Classification of naturally evoked compound action potentials in peripheral nerve spatiotemporal recordings
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Peripheral neural signals have the potential to provide the necessary motor, sensory or autonomic information for robust control in many neuroprosthetic and neuromodulation applications. However, developing methods to recover information encoded in these signals is a significant challenge. We introduce the idea of using spatiotemporal signatures extracted from multi-contact nerve cuff electrode recordings to classify naturally evoked compound action potentials (CAP). 9 Long-Evan rats were implanted with a 56-channel nerve cuff on the sciatic nerve. Afferent activity was selectively evoked in the different fascicles of the sciatic nerve (tibial, peroneal, sural) using mechano-sensory stimuli. Spatiotemporal signatures of recorded CAPs were used to train three different classifiers. Performance was measured based on the classification accuracy, F1-score, and the ability to reconstruct original firing rates of neural pathways. The mean classification accuracies, for a 3-class problem, for the best performing classifier was 0.686 ± 0.126 and corresponding mean F1-score was 0.605 ± 0.212. The mean Pearson correlation coefficients between the original firing rates and estimated firing rates found for the best classifier was 0.728 ± 0.276. The proposed method demonstrates the possibility of classifying individual naturally evoked CAPs in peripheral neural signals recorded from extraneural electrodes, allowing for more precise control signals in neuroprosthetic applications.

The development of neural interfaces for recording peripheral nerve activity, including signal processing algorithms to analyze this activity, is a rapidly growing area of research1–7. Peripheral neural signals have the potential to provide the necessary motor, sensory, or autonomic information for robust control in many neuroprosthetic applications8–13 and neuromodulation applications14–18.

However, there are two major challenges in unlocking the potential of these applications.

1) Using an appropriate neural interface to record the neural signals reliably.
2) Developing an algorithm that can correctly recover the information encoded in these signals in order to translate them into command signals.

Of interest here is the task of selective recording, which refers to the ability of a neural interface to discriminate between the activity of different neural pathways. We use the term “neural pathway” to refer to a group of neighbouring nerve fibers with a particular function (e.g. a command signal to a muscle, sensory input from a region of interest, etc.).

Peripheral nerve interfaces to date have had limited success in implementing selective recording strategies that are suitable for long-term use in humans. Intraneural electrodes that penetrate the nerve, such as longitudinal or transverse intrafascicular electrodes or microelectrode arrays, are able to selectively record neural activity and are increasingly being translated to human studies16–19 but still struggle to demonstrate viability for stable chronic...
recordings. Extraneural electrodes, such as nerve cuffs or flat interface nerve electrodes (FINEs), have been shown to be stable for chronic implantation in humans for recording and stimulation applications. Thus nerve cuff electrodes are an appealing choice of neural interface, but it is a challenge to achieve sufficient recording selectivity with these devices.

Several different algorithms have been developed to try to improve the recording selectivity of extraneural electrodes using multi-contact configurations. These techniques revolve around two general approaches: identifying conduction velocity (temporal information), or identifying the location of the signal source within the nerve (spatial information). In the first category, velocity-selective recording (VSR) methods have taken advantage of action potential propagation via delay-and-add operators that emphasize compound action potentials (CAP) with specific velocities and make it possible to infer the fiber type. However, this approach is unable to distinguish signals that have similar conduction velocities. In the second category, source localization approaches have been used with some success, and some algorithms following a beamforming approach have shown the ability to distinguish signal sources adequately. However, the performance of these methods can degrade rapidly with poor signal-to-noise ratios (SNR), often calling for rectify-bin-integration (RBI) or other windowing techniques that lower the temporal resolution. Building on these ideas, our previous work has shown that recording selectivity can be improved by integrating spatial and temporal information together into spatiotemporal templates, thus more fully characterizing each neural pathway of interest.

Most of the studies mentioned above involved CAPs that were evoked electrically using stimulation electrodes, leading to much higher amplitude and less physiologically realistic CAPs compared to naturally evoked CAPs (e.g. produced by proprioceptive or mechano-sensory afferent activity). For studies involving naturally evoked afferent activity, classification was applied to windowed signals (e.g. rectify-bin-integrated), not to individual CAPs. Only have attempted clustering of naturally evoked CAPs by their velocities. However, this technique cannot deal with neural activities which have similar conduction velocities. demonstrated clustering of natural CAPs and associated cluster firing rates with different physiological events. To date, no study has successfully classified individual, naturally evoked CAPs in order to discriminate the activity of neural pathways of interest.

We refer to the task of identifying the signal source of an individual detected CAP as CAP-based classification. Note that naturally-evoked CAPs may be referred to as “spikes” in the nerve cuff literature, but we use the term CAP here to avoid confusion with single-fiber activity, which is expected to be well below the noise floor in a nerve cuff recording.

CAP-based classification is made difficult by the low SNR, but if successful would have important advantages. First, the firing rates of individual pathways could be reconstructed with a finer time resolution. In state of the art techniques, RBI windows used can range anywhere from 33 ms to 100 ms, whereas classifying individual CAPs would result in a time resolution of under 5 ms. Second, it could improve selective recording performance in situations where multiple neural pathways are active over a given period of time. This second advantage comes about because averaging data over time intervals increases the likelihood that a source localization or beamforming approach would need to deal with multiple simultaneous signal sources. In contrast, the probability that individual CAPs will overlap in time is much lower, resulting in an easier source identification problem. In our previous simulation study, we used spatiotemporal templates to interpret peripheral nerve activity recorded with multi-contact nerve cuff electrodes, using a CAP-based classification framework. The rationale for this approach was that the templates could more fully characterize the neural signals, as well as leverage the redundancy afforded by multiple rings of contacts to help deal with the low SNR.

Here, in vivo CAP-based classification is investigated. The simulation results from our previous study are validated in vivo, and alternative classification schemes are compared in order to maximize the CAP-based classification performance that can be achieved using spatiotemporal signatures extracted from multi-contact nerve cuff recordings. The main contribution of this work is to demonstrate for the first time the classification of individual, naturally evoked CAPs in extraneural peripheral nerve recordings, using spatiotemporal signatures.

**Methods**

**Experimental protocol.** Acute experiments were performed on 9 Long-Evans rats (retired breeders) under isoflurane anesthesia. The lower back and legs were shaved and treated with povidone-iodine. Once an adequate level of anesthesia was reached (indicated by loss of response to a sharp toe pinch), the animals were positioned prone on the operating table.

An oblique incision was made on the posterior and dorsal aspect of the hip as shown in Fig. 1a. The incision provided direct access to the sciatic nerve through the natural splitting of the fibers of the gluteus maximus while clearing the skin and deep fascia. The sciatic nerve was exposed as far proximally as possible to allow for the application of the nerve cuff electrode. Figure 1b shows the implanted nerve cuff electrode on one of the rats.

Neural activity was selectively evoked in the different fascicles of the sciatic nerve (tibial, peroneal, sural) using mechanical stimuli. The foot was held by the claws using a clip with plastic tips and the ankle was manually dorsiflexed and plantarflexed by approximately 60° to evoke proprioceptive activity in the tibial and peroneal branches, respectively. The ankle was moved to be in sync with a metronome set to a tempo of 70 beats per minute. At the 1st beat, the ankle was moved to the maximum dorsiflexion/plantarflexion point (~60°), held in
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place on the 2nd beat and moved back to the initial position on the 3rd beat and this process was repeated until 100 trials were recorded. A cutaneous stimulus to the heel was used to elicit activity in the sural branch using a Von Frey monofilament (300 g). Following the metronome, the 1st beat involved pricking of the heel and held in place until the 2nd beat, when the Von Frey was removed from the heel and this process was repeated until 100 trials were reached. A combined stimulus, involving the alternation between dorsiflexion and plantarflexion, was also elicited 100 trials were performed for each stimulus. An example of the neural activity is shown in Fig. 2.

The applications of the stimuli were video recorded, synchronized with the neural recordings through a LED visible in the video, and later manually annotated to determine the times at which each type of stimulus was being applied. All CAPs detected during the application of given stimulus were inferred to have originated from the corresponding neural pathway.

The experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the University of Toronto and all experiments were performed in accordance with the Animal Care Committee's guidelines.
Spatiotemporal signatures. Spatiotemporal signatures for each activity (dorsiflexion, plantarflexion and pricking of the heel) were constructed using the detected CAPs for each stimulus as described in 5. Briefly, the recordings obtained from M contacts at T consecutive time samples as the CAP traversed the electrode were assembled into an MxT matrix. Each column of this matrix corresponds to the recording from all contacts at a single time step up to time T. These columns were then concatenated to create a single column vector constituting the spatiotemporal signature for that CAP. By associating each CAP in a new recording with one of these known signatures, the activities of multiple neural pathways can be discriminated. The spatiotemporal signatures were averaged to form templates (Fig. 3) that were then used to create tailored matched filters (MF). The spatiotemporal signatures were further used as the inputs to machine learning-based classifiers. These different classification methods are described in section 2.4. The spatiotemporal templates from each stimulus type were used to calculate conduction velocities to verify the fiber type.

Preprocessing. A tripole reference was first applied to the in vivo signal, using the averages of all the contacts in the two outermost rings of the cuff as the reference. Next, the delay-and-add operation used in the velocity selective recording (VSR) method was applied. Together, these steps created a much cleaner signal that could then be used for CAP detection.

CAP detection. The output after preprocessing was thresholded using the median absolute deviation estimate approach (Eq. 1) from to find CAPs.
Once the CAPs were detected, 49 time samples before and 50 time samples after the peak location were used to create the spatiotemporal signatures, consistent in length with our previous work\(^3\). The spatiotemporal signatures were extracted from the signal after tripole referencing, but before the delay-and-add operation, which was used solely for CAP detection. CAPs with peak values above 15 \(\mu V\) were discarded (small variations in this threshold were found to have negligible impact on the results). Peak-aligned CAPs were averaged to create spatiotemporal templates.

**Classification algorithms.** Four classification techniques were used and compared in this study.

The first method was the one described in our previous simulation work\(^3\). Briefly, the spatiotemporal templates described in Section 2.2 were used to create a MF for each stimulus. Each template was made from the average of detected CAPs for a given stimulus in the training set, and then applied to the test set. The CAP classification was based on the maximum filter output of the MFs at the detected CAPs after dividing by their corresponding normalization factor \(u_i\) for each contact:

\[
\text{Threshold} = 4 \times \frac{\text{Median}(|X|)}{0.6745}
\]

where \(X\) is the spatiotemporal template of the \(i\)th stimuli.

The next two methods used in this paper were machine learning approaches, which may be able to uncover additional identifying patterns in the spatiotemporal signatures.

The second method incorporated the spatiotemporal signatures as input data in a random forest classifier. This method takes random subsets of the data to create multiple decision trees used to obtain a classification. This classifier was implemented through TreeBagger in Matlab (2012a). A 200 tree random forest was used while the other parameters were the default parameters set in Matlab’s TreeBagger. The inputs used were \(MT \times 1\) vectors, corresponding to the reshaped spatiotemporal signature for a given CAP.

The third method also used a machine learning approach, this time with a neural net implemented through the patternnet function in Matlab (2012a), which implements feedforward networks for input classification. All detected CAPs were reshaped into column vectors of \(MT \times 1\) and were used to train the neural net. The architecture of the neural net had 4 hidden layers (2000 \(\times 500 \times 100 \times 20\) nodes) with a softmax output layer to produce a probabilistic output. The maximum number of training epochs was 2000 with a learning parameter of 0.2. An early stopping criteria was that the error in the validation set continuously increased more than a maximum of 8 consecutive times.

A fourth method used windowed data rather than individual CAPs. The purpose of this analysis was to obtain an upper bound against which to compare the CAP-based classification performance. This last method was a random forest classifier which was applied to signals preprocessed using the RBI method. These signals were rectified and then integrated over intervals of 1, 10, 33, or 100 ms, as used in previous studies\(^{35–37,44}\). The RBI technique improves the SNR, but loses the advantage of CAP-based classification. In our analysis, peak points in the RBI templates were found to have negligible impact on the results. Peak-aligned CAPs were averaged to create spatiotemporal signature.

For the MF approach, these training sets were used to obtain the average spatiotemporal templates, which were then used to create the MFs for classification. For the machine learning approaches, the spatiotemporal signatures of each CAP in the training set were used to train the 2 classifiers. In the RF-RBI approach, a 4-fold cross validation approach was used, due to the reduced number of training examples after applying the RBI technique. Spatiotemporal signatures for both the MF approach and the machine learning classifiers were created from the 56 channels \(\times 100\) time samples (3.33 ms long).

Classification accuracy was quantified on an individual CAP basis, except for in the RF-RBI results that were quantified based on the peak points. The classification accuracy and F1-score of each activity was calculated. This
F1-score metric indicates a classifier's predictive power better than classification accuracy when the classes are imbalanced in the test set. In the multi-class problem, F1-score was calculated based on the precision and recall of each class, and the reported F1-score is the average of the F1-scores for all classes.

Lastly, we sought to quantify the effect of the SNR on the ability to discriminate the different stimuli. In order to answer this question, multiple CAPs from each class (in groups of 2, 3, or 4) were averaged, in order to improve the SNR through trial averaging. The classification accuracy was then evaluated as a function of the CAP SNR, using the methods described above. The training sets used for this portion of the analysis did not incorporate data augmentation, in order to quantify solely the effects of SNR on the classification accuracy. Data from eight of the nine rats were used, based on the criterion that after averaging groups of 4 CAPs, at least 100 or more CAPs should remain in each class.

Reconstruction of firing rates. The third metric which we investigated was the ability to reconstruct the firing rate of each neural pathway. In this case the training set consisted of all CAPs (all peak points for RF-RBI) in the dorsiflexion only trials and plantarflexion only trials in combination with augmented CAPs for each activity. The classifiers were then evaluated on a test set of data from alternating dorsiflexion and plantarflexion. Detected CAPs in the test set were classified and the firing rates of each pathway (dorsiflexion or plantarflexion) were calculated by convolving the estimated CAP trains with a Gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of 150 ms. The true firing rates were determined by placing all CAPs detected during the known activation of a given pathway (based on the synchronized video recordings) in a CAP train, and convolving with the same Gaussian kernel. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to describe the relationship between the true and estimated firing rates. Rat 3's data was removed from this analysis due to the degrading plantarflexion signal throughout the course of the experiment.

Furthermore, to minimize the number of spurious CAPs caused by noise, a threshold on the outputted probabilities of the machine learning classifiers was used to classify a detected CAP as spurious (i.e., if a CAP was not strongly associated with any of the classes it was considered to be noise). This optimal threshold was chosen empirically for each machine learning classifier. All metrics for this portion of the analysis are reported based only on the CAPs that remained after the removal of the spurious CAPs.

Statistical analysis. A repeated one-way analysis of variance was performed to compare the F1-scores of all algorithms and post-hoc pairwise comparisons were applied using t-tests, including a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Similarly, the same analysis was performed to compare the data points used to study the trend of mean F1-scores vs SNR.

Results

Number of detected points of interest and velocity. The mean and standard deviation of detected CAPs for each activity from all rats were 3,898 ± 2,175, 3,454 ± 2,433, and 3,991 ± 2,145, for dorsiflexion, plantarflexion and pricking respectively with a minimum and maximum of 274 and 7673 CAPs detected. The CAPs’ peak-to-peak amplitude ranged from 10–20 μV. The average conduction velocity determined from the templates of the spatio-temporal across all rats for dorsiflexion, plantarflexion and pricking were 70.06 ± 12.26, 71.93 ± 16.96, 56.79 ± 10.34 m/s respectively, which is consistent with the conduction velocities of the expected large myelinated fibers.

Classification accuracy. Figure 4a shows the mean F1-scores of the MF approach, random forest, neural net, and the RF-RBI approach for all window sizes in a 3-class discrimination problem (dorsiflexion, plantarflexion and pricking) from all rats. Similarly, Fig. 4b shows the mean F1-scores in the 2-class problem (dorsiflexion and plantarflexion). Figure 5a shows the corresponding confusion matrices for the 3-class problem and Fig. 5b shows the confusion matrices for the 2-class problem.

The mean classification accuracies were 0.510 ± 0.108, 0.658 ± 0.115, 0.686 ± 0.126 and 0.851 ± 0.139 and corresponding mean F1-scores were 0.446 ± 0.157, 0.578 ± 0.210, 0.605 ± 0.212 and 0.848 ± 0.144 for the MF, random forest, neural net and RF-RBI with a 33 ms window approach respectively for the 3-class problem. The ranges of values observed for the 4 methods were 41.8 − 75.2%, 49.5 − 82.5%, 49.8 − 86.0% and 59.1 − 98.9% for classification accuracy and 0.372 − 0.524, 0.416 − 0.675, 0.437 − 0.830 and 0.577 − 0.990 for mean F1-scores, respectively. A significant main effect was seen for both the choice of algorithm on the mean F1-score (p < 0.001) and the machine learning algorithms showed significant differences in mean F1-scores (both with p < 0.05) with the MF approach. All other post-hoc pairwise comparisons can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

For the 2-class problem the mean classification accuracies were 0.655 ± 0.120, 0.751 ± 0.108, 0.761 ± 0.119 and 0.899 ± 0.123 and corresponding mean F1-scores were 0.575 ± 0.186, 0.677 ± 0.188, 0.684 ± 0.183 and 0.897 ± 0.127 the MF, random forest, neural net and RF-RBI with a 33 ms window approach respectively. The ranges of values observed for the 4 methods were 57.3 − 90.7%, 55.9 − 88.1%, 57.7 − 94.3% and 63.3 − 99.5% for classification accuracy and 0.525 − 0.623, 0.554 − 0.821, 0.536 − 0.799 and 0.623 − 0.995 for mean F1-scores respectively. A significant main effect was seen for the choice of algorithm on the mean F1-score (p < 0.001) in the 2-class problem but the machine learning classifiers showed no significant difference in mean F1-scores with the MF approach. All post-hoc pairwise comparisons can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

Additionally, for the alternating dorsiflexion and plantarflexion data, the mean F1-scores were 0.619 ± 0.170, 0.614 ± 0.169, and 0.853 ± 0.132 for the random forest, neural net, and the RF-RBI technique with a 33 ms window respectively. Figure 6 shows the effects of the SNR on the mean F1-score, as a function of the relative improvement from the SNR seen in vivo for the classifiers. With a factor of √2 improvement over base SNR levels,
the F1-scores improve in a 3-class problem by approximately 11%. The general trend in this analysis shows that with increased SNR our ability to discriminate the activity of different neural pathways from multi-contact nerve cuff recordings increases quite substantially.

**Reconstruction of firing rates.** The mean Pearson correlation coefficients were 0.736 ± 0.248, 0.728 ± 0.276, and 0.794 ± 0.158 for the random forest, neural net, and the RF-RBI technique with a 33 ms window respectively, for the alternating dorsiflexion and plantarflexion data. The mean Pearson correlation coefficients increased to 0.758 ± 0.218, and to 0.741 ± 0.271, for the random forest and neural net respectively when an optimal threshold was used to identify and remove spurious CAPs. An example of the difference in tracked firing rate with and without removing spurious CAPs can be seen in Fig. 7 for Rat 5.

Figure 8 shows the relationship between the ankle angle, tripolar nerve cuff recordings and the reconstructed firing rates from Rat 6.

**Discussion**

The classification accuracies and firing rate reconstructions achieved in this study support the feasibility of CAP-based classification from multi-contact nerve cuff electrodes. However, further work is needed to improve the selectivity before robust and reliable CAP-based classification is achievable. Key factors determining the performance limitations of this method are discussed below.

The difference in the conduction velocities of the pathways being monitored is likely to have an influence on classification performance. In our previous simulation study, we examined the selectivity achievable with spatiotemporal templates, and found that this method could outperform beamforming and VSR methods for CAP-based classification. The performance improvement was greatest when the neural pathways were located in different fascicles and had different conduction velocities. In the present study, the experimental protocol activated neural pathways located in different fascicles and having fibers of similar conduction velocities (70.06 ± 12.26, and 71.93 ± 16.96 m/s for the tibial and peroneal fascicle for the dorsiflexion and plantarflexion proprioceptive stimuli respectively, and 56.79 ± 10.34 m/s for the sural fascicle for the touch stimuli).

It was therefore not possible to directly examine how significant differences in conduction velocities would impact the classification. On the other hand, the in vivo results are close to the previous simulation results for the case of similar fiber types in different fascicles (F1-scores of 0.446 ± 0.157 in the in vivo results here vs. 0.412 ± 0.021 in the closest matching case in5, using an estimated SNR of −10 dB). This comparison validates our previous simulations. It is therefore reasonable to conjecture that the performance in vivo would increase when attempting to distinguish pathways with more distinct conduction velocities, as suggested by the simulation results in5.
Multiple aspects of our results point to SNR as the major contributor to the classification performance. The classification results in Figs 4 and 5 compare the discrimination potential of the different CAP-based classifiers to the RF-RBI approach. The classifiers show adequate discriminability while the RF-RBI approach was able to
provide high mean F1-scores as the bin size increases. These findings are consistent with studies that use RBI for preprocessing before classifying or analyzing different activities/stimulus\(^{35–37,46,47}\). The improvements in SNR obtained from the RBI process are clearly beneficial for discrimination, as expected. These improvements come

**Figure 6.** Mean F1-score vs SNR improvements relative to SNR observed in vivo. Note that * refers to p < 0.05, *** refers to p < 0.001.

**Figure 7.** (a) Estimated firing rates using the neural net classifier on CAPs detected by the automatic thresholding method\(^{43}\). Mean F1-score = 0.686. (b) Estimated firing rates using the neural net classifier on CAPs detected by the automatic thresholding method followed by removal of spurious CAPs using an optimal threshold. Mean F1-score = 0.7829. Both plots represent the reconstructed firing rate of Rat 5.
at a cost of decreased temporal resolution and potentially greater challenges in discriminating pathways with activity that overlap in time.

The impact of SNR is shown more directly through Fig. 6. As the SNR increases, the mean F1-scores also increases which is most notably seen with the neural net classifier. The mean F1-scores increased from ±0.539 ±0.138 at SNR observed in vivo to ±0.725 ±0.150 from an increase in SNR by a factor of 2 (average of 4 CAPs). Recall that the F1-score reported in Fig. 4 for the SNR observed in vivo was computed using classifiers trained without data augmentation, in order to solely quantify the effects of SNR on F1-score. Hence, the performance shown for this SNR in Fig. 6 is slightly lower than that shown in Fig. 4, where data augmentation was used. The results shown in Fig. 6 suggest that CAP-based classification from multi-contact nerve electrodes will be viable if low-noise recordings can be obtained, for example using hardware averaging.

In this study, certain factors were not optimized that may have contributed to a lower SNR. SNR could have been improved by adjusting the electrode surface contact with the nerve (the same electrode dimensions were used for all rats), by using different surgical techniques (e.g. by suturing closed the incision point), and by minimizing noise from cables and instrumentation as well as shielding of the data acquisition equipment. All of these different factors could contribute to improved classification leading to greater discriminability of neural pathways.

Ultimately, the accurate classification of individual CAPs is of interest insofar as it can be used to estimate the firing patterns of the target pathways. Therefore, occasional CAP-based misclassifications are not worrisome if they do not have a large impact on the firing rate estimates.

The Pearson correlation coefficients found when the threshold (Eq. 1) was used showed robust tracking of one of the 2 activities (dorsiflexion or plantarflexion). The other activity’s firing rate was not as reliably tracked. The Pearson correlation coefficients increased when spurious CAPs were removed using a threshold on the outputted probabilities and allowed for both activities to be tracked more robustly. This suggests that reliable detection of CAPs before classification also plays an important role in the ability to track firing rates.

Thus, the firing rate reconstruction appears to be determined by two factors. Firstly, the classification accuracy, which determines how well each CAP can be assigned to the correct neural pathway. Secondly, the CAP detection which reflects how well CAPs can be extracted from the noise. If CAPs from a given pathway cannot be reliably detected, the reconstructed firing rate for that pathway will be biased. Based on these observations, the use of a reliable CAP detection algorithm will be crucial to the viability of a CAP-based classification approach. It is important to note that the use of a delay-and-add or related operation can be of significant help in this regard, such that CAPs do not need to be detected directly in the noisy raw nerve cuff recordings.

The relationship illustrated in Fig. 7 provides additional evidence that the reconstructed firing rates are related to the proprioceptive activity, and thus are encoding functional information. While inaccuracies remain (particularly for plantarflexion in this example), these are in line with the classification performance found in the rest of the analysis. Joint angle prediction from the firing rates is of interest in closed-loop functional neuromuscular stimulation systems and other neuromusculoskeletal applications, though outside the scope of this study.

Both machine learning approaches were found to outperform the matched filter approach on the individual CAP classification tasks. The matched filter approach uses a template obtained by averaging multiple CAPs, and correlates this template with an unknown signal. The template can be affected by spurious CAPs resulting in lower classification accuracy. In addition, the templates for neural pathways of similar fiber types may have only subtle differences, difficult to detect by this algorithm. The machine learning approaches on the other hand were trained directly on individual CAP examples, and are able to emphasize discriminating features more effectively than the matched filter. The RF-RBI algorithm provided the best classification results likely due to the RBI windowing.
technique, which greatly enhances the SNR. However, this comes at the cost of temporal resolution, which is an advantage of the CAP-based approach.

While the present work demonstrates the possibility of CAP-based classification, several factors may have affected the results in this in vivo study. Factors such as the positioning of the cuff electrode, the size of the nerve, or the amount of electrode surface contact on the nerve (nerve too small or large for the electrode) must be studied more closely to quantify their effects on the classification results. The manual delivery of the stimulus may have also introduced variability in the data set. Furthermore, being an acute study, electromyogram (EMG) interference from muscles are not seen in the recordings, and long-term changes in the recordings over time are not reflected. A chronic study must be performed where these effects can be studied in order to further assess the feasibility of this CAP-based classification approach.

The CAP labels used as the ground truth were obtained from the synchronized video data showing the stimulus applications, rather than from direct neural recordings in the tibial, peroneal and sural fascicles. Although much care was taken to selectively activate each fascicle (e.g. dorsiflexion using clip on the claws to avoid cutaneous input), there is a possibility that there was contamination within each activity. Labeling of dorsiflexion and plantarflexion activity may have also contained some mislabeled CAPs, which would introduce some inaccuracies. CAPs were labelled as dorsiflexion or plantarflexion based on the position of the ankle with respect to the neutral angle in the video recording, rather than based on the direction of movement. However, as seen in 41, neural activity from the return to neutral position from full dorsi- or plantar- flexion produces little neural activity in the unintended pathway. In addition, to verify that labelling was appropriate, the performance for 2 rats in which CAPs were labeled by direction of movement rather than position were compared to the results reported above and the classification accuracy did not increase (2-class CAP-based classification with the neural network, results not shown). Lastly, the high performance of the RF-RBI method demonstrates that good class separability exists within our dataset. Therefore, while the metrics reported in this study may be slightly affected by the factors describe above, we do not expect that the overall trends observed would change.

F1-score was used as the main metric of performance because it takes into account class imbalance, which is not considered when using classification accuracy. The F1-score may not be ideal in some applications where precision or recall is more important, since the F1-score weighs these metrics equally.

In practice, the need for calibration would be a disadvantage requiring acquisition of the CAP spatiotemporal signatures of pathways of interest and training of the classifiers. This tradeoff is not unreasonable if CAP-based classification is successful, which would result in the ability to reconstruct firing rates at finer time resolutions and improvement in selective recording performance in situations where multiple neural pathways are active over a given period of time.

This study suggests that classification of individual, naturally evoked CAPS using multi-contact nerve cuffs is possible. Further refinements to the classification algorithms, combined with improvements to the SNR and CAP detection, could likely yield robust discrimination performance and improved tracking of neuronal firing rates. This improvement could lead to precise control signals for assistive devices that are able to produce more natural movements, and for more efficient neuromodulation systems.

Data Availability
The dataset collected and analysed during this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.
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