Elucidating the Interactive Roles of Glia in Alzheimer’s Disease Using Established and Newly Developed Experimental Models
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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is an irreversible neurodegenerative illness and the exact etiology of the disease remains unknown. It is characterized by long preclinical and prodromal phases with pathological features including an accumulation of amyloid-beta (Aβ) peptides into extracellular Aβ plaques in the brain parenchyma and the formation of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) within neurons as a result of abnormal phosphorylation of microtubule-associated tau proteins. In addition, prominent activation of innate immune cells is also observed and/or followed by marked neuroinflammation. While such neuroinflammatory responses may function in a neuroprotective manner by clearing neurotoxic factors, they can also be neurotoxic by contributing to neurodegeneration via elevated levels of proinflammatory mediators and oxidative stress, and altered levels of neurotransmitters, that underlie pathological symptoms including synaptic and cognitive impairment, neuronal death, reduced memory, and neocortex and hippocampus malfunctions. Glial cells, particularly activated microglia and reactive astrocytes, appear to play critical and interactive roles in such dichotomous responses. Accumulating evidences clearly point to their critical involvement in the prevention, initiation, and progression, of neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. Here, we review recent findings on the roles of astrocyte-microglial interactions in neurodegeneration in the context of AD and discuss newly developed in vitro and in vivo experimental models that will enable more detailed analysis of glial interplay. An increased understanding of the roles of glia and the development of new exploratory tools are likely to be crucial for the development of new interventions for early stage AD prevention and cures.
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INTRODUCTION

Neurotoxic Glial Activation Exacerbates AD Dementia

Many researchers have genetically modified human AD genes in mice and rats to overexpress Aβ peptides and/or tau proteins to mimic Aβ plaques and/or NFTs, which are features of human AD brain pathology. These animal models have, therefore, been widely used to test potential AD therapies, but more than 20 agents that have shown promise in these models have failed in clinical trials (1, 2), raising suggestions that amyloid and tau may need to be targeted decades before clinical symptoms appear, and causing some to even question the validity of the amyloid and tau hypothesis. In addition to Aβ plaque and NFT deposition, it has been recently recognized that brain inflammation involving glial cell activation is a prominent feature of AD. For example, increased inflammatory mediator expression has been reported in postmortem brains of AD patients (3, 4), and epidemiological studies have linked the use of anti-inflammatory drugs with a reduced risk for this disorder (5, 6). It is known that the degree of glial cell activation and their interplay correlates with the extent of brain atrophy and cognitive impairment (7, 8). It is, therefore, reasonable to suggest that glial neuroinflammatory responses, and those of microglia and astrocyte in particular, exacerbate the neurodegeneration associated with AD. In this review, we will discuss the available evidence that supports such a hypothesis.

Reactive Astrocytes and Activated Microglia in Neuroinflammation

Astrocytes are the most abundant glial cells in the brain and are responsible for brain homeostasis. In pathological conditions, reactive astrocytes are ubiquitously detected throughout the central nervous system (CNS). Reactive astrocytes are identified by increased expression of intermediate filament proteins such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and vimentin. The astrocyte reactivity can be categorized as mild/moderate or severe. In brain injury model, the mild/moderate reactive astrocytes show hypertrophy having ramified processes without proliferation, whereas severe reactive astrocytes have proliferating potential with severe hypertrophy (9). Recently, Liddelow et al. categorized reactive astrocytes into A1 and A2 in conditions of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treatment and middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO), and characterized these astrocytes as neurotoxic or neuroprotective, respectively. They identified that the A1 astrocytes were triggered by activated microglia through secreting tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-1α (IL-1α), and complement component 1q (C1q) and lost many neuroprotective functions of astrocytes (10).

Microglia, resident myeloid cells in a CNS, continually survey their microenvironments in normal and diseased brains while providing immune surveillance and activation in response to infection, non-infectious diseases, and injury (11–13). Although microglial hyper-activation or dysfunction is a potential mechanism leading to neurodegenerative and neuroinflammatory diseases, the roles of microglia are still under debate (14). There have also been attempts to understand the heterogeneity of activated microglia, as M1 and M2. M1 microglia are classically activated microglia, which produce inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Figure 1), whereas M2 microglia are in a state of alternative activation that show an anti-inflammatory phenotype (15). However, these categorizations remain under consideration because microglial activation shows more complex variations in phenotypes (16).

Neuroinflammatory Aspects of Animal Models of AD and Their Limitations

The most widely employed transgenic animal models for AD display substantial reactive gliosis that includes activated astrocytes and microglia (17, 18). These cellular responses are detected before the appearance of Aβ plaques and NFT pathology (19, 20). P301S tau transgenic mice are a model for tauopathy and exhibit not only aggregated tau, but also the production of inflammatory cytokines including IL-1β and glial activation around tau-positive neuronal cells (21). Such microglial activation precedes NFT formation and appears in 3-months old P301S tau transgenic animals (22). Similarly, in the APP/PS1 mice model, the reactive astrocytes near Aβ plaques look increasingly hypertrophied as AD develops. In addition to glial activation, these mice show enhanced levels of gliotransmitters such as gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) (23), more ROS, elevated production of cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interferon-gamma (IFN-γ), IL-1β, IL-1α, chemoattractant protein-1, and greater expression of the inflammatory mediators cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and C1q (17, 24) (Figure 1). Importantly, the degree of inflammatory cell activation and cytokine production correlates with disease progression and severity in mouse models of AD (23, 25).

To investigate the causal relationship between such neuroinflammatory responses and AD pathology, genetic and pharmacological manipulation of inflammatory components including IL-12, IL-23, TNF-α, prostaglandin E2, and cluster of differentiation (CD) 40 ligand (CD40L) has been performed in animal models of AD. In these studies, inflammatory factor inhibition has been found to decrease Aβ plaque loads (26–28). However, the role of neuroinflammation in other aspects of AD pathology, such as neuronal death or cognitive decline, remains elusive. Additionally, most animal models of AD that show amyloidogenesis fail to exhibit the tauopathy, brain atrophy, and neuronal death, that are common features in AD patients (29, 30). To overcome these limitations, researchers have made triple transgenic mice (3XTg-AD) harboring a tau transgene (tauP301L) in addition to APPSWE and presenilin-1 (PS1M146V) mutations (31), or developed promoters exclusively expressing transgenes in neurons. Some of these mice show neuronal death and brain atrophy in specific brain regions while showing progressive Aβ plaque and NFT formation (32, 33). However, such tau transgenic mice have limitations for the study of AD due to the various isoforms of the tau gene that are possible. The tau gene generates six isoforms by alternative splicing, which are divided depending on the combination of exon 2/3 and exon 10. Tau transgenic mice that overexpress the longest form of the tau gene and/or modulate tau phosphorylation through...
glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK-3β) paradoxically do not exhibit NFT.

THE ASTROGLIOSIS-MICROGLIOSIS AXIS (AMA) CONTRIBUTES TO AD PROGRESSION

Functional studies of astrogliosis and microgliosis and their relationship with AD have been performed in various experimental conditions. However, the relationship between gliosis in AD pathogenesis is complex and remains unclear despite the fact that resolving the timeline of AD pathology is essential to defining the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying AD pathogenesis. For example, an increase in Aβ levels and astrocyte activation are found to occur early, even before the mild cognitive impairment (MCI) phase and reach a plateau when clinical symptoms appear (3, 34). In contrast, microglial activation, tau pathology, and neuronal death occur later in the disease and correlate with the severity of clinical symptoms (3). Below, we summarize the available evidence for astrocyte and microglia crosstalk during AD pathogenesis (Figure 2).

From Astrogliosis to Microgliosis

Under physiological conditions, astrocytes modulate the status of microglial activation. In the presence of astrocytes, Aβ toxin-induced microglial responses such as reactive morphological changes, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) induction, and decreased reductive metabolism, are attenuated (35). Astrocyte-derived transforming growth factor (TGF)-β1 deactivates microglial cells and abolishes neurotoxicity (36) and its modulatory effect involves the activation of the Smad3 pathway, which is down regulated in AD patients (37), and the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)-extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways (38) that also appear to be neuro-protective (39). Dynamic regulation of Smad, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), and MAPK pathways, which are associated with TGF-activity in addition to inflammatory cytokine-mediated effects, is an important
component in the control of cell integrity and inflammatory responses. The abolition or decreases in the level of Smad3, a major effector pathway for anti-inflammatory responses, would therefore modify the regulatory feedback signals that result from inflammation.

However, reactive astrocytes under pathological conditions elicit microglial activation via several mechanisms that subsequently lead to AD pathology. When the reactivity of astrocytes is attenuated by blocking the inflammatory calcineurin/nuclear factor of activated T-cells (NFAT) signaling pathway in the presence of Aβ, microglial activation is significantly reduced, indicating that reactive astrocytes utilize that pathway to direct microglial activation. Moreover, such reactive astrocyte attenuation and diminished numbers of activated microglia was associated with reduced amyloid levels and improved cognitive and synaptic functions in APP/PS1 mice (40). These findings therefore suggest that microglial microglial activation underlies the deleterious effects of reactive astrocytes in AD progression.

With regard to the possible mechanisms linking astrogliosis to microglial activation, it has been reported that C3 released from Aβ-treated astrocytes can upregulate C3a receptor (C3aR) expression by microglia (41). Importantly, nuclear factor of kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB) hyperactivation due to inhibitor of κB kinase (IKK) knockout (KO) results in complement expression by astrocytes because C3 protein secretion is driven by NF-kB activation in these cells, and such responses worsen Aβ-associated pathology, with reduced numbers of synapses and shortened dendritic lengths, and impaired synaptic functions, due to reduced microglial Aβ phagocytosis in AD mouse models (42, 43). Conversely, C3aR antagonists reduce Aβ plaques formation and attenuate microgliosis. Together, these data suggest that astrocytic activation in response to Aβ leads to microgliosis via the C3-C3aR pathway.

In addition, reactive astrocyte-mediated increases in the levels of synaptically localized C1q may be responsible for the microglial activation that results in age-dependent cognitive dysfunctions (14). In support of this idea, Bialas et al. showed that severe neuroinflammation might be a cause of autoimmune diseases such as lupus (44). Using live-cell imaging approaches in a mouse model of interferon over-expression, hyperactivated microglia were seen to ingest synaptic debris from neurons resulting in a reduced synaptic network.

In addition to complement components, other evidence has shown that extracellular ATP released from astrocytes can activate microglia via purinergic receptors. ATP is known to be released by N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-sensitive neurons (45), damaged astrocytes, and leaky blood vessels (46). ATP recruits and activates microglia to sites of injury via the P2RY12 purinergic receptor leading to synapse remodeling (47). Finally, astrocytes can also express the chemokine C-X3-C motif chemokine ligand 1 (CX3CL1) in inflammatory conditions and microglia express its receptor, C-X3-C motif chemokine receptor 1 (CX3CR1). Interestingly, it has been reported that CX3CR1-deficiency increases the functional connectivity of neural circuits while decreasing the number of microglia. However, the mechanism underlying this effect remains unclear (48, 49).

From Microgliosis to Astrogliosis

Recently, there have been attempts to categorize and characterize reactive astrocytes into distinct A1 and A2 phenotypes in the brain. It is suggested that the A1 phenotype represents reactive astrocytes that are induced by systemic LPS injection and whose function is detrimental to neurons, whereas A2 reactive astrocytes act in a protective manner and are induced in conditions such as the MCAO stroke model (10). Interestingly, in this study, the formation of A1 reactive astrocytes appeared to be dependent on the activation of microglia as colony stimulating factor 1 receptor (Csfr1r) KO mice that lack this cell type fail to form this astrocytic phenotype following LPS challenge. This led these investigators to suggest that detrimental A1-type reactive astrocytes are induced secondary to the release of proinflammatory factors such as IL-1α, TNF-α, and C1q by microglia. Interestingly, C3 has been used as a marker for A1-type reactive astrocytes, and this complement component is ubiquitously expressed in AD brain astrocytes. However, it remains to be seen whether the microglia-induced detrimental reactive astrocytes seen following systemic LPS-treatment model are replicated in AD models.

**ESTABLISHED AND NEW EXPERIMENTAL MODELS TO STUDY THE ROLE OF GLIOSIS IN AD**

Appropriate and controllable models are required if we are to determine the role of reactive astrocytes and activated microglia in neurodegenerative diseases such as AD, and define the interplay between these cell types. Below, we describe currently employed models to evaluate and manipulate astrocyte and microglial functions, and discuss newly developed models that may prove useful in establishing the role of gliosis in AD.

**Experimental Animal Models to Modulate Astrocyte Reactivity in AD**

**MAO-B-Modulating Reactive Astrocyte Models**

Monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) has been implicated in the pathogenesis of AD due to the increased expression of this molecule in astrocytes in the brains of AD patients (50) and adjacent to Aβ plaques in animal model of AD (23). The activation of MAO-B results in the aberrant production and release of GABA by reactive astrocytes, leading to reduced spike probability of granule cells via presynaptic GABA receptors (23). In addition, MAO-B produces hydrogen peroxide, a type of ROS, further contributing to AD pathology. As such, a reduction in ROS-induced oxidative stress via MAO-B activity inhibition would be expected to delay the progression of the disease. For these reasons, MAO-B inhibitors have been used to pharmacologically block astrogliosis in experimental models of AD. The MAO-B inhibitor selegiline has been reported to reduce the reactivity of astrocytes in APP/PS1 mice and this effect is associated with reductions in memory impairment (23).
Interestingly, transgenic animals that conditionally overexpress MAO-B in GFAP-positive astrocytes demonstrate elevated astrocyte reactivity that is associated with increased ROS formation and neuronal loss, a phenotype that is reversed by treatment with the MAO-B inhibitor semagacestat (51). Clearly, further study of the therapeutic effects of MAO-B inhibitors on astrogliosis in AD patients is warranted.

**Virus-mediated Reactive Astrocytes Models: HSV, AAV, and Adenovirus**

Viruses have been implicated in the etiology of AD through the induction of acute and chronic diseases in the CNS (52, 53). Recently, Eimer et al. suggested that herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) infection aggravates Aβ deposition and AD progression by protective amyloidosis (54). Reactive astrocytes have been suggested to be one of the key cellular mediators of virus-induced CNS pathology. In the CNS, it has been reported that HSV-1 can induce astrocyte activation (55) and these cells have been shown to take up Zika virus via the astrocytic protein AXL (56). Recently, it was reported that HSV-1 causes the activation of GSK-3, which subsequently phosphorylates amyloid precursor protein (APP) (57). However, the mechanisms underlying virus-induced reactive astrogliosis are still unclear and the high degree of association between such infections and AD indicate that virus-mediated experimental models are required to further investigate this link.

Previously, AAV has been used to induce reactive astrocytes in vivo and the AAV2/5-gfp104-eGFP virus has been shown to increase the number of reactive astrocytes in a titer-dependent manner (58). In this model, authors found that reactive astrocytes downregulate the expression level of glutamate synthetase, impair inhibitory neurotransmission, and affect network hyperexcitability. Similarly, Woo et al. showed that the adenovirus, Adeno-GFAP-GFP, induces astrocyte reactivity in the dentate gyrus region of the mouse hippocampus (59), with astrocytes in this region becoming hypertrophied following virus-injection compared to astrocytes in uninfected mice. These models could, therefore, be readily employed to induce focal reactive astrogliosis in specific brain regions of interest. However, it must be noted that the use of such models will require caution to distinguish the effects of reactive astrogliosis from virally-induced inflammatory responses.

**GFAP- and Vimentin-Modulated Reactive Astrocyte AD Models**

Because astrocyte reactivity is characterized by hypertrophied processes and increased expression of intermediate filaments such as GFAP and vimentin, the role of astrocyte intermediate filaments in AD has been investigated by crossing mice genetically deficient in GFAP and vimentin with APP transgenic mice. While the validity of these models remains controversial, studies using these models has shown that GFAP and vimentin KO in APP/PS1 mice is associated with an almost two-fold increase in Aβ plaque formation at 8 and 12-months of age. In these triple transgenic mice, APP processing and soluble and interstitial fluid Aβ levels were unchanged, which suggests that Aβ degradation, rather than Aβ generation, is affected by the deletion of astrocyte intermediate filaments (IF).

Astrocytes in GFAP and vimentin deficient animals showed marked alterations in their morphology near Aβ plaques, with little process hypertrophy and lacking contact with adjacent Aβ plaques. Moreover, these mice showed a marked increase of neurite dystrophy. Such findings indicate that activation-associated changes in astrocyte morphology limit Aβ plaque growth and attenuate plaque-related dystrophic neurites (60, 61). However, caution is needed when interpreting these studies, as intermediate filament KO appears to result in additional effects that include the absence of endothelin B receptor protein expression increases in GFAP/vimentin KO mice that normally occur in reactive astrocytes (62).

**Animal Models That Feature the Modulation of Inflammatory Signaling Pathways in Reactive Astrocytes**

Astrocyte reactivity can be blocked by genetic manipulation using AAV-GFAP-VIVIT in which VIVIT is a synthetic peptide that disrupts the physical interaction between calcineurin and NFAT. It interferes with NFAT activation in astrocytes and consequently reduces cytokine release and neuroinflammation. In vivo application of this tool to APP/PS1 mice significantly reduces AD pathology, reducing amyloid levels and improving cognitive functions, indicating that reactive astrocytes act in a deleterious manner (40). This is supported by in vitro studies that also showed astrocyte VIVIT expression ameliorates the neurotoxic effects of activated astrocytes on neighboring neurons (63, 64). Another strategy to block astrocyte reactivity is to knockout/knockdown IKKβ expression or overexpress its dominant negative form in these cells (65–67). Such approaches inhibit the inflammatory NF-κB pathway in reactive astrocytes. Finally, deletion of aquaporin 4 (AQP4) has also been employed to block astrocytic functions, and deletion of this channel has been shown to exacerbate brain Aβ accumulation and memory deficits in APP/PS1 mice (68). However, it is unclear from the limited number of studies employing these models whether and how the reactivity of reactive astrocytes contributes to AD pathogenesis.

**Experimental Animal Models to Modulate Microglia Activation in AD**

Microglial hyper-activation is believed to be neurotoxic and, therefore, blocking microglial activation is predicted to attenuate disease progression. Pharmacological and genetic manipulation of microglial activation in animal models of AD have been performed to determine the contribution of microglia activation to AD pathogenesis. Asraf et al. reported that blocking microglial activation through Captopril, which inhibits angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) and blocks the formation of angiotensin II (Ang II), decreased LPS-induced NO release and regulated iNOS, TNF-α, and IL-10 in BV2 microglia cells. This tool was also applied to an in vivo AD mouse model, 5X FAD. Intranasal treatment with Captopril for 2-months ameliorated microglial activation and decreased Aβ burden, indicating that microglial activation exacerbates AD pathology (69). On the other hand, Manocha et al. reported...
that inhibition or deletion of NFAT 2c isoform, which is the most highly expressed gene in microglial culture, modulated microglial activation and blocked the release of cytokines from microglia. When NFATc2 KO mice were crossed with APP/PS1 mice, cytokine levels and microgliosis were reduced. However, there was no effect on plaque load (70). On the other hand, phagocytic roles of microglial triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2 (TREM2) have been reported in AD and in mice. TREM2 deficiency results in a reduced microglial inflammatory response (71). By crossing TREM2 deficient mice crossed with AD mouse models, researchers have shown that TREM2-deficient microglia are ineffective at either clustering around and/or removing fibrillary Aβ (72, 73). These studies suggest that microglial activation exacerbates AD pathogenesis.

**Novel in vitro Culture Models of Human Glia in AD**

**A Microfluidic Chip to Monitor Microglial Responses to Disease-Related Soluble Cues**

*In vivo* studies of microglial migration in AD have been hampered by the complexity of the effects of Aβ on microglia due to the multiple forms of Aβ and heterogeneous microglial activation (74). Aβ peptides form deposits of insoluble forms of this molecule surrounded by a mixture of soluble oligomeric Aβ (75), accumulated microglia, and dystrophic neurites (76). Activated microglia can take on a variety of morphologies that include rounded, ramified, rod-like, and amoeboid forms that are followed by motile activation, and these changes complicate visual tracking of individual cells. Previous microfluidic attempts to study rat microglial migration in the presence of short-lived damaged axons (77) did not establish long-lasting chemoattractant gradients, and failed to conclusively differentiate gradual microglial accumulation from heterogeneous activation and random navigation (78, 79). More recently, we have developed a novel microfluidic chemotaxis platform to study the regulated and stimuli-selective microglial motility (80). To understand the specific role of Aβ in microglial accumulation, we generated soluble Aβ (sAβ) gradients that lasted a week, patterned insoluble surface-bound Aβ (sAβ) to mimic the Aβ signature in AD brains, and were able to isolate human microglia responding to Aβ in this platform. We evaluated the Aβ sensitivity of primary human microglia isolated from human fetal brain (HMG 030, Clonexpress, Inc.) and adult human microglial cell lines (T0251, ABM Inc.) and characterized their responses. In addition, we were able to monitor single cell changes in microglial morphology in real time in response to soluble Aβ that were associated with directional migration. We found that soluble monomeric and oligomeric Aβ can act as a microglial chemoattractant at a broad range of concentrations from picomolar to nanomolar that correspond to levels in normal and AD brains, respectively. Importantly, we were also able to discern co-localization of microglia to insoluble Aβ in this model that was similar to Aβ plaques seen in AD.

**A Microfluidic Model to Assess Crosstalk Between Central and Peripheral Immunity in AD**

The accumulation of immune cells in the brain parenchyma is a critical step in the progression of neuroinflammatory diseases including AD. While the mechanisms underlying central immunity activation and Aβ clearance are well studied in the context of AD pathogenesis, the mechanisms responsible for the recruitment of peripheral immune cells from the blood stream to CNS disease sites are less clear. Peripheral immune cells including T and B lymphocytes, monocytes, and neutrophils, have been identified in the brains of human AD patients and corresponding animal models (81–83). Among these immune cells, neutrophils are of great interest because they are key effector cells in many inflammatory responses, and they show a remarkable ability to migrate within and through blood vessels (84, 85). To better assess the potential for neutrophil recruitment and activation in AD, we have reconstituted an AD microenvironment in a microfluidic model that includes the induction of cytokine/chemokine production by human microglial cells stimulated with Aβ, and employed this model to investigate the recruitment of human neutrophil in the context of innate-peripheral immunity crosstalk (86). In this model, we observed that Aβ stimulated microglial cells induce the robust recruitment of human neutrophils concomitant with the release of mediators including IL-6, IL-8, chemokine ligand (CCL) 2, CCL3/4, and CCL5. We subsequently confirmed a role for IL-6, IL-8, and CCL2 in neutrophil recruitment with the demonstration that such responses were attenuated by the presence of neutralizing antibodies against these factors. Interestingly, the recruited neutrophils in this system prompted the release of additional inflammatory mediators such as macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) and IL-2. As such, this microfluidic system shows great promise for the study of chemotactic crosstalk between resident CNS cells and circulating leukocytes in AD and may prove useful in determining the therapeutic potential of targeting neutrophil neuroinflammatory activity to limit AD pathogenesis.

**In vitro Generation of iPSC-Derived Microglia-like Cell**

Haenseler et al. developed microglia-like cells by co-culturing iPSC-derived macrophages with iPSC-derived cortical neurons and demonstrated the expression of major microglia-specific markers and neurodegenerative disease-relevant genes (87). In transcriptome analyses, the microglia isolated using CD11b show upregulation of the six key microglia-specific genes: MER proto-oncogene, tyrosine kinase (MERTK), G-protein coupled receptor 34 (GPR34), protein S (PROS1), C1QA, growth arrest-specific 6 (GAS6), and P2RY12 in relevant homeostatic pathways and downregulation of antimicrobial pathways. Also, microglia expressed neurodegeneration-invoking genes: fermitin family member 2 (FERMT2), TREM2, apolipoprotein E (APOE), and ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCHL1), AD genes: APP, phosphatidylinositol binding clathrin assembly protein (PICALM), and CD33, PD genes: Parkinson disease 15 (PARK15), PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1), synuclein alpha (SNCA), and protein deglycase (DJ-1), motor neurone disease (MND) genes: C9orf72, TAR DNA-binding protein
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(TARDBP), and superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1). Co-cultured microglia showed various alterations including changes in microglia-related protein expression and morphogenesis, and elevated motility and phagocytosis.

Further to this, Abd et al. generated human iPSC-derived microglia-like cells (iMGLs) with a two-step culturing protocol. Whole-transcriptome analysis demonstrated high similarity to cultured adult and fetal human microglia by expressing microglial genes: P2RY12, GPR34, C1Q, Cdk5, and Abl enzyme substrate 1 (CABLES1), basic helix-loop-helix family, member e41 (BHLHE41), TREM2, PROS1, APOE, solute carrier organic anion transporter family member 2B1 (SLCO2B1), solute carrier family 7 member 8 (SLC7A8), peroxisome proliferator activated receptor delta (PPARD), and crystallin beta B1 (CRYBB1) (88). Functional assessments revealed that iMGLs secrete eight different cytokines and chemokines, including TNF-α, CCL2, CCL4, and CXCL10 in response to IFN-γ or IL-1β, migrate along an ADP gradient, produce calcium transients initiated via P2RY12, and exhibit robust C1q/CR3-mediated phagocytosis. In particular, they showed that iMGLs can internalize fluorescently labeled fibrillar Aβ and tau oligomers. In addition to genes involved in AD pathology, iMGLs express other neurodegenerative disease-relevant genes, including APP, PSEN1/2, huntingtin (HTT), progranulin (GRN), TARDBP, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), C9orf72, SOD1, valosin-containing protein (VCP), and FUS, which are correlated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Huntington's disease (HD), frontal temporal dementia (FTD), and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), which supports the potential for these cells in the study of a variety of neurological diseases.

**A 3D Organotypic Model of the Human AD Brain**

We have recently developed a new 3D organotypic human cell AD brain model by tri-culturing human AD neurons, astrocytes, and adult microglial cells in a 3D microfluidic platform (3D hNeuroGliAD) (89). This model replicates key characteristic features of AD including accumulation of Aβ, phosphorylated tau (pTau) accumulation, and AD neuron and astrocyte damage associated with microglial inflammatory responses. A central chamber was loaded with immortalized AD human neural progenitor cells (hNPCs), or iPSC-derived human AD NPCs provided by Drs. Tanzi and Kim (90), suspended in a growth factor-reduced Matrigel 150 µm in height, and differentiated into human AD neurons and astrocytes on the chip, while an angular chamber was loaded with human adult microglia. The central and angular chambers were linked by migration channels that formed soluble factor gradients from the central chamber and served as mechanical barriers to spontaneously activated microglia.

Our model provided representative AD signatures that included pathological accumulation of Aβ and pTau, NFT-like structure formations inside neurons, and IFN-γ production by astrocytes. Microglial morphological changes and migration toward the central chamber began at 48 h following cell seeding with the microglial cells elongating as the length of their somata (cell bodies) increased disproportionally. Furthermore, microglia exposed to soluble AD cues from the cultured AD neurons and astrocytes showed an up-regulation in the expression of activation markers including CD11b. This 3D AD brain model was associated with significant increases in the release of several key chemokines including CCL2 (2.1-fold), CCL5 (26-fold), CXCL10 (2.6-fold), and CXCL12 (1.2-fold), and inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 (2.2-fold), IL-8 (2.7-fold), and TNF-α (1.3-fold), by microglia as compared to controls. We also observed the unique production of the leukocyte growth factors, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) in our AD model that was in contrast to the expression of anti-inflammatory markers including IL-1RA, IL-10, and TGF-β, that was very low or undetectable.

**FIGURE 3 | Neurotoxic glia interactions mediated by TLR4 and IFN-γ receptor.** Schematic of detrimental astrocyte-microglia activation in a 3D human AD model. The combination of Aβ derived from AD neurons and inflammatory cytokines from reactive astrocytes are detected by microglia via TLR4 and IFN-γ receptors. Then, the microglia subsequently activate the expression of iNOS and NF-κB, and release TNF-α and cytotoxic NO, leading to neurodegeneration. Neuronal damage was assessed using a LDH assay to quantify membrane damage. Reproduced/adapted from reference (89).
We have observed significant neuronal loss in this human tri-culture 3D model at 9 weeks that corresponds to a late AD stage (Figure 3) (89). The mechanisms underlying such neuronal damage in our 3D AD brain model were investigated by examining the astrogliosis-microgliosis axis using glial cell monocultures. We found that Aβ derived from AD neurons and IFN-γ produced by reactive astrocytes combined to activate microglia via toll like receptor 4 (TLR4) and IFN-γ receptors, respectively, and these cells subsequently expressed iNOS, and released TNF-α and cytotoxic nitric oxide (NO), leading to neuronal damage. In contrast, exposure to IFN-γ alone did not trigger TNF-α or NO release by microglia. NO levels were increased by 9.1-fold in 3D AD brain models cocultured with microglia, but this mediator was not detectable in the absence of microglia or models of early stage AD due to a lack of IFN-γ production. Blocking microglial TLR4 receptors, either with a neutralizing anti-TLR4 antibody or a TLR4 antagonist, decreased the levels of TNF-α, iNOS, and NO release, indicating that enhanced iNOS expression and concomitant NO release is mediated by a TLR4 dependent mechanism. Interestingly, the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a biochemical marker of cell death, was significantly decreased in the presence of TLR4 antagonist, LPS-RS, in our 3D AD brain model. These data indicate that microglia in a 3D AD brain model induce neuronal loss through an IFN-γ and Aβ dependent mechanism, which could have critical implications for future AD therapy drug screening efforts (87).

**FUTURE PROSPECTS**

Recent clinical trial failures of AD drugs that target Aβ have led researchers to consider alternative molecular targets that involve neuroinflammation, in particular, the activation of microglia and astrocytes. A major function of astrocytes and microglia appears to be the degradation of toxic molecules such as Aβ and hyperphosphorylated tau. However, it is unclear how this degradative function results in reactivated or hyper-activated astrocytes and microglia. While the appearance of reactive astrocytes and activated microglia precedes the symptomatic and neurodegenerative stages of AD, it is still unclear whether astrogliosis precedes microgliosis or vice versa. Because microglia are far more mobile than astrocytes, it is possible that astrocytes that are normally in contact with neurons, especially at the synaptic junctions, sense danger and alert nearby microglia to sites of injury and/or amyloid deposits. Therefore, reactive astrocytes might be the initial trigger for the cascade of events that leads to neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. The alternative is that microglia, whose principle function is immune surveillance, are the first to detect danger and instruct nearby astrocytes, via released cytokines and other inflammatory mediators, to recruit more microglia in a feed-forward manner that leads to neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration. This question is extremely difficult to address with current in vivo and in vitro experimental models due to a number of limitations that we have discussed. However, some of the newly developed reactive astrocyte models may prove useful in addressing such questions. Furthermore, our newly developed multicellular human AD in vitro models have great potential as tools to define the molecular and cellular mechanisms underlying reactive astrogliosis and microglial hyper-activation and their role in AD pathogenesis. For example, recently identified reactive astrocyte markers, such as MAO-B, and the microglial marker, TREM2, could be investigated at the molecular and cellular level using these recently developed in vivo and in vitro AD models (91). While glial responses might be intended to be protective for individual cells and/or the brain tissue as a whole, the accumulated cellular defensive responses could result in the chronic brain alterations associated with AD. As such, defining the cellular mechanisms underlying these responses could help to understand the controversial roles of glial activation during the progression of AD pathology. Moreover, an examination of the events responsible for astrogliosis and microgliosis will provide mechanistic insights into disease progression, and may provide novel diagnostic markers or even therapeutic interventions at the very earliest stages of AD. Considering the recent discoveries implicating astrogliosis and microgliosis in AD pathology, and an array of newly developed models to pursue such avenues of investigation, there is reason for optimism for the treatment of AD.
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