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Abstract
The present study consists of 36 rice genotypes that were evaluated at two locations, namely Fogera and Pawe to study genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for grain yield and 13 yield associated traits. The experiment was conducted using 6 × 6 simple lattice design across two locations with two replications during the 2015/2016 main cropping season. The combined analysis of variance revealed statistically significant differences (p<0.05) indicating the existence of genetic variability among the 36 genotypes for all the traits studied. Genotype × location interactions were significant for days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, culm length, flag leaf length, number of filled grain per panicle, number of total spikelet per panicle, days to heading, biomass yield, grain yield and harvest index. Significant differences were observed for grain yield that ranged from 6759.00 to 2886.00 kg ha⁻¹ with overall mean value of 5370.0 kg ha⁻¹. Higher PCV and GCV values were exhibited by plant height, culm length, number of unfilled grain per panicle, biomass yield and grain yield, which suggests the possibility of improving this trait through selection. The highest heritability was recorded for culm length followed by plant height, biomass yield and panicle length. High to medium heritability coupled with high GCV and high genetic advance as percentage of means were exhibited for plant height, biomass yield, grain yield and number of unfilled grain per panicle. High genetic advances as percent of means were recorded by plant height, culm length, biomass yield, grain yield and number of unfilled grain per panicle.
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Introduction
Rice is a self-pollinated cereal crop belonging to the family Gramineae under the order Cyparales and class monocotyledon having chromosome number 2n=24 [1]. The genus Oryza is known to consist of two cultivated species i.e., Asian rice (O. sativa, 2n=24=AA) and African rice (O. glaberrima, 2n=24=AA) and 22 wild species (2n=24, 48) [2]. The river valleys of Yangtze, Mekong River area in China could be the primary center of origin of Oryza sativa [3,4]. Oryza glaberrima is indigenous to the upper valley of the Niger River and it is cultivated only in western tropical Africa [5].

The government of Ethiopia named as rice millennium crop and ranked it among the priority commodities of the country to attain food security [6,7]. It is also considered as one of the best and the cheapest alternative technology available to farmers for efficient utilization of their scarce resources, especially the land and water in swampy and water logged environments [8,9]. Rice is source of income and employment opportunities for rice farmers. It is used in the preparation of local foods such as injera, dabbo, genfio, kinchae and shorba and local beverages like taka and areki [7,10]. The straw is mainly used as fuel, feed stuff, fertilizer and industrial raw material [11].

Currently, Fogera, Gambella, Metema, and Pawe plains located in the northern, northwestern, and western regions of Ethiopia are becoming a major rice producing areas in Ethiopia. Furthermore, based on GIS information and agro-ecological requirements of rice, the potential rain fed rice production area in Ethiopia is estimated to be about 30 million hectares [7]. Of which 5.6 million ha is found to be highly suitable, and 25 million ha is suitable to rainfed upland rice while 3.7 million ha is potential available area for lowland irrigated rice in the country [6,13].

The national average yield of rice in Ethiopia is 2.81 ton/ha [12], which is much lower than the world's average rice yield of 4.54 ton/ha [12]. This is due to insect pest and diseases occurrence (rice blast and brown spot), weeds and environmental fluctuations. In addition, poor agronomic practices; human and institutional capacity and shortage of adapted varieties for different agro-ecologies are the major rice production constraints in the country [6].

Rice is believed to be introduced to Ethiopia in 1970s Gebrekidan and Seyoum [10] and research on the crop was started in 1985 [6]. Since then, to alleviate some of the constraints to rice production by developing improved varieties researchers studied genetic variability in rice which is pre-requisite for rice breeding program since the development of an effective rice breeding program is dependent on
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the existence of genetic variability and character association. Therefore, before launching any breeding program, survey of genetic variability with the help of suitable parameters such as genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability estimates and genetic advance are absolutely necessary to start an efficient breeding program.

For instance, Mulgeta [8] studied 22 released upland rice varieties and Mulgeta investigated the variability, heritability and genetic advance of 14 rainfed upland rice genotypes using morphological characterization. Moreover, Fentie et al. [14] evaluated 12 upland rice genotypes using morphological characterization. All researchers reported that the existence of adequate genetic variability and heritability and genetic advance among the tested materials. Several other researchers also reported high values of heritability and genetic advance for grain yield, 1000 grain weight, number of fertile tillers per plant, number of grain per panicle, panicle length, biomass yield and plant height in rice [15]. Genetic variation is the occurrence of differences among the individuals due to the differences in their genetic composition and the environment in which they were raised [16]. The difference of genetic variability present in introduced rice genotypes is essential to rice improvement which must be exploited by rice breeders for yield improvement to meet the demand of rice producers. However, limited attention has been given to studies on phenotypic and genotypic variability, heritability and genetic advance of yield and associated traits in introduced rainfed lowland rice genotypes to improve the grain yield in the study areas. Therefore, the present study was to assess the extent of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance among thirty-six rice genotypes for yield and related traits with the objectives of estimating genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance for yield and yield related traits.

Materials and Methods

Description of the study areas

Field experiments were conducted in 2015 cropping season at two locations, namely, Pawe Agricultural Research Center and Fogera National Rice Research and Training Center. The locations are situated in north western part of Ethiopia in Benishagul-Gumuz and Amhara Region states, respectively.

Fogera National Rice Research and Training Center is located 607 km from Addis Ababa (capital of Ethiopia) in the north-western part of Ethiopia. Specifically, the experimental site is located at 11°58' N latitude, 37° 41' E longitude and at an elevation of 1810 m above sea level. Based on ten years' average meteorological data, the annual rainfall, and mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures are 1587 mm, 16.3°C and 32.6°C, respectively. The type of the study site is well drained Nitisol with the pH value ranging from 5.3 to 5.5. Based on ten years' average meteorological data, the annual rainfall, mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures are 1300 mm, 11.5 C and 27.9°C, respectively. The soil type is black (Vertisol) with pH of 5.90.

Pawe Agricultural Research Center is located 578 km away from Addis Ababa. The experimental site is lies at 13° 19' N latitude, 37° 24' E longitude and at an elevation of 1200 m above sea level. The major soil type of the study site is well drained Nitisol with the pH value ranging from 5.3 to 5.5. Based on ten years' average meteorological data, the annual rainfall, mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures are 1587 mm, 16.3°C and 32.6°C, respectively.

Experimental design and trial management

The experiments were laid out in simple lattice design 6 × 6 with two replications. The plot size was six rows of 5 m length with 0.2 m row spacing giving a total area of 6 m². Spacing's of 1.0 m and 0.30 m were used between blocks and plots, respectively. For data collection, the middle four rows only were used for determination of grain yield and yield related traits (5 m × 0.8 m=4 m²).

Planting was done by manual drilling at a rate of 36 g per plot on June 17 at Pawe and June 28 at Fogera during the 2015 cropping season. Recommended fertilizer of Urea and DAP at the rate of 64 kg N ha⁻¹ and 46 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ was applied to each plot. P₂O₅ was applied all at planting time whereas N was applied in three splits i.e., 1/3 at planting, 1/3 at tillering and the remaining 1/3 at panicle initiation according to the national rice fertilizer blanket recommendation at each location.

Weeding was done by hand two to three times starting from 25-30 days after sowing depending on infestation level. All other agronomic practices were applied as per the recommendation for rice production in the two locations during the growing season to raise a healthy rice crop.

Agronomic data collected

Fourteen quantitative traits of morphological data at appropriate growth stage of rice plant were collected and recorded on plot and plant basis according to two rice descriptors and Bioversity International [17].

On plot basis: Days to 50% heading: Days to 50% heading was recorded as the number of days from seeding to the date on which the panicle tips when emerge in 50% of the plants in each plot of the central four rows of panicle have at least partially attained heading.

Days to 85% maturity: Was registered as the number of days from sowing to the attainment of physiological maturity in 85% of the crop stands attained maturity. It was judged by field visual observation when the turning of the straw and panicle changed to light yellow or straw color.

Grain yield per plot (g): The grain yield was determined by harvesting the rice crop from the net middle plot area of 4 m² and threshed, cleaned and weighed using an electronic sensitive balance and then adjusted to 14% moisture content by using rice moisture tester.

Thousand grain weight (g): Grains were measured by random taking of 1000 grains that were well developed, clean and sun dried, which were collected from the middle of four rows of each plot. Finally, the moisture content of the grain yield was adjusted at 14% moisture content and weighed by using a sensitive balance.

Above ground biomass yield (gram per plot): The total above ground biomass yield produced from all the central four rows of each plot was measured at harvest after two days' sun dried.

Harvest index (%): The ratio of weight of dried grain yield per plot in grams adjusted to 14% moisture content obtained from the middle four rows of each plot to the dried total weight of above ground biological yield per plot expressed in percent. Harvest index of each of the genotype was computed using the following formula:

\[ \text{Harvest index} = \frac{(\text{Economic grain yield per plot})}{(\text{Biological yield per plot})} \times 100 \]

On plot basis: Plant height (cm): The height of the plant was measured started from the base of the main stem to the tip of the tallest panicle and data recorded by a meter rule on the main tiller of 5 randomly pre-tagged plants in the four central rows of each plot.


Panicle length (cm): The panicle length was measured from the five panicle lengths of the main tiller randomly pre-tagged plants in centimeter started from the basal node on which the first panicle branch starts to the tip of the panicle from the middle of four rows in each plot.

Culm length (cm): Culm length was measured from ground level to the base of the panicle (neck node) and recorded from average of five randomly selected pre-tagged plants, to the nearest centimeter from four middle rows in each plot.

Flag leaf length (cm): The flag leaf length was measured from the ligule to the tip of the blade on the pre-tagged five representative selected plants and was calculated average to the nearest cm after athesis.

Fertile tillers per plant: Number of fertile tillers per plant were taken by actual counts of the total number of tillers bearing panicles per plant by taking the average number of five randomly selected pre-tagged plants that bear panicle was registered at harvest.

Number of filled grain per plant: The number of grains were determined by counting only filled grain from five randomly selected panicles of five sample plants in each plot and averaged.

Number of total spikelet per panicle: The number of spikelets was determined by counting all spikelet (filled and unfilled) from five randomly selected panicles of five sample plants in each plot and averaged.

Number of unfilled grain per panicle: The grains that were without kernel as determined by counting only unfilled grain from five randomly selected panicles of five sample plants in each plot and averaged.

Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance by using SAS 9.2 [18] and GENRES Statistical Software 7.01 [19].

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA): The relative efficiency of simple lattice design obtained was better than Randomized Complete Block Design at two locations. Therefore, the data of the mean values all experimental units were subjected analysis of variance based on simple lattice design. To perform a combined statistical analysis across location, test of homogeneity of error variances of each character for the two locations were performed by using F- test (the ratio of the largest to the smallest error variance) to the characters and the test showed
homogeneity of the two locations for all characters that involved in the study. Therefore, the ANOVA was also run for the two locations separately and combined over the two locations since all characters showed homogeneity of error variance.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done by using Proc GLM and Proc Lattice procedures for the data collected for grain yield and yield related traits were subjected based on simple lattice design by using SAS version 9.2 separately [18]. Then after testing the ANOVA assumptions, Fisher’s protected least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% and 1% level of significance was used for genotypes mean comparisons, whenever genotype differences were significant [20].

**Estimation of variance components:** Components of variance, \( \sigma^2_g = \text{genotypic variance}, \sigma^2_p = \text{phenotypic variance} \) and \( \sigma^2_e = \text{error variance} \) were calculated as suggested by Burton and Devane; Wricke and Weber [21,22].

Environmental variance \( (\sigma^2_e) = \text{MSE/r} \). Where, MSE=error mean square and \( r=\text{number of replications} \).

Genotypic variance \( \left( \sigma^2_g \right) = \frac{\text{MSG} - \text{MSGl}}{rl} \).

Where, \( \sigma^2_g = \text{Genotypic variance}, \text{MSG} = \text{genotype mean square}, \text{MSgl} = \text{mean square of genotype by location interaction}=\text{number of replication} \) and \( l=\text{number of locations} \).

Phenotypic variance \( \left( \sigma^2_p \right) = \sigma^2_g + \sigma^2_gl + \sigma^2_e \). Where, \( \sigma^2_g \) is genotypic variance, \( \sigma^2_gl \) is genotype by location interactions, \( \sigma^2_e \) = environmental variance and \( \text{r} = \text{number of replication} \).

Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was calculated as suggested by Burton and Devane:

\[ \text{Phenotypic coefficient of variation \( (PCV) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_p}{\bar{X}} \times 100} \)} \]

\[ \text{Genotypic coefficient of variation \( (GCV) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2_g}{\bar{X}} \times 100} \)} \]

Phenotypic variance \( (\sigma^2_p) = \sigma^2_g + \sigma^2_gl + \sigma^2_e \) where, \( \sigma^2_g \) is genotypic variance, \( \sigma^2_gl \) is genotype by location interactions and \( \sigma^2_e \) is environmental variance.

Where: \( \sigma^2_p = \text{Phenotypic variance}, \sigma^2_g = \text{Genotypic variation and} \ X = \text{Grand mean of the characters under study} \).

Sivasubramanian and Madhavamenon [23] GCV and PCV values were categorized as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (20% and above).

**Broad sense heritability (H^2b):** Heritability in broad sense (H^2b) was estimated according to the formula suggested by Johnson et al. and Hanson et al. [24,25].

\[ \text{Heritability \( (H^2b) = \frac{\sigma^2_g}{\sigma^2_p + \sigma^2_gl + \sigma^2_e/rl} \times 100} \]

\( \sigma^2_g \) = Genotypic variance, \( \sigma^2_gl \) = the variance of genotypes by environmental interactions, \( \sigma^2_e \) = the residual error variance \( \sigma^2_p \) = Phenotypic variance=replication + location.

The Heritability was categorized as low (0-30%), moderate (30-60%) and high (60% and above) as given by Robinson et al. [26].

**Genetic advance under selection (GA):** The expected genetic advance for different characters under selection was estimated using the formula suggested by Lush and Johnson et al. [24].

\[ \text{GA \( (%) ) = K.H^2b \times \sigma p} \]

Where, \( \text{H}^2_b = \text{Heritability in broad sense,} \sigma p = \text{Phenotypic standard deviation} \)

\[ \text{GA = Expected genetic advance and} \]

\( k = \text{the standardize selection differential at 5% selection intensity} \) (\( K = 2.063 \)).

**Genetic advance as percent of mean:** Genetic advance in percentage of mean was calculated using the formula given by Robinson and Comstock [26].

\[ \text{Genetic advance in percentage of mean} = \frac{\text{(genetic advance \times 100)}}{\text{population mean}} \]

\[ \text{GA} = \text{Genetic advance under selection and} \]

\[ \frac{\sigma^2 p}{\bar{X}} \]

Where, \( \text{GAM} = \text{Genetic advance under selection and} \ X = \text{Grand Mean of the trait} \)

Genetic advance as percent mean was categorized as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and (≥20%) as given by Johnson et al. and Falconer and Mackay [16,24].

**Results**

**Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)**

The combined analysis of variance was revealed significant differences among rice genotypes for all traits studied (P<0.05) (Table 2). The results showed that there is a presence of acceptable amount of variability among the genotypes. This gives an opportunity for rice breeders to improve those traits through selection and hybridization to improve the desired traits. Shahbriar et al. [27] also reported similar result in 34 rice genotypes for all the traits they studied. Satheshkumar and Saravanan; Osman et al. and Fentie et al. [14,28] reported significance differences among rice genotypes evaluated in different locations. Genotype × location interactions were highly significant difference (p<0.01) except number of unfilled grain yield per panicle and 1000 grain weight but for days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, culm length, flag leaf length, number of filled grain per panicle and number of total spikelet per panicle and significant (P<0.05) for days to heading, biomass yield, grain yield and harvest index (Table 2). These significant difference of genotype × location interactions implies that differential response of genotypes under the two locations for these traits. Similar finding was previous reported by Ogunbayo et al. [29] for plant height, days to maturity, flag leaf length and panicle length. Mean squares due to location was also significant (p<0.05) for days to heading, plant height, culm length, flag leaf length, number of filled grain per panicle, number of fertile tillers per panicle, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, biomass yield and number of total spikelet per panicle (Table 2). This indicates that the phenotypic expression of characters was different at the two locations.

**Phenotypic and genotypic variability**

Estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variances, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), and phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) are indicated in Table 3. The genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) ranged from 7.0 % for days to maturity to 35.33% for culm length (Table 3). However, phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) ranged from 10.60% for days to maturity, plant height, panicle length, culm length, flag leaf length, number of filled grain per panicle, number of fertile tillers per panicle, harvest index, 1000 grain weight, biomass yield and number of total spikelet per panicle (Table 2). This indicates that the phenotypic expression of characters was different at the two locations.

**Heritability and genetic advance**

Heritability in broad sense, genetic advances, and genetic advances as percent mean are showed in Table 3. Heritability in broad sense
estimates of the 14 quantitative traits ranged from 18.24% for fertile tillers per plant to 81.14% for culm length across locations. Moreover, genetic advance varied from 0.02% for harvest index and 39.26% for culm length whereas genetic advance as percent of means ranged from 0.09% to 59.06% for culm length (Table 3).

Means and range of different characters under observations

The range and mean of genotypes for all studied traits also indicated wide ranges of variation which also revealed possible amount of variability among the genotypes (Table 3). Relatively high range performance revealed among the studied traits, grain yield (2886.0-6759.0 kg/ha) across locations with a mean grain yield of 5370.0 kg/ha. Therefore, the presence of such range of variations of the traits indicated that the existence of enough variability among the
genotypes which is the source of variable genetic materials. The grand mean of days to heading was 98.06 with a range of 82.5 to 110.0 (Table 3).

The maximum days to heading (110.0 days) were recorded in the genotype PSBRC44 and the earliest was recorded in CHOMRONG genotype (82.5 days). Mulugeta [8] also reported the variability among the genotypes for days to heading. The grand mean of maturity days was 133.38 with a maximum maturity period of 145 days that was registered for IR 75518-84-1-1-B genotype and the early maturity days was (116.5 days) which was recorded by CHOMRONG genotype. Among 36 genotypes, 44.4% exhibited days to maturity lower than the overall mean indicating that those genotypes were earlier maturing as compared to the others. On the one hand, as compared to the standard check variety (Ediget) 30.3% of the genotypes showed earlier maturity period. However, only 8.3% of genotypes were earlier maturing than the local check variety (X-Jigna). This suggested the chance of selecting early maturity genotypes which can escape terminal moisture stress.

Minimum and maximum plant height ranged from 62.60 cm to 110.05 cm recorded for IR 81047-B-106-2-4 and IR 75518-84-1-1-B genotypes respectively, with a mean of 83.77 cm (Table 3). According to IRRI low land rice plant height is classified as semi-dwarf (less than 110 cm), intermediate (110-130 cm) and tall (more than 130 cm). Based on this classification, in the present study 94.4% of the tested genotypes group under the semi-dwarf class whereas the remaining 5.6% (IR 75518-84-1-1-B and CHOMRONG) genotypes fall within the tall statured class. This indicated that the tested genotypes had inherent variability in stature to develop lodging resistant varieties (semi-dwarf) that will have higher response to nitrogen application. Mitiku and Shahriar [27] also reported variation in plant height in the rice genotypes they evaluated. Number of fertile tillers per plant ranged from 5.85 to 9.4 for the genotypes ARCCU16Bar-13-2-16-2-1-1) and (IR76999-52-1-3-2), respectively.

The mean value of panicle length recorded was 19.16 cm with maximum value of 22.4 cm and minimum of 16.35 cm for IR 75518-81-1-2-B and the standard check (Ediget), respectively. According to Bioversity International [19], panicle length is classified as very short (<11 cm), short (~15 cm), medium (~25 cm), long (~35 cm) and very long (~40 cm). Thus, based on this argument, the present finding showed that there is enough medium variability for panicle length among the genotypes for improving panicle architecture and grain yield due to high association of this trait that determines the number of grains it can hold. The grand mean of culm length recorded was 66.02 cm with the maximum and minimum value of 91.40 and 46.05 cm respectively. On the other hand, the maximum and minimum values recorded for flag leaf length were 30.95 and 16.15 cm, respectively with a mean value of 21.34 cm.

Thousand grain weight ranged from 20.21 to 28.35 g/plot for the genotype IRR80420-B-22-2 and IR 75518-18-1-2-B, respectively. Karim et al. [30] reported that mean values ranged from 5.9 to 30.72 g for 1000 grain weight. Mean values for harvest index varied from 17% for local check variety (X-Jigna) to 36% for IR76999-52-1-3-2 which indicating variability among the tested genotypes in their efficiency ability in partitioning assimilate into grain yield. Moreover, the genotypes IR76999-52-1-3-2 (36%), YUNJING 23 (32%), IR 81047-B-106-2-4 (32%) and WAB8801-1-32-1-2-P1-HB (31%) were the most efficient than the standard check which had harvest index of 24.5%. The maximum and minimum above ground biomass yields were harvested from WAB376-B-10-H3 (11.15 kg plot^-1) and IR 83222-F11-167 (5.28 kg plot^-1). Based on these results, 50% or 18 genotypes exceeded the overall mean (8.17 kg plot^-1) of the tested genotypes while genotypes exceeded 72.2% and 75% of the standard check and local check, respectively.

Discussion

Phenotypic coefficients of variation (PCV) and genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) were categorized as low (0-10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%) as indicated by Sivsabramanian and Madhavanmon [23]. Therefore, according to the classification high PCV and GCV values were recorded for plant height, culm length, number of unfilled grain per panicle, grain yield and biomass yield, which suggests the possibility of improving this trait through selection. Similar results were also reported by Satheeshkumar and Saravanan et al. [28]; Hoque [31] and Devi et al. for plant height and similarly Pratap et al. for biomass yield and plant height. On the other hand, the estimates of GCV and PCV were low in the present study for days to maturity (7.00%, 10.60%) (Table 3). Similar findings were reported by Pandey [32]. In general, a high coefficient of variability indicates that there is a scope of selection and improvement of these traits. Low values indicates the need for creation of variability either by hybridization or mutation followed by selection [33].

The magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) estimates in the present study was found to be slightly higher than their respective genotypic coefficient of variations (GCV) for all the studied characters which might be the result of influence of environment on the development of characters’ period. Similar report noticed earlier by many researchers [29,34] in rice. However, the narrow magnitude of difference between phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variations were recorded for characters such as days to maturity, plant height, biomass yield, culm length and panicle length indicating limited influence of environment in the expression of these characters. The result is in agree with Idris and Mohamed [33] who reported small differences between genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variations for plant height and panicle length. Thus, selection based on phenotypic performance of these characters would be effective to bring about considerable genetic improvement. But, there was considerable difference between the phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variations for the rest traits which, indicates greater effects of environmental factors in the phenotypic expression of these characters. Thus, selection based on phenotypic performance of these characters would be ineffective to bring about considerable genetic improvement of these traits in the genotypes considered in the current study.

Heritability was classified as low (below 30%), medium (30-60%) and high (above 60%) as suggested by Johnson et al. [24]. Considering this delineation, high heritability values were observed for culm length (81.14%) followed by plant height (80.10%), biomass yield (73.41%) and panicle length (65.50%). High heritability in broad sense values indicate that the characters under study are less influenced by environmental factors in the expression of these characters. Therefore, the rice breeders may make superior genotypes selection based on phenotypic performance for these traits.

Days to heading (43.51%), days to maturity (43.56%), number of filled grain per panicle (36.25%), number of unfilled grain per panicle (38.03%), number of total pikelet per panicle (31.73%) and grain yield (54.35%) had medium heritability which indicates that improvement can be made through simple selection. The results were mostly supported by the reports made earlier in rice by Krupakar and Pandey [32] for days to heading, plant height, panicle length and biomass yield per plot in rice. In contrast, flag leaf length (28.41%), number of fertile tiller per plant (18.24%), 1000 grain weight (29.73%) and harvest index (25.97%) had low heritability which indicates greater role of
environment on the expression of the traits. Thus, direct selection for these traits will be ineffective. Therefore, methods of selection based on families and progeny testing are more effective and efficient. Similar results were also reported by other investigators [14,35,36] for number of fertile tillers per plant. Akinwale et al. [34] reported low heritability for 1000 grain weight. In contrary, Fentie et al. [14] and Osman et al. reported high broad sense heritability for 1000 grain weight. Dutta et al. and Rai [15,37] also observed high broad sense heritability finding for number of fertile tillers per plant and harvest index. On the contrary, Rai and Yadav et al. [15,38] reported high broad sense heritability for flag leaf length and harvest index. Shahriar et al. [27] registered high heritability for 1000 grain weight.

Heritability alone provides no indication of the amount of genetic improvement that would result from selection of individual genotypes. Hence, knowledge about heritability coupled with genetic advance and genotypic coefficient of variations are most useful. Thus, in the present study, high to medium heritability coupled with high genotypic coefficients of variation (GCV) and high genetic advance as percentage of mean were recorded by plant height followed by culm length, biomass yield, grain yield and number of unfilled grain per panicle which indicates that the traits were simply inherited in nature and controlled by few major genes or possessed additive gene effects. Hence, making based on simple selection could be effective for improving those characters. Similar result was reported by Osman et al. who tested thirteen upland rice genotypes. Rai et al. [15] also evaluated 40 rice genotypes and observed similar results for biological yield.

Heritability in conjunction with genetic advance would give a more reliable selection value [24]. In the present finding the genetic advance as percent of mean was ranged from 4.10% for number of fertile tillers per plant to 59.06% for culm length across locations. According to Johson et al. [24] genetic advance as percent of mean classified as low (<10%), moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%). Based on this argument, in the present study, traits such as plant height (46.87%), culm length (59.06%), biomass yield (43.63%), grain yield (27.77%) and number of unfilled grain per panicle (25.32%) gave high genetic advance as percent of mean while moderate genetic advance as percent of mean was computed for days to heading (12.13%) and panicle length (14.83%). These traits also had high and moderate heritability. Therefore, selection based on the above traits with high and moderate genetic advance as percent of mean, result in the improvement of the genotypes for the traits. The present finding is in corresponding to the work of Rahman et al. and Shrivastava et al. [39] for number of unfilled grain per panicle. Shrivastava et al. [40] noticed similar result for culm length, number of unfilled grain per panicle, biomass yield and grain yield. The finding is supported also by Mulugeta [8] for biomass yield and number of unfilled grain per panicle.

Low estimates of genetic advance as percent mean was also noticed for days to maturity (6.28%), flag leaf length (8.39%), number of fertile tiller per plant (4.10%), number of filled grain per panicle (6.37%), number of total spikelet per panicle (5.13%), 1000 grain weight (5.78%) and harvest index (6.89%), respectively (Table 3). This indicates the characters governed by non-additive gene action and heterosis breeding will be useful. Similar results were reported by Hoque [31] for number of fertile tillers per panicle.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study identified the presence of adequate genetic variability among 36 tested genotypes. Hence, the information generated from this study, rice breeder can be exploited for future rice breeding program. The study was also carried out for one season and at two locations. Therefore, it is advisable to repeat the study at least more than one season considering major rice growing areas to make sound recommendations. Moreover, it is recommended that future rice research explore molecular means to further confirm the outcome of this study findings.
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