Abstract: Sign language is a visual language that uses body postures and facial expressions. It is generally used by hearing-impaired people as a source of communication. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), around 466 million people (5% of the world population) are with hearing and speech impairment. Normal people generally do not understand this sign language and hence there is a communication gap between hearing-impaired and other people. Different phonic scripts were developed such as HamNoSys notation that describes sign language using symbols. With the development in the field of artificial intelligence, we are now able to overcome the limitations of communication with people using different languages. Sign language translating system is the one that converts sign to text or speech whereas sign language generating system is the one that converts speech or text to sign language. Sign language generating systems were developed so that normal people can use this system to display signs to hearing-impaired people. This survey consists of a comparative study of approaches and techniques that are used to generate sign language. We have discussed general architecture and applications of the sign language generating system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Sign language is the primary language of hearing impaired people. It is a completely established language which has its own grammar and lexicon. Unlike acoustically conveyed sound patterns, sign language uses body language and manual communication to convey the thoughts of a person. It is performed by simultaneously combining hand shapes, orientation and movement of the hands, arms or body, and facial expressions. It is difficult for hearing impaired people to access the information because of their language problem and hence this hinders their normal social life.

Since sign language is the fundamental language of hearing impaired people, many people face difficulty in reading or writing the complex text. Also to communicate with other people, hearing impaired people have to struggle to make the person understand what he/she says. Or they need a human translator to translate sign language into speech. In crowded areas such as railway platforms, banks, hospitals or theaters, generally hearing impaired people have to suffer for the information.

Like there are different spoken languages in the world, there are different sign languages in different countries. They are developed independently of the spoken language in a particular region. For example, British Sign Language (BSL) and American Sign Language (ASL) are different, even though the spoken language used by normal people of Britain and America is the same. Indian sign language and Pakistan sign language are similar to Japanese sign language (JSL), Taiwanese sign language (TSL), and Korean sign language (KSL) are similar to each other.

Indian Sign Language Research and Training Centre (ISLRTC) made ISL certified interpreter’s lists of various organizations/institutions/colleges/university. This list has been further divided into six zones: North, South, East, West, Central and North-East. From the graph it can be observed that very limited human interpreters are available to assist hearing impaired people of India. [21]

II. SIGN LANGUAGE GENERATION TECHNIQUES

Sign language generation techniques can be classified based on the type of input fed to the system and its corresponding output provided by the system. Sign Language generation can be classified in following two types:

A. Speech/Text to Image/Video
B. Speech/Text to Animation

A. Speech/Text to Image/Video

In this type the input to the system is either speech or text and output is an image or video of corresponding sign. Tejas and Rituparna (2016) [1] proposed a model that is capable of processing audio or text and stringing together videos and images to generate signs. The system is able to string together still images or videos for the entities not present in the repository. Input was preprocessed for removal of unwanted data such as punctuations.
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Fig. 1. Zonal Distribution of Certified ISL interpreters in India [21]
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N-gram algorithm was used to verify subsequence of sentence already present in repository. Parts of speech tagger was used to assign token. The dataset consists of limited words (approx 800). The words not present in repository were spelled using images and videos which make the system less efficient.

Pooja and Anita [2] proposed a model with text as input. The other functionality provided was input as an image of the sign of the alphabet or numeral. For an image as an input, the system identifies the corresponding meaning of that sign and provides output in textual format. The system uses vision based techniques to identify images. Each input image is processed to extract an array of features. These extracted features were matched with the existing set of arrays of features. If match found, the corresponding text associated with array was retrieved. The system works only for input image alphabet or numerals. Very limited database of 26 alphabet and 9 numerals were used.

Taner and Oguz [3] proposed a bidirectional system with motion capturing module to identify signs and dictate it in textual format. A voice recognition module was used for voice to sign conversion in image or video format. For speech recognition module, CMU Sphinx was used to recognize and convert speech to text. For these texts, corresponding gif images were displayed. The database was fed with 50 words of data.

Teranai and Pongpisit [4] developed a web service framework for text to gif translation. They used the longest word division method to analyze the sentence fed by the user. The output consists of a series of images for the given input. They tested system with 42,121 words with 30 sign language images. Drawback of the system observed was security of data and integrity of the system which depends on source images.

Stephanie Stoll et al uses Neural Machine Translation network based on RNN (Recurrent Neural Network) to obtain a sequence of gloss probabilities which generates human pose sequences. [5]

B. Speech/Text to Animation/Avatar

As the name suggests, the system takes speech or text as an input and displays animation as an output.

**Concept of Avatar:** With the development in the field of virtual reality and animation, it is now possible to create a human avatar to perform responsive signs. There are some projects that were developed for translation of English to American Sign Language. They are:

**ViSiCAST (Virtual Signing: Capture, Animation, Storage and Transmission) [6]:**

It was a project under the Information Society Technologies (IST) using Virtual Human technology for animation of sign language. CMU Link Parser was used to analyze input text and then prolog declarative clause grammar rules to convert this linkage output into a Discourse Representation Structure (DRS). A script of symbolic notations called as Signing Gesture Markup Language was developed which describes movement to perform sign.

**TEAM Project [6]:**

It was an English-to-ASL system that uses Synchronous Tree Adjoining Grammar rules to build an ASL syntactic structure while an English dependency tree was built during analysis. An ASL gloss is obtained from the linguistic portion with parameters having information of morphological variations, facial expressions, and sentence mood.

**ZARDOZ Translation System [7]:**

The system was developed as a cross-modal translator for English text to American, Irish and British Sign language. The system used morphological rules followed by idiomatic reduction and then parsing to produce syntactic and semantic representation. The metaphoric and metonymic structures were removed by schematization. The discourse tracking agency performs anaphoric resolution, sign syntax agency, employs spatial dependency graphs, sign mapping assigns concept-to-sign and Doll Control Language (DCL) program controls an on-screen animated doll.

### III. REPRESENTING SIGN LANGUAGE AT PHONETIC LEVEL

To create signs using avatar, the avatar module must be told what to do and how to generate gestures. Most avatar modules are fed with the notational script which consists phonetic information about sign language. Thomas Hanke proposed notations called HamNoSys (Hamburg Notation System) which describes signs at phonetic level. Notations consist of non-manual and manual information such as hand shape, hand location and hand orientation. The notations are also available for single and two handed signs along with symmetry and non-symmetry of signs. Fig. 2. shows some HamNoSys symbols and their description. [8]

**Fig. 2. HamNoSys Symbols and Description. [8]**

Several work has been done to generate a system from text/speech to Indian Sign Language (ISL). Authors of paper [9], [10], [11], [12] have used the approach of text/speech to ISL using animation module. Authors of [13] proposed a system to generate HamNoSys of ISL for given input words.

### IV. METHODOLOGY

Fig. 3 Shows the general architecture of Text-to-Sign generation system.
Sometimes the user may not be able to enter the exact word that is present in the database. Using a keyword matching module the system will select the word from the database having the context similar to the word entered by the user.

Dunlu Peng et al, developed a system with semantic crossover for matching sentences. The model extracts the matching information of two sentences from the semantic interaction information generated from different angles and calculates the matching degree of the two sentences. [18]

B. Phonological Model

As discussed in the earlier section, to create an avatar it is required to create an intermediate notational script which will describe the gesture to be performed by the avatar. The input data needs to be mapped with corresponding notational script. To create such types of notations, the user admin must have knowledge of the corresponding sign language. User can gain this knowledge from active signers or from a dataset of video. Table 1. shows publicly available dataset:

Table – I: Publicly available sign language video dataset [19]

| Dataset  | Country | Language Level | Classes | Videos | Signers |
|----------|---------|----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| RWTH Phoenix | Germany | Sentence | 1200 | 45760 | 9 |
| Boston ASL LVD | USA | Word | 3300+ | 9800 | 6 |
| DEVISI GN-D | China | Word | 500 | 600 | 8 |
| IIT-R OBITA | India | Word | 23 | - | - |
| SIGNUM | Germany | Sentence | 450 | 33210 | 25 |
| Purdue ASL | USA | Word/Sentence | - | - | 5 |

C. Play Animation

Once notational script is identified, it can be passed to avatar to play signs. The accuracy of signs completely depends on this notational script.

V. APPLICATIONS

Sign language can be used in various application systems such as:
1. News Channel
2. Banks
3. Railway Platforms
4. Schools/Colleges
5. Hospitals
6. Hotels
7. Airports
8. Entertainment Programs
VI. CONCLUSION

This paper provides the study on sign language generation approaches and techniques. From the survey, it is observed that very limited work has been done for the hearing impaired community, especially in India. A sign generation system can be developed which can generate signs for the given input. This system can bridge the gap between hearing and speech impaired people and the normal people. With the usage of virtual reality and animation and natural language processing a dynamic system can be developed that can be made available in various sectors such as in banks, railways platforms, hospitals, schools, etc. This system can overcome the limitation of less number of human interpreters.
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