Intermittency of turbulent velocity and scalar fields using 3D local averaging
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An efficient approach for extracting 3D local averages in spherical subdomains is proposed and applied to study the intermittency of small-scale velocity and scalar fields in direct numerical simulations of isotropic turbulence. We focus on the inertial-range scaling exponents of locally averaged energy dissipation rate, enstrophy and scalar dissipation rate corresponding to the mixing of a passive scalar $\theta$ in the presence of a uniform mean gradient. The Taylor-scale Reynolds number $R_A$ goes up to 1300, and the Schmidt number $Sc$ up to 512 (albeit at smaller $R_A$). The intermittency exponent of the energy dissipation rate is $\mu \approx 0.23$, whereas that of enstrophy is slightly larger; trends with $R_A$ suggest that this will be the case even at extremely large $R_A$. The intermittency exponent of the scalar dissipation rate is $\mu_{\theta} \approx 0.35$ for $Sc = 1$. These findings are in essential agreement with previously reported results in the literature. We further show that $\mu_{\theta}$ decreases monotonically with increasing $Sc$, either as $1/\log Sc$ or a weak power law, suggesting that $\mu_{\theta} \to 0$ as $Sc \to \infty$, reaffirming recent results on the breakdown of scalar dissipation anomaly in this limit.

I. INTRODUCTION

A key characteristic of fully developed fluid turbulence is small-scale intermittency, referring to the sporadic generation of intense fluctuations of velocity gradients or velocity increments, which result in strong deviations from Gaussianity and necessitate anomalous corrections to the seminal mean-field description by Kolmogorov (1941)\textsuperscript{[1,2]}. Given its practical importance in numerous physical processes\textsuperscript{[3–8]}, and its fundamental connection to the energy cascade\textsuperscript{[9]}, a quantitative characterization of intermittency is at the heart of turbulence theory\textsuperscript{[1,2]} and modeling\textsuperscript{[10]}. A key concept in understanding intermittency is the introduction of local averaging which allows a quantification of anomalous corrections to the mean-field description in some pertinent manner\textsuperscript{[11,12]}. In general, for a fluctuating quantity $A(x,t)$, its local average $A_r(x,t)$ over a scale $r$ can be defined as:

$$A_r(x,t) = \frac{3}{4\pi r^3} \int_{|x'| \leq r} A(x + x', t) \, dx' .$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Evidently, local averages are defined over a spherical volume to ensure isotropy with respect to the averaging scale size. However, such an averaging has not been possible until now because the full 3D field is rarely available in experiments. So they have predominantly relied on surrogates, which are usually in the form of averages along a line or in a plane\textsuperscript{[2]}. These methods are sometimes known to give different results compared to 3D local averages\textsuperscript{[13–16]}. Even when the full 3D field is available in experiments, the data are restricted to low Reynolds numbers\textsuperscript{[17]}, where a plausible inertial range is not available. In contrast, direct numerical simulations (DNS) provide access to full 3D field at sufficiently large Reynolds numbers, but accurate spherical averaging needs some extra work, since the data are available on a Cartesian grid. Consequently, recent works have relied on 3D averages over cubical domains\textsuperscript{[16,18]} which, while convenient, could retain some anisotropies.

In this work, we present a simple approach to efficiently and accurately obtain 3D local averages in spherical domains from the DNS data and apply it to study the intermittency of velocity and scalar fields. For the velocity field, we revisit inertial-range scaling of locally averaged energy dissipation rate and enstrophy\textsuperscript{[18,19]}. For the scalar field, we consider the scaling of locally averaged scalar dissipation rate, and compare it to that of the energy dissipation rate. A key novelty is that we focus on mixing of low-diffusivity scalars (or high Schmidt numbers), which are notoriously difficult to obtain due to additional resolution constraints, and have been available only very recently at sufficiently high Reynolds numbers\textsuperscript{[20,21]}. Our work confirms the past results obtained primarily in experiments using one or two-dimensional surrogates. Some important trends with Reynolds numbers are also highlighted. We also show that the intermittent character of the scalar dissipation disappears at high Schmidt numbers, consistent with\textsuperscript{[20,21]}.
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II. BACKGROUND

The energy dissipation rate $\epsilon$ and the enstrophy $\Omega$, defined, respectively, as

$$
\epsilon = 2\nu S_{ij} S_{ij}, \quad \Omega = \omega_i \omega_i,
$$

capturing the local straining and rotational motions, are central to our understanding of the small-scale structure of turbulence [22–26]. Here, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $S_{ij}$ is the strain-rate tensor and $\omega_i$ is the vorticity (and repeated indices imply summation). At high Reynolds numbers, these quantities become highly intermittent, so a means to characterizing them, following Kolmogorov (1962) [11], is to average them locally over a scale $r$ and study these averages for a wide range of $r$. In particular, it is postulated that for the locally averaged energy dissipation $\epsilon_r$, its second moment will scale as

$$
\langle \epsilon_r^2 \rangle \sim r^{-\mu},
$$

for $r$ in the inertial range, where the constant $\mu$ is termed as the ‘intermittency exponent’. Note, other definitions of intermittency exponent are also possible, but they are mostly equivalent and give essentially the same value [27]; also see Sec. IV A. Based on theoretical grounds [23], a similar result is also anticipated for the locally averaged enstrophy $\Omega_r$, with the same numerical value of the intermittency exponent. However, previous DNS data have suggested a slightly larger intermittency exponent for enstrophy [18, 19].

The pertinent small-scale quantity when considering turbulent mixing of a passive scalar $\theta(x,t)$ is the scalar dissipation rate

$$
\chi = 2D|\nabla \theta|^2,
$$

where $D$ is the scalar diffusivity. It is well established that the scalar gradients and scalar increments also exhibit intermittency [2], and so, similar to $\epsilon_r$ and $\Omega_r$, we can consider the scaling of $\chi_r$ [28]. The mixing process is controlled additionally by the Schmidt number $Sc = \nu / D$. Obtaining data at high $Sc$, while also keeping the Reynolds numbers acceptably high, is extremely challenging due to additional resolution constraints, and has only been possible very recently [20, 21].

III. NUMERICAL APPROACH

A. Direct numerical simulations and database

The DNS data examined in this work are obtained by solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla P + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{f},
$$

where $\mathbf{u}$ is the divergence free velocity field ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$), $P$ is the kinematic pressure and $\mathbf{f}$ is the large-scale forcing term to maintain statistical stationarity. The DNS corresponds to the canonical setup of isotropic turbulence in a periodic domain [29], allowing the use of highly accurate Fourier pseudo-spectral methods, with aliasing errors controlled using a combination of grid-shifting and truncation [30]. The database for the present work corresponds to recent works [31–34], with the Taylor-scale Reynolds number $R_\lambda$ in the range 140 – 1300. Convergence with respect to resolution and statistical sampling has also been thoroughly established in all these previous works.

The passive scalar is obtained by simultaneously solving the advection-diffusion equation in the presence of mean uniform gradient:

$$
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \Theta + D \nabla^2 \theta.
$$

The uniform mean gradient is set as $\nabla \Theta = (G,0,0)$ along the first Cartesian directions, and provides the forcing needed to achieve statistical stationarity for the scalar [35]. The database for scalars utilized here is the same as in our recent papers [20, 21] and corresponds to $R_\lambda$ in the range 140 – 650, and $Sc$ in the range $1 – 512$. As noted in [20], the data were generated using conventional Fourier pseudo-spectral methods for $Sc = 1$, and a hybrid approach for higher $Sc$ [36, 38]; this approach consisted of solving the velocity field pseudo-spectrally while resolving the Kolmogorov length scale $\eta_K$, while resolving the scalar field using compact finite differences on a finer grid, so as to resolve the Batchelor scale $\eta_B = \eta_K Sc^{-1/2}$ [39].
FIG. 1. (a) Second moment of locally averaged dissipation and enstrophy at $R_\lambda = 1300$. The intermittency exponent for dissipation is about $\mu = 0.23$ and that for enstrophy is slightly larger. (b) The variance of local averages as defined by Eq. (9).

B. Local averaging procedure

To implement the 3D local averaging efficiently, Eq. (11) is rewritten as:

$$A_r(x, t) = \int_{x'} G(x') A(x + x', t) \, dx',$$

where $G(x') = 3/4\pi r^3$, satisfying $\int_{x'} G(x') \, dx' = 1$, represents an isotropic box filter [40]. Following recent work in Ref. [41, 42], this filtering operation can be easily evaluated in the Fourier-space for a chosen value of $r$, as:

$$\hat{A}_r(k, t) = f(kr) \hat{A}(k, t),$$

where $f(kr) = 3 \left[ \sin(kr) - k r \cos(kr) \right]/(kr)^3$. (8)

Here, $\hat{\cdot}$ denotes the Fourier transform, $k$ is the wave-vector, with $\|k\| = k$, and $f(kr)$ is the transfer function corresponding to $G(r)$. Unlike in previous works [16, 18], this local averaging is evaluated exactly in an isotropic spherical volume. It can also be easily shown that this isotropic box filter also satisfies the consistency condition: $\langle A_r \rangle = \langle A \rangle$.

IV. RESULTS

A. Energy dissipation rate and enstrophy

We first consider the intermittency of dissipation and enstrophy, a topic that has received considerable attention in the literature [18, 19, 27, 18]. Figure 1 shows the second moments of locally-averaged dissipation and enstrophy at $R_\lambda = 1300$ (the highest in the current work). As anticipated, for small $r$, the moments of local averages simply tend to those of instantaneous quantities, those for enstrophy being larger (as is known); whereas at large $r$, the moments tend to the same value of unity (as they should). In the inertial range, dissipation exhibits the power-law $r^{-0.23}$ (with an error bar of 0.02) for a reasonable range of $r$, implying $\mu \approx 0.23 \pm 0.02$, in very good agreement with previous works [27]. At the same time, within the same range of $r$, the enstrophy curve suggests a slightly larger intermittency exponent, consistent with previous works [18, 19]; see later for more details.

An alternative means of extracting the intermittency exponent is to consider the variance of $\epsilon_r$ (and $\Omega_r$), computed after subtracting the respective means for each $r$; for instance,

$$\sigma(\epsilon_r) = \langle (\epsilon_r - \langle \epsilon_r \rangle)^2 \rangle/\langle \epsilon_r \rangle^2 = \langle \epsilon_r^2 \rangle/\langle \epsilon \rangle^2 - 1;$$

note that $\langle \epsilon_r \rangle = \langle \epsilon \rangle$. The expectation is that $\sigma(\epsilon_r) \sim r^{-\mu}$ in the inertial range [27]. Figure 1b shows the variance of $\epsilon_r$ and $\Omega_r$, and indeed the nature of their scaling follow expectations — although the scaling ranges are somewhat different from Fig. 1a.
FIG. 2. (a) Local slopes of the second moment of locally averaged dissipation and enstrophy at different Reynolds numbers. The constancy of slopes improves as the inertial range enlarges with increasing Reynolds numbers. (b) Ratio of the two local slopes as a function of $r$. It is evident that the intermittency exponent for enstrophy is slightly larger and the approach towards unity as Reynolds number increases is extremely slow.

B. Effect of Reynolds number

To be stringent about the power law exponents, it is helpful to take the log-log derivatives (or the local slope) of the curves in Fig. 1a. Figure 2a shows the local slope of second moments of $\epsilon_r$ and $\Omega_r$ for various $R_\lambda$. It can be seen that the quality of results in the inertial range depend on $R_\lambda$ and, in fact, a constant local slope (corresponding to a true power-law) is convincing only at the highest $R_\lambda (= 1300)$. However, guided by this feature, one can look for signs of approximate power laws at lower $R_\lambda$, and find slightly large exponent values.

It is worth noting that in Fig. 2a, the local slope of enstrophy (in the inertial range) is always larger than that of dissipation for every $R_\lambda$. To better document this behavior, Fig. 2b shows the ratio of the local slope of enstrophy with respect to that of dissipation (in the spirit of extended self-similarity). Remarkably, the curves are always above unity in the inertial range. Further, there is a weak but clear tendency for this ratio to approach unity with increasing $R_\lambda$, but the rate of approach is so slow that the difference remains in place at all finite Reynolds numbers of interest. That is, enstrophy in the inertial range is slightly more intermittent than dissipation.

C. Scalar dissipation rate

We first consider locally averaged scalar dissipation rate for $Sc = 1$. Figure 3a shows the second moments of locally averaged energy dissipation, enstrophy and scalar dissipation (at $R_\lambda = 650$), with the corresponding local slopes shown in Fig. 3b. The intermittency exponent of the scalar $\mu_\theta$ is larger than those of both dissipation and enstrophy. While a clear plateau for dissipation and enstrophy is not achieved at $R_\lambda = 650$ (in Fig. 3b) the curve for scalar dissipation shows a distinct plateau, giving $\mu_\theta \approx 0.35$. This result is in excellent agreement with earlier experimental results of [14, 28].

The effect of increasing $Sc$ is considered next. Since increasing $Sc$ imposes a stricter constraint on small-scale resolution, we consider data at slightly lower $R_\lambda = 390$, but still large enough to display inertial range characteristics. Figure 4a shows the local slope of second moment of scalar dissipation at $R_\lambda = 390$ and $Sc = 1 - 8$. The curve corresponding to $R_\lambda = 650$ and $Sc = 1$ is also shown for comparison. The scalar intermittency exponent monotonically decreases with increasing $Sc$ (also displaying a weak $R_\lambda$ dependence). Due to this possible $R_\lambda$-dependence, it is difficult to extract precisely the intermittency exponents at higher $Sc$. Instead, if we were to compare the ratio of $\mu_\theta$ to $\mu$, a trend can be established: this should shed light on the asymptotic limit of $Sc \to \infty$. To this end, Fig. 4b shows the local slope of scalar dissipation with respect to that of energy dissipation. The $R_\lambda$-dependence is somewhat more prominent than in Fig. 4a, likely because $\mu_\theta$ seemingly has a stronger $R_\lambda$-dependence than $\mu$. Nevertheless, it is evident that the ratio $\mu_\theta/\mu$ monotonically decreases with $Sc$.

For a definitive answer on the high $Sc$ limit, one obviously needs to obtain data at substantially higher $Sc$ at $R_\lambda = 390$ (and also at higher $R_\lambda$). But these are unlikely to be attained anytime soon. Instead, we analyze data at lower $R_\lambda = 140$, for which inertial range characteristics just begin to manifest [16, 29]. Figure 5 shows the local
FIG. 3. (a) Second moment of locally averaged energy dissipation, enstrophy and scalar dissipation at $R_\lambda = 650$, with scalar at $Sc = 1$. The intermittency exponent of scalar $\mu = 0.35$ is significantly larger. (b) The local slopes of quantities shown in (a) demonstrates that the inertial range scaling for the scalar is very robust.

FIG. 4. (a) Local slopes of the second moment of locally averaged scalar dissipation at $R_\lambda = 390$ for $Sc = 1, 4, 8$ and at $R_\lambda = 650$ for $Sc = 1$. (b) The ratio of the local slope of scalar dissipation to that of energy dissipation for same cases as shown in (a).

Two main conclusions can be drawn from this figure. First, the effect of $R_\lambda$ is weaker at higher $Sc$ (in comparison to that at $Sc = 1$, as evident from Fig. 3b). Second, the ratio $\mu_\theta/\mu$ keeps decreasing further, possibly suggesting that $\mu_\theta \to 0$ as $Sc \to \infty$. We extract the ratio $\mu_\theta/\mu$ from Fig. 4a and plot its inverse as a function of $Sc$ in Fig. 5a. The data show a weak power-law dependence (with an exponent of about 0.16), though a log $Sc$-behavior is equally appropriate. The log $Sc$-behavior of $\mu/\mu_\theta$ is loosely based on how the mean scalar dissipation rate scales with $Sc$ [21].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have performed local averaging of turbulent intermittent variables as they should be done: spherical averaging of three-dimensional quantities without using surrogates from one- or two-dimensional cuts, without the use of Taylor’s frozen-flow hypothesis, or relying on cubical subdomains. For the energy dissipation and enstrophy, we mostly recover past results with greater assurance; we feel more confident than ever that intermittency exponents do exist. Our results additionally suggest that enstrophy will always remain somewhat more intermittent than energy dissipation even at extremely large $R_\lambda$, reaffirming recent results of [26].
FIG. 5. (a) Ratio of local slopes of the second moments of locally averaged scalar dissipation and energy dissipation at $R_\lambda = 140$ for $Sc = 8, 32, 128, 512$ and at $R_\lambda = 390$ for $Sc = 8$. (b) Inverse of the inertial range exponents extracted from (a) as a function of $Sc$. Both power-law and log fits are shown.

For the scalar dissipation, no previous studies existed on its scaling at high Schmidt numbers with large enough Reynolds number to obtain inertial range characteristics. In our simulations, the maximum allowable computational capacity has necessitated decreasing $Sc$ with increasing $R_\lambda$. For $Sc = 1$, we were able to maintain $R_\lambda$ as high as 650, whereas $R_\lambda = 140$ for the highest $Sc = 512$. It is unlikely that one can get much higher $R_\lambda$ for such high $Sc$ anytime soon. For these conditions, we have been able to extract the intermittency exponent for the scalar dissipation. For $Sc = 1$, it is very close to what had been deduced from earlier measurements of the full scalar dissipation using Taylor’s hypothesis [14], and some surrogate quantities in planar cuts [28]. An important result is that the intermittency exponent for the scalar dissipation decreases either as a weak power of $Sc$ or logarithmically, apparently to zero in the limit $Sc \to \infty$. This decrease is consistent with our recent results [20, 21] that turbulence loses its ability to effectively mix passive scalars with very low diffusivity.
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