Association of Paternal Workplace and Community Social Capital With Paternal Postnatal Depression and Anxiety: A Prospective Study
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Objective: The aim of this study is to examine the association between workplace and community social capital and fathers’ postpartum depression and anxiety at 3 months after delivery in Japan.

Methods: Fathers who had babies delivered in two obstetric clinics in Tokyo, Japan were recruited to take part in the study (response rate = 76.2%). Participants completed questionnaires measuring workplace and community social capital, depression, and anxiety at 1 week and a follow-up at 3 months post-delivery (N = 398). Multiple linear regression analyses were performed with multiple imputation for missing data (at most, N = 60, 15.1%).

Results: Community social capital was inversely associated with both depressive symptoms (β = −0.21, 95%CI = −0.33 to −0.08) and anxiety (β = −0.38, 95%CI = −0.66 to −0.11) at 3 months, after adjusting for covariates. No association was found between workplace social capital and depressive symptoms and anxiety.

Conclusion: Paternal community social capital, but not workplace social capital, was shown to be a preventive factor for paternal depression and anxiety up to 3 months post-delivery. To prevent paternal mental health problems during the postpartum period, an intervention to promote paternal community, rather than workplace, social capital may be warranted.
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INTRODUCTION

Paternal mental health problems, such as depression and anxiety during the perinatal period, are common issues (1, 2) presenting a public health concern, in addition to maternal perinatal mental health. Previous studies estimated that 8.4% of fathers across North and South America, the United Kingdom, Asia, and Australia/Oceania (3, 4), and 8.8% of those in Japan (5) showed paternal depression within 1-year postnatal. Postnatal paternal depression is associated with poor satisfaction with the marital relationship (6, 7), increased maternal depression (8), poor father-infant interaction (9, 10), poor child development (10), and increased child maltreatment (5). Furthermore, a previous study found that paternal postnatal depression is associated with
depression in offspring (only female) at 18 years of age, which was mediated by maternal depression (11).

In terms of paternal anxiety, systematic reviews found that from 2.4 to 51.0% of fathers show some anxiety disorders during the postnatal period (12, 13). Paternal anxiety, which may raise the risk of paternal depression (14), is associated with poor paternal parenting self-efficacy (15) and poor father-infant interaction (16). A previous study suggests that, in addition to treatment for depression, assessment and treatment of paternal anxiety are needed (13).

In order to prevent paternal postnatal depression and anxiety, preventive factors must be identified. In general, it is well-established that social capital plays an important role in preventing depression and anxiety (17–19). Social capital is defined as resources that are available via civic participation in voluntary organizations, norms of mutual aid and reciprocity, and a level of interpersonal trust (20). Though there is still debate regarding its precise definition, social capital can be categorized as individual level and contextual level, such as community, school, or workplace (21). Perceived social support can be considered as one aspect of individual level social capital (22, 23). For example, people with a high individual level of social capital are more likely to have access to psychosocial resources to cope with their mental distress, considered as perceived social support (24). Further, social capital induces structural aspects, such as belonging to a group (25), which improve mental health (26). Among mothers during the postnatal period, a higher level of social capital was associated with lower levels of depression (27–29). However, little is known about the association between social capital and paternal depression and anxiety during the postnatal period.

For fathers, not only community social capital but also workplace social capital may play an important role in preventing depression and anxiety because, compared to mothers, fathers tend to continue working. In this study, community social capital represents trust in neighbors and reciprocity in the neighborhood. The association of community social capital on mental health is well-established (30). Besides the neighborhood, the workplace is considered a major social organization, in which there is both formal and informal face-to-face communication and many sources of social capital (31). Especially in Japan, fathers are less likely to take paternity leave (32), although the rate of paternity leave in Japan has increased slowly (3.2% in 2016, 6.2% in 2018, and 12.7% in 2020) (33). Furthermore, it may be helpful to consider an intervention designed to promote paternal social capital to address community and workplace social capital independently.

In previous studies which examined the association between social capital and mental health problems, participants’ socioeconomic status—including education level, annual household income, and employment—and history of psychiatric disorders were adjusted for as confounders (34). Further, adverse childhood experience is an important confounder because it is associated with both social capital and mental health problems (35). Additionally, covariates regarding delivery such as parampara, feelings when pregnancy was confirmed, and paternal childcare leave are adjusted for to examine the association among parents (36). As for possible mediators, paternal postpartum depression and anxiety at 1 week after delivery, maternal depressive symptoms and anxiety at 1 week after delivery, and the number of people who can be consulted about parenting were also adjusted for in our analyses. Thus, the aim of this study is to examine the association between workplace and community social capital and postpartum depression and anxiety among fathers in Japan, at 3 months after delivery, adjusted for possible covariates.

METHODS
Participants

We approached 548 couples who delivered their babies in two obstetrics hospitals in Tokyo, Japan. A total of 350 couples were approached from obstetric hospital A, which is a hospital for high-risk and emergency pregnancies, and 198 couples from hospital B, a general obstetric hospital. During their hospital stay (within 1 week after delivery), the couples completed and returned anonymous questionnaires after written informed consent was acquired. The participants who completed the questionnaire 1 week after delivery included 418 couples (response rate: 76.2%): 250 in hospital A (71.4%) and 168 in hospital B (84.8%). In this study, we excluded fathers who did not work and did not report exposure (i.e., workplace and community social capital) (N = 20); thus, the analytic sample totaled 398 couples. These couples then received follow-up questionnaires via mail 3 months after delivery, and 363 questionnaires of the analytical sample were returned completed (follow-up rate: 91.2%): 212 from hospital A (89.8%) and 151 from hospital B (93.2%) (Figure 1). As missing data at 3 months after delivery were imputed using multiple imputations, the analytical sample was 398 couples.

Measures

Community and workplace social capital were assessed via the father’s questionnaire when the baby and the mother were discharged from the hospital (1 week after delivery). Community social capital included the following four questions, used in previous studies (37–39), rated on a scale of 1 to 5: (1) “Do you think that people in your neighborhood can be trusted?”; (2) “Do you think that people in your neighborhood are willing to help their neighbors?”; (3) “Do you think that people in your neighborhood trust each other?”, (4) “Do you think that people in your neighborhood help each other?” A higher total score, ranging from 4 to 20, denotes a higher level of community social capital. Cronbach’s α in this study was 0.89. Workplace social capital was assessed using the Japanese version of the workplace social capital scale (40), which was developed from the original version (41). The scale has eight items (e.g., “Our supervisor treats us with kindness and consideration,” “People feel understood and accepted by each other,” and “We can trust our supervisor.”), rated on a scale of 1 to 5. The mean score ranges from 1 to 5, where a higher means score denotes a higher level of workplace social capital. The Japanese version scale has good internal consistency and reliability (40). In this study, Cronbach’s α was 0.92.
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FIGURE 1 | Participant flow chart.

Paternal depression was assessed at 1 week and 3 months after delivery. We used the Japanese version of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) (42), which is a 10-item self-report questionnaire with a scale of 0 to 3. A higher total score, ranging from 0 to 30, denotes a higher level of depressive symptoms. In this study, the Cronbach’s α of depression at 3 months after delivery was 0.80. Paternal anxiety was also assessed at 1 week and 3 months after delivery. We used the Japanese version of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (43), which is a 40-item (20-item trait and 20-item state anxiety) self-report questionnaire with a scale of 1 (“not at all”) to 4 (“very much so”). In this study, we used the score of state anxiety, where a higher total score, ranging from 20 to 80, denotes a higher level of state anxiety. In this study, the Cronbach’s α of anxiety at 3 months after delivery was 0.92.

Regarding covariates, in addition to the obstetric hospital where mothers gave birth, paternal basic demographics, job, history of psychiatric disorders, adverse childhood experiences, history of delivery, paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed, maternal mental health, and the number of people who can be consulted about parenting, which were assessed within 1 week after delivery, were included. Fathers were asked about their age, education level (“junior high school,” “high school,” “technical college or junior college,” “college education,” “graduate college,” or “unknown”), employment (“full-time job,” “part-time job,” “self-employed,” “homeworker,” or “other”), paternal childcare leave (“yes,” “planning to take,” or “no”)—assessed at 3 months after delivery—history of psychiatric disorders (“yes” or “no”), paternal adverse childhood experiences—including 8-items, such as parental loss, abuse, and neglect from parents—(“yes” or “no”), and feelings when pregnancy was confirmed (“happy,” “unexpected but happy,” “unexpected and confused,” “did not know what to do,” “no feelings,” or “other”). Mothers were asked about annual
household income (JPY 2,000,000 or less, JPY 2,010,000–4,000,000, JPY 4,010,000–6,000,000, JPY 6,010,000–8,000,000, JPY 8,010,000–10,000,000, JPY 10,010,000–15,000,000, JPY 15,010,000 or more,” or “unknown”) and history of delivery (“primipara” or “multipara”). Normal pregnancy was assessed as whether the mother had any major complications during the pregnancy using one item: “Did you have a normal pregnancy?” (“yes” or “no”). Maternal depressive symptoms were assessed using the EPDS, and maternal anxiety was assessed using the STAI.

**Ethics**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Center for Child Health and Development (730) and Tokyo Medical and Dental University (M2017-078).

**Statistical Analysis**

We performed multiple linear regression analyses to examine the associations of community and workplace social capital withi n 1 week after delivery with paternal depressive symptoms (i.e., EPDS total score) and anxiety (i.e., STAI state total score) at 3 months after delivery. After estimating the crude model, Model 1 added paternal education, age, annual household income, employment, childcare leave, adverse childhood experiences, paternal history of psychiatric disorders, history of delivery, normal pregnancy, and paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed. In addition to the covariates in Model 1, Model 2 further added paternal depressive symptoms (only for depressive symptoms as the outcome) or anxiety (only for anxiety as the outcome) at 1 week after delivery and maternal depressive symptoms and anxiety at 1 week after delivery. Finally, Model 3 further added the number of people who can be consulted about parenting and both types of social capital into Model 2. We conducted the power calculation (power = 0.90, alpha = 0.01) to determine sample size because a high number of covariates were included in the final model. We found that a sample of at least 161 subjects is required in our analysis, indicating that our sample size is sufficient.

We performed multiple imputations (100 imputed datasets) based on Rubin’s rule (44, 45) to address missing values. Missing data were found in outcomes including paternal EPDS and STAI scores at three months after delivery (N = 35, 8.8%), and in covariates including annual household income (N = 22, 5.5%), paternal childcare leave (N = 60, 15.1%), and the number of people who can be consulted about parenting (N = 28, 7.0%). There were no differences in characteristics, workplace social capital, community social capital, depressive symptoms, and anxiety at 1 week after delivery (data not shown). The following variables were used for the multiple imputation: paternal symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) assessed using the Japanese version of the 6-item Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-J-6) (46), autism traits assessed using the Japanese version of the Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) (47), domestic violence from their partner, belonging to an organization, attendance at delivery, and health condition were measured via responses from the father's questionnaire. In addition, maternal adverse childhood experiences, feelings toward her baby (i.e., mother-infant bonding)—assessed using the Japanese version of Mother-to-Infant Bonding Scale (MIBS-J) (48)—type of housing, and child’s sex were measured via the mother’s questionnaire and used for multiple imputation. All analyses were conducted using STATA version 15.0 SE.

**RESULTS**

The distribution of characteristics among participants is shown in Table 1. Approximately 10% of fathers were <30 years old, 80% were college graduates or higher, 20% experienced some adverse childhood experiences, 90% had a full-time job, 10% took or planned to take childcare leave, 2% had a history of psychiatric disorders, and 80% felt happy when the pregnancy was confirmed. The percentage of low-income households (i.e., JPY 4,000,000 or less) was 9%. Furthermore, about 20% of fathers participated in group activities in the last year before delivery. On average, fathers had nine (SD = 8.1) people with whom they could consult about parenting.

Table 2 shows the distribution of paternal workplace and community social capital at 1 week after delivery, and EPDS and STAI scores at 1 week and 3 months after delivery. The paternal workplace and community social capital means were 3.70 (SD = 0.80) and 13.30 (SD = 2.82), respectively. The EPDS score means were 3.11 (SD = 3.17) at 1 week after delivery and 3.92 (SD = 3.77) at three months after delivery. The STAI score means were 34.12 (SD = 8.68) at 1 week after delivery and 34.49 (SD = 8.89) at 3 months after delivery. We also show the correlations among workplace social capital, community social capital, social participation in the last year before delivery, and number of people who can be consulted about parenting in Supplementary Table 1. A significant but weak correlation was found between workplace social capital and community social capital (r = 0.17, p < 0.01).

Tables 3, 4 shows the results of the linear regression analyses examining the association of social capital with depressive symptoms and anxiety in fathers. In terms of depressive symptoms at 3 months after delivery, community social capital was inversely associated with depressive symptoms (β = −0.21, 95%CI = −0.33 to −0.08) after adjusting for covariates and workplace social capital. Despite workplace social capital being inversely associated with depressive symptoms in Model 1 (β = −0.63, 95%CI = −1.13 to −0.14), the coefficient became non-significant after adjusting for paternal depressive symptoms and paternal mental health problems at 1 week after delivery. Community social capital, paternal part-time job, and paternal depressive symptoms at 1 week after delivery showed significant coefficients among covariates. In terms of anxiety at 3 months after delivery, community social capital was inversely associated with anxiety (β = −0.38, 95%CI = −0.66 to −0.11) at 3 months in Model 3. However, the association between workplace social capital and anxiety remained non-significant after adjusting for paternal anxiety and maternal mental health problems at 1 week after delivery. Community social capital, annual household income (JPY 4,010,000–6,000,000) and anxiety at 1 week after delivery showed significant coefficients among covariates.
TABLE 1 | Characteristics of sample in this study (N = 398).

| Characteristics                          | Total (N = 398) |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|
|                                         | N or mean % or SD |
| Obstetric hospital                       |                |
| A                                       | 236            | 59.6 |
| B                                       | 162            | 40.4 |
| Paternal age                            |                |
| <30                                      | 43             | 10.8 |
| 30–<40                                   | 252            | 63.3 |
| 40–<50                                   | 96             | 24.1 |
| 50+                                      | 7              | 1.8  |
| Paternal education                      |                |
| High school or less                      | 36             | 9.0  |
| Some college                            | 36             | 9.0  |
| College or more                         | 324            | 81.4 |
| Unknown                                  | 2              | 0.5  |
| Annual household income (JPN yen)       |                |
| ≦4,000,000                               | 36             | 9.0  |
| 4,010,000–8,000,000                      | 141            | 35.4 |
| 8,010,000–15,000,000                     | 161            | 40.5 |
| 15,001,000–20,000,000                    | 38             | 9.5  |
| Unknown                                  | 17             | 4.3  |
| Missing                                  | 5              | 1.3  |
| Paternal ACE total score (0–8)           |                |
| 0                                        | 323            | 81.2 |
| 1                                        | 60             | 15.1 |
| 2+                                       | 15             | 3.8  |
| Paternal employment                     |                |
| Full-time job                           | 364            | 91.5 |
| Part-time job                           | 4              | 1.0  |
| Self-employed                           | 24             | 6.0  |
| Other                                    | 6              | 1.5  |
| Paternal childcare leave                 |                |
| Yes or Planning to take                  | 46             | 11.6 |
| No                                       | 292            | 73.4 |
| Missing                                  | 60             | 15.1 |
| Paternal history of psychiatric disorders|               |
| No                                       | 390            | 98.0 |
| Yes                                      | 8              | 2.0  |
| History of delivery                     |                |
| First birth                              | 273            | 68.6 |
| Multiparity                             | 124            | 31.2 |
| Missing                                  | 1              | 0.3  |
| Normal pregnancy                         |                |
| Yes                                      | 327            | 82.2 |
| No                                       | 69             | 17.3 |
| Missing                                  | 2              | 0.5  |
| Paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed|        |
| Happy                                    | 334            | 83.9 |
| Unexpected but happy                     | 48             | 12.1 |
| Unexpected and confused/did not know what to do/no feelings/other | 13 | 3.3 |

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

| Characteristics                          | Total (N = 398) |
|------------------------------------------|----------------|
|                                         | N or mean % or SD |
| Missing                                  | 3              | 0.8  |
| Social participation in the last year before delivery |                |
| Yes                                      | 74             | 18.6 |
| No                                       | 324            | 81.4 |
| Number of people who can be consulted about parenting | 9.47 | 8.10 |

TABLE 2 | Distribution of exposure and outcome.

| Variables                              | N  | Mean | SD  | Minimum | Max |
|----------------------------------------|----|------|-----|---------|-----|
| Workplace social capital               | 398| 3.70 | 0.80| 1       | 5   |
| Community social capital               | 398| 13.30| 2.82| 4       | 20  |
| Depressive symptoms at 1 week after delivery | 398| 3.11 | 3.17| 0       | 22  |
| Depressive symptoms at 3 months after delivery | 363| 3.92 | 3.77| 0       | 22  |
| Anxiety at 1 week after delivery       | 398| 34.12| 8.68| 19      | 68  |
| Anxiety at 3 months after delivery     | 363| 34.49| 8.89| 19      | 70  |

The results of these analyses using complete data were shown in the Supplementary Tables 2, 3. Most of the associations were similar to the data employing multiple imputations, although effect sizes were slightly higher in the complete data analysis.

DISCUSSION

This study showed that paternal community social capital, but not workplace social capital, was associated with lower levels of paternal depression and anxiety up to three months after delivery. Thus, we suggest that paternal community social capital may be a preventive factor for paternal depression and anxiety, rather than workplace social capital.

This is the first study to examine the impact of paternal community and workplace social capital on postnatal depression and anxiety. Our findings, in which only community but not workplace social capital was associated with paternal postpartum depression and anxiety, are partially consistent with those of previous studies. In terms of community social capital, it was shown to be associated with mental disorders in a prospective study (49) and a review (18). Moreover, a higher level of maternal social capital during pregnancy was associated with a lower EPDS score at 6–8 weeks after delivery (27). This association can be explained as follows. First, community social capital may alleviate paternal concern about bothering the neighbors due to infant crying. In the Japanese context, caregivers are more likely to be concerned about bothering cohabitants such as grandparents and neighbors due to their infant crying (50). Second, fathers with a higher level of community social capital may be able to access information and receive parenting-related
TABLE 3 | Association between paternal social capital and paternal depressive symptoms at 3 months after delivery after multiple imputations.

|                      | Workplace social capital | Community social capital | Model 3 \( \beta \) (95%CI) |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                      | Crude \( \beta \) (95%CI) | Model 1 \( \beta \) (95%CI) | Model 2 \( \beta \) (95%CI) |                      |
| Workplace social capital | -0.71 (-1.17 to -0.24) | -0.63 (-1.13 to -0.14) | -0.15 (-0.60 to 0.30) | -0.25 (-0.38 to -0.12) | -0.26 (-0.40 to -0.12) | -0.22 (-0.34 to -0.09) | -0.21 (-0.33 to -0.08) |
| Community social capital | -0.01 (-0.47 to 0.45) |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |
| Obstetrics hospital A | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| B                    | -0.58 (-1.45 to 0.29) | -0.72 to 0.86 | -0.55 (-1.41 to 0.32) | -0.67 to 0.88 | -0.66 to 0.89 | -0.67 to 0.88 | -0.66 to 0.89 |
| Paternal age          | -0.06 (-3.07 to 0.57) | -0.10 to 0.04 | -0.37 (-1.11 to 0.04) | -0.08 to 0.05 | -0.09 to 0.05 | -0.09 to 0.05 |
| Paternal education    | High school or less | -0.01 (-1.35 to 1.45) | -1.39 to 1.11 | -0.06 (-8.03 to 4.35) | -6.09 to 5.26 | -6.09 to 5.26 |
| Some college          | -1.20 (-2.59 to 0.19) | -2.60 to -0.14 | -1.63 (-3.44 to 0.18) | -3.08 to 0.13 | -3.03 to 0.20 |
| College or more       | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Unknown               | -1.84 (-8.03 to 4.35) | -6.09 to 5.26 | -1.17 (-7.44 to 5.10) | -5.22 to 6.22 | -5.33 to 6.15 |
| Annual household income (JPN yen) | ≤2,000,000 | 6.20 (0.92 to 14.51) | 3.00 (1.02 to 10.22) | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| 2,010,000–4,000,000    | 2.16 (0.51 to 3.81) | 1.48 (0.0002 to 2.95) | -4.83 (-13.11 to 3.45) | -9.35 to 5.06 | -9.32 to 5.10 |
| 4,010,000–6,000,000    | 1.46 (0.17 to 2.75) | 0.84 (-0.31 to 1.99) | -5.32 (-13.49 to 2.86) | -9.72 to 4.51 | -9.67 to 4.59 |
| 6,010,000–8,000,000    | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| 8,010,000–10,000,000   | 1.32 (0.03 to 2.60) | 1.34 (0.19 to 2.49) | -5.61 (-13.84 to 2.63) | -9.27 to 5.07 | -9.24 to 5.11 |
| 10,000,000–15,000,000  | 1.45 (0.25 to 2.65) | 1.42 (0.35 to 2.49) | -6.81 (-13.89 to 2.56) | -9.31 to 5.00 | -9.28 to 5.07 |
| 15,001,000+           | 0.35 (1.88) | 0.96 (0.82 to 1.93) | -6.81 (-15.08 to 1.22) | -10.31 to 4.05 | -10.26 to 4.13 |
| Paternal ACE total score | 0 | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| 1                    | 0.31 (0.14) | 0.38 (0.04) | 0.32 (0.08) | 0.38 (0.13) | 0.38 (0.13) | 0.38 (0.13) |
| 2+                   | 1.24 (0.32 to 2.37) | 1.51 (0.59 to 2.11) | -0.80 to 3.22 | -1.65 to 1.92 | -1.68 to 1.90 |

(Continued)
TABLE 3 | Continued

| Workplace social capital | Community social capital | Model 3 \(\beta\) (95%CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                          | Crude \(\beta\) (95%CI)  | Model 1 \(\beta\) (95%CI)  | Model 2 \(\beta\) (95%CI)  | Crude \(\beta\) (95%CI)  | Model 1 \(\beta\) (95%CI)  | Model 2 \(\beta\) (95%CI)  |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Paternal employment                        |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| Full-time job                              | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Part-time job                              | 5.21 (1.47 to 8.94)      | 4.83 (1.50 to 8.16)         | 5.17 (1.47 to 8.87)         | 4.74 (1.46 to 8.02)      | 4.68 (1.40 to 7.97)         |                             |
| Self-employed                              | 0.65 (−1.02 to 2.32)     | 0.44 (−1.05 to 1.92)        | 0.40 (−1.24 to 2.03)        | 0.45 (−0.99 to 1.89)     | 0.43 (−1.05 to 1.91)        |                             |
| Other                                      | −0.32 (−3.96 to 3.32)    | 0.69 (−2.59 to 3.96)        | −0.15 (−3.77 to 3.46)       | 0.96 (−2.28 to 4.19)     | 0.99 (−2.25 to 4.23)        |                             |
| Paternal childcare leave                   |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| Yes or Planning to take                    | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| No                                         | −0.52 (−1.71 to 0.66)    | −0.46 (−1.52 to 0.60)       | −0.72 (−1.89 to 0.45)       | −0.56 (−1.60 to 0.48)    | −0.51 (−1.55 to 0.54)       |                             |
| Paternal history of psychiatric disorders  |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| No                                         | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Yes                                        | 2.02 (−0.94 to 4.97)     | −1.53 (−4.24 to 1.19)       | 2.21 (−0.70 to 5.13)        | −1.61 (−4.28 to 1.07)    | −1.63 (−4.31 to 1.06)       |                             |
| History of delivery                        |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| First birth                                | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Multiparity                                | 0.11 (−0.73 to 0.94)     | −0.04 (−0.96 to 0.88)       | 0.38 (−0.47 to 1.22)        | 0.55 (−0.22 to 1.32)     | 0.51 (−0.27 to 1.28)        |                             |
| Normal pregnancy                           |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| Yes                                        | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| No                                         | −0.11 (−1.13 to 0.91)    | −0.04 (−0.96 to 0.88)       | −0.04 (−1.04 to 0.98)       | −0.06 (−0.96 to 0.85)    | −0.05 (−0.98 to 0.86)       |                             |
| Paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed |                          |                             |                             |                          |                             |                             |
| Happy                                      | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          | Ref                      | Ref                          | Ref                          |
| Unexpected but happy/unexpected and confused/did not know what to do/no feelings/other | 0.69 (−0.39 to 1.78) | 0.39 (−0.58 to 1.36) | 0.71 (−1.89 to 0.45) | 0.38 (−0.58 to 1.33) | 0.39 (−0.57 to 1.35) |                             |

95%CI, 95% Confidence Interval. Boldface means statistical significant \(p < 0.05\). Model 1 adjusted for paternal education, paternal age, annual household income, paternal employment, paternal childcare leave, paternal adverse childhood experiences, paternal history of psychiatric disorders, history of delivery, obstetrics hospital, normal pregnancy, and paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed. Model 2 added paternal depressive symptoms at 1 week after delivery, maternal depressive symptoms, and anxiety at 1 week after delivery into Model 1. Model 3 included number of people who can be consulted about parenting and both types of social capital into Model 2.
TABLE 4 | Association between paternal social capital and paternal anxiety at 3 months after delivery after multiple imputations.

|                          | Workplace social capital | Community social capital | Model 3 $\beta$ (95%CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                          | Crude $\beta$ (95%CI)    | Model 1 $\beta$ (95%CI)  | Model 2 $\beta$ (95%CI)  |                          |
| Workplace social capital | -3.07 (-4.14 to -2.00)   | -2.94 (-4.09 to -1.80)   | -0.72 (-1.74 to 0.31)    | -0.58 (-1.59 to 0.49)   |
| Community social capital | -0.49 (-0.80 to -0.17)   | -0.53 (-0.86 to -0.20)   | -0.40 (-0.66 to -0.13)   | -0.38 (-0.66 to -0.11)   |
| Obstetrics hospital      |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| B                        |                         |                          |                          |                          |
| Paternal age             |                         |                          |                          |                          |
| Paternal education       |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Annual household income  |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $\leq2,000,000$          |                         |                          |                          |                          |
| $2,010,000$ to $4,000,000$|                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $4,010,000$ to $6,000,000$ | 3.53 (0.54 to 6.54)     | 2.96 (0.50 to 5.41)      |                          |                          |
| $6,010,000$ to $8,000,000$ |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $8,010,000$ to $10,000,000$ |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $10,000,000$ to $15,000,000$ |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $15,001,000$+           |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| Paternal ACE total score |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $0$                      |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $1$                      |                          |                          |                          |                          |
| $2+$                     |                          |                          |                          |                          |

(Continued)
|                                | Workplace social capital | Community social capital | Model 3 \( \beta \) (95%CI) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                | Crude \( \beta \) (95%CI) | Model 1 \( \beta \) (95%CI) | Model 2 \( \beta \) (95%CI) |
| Paternal employment            |                          |                          |                            |
| Full-time job                  | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| Part-time job                  | 3.89 \((−4.74 \text{ to } 12.52)\) | 2.53 \((−4.64 \text{ to } 9.70)\) |                            |
| Self-employed                  | 0.34 \((−3.52 \text{ to } 4.19)\) | −0.32 \((−3.54 \text{ to } 2.90)\) | −1.14 \((−5.04 \text{ to } 2.76)\) |
| Other                          | 0.51 \((−7.97 \text{ to } 8.98)\) | −0.10 \((−7.30 \text{ to } 7.10)\) | 0.21 \((−8.42 \text{ to } 8.84)\) |
| Paternal childcare leave       |                          |                          |                            |
| Yes or Planning to take        | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| No                             | −0.96 \((−3.69 \text{ to } 1.77)\) | −1.33 \((−3.61 \text{ to } 0.95)\) | −1.65 \((−4.43 \text{ to } 1.13)\) |
| Paternal history of psychiatric disorders |                      |                          |                            |
| Yes                            | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| No                             | 0.70 \((−6.00 \text{ to } 7.40)\) | −1.37 \((−8.08 \text{ to } 4.35)\) | 2.30 \((−4.48 \text{ to } 9.09)\) |
| History of delivery            |                          |                          |                            |
| First birth                    | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| Multiparity                    | 0.48 \((−1.48 \text{ to } 2.43)\) | 0.20 \((−1.48 \text{ to } 1.88)\) | 1.00 \((−1.02 \text{ to } 3.02)\) |
| Normal pregnancy               |                          |                          |                            |
| Yes                            | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| No                             | 0.71 \((−1.62 \text{ to } 3.05)\) | 0.95 \((−1.01 \text{ to } 2.91)\) | 1.28 \((−1.10 \text{ to } 3.65)\) |
| Paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed |                      |                          |                            |
| Happy                          | Ref                      | Ref                      | Ref                        |
| Unexpected but happy/unexpected and confused/did not know what to do/no feelings/other | 1.54 \((−0.96 \text{ to } 4.04)\) | −0.16 \((−2.25 \text{ to } 1.94)\) | 1.72 \((−0.82 \text{ to } 4.26)\) |
| Paternal anxiety at 1 week after delivery |                          |                            | 0.62 \((0.52 \text{ to } 0.71)\) |
| Maternal depressive symptoms at 1 week after delivery | 0.06 \((−0.19 \text{ to } 0.32)\) | 0.05 \((−0.20 \text{ to } 0.30)\) | 0.02 \((−0.10 \text{ to } 0.11)\) |
| Maternal anxiety at 1 week after delivery | 0.01 \((−0.09 \text{ to } 0.12)\) | 0.01 \((−0.09 \text{ to } 2.96)\) | 0.01 \((−0.09 \text{ to } 0.12)\) |

95%CI, 95% Confidence Interval. Boldface means statistical significant \((p < 0.05)\).

Model 1 adjusted for paternal education, paternal age, annual household income, paternal employment, paternal childcare leave, paternal adverse childhood experiences, paternal history of psychiatric disorders, history of delivery, obstetrics hospital, normal pregnancy, and paternal feelings when pregnancy was confirmed.

Model 2 added paternal anxiety at 1 week after delivery and maternal depressive symptoms and anxiety at 1 week after delivery into Model 1.

Model 3 included number of people who can be consulted about parenting and both types of social capital into Model 2.
care, as mothers with a higher level of community social capital can access better prenatal care and delivery care (51). Further studies to identify the mechanism of association between community social capital and paternal postnatal depression and anxiety should be conducted. Nonetheless, community social capital plays a significant role in preventing postnatal depression and anxiety among not only mothers but also fathers, indicating that promoting community social capital for fathers may be effective in preventing paternal postnatal depression and anxiety.

In terms of workplace social capital, we found no association, although previous studies reported that workers with a lower level of workplace social capital showed the onset of depression in Finland (male: 20%) (52), Germany (male: 74.4%) (53), and Japan (male: 77.6%) (54). This discrepancy can be explained by differences in the target population (i.e., age of bearing a child) and the assessment period (i.e., right after delivery). For workers, assuming the family status is stable, the workplace is considered a major social context (55). Thus, employment status, job stress, working hours, and job insecurity have huge impacts on the mental health of workers (56). Workers with a higher level of workplace social capital are more likely to be able to cope with their stress (57), which may lead to lower levels of mental health problems. However, in the case of changing family status, such as bearing a child, fathers may face further stress related to parenting and the relationship with their partner, in addition to job stress during the transition to fatherhood. Therefore, fathers may not be able to cope with the stress related to fatherhood transition through workplace social capital. The type of support and information fathers receive from community and workplace social capital during the perinatal period needs to be identified.

Though there was no association between workplace social capital and mental health problems, the significant coefficient was shown in Model 1 in which depressive symptoms and anxiety at 1 week after delivery was not adjusted. Paternal depressive symptoms and anxiety at 1 week after delivery are considered not only confounders but also mediators on a time-series basis, which indicates overadjustment. Additionally, participants who reported higher levels of depressive symptoms and anxiety might be more likely to perceive social capital negatively. This negative perception due to mental health problems might influence workplace social capital more strongly than community social capital because people spend more time and have greater social relations at the workplace than the community (58). In the current study, the correlation between workplace and community social capital was small ($r = 0.17$). Thus, the impact of mental health problems on workplace and community social capital might differ. Despite adjusting for a history of psychiatric disorders in our analysis, further studies to assess paternal depressive symptoms and anxiety before and during pregnancy, and a longitudinal study with a larger sample size that excludes fathers with depressive symptoms and anxiety at the baseline, are needed.

The current study has several limitations. First, our findings are limited in generalizability due to the participant recruitment method and paternal characteristics. Study participants were recruited from two obstetrics hospitals in Tokyo, Japan. Furthermore, we found that the annual household income of our participants was higher than in another study that targeted families living in Tokyo (59). Second, both exposure (i.e., paternal social capital) and outcomes (paternal depression and anxiety) were self-reported, which may lead to common method bias for causal inference on the association between paternal social capital and their mental health problems. Further research needs to be conducted to assess mental health using objective measures such as interviews by professionals. Third, although the follow-up rate in this study was high and multiple imputations were performed, it is possible that fathers with lower levels of social capital and severe mental health problems dropped out of our survey. Fourth, there are unmeasured confounders, such as severe obstetric complications during pregnancy, although we could assess normal pregnancy in a subjective way.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings indicate that a higher level of paternal community social capital at birth, but not workplace social capital, prevents paternal depression and anxiety at 3 months after delivery. Even though fathers show a similar level of postnatal depression as mothers, they are less likely to have social support compared to mothers (60). To date, there are some programs designed to promote social capital for parents, nearly all of which target mothers (61, 62). To prevent paternal mental health problems during the postnatal period, an intervention to promote paternal community, rather than workplace, social capital may be warranted.
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