Stability of off-axis motion for intense particle beams in periodically focusing channels
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A general equation for the centroid motion of free, continuous, intense beams propagating off-axis in solenoidal periodic focusing fields is derived. The centroid equation is found to be independent of the specific beam distribution and may exhibit unstable solutions. A new Vlasov equilibrium for off-axis beam propagation is also obtained. Properties of the equilibrium and the relevance of centroid motion to beam confinement are discussed.

PACS numbers: 41.85.Ja,05.45.-a

A fundamental understanding of the kinetic equilibrium and stability properties of high-current, low-emittance beams in periodically focusing systems is crucial for the development of a wide range of advanced particle accelerator and coherent radiation source applications. For a long time, the Kapchinskij-Vladimirmik (KV) distribution \textsuperscript{1} was the only Vlasov equilibrium distribution known for the propagation of periodically focused intense particle beams. Equilibrium and stability analysis based on the KV beam have been critical to the development and understanding of the physics of intense beams \textsuperscript{2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10}. More recently, it has been shown that the KV distribution can be generalized to allow for rigid beam rotation with respect to the Larmor frame in periodic solenoidal focusing fields \textsuperscript{11}. Studies indicate that rotation may have an important role in particle beam stability \textsuperscript{12}.

In the derivation of these Vlasov equilibria it is always assumed that the beam is perfectly aligned with the symmetry axis of the focusing field \textsuperscript{1,5,11}. Actually, this simplifying assumption is generally used in the analysis of intense beams \textsuperscript{2,3,4,5,6} because the axis is an equilibrium for the beam centroid, and the equilibrium is stable if smooth-beam approximations are employed where the periodic fluctuations of the focusing field are averaged out \textsuperscript{11}. In some cases, however, we may expect the onset of parametric resonances involving the centroid motion and the focusing field oscillations, which would destabilize the centroid motion and heavily affect the overall beam dynamics. In such conditions the averaging procedure is no longer valid and a detailed description of the centroid dynamics becomes mandatory.

In this paper, we derive from a kinetic Vlasov-Maxwell description a general equation for the centroid motion of free, continuous, intense beams propagating off-axis in solenoidal periodic focusing fields. It is shown that the centroid obeys a Mathieu type equation. The equation is independent of the specific beam distribution and becomes unstable whenever the oscillatory frequency of the centroid, which is related to the rms focusing field strength per lattice, is commensurable with the focusing field periodicity itself. In the particular case of a uniform beam density around the beam centroid, we show that there exists a self-consistent Vlasov equilibrium distribution for the beam dynamics. The beam envelope that determines de outer radius of the equilibrium beam around the centroid is shown to obey the familiar envelope equation \textsuperscript{2,3,4,8,9,10}, being independent of the centroid motion. An example of the Vlasov equilibrium is discussed in detail to show the possibility of finding beam solutions for which the extensively studied envelope equation \textsuperscript{2,3,4,8,9,10} is stable, whereas the centroid motion is unstable, revealing the importance of the centroid motion to overall beam confinement properties.

We consider a free, continuous charged-particle beam propagating with average axial velocity $\beta_b \hat{e}_z$ through a periodic solenoidal focusing magnetic field described by

$$B(r, s) = B_z(s) \hat{e}_z - \frac{r}{2} B_z'(s) \hat{e}_r,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $r = x \hat{e}_x + y \hat{e}_y$, $r = (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$ is the radial distance from the field symmetry axis, $s = z = \beta_c ct$ is the axial coordinate, $B_z(s + S) = B_z(s)$ is the magnetic field on the axis, the prime denotes derivative with respect to $s$, $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, and $S$ is the periodicity length of the magnetic focusing field. Since we are dealing with solenoidal focusing, it is convenient to work in the Larmor frame of reference $\hat{r}$, which rotates with respect to the laboratory frame with angular velocity $\Omega_L(s) = q B_z(s)/2 \gamma_0 mc$, where $q$, $m$ and $\gamma_0 = (1 - \beta_b^2)^{-1/2}$ are, respectively, the charge, mass and relativistic factor of the beam particles. The Larmor frame is used throughout the paper, such that $\hat{e}_x$ and $\hat{e}_y$ are assumed to be versors along the rotating axes. In the paraxial approximation, the beam distribution function $f_b(r, \mathbf{v}, s)$ evolves according to the Vlasov-Maxwell system

$$\frac{\partial f_b}{\partial s} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f_b + (-\kappa_z r - \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} f_b = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\nabla^2 \psi = -(2\pi K/N_b) n_b(r, s),$$ \hspace{1cm} (3)
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where $n_b(r, s)$ is the beam density profile, $\kappa_z(s) = q^2 B^2_z(s)/\gamma b_0^2 \beta^2_m c^2$ is the focusing field parameter, $K = 2q^2 N_b/\gamma b_0^2 \beta^2_m c^2$ is the beam perveance, $N_b = \int f_b dv = \text{const.}$ is the number of particles per unit axial length, and $\psi \equiv \nabla^r \cdot \nabla^r r'$. In Eqs. (2)-(4), $\psi$ is a normalized potential that incorporates both self-electric and self-magnetic fields, $E^s$ and $B^s$. It is related to the self-scalar and self-vector potentials by $\phi^s = \beta^{-1}_b A^s_z = \gamma b_0^2 \beta^2_m c^2 \psi(r, s)/q$, where $A^s_z(r, s) = A^s_z(r, s) e_z$, $E^s(r, s) = -\nabla^r \phi^s(r, s)$, and $B^s(r, s) = \nabla \times A^s_z(r, s)$.

Our first task here is to determine the evolution of the beam centroid located at

$$r(s) = N_b^{-1} \int r f_b(r, v, s) dv dv.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

In order to do that one multiplies Eq. (2) by $r$ and integrates over phase-space to get

$$\ddot{r} = \ddot{v},  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $\dot{v} = N_b^{-1} \int v f dv dv$. If one now multiplies Eq. (2) by $v$ and integrates over phase-space, one obtains

$$\ddot{v} = -\kappa_z \ddot{r} - \nabla^r \nabla^r r,$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\nabla^r \nabla^r \equiv N_b^{-1} \int \nabla^r v f dv dv$ is obtained by integration by parts of the $\nabla^r$ term in velocity space. Using Eqs. 8 and 11 we can rewrite $\nabla^r \nabla^r$ as

$$\nabla^r \nabla^r = (2\pi K)^{-1} \int \nabla^r v \nabla^r v dv dv.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Then we note that the integrand of Eq. 8 can be cast into the more suitable form

$$\nabla^r v \nabla^r v = \nabla^r [\nabla^r v \nabla^r v - I(\nabla^r v)^2]/2]$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where the unit dyadic $I$ reads $I = \hat{e}_z \hat{e}_x + \hat{e}_p \hat{e}_y$. Now, employing Gauss theorem we obtain

$$\nabla^r \nabla^r \equiv (2\pi K)^{-1} \oint \hat{e}_n \cdot [\nabla^r v \nabla^r v - I(\nabla^r v)^2]/2 \, dA = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

because $\nabla^r v \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for beams in free space. In Eq. 10, $dA$ and $\hat{e}_n$ are, respectively, the boundary differential element and the unit vector normal to the boundary of integration located at $r \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, the result $\nabla^r \nabla^r \rightarrow 0$ is expected based on the action-reaction law; since $-\nabla^r r$ corresponds to the self-force exerted on the beam particles by themselves, its average throughout the beam distribution has to vanish due to the pairwise structure of the interparticle electromagnetic interaction. Using Eqs. 7 and 10 in Eq. 6, we finally obtain the centroid equation of motion

$$\ddot{r} + \kappa_z(s) \dot{r} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Let us stress that we have not made any assumption on the particular form of the beam distribution function so far. Thus, the centroid equation above is always valid as long as the beam evolves according to the Vlasov-Maxwell system, Eqs. (2)-(4). In the laboratory frame, combined to the oscillatory motion described by Eq. 11, the centroid also rotates with angular velocity $\Omega_L(s)$ around the center $r = 0$. Taking into account that $\kappa_z(s)$ is periodic, Eq. 11 is of the Mathieu type which is known to present unstable solutions related to parametric resonances in the $\dot{r}$ motion. If we conveniently write the average of $\kappa_z(s)$ over one lattice period as $\left(1/S\right) \int_0^S \kappa_z(s) ds \equiv \kappa_0^2/S^2$, where $\kappa_0$ is a dimensionless parameter proportional to the rms focusing field measuring the vacuum phase advance in the small field, smooth-beam approximation, the instabilities in the centroid motion are expected when one approaches $\kappa_0 \sim \pi$; this condition corresponds to parametric resonances between the oscillation periodicity of $\dot{r}$ in the average (rms) focusing field and the periodicity of the focusing field itself. Depending on the exact profile of $\kappa_z(s)$ the size of the unstable regions surrounding $\kappa_0 \sim \pi$ vary significantly. If the aim is beam confinement, these regions are to be avoided.

It is worth mentioning that although Eq. 11 is strictly valid for free beams only, it is expected to provide a good description of the centroid motion in bounded systems if the beam is nearly symmetric and is not excessively displaced from a pipe center located at $r = 0$. The reason is because in this case $\nabla \psi = \pm \hat{e}_n |\nabla \psi|$ at the pipe walls, where $\hat{e}_n$ is now the unit normal vector to the wall, and the surface integral in Eq. 10, performed along the boundary, still vanishes since $|\nabla \psi|$ is approximately constant there. Note also that the presence of a pipe would generally not suppress the centroid instabilities discussed in connection with Eq. 11; in fact, it would even enhance it because the image charges induced are of opposite sign, attracting the beam to the wall.

Our next task is to show that we can construct a Vlasov equilibrium for off-axis beam transport. In particular, we assume a beam with a uniform radial density distributed around a center located at $r_o(s) = x_o(s) \hat{e}_x + y_o(s) \hat{e}_y$, i.e.,

$$n_b(r, s) = \begin{cases} N_b/\pi r_0^2(s), & r_\delta < r_b(s), \\ 0, & r_\delta > r_b(s), \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $r_b(s)$ is the equilibrium beam envelope and $r_\delta \equiv r - r_o$. A schematic of the beam distribution of Eq. 12 and corresponding vectors is shown in Fig. 1. For such beam we can easily recognize $r_o(s)$ as being the centroid coordinate. According to what was shown previously, its evolution must then obey

$$\ddot{r}_o + \kappa_z(s) \dot{r}_o = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Using the prescribed $n_b(r, s)$ in Eq. 8 we find for the normalized self-potential

$$\psi(r, s) = -K r_\delta^2 / 2 r_b^2(s).$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
in the beam interior \((r_b < r_0)\). Therefore, a single particle of the beam located at \(r(s)\) subjected to the external focusing field force \(-\kappa_z(s)r\) and the self-field force \(-\nabla\psi(r,s)\) will evolve according to

\[
r'' + \kappa_z r - (K/r_b^2) r_0 = 0. \tag{15}
\]

If we now subtract Eq. (13) from Eq. (15), we obtain

\[
r''_b + \kappa_z r_0 - (K/r_b^2) r_0 = 0, \tag{16}
\]

which describes the motion of the beam particle with respect to the center \(r_0\). Equation (16) can be solved with known techniques of physics of beams \([5, 7]\). Considering the motion along the \(x\)-axis, we write \(x_\delta = A_{x_\delta} w(s) \sin \int^s \zeta(s) ds + \zeta_{x_\delta} 0\) with \(A_{x_\delta}\) and \(\zeta_{x_\delta} 0\) constants. Substituting this expression into Eq. (10) we obtain

\[
w'' + \kappa_z w = w^{-3}, \tag{17}
\]

\(\zeta(s) = w^{-2}(s)\), where \(\kappa(s) \equiv \kappa_z(s) - K/r_b^2(s)\), and the constant of motion \(A_{x_\delta}\) can be expressed in the form

\[
A_{x_\delta} = (x_\delta/w)^2 + (wx_\delta - w'x_\delta)^2. \tag{18}
\]

Performing an equivalent calculation for the motion along the \(y\)-axis, one shows that \(A_{y_\delta}\) given by

\[
A_{y_\delta} = (y_\delta/w)^2 + (wy_\delta - w'y_\delta)^2. \tag{19}
\]

is also a constant of motion. From Eq. (16), one sees that all the forces are central with respect to the centroid \(r_0\). Thus, one readily demonstrates that the canonical angular momentum \(P_{\delta\delta}\) given by

\[
P_{\delta\delta} = x_\delta y_\delta - y_\delta x_\delta \tag{20}
\]

is a constant of motion as well. Because \(A_{x_\delta}^2, A_{y_\delta}^2,\) and \(P_{x\delta}\) are exact single-particle constants of motion, a possible choice of Vlasov equilibrium distribution function is

\[
f_b^{eq}(r, v) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi^4 \epsilon_T}}{2} \left[ A_{x_\delta}^2 + A_{y_\delta}^2 - 2\omega_b P_{\delta\delta} - (1 - \omega_b^2) \epsilon_T \right]. \tag{21}
\]

where \(dt_b^{eq}/ds = 0, \epsilon_T = \text{const}\) is an effective emittance, and the rotation parameter \(\omega_b = \text{const}\) is in the range \(-1 < \omega_b < 1\) for radially confined beams. Using \(f_b^{eq}\) in Eq. (1), it is readily shown that the uniform density profile centered at \(r_0\) of Eq. (21) is consistently obtained, provided \(r_b(s) = \epsilon_T^{-1/2} w(s)\). Hence, \(r_b(s)\) obeys the familiar envelope equation

\[
r''_b + \kappa_z r_b - \frac{K}{r_b} - \frac{\epsilon_T^2}{r_b} = 0. \tag{22}
\]

Performing the appropriate averages over the equilibrium distribution, Eq. (21), we can show that the beam rigidly rotates around its centroid \(r_0\) with angular velocity

\[
\Omega_{b\delta}(s) = \omega_b \epsilon_T \beta_r c/r_b^2(s). \tag{23}
\]

where \(\chi = x_\delta, y_\delta\), and the brackets indicate averages over the beam distribution. One thus sees that a Vlasov equilibrium distribution can be formed for which the beam envelope obeys Eq. (22) with constant emittance even when the centroid moves off-axis, \(r_0 \neq 0\), following the dynamics dictated by Eq. (13). We refer to this equilibrium as a periodically focused off-axis Vlasov equilibrium. Let us call attention to the interesting fact that the centroid motion and the envelope dynamics are uncoupled in this case. In other words, centroid dynamics does not affect the known stability results for the envelope dynamics \([3, 4, 8, 10, 16]\) and is not affected by the latter as well. One should keep in mind that for good beam confinement both centroid and envelope have to be stable.

We now illustrate our results with an example of periodically focused off-axis Vlasov equilibrium. We consider a particular set of parameters for which the envelope equation (22) is known to be stable, whereas the centroid motion of Eq. (13) was found to be unstable. We investigate beam transport with the aid of self-consistent numerical simulations, where a large number \(N_b = 8000\) of macroparticles interact via pairwise electromagnetic interactions \([6]\). In the simulation we used \(SK/\epsilon_T = 5.0\) and \(S^2 \kappa_z(s) = \sigma_0^2(1 + \cos(2\pi s/S))\), with \(\sigma_0 = 155^\circ\), over 20 lattice periods. The macroparticles were launched at \(s = 0\) according to the equilibrium distribution, Eq. (21), with \(\omega_b = 0, r_0 = 0 = r_0', r_b\), and \(r_b\) corresponding to the matched solution with \(r_b(s + S) = r_b(s)\) of the envelope equation (22). The finite number of macroparticles in the initial condition acts as a seed for any possible instability to develop. Simulation results are presented in Fig. 2. The evolution of the centroid displacement \(r_o \equiv \mid r_o \mid\) calculated from the macroparticles positions \(r\) as \(\langle r \rangle\), where the brackets indicate average over macroparticles, is shown in Fig. 2(a) (circles). It reveals that the centroid motion develops the typical exponential growth of unstable dynamics that agrees with the fact that the set of parameters considered leads to
FIG. 2: Multiparticle self-consistent simulation results. (a) The centroid motion; (b) rms emittance; and (c) the envelope dynamics. Centroid displacement and envelope are normalized to \((S\epsilon_T)^{1/2}\).

To conclude, based on kinetic grounds we have derived a general equation for the centroid motion of free, continuous, intense beams propagating off-axis in solenoidal periodic focusing fields. It was shown that the centroid equation is independent of the specific beam distribution and may exhibit unstable solutions. In the particular case of a uniform beam density around the beam centroid, we have shown the existence of a periodically focused off-axis Vlasov equilibrium distribution describing a beam that rigidly rotates with a prescribed angular velocity around a moving centroid. The beam envelope around the centroid was shown to obey the familiar envelope equation, being independent of the centroid motion. An example of periodically focused off-axis Vlasov equilibrium was discussed in detail to show the possibility of finding beam solutions for which the envelope equation is stable, whereas the centroid motion is unstable, revealing the importance of centroid motion to the overall beam confinement properties.
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