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One of the confident predictions of QCD is that at sufficiently high temperature and/or density, hadronic matter should undergo a thermodynamic phase transition to a colour deconfined state of matter - popularly called the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP). In low energy effective theories of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), one usually talks of the chiral transition for which a well defined order parameter exists. We investigate the dissociation of pions and kaons in a medium of hot quark matter described by the Nambu - Jona Lasinio (NJL) model. The decay widths of pion and kaon are found to be large but finite at temperature much higher than the critical temperature for the chiral (or deconfinement) transition, the kaon decay width being much larger. Thus pions and even kaons (with a lower density compared to pions) may coexist with quarks and gluons at such high temperatures. On the basis of such premises, we investigate the process of hadronization in quark-gluon plasma with special emphasis on whether such processes shed any light on acceptable low energy effective theories of QCD.
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\[
\mathcal{L} = \bar{q}(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m)q + \frac{1}{2}g_s \sum_{a=0}^{8} [(\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\lambda_a \gamma_5 q)^2] + g_D [\text{det}\bar{q}_i (1 - \gamma_5)q_j + \text{h.c.}]
\]

where the quark fields \( q_i \) has three colours \((N_c = 3)\) and three flavours \((N_f = 3)\) and \( \lambda_a (a = 1, 8) \) are the Gell-Mann matrices. The quark mass matrix is given by \( m = \text{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s) \).

In the mean field approximation, the quark condensates at finite temperature are given by,

\[
\langle \langle \bar{q}_i q_i \rangle \rangle = -2N_c \int \frac{d^3p}{2\pi^3} \frac{M_i}{E_{ip}} f(E_{ip})
\]

where \( E_{ip} \) is the quark single particle energy for the \( i \)-th specie and \( f(E_{ip}) = 1 - n_{ip} - \bar{n}_{ip} \), \( n_{ip} \) and \( \bar{n}_{ip} \) being the Fermi-Dirac distributions for quarks and anti-quarks, respectively. If quark chemical potential is zero, then \( n_{ip} = \bar{n}_{ip} = \text{exp}(E_{ip}/T) + 1 \)^{-1}.

The temperature dependent constituent quark masses \( M_i \) are obtained from the expressions below,

\[
\begin{align*}
M_u &= m_u - 2g_s\alpha - 2g_D\beta \\
M_d &= m_d - 2g_s\beta - 2g_D\alpha \\
M_s &= m_s - 2g_s\gamma - 2g_D\beta
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \langle \bar{u}u \rangle \rangle &\equiv \alpha, \quad \langle \langle \bar{d}d \rangle \rangle \equiv \beta, \quad \langle \langle \bar{s}s \rangle \rangle \equiv \gamma
\end{align*}
\]

We now want to investigate the decay of pionic and kaonic excitations, the properties of which we assume to be given by the NJL model. It should be mentioned here that at temperatures above the critical temperature, these mesonic excitations are more like resonances with large effective masses \([4,5]\). In the following, we study \([11]\) the decay width of such pseudoscalar excitations in the hot quark medium as a function of temperature, starting with the Lagrangian given above in equation (1).

The quark mass \( M_i \) appearing in eq. (2) and in eq. (3) is a very important ingredient in our calculation. In the absence of any medium and/or dynamic effect, \( M_i \) is the current quark mass. On the other hand, we know that due to the spontaneous breakdown of the chiral symmetry, quarks attain the value of the constituent quark mass \([12]\).

In the present calculation we have used the parametrisation of ref. \([11]\) \((\Lambda = 631.4, g_s\Lambda^2 = 3.67, g_D\Lambda^3 = -9.29\) and current mass \( m_{u,d}(m_s) = 5.5, 135.7 \text{ MeV} \)\) to calculate the quark and meson masses. The constituent quark masses are calculated using the gap equations(eq. 3). These quark masses are then put into the dispersion equation for mesons to get dynamical masses of mesons \((\pi \text{ and } K, \text{ here})\).

\[
1 + 2G_{\phi}\Pi_{ij}(\omega, \vec{q} \to 0) = 0
\]

where \( \Pi_{ij} \) is the one loop polarization due to \( u \) and \( d \) quark for pions and \( u \) or \( d \) and \( s \) quark for kaons. \( G_{\phi} \) is the coupling constant with \( \phi \) coresponding to \( \pi \) or \( K \). The general expression for polarization function is

\[
\Pi(q_0, \vec{q}) = \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{\Lambda} \frac{d^3p}{E_p E_k} \left[ (n_k - n_p) \left\{ \frac{1}{E_p - E_k + q_0 + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{E_p - E_k - q_0 - i\epsilon} \right\} \times (-E_p E_k + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{k} + M_1 M_2) \right. \\
\left. + (n_k + n_p - 1) \left\{ \frac{1}{E_p + E_k + q_0 + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{E_p + E_k - q_0 - i\epsilon} \right\} \times (E_p E_k + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{k} + M_1 M_2) \right]
\]

where \( N_c \) is the number of colours and \( \vec{k} = \vec{p} + \vec{q} \). The energies \( E_p = \sqrt{p^2 + M_1^2} \) and \( E_k = \sqrt{(\vec{p} + \vec{q})^2 + M_2^2} \). For pion, \( M_1 = M_2 = M_u \). For kaon, \( M_1 = M_{u(d)} \) and \( M_2 = M_s \). \( n_k \) and \( n_p \) are the Fermi-Dirac distribution functions defined earlier. The pseudoscalar couplings are,

\[
\begin{align*}
G_{\pi} &= g_s + g_D\gamma \\
G_{K^\pm} &= g_s + g_D\beta \\
G_{K^0} &= g_s + g_D\alpha
\end{align*}
\]
where $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are defined in eq. (4).

The decay width is evaluated using the imaginary part of the eq. (6) as given below,

$$\Gamma_\phi = -\frac{G_{\phi q}^2 Im\Pi(\omega, \vec{q} \to 0)}{\omega}$$

where $G_{\phi q}$ is the empirical meson-quark coupling as obtained in NJL. Here we have used $G_{\pi q} = 3.5$ and $G_{K q} = 3.6$.

The variation of quark and meson masses is shown in figure 1. The $u$ or $d$ quark masses starting from 135 MeV drops to the current quark mass value just after a temperature of 200 MeV. On the other hand, the drop in the strange quark mass is much smaller around that temperature, showing the effect of explicitly broken chiral symmetry, by a larger amount, in the SU(3) sector. Pion and kaon both show a similar qualitative behaviour. The masses of pion and kaon remain constant at their free masses upto a temperature of 200 MeV but increases sharply after that, the pion mass rising to 900 MeV and kaon mass to 1000 MeV around a temperature of 450 MeV, thus giving a slower increment for kaons compared to pions. The difference in the behaviour of kaon and pion can be attributed to the difference in $u$ and $s$ quark masses.

Figure 2 shows that the decay width, for both pion and kaon, is very high at high temperature and decreases with decreasing temperature, going to zero at around $T = 0.2$ GeV. It is worth noticing that at around the same temperature, the effective pion mass attains the value of the free pion mass (figure 1). The decay width of kaon is around 3 GeV whereas that of pion is around 1.4 GeV at $T = 500$ MeV. This is very significant for two reasons. Firstly, our results show that though there will be pions and kaons along with the quarks at high temperature phase, the numbers of mesons will be very small due to their large decay width. Moreover, the number of kaons will be much less compared to pions at high temperature phase, though both the mesons will become stable around the same temperature (below 200 MeV).

We thus find that even without any consideration of the detailed evolution and dynamics of the system, the mesonic modes in a hot quark medium are found to become important around a temperature of 200 MeV. Though the question whether this is a signature of a phase transition cannot be addressed within the present framework, the fact that most
of the pions and kaons decay into quarks, owing to a large decay width at temperatures higher than $T = 200$ MeV, is a remarkable finding. Moreover, both the pionic as well as kaonic modes start becoming important at about the same temperature, thus providing a hint of some kind of a transition temperature. It is therefore tempting to attempt a microscopic investigation of the process of hadronization within the NJL model.

Recently there have been some attempts to study the formation of hadrons in quark matter using different semi-microscopic approaches. These studies can be characterized either as model dependent calculations \[13\], or the computer codes based on the string phenomenology \[14\], or other phenomenological description of hadronization \[15\]. None of these approaches account for the essential lack of equilibrium in the quark-gluon phase. Some efforts have also been made to estimate hadronization within the parton cascade model by introducing a cut-off to mimic the non-perturbative effects \[16\]. To the best of our knowledge, the first study aimed at investigating the dynamical process of hadronization in a non-equilibrated quark-gluon system from a physically transparent approach was in \[17\].

We have earlier shown \[2\] that perturbative estimates of the gluon-gluon, quark-gluon and quark-quark cross sections have also been made to estimate hadronization within the parton cascade model by introducing a cut-off to mimic the non-perturbative effects \[16\]. To the best of our knowledge, the first study aimed at investigating the dynamical process of hadronization in a non-equilibrated quark-gluon system from a physically transparent approach was in \[17\].

Hadronization in such a system can be studied in the light of Smoluchowski’s theory of coagulation in colloids, which was elaborated further by Chandrasekhar \[18\]. This theory suggests that coagulation results as a consequence of each colloidal particle being surrounded by a sphere of influence of a certain radius $R$ such that the Brownian motion of a particle proceeds unaffected only so long as no other particle comes within its sphere of influence. When another Brownian particle does come within a distance $R$ of the test particle, they form a two body cluster. This cluster also describes a Brownian motion but at a reduced rate due to its increased size/mass. The process continues further till a single cluster of all the particles is formed.

In order for this stochastic scenario of cluster formation to apply to a system of Brownian quarks in the hot gluon bath, it is essential that each quark has an appropriate sphere of influence of radius $r$. Obviously, this radius $r$ will depend on the spin-isospin combination of final cluster (whether the final cluster is scalar, pseudoscalar, vector or axial vector meson or even a baryon). Mesons are formed when one quark and one antiquark with proper quantum numbers come within the spheres of influence of each other. (Clusters with greater numbers of quarks and antiquarks (e.g. baryons) can also be formed by imposing the conditions of colour neutrality and charge balance properly.) This implies that the radius of the sphere of influence corresponds to the correlation length between the quarks in the proper hadronic channel. In other words, this is the screening length of the corresponding hadrons in the hot quark-gluon matter. (One can immediately see, without further ado, that the rate of pion formation in the hot quark matter should be rather small at high temperatures and increase with falling temperature as the pion screening length (inverse of the screening mass) decreases with increasing temperature in all realistic pictures.) The pions formed at very high temperatures are most likely to decay back into quarks and antiquarks, because of the large decay width at high temperatures. We adopt, for the sake of consistency, the NJL model estimates for the pion screening mass \[19\] in the present work.

The rate of pion formation from Brownian quarks as a stochastic process, as is evident from the preceding discussion, depends on the number of quarks (antiquarks) falling into the sphere of influence of another antiquark (quark), the number of pions decaying back to quarks and antiquarks and also the change in the pion density due to the expansion of the system. Thus the rate equations can be written as,

\[
\frac{dn_{\pi^+}}{dt} = n_{q_i} n_{\bar{q}_j} 4\pi r^2 \langle \vec{v} \cdot \hat{r} \rangle - \Gamma_{\pi^+ \rightarrow q_i \bar{q}_j} \frac{n_{\pi^+}}{t} \tag{9}
\]

\[
\frac{dn_{\pi^0}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} (n_u n_\bar{u} + n_d n_\bar{d}) 4\pi r^2 \langle \vec{v} \cdot \hat{r} \rangle - \Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow u \bar{u}(d\bar{d})} \frac{n_{\pi^0}}{t} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\frac{dn_{q_i}}{dt} = \Gamma_{g \rightarrow q_i \bar{q}_j} + \Gamma_{gg \rightarrow q_i \bar{q}_j} - n_{q_i} n_{\bar{q}_j} 4\pi r^2 \langle \vec{v} \cdot \hat{r} \rangle + \Gamma_{\pi \rightarrow q_i \bar{q}_j} \frac{n_{\pi}}{t} \tag{11}
\]

In eqs. (9,10), the first term is the rate of pion formation ($a \equiv + \text{ or } -$); the second term is the rate of pions decaying back to quarks and the third term is due to Bjorken (longitudinal) expansion of the system. $i(j)$ stands for $u$ or $d$ (we ignore $s$ and other heavier flavours). $\langle \vec{v} \cdot \hat{r} \rangle$ (the average relative velocity in the radial direction) is calculated using the Jüttner distribution,

\[
f(x, p) = e^{-\beta p \cdot u(x)} \tag{12}
\]

There would also be a corresponding rate equation for the antiquarks, which looks exactly like eq. (11) and hence not explicitly written. In eq. (11) the $\Gamma_{g \rightarrow q\bar{q}}$ as well as $\Gamma_{gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}}$ stand for the corresponding net quantities.

As mentioned earlier, we are considering a non-equilibrated quark matter and hence the pions formed will also be out of equilibrium. This is taken into account by multiplying the relevant distribution functions with the ratios.
\[ r_q = n_q/n_{eq} \text{ and } r_\pi = n_\pi/n_{eq} \] where \( n_q \) and \( n_{eq} \) are non-equilibrium and equilibrium densities of quarks and \( n_\pi \) and \( n_{eq} \) are non-equilibrium and equilibrium densities for pions.

In all these expressions, the appropriate masses are the effective masses including the current as well as thermal contribution, whose importance in determining the dynamics of the hot quark matter has been well established. For quarks (antiquarks), this is

\[ m_{\text{eff}} = \sqrt{m_q(\text{curr})^2 + m_q(\text{thermal})^2} \]

where \(^{[20][21]}\)

\[ m_q^2(\text{thermal}) = (1 + \frac{r_q}{2})\frac{g_s T_s^4}{3} \]

and \( m_q(\text{curr}) \) is taken to be 10 MeV. For gluons the thermal mass is,

\[ m_g(\text{thermal}) = \frac{2}{3} g_s T \]

The running coupling constant \( \alpha_s \) as a function of temperature is given by \(^{[22]}\)

\[ \alpha_s = \frac{12\pi}{(33 - 2n_f)\ln \left( \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)} \]

with \( Q^2 = m_{\text{eff}}^2(T) + 9T^2 \).

Simultaneously, we must take account of energy momentum conservation which, for a Bjorken flow, corresponds to the following equation

\[ \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} = \epsilon + P \]

where \( \epsilon \equiv \epsilon_{\text{total}} = \epsilon_g + \epsilon_q + \epsilon_\pi \). We also include the one loop correction to \( \epsilon \). \(^{[23]}\) \( \epsilon \) and \( P \) are related through the velocity of sound, as in \(^{[2]}\). For a complete description of the system, eqs. (9), (10), (11) and (16) must be solved self-consistently. The initial conditions are taken from \(^{[2]}\) for RHIC energies. The initial time \( (t_g) \) is the time when gluons thermalise (=0.3 fm), where \( r_q = 0.15, r_g = 1 \) and \( r_\pi \) is taken to be 0. The temperature at this time is 500 MeV. Note that we are working at \( y = 0 \) so that \( t \) and \( \tau \) are the same and the baryon chemical potential is zero.

Figure 3 : Time evolution of quark and pion number densities for various values of QCD parameter \( \Lambda \).
Figure 3 shows the variation of pion and quark number densities with time, for various values of the QCD parameter $\Lambda$. In all three cases, we find the same qualitative feature that pions start appearing in the system quite early on but they become appreciable in number only after some time. At late times the system is dominated by pions. This cross over occurs at $t \geq 4$ fm for $\Lambda = 0.2$ or 0.3 GeV while for $\Lambda = 0.4$ GeV this happens at $t \sim 6$ fm.

Figure 4 shows the variation of temperature with time. Obviously, there is a dramatic effect of the QCD parameter $\Lambda$. In all the cases, there is a change at $T \sim 215$ MeV, corresponding to $t \sim 3.5$ fm; the variation of temperature with time becomes slower, as is expected in the mixed phase of a first order phase transition. At $\Lambda = 0.2$ GeV, this occurs for a very short period of time, before the system starts cooling again. The duration of the constant temperature configuration increases with $\Lambda$, and for $\Lambda = 0.4$ GeV, it persist upto 9 fm before the temperature of the system starts falling again.

![Figure 4: Time evolution of the Temperature for various values of QCD parameter $\Lambda$.](image)

Obviously, this is a clear indication of an apparent first order transition. Microscopically, the appearance of the mixed phase at a temperature of $\sim 215$ MeV can be understood from the fact that the pion decay width goes to zero at such a temperature. All the pions that were formed earlier in the system tended to decay back to quarks and antiquarks on a fast time scale. Only after the pion decay width becomes small would the formed pions become stable.

The apparently desirable features of the dynamical deconfining transition seem to arise quite naturally in this scenario. It is therefore important to test if all the conservation laws are obeyed during the evolution. To this end, let us check if entropy increases steadily during the entire evolution.

![Figure 5: Time evolution of entropy with time ($\Lambda = 0.3$ GeV).](image)
The decrease in entropy occurs precisely where the gluons drop out of the system. This, in hindsight, was to be expected, since the sudden decrease in the number of degrees of freedom in the system should lead to a decrease in entropy. The NJL model, in the present form, does not account for the gluonic degrees of freedom. It thus appears to us that in order to obtain an effective low energy lagrangian from QCD capable of describing the dynamical evolution of the quark-gluon system, the role of the gluons must be taken into account. Incorporation of the dilaton field to account for the QCD trace anomaly in the NJL model \[24\] is indeed a promising step in this respect, but the entropy carried by the gluons at high temperature should also be accommodated. Such a study is on our current agenda.
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