Photocatalytic degradation of sunfix yellow dye in aqueous ZnO suspension
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Abstract
The investigation of photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye in aqueous solution of sunfix yellow/ZnO suspension by sunlight was found to be time dependent UV-visible spectrum photodegradation. The absorption peak for sunfix yellow was found at 415.5 nm in visible region while the absorption of sunfix yellow dye shows disintegrate trend with time and finally disappeared with the increase in irradiation time. The optimum time for the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was found to be 150 minutes as well as 96.64% of sunfix yellow dye was degraded after 150 minutes. The effect of photocatalyst concentration on photodegradation rate of sunfix yellow dye solution was observed by different concentration range of ZnO from 0.1 to 0.8 g/100 mL with dye concentration 0.2 to 1.25 mg/100 mL at pH 2 to 11 of sunfix yellow dye solution. The maximum decrease in concentration of sunfix yellow dye solution was found for the 0.25 mg/100 mL during 150 minute of radiation. The optimum catalyst concentration was found for the 0.25 mg/100 mL during 150 minutes of radiation. The optimum pH for the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was found to be 10.

Keywords: Sunfix yellow, photodegradation, photocatalyst, zinc oxide and suspension

Introduction
In recent years the most momentous and eye-catching issue is environment pollution and ongoing increase in contamination due to the colossal development of extending industrialization [1]. In addition to other pollutants colored wastewater material known as dye is also one of the most dangerous and exceedingly hazardous pollutants released from various industries and causes serious environmental problem [2]. It has been estimated that in every year over 7×10^6 tons of dyestuff is produced from 10,000 commercially available dyes all over the world as well as 10-15% of the dyes used are lost with the dye processing which are discharged with the industry effluent [3]. Many of these dyes are non-biodegradable and carcinogenic too thus menace both ecosystem and aquatic lives leading to an enormous environmental damage [4]. Industry owners in third world countries (e.g. Bangladesh) are seem to be very reluctant to run effluent treatment plant (ETP) due to the high cost of chemicals required to biodegrade these dyes and poor monitoring authority. As a result, prior to discharge the wastewater there is no treatment involved in most of the cases and thus these dyes easily entered ecological system and change the environment pattern causing distractive nature around living things [5]. Therefore, finding an eco-friendly and cost effective way to decolorizing these dyes is now very much crucial and essential for us all. A huge number of different methods of physical, chemical and biological methods like adsorption, membrane process, coagulation had been applied to decolorized waste water dyes but these methods conclude some limitation too [6-7]. So as a part of continuous advancement about digging out an admirable way now a day’s photodegradation of dyes using different photocatalyst has become the most promising method being a potential solution to all those previous limitation [8]. Photocatalysts exerts some special advantages as they being non-toxic, stable and having excellent oxidative power [9]. With the rising use of photocatalyst a huge number of photocatalyst like ZnO, TiO_2, CdS etc. have been applied to clean up primary pollutant [10]. Nasr et al. (1996) utilized visible light induced degradation of the textile di azo dye Naphthol Blue Black (NBB) using TiO_2 semiconductor nanoparticles with the help of diffuse reflectance transient absorption and FTIR techniques and elucidate the mechanistic details of the dye
degradation obtaining a colorless naphthoquinone like end product \[13\]. Xu and Langford (2001) examined photodegradation of a textile dye \(X_iB\) using either UV \((\lambda \geq 320\) nm) or Visible light \((\lambda \geq 450\) nm) over three catalysts of highly adsorbive TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles in water and interestingly found that the relative activity among the catalysts was similar to that demonstrated in the photocatalytic reaction \[12\]. Styliki et al. (2003) studied the use of a solar light simulating source \((450W\) Xearc lamp) to degrade aqueous solutions of acid orange 7 in TiO\(_2\) (P25) suspensions and found that the dye adsorbs on TiO\(_2\) and undergoes a series of oxidation steps, which lead to decolorization and formation of a number of intermediates, mainly aromatic and aliphatic acids \[13\]. Sangchakr et al. (1995) studied photocatalytic degradation of sodium benzoate sulfate and its substituted compounds using 500 W super high pressure mercury lamp in TiO\(_2\) suspension which lead to identification of one major and two minor intermediate products; \(p\)-phenolsulfonic acid, hydroquinone and \(p\)-quinone \[14\]. Tanaka et al. (2000) studied photodegradation of seven azo dyes in TiO\(_2\) suspension under illumination by a 500 W super-high pressure mercury lamp and ended up with an indication that in the photocatalytic degradation of azo dyes proceeded through both oxidation and reduction \[15\]. Neppolian et al. (2002) utilized solar light to treat hazardous and toxic of textile wastewater using TiO\(_2\) photocatalyst containing \(4 \times 10^{-2}\) M dye was completely degraded in 24 h irradiation time and the interference of auxiliary chemicals such as sodium carbonate and sodium chloride on photocatalytic degradation was found to be substantially affected the photodegradation efficiency \[16\]. Silva and Faria (2003) investigated the photochemical and photocatalytic degradation of aqueous solutions of an azo dye, Solophenyl Green (SG) BLE 155%, by UV irradiation which is effective for low initial concentrations of dye as well as photocatalytic degradation was carried on TiO\(_2\) mixture with different activated carbons (AC) for higher concentration of dyes observing that the presence of the activated carbon enhanced the photo efficiency of the TiO\(_2\) photocatalyst \[17\]. Guillard et al. (2003) degraded anionic (Alizarin S (AS), azo-Methyl Red (MR), Congo Red (CR), Orange G (OG) and cationic (Methylene Blue (MB))) dyes either individually or in mixtures using UV irradiated TiO\(_2\) in suspension or supported on glass and rod and found high photocatalytic activities for TiO\(_2\) coated on glass by the sol-gel method \[18\]. Conventional techniques used for dye degradation are non-destructive in nature as they only convert the non-biodegradable pollutants into different type of sludge, giving rise to a new category of contamination, which requires additional treatment but during photocatalytic degradation of dyes, photocatalysts can completely degrade most of the non-biodegradable dyes along with other biodegradable dyes \[19-21\]. Sunfix yellow dye (reactive yellow) is also a dye that release from textile industry and contribute to the environmental problems. Therefore, sunfix yellow dye must be removed from the wastewater before discharging.

In this paper, we have maintained the limelight of our study on focusing the photocatalytic degradation of sunfix yellow dye in presence of ZnO photocatalyst and the effect of various parameters like pH of the medium, concentration of the sunfix yellow dye solution and the amount of ZnO photocatalyst on the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye.

**Materials and methods**

**Chemicals and instrumentation**

Sunfix yellow dye, zinc oxide (ZnO), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) were merchandise from chemical market in Bangladesh and were used in this study as received i.e. without any additional purification. The major apparatus used during the course of this study were electrical balance, oven, UV-visible spectrophotometer, pH meter and laboratory centrifuge machine. In addition, of these apparatus some exceeding glass and plastic apparatus like graduate pipette, volumetric flasks, bulk pipette, reagent bottles, funnel, and beaker were also used for measurements and data collection.

**Preparation of stock solution of sunfix yellow dye and zinc oxide (ZnO) suspension**

All the aqueous solutions were prepared with deionized water. The ZnO suspension was prepared by taking 2.0 g of ZnO in a 100 mL beaker and 5 mL of deionized water was added to it. The suspension was covered with white paper and kept overnight due to ensure the accurate reaction between ZnO and deionized water. Further dilutions were carried out by varying the amount of ZnO in same amount of deionized water when it was necessary. Stock solution of sunfix yellow dye was prepared by dissolving 1.0 g of sunfix yellow dye in 1000 mL of deionized water in flask, which made the concentration of the solution having molarity, \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) M. In case of the necessity of dilute solution, this stock solution was diluted by varying the amount of deionized water up to expected amount. The concentration ranges 0.2 mg/mL to 1.25 mg/mL were used for the measurements.

**Concentration measurement and calibration of sunfix yellow dye**

To calculate the concentration of the sample from each experiment, various concentrations of the natural dye solutions were prepared and the absorbance were measured using a UV-visible spectrophotometer over a range from 200 to 1100 nm, which leads to a calibration curve for the dye solution. The calibration curve for sunfix yellow dye solution over a range of concentration demonstrating that the absorbance of the sample increases with the increase in concentration. Concentration of the sample was then determined by using the Beer-Lambert equation as follows:

\[ \text{Absorbance} = \varepsilon \cdot C \cdot l \]

Where, \(\varepsilon = \text{Molar absorptivity or constant},\)

\(C = \text{Concentration of sample},\)

\(l = \text{Thickness of absorbing medium (1 cm)}\)

Therefore, Dye concentration \((\mu\text{mol/L}) = \text{absorbance}/\varepsilon\)

**Photocatalytic degradation of sunfix yellow dye**

The photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was carried out in the presence of sunlight. About 0.2 g of ZnO was mixed with 100 mL dye solution and the resultant solution was kept in the sunlight for photodegradation of the dye. The degradation time was monitored by using a stopwatch meanwhile a certain portion of the irradiated solution was taken in a small beaker after a definite time interval and the absorbance of the solution was measured by using UV-visible spectrophotometer.

**Determination of initial rate of degradation**

The initial rate of degradation is very much important for mechanistic investigation of a photodegradation reaction. The pseudo first order rate constant \((k' \text{ min}^{-1})\) was obtained from the slope of lnA vs. time plot according to following equation and the concentration of the dye solution \([Dye]\) was measured at different time.

\[ R_i = \frac{d[Dye]/dt = k' [Dye]}{0} \]

\[ R_i = k'A_i/ \varepsilon l (A = \varepsilon [Dye] l) \]
Here,
\[ R_i = \text{Initial rate (M}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}), \]
\[ A_0 = \text{Absorbance at } t=0, \]
\[ \varepsilon = \text{Molar absorbance coefficient (M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}), \]
and
\[ l = \text{Cell path length (1 cm)}. \]

The initial rate of degradation also can be measured from the slope of the tangent drawn at the initial absorbance of dye vs. time profile by assuming that all the fallen light is completely absorbed by the system.

Determination of the percent (%) of degradation

The percent (%) of degradation of sunfix yellow dye was determined by using the Beer-Lambert law for dilution solution as follows:

\[ A = \varepsilon [\text{Dye}] l \]

Since, \( \varepsilon \) and \( l \) are constant, then

\[ A_\infty [\text{Dye}] = A_\infty [\text{Dye}]_0 \]

Therefore, (%) of degradation = \( \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100 \)

Where, \( [\text{Dye}]_0 \) = Concentration of dye at time \( t=0 \),
\( [\text{Dye}] = \) Concentration of dye at time \( t \),
\( A_0 = \) Absorbance of dye at time \( t=0 \), and
\( A_t = \) Absorbance of dye at time \( t \).

Results and discussion

Absorption spectra of the sunfix yellow dye solutions

The absorption spectrum of sunfix yellow dye in aqueous solution was measured by a UV Spectrophotometer at 30 °C. The spectrum are given in Fig 1. The maximum wavelength \( (\lambda_{\text{max}}) \) of sunfix yellow was found to be 415.5 nm for pH 2-13.

Effect of pH on photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye by ZnO

pH of the solution is a vital parameter that exerts a huge effect on the photocatalytic degradation of a particular dye. Hence, the investigation of the photodegradation of the sunfix yellow dye in presence of 0.2 g ZnO as a photocatalyst was carried out at different pH range between 2 and 11 at a resolute dye concentration of 0.25 mg/mL. In case of altering the pH condition into acidic and alkaline medium, HCl and NaOH solution were added respectively.

Fig 1: UV spectrum of sunfix yellow dye in solution (pH 2-13).

Fig 2: Changes in absorbance with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different pH solution.
Fig 3: Changes in lnA with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different pH solution.

Fig 4: Changes in percent (%) of degradation with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different pH solution.

From (Table 1), it is evident that progressive values of pH leads to the cumulative increase in photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye up to 10 and a decline in the percent of photodegradation arising at a pH more than 10. The enhancement in photodegradation up to a pH value 10 can be clarified on the basis of the adsorption of sunfix yellow species on the ZnO photocatalyst and this improvement in photodegradation is due to the easy adsorption of the sunfix yellow dye on positively charged ZnO suspension. The diminish nature in photodegradation at higher pH (>10) can also be elucidate with the rate of adsorption of dye species on the photocatalyst suspension. In higher alkali medium, the degradation was not satisfactory because adsorption of anionic dye on similar type negatively charged photocatalyst. Therefore, the adsorptions of dye species get more resistance while adsorbing on photocatalyst suspension, which guided to a downfall in photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye. Hence, suitable alkaline condition (not more than 10) could be used for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye. However, the maximum photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye appeared at pH 10 was 96.64% which signify that a slightly higher pH is appreciable for the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye.

Table 1: Percent of photodegradation for the sunfix yellow dye over the different pH range.

| pH  | Percent (%) of degradation |
|-----|----------------------------|
| 2   | 78.32                      |
| 3   | 82.84                      |
| 4   | 85.32                      |
| 5   | 87.90                      |
| 6   | 89.21                      |
| 7   | 90.22                      |
| 8   | 90.94                      |
| 9   | 92.77                      |
| 10  | 96.64                      |
| 11  | 94.42                      |
Effect of initial dye concentration on photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye by ZnO

Initial dye concentration plays a significant role in the photocatalytic degradation of any dyes as the degradation of the dye also depends on the convenient availability of the dye species on the photocatalyst suspension. The impact of initial dye concentration on the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was investigated by varying the initial concentration of the sunfix yellow dye from 0.20 mg/mL to 1.25 mg/mL at constant amount of ZnO (0.2 g) and constant pH (10) of the suspension.

Fig 5: Changes in absorbance with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different initial dye concentration.

Fig 6: Changes in lnA with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different initial dye concentration.

Fig 7: Changes in percent (%) of degradation with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different initial dye concentration.
From (Table 2), demonstrated the percent (%) of the photodegradation with a decrement manner while adding up more dye in concentration. Finally, it started to go downward with further increasing of initial dye concentration. The maximum degradation of the dye was found to be at initial dye concentration of 0.20 mg/mL.

Table 2: Percent of photodegradation for the different concentration of sunfix yellow dye at the pH 10.

| Dye Concentration (mg/mL) | Percent (%) of Degradation |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.20                      | 98.58                       |
| 0.25                      | 96.64                       |
| 0.75                      | 90.09                       |
| 1.25                      | 89.05                       |

Effect of amount of ZnO on photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye by ZnO

Photocatalytic degradation reactions always accompany by the action of photocatalyst and therefore it has been investigated that, any photodegradation is directly related to the amount of photocatalyst. In this study, the photodegradation is directly related to the amount of ZnO suspension. The effect of amount of ZnO on the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was investigated by varying the amount of ZnO from 0.1 to 0.8 g at constant dye concentration 0.25 mg/mL and certain pH (10) of the suspension.

Fig 8: Changes in absorbance with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different amount of ZnO.

Fig 9: Changes in lnA with time (min) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye at different amount of ZnO.
The results showed (Table 3) that the percent (%) of photodegradation is increased with the increase of amount of ZnO as expected. The optimum amount of ZnO was found to be 0.8 g for the maximum photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye.

Table 3: Percent of photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye for the different amount of ZnO at the pH 10.

| Amount of ZnO (g) | Percent (%) of Degradation |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| 0.1              | 93.28                     |
| 0.2              | 96.64                     |
| 0.4              | 97.37                     |
| 0.6              | 98.17                     |
| 0.8              | 98.75                     |

Kinetics of degradation

Kinetic investigation is very vital for a certain reaction because kinetic investigation usually exhibits the dependency of the rate of the reaction on the concentration of reactants and other influencing parameter that should be carefully managed for the attainment of better result. The kinetic parameters like values of pseudo first order rate constant \(k_1\), initial rate constant \(R_i\) and \(R^2\) for photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye were determined in this study those are enrolled in (Table 4). The kinetic investigation of the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye reveals that the kinetic follow the pseudo first order kinetics.

Table 4: The Pseudo first order kinetics parameter for the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye.

| Parameter                        | Pseudo first order kinetics model |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                  | \(k_1\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | Initial rate, \(R_i \times 10^4\) (M\(^{-1}\)min\(^{-1}\)) | \(R^2\) |
| pH                              | Here, initial dye concentration (0.25 mg/mL) and amount of ZnO (0.2 g) are constant | | |
| 2                               | 0.009                          | 0.0022                          | 0.959 |
| 3                               | 0.011                          | 0.0027                          | 0.961 |
| 4                               | 0.014                          | 0.0035                          | 0.958 |
| 5                               | 0.016                          | 0.0040                          | 0.956 |
| 6                               | 0.019                          | 0.0047                          | 0.942 |
| 7                               | 0.021                          | 0.0052                          | 0.926 |
| 8                               | 0.023                          | 0.0057                          | 0.897 |
| 9                               | 0.024                          | 0.0060                          | 0.861 |
| 10                              | 0.027                          | 0.0067                          | 0.892 |
| 11                              | 0.025                          | 0.0062                          | 0.862 |

| Initial dye concentration (mg/mL) | Here, pH (10) and amount of ZnO (0.2 g) are constant | | |
| 0.20                             | 0.023                          | 0.0046                          | 0.911 |
| 0.25                             | 0.027                          | 0.0050                          | 0.891 |
| 0.75                             | 0.013                          | 0.0097                          | 0.846 |
| 1.25                             | 0.012                          | 0.0150                          | 0.801 |

| ZnO (g)                          | Here, pH (10) and initial dye concentration (0.25 mg/mL) are constant | | |
| 0.1                              | 0.016                          | 0.0040                          | 0.843 |
| 0.2                              | 0.027                          | 0.0050                          | 0.891 |
| 0.4                              | 0.029                          | 0.0055                          | 0.894 |
| 0.6                              | 0.031                          | 0.0057                          | 0.892 |
| 0.8                              | 0.033                          | 0.0065                          | 0.904 |

Conclusions

The photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye was studied in aqueous solutions using ZnO suspension as photocatalyst. The effect of pH on photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye in presence of ZnO photocatalyst was also investigated as photocatalytic degradations are always pH dependent as well
as like photoreactions always take place on the surface of photocatalyst. The optimum pH for the photodegradation of sunfix yellow dye in presence of ZnO photocatalyst was attained to be 10 as there was an enhanced trend of rising photodegradation. The optimum time was obtained to be 150 minutes as there was no degradation after this period of time assuming approximately whole dye concentration reduced due to degradation. The optimum amount of the photocatalyst was achieved to be 0.2 g ZnO suspension as the degradation was highest with this amount containing the optimum dye concentration 0.25 mg/mL of sunfix yellow dye having a photodegradation percentage of 96.64%. This result, thus explore that the photocatalytic degradation of sunfix yellow dye is favorable in alkaline media as well as it is a time dependent process, which can be regarded as an important aspects in removing dyes from wastewater discharged from a wide variety of industries.
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