Introduction: Mucinous cystic neoplasms are uncommon among the tumors that develop in the retroperitoneum. We report a case of primary retroperitoneal mucinous cystadenocarcinoma with pathological considerations.

Case presentation: A 47-year-old woman complaining of abdominal discomfort presented at our hospital. Abdominal computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging showed a large cystic tumor with small solid nodules located in the right retroperitoneum. The tumor was completely removed and the microscopic findings were consistent with primary retroperitoneal mucinous cystadenocarcinoma. Two years after the surgery, the patient is alive without recurrence of the tumor.

Conclusion: The microscopic findings suggested that the primary retroperitoneal mucinous cystadenocarcinoma developed from the metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium. A complete tumor resection that includes the adjacent peritoneum is important to prevent local recurrence.
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Keynote message

PRMC is an extremely rare tumor, and the optimal treatment is still unknown. Our pathological findings suggested that PRMC developed from the metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium. A complete resection of the tumor including the adjacent peritoneum is recommended to prevent local recurrence.
lesion. There were no findings of infiltration to the surrounding organs or metastases (Fig. 1). The serum levels of the CEA, CA19-9, and CA125 were within the normal limits.

A midline laparotomy was performed under the diagnosis of mature teratoma because of the cystic mass including some solid components. The tumor was located in the right retroperitoneum. There was no evidence that the tumor had invaded the adjacent organs including the pancreas, intestine, and ovaries. Finally, the tumor was completely removed with the adjacent peritoneum because of the adhesion that existed. The tumor weighed 3570 g and contained a cloudy black fluid inside. The cytological examination of the fluid was

![Computed tomography demonstrated a large cystic tumor with enhanced solid lesion (arrowhead). Magnetic resonance imaging showed homogenous cyst on (b) T1-weighted image and (c) T2-weighted image. (d) Diffusion-weighted imaging demonstrated high-signal intensity in the solid lesion.](image1)

Fig. 1

![Macroscopically, the wall of the unilocular cyst is smooth and has several hard nodules (arrowheads). (b) The solid nodular tumor with necrosis arises from the cyst wall (hematoxylin and eosin staining, ×18). The cyst wall is lined by a layer of mucous columnar epithelium and atypical glandular epithelial cells proliferate in a papillotubular growth fashion in the nodular area (c, ×100; d, ×400). (e) Mucinous epithelium overlying the cyst (white arrowhead) transits from thin flat mesothelial-like cells (black arrowhead) (×200).](image2)

Fig. 2
negative for malignant cells. The tumor wall was smooth and had several small (1–3 cm) hard nodules (Fig. 2a).

Pathologically, the tumor was unilocular cystic lesion with several solid nodules composed of a proliferation of atypical glandular epithelial cells in a papillotubular growth fashion (Fig. 2b–d). The cystic areas were lined by a single layer of mucous columnar epithelium that transited from the thin flat calretinin- and WT-1-immunoreactive mesothelial cells (Fig. 2e). There was an ovarian-like stroma, which was positive for estrogen and progesterone receptor (d, ×400), indicating an ovarian-like stroma.

The postoperative course was uneventful, and no recurrence had developed at 2 years after the surgery.

Discussion

Primary retroperitoneal mucinous cystic tumors including PRMC are exceedingly rare neoplasms among the tumors that develop in the retroperitoneum. PRMC occurs most frequently in middle-aged women, and the most common presentation is a palpable abdominal mass like in our case. According to a meta-analysis of 78 patients with PRMC, recurrence after radical surgery was observed in 40% (23/57) of the patients with a median follow-up period of 15 months. The median disease-free survival was 15 months.

Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging were commonly performed for the diagnosis of PRMC. In our case, these imaging studies showed thin-walled, unilocular cystic tumor with enhanced nodules. Although the presence of solid components suggested PRMC, it is difficult to differentiate PRMC from the other retroperitoneal cystic tumor such as lymphangioma, mullerian cyst, cystic mesothelioma, and mature teratoma.

Additionally, tumor markers including CEA, CA19-9, and CA125 are elevated in some cases of PRMC. In the previous report, however, the elevation of CEA, CA19-9, and CA125 levels was seen in only 29%, 38%, and 17% of the cases,
respectively. The tumor markers are not useful for diagnosis of PRMC, although the tumor markers may help to detect a recurrent tumor in cases with elevated tumor markers at the diagnosis.

Several hypotheses have been proposed about the development of PRMC including metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium, supernumerary ovary, teratoma, and intestinal duplication. In recent years, the most accepted hypothesis is metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium. The coelomic epithelium differentiates into the pericardial, pleural, and peritoneal mesothelium, and the mullerian duct. This hypothesis suggests that during embryonic development, a part of the coelomic epithelium cells invaginate and are trapped in the retroperitoneum. These cells then transform into mucinous epithelium and then cystadenocarcinoma may develop (Fig. 4).

In our case, the microscopic examination showed both mucinous epithelium and mesothelium in the tumor. Similar microscopic findings have been reported in previous cases, and these findings strongly support the hypothesis of metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium. Therefore, in order to prevent local recurrence, the peritoneum that is in contact with the tumor should be collectively removed because the remnant coelomic epithelium may still remain between PRMC and the peritoneum. In addition, the complete resection of the tumor is most important in the management of PRMC and the adjacent organs should be removed when the imaging studies or intraoperative findings suggest infiltration of PRMC, because local recurrences were reported in some cases of PRMC.

In some cases of PRMC, an ovarian-like stroma has been found in the cyst wall. Because PRMC has been observed in females more frequently than in males (male: 7, female: 78) and ovarian-like stroma exist, this suggests that stimulation of female hormones may affect the development or the growth of PRMC. The present case was receiving estrogen therapy before surgery and the estrogen might have affected the enlargement of PRMC. In some cases with PRMC, a salpingo-oophorectomy was performed to avoid stimulation of female hormones. However, it is still unclear whether this procedure improves the prognosis, or whether the benefits outweigh the complications, such as infertility and menopausal syndrome.

**Conclusion**

PRMC is an extremely rare tumor and our pathological findings supported the theory of metaplasia of the remnant coelomic epithelium. A complete resection of the tumor with the adjacent peritoneum is required to prevent local recurrence.
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