Laparoscopic Transabdominal Cervical Cerclage by Broad Ligament Window Technique
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Abstract

Laparoscopic transabdominal cerclage (LTAC) is a well documented procedure for cervical incompetence. In this article we have done a detailed stepwise description of LTAC by broad ligament window technique. This technique makes the procedure simpler, safer and easy to reproduce.
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Introduction

Cervical incompetence occurs in approximately 0.1%-1.0% of all pregnancies.

The abdominal approach of cervical cerclage was described for the first time by Benson and Durfee in 1965.[1] The reported success rate of abdominal cerclage is 85%-90%. Laparoscopic transabdominal cerclage (LTAC) has become the preferred choice in many tertiary care centers depending on the availability of surgical expertise. It has a success rate of 79%-100%. [2] The most common indication for LTAC in women with cervical incompetence is failed vaginal cerclage in prior pregnancies. Many authors have reported a cumulative fetal survival rate of about 90% compared with a rate of 20% in the untreated pregnancies of the same patients. [3] In patients with a prior failed transvaginal cerclage, transabdominal cerclage is associated with a lower incidence of preterm delivery and premature rupture of membranes. [3] In this article, we are describing a technique of passing needle under vision throughout the procedure, compared to blind technique of passing needle mentioned in literature, so that the complications are very less.

Subjects and Methods

The basic concept of performing LTAC remains the same whether during pregnancy or prepregnancy, that is to place a permanent nonabsorbable stitch at the level of internal OS. However, the gravid uterus and the absence of a vaginal manipulator pose unique challenges.

After induction of general anesthesia via endotracheal intubation, in the pregnant group, 10 mm primary trocar was inserted supra-umbilically, whereas it was umbilical in the pre-pregnancy group. Supra-umbilical trocar placement varies according to the height of the uterus; this is to achieve space for maneuverability. Three accessory ports were inserted, varying according to gestational age. Uterine manipulation was done with a Hegar no. 8 dilator in a pre-pregnant state, whereas in the pregnant state, the vaginal manipulation was done by sponge mounted on ring forceps.

Step 1: Bladder dissection

Preoperatively, a self-retaining Foley’s catheter no. 14 is inserted. The bladder flap is advanced downward by incising the uterovesical fold of the peritoneum and dissecting the pubocervical fascia, from the lower uterine segment and cervix. The anterior leaf of the broad ligament is opened by extending the incision laterally. The uterine arteries and bifurcation of the ascending branch with parametrial vessels are identified on both sides.

Step 2: Placing the Mersilene tape

Broad ligament window technique

A window 2 cm × 3 cm is created bilaterally in the broad ligament lateral to the uterine arteries at the level of the internal OS, using Maryland forceps and Harmonic scalpel, exposing...
both anterior and posterior surfaces of uterus [Figure 1]. This technique is especially useful for gravid uterus, where space for maneuverability is reduced.

A Mersilene tape of 5 mm breadth and 30 cm long on a curved needle is then passed through the left parametrium, hugging the cervix, just medial to the uterine artery, in an anterior-to-posterior direction, under direct vision through the window [Figure 1]. The needle is caught with a needle holder posteriorly. The window assists in guiding the needle’s passage and in placing the needle in the posterior aspect of the uterus. The needle is then brought anteriorly through the right window and under vision, placed at the entry point on the posterior parametrium 1.5 cm above and 1 cm lateral to the uterosacral ligament insertion, through the window. A left-hand instrument then assists in guiding the needle’s exit point, which is medial to the uterine complex at the level of the internal OS. Care must be taken to ensure that the Mersilene tape is flat all the way around and not twisted. To tie the knot posteriorly, steps are started from the right side, the needle being passed in a posterior-to-anterior direction and vice versa on the opposite side.

**Step 3: Placing the knot**

A square knot is placed just below or at the level of the internal OS, compressing the cervical tissue but not too tightly [Figure 2].

**RESULTS**

LTAC by broad ligament window technique is a simper and safer technique.

**DISCUSSION**

The main advantage of transabdominal cervical cerclage is the placement of the nonabsorbable permanent suture at the level of the internal OS, which theoretically represents the most appropriate placement to avoid cervical dilatation. Conventional, transvaginal cerclage placed during the first or early second trimester has been a common treatment for cervical incompetence. Unfortunately, the transvaginal approach to cerclage has a failure rate of about 13%.\(^4\) Previously failed vaginal cervical cerclage constituted the most common group for laparoscopic abdominal cerclage (100% and 94.5%), which is comparable to the systematic review by Burger et al. (>71%).\(^5\) The delivery is always by cesarean section due to the permanent nature of the nonabsorbable suture material.

With experience, we have learned that opening a window in the broad ligament and passing a curved needle under good visualization is the simplest technique for accomplishing laparoscopic cerclage, irrespective of pregnancy status.

**CONCLUSION**

LTAC is an advanced laparoscopic procedure requiring good laparoscopic skills and the broad ligament window technique is achievable due to simplicity and is a safer technique.
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