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Abstract
Transport properties (dc electrical resistivity, threshold electric field, and narrow-band noise) are reported for nanoribbon specimens of NbSe₃ with thicknesses as low as 18 nm. As the sample thickness decreases, the resistive anomalies characteristic of the charge density wave (CDW) state are suppressed and the threshold fields for nonlinear CDW conduction apparently diverge. Narrow-band noise measurements allow determination of the concentration of carriers condensed in the CDW state nₛ, reflective of the CDW order parameter Δ. Although the CDW transition temperatures are relatively independent of sample thickness, in the lower CDW state Δ decreases dramatically with decreasing sample thickness.

1. Introduction
Low dimensional charge density wave (CDW) materials have enjoyed a resurgence of research popularity driven largely by interest in two-dimensional materials in the ultrathin limit. Layered transition metal dichalcogenides (MX₂), which in the bulk often support CDW collective mode ground states, can be exfoliated [1] to yield ultrathin specimens down to the monolayer. Examples include TiSe₂ [2], TaS₂ [3, 4] and NbSe₂ [5, 6]. In contrast, transition metal trichalcogenides (MX₃) are CDW-supporting materials consisting of weakly bonded one-dimensional chains [7, 8]. Remarkably, the CDW in MX₃ materials is often found to be dynamic, where the collective mode oscillating about impurity pinning centers yields strongly frequency dependent ac conductivity at relatively low (e.g. MHz) frequencies, and, even more spectacularly, enhanced dc conductivity reflecting a ‘sliding’ CDW condensate for applied electric fields exceeding a small threshold field ET [9]. Of special interest is the behavior of the CDW state of dynamic CDW systems as the sample dimensions become much less than the transverse phase correlation length, ultimately approaching the single chain limit.

The prototype incommensurate sliding CDW MX₃ material NbSe₃ has two independent CDW states, the first setting in at Tₚ₁ = 144 K and the second at Tₚ₂ = 59 K [10]. Independent resistive anomalies are observed below Tₚ₁ and Tₚ₂, associated with partial gapping of the Fermi surface. CDWs in NbSe₃ have a transverse phase correlation length on the order of l ~ 1 μm [11]. Preliminary studies [12–16] of dimensionally reduced NbSe₃ nanowires and nanoribbons indicate that both Tₚ₁ and Tₚ₂ appear to have only a slight dependence on sample size. On the other hand, ET increases, and the CDW resistive anomalies are strongly reduced, with decreasing sample size. No studies have reported the dependence of the CDW order parameter on sample size.

We here report on transport and narrowband noise measurements on mechanically cleaved thin samples of NbSe₃, down to thicknesses of 18 nm. The relative insensitivity of Tₚ, increase of ET, and suppression of the resistive anomalies, with decreasing sample size are confirmed. Narrowband noise measurements in the lower CDW state show that the CDW order parameter Δ decreases markedly with decreasing sample size. The low frequency dielectric constant ε₁ is found to be strongly sample size dependent.
Table 1. NbSe3 nanoribbon sample parameters. NbSe3 sample dimensions (thickness, width and length) and two-probe resistances at room temperature are displayed. The contact resistance is calculated from comparison with bulk conductivity of 2.5 × 10⁻⁴ Ω cm [9].

| Sample # | Thickness (nm) | Width (nm) | Length (µm) | Resistance at RT (kΩ) | Contact resistance (%) |
|----------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 1        | 55             | 550        | 7.4         | 0.76                  | 19.5                   |
| 2        | 34             | 450        | 4.6         | 0.92                  | 18.3                   |
| 3        | 31             | 280        | 3.2         | 1.08                  | 14.6                   |
| 4        | 18             | 150        | 1.1         | 1.20                  | 15.1                   |

2. Experimental

High-purity NbSe3 crystals with typical dimensions 1 µm × 10 µm × 1 cm initially grown by chemical vapor transport are thinned down by mechanical exfoliation using the Scotch tape method [1], and then deposited on a Si/SiO2 substrate. Previous studies report preparation of NbSe3 nanowires by ultrasonic cleaving [16], direct synthesis [17, 18], or plasma etching [19]. We find that mechanical exfoliation is the most reliable method to prepare undamaged samples of NbSe3 of different thicknesses having consistent impurity concentration. The sample dimensions post-cleaving are determined using a combination of optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and atomic force microscopy.

Table 1 summarizes the dimensions of the samples discussed in this report. Cleaved samples have thickness \( t \) equal to 55, 34, 31, and 18 nm. Sample widths and lengths are approximately 10t and 100t, respectively (see table 1 for details). The sample length is defined as the distance between electrical contacts, not the overall physical length of the crystallite. We find that the conventional method of electrical contact to NbSe3 via conductive silver paint is unreliable for ultrathin samples. Instead, In/Cr/Au trilayer contacts are placed on the NbSe3 crystal employing electron beam lithography and metal evaporation. Immediately prior to electrode evaporation, the sample is treated with nitrogen plasma, a key to low contact resistance. Plasma treatment is limited only to the contacts locations and the active area of the sample is protected by electron beam resist. Our careful comparisons between two- and four-probe contact configurations on selected thin samples indicate that the contact resistance is relatively low. Therefore, for transport and noise measurements here reported, a two-probe contact configuration is employed. Table 1 lists electrical resistance at room temperature for the different samples, and worst-case estimates for contact resistances. The samples are cooled in a helium gas environment using a home-made gas flow cryostat.

3. Results and discussion

Figure 1(a) shows the normalized dc electrical resistance \( R \) of NbSe3 samples in the temperature range 220–10 K. In order to compare samples of different thicknesses, the resistance for each sample is normalized by its resistance at 220 K. The samples are current biased at low excitation to remain below the threshold field for nonlinear conduction. The temperature dependence of resistance is overall metallic except for the two well-known upturns, starting at \( T_{TP} = 144 \) K and \( T_{TP} = 59 \) K, corresponding to the upper and lower CDW transitions, respectively. The recovery of metallic behavior below the resistance anomalies is attributed to incomplete gapping of the Fermi surface [10]. Figures 1(b) and (c) show details of the normalized resistance near \( T_{TP} \) and \( T_{TP} \), respectively. Previous studies [16] have indicated that both CDW transition temperatures in NbSe3 have a modest dependence on sample size, with \( T_{TP} \) decreasing with decreasing size. Our \( R(T) \) data of figures 1(b), (c) (plotted as \( 1/RdR/dT \) versus \( T \), see supplementary material figures S1(a), (b))⁴, are not inconsistent with this assertion (with our data suggesting \( T_{TP} \) decreases from 144 K for bulk samples to 140 K for the 18 nm sample), but our large experimental error bars make it difficult to identify an unambiguous trend, especially for \( T_{TP} \). In any case, and perhaps not surprisingly (considering the 1D nature of the material), \( T_{TP} \) is not very sensitive to sample size in NbSe3.

Figure 1 shows that the resistive anomalies in NbSe3 are dramatically suppressed with decreasing sample size, as reported in previous studies [16, 18]. The lower CDW state is particularly sensitive, with the resistive anomaly below \( T_{TP} = 59 \) K severely suppressed (but still detectable, see supplementary material figures S2(a)–(d))⁵ for the 18 nm sample. In contrast, the upper CDW resistive anomaly is suppressed by only ~50% for the 18 nm sample. The significant difference in size sensitivity between the lower and upper CDW states has not been observed in earlier studies of NbSe3 nanowires produced by ultrasonic cleaving [16]. We attribute the difference
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⁴ See supplemental material at (URL will be inserted by publisher) for \( T_{TP} \) and \( T_{TP} \) determined by numerical derivatives of \( R(T) \).

⁵ See supplemental material at (URL will be inserted by publisher) for \( R(T) \) in figure 1(c) with each curve isolated in a separate panel for clarity. The CDW resistive anomaly is small but detectable for thicknesses between 55 and 18 nm.
to the width-to-thickness ratio \((w/t)\) of the samples. Our ribbon-like samples have \(w/t \sim 10\) (table 1), whereas the nanowires in the earlier study have \(w/t \sim 1\). Even for the thinnest (18 nm) nanoribbon sample, \(R\) continues to exhibit metallic behavior (i.e. decreasing \(R\) with decreasing \(T\)) at low temperature. These findings are in contrast to earlier NbSe\(_3\) nanowire studies [16], where for thin samples non-metallic one-dimensional power law behavior \((R \sim T^{-\alpha})\) was observed at low temperature.

We now examine the dynamic CDW sliding state and thereby gain insight into the CDW order parameter. The threshold field \(E_T\) for the onset of CDW sliding conduction is obtained at fixed temperature either from low frequency differential resistance measurements or pulsed methods. The differential resistance \(R_{\text{diff}} = \frac{dV}{dI}\) is measured by a lock-in amplifier employing a 0.01 nV excitation at 500 Hz. Pulse methods, which avoid heating at high applied \(E\), use a 1 \(\mu\)s pulse width and low duty cycle [20].

Figures 2(a) and (b) show \(R_{\text{diff}}\) vs applied electric field \(E\) for the 55 nm and 18 nm NbSe\(_3\) nanoribbons, respectively. In the upper CDW state at 110 K, where \(E_T(\text{bulk}) = 0.2 \text{ V cm}^{-1}\), \(E_T\) for the 55 nm sample is 196 V cm\(^{-1}\), while for the 18 nm sample it is 20 000 V cm\(^{-1}\). In the lower CDW state at 49 K, where \(E_T(\text{bulk}) = 0.01 \text{ V cm}^{-1}\), \(E_T\) for the 55 nm sample is 28 V cm\(^{-1}\), while for the 18 nm sample no depinning is observed for fields as high as \(\sim 10^6\) V cm\(^{-1}\). Figure 2(c) summarizes \(E_T\) behavior for both CDW states for different sample thicknesses. For both CDW states, the same trend is observed: \(E_T\) increases with decreasing sample size. This trend is consistent with previous studies [12, 16–18]. For bulk NbSe\(_3\), \(E_T\) is typically lower for the lower CDW than the upper CDW [21]. The dependence of \(E_T\) on sample size is more acute in the lower CDW state, similar to the high sensitivity on sample size of the lower CDW state resistive anomaly, as described above. For the lower CDW state, \(E_T\) apparently diverges to \(>10^6\) V cm\(^{-1}\) at \(t = 18\) nm, whereas for the upper CDW state, such a divergence is absent in agreement with the results of a previous study of \(E_T\) in the upper CDW state for thin NbSe\(_3\) samples [15]. Comparison of \(E_T\) in the upper CDW and lower CDW state for 50 nm \(\leq t \leq 21\) \(\mu\)m has shown that the \(E_T\) temperature dependence is impacted differently by thickness reduction [12]. It is possible that thermal fluctuations influence pinning differently for the upper CDW and the lower CDW.

An interesting question thus arises: in the lower CDW state, is the apparent divergence in \(E_T\) with decreasing sample size due to an enhancement in the pinning potential, or due to a rapid collapse in the CDW order parameter? We gain access to the CDW order parameter \(\Delta\) via narrowband noise measurements. \(\Delta\) is directly
related to the concentration of carriers condensed in the CDW state\(^6\) \([22]\), \(n_c\), which is in turn related to the noise frequency \(f_{\text{NBN}}\) by \([23]\)

\[
I_{\text{CDW}} = e n_c A \tilde{\lambda} f_{\text{NBN}},
\]

where \(I_{\text{CDW}}\) is the excess current carried by the sliding CDW, \(e\) is the electron charge, \(A\) is the cross sectional area of the NbSe\(_3\) sample, and \(\tilde{\lambda}\) is the period of the pinning potential.

To determine \(f_{\text{NBN}}\), samples are held at fixed temperature \(T = 49\) K in the lower CDW state and dc current biased with \(E > E_T\). \(I_{\text{CDW}} = I \left(1 - \frac{R}{R_0}\right)\) is extracted from \(R_0\), the resistance at \(E < E_T\). The response voltage is amplified by a custom wideband amplifier and input to a spectrum analyzer. Contact effects from two-point probes are considered negligible\(^7\) \([24]\). Figure 3 shows \(f_{\text{NBN}}\) versus CDW current density \(J_{\text{CDW}} = I_{\text{CDW}}/A\) for samples of thickness 34, 55 nm, and bulk. All samples exhibit a linear relation consistent with equation (1). The slopes are used to extract the change in carrier density \(n_c\). Narrowband noise clearly reveals that the lower CDW state order parameter is progressively suppressed as NbSe\(_3\) nanoribbons are thinned. Compared to the bulk, \(n_c\) is reduced by more than a factor of five in 34 nm thick NbSe\(_3\), as shown in table 2.

Figure 4\(a\) summarizes the \(E_T\) and \(n_c\) behavior as a function of sample thickness \(t\). The different sensitivities of \(E_T\) for the upper and lower CDW states leads to a crossing of the \(E_T(t)\) curves at \(\approx 43\) nm. Compared to bulk NbSe\(_3\), \(n_c\) (and hence \(\Delta\)) for the lower CDW state is reduced to 0.4 \(n_c\)\(_{\text{Bulk}}\) at \(t = 55\) nm and decreases further to 0.19 \(n_c\)\(_{\text{Bulk}}\) in a thinner sample of \(t = 34\) nm. \(E_T\) for the lower CDW state is 500 V cm\(^{-1}\) at \(t = 34\) nm, and, if it exists at all, exceeds 10\(^6\) V cm\(^{-1}\) at \(t = 18\) nm, which is a much steeper increase compared to the upper CDW state.

The strength of electric field coupling to the CDW condensate \((F_{\text{CDW}} = e \rho E)\) depends on the condensate

---

\(^6\) This result supersedes earlier derivations which show \(n_c \approx \Delta^2\) near \(T_T\) (see for example, \([22]\)). The discrepancy is discussed by Lee and Rice.

\(^7\) From x-ray measurements \([24]\), it is known that the CDW is strained due to confinement by the contacts for contact separations < 2 mm. From table 1, the contact separations in our samples range from 7.4 to 1.1 mm. However, a multi-contact study \([19]\) has shown that current conversion to the CDW at the contacts is complete and independent of contact spacing from 20 to 0.5 \(\mu\)m.

---
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density ($\rho$), which is determined from $\Delta$ [12]. Hence, a strong possibility is that the apparent divergence in $E_T$ is caused by the decrease of the CDW order parameter $\Delta$.

For a CDW system the dielectric constant $\varepsilon_1$ is related to $E_T$ through the expression [9]

$$\varepsilon_1 E_T = (\text{const}) n_c e \lambda,$$

(2)

where the term (const) is a constant of order one. $\varepsilon_1$ can thus be expressed as $\varepsilon_1(t) \sim n_c(t) e \lambda / E_T(t)$ where the size dependences of the relevant parameters are explicitly indicated. With $E_T(t)$ and $n_c(t)$ experimentally determined, $\varepsilon_1(t, T = 49 \text{ K})$ is obtained, as shown in figure 4(b). The low frequency dielectric constant $\varepsilon_1$ at $t = 55 \text{ nm}$ is reduced compared to the bulk and further decreases to $2.7 \times 10^{-6}$ of $\varepsilon_{\text{Bulk}}$ at $t = 34 \text{ nm}$. The suppression of the CDW condensate order parameter is expected to result in the suppression of the low frequency dielectric constant $\varepsilon_1$.

Another possibility for the change in $J_{\text{CDW}}/f_{\text{NBN}}$ is the reduction of effective cross section for thinner samples. We have computed the area ($A = \text{width} \times \text{thickness}$) from sample dimensions listed in table 1. For strong pinning, plasticity in the CDW could lead to a reduced effective cross section compared to the cross section based on sample dimensions [25]. In particular, for surface pinning, the reduction in effective cross section becomes more acute for smaller samples. Hence, the increase of $E_T$ and decrease of $J_{\text{CDW}}/f_{\text{NBN}}$ in thin samples could be due to enhanced surface pinning. As discussed in [12], further study on the shape of narrowband noise spectrum, small-signal ac conductivity, and broadband noise could elucidate the effect of pinning strength distribution and the size dependence of the effective cross section.

### Table 2. CDW parameters of NbSe$_3$ samples. $E_T(\text{min})$ corresponds to the minimum threshold fields of the upper CDW and lower CDW from the threshold field temperature dependence in figure 2(c). The slope and $n_c/n_{\text{bulk}}$ under ‘Lower CDW’ are extracted from the linear fit to the measurement of the lower CDW narrowband noise shown in figure 3. The dielectric constant $\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_{\text{bulk}}$ are calculated from $E_T$ and $n_c$ at $T = 49 \text{ K}$. Note 1: No $E_T$ found to $10^6 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$.

| Sample thickness (nm) | $E_T(\text{min})$ (V cm$^{-1}$) | $E_T(\text{min})$ (V cm$^{-1}$) | Slope (A MHz cm$^{-2}$) | $n_c/n_{\text{bulk}}$ | $\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_{\text{bulk}}$ |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Bulk                | 0.40                             | 0.01                             | 125                     | 1                      | 1                                |
| 55                  | 100                              | 40                               | 47.6                    | 0.40                   | $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$             |
| 34                  | 200                              | 500                              | 23.8                    | 0.19                   | $2.7 \times 10^{-6}$             |
| 31                  | 200                              | Note 1                           |                         |                        |                                  |
| 18                  | 800                              | Note 1                           |                         |                        |                                  |

Note 1: No $E_T$ found to $10^6 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$. 

Figure 3. Narrowband noise frequency dependence on CDW current density for nanoscale NbSe$_3$ with thicknesses 34, 55 nm and bulk NbSe$_3$. The lines through the data points are linear fits to extract CDW carrier density.

Figure 4. Narrowband noise frequency dependence on CDW current density for nanoscale NbSe$_3$ with thicknesses 34, 55 nm and bulk NbSe$_3$. The lines through the data points are linear fits to extract CDW carrier density.
4. Conclusion

The sliding CDW in NbSe$_3$ is investigated for thicknesses from 55 to 18 nm, and the effect of nanoscale confinement on the CDW narrowband noise has been investigated for the first time. At sample thicknesses below $t \sim 30$ nm, the lower CDW $E_T$ apparently diverges to $E_T > 10^6$ V cm$^{-1}$, in contrast to the upper CDW $E_T$ which increases at a slower rate with reduced thickness. The difference in size sensitivity between the lower and upper CDW state may be characteristic to ribbon-like samples with $w/t \sim 10$. The results imply that, as NbSe$_3$ nanoribbons are made thinner, either the CDW order parameter is suppressed or the effective cross section reduces from increased surface pinning. The exploration of CDW dynamics in ultra-thin samples helps illuminate nanoscale confinement effects on CDW materials.
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