Spontaneous nucleation and dynamics of kink defects in zigzag arrays of trapped ions
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The spontaneous nucleation and dynamics of topological kink defects have been studied in trapped arrays of 41–43 Yb ions. The number of kinks formed as a function of quench rate across the linear-zigzag transition is measured in the under-damped regime of the inhomogeneous Kibble-Zurek theory. The experimental results agree well with molecular dynamics simulations, which show how losses mask the intrinsic nucleation rate. Simulations indicate that doubling the ion number and optimization of laser cooling can help reduce the effect of losses. A range of kink dynamics is observed including configurational change, motion and lifetime, and behavioral sensitivity to ion number.

PACS numbers: 37.10.Rs, 52.27.Jt, 64.60.an, 64.60.Q-
of the DIKZM power law [36]. Compared to the latter results, our measurements of nucleation are also due to a quench across the structural transition but use a different experimental approach, including more ions and a shallower quench into the zigzag phase so that kinks retain their localized, discrete form. Moreover, we explore post-nucleation dynamics of discrete kinks including transformation of shape, motion and lifetime in the crystal, and sensitivity of behavior to unit change in ion number.

The experimental set-up is similar to that previously reported [38, 39]. We use a linear Paul trap, operating at a radio frequency (rf) of $\Omega_{rf}/2\pi = 12$ MHz, and composed of four rods with an ion-to-rod spacing of 0.7 mm and two end-caps separated by 2.5 mm, to form a nominally harmonic confining potential for ions. Photo-ionization is used to load a pure crystal of 42–43 $^{174}$Yb ions [33, 40], which arrange as a linear string in a trap with an axial secular frequency of $\omega_z/2\pi = 37.6$ kHz and transverse frequencies of $\omega_x/2\pi = 679$ kHz and $\omega_y/2\pi = 658$ kHz [Fig. 1(a)]. A single laser beam at 369.5 nm (with axial FWHM of 280 $\mu$m) is used for fluorescence imaging of the ions and for Doppler cooling along all principal axes of the trap. The 369.5-nm laser is linearly polarized at 6$^\circ$ with respect to a 5.9-G magnetic field. A relatively large laser detuning of $-33$ MHz (1.5 linewidths) is used to suppress the effects of micromotion on fluorescence and cooling [41], arising from a non-zero component of the trap rf electric field along the axial direction (up to 2500 V/m at the edges of a 43-ion crystal). With an effective saturation parameter of $s_0 = 2.7$, the estimated cooling rate is uniform to a factor of 2 or better across the crystal with variation primarily at the edges, and the calculated cooling time, $\eta^{-1}$, at the center of the crystal is 200–400 $\mu$s [42]. The cooling rate places the experiment deeply in the under-damped regime for quench rates considered.

To quench the system through the linear-zigzag transition, we apply a transverse dc quadrupolar potential using the trap rods. The secular frequency along the transverse $y$-axis, obtained from single-ion calibrations, decreases with the applied dc voltage, $V(\geq 0)$, according to $\omega_y(t) = \omega_{y0}\sqrt{1 - V/V_0}$ where $\omega_{y0}/2\pi = 658(1)$ kHz and $V_0 = 7.95(2)$ V with errors including drift. The $x$-axis frequency increases in an equivalent way. The quench waveform is linear ($V(t) = V_f \cdot t/(2\tau_Q)$) with a quench end-point of $V_f = 4.2–5.8$ V, a ramp time of $2\tau_Q = 10–70$ $\mu$s, and a maximum distortion of 50 m$V_{pk}$ after filtering. The quench also incorporates small adjustments to the end-caps and rods to maintain a constant axial secular frequency and to maintain the center of the crystal at the rf null in all dimensions. The linear form of our quench in terms of $\omega_y^2$ is as assumed in the KZM theory [22], with the one modification that we use an asymmetric ramp across the critical point. For example, in a 42-ion string with $\omega_z/2\pi = 37.6$ kHz, where the critical transverse frequency at the center of the crystal is $\omega_y^2(0)/2\pi = 619(3)$ kHz (or equivalently, 0.92(6) V) [43], our quench starts at 658 kHz ($V = 0.0$ V), which ensures a sufficiently linear configuration [44], and continues further past the critical point, for example to 414 kHz ($V = 4.8$ V) to involve about half of the crystal in the zigzag phase.

Each experimental run begins with 53 ms of cooling in the linear configuration, followed by the quench (with cooling always active). Images of the initial linear and subsequent zigzag configurations are recorded with an exposure time of 3 ms. A 200-$\mu$s ramp recycles the crystal to the linear structure. Data is acquired in batches of 100–700 runs over 3 minutes. Our data sets contain 80% of runs approximately equally divided between 42 and 43 ions with 41 or fewer ions in the remainder. Occasionally, the fluorescence of an ion is interrupted by internal-state pumping or molecule formation through background-gas collisions [39]. Runs with dark ions within the zigzag region of the crystal (≤14% of runs, typical) are excluded. Image analysis is used to identify locations of any kinks in the zigzag structure. For configurations without kinks, temporal statistics of the two symmetry-broken phases are nominally random with a bias of ≤1% and a normalized binary autocorrelation on adjacent runs of ≤1%.

In the first experiment, we explore the formation of two types of kink [29]: discrete kinks, which are localized to about 3 ion-sites [Figs. 1(d),(e)], and extended ones, which involve more sites and occur in crystals brought far into the zigzag region [Figs. 1(f),(g)]. Figures 2(a)–(e) show the observed axial distribution of both types and their total numbers as a function of the quench end-point, $V_f$. The data, for 41–43 ions, is taken at a constant ramp rate of 0.19 V/$\mu$s so that the nucleation dynamics near the critical point is expected to be same. Any differences are due to post-nucleation dynamics during the remainder of the ramp through to detection. To understand the outcome of the experiment, we have performed molecular dynamics simulations with no free parameters: The ion trap is treated as an ideal harmonic potential limited to two dimensions. The small axial anharmonicity in the experiment is modeled in an effective way by shifting the axial frequency from 37.6 to 38.2 kHz [45]. The effect of the Doppler cooling laser is modeled explicitly [45] and includes the steady-state fluorescence behavior of $^{174}$Yb$^+$ and all laser calibrations excluding beam profile [38]. Simulations for 42 ions reveal similar distributions to Figs. 2(a) and (b). There is also a good qualitative match in the numbers of discrete and extended kinks between simulation and experiment [see Fig. 3(c)]. From the simulations, we find that discrete kinks are nucleated in the central 5–10 sites of the crystal. Then, there is an outward motion and loss of the discrete kinks, propelled by the gradient in zigzag amplitude and axial density, which affect the underlying potential for the kinks. As the quench end-point increases towards 5.0 V, the number of discrete kinks and the width of their distribution increase since more of the dispersing kinks are trapped in a deeper and wider zigzag region. Above 5.0 V, the
The number of discrete kinks collapses when, as seen in the simulation, those at the center of the crystal distort to extended form. Extended kinks can also migrate to the center [Fig. 2(d)], suggesting a change in the underlying potential for the kinks and leading to the well-centered distribution observed in the experiment [Fig. 2(b)]. Due to reduced losses, the number of extended kinks in the simulation saturates at a value 1.4 times higher than the peak in discrete number. The experiment data ends at 5.8 V, well before the ~7.1-V onset of another structural transition to a different 2D configuration. As this transition is approached, we see an enhancement in (otherwise negligible) background kink nucleation, due to energy transfer events such as background-gas collisions.

Next, we proceed to study kink nucleation as a function of quench rate. With an eye towards subsequent dynamics studies, we work with discrete kinks and operate at $V_q = 4.8$ V, where their maximum number is detected [Fig. 2(c)]. Figure 3(a) shows a log-log plot of the average number of kinks detected per run (black triangles) as a function of scaled rate, $1/\tau_Q$. Data for 41–43 ions is combined. As the quench rate increases from $1/(\omega_z \cdot 70 \mu s)$, the number of kinks grows, peaks at $1/(\omega_z \cdot 15 \mu s)$ and begins to drop. Figure 3(a) also includes results of molecular dynamics simulations, performed as described above. The first simulation (solid black line) shows the number of kinks 2 ms after the quench (2/3 of the exposure time in the experiment), by which time the kink number has stabilized. The simulation matches the observed number of kinks extremely well, and reproduces features in the observed kink distributions, related to rapid, post-nucleation dynamics [Fig. 3(b)–(d)]. To understand the effect of kink losses before detection in the experiment, we use the simulation to assess the “early-counted” number of kinks shortly after nucleation (dashed black line). At fast quenches, there is enhanced loss due to kinetic energy from nonadiabatic excitation of vibrational modes, and before the crystal is re-cooled. Kink pair annihilation also plays a minor role. At slow quenches, we again see a loss of kinks, which worsens with decreasing quench rate. In this case, the zigzag region expands too slowly to trap the kinks, and they escape to the zigzag edges during the quench.

Although kink losses in the experiment mask the intrinsic nucleation rate – see, however, Ref. [36] – we comment briefly on the power law scaling for the simulation and experiment. Figure 3(a) covers about three octaves in quench rate separated by $\log_{10}(1/2\omega_z\tau_Q) = -3.1$ and...
−2.1. The KZ theory\cite{22,36} suggests that our data covers two regimes of nucleation: For the slow-quench range, we expect a DIKZM power law of $8/3 = 2.67$, which agrees with the fit value of 2.5(2) for the early-counted simulation (with significant systematic error associated with rapid losses). For the fast-quench range, where the nucleation region is large enough that nucleation of two or more kinks becomes significant in the simulation, one expects to cross over towards the IKZM power law of $4/3 = 1.33$. The early-counted simulation gives a fit value of 1.7(1). We note that all cases of two kinks observed in the experiment [Fig. 1(d)], albeit rare, occur in or adjacent to the fast-quench region. Finally, in the middle octave of quench rate, where the kink losses are least, a fit to the experimental data gives a power law of 3.3(2), compared to the early-counted simulation value of 2.0(1).

For comparison, we also measure kink nucleation in a trap with all secular frequencies reduced by a factor of 2 (red squares in Fig. 3(a)). Overall, the data match results at higher trap frequency, which shows the weak sensitivity of nucleation and losses to effective cooling rate, $\eta/\omega_z$, over such a variation, except perhaps for losses at the fastest quenches [46]. Of several possibilities to reduce the losses in our experiment, we note that an increase in ion number can help, particularly for slow quenches by reducing the crystal inhomogeneities that transport discrete kinks outward. As shown in Fig. 3(a), a doubling of ion number (and using twice better cooling) already leads to significant reduction in losses over the same quench range, albeit in a scaling regime closer to IKZM.

We conclude with an investigation of the dynamics of single discrete kinks, and measure their axial motion in the crystal and their lifetime. The experiments are performed at $V_f = 4.8$ V and $2\tau_0 = 20$ $\mu$s, for which the initial distribution of the kinks is relatively uniform [Fig. 3(b)]. Figures 4(a) and (b) show the trajectories of kinks in crystals of 42 and 43 ions for three initial axial positions. The kinks move between sites corresponding to local potential wells separated by the axial lattice spacing (5.6 $\mu$m) \cite{30}. The 40-ms frame rate of our camera does not permit us to resolve fast dynamics, including the motion between sites. Nevertheless, it can be seen that, for 42 ions, most of the kinks that begin at the center of the crystal either stay there or move by one site. In comparison, the central kinks in a string of 43 ions migrate to the edges faster. For both cases, kinks closer to the edges tend to move outwards, as expected.

In Figs. 4(c) and (d), we show the exponential lifetime of kinks as a function of their initial position as well as the distributions of kink positions at $t = 0$ s and $t = 0.4$ s. In Fig. 4(c), we can see that the lifetime of kinks for 42 ions is highest near the middle of the crystal (with the $\sim$2-s value likely limited by background-gas collisions), and decreases roughly symmetrically towards the edges. Losses due to the position-dependent lifetime largely account for the observed time evolution of the position distribution. In Fig. 4(d), for 43 ions, the 0.3–0.5 s lifetime of central kinks contrasts with their complete absence in the position distribution after 0.4 s, and points to their migration away from the deeper zigzag region at the center of the crystal \cite{47}. Simulations show that this is associated with new dynamics in which the kink hops two sites (as observed in Fig. 4(b) center) via a transient extended-like form. The difference between 42 and 43 ions is understandable, considering that the experiment is performed at $V_f = 4.8$ V, near to the sharp onset of the bimodal distribution in Fig. 2(a). Since the zigzag phase begins 0.3 V lower for 43 ions, the onset of the bimodal distribution will also occur at a lower voltage.

To conclude, we have measured the number of kinks formed in ion crystals as a function of quench rate in the under-damped regime of the KZM, and have shown how losses mask the intrinsic nucleation rate. Although simultaneous results based on a deeper quench and extended kinks show lower loss \cite{32}, our method of nucleation assessment should be advantageous for larger ion numbers with multiple kinks, since the localized character of the discrete kinks will help reduce interaction-dependent losses. We have also studied the post-nucleation dynamics of kinks, and have observed long lifetimes, which may allow future explorations of internal kink dynamics \cite{29,30}. A natural future direction is the study of linear-zigzag dynamics in the quantum regime \cite{48}. 

![FIG. 4. (color online) (a)–(b) Axial trajectories of discrete kinks in zigzag crystals ($V_f = 4.8$ V) with (a) 42 and (b) 43 ions for three different initial positions: center and four sites from a maximum likelihood estimate including binning due to camera frame rate. Also shown are axial position distributions at $t = 0$ ms (filled gray bars) and $t = 400$ ms (open red bars).](image-url)
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