The Mass of Stirring Bodies in the AU Mic Debris Disk Inferred from Resolved Vertical Structure
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Abstract

The vertical distribution of dust in debris disks is sensitive to the number and size of large planetesimals dynamically stirring the disk, and is therefore well-suited for constraining the prevalence of otherwise unobservable Uranus and Neptune analogs. Information regarding stirring bodies has previously been inferred from infrared and optical observations of debris disk vertical structure, but theoretical works predict that the small particles traced by short-wavelength observations will be “puffed up” by radiation pressure, yielding only upper limits. The large grains that dominate the disk emission at millimeter wavelengths are much less sensitive to the effects of stellar radiation or stellar winds, and therefore trace the underlying mass distribution more directly. Here we present ALMA 1.3 mm dust continuum observations of the debris disk around the nearby M star AU Mic. The 3 au spatial resolution of the observations, combined with the favorable edge-on geometry of the system, allows us to measure the vertical thickness of the disk. We report a scale height-to-radius aspect ratio of $h = 0.031_{-0.004}^{+0.005}$ between radii of ~23 au and ~41 au. Comparing this aspect ratio to a theoretical model of size-dependent velocity distributions in the collisional cascade, we find that the perturbing bodies embedded in the local disk must be larger than about 400 km, and the largest perturbing body must be smaller than roughly 1.8 $M_{\oplus}$. These measurements rule out the presence of a gas giant or Neptune analog near the ~40 au outer edge of the debris ring, but are suggestive of large planetesimals or an Earth-sized planet stirring the dust distribution.

Key words: circumstellar matter – planet–disk interactions – planetary systems – stars: individual (AU Mic) – submillimeter: planetary systems

1. Introduction

Planets form during a relatively short and early stage in the lifetime of stellar systems, when the host star is still encircled by a protoplanetary disk. Planet formation, as well as processes including accretion, photoevaporation, and winds, causes first-generation protoplanetary material to dissipate over time (Williams & Cieza 2011; Ercolano & Pascucci 2017). The first-generation material is replaced by second-generation “debris,” produced by collisional grinding of larger planetesimals into small dust grains in a process known as a collisional cascade (Wyatt 2008). The resulting debris disks, optically thin and significantly less luminous than their protoplanetary counterparts, are currently observable around at least 25% of Solar-type stars and are likely to be at least as common as the exoplanetary systems with which they are thought to be associated (Montesinos et al. 2016).

Analysis of the morphological and emissive properties of debris disks sheds light on the final stages of planetary system evolution and can reveal the presence of planets hidden within. Planets can imprint features such as rings, gaps, clumps, or other asymmetries on their parent disks, although it is rarely straightforward to infer the properties of planets directly from the disk morphology (see the review by Hughes et al. 2018, and references therein). In gas-poor systems, the vertical structure of a debris disk can serve as a probe of the total mass of large bodies stirring the collisional cascade (Thébault 2009). The presence of massive bodies increases the inclination dispersion of the dust particle orbits and thus the scale height $H$ of the observed dust distribution.

The dynamical excitation of a disk can therefore be determined from its aspect ratio $H/r$, which in turn allows inferences about the mass and size of bodies responsible for the dynamical stirring. Such work has been undertaken by several authors using visible and infrared observations (Artymowicz 1997; Quillen et al. 2007; Thébault & Augereau 2007). However, Thébault (2009) demonstrates that radiation pressure from the host star should preferentially excite the smallest dust grains in a disk, imparting a “natural” scale height to the system even in the absence of large stirring bodies. Thus longer-wavelength ($\lambda \geq 50 \mu m$ for typical grain blow-out sizes of $\sim 2–10 \mu m$) observations are required to measure the disk scale height resulting from dynamical stirring alone, as the large grains dominating the emission at these wavelengths are much less sensitive to the effects of radiation pressure.

The M3IVe star AU Mic presents a particularly favorable target for such observations because of its proximity ($9.725 \pm 0.005$ pc; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016, 2018),
edge-on inclination, and apparently symmetric morphology at millimeter wavelengths. The first M star detected to have a far-infrared excess, AU Mic hosts one of the best-studied debris disks (Moshir et al. 1990). As a member of the β Pic Moving Group, it is thought to be relatively young: $23 \pm 2$ Myr (Binks & Jeffries 2014; Malo et al. 2014; Mamajek & Bell 2014). A wide range of stellar masses ($0.3 M_\odot - 0.6 M_\odot$; Houdé & Doyle 1994; Plavchan et al. 2009) are reported in the literature; Schüppler et al. (2015) assume a stellar mass of $0.5 M_\odot$ based on the mean of these values. The disk around AU Mic was first resolved by Kalas et al. (2004) in scattered light, and a host of observations spanning the optical to the submillimeter has followed (Augereau & Beust 2006; MacGregor et al. 2013; Schneider et al. 2014; Matthews et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015). Notably, the radial structure of the debris around AU Mic exhibits a so-far-unique time variability at scattered light wavelengths. Boccaletti et al. (2015, 2018) identify several local intensity maxima offset from the disk midplane. On the southeast, these features are moving away from the star at projected velocities that are not consistent with Keplerian rotation; in fact, the outermost features appear to be unbound from the star. Sezestre et al. (2017) provide kinematic fits and invoke a dust source of unspecified nature to explain the features. Chiang & Fung (2017) propose that these fast-moving features are made up of dust particles repelled by a time-variable stellar wind that triggers dust avalanches when the wind blows strongest. These avalanches would be seeded by the debris remaining from the recent disruption of a ~400 km sized progenitor. In this paper we will provide an independent constraint on the presence of comparably sized planetesimals.

We present here $0^\prime 3$ Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) 1.3 mm observations of the AU Mic debris disk. These observations represent a factor of $\sim 2$ improvement in both spatial resolution and rms noise relative to previous ALMA observations of the system by MacGregor et al. (2013), and our analysis indicates that the vertical structure of the disk is resolved with $4\sigma$ confidence. In Section 2 we present the new observations and describe the data reduction. In Section 3 we document the basic observational results regarding the disk flux, morphology, and gas content. In Section 4 we conduct a parametric exploration of an axisymmetric disk model in order to investigate the degeneracy between vertical structure, radial structure, and viewing geometry. In Section 5 we discuss our results—particularly the constraints on the dynamical excitation of the disk imposed by our measurement of the scale height—and compare them to previous observations. In Section 6 we summarize the results of our scale height measurement and its implications for the population of stirring bodies in AU Mic’s disk.

2. Observations

AU Mic was observed with ALMA on three separate occasions in 2014 March, 2014 August, and 2015 June (see Table 1). All observations employed ALMA’s 12 m antennas and Band 6 receivers, including four individually tunable spectral windows, each with a bandwidth of 1.875 GHz. One spectral window was centered around the CO J = 2 − 1 transition at a rest frequency of 230.538001 GHz and a channel spacing of 488 kHz ($0.635 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The remaining three spectral windows were configured to detect continuum emission with central frequencies of 228.5, 213.5, and 216.0 GHz and channel spacings of 15.625 MHz ($\sim 21 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The mean of the four central wavelengths is 1.35 mm. The baseline lengths range between 12 and 1320 m; the longest baseline among the three observations traces an angular scale of $0^\prime 222$ and a spatial scale of 2.1 au.

Calibration, reduction, and imaging were carried out using the CASA software package. Standard ALMA reduction scripts were applied to the data sets: phase calibration was accomplished via gain calibration and water vapor radiometry tables, while system temperature calibrations were performed to account for variations in instrument and weather conditions. Flux and bandpass calibrations were subsequently applied; the flux calibration is subject to a 10% systematic uncertainty. Both 2 GHz spectral averaging and 60 s spectral averaging were performed. In addition to these standard procedures, the weights of the visibilities were recalculated using the variance around each baseline as in Flaherty et al. (2017).

During the last segment of the June observation (04:23:38–04:29:58 UT), the host star flared. To determine the flux density of the flare as a function of time, we first binned the data into 1 minute intervals using the task split. Fitting a point source to the long baselines in each bin tended to overestimate the stellar flux when compared with the results of our parametric modeling presented in Section 4, as even the longest baselines are sensitive to disk emission. Instead, we used the task tclean to image the emission with natural weighting and determined the flux density of the peak pixel in each image. To account for contamination of the stellar component by disk emission, we also subtracted the disk-only flux density in the corresponding pixel of our best-fit disk model image convolved with the ALMA visibilities. The resulting flare flux densities can be found in Table 2; details of the model fitting process and a list of best-fit parameters can be found in Section 4 and Table 3, respectively. We exclude from our analysis of the disk emission the six minutes during which the flare occurred, as it proved difficult to separate the stellar emission from that of the disk while it was changing so rapidly. The peak flux density in the no-flare segment of the June observations is consistent with the best-fit model stellar flux.

### Table 1

| Parameter                  | 2014 Mar 26 | 2014 Aug 18 | 2015 Jun 24 |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Stellar R.A. (J2000)       | 20:45:09.8424 | 20:45:09.8543 | 20:45:09.8719 |
| Stellar decl. (J2000)      | -31:20:32.360 | -31:20:32.522  | -31:20:32.839  |
| Antennas                   | 32           | 35           | 37           |
| Baseline length (m)        | 12–406       | 19–1160      | 30–1320      |
| On-source time (minutes)   | 35           | 35           | 33           |
| Flux calibrator            | Titan        | J2056–472    | Titan        |
| Bandpass calibrator        | J1924–2914   | J2056–4714   | J1924–2914   |
| Gain calibrator            | J201–2933    | J201–2933    | J2056–3208   |
| pwv range (mm)             | [0.63, 0.66] | [1.58, 1.69] | [0.67, 0.74] |
| Beam size (arcsec)         | 1.27 × 0.74  | 0.33 × 0.30  | 0.47 × 0.31  |
| Peak intensity (μJy beam$^{-1}$) | 630         | 240         | 320         |
| rms noise (μJy beam$^{-1}$) | 30           | 30          | 20          |

**Note.** Images were created using the task tclean with natural weighting.
within uncertainties (Table 3), suggesting that this method suffers minimal contamination from disk emission.

Imaging was performed using standard Fourier inversion methods, as implemented in the CASA task tclean. Visual inspection of the cleaned images for each of the three dates indicated that the peak of the bright chromospheric emission from the central star was consistently offset from the image center by roughly 0.73° in each direction. To obtain a more precise alignment for each of the data sets, we fit an image-domain 2D Gaussian to a small region around the star with the task imfit, and used the centroid of the Gaussian fit to define the star position. Each data set was then phase-shifted using the task fixvis so that the pointing center of the data was the same as the fitted star position. We note that the centroid of the Gaussian fit to the flare segment of the June observations is 1.13 ± 0.18 au (30% of the synthesized beam) NE of the non-flare fit centroid. This change in the fit stellar location might be explained if the flare were not symmetric with respect to the star, although such a large offset seems unlikely as it is orders of magnitude larger than the stellar radius; an issue with the position of one of the calibrators may also be responsible.

AU Mic is a high proper motion system, and its equatorial coordinates changed significantly over the 1.5 yr between the first and last observations. Because the CASA task tclean preserves pointing center offsets when converting several visibility data sets into an image, it was necessary to combine the data into a single measurement set before creating a composite image in order to account for the offset in phase center between data sets. This was done using the task concat, which combines data sets with pointing centers aligned so long as their pointing centers do not differ by a value greater than the parameter dirtol. A natural weighting scheme was used to trace small-scale disk structure, resulting in an rms noise of 15 μJy beam⁻¹ and a 0.52 × 0.39 restoring beam with a position angle (PA) of 77.9°.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the combined dust continuum emission from all three observations at 1.3 mm; chromospheric emission from the M star is visible as a point source at the center of the image (Cranmer et al. 2013). The peak signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the dust emission is ~23. Using the MIRIAD task ccurs, we measure an integrated flux density of 4.97 ± 0.08 mJy enclosed within the 3σ contours of the naturally weighted image. We note that this value represents the combined emission from the disk and the star. Faithfully disentangling the two components proved difficult, both because the stellar flux varied significantly across the three nights of observation (Table 3) and because emission from the star and edge-on disk overlap in the sky plane. Consequently, the most accurate way to isolate the disk flux from the stellar contribution is through parametric modeling (see Section 4), where the two components can be specified separately; our modeling yields a disk flux density of 4.81±0.04 mJy. Our estimate of the disk flux is significantly smaller than the best-fit 1.28 mm disk flux density of 7.14±0.04 mJy reported by MacGregor et al. (2013)—equivalent to 6.42±0.04 mJy when scaled to our observing wavelength of 1.35 mm assuming a millimeter-wavelength spectral index of 2 (Matthews et al. 2015). The ~25% discrepancy is unexpectedly large, but may fall within the combined absolute flux calibration uncertainties of the two data sets. Because our shortest baseline (≈9 kλ) is smaller than the shortest baseline in MacGregor et al. (2013; ~16 kλ), we cannot attribute the flux discrepancy to extended emission that might have been unresolved by our observations.

The ansa to the SE exhibits a maximum flux density of 340 ± 15 μJy beam⁻¹ at a stellocentric separation of 29.0 ± 0.2 au and PA of 128.7 ± 0.4, while the ansa to the NW exhibits a maximum flux density of 330 ± 15 μJy beam⁻¹ at a separation of 24.1 ± 0.2 au and PA of 308.2 ± 0.4. Here PA is measured counterclockwise with respect to the north celestial pole. The discrepancy in PA between the two peaks falls within the estimated uncertainties, and so we are not able to confirm the scattered light PA offset observed by Boccaletti et al. (2015). The peak flux densities of the ansae differ by less than the rms noise, indicating that there is no significant difference in brightness between the two sides of the disk. Indeed, the apparent flux asymmetry in these data is in the opposite direction of the apparent flux asymmetry in MacGregor et al. (2013), providing further circumstantial evidence that there is no significant flux asymmetry at millimeter wavelengths.

While comparison of the ansae peak flux densities suggests that the AU Mic disk does not exhibit severe asymmetry, a more detailed analysis can be conducted by extracting surface brightness and vertical structure profiles from the naturally

### Table 2

| Time (UTC) | Peak Flux Density (mJy beam⁻¹) |
|------------|--------------------------------|
| 03:45:00–04:20:00 (no flare) | 0.26 ± 0.02 |
| 04:23:38–04:24:00 | 0.84 ± 0.15 |
| 04:24:00–04:25:00 | 11.10 ± 0.12 |
| 04:25:00–04:26:00 | 3.46 ± 0.10 |
| 04:26:00–04:27:00 | 1.40 ± 0.10 |
| 04:27:00–04:28:00 | 0.48 ± 0.11 |
| 04:28:00–04:29:00 | 0.40 ± 0.10 |
| 04:29:00–04:29:58 | 0.33 ± 0.10 |

Note. Uncertainties are given by the off-source rms noise of each image.
AU Mic debris disk is discussed in greater detail in Section 4.3.1. The vertically integrated surface brightness, \( \Sigma \), is a function of projected separation from the star, and its dependence on the disk's radial and vertical structure, extracted from the combined data set synthesized beam projected onto the radial axis of the disk, while the profile inset in the bottom pane shows its projection onto the vertical axis. The bottom inset also shows 40 disk vertical profiles (dotted lines) sampled at random from \( r \leq 40 \) au; the profiles have all been scaled to the same amplitude and centroid, showing that the FWHMs of the disk profiles are larger than that of the beam. Although the last pane indicates a beam-subtracted disk FWHM that is technically smaller than the vertical FWHM of the beam, the fact that the image-domain vertical height of the disk is consistently in excess of the beam contribution implies that our data spatially resolve the vertical structure of the disk.

4. Analysis

Previous studies of the scale height of debris disks have demonstrated a degeneracy between vertical structure, radial structure, and viewing geometry (e.g., Milli et al. 2014). For example, it can be difficult to distinguish a disk that is vertically thin but slightly inclined from one that is vertically broad but perfectly edge-on. In light of this, we adopt a modeling approach that combines a simple ray-tracing code to properly project the radial and vertical flux distribution of the optically thin emission onto the sky plane with an MCMC fitting algorithm that allows us to explore the degree to which these known degeneracies impact our ability to measure the vertical structure of the disk.

4.1. Modeling Formalism

We use the parametric structure and ray-tracing disk code described in Flaherty et al. (2015), itself an adaptation of earlier...
work by Rosenfeld et al. (2013). Synthetic sky-projected images are generated from a given temperature and density structure and are subsequently Fourier transformed to create model visibilities that can be directly compared to the interferometric data.

We assume the disk to be azimuthally symmetric and vertically isothermal. At a given radius \( r \), the vertical density profile is assumed to be Gaussian with a standard deviation equal to the scale height \( H(r) \). The scale height is given by \( H(r) = h r \), where the aspect ratio \( h \) is a constant. It is common for debris disk models to assume that scale height is a linear function of radius (Sai et al. 2015; Olofsson et al. 2016), and the theoretical work of Thébault (2009) on vertical structure in debris disks also assumes a “global” aspect ratio. We cannot justify choosing a more complex parameterization of the scale height, given the resolution of the data compared to the FWHM of the disk. A Gaussian vertical structure is consistent with Brown (2001), who finds that the ecliptic inclination distribution of Kuiper Belt Objects (KBOs) is well described by the sum of two Gaussians. Furthermore, the author notes that a Gaussian appears to be a “natural functional form” for the distribution of ecliptic inclinations in the Kuiper Belt; Monte Carlo simulations of dynamical interactions in a disk with initial inclinations of zero produce an ecliptic inclination distribution that is perfectly fit by a Gaussian.

The dust opacity is set to 2.3 cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (Beckwith et al. 1990), placing the model disk in the optically thin regime for the range of dust masses explored. For an optically thin disk, the observed thermal emission is determined by both the surface density and temperature of the dust; to break the degeneracy between these two parameters, we assume that the dust grains are in blackbody equilibrium with the central star. While true dust grain temperatures have been shown to deviate from temperatures given by the blackbody assumption (Pawellek & Krivov 2015), these deviations are negligible for grain sizes larger than \( \sim 100 \mu\text{m} \) and are not expected to affect temperature estimates for the \( \sim 1 \text{ mm} \) grains traced by our ALMA observations. Thus the dust temperature at a distance \( r \) from the host star is given by

\[
T_{\text{dust}}(r) = \left( \frac{L_*}{16\pi r^2\sigma} \right)^{1/4},
\]

where \( L_* \) is the bolometric luminosity of the star and \( \sigma \) is the Stefan–Boltzmann constant. We assume that the radial surface density takes the form of a power law:

\[
\Sigma(r) = \begin{cases} \Sigma_c r^p, & r \leq r_{\text{in}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

where \( p \) is the power-law exponent, and \( r_{\text{in}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) are the disk inner and outer radius. The critical surface density \( \Sigma_c \) normalizes the surface density structure for a given total dust mass \( M_{\text{dust}} \):

\[
\Sigma_c = \frac{M_{\text{dust}} (p + 2)}{2\pi [r_{\text{out}}^{(p+2)} - r_{\text{in}}^{(p+2)}]}.
\]

The observed disk PA and inclination \( i \) are free parameters. We adopt a stellar luminosity of 0.09 \( \text{L}_\odot \) (Plavchan et al. 2009) and a distance to the star of 9.725 \( \pm 0.005 \text{pc} \) (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018); the observed 1.3 mm stellar flux density \( F_* \) is left as a free parameter for each observation date. We note that the uncertainty in the stellar distance could affect the modeled disk mass and disk radial extent, while the 10% systematic flux uncertainty could affect the modeled disk mass and stellar flux density. The disk center is set by the measured position of the central point source, which was obtained in Section 2. The spatial resolution of the resulting model sky image is set to 0.15 au per pixel, \( \sim 7 \% \) of the spatial scale sampled by the longest baseline in the data. After the model image is generated by the ray-tracing code, it is Fourier transformed into the visibility domain and sampled at the same spatial frequencies as the ALMA data with the \textsc{miriad} task \textsc{uvmodel}. This allows the model to be compared directly to the visibilities in the Fourier domain, where uncertainties are better characterized than in the image domain.

We explore the parameter space of the model using the affine-invariant formulation of the MCMC algorithm described by Goodman & Weare (2010) and implemented in Python as \textsc{emcee} (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). MCMC routines sample parameter space such that the density of samples in a given region is proportional to the local probability density, allowing estimation of the posterior probability functions themselves. The process therefore not only identifies regions of high probability in parameter space, but also allows uncertainties and degeneracies between parameters to be determined from the correlations between the posteriors of each parameter. A log-likelihood metric \( L = -\chi^2/2 \) is used to assess the quality of fit between the synthetic and observed visibilities.

We assume uniform priors for all parameters. The dust mass was sampled in logarithmic space, formally equivalent to assuming a log uniform prior. Bounds placed on the logarithm of the dust mass were chosen to be wide enough to encompass all currently detectable optically thin dust masses, and bounds placed on the power-law exponent were chosen to include all known circumstellar disk power-law exponents. Priors placed on the stellar flux density and disk inner radius, width, and aspect ratio ensured that these parameters were always greater than zero. The PA was confined to the range \( 0^\circ < \text{PA} < 180^\circ \), and the inclination to \( 0^\circ < i < 90^\circ \). Because AU Mic is so close to edge-on, the preferred inclination falls very close to the \( 90^\circ \) prior upper bound. To ensure that the proximity of the solution to the edge of parameter space does not affect the posterior distribution, we investigated the effect of allowing the inclination to vary above \( 90^\circ \). Doing so produced a inclination distribution with two symmetric modes on either side of \( 90^\circ \). When the resulting unbounded inclination distribution was reparameterized such that all values fell between \( 0^\circ \) and \( 90^\circ \), the original bounded inclination distribution was recovered, indicating that the placing a \( 90^\circ \) prior upper bound has no significant effect on the inclination posterior.

We performed several MCMC runs in order to investigate a variety of model formalisms. All runs used 50 walkers, and \( 10^5 \) samples were drawn from each run to allow accurate statistical comparison between runs. Initially we varied 10 parameters: the logarithm of the disk dust mass (\( \log M_{\text{dust}} \)), the disk inner radius (\( r_{\text{in}} \)), width (\( \Delta r \)), power-law exponent (\( p \)), scale height aspect ratio (\( h \)), inclination (\( i \)), PA, and finally a separate stellar flux density (\( F_* \)) for each of the three observation dates. After the fact, the posterior distribution for \( \Delta r \) was replaced by the outer radius posterior \( r_{\text{out}} = r_{\text{in}} + \Delta r \) to allow for easier interpretation. This model formalism resulted in a best-fit \( \chi^2 \) value of 626061.8 (reduced \( \chi^2 = 1.032 \)), and we treat this parameterization as our fiducial model.
Marginalized posterior probability distributions for the fiducial model parameters are shown in Figure 3. While the majority of the distributions are Gaussian, the three parameters that determine the radial structure of the disk (\(p\), \(r_{in}\), and \(r_{out}\)) exhibit slight bimodality. All three parameters are degenerate, as can be seen from the “corner” plot in Figure 4; in fact, the bimodality of the three parameters is a result of the existence of two distinct families of solutions in parameter space. While the fiducial best-fit model has \(p = 0.9\), \(r_{in} = 23.3\) au, and \(r_{out} = 41.5\) au, a lower-likelihood family of solutions can clearly be seen in Figure 4. The highest-likelihood model associated with this family has \(p = 2.8\), \(r_{in} = 8.8\) au, and \(r_{out} = 40.3\) au. More generally, mild degeneracies between the parameters determining vertical structure, radial structure, and viewing geometry are visible, but the aspect ratio \(h\) is correlated to a significant degree only with the inclination \(i\).

The fiducial best-fit model image and residuals found in Figure 5(a) provide further information as to the cause of the bimodal posterior distribution. As can be seen from the residual map, the outer regions of the disk are reproduced well by the model; however, semi-symmetric \(3\sigma\) residuals, one “above” the disk midplane and one “below,” remain at projected stellocentric separations of \(\sim 10\) au. We note these residuals share the locations of the local intensity maxima described in Section 3. The convergence of these features leads us to consider the possibility of either a dust density enhancement (a ring) or reduction (a gap) in the inner regions of the disk. As a gap/ring would cause the radial surface brightness profile of the disk to deviate from that given by the simple power law used in our modeling, it could explain the bimodality in the posterior distributions of the parameters governing disk radial structure.

We first explored the effects of adding a gap to the inner regions of the disk. The gap inner and outer radii were left as free parameters, and the dust density within the gap was set to zero. The gap consistently converged to regions where the dust density was already zero (interior to the disk inner radius or exterior to the disk outer radius); we take this as evidence that the data are not well characterized by a gap. As a next step, we experimented with adding a ring to the disk. The ring inner radius \(r_{ring}\) was once again left as a free parameter and the ring width was fixed to 0.1 au. The ring was also characterized by a dust mass \(M_{ring}\), which was evenly distributed across the radial extent of the ring. As can be seen in Figure 5(b), this parameterization was better able to reproduce the “bump” in the radial surface brightness profile at \(r \sim 10\) au, reducing the best-fit model residuals by \(\sim 25\%). However, 2\(\sigma\) residuals are still present at the location of the bumps; the ring model’s failure to accurately reproduce these features could be explained by the fact that the two local intensity maxima are not perfectly symmetric about the star and together exhibit a PA that deviates from that of the main disk. Image-domain analysis indicates that the bump to the SE has a separation of \(\sim 10.2\) au, while the NW bump has a separation of \(\sim 7.6\) au (Figure 2, second pane). This discrepancy could be explained if the hypothetical ring were eccentric or if the two bumps were instead localized, asymmetric density enhancements within the outer ring.

The median values and best-fit model parameters for the fiducial and ring parameterizations can be found in Table 3. We use both the AICc, a form of the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) corrected for finite data sets, and the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) to compare goodness of fit between models with different numbers of free parameters. Both criteria attempt to strike a balance between overfitting and underfitting by rewarding models with higher probability and penalizing models with more free parameters; the BIC penalizes free parameters more severely than the AIC. If the BIC scores of two models differ by \(\Delta\text{BIC} < 2\), then neither model is significantly better or worse than the other, while

![Figure 3](image-url)  
Figure 3. Kernel density estimates of the marginalized posterior probability distributions for the fiducial run. The central dashed line designates the median of each distribution, while the outer lines mark the 16th and 84th percentiles (1\(\sigma\) confidence intervals).

### Table 3: MCMC Fitting Results

| Parameter | Fiducial | Disk + Ring |
|-----------|---------|------------|
| log \(M_*(M_\odot)\) | -7.555^{+0.006}_{-0.008} | -7.548^{+0.007}_{-0.007} |
| \(p\) | 0.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4} | 0.8^{+0.6}_{-0.4} |
| \(h\) | 0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004} | 0.027^{+0.004}_{-0.005} |
| \(r_{in}\) (au) | 23.2^{+0.6}_{-0.5} | 24.1^{+0.6}_{-0.9} |
| \(r_{out}\) (au) | 41.5^{+0.5}_{-0.4} | 42.3^{+0.4}_{-0.5} |
| \(i\) (°) | 88.5^{+0.3}_{-0.2} | 88.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} |
| PA (°) | 128.5^{+0.07}_{-0.07} | 128.5^{+0.07}_{-0.07} |
| March \(F_{\mu}\) (\(\mu\)Jy) | 390^{+20}_{-20} | 390^{+20}_{-20} |
| August \(F_{\mu}\) (\(\mu\)Jy) | 160^{+20}_{-20} | 150^{+20}_{-20} |
| June \(F_{\mu}\) (\(\mu\)Jy) | 240^{+20}_{-20} | 220^{+20}_{-20} |
| \(r_{ring}\) (au) | 11.9^{+1.2}_{-1.8} | 10.8 |
| \(M_{ring}(M_\odot \times 10^{-4})\) | 1.8^{+0.5}_{-0.7} | 1.7 |
| \(\ln L\) | -313036^{+2}_{-4} | -313031 |
| | -313077^{+2}_{-2} | -313072 |

...
$2 < \Delta \text{BIC} < 6$ implies “positive” evidence of a statistically improved fit. If $6 < \Delta \text{BIC} < 10$, then “strong evidence” is implied, and $\Delta \text{BIC} > 10$ implies “very strong” evidence. The best-fit ring model is preferred to the fiducial model with 3.7σ confidence on the AICc; conversely, the fiducial model is preferred to the ring model on the BIC with $\Delta \text{BIC} = 4.3$. As such, we are not able to conclusively confirm the presence of an additional ring of mm dust grains in the AU Mic disk.

A model with a single $F_\nu$ across all three dates was also investigated, but did not reproduce the data to the same degree of accuracy as the fiducial model. Significant residuals were visible at the location of the star, and a stellar flux density varying by more than a factor of two over a period of months to years is preferred with 7.3σ confidence by the AICc and with $\Delta \text{BIC} = 35.0$.

4.3. Investigating Vertical Structure

The posterior distribution for the aspect ratio $h$ suggests that the data are capable of measuring AU Mic’s scale height, despite the mild degeneracy between the aspect ratio and other parameters like the radial structure and viewing geometry. Even when marginalized over these other parameters, the posterior distribution indicates a measured value of $h = 0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$, which translates to a $\sim 8\sigma$ measurement of the scale height rather than an upper limit. At the $\sim 40$ au outer edge of the disk, this aspect ratio implies a vertical scale height of $1.24^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$ au. The corresponding disk FWHM is $2.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ au, which is consistent with the mean image-domain FWHM of $\sim 2.8$ au for projected separations $\leq 40$ au (Figure 2: due to the edge-on inclination of the disk, the outer edge of the disk sets the apparent disk thickness at all projected separations).

To verify that we in fact measured the scale height, we investigate a model parameterization in which the scale height is set to a value well below ALMA’s resolution limits. The aspect ratio is fixed at a value of 0.003, so that even at the outer edge of the disk the scale height is $\sim 3\%$ of the beam size along the disk’s vertical axis. If the disk is in fact resolved by the observations, such a “skinny” disk model should perform

![Figure 4. “Corner” plot showing degeneracies between a subset of the free parameters for the fiducial model. Two families of solutions are visible, and are most prominent in the $p$, $r_{\text{in}}$, and $r_{\text{out}}$ distributions. In addition, the two-dimensional slices through parameter space show limited degeneracies among radial structure, vertical structure, and viewing geometry. Of particular interest is the scale height $h$, which exhibits a noticeable degeneracy with the inclination $i$.](image-url)
significantly worse than the fiducial model. Hence we can quantify the statistical significance of our detection of the scale height by comparing the best-fit skinny model to the best-fit fiducial model. The best-fit model image and residuals are shown in Figure 5(c). The skinny model results in a significantly poorer fit to the data than the fiducial model with variable aspect ratio, with the best fits differing at the 3.7σ level according to the AICc and by a value of 5.8 on the BIC.

5. Discussion

Parametric modeling suggests that AU Mic’s debris disk is nearly edge on, exhibits an increasing surface density with radius until ∼42 au, and reaches a maximum scale height of ∼1.2 au. There is also marginal evidence for an additional annulus of dust at r ∼ 10 au. Here we compare the results of our analysis with previous studies of AU Mic’s debris disk. Relevant quantities in the literature have been scaled by the new Gaia distance, although in most cases the scaling has no
effect on the first significant figure. For cases where scaling by the Gaia distance changes any of the significant digits reported, the resulting value is referred to as “Gaia-corrected.”

5.1. Dust and Gas Mass

MCMC fitting prefers a median dust mass of $9.28 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ for an opacity of $2.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$; this value tends to be slightly smaller than previous estimates, possibly because of the low disk flux reported in Section 3 and because the new, shorter Gaia distance of 9.725 $\pm$ 0.005 pc should decrease the preferred dust mass. We note that the reported uncertainties take into account neither the 10% flux uncertainty nor assumptions about the dust opacity. Assuming an opacity of $2.7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and a dust temperature of 25 K, MacGregor et al. (2013) estimate a dust mass of $\sim0.01 M_\odot$ from a 1.3 mm disk flux density of $7.14^{+0.12}_{-0.25} \text{ mJy}$. Matthews et al. (2015) report an identical mass with 20% uncertainty from a 850 $\mu$m disk flux density of $12.5 \pm 1.5 \text{ mJy}$ and an opacity of $1.7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Liu et al. (2004) also take the opacity to be $1.7 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and report 0.011 $M_\odot$ from a disk flux density of 14.4 $\pm$ 1.8 mJy at 850 $\mu$m. Finally, Strubbe & Chiang (2006) calculate 0.01 $M_\odot$ by deriving a steady-state collisional cascade grain size distribution and fitting the disk’s surface brightness and thermal spectrum to V- and H-band HST observations.

Detections of gas in debris disks are becoming increasingly common, and are changing our understanding of dust morphology in gas-bearing systems (see Section 4 of Hughes et al. 2018, and references therein). Theoretical works such as that of Takeuchi & Artymowicz (2001) predict that small dust grain dynamics will be affected by the presence of gas for gas-to-dust ratios $\gtrsim 1$; Section 5.2 of Hughes et al. (2017) provides a discussion of the ways in which gas can interact with dust in debris disks. As discussed in Section 3, even a large gas excitation temperature of 250 K implies a 3$\sigma$ upper limit on the $^{12}$CO mass of only $8.7 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$. Combined with our preferred dust mass of $9.08 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ and assuming a conservative CO/H$_2$ ratio of $10^{-4}$, this CO mass corresponds to an upper limit of $\sim 1$ on the gas-to-dust ratio. Such a low gas-to-dust ratio excludes the possibility of gas influencing the millimeter grain kinematics, and thus our measurement of the scale height.

5.2. Disk Geometry

The geometric properties of the disk inferred from our modeling generally agree with the literature. The median of the inclination posterior distribution $88^{+5}_{-3} \pm 0.3$ is marginally consistent with Metchev et al. (2005) who estimate $i \gtrsim 89^\circ$, but is not consistent with Krist et al. (2005) who report an inclination between 89$^\circ.43$ and 89$^\circ.44$. The median PA of 128$^\circ.49 \pm 0.07$ falls within uncertainties of measurements by MacGregor et al. (2013) and Krist et al. (2005); however, the limb-averaged PA interior to 50 au from Metchev et al. (2005) is 129$^\circ.8 \pm 0.2$, and Schneider et al. (2014) report an optical-wavelength PA of 127$^\circ.8 \pm 0.2$ between 50 and 100 au.

The eccentricity of the AU Mic debris disk can be constrained from the SE-NW ansa flux density ratio $f_{\text{SE-NW}} = 340 \pm 15 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1} = 1.030 \pm 0.065$ reported in Section 3. For a perfectly circular disk, the flux ratio for all pairs of points should be 1. For a disk with a nonzero eccentricity, the flux ratio will be largest between periapse and apoapse. When the disk’s line of apsides (connecting periapse and apoapse) falls on the sky plane (perpendicular to the line of sight), $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$ is simply the apoapse-periapse ratio. If the line of apsides of the disk has any other orientation, $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$ is closer to unity than the apoapse-periapse ratio, and $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$ should equal 1 when the line of apsides points exactly along our line of sight.

For a disk with a small eccentricity, the fluxes at apoapse and periapse are still fairly close to one another and so the ratios for all orientations of the line of apsides fall within the measured 1$\sigma$ confidence interval. The disk may also exhibit a larger eccentricity, such that the apoapse-periapse flux ratio is greater than the observed upper limit of $f_{\text{SE-NW}} = 1.095$; then the disk must have its line of apsides oriented at an angle to the sky plane in order to satisfy the constraint on $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$. As the disk eccentricity increases further, the line of apsides must lie closer and closer to our line of sight for $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$ to fall within the observed range. Assuming all edge-on disk orientations are equally likely, higher eccentricities are thus less likely given the observed fluxes. On the other hand, all eccentricities below 0.13—the value at which the apoapse-periapse flux ratio is equal to the observed upper limit of $f_{\text{SE-NW}} = 1.095$—are equally likely.

Figure 6 shows the probability distribution of the disk’s eccentricity given the observed value of $f_{\text{SE-NW}}$. We assume a disk radius of 40 au, and a stellar mass, effective temperature, and luminosity of 0.5 $M_\odot$, 3500 K (Plavchan et al. 2009), and 0.09$L_\odot$, respectively. The grain size distribution is determined by a power-law index $q = 3.5$, and grain absorptivity scales as $\lambda^q$ for wavelengths larger than the grain size. We find that there is a 50% probability that the eccentricity of the AU Mic debris disk is less than 0.15, a 65% probability that it is less than 0.28, and a 95% probability that it is less than 0.78. Section 5.4 and Figure 7 of Wyatt et al. (1999) contains a similar discussion of the degeneracy between periapse orientation and eccentricity in relation to apoapse-periapse flux ratios.
and there is a suggestive peak in the noise on the opposite side of the star as well. Although these surface brightness enhancements do not attain $3\sigma$ significance after subtraction of an axisymmetric model, the independent detection of these features in both data sets suggests that they may be real. Schneider et al. (2014) also observe an optical-wavelength “bump” $\sim$13 au SE of the star and slightly elevated from the disk midplane (i.e., to the NE). No matching surface brightness enhancement is observed on the NW side of the disk, which is obscured by the STIS occulting wedge for $r \lesssim 12$ au. On the other hand, the scattered light emission on the NW side is not symmetric about the midplane and the authors tentatively identify a warp below the midplane extending to a $Gaia$-corrected distance of $\sim$43 au from the star. They note that these features may share a common cause, and posit that dust orbits in the inner disk are non-coplanar with those found at larger separations.

Near-infrared GPI observations presented in Wang et al. (2015) further corroborate the presence of a “bump” to the SE, characterized by a FWHM roughly triple the FWHM at an equivalent separation on the NW side of the disk. No features are detected at a corresponding separation to the NW. Figure 4 of Wang et al. (2015) shows a composite map of the bump as seen by GPI, STIS, and ALMA; the common location of the bump in both scattered light and mm observations would indicate that the mm- and micron-sized grains are cospatial. The micron-sized grains traced by scattered light, and all larger bodies ranging up to the cm-to-m sizes characterizing the top of the collisional cascade, are thought to originate from a narrow “birth ring” at $\sim$40 au (Strubbe & Chiang 2006). Thus it is possible that all features are located at a true stellocentric separation of $\sim$40 au, with the apparent stellocentric separation of $\sim$10 au being due to high-inclination projection effects. If the two features seen in our data were located at 40 au, the NW feature would exhibit an angle of $\sim$10° with respect to the line of sight, while the SE feature would exhibit an angle of $\sim$14°. In principle, the true stellocentric separation could be constrained by the range of temperatures allowed by AU Mic’s SED. However, such an analysis would be complicated by the relatively small mass contribution of the feature, the need to incorporate constraints on the small grains from scattered light imaging, and assumptions about the grain size distribution.

Planets are often invoked to explain rings in debris disks; P. Plavchan et al. (2019, in preparation) propose a Jovian-mass exoplanet candidate interior to 1 au, but AU Mic’s stellar activity makes it difficult to confirm the radial velocity detection. It is unlikely that a planet so close to the star would be responsible for a ring at $\sim$10 au. On the other hand, a planet might be related to AU Mic’s fast-moving features in the point-source parent body picture of Sezestre et al. (2017). The $<1$ au separation of the potential planet detection by Plavchan et al. is far interior to the preferred 8 au separation that Sezestre et al. (2017) derive to explain the origin of the fast-moving features, but separations <5 au are not ruled out by the latter’s analysis.

5.4. Vertical Structure

As discussed in Section 4.3, our MCMC analysis yields a median aspect ratio of $h = 0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004}$; at a reference radius of 40 au, this translates to a vertical scale height $H = 1.24^{+0.20}_{-0.16}$ au and a FWHM of $2.9^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ au. The FWHM is thus only $\sim$2/3 the size of the combined-data beam projected onto the vertical axis.
of the disk. While it may seem improbable that we measure a vertical thickness below the image-domain spatial resolution of the combined data, our results can be explained by the following observations. First, the beam size of the naturally weighted combined image \((0.052 \times 0.039)\) is not wholly representative of the resolution of the data. The smallest naturally weighted beam FWHM from the three individual observations is \(0.030 = 2.9\) au (Table 1), while the spatial scale traced by the longest baseline is \(0.022 = 2.1\) au. Second, the scale height \(H\) refers to the disk height as measured from the midplane; the observable quantity that must be resolved in order to measure the scale height is actually the total vertical thickness \(2H\). Third, the scale height represents the standard deviation of a Gaussian distribution of dust particles that in fact reaches well beyond the extent of the scale height. For example, considering that the peak S/N of the data is \(\sim 23\) and that the vertical distribution of dust is assumed to be Gaussian, the S/N should remain above \(3\) over a total vertical extent of \(\sim 0.035 (3.4\) au). The combination of these factors indicates that it is plausible that we are able to detect a scale height smaller than the resolution of the image-domain data.

Our ability to measure the disk’s vertical thickness could also be affected by uncalibrated phase noise, which could cause the true angular resolution of the data to be worse than that given by the beam. Boehler et al. (2013) find that introducing Gaussian-distributed phase noise to synthetic ALMA observations of highly inclined protoplanetary disks has little effect on the measured scale height value, although the corresponding uncertainties do grow. The authors also find that introducing phase noise dramatically increases model reduced \(\chi^2\) values; our low reduced \(\chi^2\) value of 1.032 provides an indirect indication that phase noise does not affect our results. To further investigate the effects of phase noise on the resolution, we imaged the two test quasars included in the ALMA data with the same clean parameters that were used to image the disk. We find that the beam-convolved FWHMs of the quasars observed during the two long-baseline observations are slightly larger than the corresponding beam FWHMs; however, there are several reasons to believe that the phase noise does not prevent us from resolving the vertical structure of the AU Mic disk. The beam-deconvolved FWHMs of the test quasars projected onto the vertical axis of the disk \((\sim 0.010\) and \(0.016\) au) are still smaller than the mean beam-subtracted vertical disk FWHM (\(0.028\)). Furthermore, since the quasar was observed only every other loop, the \(u-v\) coverage and S/N are poorer than the full AU Mic data set, suggesting that the measured phase noise is an upper limit on that of the AU Mic data. We therefore continue to interpret our result as a resolved measurement of vertical structure, but if the phase noise is at the upper end of the range suggested by the quasar measurements, it may be an upper limit instead.

AU Mic’s vertical structure has been previously measured in the optical and near-IR (e.g., Krist et al. 2005; Metchev et al. 2005); this work’s goal of measuring the disk’s millimeter-wavelength vertical structure is partially motivated by the theoretical work of Thébault (2009), who predicts that the observed scale height of a debris disk should increase for smaller observing wavelengths. Thébault (2009) suggests that the smaller grains traced by short-wavelength observations can be placed on inclined orbits by radiation pressure (and to first order, disk winds), even in the absence of large bodies dynamically stirring the disk. This effect preferentially excites smaller dust grains, “puffing” up the disk at mid-IR to visible wavelengths, while the larger grains that dominate emission at longer wavelengths remain near the midplane. Thébault (2009) proposes a “natural” minimum debris disk thickness due to stellar winds and radiation pressure of \(h = 0.04 \pm 0.02\), as seen at wavelengths smaller than \(50\) \(\mu\)m. Thébault also runs a collisional model tailored to the AU Mic system, assuming no intrinsic dynamical excitation, and reports \(H = 1\) au for \(r \leq 40\) au when degraded to the resolution of scattered light images. Although this scale height falls within the range of “natural” debris disk thicknesses, the Thébault stresses that this does not amount to an assertion that the disk is dynamically cold due to the simplicity of their model and fitting process.

In light of the work of Thébault (2009), the wavelength-dependence of AU Mic’s scale height is of particular interest. Our measured FWHM of \(1.46_{-0.19}^{+0.24}\) au at \(r = 40\) au is marginally consistent with values cited in the literature derived from observations spanning the optical to the submillimeter, ranging from roughly \(0.2\) to \(2\) au (Figure 8, Table 4). Schüppler et al. (2015) place an upper limit of \(0.05\) rad on the \(1.3\) mm semi-opening angle (equivalent to the aspect ratio for small angles) by extracting the image-domain vertical profile from vertically unresolved ALMA observations presented in MacGregor et al. (2013), and estimate a visible-wavelength semi-opening angle of \(0.03\) rad by reading off the vertical scale height from STIS observations of the disk presented in Schneider et al. (2014). Metchev et al. (2005) approximate a \(H\)-band Keck FWHM of \(\sim 4\) au at a separation of \(40\) au; Krist et al. (2005) fit a vertical Lorentzian profile to multicolor \(HST\) observations of the disk and find the \(Gaia\)-corrected FWHM interior to \(50\) au to fall between \(2.4\) and \(3.4\) au.

Because the measurements quoted previously are determined from the observed vertical thickness of AU Mic’s disk, they can be affected by the radial structure and viewing geometry of the disk, as well as scattering effects. Parametric modeling, which accounts for such effects, thus provides a more reliable way to assess AU Mic’s vertical structure. For example, Krist et al. (2005) report a FWHM between \(1.73\) and \(1.74\) au at \(r = 20\) au and an inclination of \(89.4\)° from three-dimensional scattering models. Metchev et al. (2005) find that a constant scale height of \(0.8\) au adequately reproduces the observed mean disk thickness, assuming an inclination of \(89\)°. Collisional modeling can also be used to learn about AU Mic’s vertical structure: collisional velocities—and thus dust production—are affected by the maximum eccentricity \(e_{\text{max}}\) of planetesimal orbits, which in turn can be related to the disk vertical structure (see Section 5.5). Schüppler et al. (2015) perform such modeling constrained by photometric observations spanning visible to millimeter wavelengths and quote a reference model opening angle of \(0.015\) rad. The authors note that an opening angle of \(0.005\) rad better reproduces the disk spectral energy distribution (SED) in the long-wavelength regime beyond \(100\) \(\mu\)m, but is unable to reproduce flux measurements for \(\lambda \leq 70\) \(\mu\)m.

In sum, estimates of AU Mic’s vertical structure vary depending on the wavelength of observation, the techniques used, and the assumptions made. Rigorous comparison between measurements in the literature is difficult—for example, Krist et al. (2005) assume a flared vertical profile, while other authors use a linear parameterization for the scale height. Nevertheless, some general statements may be made. HWHM values determined from optical and near-infrared
observations range from roughly 0.8 to 2 au at a radius of 40 au, while the HWHMs determined at least in part from mm observations range from 0.2 to 2 au, the latter being an upper limit. While our measurement of the scale height exceeds measurements by Krist et al. (2005) and Metchev et al. (2005) in the optical and near-IR (in apparent contradiction to the predictions of Thébault 2009), we note that both authors report higher inclinations than our \( i = 88.7^{±0.3} \). Due to the degeneracy between scale height and inclination (e.g., Figure 4), adopting a higher inclination would lead to a smaller estimate of the scale height. We conclude that the two wavelength regimes do not provide radically different values, and we cannot unequivocally confirm or deny the prediction of Thébault (2009). These observations provide an important data point for the vertical height of large particles in the cascade from which to consider how this connects to the distribution of smaller particles. If the vertical height is found to decrease with particle size (as might be inferred from some estimates of the short-wavelength vertical height), this could point to an increased role for damping with decreasing particle size, perhaps due to the increased strength of such particles (e.g., Housen & Holsapple 1990). This work provides a parent belt vertical height measurement needed for modeling of this effect.

In recent years, the vertical structure of several other debris disks has been tentatively resolved with ALMA. Observations of CO \( J = 3 - 2 \) and \( 2 - 1 \) line emission from the edge-on \( β \) Pic debris disk at a spatial resolution of \( \sim 5.5 \) au presented by Matrà et al. (2017) suggest that the disk is resolved in the vertical direction. The beam-subtracted apparent FWHM, determined in a similar manner as the bottom pane of Figure 2 in this work, ranges between \( \sim 7 \) and 12 au over the \( \sim 100 \) au radial extent of the disk. Assuming Keplerian rotation and an edge-on inclination, the authors report the following scale height-radius relation:

\[
H = 7.0 \pm 0.6 \times \left( \frac{R}{85 \text{ au}} \right)^{0.75^{±0.02}} \text{ au},
\]

where the scale height \( H \) is the standard deviation of the Gaussian vertical density distribution. For reference to our work, this corresponds to a scale height of 4 au at a separation of 40 au.

As pointed out in Section 4.9 of Marino et al. (2016), the scale height of a narrow ring may be resolved even if the ring is not close to edge-on. The authors estimate that for ~0.25 resolution observations of the low-inclination \( (i \approx 30^\circ) \) HD 181327 debris disk at a S/N of ~50, an aspect ratio of \( h = 0.083 \) could be constrained to within ±0.005. Along the same lines, Kennedy et al. (2018) analyze 11.6 au \( \times 13.1 \) au spatial resolution observations of the moderately inclined \( (i \approx 77^\circ) \) HR 4796A debris disk, reporting a marginal detection of the disk scale height. The authors find that a vertically resolved ring (FWHM = 7 ± 1 au) is favored over a vertically unresolved ring (FWHM < 4 au) with \( \Delta \text{BIC} = 6.8 \), meeting the condition for “strong” evidence of a statistically higher-quality fit (\( \Delta \text{BIC} > 6 \)). This FWHM corresponds to a Gaussian standard deviation \( H \approx 3.0 \pm 0.4 \) au and, at the \( \sim 80 \) au radial location of the ring, a scale factor of \( h \approx 0.038 \pm 0.005 \). In sum, the vertical structure of debris disks is just beginning to be resolved in the millimeter thanks to
the improvements in sensitivity and resolution provided by ALMA; to our knowledge, AU Mic exhibits the narrowest millimeter-wavelength scale height of any known debris disk.

5.5. Inferring the Mass of Stirring Bodies

Information regarding the bodies dynamically stirring AU Mic’s disk can be recovered by relating the scale height to the dynamical excitation of the disk’s dust grains. As discussed by Thébault (2009) and Quillen et al. (2007), the planetesimals responsible for stirring the disk impart kinetic energy to the dust, perturbing them from a Keplerian orbit and thus increasing their orbital eccentricity dispersion \( \langle e^2 \rangle \). Here we define \( \tilde{e} = \langle e^2 \rangle ^{1/2} \) and \( \tilde{i} = \langle i^2 \rangle ^{1/2} \), where \( \langle i^2 \rangle \) is the inclination dispersion of the dust grain orbits. In equilibrium, there is an equipartition between the vertical and in-plane components of the velocities imparted to the grains, so \( \tilde{e} = \tilde{e}/2 \). Inclination can be related to the observed FWHM using \( \tilde{i} = \sqrt{2} \) FWHM for small angles; thus inclination is related to our Gaussian-standard-deviation aspect ratio by \( \tilde{i} \approx 2.355h/\sqrt{2} \). The interparticle relative velocity \( \langle v_{rel} \rangle \) can then be determined directly from observables using the following relation (Wetherill & Stewart 1993; Wyatt & Dent 2002):

\[
\langle v_{\text{rel}} \rangle \approx v_{\text{Kep}}(r) \sqrt{1 + 1.25 \tilde{e}^2} \approx 2.355 \sqrt{3} v_{\text{Kep}}(r) h, \tag{5}
\]

where \( v_{\text{Kep}}(r) \) is the Keplerian velocity at radius \( r \). Adopting a stellar mass of 0.5 \( M_{\odot} \) and taking \( r = 40 \) au, \( h = 0.031^{+0.005}_{-0.004} \) yields \( v_{\text{rel}} = 420^{+70}_{-50} \) m s\(^{-1} \).

The velocity dispersion of the dust grains will be excited to roughly the escape velocity of the largest bodies governing the disk dynamics in the absence of any significant damping of their velocity dispersion. This result arises because viscous stirring has a larger cross section (i.e., shorter characteristic timescale) than collisions, as long as the velocity dispersion is less than the escape velocity of the largest bodies that dominate the stirring. The two cross sections (and timescales) become comparable as \( v_{\text{rel}} \) approaches \( v_{\text{esc}} \) and collisions start to dominate, limiting the growth of \( v_{\text{rel}} \) to about \( v_{\text{esc}} \) (e.g., Schlichting 2014). As such, we can use our estimate for \( v_{\text{rel}} \) to place a lower limit on the escape velocity \( v_{\text{esc}} \) and thus size \( a_{\text{big}} \) of bodies stirring the disk. Assuming a typical asteroid density of 2 g cm\(^{-3} \) (Carr 2012), we find \( a_{\text{big}} \sim 400^{+250}_{-200} \) km and \( m_{\text{big}} \sim 5.3^{+2.6}_{-2.0} \times 10^{20} \) kg (i.e., \( \sim 4\% \) the mass of Pluto).

On the other hand, the disk may be in a steady state in which velocity damping is balanced with excitation (rather than damping being inefficient). Under this condition, the scale height provides a joint constraint on the number and mass of stirring bodies, rather than their size. To infer such constraints from the observed disk scale height, we refer to theoretical models of steady-state size-dependent velocity distributions in the collisional cascade described in Pan & Schlichting (2012). We assume a disk in steady state in which velocity excitation (“stirring”) occurs via close encounters with large planetesimals and velocity damping occurs via direct collisions with other (small) bodies. Because the disk is assumed to be in steady state, we can equate the expressions for stirring and damping rates and solve for the velocity of dust grains. The computation equating the stirring and damping is analogous to that of Equations (15) and (16) in Pan & Schlichting (2012); however, while Equations (15) and (16) assume that catastrophic collisional destruction dominates the velocity damping, we assume that all collisions with smaller bodies contribute to damping. We assume an eccentricity of 0.03 (typical of the major planets of the solar system) for the perturbing bodies in order to determine the velocity dispersion \( \nu(R) \) of the largest bodies and relate the dust grain velocity to the disk scale height, as described previously. Assuming a HWHM of 1.5 au at 40 au, a stellar mass of 0.5 \( M_{\odot} \), and a dust mass of \( 9.28 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} \), these models give the following joint constraint on the number and mass of perturbing bodies:

\[
\sqrt{N_p} m_p \sim 1.8 M_{\odot}. \tag{6}
\]

The maximum perturbing body mass occurs when \( N_p = 1 \); a single planet would then have a mass of \( \sim 1.8 M_{\oplus} \) and a radius of \( \sim 1.2 R_{\oplus} \), assuming a mean density of 5.5 g cm\(^{-3} \) characteristic of Earth. For eccentricities slightly higher than the chosen \( e = 0.03 \), this constraint should scale linearly with eccentricity.

In sum, the largest bodies in the AU Mic disk cannot be smaller than 400^{+250}_{-200} \) km or they would not be able to stir the dust grains to the velocity dispersion inferred from the measured scale height. Conversely, the most massive body in the disk cannot be larger than \( \sim 1.8 M_{\oplus} \) or the scale height would exceed the value measured in this work. Our conclusions regarding the stirring bodies are probably limited to the outer region of the disk, especially in the case of an ensemble of 400 km planetesimals. If scale height increases with radius, the observations are likely only sensitive to the scale height near the \( \sim 40 \) au outer disk radius (where the surface density and thus \( \Sigma/N \) is highest) because the maximum scale height is comparable to the spatial resolution of the data. Bodies external to the disk are also capable of stirring the disk at a distance, through secular and/or mean-motion resonant perturbations; consideration of external perturbers is deferred to future work. If external stirring is significant, then the provided constraints on the properties of embedded (non-external) perturbers are all upper limits.

The properties of the perturbers estimated in this work are consistent with those inferred independently by Chiang & Fung (2017). In their scenario, the catastrophic disruption of a Varuna-sized progenitor and the ongoing clearing of debris from this event underlie the fast-moving infrared features observed by Boccaletti et al. (2015, 2018).\(^{11}\) Our lower limit of \( \sim 400 \) km on the perturbing body size is consistent with the \( \sim 400 \) km radius for their Varuna-like progenitor (for reference, Varuna is among the larger objects in the Kuiper Belt). Moreover, because in their scenario it takes \( \sim 3 \times 4 \) yr to clear the debris, which is only a small fraction of the AU Mic system age of \( \sim 20 \) Myr, we should expect many such progenitors, to ensure an order-unity probability for observing the aftermath of a single catastrophic collision today. We might expect a

\(^{11}\) As Chiang & Fung (2017) discuss, the avalanche scenario is not without its problems, among them the ad hoc and unproven assumption that the star AU Mic emits a wind that varies on a 10-year timescale. In addition, two features on the northwest ansa newly discovered by Boccaletti et al. (2018) need to be accommodated—this might be done by shifting the avalanche zone to the northwest (potentially improving the fit to velocities observed to the southeast) and experimenting with variable avalanche histories to reproduce the relative slump photometry (whose uncertainties seem large). Other problems—the extreme stellar mass loss rates, the evacuation of micron-sized grains in potential violation of the disk’s blue color (Fitzgerald et al. 2007; Schüppler et al. 2015), and underestimation of clump velocities—might also be alleviated by situating the avalanche zone closer to the star, where the stellar wind blows more strongly and where disk material persists (MacGregor et al. 2013; Matthews et al. 2015; this paper; see also Thébault & Kral 2018 for the advantages in launching avalanche seed material from an inner belt). Lorentz forces from the wind might also help radial acceleration.
Varuna-sized object to be disrupted every $\sim3 \times 10^4$ yr, for a total of $\sim700$ such progenitors over the system age—this is a minimum estimate, as there could exist many more such progenitors that could take longer than the system age to be destroyed. An ensemble of $\sim10^3$ 400 km bodies with density $2 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ amounts to a total mass of $\sim0.09 M_\odot$, safely below the upper limit on the total disk mass of $\sim1.8 M_\odot$. Also relevant to the results presented here is the work of Matrà et al. (2019), who perform a similar analysis connecting vertical structure to the mass of stirring bodies in the $\beta$ Pic system.

5.6. Stellar Activity

Our analysis indicates with high confidence that AU Mic exhibited long-term millimeter variability over the $\sim1.5$ yr observation period, with stellar flux densities preferred by our modeling varying by more than a factor of two (160+30−30\, \mu Jy) from one observation to the next. Our values are comparable to the best-fit 1.28 mm flux density of $320 \pm 60 \mu Jy$ from MacGregor et al. (2013), which is equivalent to $290 \pm 50 \mu Jy$ when scaled to our observing wavelength of 1.35 mm, assuming a $\lambda^{-2}$ scaling for thermal emission. It seems likely that this variability is distinct from the 6-minute flare spanning two orders of magnitude that occurred during the June observations. That being said, we cannot rule out the possibility that the observations caught the star in varying states of flare decay, especially as White (1996) note that shorter-wavelength radio flares tend to have slower decay timescales.

Further evidence for the long-term variability of AU Mic is provided by Alekseev & Kochevnikova (2017), who compile from the literature 16 epochs of $V$-band observations spanning more than 2 decades. As shown in Figure 3 of Alekseev & Kochevnikova (2017), the star exhibits variability on the order of 0.3 mag both within individual epochs and across timespans of several years. MacGregor et al. (2016) also detect variability on scales of minutes to months in 9 mm VLA observations of AU Mic, with typical flux densities $\sim650 \mu Jy$ on 2013 May 9, $\sim1000 \mu Jy$ on 2013 May 11, and $\sim650 \mu Jy$ on 2013 June 21. Simulations of radio emission from low-mass stars allow for variability of more than a factor of two over the entire longitudinal extent of a star (Llama et al. 2018); Rodono et al. (1986) find AU Mic to exhibit $V$-band variability with amplitudes of up to 0.3 mag and a period equivalent to the 4.85-day rotation period of the star (Kiraga & Stepień 2007). Cox & Gibson (1985) detect small-scale ($\sim50\%$) variations over a 2-week period in 2–20 cm observations of AU Mic with the VLA, possibly due to “several independent mini-flares” or rotational modulation of the star. In fact, factor of $\sim2$ variability over months to years is typical of “quiescent” microwave emission from cool stars (Guedel 1994). Regardless of the origin of AU Mic’s short- and long-term variability, we emphasize the importance of accounting for stellar emission/variability in observations of circumstellar disks around low-mass stars, especially in light of the Proxima Centauri flare discovered by MacGregor et al. (2018).

6. Conclusions

We have presented new 1.3 mm ALMA observations of thermal dust emission from the debris disk around AU Mic at nearly two times finer angular resolution than previous observations. Both the vertical and radial structure of the disk are resolved. MCMC analysis suggests that the radial structure exhibits an increasing surface density profile to $\sim41$ au and is best characterized by an inner radius $r_{in} \sim 23$ au and power-law exponent $p \sim 0.8$, although a lower-likelihood solution exists at $r_{in} \sim 9$ au, $p \sim 2.8$. We see no indication of a millimeter complement to the fast-moving features detected in the optical by Boccaletti et al. (2015), but the data are suggestive of an additional ring of dust at $\sim10$ au. Models with a ring interior to the main disk provide a better fit to the data, but the difference is not clearly statistically significant, possibly due to eccentricity or non-coplanarity of the residual feature. MCMC analysis prefers an aspect ratio $h = 0.031$, corresponding to a vertical scale height $H \sim 1.2$ au in the outer regions of the disk. Our analysis suggests that this is not an upper limit; a model with vertically resolved structure provides a statistically improved fit over a model with unresolved vertical structure at a $4\sigma$ confidence level. Furthermore, the disk vertical FWHM derived from parametric modeling corresponds well with image-domain estimates of the beam-subtracted FWHM of the emission perpendicular to the disk plane.

By comparing our measurement of the scale height to the steady-state collisional modeling of Pan & Schlichting (2012), we are able to place constraints on the mass and sizes of bodies stirring AU Mic’s disk. In the lower-limit case, where collisional velocity damping is inefficient, the stirring bodies would have a radius of $400^{+30}_{-50}$ km, corresponding to a characteristic mass $\sim20$ times less than that of Pluto. On the other hand, velocity damping may balance stirring; this condition allows us to place an upper limit of $\sim1.8 M_\oplus$ on the product of the square root of the number of stirring bodies and their individual masses. This result, also an upper limit because it neglects the possibility of perturbations by external bodies, implies a maximum planet mass of $\sim1.8 M_\oplus$ in the case of a single stirring planet. These results rule out the presence of a gas giant or Neptune analog embedded within the outer disk, but are suggestive of a significant population of asteroids at least 400 km in size. Such a population has been inferred on independent grounds using time-variable infrared observations (Chiang & Fung 2017).

Looking ahead, the scale height measurement presented in this work could be combined with other measurements of AU Mic’s scale height at widely separated (sub)millimeter wavelengths. This would allow the size-dependent velocity dispersion and internal strengths of bodies in AU Mic’s collisional cascade to be constrained, testing the assumption of collisional cascade theory that velocity dispersion is constant with grain size. Our measurements of the AU Mic system provide a proof of concept that spatially resolved observations of the vertical structure at millimeter wavelengths can constrain the presence of Uranus and Neptune analogs and even large KBO analogs, which are undetectable by standard planet-detection techniques. Applying this technique to other high-inclination debris disks with a range of central stellar masses will provide unique constraints on the prevalence of large perturbing bodies throughout the Galaxy.
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