In Vitro Evaluation of Antimicrobial potential of Some Medicinal Plants Extracted by Supercritical Fluid Extractor; Their Phytochemistry and GC-MS Analyses
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Abstract

Background
Along with swift economy upgrowing and continuous amelioration of lifestyle, people at present are paying more attention to health issues. Synthetic drugs will be compensated with other natural ones which belong to natural origin. Plants have always been considered as sources of several compounds that are used in many fields, especially human and animal health, starting from boosting the immunity to treatment of infectious diseases caused by some pathogenic microbes such as bacteria, fungi as well as viruses. This study aimed to incorporate some types of plants within antimicrobial portfolio through examination of different six plants which were *Cichorium intybus*, *Cinnamomum camphora*, *Commiphora myrrha*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Nerium oleander* and *Spartium junceum*. As well, attempting to identify the active constituents of their extracts using GC-MS.

Materials and Methods
All selected plants were analyzed to determine their phytochemical composition such as phenolics, alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, and so on. Extraction step was done by sophisticated equipment called supercritical fluid extractor SFE through adjustment of specific conditions include temperature, time, flow rate and pressure to change the behavior of CO₂. Testing the antimicrobial activity of each plant extract via agar well diffusion method through formation of clear zones against wide range of pathogenic test microorganisms including both Gram positive and negative bacteria as well as yeasts. Finally, attempting to identify primarily the constituents of each plant extract using GC-MS.

Results and Discussion
crude extract of *F. vulgare* showed the highest potency against *C. albicans*, *E. faecalis* and *S. typhimurium*, it contains some unique compounds such as squalene, eugenol and isoeugenol while, Extract of *Cichorium intybus* showed a moderate activity especially against *C. libolytica* and MRSA and it includes Vitamin A like compound which indicates antioxidant property.

**Conclusion**

Conclusively, fennel gave a promising result as a good wide spectrum antimicrobial agent because it contains some compounds act as antimicrobial agents such as eugenol which was used as food preservative in addition to squalene which acts as antioxidant and antimycotic agent so, it will be useful especially while it was used in highly purified form excluding all undesirable subcomponents.

**Background**

The majority of microorganisms are free-living and perform useful activities however, some microorganisms caused diseases are called pathogens. They include bacteria, viruses, fungi, and protozoa. Infection occurs when a pathogen invades a body that resulted in clinical infection, such an infection is referred to as subclinical asymptomatic disease [1].

Microbial infections are most frequently caused by the resident microflora of the host rather than by exogenous invaders. Generally, harmless microorganisms may become virulent due to changes in the host’s tolerance or an alteration in the host’s microbial flora because of antibiotic use [2].

Antimicrobials reduced the morbidity and ameliorate human health against microbial infections. The incidence of antimicrobial resistance is increasing among all types of patients. Not all infections require specific antimicrobial treatment and careful clinical
judgment is essential to determine whether symptomatic treatment is enough.

Microbiological investigations should always be carried out before treatment [3].

Excessive using of antibiotics without advice of physicians, non-adjusted course of antibiotic or uncompleted dosage, unsuitable antibiotic administration; all of these are the reason for bacterial resistance. Physicians should request a report of bacterial culture sensitivity (leastwise, as a phenotypic method) in cases of bacterial infection to detect the suitable antibiotic. Most of Staphylococcus aureus isolates became MRSA, some of them became VRSA, that is meaning the scientists should act more and more to incorporate either more generations of antibiotics or new categories of antibiotics especially those belong to natural origin like plants, mushroom, truffles [4].

The use of plants in the pharmacological treatment of disease began long ago [5].

Centuries ago, Chinese, Japanese, and Indian used herbs in disease treatment as traditional medicine [6, 7]. In Europe and North America; herbal products have been expanded for use under many terms such as ‘alternative’, ‘complementary’, ‘holistic’ or ‘integrative’ medical systems [8].

Chicorium intybus

The plant was widely distributed in Africa, Asia-temperate, Asia tropical, Europe, Australia, Northern America and Southern America. The phytochemical screening of C. intybus showed the presence of tannins, saponins, flavonoids, terpenoids, cardiac glycosides and anthocyanins overall plant parts; seeds, leaves, and stem [9]. The Cichorium intybus seeds extract contained appreciable levels of phenolic and flavonoid contents [10]. The flowers of chicory contain saccharides, methoxycoumarin, cichorine, flavonoids, essential oils, and anthocyanins [11, 12].

Unfortunately, no antimicrobial activity was reported against a wide range of microorganisms by using the hexane extract while, ethyl acetate did [13]. The root and
leaf extracts (methanol, distilled water, chloroform, petroleum ether, and acetone) of Cichorium intybus were investigated for antibacterial activity against Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria, the extracts showed a wide spectrum of inhibition against the test pathogens. Methanolic extract of root and leaf proved to have the strongest antibacterial activity [14].

**Cinnamomum camphora**

Cinnamomum spp. belong to Lauraceae and had been examined extensively for their essential oil constituents. This genus includes about 250 species in the tropical and subtropical districts, mostly in Asia and some in South and Central America, and Australia [15].

Cinnamomum camphora was known for its medicinal traits in folk medicine. Phytochemical screening showed a presence of alkaloids, Tannins, and carbohydrates, as well as its methanolic extract, presented the maximum antimicrobial activity when compared with the other extracts; chloroform and petroleum ether [16].

**Commiphora myrrha**

Commiphora myrrha belongs to Bruseraceae and it was commonly known as "Myrrh" which is one of the most important medicinal plants. Traditionally, its resin was used in the tackling of wounds, gastrointestinal tract GIT disorders, diarrhea, coughing, thoracic pain [17], gingivitis [18] also, it is very effective in the treatment of urinary tract infection UTI [19].

**Foeniculum vulgare**

Foeniculum vulgare Mill is called fennel and belongs to Apiciaceae, it features with its aromatic fruits. It was commonly used for the treatment of different disorders as well as it acts as a digestive, carminative and diuretic agent [20]. Preliminary phytochemical
screening confirmed the occurrence of flavonoids, tannins, saponins, steroids, glycosides, terpenoids besides its antimicrobial activity due to its potential essential oil constituents \[21\] as well as several pharmacological advantages through its bioactive constituents that is very important for human health \[22\].

**Nerium oleander**

Nerium oleander Linn; belongs to Apocynaceae family. It is commonly known as kaner, an evergreen flowering shrub, and extensively cultivated for its aroma. Its extracts have many pharmacological properties such as diuretic, expectorant and sternutatory agent. It is also a highly toxic plant due to presence of a cardiac toxin hence, it was used topically \[23\].

Methanolic and water extract of different parts of the plant gave a reasonable activity against some pathogenic microorganisms \[24\].

**Spartium junceum**

Spartium junceum is one of the medicinal plants that belong to the Fabacea family that was cultivated as ornamental plant \[25\], its flowers were used for the treatment of gastric ulcer in Turkey \[26\] it has miscellaneous functions as antioxidant, antifertility, simulator for uterine and GI contraction thus causing vomiting \[27–29\].

The current study aimed to screen the phytochemical composition of all mentioned plants in the review and extraction by more sophisticated technique known as supercritical fluid extracting equipment SFE under certain conditions and subsequently investigation of the ability of these SFE extracts to inhibit the growth of some pathogenic microorganisms including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria in addition to some pathogenic yeasts and finally, these SFE extracts would be analyzed by gas chromatography to predict their ingredients as an attempt to identify the bioactive components.
Materials And Methods

1. Plants collected

Six different unusual plants were collected and had been finely ground by aggressive blender until turning to a fine powder for easy extraction and further investigations, the following table (Table 1) includes their scientific, common and Arabic name as well as the parts used for investigations and analyses.

| Scientific Name       | Common Name | Arabic Name          | Part of Interest |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Cichorium intybus     | Chicory     | Shikoryah - Hindbaa  | Seeds            |
| Cinnamomum camphora   | Camphor     | Kafour               | Leaves           |
| Commiphora myrrha     | Myrrh       | Myrrh                | Resin            |
| Foeniculum vulgare    | Fennel      | Shamar               | Seeds            |
| Nerium oleander       | Oleander - Kaner | Daflah             | Flowers          |
| Spartium junceum      | Spanish broom | Wezal               | Leaves           |

Table 1
The samples of plants collected to be analyzed.

2. Quantitative Assessment For Phytochemical Constituents

Ten grams of the air-dried plant powder were extracted independently with 80% methanol three successive times. The extracts were concentrated, and the dried matter was then dissolved in 50 ml methanol. The alcoholic extracts were then completed to the volume of 100 ml by adding distilled water and used for the following determinations.

2.1. Total Phenolics

One ml of the prepared extract of each specimen was completed to the volume of 10 ml by adding distilled water, then 1 ml of Folin-Ciocaltu reagent was added. The mixture was then shaken vigorously for 5 min. then 10 ml of 70% Na₂CO₃ was added and diluted immediately up to 25 ml by adding distilled water. The latter mixture was incubated for 90 min. at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 750 nm against the reagent used as a blank. A standard calibration plot was generated at 750 nm using known concentrations of gallic acid. The concentrations of phenols in the tested samples were calculated from the calibration plot and expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent of phenol/g
of sample [30].

2.2. Total Flavonoids

One ml of the prepared extract of each specimen was completed to the volume of 5 ml by adding distilled water. Immediately 0.3 ml 5% NaNO₂ was added and the mixture was then left for 5 min. Respectively 0.3 ml 10% AlCl₃ and 2 ml 1 M NaOH were added. The mixture was then diluted up to a volume of 10 ml with distilled water and the formed pink color was measured at 550 nm against the reagent used as a blank. A standard calibration plot was generated at 550 nm using known concentrations of quercetin. The concentrations of flavonoids in the tested samples were calculated from the calibration plot and expressed as mg quercetin equivalent of flavonoids/g of sample [31].

2.3. Total Tannins

The total tannin content in the plant extract was estimated according to Folin-Deins reagent method [32], the absorbance was measured at 755 nm.

2.4. Total Saponins

One gm of each plant powder was dispersed in 10 ml of 20% ethanol. Heating of the over a hot water bath for 4 h with persistent tilting at about 55 °C. The mixture was filtered, and the residue re-extracted with another 10 ml of 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 2 ml over a water bath at about 90 °C. The concentrate was transferred into a 250 ml separator funnel and 5 ml of diethyl ether was added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was retrieved whilst the ether layer was thrown away. The purification was done once again then 15 ml of n-butanol was added. The merged n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10 ml of 5% aqueous sodium chloride, then the mixture was heated in a water bath. After evaporation, the samples were dried in the oven at 65 °C to a constant weight. The total saponin content was expressed as a percentage [33].
2.5. Total Alkaloids

Ten grams of each plant powder was extracted with 90% ethanol. Mayer's reagent was used according to Woo and Püls [34].

2.6. Total Soluble Carbohydrates

2.6.1. Extraction

According to the described method by Chaplin and Kennedy [35], the plant powder samples were dried at 65 °C till a constant dry weight and grounded again to a very fine powder by a clean mortar. One gram of the powder was put in a 100 ml conical flask, to which 5 ml of 2% phenol/water and 10 ml of 30% trichloroacetic acid were added. The mixture was shaken and kept overnight before being filtered; the filtrate was made up to 50 ml.

2.6.2. Estimation:

Contents of total soluble carbohydrates were determined using anthrone technique [36], the developed color was measured using an electric colorimeter at 620 nm. A blank mixture containing distilled water and reagent was used to set up the apparatus at zero optical density.

2.7. Total Water-soluble Proteins

2.7.1. Extraction

In this regard, one gram of the oven-dried powder at 60 °C and then transferred to 250 ml conical flask, then 10 ml distilled water and 5 ml of 2% phenol solution were added. The contents of the flasks were shaken well and kept overnight before being filtered, and then they were used for the estimation of soluble proteins.

2.7.2. Determination

The optical density of the resulted color was then read at the wavelength of 750 nm. The
concentration of soluble protein present in the sample was then calculated making use of
the constructed standard curve of proteins [37].

2.8. Total Nitrogen
The total nitrogen content of each plant powder was determined according to Kjeldahl
digestion [38].

2.9. Volatile Oil
Fifty grams of each plant powder were exposed to steam distillation to extract volatile oils
according to Balbaa's method [39].

3. Extraction By Supercritical CO2 Fluid Extractor (SFE)
Ten grams of each plant powder were used to be extracted via SFE equipment at SFE lab,
the regional center for mycology and biotechnology RCMB, Al-Azhar university. The
supercritical fluid extraction equipment (Teledyne ISCO SFX 200) includes carbon dioxide
injection pumps, extractors, separators, compressors, carbon dioxide tanks, chillers.
The operation of extraction depends upon pushing the CO\textsubscript{2} through pipelines to be mixed
with the samples under programmed critical conditions which gives CO\textsubscript{2} the solvent
property, its ability as a tunable solvent differs with changing the critical conditions, these
parameters include pressure, temperature, flow rate. In this experiment; the conditions
applied were 300 bar, 55 °C and CO\textsubscript{2} flow rate of 6 g/min for 50 min.

4. Antimicrobial Activity Of Plant SFE Extracts
Agar well diffusion method was applied to determine the antimicrobial activity of six
extracts against 10 pathogenic microorganisms; the first four belonged to Gram-positive
bacteria, the next four belonged to Gram-negative bacteria and the last two belonged to
yeasts. This assay was done in corresponding to gentamycin as a positive control
antibacterial and ketoconazole as a positive control antifungal. The medium used for
bacterial growth was Mueller-Hinton agar medium (code; CM0337, Thermo Scientific, Oxoid Microbiology products) which composed of gL⁻¹; beef dehydrated infusion 300, casein hydrolysate 17.5, starch 1.5 and agar 17, this medium is ready to use which had been prepared by weighing 38 g and up to 1 liter with distilled water. On the other aspect, yeast was cultivated onto malt extract agar MEA medium (code; CM0059, Thermo Scientific, Oxoid Microbiology products) which composed of gL⁻¹; malt extract 30, mycological peptone 5, agar 15, this medium is ready to use which had been prepared by weighing 50 g and up to 1 liter with distilled water.

5. GC-MS For Prediction Of Subcomponents

GC/MS was carried out on Direct Probe Controller Inlet part to Single Quadrupole mass analyzer (Thermo Scientific; GC-MS model ISQ LT) using Thermo X-Caliber software. The Mass spectroscopy system was used to predict the subcomponents of plant SFE extracts using RTX-2330 (fused silica) 30 m capillary column of 0.25 μm internal diameter and df (μm) 0.20 μm. The column was operated at an initial temperature of 160–250 °C at the rate of 5 °C/min. and was held for 30 min. The injector and detector temperatures were 240 °C and 250 °C, respectively. The carrier gas (nitrogen) was supplied at a total flow rate of 50 ml/min with a split ratio of 20:0 and subcomponents were identified by comparison with a linked library.

Results And Discussion

1. Quantitative phytochemical screening

The powders of examined plants were processed according to the specific protocols to assess the phytochemical composition belongs to each plant, all the data obtained were tabulated in Table 2 which revealed the concentration of each component.
Table 2
Phytochemical composition of the six examined plants.

| Item concentration for each plant | Cichorium intybus | Cinnamomum camphora | Commiphora Myrrha | Foeniculum Vulgare | Nerium oleander | Spartium junceum |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Phenolics (mg eq./g gallic acid)  | 237               | 223                 | 247              | 261               | 213            | 215             |
| Flavonoids (mg eq./g rutin)      | 143               | 138                 | 159              | 131               | 124            | 118             |
| Conc. %                           |                   |                     |                  |                   |                |                 |
| Tannins                           | 4.31              | 4.13                | 4.05             | 3.87              | 3.74           | 3.27            |
| Saponins                          | 2.47              | 2.09                | 2.11             | 2.69              | 2.55           | 2.28            |
| Alkaloids                          | 3.92              | 3.85                | 3.49             | 3.85              | 2.99           | 2.87            |
| Carbohydrates                     | 2.15              | 3.48                | 3.07             | 2.21              | 2.86           | 2.94            |
| Proteins                          | 15.94             | 11.39               | 12.54            | 13.94             | 10.29          | 11.05           |
| Total nitrogen                    | 26.76             | 21.53               | 21.96            | 23.41             | 21.47          | 20.98           |
| Oils                              | 0.62              | 0.58                | 0.47             | 0.81              | 0.69           | 0.41            |

Regarding to total phenolic compounds; F. vulgare has the highest value 261 mg followed by C. myrrha 247 mg, while the lowest value 213 mg belonged to N. oleander. In case of flavonoid concentration; C. myrrha contains the highest value 159 mg followed by C. intybus 143 mg, while the lowest concentration belonged to S. junceum.

Regarding to tannins; the uppermost percentage went to C. intybus (4.31%) followed by C. camphora (4.13%) while, S. junceum contains the lowest concentration of tannins (2.87%).

Referring to total saponin values; F. vulgare contains the highest percentage (2.69%) followed by N. oleander (2.55%) while, the lowest percentage (2.09%) belonged to C. camphora.

Concerning to alkaloids concentrations, the highest value belonged to C. intybus (3.92%) followed by both C. camphora and F. vulgare (3.85%) while, the lowest percentage belonged to S. junceum (2.87%).

In case of total soluble carbohydrates; C. camphora possessed the highest value (3.48%) followed by C. myrrha (3.07%) while, the lowest carbohydrates content belonged to C. intybus (2.11%). Regarding soluble proteins and total nitrogen content, the highest value
belonged to C. intybus (15.94% and 26.76% respectively) and followed by F. vulgare (13.94% and 23.41% respectively) while, the lowest percentage of proteins belonged to N. oleander and the lowest nitrogen content belonged to S. juncem.

Finally, in case of oil percentage; the highest concentration was detected in F. vulgare (0.81%) followed by N. oleander (0.69%) while, the minimum value was detected in S. juncem (0.41%).

Regarding phytochemical screening, all examined plant are rich in phenolics, flavonoids, alkaloids, saponins, tannins and this is the reason of their pharmacological properties as good medicinal plants especially, fennel, chicory and myrrh, this result is in harmony with anthocyanin that had been from red chicory Cichorium intybus in aqueous solution at pH 2.5 [40].

Also, the current results of myrrh are compatible with those who recorded the presence of terpenoids, steroids, tannins, volatile oils and resins [41].

All data from Table 2 except total phenolics and total flavonoids (only phytochemical constituents that had been expressed in %) were configured in a stacked column chart (Fig. 2). By the first sight to Fig. 2, it could be noticed that C. intybus had the higher content followed by F. vulgare, C. myrrha, C. camphora, N. oleander and the lowest plant content is S. juncem.

2. Supercritical Fluid Extracting System

By applying selected parameters, the extraction capacity ranged from 0.4 to 0.6 ml which represents 4–6 wt.% approximately. The advantage of using this technique for extraction is the high precision, time saving, and with no solvent traces. This result expressed success of SFE equipment in extraction process, this is friendly with the results reported that the use of SFE in last years had been approved to be alternative for extraction of natural compounds like triterpenes which extracted by both SFE in corresponding to
traditional extraction method; Soxhlet, and the result of SFE was more satisfying [42]. As well, SFE is a green extraction method providing a concentrated end product with no undesirable residues [43]. The effect of SFE parameters changing to obtain better extractability of phenolic compounds from lavender flowers, different ranges of SFE parameters were checked such as 200 bar, 40 °C and 15 min and the yield was 4.3 wt.% while 250 bar, 60 °C for 45 min gave 9.2 wt.% (more than double) [44].

3. Antimicrobial Activity Determination

Antimicrobial activity of these six different plants were estimated and the results had been expressed in mm as diameters of inhibition zones. All the data resulted were tabulated in table (3) which revealed the following observations:

All test organisms exhibited variation in their responses against the examined crude extracts, Candida albicans exhibited more resistance than C. libolytica among the examined yeast strains; only three extracts had moderate effects against C. albicans while all six extracts affected C. libolytica.

In case of Gram-positive bacteria; the most resistant strain was S. mutans followed by Micrococcus sp. and MRSA (had been affected only by three extracts) while E. faecalis was the most susceptible one that had been inhibited by all six investigated extracts.

Referring to Gram-negative bacteria; the most resistant strain was K. pneumonia followed by E. cloaca and P. vulgaris (only two extracts weakly inhibit its growth) while S. typhimurium was the most susceptible one that had been inhibited by four extracts.

On the other side, the investigated crude extracts might be categorized as potent, moderate and weak antimicrobial agents according to the applied concentrations, also, some of them could be classified as wide spectrum while the other are narrow spectrum. For example; crude extract of F. vulgare showed the highest potency against C. albicans, E. faecalis and S. typhimurium, it also showed wide spectrum against 7 out of 10. This
result is in line with those who had investigated phytochemistry, antimicrobial activity and GC-MS of Portuguese fennel fruits that gave very compatible results with the current study where the crude extract has antimicrobial activity slightly lower than those belong to positive standard used; tetracycline as antibacterial and nystatin as antifungal [45]. Also, our finding showed moderate effect of fennel extract against methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) clinical isolate and this result is relative to those findings which concluded that the combination between fennel essential oil and mupirocin has a significant eradicating effect against S. aureus and his finding will be useful antistaphylococcal agent [46].

Extract of Cichorium intybus showed a moderate activity especially against C. libolytica and MRSA with moderate range against 4 out of 10, while the extract of Commiphora myrrha gave a moderate to weak activity with wide spectrum against 6 out of 10. This result in a harmony with hexane and ethyl acetate extracts of chicory roots that showed pronounced antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [47].

SFE extract of Commiphora myrrha has a moderate antimicrobial activity according to the experimental circumstances, it is only active against 5 out of 10. This result slightly related to the conclusion related to myrrh extract which has a noticeable antibacterial activity against Enterococcus faecalis and Fusobacterium nucleatum involved in root canal infections especially when it combined with sodium chlorite [48].

Finally, Spartium junceum and Nerium oleander could be classified as weak and narrow spectrum antimicrobial agents; they only active against 3 and 2 out of 10 respectively. This finding is consistent with the previous published data that are very limited about antimicrobial activity of Spanish broom. Oleander leaves have antimicrobial activity against Bacillus pumilus, B. subtilis, S. aureus, E. coli and Aspergillus niger [49].
| Test organisms | Cichorium intybus | Cinnamomum camphora | Commiphora myrrha | Foeniculum vulgare | Nerium oleander | Spartium junceum | Positive Control |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Pathogenic yeasts Ketoconazol 100 µg (positive control) |                   |                      |                   |                   |                |                  |                  |
| Candida albicans RCMB 005003 (1) ATCC 10231 | 18                | 16                   | 17                | 15                | 10             | 8                | 18               |
| Candida lipolytica |                   |                      |                   |                   |                |                  |                  |
| Gram-positive bacteria Gentamycin 4 µg (positive control) |                   |                      |                   |                   |                |                  |                  |
| MRSA clinical isolate | 11                | 7                    | 8                 | -                 | -              | -                | 30               |
| Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 | 18                | 12                   | 13                | 22                | 10             | 13               | 26               |
| Streptococcus mutans RCMB 017 (1) ATCC 25175 |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                | 20               |
| Micrococcus sp. RCMB 028 (1) |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                | 22               |
| Gram-negative bacteria Gentamycin 4 µg (positive control) |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                | 27               |
| Enterobacter cloaca RCMB 001 (1) ATCC 23355 |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                |                  |
| Klebsiella pneumonia RCMB 003 (1) ATCC 13883 |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                |                  |
| Proteus vulgaris RCMB 004 (1) ATCC 13315 |                   |                      |                   |                   | -              | -                | 25               |
| Salmonella typhimurium RCMB 006 (1) ATCC 14028 | 14                | 16                   | 11                | 20                | -              | -                | 17               |
| Data expressed as diameters of inhibition zones in mm |                   |                      |                   |                   |                |                  |                  |

4. Gas Chromatographic Analyses

4.1. Cichorium intybus
### Table 4

Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Cichorium intybus extract.

| Compound predicted                  | RT    | M. wt. | M. formula       |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|
| Hydroquinone derivative             | 15.95 | 206    | C_{13}H_{18}O_{2} |
| Tetradecanol                        | 17.76 | 214    | C_{14}H_{30}O    |
| Tetradecanoic acid methyl ester     | 20.68 | 256    | C_{16}H_{32}O_{2} |
| Retinal                             | 22.82 | 284    | C_{20}H_{28}O    |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 24.76 | 270    | C_{17}H_{34}O_{2} |
| Heptadecanoic acid ethyl ester      | 26.05 | 298    | C_{19}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 28.03 | 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_{2} |
| Linoleic acid ethyl ester           | 29.11 | 308    | C_{20}H_{36}O_{2} |
| Ethyl oleate                        | 29.22 | 310    | C_{20}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Erucic acid                         | 29.67 | 338    | C_{22}H_{42}O_{2} |
| Eicosenoic acid derivative          | 33.96 | 310    | C_{20}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid           | 38.95 | 390    | C_{24}H_{38}O_{4} |
| Spirostenone                        | 44.29 | 428    | C_{27}H_{40}O_{4} |

There were 13 variable compounds detected in Cichorium intybus by GC-MS analysis (Table 4 and Fig. 4); most of them belong to fatty acid whether saturated or unsaturated as well as fatty acid precursors. Also, some phenolic and terpenoids were detected.

Retinal is also known as retinaldehyde, is a form of vitamin A produced by oxidation of retinol which functions as the active component of the visual cycle, this compound is unique for chicory.

### 4.2. Cinnamomum camphora
Table 5
Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Cinnamomum camphora extract.

| Compound predicted                | RT  | M. wt. | M. formula     |
|-----------------------------------|-----|--------|----------------|
| Hydroquinone derivative           | 15.95 | 206    | C_{13}H_{18}O_{2} |
| Spathulenol                       | 17.51 | 220    | C_{15}H_{24}O   |
| Nonadecene                        | 22.08 | 266    | C_{19}H_{38}    |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester    | 24.76 | 270    | C_{17}H_{34}O_{2} |  
| 9-Eicosene                        | 26.04 | 280    | C_{20}H_{40}    |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester    | 28.02 | 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_{2} |
| Methyl stearate                   | 28.50 | 298    | C_{19}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Ethyl oleate                      | 29.21 | 310    | C_{20}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Docosene                          | 29.66 | 308    | C_{22}H_{44}    |
| Behenyl alcohol or Doconasol      | 33.96 | 326    | C_{22}H_{46}O   |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid         | 38.94 | 390    | C_{24}H_{38}O_{4} |
| Spirostenone                      | 44.29 | 428    | C_{27}H_{40}O_{4} |

Table 5 and Fig. 5 refer to prediction of the subcomponents of SFE Cinnamomum camphora extract that point to existence of 12 components belong to fatty acids and their precursors in addition to phenolics and terpenoids. Spathulenol is sesquiterpene alcohol; only detected in Cinnamomum camphora as unique compound for Cinnamomum camphora during this study. On the other hand, Spirostenone belongs to terpenoids (isoprenoids), this compound found only in both Cichorium intybus and Cinnamomum camphora.

4.3. Commiphora myrrha
Table 6

Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Commiphora myrrha extract.

| Compound predicted          | RT   | M. wt. | M. formula          |
|-----------------------------|------|--------|---------------------|
| Hydroquinone derivative     | 15.95| 206    | C\textsubscript{13}H\textsubscript{18}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Tetradecanol                | 17.76| 214    | C\textsubscript{14}H\textsubscript{30}O             |
| Tetradecanoic acid methyl ester | 20.69| 256    | C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{32}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Hexadecanol                 | 22.09| 244    | C\textsubscript{16}H\textsubscript{34}O             |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester | 24.76| 270    | C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{34}O\textsubscript{2} |
| 9-Eicosene                  | 26.04| 280    | C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{40}             |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester | 28.02| 296    | C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{36}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Methyl stearate             | 28.50| 298    | C\textsubscript{19}H\textsubscript{38}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Eicosenoic acid             | 29.21| 310    | C\textsubscript{20}H\textsubscript{38}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Docosene                    | 29.66| 308    | C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{44}             |
| Erucic acid                 | 31.74| 338    | C\textsubscript{22}H\textsubscript{42}O\textsubscript{2} |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid   | 38.94| 390    | C\textsubscript{24}H\textsubscript{38}O\textsubscript{4} |
| Flavone dioglucoside        | 44.30| 594    | C\textsubscript{27}H\textsubscript{30}O\textsubscript{15} |

Table 6 and Fig. 6 expressed the prediction of subcomponents of SFE Commiphora myrrha extract, analysis of GC-MS report displayed that 13 compounds had been detected; all these compounds belong to fatty acids and their precursors as well as phenolic and flavonoids.

4.4. Foeniculum vulgare
Table 7
Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Foeniculum vulgare extract.

| Compound predicted                      | RT   | M. wt. | M. formula  |
|----------------------------------------|------|--------|-------------|
| Trans isoeugenol                       | 12.28| 164    | C_{10}H_{12}O_{2} |
| Bezodoxepin derivative                 | 15.95| 206    | C_{13}H_{18}O_{2} |
| Eugenol                                | 16.31| 164    | C_{10}H_{12}O_{2} |
| Hexadecanol                            | 17.76| 242    | C_{16}H_{34}O_{2} |
| Tetradecanoic acid methyl ester        | 20.68| 256    | C_{16}H_{32}O_{2} |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester         | 24.76| 270    | C_{17}H_{34}O_{2} |
| 1-Eicosanol                            | 26.05| 298    | C_{20}H_{42}O    |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester         | 28.03| 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_{2} |
| Methyl stearate                        | 28.50| 298    | C_{19}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Ethyl oleate                           | 29.22| 310    | C_{20}H_{38}O_{2} |
| Docosene                               | 29.67| 308    | C_{22}H_{44}     |
| Erucic acid                            | 31.74| 338    | C_{22}H_{42}O_{2} |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid              | 38.94| 390    | C_{24}H_{38}O_{4} |
| Docosanoic acid trihydroxy methyl ester| 40.26| 402    | C_{23}H_{46}O_{5} |
| Squalene                               | 44.30| 410    | C_{30}H_{50}     |

Foeniculum vulgare SFE extract was analyzed by GC-MS (Fig. 7) to predict its subcomponents which were tabulated in Table 7 which revealed detection of 15 subcomponents including fatty acids and their precursors. Eugenol and trans isoeugenol are unique for only Foeniculum vulgare which are classified as phenolic compounds, also squalene is alkene belong to isoprenoid compounds, this compound is also unique for Foeniculum vulgare.

4.5. Nerium oleander
Table 8
Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Nerium oleander extract.

| Compound predicted                  | RT      | M. wt. | M. formula       |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| Cyclohexane derivative              | 13.13   | 202    | C_{15}H_{24}     |
| Dihydro butyl bezoxidoxepin         | 15.94   | 206    | C_{13}H_{18}O_2 |
| Isofuranodionone                    | 18.11   | 230    | C_{15}H_{18}O   |
| Tridecanoic acid methyl ester       | 20.68   | 256    | C_{15}H_{30}O_2 |
| Hexadecanol                         | 22.08   | 242    | C_{16}H_{34}O   |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 24.76   | 270    | C_{17}H_{34}O_2 |
| Nonadecene                          | 26.04   | 266    | C_{19}H_{38}    |
| Nonadecanoic acid                   | 27.71   | 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_2 |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 28.02   | 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_2 |
| Octadecanoic acid methyl ester      | 28.50   | 298    | C_{19}H_{38}O_2 |
| Eicosenoic acid                     | 29.66   | 310    | C_{20}H_{38}O_2 |
| Erucic acid                         | 33.97   | 338    | C_{22}H_{42}O_2 |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid           | 38.95   | 390    | C_{24}H_{38}O_4 |

Nerium oleander SFE extract was examined by GC-MS (Fig. 8) to forecast its ingredients which were presented in Table 8 that showing presence of 13 kinds of compounds including fatty acids and their precursors. Isofuranodionone is a unique for only Nerium oleander which are classified as heterocyclic organic compound.

4.6. Spartium junceum

Table 9
Analysis of GC-MS chromatograph which exhibits the predicted subcomponents of SFE Spartium junceum extract.

| Compound predicted                  | RT      | M. wt. | M. formula       |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| Dihydro butyl bezoxidoxepin         | 15.94   | 206    | C_{13}H_{18}O_2 |
| Hexadecanol                         | 22.08   | 242    | C_{16}H_{34}O   |
| Hexadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 24.76   | 270    | C_{17}H_{34}O_2 |
| Nonadecene                          | 26.04   | 266    | C_{19}H_{38}    |
| Octadecenoic acid methyl ester      | 28.02   | 296    | C_{19}H_{36}O_2 |
| Octadecanoic acid methyl ester      | 28.50   | 298    | C_{19}H_{38}O_2 |
| Erucic acid                         | 33.96   | 338    | C_{22}H_{42}O_2 |
| Benzene dicarboxylic acid           | 38.95   | 390    | C_{24}H_{38}O_4 |

Only 8 compounds were detected in Spartium junceum SFE extract and this is the least content diversity among the examined plants, data was obtained by Fig. 9 that represents
GC-MS chromatograph of Spartium junceum SFE extract, subsequently this figure was analyzed to expect the ingredients which were arranged into Table 9.

Table 9 showed limited number and limited diversity of subcomponents which belong to fatty acid (erucic acid) and precursors of fatty acids.

GC-MS analyses for all examined plants showed presence of fatty acids and fatty acids precursors in all investigated SFE extracts, although some compounds are unique for a specific type of examined plant among this study, on the other hands there are some compounds being common between two or more types of examine plants, for example; eicosonoic acid is monounsaturated (omega 9) fatty acid and it was detected in Cichorium intybus, Commiphora myrrha and Nerium oleander. Erucic acid is also monounsaturated (omega 9) fatty acid and it was detected in Cichorium intybus, Commiphora myrrha, Foeniculum vulgare, Nerium oleander and Spartium junceum. Doxepin derivative is antidepressant molecule and it was detected in Foeniculum vulgare, Nerium oleander and Spartium junceum. Spirostenone belongs to terpenoids (isoprenoids), this compound found only in both Cichorium intybus and Cinnamomum camphora. GC-MS report indicated presence of residues of solvents involved in extraction process plus the components constituting ethanolic clove extract [50] but fortunately, in this study GC-MS report indicated absence of the residues of solvents as an evidence to the high purity degree of the plant extract yielded by SFE.

Conclusion

Most of examined plants have a medicinal importance especially as antimicrobial activity and those are rich in their phytochemical contents whether alkaloids, flavonoids, etc. SFE equipment is inspiring technique for plant extraction which offers time and effort saving as well as high purity of the crude extract with no organic residues. There is a very crucial need to antimicrobial agents to be incorporated more and more into the pharmaceutical
market especially those belong to natural sources to overcome the problem of microbial resistance, and the current study presented a primitive inspiring trial to help in complementary and alternative medicine. Also, combination between those examples of natural antimicrobial agents and other established drugs may offer synergistic powerful effect in tackling the resistance problem. GC-MS reports displayed that some examined plants contain very useful unsaturated fatty acids (omega 9) and hence, those antimicrobial extract will be have additional advantage or dual action.
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*Figure 1*

Supercritical fluid extracting equipment and its units involved in the extraction process
A stacked column chart expressing the values of phytochemical compounds among all examined plant samples measured in %.
Figure 3

Inhibition zones resulted from SFE plant extracts against 10 pathogenic microorganisms.

Figure 4

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Cichorium intybus extract.
Figure 5

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Cinnamomum camphora extract.

Figure 6

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Commiphora myrrha extract.

Figure 7

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Foeniculum vulgare extract.
Figure 8

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Nerium oleander extract.

Figure 9

GC-MS chromatograph of SFE Spartium junceum extract.