Epigenetic silencing of olfactomedin-4 enhances gastric cancer cell invasion via activation of focal adhesion kinase signaling
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INTRODUCTION

Olfactomedin-4 (OLFM4), a glycoprotein belonging to the olfactomedin family, which comprises at least 13 members, is characterized by a C-terminal olfactomedin domain (1). OLFM4 gene expression is regulated by the transcription factor NF-kappa B and enhanced by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) via activation of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) (2). OLFM4 is able to interact with cell surface proteins. Extracellular OLFM4 can serve as a bridge between the lectins of neighboring cells and participate in cell adhesion (3). OLFM4 can also interact with cell adhesion glycoproteins, such as cadherins (4); its overexpression results in decreased OLFM4 can also interact with cell adhesion glycoproteins. Extracellular OLFM4 can serve as a bridge between the lectins of neighboring cells and participate in cell adhesion (3). OLFM4 can also interact with cell adhesion glycoproteins, such as cadherins (4); its overexpression results in decreased

Downregulation of olfactomedin-4 (OLFM4) is associated with tumor progression, lymph node invasion and metastases. However, whether or not downregulation of OLFM4 is associated with epigenetic silencing remains unknown. In this study, we investigate the role of OLFM4 in gastric cancer cell invasion. We confirm the previous result that OLFM4 expression is increased in gastric cancer tissues and decreases with an increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes, which are associated with OLFM4 promoter hypermethylatyion. Overexpression of OLFM4 in gastric cancer cells had an inhibitory effect on cell invasion. Furthermore, we found that focal adhesion kinase (FAK) was negatively correlated with OLFM4 expression in gastric cancer tissues. Also, inhibition of FAK induced by OLFM4 knockdown resulted in a decrease in cell invasion. Thus, our study demonstrates that epigenetic silencing of OLFM4 enhances gastric cancer cell invasion via activation of FAK signaling. [BMB Reports 2015; 48(11): 630-635]

RESULTS

The expression of OLFM4 is associated with its promoter methylation

To investigate the role of OLFM4 in gastric cancer, we made 70 samples of gastric cancer tissues and 10 samples of adjacent tissues into microarrays. The results of immunostaining showed that the expression of OLFM4 was significantly increased in gastric cancer tissues compared to adjacent tissues, but was reduced with increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes (Fig. 1A). In contrast, we found that the expression of FAK was significantly higher in gastric cancer tissues than in adjacent tissues. We also observed that the expression of FAK was increased with increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes (Fig. 1B). In vitro experiments, we first investigated the
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Invasive ability is associated with promoter hypermethylation. The regulation of OLFM4 in gastric cancer cells with highly invasive ability is associated with promoter hypermethylation. We further investigated the role of OLFM4 on cell invasion in gastric cancer cells. We downregulated the expression of OLFM4 by transfecting OLFM4-sh lentivirus into SGC7901 gastric cancer cells. We found that compared to a negative control (NC) group, downregulation of OLFM4 significantly increased cell invasion, whereas upregulation of OLFM4 dramatically reduced cell invasion. Importantly, 5-Aza treatment also inhibited cell invasion (Fig. 3A, B). These results suggest that OLFM4 represses gastric cancer cell invasion, and this repression is associated with promoter methylation. Moreover, to explore the invasion-related downstream molecules regulated by OLFM4, we analyzed the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. As shown in Fig. 3C and 3D, we found that downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA significantly increased the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Also, 5-Aza treatment strongly enhanced the expression of OLFM4, which resulted in a decrease in the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Thus, we propose that the inhibitory effect of OLFM4 on gastric cancer cell invasion may be regulated by FAK signaling.

**Inhibition of FAK expression reverses the effect of OLFM4-sh on gastric cancer cell invasion**

To investigate whether the effect of OLFM4 on cell invasion involved FAK signaling, we treated SGC7901 and MGC803 cells with OLFM4-sh lentivirus or FAK inhibitor PF-00562271 (PF) alone, or both together. Our results indicate that downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA significantly increased the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. PF treatment had the opposite result. Co-treatment with OLFM4-sh and PF partially neutralized the inhibitory effects of PF on MMP2 and MMP9 expression (Fig. 4A). In line with our results mentioned above, as shown in Fig. 4B, downregulation of OLFM4 resulted in a significant enhancement of cell invasion. FAK inhibitor (PF) significantly inhibited the invasive ability of SGC7901 and MGC803 cells. Importantly, FAK inhibitor treatment mostly blocked the enhanced role of OLFM4-sh on cell invasion. These results demonstrate that the inhibitory effect of OLFM4 on gastric cancer cell invasion was regulated by FAK signaling.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that the expression of OLFM4 and FAK was increased in gastric cancer tissues, whereas the expression of OLFM4 was reduced with an increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes. Previous studies have shown that OLFM4 is increased in several types of cancer, including breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer and gastric cancer (12). In addition, OLFM4 expression is dependent on the status of differentiation of cancer cells. It was found that OLFM4 expression is increased in well- or moderately-differentiated gastric tissues, whereas its level is much lower in poorly differentiated or undifferentiated tumors (13). Downregulation of OLFM4 is associated with tumor progression, lymph node invasion and metastases in gastric cancer (9). Oue N et al. found that upregulation of OLFM4 inhibits cell invasion in gastric cancer cells.

We further investigated the role of OLFM4 on cell invasion in gastric cancer cells. We downregulated the expression of OLFM4 by transfecting OLFM4-sh lentivirus into SGC7901 and MGC803 cells, and induced the expression of OLFM4 by transfecting OLFM4 lentivirus or treating with 15 nM 5-Aza (a well-known demethylation agent). We found that compared to a negative control (NC) group, downregulation of OLFM4 significantly increased cell invasion, whereas upregulation of OLFM4 dramatically reduced cell invasion. Importantly, 5-Aza treatment also inhibited cell invasion (Fig. 3A, B). These results suggest that OLFM4 represses gastric cancer cell invasion, and this repression is associated with promoter methylation. Moreover, to explore the invasion-related downstream molecules regulated by OLFM4, we analyzed the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. As shown in Fig. 3C and 3D, we found that downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA significantly increased the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Also, 5-Aza treatment strongly enhanced the expression of OLFM4, which resulted in a decrease in the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Thus, we propose that the inhibitory effect of OLFM4 on gastric cancer cell invasion may be regulated by FAK signaling.

**Upregulation of OLFM4 inhibits cell invasion in gastric cancer cells**

We further investigated the role of OLFM4 on cell invasion in gastric cancer cells. We downregulated the expression of OLFM4 by transfecting OLFM4-sh lentivirus into SGC7901 and MGC803 cells, and induced the expression of OLFM4 by transfecting OLFM4 lentivirus or treating with 15 nM 5-Aza (a well-known demethylation agent). We found that compared to a negative control (NC) group, downregulation of OLFM4 significantly increased cell invasion, whereas upregulation of OLFM4 dramatically reduced cell invasion. Importantly, 5-Aza treatment also inhibited cell invasion (Fig. 3A, B). These results suggest that OLFM4 represses gastric cancer cell invasion, and this repression is associated with promoter methylation. Moreover, to explore the invasion-related downstream molecules regulated by OLFM4, we analyzed the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. As shown in Fig. 3C and 3D, we found that downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA significantly increased the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Also, 5-Aza treatment strongly enhanced the expression of OLFM4, which resulted in a decrease in the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. Thus, we propose that the inhibitory effect of OLFM4 on gastric cancer cell invasion may be regulated by FAK signaling.

**Inhibition of FAK expression reverses the effect of OLFM4-sh on gastric cancer cell invasion**

To investigate whether the effect of OLFM4 on cell invasion involved FAK signaling, we treated SGC7901 and MGC803 cells with OLFM4-sh lentivirus or FAK inhibitor PF-00562271 (PF) alone, or both together. Our results indicate that downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA significantly increased the expression of p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9. PF treatment had the opposite result. Co-treatment with OLFM4-sh and PF partially neutralized the inhibitory effects of PF on MMP2 and MMP9 expression (Fig. 4A). In line with our results mentioned above, as shown in Fig. 4B, downregulation of OLFM4 resulted in a significant enhancement of cell invasion. FAK inhibitor (PF) significantly inhibited the invasive ability of SGC7901 and MGC803 cells. Importantly, FAK inhibitor treatment mostly blocked the enhanced role of OLFM4-sh on cell invasion. These results demonstrate that the inhibitory effect of OLFM4 on gastric cancer cell invasion was regulated by FAK signaling.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we found that the expression of OLFM4 and FAK was increased in gastric cancer tissues, whereas the expression of OLFM4 was reduced with an increasing number of metastatic lymph nodes. Previous studies have shown that OLFM4 is increased in several types of cancer, including breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer and gastric cancer (12). In addition, OLFM4 expression is dependent on the status of differentiation of cancer cells. It was found that OLFM4 expression is increased in well- or moderately-differentiated gastric tissues, whereas its level is much lower in poorly differentiated or undifferentiated tumors (13). Downregulation of OLFM4 is associated with tumor progression, lymph node invasion and metastases in gastric cancer (9). Oue N et al. found that
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Fig. 3. OLFM4 reduces invasive ability of gastric cancer cells, and regulates the expression of p-FAK, MMP2, and MMP9. SGC-7901 (A) and MGC-803 (B) cells were transfected with OLFM4-sh, OLFM4 lentivirus, or 5-Aza for 48 h. Invasive ability was measured by transwell assay. (C) Downregulation of OLFM4 by OLFM4-sh led to an increase in p-FAK, MMP2 and MMP9 expression compared to NC. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni t post-test was used to analyze the data. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
OLFM4 expression in gastric cancer tissues and the serum of gastric cancer patients is higher in stage I/II cases than in stage III/IV cases (14). It is possible that the expression of OLFM4 in the early stages of gastric cancer is compensatorily upregulated. On the other hand, given the inhibitory effects of OLFM4 on cancer cell invasion, its expression is suppressed during the late stages of gastric cancer (cancer cell invasion and metastases). Downregulation of OLFM4 in an advanced tumor is associated with a decrease in patient survival (15), suggesting that OLFM4 not only plays a role in the early stages of tumor initiation, but also has an inhibitory role on cell invasion and metastases in advanced stages of tumor development.

Furthermore, our in vitro experiments revealed that OLFM4 expression is reduced with increasing cell invasive ability, and this effect is silenced by promoter hypermethylation. DNA methylation alterations are induced by carcinogenetic factors at both the early and advanced stages in various organs (16). It has been suggested that DNA methylation profiles may determine tumor aggressiveness and patient outcomes (17). Accumulative studies have shown that key players in gastric cancer are regulated by changes in DNA methylation patterns at their promoter CpG islands (18). Hypermethylation of CpG islands results in the silencing of neighboring genes, and promoters of tumor-suppressor genes, such as P53 and runt-related transcription factor 3, are often methylated in tissue taken from gastric cancer patients (19). In the present study, downregulation of OLFM4 that is silenced by a hypermethylated promoter in advanced gastric cancer is associated with invasive behavior.

FAK is an important intracellular signaling molecule that mediates crosslinking of intracellular signaling networks and plays a key role in cell migration and invasion (20). Previous studies showed that FAK expression is increased in gastric cancer tissue, especially in poorly differentiated gastric cancer tissue (9). Interestingly, we now find that the expression of FAK has a negative relationship with OLFM4 in gastric cancer tissue. What’s more, we found that enhancement of cell invasion by OLFM4-sh was diminished by a FAK inhibitor, which led to a decrease in the expression of matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2) and MMP9. PF is a potent ATP-competitive inhibitor of FAK. PF may inhibit phosphorylation of FAK both in vitro and in vivo, and subsequently reduce protein levels of MMP2 and MMP9 (21). FAK signaling also plays a critical role in the production of matrix metalloproteinases including MMP2 and MMP9 and subsequently activates tumor invasion (22). Overexpressed OLFM4 in HT-29 colorectal cancer cells led to a visible change in cell morphology with the cells losing contact with one another and becoming rounded, resulting in decreased cell adhesion and migration; this effect may be mediated by interactions with adhesion molecules, the cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix (23). Park KS et al. found that overexpression of OLFM4 reduced expression of MMP9, and forced expression of MMP9 attenuated the inhibitory activity of OLFM4 on migration and invasiveness in mouse melanoma cells (24). In this study, we reveal that OLFM4 expression is negatively correlated with the activity of FAK signaling, and downregulation of OLFM4 by shRNA enhances the invasive ability of gastric cancer cells, which is attenuated by a FAK inhibitor. These results suggest that OLFM4...
suppresses gastric cancer cell invasion partly via activation of FAK signaling.

In conclusion, our findings provide experimental evidence that OLFM4 functions as an invasive suppressor especially in advanced gastric cancer via regulating FAK/MMP2/MMP9 signaling. However, other studies suggest that depletion of OLFM4 significantly inhibits tumorigenicity of gastric cancer SGC-7901 and MGC-803 cells and sensitizes gastric cancer cells to hydrogen peroxide or tumor necrosis factor alpha (25). Thus, balancing and stabilizing OLFM4 expression levels in gastric cancer is an important area for future exploration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gastric cancer tissue samples
A total of 80 tissue samples, including 10 adjacent tumor tissues, 15 early gastric carcinoma tissues, and 55 advanced gastric cancer tissues were purchased from AURAGEN Inc. (TC0130, Changsha, China). Informed written consent was given by all subjects. All samples were collected and identified by histopathological evaluation, and stored at −80°C until used.

Cell culture
Human gastric cancer cell lines HGC27, SGC-7901, and MGC-803, and human gastric epithelial cells E6-1, were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). All cells were cultured in RPMI1640 medium (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 1% (v/v) antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin) (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator.

Cell treatment
Lentivirus overexpressed and knockdown OLFM4 were designed and purchased from Genechem (Genechem, Shanghai, China). Following 48 h transfection, the expression of OLFM4 was detected by western blotting, and the transfected cells were used for further analysis. Cells transfected with empty lentivirus were used as a negative control. Cells were treated with 15 nM 5'-Aza-deoxycytidine (5-Aza, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) or 1.5 nM PF-00562271, a FAK inhibitor (Selleck, Houston, TX, USA) for 48 h and then used for further analysis.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining
All tissue samples were divided into three slices of tissue microarray as previously described, with each sample in duplicate or triplicate. Slides were deparaffinized in dimethylbenzene and dehydrated in an alcohol gradient. Slides were immersed in 3% hydrogen peroxide to inactivate endogenous peroxidase, and then retrieved in citric acid buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave for 15 min. After cooling, the slices were rinsed with TBST, blocked with normal goat serum, and incubated with primary antibody rabbit monoclonal anti-OLFM4 (1:500 dilution, cell signaling technology) or rabbit monoclonal anti-phosphorylated-FAK antibody (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. The slides were then washed with TBST and incubated with anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Boster, Wuhan, China) for 2 h at 37°C. The sections were then washed with TBST and stained with a DAB Detection Kit (Solarbio, Beijing, China). Finally, the sections were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Western blot analysis
RIPA lysis buffer (Boster, Wuhan, China) was used to extract protein from indicated cells. BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used to measure protein concentration. A total of 60 μg of protein was separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and blotted onto 0.22 μm nitrocellulose membranes (Boster). The membranes were blocked for 2 h with 5% nonfat dry milk diluted with tris-buffered saline (TBS) and incubated with primary antibodies (rabbit monoclonal anti-OLFM4 (1:2,000), rabbit monoclonal anti-phosphorylated-FAK antibody (1:500), rabbit monoclonal anti-FAK antibody (1:1,000), rabbit monoclonal anti-MMP2 (1:1,000), rabbit monoclonal anti-MMP9 (1:3,000), and mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin (1:3,000) (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) overnight at 4°C. The membranes were washed with tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween20 (TBST), and then incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit, 1:3,000; goat anti-mouse, 1:2,000; Wuhan Boster) for 1 h at 37°C. Enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Merck Millipore, Germany) was used to detect the signal on the membrane. The data was analyzed via densitometry using Image-Pro plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA) and normalized to the expression of the internal control (β-actin).

Measurement of OLFM4 promoter CpG island methylation status by bisulfite genomic sequencing PCR (BSP)
Genomic DNA was extracted from HGC27, SGC-7901 and MGC-803 cells with the TaKaRa Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa Co., China). Genomic DNA (1 μg per sample) was modified with bisulfite using the Epitect Bisulfite Kit Protocol (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions, and the modified DNA was amplified using the following primers: OLFM4 forward, AAAGGTGTGTGAAATGTTGAG, and reverse, CTCTCCCCCATTTTACT. The PCR products were gel extracted (Qiagen) to confirm that a single band had been obtained and were then sequenced by Invitrogen.

Transwell assay
The indicated cells were starved for 24 h and then resuspended in serum-free medium and added to the upper chamber of the tissue culture plate (BD, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States). The lower chamber was filled with medium containing 10% FBS. Following 48 h incubation, cells attached to the bottom were fixed and stained with crystal violet for 45 min and air dried. The optical density (OD) at 570 nm...
of crystal violet dissolved by 10% acetic acid was detected by an enzyme immunoassay analyzer (Synergy™ Microplate Reader, Winooski, VT, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (Graphpad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and the data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. An unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test or one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-test was used to analyze the data depending on conditions. P < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.
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