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Abstract

Kozaklı is one of the most important areas of Central Anatolia in terms of geothermal potential and it is characterized by thrust and normal faults. These faults, accommodating deep circulation of hydrothermal fluids of meteoric origin, are the primary controls of the geothermal systems in this region. Chemical and isotopic composition of the hot springs and geothermal fluids was monitored throughout 2017. The surface temperature of the fluid ranges from 24.9 to 96 °C. The cold spring waters are mostly of the Ca-Mg–HCO₃ type in the study area. Major element chemistry of the water reveals that the deep geothermal fluids are mostly of the Na-Ca-Cl-SO₄ type while the shallow geothermal well waters are Ca-Na–HCO₃ waters. Silica geothermometers suggest that the reservoir temperature ranges from 103 to 173 °C. Based on the δ¹⁸O–δD relationship, water samples have a high-altitude meteoric origin. Stable isotopic data indicate that the geothermal fluids are formed by local recharge and deep circulation of meteoric waters. The Rare Earth Elements and Yttrium (REY) composition showed that Eu, Ce and Y anomalies are related both to inheritance from geological host materials and the fractionation of these elements during water-rock interactions.

1. Introduction

The rapid increase in population and need for energy in developing industries is increasing the demand for energy. Today, most energy is supplied from fossil fuels and nuclear energy. Carbon dioxide (CO₂), which is the result of combustion of fossil fuels such as petroleum, coal and natural gas, causes a greenhouse effect in the atmosphere due to deforestation. Climate change, global warming and the need for clean energy sources mean that nearly all countries are turning to renewable energy sources such as solar, wind, biomass and geothermal energy.

Geothermal energy applications have increased in many countries such as solar, wind, biomass and geothermal energy. Owing to its setting in the tectonically-active Alpine-Mediterranean Belt, Turkey has a significant geothermal potential (Baba and Armansson, 2006; Şimşek, 2009). This potential has been utilized in various local sectors to the extent of 1033 MWt (Megawatts thermal), baleneology 1005 MWt, heat pump applications 42.8 MWt, greenhouse applications 820 MWt, thermal hotel heating 420 MWt, food drying 1.5 MWt and electricity production (installed capacity: 1150 MWe (Megawatts electric) (Akkuş, 2017; Baba, 2018). Geothermal exploration and development are currently in progress to meet the increased demand for energy to be utilized in electricity production and heating.

The Kozaklı Geothermal Field is located in the northern part of the Nevşehir province in Central Anatolia (Fig. 1). Central Anatolia has important geothermal fields, where geothermal reservoir temperatures reach 297 °C. Currently, this geothermal energy potential is not used for electricity production but power generation facilities are under construction in Central Anatolia and geothermal fluids are being used for residential heating, greenhouses and thermal resorts. The Kozaklı Geothermal Field is one of the most important areas of Central Anatolia in terms of geothermal potential and it is characterized by thrust and normal faults. These faults, accommodating deep circulation of hydrothermal fluids of meteoric origin, are the primary controls of the geothermal systems in this region. Chemical and isotopic composition of the hot springs and geothermal fluids was monitored throughout 2017. The surface temperature of the fluid ranges from 24.9 to 96 °C. The cold spring waters are mostly of the Ca-Mg–HCO₃ type in the study area. Major element chemistry of the water reveals that the deep geothermal fluids are mostly of the Na-Ca-Cl-SO₄ type while the shallow geothermal well waters are Ca-Na–HCO₃ waters. Silica geothermometers suggest that the reservoir temperature ranges from 103 to 173 °C. Based on the δ¹⁸O–δD relationship, water samples have a high-altitude meteoric origin. Stable isotopic data indicate that the geothermal fluids are formed by local recharge and deep circulation of meteoric waters. The Rare Earth Elements and Yttrium (REY) composition showed that Eu, Ce and Y anomalies are related both to inheritance from geological host materials and the fractionation of these elements during water-rock interactions.
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understanding a fluids-rock system. Therefore, geochemical and hydrochemical studies of geothermal fluid are necessary to gain a better understanding of the behavior of the fluids.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the source(s) and mechanism of the Kozaklı geothermal fluids using stable isotopes, hydrogeochemistry and rare earth elements to evaluate the potential of the field by means of a hydrogeochemical characterization, and hence to contribute to the efficient usage of the field. In this context, hydrogeochemical analysis was conducted on water samples collected from the wells and hot springs in the study area. The results were assessed in terms of the hydrogeochemical facies of the waters, possible subsurface processes comprising water-rock interactions and subsurface residence time, and geothermometer applications (reservoir temperature estimation) and scaling potential.

2. Geological tectonic and hydrogeological settings

2.1. Geological setting

The study area is located within the Paleozoic-Mesozoic Central Anatolian Crystalline Complex (Göncüoğlu et al., 1991). This Complex is a community which consists of metamorphic rocks and various felsic intrusive rocks (Göncüoğlu et al., 1991). Volcanic rocks representing the Tertiary-Quaternary cover units and Miocene-Quaternary magmatism products lie unconformably on the Central Anatolian Crystalline Complex. Tamadağ and Bozçaldağ metamorphic rocks belonging to the Kırşehir massif are located in the basement of the study area. These metamorphic rocks consist of gneiss, schist and marble. The Paleozoic Tamadağ metamorphics contain phyllite, sericite-chlorite schist, calc-schist and marble in alternation (Atabey, 1989; Seymen, 1981). This unit was cut by the Central Anatolian Granitoid consisting of granite, granodiorite and monzonite (Göncüoğlu et al., 1991). The intrusion is of an Upper Cretaceous age. Eocene lacustrine deposits unconformably cover the Central Anatolian Crystalline Complex. These units in turn are unconformably overlain by Oligocene units consisting of red cross-bedded sandstone, siltstone and mudstone. The Upper Miocene-Pliocene Central Anatolian Volcanics consist of volcanic breccia, agglomerate, ignimbrite and tuffs that overlie in conformity with these Oligocene units (Fig. 1). Finally, a Quaternary alluvium layer consisting of gravels, silts and clays about 1–5 m in thickness covers all these units (Fig. 1). Quaternary travertine precipitations, which overlie the Upper Miocene-Pliocene unit, are also observed in various parts of the study area. These travertines are formed from geothermally-heated supersaturated meteoric waters, with an enhanced CO₂ concentration. On emergence, the waters degas CO₂ due to the lower atmospheric CO₂ concentration, resulting in increased pH. Because carbonate solubility decreases with increased pH, precipitation is induced. The Kozaklı travertines precipitate directly onto rock and other inert materials, such as in Pamukkale (Turkey) or Mammoth Hot Springs (USA). These Quaternary travertine precipitates unconformably overlie the Upper Miocene-Pliocene units. The apparent thickness of this Quaternary travertine in the region is between 50 and 60 m and the actual thickness is around 15–20 m.

2.2. Tectonic setting

The study area is bordered by the North Anatolian Fault Zone, the Eastern Anatolian Fault Zone and the Tuzgölü Fault Zone to the north, the New Anatolian Fault Zone, the Trans-Anatolian Fault Zone, the Salt Lake Fault Zone, the Kızılirmak Fault Zone and the Sivas Basin to the east and west, respectively and was affected by a confined regime until Late Pliocene due to the convergence of the Arabian and Eurasian plates. Under the confined regime, the Middle Kızılirmak and Sivas basins were enclosed and thrust faults developed near the study area. Successive anticlines and synclines with NE-SW axes that developed in the Upper Pliocene volcanic and sedimentary units indicate that the compressional regime continued to Late Pliocene. Due to these tectonic effects, the Kızılirmak Fault Zone caused the Kızılirmak basin to open and a typical horst graben structure developed in the region. The faults forming the graben are oblique and form the Middle Kızılirmak Fault Zone (Dirik and Göncüoğlu, 1996). One of the most important faults in the study area is the Salanda Fault Zone (Kocyigit and Dogan, 2016; Oztürk et al., 2018; Şener et al., 2018), which is 20 km wide and 140 km long and extends parallel to the Salt Lake Fault Zone (Fig. 1b).
The Salanda fault is a right-lateral strike-slip fault with a significant amount of normal components and comes into contact with the Upper Miocene-Pliocene terrestrial sediments and the Paleozoic Bozçaldağ metamorphics in the south of the Hacibektas district (Kocyiğit, 1984). Two other important faults are the broken line 20 km long located between Civelek and Gömüskent, south of Hırkadağ and the Hırka Fault Zone, which covers the Yemliha and Felahiye faults and forms a part of the Central Anatolian Fault (Fig. 1b).

2.3. Hydrogeological setting

The geothermal area of the Kozakl in district in the Nevşehir province is the hottest geothermal area in Central Anatolia. At Kozakl, thermal waters are manifested along a valley with a length of 1.5 km and a width of 200 m (Pasvanoğlu and Chandrasekharam, 2011). The measured temperature of the Kozakl waters ranges from 43 to 51 °C in springs to 80–96 °C in wells. The waters issue into a wide swampy area as a small group of springs through buried faults. Electrical conductivity values for the thermal springs and well waters are 1650–3595 μS/cm and a pH values are 6.72–7.36. The Kozakl cold water has an electrical conductivity of 450 μS/cm and pH of 7.56. Approximately 30 geo-thermal exploration and production wells have been drilled in the Kozakl geothermal field since 1965, and the depth of the wells ranges from 60 to 1488 m. During summer, water is pumped from the wells. The waters have a temperature range of 45–96 °C and their discharge rate is 2–40 l/s. Waters hotter than 90 °C issuing from a well 150 m in depth may indicate the presence of a still-cooling magma chamber beneath the Kozakl area (Pasvanoğlu and Chandrasekharam, 2011).

Results indicate that the Paleozoic unit contains water sources due to fractures and cracks that developed. The Paleozoic units are the reservoir of the geothermal system. An Oligo-Miocene unit composed of gypsiferous, red-colored sandly marls, clay, marly limestones and conglomerates constitutes an impermeable zone in the region. Oligo-Miocene rocks form the cap rocks of the geothermal system. The tuff-marl and limestone-marl contact points have low-flow cold water sources. The Quaternary travertine and alluvium are generally aquiferous. Cold groundwater sources circulate in shallow areas, while hot fluids circulate in permeable units in deep zones (Fig. 1c). NW–SE and NE–SW striking normal faults and secondary fault systems control not only the manifestation of hot waters but also the Pliocene units exposed within the valleys.

3. Materials and methods

A total of 12 water samples from geothermal wells were collected for major ion chemistry, REY (Rare Earth Elements and Yttrium) and stable isotope (δ18O and δ2H) analysis during April 2017 and December 2017 (six samples each). Samples collected in April are denoted (*). These 12 samples were compared and interpreted in conjunction with 10 samples from Pasvanoğlu and Chandrasekharam (2011) and 2 samples from Afsin and Allen (2012). Unstable hydro-chemical parameters including water temperature (°C), pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured in situ using a portable pH meter, electric conductivity meter and a dissolved oxygen meter, calibrated before use. While sampling, all water samples were filtered through 0.45 μm membranes on site. Samples were stored in new 350 ml polyethylene bottles that were rinsed with deionized water twice before sampling. For the SiO2 analysis, the geothermal fluid samples were diluted ten-fold using deionized water to prevent SiO2 from polymerizing.

The chemical analysis of the major anions and cations was conducted in the Konya State Hydraulic Work’s water chemistry laboratory. Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Li⁺, Al³⁺ and SiO2 were determined with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Cl⁻ and HCO₃⁻ (total alkalinity) were determined volumetrically and SO₄²⁻ by the gravimetric method (Table 1). The anion-cation balance check is based on the percentage difference between total positive charge and total negative charge. δ18O and δ2H isotope analysis was carried out at the International Karst Water Resources Application and Research Center of Hacettepe University, Turkey and the results are shown in Table 3. Samples were analyzed using an isoprime Dual Inlet Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (DI-IRMS) coupled to a multirep bench for online analysis. δ2H was analyzed for after online equilibration at 40 °C with a platinum catalyst (Hokko beads). δ18O were analyzed as above, but after equilibration with carbon dioxide. The δ18O and δ2H conformed to the international water standards of IAEA and USGS and were determined with a precision below ± 2‰ for δD and ± 0.1‰ for δ18O. In addition, the Deuterium (d) excess value was calculated with the formula δ = δ2H – (8 × δ18O) using the δ18O and δ2H isotope results. Deuterium excess values are sensitive to evaporation processes, including whether the summer or winter precipitation dominates the recharge (Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984). High d-excess values (higher than 10) generally indicate that more evaporated moisture has been added to the atmosphere (Gat and Matsui, 1991), and low values (lower than 10) are associated with samples fractionated by evaporation. Therefore, d-excess results can assist when interpreting the conditions of dry and rainy periods.

All water samples were analyzed for trace elements with a Thermo X-series 1 quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP–MS) in the Acme Mineral Laboratories (Table 4). REY concentrations in natural waters are in the range of nmol/kg to below pmol/kg. Therefore, REY have to be preconcentrated prior to analysis (Bau and Dulski, 1996; Möller et al., 1998; Shabani et al., 1992). In our study, preconcentration of the cartridges comprised: cleaning with 10 ml 6 M sub-boiled HCl, washing with 7 ml ultra-pure water, freeing from water, and loading with a liquid ion exchanger consisting of a mixture of ethylhexyl phosphates. In the laboratory, the REY loaded cartridges from the field were washed with 50 ml 0.01 M sub-boiled HCl. Thereafter, the REY were eluted by 40 ml 6 M sub-boiled HCl at a rate of 3 ml/min. High REY concentrations were determined with a precision within ± 5%, whereas the lowest ones may vary by a factor of two due to uncertainties related to interference and limits of detection. All reported data were three times above the limits of detection. Sample preparation and the analytical procedures used were similar to those of Eggins et al. (1997).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Water chemistry

The physical and hydrogeochemical data for fluids from the wells are presented in Table 1. The water sources show slightly acidic pH values. The most abundant major ions are in the following order of abundance: Na⁺ > Ca²⁺ > Mg²⁺, Cl⁻ > SO₄²⁻ > HCO₃⁻. As observed, the geothermal fluids are mostly of the Na-Ca-Cl-SO₄ type and the dominant ions are Na⁺ and SO₄²⁻; while the shallow well waters (KOZ4, KOZ6, KOZ4* and KOZ6*) and cold spring waters (SP4 and KOS5*) are of the Ca-Na-HCO₃ type and the dominant ions are Ca²⁺ and HCO₃⁻, as shown in the Piper (1944) diagram (Fig. 2).

The bicarbonate character of the waters seems to be compatible with the dissolution of reservoir rocks, which are dominated by Mesozoic limestones; whereas the dominating of Na⁺ cations in the geothermal fluid can be attributed to ion exchange (of the waters) with the overlying sediments, including the impermeable clayey levels. In general, sulfate-rich waters originate either i) in rocks such as evaporites, or ii) in the deep and long-duration circulation of the waters. All our deep geothermal fluid water samples show similar ion sequences in the semi-logarithmic Schoeller diagram (Fig. 3). This indicates that the waters are fed from the same or a similar source. However, in the semi-logarithmic Schoeller diagram, the concentrations of HCO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the samples are different. This can be explained by the fact that these samples were from different depths. The distinctions between the types of samples are presented in Table 1.

4.2. Isotope chemistry

Deuterium excess values are sensitive to evaporation processes, including whether the summer or winter precipitation dominates the recharge (Jouzel and Merlivat, 1984). High d-excess values (higher than 10) generally indicate that more evaporated moisture has been added to the atmosphere (Gat and Matsui, 1991), and low values (lower than 10) are associated with samples fractionated by evaporation. Therefore, d-excess results can assist when interpreting the conditions of dry and rainy periods.

All water samples were analyzed for trace elements with a Thermo X-series 1 quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP–MS) in the Acme Mineral Laboratories (Table 4). REY concentrations in natural waters are in the range of nmol/kg to below pmol/kg. Therefore, REY have to be preconcentrated prior to analysis (Bau and Dulski, 1996; Möller et al., 1998; Shabani et al., 1992). In our study, preconcentration of the cartridges comprised: cleaning with 10 ml 6 M sub-boiled HCl, washing with 7 ml ultra-pure water, freeing from water, and loading with a liquid ion exchanger consisting of a mixture of ethylhexyl phosphates. In the laboratory, the REY loaded cartridges from the field were washed with 50 ml 0.01 M sub-boiled HCl. Thereafter, the REY were eluted by 40 ml 6 M sub-boiled HCl at a rate of 3 ml/min. High REY concentrations were determined with a precision within ± 5%, whereas the lowest ones may vary by a factor of two due to uncertainties related to interference and limits of detection. All reported data were three times above the limits of detection. Sample preparation and the analytical procedures used were similar to those of Eggins et al. (1997).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Water chemistry

The physical and hydrogeochemical data for fluids from the wells are presented in Table 1. The water sources show slightly acidic pH values. The most abundant major ions are in the following order of abundance: Na⁺ > Ca²⁺ > Mg²⁺, Cl⁻ > SO₄²⁻ > HCO₃⁻. As observed, the geothermal fluids are mostly of the Na-Ca-Cl-SO₄ type and the dominant ions are Na⁺ and SO₄²⁻; while the shallow well waters (KOZ4, KOZ6, KOZ4* and KOZ6*) and cold spring waters (SP4 and KOS5*) are of the Ca-Na-HCO₃ type and the dominant ions are Ca²⁺ and HCO₃⁻, as shown in the Piper (1944) diagram (Fig. 2).

The bicarbonate character of the waters seems to be compatible with the dissolution of reservoir rocks, which are dominated by Mesozoic limestones; whereas the dominating of Na⁺ cations in the geothermal fluid can be attributed to ion exchange (of the waters) with the overlying sediments, including the impermeable clayey levels. In general, sulfate-rich waters originate either i) in rocks such as evaporites, or ii) in the deep and long-duration circulation of the waters. All our deep geothermal fluid water samples show similar ion sequences in the semi-logarithmic Schoeller diagram (Fig. 3). This indicates that the waters are fed from the same or a similar source. However, in the semi-logarithmic Schoeller diagram, the concentrations of HCO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the samples are different. This can be explained by the fact that these samples were from different depths. The distinctions between the types of samples are presented in Table 1.
KOSS and KOSMS from Afsin and Allen (2012). Minimum, maximum, average and standard deviation calculated for geothermal water.

### 4.2. Chemical geothermometry applications

Chemical geothermometers, which are based on temperature-dependent water–rock equilibria, are used to estimate the reservoir temperature of geothermal systems and to give the last temperature of water-rock equilibrium attained in the reservoir. Chemical geothermometers also indicate that temperatures higher than those measured in a drill hole or a spring may be found. This is explained by the mixing of deep water with cold groundwater during its rise toward the surface.

The reservoir temperatures in the Kozakl fields were calculated using a silica (quartz and chalcedony) geothermometer (Fournier, 1977). All geothermometry results are given in Table 2. Results for the quartz geothermometer gave reservoir temperatures ranging from 103 to 173 °C, while those from the chalcedony geothermometer were from 73 to 151 °C. At temperatures of less than 180 °C, silica solubility is commonly controlled by chalcedony rather than quartz, as suggested by (Fournier, 1991). SiO₂ concentrations of the studied geothermal fluids indicate that these waters may undergo silica precipitation during ascent to the surface. Accordingly, temperatures estimated by the chalcedony geothermometer may correspond closely to reservoir temperatures. Therefore, chalcedony geothermometers appear to reflect reservoir temperatures more accurately than quartz geothermometers (Muth, 1998).

Furthermore, some graphical methods have been developed based on the chemical equilibrium of major cations to determine the applicability of chemical geothermometers and to prevent errors that may occur in applying them to mixed cold and thermal fluids. The Na-K-Mg¹/₂ ternary diagram was proposed by (Giggenbach, 1988) as a method to clearly distinguish between thermal fluids that are suitable for the estimation of reservoir temperature by solute geothermometers (Fig. 4). All the thermal fluids in Kozakl geothermal fields fall into the immature category, as seen in Fig. 4. Additionally, Şener et al. (2017) carried out a study of alteration zones in the Cappadocia region. These alteration zones consist of minerals such as jarosite, alunite, smectite and illite. Alteration minerals from rock samples, collected from the same location as the water samples display a similar pattern and chemical properties. This shows that thermal fluids reach the surface along a fault line and have caused the alteration of rocks by means of water–rock interaction.

![Fig. 2. Distribution of springs and geothermal fluids at Kozakl in a Piper diagram.](image-url)
4.3. Environmental isotopes

The stable isotope composition of the Kozaklı geothermal fluids is shown in Fig. 5 and Table 3. The δ²H values range from −83.31‰ to −70.21‰, and the δ¹⁸O values vary between −11.91‰ and −10.44‰. The stable isotope data indicate that the Kozaklı geothermal fluids are of meteoric origin. Although there are no seasonal differences in the major anion and cation results (Fig. 2), there is a small difference in the δ¹⁸O - δ²H isotope figures. The δ²H value for the waters in the rainy season is high due to wells in the study area being fed from surface waters (Fig. 5). Samples collected in April (*) tend to be more depleted in ²H while December samples show a deuterium excess. Similar ²H depletion in the late spring and early summer (April, May, June) has been noted in other studies in Turkey (Çelmen and Çelik, 2009; Pasvanoğlu et al., 2012; Pasvanoğlu and Chandrasekharam, 2011). In addition, ²H isotopes may be affected by either exsolution of CH₄ or H₂S (both of which drive residual waters to high ²H) or water-rock interaction (Fritz and Frape, 1982; Frape and Fritz, 1987).

Isotope values shift from the Mediterranean MWL and Global MWL according to whether they are gathered in arid or rainy periods. Isotope values shift from the meteoric water lines in arid periods and both δ¹⁸O and δ²H isotope values decrease in comparison with those from rainy periods (Fig. 5). This can be explained by evaporation. The annual precipitation in Kozaklı region is 346 mm and evaporation is 930 mm. A shift from the Mediterranean Meteoric Water Line is related to low-elevation feeding, water-rock interaction or the effect of external contamination. As the elevation increases, more negative values result due to a stable isotope dilution. KOZ1 and KOZ1* are fed from the highest elevations and KOZ4, KOZ6, KOZ4*, and KOZ6* are fed from the lowest elevations, while other locations are fed mainly from medium and high elevations.
elevations. These results are also supported by d-excess values calculated with the formula
$$\delta = \delta^{2H} - (8 \times \delta^{18O})$$.
The d-excess values shown are higher than 10 due to atmospheric water vapor having a rapid evaporation effect on precipitation, while “d” values lower than 10 are caused by slow evaporation in the region.

4.4. REY geochemistry

The Cl-chondrite-normalized REY patterns of 12 samples from 6 geothermal wells are shown in Fig. 6 and Table 4. The Kozaklı thermal waters show a variable chemical composition because they originate in various types of aquifer rocks with different suites of REY-bearing accessory minerals. Chondrite-normalized REE concentrations in the water range from $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-1}$. In this study, Y is included in the REE series because Y is very similar in size and equal in charge to Ho.

The REY concentrations of the meteoric waters and stream waters are below detection limits, indicating that they are not significant sources of REYs for acid-sulfate waters (Lewis et al., 1994). All water samples exhibit a sub-parallel negative Ce, Eu and Y anomalies (Fig. 6). Negative Ce anomalies are quite common in oxygen-rich surface waters. For instance, seawater, oxygen-rich rivers and karst waters are characterized worldwide by negative Ce anomalies. It is well-known that Ce is a valence-variable element which is easily fractionated from other REYs by particle absorption under oxidizing conditions (Koeppenkastrop and De Carlo, 1992). Therefore, the occurrence of a Ce negative anomaly in Kozaklı thermal fluids might be attributed either to the oxidation of Ce⁴⁺ to Ce³⁺ and the decreased mobility of the oxidized form in an aqueous environment, or by being inherited from dissolved mineral(s) with a negative Ce anomaly such as limestones.

The negative Eu/Eu* in the geothermal precipitates may be related to hydrothermal alteration and therefore, the negative Eu/Eu* is inherited by geothermal fluids discharging into the Kozaklı bed. Also, the negative Eu anomalies in waters from Kozaklı are either the result of precipitation of Ca minerals under high temperatures at depth on the development of negative Eu/Eu* in the remaining fluids, as stated by Möller (2000) (Fig. 6).

The Y anomaly is defined by the Y/Ho ratio. Under igneous conditions, Y behaves precisely like Ho (Bau and Dulski, 1996). In aqueous systems, Y displays a different behavior, which may be related to small differences in the solubility products of the hydroxides (Diakonov et al., 1998). In migrating fluids, the small dissimilarity of sorption onto mineral surfaces controlled by surface complexation yields Y-Ho fractionation (Möller, 2000). Unlike the anomalous Eu, the Y/Ho ratio is not principally dependent on temperature. Y is enriched in ground or thermal water. This anomaly is only acquired when the rock is not in transient equilibrium with the migrating water. If the leaching lasts long enough, equilibrium might be reached and Y as well as Eu anomalies may vanish. Y and Ho remain tightly coupled in many

### Table 4

| Sample | La   | Ce   | Pr   | Nd   | Sm   | Eu   | Gd   | Tb   | Dy   | Y    | Ho   | Er   | Tm   | Yb   | Lu  |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| KOZ1   | 0.0126 | 0.0237 | 0.0025 | 0.0087 | 0.0015 | 0.0003 | 0.0014 | 0.0002 | 0.0017 | 0.01 | 0.0003 | 0.011 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.0001 |
| KOZ2   | 0.0374 | 0.0718 | 0.0077 | 0.0265 | 0.0047 | 0.0007 | 0.0042 | 0.0007 | 0.0046 | 0.026 | 0.001 | 0.0029 | 0.0004 | 0.0026 | 0.0003 |
| KOZ3   | 0.0251 | 0.0463 | 0.0049 | 0.0179 | 0.0037 | 0.0009 | 0.0034 | 0.0005 | 0.0036 | 0.0201 | 0.0008 | 0.0023 | 0.0003 | 0.0024 | 0.0003 |
| KOZ4   | 0.0064 | 0.0114 | 0.0011 | 0.004 | 0.0007 | 0.0001 | 0.0007 | 0.0001 | 0.0008 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.0001 |
| KOZ5   | 0.0157 | 0.0293 | 0.0029 | 0.01 | 0.0018 | 0.0004 | 0.0017 | 0.0002 | 0.0018 | 0.0107 | 0.0004 | 0.0012 | 0.0002 | 0.0013 | 0.0001 |
| KOZ6   | 0.0173 | 0.032 | 0.0032 | 0.0112 | 0.0021 | 0.0005 | 0.002 | 0.0003 | 0.0021 | 0.0117 | 0.0004 | 0.0014 | 0.0002 | 0.0014 | 0.0002 |
| KOZ1*  | 0.0143 | 0.0302 | 0.0037 | 0.0146 | 0.0032 | 0.0006 | 0.0028 | 0.0004 | 0.0022 | 0.0103 | 0.0004 | 0.001 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0.0008 |
| KOZ2*  | 0.0133 | 0.0271 | 0.0034 | 0.0135 | 0.0029 | 0.0006 | 0.0027 | 0.0003 | 0.0019 | 0.0092 | 0.0003 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 |
| KOZ3*  | 0.0252 | 0.0505 | 0.0057 | 0.0211 | 0.0042 | 0.0007 | 0.0036 | 0.0005 | 0.0028 | 0.0128 | 0.0005 | 0.0013 | 0.0001 | 0.0009 | 0.0001 |
| KOZ4*  | 0.0025 | 0.0064 | 0.0008 | 0.0035 | 0.0009 | 0.0002 | 0.0008 | 0.0001 | 0.0005 | 0.0017 | 0.0009 | 0.0002 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.0002 |
| KOZ5*  | 0.0148 | 0.0314 | 0.0037 | 0.0151 | 0.0036 | 0.0008 | 0.0047 | 0.0007 | 0.0042 | 0.0227 | 0.0008 | 0.0022 | 0.0003 | 0.0019 | 0.0002 |
| KOZ6*  | 0.0058 | 0.0141 | 0.0021 | 0.0094 | 0.0024 | 0.0005 | 0.0022 | 0.0002 | 0.0015 | 0.0067 | 0.0002 | 0.0006 | 0.0008 | 0.0004 | 0.0007 |

**Fig. 4.** Diagrammatical evaluation of water–rock equilibration (Giggenbach, 1988) using relative Na, K, and Mg concentrations (mg/L) of Kozaklı waters.
geochemical processes, leading to the maintenance of a chondritic Y/Ho weight-ratio of 22-30 in common igneous rocks and epiclastic sediments (Bau and Dulski, 1996). The thermal fluids of this study show Y/Ho values between 23 and 33, which are in agreement with an origin in leached basement rocks and epiclastic sediments (Möller et al., 2004).

4.5. Conceptual model of the geothermal area

The conceptual model indicates that the geothermal system is controlled by the KDTZ (Kozakli-Delice Thrust Zone) to the north and the SFZ (Salanda Fault Zone) and the HFZ (Hırka Fault Zone) to the south. The NW-SE and NE-SW major fault segments intersect other faults in an accommodation zone near the study area (Fig. 7). Normal fault planes cause joints and fractures to remain open around the KDTZ. However, the Miocene and Quaternary cover units include E-W- and NE-SW-trending small-scale faults that do not cut and breach the cover to any great extent. Furthermore, compression components of the strike-slip regime on the NE-SW-trending faults result in compaction, as well as the filling of open fractures with clayey fault breccia, therefore, permeability may be reduced in the cap rock of the system. Enhanced knowledge of the fault segments and strike-slip transfer zones would be very useful to identify geothermal systems such as Kozakli in the provinces of Nevşehir and Kirşehir.

In the Kozakli geothermal conceptual model, Paleozoic Kirşehir Massif rocks constitute the basement of the study area. The basement rocks were intruded by Late Cretaceous-Paleocene Baranadag Granitoids, which comprise the heat sources of the geothermal system. Late Eocene-Middle Miocene units overlie the basement units and act as the primary reservoir in the geothermal system. Late Eocene marl and marly limestone units contain small flowing cold water sources. These unite constitute the cap rock of the geothermal system. In addition, the Quaternary travertines and alluvium formed aquifers, in which cold underground waters circulate in the permeable units of the shallow areas while hot fluids circulate in the permeable units of the deep zones. The waters that feed the Kozakli geothermal system reach the reservoir rock by filtering through crack zones. As the first reservoir rock reached is composed of CaCO₃-bearing rocks, after experiencing water-carbonate rock interaction, the waters become Ca⁻HCO₃ type waters. The deeper-filtered waters react with salts and turn into Na-Ca-Cl⁻HCO₃ type waters; then finally, the deepest-filtered water reacts with anhydrides and turns into Na-Ca-Cl-SO₄ type water. Once the meteoric water infiltrates underground, it warms up along the geothermal gradient and flows along fracture and fault zones. During its ascent through the main and secondary faults, the geothermal fluid mixes with cold inlet and younger water. Thus, the meteoric water cannot complete the cycle and undergo water-rock interaction.

5. Conclusions

The Kozakli geothermal field is one of the most important geothermal fields in Central Anatolia. The surface temperature of the fluid ranges from 24.9 to 96 °C. Water samples from Kozakli shallow well and spring waters are mostly of the Ca-Na⁻HCO₃ and Ca-Mg⁻HCO₃ types, respectively, whereas the deep geothermal fluids are of the Na-Ca-Cl⁻SO₄ type. High correlation in some ionic ratios and high concentrations of some minor elements in the thermal waters studied indicate enhanced water-rock interaction. Kozakli thermal waters are over-saturated at discharge temperatures with carbonate and silica minerals, allowing an increase in the carbonate- and silica-rich scale, and contributing to travertine precipitation in the discharge area. According to Giggenbach’s method, all samples from Kozakli studied were far from being in full equilibrium, most likely reflecting mixing with cold meteoric water during migration toward springs. Quartz and chalcedony geothermometers yielded a temperature
range from 103 to 173 °C in the Kozakl region. The δ18O and δ2H values for the thermal waters indicate their deep-circulating meteoric origin. These waters likely originate in the infiltration of rainwater through fractures and faults into the deep hot reservoir. Subsequent heating occurs by conduction in the presence of a high geothermal gradient related to the thrust and fault systems. Subsequently, the waters ascend to the surface along faults and fractures that act as hydrothermal pathways.

That the REY signature of the Kozakl water samples is almost the same and is the result of a combination of processes, such as the oxidizing conditions of water-rock interactions. The variations in Ce, Eu and Y concentrations are the result of inheritance signatures and water-rock interaction. The negative Ce/Ce* is the result of the oxidation state of the waters. The negative Eu/Eu* anomalies in waters from Kozakl rocks/marbles are either the result of the precipitation of Ca minerals at a high temperature or inherited from mica schists and granites that formed the basement rocks.

The Y anomaly is defined by the Y/Ho ratio. Unlike the anomalous Eu, the Y/Ho ratio is not principally dependent on temperature. In all samples, the Y/Ho ratio was around 22–30, which is common in igneous rocks and epiclastic sediments; this is due to the fact that these waters reach the reservoir rock after leaching from sediment or limestone/marbles.

Understanding the composition of fluids and their sources is mandatory for their usage in geothermal applications. Geochemical properties such as REY minerals (from La to Lu) in geothermal fluids are an important indicator. Geothermal fluids such as in the Kozakl region are of a variable chemical composition because they originate in diverse reservoir rocks with different suites of REY-bearing accessory minerals. Therefore, geothermal fluids from different types of rocks, such as magmatic rocks, show different types of REY patterns, normally with positive Y anomalies. In particular, geothermal fluids from magmatic and metamorphic rocks show negative Eu anomalies. Geothermal fluids are potentially significant sources of elements. It is important to know the behavior of major ions and REYs in geothermal fluids. Rare earth elements play a vital role in the evaluation of a geothermal system. The signatures of REYs and the fluid chemistry being almost the same is the result of a combination of processes, such as the oxidizing conditions of water-rock interactions. The The Ce, Eu and Y anomalies result from the leaching of basement rocks and limestone/marble units. Although all geothermal waters from the CAGP belong to the same system, the waters from Nigde, Nevşehir and Kirşehir differ in REY anomalies. In conclusion, the results show that it is possible for a high temperature reservoir to be found in the Kozakl area. No detailed geophysical surveys have yet been carried out nor deep wells drilled to investigate the subsurface structure of the region. Seismic and Magnetotelluric (MT) research in this area may provide vital clues concerning the reservoir system; however, exploratory drilling is necessary for further development of the area.
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