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Abstract

An experiment was conducted to determine the effects of shade on plant development, macronutrient and secondary metabolites in roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa). Three roselle accessions (ZM 5729, ZM 5738 and ZM ZM5748) acquired from the Zambia National Plant Genetic Resource Centre were used and shade was imposed by intercropping roselle with maize (Zea mays). A Split plot design with shade as main plot and genotype as split-plot was used. Nutritional and development parameters were monitored. At 14 weeks shaded plants had about a three-fold decrease in branches numbers compared to unshaded ones. Plant height was higher in unshaded treatments (120 cm) compared to shaded (83 cm). Plant height of ZM 5738 was significantly higher than the other two accessions. Shading reduced dry matter accumulation by almost 75% across genotypes with about 20 g per plant in shaded treatments and 73 g in unshaded treatments. Nutrient reductions were highest in fat (60.6%), fibre (48.4%), protein (37.8%) and lowest in ash (27.3%). In terms of strength of partitioning the pattern was: branches > leaves > roots > squares > flowers. The carbohydrate content increased with shading (10.6%). Vitamin C content was higher in unshaded treatments in comparison with shaded conditions. ZM 5738 had the highest content followed by ZM 5748 and ZM 5729 had the least content. Oxalates which are an anti-nutrition factor increased with shade. The findings showed that there is significant variation among genotypes and in future selections can be made to choose the most productive genotypes.
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Introduction

The consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables in Zambia is low particularly among the high income households (Hichaambwa et al., 2009). This low and declining consumption of fruits and vegetables is not unique to Zambia but a worldwide phenomenon (Casagrande et al., 2007; Pomerleau et al., 2004). An added complication is the declining nutritional content in new crop varieties that has been observed as plant breeders attempt to improve agronomic characteristics of crops (Simon, 2014). Consumption of vegetables and fruits can be improved by introducing and popularising ‘novel’ commodities. Additionally it has been suggested that improving traits readily observable by consumers (such as texture colour) rather than exclusive focus on nutritional quality may be a better approach to increasing consumption (Simon, 2014).

Growing awareness of health-promoting effects of vegetables and fruits has rekindled interest in novel or underutilised foods (Casagrande et al., 2007; Pomerleau et al., 2004). Indigenous plants provide a cheaper and affordable source of nutrients and can play a critical role especially among the low income households (Hichaambwa et al., 2009). In Zambia one of the potentially important sources of nutrients and secondary metabolites is the indigenous vegetable, roselle (Hibiscus sabdariffa). Roselle is native to India and Malaysia, and is thought to have been introduced to Africa 6500 years ago. It is however believed to have been domesticated in Western Sudan even before 4000 B.C. (Wilson and Menzel, 1964). Many parts of the plant are of utilized with the leaves, seeds, and calyces widely used as either food or drug (FAO, 2007). Roselle is a popular vegetable in Zambia where it is commonly known as sindambi or lumanda. In addition to having high protein, carbohydrates and fibre, work done in other countries has shown the vegetable to be rich in secondary metabolites (Obouayeba et al., 2015). Secondary metabolites are biologically active phytochemicals...
usually synthesized from primary metabolites such as glucose; these compounds protect plants from environmental hazards such as pollution, stress, drought and pathogenic attacks-disease and damage (Larcher 1995; Man et al., 2011). Secondary metabolites also contribute to colour, aroma and flavour of the plant and roselle has been found to be rich in these compounds (Da-Costa-Rocha et al., 2014; Obouayeba et al., 2015). It is known that environmental factors such as soil pH and heat affect the stability of nutritional contents in many plants (Attoe and Van Elbe, 1981).

Roselle is considered to be a minor crop in the local maize-based farming systems and as a result receives less attention in terms of labour and land allocation. Commonly, roselle is intercropped with major crops such as maize (Zea mays), thus subjecting the crop to shade stress. Shade has a profound effect on plant development both through effect on light quantity (transduced through temperature effects) and light quality (photosynthesis and sensory mechanisms) (Mataa and Tomina, 1998). There is little information on macronutrients and secondary metabolites’ contents of roselle leaves under intercropping system where the light regime is altered due to shading effects by the main crop.

By definition, photo synthetically active radiation (PAR) includes wavelengths between 400 and 700 nm and thus, far-red radiation (FR, 700–800 nm) is excluded when the photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) is measured. However, FR radiation and the ratio of red (R; 600–700 nm) to FR regulate phytochrome-mediated morphological and developmental plant responses to promote radiation capture and survival under shade (Park and Runkle, 2017). Within a crop community, plants growing under a canopy not only experience a reduction in the amount of irradiance, but also a reduction in the quality of light as chlorophyll preferentially absorbs red (R) light and reflects far-red (FR) light. Therefore, the R : FR decreases as sunlight moves through the crop canopy (De Luca and Hungria, 2014). The decrease in the amount of R light in relation to the amount of FR light thus results in an environmental cue for plants to detect neighbour plants before canopy closure (Mellendorf, 2011). That cue typically leads to a response in plant growth. Many plant species respond to a reduction in R : FR with increased apical dominance decreased branching, increased stem extension and inter-node elongation (Mellendorf, 2011).

The objectives of this study were to (1) evaluate the effect of shade resulting from intercropping with maize on plant development and nutritional content of roselle; (2) determine whether the above effects are general or genotype dependant.

It is anticipated that such information would help in further understanding of impact of cropping system on productivity of an underutilized and under researched crop and ultimately contribute to the wider cultivation and utilization of roselle.

Materials and Methods

Location

The research was conducted on Siziya farm in Ilheti hill, Lusaka located in region II agroecological zone, this zone is characterized by an annual rainfall range of 800 to 1200 mm. The site was at an altitude of about 1,279 metres, latitude 15°25’ and longitude 28°21’. Soil samples were taken and their physical and chemical properties were determined at the University of Zambia’s Department of Soil Science under the School of Agricultural Sciences. The pH of the site was 6.05.

Plant materials

Three local landraces of roselle were obtained from the Zambia Agricultural Research Institute, National Plant Genetic Resource Centre in Chilanga, Lusaka. The accessions of roselle were ZM 5729, ZM 5738 and ZM5748 and they were selected at random. These materials are local landraces that are grown by smallholder farmers under traditional subsistence systems. They were collected and conserved in the gene bank as normal efforts to prevent loss of genetic materials but they have not yet been characterised. A medium- maturing maize (Zea mays) variety MM 627 was used for intercropping some plots of roselle to provide shade. An early maturing maize variety was chosen so as to ensure early and continuous shade application throughout the season.

Cultivation practices

Land preparation was done as per recommended vegetable practices (Mingochi and Lucheni, 2000). Planting was done on 17th February 2017. Three rows constituted a block and this was replicated 3 times. The centre row was used for data collection and the 2 side rows were guard rows. Weeding was done manually by hand- hoe whenever necessary. The crop depended on rainfall for water.

Fertilizer was applied as recommended for common vegetables- Basal dressing of 250 kg ha⁻¹ of a 10:20:10 analysis fertiliser (D- compound) and 120 kg ha⁻¹ urea (46% N) (Mingochi and Lucheni, 2000). Urea application was divided into two splits, with the first application (60 kg) applied at planting, and the second application at three weeks to extend the vegetative phase of the crop. The plants were monitored regularly during
early stage days for insect damage. Common pests encountered were the cotton aphid (*Aphis gossypii* Glover), and Pittosporum beetles (*Lamprolina impressicollis*), during the early plant stages. In order to control these pests, the insecticides chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin were applied and they were effective against the aforementioned insect pests.

**Sample collection**

Leaf samples were collected from the centre rows. Mature roselle leaves were harvested starting at eleven weeks after planting and analyzed for moisture, ash, protein, fibre, fat and secondary metabolites. At the end of 14 week study period, 3 samples of whole plants per replicate were carefully dug up and separated into different constituent components (branches/stems, leaves, roots reproductive structures - unopened bolls (squares) and opened flowers. These samples were dried in forced drought oven at 65 °C for 24 hrs and dry mass was taken as described in Mataa et al. (1996).

**Laboratory Analyses**

**Proximate analysis**

Proximate analysis was done according to the AOAC (2000) which were as follows; AOAC method 934.01 for moisture; AOAC method 942.05 for ash; AOAC method 920.39 (A) for crude fat; AOAC method 984 (A-D) for crude protein; and AOAC method 978.10 for crude fibre. Total carbohydrates were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Total carbohydrates} = \{100 - (\text{ash} + \text{moisture} + \text{protein} + \text{fibre} + \text{fat})\} \%
\]

**Secondary metabolite analysis**

Alkaloids were determined using the alkaline precipitation gravimetric method described by AOAC (2000). Oxalate content was determined using the method described by Day and Underwood (1986). The titrimetric method 967.21 was used to determine Vitamin C according to AOAC (2000).

**Experimental design**

A split plot design with three replications was used and consisted of shading as the main plot and the split-plots were the roselle genotypes (Sokal and Rolfe, 1981). The rows were 12 m in length of which one half or 6 m was planted with a sole crop of roselle at a 50 cm spacing (no shading). The other half inter-planted alternatively with maize and roselle (each at equidistant at 50 cm from each other). Allocation of main plot and split plot was random. The rows were spaced 1 m apart. Data was analyzed using GenStat 16 Software (VSN International, 2009). Where significant effects were discerned means were separated using least significant difference (LSD) test at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

**Results and Discussion**

The shade exerted significant effects on plant development and secondary nutrient content, in some cases the effects were genotype specific.

**Plant growth (height)**

The effects of treatments on plant height are presented in Fig. 1A and Table 1. Shade reduced plant height by about 25%. Plant height followed a double sigmoid pattern with an initial rapid expansion from planting up to week 6 after which growth slowed down until about week 12 when it increased again. Differences in plant height emerged at 5 weeks after planting (Fig. 1A). Plant height at 14 weeks after planting was higher in unshaded condition (120 cm) than in shaded (83 cm). There were significant differences among genotypes. ZM 5738 accession had the fastest growth throughout the observation period and at 14 weeks it was significantly taller than the other two. Initial rapid growth was observed for ZM 5738 under shaded, although it declined towards the end. At the end of 14 weeks observation there were no significant differences among the genotypes in the shaded treatments.

![Fig 1. Changes in plant height (A) and number of branches per plant (B) among three roselle accessions subjected to shading by maize intercrop. SD (shaded) and USD (unshaded) treatments.](image-url)
**Branching**

Fig. 1B shows the effect of shading on number of branches of the genotypes across the growth period. The branching pattern was similar to the one for plant growth. Shade reduced branching significantly. At 14 weeks after planting shaded plots had 3.2 branches compared to 11 for unshaded ones. Branching increased with age in all accessions and there were highly significant interactions (p < 0.001) between shading, age and the genotypes. ZM 5738 had significantly more branching under shade than the other two accessions. ZM 5729 had significantly more branching under shade compared to the other two accessions.

**Dry matter partitioning**

The effects of treatments on the dry matter content are shown on Table 1. There was a very significant effect of shading (p < 0.02) on the total dry matter content of the different genotypes. Shading reduced dry matter accumulation by almost 75 % across genotypes with about 20 g plant⁻¹ in shaded treatments and 73 g in unshaded treatments. In terms of strength of assimilate partitioning, it was: branches > leaves > roots > squares > flowers. Partitioning to branches, flowers (opened) and roots was not influenced by either shade or genotype but to leaves and squares it was influenced by shading. Highly significant shade and genotypic effects were observed in squares. Partitioning to leaves was reduced by shading (Table 1). Shaded plots had 20 % of DM partitioned to leaves whereas unshaded ones partitioned close to 30 % of DM to leaves. Contrarily, shading partitioned 20 % of their DM to reproductive structures and unshaded plots partitioned 18.8%. There were significant differences among genotypes ZM 5748 partitioned significantly more DM to reproductive structures (squares) than the other two accessions (p = 0.01). De Luca and Hungria, (2014) noted that there is a reduction in the quality of light as sunlight moves through the crop canopy because chlorophyll preferentially absorbs red (R) light and reflects far-red (FR) light, thereby the R : FR decreases. It can therefore, be postulated that the observed morphological responses were due to changes in light quality arising from shade.

**Macronutrients**

The effects of treatments (shading effect on genotypes) on macronutrients (ash, carbohydrate, protein, fibre, moisture and fat) content are presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Effect of shading on dry matter (DM) partitioning in selected Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) accessions.

| Factors          | Genotype | Total DM (g) | Dry matter partitioning (%) |
|------------------|----------|--------------|------------------------------|
|                  |          | Branches     | Flowers | Roots | Leaves | Squares |
| Shade            | Shaded   | 19.60        | 46.84   | 3.02  | 10.31  | 20.37   | 20.35   |
|                  | Unshaded | 73.10        | 48.81   | 4.56  | 9.42   | 28.80   | 7.52    |
|                  | LSD      | 35.10        | 9.31    | 4.15  | 5.55   | 7.04    | 12.09   |
| Genotype         | ZM 5729  | 51.80        | 50.99   | 2.95  | 9.77   | 25.09   | 11.20   |
|                  | ZM 5738  | 47.20        | 45.58   | 5.34  | 10.23  | 25.81   | 13.04   |
|                  | ZM 5748  | 40.20        | 46.91   | 3.09  | 9.60   | 20.37   | 17.56   |
|                  | LSD      | 13.28        | 12.52   | 4.26  | 4.74   | 10.63   | 3.62    |
| Shade X Genotype | ZM 5729  | 23.80        | 51.30   | 3.12  | 11.04  | 18.15   | 16.40   |
|                  | ZM 5738  | 19.50        | 45.66   | 5.23  | 7.23   | 23.80   | 18.27   |
|                  | ZM 5748  | 15.60        | 43.76   | 0.71  | 9.98   | 19.17   | 26.37   |
|                  | ZM 5729  | 79.90        | 50.68   | 2.77  | 8.50   | 32.04   | 6.01    |
|                  | ZM 5738  | 74.80        | 47.71   | 5.45  | 13.43  | 27.81   | 7.80    |
|                  | ZM 5748  | 64.80        | 50.05   | 5.46  | 9.98   | 21.45   | 8.75    |
|                  | LSD      | 27.07        | 14.89   | 5.22  | 6.02   | 12.54   | 9.43    |

Factor effects (p values)

| Main (Shade) | 0.02 | 0.46 | 0.25 | 0.56 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Split (Genotype) | 0.19 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.95 | 0.80 | 0.01 |
| Shade X Genotype | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.56 | 0.08 |

Treatment Effects: < 0.001 (Very highly significant), < 0.01 (highly significant), < 0.05 (significant), > 0.05 (not significant)

All the nutritional components were highly affected by shade and there were highly significant genotype x shade interactions (p < 0.001) except for ash and fibre. Shade significantly reduced fibre, fat and protein content. The reductions were fat (60.6 %), fibre (48.4 %), and protein (37.8 %). Carbohydrate content increased with shading (10.6 %).
Table 2. Effect of shading on compositional factors in selected Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) accessions.

| Factors        | Genotype | Ash  | Fibre | CHO    | Fat  | Protein |
|----------------|----------|------|-------|--------|------|---------|
| Shading        | Shaded   | 4.70 | 2.90  | 80.12  | 0.84 | 8.23    |
|                | Unshaded | 6.60 | 5.62  | 72.42  | 2.13 | 13.23   |
|                | LSD      | 0.15 | 0.26  | 3.49   | 0.11 | 0.78    |
| Genotype       | ZM 5729  | 4.82 | 4.10  | 78.12  | 1.54 | 11.11   |
|                | ZM 5738  | 6.60 | 4.41  | 75.29  | 1.58 | 9.79    |
|                | ZM 5748  | 5.53 | 4.27  | 75.40  | 1.33 | 11.30   |
|                | LSD      | 0.25 | 0.26  | 3.46   | 0.13 | 1.21    |
| **Shade x Genotype** | ZM 5729 | 3.76 | 2.76  | 83.95  | 0.74 | 8.17    |
|                | ZM 5738  | 5.61 | 3.41  | 79.79  | 1.08 | 8.45    |
|                | ZM 5748  | 4.74 | 2.52  | 79.61  | 0.70 | 8.07    |
|                | ZM 5729  | 5.88 | 5.44  | 72.28  | 2.35 | 14.04   |
|                | ZM 5738  | 7.59 | 5.41  | 73.79  | 2.08 | 11.13   |
|                | ZM 5748  | 6.32 | 6.02  | 71.18  | 1.95 | 14.52   |
|                | LSD      | 0.21 | 0.19  | 2.62   | 0.11 | 0.70    |

**Factor significance (p values)**

- Main (Shade): <0.001, <0.001, <0.01, <0.001, <0.001
- Split (Genotype): <0.001, 0.02, <0.001, <0.001, <0.001
- Shade x Genotype: <0.001, <0.001, <0.01, 0.05, <0.001

Treatment Effects: < 0.001 (very highly significant), < 0.01 (highly significant), < 0.05 (significant), > 0.05 (not significant)

Macronutrients are synthesized through different respiratory pathways from carbon skeletons derived from photosynthetic products (Mohr and Schopfer, 1994; Lehninger et al., 1983). The Calvin – Benson cycle through which assimilates are synthesized is dependent on availability of photo synthetically active radiation which is low when plants are grown under shade (Hesketh and Baker, 1967; Mohr and Schopfer, 1994). Daily patterns of net photosynthesis in most plants follow irradiation levels (Tenhunen et al., 1989; Hesketh and Baker, 1967; Larcher, 1995). It is generally accepted that shade in a multi-storey cropping systems will reduce light for lower lying plants (Hesketh and Baker, 1967).

Table 3. Effect of shading on secondary metabolite content in selected Roselle (*Hibiscus sabdariffa*) accessions.

| Factors        | Genotype | Compositional factors (%) | Vitamin C | Oxalate |
|----------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Shading        | Shaded   | 85.14                     | 3.68      |
|                | Unshaded | 300.77                    | 1.37      |
|                | LSD      | 4.30                      | 0.16      |
| Genotype       | ZM 5729  | 186.54                    | 3.00      |
|                | ZM 5738  | 207.38                    | 2.56      |
|                | ZM 5748  | 184.95                    | 2.03      |
|                | LSD      | 4.86                      | 0.39      |
| **Shade x Genotype** | ZM 5729 | 88.64                     | 4.45      |
|                | ZM 5738  | 92.45                     | 3.85      |
|                | ZM 5748  | 74.33                     | 2.72      |
|                | ZM 5729  | 284.45                    | 1.54      |
|                | ZM 5738  | 322.31                    | 1.25      |
|                | ZM 5748  | 295.56                    | 1.34      |
|                | LSD      | 5.80                      | 0.45      |

**Factor significance (p values)**

- Main (Shade): <0.001, <0.001
- Split (Genotype): <0.001, <0.002
- Shade x Genotype: <0.001, 0.005

Treatment Effects: < 0.001 (very highly significant), < 0.01 (highly significant), > 0.05 (not significant)
Ash content
There was a highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$) of the ash content of the leaves across the three genotypes at mature stage under different shading conditions. And the shading effect on ash content was higher in unshaded conditions with genotype ZM 5738 having the highest content followed by ZM 5748 and ZM 5729 had the lowest content. Ash is principally made of soil derived minerals which the plant integrates into its own bio-molecules and any process that reduces availability of energy (derived from photosynthesis) necessary for metabolic activities and nutrient absorption will ultimately reduce mineral uptake and ash content.

Vitamin C content
Ascorbic acid also known as vitamin C is a water-soluble vitamin and essential nutrient that supports growth and development in humans. There was a highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in the Vitamin C content of mature leaves across the three accessions of roselle under different shading conditions. The Vitamin C content was higher in normal (un-shaded) treatments in comparison with shaded treatments. ZM 5738 had the highest content followed by ZM 5748 and ZM 5729 had the least content. Vitamin C is the most abundant of the antioxidants found in plants and its biosynthetic pathway is from glucose via guanosine diphosphate mannose (GDP-D-mannose) and L-galactose (Conklin et al., 1994; Keller et al., 1999). It is also important in the regulation of iron uptake, stabilizing iron-binding proteins and encouraging the absorption of non-haeme iron into the gastrointestinal tract (Hacişevki, 2009).

Oxalate content
There was a highly significant difference ($p < 0.001$) in the oxalate content of mature leaves across the three accessions of roselle under different shading conditions. The oxalate content was higher in normal conditions with ZM 5729 recording the highest content followed by ZM 5738 and ZM 5748 had the least content. Oxalic acid and its salts occur as end products of metabolism in several plant tissues (Hitomi et al., 1992). It has been suggested that the accumulation of oxalate in plant tissues is attributed to a shift in equilibrium towards biosynthesis rather than to degradation (Hitomi et al., 1992). For instance oxalate concentration in spinach (Brassica spp.) leaves decreased with time in cultivars with rapid growth rates than it did in those with slow growth rates, indicating that oxalate may be necessary and used in plant growth (Hirooka and Sugiyama, 1992). We found that oxalates were higher in shaded treatments which were also slow growing as they were shorter and had less branching. This is in agreement with the findings of Hirooka and Sugiyama (1992). From human nutritional perspective, high oxalate content is undesirable as it increases risk of medical conditions such as bladder stones.

Conclusion
The results indicated that the three accessions contain substantial amount of the desired nutrients and secondary metabolites. The study confirmed that local accessions have appreciable amounts of carbohydrates, fats, proteins, fibre, fats, ash as well as vitamin C, oxalate and total phenolics. Additionally there is significant variation among genotypes and in future selections can be made to choose the most productive genotypes. However, intercropping of the plant which is common among small scale growers is undesirable as it reduced both plant productivity and nutritional content.
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