Maskless milling of diamond by a focused oxygen ion beam
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Recent advances in focused ion beam technology have enabled high-resolution, maskless nanofabrication using light ions. Studies with light ions to date have, however, focused on milling of materials where sub-surface ion beam damage does not inhibit device performance. Here we report on maskless milling of single crystal diamond using a focused beam of oxygen ions. Material quality is assessed by Raman and luminescence analysis, and reveals that the damage layer generated by oxygen ions can be removed by non-intrusive post-processing methods such as localised electron beam induced chemical etching.

Focused ion beam (FIB) milling is a popular technique for rapid, maskless nanofabrication via the sputtering of target material through momentum transfer from an energetic primary ion¹. Most commercial FIB systems are equipped with gallium liquid metal ion sources². However, advances made over the past decade in technologies such as gas field ion³–⁵ and inductively coupled plasma⁶,⁷ sources (ICPS) have increased the use of light species (H, He, O and Ne) in the fabrication of nanostructures⁸–¹⁰. These species enable control over implantation, sputtering and chemical interactions with the target, but the damage generated by many of these ions is poorly understood at the high fluencies required for FIB milling⁶,¹¹ (relative to those used for implantation¹²,¹³).

In this letter, we report maskless milling of optical structures in single crystal diamond using a focused beam of oxygen ions. To characterise the influence of the ions on material properties, we employ photoluminescence (PL) and Raman spectroscopy, and constant power cathodoluminescence (CL) depth profiling of the nitrogen-vacancy (NV) colour centre¹⁴. We find the neutral nitrogen-vacancy (NV⁰) CL emission is quenched over depths much greater than those expected from simple binary approximation Monte Carlo simulations of ion interactions with matter¹⁵. We conclude that oxygen channelling contributes significantly to the sub-surface damage profile of single crystal diamond. The damage layer is significantly thicker than that generated by Ga⁺ ions. It can, however, be removed by relatively noninsrusive localised methods such as H₂O-mediated electron beam induced etching¹⁶,¹⁷ (EBIE).

Diamond is a promising material for quantum photonic applications due to its unique chemical, physical and optical properties¹⁸. During FIB milling, momentum transfer from the ions to the target atoms above a critical dose¹¹,¹⁵ induces amorphisation of diamond within the ion interaction volume. For gallium based FIB milling this amorphisation damage profile is on the order of 46 nm at an ion energy of 30 keV, and the damage layer is heavily stained by implanted gallium ions¹¹. It has been shown that gallium staining can be partly removed using a hydrogen plasma and chemical etch treatment²⁰,²¹. In general, however, it is desirable to utilise in situ techniques such as EBIE, which are typically free from material degradation caused by material incompatibilities with aggressive chemical treatments.

An undercut bridge structure²⁵ was fabricated in (100) oriented single crystal diamond (CVD grown, Element Six) by a focused oxygen beam (O⁺/O₂⁺ ions, approximately 1 : 1 relative ion abundance ratio) using an FEI Vion FIB²² modified to incorporate an O₂ source. The resulting freestanding diamond structure is shown in the inset of Figure 1a.

The bridge exhibits a broad Raman scattering profile (Figure 1a), similar to that found in amorphous carbon²³ (we note that the diamond line at 1332 cm⁻¹ originates from non-processed diamond located underneath the ion-induced damage layer²⁴). PL from the bridge (Figure 1b) is characterised by significant quenching of the negative nitrogen-vacancy (NV⁻) emission, an intense broadband emission that overlaps with the NV⁻ zero phonon line (ZPL), and the neutral vacancy defect ‘GR1’ ZPL which is characteristic of ion bombarded diamond²⁵.

To determine the range of damage in diamond caused by oxygen FIB milling, a series of boxes were fabricated at normal incidence. Boxes were fabricated with ion energies of 2, 8, 16 and 30 keV (and fluencies of 5, 27, 27 and...
27 nC/μm² respectively). Constant power CL depth profiling of the NV⁰ colour centre was used to examine the ion induced damage layers. Conveniently, the NV⁰ emission probed by CL does not overlap with the additional defect-related emissions seen in PL spectra (Figure 1b), as illustrated by the CL spectrum shown in Figure 1c.

Figure 2a shows NV⁰ CL depth profiles obtained from non-processed diamond and regions milled by 2, 8, 16 and 30 keV oxygen ions. The NV⁰ emission intensity was measured as a function of electron beam energy using an electron beam power of 40 μW, and a 10 nm bandpass centred on 575 nm (see Figure 1c). To correlate the electron beam energy with the maximum CL generation depth, the electron energy deposition profiles shown in Figure 2b were simulated using standard Monte Carlo models of electron-solid interactions. The curves in Figure 2b are approximately proportional to CL generation profiles within the electron interaction volume in diamond and show how the maximum CL generation depth scales with beam energy. The CL depth profiles in Figure 2a show that the NV⁰ emission is quenched within a near surface region whose thickness increases with the energy of the oxygen ions. To quantify the thickness of this damaged region, we used the x-intercept of each dataset in Figure 2a as a measure of the electron beam energy that corresponds to the onset of NV⁰ emission. At energies lower than the onset, the CL generation volume is contained within the damaged near-surface region where the NV⁰ emission is quenched. For example, in the case of diamond milled by 30 keV oxygen ions, the NV⁰ emission onset is observed at an electron beam energy of 8 keV, which corresponds to a maximum CL generation depth of 500 nm (see Figure 2b). Hence, the first 500 nm of the diamond is comprised of damaged, non-luminescent material. This method was applied to all four regions irradiated by oxygen ions to determine the damage range, shown in the inset of Figure 2b, as a function of oxygen ion energy. We note that ‘non-processed’ diamond also displays quenching of the NV⁰ emission within the first 50 nm of surface material. We ascribe this damage layer to non-luminescent defects produced by unfocused oxygen neutrals that bombard the sample during FIB processing.

A minimum spot size diameter of ~15 nm for a 30 keV (Xe) ion beam generated by an ICPS system has been reported. However, the oxygen ion interaction volume in diamond decreases the resolution...
for fabrication to ~500 nm, thereby limiting the practical use of a focused 30 keV oxygen ion beam to micro-patterning. The oxygen ion interaction volume can be minimized by reducing the ion beam energy. However, a significant reduction in energy is at present not optimal due to broadening of the ion beam caused by existing ICPs FIB systems. The beam broadening greatly increases the ion beam spot size, making the fabrication of structures such as the undercut bridge impractical at energies much lower than 30 keV. This is however, a technological issue that will likely be resolved in the coming years.

The range of damage generated by oxygen ions (inset of Figure 2b) is significantly greater than that produced by gallium ions (e.g. at 30 keV, oxygen ions give rise to a damage range of 500 nm, whereas Ga+ FIB milling of diamond has been observed experimentally to generate a damage layer thickness of 46 nm30). To determine the reason behind the large damage range of oxygen ions, we compare the CL depth profiles to the range of O+ ions in amorphous carbon simulated using SRIM31 and the properties of diamond (density = 3.515 g cm−3, displacement energy32 = 40 eV).

Oxygen ion implantation depth distributions simulated for ion energies of 2, 8, 16 and 30 keV are shown in Figure 2c. Here we plot the range of oxygen ion implantation and not the range of vacancies induced by ions as we cannot exclude the possibility of an oxygen related non-radiative centre quenching NV emission. The two ranges however, are nearly identical in this ion energy range. At 30 keV, O ions penetrate to a depth of ~60 nm in amorphous carbon material, which is significantly smaller than the damage range of 500 nm found by CL depth profiling of single crystal diamond. We ascribe this difference between SRIM and experimental results primarily to channelling of O ions in the single crystal diamond structure (which is neglected by the binary approximation model implemented in SRIM). Swelling of the material due to ion implantation33 is a potential secondary cause of the thick damage layers. At the ion energies used here, channelling of 15N in diamond displays a similar difference between simulated and experimental data34. Channelling can be minimised by sample tilting. However, this is not optimal for the fabrication of arbitrary structure geometries, and the effectiveness and applicability of this method decreases with decreasing ion energy32 and ion mass33,34 due to corresponding increases in the critical angles for channelling.

Next, we turn to post-fabrication removal of the damage layer generated by oxygen ions. Previously, some methods have been shown to remove amorphous material from diamond damaged by Ga+ FIB milling29,30. The ultimate goal of such post-processing treatments is complete, localised removal of the damaged layer and impurities implanted by the ion beam without the need for harsh chemical treatments that can be detrimental to hybrid diamond-based devices. H2O-mediated EBIE is a nanoscale, localised dry chemical etch technique15,16 that volatilises carbon, and does not compromise the luminescent properties of single crystal diamond35 (currently, no EBIE method exists for the removal of implanted gallium impurities). We applied H2O-mediated EBIE to a region of diamond that had been milled by 30 keV focused oxygen ions. The milled area was imaged ex situ using an atomic force microscope (AFM), which shows that ~70 nm of material was removed by EBIE (Figure 3).

CL depth profiles were obtained from the oxygen FIB milled and EBIE polished regions. The region etched by EBIE displays greatly enhanced CL emission when compared to the as milled region (Figure 3). While only 70 nm of material was removed from the 500 nm damage layer, the CL depth profile from the resulting region yields a damage layer thickness of ~250 nm. We tentatively ascribe this discrepancy to partial recovery of material swelling and of damage caused by ion channelling by the high fluence electron beam irradiation treatment used for EBIE. Qualitatively, our results show that EBIE does not induce further amorphisation of the underlying pristine diamond and that it is a viable technique for removing damage layers generated by oxygen ions. However, as damaged material must be removed, resolution of fabricated functional diamond structures is still limited by the oxygen ion beam spot size or ion interaction volume within the material.

In summary, we have characterised the damage induced in single crystal (100) diamond during maskless milling with a focused oxygen ion beam. The thickness of a damage layer in which NV centre luminescence is quenched is shown to be significantly greater than the oxygen implantation range predicted by Monte Carlo binary approximation models. We ascribe the difference to channelling and volume expansion of the milled region. The damage layer can be removed by H2O-mediated electron beam induced etching of carbon.

**Methods**

**Bridge fabrication.** The bridge structure was fabricated by milling two 25 × 5 μm boxes separated by 2 μm using a 30 keV oxygen ion beam incident normal to the diamond. The gap was then undercut by milling at 45° until visual endpoint, rotating the sample through 180° and then milling further until visual endpoint.

**Optical measurements.** Raman measurements were performed using a Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with a 633 nm excitation laser. PL measurements were performed at room temperature using a custom confocal microscope with a 532 nm excitation laser. CL measurements were performed at room temperature using a FEI Quanta 200 scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with a Gatan parabolic CL collection mirror. The mirror was positioned above the sample and directed the emitted light to a Hamamatsu charge-coupled device (CCD).

**Removal of material by EBIE.** EBIE was performed at room temperature using a Quanta 200 variable pressure36 SEM, a H2O pressure of 100 Pa, and a focused, 25 keV, 8.3 nA electron beam scanned over an area of 5 × 10 μm for 45 minutes (primary electron fluence = 2.8 × 1020 cm−2). The milled area was imaged ex situ using the tapping mode of a DI Dimension 3100 AFM, and analysed using the software package Gwyddion37.
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