Conversion of an Injectable MMP-Degradable Hydrogel into Core-Cross-Linked Micelles
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ABSTRACT: In this study, a new type of injectable hydrogel called “HyMic” that can convert into core cross-linked (CCL) micelles upon exposure to matrix metalloproteinases (MMP’s), was designed and developed for drug delivery applications. HyMic is composed of CCL micelles connected via an enzyme cleavable linker. To this end, two complementary ABA block copolymers with polyethylene glycol (PEG) as B block were synthesized using atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP). The A blocks were composed of a random copolymer of N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM) and either N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide-cysteine (HPMA-Cys) or N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide-ethylthioglycolate succinic acid (HPMA-ETSA). Mixing the aqueous solutions of the obtained polymers and rising the temperature above the cloud point of the PNIPAM block resulted in the self-assembly of these polymers into flower-like micelles composed of a hydrophilic PEG shell and hydrophobic core. The micellar core was cross-linked by native chemical ligation between the cysteine (in HPMA-Cys) and thioester (in HPMA-ETSA) functionalities. A slight excess of thioester to cysteine groups (molar ratio 3:2) was used to allow further chemical reactions exploiting the unreacted thioester groups. The obtained micelles displayed a Z-average diameter of 80 ± 1 nm (PDI 0.1), and ζ-potential of −4.2 ± 0.4 mV and were linked using two types of pentablock copolymers of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-peptide-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (Pep-NC) to yield hydrogels. The pentablock copolymers were synthesized using a PEG-peptide-PEG ATRP macoinitiator and the peptide midblock (lysine-glycine-proline-glutamine-isoleucine-phenylalanine-glycine-glutamine-lysine (Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys)) consisted of either L- or D-amino acids (L-Pep-NC or D-Pep-NC), of which the l-amino acid sequence is a substrate for matrix metalloproteases 2 and 9 (MMPs 2 and 9). Upon mixing of the CCL micelles and the linker (L/D-Pep-NC), the cysteine functionalities of the L/D-Pep-NC reacted with remaining thioester moieties in the micellar core via native chemical ligation yielding a hydrogel within 160 min as demonstrated by rheological measurements. As anticipated, the gel cross-linked with L-Pep-NC was degraded in 7–45 days upon exposure to metalloproteases in a concentration-dependent manner, while the gel cross-linked with the D-Pep-NC remained intact even after 2 months. Dynamic light scattering analysis of the release medium revealed the presence of nanoparticles with a Z-average diameter of ~120 nm (PDI < 0.3) and ζ-potential of ~3 mV, indicating release of core cross-linked micelles upon HyMic exposure to metalloproteases. An in vitro study demonstrated that the released CCL micelles were taken up by HeLa cells. Therefore, HyMic as an injectable and enzyme degradable hydrogel displaying controlled and on-demand release of CCL micelles has potential for intracellular drug delivery in tissues with upregulation of MMPs, for example, in cancer tissues.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrogels are three-dimensional networks of cross-linked hydrophilic polymers that can retain large amounts of waters while maintaining their structure.1,2 Hydrogels have been extensively studied for delivery of a variety of therapeutics ranging from small molecules3 to large proteins4,5 and nucleic acids.6,7 Various water-soluble therapeutics can be loaded into the hydrogel matrices during their formation8 or encapsulated in carriers such as polymeric nanoparticles,10 liposomes,11,12 or micelles.13 Characteristics of the hydrogel such as pore size, swelling kinetics, and degradation mechanism play an important role in the release kinetics of loaded therapeutics.14 Regarding the release of drug-loaded nanoparticles from hydrogels, characteristics of the nanoparticles, in particular, size, charge, and stability, as well as uniform distribution into the gel matrix, affect particle release kinetics.15,16 In recent years, hydrogels that are converted into nanoparticles have gained interest for drug delivery applications. For instance, de Graaf et al. reported on the development of a drug-loaded hydrogel based on an ABA block polymer having A blocks of...
poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) PNIPAM and a B block of polyethylene glycol (PEG). They demonstrated that this hydrogel gradually and spontaneously converts into drug-loaded flower-like micelles. In other studies, micelles have been connected to each other using a linker exploiting, for example, an aldehyde and hydroxylamine reaction, radical polymerization, and metal–ligand interactions, to yield a macroscopic hydrogel structure. Although the mentioned systems showed interesting properties for drug delivery applications, they lack a triggered drug release mechanism upon disease-induced stimuli.

Hydrogels can be designed as stimuli-responsive materials that respond to signals from the surrounding environment resulting in, for example, triggered drug release. Incorporation of functional groups or enzyme responsive blocks such as trans azobenzene, N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM), acrylic acid, or cleavable peptides in polymer structures can result in stimuli-responsive hydrogels. These materials can release the loaded therapeutics upon a trigger by, for example, light exposure, temperature, or pH changes, or the presence of enzymes upregulated in diseased tissues and organs.

Among stimuli-responsive materials, enzyme degradable hydrogels have shown to exhibit autoregulated degradation and accordingly they release their drug payload (for drug delivery purposes) or enhance cell migration (for tissue engineering purposes). For instance, Burdick et al. reported a matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs) responsive...
hydrogel consisting of core-cross-linked (CCL) biotherapeutics. In this contribution, an enzyme responsive intracellular delivery of, for example, anticancer drugs and MMPs in pathological tissues, is of high interest for the degradation to yield nanoparticles triggered by overexpressed designing a therapeutic tool such as a hydrogel that undergoes migration, invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis. Therefore, a therapeutic tool such as a hydrogel that undergoes degradation to yield nanoparticles triggered by overexpressed MMPs is developed and investigated. To construct HyMic, core cross-linked flower-like micelles based on two complementary thermosensitive ABA triblock copolymers of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNC) and P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-ETSA)-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-ETSA) (PNE), were prepared using Native Chemical Ligation (NCL) as core-cross-linking method. The formed micelles were subsequently linked together using a pentablock copolymer of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-peptide-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (Pep-NC) yielding a micellar hydrogel network. The selected peptide block (Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys) is an MMP responsive sequence. Additionally, uptake of the released CCL micelles by HeLa cells (human epithelial cervix carcinoma cell line) was explored to investigate the possibility of intracellular drug delivery by HyMic.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. All commercial chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) and used as received unless indicated otherwise. N-(2-Hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) was synthesized by a reaction of methacryloyl chloride with 1-aminopropan-2-ol in dichloromethane according to a previously published procedure. Peptide grade dichloromethane (DCM), tetrahydrofuran (THF), and hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) were obtained from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). N-(2-Hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide-Boc-S-acetamidomethyl-t-cysteine (HPMA-Boc-Cys(Acn)) and N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide-ethylthioglycolate succinic acid (HPMA-ETSA) were synthesized as described previously. Phosphate buffered saline 10X (PBS) pH 7.4 (1.37 M NaCl, 0.027 M KCl, and 0.119 M phosphates) were obtained from Biosolve (Valkenswaard, The Netherlands). Alexa Fluor 750 and 568 C5 maleimide dyes were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Massachusetts, U.S.). α-ε-Butylisooxyphosphorylaminocarbonylsuccinimidyl ester poly(ethylene glycol) (Boc-NH-PEG-NHS) (PEG-M, 3 kDa) was purchased from Iris Biotech GMBH (Marktredwitz, Germany). Acetylated N-terminal t- and o-peptides (sequence: Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys) were purchased from GenScript (Leiden, The Netherlands). Alexa Fluor 750 and 568 CS maleimide dyes were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Massachusetts, U.S.). α-ε-Butylisooxyphosphorylaminocarbonylsuccinimidyl ester poly(ethylene glycol) (Boc-NH-PEG-NHS) (PEG-M, 3 kDa) was purchased from Iris Biotech GMBH (Marktredwitz, Germany). Acetylated N-terminal t- and o-peptides (sequence: Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys) were purchased from GenScript (Leiden, The Netherlands). PD-10 desalting columns were purchased from GE Healthcare (Uppsala, Sweden). Dialysis tubes (molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) 3.5 and 10 kDa) were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Bleiswijk, The Netherlands). PEG standards (molecular weights ranging from 106 to 969000 Da) for GPC characterization were purchased from Agilent Technologies BV (Santa Clara, U.S.).

2.2. Polymer Synthesis and Characterization. 2.2.1. Synthesis of t- or o-Pep-(NH$_2$-PEG)$_n$-t-peptides and t-peptides (40 mg, 36 μmol; lysine-glycine-proline-glutamine-isoleucine-phenylalanine-glutamyl-glutamine-lysine (Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys), Figure 1) were separately dissolved in 2 mL of DMSO, followed by
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the addition of 60 μL of triethylamine. Subsequently, Boc-NH-PEG-NHS (221 mg, 72 μmol) was added and dissolved in the reaction mixture and allowed to react for 48 h at room temperature. The crude product, d- or l-Pep-(Boc-NH-PEG)_2, was dialyzed against water (MWCO, 3.5 kDa) at room temperature for 2 days and subsequently lyophilized. The obtained product was analyzed by ¹H NMR and GPC (NMR: Figure 2, SI-Figure 2B and GPC: Figure 3).

Figure 3. GPC chromatograms of starting compound (Boc-NH-PEG-NHS), peptide-conjugated products ((Boc-NH-PEG 3 kDa)_2-Pep and (NH₂-PEG 3 kDa)_2-Pep), ATRP peptide-PEG macoinitiator ((Br-(CH₃)₂-CO-NH-PEG 3 kDa)_2-Pep), and final products (n-Pep-NC and l-Pep-NC).

To remove the Boc protecting group from d- or l-Pep-(Boc-NH-PEG)_2, 200 mg of the polymers were dissolved in a 4 mL solution of dry DCM and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA; 1:3 v/v) and left to react for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the solvents were evaporated, and the residues were dissolved in water and dialyzed against water (MWCO, 3.5 kDa) for 2 days at room temperature and then lyophilized. The obtained products were characterized by GPC (Figure 3) and ¹H NMR (Figure 3, SI-Figure 2).

### 2.2.3. Synthesis of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG(P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys), PNC) and P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Etsa)PE-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNE). The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNC was a mixture of 2.8 mL of water and 0.9 mL of acetonitrile. For the synthesis of PNE, a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO was used. Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(2-bromoisobutyrate) (60 mg, 7.9 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.5 mg, 31 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.7 mg, 21 μmol), NIPAM (264 mg, 2.3 mmol), and either HPMA-ETSA (56 mg, 0.16 mmol) for the synthesis of PNE or HPMA-Boc-Cys (Ac methyl ester) for the synthesis of PNC (67 mg, 0.16 mmol) were dissolved in the corresponding polymerization solvent. The polymerization started after the addition of 16 μL of triethylamine (Me₆TREN) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Next, the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 and 5 h in an ice bath for PNC and PNE, respectively. The final products were diluted with 15 mL of water and subsequently dialyzed (MWCO, 10 kDa) against water at room temperature for 1 day and lyophilized. The obtained polymers were characterized by ¹H NMR (PNE, SI-Figure 7; protected PNC, SI-Figure 8A) and GPC (PNE, SI-Figure 10; PNC, SI-Figure 11A).

Finally, the Acm and Boc protecting groups of cysteine in protected PNC were removed as described in section 2.2.3. The obtained PNC was characterized by ¹H NMR (SI-Figure 8B) and GPC (SI-Figure 11B).

### 2.2.4. Synthesis of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG(P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Etsa)-PE-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNE). The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNE was a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO. The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNC was a mixture of 2.8 mL of water and 0.9 mL of acetonitrile. For the synthesis of PNE, a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO was used. Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(2-bromoisobutyrate) (60 mg, 7.9 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.5 mg, 31 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.7 mg, 21 μmol), NIPAM (264 mg, 2.3 mmol), and either HPMA-ETSA (56 mg, 0.16 mmol) for the synthesis of PNE or HPMA-Boc-Cys (Ac methyl ester) for the synthesis of PNC (67 mg, 0.16 mmol) were dissolved in the corresponding polymerization solvent. The polymerization started after the addition of 16 μL of triethylamine (Me₆TREN) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Next, the formed ammonium bromide salts were filtered off and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude products were dissolved in water and the obtained solutions were dialyzed against water (MWCO, 3.5 kDa) for 2 days and subsequently lyophilized. The obtained macoinitiators were characterized by ¹H NMR (Figure 2 and SI-Figure 2C and 3) and GPC (Figure 3 and SI-Figure 4).

### 2.2.5. Synthesis of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-Peptide-PEG(P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) and P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Etsa)PE-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNE). The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNE was a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO. The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNC was a mixture of 2.8 mL of water and 0.9 mL of acetonitrile. For the synthesis of PNE, a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO was used. Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(2-bromoisobutyrate) (60 mg, 7.9 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.5 mg, 31 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.7 mg, 21 μmol), NIPAM (264 mg, 2.3 mmol), and either HPMA-ETSA (56 mg, 0.16 mmol) for the synthesis of PNE or HPMA-Boc-Cys (Ac methyl ester) for the synthesis of PNC (67 mg, 0.16 mmol) were dissolved in the corresponding polymerization solvent. The polymerization started after the addition of 16 μL of triethylamine (Me₆TREN) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Next, the formed ammonium bromide salts were filtered off and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude products were dissolved in water and the obtained solutions were dialyzed against water (MWCO, 3.5 kDa) for 2 days and subsequently lyophilized. The obtained macoinitiators were characterized by ¹H NMR (Figure 2 and SI-Figure 2C and 3) and GPC (Figure 3 and SI-Figure 4).

### 2.2.6. Synthesis of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-Peptide-PEG(P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) and P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Etsa)PE-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNE). The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNE was a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO. The polymerization solvent for the synthesis of PNC was a mixture of 2.8 mL of water and 0.9 mL of acetonitrile. For the synthesis of PNE, a mixture of 2.5 mL of water, 0.6 mL of acetonitrile, and 1.3 mL of DMSO was used. Poly(ethylene glycol) bis(2-bromoisobutyrate) (60 mg, 7.9 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.5 mg, 31 μmol), CuBr₂ (4.7 mg, 21 μmol), NIPAM (264 mg, 2.3 mmol), and either HPMA-ETSA (56 mg, 0.16 mmol) for the synthesis of PNE or HPMA-Boc-Cys (Ac methyl ester) for the synthesis of PNC (67 mg, 0.16 mmol) were dissolved in the corresponding polymerization solvent. The polymerization started after the addition of 16 μL of triethylamine (Me₆TREN) and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Next, the formed ammonium bromide salts were filtered off and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude products were dissolved in water and the obtained solutions were dialyzed against water (MWCO, 3.5 kDa) for 2 days and subsequently lyophilized. The obtained macoinitiators were characterized by ¹H NMR (Figure 2 and SI-Figure 2C and 3) and GPC (Figure 3 and SI-Figure 4).

### 2.2.7. Determination of Cloud Points of Polymers. The cloud point (CP), defined as the onset of increasing scattering intensity, was measured using a Jasco FP-8300 spectrophotometer (JASCO, Tokyo, Japan) at 650 nm. The polymers were dissolved at a concentration of 1 mg·mL⁻¹ in PBS (0.13 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, and 11.9 mM Na₃PO₄·12H₂O at a pH of 7.4). The samples were heated at a rate of 0.5 °C·min⁻¹, and the CP was determined as the temperature at which the light scattering intensity reached 50% of the maximum value.
phosphates pH 7.4). The scattering intensity was monitored while heating the sample from 10 to 50 °C at 1 °C per minute.

2.2.8. Kinetics of Pep-NC Cleavage. To investigate the cleavage rate of the peptide in the Pep-NC polymer, 40 mg of t-Pep-NC polymer was dissolved in 8 mL of PBS (0.13 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 11.9 mM phosphates, 0.9 mM CaCl₂, 0.02% NaN₃, pH 7.4) at a concentration of 5 mg·mL⁻¹ at 4 °C for 3 h and subsequently at 37 °C. Metalloprotease (type IV) collagenase from C. histolyticum collagenase from C. histolyticum (a mixture of enzymes with a molecular weight distribution 63–130 kDa) was used as a model enzyme for MMP-2 and MMP-9. Subsequently, polymer solutions were incubated with collagenase at a concentration of 0.5 units·mL⁻¹ at 37 °C (this concentration is close to the total tissue concentration of MMP-1 and MMP-9 (500 ng·mL⁻¹) reported for breast cancer) and subsequently lyophilized. Next, the samples were dialyzed against water (MWCO, 10 kDa) at 4 °C and left at 4 °C overnight. The resulting micellar dispersion was dialyzed against water (MWCO, 10 kDa) and their zeta potential was measured at 37 °C using a Zetasizer Nano Z (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, U.K.).

2.4. HyMic Preparation, Characterization, and Degradation. 2.4.1. Preparation of Micellar Hydrogel (HyMic). HyMic was prepared at a total polymer concentration of 20 wt% as follows: 6 mg of lyophilized micelles were weighed in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf vial followed by the addition of 15 μL of PBS (0.13 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 11.9 mM phosphates, pH 7.4), and hydrated for 1 h at 4 °C. In a separate vial, 15 μL of PBS was added to 1.3 mg of L-Pep-NC solution (23 wt % of the amount of micelles) and left to dissolve for 1 h at 4 °C. Next, the L-Pep-NC solution was added to the micellar dispersions and the mixtures were incubated at 4 °C for 1 h and subsequently incubated for 6 h at 37 °C for hydrogel formation.

2.4.2. Rheological Characterization. Rheological analysis of the hydrogel samples and micellar dispersions was performed on a DHR-2 rheometer (TA Instrument, New Castel, DE) using a 20 mm aluminum cone (1°) geometry equipped with a solvent trap. Time sweeps were performed for 3 h at 37 °C at a frequency of 1 Hz and 1% strain. For each measurement, 70 μL samples were used.

2.4.3. Swelling and Degradation Study. HyMic (fluorescently labeled) hydrogels with a volume of ~30 μL were prepared as described in section 2.4.1. The obtained hydrogels were transferred into 2 mL glass vials and the gel weights were recorded (W₀). The samples were then immersed into 500 μL of PBS (0.13 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 11.9 mM phosphates, pH 7.4) with 0.0, 7.5, 15.0, or 30.0 units·mL⁻¹ of collagenase and incubated at 37 °C. At regular time points, the medium was removed, and the weight of the gel was recorded (W₁), and subsequently, 500 μL of fresh medium was added and the samples were further incubated at 37 °C. The gel release medium was analyzed by DLS for size, polydispersity index (PDI), and derived count rate of the released fluorescent signal. In addition, the fluorescence intensity of the supernatant was analyzed as described in section 2.4.4.

The swelling ratio (SR = W₁/W₀) is reported as the weight of the gel at a certain time point (W₁) divided by the initial gel weight (W₀).
Table 1. Characteristics of PNC and PNE ABA Triblock Copolymers Containing a PEG B-block of 6 kDa and ABCBA Pentablock Copolymers: L-Pep-NC and D-Pep-NC containing L-Pep-(PEG3k)₂ or D-Pep-(PEG3k)₂ as Mid BCB-blocks, Respectively

| polymer          | obtained molar ratio | $M_n$ (kDa) | $M_w$ (kDa) | PDI | CP (°C) | yield (%) |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------|-----------|
| PNC              | [NIPAM]:[HPMA-Boc-Cys-(Accm)] | 91.9        | 43.6        | 58.9 | 1.42    | 34.1      | 93        |
| PNE              | [NIPAM]:[HPMA-ETSA]    | 92.8        | 40.1        | 64.1 | 1.78    | 29.2      | 88        |
| L-Pep-NC         | [NIPAM]:[HPMA-Boc-Cys-(Accm)] | 90:10       | 44.8        | 62.5 | 1.75    | 31.4      | 87        |
| D-Pep-NC         | [NIPAM]:[HPMA-ETSA]    | 91:9        | 44.2        | 64.3 | 1.72    | 31.4      | 82        |

“*The outer blocks of the different polymers are composed of either NIPAM and HPMA-Boc-Cys-(Accm) (PNC, L-Pep-NC, and D-Pep-NC) or NIPAM and HPMA-ETSA (PNE). In all polymerizations, the feed molar ratio of NIPAM to either HPMA-Boc-Cys-(Accm) or HPMA-ETSA was 93:7. “*Determined by $^1$H NMR. “*Determined by GPC. “*Cloud point of the deprotected polymer.

weight ($W_0$). The mesh sizes of the hydrogels were estimated based on the following equation:

$$
q = \left( \frac{G' N_A}{RT} \right)^{1/3}
$$

where $N_A$ is the Avogadro constant, $R$ is the molar gas constant, and $T$ is temperature.

For cell internalization study, hydrogels composed of Cy5-conjugated micelles were made using the t-Pep-NC linker. These samples were incubated with collagenase at a concentration of 10 units·mL⁻¹. The fluorescence intensity of the medium was measured in time to quantify the concentration of the released micelles. On day 21, the concentration of the released CCL micelles reached ~8 mg·mL⁻¹. The released micelles were used for incubation with cells, as detailed in section 2.5.

2.4.4. Fluorescence Intensity Measurement. To measure the fluorescent signals of Cy5 labeled micelles in the gel release medium, 30 μL of the release medium was transferred into a clear 384-well plate and analyzed using Odyssey infrared scanner (LI-COR Biosciences, Westburg, The Netherlands) at 700 nm. To measure the fluorescent intensity of the Cy5-conjugated micelles (released from hydrogel and used in cell study), 100 μL of release medium was transferred into a black 384-well plate and analyzed using a Jasco FP-8300 spectrophotometer (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan). The excitation and emission wavelengths were set at 578 and 603 nm, respectively. Standard curves of the corresponding dye conjugated micelles were used for quantification of fluorescent signals.

Images of the gels and release medium were taken by a LI-COR Pearl impulse imager (LI-COR, Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.).

2.5. Cellular Internalization Study. Cellular uptake of the released micelles was investigated using HeLa cells. The cells were seeded in a glass-bottomed 96 well-plate at a density of 8000 cells/well and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Then, the fluorescently labeled micelles either released from the gel or control micelles (freshly prepared micelles that were not converted into a gel) were added at a concentration of 400 μg·mL⁻¹ for 1, 6, and 24 h at 37 ºC. The cells were washed twice with PBS (0.13 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 11.9 mM phosphates, pH 7.4), and the plate was transferred into a Yokogawa CV7000 (Tokyo, Japan) spinning disk microscope with a 60× 1.2NA water objective.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Polymer Synthesis and Characterization. 3.1.1. Synthesis and Characterization of Peptide-PEG ATRP Macroinitiator. Figure 1A shows the three-step synthesis route of the PEG-Peg-Peg atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP)⁴⁸ macroinitiator. The commercial Boc-NH-PEG-NHS (Figure 1, step A-1, compound 2) was characterized by GPC and $^1$H NMR. NMR analysis confirmed the presence of tert-butyloxyacarbonyl (Boc) and succinimidyl ester (NHS) groups in compound 2 with a molar ratio of 1:1 (SI-Figure 2A). GPC characterization displayed a peak at 15.6 min corresponding to polymer with a number-average molecular weight ($M_n$) of 3.4 kDa in agreement with the specifications of the supplier and a shoulder at a retention time of 14.7 min (~7% of the total peak area), corresponding with a polymer of $M_n = 7.3$ kDa (Figure 3). This shoulder can most likely be attributed to the presence of PEG chains of higher molecular weight possibly also derivatized with NHS and Boc functionalities. The two free amines of the N-terminus acetylated Lys-Gly-Pro-Gln-Gly-Ile-Phe-Gly-Gln-Lys were conjugated to the NHS-end group of PEG (Figure 1, step A-1). After dialysis and lyophilization, PEG-Peg-Peg (Figure 1, step A-1, compound 3) was obtained in a yield of 87%. The $^1$H NMR spectrum showed that the molar ratio of the phenyl group of phenylalanine in the peptide sequence and Boc group in the PEG was 1:2 (Figure 2-2 and SI-Figure 2B), demonstrating the successful synthesis of the macroinitiator. Additionally, GPC analysis showed that the retention time of compound 3 was shifted to a lower retention time representing a polymer of higher molecular weight ($M_w$: 7.8 kDa; Figure 3), which again confirms the formation of PEG-Peg-Peg. A small peak with a retention time of 1.5 min (~7% of the total peak area) was detected, which corresponds to nonconjugated PEG (Mₙ: 3.4 kDa), while the peak at 13.8 min may be assigned to conjugation of peptide to the higher $M_n$ PEG derivative present in the commercial starting compound 2. The Boc groups at both ends of the PEG-Peg-Peg were removed by trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and the crude product was dialyzed and lyophilized to result in deprotected PEG-Peg-Peg in a yield of 79% (Figure 1, step A-2, compound 4). $^1$H NMR analysis of compound 4 showed that the signal corresponding to Boc at δ = 1.4 had indeed disappeared (Figure 2-3), demonstrating the quantitative removal of Boc groups. As expected, the molecular weight of the deprotected PEG-Peg-Peg ($M_w$: 8.1) was similar to the Boc protected PEG-Peg-Peg ($M_w$: 8.3 kDa) (Figure 3). It should be noted that the acetyl protecting group of the N-terminus peptide is stable under the deprotection procedure applied,⁴⁹ which means that there is no risk for functionalization of this moiety by ATRP initiator. To functionalize the PEG-Peg-Peg with an ATRP initiator group at both chain ends, the free terminal amine groups were reacted with α-bromoisobutyl bromide to result in a PEG-Peg-Peg ATRP macroinitiator with a yield of 78% (Figure 1, step A-3, compound 6). The presence of the $^1$H NMR signal at 1.8 ppm belonging to the methyl groups of the ATRP initiator (12 protons per polymer chain) confirmed that all chains were functionalized with an ATRP initiator (Figure 2-4; SI-Figure 2C). GPC and $^1$H NMR showed an $M_n$ of 8.6 and 7.5 kDa for this macroinitiator, respectively. As a control, the same peptide sequence made of unnatural amino acids (d-amino acids) was
conjugated to PEG and subsequently to the ATRP initiator. The resulting initiator was obtained in the yield of 71% and had an \( M_n \) of 7.9 kDa, as determined by GPC (SI-Figure 4).

1H NMR analysis confirmed quantitative modification of the chain ends by \( \alpha \)-bromoisoobutyryl bromide (SI-Figure 3).

3.1.2. Synthesis and Characterization of Thermosensitive ABCBA Pentablock Copolymers and ABA Triblock Copolymers. The pentablock (ABCBA) copolymers were synthesized by ATRP using the above-described PEG-Pep-PEG macroinitiator (PEG as B block and peptide as C block; section 3.1.1). The polymer structure is shown in Figure 1B and SI-Figure 1C, and the polymer characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The A blocks consisting of NIPAM (N) and HPMA-Cys (C) with a feed molar ratio of 93:7 were polymerized from either the L- or D-peptide-PEG macroinitiator (L-Pep or D-Pep) using a previously established method (Figure 1B). In the present work, the resulting copolymers are referred to as L-Pep-NC and D-Pep-NC. Incorporation of HPMA-Cys in the thermosensitive domain provides cysteine functionalities that can be exploited for native chemical ligation (NCL). After polymerization, the final products were obtained after dialysis and lyophilization in high yields (82–87%).

Two complementary thermosensitive triblock copolymers of P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys)-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-Cys) (PNC) and P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-ETSA)-PEG-P(NIPAM-co-HPMA-ETSA) (PNE) (SI-Figure 1) are needed for micelle formation, as reported before. PNC and PNE were synthesized by ATRP using a PEG ATRP macroinitiator (Figure 1B). In the present work, the resulting copolymers are referred to as L-Pep-NC and D-Pep-NC. Incorporation of HPMA-Cys in the thermosensitive domain provides cysteine functionalities that can be exploited for native chemical ligation (NCL). After polymerization, the final products were obtained after dialysis and lyophilization in high yields (82–87%).

Characterization and Degradation. 3.2. Preparation of Micellar Hydrogel (HyMic). Preparation of HyMic was performed in two steps. In the first step, PNC and PNE polymer solutions were mixed followed by increasing the temperature above LCST of the polymers, which resulted in self-assembly of the polymers into flower-like micelles. The micellar core was cross-linked by native chemical ligation of the cysteine and thioester functionalities present in PNC (HPMA-Cys) and PNE (HPMA-ETSA), respectively. The obtained micelles displayed a z-average of 80 ± 1 nm (PDI 0.09) and \( \zeta \)-potential of −4.2 ± 0.4 at 37 °C. Subsequently, the prepared micelles were purified by dialysis against water and then lyophilized without cryo-protectant. The slight increase in their size after lyophilization of micelles from 80 ± 1 to 93 ± 2 nm (PDI: 0.1) indicates that aggregation occurred to a limited degree. The excess of PNE for the preparation of micelles resulted in reactive thioester functionalities in the micellar core, which can be used for bridging the micelles using Pep-NC linkers via native chemical ligation to yield a hydrogel network. In the second step, Pep-NC having free cysteine moieties was added to the CCL micelle dispersion with free thiol protecting groups (Ac) were removed by first oxidation by iodine under acidic conditions and then lyophilization by first oxidation by iodine under acidic conditions and then lyophilization to free thiols using TCEP.

The synthesized PNC and PNE polymers exhibit a cloud point (CP) in aqueous solutions, attributed to the thermosensitive PNIPAM block at 34.1 and 29.2 °C, respectively, which is similar to values reported before for the same polymers. The CPs of the Pep-NC polymers were very similar (31.8 and 31.4 °C for L- and D-Pep-NC, respectively) and slightly lower than the CP of PNC (34.1 °C), likely because the peptide sequence in Pep-NCs contains several hydrophobic amino acid residues (Table 1).

3.1.3. Enzymatic Cleavage of the Thermosensitive ABCBA Pentablock Polymers (Pep-NC). The pentablock copolymer, Pep-NC, forms flower-like micelles in PBS with a z-average of 87 ± 1 nm (PDI 0.1) at temperatures above the lower critical solution temperature (LCST ~ 31 °C, Table 1; Figure 4A). To study the accessibility of the peptide block in the polymer backbone for metallloproteases, \( \alpha \)-/l-Pep-NC polymers were incubated with metalloprotease type IV collagenase (a model for MMP types 2 and 9) above the LCST. Analysis of the obtained polymers by GPC showed that the molecular weight of D-Pep-NC did not change after incubation with collagenase while that of L-Pep-NC reduced to half its original molecular weight under the same conditions (SI-Figure 12). These results demonstrate that the peptide sequence in L-Pep-NC in the micelles is indeed accessible for collagenase. As expected, cleavage of the peptide block in D-Pep-NC by the enzyme did not occur.

To investigate the kinetics of peptide cleavage of L-Pep-NC, the polymer was incubated with and without collagenase at 37 °C and thus above the LCST of the polymer in PBS and samples were collected at different time points and analyzed by GPC (Figure 4B). No change in the molecular weight was observed in the absence of the enzyme even after 24 h of incubation (SI-Figure 12). In contrast, in the presence of collagenase, a gradual increase in the retention time as a function of incubation time was observed. The change in the retention time (from 11.9 to 12.7 min) corresponds to a decrease in \( M_n \) from 64 to 35 kDa indicating cleavage of the peptide block within 160 min. The cleavage of the peptide at 37 °C (above LCST of the Pep-NC) demonstrates the accessibility of the peptide block for the enzyme, even though other reported PNIPAM-peptide conjugates have shown the opposite. The cleavage of the peptide in the l-Pep-NC pentablock copolymer can be explained by the presence of PEG as flanking blocks that force the peptide blocks to be exposed in the loops of hydrophilic PEG shells of the flower-like micelles formed above the LCST (Figure 4A).
PNIPAM blocks resulted in a hydrogel, while the sample composed of only CCL micelles displayed a low viscous dispersion (Figure 5A). The storage modulus of sample composed of only micelles remained constant (around 2 Pa) during the entire experiment (SI-Figure 13). In more detail, the CCL micelle-Pep-NC mixture displayed a G′ of 600 Pa shortly after heating to 37 °C, which increased up to 1300 Pa after 160 min. A decrease in tan δ from 0.20 to 0.06 confirmed formation of a chemically cross-linked hydrogel. The relatively high G′ (and low tan δ) value of the CCL micelle-Pep-NC mixture at the start of the measurement can likely be attributed to cross-linking of the micelles that occurred during sample preparation and before the start of the measurement. Although the G′ is relatively high (600 Pa), 150 μL of the CCL micelle-Pep-NC mixture was easily passed through a needle (gauge 23) at 37 °C; therefore, it can be considered as an injectable material at body temperature. This is in line with what has been shown before by Van Tomme et al. that hydrogels with G’ < 4000 Pa are suitable for injection.57 These results demonstrate the formation of a cross-linked network of micelles, which is further abbreviated as “HyMic” (Figure 5A). The overall gel concentration can be adjusted according to the final application and the required gel stiffness. Stable gels can be formed at polymer concentrations above 12% and at least up to 30%. The gel stiffness can be increased by increasing the total polymer concentration. However, the ratio between the cysteine functionalities in the linker and thioester functionalities in the micellar core should be considered for optimal cross-linking.

3.2.2. Enzymatic Degradation of HyMic. As shown in section 3.1.3, the peptide midblock in the l-Pep-NC polymer can be cleaved by collagenase. To investigate the accessibility of l-Pep-NC in the gel structure and consequently enzyme responsivity of HyMic, the gel composed of CCL micelles and the l-Pep-NC (l-HyMic) was incubated with collagenase at different concentrations at 37 °C (Figure 6A). HyMic composed of CCL micelles and d-Pep-NC (d-HyMic) was only treated with the highest concentration of collagenase used for l-HyMic treatment (30 units·mL⁻¹).

Both types of gels displayed a maximum swelling ratio of 2.0 and no gel erosion was observed in the absence of the enzyme. l-HyMic exhibited complete degradation in 7 days at an enzyme concentration of 30 units·mL⁻¹, while d-HyMic remained intact even after 2 months at the same enzyme concentration (Figure 6A), which demonstrates that degradation is indeed triggered by cleavage of l-Pep-NC linker. Interestingly, the degradation rate of l-HyMic was significantly slower than of l-Pep-NC (7 days vs 160 min (Figure 4)), even though the substrate to enzyme ratio was 5× lower (see section 3.1.3). This slower degradation of l-HyMic could be attributed to the limited accessibility of collagenase to the peptide block in the hydrogel network. The gel degradation as a function of enzyme concentration (Figure 6) can reveal insights into the degradation mechanism. The observed degradation times were 45, 21, and 7 days in the presence of 7.5, 15.0, and 30.0 units of enzyme per mL, respectively. The samples incubated with 30 units·mL⁻¹ exhibited a weight loss after reaching maximum swelling, while the gels incubated with 15 and 7.5 units·mL⁻¹ of collagenase showed a constant gel weight for about 10 and

Figure 5. Formation of Hy Mic (A) lyophilized core cross-linked flower-like micelles were dispersed in PBS and subsequently mixed with l-Pep-NC to form a hydrogel. (B) Storage modulus (G′) and tan δ as a function of time for micelles after mixing with l-Pep-NC at 37 °C.

Figure 6. (A) Enzymatic degradation of l-HyMic at different concentrations of collagenase. (B) Degradation time as a function of enzyme concentration at 37 °C and pH 7.4. Degradation time is reported as the recorded time for full degradation of a gel (n = 3).
25 days, respectively (Figure 6A). The plateau value in hydrogel weight can be ascribed to absorption of water due to a decreasing cross-link density of the hydrogel network, which is compensated by shedded particles. At the decaying point of the graph (Figure 6A; around days 10 and 25 for gels incubated with 15 and 7.5 units·mL⁻¹ of collagenase, respectively), the gel network became very weak, resulting in rapid disintegration of the gels. Figure 6B shows that the degradation time of the gels decreased with increasing enzyme concentration. It is known that, for surface erosion, the degradation rate is not affected by enzyme concentration above a certain concentration due to saturation of the surface with enzyme molecules. On the other hand, for bulk degradation the degradation rate increases with increasing enzyme concentration. Estimation of hydrogel mesh size (ζ) based on rubber elasticity theory (equation in section 2.4.3) showed a mesh size of ~7 nm for the gel with a G’ of 1300 Pa. This means that collagenase with a molecular weight of 63–130 kDa (R_h ~ 3.5–4.5 nm) can penetrate into the hydrogel network and initiate bulk degradation. Therefore, the observed degradation is very likely due to combination of bulk degradation and surface erosion.

The release medium of the l-HyMic and d-HyMic hydrogels incubated with 30 units·mL⁻¹ of collagenase was refreshed daily and analyzed using DLS. Additionally, the fluorescence intensity of the release medium was measured to determine the concentration of the released dye-conjugated CCL micelles (Figure 7). The d-HyMic release medium only exhibited a detectable signal for the derived count rate on the first day, which was much lower than the recorded value for l-HyMic (1800 vs 48000). From day 2 on, no signal above background was recorded, and thus, no nanoparticles were present in the l-HyMic release medium (Figure 7B). The released particles at day one exhibited a Z-average of ~100 nm and PDI of 0.1, suggesting the release of intact CCL micelles (Figure 7A,C). Measuring the concentration of the released dye-conjugated CCL micelles using fluorescence showed a 10% release of the CCL micelles on the first day (Figure 7D). Taken together, during the first day, CCL micelles were released from the d-HyMic that were not connected to the gel network. Clearly,
The suitability of the released CCL upon enzymatic degradation of L-HyMic for intracellular drug delivery was investigated using HeLa cells. To this end, dye-conjugated CCL micelles were formulated into HyMic using i-Pep-NC and subsequently incubated with collagenase. The concentration of the released CCL micelles was monitored by measuring the dye concentration in the release medium. The released CCL micelles obtained after 21 days of incubation with collagenase displayed a Z-average of 120 ± 2 nm (PDI 0.2) and ζ-potential of −2.7 ± 0.0 at 37 °C. The confocal images (Figure 8) showed punctate fluorescence, confirming the internalization of both control micelles and released CCL micelles after 24 h incubation with the cells. The uptake of released CCL micelles from L-HyMic upon its enzymatic degradation shows the potential of enzymatic cleavable L-HyMic for intracellular drug delivery.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper describes the design and synthesis of an enzyme responsive hydrogel (HyMic) consisting of CCL flower-like micelles and an enzyme responsive linker (Pep-NC). The complete degradation of HyMic in the presence of collagenase in a concentration dependent manner shows the programmability of this hydrogel. Upon enzymatic degradation, HyMic is converted into CCL micelles that can be taken up by HeLa cells. These results demonstrate the great potential of HyMic for sustained release of CCL micelles for intracellular drug delivery in tissues with upregulation of MMP, for example, cancer tissue. The introduced micellar hydrogel technology can be easily used for the development of other types of enzyme responsive micellar hydrogels. To this end, the peptide block in the linker can be substituted by a peptide that matches the specificity of the desired enzyme. Moreover, micelles can be decorated with targeting ligand to improve their cellular uptake upon release from the hydrogel.
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