Impact of Oxygen Partial Pressure on Crystal Structure, Oxygen Vacancy, and Surface Morphology of Epitaxial SrTiO\textsubscript{3} Thin Films Grown by Ion Beam Sputter Deposition
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Abstract: Epitaxial SrTiO\textsubscript{3} (STO) thin films were grown on (001)-oriented LaAlO\textsubscript{3} (LAO) substrates at 800 °C by an ion beam sputter deposition (IBSD). Oxygen partial pressure (PO\textsubscript{2}) was varied at 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-2}, 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-4}, and 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{-3} Torr during the growth. The effects of PO\textsubscript{2} on crystal structure, oxygen vacancy, and surface morphology of the STO films were investigated and discussed to understand their correlation. It was found that PO\textsubscript{2} played a significant role in influencing the crystal structure, oxygen vacancy, and surface morphology of the STO films. All STO films grew on the LAO substrate and the compressive strain along the in-plane direction (a- and b-axes) and the tensile strain along the growth direction (c-axis). The crystalline quality of STO films was slightly improved at higher PO\textsubscript{2}. Oxygen vacancy was favorably created in the STO lattice grown at low PO\textsubscript{2} due to lack of oxygen during growth and became suppressed at high PO\textsubscript{2}. The existence of oxygen vacancy could result in a lattice expansion in both out-of-plane and in-plane directions due to the presence of Ti\textsuperscript{3+} instead of Ti\textsuperscript{4+} ions. The surface roughness of the STO films gradually decreased and was nearly close to that of the bare LAO substrate at high PO\textsubscript{2}, indicating a two-dimensional (2D) growth mode. The results presented in this work provide a correlation among crystal structure, oxygen vacancy, and surface morphology of the epitaxial STO films grown by IBSD, which can be useful guidelines for further study.

Keywords: SrTiO\textsubscript{3}; epitaxy; thin films; ion beam sputter deposition; oxygen partial pressure (PO\textsubscript{2})

1. Introduction

Epitaxial strontium titanate (SrTiO\textsubscript{3}, STO) thin films have drawn considerable attention as an essential component in various electronic devices, including capacitors [1], tunable phase shifters [2], sensors [3], non-volatile memory [4], memristors [5], and electromechanical actuators [6], owing to their high dielectric constant, low loss, large dielectric tunability, and tunable optical properties. So far, epitaxial STO films have been successfully grown on various types of substrates by a variety of methods, such as pulsed laser deposition (PLD) [7,8], molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [9,10], metal-oxide chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) [11,12], radio-frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering [13,14], and ion beam sputter deposition (IBSD) [15–17]. All methods have their own advantages and disadvantages, so the selection of a growth method depends on which aspect is focused on. For example, for film quality, MBE is the most popular method for growing epitaxial films. Several studies have reported on the growth of homoepitaxial and heteroepitaxial STO films, which all showed high crystalline quality with precise control at atomic or unit-cell levels [9,10,18]. However, the small growing area and high cost are significant disadvantages of MBE that limit to the laboratory, and it is difficult to expand MBE to conventional manufacturing processes. For the aspect of the manufacturing process, the IBSD is a viable alternative method to the conventional RF sputtering since it offers several
advantages, such as independent control of growth parameters, isolated plasma chamber, minimizing plasma effect to the substrate, and low operating pressure [19–21]. Although growing films may be possibly exposed to high-energetic sputtered atoms or ions during growth, this effect can be solved by rationally optimizing growth conditions. According to the literature to date, information on the growth of STO films by IBSD is very limited compared to other methods.

In our previous works, we studied the effects of growth temperature and growth time on the crystal structure and morphology of the epitaxial STO films grown by IBSD [16,17]. It was found that the growth temperature significantly influenced the crystal structure and growth mode. With an increase in growth temperature, the STO lattice shrank, and the surface morphology was transformed from three-dimensional (3D) to two-dimensional (2D) growth modes [16]. For the effect of growth time, STO films became thicker with a strain relaxation at a longer growth time [17]. However, there has not yet been a detailed investigation on the effect of partial oxygen pressure ($P_{O_2}$) on the properties of STO films grown by IBSD. $P_{O_2}$ is known as a critical growth parameter used to control the level of oxygen vacancy densities in oxide films [22–25]. The level of non-stoichiometry or oxygen vacancy density in STO films plays a vital role in tuning their conductivity [22,23], dielectricity [26], ferroelectricity [27], and photoluminescence [28]. In addition to oxygen vacancy, the change in $P_{O_2}$ also affects the crystal structure, lattice strain, and surface morphology, which vary broadly depending on the growth methods and growth parameters [22–29]. It is therefore essential to elucidate and understand the effect of $P_{O_2}$ on the change in the crystal structure, strain behavior, surface morphology, and oxygen vacancy of epitaxial STO films grown by the IBSD method.

In this work, STO thin films were epitaxially grown on the (001)-oriented LAO (LAO) substrates by an ion beam sputter deposition (IBSD) method under different $P_{O_2}$ ranging from $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr. The effect of $P_{O_2}$ on the crystal structure, lattice strain, oxygen vacancy, and surface morphology of the STO films was investigated and analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and atomic force microscopy (AFM), respectively. The correlation among all properties obtained in this work was further evaluated and is discussed in detail.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Growth of STO Films by IBSD

The (001)-oriented LAO single crystal substrates (MTI Corporation, Richmond, CA, USA) were cut into a square shape of approximately $10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm} \times 0.5 \text{ mm}$. LAO substrates were cleaned with acetone and ethanol in an ultrasonicator for 10 min each. To obtain a clear step-and-terrace structure, they were then etched with hydrochloric acid (HCl, conc. 37%) for 10 min at room temperature, followed by repeatedly rinsing with ultrapure water [30].

A stoichiometric STO pellet (Furuuchi Chemical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), purity 99.9%, 50 mm diameter) was used as a target. The LAO substrates were attached to a heater block of substrate holder with a mechanical clamping at the corners. The substrate-to-target distance was set at 50 mm. The angle between the ion beam and the target surface was 45°. A schematic diagram of the IBSD system is depicted in Figure 1. The growth chamber was initially evacuated to a base pressure of $2 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. Before growing the STO films, the LAO substrates were annealed at 850 °C for 30 min under an oxygen pressure of $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr to obtain a carbon-free surface. Subsequently, the substrate temperature was reduced and kept constant at 800 °C for growing the films. Argon (Ar) gas was introduced to an EMIS-201 ion source (ARIOS Inc., Tokyo, Japan) at a pressure of $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ Torr. Oxygen gas was simultaneously introduced near the LAO substrates during growth. $P_{O_2}$ was varied at $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$, $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$, and $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr. An MP-201 microwave power generator (ARIOS Inc., frequency = 2.45 GHz) supplied a power output of 180 W to an ion source to generate plasma. The Ar ions from the ion source were accelerated by a voltage of 1.8 kV and passed through a window, which resulted in a
well-confined ion beam with a diameter of 3 mm sputtering the STO target. Growth time was fixed at 60 min. The STO films on the LAO substrates were post-annealed at 800 °C for 30 min and cooled to room temperature under the same environment. The growth rate under these conditions was approximately 0.35 nm min⁻¹. The STO films grown at the PO₂ of 1.5 × 10⁻⁵, 1.5 × 10⁻⁴, and 1.5 × 10⁻³ Torr were designated as STO-1, STO-2, and STO-3, respectively.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram illustrating the IBSD system used to grow the STO films in this work.

### 2.2. Characterization

The structural properties of the STO films were analyzed by high-resolution X-ray diffraction (HRXRD, ω–2θ scan), grazing-incidence in-plane X-ray diffraction (GIXRD, 2θ scan), rocking curve measurement (ω scan), and φ-scan, using a SmartLab X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a Ge(220) × 2 bound monochromator and Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15418 nm). An X-ray tube power was operated at 45 kV and 200 mA. The step size for the HRXRD ω–2θ scan and rocking curve ω scan was 0.0012°, while that of the GIXRD 2θ scan and φ-scan was 0.01° and 0.12°, respectively. The bonding state and chemical composition were examined on a Kratos AXIS X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kanagawa, Japan) equipped with a monochromatic Mg Kα radiation (1253.6 eV) as an excitation source. The emission current and anode voltage were operated at 10 mA and 12 kV, respectively. Binding energy (BE) was calibrated using the C 1s peak (284.5 eV). The STO films were cleaned by Ar etching for 1 min to remove contamination on the surface before measurement. AFM images were taken on a SPA-300HV instrument equipped with an SPI-3800N controller (Seiko Instruments Inc., Chiba, Japan).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Structural Properties

The HRXRD spectra of all STO films in Figure 2 show only the 00l_{STO} and 00l_{LAO} reflections (l = 1, 2, and 3) which originated from the STO film and LAO substrate, respectively. There was no observation of reflections from other crystallographic orientations and undesirable phases, indicating that all STO films were single-phase and highly (001)-oriented orientation or normal to the substrate surface. Moreover, the intensity of 00l_{STO} reflections was nearly identical for all samples, implying an almost similar thickness and film crystalline quality.
and Ti$^{3+}$ state in the STO lattice was confirmed by XPS measurements and AFM images.

These results confirm that the crystal lattice of STO films had an epitaxial cube-on-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants at low $\theta$ angles, decreasing from 0.3895 nm for STO-1 to 0.3888 nm for STO-3. The expansion of both the in-plane lattice constant ($a$) decreased to 0.3951 nm for STO-3. Similarly, the in-plane lattice constant slightly decreased to 0.3972 nm for STO-1 and 0.3952 nm for STO-2. The out-of-plane lattice constant ($c$) was 0.3953 nm for STO-1, 0.3950 nm for STO-2, and 0.3948 nm for STO-3. The increase in the out-of-plane lattice constant indicates a decrease in the out-of-plane lattice strain ($\varepsilon_c$) from 0.0033 to 0.0123 for STO-1 to STO-3, respectively. The in-plane lattice constant ($a$) also decreased from 0.3953 nm for STO-1 to 0.3948 nm for STO-3, with a negligible influence on the growth rate of STO films on the LAO substrate by IBSD under this operating condition.

Figure 3a reveals the enlarged HRXRD spectra around the 002$\text{STO}$ reflection in the $2\theta$ range of 44°–50°. As the PO$_2$ increased, the 002$\text{STO}$ peak shifted towards a higher $2\theta$ angle, indicating a decrease in the out-of-plane c-lattice constant. The oscillation pattern, so-called “Pendellösung fringes”, was evident on both sides of the 002$\text{STO}$ reflection (marked with a triangle). The visible Pendellösung fringes indicate that the STO films possessed high crystal quality, low defect density, and smooth film/substrate interface. Film thickness ($t$) can be estimated from the oscillation period using the following formula:

$$t = \frac{\lambda}{2(\sin\theta_{n+1} - \sin\theta_n)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\theta_{n+1}$ and $\theta_n$ are the two adjacent maxima in the oscillations, $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength. The thickness of all films was approximately 21 nm (growth rate $\approx$ 0.35 nm min$^{-1}$), with no significant change with increasing PO$_2$. This result suggested that increased PO$_2$ had a negligible influence on the growth rate of STO films on the LAO substrate by IBSD under this operating condition.

Figure 3. (a) Enlarged HRXRD $\omega−2\theta$ scans at around the 002$\text{STO}$ reflection, and (b) GIXRD 2$\theta\chi$ scans at around the 200$\text{STO}$ reflection of the STO films grown on LAO substrates at various PO$_2$. 
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$$\text{Figure 3. (a) Enlarged HRXRD } \omega−2\theta \text{ scans at around the } 002\text{STO} \text{ reflection, and (b) GIXRD } 2\theta\chi \text{ scans at around the } 200\text{STO} \text{ reflection of the STO films grown on LAO substrates at various } \text{PO}_2.$$
The GIXRD measurement was further employed to examine the in-plane lattice constant \(a\). We assume that the in-plane lattice constants along \(a\)- and \(b\)-axes are equal. The GIXRD spectra were recorded on all STO films by fixing \(\phi\) at a certain angle for the (200)\textsubscript{STO} plane. The scattering vector is perpendicular to the rubbing direction and parallel to the substrate surface. The grazing incidence angle \(\omega\) was fixed at 0.1\(^\circ\), corresponding to a penetration depth of about 4 nm \[17\]. Figure 3b shows the GIXRD spectra around 200\(^\circ\)-plane. The scattering vector is perpendicular to the rubbing direction and parallel to the in-plane lattice constant. Based on the HRXRD and GIXRD spectra, the out-of-plane and in-plane lattice constants of the STO films can be calculated by Bragg’s law using the 002\(_{STO}\) reflection of the STO films grown at different PO\(_2\). With increasing PO\(_2\), the 200\(_{STO}\) reflection gradually shifted toward a higher 2\(\theta\) angle, indicating a decrease of in-plane lattice constant.

Table 1. In-plane lattice constants \(a\), out-of-plane lattice constant \(c\), in-plane lattice strain \(\varepsilon_a\), out-of-plane lattice strain \(\varepsilon_c\), and tetragonality \(c/a\) of the STO films grown on the LAO substrates at various PO\(_2\).

| Sample | \(a\) (nm) | \(c\) (nm) | \(c/a\) | \(\varepsilon_a\) | \(\varepsilon_c\) |
|--------|----------|----------|--------|---------------|----------|
| STO-1  | 0.3895   | 0.3972   | 1.0198 | −0.0026       | 0.0172   |
| STO-2  | 0.3892   | 0.3953   | 1.0157 | −0.0033       | 0.0123   |
| STO-3  | 0.3888   | 0.3951   | 1.0162 | −0.0044       | 0.0118   |

To confirm the epitaxial orientation of the STO film on the LAO substrate, a \(\phi\)-scan from 0\(^\circ\) to 360\(^\circ\) was recorded on the [101]\textsubscript{STO} (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 32.3\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 45^\circ\)), [101]\textsubscript{LAO} (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 33.4\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 45^\circ\)), and [112]\textsubscript{STO} planes (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 57.6\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 35.3^\circ\)) of STO-2, as shown in Figure 4. Four sharp peaks separated by 90\(^\circ\) (four-fold symmetry) were clearly observed for all \(\phi\)-scans. The peaks of the \(\phi\)-scans recorded on the [101]\textsubscript{STO} and [101]\textsubscript{LAO} planes appeared at almost the same position but those of the [112]\textsubscript{STO} plane were shifted by 45\(^\circ\) with respect to the [101]\textsubscript{STO} plane. These results confirm that the crystal lattice of STO films had an epitaxial cube-on-cube orientation on the (001)-oriented LAO substrate. The epitaxial orientation relationship between the STO film and the LAO substrate can be expressed as follows: (001)\textsubscript{STO} || (001)\textsubscript{LAO} and [100]\textsubscript{STO} || [100]\textsubscript{LAO}. 

To confirm the epitaxial orientation of the STO film on the LAO substrate, a \(\phi\)-scan from 0\(^\circ\) to 360\(^\circ\) was recorded on the [101]\textsubscript{STO} (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 32.3\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 45^\circ\)), [101]\textsubscript{LAO} (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 33.4\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 45^\circ\)), and [112]\textsubscript{STO} planes (2\(\theta\) \(\approx\) 57.6\(^\circ\), \(\chi = 35.3^\circ\)) of STO-2, as shown in Figure 4. Four sharp peaks separated by 90\(^\circ\) (four-fold symmetry) were clearly observed for all \(\phi\)-scans. The peaks of the \(\phi\)-scans recorded on the [101]\textsubscript{STO} and [101]\textsubscript{LAO} planes appeared at almost the same position but those of the [112]\textsubscript{STO} plane were shifted by 45\(^\circ\) with respect to the [101]\textsubscript{STO} plane. These results confirm that the crystal lattice of STO films had an epitaxial cube-on-cube orientation on the (001)-oriented LAO substrate. The epitaxial orientation relationship between the STO film and the LAO substrate can be expressed as follows: (001)\textsubscript{STO} || (001)\textsubscript{LAO} and [100]\textsubscript{STO} || [100]\textsubscript{LAO}. 

Owing to a lattice mismatch of about 3\% between the LAO substrate (\(a_{\text{LAO}} = 0.3790\) nm) and bulk STO (\(a_{\text{STO}} = 0.3905\) nm), the STO films grew on the LAO substrate under in-plane compressive stress, resulting in a contraction of in-plane lattice constant and elongation of out-of-plane lattice constant of the STO unit-cell due to the effect of Poisson’s ratio. The STO films grown at high PO\(_2\) exhibited less tetragonal distortion, as reflected by a decrease in tetragonality \((c/a)\) (Table 1). The in-plane lattice strain \((\varepsilon_a = (a_a - a_{\text{STO}})/a_{\text{STO}})\) was found between −0.0044 and −0.0026, whereas the out-of-plane lattice strain \((\varepsilon_c = (c_c - c_{\text{STO}})/c_{\text{STO}})\) was higher in the range of 0.0118–0.0172. Note that negative and positive values of lattice strain refer to contraction and expansion of the lattice constant, respectively. Besides the effect of lattice mismatch, the difference in thermal expansion coefficient between the STO film and LAO substrate also induced the tensile in-plane strain on the STO during cooling to room temperature. However, the strain induced by a lattice mismatch was more predominant than that by thermal expansion mismatch. Therefore, we can discard this effect from the consideration. The lattice constants and lattice strains along the in-plane lattice and out-of-plane directions and tetragonality \((c/a)\) of all samples are summarized in Table 1.
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epitaxial improvement at higher PO2. The FWHM of the broad peak was approximately 0.50° for all films. Although a slight variation of FWHM values was observed in the PO2 range investigated, it can suggest that the STO films had a slightly higher degree of crystallinity or epitaxial improvement at higher PO2.

The rocking curve FWHM values were larger than those of homoepitaxial STO films grown on (001) STO substrate by PLD and MBE, which were reported by Lee et al. (0.023°) [35] and Jalan et al. (0.009°) [36], respectively. Comparing the heteroepitaxial STO films on the LAO substrate grown by PLD, Ambwani et al. reported that the rocking curve FWHM was widely distributed between 0.05° and 0.40° [24], while Lu et al. observed about 0.18°–0.19° [37]. Moreover, the FWHM values of the STO films grown by MOCVD...
and RF magnetron sputtering were found to be 0.36° [11] and 0.20°–0.70° [13], respectively. This comparison indicates that the crystalline quality of heteroepitaxial STO films in this work was lower than that of homoepitaxial STO films but higher than or comparable to heteroepitaxial STO films grown by other methods. Furthermore, the rocking curve broadening can be used to estimate the total dislocation densities ($D_{\text{dis}}$) in the films using the following formula $[38,39]$

$$D_{\text{dis}} = \frac{\beta^2}{4.36b^2},$$

where $\beta$ is the FWHM of the rocking curve, and $b$ is the length of the Burger vector of the corresponding dislocation ($b = a(100)$) $[40]$. With increased PO$_2$, the $D_{\text{dis}}$ in the high crystalline-quality region (sharp peak) was found to decrease from $7 \times 10^8$ for STO-1 to $6 \times 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ for STO-3. For the $D_{\text{dis}}$ in the low crystalline-quality region (broad peak), it was estimated in the order of $1 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$.

### 3.2. Surface Chemistry and Oxygen Vacancy

XPS measurement was further conducted to examine the Ti ionic state and oxygen vacancies in the STO films. The XPS O 1s spectra (Figure 6a) were resolved into two peaks. The lower binding energy at 529.8 eV represents the oxygen in the STO lattice (O$_L$), while the higher binding energy at 531.6 eV is assigned to absorbed oxygen species, which is related to the presence of oxygen vacancies (O$_V$) $[41,42]$. It is seen that the O$_V$/O$_L$ ratio decreased slightly at higher PO$_2$. The XPS Ti 2p spectra showed the Ti$^{4+}$ 2p$_{1/2}$ and Ti$^{3+}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ as the major states at 464.2 and 458.4 eV, respectively (Figure 6b). In addition, the small shoulder peaks of Ti$^{3+}$ 2p$_{1/2}$ and Ti$^{3+}$ 2p$_{3/2}$ state induced by oxygen vacancy appeared at a lower binding energy of 462.4 eV and 456.6 eV $[43,44]$. Similarly, the Ti$^{3+}$/Ti$^{4+}$ ratio was reduced at high PO$_2$. The coexistence of both Ti$^{3+}$ and O$_V$ peaks confirms the presence of oxygen vacancy in the STO films since when one oxygen vacancy is created in the lattice, two Ti$^{4+}$ ions will transform into two Ti$^{3+}$ ions to attain charge equilibrium. At higher PO$_2$ pressure, the oxygen vacancies in the STO lattice decreased, as reflected by the suppression of Ti$^{3+}$ and O$_V$ peaks. The Sr 3d$_{5/2}$ and Sr 3d$_{3/2}$ peaks (Figure 6c) were unchanged with increasing PO$_2$, indicating the similar ionic state of Sr. Although the PO$_2$ increased up to $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr for STO-3, oxygen vacancy still existed in the film. This could be due to the escape of oxygen atoms in the lattice from the films during growth at high temperature, thus creating the oxygen vacancy (O$_O$ $\rightarrow$ V$_O^{2+} + 2e^- + \frac{1}{2}$O$_2$), where O$_O$ and V$_O$ represent oxygen ions at the normal site and an oxygen vacancy, respectively) $[45]$.  

![Figure 6. High-resolution XPS spectra of STO-1, STO-2, and STO-3 recorded on: (a) O 1s, (b) Ti 2p, and (c) Sr 3d core levels.](image)
The XPS peak intensities after background subtraction were used to determine the chemical composition using the following equation [23]:

\[ C_i \approx \frac{I_i}{\sum I_i} \]

where \( C_i \), \( I_i \), and \( S_i \) are the concentration, peak area, and atomic sensitivity factor of the element \( i \), respectively. The atomic sensitivity factors of Sr 3d, Ti 2p, and O 1s are 1.48, 1.80, and 0.66, respectively [46]. The composition ratio of Sr:Ti:O deduced from Sr 3d, Ti 2p, and O 1s XPS data of all the films were deviated from the stoichiometric value, as summarized in Table 2. The Sr/Ti ratio of all films was higher than 1, indicating the Ti deficiency in the STO films. There was no significant difference in Sr/Ti ratio as the \( PO_2 \) increased. The O composition was estimated to be less than stoichiometry, which was reduced at lower \( PO_2 \) due to the high level of oxygen vacancy in the STO lattice.

| Sample | Sr:Ti:O   | Sr/Ti | O\(_{2}\)/O\(_{L}\) | Ti\(^{3+}\)/Ti\(^{4+}\) |
|--------|-----------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|
| STO-1  | 1:0.90:2.92 | 1.11  | 0.13                | 0.23                |
| STO-2  | 1:0.91:2.87 | 1.10  | 0.11                | 0.16                |
| STO-3  | 1:0.89:2.82 | 1.12  | 0.06                | 0.13                |

3.3. Surface Morphology

The AFM topography images (2 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 2 \( \mu \)m) of the STO films grown at different \( PO_2 \) are presented in Figure 7. The LAO substrate showed a relatively flat and smooth surface with a well-defined step and terrace (Figure 7a). After growing, the step became blurred while the terrace was rougher due to the coverage of STO films (Figure 7b–d). The average root-mean-square (RMS) roughness deduced from five scan areas was 0.11 ± 0.02, 0.08 ± 0.02, and 0.08 ± 0.01 nm for STO-1, STO-2, and STO-3, respectively. The RMS roughness of STO-2 and STO-3 was close to that of bare LAO substrate (0.08 ± 0.01 nm). This surface feature confirmed that the STO films grew on the LAO substrates with a two-dimensional (2D) growth mode (i.e., either the step-flow mode or the layer-by-layer growth mode). The RMS roughness of the STO films gradually decreased with increasing \( PO_2 \). At low \( PO_2 \), the sputtered atoms arrived the substrate with high kinetic energy, making it easy to form small particles on the substrate surface and cause a rough terrace. Yet as \( PO_2 \) increased, the sputtered atoms were blocked by collision with oxygen molecules. This caused a partial loss of kinetic energy of sputtered atoms to the substrate, which may lead to a smoother surface.

Figures 7. AFM topography images (2 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 2 \( \mu \)m) of: (a) bare LAO substrate, (b) STO-1, (c) STO-2, and (d) STO-3.
4. Conclusions

The epitaxial STO films were successfully grown on the LAO substrates by the IBSD method at various PO2. All STO films grew on the LAO substrates under a compressive strain along the in-plane direction (a- and b-axes) and a tensile strain along the growth direction (c-axis), indicating a tetragonal distortion. The oxygen vacancies favored creating an STO lattice at low PO2, as confirmed by the formation of Ti3+ ionic state and O2 peak and were suppressed at higher PO2. The existence of oxygen vacancies resulted in an expansion of the STO unit cell and also lowered the crystalline quality. The surface roughness of the STO films became flatter and smoother, close to bare LAO substrate at a higher PO2, indicating a 2D growth mode. The present study provides a greater understanding of the effect of PO2 on crystal structure, oxygen vacancy, and surface morphology and their correlation with the epitaxial STO films grown by IBSD. Moreover, these results are a useful reference for optimizing the growth of other epitaxial oxide thin films by IBSD.
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