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Abstract A systematic analysis of the junction condition, relating the radial pressure with the heat flow in a shear-free relativistic radiating star, is undertaken. This is a highly nonlinear partial differential equation in general. We obtain the Lie point symmetries that leave the boundary condition invariant. Using a linear combination of the symmetries, we transform the junction condition into ordinary differential equations. We present several new exact solutions to the junction condition. In each case we can identify the exact solution with a Lie point generator. Some of the solutions obtained satisfy the linear barotropic equation of state. As a special case we regain the conformally flat models which were found previously. Our analysis highlights the interplay between Lie algebras, nonlinear differential equations and application to relativistic astrophysics.

1 Introduction

Radiating stars in a general relativistic context have been widely studied because of their importance in astrophysics. The detailed physics of such models have been investigated by Herrera et al. [1] with dissipation, by Di Prisco et al. [2] with charge, and Herrera et al. [3] with viscous dissipation in casual thermodynamics in the streaming and diffusion approximations. Particular models have been recently studied by Chan et al. [4] for an imperfect nonadiabatic distribution, by Pinheiro and Chan [5] with charge, and Pinheiro and Chan [6] for a collapsing body with an initial inhomogeneous density. Herrera and Santos [7] introduced the concept of Euclidean stars in general relativity by requiring that the areal radius and proper radius are equal. Particular solutions satisfying this condition were generated by Govender et al. [8] and Govinder and Govender [9]. Abebe et al. [10] showed that generalized Euclidean stars may be generated with an equation of state. An interesting feature of their approach is the application of Lie symmetries to produce models which are expanding, accelerating and shearing. This indicates that the Lie symmetry approach is helpful in solving the boundary condition, and highlights its role in astrophysical applications. We point out that in recent times Lie symmetry generators have been particularly useful in generating models in spherically symmetric gravitational fields. Msomi et al. [11, 12] have considered applications with heat flow and generated new classes of exact solutions. Kweyama et al. [13, 14] analysed Noether and Lie symmetries for charged relativistic fluids and were able to solve the field equations exactly.

The shear-free assumption in stellar models is often made in the study of relativistic radiating stars. Kolassis et al. [15] obtained the first exact solution with dissipation effects, satisfying the boundary conditions, for a shear-free radiating star in geodesic motion. Thirukkanesh and Maharaj [16] generated exact solutions for the geodesic model by transforming the junction condition into Bernoulli, Riccati, and confluent hypergeometric equations. This model was extended by Govender and Thirukkanesh [17] to include a nonzero cosmological constant. Note that in these treatments fluid particles travel along geodesics and the Friedmann dust solution in the absence of heat flow was regained in all cases. An important point here is that these treatments were performed under the condition of pressure isotropy and anisotropic pressures are absent. Ivanov [18] considered a general shear-free perfect fluid with heat flow that contains conformal flatness and geodesic models as a special case. A conformally flat relativistic model with dissipation and inhomogeneity was first proposed Herrera et al. [19]. This was integrated by Maharaj and Govender [20] and Herrera et al. [21] to obtained classes of exact solutions. Another class of conformally flat solutions was generated by Mistry et al. [22] by transforming the junction condition equation to an Abel equation. A further conformal flat radiating model, generated by a Lie symmetry
was obtained by Abebe et al. [23]. Other models of shear-free radiating stars have been constructed by Tewari [24, 25], Pant et al. [26] and Pant and Tewari [27] which describe massive radiating fluid spheres and generated horizon-free collapse.

The shear-free assumption is utilized often in the analysis of self-gravitating spheres and when investigating gravitational collapse. This is largely due to the resulting simplification of the field equations. In addition, shear-free and the homogeneous expansion rate conditions are equivalent to the homology conditions in the Newtonian limit. Therefore the shear-free condition is well justified. However we need to make the observation that the shear-free condition may be unstable in the presence of anisotropic pressures and dissipative fluxes. The conditions under which an initially shear-free unstable in the presence of anisotropic pressures and dissipation to make the observation that the shear-free condition may be the shear-free condition is well justified. However we need the absence of shear produces fundamentally a different set of field equations to be integrated. The Lie generators are the homology conditions in the Newtonian limit. Therefore specific Lie symmetry generators. In Sect. 7 we show that some of our solutions satisfy a linear barotropic equation of state. We make concluding remarks, discuss the causal heat transport equation and summarize our solutions in tabular form in Sect. 8.

2 The model

We consider the particular case of spherically symmetric, shear-free radiating stellar models. The line element for the interior for the spacetimes is given by

\[ ds^2 = -A^2 dr^2 + B^2 \left[ dr^2 + r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) \right], \]  

(1)

where \( A \) and \( B \) are metric functions of \( t \) and \( r \). The acceleration and and expansion are nonzero but the fluid is shear-free.

The energy momentum tensor has the form

\[ T_{ab} = (\mu + p_a) u_a u_b + \rho \mathbf{g}_{ab} + (p_a - \rho) \chi_a \chi_b \]

+ \( q_a u_b + q_b u_a \),

(2)

with heat flux and anisotropic stress. The fluid four-velocity \( u^a = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}} \delta^a_0 \) is comoving, \( \chi^a \) is an unit four-vector along the radial direction \( (u_a \chi^a = 0) \), and the heat flow vector \( q^a = (0, q, 0, 0) \) is radially directed \( (u_a q^a = 0) \). The Einstein field equations for the heat conducting spherically symmetric anisotropic fluid (2) become

\[
\mu = \frac{3}{A^2} B_t^2 - \frac{1}{B^2} \left( \frac{2 B_{tt}}{B} - \frac{B_t^2}{B^2} + 4 B_r B_t \right), \\
p_{||} = \frac{1}{A^2} \left( -2 B_{tt} + 2 B_t + 2 A r B_t \right) + \frac{1}{B^2} \left( \frac{B_t^2}{B^2} + 2 A r B_t + 2 A r - 2 B_r B_t \right), \\
p_{\perp} = -\frac{2}{A^2} B_{tt} + 2 A r B_t - \frac{1}{A^2} B_r - \frac{1}{r} \frac{A}{B} B_r \\
+ \frac{1}{r B^3} + \frac{A r B_t}{B} - \frac{1}{A^2} B^2, \\
q = -\frac{2}{A B^2} \left( -2 B_{tt} + B_t + A r B_t \right) + \frac{A r B_t}{B} + \frac{A}{A B}, \]

(3a)

(3b)

(3c)

(3d)

for the line element (1). The Eq. (3) describe the gravitational interactions in the interior of a shear-free spherically symmetric star with heat flux and anisotropic pressures.

The boundary of a radiating star divides the spacetime into interior and exterior regions. The interior spacetime (1) has to match across the boundary of the star to the Vaidya spacetime

\[ ds^2 = -\left( 1 - \frac{2m(v)}{R} \right) dv^2 - 2dv dR \]

+ \( R^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) \),

(4)

which is the exterior. Here the quantity \( m(v) \) denotes the mass of the star as measured by an observer at infinity. Matching leads to the junction conditions

\[ A \mathcal{G} = \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{2m(v)}{\mathcal{R}} \right) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}} \frac{d\mathcal{R}}{dv} \right] \mathcal{R} \frac{1}{2} \right] dv \]

(5a)

\[ (r B)_{\Sigma} = \mathcal{R}_{\Sigma}, \]

(5b)

\[ m(v) = \frac{r^3}{2} \left( \frac{B B_t^2}{A^2} - \frac{B_t^2}{B} \right) - r^2 B_t \]

(5c)

\[ (p_{||})_{\Sigma} = (B q)_{\Sigma}, \]

(5d)
where the hypersurface $\Sigma$ defines the boundary of the radiating sphere. The particular junction condition (5d) should be solved, together with the field Eq. (3), to obtain the potentials $A$ and $B$. This completes the model of a relativistic radiating star. The junction condition is a nonlinear differential equation

$$2 \frac{B_{tt}}{AB^2} + 2 \frac{B_{tt}}{A^2 B} - 2 \frac{A_t B_t}{A^3 B} - \frac{B_t B_t}{AB^3} - 2 \frac{A_t B_t}{AB} - 2 \frac{A_t B_t}{AB} - 2 \frac{B_t B_t}{AB} = 0,$$

(6)

valid at the boundary of shear-free radiating star. Equation (6) is the master equation that governs the evolution of the model.

3 The master equation

We can use the Lie analysis of differential equations to find a solution to the master equation (6). In a general relativistic context, the Lie analysis has proved useful in cosmological settings as shown by Msomi et al. [11,12], Kweyama et al. [13,14] and Nyonyi et al. [29,30], and in astrophysical applications as illustrated in the treatments by Abebe et al. [10,31]. The general approach is described in these treatments and we will not repeat details here. Essentially we have to find infinitesimal Lie point generators that allow us to reduce a partial differential equation to ordinary differential equations. This is made possible by the existence of invariants associated with the Lie point symmetries of the partial differential equation Eq. (6).

The process has been adapted over time and is now algorithmic, and so can be implemented by different computer software packages. Utilizing the program PROGRAM LIE [32], we find that (6) admits the following Lie point symmetries:

$$G_1 = -Af'(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + f(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

(7a)

$$G_2 = A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial B},$$

(7b)

$$G_3 = A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

(7c)

where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. These symmetries generate invariants that can be used to reduce the partial differential equation (6) into ordinary differential equations for further analysis. Using the symmetries in (7) in turn, or taking any linear combination, may be helpful in reducing the master equation into ordinary differential equations. Since the symmetries do not have a nonzero Lie bracket relationship we do not consider the optimal system in this paper. As all combinations of these symmetries can be contained in a general linear combination, we take

$$aG_1 + bG_2 + cG_3 = \left[ c + b - af'(t) \right] A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + bB \frac{\partial}{\partial B} + af(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + cr \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

(8)

where $a, b, c$ are arbitrary constants. This combination gives the invariants

$$x = \frac{e^{\int f'(t) dt}}{r^{1/c}},$$

(9a)

$$A = \frac{h(x)}{f(t)} \exp \left( \int \frac{c}{af(t)} dt + \int \frac{b}{af(t)} dr \right),$$

(9b)

$$B = g(x)r^{b/c},$$

(9c)

where $a \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. The quantities $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are arbitrary functions associated with the Lie symmetry generators and arise from integration.

Using the invariants (9) we can write (6) in the form

$$aG_1 + bG_2 + cG_3 = \left[ c + b - af'(t) \right] A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + bB \frac{\partial}{\partial B} + af(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + cr \frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

(8)

where $a, b, c$ are arbitrary constants. This combination gives the invariants

$$x = \frac{e^{\int f'(t) dt}}{r^{1/c}},$$

(9a)

$$A = \frac{h(x)}{f(t)} \exp \left( \int \frac{c}{af(t)} dt + \int \frac{b}{af(t)} dr \right),$$

(9b)

$$B = g(x)r^{b/c},$$

(9c)

where $a \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. The quantities $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are arbitrary functions associated with the Lie symmetry generators and arise from integration.

Using the invariants (9) we can write (6) in the form

$$\left[ 2a^2 g^2 x^{2b+2c+1} \left( (b+c) g - xg' \right) \right] h^2 + 2acx^{b+c+2} g^2 h' h - 2c^2 x^2 g^3 g' h' + \left[ 2c^2 x^2 g^2 \left( (b+c-1)g' - x g'' \right) \right] h$$

$$- 2acx^{b+c+1} \left( (b+c) g' - x g'' \right) \right] h^2 + \left[ a^2 x^{2b+c} \left( (b+2c) g - x g' \right) \right] h^3 = 0,$$

(10)

where primes denote differentiation with respect to the new variable $x$. Note that the partial differential equation (6) has been reduced to the ordinary differential equation (10).

Equation (10) is difficult to solve in general. To demonstrate an exact solution it is necessary to make assumptions on the parameters and arbitrary functions.

To progress we make the assumption

$$g(x) = kh(x).$$

(11)

Then Eq. (10) reduces to the form

$$2ckx^2 \left[ c k - ax^{b+c} \right] h''$$

$$- x^2 \left[ 3a^2 x^{2(b+c)} - 4acx^{b+c} + c^2 k^2 \right] h^2$$

$$- 2x \left[ c^2 k^2 - 2a^2 bx^{2(b+c)} - acx^{b+c} \left( 2ax^{b+c} - k \right) \right] h^2$$

$$+ (b-1)c^2 k^2 \right] h' - a^2 b[b + 2c]x^{2(b+c)} h^2 = 0.$$

(12)

Equation (12) is a nonlinear second order differential equation. We can reduce (12) to first order if we let

$$y = \frac{h'}{h}.$$
Then the transformation (13) enables us to write (12) in the form

\[ y' + \left( \frac{3ax^{b+c}}{2ck} + \frac{1}{2} \right) y^2 + \left[ 2ax^b(2b+c) + acx^{b+c} \right] y' = \left( \frac{ckx}{ck - ax^{b+c}} \right)^{-1} y \]

\[ + \frac{a^2b(b+2c)x^{2(b+c-1)}}{2ck(ax^{b+c} - ck)} = 0. \quad \text{(14)} \]

Observe that (14) is a Riccati equation in the variable \( y \).

Riccati equations can be transformed to second order linear equations. We let

\[ u(x) = \exp \left[ \int x \left( \frac{3ax^{b+c}}{2ck} + \frac{1}{2} \right) y(x) dx \right]. \quad \text{(15)} \]

Note that in Eq. (15) the term \( \left( \frac{3ax^{b+c}}{2ck} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \) is the coefficient of the quantity \( y^2 \) in (14). Using (15) Eq. (14) is transformed to

\[ u'' + y(x)u' + \xi(x)u = 0, \quad \text{(16)} \]

where

\[ y(x) = \left[ 6a^3bx^{3(b+c)} + a^2cx^{2(b+c)} \left( 6ax^{b+c} + (5b - 3)k \right) \right. \]

\[ - c^3k^2 \left( 6ax^{b+c} + (b - 1)k \right) \]

\[ + ac^2x^{b+c} \left( 5ax^{b+c} + (2 - 6b)k \right) - c^4k^3 \]

\[ \left. \times \left[ cker \left( -3a^2x^{2(b+c)} + 2ackx^{b+c} + c^2k^2 \right) \right]^{-1} \right], \quad \text{(17a)} \]

\[ \xi(x) = \frac{a^2b(b+2c)x^{2(b+c-1)}}{4c^2k^2(ax^{b+c}-ck)}. \quad \text{(17b)} \]

Therefore we have the remarkable feature that the second order nonlinear equation (12) has been transformed to the linear equation (16) via the transformations (13) and (15).

Particular choices of the constants \( a, b, \) and \( c \) allow us to integrate (10), (12) or (16) and obtain potentials for the gravitational field. There are several classes that arise which we consider in turn.

4 Class I

In this class we make the restrictions that \( a \neq 0 \) and \( c \neq 0 \). These conditions arise so that the invariants (9) exist.
and $\bar{n}$ is a new constant. For this special case the explicit
dependence on the variable $x$ is fully specified; there is free-
don only in the variable $t$.

4.2 Case I(b): $b = -c$

If we set $b = -c$ then Eq. (16) becomes
\[
x^2 u'' + xu' + \frac{a^2(3a + ck)}{4k^2(ck - a)} u = 0, \tag{23}
\]
which is a simpler form. It is interesting to note that this case
produces the Euler equation (23). We can integrate (23) to obtain
\[
u(x) = \tilde{c}_1 \cosh \left( \frac{a \sqrt{3a + ck}}{2k\sqrt{a - ck}} \log(x) \right) \\
+ \tilde{c}_2 \sinh \left( \frac{a \sqrt{3a + ck}}{2k\sqrt{a - ck}} \log(x) \right), \tag{24}
\]
where $\tilde{c}_1$ and $\tilde{c}_2$ are arbitrary constants of integration. Then
from (15) we obtain
\[
y(x) = \frac{ac\sqrt{3a + ck}}{\sqrt{a - ck}} \left[ \frac{a\sqrt{\frac{ck + x}{ck - x}}}{c_1 x \sqrt{\frac{ck - x}{ck + x}}} - c_2 \right] \\
\times \left( \frac{a\sqrt{\frac{ck + x}{ck - x}}}{c_1 x \sqrt{\frac{ck - x}{ck + x}}} + c_2 \right), \tag{25}
\]
where $c_1 = \tilde{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2$ and $c_2 = \tilde{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_2$.

Hence we have the potentials
\[
A = \frac{1}{f(t)} \left[ m \left[ r^{-1/c} \exp \left( \int t^1 \frac{dt}{af(t)} \right) \right]^{a\sqrt{\frac{ck + x}{ck - x}}}ight]
\times \left[ r^{-1/c} \exp \left( \int t^1 \frac{dt}{af(t)} \right) \right]^{\frac{2ck}{\sqrt{ck - x}}}, \tag{26a}
\]
\[
B = k \frac{f(t)}{r} A, \tag{26b}
\]
where $m = c_1 c_3^{\frac{ck + x}{ck - x}}$ and $n = c_2 c_3^{\frac{ck + x}{ck - x}}$ are constants. This is
another new solution to the master equation.

4.3 Subclass I(c): $b = -c, k = -3a/c$

Note that in (26), $k \neq -3a/c$. With the values $b = -c$ and
$k = -3a/c$ the transformation (15) leads to an inconsistency.
This means that we have to integrate (12) or (14) for this case.
If we set $k = -3a/c$ and $b = -c$, then Eq. (12) becomes
\[
24x^2h'' - 24x^2h'^2 + 24xhh' + c^2h^2 = 0, \tag{27}
\]
which is greatly simplified. Now (27) can be integrated to give
\[
h(x) = \frac{nx^m}{\exp \left( \frac{c^2}{48} \log^2(x) \right)}, \tag{28}
\]
where $m$ and $n$ are constants of integration.
Hence we get the metric functions
\[
A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \left[ r^{-1/c} \exp \left( \int t^1 \frac{dt}{af(t)} \right) \right]^m, \tag{29a}
\]
\[
B = -\frac{3a}{c} f(t) A. \tag{29b}
\]
This is also another new solution to the master equation (6).

4.4 Case I(d): $b = -2c$

If we set $b = -2c$ then the coefficient of the linear term in $u$
in (16) disappears as in Case I(a). Then we obtain
\[
u'' - \left[ \left( \frac{c}{c^2 + 6ax - c} \right)^{\frac{1}{c}} \left( 6a^2 + 3c^2k x^c - 2ac(3c + 1)k^2x^{2c}
\right.
\right.
\]
\[
\left. - c^2(c + 1)k^3 x^{3c} \right] \left[ k \left( 3a^2 - 2ackx^c
\right.
\right.
\]
\[
\left. - c^2k^2x^{2c} \right] \right)^{-1} u' = 0, \tag{30}
\]
which is separable. This can be easily integrated to give
\[
u(x) = dk \exp \left( \frac{2ax^{-c}}{ck} \right)
\times \left( \frac{3c^2k^2}{a} + 6ax - 2c - 10ckx^{-c} \right) + \bar{m}, \tag{31}
\]
where the constants $d$ and $\bar{m}$ result from the integration. Then
Eq. (15) yields
\[
y(x) = \left[ 8ac \exp \left( \frac{2ax^{-c}}{ck} \right) (ckx^c - a) \\
\times \left[ x \exp \left( \frac{2ax^{-c}}{ck} \right) \right] \left[ 6a^2 + 3c^2k^2x^{2c}
\right.
\right.
\]
\[
\left. - 10ackx^c \right] + m x^{2c} \right]^{-1} \], \tag{32}
\]
where $m = \frac{\bar{m}}{\tilde{a}}$ is a constant.
Hence we can generate the potentials
\[
A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \exp \left( \int t^1 \left[ 8ac \exp \left( \frac{2ax^{-c}}{ck} \right) (ckx^c - a) \\
\times \left[ x \exp \left( \frac{2ax^{-c}}{ck} \right) \right] \left[ 6a^2 + 3c^2k^2x^{2c} - 10ackx^c
\right.
\right.
\]
\[
\left. + m x^{2c} \right] \right)^{-1} dx - \frac{1}{c} \int t^1 \frac{cdt}{af(t)^{1}}, \tag{33a}
\]

\[\square \text{Springer}\]
\[ B = \frac{kf(t)}{\exp\left(\int_{\alpha f(t)}^{t} \frac{dt}{C}\right)} \quad \text{(33b)} \]

where \( x = \exp\left(\int_{\alpha f(t)}^{t} \frac{dt}{C}\right) r^{-1/c} \) and \( n \) is new arbitrary constant. This is a new model.

This class of solution contains a previously found model. To show this we set

\[ a = c = k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) = t. \quad \text{(34)} \]

Then solution (33) becomes

\[ A = B = d \exp\left(\int_{1}^{r} \frac{8 \exp(2z)(z-1)}{\exp(2z)(6z^2-10z+3)+n} dz\right), \quad \text{(35)} \]

which is a self-similar solution for the master equation. It has been obtained previously by Abebe et al. [23] when analyzing a conformally flat radiating star.

5 Class II

In this class we set \( a = 0 \). Then the invariants (9) do not exist. For this category of solution we then have to consider the symmetry

\[ bG_2 + cG_3 = (c + b)A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + bB \frac{\partial}{\partial B} + cr \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad \text{(36)} \]

from (8). The invariants associated with (36) are

\[ A = h(t)r^{(b+c)/c}, \quad \text{(37a)} \]
\[ B = g(t)r^{b/c}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(37b)} \]
\[ t. \quad \text{(37c)} \]

The invariants (37) reduce the master equation (6) to

\[ 2c^2gg'h' - c^2\left(2gg'' + g'^2\right)h + 2c(b + c)g'h^2 + \left(3b^2 + 6bc + 2c^2\right)h'^3 = 0. \quad \text{(38)} \]

Here the primes stand for the derivatives with respect to the independent variable \( r \). Equation (38) is an Abel differential equation in \( h \). It is not possible to integrate it in general. Particular solutions do exist as we now demonstrate. We set

\[ b = \left(\frac{\pm \sqrt{3}}{3} - 1\right)c, \quad \text{(39)} \]

to simplify the Abel equation. Then (38) becomes

\[ 6gg'h' - 3\left(2gg'' + g'^2\right)h \pm 2\sqrt{3}g'h^2 = 0. \quad \text{(40)} \]

The advantage of (40) is that it is a Bernoulli equation in \( h \). It is interesting to note that it can be integrated even when the function \( g \) is unspecified. We integrate (40) to obtain

\[ h(t) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{g} \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g} + d}, \quad \text{(41)} \]

where \( d \) is a constant of integration.

Hence the potentials functions become

\[ A = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{g} \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g} + d} r^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} - 1}, \quad \text{(42a)} \]
\[ B = gr^{\frac{2}{3} - 1}, \quad \text{(42b)} \]

which is a new exact solution for the shear-free model.

Other exact solutions to (38) exist but they may not be realistic. For example, (38) can be integrated to yield exact solutions if we assume \( c = -b \) and \( g(t) = kh(t) \). Unfortunately the model then become unphysical as the heat flux vanishes when \( g(t) = kh(t) \) and both the tangential pressure and the energy density vanish when \( c = -b \).

6 Class III

In this class we set \( c = 0 \). Consequently the invariants (9) are not defined. Therefore in this case we consider the symmetry

\[ aG_1 + bG_2 = (b - af(t))A \frac{\partial}{\partial A} + B \frac{\partial}{\partial B} + af(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad \text{(43)} \]

from (8). Note that the invariants arising from (43) are given by

\[ A = h(r) \exp\left(\int \frac{bdr}{af(t)}\right), \quad \text{(44a)} \]
\[ B = g(r) \exp\left(\int \frac{bdr}{af(t)}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{(44b)} \]
\[ r. \quad \text{(44c)} \]

Using the invariants (44) the master equation (6) is reduced to give

\[ 2a^2g\left(rg' + g\right)hh' + 2abr^3h' + a^2g'\left(rg' + 2g\right)h^2 - b^2rg^4 = 0. \quad \text{(45)} \]

where the primes denote derivatives with respect to the independent variable \( r \). Equation (45) is highly nonlinear and cannot be easily integrated in general. We assume that

\[ g(r) = kh(r). \quad \text{(46)} \]
so that (45) becomes
\[
\left( \frac{h'}{h} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{bk}{a} + \frac{2}{r} \right) \frac{h'}{h} - \frac{b^2 k^2}{3a^2} = 0. \tag{47}
\]

We observe that (47) may be treated as a quadratic equation in the quantity \( \frac{h'}{h} \); this gives
\[
\frac{h'}{h} = \pm \sqrt{2a^2 \left( a^2 + abkr + b^2 k^2 r^2 \right) - 2a^2 - abkr - \frac{b^2 k^2}{3a^2}}. \tag{48}
\]
The two roots in (48) can be integrated to yield two different classes of solutions
\[
h(r) = \frac{d}{r^{4/3}} \left( \frac{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2}{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2} \right)^{1/3} \times \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha(r) - abkr}{3a^2} \right), \tag{49a}
\]
\[
h(r) = \frac{d}{f(t)} \left( \frac{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2}{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2} \right)^{1/3} \times \exp \left( \frac{\alpha(r) - abkr}{3a^2} \right), \tag{49b}
\]
where \( \alpha(r) = 2a\sqrt{a^2 + abkr + b^2 k^2 r^2} \) and \( d \) is an arbitrary constant of integration.

Hence we have
\[
A = \frac{d}{r^{4/3} f(t)} \left( \frac{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2}{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2} \right)^{1/3} \times \exp \left( \int \frac{bd \tau}{f(t)} - \frac{\alpha(r) - abkr}{3a^2} \right), \tag{50a}
\]
\[
B = kf(t)A, \tag{50b}
\]
and
\[
A = \frac{d}{f(t)} \left( \frac{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2}{\alpha(r) + 2a^2 + abkr + a^2} \right)^{1/3} \times \exp \left( \int \frac{bd \tau}{f(t)} + \frac{\alpha(r) - abkr}{3a^2} \right). \tag{51a}
\]
\[
B = kf(t)A, \tag{51b}
\]
which are two classes of solution to the master equation.

This category of solutions reduces to known models. We can show this by setting
\[
f(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b = d = k = 1. \tag{52}
\]
Then the solutions (50) and (51) become
\[
A = B
\]
respectively. These solutions were previously obtained by Abebe et al. [23] for a radiating star which has the property of conformal flatness.

7 Equations of state

In relativistic astrophysics it is important that the model should admit an equation of state on physical grounds. Many exact solutions for a radiating star that have been found before do not satisfy this condition. We can report that particular classes of models in this paper do admit a linear equation of state which are barotropic. We give each of these cases below and associate them with the relevant Lie symmetry.

(a) The generator \( aG_1 - cG_2 + cG_3 \) \( \left( k \neq -\frac{3a}{c} \right) \)

Using the generator \( aG_1 - cG_2 + cG_3 \) we can obtain a model from (26) which admits an equation of state. The line element for this case is
\[
ds^2 = \left[ \left( m\psi^{1/2} + n\psi^{-1/2} \right)^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\alpha + \psi}}} \right]^2 \left[ -\left( \frac{1}{f(t)} \right)^2 \right] dt^2 \\
+ \left[ \frac{k}{r} \right]^2 \left[ dr^2 + r^2 \left( d\phi^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) \right], \tag{54}
\]
where \( \psi = \left[ r^{-1/c} \exp \left( \int \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\alpha + \psi}} \right) \right]^{2\sqrt{\frac{a}{\alpha + \psi}}} \). The matter variables become
\[
\mu = \left[ 2 \left( a^2 - ack + c^2 k^2 \right) \left( ck \left( m^2 \psi^2 - 4mn\psi + n^2 \right) - 6amn\psi \right) \right]^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\alpha + \psi}}} \left[ \psi^{-1/2} \left( m\psi + n \right) \right]^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\alpha + \psi}}} -1, \tag{55a}
\]
\[
p_{ll} = \left[ 2a \left( 6amn\psi - ck \left( m^2 \psi^2 - 4mn\psi + n^2 \right) \right) \right] \\
\times \left[ k^2 (ck - a)(3a + ck)(m\psi + n)^2 \right] \\
\times \left( \psi^{-1/2} \left( m\psi + n \right) \right)^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\alpha + \psi}}} -1, \tag{55b}
\]
\[ p_{\perp} = \left[ (a + ck) \left( \psi^{-1/2} (m \psi + n) \right) \right]^{-\frac{4ck}{m+2ck}} (12amn \psi + c) \]

\[ + ck (m \psi + n)^2 \left[ c^k (3a + ck) (m \psi + n)^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \left( \psi^{-1/2} (m \psi + n) \right)^{-\frac{4ck}{m+2ck}} , \]

\[ q = \frac{k}{r} (m \psi^{1/2} + n \psi^{-1/2})^{-\frac{2a}{4ck}} p_{\parallel} . \)

From the above we generate the linear barotropic equation of state

\[ p_{\parallel} = \lambda \mu, \quad \lambda = \frac{ack}{a^2 - ack + c^2 k^2} , \]

provided that \( k \neq -\frac{3a}{c} \).

(b) The generator \( aG_1 - cG_2 + cG_3 \) (\( k = -\frac{3a}{c} \))

The generator \( aG_1 - cG_2 + cG_3 \) helps to find another model (29) when \( k = -\frac{3a}{c} \). This model also admits an equation of state. The line element for this case is given by

\[ ds^2 = \left[ \frac{1}{\exp \left( \frac{c^2}{48 \log^2 \varphi} \right)} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{f(t)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \]

\[ \times \left[ dr^2 + r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) \right] , \]

where \( \varphi = r^{-1/c} \exp \left( \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{dr}} \right) \). The matter variables become

\[ \mu = 13 \left( c^4 \log^2 \varphi - 48mc^2 \log \varphi + 24 \left( c^2 + 24m^2 \right) \right) \]

\[ \times \exp \left( \frac{1}{24} c^2 \log^2 \varphi \right) \left[ 2592a^2 n^2 \varphi^2 m^3 \right]^{-1} , \]

\[ p_{\parallel} = \left[ 48mc^2 \log \varphi - c^4 \log^2 \varphi - 24 \left( c^2 + 24m^2 \right) \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left( \frac{1}{24} c^2 \log^2 \varphi \right) \left[ 864a^2 n^2 \varphi^2 m^3 \right]^{-1} , \]

\[ p_{\perp} = \left[ 48mc^2 \log \varphi - c^4 \log^2 \varphi + 48c^2 + 576m^2 \right] \]

\[ \times \exp \left( \frac{1}{24} c^2 \log^2 \varphi \right) \left[ 648a^2 n^2 \varphi^2 m^3 \right]^{-1} , \]

\[ q = \left[ \frac{3a}{cr} \exp \left( \frac{c^2}{48 \log^2 \varphi} \right) \right]^{-1} p_{\parallel} . \]

We observe that this case also admits an equation of state

\[ p_{\parallel} = \lambda \mu, \quad \lambda = -\frac{3}{13} , \]

which is linear and barotropic.

(c) The generator \( bG_2 + cG_3 \)

The generator \( bG_2 + cG_3 \) can also be associated with an equation of state. The line element for this model becomes

\[ ds^2 = \left( \frac{3}{4} g^2 r + \frac{3}{4} g^2 r^{-2} \right) dr^2 + g^2 r^{-2} \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right) \]

from (42). In the above we have set the arbitrary constant \( d = 0 \) without any loss of any generality. The matter variables become

\[ \mu = \frac{14r + \frac{3}{4} g^2}{3g^2} , \]

\[ p_{\parallel} = -\frac{4r + \frac{3}{4} g^2}{3g^2} , \]

\[ p_{\perp} = -\frac{g^2}{2} , \]

\[ q = \left( gr + \frac{3}{4} g^2 - 1 \right)^{-1} p_{\parallel} . \]

This solution also satisfies the barotropic equation of state

\[ p_{\parallel} = \lambda \mu, \quad \lambda = -\frac{2}{7} , \]

which is linear.

8 Discussion

Our treatment indicates an interesting interplay between Lie symmetries, Lie algebras, nonlinear differential equations and a radiating star in relativistic astrophysics. We have analyzed a radiating star with a shear-free matter distribution with anisotropic stress using the Lie analysis of differential equations. A systematic study of the master equation, governing the evolution of the radiating star, was undertaken. Three classes of new exact solutions were generated. In each case the Lie infinitesimal generators can be identified and the gravitational potentials may be written explicitly. In certain cases we find that our models contain solutions found previously. In particular we regain the conformally flat solutions of Abebe et al. [23]. We also find that three exact models, for specific forms of the Lie point symmetries, contain a linear equation of state. The line elements and the equations of state can be written in explicit form. We summarize our results in Tables 1 and 2. In Table 1 the Lie point symmetries and corresponding invariants are listed. The restrictions on the arbitrary functions \( g(x) \) and \( h(x) \) and the constants...
Table 1  Symmetry, invariants, restrictions, and resulting gravitational potentials

| Symmetry | Invariants | Restrictions | Gravitational potentials |
|----------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| $aG_1 + bG_2$ | $x = \exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$ | $g = k\hbar, b = 0$ | $A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right) \exp\left( \ln c_\pi \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx + \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = \frac{k(f(t))}{\exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)} A$ where $x = \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right) r^{-1/c}$ |
| $cG_3$ | $A = \frac{f(t)}{f(t)}$ | $g = k\hbar, b = -2c$ | $A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right) \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx + \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = \frac{k(f(t))}{\exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)} A$, where $x = \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right) r^{-1/c}$ |
| $B = \sigma x^{b/c}$ | $g = k\hbar, b = -c$ | $A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right) \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx + \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = \frac{k(f(t))}{\exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)} A$, $k = \frac{\sigma a}{\sigma \hbar}$ |
| $bG_2 + cG_3$ | $A = h(t) r^{(b+c)/c}$, $b = \left( \pm \frac{\sigma}{\hbar} - 1 \right) c$ | $A = \pm \frac{\sigma}{\hbar} \left( \sqrt[2]{\frac{1}{\sigma}} + 1 \right)$, $B = g(t) \exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = g(t) \exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$ |
| $B = \sigma x^{b/c}$, and $t$ | $A = \sigma x^{b/c}$, $B = g(t) \exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = g(t) \exp\left( t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$ |
| $aG_1 + bG_2$ | $A = h(r) \exp\left( \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$ | $g = k\hbar$ | $A = \frac{d}{f(t)} \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx + \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$, $B = k(f(t)) A$, $A = \frac{d}{f(t)} \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx \exp\left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) dx + \int t \cdot \frac{b}{f(t)} \right)$ |

$a, b, c, k$ and $q$ are given. The resulting gravitational potentials $A(r, t)$ and $B(r, t)$ are written explicitly. Table 2 identifies the symmetries with those particular exact solutions for which an explicit linear barotropic equation of state exists.

The solutions found in this paper may be used to study the physical properties of a relativistic radiating star. To illustrate an explicit linear barotropic equation of state exists. A physical properties of a relativistic radiating star. To illustrate an explicit linear barotropic equation of state exists. A physical properties of a relativistic radiating star. To illustrate an explicit linear barotropic equation of state exists. A physical properties of a relativistic radiating star. To illustrate an explicit linear barotropic equation of state exists.

The coefficients of thermal conductivity $\kappa$ and the relaxation time $\tau_c$ are chosen as

$$\kappa = \gamma T^3 \tau_c, \quad \tau_c = \left( \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right) T^{-\sigma} \quad \tau = \left( \frac{\beta \gamma}{\alpha} \right) \tau_c,$$

based on physical grounds as shown by Govender and coauthors [33,34]. In Eq. (64) $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, and $\gamma \geq 0$ are constants and $\tau_c$ is the mean collision time between massless and massive particles. We consider the special case of mean collision time $\sigma = 0$ for simplicity. Then (63) can be integrated to give

$$(AT)^4 = -\frac{4}{\alpha} \left( \beta \int A^3 B(qB) \, dr + \int A^4 qB \, dr \right) + w(t),$$

which is the causal temperature. If we choose the gravitational potentials in (42), the causal temperature can written explicitly as
Table 2  Equation of state

| Symmetry | Gravitational potentials | Equation of state |
|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| $aG_1 + bG_2$ | $A = \frac{1}{f(t)} \left( \frac{r^{-1/c}}{\exp\left( \frac{r'}{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha \gamma}}(t)} \right)} \right)^{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha \gamma}}{\sqrt{\beta}}}$ | $p_l = \lambda \mu$, |
| | $+ n \left[ r^{-1/c} \exp\left( \frac{r'}{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha \gamma}}(t)} \right) \right]^{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha \gamma}}{\sqrt{\beta}}}$ | $\lambda = \frac{a \sqrt{\lambda}}{a^2 - a \lambda + 4 \lambda^2}$ |
| $B = \frac{\sqrt{f(t)}}{r} A$ | | |
| | $A = \frac{n}{f(t)} \exp\left[ \frac{-1}{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha \gamma}}} \left( \frac{r'}{\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\alpha \gamma}}(t)} \right) \right]$, | $p_l = \lambda \mu$, |
| | $B = \frac{-\sqrt{f(t)}}{r} A$ | $\lambda = -\frac{1}{13}$ |
| $bG_2 + cG_3$ | $A = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2} \sqrt{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}$, | $p_l = \lambda \mu$, |
| | $B = gr^{\pm \frac{\lambda}{2}}$ | $\lambda = -\frac{1}{7}$ |
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