Surgical treatment of bone tumors using segmental bone allografts
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The aim of the study is to improve the method of surgical treatment for patients with tumors of long bones with the use of segmental bone allograft.

Material and method. The authors have improved the method of surgical treatment of patients with tumor bone lesions using segmental allografts. Step-cut osteotomy was performed to achieve the best contact between the allograft and the recipient bone, fixation was performed with intramedullar interlocking nail without the use of bone cement, and primary bone autoplasty was done to achieve better reparation. The method was clinically tested and the results of its application were studied (radiologically, morphologically). A morphological study of the contact zone between the allograft and the recipient’s bone was conducted.

Results. The effectiveness of the developed method was proved. As a follow-up result among patients who underwent surgical treatment according to the developed method, the following complications were noted: ischemic necrosis of soft tissues (due to intraoperative ligation of an artery which was surrounded by a tumor) – 1 case; tumor recurrence resulting in a limb amputation – 1 patient. During the macroscopic study of a removed fragment (after amputation), the fusion between allograft and recipient bone was observed. As a result of histological and X-ray examination, bone regeneration was seen in the area of contact between the allograft and the recipient bone. The histological examination also was used to clearly visualize the removed fragment of the affected tibia, in particular the contact zone between the allograft and the recipient bone, and the bone implant material, on the outer surface and internal parts of which, the formation of new bone tissue in the form of layering of the bone trabeculae was seen. Thus, the bone tissue was formed on the surface of allogeneic bone material and sprouted into its internal parts, forming a stiff bone – implant contact.

Conclusions. Using the technique of biomechanical reconstruction of the long bone defects allows the bone tissue of the affected limb to be preserved as much as possible, to restore the surrounding soft tissues and avoid infectious and allergic reactions in comparison with modular endoprosthetics. Fixation of the allograft and the recipient bone using step-cut osteotomy with the additional insertion of an interlocking intramedullar nail allows getting a stable «construction» and maximizing the contact zone of the bone tissue (the allograft and the recipient bone).
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The authors have improved the method of surgical treatment of patients with tumor bone lesions using segmental bone allografts. The key steps of the developed method include step-cut osteotomy, fixation with an interlocking intramedullar nail, and primary bone autoplasty. The effectiveness of this method was confirmed in a follow-up study of patients who underwent surgical treatment according to the developed method. Complications such as ischemic necrosis of soft tissues and tumor recurrence resulting in limb amputation were observed. Histological examination confirmed the formation of new bone tissue in the contact zone between the allograft and recipient bone. The method is recommended for the treatment of long bone tumors.
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Цель работы – усовершенствовать метод хирургического лечения пациентов с костными опухолями с использованием сегментарных костных аллотрансплантатов.

Материалы и методы. Усовершенствовали метод хирургического лечения пациентов с костными опухолями с использованием сегментарных костных аллотрансплантатов. Выполнили ступенчатую остеотомию для достижения большего контакта между костным аллотрансплантатом и костью реципиента, фиксируя интрамедуллярным блокирующим стержнем без использования костного цемента, а также для достижения лучшей репарации выполняли первичную костную аутопластику. Проведена клиническая апробация метода и изучены результаты ее применения (рентгенологически, морфологически). Выполнено морфологическое исследование зоны контакта аллотрансплантата с костью реципиента.

Результаты. Доказана эффективность разработанного метода. В результате наблюдения за пациентами, которым проведен хирургическое лечение по разработанной методике, отмечены такие осложнения: ишемический некроз мягких тканей (вследствие интраоперационной перевязки артерии, которая была интимно связана с опухолью) – 1 случай; рецидив опухоли, который привел к ампутации конечности. – 1 пациент. При исследовании удаленного препарата (после ампутации) микроскопически отмечено крашение аллоимплантата и кости-реципиента. По данным гистологического и рентгенологического исследований показана регенерация кости в области контакта аллотрансплантата с костью-реципиентом. В результате гистологического исследования удаленного препарата пораженной конечности, в частности зоны контакта аллоимплантата и кости-реципиента, четко выявляли материал костного имплантата, по наружной поверхности и во внутренних отделах которого отмечено образование молодой костной ткани в виде наложения костных трепелок. Таким образом, костная пластика формировала на поверхности костного аллогенного материала и прорастала в его внутренние отделы, образовывая крепкий контакт «кость – имплантат».

Выводы. Использование методики биореконструкции дефектов длинных костей позволяет максимально сохранить kostную пластию пораженной конечности, восстановить окружающие мягкие ткани, избежать инфекционных и аллергических реакций по сравнению с модульным эндопротезированием. Фиксация аллоимплантата и кости реципиента с использованием step-cut остеотомией с дополнительным введением интрамедуллярного блокирующего стержня позволяет получить стабильную «конструкцию» и максимально увеличить площадь контакта костной ткани (алло- и кости-реципиента). Эти условия позволяют получить хорошую костную регенерацию.

Aim

The objective of the study is to improve the method of surgical treatment for patients with tumors of long bones with the use of segmental bone allograft.

Material and methods

In order to target better results of surgical treatment for patients with bone tumors, we have improved the method of post-resection segmental defects of long bones and joints replacement. Segmental bone allografts (articulating or without preserved metaepiphysial (diaphysial) zone) were used as a replacement material. Bone allografts were made at the Transplantology Department of Sytenko Institute of Spine and Joint Pathology NAMS of Ukraine. A donor bone was mechanically cleaned from soft tissue, cartilage, and periostum. An organic component with antigenic properties was removed by chemical procedures. A harvested sample was packed and exposed to radiation sterilization. Processing of the bone was performed according to the developed in Sytenko Institute of Spine and Joint Pathology NAMS of Ukraine technical specifications and patented original method of manufacturing implants using bone tissue (certificate of compliance No. UA.TR 101-21-2016).

The first stage of surgery was ablative radical en block removal of the bone tumor (segmental resection). Express biopsy – a cytological examination of the bone marrow from the medullary canal to identify atypical cells – was performed and, if necessary, the area of affected bone resection was extended. Step-cut osteotomy was performed...
in the area of cut bone. One end of the segmental articularizing allograft was processed so that its protruding parts and parts of the recipient bone were properly positioned by “the Russian lock” type (Fig. 1).

The second stage was the long bone post-resection defect replacement. An interlocking nail was inserted into the intramedullary canal of the segmental allograft, and it was blocked with screws. The free part of the nail was inserted into the medullary canal of the recipient bone in such a way that the edges of the allograft and the recipient bone were completely matched. Following that, the nail was blocked in the recipient bone. The interlocking nail was implanted without using of bone cement. In the contact area between the allograft and the recipient bone, free bone autotransplants (from the local tissues) were additionally placed and fixed to each other using screws (Fig. 2). After performing the bone defect replacement, a thorough restoration of the surrounding muscles was performed.

In the case of using a segmental allograft for replacing large diaphyseal defects in long bones, step-cut osteotomy was performed at both ends of the implant. In these cases, the interlocking nail was blocked by screws in the recipient bone proximally and distally. Additionally, bone autografting from the fibula was performed.

This technique was used in 5 patients (3 men and 2 women) with malignant tumors of long bones. The distribution by nosology was as follows: osteogenic sarcoma – 2 patients, adamantinoma – 1 patient, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma – 2 patients. The mean age of the patients was 35.6 years (from 18 to 50 years), 3 patients had the pathological tumor focus located in the distal part of the tibia. In these cases, a segmental articulating allograft was used to replace a post-resection bone defect. A segmental diaphyseal allograft was used to replace post-resection bone defects in 2 patients who had the tumor process located in the diaphyseal zone of the femur.

According to the treatment protocols, 4 patients with malignant bone tumors underwent polychemotherapy. All the patients underwent X-ray and computed tomography (CT) examinations during the postoperative period to control the reparative processes, as well as to monitor the oncologic process.

In one case, a patient with adamantinoma of the distal tibia underwent lower limb amputation at the level of the lower third of the femur due to a recurrent tumor. The removed fragment of the tibia was examined in a pathomorphological laboratory. The contact area between allograft and recipient bone was studied directly.

Histological examination. The tibia with allograft was removed from the amputated limb. The areas of the recipient tissue fusion with the allograft were taken for the study. The material was fixed in 10 % neutral formalin, decalcified in formic acid solution, dehydrated through an ethanol series and embedded in paraffin. The histological sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and Van Gieson picro-fuxin, then were analyzed using a light microscope Olympus BX63. A digital camera DP73 (Olympus) and the software “Cell Sens Dimension 1.8.1” (Olympus, 2013) were used for photographing.

Ethical approval. The authors declare that all the procedures and experiments of this study met the ethical standards in the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, revised in 2008(5), as well as the national law. An informed consent was obtained from all the patients included in the study.

Results

As follow-up results among patients who underwent surgical treatment according to the developed method, the following complications were noted: ischemic necrosis of soft tissues (due to intraoperative ligation of an artery that was surrounded by a tumor) – 1 case; tumor recurrence resulting in limb amputation – 1 patient. A patient with soft tissue necrosis in the area of surgery had skin and muscle plastic surgery, and thus it helped to save the limb. In all the cases, the fusion between the allograft and the recipient bone was observed in a 6-month follow-up period.

Morphological findings. During the macroscopic examination of a removed fragment, the allograft and recipient bone fusion was observed (Fig. 3).

As a result of histological examination of the removed fragment of the affected tibia, in particular the contact zone between the allograft and the recipient bone, the bone implant material was clearly visualized. On its outer surface and internal parts, the formation of new bone tissue in the form of layering of the bone trabeculae was seen. The bright eosinophilic matrix contained dense large osteocytes, osteocytic lacunae were devoid of cells. That is, the cells were at the maturation stage, being actively involved in the synthesis of matrix components, and having an osteoblast-like structure, and consequently, trabeculae of woven bone. A layer of osteoblasts with various functional activity was located on the outer surface of the bone trabeculae. In addition to bone trabeculae, blood capillaries, cells at less...
Fig. 3. Fragment of the tibia: 
a – distal half of the bone – allograft, proximal – recipient bone with the presence of a tumor. There is a fusion between the bone and the allograft; 
b, c – pieces of the fragment – contact zone between the allograft and the recipient bone. Arrows (fig. 3b and 3c) point to bone layering and growing inside the allograft.

Fig. 4. The fragment of the allograft (I). Newly formed bone (nB) on the inner surface of the allograft. The dashed line marks the border of the newly formed bone and the allograft. 4a – newly formed woven bone; 4b – newly formed lamellar bone. Hematoxylin and eosin staining.

Fig. 5. Radiographs of the lower leg in 30-year-old patient Sh., osteogenic sarcoma of the left distal tibia (5a – anterior-posterior view, 5b – lateral view).

Fig. 6. Radiographs of the lower leg in 30-year-old patient Sh. after surgery – the segmental allograft was implanted with the interlocking intramedullar nail (a – anterior-posterior view, b – lateral view).
mature differentiation stage, and fibroblasts were observed on the internal allograft surface (Fig. 4).

Thus, bone tissue was formed on the surface of allo- geneic bone material and sprouted into its internal parts, forming a stiff bone – implant contact.

Case report

A 30-year-old patient Sh. was hospitalized to Sytenko Institute of Spine and Joint Pathology clinic with complaints of pain and the presence of enlarging mass in the distal third of the left lower leg. She did not receive any treatment previously. The patient underwent a comprehensive examination, X-ray and CT examination (contrast-enhanced), and a biopsy of the tibial mass. Lytic destruction of the distal tibia with disrupted integrity of the cortical layer was visible on radiographs (Fig. 5). CT scans showed lytic destruction of the lower third of the tibia with a large soft tissue component without major vessel invasion and with the presence of tumor-feeding arteries. CT-cancer screening of organs and systems of the body did not show metastatic lesions.

During a histological analysis of the biopsy material, osteogenic sarcoma was diagnosed. Thus, the comprehensive examination of the patient resulted in a diagnosis: osteogenic sarcoma of the left distal tibia T2N0M0 stage II, clinical group II. According to the protocols of this nosology treatment, polychemotherapy and surgery were performed.

Surgery: en block removal of the tumor, replacement of the post-resection defect of the distal tibia with segmental articulating allograft, the allograft and the recipient bone fixation with the interlocking nail. Autoplasty of the contact zone between the allograft and the recipient bone was additionally performed (Fig. 6). After the operation, the lower limb was immobilized for 3 months with a circular plaster cast with a walking stirrup leaning on the lower limb. At that time, the patient received courses of polychemotherapy. Final radiographs (6 months after the surgery) showed signs of reparation in the contact area between the allograft and the recipient bone (Fig. 7). In 6 months, the patient walks with a full load on the operated lower limb without additional support. In total, the patient was followed-up for 1.5 years. There were no signs of tumor recurrence or other complications.

Discussion

In previous studies, segmental bone allografts were fixed in the recipient bone with the help of the endoprosthesis stem or bone plates and intramedullary nail [11–13]. In most cases, a transverse osteotomy was performed and bone cement was used in the case of fixation with the endoprosthesis stem [14]. Articulating allografts were more often used in the case of the proximal humerus destruction [15]. We have studied the complications of existing methods of allograft-prosthetic composite and taken into account to improve this technique. We performed step-cut osteotomies to achieve the best contact between the allograft and the recipient bone, fixation with intramedullar interlocking nails without the use of bone cement, and primary bone autoplasty was performed to achieve better reparation.

Conclusions

1. The use of the bioreconstructive technique for long bone defects allows the bone tissue of the affected limb to be preserved as much as possible, to restore the surrounding soft tissues and avoid infectious and allergic reactions in comparison with modular endoprosthetics.

2. Fixation of the allograft and the recipient bone using step-cut osteotomy with the additional insertion of an interlocking intramedullar nail allows getting a stable “construction” and maximizing the contact zone of the bone tissue (the allograft and the recipient bone). These conditions allow getting good bone regeneration.

3. Cement-free insertion of a metal nail also helps to avoid such complications as cement leakage into the contact zone between the allograft and the recipient bone, and the development of metal implant instability.
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