Thouless-Valatin rotational moment of inertia from linear response theory
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Spontaneous breaking of continuous symmetries of a nuclear many-body system results in the appearance of zero-energy restoration modes. These so-called spurious Nambu-Goldstone modes represent a special case of collective motion and are sources of important information about the Thouless-Valatin inertia. The main purpose of this work is to study the Thouless-Valatin rotational moment of inertia as extracted from the Nambu-Goldstone restoration mode that results from the zero-frequency response to the total-angular-momentum operator. We examine the role and effects of the pairing correlations on the rotational characteristics of heavy deformed nuclei in order to extend our understanding of superfluidity in general. We use the finite-amplitude method of the quasiparticle random-phase approximation on top of the Skyrme energy density functional framework with the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov theory. We have successfully extended this formalism and established a practical method for extracting the Thouless-Valatin rotational moment of inertia from the strength function calculated in the symmetry-restoration regime. Our results reveal the relation between the pairing correlations and the moment of inertia of axially deformed nuclei of rare-earth and actinide regions of the nuclear chart. We have also demonstrated the feasibility of the method for obtaining the moment of inertia for collective Hamiltonian models. We conclude that from the numerical and theoretical perspective, the finite-amplitude method can be widely used to effectively study rotational properties of deformed nuclei within modern density functional approaches.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Collective modes or excitations of many-fermion systems are excellent sources of vital information about the properties of the effective interaction among the constituent particles and correlations that govern the dynamics of the many-body system. It is a major objective for the nuclear theory to extensively describe all types of collective nuclear motion. Studying the low-lying excitations brings better understanding of the properties of pairing correlations, shell structure or nuclear deformation, while the higher-lying giant nuclear resonances reveal essential details about nuclear photoabsorption reactions or nuclear matter symmetry energy and compressibility [1–4].

One can analyze a response of a nucleus to a time-dependent external field to gain important knowledge about such excited states. There exist several groups of models dealing with the many-body structure and low-energy dynamics of atomic nuclei; for example, interacting shell-model approaches, ab initio methods, or the density functional theory (DFT) formalism. The nuclear DFT, which belongs to the family of the self-consistent mean-field (SCMF) approaches, determines the nuclear one-body mean field by using an energy density functional (EDF) adjusted for a given task [5]. Within the SCMF approach, the nuclear mean-field is obtained by solving the Hartree-Fock (HF) or Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov (HFB) equations self-consistently. Currently, the nuclear DFT can rather successfully describe the nuclear ground-state properties throughout the whole nuclear chart [6–8].

An important ingredient of the nuclear DFT approach is the concept of a spontaneous symmetry breaking. A mean-field wave function, obtained self-consistently, usually breaks some of the symmetries of the full Hamiltonian. In principle, an exact ground-state wave function of a nucleus does not break symmetries of the underlying many-body Hamiltonian; however, such a type of wave-function is often computationally out of reach and simplifying approximations have to be used. Effectively, the spontaneous symmetry breaking allows us to introduce diverse short-range and long-range correlations to the deformed wave function.

To access various excitation modes, one needs to go beyond the static mean field. One of the most utilized methods is the linear-response theory; that is, the random-phase approximation (RPA) theory. Excellent progress has been achieved with the application of the RPA and the quasiparticle random-phase approximation (QRPA), the superfluid extension of the RPA, to the excited collective states of nuclei within the nuclear DFT framework. Modern approaches usually employ the self-consistent RPA or QRPA calculations together with well-established EDFs [5,9–12]. However, traditional approaches, such as the very successful matrix formulation of the quasiparticle random-phase approximation (MQRPA), suffer from the fact that they are computationally heavy, especially when spherical symmetry is broken. This is the main reason why the QRPA formalism has been able to treat the deformed nuclei only recently.
To deal with various drawbacks of standard methods for collective excitations, a very efficient formalism has been proposed. The so-called finite amplitude method (FAM) was introduced, first to calculate the RPA strength functions [13] and later extended to spherically symmetric QRPA (FAM-QRPA) [14]. The FAM-QRPA promptly became a powerful tool to effectively handle a broad range of nuclear phenomena [15]. For example, it was successfully applied to study deformed axially symmetric nuclear systems within the HFB-Skyrme framework, individual QRPA modes, beta-decay modes, collective moments of inertia, collective dynamics, quadrupole and octupole strengths, or the giant dipole resonance (GDR) [16–23]. Due to its many distinct advantages, we chose the FAM-QRPA as the main theoretical framework for the purposes of this work.

The properties and dynamics of heavy nuclear systems can provide helpful insights for the physics of confined atomic fermions, especially when superfluid characteristics are of interest [24–30]. Although the length and energy scales of confined atomic systems are quite different from those of nuclear scale, the rotating superfluid Fermi-liquid-drop picture of a nucleus covers the essential concepts to build up a connection between these two fields. One of the connecting ingredients is the moment of inertia, which is believed to provide an unambiguous signature of superfluidity in general. Since the similarities between nuclear systems and trapped fermions are strong, studying the rotational moment of inertia of heavy deformed nuclei by the best available theoretical methods will offer crucial information about the phenomenon of superfluidity. This paper is thus aimed to present results for the purposes of this work.

As a proof of concept, we also demonstrate the usefulness of our method in providing local QRPA calculations, specifically constrained calculations of the rotational moment of inertia as a function of density. In this way, FAM-QRPA could be used to easily access collective mass parameters that represent a key input for microscopic collective Hamiltonian models.

The main objective of this work is to apply the FAM-QRPA approach to study the Thouless-Valatin (TV) rotational moment of inertia in various nuclear systems and inspect the significance of the pairing correlations with respect to the rotational characteristics of studied nuclei. The TV inertia can be obtained from the spurious zero-frequency (zero-energy) mode, which is a consequence of a broken symmetry. We propose an efficient and numerically accessible method for extracting this quantity from the total-angular-momentum operator that acts as an external perturbation. This method can be easily used to describe collective modes of a wide variety of deformed nuclei.

As a proof of concept, we also demonstrate the usefulness of the moment of inertia in providing local QRPA calculations, specifically constrained calculations of the rotational moment of inertia as a function of density. In this way, FAM-QRPA could be used to easily access collective mass parameters that represent a key input for microscopic collective Hamiltonian models.

In this section we recapitulate all necessary theoretical details and go through the essential expressions that were used as a foundation for our calculations. The main ideas and assets of the FAM-QRPA are discussed.

In Sec. II, an overview of the theoretical framework is presented, in which the time-dependent HFB theory and FAM-QRPA approach are discussed in detail. Additionally, we briefly analyze the spontaneous-symmetry-breaking phenomenon and its connection to the collective TV inertia. In Sec. III, the numerical setup and main FAM-QRPA results are covered. Final conclusions and prospects are given in Sec. IV.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In this section we recapitulate all necessary theoretical details and go through the essential expressions that were used as a foundation for our calculations. The main ideas and assets of the FAM-QRPA are discussed.

A. Finite-amplitude method

A static mean-field approach without pairing correlations (Hartree-Fock) or with pairing correlations (Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov) can basically provide the nuclear binding energy and other ground-state bulk properties. To access excited states and dynamics of the system, one has to go beyond the mean-field formalism. One approach is to apply time-dependent extensions to the stationary mean-field models. In the following, we use the quasiparticle random-phase approximation as a theoretical method that represents a small-amplitude limit of the time-dependent superfluid HFB (TD-HFB) theory.

The HFB ground state $|\Phi\rangle$ is obtained by the minimization of the total energy defined through the energy density $\mathcal{E}(\rho,\kappa,\kappa^*)$. In general, expectation values of an operator with
The single-particle Hamiltonian \( h \) and the pairing potential \( \Delta \) follow from the variation of the energy density functional \( \mathcal{E} \) with respect to \( \rho \) and \( \kappa^* \),

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \rho_{ij}} \Delta_{ij} (\rho, \kappa, \kappa^*) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \kappa_{ij}} \delta_{ij} (\rho, \kappa, \kappa^*).
\]

By minimizing the total Routhian, one can derive the HFB equations in terms of the transformation matrices \( V \) and \( U \),

\[
\begin{pmatrix} h - \lambda & \Delta \\ -\Delta^* & -h^* + \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{\mu} \\ U_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = E_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} U_{\mu} \\ V_{\mu} \end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( E_{\mu} \) are the quasiparticle energies and \( \lambda \) is the chemical potential introduced in order to fix the average particle number.

The TD-HFB can be used to describe the time evolution of the quasiparticles under a one-body external perturbation that induces a polarization on the HFB ground state. Such a perturbation can be expressed as a time-dependent field in a conjugate form,

\[
\tilde{F}(t) = \eta [\tilde{F}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} + \tilde{F}^*(\omega) e^{i\omega t}],
\]

where \( \eta \) is a small real parameter introduced for the purpose of the small amplitude approximation, used in the FAM-QRPA.

The time evolution of a quasiparticle operator under the influence of the external field that forces the oscillations can be expressed as the TD-HFB equation,

\[
i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{a}_{\mu}(t) = \left[ \hat{H}(t) + \hat{F}(t), \hat{a}_{\mu}(t) \right],
\]

where the quasiparticle oscillations are given as

\[
\hat{a}_{\mu}(t) = [\hat{a}_{\mu} + \delta \hat{a}_{\mu}(t)] e^{iE_{\mu} t},
\]

\[
\delta \hat{a}_{\mu}(t) = \eta \sum_{\nu} \hat{A}_{\nu} \left[ X_{\nu\mu}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} + Y_{\nu\mu}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} \right],
\]

where \( X_{\nu\mu} \) and \( Y_{\nu\mu} \) are the FAM-QRPA amplitudes and \( E_{\mu} \) is the one-quasiparticle energy.

The time-independent part \( \tilde{F}(\omega) \) of the one-body external perturbation \( \tilde{F}(t) \) can be now expressed (under the linear approximation) in the quasiparticle space as

\[
\tilde{F}(\omega) = \sum_{\mu < \nu} \left[ F_{\mu\nu}^{(20)}(\omega) \hat{a}_{\mu}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\nu}^\dagger + F_{\nu\mu}^{(20)}(\omega) \hat{a}_{\mu} \hat{a}_{\nu} \right].
\]
transforms to the standard matrix QRPA equation
\[ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X(\omega) \\ Y(\omega) \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} X(\omega) \\ -Y(\omega) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15} \]
Since matrices A and B typically have very large dimensions in the deformed case, solving the above equation is computationally rather demanding. The essential asset of the FAM-QRPA procedure, one calculates the QRPA lies in the fact that, instead of a very time-consuming and computationally rather demanding. The essential asset of the FAM-QRPA approach developed for the nuclear density matrix QRPA formalism, the full evaluation of the QRPA A and B matrices is computationally heavy, mostly due to their large dimensions. Other equivalent approaches have been therefore employed to obtain this quantity. The most important ones are the perturbation expansion procedure in the adiabatic time-dependent HFB theory, the cranked mean-field calculations within the HFB theory, and most recently, the FAM-QRPA approach developed for the nuclear density functional theory.

The FAM-QRPA has been already successfully formulated for calculating the symmetry-restoring NG modes of the translational and particle number gauge symmetries \[20,36\]. It has been shown that the TV inertia calculated in this way provides crucial information about the ground-state correlations to the relevant broken symmetry and that the FAM-QRPA represents a very precise and effective method to study this quantity. Our present work continues in this direction and extends the FAM-QRPA also to the case of the rotational NG mode and related rotational TV moment of inertia.

To obtain information about the given NG mode one can use a relevant one-body operator as the external field and evaluate the value of the strength function at zero frequency. In the case of translational symmetry, which introduces the center-of-mass (center-of-mass) NG mode, the TV inertia is simply the total mass of the studied nucleus, \( M_{\text{NG}} = Am \), where \( A \) is the atomic mass number and \( m \) is mass of the nucleon. This is the only example where it is not necessary to solve QRPA equations to obtain the TV inertia.

The aim of this work is to study the rotational Thouless-Valatin moment of inertia of the rotational NG mode, where the one-body operator of interest is the total angular momentum. Depending on the symmetries chosen, a specific component \( \hat{J}_i \) of the angular-momentum operator has to be selected. Such a component, when used as external perturbation, leads to the rotational TV inertia that can be extracted from the strength function at zero frequency as
\[ S(\hat{J}_i; 0)_{\text{NG}} = \sum_{\mu < \nu} \left[ (J_{\mu \nu}^{02\ast})_{\mu \nu} X_{\mu \nu}(0) + (J_{\mu \nu}^{02})_{\mu \nu} Y_{\mu \nu}(0) \right] = -M_{\text{NG}}^i, \tag{18} \]
Here, \( M_{\text{NG}}^i \) is the TV moment of inertia along the \( i \) axis. To shortly recapitulate the derivation of Eq. (18), we can consider two conjugate operators, \( \hat{P} \) and \( \hat{Q} \), connected to the broken

...energy modes are called \( \text{Nambu-Goldstone} \) (NG) modes and are a consequence of the symmetry-broken mean field and represent a special case of collective motion \[1,34,35\]. They are also associated with the infinitesimal transformation of the frame of reference and, since they do not represent real physical excitations in the intrinsic frame, they are often called spurious modes.

It is well known that breaking of the translational symmetry introduces the \textit{center of mass} NG mode, rotational symmetry the \textit{rotational} NG mode, and particle number gauge symmetry the \textit{pairing-rotational} NG mode. In the intrinsic frame, each NG mode can be associated with a collective inertia that has experimental correspondence to an actual spectroscopy measurement in the laboratory frame. In the QRPA framework, such collective inertia coupled to the NG mode is called the \textit{Thouless-Valatin} inertia \[20,32\]. For the translational (center of mass) NG mode the TV inertia is simply the total mass of the nucleus and is easily obtainable since the coordinate and momentum QRPA phonon operators, needed for the estimation, are known in advance. In the case of the (pairing-) rotational NG mode the TV inertia represents the nuclear (pairing-) rotational moment of inertia of the nucleus.

With the exception of the center-of-mass NG mode, in order to obtain the TV inertia one has to fully solve the QRPA equations. Although all necessary expressions are known in the standard matrix QRPA formalism, the full evaluation of the QRPA A and B matrices is computationally heavy, mostly due to their large dimensions. Other equivalent approaches have been therefore employed to obtain this quantity. The most important ones are the perturbation expansion procedure in the adiabatic time-dependent HFB theory, the cranked mean-field calculations within the HFB theory, and most recently, the FAM-QRPA approach developed for the nuclear density functional theory.
symmetry. At zero energy, the solution for the NG mode can be written [1], by using the QRPA matrices, as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
B^* & A^*
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
P^{20} \\
-p^{20*}
\end{pmatrix} = 0,
\]
(19)
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
B^* & A^*
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
Q^{20} \\
-Q^{20*}
\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{i}{M_{NG}} \begin{pmatrix}
P^{20} \\
p^{20*}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
(20)
These equations can be solved to obtain the mass parameter for NG mode as
\[M_{NG} = 2[\text{Re}(P^{20})(A + B)^{-1}\text{Re}(P^{20})
+\text{Im}(P^{20})(A - B)^{-1}\text{Im}(P^{20})].\]
(21)
Next, by using the linear-response equation (13) to connect the QRPA amplitudes with the QRPA matrices, the strength function (17) can be written as
\[S(\hat{\rho},0) = -2\text{Re}(P^{20})(A + B)^{-1}\text{Re}(P^{20})
-2\text{Im}(P^{20})(A - B)^{-1}\text{Im}(P^{20})
= -M_{NG},\]
(22)
thus giving the NG mass parameter $M_{NG}$. For full details of the derivation, we refer to Ref. [20].

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Our calculations were carried out by using the FAM-QRPA code on top of the HFB code, specifically the computer program HF8THO [37], which is an HFB solver providing axially symmetric solutions using the harmonic-oscillator basis with the Skyrme energy density functional.

For the HFB calculations, and subsequent FAM-QRPA calculations, we have employed the Skyrme SkM* parameter set [38] at the particle-hole channel. This parameter set is known to be stable to the linear response in infinite nuclear matter [39]. The standard definition of the Skyrme EDF and associated densities can be found, e.g., in Ref. [5]. For the pairing part, the particle-particle channel interaction was taken to be a simple contact interaction without a density dependence, yielding the pairing energy density commonly called the volume pairing.

In all cases studied, this type of pairing provided generally better reproduction of the data than the density-dependent volume pairing. In comparison, yielded results lying approximately 5 MeV$^{-1}$ lower than those of the volume pairing. Therefore, we do not focus on the mixed pairing option in the following text. A specific nucleus in a given isotopic chain was chosen to adjust the pairing strengths separately for neutrons and protons in order to reproduce the empirical pairing gaps. Due to the zero-range pairing interaction used, it was necessary to introduce a pairing window to prevent divergent energy in the pairing channel. In this work, the quasiparticle cutoff energy was set to 60 MeV in all cases considered. The deformed HFB ground state obtained through this setup breaks the translational, rotational, and particle number symmetries.

One of the main assets of the FAM-QRPA formalism is that no additional truncation or cutoffs of the two-quasiparticle space are imposed. This guarantees the full self-consistency between the QRPA solution and the HFB ground state. Even though the underlying HFB calculations have conserved the time-reversal symmetry, the full time-odd part of the EDF was used at the FAM-QRPA level. The FAM module implementation followed that of Ref. [21] and the FAM equations were solved in the presence of the simplex-$\gamma$ symmetry [40].

To ensure a satisfactory convergence, we have selected 20 major oscillator shells for the HO basis in all our calculations and confirmed that no relevant improvement could be achieved from employing more shells. The FAM-QRPA iterative solution was obtained by using the very efficient modified Broyden method [41–43] that provides stable and fast convergence, especially when multiprocessor tasks are considered. Values of the spatial integrals were numerically approximated by using the Gauss-Hermite (mesh-point number set to $N_{GH} = 80$) and Gauss-Laguerre (mesh-point number set to $N_{GL} = 40$) quadratures.

In this work, we focus on two areas of the nuclear chart, the heavy rare-earth isotopes and heavy actinide isotopes. Both regions offer plenty of information about collective properties and rotational characteristics of axially deformed nuclei.

A. Rotational Thouless-Valatin inertia

To obtain the TV collective inertia we need to calculate the strength function of the symmetry restoring NG mode and take the value at zero energy (zero frequency). Since we are interested in the rotational TV inertia under the assumption of the axial symmetry and simplex-$\gamma$ symmetry at the HFB level, we focus on the response to the $y$ component of the total-angular-momentum operator, which is a combination of the $y$ components of the orbital- and spin-angular-momentum operators $J_y = L_y + S_y$. To investigate the role of the pairing with respect to the TV inertia, we compare the calculations where pairing correlations are taken into account with those calculations of vanishing pairing.

First, we studied the rare-earth region of the nuclear chart, specifically the erbia (even-even nuclides from $^{160}$Er to $^{172}$Er) and ytterbia (even-even nuclides from $^{164}$Yb to $^{174}$Yb) isotopic chains. In the case of erbium, the pairing strength parameters ($V_{0x} = -211.20$ MeV fm$^3$ and $V_{0y} = -178.83$ MeV fm$^3$) were adjusted separately to $^{168}$Er proton and neutron pairing gaps of 1.20 MeV and 1.02 MeV, respectively, obtained from the three-point mass difference formula [44]. For ytterbia, we adjusted the pairing strengths ($V_{0x} = -212.40$ MeV fm$^3$ and $V_{0y} = -180.60$ MeV fm$^3$) to $^{168}$Yb proton and neutron pairing gaps of 1.26 MeV and 1.09 MeV, respectively.

Consequently, we calculated the TV inertia by using the same input parameters, but with the vanishing pairing option chosen (pairing strengths were selected to be negligibly small to induce a collapse of the pairing) and compared both sets of results to the experimental moments of inertia extracted from the $2^+$ state energy of the ground-state rotational bands of studied isotopes [45]. This comparison can be found in Fig. 1.

When the volume pairing is considered, the reproduction of the experimental moment of inertia by the TV rotational inertia from FAM-QRPA is good for both erbium and ytterbia isotopic chains. It can be easily demonstrated that by adjusting the pairing strengths of all isotopes to the pairing gaps of a different nucleus from the chain, the values of the TV
FIG. 1. Thouless-Valatin rotational moment of inertia in (a) erbium and (b) ytterbium isotopic chains. Full lines indicate the volume pairing and vanishing pairing options; in the latter case, the values of the TV inertia are divided by two in order to make a comparison with other results. The dashed lines show the experimental data from the rotational bands (2+ states). In addition, panels show Belyaev and rigid body (divided by 2) values for the moment of inertia. For erbium isotopes, the volume pairing was adjusted to the experimental proton and neutron pairing gaps of 166Er, while for ytterbium isotopes, the pairing gaps of 168Yb were chosen.

inertia shift up or down, basically retaining the overall pattern. Therefore, only partial improvements can be achieved by changing the pairing strengths from case to case with no significant impact on the descriptive or predictive capability.

When the nuclear pairing is not taken into account, the calculated TV inertia values are markedly higher (in Fig. 1, values without pairing are divided by two for the sake of comparison). We can conclude that the pairing correlations have crucial effects on the rotational collective motion and the TV inertia can be several times higher in contrast to the superfluid calculations.

In the next step, we focused on the actinide region of the nuclear chart, where we picked the uranium (even-even nuclides from 232U to 242U) and plutonium (even-even nuclides from 236Pu to 246Pu) isotopic chains. Here we again studied the effects of the pairing correlations on the rotational TV inertia and compared cases with and without pairing. The pairing strengths ($V_p^0 = -216.18$ MeV fm$^3$ and $V_n^0 = -170.95$ MeV fm$^3$) for uranium were adjusted to the 238U proton and neutron pairing gaps of 1.11 MeV and 0.67 MeV, and the pairing strengths ($V_p^0 = -213.20$ MeV fm$^3$ and $V_n^0 = -170.80$ MeV fm$^3$) for plutonium were adjusted to the 240Pu proton and neutron gaps of 0.99 MeV and 0.64 MeV, respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 2.

Once again, we obtain rather good agreement between the experiment and the rotational inertia obtained by the FAM-QRPA approach. One can see especially precise, although presumably coincidental, reproduction in the case of 238U and 240Pu, which were the isotopes chosen for the pairing strength adjustments. The TV inertia values for collapsed pairing (values divided by two in the plots) are again notably higher, indicating the importance of the pairing.

Figures 1 and 2 also show Belyaev and rigid-body values for moment of inertia. The Belyaev moment of inertia corresponds to the approximation in which induced fields $\delta H_{\mu \nu}^{20}$ and $\delta H_{\mu \nu}^{02}$ are set to zero. For rigid-body values we used the approximation based on intrinsic deformation from Ref. [31]. The results clearly indicate that Belyaev moment of inertia underestimates the experimental moment of inertia. The magnitude of the rigid-body values is usually similar to those calculated with vanishing pairing correlations.

FIG. 2. The same as Fig. 1 but for (a) uranium and (b) plutonium isotopic chains. For uranium isotopes, the volume pairing was adjusted to the experimental proton and neutron pairing gaps of 238U, while for plutonium isotopes, the pairing gaps of 240Pu were chosen.
To test the precision and validity of our FAM-QRPA approach, we carried out several independent calculations by using a different HFB solver (the HFODD code [46]) and extracted the moment of inertia by using the cranking formalism. Since the HFB cranking calculations are rather time consuming, we chose 12, 14, and 16 major oscillator shells for our setup and the erbium isotope $^{166}$Er as our test nucleus. These calculations were compared with the 12-, 14-, and 16-shell FAM-QRPA results. In all cases the same SkM$^*$ parametrization was used together with identical pairing strengths.

The TV moments of inertia from the cranking formula were obtained by extrapolation to the zero cranking frequency based on the behavior of the inertia for nonzero frequencies. We obtained excellent agreement in all cases studied; for example, in the case of the 16-shell calculation, the FAM-QRPA TV inertia yields a value of 34.511 MeV$^{-1}$, while the cranking moment of inertia equals 34.533 MeV$^{-1}$. See Supplemental Material [47] for more detailed results. This excellent correspondence between the two calculations underlines the efficiency and usefulness of the FAM-QRPA formalism in calculating the rotational TV inertia.

To address the computational cost of FAM-QRPA calculations against standard cranking HFB, we estimated the time consumption of the 16-shell calculations of $^{166}$Er by using an Intel Xeon 3.2 GHz CPU and compared the results from both approaches. The cranked HFB calculations using the HFODD code converged after about 4.5–10 CPU hours (depending on the chosen cranking frequency), while the HFB-QRPA calculations with the HFBTHO code took approximately 21 CPU minutes. We therefore confirm that our approach is very convenient and computationally significantly faster than standard cranking HFB calculations.

### B. Induced current density

In this section, we investigate more closely the collective rotational behavior originating from the total-angular-momentum operator. As discussed previously, the NG mode, which is related to the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the rotational symmetry, leads to the TV moment of inertia connected to the strength function of the angular-momentum operator at zero frequency. Application of this operator ($\hat{J}_r$ component in our case) as an external perturbation gives rise to the induced densities and currents of various types. Here we want to have a look on the induced isoscalar current density, $j_0 = j_n + j_p$, which can give us some hints about the movement of protons and neutrons in the studied isotopes. We selected the $^{166}$Er nucleus as an example and studied the cases with and without pairing present.

In Fig. 3, one can see the $x$-$z$ plane cross section of the nucleus [Fig. 3(a)], where the total magnitude (color contours and line thickness) along with the directions (lines with arrows) of the total induced current density are shown. The right sides of Figs. 3(b)–3(e) show the partial contributions coming from the first seven QRPA blocks with values normalized to the same maximum value to make comparisons possible. These blocks give the largest contribution to the total TV moment of inertia. When two block numbers are indicated (e.g., block 2 and 3), there is only one plot shown since the contribution is identical from both QRPA blocks. Specifically, block 1 corresponds to quasiparticle transitions between $|\Omega| = \frac{1}{2}$ quasiparticle states. Blocks 2 and 3 correspond to transitions between $|\Omega| = \frac{3}{2}$ quasiparticle states, blocks 4 and 5 correspond to transitions between $|\Omega| = \frac{5}{2}$ and $|\Omega| = \frac{7}{2}$ states, and so on. The $\Omega$ quantum number denotes the total-angular-momentum projection of the quasiparticle state along the $z$ axis. In this way, one can understand the importance of quasiparticle states of a given $\Omega$ and their impact on the total induced current. This decomposition is rather useful when the comparison between the cases with and without pairing is made. To examine this, we calculated the induced current density and matter density under the vanishing pairing setup as well. They can be found in Fig. 4.

We already know that the presence of the pairing correlations significantly lowers the value of the rotational TV inertia.
and thus one would expect to observe this effect also in the induced current density. Comparing the full plots in Figs. 3 and 4, we see that the flow in the case with pairing resembles qualitatively more like a irrotational flow behavior, whereas the case without pairing is noticeably closer to a rigid-body rotation. However, since the moment of inertia in the case with pairing correlations included is nevertheless much higher compared with the purely irrotational case and due to the finite size of the nucleus, the shown flow pattern is not purely irrotational. Similar kinds of flow patterns also emerge with the rotation of trapped atomic gases [25], where the temperature has a critical impact on the moment of inertia and the current flow.

To track down the source of this effect, we analyzed the contributions from the QRPA blocks separately. Looking at Figs. 3(b)–3(e) and 4(b)–4(e), we see that, although the first few QRPA blocks are basically similar, a strong effect can be seen in blocks 6 and 7 in the case without pairing. The contributions from blocks 4 and 5 and especially 6 and 7 are significantly smaller when pairing is taken into account. Exactly these contributions enhance the rotational behavior in the case of vanishing pairing. Additionally, the strongest contributions (blocks 1–3) have flow patterns which are qualitatively comparable to those in Ref. [25] at low temperature. The vanishing pairing enhances the rotational component, which shifts the flow structure towards the rigid-body flow pattern. This shows that the role of high-\(\Omega_1\) states becomes more prominent with vanishing pairing when compared with the superfluid case. Similar kind of behavior occurs in the rotational flow of \(^{240}\text{Pu}\) and also in the flow of the oblate minimum of \(^{166}\text{Er}\); see the Supplemental Material [47]. We did not see any large qualitative differences between the proton and neutron flow apart from the fact that the neutron flow was stronger in general due to higher abundance of neutrons.

C. Deformation dependence of Thouless-Valatin inertia

Rare-earth isotopes described in the present work are deformed nuclei with a moderate prolate deformation. An example of such a prolate ground state can be easily seen from the plot of the HFB binding energy versus deformation dependence of \(^{166}\text{Er}\), as shown in Fig. 5. Here we can see that, in addition to the prolate minimum, there exists also a higher-lying oblate minimum; therefore, it is interesting to examine the TV rotational inertia dependence on the deformation as well. To obtain the results for \(^{166}\text{Er}\) seen in Fig. 5, we carried out constrained HFB calculations and scanned deformation from \(-0.5\) to \(+0.5\). For each deformation point we calculated the TV inertia in the FAM-QRPA framework. As expected, the TV inertia vanishes in the spherical case, when the deformation is set to zero; however, once the deformation is present, it increases smoothly with the increasing deformation in both prolate and oblate cases.

One can observe small dips in the moment of inertia, which appear when the deformation is increased further away from both minima. These dips are a direct consequence of the behavior of pairing gaps and pairing energy with changing deformation. They are linked to the local increase of the pairing gaps and related increase of the pairing energy in the region on which we are focusing. Such a feature supports the general picture and conclusions about the role of the superfluidity in the properties of the rotational TV inertia.

These calculations are of great interest, since the rotational moment of inertia is one of the vital inputs for the microscopic

FIG. 5. Calculated Thouless-Valatin rotational moment of inertia and the HFB binding energy of \(^{166}\text{Er}\), both as function of the deformation parameter.
IV. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we study the small-amplitude collective nuclear motion related to the rotational moment of inertia, which is a consequence of a response to the total-angular-momentum operator acting in the role of the external field. We successfully extended the FAM-QRPA approach to the case of the rotational NG mode and demonstrated the numerical efficiency in calculating important nuclear properties. This work also paves a way for the removal of the spurious component from the transition strength function, appearing with $K^\pi = 1^+$ type of operators when deformation is present.

Our calculations covered several axially deformed nuclei from the rare-earth and heavy-actinide regions. The analysis of the rotational Thouless-Valatin moment of inertia has shown the importance of the pairing correlations in the description of the rotational attributes of superfluid nuclei. When the pairing strength was adjusted to the experimental pairing gaps, the rotational moment of inertia for most of the isotopes was reproduced very well. The high-$\Omega$ quasiparticle states were found to have a major role in increasing the moment of inertia in the case with no pairing considered.

Lastly, we demonstrated that FAM-QRPA can be used to extract the rotational moment of inertia within the constrained HFB calculations. Such type of local QRPA calculations are essential when constructing a microscopic collective Hamiltonian model. As a future avenue, we therefore plan to employ the FAM-QRPA approach to provide such microscopic input for a collective Hamiltonian, with the purpose being to apply it to the large-amplitude collective motion in nuclei.
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