Ultrafiltration treatment of wastewater contained heavy metals complexed with palygorskite
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Palygorskite was applied in complexation-ultrafiltration treatment of heavy metals in wastewater under different pH and ionic strength. The results indicated that the rejection of heavy metals increased significantly with pH value, and decreased slightly with an increase of ionic strength of Na+ and Cl-. A certain concentration of NaCl significantly reduced the rejection rate of Cu2+. The rejection of Cu2+, Zn2+ and Cd2+ could reach over 86.8%, 93.6% and 93.7% at pH of 7 and 0.1 mol/L NaCl. The rejection of heavy metals was severely affected by low molecular weight competing complexing agents and the effect of sodium tartrate was greater than triethanolamine. In the presence of sodium tartrate, the rejection of Cu2+, Zn2+ and Cd2+ could arrive over 81.4%, 57.6% and 60.5% at pH of 7 in 20 min. Palygorskite was offered a potential complexing agent for the removal of heavy metals in wastewater at the complexation-ultrafiltration process.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, researchers have increasingly focused on so-called the modified technique of micellar enhanced ultrafiltration (MEUF) and it is found that by adding an appropriate surfactant in solution, the efficiency of ultrafiltration can be further improved6-2. At present, MEUF is seen as one of the potential techniques for the surfactant-based removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters3, 4. It is especially important to select the appropriate surfactant, which depends on the nature of the fomite to be eliminated in MEUF process. Surfactant molecules rejoin each other, toward critical micelle concentration (CMC)5-6. These micelles are highly ionic (anionic) on which metal ion (cations) adsorbs, therefore, true solution of heavy metals ions is converted to colloidal solution7-8. The permeate passes through membrane pores along with the traces of surfactant monomers and non-solubilized metal ions. This colloidal-sized metal complex is big enough in size to retain on ultra membranes of suitable molecular weight cutoff (MWCO)9-11.

The treatment of wastewater containing kinds of metal ions such as Co2+, Pb2+, Cu2+, Cd2+, Zn2+, Ni2+ and Hg2+ has been successfully implemented by complexation-ultrafiltration process12-14. The natural character of a water-soluble polymer directly determines the operating conditions and the efficiency of combination with target heavy metal ions, and its selection is of significance in complexation-ultrafiltration process15, 16. A copolymer with abundant carboxyl functional groups, the copolymer of acrylic acid and maleic acid (PMA-100), can be used as a complexing agent in the complexation-ultrafiltration process but has not been deeply studied as water-soluble polymer in counterpart17, 18. Many studies also have reported that some living biomaterials have the potential to adsorb heavy metal ions from the environment, for instance, fungus, bacteria and algae19, 20. Nevertheless, organic materials can easily cause exogenous pollution in wastewater. It is crucial for wastewater treatment to develop inorganic materials, for example, palygorskite, which increases the efficiency of complexing in complexation-ultrafiltration process21, 22. Palygorskite is an aquiferous chain-layer magnesium-aluminum silicate, which has a special structure and characters. According to the mineralogical classification, it belongs to the sepiolite of the group. The ideal structure formula is [(OH)2(Mg,Al, Fe)2(OH) · 2SiO2] · 4H2O with Mg preferentially located in octahedral sites, but some of Mg2+ cations have been already replaced by Al3+ and Fe3+ cations. The palygorskite is famous for their ion-exchange properties, but palygorskite has advanced to incorporate the formation of nanoscale crystals with precisely tailored physical-chemical properties recently. Hence, the potential effectiveness was improved significantly in an assortment of biomedical, chemical and environmental applications23-28.

The threat of a high concentration of toxic heavy metal to human health and the water environment is difficult to estimate. It is proceeding more efficiently, the nanoparticles for complexation with heavy metal ions have to retain following specific characteristics: (1) chemical and mechanical stability, (2) the high affinity toward the target metal ions and the low affinity toward nontarget ions26, (3) low toxicity, (4) low cost and the possibility of regeneration. The key process is the nanoparticle separation, which could be achieved by low-pressure membrane processes in a manner resembling polymer enhanced ultrafiltration (PEUF)27-30. The membrane characteristics like MWCO, physical-chemical properties (material type, hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity, pore size, charge, etc.), and fouling resistance, as well as energy consumption, play an important role in the nanoparticle-enhanced ultrafiltration (NEUF)31-33.

The current study concentrated on elucidating the relative abilities of nanoscale materials to bind metal ions, and subsequently, to be removed from water by ultrafiltration34-36. In this study, separation of micelles metal complex was investigated using ultrafiltration membranes with MWCO ranging from 6 to 100 kDa. Effects of pH, ionic strength and competitive complexing agent in the
treatment of model wastewater containing Cd^{2+}, Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} by heavy metals with palygorskite nanomaterials on complexion-ultrafiltration were investigated. The binding capacity of palygorskite dendrimers was evaluated at several experimental conditions. The discussion reveals some light on the fundamental physical-chemical influencing factors governing nanoparticle-enhanced filtration and its potential for practical application.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

All the chemicals were of maximum purity and used as received without any further purification. Palygorskite is provided by Lanzhou Kunlun environmental protection & Technology Co., Ltd., from Tianshui of Gansu province. It is broken, crushed and ground, and then 200 mesh sieves. Palygorskite rock was from Tianshui, Gansu province, China. The constitution of palygorskite is supplied by the specification of the product. The main composition were SiO$_2$ 63.47%, MgO 20%, Al$_2$O$_3$ 18.01%, Fe$_2$O$_3$ 5.16% and K$_2$O 3.64%. The micrographs obtained the form of palygorskite bundles from scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Fig. 1). These individual fibers often form long bundles that are 5 to 10 μm long and fibers shown as individual well separated fibers or as elongated bundles of many fibers aggregation. It contains impurity minerals such as palygorskite, quartz and montmorillonite. The preparation of simulated heavy metal wastewater is called proper CdCl$_2$, CuCl$_2$, ZnCl$_2$ adding a certain amount of water, and the concentration of Cd$^{2+}$, Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ is 10, 40 and 30 mg/L, respectively.

Apparatus

The ultrafiltration experiment for laboratory scale was carried out with the apparatus shown in Fig. 2 and considerable mass transfer is achievable which membrane module is operated with reflux. The membrane module is hollow fiber ultrafiltration membrane and purchased from Tianjin Motian membrane Engineering Technology Co., Ltd. The main parameters are shown in Table 1.

Key ultrafiltration process consists of the concentrate tank with feed solution, membrane module and permeate tank, which has been connected with tubing and pressure control valves, manometer, a flow meter with a digital panel meter/display, a peristaltic pump, a stirrer for mixing and a power supply.

Experimental procedure

In this work, the amount of complexing agent used was 5 g/L of palygorskite with an overall concentration at a pH of 7. The concentrate tank immitted 30 L initial feed which was circulated through the apparatus. Operative pressure of 0.1 mpa and flow rate of 25 L/h were controlled in the whole process. The thermostatic water batch was utilized to keep the temperature at 293 K. In the total recirculation phase, both the permeation and retentate stream were returned to the concentrate tank so that the concentration of feed was constant. The sample of permeate was provided for analysis. The permeate flux was measured by weighing the per-
meate volume produced in a certain quantity of time and the concentration of the permeate was analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

**Statistical analysis**

Membrane flux is the seepage volume of unit membrane area per unit time under certain temperature and pressure. Membrane flux is a parameter that represents the permeability of ultrafiltration membrane, and is related to factors such as pore size, internal structure and viscosity of slurry. The membrane flux was measured by measuring the quality of osmotic fluid per unit time. The quality of osmotic fluid was measured by electronic balance, and the sampling time was determined by the stopwatch. The calculation formula is as follows:

\[
J_v = \frac{V}{S \times t}
\]

where:

- \(J_v\) – ultrafiltration membrane permeation flux, L/m²·h;
- \(V\) – The volume of permeation fluid, L;
- \(S\) – ultrafiltration membrane area, m²;
- \(t\) – running time, h.

The rejection rate \(R\), which measures the membrane’s separating capabilities is defined as:

\[
R(\%) = 1 - \frac{c_p}{c_0} \times 100
\]

where:

- \(c_0\) – the concentration of solute upstream of the membrane.
- \(c_p\) – the concentration of solute downstream from the membrane.

The membrane specific flux (defined as the ratio of the pure water flux of the cleaned membranes \(J_c\)) to that of the virgin membrane \(J_0\).

\[
r = \frac{J_c}{J_0}
\]

Statistical analysis was performed based on STATISTICA. The data were analyzed through one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to determine the effect of membrane molecular weight cutoff and rejection coefficients. Besides, the Duncan’s multiple comparison tests were performed to determine the statistical significance of the differences in different membrane molecular weight cutoff and permeate flux. The F is the ratio of the mean square between groups and means square within groups. The larger the ratio is, the greater the difference between groups is. The P is the calculated confidence interval of test statistic F. The a, b, c and d indicate whether there is a significant difference between groups.

**RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

**Effect of pH on rejection coefficients of heavy metal**

Rejection coefficient of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) increases strongly with the increase of pH (Fig. 3). At 30 min, it was found that the rejection of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 178.72, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of several pH were 61.9%, 69.3%, 83.3%, 87.5%, and 90.0%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) between pH 6 and pH 7. Then the testing showed that the rejection of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH at 60 min \((F_{4,10} = 26.50, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 70.8%, 78.4%, 90.1%, 93.4%, and 94.9%, respectively. As well as, there was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. At 90 min, the rejection coefficient of several pH were 76.4%, 85.1%, 93.0%, 96.2%, and 97.2%, respectively. It was indicated that the rejection of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 48.28, p < 0.001)\). There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) between pH 6 and pH 7. The results are the same as pH 5 and pH 6. At 120 min, it was concluded that the rejection of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the 5 kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 35.74, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 78.6%, 87.3%, 94.1%, 97.9%, and 98.9%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) between pH 6 and pH 7. There was no significant difference in \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) rejection between pH 5 and pH 6. At 150 min, the rejection coefficient of five pH were 80.5%, 89.0%, 94.2%, 98.0%, and 99.4%, respectively. It was seen that the rejection of \(\text{Cu}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 28.37, p < 0.001)\).

![Figure 3. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different pH for wastewater contained Cu²⁺ complexed by palygorskite](image-url)

Coincidentally, the rejection coefficient of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) also increases strongly with the increase of pH (Fig. 4). At 30 min, it was indicated that the rejection of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 157.57, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 68.2%, 77.0%, 92.1%, 95.7%, and 96.0%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) between pH 6 and pH 7. At 90 min, it was concluded that the rejection of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 60.43, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 74.7%, 84.3%, 95.1%, 97.5%, and 98%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) between pH 6 and pH 7. Then at 60 min, it was found that the rejection of \(\text{Zn}^{2+}\) was significantly different in the five kinds of pH \((F_{4,10} = 29.09, p < 0.001)\). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 79.1%, 88.9%, 95.7%, 97.6%, and 98.0%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the
rejection coefficients of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. In the following, it was shown that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH, at 120 min (\(F_{4,10} = 146.48, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 82.3\%, 92.9\%, 96.3\%, 97.6\% and 98.0\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. At 150 min, it was seen that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH (\(F_{4,10} = 17.23, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 83.4\%, 93.8\%, 96.4\%, 97.7\% and 98.0\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 4, pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different pH for wastewater contained Zn\textsuperscript{2+} complexed by palygorskite

The rejection coefficients of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. In the following, it was shown that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH, at 120 min (\(F_{4,10} = 146.48, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 82.3\%, 92.9\%, 96.3\%, 97.6\% and 98.0\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. At 120 min, it was indicated that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH, (\(F_{4,10} = 39.07, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 90.7\%, 93.8\%, 96.6\%, 98.4\%, and 99.1\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between pH 6 and pH 7. At 150 min, it was found that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH (\(F_{4,10} = 4.73, p < 0.05\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 91.3\%, 94.2\%, 97.0\%, 98.5\%, and 99.1\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 4, pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7.

In conclusion, variance analysis and multiple comparisons of the heavy metal concentrations of Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in five pH were performed at the operating time of 120, 90, and 120 min, respectively. There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Cu\textsuperscript{2+} in the permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 4058.71, p < 0.001\)). There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Zn\textsuperscript{2+} in permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 335.63, p < 0.001\)). There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in the permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 56.24, p < 0.001\)). Under five pH conditions, the stable permeate concentration of heavy metals Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} increased with decreasing pH (Fig. 6).

In palygorskite and heavy metal aqueous solution systems, because the pH of the surface of the palygorskite was higher than the pH value of the heavy metal solution, it was preferred that hydrolysis and precipitation occurred first on the surface of the palygorskite. In addition, the heavy metal ion hydroxide colloidal particles had a positive charge, and the surface of the palygorskite was negatively charged. The action of positive and negative colloidal particles promotes the adhesion of the colloidal particles on the surface of the palygorskite. Therefore, the hydrolytic precipitation of colloidal silica and the interaction of colloidal particles on palygorskite were the main mechanisms for removing heavy metals from palygorskite. The increase of pH value in the aqueous solution system was beneficial to the hydrolytic precipitation of heavy metals and the mutual adhesion of colloidal particles.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different pH for wastewater contained Cd\textsuperscript{2+} complexed by palygorskite

Finally, the rejection coefficient of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} also increases strongly with the increase of pH (Fig. 5). It was concluded that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH, at 30 min (\(F_{4,10} = 57.69, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 76.7\%, 83.0\%, 89.3\%, 93.1\%, and 95.6\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between pH 6 and pH 7. At 60 min, it was seen that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH (\(F_{4,10} = 19.46, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 83.8\%, 88.9\%, 93.6\%, 96.6\%, and 98.1\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between pH 6 and pH 7. At 90 min, it was shown that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH (\(F_{4,10} = 8.73, p < 0.01\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 87.8\%, 91.5\%, 95.0\%, 97.6\% and 98.7\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7. At 120 min, it was indicated that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH, (\(F_{4,10} = 39.07, p < 0.001\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 90.7\%, 93.8\%, 96.6\%, 98.4\%, and 99.1\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between pH 6 and pH 7. At 150 min, it was found that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the five kinds of pH (\(F_{4,10} = 4.73, p < 0.05\)). The rejection coefficient of five pH were 91.3\%, 94.2\%, 97.0\%, 98.5\%, and 99.1\%, respectively. There was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} among pH 4, pH 5, pH 6 and pH 7.

In conclusion, variance analysis and multiple comparisons of the heavy metal concentrations of Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+}, and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in five pH were performed at the operating time of 120, 90, and 120 min, respectively. There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Cu\textsuperscript{2+} in the permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 4058.71, p < 0.001\)). There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Zn\textsuperscript{2+} in permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 335.63, p < 0.001\)). There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Cd\textsuperscript{2+} in the permeate between different pH (\(F_{4,10} = 56.24, p < 0.001\)). Under five pH conditions, the stable permeate concentration of heavy metals Cu\textsuperscript{2+}, Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and Cd\textsuperscript{2+} increased with decreasing pH (Fig. 6).

In palygorskite and heavy metal aqueous solution systems, because the pH of the surface of the palygorskite was higher than the pH value of the heavy metal solution, it was preferred that hydrolysis and precipitation occurred first on the surface of the palygorskite. In addition, the heavy metal ion hydroxide colloidal particles had a positive charge, and the surface of the palygorskite was negatively charged. The action of positive and negative colloidal particles promotes the adhesion of the colloidal particles on the surface of the palygorskite. Therefore, the hydrolytic precipitation of colloidal silica and the interaction of colloidal particles on palygorskite were the main mechanisms for removing heavy metals from palygorskite. The increase of pH value in the aqueous solution system was beneficial to the hydrolytic precipitation of heavy metals and the mutual adhesion of colloidal particles.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** The concentration of heavy metals after ultrafiltration treatment under different for wastewater contained heavy metals complexed by palygorskite
Effect of ionic strength on rejection coefficients of heavy metal

The effect of ionic strength on the rejection coefficient was investigated at different times (30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min) while the other conditions such as pH, metal initial concentration, pore membrane and transmembrane pressure were constant during the test.

Rejection coefficient of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} increases slightly with the increase of the concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 7). At 30 min, it was concluded that the rejection of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl ($F_{2,6} = 4.08$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 89.9%, 88.4%, and 86.8%, respectively. Then, it was found that the rejection of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 60 min ($F_{2,6} = 8.92$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 94.9%, 93.5% and 92.0%, respectively. At 90 min, it was shown that the rejection of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl ($F_{2,6} = 7.76$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 97.1%, 94.5% and 95.3%, respectively. It was found that the rejection of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 120 min ($F_{2,6} = 16.42$, $p < 0.01$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 98.9%, 98.7% and 97.6%, respectively. It was indicated that the rejection of Cu\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 150 min ($F_{2,6} = 9.68$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 99.4%, 99.0% and 98.0%, respectively. At five time points, there was no difference in Cu\textsuperscript{2+} interception rate between 0.01 mol/L sodium chloride and 0.1 mol/L sodium chloride rejection.

Figure 7. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different ionic strength for wastewater contained Cu\textsuperscript{2+} complexed by palygorskite. Condition: the concentration of NaCl was 0 mol/L, 0.01 mol/L and 0.1 mol/L, respectively

Coincidentally, the rejection coefficient of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} also increases slightly with the increase of the concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 8). It was indicated that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 30 min ($F_{2,6} = 5.37$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 95.6%, 95.1% and 93.7%, respectively. It was indicated that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 60 min ($F_{2,6} = 10.80$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 98.1%, 97.6% and 96.9%, respectively. It was seen that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 90 min ($F_{2,6} = 2.48$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 98.7%, 98.3% and 97.7%, respectively. It was found that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 120 min ($F_{2,6} = 10.80$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 99.1%, 98.6% and 98.0%, respectively. At 30 and 120 min, there was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L different concentrations of NaCl at 60 min ($F_{2,6} = 0.86$, $p > 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 97.8%, 97.4% and 96.9%, respectively. At 90 min, it was shown that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl ($F_{2,6} = 2.56$, $p > 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 98.0%, 97.6% and 97.0%, respectively. Then, it was found that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 120 min ($F_{2,6} = 9.83$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 98.1%, 97.6% and 97.0%, respectively. It was concluded that the rejection of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 150 min ($F_{2,6} = 5.09$, $p > 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 98.0%, 97.6% and 97.0%, respectively.

Figure 8. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different ionic strength for wastewater contained Zn\textsuperscript{2+} complexed by palygorskite. Condition: the concentration of NaCl was 0 mol/L, 0.01 mol/L and 0.1 mol/L, respectively

At last, the rejection coefficient of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} also increases slightly with the increase of the concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 9). It was concluded that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 30 min ($F_{2,6} = 5.37$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 95.6%, 95.1% and 93.7%, respectively. It was indicated that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 60 min ($F_{2,6} = 1.57$, $p > 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl were 98.1%, 97.6% and 96.9%, respectively. It was seen that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 90 min ($F_{2,6} = 2.48$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 98.7%, 98.3% and 97.7%, respectively. It was found that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 120 min ($F_{2,6} = 10.80$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 99.1%, 98.6% and 98.0%, respectively. It was shown that the rejection of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} was significantly different in the different concentrations of NaCl at 150 min ($F_{2,6} = 6.19$, $p < 0.05$). The rejection coefficient of three different concentrations of NaCl was 99.1%, 98.6% and 98.0%, respectively. At 30 and 120 min, there was no significant difference in the rejection coefficients of Cd\textsuperscript{2+} between 0.01 and 0.1 mol/L.
sodium chloride. For the rejection coefficients, there was no significant difference in the rejection of Cd^{2+} between the concentrations of NaCl at the 60 and 90 min.

Figure 9. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different ionic strength for wastewater contained Cd^{2+} complexed by palygorskite. Condition: the concentration of NaCl was 0 mol/L, 0.01 mol/L and 0.1 mol/L, respectively

Conclusions there were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Cu^{2+} in the permeation between different concentrations of ionic strength ($F_{2,6} = 220.17, p < 0.001$). There were significantly different in the concentration of heavy metal Zn^{2+} in permeate between different concentrations of ionic strength ($F_{2,6} = 155.43, p < 0.001$). Under there different concentrations of ionic strength, the stable permeate concentration of heavy metals Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+} and Cd^{2+} decreased with decreasing ionic strength (Fig. 10). The ionic strength will change the thickness of the double electric layer on the surface of the complexing agent and promote the agglomeration of the complexing agent in the form of particles; it will also compete with the heavy metal ions for ion exchange and affect the complexation effect.

Effect of competitive complexing agent on rejection coefficients of heavy metal

Rejection coefficient of Cu^{2+} increased slightly with the adding of sodium tartaric and triethanolamine (Fig. 11). The permeate concentration of Cu^{2+} decreased with complexation time, and it changes little after about 120 min. The rejection coefficient increased significantly in 20 min. The rejection coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was 87.84%, 84.40% and 81.40%, respectively. The differences between the 140 and 20 min rejection coefficient for Cu^{2+} at different competitive complexing agents were 11.01%, 13.31% and 15.31%, respectively. The retention coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was stable at 98.85%, 97.99% and 96.91%, respectively, and the Cu^{2+} concentration in the permeate was stable at 0.56, 0.80 and 1.24 mg/L, respectively.

Figure 11. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different competitive complexing agent for wastewater contained Cu^{2+} complexed by palygorskite

Rejection coefficient of Zn^{2+} increased strongly with the adding of sodium tartaric and triethanolamine (Fig. 12). The permeate concentration of Zn^{2+} decreased with complexation time, and it changes little after about 100 min. The rejection coefficient increased significantly in 20 min. The rejection coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was 93.21%, 89.31% and 57.62%, respectively. The differences between the 100 and 20 min rejection coefficient for Zn^{2+} at different competitive complexing agents were 4.82%, 5.38% and 33.66%, respectively. The retention coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was stable at 98.03%, 94.69% and 91.28%, respectively, and the Zn^{2+} concentration in the permeate was stable at 0.59, 1.59 and 2.62 mg/L, respectively.

Figure 12. The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different competitive complexing agent for wastewater contained Zn^{2+} complexed by palygorskite

Coincidentally, the rejection coefficient of Cd^{2+} increased strongly with the adding of sodium tartaric and triethanolamine (Fig. 13). The permeate concentration of
Jawor et al. evaluate the removal of cadmium ions from water by nanoparticle-enhanced ultrafiltration using polymer and zeolite nanoparticles, when the ionic strength is relatively low, the rejection coefficients increase almost linearly with the ionic strength concentration (Jawor and Hoek 2010). Canizares et al. examined the treatment of metal plating wastewater by MEUF attaining complete removal of Cd\(^{2+}\) and Pb\(^{2+}\) using PAA as different pH. It can be seen lead rejection is greater than cadmium for the majority of pH values due to the higher affinity of lead for PAA previously reported (Cañizares et al. 2008). Moreover, the similar results of ultrafiltration treatment of wastewater contained heavy metals have been reported in Table 2. The palygorskite could improve the rejection of heavy metals by comparing with other studies and significantly offer potential material for ultrafiltration treatment of wastewater.

**CONCLUSIONS**

With the increase of pH value, the rejection of three heavy metals increased significantly. In the pH range of 3–5, the pH value increased by one unit, the Cu\(^{2+}\) rejection rate increased 8.91% on average, and the pH value was 6–7. The Cu\(^{2+}\) rejection rate did not increase significantly; the pH value ranged from 3 to 4, the pH increased by one unit, and the rejection of heavy metal Zn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) increased by 9.42% and 4.40% on average. At pH values of 5–7, the pH value increased, and the interception rates of heavy metals Zn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) increased slightly. As the concentration of NaCl increased, the rejection of three heavy metals decreased Cd\(^{2+}\) decreased with complexation time, and it changes little after about 120 min. The rejection coefficient increases significantly in 20 min. The rejection coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was 92.78%, 86.79% and 60.51%, respectively. The differences between the 120 and 20 min rejection coefficient for Cd\(^{2+}\) at different competitive complexing agents were 6.34%, 9.98% and 34.24%, respectively. The retention coefficient of three competitive complexing agents was stable at 99.12%, 96.77% and 94.75%, respectively, and the Cd\(^{2+}\) concentration in the permeate was stable at 0.09, 0.32 and 0.53 mg/L, respectively.

![Figure 13](image-url). The rejection coefficients of ultrafiltration treatment under different competitive complexing agent for wastewater contained Cd\(^{2+}\) complexed by palygorskite

![Figure 14](image-url). The concentration of heavy metals after ultrafiltration treatment under different competitive complexing agent for wastewater contained heavy metals complexed by palygorskite

Analysis of variance and multiple comparisons of heavy metal concentrations in the wastewater of heavy metals Cu\(^{2+}\), Zn\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) under different competing complexing agents were performed, at the system operating time was 120, 90 and 120 min, respectively. It was shown that there was a significant difference in the concentration of heavy metal Cu\(^{2+}\) in the permeate between different competing complexing agents (F\(_{2,6}\) = 425.89, p < 0.001). There was a significant difference in the concentration of heavy metal Zn\(^{2+}\) in the permeation between different competing complexing agents (F\(_{2,6}\) = 68.96, p < 0.001). There was a significant difference in the concentration of heavy metal Cd\(^{2+}\) in the permeation between different competing complexing agents (F\(_{2,6}\) = 624.39, p < 0.001). The wastewater concentrations of the three heavy metal permeates varied similarly with competing complexing agents. The order of the concentration of the wastewater was sodium tartrate > triethanolamine > control (Fig. 14).

| Materials                      | Heavy metal | Experimental conditions                  | Rejection rate (%) | Ref. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|------|
| Rhamnolipid                    | Cu\(^{2+}\) | Empty fiber cartridge, pH 6              | 98.7%              | 5    |
|                                | Cu\(^{2+}\) | Polysulfone hollow fibre, 26 ±1°C, pH 7  | 96%                | 3    |
| Starch and polyethyleneimine   | Zn\(^{2+}\) | Polyethersulphone UF membrane, 30 ±1°C, pH 7 | Close to 100%      | 4    |
| Anionic polyacrylamide         | Ag\(^{+}\)  | Micelles Enhanced Ultra Filtration, pH 4.5, 50°C, 0.15 M NaNO\(_3\) | 93%                | 27   |
| Poly acrylic acid              | Cd\(^{2+}\) | Hollow fiber ultrafiltration               | 93%                |      |
|                                | Cd\(^{2+}\) | Membrane, pH 7, 0.1 mol/L NaCl               | 96.5%              | 93.7%|
| palygorskite                   | Cu\(^{2+}\) | Hollow fiber ultrafiltration               | 86.8%              | This work |
|                                | Zn\(^{2+}\) | Membrane, pH 7, 0.1 mol/L NaCl               | 93.6%              |      |
|                                | Cd\(^{2+}\) | Membrane, pH 7, 0.1 mol/L NaCl               | 93.7%              |      |
slightly. 0.01 mol/L NaCl had no significant effect on the interception rate of three heavy metals, 0.1 mol/L NaCl significantly reduced the retention rate of heavy metal Cu²⁺, and had little effect on the rejection of Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺. Low-molecular-weight competitive complexing agents have serious effects on the interception rate of three heavy metals. The effect of sodium tartrate on three heavy metals is greater than that of triethanolamine, and the effect on Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ is much greater than that of Cu²⁺. The effect of triethanolamine on Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ is much greater than that of Cu²⁺. Under the condition of stable concentrations of three heavy metal permeates, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Cd²⁺ decreased by 0.86%, 3.36%, and 2.35%, respectively, in the presence of triethanolamine. Under the conditions of sodium tartrate, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Cd²⁺ decreased by 5.07%, 6.87%, and 4.37%, respectively. The order of the impact of competing complexing agents on ions was Zn²⁺ > Cd²⁺ > Cu²⁺. Compared to other complexing agents, the rejection coefficient of palygorskite decreased because the organic complexing agent had almost no rejection coefficient for Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺. However, considering the simple fabrication and easy handle of palygorskite, they are very promising to be used as a high-performance complexing agent for heavy metal removal.
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