Spikelet Proteomic Response to Combined Water Deficit and Heat Stress in Rice (Oryza sativa cv. N22)
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Abstract In future climates, rice crops will be frequently exposed to water deficit and heat stress at the most sensitive flowering stage, causing spikelet sterility and yield losses. Water deficit alone and in combination with heat stress significantly reduced peduncle elongation, trapping 32% and 55% of spikelets within the leaf sheath, respectively. Trapped spikelets had lower spikelet fertility (66% in control) than those exerted normally (>93%). Average weighted fertility of exerted spikelets was lowest with heat stress (35%) but higher with combined stress (44%), suggesting acquired thermo-tolerance when preceded by water-deficit stress. Proteins favoring pollen germination, i.e., pollen allergens and beta expansin, were highly up-regulated with water deficit but were at normal levels under combined stress. The chaperonic heat shock transcripts and proteins were significantly up-regulated under combined stress compared with either heat or water deficit. The importance of spikelet proteins responsive to water deficit and heat stress to critical physiological processes during flowering is discussed.
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Introduction

Global warming could pose a serious threat to developing countries, reducing the world's agricultural gross domestic product by up to 16% by 2020 (Cline 2007). Simultaneously, the prices of agricultural commodities are predicted to increase by 40% with a 3°C increase in global temperature (Easterling et al. 2007). Furthermore, global climatic models predict an increase in mean surface air temperature 2.0°C to 4.5°C with an increased variability about this mean (IPCC 2007). Significant decline in precipitation in subtropical regions will double the area under rice (Oryza sativa) cultivation affected by water deficit stress (IPCC 2007; Wassmann et al. 2009). Therefore, a sustained increase in rice production in the future will have to be met from much drier and warmer environments. Recently, Wassmann et al. (2009) showed through spatial analysis that high-temperature stress during the susceptible reproductive stage may coincide with periods of water deficit in parts of Bangladesh, eastern India, southern Myanmar, and northern Thailand. Therefore, it is necessary to study and understand the effects of and interactions between water deficit and high temperature at flowering in rice.
Water deficit (Liu et al. 2006) or heat stress (Jagadish et al. 2007, 2008, 2010) during flowering causes spikelet sterility in rice by affecting anther dehiscence, pollination, and pollen germination. Most abiotic stress studies impose only a single stress condition, whereas, under field conditions, a combination of stresses generally occur. Although the effects of combined heat and water-deficit stress have not been studied extensively on whole plants, studies have been conducted on the effect of water-deficit stress and heat shock in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Rizhsky et al. 2004) and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum; Rizhsky et al. 2002) at the transcript level. These studies suggested that the response of plants to combined stress is different from water deficit or heat stress alone, and that the effect of a subsequent stress can be reduced by a prior exposure to a different independent stress, i.e., acclimation occurs. For example, 770 transcripts were altered under combined stress that were not altered by either water deficit or heat stress, further indicating that combined stress is a new state of stress rather than just a sum of two different stresses (Mittler 2006). However, transcript-level (mRNA) expression does not always correlate to the quality and quantity of the gene product, i.e., proteins (Abbott 1999; Pandey and Mann 2000; Zivy and de Vienne 2000), because of alternative splicing and post-translational modifications (Komatsu 2005). Hence, 2D protein expression has been extensively employed in a wide range of studies to analyze different stages of pollen development (Imin et al. 2001; Kerim et al. 2003; Dai et al. 2006) and cold stress at the young microspore stage (Hayashi et al. 2006; Imin et al. 2004).

Rice cv. N22 is known to be tolerant of both water deficit (Selote and Chopra 2004) and heat stress (Yoshida et al. 1981; Prasad et al. 2006; Jagadish et al. 2008, 2010) at flowering stage. Hence, N22 was used to study the response to water deficit and heat stress interaction with the following objectives: (1) to test the hypothesis in rice that “subsequent stress effects can be reduced by a previous independent exposure to a different stress (Rizhsky et al. 2002)” at both the physiological and proteomic level; and (2) to identify proteins responsive to water deficit, heat, or combined water deficit and heat stress in rice spikelets. The importance of the identified spikelet proteins responsive to water deficit and heat stress, independently and in combination, to critical physiological processes during flowering is discussed.

Results

Peduncle length and spikelet fertility

In the control treatment, peduncles were 33 to 38 cm long and panicles were exerted (i.e. thrust out of leaf sheath) normally, with ≤5% of spikelets remaining trapped in the leaf sheath (Table 1). Fertility of spikelets outside the leaf sheath was >92%, while those in the leaf sheath were about 65% fertile. The overall weighted fertility was 92% to 95% in controls.

High temperature reduced peduncle length slightly (by about 3 cm or 8%; Supplementary Fig. 1), but had no effect on the proportion of spikelets remaining trapped in the leaf sheath compared with the control. High temperature reduced spikelet fertility in all spikelets by >55% in those outside the leaf sheath and by >90% in those trapped in the leaf sheath. The weighted average fertility was 29% and 42% in experiments 2 and 3, respectively, reflecting the longer duration of high-temperature exposure in experiment 2 (5 days) versus experiment 3 (4 days).

Water deficit significantly reduced peduncle length by about 30%, from 33 to 38 cm to 23 to 27 cm, and panicles were therefore not fully exerted. As a consequence, 32% of the spikelets were trapped in their leaf sheath. Fertility of trapped spikelets was similar to that in controls, about 64% to 72%. However, fertility of spikelets outside the leaf sheath was reduced slightly compared with the controls, and the weighted average fertility was therefore 77% to 82% compared with >92% in the controls (Table 1).

The combined water deficit and heat treatment, which reduced peduncle length only slightly more than in the water-deficit treatment (Supplementary Fig. 1), nonetheless had a larger effect on panicle exertion and 52% to 59% of the spikelets failed to emerge from the leaf sheath. Fertility of these trapped spikelets was reduced compared with the water-deficit-alone treatment (18% to 28% versus 64% to 72%), but greater than for the heat-alone treatment (0% to 6%). The fertility of spikelets exerted from the leaf sheath was also lower with the combined heat and water deficit (65% to 74%) than in water-deficit alone (84% to 86%), but again was greater than with heat alone (29% to 44%). Effects on fertility were greater in experiment 2 with 5-day exposure compared with experiment 3 with 4-day exposure to high temperature. Thus, in spikelets within and outside the leaf sheath, the combined effects of heat and water deficit resulted in higher fertility than high temperature alone, and this advantage was greater where exposure to high temperature was for a longer period (Table 1).

Number of germinated pollen on the stigma

The number of germinated pollen on the stigma ranged from 8 to 28 in experiment 2 and from 9 to 49 in experiment 3, and pollen count on the stigma was significantly affected by stress in both experiments \( P < 0.001 \). High temperature alone \( P = 0.001 \) or combined with water deficit \( P < 0.01 \) had significantly fewer germinated pollen than in the control,
while in the water-deficit treatment counts were similar to those in the control \((P=0.21)\).

There is usually a quantitative relationship between spikelet fertility and the number of germinated pollen grains, with the critical number of germinated pollen on the stigma for normal fertility being between 10 and 20 (Matsui et al. 2000; Jagadish et al. 2010). In our experiments, the relation between fertility and germinated pollen number was as expected in the control, water deficit, and high-temperature treatments (Fig. 1), with low pollen counts at high temperature resulting in low fertility and stigmas with >15 germinated pollen having high fertility. However, in the combined heat and water-deficit treatment, stigmas with <10 germinated pollen grains achieved 65% to 73% spikelet fertility and clearly this treatment behaved very differently from the others.

Proteomic analysis of spikelets exposed to water deficit and heat stress

The protein expression pattern of rice spikelets obtained from experiment 1 and subjected to water deficit, heat, and combined stress was analyzed by the 2D-PAGE approach. Initially, proteins were separated on IPG strips of a wider pH range.

Table 1 The total number of spikelets produced in the four treatments in experiments 2 and 3, the proportions inside and outside the leaf sheath and their respective spikelet fertility, and the weighted spikelet fertility

| Treatment         | Total number of spikelets | Spikelets trapped inside leaf sheath | Spikelets exserted from leaf sheath | Weighted spikelet fertility (%) |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                   |                           | Number (%) | Spikelet fertility (%) | Number (%) | Spikelet fertility (%) | Number (%) | Spikelet fertility (%) |
| Experiment 2      |                           |            |                       |            |                       |            |                       |
| Control           | 123 (±8.5)                | 4.9 (±1.9) | 66.5 (±1.4)           | 95.1 (±1.9) | 96.6 (±0.6)           | 95.1       |
| Heat              | 132 (±5.3)                | 32.0 (±8.1) | 72.0 (±7.5)           | 68.0 (±8.1) | 86.4 (±5.6)           | 81.8       |
| Water deficit     | 138 (±3.6)                | 59.1 (±10.6)| 28.0 (±2.5)           | 40.9 (±10.6)| 65.0 (±6.1)           | 43.1       |
| Combined          | 125 (±11.0)               | 4.2 (±2.0) | 64.8 (±8.6)           | 95.8 (±2.0) | 92.9 (±1.2)           | 91.7       |
|                   | 98 (±8.3)                 | 4.4 (±2.2) | 5.8 (±4.4)            | 95.6 (±2.2) | 43.5 (±4.1)           | 41.8       |
|                   | 127 (±10.8)               | 32.4 (±7.5) | 63.7 (±4.2)           | 67.6 (±7.5) | 84.1 (±2.7)           | 77.5       |
|                   | 118 (±9.3)                | 51.5 (±4.8) | 18.1 (±6.0)           | 48.5 (±4.8) | 73.8 (±4.8)           | 45.0       |
| Experiment 3      |                           |            |                       |            |                       |            |                       |
| Control           | 125 (±8.5)                | 4.2 (±2.0) | 64.8 (±8.6)           | 95.8 (±2.0) | 92.9 (±1.2)           | 91.7       |
| Heat              | 98 (±8.3)                 | 4.4 (±2.2) | 5.8 (±4.4)            | 95.6 (±2.2) | 43.5 (±4.1)           | 41.8       |
| Water deficit     | 127 (±10.8)               | 32.4 (±7.5) | 63.7 (±4.2)           | 67.6 (±7.5) | 84.1 (±2.7)           | 77.5       |
| Combined          | 118 (±9.3)                | 51.5 (±4.8) | 18.1 (±6.0)           | 48.5 (±4.8) | 73.8 (±4.8)           | 45.0       |

Values in parentheses are ±SE

Table 2 Annotation of water deficit, heat, and combined-stress-responsive proteins identified from spikelets of rice cultivar N22

| Protein (spot)                  | pI | Mr | Coverage (%) | Peptides matched | pI | Mr | Accession number |
|---------------------------------|----|----|--------------|------------------|----|----|------------------|
| Putative group 3 pollen allergen (Spot 1) | 5.55 | 06 | 67 | 79 | 5.37 | 12.0 | Os06g0655200 |
| Soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase (Spot 2) | 6.11 | 36 | 91 | 187 | 5.90 | 23.0 | Os10g0406100 |
| Putative group 3 pollen allergen (Spot 3) | 5.18 | 10 | 41 | 48 | 5.46 | 12.3 | OSJNBa0050F15.8 |
| Putative pollen allergen Ph1 p 11 (Spot 4) | 5.49 | 30 | 63 | 111 | 5.76 | 19.0 | Os06g0556600 |
| Putative fructokinase (Spot 5) | 5.12 | 56 | 73 | 248 | 5.02 | 35.0 | P0498H04.2 |
| 17.4-kDa class I heat shock protein [HSP] (Spot 10) | 5.74 | 20 | 57 | 93 | 5.80 | 17.9 | OJ1364E02.6 |
| 16.9-kDa low-molecular-weight HSP (Spot 11) | 6.15 | 19 | 84 | 127 | 6.18 | 16.9 | P0443D08.5 |
| Putative beta expansin (Spot 23) | 6.54 | 44 | 54 | 145 | 6.34 | 28.6 | OSJNBa0009C08.15 |
| Unknown protein (Spot 7) | 5.26 | 16 | 44 | 57 | 5.08 | 13.7 | Os02g0800300 |
| Unknown protein (Spot 19) | 5.08 | 34 | 61 | 137 | 5.45 | 23.4 | B1049E04.7-1 |
| Novel protein (Spot 25) | 5.45 | 32 | 16 | 44 | 5.08 | 13.7 | Os02g0800300 |

Spots were excised from 2D gels where the spot was prominent irrespective of the treatment

Coverage (%) percentage of sequence coverage obtained with identified peptides with Mascot software for the orthologous protein

a Experimental pI, Mr

b Theoretical pI and Mr

c Accession number from NCBI (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/) all matching to rice

d Not identified in the existing database
range (3–10) but strips of narrower pH range (4–7) were used for analytical purposes as very few spots were identified in the pH ranges of 3–4 and 7–10. Profiling of the proteins expressed in spikelets using a 2D-PAGE strategy revealed the presence of approximately 500 clear and consistent protein spots, of which 29 spots showed a differential expression to water deficit, heat, and/or combined stress. A representative master gel used for cross comparison of protein expression between treatments and replications is shown in Fig. 2 and the variation in expression among the 29 spots is presented in Supplementary Table 1. Among the responsive proteins, the majority of them were associated exclusively with water-deficit stress, and those proteins responsive to heat stress were responsive to water-deficit stress also. Most of the water deficit and heat-responsive proteins were up-regulated and only a few of them were down-regulated (Supplementary Table 1). Three replicate abundance ratios under control, water deficit, heat, and combined stress were determined and spots varying significantly both at 5% (P<0.05) and with approximately greater than or equal to twofold changes were considered for further analysis. Hence, 11 out of the 29 differential expressed protein spots fitting these criteria were analyzed by mass spectrometry (see supplementary Fig. 2a, b, c, and d showing representative control, water deficit, heat, and combined stress gels indicating all the 11 sequenced protein spots). Two spots (1, 5) were extremely close to satisfying the above set criteria and were included in the analysis (Table 2).

Significant protein spots were analyzed by mass spectrometry and the Peptide Mass Fingerprint was used for database searching in TIGR (www.tigr.org/tdb/e2k1/osa1/index.shtml; Table 2). Out of the 11 protein sequences, similarities to annotated proteins were found coding for pollen allergens, low-molecular-weight heat shock proteins (HSPs), beta expansin, soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase, putative fructokinase, and two unknown proteins. The expression of these proteins was different during water deficit or heat stress and/or combined stress (Figs. 3, 4 and Table 3). Interestingly, among the eight significantly up-regulated proteins under water-deficit stress, six of them exhibited a plastic response with combined stress by reverting back to expression levels similar to control conditions (Table 3). However, both heat shock proteins had a much higher expression under combined stress than with independent water deficit and heat stress. Transcript-level expression also resulted in an identical trend on the first day of stress and maintained significantly higher expression even after four consecutive days of stress exposure (Fig. 5). Two down-regulated proteins in response to

Fig. 1 Number of pollen germinated on the stigma and spikelet fertility under control (C, triangle), heat (H, inverted triangle), water deficit (WD, circle), and combined stress (WD+H, square).

Fig. 2 A master gel from 2D electrophoresis using 4–7 pH IPG strips showing all 29 differentially expressed spikelet proteins (white arrowheads) under exposure to water deficit, heat, and/or combined stress. First-dimensional focusing (IEF) was done by using 17-cm IPG strips with a pH 4–7 loaded with 100 μg of total spikelet protein. In the second-dimension SDS-PAGE, 12% gels were used. Experimental molecular weight was fixed by internal markers identified earlier with the sample and indicated by Mr.
independent water-deficit stress showed a good recovery in expression, tending toward normal expression (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Physiological, molecular, and metabolic plasticity to an independent heat-stress exposure preceded by a completely different abiotic stress (water deficit) has been documented in tobacco and *Arabidopsis* (Rizhsky et al. 2002, 2004). Similarly, in our study, rice cultivar N22 showed a higher tolerance (higher spikelet fertility) of heat stress acquired because of a preceding water-deficit stress. Moreover, tolerance to both heat and a combination of heat and water deficit stress is shown to be unique to N22 and a similar response was not documented with entries known for tolerance to either of the stresses (Rang et al. 2011). Although spikelets trapped in the flag-leaf sheath due to reduced peduncle elongation had relatively lower fertility than exserted spikelets, overall weighted average fertility was higher with combined stress over independent heat stress, especially with a longer exposure to high temperature. This is a first report showing acquired tolerance involving two different abiotic stresses during flowering in rice. N22 is highly heat tolerant (Yoshida et al. 1981; Prasad et al. 2006; Jagadish et al. 2008, 2010) and its additional water-deficit tolerance seen in our study and in Selote and Chopra (2004) makes it an ideal candidate for further physiological and molecular approaches to develop multiple abiotic stress-tolerant rice mega-varieties.

Our results follow the conclusion drawn from experiments involving tobacco (Rizhsky et al. 2002) and *Arabidopsis* (Rizhsky et al. 2004), in which the number of transcripts up-regulated during water deficit or heat stress was much higher than during combined stress. The expression patterns of defense-related proteins were significantly higher under combined stress in tobacco than under independent heat or water-deficit stress, i.e., cytosolic heat shock proteins (HSP90, 70, and 100) and small HSPs (cytosolic, mitochondrial, and chloroplastic HSPs; Rizhsky et al. 2002). Similarly, the two small HSPs (16.9 and 17.4 kDa) identified were more strongly expressed at both the protein and transcript level under combined stress than under independent stresses in rice. Comparing the protein and transcript expression pattern for these two genes, we found differential gene regulation both at the transcriptional and translational phase in response to heat and drought stress. Evidently, HSPs are found to protect plants against various environmental stresses such as heat shock resulting in increasing hSP expression providing cross-resistance in plants to water deficit (Sun et al. 2001; Cho and Hong 2006), chilling injury (Sebehat et al. 1996; Sato et al. 2001), salt shock (Harrington and Alm 1988), and oxidative injury (Banzet et al. 1998). Sato and Yokoya (2007) showed increased tolerance of rice seedlings of water deficit after prior exposure to heat stress at 42°C for 24 h; overexpression of HSPs increased tolerance of water deficit in tobacco (Cho and Hong 2006) and water deficit and salt in *Arabidopsis* (Sun et al. 2001). The molecular and physiological responses in *Arabidopsis* (Rizhsky et al. 2004) and tobacco (Rizhsky et al. 2002) were similar to those in rice in many ways when subjected to a combined water-deficit stress and heat stress, suggesting a possible conserved defense mechanism across crops in response to abiotic stresses.

The failure of panicle exsertion under drought stress accounts for spikelet sterility because the unexserted spikelets fail to complete anthesis and shed pollen, even when anther and pistil development is otherwise normal (O’Toole and Namuco 1983; Cruz and O’Toole 1984). One reason for lower fertility in spikelets trapped in the leaf sheath may be a higher tissue temperature due to reduced transpiration, more so with combined water deficit and heat stress rather than a direct effect of water deficit. Liu et al. (2006) exposed susceptible rice genotype IR64 to 50% flag-

---

**Table 3** Mean abundance ratio (Percentage of abundance of stress/Percentage of abundance of control) for the analyzed 11 protein spots responsive to heat, water deficit, or water deficit and heat stress

| Protein (spot) | Mean abundance ratio |
|----------------|----------------------|
|                | Water deficit | Heat | Water deficit+heat |
| Putative group 3 pollen allergen (Spot 1) | 1.86ns | 0.69ns | 1.22ns |
| Soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase (Spot 2) | 2.54*** | 1.16ns | 1.84* |
| Putative group 3 pollen allergen (Spot 3) | 2.39* | 1.28ns | 2.06* |
| Putative pollen allergen Phl p 11 (Spot 4) | 3.69*** | 1.23ns | 1.47ns |
| Putative fructokinase (Spot 5) | 0.55ns | 1.17ns | 0.93ns |
| 17.4-kDa class I HSP (Spot 10) | 4.13* | 4.78*** | 6.53*** |
| 16.9-kDa low-molecular-weight HSP (Spot 11) | 22.65*** | 23.87*** | 36.16*** |
| Putative beta expansin (Spot 23) | 8.00*** | 0.99ns | 2.23ns |
| Unknown protein (Spot 7) | 2.04* | 0.83ns | 1.14ns |
| Unknown protein (Spot 19) | 0.40* | 0.79ns | 0.55ns |
| Novel protein (Spot 25) | 3.42** | 0.84ns | 2.71*** |

* significant at 5%; ** significant at 1%; *** significant at 0.1%
leaf relative water content (RWC) at flowering and recorded an 80% decline in fertility compared with a 22% decline in tolerant Moroberekan even with RWC as low as 40%. N22 is also tolerant of water deficit at flowering with only a 23% decline in fertility at 50% to 60% flag-leaf RWC. However, how much of this tolerance is due to absolute tolerance versus having a higher proportion of spikelets trapped within the leaf sheath with inherently lower fertility remains to be determined.

Selote and Chopra (2004) exposed N22 and N118 to water-deficit stress at flowering and found a significant decline in panicle RWC in N118 compared with N22, resulting in a 61% reduction in yield compared with 29% in N22. Furthermore, an extremely efficient antioxidant defense system (high activity of superoxide dismutase, enhanced ascorbate and glutathione content) in N22 panicles may play an important role in minimizing water-deficit stress-induced spikelet sterility (Selote and Chopra 2004).
and could be responsible for higher fertility with combined water deficit and heat stress. Moreover, with incomplete anther dehiscence resulting in only a few pollen grains reaching the stigma surface, the pollen grains appeared to cooperate rather than compete with water-deficit stress (Liu et al. 2006). This, to an extent, explains the high spikelet fertility even with a lower average number of pollen germinated under combined stress.

At the molecular level, pollen allergens and expansins facilitate cell wall loosening and cell wall extension, aiding in pollen tube invasion into the maternal tissues of the stigma (Cosgrove et al. 1997). Interestingly, pollen allergens and beta expansin were highly up-regulated to water-deficit stress compared with heat stress, while they were relatively down-regulated with combined stress. Similar expression patterns with dehydrin and reactive oxygen species scavenging catalase-1 transcript were noticed earlier in tobacco (Rizhsky et al. 2002). Furthermore, fructokinases in the anther or pollen could also influence pollen germination either by supplying fructose-6-phosphate for glycolysis or by connecting UDP glucose to support cell wall material biosynthesis for pollen tube growth (Clement and Audran 1995; Karni and Aloni 2002). Using RNAi-mediated suppression, Xu et al. (2008) showed a hexokinase (OsHxK10) to be essentially involved in anther dehiscence and pollen germination.

Apart from the identified annotated proteins from the reference Nipponbare sequence, two significantly up-regulated proteins under water deficit and combined stress had no sequence annotation available with the existing database (Table 3). Such unknown genes could be novel and might reveal insights into undisclosed components of stress-responsive pathways and, hence, identifying and validating them should be given high importance (Gollery et al. 2006, 2007; Luhua et al. 2008). One of the un-annotated proteins was also highly down-regulated under water deficit but reverted to normal levels under combined stress and hence behaved similarly to pollen allergens and beta expansin.

All the annotated (eight) and un-annotated (three) proteins are currently being sequenced from the tolerant N22 genotype to further identify functional single nucleotide polymorphisms across tolerant and intolerant accesses for functional validation through transgenic approaches. Such further analysis of the differentially expressed proteins identified using a 2D approach is essential to consolidate the conclusions drawn from 2D gel expression data, which are complex, requiring cautious interpretation. Furthermore, the best-bet candidate genes will be used to develop gene-specific markers to be incorporated into the existing molecular marker-assisted breeding pipeline for developing multiple abiotic stress-tolerant rice mega-varieties.
Materials and methods

All the experiments were conducted under controlled environmental conditions at the International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, between 2006 and 2009. Plants were grown under controlled greenhouse conditions and transferred to plant growth chambers to impose heat treatments.

Crop husbandry

Experiment 1

Rice (Oryza sativa subsp. indica cv. Nagina [N] 22) seeds were pre-treated at 50°C for 3 days to break dormancy. Pre-germinated seeds were sown in trays containing natural clay loam soil mixed with 2.5 g ammonium sulphate (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.5 g muriate of potash (KCl), and 0.5 g single superphosphate (SSP). Fifteen-day-old seedlings were transplanted in pots containing 6 kg of the same clay loam soil mixed with 7.5 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1.5 g KCl, and 1.5 g SSP. An additional 2.5 g of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added 30 days after transplanting. Three plants per pot were maintained under fully flooded conditions. There were no other major pest or disease problems except the incidence of white fly (Bemisia spp.). Cypermethrin (cymbush) at 0.42 gL⁻¹ was sprayed at 15-day intervals, starting 30 days after transplanting, to control white fly infestation.

Experiments 2 and 3

Seeds were direct sown in 64-well seeding trays and 14-day-old seedlings were transplanted into pots containing 6 kg of clay loam soil. Adequate basal fertilizer [2.0 g (NH₄)₂SO₄, 1.0 g KCl, and 1.0 g SSP] was added before transplanting and an additional 2.5 g of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was added 30 days after transplanting. Three plants per pot were maintained under fully flooded conditions. Other crop management aspects were similar to experiment 1. There was no major pest or disease problem.

Greenhouse conditions

Plants were initially grown under controlled greenhouse conditions with mean air temperature maintained at 29°C/21°C day/night (actual; 28.0° [SD{standard deviation}=0.90]/19.6° [SD=0.30]) and relative humidity (RH) at 75% (actual; 81% [SD=1.20]) throughout the experimental period. Ambient air temperature and RH were measured using thermocouples every 10 s and averaged over 10 min (Chessell 392, Leesburg, VA, U.S.A.).

Growth chambers

For independent heat and combined stress treatments, plants were transferred to growth chambers (Thermoline, Perth, WA, Australia). The temperature regime was 38°C/21°C (day/night) with a gradual increase in temperature from 29°C at 0700 hours to 38°C at 0830 hour and maintained at this temperature (SD=0.11°) till 1430 hours (6 h) with RH at 75% (SD=1.30). Ambient air temperature and RH were measured every 10 s using thermocouples placed over the canopy and averaged over 10 min (Chessell 392, Leesburg, VA, U.S.A.). Air circulation inside the chamber was maintained at a speed of 0.5 m s⁻¹. Photosynthetic photon flux density was maintained at 640 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. CO₂ concentration was not measured.

Experimental treatments

All three experiments had a control (no water deficit, 29°C day temperature), high day temperature (38°C), water deficit, and a combined high temperature and water-deficit treatment. Transfers from the controlled-temperature glasshouse (29°C day temperature) at anthesis to the growth chambers were used to impose high-temperature treatments. Water-deficit treatments were imposed by withholding water before anthesis so that target levels of stress were achieved at anthesis.

Experiment 1

Eighteen plants were used for each treatment, namely, control, water deficit, heat stress, and combined stress (Fig. 6a; modified from Rizhsky et al. 2004). The high-temperature treatment was imposed by transferring plants at anthesis to 38°C for 1 day. For water-deficit stress, plants with main tillers at three DBH (days before heading) were identified based on the inter-auricle distance (Liu et al. 2006) and tagged. Water-deficit stress was imposed by withholding watering and the water status of the plants was monitored by measuring the RWC of the flag leaves. The following formula was used for measuring RWC (Liu et al. 2006): RWC (%) = [(W−DW)/(TW−DW)]×100; where, W=initial fresh weight, DW=dry weight, and TW=turgid weight. Plants for combined water deficit and heat stress were identified with the main tiller at three DBH stage and exposed to water stress as described earlier. Water-deficit-stressed plants were exposed to high temperature from 0830 hours until 1430 hours on the final day of water-deficit stress when the RWC was expected to reach around 40% to 50% (Fig. 6a). For proteomic analysis, three biological replicates of spikelets were collected from plants exposed to 29°C and 38°C day temperatures as control and heat-stressed samples, respectively, in a 50-ml
falcon tube suspended in liquid N. For water-deficit and combined-stress treatments, spikelets were collected when the flag-leaf RWC was around 40% to 45% (Fig 6b). From an independent set of plants exposed to similar stress treatments, spikelets were collected on the first and after four days of stress exposure, for carrying out transcript expression analysis on the most promising genes. All the samples were collected from the top four rachis branches from panicles at heading stage and transferred to −80°C until further use. For both proteomic and transcript expression studies, spikelets collected and used were from only this experiment.

Experiment 2

Each of the four treatments had five replicate plants. The protocols followed for control and heat stress were similar to experiment 1, except that the independent heat stress was applied for 5 days during flowering rather than the single day used previously. For water-deficit stress, main tiller monitoring, stage identification, and imposing of water-deficit stress were similar to experiment 1. Stress was regularly monitored by weighing the pots both in the morning (0800 hours) and in the afternoon (1700 hours) till plants reached nearly 50% to 60% RWC, morphologically equated to flag-leaf rolling. The evaporated/transpired water, approximately 500 ml per day, was added back to maintain pots at the same water content till the end of the main tiller anthesis, followed by complete flooding. The flag-leaf RWC was not recorded in this experiment. With combined stress, water deficit was imposed as described above with simultaneous exposure to heat stress for 6 h for 5 days.

Experiment 3

Seven replicate plants each were used for all four treatments. A similar approach to experiments 1 and 2 was followed for

Fig. 6 Schematic presentation of the stress treatments applied before sampling spikelets for protein expression study in experiment 1 (a) and flag-leaf% relative water content (RWC) used as a measure to determine the intensity of water stress in both water-deficit (WD) and combined-stress treatment (b). In experiment 3, heat stress and controlled water-deficit stress were imposed on the starting day of anthesis till the end of main tiller anthesis (c) and the flag-leaf RWC during the period was continuously monitored for four consecutive flowering days (day 1 [D1], day 2 [D2], day 3 [D3], and day 4 [D4]) (d). Duration from the start of stress till the flag-leaf RWC attained the target water content on day 1 (D1) was 5 days and the rewatering data were collected 3 days after rewatering (indicated by parallel line breaks in d).
the water-deficit treatment but with more measurements of flag-leaf RWC (Fig. 6c). RWC was measured at the start of the stress treatment, 5 days after the initiation of the stress, which coincided with flag-leaf rolling, on the four following days of flowering and 3 days after rewatering (Fig. 6d) as mentioned in experiment 1. With the start of the stress treatment coinciding with flag-leaf rolling, pots were regularly weighed (as in experiment 2) and the water used was replenished to maintain the plants in a similar stress conditions till the end of the main-tiller anthesis, followed by complete flooding. For the combined heat and water-deficit stress, water deficit was imposed as in experiment 2 but plants were exposed to 4 days of high temperature starting from the first day of anthesis for a duration of 6 h, on each of the 4 days.

Protein extraction and 2D-PAGE analysis

Total soluble proteins were extracted from spikelets collected under the above treatments by the trichloro acetic acid precipitation method with minor modifications (Salekdeh et al. 2002). All further processes, including protein concentration determination by Bovine Serum Albumin, protein separation of first-dimensional IEF based on isoelectric point, second-dimensional SDS-PAGE separation based on molecular weights, and staining, were carried out as described by Jagadish et al. (2010).

Image acquisition, data analysis, and protein identification

Silver-stained gels were scanned using a GS-800 densitometer (Bio-Rad) with a resolution of 600 dots and 12 bits per inch. Image visualization, spot detection, and protein quantification were carried out using the Melanie 3 software (GeneBio, Geneva, Switzerland). Twelve-bit images were used for detecting the spots using optimized parameters as follows: number of smooths, 1; Laplacian threshold, 5; partial threshold, 5; saturation, 90; peakness increase, 100; minimum perimeter, 30. The treatments were compared by calculating the abundance ratio of spots (vol.% of spot under stress/vol.% of spot under control; Yan et al. 2005; Jagadish et al. 2010). The vol.% was determined based on area occupied and the intensity of the protein spot using the above-mentioned parameters. Molecular weight of the protein spot was determined by co-electrophoresis of standard protein markers (Bio-Rad) with the sample to identify internal markers and pI (isoelectric point) was determined by migration of the spots along the 17-cm IPG strip (4–7 pH). Protein spots of interest showing significant quantitative changes during water deficit, heat stress, and combined stress were excised, stained, and identified as explained in Jagadish et al. (2010).

Sampling and observations

Peduncle elongation and spikelet fertility

Peduncle length was measured from the panicle node to the one immediately below it in all four treatments in both experiments 2 and 3. Rice panicles do not completely exsert during water-deficit stress. Hence, the number of spikelets within and outside the flag-leaf sheath was counted from all four treatments for both experiments 2 and 3. Seed-set of spikelets inside and outside the leaf sheath was recorded by pressing each of them between the thumb and the forefinger to determine whether the grain was filled or not.

Pollen count and germination on the stigma

Approximately 12 exserted spikelets from outside the leaf sheath were collected at random from the main tillers from all four treatments during experiments 2 and 3. Spikelets were placed in vials containing FAA (50% absolute ethanol, 5% acetic acid, and 27% formaldehyde) fixative. Stigmas were dissected out and in vivo pollen count and pollen germination were recorded using aniline blue stain according to Jagadish et al. (2010). Pollen grains with tubes longer than their diameter were considered as germinated (Luza et al. 1987).

Statistical analysis

Protein abundance (vol.% values across treatments and replications obtained from Melanie 3 software were analyzed as a completely randomized design using Genstat 8.1 (Rothamsted Experimental Station). Three replicates from water deficit, heat, and combined stress were used to check for significant changes in expression compared with the control. All physiological parameters, including peduncle length, pollen count, pollen germination, and spikelet fertility, were analyzed as a completely randomized design using Genstat ver. 11. The weighted average fertility was used to calculate the average fertility of spikelets in each panicle using the following formula: (Percent fertility of spikelets within the sheath×Percent number of spikelets trapped inside the sheath)+(Percent fertility of spikelets outside the sheath×Percent number of spikelets exserted).
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