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**ABSTRACT:** MoS$_2$ materials have been extensively studied as hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) catalysts. In this study nanoparticulate MoS$_2$ is explored as a HER catalyst through impact voltammetry. The onset potential was found to be $-0.10 \text{ V (vs RHE)}$ at pH 2, which was confirmed to be due to HER by scale-up of the impact experiment to generate and collect a sufficient volume of the gas to enable its identification as hydrogen via gas chromatography. This is in contrast to electrodeposited MoS$_2$, which was found to be stable in pH 2 sulfuric acid solution with an onset potential of $-0.29 \text{ V (vs RHE)}$, in good agreement with literature. XPS was used to categorize the materials and confirm the chemical composition of both nanoparticles and electrodeposits, with XRD used to analyze the crystal structure of the nanoparticles. The early onset of HER was postulated from kinetic analysis to be due to the presence of nanoplatelets of about 1–3 trilayers participating in the impact reactions, and AFM imaging confirmed the presence of these platelets.

**INTRODUCTION**

The need for alternative electrocatalyst materials is growing due to the increased demand for clean or carbon-free energy generation. These catalysts will play a major role in energy storage, generation, and other catalytic processes, such as water-splitting to produce hydrogen. Platnum-based catalysts are ideal for these reactions, but their commercial viability is hindered by their proclivity to poisoning and high costs. This has resulted in the widespread interest in transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), especially molybdenum disulfide (MoS$_2$), as economical and efficient electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. MoS$_2$ is a 2D crystalline compound with a hexagonal trilayered structure, with van der Waals interactions between the individual trilayers and a range of applications in energy storage, semiconductors, biomedicines, and electrocatalysis.

Since the edge sites of MoS$_2$ are catalytically active and the basal sites are inert, recent research has been focused on how to either create more active edge sites of MoS$_2$ or improve the activity of the basal planes. Of the former, chemical strategies such as doping, or structural properties such as crystallinity and nanostructuring have been investigated in an effort to expose a higher number of active edge sites. To achieve these structures, energy intensive techniques are often required such as atomic layer deposition, chemical vapor deposition and hydrothermal methods. Electrochemical methods such as electrodeposition have also been reported to produce MoS$_2$ with increased catalytic properties. The nanoscale forms of MoS$_2$ have been gaining more recognition due to a greater surface area and a larger percentage of exposed active edge sites. Studies have shown an improved catalytic activity toward HER for nanostructured forms of molybdenum disulfide as compared to its bulk crystalline form.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations have shown that the edge sites of nanoparticulate MoS$_2$ are active for HER, and the smaller the particle size, the lower the overpotential for HER due to the number of trilayers in the MoS$_2$ structure. Several studies have indicated that a decrease in the number of trilayers of MoS$_2$ results in an increase in the rate of the hydrogen evolution reaction. MoS$_2$ with few numbers of trilayers exhibits faster electron transport kinetics as a result of the narrow tunnelling barrier in comparison to the bulk form. The combined effect of large surface area and reduced number of trilayers (faster kinetics) of MoS$_2$ nanoparticles demonstrates their potential as electrocatalysts for HER.

To fully explore the catalytic properties of nanoparticulate MoS$_2$, impact electrochemistry was used. The technique focuses on single nanoparticles colliding with an electrode surface, which may result in electron transfer provided that the applied potential and choice of materials are suitable for a
reaction to occur. In terms of the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), when the nanoparticle collides with the electrode surface held at a sufficiently negative potential, it can catalyze the reduction of protons, generating a current from which information such as reaction kinetics can be deduced. Impact electrochemistry makes it possible to monitor and analyze reactions at individual nanoparticles, potentially removing the complications of mass transport that can arise when using nanoparticles in bulk assemblies that cause difficulty in determining the true catalytic activity.

In this study, we investigate the amorphous film and nanoparticle forms of MoS₃ and their catalytic effect on the HER, as two different structural morphologies of the material. Electrochemical deposition was carried out to produce the bulk amorphous MoS₃ and its catalytic effect on the HER and its stability was tested through linear sweep voltammetry. XPS was used to characterize the films and nanoparticles to confirm their composition. Nanoparticle impact voltammetry was conducted stepwise across a range of potentials, from the nonactive region (at positive overpotentials) to the active region (at negative overpotentials). The frequency of impact events was recorded, and the transient signal analyzed to elucidate kinetic information. Tafel analysis of this data and modeling of kinetic behavior was compared to gain further insight into the HER kinetics due to the different catalyst structures. The nanoparticle impact study was extended to explore the hydrogen producing capabilities of the nanoparticles via bulk electrolysis, using gas chromatography to confirm the earlier onset was due to the HER.

### EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

The following chemicals were purchased commercially and used without further purification: ammonium tetrathiomolybdate (>99%, Sigma-Aldrich), molybdenum(IV) sulfide nanoparticles (90 nm, 99% trace metal basis, Sigma-Aldrich), potassium sulfate (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium perchlorate (>98%, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (97%, Alfa Aesar), potassium chloride (99.0–100%, Alfa Aesar), hydrochloric acid (37%, Honeywell), perchloric acid (60%, Fisher Scientific), and sulfuric acid (98%, Acros Organics). All solutions were made using ultrapure water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ (>98%, Sigma-Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (97%, Alfa Aesar), potassium sulfate (99.0%, Sigma-Aldrich), and sulfuric acid (98%, Acros Organics). All voltammetric experiments were performed using a standard three-electrode cell consisting of a saturated Ag/AgCl reference (IJ Cambria Ltd.), a variety of carbon working electrodes, and a graphite rod (Goodfellow Cambridge Ltd.) embedded in epoxy resin (RS Components), while the 3 μm electrode was purchased (IJ Cambria Scientific Ltd.).

Cyclic voltammetry and “bulk” electrolysis experiments were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostat running Nova 2.1 software. Chronoamperometric particle-impact measurements used a bespoke low-noise potentiostat equipped with a high-speed variable-gain low-noise current amplifier (DHPCA-100, femto.de) controlled by PyFemto 0.8 software. A sampling rate of 10⁷ s⁻¹ was achieved by the potentiostat due to the amplifier bandwidth of 220 kHz combined with a rise time of 1.6 μs at the operating gain of 10⁴, and a data acquisition card (NI-6003, National Instruments, bandwidth 300 kHz). The nanoparticle impact scans produce very low current signals (in the nA range) due to the impacts thus requiring a low-noise potentiostat to minimize the effects of external noise from the scan. A 250 Hz digital filter was applied to the chronoamperometry scans through PyFemto software to aid in noise reduction for the purposes of identifying impact signals, while the raw data was preserved for analysis. For impact experiments, the reference electrode was placed in a stirred compartment to avoid contamination. Analysis was conducted using OriginPro 2022 and Excel. Electrochemical simulation was performed using both DigiElch v8 (www.elchsoft.com) and a previously reported program written specifically for micro (and smaller) electrode voltammetry.

Characterization of the MoS₃ samples was performed by scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive spectroscopy (SEM-EDS) using a Hitachi TM3030 tabletop electron microscope. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted using a Kratos Axis Ultra with a monochromated Al Kα X-ray source (1486.5 eV) operated at 10 mA emission current and 12 kV anode potential (120 W). A wide scan was conducted at low resolution (binding energy range 1400 to −5 eV, with a pass energy of 80 eV, step 0.5 eV, and sweep time 20 min). High resolution spectra were also carried out for photoelectron peaks from the detected elements at pass energy 20 eV, step of 0.1 eV, and sweep times of 10 min each. The spectra were charge corrected to the C 1s peak ( adventitious carbon) set to 285 eV.

X-ray diffraction characterization was conducted on the nanoparticle sample. The samples were run on the PANalytical Empyrean X-ray diffractometer, which has a Cu source, and a Pixel Medipix 3D detector, with the current setup of the instrument in reflection mode. Gas identification was achieved using a Shimadzu GC2014 gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). A gas syringe was used to collect gas produced from the reaction cell and then injected into the gas chromatograph. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas.

Surface topography images were recorded by Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) using a Flex AFM (Nanosurf AG, Switzerland) operated in phase contrast (tapping) mode. Imaging was carried out using Tap150DLC cantilevers (BudgetSensors, Bulgaria). These are soft tapping mode cantilevers with a diamond-like carbon tip coating and a 15 nm nominal tip radius. For the AFM measurements, particles were deposited by dropcasting onto a freshly cleaved mica sheet (Agar Scientific Ltd., U.K.). The mica substrate was placed on the sample stage of an IX73 inverted microscope (Olympus, Japan). The cantilever was positioned away from large, aggregated particles visible under the microscope. Images
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were recorded over a 5 \( \mu m^2 \) area with 512 points recorded per line. Images were recorded with a smaller scan size in areas of interest. Image analysis was carried out using Gwyddion (v2.58, http://gwyddion.net/) to align the rows in each image. Particle sizes were quantified by taking a cross section of the height profile from the flat mica surface to the peak of each particle.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Electrodeposition and Characterization of Amorphous MoS\(_2\)

The hydrogen evolution reaction was investigated using MoS\(_2\) as the electrocatalyst in two different structural forms, that is as electrodeposited amorphous and nanoparticles, in order to compare performance and kinetics.

To establish a baseline of performance and to guide potentials of interest for the impact electrochemistry study electrodeposited MoS\(_2\) was studied. The electrochemical deposition of MoS\(_2\) onto a glassy carbon electrode was carried out via cyclic voltammetry in a solution of 2 mM \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{MoS}_4\) and 0.1 M NaClO\(_4\) between 0.6 V to −0.9 V (vs RHE) at a voltage scan rate of 50 mV s\(^{-1}\) for 50 cycles. The resulting voltammograms (see Supporting Information, S1) exhibited the expected broad oxidation and reductive peaks at −0.1 V and −0.6 V (vs RHE), respectively, due to the following redox processes:\(^{17,21}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MoS}_4^{2-} &\rightarrow \text{MoS}_3^+ + 1/8\text{S}_8^2 + 2e^- \\
\text{MoS}_4^{2-} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- &\rightarrow \text{MoS}_2^- + 2\text{HS}^- + 2\text{OH}^- 
\end{align*}
\]

Characterization of the modified MoS\(_2\)/GC electrode and nanoparticle samples by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy confirmed the presence of both Mo and S in both samples. High resolution XPS spectra of the S 2p and Mo 3d (see Figure 1A, indicates the presence of Mo\(^{4+}\) by the peaks at 228.8 and 232.6 eV, and Mo\(^{6+}\) is indicated by the peaks at 232.5 and 235.6 eV, with the spin–orbit splitting of about 3.8 and 3.1 eV, respectively.\(^{25,46}\) The Mo(VI) is believed to be due to the presence of MoO\(_3\), and this is corroborated by the detection of a significant amount of oxygen (O 1s) in the sample. Ambrosi and Pumera also detected the Mo peak at ≈236 eV which they assigned to the Mo(VI) oxide species because of the presence of significant amounts of oxygen in the XPS spectra.\(^{25}\)

The detection of a doublet peak for the S 2p signal indicates that the sulfur components present are S\(^{2-}\) and S\(^{2-}\).\(^{47}\) Detection of the S\(^{2-}\) species suggests the presence of MoS\(_3\) in the sample, which agrees with past structural studies that discovered the S\(^{2-}\) species and gave the formal composition as Mo\(^{IV}\)(S\(^{2-}\))(S\(^{2-}\)).\(^{48}\) The formation of MoS\(_2\) via cyclic voltammetry (involving both cathodic and anodic potentials) creates a mixed composition film of MoS\(_2\) and MoS\(_3\).\(^{17,25}\) Accounting for the presence of Mo oxides, the Mo/S ratio obtained for the deposited layer resulted in a ratio of 1:2.2 from the wide spectra. XPS analysis of the nanoparticle sample, shown in Figure 1C,D, shows a reduction in the Mo oxide peak as compared to the electrodeposited sample thereby indicating a greater proportion of Mo\(^{4+}\).

The electrodeposited MoS\(_2\)-modified electrode was then used to study the HER using linear sweep voltammetry at a range of pH values (see Figure 2 and Supporting Information, S2), confirming that the deposit varying stability and HER activity according to specific pH regions, as reported in the literature.\(^{21}\) The acidic region (0 ≤ pH < 4), acidic to neutral (4 ≤ pH < 7) and neutral to alkaline (7 ≤ pH ≤ 10) regions showed different HER mechanisms due to differences in the predominant HER active sites at the electrodeposited MoS\(_2\) surface within each region.\(^{24}\) Linear sweep voltammetry across a range of solution pHs was used to investigate HER (see Figure 2).

A solution of pH 2 was selected for study because the MoS\(_2\) degradation is lower at this pH compared to pH > 3 (see
Figure 2. LSVs recorded at a voltage scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹ in different pH solutions using a GC working electrode modified with electrodeposited MoS₂. The solutions contained sulfuric acid and sodium hydroxide of varying concentrations to achieve the pH required, along with 0.49 M of K₂SO₄ as supporting electrolyte.

To obtain data for kinetic analysis HER experiments, LSV measurements from 0.2 to −0.8 V (vs RHE) in a pH 2 solution of 0.01 M H₂SO₄ and 0.49 M K₂SO₄ at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹, were conducted on an MoS₂-modified (electrodeposited) carbon fiber microelectrode (shown in Figure 3 below). Electrochemical deposition was carried out on the carbon fiber microelectrode (33 μm diameter) under the same conditions as for the electrodeposition on the GC electrode.

The MoS₂-modified microelectrode scans were then analyzed to extract kinetic information. The Tafel slope for the electrodeposited MoS₂ was found to be 45 mV dec⁻¹ with a transfer coefficient of 0.64 (see Supporting Information). An automated waveshape fitting was then performed using DigiElch software using a formal potential of −0.12 V (vs RHE) and a diffusion coefficient of 9.6 × 10⁻⁶ cm² s⁻¹.²⁴ Grid parameters were varied in each coordinate to ensure the simulation result was independent of them (i.e., converged). This resulted in a standard electrochemical rate constant of (3.17 ± 0.3) × 10⁻⁵ cm s⁻¹ and a transfer coefficient of α = 0.67 ± 0.02, which is in excellent agreement with the value derived from Tafel analysis. A minimum of five voltammograms were used, and Figure 3B illustrates an example of the best-fit plots from the simulations.

The HER reaction mechanism (Table 1) is believed to involve a two-step process which may be restricted by any of the rate-determining steps below:⁴⁹⁻⁵¹

| step         | equation | Tafel slope (mV dec⁻¹) |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------|
| Volmer       | H₂O⁺ + e⁻ → H₂ + H₂O | 120                   |
| Heyrovsky    | H⁺ + H₂O⁺ + e⁻ → H₂ + H₂O | 40                    |
| Tafel        | H⁺ + H₂ → H₂         | 30                    |

*Data from refs 48–50.

The calculated Tafel slope value of about 45 mV dec⁻¹ for the MoS₂-modified electrode suggests that the Heyrovsky step is rate determining and falls within the literature range of Tafel slope values (40–50 mV dec⁻¹) reported for MoS₂ as an electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction.¹⁷,⁵²,⁵³

HER at MoS₂ Particles via Impact Voltammetry. Particle-impact electrochemistry was conducted with MoS₂ nanoparticles in pH 2 sulfuric acid solution (10 mM H₂SO₄ and 0.49 M K₂SO₄), using chronoamperometry at a range of potentials of 0.3 to −0.6 V (vs RHE) for 30 s duration using the low-noise potentiostat. Control experiments without nanoparticles were conducted at all potentials to confirm the absence of current transient signals, and no transient "spikes" were detected in these scans (see Supporting Information, S3).

Next, analogous chronoamperograms were recorded using an identical solution containing 100 pM of MoS₂ nanoparticles. Reductive spikes were observed in chronoamperograms at potentials at (and more negative than) −0.10 V versus RHE. Figure 4 shows some typical current−time traces recorded with MoS₂ nanoparticles for potentials −0.25 and −0.50 V (vs RHE). The spikes are due to the nanoparticles striking the surface of the working electrode at a sufficient overpotential at which the reduction of protons occurs at the MoS₂ particle surface.²⁵

Figure 5 highlights the onset potential of MoS₂ for the HER impacts, shown in both impact signal frequency and average charge per impact, and was found to be −0.10 V versus RHE. This is significantly different to the onset potential at the electrodeposited MoS₂ (−0.29 V vs RHE).

Table 1. HER Mechanism

![Figure 3](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c06055)

**Figure 3.** (A) LSV scan of the HER due to MoS₂ electrodeposited on a carbon fiber microelectrode. (B) Experimental data (−) and best-fit plot of the waveshape fitting simulation (red circles) using DigiElch software.
To investigate the shift in onset potential between the electrodeposited and nanoparticle impacts, HER experiments were conducted using dropcast nanoparticles on the glassy carbon electrode. A 100 pM suspension of MoS$_2$ nanoparticles was made using ultrapure water and an aliquot of 10 μL was drop-cast onto a glassy carbon electrode and left to dry under a light source. The resulting coverage was sufficiently high to ensure planar diffusion to the NP-modified surface (average particle separation of 0.12 μm compared to approximate diffusion length >200 μm). This nanoparticle modified electrode was then used for HER in a solution of 0.01 M H$_2$SO$_4$ and 0.49 M K$_2$SO$_4$ at a scan rate of 20 mV s$^{-1}$. Figure 6B shows the resulting voltammogram, indicating an onset for hydrogen evolution of about −0.49 V (vs RHE) compared to electrodeposited MoS$_2$ (−0.29 V vs RHE) and the MoS$_2$ nanoimpacts (−0.10 V vs RHE), which is within the range reported in literature of −0.30 to −0.8 V (vs RHE, when adjusted for pH and reference electrode).\(^{24,54,55}\) (Note here that the lower overpotential of the electrodeposited MoS$_2$ compared with the dropcast MoS$_2$ is due to the different surface moieties, structure, and activity of these two forms.\(^{24}\))

Since the onset of HER for the dropcast MoS$_2$ NPs may be expected to be the approximately the same as that recorded for the same NPs using the impact technique, the nanoimpacts were analyzed to gain kinetic information from the peak currents (shown on Figure 6c). In conducting the analysis, care...
have been well-documented. Transient current signals detected during impact experiments was required since the effects of electronic filtering on the transient current signals detected during impact experiments have been well-documented.\textsuperscript{56,57} These effects can significantly distort the resulting data, and as such only minimal filtering was applied to the data for analysis\textsuperscript{40} (here minimal filtering refers to only that inherent in the amplifier/DAC electronics, and no additional digital filtering). The nanoparticle spike currents were obtained from the unfiltered data and plotted versus potential to form an approximate voltammogram (Figure 6c). Notwithstanding the approximate nature of interpreting the spike-derived voltammogram,\textsuperscript{40,56,57} based on the known formal potential of \(-0.12\) V (vs RHE) and \(\alpha = 0.65\) (taken as an average of the value determined from above), a range of \(k_0\) values have been simulated in Figure 7.\textsuperscript{43} The modeling of this data is necessarily approximate: the layered structure where thickness is likely to be the smallest dimension has been treated as a disc\textsubscript{c}, and effective radius has been fitted as a variable, since the size of the nanoflake fragments in solution cannot be known.

The particularly large values for the standard electrochemical rate constant are within the range reported by McKelvey et al.,\textsuperscript{27,30,38} which we ascribe to partial exfoliation of the commercial MoS\(_2\) particles during the sample preparation involving dispersion in water via ultrasonication, given widespread literature reports on the use of ultrasound for exfoliating TMDs and other layered materials.\textsuperscript{59–61}

We therefore postulate that the HER onset at \(-0.10\) V (vs RHE) due to these few trilayer particles may be present in the dropcast voltammetry (due to similar preparation of the NP suspension) but are not visible on the current scale of the experiment due to the greater capacitance of the GC macroelectrode, hence the apparent onset of HER appears at greater overpotentials than either the NP impact or electrodeposited MoS\(_2\) results.

The varying degrees of exfoliation caused by the sonication of the MoS\(_2\) NP suspension will have resulted in a wide distribution of particle sizes, ranging from 1 to 2 trilayer nanoflakes to complete 90 nm particles. The rates of diffusion of these particles, and hence the frequency of impacts under diffusion-only conditions, are expected to be inversely proportional to their size (via the Stokes–Einstein equation) as well as influenced by their shape (i.e., spherical, ellipsoidal, etc.).\textsuperscript{62} Hints of these effects may be seen in Figure 5, where the impact frequency increases from the onset potential (\(-0.10\) V vs RHE) before slightly decaying past an approximate maximum around \(-0.2\) to \(-0.3\) V (vs RHE, notwithstanding the error bars): this trend could result from a particle size distribution where the relative occurrence of small, rapidly diffusing, fragments is low, increasing to more abundant, larger 90 nm particles, which diffuse more than 10 times slower. The impacts due to larger particles, with slower kinetics and an HER onset of about \(-0.49\) V (vs RHE) are not noticeable in Figures 6c and 7 due to the relatively low number of impacts analyzed \((n = 10–12)\) for each potential more negative than \(-0.49\) V (vs RHE).

To probe this hypothesis, a rotating disk electrode (RDE) was used in the NP suspension containing 0.01 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 0.49 M K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) to record a voltammogram during rotation. In this way the high rates of convection due to the RDE would minimize capacitative charging currents, transport the different sizes of fragments at a more uniform rate than diffusion alone, and the HER kinetics (which become slower as the number of trilayers in the fragments increase) may manifest itself in
different apparent onset potentials if the size distribution of MoS$_2$ fragments was unequal. Figure 8 shows the resulting linear sweep voltammogram, recorded at a rotation speed of 1600 rpm, where three onsets appear to be present: at approximately $-0.10$, $-0.25$, and $-0.50$ V (vs RHE).

To confirm the presence of few trilayer platelets of MoS$_2$, atom force micrographs were recorded for a deposit of the ultrasonicated MoS$_2$ NPs, deposited onto a freshly cleaved mica surface. A wide range of particle sizes were observed (see Supporting Information for further images), and Figure 9 shows an area of deposit displaying smaller NP fragments. The smallest NPs observed had an approximate height of about 0.6–0.7 nm with the next smallest a factor of 2 larger, around 1.3–1.4 nm. This is in excellent agreement with literature values for the thickness of a trilayer of MoS$_2$ of 0.615 and 0.67 nm for bulk MoS$_2$ and single nanosheets, respectively, and confirms the interpretation of the kinetic analysis of the electrochemical impacts.

**Hydrogen Production.** To verify that impact signals detected at potentials negative of $-0.10$ V (vs RHE) were due to hydrogen evolution, the three-electrode cell was scaled-up and modified to capture any gases evolved for analysis and identification via gas chromatography (see Figure 10).

A graphite rod (6 mm diameter and 125 mm length) working electrode was used for an increased surface area for impacts to occur on, with a correspondingly larger graphite counter electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode which was housed in a separate fritted compartment. The volume of the nanoparticle suspension was increased to 500 mL and the concentration to 3.0 nM to ensure that a sufficient volume of gas for testing would be produced. Chronoamperometric measurements were then conducted at two potential values ($-0.40$ V and $-0.15$ V vs RHE) for 4 h for sufficient gas to be produced. The gas produced (0.9 mL by volume at $-0.15$ V and 2.1 mL at $-0.40$ V vs RHE) was collected in a gas syringe via a shut-off valve connector (see Figure 10) and injected into the gas chromatograph, which confirmed it to be hydrogen (see Figure 11). The Faradaic efficiency was calculated at these two potentials and found to be 45% and 48% for $-0.15$ and $-0.40$ V (vs RHE), respectively.

**CONCLUSION**

The impact electrochemistry of MoS$_2$ nanoparticles was studied in comparison with the voltammetry of both dropcast MoS$_2$ nanoparticles and electrodeposited MoS$_2$. Each was
found to have a different onset potential for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) in pH 2 sulfuric acid. The impact study revealed an onset potential of $-0.10$ V for HER, compared to $-0.49$ and $-0.29$ V (vs RHE) for the dropcast and electrodeposited MoS$_2$, respectively. Scale-up of the impact experiment confirmed the impact production of H$_2$ gas via gas chromatography. Analysis of the peak currents suggested that "few-trilayer" fragments were responsible for the low-overpotential for HER, with the apparent electrochemical rate constants for these 1–3 trilayer fragments in line with those reported by McKelvey et al. The apparent absence of the $-0.10$ V (vs RHE) onset in the dropcast experiment is ascribed to the ultralow currents being lost within capacitative currents of the diffusion-only voltammogram. This hypothesis was supported by an experiment using a rotating electrode within the NP-suspension which appeared to indicate that an onset of about $-0.10$ V (vs RHE) was present, and confirmed by AFM imaging showing the presence of NPs of heights of about 0.65 and 1.30 nm corresponding to 1 and 2 trilayers, in agreement with literature.
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