Seasonal Mixing and Biological Controls of the Carbonate System in a River-Dominated Continental Shelf Subject to Eutrophication and Hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of Mexico
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Large rivers export a large amount of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and nutrients to continental shelves; and subsequent river-to-sea mixing, eutrophication, and seasonal hypoxia (dissolved oxygen $< 2$ mg L$^{-1}$) can further modify DIC and nutrient distributions and fluxes. However, quantitative studies of seasonal carbonate variations on shelves are still insufficient. We collected total alkalinity (TA), DIC, and NO$_3$− data from nine cruises conducted between 2006 and 2010 on the northern Gulf of Mexico continental shelf, an area strongly influenced by the Mississippi and Atchafalaya Rivers. We applied a three-end-member model (based on salinity and potential alkalinity) to our data to remove the contribution of physical mixing to DIC and nitrate distribution patterns and to derive the net in situ removal of DIC and nitrate ($\Delta$DIC and $\Delta$NO$_3$−, respectively). Systematic analyses demonstrated that the seasonal net DIC removal in the near-surface water was strong during summer and weak in winter. The peak in net DIC production in the near-bottom, subsurface waters of the inner and middle sections of the shelf occurred between July and September; it was coupled, but with a time lag, to the peak in the net DIC removal that occurred in the near-surface waters in June. A similar 2-month delay (i.e., January vs. November) could also be observed between their minima. A detailed examination of the relationship between $\Delta$DIC and $\Delta$NO$_3$− demonstrates that net biological activity was the dominant factor of DIC removal and addition. Other effects, such as air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange, wetland exports, CaCO$_3$ precipitation, and a regional variation of the Redfield ratio, were relatively minor. We suggest that the delayed coupling between eutrophic surface and hypoxic bottom waters reported here may also be seen in the carbon and nutrient cycles of other nutrient-rich, river-dominated ocean margins worldwide.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Net CO$_2$ removal was strong in summer and weak in winter in the near-surface waters.
- Net CO$_2$ accumulation was strong from July to September and weak in January in the near-bottom, subsurface waters.
- Wetland exports and air–sea gas exchanges are minor factors in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) changes.
- A 100 µmol·kg$^{-1}$ increase of net DIC removal led to a 1Ω increase in a shelf-wide scale.
- Annually, the peak of net CO$_2$ removal was about 2 months before the peak of net accumulation.

INTRODUCTION

Continental shelves receive large and highly variable amounts of freshwater, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and nutrients. As such, they may experience seasonal coastal enhanced primary productivity and bottom-water hypoxic [dissolved oxygen (DO) less than 2 mg·L$^{-1}$] events (Borges and Gypens, 2010; Rabalais et al., 2010; Bauer et al., 2013; Breitburg et al., 2018; Oschlies et al., 2018). Seasonal variations in the riverine carbonate system can alter the composition of the river end-member, while subsequent mixing with seawater and the effect this has on the carbonate system equilibrium constants can lead to the formation of a minimum pH and low partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO$_2$) zone (Hu and Cai, 2013; Huang et al., 2018; Laurent et al., 2018). Excessive amounts of riverine nutrients can induce eutrophication, which fuels primary production, removing DIC from the water, increasing the flux of organic matter, and subsequently leading to hypoxic events whereby DIC is released back to the water. Notwithstanding the recent improvements in our conceptual understanding of biogeochemical processes in river-dominated continental shelf systems (Bianchi et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2017; Legge et al., 2020), quantitative information about the seasonal net DIC removal in the near-surface waters and net DIC release/accumulation in the near-bottom, subsurface waters, respectively, is still insufficient.

Carbon fluxes between wetlands, estuaries, and shelves have been quantified in a global North American carbon budget in Najjar et al. (2018). One component of this budget that has since gained more attention is the contribution of wetlands toward organic carbon storage, especially due to the very high productivity of saltmarsh vegetation along the periphery of the Gulf of Mexico (Thorhaug et al., 2017, 2019). The cross-shelf transport from wetlands to shelves has generated considerable recent research interest (Fry et al., 2015; Anderson et al., 2020). Field studies conducted along the shelf of the northern Gulf of Mexico (nGOM) have reported DIC release rates and associated pH and DO variations in near-bottom, subsurface waters during the summer (Xue et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2019). The development of hypoxia is also time-dependent, yet few investigations have addressed this issue over monthly to sub-monthly timescale (Turner et al., 2017; Matli et al., 2018). Laurent et al. (2018) used a three-dimensional model to simulate these processes in the nGOM. They found that significant shifts could occur as a result of changes in freshwater discharge and wind direction, particularly where the latter was persistent and favored upwelling.

The Mississippi and Atchafalaya River system is the largest in North America in terms of freshwater discharge (Milliman and Meade, 1983). It exports ∼17 Tg·year$^{-1}$ of DIC to the nGOM (Goolsby et al., 2000; Raymond et al., 2008; Lehrter et al., 2013) but also acts as a sink of atmospheric CO$_2$ by stimulating primary productivity in the receiving coastal and shelf waters (Huang et al., 2015b). The riverine nitrogen export is about 1–1.5 Tg·N·year$^{-1}$ ($T = 10^{12}$, with nitrate (NO$_3^-$) accounting for two-thirds of the total and nitrite plus ammonium for the remaining third. The marine end-member contributes 30% of the nitrogen inputs to the nGOM shelf (Lehrter et al., 2013), but it is the seasonal fluctuations in the river inputs that modulate the amount of primary production on the shelf (Turner and Rabalais, 2013; Chakraborty and Lohrenz, 2015). On an annual basis, biological productivity on the Louisiana shelf is controlled by the availability of N (Turner and Rabalais, 2013), although P and Si can also be co-limiting over small temporal and spatial scales (Ren et al., 2009; Turner and Rabalais, 2013). Seasonal variations in river inputs and changes in coastal physical and biological conditions should conceptually lead to seasonal variations in air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange. Previous studies conducted off the Louisiana Bight in the late 2000s and over a wider portion of the shelf in the 2010s have revealed that these study areas act as a strong CO$_2$ sink in spring to early summer but switch to a weak source or near-neutral system in fall to winter (Green et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2015b; Xue et al., 2016). The approach used in these studies was to quantify air–sea CO$_2$ flux and net DIC removal according to salinity intervals or depth intervals (topography). Comparable studies identifying specific regions of net DIC removal/uptake or release/accumulation down the water column on an annual scale are still rare. In particular, the chemical measurements needed to reveal the interconnections and relationships that drive the seasonal net DIC removal in a river-dominated continental shelf are still lacking.

In this study, we measured total alkalinity (TA) and DIC and calculated pH and calcium carbonate saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{Aragon}}$) from the river to the marine end-members based on an extensive dataset of nine cruises conducted over 5 years (2006–2010). We systematically removed the effect of river-to-sea mixing on DIC and NO$_3^-$ concentrations using an end-member model and interpreted the residuals as net DIC removal/accumulation; the residuals showed systematic seasonal variations in specified ranges of depths and salinity in both near-surface and near-bottom waters. Based on these results, we discuss the combined effects of mixing and biological activity on the non-linear variations observed in pH and $\Omega_{\text{Aragon}}$. We then investigate the processes affecting the carbonate system by analyzing the covariation of net DIC removal/accumulation and NO$_3^-$ across the studied area. Finally, we synthesize our improved understanding of these processes via a conceptual model for the whole region.
METHODS

Sampling and Analytical Methods

Seawater salinity, temperature, DIC, TA, and nutrient data were collected on nine cruises in the nGOM (Figure 1 and Table 1). Five cruises (2009 to 2010) were cross-shelf surveys (Figure 1). Four previous cruises (2006–2007) were focused on an area that has been covered for more than three decades for hypoxia mapping and investigation.

Water samples for TA and DIC were taken from Niskin bottles that were attached to a conductivity, temperature, and pressure package (CTD) following standard procedures. Water samples for TA and DIC were filtered through a purged cartridge filter (Whatman® 6724-6004) directly into borosilicate glass bottles and were poisoned with 100 µl of saturated HgCl₂ solution immediately after sampling to arrest biological activity. Then the samples were kept at low temperatures (~4°C) until analysis at the University of Georgia. DIC samples (0.75 ml) were acidified by adding 1 ml of 10% H₃PO₄, and the CO₂ released was quantified by using a CO₂ detector (LI-COR® 6262 or 7000) (AS-C3 Apollo SciTech). TA was analyzed on a temperature-controlled, semi-automated titrator (AS-ALK2 Apollo SciTech) following the open-cell Gran titration method. Both TA and DIC measurements were referenced against certified reference materials from A. G. Dickson at Scripps Institution of Oceanography.

Calculation of pH and DO concentration minus the measured DO concentration. Apparent oxygen utility (AOU) was calculated by the saturated CTD system with excellent stability (Murrell et al., 2013). Both TA and DIC measurements were referenced against certified reference materials from A. G. Dickson at Scripps Institution of Oceanography. The precision of TA and DIC measurements was 0.1% (Cai and Wang, 1998; Huang et al., 2012a). The concentration of NO₃⁻ was measured according to wet chemistry methods (APHA, 1989) with a continuous flow analyzer (Astoria-Pacific International) during 2006–2007 cruises with wet chemistry methods (APHA, 1989) and a continuous flow analyzer (Astoria-Pacific International) during 2006–2007 cruises. Five cruises (2009 to 2010) were cross-shelf surveys (Figure 1). Four previous cruises (2006–2007) were focused on an area that has been covered for more than three decades for hypoxia mapping and investigation.

Calculation of pH and \( \text{Arag} \)

We used TA, DIC, and dissolution constants from Millero et al. (2006) to calculate pH and saturation state for aragonite (\( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \)) using the R software package seacarb (Gattuso et al., 2016).

Since aragonite is more soluble (lower \( \Omega \) values) than calcite, we selected \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) over \( \Omega_{\text{Calc}} \) as the carbonate saturation state parameter for this study. \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) is defined as follows:

\[
\Omega_{\text{Arag}} = \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}][\text{CO}_3^{2-}]}{K_{sp}^{'}},
\]

where \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) is the calcium ion concentration, \( [\text{CO}_3^{2-}] \) is the carbonate ion concentration, and \( K_{sp}^{'} \) is the apparent solubility product of aragonite in seawater at a given salinity, temperature, and pressure (Mucci, 1983). Note that in seawater, or indeed in other carbonate chemistry equilibrium calculation packages, riverine \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) is set to 0, and its concentration in seawater is inferred from salinity. Thus, we need to override these settings in order to calculate \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) in the river plume or estuarine waters. In this study, the riverine calcium concentrations were relatively high, with average concentrations of 1.0 ± 0.1 mmol·kg⁻¹ in the Mississippi River end-member (Balle Chase, Louisiana station) and 0.9 ± 0.2 mmol·kg⁻¹ in the Atchafalaya River end-member (Morgan City, USGS #07381600). As these two \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) end-members showed no significant difference, the Mississippi end-member value was used in our calculations. \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) of 10.28 mmol·kg⁻¹ at a salinity of 35 was used as the ocean end-member, and the concentrations along the salinity gradient were then calculated using the following linear equation:

\[
[\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 0.266 \times \text{Salinity} + 1.0(\text{mmol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})
\]

In situ salinity and temperature values were used to calculate \( K_{sp}^{'} \) in surface samples and were adjusted to ambient pressure where necessary with the equations provided by Millero (1995) and the corrected constants provided by Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow (2001).

The effect of \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) variation can be canceled mainly by the change of \( K_{sp}^{'} \), when they are both a function of salinity without riverine \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) (Xue et al., 2017). The change in \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}] \) with this new slope in Eq. (2) may still be proportional to the change of \( K_{sp}^{'} \) in high salinities but may not sufficiently covary in low salinities. As the low-salinity region (<18) covers a relatively small part of this shelf (<3%) (Huang et al., 2015b), we focus our discussion on \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) variations at higher salinities (>18).

We estimated the uncertainty for \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) and pH due to the measurement uncertainties in TA (±0.1%) and DIC (±0.1%) by the bootstrap method (Efron, 1992). We assumed each uncertainty occurred randomly in TA and DIC, and we used TA of 2,400 µmol·kg⁻¹ and DIC of 2,050 µmol·kg⁻¹ as an example to calculate their corresponding \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) with seacarb.

Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal (δDIC) and Nitrate Removal (δNO₃⁻) Over the Water Column

To assess the spatial pattern of net DIC removal or accumulation (δDIC) in both near-surface and near-bottom, subsurface waters, we need to remove the mixing effect on DIC and to quantify δDIC and net NO₃⁻ removal (δNO₃⁻) over the shelf area. To this end, we applied a three-end-member mixing model, as the two rivers are often fairly different in their chemical properties. We used “f” as the fractional contribution of (1) freshwater from the Mississippi River (subscripted “M”), (2) freshwater from the Atchafalaya River (subscripted “A”), and (3) seawater (subscripted “S”). “Sal” is the observed salinity, and “Sm” is used to represent the salinity of each end-member. The results of this three-end-member method comprise the bulk of

\[ f_{\text{M}} + f_{\text{A}} + f_{\text{S}} = 1 \]

\[ f_{\text{M}} + f_{\text{A}} + f_{\text{S}} = 1 \]

\[ f_{\text{M}} + f_{\text{A}} + f_{\text{S}} = 1 \]
this report. Following Guo et al. (2012); Huang et al. (2012a), the model equations are as follows:

$$1 = f_M + f_A + f_S,$$

$$\text{Sal} = S_M \times f_M + S_A \times f_A + S_S \times f_S,$$

In a three-end-member model, we need another conservative tracer in addition to salinity. Here, we used a slightly modified alkalinity term. In the river plume, TA is affected by conservative mixing as well as biological $\text{NO}_3^-$ drawdown ($\Delta \text{NO}_3^-$). Thus, we have

$$\text{TA} = \text{TA}_M \times f_M + \text{TA}_A \times f_A + \text{TA}_S \times f_S + \Delta \text{NO}_3^-.$$

This TA modification is linked to $\text{NO}_3^-$ use or regeneration,

$$\text{NO}_3^- = \text{NO}_3^-_M \times f_M + \text{NO}_3^-_A \times f_A + \text{NO}_3^-_S \times f_S - \Delta \text{NO}_3^-.$$

By combining Eq. (6) with Eq. (5), a conservative property called “potential alkalinity,” $\text{TA} + \text{NO}_3^-$ (Brewer and Goldman, 1976; Peng et al., 1987; Bates et al., 1998) can be derived:

$$(\text{TA} + \text{NO}_3^-) = (\text{TA} + \text{NO}_3^-)_M \times f_M + (\text{TA} + \text{NO}_3^-)_A \times f_A + (\text{TA} + \text{NO}_3^-)_S \times f_S$$

As both TA and $\text{NO}_3^-$ are known, the fractional contribution of each end-member ($f_M$, $f_A$, and $f_S$) can be solved by substituting in end-member values (Supplementary Tables 1, 2) and using Eqs. (3), (4), and (7) with a non-negative solver (NNLS in MATLAB).

Thereafter, any biological modification during the mixing can be expressed as the difference between the observation and a conservative value predicted by the mixing model. For example, $\Delta \text{DIC}$ can be calculated by subtracting measured DIC from the DIC value based on conservative mixing:

$$\Delta \text{DIC} = \text{DIC}_M \times f_M + \text{DIC}_A \times f_A + \text{DIC}_S \times f_S - \text{DIC}$$

Positive $\Delta \text{DIC}$ values in the near-surface waters are defined as net DIC removal, and negative values in the near-bottom, subsurface waters are defined as net DIC accumulation. Similarly, the biological modification can be calculated via Eq. (6).

We allowed for the fact that the marine end-member may change depending on the season and plume trajectory (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). The shelf water has a residence time of about 1 month during winter and over 3 months during summer (Zhang and Hetland, 2012; Xue et al., 2013). We selected one marine end-member from high-salinity offshore waters in

| Year | Date      | Vessel         | River discharge\(^a\) | $\text{NO}_3^-$ flux\(^a\) |
|------|-----------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 2006 | 6/6–6/11  | Bold           | 12.2                   | 60.2                     |
| 2006 | 9/6–9/11  | Bold           | 7.5                    | 19.7                     |
| 2007 | 5/2–5/7   | Bold           | 25.4                   | 148.0                    |
| 2007 | 8/18–8/24 | Bold           | 12.1                   | 31.2                     |
| 2009 | 1/9–1/20  | Cape Hatteras  | 23.9                   | 92.6                     |
| 2009 | 4/20–5/1 | Cape Hatteras  | 30.9                   | 151.0                    |
| 2009 | 7/19–7/29 | Cape Hatteras  | 18.9                   | 73.5                     |
| 2009 | 10/28–11/7| Hugh R. Sharp  | 35.5                   | 86.3                     |
| 2010 | 3/9–3/21  | Cape Hatteras  | 27.8                   | 121.0                    |

\(^a\)USGS, Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico Studies, http://toxics.usgs.gov/hypoxia/index.html.
each cruise as the standard deviation of each monthly TA average in the offshore water (0 to 100 m, salinity > 36) was mostly less than 14 µmol·kg⁻¹ (<0.5%) (Supplementary Table 3).

Finally, we gained insight into the controlling processes responsible for the ΔDIC and ΔNO3⁻ variations by analyzing the observed ΔDIC vs. ΔNO3⁻ relationship, as CaCO3 precipitation/dissolution affects the slope and air–sea gas exchange affects the intercept of the theoretical relationship.

Supportive Lines of Mixing and Biological Activities for pH and ΩArag

In special cases, such as in areas near one river or when the chemical differences of the two river end-members are much smaller than biological modifications during mixing, the above three-end-member model may be simplified into a two-end-member model. An advantage of a two-end-member model is that only one conservative tracer (i.e., salinity) is enough for calculations. Another benefit is that one can readily show the biological modification as the deviations from a linear plot of property against the conservative tracer (i.e., a NO3⁻ or DIC vs. salinity plot). Thus, we chose to apply a two-end-member model to examine non-linear biological modifications on our data in the near-surface water in this study area. Note that the majority of our results are still based on the three-end-member model in the section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal (ΔDIC) and Nitrate Removal (ΔNO3⁻) Over the Water Column.”

We simplified the mixing case by using the combination of river end-members and the marine end-members to demonstrate the seasonal effects of mixing and biological activities on pH and ΩArag. During the combination of river end-members, the freshwater discharge was considered and used two thirds from the Mississippi River end-member and one third from the Atchafalaya River end-member (Supplementary Table 1). We considered that TA and DIC are conservative (TA mix and DIC mix, respectively) for mixing to calculate the conservative pH (pH mix) and ΩArag (ΩArag_mix) for each season with seacarb. The abbreviation of “mix2” represented “two-end-member mixing” to distinguish the difference from the three-end-member mixing model in the following section.

\[
TA_{mix2} = TA_{M1} \times f_{M1} + TA_{S1} \times f_{S1} \\
DIC_{mix2} = DIC_{M1} \times f_{M1} + DIC_{S1} \times f_{S1}
\]  

where subscripted “M1” and “S1” are end-member values for the mixed river and the marine end-members, respectively; fM1 and fS1 are fractions from the mixed river and the marine end-members. Using \( TA_{mix2} \) and \( DIC_{mix2} \), we can calculate pH mix and ΩArag_mix.

We considered the influence of net biological production to evaluate the effect of net DIC uptake on pH and ΩArag. We used the deficit of NO3⁻ between measurements and the conservative mixing (ΔNO3⁻ bio2) as biological uptake to simulate biological effects on TA (TA bio2) and DIC (DIC bio2). The NO3⁻ to salinity relationship was non-linear in each season and was discontinuous in a few salinity ranges. To fulfill these missing nitrate values in these salinity ranges, we extrapolated data to the few missing nitrate data points by using a non-linear nitrate-to-salinity relationship for each season (Supplementary Figure 1). We then used the deficit between the non-linear relationship and the linear conservative mixing line in each salinity bin to calculate ΔNO3⁻ bio2. For each unit of biological uptake, ΔNO3⁻ bio2 can increase one TA by one unit (Brewer and Goldman, 1976; Wolf-Gladrow et al., 2007) in addition to the conservative mixing (Eq. 11) and can induce a DIC change of 106/16 of ΔNO3⁻ bio2 through the Redfield ratio (C/N = 106/16) (Eq. 12).

\[
TA_{mix2+bio2} = TA_{M1} \times f_{M1} + TA_{S1} \times f_{S1} + ΔNO3⁻_{bio2} \\
DIC_{mix2+bio2} = DIC_{M1} \times f_{M1} + DIC_{S1} \times f_{S1} - ΔNO3⁻_{bio2} \times 106/16
\]

Supportive Lines of Mixing and Biological Activities for pH and ΩArag

RESULTS

Seasonal Properties to Salinity Relationships

Freshwater discharge, TA (Table 2), and NO3⁻ (including nitrate and nitrite) fluxes varied seasonally and were generally high during the spring and low during the late summer and fall (Figure 2) (United States Geological Survey, Hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico Studies)².

The effects of the freshwater discharge further characterized the seasonal variations in the TA and salinity relationships (Figures 3A–D). When considering the TA–salinity relationship, TA values were high in low-salinity waters during the summer (for example, riverine TA was over 2,500 µmol·kg⁻¹ in June) (Table 2). In contrast, TA values were low during the late fall, winter, and early spring when discharge was high (for example, riverine TA was ~1,800 µmol·kg⁻¹ in November 2009) (Figures 2, 3A–D). Overall, the TA data were generally in the range or close to the boundary composed of two rivers and one marine end-members, indicating possible three-end-member mixings (Figures 3A–D).

Relative to the near-linear TA and salinity relationship, DIC concentrations in middle salinities were much lower than those in high salinities on the DIC-to-salinity relationship (Figures 3E–H). Net DIC removal in middle salinities was clear during

²http://toxics.usgs.gov/hypoxia/index.html
TABLE 2 | Salinity, temperature, pH, DIC, TA, Ca$^{2+}$, and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ values at the Mississippi and Atchafalaya Rivers and Terrebonne Bay.

| Sta. | Date    | Lat. (°N) | Lon. (°W) | Sal. | Temp. (°C) | pH  | DIC, µmol·kg$^{-1}$ | TA, µmol·kg$^{-1}$ | TA/DIC | Ca$^{2+}$, mmol·kg$^{-1}$ | $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ |
|------|---------|-----------|-----------|------|------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| MR1  | 01/11/09| 29.0340   | 89.3264   | 1.50 | 7.95       | 7.76| 2,109              | 2,072             | 0.98   | 1.37                      | 0.90              |
| MR1  | 03/20/10| 29.0461   | 89.3174   | 0.53 | 10.55      | 8.16| 2,425              | 2,399             | 0.99   | 1.11                      | 1.96              |
| MR1  | 07/20/09| 29.0326   | 89.3289   | 1.36 | 29.55      | 7.83| 2,636              | 2,594             | 0.98   | 1.33                      | 1.88              |
| MR1  | 10/31/09| 29.0303   | 89.3310   | 0.15 | 16.69      | 7.68| 1,823              | 1,794             | 0.98   | 1.01                      | 2.14              |
| MR1  | 01/11/09| 29.0340   | 89.3264   | 1.50 | 7.95       | 7.76| 2,109              | 2,072             | 0.98   | 1.37                      | 0.90              |
| MR1  | 03/20/10| 29.0461   | 89.3174   | 0.53 | 10.55      | 8.16| 2,425              | 2,399             | 0.99   | 1.11                      | 1.96              |
| MR1  | 07/20/09| 29.0326   | 89.3289   | 1.36 | 29.55      | 7.83| 2,636              | 2,594             | 0.98   | 1.33                      | 1.88              |
| MR1  | 10/31/09| 29.0303   | 89.3310   | 0.15 | 16.69      | 7.68| 1,823              | 1,794             | 0.98   | 1.01                      | 2.14              |
| MR1  | 01/11/09| 29.0340   | 89.3264   | 1.50 | 7.95       | 7.76| 2,109              | 2,072             | 0.98   | 1.37                      | 0.90              |
| MR1  | 03/20/10| 29.0461   | 89.3174   | 0.53 | 10.55      | 8.16| 2,425              | 2,399             | 0.99   | 1.11                      | 1.96              |
| MR1  | 07/20/09| 29.0326   | 89.3289   | 1.36 | 29.55      | 7.83| 2,636              | 2,594             | 0.98   | 1.33                      | 1.88              |
| MR1  | 10/31/09| 29.0303   | 89.3310   | 0.15 | 16.69      | 7.68| 1,823              | 1,794             | 0.98   | 1.01                      | 2.14              |

FIGURE 2 | The temporal variations of river discharge, TA values, and nitrogen flux. Throughout the course of our sampling, freshwater discharge (black line) and the NO$_x$ flux (gray area) from the Mississippi and Atchafalaya Rivers [U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) data] varied seasonally. USGS TA values (open circles) were close to our measured TA (solid circles). Vertical gray lines indicate the beginning date of the sampling cruise in Table 1. TA, total alkalinity.

the summer. Chl-a-to-salinity relationships corresponds to the net DIC removal. High Chl-a concentrations (over 30 µg·L$^{-1}$) were observed in salinities of 20 to 30 in the near-surface water during the spring and summer cruises, medium Chl-a concentrations (<20 µg·L$^{-1}$) during the fall, and low Chl-a concentrations (~<5 µg·L$^{-1}$) in the winter (Supplementary Figure 6). Moreover, changing river DIC end-members (Table 2) and levels of deficits displayed the seasonal variations on the DIC-to-salinity relationships (Figures 3E–H). High DIC river end-members and large DIC deficits were present from May to September (Figures 3E,F), and low DIC river end-members and reduced DIC deficits were present from November to March (Figures 3G,H,E). When freshwater discharge quickly decreased from spring to summer, DIC river end-member can promptly increase and create non-linear mixing curves on the DIC-to-salinity relationship. We considered this issue, and we adopted a river end-member a few weeks earlier than the sampling cruise to perform the calculation in the section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal (dDIC) and Nitrate Removal (dNO$_3$–) Over the Water Column.”

Values of measured pH and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ displayed maximum values in middle salinities during each season (Figure 4), particularly during the summer (Figures 4B,F). Similarly, the highest $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ in middle salinities was over 6.0 during the spring, increased to
FIGURE 3 | Total alkalinity and DIC-to-salinity relationships. Fluctuating TA and DIC river end-members dominated the seasonal variations in the near-surface water (A–H). In the near-surface water (solid marker) during the summer, DIC measurements were lower in middle salinities than those in high salinities and displayed clear DIC removals (F). In the near-bottom, subsurface, and deep waters (open marker), DIC extended a high concentration arm at high salinities at the marine end-members. We clarify the relationships between 20- and 100-m depth in the inset of each panel (A–H). TA, total alkalinity; DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon.
over 7.0 in the summer, was below 7.0 in the fall, and reduced to below 5.0 in the winter (Figures 4E–H).

We had drawn supporting lines by simplified simulations (section “Supportive Lines of Mixing and Biological Activities for pH and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$”) to help us to display non-linear distribution patterns of mixing or biological activities in Figure 4. Conservative simulations for $pH_{\text{mix2}}$ and $\Omega_{\text{Arag_mix2}}$ were curves due to the carbonate system (Figure 4) and varied seasonally
in the near-surface water (Figure 4). Our NO₃⁻ fitting curves based on measured data were concaved upward in all seasons, showing a strong contrast to their respective ideal linear mixing lines (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1). The NO₃⁻ deficit from the conservative mixing line to the fitting curve of measured data was large in spring, kept increasing in summer, and reduced from fall to winter (Figure 5). This deficit of NO₃⁻ was converted to net biological DIC uptake to simulate pH_{mix+bio} and Ω_{Arag_mix+bio} (Figure 4, methods in the section “Supportive Lines of Mixing and Biological Activities for pH and Ω_{Arag}”). The differences between pH_{mix2} and pH_{mix2+bio2}, and between Ω_{Arag_mix2} and Ω_{Arag_mix2+bio2}, are effects on these properties by the net biological uptake (Figure 4). These effects of net biological uptake on each carbonate parameters varied seasonally in middle-to-high salinities, increasing from spring to summer and decreasing from fall to winter. Finally, those measured pH and Ω_{Arag} values were close to the conservative mixing curves during November and January (Figures 4C,D,G,H) and were close to the biological activity scenario, particularly during May and June (Figures 4A,B,E,F). Note that the result of supporting lines in the section “Supportive Lines of Mixing and Biological Activities for pH and Ω_{Arag}” was only presented in Figures 4, 5.

In high-salinity waters, an arm of high DIC (Figures 3E–H), pH (Figures 4A–D), and Ω_{Arag} (Figures 4E–H) extended from the marine end-member. Sources of these waters were near-bottom shelf waters or subsurface and deep (>200 m) waters, and these values deviated from simulations in the near-surface water, showing net DIC release. Additionally, extension arms of low values of DIC buffer factor in high salinities were in DIC buffer factor to salinity relationships (Supplementary Figures 7A–D).

To sum up, four distribution patterns characterized the carbonate parameters along the river-to-sea salinity gradient: (1)
river TA and DIC end-members varied seasonally (Figure 3), (2) pH- and $\Omega_{Arag}$-to-salinity relationships showed concave-downward curves in the near-surface waters (Figure 4), (3) the deficits between the observed pH and $\Omega_{Arag}$ data to the conservative mixing curves changed seasonally (Figure 4), and (4) an arm was extended from the marine end-members of pH and $\Omega_{Arag}$ (Figure 4).

**Distributions of Multi-year Average Salinity and $\Delta$DIC in the Near-Surface Water**

The distribution of $\Delta$DIC (calculated by the three-end-member model) generally demonstrated non-conservative effects during the dispersal process in the near-surface water compared with salinity (Figure 6). The salinity contour lines of 18 and 33 were parallel to the coastline and demonstrated the principle dispersal range between them (Figure 6A,B). High and positive $\Delta$DIC values (strong net DIC removal) were at the Louisiana Bight, and $\Delta$DIC was nearly zero at non-plume regions on a shelf-wide scale in most cases (Figure 6C,D), implying strong net DIC uptake just next to the river mouth and descending toward the far-field of this river plume. Note that the $\Delta$DIC values hereafter were quantified by using the three-end-member model in the section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal ($\Delta$DIC) and Nitrate Removal ($\Delta$NO$_3$) Over the Water Column.”

**Vertical Cross-Shelf Distributions and Their Seasonal Variations**

Distinct carbonate variations were between the near-surface water; and the near-bottom, subsurface waters, and their values and distributions varied seasonally. $\Delta$DIC values were more positive while $\Omega_{Arag}$ values were high in the near-surface water close to the coast, and $\Delta$DIC became negative while $\Omega_{Arag}$ values were low in the near-bottom, subsurface, and deep waters (Figure 7) on the cross-shelf transect C in the middle of this shelf (Figure 1). Note that $\Delta$DIC in Figure 7 was from the result of three-end-member mixing in the section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal ($\Delta$DIC) and Nitrate Removal ($\Delta$NO$_3$) Over the Water Column.” These cross-shelf changes in $\Delta$DIC and $\Omega_{Arag}$ further displayed seasonal variations (Figure 7). In January, high DIC removal ($\Delta$DIC $\sim$ 100 $\mu$mol·kg$^{-1}$) and high $\Omega_{Arag}$ (>4) values were observed in the inner shelf (Figures 7a,f). From March to July, high $\Delta$DIC and $\Omega_{Arag}$ were in the near-surface layer, while low values were in the near-bottom, subsurface waters (Figures 7a–e for $\Delta$DIC, Figures 7f–j for $\Omega_{Arag}$). During the late fall (November), low $\Delta$DIC values were observed on the inner shelf, while high $\Omega_{Arag}$ values were recorded on the outer shelf (Figures 7e,j). Moreover,
high ΔDIC and ΩArag values extended from the inner shelf to 100- to 150-km offshore in March 2010 (Figures 7b,g).

The ΩArag-to-depth profile demonstrated the difference in variations of ΩArag among the near-surface water, near-bottom, subsurface, and deep waters (Figure 8). ΩArag values varied greatly (1.0 < ΩArag < 6.0) in the surface layer (<5 m) and narrowed to a range between ~2.0 and 5.0 in the subsurface and bottom waters on the middle shelf (20–100 m). ΩArag values were generally less than 2.0 and decreased toward 1.0 or lower in deeper waters (>200 m) (Figure 8).

Strong seasonal variations in ΔDIC and ΩArag were observed across the shelf, particularly on the inner-to-middle shelf (Figure 7). Therefore, we focus on this area to display their monthly variations in two specified regions.

**Monthly Variations in ΔDIC, Apparent Oxygen Utility, and Chlorophyll *a* on Specified Regions on the Inner-to-Middle Shelf**

We considered the horizontal dispersal (Figures 6, 7) and vertical stratification (Figure 7) and organized the monthly ΔDIC on specified conditions on the inner-to-middle shelf to quantify the spatial–temporal ΔDIC variations. (Note that ΔDIC was from the calculation in the section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal (ΔDIC) and Nitrate Removal (ΔNO3) Over the Water Column” by the three-end-member mixing.) In the near-surface water (specified at sample depth < 5 m, salinity < 35, to the north of 28.2°N), the average of ΔDIC values increased from 14.3 µmol·kg⁻¹ in January to 126.6 µmol·kg⁻¹ in June and subsequently decreased to nearly zero (~2.9 µmol·kg⁻¹) in November (Figure 9A). Interestingly, the seasonal trend of ΔDIC in the specified the near-bottom, subsurface water (20–100 m, to the north of 28.2°N) displayed an opposite pattern; that is, it changed from ~13.5 µmol·kg⁻¹ in January to ~102 µmol·kg⁻¹ in July and ~13 µmol·kg⁻¹ in September and returned to ~42.5 µmol·kg⁻¹ in November (Figure 9A). Though data in March 2010 and July 2009 were affected by special weather events (will be discussed later), monthly average ΔDIC in the specified near-surface and near-bottom, subsurface waters still demonstrated clear seasonal trends (Figure 9A). In particular, we noticed that the ΔDIC peak time in the near-surface water (June) was 1-3 months (approximately 2 months hereafter) ahead of the one in the near-bottom, subsurface waters (July to September).

Interestingly, monthly AOU and Chl-*a* both demonstrated similar seasonal trends (Figures 9B,C). The AOU seasonal variation in the near-surface water, correspondingly, decreased from 75 to ~25 to ~19 µmol·kg⁻¹ from April to June and gradually increased to 20 µmol·kg⁻¹ in November. In contrast, AOU in the near-bottom, subsurface waters displayed a maximum (112 µmol·kg⁻¹) in August and a minimum (4 µmol·kg⁻¹) in April. The AOU peak time in the near-surface water (April to June) was 2-3 months ahead of the one in the near-bottom, subsurface waters (August). Furthermore, monthly Chl-*a* in the near-surface water increased from the...
lowest of \(\sim 2 \mu g \cdot L^{-1}\) in January to the maximum value of 7.6 \(\mu g \cdot L^{-1}\) in April and again decreased to 2.6 \(\mu g \cdot L^{-1}\) in August (Figure 9C). Monthly Chl-\(a\) concentrations in the near-bottom, subsurface waters were lower than those in the near-surface waters, increasing from a minimum of 0.27 \(\mu g \cdot L^{-1}\) in January to 1.7 \(\mu g \cdot L^{-1}\) in August (Figure 9C). The peak time in the near-surface waters (April) was almost 4 months ahead of the one in the near-bottom, subsurface waters (August and September).

**DISCUSSION**

**Mixing and Non-mixing Factors of \(\Delta\)DIC**

Seasonal changes in the carbonate system vary along the plume trajectory and dissipate offshore or away from the river mouths due to mixing and biological activities. For regions near the Mississippi River Delta, Green et al. (2006) have estimated the water residence time (less than 14.5 days) by examining satellite images. For the entire shelf, fluctuating river discharge can change water transit time in the nGOM, resulting in that freshwater volume on the shelf lags the discharge by 21-33 days (Zhang et al., 2012). We generally follow the above two estimations to choose the river end-members in advance of our sampling cruise (Supplementary Table 1). Anderson et al. (2020) have estimated the residence time to be short during July (4–68 days) and to be long (11–233 days) during September by using radium radioisotopes. This physical water residence time on the shelf is long enough to support the biogeochemical temporal scales of Chl-\(a\) and \(\Delta\)DIC in the section “Monthly Variations in \(\Delta\)DIC, Apparent Oxygen Utility, and Chlorophyll \(a\) on Specified Regions on the Inner-to-Middle Shelf.” Moreover, previous studies have demonstrated that mixing dominated regions with salinities lower than 18 in this study area (Green et al., 2006; Lohrenz et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015b). Mixing can further affect the carbonate system, as pH and \(\Omega_{Arag}\) are non-conservative, particularly at low-salinity estuaries where minimum buffering and pH zones often occur (Mook and Koene, 1975; Hu and Cai, 2013; Hu et al., 2017).

The net biological activity includes photosynthesis and respiration. Primary production can be affected by the availability of nutrients and environmental factors [such as water temperature, stratification, insolation duration, and light attenuation (\(k_d\))]. Previous studies have demonstrated variations in \(\Delta\)DIC mostly in the near-surface water at particular seasons (as high as 400–500 \(\mu\)mol-kg\(^{-1}\) during spring and as low as < 200 \(\mu\)mol-kg\(^{-1}\) during fall) (Guo et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012a; Fry et al., 2015). Murrell et al. (2013) have reported that gross primary production varied at different salinity ranges (0–18, 18–27, 27–32, and >32), and it can be over 250 mmol-m\(^{-2}\)-day\(^{-1}\) in the second salinity range (18–27). Furthermore, they also have suggested that GPP and respiration both occurred throughout the water column, and the net ecosystem metabolism switched to net respiration when the water column was >20 m. In line with results of previous studies, \(\Delta\)DIC was overall positive in the near-surface water and negative in the near-bottom, subsurface waters. Moreover, this study fulfills the seasonal variation in \(\Delta\)DIC in both near-surface and near-bottom, subsurface waters.

Special weather events may alter the carbonate system by changing the plume dispersal direction or range. In the first case, the near-surface water acted as a weak sink of \(CO_2\) with an eastward river plume and an upwelling-favorable wind in July 2009 (Zhang et al., 2012; Fichot et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015a), leading to the early development of hypoxia (Feng et al., 2014). At the northern South China Sea, seasonal upwelling in this large-river-dominated continental shelf can reduce acidification in the near-bottom waters (Huang et al., 2020). In the second case, Huang et al. (2013) have reported an extended surface plume over the middle and outer shelves in March 2010 due to an exceptionally widespread plume when winter storms dominated North America.

**Effects of Minor Factors on the \(\Delta\)DIC Variation**

We interpret the major and minor effects of carbonate dynamics by examining the regression’s slope and intercept \(\Delta\)DIC and
**FIGURE 9** | Seasonal variations in $\Delta$DIC, AOU, Chl-a, and relationships between $\Delta$DIC, $\Delta$NO$_3^-$, and $\Omega_{Arag}$. In the near-surface water, the average of $\Delta$DIC values increased from January to June and subsequently decreased to nearly zero ($\sim -2.9 \, \mu$mol·kg$^{-1}$) in November. Interestingly, the seasonal trend of $\Delta$DIC in the near-bottom, subsurface waters displayed an opposite pattern, decreasing from January to July and September and increasing until November. $\Delta$DIC values in panel (A) were averaged from the specific water in each cruise by the result of three-end-member model (section “Net Dissolved Inorganic Carbon Removal ($\Delta$DIC) and Nitrate Removal ($\Delta$NO$_3^-$) Over the Water Column”). The effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchanges from Huang et al. (2015b) on $\Delta$DIC was usually less than 10% (assuming 5- and 10-m mixing layer depth for triangle markers and open gray circles, respectively) (A). AOU in the near-surface waters and near-bottom, subsurface waters displayed a time delay in their peak; that is, April to June vs. August (B). The effect of air–sea O$_2$ gas exchange on AOU in the near-surface water was large, and peak time was still similar (assuming 10-m mixing layer depth, triangle markers). Air–sea CO$_2$ and O$_2$ fluxes were exceptionally large in March (A,B). Chl-a (Continued)
\[ \Delta \text{DIC} = \Delta \text{OC} + \Delta \text{CaCO}_3 + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{air-sea}}. \]  

where positive \( \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{air-sea}} \) represents a CO$_2$ source to the atmosphere and a negative value represents CO$_2$ sink. We further assume that the \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) precipitation/dissolution is proportional to \( \Delta \text{OC} (\Delta \text{CaCO}_3 = \beta \times \Delta \text{OC}) \) (Chen et al., 1995, 2017).

\[ \Delta \text{OC} = (1 + \beta) \times \Delta \text{OC} - \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{air-sea}} \]  

Furthermore, \( \Delta \text{OC} \) can be converted to \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) through the Redfield ratio (\( \alpha = \text{C/N} = 106/16 \)). Eq. (14) can thus be converted to an equation including \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), as follows:

\[ \Delta \text{DIC} = \alpha (1 + \beta) \times \Delta \text{NO}_3^- + \Delta \text{DIC}_{\text{air-sea}} \]  

We organize the relationship between \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) and \( \Delta \text{NO}_3^- \) in Eq. (15) (Figure 9D), which showed a slope and an intercept reflecting \( \alpha (1 + \beta) \) and the air–sea CO$_2$ flux, respectively. In the major response region, the slope reflecting C:N ratio in the relationship between \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) and \( \Delta \text{NO}_3^- \) is 6.62 ± 0.11 (\( n = 1,213 \)) (Figure 9D) (by type II regression as both \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) and \( \Delta \text{NO}_3^- \) have uncertainties). This slope value over a shelf-wide area is consistent with previous studies with less temporal and spatial coverage (Huang et al., 2012a; Xue et al., 2015).

We quantitatively discuss the regional variation of the Redfield ratio (\( \alpha \) in Eq. 15) and the \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) formation (\( \Delta \text{CaCO}_3, \beta \) in Eq. 15). The effect of net community calcification (NCC) is usually lower than net community production (NCP) (that is, NCC:NCP < 1). For instance, the decrease of DIC can be mostly due to NCP instead of NCC during a coccolithophore bloom in the northwest European continental margin (Suykens et al., 2010). Martiny et al. (2013), which suggested that stoichiometry varies among phytoplankton species in addition to the traditional Redfield ratio (Redfield, 1958). On the same cruises from 2009 to 2010, Chakraborty and Lorenz (2015) have observed that diatoms and cyanobacteria dominated the phytoplankton community in this study area. The C/N ratio of diatoms can be higher than the Redfield ratio (Geider and LaRoche, 2002), and the ratio of cyanobacteria can be lower than the Redfield ratio (Martiny et al., 2013). We focus on the data in the major response area (excluding two exceptional regions in Figure 9D) and adopt the proportion of NCC to NCP of 5% at the Atlantic Ocean (Tyrrell and Young, 2009) and 1–3% in the global scale (Balch, 2018) with traditional Redfield C/N ratios (\( \alpha \approx 6.25, 6.125 < \alpha < 6.64 \)), resulting in a range of \( \alpha (1 + \beta) \) between 6.186 and 6.972. This theoretical range of \( \alpha (1 + \beta) \) was consistent with our observation of 6.62 ± 0.11. Thus, we suggest that the net removal of DIC and nitrate ratio can also be affected by the proportion between NCC and NCP in the aerobic water column. The benthic CO$_2$ flux during hypoxia may provide an additional DIC source (Hu et al., 2017) and, together with N$_2$ degassing through denitrification reported by Xue et al. (2015), and may lead to a potential higher C/N ratio in the water column respiration. Besides, though organic matters can affect alkalinity values during the titration process (Hernández-Ayon et al., 2007), this contribution has been suggested to be minor compared with our analytical precision (0.1%) (Yang et al., 215).

Two areas, one affected by salinities lower than 18 and the other affected by export from wetlands/bays, may also lead to changes in the slope between \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) and \( \Delta \text{NO}_3^- \) (Figure 9D). The area affected by low salinities is likely dominated by mixing, as mentioned in the sections “Seasonal Properties to Salinity Relationships and Mixing and Non-mixing Factors of \( \Delta \text{DIC} \)” For example, the changing DIC river end-member in the section “Seasonal Properties to Salinity Relationships” may also affect the \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) result in low salinities. We focused on the result on the shelves where middle to high salinities were dominant. The other data that are affected by the wetlands/bays display a slope of 8.0 ± 0.3 (\( n = 245 \)) (see Supplementary Information for details about these effects) on the \( \Delta \text{DIC} \) and \( \Delta \text{NO}_3^- \) diagram (Figure 9D). The slope of data from the above two areas (7.09 ± 0.1) is very close to the C/N ratio of 7.16 ± 0.15 from particulate organic matter (POM) in this study area in late July from 2006 to 2010 (\( n = 429 \)) as reported by Fry et al. (2015). This is likely to be because particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) from Barataria Bay might be more labile (likely increase DIC) than the incubated plume water (“the bay water contributes higher potential lability of 35% than the plume water, which is 15%”) (Fry et al., 2015). Thus, organic matter from Barataria Bay may slightly affect \( \alpha \) in this study. Moreover, a higher Si/N ratio in the northern Louisiana Bight may be related to the export from the wetland (Huang et al., 2012a). The water’s Si/N ratio may affect both the composition of phytoplankton and respiration (Turner et al., 1998). Berelson et al. (2019) have observed that fluxes of dissolved Si and DIC are significantly correlated on the hypoxia-influenced sediment on this study area, and they...
have suggested the importance of diagenesis of biogenic Si and organic carbon.

Recent observations demonstrated that DIC export through wetlands to the nGOM could be important (Anderson et al., 2020). Subsurface groundwater (SGD) can be a pathway and has been noticed in the past two decades (Bugna et al., 1996; McCoy et al., 2007; McCoy and Corbett, 2009; Befus et al., 2017; Coleman et al., 2017). DIC export through SGD can be low in snowmelt and wet seasons and high during the dry season in the Mississippi River basin (Cai et al., 2020). However, the role of SGD in the carbon budget of North America is still unclear (Najjar et al., 2018).

The intercept of this regression line (with a slope of 6.62 for the majority of this study area, Figure 9D) for ΔDIC and ΔNO$_3^-$ can reflect the net effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange on DIC when ΔNO$_3^-$ = 0 and ΔDIC = ΔDIC$_{air-sea} = –38 \, \mu$mol·kg$^{-1}$ as expressed in Eq. (15). The assumption of ΔNO$_3^-$ equals to 0 in this regression line does not imply zero biological activity, but the net biological activity (net ecosystem sink according to the net results of high biological DIC uptake (photosynthesis minus respiration) is zero. The intercept is below zero, implying that this study area generally acts as a CO$_2$ sink according to the net results of high biological DIC uptake and release on this highly dynamic shelf. Our interpretation is consistent with the direct measurements of pCO$_2$ in this study region (Huang et al., 2015b). We count these direct measurements of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange in each month and suggest that the effect of air–sea gas exchanges for each month was usually less than 10% to 20% of our 5-year study period (Huang et al., 2015b), the effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange would only lead to 2% of ΔDIC and ΔArag value change correspondingly. This slope of ~0.01 in this study may represent the one at high productivity and high TA coastal regions and can help mimic marine in situ Ω$_{Arag}$ variations.

The net effect of being a CO$_2$ sink through DIC on Ω$_{Arag}$ can be calculated by applying ΔDIC = ΔDIC$_{air-sea} = –38 \, \mu$mol·kg$^{-1}$ to the Ω$_{Arag}$ function of ΔDIC (Ω$_{Arag} = 0.0099 \times$ ΔDIC + 3.70), displaying a result of 3.32 (Figure 9E). As the atmospheric partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO$_2$) has increased over our 5-year study period (Huang et al., 2015b), the effect of varying atmospheric pCO$_2$ on the air–sea gas exchange would show only lead to 2% of Ω$_{Arag}$ change in the near-surface waters (Wanninkhof et al., 2015). This difference of 0.38 in the Ω$_{Arag}$ changes (3.70–3.32 = 0.38) should mostly represent the effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange through the water column DIC on Ω$_{Arag}$. Though the riverine nitrogen-induced biological activity can trigger a larger variation than the influence of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange on Ω$_{Arag}$ variations, we suggest that the former factor only controls the seasonal Ω$_{Arag}$ variation and that the latter one controls the Ω$_{Arag}$ value on a longer time scale (decadal). Low Ω$_{Arag}$ values in low salinities (<18) in Figure 9E are consistent with results provided by Xiong et al. (2019), who have simulated the effect of salinity changes on ΔDIC and indicate that Ω$_{Arag}$ decreases with a reduction in salinity at a given ΔDIC. Besides, a few Ω$_{Arag}$ values lower than the regression line (Figure 9E) may signal the benthic processes affecting the inorganic carbon system (Murrell et al., 2013; Fry et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2017). A few outliers are from depths of 350 to 720 m, except one from 8 m at the river mouth, and all had temperature <10°C. Here, deep waters (200 to 700 m) are from regions other than the Gulf of Mexico, such as the Caribbean (Rivas et al., 2005).

Finally, we suggest that the relative proportion of each carbonate-related biogeochemical process on a river-dominated continental shelf is as follows: mixing (roughly ∼<200 µmol·kg$^{-1}$ in salinities >18 waters, Figures 3E-H) and NCP (6 to 120 µmol·kg$^{-1}$ in monthly average, Figure 9A) (>70%) effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange on DIC (38 µmol·kg$^{-1}$ in average, Figure 9D) (~<10–20%, depending on the pCO$_2$ level induced by other factors) > CaCO$_3$ precipitation and the variation of stoichiometry (~<7% by
evaluating $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the section “Effects of Minor Factors on the $\Delta$DIC Variation”).

**Seasonal Conceptual Model**

We use a seasonal conceptual model to integrate the spatial–temporal $\Delta$DIC variations in this river-dominated continental shelf (Figure 10). Seasonal variations in river end-members, shelf circulation, shelf-water residence time, wind direction, cross-shelf transportation, bays and wetlands export (including SGD), and also biogeochemical processes (such as autotrophic or heterotrophic activities, benthic processes, carbonate precipitation or dissolution, and air–sea gas exchanges) all contribute to the carbonate system in this shelf. At the connecting regions, these biogeochemical parameters (such as DIC, pH, and $\Omega_{Arag}$) are diluted by mixing with the pelagic ocean or varied by exports from bays or wetlands.

When we focused on the majority of this shelf, we successfully quantified two regions of this shelf with seasonal variations (Figure 10A). The near-surface waters (0–5 m, $18 \leq$ salinity < 35, to the north of 28.2°N) displayed a peak of Chl-$\alpha$ and AOU in April and a peak of net DIC removal in June (Figure 10B). The 2-month delay between these two peaks implied a peak of heterotrophic activity, which should not be earlier than June. Furthermore, a Chl-$\alpha$ maximum was in August in the near-bottom, subsurface waters (20 m < depth < 100 m, $S = 35$, to the north of 28.2°N), but net DIC accumulation and the AOU peak were still observed around August in this region too (specified as section “Monthly Variations in $\Delta$DIC, Apparent Oxygen Utility, and Chlorophyll $a$ on Specified Regions on the Inner-to-Middle Shelf”) (Figure 10C). Finally, it is worth noting that the seasonal variation of AOU in the near-bottom, subsurface waters is about a 2- to 3-month delay to the near-surface one. Furthermore, the seasonal variation of $\Delta$DIC in the near-bottom, subsurface waters is about a 2- to 2.5-month delay to the near-surface one. This difference in the delay time between AOU and $\Delta$DIC is probably because the buffer capacity was lacking in DO and was strong in the carbonate system.

**SUMMARY**

The fluctuating river end-members dominated the seasonal changes in river TA and DIC in the property-to-salinity relationship. The application of the three-end-member model on our dataset produced $\Delta$DIC over nine cruises from 2006 to 2010. Non-linear variations in pH and $\Omega_{Arag}$ values were close to the conservative mixing curves during November and January (Figures 4C,D,G,H) and were close to the biological activity curves, particularly during May and June (Figures 4A,B,E,F).

**FIGURE 10** | The seasonal conceptual model for the carbonate system on a large river-dominated continental shelf. Mixing dominated waters with low salinities (<18) in the river channel and tidal exchange can facilitate the water exchange (including subsurface groundwater) in the bay and wetland. The continental shelf is a high dynamics system where strong biological uptake and release occur simultaneously. This study is the first to propose quantified regions to distinguish the areas of net DIC removal and net DIC accumulation. The shallow water (<5 m) with salinity between 18 and 35 (A) displayed high Chl-$\alpha$ and seasonal net DIC removal (B), and the salty near-bottom, subsurface waters (salinity > 35, 20 < depth < 100 m) (A) showed low Chl-$\alpha$ and seasonal net DIC accumulation/release (C). The peak of net DIC accumulation was about 2 months after the peak of net DIC removal, displaying the seasonal relationship between these two biogeochemical hotspots along the water column on the continental shelf. DIC, dissolved inorganic carbon; Chl-$\alpha$, chlorophyll $a$. 
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We suggest that the relative proportion of each controlling factor on ADIC on a river-dominated continental shelf is mixing and NCP (>70%) $\gg$ effect of air–sea CO$_2$ gas exchange on DIC ($\sim$<10–20%) $\gg$ CaCO$_3$ precipitation and the variation of stoichiometry (<7%). As a result of these natural factors, we suggest a realistic, ecosystem-based relationship; that is, a nearly 100 µmol kg$^{-1}$ increase of net DIC removal can lead to a 1-unit increase of $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ for future studies. Furthermore, there is a 2-month delay in biogeochemical processes between net DIC removal in the near-surface water and net DIC accumulation in the near-bottom, subsurface waters. We argue that, for regions including eutrophic surface and hypoxic bottom waters, a similar seasonal delay in the carbonate system between these two waters may last on an annual basis and occur worldwide.
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