Rapid induction of heparin-binding epidermal growth factor/diphtheria toxin receptor expression by Raf and Ras oncogenes
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We have used differential display PCR to search for mRNAs induced by ARaf-I:ER, an estradiol-dependent form of the Raf-1 kinase. Through this approach the gene encoding heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF) was identified as an immediate-early transcriptional target of oncogenic Raf kinases. Activation of ARaf-I:ER and a conditional oncogenic form of B-Raf, AB-Raf:ER, resulted in rapid and sustained induction of HB-EGF mRNA expression and secretion of mature HB-EGF from cells. Neutralizing anti-HB-EGF antisera prevented the delayed activation of the c-Jun amino-terminal kinases that is observed in cells transformed by ARaf-I:ER. These results demonstrate that distinct signaling pathways can cross talk via the secretion of polypeptide growth factors. Furthermore, cells transformed by oncogenic Ras, which also induced HB-EGF expression, demonstrated a marked increase in sensitivity to the cytotoxic action of diphtheria toxin, for which the membrane anchored HB-EGF precursor acts as a cell-surface receptor.
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Genetic and biochemical studies have emphasized the importance of the Ras/Raf/MEK/MAP kinase signaling pathway in mediating diverse responses to extracellular stimuli in eukaryotes (Egan and Weinberg 1993; Nishida and Gotoh 1993; Sternberg et al. 1993; Dickson and Hafen 1994; Herskowitz 1995). Receptor-mediated activation of Ras leads to the sequential activation of Raf, MEK, and MAP kinases (Marshall 1994, 1995). The MAP kinases subsequently translocate to the nucleus where they effect the phosphorylation of specific transcription factors, leading ultimately to the modulation of gene expression [Hunter and Karin 1992; Hill et al. 1993; Hill and Treisman 1995; Karin 1994]. Transcription factors targeted by the MAP kinases include Elk-1 in mammalian cells [Hill et al. 1993; Marais et al. 1993] and pointed and Yan in Drosophila [Brunner et al. 1994; O'Neill et al. 1994; Rebay and Rubin 1995].

The stress-activated/c-Jun amino-terminal protein kinase [SAPK/JNK] pathway is a recently described kinase cascade with strong parallels to the MAP kinase pathway [Hibi et al. 1993; Derijard et al. 1994; Karin 1994; Kyriakis et al. 1994]. Activation of this pathway by polypeptide factors involves sequential activation of MEKK1 and the SAPK/JNK kinase SEK1, leading to phosphorylation and activation of the p46/p54 SAP/JUN kinases (hereafter referred to as JNKs) [Sanchez et al. 1994; Yan et al. 1994]. Activated JNKs can phosphorylate both the c-Jun and ATF-2 transcription factors, thereby stimulating their trans-activation function [Derijard et al. 1994; Karin 1994; Kyriakis et al. 1994; Minden et al. 1994b; Gupta et al. 1995]. Activation of the JNK pathway can be achieved through both Ras-dependent and -independent mechanisms, with the Ras-dependent route involving MEKK1 [Lange-Carter et al. 1993; Derijard et al. 1994; Kyriakis et al. 1994; Lange-Carter and Johnson 1994; Sanchez et al. 1994; Su et al. 1994; Yan et al. 1994; Russell et al. 1995]. Thus, growth factor-dependent activation of Ras stimulates at least two kinase cascades that act in parallel to regulate transcription factor activity.

Transfection of HeLa cells with constitutively active, oncogenic forms of Ras or Raf-1 results in constitutive activation of the MAP kinases and JNKs [Minden et al. 1994a]. However, a temporal dissociation between MAP kinase and JNK activation has been demonstrated in cells expressing ARaf-1:ER, a conditional form of oncogenic Raf-1 regulated by the hormone-binding domain of the estrogen receptor [Minden et al. 1994a; Samuels et al. 1993]. Whereas activation of MEK and the p42/p44 MAP kinases occurs within minutes of ARaf-1:ER activation and is independent of de novo protein synthesis, JNK
activation is not observed until 16–24 hr later. Delayed JNK activation is thought to be mediated by release of autocrine factors, rather than direct cross talk between pathways, because conditioned medium from ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cells evokes rapid activation of JNKs when applied to naive cells (Samuels et al. 1993; Minden et al. 1994a; Samuels and McMahon 1994).

In view of the importance attributed to the regulation of gene expression in cellular responses to activation of the Ras/Raf/MEK/MAP kinase cascade, we have attempted to identify genes regulated by ΔRaf-1:ER in NIH-3T3 cells using the technique of differential display PCR (Liang and Pardee 1992, Liang et al. 1993). One gene identified through this approach encodes heparin-binding epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF). HB-EGF is a member of the EGF family that signals through the EGF receptor and is mitogenic for many cell types (Higashiyama et al. 1991, 1992, 1993; Abraham et al. 1993; Hashimoto al. 1994; Ito et al. 1994). We show that the HB-EGF gene is an immediate-early transcriptional target of the conditional Raf kinases, ΔRaf-1:ER and ΔB-Raf:ER, and that secreted HB-EGF mediates delayed activation of the JNKs in ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cells. We also demonstrate that oncogenic Ras activates HB-EGF mRNA expression and that cells expressing oncogenic Ras display a marked increase in sensitivity to diphtheria toxin (DT), for which the transmembrane HB-EGF precursor acts as a cell-surface receptor (Naglich et al. 1992a,b).

Results

Identification of HB–EGF as a ΔRaf-1:ER-responsive gene

Differential display PCR of mRNAs extracted from NIH-3T3 cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER (3T3ΔRaf-1:ER) led to the identification of a PCR product of ~270 bp that was amplified consistently from cells treated for 4 hr with an activator of ΔRaf-1:ER, ICI 164,384 [ICI], but not control cells (Fig. 1A). Cloning and sequencing of this DNA fragment revealed a cDNA of 263 bp (termed 14G1) with extensive homology to the extreme 3’ end of the human cDNA encoding HB–EGF (Higashiyama et al. 1991) (Fig. 1B). Overall identity of the mouse 14G1 cDNA with the homologous region of human HB–EGF was 74% including complete conservation of a putative mRNA destabilization motif and a consensus polyadenylation signal. Activation of 14G1 mRNA expression by ΔRaf-1:ER was subsequently confirmed by RNase protection analysis. As shown in Figure 1C, the steady-state level of 14G1 mRNA in quiescent 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells was elevated fivefold within 4 hr of estradiol stimulation. 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells stimulated for 4 hr with ICI, which activates ΔRaf-1:ER with similar kinetics to estradiol (Samuels et al. 1993), demonstrated a comparable increase in 14G1 mRNA expression (Fig. 1D). ICI treatment had no effect on 14G1 mRNA expression in parental NIH-3T3 cells, confirming that the response requires the activity of the ΔRaf-1:ER fusion protein. We subsequently confirmed that 14G1 represented the 3’ end of the mouse HB–EGF cDNA by sequencing a full-length murine HB-EGF clone (gift of M. Klagsbrun, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). Subsequent RNase protection analyses were performed with a probe complementary to the murine HB–EGF-coding sequence.

Mechanism of activation of HB–EGF mRNA expression by ΔRaf-1:ER and ΔB-Raf:ER

We next investigated the mechanism by which activation of ΔRaf-1:ER and ΔB–Raf:ER leads to increased expression of HB–EGF mRNA. First, the dependence of HB–EGF mRNA induction on de novo protein synthesis was tested. 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER and 3T3ΔB–Raf:ER cells were stimulated with ICI or serum in either the absence or presence of the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide, as indicated in Figure 3A. Activation of either ΔRaf-1:ER or ΔB–Raf:ER led to induction of HB–EGF mRNA expression [Fig. 3A, lanes 1, 2, 7, and 8, respectively]. Serum stimulation also induced HB–EGF mRNA expression in both cell lines, consistent with previous reports of this gene’s responsiveness to a variety of external signals [Temizer et al. 1992; Yoshizumi et al. 1992; Dluz et al. 1993; Morita et al. 1993; Nakano et al. 1994, Peralta et al. 1994; Tan et al. 1994] [Fig. 3A, lanes 3,9]. Cycloheximide treatment alone led to induction of HB–EGF mRNA expression in both cell lines [Fig. 3A, lanes 4,10]. Activation of either ΔRaf-1:ER or ΔB–Raf:ER, or serum...
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Figure 1. Identification of HB-EGF as a ΔRaf-1:ER-responsive gene. (A) Duplicate PCR amplifications were conducted on RNA isolated from 3T3ARaf-1:ER cells treated for 4 hr either with 0.1% (vol/vol) ethanol as a solvent control (-) or 1 μM ICI (+) and the products analyzed by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Primers used in these reactions were AP14 and T~2MG. The arrow indicates the amplified 14G1 fragment. The positions of molecular weight markers are indicated. (B) Sequence comparison of the 14G1 cDNA to the human HB-EGF cDNA. The AP14 and T~2MG primers are underlined. Lowercase letters refer to mismatches between the human and mouse sequences. The conserved mRNA destabilization motif (ATTTA) and polyadenylation signal (AATAAA) are emboldened. (C) Activation of 14G1 mRNA expression by ΔRaf-1:ER. RNA samples were prepared from 3T3ARaf-1:ER cells at different times after treatment with 1 μM 8-estradiol [E2] as indicated. HB-EGF and GAPDH mRNA expression were quantitated simultaneously by RNase protection assays. (D) RNase protection analysis of 14G1 mRNA expression in 3T3ARaf-1:ER and NIH-3T3 cells. 3T3/XRaf-1:ER or parental NIH-3T3 cells were treated for 4 hr with either 0.1% (vol/vol) ethanol as a solvent control (-) or 1 μM ICI (+) as indicated. 14G1 and GAPDH mRNA expression were quantitated simultaneously by RNase protection.

stimulation in the presence of cycloheximide, however, resulted in superinduction of HB-EGF mRNA expression above the level induced by cycloheximide alone (Fig. 3A, lanes 5,6,11,12). Thus, HB-EGF mRNA induction by ΔRaf-1:ER, ΔB-Raf:ER, and serum occurs in the absence of de novo protein synthesis and can therefore be categorized as an immediate-early response to these stimuli (Almendral et al. 1988, Yoshizumi et al. 1992, Herschman 1991, Dluz et al. 1993, Barnard et al. 1994).

To determine whether increased HB-EGF mRNA expression resulted from increased transcription of the HB-EGF gene, nuclear run-on transcription assays were performed. Stimulation of quiescent 3T3ΔB-Raf:ER cells for 3 hr with ICI resulted in a fourfold increase in run-on HB-EGF transcripts relative to control cells (Fig. 3B). A twofold increase in transcription of the c-fos gene, which we have shown to be responsive to ΔRaf-1:ER (S. McCarthy, unpubl.), was also observed under these conditions. Similar induction of HB-EGF transcription was detected following activation of ΔRaf-1:ER (data not shown). The half-life of HB-EGF mRNA was also measured and found to be similar in control and ICI-stimulated cells (data not shown). Thus, maintained elevation of HB-EGF mRNA expression in response to ΔRaf-1:ER activation requires continued gene transcription and does not appear to involve a significant change in mRNA stability.
HB–EGF secretion is required for JNK activation in cells transformed by ΔRaf-1:ER

Activation of ΔRaf-1:ER in NIH-3T3 cells results in rapid, protein synthesis-independent activation of MEK and MAP kinase activity. In contrast, activation of the JNKs is delayed and thought to be mediated by release of an autocrine factor [Samuels et al. 1993; Minden et al. 1994a]. We therefore investigated whether secreted HB–EGF contributes to JNK activation in ΔRaf-1:ER- and ΔB-Raf:ER-transformed cells. First, conditioned media

Characterization of HB–EGF protein secretion from ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cells

To investigate whether induction of HB–EGF mRNA resulted in increased secretion of soluble HB–EGF protein, NIH-3T3 cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER were pulse-labeled with [35S]methionine/cysteine. Labeled proteins were chased into serum-free medium, ethanol precipitated, and analyzed by two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 4A). Activation of ΔRaf-1:ER resulted in a significant increase in secretion of a protein of ~17 kD compared to control cells. The major ΔRaf-1:ER-induced species was of pl 6.9, similar to that of human HB–EGF, which has an apparent molecular weight of 19–23 kD and an isoelectric point of 7.2–7.8 [Higashiyama et al. 1992]. The induced protein migrated over a considerable pl range [pl 5.8–7.5], which may reflect heterogeneous O-linked glycosylation [Higashiyama et al. 1992]. To confirm the identity of the induced 17-kD species as HB–EGF, conditioned media were collected from cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER. Secreted proteins were adsorbed onto heparin–Sepharose and analyzed for the presence of HB–EGF by Western blotting with a specific antiserum. In accord with the two-dimensional analysis, activation of ΔRaf-1:ER led to a three- to fourfold increase in the level of secreted HB–EGF found in conditioned media (Fig. 4B). Thus, activation of ΔRaf-1:ER in NIH-3T3 cells stimulated the secretion of mature HB–EGF protein. Increased levels of HB–EGF protein were also detected in conditioned medium of cells transformed by ΔB-Raf:ER, and increased HB–EGF mRNA and protein expression were observed in NIH-3T3 cells expressing constitutively active, oncogenic Raf-1 [data not shown].

Figure 2. Induction of HB–EGF mRNA expression by different Raf kinases. NIH-3T3 cells expressing either ΔRaf-1:ER [A], ΔB-Raf:ER [B], or ΔA-Raf:ER [C] or Rat1a cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER [D] were treated with 1 μM ICI for the indicated times. HB–EGF and GAPDH mRNA expression were analyzed simultaneously by RNase protection as described in Materials and methods.

Figure 3. The HB–EGF gene is an immediate-early transcriptional target of ΔRaf-1:ER and ΔB-Raf:ER. [A] Induction of HB–EGF mRNA is insensitive to cycloheximide. NIH-3T3 cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER or ΔB–Raf:ER, cultured in media containing 0.5% FCS serum, were either untreated or treated for 1 hr with 25 μg/ml of cycloheximide (CHX) as indicated. These cells were then either untreated, treated with 1 μM ICI for 4 hr or 20% (vol/vol) FCS for 1 hr as indicated. HB–EGF and GAPDH mRNA expression were analyzed simultaneously by RNase protection. [B] Activation of HB–EGF transcription by ΔB-Raf:ER. NIH-3T3 cells expressing ΔB–Raf:ER cultured in media containing 0.5% FCS were treated with either 0.1% (vol/vol) ethanol as a solvent control [−] or 1 μM ICI [+] for 3 hr at which time cell nuclei and runoff transcripts were prepared as described in Materials and methods. Runoff transcripts were hybridized to filter-immobilized plasmid DNAs containing the indicated cDNA inserts. (HB–EGF) Full-length murine HB–EGF cDNA; (BS) pBluescript control; (NEO) full-length neomycin resistance cDNA; (C-FOS) full-length murine c-fos cDNA. neo is constitutively expressed from the retroviral vector expressing ΔB–Raf:ER [Samuels et al. 1993].
from ΔRaf-1:ER and ΔB-Raf:ER-transformed cells were tested for their abilities to activate MAP kinases and JNKs when applied to naive NIH-3T3 cells using myelin basic protein (MBP) and glutathione S-transferase (GST)–c-Jun, respectively, as substrates. Whereas conditioned media from control cells were without effect (Fig. 5A, lanes 1,7), media from ΔRaf-1:ER- and ΔB-Raf:ER-transformed NIH-3T3 cells evoked coordinate activation of both MAP kinases and JNKs (Fig. 5A, lanes 2,8). Prior depletion of heparin-binding factors by incubation with heparin–Sepharose significantly abrogated the abilities of the conditioned media to activate the MAP kinases and JNKs (Fig. 5A, lanes 5,11). Moreover, two specific neutralizing anti-HB–EGF antisera, but not a matched preimmune antiserum, also abrogated MAP kinase and JNK activation (Fig. 5A, lanes 3,4,6,9,10,12). The specificity of the antisera for neutralization of HB–EGF over other members of the EGF family has been described.

Figure 5. Activity of HB–EGF secreted by 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER and 3T3ΔB-Raf:ER cells. (A) Coordinate activation of p42 MAP kinase and JNKs in NIH-3T3 cells by conditioned media. Conditioned media were collected from 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER and 3T3ΔB-Raf:ER cells that were either untreated (−) or treated with 1 μM ICI for 36 hr (+). These conditioned media were then either untreated (lanes 1,2,7,8) or incubated with neutralizing anti-human HB–EGF antiserum (197), neutralizing anti-rat HB–EGF antiserum (19), a preimmune serum that was matched to serum 19 (PI) or depleted with heparin–Sepharose (H), as indicated. Treated conditioned media were then applied to parental NIH-3T3 cells for 10 min at which time the activity of the JNKs and p42 MAP kinase in cell extracts were measured with GST-c-Jun and MBP, respectively, as substrates as described in Materials and methods. (B) Anti-HB–EGF antisera abrogate JNK activation in 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells. Quiescent 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells were either untreated (−) or treated for 24 hr with 1 μM ICI (+) in either the absence or presence of 100 μL of neutralizing anti-human HB–EGF antiserum (197), neutralizing anti-rat HB–EGF antiserum (19) or a preimmune serum (PI) that was matched to serum 19, as indicated. Cell extracts were prepared and the activity of the JNKs and p42 MAP kinase measured as described above. (C) Comparison of p42 MAP kinase activation by 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cell conditioned media and recombinant human HB–EGF. Conditioned medium from 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells either untreated (−) or treated with ICI for 24 hr (+) or medium supplemented with 2 ng/ml recombinant human HB–EGF (HB) were applied to NIH-3T3 (lanes 1–3) or HeLa (lanes 4–6) cells for 10 min at which time p42 MAP kinase activity was measured as described above.
previously (Blotnick et al. 1994; Hashimoto et al. 1994, B. Garrick and J. Abraham, unpubl.). The anti-HB–EGF antibodies differed in their abilities to neutralize the activities of the conditioned media, antisemum 197 blocked in excess of 90% of the stimulatory activity, whereas antisemum 19 caused only a 30%–50% reduction. These differences appear to reflect different affinities for mouse HB–EGF of the antisera, which were raised against human (197) and rat (19) HB–EGF, respectively (Hashimoto et al. 1994, M. Samuels, unpubl.).

The experiments described above suggested that secretion of HB–EGF might account for the ability of conditioned media from ΔRaf-1:ER- and ΔB–Raf-ER-transformed cells to activate the MAP kinases and JNKs when applied to naive NIH-3T3 cells. To determine whether autocrine stimulation of JNK activity in ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cells is mediated by secreted HB–EGF, neutralizing antisem or preimmune antisem was added to 3T3ΔRaf-1:ER cells simultaneously with ICI. MAP kinase and JNK activities were then measured 24 hr later. Consistent with the results in Figure 5A, both anti-HB–EGF antibodies tested, but not preimmune control antisem, inhibited the autocrine activation of JNKs in ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cells [Fig. 5B]. Neither antibody, however, had any effect on MAP kinase activation in these cells [Fig. 5B]. To determine whether HB–EGF secretion was sufficient for autocrine JNK activation, the concentration of HB–EGF in ΔRaf-1:ER-transformed cell-conditioned medium was estimated by comparative Western blotting of heparin-adsorbed material from conditioned medium and serial twofold dilutions of recombinant human HB–EGF. The HB–EGF concentration of the conditioned medium was estimated to be 2 ng/ml (data not shown). As shown in Figure 5C, stimulation of either naive NIH-3T3 or HeLa cells with 2 ng/ml of purified recombinant human HB–EGF resulted in comparable p42 MAP kinase activation to that evoked by conditioned medium. Thus, secreted HB–EGF appears to be sufficient for autocrine activation of signaling pathways in Raf-transformed cells.

Oncogenic p21\textsuperscript{Ha-Ras} activates HB–EGF mRNA expression

Raf kinases play an essential role in the propagation of signals from activated Ras proteins to the MEK/MAP kinase signaling module. We therefore investigated whether p21\textsuperscript{Ha-Ras} also influenced HB–EGF mRNA expression. To address the potential of p21\textsuperscript{Ha-Ras} to induce HB–EGF, we utilized stable Rat1 and NIH-3T3 cell lines in which the expression of activated H-Ras(V12) is regulated by the \textit{Escherichia coli} lac repressor [Rat1:iRas and 3T3:iRas]. Addition of IPTG to these cells results in rapid induction of H-Ras(V12) protein expression detectable within 4 hr of stimulation [Fig. 6A,B]. Activation of Raf-1, MEK, and MAP kinases ensues [M. Samuels, unpubl.], and both cell lines display profound morphological transformation 24–48 hr after IPTG addition [see Fig. 7A]. Induction of H-Ras(V12) expression in either Rat1:iRas or 3T3:iRas cells led to rapid induction of HB–EGF mRNA expression [Fig. 6, C and D, respectively]. The mRNA responses were apparent as early as 2–4 hr after addition of IPTG, correlating with the time course of H-Ras(V12) protein expression and suggesting strongly that the responses are a direct consequence of H-Ras(V12) expression. Maximal HB–EGF mRNA induction of six- to sevenfold was observed in each cell type 8 hr after addition of IPTG.

\textbf{DT sensitivity of Rat1 cells transformed by H-Ras(V12)}

The transmembrane precursor of HB–EGF recently has been shown to act as a cell-surface receptor for DT [Naßlisch et al. 1992a,b]. DT is composed of a receptor-binding B-subunit and catalytic A-subunit. Receptor-dependent internalization of the toxin is followed by activation of the catalytic activity of the A-subunit, which ADP-ribosylates elongation factor-2, causing abrupt inhibition of protein synthesis and eventual cell death (Pappenheimer 1977; Middlebrook and Dorland 1984). Because certain oncogenically transformed cell lines have been shown to be more sensitive to DT than their normal counterparts [Buzzi and Maistrello 1973; Iglewski and Rittenberg 1974; Iglewski et al. 1975; Taylor and Iglewski 1979], we tested whether induction of H-Ras(V12) expression, which leads to increased expression of HB–EGF mRNA, altered the sensitivity of cells to DT-mediated killing [Fig. 7A]. Rat1:iRas cells were induced to express H-Ras(V12) by treatment with IPTG, in either the absence or presence of DT (200 ng/ml). In the absence of H-Ras(V12) expression the cells displayed a flat, nontransformed morphology, whereas cells treated

\textbf{Figure 6.} Induction of HB–EGF mRNA expression by H-Ras(V12). [A,B] Rat1 and NIH-3T3 cells containing an IPTG-inducible H-Ras(V12) gene [Rat1:iRas and 3T3:iRas, respectively] were treated with 5 mM IPTG for the indicated times at which time the level of expression of the H-Ras(V12) protein was assessed by Western blotting of cell extracts with an anti-Ras antibody. [C,D] RNase protection analysis of HB–EGF and GAPDH mRNA expression in Rat1:iRas and 3T3:iRas cells following induction of H-Ras(V12) expression with 5 mM IPTG for the indicated times.
for 48 hr with IPTG displayed a characteristic highly transformed morphology. Cells treated with DT in the absence of IPTG demonstrated little obvious toxin sensitivity, as judged by the presence of an intact cell monolayer and few dead cells. However, induction of H-Ras(V12) expression in the presence of DT resulted in the death of >95% of the cells. Dose-response experiments indicated that H-Ras(V12)-expressing cells were at least 50-fold as sensitive to DT-mediated killing as uninduced cells [data not shown]. Similar results were obtained with Rat1a cells expressing ΔRaf-1:ER [data not shown]. To determine whether increased DT sensitivity resulted from increased toxin uptake, inhibition of protein synthesis by DT was measured. Protein synthesis in uninduced Rat1:iRas cells was inhibited by DT in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that these cells display basal sensitivity to the toxin [IC50 = 100 ng/ml]. However, protein synthesis in IPTG-treated Rat1:iRas cells was inhibited by substantially lower doses of DT [IC50 = 17 ng/ml] [Fig. 7B]. This constitutes a sixfold increase in sensitivity to inhibition of protein synthesis by DT, in agreement with the six- to sevenfold induction of HB-EGF mRNA expression in this cell type following induction of H-Ras(V12). A nontoxic form of DT, CRM 197, which acts as a competitive inhibitor of DT binding to the transmembrane HB-EGF precursor [Pappenheimer 1977], protected cells expressing H-Ras(V12) from inhibition of protein synthesis by DT [Fig. 7C]. Thus, increased sensitivity of Ras-transformed cells to DT can be accounted for, at least in part, by the induced expression of the transmembrane HB-EGF precursor on the cell surface.

Discussion

It is abundantly clear that the Ras/Raf/MEK/MAP kinase pathway plays an important role in the initiation of cellular proliferation, differentiation, and oncogenic transformation [Marshall 1995]. Cellular responses to these signaling proteins are thought to be manifested, at least in part, through modulation of gene expression. The availability of conditional forms of Raf kinases provides a unique opportunity to identify direct target genes of the Ras/Raf/MEK/MAP kinase cascade. Using ΔRaf-1:ER [Samuels et al. 1993] in combination with the sensitive technique of differential display PCR [Liang and Pardee 1992; Liang et al. 1993], we have identified HB-
EGF as a direct transcriptional target of oncogenic Raf kinases.

HB-EGF mRNA expression was induced rapidly by ∆Raf-1:ER and ∆B-Raf:ER in NIH-3T3 cells in the absence of de novo protein synthesis. Elevated HB-EGF mRNA expression resulted from increased gene transcription rather than increased mRNA stability, suggesting that elements in the promoter region of the HB-EGF gene may be directly targeted by the signaling machinery downstream of Raf kinases. Analysis of the Raf responsiveness of the HB-EGF promoter should provide novel insights into the regulation of gene expression by the Ras/Raf/MEK/MAP kinase cascade. Our results suggest that the mechanism of regulation of HB-EGF expression by Raf kinases may vary between cell types. Activation of HB-EGF mRNA expression in Rat1a cells was delayed relative to NIH-3T3 cells. Like NIH-3T3 cells, Rat1a cells undergo oncogenic transformation following activation of ∆Raf-1:ER. However, ∆Raf-1:ER-dependent transformation of Rat1a cells occurs in the absence of detectable MAP kinase activation (Samuels et al. 1993). It is therefore possible that a MAP kinase-independent signaling pathway that leads to stimulation of HB-EGF mRNA expression emanates from ∆Raf-1:ER in this cell type. Cell-type differences in ∆Raf-1:ER-stimulated HB-EGF mRNA expression have also been suggested by our studies of other cell lines. For example, despite robust activation of the MAP kinases in both the pro-B cell line, Ba/F3, and the macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 (Hambleton et al. 1995), HB-EGF mRNA expression is activated by ∆Raf-1:ER only in the latter cell type (S. McCarthy, J. Hambleton, T. Kinoshita, and N. Sato, unpubl.).

Increased expression of HB-EGF mRNA in response to ∆Raf-1:ER activation resulted in increased secretion of mature HB-EGF protein from cells. Although activation of ∆Raf-1:ER consistently resulted in an 8- to 10-fold induction of HB-EGF mRNA expression, only a 3- to 4-fold increase in secretion of mature HB-EGF protein was observed in conditioned media, suggesting that multiple mechanisms may serve to regulate HB-EGF protein secretion and re-uptake (Raab et al. 1994). Conditioned media from ∆Raf-1:ER or ∆B-Raf:ER-transformed cells elicited coordinate activation of the MAP kinases and JNKs when applied to naive NIH-3T3 cells. That HB-EGF was necessary for this activity was shown by depletion of the activity from conditioned media with heparin-Sepharose and neutralization of the activity with specific anti-HB-EGF antibodies, but not by preimmune control antiserum. Moreover, we demonstrated comparable MAP kinase activation in NIH-3T3 and HeLa cells by a concentration of recombinant human HB-EGF equivalent to that estimated to be present in the conditioned media of ∆Raf-1:ER-transformed cells. Thus, HB-EGF secretion appears necessary and sufficient for autocrine activation of the JNKs in Raf-transformed cells. In 3T3∆Raf-1:ER cells anti-HB-EGF antibodies blocked JNK activation but had no effect on MAP kinase activation. We interpret these data to indicate that ∆Raf-1:ER activates the JNKs via autocrine HB-EGF, which signals through the EGF receptor, whereas MAP kinase activity is maintained by the direct action of ∆Raf-1:ER on MEK. These data are in accord with recent data indicating a lack of direct cross talk between the MAP kinase and JNK pathways (Mindel et al. 1994a; Sanchez et al. 1994; Yan et al. 1994) and underscore the utility of conditional systems such as ∆Raf:ER fusion proteins in the dissection of temporal interplay between intracellular signaling pathways.

Elaboration of autocrine growth factors, including members of the EGF family, is widely recognized as a hallmark of the transformed state (DeLarco and Todaro 1978; Aaronson 1991). However, the mechanisms that lead to increased growth factor expression remain poorly characterized (Baserga 1994). To our knowledge the present study constitutes one of the clearest examples of direct transcriptional activation of growth factor expression by an oncogene. We have also shown that oncogenic Ras stimulates HB-EGF mRNA expression. Whether HB-EGF induction contributes to the oncogenic potential of Ras and Raf is unclear. Although several reports have implicated autocrine factors, including members of the EGF family, in oncogenic transformation (Stern et al. 1987; Ciardiello et al. 1988, 1990; Heidaran et al. 1990; Filmus et al. 1993, Brunet et al. 1994; Cowley et al. 1994) we have thus far been unable to demonstrate a role for HB-EGF secretion in transformation by ∆Raf-1:ER or H-Ras(V12). In addition to HB-EGF, however, we have also identified a number of other ∆Raf-1:ER-regulated genes. The concerted actions of these and other genes is likely to be required to elicit full oncogenic transformation.

We have also shown that induction of HB-EGF expression by Ras and Raf oncogenes predisposes cells to the cytotoxic action of DT, for which the transmembrane HB-EGF precursor acts as a cell-surface receptor (Naughton et al. 1992a, Iwamoto et al. 1994). Rat1 cells induced to express H-Ras(V12) were found to be at least 50 times more sensitive to killing by DT than control cells. This finding is in accord with several reports that document increased sensitivity of transformed cells to DT relative to their normal counterparts (Buzzi and Maistrello 1973; Iglewski and Rittenberg 1974; Iglewski et al. 1975; Taylor and Iglewski 1979). Cells expressing H-Ras(V12) were six times more sensitive to inhibition of protein synthesis by DT than control cells, and sensitivity was blocked by a competitive antagonist of DT binding to its receptor. Thus, increased receptor-dependent toxin uptake accounts, in part, for increased DT sensitivity of cells expressing oncogenic Ras. These studies provide a potential molecular mechanism for increased DT sensitivity in oncogene-transformed cells. Although it is unclear if HB-EGF is overexpressed in the transformed cell lines shown previously to be sensitive to DT, it should be noted that HB-EGF mRNA is frequently overexpressed in human pancreatic carcinoma, in which activating mutations in Ras are extremely prevalent (Bos 1989; Kobrin et al. 1994). HB-EGF has also been shown recently to be overexpressed in human hepatocellular carcinoma (Iinui et al. 1994). Our findings
may therefore be of direct relevance to certain human cancers.

Materials and methods

Materials

All radiochemicals were purchased from Amersham. DT, cycloheximide, actinomycin-D, and \( \beta \)-estradiol were purchased from Sigma. Human HB-EGF was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). CRM 197 was purchased from Berna Products Corp. (FL). ICI 164,384 was a gift of Dr. Alan Wakeling (Zeneca Pharmaceuticals, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK).

Cell culture

The generation of 3T3ARaf-1:ER, Rat1aARaf-1:ER, 3T3ARaf:ER, and 3T3AB-Raf:ER cell lines has been described elsewhere (Samuels et al. 1993; C.A. Pritchard, M.L. Samuels, E. Borsch, and M. McMahon, in prep.). 3T3:iRas and Rat1:iRas cells were a generous gift from Hiroshi Itoh and Yoshito Kaziro (Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan). Cell lines were maintained as described previously (Samuels et al. 1993; Samuels and McMahon 1994).

Differential display PCR

Total RNA was isolated from appropriately treated cells by use of RNaseasy columns from Qiagen. DNA contamination was removed from RNAs to be used in differential display PCR reactions by use of the Message Clean Kit (Genhunter, Brookline, MA). Differential display PCR reactions were performed by use of the RNAmap kit (Genhunter). PCR amplifications were performed by use of a Perkin-Elmer 9600 GeneAmp system. PCR products were cloned into the pCRII vector by use of the TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen) and sequenced with Sequenase \{Amersham\}.

RNase protection

RNase protection assays were performed as described previously (Ausubel et al. 1987, McCarthy and Bicknell 1992). The 14G1 riboprobe was prepared by transfection of a XhoI-linearized plasmid template, containing the 263-bp 14G1 insert, with SP6 RNA polymerase. The HB-EGF riboprobe was prepared by SP6 transcription of an EcoRV-linearized plasmid template, containing the 627-bp-coding region of the murine HB-EGF cDNA, which was obtained by PCR amplification from first-strand cDNA prepared from NIH-3T3 cells with the oligonucleotide primers 5′-ATGAAGCTGCTGCCGTCGGT-3′ and 5′-GGCTGGCTAGCTCCCACTGA-3′. Assays were quantitated with a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager.

Run-on transcription assays

3T3AB Raf:ER cells were made quiescent by overnight incubation in medium containing 0.5% fetal calf serum and treated with either 0.1% (vol/vol) ethanol as a solvent control or 1 \( \mu \)M ICI for 3 hr. Nuclei were isolated and runoff transcripts labeled by standard methods (Ausubel et al. 1987). Labeled transcripts were subsequently purified with RNase columns (Qiagen) and hybridized to filter-immobilized plasmid DNAs.

Preparation of conditioned media

Conditioned media were collected from confluent monolayers of 3T3ARaf-1:ER or 3T3AB-Raf:ER cells that were maintained for 36 hr in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 0.5% fetal calf serum (FCS), in the absence of presence of 1 \( \mu \)M ICI as appropriate. For heparin depletion, 100 \( \mu \)l of reconstituted heparin–Sepharose beads (Pharmacia) were added to 1.5 ml of conditioned media and the samples rotated overnight at 4°C. For antibody neutralizations, 1.5-ml samples of conditioned media were mixed with 100 \( \mu \)l of antibodies and incubated overnight at 4°C. The antibodies used were antiserum 197 (goat anti-human HB-EGF; 1 mg/ml), antiserum 19 (goat anti-rat HB-EGF; 45.2 mg/ml), or preimmune goat antiserum (31.3 mg/ml). Prior to application to naive cells media from control cells were supplemented with 1 \( \mu \)M ICI.

MAP kinase and JNK assays

p42 MAP kinase and JNK activities were measured as described previously (Samuels et al. 1993, Minden et al. 1994a, Samuels and McMahon 1994).

Metabolic labeling and two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Confluent monolayers of 3T3ARaf-1:ER cells were treated with either 0.1% (vol/vol) ethanol as a solvent control or 1 \( \mu \)M ICI for 1 hr, at which time the cells were pulsed with \(^{35}\)Smethionine/cysteine Translabel (0.5 mCi/ml) for 6 hr in methionine- and cysteine-free DMEM containing 10% dialyzed FCS. Labeled proteins were chased from the cells by incubation in serum-free DMEM for a further 19 hr. Samples of ethanol-precipitated material [50 \( \mu \)g] were subjected to two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (O’Farrell, 1975) by Kendrick Labs Inc. (Madison, WI).

Measurement of cellular protein synthesis

Protein synthesis was measured by uptake of \(^{3}H\)leucine. Confluent monolayers of Rat1:iRas cells were trypsinized and cells plated onto 96-well plates (~10,000 cells per well) in the absence or presence of 5 mM IPTG. After a further 24-hr incubation, cells were treated with serial dilutions of DT in the continued absence or presence of IPTG. Cells were incubated for an additional 14 hr, the medium removed and replaced with leucine-free DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with 5% FCS, and 8.5 \( \mu \)Ci/ml \(^{3}H\)leucine. After a 2-hr incubation incorporation of \(^{3}H\)leucine was measured by the harvesting of cells onto glass fiber filters with a Skatron 96-well cell harvester and counting in a Betaplate 1205 liquid scintillation counter.
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