Recommendation of low-dose CT in the detection and management of COVID-2019
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CT imaging plays an important role in the diagnosis of COVID-2019, especially in the early stages of the disease or with a low viral load; swab tests may be negative [1]. Fang Y et al reported a sensitivity of 98% for chest CT diagnosis of COVID-2019, while RT-PCR serves as the gold standard; its early sensitivity was only 71% [2]. The vast majority, 98%, show bilateral lung involvement. The typical chest CT findings of non-ICU patients are bilateral ground-glass opacity and sub-segmental consolidation, while the typical chest CT findings of ICU patients are bilateral multi-lobe and sub-segmental consolidation [3]. The affected lungs are mostly located in the peripheral zone. Other imaging features include linear opacities, “crazy-paving” pattern, and the “reverse halo” sign [4]. According to the COVID-2019 pneumonia diagnosis and treatment scheme of the People’s Republic of China (trial version 5), imaging features can upgrade suspected cases of new coronavirus pneumonia to clinical diagnosis.

In the face of the sudden, rapid progress of the outbreak, patients need close clinical observation. This includes recurrent CT to determine the progression or absorption of lesions in the lung within a short period of time, especially for severe cases. Inflammatory absorption of the lungs on CT imaging is also one of the criteria for release from isolation and discharge in the guidelines from the first edition to the sixth edition. Actually, the number of CT scans ranges from 3 to 6 [5] within a short period of time. Even healthy people may get one CT or even two to ensure they do not have COVID-2019. Medical radiation exposure is therefore a concern, because the population is made of patients of all ages, including young individuals, infants, and puerperae, for whom the radiation dose is even a greater concern.

With the advantages of an improved detector, high-pitch settings, lower tube voltage (80–100 kVp) and current (10–25 mAs), iterative reconstruction, and dose-reduction options, it is now feasible to minimize the radiation dose. We implemented a low-dose scanning protocol that reduced the patient’s dose to 1/8 to 1/9 of the standard dose. In addition to all the abovementioned reduction dose strategy, we used energy spectrum thin-filtering technology (Sn100 low-dose protocol, Turbo Flash, SOMATOM Force, Siemens Healthineers).

The dose-length product (DLP) and effective dose (ED) were 14.5 mGy cm and 0.203 mSv compared with 129.1 mGy cm and 1.8074 mSv in a standard-dose protocol, without significant sacrifice of signal-to-noise (SNR) or contrast-to-noise (CNR) ratios (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Thus,
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the cumulative radiation dose of serial CT examinations during the acute period might be inferior or equivalent to that of a conventional CT.

As the main expected lesions are within the lung parenchyma, a low-dose protocol is certainly the way to go.
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