Delirium in Children Undergoing Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation: A Multi-Institutional Point Prevalence Study
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Introduction: Delirium occurs frequently in adults undergoing hematopoietic cell transplantation, with significant associated morbidity. Little is known about the burden of delirium in children in the peri-transplant period. This study was designed to determine delirium rates, define risk factors (demographic and treatment related), and establish feasibility of multi-institutional bedside screening for delirium in children undergoing hematopoietic cell transplant.

Methods: This is a multi-institutional point prevalence study. All subjects were prospectively screened for delirium twice daily using the Cornell Assessment of Pediatric Delirium over a 10-day period. De-identified data, including basic demographics and daily characteristics, were extracted from the electronic medical record.

Results: Eleven North American institutions were included, 106 children were enrolled, and 883 hospital days were captured. Delirium screening was successfully completed on more than 98% of the study days. Forty-eight children (45%) developed delirium over the course of the 10-day study. Children were diagnosed with delirium on 161/883 study days, for an overall delirium rate of 18% per day. Higher delirium rates were noted in children <5 years old (aOR 0.41 for children over 5 years), and in association with specific medications (melatonin, steroids, and tacrolimus).
Delirium is a frequent complication of serious pediatric illness, with an incidence >25% in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) (1–3). Delirium is defined as an acute and fluctuating syndrome, and includes altered awareness and cognition. It occurs as a result of an underlying medical condition or as a side effect of treatment for that condition (4). Studies have shown that children diagnosed with delirium have increased short- and long-term morbidity, and even excess mortality (5–7). Modifiable iatrogenic risk factors have been identified for delirium in critically ill children, and changes in treatment approaches have led to lower delirium rates in the PICU (8–10).

Delirium is also a well-known complication of hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in adults, affecting >50% of patients in the 4 weeks after transplant (11, 12). In these adults, delirium is associated with increased mortality (both in-hospital and up to 5 years after discharge) and substantial morbidity, including increased use of opioid analgesics, increased hospital length of stay, and increased family and healthcare team distress. In HCT survivors, delirium has been associated with decreased performance status upon discharge, increased anxiety disorders, and effects on neurocognitive ability that persist at 1 year after discharge (13–16). As a result, units have adopted changes in approach to caring for adults after transplant, which have resulted in a decrease in delirium rates (17). However, little is known about the burden of delirium in children undergoing HCT. As a result of this critical knowledge gap, no similar changes have taken place in pediatric HCT programs (18).

Our objectives in this multi-institutional point prevalence study were (i) to determine delirium rates over a 10-day period in children undergoing HCT, (ii) to explore risk factors (both demographic and treatment related) associated with the diagnosis of delirium, and (iii) to establish the feasibility of multi-institutional bedside screening for delirium in children undergoing HCT. We hypothesized that delirium rates would exceed 25% in these high-risk children. We further hypothesized that prescribing characteristics (for blood products and medication categories) would differ significantly between patients with and without delirium, and prescribing practices would also vary substantially among participating sites; this could present a possible opportunity to modify practices. Additionally, we hypothesized that delirium screening would be feasible in all units, with a daily screen completion rate of >80%.

Conclusion: Delirium was a frequent occurrence in our study cohort, with identifiable risk factors. Delirium screening is highly feasible in the pediatric hematopoietic cell transplant patient population. A large-scale prospective longitudinal study following children throughout their transplant course is urgently needed to fully describe the epidemiology of pediatric delirium, explore the effects of delirium on patient outcomes, and establish guidelines to prevent and treat delirium in the peri-transplant period.
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INTRODUCTION

Delirium is a frequent complication of serious pediatric illness, with an incidence >25% in the pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) (1–3). Delirium is defined as an acute and fluctuating syndrome, and includes altered awareness and cognition. It occurs as a result of an underlying medical condition or as a side effect of treatment for that condition (4). Studies have shown that children diagnosed with delirium have increased short- and long-term morbidity, and even excess mortality (5–7). Modifiable iatrogenic risk factors have been identified for delirium in critically ill children, and changes in treatment approaches have led to lower delirium rates in the PICU (8–10).

Delirium is also a well-known complication of hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) in adults, affecting >50% of patients in the 4 weeks after transplant (11, 12). In these adults, delirium is associated with increased mortality (both in-hospital and up to 5 years after discharge) and substantial morbidity, including increased use of opioid analgesics, increased hospital length of stay, and increased family and healthcare team distress. In HCT survivors, delirium has been associated with decreased performance status upon discharge, increased anxiety disorders, and effects on neurocognitive ability that persist at 1 year after discharge (13–16). As a result, units have adopted changes in approach to caring for adults after transplant, which have resulted in a decrease in delirium rates (17). However, little is known about the burden of delirium in children undergoing HCT. As a result of this critical knowledge gap, no similar changes have taken place in pediatric HCT programs (18).

Our objectives in this multi-institutional point prevalence study were (i) to determine delirium rates over a 10-day period in children undergoing HCT, (ii) to explore risk factors (both demographic and treatment related) associated with the diagnosis of delirium, and (iii) to establish the feasibility of multi-institutional bedside screening for delirium in children undergoing HCT. We hypothesized that delirium rates would exceed 25% in these high-risk children. We further hypothesized that prescribing characteristics (for blood products and medication categories) would differ significantly between patients with and without delirium, and prescribing practices would also vary substantially among participating sites; this could present a possible opportunity to modify practices. Additionally, we hypothesized that delirium screening would be feasible in all units, with a daily screen completion rate of >80%.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This is a multi-institutional prospective point-prevalence study. Sites were invited to participate via emails sent to members of the following research consortiums: the HCT subgroup of the Pediatric Acute Lung Injury and Sepsis Investigators (PALISI), and the Pediatric Bone Marrow Transplant Consortium Supportive Care Strategy Group. All interested sites were included. Study personnel at each site completed an online training session and test in order to certify for study participation. The study took place over the same 10-day period in April 2019. All patients 0–21 years old who had been admitted to the pediatric service for allogeneic or autologous stem-cell transplants were eligible for inclusion, regardless of timing—we included all children during conditioning, transplant, and engraftment. Children were excluded if they had been admitted for reasons other than transplant (for example: delayed complications after transplant), as the purpose of this study was to specifically describe delirium in the peri-transplant period.

All subjects were screened for delirium twice daily by their nurses, ~12 h apart, during the 10-day study period using the Cornell Assessment for Pediatric Delirium (CAPD) (Figure 1) (19). The CAPD is a bedside tool that has been validated for use in children of all ages and developmental stages. It is an observational tool that consists of eight items, requires <2 min to complete, and is designed to be scored by the bedside nurse at the end of each shift. The scoring process is the same in children of all ages, but a developmental anchor point chart is available for use as a point-of-care reference (to remind the nurse what behaviors to expect in pre-verbal children) when scoring the CAPD in children <2 years (20). Operational definition of delirium was a CAPD score of nine or higher (19).

In addition, a brief data collection form (with no patient health information included) was completed for each patient. The de-identified data included basic demographics, diagnosis, type and timing of transplant, conditioning regimen, respiratory support, daily medication classes, and delirium scores. The medications were captured on a daily basis to allow for assessment of the temporal relationship between medication exposure and delirium development. Data were entered into an electronic case report form within the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) system, a secure centralized database hosted at the data coordinating center, Weill Cornell Medical College.
Please answer the following questions based on your interactions with the patient over the course of your shift:

| Question                                                                 | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | Score |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|
| 1. Does the child make eye contact with the caregiver?                   |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 2. Are the child’s actions purposeful?                                   |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 3. Is the child aware of his/her surroundings?                           |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 4. Does the child communicate needs and wants?                           | Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Often | Always | Score |
|                                                                          | 0     | 1      | 2         | 3     | 4      |       |
| 5. Is the child restless?                                                |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 6. Is the child incoosable?                                               |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 7. Is the child underactive—very little movement while awake?            |       |        |           |       |        |       |
| 8. Does it take the child a long time to respond to interactions?        |       |        |           |       |        |       |

**FIGURE 1** | Cornell Assessment for Pediatric Delirium (CAPD). The CAPD is a rapid bedside screening tool validated for delirium detection in children of all ages. A score of nine or higher is consistent with a diagnosis of delirium, and has been shown to correlate with patient outcome measures. [Reproduced from reference (19), with permission of Wolters Kluwer Health].

(WCMC) (21). Each site received local Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval, with waiver of informed consent for this minimal risk observational study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Patient-level demographic, clinical, and transplant characteristics were described as counts and percentages (n, %), mean and standard deviation (sd), or median and range [min, max, or interquartile range (IQR)]. Delirium was defined at the patient level as any delirium (CAPD score of nine or higher) during the days captured. Bivariate analyses compared those subjects who were delirious with those subjects who were never delirious, using Chi-square/Fisher’s exact tests, or independent two-sample *t*-tests/Wilcoxon rank-sum tests as appropriate. A logistic mixed effects regression model was used to assess multivariate associations with delirium, controlling for patient age, type of transplant (allogeneic vs. autologous), and diagnosis. A random intercept for site was included to account for within-site correlation among patients.

Characteristics of each hospital day were also described, as *N* (%) or mean (sd), median (min, max, IQR). A day was characterized as “delirium” if the CAPD score was nine or higher on either or both of the daily screens. Bivariate relationships with daily delirium were analyzed as described above. Similarly, a logistic mixed effects regression modeled the association between delirium and predictor variables (transplant day, respiratory support, and receipt of specific medication categories), with a random intercept for site to account for within-site correlation among hospital days. Independent variables included in the multivariate model are presented with adjusted odds ratios and the associated 95% confidence intervals (CI). All *p*-values were two sided with statistical significance evaluated at the 0.05 alpha level. Analyses were performed in R version 4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**RESULTS**

**Participating Sites**

Over the course of a 10-day period in April 2019, 11 institutions participated in this point prevalence study. Five of the participating sites were dedicated cancer hospitals, and five were dedicated children’s hospitals; one was a pediatric transplant unit housed within a larger mixed-use hospital. Only two sites had a standard-of-care requirement for routine delirium testing prior to this study. Among the sites, the median reported number of hematopoietic cell transplants each year is 70 (IQR 45–100); 65% of these are allogeneic.
Delirium screening was successfully completed in more than 98% of the study days. In 13,883 days, delirium screening was missed; all 13 were at a single site on a single weekend.

**Delirium Frequency and Predisposing Risk Factors**

Forty-eight children developed delirium over the course of the 10-day study, for a 45% occurrence rate. Among those children, a median of 2 days with delirium was noted (range 1–9). Delirium rates were higher in children under the age of 5 years (60 vs. 33% in older children, \( p = 0.008 \)), in children who required supplemental oxygen (70% compared with 40%, \( p = 0.027 \)), and in children exposed to steroids (60 vs. 34%, \( p = 0.016 \)). Delirium rate in children with malignancies was no higher than in children with non-malignant diagnoses (41 vs. 56%, \( p = 0.2 \)). Children transplanted for leukemia or lymphoma were less likely to become delirious than children transplanted for other indications (28 vs. 56%, \( p = 0.008 \)). There was no significant difference in delirium incidence among children who underwent allogeneic vs. autologous transplants in this cohort. In multivariable analysis, the only patient-level characteristic that was independently associated with delirium was younger age (median age for children with delirium was 3 years, compared with 4 years in children without delirium, \( p = 0.003 \)). Older age was protective, with an adjusted odds ratio for a delirium diagnosis in children >5 years of 0.41 (CI 0.17–0.99) when compared with younger children.

**Daily Delirium Rate and Hospital Exposures**

Children were diagnosed with delirium on 161/883 study days, for an overall delirium rate of 18% per day. Additionally, we looked at delirium rates only on the first calendar date captured (\( n = 84 \) subjects); point prevalence of delirium was 19%. When analyzed by site, daily delirium rates ranged from 0 to 42% (\( p < 0.001 \)), with a median of 16% (IQR 4.8–27.9%). Of note, 33% of the children who experienced delirium were only symptomatic during the overnight period and would have been missed; all 13 were at a single site on a single weekend.

Several medication categories were associated with next-day delirium in bivariate analyses: steroids, tacrolimus, antiepileptics, antipsychotics, and melatonin (Table 2). Children who were transfused blood products had a similar delirium rate (19%) in the 24 h after transfusion, compared with the 18% delirium rate in the non-transfused cohort (\( p = 0.63 \)). In multivariable analysis, administration of steroids, tacrolimus, or melatonin were all independently associated with next-day delirium (Figure 4).

We noted a strong protective relationship with family member presence in the overnight period. Only 18% of the children who had a family member sleeping in their room developed delirium on the next day, compared with a 41% delirium rate in children without a family member present (\( p = 0.001 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

**Delirium Prevalence**

In this multi-institutional study, 45% of the children developed delirium during the peri-transplant period. This
TABLE 2 | Bivariate associations with daily delirium (n = 883).

|                          | Overall (n = 883) | No delirium (n = 722) | Delirium (n = 161) | p-value |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| **Transplant day**       |                  |                       |                   | 0.007   |
| Median [IQR]             | 12 (3–25)        | 11 (3–23)             | 16 (6–31)         |         |
| **Location**             |                  |                       |                   | 0.726   |
| Transplant unit          | 818 (92.6%)      | 666 (92.2%)           | 152 (94.4%)       |         |
| PICU                     | 62 (7.0%)        | 53 (7.3%)             | 9 (5.6%)          |         |
| Other                    | 3 (0.3%)         | 3 (0.4%)              | 0 (0%)            |         |
| **Supplemental oxygen**  |                  |                       |                   | <0.001  |
| Yes                      | 102 (11.6%)      | 67 (9.3%)             | 35 (21.7%)        |         |
| No                       | 781 (88.4%)      | 655 (90.7%)           | 126 (78.3%)       |         |
| **Blood product transfusion** |            |                       |                   | 0.589   |
| Yes                      | 328 (37.1%)      | 265 (36.7%)           | 63 (39.1%)        |         |
| No                       | 555 (62.9%)      | 457 (63.3%)           | 98 (60.9%)        |         |
| **Medication exposures in prior 24 h** |              |                       |                   |         |
| Anticholinergics         | 555 (62.9%)      | 459 (63.6%)           | 96 (59.6%)        | 0.368   |
| Antiepileptics           | 118 (13.4%)      | 85 (11.8%)            | 33 (20.5%)        | 0.005   |
| Antipsychotics           | 96 (11.1%)       | 70 (9.7%)             | 28 (17.4%)        | 0.008   |
| Benzodiazepines          | 463 (52.4%)      | 378 (52.4%)           | 85 (52.8%)        | 0.931   |
| Melatonin                | 77 (8.7%)        | 52 (7.2%)             | 25 (15.5%)        | 0.002   |
| Opiates                  | 526 (59.6%)      | 424 (58.7%)           | 102 (63.4%)       | 0.288   |
| Steroids                 | 249 (28.2%)      | 173 (24.0%)           | 76 (47.2%)        | <0.001  |
| **Daily transplant therapy** |                   |                       |                   |         |
| Cyclosporine             | 192 (21.7%)      | 155 (21.5%)           | 37 (23.0%)        | 0.673   |
| Defibrotide              | 136 (15.4%)      | 115 (15.9%)           | 21 (13.0%)        | 0.4     |
| Eculizumab               | 10 (1.1%)        | 8 (1.1%)              | 2 (1.2%)          | 0.999   |
| Methotrexate             | 24 (2.7%)        | 22 (3.0%)             | 2 (1.2%)          | 0.286   |
| Mycophenolate Mofetil    | 146 (16.5%)      | 113 (15.7%)           | 33 (20.5%)        | 0.159   |
| Sirolimus                | 48 (5.4%)        | 43 (6.0%)             | 5 (3.1%)          | 0.18    |
| Tacrolimus               | 177 (20.0%)      | 130 (18.0%)           | 47 (29.2%)        | 0.002   |
| Total body irradiation   | 4 (0.5%)         | 4 (0.6%)              | 0 (0%)            | 0.999   |

is consistent with delirium rates in adult populations undergoing hematopoietic cell transplants (>50%), and with small single-center pediatric studies that reported rates of 35–38% in children undergoing HCT (11, 12, 18, 22). Consistent with many other pediatric delirium studies, younger children experienced higher delirium rates (aOR 0.41 for children older than 5 years) (1–3, 5, 23, 24).

Children who required supplemental oxygen were more likely to be diagnosed with delirium, also consistent with prior pediatric delirium research (1, 5, 25). This may be a result of the underlying hypoxia and associated inflammation, which are known risk factors for delirium (26). On the other hand, the need for oxygen in this cohort may simply reflect the patient’s underlying severity of illness. For example, a large prospective PICU study (n = 1,547) showed that severity of illness was independently associated with delirium risk, independent of need for respiratory support (5).

Delirium Screening
Studies have shown that routine daily screening is needed to reliably detect delirium in hospitalized patients (27, 28). As described by Winsnes et al. in a single-center study, only 2.4% of the children were diagnosed with delirium in a hematology-oncology unit prior to implementation of routine delirium screening. Once daily screening began, delirium detection increased to 13% overall and 23% in children who had undergone a hematopoietic cell transplant (18). However, most pediatric oncologists remain unaware of their patients’ risk for delirium. As a result of this critical knowledge gap, pediatric transplant units do not routinely screen for delirium, leading to missed or delayed diagnosis. This represents a lost opportunity to detect delirium early, when it is most amenable to therapeutic intervention (29).

Our data show that routine bedside delirium screening using the CAPD is highly feasible. Eleven different units, with different cultures and workflows, were able to successfully screen 106 children in >98% of the 883 study days. We also noted that delirium fluctuates over the course of the day—1/3 of our cohort only demonstrated delirium symptoms during the overnight period—so twice-daily screening is necessary in order to accurately capture the burden of delirium in this cohort.

Iatrogenic Risk Factors for Delirium
In this pilot study, we describe associations between medication exposures and delirium, without implying any attributable
causality. For example, we found an independent association between administration of steroids and development of delirium. It is likely that steroids are deliriogenic, as has been described in other studies (30). In contrast, although we noted a strong and independent association between receipt of tacrolimus and development of delirium, we cannot over-conclude based on this single study, since tacrolimus is often given as part of combination therapy with other agents that may themselves be delirium causing. Further research will be necessary to clarify this relationship.

With a point prevalence study design, we cannot establish definitive temporal relationships. For instance, we identified an independent association between administration of melatonin and a diagnosis of delirium. This is in contrast to a randomized placebo-controlled trial in a geriatric population that suggested that nightly ramelteon (a melatonin agonist) may provide protection against delirium (31). It is physiologically plausible that in our pediatric cohort, melatonin predisposed to hypoactive delirium, or it may be that melatonin was prescribed to promote sleep in children who were already experiencing delirium, as delirium in young children is known to cause significant circadian rhythm disruption (9). One cannot disentangle this chicken-and-egg phenomenon with the current study design. Nevertheless, despite this limitation, this point prevalence study provides important pilot data that are essential for the design of future large-scale longitudinal studies.

Prescribing Practices

A large body of delirium literature demonstrates a strong and consistent relationship between benzodiazepines and pediatric delirium (1, 3, 5, 10, 22, 24, 32). In fact, a recent systematic review and meta-analysis described a pooled odds ratio of 3.5 for benzodiazepines and delirium in children (9). In contrast to the existing delirium literature, we did not find a significant relationship between benzodiazepine exposure and delirium in our cohort. This may reflect the overwhelming exposure to benzodiazepines in our subjects—only a minority of patients did not receive benzodiazepines at some time during the study. As we did not capture dosing or route of administration, we were not able to measure the strength of exposure in order to assess the relationship with delirium development. In addition to temporal relationships, future studies should focus on daily and cumulative dose exposures of medications of interest, as this may be an important area for intervention in order to decrease delirium burden.

Similarly, research has shown a dose-response relationship between red blood cell (RBC) transfusions and pediatric delirium (33). This pilot study was not designed to measure dose of
RBC exposure (we described any level of exposure within 24 h and compared it with no exposure whatsoever). This will be important to study on a granular level in future research, as we hypothesize that there will be a dose-response effect between exposure to benzodiazepines and blood products and delirium incidence and duration.

As noted in Figure 2, benzodiazepine and transfusion practices vary widely between institutions. This is likely a function of provider choice rather than patient need and may present an opportunity to modify our peri-transplant practice in order to decrease delirium rates. Since our data also showed that delirium rates varied significantly between institutions, it is possible that unit-prescribing practices—a potentially modifiable factor—played a role in delirium risk.

**Non-pharmacological Approach to Delirium Prevention: Opportunities for Improvement**

There is convincing evidence that delirium can be prevented by the use of a multi-component non-pharmacologic interventional protocol (8, 17, 34). In geriatric adults, an approach designed to address social isolation, physical immobility, and sleep disruption has been shown to decrease delirium rates by more than 50% (35). A single-center pediatric study showed a 39% decrease in PICU delirium rates with implementation of universal delirium screening, avoidance of deep sedation, and introduction of early mobilization (8). It is poignant that delirium rates in our study cohort were dramatically lower when parents remained in the child's room overnight. Conversely, it is striking that children received no ancillary therapies whatsoever on 48% of the study days. These represent possible areas for intervention: with increased physical and cognitive stimulation during the day, and enhanced family presence overnight, we may be able to decrease delirium rates in our at-risk population (36).

**Study Strengths and Limitations**

This study has notable strengths. To date, it is the only multi-institutional study of pediatric delirium in HCT patients. With a mix of participating units from across North America, it generates a representative patient sample that is likely generalizable to the overall pediatric HCT population. A uniform definition was used for delirium, which was prospectively diagnosed, rather than relying on medical record review. The
Higher delirium rates were noted in children <5 years old and in association with specific medications. Delirium screening is highly feasible and necessary in this at-risk population. A large-scale prospective longitudinal study following children throughout their transplant course—from conditioning through engraftment—will be needed to fully describe the epidemiology of pediatric delirium in the peri-transplant period, and explore the effect of delirium on patient outcomes.
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