A quadricuspid aortic valve (QAV) is a very rare congenital heart condition with an incidence of only 0.008-0.033% in an autopsy series of patients and 0.013-0.043% in patients undergoing transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) [1]. The pathogenesis is usually due to an abnormal fusion of the aorticopulmonary septum during embryogenesis, which leads to an aortic valve with an extra 4th cusp [2]. The complications manifested by QAVs are mainly aortic regurgitation, and a QAV is often incidentally discovered during an echocardiogram [3]. Aortic stenosis can also be observed along with regurgitation; however, aortic stenosis by itself is a rare presentation of a QAV. These complications usually arise during the 5th to 6th decade of life, as is observed in the following patient. This case report will highlight the nonspecific manifestation of a QAV, the classification with the Hurwitz and Roberts model, and the rationale for surgical intervention.

Our patient is a 62-year-old female with a past medical history of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (55-60% visually estimated ejection fraction) New York Heart Associate (NYHA) Class III, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia who was seen in clinic for worsening dyspnea on exertion. Her vital signs were stable, and on exam, she had a grade III/VI diastolic murmur along the left upper sternal border that increased with expiration. The EKG was normal sinus rhythm. ATTE was performed, which showed moderate to severe aortic regurgitation. In order to delineate the pathology and severity of the regurgitation, a transesophageal echocardiogram (TEE) was performed and showed an aortic valve morphology suspicious for a quadricuspid aortic valve (Figure 1). The valve demonstrated doming and four areas of commissural fusion were noted (Figure 2). The aortic root was mildly enlarged at 4.51 cm (Figure 3).
was holodiastolic flow reversal noted at the proximal descending aorta which helped to support severe regurgitation (Figures 4 and 5). The presence of a systolic aortic cusp doming also suggested a superimposed aortic stenosis (Figure 3). A coronary angiogram showed no significant coronary artery stenosis, and subsequently, the patient then underwent an aortic valve replacement (AVR) with a 21 mm Edwards Magna Ease bovine pericardial bioprosthetic valve. During the surgery, the presence of the quadricuspid aortic valve was confirmed on gross pathology. Immediately, postoperatively, the patient developed ventricular tachycardia and atrial fibrillation. She was then transferred to the surgical intensive care unit to receive an amiodarone drip for two days, which has resolved her atrial fibrillation and ventricular tachycardia. A repeat EKG showed normal sinus rhythm. She was then discharged with oral metoprolol. The patient underwent an uncomplicated recovery process, and her dyspnea on exertion has improved tremendously after a course of cardiac rehabilitation. A repeat TEE revealed a left ventricular end diastolic diameter of 4.7 cm, which is an improvement from 4.96 cm before the AVR, indicating a reduction in aortic regurgitation.

3. Discussion

This patient initially presented for dyspnea on exertion, along with a loud diastolic murmur in the left upper sternal border. While this kind of presentation is concerning for aortic regurgitation, the suspicion for a QAV did not arise until the patient received a TEE, which showed the characteristic “X” pattern of the aortic valve, as opposed to the normal “Y” (aka Mercedes-Benz pattern) of a normal tricuspid aortic valve (Figure 1). Aortic regurgitation is the most common

Figure 1: Mid epigastric short axis view. The closed aortic valve is demonstrating the characteristic X pattern as seen in QAVs in a TEE. The incomplete closure is marked with a star, leading to significant aortic regurgitation.

Figure 2: Mid Epigastric Short Axis View. During systole, 4 areas of commissural cusps fusion are noted (circled), suggesting aortic stenosis.
complication of a QAV, and the finding of a holodiastolic flow reversal in the descending aorta supported this (Figure 5). Aortic stenosis could also be observed, such as when commissural fusion occurs (Figure 2). In Figure 4, the doppler image showed the characteristic “mosaic pattern” (star) is evident in the left ventricular area, suggesting aortic regurgitant flow.

The decision to perform a surgical aortic valve replacement on the patient was made on the basis of worsening dyspnea on exertion, with the QAV producing aortic regurgitation. By this time, the patient had progressed to New York Heart Associate Class III, exhibiting symptoms with mild exertion, such as going to the bathroom. Based on the ACC/AHA guidelines, AVR is indicated for symptomatic patients with severe AR regardless of LV systolic function. For such patients, immediate surgical intervention is indicated to greatly improve the patient’s quality of life, as well as to prevent long-term sequela, such as left ventricular cavity dilation from the volume overload due to constant aortic regurgitation. In addition, a serious complication may also arise in which one of the supernumerary cusps may develop bacterial seeding, leading to infective endocarditis [4]. This usually occurs at the extra cusp, such as the one smaller cusp in Type B cases like our patient. Some authors recommend antibiotic prophylaxis unconditionally for any dental procedures [5]. However, per current ACC/AHA guidelines, antibiotics prophylaxis is only indicated for symptomatic patients with active infection [6]. The treatment of choice is surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR) [7]. The surgical approach includes tricuspidization, bicuspidization, or a complete aortic valve replacement. These choices depend on factors such as the quality of the native aortic valve, the presence of calcification and stenosis, and surgeon capabilities. Our patient received a 21 mm Edwards Magna Ease bovine pericardial bioprosthetic valve, with a uncomplicated post-op recovery period. The SAVR procedure proved to be very effective for the
Table 1: Hurtwitz-Robinson classification of quadricuspid aortic valve.

| Type | Description | Morphology |
|------|-------------|------------|
| Type A | (4) Identical cusps | ![Type A Morphology](image) |
| Type B | (3) Identical and (1) smaller cusp | ![Type B Morphology](image) |
| Type C | (2) Identical and (2) smaller cusps | ![Type C Morphology](image) |
| Type D | (1) Large, (2) intermediate, and (1) small cusp | ![Type D Morphology](image) |
| Type E | (3) Identical and (1) larger cusp | ![Type E Morphology](image) |
patient, as a repeat TTE showed a significant improvement in the aortic regurgitation. More importantly, the shortness of breath and dyspnea on exertion has tremendously improved. The patient will require constant monitoring, such as a yearly TTE, due to the lower durability of bioprosthetic aortic valves as compared to a mechanical valve or native valve tricuspidization.
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