Intracellular signaling through the *comRS* system in *Streptococcus mutans* genetic competence
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Abstract

Entry into genetic competence in streptococci is controlled by ComX, an alternative sigma factor for genes that enable the import of exogenous DNA. In *Streptococcus mutans*, the immediate activator of *comX* is the ComRS signaling system, which consists of the cytosolic receptor ComR and the 7-residue signal peptide XIP, which is derived from ComS. Extracellular XIP imported by an oligopeptide permease interacts with ComR to form a transcriptional activator for both *comX* and *comS*. Therefore, extracellular XIP can function as an exogenous signal to trigger *S. mutans* competence. However, the mechanisms that process ComS and export it as XIP are not fully known in *S. mutans*. The observation that *comX* is expressed bimodally under some environmental conditions suggests that ComR may also interact with endogenously produced XIP or ComS, creating an intracellular positive feedback loop in *comS* transcription. Here we use single cell and microfluidic methods to compare the effects of the native *comS* gene and extracellular XIP on *comX* expression. We find that deletion of *comS* reduces the response of *comX* to extracellular XIP. We also find that *comS*-overexpressing cells autoactivate their *comX* even when their growth medium is rapidly exchanged, although this autoactivation requires an intact copy of *comS* under control of its own promoter. However *comS*-overexpressing cells do not activate *comS*-deficient mutants growing in coculture. These data show that individual cells can activate *comX* without exporting or importing the XIP or ComS signal, and that endogenously and exogenously produced ComS/XIP have inequivalent effects on *comX* behavior. These data are fully consistent with a model in which intracellular positive
feedback in $\text{comS}$ transcription plays a role in ComRS signaling, and is responsible for the bimodal expression of $\text{comX}$.

**Author Summary**

Heterogeneous gene expression in genetically identical populations plays an important role in bacterial persistence and survival under changing environmental conditions. In the oral pathogen *Streptococcus mutans*, the physiological state of genetic competence can exhibit bimodality, with only some cells becoming competent. *S. mutans* controls its entry into competence by using the ComRS signaling system to activate $\text{comX}$, a gene encoding the master competence regulator ComX. The ComRS system is understood as a quorum sensing system, in which the extracellular accumulation of the small signal peptide XIP, derived from ComS, induces $\text{comX}$ expression. We coupled observation of bacteria that fluoresce when $\text{comX}$ is active with mathematical analysis and chemical binding assays to show that activation of $\text{comX}$ does not necessarily require extracellular XIP or ComS, and that $\text{comX}$-active cells do not necessarily export XIP. Our experiments and mathematical modeling indicate that a positive feedback loop in $\text{comS}$ transcription allows a cell to activate $\text{comX}$ in response to its own XIP or ComS in the absence of extracellular XIP, or to amplify its $\text{comX}$ response to extracellular XIP if present. Such positive feedback loops are often the cause of bimodal gene expression like that seen in *S. mutans* competence.
**Introduction**

*Streptococcus mutans* inhabits human oral biofilms and is an important etiological agent of dental caries [1]. Many of the behaviors of *S. mutans* that facilitate its growth, competition, stress tolerance, and virulence are linked to the regulation of genetic competence, a transient physiological state during which the organism can import DNA from its environment. Biofilm formation, bacteriocin biosynthesis, tolerance of oxidative and pH stresses, carbohydrate utilization and many other behaviors of *S. mutans* interact with the pathway that controls entry into competence [2-7]. This competence pathway is complex, as it receives input from extracellular peptide signals and environmental cues that include pH [8, 9], carbohydrate source [10] and other growth conditions [11]. Competence regulation in *S. mutans* also involves mechanisms of regulatory feedback that can drive bimodality and other complex behaviors [11-14]. Consequently, although many elements of the *S. mutans* competence pathway have been described in detail, several key elements of the mechanisms and dynamics of regulation are not well understood.

*S. mutans* initiates entry into the competent state by increasing the transcription of the *comX* gene (sometimes referred to as *sigX*), which encodes an alternative sigma factor that is required for the expression of approximately 30 late competence genes [15]. Many of these genes encode products that are required for DNA uptake, processing of single-stranded DNA and homologous recombination [15, 16]. Expression of *comX* is controlled by the peptides CSP and XIP, and the efficacy of these peptides is strongly influenced by environmental conditions. CSP (competence stimulating peptide) is derived by cleavage of a 21-residue peptide from ComC and export through an ATP-
binding cassette transporter. It is further processed to the active 18-residue peptide by
the SepM protease [17]. Extracellular CSP is detected by the two-component signal
transduction system ComDE, with the phosphorylated response regulator ComE
activating genes for bacteriocin synthesis and immunity. ComE does not directly
activate comX. Rather it affects comX indirectly via the intracellular bacteriocin CipB,
through a pathway that is not understood [18].

In S. mutans and streptococci of the salivarius, bovis and pyogenic groups, the
immediate regulator of comX is the ComRS system. ComR is an Rgg-like cytosolic
transcriptional regulator and the type II ComS of S. mutans is a 17-residue peptide. The
C-terminus of ComS contains the 7-residue small hydrophobic peptide XIP (sigX-
inducing peptide). Extracellular XIP is imported by the Opp permease and interacts with
ComR to form a transcriptional activator for both comX and comS [19, 20]. Notably, the
S. mutans competence pathway contains at least two elements of positive feedback, as
XIP/ComR activates comS and ComX activates comE expression [19, 20].

An intriguing property of S. mutans competence is that although exogenous CSP
and XIP can both activate comX and induce transformability, they do so under different
environmental conditions and they elicit qualitatively different behaviors in the
expression of comX [11]. Exogenous CSP elicits a bimodal response in which less than
half of the population activates comX; exogenous XIP elicits a unimodal response in
which all cells in the population activate comX. Further, CSP activates comX only in
complex media containing small peptides, and only in cells carrying an intact comS.
Activation by CSP does not require the permease gene opp. By contrast, exogenous
XIP activates comX only in defined media lacking small peptides [11, 21], and only in
cells that carry opp. Activation by XIP does not require comS [20]. Therefore, although exogenous XIP can compensate for a comS deletion and activate comX, the bimodal comX response still requires an intact comS gene.

The observation that competence in several streptococcal species is directly stimulated by an extracellular ComS-derived peptide suggests that ComRS constitutes a novel type of Gram positive quorum signaling system, in which the ComS-derived signal XIP is processed and secreted, accumulates in the extracellular medium, and is then reimported. This interpretation in S. mutans is supported by several experimental observations. First, cells that carry opp take up exogenous XIP (in defined medium) and activate comX with high efficiency [20, 21]. Second, exogenous synthetic XIP is dramatically more effective in stimulating transformability than is exogenous full-length ComS [20]. Third, filtrates of S. mutans cultures grown to OD$_{550} = 0.4$ in defined medium were able to stimulate a PcomX reporter strain [21]. Similarly, LC-MS/MS analysis of supernatants of S. mutans cultures grown to high density [22, 23] showed evidence of XIP. Fourth, a transposon mutagenesis screen in S. pyogenes identified the widely conserved pptAB ABC transporter as a possible exporter of short hydrophobic peptides of the ComS type [24], raising the possibility that S. mutans may also possess a dedicated export mechanism for ComS or XIP.

However, although dedicated mechanisms that process and export the CSP signal in S. mutans are well characterized, corresponding mechanisms for S. mutans ComS remain to be identified. Although there is evidence that the Eep membrane protease facilitates the processing of S. thermophilus ComS [25], Eep was not found to be involved in the processing of S. mutans ComS [22]. Further, although S. mutans
possesses a PptAB-like exporter with a fairly high degree of homology to PptAB of \textit{S. pyogenes}, deletion of \textit{pptAB} in \textit{S. mutans} had only a weak effect on competence induction in mid-exponential phase cultures [24]. These findings leave open the question of how ComS is processed to XIP and exported. Recent co-culture studies [26] showed that deletion of the autolysin gene \textit{atlA} impeded the ability of \textit{comS\textendash}overexpressing cells to induce \textit{comX} in cocultured cells that lacked \textit{comS}. This finding suggests that XIP in \textit{S. mutans} lacks its own exporter and is released from the cells primarily through lysis.

The import of XIP presents an additional puzzle for ComRS quorum signaling, as the permease OppA is not required for exogenous CSP to activate \textit{comX}, but is required for XIP to activate \textit{comX}. It has been suggested that bacteriocin production induced by CSP may create another entry route for extracellular XIP by increasing membrane permeability [12]. However, such a model implies, contrary to data [11], that CSP should also induce \textit{comX} in defined growth media. In addition this permeability model does not explain the characteristic bimodal response of \textit{comX} to extracellular CSP, as the CSP/ComDE pathway induces bacteriocin genes such as \textit{cipB} unimodally (population-wide) [20].

The lack of an established mechanism for processing and export of ComS, and the experimental link between \textit{comX} bimodality and the endogenous production (via \textit{comS}) – but not the import (via Opp) – of XIP raise the question of whether the ComRS system can activate \textit{comX} through purely intracellular signaling, at least under some environmental conditions. Intracellular transcriptional feedback is often a cause of bimodality in bacterial gene expression [27]. An ability to activate \textit{comX} through
endogenously produced, intracellular ComS (or XIP) would potentially allow individual
*S. mutans* within a population to exhibit different competence behavior, without requiring
accumulation or import of extracellular XIP [14]. Here we used a combination of
microfluidic and continuous flow experiments, including coculture studies using *comS*
deletion and *comS* overexpressing strains, to test whether activation of *comX* is
necessarily accompanied by import or export of XIP and to assess the contribution of
endogenous ComS production to *comX* activation in individual cells.

**Results**

**An intact copy of *comS* alters the *comX* response to exogenous XIP**

Fig. 1A compares the *comX* response to exogenous XIP in *S. mutans* UA159
(wild-type) and Δ*comS* deletion genetic backgrounds, as measured by the fluorescence
of a *P*comX-*gfp* plasmidal reporter. Cells were imaged while adhered within a
microfluidic chamber and supplied with a constant flow of defined medium (FMC)
containing synthetic XIP. Although both strains respond to exogenous XIP, the Δ*comS*
strain consistently showed roughly 1.5-fold lower *P*comX activity than the wild type, at
all XIP concentrations. Even saturating concentrations of exogenous XIP did not induce
*comX* to equivalent levels in the wild-type and Δ*comS* strains. This result is similar to
the roughly two-fold difference in XIP-induced transformability observed for the wild-type
and Δ*comS* strains in [20]. Fig. 1B shows that the threshold for *comX* response
occurred at a roughly 2-fold lower XIP concentration in UA159 than in the Δ*comS* strain.
Therefore the deletion of *comS* both elevated the threshold for a response to
extracellular XIP and reduced the overall response at saturation.
The deletion of \textit{comS} also affected cell-to-cell variability (noise) in \textit{comX} expression. Figs. 1C and 1D show that the histograms of reporter fluorescence differ in wild-type and \textit{ΔcomS} cells. Wild type showed a generally broader (noisier) \textit{comX} response than did \textit{ΔcomS}. We quantified this difference by fitting the histograms to a gamma distribution $\Gamma(n \mid a, b)$, a two-parameter probability distribution that can be used to model cell-to-cell variability in $n$, the copy number for a bacterial protein [28]. In a simple physical model, the parameter $a$ of the gamma distribution is related to the number of mRNAs produced during the cell division time, while $b$ is related to the number of protein copies produced per mRNA transcript [29]. As shown in Fig. 1E and 1F, the UA159 background has a roughly 2-fold higher value for parameter $a$ (transcription rate), while parameter $b$ (translation) is similar for the two strains. As this difference persists even at XIP concentrations exceeding 1 $\mu$M, these data demonstrate again that deletion of the native \textit{comS} significantly affects \textit{comX} expression, even when excess extracellular XIP is provided at concentrations that saturate the \textit{comX} response.

\textbf{Fluid replacement did not alter induction of \textit{comX} in a \textit{comS} overexpressing strain}

To test whether \textit{comX} activation in complex growth media requires the accumulation of extracellular XIP, we tested the effect of fluid flow rate in \textit{comS} overexpressing cells that were adhered in a microfluidic flow chamber. Cells carrying the 184\textit{comS} overexpression plasmid had previously been observed to activate \textit{comX} in defined medium lacking exogenous CSP or XIP [26]. We anticipated that in an experiment where the cells were immobilized and supplied with a continuous flow of
fresh medium (lacking XIP or CSP), high flow rates would remove extracellular, secreted XIP (or ComS), leading to diminished comX activity. We loaded 184comS P_{comX-rfp} cells, which carry both the comS overexpression plasmid and a plasmid-borne P_{comX-rfp} reporter, into four different microfluidic flow chambers. Chambers were supplied with fresh complex medium flowing at rates between 0.02 ml h^{-1} and 1 ml h^{-1}. These flow rates were sufficient to completely replace the growth medium within each chamber on time intervals ranging from 6 seconds to 10 minutes. We also studied (1) a P_{comX-rfp} reporter in a UA159 background (negative control), and (2) a 184comS overproducing strain that was lacking a start codon (ATG point-mutated to AAG) on its chromosomal comS (184comS P_{comX-rfp ΔcomS}).

Figs. 2A-2B show that the negative control (wild-type background) did not activate P_{comX}. However, the overexpression strain carrying the intact chromosomal copy of comS showed a highly heterogeneous response, indicating that a subpopulation of these cells strongly activated comX in the flowing complex medium. However, the rate of fluid flow had little effect on either the median or the variance in comX expression. Fig. 2B shows the fluorescence of the individual cells whose signal exceeded the maximum P_{comX} activity (roughly 14 fluorescence units) seen in the UA159 negative control. The median comX activity in the comS-overexpressing cells did not decline at the highest flow rates; instead it showed a weak increase, which was smaller than the cell-to-cell variability. These data show that overexpression of comS can allow S. mutans to activate comX, even in complex media where import of XIP is normally inhibited. The finding that this activation is unaffected by very rapid replacement of the medium implies that comX response in the comS overexpression
strain does not require extracellular XIP (or ComS) to accumulate in the medium. However this comX response does require a chromosomal copy of comS: Fig. 2B shows that very few ΔcomS cells activated comX, even though they harbored the comS overexpression plasmid. Together with Fig. 1, these data show that the chromosomal comS plays a role in comX activation that is not fully complemented either by saturating concentrations of exogenous XIP or by endogenous overproduction of ComS.

Chromosomal comS increases the number of comS mRNA transcripts

Figure 1 suggests that transcription from the native comS plays an important role in the response of the wild-type to exogenous XIP, while Figure 2 suggests that the native comS is essential to the self-activation of the ComS-overexpression strain. Therefore we used RT-qPCR to measure comS and comX transcript copy numbers in mid-exponential phase cultures of these strains. Strains were grown in complex medium (BHI) or defined medium (FMC) ± synthetic XIP, and 16S rRNA was used as a control for normalization. The comS PCR (Fig. 3A) shows that exogenously added XIP significantly enhanced comS transcription in the wild type grown in defined medium, compared to controls lacking XIP or grown in complex medium. In addition, 184comS cells grown in complex medium displayed comS transcript levels that were significantly higher than in 184comS ΔcomS, and were similar to the XIP-supplemented wild type. As expected, the ΔcomS strain in defined medium showed only a baseline signal for transcription, whether or not XIP was provided. The comX transcript levels were low compared to comS, and spanned a smaller range overall, with a statistically significant enhancement only in the wild type grown with XIP (Fig. 3B). Overall these data verify
that addition of exogenous XIP (in defined medium) enhances \textit{comS} transcription, and
that the chromosomal copy of \textit{comS} significantly boosts transcription even when ComS is overexpressed from a plasmid.

\textbf{Population density of \textit{comS} overexpressing cells does not determine the \textit{comX} response}

To test whether \textit{comS}-overexpressing cells release extracellular XIP that can activate \textit{comX} in \textit{ΔcomS} cells, we measured \textit{comX} activation in co-cultures of \textit{PcomX-rfp} (senders) and \textit{PcomX-gfp ΔcomS} (receivers). We prepared cocultures by mixing sender (\textit{comS} overexpressing) and receiver (\textit{comS} deficient) cultures in different volume ratios. We loaded the cocultures into microfluidic chambers containing static, defined medium (FMC) without exogenous XIP. We anticipated that, if senders released XIP (or ComS) into the extracellular medium, both senders (RFP reporter) and receivers (GFP reporter) would respond by activating \textit{comX}, and that the average activation would increase with the ratio of senders to receivers.

We analyzed the green and red fluorescence of the cocultures to generate histograms of individual cell fluorescence that reveal both the sender (red) and receiver (green) \textit{comX} response, shown in Figs. 4A and 4B. Representative microscopy images are shown in Figs. 4C-4H. As expected, a control chamber containing only receiver cells showed enhanced GFP fluorescence but only baseline RFP fluorescence in response to 50 nM exogenous XIP (second column in Fig. 4A, 4B, and Fig. 4D). Similarly, a control chamber containing only sender cells showed enhanced RFP fluorescence but only baseline GFP fluorescence (rightmost column in Figs. 4A, 4B, and Fig. 4H). When
exogenous XIP was not provided, the GFP fluorescence of cocultures showed no
systematic increase with the sender/receiver ratio, over a four-hour period. The GFP
fluorescence histograms remained at the baseline level of the negative control (ΔcomS
strain alone) in the first column of Fig. 4A. Further, the GFP response of the cocultures
did not change appreciably over a period of four hours (Supplemental Fig. S1). Even
when present in abundance, senders did not activate comX in the ΔcomS receivers.

By contrast, the median RFP fluorescence of the co-cultures (Fig. 4B, 4F-4H) did
increase at high sender/receiver ratios. The median RFP fluorescence of cells in the
coculture increased approximately in proportion to the density of senders, as expected if
each sender activated its own comX. RFP fluorescence was constant over a period of
four hours (Supplemental Fig. S1). These data show that although overexpression of
comS stimulates comX within individual cells, this activation does not cause
extracellular, diffusible XIP to accumulate at levels that are capable of activating nearby
ΔcomS cells.

Growth phase-dependent release of XIP

We previously showed that intercellular signaling by S. mutans ComRS is
impeded by deletion of the atlA gene, encoding a major autolysin. Loss of AtlA inhibits
cell lysis, which appears to occur primarily in stationary phase [26]. We therefore tested
whether signaling from a sender (comS overexpressing) strain to a receiver (ΔcomS)
strain is enhanced in the latter phases of growth. We prepared sender/receiver co-
cultures in different ratios in defined medium. Every 2 h the pH of the cultures was
adjusted to 7.0 by addition of 2 N NaOH, the OD_{600} was recorded, and an aliquot of the
culture was collected for fluorescence imaging of the *comX* promoter activity. Low pH suppresses the *comX* response, so the pH adjustment ensures that cells are able to respond to *comX*-activating signals when present [9, 13]. The GFP fluorescence histograms of Fig. 5A show that *comX* expression in the ΔcomS strain is slightly higher at 12 h than at 2 h or 8 h. This increase was more pronounced at higher ratios of sender to receiver cells, consistent with increased release of XIP from the senders in late growth. The histograms of Fig. 5B show a strong RFP response (due to senders) as in Fig. 4B, with a slightly stronger response at earlier times.

The median GFP and RFP signals in the above histograms do not shift dramatically with either time or co-culture ratio. However the histograms in Fig. 5A suggest moderate, density-dependent increases in receiver (green) fluorescence at 12 h. Figs. 5C and 5D highlight these changes by showing the value of the 99th percentile of red and green fluorescence respectively in the culture, versus optical density. In Fig. 5C the response of the most active receivers increases slightly at higher OD$_{600}$ values, a change that is slightly more pronounced at higher sender:receiver ratios. By contrast Fig. 5D shows no strong trend in the fluorescence of the 99th percentile of senders, versus OD$_{600}$. None of the cocultures exhibited as strong a GFP response as the positive control (receiver + 50 nM exogenous XIP, Fig. 5C), indicating that even after 12 h extracellular XIP had not accumulated to the level used for the positive control. Overall these data are consistent with a robust autoactivation of the
comS overexpressing senders, accompanied by some release of XIP (or ComS) to the extracellular medium in the late stages of growth.

**Fluorescence polarization shows binding of ComR to comS and comX promoters**

The observation of comX activation in the overexpressing (sender) strain, without significant accumulation of XIP in the extracellular medium, implies that activation of comX does not require export and reimport of XIP or ComS, if the chromosomal copy of comS is intact. To test whether ComS could serve as an intracellular signal to activate comX, we tested whether unprocessed ComS and ComR bind specifically to the comS and comX promoters *in vitro*. We performed a fluorescence polarization assay, using purified recombinant ComR, synthetic XIP or ComS, and a fluorescently labeled DNA oligomer corresponding to the *S. mutans* comX promoter region containing the ComR binding site. Fig. 6A shows the fluorescence polarization versus ComR concentration, both in the presence and absence of excess (10 µM) ComS or XIP. Because of the excess of peptide (10 µM) relative to fluorescent probe (1 nM), the probe polarization depends primarily on the concentration of ComR added. In the absence of XIP or ComS, ComR caused a weak rise in the fluorescence polarization of the DNA oligomer, indicating poor binding affinity, as has been observed for several *Streptococcus* species [19]. However, in the presence of ComS or XIP the binding isotherm saturated at moderate ComR concentrations, indicating formation of a complex with higher affinity for the comX promoter. Histidine tagging of ComR was found to reduce this affinity, as shown in Supplemental Fig. S2.
Fig. 6B shows a competition assay in which unlabeled ('cold') P\textit{comX} and P\textit{comS} DNA oligomers (which differ by three base pairs) having the same stem-loop structure as the labeled probe were titrated into samples containing 1.5 \(\mu\)M ComR, 10 \(\mu\)M ComS or XIP, and the labeled DNA (1 nM). The systematic decrease in polarization is consistent with competition for ComR. The unlabeled P\textit{comS} and P\textit{comX} probes appear to have identical affinity for ComR.

Fig. 6A indicates that ComS interacts with ComR and the DNA probe, although with weaker affinity than XIP. It also shows that at saturating ComR, inclusion of ComS produces approximately half of the total fluorescence polarization that is elicited by an equivalent concentration of XIP. As the fluorescent probe is present at very low concentration, this difference may suggest that ComS and XIP induce a qualitatively different interaction between the ComR/peptide complex and the DNA probe. The solid curves in Fig. 6A and 6B are calculated from a two-step, cooperative binding model (\textit{Methods}) in which the dissociation constants for the ComR/peptide complex (\(k_1\)) and the complex/promoter (\(k_2\)), as well as the order of multimerization \(n\) of the ComR/peptide complex, are variables. As discussed in \textit{Methods}, the data are consistent with a range of values for these parameters, but generally we obtain micromolar values for \(k_1\) and nanomolar \(k_2\) for both ComS and XIP, and similar cooperativity \(n\) for both peptides. The curves in the figure show the model with a roughly two fold difference in the ComR dissociation constants for ComS (\(k_1 = 3.2 \mu\)M, \(n = 2.5\)) and XIP (\(k_1 = 7.3 \mu\)M, \(n = 2.4\)). Although the data clearly indicate that both ComS and XIP interact with ComR to bind the DNA probe, they do not permit a precise determination of the ComS and XIP interaction parameters.
Data are consistent with an intracellular feedback loop in comS transcription

The different behavior of the wild-type and comS deletion strains in Fig. 1A and the effect of comS deletion in Fig. 2A show that transcription from an intact comS under native control has an effect on comX expression that cannot be duplicated either by exogenous XIP or by a ComS overexpression plasmid. One such effect could be transcriptional positive feedback in which the chromosomal copy of comS produces ComS that is retained in the cell and stimulates a high level of comS transcription, more than is achieved with a ComS overexpression plasmid alone. Import of extracellular XIP would likely stimulate such a feedback mechanism. The resulting enhanced intracellular levels of ComS, if they activate comX efficiently, could account for the important role of natural control of comS and the lack of evidence for XIP release by comX-active cells.

The feedback mechanism, including the interplay between imported XIP and the chromosomal comS, is illustrated in Fig. 7A.

A mathematical model of this mechanism is tested in Fig. 7B. The model, which is further described in the Supplemental Information, assumes that (1) ComR can form a complex with either ComS or XIP; (2) extracellular XIP is able to enter the cell (in defined growth medium); (3) neither ComS nor XIP is exported, although endogenously produced ComS may be converted to XIP. Motivated by the differences in the saturated fluorescence polarization with ComS and XIP, the model further assumes that the order of multimerization in the ComR/peptide complex that binds DNA is \( n = 1 \) (ComS) or \( n = 2 \) (XIP) (see Discussion). Finally, the maximal rate of transcription (ComX production)
was allowed to depend on whether a ComR/XIP or a ComR/ComS complex was bound to the promoter.

The steady states of the dynamical system are found from the nullclines of the differential equations of the model (Methods). We found that if the ComR/XIP and ComR/ComS complexes are permitted to give different maximal transcription rates, the model reproduces the different $comX$ expression in the $\Delta comS$ and wild-type backgrounds in Fig. 1A. Further, if only XIP (but not full-length ComS) interacts with ComR to activate $comX$, the model fails to reproduce the Fig. 1A data, giving instead identical $comX$ activation in both the $\Delta comS$ and wild-type backgrounds. Parameter values for the best fit and 90th and 10th percentiles of a bootstrap uncertainty analysis are reported in Supporting Information Table S2. Parameters were found to preserve the relative orders of magnitude of the dissociation constants of the transcriptional activators found in Fig. 6, with the best fit giving a transcription rate that is between 4-fold and 200-fold greater for the ComS-ComR bound promoter than for XIP-ComR activation of the gene.

**Discussion**

The ComRS system found in mutans, salivarius, pyogenic and bovis streptococci has been described as a quorum sensing system [20] or a timing mechanism [25] that directly controls $comX$, the master regulator of genetic competence. The ComS-derived peptide XIP is readily imported by *S. mutans* in defined growth medium, where it induces transformability with high efficiency. Some of the key evidence supporting an intercellular signaling role for XIP include the detection by LC-MS/MS spectroscopy of
XIP in supernatants of *S. mutans* that were grown to high cell densities [22, 23]. In addition, filtrates of *S. mutans* cultures grown to high density induced P<sub>comX</sub> activity in reporter strains [21], indicating the presence of an active competence signal in the extracellular medium. A recent co-culture study verified that XIP is freely diffusible in aqueous media and showed that ComS-overexpressing senders are able to activate com<sub>X</sub> in nearby ΔcomS receiver mutants, with no cell:cell contact being required [26]. However, deletion of *atlA*, which encodes a surface-localized protein associated with envelope biogenesis and autolysis [30], suppressed this intercellular signaling [26]. Taken together these data indicate that the *S. mutans* ComRS system provides intercellular competence signaling when autolysis releases sufficient concentrations of ComS or XIP.

Our data provide several lines of evidence that ComRS can also control com<sub>X</sub> without the accumulation and import of extracellular XIP or ComS. First, although the response of com<sub>X</sub> is different in complex medium supplemented with CSP than in defined medium supplemented with XIP, in both cases the behavior of com<sub>X</sub> is affected by the presence of an intact chromosomal copy of com<sub>S</sub> under the control of its cognate promoter. In complex medium, com<sub>S</sub> is required in order for CSP to elicit any com<sub>X</sub> response; in defined media the deletion of com<sub>S</sub> reduces the com<sub>X</sub> response (both average and variance) to exogenous XIP, and raises the threshold XIP concentration for a response. Further, we find that even if it harbors a com<sub>S</sub> overexpression plasmid, a com<sub>S</sub> deletion strain expresses com<sub>X</sub> much more weakly than does a com<sub>S</sub>-overexpressing strain that retains its chromosomal com<sub>S</sub>. These data show that the
cell's own native regulation of $comS$ affects its activation of $comX$, independent of whether it overproduces ComS from a plasmid or imports exogenous XIP via OppA.

Our data also show that even in complex growth medium, which is known to inhibit the uptake of extracellular XIP, ComS-overexpressing (sender) cells activate their own $comX$. This autoactivation is unaffected by very rapid exchange or flow of the medium, strongly suggesting that $comX$ activation in these cells does not require accumulation and import of XIP. However this autoactivation requires a native $comS$ in addition to the overexpression plasmid. These behaviors are consistent with an intracellular positive feedback loop in which $comS$ stimulates its own expression through its cognate promoter, enabling the cell to autoactivate $comX$ or enhancing its sensitivity to extracellular XIP.

Finally the data show that $comS$-overexpressing cells fail to stimulate $\Delta comS$ cells (receivers) in co-cultures in defined medium, where XIP should be efficiently imported. As the $\Delta comS$ receivers do respond to exogenously added XIP, these data indicate that the overexpressing cells activate their own $comX$ without releasing significant XIP to the medium. The weak intercellular signaling that is observed in cocultures grown to late growth phases is consistent with eventual lysis of sender cells, possibly linked to autoactivation of the lytic pathway driven by ComX and ComDE.

The finding that diffusive signaling by ComS or XIP between $S. mutans$ cells is inefficient or lacks spatial range is consistent with the conclusion reached by Gardan et al. using $S. thermophilus$. Those authors found that the type I ComS peptide of $S. thermophilus$ was not secreted at detectable levels in a strain that produced it naturally, although an overproducing strain did generate detectable ComS in the medium [25].
They argued that ComS does not diffuse through or accumulate in the medium, although it may be able to signal between cells that are in physical contact. This proximity model for ComS resembles a “self-sensing” quorum system [31] in which the secreted signal is retained at elevated concentrations in the immediate surroundings of the cell, possibly associated with the cell surface, so that the cell responds somewhat more strongly to its own secreted signal than to that of the rest of the population.

Our observations suggest more strongly that export or import of ComS or XIP is not essential to ComRS control of comX in S. mutans, under the conditions examined. The key role of native control of comS in our data argues that the more essential component is the dynamics of the cell’s own comS transcription. If cells do not export endogenously produced ComS or XIP in the absence of lysis, then ComS or XIP would be available within the cells to activate PcomS and drive positive feedback in comS, leading to strong PcomX activation. We have previously argued that the bimodal response of S. mutans to CSP stimulation, which requires comS but not opp, suggests that CSP stimulates a noisy, intracellular autofeedback loop of this type. If CSP/ComDE can, through its as-yet-unknown pathway, facilitate comS transcriptional feedback, then comX expression may occur in at least some cells, leading to the observed bimodal distribution of comX activity in a population [11]. Notably the overexpression of comS in our study also leads to heterogeneous comX activity, suggesting that it plays a role similar to exogenous CSP by facilitating comS autofeedback. Transcriptional feedback loops generate heterogeneous, bimodal behaviors at the single-cell level in many other bacterial systems, including competence regulatory pathways. In the regulation of
Bacillus subtilis competence, an intracellular feedback loop based on ComK activates a subpopulation of cells into the competent state [32].

In the case of S. mutans, where it is unknown whether ComS is processed to XIP inside the cell, either XIP or ComS could potentially act as the intracellular feedback signal. Although S. mutans competence was shown to be unresponsive to exogenous full-length ComS [20], this finding may reflect either selectivity by ComR or simply inefficient import of full length ComS by Opp. ComS is significantly larger (17 residues) than peptides that are typically transported by ABC transporters. Shanker et. al. found that S. mutans ComR is unresponsive to the ComS peptides produced by other streptococcal species [33], although an eight residue XIP (ComS10-17) did interact effectively with ComR to bind the comS and comX promoters [19]. Our fluorescence polarization assay confirms that both ComS and XIP can interact with ComR to bind the comX and comS promoter regions. They also suggest that ComS and XIP may form ComR complexes of different degrees of multimerization, a difference that could have interesting consequences for the nonlinear dynamics of feedback regulation. Our mathematical model for transcriptional autofeedback in the comRS system incorporates the data by assuming that endogenously produced ComS is not released to the environment, although extracellular XIP is imported and supplements the endogenous ComS in interacting with ComR. Therefore, the ComS and XIP complexes of ComR may together drive expression of both comS and comX.

Further work will be needed to verify whether intracellular ComS and XIP can both activate comX by modulating ComR binding. Structural studies in S. pyogenes have shown that some intracellular RGG receptor proteins can bind pheromones that
differ in length and sequence [34]. Crystallographic structures of homologous ComR proteins [33, 35] show the SHP binding pocket of the ComR C-terminus to fall in the tetratricopeptide repeat domain that is responsible for multimerization, while the N-terminus helix-turn-helix structure binds DNA after an induced structural rearrangement. The location of the SHP binding pocket could allow the longer ComS to hinder multimerization when bound, resulting in a monomer binding to its target, while the XIP does not. Supplemental Fig. S2 shows preliminary evidence that the ComS N-terminus affects ComR binding in *S. mutans*. Neither ComS nor XIP could induce DNA binding by an N-terminally 6x histidine tagged ComR, whereas XIP (but not ComS) caused DNA binding activity in a C-terminally tagged ComR. These data indicate that steric effects around the SHP binding pocket may influence DNA binding affinities.

Positive feedback occurs in many quorum sensing systems as the accumulation of the chemical signal in the extracellular environment stimulates the cell to produce additional signal or its cognate receptor. For example in *Vibrio fischeri* the C8 homoserine lactone autoinducer stimulates expression of *ainS*, which encodes the autoinducer synthase [36]. In *Vibrio cholerae* the CAI-1 signal stimulates production of its receptor CqsS [37]. In these cases the extracellular signal concentration, which is the positive feedback signal, is sensed by large numbers of cells, and so the population responds homogenously. However if an individual cell responds preferentially to its own signal production then the feedback signal is specific to the individual cell and the behavior is qualitatively different. Individual feedback can convert a graded (or unimodal) population response to a switched or bimodal response [38]. Depending on parameters such as the rate of signal production, noise levels or the cell density, the
response of the cells may then span a range from strongly social or quorum behavior to purely autocrine or self-sensing [31] behavior in which cells respond independently and the population becomes heterogeneous [39]. Synthetic biology has exploited this phenomenon in several bacterial quorum sensing systems to amplify the cell’s sensitivity to an exogenous signal. This can lower the quorum circuit’s threshold sensitivity to the signal, and it can also enhance the amplitude of the cell’s full response to that signal. Fig. 1A and 1B suggest that the chromosomal comS in S. mutans roughly doubles the amplitude of comX response and lowers the XIP sensitivity threshold roughly two fold. This amplification is comparable to what was accomplished in engineered, synthetic systems [40, 41].

As a result the ComRS system may have two modes of function in S. mutans. At low population densities, during early growth, ComRS operates through intracellular feedback, leading to population bimodality in comX expression. Here only a small subpopulation of cells activate the late competence genes. However, in later growth phases or in mature biofilms, stress mechanisms that drive autolysis allow the release of XIP, providing a diffusible signal that is detected by other cells and amplified through the internal feedback mechanism to elicit a strong competence response. In this sense XIP may serve to broadcast localized stress conditions, stimulating S. mutans to scavenge DNA resources opportunistically from nearby lysing cells [42, 43].

Materials and methods

Strains and growth conditions
S. mutans wild type strain UA159 and mutant reporting/gene deletion strains from glycerol freezer stock were grown in BBL BHI (Becton, Dickinson and co.) at 37°C in 5% CO₂ overnight. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations where resistance is indicated in Table 1: erythromycin (10 µg ml⁻¹), kanamycin (1 mg ml⁻¹), spectinomycin (1 mg ml⁻¹). For experiments in defined medium, strains were washed twice by centrifugation, removal of supernatant and re-suspension in the defined medium FMC [44]. These were then diluted 20-fold into fresh FMC and allowed to grow in the same incubator conditions until at optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1. Synthetic XIP (sequence GLDWWSL) was synthesized and purified to 98% purity by NeoBioSci (Cambridge, MA).

E. coli strains were grown in LB at 37 °C shaking in an aerobic incubator overnight. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations where resistance is indicated: ampicillin (10 µg ml⁻¹). The next day the overnight cultures were diluted 100-fold into LB containing ampicillin at the indicated concentration and grown under the same incubator conditions as overnight.

Mutant strains used

Table 1: list of strains and plasmids used.

| Strain or plasmid | Characteristics¹ | Source or reference |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| **S. mutans strains** |                   |                    |
| PcomX GFP         | UA159 harboring PcomX GFP promoter fusion. | [11]              |
| P<sub>comX</sub> RFP | UA159 harboring P<sub>comX</sub> dsRed RFP promoter fusion. | [26] |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| P<sub>comX</sub> GFP Δ<sub>comS</sub> | UA159 <i>comS</i> gene replaced with a non-polar erythromycin resistance cassette. Harboring P<sub>comX</sub> GFP promoter fusion. | [11] |
| 184<sub>comS</sub> P<sub>comX</sub> RFP | UA159 harboring pIB184<sub>comS</sub> and P<sub>comX</sub> dsRed RFP promoter fusion. | [26] |
| 184<sub>comS</sub> P<sub>comX</sub> RFP Δ<sub>comS</sub> | UA159 harboring pIB184<sub>comS</sub> and P<sub>comX</sub> dsRed RFP promoter fusion. <i>comS</i> disrupted by point mutation in start codon (ATG to AAG). | This study. |

**E. coli strains**

| BL21(DE3) | Used for recombinant protein expression | New England Biolabs, MA |
| 10-beta | Used for propagating plasmids during cloning | New England Biolabs, MA |
|--------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|

**Plasmids**

| pIB184 | Shuttle expression plasmid with the P23 constitutive promoter, Em<sup>R</sup> | [45] |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| pDL278 | *E. coli – Streptococcus* shuttle vector, Sp<sup>R</sup> | [46] |
| pET45b(+)his-comR<sub>UA159</sub> | pET45b(+) derivative containing the translational fusion P<sub>T7lac-6xhis-comR<sub>UA159</sub></sub>, Ap<sup>r</sup> | This study |

*Em = erythromycin, Sp = spectinomycin, Ap = ampicillin.

**Construction of mutant strains**

**ΔcomS point mutant**

The start codon of the *comS* gene was mutated from ATG to AAG. The mutation was introduced directly into the chromosome by site-directed mutagenesis using a PCR product generated by overlap extension PCR [47]. Potential mutants were screened using mismatch amplification mutation analysis (MAMA) PCR [48], as previously described [49, 50]. The point mutation was confirmed by PCR and sequencing to ensure that no further mutations were introduced into the *comS* gene and its flanking regions.
His-ComR expressing *E. coli*

The *comR* gene (SMu.61) was amplified using gene-specific primers (forward, AAAGAATCCTATGTTAAAAGA; reverse, CACCCTAGGAGACCCATCAAA) and cloned into the pET45b(+) vector bearing an N-terminal 6xHis tag. The resulting vector, pET45b(+)his-comRUA159, was transformed into *E. coli* 10-beta. After sequencing confirmed the correct insertion (using T7 promoter and T7 terminator primers), the vector was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) prior to protein purification.

**Microfluidic experiments**

Microfluidic experiments were performed using a seven-channel PDMS-cast mixing array device as described previously [11, 51]. Cells grown to OD_{600} 0.1 from dilution in FMC were sonicated briefly using a Fisher Scientific FB120 sonic dismembrator probe to split large chains. Sonicated cells were then loaded into the device through a syringe capped with a 5 µm filter to remove any remaining aggregations. FMC containing 1 mg ml^{-1} spectinomycin and a XIP gradient produced from three inlets containing different concentrations of XIP (0 nM, 600 nM and 6 µM XIP inlets) passed through a mixing matrix was pumped through the cell chambers at a steady rate of 0.08 ml/hr to create a constant, different XIP concentration in each cell chamber. Flow stability and XIP concentration were inferred using a fluorescent red dye (sulforhodamine 101, Acros Organics) mixed into the inlet medium in proportion to XIP concentration (i.e. none in 0nM XIP inlet, and 10x the concentration of sulforhodamine in the high XIP inlet as in the middle inlet). This allowed calculation of XIP concentration by measurement of a given channel’s red fluorescence relative to the red fluorescence of the channels with known concentration.
Phase contrast and green fluorescence pictures of reporting cells were collected using a Nikon TE2000U inverted microscope (equipped with a 40x objective, CFI Plan Fluor DLL, NA 0.5, Nikon) and a CCD camera (CoolSNAP HQ2, Photometrics) with a green fluorescence filter (Nikon C-FL GFP HC HISN zero shift filter cube) excited by a mercury lamp source (Intensilight C-HGFI, Nikon). Images were taken at 0, 30 and 90 minutes after cell exposure to XIP commenced. Images were analyzed according to the method described previously [52] with fitting performed in Matlab® (The Mathworks inc.). A red fluorescence filter (C-FL Y-2E/C dsRed Filter Cube, Nikon) was used to visualize sulforhodamine concentration in the channels.

Gamma distributions (a two-parameter probability distribution describing the amount of protein produced in sequential transcription and translation steps) were fit to the single cell fluorescence distributions using Matlab to fit protein production to theoretical description [29]. The fit was applied to cells fluorescing above an arbitrary cutoff of 40 units (around background) in order to prevent turned-off cells from skewing the distribution. Parameters were rounded to three significant figures and reported in Table S1 (see supporting information).

**Flow rate dependence experiment**

In order to measure the flow rate dependence of XIP signaling we loaded cells into a commercial six-channel microfluidic slide (IBIDI μ-slide VI, IBIDI GmbH). The six channels contained respectively (1) a red fluorescent protein (dsRed) comX reporting strain (PcomX RFP) control channel flowing fresh BHI at 0.1 ml h⁻¹; (2)-(5) four channels containing a comS overexpression strain 184comS PcomX RFP (comS on plasmid pIB184 under the strong constitutive P23 promoter) with BHI at different flow rates
ranging from 0.02 ml h\(^{-1}\) up to 1 ml h\(^{-1}\), and (6) a 184\(comS\) \(P\text{comX}\) RFP strain with a point mutation disrupting the chromosomal \(comS\) gene (\(\Delta comS\)) under flow at 0.1 ml h\(^{-1}\). After 2 hours the plain BHI supplied was replaced with BHI supplemented with 50 \(\mu\)g ml\(^{-1}\) chloramphenicol in order to halt further translation and allow any RFP in the cells to fold. This was supplied at 0.1 ml h\(^{-1}\) flow rate for all channels. Four hours (the maturation time of our RFP) after chloramphenicol addition final fluorescence images of the cultures were taken. Due to the bimodal \(comX\) activation in BHI, a fluorescence cutoff was set as the maximum RFP fluorescence observed in the \(P\text{comX}\) RFP negative control. Cells exhibiting RFP fluorescence above this level were collected in an array and the size of this sample as a percentage of the population as well as the median of the above-cutoff fluorescence reported.

Channel co-culture experiment

We loaded co-cultures of a \(P\text{comX}\) GFP \(\Delta comS\) (responders) with the \(comS\) overexpressing strain 184\(comS\) \(P\text{comX}\) RFP (senders) into two commercial microfluidic slides (IBIDI \(\mu\)-slide VI) using static (not flowing) FMC medium and varying ratios of \(comS\) overproducers: \(\Delta comS\) responders (percentage by volume of OD\(_{600}\) 0.1 cultures vortexed together). \(P\text{comX}\) RFP, \(P\text{comX}\) GFP \(\Delta comS\), \(P\text{comX}\) RFP + 50 nM XIP and \(P\text{comX}\) GFP \(\Delta comS\) + 50 nM XIP were used as controls. The end ports of the channels were sealed with mineral oil to prevent drying of the medium in the channels. Images were taken as in the microfluidic experiments and analysis performed similarly. In the case of controls XIP was added to planktonic culture and the tube vortexed before pipetting into the slide. Because the population was heterogeneous in both fluorescent reporter type and \(comX\) expression, a fluorescence threshold was defined as the
maximum RFP fluorescence observed in the PcomX RFP negative control as previously. The median of the RFP fluorescence observed above this cutoff in other samples was used as a measure of how strongly the red cells were activating comX as a function of their number density.

**OD dependence of co-culture response**

For tests of growth-phase dependence of signaling, co-cultures similar to those in microfluidic channel slides were prepared. Overnight cultures were washed and diluted 40x into fresh FMC containing erythromycin (10 µg ml\(^{-1}\)) and spectinomycin (1 mg ml\(^{-1}\)). Once grown to OD\(_{600}\) 0.05, these were mixed in ratios varying from to 0% comS overexpressers to 100% overexpressers, defined by volume of comS overproducers added divided by the volume of the \(\Delta\)comS culture added. Low initial cell densities were used to ensure that early, mid and late growth phases were probed for XIP release. Every two hours the OD\(_{600}\) of the culture and its pH were measured. The pH was corrected back to 7.0 using 2N sodium hydroxide if it had deviated below 6.5, in order to measure reaction to any XIP released at late times into the culture. RFP and GFP fluorescence were measured by pipetting a small amount of the culture onto a glass coverslip and analyzing single cells. 99\(^{th}\) percentile GFP fluorescence was then used to determine if XIP was being released to the comS mutants in an OD\(_{600}\)-dependent manner.

**RT-qPCR measurement of comS and comX transcripts**

*S. mutans* cells were diluted 20-fold into BHI (PcomX-gfp WT/BHI, 184comS PcomX-rfp, 184comS PcomX-rfp \(\Delta\)comS samples) or FMC (PcomX-gfp WT/FMC ± XIP,
Cells were harvested at OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5 by centrifugation and re-suspended in RNA protectant buffer for 10 minutes. Samples were then centrifuged, the supernatant removed and the pellets frozen at -80 °C. RNA extraction was performed using the Qiagen RNEasy mini kit (Qiagen, USA). RNA sample concentration and purity were measured using a Thermo Scientific NanoDrop One Microvolume UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). 1 μg of RNA was then reverse transcribed to cDNA using the Bio-Rad iScript reverse transcription kit with random primers (Bio-Rad, USA). The qPCR was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time System using Bio-Rad Sso Advanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix with a 50-fold dilution of the cDNA and 500 nM gene-specific primers. Sequences used for the primers are given in Table S3 (supplemental information). A standard curve across 8 orders of magnitude of transcript copies (from 10<sup>8</sup> to 10<sup>1</sup>) was used to determine transcript count for each gene.

For each sample the comX and comS transcript counts were normalized by the 16S rRNA count for the same sample. The results show the median of this ratio, with error bars indicating the range from the second lowest to second highest value among the multiple replicates that were performed for each condition. Nine replicates (3 biological × 3 technical) were obtained for each condition, with the exception of the UA159 background + XIP; for that condition RNA was successfully measured in only six replicates (2 biological × 3 technical).

**Fluorescence polarization**
For fluorescence polarization studies of promoter binding, the *comR* gene was cloned into the 6x-His tagged site on pET-45b(+) vector in *E. coli* 10-beta using standard PCR cloning methods. His-ComR was then expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) by induction with 1mM IPTG at mid-exponential phase in LB. After 4 hours cells were lysed using lysozyme in B-PER lysis buffer (ThermoFisher). Protein was then purified from clarified lysate using Ni-NTA agarose affinity chromatography and the histidine tag cleaved using enterokinase max at 4°C (EKMax, Invitrogen). The resulting protein solution was dialyzed into PBS pH 7.4 for experimental use. Native ComR concentration was measured by the Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Scientific) and purity of the cleaved form verified by SDS-PAGE run against an uncleaved sample.

Fluorescence polarization (FP) assays were performed in a 96-well plate with black bottom and black sides in a Biotek Synergy 2 plate reader (Biotek Instruments inc.) in the polarization mode. A 5’ Bodipy FL-X labeled self-annealing stem-loop DNA strand with sequence corresponding to P*comX* (sequence 5’-BODIPY FL-X-ATGGGACATTTATGTCCTGTCCCCCACAGGACATAAATGTCCCAT-3’), synthesized by ThermoFisher) was used as the binding aptamer and a filter set with excitation 485 nm, emission 528 nm was used for fluorescence excitation. 1 nM labeled DNA probe was added to a reaction buffer previously described [47] supplemented with 1 mM EDTA and 0.05 mg ml⁻¹ salmon sperm DNA. ComR was titrated in concentration in this buffer alone, in the presence of 10 µM XIP or in the presence of 10 µM comS. The reactions were incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes before reading. Synthetic ComS (sequence MFSILTSILMGLDWWLS) for fluorescence polarization was synthesized and purified to 60% purity by Biomatik (Wilmington, DE).
FP assays of competition by unlabeled DNA probes were performed with 1.5 μM ComR in the same buffer as above, with 1 nM PcomX fluorescent DNA, 0.05 mg ml⁻¹ salmon sperm DNA and 10 μM SHP (either ComS or XIP). An unlabeled probe corresponding to either the PcomS (sequence 5’ - ACG

GGACATAAATGTCTGTCCCCCACAAGACATTATGTCCCGT - 3’), synthesized by Thermo Fisher) or the above PcomX probe was titrated into this solution and the fluorescence polarization recorded. Reactions were again incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes before polarization readings were taken. In all FP experiments reading was performed three times on the same plate to estimate instrument error.

We compared the FP data to a two-step binding model in which the peptide ComS or XIP forms a multimeric complex with ComR (with dissociation constant $k_1$), and then a single copy of this complex binds to the fluorescent DNA probe (with dissociation constant $k_2$), increasing its fluorescence anisotropy. The model is summarized by

$$
P + R \rightleftharpoons C \quad C + D \rightleftharpoons D^* \quad C + U \rightleftharpoons U^*
$$

Here $P$ is the peptide (ComS or XIP), $R$ is ComR, $C$ is the peptide-ComR multimeric complex, $D$ ($U$) is the free labeled (unlabeled) probe, $D^*$ ($U^*$) is the labeled (unlabeled) probe with complex bound. The order of multimerization of the complex $C$ is $n$. We solved the equilibrium equations for the model using the multivariate Newton-Raphson method in Matlab. We performed separate data analyses for the FP data ComS and XIP, respectively. In each analysis we searched for parameter values ($k_1$, $k_2$, $n$) that simultaneously minimized the sum of squares residuals for both the association (Figure 6A) and competition (Figure 6B) experiments for a given peptide $P$. 


In general the FP data are compatible with a range of parameter values. If $n$ is constrained to be less than 2.5 then optimal values are in the range $k_1 \sim 1-6 \, \mu M$ and $k_2 \sim 1-30 \, nM$ and $n \approx 2-2.5$ for a XIP interacting with ComR, and $k_1 \sim 3-20 \, \mu M$ and $k_2 \sim 30-200 \, nM$ and $n \approx 1.6-2.5$ for ComS interacting with ComR.

**Mathematical model of comRS control of comX**

Deterministic modeling of comX activation by comRS was performed by least squares fitting a chemical equilibrium model to the microfluidic data from experiments for each of the wild type background PcomX GFP strain and the ΔcomS cells using Matlab. The same offset and multiplicative factor were used to map calculated [ComX] onto the GFP fluorescence curves for both strains, as this is a property of the GFP, not the gene circuit. In the case of the comS deficient strain, relevant parameters representing comS feedback and constitutive production were set to zero to obtain the different behavior observed. ComR was assumed to be present at around 15 copies per cell, as only modest changes in its expression were previously observed due to early competence inducing factors [53]. Exogenous XIP was taken to be a non-depleting reservoir. Details of the ODE system are in the supporting information section, and a table of parameters is available in supporting information Table S2.

Robustness of fit was tested through the bootstrap method, using the 90th and 10th percentile behavior of parameters to examine whether the transcriptional efficiency difference hypothesized was preserved in this range. Dependence on the initial parameter guess was checked by 50 iterations of adding a Gaussian-distributed random number with a mean of the best fit parameter and standard deviation half the best fit.
parameter to the start guess vector components used to find the best fit. New sets of fit
parameters for each of these were then generated. It was found that the ComS-ComR
complex elicited higher comX transcription in 100% of cases than did the XIP-ComR
complex, and higher comS feedback stimulation (V’ parameters) in 78% of cases. Thus
while numerous solutions to the system exist, the comX transcriptional efficiency
discrepancy hypothesized is a generic property of the fit.
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**Figure captions**

**Fig. 1: comS deletion is not fully complemented by synthetic XIP**

(A) Comparison of PcomX-gfp activity in S. mutans cells of the UA159 (wild type) background (magenta) and ΔcomS mutant background (cyan). The median GFP fluorescence is shown for cells that were supplied with continuous flow of exogenous synthetic XIP in microfluidic chambers. Data are shown at 30 minutes (circles, dash-dot lines) and 90 minutes (squares, dashed lines) of flow. The smooth curves are spline fits to the data. (B) Median GFP levels in the two strains at the 90 minute time point of the flow experiment. Also shown are the histograms of the individual cell PcomX-gfp reporter activity, versus exogenous XIP concentration, for (C) the UA159 background and (D) the ΔcomS background. Solid black curves in (C) and (D) show the best fit gamma probability distribution for each histogram. A cutoff of 40 units of PcomX GFP fluorescence has been applied to exclude background autofluorescence. (E) Parameter $a$ of the (two parameter) gamma probability distribution, obtained from fits in (C)-(D), reflecting the ratio of transcription rate to protein degradation rate, and (F) the distribution parameter $b$, reflecting the ratio of translation rate to the rate of mRNA degradation. In (E)-(F) cyan indicates the ΔcomS mutant, and magenta indicates the UA159 background.

**Fig. 2: Activation of ComX in a ComS-overexpressing strain is independent of rate of medium replacement**

PcomX-rfp reporter activity is shown in cells growing in microfluidic chambers supplied with continuously flowing fresh complex medium (BHI). (A) Histograms of individual cell
P<sub>comX-rfp</sub> reporter fluorescence: (Leftmost column) wild type background (negative control) with flow at 0.1 ml h<sup>-1</sup>; (Second column) ComS-overexpressing 184<sup>comS</sup> Δ<sup>comS</sup> background at 0.1 ml h<sup>-1</sup>; (Columns 3-5) ComS-overexpressing (184<sup>comS</sup>) background at 0.02 ml h<sup>-1</sup>, 0.1 ml h<sup>-1</sup>, 0.5 ml h<sup>-1</sup> and 1 ml h<sup>-1</sup>. (At a flow rate of 1 ml h<sup>-1</sup> the medium in each flow chamber is replaced every 6 s.) (B) RFP fluorescence of cells that exceeded the wild type (negative control) red fluorescence in column 1 of (A). The black bar indicates the median of data in each channel: (Leftmost column) 184<sup>comS</sup> Δ<sup>comS</sup> background; (Columns 2-5) ComS-overexpressing (184<sup>comS</sup>) background. All RFP measurements were made 4 hours after addition of chloramphenicol to the cultures.

**Fig. 3:** chromosomal <i>comS</i> increases the amount of <i>comS</i> transcript produced in response to XIP

RT-qPCR measurement of (A) <i>comS</i> and (B) <i>comX</i> transcripts in cultures harvested at OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5. Each bar indicates the ratio of the median transcript count to the median 16S rRNA count, as measured in multiple biological and technical replicates (see Methods). WT/BHI, 184<sup>comS</sup>, and 184<sup>comS</sup> Δ<sup>comS</sup> samples were grown in BHI medium. Remaining samples were grown in FMC. XIP was supplied at 100 nM concentration when used. Error bars indicate the range from second lowest to second highest value among the replicates for each condition.

**Fig. 4:** ComS overexpresser does not induce <i>comX</i> of Δ<sup>comS</sup> strain in coculture
Histograms of (A) GFP and (B) RFP fluorescence of individual cells in cocultures of sender (184comS PcomX-rfp) and receiver (PcomX-gfp ΔcomS) strains. Strains were grown to equal optical density, mixed in varying proportion, and then incubated in microfluidic chambers containing stationary defined medium. Blue lines indicate population medians. Panels (A) and (B) show fluorescence of: (Leftmost column) UA159 background strain containing PcomX rfp reporter, without added XIP (negative control); (Second column) PcomX-gfp ΔcomS (receiver) with added 50 nM XIP (positive control); (Columns 3-11) Cocultures of sender and receiver, with columns labeled by percentage by volume of 184comS (sender) culture in the initial preparation of the coculture. (C-H) Phase contrast images of cocultures, overlaid with red and green fluorescence images: (C) PcomX-rfp reporter in UA159 background, with no added XIP (negative control); (D) PcomX-gfp ΔcomS cells with 50 nM added XIP (positive control); (E) PcomX-gfp ΔcomS (receiver) alone, with 0% sender; (F)-(H) cocultures containing 30%, 80%, and 100% sender respectively.

**Fig. 5: Evidence for release of XIP in cocultures late in growth**

Histograms of (A) GFP and (B) RFP fluorescence of individual cells in cocultures of receiver (PcomX gfp ΔcomS) and sender (PcomX rfp 184comS) strains, following different incubation periods. Labels at top indicate the volume fraction comprised by the sender strain in the preparation of the coculture. Histogram colors indicate incubation times: 2 h (cyan), 8 h (magenta), 12 h (green). The lower panels show the 99th percentile of the individual cell GFP (C) and RFP (D) fluorescence observed in the
culture, versus the cultureOD\textsubscript{600}. Exogenous XIP was not added, except in the positive (sender-only) control sample indicated by the inverted triangles in (C) and (D).

**Fig. 6: ComS and XIP interact with ComR to bind the comX promoter**

Fluorescence polarization assay testing interaction of ComS and XIP peptides with ComR and the P\textit{comX} transcriptional activation site. The DNA probe is labeled with a Bodipy FL-X fluorophore. (A) Titration of ComR into a solution containing 10 μM of XIP (blue) or full length ComS (green), labeled DNA probe (1 nM), and 0.05 mg ml\textsuperscript{-1} salmon DNA. Negative control (black) contains no ComS or XIP peptide. (B) Competition assay in which unlabeled promoter sequence DNA was titrated into a solution containing ComR (1.5 μM), fluorescent DNA probe (1 nM) and peptide (either ComS or XIP, 10 μM) and 0.05 mg ml\textsuperscript{-1} salmon DNA. Unlabeled P\textit{comX} DNA was used with XIP (blue) and ComS (green). An unlabeled P\textit{comS} probe was also tested for its ability to compete with the fluorescent P\textit{comX} probe in the presence of XIP (red) and ComS (gold). Solid curves indicate binding and competition behavior predicted by the two step model described in \textit{Methods}, in which peptide (ComS or XIP) first forms a multimeric complex with ComR ($k_1$, $n$), and a single copy of this complex binds to the (labeled or unlabeled) P\textit{comX} DNA ($k_2$). For ComS binding/competition (green), the curves represent $k_1 = 3.2$ μM, $k_2 = 2.2$ nM, $n = 2.5$. For XIP binding/competition (blue), the curves represent $k_1 = 7.3$ μM, $k_2 = 33$ nM, $n = 2.4$.

**Fig. 7: proposed model for comRS regulation of comX.**
Model for comS-feedback enhanced activation of PcomX-gfp reporter by exogenous synthetic XIP. (A) Illustration of the feedback model and the role of comS and extracellular XIP. ComS and XIP both interact with ComR to activate transcription of comS and comX. At low concentrations of extracellular XIP, comS expression is very low and comX is not expressed. At high concentrations of extracellular XIP, XIP is imported efficiently by OppA and interacts with ComR to drive expression of both comS and comR. Endogenously produced ComS is not readily exported in the absence of lysis, and so intracellular accumulation of ComS drives elevated comS and comX expression. Consequently comX expression at any given XIP concentration is boosted by comS feedback. Cells lacking native comS can respond to synthetic XIP but cannot activate comX to the same level as wild type. The figure describes behavior in defined medium; In complex medium extracellular XIP is not imported [11]. (B) Comparison of model simulation with data. Red circles indicate median PcomX-gfp fluorescence of the UA159 background strain supplied with synthetic XIP in microfluidic flow; blue circles indicate the median PcomX-gfp fluorescence of the ΔcomS background. Solid curves represent calculated values from a fit in which 11 parameters were fit to the microfluidic data, as described in Methods. The model relates the predicted ComX concentration to the median GFP fluorescence by an offset and scale factor.
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[Graph A] Graph showing PcomX activity (%PcomX) on a log scale against frequency (%), with different conditions labeled on the x-axis.

[Graph B] Similar graph to Graph A, showing PcomX activity against frequency, with different conditions labeled on the x-axis.

[Images C, D, E, F, G, H] Microscopic images of bacterial cultures under various conditions, with images C and D showing PcomX RFP expression, and images E, F, G, and H showing bacterial morphology at different XIP concentrations.
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