Reflectivity of three-dimensional GaAs photonic band-gap crystals of finite thickness
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We study the optical reflectivity of real three-dimensional (3D) photonic band-gap crystals with increasing thickness. The crystals consist of GaAs plates with nanorod arrays that are assembled by an advanced stacking method into high-quality 3D woodpile structures. We observe intense and broad reflectivity peaks with stop bands that correspond to a broad gap in the photonic band structures. The maximum reflectivity quickly reaches high values, even for a few crystal layers. Remarkably, the bandwidth of the stop bands hardly decreases with increasing crystal thickness, in good agreement with finite-difference time domain (FDTD) simulations. This behavior differs remarkably from the large changes observed earlier in weakly interacting 3D photonic crystals. The nearly constant bandwidth and high reflectivity are rationalized by multiple Bragg interference that occurs in strongly interacting photonic band-gap crystals, whereby the incident light scatters from multiple reciprocal lattice vectors simultaneously, in particular, from oblique ones that are parallel to a longer crystal dimension and thus experience hardly any finite-size effects. Our insights have favorable consequences for the application of 3D photonic band-gap crystals, notably since even thin structures reveal the full band-gap functionality, including devices that shield quantum bits from vacuum fluctuations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is a worldwide interest in three-dimensional (3D) photonic crystals that radically control both the propagation and the emission of light [1–8]. In photonic crystals the dielectric function varies spatially with a periodicity on length scales comparable to the wavelength of light. Due to the long-range periodic order, the photonic dispersion relations are organized in bands, analogous to electron bands in a semiconductor [9]. When the frequency of light lies in a gap in the dispersion relations for a certain wave vector tending from the origin to the Brillouin zone boundary, light cannot propagate in the corresponding direction as a result of Bragg diffraction [9]. Such a directional gap or stop gap is usually probed with reflection or transmission experiments where a reflectivity peak or transmission trough occurs, also known as a stop band [10–27]. To first order, the width of a stop band is proportional to the ratio of the dominant Fourier component of the dielectric function and the average dielectric constant [28,29]. The width thus serves as a gauge of a generalized photonic interaction strength between the light and the photonic crystal nanostructure [30] that notably depends on the dielectric contrast, the volume fraction of high-dielectric material, and a relevant structure factor [29]. 1 When the photonic interaction strength exceeds a certain threshold, a 3D photonic band gap can emerge, a frequency range for which light modes are forbidden for all wave vectors and all polarizations [1–8]. Since the local density of states also vanishes, the photonic band gap is a powerful tool to radically control spontaneous emission and cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) of embedded quantum emitters [1,4,29,32]. Applications of 3D photonic band-gap crystals range from dielectric reflectors for antennae [33] and for efficient photovoltaic cells [34–36], via white-light-emitting diodes [37,38], to elaborate 3D waveguides [39] for 3D photonic integrated circuits [40–42], and to low-threshold miniature lasers [43] and devices to control quantum noise for quantum measurement, amplification, and information processing [29,44,45].

1 The photonic interaction strength $S$ is formally defined as the polarizabilty $\alpha$ per volume $V$ of each building block (or scatterer): $S = \alpha / V$ [29,31].
Although considerable progress has been made in experimental studies on real 3D photonic crystals, physical understanding of photonic band gaps is mostly based on theories that pertain to infinite and perfect crystals [6,7]. This theoretical situation is obviously different from real photonic crystals and photonic crystal devices that are finite in extent and surrounded by free space, also known as a crystal with finite support [46]. Remarkably, the understanding of photonic crystals with finite support is much less developed. Regarding densities of states, it has been reported for 3D photonic band-gap crystals that the local density of states (LDOS) in the gap at the center of the crystal seems to decrease exponentially with crystal size [47,48]. The scaling of the volume averaged LDOS (the DOS) has been studied by Hasan et al., who found it to decrease linearly with increasing crystal size [49,50,51]. Remarkably, however, such finite-size effects for stop band widths has hardly been discussed in strongly interacting photonic crystals with photonic band gaps. From numerical work, it is inferred that stop bands hardly shift with angle of incidence (or incident wave vector) [52], but the dependence of the widths of stop bands on the size of 3D photonic crystals has, to the best of our knowledge, not been investigated. Therefore, we present here a combined experimental, numerical, and theoretical study of the stop bands of 3D photonic band-gap crystals with different thicknesses.

II. METHODS

A. 3D GaAs woodpile crystals

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic of the structure of the 3D photonic crystals studied here. The crystals have the well-known woodpile structure where four different arrays of nanorods are stacked along the z direction [53], as shown in the right panel of Fig. 1(a). In each array, the rectangular nanorods with width w and thickness t are arranged with (x, y) lattice parameter d (left panel). In the z direction, the nanorods in neighboring layers are perpendicular to each other. Nanorods in second-nearest-neighbor layers are parallel and shifted by half a period d/2.

Compared to the conventional cubic (nonprimitive) unit cell of the diamond structure [9], the (x, y, z) coordinate system of our 3D photonic crystal, shown in Fig. 1, has a face-centered-tetragonal (fct) lattice with x-axis unit vector \( a_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [d \ d \ 0] \), y-axis \( a_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [d \ -d \ 0] \), and z-axis \( a_3 = [0 \ 0 \ a] \). Since the lattice parameter d in the (x, y) directions turns out to be equal to \( d = d_{(hkl)=110} = D \times d_{001} = D \times a/\sqrt{2} \), with \( D \neq 1 \), the crystals have a small tetragonal distortion from cubic symmetry (where \( D = 1 \)) that hardly affects the band gap.

The crystals are made of GaAs and have \( d = 470 \) nm, \( w = 178 \) nm, and \( t = 150 \) nm, hence \( D = 1.11 \), resulting in gaps in the near-infrared and telecom ranges. Figure 1(b) shows the photonic band structure calculated for the woodpile crystal structure using the plane-wave expansion method [7,54]. The number of plane waves used in this calculation is 262 144, namely, \( 32 \times 32 \times 32 \) reciprocal lattice vectors in all three dimensions, times \( 2^5 \) for the two polarizations at each lattice vector. The structure was taken to have the parameters \((w, t, d, a)\) from the design, and the dielectric constant was taken as \( \varepsilon = 11.56 \), typical for GaAs in the telecom range. The 3D photonic band gap shown in Fig. 1(b) ranges from reduced frequency \( d/\lambda = 0.318 \) to 0.376, which corresponds to wave numbers between \( v/c = 6770 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and 8000 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to wavelengths between 1480 nm and 1250 nm, which overlaps with the telecom O-band. The stop band width in the \( \Gamma Z \) direction, that is probed in the experiment, appears between \( d/\lambda = 0.303 \) and 0.428, which corresponds to \( v/c = 6450 \) cm\(^{-1}\) and 9110 cm\(^{-1}\).

We have fabricated the 3D photonic crystal structures using a micromanipulation method [55–57]. The fabricated crystals are shown in Fig. 2. The two-dimensional (2D) arrays of nanorods are fabricated in plates within an area of \( 11.3 \times 11.3 \) \( \mu \)m\(^2\) by dry etching following e-beam lithography.\(^2\) The plates with the rod arrays are stacked one by one in the

![FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the woodpile 3D photonic band-gap crystal structure. Left: View down the z axis. Right: x-z cross section perpendicular to the rod layers at the dashed line in the left image. The (x, y) lattice parameter d, rod width w, thickness t, and z lattice parameter a are shown. The four different colors serve to indicate the four different arrays that are stacked consecutively in the z direction. The dashed lines indicate the position of the cross-sectional planes in the neighboring schematics. (b) Photonic band structures for our 3D woodpile photonic band-gap crystal with frequency reduced by the in-plane lattice parameter \((d/\lambda)\). The wave vector runs between high-symmetry points where the range has been expanded to include in-plane wave vectors. The arrow shows the width of the \( \Gamma Z \) stop gap. The inset shows the first Brillouin zone and the relevant high-symmetry points.](image)
trenches that are also shown in Fig. 2(a) under scanning-electron-microscope (SEM) observation [42]. After stacking a desired number of plates to form a 3D crystal, the whole crystal is removed from a trench and carefully laid next to it, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The substrate below the crystals consists of several layers: first a 225-nm-thick GaAs top layer, next a 3-μm-thick AlGaAs sacrificial layer, and finally a bulk GaAs substrate.

A magnified SEM image of stacked rod arrays is shown in Fig. 2(b). In this particular structure, four layers are successfully stacked in the woodpile structure. The 3D crystals in Fig. 2(a) have increasing crystal thicknesses $L = d_{002}, 2d_{002}, 3d_{002}$, and $4d_{002}$. Here $d_{002} = a/2 = 2t = 302 \pm 10$ nm is the spacing of the $hk\ell = 002$ lattice planes in the $z$ direction that corresponds to two layers of nanorods (see Fig. 1).

**B. Optical setup**

Optical reflectivity was measured using a home-built microscope setup that employs reflective optics and operates in the near-infrared range at wavelengths beyond 800 nm, see Refs. [23,59]. The main components are a supercontinuum white light source (Fianium), a Fourier-transform interferometer (Biorad FTS-6000) that operates with 8 cm$^{-1}$ spectral resolution, and a reflecting objective ($NA = 0.65$) to focus the beam to a few microns inside the photonic crystal domains over the large required range of frequencies. Signals were collected in the near-infrared spectral range between about 4000 and more than 12 500 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to wavelengths between 2500 and 800 nm. Reflectivity was calibrated by taking the ratio of a sample spectrum with the spectrum measured on a clean gold mirror. In the spectra, a narrow range near 9300 cm$^{-1}$ is omitted, since it is disturbed by the pump laser of the supercontinuum source. Following Ref. [27], we estimate the error margins in the stop band edges and therefore the stop band width. We estimate the standard deviation in the minimum reflectivity at frequencies below the stop band, and the standard deviation of the maximum reflectivity, which both propagate into the determination of the gap edges.

**C. Numerical modeling**

To compute the optical properties of the finite crystals, we employ the finite-difference time domain (FDTD) method using commercially available code (Synopsis Rsoft FULLWAVE). In the simulations, the structure has one period size in both $x$ and $y$ directions, and periodic boundary conditions are imposed in both the $x$ and $y$ directions; in the stacking direction $z$ perfectly matched layers are inserted at 10 μm far from the surface of the substrate. The grid size was set as $d_x \times d_y \times d_z = d/16 \times d/16 \times d_{002}/8$. The incident light consists of plane waves propagating along the $z$ direction with a range of frequencies parallel to the sample normal, with the same polarization as in the experiments. The incident light is pulsed with a single-cycle pulse duration in order to cover a broad frequency range, with a central frequency 8500 cm$^{-1}$. At this time, it is not feasible to take into account the finite numerical aperture, since adding coherently many fields with many different incident wave vectors (as is the case in a focus) is computationally prohibitively expensive. The time-dependent electric fields of the reflected light are recorded, and we analyze the spectral response using a Fourier transform. The reflectivity is calculated as the ratio of the computed reflected intensity and the incident intensity. In our simulations, we set the structural parameters of our 3D photonic crystal to be $d = 470$ nm, $w = 178$ nm, and $t = 150$ nm, according to the SEM images of our samples, to get the best match with the experiments. We also included the substrate in the simulations. The refractive index for GaAs was taken to be $n = 3.4$ in absence of dispersion. In the presence of GaAs dispersion, the refractive index varied from $n = 3.34$ at 5000 cm$^{-1}$ to $n = 3.65$ at 12 000 cm$^{-1}$, and the extinction coefficient monotonically increased from 0 at 11 700 cm$^{-1}$ to 0.0662 at 12 000 cm$^{-1}$ [60]. The simulations were done with a standard personal computer and took about 24 hours per spectrum.

To model the effect of disorder, we multiplied the reflectivity output by the FDTD code with an exponential extinction factor $\exp(-t/L)$ for each wavelength. For the extinction length $\ell$ we employ the analytical model by Koenderink et al. for a 3D photonic crystal [61]. The underlying root-mean-square structural variation is taken to be $\Delta r = 5$ nm, which is a reasonable estimate of typical roughness and size variations in semiconductor nanophotonic structures.

**III. RESULTS**

**A. Experiment**

Figure 3 shows a measured reflectivity spectrum for the 3D photonic crystal of thickness $L = 2d_{002}$ together with the spectrum for the substrate. The reflectivity spectrum of the substrate varies between 20% and 30% with clear fringes with a period of about 500 cm$^{-1}$, and a second, much longer fringe spacing of about 6000 cm$^{-1}$. The fringes are caused by two interference phenomena: The 500 cm$^{-1}$ fringes are caused by interference between the top GaAs layer and the GaAs substrate that are spaced by the 3-μm-thick AlGaAs
sacrificial layer [62]. Secondly, the 6000-cm\(^{-1}\) fringes are due to interference inside the 225-nm thin top layer. The 3D photonic crystal reveals an intense and broad reflectivity stop band at frequencies between 6000 and 10 000 cm\(^{-1}\) that corresponds to the \(\Gamma Z\) stop gap in the band structures (cf., Fig. 1). The full width at half maximum is about 3300 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a relative bandwidth \(\Delta \omega/\omega_c = 41\%\), which is typical of a strongly interacting photonic crystal [29] and fairly comparable to results obtained for a silicon woodpile structure [63], which makes sense in view of the similar refractive index contrasts of GaAs-air and of Si-air structures.

Figure 4 shows reflectivity spectra measured for the 3D photonic crystals with increasing thickness \(L = d_{002}, 2d_{002}, 3d_{002}\) and \(4d_{002}\). It is gratifying that all four spectra show a stop band between about 6800 and 10 000 cm\(^{-1}\) as taken at half height. There are a number of features that are characteristic of a high crystal quality. First, in the stop band the maximum reflectivity is overall quite elevated up to 70\% or even 80\%. Secondly, since all spectra reveal the 500-cm\(^{-1}\) fringes of the sacrificial layer interference, the transmission of light through the crystals is hardly attenuated by scattering from random disorder. Thirdly, all spectra reveal a minimum reflectivity near 0\% below the stop band, which is part of the Fabry-Perot interference due to interference between crystal's front and back surface reflections (see, e.g., Ref. [52]), whereas such interference would disappear if the interference would be perturbed by random scattering or by nonplanar back surfaces.

When we zoom in on the spectra in Fig. 4, we note several features and differences. For the thinnest crystal \((L = d_{002})\), the stop band is both the broadest (from 6400 cm\(^{-1}\) to 10 800 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the reflectivity the lowest, with a maximum of about 60\% at the stop band center. A broadened and less intense spectrum is reasonably expected for a thin crystal. In comparison to the spectrum for \(L = d_{002}\), higher reflectivity within the stop bands was observed for the other 3D photonic crystals with larger thicknesses. In addition, the interference fringes which are clearly observed for \(L = d_{002}\) within the range of stop band becomes obscure in other thicker crystals. This result indicates that, for the case of large thicknesses, incident light is mostly reflected by the 3D photonic crystals without reaching the substrate underneath, suggesting strong interactions with photons in those 3D photonic crystals. In addition to the above-mentioned spectral features which indicate strong interactions with photons, we can also observe several other features originating in unintentional reasons. One is that a peak at around 5000 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed only for the crystal of \(L = 4d_{002}\). The reason for this peak would be a stacking order in this 3D crystal (see Appendix) that inadvertently differs from the other crystals. Another one is that at higher wave numbers in the stop band around 9000 cm\(^{-1}\), the reflectivity of the \(L = 3d_{002}\) crystal becomes lower than for other thicknesses. This is tentatively attributed to inadvertent errors in our 3D crystals, such as misalignment between the stacked layers.

**B. Numerical simulations**

To interpret our experiments, we have computed reflectivity spectra using FDTD simulations. In Fig. 5, calculated reflectivity spectra are compared with the measured one for a crystal thickness \(L = 2d_{002}\). For a first set of simulations where the GaAs refractive index is constant and nondispersive (black dotted curve in Fig. 5), the width of the reflectivity peak matches well with the measured one, which indicates that the peak originates in a stop band of our 3D photonic crystal. The fringes due to the interference in our substrate also match well in period with the measured fringes. On the other hand, it is apparent that the simulated spectrum has a substantially higher reflectivity (up to 99\%) than the measured one. To interpret this marked difference in reflectivity, we explored the roles of dispersion of the GaAs refractive index and of structural disorder.

When we incorporate dispersion in the FDTD simulations, the reflectivity spectrum hardly changes as shown in
FIG. 5. Reflectivity vs wave number calculated for a thickness \( L = 2d_{002} \) by 3D finite-difference time-domain simulations for the E field parallel to the \( x \) axis. The blue dashed curve takes into account GaAs dispersion, the black dotted curve is in absence of dispersion, and the red drawn curve takes into account both dispersion \([60]\) and disorder \([61]\). Experimental data for \( L = 2d_{002} \) are shown as black connected squares for comparison.

Fig. 5 (blue curve). Therefore, we reject the hypothesis that dispersion is important. In contrast, when the disorder was taken into account, the reflectivity is reduced around the higher frequency side of the stop band, which results in close matching between experiment and simulation at the higher frequency range. This result suggests that the disorder in our 3D photonic crystals is a dominant reason for the reduction in the reflectivity at the high-frequency side of the stop band. Although the lower frequency side of the stop band is still mismatched, this may be caused by other factors excluded in our simulation, such as the effect of finite numerical aperture of our reflection objective and the misalignment between stacked layers.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Multiple Bragg diffraction

In order to investigate finite-size effects in our 3D crystals, we estimated the stop band widths from the reflectivity spectra. Figure 6 shows the thickness dependence of the FWHM of the stop band for both the measured and the simulated reflectivity spectra. The stop band is slightly broader for the thinnest crystal thickness of \( L = 2d_{002} \), and the bandwidth only slightly decreases with increasing thickness. For a thickness of only \( L = 3d_{002} \), the bandwidth seems to have saturated to the infinite crystal limit. It is gratifying that the stop band widths obtained from the FDTD simulations agree very well with the experimentally obtained results, as shown in Fig. 6.

To obtain a physical intuition of the stop band widths of the 3D photonic band-gap crystals, we start from the basic notion that light propagation in photonic crystals is in essence determined by Bragg diffraction interference \([7]\). The thickness dependence of the stop band widths for the 3D photonic crystals in Fig. 6 stems from intricacies in the Bragg conditions. Figure 7(a) illustrates the well-known Bragg diffraction \([9]\), where incident light with a wave vector \( k_{in} \) is diffracted to outgoing light waves with wave vector \( k_{out} \) by the crystal periodicity that is described by a single dominant reciprocal lattice vector \( g_{00l} \), such that

\[
 k_{out} - k_{in} = g_{00l} .
\]

This simple Bragg condition leads to the formation of a stop gap for light incident in the \( k_{in} \) direction \([28]\). It appears that for photonic crystals with a low photonic strength such as opals and colloidal crystals \([50,51,64]\), the observed total relative stop band width \( \Delta \omega/\omega_c \)--- where \( \omega_c \) is the center frequency of the stop band---is well described by the following

FIG. 6. Bandwidth of the stop bands (FWHM of the reflectivity peak) vs crystal thickness reduced by the lattice spacing \( (L/d_{002}) \). The red circles with error bars are the experimental observations; the black triangles are calculated by 3D FDTD simulations. The green curve is the model without free parameters given in Eqs. (2) and (3) for weakly interacting photonic crystals with only one reciprocal lattice vector \( g \), as shown in Fig. 7(a).

FIG. 7. Schematic of Bragg conditions encountered in photonic crystal reflectivity experiments with incident wave vector \( k_{in} \) (blue) and reflected wave vector \( k_{out} \) (red). The crystal is drawn as an \((x, z)\) cross section. (a) In a weakly interacting photonic crystal, \( k_{in} \) and \( k_{out} \) excite only Bragg waves with a reciprocal lattice vector \( (g_{00l}) \) parallel to the incident and reflected waves. (b) In a strongly interacting photonic crystal, \( k_{in} \) and \( k_{out} \) excite multiple Bragg diffraction conditions simultaneously with reciprocal lattice vectors that are not only parallel to the incident and reflected waves (here \( g_{00l} = g_{004} \) but also in oblique directions, clockwise from left: \( g_{100}, g_{202}, g_{202}, g_{400} \)).
heuristic expression [51,65]:
\[
\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_c} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_c}\right)_{\text{phot}}^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_c}\right)_{\text{lin}}^2},
\]  
where \((\Delta \omega/\omega_c)_{\text{phot}}\) is the purely photonic bandwidth that is equal to the relative width of the dominant stop gap in a band structure. Since a band structure pertains to an infinitely extended crystal \((L \to \infty)\), the relative bandwidth \((\Delta \omega/\omega_c)_{\text{phot}}\) is constant. When the photonic width in Eq. (2) is taken to only depend on one reciprocal lattice vector \((n = 1)\), we refer to it as a one-dimensional (1D) model. Secondly, \((\Delta \omega/\omega_c)_{\text{lin}}\) is the relative bandwidth caused by the finite thickness of the crystal; a practical expression for this thickness dependence is the relative bandwidth proportional to a sinc function that is proportional to the inverse thickness, see Ref. [66], Eq. (3.6):
\[
\left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_c}\right)_{\text{lin}} = C \frac{d_{002}}{L},
\]  
where in case of the FWHM of a sinc function the constant is to a very good approximation equal to \(C = 1.4\). Figure 6 shows that the 1D model consisting of Eqs. (2) and (3) has a much steeper dependence than both our measured data and our simulated FDTD results. Therefore, we conclude that the 1D Bragg model is not a suitable description of our observations.

Here, we focus on 3D photonic crystals with a band gap and therefore with a high photonic strength. In cases of high photonic strength, as illustrated in Fig. 7(b), Bragg interference becomes more complex compared to the situation in Fig. 7(a). It appears that the first, simple, Bragg diffraction [similar to that of Fig. 7(a)] is equivalent to multiple Bragg conditions [67–69]:
\[
k_{\text{out}} - k_{\text{in}} = g_{004} = g_{004} \quad (4)
\]
\[
= g_{202} + g_{202} \quad (5)
\]
\[
= g_{400} + g_{302} + g_{202} \quad (6)
\]
\[
= g_{400} + g_{302} + g_{302} \quad (7)
\]
\[
= g_{040} + g_{032} + g_{032} \quad (8)
\]
\[
= g_{040} + g_{032} + g_{202} \quad (9)
\]

Since our 3D photonic crystals have the diamond structure, the first, simple, Bragg diffraction in the \(z\) direction is the one with Miller indices \(hkl = 001 = 004\). The vectorial sum of the reciprocal lattice vectors in Eqs. (5)–(9) add up to that of Eq. (4), as is appreciated from the sum of their Miller indices adding up to those of the first one. Such equivalent reciprocal lattice vectors in the diamond structure are illustrated in Fig. 7(b). The consequence from Eqs. (5)–(9) is that the reciprocal vectors in the multiple Bragg processes are not oriented in the direction of the thinnest crystal dimension (\(z\) direction) but are also oriented in oblique directions, in the extreme case even in the perpendicular directions \(x\) and \(y\). In these directions, the crystal is much more extended. Therefore these Bragg conditions are hardly affected by the finite-size effects in the \(z\)-direction. Since the large number of oblique Bragg conditions also contributes to the overall bandwidth of the stop band that is observed in the \(k_{\text{out}}\) direction, it is thus sensible that the observed bandwidth depends only little on the finite thickness in the \(z\) direction, in agreement with the observed experimental results in Fig. 6.

In parallel to the bandwidth, the maximum reflectivity of the stop band also hardly varies with increasing crystal thickness. For the experiment, this is apparent from the observations in Fig. 4. This result can also be rationalized with the insight that interference of many oblique Bragg conditions contribute to the observed reflectivity peak. Since these oblique Bragg conditions experience only few finite-size effects on account of the lateral extent of the crystal being much larger than the Bragg length, they contribute maximal amplitudes to the whole reflectivity peak, regardless of the 3D crystal thickness. Therefore, we conclude that the diffraction of strongly interacting photonic crystals—certainly those with a complete 3D photonic band gap—is not only determined by the reciprocal lattice vectors in the direction of the incident and diffracted wave vectors, but by all reciprocal lattice vectors.

**B. Practical consequences**

Our work aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of real 3D photonic crystals with finite size. The conclusion so far is that thin 3D photonic band-gap crystals with high photonic strength already yield potent transport behavior, thanks to multiple incidences of Bragg interference, which is highly useful from an application point of view. The underlying reason why thin photonic band-gap crystals are potent is the bandwidth of the band gap: broad band gaps are sustained by thin structures (whereas narrow band gaps, as with inverse opals, require thick structures). Such broad 3D photonic band gaps are extremely useful for applications for a number of reasons: Firstly, broad photonic band gaps serve to process broad signal bandwidths, which is useful for optical communication purposes. Secondly, in quantum information applications, elementary quantum systems such as two-level systems are more strongly shielded from external vacuum noise [44] when a surrounding 3D photonic band gap is broader. Thirdly, a broader band gap is more robust to disorder [61,70–72], thus making a band-gap device more robust to inadvertent fabrication errors. Fourthly, a smaller photonic band-gap device is still functional with a broader 3D band gap, since the Bragg length is then even shorter, thus permitting smaller sizes. Thus, we believe that our present study on finite-size effects for broad band gaps is relevant for various applications using 3D photonic crystals. Future work could address the local density of state (LDOS) and the density of states (DOS) [73] in a 3D photonic band gap, as they play central roles in spontaneous emission control and the control of blackbody processes.
V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In this study we have investigated the optical reflectivity of 3D photonic band-gap crystals with increasing thickness. The 3D crystals with the so-called woodpile structure are assembled by stacking GaAs plates with nanorod arrays using an advanced micromanipulation method. We observe broad and intense stop bands originating in a stop gap along the stacking direction, typical of strongly interacting photonic crystals. The bandwidth and the maximum reflectivity of the stop band hardly increase with increasing crystal thickness. This weak thickness dependence differs remarkably from previous reports on weakly interacting 3D photonic crystals where stop bands hardly increase with increasing crystal thickness. Our current results are well matched by FDTD simulations. Therefore, we conjecture that multiple Bragg interference relevant to several reciprocal lattice vectors, including oblique ones, contribute to the formation of the stop band in our 3D crystals, which demonstrates a finite-size effect in the strongly interacting photonic crystals. This work contributes to a deeper understanding of strongly interacting 3D photonic crystals with finite size and paves the way to applications of 3D photonic band-gap crystals.
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APPENDIX

Our 3D photonic crystal [shown in Fig. 1(a)] does not have mirror symmetry with respect to any x-y plane. Thus, in addition to the thickness, the order of stacked layers in the 3D crystals also affects reflectivity spectra, although the effect is relatively minor. Here, we note the stacking order of all 3D crystal samples with the thicknesses $L = d_{002}$, $2d_{002}$, $3d_{002}$, and $4d_{002}$, shown in Fig. 2(a).

As explained in Sec. II A, the design of the 3D crystals is composed of the periodically stacked four layers with arrays of nanorods. In two of these four layers, the nanorods are parallel to the x axis and the other two parallel to the y axis. Also, the nanorods are spatially shifted by $d/2$ between the two layers with parallel nanorods. We label these four layers layer 1, 2, 3, and 4. The rod patterns of these layers are defined below:

Layer 1: without shift and parallel to the y axis.
Layer 2: without shift and parallel to the x axis.
Layer 3: with shift and parallel to the y axis.
Layer 4: with shift and parallel to the x axis.

We fabricated these rod patterns in square regions of plates as shown in Fig. 2(a). In the square region, the pattern without the shift corresponds to having a rod at the center of the square region and that with the shift a rod at the position shifted by $d/2$ from the center along the direction perpendicular to the nanorods. These layers are stacked, following the order below for making samples with different thickness $L$:

$L = 1$: $d_{002}$: 1-4-3-2-1-4
$L = 2$: $2d_{002}$: 1-4-3-2-1-4-3-2-1-4
$L = 3$: $3d_{002}$: 2-3-4-1-2-3-4-1
$L = 4$: $4d_{002}$: 2-3-4-1-2-3-4-1

These numbers represent the layer number and are shown in order from left to right for layer numbers from the top to the bottom of the structure. The order of stacking was inadvertently modified for thickness $L = 4d_{002}$, resulting in the nanorods of the top layer perpendicular to the electric field of the incident light.
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