Syn-eruptive agglutination of kimberlite volcanic ash
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\textbf{Abstract}

Pyroclastic deposits of the Holocene Igwisi Hills kimberlite volcanoes, Tanzania, preserve unequivocal evidence for rapid, syn-eruptive agglutination. The unusual pyroclasts are composed of ash-sized particles agglutinated to each other by thin necks. The textures suggest the magma was disrupted into droplets during ascent. Collisions between particles occurred within a volcanic plume and on deposition within the conduit to form a weakly agglutinated, porous pyroclastic deposit. Theoretical considerations indicate that agglutination occurred over short timescales. Agglutinated clasts were entrained into weak volcanic plumes and deposited around the craters. Our results support the notion that agglutination can occur during kimberlite eruptions, and that some coherent, dense rocks in ancient kimberlite pipes interpreted as intrusive rocks could instead represent agglutinated pyroclastic rocks. Differentiating between agglutinated pyroclastic rocks and effusive or intrusive rocks in kimberlite pipes is important because of the potential effects that pyroclastic processes might have on diamond concentrations in deposits.
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1 Introduction

The ascent and eruptive behaviour of ultrabasic and low silica magmas, such as kimberlites and carbonatites, remain relatively poorly constrained in comparison to more commonly erupted calc-alkaline magmas [see Carracedo Sánchez et al. 2015; Church and Jones 1994; Dawson et al. 1990; Russell et al. 2012; Russell et al. 2019; Sparks 2013; Sparks et al. 2006]. Kimberlite magmas, derived from low degrees of partial melting of mantle rocks, have repeatedly reached the Earth’s surface throughout geologic time and their deposits can host economically important quantities of diamonds [Field and Scott Smith 1999; Mitchell 1986]. The composition of kimberlite melts has remained elusive due to the chemically reactive nature of the deposits, which are commonly highly altered [Mitchell 1986; Stripp et al. 2006; Willcox et al. 2015], and due to contamination by mantle- and crustal-derived material [Mitchell 1986]. Additionally the age of most kimberlite bodies (>30 Ma) means understanding of kimberlite eruptions has been deduced mostly through analysis of volcanic rocks preserved within the eroded conduits of ancient monogenetic volcanoes [Cas et al. 2008; Dawson 1971; Field and Scott Smith 1999; Hawthorne 1975; Skinner and Marsh 2004; Sparks et al. 2006]; rocks contained within surface edifices and extra-crater deposits are much rarer [Brown et al. 2012; Brown and Valentine 2013; Harvey et al. 2009]. Kimberlite pipes and edifices are variably composed of a wide range of pyroclastic deposits that reflect a range of eruption styles and emplacement temperatures, along with lavas, hypabyssal intrusions, and breccias of both volcanic and mass movement origin [Mitchell et al. 2019; Pell et al. 2018; Sparks 2013].

Agglutination, or welding, here defined as the high temperature adhesion of two or more juvenile pyroclasts, is a common process during volcanic eruptions and occurs within proximal pyroclastic fall deposits, in pyroclastic density current deposits, and in pyroclastic deposits contained within volcanic conduits [Quane and Russell 2005; Sparks and Wright 1979]. Welding of volcanic pyroclasts falls into a couple of dynamic regimes: gas escape and gas retention [Sparks et al. 1999]. The regime relevant to any given scenario depends principally on the origin of the stresses acting on the welding mass of particles, and the relative importance of inertia. In general, welding is time dependent, and may be driven by the pressure forcing the particles together (in the case of large welding systems), or by the capillary stresses at the particle contacts (in the case of small welding systems). In all cases, welding rates are strongly temperature dependent: hot particles with a low melt viscosity will weld quickly relative to cold, viscous particles [Vasseur et al. 2013; Wadsworth et al. 2016]. Agglutination results in a range of tex-
Figure 1: The NE volcano, Igwisi Hills volcano (IHV), Tanzania. [A] Geological map of the NE volcano of the IHV. The southeast volcano is not shown. For detailed descriptions of the deposits see Brown et al. [2012]. The SE volcano is not shown on map. [B] Location of the IHV in Tanzania. [C] Reconstructed cross-section across the NE volcano, excluding drift deposits. [D] Panorama looking north across the crater of the NE volcano along the profile marked x-x’. Sample location for this study is marked. Images A, C, and D are modified from Brown et al. [2012].
tural features in deposits depending on the magnitude or extent of the process and it initiates with the adhesion of neighbouring melt particles or melt-coated particles at point contacts (necks). Agglutination can have a profound effect on the physical and structural properties of pyroclastic deposits through the reduction or removal of inter- and intra-clast pore space (e.g., vesicles), and by secondary flow (rheomorphism) and coalescence to revert back to a coherent melt [Quane and Russell 2005].

Pyroclastic rocks in a number of ancient kimberlite pipes have been interpreted as welded rocks based mainly on indirect geochemical and geological evidence [Brown et al. 2008a; Brown et al. 2009; Buse et al. 2011; Crawford et al. 2009; Nowicki et al. 2008; van Straaten et al. 2011]. These rocks may petrographically resemble intrusive or extrusive coherent igneous rocks (i.e., rocks that have crystallised from magma), but lack sharp boundaries, contain elevated abundances of melt inclusions (i.e. rocks that have crystallised from magma), but lack sharp boundaries, contain elevated abundances of melt inclusions, and by secondary flow (rheomorphism) and coalescence to revert back to a coherent melt [Quane and Russell 2005].

Pyroclastic rocks in a number of ancient kimberlite pipes have been interpreted as welded rocks based mainly on indirect geochemical and geological evidence [Brown et al. 2008a; Brown et al. 2009; Buse et al. 2011; Crawford et al. 2009; Nowicki et al. 2008; van Straaten et al. 2011]. These rocks may petrographically resemble intrusive or extrusive coherent igneous rocks (i.e., rocks that have crystallised from magma), but lack sharp boundaries, contain elevated abundances of melt inclusions, and by secondary flow (rheomorphism) and coalescence to revert back to a coherent melt [Quane and Russell 2005].

The Igwisi magmas crystallised calcite, olivine, and a serpentine-like mineral that is interpreted to have replaced a silicate kimberlite glass in the groundmass (serpentine-X; see Willcox et al. [2015]; compare with Howarth and Büttner [2019]). Minor late-crystallising groundmass phases includeapatite, phlogopite, monticellite, perovskite and spinel. Olivine xenocrysts recovered from IHV lavas exhibit rounded morphologies and rough, pitted surfaces [Brett et al. 2015; Jones et al. 2014]. These textural features have been attributed to rapid, turbulent subsurface transport wherein the mantle crystal cargo is subject to mechanical milling by particle-particle collisions [Jones et al. 2019a].

The IHV are aligned NE-SW and are composed of pyroclastic deposits from early explosive phases, and lavas from later effusive phases [Brown et al. 2012]. Here we focus on the most northerly volcano, imaginatively named the NE volcano (Figure 1B). This is a small maar-type volcano with a 200-m-wide, flat-bottomed crater that Brown et al. [2012] inferred is floored with a subsided lava lake, but is now covered by lacustrine sediments (Figure 1C and D). The crater is surrounded to the northwest by a low tephra ring and to the east by lavas. The southern side of the maar has been overtopped by pyroclastic deposits and lavas from the younger Central volcano [Brown et al. 2012]. The characteristics of the IHV pyroclastic deposits suggest weak explosive volcanic activity that probably lasted for a few days to weeks at each volcano. The deposits of these phases contain lithic clasts and they could have originated from initial phreatomagmatic eruptions. The IHV share many similarities to monogenetic volcanoes constructed during the eruption of basaltic magmas [Brown et al. 2012; Brown and Valentine 2013].

2 Geological setting

The IHV are three small and closely-spaced monogenetic volcanoes that were first recognised in the 1950s [Bassett 1954; Dawson 1964; Fozzard 1956; Sampson 1953]. They are situated on the west of the Tanzanian craton, 800 km NW of Dar es Salaam (Figure 1A, B). They represent the youngest known volcanic activity on the Tanzanian craton (10 ka, [Brown et al. 2012]), which previously experienced phases of kimberlite volcanism at around 189 Ma [Davis 1977] and 53 Ma [Davis 1977; Godt 1985; Haggerty et al. 1983]. They were emplaced through the granitic gneiss basement of the 2500 ±100 Ma Dodoman system [Bell and Dodson 1981]. Reid et al. [1975] and Dawson [1994] considered that the Igwisi melts originated at >110 km depth, and the mineralogy, major element and isotope chemistry of the IHV deposits have strong affinities with calcite-rich kimberlites (e.g. Benfontin sills, South Africa, [Dawson and Hawthorne 1973]; see also Mitchell [2008]; Willcox et al. [2015]). Samples were collected during a 10-day field campaign in 2009. We sampled lithic-rich coarse ash and fine lapilli fall deposits from the lowest exposed parts of the northerly tephra ring that surrounds the NE volcano. Thin sections were analysed in the Department of Physics, Durham University, using a Hitachi SU70 scanning electron microscope (SEM). A series of back-scattered electron (BSE) images were taken with a small (~10%) overlap using Oxford Instruments INCA software and were stitched together to produce large area mosaics. BSE images of pyroclasts were manually traced, exported as binary masks and then analysed using ImageJ software [Abramoff et al. 2004] to obtain particle area, perimeter, major axis length, minor axis length, convex hull perimeter and area values. Convexity and solidity shape factors were calculated to describe both textural and morphological roughness [Liu et al. 2015]. Equation 1 and Equation 2 define the convexity (C) and solidity (S) shape factors:
where $P_\text{H}$ is pyroclast perimeter and $P_P$ is the perimeter of the bounding convex hull; $C$ is used to quantify the small-scale concavities on the particle surface, referred to as textural roughness;

$$C = \frac{P_P}{P_H}$$

where $A_P$ is pyroclast area and $A_H$ is the area of the bounding convex hull. Here we use $S$ to quantify the roughness and irregularities on a particle scale (i.e., morphological roughness, [Liu et al. 2015]).

### 4 Results

The deposits are crudely stratified on a cm-scale and strata are defined predominantly by variations in the abundance of lithic clasts (Figure 2). The juvenile components reach 5 mm in diameter. Granitic and amphibolite lithic clasts, and crystals derived from them (Figure 2B and Figure 3), are typically <1 cm in diameter and account 5–40 vol.% of the sampled deposit.

The deposits contain a range of juvenile components and we divide them up into two types, depending on whether they contain a hard particle (a crystal or lithic fragment) as a core or not, with the caveat that most pyroclasts have been examined only in 2D. Type 1 clasts are composed of an olivine phenocrystal, or a lithic fragment or xenocrysts (derived from a lithic clast) core, typically <3 mm in diameter, encapsulated by a thin (<100 µm) coating of crystallized kimberlite melt composed of microlitic crystals (olivine, spinel, titanomagnetite and calcite) phenocrysts, clays and serpentine (Figure 3A and 3B; Figure 4; see also Willcox et al. [2015]). The coatings vary in thickness around a single pyroclast from several tens to ~150 µm. The surfaces are commonly irregular and lumpy due to the presence of crystals apparently encapsulated in groundmass that have agglutinated to the exteriors of the larger particles. Many of these smaller particles have diameters exceeding the thickness of the coating on the larger clasts (Figure 3). Coatings are partially missing around some clasts: this may have occurred either by melt-stripping within the eruption jet [Moss and Russell 2011] or during transportation from the field site.

Type 2 pyroclasts differ from Type 1 clasts by the absence of a large lithic or crystal core. They are dense to poorly vesicular, and are composed apparently entirely of crystallized kimberlite melt of similar composition to that of the Type 1 pyroclasts (Figure 3 and Figure 4G). Similar to Type 1 pyroclasts their exteriors are highly irregular giving them an amöeboid morphology. Some contain irregular-shaped vesicles up to 1 mm in length, yielding vesicularity values of <10–15 vol.% (Figure 4G).

The feature that is so far unique to the IHV is the sintering together of the pyroclasts to form delicate, sub-millimetre to millimetre-sized agglutinates (Figures 4 and 5). Agglutination is indicated by: (1) lumpy, irregular exteriors as a result of the partial coalescence of smaller (<10 µm) ash particles onto larger particles (Figures 3 and 5), and; (2) the presence of necks of crystallised melt between larger ash particles (Figure 4). Each agglutinated particle is composed of 2 to >40 interconnected ash particles that are 50–3000 µm in diameter (Figure 5). The necks are typically 20–100 µm thick and 20–500 µm wide (Figure 4). Each ash particle is sintered by necks to several neighbouring particles, as seen in 2D (Figures 4 and 5). Some necks appear to have been broken in situ (Figure 4B and E). The agglutinates can exceed 10 mm in diameter, as imaged in 2D using a SEM. In 3D they are expected to be larger, consist of many more ash particles, and for ash particles to be sintered to many other adjacent particles. 2D shape analysis of Type 1 and Type 2 pyroclasts reveals a broad range of solidity values (<0.6–0.9) and a broader range of convexity values (0.3–0.95) (Figure 6). They are thus delicate, porous clasts formed through welding of point contacts of many smaller particles.

### 5 Discussion

#### 5.1 Agglutination processes

During a kimberlite eruption, pyroclasts are generated by ductile break-up or by brittle fragmentation of the magma driven by rapid volatile gas expansion [Jones et al. 2019b; Moss and Russell 2011; Porritt and Russell 2012; Sparks et al. 2006], or by phreatomagmatic explosions [Brown et al. 2008b; Brown and Valentine 2013; Kurszlaukis and Lorenz 2017; Lorenz 1975; Porritt et al. 2013]. Low melt viscosities (0.1–10 Pas; [Sparks et al. 2006]) and efficient separation of gas and liquid phases are indicated by the droplet shape of kimberlite pyroclasts and the paucity of vesicles [e.g. Gernon et al. 2012]. Eruption temperatures for kimberlite magmas are in the range 1030–1170 °C [Fedortchouk 2004] and emplacement temperatures for non-welded kimberlite pyroclastic deposits are in the range 570–700 °C [Fontana et al. 2011; Gernon et al. 2009]. Minimum temperatures for welding, constrained by condond geothermometry in rocks interpreted as welded kimberlite rocks, are in the range 700–950 °C [Pell et al. 2015; Pell et al. 2018]. Pyroclast morphology is controlled by the cooling rate or crystallisation rate, which in kimberlites is thought to be rapid [Porritt and Russell 2012]. The cooling or crystallisation rate controls competing processes such as surface tension-driven flow, vesiculation, and agglutination through collision or on deposition [Gernon et al. 2012; Moss and Russell 2011]. Dense or poorly vesicular kimberlite pyroclasts must deform predominantly by flow of thin melt rims...
Figure 2: [A] Outcrop photo of the sampled pyroclastic deposits. Stratification is defined by variations in the abundance and size of lithic clasts. Dotted white line indicates bedding orientation. [B] Plane polarised light photomicrograph of the sampled deposits, showing Type 1 pyroclasts (each with a lithic or olivine crystal core) and Type 2 pyroclasts (no visible cores). Type 1 pyroclasts with lithic cores are outlined in red.

Figure 3: Typical features of agglutinated pyroclasts. [A] BSE image of large agglutinate within the studied tephra fall deposit. Pore space is black in the image. ol = olivine; ca = calcite cement; li = lithic clasts or lithic-derived crystals [B] Outline of agglutinate in A showing pyroclasts consisting of large olivine crystals (grey) and crystallised juvenile melt (dark grey).

(around lithic or crystal cores), or of non-vesicular juvenile clasts, into inter-clast pore space. High volumes of rigid particles (crystals, lithic clasts) will resist deformation [Bouvard and Lange 1991].

The agglutinated textures indicate that the ash particles had not reached the glass transition temperature \(T_g\) by the time that they came into contact with each other, and had not fully crystallized. The necks were able to form by viscous relaxation of the local curvature at point contacts between particles, and resulted in
Figure 4: Examples of agglutinated pyroclasts. [A] Agglutinated neck between two groundmass coated olivine crystals (Type 1 pyroclasts). [B] Broken neck between two Type 2 pyroclasts. [C] Agglutinated neck between two Type 1 pyroclasts. [D] Thin agglutinated neck between two Type 1 pyroclasts (i.e. olivine crystal). [E] Type 1 pyroclasts with small agglutinated clasts on exterior and post-eruption calcite cement (ca). [F] and [G] Examples of thin agglutinated necks between pyroclasts. Lithic clast forms the core in the Type 1 pyroclast in [G]. [H] Type 2 pyroclast with small irregular vesicles. Bright white crystals are titanomagnetite with spinel rims [see Willcox et al. 2015].
Figure 5: 2D masks of ash agglutinates derived from BSE images at 150 × magnification.

a framework of agglutinated particles [see Wadsworth et al. 2016]. The short necks demonstrate that this process did not have time to finish before the sample either crossed $T_g$, or crystallized, preserving a partially agglutinated texture. This incomplete agglutination is consistent with the low solidity and convexity values of the pyroclasts, representing surface irregularities (Figure 6). Surface irregularities indicate that there was little or no surface smoothing under surface tension following neck-formation. Increased time above $T_g$ would have increased neck widths: if this had occurred post-deposition the deposit would have become more fully welded, dense, and coherent, dependent on the ratio of melt to solid particles [e.g. Wadsworth et al. 2016]. The thin necks observed here indicate rapid crystallisation and limited viscous mobilisation of melt into inter-clast pore spaces.

Hot, coarse-grained pyroclasts (spatter and bombs) can agglutinate on deposition to form variably welded and agglutinated fall deposits during volcanic eruptions [e.g. Soriano et al. 2002; Sparks and Wright 1979; Sumner et al. 2005]. The agglutination of hot ash particles upon fallout around the NE volcano can be ruled out because the particles would have cooled quickly to ambient temperature while settling through the atmosphere. Particles of similar size to the Igwisi pyroclasts (<2 mm) falling from Hawaiian lava fountains (<500 m high) equilibrate with ambient temperatures (25 °C) in <5 s [Porritt et al. 2012]. This is well below the particle fallout time from a small eruption plume ($10^3$–$10^4$ s, assuming 1–3 ms$^{-1}$) [Wilson and Huang 1979] from a 2-km-high eruption, as inferred for the IHV [Brown et al. 2012]. Therefore, formation of the agglutinated pyroclasts must have occurred prior to deposition around the crater.

The textures of the pyroclasts reveal two stages of agglutination. We infer that the first occurred at temperatures above $T_g$ and resulted from small (<100 µm) ash particles colliding with larger (>1000 µm) melt-coated particles (Figure 7) in a manner similar to that proposed by Carracedo Sánchez et al. [2015] for some eruptions of lamproite magma. The second stage could
have occurred concomitantly with the first stage leading to extra-vent fallout, or alternatively during waning eruption periods when gas exit velocities were too low to eject small pyroclasts from the conduit, and instead they accumulated within it, and agglutinated at point contacts (Figure 7). Transformation of molten IHV pyroclasts back to a liquid was inhibited by rapid groundmass crystallisation. We suggest that some of the welded deposits in the conduit were fragmented by later eruptive phases, and those fragments were entrained into weak eruption plumes and settled out around the crater to form the deposits sampled in this study. Larger fragments of these former conduit deposits have not yet been identified in the tephra ring of the presumably fragile agglutinates [e.g. Jones and Russell 2017; Jones et al. 2017]. Particle outlines are not to scale.

Given the insights discussed above, we can place constraints on the regime in which these partial agglutination events occurred based on dimensional arguments. First, when two melt particles or melt-coated crystal pellets collide, impact or capillary stresses arising from surface tension could dominate. These regimes are delineated by the Weber number $W_e = \rho u^2 R / \Gamma$, where $\rho$ is the density of the melt particle, $u$ is the velocity of the impact, $R$ is the particle radius, and $\Gamma$ is the surface tension. If $W_e \gg 1$, then the impact velocity is high, and impact stresses dominate. Using $\rho = 2000$ kg m$^{-3}$, $R = 1$ mm (Figure 3), $\Gamma = 0.3$ N m$^{-1}$, we can estimate that the boundary between high and low Weber number behaviour occurs at $u = 0.4$ m s$^{-1}$. Given that most explosive volcanic events eject particles at 10–100 m s$^{-1}$ (lower limit from Sparks et al. [2006]), we estimate that most agglutination events occur in the high Weber number regime, where impact stresses dominate the interaction. Second, the Ohnesorge number $Oh = \mu / (\sqrt{\rho R \Gamma})$, where $\mu$ is the viscosity, governs whether the interaction is resisted by viscous or inertial forces. Using the viscosity range given earlier, we find that kimberlite melts may straddle the regime divide of $Oh = 1$, with $0.13 < Oh < 13$, consistent with the findings of Jones et al. [2019b].

Taken together, the discussion of the regimes of interaction given above, allow us to constrain the timescales involved in agglutination of particle-particle pairs. For the case of high velocity interactions ($We > 1$) of particles with low viscosity ($Oh < 1$) then the timescale of the interaction is approximately:

$$\lambda_u \sim \frac{R}{u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and for the case of high-velocity interactions ($We > 1$) of particles with high viscosity ($Oh > 1$) then the timescale of the interaction is approximately:

$$\lambda_\mu \sim \frac{\mu}{\rho u^2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

If we approximate the ejection velocities as impact velocities, then for 10–100 m s$^{-1}$ impact velocities, we can estimate $0.01 < \lambda_u < 0.1$ s, and $10^{-9} < \lambda_\mu < 10^{-5}$ s. Because the particles are only poorly agglutinated, the quenching times had to have been similar to these timescale estimates. The impact velocities could be lower than this in the more realistic scenario that the particles are not colliding head-on, and that they may instead collide obliquely. In this case, the interaction times will be longer, providing more time for the agglutination to occur and making it more likely that these textures would be observed. Either way, this suggests that the agglutination is extremely rapid and concomitant with cooling, similar to thermal spray industrial coating techniques [e.g. Pawlowski 2008].

5.2 Comparison with other types of kimberlite pyroclasts

Juvenile kimberlite pyroclasts exhibit a wide range of shapes, from spherical to sub-spherical melt-coated crystals (pelletal clasts) through to ameboid and poorly vesicular ash and lapilli-sized particles. Spherical or sub-spherical juvenile pelletal clasts are common in kimberlite diatremes worldwide [Gernon et al. 2012; Mitchell 1986; Sparks et al. 2006]. They are typically composed of an olivine crystal encased in a thin,
smooth layer of crystallised kimberlite melt [Mitchell 1986]. Shape analysis of representative juvenile pelletal clasts from two kimberlite pipes (Venetia K1, Republic of South Africa, and Letseng Satellite, Lesotho) reveal narrow ranges and high values of high convexity and high solidity (Figure 6). Their shapes indicate that their surfaces have structurally relaxed due to surface tension forces. Typically, they do not exhibit textural or morphological evidence for agglutination. This could result from either rapid crystallisation of melt prior to collision with other particles, or conversely due to extended flow of melt around agglutinated particles at temperatures above $T_g$ following collision, such that evidence for agglutination is erased (or cryptic). The shapes of pelletal clasts contrast with the IHV pyroclasts. The broad range of solidity values (<0.6–0.9) and broader range of convexity values (0.3–0.95) of the latter pyroclasts clearly result from agglutination and reflect primarily variations in the size and number of sintered small particles on the exteriors of the measured clasts.

Amoeboid kimberlite pyroclasts have been described from a number of locations worldwide [e.g. Leckie et al. 1997; Mitchell 1986; Skinner and Marsh 2004]. They are irregular in shape with lumpy exteriors, and some show evidence for deformation against adjacent clasts [Leckie et al. 1997]. They are more closely similar to the pyroclasts described here, but as yet do not yet know of other deposits that exhibit such pervasive agglutination as displayed by the Igwisi deposits, but caution that textural evidence may be masked in ancient rocks due to variations in magma composition and that the textures of welded rocks can differ significantly due to variations in magma composition, melt rheology, and vesicularity [see Brown et al. 2008a; van Straaten et al. 2011].

When magma is processed through a pyroclastic fountain or an eruptive jet, a portion of the erupted magma is lost through the coupling of fine ash particles with hot gas in a buoyant plume: dense, large particles released during fragmentation will become more concentrated in proximal welded rocks that accumulate at the base of fountains and jets relative to the erupting magma [Carey and Sparks 1986]. Ancient kimberlite volcanoes are the principal global source of diamonds [Field et al. 2008; Gurney et al. 2010] and the impact of this process on diamond concentrations in different rocks within a kimberlite volcano remains untested. One could speculate that agglutinated kimberlite pyroclastic rocks could contain higher concentrations of diamonds than co-emplaced intrusive rocks or lavas that were fed by magma with equal pre-eruption concentrations of diamonds, due to the loss of melt as fine particles during fragmentation of the magma. Additionally, recognising that subsurface apparently coherent rocks are not necessarily hypabyssal intrusions and instead could be welded pyroclastic rocks, could help inform resource evaluation during exploratory drilling phases of a kimberlite pipe.

5.3 Significance of the agglutinated lapilli

Dense, coherent kimberlite rocks at a number of mined kimberlite volcanoes have been interpreted as welded kimberlite pyroclastic rocks. This interpretation has been based variably on the presence of dispersed clasts, gradations into demonstrably non-welded pyroclastic rocks and breccias, the presence of broken crystals, remnant inter-particle pore space, and on submagmatic emplacement temperatures [e.g. Brown et al. 2008a; Brown et al. 2009; Buse et al. 2011; Crawford et al. 2009; Pell et al. 2015; Pell et al. 2018, van Straaten 2008]. The distinctive geochemical characteristics of some dense coherent kimberlite rocks has also been proposed as evidence that they have lost fine-grained material through elutriation in an eruption jet, rather than being intrusive igneous rocks that crystallised in situ from a melt [Nowicki et al. 2008]. The IHV agglutinated pyroclasts provide unequivocal evidence for high temperature agglutination of juvenile kimberlite pyroclasts. We propose that they represent the start of a process that, if continued at sustained high temperatures, would have transformed the pyroclastic deposits into a liquid or a dense coherent rock. Coalescence of hot pyroclasts back into a liquid phase occurs during basaltic eruptions to form lavas and lava lakes and during some explosive eruptions high silica magmas to form lava-like ignimbrites [e.g. Andrews and Branney 2011; Ellis et al. 2015]. Some dense coherent rocks in the conduits of kimberlite volcanoes elsewhere that have been interpreted as hypabyssal intrusions could represent densely welded pyroclastic rocks that coalesced back to a liquid and then crystallised to become texturally indistinguishable from hypabyssal rocks or lavas.

The IHV agglutinated pyroclasts provide insight for several reasons: (1) They provide a robust template for interpreting similar but less well preserved rocks in the geologic record, as well as rocks that may be more densely welded and resemble coherent intrusive rocks. (2) They provide further constraints and evidence that could be used to understand the eruption dynamics of these and other similar magmas. (3) In general, they confirm that welding processes can occur during the eruption of a wide range of magma compositions and that the textures of welded rocks can differ significantly due to variations in magma composition, melt rheology, and vesicularity [see Brown et al. 2008a; van Straaten et al. 2011].

6 Conclusions

Unique, well-preserved pyroclasts from the tephra ring around the 11 ka NE volcano of the kimberlite Igwisi Hills Volcanoes, Tanzania, provide the first compelling, unambiguous evidence for syn-eruptive agglutination of kimberlite volcanic ash particles. We infer that the magma was fragmented into droplets and melt-coated particles (crystals and lithic clasts) during
Figure 7: Genesis of agglutinated pyroclasts. Ascending magma is fragmented into ash-sized particles (stage 1) which then collide to form agglutinated pyroclasts (stage 2). These then either fallout in the conduit to form a porous agglutinated deposit (stage 3), or are dispersed by the eruption plume across the tephra ring (stage 4). Conduit deposits are disrupted by subsequent eruptions and fragments are entrained into the plume and deposited in the surrounding tephra ring. Scale bar refers to the volcanic plumbing system and edifice, not the pyroclasts.

Ascent. Agglutination of small particles onto the exteriors of larger ash particles occurred via collisions in an eruption jet. Particles adhered together via limited viscous flow of melt at point contacts. Larger particles collided and agglutinated either within the eruption plume to form larger delicate and porous agglutinated pyroclasts, or upon deposition within the conduit to form an agglutinated deposit. Theoretical considerations indicate that agglutination occurred over very short timescales. We envisage that deposits in the conduits would have been periodically fragmented by successive eruptions and that those fragments were entrained into eruption plumes and then deposited in the tephra ring. The Igwisi Hills pyroclasts represent the start of an agglutination process that, if continued, could produce dense coherent welded rocks that are similar in texture to hypabyssal intrusions or lavas. They thus provide a robust template for interpreting other similar but less well-preserved rocks in kimberlite pipes elsewhere.
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