Estimating heritability of height without zygosity information for twins under five years in low- and middle-income countries: An application of normal finite mixture distribution models
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ABSTRACT

Twin studies are widely used to estimate heritability of traits and typically rely on knowing the zygosity of twin pairs in order to determine variation attributable to genetics. Most twin studies are conducted in high resource settings. Large scale household survey data, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys, collect various biometric markers for children under five years old in low- and middle-income countries. These data include twins but no information on zygosity. We applied mixture models to obtain heritability estimates without knowing zygosity of twins, using 249 Demographic and Health Surveys from 79 low- and middle-income countries (14,524 twin pairs). We focused on height of children, adjusted for age and sex, but also provided estimates for other biometric markers available in the data. We estimated that the heritability of height in our sample was 46%.

1. Introduction

Many traits, such as height, are determined by both environmental exposures and genetics (Jelenkovic, Sund, et al., 2016). Twin studies have long been used to assess the extent to which such traits are determined by genetics (Polderman et al., 2015). These studies utilize known differences in genetic relatedness between monozygotic twins, who essentially share 100% of genes, and dizygotic twins, who share 50% of genes on average. (They also rely upon an assumption that environmental influences on monozygotic and dizygotic twins are equivalent.) Therefore, twin studies require information on zygosity of twins. There is a lack of twin data from low- and middle-income countries and, therefore, most heritability estimates refer to high-income settings.

Large scale household surveys, such as the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), collect various biometric data, such as height, of children under five years old in low- and middle-income countries. These surveys include twins but do not contain information on zygosity. Previous studies have suggested methods to obtain heritability estimates from twin data without zygosity information (Benyamin et al., 2005, 2006; Neale, 2003); such methods have been used elsewhere (e.g., Conley et al. 2006, Figlio et al. 2017). This paper applied these methods and estimated the heritability of biometric traits of children under five years old using data on 14,524 twin pairs obtained from 249 DHS conducted in 79 low- and middle-income countries. We focused on height, due to its frequent use in twin studies, but also show heritability estimates for weight, birthweight, hemoglobin level, and weight-for-height. We show results for children under five, children under two, and children 2–4 years old.
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2. Material and methods

2.1. Data

All data were from the DHS (DHS, 2021). The DHS are nationally representative household surveys collected roughly every five years in many low- and middle-income countries. The surveys used a two-stage stratified sampling design. In the first stage, primary sampling units, typically consisting of villages or neighborhoods, were selected from strata of subnational geographic or administrative regions, separated into urban and rural areas, with a probability proportional to population size. In the second stage, 20–30 households were sampled using random sampling. From these households, women 15–49 years old (a few surveys used slightly different age range) were interviewed and data were collected on their birth histories and health, as well as their children’s health. Response rates generally exceeded 90% (Corsi et al., 2012). We used data from all available DHS (as of 10/27/2020) that recorded child height and retained 249 surveys from 79 countries conducted between 1986 and 2018. The height of children under five years old was measured at the time of the survey. Since children in the DHS are typically consisting of villages or neighborhoods, were selected from stratified sampling design. In the first stage, primary sampling units, strata of subnational geographic or administrative regions, separated into urban and rural areas, with a probability proportional to population size. In the second stage, 20–30 households were sampled using random sampling. From these households, women 15–49 years old (a few surveys used slightly different age range) were interviewed and data were collected on their birth histories and health, as well as their children’s health. Response rates generally exceeded 90% (Corsi et al., 2012).

We used data from all available DHS (as of 10/27/2020) that recorded child height and retained 249 surveys from 79 countries conducted between 1986 and 2018. The height of children under five years old was measured at the time of the survey. In a few surveys, the age limit was three years old, and in others, height was only measured for a subsample of children in the eligible age group. We excluded 2,169,269 singletons, 1,198 triplets and quadruplets, 19,960 twins where neither twin had a height measure recorded (e.g., because of death, not being sampled, implausible height value), 10,196 twins where only one twin had a height measure, and 34 where age did not match between twins. The remaining sample consisted of 29,048 twins or 14,524 twin pairs.

2.2. Outcome

Our main outcome was child height measured in millimeters by an interviewer at the time of the survey. Since children in the DHS are measured at different ages, we factored out age by using a residualized measure of height for the analysis. We used a two-step process and computed residualized results separately across sex (which implicitly also adjusts for sex-differences): 1) To account for non-linear patterns in growth, we first residualized height on age using a LOESS regression. 2) To account for increasing variation in height as a function of age, we then identified a projected SD of height by age using a LOESS model to predict SD of height as a function of age (with age binned by month). Finally, we took the residualized value from the first step and standardized via the fitted value from the second step.

2.3. Model

We describe the approach here for a generic outcome $y$. Following (Arbet et al., 2020), we suppose that

$$y = a + c + e,$$

where variance components $a$ (additive genetic), $c$ (common shared family environment), and $e$ (nonshared environmental) are random effects drawn from distributions that depend on the zygosity of the twin, $z$. The key assumption has to do with the distributions underlying these random effects. We assume that

$$a \sim N(0, \sigma^2_a K_z)$$

$$c \sim N(0, \sigma^2_c)$$

$$e \sim N(0, \sigma^2_e)$$

where $\sigma^2_a$, $\sigma^2_c$, and $\sigma^2_e$ are variance parameters and $K_z$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix with ones on the diagonals and relatedness on the off-diagonals (0.5 on the off-diagonals for dizygotic (DZ) twins and 1 for monozygotic (MZ) twins) and $J$ is a matrix of ones. This model, the classic ACE model (Maes, 2014), then defines heritability $h^2$ as

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_a}{\sigma^2_a + \sigma^2_c + \sigma^2_e}.$$  

Given the nature of the DHS data, zygosity here is unknown.

2.4. Estimation

We utilized an approach originally suggested in (Neale, 2003) to compute $h^2$ without known zygosity by estimating a mixture model. In particular, we used maximum likelihood estimation wherein the likelihood for each observation was weighted by the probability that the pair is MZ or DZ. For different-sex (DS) twins, this was known (i.e., all DS twins are DZ). For same-sex (SS) twins, we relied on an external parameter $\rho$ which described the proportion of twins that were MZ (in practice, this quantity was unknown, so we used sensitivity analysis to describe the robustness of results to various choices of $\rho$). The heritability estimates for height were mostly constant over different assumptions for the $\rho$ parameter (Fig. 1). Additional work on this approach is described in (Benyamin et al., 2005, 2006). Confidence intervals were constructed via bootstrap resampling of the twin pairs.

2.4.1. Simulation study

We conducted a simulation study to ensure appropriate power to estimate heritabilities of height given our sample size. Each iteration of the simulation was based on 15,000 simulated twin pairs with the MZ prevalence at 0.5. In each iteration, we set $\sigma^2_e = 1$ and independently sampled $\sigma^2_a$ and $\sigma^2_c$ from the unit interval. For DZ pairs, we also simulated sex for each twin. All code is available at https://github.com/ben-domingue/twins-nozyg. For comparison, we also used known zygosity to estimate the relevant variance components.

We compared recovery of the relevant variance components to those of the known variance components. Fig. 2 (top row) compares estimates of $\sigma^2_a$ to truth (x-axis). On left, we see estimates with known zygosity whereas, on right, we have estimates without zygosity (these are the relevant ones for our purposes). Estimates without zygosity are clearly noisier. We can see this visually but also with the mean squared error (MSE) quantities shown at bottom right. The MSE for $\sigma^2_a$ was 0.0024 with zygosity while it was over ten times greater without, or 0.025. Turning to recovery of $\sigma^2_c$ (bottom row): for $\sigma^2_c$ the MSE was 0.0017 with zygosity while it was over four times greater without zygosity information, or 0.0074. Estimates of $\sigma^2_c$ without zygosity were somewhat better than for $\sigma^2_a$ (note that the MSE has fallen from 0.025 to 0.007). We then convert these quantities into estimates of $h^2$. Since $h^2$ for height tends to be large, we expect this method being able to estimate heritability.

Fig. 1. Heritability for height estimates using different assumptions for $\rho$. Notes: ‘Height, flexible residualization’ shows our main measure. ‘HAZ’ shows height-for-age z-score. ‘Height residualized’ shows the alternative, less flexible, residualization. ‘Height’ based on unadjusted height in cm.
2.5. Supplementary analysis

As supplementary analysis, we provide heritability estimates for other biomarkers available in the DHS and alternative specifications of height: height without residualization, height using alternative residualization (based on a linear model using age and survey year), height-for-age z-scores, weight (residualized), weight-for-age z-scores, weight-for-height z-scores, birthweight, and hemoglobin levels, using the same approach. All z-scores for anthropometric measures were based on the 2006 WHO growth standards (WHO, 2006).

As a supplementary analysis we also provide heritability for height across different survey-level infant mortality rates, which reflect living standards and child health.

3. Results

Overall, first born twins had an average height of 80.5 cm (standard deviation [SD] = 14.7) while the second born twin had an average height of 80.2 cm (SD = 14.7), with a correlation of 0.97 between heights of twins (Table 1). First born twins less than two years old had a mean height of 68.0 cm (SD = 9.5) while second born twins less than two years old had an average height of 67.8 cm (SD = 9.5), with a correlation of 0.95 between twins. For twins two years old and older, the average height was 91.1 cm (SD = 8.9) for the first-born twin and 90.1 cm (SD = 8.8) for the second born twin, with a correlation of 0.91 between twins.

Fig. 3 (top row) shows trajectories of height in centimeters as a function of age within rolling 6-month windows, split by sex and quintiles of a household wealth index (a measure of living standards provided with the DHS). Children in the lower quintiles grew at a slower pace than children in the upper quintiles. Fig. 3 (bottom row) compares squared differences in height across same-sex (SS) and different-sex (DS) pairs. On the left, we observe that there is less variation in squared height differences amongst SS pairs as compared to DS pairs. This captures the basic notion of twin-based heritability: DS pairs are all dizygotic (DZ) twins while the SS pairs are a mix of DZ and monozygotic (MZ) twins, the latter of which tend to show reduced variation in height due to their increase in genetic similarity. On the right, we look at these differences as a function of age. The differences increased as a function of age with perhaps some additional increase amongst DS pairs.

The heritability estimate for height (residualized according to age and sex) was 0.46 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.44–0.48) overall.

Table 1
Descriptive statistics.

|                  | First born twin mean (SD) | Second born twin mean (SD) | Person’s correlation | Number of twin pairs |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Overall          | 80.5 (14.7)               | 80.2 (14.7)               | 0.97                 | 14,524               |
| Less than 24     |                           |                           |                      |                      |
| months old       | 68.0 (9.5)                | 67.8 (9.5)                | 0.95                 | 6,696                |
| Over 23 months   | 91.1 (8.9)                | 90.1 (8.8)                | 0.91                 | 7,828                |

Fig. 2. Comparison of true and recovered variance components in 300 simulated twin datasets.

Notes: Each simulated dataset consisted of 15,000 pairs. On left, we estimate with zygosity information. On right, without zygosity. At top, we focus on $\sigma_A^2$ and at bottom we focus on $\sigma_e^2$. 

![Graph showing comparison of true and recovered variance components](image-url)
The heritability was effectively the same (0.46) when computed separately amongst children younger than two (95% CI: 0.41–0.50) versus older than two (95% CI: 0.43–0.49). The results from our supplementary analysis shows that the heritability estimate is highly sensitive to whether age, in some form, was factored out of the height measure (Table III). When using height in centimeters as an unstandardized measure, the heritability estimate is 0.07 overall. Results for height-for-age z-score and height using an alternative residualization shows similar results as our main estimates.

We found a heritability estimate for weight-for-age z-score of 0.54 while for residualized weight it was 0.51. Weight-for-height z-score had a heritability estimate of 0.99 before age two and 0.91 after age two. Birthweight had a heritability estimate of 0.71 and hemoglobin level had a heritability estimate of 0.61.

There was no clear link between the heritability estimate for height and infant mortality rate, although the heritability estimate appears to be greater in surveys where infant mortality rate was greater (Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

This paper demonstrated a method for obtaining heritability estimates without information on zygosity using data on 14,524 twin pairs from 249 DHS conducted in 79 LMIC. Our heritability estimate for height, for example, indicates that 46% of the height variation was attributable to genetics, and remained the same before and after age two.

This study had limitations. We do not account for assortative mating, which may lead to an underestimation in the heritability estimates (Zietsch et al., 2011). Further, we are estimating heritability for children at different ages who were still growing: for example, in our sensitivity analysis we observed that not factoring out age reduces the heritability estimate for height to 0.07. Although we factor out age variation in height, overall, there may be residual influence of age on our heritability estimate. Further, although in most cases, the heritability estimates obtained were plausible, the weight-for-height z-score heritability estimate indicated a genetic heritability of 99% before age two, which is inconsistent with the much lower heritability of height and weight separately, and the known role that acute undernutrition plays for weight-for-height. Further, the estimate for birthweight indicated 71% heritability which was considerably greater than in other studies, which have put the heritability estimates at around 25–40%. These estimates are based on high-income settings, where the environmental insults were presumably less important than in our sample (Wells & Stock, 2011).

Previous studies of height have suggested heritability estimates ranging from 0.2 to 0.5 for infants (Dubois et al., 2012; Jelenkovic, Sund, et al., 2016; Mook-Kanamori et al., 2012; Silventoinen et al., 2008). However, other studies have found that heritability of height increases sharply with age reaching, for example, 0.7 in the Netherlands (Mook-Kanamori et al., 2012) at age three and 0.9 in Sweden at age two (Silventoinen et al., 2008). Our estimate remained the same before and after age two, although the heritability estimate appears to be greater in surveys where infant mortality rate was greater (Fig. 4).
heritability by age found in other studies. First, in utero exposures act differently on MZ and DZ twins (Phillips, 1993), which is especially true for residualized height across infant mortality rate (per 1,000 births). The absence of a relationship with age in our study, compared to other studies, may relate to differences in study setting. We study low-resource populations where chronic insults to growth, from chronic undernutrition and repeated infections, are more common than in North America, Europe, Australia, and East Asia, where most other studies were conducted. The absence of increase in heritability by age in our study may relate to more adverse environment in our study setting than in previous studies, which may inhibit catch-up growth. However, other studies have found the heritability estimates to be fairly stable across various levels of living standards: The genetic and environmental variances were found to be similar across parental education levels (Jelenkovic et al., 2020) and the environmentally determined component of adult height was found to remain similar across cohorts born into vastly different environments over the 20th century, within Europe, North America, Australia, and East Asia (Jelenkovic, Hur, et al., 2016). We further found a potential indication that our heritability estimate was higher at higher levels of adversity, indicated by infant mortality rate, although this relationship was unclear. Other explanations may relate to absence of information on zygosity or that children were measured at different ages. Our explanations for absence of age differences in heritability in our study are highly speculative.

5. Conclusions

To conclude, we find a heritability estimate in height for children under five years old to be 0.46 in our sample of twins from low- and middle-income countries. Different from other studies on heritability in height for children, we find no difference in the heritability estimate by age. We also find an implausible 99% heritability of weight-for-age before age two. We apply a method which may be utilized to obtain heritability estimates from birth histories from survey data where zygosity is unknown.
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Table 3

Supplementary analysis.

|                          | All     | <24 months | ≥24 months |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|------------|
|                          | $h^2$   | A          | C          | E          |
| Height (not residualized)| 0.07    | 14.24      | 200.51     | 0.73       |
| Height (alternative      | 0.38    | 14.24      | 22.05      | 0.73       |
| residualization)         |         |            |            |            |
| Height-for-age z-score   | 0.49    | 1.4        | 1.41       | 0.08       |
| Weight (residualized)    | 0.51    | 2.01       | 1.77       | 0.16       |
| Weight-for-age z-score   | 0.54    | 1.18       | 0.88       | 0.12       |
| Weight-for-height z-score| 0.93    | 3.47       | 0.12       | 0.15       |
| Birthweight              | 0.71    | 365087.41  | 142914.53  | 7022.51    |
| Hemoglobin levels        | 0.61    | 2.11       | 0.94       | 0.44       |

Notes: $h^2$ shows a heritability estimate. A shows additive genetic variance, C shows environmental variance, E shows specific environmental variance.
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