The Relation of Foot Morphology to Performance in Three Vertical Jumping Tasks

Relación entre la Morfología del Pie y el Rendimiento en Tres Saltos Verticales
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SUMMARY: The objective of this research is to relate the performance in three vertical jump events with morphological variables of the foot and stature. A total of 177 practitioners of 12 sporting events aged 24.5 ± 8.0 years, with 71.01 ± 13.00 kg of body mass, 1.71 ± 0.09 m height, and BMI of 24.29 ± 3.24 kg·m^-2 were evaluated with an anthropometer in terms of foot length (FL), forefoot width (FW), navicular height (NH), and hindfoot width (HW). These variables were normalized to the height of the subjects. From the footprint record the arch index (AI) was obtained, which indicates the morphology of the medial longitudinal foot arch (MLFA). Performance was evaluated in three vertical jump events: countermovement jump (CMJ), squat jump (SJ), and drop jump (DJ), recording the height reached. FL, FW and HW show a weak positive correlation (r<0.4; p<0.05) with the heights achieved in the three types of jump. The stature is strongly associated with FL, FW and HW (r=0.8; r=0.7 and r=0.6, respectively; p<0.05) and with the height in CMJ, SJ, and DJ (r=0.37; r=0.41 and r=0.32, respectively, p<0.05). The only normalized morphological foot variable that maintained consistency in the correlations analysis was the normalized foot length (NFL) with CMJ (r = 0.2, p<0.05). The subjects whose left foot length was equivalent to 14 % of the stature jumped 27.94 ± 6.63 cm, those with 15 % jumped 30.96 ± 7.4 cm, and those with 16 % jumped 31.03 ± 7.8 cm. FL, FW, HW, and stature are moderately correlated with performance in vertical jump events. However, after discarding the stature of the subjects, only the foot length maintained its relation with performance in CMJ.
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INTRODUCTION

The morphological characteristics of the foot present a wide variability among individuals, which have been related to environmental factors such as practicing sports according Sánchez et al. (2017). Morphological factors have been related to the kind of sport practiced by the subjects, finding, for example, that sprinters have a shorter lever arm at the insertion of the calcaneous tendon (Lee & Piazza, 2009) and a longer metatarsal bone length (Tanaka et al., 2017) compared to sedentary subjects. Furthermore, anthropometric measurements such as foot length, hallux length, relative heel distances, among others (van Werkhoven & Piazza, 2017), have been related to motor tasks like jump height, finding significant relations with some of them. Foot length, forefoot width, and hindfoot width (McPoil et al., 2009) have been associated with footprint support surface and footprint pressure during walking, finding high correlations with statistical significance. Geladas et al. (2005) found a significant correlation between foot length and performance in a 100-meter free-style swimming event.

The medial longitudinal footprint arch (MLFA) has been another morphological variable that has been associated with motor performance. Delgado-Abellán et al. (2012), found modifications of the MLFA height after training sessions with continuous and interval-type exercises, and Berdejo-del-Fresno et al. (2013), describe modifications produced according to the kind of sports activity practiced by the subjects.
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The above shows that the practice of physical exercise and sports is a factor that can affect foot morphology. However, and except for the recent study of van Werkhoven & Piazza made with ten individuals, which found a correlation between toe length and lateral heel distance, no studies have been made relating the morphological variables of the foot with performance in jumping events, as a way of standardizing the expected performance.

From the above, the objective of the present research is to relate the performance reached in jumping events with normalized foot morphological variables and stature.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Participants. The sample was composed of 177 subjects who voluntarily participated in the study, signing an informed consent form prepared according to the guidelines of the Ethics Committee of the Universidad de Santiago de Chile (Ethical Report No. 577 of 2015). The sample’s subjects participated freely in the study, and they were part of a cohort of sports competitors representing the Universidad de Santiago de Chile. Those who were part of the university’s competing teams at least during the last six months and practiced at least six hours per week were included. Those who had some acute ankle and/or foot injury were excluded.

Data collection. The information related to training habits and sports history, as well as health aspects of the foot and ankle was obtained from a questionnaire that the subjects filled out immediately after signing the informed consent. Body weight was obtained from the subjects in underwear. The height and weight were determined with a digital balance with an analog 300-mm Vernier caliper (Veto, E5002009, Chile) with a precision of one tenth of a millimeter.

Foot length (FL) was measured between the rearmost heel point and the foremost point of the longest toe—which can be the first or the second toe-. The forefoot width (FW) was taken as the distance between the medial point of the first metatarsophalangeal joint and the most lateral fifth metatarsophalangeal joint. The hindfoot width (HW) was obtained by measuring the maximum width of the calcaneum, placing the anthropometer parallel to the horizontal plane. The navicular height (NH) was obtained by marking the skin with a 3-mm diameter pencil point at the lowest point of the tubercle of the navicular bone. After this step, with the anthropometer ruler perpendicular to the floor, the distance between the mark made and the surface was measured.

The MLFA morphology was measured by the study of the footprint impression, obtained by photopodoscopy. The subjects got on a metal and glass podoscope, and based on the protocol of Ribeiro et al. (2006), the footprint was photographed with a 14.2 megapixel digital camera (Samsung ST65, China) without zoom and at a focal distance of 43 cm directly on the glass.

The photopodoscopic analysis was made using the specific AreaCalc software for the calculation of footprint areas developed by Elvira et al. (2008). The MLFA classification was made by means of the Arch Index (AI) (Cavanagh & Rodgers, 1987). The values proposed by their creators were used to classify the height of the arch: Pes cavus AI≤0.21; normal foot 0.21<AI<0.26, and flat foot AI≥0.26. Sánchez et al., showed that these values were appropriate for this type of population.

In addition to the above tests, the jumping ability was evaluated by means of three vertical jump events applied in the Bosco test: squat jump (SJ), drop jump (DJ), and countermovement jump (CMJ). To measure them, use was made of a platform (Axon Jump S, Argentina) with six cells, connected to a personal computer with installed software. In the case of the DJ, and in order to not modify the execution technique of most subjects, a 40-cm high box was used.

Although there are no major differences, it was defined that all the events had to be performed barefoot to discard shoes as a factor (Koyama & Yamauchi, 2018). Although the sportspersons already knew the SJ, CMJ, and DJ jumps and they performed them as part of their training, an explanation was given to each participant, as well as a
generic warmup consisting of running at a self-determined speed for 6 min. Three attempts were made for each event to ensure that the execution technique was the right one and the intensity was maximum. Once the jumping technique was executed correctly, three attempts were made for each jump and the best record was used for the statistical analysis. The order of execution of the jumps was SJ, CMJ, and DJ.

The data analysis was made using the GraphPad Prism, version 6, software. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to determine the normality of the data distribution in each of the continuous variables. The descriptive statistics is expressed as the mean and the standard deviation. The Spearman r coefficient was used for the analysis correlations when dealing with nonparametric variables, and the Pearson r when dealing with parametric variables. The data of both feet were recorded and analyzed. The correlations were considered significant when p<0.05.

RESULTS

The sample consisted of 125 men and 52 women aged 24.5 ± 8.0 years, with 71.01 ± 13.00 kg of body weight, a height of 1.71 ± 0.09 m, and a BMI of 24.29 ± 3.24 kg·m⁻².

The subjects practiced 12 sports events, with track and field, football, and judo as the most frequent ones, making up 50.85 % of the sample. The detailed distribution per sport event is given in Table I.

Table II shows the descriptive statistics of the morphological variables. The mean values found are 255 mm for FL; 100 mm for FW; 63 mm for HW, and 36 mm for NH. Regarding the normalized variables, it was found that the FL of both feet corresponds to 15 % of the subjects’ height, the FW corresponds to 39 % of FL, HW to 25 % of FL, and NH to 14 % in the left foot and 15 % in the right foot. HW is equivalent to 63 % of FW. From the analysis of the footprint the AI was calculated, finding mean values of 0.21 and 0.22 corresponding to a normal foot. There is symmetry in the values of both feet.

Stature is strongly associated with FL (r=0.8, p<0.0001), FW (r=0.7, p<0.0001) and HW (r=0.6, p<0.0001), and less with NH (r=0.4 left foot and r=0.3 right foot, p<0.0001). Stature is also associated with the height reached in the three types of jumps, but with higher values with CMJ (r=0.37, p<0.0001) and SJ (r=0.41, p<0.0001). Since stature is related to the morphological variables and these, in turn, are related to the jumping performance, the correlations were calculated with normalized variables, discarding the stature.

Table IV shows the interaction of the normalized variables with the vertical jump height reached in the evaluated events. It is seen that NFW, NHW and HW/FW have no correlation with the jump heights, except for a small significant correlation (r=0.14; p=0.03) between right NFW and DJ. Variable AI maintains small significant correlations with the left foot and CMJ, DJ, and SJ (r=0.16, p=0.0347; r= 0.19, p=0.0053, and r=0.13, p=0.0427 respectively); and the right foot with SJ (r= 0.15, p=0.0255) and DJ (r=0.13, p=0.0399).

Table I. Distribution of subjects per sports event.

| Sport                  | n | %   |
|------------------------|---|-----|
| Track and field        | 38| 21.47|
| Football               | 34| 19.21|
| Judo                   | 18| 10.17|
| Karate                 | 17| 9.60 |
| Weightlifting          | 15| 8.47 |
| Basketball             | 14| 7.91 |
| Swimming               | 9 | 5.08 |
| Table tennis           | 8 | 4.52 |
| Taekwondo              | 8 | 4.52 |
| Volleyball             | 8 | 4.52 |
| Tennis                 | 5 | 2.82 |
| Handball               | 3 | 1.69 |

Table II. Values obtained in the three vertical jump events.

|                     | CMJ | SJ  | DJ  |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Jump height (m)     | 0.30| 0.28| 0.23|
| Mean deviation      | 7.39| 6.83| 6.88|
| Range (m)           | 0.15–0.54 | 0.10–0.52 | 0.08–0.40 |

CMJ: Counter Movement Jump, SJ: Squat Jump, DJ: Drop Jump.

Table III. Values of normalized morphological variables.

|                     | LEFT FOOT | RIGHT FOOT |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|
| NFL (FL/Stature)    | 0.15±0.01 | 0.15±0.01 |
| NFW (FW/FL)         | 0.39±0.02 | 0.39±0.02 |
| NHW (HW/FL)         | 0.25±0.02 | 0.25±0.02 |
| NNH (NH/FL)         | 0.14±0.03 | 0.15±0.03 |
| HW/FW ratio         | 0.63±0.06 | 0.63±0.04 |
| AI                  | 0.21±0.07 | 0.22±0.07 |

FL: Foot length; FW: Forefoot width; HW: Hindfoot width; NH: Navicular height; NFL: Normalized foot length; NFW: normalized forefoot width; NHW: Normalized hindfoot width; NNH: Normalized navicular height; AI: Arch index.

Table IV. Values of normalized morphological variables with the vertical jump height reached in the evaluated events.

|                     | LEFT FOOT | RIGHT FOOT |
|---------------------|-----------|------------|
| NFL                 | 0.15±0.01 | 0.15±0.01 |
| NFW                 | 0.39±0.02 | 0.39±0.02 |
| NHW                 | 0.25±0.02 | 0.25±0.02 |
| NNH                 | 0.14±0.03 | 0.15±0.03 |
| HW/FW ratio         | 0.63±0.06 | 0.63±0.04 |
| AI                  | 0.21±0.07 | 0.22±0.07 |

FL: Foot length; FW: Forefoot width; HW: Hindfoot width; NH: Navicular height; NFL: Normalized foot length; NFW: normalized forefoot width; NHW: Normalized hindfoot width; NNH: Normalized navicular height; AI: Arch index.

Table IV shows the interaction of the normalized variables with the vertical jump height reached in the evaluated events. It is seen that NFW, NHW and HW/FW have no correlation with the jump heights, except for a small significant correlation (r=0.14; p=0.03) between right NFW and DJ. Variable AI maintains small significant correlations with the left foot and CMJ, DJ, and SJ (r=0.16, p=0.0347; r= 0.19, p=0.0053, and r=0.13, p=0.0427 respectively); and the right foot with SJ (r= 0.15, p=0.0255) and DJ (r=0.13, p=0.0399).
From Table IV it can also be seen that the only normalized morphological variable of the foot which maintained its consistency in the correlations analysis was NFL with CMJ. It maintains r values greater than 0.2 with statistical significance. The graph of this correlation is shown in Figures 1A and 1B, which show the left and the right foot, respectively.

Since CMJ was the variable that got the highest r values, an estimation is presented of the jump height that this type of population may present as a function of its NFL. Figure 2A gives the results for the left foot, which shows that the subjects who have an NFL=0.14 jump 26.48 ±6.1 cm, those who have an NFL=0.15 jump 30.75 ±7.2 cm, and those whose NFL=0.16 jump 33.24 ±8.2 cm. A significant difference was found between the 0.14 and 0.15 groups (p=0.02) and the 0.14 and 0.16 groups (p=0.00).

Figure 2B shows the results for the right foot, indicating that the subjects who have an NFL=0.14 jump 27.94 ±6.63 cm; those whose NFL=0.15 jump 30.96 ±7.4 cm; and those whose NFL=0.16 jump 31.03 ±7.8 cm. In this case there were no significant differences between the groups.

| Variable | CMJ height | SJ height | DJ height | Stature |
|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Stature  | <0.0001*   | <0.0001*  | <0.0001*  | --       |
| NFL Left | 0.24       | 0.15      | 0.15      | 0.07     |
| NFL Right| 0.0014*    | 0.0209*   | 0.0227*   | 0.1895   |
| NFW Left | 0.20       | 0.13      | 0.10      | 0.01     |
| NFW Right| -0.02      | -0.01     | 0.04      | -0.1189  |
| NHW Left | 0.7701     | 0.4414    | 0.3039    | 0.1148   |
| NHW Right| 0.3614     | 0.1852    | 0.0308*   | 0.4701   |
| NNH Left | 0.07       | 0.07      | 0.14      | -0.0546  |
| NNH Right| 0.3415     | 0.1457    | 0.3045    | 0.2565   |
| HW/FW Left| -0.06      | -0.12     | -0.01     | -0.0238  |
| HW/FW Right| 0.2924    | 0.1326    | 0.1751    | 0.9967   |
| AI Left  | 0.08       | 0.04      | -0.07     | 0.0729   |
| AI Right | 0.2885     | 0.3029    | 0.1868    | 0.3345   |
| NNH Right| 0.07       | 0.05      | -0.08     | 0.0493   |
| NHW Right| 0.3920     | 0.2743    | 0.1364    | 0.5143   |
| HW/FW Left| -0.08      | -0.08     | -0.07     | -0.0003  |
| NHW Right| 0.2924     | 0.1326    | 0.1751    | 0.9967   |
| NNH Right| 0.12       | 0.0636    | 0.0638    | 0.5895   |
| AI Left  | 0.16       | 0.13      | 0.19      | -0.03    |
| AI Right | 0.0641     | 0.0255*   | 0.0399*   | 0.3263   |

Significant p results are marked with an asterisk (*); NFL: Normalized foot length; NFW: Normalized forefoot width; NHW: Normalized hindfoot width; NNH Normalized navicular height; AI: Arch Index. CMJ: Counter Movement Jump, SJ: Squat Jump, DJ: Drop Jump.

From Table IV it can also be seen that the only normalized morphological variable of the foot which maintained its consistency in the correlations analysis was NFL with CMJ. It maintains r values greater than 0.2 with statistical significance. The graph of this correlation is shown in Figures 1A and 1B, which show the left and the right foot, respectively.

Since CMJ was the variable that got the highest r values, an estimation is presented of the jump height that this type of population may present as a function of its NFL. Figure 2A gives the results for the left foot, which shows that the subjects who have an NFL=0.14 jump 26.48 ±6.1 cm, those who have an NFL=0.15 jump 30.75 ±7.2 cm, and those whose NFL=0.16 jump 33.24 ±8.2 cm. A significant difference was found between the 0.14 and 0.15 groups (p=0.02) and the 0.14 and 0.16 groups (p=0.00).

Figure 2B shows the results for the right foot, indicating that the subjects who have an NFL=0.14 jump 27.94 ±6.63 cm; those whose NFL=0.15 jump 30.96 ±7.4 cm; and those whose NFL=0.16 jump 31.03 ±7.8 cm. In this case there were no significant differences between the groups.

**Table IV.** Correlations between normalized morphological variables and jump height.

| Variable | CMJ height | SJ height | DJ height | Stature |
|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Stature  | <0.0001*   | <0.0001*  | <0.0001*  | --       |
| NFL Left | 0.24       | 0.15      | 0.15      | 0.07     |
| NFL Right| 0.0014*    | 0.0209*   | 0.0227*   | 0.1895   |
| NFW Left | 0.20       | 0.13      | 0.10      | 0.01     |
| NFW Right| -0.02      | -0.01     | 0.04      | -0.1189  |
| NHW Left | 0.7701     | 0.4414    | 0.3039    | 0.1148   |
| NHW Right| 0.3614     | 0.1852    | 0.0308*   | 0.4701   |
| NNH Left | 0.07       | 0.07      | 0.14      | -0.0546  |
| NNH Right| 0.3415     | 0.1457    | 0.3045    | 0.2565   |
| HW/FW Left| -0.06      | -0.12     | -0.01     | -0.0238  |
| HW/FW Right| 0.2924    | 0.1326    | 0.1751    | 0.9967   |
| AI Left  | 0.08       | 0.04      | -0.07     | 0.0729   |
| AI Right | 0.2885     | 0.3029    | 0.1868    | 0.3345   |
| NNH Right| 0.07       | 0.05      | -0.08     | 0.0493   |
| NHW Right| 0.3920     | 0.2743    | 0.1364    | 0.5143   |
| HW/FW Left| -0.08      | -0.08     | -0.07     | -0.0003  |
| NHW Right| 0.2924     | 0.1326    | 0.1751    | 0.9967   |
| NNH Right| 0.12       | 0.0636    | 0.0638    | 0.5895   |
| AI Left  | 0.16       | 0.13      | 0.19      | -0.03    |
| AI Right | 0.0641     | 0.0255*   | 0.0399*   | 0.3263   |

Significant p results are marked with an asterisk (*); NFL: Normalized foot length; NFW: Normalized forefoot width; NHW: Normalized hindfoot width; NNH Normalized navicular height; AI: Arch Index. CMJ: Counter Movement Jump, SJ: Squat Jump, DJ: Drop Jump.
DISCUSSION

It should be stressed that the sample for this study was chosen for convenience, with the purpose of getting a transversal vision of the characteristics of interest. It is understood that this design does not allow extrapolating the results to other populations. The number of subjects studied is interesting considering that according to the National Survey of Physical Activity and Sports Habits among the population aged 18 years and older (Ministerio del Deporte, 2016), only 31.8 % of Chile’s population carries out physical activities regularly, and that of this percentage, 1.7 % practice it in their study place-population to which this study belongs, giving the result of a universe of 95,005 persons at the national level. According to the calculation of the sample size, the sample is representative, with a 95 % confidence interval and a margin of error of 7 %.

An interesting aspect of this study is that a pattern of positive correlations was found between the morphological variables and jump height, in spite of the particular morphological adaptations of each sport (Bayios et al., 2006; Berdejo-del-Fresno et al.). This study involved sportspeople from 12 different sports activities. However, the fact that the values point to a weak correlation must not be avoided.

A positive and significant correlation was found between jump height and stature, with values of 0.3 to 0.4 for the three types of jumps. In this respect there are contradicting visions. For example, Sheppard et al. (2008) also found correlations among volleyball practitioners, but with higher values: 0.77 for CMJ and 0.66 for DJ, and in another study also carried out with volleyball players, no significant relation was found between stature and performance in CMJ (Aouadi et al., 2012).

In relation to the above, in this study the morphological variables FL, FW, and HW present medium to high correlation with stature, the same as reported in other populations (Ashizawa et al., 1997), in contrast with NH, which presents low correlation with stature, indicating that this morphological variable is related to lower extent with the body’s lengths. Along this same line, analyzing the correlation of the morphological variables with the performance achieved in the jumping event, NH was the only variable that did not correlate with DJ. We hypothesize that this difference occurred because this variable correlated to a lower extent with stature, and it is also influenced by other factors such as the strength of the eversion muscles and muscle tone of the tibialis posterior and the adductor hallucis (Aydog et al., 2005; McKeon et al., 2015).

During the development of this study, since it was not shown that the foot dimensions by themselves had greater influence on jump performance than the stature, it was decided to normalize the variables. The same statistical analysis was made, showing that NFL maintained low, but significant r values, perhaps suggesting that to some extent, subjects having relatively longer feet have better performance in the jump event. This result is in agreement with Davis et al. (2006), who found that foot length accounts for 8 % of the vertical variability displacement in CMJ.

The association existing between foot length and performance in explosive force events like jumps has been found in several previous studies. For example, Baxter et al., report that sprinters have longer metatarsals than a group of subjects who were not athletes, results similar to those reported by Lee & Piazza, who conclude that sprinters have longer toes. Scholz et al. (2008), on the other hand, found a strong association between running economy and torque in the ankle joint; they also found a significant correlation between running economy and foot length, and this might explain its association with mechanical advantages in the lever arm of the calcaneous tendon.

A recent study de van Werkhoven & Piazza indicated that the jump height in DJ is correlated with toe length and with the lateral foot length, but it is not correlated with FL or with stature. This relation existing between FL and performance in explosive force events may be explained by findings of the study of Rolian et al. (2009), where it was attempted to explain the advantages of modern human beings of having shorter phalanges than Australopithecus. There, it is shown that having a shorter forefoot appears as a morphological solution that reduces the momentum arms over the metatarsophalangeal joints This aspect has an incidence on an increase of the economy of locomotion by causing lower requirements on the long flexor muscles of the toes and of the hallux. However, this configuration presents opposite effects for actions that require a large production of force in a short period of time, as jumps, where the high momentum arms produced are transformed into an advantage, supporting the correlation between NFL and jump height.

The flexor hallucis longus and the flexor digitorum longus muscles are also important in the maintenance of the MLFA (Kapandji, 2010). A weak correlation was found between NFL and AI, since the longer the foot, the flatter is the MLFA. With respect to the previous paragraph, it can be theorized that the toe flexor muscles, during contact with the ground, are more elongated in a flattened MLFA, and this may contribute to a greater accumulation of elastic energy that would finally result in achieving a greater jump height.
This research contributed to increase the knowledge available with respect to the relation between foot morphology and motor performance when studying the relations between anthropometric and performance variables. It was defined that foot length, forefoot width, hindfoot width, and stature are moderately correlated with performance in vertical jump events. However, by discarding the subjects’ stature, only the foot length maintained its relation to performance in CMJ together with the height of the medial longitudinal foot arch.

For future work, it is suggested to study the relation between the different bone segments of the foot, such as the metatarsal and heel length, the perimeter of the forefoot, among others, as a way of integrating in greater depth the morphology of the foot with its functionality. Since correlations found were weak, it would be interesting to carry out a multifactorial study to determine the percentage of influence of the NFL and AI variables on the height of the jump.

Also, and as future patterns, it is suggested to study subjects practicing the same sports activity with the purpose of establishing the morphological adaptations that its practice produces. Furthermore, looking into the use of other methodologies that allow studying in greater depth the morphological milestones in 2 and 3 dimensions, such as geometric morphometry. This issue was already approached as a descriptive (Domjanic et al., 2013) and correlational study (Stankovic’ et al., 2018), and it would be interesting to continue along that line.
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RESUMEN: El objetivo de esta investigación fue relacionar el rendimiento en saltos verticales con variables morfológicas del pie y el rendimiento en tres saltos verticales. Int. J. Morphol., 38(3):545-551, 2020.
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