A Twilight Search for Atiras, Vatiras, and Co-orbital Asteroids: Preliminary Results
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Abstract

Near-Earth objects (NEOs) that orbit the Sun on or within Earth’s orbit are tricky to detect for Earth-based observers due to their proximity to the Sun in the sky. These small bodies hold clues to the dynamical history of the inner solar system as well as the physical evolution of planetesimals in extreme environments. Populations in this region include the Atira and Vatira asteroids, as well as Venus and Earth co-orbital asteroids. Here we present a twilight search for these small bodies, conducted using the 1.2 m Oschin Schmidt and the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) camera at Palomar Observatory. The ZTF twilight survey operates at solar elongations down to 35° with a limiting magnitude of r = 19.5. During a total of 40 evening sessions and 62 morning sessions conducted between 2018 November 15 and 2019 June 23, we detected six Atiras, including two new discoveries, 2019 AQ3 and 2019 LF6, but no Vatiras or Earth/Venus co-orbital asteroids. NEO population models show that these new discoveries are likely only the tip of the iceberg, with the bulk of the population yet to be found. The population models also suggest that we have only detected 5%–7% of the H < 20 Atira population over the seven month survey. Co-orbital asteroids are smaller in diameter and require deeper searches. A systematic and efficient survey of the near-Sun region will require deeper searches and/or facilities that can operate at small solar elongations.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Atira group (111); Near-Earth objects (1092); Earth trojans (438); Sky surveys (1464)

Supporting material: animation

1. Introduction

After three decades of regular asteroid surveying, our knowledge of the near-Earth object (NEO) population has vastly improved: more than 95% of kilometer-class NEOs have been cataloged (Jedicke et al. 2015). Next-generation sky surveys, such as the Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (Schwamb et al. 2018) and NEOCam (Mainzer et al. 2018), will survey the visible sky ~10× deeper than current assets, and will likely push the completeness into the subkilometer (e.g., Chesley & Veres 2017, and references therein).

However, because of selection effects, there are a few asteroid groups that remain poorly characterized, the most notable are the asteroids that orbit the Sun on or within Earth’s orbit. These asteroids spend most of their time near the Sun as seen from Earth, making them difficult to detect. Most objects that fall into this class are known as Atiras or interior-Earth objects (originally known as the Apoheles; see Tholen & Whiteley 1998; Binzel et al., 2015), whose orbits are entirely confined by the Earth’s orbit.14 Others are Earth co-orbital objects (see Brasser et al. 2004), which have an orbit similar to that of the Earth. The definition of the different orbital types investigated in this paper is summarized in Table 1.

Virtually all objects in the Atira region originated from the main asteroid belt and only reached their current orbits due to planetary perturbations and nongravitational (e.g., Yarkovsky) effects (see Granvik et al. 2018). These effects can continue to lower the perihelion distances of Atiras, making them more susceptible to thermally driven disintegration due to intense heating in the solar environment (Granvik et al. 2016; Ye & Granvik 2019).

The Atira region is generally dynamically chaotic, but low-eccentricity Atiras and co-orbital asteroids are more stable (Ribeiro et al. 2016). A very small but important subpopulation is the asteroids residing near the Sun–Earth/Venus Lagrange L4 and L5 points, known as the Earth/Venus trojans (ETs/VTs). Dynamical simulation shows that these objects can survive over timescales comparable to the age of the solar system (Tabachnik & Evans 2000), implying that a small, ancient population of asteroids may exist in these regions. There is only one known ET (2010 TK7; Connors et al. 2011), and no VT has been detected yet, but the recently detected

14 Technically speaking, Atiras are defined as the objects with an aphelion Q smaller than Earth’s perihelion distance, i.e., Q < 0.983 au. However, some objects have orbits with Q > 0.983 au but are still entirely confined within Earth’s orbit due to the eccentricity of the latter. These asteroids are known as pseudo-Atiras and are technically not Atiras.
zodiacal dust ring on the orbit of Venus seems to support the existence of Venus co-orbital asteroids (Pokorný & Kuchner 2019). The population of co-orbital asteroids, if it exists, is very small: Morais & Morbidelli (2002, 2006) find that the population of Venus and Earth co-orbitals has only 0.1 1 km class object and four 100 m class objects for Venus, and 0.6 1 km class and sixteen 100 m class objects for Earth, respectively. The true numbers are uncertain due to the lack of observational constraints and the poorly constrained nongravitational effects (de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 2014; Malhotra 2019).

For Earth-based observers, Atiras and co-orbital asteroids are generally only observable in the brief windows during evening and morning twilights. The earliest documented effort of asteroid searches during the twilight hours is the Trojan Vulcan (Mercury Trojans) survey, conducted by R. Trumpler and others in the 1900s (Trumpler 1923). More recently, two short-term twilight surveys were conducted by Whiteley & Tholen (1998) and Ye et al. (2014), who used the University of Hawaii’s 2.24 m telescope (UH88) and the 3.6 m Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) to search for NEOs and sungrazing comets. The UH88 survey found a few NEOs, including the first Atira 1998 DK₅₆. The CFHT survey did not find any comets. Other than these short-term surveys, typical NEO surveys tend to stay out of the low elongation region, although one of the surveys (Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System, ATLAS; Heinze et al. 2017) has recently expanded its coverage of the sky down to 45° from the Sun (Figure 1).

Here we present a survey for Atiras and co-orbital asteroids, conducted using the recently commissioned Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) Camera, operated on the 1.2 m Oschin Schmidt telescope at Palomar Observatory. With 30 s exposures, ZTF can observe an area of 3760 deg² per hour down to r = 20.7, making it an ideal instrument to search over a large area in the brief twilight window.

2. The ZTF Twilight Survey

The ZTF survey is an optical, wide-field survey utilizing the 1.2 m Oschin Schmidt at Palomar Observatory. It has a 576 mega-pixel camera that was built specifically for the survey. The camera has a 55 deg² field of view and a fill-factor of 87%, corresponding to ~47 deg² field of view. The survey is comprised of two baseline surveys and a number of mini-surveys, with the twilight survey being one of the latter. The hardware, survey strategy, and science goals are described in detail in Bellm et al. (2019b) and Graham et al. (2019).

The ZTF twilight survey (ZTF-TS hereafter) uses both evening and morning 12°–18° twilight time to search for Atiras and co-orbital asteroids. The survey initially ran every night from 2018 November 17 to 2019 February 12. The cadence was reduced to one run every three nights from 2019 March 30 to June 23. Before each night’s observations, the ZTF scheduler (Bellm et al. 2019a) selects 10 ZTF survey fields that have smallest solar elongations with an airmass <2.92 (i.e., a minimum elevation of 20°) throughout the twilight time and assigns these fields to the observation queue. The minimum solar elongation that can be observed under this setting is the sum of the maximum angular distance from the Sun (below the horizon) to the horizon and the minimum elevation angle, minus one-half of the field width, i.e., 18° + 20° − 7°/2 = 34°.5. Each field is imaged four times in ZTF r-band separated by ∼5 minutes in order to facilitate moving object detection, resulting in a total survey time of ∼20–25 minutes every session.

Data from ZTF-TS are processed by the ZTF Science Data System (see Masci et al. 2019). The ZTF Science Data System employs two separate algorithms to detect moving objects: ZSTREAK looks for fast-moving objects with apparent motions larger than 10°/day (Duev et al. 2019; Ye et al. 2019a) and the ZTF Moving Object Discovery Engine (ZNODE) looks for slower objects <10°/day that show up as point-like objects in the images (Masci et al. 2019). Moving objects identified in the process are then compared against the catalog of known asteroids, using a local version of Bill Gray’s astcheck. Known asteroids in the survey data are typically bright main-belt asteroids near solar conjunction. We note that astcheck examines known objects in the entire field of view, including those in the chip gaps that account for 13% of the total imaging area. Candidates that do not match any known asteroids are then presented to a human operator for inspection. Candidates that are judged to be real asteroids are submitted to the Minor Planet Center (MPC). The MPC will then perform its own check against known asteroids, and the likelihood of the object being an NEO (e.g., Keys et al. 2019). Unknown asteroids that are likely to be NEOs will be posted on the Near-Earth Object Confirmation Page (NEOCP) to encourage follow-up observations.

3. Results

ZTF-TS was conducted in a total of 82 nights from 2018 November 17 to 2019 June 23, including 40 evening sessions and 62 morning sessions (some nights have only evening or morning sessions). The observed fields have a solar elongation of 35°–60°, as illustrated in Figure 2. We then use the function defined in Denneau et al. (2013) to derive the limiting magnitude of the survey based on the detection statistics of known asteroids. The detection efficiency is defined as

\[ e = \frac{e_0}{1 + \exp[(V - L)/W]}, \]

where \( e_0 \) is the maximum efficiency among the bins, \( V \) and \( L \) are the apparent and limiting V-band magnitudes, respectively, and \( W \) represents the characteristic width of the transition from

\[ 15 \text{ https://www.projectpluto.com/Pluto/devel/astcheck.htm} \]
\[ 16 \text{ https://www.minorplanetcenter.net/} \]
\[ 17 \text{ https://www.minorplanetcenter.net/iau/NEO/toconfirm_tabular.html} \]
maximum to zero efficiency. The $V$ magnitudes are quoted from the output of astcheck (which gives asteroid brightness in $V$) and do not involve magnitude transformation. We note that astcheck computes asteroid brightness using the $H–G$ magnitude system, which can be off by half magnitude at high phase angle (i.e., low solar elongation; Bernardi et al. 2009). However, this is comparable in magnitude to other sources of uncertainty in our analysis (such as the change of limiting magnitude within a session), and thus will not affect our results in a significant way.

We then fit the brightness of known asteroids to the function, and derive $L = 19.52 \pm 0.02$ (in the $V$ band). This represents the point where the detection efficiency is 50% of the maximum value, and refers to an average limiting magnitude throughout the survey. Although twilight fields are generally more susceptible to changing sky backgrounds, our airmass limit ($<2.92$) effectively ensures that the variation of sky background is within a reasonable level in cloudless conditions. As a result, most of our images have limiting magnitudes of 19–20. The maximum efficiency is 70%–80% at the brighter end of the magnitude bin, not too far from the imaging filling factor of 87%, attesting a decent performance of the survey throughout the period of performance.

We detected a total of six Atiras over the course of the survey, including two new Atiras (2019 AQ3 and 2019 LF6), all detected by ZMODE (Table 2). This accounts for about one-third of the known Atiras to date. (We also detected a pseudo-Atira, 2019 LL5). No Venus/Earth co-orbital asteroids are detected.

Apart from the confirmed discoveries in Table 2, we also discovered one new object, with a ZTF-assigned designation, ZTF031C, that we were unable to confirm. Calculation by the JPL Scout system (Farnocchia et al. 2015, 2016) shows that...
ZTF031C has a 50% probability of being an Atira-group asteroid.

4. Discussion

To understand the results, we employ the Near-Earth Object Population Observation Program (NEOPOP; Granvik et al. 2018) to simulate the population of the Atiras and co-orbital asteroids. We generate 100 synthetic populations of Atiras and co-orbital asteroids using the NEOPOP Population Generator version 1.2.0, with absolute magnitudes of $10 < H < 20$. The range of $H$ is chosen by considering that (1) the largest NEO, (1036) Ganymed, has $H = 9.5$; and (2) with a limiting magnitude of 19.5 (see Figure 3), ZTF-TS is unlikely to find many $H > 20$ NEOs in the twilight direction (which experience brightness reduction due to the phase angle effect). We also assume a uniform magnitude slope of $G = 0.15$ for all synthetic asteroids following the statistical average derived by Bowell et al. (1989). We then compare the ephemerides of each synthetic asteroid against the exposure catalog of ZTF-TS. The detection probability is computed using the sensitivity function derived in Section 3. The total number of synthetic asteroids detectable by ZTF-TS, $E(N)$, is therefore the sum of the probabilities that each synthetic asteroid, $i$, has been detected at least once throughout the survey (with each survey session denoted as $j$):

$$E(N) = \sum_i 1 - \prod_j (1 - \epsilon_{ij})$$

(2)

It is worth noting an implicit assumption here: synthetic asteroids that are detected at least once are considered as discoverable. In reality, not every detection results in a confirmed discovery, with ZTF031C as a prominent example. We leave this out of our analysis, as it involves the follow-up efficiency of the global NEO network, which is difficult to model.

The computed $E(N)$ for four populations of interest—Atiras, Vatiras, Earth co-orbitals, and Venus co-orbitals, along with the numbers of actual detections—are tabulated in Table 3, with uncertainties derived from the standard deviation from the model. We make the following remarks for each population of interest.

Atiras. The model predicts $113 \pm 6$ Atiras with $H < 20$, grossly in line with the number derived by Zavodny et al. (2008; $\sim200 \pm 100$). The lower expectation value and smaller error bar is likely due to the inclusion of the disruptive removal of the near-Sun asteroids as well as $\sim10$ yr worth of new survey data. $13 \pm 2$ Atiras in the survey data, while 6–8 Atiras are actually detected. The exact number depends on whether we consider pseudo-Atira 2019 LL$_5$ and the unconfirmed object ZTF031C as Atiras. (If we strictly follow the definition, pseudo-Atiras would not be Atiras.) Assuming Poison statistics, the probability of finding eight or less Atiras is $\sim3\%$ (0.4% for finding six or less Atiras), but this is based on a small sample and may not have significant meaning. Interestingly, de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos (2019a) suggest that 2019 AQ$_3$, a large Atira found in the course of the survey, is an outlier in the context of the same NEOPOP model. These seemingly contradicting conclusions suggest that our interpretation is limited by small statistics.

Vatiras. Vatiras, a portmanteau of Venus and Atiras, are a hypothetical asteroid group first proposed by Greenstreet et al. (2012). Similar to Atiras to the Earth, Vatiras are asteroids whose orbits are entirely confined by the orbit of Venus. NEOPOP predicts $1.2 \pm 0.6$ Vatiras in the ZTF-TS survey data. Even though none has been actually detected, the model expectation suggests that the first Vatira may soon be found. In fact, 2019 AQ$_3$ has the smallest aphelion distance of any known asteroid and is just outside the realm of Vatira de (Q = 0.774 au for 2019 AQ$_3$ versus Vatira definition of Q < 0.718 au). Dynamical study shows that 2019 AQ$_3$ may periodically migrate between Vatira and Atira orbits due to the close encounters to Venus (de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 2019a).

Earth and Venus co-orbital asteroids. It is perhaps unsurprising that the survey detected zero co-orbital asteroids, given that large ($H < 20$) co-orbital asteroids appear to be either very rare or nonexistent. The nondetection is consistent with the near-zero expectation predicted by the model. We note that the expectation of detectable Earth co-orbitals is lower than that of Venus co-orbitals even though the population of Earth co-orbitals is actually larger than the Venus co-orbitals (Morais & Morbidelli 2006). This is because ZTF-TS targets fields that are closer to the Sun than where most Earth co-orbitals can be found ($\sim60^\circ$ from the Sun).

Figure 4 shows the orbital distribution of the known Atiras, with those detected by ZTF highlighted. Also shown in the figures are the “islands of stability” identified by (Ribeiro et al. 2016) where $e < 0.2$. We see that ZTF-TS is sensitive to large ($H < 18$) objects on moderately eccentric ($e > 0.3$) and inclined ($i > 20^\circ$) orbits, likely due to its survey strategy and geographic location: by going as close to the Sun as possible, ZTF-TS is insensitive to small objects that come close to the Earth, and the mid-latitude geographic location of the survey reduces its sensitivity to low-inclination objects that stay near the ecliptic plane. The islands of stability, a set of hypothesized regions between the orbits of Mercury, Venus, and Earth, contain objects with low eccentricities ($e < 0.2$), which make them difficult to detect. However, those that are on moderately inclined orbits are still somewhat easier to detect for mid-latitude surveys such as ZTF-TS. Atiras 2019 AQ$_3$ ($i = 47^\circ$) and 2019 LF$_6$ ($i = 30^\circ$), for example, are likely on the edge of the island of stability between Mercury and Venus. Recent dynamical investigations have shown their longevity in this
5. Conclusions

We have presented a twilight survey in search of NEOs on or within the Earth’s orbit, carried out on the recently commissioned ZTF project during its first year of operation. ZTF-TS operates on skies with solar elongations of 35°–60°. Over a total of 82 nights (40 evening sessions and 62 morning sessions), we detected six unambiguous Atiras, including two previously unknown ones. No Vatiras or Earth/Venus co-orbital asteroids are detected. We plan to continue the survey through the end of the ZTF survey, which is expected to conclude at the end of 2020.

Due to its mid-latitude geographic location, ZTF-TS favors mid-ecliptic latitude skies. This helps the detection/discovery of objects on moderately inclined orbits, such as 2019 AQ3 and 2019 LF6, though it also introduces a bias in the sample. We expect more objects to be found in this largely uncharted region, possibly including the hypothetical Vatiras. However, the NEO population...
The largest member being only 13 Earth co-orbital asteroids have been found so far, with the ones that are more sensitive than ZTF already regularly patrolled by other NEO telescopes, including TS which operates in skies that are too close to the Sun to detect the challenge of searching for these asteroids. Admittedly, ZTF-motion consistent with previous predictions. This highlights the work of W.-H.I. was supported in part by grant No. 107-2119-M-008-012 of MOST, Taiwan, the University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee, and Los Alamos National Laboratories, the TANGO Consortium Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron and Humboldt University, the University of Maryland, the University of Washington, the Oskar Klein Center at Stockholm University, the a collaboration including Caltech Optical Observatories, Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, and the University of Washington.

Facility: PO:1.2m.

Software: astcheck, Matplotlib (Hunter 2007), NEOPOP (Granvik et al. 2018), sbpy (Mommert et al. 2018).
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