Altered patterns of compositional and functional disruption of the gut microbiota in typhoid fever and non-typhoidal febrile illness
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Key points: In this prospective observational study conducted in Bangladesh, we found that patients with typhoid fever had significantly altered patterns of compositional and functional disruption of the gut microbiota, compared to patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness and healthy controls.
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Abstract

Background: Experimental murine models and human challenge studies of Salmonella Typhi infection have suggested that the gut microbiome plays an important protective role against the development of typhoid fever. Anaerobic bacterial communities have been hypothesized to mediate colonization resistance against Salmonella species by producing short-chain fatty acids, yet the composition and function of the intestinal microbiota in humans patients with typhoid fever remain ill-defined.

Methods: We prospectively collected faecal samples from 60 febrile patients admitted to Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Bangladesh with typhoid fever or non-typhoidal febrile illness, and from 36 healthy age-matched controls. The collected faecal samples were subjected to 16s rRNA sequencing followed by targeted metabolomics analysis.

Results: Patients with typhoid fever displayed compositional and functional disruption of the gut microbiota compared to patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness and healthy controls. Specifically, typhoid fever patients had lower microbiota richness and alpha diversity as well as a higher prevalence of potentially pathogenic bacterial taxa. In addition, a lower abundance of short-chain fatty acid-producing taxa was seen in typhoid fever patients. The differences between typhoid fever and non-typhoidal febrile illness could not be explained by a loss of colonization resistance after antibiotic treatment, since antibiotic exposure in both groups was similar.

Conclusions: This first report on the composition and function of the gut microbiota in patients with typhoid fever suggests that the restoration of these intestinal commensal micro-organisms could be targeted using adjunctive, preventive or therapeutic strategies.
Background

Typhoid fever (TF) is a systemic infection caused by the Gram-negative bacteria *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi (S. Typhi). This debilitating condition, characterized by prolonged fever and a wide range of other non-specific clinical signs, is common in areas of Asia and Africa that lack clean water and sanitation. [1, 2] Recent increases in antimicrobial-resistance among several S. Typhi haplotypes have complicated treatment options, and mortality rates are estimated to range between 128,000 to 161,000 deaths a year. [3, 4] Causes for the wide variety of clinical presentations as well as the severity of infection are not understood, but are hypothesised to be driven by altered interactions between *Salmonella* serovars and the host response. [5, 6]

Research interest into the micro-organisms that reside in our gastrointestinal tract – the gut microbiome – has surged in the last two decades. With the advent and improvement of technical and computational modalities to chart the microbiome, researchers have uncovered that these communities have important functions in the protection against enteric and systemic infectious diseases. [7–9] In homeostasis, bacterial communities residing in the gut are able to impair the growth of opportunistic and enteric pathogens, a process termed colonization resistance. [7] As an example, bacterial species and their products can provide direct colonization resistance by nutrient depletion and antimicrobial peptide production within the intestine, as well as indirectly by enhancement of the intestinal epithelial barrier and the upregulation of mucosal T helper 17 (Th17) cells, group 3 innate lymphoid cells and regulatory T cells [10].

Murine typhoid models with *S. Typhimurium* underscore the protective role of gut commensals, as it has been shown that the presence of anaerobic microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids, such as butyrate and propionate, reduces the growth of the pathogen by reducing both the oxygen availability and pH levels of the gut environment [11–13]. In addition, *S. Typhimurium* has shown to be capable of exploiting microbiome-derived nutrients and oligosaccharides, which allows for a growth advantage in the intestine over other potential pathogens, underscoring how these microbes are involved in an ongoing competition colonization of the intestinal tract. [14, 15]. The notion that the gut microbiota could be of importance in protection against typhoid fever has recently been observed in humans. A typhoid vaccine trial by Zhang and colleagues showed that differences in the composition and function of the gut microbiota in healthy adult volunteers was associated with an altered host susceptibility to typhoid after challenge with wild-type S. Typhi [16].

Despite this evidence of a close relationship between S. Typhi and the microbiome, there remains a significant knowledge gap concerning the association between typhoid fever infection and human gut microbiome composition. This study aimed to investigate how the gut bacterial composition and...
function of patients with typhoid fever might differ from patients with other febrile illnesses or healthy controls, and how these differences might be correlated with short-term outcome in a prospective cohort of patients admitted to Chittagong Medical College Hospital (CMCH), a 1000-bed teaching hospital in Chittagong Division of Bangladesh.

Methods

Study design and participants

Patients admitted to CMCH between 15th January 2012 and 5th July 2012 were considered for enrolment, as described in previous studies conducted in this cohort. [17–19] Consecutive patients (≥16 years of age) who were admitted with an acute febrile illness (documented fever ≥ 38°C axillary, up to 48 hours after admission, history of fever less than 2 weeks) were recruited after giving informed written consent. Each study day all the patients admitted to the wards were reviewed and those with a history of fever of the appropriate duration had their temperature measured on at least one occasion. Eligible patients were then asked if they consented to participate in the study. Demographic and clinical information was recorded on a case record form at the time of admission and during the course of hospitalization.

A final diagnosis was made by the study team based on clinical presentation, basic laboratory results and microbiology results, as described earlier [17–19]. Faecal samples were collected in plastic containers and were stored at −80°C within 24 hours. [20, 21] A group of local Bangladeshi healthy volunteers were recruited to donate stools, which were stored in a similar manner to the patient population. The study protocol was approved by the National Research Ethics Committee (NREC) of Bangladesh (BMRC/NREC/2010-2013/1543) and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics committee (OXTREC reference 25-11).

Microbiota analysis

Faecal DNA was extracted and purified using a combination of bead-beating and the Maxwell 16 Tissue LEV Total RNA Purification Kit (Promega, Maddison, WI, USA), with STAR (Stool transport and recovery) buffer (Roche, Basel Switzerland). [22] PCR products were purified using Ampure XP beads and purified products were equimolar pooled. The libraries were sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq platform (GATCBiotech, Konstanz, Germany) using V3 chemistry with 2x251 cycles. [23] Forward and reverse reads were truncated to 240 and 210 bases respectively and merged using USEARCH. [24] Merged reads that did not pass the Illumina chastity filter, had an expected error rate higher than 2, or were shorter than 380 bases were filtered. Amplified Sequence Variants (ASVs) were inferred for each
sample individually with a minimum abundance of 4 reads. Unfiltered reads were then mapped against the collective ASV set to determine the abundances. Taxonomy was assigned using the RDP classifier [25] and SILVA30 16S ribosomal database V132. [26] Given prior observations demonstrating the potential benefits of butyrate as an immunomodulatory compound [11, 12], we assessed this feature in our cohort by measuring the abundance of bacterial taxa that are known to be drivers of butyrate production, in accordance with a study that analysed butyrate-producing pathways from 15 publicly available data sets [27]

**Targeted measurement of short-chain fatty acids**

Sample preparation of faecal extracts and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy for quantification of SCFAs was performed as described in Kim HK et al, with some modifications [28]. Briefly, aqueous extracts of faeces were prepared by mixing 50-100 mg of faeces and 0.3 mL of deionized water, followed by mechanical homogenization in a Bullet Blender 24 (Next Avance Inc, Troy, NY, USA). The faecal slurry was centrifuged twice at 18213 × g for 10 min at 4 °C and 0.225 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 0.025 mL 1.5 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 2 mM sodium azide and 4 mM sodium trimethylsilyl-propionate-d4 (TSP-d4) in D2O. One proton NMR spectrum was acquired for each sample in a 14.1 T Avance II NMR (Bruker Biospin Ltd, Billerica, MA, USA). Quantification of SCFAs from the NMR data was performed in ChenomX (Chenomx NMR suite 8.4) using the known concentration of TSP-d4.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis was performed in the R statistical framework (Version 3.5.1, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). To assess alpha diversity, we calculated the Shannon Diversity Index and Observed Taxa Richness index with the R phyloseq package. [29] Data were not normally distributed and are therefore presented as median [interquartile range, IQR] while data were analysed using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test for paired data or a Mann-Whitney test for unpaired data. Beta diversity metrics were calculated using weighted and unweighted UniFrac on a rarefied dataset, after which principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) was performed to highlight the separation of microbiota composition based on antibiotic exposure and sampling time point. Differences in microbiota composition among groups and time points were tested for using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on beta diversity matrices, using the vegan R package. False discovery rate was adjusted for with Benjamini-Hochberg. To identify taxa that may be driving the significant differences detected between groups, differential abundance analysis was determined using DESeq2. Finally, potential predictors of outcome were assessed using linear regression models
on log10 transformed relative abundances. Linear terms were confirmed by Wald tests in the rms R package (with p<0.05 indicating nonlinearity).

Results

Demographic and clinical data

Faecal samples of a total of 60 patients with a febrile illness and 36 healthy age-matched controls were collected. 14 of the 60 patients were diagnosed with typhoid fever, either by positive blood cultures for S. Typhi (n=8; 57.1%), or positive S. Typhi PCR in blood, urine and/or feces (n=6; 42.8%). A total of 46 patients in the cohort were classified as having a non-typhoidal febrile illness (N-TF), of which the majority was diagnosed with either a lower respiratory tract infection (36%) or urinary tract infection (27%). The baseline characteristics of all study participants are provided in Table 1. Patients with typhoid fever were slightly younger compared to non-typhoidal febrile illness patients and healthy controls (median age 27 versus 35.5 versus 35.0 years respectively, P=0.044), while no differences in past medical history were observed among the three groups. A comparison of clinical characteristics at admission showed that there were no apparent differences in clinical severity and subsequent length of hospital admission stay or mortality between TF and N-TF patients. TF patients had a longer duration of fever prior to admission, with more diarrhoea, lower white blood cell counts and higher aspartate transaminase (AST) and alanine transaminase (ALT) levels. There was more fluoroquinolone exposure in patients with TF prior to faecal sampling, but no differences in both exposure and duration of treatment with other antibiotic classes. An overview of infectious diagnoses, microbiological data and antibiotic exposure prior to faecal sampling is depicted in Supplementary Table 1.

Typhoid fever is characterized by significantly altered patterns of microbiota disruption

Sequencing of faecal samples taken at the time of hospital admission yielded a total of 3,552,949 high-quality 16S rRNA gene sequences (average 37,010 per sample). We observed significantly altered states of gut microbiota composition between TF- and N-TF patients compared to controls. On microbiota phylum level, TF and N-TF patients showed a significant reduction in Actinobacteria (P=0.04), while Proteobacteria were significantly enriched (P=0.0001). (Figure 1A) In addition, major differences were observed between both patient groups and controls on a microbiota genus level. Importantly, potentially invasive bacterial genera were significantly more abundant in patients, including Enterococcus species (P<0.0001) and Escherichia/Shigella species (P<0.0001; Figure 1).

Supplementary Figure 1 shows an overview of microbiota composition linked to a timeline of hospital stay and antibiotic exposure for each TF and N-TF patient. An unbiased comparison of microbial taxa in gut communities showed that typhoid fever is characterized by a distinct composition of the
microbiome when compared to patients with a non-typhoidal febrile illness. On a genus level, TF subjects were shown to be significantly more colonized by *Streptococcus*, with a decrease in ‘beneficial’ obligate anaerobic taxa, such as *Ruminococcus* species, *Faecalibacterium* species and other Lachnospiraceae when compared to N-TF patients (Supplementary Figure 2).

In tandem with the differences in community composition, strong alterations were observed in microbiota alpha diversity and richness between patients with typhoid fever and non-typhoidal febrile illness (N-TF vs control vs TF: median Shannon: 3.71 vs 3.96 vs 3.31 Wilcoxon P=0.048 and P=0.004, respectively; median OT: 390 vs 468 vs 308; Wilcoxon P=0.008 and P<0.001, respectively, Figure 2A and 2B). In addition, community richness was significantly lower in TF patients compared to N-TF patients (Wilcoxon P=0.040). Similar patterns were observed in beta diversity metrics of the microbiota (Figure 2C and 2D) as samples that were collected from TF and N-TF patients differed from controls in both weighted and unweighted UniFrac analyses (PERMANOVA, P<0.0001 and P<0.0001, Supplementary Table 2). Notably, beta diversity between TF and N-TF patients was comparable in the weighted UniFrac model, but were significantly altered with an unweighted UniFrac approach (PERMANOVA, P=0.014, Supplementary Table 2), which suggests that the taxa that drive the separation between TF and N-TF patients are of relatively low abundance.

**Lower abundance of short-chain fatty acids producing taxa in typhoid fever, with diminished faecal butyrate, acetate and propionate levels**

TF patients had a profoundly lowered abundance of butyrate-producing genera, compared to both N-TF patients and healthy controls (N-TF vs TF vs control; median % of total sequences: 12.0 versus 6.9 versus 12.4, Wilcoxon P=0.020 and P=0.009 respectively; Figure 3A). We subsequently performed targeted NMR analysis to measure volatile short-chain fatty acids on a different aliquot of the same faecal samples, and found that the measured faecal concentration of butyrate, acetate and propionate were significantly diminished in TF patients compared to controls (Figure 3B–D). Of note, faecal concentration of butyrate strongly correlated with the abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria (R=0.76, p <0.0001; Supplementary Figure 3). Finally, linear regression analysis indicated that low diversity and low abundance of butyrate producing bacteria were not associated with length of hospitalisation stay in both TF and N-TF patients (Supplementary Figure 4A–B).
Discussion

In this prospective observational study conducted in Chittagong Medical College Hospital, Bangladesh, we found that patients with typhoid fever had significantly altered patterns of compositional and functional disruption of the gut microbiota compared to patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness as well as healthy local controls. Specifically, TF patients had lower microbiota richness and alpha diversity, lower amounts of obligately anaerobic bacteria and faecal short-chain fatty acids, as well as a higher prevalence of potentially pathogenic bacterial taxa in the gut when compared to non-typhoidal febrile patients. The marked differences we observed between TF – and N-TF patients cannot be fully explained by an altered exposure to antibiotics. Typhoid fever patients were exposed to more fluoroquinolones, which are known to have little effect on the butyrate-producing compartment of the microbiota, [30, 31] whereas exposure to beta-lactam antibiotics and metronidazole was comparable between groups. In addition, the total amount of antibiotic exposure between groups prior to hospital admission did not differ.

We identify two potential reasons that could explain typical characteristics of the typhoid fever microbiome as observed in our cohort of patients. First, S. Typhi infection itself will most likely alter the intestinal environment, leading to an aerobic expansion and a loss of obligate anaerobic bacteria. This has been observed in preclinical models with S. Typhimurium by the Bäumler lab [11, 12]. Secondly, patients with TF could have a disrupted microbiome prior to the development of TF, potentially increasing their risk of initial infection by reduced colonization resistance. For example, the ultra-low amounts of short-chain fatty acids observed in this cohort with TF –and its potential relationship with acidification-mediated resistance in the gut environment [13]– could benefit expansion of S. Typhi bacteria in the intestine, and increase the a-priori risk of developing TF.

An important limitation of this cohort study is the small sample size and limited follow up. Given these limitations, no significant association was detected between either low diversity or low abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria and length of hospital admission. However, there is evidence that dysbiosis could have a negative impact on long-term outcomes as it has been shown that some of the bacterial species constituting the intestinal microbiota contribute to protection against both enteric as well as systemic infections. For example, systemic exposure of microbiota-derived ligands, such as flagellin and lipopolysaccharide, increases the activity of alveolar macrophages and bone marrow derived neutrophils, which enhances the killing of Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens in the lung [32–36]. In addition, studies in mice have implicated that microbiota-derived butyrate restores IL-10 levels in the lung and the intestine by inhibiting histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3), which contributes to microbiota-induced immunomodulation. [37, 38] We observed a similar importance of these
microbial communities in a cohort study of patients receiving allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant, as patients that harbored a high abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria were less likely to develop viral lower respiratory tract infections compared to those patients who lost these bacterial species. [30]

The consequences of typhoid fever infection on altered immunomodulation has also been described previously; transcriptional profiling of peripheral blood to investigate the host response of 29 individuals who contracted the disease in Vietnam showed that the infection induced a distinct immunosuppressive signature in peripheral blood that persisted for one to nine months after acute infection. [39] Patients that retained this immunosuppressive signature were thought to be more susceptible to reinfection or relapse [40, 41], and one could hypothesize that TF-induced dysbiosis could potentially play a role in this phenomenon.

Given the aforementioned evidence of the role of the microbiota in systemic priming of immune responses and the extensive amount of disruption of the microbiota observed in our cohort, it is vital to investigate new treatment strategies that preserve these micro-organisms during infection. For example, overuse of antibiotics and its associated transient alteration of the microbiota has implications for outcome, which has been supported by a recent systematic review that found a statistically significant association between antibiotic exposure and subsequent risk of community-acquired infections. [42] In addition, our study highlights a new opportunity for the development of next-generation pre- or probiotics to enhance the abundance of obligate anaerobic bacteria as a potential adjuvant therapy during or following the treatment of TF and other infectious diseases.

Other limitations of this study include the cross-sectional setup, which limited our ability to provide clear insights on both the causes and long-term consequences of TF-related dysbiosis. In addition, this single-center setup of this cohort might not be fully comparable to other study settings, where exposure to microbial pathogens, antimicrobial treatment and sanitation measures differ. Therefore, the setup of both large longitudinal cohort studies as well as additional human controlled S. Typhi challenge models are warranted to further uncover the intricate crosstalk between S. Typhi, the intestinal microbiota and its host.
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Table 1: Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics at Admission

| Characteristic                             | Healthy controls (n=36) | Fever, no typhoid (n=46) | Typhoid (n=14) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Age in years, median [IQR]                 | 35.50 [27.00, 40.00]    | 35.00 [23.00, 54.50]     | 27.00 [22.00, 31.00] | 0.044   |
| Male sex, n (%)                            | 18 (50.0)               | 25 (54.3)                | 10 (71.4)      | 0.387   |
| Past history of typhoid fever, n (%)       | 3 (8.3)                 | 8 (17.4)                 | 2 (14.1)       | 0.513   |
| Diabetes, n (%)                            | 2 (5.6)                 | 6 (13.0)                 | 0 (0.0)        | 0.189   |

**SIGNS AND SYMPTOMS**

| Symptom                     | Healthy controls (n=36) | Fever, no typhoid (n=46) | Typhoid (n=14) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Headache, n (%)             | 25 (54.3)               | 8 (57.1)                 |                | 1.000   |
| Chest pain, n (%)           | 10 (21.7)               | 0 (0.0)                  |                | 0.088   |
| Cough, n (%)                | 25 (54.3)               | 1 (7.1)                  |                | 0.006   |
| Anorexia, n (%)             | 21 (45.7)               | 8 (57.1)                 |                | 0.818   |
| Abdominal pain, n (%)       | 14 (30.4)               | 7 (50.0)                 |                | 0.306   |
| Diarrhoea, n (%)            | 3 (6.5)                 | 6 (42.9)                 |                | 0.004   |
| Vomiting, n (%)             | 17 (37.0)               | 8 (57.1)                 |                | 0.302   |
| Constipation, n (%)         | 6 (13.0)                | 2 (14.3)                 |                | 1.000   |
| Dysuria, n (%)              | 6 (13.0)                | 1 (7.1)                  |                | 0.899   |
| Days of fever prior to admission, median [IQR] | 4.00 [2.25, 6.75] | 6.00 [5.00, 9.50] | 0.048 |

**VITAL SIGNS**

| Parameter                   | Healthy controls (n=36) | Fever, no typhoid (n=46) | Typhoid (n=14) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Temperature, median [IQR]   | 38.90 [38.32, 39.18]    | 38.55 [38.31, 39.27]     |                | 0.888   |
| Pulse, median [IQR]         | 106 [92, 116]           | 106 [96, 110]            |                | 0.909   |
| Systolic blood pressure, median [IQR] | 109 [100, 120] | 113 [101, 120] |                | 0.478   |
| Respiratory rate, median [IQR] | 24 [20, 36]           | 24 [21, 32]              |                | 0.713   |
| Glasgow Coma Scale, median [IQR] | 15 [15, 15]         | 15 [15, 15]              |                | 0.258   |
| White blood cell count, median [IQR] | 12800 [8200, 16000]     | 5850 [4300, 9825]         |                | 0.002   |
| Haemoglobin, median [IQR]   | 11.60 [10.75, 13.25]    | 12.60 [9.90, 13.33]      |                | 0.431   |
| Platelets, median [IQR]     | 200000 [170000, 250000] | 180000 [162500, 230000]  |                | 0.481   |
| Creatinine (mg/dL), median [IQR] | 1.00 [0.80, 1.20]     | 0.90 [0.90, 1.00]        |                | 0.263   |
| ALT, median [IQR]           | 27.50 [19.75, 46.50]    | 57.5 [30.00, 126.25]     |                | 0.003   |
| AST, median [IQR]           | 65.00 [48.25, 84.75]    | 700.00 [245.25, 2220.00] |                | <0.001  |

**OUTCOME**

| Outcome                        | Healthy controls (n=36) | Fever, no typhoid (n=46) | Typhoid (n=14) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Length of hospital stay, median [IQR] | 4.00 [3.00, 5.00]     | 5.50 [4.00, 8.50]        |                | 0.059   |
| Mortality (%)                  | 1 (2.2)                 | 0 (0.0)                  |                | 1.000   |

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; ALT, alanine transaminase; AST, aspartate transaminase
Figure legends

Figure 1: Faecal microbiota composition (phylum and genus level) among patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness (n = 46), typhoid fever (n = 14) and controls (n=36)

Each bar represents one sample; phyla (A) and compiled taxa (B) are indicated with colours and expressed in percentage of the total DNA reads. Ruminococcaceae and Lachnospiraceae families and genera that made up \( \geq 5\% \) of the total microbiota in at least one sample are included in figure B; other genera are pooled within the category “Other Bacteria”.

Figure 2: Overview of differences in alpha and beta diversity among patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness (n = 46), typhoid fever (n=14), and controls (n=36)

The Shannon index and the Observed Taxa (OT) index were used to calculate the diversity community (A) and richness (B) within each individual microbiota sample. Data are presented as a dot plot with a line at the median. PCoA of unweighted (C) and weighted (D) UniFrac distances of samples of patients with typhoid fever, non-typhoidal febrile illness or healthy controls. \( *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 \)

Figure 3: Lower amount of anaerobic bacteria with equally low abundance of faecal short-chain fatty acids in faecal samples of typhoid fever patients

Relative abundance of intestinal butyrate-producing bacteria (A), absolute butyrate concentration (B), absolute acetate concentration (C) and absolute propionate concentration (D) in faecal samples of patients with non-typhoidal febrile illness (n=46), typhoid fever (n=14), or healthy controls (n=36). Data are presented as a dot plot with a line at the median. Ns, non-significant; \( *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 \).
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