Crystal structures of inhibitor complexes of M-PMV protease with visible flap loops
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Abstract
Mason-Pfizer monkey virus protease (PR) was crystallized in complex with two pepstatin-based inhibitors in P1 space group. In both crystal structures, the extended flap loops that lock the inhibitor/substrate over the active site, are visible in the electron density either completely or with only small gaps, providing the first observation of the conformation of the flap loops in dimeric complex form of this retropepsin. The H-bond network in the active site (with D26N mutation) differs from that reported for the P21 crystal structures and is similar to a rarely occurring system in HIV-1 PR.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Retroviral proteases (PR) (retropepsins) function as C2 homodimers1 with the active site composed of two DTG triads, one from each subunit. The Asp side chains serve the catalytic role, and are positioned in a nearly coplanar orientation.2 The orientation is preserved in a common D → N mutation, which suppresses the autoproteolytic activity. Mason-Pfizer monkey virus (M-PMV) PR is unique among retropepsins with a shift of the dimerization equilibrium toward the monomeric state,3 as confirmed by a crystallographic study4,5 and different active site conformation.6 In all described M-PMV PR structures (PDB IDs 6s1v, 6s1w, 6s1u, 3sqf) the active site is mutated. The side chains of the Asn26 residues are moved apart and twisted, forming additional H-bonds with water molecules that are further coordinated by main-chain carbonyls. Such a H-bond system can only be found in two HIV-1 PR models (PDB IDs 5kr17 and 1n49*8), albeit in only one subunit per dimer. An additional non-catalytic water molecule within the M-PMV PR active site bridges the Asn26 Oδ1 and Gly28 N atoms. Another distinguishing feature is the structure of the flap loops, which in dimeric retropepsin-inhibitor complexes lock over the active site, but in M-
PMV PR show different conformational behavior. In the previously reported dimeric M-PMV PR structures, the flaps were disordered with fragments not defined by the electron density, and the disorder was coupled with poor definition of the inhibitor molecules. In the apo form one flap is fully defined and uniquely curled into the active site while the other is entirely disordered.

Here, we report the first crystal structures of M-PMV PR dimer with mostly well-ordered flaps and clearly defined inhibitors. The enzyme has a new active site conformation, similar to a rarely seen HIV-1 PR state.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.1 Overall conformation

The M-PMV PR complexes with inhibitors 1 and 2, hereinafter 3M1 and 3M2, respectively, crystallized isomorphously in P1 space group (Table I). The asymmetric unit contains two homodimeric proteins (A/B and C/D) with inhibitors (chains F and G, respectively) locked in the active sites (Figure 1). The overall protein conformation agrees with the template retropepsin fold and is similar to that of the dimers crystallized in space group P2_1. An important difference is the stabilization of flap loops of chains B and D, and the concomitant stabilization of the inhibitors. There is also a different active-site H-bond network. The differences between subunits are correlated with the intrinsic asymmetry of the inhibitors. In each enzyme, the N-terminus of the inhibitor interacts with chain B or D (hereinafter N-subunits, Figure 1), while the C-terminus of the inhibitor (with higher B-factors) has only sparse contacts with chain A or C (C-subunits). This subunit differentiation is supported by rmsd analysis (Table SI).

### 2.2 Different active site conformations

The Asn26 side chain has different conformation in the N-/C-subunits (Figure 2(a)). In the N-subunits it...
assumes the common position found in other retropepsins, while in the C-subunits it is shifted and twisted, as seen in all previous M-PMV PR structures. The water molecule buried deep between the active site loops in the monoclinic structures is now absent. A similar asymmetric active site conformation is only found in two other retropepsins (Figure 2(b)), both of HIV-1 PR with the same D25N mutation (PDB IDs 5kr1, 1n49).

2.3 | Well defined inhibitor

There are two dimers in each asymmetric unit; thus a total of four inhibitor molecules were modeled and verified by polder maps9 (Figure S1, Table SII). The electron density is superior in the case of the 3M2 structure. Except for the C-terminal residue where 1 and 2 differ in sequence and in conformation, the two inhibitors have largely the same conformation (Figure S2) and protein contacts. As an exception, Ala3 in molecule F of 3M2 is H-bonded to a water molecule via its C=O group. In retropepsin complexes with peptidic ligands, a tetrahedral water molecule bridges the interaction between two inhibitor/substrate C=O groups and the NH amides at the tips of the flaps.10 In the present structures, an analogous water molecule interacts with only one C=O group and one flap residue (Ile55 of chain B). The OH group of PSA that mimics the carbonyl group of a substrate is pointed toward the active site with H-bonds to the side chains of both Asn26 residues.

2.4 | Ordered flap loops

The flap loops in the present structures are significantly better defined than in all previously described dimeric complexes of M-PMV PR. However, an asymmetry in the flap quality is still present: the flaps of the N-subunits are better defined than in C-subunits. This effect is enhanced by the additional interaction between the flaps of the two N-subunits in the asymmetric unit, with the formation of an intermolecular β-sheet (Figure 1). This secondary structure extends deeper into the protein molecule, incorporating the N-terminus of the inhibitor and Ala29. The side chain of Asp30 additionally complements this structure. Flaps that are not involved in this interaction (with the exception of chain A of 3M2) are partially undefined in the electron density: Gly56-Asn59 of chain C in 3M2, and Gly56-Gln57 of chain A and Ile55-Ser58 of chain C in 3M1. The hairpin β-sheet within the flap is partially preserved in the C-subunits in the case of chains A of both structures.

3 | CONCLUSIONS

The 3M2 model shows the structure of M-PMV PR in the holo form with fully defined flaps. The subunits that interact with the N-terminus of the peptidomimetic inhibitor have superior quality of the flap region. The active-site loops of those subunits have conformation that is typical for retropepsins. The subunits that bind the C-terminus of the inhibitor have less ordered flaps and their active-site loops are similar to those reported for M-PMV PR before; however, the
water molecule deeply buried between the active-site loops is absent. The subunit asymmetry is reinforced by intermolecular β-sheet interaction between the well-ordered flaps. Flap asymmetry and unique inhibitor polarity were also observed in a different crystal form of M-PMV PR and are, therefore, not crystal packing artifacts. A variety of flap conformations were also observed for HIV-1 PR, including two holo conformations described as close and tightly close, which can occur even within one crystal structure. Our results show that also the active site of holo M-PMV PR can display different conformational states. However, they are found with very similar inhibitors or in free enzyme and may show an inactive form, especially in view of the D26N mutation, which changes the active-site H-bond pattern.

4 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 | Cloning, expression, and purification

Mutations C7A/D26N/C106A were introduced into the previously described plasmid pBPS13ATG using Quick Change Site Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, California) and verified by DNA sequencing. Expression of C7A/D26N/C106A M-PMV PR was performed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) as described. The protein was isolated from inclusion bodies by solubilization in 8 M urea and was renatured by stepwise dialysis against 50 mM Tris pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA and 0.05% mercaptoethanol. Pure M-PMV PR was obtained by batch ion exchange chromatography (QAE-Sephadex A 25, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) and was characterized by sedimentation-equilibrium analytical ultracentrifugation.

4.2 | Crystallization

Before crystallization, the protein at pH 7.4 (in 10 mM Tris buffer) was incubated with 1.2-fold excess (relative to PR dimer) of the inhibitor with the sequence of Pro-(O-Me)Tyr-Val-PSA-Ala-Met-Thr (1) or with 1.4-fold excess of Pro-Tyr-Val-PSA-Ala-Met-His (2). O-Me)Tyr is O-methylated tyrosine and PSA denotes (3S, 4S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-phenylpentanoic acid. Crystallizations were set up using hanging-drop vapor-diffusion at 292 K by mixing 1 μl of 8.5 mg ml⁻¹ protein solution with 1 μl reservoir solution (0.1 M sodium citrate pH 5.6, 6% PEG 8000, 5% propan-2-ol) for complex 1 (3M1), or by mixing 2 μl of 4.7 mg ml⁻¹ protein solution with 1 μl reservoir solution (0.1 M imidazole pH 7.7, 0.6 M sodium acetate) for complex 2 (3M2). Single crystals appeared within 2 weeks and were harvested after 3 months.

4.3 | X-ray data collection and processing

Both crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor supplemented with PEG 400. X-ray diffraction data were...
collected at 100 K using synchrotron radiation. The diffraction data were processed and scaled using the XDS package. Crystal and data collection parameters are given in Table I. Raw X-ray diffraction images were deposited in the MX-RDR Repository at the Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical and Computational Modeling of the University of Warsaw, Poland, with the following DOIs: 10.18150/C9DYSH (3M1); 10.18150/1HQGWO (3M2).

### 4.4 Structure solution and refinement

The crystal structures of 3M1 and 3M2 were determined by molecular replacement in Phaser using the coordinates of one subunit of dimeric M-PMV PR (PDB ID 6s1v). Structural refinements were carried out in PHENIX and Coot was used for modeling in electron density maps, as well as to build the inhibitor molecules and to validate the solvent structure. TLS groups (five for 3M1, six for 3M2) were generated with TLSMD server. Stereochemical restraints for the amide bonds of the PSA residue (Val-PSA and PSA-Ala) of the inhibitors were created using JLigand. The refinement statistics are listed in Table I. The coordinate and structure factor files were deposited at the Protein Data Bank with PDB IDs: 7bgu (3M1) and 7bgt (3M2).
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### ENDNOTE

In the previous paper we incorrectly reported that the active site of 1n49 is not mutated, while in fact it contains the same D25N mutation.
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