Bridging micro and macro: accurate registration of the BigBrain dataset with the MNI PD25 and ICBM152 atlases
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Abstract

Brain atlases that encompass detailed anatomical or physiological features are instrumental in the research and surgical planning of various neurological conditions. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has played important roles in neuro-image analysis while histological data remain crucial as a gold standard to guide and validate such analyses. With cellular-scale resolution, the BigBrain atlas offers 3D histology of a complete human brain, and is highly valuable to the research and clinical community. To bridge the insights at macro- and micro-levels, accurate mapping of BigBrain and established MRI brain atlases is necessary, but the existing registration is unsatisfactory. The described dataset includes co-registration of the BigBrain atlas to the MNI PD25 atlas and the ICBM152 2009b atlases (symmetric and asymmetric versions) in addition to manual segmentation of the basal ganglia, red nucleus, and hippocampus for all mentioned atlases. The dataset intends to provide the bridge between insights from histological data and MRI studies in research and neurosurgical planning. The registered atlases, anatomical segmentations, and deformation matrices are available at: nist.mni.mcgill.ca/?p=1209.
Background and summary

Brain atlases are essential tools in neuroimage analysis and in neurosurgery, where they provide the reference to help navigate the anatomical and physiological features of the brain. While the foundational histology-derived atlases, such as Talairach\(^1\) and Schaltenbrand\(^2\) atlases established the seminal brain-based coordinate system for neurological navigation, their application was somewhat limited by the lack of accurate 3D reconstruction. The development of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has allowed sophisticated computational algorithms\(^3\)–\(^5\) to reveal structural and functional variations in living brains due to neurological developments and disorders. Often averaged from multiple subjects, the newer MRI brain atlases\(^6\)–\(^9\) provide high-quality anatomical and physiological information, which can be mapped to an individual’s brain to facilitate further analyses. Despite the advancements to improve resolution, MRI signals remain at macroscopic resolutions. Based on 7404 histological sections, the BigBrain atlas\(^10\) is a 3D digitized model of a human brain at a near-cellular 20 micrometer resolution. It is a unique tool to help integrate cytoarchitectural knowledge with MRI insights to study brain functions and to define anatomical structures that can be difficult to image in MRI (e.g., the subthalami nucleus) for clinical practice and research. To bridge the histological data with MRI, an accurate mapping between the BigBrain dataset and MRI brain atlases is necessary. Previously, a nonlinear registration between the BigBrain histological atlas and the ICBM152 2009b symmetric brain template\(^8\) was provided in the public dataset at bigbrain.loris.ca. However, this anatomical alignment, especially for subcortical structures, is not satisfactory, likely due to the fact that the original registration strategy only considers T1w contrast and the synthetic T1w MRI from BigBrain failed to simulate intensity variations for deep grey matters. As a result, more accurate alignment is greatly beneficial for various studies and surgical planning.

The ICBM152 brain atlas dataset\(^8\), from the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) is one of the most influential tools in neuroimage analysis. In total, MRI brain scans of 152 young adults at 1.5T were selected to build the multi-contrast atlas, which includes T1w, T2w, and PDw contrasts, as well as probabilistic tissue maps and brain structural labels. After the initial edition with affine registration, the 2009 edition using group-wise nonlinear registration provides unbiased representation of the brain anatomy with sharp details. For this edition, both symmetric and asymmetric atlases were offered at the resolutions of 0.5×0.5×0.5mm\(^3\) (ICBM2009b) and 1×1×1mm\(^3\) (ICBM2009c).

As both natural ageing and neurological disorders can greatly influence the anatomical features of the brain (e.g., tissue atrophy), population-specific atlases\(^7\)–\(^9\) are created to ensure the quality of neuroimage analysis and surgical planning. Aiming to facilitate the research and surgical treatment of Parkinson’s disease (PD), the MNI PD25 population-averaged atlases\(^7\)–\(^11\) were constructed from 3T MRI scans\(^12\) of 25 PD patients, and contain five different image contrasts, including T1w (FLASH & MPRAGE), T2*w, T1–T2* fusion, phase, and an R2* map. The special T1–T2* fusion atlas has the general T1w contrast for most of the brain while preserving the subcortical structures,
such as the basal ganglia, red nucleus, and dentate nucleus as shown in typically T2* contrast, which often suffers from susceptibility artifacts near the cortical surface. Furthermore, the dataset is co-registered with a digitized histological atlas with 123 brain structures\textsuperscript{13} and probabilistic tissue maps.

In the dataset described here, we introduce an improved registration of the BigBrain atlas to the symmetric and asymmetric versions of ICBM2009b atlas and the MNI PD25 atlas. As suggested by earlier study\textsuperscript{14,15}, T1w-to-T1w registration can be sub-optimal for subcortical structures (e.g. subthalamic nucleus) that are nearly invisible in T1w MRI. We employed a two-stage multi-contrast registration procedure with the PD25 space as the medium, as shown in Fig.1. The proposed method takes advantage of the similar contrast between the BigBrain and PD25 T1-T2* fusion atlases, and a synthetic T2w PD25 template to ensure the structural alignment. For the atlases involved (BigBrain and all MRI atlases), the basal ganglia, red nucleus, thalamus, and hippocampus were manually segmented at high resolution as additional shape priors to ensure atlas-to-atlas warping\textsuperscript{16} and to help validate the final registration outcomes. We expect the dataset to greatly benefit the clinical and research community.

**Methods**

**Manual segmentation**

Manual segmentations were used to facilitate atlas-to-atlas registration and validate the registration results. This approach ensures the optimal structural overlap in multi-modal registration\textsuperscript{16}, and thus reduces the potential loss in atlas-to-subject mapping. To simplify the notations for all atlases involved in this article, we refer to the symmetric and asymmetric versions of ICBM152 2009b release as ICBM\textit{sym} and ICBM\textit{asy}, respectively, and use the name BigBrain\textit{Sym} to call the co-registered BigBrain atlas to the ICBM152 space as provided in the BigBrain 2015 release. To aid the readers, the list of short names for different atlases is provided in Table 1. Here, nine pairs of subcortical structures were manually segmented at 0.3×0.3×0.3mm\textsuperscript{3} resolution for BigBrain\textit{Sym}, and at 0.5×0.5×0.5mm\textsuperscript{3} for the MNI PD25 and the ICBM2009b symmetric and asymmetric atlases. These structures include the putamen, caudate nucleus, globus pallidus pars externa (GPe), globus pallidus pars interna (GPI), thalamus, red nucleus (RN), substantia nigra (SN), subthalamic nucleus (STN), and hippocampus. The segmentation was performed using ITK-SNAP (itksnap.org) with the left and right side labeled separately. While the RN and the basal ganglia structures were labeled by the author TA and inspected by YX, who is experienced in brain anatomy, the rest were completed by YX. Furthermore, the hippocampus segmentation follows the protocol employed by DeKraker et al.\textsuperscript{17} (2018) and was inspected by a co-author (JD) with expertise in hippocampus anatomy and physiology. The list of segmented structures and their associated label numbers is provided in Table 2.
**Synthetic T2w PD25 template**

As the MNI PD25 dataset primarily leverages the T2*w contrast to visualize the subcortical structures (e.g., the STN, RN and SN), direct mapping between the PD25 T1-T2* atlas and T2w MRI scans can be challenging. To facilitate the inter-contrast registration, a synthetic T2w PD25 atlas, $I_{syn-T2w}$ was constructed as:

$$
I = I_{T1w} + (I_{T1w} - I_{T1-T2*})
$$

$$
I_{syn-T2w} = I_{mask} \cdot (\text{Max}\{I\} - 1)
$$

where $I_{T1w}$ and $I_{T1-T2*}$ are the T1w and T1-T2* PD25 atlases, $I_{mask}$ is the brain mask, and Max{I} is the maximum value within the image $I$. The resulting synthetic T2w PD25 atlas is shown in Fig.2, alongside the co-registered BigBrain atlas.

**Atlas registration**

We employed *BigBrainSym* to initiate the atlas-to-atlas registration as it provided a good starting point. There are two main difficulties in mapping *BigBrainSym* to the ICBM152 atlases. First, the reconstructed histological volume has a unique and different appearance from the ICBM152 atlases, making accurate nonlinear registration with conventional image similarity metrics (e.g., mutual information) challenging. Second, tissue tear and distortion from histology handling created unrealistic morphology (e.g., excessive distortion in hippocampus) and artifacts (e.g., tear in right thalamus) that can adversely influence the mapping. To mitigate these issues, we implemented a two-stage multi-contrast strategy to warp between *BigBrainSym* and the ICBM152 atlases, by using the PD25 space as an intermediate template and adding anatomical segmentations as shape priors to further guide the registration. More specifically, *BigBrainSym* was first non-linearly registered to the PD25 space, which was then deformed to the ICBM1sym or ICBMasm atlas. Lastly, the deformation fields from the two stages were concatenated, and used to resample the BigBrain atlas to the ICBM152 space. For both stages, we used *antsRegistration* from the Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTs, stnava.github.io/ANTs) to achieve the image registration, and all images were processed in MINC2 format.

Taking advantage of the contrast similarity between the BigBrain and T1-T2* PD25 atlases, we used this pair of images to achieve the registration in the first stage. Inherited from the data in the native histological space, *BigBrainSym* contains a few problematic examples of anatomical morphology and artifacts. Besides those mentioned earlier, *BigBrainSym* also has an oversized pineal gland and tectum - likely from tissue stretching during histological processing. To cope with these, the pineal gland was removed from BigBrainSym for registration to avoid over-stretching of local deformation, which can adversely affect the overall registration, and the tectum was segmented in addition to the 9 subcortical structures in both atlases. The multi-class segmentations were placed in one image for each atlas and blurred by a Gaussian kernel with a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of 0.5 mm. Finally, there were used jointly with the atlases during registration.
Here, we used Mattes mutual information and cross-correlation for the atlas pair (weight=1) and the segmentations (weight=0.8), respectively.

As shown in Fig. 1, to map the PD25 space to the ICBM152 space, the T1w and T2w contrasts (synthetic T2w contrast for PD25), together with the subcortical segmentations, were jointly employed. Similar to the first stage, the labels of 9 subcortical structures were blurred by a Gaussian kernel with a FWHM=0.5mm. For each contrast pair, a cross-correlation metric was used, and weights of 1, 1, and 0.8 were assigned to T1w contrast, T2w contrast, and subcortical segmentations, respectively during registration cost function optimization.

**Atlas registration evaluation**
The quality of atlas registration was assessed with two widely employed approaches: 1) anatomical landmark (fiducials) registration errors and 2) atlas-based subcortical segmentation accuracy. While the first metric evaluates the matching of distinct anatomical features, the latter validates the correspondence of subcortical structures. Both metrics were computed for BigBrain-to-PD25, PD25-to-ICBM152 (symmetric and asymmetric versions), and finally BigBrain-to-ICBM152 (symmetric and asymmetric versions) registration. Additionally, as a reference, we also calculated the two metrics between BigBrainSym and ICBMsym.

To assess the atlas alignment with landmark registration errors, we used the anatomical fiducials (AFIDs) framework introduced by Lau et al.\(^{18}\) (2018). For the framework, anatomical landmarks were selected by eight experienced raters for BigBrainSym, ICBMsym, and ICBMasym, and the final landmark coordinates at each location was obtained by averaging the results from all raters after filtering out outlier points. Following the same protocol, the final anatomical landmarks for the PD25 atlas were produced by five experience raters based on the T1w atlas. The full details of the landmark picking protocol and the associated software can be found in the original AFIDs article\(^{18}\). Since for BigBrainSym, excessive tissue tear and distortion exists, we excluded the pineal gland and culmen from the original AFIDs protocol\(^{18}\) for registration validation. The 30 anatomical landmarks employed for registration validation are listed in Table 3, where the Euclidean distance between the transformed point and the target point was computed for each landmark location.

The Dice coefficient (\(\kappa\)) metric was used to evaluate the quality of volumetric overlap between the native manual segmentation and the corresponding labels warped from another atlas. The Dice coefficient is computed by:

\[
\kappa = \frac{2|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B|}
\]

where A and B are the deformed label and manual segmentation, respectively, and \(|\cdot|\) represents the number of voxels within the segmentation. A value of \(\kappa = 1\) represents a prefect overlap, and no overlap gives a value of 0. For smaller structures, such as the midbrain nuclei, values greater than 0.7 are usually accepted as good segmentations.
Data records
The complete dataset includes deformed atlases, subcortical segmentations, and inter-atlas spatial transformations. More specifically, we supply the BigBrain atlas deformed to the PD25, ICBMsym, and ICBMsym atlases at three different resolutions (0.3×0.3×0.3mm³, 0.5×0.5×0.5mm³, and 1×1×1mm³). The subcortical segmentations were included for BigBrainSym at 0.3×0.3×0.3mm³, and for PD25, ICBMsym, and ICBMsym at 0.5×0.5×0.5mm³. All these image volumes are made available in both MINC2 and NIfTI-1 formats. The script mnc2nii from MINC Toolkit (http://bic-mni.github.io) was used for image format conversion. Lastly, the dataset provides the nonlinear transformations for BigBrainSym-to-PD25, PD25-to-ICBMsym, PD25-to-ICBMsym, BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym, and BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym registrations. All these transformations are provided in MINC transformation format. The full dataset can be accessible at nist.mni.mcgill.ca/?p=1209.

Technical validation
Anatomical landmark registration
Landmark registration errors were computed for all individual anatomical landmarks and for the five sets of atlas-to-atlas registrations involved in this dataset. The results are shown in Table 3. The calculated mean registration errors are 2.24, 1.06, 1.26, 1.72, and 1.78 mm for BigBrainSym-to-PD25, PD25-to-ICBMsym, PD25-to-ICBMsym, BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym, and BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym, respectively. For comparison, in Table 3, the results (mean=1.83 mm) were also listed for BigBrain’s original registration to the symmetric ICBM152 space. In general, the introduced two-stage registration strategy resulted in a slightly better mean registration error than the previous registration for BigBrain vs. ICBMsym.

When looking closer at all registration results, the averaged errors from MRI-to-MRI registrations were lower than those from BigBrain-to-MRI registrations. This is expected, since individual anatomical variability is more pronounced than group-averaged anatomy and inter-modality registration is more challenging. Also, in terms of landmark registration errors, BigBrain is better aligned with the ICBM152 space than PD25, likely due to better population representativeness with a larger cohort and the fact that the BigBrain landmarks were tagged within the BigBrainSym atlas¹⁸, potentially making the these landmarks more biased towards the ICBM152 space.

Subcortical structural segmentation
Dice coefficients were calculated for 9 pairs of anatomical structures as listed in Table 4, and the results are listed in Table 4 for all atlas-to-atlas alignments. The mean Dice coefficients were computed at 0.94, 0.92, 0.92, 0.92, 0.92 for BigBrainSym-to-PD25, PD25-to-ICBMsym, PD25-to-ICBMsym, BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym, and BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym, respectively. In contrast to a mean κ value of 0.74 from the original warping of BigBrain to the symmetric ICBM152 space, the new strategy greatly improved the subcortical alignment for all structures of interest. By adding manual labels in multi-contrast registration, the alignment of subcortical
anatomy was relatively consistent across different registrations. This helps ensure the quality of atlas-to-subject registration for future investigations by reducing the accuracy loss in multi-modal atlas-to-atlas registration, and the same approach was employed earlier for histology-to-MRI registration. Although the manual segmentations were also used for validation, the improved deformation is evident by visual inspection in Fig.3, particularly for the subcortical structures.

**Usage Notes**

We provide the refined deformation matrices in MINC transformation format to comply with the existing releases of the BigBrain dataset. The linear and original nonlinear transformations between the BigBrain atlas in native histological space and the symmetric ICBM152 space are available at ftp://bigbrain.loris.ca/BigBrainRelease.2015/3D_Volumes/MNI-ICBM152_Space.
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**Figures**

![Figure 1](image-url). The subcortical segmentation of the BigBrainSym atlas (top row) and schematic of the two-stage registration strategy for BigBrain-to-ICBM152 alignment (bottom row).
Figure 2. Comparison of the BigBrain atlas registered to PD25 atlas, T1-T2* fusion PD25 atlas, and synthetic T2w PD25 atlas with corresponding slices across images. The results are shown for the entire brain (left) and the deep brain region (right).

Figure 3. Comparison of ICBMsym, new co-registered BigBrain to ICBMsym, and BigBrainSym. Each row corresponds to the same slice within each atlas. Note that for in the new registration of BigBrain, the pineal gland was removed.
Tables

| Abbreviation    | Description                                                                 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BigBrainSym     | The registration of BigBrain to ICBM152 space provided as in the 2015 BigBrain data release |
| PD25            | The MNI PD25 atlas for Parkinson’s disease cohort                             |
| ICBM_sym        | The symmetric version of ICBM152 2009b atlas                                  |
| ICBM asym       | The asymmetric version of ICBM152 2009b atlas                                 |

Table 1. Descriptions for all the abbreviations of atlases employed.

| Label number | Nucleus                      | Label number | Nucleus                      |
|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| 1            | Left red nucleus             | 2            | Right red nucleus            |
| 3            | Left substantia nigra        | 4            | Right substantia nigra       |
| 5            | Left subthalamic nucleus     | 6            | Right subthalamic nucleus    |
| 7            | Left caudate                 | 8            | Right caudate                |
| 9            | Left putamen                 | 10           | Right putamen                |
| 11           | Left globus pallidus externa | 12           | Right globus pallidus externa|
| 13           | Left globus pallidus interna | 14           | Right globus pallidus interna|
| 15           | Left thalamus                | 16           | Right thalamus               |
| 17           | Left hippocampus             | 18           | Right hippocampus            |

Table 2. Label numbers with the corresponding nuclei for subcortical segmentation of all atlases.
| Landmark                        | BigBrainSym-to-PD25 | PD25-to-ICBMsym | PD25-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym vs. ICBMsym |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Anterior commissure            | 0.93                | 0.25            | 0.17            | 0.95                   | 0.88                   | 0.74                    |
| Posterior commissure           | 0.23                | 0.40            | 0.37            | 0.43                   | 0.35                   | 0.45                    |
| Infracollicular sulcus         | 1.60                | 1.49            | 1.57            | 0.53                   | 1.16                   | 6.36                    |
| PMJ                            | 2.38                | 0.40            | 0.08            | 1.88                   | 2.24                   | 0.61                    |
| Superior interpeduncular fossa | 2.35                | 0.80            | 0.54            | 1.47                   | 2.14                   | 1.62                    |
| R superior LMS                 | 3.04                | 0.47            | 0.38            | 2.52                   | 2.83                   | 1.23                    |
| L superior LMS                 | 3.04                | 0.34            | 0.60            | 2.99                   | 2.35                   | 1.34                    |
| R inferior LMS                 | 0.30                | 0.24            | 2.00            | 0.52                   | 2.25                   | 1.60                    |
| L inferior LMS                 | 1.27                | 0.20            | 1.90            | 1.27                   | 1.49                   | 1.89                    |
| Intermammillary sulcus         | 0.66                | 0.22            | 0.53            | 0.87                   | 0.67                   | 0.52                    |
| R MB                           | 0.85                | 0.38            | 0.48            | 1.01                   | 0.58                   | 1.13                    |
| L MB                           | 0.56                | 0.66            | 0.99            | 1.07                   | 0.90                   | 1.15                    |
| R LV at AC                     | 3.14                | 1.02            | 0.43            | 2.17                   | 2.90                   | 1.98                    |
| L LV at AC                     | 4.38                | 1.98            | 1.91            | 2.37                   | 2.51                   | 2.05                    |
| R LV at PC                     | 2.51                | 2.20            | 2.23            | 0.62                   | 0.95                   | 1.27                    |
| L LV at PC                     | 2.12                | 2.68            | 1.25            | 2.02                   | 1.18                   | 1.31                    |
| Genu of CC                     | 0.44                | 0.29            | 0.47            | 0.67                   | 0.66                   | 0.65                    |
| Splenium of CC                 | 2.38                | 0.65            | 0.65            | 1.95                   | 1.99                   | 2.23                    |
| R AL temporal horn             | 1.79                | 1.42            | 1.76            | 0.50                   | 0.48                   | 0.70                    |
| L AL temporal horn             | 2.02                | 1.77            | 2.68            | 2.34                   | 3.33                   | 4.69                    |
| R superior AM temporal horn    | 2.54                | 1.17            | 0.79            | 2.20                   | 1.95                   | 0.89                    |
| L superior AM temporal horn    | 3.48                | 1.83            | 1.65            | 2.18                   | 2.26                   | 1.68                    |
| R inferior AM temporal horn    | 2.15                | 2.42            | 2.84            | 1.04                   | 1.28                   | 0.83                    |
| L inferior AM temporal horn    | 2.41                | 2.08            | 2.66            | 1.04                   | 1.18                   | 1.88                    |
| R indusium griseum origin      | 2.16                | 1.36            | 1.97            | 1.24                   | 0.57                   | 1.21                    |
| L indusium griseum origin      | 3.01                | 0.28            | 2.46            | 3.02                   | 1.55                   | 0.74                    |
| R ventral occipital horn       | 5.28                | 1.43            | 1.53            | 4.21                   | 4.11                   | 2.54                    |
| L ventral occipital horn       | 7.26                | 1.91            | 1.74            | 4.92                   | 5.30                   | 5.88                    |
| R olfactory sulcal fundus      | 1.15                | 0.53            | 0.67            | 1.17                   | 1.39                   | 2.62                    |
| L olfactory sulcal fundus      | 1.68                | 0.96            | 0.44            | 2.52                   | 1.96                   | 3.06                    |
| **Mean±sd**                    | **2.24±1.52**       | **1.06±0.76**   | **1.26±0.85**   | **1.72±1.10**          | **1.78±1.14**          | **1.83±1.47**           |

Table 3. Landmark registration errors (mm) for all registrations, as well as well as for the BigBrain vs. ICBMsym registration in BigBrain 2015 data release.
|               | BigBrainSym-to-PD25 | PD25-to-ICBMsym | PD25-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym-to-ICBMsym | BigBrainSym vs. ICBMsym |
|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| L Red Nucleus | 0.92                | 0.90            | 0.90            | 0.88                   | 0.88                   | 0.76                   |
| R Red Nucleus | 0.93                | 0.89            | 0.90            | 0.88                   | 0.89                   | 0.70                   |
| L SN          | 0.94                | 0.89            | 0.89            | 0.90                   | 0.90                   | 0.79                   |
| R SN          | 0.92                | 0.89            | 0.89            | 0.91                   | 0.91                   | 0.77                   |
| L STN         | 0.91                | 0.81            | 0.79            | 0.84                   | 0.82                   | 0.76                   |
| R STN         | 0.88                | 0.84            | 0.83            | 0.87                   | 0.86                   | 0.73                   |
| L Caudate     | 0.97                | 0.97            | 0.97            | 0.97                   | 0.97                   | 0.58                   |
| R Caudate     | 0.97                | 0.97            | 0.97            | 0.97                   | 0.97                   | 0.87                   |
| L Putamen     | 0.97                | 0.97            | 0.97            | 0.97                   | 0.97                   | 0.82                   |
| R Putamen     | 0.97                | 0.97            | 0.97            | 0.96                   | 0.97                   | 0.78                   |
| L GPe         | 0.93                | 0.91            | 0.91            | 0.91                   | 0.91                   | 0.74                   |
| R GPe         | 0.90                | 0.92            | 0.93            | 0.88                   | 0.89                   | 0.71                   |
| L GPi         | 0.90                | 0.91            | 0.91            | 0.90                   | 0.90                   | 0.72                   |
| R GPi         | 0.92                | 0.92            | 0.92            | 0.92                   | 0.93                   | 0.73                   |
| L Thalamus    | 0.98                | 0.98            | 0.98            | 0.98                   | 0.98                   | 0.63                   |
| R Thalamus    | 0.98                | 0.98            | 0.98            | 0.98                   | 0.98                   | 0.89                   |
| L hippocampus | 0.95                | 0.95            | 0.95            | 0.94                   | 0.94                   | 0.63                   |
| R hippocampus | 0.94                | 0.95            | 0.95            | 0.94                   | 0.94                   | 0.75                   |

**Table 4.** Dice coefficients of subcortical structures for all atlas-to-atlas registrations, as well as for the BigBrain vs. *ICBM* sym registration in BigBrain 2015 data release.