Endogenous Gastric-Resident Mesenchymal Stem Cells Contribute to Formation of Cancer Stroma and Progression of Gastric Cancer

**Background:** Carcinoma-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) contribute to carcinogenesis and cancer progression, although their origin and role remain unclear. We recently identified and investigated the *in situ* identity and implications of gastric submucosa-resident mesenchymal stem cells (GS-MSCs) in the progression of gastric carcinogenesis. **Methods:** We isolated GS-MSCs from gastric submucosa using hydrogel-supported organ culture and defined their identity. Isolated cells were assessed *in vitro* by immunophenotype and mesengenic multipotency. Reciprocal interactions between GS-MSCs and gastric cancer cells were evaluated. To determine the role of GS-MSCs, xenografts were constructed of gastric cancer cells admixed with or without GS-MSCs. **Results:** Isolated cells fulfilled MSCs requirements in regard to plastic adherence, stromal cell immunophenotype, and multipotency. We demonstrated a paracrine loop that gastric cancer cells enhanced the migration, proliferation, and differentiation of GS-MSCs; additionally, GS-MSCs promoted the proliferation of gastric cancer cell *in vitro*. Xenograft experiments showed that GS-MSCs significantly promoted cancer growth and angiogenesis. GS-MSCs that integrated into gastric cancer became not only CAFs but also rarely endothelial cells which contributed to the formation of cellular and vascular cancer stroma. **Conclusions:** Endogenous GS-MSCs play an important role in gastric cancer progression.

All types of cancer require a specialized microenvironment, referred to as the cancer stroma, for cancer initiation and progression. Carcinoma-associated fibroblast (CAF) is a primary cell type among heterogeneous stromal cell populations, although the origin of CAF is still ambiguous. The chemoattractants secreted by cancer guide migration of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) to cancer tissues. Evidence indicates that recruited BM-MSCs contribute to carcinogenesis, progression, and angiogenesis by acting as progenitors for stromal cells.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are not restricted to bone marrow and are widely distributed in almost all tissues as tissue resident MSCs. Because tissue-resident MSCs retain a homing property to inflammatory or injured sites, they have a role in the development of cancer. Convincing evidence suggests that a subset of CAFs is derived from tissue-resident MSCs. Although a few studies have reported the existence of gastric-resident MSC-like cells, questions about their identity and role in gastric cancer remain to be defined.

Invasive gastric carcinoma that extends beyond the mucosa often evokes a stromal reaction, which results in increased numbers of CAFs and a remodeled matrix compared to carcinoma *in situ*. Therefore, it is important to determine whether MSCs that reside endogenously in the stomach contribute to a specific role in gastric carcinogenesis. We previously demonstrated the existence of gastric submucosa-resident MSCs (GS-MSCs). In this study, we used a hydrogel-supported 3-dimensional (3D) organ culture to renew the tissue-resident MSCs population ex...
vivo, which was applied to isolate and define the MSC niche. This study worked to determine the in situ identity of GS-MSCs and their role in gastric carcinoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

3D organ culture of gastric submucosa and isolation of outgrown cells

All gastric submucosa (GS) were obtained from brain-dead patients (n = 12; 35 to 56 years; mean, 51 years). The procedure and guidelines were approved by the ethical committee at Busan Paik Hospital, Inje University School of Medicine. The GS was minced into 2 to 3 mm³ fragments, embedded in fibrin hydrogel, and cultured as described previously. After 14 days of organ culture, outgrown cells were selectively recovered from the hydrogel and propagated in a monolayer condition. When the cells reached confluence, they were detached and seeded at a density of 2 x 10⁴ cells/cm². This initial passage was referred to as passage 1. A limited dilution assay was performed to determine the clonogenic potential of recovered cells. The colony was counted using Image J (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA) after 11 days of culture. All cell-based assays used subcultured outgrown cells at passage 3.

Localization and phenotype of in vitro renewed and outgrown cells

Paraffin sections including cultured GS fragments and outgrown cells were used to determine the in situ localization and phenotype of in vitro renewed and outgrown cells during organ culture. Immunophenotype was determined with immunohistochemical staining using an EnVision detection system (Dako, Glosrup, Denmark) and diaminobenzidine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). In situ localization of the renewed cells was evaluated using immunofluorescent staining and markers for endothelial cells (EC; CD31 and CD34), pericytes (CD140b and smooth muscle actin [SMA]), and MSCs (CD29 and CD105). The signals were visualized with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies. All antibodies used in this experiment are listed in Table 1.

Flow cytometry analysis

The immunophenotype of the subcultured cells was analyzed with a FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). After the cells were incubated with primary or isotype-matched antibodies, the cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline and fixed. For unconjugated antibodies, the signal was detected after incubation with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies. Isotype-matched immunoglobulin was used as a negative control. A minimum of 10,000 events were acquired for each analysis.

In vitro mesengenic differentiation

Subcultured cells were induced into adipogenic and osteogenic cells as described previously. Adipogenic or osteogenic differentiation was determined with Oil Red O (Sigma) or Alizarin Red (Sigma) stainings, respectively. To determine the

### Table 1. Antibodies used in the study

| Antibody       | Application | Dilution | Company         |
|----------------|-------------|----------|-----------------|
| CD29           | IHC, IF     | 1:200    | Novus Biologicals |
| CD31           | IHC, IF     | 1:40     | Dako            |
| CD34           | IHC, IF     | 1:800    | Neomarker       |
| CD44           | IHC         | 1:40     | Leica           |
| CD105          | IHC, IF     | 1:500    | BD Bioscience   |
| CD140b         | IHC, IF     | 1:400    | Abcam           |
| CD146          | IHC         | 1:200    | Abcam           |
| SMA            | IHC, IF     | 1:400    | Dako            |
| Vimentin       | IHC         | 1:200    | Zymed           |
| Human-specific mitochondrial antigen | IHC, IF | 1:200 | Millipore |
| Delight 488-goat anti-mouse IgG | IF, FCM | 1:800 | Jackson Immunoresearch |
| Delight 488-goat anti-rabbit IgG | IF, FCM | 1:800 | Jackson Immunoresearch |
| Delight 594-goat anti-mouse IgG | IF, FCM | 1:800 | Jackson Immunoresearch |
| Delight 591-goat anti-rabbit IgG | IF, FCM | 1:800 | Jackson Immunoresearch |
| HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit/mouse IgG | IHC | 1:200 | Dako          |
| CD11 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD29 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD31 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD34 (FITC conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD44 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD45 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD73 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD90 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience |
| CD105 (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | R&D Systems |
| CD133 (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | Mitenyl Biotech |
| CD140b (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | Abcam           |
| CD146 (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | Abcam           |
| c-Kit (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | eBioscience     |
| Fk-1 (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | R&D Systems     |
| SMA (unconjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | Dako            |
| MHC-I (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience   |
| MHC-II (PE conjugated) | FCM | 1:100 | BD Bioscience   |

IHC, immunohistochemical staining; IF, immunofluorescent staining; SMA, smooth muscle actin; FCM, flow cytometry; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; PE, phycoerythrin; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; MHC-I, major histocompatibility complex class I; MHC-II, major histocompatibility complex class II.
EC differentiation potential, subcultured cells were seeded on fibrin hydrogel and induced with 20 ng/mL vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) or conditioned media. Endothelial cell differentiation was determined by capillary-like network formation and expression of EC-specific markers. The tube length and the branching points of the capillary-like structure were measured with the photoplanimetric method using Image J (NIH). The expression of EC-specific proteins and mRNAs was determined by immunofluorescent staining and by quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction, respectively. The primers used in this experiment are listed in Table 2.

### Conditioned media

All gastric cancer cell lines including AGS, NCI-N87, and SNU-1 were purchased from Korean Cell Line Bank (Seoul, Korea). When the cancer cells or GS-MSCs reached 80% confluence, the cells were washed three times with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle media (DMEM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and incubated with vehicle (99% DMEM and 1% calf serum) for 12 hours. Conditioned media comprised of adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) and HEK293 were used as positive or negative controls, respectively.

### In vitro scratch assay

A confluent monolayer of GS-MSCs on 60-mm dishes was scraped with a p200 pipet tip to create a straight scratch line. The debris was removed by washing with vehicle media, conditioned media were added, and then the cells were cultured for 24 hours. The photoplanimetric method was used to measure the migration distance with Image J. The mitogenic effect of gastric cancer cells on GS-MSCs was determined by measuring the DNA content using PicoGreen dsDNA quantitation kit (Invitrogen) after the cells were incubated for three days with conditioned gastric cancer cell line media.

### Xenograft transplantation

The xenograft animal experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Review Committee of Inje University School of Medicine. Athymic nude mice (Balb/c nu/nu, 6 weeks old, female, n = 20) were used for the procedure, and NCI-N87 cells (2 × 10⁶) admixed with or without GS-MSCs (2 × 10⁶) or 5 × 10⁶ NCI-N87 cells were injected subcutaneously. Tumorigenesis was assessed daily with macroscopic observation. Three weeks after the injection, the formed tumor was dissected, and its weight was measured. To evaluate the general features and CD34⁺ microvascular density, hematoxylin and eosin staining and immunohistochemistry were performed. Double immunostaining against a human-specific mitochondrial antigen (hMt-Ag) and ECs and CAFs markers was performed to determine the role of the transplanted GS-MSCs. The signals were visualized with fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies. Iso-type-matched immunoglobulin was used as a negative control.

### Statistical analysis

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the SPSS ver. 10.0.7 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of three independent experiments. A p < .05 was considered statistically significant.

### RESULTS

**In situ renewed and outgrown cells showed MSC-like phenotypes**

The sol-gel phase transitional hydrogel provided physical support for GS fragments and a large surface area for outgrowth of the in vitro renewed cells residing in the GS. Outgrown cells were recognized on day 1 of culture; thereafter, these cells proliferated extensively and distributed in the fibrin hydrogel and within the GS (Fig. 1A). Most of the outgrown cells that had a spindle-shape appearance expressed or incorporated proliferat-

---

**Table 2. Primers used in the study**

| Gene   | Primer sequence (5'-3')                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| β-Actin| F: AGCAAGCAGGAGATGATGCAA R: TGTGAACCTTTGGGGATG                                      |
| CD31   | F: GTGGTGAGTGTGGAGAGGA R: TCCGGTGAATACCCATGGAA                                      |
| CD34   | F: AAGTGAATGTTCACTCCGGGC R: CCAGTGCACATGAACTGTT                                    |
| CD144  | F: GGATGACCAAGTCAAGC R: ACACACTTTGGGAGTGTAGG                                       |
| VWF    | F: TGATAGCTTTTGGCAGACC R: GTGGAGGCOSGTCGTGGTACT                                    |

F, forward primer; R, reverse primer; VWF, von Willebrand factor.
ing cell nuclear antigen or bromodeoxyuridine, which indicated that these cells were derived from \textit{in vitro} cell division (Fig. 1B). After 14 days of culture, outgrown cells were successfully recovered from the hydrogel after urokinase treatment. Recovered cells were quickly attached and spread on the plastic dish within 30 minutes. The limited dilution assay showed that more than 15\% of the recovered cells that formed colonies were composed of homogeneous fibroblastoid cells (Fig. 1C). Outgrown cells uniformly expressed a set of MSC markers including CD29, CD44, and CD105 (Fig. 2A). Moreover, these cells expressed pericyte markers including CD140b, CD146, and \( \alpha \)-SMA, but no EC markers (CD31 and CD34) or hematopoietic cell markers (CD34 and CD45, data not shown) were observed, indicating that outgrown cells showed MSC- and pericyte-like phenotypes. Next, we determined where the cells resided in the GS. The cell density within the GS increased around the perivascular area of microvessels that did not have a mural layer after 14 days of organ culture (Fig. 2B). Immunostaining demonstrated that the \textit{in vitro} renewed cells increased around CD31\(^+\) and CD34\(^+\) microvessels. These cells did not express EC markers but consistently expressed MSC and pericyte markers. Our results suggest that perivascular MSC-like cells were predominantly renewed during the \textit{in vitro} culture and outgrew into the hydrogel, indicating that the origin of the outgrown cells was a specific population of microvascular pericytes residing in the GS.

**Subcultured outgrown cells exhibit typical MSC properties**

Regardless of tissue origin, MSCs are defined by stromal cell immunophenotype and multipotency which are categorized into mesodermal lineages. This study determined whether \textit{in vitro} subcultured outgrown cells fulfilled these requirements. The outgrown cells rapidly adhered and showed typical fibroblastic features once seeded on cultured plastic. Subcultured cells did not show any alterations in the initial immunophenotype observed for the \textit{in situ} renewed and outgrown cells. Additionally, more than 90\% of the cells consistently expressed MSC markers, such as CD29, CD44, CD73, CD90, and CD105, and expressed pericyte markers at variable frequencies, indicating the MSC- and pericyte-like immunophenotypes were maintained after \textit{in vitro} expansion (Table 3).

Subcultured cells showed cytoplasmic accumulation of fat droplets and mineral crystal deposition in response to the defined culture conditions, which indicated that the cells retained adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation potential (Fig. 2C). MSCs have been considered as tissue-resident endothelial progenitor cells due to their ability to differentiate toward ECs.\(^{15}\) The subcultured outgrown cells induced by VEGF formed a capillary-like structure within 12 hours (Fig. 2D), which indicated angiogenic potential. Next, the capillary-like structure disassembled, and the outgrown cells aggregated and transformed into a sphere-like structure at three days. Interestingly, when the spheres formed, the outgrown cells expressed EC markers (Flk-1, CD31, and CD34), indicating EC differen-

---

**Fig. 1.** Fibrin hydrogel-supported 3-dimensional organ culture of the gastric submucosa (GS). (A) Phase-contrast microphotographs show robust cell outgrowth into the hydrogel from the embedded GS. High-power observation discloses outgrown cells with the fibroblastoid feature in the hydrogel (a) and \textit{in situ} renewed cells inside of the GS (b). (B) After two weeks of organ culture, the number of cells increases in the fibrin hydrogel (FG) and the GS. Most cells express or incorporate proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) or bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU). (C) The limited dilution assay indicates the highly clonogenic potential of recovered outgrown cells from the hydrogel with fibroblastoid morphology. H&E, hematoxylin and eosin.
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Fig. 2. The immunophenotype, localization, and differentiation potential of in situ renewed and outgrown cells. (A) Immunohistochemical staining reveals that outgrown cells express mesenchymal stem cell markers and pericyte markers but do not express endothelial cell markers. (B) Hematoxylin and eosin staining shows increased cell density around the microvessels at day 14 of culture compared to day 0. Immunofluorescent staining shows perivascular localization of in situ renewed cells with a mesenchymal stem cell- and pericyte-like phenotype. (C, D) Nuclei are counterstained with propidium iodide (red). Subcultured outgrown cells show differentiation potential into adipogenic (C, Oil red O), osteogenic (C, Alizarin red), and endothelial cells (D). Cells induced with vascular endothelial growth factor form a capillary-like network at 12 hours. Then, cells aggregate with each other to form a spheroid structure at day 3 and express Flk-1, CD31, and CD34. SMA, smooth muscle actin; GS, gastric submucosa; FG, fibrin hydrogel.

Collectively, our results indicated that the outgrown cells from the GS fulfilled the minimum requirement of MSCs in terms of plastic adherence, stromal cell immunophenotype, and multipotency.

Gastric cancer cells enhance GS-MSC migration, proliferation, and endothelial differentiation

Evidence indicates that the reciprocal interaction between cancer cells and BM-MSCs is important in carcinogenesis.16
Table 3. Expression rate of the surface markers analyzed with flow cytometry

| Antibody | Expression rate (%) |
|----------|---------------------|
| CD29     | 97.63 ± 5.21        |
| CD44     | 97.30 ± 3.25        |
| CD73     | 94.33 ± 3.47        |
| CD90     | 95.83 ± 4.87        |
| CD105    | 93.93 ± 5.28        |
| HLA-ABC  | 95.57 ± 3.14        |
| HLA-DR   | 0.84 ± 0.02         |
| CD14     | 0.54 ± 0.01         |
| CD34     | 0.87 ± 0.05         |
| CD45     | 0.71 ± 0.04         |
| CD133    | 0.21 ± 0.01         |
| SMA      | 81.13 ± 9.78        |
| CD140b   | 94.90 ± 6.57        |
| STRO-1   | 47.90 ± 7.98        |
| c-Kit    | 0.01 ± 0.01         |
| Fk-1     | 0.01 ± 0.01         |

SMA, smooth muscle actin.

However, the reciprocal interactions between gastric-resident MSCs and gastric cancer cells have not been elucidated. The in vitro migration assay revealed that conditioned gastric cancer cell line media significantly increased GS-MSC migration (p < .01) (Fig. 3A) and the proliferation of GS-MSCs (p < .05) (Fig. 3B) compared to vehicle.

Since angiogenesis is critical for tumorigenesis, cancer cells secrete proangiogenic factors that directly or indirectly contribute to neovascularization. Therefore, we determined the effects of gastric cancer cells on GS-MSCs EC differentiation. All conditioned gastric cancer cell line media and ADSCs enabled GS-MSCs to form a capillary-like structure (data not shown). However, HEK293 vehicle or conditioned media did not form capillary-like structures. In addition, the tube length and the number of branch points of the capillary-like network were significantly higher in cells induced by conditioned gastric cancer cell line media than in conditioned HEK293 media (p < .01) (Fig. 3C).

Next, we investigated the EC-specific mRNA expression levels in induced GS-MSCs with conditioned gastric cancer cell line media. Interestingly, GS-MSCs induced by conditioned gastric cancer cell media showed significantly higher EC-specific mRNA expression of CD31, CD34, CD144, and von Willebrand factor compared to GS-MSCs before induction or those induced by conditioned HEK293 media (Fig. 3D). However, no meaningful difference in the EC-specific mRNA expression level was observed according to gastric cancer cell type. VEGF and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) play important roles in mobilization and differentiation of endothelial progenitor cells, and immunoabsorbent analysis showed that the VEGF and bFGF were detected in all conditioned gastric cancer cell line media but not in conditioned HEK293 media. This indicates that proangiogenic factors secreted by gastric cancer cells might directly induce GS-MSCs toward ECs (Fig. 3E).

GS-MSCs promote tumor growth and angiogenesis

Although our data indicated chemotactic and mitotic effects of gastric cancer cells on GS-MSCs, whether GS-MSCs play specific roles in gastric cancer is unclear. The tumor sphere-forming assay indicated that GS-MSC had a mitogenic effect on the growth of gastric cancer cells (Fig. 4A). Conditioned GS-MSC medium dose-dependently enhanced NCI-N87 tumor sphere formation. Next, we investigated the role of GS-MSCs in tumorigenesis and progression in vivo. All mice injected with 5 × 10⁶ NCI-N87 only showed de novo tumor formation at one week, but mice injected with 2 × 10⁶ NCI-N87 had late-forming tumors at two weeks. The tumor volume was related to the number of NCI-N87 injections in a dose-dependent manner at three weeks (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, tumors in mice injected with 2 × 10⁶ NCI-N87 and 2 × 10⁶ GS-MSCs were detected earlier, at one week. The size and weight of the tumors were significantly higher in mice injected with both NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs compared to those of mice injected with 2 × 10⁶ NCI-N87 only (p < .01). However, GS-MSCs did not induce de novo tumor formation by non-tumorigenic gastric cancer cells, such as AGS, until 12 weeks of observation (data not shown). These data suggest that GS-MSCs can accelerate cancer growth but not cancer initiation.

De novo formed tumors exhibited typical features of poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma regardless of GS-MSC injection (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, tumors formed by NCI-N87 and GS-MSC coinjections showed decreased coagulative necrosis compared to tumors formed by injection of NCI-N87 only (Fig. 4C). The CD34⁺ microvascular density was significantly increased in tumors injected with NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs (2.79/mm²) compared to tumors injected with 2 × 10⁶ (1.87/mm²) and 5 × 10⁶ (1.95/mm²) NCI-N87, respectively (p < .05). Intriguingly, the cancer stroma formed by coinjection of NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs showed increased cellularity and vascularity compared to the cancer stroma formed by injection of NCI-N87 only (Fig. 4D).

GS-MSCs directly integrate into tumor stroma and become SMA⁺ CAFs

To investigate the role of GS-MSCs in gastric cancer, we traced the injected cells using hMt-Ag. All cancer cells homo-
Fig. 3. Paracrine effects of gastric cancer cells on gastric submucosa-derived mesenchymal stem cells (GS-MSCs). Conditioned gastric cancer cell line media (AGS, NCI-N87, and SNU-1, adipose-derived stem cells (ADSC), and HEK293 are used. (A) GS-MSCs migration do not significantly increased in cells incubated with conditioned gastric cancer cell media. **p < 0.01 compared to vehicle. (B) Cell proliferation increases meaningfully in GS-MSCs incubated with the conditioned gastric cancer cell media. *p < 0.05 compared to vehicle. (C) The tube length and branch point of capillary-like network significantly increase in GS-MSCs induced by conditioned gastric cancer cell media. *p < 0.05 compared to HEK293. (D) Endothelial cell-specific mRNAs measured with quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction are highly expressed in GS-MSCs induced by conditioned gastric cancer cell media. vWF, von Willebrand factor. **p < 0.01 compared to HEK293. (E) Quantitative analysis of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) contents with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in conditioned gastric cancer cell line media.
Fig. 4. The effect of gastric submucosa-derived mesenchymal stem cells (GS-MSCs) on the growth of gastric cancer cells. (A) Conditioned GS-MSCs media (CM) enhances in vitro tumor spheroid formation of NCI-N87 in a dose-dependent manner. *p < .05 and **p < .01 compared to vehicle. (B) The weight of the tumor measured at three weeks post-injection increases in mice injected with NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs. *p < .05 compared to NCI-N87 (2 × 10^6 cells). (C) Tumors formed by injection of NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs show decreased coagulative necrosis (asterisk) but increased CD34 + microvascular density compared to tumors formed by injection of NCI-N87 only. (D) Tumors formed by injection of NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs show increased cellular and vascular stroma (arrow, hematoxylin and eosin [H&E]) compared to tumors formed by injection of NCI-N87 only. Human-specific mitochondrial antigen (hMt-Ag) is uniformly expressed in all cancer cells, but hMt-Ag + stromal cells (arrows) are detected in tumors formed by NCI-N87 and GS-MSC injections.

geneously expressed hMt-Ag in their cytoplasm, but hMt-Ag tracing did not detect intervening cancer stroma formed by NCI-N87-only injections. More than 20% of the cancer stromal cells formed by NCI-N87 and GS-MSC coinjections expressed hMt-Ag.

We performed double immunostaining to determine the role of the injected GS-MSCs. hMt-Ag + stromal cells were widely distributed along the cancer stroma and coexpressed CD105, CD140b, and SMA (Fig. 5). The analysis indicated that approximately 20% of hMt-Ag + stromal cells coexpressed SMA. Occasionally, hMt-Ag + stromal cells coexpressing CD34 were observed in the intraluminal side of microvessels in the cancer stroma, but less than 1% of CD34 + ECs were observed to demonstrate this coexpression. However, the cancer stroma formed by an injection of NCI-N87 alone were not localized with any hMt-Ag + stromal cells (Fig. 6). Taken together, these results indicate that locally delivered GS-MSCs were integrated into the cancer stroma where GS-MSCs become SMA + CAFs but rarely microvascular ECs, which contributed to the cancer stroma and gastric cancer angiogenesis.

DISCUSSION

Recent studies have indicated that various cancers can attract MSCs in their microenvironment, and that MSCs become CAFs and play a role in tumorigenesis, angiogenesis, and progression. However, most of these studies described the roles of BM-MSCs. In this study, we demonstrated the existence of endogenous gastric-resident MSCs and their role in gastric cancer.

Evidence suggests that MSCs reside in a perivascular niche, where they are in close proximity to ECs of microvessels. This evidence illustrates that the degree of microvascular density is closely related to the MSC density. Thus, we speculated that the GS might retain a higher density of MSCs compared to other
Fig. 5. The contribution of gastric submucosa-derived mesenchymal stem cells (GS-MSCs) to the formation of cancer stroma. Immunofluorescent staining reveals that human-specific mitochondrial antigen (hMT-Ag)+ stromal cells coexpress CD105, CD140b, and smooth muscle actin (SMA) and are easily observed in the cancer stroma formed by co-injection of NCI-N87 and GS-MSCs, but hMT-Ag+/CD34+ endothelial cells are rarely observed. Nuclei are counterstained with ToPro.

compartments of the stomach due to their high vascularity. The results demonstrate that in situ renewed cells were apparent in perivascular locations in the GS and consequently outgrew in the hydrogel. Both in situ and subcultured outgrown cells shared typical biologic properties with BM-MSCs. Collectively, our data support not only the perivascular MSC hypothesis, but also the existence of gastric-resident MSCs residing in the GS. Additionally, our results suggest the possibility that tissue-resident MSCs are not restricted to the stomach but might be widely distributed in other areas of the intestinal tract.

Cancer growth is determined by crosstalk between cancer cells and stromal cells.17 Out in vitro results demonstrate a paracrine loop in which gastric cancer cells exert migration and proliferation of GS-MSCs, and, in response, GS-MSCs subsequent-
Fig. 6. Representative microphotographs of double immunostaining against human-specific mitochondrial antigen and stromal cell markers (CD105, CD140b, and smooth muscle actin [SMA]) and endothelial cells (CD34) in the cancer stroma formed by injection of NCI-N87 only. hMt-Ag, human-specific mitochondrial antigen. Nuclei are counterstained with ToPro.

ly play an important role in gastric cancer growth and angiogenesis. Proangiogenic factors secreted by gastric cancer cells, such as VEGF and bFGF, further induced GS-MSC EC differentiation in vitro. Meanwhile, GS-MSCs also facilitated not only in vitro tumor spheroid formation, but also enhanced in vivo tumor growth. Collectively, our results indicate that a reciprocal interaction between gastric cancer cells and GS-MSCs is exerted synergistically in gastric cancer.

CAFs are thought to develop from both local and distant niches and to provide a functional and structural supportive microenvironment for cancer. A number of studies have indicated that tissue-resident MSCs integrate into cancer sites and contribute to the formation of cancer stroma in various types of cancer.7-9 Although a few studies have elucidated the existence of MSC-like cells in the stomach, the contributions of gastric-resident MSCs in gastric cancer are less well known.9,10 Our re-
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MSCs can differentiate into ECs admixed GS-MSCs. Although our results indicate that GS-crovascular density was significantly increased in tumors with indirect promotion of tumor angiogenesis since the CD34 indicate that gastric-resident MSCs also contribute to direct and regarded as tissue-resident endothelial progenitor cells. Our data and
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