Role of Microbiota in Strengthening Ocular Mucosal Barrier Function Through Secretory IgA

Abirami Kugadas,1 Quentin Wright,1 Jennifer Geddes-McAlister,2 and Mihaela Gadjeva1
1Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Brigham and Women’s Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States
2Department of Proteomics and Signal Transduction, Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany

Correspondence: Mihaela Gadjeva, Division of Infectious Diseases, 181 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA
mgadjeva@rics.bwh.harvard.edu.

ABSTRACT

Distinct microbiota communities exist in the gut, lungs, skin, and at the ocular surfaces.1-8 Many studies have examined how resident commensal presence frames immune responses at different locations; however, much remains to be discovered about how these communities affect immunity at a distance.9,10 Toward this end, a recent study by de Paiva and colleagues11 has shown that when gut microbiota is perturbed are significantly influenced in a mouse model of Sjögren’s syndrome. The trend is reversed in untreated controls, suggesting that gut microbiota perturbations can alter immunity at mucosal surfaces. Additional evidence of the impact of host to gut commensal presence regulated ocular surface SlgA levels and mRNA IgA transcripts in EALT. Oral antibiotic cocktail intake significantly reduced gut commensal presence, while maintaining ocular surface commensal levels reduced SlgA and IgA transcripts in EALT. Analysis of gut microbial communities revealed that SPF SW mice carried abundant Bacteroides organisms when compared to SPF C57BL/6/N mice, with B. acidifaciens being the most prominent species in SPF SW mice. Monoclonalization of GF SW mice with B. acidifaciens, a strict gut anaerobe, resulted in significant increase of IgA transcripts in the EALT, implying generation of B-cell memory.

With mounting evidence to suggest that gut microbiome perturbations are a causative factor in disease, the question of the contribution of an ocular microbiome to disease pathology also requires further examination. A study of changes in ocular microbiome constituents after infection with Chlamydia trachomatis, by Zhou and colleagues, reveals that age, environment, and exposure to disease are factors in altering the ocular microbiome. Dong and colleagues define a core ocular microbiome, using culturing and 16S sequencing methods. They note that the microbiome is made up of five phyla with 59 bacterial genera, of which 12 genera make up a “core” conjunctival microbiome in healthy subjects. Dong et al., Willcox, and Huang et al. have recapitulated the observation that the ocular surface hosts a stable, paucibacterial microbiome (with potential transient members) relative to the surrounding skin and buccal mucosa. Of note, Dong et al., specifically note two orders of magnitude fewer bacteria in the ocular microbiome than Huang et al. It has been speculated that the sparse bacterial population may be due to innate antimicrobial peptides, lysozyme, and other factors, such as mechanical clearance by blinking. Cumulatively, these studies provide the foundation to question which immune mechanisms ensure scarcity of the ocular microbiome.

Allansmith et al. have observed that germ-free (GF) rats have 5- to 8-fold lower IgA and IgM plasma cells in their lacrimal glands (LGs) than normal controls, before introduction into a
conventional housing environment laden with normal antigens. A 4-week exposure to a normal housing environment is sufficient to raise secretory IgA (SIgA) levels in tears to those of normal rats. However, no direct correlation has been established in the study between microbial commensal communities and their niche occupancy in affecting ocular SIgA levels. Nonetheless, the data have pioneered the suggestion that commensals modulate SIgA at the ocular surfaces. In addition, we recently have reported a significant decrease in ocular SIgA in GF mice when compared to SPF SW mice 
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were subjected to in-solution trypsin digestion. Briefly, 1/3 sample volume of 8 M urea containing 40 mM HEPES was added to each sample and sonicated in a rotating water bath at 4°C for 15 minutes (30 seconds on, 30 seconds off). The samples were then reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol, alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide, followed by LysC and trypsin digestion overnight. The total sample volume was loaded onto C18 STAGE-tips (EmporeTM, IVA-Anlysentechnik, Meerbusch, Germany) for purification. MS analysis was performed by using a Q Exactive HF quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometer coupled on-line to a nanoflow UHPLC instrument (Easy nLC; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Eluted peptides were separated over a 120-minute gradient on a reverse phase 50-cm-long C18 column (75-μm inner diameter, ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 1.8-μm beads; Dr. Maisch GmbH, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany).

**LC-MS/MS Data Analysis**

Mass spectra were processed by using the MaxQuant computational platform version 1.5.5.5. The spectra were searched by the Andromeda search engine against the Mus musculus Uniprot sequence databases (acquired April 27, 2016). Quantification in MaxQuant was performed by using the label-free quantification (LFQ) algorithm, and “match between runs” was selected.

**Depletion of Gut Microbiota by Oral Antibiotics**

Four-week-old SPF SW mice were treated with an antibiotic cocktail in the drinking water as previously described.

**Gut Microbiome Profiling by 16S rDNA Sequencing**

DNA was extracted from the fecal pellets with QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (catalog No. 51504; Hilden, Germany). Quality of the DNA was checked by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Libraries were created by targeting the V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene, using qPCR according to the protocol available at www.carthimbioinformatics.org/protocols-and-standards/16s/. Purified and size-selected libraries were subjected to pair end 2 × 150 bp cycle run on Illumina MiSeq (Zymo Research Corp., Irvine, CA, USA). The sequencing and analysis were performed by SeqMatic (Fremont, CA, USA). Illumina BaseSpace’s 16S metagenomic application was used to analyze the FASTQ data. All reads obtained from pair end 2 × 150-bp cycle runs on Illumina MiSeq passed the quality check. Green genes database was used to classify reads with species level sensitivity. The Ribosomal Database Project (RD)-naïve Bayesian algorithm was used for classification. Illumina BaseSpace’s 16S metagenomic application that used the sequences generated from pair end sequencing (longer reads compared to single end) did not require any upfront operational taxonomic unit clustering for the taxonomic classification. On average 97.5% of the reads were classified at least at a genus level. The unclassified reads constituted 2.4% with the standard deviation of 1.21%.

**Monocolonization With Bacteroides acidificiens**

Five-week-old female GF-SW mice (n = 5) were orally gavaged with 10⁶ B. acidificiens organisms. Fecal pellets and eyewashes were collected on days 0, 7, 14, and 21. On day 21 post colonization, RNA was extracted from LGs, small intestine, and colon.

**IL-1β Blocking**

SPF SW mice were treated with 100 μg anti-IL-1β antibody (clone B122, No. BE0246; BioXCell, West Lebanon, NH, USA)
or isotype control (Armenian Hamster IgG BioXCell, No. BE0091) IP. After 24 hours, mice were euthanized and cervical lymph nodes (CLNs), LGs, serum, and eyewashes were collected for analysis.

Tissue and Stool Sample Preparation

Tissue samples were mechanically homogenized in Trizol (Thermo Fisher) before processing for RNA by using the Direct-zol RNA mini Prep (Catalog No: R2050; USA) according to manufacturer’s guidelines. Stool samples were homogenized in PBS and centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. Supernatants were collected, protein quantified by Bradford assay, and stored at −80°C supplemented with protease inhibitors (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA).

Quantitative RT-PCR

One-step RT-PCR was performed by using the Power SYBR Green RNA to Cq 1-Step Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) in CFX Connect Real Time PCR Detection System (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Relative fold changes in the mRNA expression levels were calculated according to Livak and Schmittgen.25 The following primers were used to quantify transcripts in the tissue samples: immunoglobulin A (IgA) F: 5’CCTAGTTTGGACCCCCCTAA3’ and IgAR: 5’GGAAGTGCCGGATATTTTG3’; glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) _F: 5’GATTCCACCACCATGGCAAATTC3’ and GAPDH_R: 5’TGGGATTCCATTGATGACAG3’.

IgA ELISA

SIgA was quantified by using Mouse IgA ELISA Ready-SET-Go (ebioscience, Vienna, Austria) per manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical and Bioinformatics Analysis

Bioinformatics analysis of the LC-MS/MS data was performed in the Perseus software environment version 1.5.5.5.26 Data were filtered for common contaminants, log2 transformed; only those proteins which were present in duplicate within at least one sample set were used for further statistical analysis (valid-value filter of 2 in at least one group), and missing values were imputed from a normal distribution. Two-sample Student’s t-tests were performed to identify proteins with a significant differential expression (P value < 0.05) in SPF C57BL/6N and SW-derived samples, using a 5% permutation-based false discovery rate (FDR) filter.

RESULTS

Ocular SIgA Levels Differ in Genetically Distinct Strains of Mice

We compared the ocular surface proteomes of SPF SW and SPF C57BL/6N mice by using quantitative mass spectrometry–based proteomics. Following stringent filtering, in which each protein must be identified in duplicate in at least one sample set, and imputation of missing values from a normal distribution, 793 unique proteins were identified in SPF SW and SPF C57BL/6N mice and used for the subsequent analyses (Supplementary Table S1). We next used a Student’s t-test (P value < 0.05) adjusted for multiple hypothesis testing (FDR < 0.05) to identify
Microbiota Promotes Generation of Ocular SlgA Levels

To evaluate the relative contribution of commensal presence on ocular SlgA, we treated SPF SW mice with an antibiotic cocktail in the drinking water, shown to significantly reduce gut bacterial commensal presence, while preserving microbiota at other sites such as the skin and conjunctiva. Upon completion of treatment, LGs were harvested and IgA transcript levels were quantified. It was noted that antibiotic (ABX)–treated mice had significantly lower levels of LG IgA transcripts than untreated controls \((P = 0.0011)\), illustrating the impact of gut microbiota on the abundance of IgA transcripts (Fig. 3A).

To determine if gut commensal ecosystems can reconstitute IgA transcript levels in the LGs, GF SW mice were orally gavaged with fecal homogenate derived from either SPF C57BL/6N or SPF SW mice. Donor type selection was based on the significant differences in the detected SlgA levels. One-way ANOVA analysis of IgA qPCR of LG tissues showed significant fold increases of 11.8 \((P = 0.0017)\) and 259 \((P = 0.001)\) in GF SW mice gavaged with SPF C57BL/6N gut commensals and GF SW mice gavaged with SPF SW gut commensals, respectively (Fig. 3B).

To confirm the expectation that the gut commensals of SPF SW and SPF C57BL/6N mice were diverse, 16S ribosomal sequencing analysis was undertaken. This characterization demonstrated that SPF SW mice harbored increased diversity of gut commensals when compared to the SPF C57BL/6N mice (Fig. 4A). *Bacteroides* was the most prominent genus in SW mice (Fig. 4B).

Microbiota Induced Ocular Surface Immunity by SlgA
production of IgA transcripts in EALT and SIgA in the colon. Monocolonization with *B. acidifaciens*, a strain that does not inhabit ocular mucosal sites, upregulated IgA transcript levels in LGs but did not affect surface SIgA, indicative of the need for additional stimulation.

Microbiota-Induced IL-1β Promotes SIgA Levels

IL-1β ELISA on serum from GF and SPF SW mice showed that the GF SW mice had approximately 50% less baseline serum IL-1β (7.5 pg/mL GF versus 15.5 pg/mL SPF SW, *P* < 0.0045, Student’s *t*-test) when compared to the SPF SW control (Fig. 6). To determine if systemic IL-1β was a significant factor in the modulation of IgA transcription in EALT, IL-1β–blocking antibody was administered to SPF SW mice. Quantitative PCR analysis of the CLNs and LGs for IgA transcripts showed significantly lower levels of IgA than for isotype controls (CLNs: 1.6-fold reduction, *P* = 0.0329; LGs: 2-fold reduction, *P* = 0.0002, Student’s *t*-test) (Fig. 6). Further analysis of eyewashes for SIgA confirmed the LG IgA data (control IgA concentration: 0.92 ng/mL, IL-1β block concentration: 0.15 ng/mL, *P* = 0.0454, Student’s *t*-test), suggesting that IL-1β is required for maintaining LG IgA mRNA transcript and surface protein levels in SPF SW mice. Taken together, these data suggest that IL-1β is a crucial component in regulating B-cell IgA production in LGs.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we provided experimental evidence that ocular SIgA is influenced by the genetic background and microbiota. Mass spectrometry analysis of SPF C57BL/6N and SPF SW mice demonstrated lower abundance of surface SIgA in C57BL/6N mice than in SPF SW mice (Fig. 1). Consistently, a comparison of IgA transcripts in EALT by qRT-PCR confirmed the higher levels of IgA transcripts in the SPF SW mice. Further, we found a correlation between gut commensal diversity and SIgA levels, indicative of a mechanism. The SPF SW mice presented with richer gut commensal diversity than the SPF C57BL/6N mice and this correlated with elevated levels of IgA transcripts and SIgA.

Our findings are reminiscent of, but extend, the recent observations that BALB/c mice have a richer microbial diversity and higher IgA expression than C57BL/6J mice in the gut. Fecal transplant studies indicate that C57BL/6J mice are genetically predisposed to having less diverse microbiome. Fransen et al. have demonstrated a correlation between commensal diversity and SIgA levels in colon. However, SIgA levels at distant mucosal sites were not evaluated. Further, since subsequent experiments have demonstrated niche dependence for the generation of SIgA, we questioned whether LG IgA transcript levels, and potentially ocular surface SIgA, changed depending on gut microbiota.

We observed that when GF SW mice were engrafted with SPF SW– or SPF C57BL/6N–derived microbiota, the rise in relative IgA transcripts was more prominent in the SPF SW–engrafted recipients (Fig. 3). These data support the conclusion that gut commensal diversity correlates with IgA transcript levels, as GF SW engrafted with SPF C57BL/6N–derived microbiota had significantly lower transcript levels and lower diversity. As expected, 16S bacterial metagenomic profiling demonstrated different gut commensal diversity in the two genotypes of mice, with the *Bacteroides* genus being more prominent in the SPF SW mice (Fig. 3). Among the identified commensal...
species in the SPF SW mice, *B. acidifaciens* was highly abundant in SPF SW mice. *B. acidifaciens* is a gut commensal and an obligate anaerobe, which has been linked to colon IgA production.\(^28\) When GF SW mice were monocolonized with *B. acidifaciens*, qRT-PCR analysis for colon IgA transcripts demonstrated a significant rise in the IgA transcripts, which translated into elevated SIgA (Fig. 5). No increases in the small-intestine IgA transcripts were noted in agreement with data by Yanagibashi et al.\(^28\) These data illustrate a significant role of *B. acidifaciens* in promoting SIgA synthesis. Of note, not all commensal species that belong to the *Bacteroides* genus induce SIgA expression in the gut. Recent monocolonization experiments have revealed that colonization with *B. fragilis* promotes SIgA, whereas *B. ovatus* does not, while *B. uniformis* inhibits SIgA, illustrating commensal-specific responses, rather than genus-specific responses in the gut.\(^29\)

Interestingly, analysis of LG IgA transcripts at day 21 showed a significant increase in IgA transcript levels when compared to GF controls. Moreover, since the analysis of eyewash protein levels did not yield a significant increase of SIgA in the monocolonized mice when compared to GF SW control, we concluded that a secondary priming signal from the ocular surface may be required for IgA secretion. Consistently, the monocolonized mice did not present recoverable commensal species form the ocular surface upon swabbing (data not shown).

**Figure 5.** IgA increases at multiple mucosal sites after recolonization of GF SW mice with *Bacteroides acidifaciens*. GF SW mice (*n = 5–7*) were orally gavaged with (1 × 10⁸ CFU/mL) *B. acidifaciens* and kept in GF housing for 21 days. (A) Significance of changes in the colon IgA gene transcripts over time was determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunn’s comparison test. (B) Stool samples were assayed for SIgA by ELISA. Significance of changes in gut SIgA over time was determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s comparison test. (C) Changes in IgA transcript levels in the small-intestine samples. Significance was determined by Student’s *t*-test. (D) Significance of changes in LG IgA transcripts over time was determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s comparison test. (E) Pooled eyewash samples were collected from mice and analyzed for IgA by ELISA. Significance of changes in eyewash SIgA over time was determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by Dunn’s comparison test. Cumulatively, the data show that gut reconstitution of GF SW mice with *B. acidifaciens* induced a robust gut and ocular IgA transcription.
positive innate lymphoid cells (ROR- 
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LG. (Significance was determined by a Student’s 
test. Cumulatively, data show that ocular SIgA concentration at steady
state depends on IL-1 
b
levels in GF SW and SPF SW mice. Significance was determined by a Student’s t-test. Control mice 
received isotype control treatment. (D) Pooled eyewash samples were collected 24 hours after administration of an IL-1 
b
blockade and were 
assayed for SlgA by ELISA. Significance was determined by a Student’s t-test. Cumulatively, data show that ocular SlgA concentration at steady 
state depends on IL-1 
b
signaling.

Our observations suggest a model where naïve B cells are 
exposed to commensal-derived signals in the gut, class-switch, and initiate IgA production in the colonic compartment. Thereafter, a subset of effector or memory cells may traffic from the colon and enter the LGs. The idea of potential trafficking of B cells from the gut to the LGs is intriguing and is supported by the observation that B cells traffic between mesenteric lymph nodes and LGs. Oral administration of a 
dinitrophenylated type III pneumococcal vaccine results in 
consistent SlgA secretion in tears as a response to continued GI 
vaccine administration, hinting at the possibility of “continuous but variable” populations of IgA-secreting cells 
flowing in and out of the LGs.

Our data also suggest that generation of SlgA is IL-1 
b
dependent in SW mice. IL-1 
b
–induced expression of lympho-
toxin γ and β induced nitric oxide synthase and maintained populations of retinoic acid-related orphan receptor γ 
t-positive innate lymphoid cells (ROR-γ 
t
ILCs), which are known contributors to IgA class switching. Consistently, IL-1 
b
knockout mice showed a breakdown in IgA-mediated gut
immune homeostasis and a significant decline in Bacteroides

genus.30,31 Cumulatively, data suggest a contribution for IL-1 
b
signaling in regulating IgA production, a finding that has significant implications for ocular immune homeostasis in health and disease.
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