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Methods: Data were derived from an ad hoc subgroup analysis of the EXPEDITION-8 study for patients receiving 8 weeks of G/P therapy, and pooled patient-level data from nine clinical studies for patients receiving 12 weeks of therapy. Evidence of PHT included at least one of the following at baseline: FibroScan ≥ 20 kPa, platelets < 100 × 10^9/L, or medical history consistent with PHT. The primary efficacy endpoint was SVR12; adverse events (AEs) consistent with hepatic decompensation were assessed.

Results: PHT was identified in 60.6% (208/343) and 57.1% (224/392) of the 8- and 12-week groups, respectively. For those with PHT, SVR12 was 97.6% (203/208) and 98.7% (221/224) with 8- and 12-week treatment, respectively (intention-to-treat population). For those without PHT, 97.8% (132/135) in the 8-week group and 97.6% (164/168) in the 12-week group achieved SVR12. Eight patients with PHT, and seven without, did not achieve SVR12. Similar rates of AEs were observed in the PHT and non-PHT groups. Three cases of hepatic decompensation in the PHT group, unrelated to G/P according to the investigators, were reported.

Conclusion: G/P treatment for 8 or 12 weeks was equally efficacious in HCV patients with features of PHT. Safety outcomes were similar between PHT and non-PHT groups, with G/P treatment well tolerated across groups.

NCTs: NCT03089944, NCT02642432, NCT02738138, NCT02243293, NCT02651194, NCT03235349, NCT02707952, NCT02966795, NCT03069365, NCT03219216.
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Key Summary Points

Why carry out this study?

Patients with HCV infection and cirrhosis are at increased risk of developing complications such as portal hypertension (PHT).

Direct-acting antivirals, such as glecaprevir/pibrentasvir (G/P), have been shown to be effective and well tolerated in the majority of patients, but further data are needed in patients with compensated cirrhosis (CC) and evidence of PHT.

This study evaluated the efficacy of 8- versus 12-week G/P in treatment-naïve (TN) patients with CC and evidence of PHT and safety in patients with versus those without evidence of PHT.

What was learned from this study?

Rates of sustained virologic response were high (>97.5%) for both 8- and 12-week G/P in patients with CC and evidence of PHT and there were no differences in adverse events (AEs) between patients with or without signs of PHT.

This analysis shows that 8-week G/P is efficacious and well tolerated in TN patients with CC and evidence of PHT, supporting the simplified treatment of hepatitis C in patients with advanced liver disease.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic hepatitis C, an infection related to substantial liver disease, has been estimated to affect 58 million individuals worldwide in 2019 [1]. If left untreated, approximately 5–25% of patients will develop cirrhosis within 10–20 years, which can lead to further complications, such as portal hypertension (PHT), and ultimately liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and death [2, 3]. The elevation in portal blood pressure associated with PHT leads to the most severe complications of cirrhosis, including ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, and bleeding from gastroesophageal varices [4]. As one of the most severe complications of cirrhosis, best practices for diagnosing and measuring PHT have evolved over time [4]. Current guidelines (Baveno VI) suggest that clinically significant PHT can be determined using transient elastography to measure liver stiffness, either alone or in combination with platelet count and spleen size [4].

With the availability of highly effective and well-tolerated pangenotypic direct-acting antivirals (DAAs), it is now possible for the majority of patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection to be treated and to achieve sustained virologic response, including those with more advanced liver disease, such as those with clinical evidence suggestive of PHT [5]. Glecaprevir/pibrentasvir (G/P), a once-daily all-oral DAA, has been shown to be effective and well tolerated in treating hepatitis C in a variety of patients in a number of clinical trials and real-world studies [6–11]. In particular, the EXPEDITION-8 trial has shown that patients with compensated cirrhosis (CC), can achieve high rates (99.7%) of sustained virologic response at post-treatment week 12 (SVR12) when treated with 8 weeks of G/P [6]. Supported by such data in the US and Europe, G/P's product label indicates it can be used for 8 weeks in all treatment-naïve (TN) patients, regardless of cirrhosis status [12, 13].

There remains, however, a need for further data in patients with CC and evidence of PHT to provide reassurance regarding effective treatment duration with G/P, and the safety of the regimen in this patient subpopulation. Indeed, current guidelines from the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) state that it has not been determined if 8-week G/P is sufficient in TN patients with CC (Child–Pugh A) and evidence of PHT [5]. The objective of this ad hoc analysis was to evaluate the efficacy of 8-
versus 12-week G/P in treatment-naïve HCV-infected patients with CC and clinical evidence suggestive of PHT prior to treatment, and to assess the safety of patients with evidence of PHT compared with those without.

**METHODS**

**Study Design and Patient Population**

Data were derived from an ad hoc subgroup analysis of the EXPEDITION-8 study for patients receiving 8 weeks of G/P therapy [6]. For patients receiving 12 weeks of therapy, data were derived from a subgroup analysis of nine (one phase 2 and eight phase 3 studies) pooled studies: EXPEDI-

**Endpoints and Assessments**

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics were recorded at baseline and included sex, race, age, GT, body mass index (BMI), platelet count, albumin level, transient elastography, Fib-4 scores, Child–Pugh scores, and fibrosis stage. Comorbidities of interest (HIV coinfection, alcohol use, and history of injection drug use) were also reported. Cirrhosis status was determined within each study protocol and was not reassessed for this analysis. CC was defined by liver biopsy [METAVIR fibrosis stage F4 (or equivalent)], transient elastography (Fibroscan®, Echosens, France) ≥ 14.6 kPa, a FibroTest (BioPredictive, Paris, France) score of ≥ 0.75, and an aspartate aminotransferase (AST)-to-platelet ratio index (APRI) of ≥ 2, a Child–Pugh score of 6 or less at screening, and no current or past evidence of Child–Pugh B or C classification or clinical history of liver decompensation. Absence of HCC was confirmed at or within 3 months prior to screening by a negative ultrasound, computed tomography scan, or magnetic resonance imaging [6, 8–10, 14–19].

The primary efficacy endpoint of each of the included studies was the percentage of patients who achieved SVR12 (defined as HCV RNA below the lower limit of quantification 12 weeks after treatment). Additional analyses included the SVR12 rate in patients with GT3. Safety outcomes were also assessed. In all the studies, all treatment-emergent adverse events (AEs), defined as any AE with an onset date after the first dose of G/P and no more than 30 days after the last G/P dose, were monitored and were either coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities v.23.1 Preferred Terms or...
mapped onto this version for the integrated data analysis [6, 8–10, 14–19]. For this analysis, we were focused on differences in SVR12 between 8 and 12 weeks in PHT patients, as well as on differences in safety between PHT and non-PHT groups.

### Statistical Analyses

All patients who received at least one dose of G/P were included in the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis. Baseline patient demographics and disease characteristics, efficacy, and safety were all analyzed in the ITT population. Categorical variables were summarized by number and percentage; continuous variables were summarized with descriptive statistics.

### RESULTS

There were 343 patients included in the EXPEDITIO-8 study who received 8-weeks of therapy; of those, 60.6% (208/343) patients were identified as patients with clinical evidence suggestive of PHT. In this 8-week group, 43.4% (149/343) patients had a FibroScan ≥ 20 kPa, 23.3% (80/343) had platelets < 100 × 10^9/L, and 20.4% (70/343) had a medical history consistent with features of PHT. Of a total of 392 patients in the pooled analyses of patients receiving 12 weeks of G/P therapy, 57.1% (224/392) were identified as having clinical evidence suggestive of PHT. In the overall 12-week group, 40.6% (159/392) of patients had a FibroScan ≥ 20 kPa, 26.0% (102/392) had platelets < 100 × 10^9/L, and 13.5% (53/392) had a medical history consistent with features of PHT. Baseline characteristics are described in Table 1.

### Efficacy

In patients with evidence of PHT, SVR12 was achieved by 97.6% (203/208) who received 8-week G/P and 98.7% (221/224) in those who received 12 weeks of G/P. Similarly, the group without evidence of PHT patients receiving 8-week G/P achieved an SVR12 rate of 97.8% (132/135), and the 12-week group achieved an SVR12 rate of 97.6% (164/168) (Fig. 1).

In patients with HCV GT3 and evidence of PHT, 97.7% (42/43) patients receiving 8 weeks of G/P and 97.6% (41/42) patients receiving 12 weeks of G/P achieved SVR12. In the HCV GT3 patients who did not have evidence of PHT, 90.0% (18/20) patients in the 8-week group and 94.5% (52/55) in the 12-week group achieved SVR12 (Fig. 1).

In the PHT group, 8 patients did not achieve SVR12, of whom 5 were in the 8-week G/P group: 1 GT3-infected patient experienced relapse, 1 GT1b-infected patient prematurely discontinued treatment prior to week 8 (for reasons other than AEs) and was lost to follow-up after 17 days of treatment, and 3 patients (2 patients infected with GT1a and 1 infected with GT1b) had missing SVR12 data. The remaining 3 patients with PHT who did not achieve SVR12 were in the 12-week G/P group: 1 GT1b-infected patient had missing SVR12 data and 2 (1 GT3-infected patient and 1 GT6-infected patient) had on-treatment virologic failure (breakthrough). The HCV GT3 patient experienced on-treatment virologic failure (OTVF) at the treatment-week 8 visit following a period of non-compliance with G/P dosing, and the patient with HCV GT6 had OTVF at the treatment-week 12 visit, and had a treatment-emergent NS3 RAS (A156M) and NS5A RAS (T93A) at the time of failure.

In the group without clinical evidence suggestive of PHT, 7 patients did not achieve SVR12, 3 (2 with GT3-infection and 1 with GT1a-infection) in the 8-week group had missing SVR12 data. In the 12-week non-PHT G/P group, 4 patients did not achieve SVR12: 1 GT3-infected patient relapsed, 2 GT3-infected patients had missing SVR12 data, and 1 GT1a-infected patient prematurely discontinued the study at 27 days of treatment due to a nonserious AE of diarrhea, considered reasonably likely to be related to G/P, and relapsed after stopping prematurely.
| Baseline characteristic | 8 weeks PHT | 8 weeks non-PHT | 12 weeks PHT | 12 weeks non-PHT |
|-------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|
|                         | n = 208 n (%) | n = 135 n (%) | n = 224 n (%) | n = 168 n (%) |
| **Sex**                 |            |                |             |                 |
| Male                    | 136 (65.4) | 81 (60.0)      | 127 (56.7)  | 96 (57.1)       |
| Female                  | 72 (34.6)  | 54 (40.0)      | 97 (43.3)   | 72 (42.9)       |
| **Race**                |            |                |             |                 |
| White                   | 167 (80.3) | 118 (87.4)     | 103 (46.0)  | 91 (54.2)       |
| Black                   | 17 (8.2)   | 11 (8.1)       | 10 (4.5)    | 10 (6.0)        |
| Asian                   | 22 (10.6)  | 6 (4.4)        | 105 (46.9)  | 66 (39.3)       |
| Other                   | 2 (1.0)    | 0              | 6 (2.7)     | 1 (0.6)         |
| **HCV GT**              |            |                |             |                 |
| 1a/1b subtype           | 64 (30.8)/75 (36.1) | 31 (23.0)/61 (45.2) | 34 (15.2)/84 (37.5) | 22 (13.1)/42 (25.0) |
| 2                       | 15 (7.2)   | 11 (8.1)       | 47 (21.0)   | 30 (17.9)       |
| 3                       | 43 (20.7)  | 20 (14.8)      | 42 (18.8)   | 55 (32.7)       |
| 4–6                     | 11 (5.3)   | 12 (8.9)       | 17 (7.6)    | 19 (11.3)       |
| **Age (years) (median, range)** | 57 (32–84) | 59 (33–88) | 59 (28–88) | 58 (26–87) |
| **BMI (kg/m²) (median, range)** | 26.9 (18.4–55.4) | 27.9 (19.0–45.8) | 25.7 (17.8–55.4) | 26.2 (15.2–44.8) |
| **Baseline platelets (10⁹/L) (median, Q1–Q3)** | 120.5 (90.0–162.5) | 180.0 (152.0–222.0) | 111.0 (87.0–159.5) | 155.5 (128.0–184.5) |
| **Baseline albumin (g/L) (median, Q1–Q3)** | 42.0 (39.0–44.0) | 43.0 (41.0–45.0) | 41.0 (38.0–44.0) | 42.0 (40.0–45.0) |
| **Baseline FibroScan (kPa) (median, Q1–Q3)** | 25.5 (21.3–33.1) | 16.5 (15.3–17.5) | 24.4 (20.9–34.6) | 16.5 (15.0–17.3) |
| **Baseline FIB-4 (median, Q1–Q3)** | 4.2 (2.7–6.0) | 2.2 (1.5–3.4) | 4.7 (3.0–6.9) | 2.9 (2.0–4.5) |
| **Baseline Child–Pugh Score** |            |                |             |                 |
| 5                       | 181 (87.0) | 126 (93.3)     | 193 (86.5)  | 160 (95.2)      |
| 6                       | 25 (12.0)  | 8 (5.9)        | 27 (12.1)   | 7 (4.2)         |
| > 6                     | 2 (1.0)    | 1 (0.7)        | 3 (1.3)     | 1 (0.6)         |
| **Baseline fibrosis stage** |            |                |             |                 |
| F0-F1                   | 0          | 0              | 0           | 0               |
| F2                      | 0          | 0              | 0           | 1 (0.6)         |
Safety

Overall, 28.5% of patients with evidence of PHT and 28.1% of patients without PHT experienced an AE possibly related to G/P, as assessed by an investigator. In patients on 8 weeks of G/P therapy, this was 25.5% of patients with PHT and 25.9% of patients without clinical evidence.

Table 1 continued

| Baseline characteristic | 8 weeks PHT | 8 weeks non-PHT | 12 weeks PHT | 12 weeks non-PHT |
|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                         | n = 208     | n = 135         | n = 224      | n = 168         |
|                         | n (%)       | n (%)           | n (%)        | n (%)           |
| F3                      | 0           | 0               | 1 (0.5)      | 2 (1.2)         |
| F4                      | 208 (100)   | 135 (100)       | 212 (99.5)   | 159 (98.1)      |
| Missing                 | 0           | 0               | 11           | 6               |
| Presence of HIV co-infection | 0         | 0               | 5 (2.2)      | 9 (5.4)         |
| Alcohol use (current)   | 40 (19.2)   | 30 (22.2)       | 40 (17.9)    | 36 (21.4)       |
| History of injection drug use | 3 (1.4)     | 1 (0.7)         | 7 (3.1)      | 5 (3.0)         |

Based on an ITT analysis

BMI body mass index, FIB-4 Fibrosis-4, GT genotype, HCV hepatitis C virus, HIV human immunodeficiency virus, ITT intention-to-treat, PHT portal hypertension, Q quartile

a) n = 178
b) n = 117
c) n = 188
d) n = 101
e) Within the last 12 months

Fig. 1 Percentage of patients who achieved SVR12 receiving 8 or 12 weeks of G/P therapy (ITT analysis); % SVR12 ± 95% confidence interval. G/P glecaprevir/pibrentasvir, ITT intention-to-treat, PHT portal hypertension, SVR12 sustained virologic response at post-treatment week 12
of PHT, and in patients on 12 weeks of G/P therapy, this was 31.3% of patients with PHT and 29.8% of patients without evidence of PHT (Table 2). The most common AEs related to G/P (≥ 5% in any group) were fatigue, pruritus, headache, and nausea.

In patients with PHT, three cases of hepatic decompensation were reported overall (8- and 12-week groups): one nonserious case of ascites occurring in the 8-week group; one nonserious case of ascites, and one serious case of esophageal variceal bleeding were reported in the 12-week group. None of these events of hepatic decompensation were deemed related to G/P by the investigators, nor led to the discontinuation of G/P therapy. All events of hepatic decompensation, with the exception of the one case of moderate ascites occurring in the 8-week group, resolved. Moderate ascites

| Event                                           | PHT overall (n = 432) | Non-PHT overall (n = 303) | 8 weeks PHT (n = 208) | 8 weeks non-PHT (n = 135) | 12 weeks PHT (n = 224) | 12 weeks non-PHT (n = 168) |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Any AE                                          | 224 (51.9)            | 180 (59.4)                | 94 (45.2)             | 64 (47.4)                | 150 (67.0)             | 116 (69.0)                |
| Any AE with reasonable possibility of being related to G/Pa | 123 (28.5)            | 85 (28.1)                 | 53 (25.5)             | 35 (25.9)                | 70 (31.3)              | 50 (29.8)                 |
| AEs related to G/P in ≥ 5% of patients           |                       |                           |                       |                          |                        |                           |
| Fatigue                                         | 30 (6.9)              | 24 (7.9)                  | 15 (7.2)              | 11 (8.1)                 | 15 (6.7)               | 13 (7.7)                  |
| Pruritus                                         | 23 (5.3)              | 24 (7.9)                  | 12 (5.8)              | 13 (9.6)                 | 11 (4.9)               | 11 (6.5)                  |
| Headache                                         | 27 (6.3)              | 18 (5.9)                  | 14 (6.7)              | 7 (5.2)                  | 13 (5.8)               | 11 (6.5)                  |
| Nausea                                          | 22 (5.1)              | 12 (4.0)                  | 9 (4.3)               | 4 (3.0)                  | 13 (5.8)               | 8 (4.8)                   |
| AEs of hepatic decompensationb,c                 | 3 (0.7)               | 0                         | 1 (0.5)               | 0                        | 2 (0.9)                | 0                         |
| Any serious AE                                   | 16 (3.7)              | 14 (4.6)                  | 2 (1.0)               | 4 (3.0)                  | 14 (6.3)               | 10 (6.0)                  |
| Any G/P-related serious AE                      | 1 (0.2)               | 0                         | 0                     | 0                        | 1 (0.4)                | 0                         |
| AE leading to drug discontinuation               | 2 (0.5)               | 1 (0.3)                   | 0                     | 0                        | 2 (0.9)                | 1 (0.6)                   |
| Any G/P-related AE leading to drug discontinuation | 1 (0.2)               | 1 (0.3)                   | 0                     | 0                        | 1 (0.4)                | 1 (0.6)                   |
| Any AE leading to interruption of G/P            | 3 (0.7)d              | 3 (1.0)e                  | 1 (0.5)d              | 0                        | 2 (0.9)d               | 3 (1.8)e                  |
| Any AE leading to death                          | 1 (0.2)f              | 0                         | 0                     | 0                        | 1 (0.4)f               | 0                         |

a As assessed by study investigator
b Deemed unrelated to G/P by study investigators
c One nonserious case of ascites was reported in the 8-week PHT group; 1 nonserious case of ascites and 1 serious case of esophageal variceal bleeding were reported in the 12-week PHT group
d Patient(s) achieved SVR12 despite interruption
e All but one patient achieved SVR12
f 41-year-old Asian male died of left cerebral hemorrhage deemed unrelated to G/P by the study investigator

AE adverse event, G/P glecaprevir/pibrentasvir, ITT intention-to-treat, PHT portal hypertension
was present at baseline, where the patient had a Child–Pugh B score of 7. This rendered the patient’s study enrollment a protocol violation. By the end of the study, ascites was ongoing and deemed unrelated to G/P. The patient completed treatment and achieved SVR12, as did the other two patients with hepatic decompensation. There were no AEs related to hepatic decompensation reported in patients without PHT, irrespective of treatment duration.

**DISCUSSION**

The data in this paper build on those in large real-world cohorts across wide ranging populations covering those with cirrhosis, pediatric patients, and those with GT3 infection, further demonstrating the breadth of applicability for the regimen without compromising safety/efficacy [11, 20–25]. In this analysis, the proportion of patients with clinical evidence suggestive of PHT at baseline was similar between groups treated with 8 and 12 weeks of G/P, suggesting that the patient population in EXPEDITION-8 was similar to those in previous clinical trials of 12-week G/P. Importantly, the analysis also showed that SVR12 rates were similarly high (≥ 97.6%) for patients with evidence of PHT, regardless of whether they were treated with 8 or 12 weeks of G/P. In addition, there were no differences in SVR12 rates between patients with and without evidence of PHT (≥ 97.6%). The efficacy data reported in this analysis are comparable to data from the clinical trials of G/P in patients both with and without CC. In an integrated analysis of TN patients with HCV GT1–6, treated with 8 weeks of G/P, ITT SVR rates for patients with CC were 97.7% and in patients without cirrhosis were 97.6% [7]. These high SVR rates are especially encouraging, since SVR by DAAs has been shown to reduce the risk of many extrahepatic manifestations, including acute coronary syndrome, cardiovascular disease, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes, as well as reducing the risk of developing cryoglobulinemic vasculitis and B-cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas [25].

Similarly, high rates of SVR12 were seen for the subset of patients infected with HCV GT3, with results being comparable for those treated with 8-week and 12-week G/P, regardless of whether they showed clinical evidence suggestive of PHT at the baseline.

G/P was well tolerated across all treatment groups, AEs were comparable between patients with and without evidence of PHT, and no new or unexpected safety signals were observed. Across all subgroups and consistent with the known safety profile of G/P, the most commonly reported AEs assessed with a reasonable possibility of being related to G/P by the investigator (headache, pruritus, fatigue, and nausea) were similar for 8- and 12-week G/P [6–11]. Similarly, rates of serious AEs (~ 4%) and AEs with a reasonable possibility of being related to G/P (~ 28%) were similar when comparing subgroups with evidence of PHT at baseline to those without and were consistent with previously reported results [6–11]. Cases of hepatic decompensation-related AEs were rare, and, while only seen in the PHT subgroups, they were deemed to be unrelated to G/P by the study investigators; none led to treatment discontinuation, serious complications, or liver failure/death, and all achieved SVR12 [7].

The data from this analysis provide reassurance around both the safety of G/P in patients with PHT and the efficacy of 8 versus 12 weeks of treatment with G/P in this population. It is important to note that patients included in this analysis had evidence of PHT but no current decompensation events. Additionally, patients with severe PHT (platelets < 50,000–60,000 cells/mm³), patients who had decompensated cirrhosis (Child–Pugh scores > 7) or ALT or AST > 10 × ULN were excluded from the trials included in this analysis [6, 8–10, 14–19]. The generalizability of the data presented here obtained from clinical trials may need to be considered in relation to settings where PHT is assessed through alternative methods of testing.

The diagnosis of advanced liver disease and cirrhosis is not always straightforward, and it is important to acknowledge that these are a series of progressively worsening disease states, which can be difficult to define [26]. Most guidelines, including those provided by EASL, recommend the use of non-invasive tests over liver biopsy to determine the extent of fibrosis/cirrhosis in
chronic hepatitis C. EASL guidelines also provide recommendations on a number of the tests that can be used, including transient elastography (F4 ≥ 13 kPa), FibroTest (F4 ≥ 0.74), and aminotransferase to platelet ratio index (APRI; F4 ≥ 2) [5]. Similarly, PHT has historically been difficult to define, and definitions continue to evolve. Current recommendations from EASL suggest the use of Baveno VI criteria for identifying patients with CC (Child–Pugh A) and features of PHT, specifically, liver stiffness > 20 kPa with a platelet count < 150 × 10^9/L [4, 5]. The criteria used in this analysis to define both patients with CC and those with clinical evidence suggestive of PHT meet or exceed the criteria suggested by EASL, affording confidence that patients included in this analysis are likely an accurate representation of real-world compensated cirrhotic and PHT patient populations. This provides further reassurance for clinicians utilizing 8-week G/P therapy in TN HCV-infected patients with evidence of PHT without overt decompensation.

There are some limitations to this analysis that are inherent in its design. For example, it is an ad hoc analysis, meaning that there is less control of patient inclusion, which can lead to biased data. A further limitation is that these results cannot be generalized to patients with severe PHT (platelet count < 50,000 cell/mm³), as these patients were excluded from the studies upon which this post hoc analysis is based. In addition, meaningful analysis of subgroups with varying severity of PHT cannot be performed, as there is a relatively low number of patients with platelet count < 100,000 cell/mm³. The very small numbers of non-SVR and decompensation AEs identified in this study preclude the identification of risk factors for these negative outcomes. Finally, due to the exclusion of those with more severe PHT, it was not possible to explore the impact of different platelet levels in a meaningful way.

Despite the availability of DAAs that require minimal pre-treatment testing, determining the presence of advanced liver disease and cirrhosis remains an important step, particularly in terms of post-treatment monitoring for HCC [5, 26]. The results from the current analysis suggest that 8-week G/P is efficacious and well tolerated in patients with clinical evidence suggestive of PHT but without decompensated liver disease, further supporting the simplification of treatment for patients with HCV infection, even in those with more advanced liver disease.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This analysis shows that 8-week treatment with G/P is both efficacious and well tolerated in TN patients with CC and evidence of PHT. G/P treatment for 8 or 12 weeks was equally efficacious, with rates of sustained virologic response > 97.5% in HCV patients with CC and features of PHT. Safety outcomes were similar between PHT and non-PHT groups, with G/P treatment well tolerated across groups. Thus, our results support the simplified treatment of hepatitis C with short-duration G/P therapy in patients with advanced liver disease.
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