Among various spinal interventions for back pain, epidural steroid injections are most widely used and have sufficient therapeutic potency to provide a small surgery-sparing effect in the short term and may reduce the need for surgery in some patients. In particular, preganglionic epidural injections through the retrodiscal transforaminal approach may significantly improve clinical outcomes compared to those of any other epidural modalities in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy regardless of age, sex, duration of symptoms, and pain intensity. However, this approach may be restricted by the interference of the iliac crest and orientation of the facet at the lumbosacral level. Here, we suggest an alternative approach, which we named “translateral recess approach for preganglionic epidural injection” to address some of these drawbacks.

Preganglionic epidural injections through the translateral recess approach were performed on an outpatient basis by a single spine surgeon (MSK). Patients were placed prone on a radiology table. Patients’ back was prepared by using an iodine-based antiseptic solution. After taking a standard anteroposterior (AP) view under fluoroscopic guidance, a 20G 3.5-inch Tuohy needle was inserted into the distal tip of the distal adjacent spinous process (or tubercle) and advanced toward the medial wall of the proximal pedicle (Fig. 1). Next, after taking a standard lateral view, the needle was inserted into the corresponding neural foramen and adjusted as close and parallel to the proximal lamina as possible (Fig. 2). Then, 0.5 mL of contrast dye (Iobrix 300, Iohexol; Taejoon Pharm, Seoul, Korea) was injected through the needle tip placed in the dorsal epidural space below the ligamentum flavum to determine the epidurographic pattern. After confirming that the needle tip was placed outside the posterolateral margin of the thecal sac on the standard AP and lateral views, the needle was gently advanced until it touched the posterior aspect of the proximal vertebral body or the annulus fibrosus of the intervertebral disc. Contrast dye (1
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mL) was then injected to determine the epidurographic pattern on the standard AP and lateral views. After the ventrolateral epidural space was confirmed, 1 mL of dexamethasone (5 mg) with 2 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine and 4 mL of sterile normal saline were injected (Fig. 3). Patients were monitored for at least 30 minutes after the procedure and were discharged if they were able to walk without any complications.

**Case Illustration**
A 57-year-old man presented with axial back pain (visual analog scale [VAS], 5) and left leg pain (VAS, 7) which radiated to the posterior aspects of the thigh, calf, and foot plantar (S1 territory) for 2 months. He also had pain referred to the left posterior thigh and a gait disturbance with a pattern of neurogenic claudication. He had a history of left side discectomy performed at L4–5 25 years ago.

Neurological examination revealed negative straight leg raise on both sides and decreased motor strength (4/5) in plantar flexion of the left ankle. Anal sphincter tone was intact. Sensation over the left S1 nerve root dermatomes was diminished. Plain radiography showed lumbar spondylosis with discovertebral degeneration at L4–5. Magnetic resonance imaging showed the previous left partial hemilaminectomy of the L4 vertebra and left S1 nerve root compression due to left subarticular lumbar disc herniation at L5–S1. The patient provided a signed informed consent form before the epidural injection. He underwent a preganglionic epidural injection through the translateral recess approach (Fig. 4).

Two weeks after the injection, radicular leg pain completely disappeared, and axial back pain improved from 5 points to 2 points. There were no further changes in clinical manifestation until 8 weeks after the injection. Three months after the injection, the patient complained that referred pain around the left buttock slightly deteriorated.

**DISCUSSION**
Given the anatomic characteristics of the lumbosacral level, which has an interference of the iliac crest, a large facet
We considered that epidural injection through the interlaminar space would be much more advantageous than the approach through the neural foramen. The interpedicular distance and interlaminar width increase from L3–4 to L5–S1. The ratio of the transverse diameter of the dural sac to the interpedicular distance tends to be maintained at about 60%, whereas the ratio of the transverse diameter of the dural sac to the interlaminar width tends to decrease from the L3–4 to L5–S1 level (82% at L3–4, 65% at L4–5, and 61% at L5–S1) (Table 1). These features suggest from the L3–4 to the L5–S1 level, the space outside the dural sac will be sufficiently wide to insert the Tuohy needle. In the standard paramedian interlaminar approach, after obtaining the most widely visible AP image of the interlaminar space of a target level under fluoroscopy, the needle is inserted into the center of the affected hemisphere of the interlaminar space in the AP plane and directed inward at 10° to 15°. However, as suggested by the authors, if the needle direction is set laterally of the center of the interlaminar space to the medial wall of the pedicle, and the needle tip is located outside the dural sac when placed in the dorsal epidural space through the ligamentum flavum, the risk of intrameningeal injection due to dural puncture is expected to be minimized even if the needle is advanced to the ventral epidural space. In the sagittal plane of the lumbar spine, the laminar height was shorter at L5 than L4 (L4, 20.4 ± 3.0 mm; L5, 16.6 ± 3.1 mm), and the laminar slope angle was similar regardless of the spine level (L4, 117.5° ± 4.0°; L5, 115.7° ± 5.7°) (Table 1). Considering the laminar overhanging and slope angle, in order to position the epidural needle tip at a given neural foramen level, the entry point should be set below the given intervertebral disc, and the needle should enter the laminar as close and parallel as possible. Therefore, we propose to set the entry point at the distal tip of the infra-adjacent spinous process or tubercle.

Among various spinal interventions for lumbar degenerative disc disease, epidural injections are most widely used, with their use more than doubling in the last decade. They have been reported to provide a small surgery–sparing effect in the short term and reduce the need...
The therapeutic potential of epidural injections is eventually determined by the approach to the main pathology and injectate composition. For this reason, preganglionic epidural injections through the retrodiscal transforaminal approach reportedly result in the most favorable clinical outcomes of any epidural modality in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy regardless of age, sex, duration of symptoms, and pain intensity. However, the applicability of the retrodiscal transforaminal approach may be limited due to the interference of the iliac crest and the orientation of the facet joint at the lumbosacral level as well as neural foraminal stenosis due to the hypertrophy of the superior articular process and loss of disc height. Also, intradiscal injection-related complications occur at a relatively high frequency.

The method we suggest, named as the translateral recess approach, has been developed to be applied to below L4–5, which is difficult to access by the retrodiscal transforaminal approach. The most commonly affected site of lumbar spondylosis is the L4–5 level, followed by the L5–S1 and L3–4 levels. The translateral recess approach suggested by the authors enables access to the lateral recesses at the L4–5 and L5–S1 levels. However, the anatomical characteristics such as the long laminar length, narrow interlaminar space, and wide neural foramen in the upper lumbar region do not allow the translateral recess approach; access to the preganglionic epidural space through the retrodiscal approach is rather easy.

In conclusion, the technique presented here is an effective and safe intervention, which can be used as an alternative approach for patients who have radiculopathy at or below L4 with lumbar degenerative disc disease. The important advantage of this technique is the accessibility of the ventral epidural space without interference of the dural sac. Also, an entry point is accessible on each side at the same level.
Fig. 4. Epidurography of a patient with subarticular disc extrusion and downward migration at L5–S1. The patient underwent a preganglionic epidural injection through the translateral recess approach. Plain anteroposterior (A) and lateral (B) radiographs showed lumbar spondylosis with discovertebral degeneration at L4–5. (C, D) T2-weighted axial magnetic resonance imaging showed previous left partial hemilaminectomy performed at the L4 vertebral lamina. (E, F) It was also observed that the left S1 nerve root was compromised by moderate left subarticular disc extrusion with downward migration. A preganglionic epidural injection was performed through the translateral recess approach. (G) In the anteroposterior fluoroscopic image, the contrast spread pattern for periradicular infiltration appeared as a hummingbird at the L5 exiting (beak, head and wings of a bird) and S1 traversing (abdomen and tail of a bird) nerve roots because of the filling defect caused by subarticular disc extrusion and downward migration. Gray curved line: periradicular infiltration pattern of the L5 exiting nerve root. White curved dotted line: periradicular infiltration pattern of the S1 traversing nerve root. White oval: filling defect of contrast dye for intervertebral disc herniation. (H) Photograph of a real hummingbird for comparison. (I) In the lateral fluoroscopic image, cranial and caudal contrast spread in the ventral epidural space was observed. Gray straight line: dorsal epidural contrast spread. Gray curved line: ventral epidural contrast spread. White dotted line: periradicular infiltration pattern of the L5 exiting nerve root.

Table 1. Anatomical Characteristics of Lower Lumbar Spine

| Variable                  | L3     | L4     | L5     | L3–4   | L4–5   | L5–S1  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Laminar height (mm)       | 22.7 ± 2.0 | 20.4 ± 3.0 | 16.6 ± 3.1 |
| Laminar width (mm)        | 13.0 ± 1.5 | 14.3 ± 1.9 | 15.7 ± 2.0 |
| Laminar slope angle (°)   | 129.0 ± 7.5 | 117.5 ± 4.0 | 115.7 ± 5.7 |
| Laminar overhanging (mm)  | 3.0 ± 2.4 | 3.4 ± 2.2 | 2.2 ± 2.3 |
| DSD (mm)                  | 14.94 ± 2.56 | 15.35 ± 2.65 | 19.01 ± 3.01 |
| IPD (mm)                  | 23.25 ± 2.33 | 26.45 ± 2.52 | 30.59 ± 2.95 |
| ILW (mm)                  | 18.1 ± 2.9 | 23.5 ± 4.7 | 31.0 ± 4.7 |
| DSD/IPD (%)               | 64      | 58      | 62     |
| DSD/ILW (%)               | 82      | 65      | 61     |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

DSD: dural sac anteroposterior diameter, IPD: interpedicular distance, ILW: interlaminar width.
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