MicroRNA function and dysregulation in bone tumors: the evidence to date

Mary Nugent
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Cappagh National Orthopaedic Hospital, Finglas, Dublin, Ireland

Abstract: Micro ribonucleic acids (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNA segments that have a role in the regulation of normal cellular development and proliferation including normal osteogenesis. They exert their effects through inhibition of specific target genes at the post-transcriptional level. Many miRNAs have altered expression levels in cancer (either increased or decreased depending on the specific miRNA). Altered miRNA expression profiles have been identified in several malignancies including primary bone tumors such as osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma. It is thought that they may function as tumor suppressor genes or oncogenes and hence when dysregulated contribute to the initiation and progression of malignancy. miRNAs are also thought to have a role in the development of bone metastases in other malignancies. In addition, evidence increasingly suggests that miRNAs may play a part in determining the response to chemotherapy in the treatment of osteosarcoma. These molecules are readily detectable in tissues, both fresh and formalin fixed paraffin embedded and, more recently, in blood. Although there are fewer published studies regarding circulating miRNA profiles, they appear to reflect changes in tissue expression. Thus miRNAs may serve as potential indicators of disease presence but more importantly, may have a role in disease characterization or as potential therapeutic targets. This review gives a brief overview of miRNA biochemistry and explores the evidence to date implicating these small molecules in the pathogenesis of bone tumors.
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Introduction

Micro ribonucleic acids (miRNAs) are small single-stranded non-coding RNAs of 19 to 25 ribonucleotides in length, that are encoded in both plant and animal genomes. Each miRNA is assigned a species prefix (hsa for humans) and an individual numerical identifier. Since identification of the first microRNA (in Caenorhabditis elegans) by Lee et al in 1993, over 1,800 individual miRNAs have been identified in humans and this number continues to grow exponentially.

The normal functions of miRNAs include regulation of pathways in cell differentiation, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis. miRNAs downregulate the expression of target genes by either inducing messenger RNA (mRNA) degradation or by translational inhibition at the post transcription level.

miRNAs were first linked with cancer in 2002, initially with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), and subsequently with many other types of malignancies. Expression of many miRNAs has been found to be altered in malignancy (either overexpressed or reduced, depending on the specific miRNA), with some thought to
miRNA biochemistry

miRNAs are the product of miRNA genes that are found as independent transcripts or within the introns of another gene. They are transcribed by RNA polymerase II as primary transcripts (pri-miRNAs). These are then processed into short 70-nucleotide precursor-miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) by an RNA specific ribonuclease enzyme complex (DROSHA). These processes take place within the cell nucleus and the pre-miRNAs are then transported to the cytoplasm by exportin 5. In the cytoplasm, these pre-miRNAs are further cleaved by the endonuclease (Dicer) generating mature miRNAs.16–18

In contrast to other genetic material, mature miRNAs do not code specific proteins. However, they regulate protein production in the cell by binding to complementary target mRNAs via the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC).18–20 The RISC pairs perfectly with its target mRNAs to inhibit protein expression by cleavage and degradation of mRNA.16,21 miRNAs may also bind to imperfect complementary sites within the 3′ untranslated regions (3′UTR) of mRNA targets resulting in translational inhibition of gene expression.16,17 Finally, miRNAs may interfere with protein translation in polyribosomes. The overall effect of any of these actions is a reduction in the production of the protein product by the target gene.18

Regulation of miRNA expression

miRNA expression is tightly regulated via several mechanisms including specific translational regulation, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) methylation, and histone deacetylation, DNA copy alteration, and gene mutations affecting proteins involved in processing and maturation.16,22 Epigenetic changes such as DNA methylation and histone modification are associated with chromatin remodeling and regulation of gene expression in mammalian development and human diseases, including cancer.23 DNA methylation does not always take place alone, but often occurs in the presence of other epigenetic modifications, such as histone modification.23 While DNA methylation leads to miRNA silencing, histone modification, especially methylation, can either trigger or suppress miRNA expression, depending on the target amino acid residues and the extent of methylation.23 Other proposed influences on miRNA expression include genetic polymorphisms on miRNA 3′UTR binding sites and p53 tumor suppressor gene expression.24

Methods of detection and quantification of miRNAs

miRNAs are readily detectable in cell lines and in tissues, both fresh frozen and formalin fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE).25 Although the majority of published work focuses on tissues and cell lines, recent evidence indicates that they are also resistant to degradation by endogenous circulating ribonucleases and hence stable in circulation, thus readily quantifiable in plasma and blood.26

Several methods of detection and quantification of miRNAs have been developed. These include direct detection methods such as Northern blotting and in situ hybridization techniques, hybridization-based microarray platforms, and single miRNA approaches such as quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).27,28 Microarray platforms, while expensive, have the advantage of allowing high throughput miRNA profiling and they are particularly useful in identifying miRNAs of interest for further investigation. Although early microarray technology could not distinguish between signals from pre-miRNA, pri-miRNAs, and mature miRNA sequences, labeling and probe design have improved to address this poor specificity.29,30 An alternative high-throughput miRNA profiling technique is a bead-based flow cytometric approach which offers high
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specificity for closely related miRNAs as hybridization occurs in solution. The most commonly used method of quantifying microRNA expression is RT-PCR; however, its reliability depends on correct normalization to stably expressed controls, usually endogenous. RT-PCR is a relatively low cost and efficient means of miRNA analysis and is particularly useful for validating data obtained from miRNA microarray expression profiling.

Role of miRNAs in oncogenesis
miRNAs are involved in the control of many cellular processes including development, differentiation, apoptosis, and metabolism. Aberrant miRNA expression patterns have recently been identified in a range of human diseases including many cancers. This dysregulation of miRNA expression can influence carcinogenesis if the relevant target miRNAs are encoded by tumor suppressor genes or oncogenes. As each miRNA has a potentially large number of targets, even small variations could have significant implications for the cell. Many human miRNA genes are thought to be located in cancer-associated regions or at fragile sites of chromosomes which are prone to deletion, amplification and mutations in cancer cells.

As miRNAs function as negative regulators of gene expression, overexpression of oncogenic miRNAs can contribute to tumorigenesis by promoting cellular proliferation and evasion of apoptosis. Reduced expression of tumor suppressive miRNAs may have similar effects. Both increases and decreases in the expression of specific miRNAs have been demonstrated in cancer; these appear to be tissue-specific and characteristic of cancer type.

miRNAs and primary bone tumors
Primary bone tumors encompass a wide range of tumor types; however, many of these are relatively rare. At the time of writing, most of the published studies relating to miRNAs and primary bone tumors are specific to osteosarcoma or Ewing’s sarcoma.

Osteosarcoma
Osteosarcoma is the most common malignant tumor of bone with an incidence of 4–5 cases per million, mainly in adolescents and young adults. It arises from mesenchymal stem cells that undergo disruption to normal osteoblast differentiation. Although the 5-year survival rate has improved to approximately 60%–70%, a significant proportion of patients still respond poorly to chemotherapy (one of the most important prognostic factors) and have a risk of relapse or metastasis even after curative resection and chemotherapy.

Osteosarcoma tumorigenesis
The miR-34 family (mir-34a, miR-34b, and miR-34c) affects expression of target genes, partially in a p53-dependent manner, and expression is decreased in osteosarcoma samples compared to tumor adjacent normal tissues. The Notch signaling pathway is involved in maintaining the balance between cell proliferation and differentiation and altered Notch signaling has been associated with various disorders, including cancer. miR-34c inhibits osteoblast differentiation and increases osteoclastogenesis through suppression of Notch signaling (resulting in inhibition of osteoprotegerin expression) in mouse models. This is illustrated in Figure 1. Diallyl trisulphide (DATS), a naturally occurring organosulfur compound derived from Allium vegetables, can inhibit cell cycle progression and induce apoptosis.
One study found that DATS suppressed cell survival, invasion and angiogenesis in osteosarcoma cells and these effects were associated with decreased expression of Notch-1 and its downstream genes as well as increased expression of a panel of tumor-suppressive microRNAs including miR-34a, miR-143, miR-145, and miR-200b/c that are typically lost in osteosarcoma. Re-expression of miR-34a and miR-200b by transfection led to reduced expression of Notch-1. miR-34a has also been shown to be a direct transcriptional target of the p53 tumor suppressor and to inhibit osteosarcoma cell proliferation and metastasis, possibly via downregulation of the c-Met gene.

Lysophosphatidic acid acyltransferase β (LPAATβ) is thought to regulate osteosarcoma cell proliferation, at least partially via the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and Raf-1 signaling pathways, however the mechanisms responsible for regulation of LPAATβ expression remain unclear. Enhanced LPAATβ expression correlates with osteosarcoma cell proliferation and this is inhibited by inducing overexpression of miR-24, which is reduced in osteosarcoma cells.

Insulin like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF1R) is a receptor tyrosine kinase that mediates IGF1-induced signaling events and has pivotal roles in cellular processes such as proliferation, cell migration, and differentiation. miR-16 overexpression inhibits the Raf1-mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK; collectively MEK1/2)-ERK1/2 pathway and silences IGF1R, inducing cell growth inhibition and a G0/G1 phase arrest; however, miR-16 is downregulated in osteosarcoma cell lines and tissues.

The microRNA cluster (including miR-127-3p, miR-154, miR-299-5p, miR-329, miR-337-3p, miR-376a, miR-376c, miR-377, miR-382, miR-409-3p, miR-409-5p, miR-410, miR-432, miR-493, miR-495, miR-453, miR-654-5p, and miR-758) at the chromosome 14q32 locus is significantly downregulated in osteosarcoma compared to normal bone tissues. The c-Myc oncogene is inhibited by this miRNA cluster, thus mRNA downregulation at this locus results in inhibition of apoptosis through increased c-Myc activity. An inverse correlation has been demonstrated between aggressive tumor behavior (such as increased metastatic potential and accelerated time to death) and the residual expression of representative 14q32 miRNAs (miR-134, miR-382, and miR-544) in samples from human osteosarcoma patients.

In addition, a study of 65 human FFPE osteosarcoma tissues (from biopsies) and 26 paired post-chemotherapy resection specimens demonstrated an association between expression of several 14q32-associated miRNAs (miR-139-5p, miR-299, miR-299-3p, miR-323-3p, miR-323-5p, miR-379, miR-382, miR-411, miR-493, miR-539, and miR-758) and recurrence-free survival.

Several miRNAs showing altered expression levels in osteosarcoma tissues or cell lines are shown in Table 1.

Progression and invasion

The lungs are a common site of metastasis for osteosarcoma and the ability for osteosarcoma cells to migrate and invade is influenced by miRNAs, though these mechanisms have not yet been fully elucidated. The ability of osteosarcoma cells to metastasize to the lungs is inversely correlated with cell surface Fas expression as Fas ligand (L) is constitutively expressed in lungs and can mediate apoptosis in Fas cells. miR-20a is encoded by the miR-17-92 cluster and overexpression in cell lines results in downregulation of Fas expression. One study showed that mir-143 was the most downregulated miRNA in human osteosarcoma cell lines in a mouse model; however, in vitro transfection of miR-143 decreased cell invasiveness and intravenous injection of miR-143 suppressed lung metastasis of 143B sarcoma cells in the mouse model.

Other miRNAs have also been associated with development of metastases; compared to non-metastatic osteosarcomas, metastatic osteosarcomas showed higher expression of miR-181c, miR-27a, and miR-93, while lower expression of miR-183 in tumor tissues correlates with lung metastases and local recurrence. miR-183 is thought to inhibit the metastasis of osteosarcoma through inhibiting motility and invasion by downregulation of the Ezrin gene.

miR-335 expression is lower in osteosarcoma tissues and cell lines than normal controls, and this downregulation is significantly associated with lymph node metastases. Rho associated, coiled-coil containing protein kinase 1 (ROCK1) has been identified as a target gene and its expression was found to be inversely correlated with miR-335 in osteosarcoma tissues. Knockdown of ROCK1 inhibits osteosarcoma cell migration and invasion in vitro and it is also a target of miR-340, which is frequently downregulated in osteosarcoma tumors and cell lines.

miRNAs as prognostic indicators

As mentioned above, the most important prognostic indicator in osteosarcoma is the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. To date, there is no satisfactory means of selecting those who are likely to respond well; however, miRNAs appear to play a role in modulating the response to chemotherapy. A number of studies have looked at the effects of miRNAs on commonly...
used chemotherapy agents, such as methotrexate, ifosfamide, 5-fluorouracil, and raltitrexed. In one study, a panel of five miRNAs were studied in 27 FFPE tissue samples, cell lines and samples from a rat model. Significant differences in miRNA expression between good responders to ifosfamide and poor responders were observed (miR-92a, miR-99b, miR-193a-5p, and miR-422a were increased and miR-132 was reduced in good responders). miR-221 is significantly increased in osteosarcoma cell lines compared to osteoblasts and has been shown to induce cell survival and cisplatin resistance and reduce apoptosis while miR-221 knockdown reverses these effects. miR-210 expression is also increased in osteosarcoma tissues compared to noncancerous bone tissues from the same patients and upregulation is associated with larger tumor size, poor response to pre-operative chemotherapy and lower overall survival and progression-free survival. Overexpression of miR-140 has also been shown to cause chemoresistance to methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil in xenograft models. In one small study, tissue samples from patients with chemoresistant osteosarcomas underexpressed miR-15b and miR-451 compared to samples from those with chemosensitive tumors.

A number of miRNAs have shown altered expression associated with poor disease-free and/or overall survival in osteosarcoma. These include miR-206, which is inversely associated with clinical stage (and thought to be a promoter of apoptosis), and miR-132, low expression of which is associated with poorer overall and disease-free survival in patients with primary osteosarcoma. Low miR-145 expression has also been identified as an independent prognostic factor for both overall and disease-free survival in a cohort of 166 patients. Those who had lower osteosarcoma tissue levels of miR-145 had significantly poorer 5-year overall and disease-free survival. A separate study analyzing cancerous and non-cancerous bone tissues in 92 pediatric patients with osteosarcoma showed significant upregulation of miR-214 in osteosarcoma tissues. This study also found significant correlations between miR-214 expression and prognostic factors such as tumor size, presence of metastases, and poor response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy as well as shorter overall and progression-free survival. In addition, underexpression of the miRNA cluster at the 14q32 chromosomal locus has been shown to be predictive of chemoresistance, recurrence, and survival, as described in the previous section.
Table 2 summarizes the miRNAs that have been shown to have some value as prognostic indicators.

**Ewing’s sarcoma**

Ewing’s sarcoma is a member of the Ewing family of tumors (EFT) and, unlike most other primary tumors of bone, has a characteristic translocation most commonly involving chromosomes 11 and 22. These translocations give rise to fusion genes comprising of the Ewing’s sarcoma breakpoint 1 gene and one of several of its family members of transcription factors. The most common of these fusion genes, EWS-FLI1, is expressed in 85%-90% of EFT. EWS/FLI1 forms a transcriptional complex with RNA helicase A (RHA) and this complex has been implicated in the pathogenesis of Ewing’s sarcoma.

EWS/FLI1 has been shown to induce changes in miRNA expression profiles in Ewing’s sarcoma, most notably miR-145 and let-7a. miR-145 is markedly reduced in Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines and expression dramatically increases on knockdown of EWS-FLI1. Conversely, ectopic expression of miR-145 in Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines strongly reduced EWS-FLI1 protein and transfection of an anti-miR to miR-145 increased EWS-FLI1 levels. This modulation of EWS-FLI1 protein was mediated by miR-145 targeting the FLI1 3’UTR. Forced miR-145 expression halted the Ewing’s sarcoma cell line growth. Let-7a is a direct EWS/FLI-1 target implicated in EFT cell tumorigenicity through its effect on expression of the target oncogene high-mobility group AT-hook (HMGA2). Systemic delivery of let-7a into EFT bearing mice restored its expression in tumor cells, decreased HMGA2 expression levels, and resulted in EFT growth inhibition in vivo.

The DNA repair protein and transcriptional cofactor EYA3 is highly expressed in Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines compared to mesenchymal stem cells and it is regulated by the EWS/FLI1 fusion protein transcription factor via repression of miR-708, an miRNA that targets the EYA3 3’UTR. High levels of EYA3 correlate significantly with low levels of miR-708 in Ewing’s sarcoma tissue samples and are associated with chemoresistance.

Microarray analysis of samples from 49 patients with primary Ewing’s sarcoma identified a signature of five miRNAs (miR-34a, miR-23a, miR-92a, miR-490-3p, and miR-130b) as an independent predictor of risk for disease progression and survival. In particular, miR-34a expression was associated with both event-free and overall survival. Patients with the highest expression of miR-34a did not experience adverse events in 5 years, while those with the lowest expression levels had recurrence within 2 years. Further functional analysis of miR-34a in EWS cell lines indicated that when miR-34a expression was enforced, cells were less proliferative and showed greater sensitivity to doxorubicin and vincristine.

Table 3 summarizes a number of miRNAs shown to have altered expression profiles in Ewing’s sarcoma cells compared to mesenchymal stem cells.

**Other primary bone tumors**

As stated earlier, published research to date in the area of miRNAs and primary bone tumors focuses mainly on

| Table 2 MicroRNAs as prognostic indicators |
|-------------------------------------------|
| **MicroRNA** | **Association with prognosis** | **Mechanism of action (if known)** |
| miR-34a | Associated with greater event-free and overall survival | Increased NFIB gene expression (controls transcription and replication) |
| miR-92a | Increased in good responders to ifosfamide for osteosarcoma | |
| miR-99b | Increased in good responders to ifosfamide for osteosarcoma | |
| miR-132 | Associated with disease-free and overall survival in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-136 | Inversely associated with development of lung metastases in giant cell tumor of bone | |
| miR-140 | Associated with chemoresistance to methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil | |
| miR-145 | Inversely associated with disease-free and overall survival in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-183 | Inversely associated with lung metastases and local recurrence in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-193a-5p | Increased in good responders to ifosfamide for osteosarcoma | |
| miR-206 | Inversely associated with clinical stage in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-210 | Associated with larger tumor size, poor response to pre-operative chemotherapy and lower overall survival and progression-free survival in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-335 | Inversely associated with presence of lymph node metastases in osteosarcoma | |
| miR-422a | Increased in good responders to ifosfamide for osteosarcoma | |

**Abbreviations:** MicroRNA, micro ribonucleic acid; NFIB, nuclear factor 1 B-type; ROCK1, Rho associated, coiled-coil containing protein kinase 1.
osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma. In relation to other types of primary bone tumors, there is a paucity of information regarding the role of miRNAs.

Chondrosarcoma is the second most common primary bone malignancy representing around 20% of malignant primary tumors of bone and affecting mainly adults. Currently histological grade remains the best indicator of prognosis. At the time of writing, there were only three published studies investigating microRNA expression in chondrosarcoma. The first found significant downregulation of several miRNAs (let-7a, miR-100, miR-136, miR-222, miR-335, and miR-376a) in chondrosarcoma tissue samples from 20 patients and chondrosarcoma cell lines compared to expression in normal chondrocytes. The second of these three studies shows the effects of administering cytosstatic antiproliferative proline-rich polypeptide (PRP-1) to a human chondrosarcoma cell line. PRP-1 inhibits mammalian target of rapamycin 1 (mTORC1), a complex that controls protein synthesis. This resulted in significant upregulation in expression of tumor suppressor miRNAs (miR-20a, miR-125b, and miR-192) and downregulation of cancer-associated miRs (oncomiRs) (miR-490-3p, miR-509-3p, miR-550, and miR-589). Finally, the third study demonstrated upregulation of miR-518b with associated downregulation of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 and upregulation of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax in SW1353 human chondrosarcoma cell lines.

Taken together, these studies indicate a role for miRNAs in chondrosarcoma; however, further research needs to take place in this area.

Multiple osteochondromatosis (MO) is an autosomal dominant disease characterized by the growth of multiple osteochondromas. Although benign, they have the potential to undergo malignant transformation into peripheral chondrosarcomas, estimated to occur in 1%-5% of patients. A recent study investigated miRNA expression in cartilage samples from 19 unrelated MO patients and four controls (undergoing surgery for reasons unrelated to MO) showing a range of differentially expressed miRNAs on microarray, with a signature of eight miRNAs (miR-21, miR-140, miR-145, miR-214, miR-195, miR-199a, miR-431, and miR-483) whose combined expression could distinguish between MO samples and control growth plate samples. While this is a small study and the only one thus far to investigate miRNA expression in MO, this may be an area with future potential for diagnostic or therapeutic measures.

Giard tumor of bone (GCTB) is a benign but locally aggressive lesion that occasionally metastasizes to the lungs. Only one published study to date has investigated miRNA expression profiling in these tumors. Although this was a small study involving tissues from ten GCTB patients, half of whom developed lung metastases, the authors demonstrated a reduced level of miR-136 in patients with metastases compared those with non-metastatic tumors. Furthermore, miR-136 expression was shown to be inversely related to expression of nuclear factor 1 B-type (NFIB; a predicted target gene of miR-136) in the primary tumors that developed metastases.

miRNAs and metastatic bone tumors

The most common malignant tumors involving bone are metastases from carcinomas. These carcinomas are most frequently prostate, breast, and lung cancer; however, renal cell carcinoma, thyroid carcinoma, and melanoma are also common. Involvement of miRNAs in metastasis was first reported in breast cancer by Ma et al in 2007, who showed that miR-10b initiates breast cancer invasion and metastasis. An miRNA hypermethylation profile characteristic of human metastasis was subsequently identified, which suggested that DNA methylation-associated silencing of tumor suppressor miRNAs contributes to the development of human cancer metastasis. In this study, which used colorectal cancer (SW620), melanoma (IGR37), and head and neck cancer (SHHN-011B) cell lines derived from lymph node metastases, reintroduction of miR-148a, miR-34b, and miR-34c into cancer cells with epigenetic inactivation inhibited motility, tumor growth, and metastasis formation in xenograft models, with associated downregulation of miRNA oncogenic target genes such as cMyc, the E2F2 transcription factor, cyclin-dependent kinase 6 (CDK6), and TGIF2. Additionally, miR-224 overexpression may facilitate metastasis of...
human breast cancer cells to bone via inhibition of the Raf kinase inhibitor protein (RKIP), which normally functions as a tumor suppressor.91

A Chinese study using human small cell lung cancer cells in mouse xenograft models found that levels of miR-335 and mir-29a were reduced in SBC-5 cells (which metastasize to bone) compared to SBC-3 cells (which do not), as were receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) and IGF-1R (key mediators in bone metastases).92 This study also demonstrated that over-expression of miR-335 in SBC-5 cells significantly reduced cell migration, invasion, proliferation, colony formation, and osteoclast induction in vitro.92 Overexpression of miR-335 reduced RANKL and IGF-1R expression.92 Loss of miR-335 was associated with metastatic osteolytic skeletal lesions.92 miR-33a expression is also altered in lung cancer and underexpression may be associated with bone metastasis via increased activity of parathyroid hormone related protein (PTHrP) induced osteoclastogenesis.93

The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a key step in the progression of tumor cell metastasis. Human enhancer of filamentin 1 (HEF1) is a positive regulator of EMT, driving migration, invasion, and metastases. miR-145 reduces expression of HEF1 and directly targets it by binding to its 3′UTR.94 HEF1 levels show a negative correlation with miR-145 in prostate cancer and were higher in those with bone metastases, higher PSA levels, or higher Gleason grades.94 miR-143 has also been associated with bone metastasis of prostate cancer and may be involved in the regulation of EMT.95 miR-203 acts as an anti-metastatic miRNA in prostate cancer through regulation of pro-metastatic genes, including zinc finger E-box binding homeobox (ZEB) 2, B cell-specific Moloney murine leukemia virus integration site, survivin, and bone-specific effectors, such as Runx2. Expression is attenuated in metastatic prostate cancer and reintroduction or overexpression in cell lines has been shown to suppress metastases.96,97

**Future directions**

The area of miRNA research is still a new field, though expanding exponentially as the immense potential of these small molecules becomes evident. Most of the published studies to date relating to miRNA expression and primary bone tumors have quantified miRNAs in tumor tissue or cell lines; however, recent studies relating to other malignancies have demonstrated that miRNAs can be detected in circulation and these circulating levels may reflect altered tissue expression in disease states.26,98–100 At the time of writing, there was only one published study investigating the relationship between circulating miRNAs and primary bone tumors. In this study, plasma levels of miR-21 were significantly higher while plasma miR-199a-3p and miR-143 were decreased in samples from 40 osteosarcoma patients compared to 40 healthy controls.101 Circulating miR-21 and miR-143 expression correlated with both metastasis status and histological subtype; however, miR-199a-3p levels only correlated with histological subtype.101 This is an area that warrants further investigation as it may hold potential for future application as a means of obtaining relatively non-invasive biomarkers of tumor activity.

Other possible future clinical applications include the use of miRNA pathways as therapeutic targets or miRNA expression profiling as a means of patient selection in order to improve chemotherapy response rates. These could include measures to reduce expression of oncogenic miRNAs, such as miRNA silencing, antisense blocking, and miRNA modifications. Conversely, for miRNAs with tumor suppressor roles, inducing overexpression may inhibit tumor growth.102 Although research into miRNAs as therapeutic targets in osteo-oncology is in its infancy, miR-302b expression has been induced by epirubicin exposure in cell lines and has demonstrated inhibition of osteosarcoma cell proliferation by promoting apoptosis and cell cycle arrest.103 In addition, there have been significant advances in development of miRNA therapeutics for management of other diseases. For example, a recent preclinical study showed an inhibitor of miR-122 (SPC3649) to be effective in reduction of hepatitis C viral load in chimpanzees.104 In relation to cancer, a number of studies have shown promising results. A single recombinant adenovirus expressing p53 and p21-targeting artificial miRNAs was shown to induce apoptosis in human cancer cells.105 Wiggins et al have demonstrated anti-oncogenic effects of a synthetic miR-34a in a mouse model of non-small-cell lung cancer without elevation of cytokines or liver and kidney enzymes in serum, suggesting that the formula is well tolerated and does not induce an immune response.106 Systemic administration of miR-10b antagonism to tumor-bearing mice was shown to markedly suppress breast cancer metastasis (though they did not reduce primary mammary tumor growth) and appears to be well tolerated by normal animals.107 While these therapies are not yet directly applicable to bone tumor treatments, they represent significant advances.

The full extent of human miRNA pathways and targets has yet to be determined; however, this group of molecules possesses enormous potential, especially in the field of oncology. Nonetheless, validation and careful evaluation regarding
possible unwanted side effects of novel miRNA-based therapies are essential prior to introduction into clinical practice.
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