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ABSTRACT

Oil palm replanting has faced environmental criticism as it is a source of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emission. The aim of this study was to determine the level of CO$_2$ emissions and the value of carbon stocks for oil palm smallholders replanting. The measurements were taken using the infrared gas analyser (IRGA) method for CO$_2$ emission. Non-destructive methods were used to measure carbon stocks for oil palm-stands while destructive methods were used for understorey and intercropped plants. This study shows that there was dynamic of CO$_2$ emission during the replanting averaging (t CO$_2$ ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) 28.5 for 28-year-old oil palm, 59.0 for bare land after land clearing, 47.0 for intercropped plants in the vegetative phase, 51.6 for intercropped plants in the generative phase and 42.9 for one-year-old oil palm. It was found that CO$_2$ emissions were reduced due to the conditions of land coverage and that the reduction in CO$_2$ emissions occurred not only because of the absorption of CO$_2$ by plants for photosynthesis but also due to being stored away, as much of the carbon stock (t C ha$^{-1}$) was found in corn (10.2), legume cover crops (7.6), soyabeans (3.5) and natural vegetation (2.8). In 28-year-old oil palm it was found to be at 74.7.
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INTRODUCTION

In 2018, the total oil palm plantation area in Indonesia was 14.3 million hectares, of which 5.8 million hectares belonged to smallholders (Direktorat Jenderal Perkebunan, 2017). Of the area held by smallholders, 2.7 million hectares require replanting as the plants are over 25 years old and unproductive. The significant increase in oil palm plantations in Indonesia has led to negative campaigns related to environmental issues, including deforestation, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, loss of biodiversity and forest and peatland fires.

The smallholders’ oil palm replanting programme in Indonesia has the potential to generate a negative response from the international community due to the GHG emissions, especially carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) associated with the programme. Hence, information about the level of CO$_2$ emissions that will be generated during the oil palm replanting stage is needed.

Olivier et al. (2016) concluded that GHG emissions are a cause of global warming. Greenhouse gases include water vapour (H$_2$O),
CO₂, nitrous oxide (N₂O), methane (CH₄), ozone (O₃) and chlorofluorocarbons (Zein and Chehayeb, 2015). Olivier et al. (2017) reported that most GHG emissions (about 72%) consist of CO₂, followed by CH₄ (19%), N₂O (6%) and fluorinated gases (3%). The agricultural sector plays a role in producing CO₂.

According to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2017), 9% of GHG emissions in 2017 resulted from agricultural activities. Henderson et al. (2018) found that several sectors contributed to the global greenhouse effect in 2010: 25% came from electricity and heat; 24% from agriculture, forestry and other land-use (AFOLU); 21% from industry; 14% from transportation; 6.4% from buildings; and 9.6% from other energy sources.

The formation of CO₂ occurs as a result of plant respiration, which includes root respiration and respiration of microorganisms. In photosynthesis, CO₂ is converted into organic matter with the help of sunlight. In turn, the dead organic matters are broken down into water vapour and CO₂, some of which is converted into C-organic in the soil. During the decomposition process, various products are released, including CO₂, energy, water, plant nutrients and resynthesised organic carbon compounds (Khatoon et al., 2017). It is possible to reduce CO₂ emissions by improving the absorption capacity of plants, that is by increasing photosynthetic efficiency. Increasing plant photosynthesis can be done by increasing the number of plants including by planting bareland. Therefore, an intercropping system is a promising option for improving efficiency and optimising land use (Li-li et al., 2015).

Intercropping is a farming system whereby the main commodity is planted in the same area as a second crop either at the same time or at a different time (Poppy et al., 2017). This system has the advantages of optimising the use of light, water and nutrients; controlling weeds, pests and diseases; facilitating sustainable alternative agriculture (Sujayanand et al., 2016; Zarekar et al., 2018) and improving biomass yield and land-use efficiency (Jalal et al., 2017). Legume cover crops are common in oil palm replanting areas as they can maintain moisture in the soil during the early replanting period.

However, legume cover crops are not economically viable for farmers as they do not provide an income for farmers for at least three years while the oil palms are still in the immature stage. Corn and soyabeans are more viable secondary crops for oil palm smallholders as they can provide additional income for farmers in the early stages of replanting.

It is not yet known whether corn and soyabeans can reduce CO₂ emissions more effectively than legume cover crops. The aim of this study was to determine the level of CO₂ emissions and the value of carbon stocks at various stages of oil palm replanting on smallholders’ land.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Sites**

The research was conducted on a smallholders’ oil palm plantation at plasma PIR KUD BinaUsaha Baru, PT. Inti Indosawit Subur Ukui, Bukit Jaya Village, Ukui Subdistrict, Pelalawan District, Riau Province, Indonesia. The oil palms were planted in 1987 and 1988 (28 years old) and were due for replanting. The coordinate points were 00°09′35.2″ SL and 103°06′23.5″ EL, and the altitude was 44 m above sea level. The soil type was ultisol. The location is shown in Figure 1.

The CO₂ emissions were measured at five different stages of the replanting process: 1) before felling the 28-year-old palms (November 2016); 2) after land clearing (April 2017); 3) at the vegetative phase of the intercrops (September 2017); 4) at the generative phase of the intercrops (February 2018); and 5) when the replanted palms were approximately a year old (August 2018).

Measurements of CO₂ emissions at the vegetative and generative phases 45 and 75 days after planting (DAP), respectively were taken for each planting season. Season 1 was from June 2017 to October 2017, season 2 from November 2017 to February 2018 and season 3 from March 2018 to July 2018.

![Figure 1. The research site location of Bukit Jaya Village, Ukui Subdistrict, Pelalawan District, Riau Province, Indonesia.](image-url)
The carbon stocks of old oil palms and understorey vegetation biomass were measured in November 2016. The carbon stocks of the new oil palms planted with soyabeans were measured in May 2018 and those of the palms planted with corn in June 2018.

Materials and Equipment

The main crop was 28-year-old oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) of the DnP Marhat variety, which was replaced with 12-month-old seedlings of the Topaz 3 variety. The intercropped plants were corn seed (*Zea mays* L.) of the BISI II variety, soyabeans seeds (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) of Argomulyo variety, and legume cover crop seeds consisting of *Pueraria javanica*, *Calopogonium mucunoides* and *Centrosema pubescens*.

The young palms were planted in a triangular formation at a spacing of 9 m x 9 m x 9 m. The intercropped plants were grown at different planting distances: corn at 100 cm x 20 cm, soyabeans at 50 cm x 10 cm and legumes at 1 m x 1 m. For the natural vegetation treatment, the plants were allowed to grow freely. The distance between the intercropped plant row and the main crop row was 2 m. The harvesting ages of the soyabeans and corn were 85 DAP and 105 DAP, respectively.

An infrared gas analyser (IRGA) type LI-820, consisting of closed polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe chamber with a diameter of 25.5 cm and a height of 25 cm was used to measure CO2 emissions. The IRGA was equipped with supporting tools, including a 12 V battery, a 12 V air pump, an air filter, a manometer, a data logger or laptop, a hose, an air flow meter, a fan, a thermometer, a machete and raffia. The carbon stocks of plant biomass were measured using a ruler, stationery (markers) and scales.

Measurement of CO2 Emissions and Carbon Stocks

The sampling for measuring CO2 emissions under 28-year-old oil palms before felling and after land clearing was carried out on three plots of 2 ha each. Each plot had five observation subplots, giving a total of 15 samples (Figure 2).

The CO2 emissions from the replanting area were measured using a split plot design. The main plot in this study was composed of land owned by three farmers (Farmer 1, Farmer 2 and Farmer 3), each of whom farmed 2 ha of land. The plot was divided into subplots for the intercrop plants (corn, soyabeans, legumes and natural vegetation) and repeated three times, giving 36 sample subplots.

The plant growth parameters were plant height and number of leaves for corn and plant height, number of branches and number of pods for soyabeans. Measurements were taken twice a month for each of the three planting seasons. The growth parameters for oil palms were plant height, length of fronds and number of fronds over an 11-month period.

The CO2 emissions were measured using Husnain's method (Husnain *et al*., 2014). Following Madsen *et al.* (2009), the linear relationship between measurement time and CO2 concentration was used to calculate CO2 flux. The closed chamber was placed above the ground for the following five conditions: 1) under the stand canopy of the 28-year-old oil palms; 2) above the ground after land clearing (bare land); 3) under vegetative phase of intercropped plants; 4) under generative phase of intercropped plant; and 5) under young oil palm plant aged 15 months (Figure 3). Measurements of CO2 emissions were taken during the periods of 7.00-12.00 am and 14.00-17.00 pm.

The observation parameters for CO2 emissions were based on those proposed by Husnain *et al.* (2014) and consisted of the CO2 emissions in ppm, plant lid height after installation of above-ground lid (cm), air pressure inside lid (kPa), soil temperature (°C), plant lid temperature (°C) and air temperature (°C).
A personal computer with an IRGA, the LI 820 CO₂ gas analyser, was used to calculate CO₂ emissions. Data were processed using Microsoft Excel 2013 software. Microsoft Excel was also used to calculate the linear regression equation between time (seconds) and the concentration of carbon in the lid. The Equation obtained was:

\[ Y = ax + b \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation (1)}

where \( Y \) is the concentration of CO₂ in the chamber, \( a \) is the regression coefficient of change in CO₂ concentration of time and \( b \) is the initial concentration of CO₂.

The CO₂ flux was calculated using the following Equation (Madsen et al., 2009):

\[ F_c = \frac{\Delta P}{R T} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation (2)}

where \( F_c \) is the CO₂ flux (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), \( h \) is the height of the chamber (cm), \( R \) is the ideal gas constant (8.314 Pa m³ °K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), \( \tau \) is the air temperature of the chamber (°K) and \( \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} \) is the change of CO₂ concentration every changing time, linear equation slope of concentration with time (ppm s⁻¹). Field measurement of soil and air temperatures use degrees Celsius, but calculation of the equation is converted to degrees Kelvin.

The technique of observing and measuring carbon stocks was proposed by Hairiah et al. (2010). The carbon stocks of the 28-year-old oil palms were measured using a non-destructive method, which involved measuring the plant height from ground level to the lowest fruit bunches. Each of the three plots (2 ha) consisted of 256 plants (±11.7% of the population), of which 30 were sampled, giving a total of 90 samples (Figure 4).

A destructive method was used to measure the carbon stocks of understory vegetation biomass. This involved taking out the plant samples. The size of the plot sample was 1 m x 1 m. The understory was all vegetation with a stem diameter of <5 cm, which was netted in wooden frame 1 m x 1 m in size (Figure 5).

The carbon stocks of the intercropped plant (corn, soyabeans, legumes and natural vegetation) were observed for areas of 1 m x 1 m square. First, the plants were cut and weighed to obtain their wet weights. Then, 500 g of each sample was dried in an oven at 70°C for 48 hr to measure the dry weight of the biomass.

The dry weight (DW) of the oil palm biomass was calculated using the following Equation (Hairiah et al., 2010):

\[ DW = (0.0976 x H) + 0.0706 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation (3)}

where \( DW \) (t per palm) and \( H \) is the height of the plant (m).

The carbon stocks of the old oil palms were calculated using the following Equation:

\[ \text{Plant carbon stock} = 0.46 \times \frac{\text{dry weight}}{\text{biomass}} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation (4)}

The conversion rate of 0.46 showed that the average C content in biomass was around 46% (Hairiah et al., 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Environmental Conditions of Research Location

Air temperature and rainfall were the main climate elements to consider. The average temperature in the Pelalawan district while CO₂ emissions were being measured was 24°C-34°C. Rainfall from January to December 2017 ranged from 15-534 mm mth⁻¹ with an average of 270 mm mth⁻¹. The average humidity was 80%–85%. The soil pH at the location was 4.1-4.7. Field observations showed that there were 20 species of natural vegetation under the dense canopy of 28-year-old oil palms, including ferns, weeds, hboreria, Cromolaena odorata, laportea and Heliotropium indicum. There was no vegetation cover at all on the bare land (after land clearing).
**CO₂ Emissions**

The CO₂ emissions for various cropping conditions are presented in Table 1. Table 1 shows the dynamic pattern of CO₂ emissions in the oil palm replanting area. The CO₂ emission in the 28-year-old oil palm area was 28.5±13.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. It increased when the land was bare (59.0±49.4 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and then decreased in the vegetative phase of the intercropped plants (47.0±26.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). The CO₂ emissions in the generative phase of the intercropped plants and in 1-year-old oil palm were 51.6±28.8 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and 42.9±23.3 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively.

The lower CO₂ emissions from the oil palms at the age of 28 years (28.5±13.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) compared to those from bare land (59.0±49.4 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was thought to be related to CO₂ uptake for the respiration of roots and microbes and the decomposition of organic materials. In the 28-year-old oil palm plantations, some of the released CO₂ would have been absorbed by the leaves for photosynthesis, so less would have been released into the air than from the bare land.

The level of CO₂ emissions decreased again (47.0±26.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) after the land was covered by intercropped plants (vegetative phase) due to the consumption of CO₂ by the plants. In the generative phase, the amount of released CO₂ increased to 51.6±28.8 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ because the leaves of the intercropped plants had aged and were less efficient in carrying out photosynthesis. This meant that they absorbed less CO₂ than they did during the vegetative phase. According to Misbahuddin *et al.* (2018), soil respiration which produces CO₂ and causes it to be released into the air is determined by various factors, including temperature, soil moisture and soil pH (Pahlipi *et al.*, 2017).

After the new oil palms had grown (one year old) and needed CO₂ for photosynthesis, CO₂ emissions decreased to 42.9±23.3 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Cetin and Sevik (2016) found that plants absorb CO₂ throughout the day, which indicates that oil palm replanting activities do not increase CO₂ emissions in the air. The CO₂ emissions from the old oil palm were 28.5±13.5 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, which are lower than those reported in other studies (Table 2). The CO₂ emissions from 33-year-old oil palms at Rokan Hilir District Riau Province were 34.7 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Yahya *et al.*, 2017) and those from a logged-over forest were 32.62 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Khasanah and Nordwijk, 2019). In terms of CO₂ emissions, the old oil palm ecosystem is similar to a secondary forest ecosystem.

With corn, soyabeans, legumes and other vegetation as intercrop plants, CO₂ emissions from the oil palm replanting area decreased. The reduced emissions were due to the absorption of CO₂ by leaves during photosynthesis in planting seasons one to three for soyabeans and corn. Growth of the intercropped plants in the oil palm replanting areas of the three farmers during the three seasons are presented in Figures 6 and 7.

| TABLE 1. EMISSION OF CARBON DIOXIDE (CO₂) AT DIFFERENT PHASES OF REPLANTING | Mean±STD CO₂ emissions (t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Plant conditions | Morning | Afternoon | Average |
| 28-year-old oil palm | 30.3±14.7 | 26.7±11.8 | 28.5±13.5 |
| Bare land (after land clearing) | 39.9±29.7 | 78.1±57.8 | 59.0±49.4 |
| Vegetative phase intercropping plant | 46.9±27.3 | 47.0±25.6 | 47.0±26.5 |
| Generative phase intercropping plant | 63.4±34.2 | 39.8±14.9 | 51.6±28.8 |
| 1-year-old oil palm | 49.9±31.5 | 35.9±16.4 | 42.9±23.3 |

| TABLE 2. EMISSION OF CARBON DIOXIDE (CO₂) AT VARIOUS LAND USES | |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Land type | Vegetation | t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ | Source |
| Peatland in Sarawak, Malaysia | Oil palm eight years | 22.07±0.8 | Manning *et al.* (2019) |
| Peatland in Jambi, Indonesia | Oil palm one year | 96.13 | Khasanah and Noordwijk (2019) |
| Rubber > 30 years | 75.17 |
| Logged-over forest | 32.63 |
| Betel nut and coffee > 20 years | 71.00 |
| Coconut and coffee > 40 years | 85.00 |
| Peatlands in Kalimantan, Indonesia | Oil palm | 84.10 | Rumbang (2015) |
| Corn | 69.20 |
| Rubber plant | 106.87 |
| Mineral land in Rokan Hilir, Riau, Indonesia | Oil palm 33 years | 34.70 | Yahya *et al.* (2017) |
| Mineral land in Kampar, Riau, Indonesia | Shrub | 98.40 | Misbahuddin *et al.* (2018) |
The CO₂ emissions from the oil palm replanting area decreased as a result of intercropping. The CO₂ emissions during the vegetative and generative phases of the intercropped plants are presented in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that the CO₂ emissions from intercropped plants during the vegetative phase were significantly different. CO₂ emission from corn was the highest at 67.2±31.1 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, followed by those from soyabeans, natural vegetation and legumes, at 48.7±20.7, 38.0±24.8 and 34.1±14.1 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively. The average emission from intercrop plants was 47.0±26.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The high levels of CO₂ emissions from corn can be attributed to the higher amount of inorganic fertiliser applied to corn than to soyabeans. Chemical fertiliser significantly increased CO₂ flux by 9% compared to solitary urea application (Salehi et al., 2017). The application of inorganic fertiliser over the long-term will increase the CO₂ flux (Dhadli and Brar, 2016).

The CO₂ emissions from the various intercropped plants during the generative phase were not significantly different. The average CO₂ emission from intercropped plants during the generative phase was higher (51.6±28.8 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) than that during the vegetative phase (47.0±26.5 t CO₂ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). This may be caused by the fact that microbial activity was still high, but the ability of the plants to absorb CO₂ for the metabolic activities in leaves had decreased. This meant that the amount of CO₂ absorbed by the leaves was low, resulting in higher CO₂ emissions in the generative phase.

The CO₂ emissions in the morning were higher than during the day (Table 3). Saleh et al. (2017) attributed a higher concentration of CO₂ in the morning to microorganism activity and a layer in the atmosphere that traps CO₂ between sunset and sunrise.

Note: Season 1 – June 2017 to October 2017.
Season 2 – November 2017 to February 2018.
Season 3 – March 2018 to July 2018.

Figure 6. Growth of corn plants. (a) height of plants, (b) number of leaves. The all groups were analysed by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at the level of 5%. The numbers followed by the same lowercase letter was not significantly different according to the DMRT at the level of 5%.
Note: Season 1 – June 2017 to October 2017.
Season 2 – November 2017 to February 2018.
Season 3 – March 2018 to July 2018.

Figure 7. The growth of soybeans plants. (a) height of plants, (b) number of branches, (c) number of pods. All groups were analysed by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at the level of 5%. The numbers followed by the same lowercase letter was not significantly different according to the DMRT at the level of 5%.
The lower CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in plantations with intercropping compared to bare land correlated with the carbon stocks presented in Table 4. The carbon reserve in the 28-year-old oil palms was 74.7±4.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, while that in the understorey of the 28-year-old palms was at 0.7±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}. Corn had the highest carbon stocks of the intercropped plants at 10.2±2.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, followed by legumes, soyabeans and natural vegetation at 7.6±0.8, 3.5±0.6 and 2.8±0.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively.

For comparison, the carbon stock of young oil palms at Mizoram, Northeast India was at 43.5±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} after one year and 45.4±1.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} after two years (Singh et al., 2018). Carbon stocks of 5- to 6-year-old oil palms in Jambi and Riau were 10.7±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} and 9.5±0.2 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, while those of 33-year-old oil palms in Riau were 75.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Yahya, 2017). In Central Kalimantan, 7-year-old rubber plants had carbon stocks of 32.9±2.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Susanti and Dariah, 2014). In Northeast Brazil, the carbon stocks of 25-year-old oil palms average at 40 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Sanquetta et al., 2015), corn at 2.36 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Ocampo and Oscar, 2016), soyabeans at 0.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Nagy et al., 2017) and legumes at 14.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Guan et al., 2016).

**Table 3. Carbon Dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) Emission During Vegetative and Generative Phases of Intercropped Plants**

| Treatment (Intercropping plant) | Mean±STD CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of vegetative phase (t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) | Mean±STD CO\textsubscript{2} emissions of generative phase (t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | Morning           | Afternoon          | Average       | Morning           | Afternoon          | Average       |
| Corn                          | 67.2a±33.3        | 67.2a±29.7         | 67.2a±31.1    | 73.8a±46.1        | 37.9ab±19.2        | 55.9±39.1     |
| Soyabeans                     | 50.1ab±21.7       | 47.2ab±20.5        | 48.7b±20.7    | 51.7a±18.5        | 39.1ab±13.8        | 45.4a±17.2    |
| Natural vegetation            | 36.7b±24.5        | 39.2b±26.8         | 38.0bc±24.8   | 56.0a±24.0        | 34.5b±9.2         | 45.3a±20.9    |
| Legume                        | 33.9b±15.7        | 34.3±12.2          | 34.1c±14.1    | 72.0a±39.2        | 47.6a±14.1        | 59.8±31.4     |
| Average                       | 47.0±27.3         | 47.0±25.6          | 47.0±26.5     | 63.4±34.2         | 39.8±14.9         | 51.6±28.8     |

**Table 4. Level of Carbon Stocks in Various Cropping Condition**

| Plant conditions             | Mean±STD carbon stocks (t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 28-year-old oil palm        | 74.7±4.8                                            |
| Understorey of 28-year-old oil palm | 0.7±0.4                                           |
| Corn                        | 10.2±2.6                                            |
| Legume                      | 7.6±0.8                                             |
| Soyabeans                   | 3.5±0.6                                             |
| Natural vegetation          | 2.8±0.8                                             |
| 1-year-old oil palm*        | 43.5±0.4                                            |
| 2-year-old oil palm*        | 45.4±1.3                                            |

Source: * Singh et al. (2018).

The lower CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in plantations with intercropping compared to bare land correlated with the carbon stocks presented in Table 4. The carbon reserve in the 28-year-old oil palms was 74.7±4.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, while that in the understorey of the 28-year-old palms was at 0.7±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}. Corn had the highest carbon stocks of the intercropped plants at 10.2±2.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, followed by legumes, soyabeans and natural vegetation at 7.6±0.8, 3.5±0.6 and 2.8±0.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively.

For comparison, the carbon stock of young oil palms at Mizoram, Northeast India was at 43.5±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} after one year and 45.4±1.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} after two years (Singh et al., 2018). Carbon stocks of 5- to 6-year-old oil palms in Jambi and Riau were 10.7±0.4 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} and 9.5±0.2 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, while those of 33-year-old oil palms in Riau were 75.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Yahya, 2017). In Central Kalimantan, 7-year-old rubber plants had carbon stocks of 32.9±2.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Susanti and Dariah, 2014). In Northeast Brazil, the carbon stocks of 25-year-old oil palms average at 40 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Sanquetta et al., 2015), corn at 2.36 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Ocampo and Oscar, 2016), soyabeans at 0.3 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Nagy et al., 2017) and legumes at 14.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} (Guan et al., 2016).

The vegetative growth of the oil palms over 11 months (August 2017 to June 2018) is presented in Figure 8. Plant height, number of leaves and number of fronds indicate the carbon stocks of oil palm plants. Figures 8a-c shows that intercropping affected the vegetative growth of oil palms, although not significantly. The best plants in terms of plant height and length of fronds were found intercropped with legumes, while the highest number of fronds was found in palms intercropped with corn.

These results indicate that farmers should cultivate corn and soyabeans as intercrops during oil palm replanting. These plants reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions and increase carbon stocks in the replanting areas. In addition, the cultivation of corn and soyabeans is a source of additional income for smallholders.

**CONCLUSION**

The CO\textsubscript{2} emissions during the replanting of an oil palm area follow a dynamic pattern. The CO\textsubscript{2} emission from the area under the 28-year-old oil palm stands before the land clearing was 28.5±13.5 t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}. They were 59.0±49.4 t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} from bare land, 47.0±26.5 t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} in the vegetative phase of intercropped plants, 51.6±28.8 t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} in the generative phase of intercropped plants; and 42.9±23.3 t CO\textsubscript{2} ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1} when the new oil palms were a year old.

The carbon stocks of intercropped plants were 10.2±2.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} in corn; 7.6±0.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} in legumes; 3.5±0.6 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} in soyabeans and 2.8±0.8 t C ha\textsuperscript{-1} in natural vegetation. The findings of this study show that oil palm replanting activities do not increase CO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the air but do increase carbon stocks.
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