Data-driven innovation promises to transform the economic landscape and bring tremendous benefits to individuals. One of the key ingredients to such innovation consists of data-sharing between firms. The importance of such sharing is underscored by the European Commission’s Strategy for Data, which describes the Commission’s plan to “invest in a High Impact Project on...infrastructure, data-sharing tools, architectures and governance mechanisms for thriving data-sharing and Artificial Intelligence ecosystems.” Private industry is also rapidly developing such tools, including platforms such as Google Merchant and Azure Data Share that facilitate data sharing between firms. Finally, the growing area of federated machine learning focuses on designing algorithms that enable firms to share data used by their respective predictive analytics tools [Ronen and Jordan 2021; Yang et al. 2019].

In this paper we formally study firms’ incentives for data sharing, with a focus on the possible existence of data-sharing mechanisms that both benefit individuals and incentivize firms to participate. We show that firms’ hesitancy may be justified, in that indiscriminate data-sharing can be mutually harmful. However, we also show that data sharing need not be a zero sum endeavor—benefiting consumers at the expense of firms, or vice versa—and that more carefully designed mechanisms for partial data-sharing can simultaneously benefit individuals as well as firms. We undertake our study within the context of e-commerce, in which competing firms engage in imperfect competition over a set of consumers. Firms have heterogeneous data about consumers, and sharing all their data with one another leads to a more efficient outcome and benefits consumers. However, the increased competition brought about by data sharing lowers firm profits, and so firms do not willingly participate. On the other hand, when partial data can be shared, firms can do so in a way that increases their respective profits, but lowers consumer welfare. Most interestingly, we show that a fair, middle ground exists—that partial data can be shared in a way that increases firm profits, while at the same time also increasing market efficiency and benefiting consumers.

We demonstrate our ideas in the most-studied model of imperfect competition, namely, that of Hotelling [1929]. There are two firms, each located at a different endpoint of a unit interval, with a unit mass of consumers distributed across this interval. In order to study data sharing we depart from the standard model and suppose that the firms may have data about some of the consumers. We model data about a consumer as information about that consumer’s location within the interval. Thus, we suppose that there are consumers whose locations are known only to the first firm, consumers whose locations are known only to the second firm, consumers whose locations are not known to either firm, and consumers whose locations are known to both firms.

Within this model, we first show that indiscriminate sharing of data is harmful to the firms. In particular, we compare firms’ baseline profits—those attained in equilibrium with no data sharing—with their profits under full data-sharing, in which each firm shares all of its location data with the other firm. Relative to the baseline of no sharing, full data-sharing increases market efficiency and consumer welfare, but lowers firm profits. We then show that if firms share data about only some of the consumers, as opposed to all, then it is possible to attain fair outcomes—namely, ones that are strictly Pareto-improving, weakly increasing the utilities of all market participants, with at least one strict increase—relative to the baseline. In particular, our main result is the design of a mechanism that increases firm profits as well as each consumer’s welfare, and, in particular, maximizes joint firm profits subject to being fair. In addition, we also design a mechanism for which consumer welfare is maximal, subject to being fair.
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