Polymer-Functionalized Magnetic Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization, and Methylene Blue Adsorption
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Abstract: The removal of methylene blue (MB) from wastewater has attracted global concerns. In this study, polymer-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for MB removal, Fe3O4@SiO2-MPS-g-AA-AMPS (FSMAA), were successfully synthesized by grafting acrylic acid (AA) and 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS) on the surface of vinyl-modified Fe3O4@SiO2. With various characterization techniques, it was confirmed that the obtained FSMAA had a core–shell structure, a good magnetic property, and plenty of functional groups on its surface. MB adsorption experiments showed that the adsorption capacity of FSMAA was notably enhanced as the grafted monomer concentration and solution pH were increased. The adsorption kinetic data and isothermal data were well described by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and the Langmuir model, respectively. The maximum adsorption capacity of FSMAA was 421.9 mg g\(^{-1}\) with grafted monomer concentration at 2.0 mol L\(^{-1}\) and solution pH at 9, much higher than those of other adsorbents stated in previous literatures. Based on XPS analysis, surface adsorption mechanism between FSMAA and MB was electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic interaction. Furthermore, FSMAA was effectively regenerated by acid pickling, and the remaining adsorption capacity was more than 60% after eight adsorption–regeneration cycles. All the results demonstrated the self-made FSMAA was a desirable adsorbent to remove MB from wastewater.
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1. Introduction

With industry development, the demand of dyes widely used in textiles, artificial fibers, plastics, foodstuffs, and leathers rises year by year [1]. Dyes discharged into waterbodies can reduce the dissolved oxygen content and the light transmittance while increasing the toxicity of water, resulting in the death of aquatic organisms [2]. Moreover, as strongly toxic and carcinogenic pollutants, dyes pose a serious threat to water environmental safety and human health. In water, even minute quantities of some dyes (e.g., less than 1 ppm) are harmful and undesirable [3,4]. Methylene blue (MB) is one of the most commonly used basic dyes in industry that causes serious human health problems, including vomiting, shock, limb paralysis, tissue death, and so on when it is released into drinking water [5,6]. MB is difficult to be degraded under natural conditions due to its complex and stable
aromatic molecular structure. As a result, the removal of such a dye from wastewater has attracted global concerns. According to literatures, multifarious techniques have been used for the treatment of wastewater containing MB, such as adsorption [5], photocatalytic degradation [7], Fenton-like degradation [8], membrane separation [9], electrochemical process [10], etc. Among these techniques, adsorption is thought to be a preferred method because of its low cost, simple design and operation, high efficiency, and insensitivity to poisonous substances [3,11].

Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are currently regarded as base materials for their advantages, such as high surface area, facile synthesis, and low toxicity [12]. However, due to their poor stability in extremely acid environment (i.e., pH <2), the regeneration process (usually under acid environment) is limited, in other words, the application of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs as adsorbents is limited. Furthermore, the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs, including surface charge, tendency of aggregation, and so on, is a limitation for their application. A frequently-used method to overcome this disadvantage is to cover Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs by an outer silica shell that acts as a protective layer and separates the magnetic core from the external environment to achieve a better stability [13]. However, the adsorption performance of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs is usually insufficient because of few functional groups on its surface. As a result, organic polymers with plenty of functional groups are generally adopted to functionalize Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs. For example, Yure Ge et al. [13] used acrylamide, methacryloxyethyltrimethyl ammonium chloride, and 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid to functionalize Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs. The multifunctionalized MNPs were proven to be effective for oilfield wastewater purification. Farzad Javaheri and Shadi Hassanajili [14] studied the removal of nitrate ions from aqueous solutions by poly(4-vinylpyridine)-functionalized MNPs. Kun Li et al. [15] adopted chitosan and polyacrylamide to functionalize Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs. The obtained composite had a highly selective adsorption of mercury ions from water.

In this study, polymer-functionalized MNPs with core–shell structure named Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS-g-AA-AMPS (FSMAA) were synthesized as a novel adsorbent for the removal of MB from aqueous solutions. Various characterization techniques were used to characterize the structure, physico-chemical properties, and magnetic feature of FSMAA. The effects of grafted monomer concentration and solution pH on the adsorption performance were investigated. Adsorption kinetics, isotherms, and mechanism were also studied. The stability and regeneration ability of FSMAA were discussed to demonstrate its application prospect.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Triiron tetraoxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$, 50 nm) was obtained from Micxy Chemical Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Ammonia solution (25–28% NH$_3$ in H$_2$O), anhydrous ethanol (99.7% purity), hydrochloric acid (HCl), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were purchased from Chongqing Chuandong Chemical Co., Ltd. (Chongqing, China). Ammonium persulfate, methylene blue (MB), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), and acrylic acid (AA, 99.5% purity) were obtained from Chengdu Kelong Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). 2-acrylamido-2-methyl-1-propanesulfonic acid (AMPS, 98% purity) and 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (MPS, 97% purity) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All reagents were used without further treatment and Milli-Q ultrapure water (18 MΩ·cm$^{-1}$) was used for preparing aqueous solutions.

2.2. Synthesis of Magnetic Adsorbent

2.2.1. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs

Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs were synthesized by Stöber method according to the previous researches [13,16]. Fe$_3$O$_4$ (1.2 g) was homogeneously dispersed in the mixture of anhydrous ethanol (400 mL), ultrapure water (200 mL), and ammonia solution (6 mL). Then 18 mL TEOS was slowly
added and the resulting dispersion was mechanically stirred for 8 h in a water bath (25 °C). Finally, the obtained Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs were separated by a magnet, washed repeatedly with anhydrous ethanol and ultrapure water, and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C.

2.2.2. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS MNPs

The surface-modified Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs were synthesized according to a reported literature with the method being slightly changed [13]. Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs were dispersed in a three-necked flask containing 200 mL anhydrous ethanol. A mixture of MPS (9 mL) and anhydrous ethanol (100 mL) was added dropwise into the flask after the dispersion was completely deoxygenated by bubbling with pure N$_2$ (99.99%). Then the flask was immediately sealed and mechanically stirred for 12 h in a water bath (78 °C). Lastly, the vinyl-modified Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ MNPs (Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS) were collected by magnetic separation, washed repeatedly with anhydrous ethanol and ultrapure water, and dried subsequently in a vacuum oven at 60 °C.

2.2.3. Synthesis of FSMAA MNPs

FSMAA MNPs were synthesized by one-pot free radical polymerization. Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS MNPs (0.35 g) were dispersed in a three-necked flask containing 100 mL ultrapure water and sonicated for 5 min to form a homogeneous dispersion. Then, a predetermined amount of solution containing grafted monomers, AA and AMPS (i.e., the mole ratio of AA and AMPS = 3:1; the grafted monomer concentration = 0.2, 0.9, 1.2, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 mol L$^{-1}$), was added into the dispersion. The flask was bubbled with pure N$_2$ (99.99%) for 20 min to remove oxygen absolutely. After a certain mass of initiator ammonium persulfate (i.e., the initiator concentration = 0.9%), being added, the flask was immediately sealed and mechanically stirred for 7 h in a water bath (65 °C). Finally, the obtained magnetic adsorbent was harvested via magnetic separation, washed repeatedly with anhydrous ethanol and ultrapure water, and dried subsequently in a vacuum oven at 60 °C.

2.3. Characterization

The morphology analysis was carried out by transmission electron microscope (TEM, HT7700, Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were made by a spotlight 200 FTIR spectrometer (Nicolet iS5, Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA) in the wavenumber range from 4000 to 500 cm$^{-1}$. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained by an X-ray diffractometer (DMAX/2C, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with the graphite monochromatized Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra were recorded by an XPS spectrometer (ESCALAB250Xi, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with Al-Kα X-ray used as the excitation source. Magnetic hysteresis loops were determined by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, VSM 7410, LakeShore, Carson, CA, USA) at room temperature. Zeta potentials were measured by a Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK). The leaching concentration of Fe in water was tested with an inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscope (ICP-OES, Optima 2100DV, Perkin-Elmer Instruments, Waltham, MA, USA).

2.4. Adsorption Experiments

The adsorption ability of FSMAA was investigated by batch adsorption experiments with MB used as the target adsorbate. All the experiments were conducted in 50 mL conical flasks containing certain volumes of MB solutions and 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ FSMAA. These flasks were shaken at 303 K in a constant temperature shaking bath with a shaking speed of 200 rpm. After a predetermined time, sample solutions were taken out by a transfer liquid gun under the help of an external magnet to avoid FSMAA being simultaneously taken out. The concentrations of MB in sample solutions were calculated via the calibration curve (shown in Figure 1) between MB concentration and absorbance measured by a UV-visible spectrophotometer (TU-1901, Beijing Purkinje General Instrument Co., Ltd., Beijing, China)
at the maximum adsorption wavelength of 665 nm (referring to the insert of Figure 1). Each adsorption experiment was repeated three times and the final results were averaged.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Calibration curve between MB concentration and absorbance (the insert is the UV–vis spectrum of MB in solution).

2.4.1. Effects of Grafted Monomer Concentration and Solution pH

The effects of grafted monomer concentration and solution pH on the adsorption performance were investigated with monomer concentrations from 0.2 to 2.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) and pH from 2.0 to 10.0, respectively. The solution pH was adjusted with 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) NaOH and/or 1 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl solution. The initial concentration and the volume of MB solution were 500 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 10 mL, respectively, and the shaking time was 4 h. The equilibrium adsorption amount of MB \((q_e, \text{mg g}^{-1})\) was calculated by Equation (1).

\[
q_e = \frac{V \times (C_i - C_e)}{m}
\]  

(1)

where \(C_i\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) and \(C_e\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) are the initial and the equilibrium concentrations of MB, respectively, \(V\) (L) is the volume of the MB solution, and \(m\) (g) is the mass of FSMAA.

2.4.2. Adsorption Kinetics

In adsorption kinetic experiments, the initial concentration, volume, and pH of MB solution were 500 mg L\(^{-1}\), 100 mL, and 9, respectively. At predetermined time intervals, 0.5 mL sample solutions were taken out by magnetic separation to analyze MB concentrations remaining in the solution. The pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, and intraparticle diffusion kinetic models were adopted to analyze the adsorption data, as shown in Equations (2)–(4), respectively.

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t
\]  

(2)

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]  

(3)
\[ q_t = k_i \times t^{1/2} + C \]  
\[ q_t = \frac{V \times (C_i - C_t)}{m} \]

where \( q_t \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) is the adsorption amount of MB at time \( t \) (min), \( k_i \) (min\(^{-1}\)), \( k_2 \) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), and \( k_i \) (mg g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1/2}\)) are the rate constants of pseudo-first-order model, pseudo-second-order model, and intraparticle diffusion model, respectively, and \( C \) is the parameter.

### 2.4.3. Adsorption Isotherms

In adsorption isothermal experiments, the shaking time, volume, and pH of MB solution were 4 h, 10 mL, and 9, respectively. The initial concentration of MB solution was set from 50 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 1000 mg L\(^{-1}\). Three isothermal adsorption models, including Langmuir, Freundlich, and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D-R), shown in Equations (6)–(8), respectively, were used to analyze the adsorption data.

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_m} + \frac{1}{q_m \times K_L} \]  
\[ \ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \]  
\[ \ln q_e = \ln q_D - K_D \times \left[ RT \times \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right) \right]^2 \]

where \( q_m \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( q_D \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) are the maximum adsorption amounts of MB in the Langmuir model and in the D-R model, respectively; \( K_L \) (L mg\(^{-1}\)), \( K_F \), and \( K_D \) (mol\(^2\) kJ\(^{-2}\)) are the model constants of the Langmuir model, Freundlich model, and D-R model, respectively; \( n \) is the other model constant of the Freundlich model; \( R \) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) is the gas constant; and \( T \) (K) is the thermodynamic temperature.

### 2.5. Stability and Regeneration Experiments

In stability experiments, 10 mg FSMAA was dispersed separately in 11 conical flasks, each containing 10 mL water with pH values ranged from 0 to 10. The leaching concentrations of Fe in water were tested with ICP-OES after these flasks were shaken for 12 h at 303 K in a constant temperature shaking bath. In regeneration experiments, 1 mol L\(^{-1}\), 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\), and 0.01 mol L\(^{-1}\) HCl were selected as the desorbents. Firstly, 80 mg FSMAA was added into 80 mL MB solution, and after the adsorption was completed, the MB-loaded adsorbent (FSMAA-MB) was taken out by magnetic separation. Secondly, FSMAA-MB was dispersed in 80 mL desorbent. The regeneration reaction was carried out for 12 h at 303 K in a constant temperature shaking bath. Lastly, the regenerative FSMAA was used for the next adsorption–regeneration cycle. The adsorption–regeneration experiments were repeated for eight cycles in total.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis and Characterization

The magnetic adsorbent FSMAA with a core–shell structure was synthesized by three steps: (1) covering the \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) magnetic core with a silica shell by Stöber method; (2) modifying the silica shell with silane coupling agent MPS; and (3) obtaining the polymer-functionalized MNPs by grafting AA and AMPS on the surface of \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@\text{SiO}_2-MPS \). The grafting reaction followed the general reaction rules of free radical polymerization and it could be divided into several stages, including chain initiation, chain growth, chain termination, and a certain degree of chain transfer. The grafting route is depicted in Scheme 1 and the whole synthesis process is illustrated in Figure 2.
Scheme 1. Scheme of grafting polymerization (R represents Fe₃O₄@SiO₂).
The core–shell structure of FSMAA was demonstrated by TEM, as seen in Figure 3. For FSMAA and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, there were bright layers of shell with main thicknesses of 14.3 nm and 10.5 nm, respectively, while for Fe₃O₄, no layer was observed. This phenomenon indicated the successful synthesis of polymer and SiO₂. Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and FSMAA were almost spherical and had a certain degree of adhering owing to their nanometer size and high reactivity [17].

Figure 3. TEM images of (a) Fe₃O₄, (b) Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and (c) FSMAA (the scale bars were 200 nm for a, b, and c).
Figure 4a shows the FTIR spectra of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and FSMAA. The adsorption peaks at 574 cm⁻¹, 1633 cm⁻¹, and 3447 cm⁻¹ appeared in all samples’ spectra and were attributed to the stretching vibration of Fe–O–Fe, –OH, and –OH bonds, respectively [18,19]. For Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, the adsorption peaks at 794 cm⁻¹ and 1082 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the amorphous silica Si–O–Si vibration, and the peak at 958 cm⁻¹ was associated with the Si–OH vibration [20,21]. The successful synthesis of SiO₂ shell was demonstrated by these above peaks. For FSMAA, the adsorption peaks at 1211 cm⁻¹ and 1082 cm⁻¹ were the asymmetric and symmetric bands of SO₂–, respectively [13], and the adsorption peak at 1720 cm⁻¹ was assigned to –COOH bond [22]. Notably, the Si–O–Si bond at 1082 cm⁻¹ was covered by the symmetric band of SO₂ in –SO₂H at 1088 cm⁻¹. The appearance of –COOH and –SO₂H in FSMAA indicated that the organic polymer was successfully grafted on the surface of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-MPS.

![FTIR spectra](image1)

![XRD patterns](image2)

![XPS full scanned spectra](image3)

![Magnetic hysteresis loops](image4)

Figure 4. Characterization results of (a) FTIR spectra; (b) XRD patterns; (c) XPS full scanned spectra; (d) magnetic hysteresis loops (the insert is the image of MB solutions before and after adsorption; the adsorbent dosage, initial concentration, and pH are 1.0 g L⁻¹, 200 mg L⁻¹, and 9.0, respectively).

The XRD patterns were studied to investigate the crystal structures of Fe₃O₄, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂, and FSMAA, as shown in Figure 4b. The fact that the characteristic peaks located at 30.4°, 35.7°, 43.3°, 53.7°, 57.2°, and 62.8° respectively assigned to (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440) planes of Fe₃O₄ (JCPDS card No. 19-0629) were maintained in Fe₃O₄@SiO₂ and FSMAA indicated that the coating process did not change the crystal phases of Fe₃O₄ [23].

The qualitative analysis of chemical elements was conducted by XPS fully scanned spectra, as shown in Figure 4c. The existence of Si in all samples indicated a successful synthesis of SiO₂. New elements, including N and S, showing up in FSMAA and FSMAA-MB illustrated the successful grafting of AMPS. Since no peak corresponding to Fe was observed in all samples’ spectra, the coating
of SiO$_2$ was confirmed. And as there were lower intensity peaks corresponding to Si in the spectra of FSMAA and FSMAA-MB, the existence of AA and AMPS could be inferred.

Figure 4d shows the magnetic hysteresis loops of Fe$_3$O$_4$, Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$, and FSMAA. The obtained saturation magnetization values at room temperature were 84.94, 71.33, and 65.31 emu g$^{-1}$ for Fe$_3$O$_4$, Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$, and FSMAA, respectively. Although the increase of nonmagnetic substances, including SiO$_2$, AA, and AMPS, caused the decrease of saturation magnetization, the final product FSMAA still remained a high saturation magnetization that could significantly accelerate separation, as shown in the insert of Figure 4d.

### 3.2. Adsorption of MB

#### 3.2.1. Effect of Grafted Monomer Concentration on Adsorption

As illustrated in Figure 5a, the saturation magnetization of synthetic products decreased from 70.90 emu g$^{-1}$ to 65.31 emu g$^{-1}$ with the increase of grafted monomer concentration from 0.0 mol L$^{-1}$ to 2.0 mol L$^{-1}$, demonstrating more polymers were grafted onto MNPs. Figure 5b shows that the grafting of AA and AMPS on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS has a good effect on MB adsorption. Since there were more and more polymers with abundant functional groups being introduced on the surface of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$-MPS with the increase of grafted monomer concentration from 0.0 mol L$^{-1}$ to 2.0 mol L$^{-1}$, the equilibrium adsorption amount significantly increased from 40.2 mg g$^{-1}$ to 346.2 mg g$^{-1}$. However, as the monomer concentration further increased to 2.5 mol L$^{-1}$, there was only a little increase in equilibrium adsorption amount. This phenomenon was due to the possibility that the grafted monomer concentration could largely affect polymerization. A higher monomer concentration contributed to generating more monomer free radicals and to accelerating the polymerization. However, a too high polymerization speed would lead to chain transfer or chain termination, and stop the polymerization [24]. Therefore, the optimized monomer concentration was determined to be 2.0 mol L$^{-1}$. In the following adsorption experiments, FSMAA MNPs with grafted monomer concentrations of 0.9 mol L$^{-1}$, 1.5 mol L$^{-1}$, and 2.0 mol L$^{-1}$ (recorded as FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0, respectively) were used as the adsorbents.

![Figure 5](image_url). Effect of grafted monomer concentration on (a) magnetization and (b) adsorption (solution pH = 9.0).

#### 3.2.2. Effect of Solution pH on Adsorption

The solution pH strongly affected not only the zeta potentials on the surface of the adsorbent, but also the existence forms of the adsorbate. Since basic dyes were unstable under high pH (generally >10) environment, the solution pH value between 2 and 10 was selected to investigate its effect on MB adsorption [25]. Because of the deprotonation of –SO$_3$H and –COOH, the zeta potentials on the surface...
of FSMAA declined with the increase of pH, as shown in Figure 6a. Among these three adsorbents, the zeta potentials of FSMAA 2.0 were the minimum in the tested pH range owing to the fact that more –SO$_3$H and –COOH were introduced to its surface. Corresponding to the results obtained in zeta potentials experiments, the equilibrium adsorption amount of FSMAA significantly increased with the increase of pH and it reached the maximum when FSMAA 2.0 was used, as shown in Figure 6b. A lower pH environment weakened the electrostatic interaction between adsorbents and dyes, and it had abundant protons to compete for adsorption sites with dyes, leading to an unsatisfactory adsorption performance [26,27]. These phenomena suggested that electrostatic interaction was the main mechanism of FSMAA to remove MB from wastewater.

![Figure 6. (a) Zeta potentials/pH profiles of FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0 (electrolyte concentration = 0.7 g L$^{-1}$); (b) effect of solution pH on adsorption (the insert is the image of MB’s chemical structure).]

3.2.3. Adsorption Kinetics

In order to understand the adsorption mechanism and speed control step, adsorption kinetics was studied by using FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0. Since abundant active sites on the surface of FSMAA available for adsorption were gradually occupied by MB with the increase of time, the adsorption of MB was very fast in the initial stage, then increased slightly and finally reached a plateau, as illustrated in Figure 7a; this phenomenon was also observed in other adsorption experiments [28]. Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models were used to analyze the kinetic data, as shown in Figure 7b,c. Correlation coefficients $R^2$ and reduced Chi-Sqr were adopted to evaluate these two models; a higher $R^2$ and a lower reduced Chi-Sqr indicated a stronger degree of curve fitting [29]. Table 1 reveals that the pseudo-second-order kinetic model had higher values of $R^2$ and lower values of reduced Chi-Sqr than the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the equilibrium adsorption amounts of FSMAA calculated by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model were closer to the experimental values than those calculated by the pseudo-first-order kinetic model. Hence, the adsorption of MB onto FSMAA was better explained with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model. When the main mechanism of dye removal was electrostatic interaction, a similar result was also obtained in other dye adsorption kinetic researches [30–32].

To further investigate whether intraparticle diffusion was a speed control step in MB adsorption, intraparticle diffusion model was also adopted to analyze the kinetic data. As shown in Figure 7d and Table 1, all the fitting curves were composed of three parts and the rate constant ($k_i$) of each part had a character of $k_{i,1} > k_{i,2} > k_{i,3}$. Consequently, the adsorption process could be divided into three stages [33,34]. The first was immediate diffusion stage. A large number of MB molecules were adsorbed immediately from aqueous solution by functional groups grafted on the surface of FSMAA. The fact that the rate constant of FSMAA 2.0 was higher than those of FSMAA 1.5 and FSMAA 0.9 indicated more functional groups were introduced to the surface of adsorbent with the increase of
grafted monomer concentration. The second was intraparticle diffusion stage. A small number of MB molecules occupying the adsorption sites on the surface of FSMAA diffused to the inner layer of FSMAA and were adsorbed in it. The rate constants in this stage were much lower than those in the first one. The last was equilibrium stage. The rate constants were close to zero. All the adsorbents achieved adsorption equilibrium after 90 min. These results indicated that the surface adsorption was a rate-determining step and the intraparticle diffusion was a rate-influencing step in the adsorption of MB.

![Graphs showing adsorption kinetics and pseudo kinetic model fitting curves](image-url)

Figure 7. (a) Adsorption kinetics of MB adsorbed onto FSMAA; (b) fitting curves of pseudo-first-order kinetic model; (c) fitting curves of pseudo-second-order kinetic model; (d) fitting curves of intraparticle diffusion model.
Table 1. Kinetic parameters for MB adsorption onto FSMAA ($n = 3$, Mean ± SD).

| Monomer Concentration (mol L$^{-1}$) | $q_{e,exp}$ (mg g$^{-1}$) | $q_{e,cal}$ (mg g$^{-1}$) | $k_1 \times 10^2$ (min$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | Reduced Chi-Sqr | $q_{e,cal}$ (mg g$^{-1}$) | $k_2 \times 10^4$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | Reduced Chi-Sqr | $k_{i,1}$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1/2}$) | $k_{i,2}$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1/2}$) | $k_{i,3}$ (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-1/2}$) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.9                                 | 124.8 ± 3.0              | 125.0 ± 2.6              | 4.20 ± 0.32                   | 0.939| 4.642         | 137.4 ± 1.5              | 3.84 ± 0.16                   | 0.998| 0.500         | 14.82 ± 1.36                  | 11.20 ± 0.60                  | 2.50 ± 0.70                  |
| 1.5                                 | 232.3 ± 5.5              | 195.7 ± 13.2             | 5.34 ± 0.72                   | 0.832| 14.218        | 227.3 ± 4.0              | 8.83 ± 0.51                   | 0.996| 8.888         | 43.10 ± 2.86                  | 14.44 ± 1.58                  | 3.22 ± 0.60                  |
| 2.0                                 | 346.8 ± 3.0              | 307.2 ± 14.8             | 3.79 ± 0.50                   | 0.837| 52.516        | 358.4 ± 4.7              | 2.56 ± 0.15                   | 0.998| 2.691         | 49.30 ± 1.19                  | 15.69 ± 1.64                  | 4.65 ± 0.21                  |
3.2.4. Adsorption Isotherms

The adsorption isotherms played an important role in understanding the interaction between adsorbents and adsorbates at specific temperatures and in estimating the adsorption mechanism. Three isothermal adsorption models were used to describe the adsorption process and the isothermal parameters of each model were calculated, as shown in Figure 8 and Table 2, respectively. The correlation coefficients $R^2$ of the Langmuir model ($\geq 0.929$) were all higher than those of the Freundlich model ($\leq 0.884$) and the D-R model ($\leq 0.919$). Additionally, the reduced Chi-Sqr of the Langmuir model ($2.479 \times 10^{-7}$, $5.762 \times 10^{-6}$, and $2.704 \times 10^{-6}$ for FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0, respectively) were much lower than those of the Freundlich model ($0.028$, $0.038$, and $0.097$ for FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0, respectively) and the D-R model ($0.013$, $0.067$, and $0.048$ for FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0, respectively). These phenomena indicated that the adsorption of MB onto FSMAA was better described by the Langmuir model. A dimensionless constant separation factor ($R_L$) can be calculated by the Langmuir model, as shown in Equation (9).

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L \times C_i}$$

![Graphs showing adsorption isotherms and fitting curves for different models.](image)

Figure 8. (a) Adsorption isotherms of MB adsorbed onto FSMAA; (b) fitting curves of Langmuir model; (c) fitting curves of Freundlich model; (d) fitting curves of D-R model.

$0 < R_L < 1$ implies a favorable adsorption, $R_L > 1$ means an unfavorable adsorption, $R_L = 0$ indicates an irreversible adsorption, and $R_L = 1$ means a linear adsorption [35]. As seen in Figure 9, $R_L$ values of FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0 calculated from the Langmuir model were all smaller than 0.30, demonstrating the adsorption of MB onto FSMAA was favorable. Furthermore, it
was noted that $R_L$ values decreased as the initial concentrations of MB were increased; this indicated a higher MB concentration was beneficial to adsorption.

![Figure 9. $R_L$ values of MB adsorbed onto FSMAA.](image)

Besides, adsorption free energy ($E_a$) was calculated according to the D-R model, as shown in Equation (10).

$$E_a = (2K_D)^{-1/2}$$ (10)

$E_a < 8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ implies a physical adsorption, $8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} < E_a < 16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ means the adsorption mechanism is ion exchange, and $E_a > 16 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ indicates a chemical adsorption [35]. In this work, $E_a$ values of FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0 were 0.27, 0.32, and 0.40, respectively.

As calculated by the Langmuir model, the maximum adsorption capacities of MB adsorbed onto FSMAA 0.9, FSMAA 1.5, and FSMAA 2.0 were 147.9 mg g$^{-1}$, 238.1 mg g$^{-1}$, and 421.9 mg g$^{-1}$, respectively. Table 3 lists the maximum adsorption capacities of FSMAA 2.0 and other adsorbents for MB. As can be seen, the adsorption capacity obtained in this study was much higher than those stated in previous literatures. This conclusion showed that self-made FSMAA had good potentiality in MB removal.
Table 2. Isothermal parameters for MB adsorption onto FSMAA ($n = 3$, Mean ± SD).

| Monomer Concentration (mol L$^{-1}$) | Langmuir Model | Freundlich Model | D-R Model |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------|
|                                     | $q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$) | $K_L$ (L mg$^{-1}$) | $R^2$ | Reduced Chi-Sqr | $n$ | $K_F$ | $R^2$ | Reduced Chi-Sqr | $q_D$ (mg g$^{-1}$) | $K_D$ (mol$^2$ kJ$^{-2}$) | $R^2$ | Reduced Chi-Sqr |
| 0.9                                 | 147.9 ± 4.2      | 0.077 ± 0.003    | 0.991   | 2.479 × 10$^{-7}$ | 4.79 ± 0.81 | 40.95 ± 7.36 | 0.819 | 0.991  | 134.3 ± 5.5     | 6.97 ± 0.73 | 0.919 | 0.013  |
| 1.5                                 | 238.1 ± 5.2      | 0.061 ± 0.001    | 0.998   | 5.762 × 10$^{-8}$ | 3.34 ± 0.43 | 41.28 ± 7.76 | 0.884 | 0.819  | 203.2 ± 19.0   | 4.80 ± 0.84 | 0.798 | 0.067  |
| 2.0                                 | 421.9 ± 19.4     | 0.054 ± 0.005    | 0.929   | 2.704 × 10$^{-6}$ | 2.96 ± 0.50 | 57.73 ± 14.19 | 0.819 | 0.919  | 314.9 ± 26.0   | 3.12 ± 0.34 | 0.911 | 0.048  |
The maximum adsorption capacities of FSMAA 2.0 and other adsorbents for MB.

| Adsorbent                     | pH   | Temperature (K) | Equilibrium Time (min) | Adsorption Capacity (mg g⁻¹) | Reference |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| SNCM                          | 6.0  | 323             | 30                      | 20.0                        | [36]      |
| SW-ZnO-PANI                   | 7.0  | 305             | 100                     | 20.6                        | [37]      |
| GO-CS-Fe₃O₄                   | 5.3  | 303             | 60                      | 95.2                        | [38]      |
| Fe₃O₄/SiO₂-GO                 | N.A. | 333             | 70                      | 111.1                       | [39]      |
| Fe₃O₄@C NPs                   | 6.0  | 298             | 180                     | 117.0                       | [40]      |
| GO-Fe₃O₄                      | N.A. | 298             | 30                      | 167.2                       | [41]      |
| CS-Glu-MCMs                   | 7.0  | 293             | 5                       | 182.5                       | [22]      |
| MG-ILs-OH                     | 12.0 | 303             | 60                      | 243.3                       | [42]      |
| FSMAA 2.0                     | 9.0  | 303             | 90                      | 421.9                       | This study|

a: not available.

3.2.5. Adsorption Mechanism

Usually if an adsorption process was better described by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, it was inferred to be a chemical one. However, as discussed in Section 3.2.4, the fact that Eₒ calculated from the D-R model was lower than 8 kJ mol⁻¹ implied the adsorption process should be a physical one. Hence, the adsorption mechanism in this study could not be simply classified as a chemical reaction or physical reaction rather, it was thought to be a combined one. Based on the results obtained in Section 3.2.3, the adsorption process was composed of surface adsorption, intraparticle diffusion adsorption, and equilibrium. Surface adsorption was the rate-determining step achieved by three types of interactions: (1) electrostatic interaction; (2) hydrogen bonding; and (3) hydrophobic interaction. Electrostatic interaction occurred between deprotonated groups (i.e., −COO⁻ and −SO₃⁻) on the surface of FSMAA and positively charged quaternary ammonium groups in MB. Hydrogen bonding referred to the interaction between −OH, −NH on the surface of FSMAA, and amine in MB [35,43]. Hydrophobic interaction was a tendency of nonpolar groups to associate in aqueous solution. Because of the aliphatic branches on its pendant groups, the grafted AMPS had a hydrophobic character and could react with benzene rings in MB through hydrophobic interaction [44,45]. The mechanism between MB and FSMAA in surface adsorption is schematically illustrated in Figure 10.

Figure 10. Schematic illustration of MB adsorbed onto FSMAA.
Further evidence to support the above mechanism between MB and FSMAA was provided by XPS analysis. C1s and S2p XPS spectra of FSMAA before and after adsorption are shown in Figure 11, and the assignment, binding energy, and relative area percentage of peaks are summarized in Table 4. For the C1s spectra, three peaks at about 288 eV, 286 eV, and 284 eV corresponded to C=O, C–OH/C–N/C–S, and C–H/C–C, respectively. For the S2p spectra, peaks for S2p3/2 at 167.0–167.6 eV and for S2p1/2 at 168.0–168.6 eV were assigned to S=O. The relative area percentages of C=O and S=O decreased after adsorption, suggesting carboxyl and sulfonic acid groups were involved in the adsorption of MB onto FSMAA. The new peak at 285.1 eV appearing in C1s spectrum after adsorption was attributed to the π bond formed by the phenylalkane in adsorbed MB [46]. The new peaks at 163.7 eV and 164.9 eV for S2p3/2 and S2p1/2, respectively, in S2p spectrum after adsorption were also attributed to the adsorbed MB. The change of relative area percentage of each peak and the appearance of new peaks indicated that the functional groups on the surface of FSMAA reacted with MB through electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic interaction.

Figure 11. C1s and S2p XPS spectra of (a,c) FSMAA; (b,d) FSMAA-MB.
The stability of FSMAA in waters with different pH values was studied by measuring the leaching of polymer chains. Nevertheless, the remaining adsorption capacity was more than 60% after eight adsorption–regeneration cycles, indicating FSMAA had a good regeneration ability. As discussed in Section 3.2.2, the adsorption performance in acid environment was unsatisfactory. Hence, 1 mol L⁻¹, 0.1 mol L⁻¹, and 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl were adopted as the desorbents and the desorption performance is shown in the insert of Figure 12a. The desorption percentages for 1 mol L⁻¹, 0.1 mol L⁻¹, and 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl were 85.9%, 77.5%, and 71.2%, respectively, so 1 mol L⁻¹ HCl was chosen as the desorbent for the adsorption–regeneration experiments. As seen in Figure 12b, the recovery percentage of FSMAA and the MB concentration in desorbent solution after every regeneration experiment in eight adsorption–regeneration cycles (grafted monomer concentration = 2.0 mol L⁻¹) declined with the increase of cycle number. This was probably caused by the decrease of adsorbent quantity and the incomplete desorption of MB adsorbed at the bottom of grafted polymer chains. Nevertheless, the remaining adsorption capacity was more than 60% after eight adsorption–regeneration cycles, indicating FSMAA had a good regeneration ability.

### 3.3. Stability and Regeneration

The adsorbent’s stability and regeneration ability were important indexes in practical application. The stability of FSMAA in waters with different pH values was studied by measuring the leaching concentration of Fe. As illustrated in Figure 12a, the leaching of Fe was inhibited even in extremely acid environment (i.e., pH = 0 or 1) due to the protection of the outer polymer shell. This phenomenon demonstrated FSMAA had a good stability.

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** (a) Leaching of Fe from FSMAA in different pH solutions (the insert is the image of desorption percentages obtained by different desorbents); (b) recovery percentage of FSMAA and MB concentration in desorbent solution after every regeneration experiment in eight adsorption–regeneration cycles (grafted monomer concentration = 2.0 mol L⁻¹).

As discussed in Section 3.2.2, the adsorption performance in acid environment was unsatisfactory. It was implied that acid pickling could be a feasible way to regenerate the adsorbent [47]. Hence, 1 mol L⁻¹, 0.1 mol L⁻¹, and 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl were adopted as the desorbents and the desorption performance is shown in the insert of Figure 12a. The desorption percentages for 1 mol L⁻¹, 0.1 mol L⁻¹, and 0.01 mol L⁻¹ HCl were 85.9%, 77.5%, and 71.2%, respectively, so 1 mol L⁻¹ HCl was chosen as the desorbent for the adsorption–regeneration experiments. As seen in Figure 12b, the recovery percentage of FSMAA and the MB concentration in desorbent solution after every regeneration experiment declined with the increase of cycle number. This was probably caused by the decrease of adsorbent quantity and the incomplete desorption of MB adsorbed at the bottom of grafted polymer chains. Nevertheless, the remaining adsorption capacity was more than 60% after eight adsorption–regeneration cycles, indicating FSMAA had a good regeneration ability.

### 4. Conclusions

Polymer-functionalized MNPs named FSMAA were successfully synthesized by grafting AA and AMPS on the surface of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂-MPS through one-pot free radical polymerization. Various characterization techniques, including TEM, FTIR, XRD, XPS, and VSM, had been used and it was
confirmed that the obtained FSMAA had a core–shell structure, a good magnetic property, and plenty of functional groups on its surface. MB adsorption experiments showed that the adsorption capacity of FSMAA increased with the increase of grafted monomer concentration and solution pH. The experimental kinetic data were well described by the pseudo-second-order kinetic model and the adsorption equilibrium was achieved after 90 min. Besides, the intraparticle diffusion model proved the adsorption process contained three stages. The experimental isothermal data were better explained by the Langmuir model and the maximum adsorption capacity of FSMAA 2.0 calculated from this model was 421.9 mg g$^{-1}$, much higher than those of other adsorbents stated in previous literatures. The excellent adsorption performance was attributed to the electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, and hydrophobic interaction. Furthermore, FSMAA exhibited a good stability and regeneration ability; the remaining adsorption capacity was more than 60% after eight adsorption–regeneration cycles. All the above mentioned indicated FSMAA’s potentiality of practical application in the removal of MB from wastewater. Our future work will be more concentrated on the mechanism analysis (for example, the grafting reaction studies and thermodynamic studies) and the further optimization of other synthesis conditions (for example, the amounts of added TEOS and MPS).

**Author Contributions:** Data curation, X.Z., R.Z., and Q.S.; formal analysis, X.Z., R.Z., and S.Z.; funding acquisition, H.Z.; investigation, X.Z., R.Z., Q.S., S.Z., and Yongzhi Liu; methodology, X.Z.; project administration, H.Z. and Y.S.; writing—original draft, X.Z.; writing—review & editing, H.Z. and Y.S.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 21677020) and 111 Project (Project No. B13041).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 21677020) and 111 Project (Project No. B13041).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Wen, S.; Gao, B.; Xing, X.; Xing, L.; Shuang, H.; Duan, P.; Song, W.; Jia, R. Adsorption–desorption behavior of magnetic amine/Fe$_3$O$_4$ functionalized biopolymer resin towards anionic dyes from wastewater. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2016, 210, 123–130.

2. Bibi, I.; Bhatti, H.N.; Asgher, M. Decolourisation of direct dyes with manganese peroxidase from white rot basidiomycete Ganoderma lucidum IBL-5. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 2009, 87, 435–440. [CrossRef]

3. Yang, C.X.; Lei, L.; Zhou, P.X.; Zhang, Z.; Lei, Z.Q. Preparation and characterization of poly(AA co PVP)/PGS composite and its application for methylene blue adsorption. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2015, 443, 97–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Singh, J.; Chang, Y.Y.; Koduru, J.R.; Yang, J.K. Potential degradation of methylene blue (MB) by nano-metallic particles: A kinetic study and possible mechanism of MB degradation. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 2018, 23, 1–9. [CrossRef]

5. Spagnoli, A.A.; Giannakoudakis, D.A.; Bashkova, S. Adsorption of methylene blue on cashew nut shell based carbons activated with zinc chloride: The role of surface and structural parameters. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2017, 229, 465–471. [CrossRef]

6. Yang, M.; Liu, X.; Qi, Y.; Sun, W.; Men, Y. Preparation of κ-carrageenan/graphene oxide gel beads and their efficient adsorption for methylene blue. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2017, 506, 669–677. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Barroso-Martín, I.; Moretti, E.; Talon, A.; Storaro, L.; Rodríguez-Castellón, E.; Infantes-Molina, A.; Au and AuCu nanoparticles supported on SBA-15 ordered mesoporous titania-silica as catalysts for methylene blue photodegradation. *Materials* 2018, 11, 980–906. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Singh, J.; Yang, J.K.; Chang, Y.Y.; Koduru, J.R. Fenton-like degradation of methylene blue by ultrasonically dispersed nano zero-valent metals. *Environ. Process.* 2017, 4, 169–182. [CrossRef]

9. Zhao, F.; Liu, L.; Yang, F.; Ren, N. E-Fenton degradation of MB during filtration with Gr/PPy modified membrane cathode. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013, 230, 491–498. [CrossRef]

10. Saaidia, S.; Delimi, R.; Benredjem, Z.; Mehellou, A.; Djemel, A.; Barbari, K. Use of a PbO$_2$ electrode of a lead-acid battery for the electrochemical degradation of methylene blue. *Sep. Sci.* 2017, 52, 1602–1614. [CrossRef]
11. Abbasi, M. Synthesis and characterization of magnetic nanocomposite of chitosan/SiO$_2$/carbon nanotubes and its application for dyes removal. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2017, 145, 105–113. [CrossRef]

12. Lu, A.H.; Salabas, E.L.; Schüth, F. Magnetic nanoparticles: Synthesis, protection, functionalization, and application. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 1222–1244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Ge, Y.; Li, Y.; Zu, B.; Zhou, C.; Dou, X. AM-DMC-AMPS multi-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for efficient purification of complex multiphase water system. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2016, 11, 217–225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Javaheiri, F.; Hassanajili, S. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4@$SiO$_2$@MPS@P4VP nanoparticles for nitrate removal from aqueous solutions. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 2016, 133, 44330. [CrossRef]

15. Li, K.; Wang, Y.; Huang, M.; Yan, H.; Yang, H.; Xiao, S.; Li, A. Preparation of chitosan-graft-polyacrylamide core-shell nanoparticles for redox-responsive drug release. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2018, 84, 1033–1039. [CrossRef]

16. Park, H.S.; Koduru, J.R.; Choo, K.H.; Lee, B. Activated carbons impregnated with iron oxide nanoparticles and its application for efficient purification of complex multiphase water system. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2016, 11, 217–225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Javaheri, F.; Hassanajili, S. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$@SiO$_2$ nanoparticles and its application for the removal of methylene blue. *2018 J. Arid Land*, 90–98. [CrossRef]

18. Li, C.; Lu, J.; Li, S.; Tong, Y.; Ye, B. Synthesis of magnetic microspheres with sodium alginate and activated carbon for removal of methylene blue. *Materials 2017*, 10, 84–97. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Ma, J.; Jia, Y.; Lingamdinne, L.P.; Koduru, J.R.; Choi, Y.L.; Chang, Y.Y.; Yang, J.K. Studies on removal of Pb(II) and Cr(III) using graphene oxide based inverse spinel nickel ferrite nano-composite as sorbent. *Hydrometallurgy* 2016, 165, 64–72. [CrossRef]

20. Lingamdinne, L.P.; Koduru, J.R.; Choi, Y.L.; Chang, Y.Y.; Yang, J.K. Studies on removal of Pb(II) and Cr(III) using graphene oxide based inverse spinel nickel ferrite nano-composite as sorbent. *Hydrometallurgy* 2016, 165, 64–72. [CrossRef]
32. Wang, P.; Wang, X.; Yu, S.; Zou, Y.; Wang, J.; Chen, Z.; Alharbi, N.S.; Alsaeedi, A.; Hayat, T.; Chen, Y. Silica coated Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanospheres for high removal of organic pollutants from wastewater. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, 306, 280–288. [CrossRef]

33. Hao, Y.M.; Man, C.; Hu, Z.B. Effective removal of Cu (II) ions from aqueous solution by amino-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2010, 184, 392–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

34. Repo, E.; Warchol, J.K.; Kurniawan, T.A.; Sillanpää, M.E.T. Adsorption of Co(II) and Ni(II) by EDTA- and/or DTPA-modified chitosan: Kinetic and equilibrium modeling. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2010, 161, 73–82. [CrossRef]

35. Fan, S.; Tang, J.; Wang, Y.; Li, H.; Zhang, H.; Tang, J.; Wang, Z.; Li, X. Biochar prepared from co-pyrolysis of municipal sewage sludge and tea waste for the adsorption of methylene blue from aqueous solutions: Kinetics, isotherm, thermodynamic and mechanism. *J. Mol. Liq.* 2016, 220, 432–441. [CrossRef]

36. Mokhtar, M. Application of synthetic layered sodium silicate magadiite nanosheets for environmental remediation of methylene blue dye in water. *Materials* 2017, 10, 760–772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Pandimurugan, R.; Thambidurai, S. Synthesis of seaweed-ZnO-PANI hybrid composite for adsorption of methylene blue dye. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2016, 4, 1332–1347. [CrossRef]

38. Fan, L.; Luo, C.; Li, X.; Lu, F.; Qu, H.; Sun, M. Fabrication of novel magnetic chitosan grafted with graphene oxide to enhance adsorption properties for methyl blue. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2012, 215–216, 272–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Yao, Y.; Miao, S.; Yu, S.; Ma, L.P.; Sun, H.; Wang, S. Fabrication of Fe₃O₄/SiO₂ core/shell nanoparticles attached to graphene oxide and its use as an adsorbent. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2012, 379, 20–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Wu, R.; Liu, J.H.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, X.; Xie, J.; Yu, B.; Ma, X.; Yang, S.T.; Wang, H.; Liu, Y. Hydrothermal preparation of magnetic Fe₃O₄@C nanoparticles for dye adsorption. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 2014, 2, 907–913. [CrossRef]

41. Xie, G.; Xi, P.; Liu, H.; Chen, F.; Huang, L.; Shi, Y.; Hou, F.; Zeng, Z.; Shao, C.; Wang, J. A facile chemical method to produce superparamagnetic graphene oxide–Fe₃O₄ hybrid composite and its application in the removal of dyes from aqueous solution. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2011, 22, 1033–1039. [CrossRef]

42. Li, L.; Liu, F.; Duan, H.; Wang, X.; Li, J.; Wang, Y.; Luo, C. The preparation of novel adsorbent materials with efficient adsorption performance for both chromium and methylene blue. *Colloids Surf. B* 2016, 141, 253–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Yang, S.; Zong, P.; Ren, X.; Wang, Q.; Wang, X. Rapid and efficient preconcentration of Eu(III) by core-shell structured Fe₃O₄@humic acid magnetic nanoparticles. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2012, 4, 6891–6900. [CrossRef] [PubMed]