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A prospective study of deviated nasal septum giving rise to other ENT pathologies

S. Ranga Pradheep Kumar, Seema V. Patel*

ABSTRACT

Background: The deviated nasal septum (DNS) is a commonly occurring clinical condition that often causes nasal obstruction. This study, included two groups of subjects 100 each. The group A consists of subjects having DNS with nasal symptoms. The group B consists of subjects having DNS without nasal symptoms but with ear and throat symptoms. The present study makes an effort to review the types and its associated pathology.

Methods: A prospective study was carried out in the Department of ENT, Government Medical College and Hospital, Nagpur from September 2016 to October 2018. Data was collected and analysed.

Results: The mean age 31.17 years in group A and 30.07 years in group B, with male to female ratio of 1.17:1 in group A and 1.04:1 in group B. Left-sided septal deviation was more common than right-sided deviation. The most common symptoms in group A was nasal obstruction (94%) and in group B was otorrhea (80%). "C" shaped DNS was the most common type in both the groups. Out of total 200 subjects comprising both the groups, 70 (35%) subjects had significant sinonasal disease, 138 (69%) subjects had ear pathology, 69 (34.5%) had Eustachian tube dysfunction, 35 (17.5%) had throat pathology. In this study, "S" shaped deviation was more prone to be associated with ENT pathology.

Conclusions: “S” shaped DNS was maximally associated with sinonasal pathology and there was a high correlation between the side of septal deviation to the side of ear pathology, particularly in asymptomatic DNS.
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INTRODUCTION

Septal deviations can be caused by genetic influences, developmental, mechanical injuries, sinonasal polyposis, neoplasia and rarely by congenital malformations. Thus, the septal deviation can arise in utero, during delivery, and all through the whole life-span. Deviated nasal septum (DNS) can be asymptomatic in an individual throughout their life span or may cause nasal obstruction and symptoms of rhinosinusitis like nasal discharge, facial pain, headache, sneezing, epistaxis, mild to severe loss of smell. DNS not only causes symptoms pertaining to the nose but also leading to ear and throat pathology. This is primarily due to Eustachian tube dysfunction (ETD), altering middle ear pressure and mouth breathing due to nasal obstruction caused by DNS leads to drying effect in the throat causing irritation and ultimately infection.

The main aim of this study is to obtain a more in-depth view of deviated nasal septum leading to other associated ears, nose and throat (ENT) pathology and also which types of deviated nasal septum causes more "symptomatology" and ENT pathology.
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METHODS

Study design and setting

A prospective study was carried out in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology, head and neck surgery in Government Medical College and Hospital, Nagpur for a period of two years, from September 2016 to October 2018. The study population included 200 cases attending in the Department of Otorhinolaryngology OPD.

Inclusion criteria

All patients from 11 to 55 years of age; patients with symptomatic deviated nasal septum; patients with asymptomatic deviated nasal septum associated with other ear and throat symptoms like ear discharge, hard of hearing, ear fullness, throat pain/discomfort were included in this study.

Exclusion criteria

Patients of less than 11 years and greater than 55 years, patient with any associated sinonasal or nasopharyngeal malignancy and patient with granulomatous disease of nose were excluded.

Plan of study

This study includes two groups of 100 subjects each. The group A consists of subjects having septal deviations with nasal symptoms irrespective of ear/throat symptoms. The group B consists of subjects having septal deviation without nasal symptoms but with ear and throat symptoms. All DNS patients from 11 to 55 years of age were included. Patient with any associated sinonasal or nasopharyngeal malignancy, granulomatous disease of the nose were excluded. A thorough clinical examination and diagnostic nasal endoscopy (DNE) were done to evaluate the nasal cavity and nasal septum in all the patients. All the subjects were advised X-ray PNS and CT-PNS if required.

Based on thorough DNE, we have classified the observed deviation into following types like anterior deviation, posterior deviation, caudal dislocation, “C” shaped, “S” shaped deviation (either in cephalo-caudal or antero-posterior direction), spur, "C" shaped with the spur.

Statistical analysis

All statistical calculations were analysed by p value using \(x^2\) tests with 2x2 contingency table by using Epi Info™ version 7.2.2.6.

RESULTS

In this study maximum subjects belong to age group of 21 to 30 years in group A 30 (30%) subjects and in group B 34 (34%) subjects with a mean age 31.17 years in group A and 30.07 years in group B (Table 1).

DNS was little more in males than females (Table 2) with male to female ratio of 1.17:1 in group A and 1.04:1 in group B.

Table 1: Age distribution in both groups (n=200).

| Age group (in years) | Group A | Group B |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| No. of subjects (%)  |         |         |
| 11-20                | 17      | 17      |
| 21-30                | 30      | 30      |
| 31-40                | 26      | 26      |
| 41-50                | 17      | 17      |
| 51-55                | 10      | 10      |
| Total                | 100     | 100     |

Table 2: Gender distribution in both groups (n=200).

| Gender | Group A (%) | Group B (%) | Both groups |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Male   | 54          | 51          | 105         |
| Female | 46          | 49          | 95          |
| Total  | 100         | 100         | 200         |
| M:F ratio | 1.17:1 | 1.04:1 | 1.10:1 |

Table 3: Side of septal deviation in both groups (n=200).

| Deviation laterality | Group A | Group B | Both groups |
|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                      | No. of subjects | % | No. of subjects | % | Total no. of subjects | % |
| Right                | 32      | 32      | 39          | 39    | 71             | 35.5 |
| Left                 | 40      | 40      | 44          | 44    | 84             | 42  |
| Bilateral            | 28      | 28      | 17          | 17    | 45             | 22.5|
| Total                | 100     | 100     | 100         | 100   | 200            | 100 |

Septal deviation was more towards left side 84 (42%) than right side 71 (35.5%). The most common symptom in group A was nasal obstruction in 94 (94%) subjects, followed by otorrhoea in 40 (40%) subjects. Headache was seen in 33 (33%) subjects. Excessive sneezing was present in 9 (9%) and headache with sneezing was seen in 11 (11%) subjects of allergic rhinitis. In group B 80 (80%) subjects complained of otorrhoea followed by decreased hearing in 30 (30%) and throat pain or discomfort was observed in 29 (29%) subjects (Table 3).
Table 5 presents sinonasal, ear and throat pathology in different types of DNS in both groups. In group A, 14 (14%) subjects had throat pathology. In “C” shaped DNS 3 out of 44 (6%) subjects had throat pathology. In “S” shaped DNS 6 out of 23 (26%) subjects had throat pathology.

In group B out of 100 subjects, 18 (18%) subjects had sinonasal pathology. Maximum cases of “S” shaped DNS 9 out of 13 subjects (69.2%) had sinonasal pathology. 80 (80%) subjects had ear pathology and 21 (21%) subjects had Throat pathology.

Out of total 200 subjects comprising both the groups, 70 (35%) subjects had significant sinonasal disease. Among these maximum association were observed in “S” shaped DNS 31 out of 36 (86.1%) subjects.

In group A out of 100 (100%) subjects, 52 (52%) subjects had significant sinonasal pathology. In “S” shaped DNS 22 out of 23 (95.6%) subjects, followed by “C” shaped DNS 19 out of 44 (43.1%) subjects had sinonasal pathology. In group A, 58 (58%) subjects had ear pathology. In “C” shaped with spur 5 out of 6 (83.3%) subjects had ear pathology. In “S” shaped DNS 15 out of 25 (60.4%) subjects had ear pathology.
In group B patients, sinus involvement was noted on CT scan in 21 (21%) subjects of whom 9 (9%) subjects had “S” shaped DNS. 4 (4%) had “C” shaped DNS, 4 (4%) had anterior deviation of septum. Interestingly none of these 21 subjects were complaining of any nasal symptoms.

**Associated ear pathology**

In group A, 58 subjects had ear pathology. In 44 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 25 (56.8%) had ear pathology and in 23 subjects of “S” shaped DNS 15 (65.2%) had ear pathology. In group B, 80 subjects were associated with ear pathology. In 41 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 33 subjects (80.4%) had ear pathology and in 13 subjects of “S” shaped DNS 12 (92.3%) had ear pathology.

Out of 200 subjects, 138 (69%) subjects had ear pathology. In 85 (42.5%) subjects of "C" shaped DNS 58 (68.2%) had ear pathology, whereas in 36 (18%) subjects of "S" shaped DNS 27 (75%) subjects had ear pathology. It was found that "S" shaped DNS were highly associated with ear pathology when compared with other types of septal deviation in both the groups.

| Types of deviation | No. of subjects with DNS | Sinonasal pathology in both groups | Polyp/OMC block | Sinusitis | Anatomical variation |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|
|                     |                          |                                    | Group A | Group B | Group A | Group B | Group A | Group B | Group A | Group B |
| Anterior deviation | 14                       | 5                                  | 1       | 2       | 2       | 2       | 1       | 1       | 2       | 1       |
| Posterior deviation | 11                       | 4                                  | 2       | 1       | 1       | 0       | 1       | 1       | 3       | 0       |
| Caudal dislocation | 4                        | 1                                  | 0       | 1       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 1       |         |
| C-shaped            | 85                       | 22                                 | 5       | 3       | 16      | 1       | 7       | 1       | 11      | 1       |
| S-shaped            | 36                       | 31                                 | 22      | 5       | 16      | 4       | 7       | 1       | 7       | 2       |
| Spur                | 40                       | 3                                  | 1       | 1       | 2       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 3       | 0       |
| C shaped with spur  | 10                       | 4                                  | 2       | 0       | 3       | 1       | 3       | 0       | 1       | 0       |

There was more than one pathology seen in some subjects.

**Table 6: Sinonasal pathology in different types of DNS in both groups (n=200).**

| Ear findings                  | Group A (200 ears) | Group B (200 ears) | Both groups | Total ears |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|
|                               | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left |          |
| Normal                        | 32    | 35   | 23    | 32   | 55    | 67   | 122   |
| Retraction                    | 47    | 29   | 31    | 32   | 78    | 61   | 139   |
| Central perforation           | 21    | 35   | 40    | 34   | 61    | 69   | 130   |
| Marginal perforation or choledocoelema | 0    | 1    | 6     | 2    | 6     | 9    | 9     |
| Total                         | 100   | 100  | 100   | 100  | 200   | 200  | 400   |

**Table 7: Details of ear pathology in both groups (n=200).**

| Side of deviation | No. of subjects (%) with septal deviation | Right ear pathology | Left ear pathology | Both ear pathology | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
|                   |                                           | No. of subjects     | %                  | No. of subjects    | %     |
| Right             |                                           | 32                  | 18.75             | 6                  | 18.75 |
|                   |                                           | 6                   | 15.6              | 17                 | 53.1  |
| Left              |                                           | 40                  | 12.5              | 12                 | 30    |
|                   |                                           | 5                   | 12.5              | 22                 | 55    |
| Bilateral         |                                           | 28                  | 25                | 9                  | 32.1  |
|                   |                                           | 7                   | 10.7              | 19                 | 67.8  |
| Total             |                                           | 100                 | 18                | 27                 | 13    |

In 200 subjects, 71 (35.5%) subjects had right sided septal deviation out of which the same side that is right side ear pathology was seen in 19 subjects showing 26.7% association whereas in opposite side that is left side ear pathology in 13 subjects showing 18.3% association.

Similarly, in 84 (42%) subjects of left-sided septal deviation 35 (41.67%) subjects had the same side ear pathology whereas 14 (16.67%) subjects had opposite ear pathology. It was found that there is a high correlation between the side of septal deviation to the side of ear pathology particularly in group B subjects which was statistically highly significant (chi-square=21.0422, df=4, p=0.0003).

**Table 8: Side of septal deviation in relation to side of ear pathology in group A (n=100).**
Table 9: Side of septal deviation in relation to side of ear pathology in group B (n=100).

| Side of deviation | No. of subjects with septal deviation (%) | Right ear pathology | Left ear pathology | Both ear pathology | Total |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                   | No. of subjects (%)                     | No. of subjects (%) | No. of subjects (%) | No. of subjects (%) | No. of subjects (%) |
| Right             | 39                                      | 13                  | 7                 | 7                 | 27    |
| Left              | 44                                      | 9                   | 23                | 5                 | 37    |
| Bilateral         | 17                                      | 2                   | 4                 | 10                | 16    |
| Total             | 100                                     | 24                  | 34                | 22                | 80    |

Table 10: DNS associated with ear and Eustachian tube pathology.

| Study subjects | DNS | Ear pathology | ETO pathology |
|----------------|-----|---------------|---------------|
|                |     | No. of subjects | %       | No. of subjects | %   |
| Group A        | 100 | 58             | 58          | 26              | 26  |
| Group B        | 100 | 80             | 80          | 43              | 43  |
| Both Groups    | 200 | 138            | 69          | 69              | 34.5|

Table 11: Types of ETO in both groups (n=200).

| Type of ETO on DNE          | Group A | Group B | Both groups |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|
|                             | No. of subjects | % | No. of subjects | % | No. of subjects | % |
| Type 1 (normal ostium)      | 74       | 74      | 57          | 57   | 131            | 65.5|
| Type 2 (inflammatory)       | 20       | 20      | 37          | 37   | 57             | 28.5|
| Type 3 (peritubal lymphoid tissue) | 4     | 4       | 4           | 4    | 8              | 4   |
| Type 4 (hypoplastastic)     | 2        | 2       | 2           | 2    | 4              | 2   |
| Type 5 (cicatrial)          | 0        | 0       | 0           | 0    | 0              | 0   |
| Total                       | 100      | 100     | 100         | 100  | 200            | 100 |

Associated ETD

In group A, 58 subjects had ear pathology and 26 subjects had Eustachian tube opening (ETO) pathology on endoscopy. The association between ETO pathology and ear pathology was 44.8% (26 out of 58) which is statistically highly significant. (corrected chi-square=15.1266, df=1, p=0.0001).

In group B, totally 80 subjects had ear pathology and 43 subjects had ETO pathology. The association between ETO pathology and ear pathology was 53.75% (43/80) which is statistically significant. (corrected chi-square =6.6325, df=1, p=0.01). Thus, the DNS causes ETD thereby causing ear pathology (Table 10).

Associated throat pathology

In group A, 14% of subjects had throat pathology. In 44 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 3 (6%) subjects had throat pathology and in 23 subjects of “S” shaped DNS 6 (26%) had throat pathology. Rest 5 subjects had different types of DNS. In group B, 21% of subjects had throat pathology. In 41 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 7 (17%) subjects had throat pathology and in 13 subjects of “S” shaped DNS 4 (30.7%) had throat pathology. Rest of 10 subjects had different types of DNS (Table 5).

Treatment underwent

In Group A 99 (99%) subjects underwent septal correction after medical treatment, 50 subjects FESS were performed, 14 (14%) subjects underwent ear surgery for CSOM. Tympanoplasty was done in 13 (13%) subjects and MRM was done in 1 (1%) subject. In 13 cases of safe CSOM, the septal correction was done prior to ear surgery. In group B, 53 (53%) subjects underwent septal correction in 17 (17%) subjects FESS were performed, 9 (9%) subjects undergone tympanoplasty, 9 (9%) subjects tonsilloadenoid resection after septal correction. 14 (14%) subjects underwent modified radical mastoidectomy, 2 (2%) underwent cortical mastoidectomy followed by septal correction in 3 subjects. Rest patients of CSOM will undergo ear surgery, 3 months after septal correction.

DISCUSSION

Nasal obstruction is one of the most common problems that brings a patient in clinic, and deviated nasal septum is a frequent structural aetiology.
Age and gender

Subjects above the age of 11 years were included in the study because children don't express accurate symptoms and may not co-operate for DNE. In this study maximum subjects belongs to the age group of 21 to 30 years which is similar to the study conducted by Mladina et al who found less incidence in primary school group which rises with age, suggest that these types could be influenced by the growth of the splanchnic cranial bones and the final angulation of the skull base during puberty and adolescence.5 There was Male dominance in cases of spur in both groups, group A 1.8:1 and group B 1.6:1, which was similar to study done by Moorthy et al, Nayak et al and Mladina et al.5,6,9 Spur was common in male than females which is typically a trauma-caused deformity, and it is generally accepted that males are more exposed to nasal trauma than females. In present study in which, M:F ratio for “C” shaped deviation was 0.86:1. In a study of children and adolescents in Zagreb, Croatia, “C” shaped was strikingly more frequent in females (76.9%) than in males (23.1%) and declared that “C” shaped DNS is a “female type” deformity.7

The side of the deformity

In present study left-sided septal deviation was more common than right-sided deviation in both the groups which is similar to the study by Moorthy et al and Mladina et al.5,7 A similar result was observed in the Korean study (56.0% for left-sided and 39% for right-sided deformities) explained that during stages of labour while delivering of fetus, most common position is left occipito anterior and during extraction internal rotation happens towards midline at the true pelvis which is the narrowest passage in birth canal where nasal bones rotates under stress which leads to nasal deformity on left sides.5 Perhaps the finding of Quante et al, on Caucasian newborns could explain this prevalence: a certain degree of overlapping between right and left parietal bones can occur during change in position of the baby’s head in the delivery canal.5 They found that the right parietal bone was higher in about 50% of newborns, whereas the left was higher in only 20%; an equal level was found in about 30% of the newborns. Furthermore, they found that the incidence of nasal obstruction was about 20% in adults whose parietal bones had an unequal level, whereas it was remarkably lower (up to 6%) in those with an equal level.

Presenting complaints

In Group A 94% of subjects complained of Nasal obstruction found to be the most common symptom. This is similar to the study conducted by Moorthy et al.6

In a study done by Nayak et al, nasal obstruction (83%) was the most common complaint followed by headache (73%).9

Present study second most common symptom was otorrhoea in 40% of the subjects. Headache was seen in 33% subjects which may be because of sinusitis or mucosal contact points. Headache with sneezing was in 11% subjects of allergic rhinitis in group A. The study made by Kubba et al had sneezing in 12%.10

Associated sinonasal pathology

In Group A subjects, the associated anatomical variants on the lateral nasal wall were CB, and PMT which were observed in 27% and 20% subjects respectively which are similar to Moorthy et al showing CB 23% and PMT 15%.5 In a study conducted by Poorey et al showing CB 22%.11 In the present study CT scan showed polypi in 33% cases in Group A and 32% in the study conducted by Moorthy.6 Among 44 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 16 (36.3%) had sinusitis and in 23 subjects of “S” shaped DNS 16 (69.5%) subjects had sinusitis. In Group A out of 100 subjects, 52 subjects had significant sinonasal pathology. Among 44 subjects of “C” shaped DNS 19 subjects had sinonasal pathology with 43.1% association and in 23 subjects of “S” shaped DNS, 22 subjects had sinonasal pathology with 95.6% association present.

Out of total 200 subjects comprising both the groups 70 (35%) subjects had the significant sinonasal disease. In 36 subjects of “S” shaped DNS, 31 subjects had the sinonasal disease with 86.1% association. Based on the available statistical data from the present study for an occurrence of sinonasal pathology with “S” shaped DNS showing p<0.00001 which was highly significant. The association between sinonasal disease and presence of other types of DNS (other than “S” shaped) was not statistically significant (Table 5). This was similar to study conducted by Moorthy et al.6 Youssef et al evaluated the morphologic features that predispose to sinusitis and concluded that patients with evidence of sinusitis on CT scanning had a higher degree of septal deviation than did those without.12 They further showed that rates of sinusitis were not significantly different to the side of the septal deviation which was similar to the present study.

Similarly, Calhoun et al examined the paranasal sinus CT images of both asymptomatic and symptomatic patients.13 They clearly showed a strong correlation between septal deviation and sinus disease, although the degree of septal deviation was never qualified. They further documented a significant association with OMC block and ethmoid sinus disease only on the side to which the septum deviated.

As per the study conducted by Ozkurt et al, it was found that the presence of DNS based upon a degree of DNS (by calculating nasoseptal angle) created a risk factor for chronic rhinosinusitis.14 They declared that there are three theories explaining the pathophysiological relationship between the nasal septal deviation and chronic rhinosinusitis.
The first is the mechanical theory of Stammberger. Secretion accumulates in the sinus as a result of the narrowing of the osteomeatal complex and causes chronic rhinosinusitis by being infected later on.

The second theory is the aerodynamic theory. According to this theory, the mucociliary activity decreases following the nasal flow rate increase and mucosal dryness occur in relation to the nasal septal deviation and consequently, chronic rhinosinusitis develops.

The third theory is Bachert’s pressure theory. According to this theory, deviation or the posterior nasal septum causes chronic rhinosinusitis by creating pressure and air flow changes within the maxillary sinuses.

In the study conducted by Elahi et al showed ipsilaterally, that is, in the direction of septal deviation, OMC and sinus disease is directly attributable to the septal deviation in the absence of any other discernable factor. While on the side opposite the direction of septal deviation, a prominent bulla ethmoidalis and various middle turbinate abnormalities have been shown to be the cause of OMC obstruction. They also showed increasing OMC disease bilaterally with increasing septal deviation.

In a study conducted by Kennedy et al, the anterior ethmoid region were the most frequently involved area of the paranasal sinuses disease in relation to DNS.

**Associated ETD**

The types of pharyngeal end of ETO was described based upon the study conducted by Prudente de et al. ETO changes (Table 11) in the present study is similar to study conducted by Kaya et al and Akyildiz, Metin Yuksel et al who found that inflammatory, obstructive, and infective pathologies of the nose and paranasal sinuses were reported to have negative impacts on eustachian tube (ET) function. Among these factors, chronic nasal obstruction due to septal deviation was suggested to cause chronic supplicative otitis media via ET dysfunction, deteriorated middle ear ventilation. The mechanisms of inflammation of nasopharyngeal opening of ET as a result of turbulent air streams, sniffing or insufflations of infected material into middle ear by Toynbee phenomenon.

In a study conducted by Gencer et al found that the development of paranasal sinuses and mastoid air cells during postnatal development process can be supported by the positive pressure of airflow on the nasal cavity and nasopharyngeal mucosa. They have found that nasal pathologies like DNS decrease mastoid air volume and maxillary sinus volume by decreasing nasal airflow and so mastoid pneumatization and in this way have otitis media and other otological problems.

In a study conducted by Yeolekar et al they found that in patients of otitis media, there was associated DNS (80%), allergic rhinitis (14.5%), sinusitis (20%), polyp (2.5%) and adenoid in 11.5%. CONCLUSION

Deviated nasal septum was associated with significant sinonasal pathology. “S” shaped DNS was maximally associated with sinonasal pathology which was statistically significant. There is a high correlation between the side of septal deviation to the side of ear pathology, particularly in asymptomatic DNS. So when DNS is associated with any sinonasal or ear or throat pathology, one should consider its detail examination and if needed septal correction on before undertaking any definitive sinus or ear or adenotonsillar surgery. Especially "S" shaped DNS should be considered for septal correction in all cases.
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