Effects of Climatic Influence on Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) Production in Cross River State, Nigeria
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Abstract: The study examined the effect of climatic variations influencing sweet potato production in Cross River State, Nigeria in the 2018 cropping season. The study described the socio-economic characteristics of the sweet potato farmers in the study area, identified major climatic factors influencing sweet potato production in the study area, assessed the perceptions of sweet potato farmers on the effects of climatic variations on sweet potato production, and identified measures adopted by sweet potato farmers to improve on its production in the study area. Multi-stage sampling procedure was used to select 200 respondents for the study. Structured questionnaire was used to collect primary data from the farmers. Frequency tables, percentages, means, chi-square ($X^2$) and the likert scale were used to achieve the study objectives. Findings revealed that 62% of the respondents were males, 67% of them were between 21-50 years of age while 61% are married. It also indicated that, 54% had a household size of 1-5 persons, 76% had formal education and 63% of them had sweet potato farming experience of between 6-15 years. On climate variation influence, the study revealed that 76% of the respondents agreed that they perceived climate variation effects on their sweet potato farms. The chi-square results showed that there were perceived significant ($p<0.05$) climate influence on sweet potato production in the study area. The major climatic elements observed to express serious constraints in sweet potato production in the area were rainfall, temperature, sunshine, relative humidity and flooding as these variables created problems ranging from irregular rainfall pattern, rotting of sweet potato tubers, low soil moisture, early or late cessation of rainfall, water-logging, flooding and erosion. Remedial measures on climate variation influences include; inter-crop sweet potato with other crops, plant sweet potato on ridges and on big mounds, plant early maturity sweet potato and disease resistant varieties. The study recommends that the farmers should adopt cultural farming practices like mulching to conserve the soil moisture, plant tolerant and improved resistant varieties of sweet potato to drought while irrigation scheme for water supply be provided to ensure water supply to the crop at appropriate time.
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1. Background of the Study and Problem Statement

Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) is a dicotyledonous crop that grows well in tropical and subtropical areas and even in some temperate zones of the developing world. Sweet potato ranks fifth economically after rice, wheat, maize, and cassava, sixth in dry matter content, seventh in digestible energy and ninth in protein content [1]. The studies [2, 3] noted that the crop grows well between the temperature range of 15°C to 35°C; with an optimum of 24°C, an annual rainfall of 750–1000 mm, with a minimum of 500 mm in the growing season. A well-drained fertile soil rich in organic matter content and a pH range of 4.5-7.0 is suitable for its cultivation.

In Africa, sweet potato is increasingly becoming an important economic crop among the rural poor even though it is traditionally cultivated. The crop is now widely grown as an important staple food in many African countries including Gambia, Ghana and Nigeria where it is grown by peasant and small holder farmers [4]. The wide range of ecological zones across Cross River state allows for a variety of food and cash crops to be produced [5]. Nevertheless, food production has not kept pace with population increase resulting to a wide gap between food supply and demand.

Studies by the study [6] reported that unlike Kenya,
Rwanda and Uganda that produced sweet potato on a large scale which had important contribution to house hold food security and farm income, many of the developing economies are still battling with how to exploit their agro biodiversity to contain with the fragile lands and persistent environmental stress. They also observed that small scale farmers in Central Cross River State who produced sweet potato make marginal gains from sweet potato farming, but large scale commercial production has not been recorded even though the potentials exist to produce the crop.

The influence of climate variation on agricultural production in Nigeria has received limited attention despite the fact that over 60% of the active populations of Nigerian are farmers. Studies on climate variation globally and in Nigeria in particular have reasoned that the potential impact of climate variation will include every aspect of the four dimensions of food security; which are food availability, food accessibility, food stability and food utilization [7]. The study [8] noted that educating farmers to achieve food security is a task for all stakeholders in the food production sector if the millennium development goal for food security is to be achieved. The research [9] noted that educating farmers to achieve food security is a task for all stakeholders in the food production sector if the millennium development goal for food security is to be achieved. The research [9] noted that educating farmers to achieve food security is a task for all stakeholders in the food production sector if the millennium development goal for food security is to be achieved.

The research [10] studied the effects of spatial climate changes on crop production in South-Western Nigeria and found that climate variables had no significant effect on the output of food crops produced within the area. They noted that the adaptation measures used by farmers include planting of resistant varieties, crop rotation and intercropping the crop with other crops. The research [11] examined the effects of climate variation on yam production in Obubra L. G. A of Cross River state, Nigeria and observed that climate variables influence yam production as reported by the respondents.

International Panel on Climate Change [12] reported that many African countries including Nigeria are likely to be severely affected by climate variation in food crop production because they are highly dependent on agricultural production that is solely practice under harsh climatic conditions resulting to low crop yield. It is evident that sweet potato farmers in Cross River State cultivate the crop under rain-fed ecology and so may not be an exception from these climatic threats.

The study therefore, investigated the effects of climate variation on sweet potato production in the study area. Specifically the study determine the socio-economic characteristics of the sweet potato farmers, identify major climatic factors influencing sweet potato production in the study area, examine the perceptions of sweet farmers on the effects of climatic variations on sweet potato production and identify remedial measures adopted by sweet potato farmers to improve on its production given the vagaries of climatic situations in the area.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Area

The study was carried out in Cross River State located in the Niger delta region of in the South – South region of Nigeria. Ecologically, it falls within the tropical rain forest zone of Nigeria. Agriculturally, the state is divided into three agricultural zones in line with the agro climate zones of the state viz, the Northern, the Central and the Southern Agricultural zones. Traditionally, the major occupation of the people is farming though at subsistence level with yam, sweet potato, cassava, plantain, banana, fruits and vegetables cultivated.

The state lies between latitudes 5°32' and 4°27' North of the Equator and between longitudes 7°28' East of the Greenwich meridian and is bounded to the West by Abia and Ebonyi States, to the North by Benue State, to the South by Akwa Ibom state of Nigeria and to the East by the Republic of Cameroon and the Atlantic Ocean [13].

2.2. Sampling Techniques

A purposive multistage sampling technique was used to conduct the study. The first stage was the selection of the three (3) agricultural zones in the state. Accordingly, all the three agricultural zones were selected and these are the Southern, the Central and the Northern agricultural zones. The second stage involved the selection of eight (8) Local government areas known to cultivate sweet potato across the agricultural ecological zones; the third stage was the selection of 24 farming communities from the 8LGAs through the Extension agents in the study area to form the sample frame. Finally a proportionality factor of 10% was applied to obtain 200 respondents from the sample frame to form the sample size.

Table 1. Showing the agro climate zones, local government areas, potato farming communities and the respondents.

| Serial Number | Agro climate zone | Local Govt Area | Farming Communities | No of respondents |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1             | Northern Zone    | Obudu          | Ukwutia             | 10                |
|               |                  |                | Ukpada              | 9                 |
|               |                  |                | Nkirira             | 7                 |
|               |                  |                | Ukpirinyi           | 8                 |
|               |                  | Ogoja          | Bansara             | 7                 |
|               |                  |                | Ibisibori           | 8                 |
|               |                  |                | Iwang               | 6                 |
|               |                  |                | Okporna             | 11                |
|               |                  |                | Ijegu               | 7                 |
|               |                  |                | Ochu                | 6                 |
|               |                  |                | Ukele               | 5                 |
Serial Number | Agro climate zone | Local Govt Area | Farming Communities | No of respondents |
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
2 | Central Zone | Abi | Ekureku | 15 |
| | | | Igbo Imabana | 10 |
| | | | Apiaapum | 12 |
| | Obubra | Ovonum | 13 |
| | | Ofodua | 12 |
| | | Oyadama | 8 |
| | | Yakurr | 4 |
| | | | Nkpani | 7 |
| | | | Idom | 8 |
| | Southern Zone | Akamkpa | Awi | 4 |
| | | | Abini | 8 |
| | Biase | Adim | 10 |
| | | | Akpet Central | 5 |
| Total | 8 L.G.As | 24 Communities | 200 |

Source: Field Survey, 2018

2.3. Method of Data Collection

The major sources of data collection for this study were primary data. This was done through the use of structured questionnaire and personal interviews. Data were collected on the socio-economic characteristics of the farmers such as age, sex, educational level, family size, marital status and farming experience. Farm output of each farmer was collected per hectare on sweet potato production, the climatic and environmental information affecting sweet potato as perceived by the farmers were also collected. Descriptive statistics, Chi-Square and the likert scale techniques were used to examine the findings. The Chi-square was used to test whether discrepancies exist between the observed and expected frequencies from those who perceived climate variation in their sweet potato production and those who did not. Expressed as

\[ X^2 = \frac{\sum (\text{observed frequencies} - \text{expected frequencies})^2}{\text{Expected frequencies}} \]  

3. Results and Discussion

From table 2, it reveals that the variable of gender shows that 62% of the respondents were males while 38% were females. This result indicates that sweet potato production in the study area is not gender bias, meaning that both males and females are involved in the production of sweet potato in the area. This is in agreement with the findings of the study [8] who reported that 76% of the sweet potato farmers in Obubra Local Government of Cross River State are males.

The variable of age shows that 40% of the respondents were within the ages of 21-30 years, while 10% were 51 years of age and above. The result implies that sweet potato production is carried out by adults who have been in sweet potato production for over some years. This implies that they have experienced and can understand and explain the variations that occur in sweet potato farming due to climate changes. The variable of educational level shows that about 76% of the respondents had formal education. Given the high level of educational attainments by the respondents, it is believed that it afforded them the opportunity to perceive any climate influence on their farms on their own. This finding...
agrees with the study [8] who noted that education makes it easier for any individual to critically examine the challenges of production techniques and adapt to other options where available and possible.

The variable of farming experience shows that about 73% of the sweet potato farmers had a farming experience of 6 years and above. This result indicates that sweet potato farming in the study area is a well-developed enterprise that is engrained into the culture of the people for a long time now. It also implies that the respondents could perceive and observe any climatic changes given their long standing experienced in sweet potato production in the area. The variable of farm size reveals that the sweet potato farmers in the study area are operating at a small-scale level. This is evidenced in the fact that 77% of the respondents had a farm size of less than a hectare (i.e. 0.1-0.99) of sweet potato farm plots.

Table 4. Major climatic elements affecting sweet potato production in the study area.

| Climate element         | Verysevere | Severe   | Moderately severe | Not severe | Mean   | Rank |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------|------------|--------|------|
| Rainfall                | 118        | 42       | 30                | 10         | 3.34   | 1<sup>st</sup> |
| Temperature             | 82         | 88       | 16                | 14         | 3.19   | 2<sup>nd</sup> |
| Sunshine                | 78         | 63       | 36                | 23         | 2.98   | 3<sup>rd</sup> |
| Relative humidity       | 64         | 44       | 72                | 20         | 2.76   | 4<sup>th</sup> |
| Flooding                | 58         | 68       | 26                | 48         | 2.68   | 5<sup>th</sup> |
| Wind                    | 32         | 42       | 62                | 64         | 2.21   | 6<sup>th</sup> |

Table 5. Chi-square ($\chi^2$) analysis of the perceptions of sweet potato farmers on climate variation effects in the study area.

| Effects                  | Observed (o) | Expected (e) | O-E | (O-E)$^2$ | (O-E)$^2$/E |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| Influence on yield       | 30           | 40           | -10 | 100       | 2.50        |
| Poor growth rate         | 28           | 40           | -12 | 144       | 3.60        |
| Reduction in farm income | 32           | 40           | -8  | 64        | 1.60        |
| Pests and diseases outbreak | 18          | 40           | -22 | 484       | 12.10       |
| Rotting of sweet potato tuber | 24          | 40           | -16 | 256       | 6.40        |

Table 6. Strategies adopted by sweet potato farmers to adapt to climate variation in the study area.

| STRATEGIES                                | (%) | Rank |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|------|
| 1  Intercrop sweet potato with other crops | 16  | 1<sup>st</sup> |
| 2  Plant sweet potato varieties tolerant to weather stress | 13  | 2<sup>nd</sup> |
| 3  Plant disease resistant sweet potato varieties | 11  | 3<sup>rd</sup> |
| 4  Plant sweet potato farmland in rotation with other crops | 10  | 4<sup>th</sup> |
| 5  Plant sweet potato in ridges/heaps to retain moisture | 8   | 5<sup>th</sup> |
Table 6 shows the strategies adopted by the sweet potato farmers to adapt to weather changes in sweet potato production in the study area. The first and the most probable strategy are to intercrop sweet potato with other crops, followed by the planting of sweet potato tolerant to weather stress and planting disease resistant sweet potato varieties. The other remedial measures are planting of sweet potato on rotations and on ridges or heaps so as to retain moisture. None of the respondents supported the option to stop cultivating sweet potato production in the area suggesting that if given the political will and the favourable production environments farmers will produce the crop on a large scale to ensure food security and enhance their household income.

4. Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the results obtained in this study, the study concludes that sweet potato farmers in the area are small-scale farmers whose productions are affected by the effects of climate variation. Accordingly, the farmers adapted to simple cultural methods to tackle some of the climatic challenges. The study recommends that improved varieties of sweet potato that are tolerant and resistant to bad weather effects be made available to the farmers while irrigation scheme for artificial water supply be constructed by government for the farmers to use in case of adverse weather conditions during the farming season.
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