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Identification of a Modified HOXB9 mRNA in Breast Cancer

Ayako Nakashoji, Tetsu Hayashida, Yuko Kawai, Masayuki Kikuchi, Rurina Watanuki, Takamichi Yokoe, Tomoko Seki, Maiko Takahashi, Kazuhiro Miyao, Shigeo Yamaguchi, and Yuko Kitagawa

Department of Surgery, Keio University School of Medicine, Shinanomachi 35 Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-0016, Japan

Correspondence should be addressed to Tetsu Hayashida; tetsu@keio.jp

Received 3 August 2019; Revised 29 December 2019; Accepted 7 January 2020; Published 13 February 2020

Academic Editor: Rossana Berardi

Copyright © 2020 Ayako Nakashoji et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

First identified as a developmental gene, HOXB9 is also known to be involved in tumor biological processes, and its aberrant expression correlates with poor prognosis of various cancers. In this study, we isolated a homeodomain-less, novel HOXB9 variant (HOXB9v) from human breast cancer cell line-derived mRNA. We confirmed that the novel variant was produced from variationless HOXB9 genomic DNA. RT-PCR of mRNA isolated from clinical samples and reanalysis of publicly available RNA-seq data proved that the new transcript is frequently expressed in human breast cancer. Exogenous HOXB9v expression significantly enhanced the proliferation of breast cancer cells, and gene ontology analysis indicated that apoptotic signaling was suppressed in these cells. Considering that HOXB9v lacks key domains of homeobox proteins, its behavior could be completely different from that of the previously described variationless HOXB9. Because none of the previous studies on HOXB9 have considered the presence of HOXB9v, further research analyzing the two transcripts individually is warranted to re-evaluate the true role of HOXB9 in cancer.

1. Introduction

Homeobox (HOX) genes were initially characterized as developmental genes, which code for transcription factors that play critical roles in embryogenesis. Evolutionarily, they are highly conserved and share a high degree of homology, especially within the same paralog groups. All 39 mammalian HOX genes consist of two exons and a single intron. The homeobox domain, encoded in the second exon [1], includes the DNA binding site. The diverse and specific transcriptional activities of the HOX proteins often depend on key cofactors including PBX, MEIS, and PREP, which interact with hexapeptide motifs of HOX proteins [2].

HOX genes play key roles in both solid and hematological malignancies, including cancers of the colon, breast, prostate, lung, brain, thyroid, ovary, bladder, kidney, skin, and blood [3, 4]. HOXB9, the ninth paralog in the HOX-B cluster, is associated with the growth and progression of multiple cancers. In lung adenocarcinoma, HOXB9 promotes metastasis by activating the WNT signaling pathway [5, 6]. In breast cancer, the gene induces the expression of proangiogenic factors, increasing the cell motility and supporting epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) [7, 8]. HOXB9 also promotes the growth of colon cancer by activating IL6 signaling, inducing the secretion of angiogenic factors and increasing proliferation of tumor cells [9]. Similar observations are found in ovarian cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma [10, 11]. Thus, HOXB9 activates the WNT signaling pathway and enhances the acquisition of capabilities critical to the transformation of normal cells to cancer, including EMT and the growth of new vasculature within the tumor microenvironment.

HOXB9 is also directly associated with cancer-induced patient mortality. The duration of disease-free and overall survival of patients with HOXB9-positive breast cancer is significantly shorter compared with patients with HOXB9-negative breast cancer [12]. Increased HOXB9 expression significantly correlates with decreased overall survival for
patients with colorectal cancer [9]. Patients of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, glioma, and endometrial cancer also present poor outcomes or tumor progression, resulting from aberrant HOXB9 expression [13–16].

The recent elucidation of the critical and diverse roles HOXB9 plays in various cancers have led us to explore the mechanism of this gene’s role in cancer progression. In the present study, we identified and characterized a HOXB9 variant (HOXB9v) of mRNA from human breast cancer cell lines. The sequence of HOXB9v largely differs from the previously known HOXB9 normal transcript (HOXB9n), and we found it lacks some important domains of HOX genes. Based on these findings, we inferred its role and function were different from HOXB9n.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cell Culture. We cultured eight human breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, Hs578T, HCC38, BT-474, BT-549, and SKBR3), a human colon cancer cell line (WiDr), and a mouse breast cancer cell line (4T1) in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) with the addition of antibiotic and antymycotic agent (antibiotic-antimycotic mixed stock solution, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan). T47D cells were grown in RPMI1640 medium with 10% FBS and antibiotic and antymycotic agents. MCF10A cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator.

2.2. Patients and Samples. Clinical specimens of human breast cancer (n = 14) were collected from patients with primary operable breast cancer who underwent total or partial mastectomy between July and November 2018 in Keio University Hospital (Tokyo, Japan). Patient-matched healthy breast epithelium samples (n = 6) were collected from healthy breast tissue from patients who underwent total mastectomy. Ethics approval for the present study was provided by the Ethics Committee at the Keio University School of Medicine (approval number: 20180090), and the study was performed in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Fortaleza, Brazil, October 2013). All included patients gave informed consent.

2.3. mRNA and Genomic DNA Extraction from Cell Lines and Clinical Specimens. Total RNA and genomic DNA were extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit and QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Clinical specimens were homogenized using a Minilys homogenizer (Bertin Instruments, Breteonneux, France) using 2.4 mm metal beads prior to mRNA extraction. Total RNA was converted to cDNA using the High-Capacity RNA-to-cDNA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

2.4. HOXB9 Cloning. HOXB9 transcripts and genomic DNA were amplified by PCR and subsequently cloned into the pME-HA vector (Lucigen, Middleton, WI, USA) using the Expresso CMV Cloning & Expression system (Lucigen). The primers used were as follows: sense 5’ GAAAGGAGATTACCACTATGTCCATATTCTTGAGGAGGATAC 3’ and antisense 5’ GGCGACGTATACGGGATACTTTGGCCCTTGCTCATTTATT 3’.

After transformation into Competent Quick DH5a cells (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and culturing in kanamycin-containing LB plates, at least 4 colonies were selected for each sample.

2.5. Sequence Analysis. Sequences were analyzed using the BigDye Terminator V3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Applied Biosystems 3500 Genetic analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The GENETYX-MAC Ver.19 software (GENETYX, Osaka, Japan) was used for homology alignment.

2.6. RT-PCR Analysis. The expressions of HOXB9n and HOXB9v were detected by RT-PCR using the following primers: sense, 5’ TGCTCCATTTCTCGGACCGTT 3’; antisense, 5’ CTACGCTCCCTGTGAGGTA 3’. The genomic DNA of HOXB9 was detected by PCR using the following primers: sense, 5’ CGAGAGGCTGCAAGTGCAT 3’; antisense, 5’ CTGCGGCTCTCTACCAC 3’. The primers for genomic DNA were designed against exon 1 and the intron region of the HOXB9 gene to ensure that the pair will specifically amplify only genomic DNA and not cDNA derived from mRNA. The conditions applied for amplification were as follows: 94°C for 1 minute, followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 5 seconds, 55°C for 5 seconds, and 72°C for 5 seconds, and run on the Life ECO thermal cycler (Hangzhou Bior Technology, Hangzhou, China) using the SapphireAmp Fast PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) or KOD-Plus-Neo (Toyobo).

2.7. Public Data Reanalysis. The RNA sequence data set (GSE119937) in FASTQ format was downloaded via SRA (SRP161704) using the SRA Toolkit (version 2.3.4-2). RNA-seq reads were aligned by STAR (version 2.6.1b) against the hg38 reference genome. All reads mapped on the HOXB9 gene were visually confirmed by taking snapshots in IGV (version 2.4.15).

2.8. Establishment of Stable MCF7 Cell Lines Overexpressing HOXB9n or HOXB9v. The HOXB9n and HOXB9v sequences were amplified by PCR and subsequently cloned into the pBiT3.1-N [CMV/HiBiT/Blast] expression vector (Promega, Tokyo, Japan) at the Xhol and BamHI sites using the Expresso CMV Cloning & Expression system (Lucigen). The primers used were as follows: sense 5’ GAAAGGAGATTACCACTATGTCCATATTCTTGAGGAGGATAC 3’ and antisense 5’ GGCGACGTATACGGGATACTTTGGCCCTTGCTCATTTATT 3’.

After transformation into Competent Quick DH5a cells (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) and culturing in kanamycin-containing LB plates, at least 4 colonies were selected for each sample.
Ligation high Ver.2 (Toyobo). The primers used for amplification were as follows: sense, 5′ ATACCTCGAG GCCATTTCTCGG 3′; antisense, 5′ CACGTCATACGGAT CCTCTTTG 5′. MCF7 cells were transfected with the HiBiT-tagged HOXB9n or HOXB9v vector using the Viafect Transfection reagent (Promega) and selected with 10 μg/mL of blasticidin for at least 4 weeks. The expression of HiBiT-tagged HOXB9n and HOXB9v proteins was detected using the Nano-Glo HiBiT Blotting System (Promega) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.9. Transient Overexpression of HOXB9n and HOXB9v in MDA-MB-468 Cells. MDA-MB-468 cells were transfected with aforementioned HiBiT-tagged HOXB9n or HOXB9v vector using the jetPRIME (Polyplus-transfection, Illkirch, France) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.10. Quantitative Real-Time PCR. Quantitative real-time PCR was run on ViiA7 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Fisher Fisher Scientific). Pre-incubation was performed for 20 seconds at 95°C and amplification for 41 cycles (1 second of denaturation at 95°C and 20 seconds of annealing and extension at 60°C), followed by melt-curve analysis. GAPDH served as an internal control, and QuantStudio Real-Time PCR Software v1.2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for quantification. The relative standard curve method was used for linear regression analysis of unknown samples, and data are presented as fold change between samples. The primers used were as follows: HOXB9: sense, 5′ CGGTTGGCTGTGCTGAAATT 3′; antisense, 5′ CGAGACAACTACCCCCAAAG 3′; GAP DH: sense, 5′ ATCATCCCCGTGCTTCTCTGG 3′; antisense, 5′ TTTCTAGACCGCAGGTACGGT 3′.

2.11. Cell Proliferation Assay. Cell proliferation in three-dimensional (3D) culture was measured using the 24-well Bio-Assembler kit and NanoShuttle-PL (Greiner Bio-One, Kremsmünster, Austria). Further, 20,000 cells/well were incubated for 48 hours before taking photomicrographs.

Cell proliferation in flat culture was measured using Cell Count Reagent SF (Nacalai Tesque). Briefly, 5000 cells/well in 96-well microtiter plates (Sumilon, Sumitomo Bakelite, Tokyo Japan) were incubated for 5 days or after 24 hour continuous exposure to either 30 nM HXR9 or 30 nM CXR9 for 4 days. The absorbance in the wells was measured on days 1, 3, and 5 using a Sunrise Rainbow-RC (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland) microplate spectrophotometer at 450 nm, using 600 nm as reference. The HXR9 (WYPWMKKHHRRRRRRRR-) and control CXR9 (WYPAKKHRRRRRRRR) peptides were synthesized by Eurofins Genomics K. K. (Tokyo, Japan).

2.12. Microarray and Differential Expression Analyses. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Microarray was performed using the human Clarion S assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by the GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G system (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the results were analyzed by TAC 4.0 software (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Genes having a false discovery rate (FDR) under 0.05 and upregulated in HOXB9v samples were considered as upregulated differentially expressed genes (up-DEGs). DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 [17] was used for gene ontology and pathway analysis of DEGs. R ver. 3.5.0 software was used to draw the heatmap of 37 genes included in GO: 0043069 (negative regulation of programmed cell death).

3. Results

3.1. Identification of a Novel Transcript HOXB9v, Which Lacks Important Domains of HOX Gene. We isolated total RNA from human breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, T47D, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, HCC38, BT-474, BT-549, Hs578T, and SKBR3), a normal human mammary gland cell line (MCF10A), and a human colon cancer cell line (WiDR). We cloned and sequenced the HOXB9 gene from the nucleic acids derived from these cell lines. We isolated a novel variant of the HOXB9 transcript from the MCF7, T47D, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468, Hs578T, HCC38, and MCF10A cell lines. The sequence homology of the new transcript is shown in Figures 1(a) and S1.

We will refer to the new truncated transcript as HOXB9v, to distinguish from the full-length HOXB9 transcript (HOXB9n). A 100-base deletion in exon 1 in the new transcript leads to a frameshift and the formation of a stop codon (TAG), which truncates the protein coding at AA167. Figure 1(b) is a schematic diagram of HOXB9 transcripts showing the exons and the deleted lesion. The encoded protein will therefore possibly lack the homeobox domain, DNA binding domain, and the hexapeptide motif, a major player in cofactor interactions (Figure 1(c)). The HOXB9v sequence has been submitted to GenBank under Accession No. LC466645.

We next performed PCR analyses to verify the presence of the HOXB9v transcript and identify genomic DNA variations of the HOXB9 gene in human breast cancer cell lines. The primer target region included the deletion site of HOXB9v and the amplicon for HOXB9n was 643 bp and 543 bp for HOXB9v. We detected both HOXB9n and HOXB9v transcripts in breast cancer cell lines (Figure 2(a)). The PCR products were sequenced and were confirmed that each band corresponded to the exact sequence of the HOXB9n or HOXB9v (Figure 2(b)). PCR of other breast cancer cell lines’ genomic DNA, no bands indicative of genomic DNA variations in HOXB9 were detected. The amplicon of variation-less HOXB9 genomic DNA was 569 bp (Figure 2(c)). Sequencing of the PCR products confirmed that they had no variations or deletions in genomic DNA (Figure S2). These findings show that the two transcripts (HOXB9n and HOXB9v) were produced from variation-less HOXB9 genomic DNA.

To determine the presence of HOXB9v transcripts in human breast cancer samples, we performed PCR analysis. HOXB9v was commonly detected from clinical breast cancer samples (Figure 3(a)), regardless of their hormone receptor and HER2 status. However, HOXB9v was not detected in normal mammary gland samples (Figure 3(b)).
Figure 1: Structure and sequence of HOXB9n and HOXB9v. (a) Sequences of genomic DNA HOXB9, mRNA HOXB9n, and mRNA HOXB9v (black and grey highlighting indicates homology between sequences). (b) Schematic diagram of HOXB9n (upper) and HOXB9v (lower) transcripts showing the exons, splicing regions, and the deleted region. In HOXB9v, a 100 bp deletion in exon 1 leads to a frameshift and a stop codon formation (TAA). (c) Protein structure of HOXB9n and HOXB9v. Transcription from the start codon (ATG) to the stop codon (TAA) results in the translation of a full-length HOXB9n protein (upper). The 100 bp deletion in HOXB9v (shown in black) leads to a frameshift from AA85 (shown in grey) and truncation of protein coding by a stop codon (TAG) at AA167, which results in HOXB9v protein without hexapeptide and homeodomain. ∗Stop codon.

Figure 2: Detection of mRNA and genomic DNA of HOXB9 in cell lines. (a) Both HOXB9n and HOXB9v transcripts were detected in breast cancer cell line mRNA (cDNA). (b) Sequencing confirmation of PCR product of Figure 2(a); SKBR3 (HOXB9n, upper column) and MCF10A (HOXB9v, lower column). (c) No genomic variation was detected in genomic DNA of breast cancer cell line genomic DNA. HOXB9v transcripts are commonly found in human breast cancer specimens.
To further confirm the presence of \textit{HOXB9}v in human breast cancer samples, we reanalyzed a publicly available breast cancer RNA sequence data set (GSE119937) \cite{18} and mapped the reads onto the \textit{HOXB9} gene sequence. We identified a region in exon 1 where the number of mapped reads was low in numerous samples; this region matched the deletion region of \textit{HOXB9}v (Figure 4).

To determine the role of \textit{HOXB9}v in breast cancer, we established stable MCF7 cell lines overexpressing \textit{HOXB9}n or \textit{HOXB9}v. Gene and protein expressions of \textit{HOXB9}n and \textit{HOXB9}v were verified in both cell lines (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). Cell proliferation assays in both 3D culture and flat culture showed that \textit{HOXB9}v overexpression increased MCF7 cell growth (Figures 5(c) and 5(d)). We also transiently overexpressed \textit{HOXB9}n or \textit{HOXB9}v in MDA-MB-468 cells, and the cell proliferation assay showed that \textit{HOXB9}v overexpression increased MDA-MB-468 cell growth (Figures 5(e) and 5(f)).

Thus, to further confirm the presence of \textit{HOXB9}v in human breast cancer samples, we reanalyzed a publicly available breast cancer RNA sequence data set (GSE119937) \cite{18} and mapped the reads onto the \textit{HOXB9} gene sequence. We identified a region in exon 1 where the number of mapped reads was low in numerous samples; this region matched the deletion region of \textit{HOXB9}v (Figure 4).

To determine the role of \textit{HOXB9}v in breast cancer, we established stable MCF7 cell lines overexpressing \textit{HOXB9}n or \textit{HOXB9}v. Gene and protein expressions of \textit{HOXB9}n and \textit{HOXB9}v were verified in both cell lines (Figures 5(a) and 5(b)). Cell proliferation assays in both 3D culture and flat culture showed that \textit{HOXB9}v overexpression increased MCF7 cell growth (Figures 5(c) and 5(d)). We also transiently overexpressed \textit{HOXB9}n or \textit{HOXB9}v in MDA-MB-468 cells, and the cell proliferation assay showed that \textit{HOXB9}v overexpression increased MDA-MB-468 cell growth (Figures 5(e) and 5(f)).

### 3.2. HX9R and \textit{CXR9} Treatment

\textit{HX9R}, an 18-amino acid peptide, competently inhibits the hexapeptide motif of HOX proteins and prevents HOX-PBX binding \cite{19}. \textit{HOXB9}n and \textit{HOXB9}v expressing MCF7 cells were treated with \textit{HX9R} or a control peptide, \textit{CXR9} (Figures 6(a) and 6(b)). Although \textit{HOXB9}v lacks the hexapeptide motif, which is known to interact with PBX proteins \cite{20}, \textit{HX9R} significantly inhibited proliferation of both cell lines.
3.3. Microarray and Gene Ontology Analysis. To explore the reason behind the faster proliferation of HOXB9v overexpressing cells, we performed microarray and gene ontology analyses using HOXB9n and HOXB9v-expressing MCF7 cells. We chose 1056 genes as DEGs, and gene ontology analysis showed that up-DEGs between HOXB9n and HOXB9v expressing cells presented significant differences in pathways relevant to apoptosis suppression (GO:0060548—negative regulation of cell death, GO:0043069—negative regulation of programmed cell death, and GO:0043066—negative regulation of apoptotic process) and steroid hormone response (GO:0048545—response to steroid hormone, GO:0032870—cellular response to hormone stimulus, and GO:0071383—cellular response to steroid hormone stimulus) (Table 1). The genomic expression heatmap comparing 37 genes involved in the apoptotic process (GO:0043069—negative regulation of programmed cell death) shows that apoptosis is highly suppressed in HOXB9v expressing cells (Figure 7).

4. Discussion

In the present study, we identified a novel modified transcript of HOXB9, in which a deletion in exon 1 causes a frameshift, formation of a stop codon, and truncation of protein coding. This leads to a defect in the homeodomain and hexapeptide regions, which are both crucial for HOX gene function. We confirmed that HOXB9v is widely present in human breast cancer cell lines and clinical breast cancer samples, however not
in normal gland samples. Detection of HOXB9v in MCF10A may be attributed to the fact that this cell line is not karyotypically normal, while it maintains major characteristics of normal breast epithelium [21]. We further confirmed that HOXB9v and the previously known HOXB9n were both produced from variationless HOXB9 genomic DNA. Two distinct mRNA products from a single variationless genomic DNA may indicate that HOXB9v is a novel splice variant of HOXB9. It maybe assumed that HOXB9v has atypical splicing sites as 5′ donor site and AG as a 3′ acceptor site [22]; however, the single-nucleotide transitions at 248 and 258 and the single-nucleotide deletion at 254 must be taken into account. Further investigation and discussions are needed to decide whether HOXB9v is a splice variant or an mRNA modified by a different mechanism. Nonetheless, the modified transcript is certainly not a result of variations in genomic DNA. Additionally, HOXB9v results in a different stop codon site from that of HOXB9n and may become a target of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD), an mRNA surveillance mechanism that eliminates premature translation-termination codons. However, NMD is known to be initiated if an exon-junction complex is present more than 50–55 bases downstream of the stop codon [23]. HOXB9v forms its exon-junction complex upstream from its stop codon; therefore, we infer it escapes from NMD.

Nevertheless, the production of splice variants of HOX genes lacking the homeodomain is likely a common phenomenon. Murine Hoxb9, which shares sequence similarity with human HOXB9, is reported to generate a splice variant without a homeodomain. Other HOX genes, including human HOXA1, HOXB6, HOXA9, HOXA10, murine Hoxb6 and Meis1, and Xenopus XlHbox2, have also been reported to generate splice variants lacking homeodomains [24–28]. Interestingly, HOXA9T, a homeodomain-less isoform of HOXA9, which is structurally similar to HOXB9v, has been demonstrated to act as an oncogene in leukemia without directly binding to DNA [24]. HOXB9 has been reported to promote tumorigenesis in various types of cancers; however, no previous research on HOXB9 has shown a mRNA variant of HOXB9. Considering that the structure of the HOXB9v protein differs from that of the previously studied HOXB9n protein and lacks important domains such as homeodomain and hexapeptide motif, its function is likely to differ from that of HOXB9n. Further study is required to re-evaluate the role of HOXB9 in

**Table 1: Gene ontology analysis by differential gene expression.** Apoptosis was suppressed in HOXB9v-MCF7 cells compared with HOXB9n-MCF7 cells.

| Term                                | P value | Bonferroni |
|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|
| Negative regulation of cell death   | 7.36E-07| 0.002961   |
| Response to steroid hormone         | 2.24E-06| 0.008994   |
| Cellular response to zinc ion       | 2.66E-06| 0.01065    |
| Negative regulation of programmed cell death | 3.30E-06 | 0.013228   |
| Cellular response to hormone stimulus | 6.93E-06 | 0.027551   |
| Negative regulation of apoptotic process | 7.26E-06 | 0.028853   |
| Cellular response to steroid hormone stimulus | 1.15E-05 | 0.045436   |
| Negative regulation of transcription, DNA-template | 1.17E-05 | 0.045904   |

**Figure 6:** (a) HOXB9n-MCF7 cells and (b) HOXB9v-MCF7 cells were treated with HXR9 or with a control peptide, CXR9. HXR9 significantly inhibited the proliferation of both cell lines.
cancer. HOXB9n and HOXB9v should be assessed separately.

In the growth assay, MCF7 and MDA-MB-468 cells expressing HOXB9v at high levels presented more rapid proliferation than did those expressing HOXB9v. It is meaningful that similar results were observed in two cell lines: one with hardly any expression of HOXB9n and HOXB9v (MCF7) and the other with high expression of both (MDA-MB-468). Fostered proliferation by HOXB9v may be attributed to the suppressed apoptosis observed in our microarray studies. Gene ontology analysis of HOXB9v-expressing cells compared to HOXB9n-expressing cells indicated significant upregulation of pathways related to apoptosis suppression, further underscoring its role in this regard. Several HOX genes have been reported to regulate apoptosis in cancer [29, 30], and HOX-regulated apoptosis is a general mechanism used during development to maintain metameric patterns [31, 32]. However, the role of HOXB9 in apoptosis is yet to be investigated.

The binding selectivity of HOX proteins is influenced by cofactors, including members of the PBX, MEIS, and PREP families [2]. Additionally, HOX-PBX interactions involve a short HOX protein motif, the hexapeptide, located upstream of the homeodomain [33]. HXR9 is an 18-amino acid peptide and suppresses tumor proliferation by inhibiting HOX-PBX binding [19]. In our proliferation assay, HOXB9v expressing cell lines were sensitive to the HOX-PBX inhibitor HXR9, even though HOXB9v lacks the hexapeptide. This may be because HOXB9v, in consort with HOXB9n or

![Microarray data heatmap of HOXB9n-MCF7 and HOXB9v-MCF7. Genomic heatmap compares expression of 37 genes which are involved in suppression of apoptotic process (GO:0043069), with red and green color intensities indicating high and low expressions, respectively. Programmed cell death is suppressed in HOXB9v-MCF7 cells.](image-url)
other HOX proteins, indirectly promotes HOX-PBX binding.

5. Conclusions

We report a modified HOXB9 mRNA variant that results in a homeodomain defect. We confirmed its presence in human breast cancer cell lines and breast cancer clinical samples and also revealed that HOXB9v may promote breast cancer proliferation by suppression of apoptosis. Further research is warranted to analyze HOXB9v and HOXB9n individually and re-evaluate the true role of HOXB9 in cancer.
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