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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Our aim was to propose a conceptually new angioarchitectural model of some dural arteriovenous fistulas based on subset analysis of transverse and sigmoid type lesions. The “common collector” notion argues for convergence of multiple smaller caliber arterial vessels on a common arterial collector vessel within the sinus wall. Communication of this single collector (or constellation of terminal collectors) with the sinus proper defines the site of arteriovenous fistula, which can be closed by highly targeted embolization, preserving the sinus and avoiding unnecessary permeation of indirect arterial feeders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: One hundred consecutive dural arteriovenous shunts were examined. Thirty-six transverse/sigmoid fistulas were identified within this group and analyzed for the presence of a common arterial collector as well as other parameters, including demographics, grade, treatment approach, and outcome.

RESULTS: A common collector was identified in nearly all Cognard type I lesions (15 fistulas with 14 single collector vessels seen) and progressively less frequently in higher grade fistulas. Identification of the common collector requires careful angiographic analysis, including supraselective and intraprocedural angiographies during treatment, and final embolic material morphology.

CONCLUSIONS: Detailed evaluation of imaging studies allows frequent identification of a vascular channel in the sinus wall, which we argue reflects a compound, common arterial channel (rather than a venous collector) with 1 or several discrete fistulous points between this vessel and the sinus proper. Targeted closure of this channel is often feasible, with sinus preservation and avoidance of embolic material penetration into arteries remote from fistula site.

ABBREVIATION: dAVF — dural arteriovenous fistula

Dural arteriovenous fistulas (dAVFs) are pathologic arteriovenous shunts of the dural venous sinuses. Broad consensus exists regarding the relationship between the severity of dAVF-related cerebral venous congestion and disease morbidity, as reflected in the grading scales of Borden et al.¹ and Cognard et al.² In contrast, there is less agreement on the nature of the pathologic angioarchitecture composing these lesions; the identity of the various vascular components, arterial or venous, in proximity to the fistula; the number and location of shunts in relation to the dural sinus; and the underlying mechanisms driving the evolution and occasional spontaneous involution of untreated lesions. Various treatment methods, transarterial,³,⁴ and transvenous,⁵,⁶ have been used with generally successful results.⁷,⁸ However, these treatments frequently lack target specificity, resulting in unnecessary permeation of arterial vessels remote from the site of shunting or sacrifice of venous structures likewise not directly involved in the shunt pathology. This article reviews the existing literature, which suggests that most transverse/sigmoid dAVFs consist of 1 or at most a limited number of direct arteriovenous connections. The seemingly complex angioarchitecture of these lesions is proposed to represent myriad artery-to-artery anastomoses, converging on a common collector vessel within the wall of the dural sinus. This collector, sometimes (we believe erroneously) referred to as a venous septation or pouch, in fact represents a final common arterial channel, with 1 opening (or a limited set of openings) into the dural sinus. The site of the fistulous communication thus involves a discrete point in the sinus wall where this common artery empties into the sinus, an arrangement best appreciated in lower grade fistulas. Highly targeted occlusion of this common channel and its
connection with the true sinus, when possible, minimizes collateral embolization with its associated hazards, procedural costs, and radiation dose.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

NYU Institutional Board Review approved retrospective review of 100 consecutive dural arteriovenous shunts presenting for endovascular treatment from 2009 until 2017 was conducted by M.L. and M.S. to identify a subset of transverse and sigmoid sinus fistulas. A detailed examination of preprocedural MR and CT cross-sectional imaging and diagnostic and embolization angiographic studies was performed for evidence of the existence of a common arterial collector vessel and for assignment of fistula location by authors P.K.N., E.R., and M.S. Diagnostic angiography and embolization were performed on Axiom Artis or Q systems (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) via femoral approach for both arterial and venous access at fluoroscopic and roadmap rates of 7.5 frames per second and digital subtraction angiography at 2 frames per second using the as low as reasonably achievable principles. Most diagnostic procedures were performed with the patient under local anesthesia, and all treatment was performed with the patient under general anesthesia. 3D-DSA was not acquired during diagnostic or therapeutic dAVF procedures. Data were also collected on the treatment strategy (arterial, venous, or both), number of treatment sessions, ultimate fistula status (closed or open), and any periprocedural complications, defined broadly as any new neurologic deficit or any delayed treatment-related neurologic issue. All authors were involved in performance of endovascular procedures. Fistulas in other locations were excluded from analysis at this stage.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-six patients (mean age, 56 ± 14 years; 17 women) with transverse/sigmoid sinus fistulas were identified. The Table shows the breakdown by Cognard type, hemorrhage status on presentation, and presence of a common collector channel. A median of 1 embolization session (average, 1.47 sessions) was required to close 93% of fistulas by transarterial (n = 19), transvenous (n = 9), and combined (n = 8) approaches, incurring 1 permanent (lateral medullary infarct) and 1 transient (delayed venous sinus thrombosis resolved with anticoagulation) complication. Figures 1 and 2 illustrate normal and pathologic dAVF angioarchitecture according to a common collector theory and nonselective treatment approaches. The 2 cases shown in Figs 3–4 illustrate subselective transvenous embolization of the common collector vessels with coils (Fig 3) or n-BCA (Fig 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The existence of normal arterial channels within the walls of the dural sinuses is well-described and illustrated in works of Geppprasert el al, Bernstein and Choi, Lasjaunias et al and others. These vessels comprise distal dural branches of the anterior, mid-

---

**Breakdown by Cognard type, hemorrhage status on presentation, and presence of a common collector channel**

| Cognard Type | No. | Hemorrhagic Presentation (No.) | Common Channel (No. [%]) |
|--------------|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1            | 15  | 0                             | 14 (93%)                |
| IIA          | 12  | 0                             | 5 (42%)                 |
| IIB          | 0   | 0                             | NA                      |
| IIAB         | 3   | 0                             | 1 (33%)                 |
| III          | 6   | 4                             | 2 (33%)                 |

*Note:* NA indicates not applicable.
dle, posterior meningeal, ascending pharyngeal, and occipital arteries, as well as the meningohypophyseal and inferolateral trunks of the internal carotid artery, collectively forming a densely interconnected dural vascular network (Fig 1A). While occasionally visible angiographically in nonpathologic circumstances, the arterial vessels of the dural sinus wall are usually below the threshold of in vivo imaging resolution. They may, however, become angiographically visible in certain pathophysiologic conditions prevailing in the supply of the dAVF, dural-based vascular tumors such as meningiomas, hypertrophied collaterals in cerebral ischemic disease, or following meningeal arterial disruption (postcraniotomy). Close proximity of these arteries to the dural venous sinus and the vast collateral arcades through which they communicate with other dural arterial channels make these vessels a logical common conduit in the angioarchitectural evolution of a sinus dAVF. If we postulate that such a dural wall artery represents a common arterial collector that establishes an abnormal connection with the dural venous sinus to form the dAVF (Fig 1D-E), the resulting vasculopathic picture may account for many empirically encountered phenomena related to the angio-

**FIG 3.** A, Left sigmoid sinus type I dural fistula. Posterior meningeal supply (B) proceeds via arteries in the wall of the transverse/sigmoid sinuses (arrows). Subselective external carotid artery injection (C) shows robust occipital and middle meningeal artery supply. D, Inflation of a compliant balloon within the sigmoid sinus reduces transfistulous flow to allow identification of a common collector channel (white arrow) inferior to the sinus proper. E and F, Transvenous microcatheterization of a common collector channel (E, distal catheter tip) and subsequent fistulogram (F) reduce the fistula to a single point where the collector joins the sinus (white arrow). Subselective coiling of this collector (G) results in fistula closure (H and I).
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always located inferior to the true sinus, as shown in Figs 1–5.

Once its existence is recognized, prospective identification be-
comes substantially easier, despite the presence of overlapping

regional collateral networks that communicate with and supply

this common channel, frequently obscuring its detection. The im-
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plete angiographic appearance of the mature lesion.

Literature support for the common collector concept may be

found in articles describing the existence of channels alongside
the sinus proper, first reported by Mironov in 1998. Channels
parallel to the venous sinus have been accessed successfully via a
transvenous route and strategically embolized with coils, produc-
ing a durable cure. Piske et al in 2005 described cases in which
arteriovenous shunting was observed to involve what was de-
deribed as a sinus compartment, which also could be selectively
embolized with main sinus preservation. Similar results were re-
ported earlier by Caragine et al in 2003. Most recently, Kiyosue et al,
agreement with our proposal, published results of rota-
tional angiographic evaluation of 25 dAVFs, identifying the pres-
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FIG 4. A and B, Left type I sigmoid sinus fistula with predominant occipital and middle meningeal supply. C, A 5F VERT (Cook, Bloomington, Indiana) catheter (tip marked by a black arrow) in the sigmoid sinus is wedged into the opening of the common collector (white arrow). Gentle injection of the VERT catheter identifies a second opening of the collector into the sinus shown by the black arrowhead. D, A stronger injection of the VERT (fistulogram) opacifies the entire arterial arcade in a retrograde fashion. E, Scepter C (MicroVention Tustin, California) subselective catheterization of the common collector, with a subsequent n-BCA cast in F. G and H, Postembolization angiography demonstrates occlusion of the fistula with preservation of the left sigmoid sinus.
venous sinus proper should result in a durable cure. Rather, one would expect this kind of embolization to be followed by development of alternative venous outflows, redirecting the drainage of the lesion possibly even into cortical veins. Moreover, the myriad arterial connections to the common channel would represent independent arteriovenous shunts if the channel were a vein, as opposed to collateral arterial-arterial connections if the conduit were the final common dural artery leading to a fistulous connection. If one assumed that the probability of forming an abnormal arteriovenous shunt is P, the likelihood of forming y independent shunts would be yP, which seems statistically less probable.

Arterial approaches typically require permeation of a liquid embolic into the sinus wall or the sinus itself to be effective. The most common pitfall of arterial embolization in treating dAVFs is a too-proximal deposition of embolic material. While this approach may be used deliberately in a partial or staged embolization, unless the common arterial collector is permeated, this exercise has no direct effect on the fistula, apart from temporary gross total flow reduction. The persistence or recurrence of shunting following proximal arterial embolizations is simply related to continued patency of the common arterial collector and its aberrant arteriovenous communication and inevitably will be fol-

FIG 5. Additional examples of a common collector channel. Case 1 (A–C) of a type Ila fistula shows the collector channel inferior to the sinus (white arrow) during Onyx (Covidien, Irvine, California) injection via a Scepter C microcatheter (MicroVention). Case 2 (D–F) illustrates a relatively uncommon presence of a common collector superior to the sinus (white arrows), becoming more obvious after the sinus has been packed with coils. Case 3 (G–I) is another complex-appearing fistula, where the common collector channel (white arrows) is better seen via the left vertebral contributors (H) due to stenosis of the sinus proper. The collector channel is subsequently filled with coils (I, white arrows). In retrospect, cases 2 and 3 might have been cured by superselective embolization rather than sinus sacrifice.
In this article. However, if the sinus proceeds via a common channel (which they, however, consider to be a vein) similar to Fig 1C in this article. However, if the fistulas are indeed numerous and located in the sinus wall, there appears to be no need to propose the existence of this common venous collector. From a probability standpoint, it also appears to be more likely that fistulas should be few rather than many if the entire angioarchitecture can be thus accounted for—an example of the Ockham razor. Additionally, from a histologic perspective, the existence of discrete mural veins that extend along the entire length of the dural venous sinuses under nonpathologic conditions has not been described, to our knowledge, while such arterial channels within the sinus walls are well-recognized. From the standpoint of the disease pathogenesis, if we hold that at least some dAVFs form because of sinus thrombosis and its subsequent lysis, it seems more plausible that various lytic agents would act on the inner sinus wall to induce an aberrant arteriovenous connection between the sinus and an adjacent dural wall artery rather than establish myriad fistulous connections with the sinus itself or a parallel venous channel within the sinus wall.

Objection to the common arterial collector hypothesis may arise from apparent difficulty in defining this “common conduit” on routine angiography. However, the region of even relatively simple fistulas is often quite “busy” angiographically, and consistent identification of this channel is hampered by difficulty in its uniform opacification from 1 angiographic source in the face of competing unopacified inflow and overlap of the myriad collateral vessels, obscuring the underlying dAVF angioarchitecture. Some may find it counterintuitive to consider a terminal larger caliber vessel representing a collecting artery rather than vein. It is nevertheless a pathophysiologically coherent arrangement, accounting for collaterally fed high-flow networks. For example, proximal ligation of large vessels such as the external carotid or vertebral arteries frequently leads to reconstitution of their distal territory via multiple individually smaller-sized collaterals with maintenance of the original vessel caliber of the reconstituted segment.

Another critique concerns our inability to consistently identify collector channels in higher grade lesions. We postulate that this stems from the extensive asymmetric obliteration of normal anatomic structures in high-grade lesions, many of which are associated with narrowing or thrombosis of the native venous sinus. When the recipient sinus is occluded both retrograde and antegrade to the fistula, the common collector may come to drain through a trapped sinus segment (of variable dimensions) into regional cortical veins. This may limit the utility of subselective common collector embolization to anatomically lower grade lesions, many of which may be characterized by a more favorable natural history, and, instead, may be reasonably observed.

We agree, yet believe that subselective embolization of a common channel via a transvenous approach (Figs 3 and 4) is safe, effective, and elegant and that a good proportion of type IIa lesions also appear to have a common collector target. We do not believe that all common collectors can be embolized; for example, the collector may be too short or tortuous to allow subselective catheterization. Indeed, most of our cases were cured by transarterial embolization or sinus sacrifice.

The generalizability of the theory can be questioned on the basis of our exclusion of more complex fistulas of other sites (cavernous sinus, superior petrosal sinus, and torcular) from the analysis; however, observations reported by Satow et al for cavernous sinus dural fistulas suggest the applicability of the principle in other settings. Volumetric imaging methods may be useful for better appreciation of the unique angioarchitecture in these areas.

Finally, the proposed model may be too simplistic, considering the variety of fistulas encountered in practice. The common arterial collector, like any theory, is open to the possibility of exceptions, variations, refutation, and improvement. It is our view that it represents an advance in our understanding of dAVF angioarchitecture and is useful as a guide to treatment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The seemingly complex lateral sinus dAVF angioarchitecture may be reduced in many instances to 1 or several discrete aberrant arteriovenous communications between arteries in the wall of the dural sinus and the sinus lumen itself. In the described model, an enlarged mural artery serves as the final common conduit supplying a simple fistulous site. This common arterial collector, in turn, receives the collective arterial inflow to the fistula through its numerous arterial anastomoses with an extensive collateral network, accounting for the convergence of myriad dural arteries near the region of shunting. Highly targeted occlusion of these artery-to-sinus communications allows occlusion of the shunt with sinus preservation and reduced inadvertent embolization of vessels not directly involved in the pathologic arteriovenous shunt.
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