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Abstract—Black pepper (Piper nigrum), known as “King of spices”, is a perennial export oriented cash crop in Malaysia. To furnish the pepper berries according to the world demand, integrated fertilizer regimes in black pepper is becoming relevant today. An investigation was conducted to determine the influence of newly developed foliar fertilizer when used in combination with soil NPK fertilizer on different growth parameters of the black pepper cultivar Semongok Aman. The plants were applied with different rate of NPK compound fertilizer (N:12%, P:12%, K:17%; Mg:2%) and foliar fertilizer. The plants were allowed to grow for upto 12 months of age for taking observations on different vegetative growth parameters. Considering the realization of highest response for five important growth parameters viz., plant height (59.30 cm), plant dry weight (99.68 g), plant root length (74.92 cm), dried black pepper yield (572.52 g) and relative growth rate, RGR (1.65 g g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)) after 12 months of planting, it may be concluded that the application of foliar fertilizer supplementation at 5ml/L of water along with 50% of soil NPK fertilizer may be the best nutrient schedule under this agro-climatic condition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Pepper of commerce is produced from the fruit of the perennial climbing vine Piper nigrum L. It is the most important of all spice crops and has been regarded as the King of Spices. Pepper has been extensive culinary uses and is most widely used as a condiment. It is an important component of many ground spice formulae which are used for food seasoning. Besides, pepper has also been used in many ways today, unlike in the past. Other than food, it finds application in the preparation of traditional and modern medicines, nutraceuticals, perfumes, and other products. Also pepper is used in many different forms; some of the value added products that have been developed include pepper oil, pepper oleoresin, green pepper brine and etc. With the development of modern science and technologies and greater awareness and demand among people for the use of natural products, particularly in food and pharmaceuticals, pepper has indeed secured a better position and has a better prospect in the years to come. Pepper now ranks as the second most important foreign exchange earner for Sarawak, after palm oil, with over 70,000 farm families dependent on the crop for their cash incomes. Currently, the production and quality of pepper berries are facing serious problems because there is scarcity of pepper cutting to replace the ageing and non-productive pepper stock plant in the field. This replacement is becoming difficult due to the cumbersome procedure of raising 5 noted cutting in the field and nursery stages, and the continued decline in soil fertility.

To furnish the pepper berries according to the world demand, farmers are insistently using physical and chemical approaches to sustain the soil fertility and pepper production. Recently, organic approaches are favorable in enhancing the growth and productivity of black pepper plants[2, 3]. Looking into this perspective the farmers has to look for an alternative measures to sustain his farming business profitability. The utilization of seaweed and fish emulsion based liquid fertilizer are one of the prime inputs to stimulate plant growth as it has been well knowns as plant growth regulator containing various type of phytohormone and nutrient. For example seaweed product are best known for their auxin and cytokinin contents, as these endogenous hormone are responsible for cell division and root and shoot elongation, respectively [4]. Additionally, this organic input also contains salicylic acids that play a vital role in plant response to abiotic stress [5].

The development of foliar fertilizer is not intended to replace the soil fertilization. The supplies of major nutrient (nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium) were still fully dependent on the uses of soil application. This is because
This technique is far more effective and economical than through foliar application. However, many study had proven that the application of foliar fertilizer able to enhance the growth of spice crops through supplying secondary nutrients (calcium, magnesium, sulfur) and micronutrients (zinc, manganese, iron, copper, boron, and molybdenum) and supplementing N-P-K needs for short and/or critical growth stage periods. Besides that, the foliar fertilizer has also been reported manage to delay natural senescence processes of crops at reproductive growth stages [6].

In order to achieve optimal nutrient management for perennial cropping system, black pepper required a management package in which a number of nutrient management measures need to used together to keep overall yield losses to a minimum and for sustainable crop production. Such integrated management programmes are now used for some pest and diseases and experience has shown that to be successful. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the efficacy of newly developed seaweed based foliar fertilizer when used in combination of soil NPK fertilizer enable to sustain the vegetative growth of black pepper under greenhouse condition.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Phytohormone and free amino acids analysis for tested foliar fertilizer was performed prior conducting the experiment. The assay tested showed that this foliar fertilizer contains 5 type of phytohormone that can stimulate the plant growth viz: Abscisic acid (ABA), Jasmonic acid (J), Salicylic acid (SA), Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) hormone and 20 type of free amino acid (Fig. 1). The summaries of nutrient content in foliar fertilizer is shown in Table 1. The foliar fertilizer was applied with a motorized sprayer at monthly intervals up to a maximum of 12 applications. Application was done early in the morning.

![Fig. 1: LCMS Chromatogram of free amino acids](image)

**Table 1: Nutrient content of newly developed foliar fertilizer**

| Element   | Content     |
|-----------|-------------|
| Nitrogen  | 1.20%       |
| Phosphorus| 0.35%       |
| Potassium | 4.20%       |
| Magnesium | 0.84%       |
| Calcium   | 1.40%       |
| Sulphur   | 1.3%        |
| Boron     | 8.2ppm      |
| Cobalt    | 0.14 ppm    |
| Molybdenum|             |

The trial was conducted during 2015 at Malaysian Pepper Board nursery, Kuching under greenhouse condition (Fig. 2). The pepper cutting of cultivar (SemongokAman) was sown in pots filled with soil mixture containing peat.
sand: soil: (1:1:1) mixture and maintained in greenhouse at 30±5°C throughout the experiments. The pepper cuttings with 7 new leaves stage were used for experiments in the greenhouse. The trial was laid out in completely randomized design (RCD) with the 5 treatment. (5) Five treatment of foliar application combination with NPK and fertilizer rate of soil NPK recommended by Malaysian Pepper Board were tested as follow:

Treatment 1: 0% of NPK + foliar fertilizer at concentration of 10ml/L of water
Treatment 2: 25% of NPK + foliar fertilizer at concentration of 7.5ml/L of water
Treatment 3: 50% of NPK + foliar fertilizer at concentration of 5ml/L of water
Treatment 4: 75% of NPK + foliar fertilizer at concentration of 2.5ml/L of water
Treatment 5: 100% of NPK (Control)

Under Malaysian Pepper Board cultivation practice, the NPK compound fertilizer (N:12%, P:12%, K:17%; Mg:2%) was applied 6 time annually (every 2 month interval), following Malaysian pepper Board’s recommendation rate. Watering was done 2 days interval to prevent transplanted cutting from wilting. A shade was constructed over the potted plants to prevent scorching by sun. The plants were allowed to growth for upto 12 months of age for taking observation on different growth parameter. Destructive sampling was done 1 year after the experiment to record the length of roots and total biomass. Nutrient status of leave at 12 months after planting was estimated using standard procedure [7]. The relative growth rate was determined by the following formulas [8].

\[
RGR= \frac{(\ln W_2 - \ln W_1)}{(T_2 - T_1)}; \quad \ln W_2 - \ln W_1 = \text{Natural logarithm of dry matter variation}; \quad T_2 - T_1 = \text{Time variation as day}
\]

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Nutrient uptake

In pepper cultivation, poor nutrient management is the main problem faced by grower in Malaysia. Like other perennial crops, pepper also needs sufficient nutrient in addition to carbon dioxide and water to achieve an optimum growth and yield potential. Under normal condition, most of the nutrients are present in soil with limited quantity. With the hot and humid climate, most of the nutrient depleted especially in Sarawak with the annual rainfall ranged between 3500-4000 mm. In order to enhance plant growth and increase production, the soil feeding is the most effective ways, but has limitations with its availability to pepper vines. For those elements with high water solubility e.g. potassium and nitrogen, most of these macronutrients are easily leached down to the soil and finally pollutes the groundwater. For instance nitrates can be harmful to humans. With increasing costs of fossil fuel, which provides the raw materials for fertilizer manufacture, there is a need to find innovations in fertilizer usage techniques. This problem is even more complex as pepper is a high nutrient demanding crop [1]. For this reason, efforts have been focused on reducing the rate of soil NPK fertilizer in order
to sustain the pepper production and reducing environmental impact.

The effects of simultaneously application of soil NPK fertilizer with foliar fertilizer are presented in Table 2. The results reveal that the maximum nutrient uptake (N, P, K and Mg) was brought out by decrease soil NPK fertilizer application to 75% with additional application of foliar fertilizer at concentration of 5ml/L of water (Treatment 3) with the N uptake value of 21.33 mg; P, 1.95 mg; K 19.26 mg and Mg, 1.65 mg respectively. This was followed by the application of soil NPK fertilizer application reduced to 50% with additional application of foliar fertilizer at concentration of 2.5ml/L of water (Treatment 4). No significant different was observed among treatment 3 and 4, but this uptake was considered acceptable to achieved national pepper production target of 3.0 kg/vine. The application of foliar fertilizer only led to poor nutrient uptake. The solely application of soil NPK fertilizer although indicated that this fertilizer integration package was significant different was observed among treatment 3 and 4, but this uptake was considered acceptable to achieved national pepper production target of 3.0 kg/vine. The application of foliar fertilizer only led to poor nutrient uptake. This was followed by the application of soil NPK fertilizer application reduced to 50% with additional application of foliar fertilizer at concentration of 2.5ml/L of water (Treatment 4). No significant different was observed among treatment 3 and 4, but this uptake was considered acceptable to achieved national pepper production target of 3.0 kg/vine. The application of foliar fertilizer only led to poor nutrient uptake.

Table.2: Uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and magnesium by pepper vines upon 12 months after planting

| Treatment | N uptake (mg) | P uptake (mg) | K uptake (mg) | Mg uptake (mg) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| Treatment 1 | 8.63<sup>a</sup> | 0.88<sup>b</sup> | 9.36<sup>c</sup> | 0.48<sup>d</sup> |
| Treatment 2 | 13.45<sup>b</sup> | 1.20<sup>b</sup> | 12.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.95<sup>d</sup> |
| Treatment 3 | 21.33<sup>c</sup> | 1.95<sup>c</sup> | 19.26<sup>c</sup> | 1.65<sup>c</sup> |
| Treatment 4 | 19.87<sup>c</sup> | 1.92<sup>c</sup> | 18.25<sup>c</sup> | 1.68<sup>c</sup> |
| Treatment 5 | 16.34<sup>c</sup> | 1.12<sup>c</sup> | 15.21<sup>c</sup> | 1.54<sup>c</sup> |

Means in column with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 level using Duncan Multiple Range Test

3.2 Growth parameter and its components

The plant growth parameters of pepper cutting under different fertilizer regime are presented in Table 3. The results showed that the pepper vines respond differently to the different fertilizer regime for manifestation of the growth characters. Among fertilizer regime, all the plant growth parameters were recorded to be the lowest in plants which received only the foliar fertilizer (Treatment 1). This finding is expected because of limited nutrient being supplied to the pepper vines. Although much of the research work reported that foliar feeding is the most dramatic and fast way of getting nutrients into plants with the efficacof 5-20 times more effective than soil application [11, 12, 13], however, the main drawback on solely utilization of foliar fertilizer is the limited amounts of nutrients that can be applied at once as compared to soil fertilizer. This can be seen through the development of pale and yellowish leaves in the pepper vines (Fig.3). Concomitantly, it is evidence from the treatment 3 and 4 that that the application of foliar fertilizer in conjunction with soil fertilizer significantly increase the growth parameter of pepper cutting compared to solely application of soil NPK fertilizer (standard cultivation practice). This indicated that better nutrients supply received by treatment 3 and treatment 4 compared to other treatment regimes. In addition, the foliar fertilizer also contains various type of plant growth hormone such as auxin, cytokinin, salicylic acid and abscisic acid that are able to stimulate the vegetative growth of pepper cuttings. These results are in accordance with Anita and Leovegildo (2016) [13] on orchid and Kolota and Osinska, (2001) [14] on vegetable crops. In most of the studies, an increased
amount of nutrient uptake led to an increase in the rate of assimilation and ultimately to better vegetative growth [15]. This finding was further confirmed by the highest plant dry weight and relative growth rate (RGR) in Treatment 3 with the weight and RGR value of 325.26 g and 4.59 gg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\) respectively. It is believed that pepper vine with better nutrient uptake and higher physiological activity would increase the photosynthesis process and thus stimulate the vegetative growth of pepper vines. From the analysis, the vegetative growth of pepper vine growth under treatment 3 regime was superior to treatment 4 although there were no significant differences treatments. This indicated that the nutrient applied might be the optimal fertilizer schedule for pepper vines. According to research finding reported by Sadanandan et al., 2000 [16], pepper nutrient planted under treatment 3 and 4 was in sufficient range. For the treatment 2, even though the utilization of both foliar and soil NPK fertilizer has been report to sustain crop growth, but the growth parameter for pepper vine growth under this fertilizer package was significant lower than standard cultivation practice (Treatment 5). This could be due to the limited nutrient that soil NPK fertilizer could supply with additional of small quantity of foliar fertilizer input. This finding was further confirmed by Yap, 2012 [1]. He reported that pepper crop required approximately 62-10-62 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of NPK annually in order to sustain the growth and soil nutrient balance. Of the parameter typically calculated, the most important is relative growth rate (RGR). Relative growth rate refers to the increase in plant mass per unit of mass present and per unit of time. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the relative growth rate indicated that the increase in plant mass differed significantly among different treatment except between treatment 3 and 4. These results prove that the utilization of foliar fertilizer in combination with soil NPK fertilizer could supply the plant with balance and sufficient nutrient that allow pepper vines to reach maximum growth rate. Besides, the vigorous growth of pepper vines can also be confirmed by the maximum nutrient uptake as shown in Table 1. In term of pepper production, the dry weights of the black pepper berries per treatment were significantly different (Table 3). The yield of dried black pepper growth under treatment 3 and treatment 4 were higher than both those growth under solely application of soil NPK fertilizer and foliar fertilizer. This study also found out that integrated fertilizer regime growth under treatment 3 and 4 increase pepper yield by 11.74% and 13.88% respectively as compared to standard culture practice (Treatment 5) whereas under treatment 2 and treatment 1 regime, the pepper yield was decreased by 28.06% and 65.77% respectively. The differences in pepper yield between these treatment regimens were due principally to difference in nutrient supplied, the number of leaves and nutrient uptake by pepper among the five differences treatment.

### Table 3: Effect of newly developed foliar fertilizer on black pepper plants

| Treatment  | Height of plants (cm)  | Number of node | Number of leaves per plant | Total root length (cm) | Plant fresh weight (g p\(^{-1}\)) | Plant dry weight (g p\(^{-1}\)) | Black pepper yield (g p\(^{-1}\)) | RGR (gg\(^{-1}\)day\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Treatment 1 | 40.63\(^{a}\)          | 38.65\(^{a}\)  | 70.12\(^{c}\)             | 47.21\(^{d}\)          | 225.62\(^{d}\)                  | 51.20\(^{d}\)                    | 175.36\(^{d}\)                  | 3.94\(^{d}\)                  |
| Treatment 2 | 42.62\(^{c}\)          | 45.64\(^{b}\)  | 82.25\(^{a}\)             | 56.83\(^{e}\)          | 286.32\(^{c}\)                  | 75.62\(^{c}\)                    | 368.59\(^{c}\)                  | 4.33\(^{c}\)                  |
| Treatment 3 | 59.30\(^{a}\)          | 55.12\(^{a}\)  | 103.25\(^{a}\)            | 74.92\(^{a}\)          | 325.26\(^{a}\)                  | 99.68\(^{a}\)                    | 572.52\(^{a}\)                  | 4.59\(^{a}\)                  |
| Treatment 4 | 53.25\(^{a}\)          | 54.35\(^{b}\)  | 99.82\(^{a}\)             | 72.01\(^{a}\)          | 320.02\(^{a}\)                  | 95.51\(^{a}\)                    | 583.47\(^{a}\)                  | 4.55\(^{a}\)                  |
| Treatment 5 | 50.26\(^{b}\)          | 51.52\(^{b}\)  | 90.56\(^{b}\)             | 67.42\(^{b}\)          | 307.56\(^{b}\)                  | 88.65\(^{b}\)                    | 512.36\(^{b}\)                  | 4.49\(^{b}\)                  |

Means in column with different letters are significantly different at 0.05 level using Duncan Multiple Range Test.
Fig. 3: Effect of different fertilizer treatment on plant physiology

A: plant showed vigorous growth when treated with 50% of NPK + foliar fertilizer at concentration of 5ml/L of water
B: plant showed nutrient deficiency symptom when treated with foliar fertilizer only at concentration of 10ml/L of water

IV. CONCLUSION
This project was very meaningful in demonstrating the potential of newly developed foliar fertilizer as an option that provide positive effect on nutrient uptake which promoted the vegetative growth of black pepper. The application of foliar fertilizer is very efficient because the nutrient can be directly absorbed into the plant though leave and branches. Besides, this foliar fertilizer also contains various type of phytohormone that can stimulate the pepper growth. As indicated previously, the main reason for developing this foliar feeding is not to replace convention soil-applied fertilization. Due to the present of several undesirable process though the application of soil fertilizer e.g.nutirent leaching, runoff and being tied up in the soil in unavailable forms, the applications of foliar fertilizer is therefore designed to be an integral component of overall pepper vines nutrition programs. Further studies under field conditions should be conducted to verify the present finding in order to boost the growth and yield of black pepper plants.
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