Targeted sequencing-based analyses of candidate gene variants in ulcerative colitis-associated colorectal neoplasia
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Background: Long-standing ulcerative colitis (UC) leading to colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most serious and life-threatening consequences acknowledged globally. Ulcerative colitis-associated colorectal carcinogenesis showed distinct molecular alterations when compared with sporadic colorectal carcinoma.

Methods: Targeted sequencing of 409 genes in tissue samples of 18 long-standing UC subjects at high risk of colorectal carcinoma (UCHR) was performed to identify somatic driver mutations, which may be involved in the molecular changes during the transformation of non-dysplastic mucosa to high-grade dysplasia. Findings from the study are also compared with previously published genome wide and exome sequencing data in inflammatory bowel disease-associated and sporadic colorectal carcinoma.

Results: Next-generation sequencing analysis identified 1107 mutations in 275 genes in UCHR subjects. In addition to TP53 (17%) and KRAS (22%) mutations, recurrent mutations in APC (33%), ACVR2A (61%), ARID1A (44%), RAF1 (39%) and MTOR (61%) were observed in UCHR subjects. In addition, APC, FGFR3, FGFR2 and PIK3CA driver mutations were identified in UCHR subjects. Recurrent mutations in ARID1A (44%), SMARCA4 (17%), MLL2 (44%), MLL3 (67%), SETD2 (17%) and TET2 (50%) genes involved in histone modification and chromatin remodelling were identified in UCHR subjects.

Conclusions: Our study identifies new oncogenic driver mutations which may be involved in the transition of non-dysplastic cells to dysplastic phenotype in the subjects with long-standing UC with high risk of progression into colorectal neoplasia.
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Colorectal cancer is the cancer of lower digestive system which has been implicated in patients with a history of chronic ulcerative colitis (UC), an inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (Eaden et al, 2001; Xie and Itzkowitz, 2008; Navaneethan et al, 2013). It is the cancer of western world but has recently been reported with increasing frequencies in several South Asian countries, including India (Sung et al, 2005; Ray, 2011). Molecular alterations associated with sporadic form of colorectal cancer (S-CRC) are well established (Leary et al, 2012; Yashiro, 2015). Based on the previous studies, it is known that spectrum of mutations of colorectal tumours in patients with long-standing UC has both similar and distinct features when compared with sporadic tumours. The S-CRCs exhibits different clinicopathological features compared with that of UC-associated CRCs (UC-CRC), thus indicating unique genetic variations or mutations responsible for dysplasia (Ali et al, 2011). Loss of p53 expression occurs much earlier in IBD-associated CRC compared with the sporadic form (Goretsky et al, 2012). KRAS, a proto-oncogene, has been reported...
with decreased mutations (8–24%) in UC-CRC compared with S-CRC where the mutations are reported with higher frequency (40–50%) (Tomlinson et al, 1998). Similarly, gene expression profiles and miRNA analysis showed distinct patterns in UC-associated and in sporadic form of colorectal carcinoma (Colliver et al, 2006; Olaru et al, 2011; Kanaan et al, 2012; Wu et al, 2014). Analysis of gene-specific methylation in UC with and without neoplasia (Konishi et al, 2007; Dhir et al, 2008; Garrity-Park et al, 2010) showed similar and distinct signatures when compared with S-CRC. Taking a clue from these observations, it is clear that the molecular pathways that drives the malignant progression in two scenarios have some similarities with majority of them are being unique signatures. Chronic inflammation of colonic mucosa in long-standing UC has an important role in the generation of reactive oxygen species, which in turn can aid in genomic instability. A detailed analysis of mutational spectrum using a comprehensive gene panel could help to understand the promotagenic role of inflammation and other molecular alteration favouring carcinogenesis in subjects with long-standing UC. At present, little is known about the molecular alterations that govern the colitis-derived neoplasia in several population. UC-associated CRC studies have shown sequential mutations in the KRAS, BRAF and TP53 genes in an Indian population (Shivakumar et al, 2012; Laskar et al, 2015). Previously, our group has reported few molecular signatures using conventional Sanger sequencing, PCR-RFLP and multiplex PCR (Shivakumar et al, 2012). Subsequently, we have reported genome-wide analysis of copy number variation in UCLR, ulcerative colitis high risk (UCHR) and S-CRN, and showed significant copy number change and its association with the progression of cancer from its early stage (Shivakumar et al, 2015, 2016). Recently, two independent studies have demonstrated the utility of targeted sequencing of cancer gene panel to identify clinically relevant mutations from CRC patient tissues using ion torrent next-generation sequencing (NGS) platform (Singh et al, 2014; Malapelle et al, 2015). In the present study, we have performed targeted sequencing of 409 genes for the identification of driver gene mutation in long-standing UC patients with and without dysplasia, that is, progressor and non-progressor phenotype, who are at high risk of developing colorectal carcinoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects. This study was approved by Ethics Committee of Kasturba Medical Hospital, Manipal University, Manipal. All the patients provided informed consent before participating in the present study. Ulcerative colitis patients (n = 26) at high risk of associated colorectal neoplasia (UC-HR, ≥7 years of extensive colitis or ≥10 years of left-sided colitis), who were in remission underwent magnification chromo-colonoscopy as a part of surveillance colonoscopy from September 2008 to January 2011. These subjects were recruited and divided in two groups. Dysplasia was identified histologically according to the Riddel grading as indefinite, low grade (LG) or high grade (HG) on colonoscopic biopsies obtained from areas of abnormal pit pattern identified by the time of magnifying chromo colonoscopy performed using an × 80 magnification colonoscope (EC-3870 LZK, Pentax Corporations, Tokyo, Japan) (Hurlstone et al, 2005; Shivakumar et al, 2012). Ulcerative colitis high-risk subjects were subdivided as non-progressors or progressors depending on the absence or presence of neoplastic lesions on histopathology. The groups were as follows: (a) UCHR non-progressors (UCHR-NP), which consisted of 13 UC patients with high risk but without any dysplasia and (b) UCHR progressors (UCHR-P) wherein there were 13 patients with dysplasia or cancer. Tissue biopsies were collected from study subjects with UC at high risk of associated colorectal neoplasia (UC-HR, ≥7 years of extensive colitis or ≥10 years of left-sided colitis) for molecular analyses.

Targeted sequencing of 409 genes using ion torrent. DNA was extracted from fresh tissue biopsies using NucleoSpin tissue extraction kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL Inc., Bethlehem, PA, USA). Purity of the DNA was determined using NanoDrop ND1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Purified DNA was quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS (High-Sensitivity) Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and subsequently used for library preparation. Next-generation sequencing for the detection of clinically relevant mutations in the exonic region of Ion AmpliSeq comprehensive cancer panel (CCP 409 gene panel) genes in the UCHR-P and -NP patient samples was carried out using Ion Proton NGS platform.

Library preparation for ion comprehensive cancer panel genes. In the present study, we have performed targeted NGS of long-standing UC subjects (n = 18) who are at high risk of progressing into CRC (UCHR-P = 9 and UCHR-NP = 9). Library preparation for 409 genes was performed using Ion AmpliSeq DNA library kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) along with primer pools from Ion Comprehensive Cancer Panel (4000 primer pairs in 4 pools) as per the manufacturer’s instructions (ThermoFisher Scientific). Comprehensive cancer panel facilitates PCR based amplification capture and sequencing the coding regions of the 409 genes involved in various cellular pathway frequently altered during the process of carcinogenesis. The panel requires 60 ng DNA per tissue samples in four PCR reactions with each reaction utilising 15 ng DNA involving a total of 16 000 primer pairs (4000 primer pairs in each PCR reaction) for targeted sequencing of 409 genes. Each sample was barcoded using Ion Express Barcode Adapter 1–16 kit (ThermoFisher Scientific). Each library prepared were subsequently quantified using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 using Agilent HS DNA kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

Emulsion PCR and targeted sequencing of cancer panel genes using ion proton. Automated emulsion PCR was performed to clonally amplify the CCP gene DNA library using Ion PI Template OT2 kit v2 (ThermoFisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The enriched template positive ion sphere particles were then loaded onto a Proton PI v2 chip and sequenced using Ion proton system (ThermoFisher Scientific).

Sequencing analysis and variant calling. Sequencing reads obtained from Ion Proton were aligned to human reference sequence (human genome build-19) and variant calling was performed using Ion Torrent Variant Caller v5.0 in Torrent Suite software v5.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). After the reads were aligned to the reference sequence, noisy and low quality reads were removed and sequence variants were detected using Ion Torrent Variant Caller Plugin software v5.0 with optimised parameters (AmpliSeq Designer, ThermoFisher Scientific) for low-frequency variant detection with minimal false-positive calls. Further, variant calls were filtered based on the technical characteristics such as (1) variant quality score, (2) variant coverage and (3) variant allele frequency. Amplicon coverage was determined via Coverage Analysis Plugin software v5.0. ThermoFisher Scientific Alignment reads and variants called, with respect to the reference human genome sequence, were viewed using Integrative Genomic Viewer software (Thorvaldsdottir et al, 2013) and to check for strand biases, homopolymer length and sequencing errors. The variant caller plug-in generates a variant caller file or VCF file, which was subsequently imported to Ion Reporter software v5.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific) for variant annotation and filtering.

Functional significance of missense variants in UCHR subjects. Ion Reporter employs public databases such as, COSMIC v67, Ensembl74 and dbSNP for annotation. Variants with base call quality below 20 were filtered out. Non-coding and synonymous mutations were excluded for downstream analysis in all the UCHR.
barcoded samples. CRAVAT (Cancer-Related Analysis of Variants Toolkit), a tool specifically tailored to analyse cancer-specific variants, was used for identification and prioritisation of genes with possible role in cancer tumorigenesis in each UCHR subjects (Douville et al, 2013). Identification and annotation of cancer-specific driver missense mutation was performed using CHASM (Cancer-specific High-throughput Annotation of Somatic Mutations) for UCHR subjects (Carter et al, 2009, 2010). To identify and prioritise pathogenic missense mutation, we have used Variant Effect Scoring Tool, a supervised machine learning-based classifier for each UCHR subject (Carter et al, 2013). cBio cancer genomics portal (http://www.cbioportal.org/) was used for the representation of the mutations in UCHR subjects (Cerami et al, 2012). We have taken additional care to filter germline variants as UCHR-P and -NP in high-risk UC patients were analysed against unmatched normal control. We have excluded silent and known germline variants using dbSNP, ESP (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) and ExAC (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/) (variants with >1% minor allele frequency), and remaining missense variants were analysed with known somatic mutations using COSMIC v67, The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA) and whole-exome sequencing data sets for IBD-associated colorectal carcinoma. Analysis of mutation pattern was performed by collating the base substitutions into six different categories representing six different base change pattern. This was further subdivided into 16 possible combinations by extracting the trinucleotide context of the mutated base yielding 96 categories. Mutation signature, analysis of transition and transversion mutation, and mutation spectrum in UCHR subjects were performed using MafTools (Mayakonda and Koeffler, 2016).

Copy number analysis in UCHR subjects. Copy number analysis was performed by comparing normalised coverage of individual genes in UCHR subjects and in normal control using Ion Reporter software v5.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). The patient who underwent colonoscopy for clinical symptoms and found to be normal during colonoscopy and histopathology were considered as control group. DNA was extracted from 10 male subjects with no organic colonic disease confirmed by colonoscopy and histopathological analysis, pooled at equimolar concentration and employed for copy number analysis. The quality and quantity of pooled control DNA was analysed using NanoDrop ND1000 Spectrophotometer and Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit.

RESULTS

Technical performance of the ion comprehensive cancer panel. Our study aimed to identify potential driver mutations in colorectal carcinogenesis process in subjects with long-standing UC at risk of CRC. To achieve this, targeted sequencing of 409 genes in UC at risk of CRC. To achieve this, targeted sequencing of 409 genes was performed in each subject with high-throughput Annotation of Somatic Mutations for UCHR subjects (Carter et al, 2009, 2010). To identify and prioritise pathogenic missense mutation, we have used Variant Effect Scoring Tool, a supervised machine learning-based classifier for each UCHR subject (Carter et al, 2013). cBio cancer genomics portal (http://www.cbioportal.org/) was used for the representation of the mutations in UCHR subjects (Cerami et al, 2012). We have taken additional care to filter germline variants as UCHR-P and -NP in high-risk UC patients were analysed against unmatched normal control. We have excluded silent and known germline variants using dbSNP, ESP (http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) and ExAC (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/) (variants with >1% minor allele frequency), and remaining missense variants were analysed with known somatic mutations using COSMIC v67, The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network (TCGA) and whole-exome sequencing data sets for IBD-associated colorectal carcinoma. Analysis of mutation pattern was performed by collating the base substitutions into six different categories representing six different base change pattern. This was further subdivided into 16 possible combinations by extracting the trinucleotide context of the mutated base yielding 96 categories. Mutation signature, analysis of transition and transversion mutation, and mutation spectrum in UCHR subjects were performed using MafTools (Mayakonda and Koeffler, 2016).

Copy number analysis in UCHR subjects. Copy number analysis was performed by comparing normalised coverage of individual genes in UCHR subjects and in normal control using Ion Reporter software v5.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). The patient who underwent colonoscopy for clinical symptoms and found to be normal during colonoscopy and histopathology were considered as control group. DNA was extracted from 10 male subjects with no organic colonic disease confirmed by colonoscopy and histopathological analysis, pooled at equimolar concentration and employed for copy number analysis. The quality and quantity of pooled control DNA was analysed using NanoDrop ND1000 Spectrophotometer and Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit.

Mutational spectrum in UCHR subjects. Variant calling was performed using Ion Torrent Variant Caller v5.0 (TSV) in Torrent Suite software v5.0. Identified variants in each UCHR subjects were annotated and filtered with custom filters using Ion Reporter software (Supplementary Figure 1). After filtering, our bioinformatics analysis narrowed down to 1107 potentially deleterious somatic mutation including 1074 missense and 33 nonsense mutations in 275 genes in 18 UCHR subjects (Supplementary Table 3, and Figure 1A and B). We observed more number of transitions than transversion mutations in all UCHR subjects (Figure 1C). Although comparing the base change pattern for all the mutations, we observed majority of them were C to T transition as previously reported in other cancers (Kandoth et al, 2013) including colorectal adenocarcinoma and may occur due to spontaneous deamination of methylated cytosine (Figure 1C and D, Supplementary Figure 2). Mutational signature analysis using somatic single base substitutions identified in UCHR subjects was performed as previously discussed (Alexandrov et al, 2013). When compared with validated mutational signatures, it was found to be most similar to mutational signature observed in CRC with predominant C>T transitions (Figure 1E). Mutations identified in UCHR subjects were plotted using CIRCOS visualisation tool (Zhang et al, 2013) (Figure 2).

Recurrent mutation in UCHR subjects. Overall, we identified 1107 mutations in 275 genes in all UCHR subjects. Among them, 62 were recurrently mutated in two or more UCHR subjects (Supplementary Table 4). Among the 62 recurrently mutated genes, oncogenes KRAS, PIK3CA, FGFR2, FGFR3, PDGFR and RAF1, and tumour suppressor genes APC, TP53, TET2 and ATM showed mutation rate of >10 mutations/Mb (Figure 3). We found ARID1A, EP300 and SMARCA4, involved in chromatin remodelling process, were recurrently mutated in UCHR subjects (Supplementary Figure 4). ARID1A, a subunit of the SWI/SNF chromatin remodelling complex, regulates gene expression by controlling the accessibility of DNA to transcriptional machinery. Earlier studies have established ARID1A gene as novel tumour suppressor in several cancers and it has been found frequently mutated in microsatellite unstable colorectal carcinoma (Cajuso et al, 2014). In addition, we have identified recurrent mutation is MLL, MLL2, MLL3, SETD2, KDM5C and TET2 genes involved in histone modification and DNA demethylation processes. Among them, somatic mutations in histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2 (KMT2) family proteins (MLL, MLL2 and MLL3) have been previously reported (Guo et al, 2013). Among the recurrently mutated genes in UCHR subjects, ATM, FGFR3, AKA9, MTOOR and ACVR2A are known cancer drivers identified by IntOGen-mutations analysis pipeline (Gonzalez-Perez et al, 2013) (Figure 3B). We compared recurrent mutations identified in UCHR subjects with recurrent mutated genes identified in IBD-associated colorectal carcinoma subjects (Robles et al, 2016). In addition to TP53 and KRAS mutation, we have identified multiple mutations in APC, MTOOR, TRRAP and EP300 genes in more than one UCHR subjects (Supplementary Table 5).

Cancer driver mutations in UCHR subjects. We have applied CHASM to all the missense mutations identified in the UCHR subjects to identify driver mutation in CRC progression. Missense mutations were identified and annotated as drivers (false discovery rate <0.15) with the CHASM algorithm in the UCHR subjects. In addition, we considered all nonsense mutations and splice-site changes as drivers, as these changes are involved in structural and functional alteration of the protein products. In addition to TP53 and KRAS mutations, we have identified oncogenic driver mutations in APC, FGFR3, FGFR2, PDGFR and PIK3CA in UCHR subjects. Among the others AKT1, ATM and TET2 were found to be mutated in both UCHR-P and -NP subjects (Supplementary Table 6 and Supplementary Figure 3). We compared the mutations identified in UCHR subjects with sporadic colorectal neoplasia data reported by TCGA. When compared with TCGA-CRC data Cancer Genome Atlas Network (2012), we identified SYNE1, LRPIB, ARID1A, ACVR2A, ABL2, FGFR3, PDGFR and PIK3CA in UCHR subjects. Among the others AKT1, ATM and TET2 were found to be mutated in both UCHR-P and -NP subjects (Supplementary Table 7). Driver genes identified in UCHR subjects were stratified based on their known role in cancers using the Broad Institute’s GSEA analysis. We identified 15 oncogenes (AKT1, CCND1, CREBBP, EGFR, ERBB2, FGFR2, FGFR3, JAK3, KRAS, MET, MPL,
PDGFRA, PIK3CA, RUNX1 and TSHR) and 10 tumour suppressor genes (APC, ASXL1, ATM, KDM5C, MSH6, PTCH1, SMARCA4, STK11, TET2 and TP53) with potential driver mutations in UCHR subjects (Supplementary Table 8).

Gene Ontology and pathway altered in UCHR subjects. Gene Ontology analysis identified enrichment in ontologies associated with DNA recombination, DNA repair, cell differentiation, immune response and cell cycle (Supplementary Table 9).

Mutated genes were significantly enriched for most of the major cancer pathways including CRC, prostate cancer, pancreatic cancer, endometrial cancer, melanoma and for glioma pathways (Supplementary Table 10). We found that WNT signalling pathway was altered, which includes inactivating mutation in APC in 6 out of 18 UCHR subjects, CTNNB1 mutation in 2 out of 18 and EP300 mutations in 3 out of 18 UCHR subjects respectively. Similar to the observations made in previous CRC studies, we noticed recurrent mutation in genes belong to PI3K-Akt signalling.
pathway (AKT1, FGFR2, FGFR3, IGF1R, PDGFR, TP53 and RAF1), TGF-β receptor pathway (ACVR2A, SMAD2/4 and TGFB2), Ras signalling (ABL2, KRAS, FGFR2, FGFR3, PDGFR, IGF1R and RAF1), mTOR signalling pathway (MTOR, AKT1, PIK3CA, PIK3CG, PIK3CD, STK11 and TSC2) (Figure 4). We observed TRRAP, EP300, MLL, MLL2 and MLL3 genes mutated in UCHR subjects involved in chromatin remodelling. MLL3 gene frameshift mutation with loss of expression has been previously reported in gastric and CRC (Je et al, 2013). EP300 and TRRAP are involved in chromatin remodelling via histone acetylation facilitating p53-mediated transcription.

Recurrent mutation in chromatin remodelling complex proteins and histone-modifying proteins in UCHR subjects. Chromatin remodelling is a dynamic process that regulates transcription in normal cell. Previous studies have shown genetic alteration and aberrant regulation of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelling complex proteins and nucleosome histone-modifying complexes

Figure 3. Recurrently mutated oncogene and tumour suppressor genes identified in UCHR subjects. (A) Recurrent mutation identified in oncogene and tumour suppressor genes in UCHR subjects. (B) Recurrent mutations identified in genes in UCHR-P and -NP subjects. Genes highlighted in red boxes are reported as cancer drivers by IntOGen database. A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.

Figure 4. Distributions of somatic mutations identified in UCHR subjects in various molecular pathways. The diagram was prepared in OncoPrinter tool using cBio cancer genomics portal (http://www.cbioportal.org/). A full color version of this figure is available at the British Journal of Cancer journal online.
have been identified as one of the key process facilitating carcinogenesis (Nakazato et al., 2016). Epigenetic alteration, which includes histone methylation, has an important role in carcinogenesis. Altered expression of several histone methyltransferase enzymes have been implicated in several cancers including CRC. Human KMT2 family, also known as mixed-lineage leukaemia (MLL) family, initially discovered in this disease. Recently, several genome-wide and targeted sequencing studies have revealed widespread mutations in KMT2 gene family in many types of human cancers. Ongoing studies identified the link between KMT2 gene family deregulation and its roles in tumorigenesis (Rao and Dou, 2015). In our study, we identified recurrent mutations in MLL (KMT2A), MLL2 (KMT2D) and MLL3 (KMT2C) in UCHR subjects. In addition, we identified mutation in ARID1A, ATRX, SETD2, PRDM1, EP300 and NSD1 gene involved in regulation of gene expression by histone modification and chromatin remodelling (Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Figure 4A and B). In addition, SMARCA4 gene, a member of the SWI/SNF family of proteins, was mutated in three out of nine UCHR-P subjects (Supplementary Figure 4A and B). Mutation in SMARCA4 gene has been reported in lung cancer and ovarian cancer (Rodriguez-Nieto et al., 2011). We have further identified a heterozygous insertion mutation in the ML33 (KMT2C) gene on chromosome 7 (151945071 bp, insT; Human Genome assembly GRCh37/hg19) in both UCHR-P and -NP subjects. ML33 gene insertion, which starts at codon 817 in exon 14, results a frameshift mutation causing premature stop codon at codon 827. In addition, we identified recurrent ML33 somatic mutations in all the UCHR subjects (Supplementary Figure 4A).

Copy number variants identified in UCHR subjects. Analysis of relative copy number in UCHR subjects were also assessed from the targeted sequencing data. We have identified 10 copy number-amplified regions with more than 3 copy number in UCHR subjects (Supplementary Table 1). Copy number-amplified regions identified in our study include MYC oncogene (8q24.21), ERBB2 (17q12), MYCN (2p24.3) and IRS2 (13q34) reported previously in IBDbased colorectal carcinoma (Robles et al., 2016). MYC gene amplification was previously identified in UCHR subjects by our group (Shivakumar et al., 2016), MET proto-oncogene and ABL1 oncogene amplification, previously reported in colon cancer, have been observed in UCHR subjects. Although ABL1 oncogene amplification is observed in both UCHR-P and -NP, MET proto-oncogene amplification is observed only in UCHR-P subjects. We observed copy number gain in 20q12 harbouring topoisomerase 1 (TOP1), receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase T (PTPRT) and phospholipase C, gamma 1 (PLCG1) in UCHR subjects. Chromosome 20q12 gain is commonly observed in sporadic colorectal carcinoma and may play a possible role in transformation of adenoma to carcinoma (Carvalho et al., 2009). PTPRT functions as an oncogene and has been reported to be recurrently amplified in colorectal carcinoma (Laczmanska et al., 2014).

DISCUSSION

Long-standing UC with LGD experiences a higher incidence of colorectal carcinoma than the rest of the population (Herrinton et al., 2012; Choi et al., 2015). Our study population belongs to region with low incidence of both UC and UC-associated CRC. Moreover, little is known about the molecular alterations aiding the malignant transformation in UC patients in Indian population. Recently, few reports have highlighted close association of molecular signature patterns identified in CRC in India and that of the western population (Gupta et al., 2010; Laskar et al., 2015). In colorectal carcinoma, the malignant transformation occurs by acquiring series of driver mutations in sequential manner. Recent NGS studies in sporadic CRCs have extensively classified driver mutations accumulated during progression of CRC. Molecular alterations commonly observed include (a) defective DNA mismatch repair with microsatellite instability, (b) somatic copy number alterations (8q, 13q, 17q, 20q), (c) activating mutation in KRAS and PIK3CA oncogene and (d) inactivating mutation and LOH in APC and TP53 tumour suppressor genes. Although some of the cellular pathways involved in carcinogenesis are same in sporadic and UC-associated colorectal carcinoma, recent studies highlighted that APC mutations appear early, whereas TP53 mutation appears late in sporadic colorectal carcinoma. Similarly, TP53 mutations is an early event and APC inactivating mutation is seen in later stages of UC-associated colorectal carcinoma (Ullman and Itzkowitz, 2011; Carethers and Jung, 2015; Robles et al., 2016).

The objective of our study was to identify (a) common molecular alteration in the genes in UCHR subjects previously associated in UC-associated and sporadic colorectal carcinoma, and (b) unique molecular alteration in the genes that are not frequently altered in sporadic colorectal carcinoma however may have a role in UC-associated carcinogenesis. Long-standing UC subjects were classified by using magnification chromo-colonoscopy into UCHR-P with neoplastic lesions and UCHR-NP were without neoplastic lesions. Targeted sequencing of 409 genes performed in 18 UCHR subjects with and without neoplastic lesion identified 1107 somatic mutations in 275 genes implicated in various molecular pathways, which are altered in UC-associated and S-CRC. Somatic mutation in APC was observed in 6 out of 18 UCHR subjects. APC mutations with altered WNT signalling pathway is frequently observed in sporadic colorectal carcinoma subjects. We have identified a nonsense mutation with premature stop codon in APC (R1114*) in one UCHR subject with HGD. Among the other genes in WNT signalling pathway, CTNNB1 mutation was found in 2 out of 18 UCHR subjects. KRAS mutation (G13D, G12D and Q61H) was observed in 4 out of 18 UCHR subjects. Although TP53 mutation was found in 4 UCHR subjects with HGD (UCHR-P), which is commonly seen as an early molecular event that occurs during UC-CRC tumorigenic process. However, we did not observe TP53 mutation in UCHR subjects without dysplastic phenotype (UCHR-NP), which could possibly be because of the small sample size. In our study, we did not find BRAF mutation in any of the UCHR subjects. Based on the previous reports, frequency of BRAF mutations is less in sporadic CRCs (6–11%) and in UC-associated colorectal neoplasia (9%) (Aust et al., 2005). It is reported that BRAF mutations frequency is higher in microsatellite stable CRCs developed through the mutator or serrated pathway (Aust et al., 2005). Frequent somatic mutations in TGFBR2 and SMAD4, members of canonical TGF-β signalling pathway, were reported in CRCs. In our study, TGFBR2 missense mutation and a nonsense mutation with premature stop codon in SMAD4 (Q169*) was found in two UCHR subjects with high grade dysplasia.

Deregulation of histone lysine methyltransferase activity leading to aberrant chromatin remodelling has been reported in various cancers (Rao and Dou, 2015). It is known that altered chromatin modification contribute to aberrant cell proliferation via altered expression pattern of oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes or by inducing genome instability (Benard et al., 2014). Mutation in ML2, encoding a histone methyltransferase, has been implicated in substantial transcription stress and genomic instability (Kantidakis et al., 2016). ML3 gene germline insertion mutation was previously reported in a pedigree of CRC (Li et al., 2013). In our study, we observed recurrent mutations in MLL (KMT2A, H3K4me1/2/3), MLL2 (KMT2D, H3K4me1/2/3) and MLL3 (KMT2C, H3K4me1/2/3) in UCHR subjects. Mutation in NSD1 (H3K36me1/2/3), SETD2 (H3K36me1/2/3) and PRDM1 (H3K9me1/2/3) was also observed in UCHR subjects. Massively parallel sequencing of cancer genome projects involving large number of subjects have identified recurrent mutation in mammalian SWI/
SNF complex and its associated proteins. SWI/SNF nucleosome remodelling complex is a known tumour suppressor in many human malignancies. We have identified frequent somatic missense mutation in ARID1A and SMARCA4 in UCHR subjects. ARID1A has been previously reported to be frequently mutated in colon and rectal carcinoma and is a known epigenetic tumour suppressor gene. Recent study has shown ARID1A deficiency promotes colon cancer in animal model (Mathur et al, 2017). Together, these finding suggests an in-depth interrogation is required to understand the widespread mutation in epigenetic regulators, chromatin remodelling complex genes and their contribution in epigenetic regulation of histone, transcriptional stress, and genome instability during colorectal carcinogenesis.

Several mutations and copy number alteration, which are already established as oncogenic driver genes in the colorectal carcinogenesis have been identified in our study. These include AKT1 and RAF1 (EGF receptor signalling pathway) APC, CTNNB1 and TP53 (WNT signalling pathway), FGFR2, FGFR3, AKT1, RAF1 (FGFR signalling pathway), PIK3CA mutation along with IRS2, ERBB2, EGFR, MYC, MET and ABL1 gene amplifications in UCHR subjects. In addition, we have observed PTPT (20q12) gene amplification in 10 out of 18 UCHR subjects. PTPTR belongs to tyrosine phosphatase gene superfamily and has been previously reported to have dual roles as oncogene and tumour suppressor gene in a context-dependent manner in human cancers. Previously, PTPT gene was reported to be frequently amplified in sporadic colorectal carcinoma without BRAF mutation (Laczmanska et al, 2014).

From a low resource country, this is one of the few attempts to study by targeted sequencing-based comprehensive analysis of mutation spectrum in cancer-associated genes for the identification of driver mutation in long-standing UC subjects. Data generated from this study highlight a possible mechanism of early molecular changes that occur during transformation of colitis to low grade dysplasia into carcinoma. Although the study population represents a low incidence rate of UC-associated colorectal carcinoma, findings from the study could be tested in a larger cohort to assess the role of somatic driver mutations and its potential for an early molecular signature. To complement our findings, an in-depth analysis of gene expression and epigenetic regulation of driver genes could facilitate deeper perspective of the pathophysiology of CRC and may also identify potential therapeutic targets.
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