Long-term outcomes after EUS-guided gallbladder drainage

Monica Saumoy, Aleksey Novikov, Michel Kahaleh
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, 1Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Robert Wood Johnson University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly expanding field of therapeutic EUS, procedures that were previously considered “novel,” are now becoming part of the repertoire of an interventional endoscopist. With the development of new devices, the field has rapidly expanded allowing for the creation of stable and durable transluminal fistulas for internalized drainage. One established example is EUS-guided drainage of the gallbladder. Among others, it has become one of the many new interventional techniques which have been developed, initially as a strictly palliative measure. However as our experience with this technique has grown, we describe potential long-term outcomes for these patients.

OUTCOMES OF PATIENTS WITH CHOLECYSTITIS

The gold standard in management for cholecystitis is a cholecystectomy (CCY), particularly laparoscopic cholecystectomy which is associated with lower postoperative morbidity and lower length of stay.[1] When patients are considered too high risk to safely undergo a CCY, they are generally managed with percutaneous gallbladder drainage (PTGBD) with a goal to provide a bridge until they clinically improve to undergo cholecystectomy.[2] Unfortunately, many patients are unable to improve from their underlying clinical comorbidities. These patients rely on PTGBD as definitive therapy for cholecystitis.[3] Percutaneous drainage can be associated with complications and morbidity related to the cholecystostomy tube, reported as high as 12% in some series.[4,5] These complications include but are not limited to pneumothorax, bile peritonitis, bleeding, and fistulae after tube removal. In addition, patients complain of morbidity associated with having an external drain.[4] Therefore, endoscopically performed gallbladder drainage procedures are exciting alternatives, which have been revealed as safe, efficacious, and minimally invasive.

ENDOSCOPIC GALLBLADDER DRAINAGE

Methods for endoscopic gallbladder drainage (EGBD) have evolved over the past few decades. Initially, endoscopic transpapillary drainage was performed with stent placement using a transcystic approach.[6] Improvement in EUS led to transmural gallbladder drainage with stent placement directly into...
the gallbladder from the gastrointestinal (GI) lumen, with similar technical success rates, and fewer adverse events than PTGBD.[7,8] With the development of lumen apposing metal stent (LAMS), EUS-guided gallbladder drainage (EUS-GBD) has emerged as the endoscopically minimally invasive therapeutic option of choice.[9] In addition to not requiring an external drain, transmural drainage offers the additional benefits of puncturing through the GI tract instead of the liver, which is less vascular. The design of the LAMS also gives the ability to be performed in the presence of ascites. Multiple studies have been done looking at safety and efficacy of EUS-GBD. These are associated with decreased length of stay, fewer adverse events, and fewer repeated interventions.[9-11]

EUS-GBD began as a procedure for patients who would never be considered a surgical candidate: patients with the metastatic malignant disease, or significant age and other comorbidities, and as previously stated, would conventionally receive definitive therapy with a percutaneous drain. However, multiple centers have revealed EUS-GBD as a successful alternative.[12-18] In our center, we have performed EUS-GBD for similar indications. About half of our patients who underwent EUS-GBD had an underlying malignancy (45.95%) and therefore were not considered operative candidates [Table 1]. The other half of patients had significant age and medical comorbidities (44.05%). Mean age was 74.4 years (±16.51), with 56.8% of males and 43.2% of females. In the majority of patients, the 15 mm diameter LAMS was used (78.4%), compared to the 10 mm diameter LAMS (21.6%). In addition, drainage point was more frequently from the duodenum (62.2%) than the stomach (37.8%). Following the outcomes of these patients, demonstrates the end result of EUS-GBD; either with EUS-GBD used as definitive therapy or a patient’s medical comorbidities improve so they can undergo the gold standard cholecystectomy.

**EUS-GUIDED GALLBLADDER DRAINAGE AS DEFINITIVE THERAPY**

EUS-GBD can provide permanent drainage. Increasingly published long-term data reveals that as long as the stent remains patent, it can be left in place as there is no significant morbidity to the patient. Multiple studies have revealed that EUS-GBD can provide definitive therapy, whether the stent is left in place, or removed endoscopically[11,12] [Table 1]. The longest clinical follow-up was published by Walter et al. who reported an average LAMS dwell time of 364 days without stent-related complications.[16] The longest time published reported gallbladder drainage stent dwell time is 3 years, though was performed with a SEMS and not a LAMS.[15,19] We have confirmed this with our own clinical experience. In our case series, 10.8% of patients had the LAMS removed. 81.1% of patients maintained the LAMS, and in 8.1% of patients, the LAMS dislodged on its own. Due to their underlying medical disease, on long-term follow-up – an average of 8.5 months, a majority of patients died (74%) with the LAMS in place. Therefore, EUS-GBD can successfully be offered as a method for definitive therapy in cholecystitis. In these cases, where EUS-GBD is planned to be employed as definitive therapy, certain questions still exist. For example, it is unclear whether an additional plastic double pigtail stent is required to act as a buffer between the LAMS and the lumen wall to prevent bleeding as well as to prevent stent occlusion from food. Additional studies will need to evaluate the likelihood of LAMS obstruction, with or without an anchoring plastic stent, resulting in a recurrence of cholecystitis.

**EUS-GUIDED GALLBLADDER DRAINAGE AS A BRIDGE TO SURGERY**

As previously stated, when patients’ comorbidities improve after EGBD or PTGB, the ideal management for this patient is to undergo surgery. CCY is known to be safe and efficacious after PTGB.[20] Till date, there are a few studies revealing the feasibility of surgical CCY after EUS-GBD. Jang et al. described successful CCY (with no increase rate of open vs. laparoscopic CCY) after EGBD with a plastic nasobiliary drainage catheter.[21] In our clinical experience, patients have also had successful CCY after EUS-GBD with a LAMS. Till date, we have had four patients in our institution who had a successful CCY. Three of the four patients had a cholecystogastrostomy stent and the fourth patient had a cholecystoduodenostomy stent. Only one patient had a postsurgical complication, developing atrial fibrillation with rapid ventricular response. The other three patients had an uncomplicated postoperative course.

**EUS-GUIDED GALLBLADDER LONG-TERM OUTCOMES**

The main concern with long-term EGBD outcomes remain bleeding, stent migration, and recurrent...
cholecystitis. The literature reveals low rates of bleeding (reported up to 13% of patients) and stent migration (reported up to 8% of patients) [Table 1]. Some centers use anchoring plastic stents deployed through the LAMS in hopes to prevent stent migration and bleeding. In our experience, we did not find a significant association between deployment of plastic stents and decreased bleeding propensity. Recurrent cholecystitis or gallbladder obstruction remains a rare complication that we have only observed in one patient, although has been reported in the literature of a rate up to 8% [Table 1]. In our case series, 16.2% of patients required a repeat endoscopy, either to remove the stent (4 patients or 10.8%), for management of significant bleeding (1 patient or 5.4%) or management of persistent cholecystitis (1 patient or 2.7%). No patient in our cohort required a repeat admission during the follow-up period for a complication of the LAMS stent or recurrent cholecystitis.

Given the published data demonstrating successful long-term outcomes of EUS-GBD, we propose an algorithm for the management of cholecystitis [Figure 1]. In this algorithm, all patients who are good operative candidates should undergo the gold standard CCY as first-line therapy for cholecystitis. However, patients who are not considered good operative candidates can either undergo EUS-GBD or PTGBD (if an interventional endoscopist is not available). Once a patient’s comorbidities improve, a surgeon should reassess the patient’s candidacy for a CCY. If a patient is still not a candidate, all PTGBD should be converted to EUS-GBD for long-term gallbladder decompression.

### EUS-GUIDED GALLBLADDER FUTURE DIRECTIONS

It is becoming more accepted that EGBD should be the standard of care for patients with malignant conditions whom will never become surgical candidates. In these patients, where the maximizing quality of life is paramount, EGBD provides the best method for gallbladder drainage while eliminating the need for an external drain.[18] It remains to be seen whether

---

**Table 1. Review of publications for long-term outcomes of EUS-guided gallbladder drainage**

| Study                | n  | Pathology                      | Malignancy (%) | Stent type | Follow-up length (days)* | Technical success rate (%) | Recurrence (%) | Stent revision (%) | Stent migration (%) | Bleeding (%) | Stent removal (%) | Anchoring plastic stent use (%) |
|----------------------|----|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Jang et al., 2011    | 15 | Acute cholecystitis            | 53.30          | SEMS-BONA  | 145 (60-297)            | 100                        | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 0           | 0                 | 0                               |
| Choi et al., 2014    | 56 | Acute cholecystitis            | 65.40          | SEMS-BONA  | 275 (40-1185)           | 98.40                      | 3.60           | 3.60              | 3.60              | 0           | 0                 | 0                               |
| Imai et al., 2016    | 12 | Malignant Biliary obstruction  | 100            | SEMS       | 105 (15-236)            | 100                        | NA             | 0                 | 0                 | 0           | 0                 | 0                               |
| Kamata et al., 2017  | 12 | Acute cholecystitis            | NA             | SEMS       | 304 (78-1492)           | 100                        | 8.3            | 0                 | 0                 | 0           | 33                | 0                               |
| de la Serna-Higuera et al., 2013 | 13 | Acute cholecystitis          | 100            | LAMS       | 101 (24-210)           | 84.61                      | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 7.70         | 7.70              | 0                               |
| Irani et al., 2015   | 15 | Acute cholecystitis, gallbladder hydrops, biliary colic | 42.9          | LAMS       | 160 (not reported)      | 93                         | 0              | 6.70              | 6.70              | 0           | 0                 | 40                              |
| Itoi et al., 2012    | 5  | Acute cholecystitis            | 100            | LAMS       | 4 (1-11)                 (reported in months) | 100                        | 0              | 0                 | 0                 | 100          | 0                 | 0                               |
| Walter et al., 2015  | 30 | Acute cholecystitis            | 6.70           | LAMS       | 364±82                  | 96                         | 7              | 0                 | 0                 | 6.70         | 50                | 0                               |
| Our center           | 37*| Acute cholecystitis or malignant obstruction | 45.95         | LAMS       | 256±255                 | 100                        | 2.70           | 0                 | 8.10              | 13.50        | 10.80             | 40.50                           |

*In 1 patient who had all stents removed, *2 patients were lost to follow up and were not included in the tally, *Reported as mean±SD or median with range. SD: Standard deviation, CCY: Cholecystectomy, SEMS: Self-expanding metal stent, LAMS: Lumen apposing metal stent, NA: Not available, BONA: Bonastent (R) EndoChoice
longer-term studies corroborate similar surgical success rates after EUS-GBD with LAMS. Additional questions to be answered are whether transluminal drainage site (gastric vs. duodenal) can lead to a higher risk of postoperative complications. We assume that if a patient is considered a potential future surgical candidate, transgastric drainage will have fewer operative complications after gallbladder removal, as the retroperitoneal position of the duodenum can make surgical closure more challenging. It is also unclear whether a repeat endoscopy for LAMS removal is necessary before CCY. We hypothesize that prior removal of the LAMS can facilitate a shorter operative time and potentially lower post-operative complications. However, future studies will need to confirm these hypotheses.

CONCLUSIONS

EUS-guided drainage of the gallbladder is an established alternative method for management of cholecystitis. EUS-GBD can be offered as a permanent solution or as a temporizing measure before surgery. We propose an algorithm for management of patients who present with cholecystitis [Figure 1]. Patients with acute cholecystitis who ultimately may become surgical candidates should be offered EGBD as a therapeutic option for gallbladder decompression, and EGBD drainage should not preclude patients from undergoing CCY as part of the standard of care.
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