Ancestral Inference and the Study of Codon Bias Evolution: Implications for Molecular Evolutionary Analyses of the Drosophila melanogaster Subgroup
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Reliable inference of ancestral sequences can be critical to identifying both patterns and causes of molecular evolution. Robustness of ancestral inference is often assumed among closely related species, but tests of this assumption have been limited. Here, we examine the performance of inference methods for data simulated under scenarios of codon bias evolution within the Drosophila melanogaster subgroup. Genome sequence data for multiple, closely related species within this subgroup make it an important system for studying molecular evolutionary genetics. The effects of asymmetric and lineage-specific substitution rates (i.e., varying levels of codon usage bias and departures from equilibrium) on the reliability of ancestral codon usage was investigated. Maximum parsimony inference, which has been widely employed in analyses of Drosophila codon bias evolution, was compared to an approach that attempts to account for uncertainty in ancestral inference by weighting ancestral reconstructions by their posterior probabilities. The latter approach employs maximum likelihood estimation of rate and base composition parameters. For equilibrium and most non-equilibrium scenarios that were investigated, the probabilistic method appears to generate reliable ancestral codon bias inferences for molecular evolutionary studies within the D. melanogaster subgroup. These reconstructions are more reliable than parsimony inference, especially when codon usage is strongly skewed. However, inference biases are considerable for both methods under particular departures from stationarity (i.e., when adaptive evolution is prevalent). Reliability of inference can be sensitive to branch lengths, asymmetry in substitution rates, and the locations and nature of lineage-specific processes within a gene tree. Inference reliability, even among closely related species, can be strongly affected by (potentially unknown) patterns of molecular evolution in lineages ancestral to those of interest.
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INTRODUCTION

Inference of ancestral and derived nucleotides within populations or among lineages is a critical step in a number of approaches to identify mechanisms of molecular evolution. Ancestral state inference has been employed to reveal episodic, or lineage-specific base composition and protein evolution [e.g., 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07]. In addition, several population genetic tests rely on ancestral reconstructions to reveal the action of natural selection on functional classes of mutations or in particular genetic regions [e.g., 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13]. This study addresses the accuracy of ancestral codon usage inference using the phylogenetic relationships and distances among species in the Drosophila melanogaster subgroup as a model tree. This group of species has been the focus of a large number of studies of mechanisms of molecular evolution. In addition, genome sequences are now available for five species in this subgroup and large-scale polymorphism studies are underway for at least two species, D. melanogaster and D. simulans. Most studies that have incorporated ancestral inference in the D. melanogaster subgroup have employed maximum parsimony because it is simple to implement and is assumed to be accurate among closely related lineages [e.g., 08, 14, 06, 15, 16, 17, 18]. However, parsimony inference can be biased under non-random character state usage (i.e., base composition bias) and long branch lengths [19]. Genome-wide declines of GC content have been inferred in a number of Drosophila lineages [02, 20, 21, 22, 23, 15, 24, 25, 26, 27, 16] as well as among mammals [28, 29, 30, 31, 32; 33]. Support for increases in GC content in Drosophila are confined to specific genes or small genetic regions and/or a limited number of lineages [06, 34, 35, 36, 37]. Some studies have employed inference methods that account for rate variation among types of nucleotide changes or among lineages, but many claims of base composition differentiation are based solely on parsimony reconstructions. Because parsimony is biased toward inference of changes from common to rare states, genes whose base composition is skewed toward GC can show apparent declines of GC in the absence of actual changes in base composition [19,38,39,40,41].

Here, we employ computer simulations to determine the reliability of ancestral codon usage inference under parsimony and likelihood approaches that have been employed in studies of Drosophila codon bias evolution. The likelihood method implements the HKY85 model [42] which includes parameters for base composition bias. This study begins with a description of “major codon preference”, a model of weak selection at silent sites. Sequence data generated by computer simulations of major codon...
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preference were used to determine the magnitude and direction of ancestral inference biases. Factors examined include levels of codon bias, branch lengths, departures from equilibrium, and the location of lineages within a phylogeny. We show that the direction and magnitude of biases in ancestral inference are dependent on all of these factors and are relevant to the study of molecular evolution among closely related species within the *D. melanogaster* subgroup. More general implications for inferring ancestral sequences under asymmetric and/or fluctuating substitution rates are discussed.

**METHODS AND RESULTS**

**Major codon preference**

Synonymous codon usage appears to evolve under a balance among weak evolutionary forces in Drosophila and in a wide range of taxa [reviewed in 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48]. Codons that are used preferentially in highly expressed genes tend to be recognized by abundant tRNA isoacceptors [43,49,50,51,52,53]. Such codons are termed “preferred” or “major” codons. Major codon usage is defined as the overall percentage of major codons at redundant codons in a gene, \( MCU = \frac{\# \text{ major codons}}{\# \text{ major codons} + \# \text{ minor codons}} \). \( MCU \) is positively correlated with gene expression levels [reviewed in 47] and biochemical studies have shown faster and more accurate translation at major codons than at other codons that encode the same amino acid, termed “minor” or “unpreferred” codons [reviewed in 45, 54]. Major codon preference posits that translationally superior codons confer a fitness advantage sufficient to bias codon usage (especially in highly expressed genes) but small enough to allow minor codons to persist through mutation pressure and genetic drift. Within-and-between-species comparisons of silent mutations in a number of Drosophila species are consistent with small fitness benefits to major codon usage [08, 55, 14, 56, 15, 26, 57, 58, 17].

Under major codon preference, the evolutionary dynamics of synonymous changes are determined by the joint effects of mutation, genetic drift, and weak selection. Consider a two-fold redundant codon where \( \Lambda_1 \) represents a major codon (relative fitness = 1) and \( \Lambda_2 \) represents a minor codon (relative fitness = 1 - \( \delta \)). Mutations may occur at different rates \( u \), from \( \Lambda_1 \) to \( \Lambda_2 \), and \( v \), in the reverse direction. \( \Lambda_1 \) to \( \Lambda_2 \) changes will be designated “\( pu \)” (for preferred to unpreferred) and \( \Lambda_2 \) to \( \Lambda_1 \) changes will be referred to as “\( up \)” (for unpreferred to preferred). At a locus consisting of a number of such codons, the frequency of major codons at the locus, MCU, will reach a steady state if \( u/v \) and \( N_e \) remain constant over a large number of generations (see Methods S1 for details). However, small changes in parameter values can cause departures from steady-state that are both strong and long-lasting; new equilibria are approached on a time scale on the order of the reciprocal of per site mutation rate (\( 10^8–10^9 \) generations in Drosophila). If changes in mutation rates or selection intensity occur on a faster time scale than the approach to equilibrium, codon usage may rarely be at steady-state.

Identifying lineage-specific codon bias changes and their causes is important to our understanding of evolution at synonymous sites and may help to reveal mechanisms of protein evolution [02]. Figures 1A and C show expected rates of \( up \) and \( pu \) substitutions following three-fold decreases and two-fold increases in \( N_e \), respectively. These \( N_e \) changes can cause departures from steady-state that are both strong and long-lasting; new equilibria are approached on a time scale on the order of the reciprocal of per site mutation rate (\( 10^8–10^9 \) generations in Drosophila). If changes in mutation rates or selection intensity occur on a faster time scale than the approach to equilibrium, codon usage may rarely be at steady-state.

**Figure 1. Silent divergence under departures from equilibrium major codon usage.** The x-axis shows MCU values prior to a change in \( N_e \). A: expected instantaneous per locus rates of \( pu \) and \( up \) fixations when \( N_e \) decreases to 1/3 its original value across genes. B: expected \( d_{up,pu} = (\# \text{ up} - \# \text{ pu})/(\# \text{ up} + \# \text{ pu}) \) for the 1/3\( N_e \) scenario (decreasing codon bias). C and D: expected instantaneous silent rates and \( d_{up,pu} \) after a doubling of \( N_e \) (increasing codon bias). Legends and X-axis scales apply to graphs in the same column. See text for details of the model. The curves assume that variation in selection coefficients underlies MCU variation among genes (\( u/v = 1 \) across genes).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g001
ratios give approximately two-fold excesses of $pu$ and $up$ changes, respectively, for a gene with initial MCU = 0.7. Changes in $Ne$ are assumed to be constant across loci (i.e., in Figures 1A and B, all loci experience a three-fold decrease from their initial $Ne$ values). A measure of skew, $d_{up\leftrightarrow pu} = (\#up - \#pu)/(\#up + \#pu)$, is employed as an index of the direction and magnitude of departures from steady-state. Under non-stationary $Ne$-s, expected $d_{up\leftrightarrow pu}$ varies considerably as a function of MCU.

Mutational variation can also cause genome-wide changes in codon bias. Because fixation rates are linearly dependent on mutation rates, the $pu/cap$ substitution ratio will reflect the altered ratio of $per$ locus mutation rates. If codon bias variation among lineages is caused by uniform parameter changes, then comparisons of $d_{up\leftrightarrow pu}$ among codons experiencing different selection intensities (i.e., codons in different genes or regions within genes or in different synonymous families) can identify whether changes in scaled selection coefficients or mutational biases underlie codon bias differences. It should be noted, however, that the predictions above are based on a model that does not account for ancestral polymorphism. When $Ne$ is large, the frequency distribution of silent polymorphisms may need to be considered when predicting $up/pu$ ratios following parameter changes. McVean and Charlesworth [59] showed that equilibrium MCU predictions are robust to polymorphism, but this result has not been confirmed for non-stationary evolution.

Simulations of codon bias evolution

Computer simulations were employed to test the performance of ancestral reconstruction methods with a focus on inference of non-stationary codon bias and its causes in the D. melanogaster subgroup.

Tree topology and branch lengths

Data were simulated for six extant nodes given a topology and branch lengths set to estimates for the D. melanogaster subgroup (Figure 2). This tree topology is strongly supported in analyses of nuclear genes using sequences from relatively closely related outgroup species from the takahashii and suzuka subgroups [60,61]. Analyses of much larger numbers of loci (but with more distantly related outgroups) are generally consistent with this tree, but also support gene-specific topologies that vary with respect to the placement of the D. yakuba and D. erecta clades [62].

![Figure 2. Synonymous distance trees for six Drosophila melanogaster subgroup species.](image)

Average synonymous divergence is shown for six species in the D. melanogaster subgroup in Figure 2. The branch lengths in the “1x” tree in Figure 2 were employed in the simulations. Extant nodes on the simulated tree will be referred to by the first letter of the species’ name. Internal nodes are named according to their child nodes (i.e., the node connecting t and y is “ty” and the node connecting ty and eo is “tyeo”). Lineages will be referred to by the name of the upper node (i.e., “m” refers to the branch connecting the ms and m nodes and “ms” refers to the branch between the ms and eo nodes). Branch lengths were chosen to give a symmetric (unrooted) tree with silent divergence levels between maximum likelihood [63] and Nei-Gojobori (NG) [64] estimates (see Figure 2 legend for details).

Although data were simulated for the ancestral lineage (msyeo) as well as the ten lineages in the 1x tree shown in Figure 2, ancestral states were reconstructed on an unrooted tree. Thus, silent changes were assigned to eight lineages: m, s, t, y, e, o, ty, and eo.

Simulating sequence evolution

Nucleotide changes were simulated for sequences with random amino acid usage (i.e., each amino acid was represented in the sequence in proportion to its level of redundancy in the standard genetic code). Sequence lengths were 4,941 (61 x 81) codons. Only synonymous changes at third codon positions were simulated (six-fold redundant codons evolved as two-fold or four-fold families). For each codon, state-transition or “substitution” probabilities to other synonymous codons were calculated by multiplying a per site per generation mutation rate of $2 \times 10^{-3}$ and the fixation probability for the mutation. Codon changes in the simulated trees will be referred to as “fixations” or “substitutions” although population data were not simulated. Equal mutation rates were assumed among all nucleotides. All G- and C-ending synonymous codons were assigned a fitness of 1 and all A- and T-ending codons were assigned a fitness of 1-$\delta$. $Ne$ was set to 3,000 diploid individuals and values of $\delta$ were assigned to give the following equilibrium MCU values: 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, and 0.95 (in these simulations, MCU is equivalent to %GC). Nucleotide ambiguity codes S and W will be used to refer to G or C and A or T, respectively. This substitution model will be referred to as the “GCpref codon” model.

Variable parameters in the simulations were: the numbers of generations on each branch, mutation rates, the fitness advantage of G- or C-ending codons, $\delta$, and effective population size, $Ne$. The
tree topology was not varied among simulations. All simulations were initiated with a “burn-in” period of $2 \times 10^5$ generations to ensure independence among replicates (longer burn-in periods were used for high MCU scenarios). A total tree length of 9,000 generations from the mtcyto node gave distances of approximately 5% synonymous divergence on the mtcyto node. A tree was also produced for trees with the same topology but double the effective population size or mutation parameters at the node following the burn-in. Both increasing codon bias (larger $N_e$ or elevated $u/e$) and decreasing bias (smaller $N_e$ or elevated $u/e$) were simulated. Values of $2 \times 10^5$ and $1/3 \times 10^5$ the initial $N_e$ and $1/2 \times$ and $2 \times$ the initial $u/e$ were chosen to give $p_{cu}$ fixation ratios of approximately half and double the equilibrium values for an initial MCU of 0.7. All parameters were held constant following the change at the ancestral node. For all simulations, the lineage and fitness class of each fixation was recorded and the resulting extant sequences were stored. Extant sequences were employed to generate inferences of the numbers and types of changes for comparisons to the numbers of “actual” (simulated) changes.

Reliability of Ancestral Inference

Simulated sequence data were analyzed using BASEML in the PAML software package [Version 2.0k] [63]. This program takes a gene tree and sequence data as input and determines maximum likelihood estimates for branch lengths and parameters of a substitution model. These MLEs are employed in calculations of the posterior probabilities of joint reconstructions of nucleotides at ancestral nodes [65]. Two substitution models were employed for inferences of ancestral states among simulated sequences. Maximum parsimony (MP) inference was emulated using a Jukes-Cantor [66] one parameter model with all branch lengths set to small and equal values. Under this model, all nucleotide changes occur at equal rates and the set of ancestral nucleotides (joint reconstruction) that requires the fewest number of changes in the tree has the highest probability. A unique joint reconstruction requiring the lowest number of changes was assumed to reflect the true ancestral states. This procedure emulates “simple” or equally-weighted parsimony. In cases where multiple best reconstructions had the same probability (i.e., the same number of changes), the codon was not included in the analysis. Up and $pu$ counts inferred using this procedure were compared to results from an iterative method of parsimony inference for 5 replicates each for initial $MCU = 0.5$, 0.7, and 0.9 for stationary (1x), variable $N_e$ (1/3$N_e$, 2$N_e$) and variable mutation (2$u/e$, 0.5$u/e$) simulations scenarios (described below). Inferred numbers of changes were identical in all cases. Simple parsimony inference was chosen for this analysis because it has been employed extensively in Drosophila studies [e.g., 08, 02, 14, 15, 06, 24, 25, 26, 16, 18].

The HKY85 substitution model [42] was also employed to infer ancestral codons using BASEML. This model incorporates unequal base composition and different transition and transversion rates. Four base composition parameters are estimated from the average base composition of the extant sequences. A transition/transversion rate ratio and branch lengths are estimated by maximizing their likelihoods over the data. The approach is similar to one employed by Galtier and Boursot [40] except that the BASEML model does not include a rate heterogeneity parameter and calculates substitution parameters separately for first, second, and third codon positions (in our simulations, only the third codon position is variable). It is important to note a difference in the parameterization of the HKY85 and GCpref codon substitution models; under HKY85, transversions to the same nucleotide are assigned the same rate (discussed below). The joint probabilities of codon reconstructions were calculated assuming independence among nucleotide reconstructions at different sites and a minimal evolution model was used to infer codon changes between nodes in the phylogeny. The probability of a given ancestral codon configuration was treated as the “count” of inferred substitutions on a given reconstruction. For example, consider a case where a configuration $[G, A, G, G, G]$ for extant nodes $[m, s, l, y, e, o]$ gave inferred ancestral configurations $[G, G, G, G]$ and $[A, G, G, G]$ for ancestral nodes $[ms, fyo, ye, eo]$ with probabilities 0.9 and 0.1, respectively. For this site, 0.9 $G \rightarrow A$ changes were recorded in the $m$ lineage and 0.1 $A \rightarrow G$ changes were recorded in the $m$ lineage. The latter change also requires either a $G \rightarrow A$ change in the $ms$ lineage or an $A \rightarrow G$ change in the $fyo$ lineage but reconstructions were performed on an unrooted tree. This inference method will be referred to as “ML”. It is important to note that this method averages over possible ancestral reconstructions given their probabilities under the HKY85 substitution model and differs from ML implementations that treat the most probable reconstruction as pseudodata. The latter can yield strongly biased ancestral nucleotide frequencies for scenarios similar to those considered here [67].

MP and ML inferred codon bias changes were compared across 200–500 replicates for each scenario (numbers for each scenario are given in the figure legends). Mantel-Haentzel tests [68] were employed to detect consistent differences in ratios of MP and ML inferred counts of $up$ and $pu$ changes (summed among synonymous families). Wilcoxon ranked signs tests [68] were conducted to determine if differences between actual and inferred $d_{upu}$ were consistently smaller for MP or ML. We refer to a method as “more reliable” if inferred $up/pu$ ratios differ between MP and ML (Mantel-Haentzel test, $P < 0.05$) and $d_{upu}$ values are closer to actual values (Wilcoxon ranked signs test, $P < 0.05$) for one of the methods. Computer programs for simulating sequence evolution and for analyses of BASEML results were written in the C computer language and are available upon request from HA.

Parsimony vs likelihood

Figures 3A and B show the actual and inferred numbers of $pu$ and $up$ changes in the $m$ lineage under a simulated equilibrium 1x tree. S $\rightarrow$ W changes are pooled in the $pu$ class and W $\rightarrow$ S changes are pooled in the $up$ class. Data for changes within preference classes (S $\rightarrow$ S and W $\rightarrow$ W) are not shown. Both MP and ML underestimate the numbers of changes and the underestimation is greater for MP inference, especially for $up$ changes at high MCU. MP biases are similar to those described in a number of previous studies [19,38,39,40,41]. Figures 3C and D depict the ratio of inferred to actual numbers of changes and show that, for both methods, underestimation decreases with MCU for $pu$ changes and increases with MCU for $up$ changes. This results in a bias toward negative $d_{upu}$ (inferred influence of the ratio of $pu$ to $up$ fixations; Figure 3E) which increases with MCU for both MP and ML. The magnitude of the bias is considerably greater for parsimony. Under ML, inferred $p_{cu}$ ratios are inflated by 4, 8, and 13% for MCU values of 0.5, 0.7, and 0.9, whereas for parsimony, $p_{cu}$ is inflated by 16, 28, and 47% for the same MCU values. Even among closely related lineages at equilibrium base composition, biases in ancestral inference can generate patterns consistent with a genome-wide decline of MCU. Furthermore, the decrease in $d_{upu}$ as a function of MCU mimics the expected trend following a reduction in $N_e$ (Figure 1B).

Examples of extant and ancestral codon configurations illustrate some of the causes of these inference biases. Under neutrality (MCU = 0.5), the probabilities of $pu$ and $up$ fixations are equal. MP
underestimation of the numbers of changes (Figure 3A and B) partly reflects the absence of inference in cases that most parsimonious reconstructions. Greater inference bias as a function of MCU reflects a combination of differences in fixation probabilities and per locus mutation rates for pu and up changes. Figure 4B–D shows several ancestral codon configurations (ACCs) that could underlie an extant codon configuration (ECC) that MP would infer as a single pu change in the m lineage. The preference states of codons present in the extant nodes [m, s, t, y, e, and l] are [u, p, p, p, p, p] respectively (Figure 4A). Such an extant codon configuration will be abbreviated “ECC_uppppp” assuming all “p” states are identical. In the 1x equilibrium simulations, three ACCs underlie over 98% of ECC_uppppp’s (Table 1). For MCU = 0.5, codons that underwent a single pu in the m lineage are the predominant scenario (94.6%), and double-hit codons with a up change in s and either a pu change in ms or a up change in tyeo underlie 3.5% of the configurations. In all cases, parsimony infers a single up change in the m lineage. For MCU = 0.5, the expected frequencies of each of the pairs of ACC types B and F, C and G, and D and H are equal since pu and up changes have the same rates [ECC_puuuu and its ancestral codon configurations exchange p and u states at all nodes with those in ECC_uppppp (Figures 4E–H)]. Under the symmetric model of no selection and equal mutation rates, inference errors cancel. The scenarios depicted in Figures 4C and G will be referred to more generally as “child/ancestor reverse” changes (a child lineage is a direct descendent of an ancestral lineage; in these cases, m and s are the child lineages and ms is the ancestor) and those in Figures 4D and H will be referred to as “child/sib-ancestor parallel” changes (in these cases, m and s are child lineage and tyeo is the sibling to the ancestral ms lineage).

An important difference between the ECC_puuuuu and ECC_upppppp scenarios emerges when selection causes rate variation between mutation classes. For MCU = 0.9, ECC_upppppp’s that result from multiply-hit codons with a up change in s (Figures 4C and D) increase to over 7% of the observations, whereas the fraction of ECC_puuuuu’s resulting from a pu change in s at a multiply-hit codon (Figures 4G and H) decreases with MCU to less than 2% (Table 1). The asymmetry causes MP to overestimate the numbers of low probability (pu) changes, and underestimate the numbers of high probability (up) changes. This bias increases with the difference in the probabilities (i.e., with MCU). In addition, misinference of up changes in the m lineage following pu changes in ms (resulting in ECC_puppppp) as pu changes in s will further contribute to overestimation of pu in m and underestimation of up in s. Finally, MP inference attributes parallel changes in sibling lineages to single changes in the ancestral lineage. Among codons that experienced a pu change, the fraction that experienced parallel substitutions (another pu in a different lineage) decreases with MCU as selection reduces the probability of pu fixations. The proportion of multiple-up hit codons increases with MCU as selection elevates their fixation probabilities.

This asymmetry contributes to parsimony underestimation of d_{up,pu}. Branch lengths Reliability of ancestral inference is strongly dependent on distances among nodes [69]. Simulations of equilibrium codon bias were conducted for a tree with the same topology as shown in Figure 2 but with varying branch lengths. A 0.5 × tree (half the 1 × numbers of generations on all branches) and a 2 × tree (double the 1 × numbers of generations on all branches) were examined. Inference biases are smaller in the shorter tree (Figure 5C) and considerably greater in a 2 × tree (Figure 5F). The MP bias in d_{up,pu} inference as a function of MCU in the 2 × scenario is dramatic and reflects a striking underestimation of up changes (inferred values <50% of the actual numbers for MCU >0.8). These patterns reflect the increase in the proportions of multiply-hit codons with longer branch lengths (1.7, 5.7, and 16.7% of codons experience >1 change since the m_syeo node in the 0.5 ×, 1 ×, and 2 × scenarios, respectively for MCU = 0.7).

ML ancestral reconstruction bias ML inference, though more reliable than MP, also shows a bias toward negative d_{up,pu} with MCU (especially in the 2 × tree; Figure 5F). Under the HKY85 model, four base composition parameters [π = (π_A, π_C, π_G, π_T)] are estimated from extant sequences and the transition/transversion rate ratio (κ) is estimated from patterns of sequence
divergence. Because the parameters are calculated separately for the three codon positions and because the simulated scenario is one of equilibrium base composition, ancestral inference should be more accurate than MP. Differences in the parameterizations of the HKY85 and GCpref codon models appear to underlie the observed ML biases. Under HKY85, transversion changes to the same nucleotide occur at the same rate. For example, C→A and T→A occur at rate $\pi_{A}$ and A→C and G→C occur at rate $\pi_{C}$. Under the GCpref codon model, transversions to the same nucleotide consist of one mutation affecting fitness (C→A is unpreferred and A→G is preferred) and one neutral mutation (within a fitness class: T→A and G→C). If a single rate is estimated for transversions to A, the C→A (unpreferred) rate will be overestimated and the T→A (neutral) rate will be underestimated. For transversions to C, the A→G rate will be underestimated and the G→C rate will be overestimated. Overall, the rates of preferred and unpreferred transversions will be underestimated and overestimated, respectively, and this error will increase with MCU. This leads to underestimation of $d_{AC}$ with MCU, a bias similar to MP. ML inference for data simulated under the exact parameterization of the HKY85 model

Figure 4. Ancestral codon configurations in simulations of codon bias evolution. Trees representing extant codon configurations consistent with single silent changes in the m lineage, ECC_uppppp (A) and ECC_puuuuu (E), are shown. The three most common ancestral codon configurations underlying these extant codon configurations are shown in B, C, and D for ECC_uppppp and in E, F, and G for ECC_puuuuu. Trees C and G reflect child/ancestor reverse changes and trees D and H show child/sib-ancestor parallel changes. The relative frequencies of ancestral codon configurations underlying ECC_uppppp and ECC_puuuuu in the codon bias simulations are shown as bubble plots beneath the trees. The sizes of the bubbles reflect the relative numbers of ancestral codon configurations in each class for three different MCU values. For the non-equilibrium scenarios ($1/3N_{e}$ and $2N_{e}$), the proportion of each ancestral codon configuration among the extant configurations relative to the proportion under equilibrium codon bias evolution are given. The data are from Table 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g004
showed no bias in $d_{up,pu}$, even under strong base composition bias in a $6^6$ tree (Figure 6). Seemingly minor deviations from model assumptions can lead to considerable biases for relatively highly biased genes and/or long branches.

**Changes in selection intensity** Constancy of parameters governing molecular evolution may often be violated in Drosophila among closely related lineages [08, 02, 21, 06, 70, 15, 24, 25, 26, 16, 35, 37] as well as among distant taxa [71,22,23,27]. Ancestral inference under fluctuating codon bias is examined below.

Two scenarios of changes in selection intensity were examined: a three-fold decrease in $N_e (1/3N_e)$ and a two-fold increase in $N_e (2N_e)$. Parameter changes were invoked at the **mstyeo** node and substitution probabilities differing from those in the **mstyeo** lineage were employed for evolution within the *D. melanogaster* subgroup. These probabilities were kept constant within the subgroup. However, because base composition changes directionally during the course of the simulation, per locus mutation rates are not constant.

For the $1/3N_e$ scenario (GC content decline), MP and ML show contrasting biases in $d_{up,pu}$ inference (Figures 7A to C). Parsimony

### Table 1. Ancestral codon configurations underlying ECC_uppppp and ECC_puuum trees

| Scenario | MCU | ECC_uppppp | Total | B | C | D | F | G | H |
|----------|-----|------------|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1x       | 0.5 | 13,688     | 13,951 (0.946) | 364 (0.027) | 110 (0.008) | 14,156 | 13,441 (0.949) | 369 (0.026) | 123 (0.009) |
|          | 0.7 | 14,001     | 13,951 (0.943) | 502 (0.036) | 167 (0.012) | 10,710 | 10,269 (0.959) | 194 (0.018) | 71 (0.007) |
|          | 0.9 | 8,744      | 7,934 (0.907) | 486 (0.056) | 142 (0.016) | 4,618  | 4,498 (0.974) | 41 (0.009)  | 9 (0.002)  |
| 1/3N_e   | 0.5 | 13,768     | 13,951 (0.949) | 358 (0.025) | 109 (0.008) | 13,626 | 12,939 (0.950) | 322 (0.024) | 113 (0.008) |
|          | 0.7 | 15,729     | 16,619 (0.947) | 511 (0.029) | 106 (0.006) | 9,238  | 8,175 (0.943) | 217 (0.023) | 115 (0.012) |
|          | 0.9 | 19,039     | 18,034 (0.947) | 653 (0.034) | 44 (0.002)  | 3,623  | 3,368 (0.930) | 72 (0.020)  | 104 (0.029) |
| 2N_e     | 0.5 | 13,725     | 13,951 (0.946) | 315 (0.023) | 112 (0.008) | 13,929 | 12,319 (0.949) | 329 (0.024) | 127 (0.009) |
|          | 0.7 | 9,033      | 8,108 (0.898)  | 401 (0.044) | 323 (0.036) | 12,828 | 12,477 (0.973) | 150 (0.012) | 20 (0.002) |
|          | 0.9 | 2,307      | 1,609 (0.697)  | 166 (0.072) | 438 (0.190) | 5,056  | 4,992 (0.987) | 9 (0.002)   | 1 (0.000)  |

aExtant codon configurations (ECC) from Figure 4.

bEquilibrium (1x), decreasing (1/3N_e), and increasing (2N_e) codon bias simulations.

Numbers of observations of each ECC and the numbers (proportions) of ancestral codon arrangements (ACC) underlying the ECC's (B, C, D and F, G, H correspond to ACC’s shown in Figure 4). The numbers are pooled across 300 replicates and only trees with two different codon states among all nodes are included.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.t001

Two scenarios of changes in selection intensity were examined: a three-fold decrease in $N_e (1/3N_e)$ and a two-fold increase in $N_e (2N_e)$. Parameter changes were invoked at the **mstyeo** node and substitution probabilities differing from those in the **mstyeo** lineage were employed for evolution within the *D. melanogaster* subgroup. These probabilities were kept constant within the subgroup. However, because base composition changes directionally during the course of the simulation, per locus mutation rates are not constant.

For the $1/3N_e$ scenario (GC content decline), MP and ML show contrasting biases in $d_{up,pu}$ inference (Figures 7A to C). Parsimony

Figure 5. Reliability of ancestral codon bias inference under equilibrium evolution. A, B, and C show ratios of inferred to actual values and the $d_{up,pu}$ for the 0.5 $x$ tree (averages among 500 replicates) and D, E, and F show values for the 2 $x$ tree (averages among 200 replicates). Actual and inferred numbers of hits are not shown. The legend in A applies to B, D, and E and the legend in C applies to F. X-axis scales are identical for graphs in the same column.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g005
biases are similar to the equilibrium case; both pu and up changes are underestimated, but the magnitude of pu underestimation decreases with MCU whereas up underestimation increases as a function of codon bias. d_{up,pu} is biased downward and the bias increases with MCU in a manner similar to the equilibrium case. Because the actual d_{up,pu} is negative (Figure 1B), MP exaggerates reductions of MCU.

Examination of extant and ancestral codon configurations reveals differences in the causes of similar MP reconstruction biases under equilibrium and decreasing codon bias. Under reduced Ne, the ratio of fixation probabilities of pu and up mutations decreases less as a function of MCU than under stationarity. Table 1 and Figure 4 show that, among ECC_uppppp’s, the frequencies of multiply-hit codons do not increase with MCU as in the equilibrium case. However, increases in the numbers of ECC_uppppp’s with MCU (in contrast to decreases under equilibrium) lead to considerable numbers of misinferred up changes at high MCU. For ECC_puuuu, the frequency of multiply-hit codons increases with MCU rather than decreasing as in the equilibrium scenario. The prevalence of ECC_uppppp results in d_{up,pu} inference biases similar to the equilibrium case.

ML inference of pu and up changes under relaxed selection differs considerably from MP results. pu underestimation increases and up changes are overestimated with increasing Ne. This causes overestimation of d_{up,pu} as a function of MCU (brining d_{up,pu} closer to zero; Figure 7C). The bias in the opposite direction compared to ML inference under equilibrium (Figure 3E) is a consequence of the method for estimating base composition parameters. The lineages examined are relatively short compared to the time required to reach equilibrium. The base composition of extant sequences reflects parameter values (Ne’s and u/v) from the ancestral mstyeo lineage rather than values on the lineages on which fixations are inferred. For a given ECC, ancestral reconstructions are assigned probabilities according to equilibrium expectations given the base composition of the extant sequences; rate parameters are biased upward for up changes and downward for pu changes. d_{up,pu} inference is biased toward positive values because parallel up changes are assigned inflated probabilities and parallel pu changes are given underestimated probabilities. For ECC_uppppp, the proportions of codons with multiple up changes are considerably smaller than under equilibrium (roughly 50 and 14% for MCU = 0.7 and 0.9, respectively; Figure 4; Table 1) and ML overestimates this proportion. The larger numbers of codons

Figure 6. Reliability of ML inference for evolution under the GCpref codon and HKY85 models. d_{up,pu} values are shown for 4-fold synonymous codons for ML inference under the equilibrium GCpref codon model and for data simulated under the HKY85 substitution matrix. The latter matrix was set to give identical expected numbers of substitutions and equilibrium GC content for the two scenarios. The legend applies to both graphs and the y-axis scales are identical in the two graphs. Note that d_{up,pu} inference biases are larger for 4-fold redundant codons than for 2-fold redundant codons under the GCpref codon model. Data are averaged across 300 replicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g006

Figure 7. Reliability of ancestral codon bias inference under non-equilibrium evolution: variable selection intensity. The legend in A applies to B, D, and E. The legend in C also applies to F. X-axis scales are identical for graphs in the same column. Note that the MCU values reflect values at the m node and differ from ancestral values. For the 1/3Ne scenario, reliability of d_{up,pu} inference was greater for ML than for MP for 0.6 ≤ MCU ≤ 0.8. For 2Ne, ML was more reliable for MCU ≥ 0.8 (see text for criteria). Data are averaged across 300 replicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g007
with \(pu\) changes leads to considerable \(up\) overestimation (Figure 7B). For ECC_puuuuu, the proportions of codons with multiple \(pu\) changes are much larger than under equilibrium (roughly 2\(x\) and 15\(x\) for MCU = 0.7 and 0.9, respectively) and ML underestimates their probabilities.

Under the 2\(N_e\) scenario (increasing GC), both MP and ML underestimate \(d_{up,pu}\) as a function of MCU (Figures 7D–F). Actual \(d_{up,pu}\) values are positive (Figures 1C and D) and both methods bias the statistic toward zero. Because base composition in extant sequences reflects parameter values prior to the increase in \(N_e\) (i.e., lower GC content), HKY85 underestimates \(up\) rates and overestimates \(pu\) rates. Ancestral unpreferred sites have a much larger probability of undergoing \(up\) changes in multiple lineages than under equilibrium (Figure 4; Table 1); for MCU = 0.9, over 30% of ECC_uppppp observations reflect multiple-hit codons. Both ML and MP underestimation of \(up\) is larger than in the equilibrium case, but ML underestimation is less severe because multiple-\(up\) hit scenarios are assigned some probability. For ECC_puuuuu, multiple-hit scenarios are less common than under equilibrium (Figure 4; Table 1). Thus, MP shows less underestimation of \(pu\) as a function of MCU than in the equilibrium case, but ML overestimates \(pu\) changes. In contrast to the 1/\(2N_e\) scenario, the numbers of ECC_puuuuu observations are larger than the numbers of ECC_uppppp’s for high MCU values. The magnitude of the resulting \(pu\) overestimation is substantial for both MP and ML (Figure 7D). Under this particular departure from the assumptions of both methods, ML and MP biases in \(d_{up,pu}\) inference are similar across a wide range of MCU.

Changes in mutation bias

Ancestral inference were also examined for non-stationary codon bias evolution following changes in mutation rates. For these simulations, expected \(up/\)pu ratios of 0.5 and 2.0 were achieved by doubling either \(u\) (per site rate of S->W mutations) or \(v\) (per site rate of W->S mutations) relative to their values in the stationary 1\(x\) simulations. The scenarios will be referred to as 2u/v and 0.5u/v, for doublings of \(u\) and \(v\), respectively. Within-fitness class mutation rates, W->W and S->S, were not changed. Mutation rate changes were implemented at the \(mstyeo\) node and all parameters were kept constant following the change. Expected \(pu/v\) fixation ratios are equal to the new \(icz\) ratios immediately following a change in the mutation rate, but the fixation ratios gradually approach the equilibrium value of one (rates of approach to equilibrium are positive functions of initial MCU). Thus, the actual excesses of \(up\) or \(pu\) changes on the \(m\) lineage are smaller than two-fold and decrease with MCU (Figures 8C and F).

For the 2u/v scenario of mutation-driven reductions in MCU, parsimony underestimates decreases in codon bias for low MCU genes, but overestimates codon bias changes for higher MCU (Figure 8C). In the absence of selection (MCU = 0.3), parsimony underestimation is greater for the more common \(pu\) changes than for \(up\) changes. Increasing underestimation of \(d_{up,pu}\) as a function of MCU is similar to the pattern observed under equilibrium (Figure 3E). As selection increases the ratio of \(up/\)pu fixation probabilities, parsimony misinference at multiple \(up\)-hit codons leads to underestimation of \(up\) changes.

ML underestimates mutation-driven decreases in codon bias for low and intermediate MCU. Because parameters are estimated from sequences that have not reached equilibrium base composition, rates of \(up\) and \(pu\) are over- and under-estimated, respectively. This bias in parameter estimation leads to error in the probabilities of joint reconstructions. Decreasing underestimation of \(d_{up,pu}\) with MCU (the decline of codon bias is overestimated at very high MCU) probably reflects differences between the HKY85 and GC codon pref models (rates are estimated for pooled

![Figure 8](https://example.com/figure8.png)

**Figure 8. Reliability of ancestral codon bias inference under non-equilibrium evolution: variable mutation.** The legend in A applies to B, D, and E. The legend in C applies to F. X-axis scales are identical for graphs in the same column. Note that MCU values are given for the \(m\) node and have shifted from ancestral values. For the 2u/v scenario, reliability of \(d_{up,pu}\) inference was greater for ML than for MP for MCU \(\geq 0.8\), but MP was more reliable for MCU \(\leq 0.6\). For 0.5u/v, \(d_{up,pu}\) was more reliably inferred by ML than by MP for MCU \(\leq 0.7\), but MP was more reliable for MCU = 0.5. Data are averaged across 300 replicates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g008
classes of neutral and non-neutral mutations; see above). With increasing MCU, the latter bias compensates, then over-compensates, for the bias introduced by non-stationary base composition.

Inference biases were considerable for mutation-driven increases in codon bias. In the 0.5u/v scenario, both selection and mutation elevate ratios of per site up/sub substitutions. Parsimony misinference at multiple-up hit codons increases dramatically as a function of MCU (Figure 8E) and results in large underestimation of d_up,pu (Figure 8F). For initial MCU = 0.95, parsimony infers d_up,pu = -0.22 where the actual value is 0.25.

ML biases were similar in direction, but much smaller in magnitude, than parsimony biases for the 0.5u/v scenario. Inference biases reflect under- and over-estimation of up and pu rates because base composition parameters are estimated from sequences that are far from equilibrium. Fitting data generated under GCpref codon to the HKY85 model exacerbates these biases, leading to greater differences between inferred and actual d_up,pu than for ML in the 2u/v scenario.

Data from nineteen loci are consistent with reductions in codon bias in the m, y, o, and eo lineages, and increases in codon bias in the t and ty lineages [35]. For these genes, patterns on the s and e lineages appear to be consistent with equilibrium MCU. Changes in codon bias were assigned accordingly in the simulation. For simplicity, all reductions in codon bias were modeled as 1/3Ne and all increases were modeled as 2Ne. Because changes in the ancestral ms and tyeo lineages are unknown, all combinations of increases (2Ne), decreases (1/3Ne), and stationarity for these two lineages were employed (nine scenarios). Parameters were changed at the ancestral node of a lineage and were held constant within the lineage.

To allow comparisons between equilibrium and lineage-specific non-equilibrium simulations, differences between inferred and actual d_up,pu are plotted for each lineage in Figure 9 and Figure S1. Given the phylogenetic distances in the D. melanogaster subgroup, d_up,pu inference biases under ML inference is elevated in t and y, and reduced in ty. Because y and eo are both losing codon bias, parallel pu changes in these lineages are more common, but such a scenario does not result in an ECC_SD. Relative to the

**Figure 9. Reliability of ML codon bias inference under lineage-specific non-stationarity.** Differences between inferred and actual d_up,pu values are plotted as a function of MCU for each lineage (averages across 300 simulations are plotted). The legend applies to all graphs. X-axis scales apply to all graphs in the same column. Y-axis scales are identical among all graphs. The lineage-specific scenarios are as follows: stationary MCU (st) in <t,s> and e, decreasing MCU (1/3Ne) in m, y, o, and eo, and increasing MCU (2Ne) in t and ty. Scenarios were varied in the ancestral ms and tyeo lineages. For the ms lineage: black (st), red (1/3Ne), blue (2Ne). For the tyeo lineage: thick (st), thin (1/3Ne), dotted (2Ne). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001065.g009
equilibrium case, a decline of codon bias in the ms lineage elevates the probability of up changes in ms followed by pu reversals in m. Because ML underestimates this probability, d_up,ms is elevated in both m and s.

Rate heterogeneity in ancestral lineages can have a strong impact on inference in their descendant lineages. If codon bias is increasing in the ms lineage (blue lines in Figure 9), then up in ms/lu in m reversals become more common. Such changes elevate d_up,ms for both the m and s lineages. In addition, increased frequencies of parallel up changes in the ms and y lineages decrease d_up,ms in both t and in e. The length of the ms lineage contributes to substantial effects of these double-hit scenarios on d_up,ms inference. Decreases in MCU in the ms lineage (red lines in Figure 9) have the opposite effect on d_up,ms inference. Reverse changes, pu in ms/lu in s, cause decreased d_up,ms inference in m and s, and parallel pu changes in ms and eo result in elevated d_up,ms in ty and eo (relative to equilibrium in ms). Note that d_up,ms inference on the i, y, e, and o lineages is relatively insensitive to departures from stationarity in ms and yeo (Figure 9).

Non-stationary evolution on the ancestral yeo lineage has a smaller impact on d_up,ms inference than departures from equilibrium on the longer ms lineage. Increasing MCU on the yeo lineage enhances the probability of up in yeo/lu in eo reversals. This results in elevated d_up,ms in both e and y. Higher occurrences of parallel up changes in s and yeo result in decreased d_up,ms in both s and m. Decreasing codon bias in yeo has the opposite effects on d_up,ms inference in these lineages. The elevated occurrence of pu in yeo/lu in ty reversals decreases d_up,ms in ty and eo and parallel pu changes in yeo and m leads to elevated d_up,ms for the s and m lineages.

MP performance can be understood by considering the same sets of ECC SD’s. Inference biases (relative to the stationary 1 x case) for the mixed non-equilibrium simulations are similar in direction, but generally greater in magnitude, to those under ML. Figure S1 shows considerable MP underestimation of d_up,ms even at intermediate MCU, for the m, s, e, o, and eo lineages for most of these scenarios.

These results suggest that ML generally allows more reliable inference of ancestral codon usage than MP for lineages within the D. melanogaster subgroup. In addition, inference biases do not appear to have contributed substantially to the conclusion of frequent codon bias fluctuations within the D. melanogaster subgroup [35]. In particular, biases in ML d_up,ms inference for the t, y, e, and o lineages appear to be relatively small if the magnitude and direction of departures from equilibrium in our simulations are correct. Inference biases on the s, ty and eo lineages, however, can be considerable if codon bias has increased on the ms lineage. The sensitivity of inference on the s lineage to processes occurring in ancestral lineages appears to result from the combination of a strong departure from equilibrium on a sibling lineage, a long parent lineage, and the lack of an outgroup for the ms clade. It is important to note that longer lineages or greater departures from equilibrium could elevate d_up,ms biases from those shown in Figure 9. Other factors that affect ancestral inference (i.e., the locations of lineages in the phylogeny and species sampling) are discussed in Results S1 and S2.

**DISCUSSION**

**Reliability of ancestral codon usage inference**

The accuracy of ancestral inference, even among relatively closely related species, has a complex dependence on branch lengths, the location of lineages within a phylogeny, rate heterogeneity among mutation classes (levels of base composition bias) and among lineages (changes in base composition). Parsimony is susceptible to errors in ancestral reconstruction when base composition is biased [19,38,39,40,41]. For lineages at stationary base composition, inference biases can yield patterns mimicking departures from equilibrium codon bias toward a loss of the common state (excess of unpreferred substitutions); the increase in this bias with the degree of base composition skew can lead to false inference of reductions in selection intensity for codon bias.

For the scenarios considered in this study, maximum likelihood implementation of the HKY85 model is generally (often considerably) less biased than MP. Estimation of separate substitution rates for classes of nucleotide changes is desirable when base composition is skewed. However, departures from steady-state can cause strong biases in ancestral reconstruction among closely related species. The long lag-time between parameter changes and the achievement of a new equilibrium base composition is problematic for models that infer substitution rates from the base composition of extant sequences. Because the time-scale on which parameters vary appears to often be shorter than the time scale over which equilibrium is achieved [35,37], then inference using stationary models must be treated with caution.

The direction and magnitude of departures from equilibrium as well as the branch lengths of a given lineage, its sibling lineage, direct ancestral lineages, and the sibling lineages to its direct ancestors can have a strong impact on the reliability of ancestral inference. For example, child/ancestor reversals will be prevalent if departures from equilibrium are in opposing directions in branches with direct descendents relationships. Parallel changes will be common when departures from equilibrium are in the same direction in sibling lineages or in a lineage and the sibling lineage of a direct ancestor. Bursts of adaptive codon bias evolution on multiple lineages can substantially reduce the reliability of ancestral inference.

Among the lineages in our simulated data, ancestral inference is generally less biased in the t, y, e, and o lineages than in the m, s, ty, eo lineages. The greater accuracy of inference for terminal lineages in the yeo clade results, in part, from shorter parental lineages than for the m and s lineages. In addition, for the t, y, e, and o lineages, data from the outgroup ms clade often eliminates the possibility of single-hit ancestral configurations consistent with child/sib/parent parallel changes. For these lineages, inference biases can be predicted given sequence data from species within the subgroup whereas biases for the m, s, ty and eo lineages are strongly dependent on unknown processes in the ancestral ms and yeo lineages (Figure 9).

**Improving inference methods for ancestral codon usage**

Reliability of ancestral reconstructions is compromised by incorrect trees, errors in sequence alignment, incorrect substitution models, and insufficient data to estimate parameters of the substitution model. The analyses above assumed knowledge of the correct tree topology, no alignment errors, and sufficient data for ML parameter estimation. This study focused on the effects of incorrect substitution models.

Ancestral reconstructions can be improved both by data selection and by model improvements. Blanchette et al. [72] found that a “star-like” phylogeny allows reliable inference of ancestral mammal sequences given stationary evolution and sufficient sampling of extant taxa. Branch lengths are critical determinants of the accuracy of ancestral inference and errors can be minimized by choosing data from closely related species (with short ancestral lineages). However, even for the low levels of divergence examined in this study, departures from substitution model assumptions led to biases in ancestral reconstructions for high MCU genes (for MP)
and for departures from steady-state (for MP and ML). These effects can be minimized by restricting data to moderately biased genes (MCU<0.8). However, biases were clearly detectable for intermediate MCU genes in a number of examined scenarios, and longer lineages or greater fluctuations in base composition than those studied here can inflate these biases. For example, our simulations assumed homogeneity of parameters within genes. A number of findings support within-gene heterogeneity in Ns at silent sites [73,74,75,20,21,76,77,17,78] which could elevate inference biases because rate parameters at variable sites will be underestimated.

A number of improvements in ancestral inference methods could enhance comparisons among closely related species. Uncertainties in both tree topologies and parameter estimates have been incorporated in Bayesian approaches to ancestral state reconstruction [79,80,67,81]. Rate heterogeneity parameters are included in Galtier and Boursot’s [40] ML method. The equilibrium assumption appears to be a critical limitation in the methods employed here and Yang and Roberts [82] and Galtier and Guo [83] have incorporated parameters for fluctuating base composition in methods to infer ancestral GC content (these implementations do not calculate posterior probabilities of ancestral states).

Modifications to the simple parsimony approach could also improve inference of ancestral codon usage. The MP method employed above eliminated data for codons at which multiple re-arrangements give the least number of changes in the gene tree. Such data could be included by allocating equal probabilities among most parsimonious reconstructions. In addition, classes of mutations can be assigned “weights” to account for asymmetric substitution rates [84].

Key considerations for substitution models may vary considerably among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects play a strong role in mammalian genomes and transition/transition among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects can be minimized by restricting data to moderately biased genes (MCU<0.8). However, biases were clearly detectable for intermediate MCU genes in a number of examined scenarios, and longer lineages or greater fluctuations in base composition than those studied here can inflate these biases. For example, our simulations assumed homogeneity of parameters within genes. A number of findings support within-gene heterogeneity in Ns at silent sites [73,74,75,20,21,76,77,17,78] which could elevate inference biases because rate parameters at variable sites will be underestimated.

A number of improvements in ancestral inference methods could enhance comparisons among closely related species. Uncertainties in both tree topologies and parameter estimates have been incorporated in Bayesian approaches to ancestral state reconstruction [79,80,67,81]. Rate heterogeneity parameters are included in Galtier and Boursot’s [40] ML method. The equilibrium assumption appears to be a critical limitation in the methods employed here and Yang and Roberts [82] and Galtier and Guo [83] have incorporated parameters for fluctuating base composition in methods to infer ancestral GC content (these implementations do not calculate posterior probabilities of ancestral states).

Modifications to the simple parsimony approach could also improve inference of ancestral codon usage. The MP method employed above eliminated data for codons at which multiple re-arrangements give the least number of changes in the gene tree. Such data could be included by allocating equal probabilities among most parsimonious reconstructions. In addition, classes of mutations can be assigned “weights” to account for asymmetric substitution rates [84].

Key considerations for substitution models may vary considerably among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects play a strong role in mammalian genomes and transition/transition among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects can be minimized by restricting data to moderately biased genes (MCU<0.8). However, biases were clearly detectable for intermediate MCU genes in a number of examined scenarios, and longer lineages or greater fluctuations in base composition than those studied here can inflate these biases. For example, our simulations assumed homogeneity of parameters within genes. A number of findings support within-gene heterogeneity in Ns at silent sites [73,74,75,20,21,76,77,17,78] which could elevate inference biases because rate parameters at variable sites will be underestimated.

A number of improvements in ancestral inference methods could enhance comparisons among closely related species. Uncertainties in both tree topologies and parameter estimates have been incorporated in Bayesian approaches to ancestral state reconstruction [79,80,67,81]. Rate heterogeneity parameters are included in Galtier and Boursot’s [40] ML method. The equilibrium assumption appears to be a critical limitation in the methods employed here and Yang and Roberts [82] and Galtier and Guo [83] have incorporated parameters for fluctuating base composition in methods to infer ancestral GC content (these implementations do not calculate posterior probabilities of ancestral states).

Modifications to the simple parsimony approach could also improve inference of ancestral codon usage. The MP method employed above eliminated data for codons at which multiple re-arrangements give the least number of changes in the gene tree. Such data could be included by allocating equal probabilities among most parsimonious reconstructions. In addition, classes of mutations can be assigned “weights” to account for asymmetric substitution rates [84].

Key considerations for substitution models may vary considerably among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects play a strong role in mammalian genomes and transition/transition among taxa and genetic distances. Neighboring base effects can be minimized by restricting data to moderately biased genes (MCU<0.8). However, biases were clearly detectable for intermediate MCU genes in a number of examined scenarios, and longer lineages or greater fluctuations in base composition than those studied here can inflate these biases. For example, our simulations assumed homogeneity of parameters within genes. A number of findings support within-gene heterogeneity in Ns at silent sites [73,74,75,20,21,76,77,17,78] which could elevate inference biases because rate parameters at variable sites will be underestimated.

A number of improvements in ancestral inference methods could enhance comparisons among closely related species. Uncertainties in both tree topologies and parameter estimates have been incorporated in Bayesian approaches to ancestral state reconstruction [79,80,67,81]. Rate heterogeneity parameters are included in Galtier and Boursot’s [40] ML method. The equilibrium assumption appears to be a critical limitation in the methods employed here and Yang and Roberts [82] and Galtier and Guo [83] have incorporated parameters for fluctuating base composition in methods to infer ancestral GC content (these implementations do not calculate posterior probabilities of ancestral states).

Modifications to the simple parsimony approach could also improve inference of ancestral codon usage. The MP method employed above eliminated data for codons at which multiple re-arrangements give the least number of changes in the gene tree. Such data could be included by allocating equal probabilities among most parsimonious reconstructions. In addition, classes of mutations can be assigned “weights” to account for asymmetric substitution rates [84].
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