Long noncoding RNA LUCAT1 enhances the survival and therapeutic effects of mesenchymal stromal cells post-myocardial infarction
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INTRODUCTION
Myocardial infarction (MI), one of the most life-threatening diseases, is characterized by substantial cardiomyocyte death, which leads to myocardial remodeling, hypertrophy, and, eventually, heart failure. Over the past decades, mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC)-based cell therapy has emerged as a promising therapeutic strategy for MI due to its self-renewal, anti-inflammatory effects, neovascularization, and mild immunogenicity.1 However, this cell-based therapy is often inefficient, which is mainly ascribed to the low viability of transplanted MSCs. Most transplanted stromal cells die within 1 week.2 Therefore, the mechanism of MSC survival is still an important issue to be elucidated.

Mesenchymal stromal cell (MSC) transplantation has been a promising therapeutic strategy for repairing heart tissues post-myocardial infarction (MI). Nevertheless, its therapeutic efficacy remains low, which is mainly ascribed to the low viability of transplanted MSCs. Recently, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) have been reported to participate in diverse physiological and pathological processes, but little is known about their role in MSC survival. Using unbiased transcriptome profiling of hypoxia-preconditioned MSCs (HP-MSCs) and normoxic MSCs (N-MSCs), we identified a lncRNA named lung cancer-associated transcript 1 (LUCAT1) under hypoxia. LUCAT1 knockdown reduced the survival of engrafted MSCs and decreased the MSC-based therapeutic potency, as shown by impaired cardiac function, reduced cardiomyocyte survival, and increased fibrosis post-MI. Conversely, LUCAT1 overexpression had the opposite results. Mechanistically, LUCAT1 bound with and recruited jumonji domain-containing 6 (JMJD6) to the promoter of forkhead box Q1 (FOXQ1), which demethylated FOXQ1 at H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a), thus directly interacted with epigenetic modifiers, thereby regulating the expression of protein-coding genes (PCGs). Previous studies have shown that lncRNAs play a crucial role in cell apoptosis. SNHG5 promoted colorectal cancer cell survival by counteracting STAU1-mediated mRNA instability,9 RBM7 activated P-TEFB to form a prosurvival transcriptional response to genotoxic stress.10 However, whether lncRNAs are involved in MSC apoptosis and the mechanisms of lncRNA-mediated apoptosis in MSCs remain unclear.

In this study, using unbiased sequencing of hypoxia-preconditioned MSCs (HP-MSCs) and normoxic MSCs (N-MSCs), we identified lung cancer-associated transcript 1 (LUCAT1) as the most
significantly upregulated IncRNA under hypoxia. The role of LUCAT1 in MSC survival and the related mechanisms remain unknown. This paper proposes that MSCs with LUCAT1 knockdown have a decreased resistance to apoptosis and deteriorated cardiac function, accompanied by reduced cardiomyocyte survival and an augmented infarct size in infarcted mouse hearts, while MSCs with LUCAT1 overexpression have exhibited improved survival and cardiac repair. Mechanistically, LUCAT1 bound with jumonji domain-containing 6 (JMJD6), recruited it to the promoter of forkhead box Q1 (FOXQ1), and then demethylated FOXQ1 to inhibit the apoptotic response. Our study revealed that LUCAT1-mediated JMJD6-FOXQ1 activation is a novel pathway in MSC-mediated cardiac protection, which suggests a potential strategy for the effective therapy of MSCs for ischemic cardiovascular diseases.

RESULTS

LUCAT1 is highly expressed in HP-MSCs

In our previous studies, we found that HP-MSCs exhibited significantly better survival than N-MSCs.2,3 However, it remains unclear whether IncRNAs affect the survival of MSCs. Therefore, we performed unbiased transcriptome profiling of HP-MSCs and N-MSCs (Figure 1A). Twenty-four IncRNAs had upregulated expression in HP-MSCs compared with N-MSCs (log2(fold change) > 2; adjusted p value < 0.05) (Figure 1B). Among them, LUCAT1 showed the most obviously upregulated expression in HP-MSCs, as confirmed by a quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis in human samples (Figures 1C and 1D). As reported, LUCAT1 is located on chromosome 5 and consists of four exons and three introns. LUCAT1-001 was expressed at lower levels in MSCs and amplified as an 890-nt transcript by RACE approaches (Table S6). Compared with LUCAT1-001,
LUCAT1-002 had a stronger effect on apoptosis (Figures S6A–S6D), so we focused on LUCAT1-002 in this study. Given that the cellular localization of lncRNAs determines their mechanisms, subcellular fractionation assays were performed and demonstrated that LUCAT1 was mainly distributed in the cell nucleus (Figure 1F), which was further supported by imaging LUCAT1 RNA through fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) in MSCs (Figure 1G).

**LUCAT1 knockdown increases the apoptosis of MSCs and impairs MSC-mediated cardioprotection**

To investigate the role of LUCAT1 in MSC survival, we generated lentiviruses containing LUCAT1 small hairpin RNA (shRNA) and green fluorescent protein (GFP) reporters and transfected them into MSCs (Figure S7A) and then exposed the samples to 500-mM H$_2$O$_2$ with serum deprivation. LUCAT1-knockdown (sh-LUCAT1) MSCs exhibited deteriorated apoptosis, as shown by increased terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL)-positive cells compared with those of the control (sh-Ctr) MSCs (Figures 2A and 2B). Furthermore, more Annexin V- and propidium iodide (PI)-positive cells were detected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (Figures 2C and 2D) in the sh-LUCAT1 group than in the control group. Western blotting showed that LUCAT1 knockdown increased Bax and Cleaved caspase-3 protein expression but decreased the expression of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 in MSCs (Figures 2E and 2F).

It has been generally reported that MSCs may be immune privileged without eliciting immune responses due to a loss of immunological cell-surface markers. It has been reported that MSCs (hMSCs) with low levels of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I but without HLA class II escape T cell immune responses, which permits hMSCs to be applied for xenotransplantation. In our study, the quantification of immunofluorescence staining of CD68 and CD3 showed no significant difference among the Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) and LUCAT1-knockdown or -overexpression MSC groups (Figures S4 and S5). Therefore, we used MSCs extracted from human bone marrow to explore the role of LUCAT1-engineered MSCs in the response to ischemic injury. MSCs were immediately implanted into the peri-infarct zone of mouse hearts post-MI surgery. At 3 days post-MI and cell injection, there were fewer GFP-positive MSCs in the sh-LUCAT1 group than in the sh-Ctr group (Figures 3A and 3B). TUNEL staining of the infarct border area at 3 days post-MI showed that the survival of cardiac cells in the LUCAT1-knockdown MSC group was lower than that in the control group (Figures S2A and S2C). These results suggested that LUCAT1 knockdown increased MSC apoptosis, thus inhibiting the MSC-mediated protection of cardiac cells in the peri-infarct zone of mouse hearts post-MI surgery.

At 28 days post-MI and cell injection, the MSC$^{\text{sh-LUCAT1}}$ group exhibited deteriorated cardiac function, as evidenced by the decreased ejection fraction (EF) and fractional shortening (FS) (Figures 3C–3E), consistent with the increased fibrotic size (Figures 3F and 3G) and fewer viable cardiomyocytes (Figures S3A and S3C) within MI segments than those in the MSC$^{\text{sh-Ctr}}$ group.

These results indicated that LUCAT1 is a prerequisite for the anti-apoptotic effect of MSCs and that LUCAT1 knockdown decreased the reparative potency of MSCs for MI.
LUCAT1 overexpression decreases MSC apoptosis and improves the effect of MSC-mediated cardioprotection

To further confirm the role of LUCAT1 in MSC survival, we generated a lentivirus containing the LUCAT1 plasmid with a GFP reporter (Figure S7B). The LUCAT1-overexpressing (Lv-LUCAT1) MSCs exhibited an increased survival potency when exposed to 500-μM H2O2 with serum deprivation compared with that of the vector (Lv-Ctr) group, as evidenced by decreased TUNEL-positive MSCs (Figures 4A and 4B) and Annexin V+PI+ cells (Figures 4C and 4D), the decreased expression of Cleaved caspase-3 and Bax, and the increased expression of Bcl-2 (Figures 4E and 4F). In addition, we investigated the role of the LUCAT1-overexpressing MSCs in the response to MI. There were more GFP-positive MSCs in the peri-infarct area of the Lv-LUCAT1 MSC (MSC<sub>Lv</sub>-LUCAT1) group than in the Lv-Ctr MSC (MSC<sub>Lv</sub>-Ctr) group at 3 days post-MI (Figures 5A and 5B). TUNEL staining of the infarct border area at 3 days post-MI showed that the apoptotic level of cardiac cells was reduced in the MSC<sub>Lv</sub>-LUCAT1 group (Figures S2B and S2D).

At 28 days post-MI, the MSC<sub>Lv</sub>-LUCAT1 group exhibited better preserved cardiac function (Figures 5 C–5E), reduced fibrotic size (Figures 5F and 5G), and more surviving cardiomyocytes within the infarct area (Figures S3B and S3D). Thus, LUCAT1 overexpression decreased the apoptosis of MSCs both in vitro and in vivo, promoting the survival of cardiac cells in the infarct border area after MSC transplantation. LUCAT1 had a key role in MSC-mediated cardiac protection in response to ischemic injury and improved the prognosis after MI.

LUCAT1 decreases MSC apoptosis through FOXQ1

To investigate the mechanisms of LUCAT1 in regulating MSC apoptosis, we performed transcriptome sequencing to analyze PCG expression in the MSCs with LUCAT1 knockdown (Figure 6A). A total of 518 genes (adjusted p value < 0.05) showed downregulated expression (Figure 6B) and participated in the regulation of aging, oxidative stress, growth, external stimulus, metabolism, and apoptosis (Figure 6C), while 594 genes showed upregulated expression (Figure 6B) and were found to be mainly associated with cell-junction assembly, wound healing, and angiogenesis (Figure S7C) in Gene Ontology (GO) analysis. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis revealed that the PCGs with downregulated
expression in MSCs with LUCAT1 knockdown were enriched in the advanced glycation endproduct (AGE)-receptor for AGE (RAGE), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and FoxO signaling pathways related to cell survival regulation (Figure 6D), and the PCGs with upregulated expression were enriched in the tight junction and thyroid hormone pathways (Figure S7D).

We screened the differentially expressed PCGs (log₂(fold change) > 1; adjusted p value < 0.05) and the apoptosis-related biological processes from these enriched GO biological processes and KEGG pathways, and we obtained 12 anti-apoptotic genes with downregulated expression (for example, FOXQ1 and CCL7) and 14 pro-apoptotic molecules (for example, RARB and EROB1) with upregulated expression in the LUCAT1-knockdown MSCs. The PCGs with downregulated and upregulated expression were quantitatively analyzed by qRT-PCR, and only three genes, FOXQ1, CCL7, and ALPL, showed expression trends consistent with that of LUCAT1 expression (Figures 6E and S7E). Among them, FOXQ1 expression decreased most obviously when LUCAT1 was knocked down in MSCs but increased most significantly when LUCAT1 was overexpressed (Figure 6E). FOXQ1 protein expression was also changed following LUCAT1 knockdown or overexpression (Figures 6F–6I), suggesting that FOXQ1 may be the target of LUCAT1.

To investigate the role of FOXQ1 in MSC apoptosis, we constructed FOXQ1 siRNA and lentivirus-containing FOXQ1 cDNA. The efficiency of the FOXQ1 siRNA and the overexpression lentivirus is shown in Figures S8A–S8D, and the expression levels of LUCAT1 and FOXQ1 in rescue experiments are shown in Figures S8E and S8F. FOXQ1 knockdown enhanced MSC apoptosis, as evidenced by the higher level of Cleaved caspase-3 (Figures 6J and 6K), while FOXQ1 overexpression decreased Cleaved caspase-3 expression (Figures 6L and 6M). Furthermore, we found that FOXQ1 attenuated LUCAT1-knockdown-induced apoptosis, as detected by TUNEL staining (Figures 6N and 6O). However, FOXQ1 knockdown abolished the LUCAT1-mediated protection against apoptosis (Figures 6P and 6Q). These findings indicated that LUCAT1-regulated MSC apoptosis was at least partially dependent on FOXQ1.

**LUCAT1 regulates FOXQ1 expression in a JMJD6-dependent manner**

IncRNAs have been reported to interact with chromatin-modulating proteins, facilitating their recruitment to chromatin, thereby regulating transcriptional activity. Cis-regulation has been extensively studied in the regulatory function of IncRNAs on nearby genes to govern cell fate. Therefore, we explored the nearest PCG, ARRDC3, which was 54 kilobytes away from LUCAT1. However, ARRDC3 expression had no change when LUCAT1 was knocked down or overexpressed (Figures S6E–S6H), which suggested that LUCAT1 might not regulate the expression of apoptosis-related proteins through the cis-pathway. To further characterize the LUCAT1-FOXQ1 interaction at the molecular level, we identified intracellular LUCAT1-interacting proteins using an unbiased RNA pull-down approach. Biotinylated full-length anti-sense and sense LUCAT1 (3,097 bp) sequences transcribed in vitro were incubated with total protein extracts from MSCs and pulldown by streptavidin magnetic beads. The binding proteins were analyzed by mass spectrometry (MS) analysis (Figure 7A; Table S4). As LUCAT1 was located...
in the nucleus, we focused on nuclear regulatory proteins and found that several proteins, including JMJD6, KDM5B, RU2A, PGAM5, MED30, MPH6, DDB2, and MCA3, had a strong binding ability with LUCAT1. Interestingly, JMJD6, as a protein hydroxylase or histone demethylase in the nucleus, is ubiquitously expressed in bone marrow and associated with cell apoptosis. Therefore, we selected JMJD6 as the potential LUCAT1-interacting protein, and the binding activity of LUCAT1 with JMJD6 was confirmed by western blot analysis using the retrieved proteins from the RNA pull-down assays (Figure 7B) and RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays (Figure 7C). Furthermore, to investigate the roles of JMJD6 in the LUCAT1-FOXQ1 interaction, we constructed JMJD6 siRNA to knock down JMJD6 expression and a lentivirus containing JMJD6 cDNA to overexpress JMJD6. The efficiency of JMJD6 siRNA and a lentivirus was detected by qRT-PCR (Figures S9A–S9D). The mRNA level of FOXQ1 was decreased following JMJD6 knockdown, while FOXQ1 had no changes after JMJD6 overexpression (Figures S9E and S9F). Western blotting analysis revealed that JMJD6 knockdown increased Cleaved caspase-3 expression (Figures 7D and 7E) and decreased the protein level of FOXQ1 (Figures 7F and 7G). These results indicate that JMJD6 played an indispensable role in the LUCAT1-FOXQ1 interaction.

LUCAT1 regulates FOXQ1 through JMJD6-mediated demethylation of H4R3me2s and H3R2me2(a) at the FOXQ1 promoter

As previous studies showed, the chromatin-modulating factor JMJD6 demethylated histone H3 at arginine 2 (H3R2) and histone H4 at arginine 3 (H4R3), and we hypothesized that JMJD6 recruited by LUCAT1 might regulate the target FOXQ1 by demethylation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) assays with an anti-JMJD6 antibody revealed that the two regions (−1065 to −1281, −1619 to −2019) of the FOXQ1 promoter were enriched upon JMJD6 immunoprecipitation (Figure 8A), indicating that JMJD6 could physiologically bind with the promoter of FOXQ1 and might regulate FOXQ1 expression. Luciferase assays independently confirmed the binding of JMJD6 with the FOXQ1 promoter (Figure 8B). Next, we used ChiP assays to explore the roles of LUCAT1 in the binding efficiency between JMJD6 and FOXQ1. LUCAT1 knockdown remarkably decreased the enrichment of the FOXQ1 promoter in four regions (−144 to −488, −399 to −766, −757 to −1156, −1056 to −1281) (Figure 8C), while LUCAT1 overexpression increased the enrichment in the same regions (Figure 8D). These findings demonstrate that LUCAT1 knockdown decreased
Figure 6. LUCAT1 decreases MSC apoptosis through FOXQ1
(A) Heatmap of the LUCAT1-knockdown and control groups for 3 samples per group. (B) Volcano map revealed that 518 genes showed downregulated expression and 594 genes showed upregulated expression (adjusted p value < 0.05). (C) Gene Ontology (GO) terms for the protein-coding gene (PCG) cohort with downregulated expression in the LUCAT1-knockdown group. (D) Functional enrichment analysis was linked to biological pathways of the PCGs with downregulated expression in the LUCAT1-knockdown group. (E) The expression of relevant apoptosis-related genes was analyzed through qRT-PCR either for LUCAT1 knockdown or overexpression. (F–I) Western blot analysis for FOXQ1 in LUCAT1-knockdown (F and G) or -overexpression (H and I) MSCs (n = 3). (J–M) Cleaved caspase-3 levels after FOXQ1 knockdown (J and K) or overexpression (L and M) (legend continued on next page).
JMJD6’s binding efficiency to the FOXQ1 promoter, while LUCAT1 overexpression enhanced the efficiency.

To explore whether LUCAT1 affected FOXQ1 expression through JMJD6-mediated histone demethylation of the FOXQ1 promoter, we performed ChIP assays using anti-H4R3me2(s) and anti-H3R2me2(a) antibodies. ChIP-qPCR following LUCAT1 knockdown demonstrated that the H4R3me2(s) (regions: −144~−488, −399~−766, −757~−1156, −1056~−1281, −1619~−2019) and H3R2me2(a) (regions: −144~−488, −399~−766, −757~−1156, −1056~−1281, −1216~−1643, −1619~−2019) methylation levels in the FOXQ1 promoter region were significantly increased (Figures 8E and 8F). However, with LUCAT1 overexpression, the H4R3me2(s) methylation level (regions: −399~−766, −1056~−1281) of FOXQ1 was decreased (Figure 8G), while the H3R2me2(a) methylation level had no significant changes (Figure 8H). These results indicated that LUCAT1 mainly mediated the demethylation of H4R3me2(s) and partially demethylated H3R2me2(a) at the FOXQ1 promoter. Thus, LUCAT1 recruited JMJD6 to FOXQ1, thereby regulating FOXQ1 expression by JMJD6-mediated demethylation of H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a) in the FOXQ1 promoter (Figure 8I).

**DISCUSSION**

Although the cardioprotective effect of MSC transplantation after MI has been well-documented, the low survival rate of engrafted MSCs remains a major challenge. In this study, we discovered for the first time that the LUCAT1-mediated JMJD6-FOXQ1 pathway protected MSCs from H2O2-induced apoptosis and promoted the survival of implanted MSCs in infarcted hearts. MSCs-LUCAT1 implantation decreased cardiac cell apoptosis in the infarcted area at the early stage of MI and preserved heart function with a reduced fibrotic size at the late stage of MI. MSCs-LUCAT1 group exhibited poor revascularization of the coronary artery, but MSCs-LUCAT1 group exhibited better revascularization compared to MSCs-LUCAT1 group (Figure 8I). Understanding the molecular basis of lncRNAs is important for exploring their function. Three different transcripts of LUCAT1 were found in the Ensembl database. In this study, we found that LUCAT1-002, which was the most highly expressed and the longest transcript in MSCs, played a stronger protective role in the apoptosis of MSCs than did LUCAT1-001, so we focused on LUCAT1-002 in subsequent studies. However, the role of LUCAT1-001 needs further study. In addition, we found that LUCAT1 directly bound with JMJD6, a JmJC-containing iron- and 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase that demethylates H3R2 and H4R3 in both biochemical and cell-based assays. The LUCAT1-JMJD6 interaction ensured a specific demethylation of H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a) at the promoter of FOXQ1. H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a) were reported to act as repressive histone marks, and their transcriptional inhibition could be attenuated by demethylation. Our findings showed that the LUCAT1-mediated suppression of the apoptotic response, at least in part, was due to a direct interaction with JMJD6. However, elucidating the structure of LUCAT1’s functional motif and its complex interaction with JMJD6 or other transcriptional regulators requires further exploration.

FOXQ1 is a member of the FOX gene family, which is characterized by a conserved 110-amino acid DNA-binding motif and is widely involved in embryonic development, cell-cycle regulation, and cell apoptosis. FOXQ1 was reported to functionally interact with lncRNAs, act as a direct target of miRNAs, or competitively bind miRNA sites with circRNA. Our findings reveal that lncRNA overexpression (L and M) were assessed under 500-µM H2O2 for 1 h (n = 3). (N) Representative images of TUNEL staining with nuclei identified through DAPI staining in the control and LUCAT1-knockdown MSC groups with or without FOXQ1 overexpression under the above treatments (bar, 100 µm). (O) Apoptotic cells were quantified by TUNEL-positive nuclei in the total nuclei and analyzed via one-way ANOVA (n = 3). (P) Representative images of TUNEL staining with nuclei identified through DAPI staining in the control and LUCAT1-overexpression MSC groups with or without FOXQ1 knockdown as described above (bar, 100 µm). (Q) Apoptotic cells were quantified by TUNEL-positive nuclei in the total nuclei and analyzed via one-way ANOVA (n = 3). All data are presented as the mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
LUCAT1-mediated regulation of FOXQ1 is a novel mechanism to specifically induce demethylation of H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a) at the FOXQ1 promoter. FOXQ1 was reported to reduce the apoptosis of NKTCL cells (SNK-6) with the downregulation of the protein expression of pro-apoptotic Bax and Cleaved caspase-3 and the upregulation of the protein expression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2,43,44 and in human renal cell carcinoma, FOXQ1 is potentially linked to the activation of the caspase cascade and the deregulation of the GLI1/Bcl-2 pathway.47 Notably, GLI1 elevated Bcl-2 expression and decreased the expression of Bax, which acts as a transcription factor to mediate Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling.48 Our findings revealed that knockdown of FOXQ1 significantly increased MSC apoptosis, while overexpression of FOXQ1 reversed this cell fate. When we overexpressed FOXQ1 following LUCAT1 knockdown, the deteriorated apoptosis of MSCs was attenuated. However, when FOXQ1 was knocked down after LUCAT1 overexpression, MSC apoptosis was enhanced. Here, we found that LUCAT1 can change the expression of FOXQ1 by recruiting JMJD6 and can affect the expression of the downstream apoptosis-related proteins Bcl-2 and Bax to mediate MSC apoptotic progression.

Thus, we found that LUCAT1 can change the expression of FOXQ1 by recruiting JMJD6 and can affect the expression of downstream apoptosis-related proteins Bcl-2 and Bax, indicating that the LUCAT1-JMJD6-mediated regulation of FOXQ1 is a novel mechanism to confer anti-apoptotic effects.

In summary, we demonstrated a vital role of LUCAT1 in MSC apoptosis and its therapeutic effect in lethal environments post-MI. Mechanistically, the anti-apoptotic effects of LUCAT1 were based on recruiting the epigenetic modifier JMJD6 to induce promoter activity of FOXQ1 by demethylating H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a). These results revealed that LUCAT1-JMJD6-FOXQ1 might be a promising pathway for improving MSC therapeutic efficacy in MI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
A more detailed description of the materials and methods is presented in the supplemental information.

Animals and ethics statement
All animals used were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH publication no. 85-23, revised 1996) and approved by the Animal Use Committee of Zhejiang University. Mice were fed a standard laboratory diet and maintained with a 12:12-h light/dark cycle.
Figure 8. LUCAT1 regulates FOXQ1 through JMJD6-mediated demethylation of H4R3me2(s) and H3R2me2(a) at the FOXQ1 promoter

(A) ChIP assays were performed with an anti-JMJD6 antibody. IgG was used as the control. Fold enrichment of the indicated regions of the FOXQ1 promoter was examined through qPCR (n = 3). (B) Luciferase assays were used to measure the trigger of JMJD6 at the FOXQ1 promoter (n = 3). (C and D) ChIP-qPCR assays were performed with an anti-JMJD6 antibody and revealed the enrichment of the indicated regions at the FOXQ1 promoter in the LUCAT1-knockdown or -overexpression MSCs compared with the (legend continued on next page)
Isolation and culture of MSCs

Human bone-marrow derived MSCs were isolated from patients who underwent hip replacements, and cells at passages 4–8 were used. Informed consent was obtained from the donors, and all studies were approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University and complied with the edicts of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. MSCs were isolated and harvested as previously described.49,50 Briefly, the bone marrow was obtained by a posterior iliac bone puncture, and then the aspirate was centrifuged at 1,000 RPM for 5 min. The cell-containing precipitate was resuspended and added to DMEM (Corning, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Corning), which was placed in a 10-cm Petri dish and incubated in an incubator at 37°C for 24 h. The cells that did not adhere to the wall were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Corning) 3 times, and then adherent cells were cultured in complete medium (DMEM + 10% FBS). The complete culture medium was refreshed every 3 days. After 1 week of culture, bone marrow MSCs covered the entire 10-cm culture dish, were digested by trypsin, and were cultured for passage.

Flow cytometry and characterization of MSCs

Passage 6 MSCs were characterized and incubated with specific surface molecular antibodies (mesenchymal surface markers: PE-CD105, PE-CD29, and APC-CD90; endothelial cell surface marker: FITC-CD34; hematopoietic surface marker: FITC-CD45 and isotype control) in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. The expression of cell-surface markers was quantified using a FACSCanto II Flow Cytometer (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA). The staining for the surface markers CD29, CD90, and CD105 of the MSCs we used was positive, while that for the hematopoietic surface marker CD45 and endothelial cell-surface marker CD34 was negative (Figures S1A–S1E).

The differentiation of MSCs into osteocytes, chondrocytes, and adipocytes was based on different differentiation protocols as previously described.2 MSCs were inoculated in 6-well plates at a density of 2 × 10^5 cells/well, cultured to the maximum degree of fusion, and then photographed under an optical microscope after the differentiation process. The MSCs we used can be differentiated into osteocytes, adipocytes, and chondrocytes (Figures S1F–S1H).

Recombinant lentivirus construction and transfection

The full-length cDNAs of human LUCAT1, JMJD6, and FOXQ1 were cloned and recombined into the lentivirus expression vector with a GFP reporter provided by GeneChem (Shanghai, China). A lentivirus containing an empty plasmid served as a control. A lentivirus encoding the shRNA targeting human LUCAT1 (sh-LUCAT1) and its nonspecific shRNA were recombined with a GFP reporter (GeneChem, Shanghai, China).

MSCs were transfected with a lentivirus at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 50 overnight, and then fresh medium was added. After 72 h of infection, qRT-PCR and western blot analyses were utilized to determine the effect of infection.

MI model and transplantation of MSCs

The MI model was established in 8- to 10-week-old male C57BL/6 J mice (Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Zhejiang, China) by ligation of the left anterior descending (LAD) coronary artery as previously reported.59 Human bone-marrow-derived MSCs were infected by a lentivirus labeled with GFP reporter. After ligation, MSCs were resuspended in 20 μL of DMEM at a concentration of 1 × 10^4 cells/μL and injected into 5 sites: 4 sites at the border of the infarct, and 1 site at the apex of the mouse heart. After surgery and cell transplantation, heart tissue was harvested post-MI when needed.

Hypoxic preconditioning culture and H2O2-induced apoptosis assay

HP-MSCs were cultured in complete medium under well-controlled hypoxia in a ProOx-C-chamber system (Biospherix, Redfield, NY, USA) for 24 h. The oxygen concentration of the incubator was sustained at 0.5%, and the remaining gas mixture consisted of 5% CO2 and of equilibrium N2. N-MSCs were cultured in complete medium under conditions of 21% O2 and 5% CO2 as previously reported.2 For the H2O2-induced apoptosis model, MSCs were exposed to 500-μM H2O2 treatment without serum for 1 h as previously described.31

siRNA transfection

JMJD6 siRNA (si-JMJD6), FOXPQ siRNA (si-FOXPQ1), and control siRNA (si-Ctr) were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The nucleotide sequences used are presented in the supplemental information (Table S1). MSCs were transfected with 50-nM siRNAs in serum-free DMEM containing Lipofectamine RNAi MAX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Twelve hours later, the medium was replaced with fresh complete medium, and siRNA efficiency was determined after 48 h.

Transcriptome sequencing

Total RNA was extracted from MSCs using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Library construction and sequencing were performed in Novogene (Beijing, China). First, RNA integrity and DNA contamination of samples were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. RNA purity (OD260/280 and OD260/230 ratio) was determined by a NanoPhotometer NP80 (Implen, Munich, Germany), and RNA integrity was examined accurately by a Gilent 2100
BioAnalyzer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The preparation of whole-transcriptome libraries was conducted in Novogene (Beijing, China). Illumina sequencing was performed after pooling different libraries according to the effective concentration and the requirement of target data volume, and 150-bp paired terminal readings were generated. In the sequencing of the flow cell with four kinds of fluorescence-labeled deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), primers for amplification, DNA polymerase, and sequence complementary chain clusters, each to join a fluorescently labeled dNTP, can release the corresponding fluorescence, and a sequencing machine was used to capture the fluorescent signal. Software was used to convert optical signals to the sequencing peaks, thereby identifying fragments with sequence information. FastQC (v.0.11.8) was adopted to assess the quality of the raw data. Moreover, Fastp (v.0.19.5) was used to remove low-quality data, adapters, and poly-N sequences. Transcript expression was analyzed using Hisat5 and quantified as FPKM. FPKM, the expected number of fragments per kilobase of transcript sequence per million base pairs sequenced, considers the effect of sequencing depth and gene length on the read count at the same time and is currently the most commonly used method for estimating gene expression levels. The RNA sequencing data were deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository.

**IncRNA deep sequencing**

Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent. The next-generation sequencing libraries were sequenced using the HiSeq6000 platform at Novogene Bioinformatics Technology (Beijing, China), and a total of 150-bp paired single-end reads were generated as per Illumina’s protocol description. The expression of RNAs was normalized using reads mapped to the reference genome. The transcripts of single exons, length ≤ 200, fragments per kilobase per million (FPKM reads) <0.5, and transcripts per kilobase million (TPM) <0.5 were filtered by StringTie when merging the file of transcripts. The remaining transcripts that passed the above filtered steps were assessed by their coding potential by the coding potential calculator 2 (CPC2), coding-noncoding index (CNCI), and Pfam-scan, and the thresholds of these software programs were scores <0 and were tagged as “noncoding.” HTSeq v.0.6.0 was used to count the read numbers mapped to each gene. Gene expression was analyzed by StringTie (version 1.2.3) software and quantified with FPKM. The DESeq2 software package was used to determine differentially expressed lncRNAs (DELs and differentially expressed miRNAs [DEMs]). DESeq2 provided statistical routines for determining differential expression in digital gene expression data using a model-based negative binomial distribution. The resulting p values were adjusted using Benjamini and Hochberg’s approach for controlling the false discovery rate. We used padj (adjusted p value) <0.05 found by DESeq2 and FPKM log2(fold change) >1 as thresholds to determine the differentially expressed lncRNAs and (m)RNAs. The raw data have been shown on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) databases as BioProject PRJNA744741, and project information is accessible with the following link: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/744741.

**Quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis**

Total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed using the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed utilizing SYBR Green Master Mix (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan) on an iCycleriQ system (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA). The PCR primer sequences used for quantitative real-time PCR are listed in Table S2. RNA expression levels were normalized to that of beta-actin (β-actin).

**Western blot analysis**

As previously reported, cells were harvested with a RIPA buffer containing a phosphatase inhibitor cocktail and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), and the protein concentration was determined by a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). After they were blocked with 5% milk for 1 h, the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies at appropriate concentrations. Then, the PVDF membranes were incubated with the corresponding horse-radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:3,000) for 1 h at room temperature and analyzed using the Gel Doc EZ Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA) with an ECL kit (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). All the antibodies used are listed in Table S3.

**Masson’s trichrome staining**

At 28 days post-MI, hearts were excised, dehydrated in 30% sucrose solution, and embedded in Tissue Tek O.C.T. compound. The tissue sections (6.0-μm thick) were stained with Masson’s trichrome kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) to measure the fibrotic area. The fibrotic area of the left ventricle (LV) was calculated by Image-Pro Plus 6.0 and evaluated by the ratio of the fibrotic area in the LV area.

**Immunofluorescence assay**

For immunofluorescence, myocardial tissue (6.0-μm thick) was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. After the samples were blocked with 5% BSA (BSA, Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) for 1 h, sections were incubated with a primary antibody overnight at 4°C. The primary antibodies anti-GFP and anti-CD68 were used to observe the corresponding horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:3,000) for 1 h at room temperature and analyzed using the Gel Doc EZ Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Berkeley, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) and anti-TnI were used to examine viable myocardium in infarcted areas. The tissue sections were first stained with primary anti-TnI overnight and incubated with corresponding fluorescent secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature, and nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Vector, Silicon Valley, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.
temperature. Then, tissue sections were placed in WGA (100 μg/mL), labeled with immune markers, and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Finally, DAPI staining was performed.

At least five randomly selected fields in each heart were analyzed, and five hearts were evaluated per group. The primary and corresponding fluorescent dye-conjugated secondary antibodies are listed in Table S3.

**TUNEL assay**

Cell samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100, incubated with a TUNEL reaction mixture (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) for 1.5 h at 37°C in the dark, and stained with DAPI for 15 min. The apoptotic ratio was calculated by measuring the TUNEL-positive cells in total cells/nuclei. Images were obtained from 6–9 randomly selected fields per well. We also used a TUNEL kit to evaluate cell apoptosis at the border zone of mouse hearts with MI. The TUNEL-positive cardiac cell percentage was calculated by the ratio of the TUNEL-positive nuclei to the total nuclei in at least six randomly selected fields in each heart, and five hearts were evaluated per group.

**Echocardiography**

Echocardiography was performed at days 0, 3, 7, 14, and 28 post-MI surgery as previously described. Two-dimensional and M-mode images were used to capture and analyze cardiac morphology and function with a Vevo 2100 system (VisualSonics, Toronto, ON, Canada). The EF and FS were measured for at least three interval cardiac cycles.

**Subcellular fractionation assay**

According to the manufacturer’s instructions, a cytoplasmic and nuclear RNA purification kit (Norgen, Thorold, ON, Canada) was used. Briefly, cells were incubated with a lysis solution to disrupt the cell membrane and centrifuged at 4°C and 1,200 × g to separate cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions. The RNA from both fractions was extracted and purified with columns in the kit and detected with qRT-PCR.

**FISH assay**

FISH procedures were performed according to the product manual of the Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization Kit (RiboBio, Guangzhou, China). LUCAT1 FISH Probe Mix and control FISH probes 18S and U6 were designed and synthesized at RiboBio. Cells were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and then permeabilized using 0.5% Triton X-100. After protease reagent treatment, the cells were incubated with 200-μL prehybridization buffer at 37°C for 30 min and discarded. Then, 2.5 μL of 20 μL LUCAT1 FISH Probe Mix and control FISH probes 18S and U6 were separately added to the cells with 100-μL hybridization buffer at 37°C overnight. All procedures were performed away from light after adding the probes. After the samples were washed with 3 different washing buffers, they were incubated with DAPI at 37°C for 10 min and photographed by a confocal microscope.

**RNA pull-down assay**

The sense and anti-sense sequences of full-length LUCAT1 were amplified in accordance with the Biotin RNA Labeling Mix and T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) protocols and purified with the RNaseasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany). Then, purified biotin-labeled LUCAT1 was incubated with the total lysates of MSCs, and eluted proteins were detected using western blots. The whole band pulled down from the sense or anti-sense sequence was excised from the gel, analyzed by MS, and retrieved in the human proteomic library. Table S4 shows the list of those proteins predicted to be pulled down only by the LUCAT1 sense sequence compared with the proteins predicted to be pulled down by the anti-sense sequence.

**The 5’ and 3’ RACE assays**

Total RNA was extracted from MSCs with TRIzol reagent, as described above. Then, 5’ and 3’ RACE were performed using the GeneRacer Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as previously reported. First-strand cDNA was synthesized using the MMuLV First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (BBI, Toronto, ON, Canada), and PCR was performed using LA Taq polymerase (TaKaRa, Kyoto, Japan). The 5’ and 3’ RACE PCR primers were designed and synthesized for nested PCR, and PCR products were separated on a 1.5% agarose gel. The electrophoresis results were confirmed, and the amplified bands were sequenced. All the sequences were spliced together to obtain the whole sequence. The 5’ and 3’ amplification primers are shown in Table S5, and full-length LUCAT1-001 and LUCAT1-002 are presented in Table S6.

**RIP assay**

The RIP assay was performed using the Magna RIP RNA-Binding Protein Immunoprecipitation Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Cells were harvested, lysed in polysome lysis buffer, immunoprecipitated overnight at 4°C using anti-JMJD6 magnetic beads, and immobilized in a purification column. The immunoprecipitated RNAs were extracted and measured by qRT-PCR to confirm the binding relationship to LUCAT1.

**ChIP assay**

The ChIP assay was performed using the SimpleChIP Plus Sonication Chromatin IP Kit (CST, Boston, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Specifically, cells were immersed in 4% formaldehyde to fix proteins (both histone and nonhistone) to the DNA. Chromatin was sheared with sonication into 200–1000 bp, added with specific target antibodies (anti-JMJD6, anti-H4R3me2a and anti-H3R2me2a), and captured by Protein G beads. Then, the protein-DNA crosslinks were reversed, and the DNA was purified. The enrichment of target DNA sequences was detected via qRT-PCR analysis. The antibodies and primers used in ChIP are illustrated in Tables S3, S4, and S5.

**Dual-luciferase reporter assay**

The luciferase reporter assay was conducted by the Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) based on the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, JMJD6 plasmids were cotransfected...
with a FOXQ1 promoter-luciferase vector and a pRL-TK vector. In the other group, LUCAT1-overexpressing or LUCAT1-knockdown lentiviruses were cotransfected with the FOXQ1 promoter-luciferase vector and the pRL-TK vector. The pGL3-basic vector was transfected as a negative control. After 48 h, the cell extracts were used to calculate the respective luciferase activity on a Spark multimode microplate reader (Tecan, Mannedorf, Switzerland).

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as the mean ± SEM. The group sizes were determined based on previously published experiments and previous experience in which differences were observed. Student’s t test was used to compare two groups. Comparisons among three or more groups were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and we chose the Tukey post hoc test when comparing selected pairs of means, with the selection based on experimental design. Data analysis was performed using SPSS 20.0 and GraphPad Prism 5. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001).
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