Our Percutaneous Tracheostomy Experience in Our Intensive Care Unit: A Retrospective Analysis
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Abstract

**Background:** To retrospectively evaluate 58 patients who underwent percutaneous tracheostomy in our intensive care unit.

**Materials and Methods:** The study included 58 patients that underwent percutaneous tracheostomy in the ICU at our Anesthesiology and Reanimation department between January 2017 and December 2020.

**Results:** The percutaneous tracheostomy group comprised 33 (56.9%) men and 25 (43.1%) women with a mean age of 65±18.2 (range, 19-90) years. Most common primary diagnosis of hospitalization was neurological disorders (51.7%). Mean APACHE II score was 23.2±3.6, mean time to percutaneous tracheostomy was 18.3±1.1 (range, 7-30) days, mean procedural time was 11.1±2.4 min, mean duration of mechanical ventilation was 62.1±37.8 (range, 15-167) days, mean intensive care unit stay was 67.2±43.5 (range, 15-247) days, and mean hospitalization time was 77.5±50.4 (range, 15-277) days. Hypoxia and hypotension were the most common intraoperative complications and minor bleeding was the most common postoperative complication.

**Conclusions:** Performing early tracheostomy in intensive care unit patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation increases patient comfort, facilitates discontinuation of mechanical ventilation, reduces the dead space, facilitates the clearing of airway secretions, and shortens the duration of intensive care unit and hospital stay. Additionally, percutaneous tracheostomy was revealed as a safe procedure for intensive care unit patients due to its lower complication rates.
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**Amaç:** Yoğun bakım ünitemizde perkütan trakeostomi yöntemi ile açılan 58 hastayı retrospektif olarak sunmayı amaçladık.

**Materiyl ve Metod:** Hastamızın anesteziyoloji ve reanimasyon kliniği yoğun bakım ünitesinde Ocak 2017-Aralık 2020 tarihleri arasında perkütan yöntemle trakeostomi açılan hastalar retrospektif olarak incelendi.

**Bulgular:** Çalışmaya 58 hasta dahil edildi. Hastaların yaş ortalaması 65±18,2 yıl idi. Hastaların %56,9’u erkek, % 43,1’i kadındı. Yatış tanılarına bakıldığında en sık neden nörolojik nedenler idi. Hastaların Apache II skorları ortalamada 23,2±3,6 olarak bulundu. Hastaların PT açılsına kadar geçen süre ortalamada 18,3±1,1 gün, PT açılma süresi 11,1±2,4 dk, mekanik ventilatör süreleri 62,1±37,8 gün ve yoğun bakım yatış süresi 67,2±43,5 gün olarak bulundu. İşlem sonrasında en sık görülen komplikasyon hipoksı ve hipotansiyon iken işlem sonrasında görülen en sık komplikasyon minör kanama olarak saptandı.

**Sonuç:** Trakeostominin, hasta konforunu artırma, hastaların solunum cihazından ayrılmalarını kolaylaştırmak,ölü boşluğu azaltarak pulmoner sekresyonların temizlenmesini sağlamak gibi avantajları bulunmaktadır. Perkütan trakeostomi düşük komplikasyon oranları nedeniyle daha güvenilir bir işlemdir.
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Introduction

Percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) is a surgical method frequently used in the treatment of upper respiratory tract obstructions and in critical care patients undergoing endotracheal intubation that require long-term mechanical ventilation (MV) (1,2). In such patients, the aim in performing PT is to protect the airway, prevent complications associated with intubation, reduce laryngeal injury, facilitate nursing care and the clearing of airway secretions, reduce the dead space volume, facilitate the transfer of a patient from the intensive care unit (ICU) to the general ward, increase the patient comfort, facilitate the recovery of speech, decrease airway resistance, shorten the length of ICU stay, and facilitate oral feeding (1-3).

Although numerous PT techniques have been described to date, the most widely used techniques include the percutaneous dilatational technique (PDT) described by Ciaglia et al. in 1985 and the percutaneous guide-wire dilatational tracheostomy technique described by Griggs et al. in 1990 (4,5).

Percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) has been shown to be a viable method due to its key advantages including easy bedside application, low complication rates, and short application time. Moreover, PT is frequently used in ICU patients requiring elective tracheostomy (6,7). As PT is an invasive procedure, it may lead to both intra- and post-operative complications. Common intraoperative complications include bleeding, hypoxia, hypercapnia, pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema, paratracheal localization, tracheal wall injury, aspiration, sudden death, and esophageal injury and common postoperative complications include bleeding, stoma infection, vocal cord paralysis, pneumonia, mediastinitis, tracheoesophageal fistula, tracheal stenosis, and tracheomalacia (6,8,9).

In this study, we retrospectively reviewed patients that underwent PT in our ICU between January 2017 and December 2020.

Materials and Methods

The retrospective study included 58 patients that underwent PT in the ICU at our Anesthesiology and Reanimation department between January 2017 and December 2020. Patients aged over 18 years were included in the study and patients that underwent surgical tracheostomy were excluded from the study.

Age, gender, primary diagnosis of hospitalization, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE) II score, duration of intubation, procedural time, and intra- and post-operative complications were recorded for each patient. Early complications (minor bleeding, surgical bleeding, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, hypoxia, and mortality) were recorded for each patient. Minor bleeding was defined as bleeding that could be controlled with a sponge wrapped around the stoma within a short period after the procedure and surgical bleeding was defined as bleeding originating from the stoma or from the tracheostomy via aspiration despite compression. Duration of MV, length of ICU stay, hospitalization time, and discharge status were recorded for each patient.

Percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) was performed in patients who had normal trachea and neck structure (no goiter, previous neck surgery, or soft tissue infection in the neck) and no hemostatic disorder (platelet [PLT] count >50,000/mm² and activated partial thromboplastin time [APTT] and prothrombin time [PT] less than 1.5 times the reference value). Routine monitoring including electrocardiography (ECG), pulse oximetry, end-tidal carbon dioxide value, and invasive/non-invasive arterial pressure monitoring was performed throughout the procedure. The procedure was performed with a PT kit (Portex, Hythe, Kent, England). Prior to the procedure, fentanyl 1 μg kg⁻¹, propofol 3 mg kg⁻¹ and rocuronium 0.6 mg kg⁻¹ were administered intravenously and positive pressure MV was administered with 100% oxygen. The patient was placed in the supine position and the head was brought to extension with support below the shoulders. The endotracheal tube was pulled below the vocal cords. The neck area was wiped with antiseptic solution and then covered with sterile drapes. The space between the second and third tracheal rings was located by palpation. Local anesthesia of lidocaine 2% (3-5 ml) was applied to the target area. After the induction of local anesthesia, a 14G needle with saline was entered into the tracheal lumen via the aspiration of the target area. Entry into the tracheal lumen was confirmed with a fiberoptic bronchoscope. After confirming the placement of the needle, the guidewire was placed in the tracheal lumen. The area was enlarged with the aid of a dilator over the guidewire and then the skin, subcutaneous tissue, and trachea were expanded with forceps. After achieving an appropriate width, a 7.5, 8 or 8.5 mm tracheal tube was inserted in the trachea. The cuff of the tracheostomy cannula was inflated, intra-tube aspiration was performed, and then the patient was connected to the ventilator. After listening to the respiratory sounds, a pulmonary radiograph was taken. The study was approved by Harran University Medical School Clinical Research Ethics Committee (03.02.2021-E.7895).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 21.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Descriptives were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD) and percentages (%). Variables were compared using Chi-square test and Student’s t-test.

Results

A total of 1,015 patients were followed up in our ICU between January 2017 and December 2020. Of these, 58 patients who underwent PT due to the requirement of prolonged mechanical ventilation and 60 patients that underwent surgical tracheostomy were included in the study.
(Figure 1). Tracheostomy was performed in 60.5% of patients who were hospitalized in our ICU for more than 20 days.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics

| PT group (n=58) | Male (33 (56.9)) | Female (25 (43.1)) | Primary diagnosis n (%) | Neurological disorders (30 (51.7)) | Cardiac conditions (16 (27.6)) | Respiratory failure (8 (13.8)) | Trauma (4 (6.9)) |
|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|

The PT group comprised 33 (56.9%) men and 25 (43.1%) women with a mean age of 65±18.2 (range, 19-90) years. In this group, primary diagnoses of hospitalization included neurological disorders (51.7%), cardiac conditions (27.6%), respiratory failure (13.8%), and trauma (6.9%) (Table 1). Moreover, mean APACHE II score was 23.2±3.6, mean time to PT was 18.3±5.1 (range, 7-30) days, mean procedural time was 11.1±2.4 min, mean duration of MV was 62.1±37.8 (range, 15-167) days, mean ICU stay was 67.2±43.5 (range, 15-247) days, and mean hospitalization time was 77.5±50.4 (range, 15-277) days (Table 2).

Table 2. Clinical characteristics

| Clinical characteristic | PT group (n=58) | Mean±SD | Min | Max |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------|-----|-----|
| Time to PT (days)       | 18.3±5.1       | 7       | 30  |
| Procedural time (min)   | 11.1±2.4       | 8       | 15  |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation (days) | 62.1±37.8 | 15 | 167 |
| ICU stay (days)         | 67.2±43.5      | 15      | 247 |
| Hospitalization time (days) | 77.5±50.4 | 15 | 277 |

The most common primary diagnoses of hospitalization were neurological disorders, followed by respiratory failure in patients undergoing PT (12,13). Karasu et al. evaluated 132 patients that underwent PT and 37.5% of them were hospitalized due to neurological disorders (15). Another study reported this rate as 45.2% (13). Similarly, in our study, neurological disorders were the most common primary diagnoses of hospitalization (51.7%).

Total complications occurred in 16 (27.5%) patients. Hypoxia and hypotension were the most common intraoperative complications and minor bleeding was the most common postoperative complication (Table 3).

Table 3. Complications

| Intraoperative complications (%) | PT: Percutaneous tracheostomy |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Hypoxia                          | 10.3                         |
| Hypotension                      | 6.9                          |

Postoperative complications (%)

| Minor bleeding                  | PT: Percutaneous tracheostomy |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 10.3                             |                             |

Of the 12 (20.7%) patients discharged, 8 (66.7%) of them were discharged with a tracheal tube and 4 (33.3%) of them were discharged after decannulation (Table 4).

Table 4. Discharge status

| Prognosis         | n  | %    |
|-------------------|----|------|
| Death             | 46 | 79.3 |
| Discharged with tracheal tube | 8 | 13.8 |
| Discharged after decannulation | 4 | 6.9 |

Discussion

In ICU, PT is mostly performed to reduce the complications of endotracheal intubation and MV, to ensure airway safety, and to provide patient comfort in patients requiring long-term MV (1). PT has numerous advantages such as requiring small skin incision, causing less tissue damage, bleeding, and stoma infection, and easy bedside application (7,10,11). For these reasons, PT is more popular than surgical tracheostomy (7,10). However, a study conducted in Turkey evaluated ICU patients for a period of three years and reported that out of the 203 patients that underwent tracheostomy during the study period, 99 (48.8%) of them underwent PT and the remaining 104 (51.2%) patients underwent surgical tracheostomy (1). Similarly, in our study, out of the 195 patients that were hospitalized in ICU during the four-year study period, 58 (29.7%) of them underwent PT and 60 (30.8%) of them underwent surgical tracheostomy.

Previous studies indicated that the most common primary diagnoses of hospitalization were neurological disorders, followed by respiratory failure in patients undergoing PT (12,13). The authors also noted that the 90-day and one-year mortality rates were lower in patients that underwent early tracheostomy compared to those who underwent late tracheostomy. Terragni et al. (16) compared early (6-8 days) and late (13-15 days) tracheostomies and found that the early tracheostomy group had a shorter duration of MV and a shorter ICU stay compared to the late tracheostomy group, while the administration of early tracheostomy had no significant effect of hospitalization time and mortality. Similarly, Zheng et al. (6) compared early (day 3) and late (day 15) tracheostomies and reported that the early tracheostomy group had a shorter duration of MV and a shorter ICU stay compared to the late tracheostomy group. A study conducted in Turkey reported that the time to tracheostomy varied between 8.20±5.44 and 19.51±10.23 days (8,9,12). In our study, the mean time to PT was 18.3±5.1 days, which could be attributed to the fact that the families of the patients provided the consent
for PT belatedly. Percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) may lead to both intra- and post-operative complications. Common intraoperative complications include bleeding, hypoxia, hypercapnia, pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema, paratracheal localization, tracheal wall injury, aspiration, sudden death, and esophageal injury and common postoperative complications include bleeding, stoma infection, vocal cord paralysis, pneumonia, mediastinitis, tracheoesophageal fistula, tracheal stenosis, and tracheomalacia (4,8). Kearney et al. (18) evaluated a large cohort of 827 patients who underwent PT and reported the rate of perioperative complications as 6% and the rate of early postoperative complications as 5%. In a multicentric study, Halum et al. (19) reported the rate of early postoperative complications (<1 week) as 5.6% and the rate of late postoperative complications (>1 week) as 7.1%. In a study conducted in Turkey, the rate of complications after PT was reported as 6% (7%). In our study, intraoperative complications included hypoxia (10.3%) and hypotension (6.9%) and the only postoperative complication was minor bleeding (10.3%). Moreover, no surgical bleeding occurred in any patient. The higher rate of hypoxia in our patients could be attributed to the use of bronchoscopy during the PT procedure.
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Conclusion
Performing early tracheostomy in ICU patients requiring prolonged MV increases patient comfort, facilitates discontinuation of MV, reduces the dead space, facilitates the clearing of airway secretions, and shortens the duration of ICU and hospital stay. Accordingly, care should be taken to avoid delaying the tracheostomy decision in patients with tracheostomy indications. Additionally, PT was revealed as a safe procedure for ICU patients due to its lower complication rates.
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