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A B S T R A C T

Redox data obtained from cyclic voltammetry experiments of the Fe(II/III) and ring-based oxidation and reductions of subphthalocyanines containing a ferrocenylcarboxylic acid as axial ligand, is presented in this data in brief article. The Fe(II/III) oxidation of ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines which containing the electron-withdrawing fluorine atoms at the peripheral and non-peripheral positions, are ca. 0.100 V more positive than Fe(II/III) oxidation of ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines containing hydrogens at the peripheral and non-peripheral positions. For more insight into the reported data, see the related research article “Redox and photophysical properties of four subphthalocyanines containing ferrocenylcarboxylic acid as axial ligands” [1].
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Specifications Table

| Subject                  | Chemistry  |
|--------------------------|------------|
| Specific subject area    | Electrochemistry |
| Type of data             | Table      |
| How data were acquired   | Princeton Applied Research PARSTAT 2273 potentiostat running Powersuite software (Version 2.58). |
| Data format              | Raw        |
| Parameters for data collection | Samples were used as synthesized. All the electrochemical experiments were performed in an M Braun Lab Master SP glove box, under a high purity argon atmosphere (H₂O and O₂ < 10 ppm). |
| Description of data collection | All electrochemical experiments were conducted in a 2 ml electrochemical cell containing three-electrodes (a glassy carbon working electrode, a Pt auxiliary electrode and a Pt pseudo reference electrode), connected to a Princeton Applied Research PARSTAT 2273 electrochemical analyser. Data obtained was exported to excel for analysis and diagram preparation. |
| Data source location     | Institution: University of the Free State |
|                         | City/Town/Region: Bloemfontein |
|                         | Country: South Africa |
| Data accessibility       | With the article |
| Related research article | P.J. Swarts, J. Conradie, Redox and photophysical properties of four subphthalocyanines containing ferrocenylcarboxylic acid as axial ligands [1]. |

Value of the Data

- The electrochemistry of subphthalocyanines provides insight and understanding into the macrocyclic ring-based oxidation and reduction processes. Introducing a ferrocenyl unit at the axial position of a subphthalocyanine, has a strong influence on the optical and redox properties of the ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines. Several ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines showed photo-induced electron-transfer properties that are important for solar devices which convert sunlight into electricity. Different axial ligands and ring substituents can fine-tune the redox properties of subphthalocyanines for use in different applications. This data provides detailed redox data of four ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines containing different axial ligands and different ring substituents.
- The data reported here provides insight for electrochemists into the effect of both electron-rich or electron-poor macrocycles of ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines Y-BSubPc(H)₁₂ and Y-BSubPc(F)₁₂ respectively, on the iron(II/III) oxidation potential of the ferrocenylcarboxylic acid ligand Y in the axial position. Axial ligand Y = either a non π -communicating (Fc-CH₂-CH₂-COO-) or a π -communicating (Fc-CH=CH-COO-) ferrocenyl moiety.
- Availability of electrochemical data of both the iron(II/III) and ring-based oxidation and reduction processes, assisting in future research in designing ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines with specific redox properties.

1. Data Description

The electrochemical data of ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines 1 – 4 shown in Figure 1 is summarized in Tables 1–4, with the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) shown in Figures 2–7. Raw cyclic voltammetric data is available in excel format as supplementary data files. Comparative CVs, comparing the shift in the CV data of these ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines relative to the known chloro-subphthalocyanines (5 and 6) [2], are shown in Figure 2. The ferrocenylcarboxylic acid axial ligand causes the reduction peaks of the ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines to shift more negative relative to the chlorosubphthalocyanines. Cyclic voltammograms of the fluorinated subphthalocyanines 3 and 4, showed one iron-based and one ring-based oxidation as well as three ring-based reductions. Cyclic voltammograms of the non-fluorinated subphthalocyanines 1 and 2, also
Figure 1. Structure of compounds in this study: (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 1, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 2, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 3, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 4, Cl-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 5, and Cl-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 6.
Table 1

Electrochemical data (potential in V vs. Fc/Fc⁺) in DCM for ca. $5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm⁻³ of Fe(CH₂)₂CO₂-BSubPc(H)₁₂ (compound 2), at indicated scan rates (ν in V/s). See Figure 4 for assignment of peaks.

| ν (V/s) | $E_{pa}$ / V | $\Delta E$ / V | $E^{\nu}$ / V | $i_{pa}$ / μA | $i_p/i_{pa}$ |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| cFc     |             |                |             |              |              |
| 0.050   | -0.021      | 0.073          | -0.058      | 2.61         | 0.99         |
| 0.200   | -0.020      | 0.075          | -0.058      | 5.12         | 0.99         |
| 0.300   | -0.020      | 0.076          | -0.058      | 5.86         | 0.99         |
| 0.400   | -0.019      | 0.077          | -0.058      | 8.42         | 0.99         |
| 0.500   | -0.019      | 0.078          | -0.058      | 9.15         | 0.99         |
| 5.000   | -0.018      | 0.080          | -0.058      | 24.98        | 0.99         |

Wave I

| ν (V/s) | $E_{pa}$ / V | $\Delta E$ / V | $E^{\nu}$ / V | $i_{pa}$ / μA | $i_p/i_{pa}$ |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0.050   | 0.711       | 0.083          | 0.670       | 2.36         | 0.99         |
| 0.200   | 0.712       | 0.085          | 0.670       | 4.63         | 0.99         |
| 0.300   | 0.713       | 0.086          | 0.670       | 5.30         | 0.99         |
| 0.400   | 0.713       | 0.087          | 0.670       | 7.61         | 0.99         |
| 0.500   | 0.714       | 0.088          | 0.670       | 8.28         | 0.99         |
| 5.000   | 0.715       | 0.090          | 0.670       | 17.09        | 0.99         |

Wave II

| ν (V/s) | $E_{pa}$ / V | $\Delta E$ / V | $E^{\nu}$ / V | $i_{pa}$ / μA | $i_p/i_{pa}$ |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0.050   | -1.782      | 0.083          | -1.741      | 2.44         | 0.99         |
| 0.200   | -1.783      | 0.085          | -1.741      | 4.79         | 0.99         |
| 0.300   | -1.784      | 0.086          | -1.741      | 5.47         | 0.99         |
| 0.400   | -1.784      | 0.087          | -1.741      | 7.87         | 0.99         |
| 0.500   | -1.785      | 0.088          | -1.741      | 8.55         | 0.99         |
| 5.000   | -1.786      | 0.090          | -1.741      | 23.82        | 0.99         |

Wave III

| ν (V/s) | $E_{pa}$ / V | $\Delta E$ / V | $E^{\nu}$ / V | $i_{pa}$ / μA | $i_p/i_{pa}$ |
|---------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0.050   | -2.263      | -              | -           | 2.62         | -            |
| 0.200   | -2.264      | -              | -           | 5.82         | -            |
| 0.300   | -2.265      | -              | -           | 8.09         | -            |
| 0.400   | -2.265      | -              | -           | 9.85         | -            |
| 0.500   | -2.266      | -              | -           | 10.24        | -            |
| 5.000   | -2.267      | -              | -           | 25.40        | -            |

*a* $E_p$ is the peak anodic potential for oxidation ($E_{ox}$) and peak cathodic potential for reduction ($E_{red}$).

*b* $i_p$ is the peak anodic current for oxidation ($i_{pa}$) and peak cathodic current for reduction ($i_{pc}$).

*c* peak current ratio = $i_p/i_{pc}$ for oxidation and $i_p/i_{pc}$ for reduction.

showed one iron-based and one ring-based oxidation, but only two ring-based reductions. Previous studies showed that the first oxidation in related ferrocenylsubphthalocyanines is iron based [1,3–5]. The iron-based first oxidation in compounds 1 – 4 occurs at a lower potential than the first ring-based oxidation in 1 – 6. Porphyrins [6], phthalocyanines [7] and subphthalocyanines (SubPcs) [8,9] can show up to three ring-based oxidations and three ring-based reductions. In most cases the first ring-based oxidation of the SubPcs exhibits irreversible behaviour [9]; however, in this case chemically reversible first ring-based oxidation, with peak current ratios of 1 and peak current separations of $\Delta E = 0.074 – 0.084$ V, were obtained.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

 Electrochemical studies by means of cyclic voltammetric (CV) experiments were performed in an MBraun Lab Master SP glove box under a high purity argon atmosphere (H₂O and O₂ < 10 ppm), utilizing a Princeton Applied Research PARSTAT 2273 potentiostat, running Powersuite software (Version 2.58).

The cyclic voltammetry experimental setup consists of a cell with three electrodes, namely (i) a glassy carbon electrode as working electrode, (ii) a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and (ii) a platinum wire as pseudo reference electrode. The glassy carbon working electrode was polished and prepared before every experiment on a Buhler polishing mat, first with 1-micron and then with ⅛-micron diamond paste, rinsed with H₂O, acetone and DCM, and dried before each experiment.
Table 2
Electrochemical data (potential in V vs. Fc/Fc\(^+\)) in DCM for ca. 5 × 10^{-4} mol dm\(^{-3}\) of Fc(CH\(_2\)CO\(_2\)-BSubPc(H)\(_{12}\) (compound 1), at indicated scan rates (v in V/s). See Figure 5 for assignment of peaks.

| v (V/s) | \(E_p\) / V | \(\Delta E_p\) / V | \(E^\circ\) / V | \(i_p\) / \(\mu A\) | \(i_p\)/\(i_p^\circ\) |
|---------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Fc      | 0.050     | 0.156           | 0.073       | 0.119          | 2.53            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.200     | 0.156           | 0.075       | 0.119          | 4.96            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.300     | 0.157           | 0.076       | 0.119          | 5.66            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.400     | 0.157           | 0.077       | 0.119          | 8.14            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.500     | 0.158           | 0.078       | 0.119          | 8.85            | 0.99 |
| Wave I  | 0.000     | 0.159           | 0.079       | 0.119          | 23.78           | 0.99 |
|         | 0.500     | 0.159           | 0.079       | 0.119          | 23.78           | 0.99 |
| Wave II | 0.050     | -1.703          | 0.083       | -1.662         | 2.48            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.200     | -1.704          | 0.085       | -1.662         | 4.86            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.300     | -1.705          | 0.086       | -1.662         | 5.55            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.400     | -1.705          | 0.087       | -1.662         | 7.98            | 0.99 |
|         | 0.500     | -1.706          | 0.088       | -1.662         | 8.68            | 0.99 |
| Wave III| 0.050     | -2.183          | -           | -              | 23.36           | -    |
|         | 0.200     | -2.184          | -           | -              | 5.24            | -    |
|         | 0.300     | -2.185          | -           | -              | 7.29            | -    |
|         | 0.400     | -2.185          | -           | -              | 8.62            | -    |
|         | 0.500     | -2.186          | -           | -              | 9.36            | -    |
|         | 5.000     | -2.187          | -           | -              | 24.57           | -    |

\(^a\) \(E_p\) is the peak anodic potential for oxidation (\(E_{ox}\)) and peak cathodic potential for reduction (\(E_{red}\)).

\(^b\) \(i_p\) is the peak anodic current for oxidation (\(i_{pa}\)) and peak cathodic current for reduction (\(i_{pc}\)).

\(^c\) peak current ratio = \(i_{pc}/i_{pa}\) for oxidation and \(i_{pa}/i_{pc}\) for reduction.

Electrochemical analysis in dichloromethane as solvent (DCM, anhydrous, \(\geq 99.8\%), contains 40-150 ppm amylene as stabilizer) was conducted at RT. Solutions were made in 0.001 dm\(^3\) spectrochemical grade anhydrous DCM, containing ca. 0.0005 M of analyte, 0.0005 mol dm\(^{-3}\) of internal reference (decamethylferrocene, DmFc) and 0.1 mol dm\(^{-3}\) of supporting electrolyte tetrabutylammonium tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)borate, \([N(Bu)^{4}\] [B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\)]\(_4\) in DCM.

Experimental potential data was collected vs. the Pt wire reference electrode, measured vs. the redox couple of decamethylferrocene, DmFc, as internal standard and reported vs. the redox couple of ferrocene, Fc, as suggested by IUPAC [10]. \(E^\circ(DmFc) = -0.610\) V vs. Fc/Fc\(^+\) at 0 V in DCM/\([N(Bu)^{4}\] [B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\)]\(_4\). Scan rates were between 0.05 and 5.00 V/s\(^{-1}\).
Table 3
Electrochemical data (potential in V vs Fc/FC^+) in DCM for ca. 5 x 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} of Fe(CH_2)_6CO_2-BSubPc(F)_{12} (compound 4), at indicated scan rates (ν in V/s). See Figure 6 for assignment of peaks.

| ν (V/s) | E p⁺ / V | ΔE p / V | E o / V | i p⁺ / µA | i p⁻ / i p⁺ |
|--------|----------|----------|---------|----------|------------|
| Fc     | 0.050    | 0.089    | 0.077   | 0.050    | 2.65       | 0.99       |
|        | 0.200    | 0.089    | 0.079   | 0.050    | 5.19       | 0.99       |
|        | 0.300    | 0.090    | 0.080   | 0.050    | 5.94       | 0.99       |
|        | 0.400    | 0.090    | 0.081   | 0.050    | 8.53       | 0.99       |
|        | 0.500    | 0.091    | 0.082   | 0.050    | 9.28       | 0.99       |
|        | 5.000    | 0.092    | 0.084   | 0.050    | 23.91      | 0.99       |
| Wave I |          | 1.105    | 0.081   | 1.065    | 2.44       | 0.99       |
|        | 1.106    | 0.083   | 1.065   | 4.77     | 0.99       |
|        | 1.107    | 0.084   | 1.065   | 5.46     | 0.99       |
|        | 1.107    | 0.085   | 1.065   | 7.84     | 0.99       |
|        | 1.108    | 0.086   | 1.065   | 8.53     | 0.99       |
|        | 1.109    | 0.088   | 1.065   | 16.89    | 0.99       |
| Wave II|          | -1.239   | 0.085   | -1.197   | 2.56       | 0.99       |
|        | -1.240   | 0.087   | -1.197  | 5.01     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.241   | 0.088   | -1.197  | 5.73     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.241   | 0.089   | -1.197  | 8.23     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.242   | 0.090   | -1.197  | 8.95     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.243   | 0.092   | -1.197  | 23.87    | 0.99       |
| Wave III|         | -1.824   | 0.087   | -1.781   | 2.86       | 0.99       |
|        | -1.825   | 0.089   | -1.781  | 5.61     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.826   | 0.090   | -1.781  | 6.42     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.826   | 0.091   | -1.781  | 9.22     | 0.99       |
|        | -1.827   | 0.092   | -1.781  | 10.03    | 0.99       |
|        | -1.828   | 0.094   | -1.781  | 21.98    | 0.99       |
| Wave IV|          | -2.322   | -       | -        | 2.46       | -          |
|        | -2.323   | -       | -       | 5.47     | -          |
|        | -2.324   | -       | -       | 7.61     | -          |
|        | -2.324   | -       | -       | 9.04     | -          |
|        | -2.325   | -       | -       | 9.89     | -          |
|        | -2.326   | -       | -       | 2.69     | -          |

* E p is the peak anodic potential for oxidation (E ox) and peak cathodic potential for reduction (E red).
  i p is the peak anodic current for oxidation (i pa) and peak cathodic current for reduction (i pc).
  peak current ratio = i pc/i pa for oxidation and i pa/i pc for reduction.
Table 4
Electrochemical data (potential in V vs. Fc/Fc\textsuperscript{+}) in DCM for ca. 5 \times 10\textsuperscript{-4} mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} of Fc(CH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}-BSubPc(H)\textsubscript{12} (compound 3), at indicated scan rates (\(\nu\) in V/s). See Figure 7 for assignment of peaks.

| \(\nu\) (V/s) | \(E_p^o\) / V | \(\Delta E_p\) / V | \(E_o'\) / V | \(I_p\) / \(\mu A\) | \(I_p/I_p'\) |
|---------------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-------------|
| **Fc**        |              |                |            |                  |             |
| 0.050         | 0.282        | 0.077          | 0.243      | 2.60             | 0.99        |
| 0.200         | 0.282        | 0.079          | 0.243      | 5.10             | 0.99        |
| 0.300         | 0.283        | 0.080          | 0.243      | 5.82             | 0.99        |
| 0.400         | 0.283        | 0.081          | 0.243      | 8.37             | 0.99        |
| 0.500         | 0.284        | 0.082          | 0.243      | 9.10             | 0.99        |
| 5.000         | 0.285        | 0.084          | 0.243      | 24.38            | 0.99        |
| **Wave I**    |              |                |            |                  |             |
| 0.050         | 1.106        | 0.083          | 1.065      | 2.41             | 0.99        |
| 0.200         | 1.107        | 0.085          | 1.065      | 4.72             | 0.99        |
| 0.300         | 1.108        | 0.086          | 1.065      | 5.39             | 0.99        |
| 0.400         | 1.108        | 0.087          | 1.065      | 7.75             | 0.99        |
| 0.500         | 1.109        | 0.088          | 1.065      | 8.43             | 0.99        |
| 5.000         | 1.110        | 0.090          | 1.065      | 17.22            | 0.99        |
| **Wave II**   |              |                |            |                  |             |
| 0.050         | -1.238       | 0.087          | -1.195     | 2.47             | 0.99        |
| 0.200         | -1.239       | 0.089          | -1.195     | 4.84             | 0.99        |
| 0.300         | -1.240       | 0.090          | -1.195     | 5.54             | 0.99        |
| 0.400         | -1.240       | 0.091          | -1.195     | 7.96             | 0.99        |
| 0.500         | -1.241       | 0.092          | -1.195     | 8.65             | 0.99        |
| 5.000         | -1.242       | 0.094          | -1.195     | 22.59            | 0.99        |
| **Wave III**  |              |                |            |                  |             |
| 0.050         | -1.626       | 0.089          | -1.582     | 2.78             | 0.99        |
| 0.200         | -1.627       | 0.091          | -1.582     | 5.45             | 0.99        |
| 0.300         | -1.628       | 0.092          | -1.582     | 6.22             | 0.99        |
| 0.400         | -1.628       | 0.093          | -1.582     | 8.95             | 0.99        |
| 0.500         | -1.629       | 0.094          | -1.582     | 9.73             | 0.99        |
| 5.000         | -1.630       | 0.096          | -1.582     | 20.94            | 0.99        |
| **Wave IV**   |              |                |            |                  |             |
| 0.050         | -2.126       | -             | -          | 2.51             | -           |
| 0.200         | -2.127       | -             | -          | 5.59             | -           |
| 0.300         | -2.128       | -             | -          | 7.77             | -           |
| 0.400         | -2.128       | -             | -          | 9.19             | -           |
| 0.500         | -2.129       | -             | -          | -10.02           | -           |
| 5.000         | -2.130       | -             | -          | 23.27            | -           |

\(a\) \(E_p\) is the peak anodic potential for oxidation (\(E_{ox}\)) and peak cathodic potential for reduction (\(E_{red}\)).
\(b\) \(i_p\) is the peak anodic current for oxidation (\(i_{pa}\)) and peak cathodic current for reduction (\(i_{pc}\)).
\(c\) peak current ratio = \(i_{pc}/i_{pa}\) for oxidation and \(i_{pa}/i_{pc}\) for reduction.
Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms in DCM, at scan rate 0.200 Vs⁻¹, of compounds 1 – 6: (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 1, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 2, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 3, (Fc(CH)₂COO)-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 4, Cl-BSubPc(H)₁₂, 5 and Cl-BSubPc(F)₁₂, 6. CV’s of 5 and 6 were obtained from [2]. Top three scans show the fluorine-substituted compounds (3, 4, 6), while bottom three scans contain no fluorine (1, 2, 5). Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V. Concentration of compounds 1 – 6 = 0.0005 mol dm⁻³ and of supporting electrolyte [N(Bu)₄][B(C₆F₅)₄] = 0.1 mol dm⁻³.
Cyclic voltammograms in DCM, at scan rates 0.050 V s\(^{-1}\) (red) and 5.00 V s\(^{-1}\) (black) for compounds 1 – 4, from bottom to top: Fe(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CO\(_2\)-BSubPc(H)\(_{12}\), 2, Fe(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CO\(_2\)-BSubPc(H)\(_{12}\), 1, Fe(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CO\(_2\)-BSubPc(F)\(_{12}\), 4, and Fe(CH\(_2\))\(_2\)CO\(_2\)-BSubPc(F)\(_{12}\), 3. Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V. Data for the formal reduction potential (E\(^{0'}\)) of the internal standard DmFc (left peak, red dotted line), and of ferrocene oxidation of the axial ligand (marked as Fe), are indicated in V. Concentration of compounds 1 – 4 = 5 \times 10^{-4} mol dm\(^{-3}\) and of supporting electrolyte [N\(^{+}(\text{nBu})_4\)]B(C\(_6\)F\(_5\))\(_4\) = 0.1 mol dm\(^{-3}\)
Figure 4. Cyclic voltammograms in DCM of Fc(CH$_2$)$_2$CO$_2$-BSubPc(H)$_{12}$ (compound 2), at scan rates 0.200 (smallest peak current), 0.300, 0.400 and 0.500 Vs$^{-1}$ (largest peak current). Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V, with the DmFc internal standard peak at the red dotted line. Concentration of analyte = $5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ and of supporting electrolyte [N($^{n}$Bu)$_4$][B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_4$] = 0.1 mol dm$^{-3}$. 
Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms in DCM of Fe(CH)$_2$CO$_2$-BSuPc($H$)$_{12}$ (compound 1), at scan rates 0.200 (smallest peak current), 0.300, 0.400 and 0.500 Vs$^{-1}$ (largest peak current). Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V, with the DmFc internal standard peak at the red dotted line. Concentration of analyte $= 5 \times 10^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ and of supporting electrolyte [N('Bu)$_4$][B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_4$] $= 0.1$ mol dm$^{-3}$. 
Figure 6. Cyclic voltammograms in DCM of Fe(CH$_2$)$_2$CO$_2$-BSuPc(F)$_{32}$ (compound 4), at scan rates 0.200 (smallest peak current), 0.300, 0.400 and 0.500 Vs$^{-1}$ (largest peak current). Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V, with the DmFe internal standard peak at the red dotted line. Concentration of analyte = 5 x 10$^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ and of supporting electrolyte [$N$(nBu)$_4$][B(C$_6$F$_5$)$_4$] = 0.1 mol dm$^{-3}$. 
Figure 7. Cyclic voltammograms in DCM of Fc(CH)\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{2}-BSubPc(F)\textsubscript{12} (compound 3), at scan rates 0.200 (smallest peak current), 0.300, 0.400 and 0.500 Vs\textsuperscript{-1} (largest peak current). Scans were initiated in a positive direction from ca. -1 V, with the DmFc internal standard peak at the red dotted line. Concentration of analyte = 5 \times 10^{-4} mol dm\textsuperscript{-3} and of supporting electrolyte [N\textsuperscript{+(nBu)}\textsubscript{4}][B(C\textsubscript{6}F\textsubscript{5})\textsubscript{4}] = 0.1 mol dm\textsuperscript{-3}. 
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