Exertional Dyspnea Comparison in Four Maximal Training Exercises used in Pulmonary Rehabilitation: Continuous versus Bi-Level Responses in Time
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Abstract

**Objective:** a) To compare the exertional Dyspnea (ED) values at the maximum levels of four tests used in pulmonary rehabilitation, performed by ED subjects; b) to analyze the ED evolution in time during two training exercises: Constant, the CE 80% and Bi-level, the Square wave endurance exercise test (Sweet).

**Design:** Twenty-four non-medicated ED subjects, aged 33 to 60 years gave their informed consent. They performed, before any intervention, 4-maximal exercises (at 24 hrs interval): incremental (30W/3min), to determine ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) and peak work rate (PWR); constant CE 80%; Bi-level (SWEET-45 min alternating 4min-VAT and 1min-PWR); and the 6 min walking test (6MWT). ED was measured (Borg scale) at PWR; 14th-15th, 29th-30th, 44th-45th SWEET min, and 1st, 3rd, 5th, 10th and maximal minute in CE 80%.

**Results:** In the CE 80% nobody could maintain the 45 min while the SWEET was sustain 45 minutes by all subjects. The random effects model was used for ED evolution. The essential facts are: On CE 80%, ED is largely higher (p<0.001) and increased progressively until the go up. The ED variances per unit time on SWEET-Base, were not different, however it decreased at the SWEET-Peak (p<0.001).

**Conclusions:** 1) The Sweet-base is the lowest dyspnea level between the four maximal exercise-tests studied; 2) The Sweet-peak’s ED represents 69% of CE 80% and 67% of PWR ED; 3) The 6MWT’s ED is 95% of Sweet-peak’s ED and both represent respectively 65% and 69% of the PWR ED; 4) The CE 80% appears to be an exercise with exceedingly dyspnea as ED tends to increase progressively, while on the contrary, the Sweet-peak allows a significant decrease in ED per unit time (p<0.001).
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Introduction

Exercise training is an essential component of Pulmonary Rehabilitation [1] (PR), and endurance training is commonly used [1-3]. Physical aerobic training, particularly of the lower extremities is mandatory (level of evidence A) [3]. Generally, the endurance type of training applied during PR includes cycling exercises [2,3]. Programmers including relatively high-intensity endurance training have proved to be effective [1-3]. Three protocols are usually proposed for training patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [1] and/or with exertional dyspnea (ED) [4-6]. The first is a sustained prolonged constant exercise (CE 80%) for 45 minutes at 80% of the peak work rate (PWR) [7-9]. The second protocol is Interval Training (IT) which involves a certain number of very intense exercises of short duration (15, 30 seconds), alternating with corresponding short intervals of rest [10-12]. The third is a Bi-level test alternating repeated periods of high intensity exercise following a prolonged interval of aerobic
exercise [13-17]. Early studies on healthy subjects showed that, during interval or bi-level training, more work can be performed before exhaustion, during the full session without stop, with better subjective tolerance [10-12, 17]. Moreover, healthy subjects [10] and pulmonary patients [11] were able to complete a significantly greater total amount of work intermittently than during continuous exercise, suggesting that IT may be superior to continuous exercise as a mode of training for patients with COPD [11, 12]. Therefore, there is a theoretical reason to continue research into tools that increase the exercise intensity patients can tolerate, because there may be cases in which the workload is excessive or unsafe for the muscles, heart or pulmonary circulation, even if they are strengthened [3]. Since CE uses a work level substantially above the ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT) [7-9]. It generates greatly progressively uncompensated metabolic acidosis [18], high ED, and overbreathing [7-9], which increase the respiratory drive [19] and promote more ED [7-9, 18]. Also, during five consecutive one-minute bouts followed by a four-minute rest, the pH decreased progressively and drastically (about 7.20 or less) in a group of healthy subjects [1, 20, 21]. Accordingly, more information should be obtained about the homeostasis and particularly ED, during and after these such training sessions. Also, there is little information about the evolution of ED during a full training session [8]. We propose the Square Wave Endurance Exercise Test (SWEET), a 45-min bi-level and "tailored" ergospirometric (aerobic-anaerobic) training programme [13] involving nine peaks of high intensity of baseline PWR, with appropriate homeostasis [15, 21], for subjects with ED and normal spirometry [4, 5].

The aim of the study is twofold: a) to compare the ED values at the maximum levels of four tests used in current practice in pulmonary rehabilitation, performed by the same subjects with ED symptom; b) to analyze the ED evolution in time during two 45 min training exercises frequently used: the CE 80% and the SWEET.

Methods

Subjects

Twenty-four adult, non-medicated male smokers, aged 33 to 60 years, were referred from outpatient clinics for ED [4, 5]. They answered the ECSC questionnaire [22] (the European Community for Coal and Steel) on respiratory symptoms. to ensure they complied with the inclusion criteria, clinical stability, suitable spirography [4, 5]; chest, diaphragm, pulmonary radiological examinations, and electrocardiogram were normal, and they don't have history of exercise-induced asthma [4, 5]. Subjects were excluded if they had any chronic cardiopulmonary, neuromuscular, or orthopedics conditions interfering with exercise including over 12% increase in forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV1) by using bronchodilators. Informed consent was obtained from all patients after they were made fully aware of all the tests they would undergo. All the study procedures were conducted according to the recommendations outlined in the Helsinki Declaration, and were applied before any therapeutic protocol. None of the subjects had a medication regimen, nor take medication during the protocol, but all were smokers.

All subjects performed four maximal exercises: The first was incremental (30W/3 min) [23] to determine PWR and ventilatory anaerobic threshold (VAT), the variables necessary to establish the two following 45-min exercises: CE 80% and the SWEET. The last two tests were randomized. The four is the six minute walking test (6MWT).

Incremental Exercise

We used [18, 21, 23] the incremental test of 30W/3 min on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (model 1000S, Medifit Inc Maarn, The Netherlands) with a first step of 30w/3min. The peak work rate tolerated by each patient was determined during the full last three minutes, before volitional exhaustion, and the VAT was determined as previously described [16, 23]. Several lung functional parameters (LFP) were studied: The value used for VO2 peak (Jaeger, Oxycon Champion, Germany) corresponded to the highest value achieved over the last 30-s collection period [16, 23]; ventilatory parameters were described by ventilation (V′A), tidal volume (V′T), and breathing frequency (fB), and respiratory exchanges by oxygen uptake (VO2) and CO2 output (VCO2) [16, 23]; The electrocardiogram and cardiac frequency (fC) were monitored during the last 30 s of each step.

Maximal Constant Power at 80% PWR (CE 80%)

After de PWR and VO2 peak were determined, the subjects performed CE 80%, at 60 revolutions per minute (rpm) until exhaustion on a cycle ergometer (see above) [23] Percentage peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO2%), and fC were monitored by a pulse-oxymeter (Biox Pulse Oximeter: Ohmeda, Louisville, CO, USA).

Square Wave Endurance Exercise Test (SWEET)

Preliminary measurements of PWR and VAT, in the incremental test described above, are required: These two individual parameters are essential and require using the 30W/3min test to establish SWEET levels [16, 22, 23]. This option is largely described and discussed in reference [23]. The Base, (4 min aerobic exercise at VAT) expressed as a percentage of PWR, usually varies between 40% and 55% in untrained healthy subjects [16, 22] and most COPD patients [16], and the 1-min Peak ("lactic and alactic anaerobiosis exercise") is chosen from PWR [16, 21, 23]. Maximum intensity of endurance during 45 min (MIE45), or the highest percentage Base/Peak that can be performed in 45 min, is defined by fC at the 45th min of the test, which should correspond to (220-age), or the maximal fc observed at the PWR, and by the inability to continue for 45 min when the base is increased by +5% of the PWR [13-16, 26]. It is expressed as total mechanical work (TMW) in kJ/kg of body weight and represents endurance capacity [13, 16, 25]. It is determined by the total of the exercise wattage product (1 watt = 1J/sec/sec) of the Base exercise phase multiplied by 36min x 60 = 2160s of the 9 Bases of the exercise phases of 4 min per session, and added to the wattage of the Peak exercise phase multiplied by 9 min x 60 s = 540 s of the 9 peaks of the exercise phases of 1 min per session of 45-min SWEET; thus, TMW = [(PWR x 2160) + (VAT x 540)]/weight (kg)/1000 (J)= kJ/kg [13, 16, 21, 26].

Trying to reproduce the Sourcetraining, certain physiologists groups, attempt to use the PWR from the incremental 10W/min, and found that Sweet is not feasible, because too exhaustive. Of course, this is normal because the PWR 10W/min and 30W/3min are significantly different, the first get the highest value of PWR,
although VO₂max is the same in both tests [16, 22, 23]. Once again, to get a comfortable SWEET during 45 minutes, the PWR should be measured exclusively with the 30W/3min test.

**The six minute walking test (6MWT)**

Patients walk in a long corridor of the hospital, which distance is marked every five meters and the total length is known, under the supervision of a physiotherapist who dials the number of return flight and monitors the patient until the end.

**Evaluation of exertional dyspnoea during various exercise tests**

The subjects were familiarized with the evaluation procedure before the tests. Dyspnoea was defined as a sensation of uncomfortably restricted breathing, and the subjects were warned that all other sensations (nose clip, mouthpiece, uncomfortable seat, and perspiration) should not be taken into account. The degree of dyspnoea was subjectively estimated by all the subjects, before any interventions, with the Borg scale [24] modified from its original form to a 10-point scale, with verbal expressions of severity anchored to specific numbers, using descriptive categories. Exertional Dyspnoea was measured at the end of each step in the incremental exercise, up to the PWR; at the 1st, 3rd, 5th, 10th and last minutes of the CE 80%; and at the 14th-15th, 29th-30th and 44th-45th minutes of the SWEET Base and Peak, respectively.

**Statistical Analysis**

Exertional dyspnea evaluated in different experimental conditions was summarized as medians and interquartile range (IQR = 25th - 75th percentile) and their distributions plotted by means of the box plots. For the Constant exercise (CE 80%), we denote by Di,t the exertional Dyspnea values corresponding to ith subject observed at the time t. The graphical exploration suggests the next mixed model effects: $ED_{i,t} = \frac{t}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1t} + b_i + e_{i,t}$

being the observation times 1, 3, 5, 10 and 20 minutes. For the SWEET, the trends (base and peak) were clearly linear and thus the mixed model effects proposed has the form: $ED_{i,t,k} = \theta + \alpha \cdot k + \beta \cdot t + \gamma \cdot k \cdot t + b_i + e_{i,t,k}$

being now the observation times respectively for the Base and Peak 14, 15; 29, 30; and 44, 45 minutes. Here, $ED_{i,t,k}$ also denote the exertional Dyspnea corresponding to ith subject, at the time t and aerobic (k=0) or anaerobic (k=1) conditions. For both models, $b_i$ denotes the random effect corresponding to ith subject and $e_{i,t}$ or $e_{i,t,k}$ the intrasubject variability. Both models were fitted using the methodology of Laird and Ware [25] and the library NLME corresponding to statistical package R 2.7.2.

**Results**

In the CE 80% group all the patients go up until approximately the 20th min when they stopped exercise volitionally. Nobody could maintain the 45 min of the test. The individual ED evolution increases progressively until the end in 22 over 24 subjects. On the contrary, the MIE45 was maintained non-stop for 45 minutes by all subjects in the SWEET group. However, nobody could maintain for 45 min when the Base was increased by +5 % of the PWR and stopped exercise between the 25th and 35th minute. Figure 1 shows the box-plots for exertional Dyspnea (Borg scale) in different experimental conditions. ED is significantly higher in the PWR and CE 80% than in the SWEET Peak (see Table 1). The one-minute SWEET Peak’s ED is slightly higher than in the 6MWT’s ED. The SWEET Base presents the lowest values of ED.

Figure 1 shows the comparison of ED in four maximum tests commonly used in respiratory rehabilitation. The Box-plots for exertional Dyspnea represents the medians and Inter Quartiles (range 25% percentile 75% percentile) rather than averages and standard deviations, because the distribution of values of ED is not normally distributed. ED is significantly higher in the PWR and CE 80% than in the SWEET Peak (see Table 1). The one-minute SWEET Peak’s ED is slightly higher than in the 6MWT’s ED. The SWEET Base presents the lowest values of ED.
The essential findings for both models are summarized in Table 2. Figure 2 shows the data and the corresponding adjustment of the estimated model. A significant increase in ED with time is observed during CE 80%. The individual ED evolution increases progressively until the end in 22 over 24 subjects. Inversely, during the Sweet the variance of ED per unit time at Base is b = 0.00051, but they do not show clear statistical significance (p = 0.0658). On the other hand, a significant decrease in ED per unit time is observed at the Peak (p <0.001, Figure 3). The ED in the SWEET-Base and in the SWEET Peak was always significantly lower than in the CE 80% and PWR. While the Peak ED is significantly higher than the 6MWT the ED difference is small (Figure 1).

### Discussion

The ED at the end of CE 80% and of the PWR present the highest values of ED, positioned in close proximity to the top of the Borg’s scale. On the contrary, the ED at the 45th min of the Sweet-peak is only 55% of the ED of these maximal exercises. Moreover, no significant differences in ED were observed in the three successive 15 minute in the SWEET-Base, was expected because corresponded to the VAT and confirms, as previously described, subjectively good recovery after the peak [13-16, 21, 26]. However, the progressive decrease in ED at the peaks was unexpected. Also, the ED pattern during the SWEET, contrasts with that of CE 80% which presents an inverse evolution.

Previous studies have shown that it is very hard to work at 80% of the peak work rate for 45 minute. The maximal supported power (MSP), determined by 20-watt steps over 20 minute, which VO2 represents ≈80% of the VO2 max or PWR, is defined by ventilatory, cardiorespiratory, and metabolic criteria [18, 23, 27]. It is performed on anaerobiosis [18] and it can also be defined by the fact that the MSP+20W cannot be maintained for 20 min [23, 26, 27]. In practice, patients usually have to give up this exhausting test at roughly the 10th minute. Both tests are performed in anaerobiosis, the last (PMS+20W) makes it possible to measure the VO2 max [18, 23]. In addition, the changes in arterial [H+] and lactate concentrations during the full 20-minute period of the MSP and 10 minutes after recovery was studied in nine healthy subjects [18, 26]. Both parameters were very high at the 10th minute and increased even more so at the 20th minute; as well as significantly higher values (p<0.01) of non-compensated severe metabolic acidosis were determined at the end of the exercise, indicating heavy anaerobic exercise. This can also be appreciated by comparing values obtained in the same subjects during 20 min with less intense exercise levels (∼41-50% and 64-75%) of PWR [18]. In both cases, [H+] and lactate concentrations increased moderately at the 10th minute and the values were significantly reduced at the 20th minute, indicating aerobic exercise. In addition, in two COPD patients, one with more than 40 liter/min of maximal ventilation and the other with less than this, the evolution of ventilation and VO2 during CE 80% was also described [28]. In the first case, V˙E progressively increased, as in healthy subjects, due to the effects of anaerobiosis up to the end of the test at the 9th minute [28]. The second, in spite of the subject’s excellent cooperation, and because of the marked airflow obstruction, V˙E get the plateau after the 6th minute of exercise and also stopped at the 9th minute. These results probably explain why the 24 patients with ED in this study could not complete the 45 minutes of CE 80%. Neder et al., [29] showed, and confirmed, that the highest level of continuous exercise that could be sustained for a relatively long period of time (20 minutes) was equivalent to 82% of PWR. Thus, the application of 80% of PWR in pulmonary rehabilitation [7-9, 11, 12, 17] presents serious difficulties because the elevated ED for patients with moderate or severe COPD.

Unlike the CE 80% test, some previously published data on SWEET results can explain the acceptable trend of the ED pattern observed in this study. First, SWEET levels are tailored, and thus can be performed, even at the beginning of the programme, in one 45 min complete session [13-16, 21, 26]. The SWEET below the MIE45 (<5% of the ratio Base/Peak) is considered easy, while exercise over MIE45 (+5%) is considered difficult. In the easy exercise, fC was submaximal, while in the latter, fC was maximal before the subject stopped the SWEET (usually from the 25th to 35th min) [13, 16, 20, 23, 26]. Second, the SWEET Peak is the first minute of a maximal constant exercise; it represents up to 60% of the maximum VO2 observed [13, 21, 26]. The 1-min peak trains the anabolic (first 15''), and the lactic (the following 45'') anaerobiosis phases, triggering hyperventilation at the origin of the observed ventilatory alkalosis [13, 16, 21, 26], that corrects, at least partially, the metabolic acidosis [15, 21, 26]. Thus, it is a good level for training, but is not sufficiently longer to increase ED. Moreover, during the Base or aerobic phase, the active working muscles transform the excess of lactate, produced during the Peak, into glucose by glycogenogenesis [15, 21, 26]. These two mechanisms explained the accurate homeostasis (arterial blood pH in the normal range) maintained during the whole SWEET in healthy subjects [15, 21, 26] and in COPD patients [16, 26]. This is in agreement with the study by Hermansen et al., [20] showing that, during active recovery after a maximal exercise test, the excess of lactate was metabolized more quickly during active rather than passive recovery [20]. Third, the high serum concentration of adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) ob-

### Table 1. Exertional Dyspnea in Different Experimental Conditions.

| Experimental Conditions | Median (IQR) |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| PWR (Incremental*)      | 8.15 (7.85; 8.35) |
| CE 80% PWR              | 8.40 (8.15; 8.60) |
| SWEET Base 44 min       | 4.10 (3.85; 4.20) |
| SWEET Peak 45 min       | 5.60 (5.45; 5.90) |
| 6MWT                    | 5.30 (5.05; 5.40) |

Values are Medians and interquartile range (IQRs). *= Incremental test (30W/3 min); CE 80% PWR= Constant exercise at 80% of peak work rate; 6MWT= Six minute walking test. Sweet: Square wave endurance exercise test during 45min.
Table 2. Estimation of Fixed Effects for Both Models.

| Model       | Fixed effects | Estimate | SE     | P       |
|-------------|---------------|----------|--------|---------|
| CE 80% PWR  | $\alpha_0$   | 0.0611   | 0.0030 | < .001  |
|             | $\alpha_1$   | 0.1208   | 0.0015 | < .001  |
| SWEET       | $\theta$     | 3.839    | 0.0920 | < .001  |
|             | $x$          | 3.001    | 0.1270 | < .001  |
|             | $\beta$      | 0.0051   | 0.0027 | .066    |
|             | $\gamma$     | -0.0310  | 0.0039 | < .001  |

Here, $ED_{i,t,k}$ also denote the exertional Dyspnea corresponding to $i^{th}$ subject, at the time $t$ and aerobic ($k = 0$) or anaerobic ($k = 1$) conditions. For both models, $b_i$ denotes the random effect corresponding to $i^{th}$ subject and $e_{i,t}$ or $e_{i,t,k}$ the intrasubject variability. Both models were fitted using the methodology of Laird and Ware [25] and the library NLME corresponding to statistical package.
information about homeostasis, both during and after CE and IT of such training sessions, should be further investigated to ensure pulmonary patients’ safety.

The 6MWT, usually considered as moderate, is energetically higher than VAT and represents roughly 80% or either 100% of the VO2 peak, in healthy subjects, and COPD patients [34]. In the subjects of the present study; with average MTP of 150 W, the 6MWT ED represents 65% of the PWR ED but 95% of the Sweet peak ED. Thus the 6MWT is a hard test.

Conclusion

1) The Sweet base is the lowest dyspnea level between the four maximal exercise tests studied; 2) Established at the PWR, the 1-min Sweet peak’s exertional Dyspnea represents about 55% of the corresponding ED at the end of both CE 80% and the PWR of the incremental test. Thus, Sweet is a maximal 45 min, with much less ED; 3) The Sweet peak’s ED is slightly greater than the 6MWT’s ED and both represent respectively 68 and 65% of the PWR ED; 4) The CE 80% appears to be an exercise with exceedingly dyspnea as ED tends to increase progressively until the end of the test, while on the contrary, the Sweet peak allows a significant decrease in ED per unit time.
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