Free vibration analysis of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell: nonlocal and surface elasticity effects

SAYYID H. HASHEMI KACHAPI
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Babol Noshirvani University of Technology
Shariati Street, Babol, Mazandaran 47148-71167
IRAN
sha.hashemi.kachapi@gmail.com

Abstract: - Vibration analysis of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell subjected to visco-Pasternak medium with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated. In these analysis simultaneous effects of the nonlocal, surface elasticity and the different material scale parameter are considered. To this end, Eringen nonlocal theory and Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory considering Donnell's shell theory are used. The governing equations and boundary conditions are derived using Hamilton’s principle and the assumed mode method combined with Euler–Lagrange method is used for discretizing the equations of motion. The viscoelastic nanoshell medium is modeled as Visco-Pasternak foundation. A variety of new vibration results including frequencies and mode shapes for piezoelectric cylindrical nano-shell with non-classical restraints as well as different material parameters are presented. The convergence, accuracy and reliability of the current formulation are validated by comparisons with existing experimental and numerical results. Also, the effects of nonlocality, surface energy, nanoshell radius, circumferential wavenumber, nanoshell damping coefficient, and foundation damping are accurately studied on frequencies and mode shapes of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell.
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1 Introduction

Recently, with the development of material science, nano-sized piezoelectric elements such as nano-beams, nano-membranes and nano-shells have been fabricated, and are attracting worldwide attention in nano-electro-mechanical (NEM) devices [1-3]. Since the classical continuum theory is scale-free, it fails to predict the size-dependent response of nano-structures. Consequently, to consider the small scale effect, some non-classical continuum theories such as couple stress theory [4], nonlocal elasticity theory [5-6], strain gradient theory [7], and surface elasticity theory [8-9] have been introduced to develop the size-dependent continuum models. The electro-elastic surface/interface theory expanded from Gurtin-Murdoch elasticity theory has been used to analyze the surface and the size dependent vibration of piezoelectric nano-structures [10-14]. In the past two decades, investigating the nano-sized and surface effects on the mechanical behavior of nanostructures have become one of the attractive research areas in nanomechanics, as evidenced by the large number of publications on this issue [15-23]. The nonlinear buckling and postbuckling behaviors of shear deformable nano-shell under radial compressive load were studied by using the surface elasticity theory [16]. With considering the surface elasticity theory, vibration analysis of fluid-conveying nanotubes was presented by Wang [18]. Surface effects on the dispersion characteristics of elastic waves propagating in an infinite piezoelectric nanoplate are investigated by Zhang et al. based on the surface piezoelectric constitutive theory [19]. Also the nonlinear free vibration and nonlinear postbuckling behaviors of nano-plates were studied by Wang et al. [20]. Theoretical formulation based on Sanders’ thin shell theory for the natural frequencies of vibration of functionally graded cylindrical shells is established by Rahimi et al. [21]. Avramov presented nonlinear vibration and bifurcation behavior of single-walled carbon nanotubes using the Sanders–Koiter shell theory,
nonlocal elasticity, Galerkin and the harmonic balanced methods [22]. Nonlinear harmonic vibration of a piezoelectric-layered nanotube conveying fluid flow is investigated by Saadatnia et al. using the nonlocal theory and energy approach [23]. Also the frequency-amplitude relationship and the frequency response of the system are studied. In an excellent monograph by Leissa [24], researches on the vibration analysis of thin shells before 1970s were reviewed. There are also more recent survey such as Liew et al. which review articles about vibration analysis of shallow shells [25]. Loy et al. presented the free vibration analysis of cylindrical shells using an improved version of the differential quadrature method [26]. According to surface/interface and small scale effects, recently, Hashemi Kachapi et al. investigated linear and nonlinear vibration and stability analysis of multi-walled piezoelectric nanostructures [27-37].

In the present study, the free vibration analysis of a piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell subjected to Visco-Pasternak medium with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated using the Eringen nonlocal theory and the Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory. A variety of new vibration results including the effects of nonlocality, surface energy, nanoshell radius, circumferential wavenumber, nanoshell damping coefficient, and foundation damping with non-classical restraints are accurately studied on frequencies and mode shapes of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell.

2 Problem formulation and governing equations

A cylindrical nano shell embedded with a piezoelectric layer and visco-Pasternak medium is shown in Figure 1. The nano shell has length of L, mid-surface radius R, thickness of 2h_N, and piezoelectric layer thickness of h_p. With the origin of coordinate system located on the middle surface of nano-shell, the coordinates of a typical point in the axial, circumferential and radius directions are described by x, θ, and z, respectively. Also, K_w, K_p and C_w are stiffness coefficient of Winkler foundation, shear layer of Pasternak foundation and the damping factor of the visco-Pasternak medium for the transverse motion, respectively. E_N, v_N and \( \rho_N \) represent Young modulus, Poisson ratio and the mass density of cylindrical nano-shell. It is assumed that the material properties nano-shell vary through the thickness according to the power law function. They are written as:

\[
E_N = (E_0 - E_I) \left( \frac{2z + h_N}{2h_N} \right)^q + E_I \tag{1}
\]

\[
v_N = (v_0 - v_I) \left( \frac{2z + h_N}{2h_N} \right)^q + v_I \tag{2}
\]

\[
\rho_N = (\rho_0 - \rho_I) \left( \frac{2z + h_N}{2h_N} \right)^q + \rho_I \tag{3}
\]

where q is the power-law exponent. The subscripts O and I represent the properties of the nano-shell at the outer and inner surfaces, respectively.

![Fig. 1. A piezoelectric cylindrical nano shell with inner and outer surfaces](image)

Young modulus, Poisson ratio, piezoelectric and dielectric constants and also the mass density of piezoelectric layer are respectively expressed as \( E_p, v_p, e_{31p}, e_{32p}, \eta_{33p} \) and \( \rho_p \). The surface at the outer piezoelectric layer is denoted by \( s_2 \), and the inner surface is denoted by \( s_4 \). The material properties of surface \( s_2 \) are Lamé’s constants \( \lambda s_2, \mu s_2 \), residual stress \( \tau_0 s_2 \) and piezoelectric constants \( e_{31p} s_2, e_{32p} s_2 \). Those of the inner surface are Lamé’s constants \( \lambda s_1, \mu s_1 \) and residual stress \( \tau_0 s_1 \).

Due to the character of nano-shell, the state of generalized plane stress of shells is assumed, and the normal stress in the radial direction is zero. In the cylindrical nano-shell, the constitutive relation can be expressed as [37, 38]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{\theta N} \\
\tau_{x\theta N}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11N} & C_{12N} & 0 \\
C_{21N} & C_{22N} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66N}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx} \\
\varepsilon_{\theta} \\
\gamma_{x\theta}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

or

\[
\{\sigma_N\} = [C_N]\{\varepsilon\},
\]
In the outside piezoelectric shell, the constitutive relation can be expressed as \([37, 38]\)
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_{xp} \\
\sigma_{\theta p} \\
t_{\theta p}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{11p} & C_{12p} & 0 \\
C_{21p} & C_{22p} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & C_{66p}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_{xx} \\
\varepsilon_{\theta\theta} \\
\gamma_{x\theta}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(5)

or \(\{\sigma_p\} = \{C_p\} \{\varepsilon\} - \{e_p\} \{E_p\}\), in which the subscripts \(N\) and \(P\) represent the cylindrical nano-shell and piezoelectric layers, respectively. \(\{E_p\}\) is the vector of electric field for piezoelectric layers. \(\{C_N\}\) and \(\{C_P\}\) are the matrices of elastic constants with following elements.
\[
C_{11N} = \frac{E_N}{1 - \nu_N},
\]
\[
C_{12N} = \frac{\nu_N E_N}{1 - \nu_N},
\]
\[
C_{66N} = \frac{E_N}{2(1 + \nu_N)},
\]
\[
C_{11p} = \frac{E_p}{1 - \nu_p}
\]
\[
C_{12p} = \frac{\nu_p E_p}{1 - \nu_p},
\]
\[
C_{66p} = \frac{E_p}{2(1 + \nu_p)}
\]
(6)
Since the piezoelectric layers are very thin, \(E_{xp}\) and \(E_{\theta p}\) are assumed to be zero \((E_{xp} = E_{\theta p} = 0)\), and only the radial component of electric field \(E_{zp}\) is considered. Consequently, \(\{E_p\}\) can be written as \([39]\)
\[
\{E_{xp}\} = \{0 0 V_p/h_p\}^T,
\]
(8)

where \(V_p\) is the voltage applied to piezoelectric layers. In addition, the voltages at the piezoelectric surface \(S_2(z = h_N + h_p)\) and \(S_1(z = h_N)\) are \(+V_p\) and \(-V_p\) , respectively. Based on these assumptions, the radial component of electric displacement \(D_{zp}\) can be presented as
\[
D_{zp} = e_{31p}\varepsilon_{xx} + e_{32p}\varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + \eta_{33p}E_{zp}
\]
(9)

2.1 Non- classical Shell theory (Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory)
Within the framework of classical shell theory, the displacement fields of the nano-shell can be written as \([38]\)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u(x, \theta, z)}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial w(x, \theta)}{\partial x}, \\
\frac{\partial v(x, \theta, z)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial w(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta}, \\
\frac{\partial w(x, \theta, z)}{\partial z} &= w(x, \theta),
\end{align*}
\]
(10)

where \(u, v\) and \(w\) stand for the middle surface displacements in the \(x, \theta\) and \(z\) directions, respectively. The linear deflection and curvatures are defined by Donnell’s theory as \([37, 38]\)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial x} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{0x}}{0} + \kappa_{xx}, \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{0\theta}}{0} + \kappa_{\theta\theta}, \\
\frac{\partial \gamma_{x\theta}}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\gamma_{0x}}{0} + \kappa_{x\theta},
\end{align*}
\]
(13)
in which \(\varepsilon_{0x}, \varepsilon_{0\theta}\) and \(\gamma_{0x}\) are the middle surface strains, and \(\kappa_{xx}, \kappa_{\theta\theta}\) and \(\kappa_{x\theta}\) are the curvature components of the nano-shell.

Due to the nano-sized property, the ratio of surface to the volume becomes large, and the surface energy around the shell imposes significant effect on the vibration of nano-structure and the surface effect needs to be considered. Based on the Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory, the constitutive relations for surfaces can be written as \([8-10]\).
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\alpha\beta} &= \tau_{\alpha\beta} + \lambda\sum_{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\
&+ 2\left(\mu\sum_{\gamma} \tau_{\alpha\gamma} \right) \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma} \varepsilon_{\gamma\beta} - e_p E_{zp},
\end{align*}
\]
(14)
in which \(\kappa_{0x}, \kappa_{0\theta}\) and \(\gamma_{0x}\) are the middle surface strains, and \(\kappa_{xx}, \kappa_{\theta\theta}\) and \(\kappa_{x\theta}\) are the curvature components of the nano-shell.
By means of Eqs. (15) and (16), supposed that other normal stress components. But, in the classical continuum models, \( \sigma_{zz} \) is neglected due to its small value as compared to other normal stress components. However, in the nonclassical continuum model, this assumption does not satisfy the surface conditions. Thus, it is supposed that \( \sigma_{zz} \) varies linearly through the thickness and satisfies the balance conditions on the surfaces [40], i.e.

\[
\sigma_{zz} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial \theta} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{zz}}{\partial t^2} \right) z + \frac{1}{2h_N + h_p} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{zz}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial \sigma_{zz}}{\partial \theta^2} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_{zz}}{\partial t^2} \right)
\]

By means of Eqs. (15) and (16), \( \sigma_{zz} \) can be rewritten as

\[
\sigma_{zz} = \left( \frac{t_0 - t_1}{2} \right) x + \left( \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \theta^2} \right) z + \left( \frac{\rho - \rho^2}{2} - \frac{z(\rho^1 + \rho^2)}{2h_N + h_p} \right) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t^2},
\]

According to Eq. (17), from Eqs. (4) and (5) the normal stresses \( \sigma_{xx} \) and \( \sigma_{\theta \theta} \) can be rewritten as

\[
\sigma_{xx} = C_{11N} \varepsilon_{xx} + C_{12N} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta} + \frac{u_N \sigma_{zz}}{1 - u_N}, \tag{18a}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\theta \theta} = C_{21N} \varepsilon_{xx} + C_{22N} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta} + \frac{u_N \sigma_{zz}}{1 - u_N}, \tag{18b}
\]

\[
\sigma_{x \theta} = C_{66N} Y_{x \theta}, \tag{18c}
\]

\[
\sigma_{xxp} = C_{11p} \varepsilon_{xx} + C_{12p} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta} - e_{31p} E_{xp} + \frac{\nu_p \sigma_{zz}}{1 - \nu_p}, \tag{19a}
\]

\[
\sigma_{xy} = C_{22p} \varepsilon_{xx} + C_{23p} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta} - e_{32p} E_{yp} + \frac{\nu_p \sigma_{zz}}{1 - \nu_p}, \tag{19b}
\]

\[
\sigma_{x \theta} = C_{66p} Y_{x \theta}. \tag{19c}
\]

### 2.1.1 Governing equations

In this section, the governing equations of motion of the piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell are obtained by applying the assumed mode method. The total strain energy considering the surface stress effect is expressed as:

\[
\pi = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijN} \varepsilon_{ij} (R - h_N) d\theta dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijp} \varepsilon_{ij} (R - h_N) d\theta dx = \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\int_0^L \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \right) dx = \frac{1}{2}
\]

\[
\int_0^L \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \right) R d\theta dx.
\]

In addition, the kinetic energy of the nanoshell can be formulated as:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \right\} R d\theta dx
\]

where

\[
l = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} P_N dz + \int_{h_N}^{h_N + h_p} \rho_p dz + \rho^2 \left| \begin{array}{c} \rho^1 \mid _{z = -h_N} + \rho^2 \mid _{z = h_N + h_p} \end{array} \right|
\]
where $\rho_N$, $\rho_p$ and $\rho^s$ are the mass density of nanoshell, piezoelectric layer and surfaces, respectively. The work done by the viscous damping and the applied elastic medium load, modeled using spring Winkler and shear Pasternak constants, respectively, can be expressed as

$$W_c = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \left( C_w \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right) Rd\theta dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$W_{wp} = -\int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \left( -K_p \nabla^2 w \right) Rd\theta dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

in which $C_w$, $\nabla^2$, $K_w$ and $K_p$ are the damping coefficient of the viscoelastic medium, the Laplace operator, Winkler modulus, and the shear modulus of surrounding elastic medium, respectively [41]. Also, $\nabla^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$.

In Eq. (20), the stresses and moment resultant are defined as:

$$(N_{xx}, N_{\theta\theta}, N_{x\theta}) = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijN} dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (25a)

$$+ \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijp} dz + \sigma_{s1} + \sigma_{s2}$$

$$N_{xx}N_{\theta\theta}N_{x\theta} = (N_{xx}, N_{\theta\theta}, N_{x\theta}) + (N_{xp}, N_{\theta\theta}, N_{x\theta})$$

$$+ \left( \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{\theta\theta}, \sigma_{x\theta} \right) \frac{1}{2} ( \sigma_{x\theta} + \sigma_{\theta x} )$$

$$= (M_{xx}, M_{\theta\theta}, M_{x\theta}) = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijN} zdz$$

$$+ \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \sigma_{ijp} zdz + \sigma_{s2} (h_N + h_p) - \sigma_{s1} h_N$$

$$= (M_{xx}, M_{\theta\theta}, M_{x\theta}) + (M_{xp}, M_{\theta\theta}, M_{x\theta})$$

$$+ \left( \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{\theta\theta}, \sigma_{x\theta} \right) \frac{1}{2} ( \sigma_{x\theta} + \sigma_{\theta x} )$$

$$N_{xx} = A_{11s}^{xx} + A_{12s}^{xx} + B_{11} \kappa_{xx} + B_{12} \kappa_{\theta\theta}$$

$$+ (\tau_0^{s1} + \tau_0^{s2} - N_{xp})$$

$$F_{11}^* \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}$$

$$N_{\theta\theta} = A_{21s}^{xx} + A_{22s}^{xx} + B_{21} \kappa_{xx} + B_{22} \kappa_{\theta\theta}$$

$$+ \left( \tau_0^{s1} + \tau_0^{s2} \right)$$

$$B_{66} = \left( \mu^{s2} - \frac{\tau_0^{s2}}{2} \right) (h_N + h_p)$$

where $\kappa_{xx}, \kappa_{\theta\theta}$ are the shear and bulk moduli of the viscoelastic medium, respectively.
\[-(\mu s_1 - \frac{\tau_0^{s_1}}{2})(h_N),\]
\[D_{11}^{*} = D_{22}^{*} = (\lambda s_2 + 2\mu s_2)(h_N + h_p)^2 + (\lambda s_1 + 2\mu s_1)(h_N)^2,\]
\[D_{12}^{*} = D_{21}^{*} = (\tau_0^{s_2} + \lambda s_2)(h_N + h_p)^2 + (\tau_0^{s_1} + \lambda s_1)(h_N)^2,\]
\[D_{66}^{*} = \left(\mu s_2 - \frac{\tau_0^{s_2}}{2}\right)(h_N + h_p)^2 + (\mu s_1 - \frac{\tau_0^{s_1}}{2})(h_N)^2,\]
\[F_{11N}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_N}{1-v_N} \left(\frac{v_N}{1-v_N}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[F_{11p}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_p}{1-v_p} \left(\frac{v_p}{1-v_p}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[J_{11N}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_N}{1-v_N} \left(\frac{\rho s_1 - \rho s_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[J_{11p}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_p}{1-v_p} \left(\frac{\rho s_1 - \rho s_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[E_{11N}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_N}{1-v_N} \left(\frac{\rho s_1 - \rho s_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[E_{11p}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_p}{1-v_p} \left(\frac{\rho s_1 - \rho s_2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{h_N + h_p}{2}\right) dz,\]
\[G_{11N}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_N}{1-v_N} \left(\frac{(\rho s_1 - \rho s_2)z}{2h_N + h_p}\right) dz,\]
\[G_{11p}^{*} = \int_{-h_N}^{h_N} \frac{v_p}{1-v_p} \left(\frac{(\rho s_1 - \rho s_2)z}{2h_N + h_p}\right) dz,\]

Note that, because of geometric symmetry, the expressions \(B_{ijN}\) and \(F_{11N}^{*}\) are zero, i.e. \(B_{ijN} = F_{11N}^{*} = 0\) and also, for free vibration of piezoelectric nanoshell \(V_p\) is zero, as a result \(N_{xp} = N_{\theta p} = M_{xp} = M_{\theta p} = 0\).

In following, the equations of motion and corresponding boundary conditions of the piezoelectric nano shell can be derived from Hamilton’s principle. By taking the variations of displacements \(u, v\) and \(w\), integrating by parts, and equating the coefficients of \(\delta u \cdot \delta v\) and \(\delta v\) to zero, the governing equations of motion are derived as:

\[\delta u: \frac{\partial N_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial N_{x\theta}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2},\]
\[\delta v: \frac{\partial N_{x\theta}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial N_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2},\]
\[\delta w: \frac{\partial^2 M_{xx}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2}{R} \frac{\partial^2 M_{x\theta}}{\partial x \partial \theta} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 M_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{N_{\theta\theta}}{R} + K_p \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2}\right),\]

and boundary conditions are obtained as follows:

\[\delta u = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad N_{xx} n_x + \frac{1}{R} N_{x\theta} n_\theta = 0,\]
\[\delta v = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad N_{x\theta} n_x + \frac{1}{R} N_{\theta\theta} n_\theta = 0,\]
\[\delta w = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial M_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial M_{x\theta}}{\partial \theta} n_x + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial M_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} n_\theta = 0,\]
\[\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad M_{xx} n_x + \frac{1}{R} M_{x\theta} n_\theta = 0,\]
\[\frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{R} M_{x\theta} n_x + \frac{1}{R^2} M_{\theta\theta} n_\theta = 0,\]
In this paper, the assumed mode method is used to obtain the equations of motion using Euler–
Lagrange method. After substituting Eqs. (25) into strain and kinetic energies Eqs. (20) and (21) and
using following dimensionless parameters
\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{u} &= \frac{u}{h_N}, \bar{v} = \frac{v}{h_N}, \bar{w} = \frac{w}{h_N}, \xi &= \frac{x}{L}, \\
\bar{b} &= \frac{b}{L}, \bar{A}_{ij} &= \frac{A_{ij}}{A_{11N} h_N}, \bar{B}_{ij} &= \frac{B_{ij}}{A_{11N} h_N}, \\
\bar{D}_{ij} &= \frac{D_{ij}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \bar{A}_{ij}^* &= \frac{A_{ij}^*}{A_{11N}}, \bar{B}_{ij}^* &= \frac{B_{ij}^*}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \\
\bar{E}_{11p} &= \frac{E_{11p}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \bar{E}_{11N} &= \frac{E_{11N}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \\
\bar{j}_{11p} &= \frac{j_{11p}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \bar{j}_{11N} &= \frac{j_{11N}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \\
\bar{G}_{11p} &= \frac{G_{11p}}{A_{11N} h_N^2}, \bar{N}_{xp} &= \frac{N_{xp}}{A_{11N} h_N}, \bar{M}_{xp} &= \frac{M_{xp}}{A_{11N} h_N}, \\
\bar{m}_0 &= \frac{m_0}{h_N}, \bar{m}_1 &= \frac{m_1}{h_N}, \bar{m}_2 &= \frac{m_2}{2 h_N}, \\
\bar{m}_3 &= \frac{m_3}{2 h_N}, \bar{m}_4 &= \frac{m_4}{h_N}, \\
\bar{\omega} &= \frac{\omega}{\Omega}, \bar{K}_p &= \frac{K_p}{m_3 A_{11N}}, \\
\bar{C}_w &= \frac{C_w}{I},
\end{align*}
\]

are introduced

Also the visco-pasternak effects are obtained as follows:
\[
W_c = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ C_w \left( \frac{\partial \bar{\omega}}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 \right\} Rd\theta dx
\]
\[
W_{wp} = - \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ -K_p \left( \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \xi} \right)^2 \right\} Rd\theta dx
\]

where coefficients of \( \alpha_k (k = 1..31) \) are introduced in Appendix 1.

Also the visco-pasternak effects are obtained as follows:

\[
T = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \tau} \right)^2 Rd\theta dx
\]

2.2 Nonlocal Eringen shell theory

According to Eringen [5, 6], for the piezoelectric cylindrical shell, the nonlocal constitutive relations
for Eqs. (4) and (5) can be expressed

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} - (e_o a)^2 \nabla^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C_{11N} \\
C_{22N} \\
C_{66N}
\end{array} \right\} \varepsilon_{xx} \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C_{12N} \\
C_{23N} \\
C_{66N}
\end{array} \right\} \varepsilon_{yy} \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
C_{66N}
\end{array} \right\} \varepsilon_{zz}
\]

or

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} - (e_o a)^2 \nabla^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} = \left[ C_N \right] \varepsilon, \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} - (e_o a)^2 \nabla^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} = \left[ C_N \right] \varepsilon
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the strain vector in the local reference frame and \( C_N \) is the nonlocal stiffness matrix.

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} = \left[ C_N \right] \varepsilon
\]

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{xxN} \\
\sigma_{yyN} \\
\sigma_{zzN}
\end{array} \right\} = \left[ C_N \right] \varepsilon
\]
Note that all relations of equations (25)-(27) with 
\( \partial^2 \psi \), \( \partial R \partial \theta \) is the Laplace 
operator; \((e_0)\) is the scale coefficient revealing 
the size effect on the response of nanostructures. 
From Eqs. (35)-(37) and using Eqs. (25a, b) and 
ignoring of surface energy effects for 
\( N_{xx}, N_{\theta \theta}, N_{x\theta}, M_{xx}, M_{\theta \theta} \) and 
\( M_{x \theta} \) in Eqs. (25a, b), we have 
\[ N_{xx} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi N_{xx} = A_{11} \partial^0 \psi_{xx} \]
(38a) 
\[ + A_{12} \partial^0 \psi_{\theta \theta} + B_{11} K_{xx} + B_{12} K_{\theta \theta} - N_{xp} \]
\[ N_{\theta \theta} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi N_{\theta \theta} = A_{21} \partial^0 \psi_{xx} \]
(38b) 
\[ + A_{22} \partial^0 \psi_{\theta \theta} + B_{21} K_{xx} + B_{22} K_{\theta \theta} - N_{\theta \theta \theta} \]
\[ N_{x \theta} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi N_{x \theta} = A_{66} \gamma^0_{x \theta} \]
(38c) 
\[ + B_{66} K_{x \theta}, \]
\[ M_{xx} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi M_{xx} = B_{11} \partial^0 \psi_{xx} \]
(38d) 
\[ + B_{12} \partial^0 \psi_{\theta \theta} + D_{11} K_{xx} + D_{12} K_{\theta \theta} - M_{xx} \]
\[ M_{\theta \theta} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi M_{\theta \theta} = B_{21} \partial^0 \psi_{xx} \]
(38e) 
\[ + B_{22} \partial^0 \psi_{\theta \theta} + D_{21} K_{xx} + D_{22} K_{\theta \theta} - M_{\theta \theta} \]
\[ M_{x \theta} - (e_0) \partial^2 \psi M_{x \theta} = B_{66} \gamma^0_{x \theta} + D_{66} K_{x \theta} \]
The equations (38a)-(38f) of the governing equations (28a-c) 
and (29a-c) yields

\[ \delta u: \theta \frac{\partial N_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial N_{x \theta}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\theta} \frac{\partial N_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta} = \psi \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \]
(39a) 
\[ \delta v: \theta \frac{\partial N_{x \theta}}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial N_{\theta \theta}}{\partial \theta} = \psi \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} \]
(39b)
\[ \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \eta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{\nu}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \bar{\nu}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \bar{\nu}}{\partial \eta} = (1 - \mu \bar{\nu}^2) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{w}}{\partial t^2}, \]

\[ \left( \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \xi} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial \bar{\nu}}{\partial \xi} \right) \theta |_{0}^{2\pi} = 0, \]

where \( \bar{w} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} + \frac{m_0^2 \partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \theta^2} \) and also coefficients of \( \beta_k (k = 1..26) \) are introduced in Appendix 2. Then, using Eqs. (25a, b) and ignoring of surface energy effects for \( N_{xx}, N_{yt}, N_{x\theta}, M_{xx}, M_{yt} \), and \( M_{xt} \) and substitution into boundary conditions Eqs. (29a)-(29e) and dimensionless parameters (30), the boundary conditions can be expressed in the dimensionless form as

\[ \delta \bar{u} = 0, \]

\[ \delta \bar{\nu} = 0, \]

where coefficients of \( \beta_k (k = 1..48) \) are introduced in Appendix 3.

2.3 Solution procedure

In the assumed mode method, displacement and shear deformation are written in terms of generalized coordinate and mode function as follows [38]:

\[ u(x, \theta, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ u_{m,j,c}(\tau) \cos(\theta) + u_{m,j,s}(\tau) \sin(\theta) \right] \chi_m(\xi) \]

\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{m,0}(\tau) \chi_m(\xi) \]
\[ v(x, \theta, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ v_{m,j}(c) \sin(j \theta) \right] \phi_{mj}(\xi) \]
\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} v_{m,0}(\tau) \phi_{m0}(\xi) = (43b) \]
\[ w(x, \theta, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ w_{m,j}(c) \cos(j \theta) \right] \beta_{mj}(\xi) \]
\[ + \sum_{m=1}^{M_2} w_{m,0}(\tau) \beta_{m0}(\xi) \]
\[ (43c) \]

where \( \chi_1(\xi), \phi_r(\xi) \) and \( \beta_s(\xi) \) are modal functions which satisfy the required geometric boundary conditions. \( u_1(\tau), v_r(\tau) \) and \( w_s(\tau) \) are generalised coordinates. In the present work, the Euler Bernoulli bending mode shapes are used to solve the classical theory of shell as \( \beta_s(\xi) \) and also the mode shape of the rod is used for \( \chi_1(\xi) \) and \( \phi_r(\xi) \) modal functions which satisfy the required geometric boundary conditions in all shell theories for these two directions.

Substituting Eqs. (43) into Eqs. (31)-(34) and using Euler–Lagrange method the following reduced-order model of the system is obtained:

\[ [(M)_{u_{ul}}][\ddot{u}] + [(M)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{u_{ul}}][\ddot{u}] \]
\[ + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{u_{vl}} \]
\[ [(M)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] + [(M)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] \]
\[ + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{v_{vl}} \]
\[ [(M)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(C)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{w_{vl}} \]
\[ (44a-c) \]

where \([M]_{u_{ul}}, (M)_{v_{ul}} \) and \([K]_{u_{ul}} \) are mass, damping and stiffness matrices, respectively, in directions of \( u, v \) and \( w \) \((r, q = u, v, w)\). Also, \( F_{u_{vl}}, F_{v_{vl}} \) and \( F_{w_{vl}} \) are applied loads by piezoelectric voltage and surface stress. For free vibration of piezoelectric nanoshell \( \ddot{F} \) are zero, and as a result \( \ddot{F}_{u_{vl}} = \ddot{F}_{v_{vl}} = \ddot{F}_{w_{vl}} = 0 \). All coefficients of mass and stiffness matrices Eqs. 44(a-c) are presented in Appendix 4. Also for Hamilton principle, substituting Eqs. (43) into Eqs. (41)-(42) results in the following reduced-order model of the system:

\[ [(M)_{u_{ul}}][\ddot{u}] + [(M)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{u_{ul}}][\ddot{u}] \]
\[ + [(K)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{u}] + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] \]
\[ + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{u_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{u_{vl}} \]
\[ [(M)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] + [(M)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{v_{ul}}][\ddot{v}] + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{v_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{v_{vl}} \]
\[ [(M)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(C)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{ul}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] + [(K)_{w_{vl}}][\ddot{w}] = F_{w_{vl}} \]
\[ (45a-c) \]

\[ \frac{\omega^2_{mn}}{[M]}[u_{mn}, v_{mn}, w_{mn}]^T = 0, \]
\[ 46 \]

3 Numerical results and Discussions

In this section, at first, convergence study of a piezoelectric cylindrical nano-shell with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated for Gurtin–Murdock surface/interface theory and Eringen nonlocal theory. Then the surface energy effects using the Gurtin–Murdock surface/interface theory on the free vibration analysis of a piezoelectric cylindrical nano-shell with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated and at the end, the natural frequency analysis of a simply supported piezoelectric cylindrical nano-shell is presented using the Eringen nonlocal theory. In order to simplify the presentation, CC, SS, CS and CF represent clamped edges, simply supported edges, clamped-simply supported edges and clamped-free edges, respectively and also for simplification of surface effect is represented SE. The non-
homogeneous nano-shell considered in the following examples is composed of stainless steel and nickel and the nonhomogeneous distribution of properties in the thickness direction is varied according to the volume fraction power-law function. The material properties for nanoshell (stainless steel and nickel) and also the piezoelectric layer (PZT-4 material) are shown in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively [42].

Table 1 Properties of stainless steel and nickel [42]

| Property | Stainless steel | Nickel |
|----------|-----------------|--------|
| $E_B(GPa)$ | 208 | 8166 |
| $v_B$ | 0.381 | 0.31 |
| $\rho_B(kg\ m^{-3})$ | 205 | 8900 |

Table 2 Properties of PZT-4 [42]

| Property | Value |
|----------|-------|
| $E_p(GPa)$ | 95 |
| $v_p$ | -5.2 |
| $e_{31p}(C/\ m)$ | -5.2 |
| $e_{32p}(C/\ m)$ | 560 |
| $\eta_{33p}(10^{-11})$ | 7500 |
| $\rho_p(kg\ m^{-3})$ | 2 |

Also, the material property of surface effects and geometrical parameters used in all following results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3 The material property of surface effects and geometrical parameters

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $R(m)$ | $1 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $L/R$ | 10 |
| $h_N/R$ | 0.05 |
| $h_p/R$ | 0.03 |
| $\alpha^1(N/\ m)$ | 4.448 |
| $\mu^1(N/\ m)$ | 2.774 |
| $t_{01}^1(N/\ m)$ | 0.6 |
| $e_{31p}(C)$ | 1.72 |
| $e_{32p}(C)$ | 1.72 |
| $\rho^2(kg/\ m)$ | 1.72 |
| $c_w(N.S)$ | 1.72 |
| $K_w/N$ | 1.72 |
| $K_p/N$ | 1.72 |
| $-3 \times 1$ | 5.61 |
| $5.61 \times 10^{-7}$ | 1 |
| $1 \times 10^{-20}$ | 1 |

Of course, the geometrical parameters can be varying according to the type of problem. In this paper, the results are presented in dimensionless form and thus the results are not limited to a particular type of matter. The data presented in the form of sample data to approximate the numbers used in the actual range.

3.1 Convergence and comparison studies

The method proposed in this paper is validated by comparing the present numerical results with previously published in the literature. If we neglect the piezoelectric, visco-Pasternak and surface effects, the present model can be reduced to the macroscopic cylindrical shell model. The dimensionless natural frequencies ($\omega_n = \Omega R \sqrt{(1 - \nu^2)\rho/E}$) of present work are compared with macroscopic cylindrical shell which previously given by Loy et al. [26] that is shown in Table 4 for the three classical boundary conditions. The parameters used in this example are: $m = 1$, $L/R = 20$, $h_N/R = 0.01$, and $\nu = 0.3$. It can be observed from Table 4 that the present results agree very well with the reference solutions, which indicates that the method presented in this paper is suitable and of high accuracy for free vibration analysis of cylindrical shells with classical boundary conditions. The slight differences in the results may be attributed to the different shell theories and solution approaches adopted in the literature and in this paper.

Table 4 Comparison of dimensionless natural frequencies for SS, SC and CC boundary conditions for a homogeneous cylindrical shells

| n | SS | CS | CC |
|---|---|---|---|
| Prese nt | Loy et al. (1997) | Prese nt | Loy et al. (1997) | Prese nt | Loy et al. (1997) |
| 1 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.034 | 0.032 |
| | 101 | 101 | 299 | 974 | 074 | 885 |
| 2 | 0.005 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.014 | 0.013 |
| | 225 | 382 | 963 | 225 | 202 | 932 |
| 3 | 0.021 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 0.022 |
| | 753 | 105 | 553 | 310 | 713 | 672 |
| 4 | 0.034 | 0.042 | 0.036 | 0.042 | 0.041 | 0.042 |
| | 303 | 095 | 300 | 139 | 386 | 208 |

Also, in all wave numbers shown, the natural frequency of the CC is greater, and the natural frequency of the SS is lower than the rest. The only significant difference is related to the state of SS in modes $n = 2$ and $n = 4$. By removing the equation from the dimensionless state and by referring to the analytical solution given by Rao SS [45], the solution obtained by the present paper, compared to reference Loy et al. [26], is much closer to the
solution presented in reference Rao SS [45], as a result the lower frequency found in this paper is correct. As an example of the Rao SS [45], the natural frequencies of transverse vibration of a circular cylindrical shell simply supported at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = l \) for the following data: \( E = 30 \times 10^6 \text{ psi}, \quad v = 0.3, \quad \rho = 7.324 \times 10^{-11} \text{lb} \cdot \text{sec}^2/\text{in}^4, \quad R = 10 \text{ in}, \quad l = 40 \text{ in} \) and \( h = 0.1 \text{ in} \) for the \((m, n) = (1, 2), (1, 3) \) and \((3, 2)\) are 2.375.8223, 1.321.9526 and 10.086.031, respectively and for present work with the same data as given reference Rao SS [45] and for the \((m, n) = (1, 2), (1, 3) \) and \((3, 2)\) are 2.394.635 , 1.343.182 and 10,211.415, respectively. The slight differences in the results may be attributed to the different shell theories and solution approaches adopted in the literature and in this paper.

Table 5 show a complete convergence of the natural frequency parameter, \( \omega_n \), for SS, CS, CC and CF piezoelectric nanoshell for \( N = 2,4,6 \) considering with the Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory and the material and geometrical parameters of Tables (1-3). It is observed that for all boundary conditions as the number of polynomial terms, \( N \), is increased, the value of the frequency parameter, \( \omega_n \), converges rapidly. With considering of the two successasing values of \( N \), it shows that as \( N \) increases, the percentage difference between the successive frequency approximations decreases. Thus the error as shown above is less 1 per cent, which is well within the limits of engineering tolerance. The minimum frequency in this case is associated with the circumferential wave number \( n = 2 \). This assertion is valid for the entire range of shell parameters and for all type of boundary conditions.

Table 5 Convergence of dimensionless undamped natural frequencies \( \omega_n = \frac{\Omega_n R}{L} \) of the SS, SC, CC and CF piezoelectric cylindrical shells

| \( n \) | \( N = 2 \) | \( N = 4 \) | \( N = 6 \) | \( N = 2 \) | \( N = 4 \) | \( N = 6 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.059 |
| | 839 | 839 | 839 | 839 | 839 | 839 |
| 1 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.021 | 0.029 | 0.024 | 0.022 |
| | 835 | 835 | 835 | 998 | 138 | 793 |

As can be seen from Table 5, in all wave numbers shown, the natural frequency of the CC is greater, and the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest. Also, a convergence and accuracy study of the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) of the SS piezoelectric nanoshells for Eringen nonlocal theory with the material and geometrical parameters of Tables (1-3) is presented in Table 6 with varying total numbers of nodes \( N \) and for various circumferential wave numbers \( n \).

Table 6 Convergence of dimensionless undamped natural frequencies \( \omega_n = \frac{\Omega_n R}{L} \) of the Eringen nonlocal theory for SS piezoelectric cylindrical shells

| \( n \) | \( N = 1 \) | \( N = 2 \) | \( N = 1 \) | \( N = 2 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1.057012 | 1.054359 | 0.554995 | 0.531641 |
| 2 | 1.064967 | 1.060514 | 0.987180 | 0.982913 |
| 3 | 1.577035 | 1.575583 | 1.350387 | 1.349985 |
| 4 | 2.093065 | 2.092441 | 1.632448 | 1.631933 |

| \( n \) | \( N = 1 \) | \( N = 2 \) | \( N = 1 \) | \( N = 2 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 0.502426 | 0.480069 | 0.391778 | 0.372328 |
| 2 | 0.748597 | 0.744961 | 0.471781 | 0.469163 |
| 3 | 0.871548 | 0.870564 | 0.496306 | 0.495676 |
| 4 | 0.933748 | 0.933389 | 0.506176 | 0.505961 |
Note that the nonlocal parameter $\mu = 0$ corresponds to the piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell without the nonlocal effect. As can be seen from Table 5, the dimensionless natural frequency of SS piezoelectric nanoshells decreases with increase of nonlocal parameter $\mu$. The reason is that a higher nonlocal parameter $\mu$ leads to a decrease in the nanoshell stiffness, and cause to lower natural frequencies of nanoshell, showing the softening effect observed by others (see Ke [46]). Also, for all nonlocal parameter $\mu$, the natural frequencies decrease with increase of the node number $N$ and increase with increase of the circumferential wave number $n$. As a result, the convergence mode number for both cases of the paper results (Table 5-6), i.e., Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface and Eringen nonlocal theories is $n = 2$ and $= 2$ . Some other studies in Applied Mechanics can be checked in [43] and [44].

3.2. Parametric study

The convergence and comparison study of the present work was verified in the previous subsection. In this subsection, we will study the effect of important parameters of cylindrical piezoelectric nanoshell on vibration behavior of this system.

In this subsection, first, the surface energy effects using the Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory on the free vibration analysis of a piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated and then, the natural frequency analysis of a simply supported (SS) piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell is presented using the Eringen nonlocal theory.

Figure 2 (a, b) illustrates the effect of dimensionless stiffness coefficient of Winkler foundation $\bar{K}_w$ on dimensionless undamped natural frequencies ($\bar{\omega}_n = \hat{\Omega}_n R/L$) of the piezoelectric nano-shell. It can be seen that for all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the nanoshell stiffness coefficient, the fundamental frequency increases. As can be seen from Figure 2 (a, b), the natural frequency of the CC is greater, and the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest. It is quite clear that considering the effects of the surface energy will lead to a significant decrease in the natural frequency of the nanoshell.

Furthermore, mode shapes associated to the natural frequencies of cylindrical piezoelectric nano-shell are illustrated in Figure 3 (a-h) for different dimensionless stiffness coefficient of Winkler foundation $\bar{K}_w$ and for mode number ($m = 3, n = 4$).
(a) SS, $\bar{R}_w = 1$

(b) SS, $\bar{R}_w = 3$

(c) CS, $\bar{R}_w = 1$

(d) CS, $\bar{R}_w = 3$

(e) CC, $\bar{R}_w = 1$

(f) CC, $\bar{R}_w = 3$

(g) CF, $\bar{R}_w = 1$

(h) CF, $\bar{R}_w = 3$
Fig. 3. Some selected mode shapes for different dimensionless stiffness coefficient of Winkler foundation $K_w$.

The effect of dimensionless shear layer of Pasternak foundation $K_p$ on dimensionless natural frequencies of the piezoelectric nano-shell are depicted in Figure 4. It can be seen that for all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the nanoshell shear layer coefficient, the fundamental frequency increases.

As can be seen from Figure 4 (a, b), the natural frequency of the CC is greater and with boundary conditions SS and CS, there is little difference, and also the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest. It is quite clear that considering the effects of the surface energy will lead to a significant decrease in the natural frequency of the nanoshell.

The dimensionless undamped natural frequency of the piezoelectric nanoshell versus length-to-small radius ratio ($L/R$) is illustrated in Figure 5 (a, b).

As it is seen, for all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the length-to-small radius ratio $L/R$, the fundamental frequency decreases. In addition, the length-to-small radius ratio of cylindrical shell has...
an important effect on natural frequency. The reason is that a higher \( L/R \) ratio lead to a decrease in the nanoshell stiffness, and cause to lower natural frequencies of nanoshells and the vibrational behavior of the shell with the larger \( L/R \) ratio is less sensitive to variations of boundary conditions. As can be seen from Figure 5 (a, b), the natural frequency of the CC is greater and with boundary conditions SS and CS, there is little difference, and also the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest. It is quite clear that considering the effects of the surface energy will lead to a significant decrease in the natural frequency of the nanoshell.

Figure 6 (a, b) illustrates the effect of thickness shell to small radius ratio \( h_n/R \) on dimensionless undamped natural frequencies \( (\omega_n = \bar{\Omega}_n R/L) \) of the piezoelectric nano-shell. It can be seen that for all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the nanoshell stiffness coefficient the fundamental frequency increases.

As can be seen from Figure 2 (a, b), the natural frequency of the CC is greater and with boundary conditions SS and CS, there is little difference, and also the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest. Similar to other previous figures, it is quite clear that considering the effects of the surface energy will lead to a significant decrease in the natural frequency of the nanoshell.

Also the dimensionless undamped natural frequencies \( (\omega_n = \bar{\Omega}_n R/L) \) of the piezoelectric nano-shell versus thickness piezo to small radius ratio \( (h_p/R) \) with and without surface effects are presented in Figure 7 (a, b). As shown in Figure 7, for all boundary conditions the behavior of the cases with and without surface effects is almost the opposite. It can be shown that for all boundary conditions without surface effects, the fundamental frequency decrease with the increase of the \( h_p/R \) ratio and vice versa, with surface effects, the fundamental frequency increases with the increase of the \( h_p/R \) ratio. The reason is that in case of with surface effects a higher \( h_p/R \) ratio leads to an increase in the nanoshell stiffness, and cause to higher natural frequencies of nanoshells. Similar to other previous results, the natural frequency of the CC is greater and with boundary conditions SS and CS, there is little difference, and also the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest and also
the considering the effects of the surface energy will lead to a significant decrease in the natural frequency of the nanoshell.

Figure 7 illustrates the effect of the surface energy on the dimensionless undamped natural frequencies. For (a) with surface effect and (b) without surface effect, the dimensionless natural frequencies decrease with the increase of the ratio $h_p/R$. Figure 8 further discusses the effect of the length-to-small radius ratio $L/R$ on the dimensionless undamped natural frequencies $\omega_n = \tilde{\Omega}_n R/L$ for simple supported (SS) piezoelectric nanoshells. As shown in Figure 8, the natural frequency decreases with an increase of nonlocal parameter $\mu$. The reason is that a higher nonlocal parameter $\mu$ leads to a decrease in the nanoshell stiffness, and cause to lower natural frequencies of nanoshell.

And finally, the effect of the small radius ratio $h_N/R$ on dimensionless natural frequencies $\omega_n = \tilde{\Omega}_n R/L$ of the simple supported (SS) piezoelectric nanoshell is shown in Figure 9 using Eringen nonlocal theory. As shown in Figure 9, the dimensionless natural frequency increases with the increase of the ratio $h_N/R$. Also, the natural frequency decreases with an increase of nonlocal parameter $\mu$. The reason is that a higher nonlocal parameter $\mu$ leads to a decrease in the nanoshell stiffness, and cause to lower natural frequencies of nanoshell.
4. Conclusion
Vibration analysis of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell subjected to visco-Pasternak medium with arbitrary boundary conditions is investigated by accounting for the simultaneous effects of the surface elasticity and the different material scale parameter. To this end, Eringen nonlocal theory and Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface theory and Donnell's theory are used. The governing equations and boundary conditions are derived using Hamilton’s principle and also, assumed mode method combined with Euler–Lagrange method is used for discretizing equations of motion. The viscoelastic nanoshell medium is modeled as visco-Pasternak. A variety of new vibration results including natural frequencies with and without nonlocal and surface energy effects for piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell with non-classical restraints as well as different material parameters are presented, which may serve as benchmark solution for future researches. The convergence, accuracy and reliability of the current formulation are validated by comparisons with existing experimental and numerical results published in the literature, with excellent agreements achieved. Also, the effects of nonlocality, surface energy, nanoshell radius, circumferential wavenumber, nanoshell damping coefficient, and foundation damping are accurately studied on frequencies and mode shapes of piezoelectric cylindrical nanoshell.

Some conclusions are obtained from this study:

- With comparing the previously published in the literature, the present results agree very well with the reference solutions, which indicates that the methods are suitable and of high accuracy for free vibration analysis of cylindrical nanoshell.
- For all boundary conditions for as the number of polynomial terms, \( N \), is increased, the value of the frequency parameter, \( \omega_n \), converges rapidly and also the convergence mode number for both cases of the paper results, i.e., Gurtin–Murdoch surface/interface and Eringen nonlocal theories is \( n = 2 \) and \( m = 2 \).
- With the increase of the dimensionless stiffness coefficient of Winkler foundation \( K_w / \rho \), for all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, the fundamental frequency increases.
- For all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the dimensionless shear layer of Pasternak foundation \( \bar{K}_p \), the fundamental frequency increases.
- With the increase of the \( L/R \) ratio, the fundamental frequency for all boundary conditions with and without surface effects decreases.
- For all boundary conditions with and without surface energy effects, with the increase of the nanoshell stiffness coefficient \( h_N / R \) the fundamental frequency increases.
- For all boundary conditions, with considering of ratio \( (h_p / R) \), the behavior of the cases with and without surface effects is almost the opposite. In case of without surface affects, the fundamental frequency decrease with the increase of the \( h_p / R \) ratio and vice versa, with surface effects, the fundamental frequency increases with the increase of the \( h_p / R \) ratio.
- In all mention results, the natural frequency of the CC is greater and with boundary conditions SS and CS, there is little difference, and also the natural frequency of the CF is lower than the rest.
✓ For all boundary conditions, the dimensionless natural frequency decreases with the increase of the ratio \((L/R)\) and the nonlocal parameter \(\mu\).

✓ For all boundary conditions, the dimensionless natural frequency increase with the increase of the ratio \(\beta_3/R\) and also because of a higher nonlocal parameter \(\mu\) leads to a decrease in the nanoshell stiffness, the natural frequency decreases with increase of nonlocal parameter \(\mu\).
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Appendix 1
\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{11}, \\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (\tilde{A}_{12} + \tilde{A}_{21}), \\
\alpha_3 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (\tilde{A}_{12} - \tilde{A}_{21}), \\
\alpha_4 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{22}, \\
\alpha_5 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (\tilde{A}_{22} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_1} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_2}), \\
\alpha_6 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (2\tilde{A}_{22} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_1} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_2}), \\
\alpha_7 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\alpha_8 &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\alpha_9 &= \frac{2m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\alpha_{10} &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{F}_{11} - 2\tilde{B}_{11}, \\
\alpha_{11} &= \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{F}_{11} - \tilde{B}_{12} - \tilde{B}_{21}), \\
\alpha_{12} &= \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{F}_{11} - \tilde{B}_{12} - \tilde{B}_{21}), \\
\alpha_{13} &= \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{F}_{11} - \tilde{B}_{12} - \tilde{B}_{21}), \\
\alpha_{14} &= \frac{m_2^2 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{F}_{11} - 2\tilde{B}_{22}), \\
\alpha_{15} &= \frac{m_2^2 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{F}_{11} - 2\tilde{B}_{22} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} (1 + m_4) - \tilde{z}_0^{s_1}), \\
\alpha_{16} &= -\frac{4m_0 m_2}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{66}, \\
\alpha_{17} &= -\frac{4m_2}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{66}, \\
\alpha_{18} &= \frac{1}{m_3^2 m_3} (\tilde{D}_{11} - \tilde{E}_{11}), \\
\alpha_{19} &= \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{D}_{12} + \tilde{D}_{21} - 2\tilde{E}_{11}), \\
\alpha_{20} &= \frac{m_2 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{D}_{22} - \tilde{E}_{11}), \\
\alpha_{21} &= \frac{4m_2^2}{m_3^2} \tilde{D}_{66}, \\
\alpha_{22} &= \frac{m_0 m_1}{m_3} (\tilde{z}_0^{s_1} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} - \tilde{\eta}_{xp}), \\
\alpha_{23} &= \frac{m_1}{m_3} (\tilde{z}_0^{s_1} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} - \tilde{\eta}_{xp}), \\
\alpha_{24} &= \frac{m_0 m_1}{m_3} (\tilde{z}_0^{s_1} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} - \tilde{\eta}_{xp}), \\
\alpha_{25} &= \frac{1}{m_3} (\tilde{M}_{xp} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_1} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} (1 + m_4)), \\
\alpha_{26} &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (\tilde{M}_{xp} + \tilde{z}_0^{s_1} - \tilde{z}_0^{s_2} (1 + m_4)), \\
\alpha_{27} &= -\frac{1}{2m_1 m_3} \tilde{c}_{11}, \\
\alpha_{28} &= -\frac{m_2}{2m_3} \tilde{c}_{11}, \\
\alpha_{29} &= \frac{1}{2m_1 m_3} \tilde{j}_{11}, \\
\alpha_{30} &= \frac{m_2}{2m_3} \tilde{j}_{11}, \\
\alpha_{31} &= \frac{m_2}{2m_3} \tilde{j}_{11}.
\end{align*}
\]

Appendix 2
\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_1 &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{11}, \\
\beta_2 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\beta_3 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} (\tilde{A}_{12} + \tilde{A}_{66}), \\
\beta_4 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{12}, \\
\beta_5 &= -\frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{11}, \\
\beta_6 &= -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{B}_{12} + 2\tilde{B}_{66}), \\
\beta_7 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\beta_8 &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{21}, \\
\beta_9 &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{66}, \\
\beta_{10} &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{22}, \\
\beta_{11} &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{22}, \\
\beta_{12} &= -\frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{B}_{21} + 2\tilde{B}_{66}), \\
\beta_{13} &= -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{22}, \\
\beta_{14} &= \frac{m_0}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{21}, \\
\beta_{15} &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{11}, \\
\beta_{16} &= \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{B}_{21} + 2\tilde{B}_{66}), \\
\beta_{17} &= -\frac{m_0^2}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{22}, \\
\beta_{18} &= \frac{m_2 m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{B}_{21} + 2\tilde{B}_{66}), \\
\beta_{19} &= \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{22}, \\
\beta_{20} &= -\frac{m_2}{m_3} \tilde{A}_{22}, \\
\beta_{21} &= \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{B}_{12} + \tilde{B}_{21}), \\
\beta_{22} &= \frac{2m_2 m_2}{m_3} \tilde{B}_{22}, \\
\beta_{23} &= \frac{1}{m_3} \tilde{D}_{11}, \\
\beta_{24} &= -\frac{m_2}{m_3} (\tilde{D}_{12} + \tilde{D}_{21} + 4\tilde{D}_{66}),
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \beta_{25} = -\frac{m_2^2 m_2^2}{m_3} \bar{D}_{22}, \beta_{26} = \frac{m_0 m_1}{m_3} \bar{N}_{\theta p}, \]

**Appendix 3**

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{1}{m_3} \bar{A}_{11}, \beta_2 = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{12}, \beta_3 = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{12}, \]

\[ \hat{\beta}_4 = -\frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{11}, \beta_5 = -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{12}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_6 = -\frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{N}_{xp}, \hat{\beta}_7 = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{66}, \hat{\beta}_8 = \frac{1}{m_3} \bar{A}_{66}, \]

\[ \beta_9 = \frac{2m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \beta_{10} = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{66}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{11} = \frac{1}{m_3} \bar{A}_{66}, \bar{\beta}_{12} = -\frac{2m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \bar{\beta}_{13} = \frac{1}{m_3} \bar{A}_{21}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{14} = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{15} = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{A}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{16} = -\frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{21}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{17} = -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{18} = \frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{N}_{\theta p}, \]

\[ \beta_{19} = \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{11}, \beta_{20} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{21} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\bar{B}_{12} + \bar{B}_{66}), \bar{\beta}_{22} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{12}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{23} = -\frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{D}_{11}, \bar{\beta}_{24} = -\frac{m_2}{m_3} (\bar{D}_{12} + 2 \bar{D}_{66}), \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{25} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} (\bar{B}_{21} + \bar{B}_{66}), \bar{\beta}_{26} = \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \]

\[ \beta_{27} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{22}, \beta_{28} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{22}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{29} = -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{D}_{22}, \]

\[ \beta_{30} = -\frac{m_2}{m_1 m_3} (\bar{D}_{21} + 2 \bar{D}_{66}), \]

\[ \beta_{31} = \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{11}, \beta_{32} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{12}, \]

\[ \beta_{33} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{12}, \beta_{34} = -\frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{D}_{11}, \]

\[ \beta_{35} = -\frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{D}_{12}, \beta_{36} = -\frac{1}{m_3} \bar{M}_{xp}, \]

\[ \beta_{37} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \beta_{38} = \frac{1}{m_1 m_3} \bar{B}_{12}, \]

\[ \beta_{39} = -\frac{2m_2}{m_1 m_3} \bar{D}_{66}, \beta_{40} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{41} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{66}, \bar{\beta}_{42} = \frac{2m_2}{m_3} \bar{D}_{66}, \bar{\beta}_{43} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{21}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{44} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{22}, \bar{\beta}_{45} = \frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{B}_{22}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{46} = -\frac{m_2}{m_1 m_3} \bar{D}_{21}, \bar{\beta}_{47} = -\frac{m_0 m_2}{m_3} \bar{D}_{22}, \]

\[ \bar{\beta}_{48} = -\frac{m_0}{m_3} \bar{M}_{xp}, \]

**Appendix 4**

\[ (M)_{uL}^w = \int \int x_i x_e \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f d\xi d\theta, \]

\[ (M)_{wL}^u = \int \int \frac{1}{2} a_{29} x'_e \beta_0 \partial_\theta \psi_1 d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{uL}^w = \int \int (a_1 x_i x_e \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_7 x_i x_e \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{wL}^u = \int \int (a_2 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_9 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ \hat{E}_{uL} = \int \int x'_e \partial_\theta d\xi d\theta, (M)_{0L}^w \]

\[ = \int \phi_0 \beta_0 d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (M)_{uL}^w = \int \int \frac{1}{2} a_{30} \phi_0 \beta_0 d\xi d\theta, (K)_{uL}^w \]

\[ = \int \int (a_2 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_9 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{wL}^u = \int \int (a_4 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_9 x_i \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ \hat{E}_{wL} = \int \int \phi_0 a' d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (M)_{wl}^w = \int \int \frac{1}{2} a_{4} \phi_0 a' d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (C)_{wl}^w = \int \int \beta_0 \beta_0 f d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{wl}^w = \int \int (a_0 \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_{12} \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{wl}^w = \int \int (a_0 \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_{12} \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{wl}^w = \int \int (a_0 \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f + a_{12} \beta_0 \phi_0 \psi_0 \partial_\theta \partial_\theta f) d\xi d\theta \]}
$$\frac{1}{2} \int \int \left( 2\alpha_2 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p + \alpha_1 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p + \alpha_1 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' + \alpha_1 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' + \alpha_1 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' + 2\alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p + 2\alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' + 2\alpha_2 \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' + 2\kappa \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p - 2\kappa \beta_0 \beta_r \psi_s \psi_p'' \right) d\xi d\theta$$

\[ F_{wpl} = \frac{1}{2} \int \int (\alpha_2 \beta_r \psi_s + \alpha_2 \beta_r \psi_s'' + \alpha_2 \beta_r \psi_s') d\xi d\theta \]

**Appendix 5**

\[(M)_{VH}^u = \int \int \left( x_i x_e \theta_i \theta_f - \mu^2 \chi_{ix} \theta_i \theta_f \right) d\xi d\theta \]

\[(K)_{VH}^u = - \int \int (\beta_1 x_i \chi_{ix} \theta_i \theta_f + \beta_2 x_i \chi_{ix} \theta_i \theta_f') d\xi d\theta \]

\[(K_{bc})_{VH}^u = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \theta_j \psi_p d\theta + \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \chi_i \psi_p' d\xi \]

\[(K)_{VH}^w = - \int \int (\beta_1 x_i \chi_{ix} \theta_i \theta_f + \beta_2 x_i \chi_{ix} \theta_i \theta_f') d\xi d\theta \]

\[(K_{bc})_{VH}^w = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \theta_j \psi_p d\theta + \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \chi_i \psi_p' d\xi \]

\[ F_{vp, bc} = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \psi_s d\theta \]

\[ (M)_{WH}^v = \int \int \left( \phi_k \phi_q \alpha_1 \alpha_g - \mu^2 \phi_k \phi_q \alpha_1 \alpha_g \right) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)^{vH} = - \int \int (\beta_7 \phi_k \chi_i \alpha_i \alpha_j' + \beta_8 \phi_k \chi_i \alpha_i \alpha_j') d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K_{bc})_{VH}^w = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \alpha_i \alpha_j' d\theta \]

\[ + \beta_7 (\alpha_i \alpha_j')_0^2 \int \phi_k \psi_p d\xi \]

\[ (K)_{VH}^w = - \int \int (\beta_1 \phi_k \phi_q \alpha_1 \alpha_g + \beta_1 \phi_k \phi_q \alpha_1 \alpha_g) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K_{bc})_{VH}^w = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \alpha_i \alpha_j' d\theta \]

\[ + \beta_7 (\alpha_i \alpha_j')_0^2 \int \phi_k \psi_p d\xi \]

\[ F_{vp, bc} = \beta_2 (\chi_{ix})_0^1 \int \psi_s d\theta \]

\[ (M)_{WH}^v = \int \int \left( \beta_r \beta_0 \psi_s \psi_p - \mu^2 \beta_r \beta_0 \psi_s \psi_p \right) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (C)_{WH}^v = \int \int \left( \phi_k \beta_0 \psi_s \psi_p - \mu^2 \phi_k \beta_0 \psi_s \psi_p \right) d\xi d\theta \]

\[ (K)_{WH}^u = - \int \int (\beta_1 \phi_k \chi_i \alpha_i \alpha_j' + \beta_1 \phi_k \chi_i \alpha_i \alpha_j') d\xi d\theta \]
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