Effect of Chlorhexidine and Fluoride Varnishes on *Streptococcus mutans* Count and Dental Plaque Index
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Abstract

The control of dental plaque by chemical means can be a valuable auxiliary resource for individuals who have difficulties in performing dental plaque control through regular oral hygiene procedures. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of chlorhexidine and fluoride varnishes on the levels of *Streptococcus mutans* present in the dental biofilm and on the dental plaque index. The study was carried out in a sample comprised thirty-nine schoolchildren between 13 and 15 years of age, distributed into 2 groups. Group I received a single layer of varnish with chlorhexidine and thymol on the maxillary right first molar. Group II received the application of varnish with fluoride following the same methodology. *S. mutans* count and dental plaque index recording were performed 7 days before the application and 7, 15 and 30 days after the treatment. It was observed that chlorhexidine and fluoride varnishes significantly reduced the number of *S. mutans* in dental plaque for 30 days (p<0.05). There was no difference between the varnishes. With regard to the dental plaque index, there was no alteration in any of the experimental time periods for both groups. It was concluded that varnishes, mainly those that contain fluoride, can be an interesting alternative for the prevention of dental caries in collective oral health programs.
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I Introduction

A considerable part of the population have difficulties to properly remove dental plaque from all dental surfaces by means of regular oral hygiene procedures because of the lack of ability or motivation.1 Hence, dental plaque control by chemical means can be a valuable adjunctive resource for mechanical methods.1-3 Among the most used chemical agents, fluoride and chlorhexidine are the ones which have been carefully studied in different ways of application.4-8 Varnish has been found to be a fast and practical method9,10 with good results for a long period of time.11,12 Fluoride varnishes are compounds that have special properties such as acting as preventive agents and consequently controlling caries lesions, high concentration of fluoride, as well as longer period of contact between fluoride and dental enamel.11 They have high concentration of fluoride, being efficient in dental structure remineralization and are therefore indicated not only in cases of dentinal hypersensitivity but also in the prevention of caries in both adults and children.11,13 Varnishes with chlorhexidine, a well known chemotherapeutic agent, used against periodontal-pathogenic bacteria, have been employed as an option in terms of reduction of bacterial activity.10 Their indication is based on the properties presented by chlorhexidine to inhibit dental plaque formation as well bactericidal and bacteriostatic actions.8,14

In Brazil, there is not a tradition in making use of varnishes and there is the need of further studies that would take into account the local conditions and also the viability of their use, mainly in collective oral health programs.
This study aimed to evaluate the effect of chlorhexidine and fluorine varnishes on the levels of *S. mutans* present in the dental biofilm and on the dental plaque index.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Participants

Thirty-nine schoolchildren (Aracatuba/SP, Brazil), 13-15 years old, were selected for *S. mutans* count in bacterial plaque through a dental plaque index. Those patients had MS count \( \geq 10^5 \) CFU/ml of plaque (colony forming units per milliliter of dental plaque). The study was approved by the Committee of ethics in research of São Paulo State University - Unesp, Aracatuba School of Dentistry (Process number 2000/0943). All participants’ responsible signed an informed consent.

2.2 Study design

The present study included 39 schoolchildren who presented the maxillary right first molar free of caries, stains, calculi and restorations. The children were divided into 2 groups: Group I (n=19) received a single layer of varnish with 1% chlorhexidine and thymol (Cervitec, Vivadent Ets. Schann/Liechteinstein), and Group II (n=20) received varnish with 2.26% fluoride, Duraphat (A. Nattermann & Cie. GmbH, Inpharma GmbH). The varnishes were used according to the manufacturers’ recommendations. The treatment was performed with a single application of the varnishes only on the vestibular and lingual surfaces of the maxillary right first molar.

The Ainamo e Bay index\(^{15}\) was used (0= without bleeding on probing and without dental plaque; 1= with bleeding on probing and with dental plaque). Probing was accomplished with a #5 explorer (Golgran®) in four dental surfaces (mesiobuccal, distobucal, mesiolingual, distolingual) in order to evaluate the dental plaque amount by means of probing and visualization of the area adjacent to the gingival margin.

2.3 Dental plaque samples

Dental plaque samples were collected with a sterile curette along the supragingival margin of the tooth surfaces. Dental plaque collection was performed through rubbing a number 5 explorer onto the surface towards a single direction, touching the instrument tip on the area adjacent to the free gingival margin of the buccal and lingual surfaces of the maxillary right first molar.

2.4 Varnish application

Firstly, teeth were professionally cleaned and before varnish application, each tooth was isolated with cotton rolls and dried. The varnishes were the applied upon all teeth surfaces using a small brush supplied by the manufacturer. The patients were instructed to avoid eating for 3 h and brushing their teeth for 24 hours, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Dental plaque samples collection and recording of dental plaque index were performed 7 days before and 7, 15 and 30 days after varnish application.

2.5 Bacteriological procedures

Samples collected in dental plaque were kept in thiglycolate solution, at low temperature, form the beginning of sample collection until they were ultimately processed. The culture medium selected for *S. mutans* was *Mitis salivarius* Bacitracin, which was prepared according to Gold’s method.\(^{16}\)

After drop-shape inoculation, the petri dishes were kept in anaerobiosis in CO\(_2\) (5%) and N\(_2\) (95%) atmosphere for 72 hours in a kiln at 35 °C. *S. mutans* was identified based on colonial morphology and later confirmed with biochemical tests.\(^{17}\) The count was expressed as milligram of dental biofilm (CFU/mg dental biofilm).

2.6 Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis regarding *S. mutans* count in dental plaque, date obtained were converted into logarithm, for the accomplishment of the analysis of variance whit parcels subdivided into time periods and “F” test application for the comparison between the groups and along the time, at 0.1 level.

The comparison between *S. mutans* CFU/ml means was carried out in order to verify the differences among the measurements along time periods, though Tukey test, at a 5% significance level.

Concerning Ainamo e Bay index, data obtained in scores were converted into square root for the accomplishment of the analysis of variance with parcels subdivided into time period, with the application of “F” test for the comparison between the groups and along the time.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 *S. mutans* in dental plaque

The variation result in the *S. mutans* count in dental plaque before, as well as 7, 15 and 30 days after the application of chlorhexidine and fluoride varnishes can be seen in the figure 1. Reductions of 65.03% and 78.23% in the *S. mutans* count was observed seven days after the application of chlorhexidine and fluoride varnishes, respectively. Thirty days after the application of both varnishes, the levels of reduction in *S. mutans* count remained around 60.00%.
Figure 1 - Average of the *Streptococcus mutans* count (CFU/ml) in the dental plaque to groups I and II, at different experimental periods.

Source: Research data.

Following the analysis of variance of *S. mutans* count, with parcels subdivided into time periods with conversion into logarithm and the application of the “F” test, it was noticed that there was no significant difference between Groups I and II at the different experimental periods of time (Table 1).

Table 1 - Analysis of variance of the *Streptococcus mutans* count with parcels subdivided into time, conversion into logarithm and application of the F-test.

| Source of Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F    | p-value |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------|---------|
| Group               | 1                  | 31.7301        | 31.7301     | 3.6900 | 0.0624  |
| Residue A           | 37                 | 318.0431       | 8.5957      |       |         |
| Time                | 3                  | 43.6705        | 14.5567     | 6.7600 | 0.0004* |
| Group and Time      | 3                  | 0.9570         | 0.3190      | 0.1500 | 0.9306  |
| Residue B           | 91                 | 195.8442       | 2.1521      |       |         |
| Total               | 135                | 572.3576       |             |       |         |

*Source: Research data.*

When the Tukey test was performed, it was possible to verify that the application of both varnishes provides a significant reduction of *S. mutans* CFU/ml after 7, 15 and 30 days (Table 2).

Table 2 - Comparison of *Streptococcus mutans* average count (CFU/ml) in each experimental period by Tukey test at significance level of 5%

| Comparison of Time Periods | Difference | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| Initial vs 30 days        | 65484      | <0.05   |
| Initial vs 15 days        | 71457      | <0.05   |
| Initial vs 7 days         | 83271      | <0.05   |
| 7 days vs 15 days         | -11814     | >0.05   |
| 7 days vs 30 days         | -17787     | >0.05   |
| 15 days vs 30 days        | -5974      | >0.05   |

*Source: Research data.*

3.2 Ainamo e Bay index

Figure 2 shows the result of the variation on the Ainamo e Bay index which was recorded before and 7, 15 and 30 days after the application of varnishes that contained either chlorhexidine or fluoride.

Figure 2 - Variation on the dental plaque index to groups I and II, at different experimental periods.

Source: Research data.

According to statistical procedures – analysis of variance with parcels subdivided into time periods, conversion into square root and application of the “F” test – no significant reduction was observed in the dental plaque index in any of the experimental time periods for Groups I and II, and there was no significant difference between the groups in any of the records (Table 3).

Table 3 - Analysis of variance of dental plaque index with parcel subdivided into time, conversion into square root and application of the F-test.

| Source of Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of squares | Mean square | F    | p-value |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|------|---------|
| Group               | 1                  | 0.0859         | 0.0859      | 0.1100 | 0.7378  |
| Residue A           | 37                 | 27.9639        | 0.7558      |       |         |
| Time                | 3                  | 0.4565         | 0.1521      | 1.0000 | 0.3954  |
| Group and Time      | 3                  | 0.2810         | 0.0937      | 0.6200 | 0.6057  |
| Residue B           | 97                 | 14.7302        | 0.1518      |       |         |
| Total               | 141                | 44.0515        |             |       |         |

*Source: Research data.*

In order to help decrease the amount of dental plaque, avoiding dental caries and gingivitis, the professional has in his/her hands chemical agents that act as auxiliary instruments of the prevention programs for non-collaborative patients and patients with poor oral hygiene. These chemical agents can be effectively used to decrease the number of microorganisms present in the oral cavity.18

Among the most used chemical substances, chlorhexidine and fluoride are found to be quite superior. Both substances act on the control of bacterial colonies, mainly on *Streptococcus mutans*, providing anticariogenic benefits.19,20

Studies carried out to evaluate the antibacterial effect of a chlorhexidine-containing varnish during orthodontic treatment showed an efficient reduction in the number of *S. mutans* around orthodontic devices. In addition, it was noted that the number of new caries lesions after orthodontic appliance detachment was significantly lower in patients who received chlorhexidine-containing varnish applications.21

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effects of fluoride varnish treatment on *S. mutans* count in saliva.
among 12-year-old school children. There was significant reduction in the bacteria count when compared with the group that received no treatment suggesting that fluoride varnish can be applied on teeth as an effective agent against *S. mutans* and in caries reduction. These findings reinforce the results of the present study that showed significant reduction of *S. mutans* (CFU/ml) after application of both varnishes.

In the present study, the application of fluoride varnish was not statically different from the result obtained with the chlorhexidine varnish. This result is in agreement with the study by Baygin et al. in which were compared the effects of fluoride varnish and a combination of chlorhexidine-thymol varnish on oral hygiene and caries prevention in disabled children. Similar reductions on salivary *S. mutans* levels were verified one month after treatment with both varnishes. Thus, it is suggested that the treatment with the application of fluoride and chlorhexidine varnishes can be considered a valuable preventive resource, aiming to reduce the need for future restorative treatments.

On the other hand, Ekenback et al. found a reduction in cariogenic bacteria on radicular surfaces with the use of a fluoride varnish only one week after its application. After 1 month and 6 months, there was no significant effect anymore. Zickert and Emilson found that topical application of a fluoride-containing varnish had no significant effect on the dental plaque and salivary levels of *S. mutans* in schoolchildren at 4, 10, and 21 days after treatment with fluoride varnish.

In the present study, 20% of the participants did not show a reduction in the number of *S. mutans* following the application of chlorhexidine-containing varnish. No alteration in *S. mutans* count after treatment with chlorhexidine-containing varnish was also demonstrated in other studies. The authors considered that the most probable causes of the results can be the early loss of varnish and comparatively large standard deviations.

In the study by Ribeiro et al. the effect of different types of application of 1% chlorhexidine varnish on the levels of MS in saliva and dental plaque was evaluated. Three types of application were tested: single application; once-a-day application, on 3 consecutive days; 3 applications with an interval of 4 days in between. The *S. mutans* suppression was fast and short-lasting after intensive treatment for 1 week. No difference could be observed among the different treatments.

It is important to emphasize that there are divergences among the results of several studies, probably due to the multiple factors involved and to methodological differences such as different concentrations, frequency of applications, exposure time or varnish remnant and number of treated teeth.

Few studies have been carried out to evaluate the effects of fluoride and chlorhexidine varnishes on clinical variables such as dental plaque index. Similarly to the findings of the present study, Amorim et al. showed that fluoride varnish and chlorhexidine varnish applications in preschool children with 3-5 years did not promote statistically significant difference in the visible dental plaque index after 1 month. Weiger et al. also observed that local dental plaque accumulation is not affected by the application of chlorhexidine varnishes, however there is a reduction in the microbial vitality. The authors suggest that the chlorhexidine released during and the varnish application causes a partial inhibition of proliferation or lead to a slower proliferation, or even to the bacteria death. Thus, varnish can be considered as a means of topical application that shows many advantages such as easy application, safe method, possibility to treat specific site, acceptability of the product and demands few annual applications.

4 Conclusion

Based on the results of the present study, it was possible to conclude that both varnishes caused a significant reduction in the number of *S. mutans* in dental biofilm with one application on a specific site for a period up to 30 days, but they did not cause a reduction in the dental plaque index evaluated 7 days after the application.
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