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Abstract
The electrification of vehicle helps to improve its operation efficiency and safety. Due to fast development of network, sensors, as well as computing technology, it becomes realizable to have vehicles driving autonomously. To achieve autonomous driving, several steps, including environment perception, path-planning, and dynamic control, need to be done. However, vehicles equipped with on-board sensors still have limitations in acquiring necessary environmental data for optimal driving decisions. Intelligent and connected vehicles (ICV) cloud control system (CCS) has been introduced as a new concept as it is a potentially synthetic solution for high level automated driving to improve safety and optimize traffic flow in intelligent transportation. This paper systematically investigated the concept of cloud control system from cloud related applications on ICVs, and cloud control system architecture design, as well as its core technologies development. Based on the analysis, the challenges and suggestions on cloud control system development have been addressed.
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1 Introduction
In recent years, as the rapid development of intelligent and connected vehicle (ICV) technology [1], the demand for automated driving application in logistics [2], sanitation [3], port terminals [4], mining [5], retail [6], ride sharing [7], public transportation [8] and other industries has surged and attracted wide attention from both industry and academia. According to the latest Gartner Hype Cycle for Connected and Smart Mobility, most of the technologies related to automated driving and new mobility are under the trough of disillusionment, which means the commercialization of those technologies is underway [9].

The issues of ICV technology development include three main phases. (1) The insufficient reliability of intelligent vehicle perception system causes blind spot. Limited computing resource of intelligent vehicle makes it difficult to adapt to different and complicated road traffic environments. Improving the reliability and computing capability by using more sensors on the vehicle side will greatly increase the cost. Thereby, the road side infrastructures are required and the vehicle-road coordination technology are developed [10]. (2) Although the vehicle-road coordination technology can enhance the vehicle perception capability and improve the safety and driving predictability to a certain extent, it also faces new bottlenecks. Information interaction among different kinds of road-side equipment is still lack of unified standard. It results in a high cost of multi-source perceptive information sharing collected from heterogeneous sensors [11]. Furthermore, the insufficient centralized decision-making and control capability of the multi-vehicles also...
restricts the optimization of road traffic efficiency [12].

(3) With the development of cloud computing, big data, internet of things, and wireless communication technologies, a new concept of "ICV cloud control system (CCS)" has been proposed to resolve previous problems [13]. In our opinion, it is a typical application of cyber-physical system (CPS) theory in the field of intelligent and connected vehicles. In this system, vehicle is no longer a type of transportation, but a platform for human-vehicle-road-cloud information transmission and sharing. ICV cloud control system can enlarge the perception range of intelligent vehicles, increase the driving safety of connected vehicles and enhance the existing vehicle-road coordination ability by providing centralized decision-making and control capability via utilizing edge computing, vehicle to everything (V2X) communication and artificial intelligent (AI) technologies [13]. It now becomes the latest trend of ICV technology and influences more and more national automated driving development strategies [14–19].

This paper represents a systematic review on the existing literature of cloud systems, approaches and technologies related to intelligent and connected vehicle control. The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 reviews the typical ICV applications that used cloud technology and expounds the concept of cloud control system. Section 3 investigates the CPS (cyber physical system) architecture design and provides the methods that can be utilized to design ICV CCS. Section 4 categorizes the common key technologies of ICV CCS and systematically describes the current state of development and key issues in each technology area. Section 5 concludes the challenges of ICV CCS and gives the development trend of its key technologies.

2 Cloud Related ICV Applications and Cloud Control Concept

2.1 Cloud Related ICV Applications

With the development of cloud computing, big data, internet of things, and wireless communication, traditional automotive industry is experiencing a new revolution. Especially, the development of ICV based on traditional automotive and transportation industry will introduce much more jobs and promote the economics and society with great potential [20]. Vehicle is no longer a type of transportation, but a platform for human-vehicle-road-cloud information transmission and sharing. Equipped with various types of sensors, vehicles are able to have complex environment perception capability with the implementation of cooperative planning and control. The most critical step in vehicle automation is to realize safe, comfortable driving with high efficiency and low energy consumption. Recently, the researchers have identified the insufficiency of intelligent vehicle and the limitation of Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I) cooperation. To continuously increasing the driving safety, comfort and energy-saving to realize fully automated driving, many countries have been launching policies, carrying out kinds ICV projects, and constructing cloud related ICV systems.

In early 2020, eleven central level Chinese governmental departments jointly issued the Strategy for Innovation and Development of Intelligent Vehicles [21], indicating that China has already set ICV as the core development plan for the country. The U.S Department of Transportation (USDOT) published a series of ITS JPO Strategic Plan [22] and included connected and automated transportation to its emerging and enabling technologies. The European Commission organized and set up cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems (C-ITS) Deployment Platform, which developed a shared vision on the interoperable deployment of the C-ITS towards cooperative, connected and automated mobility (CCAM) in the European Union [23].

As regarding to ICV projects and applications, many of them tend to adopt 5G, cloud computing technologies. Chang et al. [24] mentioned a predictive backward shockwave analysis approach (PSA) that includes both macro and micro PSA models to realize real-time active safe driving under uncertain and high-risk road conditions. The work specifies a three-layer cloud computing mechanism, which are vehicular cloud computing (VCC), Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC), and global cloud computing (GCC). The method uses the analysis and prediction of vehicle driving condition data to identify backward shockwave of traffic flow with high risk. Then, it reduces the risk by using the three-stage cloud computing mechanism to send warning signals to high-risk area. Such method can also be applied with human-driving vehicles. But in this application, the cloud platform is mainly applied for traffic flow shockwave prediction.

Based on the Cloud-Assisted Real-time Methods for Autonomy (CARMA) project, Montanaro and Fallah introduced a cloud platform under mixed traffic scenario for passenger car platoon control and management [25]. The architecture is composed by three layers: Trip-Planner, Road Section Manager (RSM), and Coordination Control. The top layer, Trip-Planner computes the global optimal route and speed profile for the minimization of the energy consumption on each section of the highway system and for all CARMA vehicles operating in any vehicle mode (Adaptive Cruise Control (ACC)-mode, Free Agent (FA)-mode, and Platoon Leader/Platoon Follower (PL/PF)-mode). For vehicles operating in platoons, the Trip-Planner also provides a suggested inter-vehicular distance. This layer requires altitude profile for each
highway segment, traffic, weather, and road conditions, etc. The RSM is responsible for refining vehicle local speed profiles and inter-vehicular distance (for platoons already formed) to adapt them to current road section state. The layer can also control the speed of FA vehicle and corresponding platoon for merging maneuver. It also needs to determine the activation of lower layer-coordination control layer, and provide parameters for correct planning and execution. In the lowest level of the architecture, the coordination control layer includes controllers for imposing vehicle speed profiles, implementing cooperative adaptive cruise control methods (platooning, and planning/executing merging and leaving maneuvers). The overall architecture of the cloud platform is consisted of 3 layers: CARMA vehicle, CARMA edge, and CARMA core cloud. From Ref. [26], the framework of the CARMA is evolved to have CARMA 3rd party services interacting with its core cloud. Its on-board vehicle network connects various on-board sensors, infotainment equipment, on-board embedded processors, HMI equipment, and actuators to apply control commands. The onboard control components operate in cooperation with the edge controller assuring fault-tolerance of the system in cases when the connection with the CARMA Edge is disrupted. Further, since vehicle safety is of paramount importance, on-board controllers are also responsible to assess and potentially override the remotely computed instructions (from cloud/edge) to ensure safety of the vehicle. The CARMA Edge sub-system hosts off-board processes and information that require tight access (low latency) with the vehicles. This will include information collected from the vehicle and the processes that require cooperation with roadside equipment and other vehicles. The CARMA core cloud is the highest level of cloud platform layer that acquires environment data from 3rd party, as well as vehicle ID information with corresponding data, including vehicle states, positions, platoon information, etc. CARMA Edges and other modules are implemented within the CARMA Core to calculate optimal speed profile, inter-vehicle distance, and commands to CARMA Edge. The CARMA project initialized the concept of cloud platform with a core cloud controlling edge clouds built in each road network segments for vehicle platoon control. Then, the edge cloud gives vehicle commands, which forms the basic structure of cloud control platform.

In Ref. [27], Hussain et al. mentioned a vehicular cloud based on vehicular ad-hoc network (VANET) to define the potential architectural framework of this type of cloud platform. The architecture is divided into three frameworks: vehicular clouds (VC), vehicles using clouds (VuC), and hybrid vehicular clouds (HVC). From the hierarchical level, the system is divided into three layers: car, inter-car, and cloud levels. The bottom level is the communication at car level. Vehicle in standalone VANET has Global Positioning System (GPS) to obtain accurate location information, radar, sensors, and actuators. The second level of the communication is inter-car level where vehicles communicate with each other via On-Board Unit (OBU). This communication can be either V2V or V2I by using IEEE 802.11p (WAVE) standard. The top most level enables vehicles to communicate at cloud level where vehicles or RSUs may serve as gateways. The VC is further divided into two scenarios (static, dynamic) from movement standpoint. The static clouds refer to the stationary vehicles providing cloud services (renting out storage or processing resources). In case of state VANET clouds, the infrastructure can be rented out to make revenue as well. The VuC connects the VANET to traditional clouds where VANET users can use cloud services (remote configuration and car performance checking, big traffic data analysis, smart location-based advertisements, vehicle witnesses) on the move such as infotainment, traffic information, etc. In HVC, vehicular clouds interact with traditional cloud for services exchange.

From the examples, it can be concluded that vehicular clouds are commonly divided into three major layers: vehicle, inter-vehicle, and cloud levels. With the requirement of data grouping and processing, multi-access edge computing (MEC) concept has been added for local data processing and traffic control. MEC also communicates with higher level cloud layer when necessary, which reduces the load of higher layer cloud, as well as improving system efficiency by doing ICV control and data processing locally. Lots of cloud systems are still vertical cloud system, which means on each layer, the server is scaled up with memory and storage. Such concept is limited in computational ability due to the limitation of existing CPU capability. Therefore, the coverage of each cloud system is small. The development of horizontal cloud, which scales up number of servers for local computing in different areas, can greatly share the workload of central cloud and extend the coverage of a central cloud system. However, the construction of horizontal cloud requires building complete and small cloud in each divided area, which will further increase cost for area based intelligent traffic infrastructure construction. The determination about the way to develop cloud system based on traffic environment requirement becomes a difficulty in cloud implementation.

In vehicle-road-cloud wireless communication, moving vehicles need to establish a dynamic network connection quickly and reliably with communication infrastructures (such as a cellular base station), and establish a reliable wireless communication link with
an edge cloud platform during fast driving. Currently, the traditional CAN bus on the vehicle side is gradually upgraded to vehicle Ethernet. Roadside facilities are gradually adopting high-bandwidth connections, such as Gigabit Ethernet with PoE capability. Therefore, the bandwidth is no longer a major limitation. However, due to the unstable wireless link connection from the car-side network (which is based on C-V2X or DSRC), a high-reliability and low-latency communication channel between moving vehicle and the edge cloud cannot be formed, which prevents the edge cloud platform from supporting some safety applications with extremely low latency requirement. It is necessary to set low-latency communication links for vehicle Ethernet, roadside facility and edge cloud networks to achieve reliable dynamic network. However, finding a way to design ultra reliable and low latency vehicle to cloud (V2C) and vehicle to roadside infrastructure (V2I) communication transmission mechanisms is the core problem that needs to be solved.

Funded by the Innovate UK, the i-Motor project has developed a cloud-based data platform that helps autonomous and human-driven vehicles connect and communicate with each other and their surroundings to reduce collisions and traffic congestion. This 2-year project has produced a mobile platform for data transfer and storage by vehicles from different manufacturers. The Vehicle Cloud Computing (VCC) system can securely handle ‘big data’ with near real-time results, which will be essential if lots of vehicles are ‘talking’ to each other and sharing information with traffic control centers and smart city infrastructure. The VCC can accept data from a variety of external sources and capture information from multiple vehicles to provide driver and car with timely and accurate updates on road works, congestion, weather conditions and other issues that might affect travel. The platform also allows vehicles to automatically report and self-diagnose problems to reduce the chance of a roadside breakdown or detect hazardous conditions and warn other nearby vehicles [28].

In order to improve the safety of winter driving on icy road, the Swedish Transport Administration and the Norwegian Public Roads Administration together launched a major Scandinavian Cooperative ITS (C-ITS) project for sharing road condition information via a cloud-based system. The project enables ICV to share information about conditions relating to road friction, such as rain, snow, and icy patches, through a cloud-based network. Not limited to the communication between the ICVs, the road condition alert system also sends information about icy patches to road administrators, as a complement to existing road-weather measurement stations along the road. The data will help road administrators and their contractors to better plan and execute winter road maintenance and quickly address changes in road conditions [29].

The Germany’s Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy initiated a project named the Kooperatives hochautomatisiertes Fahren (Ko-HAF), to increase the safety and efficiency on the road by means of cooperative and highly automated driving at speeds up to 130 km/h in 2015. This project aims to develop new systems and functions allowing for highly automated driving at higher speeds and in more complex situations. The edge cloud computing technology is adopted for environment recording and representation, vehicle localization, and providing cooperative driving guidance [30]. The Ko-HAF project supported by BMWi [22] uses information communication between vehicle and safety server (cloud) to realize autonomous driving. The safety server contains high definition map (HD Map) and obstacles on the road. The vehicle will send both static (lane, signs) and dynamic (vehicles, pedestrians) data to server. Both the server and the vehicle data form together as a cooperative environment perception data source to optimize the HD map on the server by learning algorithms. The vehicle side will download optimized map and incorporate with data from vehicle sensors to build a scenario model. Thus, the data fusion between vehicle and server can help vehicle identifying dangerous situation and initiate solution in advance, which improves the reliability of autonomous driving.

As more and more ICV projects related to cloud computing are arisen, their application field widened from intelligent driving and V2X to ITS and smart city as shown in Table 1. And ICV cloud control concept and the design methodology of its complicated system architecture is becoming the interest of the academic and industry research.

### 2.2 ICV Cloud Control Concepts

At the beginning of the century, the concept of vehicular cloud starts from the idea of mobile Ad-hoc Networks (MANET) to roadway and street communication. The original motivation for the interest in MANET was induced by the concerns such as traffic delays and congestion, to inform drivers of actual or imminent road conditions, and hazardous driving conditions, etc. Therefore, most MANET applications focus on traffic status report, collision avoidance, emergency alerts, cooperative driving and other similar concerns [31]. The Crash Avoidance Metrics Partnership (CAMP) and the Vehicle Safety Communications Consortium (VSCC) have introduced an inter-vehicle communication mobile Ad-hoc network [32]. The application target is to simulate extended brake lights (EBL) scenario in its local inter-vehicle cloud. It will
establish vehicle route only on demand based on Ad-hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV) routing algorithm. However, a larger and more complex vehicular configuration should be added to more closely mimic that of actual traffic.

With the deployment of energy-sufficient vehicles with permanent Internet presence, featuring substantial on-board computational, storage and sensing capabilities, in 2017, the concept of autonomous vehicular cloud (AVC) is formed to be a group of highly autonomous vehicles that corporate computing, sensing, communication and physical resources can be coordinated and dynamically allocated to authorized users. Based on cloud and vehicle side data fusion and cooperative planning, AVC can be used for different traffic management scenarios, including synchronizing traffic lights after clearing an accident, autonomous mitigation of recurring congestion, sharing on-road safety messages and the asset management control etc., mainly focusing on building a safe and efficient autonomous driving environment [31].

From previous concepts on cloud control, MANET targets to traffic status communication vehicles, and AVC targets to the sharing of dynamic high definition map between vehicles. Both of them lack of considering connected vehicles and integrating the vehicles, roads and cloud in a whole as an infrastructure. While in 2020, the concept of ICV Cloud control system (CCS) based on the integrated technology of vehicle-road-cloud and dynamic resource scheduling technology was firstly introduced systematically [13, 34]. It points out that CCS has five features: ubiquitous interconnection of vehicles, roads and clouds, digital mapping of all traffic elements, global performance optimization, efficient computing and scheduling, and high reliability of system operation. ICV CCS has been classified as the potential solution for supporting fully automated driving.

### Table 1 Comparison of different cloud related ICV projects

| Project name                                      | Area      | Application                  | Literatures |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Strategy for Innovation and Development of Intelligent Vehicles | China     | Intelligent driving, V2X, ITS, Smart city | [21]        |
| Cloud-Assisted Real-time Methods for Autonomy (CARMA) | USA       | V2X, ITS                     | [25, 26]    |
| i-Motors                                          | UK        | V2X, ITS                     | [28]        |
| Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems (C-ITS) Project | EU        | V2X, ITS                     | [29]        |
| Kooperatives Hochautomatisiertes Fahren (Ko-HAF)   | Germany   | V2X, ITS                     | [30, 33]    |

### 3 Architecture Design of ICV Cloud Control System

The rapid development of computing, communication and control technologies has led to tremendous changes in people social life. With the in-depth integration of informatization and industrialization, traditional single-point technology can’t adapt to the new generation production equipment communication demand. In such a situation, CPS emerged as the current frontier research direction in the automation field with preliminary progress. Figure 1 shows a general cyber physical system architecture.

CPS supports the in-depth integration of informatization and industrialization. Through the integration of advanced sensing, computing, communication, control and other information and automatic control technologies, it builds the mutual mapping of human-machine-environment communication network in the physical and information spaces. CPS is a complex system with timely interaction and efficient coordination to realize on-demand response, rapid iteration, and dynamic optimization of resource allocation as well as operations in it. The...
implementation of CPS is hierarchical and can be divided into three levels, that is, unit level, system level, and system of system level [35]. It consists of four core technology modules: perception and automatic control module, industrial software module, industrial network module and industrial cloud module. Currently, the research on CPS in the transportation field is mostly in the exploratory phase. In 2008, NSF in United States and other academic organizations organized a seminar on CPS in the transportation system, which spurred widespread attention on CPS in the transportation field. Figure 2 shows an industrial IoT solution layered with perception level, network level, and application level in cloud to illustrate a typical CPS application in the industry [36].

In terms of equipment computing capabilities, Ref. [37] points out that with the increase number of miniaturized equipment and improving computing capabilities, it is possible to achieve urban-scale traffic detection from the perspective of computing equipment. Ref. [38] shows that in all intelligent transportation systems (ITS), improving vehicle performance and reducing fuel consumption require more attention. The CPS technology becomes a possible solution. Ref. [39] points out that CPS plays an important role in supervision and safety control functions of the ITS system, which will help the actual control decision-making in the transportation system.

In terms of the structure and composition of the ITS systems, Ref. [40] believes that the CPS architecture for the transportation system should include interaction process control among software, communication network and physical devices. Ref. [41] proposes that CPS includes two important components, namely physical processes and network systems. The network system is composed of some micro-devices with perception, calculation, and communication capabilities. The typical physical process is monitored and controlled by the network system. Ref. [42] points out that as a typical application of CPS, ITS system includes physical and network components. The function of physical components is to provide physical interoperability between different transportation modes, including some physical equipment such as data collection devices. The network component aspect refers to the integration of traffic information based on network communication.

To improve the scalability of ITS application scenarios, Ref. [43] believes that CPS provides the possibility for the improvement of the new generation transportation systems. However, it also brings some challenges, including the unclear system division, terminology system shortage and the lack of system reliability design and safety analysis methods. Ref. [44] shows that the modern transportation system is a typical CPS. The technical bottlenecks faced by transportation system development include reliability, reusability, and cost problems. Ref. [45] demonstrates that the current road traffic control system is not an Internet-based system, which requires a more open control method. Ref. [46] believes that current stage is the early stage of CPS development. It must have characteristics of high credibility, time predictability and robustness which requires high system scalability and refined design process. Ref. [47] elaborates on the challenges faced by the automotive control software research in CPS. It believes that accurate vehicle micro-control can reduce the energy consumption. Software control is the major way to realize vehicle micro-control. Ref. [48] proposes a CPS middleware framework for the traffic state monitoring system, including sensor monitoring nodes that can move in the area and a computing framework that provides adaptive load balancing. The framework tries to achieve system reliability, accuracy, and effective automatic control.

In China, China Industry Innovation Alliance for the Intelligent and Connected Vehicles (CAICV) proposed a CPS reference architecture for ICV [49], advised to use model based system engineering technologies and tools [50]. The latest ICV CCS architecture is also proposed by Chinese academic and ICV industry with broad consensus [34]. They clarified the ICV cloud control system’s main constituents like connected vehicles and other traffic participants, road side infrastructures, basic cloud control platforms, related 3rd party supporting platforms, V2X network communication links and cloud application platforms etc. The structure can be concluded into Figure 3 [13].

This architecture is recognized as the most promising solution for ICV cloud control system implementation due to the strength of Chinese government overall plan on vehicle provider, road infrastructure and cloud resource coordination. Traditional V2X mainly communicates with the vehicle and the road side infrastructure,
and its decision-making task is mainly based on reactive mode, while the CCS integrates it into a centralized-distributed layered system with the ability to handle wide-range decision-making tasks in deliberate mode.

In this section, the concept of CPS has been introduced. The CPS is a solution to satisfy new generation manufacturing demands according with in-depth integration of informatization and industrialization. Then, the importance to use CPS design methodology in ITS system is identified followed by some information about the structure of the CPS architecture in the ITS system. The section analyzes the requirement of specific CPS design for the ITS system that is not only a network-based system by providing some preliminary works. It is foreseeable that the CPS design methodology will play a critical role in ICV CCS design processes.

4 Technologies of ICV Cloud Control System

According to ICV CCS white paper published by CAICV [34], as a complicated CPS, ICV CCS requires kinds of technologies to be developed simultaneously. These technologies can be divided into two parts. One is ICV CCS core technologies, which are common and critical, including edge cloud and dynamic resource scheduling, fusion perception and localization, and vehicle control. The other is ICV CCS supportive technologies, including V2X communication, high definition map and scenarios library.

4.1 Core Technologies

4.1.1 Edge Cloud & Dynamic Resource Scheduling

(1) Edge Cloud

Edge cloud for ICV is one of the emerging technologies in communication network [51] and one of common core technologies in ICV CCS. ICV CCS edge cloud is usually built on MEC(Multi-access Edge Computing) server. As C-V2X (cellular network-based vehicle to everything) networking technology is becoming one of key technologies in many regions, it strongly supports the edge cloud architecture of ICVs based on MEC. The concept of MEC first appeared in 2013 and was originally called Mobile Edge Computing. It migrated the cloud computing platform from within the mobile core network to mobile access network. After 2016, Mobile Edge Computing service has been further extended from mobile cellular networks to other access networks with the support of more scenarios, such as V2X communications. The concept of edge cloud and C-V2X integration is to deploy C-V2X services on the MEC-based edge cloud platform with the help of Uu or PC5 interfaces to support “pedestrian-vehicle-road-cloud” collaborative interaction. It can reduce end-to-end data transmission delays and network load caused by massive data return. Simultaneously, it also relieves the computing and storage pressure of terminals or roadside intelligent facilities, and provides high-quality services for ICVs with local characteristics.

The standardization work on MEC is mainly focused on ETSI and 3GPP. At the same time, 5G Automotive Association (5GAA) also conducted in-depth discussions on C-V2X-oriented MEC. Among them, ETSI’s MEC group focuses on MEC platform, MEC platform-based network construction, and MEC platform-based business application operation deployment. While the MEC solution proposed by 5GAA is based on the one from ETSI, 3GPP mainly studies the network capabilities that 5G network architecture needs to support MEC and integrates MEC and C-V2X as a recommended solution.

(2) Dynamic Resource Scheduling

For dynamic resource scheduling, the main process is to manage resource, which is very important in MEC systems. The joint management of radio and computing resources plays a key role in achieving energy-saving and low-latency MEC. The network architecture in which the MEC server and wireless AP (Access Point) are co-located, helps to realize related technologies. A comprehensive overview of the MEC system resource management literature is carried out. Our discussion started with a simple single-user system consisting of a mobile device and...
For single-user MEC systems, binary offloading, partial offloading and stochastic models are common task models used. Ref. [52] designs the optimal threshold of resource allocation based on the optimal offload function of Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) and Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing Access (OFDMA) systems. Ref. [53] proposes a new wireless-powered MEC framework that can simultaneously optimize local computing and offloading. Ref. [54] proposes a general standard for the offloading decision of energy consumption, computing minimization, and delay reduction. Ref. [55] provides a joint scheduling and computational offloading algorithm for parallel processing through appropriate parallel processing components on the mobile terminal and the cloud. Ref. [56] is based on the Lyapunov optimization algorithm to determine the offloading strategy, the CPU clock speed during task execution and the selected network interface. For multi-user MEC systems, joint radio-and-computational resource allocation methods, MEC server scheduling and cooperative computing models are common topics that researchers are interested in. Ref. [57] uses an iterative algorithm to solve the problem of C-RAN’s non-convex resource allocation. In order to realize ubiquitous edge computing, heterogeneous MEC system was proposed in Ref. [58], including a central cloud and multiple edge servers. Coordination and multi-layer central/edge cloud interaction introduces many new research challenges and have recently attracted a wide range of further research. Ref. [59] proposes a distributed resource allocation algorithm based on Nash equilibrium. Ref. [60] constructs a congestion game and proposes a distributed algorithm considering Nash equilibrium. Ref. [61] carries out the research on the distributed algorithm and active caching algorithm of cooperative tasks in MEC.

In the MEC and C-V2X converged system, the MEC platform can orchestrate IT basic resources for Internet of Vehicle (IoV) edge applications on demand, and configure computing and storage capabilities. In the mean time, it can provide a virtualized application hosting environment that can manage the life cycle of edge cloud and monitor applications as well. Besides, it can also distribute general network and IoV business information and other information for applications. According to the C-V2X communication mode used by the terminal to access the MEC platform, the MEC and C-V2X converged system can be divided into two types, that is, Uu MEC and PC5 MEC. When the MEC platform is deployed in the operator’s network, the terminal accesses through the Uu interface. In this case of the MEC platform, it is defined as a Uu MEC. When the MEC platform is relatively independent of the operator’s network, with the terminal accessing the RSU (road side unit) through the PC5 interface followed by MEC platform accessing, it is defined as a PC5 MEC.

### 4.1.2 Fusion Perception & Spatial and Temporal Localization

Fusion perception and localization is another common core technology in ICV CCS.

1. **Fusion Perception**

   For long time, visual perception is the main approach in the ICV domain [62, 63]. Especially, in recent decades, with the development of deep learning [64], visual perception has promoted the wide application of autonomous driving technology [65]. Visual perception includes the detection of traffic participants, lanes, obstacles, traffic signs, traffic signals, and derivable areas within the camera scope [66–68]. In ICV CCS, the visual perception can be divided into two categories based on whether it is vehicle side or road side cameras. As there is a great difference between the camera scopes of ICV and road side infrastructure, visual detectors are influenced by both the camera scope and the related data set. Currently, the open-source data set like KITTI [69] and ApolloScape [70] are mainly from the vehicle side. Data sets based on sensors of road side infrastructure are attracting more attention. For instance, VERI-Wild [71] is a typical Chinese transportation data set for ReID published by Pengcheng National Laboratory in China. However, big data sets are still required to depict different transportation environments in different countries to improve detectors accuracy. Besides, the method to obtain abnormal vehicle data set is still an ongoing problem without any solution. Thus, the data acquisition problem blocks the way of fast and accurate perception in real scale applications.
Starting from 2012 when AlexNet Neural Network had been introduced [72], several detectors have been developed, including two-stage detectors like R-CNN (region-based convolution neural network), R-FCN (region-based fully convolution network), Fast RCNN, Faster RCNN and Cascade RCNN, and one-stage detectors like YOLO (you look only once), SSD (single shot multibox detector), and RetinaNet, etc. In Ref. [73] YOLOv4416 can process 100 images per second, which is faster than previous deep networks, but it requires the image quality downgrade that decreases detection accuracy especially from road side camera. From the existing literature, current visual detection algorithms can identify most kinds of objects appeared on ordinary traffic road, but are still greatly affected by the external environment, especially abnormal weather that will cause system malfunction and error. Besides, small object detection is an important reference for environment prediction. Current perception accuracy on small object is not high enough, as shown in Table 2. The AP50 value of the algorithm on the MSCOCO [74] data set is around 2 to 5 times [75, 76] compared to the AP5 value, which requires improvement.

In Ref. [77], Sivaraman and Trivedi reviewed the representative works in vision-based vehicle detection and tracking in detail, appending with the comparison of on-road behavior analysis methods.

Although visual perception technology has achieved gratifying results, it still has safety issues in adverse conditions, such as low light, haze, and fog, etc. The fusion perception technology is applied to improve object detection accuracy in such circumstances. Indeed, nowadays, a road side infrastructure tends to include multi-heterogeneous sensors, such as camera, millimeter-wave radar (MWR), Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), and global navigation satellite system (GNSS) within a single system [78]. With the multi-sensor fusion perception methods [79], range and vision sensors can be combined to recognize traffic environment of both static obstacles and dynamic objects on the road, while GNSS can be used to estimate the vehicle position. Among range sensors, LiDAR mainly uses time of flight (TOF) to complete the velocity and range measurement. It has many advantages, such as accurately obtaining target's 3D information, high resolution, strong anti-jamming ability, wide detection range and near all-weather operation. For intelligent vehicles, LiDAR is a necessary sensor [80–82]. Recently, it is also applied on roadside to detect not only static information such as road lanes [83], but also dynamic environment, including pedestrians, cars and buses [84–86] to better serve connected vehicles. MWR is another kind of range sensor, which is commonly used on both vehicle and road sides. Depending on the frequency band, 77 GHz radar is widely equipped on the vehicle side [87]. While on roadside, not all kinds of MWR can be allowed to be deployed. Pre-crash safety warning is a well-known application for vehicle side radar application [88]. Table 3 shows different

### Table 2 Detector comparison on COCO'07 datasets

| Algorithm       | AP50 | AP5 | APL | FPS |
|-----------------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| YOLOv4608       | 65.7 | 26.7| 53.3| 65  |
| YOLOv4416       | 62.8 | 20.4| 56.0| 96  |
| YOLOv3608       | 57.9 | 18.3| 41.9| 50  |
| Faster-RCNN     | 42.7 |  -  |  -  | -   |
| Mask-RCNN       | 62.3 | 22.1| 51.2| -   |
| SSD513          | 50.4 | 10.2| 49.8| -   |
| RetinaNet800    | 59.1 | 22.8| 50.2| -   |
| R-FCN           | 51.9 | 10.8| 45  | -   |

### Table 3 Comparison of different sensors

| Category | Sub-category | Adaptability | Scope (m) | Accuracy | Recognition ability | Range measurement | Velocity measurement | Vehicle/road side |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Visual   | Monocular [93–95] | *            | 80–120    | *        | *                   | *                 | y/y                  |                   |
| Visual   | Stereo [95, 96]   | *            | ≤50       | ***      | ***                 | *                 | y/y                  |                   |
| Visual   | Panoramic [97, 98] | *            | 200–250   | *        | N/A                 | N/A               | N/A                  | y/y               |
| Visual   | Infrared [99, 100]| ***          | 150–300   | ***      | ***                 | N/A               | N/A                  | y/y               |
| Radar    | MW Radar [85, 101, 102]| ***          | 5–70 (24GHz) 150–200 (77GHz) | *       | ***                 | *                 | *                   | y/y               |
| LiDAR    | 4-line [103, 104] | ***          | 0.3–200   | *        | ***                 | *                 | y/y                  |                   |
| LiDAR    | 16-line [105, 106]| ***          | 0.3–200   | ***      | ***                 | *                 | y/y                  |                   |
| LiDAR    | 32-line [106, 107]| ***          | 0.3–200   | ***      | ***                 | Height            | y/n                  |                   |
| LiDAR    | 64-line [106, 108]| ***          | 0.3–200   | ***      | ***                 | Height            | y/n                  |                   |

Note: *** for weak/low, *** for strong/high, ‘y/n’ for yes/no and ‘N/A’ for not applicable.
sensors’ characteristics in terms of environment adaptability, sensing scope, detection accuracy, object recognition ability, range and velocity measurement, as well as whether vehicle or road side product is ready to be used.

In Ref. [89], researchers summarized the roadside sensors related works in detail, and pointed out that the monocular vision sensor is still the main category setup on the roadside. In Refs. [90, 91], the fusion perception methods of LiDAR and camera data are proposed to increase single sensor accuracy. In Ref. [92], fusion perception of radar and camera of vehicle side is proposed. Existing fusion perception methods can significantly improve the accuracy of the object detection and enhance the object tracking ability on the road. Most of them can only focus on target level but seldom on feature or raw data levels. This is not only due to performance consideration, but also due to limited point cloud data acquisition of range sensors. In the ICV CCS, the fusion perception on both vehicle and road needs to have good adaptability, robustness and highly usability, in order to acquire real-time, high-precision, and high-reliable basic dynamic data to meet needs of ICV autonomous driving and transportation digital twin.

(2) Spatial and Temporal Localization
A more accurate fusion perception, especially in object re-identification, can be achieved from a high quality spatial and temporal localization [109, 110], which usually can be achieved by GNSS device.

In ICV CCS, the locations of traffic participants, road side facilities, and traffic events all require reliable accuracy assurance, low latency transmission, high availability in complex scenes, security redundancy, as well as robustness. In Ref. [111], researcher emphasized the importance of spatial temporal characteristics to moving objects. With the establishment of intelligent fusion perception based on semantic characteristics combined with high-resolution maps and high-precision positioning technology, it can ensure reliability, accuracy and availability of spatial and temporal localization in various application services.

4.1.3 Vehicle Control
Finally, vehicle control is the most important common core technology in ICV CCS. The development of vehicle control system starts from the electrification of automobile (the development and application of ECU and mechatronic actuators). One major field of vehicle control is dynamic control, which plays crucial role in ICV as well. Starting from the application of control unit in conventional vehicles that focused on individual vehicle, the target is to keep vehicle motion stable for safety purposes and improve ride comfort of passengers. Several control units have been developed. Vehicle motion control can be divided into longitudinal, lateral, and ride control.

(1) Vehicle control: from individual to cooperative

- Longitudinal Control

Currently, the cruise control (CC) plays an important role in longitudinal control to reduce driver workload. The first modern cruise control hardware patent was published in 1956 [112]. At the beginning, CC only has the capability to maintain a constant speed in an open road with gas pedal control. The control method is simply a PID feedback controller that uses the gas pedal as an actuator to minimize vehicle speed error. The sensor is just the odometer. However, the applied vehicle longitudinal model was linearized equation with the ignorance of vehicle engine system dynamics and the assumption of constant time constant and gain. In real situation, vehicle forward dynamics are nonlinear, and the corresponding linear dynamics will vary depending on the operating conditions. These issues had been addressed by various studies in the literature (e.g. in Refs. [113–115]), which introduced the development of adaptive cruise control for better robustness. An adaptive cruise control (ACC) is an automobile system which purpose is to control the velocity of the vehicle with regards to the surrounding environment (other vehicles) [116]. With the development of various sensors (radar, LiDAR, etc.) equipped on vehicle, ACC extends the function of CC by adjusting vehicle speed via environment (road conditions, weather conditions, other vehicles appearance, etc.). Car following model was developed based on a following vehicle with a leading vehicle [117]. The basic scenario of an ACC system is a car following scenario, therefore, the control of ACC focused on keeping a fixed distance in between following and leading vehicles. With the purpose to adjust the distance, car avoidance system was also incorporated with ACC by controlling vehicle braking system to allow vehicle accelerating and braking. To obtain more optimal control commands, several modern optimal control strategies have been applied, including linear quadratic regulator (LQR) [118], model predictive control (MPC) [119], or even hybrid MPC (HMPC) [120]. With the application of MPC method, prediction becomes more important since the method is based on a prediction window with the capability to adjust system
model and handle system physical constraints. For individual vehicle, prediction depends on vehicle equipped sensors, including some supersonic radar, LiDAR, or even camera. The scan capability of such on-board equipment can be limited (from several meters to one hundred meters). A vehicle cannot have the ability to predict the overall traffic condition along a road network, which limits the performance of the controller.

- Lateral control
  The lateral control unit includes electronic stability control (ESC), four wheel steering control (4WS), differential braking, etc. The purpose of lateral controller is to maintain vehicle yaw and roll stability during steering maneuvers. Currently, in most literature, with the possibility to apply linear control strategies, vehicle model is simplified into bicycle model when roll motion is not considered. With the roll motion considered, vehicle weight transfer must be considered. Thus, bicycle model cannot be appropriate anymore, which let researchers introduce more complicated model that includes roll motion as well as nonlinearity of vehicle system. The ESC, 4WS, and differential braking systems use front wheel steering input, rear wheel steering input, as well as braking torque distribution on each wheel to adjust vehicle yaw motion to keep vehicle side slip angle within controllable limit. The lateral control problem can be modeled as a path-tracking problem with the curvature of the road as system disturbance input. The goal is to control a vehicle to use lateral control actuator to follow a path without causing stability problem. Based on the tracking characteristics, lots of feedback control strategies have been developed. For example, Zhao et al. applied differential brake torque with yaw stability control for lateral control with the application of fuzzy logic controller in an intelligent vehicle highway system for lane keeping task [121]. Some other nonlinear control strategies, including chained systems theory [122], nonlinear Model Predictive Control (MPC) [123] are also applied on vehicle path-tracking control. With linear model, the Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) and MPC methods are also widely applied based on their real-time implementable capability. One famous application is the Apollo LQR algorithm in path-tracking for intelligent vehicle [124]. From current development of strategies, path-tracking is a core problem in autonomous driving vehicle control, several different methods borrowed from existing vehicle lateral control strategies have been re-developed; some new developed methods based on neural network or deep learning also expands the research area of classic vehicle control. Since in most cases, a path-tracking behavior includes a combination of longitudinal control and lateral control, algorithms are developed to control vehicle acceleration/deceleration as well as steering to realize path-following function. From Ref. [125], a detailed summarization of algorithm had been provided with thorough investigation. In Table 4, a simplified table according to Ref. [125], which is about the comparison among different path-tracking control methods developed in the last decade, has been specified. From the table above, it can be concluded that simple methods will have high real-time implementable capability with low performance under undesired disturbances, while robust or stable methods will require large computational cost which blocks the way for real-time implementation. Currently, for lateral control in autonomous driving, simple methods such as Stanley, PID and LQR becomes the practical strategies for real-vehicle implementation. However, the challenges to deal with unexpected external disturbances and computational load requirements of more robust methods still require deep research in both software and hardware perspectives.

- Ride Control
  The ride control always focuses on vehicle suspension control to mitigate undesired vibration induced by road surface or sudden jerk caused by heavy acceleration or brake. There are two major targets for ride control: ride comfort and tire dynamic load reduction. The former target is to reduce vibration that makes passengers feel uncomfortable; the latter target is to improve vehicle handling performance for stable motion. Since the vehicle ride model is always modeled as a mass-damper-spring system. Several frequency domain damping control methods had been investigated. Such control methods are called as semi-active control, since damping system can only extract energy from the vibration motion. From the simplest Skyhook control with only two damping tuning stages during the compression and extension of the suspension system [156], to three stages damping tuning control by using power-driven-damper [157], to flexibly tuned damping control strategy [158], the tuning stages of suspension greatly increased to smoothly adjust system damping based on road profile input. Besides semi-active control, active control methods that assumes suspension can have the capability to pro-
duce active force have also been investigated. The most commonly applied method can be LQR and MPC methods that adjust active suspension force to reduce the root mean square value of vehicle body vertical acceleration under random excitation or even during hard braking scenario for both ride comfort and pavement protection [159].

- The necessity to build vehicle and environment network for intelligent vehicle
  The individual control development for lateral, longitudinal, and ride motions cannot have good performance in real environment, since for most of the cases, motion of a vehicle is a combination of dynamic in all degree of freedoms (DOFs). For example, the steering maneuver also includes longitudinal control, as well as ride control, due to motion tracking on the longitudinal direction and the weight counteracting control through suspension force control caused by vehicle weight transfer during steering maneuver. Therefore, mixed dynamic control becomes a new direction to mitigate multi-DOF motion of vehicle dynamic model for better dynamic behavior. In 1999, Firtz et al. had mixed 2 layer longitudinal controller with a lateral controller in truck following scenario [160]. Peng et al. designed a frequency shaped linear quadratic (FSLQ) optimal control strategies (preview controller) to permit incorporating frequency domain design specifications. Such control strategy utilizes the good tracking performance of preview control as well as frequency domain tuning for better ride comfort to mix both lateral and ride motion control [161].

Table 4 Summary of path tracking control methods [125]

| Control methods         | Optimization objectives | Advantages                          | Disadvantages                                                                 | References |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Pure Pursuit & Stanley  | Position deviation & course deviation | Simple layout, suitable for vehicle position control | Difficult to apply to high speed and large road curvature conditions | [126–128] |
| PID                     | Position deviation & course deviation | Simple, easy to apply             | Poor versatility, difficult in tuning control parameters                      | [129–132] |
| Model-Free Control      | Preview course deviation | Simple controller structure        | Stability analysis is more difficult                                           | [133–135] |
| LQR                     | System states & control input | Easy to achieve closed-loop optimal control objective | Controller design based on linear model (poor robustness)                    | [136–138] |
| Feedforward and Feedback| Feedback error, feedback information | Able to deal with external disturbances, modeling errors, and sensor noise | Require more expensive sensors                                                | [139, 140] |
| MPC                     | System states & control input | Able to handle system constraints and future prediction in design process | Difficult to analyze system stability, has high computational cost             | [141–143] |
| H∞ Control              | System H∞ performance index | Easy to establish H∞ constraints, strong robustness | Has complex solution process and theoretical derivation, can only handle bounded disturbances | [144–146] |
| Sliding Mode Control    | Position deviation & course deviation | Fast response and insensitivity to parameter changes and disturbances | Chattering effect that requires adaptive mechanism                            | [147–149] |
| Robust MPC              | System states & control input | Able to handle system constraints and has strong robustness | Difficult to analyze system stability and has high computational cost         | [150–152] |
| Neural Network-based Observation | N/a | Optimal approximation, rapid training, fast convergence | Require large amount of vehicle state information for training             | [153–155] |

Note: Table 4 is a summarized table from Ref. [125] in the last decade. Please refer to Ref. [125] for the full list of path-tracking algorithm review.
even cannot effectively implement control command and cause severe accident, just like the car accident with truck caused by wrong perception of Tesla vehicles. Besides integrated single vehicle dynamic control, interactions among multiple vehicles become a new challenge in autonomous vehicle application, which introduces a new field called cooperative control. On the control method aspect, it controls the maneuvers of multiple vehicles within a traffic scene or network. The major consideration is the interaction optimization to smooth traffic flow in a macroscopic view. The cooperative model can be modeled as an optimization problem. As pointed out in [162] summarized by [163], a general traffic control problem will contain state variables (queue length, travel time, vehicle speed, position, etc.) and environment inputs (arrival vehicles, arrival platoon, signal timing, phases, etc.). The objective is to optimize a certain performance index (mobility, fuel emissions, safety, etc.) over a finite time horizon. Performance index may also be combined. Performance index is usually mobility-based or sustainability-based objectives or the combination of the two. The decision variables (signal timing, phases, vehicle acceleration, turn, etc.) are a sequence of control inputs. Constraints include initial conditions (queue length, flow speed, etc.), traffic flow dynamics, and vehicle dynamics. Vehicle dynamic models are simplified as lower order equations related to speed and acceleration. The traffic model will be modeled with certain pattern (car following, queuing model). The optimization problem can be solved by multiple optimization methods, including dynamic programming, learning-based algorithms, nonlinear programming [164], etc. Such control problems have been investigated with some preliminary works based on different traffic scenarios from the research at Tsinghua University as well. In Refs. [165, 166], Zhen et al. and Wang et al. did an theoretical analysis to investigate the controllability, stability, and reachability of a mixed traffic environment (human-driving and autonomous-driving vehicles) by applying cooperative optimal control on autonomous vehicles. They validated autonomous vehicle’s potential in smoothing traffic flow in an mixed environment. Xu et al. [167] introduced a systematic approach to the cooperation of connected vehicles at unsignalized intersections without global coordination by developing a distributed observation and control algorithm. The result shows cooperative passing of vehicles without global coordination at the cost of a growth of 8.8%–18.1% average travel times in low and medium traffic volumes. In Ref. [168], a dynamical interlaced layered formation generation method is introduced to provide safe distance among vehicles and efficiency for coordinated lane changing and formation switching simultaneously in real time. The method could increase the traffic efficiency by utilizing maximum road capacity while decreasing travel time for all vehicles in multiple traffic scenarios.

On the implementation aspect, cooperative control task includes perception, decision making and control. Perception uses different information detected by vehicle and road to obtain instant driving related dynamic traffic data, which provides perception data for decision making process. The road side infrastructure uses fixed sensors to form multi-sensor network for sensor fusion, which has stable range and results [169]. Multi-vehicle cooperative perception uses information uploaded from different vehicles for sensor fusion [170, 171]. It is suitable for road surface perception, however, with unstable detection range and require vehicle to heavily involve into the traffic. Therefore, cooperative control requires the fusion of vehicle and road side perception. The development of cooperative adaptive cruise control (CACC) is a good example in implementing cooperative control, since it optimizes platoon operation with inter-vehicle cooperation, perform highly efficient merging maneuver, as well as cooperative control between vehicles and traffic signals at intersections. Hence, cooperative control helps to solve the difficulty in directly controlling single vehicle. It controls both traffic signs and vehicles to optimize the traffic flow globally, which can be a more effective way compared to vehicle-only control.

From the control perspective, a system with prediction capability can greatly improve control performance, since controller will have future plant dynamic knowledge to pre-calculate optimal command for better performance. Meanwhile, a vehicle will be driven in an environment with the interaction of other individuals, including pedestrians, vehicles, etc. The environmental interaction acted as external disturbances can also affect control performance, which requires the knowledge of the surroundings. Human-driven vehicle can depend on the visual and hearing ability of driver to perceive environment. However, the development of controller on autonomous vehicle must require higher robustness when external disturbance
appears, since human will not be involved in during autonomous driving mode. Thus, prediction and environment data become much more important on autonomous vehicle application. Lots of control methods, including PID, LQR, MPC, Sliding Mode Control, or even Dynamic Programming can be incorporated or must be incorporated with predicted data. At the meantime, controller development becomes complicated due to the necessity of consideration of external disturbances. From the technological development of vehicle, multiple sensors, including millimeter wave radar, LiDAR, super-sonic radar, and camera have been equipped onto autonomous vehicle to solve data requirement problems. However, the controller performance is still limited and not globally optimized due to the limited range of sensors. Therefore, the next stage is the development of cloud platform that can connect each individual within a transportation network to provide vehicle control with the purpose to globally optimize traffic flow in all directions.

(2) The concept of cloud control platform and core technological difficulties

Based on the rapid development of big data allocation, communication technology and computing capability, internet of things (IOT) becomes realizable. The internet of vehicles will have communications, storage, intelligence, and learning capabilities to anticipate customers' intentions. The concept that will help transition to the internet of vehicles is the vehicular cloud, the equivalent of internet cloud for vehicles, providing all the services required by autonomous vehicles [172].

- High speed and complete data transfer requirement

A cloud is like a top level monitor and commander that is in charge of an area. This area includes agents (vehicle, infrastructure, pedestrian, etc.) interacting with each other dynamically. The first core technology difficulty in vehicular cloud control is the communication capability. Since large number of agents requires cloud terminal to provide path-planning suggestions, the amount of instant dynamic data that need to be transferred along the cloud network will be huge. For a high efficiency vehicular cloud, data stream also needs to be regulated to identify necessary receiver to avoid unnecessary receiver acquiring data. Therefore, despite of control data, there should be another type of data that determines receiver list for each data set released from one agent. The dynamic traffic network operation can be fast, for most of the cases, seconds level time-delay may cause catastrophic accident. Then, the vehicle actuator requires time to control the vehicle based on cloud commands. Hence, the development of high speed data transfer network is necessary. Feedback control methods have been widely used in vehicle dynamic tracking control due to its robustness. However, such methods heavily rely on the competence and accuracy of vehicle dynamic states. Although, observer can be designed to estimate vehicle states when states are not available. The estimation model introduces inaccuracy as well, which will affect control performance. With this reason, cloud network must has the capability to transfer sensor data released from vehicles with high level of integrity for effective controller performance.

- Data regulation between vehicle and cloud

From literature, it is obvious to see that different control method approaches require different vehicle data. Even for different controllers that will result in same control target, the data requirement may be different, since algorithm may have different control inputs and different model detail levels. With cloud control concept, control algorithms will be integrated with cloud computing, which will result in multiple types of data requirements. To achieve high efficiency, redundant or unnecessary data must be identified and prevented from receiving from the cloud side. This requires data summary of algorithms integrated in the cloud. Lots of basic data in path-planning, such as vehicle speed, vehicle acceleration will be needed by almost all control methods, the cloud should have a regulation predefined to classify basic data needed, as well as specific data required to control specific vehicles. This also requires vehicle to upload some specific data to cloud for extra data requirement. Thus, the second core problem that needs to be solved is to build up appropriate data regulation and filtering system in the cloud network.

* Determine control algorithm distribution on vehicle and cloud sides

Vehicle has its own control algorithms integrated in ECU. It has its own sensor for vehicle dynamic detection, and it’s the only way the cloud can obtain the operation data, except for basic motion data (velocity, acceleration, position, etc.) that can be obtained based on environmental perception, from vehicle side. A problem may arise about the
way to distribute control responsibility between cloud and vehicle. It is difficult to determine if cloud needs a detailed vehicle dynamic model for control command that considers vehicle response capability. From the past, according to robot path-planning control, the agent (robot) model incorporated online is a simplified model. Such processing method is also common in traffic control, since detailed model will greatly increase the complexity of cooperative model, which is formulated on a multiple agent level and is required to describe the relationship among agents. Vehicle is treated as a mass point inside a traffic network with simple model that only contains its basic motion data. However, the basic motion data is resulted from a detailed and complicated powertrain operation including engine, transmission, and wheels. If only do path-planning based on simple model, vehicle capability to react towards control command cannot be determined, which will result in useless planning. Therefore, including detailed vehicle dynamic model on the cloud is necessary. However, heavy storage of vehicle model will increase workload of cloud. The balance between these two factors becomes another core problem. Large number of experiments need to be done to test the requirement level of vehicle model detail a cloud network needs based on the control algorithm integrated on the cloud to let cloud do correct commands.

- Cooperative control developed in cloud platform
  Current cooperative control methods using hard constraints to limit traffic flow to represent vehicle motion capability to keep up with traffic flow. Such simplification requires cloud to have instant access to traffic flow data. By using traffic flow historical data, intelligent algorithms, such as deep learning are used to learn the traffic flow pattern, then predicts flow behavior in the future as the reference for the cloud system to determine if the control command can be realized by current participants (vehicles) in the traffic network. This may arise another problem, traffic data can be a way to describe normal condition of a traffic flow. However, if sudden disturbance appears (e.g. an extremely fast or slow vehicle or platoon appears in the network), the learning model needs to acquire new data for training purposes.

Based on current data sharing capability along the cloud network, vehicle speed, acceleration, position and the distance between vehicles are the easiest data that can be obtained. According to vehicle VIN number, vehicle make, brand can be obtained as well. Cloud can include a database that contains vehicle basic data (maximum performance) obtained from vehicle manufacturer. Then, the cooperative control may have one more information about how fast a vehicle can react to a path planning command. This will provide a more detailed model with maximum performance as constraint to let cloud find control commands. In the cloud, it should still consider the traffic control in a macro perspective, since data load can be a core problem. The constraints of the optimization methods should be further improved to consider vehicle specific conditions. Such data can be released from vehicle, which means cloud should have authority to access some of the ECU data to know some basic internal dynamics of a vehicle. Such model can be simplified. However, an investigation about how accurate a model should be done for better control performance, as well as improve the cloud capability to handle sudden conditions. As for vehicle side, based on drive-by-wire system, the on-board CAN bus already contains different sets of operation data. Such data can be released to cloud via wireless communication. Then, cloud will not only use road-side infrastructure as a way to detect vehicle passively. Vehicle will be an active terminal to share data with cloud. In the normal driving modes that do not require extreme driving maneuvers, vehicle equipped controller can receive cloud control command with the time length an action needs to be made. Then, on-board controller will control the vehicle according to the time limitation. Currently, vehicle control strategy does not include response time as one variable that needs to be designed. Time response is always a byproduct identified based on experimental test. To achieve time limitation as a hard constraint, extended control strategy development should be addressed.

This section proposes the history of vehicle control from individual to cooperation. Then, it identifies the necessity of cooperative control in the cloud control system. Some of the works have demonstrated the effectiveness of cooperative control on ICV in enhancing traffic flow efficiency. Integrating cooperative control with cloud still arises some new challenges that require attention.

4.2 Supportive Technologies
4.2.1 V2X Communication
The concept of the IoV is extended from the concept of Internet of Things (IoT) [173]. The IoV involves various technologies, such as wireless network communication, high performance computing, and automotive electronic and electrical architecture technologies [174, 175]. As an interdisciplinary and emerging technology, in the past few decades, it has attracted lots of research and practice in the industry and academia [176–178].
Throughout the world-wide IoV communication research, it is mainly divided into two major types. The first one is the IoV communication technology based on Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC); the second one is the IoV communication technology based on the mobile cellular network [179–181]. Since 2004, the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE) formulated the IEEE 802.11p standard for wireless access for the road environment [182]. Combined with the WAVE architecture in the IEEE 1609 protocol suite, they formed the basic protocol architecture of the IoV communication, which is widely accepted by the industry. This protocol basically achieves the goal of V2X communication. In accordance with the expected demand and industry development, the US Department of Transportation has issued a plan that requires the launch of DSRC-supported vehicles in 2021. The plan announced that all vehicles manufactured in the United States must support the DSRC standard by 2024.

With the rapid development and evolution of cellular communications, the industry has begun to focus on researching C-V2X technology [183, 184]. In 2016, the 3rd Generation Partnerships Project (3rd Generation Partnerships Project, 3GPP) customized a dedicated protocol LTE-V2X for V2X communication in the R14 version [185], which can better support the existing IoV business. While in the beginning, the 802.11p based V2X is deployed on a small scale in EU, US and Japan, C-V2X is obtaining more and more momentum especially in other regions (e.g. China). In table 5, a brief comparison between DSRC and C-V2X according to Ref. [186] has been specified.

V2X is the key to deliver the more predictable driving experience. It will bring significant value to individual vehicle control and can be considered as an additional sensor, which provides 360° non-line-of-sight (NLOS) awareness about both environment conditions and traffic situations. However, for ICV CCS, V2X is more than just a sensor but also a communication pipeline to exchange data between vehicles and cloud control platform. Moreover, in order to achieve a fully coordinated driving experience, more data is required to be exchanged anytime between cloud control platform and densely distributed nodes in the future. The current V2X technologies will face several new challenges in this situation, such as extreme throughput requirement, edgeless connectivity, lower end-to-end latency, and higher reliability with larger failure tolerance [187]. To overcome these challenges, advanced antenna techniques, more flexible ways of connect, multi-connectivity mechanism and new frame structure design need to be investigated.

### 4.2.2 High Definition Map

With the purpose to connect data with real environment to realize system functionality, the cloud control platform requires the support of HD map. Comparing with traditional digital map, the HD Map is a type of digital road map with accuracy higher than centimeter level to fulfill the safety requirement of autonomous vehicles [188, 189].

The HD dynamic map base platform acquires vehicle and roadside dynamic data from cloud control and other platforms to update static map and generate dynamic map. The purpose is to realize real-time HD map requirement of ICV. In the meantime, the static map update and dynamic map generation tasks require service transportation via region and edge clouds in cloud control platform to ICV.

The HD dynamic map base platform can provide HD map base data for cloud control platform for multi-source data fusion to realize ICV beyond visual range perception and vehicle-road cooperation.

The data type of HD map can be classified into two types. One is road basic data, which include lane, lane type, lane width, lane slope, and curvature. Another is the static object data on the roadside environment, such as

| Table 5 | The differences between of DSRC and C-V2X [186] |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Topic** | **DSRC 802.11p** | **C-V2X Rel. 14/15** |
| Origin | WiFi | LTE uplink |
| Roadmap | 802.11: targets interoperability with 802.11p | C-V2X Rel. 16 based on NR(5G). Operates in a different channel than Rel. 14/15 |
| Deployment | Since 2017. Mass market in 2019 | Initial deployment in 2021 |
| Cellular Connectivity | Self managed | Cellular operators can optionally apply theoretical real-time control for higher network utilization |
| Modulation | OFDM | SC-FDM |
| Concurrent Transmissions | No | Yes |
| Line Coding | Convolution code | Turbo code |
| Transmission Scheduling | CSMA | Semi-persistent sensing of least occupied resource |
| Time Synchronization | Loose Asynchronous | Very tight Synchronous requirements |
traffic signs, signals, obstacles, and other detailed information. The road basic and roadside environment data need to be coded to make the navigation system locate the spatial structure of terrain, road surface, objects, and the location of driving signs. Information mentioned above will be processed and shared on the cloud control platform. The cloud control platform will provide vehicle lane level perception, path planning, and real-time/static services to ICV via processed map data combined with vehicle side pose data and road side dynamic perception data.

Cloud control platform can acquire HD map data in opendrive [190], nds and KIVI format. Combined with road network traffic data, it can support real-time navigation service in high definition.

With HD map, ICV CCS can serve better for the connected vehicles in adverse weather conditions like fog, haze or rain, even with the low performance of fusion perception. HD map can also help to improve ICV path-planning from road to lane level based on plenty of global planning algorithms [191] (such as D*, A*), to improve the navigation accuracy.

HD map is the necessary supporting data source inside ICV CCS. It includes the sending and receiving of HD map contents. It is required to keep map data transformation accuracy during data sending and receiving to avoid data loss. In the CCS, traffic participants and time data need to be accurate. Hence, the transformation needs to be simple and accurate to keep long-term data transferring with high frequency, which will reduce data transmission delay. With the support of centimeter level positioning, ICV CCS should accurately locate accidents to inform driver or control autonomous vehicle in safe area and predict future emergencies. CCS should process vehicle and infrastructure position data more reasonably to realize effective monitoring on dynamic data. Then, it sends the combined data with centimeter level positioning data to driver. The HD positioning technology can also ensure the accuracy of global and local programming in the CCS by combing with the V2X communication [192]. The algorithms integrated in the system should be modified to be more adapted to the accurate positioning for more reasonable path planning.

4.2.3 Scenarios

The scenarios library for autonomous driving is a set of virtual scenarios developed based on certain test requirements. Virtual test of autonomous vehicle is extremely necessary to test functionality as well as algorithm effectiveness of vehicle on-board sensors, data transmission, and control strategies. It helps developers to identify system defects under various driving scenarios to avoid similar problems happening in real environment. Scenarios library is the core component for virtual test, since the content of the library can directly affect autonomous vehicle performance under real environment. Therefore, with effectively developed scenarios library that contains as more scenarios as possible, autonomous vehicle can be more adapted to real environment.

The scenarios library will play an important role in ICV CCS, as the traditional development process of vehicle including model development, simulation, driving simulation test, HIL test, vehicle-in-loop test, closed field test, and open road test are not enough for ICV production and a cloud control simulation with scenarios are needed.

The construction of scenarios library includes three major steps. The first step is to confirm data storage format and standards of single scenario. Currently, the standard data format that has been used internationally is OpenScenario [193]. It is primarily used to describe complex, synchronized maneuvers that involve multiple entities like vehicles, pedestrians, and other traffic participants. The standard describes vehicle maneuvers in a storyboard, which is subdivided into stories, acts, and sequences. The data is organized in a hierarchical structure and serialized in an XML file format [194]. The second step is to build single scenario that includes both static and dynamic scenarios. The static scenario construction uses object and environment data from sensors and HD maps to build spatial and visual scenario model. The dynamic scenario construction is to convert traffic sensor and road condition data into path and property data, then generate dynamic scenario. Dynamic scenario also includes vehicle dynamic models generated from ADAMS, Simpack, Modelica, etc. The third step is to build the scenarios library based on single scenario. The first sub-step is to identify the characteristics of static and dynamic scenarios, then choose suitable scenarios based on test requirements. The second sub step is to save the scenarios into the library and build search content based on test requirements [195].

Scenarios library helps to systematically classify core application scenarios in CCS, which enables the development of custom modules to solve specific problems. Tests based on scenarios library help performance improvement of cloud control platform in control algorithm and software, physical, and network architectures. In the meantime, it also promotes the application of cloud control platform, as well as developing potential functions.

This section introduces the history of V2X communication, the elements and structure of HD map and the construction procedure of scenarios library. Then, it identifies the supportive role of these technologies in the
ICV CCS. Some of the works have identified the evolution direction of V2X communication and HD map in order to better support ICV CCS. Moreover, the implementation of ICV CCS also requires the collaborative cooperation of these supportive technologies.

5 Conclusions

The paper systematically reviewed cloud control system for intelligent and connected vehicle in terms of concepts, architectures, and technologies. Based on the literature surveyed and analyzed in this paper, the cloud control system’s concept was formed from plenty of cloud related intelligent and connected vehicle applications, its system architecture can be designed by implementing the cyber physical system design methodology, and its core and supportive technologies are featured with multi-discipline integration, wide-range interaction and frontier commonality.

Regarding to the current development, it can be concluded as follows.

(1) Multi-stage cloud system that includes center and edge clouds, as well as individual vehicles can be the basic structure.

(2) The cooperative control especially cloud control over autonomous vehicle can be effective in smoothing traffic flow.

(3) The design methodology based on cyber physical system is an effective way in cloud control system development.

(4) The core and supportive technologies have already been developed with preliminary works ready for cloud control system construction.

However, some challenges still block wide application of cloud control system. For instance, it is still required to build cloud control system construction and operation plan at the national level. The right to data confirmation that related to intelligent and connected vehicle is still a major problem that needs classification. On the standard side, it is still necessary to find the way to build cloud control system standard system and services methodology. On the propagation side, a method to effectively promote cloud control system application examples and an overall planning for system distribution have not been developed.

According to the challenges mentioned above, the research directions of cloud control system should be concluded here as well.

(1) It is necessary to focus on top layer design development and put the task as government policy at national level.

(2) Developing a base platform to support cloud control system for cooperative task should become the major process of system architecture development.

(3) The cooperative perception, path planning and control among vehicle, road, and cloud should become a new trend in the cloud control system technology development.
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