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Abstract: Wind power variations at two heights (the surface level and turbine hub level) were investigated at 20 locations in the shelf seas of India using hourly fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate (ERA5) data covering the last 40 years (1979 to 2018). The interannual and seasonal variability in wind power was studied. The wind power density, the exceedance probability of power density and the exploitable wind resources were examined. In the Indian shelf seas, the annual mean wind power density at 10 m above mean sea level varies from 82 to 353 W/m². Wind power density at 110.8 m is 20% to 40% higher than at 10 m above mean sea level. The study shows that the shelf seas have an abundance of wind power, with wind speeds over 3 m/s during 90% of the time at locations 1 to 3, 12 and 13, with a high occurrence of exploitable wind energy above 0.7 × 10³ kWh/m². Among the locations studied, the most power-rich area was location 12, where during ~62% of the time power was greater than 200 W/m². A significant change (~10–35%) in inter-annual wind power density was detected at a few locations, and these variations were associated with Indian summer monsoon and El Niño–Southern Oscillation events. Trend analysis suggests a decreasing trend in the annual mean wind power density for most of the locations in the Indian shelf seas over the last 40 years. Wind power has considerable directional distribution, and at different locations the annual wind power from the dominant direction is 10% to 79% of the total available power from all directions.
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1. Introduction

Energy is a significant factor for sectoral development and the improvement of quality of life. Among the renewable sources of energy, wind is one of the largest emerging sources of energy, along with solar energy [1]. Worldwide the progress of wind energy projects has been relatively strong over the last few years due to the increased price of oil, limited availability of fossil fuel, and environmental pollution [2]. Globally, around 52 GW of wind power was added in 2017, resulting in the capacity of wind power generation rising to 540 GW [3] and by 2020, it is expected to exceed 760 GW [4]. Already in some countries a significant share of power generation is from wind; e.g., Denmark (42%), Germany (13.3%), Ireland (23%), Portugal (23.2%), Spain (18%), and United Kingdom (11%) [5]. By 2050, wind energy resources will contribute ~41% of global installed electricity generation [3].

Based on the analysis of wind data from satellite, wind resource assessments have been made for the US Atlantic coast [6], Europe [7], South China Sea [8], and many other regions [9]. A global wind energy resource assessment was performed by Zheng et al. [10]. A comprehensive review of
Wind resource assessment all over the world was carried out by Murthy and Rahi [11]. The Gujarat Energy and Research Management Institute, Gandhinagar has carried out studies on wind power resources and prepared a map for all the states of India [12]. Using cross-calibrated, multi-platform wind data, Zheng et al. [13] examined the wind energy density in the global ocean and observed that affluent areas in the ocean are located in the west belts of the Northern Hemisphere (500–1000 W/m²) and the Southern Hemisphere (800–1600 W/m²). Olauson [14] reported the wind power of various countries and regions using fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate (ERA5) data [15]. The study based on the global wind speeds from the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications-2 (MERRA2) reanalysis data set produced by National Aeronautics and Space Administration’s (NASA) Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) indicates that the estimates of the global wind energy potential vary across a wide range [16].

Wind speed at an onshore location is influenced by higher surface roughness due to the elevation, terrain, and buildings, as well as air density, apart from other weather influences. In contrast, in offshore locations [17], the wind speed in areas that are not close to the land is not subject to these factors which influence the changes in wind speed onshore. Hence, offshore resources are higher and more homogenous over larger areas. Since the population is heavily concentrated in coastal areas, the demand for electricity is also higher in coastal areas. So far, 90% of wind farms are located on the land. The size of wind farms in offshore locations increased from 80 MW to 561 MW from 2007 to 2018 [18]. In 2018, the capacity of offshore wind farms installed in the world’s oceans was 23.1 GW, and they are mostly off the coasts of the UK (34%), Germany (28%), and China (20%) [19]. India is yet to install an offshore wind turbine, and only a Light Detection And Ranging (LIDAR) capable of measuring winds near the hub height of a wind turbine is installed off Pipavav (~23 km from the coast). Even though the shelf seas contain much richer wind energy resources than the land [1,13], studies in the Indian shelf seas are limited. Using Oceansat-2 Scatterometer, a study on offshore wind resource assessment was done in the state of Karnataka by Sanjeev and Chandra [20].

Although wind power is a clean energy source, wind power is characterized by fluctuations due to the non-stationary nature of wind speed [21]. Hence, wind farm output is significantly influenced by wind speed, and it is essential to identify the locations with high and steady wind speed. For making policy decisions related to selecting the wind farm site, apart from average annual power, variations in wind power at different time scales, such as monthly, seasonal, and inter-annual, are required. From sea level, 80 to 120 m is the height at which modern wind turbines are placed [5]. Generally, the wind speed data from satellite altimetry and weather stations are for the height of 10 m above the ocean surface, and several statistical methods are used to derive wind speed at the turbine height. Therefore, for wind farm planning, there is a need to get wind power data for different levels above the ocean surface, where the wind turbines operate. Depending on the consistency of the wind resources at a location, the power from wind turbines vary. The long-term variations of the wind power in the Indian shelf seas are not yet known.

Motivated by these factors, the objective of the present study was to quantify the wind power resources in the Indian shelf seas (Figure 1) at surface and wind turbine hub height (110.8 m), and changes (seasonal, intra-annual and inter-annual) during the last 40 years. The study also examined the high wind power episodes that impact wind turbines and the factor responsible for these episodes. Forty years of data, which is higher than the minimum (~30 years) period recommended by the World Meteorological Organization to make reliable estimations of climate variables in a region, were used to examine the long-term variations of the wind power and its linkage to the interannual modes of climate variability.
The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The data used in the study and the methodology is described in Section 2. Section 3 describes the variations in wind power and its consistency, availability of exploitable wind speed at different locations, directional distribution of wind power, long-term changes in wind power, and its association with El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Finally, conclusions are provided in Section 4.

2. Data and Methodology

2.1. Data

Wind data at 10 m (usually referred to as surface winds) and 110.8 m (1000 hPa, referred to as hub height winds) above mean sea level were obtained from ERA5 atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate produced by the ECMWF [15]. The advantage of reanalysis data is that these are often freely and globally available and have good spatial and temporal coverage. Zonal (u) and meridional wind (v) data were downloaded for 20 locations in the Indian shelf seas for the period from 1 January 1979 to 31 December 2018 at 1-h intervals for use in the study. Locations in the Indian shelf seas spaced ~1.5° latitude were selected for the study and are indicated in Figure 1. The ERA5 assimilation system used the most up-to-date version of the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS Cycle 41r2) and current parameterization technique of the earth process, which allows use of a variational bias scheme for not only satellite observation, but also for aircraft, ozone, and surface pressure data. In ERA5, hourly data is available at a 31 km (~0.28°) horizontal resolution, with 37 vertical levels up to 1 hPa. ERA5 does not capture variability at a smaller temporal resolution, since the data is at an hourly interval. The water depth of the grid point varies from 27 to 129 m, whereas the water depth in the grid has large variations (Table 1). The water depth of planned wind farm sites is an important consideration because the costs and capabilities of different technologies vary widely with water depth [16]. The wind turbine foundation technology changes with the water depths and is generally monopile for depths up to 35 m, jacket for 35 to 50 m, advanced jacket for 50 to 100 m, and floating structure for depth more than 100 m [6].

Figure 1. Locations considered in the study. The shade is the bathymetry of the ocean in metres.
Table 1. Wind power resource in different locations.

| Location | Geographic Position | Water Depth (m) | Annual Mean Wind Power Density (W/m²) | Occurrence of Exploitable Wind Energy (%) |
|----------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|          | Range in Block at Grid Point | 10 m | 110.8 m | 10 m | 110.8 m | 10 m | 110.8 m |
| 1        | 22.50° N; 68.25° E | 36–89 | 63 | 200.33 | 254.26 | 78 | 83 | 33 | 45 |
| 2        | 21.00° N; 69.50° E | 44–89 | 65 | 203.42 | 260.30 | 80 | 84 | 33 | 46 |
| 3        | 19.50° N; 71.25° E | 45–86 | 75 | 199.25 | 266.06 | 75 | 80 | 32 | 43 |
| 4        | 18.00° N; 72.00° E | 29–112 | 47 | 181.62 | 237.37 | 70 | 74 | 29 | 37 |
| 5        | 16.50° N; 72.75° E | 33–147 | 68 | 157.78 | 207.59 | 67 | 70 | 25 | 33 |
| 6        | 15.00° N; 73.50° E | 44–102 | 75 | 128.55 | 168.34 | 61 | 65 | 20 | 28 |
| 7        | 13.50° N; 73.30° E | 38–117 | 80 | 131.12 | 172.81 | 58 | 62 | 21 | 27 |
| 8        | 12.00° N; 74.50° E | 66–1086 | 129 | 82.29 | 108.52 | 46 | 51 | 10 | 16 |
| 9        | 10.50° N; 75.50° E | 42–171 | 46 | 81.86 | 111.01 | 49 | 55 | 18 | 10 |
| 10       | 09.00° N; 76.00° E | 13–362 | 90 | 86.10 | 117.34 | 47 | 51 | 13 | 21 |
| 11       | 07.75° N; 77.25° E | 47–90 | 61 | 299.02 | 443.64 | 80 | 81 | 58 | 64 |
| 12       | 08.25° N; 78.25° E | 5–1041 | 28 | 352.51 | 446.33 | 82 | 84 | 61 | 67 |
| 13       | 10.50° N; 80.25° E | 19–261 | 104 | 203.33 | 311.73 | 80 | 84 | 39 | 52 |
| 14       | 12.00° N; 80.00° E | 0–1187 | 27 | 107.32 | 174.00 | 66 | 76 | 15 | 32 |
| 15       | 13.50° N; 80.50° E | 2–1809 | 90 | 125.29 | 179.26 | 67 | 73 | 20 | 33 |
| 16       | 15.00° N; 80.25° E | 1–280 | 49 | 131.66 | 182.73 | 68 | 73 | 23 | 34 |
| 17       | 16.75° N; 82.50° E | 0–1113 | 99 | 150.62 | 209.72 | 67 | 73 | 26 | 38 |
| 18       | 18.50° N; 84.50° E | 0–813 | 41 | 100.11 | 163.58 | 54 | 65 | 15 | 30 |
| 19       | 20.00° N; 86.75° E | 6–995 | 58 | 182.57 | 227.63 | 65 | 69 | 32 | 38 |
| 20       | 21.00° N; 89.00° E | 30–700 | 75 | 165.93 | 196.96 | 61 | 64 | 27 | 32 |

To identify the reanalysis data that best represent the wind speed features at wind turbine hub heights, Ramon et al. [22] compared five state-of-the-art global reanalyses (ERA-Interim, ERA5, the Japanese 55-year Reanalysis (JRA55), National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)- National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Reanalysis 1 and the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications-2 (MERRA2)), with the in situ observations for the period 1980–2017. The comparison shows that the ERA5 surface winds offer the best agreement, correlating and reproducing the observed variability better than a multi-reanalysis mean in 35.1% of the tall tower sites on a daily time-scale [22]. The data used in the study close to the coast can be influenced by the land due to internal boundary layers, coastal air-sea interaction effects, and so on.

From the surface winds, the winds at the desired height are also obtained using wind profile power law as given below;

\[ V_Z = V_0 \left( \frac{h_Z}{h_0} \right)^\alpha \]  

where \( V_Z \) is the wind speed at desired height \( h_Z \) in m/s, \( V_0 \) is the wind speed at reference height \( h_0 \) in m/s. The exponent \( \alpha \) is the wind shear coefficient, which varies depending upon the stability of the atmosphere, air parameters, and the morphology of the territory. For the study area, it can be observed that \( \alpha = 0.04 \) gives wind speed at 110.8 m from the 10 m ERA5 data (Figure 2). Hence, the average wind speed at 110.8 m is \( \sim 10\% \) more than that at 10 m and the correlation coefficient between the wind speed at 10 and 110.8 m is 0.9.
2.2. Methodology

The airflow through a wind turbine generates wind energy by transforming the kinetic energy of the air into electric power. Wind power density reported here is the wind power on a unit section perpendicular to the flow [13]. From the hourly wind data, the wind power density ($P$), a measure for the energy which is available for conversion in the shelf seas of India, was calculated using the expression below.

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^3$$

Figure 2. Monthly mean wind speed at 110.8 m height from the fifth generation European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate (ERA5) data, and that estimated following power law (Equation (1)) at different locations.
where $\rho$ is the air density taken as 1.225 kg/m$^3$ and $V$ is the wind speed (m/s). The coefficient of variation $C_V$ in wind power density in different months is calculated as the ratio between the standard deviation and the mean. The smaller $C_V$ shows better consistency [13].

The monthly variability index ($M_V$) was used to study the inter-annual variations and was calculated using the below expression.

$$M_V = \frac{P_{M1} - P_{M2}}{P_{year}}$$

where $P_{M1}$ is the average power density in the most abundant month, $P_{M2}$ is the average power density in the weakest month and $P_{year}$ is the annual average wind power density. The larger the $M_V$, the higher the monthly variation of energy and it implies weak consistency [13].

The variability index ($S_V$) was used to quantify the inter-seasonal variations and was computed using the below expression.

$$S_V = \frac{P_{S1} - P_{S2}}{P_{year}}$$

where $P_{S1}$ the average wind power density in most abundant season, $P_{S2}$ is the average wind power density in a poor season, $P_{year}$ is the annual average wind power density.

The seasonal mean wind power density was calculated over the 40 year period. To study the wind energy resources at a location, the total wind power storage per unit area $E_P$ was calculated using the below expression.

$$E_P = \bar{P}T$$

where $\bar{P}$ is annual average wind power density, $T$ is the total hours in a year ($= 8760$ h). Hereafter the wind power mentioned is the wind power density.

The trend in annual mean, 95 percentile and 99 percentile wind power was calculated based on the least-squares linear fit method. The slope of the linear best-fit curve to the data for the 40 year period was estimated. A positive slope indicates a wind power increase, and a negative slope indicates a decrease. To identify the cause of high wind power at the locations, the tropical cyclone data obtained from Official US Navy Website Joint Typhoon Warning Centre (JTWC) was used.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Variations in Wind Power

The spatial distribution of seasonal average wind power at a height of 10 m above mean sea level over the 40 years is presented in Figure 3 for pre-monsoon (February–May), Indian summer monsoon or southwest (SW) monsoon (June–September), and post-monsoon (October–January) along with annual mean wind power. During the pre and post-monsoon period, the maximum values of wind power were observed in the southern part. In contrast, during the SW monsoon where strong southwest trade winds prevail, the higher wind power is observed at the central Arabian Sea (AS), the region between India and Sri Lanka and the central Bay of Bengal (BoB). Maximum seasonal average wind power during pre-monsoon (277 W/m$^2$) and post-monsoon (260 W/m$^2$) and maximum annual average (369 W/m$^2$) are at 78° E; 8° N (Figure 3).
The seasonal mean wind power density was calculated over the 40 year period spanning 1981–2020 [22]. The seasonal mean wind power density ranges from 2020 to 2024 (Figure 3) and is due to the high wind speed in the central west and central AS due to the Findlater jet [23]. Using monthly mean winds, Findlater [23] showed that the low-level jet splits into two branches over the AS, one branch passing southeastward towards Sri Lanka and the other eastward through peninsular India, when active and break monsoons occur at the same time. Hence, during the SW monsoon, wind power is also high at locations off the southern tip of India. Also, throughout the year, wind power is relatively higher in the southern part of India, especially the area between India and Sri Lanka (Figure 3).

Information on the variability of the wind power in monthly and annual scale is required for the selection of a wind power plant. At all the locations in the AS, the maximum level of monthly mean wind power occurs in July, when the intertropical convergence zone is located above the Indian landmass (Figure 4).

At 10 m height, the highest monthly mean wind power among our locations is at location 2 (~534.89 W/m²), followed by location 3 (~532.60 W/m²), and occurs in July. Mean wind power during June-August is high at locations 1–7, as the central AS experiences maximum wind power during this period (Figure 3), and it decreases at location 10. The standard deviation of monthly variation is highest at location 11 (~146.76 W/m² at 10 m and 253.34 W/m² at 110.8 m), indicating larger variability as compared to other locations. At all locations, the mean monthly maximum wind power is less than 350 W/m² from December to April. The maximum monthly mean wind power is in different months at different locations in the Bay of Bengal (BoB), i.e., during May, June and July (Figure 4), and it varies from 191.84 W/m² (location 16) to 520.48 W/m² at 10 m above the surface (location 12). At 110.8 m above the surface, the monthly minimum mean wind power varies from 68.01 W/m² (location 18) to 171.65 W/m² (location 12). The maximum wind power at all of the locations other than locations 5, 7, and 9, is during the tropical cyclones (Table 2). In a nutshell, monthly mean wind power is observed to be at maximum during the SW monsoonal months and annual maximum wind power at all the study locations shows large variations (Figure 5).

Figure 3. Spatial distribution of seasonally averaged wind power density at 10 m above mean sea level during pre-monsoon, SW monsoon, post-monsoon and annual.
two branches over the AS, one branch passing southeastward towards Sri Lanka and the other eastward through peninsular India, when active and break monsoons occur at the same time. Hence, during the SW monsoon, wind power is also high at locations off the southern tip of India. Also, throughout the year, wind power is relatively higher in the southern part of India, especially the area between India and Sri Lanka (Figure 3).

Information on the variability of the wind power in monthly and annual scale is required for the selection of a wind power plant. At all the locations in the AS, the maximum level of monthly mean wind power occurs in July, when the intertropical convergence zone is located above the Indian landmass (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Monthly mean wind power density at different locations along eastern Arabian Sea and western Bay of Bengal.

The wind power during south-west monsoon is 49–72% of the annual wind power at locations 1 to 11 (Figure 6). During the pre-monsoon period, the wind power is 14–29% of the annual wind power and the highest values are at location 11. During the post-monsoon period, the wind power is 10–27% of the annual wind power; slightly less than that during the pre-monsoon period. Wind power is higher during the pre-monsoon period at the southern locations than at the northern locations. Although the wind power in the south-west monsoon is less at the southern locations (location 11), the highest annual mean wind power in the AS is observed at location 11 (299.02 W/m² at 10 m and 443.64 W/m² at 110.8 m), since the wind power at this location during the pre-monsoon and post-monsoon is high (Figure 7).
Table 2. Factor responsible for maximum wind power at 10 m height of different locations.

| Location | Maximum Wind Power (W/m²) | Date of Occurrence | Caused by                                      |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1        | 8431.35                   | 20 May 1999        | Extremely severe cyclonic storm ARB 01 (02A)   |
| 2        | 8246.88                   | 08 Jun 1998        | Extremely Severe Cyclonic Storm ARB 02 (03A)   |
| 3        | 5763.96                   | 18 Jun 1996        | Severe Cyclonic Storm ARB 01 (04A)             |
| 4        | 5043.88                   | 17 Jun 1996        | Severe Cyclonic Storm ARB 01 (04A)             |
| 5        | 3597.72                   | 23 Jul 1989        | During SW monsoon                              |
| 6        | 3534.93                   | 10 Nov 2009        | Cyclonic Storm Phyan                           |
| 7        | 3015.67                   | 29 May 2006        | During onset of SW monsoon                     |
| 8        | 3445.77                   | 07 May 2004        | Cyclonic Storm ARB 01                          |
| 9        | 2282.54                   | 22 Jun 2007        | During SW monsoon                              |
| 10       | 2849.04                   | 30 Nov 2017        | Very Severe Cyclonic Storm Ockhi               |
| 11       | 2676.34                   | 13 Nov 1992        | Severe Cyclonic Storm BOB 07                   |
| 12       | 3513.90                   | 13 Nov 1992        | Severe Cyclonic Storm BOB 07                   |
| 13       | 4075.57                   | 03 Dec 1993        | Extremely Severe Cyclonic Storm BOB 02         |
| 14       | 4359.53                   | 30 Dec 2011        | Very Severe Cyclonic Storm Thane               |
| 15       | 8183.53                   | 12 Dec 2016        | Very Severe Cyclonic Storm Vardah              |
| 16       | 10,799.65                 | 12 May 1979        | Cyclone One (1B)                               |
| 17       | 4414.27                   | 12 Oct 2014        | Extremely Severe Cyclonic Storm Hudhud         |
| 18       | 5177.32                   | 12 Oct 2013        | Extremely Severe Cyclonic Storm Phailin        |
| 19       | 8239.31                   | 29 Oct 1999        | Super Cyclonic Storm BOB 06 (05B)              |
| 20       | 11,081.02                 | 25 May 2009        | Severe Cyclonic Storm Aila                     |

For locations in the BoB, the highest seasonal mean wind power is in the south-west monsoon at all locations other than locations 15 and 16 (at 10 m) and location 14 (at 110.8 m) (Figure 7). At locations 15 and 16, the highest seasonal mean wind power is during the pre-monsoon period, whereas at location 14, the highest seasonal mean wind power is in the post-monsoon period. At locations 16 to 20, the lowest seasonal mean wind power is during the post-monsoon period and at other locations, it is in pre-monsoon. In western BoB, the annual mean wind power decreased from south to north and is highest at location 12 (352.51 W/m² at 10 m and 446.33 W/m² at 110.8 m) and lowest at location 18 (100.11 W/m²). Location 12 is located between the Indian landmass and Sri Lankan landmass, and the high wind power in this location is due to the wind channeled by the land topography. During the SW monsoon, the southern branch of the Findlater jet causes high wind speed at this location. This location is also exposed to the northeast monsoon during November–January. Figure 8 shows the time series plot of wind speed at locations 8, 11, and 12 in the year 2018. At location 8, situated in the AS, the wind is high only during the SW monsoon, whereas at locations 11 and 12, the wind speed is high even in the post and pre-monsoon period. Srisha et al. [24] observed that in April and May, the variation in land surface cooling induces a low pressure centered at 11.65° N, 78.16° E in India, and this
causes the sudden intensification of winds in the area between India and Sri Lanka. In the northeastern locations (19 and 20), the wind power is higher than those at locations 14 to 18. At location 20, the annual mean wind power is 165.93 W/m² at 10 m height and 196.96 W/m² at 110.8 m height. The inter-annual variation in wind power is 10–35% of the mean annual value at different locations.

Figure 5. Maximum, minimum, and annual mean wind power density at 110.8 m above the surface in different years at locations 1 to 20.
Figure 6. Percentage occurrence of wind power along eastern Arabian Sea and western Bay of Bengal during south-west monsoon period.

Figure 7. Seasonal and annual mean wind power density along western Bay of Bengal and Arabian Sea at (a) 10 m and (b) 110.8 m height.
November and December and the range varies from 1.30 to 3.35. Location 10 shows the maximum monthly CV (3.35) and location 11 shows minimum CV (0.43). Most of the months show a minimum CV in July, and it varies within the range of 0.43–0.88.

The monthly averages of wind power from January 1979 to December 2018 were estimated, and the MV of wind power in Indian shelf regions over the 40 years was examined at both heights. At most of the locations, MV is below 2 at 10 m and 2.5 at 110.8 m, and is uniform without large regional differences. For both heights, large values of MV are found in location 7 (2.44 to 2.75) and location 10 (2.14 to 2.18), which means that the monthly consistency of wind power in these locations is poorer than that at other locations.

At 10 and 110.8 m, the wind power SV in all of the locations is below 1.5 except at location 10 (1.61) and location 18 (1.51), without apparent regional differences. Areas with higher values of wind power are not observed in any of these locations.

3.4. Exploitable Wind Speed

Figure 8. Time series plot of wind speed and direction in year 2018 at locations 8, 11, and 12.

Annual mean wind power at 110.8 m is 20% to 60% higher than the wind power at 10 m, and for locations 1 to 8 and 12, it is 30% higher, whereas it is 40 to 60% higher for the remaining locations, except at locations 19 and 20, where it is 20% higher than that at 10 m.

3.2. Characteristics of Wind Power

For the assessment of wind energy resources, the occurrence in the different ranges is an important criterion to measure the degree of energy richness. Usually, the wind power more than 50 W/m$^2$ is taken as a power-available area and wind power more than 200 W/m$^2$ is a power-rich area [13]. Using hourly wind power from 1 January 1979 to 31 December 2018, the occurrence percentage of wind power more than 50 and 200 W/m$^2$ was calculated over 40 years (Table 1). At 10 m, during ~80% of the year, wind power greater than 50 W/m$^2$ is found at locations 2 and 11 and at location 12, it is 82%. Wind power greater than 200 W/m$^2$ is found at location 12 during 62% of the time. At 110.8 m, high
occurrence of wind power greater than 50 W/m² is observed in locations 2, 12, and 13 (84%) followed by location 11 (81%) and wind power greater than 200 W/m² is found in location 12 during 67% of the year.

Wind power shows obvious regional and seasonal differences in Indian shelf regions. During the south-west monsoon, wind power is high at location 11 at both levels; 456.08 W/m² at 10 m and 700.21 W/m² at 110.8 m, whereas location 12 has the highest annual mean power (352.51 and 446.33 W/m² at 10 and 110.8 m). Locations 8 and 9 have the least annual mean wind power (~82 W/m²).

3.3. Consistency of Wind Power

Using hourly wind power from 1 January 1979 to 31 December 2018, the consistency of wind power during the study period is computed for both heights. At 10 m, most of the locations have a minimum \( C_V \) in July and it varies within the range of 0.63–0.83. April shows minimum \( C_V \) in locations 1, 2, and 15 to 18, and it varies in the range of 0.60–0.78. At 110.8 m, the maximum \( C_V \) is in November and December and the range varies from 1.30 to 3.35. Location 10 shows the maximum monthly \( C_V \) (3.35) and location 11 shows minimum \( C_V \) (0.43). Most of the months show a minimum \( C_V \) in July, and it varies within the range of 0.43–0.88.

The monthly averages of wind power from January 1979 to December 2018 were estimated, and the \( M_V \) of wind power in Indian shelf regions over the 40 years was examined at both heights. At most of the locations, \( M_V \) is below 2 at 10 m and 2.5 at 110.8 m, and is uniform without large regional differences. For both heights, large values of \( M_V \) are found in location 7 (2.44 to 2.75) and location 10 (2.14 to 2.18), which means that the monthly consistency of wind power in these locations is poorer than that at other locations.

At 10 and 110.8 m, the wind power \( S_V \) in all of the locations is below 1.5 except at location 10 (1.61) and location 18 (1.51), without apparent regional differences. Areas with higher values of wind power \( S_V \) are not observed in any of these locations.

3.4. Exploitable Wind Speed

Generally, 3 m/s is the cut-in speed, i.e., the speed at which the turbines start to produce electricity. The cut-off speed, i.e., the speed at which the turbine shuts down for self-protection, is 25 m/s [13]. Hence, the ideal wind speed in wind power exploitation is 3 to 25 m/s. Over the last 40 years, the percentage occurrence of exploitable wind speed is high at all the locations studied, indicating most times of year are suitable for wind energy resource development (Table 3). Along the eastern AS at 10 m, wind speeds greater than 20 m/s only occur at locations 1 and 3 (0.02%). At 110.8 m, wind speeds higher than 20 m/s do not occur at any locations. In the eastern AS, the highest percentage of wind speeds over 6 m/s occurs at location 11 (66.91% at 10 m and 70.58% at 110.8 m). Among the locations studied, the highest percentage of wind speeds over 6 m/s is at location 12 (70.00% at 10 m and 73.81% at 110.8 m). Along the western BoB at 10 m, wind speeds greater than 20 m/s is occur at locations 19 and 20 (0.28% and 0.01%). At 110.8 m, wind speeds greater than 20 m/s occur at locations 16, 19, and 20 (0.01%).

The 5, 10, 50, 75, 90, and 99 percentile wind speed at two heights for all the locations studied are presented in Table 4. The high value of the 5th percentile of the distribution indicates a high consistency of the wind. Table 4 shows that at both the heights, the 5th percentile wind speed is more than 2 m/s at locations 1 to 4 and at 11 to 14. The 10th percentile wind speed is more than 3 m/s at locations 1 to 3, 12, and 13. Among the locations studied, the maximum of the 99 percentile value is 12.3 and 12.5 m/s at heights of 10 m and 110.8 m respectively (Table 4).
Table 3. Wind speed occurrence at different heights.

| Location | Percentage of Occurrence | Wind Speed | Wind Speed | Wind Speed | Wind Speed |
|-----------|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|           |                          | <3 m/s     | <4 m/s     | >6 m/s     | >20 m/s    |
| 10 m      |                          | 10 m       | 110.8 m    | 10 m       | 110.8 m    |
| 1         | 7.05/6.09                | 17.27      | 13.76      | 48.12      | 59.04/0.02 |
| 2         | 7.27/5.99                | 15.93      | 12.60      | 49.76      | 61.17/0.00 |
| 3         | 9.17/7.78                | 19.95      | 16.18      | 45.98      | 56.56/0.00 |
| 4         | 12.61/11.34              | 24.60      | 21.48      | 41.84      | 49.85/0.00 |
| 5         | 15.22/13.83              | 28.02      | 25.06      | 38.23      | 45.72/0.00 |
| 6         | 18.81/17.26              | 33.50      | 30.16      | 32.27      | 39.45/0.00 |
| 7         | 21.87/19.98              | 36.95      | 33.58      | 31.47      | 37.74/0.00 |
| 8         | 29.61/26.97              | 47.62      | 43.21      | 39.53      | 26.26/0.00 |
| 9         | 27.53/24.91              | 44.82      | 39.85      | 40.83      | 28.82/0.00 |
| 10        | 33.39/31.12              | 47.89      | 44.48      | 23.62      | 30.87/0.00 |
| 11        | 10.75/10.15              | 17.66      | 16.48      | 66.91      | 70.58/0.00 |
| 12        | 9.08/8.30                | 13.01      | 13.64      | 70.00      | 73.81/0.00 |
| 13        | 6.84/5.54                | 15.87      | 12.48      | 54.12      | 64.85/0.00 |
| 14        | 12.78/9.68               | 27.25      | 24.78      | 29.12      | 47.44/0.00 |
| 15        | 13.72/11.57              | 26.95      | 19.69      | 35.79      | 46.92/0.00 |
| 16        | 13.98/11.87              | 26.93      | 23.31      | 37.29      | 47.40/0.01 |
| 17        | 15.10/12.84              | 27.59      | 22.53      | 40.12      | 50.75/0.00 |
| 18        | 24.62/18.45              | 40.66      | 30.50      | 26.54      | 41.68/0.00 |
| 19        | 17.62/15.81              | 29.96      | 26.77      | 42.81      | 48.23/0.08 |
| 20        | 21.42/19.53              | 34.73      | 31.76      | 38.10      | 42.79/0.01 |

Table 4. Different percentile wind speed at 10 and 110.8 m.

| Location | Different Percentile Wind Speed (m/s) at 10 m | Different Percentile Wind Speed (m/s) at 110.8 m |
|----------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|          | 5 10 50 75 90 99                             | 5 10 50 75 90 99                             |
| 1        | 2.7 3.4 5.9 7.5 9.2 12.1                     | 2.8 3.6 6.6 8.3 9.9 10.8                     |
| 2        | 2.6 3.4 6.0 7.4 9.2 12.2                     | 2.8 3.7 6.6 8.3 9.9 10.9                     |
| 3        | 2.4 3.1 5.8 7.4 9.3 12.3                     | 2.5 3.5 6.4 8.3 10.2 11.3                    |
| 4        | 2.0 2.7 5.5 7.2 9.0 12.2                     | 2.1 2.8 6.0 7.9 10.0 11.2                    |
| 5        | 1.8 2.5 5.3 6.9 8.6 11.6                     | 1.8 2.6 5.7 7.6 9.5 10.7                     |
| 6        | 1.6 2.2 4.9 6.5 8.0 10.8                     | 1.6 2.3 5.3 7.1 8.8 9.9                     |
| 7        | 1.4 2.0 4.8 6.5 8.2 11.2                     | 1.5 2.1 5.1 7.1 9.1 10.3                     |
| 8        | 1.2 1.7 4.1 5.6 6.9 9.7                     | 1.2 1.8 4.4 6.1 7.6 8.6                     |
| 9        | 1.1 1.8 4.3 5.8 7.0 9.4                     | 1.3 1.8 4.6 6.3 7.8 8.7                     |
| 10       | 1.0 1.5 4.1 5.9 7.2 9.3                     | 1.1 1.5 4.4 6.5 8.0 8.9                     |
| 11       | 2.0 2.9 7.5 9.1 10.2 11.9                   | 2.1 3.0 8.4 10.4 11.8 12.5                   |
| 12       | 2.2 3.2 7.7 9.3 10.4 11.9                   | 2.3 3.3 8.6 10.5 11.8 12.4                   |
| 13       | 2.6 3.3 6.4 8.1 9.5 11.5                   | 2.6 3.5 7.0 9.0 10.6 11.5                   |
| 14       | 2.0 2.7 5.1 6.2 7.3 9.0                   | 2.3 3.0 5.9 7.3 8.6 9.4                     |
| 15       | 1.9 2.6 5.3 6.6 7.7 9.7                   | 2.1 2.8 5.8 7.4 8.7 9.5                     |
| 16       | 1.9 2.6 5.3 6.7 7.9 9.7                   | 2.0 2.8 5.8 7.5 8.9 9.6                     |
| 17       | 1.8 2.5 5.4 6.9 8.3 11.0                  | 1.9 2.7 6.1 7.8 9.3 10.2                    |
| 18       | 1.3 1.9 4.9 6.1 7.4 9.7                  | 1.5 2.1 5.4 7.2 8.7 9.6                     |
| 19       | 1.6 2.3 5.5 7.5 9.1 11.9                 | 1.7 2.4 5.9 8.1 9.8 10.8                    |
| 20       | 1.4 2.0 5.1 7.1 8.9 12.1                | 1.5 2.2 5.8 8.7 11.3 12.9                   |

At 10 m, the shelf seas contain rich wind energy and are higher than that over the land. Annually, the total storage of wind energy in most locations is above 0.7 × 10^3 kWh/m^2. The highest values are found in location 12 (~ 3.0 × 10^3 kWh/m^2) and the lowest values are found in locations 8 to 10.
At 110.8 m, the total storage of wind energy in most of the locations is above $1.0 \times 10^3 \text{ kWh m}^{-2}$ except at locations 8 and 9 ($\approx 0.9 \times 10^3 \text{ kWh m}^{-2}$). High-value areas are found in location 12 ($\approx 3.9 \times 10^3 \text{ kWh m}^{-2}$) followed by location 11 ($\approx 3.8 \times 10^3 \text{ kWh m}^{-2}$).

### 3.5. Directional Distribution of Wind Power

Even though the Yaw drive aligns the wind turbine towards the wind, wind farm configuration depends on the predominant wind direction. Hence, while planning the wind farm layout, information on the direction in which most of the wind energy is available is important [25]. The wind power rose diagrams presented in Figure 9 can be used to determine how to align turbine rows in a wind farm. The wind power rose diagrams indicate that the wind power in the shelf seas is directionally distributed (Figure 9). Winds in the AS are influenced by the Somali jet (which is also known as monsoon low-level-jet or Findlater jet [23]), Shamal winds from the eastern Arabian Peninsula [26], Makran winds from the southern coast of Pakistan [27], and the northeast monsoon winds [28]. Since these wind systems are predominant in the AS, the directional distribution of wind power for most of the locations in the AS are in wide directional sectors (Figure 9). In the BoB, the directional distribution in wind is due to the reversing southwest and northeast monsoons and the tropical cyclones. The optimum direction for the wind turbine rotor is $265^\circ$ to $300^\circ$ for the AS locations, whereas for locations in the BoB, it is $165^\circ$ to $235^\circ$ (Table 5). For location 12, the optimum direction is $235^\circ$. The wind power available in the optimum direction at 10 and 110.8 m height was estimated and is presented in Table 5.

At both the heights (10 and 110.8 m), the wind power in the optimum direction is 10% to 79% at different locations (Table 5) compared to the wind power from all directions (Table 1) and the reduction is maximum at locations 14 to 16.

#### Table 5. Optimum direction for wind turbine and the annual mean wind power component perpendicular to the rotor fan and the corresponding percentage of time in a year at both the levels.

| Location | Optimum Direction (Deg) | 10 m | 110.8 m |
|----------|-------------------------|------|---------|
|          |                         | Annual Mean Power (W/m²) | Time (%) | Annual Mean Power (W/m²) | Time (%) |
| 1        | 265                     | 125.21 | 78.9    | 152.01 | 78.1  |
| 2        | 280                     | 105.80 | 80.4    | 127.28 | 79.7  |
| 3        | 275                     | 109.07 | 72.0    | 138.92 | 71.4  |
| 4        | 290                     | 73.04  | 74.6    | 93.30  | 74.0  |
| 5        | 295                     | 67.41  | 79.2    | 87.20  | 78.8  |
| 6        | 295                     | 67.41  | 79.2    | 87.38  | 79.2  |
| 7        | 295                     | 72.65  | 79.4    | 95.41  | 79.1  |
| 8        | 295                     | 51.41  | 80.4    | 68.29  | 80.5  |
| 9        | 300                     | 56.82  | 77.5    | 73.35  | 77.5  |
| 10       | 300                     | 67.24  | 79.8    | 92.40  | 80.0  |
| 11       | 285                     | 197.10 | 66.4    | 293.23 | 66.6  |
| 12       | 235                     | 201.67 | 60.8    | 289.11 | 60.8  |
| 13       | 210                     | 137.50 | 50.0    | 193.54 | 50.1  |
| 14       | 190                     | 28.04  | 37.7    | 46.34  | 37.9  |
| 15       | 185                     | 34.63  | 36.2    | 51.14  | 35.8  |
| 16       | 165                     | 13.59  | 24.2    | 19.59  | 23.6  |
| 17       | 225                     | 72.62  | 59.7    | 98.69  | 59.6  |
| 18       | 220                     | 71.96  | 64.6    | 115.93 | 65.1  |
| 19       | 215                     | 131.34 | 60.7    | 164.96 | 60.9  |
| 20       | 210                     | 108.04 | 58.5    | 128.90 | 58.3  |
Figure 9. Rose diagram showing the directional distribution of wind power at a height of 10 m in the different locations studied.
3.6. Long-Term Changes in Wind Power

Annual mean wind power anomalies computed for twenty locations at 10 m and 110.8 m above mean sea level over a 40 year period are shown in Figures 10 and 11. Considerable inter-annual variations (up to 35%) were observed in the wind power rates along all the 20 locations for both heights and these variations were observed to be increasing with increased heights. At 10 m height, wind power anomalies vary from $-62$ to $51 \, \text{W/m}^2$, whereas at 110.8 m, wind power anomalies vary from $-98$ to $79 \, \text{W/m}^2$.

![Figure 10](image-url)

Figure 10. Anomaly in annual mean wind power at 10 m height. The trend during the 40 years is also indicated in the figure.
Figure 11. Anomaly in annual mean wind power at 110.8 m height. The trend during the 40 years is also indicated in the figure.
Trend analysis along these two levels suggests a negative trend in wind power rates for fifteen locations, whereas for five locations, trends are positive (Figures 10 and 11). At 10 m, the trend varies from −0.86 to 0.4 W/m²/yr with its maximum negative trend along location 17 (−0.86 W/m²/yr), followed by location 16 (−0.77 W/m²/yr), and maximum positive trend along location 3 (0.41 W/m²/yr), followed by location 12 (0.20 W/m²/yr). At 110.8 m, trend varies from −1.13 to 0.17 W/m²/yr with its maximum negative trend along location 16 (−1.13 W/m²/yr), followed by location 17 (−1.06 W/m²/yr), and maximum positive trend along the location 12 (0.17 W/m²/yr), followed by location 4 (0.13 W/m²/yr). The highest positive trend in the annual mean 95 percentile and 99 percentile wind power is observed at location 3 at 10 m and 110.8 m of height (Table 6).

### Table 6. Linear trend in annual mean, 95 percentile and 99 percentile wind power at 10 and 110.8 m.

| Location | Trend at 10 m (W/m²) | Trend at 110.8 m (W/m²) |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|          | Mean 95 Percentile   | Mean 95 Percentile      |
|          | Mean 99 Percentile   | Mean 99 Percentile      |
| 1        | −0.26 −0.16          | −1.48 −0.33             |
| 2        | −0.04 0.03           | −2.05 0.01              |
| 3        | 0.41 1.81            | 2.42 0.42               |
| 4        | 0.06 0.27            | 1.25 0.13               |
| 5        | −0.17 −0.39          | −0.66 −0.22             |
| 6        | −0.38 −0.61          | −0.69 −0.37             |
| 7        | −0.12 −0.51          | −1.88 −0.17             |
| 8        | −0.19 −0.02          | −0.58 −0.24             |
| 9        | −0.15 −0.11          | 0.83 −0.15              |
| 10       | 0.04 0.01            | 0.29 0.05               |
| 11       | −0.40 −1.82          | −2.15 −0.37             |
| 12       | 0.20 −0.29           | −0.27 0.17              |
| 13       | 0.04 −0.04           | 0.90 0.03               |
| 14       | −0.15 −0.33          | −0.16 −0.23             |
| 15       | −0.22 −0.23          | 0.65 −0.31              |
| 16       | −0.77 −1.65          | −2.83 −1.13             |
| 17       | −0.86 −1.94          | −2.42 −1.00             |
| 18       | −0.12 −0.35          | 1.43 −0.33              |
| 19       | −0.35 −0.77          | 1.08 −0.36              |
| 20       | −0.32 0.15           | −0.65 −0.22             |

Wind power is the cube of wind speed, and a small change in wind speed can have significant changes in wind power. Declining trends in surface wind speeds along the eastern AS, central BoB and south–west BoB were previously reported by Anoop et al. [29], Shanas and Kumar [30] and George and Kumar [31]. Kumar and Anoop [32] reported weak declining trends in surface wind speeds along most of the locations in the shelf seas around India. A statistical significance test using the Mann Kendall test suggested that only four locations (locations 3, 6, 16, and 17) at 10 m and 110.8 m display a significant trend at a 90% confidence level. In contrast, other locations are significant at lower confidence levels (<80%).

Torralba et al. [33] suggest that the main drivers in the wind speed trends are the changes in large scale circulations. In Figures 10 and 11 it is clearly evident that interannual variability appears to have some connections with ENSO, one of the prominent interannual modes of climate variability. For example, very strong El Niño years (1982–1983, 1997–1998, and 2015–2016) and strong/moderate El Niño years (1988–1989, 1999–2000, 2008–2009, and 2011–2012) over the past 40 years are associated with lower wind power rates for all the levels for most of the locations. Also, strong La Niña years (1988–1989, 1998–2000, 2007–2008, and 2010–2011) and moderate La Niña years (1995–1996 and 2011–2012) are associated with higher wind power rates for all the levels. The Indian Ocean is directly impacted by ENSO through Walker circulation [34], and their regional influence resulting in the reduction of wind speeds [35]. Using the annual averaged Oceanic Niño Index (ONI), correlation coefficients (R)
with wind power rates at both heights for all of the 20 locations were estimated, and are shown in Figure 12. R value varies from −0.49 to 0.15 and −0.35 to 0.37 for 10 m and, 110.8 m, respectively. Maximum positive correlations are observed at 110.8 m (R = 0.37), with a confidence level of 95%, and the maximum negative correlation is observed at 10 m (R = −0.49, 95% confidence level). In the AS (locations 1–10), R values show a similar pattern, with comparatively larger values in 10 m than 110.8 m. However, in the BoB, correlations are negative at 10 m, particularly in locations 14–20, while at 110.8 m a positive correlation is observed. Hence, the inter-annual changes in wind power density were due to El Niño–Southern Oscillation events apart from that associated with variations in Indian summer monsoon.

![Figure 12. Correlation coefficients between annual averaged Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) and wind power at 10 m and 110.8 m for all of the 20 locations.](image)

### 4. Conclusions

Wind power in the Indian shelf seas shows distinct regional and seasonal differences. The monthly maximum wind power is in July in all locations along the eastern Arabian Sea compared to other months, since the intertropical convergence zone is located above the Indian landmass in this period. Wind power is at the maximum during the south-west monsoon and at minimum in the pre-monsoon period. Regions of higher wind power are found in locations 11 and 12. In contrast, the wind power in locations 7, 8, and 9 is poor above 250 W/m². Over the last 40 years, areas with high occurrence of wind power more than 50 W/m² were found in locations 11 to 13. Areas with a high occurrence of wind power over 200 W/m² are found at location 12. Since this location is situated between the Indian landmass and Sri Lankan landmass, the high wind power in this location is due to the wind channeled by land topography. The consistency of wind power in location 11 is better overall than that in other areas. The occurrence of exploitable wind speed is high (~80%) in southern locations throughout the year, and hence these locations are favourable for wind energy resource extraction. The total storage of wind energy in most of the shelf seas is above $0.7 \times 10^3$ kWh/m², and location 12 has the highest ($\sim 3 \times 10^3$ kWh/m²). The study shows that the inter-annual variation in wind power is up to 35% and the highest variation occurs at location 3. The trends of annual mean wind power along the Indian shelf seas over the last 40 years show a decreasing trend at many locations at heights of both 10 m and
110.8 m. The study also reveals that the long-term variation in wind power in the Indian Shelf seas is driven by ENSO, where the El Niño (La Niña) events are generally associated with lower (higher) wind power densities for both of the heights. This study provides informations on the wind power resources across the entire Indian shelf seas and its variations over the last 40 years, and will provide input for the planning of wind energy farms. The results presented in this study form the primary input for planning wind farms in the Indian shelf seas. Recently Amrutha and Kumar [36] reported the wave energy resources in the same locations in the Indian shelf seas, and the data presented in this article could be used for planning hybrid energy converters in the shelf seas of India.
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**Notations and Abbreviations**

The following notations and abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

| Abbr. | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| AS | Arabian Sea |
| BoB | Bay of Bengal |
| ECMWF | European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts |
| ENSO | El Niño–Southern Oscillation |
| ERA5 | Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate |
| JTWC | Website Joint Typhoon Warning Centre |
| Mn | monthly variability index |
| ONI | Oceanic Niño Index |
| P | wind power density |
| R | correlation coefficient |
| Sv | variability index |
| V | wind speed |
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