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**Introduction**

As a result of the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, governments around the world have instituted physical distancing measures to contain transmission and limit the potential for healthcare system saturation that could result in unnecessary deaths. From a population health perspective, physical distancing is critically important, although the direct and indirect impact (i.e. through economic downturn) it may have on mental health is of significant concern (Tan et al., In press). COVID-19 containment measures, including the physical-isolation strategies implemented by the Australian Government in late March 2020 (Vic Gov, 2020), may be especially aversive for individuals with pre-existing mood disorders. This is because they disrupt a myriad of factors critical to the management of clinical and cognitive symptoms, including easy access to in-person psychiatric care, and stability in daily routines, social rhythms and sleep patterns (Balanzá–Martínez et al., 2020; Bowen et al., 2013; Harvey et al., 2009; Van Rheenen et al., 2019). In those with a mood disorder, the occurrence of such disruptions in the context of economic volatility and financial insecurity, is likely to perpetuate the natural negative emotional responses (i.e. depression, anxiety and stress) that occur in the face of disaster (North and Pfefferbaum, 2013; Stein et al., 2004).

Further, in mood disorders there is a heightened prevalence of co-occurring medical conditions such as obesity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Coello et al., 2019; Dalack and Roose, 1990; Goldstein et al., 2020; Mansur et al., 2019). These have been linked to a more severe coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) manifestation (Zaman et al., 2020), and may amplify psychological distress by increasing a patient’s worries about the heightened personal risk of infection-related mortality or poor long-term health outcomes (Goldstein, 2017; Mansur et al., 2015; Zaman et al., 2020). Compounding this, may be worries about adverse psychiatric and COVID-19 drug treatment interactions should one become infected,
or by the potentially negative implications for oneself should one’s carer(s) become infected (Sato et al., 2020).

Disaster research indicates that up to 40% of distressed individuals presenting for mental health assistance during or post disaster have pre-existing psychiatric disorders (North and Pfefferbaum, 2013). To date, several studies have characterised the mental health status of the general population in relation to COVID-19 in different countries, but work comparing community-living individuals with a pre-existing mood disorder to those with no mental disorder is lacking. To elucidate the current mental health needs of those with a mood disorder, observational studies that identify specific COVID-19 related concerns and characterise related lifestyle changes and psychological distress in this population are needed. This will inform evidence-based strategies for patient care in the clinic, and help to mitigate long-term symptom exacerbation and adverse psychological outcomes in these individuals.

In this paper, we address this need by reporting outcomes from the COLLATE project, a nationwide study aimed at understanding the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health and wellbeing of Australians. Using cross-sectional data from wave 1 of the project, we compared respondents self-reporting a pre-existing mood disorder and those with no reported mental disorder in terms of their; i) primary concerns related to COVID-19, ii) COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours, and iii) current psychological distress levels. In secondary analyses we compared individuals with depressive disorder and bipolar disorder on these factors. We hypothesised that psychological distress levels would be elevated in the mood disorder groups, and that distress levels would be associated with an increased presence of negative behavioural change in areas relevant to maintaining stable mood, including sleep, exercise and alcohol use.

Method
This study received ethics approval from the Swinburne University Human Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 20202917-4107) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Design**

The COLLATE project is a population-based study that includes a series of anonymous online surveys, open for 72 hours at the beginning of each month for 12 months. This is followed by annual surveys until 2024, which will allow for future longitudinal data collection and characterisation (Phillipou et al., 2020; Tan et al., In press). The *cross-sectional* findings from wave 1 of the COLLATE project are reported here. Members of the general public residing in Australia, aged 18 years or older were invited to complete the survey over a time period extending from April 1’st to April 4’th 2020. This coincided with federal and state mandated restrictions (Stage 3) coming into effect, whereby Australians were expected to self-isolate as much as reasonably possible (COVID-19 National Incident Room Surveillance Team, 2020; Vic Gov, 2020). This self-isolation meant Australians were only allowed to leave their homes for essential activities, such as employment, exercise, medical care, or to buy groceries.

Respondents were recruited through social media and other advertisements, participant registries and non-discriminative snowball sampling. Respondents completed the survey which covered three broad topics: a) current concerns, b) current emotional experiences, and c) socio-demographics/risk factors. Within this, they were asked to respond to one question asking them to self-identify whether they had a mental illness, and if so, which mental illness/es they had. Measures relevant to the aims of this paper are described below.
Measures

*Primary concerns related to COVID-19:* Respondents were asked to identify and rank their top 10 concerns relating to the COVID-19 pandemic from 23 options (see Table 1).

*COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours* were measured through self-reported changes (in the previous week) in employment status, work arrangements and perceived difficulty working from home as well as non-employment related contact with others outside the home. Lifestyle factors including exercise, sleep and drinking compared to the period before the COVID-19 pandemic began were also measured, as well as ratings of the perceived impact of government restrictions on mental health and expectations about time to return to ‘normal’ (see Supplementary Table 1 for details).

*Psychological distress* was measured with the 21-item *Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS-21)*; Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995). The DASS-21 yields three subscales, each comprising seven items scored on a four-point scale from never (0) to almost always (3). Higher subscale scores reflect higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress in the past week, with a higher sum score representing greater general distress. DASS-21 raw scores were doubled for comparability to full-length (42 items) DASS scores.

*Confounding variables* of interest included age, gender and momentary affect, as measured by the *Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS)*; Watson et al., 1988). The PANAS is a 20-item measure comprising a 10-item scale measuring indicators of positive affect (PA) and a 10-item scale measuring indicators of negative affect (NA). It is scored on the extent to which one has a particular feeling at that moment, with ratings on a 5-point scale ranging from very slightly or not at all (1) to extremely (5). A high score on the Positive Affect scale reflects an energetic and alert mood state, whereas a high score on the Negative Affect scale
indicates greater levels of general distress. Items include “distressed” (NA scale) and “excited” (PA scale).

**Statistical Analyses**

Respondents who self-identified as having a mood disorder (either a bipolar or depressive disorder)\(^1\) were compared to individuals that did not self-identify as having a mental disorder using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) v24.0.

First (1), chi-square or one-way analysis of variance was used to ascertain the presence of group differences in age, gender and momentary affect. Pearson or Spearman correlations were then conducted to examine associations between these variables and psychological distress. Second (2), the frequency of endorsements for each of the 23 items of concern was obtained for each group. For each respondent, rankings of zero were assigned to options not endorsed, and then mean rankings (scale 1-10) per group were computed for the 10 most commonly selected options for each group. Third (3), chi-square and column proportions tests were used to compare categorical responses within the nominal variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours across groups. Fourth (4), DASS total and subscale scores were square root (SQRT) transformed to account for positive skew in the sample, and then entered as dependent variables in a multivariate analysis of covariance. Given significant, albeit mild, correlations ($r’s =.1-.2$, $p’s<.001$) between confounding variables ascertained at the first step, group was entered as a fixed factor alongside gender, while age and both PANAS subscale scores were entered as covariates. The estimated marginal means of the square root transformed DASS scores were

---

\(^1\) Those reporting a past history of hormone-related depression (e.g. postnatal depression or premenstrual dysphoric disorder) were not included in any analysis in this paper.
back-transformed to determine their place among the four defined DASS severity levels (normal, mild, moderate, severe/extremely severe) and to compare the scores of respondents with no mental disorder to norms from a non-clinical Australian sample\textsuperscript{2}. Finally (5), to assess whether DASS total scores differed by the levels of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours, univariate analyses of covariance were conducted, with each given nominal variable and group entered as fixed factors and DASS score as the dependent variable.

Analyses 1-4 were first conducted to compare respondents with a self-reported mood disorder and those not reporting any mental disorder, and then comparing those with depressive disorder to those with bipolar disorder. The univariate analyses (step 5) were not analysed by mood disorder subtype given likely inadequacies in power from stratification analyses of the (relatively) modest number of respondents self-reporting bipolar disorder. A conservative alpha of $p<.01$ was adopted for all first-level analyses, while post-hoc test results were corrected using Tukey’s method where necessary.

Results

A total of 8,014 respondents participated in survey 1 of the COLLATE project. There was substantial missing data for $n=2545$ respondents, and $n=1010$ of the remaining 5,469 respondents reported a mental disorder other than bipolar or depressive disorder. The final sample included the data of the $n=1292$ respondents self-reporting a mood disorder (either bipolar disorder or depressive disorder) and the $n=3167$ without any reported mental disorder.

\[2\text{ Compared to Australian norms for 18-90 year old’s from Crawford et al. (2011). Note that mean DASS subscale scores in the Crawford et al. study were reported in raw form. To determine severity levels between COLLATE data and normative levels, these scores were subsequently doubled, as per prescribed methodology, to equate them to full scale DASS scores.}\]
**Primary concerns**

Table 1 shows the items relating to current concerns about the COVID-19 pandemic ranked by the frequency of endorsement in each group. Figure 1 shows the mean rankings of the top 10 most frequently endorsed items for each group ordered by mean ranking from most concerning (1) to least concerning (10). The most frequently endorsed item for those with a mood disorder and those with no mental disorder was “Implications for health and wellbeing of family/loved ones”. Compared to those with no mental disorder, “Dying of COVID-19 myself” did not fall into the top 10 most frequently endorsed items for respondents with a mood disorder. Instead, “Access to appropriate medical care” was included and ranked 9’th in order of importance.

When respondents with a mood disorder were separated by type, “Access to appropriate medical care”, “Risk of unemployment or reduced employment” and “Personal finances” were ranked in the top 10 most endorsed concerns for those with bipolar disorder (ranked 3’rd, 8’th and 10’th by importance). This was in place of “Australian economy” and “Catching COVID-19 myself” for respondents with depressive disorder (ranked 8’th and 4’th by importance); and “Catching COVID 19 myself”, “Dying of COVID -19 myself” and “Australian economy” in respondents with no mental disorder (ranked 5’th, 4’th and 9’th by importance).

*COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours.*

Within the mood disorder group, there were no differences in the personal situation, perceptions or behaviours of respondents with depressive disorder versus bipolar disorder (see Supplementary Table 4). Table 2 reports the proportions for these factors comparing the
group with no mental disorder to the group with a mood disorder irrespective of subtype. Although the pattern of within-group proportion differences on all variables did not differ between those with a mood disorder and those without a mental disorder (see Supplementary Tables 2 and 3), there were significant differences in the distribution of responses between groups for several variables.

A higher proportion of the group with a mood disorder had lost their jobs as a result of COVID-19 compared to the group with no mental disorder, but the groups did not differ in the proportion of respondents working reduced hours or expecting to lose their job. A higher proportion of the mood disorder group were working from home compared to the group with no mental disorder; with double the proportion finding it ‘very difficult’ due to motivational or technical reasons, and 10% less having ‘no issues’. Compared to the group with no mental disorder, a higher proportion of respondents with mood disorder had less non-employment related contact with others outside the home compared to before the pandemic. Further, more experienced sleep disruptions, with less reporting no change and more reporting sleeping both more and less since the pandemic began. More mood disorder respondents also reported drinking more since the pandemic began, while less reported no change compared to the group with no mental disorder.

A perceived positive impact or no change in mental health as a result of government restrictions was reported by fewer respondents in the mood disorder compared to the group with no mental disorder, while 15% more respondents in the mood disorder group reported a negative impact. Finally, more respondents in the mood disorder group expected it to take longer for life to return to ‘normal’ than the group with no mental disorder i.e., less than 12 months versus less than six.
Psychological distress

Group differences in DASS scores are shown in Figure 2 (see Supplementary Table 5 for statistical values and Supplementary Table 6 for severity levels and comparison to non-clinical norms). As expected, psychological distress was elevated in respondents self-reporting a mood disorder compared to those with no mental disorder for all subscales of the DASS and the total score, after adjusting for momentary affect, age and gender. Mean scores remained in the ‘normal’ range across subscales for the group with no mental disorder, albeit with a 25-30% increase relative to published norms for depression and stress. In the mood disorder group, mean scores indicated moderate levels of depression, normal levels of anxiety and mild stress. Large effects were evident between those with no mental disorder and those with a mood disorder. Respondents with depressive disorder had lower stress levels (mild) than those with bipolar disorder (moderate), but anxiety was mild and equivalent in both subgroups. A significant group by gender interaction was evident for depression and total scores, where males and females with depressive disorder did not differ, but males with bipolar disorder had higher scores (borderline of severe and extremely severe) than females (moderate) with bipolar disorder.

Figure 3 shows the differences in DASS total scores for each level of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, behaviours and perceptions between respondents with a mood disorder and those with no mental disorder. Significant main effects were evident for every variable (see also Supplementary Table 7), as were main effects of group; with mood disorder respondents having higher scores than those with no mental disorder irrespective of response to each variable.

Interaction effects were also evident for three variables, where in the mood disorder group, higher DASS scores were evident in respondents working from home than those who
were not, but did not differ between those reporting ‘more’ or ‘no change’ in social time with others, or those reporting a ‘positive’ or ‘no impact’ of government restrictions on mental health. By contrast, DASS scores in the group with no mental disorder were higher for the former than the latter but did not differ by work location. In both groups, respondents who were spending less time with others outside the home or were perceiving a negative impact of government restrictions on mental health had higher DASS scores compared to those endorsing other categories, although scores were higher for those with a mood disorder.

**Discussion**

In this paper we provide an overview of the key current concerns, situational, perceptual and behavioural changes, and psychological distress levels of Australian’s self-reporting a pre-existing mood disorder compared to those with no mental disorder during the COVID-19 pandemic. As expected, depression, anxiety, stress and general distress was heightened in the former compared to the latter, with all but anxiety further elevated in respondents with bipolar disorder compared to those with depressive disorder (albeit with small effects); and men with bipolar disorder having even higher levels of depression and general distress than women with bipolar disorder. This latter finding contradicts that of several prior studies showing the opposite gender effect under non-pandemic conditions (Arnold et al., 2016; Nivoli et al., 2011; Parker et al., 2014), such that males with bipolar disorder appear to be experiencing particularly severe psychological ill-health during the time of COVID-19. In light of evidence that suicidality is more common in males and the severely depressed (Hansson et al., 2018; Leadholm et al., 2014), this subgroup of people may be at an especially high risk of suicide given that their mean current depression severity fell at the borderline of severe and extremely severe.
The top 10 most frequently endorsed concerns related to COVID-19 appeared to be generally similar for individuals with mood disorders and those with no mental disorder; with the top 4 reflecting concerns for the health and well-being of loved ones, including loved ones catching or dying from COVID-19, and the health and well-being of society. However, compared to those with no mental disorder, respondents with a mood disorder were more likely to express concern for access to appropriate medical care, and were less likely to be concerned about dying of COVID-19 themselves. Within this, respondents with bipolar disorder were more likely to be concerned about their personal finances and their risk of unemployment or reduced employment than those with depressive disorder, and less likely than them to be concerned with the Australian economy or catching COVID-19 themselves.

While all groups were most concerned about the prospect of a loved one dying of COVID-19 followed by them contracting it, those with no mental disorder or a depressive disorder were next most concerned about implications for the health and well-being of loved ones, while those with bipolar disorder were next most concerned about the risk of unemployment or reduced employment. Notably however, although more respondents with a mood disorder had lost their job as a result of COVID-19 compared to respondents with no mental disorder, job loss rates or expectations about job loss did not differ between respondents with bipolar disorder and those with depressive disorder. The more common endorsement of items relating to financial stress in the former may thus be reflective of the heightened economic burden of bipolar disorder compared to the latter.

Indeed, there is evidence that healthcare utilisation costs are higher for bipolar disorder than depressive disorder, particularly for specialised psychiatric (as opposed to primary care) and pharmaceutical services (Williams et al., 2011). In terms of work productivity, the cost per year to bipolar disorder is double that of depressive disorder, with a greater number of lost work days, and thus income, likely to confer heightened financial
disadvantage (Kessler et al., 2006). Reduced financial life-satisfaction has been documented in bipolar disorder previously (Van Rheenen and Rossell, 2014), where impulsive risk-taking behaviours such as reckless spending are central to (hypo)mania and represent a major source of financial difficulty and subsequent stress (Richardson et al., 2018). The convergence of these factors may thus confer a heavier personal financial strain to those with bipolar disorder, for which concern may be currently amplified by the economic precarity of the COVID-19 situation.

As expected, changes to lifestyle behaviours that are known to be important for emotional stability were more prevalent in individuals with a mood disorder; but did not differ between those with depressive disorder or bipolar disorder. That is, compared to those with no mental disorder, a higher proportion of individuals with a mood disorder reported spending less time in non-employment related contact with others, getting more or less sleep, and drinking more since the pandemic began. Further, a higher proportion expected it to take longer for life to return to ‘normal’, or perceived a negative impact of government restrictions on mental health. Psychological distress was highest in individuals reporting adverse situational or behavioural changes/perceptions; with those sleeping or exercising less more distressed than those sleeping or exercising more, and those who had lost their jobs more distressed than those who had not or those working reduced hours, but not those still expecting to. These same patterns were also evident in the individuals with no mental disorder, although general distress was higher in the mood disorder group compared to the no mental disorder group in every case.

Notably, respondents with a mood disorder were also more likely to find it very difficult to work from home due to technical or motivational reasons than those with no mental disorder. Those with a mood disorder were also more distressed if they were working from home than if they were not, particularly if they found it very difficult to do so. It is
possible that this distress could be mitigated, in part, through regular employer check-ins or the provision of extra assistance to vulnerable staff who may be known to experience extremes of mood.

From the perspective of mood stability, the high proportion of individuals reporting COVID-19 related changes in situational and lifestyle factors key to its maintenance is concerning. It thus seems evident that the focus of population-based health promotion should be on ways to maintain a healthy lifestyle in the home even in the context of physical distancing restrictions. From a clinical care standpoint, individuals with mood disorders who report acute changes in maladaptive lifestyle behaviours should be monitored even more closely, especially given the association of these behaviours with elevated levels of depression, anxiety, and stress reported here. Psychoeducation in the form of healthy lifestyle-based guidance seems particularly pertinent for these individuals, given that the impact of maladaptive lifestyle changes may unfortunately extend beyond emotional symptom exacerbation.

Indeed, lifestyle behaviours are key mediators of physical and cognitive health, which is typically compromised in bipolar and depressive disorder already (Evans et al., 2005; McIntyre et al., 2006). There is evidence that cessation of exercise, as well as sleep loss and increased alcohol intake, can amplify cardiometabolic dysfunction by altering biochemical and inflammatory marker profiles, reducing insulin sensitivity and inducing hypertriglyceridemia (Booth et al., 2008; Lippi et al., 2020; Poole et al., 2011). These factors have been widely linked to cognitive impairment as well as metabolic disease, to which those with mood disorders are more susceptible by virtue of genetic diathesis or psychotropic medication use (Killgore, 2010; Klop et al., 2013; Markwald et al., 2013; McIntyre et al., 2005; Nixon et al., 2017; Rasgon et al., 2010; Shao et al., 2017). Thus, the maladaptive lifestyle changes documented in this group in response to COVID-19 might not only
contribute to emotional decline and also cognitive impairment, but may further compound the risk of severe SARS-CoV-2 infection and associated medical complications (Zaman et al., 2020).

There are several factors that should be considered in the interpretation of our findings. First, we have described data from first wave of the mental-health-focused COLLATE project dataset, in which participation was self-selected and subsequent sample representativeness potentially biased. Second, the data we report is cross-sectional, and any changes in situation, perceptions and behaviours since the pandemic began were retrospectively reported using non-validated questions devised by the authors. Longitudinal data is being collected to address this as part of the ongoing COLLATE project. Third, as the COLLATE project is population-focused, the presence (or absence) of mood disorder was self-reported. Medication use and other important clinical variables such as age of onset, psychosis history, bipolar subtype or predominant polarity was also not measured, nor were symptoms of relevance to mania. Hence, diagnosis of (or absence of) mental disorder could not be clinically verified and the clinical characteristics of the mood disorder group not ascertained. Nonetheless, there is evidence that individuals self-identifying as having bipolar disorder or depressive disorder typically meet criteria for each respective diagnosis (Kupfer et al., 2002; Sanchez-Villegas et al., 2008). Fourth, the number of individuals self-reporting a mood disorder in the sample was modest and the gender distribution within this unbalanced. Thus, caution should be used in interpreting the results of analyses of this subsample stratified by gender.

Finally, given the unexpected nature and fast-paced way in which the COVID-19 pandemic took hold, we do not have pre-COVID-19 baseline measures of mood and lifestyle in our respondents. Thus, we cannot be sure that the high levels of psychological distress in those with mood disorder reported here are a result of the pandemic. It is certainly a
reasonable assumption that heightened distress in this group reflects pre-existing symptomatology inherent to the very essence of mood disorder. However, since variations in distress were associated with self-reported changes in personal situation, perceptions and lifestyle behaviours directly tied to COVID-19 in largely the same manner as seen in those without a mental disorder, it is plausible that such symptoms have worsened on account of the virus and its social and economic consequences.

In sum, the data reported here indicates that current psychological distress levels are elevated in individuals with mood disorder and are associated with maladaptive situational and lifestyle changes occurring in response to COVID-19. Concerns related to the pandemic strongly centre around the health and wellbeing of loved ones, oneself and society, as well as access to food, medicines and appropriate medical care. Individuals with bipolar disorder also appear to be more uniquely concerned about personal finances and unemployment than they are about the broader economy. In light of evidence that financial worries may represent a ‘final straw’ that triggers self-harm and suicidality (Barnes et al., 2016; Richardson et al., 2017), especially close attention to the mental health of individuals with bipolar disorder may be warranted. This is pertinent given the very severe levels of depression currently evident in males with the disorder, and the widespread economic hardships that are likely to be encountered as the pandemic continues to unfold.
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Table 1. Items relating to current concerns about the COVID-19 pandemic ranked by frequency of endorsement for each group

| ITEMS                                                                 | NO MENTAL DISORDER | MOOD DISORDER | DEPRESSIVE DISORDER | BIPOLAR DISORDER |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 1. Implications for health and wellbeing of family/loved ones          | 1                  | 1             | 1                   | 2                |
| 2. Loved one dying from COVID-19                                       | 2                  | 2             | 2                   | 3                |
| 3. Loved one catching COVID-19                                         | 3                  | 3             | 3                   | 4                |
| 4. Implications for health and wellbeing of society                    | 4                  | 4             | 4                   | 5                |
| 5. Australian economy                                                  | 5                  | 10            | 10                  | 13               |
| 6. Catching COVID-19 myself                                            | 6                  | 8             | 8                   | 11               |
| 7. Implications for health and wellbeing of self                       | 7                  | 5             | 5                   | 1                |
| 8. Social isolation and social distancing                              | 8                  | 6             | 6                   | 8                |
| 9. Availability of food and medicines                                  | 9                  | 7             | 7                   | 6                |
| 10. Dying of COVID-19 myself                                           | 10                 | 12            | 12                  | 12               |
| 11. Access to appropriate medical care                                 | 11                 | 9             | 9                   | 9                |
| 12. World economy                                                      | 12                 | 14            | 14                  | 15               |
| 13. Personal finances                                                  | 13                 | 11            | 11                  | 10               |
| 14. Risk of unemployment or reduced employment                         | 14                 | 13            | 13                  | 7                |
| 15. Travel restrictions                                                | 15                 | 17            | 17                  | 16               |
| 16. The rapidly changing landscape                                     | 16                 | 15            | 15                  | 14               |
17. Balancing work & caring for children/dependents | 17 | 21 | 21 | 18
18. Government communication of key messages | 18 | 16 | 16 | 20
19. Media coverage of the pandemic | 19 | 18 | 18 | 17
20. Adapting to working from home (e.g. IT/connectivity issues) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 19
21. Domestic violence | 21 | 19 | 19 | 21
22. Others | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22
23. Not being able to attend regular place of worship | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23

Note: Bolded values represent the top 10 most frequently endorsed items for each respective group. For the group not reporting any mental disorder, values reflect item ordering by frequency of endorsement from most (1) to least (23). In other groups, values also reflect frequency of endorsement from most (1) to least (23), but deviations from sequential ordering reflect deviations in endorsement frequencies from the group not reporting any mental disorder.

Table 2. Age and PANAS descriptives, and comparisons of the proportions of respondents with no mental disorder versus respondents with mood disorder endorsing each category of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours
|                        | NO MENTAL DISORDER | MOOD DISORDER | COMPARISONS |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|
|                        | n per analysis     | Proportion (%) | M(SD)       | n per analysis | Proportion (%) | M(SD)       | Test statistic | df   | P value     | Effect size |
| Age*                   |                    |               |             |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | 3167               | 42.26(14.11)  | 1292        | 37.16(11.84)  | 151.60        | 1,2832.55   | <.001         | 0.39  |             |             |
| Positive affect*       |                    |               |             |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | 3053               | 25.7(8.33)    | 1261        | 22.44(7.61)   | 154.73        | 1,2556.40   | <.001         | 0.41  |             |             |
| Negative affect*       |                    |               |             |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | 3053               | 17.86(6.98)   | 1261        | 21.45(7.86)   | 198.09        | 1,2120.2    | <.001         | 0.48  |             |             |
| Gender                 | Male               | 20.7<sup>a</sup> | 1292        | 13.5           | 31.10         | 1           | <.001         | 0.08  |             |             |
|                        | Female             | 79.3<sup>a</sup> | 86.5        |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
| Job loss               | Yes                | 9.3<sup>a</sup>  | 1290        | 13.6           | 23.36         | 3           | <.001         | 0.07  |             |             |
|                        | No, reduced hours  | 12.4          | 1290        | 13.4           |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | 7.1                |               |             | 7.3            |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | No but expected    | 71.2<sup>a</sup> | 65.7        |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | No                 |               |             |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
| Work from home         | Yes                | 41.2<sup>a</sup> | 1291        | 46.6           | 11.18         | 1           | .001          | 0.05  |             |             |
|                        | No                 | 58.8<sup>a</sup> | 53.4        |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
| Work from home difficulty | Very difficult  | 10.2<sup>a</sup> | 688         | 19.6           | 48.46         | 2           | <.001         | 0.14  |             |             |
|                        | Somewhat difficult | 51.1          | 688         | 51.6           |               |             |               |       |             |             |
|                        | No issues          | 38.7<sup>a</sup> | 28.8        |               |               |             |               |       |             |             |
| Change in non-employment related | No change | 36.1          | 1292        | 33.6           | 11.24         | 2           | .001          | 0.05  |             |             |
| **contact with others outside the home** | Less time | 44.0<sup>a</sup> | 49.3 |
| | More time | 19.9<sup>a</sup> | 17.1 |
| **Change in exercise behaviour** | More | 3166 | 36.0<sup>a</sup> | 1291 | 32.1 | 5.97 | 2 | .05 | 0.04 |
| | Less | 42.3 | 45.1 |
| | No change or I do not exercise normally<sup>+</sup> | 21.7 | 22.8 |
| **Change in sleep duration** | More | 3165 | 26.4<sup>a</sup> | 1291 | 35.6 | 93.38 | 2 | <.001 | 0.15 |
| | Less | 42.3<sup>a</sup> | 46.7 |
| | No change<sup>+</sup> | 31.3<sup>a</sup> | 17.7 |
| **Change in drinking behaviour** | More | 3122 | 28.8<sup>a</sup> | 1267 | 35.4 | 18.68 | 2 | <.001 | 0.07 |
| | Less | 13.8 | 12.1 |
| | No change<sup>+</sup> | 70.7<sup>a</sup> | 52.5 |
| **Perceived impact of government restrictions on mental health** | Positive | 3162 | 25.4<sup>a</sup> | 1292 | 18.4 | 91.46 | 2 | <.001 | 0.14 |
| | Negative | 54.8<sup>a</sup> | 70.0 |
| | No impact<sup>+</sup> | 19.9<sup>a</sup> | 11.5 |
| **Expectations about return to ‘normal’** | <3 months | 3158 | 4.7<sup>a</sup> | 1286 | 3.0 | 24.14 | 4 | .001 | 0.07 |
| | <6 months | 29.1<sup>a</sup> | 23.6 |
| | <12 months | 38.2<sup>a</sup> | 41.8 |
| | >12 months | 17.6 | 19.5 |
| | No idea | 10.4 | 12.1 |

Note: PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; *Welch test statistic; Effect size is given as Cramer’s V for nominal variables and Cohen’s d for continuous variables. Bolded p values indicate significant differences between groups overall. * indicates significant difference in proportion of response between groups. + Wording of response category has been slightly changed for readability/consistency. Refer to Supplementary Table 1 for wording provided to respondents.
Figure 1. Top 10 most frequently endorsed concerns for each group ordered by mean ranking from most concerning (1/left) to least concerning (10/right).

Note: Values reflect item ordering in the leftmost column in Table 1; 1=Implications for health and wellbeing of family/loved ones; 2= Loved one dying from COVID-19; 3=Loved one catching COVID-19; 4= Implications for health and wellbeing of society; 5= Australian economy; 6= Catching COVID-19 myself; 7= Implications for health and wellbeing of self; 8= Social isolation and social distancing; 9= Availability of food and medicines; 10=Dying of COVID-19 myself; 11= Access to appropriate medical care; 12= World economy; 13= Personal finances; 14= Risk of unemployment or reduced employment. Blue bars indicate deviation from top 10 most endorsed items by the group with no mental disorder. Error bars represent standard deviation.
Figure 2. Square root (SQRT) transformed Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS) scores by group. Numbers in figures represent Cohen’s d effects. Errors bars represent standard error.

a) DASS scores for respondents with no mental disorder versus a mood disorder. No group*gender interactions evident. Bars represent estimated marginal means adjusting for age, gender and momentary affect. Blue lines represent main effects of group.

b) DASS scores for respondents with depressive disorder versus bipolar disorder. Group*gender interactions were not evident for these variables. Bars represent estimated marginal means adjusting for age, gender and momentary affect. Blue lines represent main effects of group.
c) Gender*group interactions for depressive disorder versus bipolar disorder. Bars represent estimated marginal means adjusting for age and momentary affect. Blue lines represent gender differences in the bipolar disorder group (p<.05 corrected [Tukey]). Cohens d= 0.02 vs. 0.08 for males vs females with depressive disorder and d=0.44 vs 0.36 for males and females with bipolar disorder.
Figure 3. Square root (SQRT) transformed Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) scores as a function of COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours in respondents with no mental disorder versus those with self-reported mood disorder.

Note bars represent estimated marginal means. A conservative alpha of p<.01 was adopted at the first level. Post-hoc results are significant at p<.05 corrected (Tukey). All comparisons are significant, except those represented by orange (main effect of group) or blue (interaction: mood disorder only) lines. Errors bars represent standard error.
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Supplementary Table 1. *Description of variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours*

| Variable                                      | Question                                                                 | Response categories                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gender                                        | *What is your gender?*                                                   | • Male                                                                               |
|                                               |                                                                          | • Female                                                                             |
| Job loss                                      | *Have you lost your job due to the COVID-19 pandemic?*                    | • Yes                                                                                |
|                                               |                                                                          | • No, but reduced hours                                                              |
|                                               |                                                                          | • No, but job loss is expected                                                       |
|                                               |                                                                          | • No                                                                                 |
| Work from home                                | *Are you working from home?*                                             | • Yes                                                                                |
|                                               |                                                                          | • No                                                                                 |
| Work from home difficulty                     | *How difficult are you finding working from home? (e.g. Due to connectivity issues, difficulties learning new ways to communicate or difficulties with motivation)* | • Very difficult                                                                     |
|                                               |                                                                          | • Somewhat difficult                                                                 |
|                                               |                                                                          | • No issues                                                                          |
| Change in non-employment related contact with others outside the home | Difference in responses to:                                             | • No time                                                                            |
|                                               | *In the last week, how much time have you been spending each day in contact with people who do not live with you? This includes any type of communication (e.g. Emails, phone calls, messages, or face-to-face online services like zoom) and includes anyone you do not live with (e.g. Friends, family, work colleagues), and is not related to employment activities.* | • Less than half an hour a day                                                        |
|                                               | *In a typical week before the COVID-19 pandemic, how much time would you have spent with people who do not live with you? This includes any type of social contact (e.g. Face-to-face) or communication (e.g. Emails, phone calls, messages, or face-to-face online services like zoom) and includes anyone you do not live with (e.g. Friends, family, work colleagues), and is not related to employment activities.* | • Between half an hour and an hour a day                                              |
|                                               |                                                                          | • Between one and two hours a day                                                     |
|                                               |                                                                          | • More than two hours a day                                                           |
| **Change in exercise behaviour** | *In the past week, have you experienced any significant changes in your exercise behaviours – more so than before the COVID-19 pandemic?* |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Note that response categories for ‘A lot more..’ and ‘A little more…’ collapsed into ‘More’ and response categories for ‘A lot less..’ and ‘A little less..’ collapsed into ‘Less’. |
|                                  | **•** A lot more exercise  
 **•** A little more exercise  
 **•** No difference OR I do not exercise normally  
 **•** A little less exercise  
 **•** A lot less exercise |

| **Change in sleep duration** | *In the past week, have you experienced any significant changes in your sleeping patterns - more so than before the COVID -19 pandemic?* |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | Note that response categories for ‘A lot more..’ and ‘A little more…’ collapsed into ‘More’ and response categories for ‘A lot less..’ and ‘A little less..’ collapsed into ‘Less’. |
|                               | **•** Sleeping a lot more than normal  
 **•** Sleeping a little more than normal  
 **•** No change to how long I sleep  
 **•** Sleeping a little less than normal  
 **•** Sleeping a lot less than normal |

| **Change in drinking behaviour** | *In the past week, have there been any changes to the amount you are drinking compared to normal?* |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Note that response categories for ‘A lot more..’ and ‘A little more…’ collapsed into ‘More’ and response categories for ‘A lot less..’ and ‘A little less..’ collapsed into ‘Less’. |
|                                  | **•** Drinking a lot more than normal  
 **•** Drinking a little more than normal  
 **•** No change to how much I drink  
 **•** Drinking a little less than normal  
 **•** Drinking a lot less than normal |
| Perceived impact of Government restrictions on Mental health | How have current government restrictions affected your mental health? |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                            | Note that response categories for ‘Very positively’ and ‘Somewhat positively’ collapsed into ‘Positively’ and response categories for ‘Somewhat negatively’ and ‘Very negatively’ collapsed into ‘Negatively’. |
|                                                            | Very positively |
|                                                            | Somewhat positively |
|                                                            | Not at all |
|                                                            | Somewhat negatively |
|                                                            | Very negatively |

| Expectations about return to ‘normal’ | How long do you think it will take before people can go back to work, shop and live as they did before the COVID-19 pandemic? |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                      | Less than 3 months |
|                                      | Less than 6 months |
|                                      | Less than 12 months |
|                                      | More than 1 year |
|                                      | No idea |
Supplementary Table 2. Comparisons of the proportion of endorsements for each category of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours for respondents with no mental disorder versus those self-reporting a mood disorder

|                          | NO MENTAL DISORDER | MOOD DISORDER |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
|                          | n per analysis     | Proportion (%)| M(SD) | n per analysis | Proportion (%)| M(SD) |
| **Gender**               |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
| Male                     | 3167               | 20.7          | ^a    | 1292           | 13.5          | ^a    |
| Female                   | 79.3               | 13.5          | ^b    |
| **Job loss**             |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
| Yes                      | 3155               | 9.3           | ^a    | 1290           | 13.6          | ^a    |
| No, reduced hours        |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
|                          | 12.4               | 13.4          | ^b    |
|                          | 7.1                | 7.30          | ^b    |
| No but expected          |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
|                          | 71.2               | 65.7          | ^b    |
| No                       |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
| **Work from home**       |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
| Yes                      | 3165               | 41.2          | ^a    | 1291           | 46.6          | ^a    |
| No                       | 58.8               | 53.4          | ^b    |
| **Work from home difficulty** |                |               |       |               |               |       |
| Very difficult           | 1857               | 10.2          | ^a    | 688            | 19.6          | ^a    |
| Somewhat difficult       |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
|                          | 51.1               | 51.6          | ^b    |
|                          | 38.7               | 28.8          | ^c    |
| No issues                |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
| **Change in non-employment related contact with others outside the home** | | | | | | |
| No change                | 3164               | 36.1          | ^a    | 1292           | 33.6          | ^a    |
| Less time                |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
|                          | 44.0               | 49.3          | ^b    |
| More time                |                    |               |       |               |               |       |
|                          | 19.9               | 17.1          | ^c    |
| **Change in exercise behaviour** |                |               |       |               |               |       |
| More                     | 3166               | 36.0          | ^a    | 1291           | 32.1          | ^a    |
|                                | Less  | 42.3<sup>b</sup> | 45.1<sup>b</sup> |  No change* | 21.7<sup>a,b</sup> | 22.8<sup>ab</sup> |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| **Change in sleep duration**   | More  | 3165             | 26.4<sup>a</sup> | 1291        | 35.6<sup>a</sup>  | 31.3<sup>c</sup>  |
|                                | Less  |                 | 42.3<sup>b</sup> |             | 46.7<sup>b</sup>  |                   |
|                                | No change* |       | 31.3<sup>c</sup> |             | 17.7<sup>c</sup>  |                   |
| **Change in drinking behaviour** | More  | 3122             | 28.8<sup>a</sup> | 1267        | 35.4<sup>a</sup>  |                   |
|                                | Less  |                 | 13.8<sup>b</sup> |             | 12.1<sup>b</sup>  |                   |
|                                | No change* |       | 70.7<sup>b</sup> |             | 52.5<sup>b</sup>  |                   |
| **Perceived impact of government restrictions on mental health** | Positive | 3162 | 25.4<sup>a</sup> | 1292 | 18.4<sup>a</sup> |                   |
|                                | Negative |       | 54.8<sup>b</sup> |             | 70.0<sup>b</sup> |                   |
|                                | No impact* |       | 19.9<sup>a</sup> |             | 11.5<sup>a</sup> |                   |
| **Expectations about return to ‘normal’** | <3 months | 3158 | 4.70<sup>a</sup> | 1286 | 3.0<sup>a</sup> |                   |
|                                | <6 months |       | 29.1<sup>a</sup> |             | 23.6<sup>a</sup> |                   |
|                                | <12 months |      | 38.2<sup>b</sup> |             | 41.8<sup>b</sup> |                   |
|                                | >12 months |      | 17.6<sup>a</sup> |             | 19.5<sup>b</sup> |                   |
|                                | No idea |       | 10.4<sup>b</sup> |             | 12.1<sup>b</sup> |                   |

Note: Superscript letters indicate significant *within* group differences in the proportion of endorsements between response categories. *Wording of response category has been slightly changed for readability/consistency. Refer to Supplementary Table 1 for wording provided to respondents.*
Supplementary Table 3. Comparisons of the proportion of endorsements for each category of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours for respondents self-reporting depressive disorder versus bipolar disorder

|                          | DEPRESSIVE DISORDER | BIPOLAR DISORDER |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|                          | n per analysis | Proportion (% | M(SD) | n per analysis | Proportion (% | M(SD) |
| **Gender**               |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| Male                     | 1214           | 13.6 a            | 86.4 a | 78             | 12.8 a            | 87.2 a |
| Female                   |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| **Job loss**             |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| Yes                      | 1213           | 13.7 a            | 13.2 a | 77             | 11.7 a            | 16.9 a |
| No, reduced hours        |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| No but expected           | 7.3 a          | 65.8 a            | 64.9 a |                |                  |       |
| No                       |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| **Work from home**       |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| Yes                      | 1213           | 46.2 a            | 53.8 a | 78             | 53.8 a            | 46.2 a |
| No                       |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| **Work from home difficulty** |          |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| Very difficult           | 652            | 19.6 a            | 52.1 a | 36             | 19.4 a            | 41.7 a |
| Somewhat difficult       |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| No issues                | 28.2 a         | 38.9 a            |       |                |                  |       |
| **Change in non-employment related contact with others outside the home** | | | | | |
| No change                | 1214           | 33.9 a            | 78     | 29.5 a         | 55.1 a            |       |
| Less time                |                |                  |       |                |                  |       |
| More time                | 17.2 a         | 15.4 a            |       |                |                  |       |
| **Change in exercise behaviour** | | | | | |
| More                     | 1214           | 31.5 a            | 77     | 42.9 a         | 15.4 a            |       |
| Study outcome                                  | Less             | No change* | +        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|----------|
| Change in sleep duration                      | Less             | 45.6 b     | 36.4 b   |
|                                              | No change*       | 22.9 a,b   | 20.8 a,b |
| Change in drinking behaviour                 | More             | 35.9 a     | 78       |
|                                              | Less             | 47.2 a     | 38.5 a   |
|                                              | No change*       | 16.8 b     | 29.5 b   |
| Perceived impact of government restrictions on mental health | Positive | 18.7 a     | 78       |
|                                              | Negative         | 69.6 a     | 76.9 a   |
|                                              | No impact*       | 11.7 a     | 9.0 a    |
| Expectations about return to ‘normal’        | <3 months        | 3.1 a,b    | 78       |
|                                              | <6 months        | 23.8 a,b   | 21.8 a,b |
|                                              | <12 months       | 42.1 b     | 37.2 b   |
|                                              | >12 months       | 18.8 a     | 30.8 a   |
|                                              | No idea          | 12.3 a,b   | 9.0 a,b  |

Note: Superscript letters indicate significant within group differences in the proportion of endorsements between response categories. * Wording of response category has been slightly changed for readability/consistency. Refer to Supplementary Table 1 for wording provided to respondents.
Supplementary Table 4. Age and PANAS descriptives, and comparisons of the proportions of individuals with self-reported depressive disorder versus bipolar disorder endorsing each category of the variables assessing COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours

|                                    | DEPRESSIVE DISORDER | BIPOLAR DISORDER | COMPARISONS |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
|                                    | n per analysis | Proportion (%) | M(SD) | n per analysis | Proportion (%) | M(SD) | Test stat | df | P value | Effect size |
| Age*                               | 1214            | 37.22(11.98)    | 78    | 36.26(9.93)     | 0.76 | 1,94.14 | .39 | 0.09 |
| Positive affect                     | 1184            | 22.51(7.62)     | 77    | 21.28(7.54)     | 1.87 | 1,1260 | .17 | 0.16 |
| Negative affect                     | 1184            | 21.47(7.87)     | 77    | 21.12(7.78)     | 0.14 | 1,1260 | .71 | 0.04 |
| Gender                             | Male            | 13.6             | 78    | 12.8             | 0.04 | 1     | .85 | 0.01 |
|                                    | Female          | 86.4             | 87.2  |                |      |       |     |       |
| Job loss                           | Yes             | 13.7             | 77    | 11.7             | 1.03 | 3     | .80 | 0.03 |
|                                    | No, reduced hours | 13.2              | 7.3   | 16.9             |      |       |     |       |
|                                    | No but expected | 65.8             | 64.9  |                |      |       |     |       |
|                                    | No              |                  |       |                |      |       |     |       |
| Work from home                     | Yes             | 46.2             | 78    | 53.8             | 1.74 | 1     | .19 | 0.04 |
|                                    | No              | 53.8             | 46.2  |                |      |       |     |       |
| Work from home difficulty          | Very difficult | 19.6             | 36    | 19.4             | 2.08 | 2     | .35 | 0.06 |
|                                    | Somewhat difficult | 52.1              |       | 41.7             |      |       |     |       |
|                                    | Difficult       | 28.2             |       | 38.9             |      |       |     |       |
|                                | No issues          |                |                |                |                |                |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Change in non-employment related contact with others outside the home** | No change          | 1214           | 33.9           | 78             | 29.5           | 1.13           | 2              | .57            | 0.03           |
|                                | Less time          |                | 48.9           |                | 55.1           |                |
|                                | More time          |                | 17.2           |                | 15.4           |                |
| **Change in exercise behaviour** | More               | 1214           | 31.5<sup>a</sup> | 77             | 42.9           | 4.45           | 2              | .11            | 0.06           |
|                                | Less               |                | 45.6           |                | 36.4           |                |
|                                | No change<sup>*</sup> |                | 22.9           |                | 20.8           |                |
| **Change in sleep duration**   | More               | 1213           | 35.9           | 78             | 32.1           | 8.08           | 2              | .02            | 0.08           |
|                                | Less               |                | 47.2           |                | 38.5           |                |
|                                | No change<sup>*</sup> |                | 16.8<sup>a</sup> | 29.5           |                |                |
| **Change in drinking behaviour** | More               | 1190           | 35.6           | 77             | 32.5           | .74            | 2              | .69            | 0.03           |
|                                | Less               |                | 12.2           |                | 10.4           |                |
|                                | No change<sup>*</sup> |                | 52.2           |                | 57.1           |                |
| **Perceived impact of government restrictions on mental health** | Positive          | 1214           | 18.7           | 78             | 14.1           | 1.87           | 2              | .39            | 0.04           |
|                                | Negative No change<sup>*</sup> | 69.6        |                | 76.9           |                |                |
|                                | No change<sup>*</sup> | 11.7           | 9.0            |                |                |                |
| **Expectations about return to ‘normal’** | <3 months          | 1208           | 3.1            | 78             | 2.6            | 7.38           | 4              | .12            | 0.08           |
|                                | <6 months          |                | 23.8           |                | 21.8           |                |
|                                | <12 months         |                | 42.1           |                | 37.2           |                |
|                                | >12 months         |                | 18.8<sup>a</sup> |                | 30.8           |                |
|                                | No idea            |                | 12.3           |                | 9.0            |                |

Note: PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; *Welch test statistics; Effect size is given as Cramer’s V for nominal variables, and Cohen’s d for continuous variables. <sup>a</sup> indicates significant difference in proportion of response between groups; <sup>*</sup> Wording of response category has been slightly changed for readability/consistency. Refer to Supplementary Table 1 for wording provided to respondents.
Supplementary Table 5. Results of multivariate analysis of covariance examining DASS Total score as a function of group

| Variable     | Effect type | Effect statistics* | Post hoc                                      |
|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|              |             | df    | F      | P value | η²p  |                          |
| DASS Depression | Group       | 1, 4307 | 354.97 | <.001   | 0.08 | No mental disorder< mood disorder |
|              | Gender      | 1, 4307 | 0.01   | 0.92    | <0.01 | -                          |
|              | Interaction | 1, 4307 | 2.34   | 0.13    | <0.01 | -                          |
| DASS Anxiety  | Group       | 1, 4307 | 246.30 | <.001   | 0.05 | No mental disorder< mood disorder |
|              | Gender      | 1, 4307 | 37.33  | <.001   | 0.01 | Males<Females               |
|              | Interaction | 1, 4307 | 0      | 0.98    | <0.01 | -                          |
| DASS Stress   | Group       | 1, 4307 | 222.64 | <.001   | 0.05 | No mental disorder< mood disorder |
|              | Gender      | 1, 4307 | 37.86  | <.001   | 0.01 | Males<females               |
|              | Interaction | 1, 4307 | 4.82   | 0.03    | <0.01 | -                          |
| DASS Total    | Group       | 1, 4307 | 379.61 | <.001   | 0.08 | No mental disorder< mood disorder |
|              | Gender      | 1, 4307 | 21.65  | <.001   | 0.01 | Males<females               |
|              | Interaction | 1, 4307 | 2.04   | 0.15    | <0.01 | -                          |
| b DASS Depression | Group   | 1, 1254 | 7.925  | 0.01    | 0.01 | Depressive disorder< bipolar disorder |
|              | Gender      | 1, 1254 | 7.354  | 0.01    | 0.01 | Males<females               |
|              | Interaction | 1, 1254 | 6.299  | 0.01    | 0.01 | Depressed male = depressed female; bipolar male>bipolar female |
| DASS Anxiety  | Group       | 1, 1254 | 4.594  | 0.03    | <0.01 | -                          |
|              | Gender      | 1, 1254 | 0.006  | 0.94    | <0.01 | -                          |
|              | Interaction | 1, 1254 | 2.915  | 0.09    | <0.01 | -                          |
| DASS Stress | Group     | df     | F     | p    | Depression disorder < bipolar disorder |
|-------------|-----------|--------|-------|------|-----------------------------------------|
| Gender      | 1, 1254   | 1.132  | 0.29  | <0.01| -                                       |
| Interaction | 1, 1254   | 5.052  | 0.03  | <0.01| -                                       |
| DASS Total  | Group     | 1, 1254 | 10.016| <0.001| Depression disorder < bipolar disorder |
| Gender      | 1, 1254   | 2.761  | 0.10  | 0.01 | -                                       |
| Interaction | 1, 1254   | 6.844  | 0.01  | 0.01 | Depressed male = depressed female; bipolar male > bipolar female |

Note: Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS) for a) No mental disorder versus self-reported mood disorder; b) Self-reported depressive disorder versus self-reported bipolar disorder. *A conservative p value of .01 was adopted at the first level; Post-hoc results are significant at p<.05 corrected (Tukey); Bolded values represent significant effects.
Supplementary Table 6. Severity ratings for mean DASS subscale scores between groups and in comparison to Australian non-clinical norms

| DASS subscale | Norms | No mental disorder | Mood disorder | Depressive disorder | Bipolar disorder |
|---------------|-------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|               | Severity | EMM | Severity | EMM | Severity | EMM | Severity | EMM |
| Depression    | Normal       | 5.14 | Normal       | 6.61 | Moderate       | 14.51 | Male: Moderate | 15.15 | Male: Borderline severe/extremely severe | 27.1 |
|               |             |     | Female: Moderate | 14.75 |               |     | Female: Moderate | 15.36 |
| Anxiety       | Normal       | 3.48 | Normal       | 2.99 | Normal       | 7.45 | Mild       | 7.95 | Moderate       | 11.02 |
| Stress        | Normal       | 7.98 | Normal       | 9.92 | Mild       | 16.08 | Mild       | 16.65 | Moderate       | 21.25 |

Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS) scores reflect back-transformed estimated marginal means (EMM).
Supplementary Table 7. Results of univariate analyses examining DASS Total score as a function of COVID-19 related changes in personal situation, perceptions or behaviours in respondents with no mental disorder versus those with a self-reported mood disorder

| Effect type                  | df  | F   | P    | η²   | Post hoc (Tukey corrected)                                      |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Group**                    | 1, 4437 | 405.16 | <.001 | 0.08 | No mental disorder< mood disorder                               |
| **Job loss**                 | 3, 4437 | 32.97  | <.001 | 0.02 | No< No, reduced hours and No, but expected and Yes               |
|                              |      |       |      |      | No, reduced hours<Yes and < No, but expected                    |
|                              |      |       |      |      | Yes = No, but job loss expected                                 |
| **Interaction**              | 3, 4437 | 0.43  | .73  | <0.01 | -                                                              |
| **Group**                    | 1,4452 | 842.71 | <.001 | .16  | No mental disorder< mood disorder                               |
| **Working from home**        | 1,4452 | 3.39  | <.001 | 0.01 | Working from home >Not working from home                        |
| **Interaction**              | 1,4452 | 51.423 | <.001 | <0.01 | No mental disorder:                                              |
|                              |      |       |      |      | Working from home =Not working from home                        |
|                              |      |       |      |      | Self-reported mood disorder:                                    |
|                              |      |       |      |      | Working from home >Not working from home                        |
| **Group**                    | 1, 2539 | 234.42 | <.001 | 0.09 | No mental disorder< mood disorder                               |
| **Difficulty working from home** | 2, 2539 | 73.97  | <.001 | 0.06 | No issues < Somewhat difficult and Very difficult Somewhat       |
|                              |      |       |      |      | Somewhat difficult < Very difficult                             |
| **Interaction**              | 2, 2539 | 2.82  | .06  | <0.01 | -                                                              |
| **Group**                    | 1, 4450 | 695.88 | <.001 | 0.14 | No mental disorder< mood disorder                               |
| **Change in sleep duration** | 2, 4450 | 195.76 | <.001 | 0.08 | No change< More sleep and Less sleep                            |
|                              |      |       |      |      | More sleep < Less sleep                                        |
|                          | df  | F     | p     | Mean1 mean2       | p1               | p2               | p3               |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Interaction**          | 2, 4450 | 0.73  | <0.01 |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| **Group**                | 1,4451 | 799.53| <0.001| No mental disorder < mood disorder | 0.15             |                  |                  |
| **Change in exercise**   | 2,4451 | 9.81  | <0.01 | Less < More and no change |                  | No change = More |                  |
| **Interaction**          | 2,4451 | 3.43  | <0.01 |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| **Group**                | 1, 4383 | 551.66| <0.001| No mental disorder < mood disorder | 0.11             |                  |                  |
| **Change in drinking behaviour** | 2, 4383 | 42.76 | <0.001| No change < Less drinking and More drinking, Less drinking < More drinking | 0.02             |                  |                  |
| **Interaction**          | 2,4383 | 2.49  | <0.01 |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| **Group**                | 1, 4450 | 651.86| <0.001| No mental disorder < mood disorder | 0.13             |                  |                  |
| **Change in non-employment related contact with others outside the home** | 2, 4450 | 25.18 | <0.001| More time = No change, More time < Less time, No change < Less time | 0.01             |                  |                  |
| **Interaction**          | 2,4450 | 4.19  | <0.01 | No mental disorder: No change < More time & Less time, More time < Less time, Mood disorder: No change = More time, No change < Less time, More time < Less time | <0.01            |                  |                  |
| **Group**                | 1, 4448 | 482.04| <0.001| No mental disorder < mood disorder | 0.10             |                  |                  |
| Perceived impact of Government restrictions on mental health | 2,4448 | 150.03 | <.001 | 0.06 | No Impact < Positive impact & Negative impact, Positive <Negative |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Interaction                                                | 2,4448 | 6.09   | <.01   | 0.00 | No mental disorder:                                           |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | No Impact < Positive and Negative Impact                      |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | Positive Impact < Negative Impact                             |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | Mood disorder:                                                |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | No Impact = Positive Impact                                   |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | No Impact < Negative Impact                                   |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | Positive Impact < Negative Impact                             |
| Group                                                      | 1,4434 | 420.83 | <.001  | 0.09 | No mental disorder < mood disorder                            |
| Expected return to normal                                  | 4,4434 | 5.99   | <.001  | <0.01| Less than 3 months = all                                     |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | Less than 6 months < more than 12 months & < Unsure           |
|                                                            |        |        |        |      | Less than 12 months < more than 12 months                    |
| Interaction                                                | 4,4434 | 0.50   | 0.74   | <0.01| -                                                             |

Note: Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS) scores are square root transformed; *A conservative p value of .01 was adopted at the first level; Post-hoc results are significant at p<.05 corrected (Tukey); Bolded values indicate significant effects.
