Patterns of engagement in HIV care during pregnancy and breastfeeding: findings from a cohort study in North-Eastern South Africa
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Abstract

Background: Eliminating mother-to-child transmission of HIV (MTCT) in sub-Saharan Africa is hindered by limited understanding of HIV-testing and HIV-care engagement among pregnant and breastfeeding women.

Methods: We investigated HIV-testing and HIV-care engagement during pregnancy and breastfeeding from 2014 to 2018 in the Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS). We linked HIV patient clinic records to HDSS pregnancy data. We modelled time to a first recorded HIV-diagnosis following conception, and time to antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation following diagnosis using Kaplan-Meier methods.

We performed sequence and cluster analyses for all pregnancies linked to HIV-related clinic data to categorise MTCT risk period engagement patterns and identified factors associated with different engagement patterns using logistic regression.

We determined factors associated with ART resumption for women who were lost to follow-up (LTFU) using Cox regression.

Results: Since 2014, 15% of 10,735 pregnancies were recorded as occurring to previously (51%) or newly (49%) HIV-diagnosed women. New diagnoses increased until 2016 and then declined. We identified four MTCT risk period engagement patterns (i) early ART/stable care (51.9%), (ii) early ART/unstable care (34.1%), (iii) late ART initiators (7.6%), and (iv) postnatal seroconversion/early, stable ART (6.4%). Year of delivery, mother’s age, marital status, and baseline CD4 were associated with these patterns. A new pregnancy increased the likelihood of treatment resumption following LTFU.

Conclusion: Almost half of all pregnant women did not have optimal ART coverage during the MTCT risk period. Programmes need to focus on improving retention, and leveraging new pregnancies to re-engage HIV-positive women on ART.
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Background
In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) mother-to-child transmission (MTCT) rates ranged from 1.9% in Botswana to 31.9% in Somalia in 2019 [1]. The risk of MTCT starts at conception and continues until breastfeeding cessation, which ranges from 18 to 24 months in SSA countries [2–4]. Without intervention, MTCT risk is estimated at 5–10% during pregnancy, 10–15% during delivery, and 5–20% during breastfeeding, with the cumulative risk being 30–45% for infants who are breastfed for 18–24 months [5]. The adoption of Option B+ by most treatment programmes in SSA, including South Africa in 2015, has led to large reductions in MTCT rates [6, 7]. Option B+ has dramatically increased the number of pregnant women initiating ART, however retention is challenging, particularly in the postnatal period [8]. Reasons for disengagement include still feeling healthy, transition from PMTCT to adult ART services, stigma, and denial of HIV status [9–12]. In 2019, the United Nations Children’s Emergency Fund (UNICEF) released their roadmap for the elimination of mother-to-child transmission of HIV (EMTCT) [13], which identified missed opportunities for EMTCT including failure to prevent seroconversion during pregnancy or breastfeeding, mothers living with HIV (MLHIV) who do not receive antiretroviral therapy (ART), MLHIV who previously started ART and stopped, and MLHIV who started ART late in their pregnancy.

An increase in the proportion of people initiating ART shortly after being diagnosed with HIV, as per World Health Organisation (WHO) guidance [14], has in turn led to an increased spectrum of engagement in care patterns, with treatment interruption, reengagement in care and clinic transfers becoming increasingly prevalent [15–18]. Previous studies have used cohort and cross-sectional data to focus on the occurrence of specific events along the care cascade including linkage to care, ART initiation, and ART discontinuation [7, 19, 20]. However, these snapshots do not always capture the complexity of individual care trajectories. Monitoring these trajectories and understanding the factors that underlie patterns of disengagement and reengagement in care is becoming increasingly important to inform interventions to accelerate progress towards the second and third targets of the UNAIDS 95–95–95 goals and to reduce MTCT [21].

To inform interventions to address missed opportunities for EMTCT, we investigated HIV testing and engagement in care for pregnant and breastfeeding women in north-eastern South Africa. Using linked demographic surveillance and health facility data, we report on HIV testing events, identify periods of ART engagement and discontinuation during the pregnancy and postpartum periods for women living with HIV (WLHIV), and investigate factors associated with ART resumption during the MTCT risk period.

Methods
Setting and data sources
The Agincourt Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) is approximately 525 km² with an estimated population of 120,000 residents living in 20,000 households within 31 villages [22]. The HDSS is located in Mpumalanga province, South Africa with HIV prevalence estimated at 14.1% across all ages [22–24]. In 2013, the total fertility rate was estimated as 2.4 children per woman [25]. Data sources and data used are summarised in Additional file 1.

Demographic surveillance
Fertility, mortality and migration data are collected annually from residents, based on a comprehensive household registration system, in operation since 1992 [22, 26]. Fieldworkers visit each household and interview the most knowledgeable adult available to obtain information on demographic events occurring since the last census [22, 27, 28]. The HDSS also collects verbal autopsy data to ascertain probable causes of death [29, 30]. Wealth quintiles were calculated using a list of household asset indicators collected as part of demographic surveillance. These calculations have been described in more detail elsewhere [30].

Point-of-contact interactive record linkage (PIRL)
Since 2014, HIV patient visits to ART health facilities in the area have been logged by fieldworkers and linked to HDSS resident records using Point-of-Contact Interactive Record Linkage (PIRL), described in detail elsewhere [31, 32]. In brief, a fieldworker conducts a short uptake interview with patients in the health facility waiting area. Consenting patients are asked for personal identifiers used to search the HDSS database using a probabilistic algorithm. Matches are confirmed in interaction with the patients, and names of household members are used as a key attribute to adjudicate between possible matches. Linkage data extraction for these analyses occurred on July 11, 2018.

Record review and patient tracing study
Lost to follow-up (LTFU) data are drawn from a study described in detail elsewhere [33, 34]. Briefly, on August 15, 2017, all patients within the PIRL database who were considered LTFU (more than 90 days late for a scheduled health facility visit) were recruited into a cohort and followed up to ascertain whether they were still alive and on treatment.
Data preparation and statistical analysis
All pregnancies occurring from 2014 onwards, recorded in the demographic surveillance database and ending in a live birth were eligible for this analysis. Women could contribute more than one pregnancy. Pregnancy data extraction for these analyses occurred on January 31, 2020, with the last recorded delivery occurring on November 11, 2018.

The Agincourt HDSS does not routinely conduct HIV serological surveys among its population. However, the availability of PIRL data presented a unique opportunity to update prevalence estimates [35], with linkage to an HIV-treatment health facility record presumed to indicate an HIV diagnosis. We identified HIV-treatment health facility records linked to each pregnancy through the PIRL database to determine dates of HIV diagnosis, ART initiation, and all HIV-associated health facility visits. Where no ART initiation date was reported, the first ART-related health facility visit date was used as the ART initiation date. Where the HIV diagnosis date was missing, it was assumed to have occurred 280 days prior to the delivery date. Demographic and health surveys data suggests that 10% of babies were still breastfed at 23 months in South Africa in 2016 [36], therefore, breastfeeding cessation was set at 24 months (730 days) following the delivery date to give the longest possible period of transmission risk.

Seroconversions and ART initiation
Any pregnancy for which an HIV diagnosis date occurred before the conception date was excluded from seroconversion analyses. Time to a first recorded HIV-positive result was calculated with the estimated conception date as the origin. We also calculated time to ART initiation with the date of the first recorded HIV-positive result as the origin. These time-to-event data were modelled using Kaplan-Meier methods.

Sequence states and outcomes
All pregnancies for which a first HIV-positive result was recorded before breastfeeding cessation were considered for this analysis. Each pregnancy was assigned a 1010-day sequence corresponding to 280 days of pregnancy and 730 days of breastfeeding. There were nine possible sequence states (Table 1).

Using a state sequence-analysis approach, and utilising Optimal Matching distances, we identified distinct clusters of sequences with similar patterns of engagement in care during the MTCT risk period. Using logistic regression, we determined factors associated with belonging to a given cluster, after multiple imputation to account for missingness in the explanatory variables.

Factors associated with ART resumption
Using the record review and tracing study data, we restricted these analyses to women of reproductive age who were considered LTFU. Resumption of ART was defined as either reengagement or transfer, following loss to follow-up. Follow-up time began on the date of each patient’s last recorded clinic visit. Follow-up time was split into monthly intervals to account for pregnancy which was included as a time-varying covariate. We linked pregnancy data from the HDSS to identify new pregnancies, defined as a pregnancy occurring after LTFU that was not associated with previous HIV-treatment episodes. Women were considered pregnant if a monthly interval fell within the period of a new pregnancy identified through HDSS data. All other covariates remained constant in each time interval. A Cox regression model was used to determine the factors associated with ART resumption, with all other outcomes considered to be right-censored. Bi-variate analyses were conducted with a priori selected variables for which there was a plausible association to ART resumption. All variables with $p < 0.1$ were included in the multivariable Cox model.

Table 1 Definitions of all the possible sequence states

| State       | Definition                                                                 |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Unknown HIV | No health facility records of an HIV diagnosis, or ART initiation before the pregnancy or breastfeeding period. |
| HIV+ no ART | Following HIV diagnosis, ART not initiated, or ART initiated but without any follow-up visits immediately following ART initiation. |
| On ART      | At least one health facility visit following the ART initiation visit. Women remained on ART up to their next scheduled visit. |
| Late        | Between 7 and 90 days late for a scheduled health facility visit following ART initiation. |
| LTFU        | More than 90 days late for a scheduled health facility visit following ART initiation. |
| Deceased    | From the date of death extracted from HDSS records.                        |
| Transferred | A record of treatment collection from a health facility within the Agincourt HDSS other than where ART initiation occurred. |
| Reengaged   | Restarted treatment at the same health facility where ART initiation occurred following LTFU. |
| Migration   | Out migrated from the HDSS with no evidence of health facility visits following the migration date. |
regression model. A parsimonious model was achieved using Wald tests. All models accounted for clustering at the clinic level and utilised robust standard errors.

Sequence analyses were conducted using the TraMineR R-package [37]. All other analyses were conducted using Stata 16 [38].

Results
Population characteristics
Between January 2014 and November 2018, 10,102 women had 10,831 pregnancies recorded in the HDSS, among which 96 (0.9%) ended in a still birth or abortion. The remaining 10,735 live births were recorded for 10,035 women (Table 2). Median age at delivery was 26 years (IQR: 21, 32).

Seroconversions and ART initiation
Of the 10,735 pregnancies ending in live births, 1628 (15.2%) were linked to an HIV-related health facility record. Of these, 823 (50.6%) had a first HIV-positive test recorded before the estimated conception date and were excluded from Kaplan-Meier (KM) models, 585 (35.9%) had a first test recorded during the pregnancy, 127 (7.8%) had a first test recorded during the breastfeeding period, and 93 (5.7%) had a first test occurring after breastfeeding cessation.

For women contributing more than one pregnancy to the analysis, previous pregnancies contributed analysis time up to the estimated conception date of the next pregnancy. The cumulative probability of having a positive HIV test by the end of the most recent pregnancy was 5.95, and 7.47% by the end of breastfeeding. The probability of having an HIV positive test varied by year of delivery (with a 1.5% reduction between 2016 and 2017 (p = 0.0201)) (Fig. 1), was higher for older women starting at 0% in 2014 and increasing to 39.25% by 2018 (p < 0.001). Of 258 WLHIV but not on ART when they became pregnant, 133 (51.5%) had not initiated ART at delivery. Of 165 WLHIV who were LTFU when they became pregnant, 109 (66.1%) were still LTFU at delivery, however, 148 (89.7%) resumed ART by the end of the breastfeeding period (Fig. 2).

The highest resumption happened in the 6 months leading up to delivery (33 (20.0%) second trimester, 28 (17%) third trimester), was its lowest in the 3 months after delivery (17 (10.3%) pregnant women) and increased during the breastfeeding period before levelling off (Additional file 4).

Cluster analysis identified four distinct patterns of engagement in care in the MTCT risk period: (i) women who initiated ART early and were stable in care (n = 796, 51.9%), (ii) women who initiated ART early but were not stable in care (characterised by frequent ART stoppages or late appointment attendance) (n = 524, 34.1%), (iii) women who were late ART initiators (n = 117, 7.6%), and (iv) postnatal seroconversion with early, stable ART (n = 98, 6.4%). In the logistic regression model, older women and women who delivered more recently were more likely to be in the early stable ART group. Younger women were more likely to seroconvert postnatally.

Factors associated with ART resumption
Of 767 (75.4%) women recorded as LTFU in the PIRL database, 62 (8.1%) were older than reproductive age (> 51 years) and were excluded from this analysis. Of the remaining 705, 279 (39.6%) initiated ART for PMTCT, 354 (50.2%) had been LTFU for less than a year, 468 (66.4%) had a baseline CD4 > 200 cells/ µL, and 84 (11.9%) had a pregnancy occurring during the follow-up time. The median age was 31 years (IQR: 27, 36) (Table 3).

Of these 705 women, 247 (35.0%) were found to have resumed ART (147 (59.5%) reengaged and 100 (40.5%) transferred). Women were out of care a median of 312 days (IQR: 182, 541) before resuming

Of the remaining 1535 pregnant women, 710 (46.3%) had an unknown HIV status, 258 (16.8%) were HIV-positive but not on ART, 344 (22.4%) were on ART, 58 (3.8%) were late for their most recent appointment, and 165 (10.7%) were LTFU from HIV care at the beginning of their pregnancy. There was a yearly increase in the proportion of women already on ART at the beginning of their pregnancy starting at 0% in 2014 and increasing to 39.25% by 2018 (p < 0.001). Of 258 WLHIV but not on ART when they became pregnant, 133 (51.5%) had not initiated ART at delivery. Of 165 WLHIV who were LTFU when they became pregnant, 109 (66.1%) were still LTFU at delivery, however, 148 (89.7%) resumed ART by the end of the breastfeeding period (Fig. 2).

The highest resumption happened in the 6 months leading up to delivery (33 (20.0%) second trimester, 28 (17%) third trimester), was its lowest in the 3 months after delivery (17 (10.3%) pregnant women) and increased during the breastfeeding period before levelling off (Additional file 4).

Cluster analysis identified four distinct patterns of engagement in care in the MTCT risk period: (i) women who initiated ART early and were stable in care (n = 796, 51.9%), (ii) women who initiated ART early but were not stable in care (characterised by frequent ART stoppages or late appointment attendance) (n = 524, 34.1%), (iii) women who were late ART initiators (n = 117, 7.6%), and (iv) postnatal seroconversion with early, stable ART (n = 98, 6.4%). In the logistic regression model, older women and women who delivered more recently were more likely to be in the early stable ART group. Younger women were more likely to seroconvert postnatally. Women who delivered in 2015 and 2016 were least likely to be in the late ART group or to seroconvert postnatally. Married women and women with a baseline CD4 > 500 cells/ µL were more likely to initiate ART late. (Additional file 5).
### Table 2: Sociodemographic characteristics of all pregnancies recorded in the Agincourt HDSS since 2014

| Not linked to HIV-related health record (%) | First recorded HIV+ test before conception (%) | During pregnancy (%) | During breastfeeding period (%) | After breastfeeding period (%) | Total (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| **Pregnancies** 9107 (84.8) | 823 (7.7) | 585 (5.4) | 127 (1.2) | 93 (0.9) | 10,735 |
| **Delivery year** | | | | | | |
| 2014 | 2248 (83.9) | 154 (5.8) | 156 (5.8) | 53 (2.0) | 67 (2.5) | 2673 |
| 2015 | 2046 (84.4) | 167 (6.9) | 145 (6.0) | 39 (1.6) | 26 (1.1) | 2423 |
| 2016 | 1888 (84.6) | 182 (8.2) | 139 (6.2) | 23 (1.0) | 0 (0) | 2232 |
| 2017 | 1749 (85.7) | 185 (9.1) | 94 (4.6) | 12 (0.6) | 0 (0) | 2040 |
| 2018 | 1176 (86.3) | 135 (9.9) | 51 (3.7) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1362 |
| **Age group** | | | | | | |
| 10–14 | 32 (100.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 32 |
| 15–19 | 1663 (95.0) | 20 (1.1) | 33 (1.9) | 18 (1.0) | 17 (1.0) | 1751 |
| 20–24 | 2517 (89.8) | 96 (3.4) | 132 (4.7) | 32 (1.1) | 27 (1.0) | 2804 |
| 25–29 | 2244 (84.0) | 185 (6.9) | 184 (6.9) | 34 (1.3) | 24 (0.9) | 2671 |
| 30–34 | 1517 (78.0) | 244 (12.5) | 141 (7.2) | 26 (1.3) | 17 (0.9) | 1945 |
| 35–39 | 804 (73.4) | 202 (18.4) | 72 (6.6) | 10 (0.9) | 7 (0.6) | 1095 |
| 40+ | 330 (75.5) | 76 (17.4) | 23 (5.3) | 7 (1.6) | 1 (0.2) | 437 |
| **Gravida** | | | | | | |
| 1 | 6462 (88.9) | 315 (4.3) | 345 (4.7) | 83 (1.1) | 61 (0.8) | 7266 |
| 2 | 1961 (78.3) | 318 (12.7) | 171 (6.8) | 29 (1.2) | 26 (1.0) | 2505 |
| 3 | 474 (71.2) | 135 (20.3) | 47 (7.1) | 8 (1.2) | 2 (0.3) | 666 |
| 4 | 133 (66.5) | 41 (20.5) | 17 (8.5) | 6 (3.0) | 3 (1.5) | 200 |
| 5 | 45 (71.4) | 11 (17.5) | 5 (7.9) | 1 (1.6) | 1 (1.6) | 63 |
| 6 | 24 (88.9) | 3 (11.1) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 27 |
| 7 | 6 (100.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 6 |
| 8 | 2 (100.0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 2 |
| **Planned pregnancy** | | | | | | |
| No | 4170 (85.2) | 357 (7.3) | 258 (5.3) | 65 (1.3) | 44 (0.9) | 4894 |
| Yes | 3998 (83.7) | 398 (8.3) | 282 (5.9) | 53 (1.1) | 46 (1.0) | 4777 |
| Missing | 939 (88.3) | 68 (6.4) | 45 (4.2) | 9 (0.8) | 3 (0.3) | 1064 |
| **Education** | | | | | | |
| No formal education | 97 (76.4) | 15 (11.8) | 9 (7.1) | 4 (3.1) | 2 (1.6) | 127 |
| Primary | 1289 (79.2) | 172 (10.6) | 118 (7.2) | 28 (1.7) | 21 (1.3) | 1628 |
| Secondary | 6303 (85.8) | 524 (7.1) | 385 (5.2) | 77 (1.0) | 56 (0.8) | 7345 |
| Tertiary | 599 (92.7) | 21 (3.3) | 22 (3.4) | 3 (0.5) | 1 (0.2) | 646 |
| Missing | 819 (82.8) | 91 (9.2) | 51 (5.2) | 15 (1.5) | 13 (1.3) | 989 |
| **Marital status** | | | | | | |
| Single | 5902 (85.4) | 492 (7.1) | 369 (5.3) | 89 (1.3) | 58 (0.8) | 6910 |
| Married | 1312 (88.8) | 91 (6.2) | 56 (3.8) | 11 (0.7) | 8 (0.5) | 1478 |
| Informal union | 1390 (84.2) | 129 (7.8) | 98 (5.9) | 16 (1.0) | 18 (1.1) | 1651 |
| Separated | 359 (77.9) | 57 (12.4) | 33 (7.2) | 4 (0.9) | 8 (1.7) | 461 |
| Divorced | 78 (60.9) | 28 (21.9) | 17 (13.3) | 4 (3.1) | 1 (0.8) | 128 |
| Widowed | 66 (61.7) | 26 (24.3) | 12 (11.2) | 3 (2.8) | 0 (0) | 107 |
ART (Reengaged: 333 (170, 525), Transferred: 297.5 (185, 563), \( p = 0.6482 \)). In the multivariable Cox model, a new pregnancy was associated with ART resumption (aHR: 2.79, 95% CI: 1.31, 5.95) when compared to women who did not have a pregnancy occurring during the follow-up time. Longer time on ART before LTFU, higher baseline CD4, initiating ART after 2015, and being on a longer refill schedule (a proxy for stable patients) were associated with a higher hazard of ART resumption following LTFU. The hazard of ART resumption was lower the longer a patient had been LTFU (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Using linked demographic surveillance and health facility data, we investigated patterns of HIV testing and engagement in care for pregnant and breastfeeding women. Our findings showed a reduction in the number of women testing positive each year during the MTCT risk period. We also identified four patterns of engagement in care during the MTCT risk period representing varying times of seroconversion and different levels of care stability following ART initiation, with women’s age, marital status, baseline CD4 and the year of delivery predicting these patterns. We also found that following

---

**Table 2** Sociodemographic characteristics of all pregnancies recorded in the Agincourt HDSS since 2014 (Continued)

| Not linked to HIV-related health record (%) | First recorded HIV+ test | Total (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
|                                            | Before conception (%)    | During pregnancy (%) | During breastfeeding period (%) | After breastfeeding period (%) |
| Wealth quintiles                          |                          |                      |                           |                               |
| 1                                         | 1369 (82.2)              | 156 (9.4)           | 103 (6.2)                 | 25 (1.5)                      | 12 (0.7)                     | 1665 |
| 2                                         | 1607 (82.4)              | 167 (8.6)           | 130 (6.7)                 | 26 (1.3)                      | 21 (1.1)                     | 1951 |
| 3                                         | 1715 (85.0)              | 150 (7.4)           | 105 (5.2)                 | 24 (1.2)                      | 24 (1.2)                     | 2018 |
| 4                                         | 1895 (85.2)              | 167 (7.5)           | 117 (5.3)                 | 25 (1.1)                      | 21 (0.9)                     | 2225 |
| 5                                         | 1967 (88.2)              | 135 (6.1)           | 98 (4.4)                  | 20 (0.9)                      | 10 (0.4)                     | 2230 |
| Missing                                   | 554 (85.8)               | 48 (7.4)            | 32 (5.0)                  | 7 (1.1)                       | 5 (0.8)                      | 646  |

---

**Fig. 1** Kaplan-Meier curves showing the probability of an HIV-positive test during the vertical transmission risk period stratified by the year of delivery. This figure shows the cumulative probability of having an HIV positive test linked to a pregnant or postpartum woman given there was no previous record or evidence of HIV diagnosis or clinic attendance for HIV care. This cumulative probability is stratified by delivery year showing a reduction in the cumulative probability in 2017 and 2018.
discontinuation of HIV care, ART resumption was more likely for women who had a new pregnancy.

An estimated 15% of pregnancies resulting in a live birth recorded in the Agincourt HDSS were to women who had utilised HIV-related healthcare. Of the pregnancies linked to HIV-related healthcare utilisation, 51% were to previously diagnosed women and 49% had no previous HIV-related healthcare data and were presumed to be new diagnoses. Although some of the women who were previously diagnosed had disengaged from care, encouragingly most resumed treatment over the study period. Reengagement in care is becoming a more common phenomenon as more healthy patients initiate ART [15]. Our finding that a new pregnancy was associated with an increased probability of resumption was probably due to increased interaction with the health system, as well as a desire to protect their baby. Whilst about half of these women resumed ART before delivery, research shows that ART initiation early in the pregnancy is most effective at reducing MTCT risk [14, 39]. Furthermore, whilst ART resumption is a positive outcome, it represents a programmatic failure of Option B+ which aims to keep women on ART for life and extend protection throughout subsequent pregnancies. Treatment interruptions may also contribute to drug resistance [40, 41]. We found that 66.1% of WLHIV who were LTFU when they became pregnant and 51.5% of WLHIV but not on ART when they became pregnant were still not on treatment by delivery, indicating that ART programmes still have much work to do to initiate and keep less compliant patients on ART.

The cumulative probability of a new HIV diagnosis occurring during the MTCT risk period was estimated as 5.9% during pregnancy, increasing to 7.5% by the breastfeeding cessation. The proportion of women testing positive for HIV during pregnancy increased until 2016, reaching 7%, and then declined in 2017 to 5%. These are likely to be underestimates as some women might have attended private facilities or facilities outside the HDSS. Additionally, while UNAIDS estimated that 90.1% of pregnant women in South Africa were tested for HIV in 2019 [1], without a comprehensive HIV testing database where both negative and positive results are recorded, it is likely that not all seroconversions were captured. Nonetheless, the reduction in the number of women testing HIV positive in 2017 is encouraging. Option B+ and treat all were implemented as part of the paradigm.
| Table 3 | Factors associated with resumption of ART following loss to follow-up |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | LTFU                   | HR (95% CI) | p-value | aHR (95% CI) | p-value |
| 705     | N (%)                  |            |         |             |         |
| Pregnancy during follow-up time |          |            |         |             |         |
| No      | 621 (88.1)             | Reference  | __      | Reference  | __      |
| Yes     | 84 (11.9)              | 2.85 (1.50, 5.43) | 0.001 | 2.79 (1.31, 5.95) | 0.008 |
| Age     | 117 (16.6)             | 0.81 (0.44, 1.50) | 0.511 |
| 25–34   | 379 (53.8)             | Reference  | __      |
| 35–44   | 161 (22.8)             | 1.01 (0.78, 1.31) | 0.927 |
| 45–51   | 48 (6.8)               | 0.88 (0.62, 1.24) | 0.462 |
| ART reason |          |            |         |             |         |
| Non-PMTCT | 426 (60.4)          | Reference  | __      |
| PMTCT   | 279 (39.6)             | 0.78 (0.66, 0.92) | 0.003 |
| ART start year |          |            |         |             |         |
| 2014    | 144 (20.4)             | 1.18 (0.69, 1.99) | 0.545 | 0.88 (0.48, 1.63) | 0.69  |
| 2015    | 288 (40.8)             | Reference  | __      | Reference  | __      |
| 2016    | 248 (35.2)             | 1.36 (1.07, 1.71) | 0.011 | 2.17 (1.28, 3.67) | 0.004 |
| 2017    | 25 (3.6)               | 1.38 (0.72, 2.64) | 0.324 | 3.08 (1.07, 8.84) | 0.037 |
| Time on ART |          |            |         |             |         |
| ≤ 3 months | 208 (29.5)          | Reference  | __      | Reference  | __      |
| 3–6 months | 150 (21.3)          | 0.90 (0.61, 1.33) | 0.599 | 1.06 (0.71, 1.58) | 0.78  |
| 6–12 months | 174 (24.7)          | 1.70 (1.09, 2.65) | 0.018 | 1.98 (1.20, 3.26) | 0.008 |
| 12–24 months | 139 (19.7)         | 3.29 (1.44, 7.51) | 0.005 | 4.43 (1.66, 11.84) | 0.003 |
| > 24 months | 34 (4.8)            | 5.73 (3.60, 9.10) | < 0.001 | 7.90 (3.56, 17.51) | < 0.001 |
| Baseline CD4 |          |            |         |             |         |
| < 100     | 105 (15.4)             | 0.67 (0.34, 1.32) | 0.244 | 0.76 (0.37, 1.59) | 0.474 |
| 100–199   | 107 (15.7)             | 0.82 (0.62, 1.08) | 0.152 | 0.87 (0.62, 1.22) | 0.416 |
| 200–349   | 183 (26.9)             | Reference  | __      | Reference  | __      |
| 350–499   | 159 (23.4)             | 0.90 (0.68, 1.19) | 0.461 | 1.17 (0.82, 1.67) | 0.395 |
| 500+      | 126 (18.5)             | 1.48 (1.01, 2.17) | 0.044 | 1.76 (1.40, 2.20) | < 0.001 |
| Baseline WHO stage |          |            |         |             |         |
| I         | 554 (79.6)             | Reference  | __      |
| II        | 75 (10.8)              | 1.27 (0.93, 1.73) | 0.136 |
| III       | 62 (8.9)               | 1.20 (0.84, 1.76) | 0.672 |
| IV        | 5 (0.7)                | 0.91 (0.24, 3.43) | 0.885 |
| Refill schedule |          |            |         |             |         |
| 1 month   | 469 (66.5)             | Reference  | __      | Reference  | __      |
| 2 months  | 157 (22.3)             | 0.93 (0.56, 1.56) | 0.731 | 0.86 (0.47, 1.60) | 0.646 |
| 3 months  | 60 (8.5)               | 1.29 (0.94, 1.78) | 0.112 | 0.88 (0.54, 1.44) | 0.604 |
| > 3 months | 19 (2.7)              | 6.42 (4.24, 9.73) | < 0.001 | 2.79 (2.11, 3.69) | < 0.001 |
| Health Facility |          |            |         |             |         |
| Agincourt | 195 (27.7)             | Reference  | __      |
| Belfast   | 135 (19.2)             | 0.36 (0.34, 0.39) | < 0.001 | 0.48 (0.41, 0.57) | < 0.001 |
| Cunningmore | 44 (6.2)             | 0.37 (0.35, 0.40) | < 0.001 | 0.65 (0.53, 0.80) | 0.001 |
shift towards using treatment as prevention. South Africa national guidelines moved to Option B+ in 2015 and to universal treatment in 2016 [6, 42], all of which have been widely adopted in the area covered by the Agincourt HDSS. Other countries have reported a surge in the volume of pregnant women testing positive and utilising PMTCT services as more women became eligible for ART following the implementation of Option B+ [43]. This was followed by a steady decline as more WLHIV became aware of their HIV status [44]. Our data suggests, there was a further reduction in 2018, however, further research and monitoring of this trend will be needed.

Over half of HIV-exposed pregnancies occurred to women who already knew their HIV status. There is a general recognition that the number of HIV-positive women having children who already know their HIV-status is increasing [44, 45], illustrating the importance of keeping women engaged in care and virally suppressed. Our finding that older age was associated with sustained retention on ART aligns with other studies [46–49]. Later year of delivery was also found to be associated with early stable ART with the odds of late ART decreasing over time potentially showing the benefits of simplified treatment guidelines under Option B+. We found that married women were more likely to initiate ART late which other studies have reported citing the need for their partner’s support [50–52]. As such, interventions that improve partner support such as couple testing or joint counselling are important to encourage early ART initiation for married women [10, 53, 54].

Teenage mothers were more likely to have a first positive test recorded postnatally which might suggest late seroconversion, late testing, or poorer health seeking behaviour, all of which have been reported as important factors among this age group in similar settings [55–57]. Older women were more likely to have already seroconverted or to be on treatment at the beginning of their pregnancy which possibly reflects their longer time at risk for HIV. There were lower odds of postnatal seroconversion in later years, possibly indicating reduced incidence of HIV in the wider population.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the health facilities used may not capture every sentinel event as a small proportion of HDSS residents receive ART through private facilities or public facilities outside the HDSS. Furthermore, we did not have treatment adherence data. The assumption that a patient was in care until their next scheduled visit might therefore misclassify some patients. During the linkage process a very small proportion of residents declined to be linked and we did not manage to link everyone that claimed to be an HDSS resident. These linkage errors might bias these results downwards. Finally, there are biases arising from using routinely collected data. If women testing positive did not self-report a previous diagnosis, we would have erroneously categorised them as newly diagnosed. Additionally, if a woman tested positive but did not report to the PMTCT clinic to start treatment then she would have been missed as this data would only be available in the testing registers which were not linked to HDSS data. Finally, data from 2018 does not include clinic data past July 2018 or pregnancy data past November 2018, as such HIV incidence reduction seen in our analysis in 2018 might be an effect of the data.

This study also has some strengths. To our knowledge, this is the first study to use linkage of population and clinical records to estimate HIV incidence in pregnant women in SSA. The method of linking routinely collected data to answer this question shows promise and should be considered in future research. The use of multiple data sources also means we were able to crosscheck matching data and had access to new pregnancies data and socioeconomic variables which are not always available in clinical data.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, our study shows the importance of new pregnancies in encouraging treatment resumption for women previously LTFU. This coupled with the
transitions in the number and proportion of pregnant and postpartum women testing HIV positive should be considered as successes of the Option B+ programme. Our study also shows the growing scale of reengagement in care. Treatment programmes will need interventions to keep pregnant women on ART after delivery and ensure that pregnant women previously in care are reengaged promptly for their own health and to protect their unborn infants.
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