NEW IDENTITIES FOR THETA OPERATORS
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ABSTRACT. In this article, we prove a new general identity involving the Theta operators introduced by the first author and his collaborators in [DIV20]. From this result, we can easily deduce several new identities that have combinatorial consequences in the study of Macdonald polynomials and diagonal coinvariants. In particular, we provide a unifying framework from which we recover many identities scattered in the literature, often resulting in drastically shorter proofs.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1988 Macdonald introduced a fundamental basis of symmetric functions depending on two parameters, and he conjectured certain positivities for its elements [Mac95]. In
their effort to solve these conjectures, Garsia and Haiman introduced in the nineties a modified version of this basis \[GH93\] and initiated the study of diagonal coinvariants of the symmetric group \[GH96\]. This led to the introduction of the famous nabla operator (\(\nabla\)) and its siblings, the Delta operators (\(\Delta_f\) and \(\Delta_f'\)) \[BGHT99\], which are diagonal operators with respect to the modified Macdonald basis.

In the last twenty years, several combinatorial formulas have been conjectured for the applications of some of these operators to basic symmetric functions. The most famous of these stories is certainly the one of the shuffle conjecture for \(\nabla e_n\) \[HHL05\], which gives a combinatorial formula for the Frobenius characteristic of the coinvariants of the diagonal action of \(\mathfrak{S}_n\) on \(\mathbb{C}[x, y] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n]\) \[Hai02\]. This long standing conjecture has recently been proved by Carlsson and Mellit \[CM18\], who actually proved a compositional refinement \[HMZ12\] of the conjecture.

After the announcement of this result, a lot of attention has been dedicated to the so-called Delta conjecture for \(\Delta'_{e_{n-k-1}} e_n\) \[HRW18\], which is a natural extension of the shuffle conjecture that gives, conjecturally, the Frobenius characteristic of the coinvariants for the diagonal action of \(\mathfrak{S}_n\) on the exterior algebra \(\mathbb{C}[x, y](\theta) = \mathbb{C}[x, y](\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)\) on \(n\) free generators with coefficients in \(\mathbb{C}[x, y]\) \[Zab19\].

In an effort to prove the Delta conjecture, in \[DIV20\] the authors introduced the so-called Theta operators and showed how these operators give, on one hand, a conjectural refinement of the Delta conjecture (extending the one in \[HMZ12\]), which has been recently proved in \[DM20\].

In the present article we bring further support for the centrality of the Theta operators by proving a new general identity. From this new identity, we will prove a plethora of results: we will get several new identities, and we will show how these new identities form a natural framework from which one can prove identities appearing in the literature. Besides giving a unifying view of all these results, our new proofs will often be substantially shorter than the original ones. Many of these identities have been used to prove combinatorial consequences of the Delta conjecture and some related more recent conjectures \[DIV18\] \[DIV19\]. It is important to note that behind many of these identities, there often lies combinatorial identities with remarkable consequences.

The main new general identity of this article is stated in the following theorem. The main tool for the proof is the five-term relation in \[CM19\].

**Theorem 2.1** We have

\[
\Theta(z, v)^{-1} T_u \Theta(z, v) T_u^{-1} = \text{Exp}\left[\frac{uz(v-1)}{M}\right] \Delta_{uzv},
\]

where \(\text{Exp}\) denotes the plethystic exponential, \(\Delta_v := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-v)^n \Delta e_n\) is the generating function of Delta operators, \(\Theta(z, v) = \Delta_v \mathcal{P}_{\frac{1}{v}} \Delta_v^{-1}\) is the generating function of Theta operators, and \(T_u = \sum_{n \geq 0} u^n h_n\) is the usual plethystic translation operator.

Two of the main consequences of this identity are the following theorems. The first one follows almost immediately.

**Theorem 4.2** We have

\[
h^+ f e_k = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \Theta e_{k-j-r} \Delta e_{j-r} h^+_r, \quad e^+_f \Theta e_k = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \Theta e_{k-j-r} e^+_r \Delta h^+_{j-r} \quad \text{and} \quad h^+_f \Theta e_k = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \Delta h_{j-r} \Theta h_{k-j-r} h^+_{r}.
\]

The second consequence of our theorem will also use Tesler’s identity, Theorem \[1.3\]

**Theorem 5.1** For every partition \(\mu \vdash k\) and every \(F \in \Lambda^{(\mu)}\) we have

\[
\langle h^+_k \Theta e_n \tilde{H}_\mu, F \rangle_s = F[MB_\mu],
\]
where $\tilde{H}_\mu$ is the modified Macdonald polynomial indexed by $\mu$.

From these two theorems and some basic standard tools of symmetric function theory we are able to derive plenty of identities known in the literature, often in a much simpler way, and sometimes we extend them substantially. For example we can prove quite easily the following identity, which is a strong extension of [DIV19a Theorem 4.6], whose original proof alone took about 15 pages, and which might provide some insight in the so-called Theta conjecture in [DIV20 Section 9].

**Theorem 8.2.** Given $j, m, \ell, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1$ we have

$$h_j^\ell \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_q} \tilde{H}_k =$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{k}{r} \sum_{a=0}^k q^{(k+r-a)/2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} b-1 \\ a \end{array} \right]_{q, k-a-1} \Theta_{e_{m-j+r}} \Theta_{e_{s_k-j-a}} \Delta e_{j-r+a} E_{j-r+a, b}$$

$$+ \sum_{r=0}^j \binom{k}{r} \sum_{a=0}^k q^{(k+r-a+1)/2} \left[ \begin{array}{c} b-1 \\ a-1 \end{array} \right]_{q, k-a} \Theta_{e_{m-j+r}} \Theta_{e_{s_k-j-a}} \Delta e_{j-r+a} E_{j-r+a, b}.$$ 

The rest of the paper is organized in the following way. In Section 1 we collect the definitions and results needed in the rest of the article. In Section 2 we prove our main result. In Sections 3 and 4 we give the main consequences of our general identity. In Sections 5 and 6 we develop several consequences of Sections 3 and 4 respectively. In Section 7 we provide a new simplified treatment of several known results in the literature, and in Sections 8 and 9 we deduce further new identities from our development.
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1. **Background material**

1.1. **$q$-notation and elementary identities.** For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(1.1) \quad [0]_q := 0, \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_q := \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = 1 + q + q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1;$$

$$(1.2) \quad [0]_q ! := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_q ! := \prod_{j=1}^{n} [j]_q \quad \text{for } n \geq 1; \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \binom{n}{k}_q := \binom{[n]_q !}{[k]_q ![n-k]_q} \quad \text{for } n \geq k \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{n}{k}_q := 0 \quad \text{for } n < k.$$ 

Recall the well-known recurrence

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q + \binom{n-1}{k}_q + q^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}_q.$$ 

Recall also the standard notation for the $q$-rising factorial

$$\binom{a; q}_n := (1-a)(1-qa)(1-q^2a)\cdots(1-q^{n-1}a) \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$ 

It is well known (cf. [Sta99 Theorem 7.21.2]) that (recall that $h_0 = 1$)

$$h_k[[n]_q] = \binom{[a; q]_k}{[b; q]_k} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \text{ and } k \geq 0.$$
and (recall that \(e_0 = 1\))

\[
e_k[[n]_q] = q^{i\binom{i}{2}} \binom{n}{k}_q \quad \text{for all } n, k \geq 0.
\]

Also (cf. [Sta99 Corollary 7.21.3])

\[
h_k \left[ \frac{1}{1 - q} \right] = \frac{1}{(q; q)_k} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - q^i} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0,
\]

and

\[
e_k \left[ \frac{1}{1 - q} \right] = \frac{q^{i\binom{i}{2}}}{(q; q)_k} = q^{i\binom{i}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{1 - q^i} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.
\]

The following two identities are classical (see (3.3.6) and (3.3.10) in [And98 Section 3.3] respectively):

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{n} (-x)^j q^{\binom{n}{j}} \binom{n}{j}_q = (x; q)_n \quad (q\text{-binomial theorem})
\]

and

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} q^{(n-j)(k-j)} \binom{n}{j}_q \binom{m}{k-j}_q = \binom{m+n}{k}_q \quad (q\text{-Chu-Vandermonde}).
\]

We collect here a few elementary lemmas that will be used in the text. The following lemma is proved in [DIV20 Lemma 4.11] (cf. also Remark 10.1).

**Lemma 1.1.** For \(i \geq 1\), \(a \geq -i\) and \(s \geq 0\) we have

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{i} \binom{i-1}{r-1} \binom{r+s+a-1}{s-1}_q q^{r+i-r} (-1)^{i-r} q^{i+(i-1)a} = \binom{s+a}{i+a}_q.
\]

The proof of the following three lemmas are in the appendix.

**Lemma 1.2.** Given \(i, a, b \in \mathbb{N}\), \(b \geq 1\), \(i, b \geq a\) we have

\[
\sum_{c=a}^{i} \binom{i-a}{c-a} \binom{c-a+b-1}{c-1}_q q^{c-i-c} (-1)^{i-c} = \binom{b-1}{i-1}_q q^{i-a(i-1)}.
\]

**Lemma 1.3.** Given \(r, k, a \in \mathbb{N}\) we have

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{k-s} \binom{r}{s}_q q^{s-a(k-s-1)} q^{k-s-1} = q^{k-a} \binom{b-1}{a}_q \binom{b+r-a-1}{k-a-1}_q + q^{k-a+1} \binom{b-1}{a-1}_q \binom{b+r-a}{k-a}_q.
\]

**Lemma 1.4.** Given \(k, a, b \in \mathbb{N}\) we have

\[
q^{k-a} \binom{b-1}{a}_q \binom{b-a-1}{k-a-1}_q + q^{k-a+1} \binom{b-1}{a-1}_q \binom{b-a}{k-a}_q = q^{k-a} \binom{k}{a}_q \binom{b-1}{k-1}_q.
\]

1.2. Symmetric function basics. The main references that we will use for symmetric functions are [Mac95], [Sta99] and [Hag08]. In particular, we will mainly use the notation from [DIV19a] and [DIV20]. We just recall here a few definitions and basic results to avoid possible confusion.

The standard bases for symmetric functions that will appear in our calculations are the complete \(\{h_\lambda\}_\lambda\), elementary \(\{e_\lambda\}_\lambda\), power \(\{p_\lambda\}_\lambda\) and Schur \(\{s_\lambda\}_\lambda\) bases.

We will use the usual convention that \(e_0 = h_0 = 1\) and \(e_k = h_k = 0\) for \(k < 0\).

The ring \(\Lambda\) of symmetric functions can be thought of as the polynomial ring in the power sum generators \(p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots\). This ring has a grading \(\Lambda = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \Lambda^{(n)}\) given by assigning
degree $i$ to $p_i$ for all $i \geq 1$. As we are working with Macdonald symmetric functions involving two parameters $q$ and $t$, we will consider this polynomial ring over the field $\mathbb{Q}(q,t)$. We will make extensive use of plethystic notation.

Notice that in the plethystic notation, $p_k[-X] = -p_k[X]$ and not $(-1)^k p_k[X]$. We refer to this as the plethystic minus sign. As the latter sort of negative sign can be also useful, it is customary to use the notation $\varepsilon$ to express it: we will have $p_k[\varepsilon X] = (-1)^k p_k[X]$, so that, in general,

(1.16) \[ f[-\varepsilon X] = \omega f[X] \]

for any symmetric function $f$, where $\omega$ is the fundamental algebraic involution which sends $e_k$ to $h_k$, $s_\lambda$ to $s_\lambda$ and $p_k$ to $(-1)^{k-1} p_k$.

We refer to this as the plethystic minus sign. As the latter sort of negative sign can be also useful, it is customary to use the notation $\varepsilon$ to express it: we will have $p_k[\varepsilon X] = (-1)^k p_k[X]$, so that, in general, (1.16) \[ f[-\varepsilon X] = \omega f[X] \]

for any symmetric function $f$, where $\omega$ is the fundamental algebraic involution which sends $e_k$ to $h_k$, $s_\lambda$ to $s_\lambda$ and $p_k$ to $(-1)^{k-1} p_k$.

We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the Hall scalar product on symmetric functions, which can be defined by saying that the Schur functions form an orthonormal basis. It is given by setting

\[ \langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle = z_\mu \chi(\lambda = \mu), \]

where $\chi$ is the indicator function which is defined as $\chi(P) = 1$ if $P$ is true and $\chi(P) = 0$ otherwise, and $z_\mu = 1^{m_1} m_1! 2^{m_2} m_2! \cdots$, where $m_i$ is the multiplicity of $i$ in $\mu$.

With the symbol “\perp” we denote the operation of taking the adjoint of an operator with respect to the Hall scalar product, i.e.

(1.17) \[ \langle f^\perp g, h \rangle = \langle g, fh \rangle \quad \text{for all } f, g, h \in \Lambda. \]

Recall the Cauchy identities

(1.18) \[ e_n[XY] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda[X]s_\lambda[Y] \quad \text{and} \quad h_n[XY] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda[X]s_\lambda[Y]. \]

The following specialization is an easy consequence of the well-known identity

(1.19) \[ s_\lambda[X + Y] = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} s_\mu[X]s_{\lambda/\mu}[Y]. \]

For $\lambda \vdash n$ we have

(1.20) \[ s_\lambda[1 - v] = \begin{cases} (-v)^k(1 - v) & \text{if } \lambda = (n - k, 1^k) \text{ for some } k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \]

If we identify the partition $\mu$ with its Ferrers diagram, i.e. with the collection of cells $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \mu_j, 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\mu)\}$, then for each cell $c \in \mu$ we refer to the arm, leg, co-arm and co-leg (denoted respectively as $a_\mu(c), l_\mu(c), a'_\mu(c), l'_\mu(c)$) as the number of cells in $\mu$ that are strictly to the right, above, to the left and below $c$ in $\mu$, respectively (see Figure 1).

\[ \text{Figure 1.} \]

We set

(1.21) \[ M := (1 - q)(1 - t), \]

and we define for every partition \( \mu \)
\begin{align}
(1.22) \quad & B_\mu := B_\mu(q,t) = \sum_{c \mu} q^{a(c)}(c) t^{l(c)}(c) \\
(1.23) \quad & D_\mu := MB_\mu(q,t) - 1 \\
(1.24) \quad & T_\mu := T_\mu(q,t) = \prod_{c \in \mu} q^{a(c)}(c) t^{l(c)}(c) \\
(1.25) \quad & \Pi_\mu := \Pi_\mu(q,t) = \prod_{c \in \mu \Pi(1)} (1 - q^{a(c)}(c) t^{l(c)}(c)) \\
(1.26) \quad & w_\mu := w_\mu(q,t) = \prod_{c \in \mu}(q^{a(c)}(c) - t^{l(c)}(c) + 1)(t^{l(c)}(c) - q^{a(c)}(c) + 1).
\end{align}

Notice that
\begin{align}
(1.27) \quad & B_\mu = e_1[B_\mu] \quad \text{and} \quad T_\mu = e_1[B_\mu],
\end{align}
hence in particular
\begin{align}
(1.28) \quad & B_{(n)} = [n]_q \quad \text{and} \quad T_{(n)} = q^{\binom{n}{2}},
\end{align}
It is useful to introduce the so-called \textit{star scalar product} on \( \Lambda \), given by setting
\begin{align}
\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle_* &= (-1)^{|\mu| - \ell(\mu)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\mu)} (1 - q^{\mu_i}) (1 - t^{\mu_i}) z_\mu \chi(\lambda = \mu).
\end{align}

For every symmetric function \( f[X] \) and \( g[X] \) we have (see [GHT99, Proposition 1.8])
\begin{align}
(1.29) \quad & \langle f, g \rangle_* = \langle \omega \phi f, g \rangle = \langle \phi \omega f, g \rangle
\end{align}
where
\begin{align}
(1.30) \quad & \phi f[X] := f[MX] \quad \text{for all} \ f[X] \in \Lambda.
\end{align}

For every symmetric function \( f[X] \) we set
\begin{align}
(1.31) \quad & f^* = f^*[X] := \phi^{-1} f[X] = f \left[ \frac{X}{M} \right].
\end{align}
Then for all symmetric functions \( f, g, h \) we have
\begin{align}
(1.32) \quad & \langle h^\dagger f, g \rangle_* = \langle h^\dagger f, \omega \phi g \rangle = \langle f, \omega \phi((\omega h)^* \cdot g) \rangle = \langle f, (\omega h)^* \cdot g \rangle_*.
\end{align}
meaning the operator \( h^\dagger \) is the adjoint of multiplication by \( (\omega h)^* \) with respect to the star scalar product. We will use these basic facts freely throughout this article.

1.3. \textbf{The symmetric functions} \( E_{n,k} \). Given a variable \( z \), observe that \( e_n \left[ X \frac{1-z}{1-q} \right] \) is a polynomial in \( z \), hence it can be written as
\begin{align}
(1.33) \quad & e_n \left[ X \frac{1-z}{1-q} \right] = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \frac{z}{q} \right)_k E_{n,k}[X]
\end{align}
for uniquely determined \( E_{n,k}[X] \in \Lambda^{(n)} \). These symmetric functions were first introduced in [GH02], and it is easy to see (cf. [GH02 Section 1]) that they satisfy the formula
\begin{align}
(1.34) \quad & E_{n,k}[X] = q^k \sum_{r=0}^{k} q^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{k}{r} q^r e_n \left[ X \frac{1-q^r}{1-q} \right] \in \Lambda^{(n)}.
\end{align}
Being a polynomial in \( z \), we can make the substitution \( z \rightarrow q^j \) in \( (1.33) \), getting immediately the formula
\begin{align}
(1.35) \quad & e_n[X[j]q] = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{k + j - 1}{k} \right] E_{n,k}[X].
\end{align}
1.4. **Macdonald polynomials: basic properties.** For a partition $\mu \vdash n$, we denote by
\begin{equation}
\tilde{H}_\mu := \tilde{H}_\mu[X] = \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(q, t) s_\lambda
\end{equation}

the *(modified) Macdonald polynomials*, where
\begin{equation}
\tilde{K}_{\lambda, \mu}(q, t) = K_{\lambda, \mu}(q, 1/t) n^{\mu}(\mu) \quad \text{with} \quad n(\mu) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(i - 1)
\end{equation}
are the *(modified) Kostka coefficients* (see [Hag08, Chapter 2] for more details).

Recall the normalization given for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by
\begin{equation}
h_k^+ \tilde{H}_\mu = \tilde{K}_{(k), \mu}(q, t) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \mu \vdash k.
\end{equation}

It turns out that the Macdonald polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the star scalar product: more precisely
\begin{equation}
\langle \tilde{H}_\lambda, \tilde{H}_\mu \rangle_* = w_\mu(q, t) \chi(\lambda = \mu).
\end{equation}
These orthogonality relations give the following Cauchy identity:
\begin{equation}
e_n \left[ \frac{XY}{M} \right] = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \frac{\tilde{H}_\mu[X] \tilde{H}_\mu[Y]}{w_\mu} \quad \text{for all } n.
\end{equation}

The following basic identity is well known.
\begin{equation}
\tilde{H}_{(n)} = (q; q)_n h_n \left[ \frac{X}{1 - q} \right].
\end{equation}
The following identity is well known and it is proved in Corollary 8.3.

**Proposition 1.5.** Given $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq k$, for every $\mu \vdash n$ we have
\begin{equation}
\langle h_k^+ e_{n-k}^*, \tilde{H}_\mu \rangle_* = e_k[B_\mu].
\end{equation}

We define the *nabla* operator on $\Lambda$ by setting
\begin{equation}
\nabla \tilde{H}_\mu = (-1)^{\mu(1)} T_\mu \tilde{H}_\mu \quad \text{for all } \mu.
\end{equation}
Notice that this definition differs from the usual definition from [BGH99] by a sign, but it is in agreement with the convention in [GM19] and [DM20].

We introduce the multiplicative involution $\nabla$ defined on any symmetric function $F[X; q, t] \in \Lambda_{Q(q, t)}$ as
\begin{equation}
\nabla F[X; q, t] := \omega F[X; 1/q, 1/t].
\end{equation}
The following well-known identity can be deduced from Macdonald’s duality (see [GH96, Theorem 2.7])
\begin{equation}
\nabla \omega \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t] = (-1)^{\mu(1)} \tilde{H}_\mu[X; q, t],
\end{equation}
and it implies that $\nabla \omega$ is an involution.

1.5. **Delta and Theta operators.** We define the *Delta* operators $\Delta_f$ and $\Delta'_f$ on $\Lambda$ by
\begin{equation}
\Delta_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f [B_\mu(q, t)] \tilde{H}_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta'_f \tilde{H}_\mu = f [B_\mu(q, t) - 1] \tilde{H}_\mu, \quad \text{for all } \mu.
\end{equation}
Observe that on the vector space of symmetric functions homogeneous of degree $n$, denoted by $\Lambda^{(n)}$, the operator $\nabla$ equals $(-1)^n \Delta_{e_n}$. Moreover, by the Pieri rule, for every $1 \leq k \leq n$,
\begin{equation}
\Delta_{e_k} = \Delta'_{e_k} + \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} \quad \text{on } \Lambda^{(n)},
\end{equation}
and for any $k > n$, $\Delta_{e_k} = \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} = 0$ on $\Lambda^{(n)}$, so that $\Delta_{e_n} = \Delta'_{e_{n-1}}$ on $\Lambda^{(n)}$.

Observe that (1.42) can be rephrased as
\begin{equation}
\Delta_{e_k} e_n^* = h_k^* e_{n-k}^* \quad \text{or} \quad \langle f, e_k h_{n-k} \rangle = \langle \Delta_{e_k} f, h_n \rangle \quad \text{for every } f \in \Lambda^{(n)}.
\end{equation}
Recall the linear operator $\Pi$, defined by setting for any nonempty partition $\mu$

\[(1.48) \quad \Pi \tilde{H}_\mu := \Pi \mu \tilde{H}_\mu.\]

The following property is easy to check (cf. [GHS11, Section 3]): for $n \geq 1$ and $F \in \Theta^{(n)}$ we have

\[(1.49) \quad \omega \Pi \omega F = -\nabla^{-1} \Pi F.\]

It is well known and easy to show (cf. [GHS11, Proposition 2.3]) that

\[(1.50) \quad \Pi e_n^* = \omega p_n^* = \frac{1}{M} \alpha_n p_n \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha_n := (-1)^{n-1} / ([n]_q [n]_t),\]

\[(1.51) \quad \Delta e_1 \Pi e_n^* = \frac{1}{M} e_n,\]

\[(1.52) \quad (-qt)^{1-n} \Delta e_1 \Delta e_{n+1} \Pi e_n^* = \frac{1}{M} h_n,\]

and

\[(1.53) \quad \omega(p_n) = [n]_q [n]_t M \Pi e_n^* = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{[n]_q}{[k]_q} E_{n,k}.\]

Given any symmetric function $f \in \Theta$, we denote by $f$ the multiplication operator

\[fg := fg \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in \Theta.\]

For any symmetric function $f \in \Theta^{(n)}$ we introduce the following Theta operators on $\Theta$: for every $F \in \Theta^{(m)}$ we set

\[(1.54) \quad \Theta_f F := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \geq 1 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ f \cdot F & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } m = 0 \\ \Pi f^* \Pi^{-1} F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},\]

and we extend by linearity the definition to any $f, F \in \Theta$.

It is clear that $\Theta_f$ is linear, and moreover, if $f$ is homogeneous of degree $k$, then so is $\Theta_f$, i.e.

\[(1.55) \quad \Theta_f \Delta^{(n)} \subseteq \Delta^{(n+k)} \quad \text{for } f \in \Theta^{(k)}.\]

We observe here that, using (1.51), for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

\[(1.56) \quad \Theta_{e_n} e_1 = M \Pi e_n^* e_1 = M \Delta e_1 \Pi e_{n+1}^* = e_{n+1}.\]

### 1.6 Tesler’s identity and the five-term relation.

We introduce the plethystic exponential

\[\Exp[X] := \sum_{n \geq 0} h_n [X],\]

and the translation and multiplication operators $\mathcal{T}_Y$ and $\mathcal{P}_Z$ for any two expressions $Y$ and $Z$ by setting for any $F[X] \in \Theta$

\[\mathcal{T}_Y F[X] := F[X + Y] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}_Z F[X] := \Exp[ZX] F[X].\]

Observe that $\mathcal{T}_Y^{-1} = \mathcal{T}_Y$ and $\mathcal{P}_Z^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_Z$, where the minus sign is the plethystic one.

Note that, following [GHT99], for any two expressions $Y$ and $Z$,

\[
\mathcal{T}_Y \mathcal{P}_Z F[X] = \mathcal{T}_Y \Exp[XZ] F[X] \\
= \Exp[(X + Y)Z] F[X + Y] \\
= \Exp[YZ] \Exp[ZX] F[X + Y] \\
= \Exp[YZ] \mathcal{P}_Z \mathcal{T}_Y F[X].
\]

Therefore, for any two expressions $Y$ and $Z$, we have

\[(1.57) \quad \mathcal{T}_Y \mathcal{P}_Z = \Exp[YZ] \mathcal{P}_Z \mathcal{T}_Y.\]
The following formulas are proved in \cite[Theorem 1.1]{GHT99}:
\begin{align}
T_Y &= \sum \mu [Y] s_{\mu}^\perp, \\
P_Z &= \sum \mu [Z] s_{\mu}^\perp.
\end{align}

As special cases, for any monomial $u$, we have
\begin{align}
T_u &= \sum_{k \geq 0} u^k s_{\mu}^\perp, \\
P_{-u} &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-u)^k s_{\mu}^\perp.
\end{align}

It will be convenient for us to use the modified star scalar product, defined in \cite{GM19} by
\begin{equation}
\langle f, g \rangle \equiv \langle f [-M X], g \rangle.
\end{equation}

Under this scalar product, the modified Macdonald basis remains orthogonal and any linear operator which acts diagonally on the Macdonald basis is self dual. The dual of $h_k^\perp$ becomes $(-1)^k s_{\mu}^\perp$, and we see that $T_u$ is dual to $P_{-u/M}$.

Finally, we introduce the operators
\begin{align}
\Delta_v := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-v)^n \Delta_{e_n}, & \quad \Delta_v^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 0} v^n \Delta_{h_n}.
\end{align}

The following identities are proved in \cite[Theorem 1.1]{GM19}.

**Theorem 1.6** (Five-term relations). For any two monomials $u$ and $v$ we have
\begin{equation}
\nabla^{-1} T_{uv} \nabla = \Delta_v^{-1} \Delta_v \nabla T_{-u}
\end{equation}
and its dual (with respect to the modified star scalar product)
\begin{equation}
\nabla P_{-uv} \nabla^{-1} = P_{-uv} \Delta_v P_{-uv} \Delta_v^{-1}.
\end{equation}

The following result is a combination of Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 2.8 in \cite{GM19}.

**Theorem 1.7.** For any symmetric function $F$,
\begin{equation}
\nabla^{-1} T_{u} \nabla P_{-uv} \nabla^{-1} = P_{-uv} \nabla T_{-u} \nabla^{-1} F.
\end{equation}

where
\begin{equation}
\Delta'_u := \text{Exp}[u/M] \Delta_u.
\end{equation}

The following identity is proved in \cite[Theorem I.2]{GHT99}.

**Theorem 1.8** (Tesler’s identity). For any monomial $z$ and any partition $\mu$ we have
\begin{equation}
T_{-z} P_{-z} \nabla^{-1} \text{Exp} \left[ -\frac{zXD_{\mu}}{M} \right] = \bar{H}_{\mu}[zX].
\end{equation}

2. A NEW GENERAL IDENTITY

Consider the following operators:
\begin{equation}
\hat{\Theta}(z, v) := \Delta_v P_{-z} \Delta_v^{-1}, \quad \hat{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} = \Delta_v P_{-z} \Delta_v^{-1}.
\end{equation}

Observe that the coefficient of $z^k$ in $\hat{\Theta}(z, v)$ is $(-1)^k \Delta_v s_{\mu}^\perp \Delta_v^{-1}$. It makes sense to let $v$ go to 1 (the factor $(1-v)^{-1}$ from $\Delta_v$ cancels with the factor $(1-v)$ from $\Delta_v$), and this gives precisely our definition of $\Theta_{e_k}$ multiplied by $(-1)^k$.

The following theorem is the main result of this article.
Theorem 2.1. We have

\[ \Theta(z, v)^{-1} T_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{u z (v - 1)}{M} \right] \Delta_{uv} T_u. \]  

Proof. In order to keep track of homogeneous degrees in (1.64), we insert a variable \( w \) to get

\[ \nabla^{-1} T_{uvw} \nabla \Theta_{w} \Theta_{1/w} = \Theta_{w} \Theta_{1/w} \Delta_{uv}. \]

Making the replacement \( u \mapsto u w \) and rearranging, we get

\[ \nabla^{-1} T_u \nabla = \Theta_{w} \Theta_{1/w} \Delta_{uw} \Theta_{-1/w} \Theta_{w}. \]

We now have

\[ \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} T_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) = \left( \Delta_v \Theta_{w} \Delta_v^{-1} \right) T_u \left( \Delta_v \Theta_{w} \Delta_v^{-1} \right) \]

(see (1.63))

\[ = \nabla \Theta_{w}^{-1} \left( \Delta_v \Theta_{w} \Delta_v^{-1} \right) \nabla \Theta_{w}^{-1}, \]

which is what we wanted. \( \square \)

In the rest of this article we show what can be deduced from this general identity.

3. Reciprocities

Unsurprisingly, combining Tesler’s identity (1.65) with our main identity (2.1), we can easily recover reciprocity identities. They will be given as applications of the following result.

Theorem 3.1. For any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and any \( \mu + k \) we have

\[ \text{Exp} \left[ u z (1 - v)/M \right] T_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) \tilde{\Theta}_{\mu}[X] \bigg|_{u^k} = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{-z X (vD_{\mu} + 1)}{M} \right]. \]

Proof. Our main identity (2.1) gives

\[ \text{Exp} \left[ u z (1 - v)/M \right] T_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) = \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) \Delta_{uzv} T_u \]

(see (1.63))

\[ = \Delta_v \Theta_{w} \Delta_v^{-1} \Delta_{uzv} T_u. \]

Extracting the coefficient of \( u^k \) from the last expression we get

\[ \Theta_{w} \Theta_{1/w} \Delta_{uw} \Theta_{-1/w} \Theta_{w}. \]

But observe that for any element \( F \in \Lambda(k) \) (the symmetric functions of homogeneous degree \( k \)), \( h_r^k F \in \Lambda(k-r) \) hence

\[ \nabla^{-1} (-1)^{k-r} \Delta_{e_r} h_r^k F = \nabla^{-1} \nabla h_r^k F = h_r^k F. \]
Therefore, on $\Lambda^{(k)}$, our last expression can be written as
\[
P_{-\Theta} \nabla P_{-\Theta} \sum_{r=0}^{k} (zv)^{k-r} h_{v}^{r} = P_{-\Theta} \nabla P_{-\Theta} T_{1/zv}(zv)^{k}.
\]
Now using Tesler’s identity (1.65), for any $\mu \vdash k$ we get
\[
P_{-\Theta} \nabla P_{-\Theta} T_{1/zv}(zv)^{k} \tilde{H}_{\mu}[X] = P_{-\Theta} \nabla \text{Exp} \left[ -zvX \frac{D_{\mu}}{M} \right] = \text{Exp} \left[ -zX(vD_{\mu} + 1) \right].
\]
\[\square\]

The following result is extremely useful.

**Theorem 3.2.** For any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mu \vdash k$ we have
\[
(3.2) \quad h_{k}^{\mu} \Theta \in \tilde{e}_{m}[X B_{\mu}].
\]
**Proof.** Just make the replacement $v \to 1$ in (3.1) and extract the coefficient of $z^{m}$. \[\square\]

3.1. **Some applications.** The following corollary is a version of the Macdonald-Koornwinder reciprocity that first appeared in [GHT99, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 3.3.** For any two partitions $\lambda \vdash m$ and $\mu \vdash k$ we have
\[
(3.3) \quad \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[vD_{\mu} + 1] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j}) = \tilde{H}_{\mu}[vD_{\lambda} + 1] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j}).
\]
**Proof.** We have
\[
\tilde{H}_{\lambda}[vD_{\mu} + 1] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j}) =
\]
\[
\left. \text{Exp} \left[ -X(vD_{\mu} + 1) \right] \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[X] \right|_{z=m} \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j})
\]
\[
\text{(using (3.1))} = \left. \text{Exp} \left[ uz(1 - v)/M \right] \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[X], \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[X] \right|_{z=m}
\]
\[
\text{(using (3.41))} = \left. \text{Exp} \left[ uz(1 - v)/M \right] \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[X] \right|_{z=m}
\]
\[
\text{(using (3.1))} = \tilde{H}_{\mu}[X], \tilde{H}_{\mu}[X] \left[ - uX(vD_{\lambda} + 1) \right] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j})
\]
\[
\text{(using (3.4))} = \tilde{H}_{\mu}[X], \tilde{H}_{\mu}[X] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j})
\]
\[
\text{(using (3.4))} = \tilde{H}_{\mu}[vD_{\lambda} + 1] \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j}).
\]
\[\square\]

We limit ourselves to sketch here three nice well-known applications of this important result.

**Corollary 3.4.** For any partition $\mu$ we have
\[
(3.4) \quad \tilde{H}_{\lambda}[1 - v] = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (1 - vq^{i}t^{j}).
\]
**Proof.** Just take $\mu$ to be the empty partition in (3.3). \[\square\]

We can now prove (1.32).
Corollary 3.5. Given \( n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq k \), for any partition \( \lambda \vdash n \) we have

\[
(3.5) \quad \langle \tilde{H}_\lambda, s_{(n-k,1^k)} \rangle = e_k[B_\lambda - 1], \text{ hence } \langle \tilde{H}_\lambda, e_k h_{n-k} \rangle = e_k[B_\lambda].
\]

Proof. From (3.4) we get

\[
\tilde{H}_\lambda[1 - v] = (1 - v) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-v)^k e_k[B_\lambda - 1].
\]

On the other hand

\[
\tilde{H}_\lambda[1 - v] = \sum_{\mu \vdash n} \langle \tilde{H}_\lambda, s_\mu \rangle s_\mu[1 - v].
\]

Now using (1.20) and comparing the polynomials in \( v \) we get the first identity. The second one is an immediate consequence of the Pieri rule. \( \square \)

The following well-known corollary is also immediate.

Corollary 3.6. For nonempty partitions \( \lambda \vdash n \) and \( \mu \vdash k \) we have

\[
(3.6) \quad \Pi_\mu \tilde{H}_\lambda[MB_\mu] = \Pi_\lambda \tilde{H}_\mu[MB_\lambda].
\]

Proof. Divide (3.3) by \( 1 - v \) and let \( v \mapsto 1 \). \( \square \)

4. More Important Consequences

We deduce the following important identities from our main theorem.

Corollary 4.1. We have

\[
(4.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_u^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) = \exp \left[ \frac{uz(1-v)}{M} \right] \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) \mathcal{T}_u^{-1} \Delta_{uzv}^{-1}
\]

\[
(4.2) \quad \mathcal{T}_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} = \exp \left[ \frac{uz(1-v)}{M} \right] \Delta_{uzv}^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_u
\]

Proof. Identity (4.1) is obtained from (2.1) by taking the inverse formula

\[
\mathcal{T}_u \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_u^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}(z, v) = \exp \left[ \frac{uz(1-v)}{M} \right] \Delta_{uzv},
\]

and composing on the left by \( \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_u^{-1} \). Similarly, (4.2) is obtained by composing on the right by \( \tilde{\Theta}(z, v)^{-1} \mathcal{T}_u \). \( \square \)

The following theorem contains some of the main consequences of (2.1).

Theorem 4.2. We have

\[
(4.3) \quad h_j^\dagger \Theta e_k = \sum_{r=0}^j \Theta_{e_k, j-r} \Delta_{e_j, r} h_r^\dagger
\]

\[
(4.4) \quad e_j^\dagger \Theta e_k = \sum_{r=0}^j \Theta_{e_k, j-r} e_r^\dagger \Delta_{h_j, r}
\]

\[
(4.5) \quad h_j^\dagger \Theta h_k = \sum_{r=0}^j \Delta_{h_j, r} \Theta_{h_k, j-r} h_r^\dagger.
\]

Proof. Identity (4.3) is obtained from (2.1) by letting \( v \mapsto 1 \) and picking the coefficient of \( u^j z^k \), getting

\[
(-1)^k h_j^\dagger \Theta e_k = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{k-j+r} \Theta_{e_k, j-r} (-1)^{j-r} \Delta_{e_j, r} h_r^\dagger,
\]

which is just another way to write (4.3). Identities (4.4) and (4.5) are deduced in a similar way from (1.1) and (1.2) respectively. \( \square \)
We can also deduce the “inverse” identities.

**Corollary 4.3.** We have

\[(4.6) \quad \tilde{\Theta}(z,v)^{-1} T_u^{-1} = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{uz(1-v)}{M} \right] T_u^{-1} \Delta_{uv}^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}(z,v)^{-1}\]

\[(4.7) \quad \tilde{\Theta}(z,v)^{-1} T_u = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{uz(v-1)}{M} \right] \Delta_{uv} T_u \tilde{\Theta}(z,v)^{-1}\]

\[(4.8) \quad \tilde{\Theta}(z,v) T_u^{-1} = \text{Exp} \left[ \frac{uz(v-1)}{M} \right] T_u^{-1} \tilde{\Theta}(z,v) \Delta_{uv}.\]

*Proof.* Identities (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) are obtained simply by taking the inverses of (4.1), (4.2) respectively. \(\square\)

**Corollary 4.4.** We have

\[(4.9) \quad \Theta_{hk} e_j^+ = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^j r e_r^+ \Delta_{h_{j-r}} \Theta_{h_{j-r}}\]

\[(4.10) \quad \Theta_{hk} h_j^+ = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^j r \Delta_{e_{j-r}} h_r^+ \Theta_{h_{j-r}}\]

\[(4.11) \quad \Theta_{ek} e_j^+ = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^j r e_r^+ \Delta_{e_{j-r}} \Theta_{e_{j-r}}.\]

*Proof.* Identity (4.9) is obtained from (4.6) by letting \(v \mapsto 1\) and picking the coefficient of \(u^j z^k\), getting

\[(-1)^j \Theta_{hk} e_j^+ = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r e_r^+ \Delta_{h_{j-r}} \Theta_{h_{j-r}},\]

which is just another way to write (4.9). Identities (4.10) and (4.11) are deduced in a similar way from (4.7) and (4.8) respectively. \(\square\)

**5. Some consequences of Theorem 3.2**

In the rest of this article we will make extensive use of the following two basic facts without further reference to them: (1) the fact that the adjoint of the multiplication by \(\omega f^*\) with respect to the star scalar product is \(f^1\) (cf. (1.32)), and (2) that all the operators that are diagonal with respect to the Macdonald polynomials \(\bar{H}_\mu\) are self-adjoint with respect to the star scalar product (cf. (1.39)).

We start with a few easy applications of (3.2). Since they will be crucial in all the other applications, we will call them theorems.

**Theorem 5.1.** For every partition \(\mu \vdash k\) and every \(F \in \Lambda^{(n)}\) we have

\[(5.1) \quad \langle h_k^1 \Theta_{e_n} \bar{H}_\mu, F \rangle_* = F[MB_\mu].\]

*Proof.* Using (3.2) and (1.40) we have

\[\langle h_k^1 \Theta_{e_n} \bar{H}_\mu, F \rangle_* = \langle e_n[XB_n], F \rangle_* = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \langle \bar{H}_\lambda[X], F \rangle_* \bar{H}_\lambda[MB_\mu]/w_\lambda = F[MB_\mu].\]

*\(\square\)

**Theorem 5.2.** Given \(m,d \in \mathbb{N}\), for any \(A \in \Lambda^{(d)}\) and any \(F \in \Lambda^{(k)}\) we have

\[(5.2) \quad A^1 h_k^1 \Theta_{e_m} F = h_k^1 \Theta_{e_{m-d}} \Delta_{\omega A} F.\]
Proof. For any \( \gamma \vdash k \) and any \( \alpha \vdash m - d \), using (5.1), we have
\[
(A^\dagger h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \vec{H}_\gamma, \vec{H}_\alpha)_s = (h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \vec{H}_\gamma, (\omega A)^* \vec{H}_\alpha)_s = (\omega A)[B_\gamma] \vec{H}_\alpha [MB_\gamma] = (\omega A)[B_\gamma] (h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m - d} \vec{H}_\gamma, \vec{H}_\alpha)_s = (h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m - d} \Delta_{\omega A} \vec{H}_\gamma, \vec{H}_\alpha)_s.
\]
\( \square \)

Theorem 5.3. Given \( k, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( m \geq 1 \), for any \( G \in \Lambda^{(k)} \) and \( F \in \Lambda^{(\ell)} \) we have
\[
(5.3) \quad h_{k+\ell}^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \Theta_F G = \Delta_F h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} G.
\]
Proof. Using (5.2), for any \( A \in \Lambda^{(m)} \) we have
\[
(h_{k+\ell}^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \Theta_F G, A)_s = (\Pi^{-1} G, (\omega F)^{\dagger} h_m^{\dagger} \Theta_{\varepsilon_k} \Pi A)_s
\]
(\text{using (5.2)})
\[
= (\Pi^{-1} G, h_m^{\dagger} \Theta_{\varepsilon_k} \Delta_F \Pi A)_s = (\Delta_F h_k^{\dagger} \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} G, A)_s.
\]
\( \square \)

The following result is an easy extension of Theorem 3.2 which will be relevant in further applications.

Corollary 5.5. For any \( k, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( m \geq 1 \), \( \mu \vdash k \) and \( F \in \Lambda^{(\ell)} \) we have
\[
(5.5) \quad h_{k+\ell}^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \Theta_F \vec{H}_\mu = \Delta_F e_m [X B_\mu].
\]
Proof. Combine (5.3) and (3.2).
\( \square \)

5.1. Some applications. We recast here in terms of Theta operators two applications of Macdonald reciprocity, both due to Haglund. The first one is [Hag04 Equation (2.58)].

Corollary 5.6. For every non empty partition \( \nu \vdash k, A \in \Lambda^{(d)} \) and non-constant \( F \in \Lambda^{(m)} \) we have
\[
\sum_{\mu - k+d} \Pi_{\mu} \Theta_{\varepsilon_{\mu}} A \Theta F [MB_\mu] = \Pi_{\nu} (\Delta_A F) [MB_\nu].
\]
Proof. Using (5.1)
\[
\sum_{\mu - k+d} \Pi_{\mu} \Theta_{\varepsilon_{\mu}} A \Theta F [MB_\mu] = h_{k+d}^\dagger \Delta_{\phi F} \Theta_A \vec{H}_\nu
\]
(\text{using (5.2)})
\[
= (\omega \phi F)^{\dagger} h_{k+d}^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \Theta_A \vec{H}_\nu
\]
(\text{using (5.3)})
\[
= (\omega \phi F)^{\dagger} \Delta_A h_k^\dagger \Theta_{\varepsilon_m} \vec{H}_\nu
\]
(\text{using (5.1)})
\[
= (\Delta_A F) [MB_\nu].
\]
\( \square \)

Lemma 5.7. Given positive \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \), for every \( P \in \Lambda^{(n)} \) and \( Q \in \Lambda^{(k)} \) we have
\[
(5.6) \quad h_k^\dagger \Delta_P \Pi Q^* = h_n^\dagger \Delta_Q \Pi P^*.
\]
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Proof. Using (5.2) we have
\[ h_k^\perp \Delta P \Pi Q^* = (\omega P)^* h_k^\perp \Theta_{e_n} \Pi Q^* = (P^*, h_k^\perp \Theta_{e_n} \Pi Q^*), \]
\[ = (h_n^\perp \Theta_{e_k} \Pi P^*, Q^*) = (\omega Q)^* h_n^\perp \Theta_{e_k} \Pi P^* = h_n^\perp \Delta Q \Pi P^*. \]

□

The following result is [GHR19, Theorem 1].

Corollary 5.8. Given \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \), for every \( P \in \Lambda^{(n)} \) and \( Q \in \Lambda^{(k)} \) we have
\[ \langle \Delta P \alpha_k p_k, \omega Q \rangle = \langle \Delta Q \alpha_n p_n, \omega P \rangle. \]

Proof. Using (1.50) and (5.6) we have
\[ \langle \Delta P \alpha_k p_k, \omega Q \rangle = \langle \Delta P M \Pi e_k^*, Q^* \rangle = \langle M(e_k^*, \Delta P \Pi Q^*), Q^* \rangle = M h_k^\perp \Delta P \Pi Q^* = M h_n^\perp \Delta Q \Pi P^* = \langle \Delta Q \alpha_n p_n, \omega P \rangle. \]

□

We give here a few applications of the last result. The following result is [GHR19, Corollary 1] and first appeared in [Hag04].

Corollary 5.9. Given positive \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \), for every \( Q \in \Lambda^{(n)} \) we have
\[ \langle \Delta_{e_k} e_n, \omega Q \rangle = \langle \Delta Q e_k, h_k \rangle. \]

Proof. Using (5.11) we have
\[ \langle \Delta_{e_k} e_n, \omega Q \rangle = M \langle \Delta_{e_k} e_n \Pi e_n^*, Q^* \rangle = M h_n^\perp \Delta_{e_k} e_n \Pi Q^* \]
\[ (\text{using (5.6)}) = M h_n^\perp \Delta Q \Pi (e_k e_1)^* \]
\[ (\text{using (1.37)}) = M h_n^\perp \Delta Q \Pi e_k^* \]
\[ (\text{using (1.51)}) = \langle \Delta Q e_k, h_k \rangle. \]

□

We reproduce here a quick application of the last result, which first appeared in [DIV19a, Theorem 4.27], as we will use it below.

Corollary 5.10. Given \( m, n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n \geq k \) we have
\[ \langle \Delta_{h_n} \Delta_{e_m} e_{m+1}, h_{m+1} \rangle = \langle \Delta'_{e_m e_{m-k+1}} e_{m+n}, h_{m+n} \rangle. \]

Proof. Using (5.7) we have
\[ \langle \Delta_{h_n} \Delta_{e_m} e_{m+1}, h_{m+1} \rangle = \langle \Delta_{e_m} e_{m+n-k}, h_{m+n-k} \rangle \]
\[ (\text{using (1.37)}) = \langle \Delta_{e_m} e_{m+n-k}, h_{m+n-k} \rangle \]
\[ (\text{using (5.7)}) = \langle \Delta_{e_{m+n-k+1}} e_{m+n}, h_{m+n} \rangle. \]

Now using (1.46) we can easily conclude.

□

The following result is [GHR19, Corollary 2].

Corollary 5.11. Given positive \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \), for every \( Q \in \Lambda^{(n)} \) we have
\[ \langle \Delta_{h_{k-1}} e_n, \omega Q \rangle = (-q t)^{k-1} \langle \Delta Q h_k, e_k \rangle. \]
Proof. Using (1.51) we have

\[(\Delta_{h_{k-1}} e_n, \omega Q) = M(\Delta_{h_{k-1}} e_1, \Pi Q^*) = M h_{k-1}^* \Delta_{h_{k-1}} e_1, \Pi Q^* \]

(using (5.6)) = \(M h_{k-1}^* \Delta Q \Pi (h_{k-1} e_1)^*\)

(using (1.47)) = \(M h_{k-1}^* \Delta e_k \Delta Q \Delta_{h_{k-1}} e_1, \Pi (e_k)^*\)

(using (1.52)) = \((-qt)^{k-1} h_{k-1}^* \Delta e_k \Delta Q h_k\) = \((-qt)^{k-1} (\Delta e_k \Delta Q h_k, h_k)\)

(using (1.47)) = \((-qt)^{k-1} (\Delta Q h_k, e_k)\). \(\Box\)

6. SOME CONSEQUENCES OF COROLLARY 4.3

In this section we list some consequences of Corollary 4.3: several are new, others already appeared in the literature, in which case our new proofs are usually drastically shorter.

Lemma 6.1. Given \(m, \ell, k \in \mathbb{N}\), with \(m \geq 1\) and \(k > \ell\), for any \(F \in \Lambda(\ell)\) we have

\(h_k^* \Theta e_m F = 0\).

Proof. Using (4.3) we have

\(h_k^* \Theta e_m F = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \Theta e_{m+k-r} \Delta e_{k-r} h_k^* F,\)

but now \(h_k^* F \in \Lambda(\ell-r)\) so that \(\Delta e_{k-r} h_k^* F = 0\) for all \(r\). \(\Box\)

The following result first appeared in [DIV20, Lemma 6.1].

Theorem 6.2. Given \(n, k, \ell, r \in \mathbb{N}\), \(n \geq \ell\), for any \(F \in \Lambda(n-\ell)\)

(6.1) \(\langle \Theta e_\ell F, h_k e_{n-k} \rangle = \langle \Delta h_r F, h_k e_{n-k-r} \rangle\).

Proof. Using (4.1) and (4.3) we have

\(h_k^* e_{n-k} \Theta e_\ell = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} h_k^* \Theta e_{n-k+r} e_r^* \Delta_{n-k-r} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \Theta e_{n-r+s} \Delta e_{n-s} h_s^* e_r^* \Delta_{n-k-r}.\)

Acting on a symmetric function of degree \(n-\ell\), the terms of this sum can be nonzero only if \(r + s = n - \ell\): we need \(\ell - n + r + s \geq 0\) for the Theta operator and \(s + r \leq n - \ell\) from applying \(h_s^* e_r^*\). Now if this is the case, but \(s < k\), then \(\Delta e_{k-s}\) would apply to a constant, giving zero. Hence the only remaining term is the one with \(s = k\) and \(r = n - k - \ell\), which is \(h_k^* e_{n-k-\ell} \Delta h_r\), as claimed. \(\Box\)

Lemma 6.3. For \(n, r \in \mathbb{N}\), \(r > 1\) we have

(6.2) \(h_r^* p_n = \delta_{r,n}\)

(6.3) \(h_r^* e_n = \delta_{r,1} e_{n-1}\).

Proof. Identity (6.2) follows immediately from the well-know formula \(h_r = \sum_{\lambda-r} p_\lambda z_\lambda\), while (6.3) follows from Pieri rule. \(\Box\)

Theorem 6.4. Given \(k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\), \(n \geq 1\) we have

(6.4) \(h_k^* \Theta e_m e_n = \Theta e_{m-k} \Delta e_k e_n + \Theta e_{m-k+1} \Delta e_{k-1} e_{n-1}\).
Proof. Using (1.3) we have
\[ h_k^* \Theta e_m e_n = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \Theta_{e_{m-k+r}} \Delta_{e_{k-r}} h_r^* e_n \]
using (6.3) = \( \Theta_{e_{m-k}} \Delta e_k e_n + \Theta_{e_{m-k+1}} \Delta e_{k-1} e_{n-1} \).

The following result first appeared in [DIV20] Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 6.5.** Given \( n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n > k \) we have

\[ \Delta e_{n-k} e_n = \Theta e_k \Delta e_{n-k} e_{n-k} + \Theta_{e_{k+1}} \Delta e_{n-k+1} e_{n-k-1} \]

hence

(6.5)

\[ \Delta' e_{n-k-1} e_n = \Theta e_k \Delta e_{n-k} e_{n-k} \]

**Proof.** Using (1.51) we have

\[ \Delta e_{n-k} e_n = M \Delta e_{n-k} e_n \Pi e_n^* \]

(using (5.3)) = \( M h_{n-k+1}^* \Theta e_n \Pi e_{n-k} e_1^* \)

(using (1.47)) = \( M h_{n-k+1}^* \Theta e_n \Pi e_{n-k+1} \)

(using (1.51)) = \( h_{n-k+1}^* \Theta e_n e_{n-k+1} \)

(using (6.3)) = \( \Theta_{e_{k-1}} \Delta e_{n-k-1} e_{n-k} + \Theta_{e_k} \Delta e_{n-k} e_{n-k} \).

The last assertion follows now from (1.39).

We take the chance to give a reformulation (using (1.50)) of [DIV20] Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 6.6.** Given \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n > k \) we have

(6.6)

\[ \Delta e_{n-k} \Pi e_n^* = \Theta e_k \Delta e_{n-k} \Pi e_{n-k}^* \]

**Proof.** Using (1.47) we have

\[ \Delta e_{n-k} \Pi e_n^* = \Pi (h_{n-k}^* e_k^*) = \Theta e_k \Pi h_{n-k}^* = \Theta e_k \Delta e_{n-k} \Pi e_{n-k}^* \]

6.1. Some applications. The following lemma will be useful.

**Lemma 6.7.** Given \( k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \geq 1 \) we have

(6.7)

\[ h_k^* \Theta e_m \Pi e_n^* = \Pi (h_k^* e_{m-k} e_{n-k}^*) \]

**Proof.** If \( k > n \), we get 0 on both sides. If \( k = n \), this is a simple consequence of (5.4). Now using (1.39), we have for \( k < n \),

\[ h_k^* \Theta e_m \Pi e_n^* = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \Theta_{e_{m-k+r}} \Delta_{e_{k-r}} h_r^* \Pi e_n^* \]

(using (1.50) and (6.2)) = \( \Theta_{e_{m-k}} \Delta e_k \Pi e_n^* \)

(using (1.47)) = \( \Theta_{e_{m-k}} \Pi (h_k^* e_{n-k}^*) \)

= \( \Pi (h_k^* e_{m-k} e_{n-k}^*) \).

The following result first appeared in [DIV19] Theorem 4.4.

**Corollary 6.8.** Given \( m, n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n \geq 1 \) and \( m > k \) we have

\( \Delta e_{m+n-k} e_{m+n-k} e_{k} e_{n-k} e_{m-k} e_{n-k} = \Delta h_n \Delta' e_{m-k+1} e_{m+1} \).
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Corollary 6.11. Given positive $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \geq k$ we have

\begin{align*}
\langle \Delta_{e_{m+n-k}e_{m+n-k}, e_{k}h_{m-k}h_{m-k}} \rangle &= \langle \Pi^{-1} \Delta_{e_{m+n-k}e_{m+n-k}, \Pi(h^*_k e^*_m e^*_{m-k})} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (6.7))} &= \langle \Pi^{-1} \Delta_{e_{m+n-k}e_{m+n-k}, h^*_k \Theta e_{m} \Pi e^*_n} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (5.5))} &= \langle \Theta e_{k} \Delta_{e_{m+n-k}e_{m+n-k}, e^*_m e^*_n} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (5.8))} &= \langle \Delta_{h_{m} \Delta_{e_{m+n-k}e_{m+1}} h_{m+1}} \rangle.
\end{align*}

\[ \square \]

The following theorem first appeared in [DIV19a, Theorem 4.22 and Corollary 4.24].

Theorem 6.9. Given positive $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a \geq k$ we have

\begin{align*}
(6.8) \quad \langle \Delta_{e_{a} \Delta'_{e_{a+b-k-1}e_{a+b}, h_{a+b}}} \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{h_{k} \Delta_{e_{a-b}e_{a-b}, e_{a+b-k}}} \rangle,
\end{align*}

hence

\begin{align*}
(6.9) \quad \langle \Delta'_{e_{a} \Delta'_{e_{a+b-k-1}e_{a+b}, h_{a+b}}} \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{h_{k} \Delta'_{e_{a-b}e_{a-b}, e_{a+b-k}}} \rangle.
\end{align*}

Proof. For the first identity we have

\begin{align*}
\langle \Delta_{e_{a} \Delta'_{e_{a+b-k-1}e_{a+b}, h_{a+b}}} \rangle &= \langle \Delta'_{e_{a+b-k-1}e_{a+b}, e_{a}h_{b}} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (5.5))} &= \langle \Theta e_{k} \Delta_{e_{a+b-k}e_{a+b-k}, e_{a}h_{b}} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (5.11))} &= \langle \Delta_{h_{k} \Delta_{e_{a+b-k}e_{a+b-k}, e_{a-k}h_{b}}} \rangle \\
\quad \text{(using (1.47))} &= \langle \Delta_{h_{k} \Delta_{e_{a-b}e_{a-b}, e_{a+b-k}}} \rangle.
\end{align*}

Now the second identity follows easily from (1.10): replace $a$ by $a-i$ and $b$ by $b+i$ in the first identity, and then sum over $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with alternating signs. \[ \square \]

The following theorem is [GHR19 Theorem 2].

Corollary 6.10. Given positive $n, k, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq k$, $n > j$, we have

\begin{align*}
(6.10) \quad h^j_{n} \Delta_{e_{n-k} \alpha_{n} p_{n}} = \Delta_{e_{n-k-j} h_{j} \alpha_{n-j} p_{n-j}}.
\end{align*}

Proof. Using (1.50) we have

\begin{align*}
\quad \text{by using (1.50) we have} \\
\quad \text{by (5.5)} &= \langle \Delta_{h_{j} e_{n-k} e_{n-k} \alpha_{n-j} p_{n-j}} \rangle.
\end{align*}

\[ \square \]

The following theorem is [GHR19 Theorem 3].

Corollary 6.11. Given positive $n, k, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq k$, $n > j$, we have

\begin{align*}
\quad \text{by using (1.50) we have} \\
\quad \text{by (6.9)} &= \langle \Delta_{h_{j} h_{n-k} e_{n-j} \alpha_{n-j} p_{n-j}} \rangle.
\end{align*}
Proof. Using (1.50) we have
\[ h_j^1 \Delta_{n-k} \alpha_n p_n = M h_j^1 \Delta_{n-k} \Pi e_n^* \]
(using (5.4)) \[ = M h_j^1 h_n \Theta_{e_{n-k}} \Pi h_n^* \]
(using (1.47)) \[ = M h_j^1 h_n \Theta_{e_{n-k}} \Pi e_n^* \]
(using (5.2)) \[ = M h_n \theta_n h_n \Theta_{e_{2n-k-j}} \Delta_{e_{2j}} \Pi e_{2n-k-j}^* \]
(using (1.47)) \[ = M h_j^1 h_n \theta_n h_n \Theta_{e_{2n-k-j}} \Pi (h_j^* e_{n-k-j}) \]
(using (5.2)) \[ = M h_n \theta_n h_n \Delta_{h_j e_{n-k-j}} \Pi e_{2n-k-j}^* \]
(using (1.50)) \[ = h_j^1 \Delta_{n-k} \Delta_{e_{2n-k-j}} \alpha_{2n-k-j} p_{2n-k-j}. \]
\[ \square \]

7. Simplifying a long proof

In this section we provide a new proof of [DIV19a, Theorem 4.6] which is drastically shorter than the original one. In fact, we will reprove also some of the auxiliary results needed, as their proofs are much shorter as well.

7.1. A useful lemma. The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 7.1. For any \( n, j \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
\[ e_j^1 \tilde{H}(n) = q^{(j)} \binom{n}{j}_q \tilde{H}(n-j) \]
\[ h_j^1 \tilde{H}(n) = \binom{n}{j}_q \tilde{H}(n-j). \]

Proof. Using (1.41) we get
\[ T_u \tilde{H}(n) = (q; q)_n h_n \left[ \frac{X + \mu}{1 - q} \right] \]
(using (1.19)) \[ = \sum_{j=0}^n u^j h_{n-j} \left[ \frac{X}{1 - q} \right] (q; q)_n h_j \left[ \frac{1}{1 - q} \right] \]
(using (1.8)) \[ = \sum_{j=0}^n u^j (q; q)_n h_{n-j} \left[ \frac{X}{1 - q} \right] \binom{n}{j}_q. \]
Taking the coefficient of \( u^j \), we get the second identity (7.2). A similar computation gives the first identity (7.1). \[ \square \]

7.2. Reformulating and recovering some known results. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 7.2. For \( k, j \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( j \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq j \) we have
\[ \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j) = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{j-r} q^{(2)} \binom{j}{r}_q h^j \Theta_{e_{k-j+r}} \tilde{H}(r). \]

Proof. We start by using (1.3) to get
\[ h^j \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(r) = \sum_{s=0}^r \Theta_{e_{k-r+s}} \Delta_{r-s} h^s \tilde{H}(r) \]
(using (7.2) and (1.28)) \[ = \sum_{s=0}^r q^{(r-s)} \binom{r}{s}_q \Theta_{e_{k-r+s}} \tilde{H}(r-s) \]
\[ = \sum_{s=0}^r q^{(r-s)} \binom{r}{s}_q \Theta_{e_{k-r-s}} \tilde{H}(s). \]
Now multiplying by \((-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q\) and summing over \(r\) gives
\[
\sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q [r]_q \Theta_{e_k} \tilde{H}(r) =
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q \sum_{s=0}^r q^s [r]_q \Theta_{e_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{s=0}^j \sum_{r=s}^j q^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{s+j-r} [j]_q [r]_q \Theta_{e_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s)
\]
\[
= \sum_{s=0}^j q^j \sum_{r=s}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{s+j-r} [j]_q [r]_q \Theta_{e_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s)
\]
(by \((1.10)\))
\[
= \sum_{s=0}^j q^j \sum_{r=s}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{s+j-r} [s]_q [r]_q \theta_{e_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s)
\]
\[
= \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j)
\]
as we wanted. \(\square\)

Applying \((5.3)\) we get immediately the following corollary, which is a reformulation of \([GHS11\text{ Proposition }2.6]\).

**Corollary 7.3.** For \(k, j \in \mathbb{N}\) with \(j \geq 1\) and \(k \geq j\) we have
\[
\Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j) = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q e_k [X[r]_q].
\]

The following theorem is due to Haglund \([Hag08\text{ Equation } (7.86)\text{]})

**Theorem 7.4.** Given positive \(k, j \in \mathbb{N}\) we have
\[
\Delta_{e_k} E_{k,j} = t^{k-j} \Theta_{h_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j).
\]

**Proof.** We compute
\[
\sigma \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q e_k [X[r]_q] =
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q^j [j]_q q^{2-j} \sigma e_k [X[r]_q]
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{2-r} q^j [j]_q h_k \left[ X^{1-q^{-r}} \right]
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^j [j]_q (-q)^k e_k \left[ X^{1-q^{-r}} \right]
\]
while we also have
\[
\sigma \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j) = \sigma \Pi (e_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
\[
= \sigma \Pi \sigma (e_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
\[
= \sigma \Pi \sigma (h_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
(by \((1.49)\))
\[
= (qt)^{k-j} \sigma \Pi \sigma (h_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
(by \((1.44)\))
\[
= (-1)^{j-1} (qt)^{k-j} \sigma \Pi \sigma (h_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
(by \((1.49)\))
\[
= (-1)^j (qt)^{k-j} \nabla^{-1} \Pi (h_{k-j}^* \Pi -1 \tilde{H}(j))
\]
\[
= (-1)^j (qt)^{k-j} \nabla^{-1} \Theta_{h_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j),
\]
Theorem 7.6. Haglund \cite[Equation (2.38)]{Haglund2004}.

Proof. Using (4.3) we have reformulated as follows (cf. the corollary below). The special case as we wanted. □

Applying \(\Delta\) we have so (7.4) gives

\[
(-1)^j(qt)^{k-j}\Delta e_k \Theta_{h_k-j} \tilde{H}(j)[X] = \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r} q(j)_{r,q} [-r]_q (q^k e_k \left[\frac{1-q^{-r}}{1-q}\right])
\]

or better

\[
(-1)^k q^{-1} t^{k-j} \Theta_{h_k-j} \tilde{H}(j)[X] = q^j \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j} q^{r} q(j)_{r,q} [-r]_q (q^k e_k \left[\frac{1-q^{-r}}{1-q}\right]).
\]

But observe that the right hand side is precisely \(E_{k,j}[X]\) (see \(\text{(1.34)}\)), completing our proof. □

The following proposition first appeared in \cite[Proposition 4.9]{DIV19a}.

Proposition 7.5. Given positive \(k, j \in \mathbb{N}\) we have

\[
\Delta e_k \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j) = \sum_{s=1}^k q^{s} q(j)_{s,q} [-s]_q t^{k-s} \Theta_{h_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s).
\]

Proof. Applying \(\Delta e_k\) to (7.4) we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta e_k \Theta_{e_{k-j}} \tilde{H}(j) &= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q(j)_{r,q} [-r]_q \Delta e_k \left[\frac{1-q^{-r}}{1-q}\right] \\
(\text{using (1.35)}) &= \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q(j)_{r,q} [-r]_q \Theta_{h_{k-s}} [X] \\
(\text{using (7.5)}) &= \sum_{s=1}^k \sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^{j-r} q^{r-j} q(j)_{r,q} [-r]_q \Theta_{h_{k-s}} [X] \\
(\text{using (1.13)}) &= \sum_{s=1}^k q^{s} q(j)_{s,q} [-s]_q t^{k-s} \Theta_{h_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(s)
\end{align*}
\]

as we wanted. □

We are now able to give a much simpler proof of \cite[Theorem 4.6]{DIV19a}, which can be reformulated as follows (cf. the corollary below). The special case \(\ell = 0\) was a theorem of Haglund \cite[Equation (2.38)]{Haglund2004}.

Theorem 7.6. Given \(m, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \ m \geq 1\) we have

\[
\Delta_{k,\ell} \Theta_{e_{m}} \Theta_{e_{\ell}} \tilde{H}(k) = \sum_{r=0}^k q^{r} q(j)_{r,q} \left[\frac{k-r+b-1}{k-1}\right] t^{r+b} \Theta_{h_{k-r}} \Theta_{e_{m-r}} \tilde{H}(b).
\]

Proof. Using (1.3) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
h_{k,\ell} &\Theta_{e_{m}} \Theta_{e_{\ell}} \tilde{H}(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{k+\ell} \Theta_{e_{m(k+\ell)+r}} \Delta_{e_{k+\ell-r}} h_{k} \Theta_{e_{\ell}} \tilde{H}(k) \\
(\text{using (7.2) and (1.28)}) &= \sum_{r=0}^{k+\ell} \Theta_{e_{m(k+\ell)+r}} \Delta_{e_{k+\ell-r}} \Theta_{e_{m-r+s}} \Theta_{h_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(k-s) \\
(\text{using (7.5) and (1.13)}) &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-s} q^{r-s} q(j)_{r,s,q} [-s]_q \Delta_{e_{k+\ell-r}} \Theta_{e_{m-r+s}} \Theta_{h_{k-s}} \tilde{H}(k-s)
\end{align*}
\]
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Now using (7.6) we get

\[ h_{k+\ell}^{(r)} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \tilde{H}(k) = \]

\[ \sum_{r=0}^{k+\ell} \Theta_{e_{m-(k+\ell)r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{r-s} \sum_{b=1}^{k+\ell-r} q^{(k-r)b} \sum_{q=1}^{k-s-1} \frac{b-1}{q} t^{k+\ell-r-b} \Theta_{h_{k+\ell-r-b}} \tilde{H}(b) \]

\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{k+\ell} \Theta_{e_{m-(k+\ell)r}} \sum_{s=0}^{k+\ell-r} \sum_{b=1}^{k+\ell-r} q^{r-s} \sum_{q=1}^{k-s-1} \frac{b-1}{q} t^{k+\ell-r-b} \Theta_{h_{k+\ell-r-b}} \tilde{H}(b) \]

\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{k+\ell} q^{(k-r)} \sum_{b=1}^{k+\ell-r} \sum_{s=0}^{k+\ell-r} q^{(k-s)(r-s)} \sum_{q=1}^{k-s-1} \frac{b-1}{q} t^{k+\ell-r-b} \Theta_{h_{k+\ell-r-b}} \tilde{H}(b) \]

where in the last equality we used the well-known (1.11), and above we used the elementary

\[ \left( r-s \right) + \left( k-s \right) = \left( k-r \right) + (k-s-1)(r-s) . \]

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 7.7.** Given \( m, k, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \), \( m \geq 1 \) we have

\[ \Delta_{e_\ell} e_m [X[k]_q] = \sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{b=1}^{r} \left( k-r+b-1 \right) \sum_{q=1}^{k-r+b-1} \frac{b-1}{q} t^{k-r+b} \Theta_{h_{k-r+b}} \tilde{H}(b) \]

**Proof.** Just use (5.3) and (7.5) in (7.7) to conclude. \( \square \)

### 7.3. More applications.

The following result first appeared in [DIV19b Theorem 3.7]. The case \( s = 0 \) already appeared in [DIV19a Proposition 4.26].

**Theorem 7.8.** Given \( s, \ell, m, j \in \mathbb{N} \), \( m \geq 1 \), we have

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{s} t^{s-k} (\Delta_{h_{s+k}} \Delta_{e_\ell} e_m [X[k]_q]) = 0 \]

**Proof.** We have

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{s} t^{s-k} (\Delta_{h_{s+k}} \Delta_{e_\ell} e_m [X[k]_q]) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} t^{s-k} h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (5.3))

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{s} h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (7.5))

\[ = \sum_{k=1}^{s} h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (1.35))

\[ = h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (6.3))

\[ = h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (5.2))

\[ = h_m^{s+\ell+j} \Theta_{e_m} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{e_\ell} \Theta_{h_{s+k}} \tilde{H}(k) \]

(uses (1.47))

\[ = (\Theta_{e_\ell} \Delta_{e_{s+1}}^{s+\ell} e_{s+\ell}, e_m h_{s+k+j-m}) \]

(uses (6.1))

\[ = (\Delta_{h_{s+k}} \Delta_{e_{s+1}}^{s+\ell} e_{s+\ell}, e_m h_{s+k+j-m}) \]

\[ \square \]
Theorem 7.9. Given \( s, \ell, m, j \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1 \), we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} (\Delta_{h_{s-k}} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} e_{m} [X[k]_q], e_{j} h_{m-j} \Delta_{\ell}) = \left[ \frac{s}{s+\ell} \right] (\Delta_{h_{j}} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} \omega(p_{s+\ell}), e_{m-j} h_{s+\ell+j-m}).
\]

Proof. We have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} (\Delta_{h_{s-k}} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} e_{m} [X[k]_q], e_{j} h_{m-j}) = \\
= \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} \Delta_{h_{s-k}} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} e_{m} [X[k]_q] \\
(\text{using (5.5))} = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (1.53))} = M[s+\ell]_{q} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (6.5))} = M[s+\ell]_{q} \left[ \frac{s+\ell}{k} \right] q t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (1.53))} = \left[ \frac{s}{s+\ell} \right] t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (5.2))} = \left[ \frac{s}{s+\ell} \right] t^{s-k} h_{m}^{k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (1.47))} = \left[ \frac{s}{s+\ell} \right] t^{s-k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k} \\
(\text{using (6.1))} = \left[ \frac{s}{s+\ell} \right] t^{s-k} \Delta_{e_{\ell}} E_{s+\ell} E_{s,k}.
\]

\[
\square
\]

8. More new identities

We can extend (7.10).

Proposition 8.1. Given \( j, r, \ell, s, k \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
\Delta_{e_{j-r}} \Theta_{\ell+r} \tilde{H}_{(k-s)} = \\
= \sum_{a=0}^{k-s} q^{-a} \left[ \frac{k-s}{a} \right] q^{j-r+a} \left[ \frac{k-s-a}{b-1} \right] q^{-a} \left[ \frac{j-r}{2} \right] \Delta_{e_{j-r+a}} E_{j-r+a,b}.
\]

Proof. Applying the Delta operator to (7.4) we get

\[
\Delta_{e_{j-r}} \Theta_{\ell+r} \tilde{H}_{(k-s)} = \sum_{c=0}^{k-s} (-1)^{k-s-c} q^{-(k-s)c} q^{-c} \left[ \frac{k-s}{c} \right] q^{c} \Delta_{e_{j-r+c+k-r}} [X[c]_q].
\]
Now using (7.8) we have

\[
\sum_{c=0}^{k-s} (-1)^{k-s-c} q^{(k-s)q_{j}^{(c)}} \frac{k-s}{c} \Delta_{\xi_{j} \cdot c \ell + k - r} [X[c]_q] = \\
= \sum_{c=a}^{k-s} (-1)^{k-s-c} q^{(k-s)c q_{j}^{(a)}} \frac{k-s}{c} \sum_{b=0}^{c} q^{(a) q_{j}^{(b)}} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \\
\times \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} c-a+b-1 \text{ (} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \text{)} \\
= \sum_{a=0}^{k-s} q_{j}^{(a)} [k-s] a \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} q_{j}^{(a)} [k-s-a] \frac{k-s-a}{c-a} \text{ (} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \text{)} \\
\times \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} c-a+b-1 \text{ (} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \text{)} \\
= \sum_{a=0}^{k-s} q_{j}^{(a)} [k-s] a \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} b-1 \text{ (} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \text{)} \\
\times \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} c-a+b-1 \text{ (} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \text{)} \\
\text{where in the last equality we used (1.13).} \\
\]

We can now extend our (7.1).

**Theorem 8.2.** Given \(j, m, \ell, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 1\) we have

\[
(8.2) \quad h_{j}^{i} \Theta_{e_{m}} \Theta_{e_{\ell}} \tilde{H}(k) = \\
= \sum_{r=0}^{j} q \sum_{a=0}^{k} \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} q_{j}^{(a)} [k] [b] \frac{k-s-a}{b} \frac{k-s-a}{c} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot e_{m} \cdot e_{\ell} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b} \\
+ \sum_{r=0}^{j} q \sum_{a=0}^{k} \sum_{b=1}^{j-r+a} q_{j}^{(a)} [k] \frac{k-s-a}{b} \frac{k-s-a}{c} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot e_{m} \cdot e_{\ell} \cdot k \cdot a} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot s} E_{j-r+a,b}.
\]

**Proof.** Using twice (7.3) we have

\[
(\text{using (7.2) and (1.28)}) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{j} q \sum_{a=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{r} q_{j}^{(a)} [k] \frac{k-s}{r} \frac{k-s}{s} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot r \cdot s} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot e_{\ell} \cdot s} \tilde{H}(k-s) \\
= \sum_{r=0}^{j} q \sum_{a=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{r} q_{j}^{(a)} [k] \frac{k-s}{r} \frac{k-s}{s} \Delta_{e_{j} \cdot r \cdot s} \Theta_{\xi_{j} \cdot e_{\ell} \cdot s} \tilde{H}(k-s). \\
\]
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Now using (8.1) we finally get
\[ h_1^j \Theta_{\alpha_m} \Theta_e \tilde{H}(k) = \]
\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \sum_{a=0}^{j-r+a} \sum_{b=1}^{k-r+a} q^{(k-r+a)} \left[ b - 1 \right] \left[ b + r - a - 1 \right] \left[ k - a - 1 \right] \Theta_{\alpha_m} \Theta_{e_{\ell,k-j-a}} \Delta_{e_{j-r+a}} E_{j-r+a, b} \]
where in the last equality we used (11.4). □

The case \( \ell = m \) of the following corollary is a reformulation of [DIV20, Theorem 7.6].

Corollary 8.3.
\[ h_1^j \Delta_{\epsilon_{\ell}} e_m [X[k]_q] = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \sum_{a=0}^{j-r+a} \sum_{b=1}^{k-r+a} q^{(k-r+a)} \left[ b - 1 \right] \left[ b + r - a - 1 \right] \left[ k - a - 1 \right] \Theta_{\alpha_m} \Theta_{e_{\ell,k-j-a}} \Delta_{e_{j-r+a}} e_{m-j} [X[b]_q] \]

Proof. Using (3.2), (5.2), (8.2) and Lemma 6.1 we have
\[ h_1^j \Delta_{\epsilon_{\ell}} e_m [X[k]_q] = h_1^j \Delta_{\epsilon_{\ell}} h_k^j \Theta_{\alpha_m} \tilde{H}(k) = h_k^{j+1} \Theta_{\alpha_m} \Theta_{e_{\ell}} \tilde{H}(k) = \]
\[ = \sum_{r=0}^{j} \sum_{a=0}^{j-r+a} \sum_{b=1}^{k-r+a} q^{(k-r+a)} \left[ b - 1 \right] \left[ b + r - a - 1 \right] \left[ k - a - 1 \right] \Theta_{\alpha_m} \Theta_{e_{\ell,k-j-a}} \Delta_{e_{j-r+a}} E_{j-r+a, b} \]
where in the last equality we used (11.4). □

9. Even more new identities

We start with the following new identity.
Theorem 9.1. Given $j,m,p,k$ with $k \geq 1$ we have

\begin{equation}
(9.1) \quad h_j^t \Theta_{hp} \Theta_{em} \tilde{H}(k) = \sum_{s=0}^{j-s} \sum_{r=0}^{s} q^{(t-1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \\ s-r \end{array} \right] \sum_{q,r} \Delta_{h_{j-s}} h^t \Theta_{hp_{j-s}} \Theta_{em_{j-s}} \tilde{H}(k-r).
\end{equation}

Proof. Using (7.4) we have

\[
(9.1) = \sum_{s=0}^{j-s} \sum_{r=0}^{s} q^{(t-1)} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \\ s-r \end{array} \right] \sum_{q,r} \Delta_{h_{j-s}} h^t \Theta_{hp_{j-s}} \Theta_{em_{j-s}} \tilde{H}(k-r).
\]
As a corollary, we get the following identity, which is a reformulation of [DIV18 Proposition 9.2].

Corollary 9.4. Given $j, m, k$ with $k \geq 1$ we have

$$h_j^k \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_{m+k}|_{t=0} = \sum_{r=0}^j q^{{j+r \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \Delta'_{e_{k+r-1}} e_{m+j+k}|_{t=0}. $$

Proof. Just combine the special case $p=0$ of (9.1) with (9.3).

9.1. Further applications. The following result first appeared in [HRS18 Lemma 3.7].

Corollary 9.5. Given $m, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$e_j^k \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_{m+k}|_{t=0} = \sum_{r=0}^j q^{{j+r(m+j+r) \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \Delta'_{e_{k+r-1}} e_{m+j+k}|_{t=0}.$$

Proof. Just apply (9.3) to (9.4) with $p=0$, and then use (9.3).

The following result first appeared in [HRS20 Lemma 3.1].

Corollary 9.6. Given $m, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$e_j^k \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_{m+k}|_{t=0} = \sum_{r=0}^j q^{{j+r \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+j-r-1 \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \Delta'_{e_{k+r-1}} e_{m+j+k}|_{t=0}. $$

Proof. Using (9.3) we have

$$e_j^k \Delta'_{e_{k-1}} e_{m+k}|_{t=0} = e_j^k \Theta_{e_m} \widetilde{H}(k) - \sum_{r=0}^j \Theta_{e_{m-j+r}} e_r^k \Delta_{h_{j+r}} \widetilde{H}(k).$$

(Using (4.1))

$$= \sum_{r=0}^j q^{{j+r \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+j-r-1 \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \Theta_{e_{m-j+r}} \widetilde{H}(k-r)$$

(Using (7.2))

$$= \sum_{r=0}^j q^{{j+r \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+j-r-1 \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \Delta'_{e_{k+r-1}} e_{m+j+k}|_{t=0}. $$

(Using (9.3))

The following result first appeared in [DIV19b Theorem 3.4], though the special case $j=0$ already appeared in [DIV19a Theorem 4.17].

Theorem 9.7. Given $m, k, \ell, j, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 1$ we have

$$t^p \langle \Delta_{h_{j+r-b}} \Delta_{e_j e_m} X[k]_q, e_j h_{m-j} \rangle =$$

$$= t^p \sum_{r=0}^k q^{{k \choose 2}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+r-b-1 \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} k-r \vspace{1mm} \\
\end{array} \right]_q \ell^{j+r-b} \Delta_{h_{j+r-b}} \Delta_{e_{m-j+r}} e_{p+\ell} \langle X[b]_q, e_{p+\ell} \rangle.$$
Proof. We have
\[ t^p(\Delta_{h_p} \Delta_{e_m}[X[k]q], e_j h_{m-j}) = \]

(using (1.47)) \[ t^p(\Delta_{e_j} \Delta_{e_m}[X[k]q], h_m) = t^p h_m \Delta_{h_p} \Delta_{e_j} e_m[X[k]q] \]

(using (1.2)) \[ t^p e_p h^p \Theta_{e_p \pi} \Delta_{e_j} e_m[X[k]q] \]

(using (6.5)) \[ t^p e_p h^p \Theta_{e_p \pi} h^p \Theta_{e_m \pi} \bar{H}(k) \]

(using (6.4)) \[ t^p e_p h^p h^p \Theta_{e_p \pi} \sum_{r=0}^{k} q^{(r)} \left[ \sum_{r-1}^{j} \frac{r!}{r! j!} \frac{k}{r} \right] \frac{k-r+b-1}{k-1} q^{j+r-b} \Theta_{h_{j+r} \pi} \bar{H}(b) \]

(using (6.5)) \[ t^p \sum_{r=0}^{k} q^{(r)} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{r} \frac{r!}{i! (r-i)!} \frac{k}{r} \right] \frac{k-r+b-1}{k-1} q^{j+r-b} (\Delta_{h_{j+r} \pi} \Delta_{e_{m-j-r}} e_p \pi \pi[X[b]q], e_p h_{\ell}) \]

\[ \square \]

10. APPENDIX: PROOFS OF ELEMENTARY LEMMAS

In this appendix we prove some of the elementary lemmas that we used in the text.

10.1. Proof of (1.17). From the summation formula (1.19) for homogeneous symmetric functions, we get
\[ h_{i-1} [q^{i-a}[b-i+1]q] = h_{i-1} [(b-a+1)q - (i-a)q] \]
\[ = \sum_{c=1}^{i} h_{c-1} [(b-a+1)q] h_{i-1-(c-1)} [-a]q \]
\[ = \sum_{c=1}^{i} h_{c-1} [(b-a+1)q] e_{i-c} [(i-a)q] (-1)^{i-c}. \]

Now using the evaluations (1.6) and (1.7), we have
\[ q^{(i-a)(i-1)}[b-1, i-1]q = \sum_{c=1}^{i} \frac{c-a+b-1}{c-1} q^{i-c} (-1)^{i-c} \]

The sum can start at \( c = a \) since the second binomial would otherwise be 0, and multiplying both sides by \( q^{(r)} \) we get the lemma.

Remark 10.1. Notice that (1.12) can be proved with a similar argument, starting with \( h_{i+a} [q^{i-1}[s-i+1]q] = h_{i+a} [(s)q - (i-1)q] \).

10.2. Proof of (1.14). Using (1.4) we have
\[ \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(s)} \left[ \frac{r}{s} \frac{k-s}{a} \frac{q^{(k-s)-a(k-s-1)}}{k-s-1} \right] = \]
\[ = \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(s)+k-s-a(k-s-1)} \frac{r}{s} \frac{k-s-1}{a} \left[ \frac{b-1}{k-s-1} \right] \frac{q^{(r)}}{s} \]
\[ + \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(s)+k-s-a(k-s-1)} \frac{r}{s} \frac{q^{(k-s)-a(k-s-1)}}{k-s-a-1} \left[ \frac{b-1}{k-s-a-1} \right] \frac{q^{(s)}}{s} \]
\[ = \left[ \frac{b-1}{a} \right] \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(s)+k-s-a(k-s-1)} \frac{r}{s} \frac{k-s-a}{k-s-a-1} q^{(s)} \left[ \frac{b-1}{k-s-a-1} \right] \]
\[ + \left[ \frac{b-1}{a-1} \right] \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(s)+k-s-a(k-s+a)} \frac{r}{s} \frac{q^{(k-s)-a(k-s-a)}}{k-s-a} \left[ \frac{b-1}{k-s-a} \right]. \]
Now using the elementary
\[
\binom{r-s}{2} + \binom{a}{2} + \binom{k-s}{2} - a(k-s-1) = \\
= \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - 2rs + s^2 - r + s + a^2 - a + k^2 + s^2 - 2ks - k + s - 2ak + 2as + 2a) \\
= s^2 - ks - rs + as + s - r - ar + kr + \frac{1}{2}(r^2 + r + 2ar + a^2 + a - 2kr + k^2 - k - 2ak) \\
= (r - s)(k - s - a - 1) + \binom{k-r-a}{2},
\]
so that
\[
\binom{r-s}{2} + \binom{a}{2} + \binom{k-s}{2} - a(k-s-1) + (k-s-a) = \\
= (r - s)(k - s - a - 1) + (k - s - a) + \binom{k-r-a}{2} \\
= (r - s)(k - s - a) + (k - r - a) + \binom{k-r-a}{2} \\
= (r - s)(k - s - a) + \binom{k-r-a+1}{2},
\]
we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{q=0}^{r} q^{\binom{r-s}{2}+\binom{a}{2}+(\binom{k-s}{2})-a(k-s-1)} r \binom{b-a-1}{s} \frac{q}{q(k-s-a-1)} + \\
+ \sum_{q=0}^{a-1} q^{\binom{r-s}{2}+\binom{a}{2}+(\binom{k-s}{2})-(a-1)(k-s)} r \binom{b-a}{s} \frac{q}{q(k-s-a)} = \\
q^{k-r-a} \binom{b-a-1}{a} \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{r-s}(k-s-a-1) r \binom{b-a-1}{s} \frac{q}{q(k-s-a-1)} + \\
+ q^{k-r-a+1} \binom{b-a-1}{a} \sum_{s=0}^{r} q^{(r-s)(k-s-a-1)} r \binom{b-a}{s} \frac{q}{q(k-s-a)} \\
= q^{k-r-a} \binom{b+a-r-a-1}{a} \frac{q}{q(k-a-1)} + q^{k-r-a+1} \binom{b+a-r}{a-1} \frac{q}{q(k-a)}
\end{align*}
\]
where in the last equality we used (1.11).

10.3. Proof of (1.15). We have
\[
q^{k-r-a} \binom{b-a-1}{a} \frac{q}{q(k-a-1)} + q^{k-r-a+1} \binom{b-a-1}{a-1} \frac{q}{q(k-a)} = \\
= q^{k-r-a} \binom{b-a-1}{a} \frac{q}{q(k-a-1)} \left[ \frac{(k-a)_q}{(b-k)_q} + q^{k-a} \frac{a_q}{b-a_q} \right] \\
= q^{k-r-a} \frac{(k-1)_q}{(k)_q} \frac{[b-1]}{[b-k]_q} \frac{[a]}{[b-a]_q} \frac{[k]}{[k-a]_q} \\
= q^{k-r-a} \frac{(k-1)_q}{(a)_q} \frac{[b-1]}{[k-1]_q}.
\]
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