Super Bioavailable Itraconazole and Its Place and Relevance in Recalcitrant Dermatophytosis: Revisiting Skin Levels of Itraconazole and Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Data

Abstract
Itraconazole, is the most commonly prescribed oral antifungal agent in India, and has a low minimum inhibitory concentration as compared to other antifungals, and in conjunction with the markedly high skin levels, the drug should have a predictably good clinical response which is not the consistent experience of clinicians. Probably the variation in pelletization parameters might affect the bioavailability of the drug and consequently affect the serum levels. The maximum bioavailability of conventional itraconazole is 55 percent, which is neither consistent nor predictable. However, the novel itraconazole (Super bioavailable Itraconazole) with targeted drug release in the small intestine has predictable serum levels with minimum interindividual variability, which could make it a potentially useful drug in recalcitrant dermatophytosis.
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Background And The Prevalent Use of Itraconazole
While India is still battling the scourge of recalcitrant dermatophytosis, it is pertinent to re-examine the four main aspects that may determine the recalcitrance; these are the species and its reported resistance, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) levels, the serum levels of drugs and the skin levels of the antifungal drugs [Figure 1]. Many studies from India have shown that there is increasing clinical resistance to terbinafine, griseofulvin, and fluconazole with a proportionately high MIC, and thus the most effective oral drug remains itraconazole (ITZ). The species and its variations based on changing assessment methods have as yet not shown any clinical utility beyond academic and taxonomical discourses. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) levels to ITZ have been low across the seminal studies. Hence, the intrinsic variations in species probably do not matter as far as ITZ is concerned. [4,5,7-11] [Table 1] Even if we focus on virulence factors and the purported role of the species on the immune response, this would be relevant only if the MICs are high to ITZ. Thus as a corollary, with low MIC the dermatophyte species will not perpetuate to express its “pathogenicity” and cause any significant clinical consequence if the MIC to ITZ remains low. Also, there is no study from India to suggest any specific virulence factors linked to the currently prevalent strain. The refrain of “resistance” to ITZ is without any scientific basis as no mutation to the drug has been reported in the dermatophyte species. If there were a resistance, the MIC levels would have been much higher than the prevalent values. [8,12,13] Also, the immunological compromise of topical steroids does not persist for a long time if stopped, and we do not feel that is the overriding cause, though this is a focus of active research.

This brings us to the issue of skin and serum levels. It is of paramount importance to appreciate that it is the skin levels of ITZ that is important for its action in dermatophytosis, and this has been studied over various time frames levels in various sites with a marked increase in sebum rich
This fact, and this is one of the fundamental premises of utility of the super bioavailable itraconazole (SUBA™ ITZ) in the Indian context.

**Super Bioavailable (SUBA™) Itraconazole**

Itraconazole is a weakly basic molecule with a very erratic absorption pattern leading to wide fluctuations in its blood concentration.[19] For any drug to produce an optimal clinical effect, its absorption and hence bioavailability should be high with minimal interindividual variability. This was the intent behind the development of SUBA™ ITZ. It contains a solid dispersion of ITZ in a uniform non-pellet formulation containing a pH-dependent polymeric matrix-hypromellose phthalate (HPMCP), which enhances its dissolution and intestinal absorption[20]; therefore, the formulation exhibits greater bioavailability than the innovator C-ITZ. A comparison of SUBA™ ITZ and C-ITZ is given in the table and highlights the advantages of the former drug formulation.[17,19‑30] [Table 2]

Lindsay et al. demonstrated that with the same equivalent doses of 200 mg BD, SUBA™ ITZ achieved therapeutic concentrations more quickly, achieved significantly higher mean trough levels, and much less inter-patient variation in trough levels (60% with C-ITZ versus 35% with SUBA™ ITZ).[30] Abuhelwa et al. also demonstrated a 21% lower variability in the bioavailability of SUBA™ ITZ versus C-ITZ.[20] However, the authors observed a similar reduction in bioavailability (27%) with food for both SUBA™ ITZ and Sporanox (innovator C-ITZ capsule formulation). Authors noted that the food decreased the rate and extent of drug absorption, leading to a decrease in bioavailability and a lower absorption rate compared to fasted state irrespective of the formulation. Similarly, Lindsay et al.[29] had also observed modestly lower total and peak ITZ exposures when it was administered under fed conditions than in the fasted state. The variation of absorption with food on C-ITZ is important as the drug is currently recommended to be taken in a fed state. Yun
Table 1: An overview of salient studies with the MIC values to select antifungal drugs published by Indian Institutes

| STUDY NO | AUTHOR, YEAR | ISOLATES TESTED | MIC 90 (µg/mL) |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 1        | Rudramurthy et al., 2018 | 88, T. interdigitale | TRB: 4, ITZ: 0.5, FLU: 16, GRI: 64, KTZ: 0.5 |
| 2        | Khurana et al., 2018 | 64, T. interdigitale | 32, TRB: 1, ITZ: 32, FLU: 4, GRI: 0.5, KTZ: 0.03 |
| 3        | Mahajan et al., 2017 | 50, T. mentagrophytes | 0.03, TRB: 0.03, ITZ: 16, FLU: 4, GRI: 0.03, KTZ: 0.375 |
| 4        | Dabas et al., 2017 | 37, T. interdigitale | 0.375, TRB: 0.042, ITZ: -, FLU: 0.375, GRI: -, KTZ: - |
| 5        | Pathania et al., 2018 | 50, T. mentagrophytes | 8, TRB: 0.5, ITZ: 16, FLU: 128, GRI: -, KTZ: - |
| 6        | Singh et al., 2019 | 129, T. mentagrophytes-interdigitale complex | 32, TRB: 1, ITZ: 32, FLU: 4, GRI: 2, KTZ: 2 |
| 7        | Shaw et al., 2020 | 498, T. mentagrophytes-interdigitale complex | 8, TRB: 0.25, ITZ: 16, FLU: 32, GRI: 0.5, KTZ: 0.25 |

Mean MIC 90: 0.474

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration; TRB: terbinafine; ITZ: itraconazole; FLU: fluconazole; GRI: griseofulvin; KTZ: ketoconazole

Table 2: A comparison of SUBA™ ITZ and C-ITZ highlighting the advantages and drawbacks of this technology

| Parameters assessed                  | Super bioavailable Itraconazole | Conventional Itraconazole (C-ITZ) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Formulation                          | Non-pellet formulation[20]      | Pellet formulation[17]            |
| Polymeric matrix                     | pH-dependent-Hypromellose phthalate (HPMCP)[20] | HPMC (hydroxypropyl methylcellulose) |
| Site of absorption                   | Intestinal absorption[20]       | Restricted to the stomach[22-24]  |
| Targeted drug release                | Yes                             | No                                |
| Bioavailability                      | Higher than C-ITZ[21]           | 55% bioavailability[19]           |
| Interaction with food                | 27% reduction in bioavailability with food (administered in fed/fasting state)[20] | Bioavailability with food highly variable (administered in fed state) |
| Inter-subject variability            | 21% less variable between subjects as compared to C-ITZ[20] | Inter-subject variability higher |
| Effect of antacids                   | 22% increase in total plasma levels with co-administration of omeprazole[29] | C-ITZ capsules with omeprazole reduces its Cmax by 66% and mean area AUC by 64%[25-28] |
| Equivalent Dose                      | 50, 58, 65 mg[21,30]            | 100 mg                            |

et al. reported an increase in bioavailability of ITZ after a bread meal while a decrease after a rice meal due to a rise in gastric Ph lowering its absorption. Thus the amount of fluid administered with the drug, variation in gastric Ph among individuals in both fasted as well as fed state, the fat content of the meal are significant parameters responsible for the contradictory results.[31,32]

The US-FDA has approved SUBA™ ITZ for blastomycosis (pulmonary and extrapulmonary), histoplasmosis (including chronic cavitary pulmonary disease, and disseminated non-meningal histoplasmosis), and aspergillosis (pulmonary and extrapulmonary in patients intolerant or refractory to amphotericin B therapy).[19] The distribution, metabolism, and excretion are similar to that of C-ITZ, as are the contraindications, drug-drug interaction, precautions, and the adverse effect profile.

In essence, SUBA™ ITZ has predictable serum levels, which obviates the quality concerns of pellets to a large extent and achieves consistent high serum levels without interindividual variation, which would translate to higher skin levels.[20] While the drug does not have US-FDA approval for dermatophytosis, this is possible as in the West, terbinafine remains an effective drug. Here it is pertinent to point that the Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation (CDSCO) in India has not approved C-ITZ for dermatophytosis[33], which is contrary to widespread use at a dose (200, 400 mg) higher than the approved dose of 100 mg capsule. The US FDA approval for C-ITZ is 200 mg (100 mg × 2 capsules) for dermatophytosis, and a dose of 50 mg BD of the SUBA ITZ may be useful to 100 mg BD of C ITZ, clinically as it has been shown to be the equivalent in clinical studies [Table 2]. At the time of writing this manuscript, only one company in India has been able to show equivalence with laboratory data to both the innovator C-ITZ molecule and the international SUBA™ ITZ; hence a certification from CDSCO/Drug Controller General of India (DCGI) is mandated before any such claims are entertained as the molecule is expensive than C-ITZ in India.[34]

Limitations of SUBA™ ITZ

An important issue with SUBA™ ITZ is that this formulation has not been used in dermatophytosis, and it can be argued that as in India, many C-ITZ clinicians (indiscriminately) prescribe 200 mg BD; the serum levels of C-ITZ would be much higher, translating into higher skin levels. This premise is incorrect as, beyond 200 mg dose, there is a hepatic saturation of the drug, and thus the levels do not rise consistently.[17,18] Also, there is a marked inter-individual variation in levels even with C-ITZ, and beyond a predetermined pellet number (≥560), the excess pellets (in a 200 mg capsule) tend to congeal.
and with the dynamic transit time in the stomach do not have proportionately higher bioavailability in vivo.[17,18] This is in the GIT important as in vitro data do not account for the transit time and Thus the levels that are achieved are not representative of in vivo levels. Unless there is data to show that 200 mg BD of C-ITZ is superior to the US FDA approved dose of 200 mg (100mg BD), the premise of its superiority is.

It can be logically presumed that the low MIC levels to ITZ [Table 1], its apparent clinical failure despite the exponential increase in skin levels compared to the serum levels [Figure 2], point towards inconsistent serum levels of the existing brands in India. Herein, lies the place of SUBA™ ITZ, which achieves higher consistent serum levels and this formulation might translate into enhanced efficacy for recalcitrant dermatophytosis.

Financial support and sponsorship
Nil.

Conflicts of interest
There are no conflicts of interest.

References
1. Sardana K, Khurana A. Overview of Causes and Treatment of Recalcitrant Dermatophytosis in IADVL Manual on Management of Dermatophytes. New Delhi: CBS Publishers; 2018. p. 80-102.
2. Singh A, Masih A, Khurana A, Singh PK, Gupta M, Hagen F, et al. High terbinafine resistance in Trichophyton interdigitale isolates in Delhi, India harbouring mutations in the squalene epoxidase gene. Mycoses 2018;61:477-84.
3. Khurana A, Masih A, Chowdhary A, Sardana K, Borker S, Gupta A, et al. Correlation of in vitro susceptibility based on MICs and squalene epoxidase mutations with clinical response to terbinafine in patients with tinea corporis/cruris. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2018;62:e01038-18.
4. Shaw D, Singh S, Dogra S, Jayaraman J, Bhat R, Panda S, et al. MIC and upper limit of wide-type distribution for 13 antifungal agents against a Trichophyton mentagrophytes-Trichophyton interdigitale complex of Indian origin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2020;64:e01964-19.
5. Singh A, Masih A, Monroy-Nieto J, Singh PK, Bowers J, Travis J, et al. A unique multidrug-resistant clonal Trichophyton population distinct from Trichophyton mentagrophytes/Trichophyton interdigitale complex causing an ongoing dermatophytosis outbreak in India: Genomic insights and resistance profile. Fungal Genet Biol 2019;133:103266.
6. Chowdhary A, Singh A, Singh PK, Khurana A, Meis JF. Perspectives on misidentification of Trichophyton interdigitale/Trichophyton mentagrophytes using internal transcribed spacer region sequencing: Urgent need to update the sequence database. Mycoses 2019;62:11-5.
7. Rudramurthy SM, Shankarnarayan SA, Dogra S, Shaw D, Mushqaq K, Paul RA, et al. Mutation in the squalene epoxidase gene of Trichophyton interdigitale and Trichophyton rubrum associated with allylamine resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2018;62:e02522-17.
8. Khurana A, Sardana K. Reinterpreting minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) data of itraconazole versus terbinafine for dermatophytosis-time to look beyond the MIC data? Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2018;84:61-2.
9. Pathania S, Rudramurthy SM, Narang T, Saikia UN, Dogra S. A prospective study of the epidemiological and clinical patterns of recurrent dermatophytosis at a tertiary care hospital in India. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2018;84:678-84.
10. Mahajan S, Tilak R, Kaushal SK, Mishra RN, Pandey SS. Clinico-mycolological study of dermatophytic infections and their sensitivity to antifungal drugs in a tertiary care center. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2017;83:436-40.
11. Dabas Y, Xess I, Singh G, Pandey M, Meena S. Molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility patterns of clinical dermatophytes following CLSI and EUCAST guidelines. J Fungi (Basel) 2017;3:17.
12. Khurana A, Gupta A, Sardana K, Sethia K, Pansar S, Aggarwal A, et al. A prospective study on patterns of topical steroids self-use in dermatophytoes and determinants predictive of cutaneous side effects. Dermatol Ther 2020;33:e13633.
13. Khurana A, Sardana K, Chowdhary A. Antifungal resistance in dermatophytes: Recent trends and therapeutic implications. Fungal Genet Biol 2019;132:103255.
14. Sardana K, Arora P, Mahajan K. Intracutaneous pharmacokinetics of oral antifungals and their relevance in recalcitrant cutaneous dermatophytosis: Time to revisit basics. Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol 2017;83:730-2.
15. Cauwenberghs T, Reggiori E, Heykants J, Woestenborghs R, Van Rooy P, Haevermans K. Pharmacokinetic profile of orally administered itraconazole in human skin. J Am Acad Dermatol 1998;18:263-8.
16. Sardana K, Khurana A, Singh A, Gautam RK. A pilot analysis of morphometric assessment of itraconazole brands using dermoscopy and its relevance in the current scenario. Indian Dermatol Online J 2018;9:426-31.
17. Sardana K, Khurana A, Gupta A. Parameters that determine dissolution and efficacy of itraconazole and its relevance to recalcitrant dermatophytoses. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol 2019;12:443-52.
18. Sardana K, Khurana A, Panesar S, Singh A. An exploratory pilot analysis of the optimal pellet number in 100 mg of itraconazole capsule to maximize the surface area to satisfy the Noyes-Whitney equation. J Dermatolog Treat 2020;1-7. doi: 10.1080/09546634.2019.1708848. Online ahead of print.
19. Gintjee TJ, Donnelly MA, Thompson GR 3rd. Aspiring antifungals: Review of current antifungal pipeline developments. J Fungi (Basel) 2020;6:28.
20. Abuhelwa AY, Foster DJ, Mudge S, Hayes D, Upton RN. Population pharmacokinetic modeling of itraconazole and hydroxyitraconazole for oral SUBA-itraconazole and sporanox capsule formulations in healthy subjects in fed and fasted states. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:5681-96.
21. Thompson GR, Lewis P, Mudge S, Patterson TF, Burnett BP. Open-label crossover oral bioequivalence pharmacokinetics comparison for a 3-day loading dose regimen and 15-day steady-state administration of SUBA-itraconazole and conventional itraconazole capsules in healthy adults. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64:e00400-20.
22. Kohri N, Yamayoshi Y, Xin HE, Iseki KE, Sato N, Todo S, et al. Improving the oral bioavailability of albendazole in rabbits by the solid dispersion technique. J Pharm Pharmacol 1999;51:159-64.
23. Kondo N, Iwao T, Hirai KI, Fukuda M, Yamanouchi K, Yokoyama K, et al. Improved oral absorption of enteric coprecipitates of a poorly soluble drug. J Pharm Sci
1994;83:566-70.

24. Miller DA, DiNunzio JC, Yang W, McGinity JW, Williams RO. Targeted intestinal delivery of supersaturated itraconazole for improved oral absorption. Pharm Res 2008;25:1450-9.

25. Jaruratanasirikul S, Sriviriyajan S. Effect of omeprazole on the pharmacokinetics of itraconazole. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1998;54:159-61.

26. Kanda Y, Kami M, Matsuyama T, Mitani K, Chiba S, Yazaki Y, et al. Plasma concentration of itraconazole in patients receiving chemotherapy for hematological malignancies: The effect of famotidine on the absorption of itraconazole. Hematol Oncol 1998;16:33-7.

27. Lohitnavy M, Lohitnavy O, Thangkeattiyanon O, Srichai W. Reduced oral itraconazole bioavailability by antacid suspension. J Clin Pharm Ther 2005;30:201-6.

28. Marr KA, Crippa F, Leisenring W, Hoyle M, Boeckh M, Balajee SA, et al. Itraconazole versus fluconazole for prevention of fungal infections in patients receiving allogeneic stem cell transplants. Blood 2004;103:1527-33.

29. Lindsay J, Mudge S, Thompson GR 3rd. Effects of food and omeprazole on a novel formulation of super bioavailability itraconazole in healthy subjects. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2018;62:e01723-18.

30. Lindsay J, Sandaradura I, Wong K, Arthur C, Stevenson W, Kerridge I, et al. Serum levels, safety and tolerability of new formulation SUBA-itraconazole prophylaxis in patients with haematological malignancy or undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation. J Antimicrob Chemother 2017;72:3414-9.

31. Yun H-Y, Baek MS, Park IS, Choi BK, Kwon K-I. Comparative analysis of the effects of rice and bread meals on bioavailability of itraconazole using NONMEM in healthy volunteers. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2006;62:1033-9.

32. Zimmermann T, Yeates R, Albrecht M, Laufen H, Wildfeuer A. Influence of concomitant food intake on the gastrointestinal absorption of fluconazole and itraconazole in Japanese subjects. Int J Clin Pharmacol Res 1993;14:87-93.

33. Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation, Available from: https://cdscoonline.gov.in/CDSCO/Drugs.

34. Recommendations of the SEC (Dermatology and Allergy) made in its 46th meeting held on 19.06.2020 at CDSCO HQ New Delhi-SND/MA/18/000093.