Antifungal Effectiveness of Various Intracanal Medicaments against *Candida albicans*: An *In Vitro* Study
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**Abstract**

**Aim and objective:** To evaluate the antifungal efficiency of various intracanal medicaments against *Candida albicans*.

**Materials and methods:** One-hundred and forty extracted human mandibular premolar teeth were decoronated, and the biomechanical preparation was done in crown-down technique. 10 μL culture suspension of *C. albicans* was placed into the prepared root canal space of all the teeth. After 21 days of incubation, all the teeth were randomly divided into 7 groups with 20 teeth per each group. Group I: triple antibiotic powder (TAP) mixed with 3% chitosan solution; group II: TAP mixed with macrogol-propylene glycol (MP) glycol; group III: chlorhexidine-gutta percha (CHX-GP); group IV: Vitapex; group V: 2% chlorhexidine gel; group VI: calcium hydroxide paste; group VII: normal saline with cotton (positive control) were used as intracanal medicaments, and the samples were incubated for 14 days. Intracanal medicaments were then completely removed using the canal brush. Dentinal chips were harvested from the walls of the root canal space in all samples using Gates-Glidden drills, were transferred into test tubes containing saline, and were serially diluted and placed in 140 Sabouraud dextrose agar plates, incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Colony forming units (CFUs) of *C. albicans* were then counted using the digital colony counter.

**Results:** One-way ANOVA test showed statistically significant difference among the seven groups, as the *p* value was < 0.001. Tukey’s *post hoc* test showed intergroup comparison between group I and group V; group II and group III were statistically nonsignificant as *p* value was >0.05.

**Conclusion:** 2% chlorhexidine gel and TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution showed superior antifungal efficiency against *C. albicans*.

**Clinical significance:** Chitosan solution’s inherent antifungal efficiency and slow and controlled drug release make it as an effective alternate carrier in mixing it with TAP instead of mixing TAP with MP.

**Keywords:** *Candida albicans*, Chitosan, Colony forming unit, Macrogol-propylene glycol, Triple antibiotic powder.

**The Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice (2020): 10.5005/jp-journals-10024-2923**

**Introduction**

*Candida albicans* are gram-positive yeast-like fungi, round or oval in shape, and are commonly found in 7–18% of failed root canal treatments due to persistent or secondary endodontic infections associated with recalcitrant periradicular lesions. So, the spectrum of antimicrobial activity of intracanal medicaments should include these microorganisms.¹,² The deeper penetration of *C. albicans* into the dentinal tubules of the root canals is due to its hyphal formation and thigmotropism property, and the phenotypic alteration of *C. albicans* helps in adapting to the ecologically harsh conditions of the root canal space.³ Elimination of microbes from the infected root canal system and prevention of reinfection is one of the fundamental aims of endodontic therapy, and the failure of endodontic treatment is due to the persistence of microbial flora in the root canal system.⁴ Disinfection of root canal space is an important step for the success of the root canal treatment. Intracanal medicaments are placed temporarily within the root canal space for few days to few weeks of time duration for root canal disinfection during endodontic treatment, and the medicaments with potent antifungal efficiency help in the successful management of persistent or secondary endodontic infections caused by *C. albicans*.³

Triple antibiotic powder (TAP) contains ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline antibiotics, and the combined spectrum of antimicrobial activity and synergistic action of these three antibiotics overcomes microbial resistance and achieves higher antimicrobial efficiency.²³ Hoshino et al.²⁵ proposed mixing of MP glycol with TAP in a standard ratio of 1:7 and it can effectively eliminate all microorganisms present in the infected root canals, particularly those residing in the areas that cannot be reached even by the root canal irrigants.⁶

Chitosan is a natural copolymer obtained from chitin of crustaceans and shrimps shells; the deacetylation of chitin by alkaline substances yields in the formation of cationic aminopolysaccharide copolymer “chitosan.”²⁷ Chitosan solution is a versatile carrier or vehicle for drugs due to the presence of free...
Antifungal Activity of Intracanal Medicaments on Candida albicans

C. albicans shows a phenomenon of substantivity, and it acts by permeating C. albicans thus effectively inhibiting the growth of precipitation of its cytoplasmic contents resulting in its cell death, thus effectively inhibiting the growth of C. albicans. Chlorhexidine-Guttapercha points are easy to place and remove from the root canal space and do not leave any residues. Chlorhexidine is released when CHX-GP comes in contact with moisture present in the root canal space, 2% chlorhexidine gel shows a phenomenon of substantivity, and it acts by permeating outer and inner plasma membrane of C. albicans causing precipitation of its cytoplasmic contents resulting in its cell death, thus effectively inhibiting the growth of C. albicans.

The aim of this in vitro study was to evaluate the antifungal efficiency of TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution, TAP mixed with MP glycol, 2% chlorhexidine gel, CHX-GP, Vitapex, calcium hydroxide paste as intracanal medicaments on C. albicans.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Triveni Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Bilaspur, Chattisgarh. One-hundred and forty freshly extracted human mandibular premolar teeth were collected. Inclusion criteria: noncarious, nonfractured, matured with closed root apices, nonrestored, single-rooted/single-root canal teeth. Exclusion criteria: carious, fractured, restored, multitoothed, multicanaled, open root apex, defects within root portions, previously endodontically treated teeth.

The collected teeth were cleaned off from superficial debris, calculus, tissue tags, and stored in 10% formalin at 37°C and were used within 4 weeks of extraction. All teeth were decoronated at the cementoenamel junction with a diamond disc (DFS, Germany) attached to the slow-speed micromotor handpiece (NSK, Nakanishi Inc., Japan) to obtain uniform samples of approximately 12 mm in length. Necrotic pulp tissue was removed with barbed broach no. 15 (Dentsply, Malleifer, Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, USA). A no. 10 K (Kerr)-file (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was passively placed into each root canal until its tip was just visible at the apex, and the working length was established by subtracting 1 mm from this length. Biomechanical preparation was done using Nickel-Titanium rotary ProTaper Universal files (Dentsply, Maillefer, Switzerland) in the crown-down technique up to no. F2 file, and 17% EDTA (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) (RC Help Prime Dental Products, Pvt. Ltd., India), 3% sodium hypochlorite solution (Safe Plus, Neelkanth Health Care, Pvt. Ltd., India), and distilled water were used for root canal irrigation. All teeth were then autoclaved at 121°C to ensure no microbial contamination.

Candida albicans [ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) 24433, Kwik Stik, Microbiologics, France] (Fig. 1) was used as the test strain. Under strict aseptic conditions, C. albicans was suspended in 5 mL of Sabouraud dextrose broth (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The growth of C. albicans changes the turbidity of broth, and the optical density was adjusted to match the turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland units. 1.5 x 10⁶ CFU/mL. 10 μL culture suspension of C. albicans was placed into the prepared root canal space of all samples using a sterile micropipette (Labtop, India) inside a laminar air flow cabinet (Bionics Scientific Technologies Pvt. Ltd., India) to prevent any airborne contamination, and the samples were then placed in sterile test tubes, aerobically incubated (Zeal International, New Delhi, India) at 37°C for 21 days. All samples were then irrigated with 3 mL of distilled water to remove incubation broth, and the root canals were dried with sterile paper points (DiaDent Group International, Korea).

Samples Classification

All teeth were randomly divided into seven groups, with 20 teeth per each group depending on intracanal medicaments used. Group I: TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution; group II: TAP mixed with MP glycol; group III: CHX-GP (Roeko Activ Points, Coltene Whaledent Pvt. Ltd., India); group IV: Vitapex (J Morita Corporation, Neo Dental Chemical Products Co. Ltd., India); group V: 2% chlorhexidine gel (Cavisept, Neelkanth health care, India); group VI: calcium hydroxide paste (Rc Cal, Prime dental products, Pvt. Ltd., India); group VIII: normal saline with sterile cotton (positive control).

Manipulation of TAP with 3% Chitosan Solution

Triple antibiotic powder was prepared by removing the film coating and crushing of antibiotic tablets: ciprofloxacin 500 mg (Arion Healthcare Limited, Solan, India), metronidazole 400 mg (Jackson Laboratory Pvt. Ltd., Amritsar, India), and minocycline 100 mg (Pfizer Inc., Mumbai, India) separately using a hand mortar and pestle. The crushed antibiotic powders were then passed through a fine sieve to remove heavy filler particles, and a fine powder was obtained, which was then weighed separately and mixed in 1:1:1 proportion. 3% chitosan solution was prepared by dissolving 3 g of chitosan powder (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India) in 100 mL of 0.1% acetic acid (HiMedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., India) in a glass beaker (Borosil, India) and mixed using a magnetic stirrer for over 2 hours to overcome the difficulty in dissolution of chitosan powder to obtain a homogenous clear solution. The obtained solution was then mixed with TAP in a dappen dish using stainless steel cement spatula in a standard ratio of 1:7 (3% chitosan solution:TAP) into a creamy paste consistency.

Manipulation of TAP with MP glycol:TAP was mixed with MP glycol in standard ratio of 1:7 [MP glycol:TAP (1:1)] in a dappen dish using stainless steel cement spatula into a creamy paste consistency. Intracanal medicaments were placed in the root canals using Lentulo spiral instruments (Paste carriers, Mani, Inc., Japan) rotated in anticlockwise direction at 250 rpm (revolutions per
minute) attached to a slow-speed micromotor handpiece (NSK, Nakanishi Inc., Japan), and CHX-GP points were placed as per its manufacturer instructions. In the positive control group, sterile cotton moistened with normal saline (Amanta Health Care Ltd., Gujarat, India) was packed into the root canals using K(Kerr)-file no. 20 (Mani, Inc., Japan). All the samples were completely filled with respective intracanal medicaments as per their group, and the coronal access was restored with MD-Temp (META Biomed Co. Ltd., Korea) temporary restorative cement. The samples were then placed in sterile petri dishes, covered with damp sterile gauze, and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 14 days. Coronal temporary restorative cement was then removed from all samples. Using the medium-sized canal brush (Reoko Canal Brush, Coltene Whaledent AG, Switzerland) along with the use of 3 mL of distilled water per root canal, intracanal medicaments were completely removed from all samples, whereas CHX-GP points from group III and moistened cotton from positive control samples were removed using H (Hedstrom)-files no. 15,20 (Mani, Inc., Japan). The root canals were then dried with sterile paper points (Dia Dent, Dia Dent Group International, Korea).

Dentinal chips were collected from all the samples at a depth of 400 μm using Gates Gildiden drills Nos. 4 and 5 (Mani Inc., Japan), respectively, along the walls of the root canal space. The collected dentinal chips from each sample were weighed using digital weighing balance, and only 2 mg was transferred into each sterile test tube containing 1 mL of Sabouraud dextrose broth and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 48 hours; the contents of each test tube were then serially diluted, 100 μL of broth in 100 μL of sterile saline for 5 times up to 10⁻⁵ dilutions. 500 μL of each dilution was then placed in one-hundred and forty Sabouraud dextrose agar plates (HiMedia Lab Pvt. Ltd., India) under strict aseptic conditions and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 48 hours. The agar plates were then checked for the growth of C. albicans and the number of CFUs was counted by digital colony counter (Secor, Scientific Engineering Corp., India) (Fig. 2) using the classical microbial counting technique, and the data were recorded.

**Results**

The obtained data were tabulated for statistical analysis using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) computer software, version 19. The frequency of every score for each tested group was counted to give descriptive analysis. The mean CFU values of group I were 21.90, group II: 45.40, group III: 42.90, group IV: 58.75, group V: 19.20, group VI: 77.95, group VII: 104.05, and the inferential statistical analysis was done using the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test to determine if there was any statistically significant difference in the mean values and standard deviations of CFUs among seven groups, and it revealed statistically significant difference, as p (probability) < 0.001 (Table 1). Group I and group V showed least number of CFUs of C. albicans, group VI and group VII showed maximum number of CFU of C. albicans. Group II showed significant number of CFU of C. albicans compared to group I. To find any intergroup differences between the seven groups, Tukey’s post hoc test was done and it showed intergroup comparison between group I and group V; group II and group III were statistically nonsignificant as the p value was >0.05 (Table 2). Comparison of the mean CFU of C. albicans among the seven groups was shown in Figure 3. Two percent chlorhexidine gel and TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution showed maximum antifungal efficiency and the calcium hydroxide paste showed poor antifungal efficiency as intracanal medicaments against C. albicans.

**Discussion**

The failure of endodontic treatment is due to the persistence of microorganisms in the complex anatomy of the root canal system even after effective biomechanical preparation and root canal irrigation. Constant attempts were made to find effective intracanal medicaments to eliminate C. albicans from the infected root canals. The use of a biocompatible intracanal medicament with effective antifungal properties will completely eliminate C. albicans from the root canal system and could significantly increase the success of the root canal treatment. The model proposed by Haapasalo and Orstavik was modified in the present study by adapting to the use of freshly extracted human teeth as samples rather than the previously used bovine teeth, and this modification was considered appropriate because of the marked difference in the diameter between the root canals of bovine and human teeth. Research studies on human extracted teeth would definitely be more suitable to simulate with clinical situations.

In the present study, 3% chitosan solution was mixed with TAP in a standard ratio of 1:7. Chitosan powder is insoluble in water and also at neutral, alkaline pH values, but it is soluble in organic acids such as acetic acid. Acetic acid inhibits the growth of C. albicans at the concentration of 1% and higher, so the minimum concentration of acetic acid without any harmful or inhibiting effect on the viability of C. albicans was 0.1%. So, in the present study, 3 g of chitosan powder was mixed with 100 mL of 0.1% acetic acid to obtain 3% chitosan solution.

In the present study, the film coating over the antibiotics was completely removed, and only the active pharmacological ingredients were selected for the preparation, so that the film coating should not interfere with the formation of homogenous mixture of TAP.

In our study, TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution showed superior antifungal efficiency against C. albicans, and this is in accordance with Jaheer Shaik et al. which showed superior antifungal efficiency of TAP mixed with chitosan solution on C. albicans. The cationically charged amino groups of chitosan combine with the anionic components such as N-acetyl muramic acid, sialic acid, and neuramic acid on the cell surfaces of...
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microorganisms and suppress their growth by impairing the chelating transition of metal ions and inhibiting enzymes. So, in the present study, TAP was mixed with chitosan solution in an attempt to test the potential additive or synergistic effect on the viability of *C. albicans*.

William et al. concluded in their study that 90% of microorganisms remained positive following the root canal irrigation with 10 mL of 1.25% sodium hypochlorite solution. However, this dropped to 30% following the application of the TAP + MP for 2 weeks. Ordinola-Zapata et al. reported in their study that TAP + MP was most effective at killing the microorganisms including *C. albicans* in the biofilms on the intraorally infected dentin model in comparison with 2% chlorhexidine gel and calcium hydroxide. Pramila et al. in their experimental study on dogs reported that intracanal drug delivery of TAP + MP resulted in >99% reduction in mean CFU levels of *C. albicans*.

But, in contrary, in the present study TAP + MP showed minimal antifungal efficiency against *C. albicans*, and it was also found that the antifungal efficiency of TAP + MP was poor compared to TAP + 3% chitosan solution. TAP + 3% chitosan solution was found to be more effective inhibiting the growth of *C. albicans* compared to TAP + MP. Chitosan solution when used as a drug carrier or vehicle helps in the slow and controlled drug release improving its solubility, stability, and enhancing efficacy with reduced toxicity.

---

**Table 1:** One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

| Groups       | Sample no. | *C. albicans* CFU (Mean ± SD) | F ratio | p value | Significant groups at 5% level | Nonsignificant groups at 5% level |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Group I      | n = 20     | 21.90 ± 2.38                  | 1916.80 | <0.001 (HS) | I vs II, III, IV, VI, VII | I vs V |
| Group II     | n = 20     | 45.40 ± 2.19                  |         |         | II vs I, IV, V, VI, VII      | II vs III                        |
| Group III    | n = 20     | 42.90 ± 3.04                  |         |         | III vs I, IV, V, VI, VII     |                                  |
| Group IV     | n = 20     | 58.75 ± 2.01                  |         |         | IV vs I, II, III, V, VI, VII |                                  |
| Group V      | n = 20     | 19.20 ± 1.40                  |         |         | V vs II, III, IV, VI, VII    |                                  |
| Group VI     | n = 20     | 77.95 ± 2.11                  |         |         | VI vs I, II, III, IV, V, VII |                                  |
| Group VII    | n = 20     | 104.05 ± 6.10                 |         |         | VII vs I, II, III, IV, V, VI |                                  |

*p*, probability; SD, standard deviation; F, ratio of the between group variance to the within group variance; HS, highly significant; CFU, colony forming unit

**Table 2:** Tukey’s post hoc test

| Intergroup comparison | Group II | Group III | Group IV | Group V | Group VI | Group VII |
|-----------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Group I                | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |
| Group II               | p > 0.05 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |
| Group III              | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |
| Group IV               | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |
| Group V                | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |
| Group VI               | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  | p < 0.01 | p < 0.01| p < 0.01 | p < 0.01  |

*p*, probability

![Fig. 3: Comparison of the mean CFU of *C. albicans* among the seven groups](image-url)
So, the chitosan solution can effectively replace MP glycol as vehicle or carrier with TAP.

In our study, CHX-GP exhibited significant antifungal action on *C. albicans*, but it was found to be inferior compared to TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution and 2% chlorhexidine gel, and our findings coincided with the studies of Ebert et al.\(^1\) and Meltem et al.,\(^2\) which confirmed poor antifungal action of CHX-GP on *C. albicans* compared to 2% chlorhexidine gel.

Vitapex as intracanal medicament in the present study showed poor antifungal efficiency on *C. albicans*, and it was partially explained by the fact that calcium hydroxide, an ingredient in Vitapex, interferes with the antiseptic capacity of dyadic combinations of intracanal medicaments.\(^28\) Contradicting to this, Fava et al.\(^29\) reported that Vitapex which contains silicone oil as its vehicle is less soluble and better diffuses into dentinal tubules resulting in superior antifungal efficacy against *C. albicans*.

2% chlorhexidine gel exhibited maximum antifungal efficiency against *C. albicans* compared to all the other intracanal medicaments used in the present study, and similar results were presented by Mejia et al.,\(^30\) Vaghela et al.,\(^21\) Ercan et al.,\(^31\) concluding superior antifungal efficiency of 2% chlorhexidine gel against *C. albicans* compared to calcium hydroxide paste, Vitapex, CHX-GP, and TAP mixed with MP.

In our study, calcium hydroxide paste showed the least antifungal efficiency on *C. albicans* compared to all the other intracanal medicaments used except the control group. Calcium hydroxide paste mostly depends on its alkalinity which is resisted by the proton pump property of *C. albicans*, and the dentin buffering potential can reduce the pH of calcium hydroxide paste thereby reducing its antifungal efficiency.\(^32\)

To maximize the antimicrobial properties of intracanal medicaments, it is important to have dense homogenous filling of intracanal medicament in the root canal space.\(^33\) So, in the present study, Lentulo-spiral instruments were used to densely fill the root canal spaces with intracanal medicaments. Kozak et al.\(^34\) and Garip et al.\(^35\) reported that the canal brush was more effective in the removal of intracanal medicaments even from the narrowest areas of the root canal space due to its better contact. The canal brush is a highly flexible endodontic microbrush used manually in a rotary action, but it is more efficacious in its use when attached to a contra-angle handpiece.\(^36\) So, in the present study, the canal brush was used for the removal of intracanal medicaments.

In *in vitro* studies definitely cannot duplicate the environment that exists in *in vivo*. However, these *in vitro* evaluations provide information that aids clinicians in selecting the most suitable intracanal medicaments for specific clinical situations.

### Conclusion

2% chlorhexidine gel and TAP mixed with 3% chitosan solution showed maximum antifungal efficiency against *C. albicans*. Triple antibiotic powder mixed with 3% chitosan solution showed superior antifungal efficiency against *C. albicans* compared to TAP mixed with MP glycol, so 3% chitosan solution can be considered as a more effective alternate carrier or vehicle with TAP. The inherent antifungal and biodegradable properties and the slow and controlled drug release of chitosan are added advantages for its use as a vehicle even with other intracanal medicaments in the root canal treatment.
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