FAILURE OF FLAT DESCENT OF MODEL STRUCTURES ON MODULE CATEGORIES.

Abstract. We prove that the collection of model structures on (quasicoherent) module categories does not obey flat descent. In particular, it fails to be a separated presheaf, in the fppf topology, on Artin stacks.

Contents

1. Introduction. 1
2. Model as a presheaf. 2
3. Model is not an fppf sheaf. 2
References 4

1. Introduction.

Recently H. Bacard asked us a question we had ourselves already been wondering about: does there exist a “local-to-global principle” for model structures on module categories? In other words, if one has an open cover of a scheme or a stack, and the structure of a model category on the (quasicoherent) module category of each open in the cover, can one “paste” the model structures into a model structure on (quasicoherent) modules over the whole scheme or stack? In this note we show that the answer to this question is no, at least in the flat topology.

We now provide more details. For $X$ a scheme or an algebraic stack, let $\text{Model}(X)$ be the collection of cofibrantly generated closed model structures on the category of quasicoherent $O_X$-modules. (In general this collection is not known to form a set, or even a class; it is just a collection. For many practical choices of $X$, however, it forms a set and even a finite set. See [5] for details.)

One wants to know if $\text{Model}$ is a sheaf in various topologies. More precisely: let $X$ be an algebraic stack in a topology $\tau$ and choose an $\tau$-cover $Y \to X$. One wants to know if

$$
\text{Model}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Model}(Y) \longrightarrow \text{Model}(Y \times_X Y)
$$

is an equalizer sequence. In other words, given a model structure on the category of $O_Y$-modules which restricts compatibly on overlaps between components of the cover $Y$, does there exist a unique model structure on the category of $O_X$-modules which restricts to the chosen one on $O_Y$-modules? This is the problem of descent of model structures on module categories.

In this short note we give a negative answer to this question for the fppf topology, by producing an explicit counterexample in Thm. [3]. We do not know an answer to the question for coarser topologies but we (with H. Bacard, M. Frankland, D. Schaeppi) have explored the same question for the Zariski topology, where it seems more plausible that this question of descent has a positive answer, and perhaps interesting results can be found.
in that direction. We are grateful to J. F. Jardine for hosting us during a visit to Western University, where these questions came up in conversation.

2. Model as a presheaf.

Definition 2.1. Let \( \tau \) be one of the following topologies: Zariski, étale, or fppf. Let \( X \) be an algebraic stack in the topology \( \tau \) and let \( \text{Alg Stacks}_\tau(X) \) be the category of algebraic stacks \( Y \) in the \( \tau \)-topology equipped with maps \( Y \to X \) which are components of some covering family in \( \tau \).

For every object \( Y \) of \( \text{Alg Stacks}_\tau(X) \), let \( \text{Model}(Y) \) be the collection of cofibrantly generated closed model structures on the category of quasicoherent \( O_Y \)-modules.

For every map \( f : Y' \to Y \) in \( \text{Alg Stacks}_\tau(X) \), let \( \text{Model}(f) : \text{Model}(Y) \to \text{Model}(Y') \) be the partially-defined function sending a model structure on quasicoherent \( O_Y \)-modules to the transfer model structure, if it exists, on quasicoherent \( O_{Y'} \)-modules, i.e., the model structure in which a map \( g : M \to N \) of quasicoherent \( O_{Y'} \)-modules is a weak equivalence (respectively, fibration) if and only if \( f^*g : f^*M \to f^*N \) is a weak equivalence (respectively, fibration) in the given model structure on quasicoherent \( O_Y \)-modules.

In the literature there is a small point of difference between various definitions of algebraic stack: the issue is what condition one requires of the diagonal map. The reader can choose whatever diagonal conditions they like best, for the purposes of Def. 2.1. Our counterexample (in Thm. 3.2) to fppf descent of model structures, however, works in all the definitions of fppf algebraic stack which are in common circulation, since in our counterexample all diagonal maps are affine, hence also quasicompact, quasiseparated, etc.

The transfer model structure exists if and only if, for every morphism \( g \) of quasicoherent \( O_Y \)-modules which is a transfinite composite of pushouts of coproducts of morphisms \( f^*h \) with \( h \) an acyclic cofibration in the given model structure on \( O_Y \)-modules, the morphism \( f_g \) is a weak equivalence in the given model structure on \( O_{Y'} \)-modules. This is a simple application of Crans’s theorem on existence of transfer model structures, from [3].

The assumption that our model structures be cofibrantly generated is an unnecessary assumption in many situations of practical interest. In [5] we show that, if a category admits a “saturating basis,” then every model structure on that category is cofibrantly generated. It then follows from the Cohen-Kaplansky theorem (from [2]) that, if \( R \) is an Artinian principal ideal ring, then every model structure on the category of \( R \)-modules is cofibrantly generated. We give more information and explicit computations in [5]. It is probably the case that very many module categories have the property that all their model structures are cofibrantly generated. In any case, leaving out the adjectives “cofibrantly generated” or “closed,” or both, from Def. 2.1 does not deter Thm. 3.2 from providing a counterexample to \( \text{Model} \) being a sheaf.

3. Model is not an fppf sheaf.

Definition 3.1. Let \( k \) be a field of characteristic 2 and let \( \alpha_2 \) be the algebraic group over \( k \) co-represented by the Hopf algebra \( k[x]/x^2 \) with \( x \) primitive. That is, \( \alpha_2 \) is the algebraic group given on affines by letting \( \alpha_2(\text{Spec} R) \) be the group of square-zero elements of \( R \), under addition.

Let \( B\alpha_2 \) be the (Artin) stack of \( \alpha_2 \)-torsors, i.e., the fppf algebraic stack associated to the group(oid) affine scheme \( \text{Spec} k \to B\alpha_2 \). We will write \( \text{Spec} k \to B\alpha_2 \) for the cover naturally associated to the presentation of \( B\alpha_2 \) given by the groupoid affine scheme
Finally, let $j_1$, $j_2$ denote the two (partially-defined) maps $\text{Model}(\text{Spec } k) \xrightarrow{\eta} \text{Model}(\text{Spec } k \times_{B\alpha_2} \text{Spec } k)$

and let $i$ denote the (partially-defined) map $\text{Model}(B\alpha_2) \to \text{Model}(\text{Spec } k)$.

Theorem 3.2. There exist two distinct elements $a, b$ in $\text{Model}(B\alpha_2)$ such that:
- $i(a)$ and $i(b)$ are well-defined,
- $i(a) = i(b)$,
- and $j_1(i(a))$ and $j_2(i(a))$ are well-defined (and consequently $j_1(i(a)) = j_2(i(a))$).

Consequently, even if $\text{Model}$ is a well-defined presheaf, it is not a separated presheaf in the fppf topology.

Proof. We first define the model structures $a, b$ on quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{B\alpha_2}$-modules. By the usual descent argument, quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{B\alpha_2}$-modules are equivalent to $k[x]/x^2$-comodules.

First, a definition: suppose $M$ is a right $k[x]/x^2$-comodule with structure map $\psi : M \to M \otimes k[x]/x^2$. We say that $M$ is $x$-trivial if the composite map

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes \eta} M \otimes k[x]/x^2 \xrightarrow{i M \otimes \text{id}_x} M \otimes k$$

is zero, where $\eta$ is the unit map $\eta : k \to k[x]/x^2$. In other words, $M$ is $x$-trivial if and only if, for all $m \in M$, $\psi(m)$ has no nonzero terms involving $x$.

Note that every right $k[x]/x^2$-comodule $M$ has a maximal $x$-trivial submodule $\text{triv}(M)$, and that the inclusion $\text{triv}(M) \to M$ expresses the $x$-trivial comodules as a coreflective subcategory of the category of $k[x]/x^2$-comodules.

Let model structure $a$ on the category of $k[x]/x^2$-comodules be the model structure in which:
- the cofibrations are the pushouts of maps between $x$-trivial comodules,
- the weak equivalences are the maps inducing an isomorphism on the maximal $x$-trivial subcomodules,
- and all maps are fibrations.

In [1] (also, more directly, in our [4]) the necessary results on factorization systems are proven to ensure that, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a category with finite limits and colimits and $\mathcal{A}$ is a coreflective replete subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, then there exists a model structure on $\mathcal{C}$ in which the cofibrations are the pushouts of maps between objects in $\mathcal{A}$, the weak equivalences are the maps inducing an isomorphism on applying the coreflector functor, and all maps are fibrations. Our model structure $a$ is the special case of this theorem where $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the $x$-trivial comodules.

Let model structure $b$ on the category of $k[x]/x^2$-comodules be the discrete model structure, i.e., the model structure in which:
- all maps are cofibrations,
- the weak equivalences are the isomorphisms,
- and all maps are fibrations.

We claim that $i(a)$ and $i(b)$ both exist and in fact are each equal to the discrete model structure on the category of $k$-modules. Indeed, under the identification of quasicoherent $\mathcal{O}_{B\alpha_2}$-modules with $k[x]/x^2$-comodules, we have the standard identification of the direct image and inverse image functors in terms of comodules: the map of stacks $\text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{f} B\alpha_2$ induces the direct image functor

$$f_* : \text{Mod}(k) \to \text{Comod}(k[x]/x^2)$$
sending a $k$-module $M$ to the extended comodule $M \otimes_k k[x]/x^2$, and the inverse image functor

$$f^* : \text{Comod}(k[x]/x^2) \to \text{Mod}(k)$$

sending a $k[x]/x^2$-comodule to its underlying $k$-module, i.e., forgetting the coaction map.

So in the transfer model structure $i(a)$ on $k$-modules, a morphism $g$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $g \otimes_k k[x]/x^2$ is a weak equivalence in $a$. But this implies $g$ must be an isomorphism, since the map induced by $g \otimes_k k[x]/x^2$ on the maximal $x$-trivial subcomodules is $g$ itself. Hence the weak equivalences in $i(a)$ are the same as the discrete weak equivalences. The same is trivially true for fibrations.

Since $b$ is the discrete model structure on $k[x]/x^2$-comodules, it is trivially true that the weak equivalences and fibrations in $i(b)$ agree with those of the discrete model structure on $k$-modules.

Consequently $i(a)$ and $i(b)$ both exist and are equal to the discrete model structure on $k$-modules.

Now all that remains is to show that $j_1(i(a))$ and $j_2(i(a))$ both exist. That they are equal if they exist is trivial (for example, because $j_1 = j_2$ in this setting, since $k[x]/x^2$ is a Hopf algebra and not only a Hopf algebroid!). The model structure $j_1(i(a))$ is the discrete model structure on $k$-modules transferred to quasicoherent $O_{\text{Spec } k[x]/x^2}$-modules, i.e., $k[x]/x^2$-modules, along the direct image map, i.e., restriction of scalars. So a morphism $g$ of $k[x]/x^2$-modules is a weak equivalence in $j_1(i(a))$ if and only if its underlying map of $k$-modules is a weak equivalence in $i(a)$, i.e., if and only if $g$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, a morphism $g$ of $k[x]/x^2$-modules is a fibration in $j_1(i(a))$ if and only if its underlying map of $k$-modules is a fibration in $i(a)$, i.e., all morphisms $g$ of $k[x]/x^2$-modules are fibrations. Hence the weak equivalences and the fibrations in $j_1(i(a))$ each agree with those in the discrete model structure on $k[x]/x^2$-modules. Hence $j_1(i(a)) = j_2(i(a)) = j_1(i(b)) = j_2(i(b))$ exists and is the discrete model structure on $k[x]/x^2$-modules.

□

References

[1] C. Cassidy, M. Hébert, and G. M. Kelly. Reflective subcategories, localizations and factorization systems. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, 38(3):287–329, 1985.
[2] I. S. Cohen and I. Kaplansky. Rings for which every module is a direct sum of cyclic modules. Math. Z., 54:97–101, 1951.
[3] Sjoerd E. Crans. Quillen closed model structures for sheaves. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 101(1):35–57, 1995.
[4] Andrew Salch. The closed unit ball in the space of model structures on a category, available on arXiv.
[5] Andrew Salch. Computing all closed model structures on a given category, in preparation.