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Abstract

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have limited storage capacity, communication bandwidth, processing speed, and battery issues. All these factors affect the lifespan of a WSN. Solving all these issues and increasing the lifespan of the WSN. Energy optimization in WSNs is a demanding issue that drives a huge effort in research and various standardization procedures have been undertaken in this area for the past several years. To deal with the reduction of energy consumption issues in WSNs, various clustering protocols have evolved. In this context, some protocols select an appropriate node as the cluster head to extend the lifespan of the network and also clustering reviewed techniques. In this paper, different fuzzy-based clustering methods are discussed which is helpful in designing novel energy-efficient fuzzy-based routing protocols for WSN. The main purpose of this article is to review different types of routing protocols with their advantages and limitations. In addition, various protocols have been compared graphically with their lifetimes. Various tables are depicted which are helpful for extended studies, graphical comparison between the latest clustering techniques shows the most suitable clustering technique for improving network lifetime.
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1. Introduction

WSN gathered the information from the environment and maintain it for long period. WSN contains the sensor nodes, which can sense, collect, and compute data from surrounding. These SN uses a huge battery power supply, large memory, and powerful processor. So, now this becomes the issue for researches that how to efficiently use the energy of SN. WSN can be categorized into two parts i.e. homogeneous and heterogeneous. In homogeneous n/w the characteristics of all SN are the same but it is not with the heterogeneous network. Sensor nodes are deployed in a large area and communicate with each other via wireless technology. These sensor nodes are small [1], [2], having less memory, limited bandwidth operates on battery, limited speed, and low cost. Due to the restricted resources that each sensor node has, the optimization of energy utilization is a big issue in the field of WSNs. So our research work is mainly centralized to energy-aware MAC protocol, power awareness on system-level, radio communication, and duty cycle issue. There are various challenges facing in developing the routes for data transmission at the network layer. Some of the challenges include are lack of global addressing, not an implementation of traditionally IP based routing, and redundancy of sensed data. By keeping these all challenges in mind, various routing protocols have been proposed. It includes data-centric, location-based protocol; QoS based protocol, and hierarchical protocol. Data-centric is a query-based protocol and it helps in query data and for data redundancy. The location-based protocol transmits the data from source to sink node based on location. Hierarchical protocol collects the data from non-cluster-to-cluster nodes and forms cluster. QoS protocol transmits.
only those data to Sink, which satisfy some of the QoS criteria. Now a days, fuzzy logic based application used in WSNs[3][4] and many more. Various fuzzy logic based system have came out [5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15]. In a fuzzy logic-based system, the sensor nodes are position arbitrarily in a real-time environment. The fuzzy logic method takes input as the distance of a node to sink and the node’s residual energy and gives the output as the probability of becoming CH. This method gives better result than LEACH. Gupta [14] gave earlier used fuzzy theory. In order to increase lifespan, local information of the node must be known that include distance of node to sink node, the node’s energy level as well as local density .Max-min colony optimization [10][16], [17] are used for balance energy consumption among CHs . To select suitable CHs in real time to reduce energy consumption, Cluster Head Election Mechanism using Fuzzy Logic (CHEF) was came as depict in figure 1. Energy aware distributed clustering protocol which uses fuzzy logic(ECPE) is also developed to diminish energy utilization by sensor nodes[10][18] along with this ACO(UCFIA) and IFUC were suggested by Mao[5].

1.1. Evaluation Matrices for WSN System

In a WSN, there are various parameters used to estimate the throughput of the network. Numerous parameters are as follows.

Network strength
Power consumption by a sensor node in a network is the main issue. To enlarge the lifetime of a WSN network, to manage the energy utilization in a network, the network should be designed such that the sensor node consumes the least energy and transfers more data. The sensor node is either identical or odd.

Scalability
Scalability is the property of a wireless system to handle the performance of a network by adding resources (sensor node) to the wireless network system. Suppose new nodes are added to the network, and then there will be no effect on any output of the network.

Temporal accuracy
The sensor nodes of WSN send the sensed information from time to time to the end-user to take a decision for betterment. Every operation performs within a specific period of time.

Coverage
Coverage in a wireless sensor network means to sense over the target region. This is a primary factor for ensuring the eminence of examination provided by the WSNs or in another way we can say that all sensor nodes are dispersed in the whole region to be observed.

Response time
Any type of wireless sensor network-based application, an application that has a good response time for fire detection scenario response time should be fast with respect to the sensor node. If the sensor node is in an active mode they provide the information quickly when a fire is found.

Security
Security is an important factor in wireless sensor networks. Threats do not allow entering the application and disturbed the application processes a sensor node deployed in a remote or hostile environment and perform their task in an unattended manner. WSN application
prevents the attack from outside and secures the privacy of collected data.

1.2. Clustering and CHs Election

Clustering is one of the energy maximize technique used to increase network lifespan in WSN. These include grouping device nodes for clusters and choosing CHs for all clusters. Cluster heads collect the information that is sent by the sensor node, and then the cloister head chooses the shortest route to pass the collected information to the sink. Clustering and choosing a cluster head are both very important approaches that can be used to increase the lifetime of the WSN.

1.2.1. Cluster Component

There are various important cluster components are listed as follows:

- Cluster member
- Cluster head (CH)
- Gateway node
- Intra-cluster link
- Cross-cluster link

1.2.2. Cluster Head

CH plays a significant role to broadcast the message to the sink and they also do data fusion and data aggregation. Apart from CH, all node acts as a non-CH or cluster member. The main challenge in WSNs is to elect the cluster heads on the basis of some input parameter some common parameter used to elect the CHs are as follows:

- Remaining energy
- Number of neighbors nodes
- Farness from sink to nodes

1.2.3 Clustering Objectives

In the cluster technique, there are some objective cluster listed as follows [20]:

- Aggregations allow
- Limits data transmission
- Enhanced network lifetime
- Diminish network traffic
- Data fusion takes place in cluster heads
- Minimize coverage problem

1.2.4 Advantages of Clustering

- Scalability
- Data aggregation
- Fewer loads
- Minimization of energy utilization
- Minimize the Collision between sensor nodes
- Load Balancing
- To avoid Fault tolerance

- Improve the QoS

1.2.5 Classification of Cluster Based Protocol

There are various parameter used in different types protocol. LEACH protocol is one of them and there are numerous variant of LEACH protocol shown in the table. Figure 4 shows that the over view of modified LEACH based protocols according to the classification they are depict here only because of all these technique use to decrease the complexity of the network.

- Election of CH

The selection of CH in any clustering algorithm is a significant task to conserve the energy of SN and to extend the lifetime of WSN. Figure 5 shows the observations for choosing CH based on the criteria given below.
merit and limitation discuss in Section III, Fuzzy based clustering protocol are given in Section IV, comparison of the various novel fuzzy-based protocol with their lifetime discuss in Section V and in Section VI describe the conclusion and future direction of this review paper.

![Figure 5. Multiple cluster head selection scheme and their protocol](image)

2. Fuzzy Logic

The problem of uncertainty handles by fuzzy logic. Uncertainty arises when cluster are formed and on the basis of variable when select cluster head. Fuzzy play a very important role to select the cluster head [14] in WSNs [21]. Fuzzy input working with predefines set of rule. It maps input space into output space. The membership function of a fuzzy logic requires expert knowledge and creates a set of rules to draw a conclusion from the given data. The membership function may give different values for the same set of rules of the fuzzy logic system. System design requires initial knowledge to select a membership function. Figure 6 indicates that the working version of a fuzzy logic device wherein enter facts is fuzzified into some of the fuzzy sets and then in line with the predefined set of rule inference drawn from the input fuzzy units. Defuzzifier converts the fuzzy sets into a crisp value for the CH selection chance calculated by the output of the crisp value of FIS. In WSN, fuzzy logic systems are used to deal with many issues such as decision-making uncertainty, routing, and network security.

3. Literature Review

In this segment, a literature review is presented in the form of table1 and various fuzzy based clustering protocol and methods are briefly reviewed.

![Figure 6. Fuzzy Logic System](image)

4. Fuzzy-Based Clustering Protocol

Fuzzy based algorithms help in enhancing the performance of WSN have been given here. All describe protocol is come into the existence after 2010. All are energy efficient and they are very much helpful to maximize the life span of WSN.
| Author            | Technique                               | Clustering Method                                      | Advantage                                                                 | Limitation                                                                 |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gupta et.al[14] (2005) | Election of CH based on fuzzy logic   | Centralized clustering approach and chance to become CHs calculated by using fuzzy logic. | i) Homogeneously allotment of CHs in clustered area. ii) More well-organized than LEACH. | i) Use for tiny Scale Network. ii) Sink control network Periodically.         |
| Kim et al[22]. (2008) | Novel fuzzy based clustering.       | Blender of LEACH and fuzzy logic.                        | i) Dynamic clustering ii) All the assessment taken at node level. iii) Application for big scale network. | i) The distribution of CHs is Non deterministic. ii) cluster radius limitation for CHs. |
| Tashtoush et. al[23] (2008) | Fuzzy self- Cluster Algorithm used.  | CHs selection, cluster formation based on the output of fuzzy system. | i) Sensor location information not required before. ii) Select Remaining energy for CH selection. | i) Immigration of cluster is only applicable in partial applications. ii) Required maximum time to run the protocol. |
| Haider et. al[24] (2009) | Energy optimization using Fuzzy approach. | Primarily determined routing quite than the clustering. | i) Enhanced the life span of sensor network. ii) Balance routing Load between sensors According to node Fuzzy production. | i) In fuzzy logic system used Six input variable. ii) Clustering is not used. iii) Use gateway for all decision in network. |
| Raghuvan shi et.al[25] (2010) | Use fuzzy c-means (FCM) clustering technique. | Clustering perform in WSN with the help of natural grouping of data set. | i) Same as unsupervised learning algorithm. ii) Detect sensor Related with To find other groups Out of overlap Between groups Correction of fault Tolerance. | i) FCM application is Limited in case of WSN ii) In c- mean clustering sensor are limited in calculation and May increase message overheads. |
| Ran et al[26] (2010) | LEACH-FL                              | Possibility to CH election and formation based on fuzzy logic. | i) Performance of this protocol is better than traditional. ii) Minimize uncertainty in decision making about CH using Fuzzy logic. | i) Clustering is centralized. ii) Input variable same as apply to Gupta approach. iii) Same problem in Gupta approach. |
| Taheri et al.[27] (2010) | HEED-NPF                              | Using fuzzy logic HEED protocol further improved.     | i) Remaining energy play an important role for CH selection. ii) The efficiency of HEED –NPF is 20 percent more than the HEED. | i) Hot-Spot problem. ii) Number of CHs is fixed. |
| Authors          | Approach                                                                 | Details                                                                 | Challenges                                                                 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Saeedian et al.  | Genetic algorithm (CFGA) and fuzzy logic used for Clustering in WSN.      | Multi-hop centralized clustering technique.                              | i) Only used for tiny scale networks.                                      |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) Used only Centralized clustering.                                       |
| Jin et al.       | Apply fuzzy inference system in WSNs for clustering routing protocol (CEFM). | Similar to the LEACH protocol. Cluster formation performs based on novel parameter. | i) Applying dynamic clustering.                                             |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) A novel input parameter data retransmission rate used.                 |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | iii) The throughput of this network is better as contrast to LEACH protocol.|
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          |                                                                            |
| Pires et al.     | CHs election based on type -2 fuzzy systems (CHEATS).                     | Cluster formation based on fuzzy inference system-2.                    | i) Enhancement of this protocol is less as compare to LEACH protocol.      |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) CH failure problem not addressed.                                      |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | iii) CHs distribution In Non uniform manner.                               |
| Shen and Ju et   | Clustering apply in heterogeneous WSN.                                    | Like to CHEF protocol for cluster formation.                            | i) Heterogeneity is problem in this protocol.                              |
| al. [30] (2011)  |                                                                           |                                                                          |                                                                            |
| Siew et al.      | Fuzzy based clustering used in this protocol.                            | Same as Gupta approach.                                                 | i) Similar problem to Gupta’s approach.                                   |
| [31] (2011)      |                                                                           |                                                                          |                                                                            |
| Ben el at.       | CHs and Gateway selection using fuzzy logic systems.                      | Two tiers hierarchical clustering approach apply.                       | i) Network collapse If gateway fails.                                      |
| [32] (2012)      |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) Hotspot problem arises if Gateway near to sink.                        |
|                  |                                                                           |                                                                          | iii) More overheads in WSN.                                               |
| Mhemed et al.    | A FLCEP protocol was proposed for cluster formation in WSN.              | Cluster formation clustering Based on fuzzy output value.               | i) Energy utilization of CH is not minimized only reduces the energy consumption of cluster member. |
| [33] (2012)      |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) CH distribution Not uniform like LEACH.                                 |
| Mao et al.       | Enhanced fuzzy unequal clustering (IFUC).                                 | Clustering perform with the help of Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) technique. | i) More CHs are required but due to enabled cluster Radius it can’t possible. |
| [5] (2012)       |                                                                           |                                                                          | ii) Cluster formation need large amount of information exchanged in WSN.   |
| Izadi et al.     | SCCH protocol proposed for Self-                                        | The role of CH change based on left                                     | i) Cluster formation is static and number of cluster                       |
| [34] (2013)      |                                                                           |                                                                          |                                                                            |
| Authors                  | Methodology                                                                 | Clustering and Routing Technique | Advantages                                                                                                                                     | Disadvantages                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Zhang et al. [29] (2013)| Used Type-2 fuzzy logic system (takagi-Sugeno-Kang) for WSN.                  | For clustering used type-2fuzzy logic system and centralized method.                                                                   | i) Uncertainty is very less for CH election compare to type-1 fuzzy clustering techniques.  
ii) CHs are additional consistent and balanced.                                                                   | i) Fuzzy system is difficult to implement and required extra time to implement as compare to Type-1 systems. |
| Afifi and Hefny [35] (2014)| Design ATSFMSN protocol for Clustering and routing technique.               | Sugeno model used for clustering.                                                                                                       | i) Minimize the routing issue.  
ii) Clusters are consistent in the network.                                                                            iii) It is a better approach than Mamdani model.                                                                 | i) CH collapse problem not measured.                                                                  
ii) type-2 fuzzy model is difficult as compare to the Mamdani model.  
iii) Hot spots problem.                                                                                           |
| Natarajan and Selvaraj [36] (2014)| Apply a new approach to predictive Fuzzy based CH election algorithm. | Clustering perform the past history of each node, with the respective CHs.                                                               | i) stability the energy utilization within Cluster.                                                                                       | i) Require of handshaking information among nodes that directly affects energy utilization. |
| Wang et al. [37] (2014)  | Various parameter uses to increase the throughput of WSN.                     | Identify the outcome of various parameters on network lifetime using fuzzy logic and then cluster formation was performed.             | i) Remaining Battery Power (RBP) of sensor node have not exhaust an easily.  
ii) Sensor die earlier because they will spend more energy in WSN but Transmission time rate increases.               | i) limited input parameter used.  
ii) the overall complexity of the protocol is not good in terms of data transmission and cluster formation.       |
| Zhang et al. [38] (2014)| CRT2FLACO routine protocol used for WSN based on type-2 fuzzy logic.        | Apply fuzzy based unequal clustering algorithm for WSN.                                                                                  | i) Reduce uncertainty type-2 fuzzy system used.  
ii) for routing apply Implement a optimization algorithms.                                                                    | i) Energy consumption is high of sensor node in WSN.  
ii) Dead of nodes earlier as compare to LEACH.                                                                               |
| Rana et al. [39] (2015) | Motivated from Gupta’s approach.                                              | Input variable is more as compare to Gupta’s approach the rest is similar to the Gupta approach.                                         | i) Similar to Gupta approach.                                                                                                                                                         | Use for tiny Scale Network.  
ii) Sink control network Periodically.                                                                                   |
| Alami and Najid et al. [40] (2016)| It’s an extension of LEACH and LEACH-ERE approach. | Used a novel routing approach for clustering and CH selection.                                                                            | i) CH is selected when the node near to sink.                                                                                      | i) Residual energy not calculated of each sensor.  
ii) CHs focus in meticulous area like LEACH.                                                                                   |
| Homayun et al. [41] (2017)| CHs selection using fuzzy logic.                                              | Novel energy-enhance clustering method with three input parameter.                                                                      | i) Most suitable cluster head selected based on threshold.                                                                           | i) Maximum number of information exchanged For formation of cluster take maximum time.  
ii) Maximum input parameter take a maximum time.                                                                            |
| Mehra et al.             | Zonal based                                                                  | Clustering apply on                                                            | i) Enhance network life                                                                                                                  | i) Lacks of cluster formation                                                                                       |
Novel approach for CH election[14]

Novel approach (Gupta et al., 2005) is one of the methods for CH election. The algorithm basically consists of two phases. In the first phase, the sink calculates the chance value which includes concentration, energy and centrality of each of the sensor node along with some other information in order to choose the CH candidate. In the second stage, each of the CH conspired about the time schedule and grouping of data. The algorithm and flowchart are given in the below figure 3 & 4. This stage of the algorithm performs recursively and thus uniformly distributes the load in the network. This algorithm also helps in enhancing the lifetime of the network. But along with these all benefits, the algorithm has the following disadvantages, Sink periodically collects information which increases the pressure on the sink, not any look over the CH failure, more energy required, used for small scale network and at last only one cluster head selected using this approach.

CHEATS [44] protocol

It is also called a Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy system for CH selection, described in Pires et al. (2011). CHEATS has a 10% upgrade over LEACH. CHEATS uses the Sugeno model for CH selection and uses only two boundary variables i.e. sensor node distance to its sink & leftover energy of nodes. It is somehow better than LEACH but it also not overcome some of the challenges including network heterogeneity failure of CH & selection of most appropriate CH for the network.

CHEF[45] protocol

Like LEACH protocol, it also formed the cluster in every round & using the energy and local farness as the variables it uses the fuzzy output in order to select the CH. Figure 6 represents the flowchart & figure 5 depicts the pseudo-code of the CHEF algorithm. It has a better performance than LEACH. If two sensor nodes have the same chance value to become CH then this algorithm has not the appropriate Procedure to find which one is better for CH. IF one end of the n/w has a high concentration of CH then as per cluster radius condition the number of CH is reduced and hence increases the chance of CH failure. It somehow overcomes the limitation of a novel approach for CH election.

FBECS [46] protocol

FBECS for wireless sensor network uses the fuzzy interference system that uses the residue energy, density of a node to its neighbour’s node and distance of node to sink and then calculate the ‘Eligibility Index’ in order to select the suitable cluster head among all the sensor node. It proves to be better than LEACH & BCSA protocol. It provides the load balancing, extended lifetime of network and also delivered wide-ranging information to the sink.

FLEACH[47] protocol

The formation of cluster in WSN should always be performed in such a way that it should lower energy utilization. Various methods are evolved to reduce energy consumption during formation of cluster, but they all proved to be expensive. This paper describes the FLEEC protocol with two phases and made for optimizing energy utilization. In the first stage of this algorithm, the sink node uses the following two fuzzy inputs as Node-density & distance to sink in order to find the communication radius for all the sensor nodes. The second stage uses the residual energy and total distance obtained in the first level in order to determine the chances of being CH. Experimentally proved that this protocol is better than LEACH & EFCH in term of utilizing the energy carefully.

CHUFL[40] protocol

The CH selection protocol CHUFL is one of another algorithm for cluster head selection. The CH selection using...
this algorithm is based on some attribute including a communication link between nodes, surplus energy of the nodes, farness between the neighbor sensor nodes, distance between the sensor nodes & sink. CHUFL is relaxed up to 20% in energy consumption as compared to CHEF by Kim et al. & cluster head selection method for WSN by J. Anno et al. and CHUFL also sends information from the sensor node to sink up to 72% more in contrast with J. Anno et al. protocol.

**SCHFLT[48] protocol**

One of the prominent challenges in the WSN is to minimize energy consumption & this can be achieved by choosing the appropriate method for CH selection. One such algorithm is the LEACH algorithm which selects the CH on the basis of some threshold value. In the LEACH algorithm the sensor node transmits the data to their CH and CH collectively transmits the data to sink. This protocol describes another protocol called SCHFTL. This algorithm chooses the super cluster head among all the available CHs with the help of Mamdani interference engine. It is more productive than FMCHEL fuzzy based master CH election leach & CHEF protocol.

**E-CAFL[49] protocol**

In WSN, the sensor nodes are grouped to form a cluster & each of the sensor nodes transmits information to their CH. The CH finally transmits this information to the sink. The CH plays a vital role in this overall process, so the method of choosing the CH is most important because due to any variance in consumption of energy by the sensor node, the overall network gets failure. This paper introduces one of the algorithms that select the desirable CH called E-CAFL. This algorithm is the advanced form of CAFL algorithm. This algorithm calculates the rank of each sensor nodes using the fuzzy interference system and leftover energy, distance between node to sink & node density are the fuzzy input to the system. This algorithm is more desirable over the CAFL & LEACH algorithm in term of both the network lifetime as well as performance.

**MOFCA[50] protocol**

Clustering is the well-organized method in WSN. If not only gathered the information from its nearby cluster nodes but also very much efficient in terms of energy utilization. In the multi hop environment of the WSN information is transmitted from one CH to another CH until it reaches to the sink. In this method of transmission the CH which is near to the sink gets die due to sense inter cluster transmission. This situation is called the hotspot problem in WSN. This hotspot problem can be somehow solved by using the unequal clustering approach in which the cluster size reduces as it goes nearer to the sink. Along with the hotspot problem, another problem that occurs in the WSN is the energy hole problem which occurs due to variance in the position of the sensor node distribution. MOFCA protocol is used to solve the hotspot & energy hole problem. Some experiments are done using efficiency metrics like First Node Dies (FND), half of the Node Alive (HNA) and Total Remaining Energy (TRE) shows that MOFCA perform better as compared to few traditional protocols.

**FUCA[51]protocol**

Unequal clustering is also performed to improve the life span of the WSN. In case of the unequal clustering the sizes of clusters are getting reduces and reach closer to the sink. This fuzzy based unequal clustering algorithm also uses the unequal clustering concept which evenly distributes the consumption of energy. This algorithm uses the leftover energy, density of the nodes & farness of sensor node to its sink as the fuzzy i/p and competition radius & rank as fuzzy output. Mamdani method & the fuzzy logic concept used here for CH selection. This algorithm proved more desirable and improved version in terms of both performance and network lifetime as compared to LEACH, and another energy-aware unequal clustering fuzzy based protocol.

**5. Comparison**

In this segment, various clustering methods based on fuzzy logic are comparing. Figure.7 has shown the network lifetime enhancement of the latest cluster-based protocol. LEACH is a traditional protocol that is very useful to enhance the lifespan of the WSNs, apart from LEACH we have to consider the latest protocol which is more useful as compared to LEACH for WSNs.

![Figure 7. Lifetime in percentage as compared to various protocols.](image-url)
Basically the performance of the clustering technique based on the input parameter which is used in fuzzy logic system [52]. With the help of MATLAB, numerous algorithms are implemented with initial parameter a value which is listed in table no.3 to evaluate the performance of WSNs in terms of FND, QND, and LND which is shown in figure 9 and 10 respectively. In this review when the first node dies to start, the network stability period decreases. Figure 9 and 10 shows that the FBECS protocol outperforms all the latest energy-efficient protocols, then SCHFTL, etc.

Table 2. Analysis of Numerous fuzzy based clustering techniques

| Protocol          | Network type | Clustering Method | CH Election          | Parameter of CH Selection          | Communication Based on Inter cluster |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| HEED-NPF (Younis and Fahmy, 2004) [53] | Homogenous | scattered          | Verify by Sink       | • Centrality                       | Multi-hop communication              |
| CHEF (Kim et al., 2008) [7] | Homogenous | scattered          | arbitrary            | • Energy                           | Direct communication                 |
| LEACH-FL (Ran et al., 2010) [26] | Homogenous | Centralized        | Verify by Sink       | • Node energy                       | Multi-hop communication              |
| CFGA (Saeedian et al., 2011) [28] | Homogenous | Centralized        | Verify by Sink       | • Distance                         | Multi-hop communication              |
| CEFM (Jin et al., 2011) [54] | Homogenous | scattered          | Determine by Sink    | • Energy                           | Direct communication                 |
| CHEATS (Pires et al., 2011) [44] | Homogenous | scattered          | arbitrary            | • Remaining energy                  | Direct communication                 |
| GCHE-FL (Ben Alla et al., 2012) [32] | Heterogeneous | scattered         | arbitrary            | Gateway selection                  | Multi-hop communication              |
| LEACH-ERE (Lee and Wang et al., 2012) [8] | Homogenous | scattered          | arbitrary            | • Remnant energy                    | Direct communication                 |
| FLCEP (Mhemed et al., 2012) [33] | Homogenous | scattered          | arbitrary            | • Energy                           | Direct communication                 |
| IFCU (Mao et al., 2012) [6] | Homogenous | scattered          | arbitrary            | • Energy                           | Direct communication                 |
| HFCP (Mohan et al., 2013) [55] | Heterogeneous | scattered         | Random               | • Remnant energy                    | Direct communication                 |
| ICT2TSK (Zang et al., 2013) [29] | Homogenous | Centralized        | Verify by Sink       | • Residual energy                   | Direct communication                 |
| ATSFMSN (Afifi and Hefny et al., 2014) [35] | Homogenous | Centralized        | Verify by Sink       | For CH election                     | Multi-hop communication              |
| Protocol                        | Topology     | Node Deployment | Message Forwarding | Selection of Message Forwarded Node | Energy Evaluation | Communication Strategy |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| SCCH (Izadi et al., 2015)[34]  | Homogenous   | Scattered       | Arbitrary          | - Farness to Sink                   | - Node density    | Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            | - Node Centrality |                        |
| MCFL (Mirzaie et al., 2017)[56]| Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Residual energy                    | - No of neighbors of each node. | Multi-hop communication|
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            |                   |                        |
| EEDCF (Zhang et al., 2017)[57] | Homogenous   | Scattered       | Random             | - Residual energy                    | - Node degree     | Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            | - Neighbor node   |                        |
| FHRP (Neamatollahi et al., 2017)[58] | Homogenous   | Distributed     | Random             | - Remaining energy                   | - Farness from the sink | Multi-hop communication|
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            |                   |                        |
| FUCA (Agrawal et al., 2017)[51]| Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Remaining energy                   | - Node density    | Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            | - Farness to Sink |                        |
| FLECH (Balakrishnan et al., 2017)[47] | Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Remaining energy                   | - Node centrality | Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            | - Farness to Sink |                        |
| FLEEC (Wang et al., 2018)[59]  | Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Node density,                      | - Distance-to-Sink,| Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Distance-to-Sink                   | - Total-Distance  |                        |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Residual energy                    | - Residual-Energy |                        |
| TTDFP (Alper et al., 2018)[60] | Homogenous   | Distributed     | Random             | - Distance to Sink                   | - Remaining Energy| Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Energy                            | - Relative Node Connectivity |                        |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Competition Radius                |                   |                        |
| FBECS (Mehra et al., 2018)[46] | Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Remnant energy                     | - Node density    | Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Distance to Sink                   |                   |                        |
| SCHFTL (Ayati et al., 2018)[48]| Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Remnant Energy                     | - Communication quality | Multi-hop communication|
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Centrality                         | - Dos attack      |                        |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Total Delay                        | - Total Delay     |                        |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Distance from Sink                 |                   |                        |
| E-CAFL (Mehra et al., 2019)[49]| Homogenous   | Distributed     | Determine by Sink  | - Remaining Energy                   | - Closeness to Sink| Direct communication   |
|                                |              |                 |                    | - Density                           |                   |                        |

The most important scenario that is used in many protocols is shown in Fig. 8. In this scenario, the Sink position is (50, 50) and the sensor node is deployed randomly, some protocols having more than one sink position. Table 3 showed a common simulation factor and their values which is very useful in radio communication.

If the sensor node starts communication then their life in terms of energy is reduced so for any network FND, QND, HND are important and after each round of communication check what is the node die state.

It is the actual fact that the energy accessible to sensing element nodes isn't solely restricted, however it's going to...
conjointly diminish terribly simply if it's not properly managed. The most reasons for the consumption of energy in wireless sensing element networks square measure communication and process, with communication being the most responsible for the consumption of energy. The energy model consists of 3 main modules: receiver, transmitter, and power electronic equipment.

The receiver consumes energy to run the receiver electronic equipment at the time of reception of information, and therefore the transmitter consumes energy to run the facility electronic equipment and transmitter electronic equipment at the time of transmission of information. Energy dissipation for transmitter and receiver is signify by $E_{elec}$ and energy dissipation for transmit amplifier is signified by $E_{amp}$.

**Figure 8.** Common network scenario of WSN

**Figure 9.** Comparison of various protocol in terms of First node die (FND), Quarter node die (QND), Half node die (HND) for N=100

**Figure 10.** Comparison of various protocol in terms of FND, QND, HND for N=200

### Table 3. Common Simulation Parameters

| Factors                        | Values         |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Sink Positions                 | (50,50)        |
| Field                          | (100m X 100m)  |
| Preliminary Energy             | 0.5J           |
| Free space magnification factor $\mathcal{E}_{fs}$ | 10 x10-12 J/bit/m2 |
| Magnification factor $\mathcal{E}_{mp}$ | 0.0013 x10-12 J/bit/m4 |
| n(total no of nodes)           | 100,200        |
| Select                         | 50nJ           |
| EDA                            | 5nJ            |
| Exploitation                   | arbitrary      |
| Header                         | 100 byte       |
| Packet length                  | 2000 byte      |

Fuzzy logic is applied to enhance the performance of a clustering strategy, but the most important challenge is that it calls for initial expert expertise to set a rule and select appropriate membership functions. Thus the various protocols produce different outputs with the same set of rules. The basic difference between the approaches is to become a CH using different participation factors to calculate the likelihood importance of the sensor node. Choosing a progressively effective, less intricate and
A dependable framework is a significant test since sensor systems are application-arranged where inclinations shift with organized targets to such an extent that data constancy is a higher need than higher fuzzy request frameworks, however, higher-request new difficulties utilizing the framework. But in this review paper, a lot of figures and tables have been told which have been studied from the last ten year papers and explained in detail to help researchers to create a novel approach which is helpful to create real-time applications. In the future, this review paper is very important for researchers and for various organizations, who work to design a real-time application based on the sensor node.
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