coding regions. (A) Diagram of the actively transcribed BES1 and an rDNA unit of the PUR-VSG2 cell line. Horizontal bars denote the regions amplified by real-time PCR. Exact locations and sizes of PCR products are detailed in Table S1 in the supplemental material. The diagram is not to scale. (B) H3 immunoprecipitation in PUR-VSG2 cells. PUR and VSG2 are located in BES1, which is the actively transcribed expression site. DNA was quantified by real-time PCR and compared to the total input material. PUR, puromycin resistance gene; Act, actin; βTub, β-tubulin.
start site revealed a FAIRE enrichment around 40-fold higher than for the rDNA spacer and a level similar to that for the 18S and 28S/H9251 coding regions (data not shown), confirming that actively transcribed rDNA is less tightly bound to nucleosomes.

Histone H3 variant is not responsible for the open chromatin structure at the active BES. *T. brucei* histone H3 variant (H3V) has been mapped to telomeres (18) and to the ends of Pol II polycistronic units by genome-wide studies (28). Although H3V was undetectable at the actively transcribed BES with previously used techniques (18), we used ChIP and FAIRE to confirm its absence from the active BES. Because H3V is not essential for viability, we were concerned that it might be replaced by the canonical H3 in the H3V knockout (H3V KO) cell line. If this were correct, a ChIP experiment with an anti-canonical H3 antibody in an H3V KO background should reveal an increase in H3 ChIP at the active BES. However, no changes were detected in the levels of canonical H3 at the actively transcribed VSG between the wild-type and H3V KO cell lines (18) (Fig. 4A), suggesting that there was no replacement of putative H3V by H3 at the active BES. As expected, the H3 ChIP profile of other coding regions known to lack H3V (two silent VSGs, actin, and H9252-tubulin) was identical in the two cell lines.

Our results show that the low level of H3 at the active BES is not due to its replacement by H3V. Together with the

**FIG. 2.** Regularly spaced nucleosomes are mostly missing from the active BES. (A) Diagram of two isogenic cell lines with different active BESs. In PUR-VSG2, PUR and VSG2 are actively transcribed from BES1. In NEO-VSG3, NEO and VSG3 are actively transcribed from BES7, whereas PUR and VSG2 are silent (Table 1). BES1 and BES7 are about 60 and 45 kb long, respectively. The diagram is not to scale. (B) After progressive treatment of permeabilized cells with 0.2 units of MNase, Southern blotting was performed with VSG2, PUR, and β-tubulin probes.
FAIRE and MNase experiments described above, we can conclude that the active BES has fewer nucleosomes than silent BESs.

DISCUSSION

Chromatin remodeling and antigenic variation. Our study, together with the concurrent work of Stanne and Rudenko (29), provides new insight on monoallelic expression by showing that chromatin remodeling is an intrinsic feature of BES regulation. We observed that chromatin of active and silent BESs was dramatically different, with the active BES having almost undetectable levels of histones and showing a disorganized and open conformation. These results explain why the active BES is more sensitive to single-strand-specific endonucleases and pancreatic DNase (9, 27). Previous micrococcal nuclease digestions had failed to show a difference in nucleosomal patterns between active and silent BESs (9, 27). It is not clear why such differences were not detected, but if, for example, the active VSG was a single-copy gene, two overlapping signals would have been detected: a smear from the active allele and a regular nucleosome ladder from the silent allele(s). The combination of the two signals would probably look like a nucleosome ladder from a silent single-copy VSG. Besides, because the authors did not have isogenic cell lines, the active and silent VSG genes were detected with two different probes, which may have hybridized differently.

The active BES is transcribed in a subnuclear site known as the expression site body (26), and this unique environment may provide an optimum environment to sequester the Pol I transcriptional machinery and the chromatin-related factors necessary to keep the active BES in an open conformation, such as remodeling enzymes, histone chaperones, and histone-modifying enzymes. In contrast to the chromatin of Pol I-transcribed
genes, Pol II-transcribed genes are organized in a regularly spaced nucleosomal structure. This further suggests that a specialized machinery may exist to exclusively open the chromatin of Pol I-transcribed genes.

In a closely related species, Leishmania tarentolae, spliced leader RNA genes, which are transcribed by Pol II, were also depleted of nucleosomes at both promoter and coding regions, with only one nucleosome present at the nontranscribed region (12). However, because these genes are transcribed mononucleosonically and each unit is very small (300 to 360 bp), there is space for a maximum of only two nucleosomes. It is therefore difficult to predict if the process that displaces one of the two nucleosomes is identical to the one that we observed in this study, in which nucleosomes are most likely depleted from throughout BES (~40 kb).

For antigenic variation to occur, a few cells of a population need to express an antigenically distinct VSG. This is most commonly achieved by homologous recombination (30). It was recently shown that an artificial double-strand break (DSB) induces VSG switching and that natural DSBs occur frequently at the active BES but not at silent BESs (2). It has been previously proposed that the frequency of such breaks is related to telomere length (5). Here, we propose an alternative model in which the chromatin structure of the actively transcribed BES makes it more susceptible to DSBs and to switching.

*T. brucei* as a model organism to study chromatin status during Pol I transcription. Studying the chromatin structure of regions transcribed by Pol I in higher eukaryotes has been difficult due to the lack of rapid and sensitive assays that can distinguish individual active and silent rDNA units. Several features make the BES family (10) an ideal system to study the regulation of Pol I transcription: 14 of the 15 BESs have unique sequences, which allows them to be distinguished by molecular means; only one member is transcribed at a time instead of a variable number; and there are molecular tools to select the active BES. This system allowed us to unequivocally determine the chromatin structure of the single active BES and to compare it to the structure of silent BESs and other genomic loci.

In yeast and mammalian cells, several studies have provided contradictory evidence regarding the role of chromatin in the regulation of Pol I transcription (reviewed in reference 22). Because these studies were unable to examine individual rDNA units, the data represent the average of hundreds of rDNA units, and, therefore, it remains unclear if transcribed and nontranscribed rDNAs have comparable nucleosome densities. In this report, using ChIP, MNase digestion, and FAIRE, we provide unequivocal evidence that the chromatin of the active BES is nucleosome depleted. We could not determine the chromatin structure of the expression site-associated genes (ESAGs), which are located between the promoter and the VSG gene because they are highly conserved among BESs. An open chromatin structure was also found within actively transcribed *T. brucei* rDNA. Transcriptionally silent BES and the rDNA nonspaced spacer, in contrast, are nucleosome rich, as are Pol II-transcribed genes. Our results demonstrate, at a single-locus level, that chromatin transcribed by Pol I is dramatically depleted of nucleosomes.

It is noteworthy that the levels of H3 at the rDNA coding regions are significantly higher than at the genes in the active BES (Fig. 1B), as was also observed by Stanne and Rudenko (29). This could be because not all nine rDNA units in *T. brucei* are transcribed. This hypothesis has been proposed before (1) but has never been formally tested because rDNA units are almost identical in sequence and therefore impossible to study individually.

In summary, we have demonstrated that *T. brucei* opens new avenues to study chromatin at Pol I-transcribed loci while we obtained critical information relevant to antigenic variation. Future studies should aim to reveal the players involved in chromatin remodeling at BESs, which are also likely to be important for rDNA regulation in *T. brucei* and other eukaryotes.
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