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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes Mellitus (DM) as The Silent Killer has an increasing number of cases. Type 2 diabetes and its complications are a major public health problem worldwide. Type 2 diabetes is influenced by various factors. This study aimed to analyze the contextual effect of village on the risk of type 2 DM.

Subjects and Method: This was a case-control study conducted in Surakarta, from November 2019 to January 2020. A sample of 200 patients type 2 DM and non-DM was selected by fixed disease sampling. The independent variable was type 2 DM. The independent variables were family history of DM, diet, physical activity, Body Mass Index (BMI), central obesity, stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habit. The data were collected by questionnaire and analyzed by a multilevel multiple logistic regression run on Stata 13.

Results: The risk of type 2 DM was positively associated with family history of diabetes (b= 2.37; 95% CI= 1.51 to 3.23; p<0.001), poor diet (b= 1.09; 95% CI= 0.71 to 1.86; p= 0.034), low physical activity (b= 1.07; 95% CI= 0.12 to 2.02; p= 0.027), central obesity (b= 1.01; 95% CI= 0.75 to 1.95; p= 0.034), stress (b= 1.07; 95% CI= 0.15 to 1.98; p= 0.022), history of hypertension (b= 1.08; 95% CI= 0.19 to 1.96; p= 0.016), and smoking habit (b= 1.31; 95% CI= 0.22 to 2.39; p= 0.018). Village did not have a contextual effect on the risk of type 2 DM with ICC= 0.01%.

Conclusion: The risk of type 2 DM is positively associated with family history of DM, diet, low physical activity, central obesity, stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habit. Village do not have a contextual effect on the risk of type 2 DM.
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BACKGROUND

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) as The Silent Killer has an increasing number of cases. In 2015, 214 million people in the world experienced DM. The number of sufferers increased from 2002 which was only 177 million people. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) predicts that patients with diabetes mellitus will increase in 2040 to 642 million sufferers (IDF, 2015). Basic Health Research Results Data (2018) shows the prevalence of diabetes in Indonesia in 2018 of 2.0%. This figure is higher than the prevalence in 2013 (1.5%). Central Java Province is in the 12th rank with an increase in prevalence of 1.6% in 2013 to 2.1% in 2018 (Ministry of Health, 2018).

Data from the Surakarta City Health Office reported that the number of people with type 2 DM was quite large, in 2017 there were 29,041 people (7.13%), and in 2018 there were 26,887 people (6.59%) (Surakarta Health Office, 2018). Despite the decrease in
the number of sufferers, it is still necessary to control non-communicable diseases to find out the risk factors that influence the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

Type 2 DM is influenced by various factors. Based on a study by Murad et al. (2014), family history of DM (OR = 3.75; p= 0.020) is associated with the incidence of type 2 DM.

Someone who has a family history of DM is 3.75 times more likely to experience the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Unbalanced dietary patterns are also a risk factor for type 2 DM. A study by Tjekyan (2014), reported that poor eating patterns such as frequent consumption of coffee, fatty foods and junk food (p= 0.026) associated with the incidence of type 2 diabetes. This was not in line with Chandra and Restuastuti (2007), who stated that dietary risk factors (p= 0.896) were not related to the incidence of type 2 DM.

A study by Budiarti et al. (2016) stated that someone who did physical activity tends to have a normal BMI, BMI (OR = 2.66; p= 0.001) has a significant relationship with the incidence of type 2 DM. Doing exercises such as jogging, gymnastics, or playing basketball becomes one form of physical activity that can be routinely carried out to control blood sugar levels (Caballero, 2018).

Other risk factors such as stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habits can influence the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Murad et al. (2014) stated that a person who is experiencing stress and depression tends to have poor blood glucose levels, which caused insulin resistance. Someone who is depressed has 1.03 times of risk in developing DM type 2 (p= 0.001).

Someone who has a history of hypertension is 3.58 times more likely to have type 2 DM compared to someone who has no history of hypertension and someone who has a smoking habit is 3.97 times more likely to have type 2 DM.

Therefore, the authors are interested in conducting a study toward the contextual effect of village on the risk of type 2 DM.

SUBJECTS AND METHOD

1. Study Design
This was a case control study conducted in Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia, from November 2019 to January 2020.

2. Population dan Sample
The source population used in this study was DM type 2 sufferers in Surakarta. The number of samples was 200 study subjects consisting of 50 type 2 DM patients and 150 without type 2 DM. They were selected by fixed disease sampling.

3. Study Variables
The dependent variable was type 2 DM. The independent variables were family history of DM, diet, physical activity, Body Mass Index (BMI), central obesity, stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habits. Village was variables in level 2.

4. Operational Definition of Variables
Type 2 DM occurred when it has been diagnosed by a doctor who is supported by laboratory results. The measurement scale was categorical.

Family history of DM was the presence of a family with DM who is one lineage with study subjects. The measurement scale was categorical.

Dietary pattern was a method of consumption and type of food that is carried out by study subjects in their daily eating activities that can cause DM type 2 diseases such as high calories, carbohydrates, fat, protein, sugar, and salt. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

Physical activity was a study subject habit in exercising and doing daily activities both at home and while working. Physical activity is measured based on the activities that are often carried out by study subjects, then calculated the total value of the Metabolic
Equivalent Task (MET) overall of the activities carried out. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

**BMI** was the body mass index as body weight in kilograms (kg) divided by height in meters squared (m²) calculated before the study subjects are exposed to type 2 diabetes mellitus. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

Central obesity was the accumulation of fat in the body of the abdomen, usually the measurement of the circumference of the stomach is used to determine whether someone is obese or not. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

**Stress** was a condition of feeling experienced when someone considers that demand exceed the social and personal resources that can be done by someone. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

**History of hypertension** was someone who has a history of hypertension that has blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg. The measurement scale was categorical.

**Smoking habit** was the number of cigarettes smoked in one day. The measurement scale was continuous, but it was transformed into dichotomous.

### 5. Study Instruments

The study instrument used for data collection was a questionnaire that had been tested for validity and reliability. The questionnaire was used to measure data on family history of DM, diet, physical activity, BMI, central obesity, stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habits. Metline measurement tool to measure central obesity data.

### 6. Data Analysis

Univariate analysis used to see the frequency distribution and percentage characteristics of study subjects. Bivariate analysis used to study the relationship between DM type 2 with independent variables by using the chi-square test. Multivariate analysis was using logistic regression analysis through a multi-level approach with the Stata 13 program to determine the effect of village contextual on biopsychosocial determinants of type 2 DM incident.

### 7. Research Ethics

Ethical clearance in this study was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Commission of Moewardi Hospital Surakarta City with the number of 1.288/XII/HREC/2019 published on December 3, 2019.

### RESULTS

#### A. Sample Characteristics

The characteristics of this study include age, gender, education, occupation, and income. These characteristics can be shown in Tables 1 and 2.

| Variable                              | n  | Mean     | SD   | Min. | Max.  |
|---------------------------------------|----|----------|------|------|-------|
| Age (years old)                       | 200| 53.5     | 9.5  | 24   | 77    |
| Income (rupiah)                       | 200| 1,233,700| 1,770,871| 0    | 17,000,000 |
| Dietary pattern                       | 200| 15.48    | 4.8  | 4    | 28    |
| Physical activity                     | 200| 7,117.1  | 7,230.9| 57   | 35,007|
| BMI (kg/BW/m²)                        | 200| 24.3     | 4.5  | 14.2 | 39    |
| Abdominal circumference (cm)          | 200| 89.1     | 13.6 | 52   | 195   |
| Stress                                | 200| 7.5      | 5.6  | 0    | 26    |
| Systolic (mm/Hg)                      | 200| 120.2    | 13.9 | 80   | 170   |
| Diastolic (mm/Hg)                     | 200| 86.3     | 10.1 | 50   | 130   |
Table 2. Sample Characteristics (Dichotomous Data)

| Sample Characteristics          | n  | %      |
|---------------------------------|----|--------|
| **Age (years old)**             |    |        |
| <46 years old                   | 39 | 19.50  |
| ≥46 years old                   | 161| 80.50  |
| **Gender**                      |    |        |
| Female                          | 80 | 40.00  |
| Male                            | 120| 60.00  |
| **Education**                   |    |        |
| No School                       | 31 | 15.50  |
| Elementary school               | 59 | 29.50  |
| Junior high school              | 36 | 18.00  |
| Senior high school              | 56 | 28.00  |
| College                         | 18 | 9.00   |
| **Employment**                  |    |        |
| Civil Servant/SOE               | 5  | 2.50   |
| Private employees               | 12 | 6.00   |
| Entrepreneur                    | 56 | 28.00  |
| Housewives                      | 63 | 31.50  |
| Labor                           | 30 | 15.00  |
| Others                          | 34 | 17.00  |
| **Income (Rupiah)**             |    |        |
| <Rp 1,802,700                   | 143| 71.50  |
| ≥Rp 1,802,700                   | 57 | 28.50  |
| **Village Category**            |    |        |
| Pratama                         | 3  | 12.00  |
| Madya                           | 3  | 12.00  |
| Purnama                         | 3  | 12.00  |
| Mandiri                         | 16 | 64.00  |

Table 3. Bivariate Analysis

| Independent Variable            | Type 2 DM | Total | OR    | p     |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                | No | % | Yes | % | n | % | n | % |       |
| **Family History of DM**        |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| None                            | 120| 88.9 | 15 | 11.1 | 135| 100 |     |     | 9.33 | <0.001 |
| Yes                             | 30 | 46.2 | 35 | 53.8 | 35 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **Diet**                        |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| Good                            | 68 | 80.9 | 16 | 19.1 | 84 | 100 | 1.76 | 0.009 |
| Poor                            | 82 | 70.7 | 34 | 29.3 | 116| 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **Physical Activity**           |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| High                            | 127| 80.4 | 31 | 19.6 | 158| 100 | 3.38 | <0.001 |
| Low                             | 23 | 54.8 | 19 | 45.2 | 42 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **BMI**                         |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| Normal                          | 97 | 77.6 | 28 | 22.4 | 125| 100 | 1.44 | 0.273 |
| Overweight/Obesity              | 53 | 70.7 | 22 | 29.3 | 75 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **Central Obesity**             |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| Normal                          | 60 | 83.3 | 12 | 16.7 | 72 | 100 | 2.11 | 0.040 |
| Obesity                         | 90 | 70.3 | 38 | 29.7 | 128| 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **Stress**                      |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| Normal                          | 124| 81.1 | 29 | 18.9 | 153| 100 | 3.45 | <0.001 |
| Stress                          | 16 | 55.3 | 21 | 44.7 | 47 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **History of Hypertension**     |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| None                            | 114| 80.9 | 27 | 19.1 | 141| 100 | 2.69 | 0.003 |
| Yes                             | 36 | 61.0 | 23 | 39.0 | 59 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
| **Smoking**                     |    |   |     |    |    |   |    |   |       |
| No                              | 134| 79.3 | 35 | 20.7 | 169| 100 | 3.59 | <0.001 |
| Yes                             | 16 | 51.6 | 15 | 48.4 | 31 | 100 |     |     |       |       |
B. The result of bivariate analysis

The analytical test used in the bivariate analysis is the chi square test. Bivariate analysis based on the results of this study can be seen in Table 3.

**Table 4. A Multiple Multilevel Logistic Regression Analysis of Ecological Effect of Villages on the Risk of Type 2 DM**

| Independent Variable               | Regression Coefficient (b) | 95% CI (Lower Limit) | 95% CI (Upper Limit) | p   |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----|
| **Fixed Effect**                  |                           |                      |                      |     |
| Family history of DM (Yes)        | 2.37                      | 1.51                 | 3.23                 | <0.001 |
| Dietary Pattern (Poor)            | 1.09                      | 0.71                 | 1.86                 | 0.034 |
| Physical Activity (Low )          | 1.07                      | 0.12                 | 2.02                 | 0.027 |
| Central Obesity (Obesity)         | 1.01                      | 0.75                 | 1.95                 | 0.034 |
| Stress (Experience Stress)        | 1.07                      | 0.15                 | 1.98                 | 0.022 |
| History of Hypertension (Yes)     | 1.08                      | 0.19                 | 1.96                 | 0.016 |
| Smoking Habit (Yes)               | 1.31                      | 0.22                 | 2.39                 | 0.018 |
| Constants                         | -4.60                     | -6.03                | -3.18                | <0.001 |
| **Random Effect**                 |                           |                      |                      |     |
| Var (Constants)                   | <0.001                    |                      |                      |     |

**C. The result of multilevel analysis**

Multiple logistic regression analysis with a multilevel approach described the effect of more than one independent variable (family history of DM, dietary patterns, physical activity, central obesity, stress, history of hypertension and smoking habits) on the dependent variable (incidence of type 2 DM). The following results of multivariate analysis of the incidence of type 2 DM by using multiple logistic regression with a multilevel approach can be seen from Table 4.

Table 4 showed that there was an influence of family history of DM on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone with a family history of DM has a possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 2.37 units greater than someone who does not have family history of DM (b=2.37; CI 95%= 1.51 to 3.23; p<0.001).

There was an influence of diet on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. A person with poor diet has the possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.09 units greater than someone with a good diet (b=1.09; CI 95%= 0.71 to 1.86; p= 0.034).

There was an influence of physical activity on the occurrence of type 2 diabetes. Someone with low physical activity has a possibility (logodd) to experience DM type 2 by 1.07 units greater than someone who has good physical activity (b=1.07; CI 95%= 0.12 to 2.02; p= 0.027).

There was an effect of central obesity on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who has central obesity has a possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.07 units greater than someone who did not experience central obesity (b=1.01; CI 95%= 0.75 to 1.95; p= 0.034).

There was an effect of stress on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who was
stressed has a possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.07 units greater than someone who was not experiencing stress (b=1.07; CI 95%= 0.15 to 1.98; p= 0.022).

There was an effect of history of hypertension on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who has a history of hypertension has a possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.08 units greater than someone who did not have a history of hypertension. (b=1.08; CI 95%= 0.19 to 1.96; p= 0.016).

There was an effect of smoking on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who smoke has a logodd chance to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.31 units greater than someone who did not smoke (b=1.31; CI 95%= 0.22 to 2.39; p= 0.018).

There was no contextual influence of village on the variation in type 2 DM incident (ICC = 0.01%). That was because most of the villages have the same characteristics which were included in the category of active active standby villages with a total of 16 villages (64%).

**DISCUSSION**

1. **The Effect of Family History of DM on the Risk of Type 2 DM**

The results of this study indicated that there was an influence between family history of DM on the incidence of type 2 DM.

Genetic factors directly affect beta cells and change their ability to recognize and spread insulin secretory stimuli. These conditions increase the risk of a person experiencing changes in the integrity and function of pancreatic beta cells. Genetically, the risk of type 2 DM increased in monozygotic twins, mothers of neonatus who were more than 4 kg, or individuals with the obesity gene (Damayanti, 2015).

Maharana et al. (2019) showed that there was a significant influence between family history of DM and the incidence of type 2 DM (p = 0.007). A person who has a family history of DM was 9.8 times more likely to have type 2 diabetes compared to someone who has no family history of DM. A study by Budiarti et al. (2016), stated that there was a relationship between family history of DM and the incidence of type 2 DM (p<0.001). Someone who has a family history of DM such as mother, father, or sister, and younger sibling was 2.56 times more likely to have type 2 diabetes than someone who has no family history of DM.

2. **The Effect of Dietary Pattern on the Risk of Type 2 DM**

The results of this study indicated that there was an influence between dietary patterns on the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

Insulin deficiency that occurred in patients with type 2 diabetes caused not all glucose can be converted into glycogen, so glucose from food stays in the blood (hyperglycemia), high levels of glucose in the blood caused by decreased ability of the body to convert glucose into glycogen. The occurrence of gluconeogenesis in the liver (the process of converting liver glycogen into glucose due to the majority of glucose coming out with urine) caused the formation of glucose and into the bloodstream. The results showed that there was a relationship between dietary pattern and the incidence of type 2 diabetes (p=0.020) (Hariawan et al., 2019).

This study was in line with Fearch et al. (2019), which stated that someone who consumed foods high in salt, fat and sugar has a risk of developing type 2 diabetes, which was 1.51 times higher compared to someone who did not consume foods which high in salt, fat and sugar (OR= 1.51; CI 95%= 1.61 to 1.93; p= 0.003).

This study was consistent with Sadiya and Mnla (2019) which stated that someone who has a good diet such as eating fast food less 1-2 times a week, was able to prevent the incidence of type 2 DM by 1.83 times
compared to someone who often consumed fast food for >3 times a week (OR= 1.83; CI 95%= 1.02 to 3.3; p= 0.040).

3. The Effect of Physical Activity on the Risk of Type 2 DM

The results of this study indicated that there was an effect of physical activity on the incidence of type 2 DM.

Exercise or physical activity is very important, physical activity improves insulin resistance so insulin becomes more effective in transporting glucose. Physical activity burns calories in muscle as glucaon and the need to replace the burned calories make the glucose out of the bloodstream, so that it can reduce circulating sugar. Physical activity also helps people to lose and maintain weight to avoid obesity, because obesity is one of the triggers for type 2 diabetes (Brayer-Ash, 2012).

This study was consistent with Mota-med et al. (2019), which stated that someone who has low physical activity (<METS) was 2.06 times at risk of developing type 2 DM compared to someone who has high physical activity. Other studies have shown that someone who was ≥ 45 years old and having low physical activity was 1.24 times more likely to develop type 2 diabetes than someone who was <45 years old and has high physical activity (OR= 1.24; 95% CI= 1.09 to 1.56; p= 0.006) (Chireh and Arcy, 2019). Based on some of the statements above, it can be concluded that physical activity affected the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

4. The Effect of Central Obesity on the Risk of Type 2 DM

The results of this study indicated that there was an effect between central obesity on the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

Tchernof and Després (2013) defined central obesity as a buildup of fat in the abdomen. This accumulation of fat is caused by excess fat in the subcutaneous fat tissue and abdominal visceral fat. The accumulation of fat in visceral fat tissue is a form of non-functioning subcutaneous fat tissue in the face of excess energy due to excessive fat consumption. The inability of subcutaneous fat tissue as a buffer for excess energy will cause the production of fat that can accumulate in unwanted body parts, such as the liver, heart, kidneys, muscles, and pancreas glands. Central obesity is measured through the circumference of the stomach, for men no more than 90 cm, and for women no more than 80 cm (Ministry of Health, 2018).

This study was supported by Pratiwi et al. (2018), which stated that a person with central obesity was 2.93 times at risk of developing type 2 diabetes because in central obesity, fat accumulated around the middle abdomen is associated with insulin resistance and diabetes (OR=2.93; CI 95%=1.29 to 6.65; p=0.009).

This study was consistent with Chireh and Arcy (2019), which stated that there was an influence between central obesity and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. People who have central obesity were 3.24 times more likely to develop type 2 diabetes compared to someone who has a normal size of abdominal circumference (OR= 3.24; CI 95%= 2.39 to 4.41; p<0.001).

5. The Effect of Stress on the Risk of Type 2 DM

The results of this study indicated that there was an influence of stress on the incidence of type 2 DM.

Stress is a non-specific demand that requires someone to respond or take action. Patients with type 2 diabetes who are experiencing stress can change their diet, exercise, use of drugs that are usually obeyed and this can cause hyperglycemia. Stress triggers the body’s biochemical reaction, the first reaction of the stress response is the secretion of the sympathetic nervous system which causes an increase in heart frequency. This causes blood glucose to rise, if stress persists,
it will cause the hypothalamus-pituitary which triggers an increase in cortisol so that it affects an increase in blood glucose through catabolism of proteins and fats, and inhibits glucose uptake by body cells. The results showed that there was a relationship between stress and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who was stressed had a risk of 3,030 times of suffering from type 2 diabetes compared to someone who did not experience stress (OR=3.03; CI 95%=1.18 to 7.82; p=0.036) (Kabosu et al., 2019).

This study was supported by Ogihara et al. (2017), which stated that stress affected the incidence of type 2 diabetes. A person who was experiencing stress was 2.39 times at risk of developing type 2 diabetes compared with someone who was not stressed (OR= 2.39; 95% CI= 1.01 to 2.47; p= 0.017). Other study also stated that there was an effect between stress and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who was stressed has a possibility (logodd) to experience type 2 diabetes by 1.03 units greater than someone who was not stressed (b=1.03; CI 95%=0.42 to 1.63; p<0.001) (Budiarti et al., 2016).

**6. The Effect of History of Hypertension on the Risk of Type 2 DM**

The results of this study indicated that there was an influence between the history of hypertension on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Hypertension is one of the triggers for type 2 diabetes. Hypertension that is not managed properly in patients with type 2 diabetes will cause disease complications by accelerating damage to the kidneys and cardiovascular abnormalities. Many factors can affect the increase in blood pressure, in people with type 2 diabetes are insulin resistance, plasma blood pressure, and obesity (Damayanti, 2015).

This study was in line with Rahman et al. (2019), which showed that there was an influence on the history of hypertension with the incidence of type 2 DM (p= 0.080). This was supported by Akhtar and Dhillon (2017), which stated that there was a relationship between hypertension and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. A person suffering from hypertension was at risk of experiencing a type 2 diabetes by 1.6 times compared to someone who was not hypertension (OR=1.6; CI 95%=1.56 to 1.63; p<0.001).

This study was consistent with Shen et al. (2019), which stated that there was a relationship between the history of hypertension and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who has a history of hypertension has a risk of 2.09 times to have type 2 diabetes compared to someone who has normal blood pressure (OR = 2.09; 95% CI = 2.0 to 3.3; p = 0.012). Other study also stated that there was a relationship between the history of hypertension and the incidence of type 2 DM (p<0.001) (Agrawal et al., 2019). Based on some of the statements above, it can be concluded that the history of hypertension affected the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

**7. The Effect of Smoking Habit on the Risk of Type 2 DM**

The results of this study indicated that there was an effect of smoking habit on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Based on a study by Priyambodo and Wuryaningsih (2017), smoking habit is carried out by diabetics who are male. Nicotine contained in cigarette smoke has an influence on the occurrence of type 2 diabetes. The effect of nicotine on insulin causes a decrease in insulin release due to the activation of the catecholamine hormone, a negative effect on insulin action, disruption in pancreatic beta cells and progression towards insulin resistance. Smoking can also cause hypertension, which is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes.

This study was in line with Agrawal et al., (2019), which stated that there was a relationship between smoking habits and the
incidence of type 2 diabetes (p <0.001). This was supported by Sukmaningsih (2016), which stated that there was a relationship between smoking habits with the incidence of type 2 diabetes, where someone who has a smoking behavior was 2.54 times more likely to suffer from type 2 diabetes compared to someone who did not smoke (OR=2.54; CI 95%=1.15 to 5.62; p=0.020).

This study was in line with the Mota-med et al. (2019), which stated that there was a relationship between smoking habits and the incidence of type 2 diabetes. Someone who smoke was 1.69 times more likely to have type 2 diabetes compared to someone who did not smoke (OR = 1.69; 95% CI= 1.60 to 1.89; p= 0.010). Based on some of the statements above, it can be concluded that smoking affected the incidence of type 2 diabetes.

8. The Effect of Village on the Risk of Type 2 DM
The results showed that there was no contextual effect at the village level on the variation of type 2 DM incident (ICC = <0.01%).

Village is a variable that has an important role in accessing services carried out by the community to the surrounding health facility providers. Based on the Decree of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number 1529/Menkes/SK/X/2010, there are four categories of active alert villages or active alert villages, namely pratama, madya, purnama and mandiri villages. Based on this study, most of the villages that were included in the category of mandiri villages. Villages that are included in the mandiri category already have active health cadres, easy access of the community to basic health services that provide services every day, have active community-based health efforts such as the elderly posyandu, posbindu and so forth. Therefore, village variables did not affect contextually the variation in type 2 DM incident.

Francisco et al. (2018) reported that demographic areas have no contextual influence on the incidence of type 2 DM (OR= 1.21; 95% CI 95%= 0.03 to 1.44; p= 0.040). This study was in line with Liese et al. (2019), which stated that the distance of access from a residence to a health care provider has nothing to do with the incidence of type 2 diabetes (p= 0.110).

This study was consistent with Gassasse et al. (2017), which stated that there was no contextual influence of countries with the incidence of type 2 diabetes. The study was conducted in 207 high and low income countries. Independent variables studied were diet, physical activity and obesity. Type 2 diabetes mellitus caused by diet, physical activity and obesity occurs in high and low income countries. Thus, there was no country contextual effect on the variation in type 2 DM events (p = 0.078). Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that there was a significant influence between family history of DM, diet, physical activity, central obesity, stress, history of hypertension, and smoking habits on the incidence of type 2 diabetes.
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