Monumental Painting in the Mrnjavčević State and Late Medieval Novgorod: Parallels in Program and Iconography

For many years scholars have tried to explore the relationship between Serbian and Novgorodian painting from the Late Palaiologan period and to retrace the roots that led to multiple ties between the artistic achievements of late medieval churches in the Balkans and in Russia [17, pp. 85–90; 18; 23, pp. 138–140; 3; 29; 30; 33; 36; 41]. The present paper aims to examine iconographic and programmatic parallels between the pictorial ensembles of the churches from two distant regions — the Mrnjavčević State in the Balkans (1365–1395) (Fig. 1) and Novgorod of the late 14th and 15th Centuries.

Fresco Decoration of the Domes

Programs in the domes of the most important endowments of the Mrnjavčević family members feature very similar solutions. The oldest and most notable among them is the church of St. Demetrios at Sušica near Skopje (Markov Manastir). The construction of the katholikon between 1365/1366 and 1371 was directly associated with the political rise and the newly acquired royal dignity of its founder [13], Vukašin Mrnjavčević. The church was adorned with frescoes in 1376/1377, owing to the efforts of Vukašin’s son, King Marko [27; 5; 10, pp. 74–75]. Shortly thereafter, in his capital of Prilep, around 1380 King Marko commissioned a re-frescoing of St. Demetrios, a church dating from the last decade of the 13th century [6, p. 87; 16, pp. 206–207]. Not far from Skopje, on the banks of the River Treska, a territory under his domain, Marko’s younger brother, the kraljević Andrejaš, in 1388/1389 built and decorated with frescoes a church he dedicated to St. Andrew. Like the dome of the church of St. Demetrios in Prilep, the painting of the last great medieval monument in these parts was also entrusted to the workshop of the metropolitan Jovan Zographos [9; 32].

In contrast to most 14th-century Serbian churches, in which, as a rule, the medallion of Christ Pantokrator in the dome is surrounded by a depiction of the Celestial Liturgy [37], in the domes of these three churches the Saviour appears surrounded by different groups of heavenly creatures. At Markov Manastir Christ is encircled with eight standing figures of asomatoi, four archangels and four angels dressed in regal attire, with orbs and scepters in...
their hands [45, pp. 12–13] (Ill. 60). The dimensions of the drum at St. Demetrios in Prilep required a more summarized iconographical depiction of the asomatoi and hence seven medallions with busts of angels dressed in chitons and hematia and holding orbs in their hands surround the Pantokrator [6, p. 87, fig. 2]. The third iconographical solution was left by the painting workshop led by painter Jovan. Like the painters of the Novgorod churches, they also painted four angels, two seraphim and two cherubim, around the now missing image of the Pantokrator in the centre of the dome [32, fig. 3].

The structure of the angelic groups that surround the Pantokrator in Late Byzantine churches varies [31]. Standing figures of archangels dressed in chitons with himations or in regal attire were rarely included in the programmatic concepts in the domes of 14th-century Eastern Christian churches. Similar arrangements of standing angels and archangels in regal costumes can also be found in the Balkans — in the Church of St. Catherine in Thessaloniki (between 1315 and 1325) [44] and probably also in the Church of St. John the Theologian in
Zemen (c. 1360) [25, p. 24; 4, p. 169], where extensive damage precludes definite identification. However, the closest parallels for the iconographic and compositional formula adopted at Markov Manastir and Andrejaš are found in the Novgorodian iconographic tradition. The four standing figures of archangels and four six-winged creatures (seraphim and cherubim) represented in the church of St. Sophia in Novgorod (1109), were repeated in six Novgorod churches of the second half of the 14th century: the Assumption in the Volotovo Field (1363) [47, pp. 114–116, figs. 3, 5, 7, 9; 11, pp. 217–233, fig. 4]², the Saviour-Transfiguration in Iliina Street (1378) [20, p. 22, figs. 111, 113, 114]³, St. Theodore Stratelates ‘on the Spring’ (c. 1378) [42, pp. 48–55, figs. 10–15]⁴, the Holy Saviour in Kovaliovo (1380) [2, pp. 25–31]⁵, the Nativity on the Red Field (last decade of the 14th century) [17, p. 90; 42] and Archangel Michael Church in Skovorodsky Monastery (end of the 14th — beginning of the 15th century)⁶ [19; 22; 34; 35]⁷. In Novgorod, the same thematic concept of the dome remained in use dur-

² Four archangels and four six-winged creatures — a pair of seraphim and a pair of cherubim — were painted. The archangels are wearing chitons and cloaks.
³ Four archangels in regal attire with a loros were painted, as well as four six-winged creatures — a pair of seraphim and a pair of cherubim.
⁴ Four archangels in regal attire with a loros were painted, as well as four six-winged creatures — a pair of seraphim and a pair of cherubim.
⁵ Besides archangels and cherubim, tetramorphs were also shown.
⁶ I am indebted to Tatiana Tsarevskaya for having brought the example from this church to my attention.
⁷ Pantokrator is encircled with the four standing figures of archangels [31, p. 202].
ing the following century, as evidenced by the church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver at the Zverin monastery (1467) [7; 14].

Extant inscriptions accompanying archangel representations in these churches reveal an interesting detail. Along with the two main archangels, Michael and Gabriel, handbooks used by painters in Serbia and Russia also included the names of apocryphal archangels (Uriel, Raphael; Markov manastir, Volotovo). Some of them are very rarely depicted in art, such as Barachiel and Jegudiel (St. Theodore Stratelates ‘on the Spring’).

Illustrations of prophetic visions of the Pantokrator surrounded by the Heavenly host received a more complex iconographical concept as early as the Middle Byzantine period [27, pp. 113–134]. Depictions of archangels in regal attire were used to convey the idea of the Lord of Hosts, who rules the entire heavenly and earthly kingdom [12, p. 451]. This image of the celestial hierarchy, imbued with the teachings of Pseudo-Dionysios the Areopagite, was seen as parallel to the hierarchical organization of the Byzantine society. Iconodulist theological works established the notion of archangels as the witnesses of the Incarnation, and hence their placement beside the Pantokrator image served to affirm the divine and human nature of Christ Philanthropos [26, cols. 396A–397B]. The depiction of angelic orders around the Pantokrator also had a direct liturgical meaning. The selection of angels and archangels was based on the text of the first Eucharistic prayer in the Divine Liturgy of St. John Chrysostom, which mentions ‘ranks and hosts of angels and archangels’. The ancient liturgy mentioned the archangels Michael, Gabriel, Uriel
and Raphael, while the Liturgies of St. Basil the Great and St. John Chrysostom name only Michael and Gabriel [1, p. 322].

The painters in the Mrnjavčević State used iconographical language to offer a clear visual representation of the celestial hierarchy of angels. The same can be said of Theophanes the Greek and his Greek and Russian understudies. Depicted exclusively from the front, with their wings down, unmoving but with their legs spread slightly apart, angels and archangels leave the impression of standing celestial guard around the Throne of God, glorifying the Pantokrator.

It seems entirely reasonable to conclude that the dome solutions in Novgorodian churches follow the older programmatic and iconographic tradition implemented at the Church of St. Sophia in Novgorod in the early 12th century. The choice of this theme for the dome scene at Markov Manastir is more complex. However, it can be easily explained by the fact that the lower altar zone features a distinctive visual representation of the teaching of the unity of heavenly and earthly liturgy, united in the ministration of Jesus Christ as the Great High Priest, leading to the omission of the usual representation of the Divine Liturgy in the dome [38, pp. 129–133, fig. 4].

A growing interest in the celestial hierarchy, as attested in the visual arts of the Slavic world in this period clearly represents the adoption of Byzantine iconographic formulae. At this time, more specifically during the last three decades of the 14th century and beyond in the Serbian and Russian milieu can be noticed a renewed engagement with the works of Pseudo-Dionysios, an author who worked in the late 5th century and whose identity throughout the entire medieval period remained hidden behind the name of the famous bishop of Athens and disciple of Paul the Apostle. The Corpus Areopagitcum was translated into Old Serbian by the monk Isaiah (Inok Isaija) on Mount Athos around 1371 [28, pp. 154–158; 39, p. 51; 40; 8]. His entire opus comprises four treatises — On the Celestial Hierarchy, On the Ecclesiastical Hierarchy, On the Divine Names and On the Mystical Theology — accompanied by the interpretations of Maximus the Confessor (580–662). The translation was commissioned by Theodosios, Metropolitan of Serres, an advisor to Despot Uglješa, brother

Fig. 4. The Church of the Assumption in the Volotovo Field, eastern section, 1363. After: Alpatov M. V. Frescoes of the Church of the Assumption at Volotovo Pole. Moscow, 1977
of Vukašin Mrnjavčević, who ruled the region of Serres. This Serbian translation of the corpus (National Library of Russia in Saint-Petersburg, Giljferding Collection, Ms. 46)\(^8\) was the first comprehensive translation of the opus of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite among the Slavs: that's why it served as the protograph for a large number of Bulgarian and Russian copies. Pseudo-Dionysius's writings could not have influenced the appearance of the programmatic solutions discussed here in Serbian and Russian churches in the 14\(^{th}\) century or the manner of representing angels. This text however provided the grounds for more in-depth notions of the *asomatoi* and the celestial hierarchy. Thus the angels and archangels depicted in the dome of Markov Manastir belong to the lowest order in the celestial hierarchy of angels, in line with the concept of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite. Their role is reflected in fulfilling God's orders and mediating between the heavenly and earthly world. The composition of the celestial hierarchy in Novgorodian churches includes the higher order of celestial beings — seraphim, cherubim and in some cases even tetramorphs.

Finally, there is another noteworthy similarity in the programmatic solutions of the dome images in the aforementioned churches. The domes of the Saviour-Transfiguration in Iliina Street and St. Theodore Stratelates at the Spring feature inscriptions quoting Psalm 101:20-22 [42, p. 50], the same verses that also appear in the dome at Markov Manastir [45, pp. 14-15]. Although it was inscribed in the domes of Byzantine and Serbian churches frequently enough, in these examples this Psalm has an obvious programmatic value. This is indicated by the quote which underlines Christ's sovereign place in the celestial hierarchy, God's mercy and the glorification offered by the entire mankind.

**Painted menologia**

The second part of the paper is concerned with the painted *menologia* at Markov Manastir [24] (Ill. 61) and in the church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver at the Zverin monastery (1467) [7; 46] (Ill. 62). At Markov Manastir, the menologion cycle covers the altar and the naos. It is shown in its abridged form and spans only three and a half months — from September 1\(^{st}\) to December 14\(^{th}\). Two peculiar features distinguish this calendar from other surviving 14\(^{th}\)-century examples. First, instead of scenes depicting the death of martyrs, all saints and feast compositions are shown as busts. Secondly, the images of the saints are placed in calyces of multicoloured flowers arranged in two rows. They are connected by a flowering vine forming a wreath. At the Church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver in Novgorod, the full annual calendar makes up the bulk of the thematic program. Frontal half-length figures representing 366 saints are arranged along the walls, inner sides of arches and the pillars from below the dome to the lowest zone of frescoes\(^9\). Ensembles in this exceptional example of an illustrated menologion are separated by horizontal decorative ribbons filled in with leaved vines with multicoloured flowers.

There are obvious differences between the two cycles in terms of their date, iconographic and textual sources, spatial layout, and the number of images included. It can be argued, nonetheless,

---

\(^{8}\) A more recent edition of the Corpus Areopagiticum translated by the monk Isaiah in 1371 [8].

\(^{9}\) Complete content of the menologion with the disposition of figures, drawings, following photo documentation and bibliography in the church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver at the Zverin monastery in Novgorod was published by Natalia Vvedenskaya [46].
that both cycles follow the same pictorial logic. They both belong to the same tradition of
calendrical illustration in Eastern Christian art, which is characterized by the reduction of the
visual programme to only one type of image — the portrait of the commemorated saint. The
group in question is very small and includes a limited number of examples of icon-painting and
monumental art. The absence of the scenes depicting martyr deaths is the main characteristic
of the group of calendar icons from the Monastery of St. Catherine on Mount Sinai, created
sometime between the late 11th and the end of the 12th century. The saints whose lives did not
end in an act of martyrdom were represented in this way. Standing figures of saints taken out
of their hagiographical context are also found in later menologion illustrations, albeit rather
sporadically. For the matter at hand it is important to note several other Russian calendrical
icons from the 16th century, such as: icons for January — March (State Russian Museum in
Saint Petersburg, Hermitage Museum); icons for March — July (Kostroma Museum, Ipatiev
Monastery); menologion icons from the museum in Recklinghausen (Germany). An analogy
from the field of monumental art is found in the calendar painted in the Church of St. Anthony
at the Vrontissi Monastery in Crete (1420–1430). Much younger menologia from the period
of Ottoman rule in the Balkans include the cycle from the Serbian church of St. Nicholas in
Pelinovo (second decade of the 18th century).

Floral decoration is another important compositional and iconographic element of the two
calendars under discussion [15, pp. 297–301]. Leaved vines and flowers intertwined around
the busts or figures of saints became constant features of the iconographic themes representing
genealogies, prophets and evangelists (Tree of Jesse, Nemanjić family tree, prophets, Christ the
True Vine). The fundamental meaning of the iconographical and literary motif of a wreath
belongs to the great Christian topic of martyrdom. The distinctive symbol of eternal bliss
in the kingdom of heaven represents the ultimate reward granted to the greatest warriors
and martyrs of the faith. The symbolism of leaves and flowers is part of the rhetorical image
of heaven and the depictions of heaven in miniature painting. Therefore, it can arguably be
concluded that the wreath of multicoloured flowers represents a carefully selected element
intended to contribute, through the attractive meaning of its iconography, to the main idea
behind both cycles — the ‘wreath’ of the church year filled with saints in their heavenly afterlife.

* * *

Finally, the similarities revealed in this comparative analysis of the iconographical and
programmatic solutions at Markov Manastir and a group of Novgorodian churches of the
late 14th and 15th centuries warrant a reconsideration of the ties between late 14th-century
Russian and South Slavic art and the origin of foreign painters who worked in the far North.
The painters commissioned by King Marko and his Greek and Russian contemporaries in
Novgorod were well-educated and talented artists. Analogies between the frescoes of Markov
Manastir, the Church of St. Demetrios in Prilep or Andreaš and the works of Theophanes
the Greek and other masters whose names have been lost to us indicate that in these very
distant areas worked painters who shared similar esthetic principles. Spiritual inspiration for
art creation also had a similar development in both milieus, as I have tried to elucidate in this
short contribution. The parallelism between the ideas that underpin the thematic programmes
of these churches supports such a conclusion.
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Аннотация. В статье исследуются иконографические и программные параллели между ансамблями росписей в двух далеких друг от друга регионах — в государстве Мрнячевичей на Балкахах (1365–1395) и Новгороде конца XIV — XV в. В первой части статьи анализируются купольные программы в наиболее значимых постройках семьи Мрнячевичей (церкви Св. Димитрия Маркова монастыря на Сушице близ Скопье, Св. Димитрия в Прилепе, Св. Андрея на Треске) и в шести новгородских храмах второй половины XIV в. (церкви Успения на Волотовом поле, Спаса Преображеня на Ильине улице, Св. Феодора Стратилата на Ручье, Спаса на Ковалеве, Рождества на Красном поле и Архангела Михаила на Сковородке).

Во второй части статьи рассматриваются особенности циклов менология в Марковом монастыре (сентябрь — декабрь) и в церкви Св. Симеона Богоприимца (1476) в Зверине монастыре (сентябрь — август). Между двумя циклами существуют очевидные различия во времени создания, иконографических и агиографических источниках, количестве изображений и расположении каждого из циклов. Тем не менее есть основания утверждать, что и сербский, и русский ансамбль следуют одной и той же логике. Оба относятся к восточнохристианской традиции иллюстрирования церковного календаря, для которой характерно сокращение изображений до единственного типа — портрета святого.
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Abstract. The present paper aims to examine iconographic and programmatic parallels between the pictorial ensembles of the churches from two distant regions — the Mrnjavčević State in the Balkans (1365–1395) and Novgorod of the late 14th and 15th centuries. The first part of the paper focuses on the programs in domes of the most important endowments of the Mrnjavčević family members (St. Demetrios at Sušica near Skopje — Markov Manastir, St. Demetrios in Prilep, St. Andrew at River Treska) and six Novgorod churches of the second half of the 14th century (the Assumption in the Volotovo Field, the Saviour-
The second part of the paper is concerned with the painted menologia at Markov Manastir (September through December) and in the church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver at the Zverin monastery (1467) (September through August). There are obvious differences between the two cycles in terms of their date, iconographic and textual sources, spatial layout, and the number of images included. It can be argued, nonetheless, that both cycles follow the same pictorial logic. They both belong to the same tradition of calendrical illustration in East Christian art, which is characterized by the reduction of the visual programme to only one type of image — the portrait of the commemorated saint.
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Ill. 60. Markov Manastir, The Church of St. Demetrios, 1376/1377. Frescoes in the dome. Photo by Dj. Djoković
Ill. 61. Markov Manastir, The Church of St. Demetrios, 1376/1377. Menologion cycle, October.
Photo by Dj. Djoković

Ill. 62. Zverin monastery, The church of St. Simeon the God-Receiver, 1467. Menologion cycle, November.
Photo by N. Vvedenska