Deletion of the Response Regulator PhoP Accelerates the Formation of Aerial Mycelium and Spores in Actinosynnema pretiosum
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PhoPR is an important two-component signal transduction system (TCS) for microorganisms to sense and respond to phosphate limitation. Although the response regulator PhoP controls morphological development and secondary metabolism in various Streptomyces species, the function of PhoP in Actinosynnema pretiosum remains unclear. In this study, we showed that PhoP significantly represses the morphological development of the A. pretiosum X47 strain. Production of aerial mycelium and spore formation occurred much earlier in the ΔphoP strain than in X47 during growth on ISP2 medium. Transcription analysis indicated that 222 genes were differentially expressed in ΔphoP compared to strain X47. Chemotaxis genes (cheA, cheW, cheX, and cheY); flagellum biosynthesis and motility genes (flgBCDGKLN, flaD, flID-R, motA, and swrD); and differentiation genes (whiB and ssgB) were significantly upregulated in ΔphoP. Gel-shift analysis indicated that PhoP binds to the promoters of flgB, flaD, and ssgB genes, and PHO box-like motif with the 8-bp conserved sequence GTTCACGC was identified. The transcription of phoP/phoR of X47 strain was induced at low phosphate concentration. Our results demonstrate that PhoP is a negative regulator that controls the morphological development of A. pretiosum X47 by repressing the transcription of differentiation genes.

Keywords: Actinosynnema pretiosum, morphological development, PhoP, PHO box, TCS

INTRODUCTION

Actinosynnema pretiosum is a Gram-positive, filamentous bacterium that is characterized by motile spores and the ability to produce ansamitocin P-3 (AP-3), a potential anti-tumor agent (Yu et al., 2002; Martin et al., 2014). Although AP-3 has commercial value, it is produced at low levels, and therefore, it is of interest to increase its production by A. pretiosum (Li et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). Actinosynnema pretiosum X47 in this study was derived from A. pretiosum subspecies auranticum ATCC 31565. The genome of A. pretiosum X47 was about 8.13Mb in length, with an average GC content of 73.91%, and 7029 genes were predicted, including 45 pairs of putative two-component signal transduction system (TCS), 13 histidine kinases, and 38 orphan response regulators (Zhong et al., 2019). The morphological
development of *A. pretiosum* is complex and similar to that of *Streptomyces*. *Actinosynnema pretiosum* strains can form branched vegetative hyphae and aerial hyphae on solid media, and the aerial hyphae can separate into chains of spores, which are motile in liquid medium due to flagella (Hasegawa, 1983).

TSCs consist of a histidine kinase (HK), sensing environmental changes or nutrition limitation, and a response regulator (RR) responding to these changes via the modification of the expression of specific genes. PhoPR is an important TCS controlling the adaptation of the metabolism to phosphorus limitation. PhoR is the HK of the PhoPR system and has a transmembrane region; PhoP is the RR and belongs to the OmpR family of regulators (Galperin, 2010). In *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, PhoPR is essential for growth and virulence. In a deletion mutant of *phoP*, the synthesis of the cell envelope and the growth of the *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv strain is inhibit in host systems (Perez et al., 2001; Walters et al., 2006; Ryndak et al., 2008; Broset et al., 2015). PhoPR also plays a crucial role in the virulence and pathogenicity of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* (Tiwari et al., 2014). In *Streptomyces*, PhoP serves as a global regulator that influences morphological development and antibiotic synthesis (Martin et al., 2012, 2017; Yang et al., 2015). PhoP represses the expression of differentiation genes, including *bldA*, *bldC*, *bldD*, and *whiH*, which influence the growth of *Streptomyces coelicolor* (Ryding et al., 1998; den Hengst et al., 2010; Hackl and Bechthold, 2015; Schumacher et al., 2018), and PhoP activates the biosynthesis of the secondary metabolites actinorhodin and undecylprodigiosin (Rodriguez-Garcia et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2017). Deletion of *phoP* resulted in poor growth of *Streptomyces avermitilis* on soya flour mannitol medium without phosphate (Pi) supplements but significantly increased avermectin biosynthesis; however, the growth of the *phoP* deletion mutant and wild-type strains was similar when Pi was added in the medium (Yang et al., 2015; Martin et al., 2017). Similar results were also obtained with *Streptomyces lividans* (Smirnov et al., 2015). In *Streptomyces filipinensis*, growth of the *phoP* mutant strain was greatly affected and it formed fewer spores than the wild-type strain upon growth on a Pi limited medium, whereas it yielded far more abundant spores than the latter on a Pi proficient medium (Barreales et al., 2018).

Although PhoPR is essential for the normal growth and metabolism of a broad range of species, the regulatory functions and mechanisms of PhoP differ in different bacteria. In this study, the PhoPR homolog was identified in the genome of the *A. pretiosum* X47 strain (Zhong et al., 2019), and a *phoP* mutant strain was constructed. Our data suggest that deletion of *phoP* accelerates the formation of aerial mycelia and spore formation in strain X47, contributing to a better understanding of developmental regulation in *A. pretiosum* strains.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions**

*Actinosynnema pretiosum* X47 and its derivatives were cultured at 30°C on solid ISP2 medium (Ma et al., 2007) for spor production and conjugation. *Escherichia coli* strains DH5α, BL21, and ET12567 (pUZ8002; Kieser, 2000) were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium or on LB agar at 37°C, for genetic engineering, protein expression, and conjugation, respectively. Antibiotics were used to select genetically modified *A. pretiosum* and *E. coli* strains. *A. pretiosum* is sensitive to apramycin and hygromycin B. Apramycin is used to screen mutant strains of *A. pretiosum* and hygromycin B is for complementary strain screening.

**Deletion of the phoP Gene From the Strain Actinosynnema pretiosum X47**

To construct the *phoP* mutant strain ∆phoP, flanking sequences of approximately 1,500 bp from the left and right sides of *phoP* were amplified from the X47 genome using primers PhoP-L-F/L-R and PhoP-R-F/R-R (Supplementary Table S1). An apramycin resistance cassette sequence was amplified from pSET152 (Bierman et al., 1992) using primers Apra-F and Apra-R. Then, the left arm, resistance cassette, and right arm were ligated and inserted into pMD18-T (TaKaRa) using the ClonExpress II One Step Cloning Kit. Subsequently, the fragment constituted by the left arm, resistance cassette, and right arm was released by digestion with XbaI and HindIII and inserted into pJTU1278 (He et al., 2010) to generate plasmid pM-phoP. The resulting plasmid was then transferred into *E. coli* ET12567 (pUZ8002), and the transformants were used as donors to conjugate with *A. pretiosum* X47, as described by Kieser (2000). Apramycin-resistant conjugates were selected and the genomic structure of the ∆phoP strains was verified by PCR with the primers PhoP-V-F/R. The phenotypes of the strains were observed when cultured on solid ISP2 medium.

**Complementation of ∆phoP**

To complement the *phoP* deletion mutant, a 2,270-bp fragment containing the coding region of *phoP* and *phoR* and a 300-bp region upstream of *phoR* was amplified from the X47 genome using primers PhoP-Com-F/R. The PCR product was inserted into pMD-18T and cloned as a Hind III fragment into pMS82, resulting in plasmid pC-phoP. Plasmid pC-phoP was transformed into *E. coli* ET12567 (pUZ8002), and hygromycin B was used to select the transformants. Conjugation between *E. coli* and the ∆phoP strain was carried out, conjugants were selected by hygromycin B, and the genomic structure of the complemented strain C-∆phoP was confirmed by PCR analysis.

**Scanning Electron Microscopy**

Spores of X47 and its derivatives were cultured on ISP2 medium, and sterile glass coverslips were inserted into the agar (Supplementary Figure S1). The coverslips were removed when the strains had grown for 48 or 72h, and the coverslips with cultures were soaked in 2% glutaraldehyde for 2h at room temperature. The fixed coverslips were washed three times with 0.1M PBS buffer and treated with 1% osmic acid. Then, the coverslips were dehydrated in a critical point dryer (Quorum K850) then coated with gold and imaged with a scanning electron microscope (HITACHI Regulus 8,100).

---
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HPLC Analysis of the AP-3 Production
AP-3 produced by *A. pretiosum* X47 and ΔphoP strains was extracted with ethyl acetate and detected by HPLC analysis as described (Zhong et al., 2019). HPLC was performed on a Diamonsil C18 Column (250 mm × 4.6 mm) with acetonitrile-water gradient as flow phase and UV detector at 254 nm.

RNA Extraction and RNA Sequencing
The *A. pretiosum* X47 and ΔphoP strains were cultivated on solid ISP2 medium for 60 h. To isolate RNA, the mycelium was collected and mixed with Trizol reagent. RNA extraction and DNA removal were conducted by RNA extraction kit (Vazyme). The integrity of the RNA samples was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the sample concentrations were detected using an Agilent 2,100 bioanalyzer. RNA sequencing was conducted by Novogene Bioinformatics Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

Construction of a phoP Expression Plasmid and Purification of PhoP Protein
The coding region of the *pho* gene of *A. pretiosum* X47 was amplified using primers PhoP-pET15bF/R, and the PCR products were inserted into pET-15b (Novagen) to generate the *phoP* expression plasmid pPhoP. Then, pPhoP was introduced into BL21 (DE3), and the transformants were selected using ampicillin. The expression of PhoP protein was induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside at 25°C for 3–4 h when cell density reached an OD600 reading of 0.4–0.6. Cell pellets were collected, and cell lysates were prepared by sonication. PhoP protein was purified on a Ni-NTA column (Sangon) and dialyzed in dialyzing buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4 and 50 mM NaCl, pH 8.0). The concentration of PhoP protein was determined with the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime).

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays
The upstream regions of *ssgB*, *flgB*, *flaD*, *cheA*, *fltM*, *swrD*, and *whiB* were amplified by 5’-biotin-labeled primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) to generate DNA probes. For EMSAs, 100 fmol probes were incubated with PhoP protein, binding buffer, and poly(dI-dC) for 20–30 min at room temperature. The mixtures were loaded on 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and then, DNA was transferred to nylon membranes and fixed at 120°C. After blocking and washing, the probe signals were detected by the ECL Western Blotting Analysis System (GE Life).

Bioinformatic Analysis
The conservation of *phoP/phoR* genes in *A. pretiosum* X47, *S. coelicolor*, and *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv was analyzed by BLAST:1 PHO box-like motif sequences were predicted by MEME software (Bailey et al., 2015), and searches for PHO box-like motif in the X47 genome were conducted using PREDetector software (Hiard et al., 2007).

Real-Time PCR
Spores of X47 were cultured on ISP2 medium with 0 and 5 mM K2HPO4 for 72 h, and then, cultures were collected. Total RNA was obtained by RNA extraction kit (Sparkeasy), and cDNA was synthesized using ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Master Mix (TOYOBO). Real-time PCR was performed by using SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (TaKaRa) on Roche LightCycler 480 thermal cycler. The amounts of cDNA were normalized to the levels of major sigma factor gene *hrdB*. Results are the means of triplet experiments.

RESULTS
Identification of PhoPR in the *Actinosynnema pretiosum* X47 Strain
CNX65_RS33265 and CNX65_RS33270 were identified as the *phoP* and *phoR* homologs, respectively, in the *A. pretiosum* X47 genome. Sequence alignments (Figure 1) showed that PhoP and PhoR of *A. pretiosum* X47 share 82% and 51% amino acid identity with their counterparts in *S. coelicolor* A3(2; Martin, 2004) and 73% and 35% amino acid identity with the corresponding *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv proteins (Walters et al., 2006).

Deletion of *phoP* Accelerates the Morphological Differentiation of *Actinosynnema pretiosum*
To investigate the function of PhoP in *A. pretiosum*, a ΔphoP mutant strain, lacking part of the *phoP* coding region from position +147 to +582 downstream of translation start site, was generated, which retains *phoR* and its promoter (Figures 2A,B). Inactivation of *phoP* resulted into significant morphological differences compared to strain X47 upon growth on ISP2 solid medium (Figure 2C). The arising of aerial mycelium and production of spores occurred much earlier in the ΔphoP strain than in the wild-type strain. The ΔphoP strain produced white aerial mycelium at 48 h, which turned light yellow during spore formation at 72 h, whereas strain X47 developed mainly vegetative mycelium and had little aerial mycelium at 48 and 72 h. The *phoP*-complemented strain C-ΔphoP had a phenotype similar to that of X47 (Figure 2C). Altogether these data indicated that PhoP is a crucial regulator controlling the developmental process of *A. pretiosum*.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out to visualize differences in the morphological differentiation of X47 and ΔphoP grown on ISP2 medium for 48 and 72 h. The mycelium of the X47 strain mycelium grew inside the growth medium (Figure 3A), whereas the ΔphoP strain showed aerial mycelium growing into the air at 48 h (Figure 3B). By 72 h, the X47 strain had produced only a small amount of aerial mycelium, whereas ΔphoP strain exhibited much more aerial mycelium (Figures 3C,D). While as the resolution images of samples cultured by 72 h were enhanced, it was found that the aerial mycelium of ΔphoP has separated into spores, and no spore formation was observed in X47 strain.
These results indicated that the formation of aerial mycelium and spores was accelerated in the ΔphoP mutant of *A. pretiosum*.

The productions of AP-3 in X47 and ΔphoP strains were analyzed by HPLC. The results (Supplementary Figure S2) showed that there is no significant difference in yield of AP-3 between X47 and ΔphoP strains, suggesting that PhoP is not essential for the AP-3 production under tested conditions.

**phoP Deletion Alters Global Transcriptional Expression**

To investigate the mechanism of morphological differentiation caused by PhoP, the transcription patterns of the ΔphoP and X47 strains grown on ISP2 medium for 60 h were compared by RNA-seq analysis. Compare to the X47 strain, 222 genes were differentially expressed in ΔphoP. Among these genes, 122 genes were downregulated, and 100 genes were upregulated. The expression of gene clusters responsible for the structure, assembly, and motility of flagella was remarkably higher in ΔphoP, including *flgBCDGKLN*, *flaD*, *fliD-R*, *motA*, and *swrD* (Table 1). In addition, *whiB* and *ssgB*, which are involved in cell division and sporulation of *Streptomyces*, and the chemotaxis-related genes *cheA*, *cheW*, *cheX*, and *cheY* were significantly upregulated in the ΔphoP strain. Overall, the results of RNA-seq indicated that PhoP negatively regulates the transcription of genes required for chemotaxis and for the formation of flagella and spores.
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PhoP Binds to the Promoters of flgB, flaD, and ssgB

The above analysis demonstrated that PhoP controls multiple genes related to morphological development. Based on the transcriptional changes (Table 1) and gene arrangements (Supplementary Figure S3), it was deduced that flagellum biosynthesis and motility genes were contained within several operons (cheAW, swrD-motA-ompA-fliL, fliMOPQR-fliB, flaD-fliD-fliS, and flgBC-fliEFGHI-tolA-nlpC-fliK-flgD-flgG). To determine whether PhoP directly regulates the expression of these genes, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed. His$_6$-PhoP protein was purified, and 200-bp sequences upstream of the flgB, flaD, ssgB, cheA, fliM, swrD, and whiB genes were amplified and labeled with biotin to create the probes. EMSA results (Figures 4A–C) showed that the flgB, flaD, and ssgB probes were shifted when incubated with 1.0μg His$_6$-PhoP. As a control, for each target gene, excess unlabeled specific DNA fragments were added to the reactions and resulted into the apparition of free un-shifted probe demonstrating that the binding was specific, while excess unlabeled unspecific DNA fragments in the reaction did not influence the bindings. The above results suggested that PhoP specifically binds to the promoters of flgB, flaD, and ssgB. However, no shift was observed when PhoP was incubated with the promoters of cheA, fliM, whiB, or swrD (Figure 4D), suggesting that PhoP controls the transcription of these genes indirectly.

PHO Box-Like Motifs Are Present in the Promoters of flgB, flgN, and ssgB

PhoP controls the transcription of target genes by binding to the PHO box, a conserved motif found in their promoters (Santos-Beneit, 2015). The results of sequence analysis indicated that the putative PHO box-like motifs identified in Streptomyces also existed in the promoter regions of flgB, flaD, and ssgB genes in A. pretiosum. In the flgB promoter, the putative PHO box-like motif (GTTCAAGCC) was located from position −141 to −134 bp upstream from transcription start position (TSP; Figure 5A),
and for the ssgB promoter, the putative PHO box-like motif (GTTCAGGT) was located from position 272 to 279 bp downstream from TSP. Three PHO box-like motifs (GTTCACGC, GTTCACGC, and GTTCAGAC) were identified in the promoter of flaD, with the last one ending −84 bp upstream from TSP (Figure 5A). While eight consensus binding sequences with five conserved nucleotides for PhoP were revealed (Figure 5B). To confirm the role of PHO box-like motifs identified in this study in PhoP binding, EMSAs were performed by using probes containing mutation in the promoters of flgB, flaD, and ssgB genes. Mutation of four conserved nucleotides in the putative PHO box-like motifs severely reduced PhoP binding (Figures 5C,D), suggesting that the motifs are essential for PhoP binding to the promoters. These PHO box-like motifs have the 8-bp consensus motif of GTTCACNC, and hundreds of sites with this motif were identified in intergenic regions in the genome of strain X47 using PREDetector software (Hiard et al., 2007). Supplementary Table S2 shows a partial list of PHO box-like motifs in A. pretiosum, and the range of target genes further indicates that PhoP is a global regulator.

**The Transcription of** phoP/phoR **Is Induced Under Pi Limitation**

The concentration of Pi in ISP2 liquid medium was determined, which is 0.44 ± 0.05 μM, suggesting that Pi in ISP2 medium is scarce. Real-time PCR assays (Figure 6) showed that the expression of phoP/phoR in X47 strain was significantly decreased when 5 mM K2HPO4 was added in the medium, which indicated that the transcription of phoP/phoR was upregulated under the condition of Pi limitation.

**DISCUSSION**

PhoPR is known to influence the growth of Streptomyces; for example, deletion of phoP or phoPR led to poor growth and fewer spores in S. avermitilis as well as in S. lividans (Smirnov et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015). In this study, we demonstrated that deletion of phoP accelerated morphological differentiation of A. pretiosum strain X47, another member of the actinobacteria.
genera, indicating that PhoP plays an essential role in the regulation of the developmental process of this species. Several genes involved in the differentiation process of various Streptomyces species, such as bldA, bldC, bldD, bldM, and whiH, were shown to be negatively regulated by PhoP (Flardh and Buttner, 2009; Martin et al., 2012). Although the morphological development of *A. pretiosum* is similar to that of *Streptomyces*, no homologs of bldC, bldD, or bldM were found in *A. pretiosum*, suggesting that PhoP regulates the growth of this species in a different way. However, homologs of the genes ssgB and whiB, which are related to the cellular differentiation of *Streptomyces*, were identified in *A. pretiosum* (Davis and Chater, 1992; Kormanec et al., 1998; Molle et al., 2000; Keijser et al., 2003; Sevcikova and Kormanec, 2003), and we found that these *ssgB* and *whiB* homologs were upregulated in the ΔphoP mutant of *A. pretiosum* and that PhoP directly binds the *ssgB* promoter, suggesting that PhoP could affect development of *A. pretiosum* by controlling the transcription of these two genes.

Furthermore, PhoP, a response regulator from TCS PhoPQ, was previously shown to control positively the transcription of genes involved in chemotaxis (*cheW, cheA*, and *cheY*) and flagellum formation (flgBCDEFGHIJKL) in *Xanthomonas citri* (Wei et al., 2019). In *E. coli* APEC, the transcription of genes involved in flagellar assembly (motA/flgL/flhB/flgM) and csgA gene, encoding a fimbrial protein, was downregulated in *phoP* deletion strain, resulting in reduced the formation of biofilm (Yin et al., 2019). Flagellum- and chemotaxis-related genes

| Gene ID          | Gene      | Function                                      | Fold change (ΔphoP/X47) | Q-value  |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| CNX65_RS110075   | ssgB*     | SsgA family sporeulation/cell division regulator | 3.60                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS111775   | thiA      | FHIPEP family type III secretion protein      | 7.78                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11785    | cheY      | Response regulator                            | 16.62                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11790    | cheX      | Chemotaxis protein CheX                       | 15.52                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11795    | cheY      | Response regulator                            | 13.40                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11800    | cheR      | Protein-glutamate O-methyltransferase CheR    | 11.04                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11805    | cheB      | Chemotaxis response regulator protein-glutamate methyltransferase | 8.82 | <0.001 |
| CNX65_RS11815    | mcpQ      | Methyl-accepting chemotaxis protein           | 9.46                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11820    | cheW      | Chemotaxis protein CheW                       | 11.10                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11825    | cheA      | Chemotaxis protein CheA-Chemotaxis protein CheW | 9.84 | <0.001 |
| CNX65_RS11855    | fliB      | EscU/YscU/HrcU family type III secretion system export apparatus switch protein | 6.43 | <0.001 |
| CNX65_RS11860    | fliR      | Flagellar biosynthetic protein FfR             | 5.96                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11865    | fliQ      | Flagellar biosynthesis protein FIQ             | 6.20                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11870    | fliP      | Flagellar type III secretion system pore protein FfP | 5.84 | <0.001 |
| CNX65_RS11875    | fliO      | FlgOMopB family protein                       | 5.97                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11880    | fliN      | Flagellar motor switch protein FiN             | 5.24                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11885    | fliM      | Flagellar motor switch protein FmM             | 4.84                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11890    | fliL      | Flagellar basal body-associated FfL family protein | 18.90 | <0.001 |
| CNX65_RS11895    | ampA      | AmpA family protein                           | 16.37                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11900    | motA      | Motility protein A                            | 16.13                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11905    | fliD/swsD | Flagellar FfD family protein                  | 13.73                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11910    | flgG      | Flagellar basal-body rod protein FlgG         | 16.40                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11915    | flgD      | Flagellar hook capping protein                 | 15.07                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11920    | fliK      | Flagellar hook-length control protein FfK     | 10.93                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11925    | rlpC      | Transglycosylase SLT domain-containing protein | 9.81                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11930    | tolA      | Cell envelope biogenesis protein TolA         | 8.57                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11935    | fli       | Fli/YscN family ATPase                        | 8.52                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11940    | flgH      | Flagellar assembly protein                    | 11.69                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11945    | flgG      | Flagellar motor switch protein FgG            | 11.69                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11950    | flgF      | Flagellar M-ring protein FfF                   | 8.72                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11955    | flgE      | Flagellar hook-basal-body complex protein FfE | 7.07                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11960    | flgC      | Flagellar basal-body rod protein FlgC         | 8.09                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11965    | flgB*     | Flagellar basal-body rod protein FlgB         | 8.07                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11975    | flgS      | Flagellar export chaperone FlgS               | 9.06                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11980    | flgD      | Flagellar filament capping protein FlgD       | 9.76                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11985    | flgD*     | Flagellin                                     | 15.58                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11990    | flgA      | Sigma-70 family RNA polymerase sigma factor   | 12.82                   | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS11995    | flgV      | Flagellar export chaperone FlgV               | 8.94                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS12000    | flgK      | Flagellar hook-associated protein FgkK        | 8.62                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS12005    | flgL      | Flagellar hook-associated protein 3           | 5.93                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS12010    | flgW      | Flagellar assembly protein FfW                | 4.37                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS12015    | csgA      | Carbon storage regulator CsgA                 | 5.57                    | <0.001   |
| CNX65_RS32100    | whiB      | WhiB family transcriptional regulator        | 5.62                    | <0.001   |

*One or more putative PHO boxes in the promoter.
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FIGURE 4 | EMSAs with PhoP protein of A. pretiosum X47. (A–C) Binding of PhoP with the upstream sequences of flgB (A), flaD (B), and ssgB (C). The probes were incubated with no protein (lane 1), 1.0 μg protein (lane 2), 1.0 μg protein (lanes 3–5), 50-fold excess of unlabeled specific probe (lane 3), 200-fold excess of unlabeled specific probe (lane 4), and 200-fold excess of unlabeled unspecific probe (lane 5). (D) Binding of PhoP with the upstream sequences of cheA, fliM, swrD, and whiB. The probes were incubated with no protein (−) or 4 μg protein (+).

FIGURE 5 | Consensus binding sequence for PhoP in A. pretiosum and mutational analysis of predicted PHO box-like motif in the promoters of flgB, ssgB, and flaD. (A) Alignment of putative PHO boxes box-like motif in the promoters of flgB, ssgB, and flaD. Conserved nucleotides are indicated by a dark background. (B) Consensus binding sequence for PhoP comprising eight nucleotides, based on the alignment in panel (A). (C) Mutation analyses of putative PHO box-like motif in the promoters of flgB, ssgB, and flaD. Putative PHO box-like motifs are shown in green and mutagenized nucleotides are in red. The orientation of consensus sequences is indicated by arrow. (D) EMSAs with mutant probes. The probes were incubated with no protein (−) or 4 μg protein (+).

were identified in the genome of the A. pretiosum X47 strain (GenBank Accession number CP023445; Zhong et al., 2019). RNA-seq indicated that transcription of most flagellum-related and chemotaxis-related genes was upregulated in the phoP deletion mutant (Table 1). Interestingly, whereas PhoP regulates positively these groups of genes in X. citri subsp. citri and
PhoP Impacts Development of Actinosynnema pretiosum

APEC (Wei et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2019), it regulates negatively their expression in A. pretiosum. It is deduced that the different effects of PhoP in A. pretiosum, X. citri, and APEC on chemotaxis and flagella formation genes could be related to the different strains or different cultured conditions.

The DNA-binding sites of PhoP, named PHO boxes, have been well characterized, with variation detected in their consensus sequences among different species. In M. tuberculosis, PhoP specifically binds to a consensus sequence with a 7-bp direct repeat separated by a 4-bp spacer, TCACAGC (n4) TCACAGC (Gonzalo-Asensio et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2009; He and Wang, 2014). The conserved sequence for PhoP-binding sites in E. coli is TGTTTA (n5) TGTTTA (Kato et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2003), and in S. coelicolor, the PHO box consists of 11-bp direct repeats, with the sequence GTTCACC comprising the most conserved motif of the repeats (Sola-Landa et al., 2005, 2008; Santos-Benet et al., 2008). Our data showed that PhoP of the A. pretiosum X47 strain directly binds to the promoters of the flgB, flaD, and ssgB genes, and these promoters contained PHO box-like motifs with an 8-bp consensus sequence of GTTCACGC, which is similar to the conserved sequences of the PHO boxes in S. coelicolor.

In this study, we confirmed that PhoPR is involved in regulating the growth and development of the A. pretiosum X47. According to the sequence alignment and maximum likelihood evolution analysis (Supplementary Figure S4), PhoR in A. pretiosum is relative conserved in Pseudonocardia, and it has high sequence similarity with its counterparts in Streptomyces (nearly 50% identity), Bacillus subtilis (34.58% identity), and E. coli (36.42% identity), which sense Pi concentration in the medium (Martin et al., 2017; Devine, 2018; Gardner and McCleary, 2019). The similarities are significantly higher than that of PhoQ in E. coli, X. citri subsp. citri, Salmonella, and other strains, although TCS PhoPQ was found to involve in regulating flagella formation and motility (Tu et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2019). The evolution relationship of PhoR in A. pretiosum is closer to that of S. coelicolor, and the conserved binding sites of PhoP in A. pretiosum is similar to that of S. coelicolor, suggesting that the TCS PhoPR in A. pretiosum is probably to sense Pi concentration which is similar to that in S. coelicolor. The Pi in ISP2 medium used in this study is insufficient and the transcription of phoP was induced under Pi limitation, indicating that Pi limitation could be the signal that PhoPR senses in X47 strain.

A genome-wide search of A. pretiosum X47 revealed many other intergenic regions containing PHO box-like motifs (Supplementary Table S2), and many of the genes with these upstream PHO box-like motifs are known to be targets of PhoP in other species, suggesting that PhoP is also a global regulator in A. pretiosum. For example, PHO box-like motifs were identified in the upstream sequences of glnA, phoU, and pstS in the X47 strain genome. In S. coelicolor, PhoP directly regulates the transcription of glnA, phoU, and pstS (Apel et al., 2007; Rodriguez-Garcia et al., 2009; Sola-Landa et al., 2013). glnA encodes a glutamine synthetase type I involved in nitrogen metabolism and is negatively regulated by PhoP, whereas PhoU (phosphate transport regulator) and PstS (secreted phosphate-binding protein) that are involved into phosphorus metabolism, are positively regulated by PhoP. glnA, phoU, and pstS may also be target genes of PhoP in A. pretiosum, although the transcription levels of these genes were not influenced under the conditions used in this study. However, further studies are needed to fully understand the functions of PhoPR in A. pretiosum and how this TCS and its target genes enable this bacterium to respond to various environmental conditions.
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