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ABSTRACT

In-situ chemical oxidation is an effective groundwater remediation approach for delivering oxidants to the subsurface environment where various contaminants of concern, natural organic matter, and other reduced species within the soil consume the oxidants. The addition of these oxidants alters microbial activity changing the physical and chemical structure of the soil. This paper studied the effects of chemical oxidation on microbial activity with and without toluene. Several oxidants were used as part of the study: sodium percarbonate, hydrogen peroxide, potassium permanganate, and sodium persulfate evaluated at low, medium, and high concentrations. A series of biometer experiments seeded with microbe Pseudomonas putida F1 and soil sample and aqueous toluene solution for each oxidant was monitored by CO2 production as a function of incubation days to evaluate the effects of oxidation on the microbial activity. Of the oxidants tested, permanganate oxidation resulted in the highest increase in microbial activity post oxidation based on CO2 production both with and without the addition of toluene. The other oxidants exhibited a direct correlation between oxidant concentration and the change in permanganate chemical oxidant demand of the soil. However, there was no correlation between oxidant concentration and microbial activity. Each of the oxidants was shown to increase CO2 yield except for sodium percarbonate, which had an adverse effect on microbial activity. It is likely that the increased microbial activity associated with permanganate oxidation was the result of chemical reactions between the oxidant and natural organic matter in the soil.

1. Introduction

In water and wastewater systems, chemical oxidation has been used for over three decades to remove recalcitrant organic contaminants and petroleum hydrocarbons (Devi et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2014; Sutton et al., 2011). In-situ chemical oxidation (ISCO) is a widely used technology for the remediation of soil and groundwater at hazardous waste sites (Devi et al., 2016; Liang and Su, 2009; Tsitonaki et al., 2010). It is considered an effective, low cost, fast, and relatively low maintenance remediation technique for the destruction and removal of some non-aqueous phase liquids, which can be challenging to remediate using other methods (Khodaveisi et al., 2011; Sutton et al., 2011; Romero et al., 2009).

Several strong oxidants can be used in the ISCO process; however, this paper focuses on permanganate (MnO4−), persulfate (S2O8−), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), and percarbonate (CO3−1.5 H2O2−). Table 1 provides the list of chemicals studied in the paper (Huling and Pivetz, 2002; Khodaveisi et al., 2011; Miao et al., 2015a, 2015b, 2015c). Permanganate is available as a salt (KMnO4 and NaMnO4) and has been widely used as an ISCO oxidant for the remediation of contaminated soil and groundwater systems (King et al., 2021; Khodaveisi et al., 2011; Li and Schwartz, 2004). Previous studies (Liu et al., 2014; Waldemer and Tratnyek, 2006) have suggested that permanganate is less powerful than other oxidative species but reacts quickly with specific contaminants including chlorinated ethenes. It also produces manganese oxide (MnOx) solids, which can clog soil pores and reduce oxidant transport in the subsurface.

Hydrogen peroxide is one of the most used oxidants for the remediation of organic contaminants in wastewater systems (Devi et al., 2016; Khodaveisi et al., 2011). It can exhibit both oxidant and reductant
properties and can also be used as an oxygen source (Devê et al., 2016). A study (Xu and Li, 2010) demonstrated that the oxidation potential of $H_2O_2$ is higher than that of molecular oxygen, and it is effective over a wide range of reaction conditions (acidic to alkaline). Under aerobic conditions, hydrogen peroxide can decompose into molecular oxygen (Interscience, 1910). When catalyzed with ferrous ion, hydrogen peroxide can produce Fenton's reagent, forming a hydroxyl radical ($OH^-$) and hydroxide ion in the process. Fenton's reagent is an even stronger oxidizing agent than hydrogen peroxide, capable of oxidizing a wide range of organic contaminants (Atalay and Eroş, 2016). Fenton's reagent has been shown to be even more efficient at removing chemical oxidant demand (COD) when combined with ozone ($Fe^2+/H_2O_2/O_3$) as a part of the advanced oxidation process (Buthiyappan et al., 2016; Cuerda-Correa et al., 2020; Hamidi and Salem, 2015). However, high levels have been shown to be toxic to microorganisms (Fardinck et al., 1992).

Like permanganate, persulfate is available as sodium persulfate ($Na_2S_2O_8$). Persulfate degrades into sulfate which, according to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), has a secondary maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 250 mg/L, as compared to manganese which has a secondary MCL of 0.05 mg/L. Like permanganate, persulfate has also not been shown to significantly hinder microbial activity (Khodaveisi et al., 2011).

Percarbonate is available as sodium percarbonate ($2Na_2CO_3·3H_2O_2$) and has become more popular as an alternative for conventional $H_2O_2$ (Ma et al., 2018). Recent studies suggest that sodium percarbonate is effective in degrading organic pollutants both in soil (Apul et al., 2016; Cajal-Mariñosa et al., 2012; Viisimaa and Goi, 2014) and water (Cui et al., 2017; Danish et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2015; Mao et al., 2015a; Mao et al., 2015c) over a wider range of pH as compared to that of $H_2O_2$. Sodium percarbonate is also more stable than $H_2O_2$; however, despite these advantages, relatively few studies are on the effectiveness of sodium percarbonate for degrading the organic contaminants in the sub-surface environment (Ma et al., 2018).

Each of these oxidants reacts differently with the target (i.e., contaminants of concern), and non-target (i.e., natural organic matter (NOM) and other reduced species) compounds (Urynowicz, 2007) and it has been demonstrated that non-target compounds can exert a natural oxidant demand (NOD) orders of magnitude greater than that of the target compounds. However, this demand goes unreported for oxidants other than permanganate, primarily due to difficulties regarding analyses. This study used biomers (seeded with Pseudomonas putida F1 with and without toluene) with various oxidants added at different concentrations (low, medium, and high) to evaluate the effects of in-situ chemical oxidation on microbial activity. This effect was monitored by establishing the direct correlation between microbial activity and the production of carbon dioxide gas over time. The post-oxidation biometers were used to determine if ISCO with the various oxidants altered the soil in ways that increased or decreased microbial activity. Previous studies were also performed using pre-and post-oxidation biometers to further assess the changes in microbial activity (Bolade et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2018).

### Table 1. The list of chemicals studied in the research.

| Name of the chemicals             | Doses   | Concentration (g/L) |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Potassium permanganate (Aldrich)  | Low     | 0.50                |
|                                   | Medium  | 1.00                |
|                                   | High    | 2.00                |
| Hydrogen peroxide (Mallinkrodt)   | Low     | 0.46                |
|                                   | Medium  | 0.93                |
|                                   | High    | 1.87                |
| Sodium persulfate (Aldrich)       | Low     | 0.41                |
|                                   | Medium  | 0.83                |
|                                   | High    | 1.66                |
| Sodium percarbonate (Aldrich)      | Low     | 1.56                |
|                                   | Medium  | 3.13                |
|                                   | High    | 6.25                |

2. Materials and methods

The chemicals used were reagent grade potassium permanganate (Aldrich), sodium percarbonate (Aldrich), hydrogen peroxide (Mallinkrodt), sodium persulfate (Aldrich), monosodium potassium phosphate (Fisher), dibasic potassium phosphate (Fisher), glycerol (Fisher), 1 N barium chloride (Fisher), phosphate-buffered saline, and phenolphthalein pH indicator. Permanganate chemical oxidant demand (PCOD) removal was determined to evaluate the amount of NOD of the soil following chemical oxidation with permanganate, hydrogen peroxide, sodium persulfate, and percarbonate using a modified test developed by Xu and Thomson (2008). Low (0.5 g/L), medium (1 g/L), and high (2 g/L) KMnO4 concentrations were selected based on the results from previous studies (Urynowicz et al., 2006). Equivalent concentrations for the other three oxidants were established based on each oxidant’s oxidation potential (See Table 2).

This experiment prepared a 0.5 M Sorensen phosphate buffer using 0.5 M solutions of sodium phosphate dibasic and potassium phosphate monobasic (Hyat, 1989). The soil used was prepared by the following procedure. Soil samples from several contaminated sites were placed in an evaporative oven at 105 °C for 24 h to remove the moisture and volatile organic contaminants. The dried soil samples were homogenized using a mortar and pestle and mixed to form a composite soil sample (Urynowicz et al., 2006). Deionized water was used and prepared by distillation and filtration with a Barnstead distiller and nano-pure filtration system. The Haas Broth was prepared using the following chemicals: magnesium sulfate (Aldrich), calcium chloride (Fisher), monopotassium phosphate (Sigma), diammonium hydrogen phosphate (Aldrich), potassium nitrate (Aldrich), and ferric chloride (Fisher). This approach prepared both nutrient broth (Difco), nutrient agar plates, and aqueous toluene by adding excess pure phase toluene (Fisher) to deionized water allowing dissolution to occur over time in a sealed amber glass bottle fitted with a 2 ml bottle-top dispenser. Hydrochloric acid (0.1 M) and potassium hydroxide (0.1 M) were purchased from Baker Chemical and diluted to 0.05 M. This project purchased a pure culture of Pseudomonas putida F1 (ATCC strain 700007) from ATCC: The Global Bioresource Center (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and was shipped freeze-dried (Abuhamad et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2018).

Pseudomonas putida strains exhibit a high degree of biodegradation capacity (Pal and Giri, 2021) and Pseudomonas putida F1 has been shown to degrade various BTEX compounds including toluene as a primary substrate (Bordel et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2018; Chicca et al., 2020). The bacteria’s metabolic pathways have a higher degree of convergence, and many of its induced enzymes are nonspecific similar to other pseudomonads (Reardon et al., 2000). Pseudomonas putida F1 is not pathogenic to plants and animals and can be characterized as one of the best hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria capable of metabolizing various hydrocarbons as a sole carbon source (Robledo-Ortiz et al., 2011; Timmis 2002). The bacterium is aerobic and capable of growing on both nutrient broth and nutrient agar at 30 °C, making it easy to work with and grow in a laboratory (Díaz et al., 2008).

The biometer tests were performed with soil samples previously treated with the various oxidants. The soil samples were oxidized by adding 3 g of soil and 30 mL of each oxidant at low, medium, and high concentrations and tumbled, using a Barnstead lab-shake tumbler for two weeks. The samples were then added to biometer flasks both with and without the addition of an aqueous toluene solution. The study aimed to correlate the microbial degradation of toluene as a sole carbon substrate following oxidant treatment with the rate of CO2 production ($\mu$moles).

2.1. Bacterial growth experiments

The experiments used a Pseudomonas putida F1 culture to inoculate a beaker of sterile nutrient broth. The nutrient broth and nutrient agar
solutions were sterilized by autoclaving at 120 °C for 20 min. The 
incubated broth was streaked for isolation to ensure a pure culture and then 
grown in a glycerol and nutrient broth solution, preserved in a nitrogen 
freezer at -70 °C for future use.

Once the bacterium had been successfully grown in nutrient broth 
and agar, the experiment determined bacterial growth kinetics and 
populations to establish an effective and consistent inoculation process. 
The first step was developing a consistent inoculation procedure that 
would minimize the lag time and produce a sufficient cell count. A flask 
containing 150 mL of nutrient broth was inoculated with a single pure 
culture collected from a nutrient agar plate. This sample was grown in an 
incubator for 15 hours, allowing the bacteria to progress through the 
lag phase into the exponential growth phase. Then, three other flasks 
containing 150 mL of nutrient broth were inoculated using one milliliter 
of the freshly grown bacteria. Optical density measurements were taken 
every two hours with a spectrophotometer at 600 nm. The samples were 
also measured periodically using plate counts to determine the number of 
viable cells at points along the growth curve.

The optimal time for the bacteria used to inoculate the biometers was 
determined to be 30 h (the transition between exponential growth and 
plateau phases) when the maximum number of viable cells was present. 
This experiment added six milliliters of the Sorenson phosphate buffer 
solution to the 1-Chem bottles before transferring the soil water matrix to 
the biometer flasks. This amount was determined as the minimum 
amount of buffer required to keep each oxidized soil and water solution 
between a pH of 7 and 8. The available oxygen within the biometer flasks 
was determined to ensure that oxygen was not limiting. Based on stoichiometry, coupled with the assumptions that the system's volume is 300 
ml and the oxygen in the liquid sample is negligible, the system has 49 
mg of oxygen available in the headspace. Biometer tests produced 700 
mmol of CO2 (at the most), equal to 29 mg of oxygen consumed or 
roughly 60% of the available oxygen in the closed biometer flask. It was 
sufficient oxygen for the test and confirmed that oxygen was not a limiting factor.

The following steps were performed for each microcosm experiment. 
First, the media solution (Table 1) was added to the 250 mL biometer 
flask. Then an aqueous phase toluene solution (8 mL) was added to the 
biometer flasks immediately before sealing. The toluene concentration of 
the solution was 115 ± 25 mg/L. Experiments used this same solution of 
toluene and water for all additions. The 115 mg/L toluene solution can 
produce 63 ± 8 μmol of CO2 when completely degraded to CO2 by the 
microorganisms. See Eq. (1). The biometers were then sealed with rubber 
stoppers, and 10 mL of 0.05 N KOH solution was injected into the 
sidearm of the biometer (Pramer and Bartha, 1965; Pritchard et al., 1992; Mariano et al., 2008). The samples were then incubated in the dark at 30 °C and measured at 1, 2, 4, and 6 days. To measure the CO2 produced, the 
KOH solution was removed from the sidearm of the flask using a 12 mL 
syringe. The KOH solution was added to 0.2 mL of 1 N barium chloride 
solution to force any remaining potassium bicarbonate species into po-
tassium carbonate species. The solution was then titrated using 0.05 N 
hydrochloric acid to a color change, using phenolphthalein as the pH 
indicator. The sidearm was then refilled with a new KOH solution and 
placed back in the incubator until the next round of sampling.

$$\begin{align*} 
C_8H_8O_2 + 9O_2 \rightarrow 7CO_2 + 4H_2O 
\end{align*}$$ 

Several experimental controls with un-oxidized soil were run with and 
without toluene, Haas broth, and microbial inoculums, as shown in
Gas Chromatography (Wyoming Analytical) measured the toluene concentration. Toluene concentrations were measured using GC-FID (flame ionization detector, Hewlett-Packard 5890 series II) (El-Haj et al., 2000). Eq. (1) above represents the stoichiometric balance for the oxidation of toluene with oxygen to form CO2 and water. Eqs. (2) and (3) display the stoichiometric relations for the reaction of CO2 with KOH. Finally, Eq. (4) presents the stoichiometry of KOH and HCl’s reaction during the titration step. These equations and the required volume/normality of an acid used during titration are employed to calculate the mass of CO2 produced. Eq. (5) was used to calculate the volume of the KOH consumed during the reaction.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{KOH} + \text{CO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{KHCO}_3 \\
\text{KOH} + \text{KHCO}_3 & \rightarrow \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{KOH} + \text{HCl} & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{K}^+ + \text{Cl}^- \\
V_{\text{KOH}} &= V_{\text{HCl}} - \frac{N_a^*V_a}{N_b} \\
\end{align*}
\]

where, \(V_{\text{KOH}}\) = volume of the KOH consumed (mL), \(V_{\text{HCl}}\) = initial volume of KOH (mL), \(N_a\) = normality of the titrant, \(V_a\) = volume of titrant (HCl) used to produce a color change (mL), and \(N_b\) = normality of the KOH solution.

4. Discussion of results

4.1. Biometer control

The biometer control experiments provided the baseline for evaluating the microbial activity change between pre-and post-oxidized soil samples. This data provides the insight to assess changes in microbial activity post-oxidation with each oxidant and statistically assess the significance of CO2 produced for each oxidant as a function of incubation times (days) using a 95% confidence interval. The experiments offer a baseline for comparing the post-oxidation effects on microbial activity. The amount of CO2 produced by the experiments containing soil, Haas broth, microbes, and toluene and the experiments including soil, Haas broth, and microbes without toluene was the baseline for comparing post-oxidation conditions. Each system shows different levels of CO2 production for every experimental state, and as the assumed carbon content for the respective system increases, CO2 also increases. Observations could neglect the CO2 present in the biometer flask and the CO2 produced from the death and utilization of microbial cells.

4.2. Effects of permanganate oxidation on microbial activity

Figure 2 shows CO2 production as a function of time for each soil samples oxidized with permanganate with/without toluene addition. The figure depicts a direct correlation between the concentration of permanganate and the amount of CO2 produced, suggesting that the extent of oxidation increased microbial activity. Table 4 provides the CO2 production from pre-to post-oxidation conditions at day six for the cases with and without toluene addition. It also shows the amount of CO2 available from the total consumption of toluene by the bacteria. Besides, it shows the actual CO2 produced from the addition of toluene for the pre-oxidation control and oxidized soil.

The samples oxidized with permanganate indicated double the amount of CO2 produced than the soil pre-oxidation. In the soils oxidized with permanganate, the microbes made much more CO2 than in the pre-oxidation control. Based on the difference between the pre-and post-oxidized soil with toluene, it appears that the microbes were much better able to degrade the toluene in the post-oxidation environment than in the pre-oxidation environment. However, the toluene degradation was not quantitatively measured as a function of time. Experiments adjusted the exact amount of toluene in both instances. The CO2 production from the addition of toluene is much higher in the post-oxidation samples than in pre-oxidation controls. The percent increase in CO2 output between the pre-and post-oxidized soils was very similar. Nevertheless, the amount of CO2 produced from toluene addition is higher than what was added to the system as toluene. The microbes produced as much as twice the amount of CO2 from toluene addition in the permanganate oxidized samples than unoxidized soils. Because the addition of toluene is the only difference between the samples with and without toluene for the post-

Table 3. Experimental conditions.

| Section | Experimental condition | Oxidized soil and slurry (6 g and 60 ml) | Unoxidized soil (6 g) | Toluene addition (8 ml) | Haas broth (60 ml) | Microbial inoculum (1 ml) | Sorenson phosphate buffer (6 ml) |
|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4.1     | Control                | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
|         | Control                | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
|         | Control                | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
|         | Control                | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
| 4.2     | Potassium permanganate | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
| 4.3     | Hydrogen peroxide      | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
| 4.4     | Sodium persulfate      | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |
| 4.5     | Sodium percarbonate    | x                                      | x                    | x                      | x                 | x                       | x                              |

1 Each experiment was conducted for low, medium, and high concentrations, 0.5 g/L, 1 g/L, and 2 g/L as KMnO4.
oxidized soils, this indicates that the microbes were better able to utilize toluene as a carbon source following oxidation.

4.3. Effects of hydrogen peroxide oxidation on microbial activity

The experimental assessment also evaluated the effects of hydrogen peroxide on enhancing microbial activity in a post-oxidation environment. Figure 3 demonstrates the CO2 production of soil samples oxidized with three different hydrogen peroxide concentrations along with the pre-oxidation control. It is interesting to note that the samples oxidized with hydrogen peroxide had a slight increase in microbial activity from the pre-to post-oxidized cases with toluene addition and a slight decrease in microbial activity without toluene addition. Table 5 shows the CO2 production from pre-to post-oxidation conditions on day six for the cases with and without toluene addition. It also shows the amount of CO2 available from the total consumption of toluene by the bacteria and the actual CO2 produced from the addition of toluene for the pre-oxidation control and oxidized soil.

The concentration of hydrogen peroxide suggested CO2 production that was not meaningfully different. Each increase in oxidation had similar CO2 output and was consistent both with and without toluene addition. The decrease of the CO2 production for the hydrogen-oxidized soil without toluene addition indicates that the oxidation could have a detrimental effect on microbial activity. The difference between the samples with toluene addition and the samples without toluene addition shows more outstanding CO2 production from the addition of the toluene post-oxidation. The difference between the samples with and without toluene addition provides that in these oxidized soils, the addition of toluene significantly increased the amount of CO2 produced. It indicates that the oxidation with hydrogen peroxide altered the soil to an environment where the microbes, although not as active, were much better able to utilize the toluene. The oxidation of the soil made the conditions less desirable for microbial growth. Yet, with the addition of toluene, the microbes could produce more CO2 than can be accounted for from toluene consumption, as shown in Table 5.

4.4. Effects of sodium persulfate oxidation on microbial activity

Figure 4 shows the effects of the sodium persulfate treatment. The samples oxidized with toluene show slightly increased CO2 production for the post-oxidized soils with toluene addition. Without toluene addition, there is still an increase in CO2 output for one oxidant concentration and a slight decrease for the others. The CO2 increase rate for the systems without toluene addition post-oxidation is higher than in the pre-oxidation case early in the test. After day one, however, the rate for the oxidized soil plateaus. Table 6 shows the CO2 production from pre-to post-oxidation conditions on day six for the cases with and without toluene addition. It also includes the amount of CO2 available from the total consumption of toluene by the bacteria and the actual CO2 produced from the addition of toluene for the pre-oxidation control and oxidized soil.

These samples all revealed significant increases in CO2 production with toluene addition in the post-oxidized soils. The gains were all very similar, as oxidation increased CO2 production, but the oxidant concentration did not significantly influence the difference. However, the oxidant concentration in the samples without toluene addition exhibited a correlation with the increase or decrease in CO2 production from pre-to post-oxidation conditions. The effects of the oxidant concentration on the amount of CO2 output are well defined in the case without toluene addition. The difference in CO2 production between the samples with/without toluene addition is likely due to the addition of toluene stimulating microbial activity. Thus, the microbes are better at utilizing bioavailable carbon.

The difference in CO2 production from toluene supplements was also significant. The post-oxidized soils saw more CO2 production increases from the addition of toluene than what experiments observed in the pre-oxidation condition. The CO2 production from toluene addition for each system increases as the initial oxidant concentration decreases. The minimal amount of increased CO2 production from the addition of toluene is still almost twice as much post-oxidation. The amount of CO2 produced from toluene addition is more than can be accounted for from the amount of toluene added. It again indicates that the microbes used carbon provided by toluene to utilize the soil's carbon better.

4.5. Effects of sodium percarbonate oxidation on microbial activity

Oxidation with sodium percarbonate resulted in much more CO2 production than the other oxidants. However, the CO2 production from the microbial activity was not as significant. Figure 5 demonstrates the results. The amount of CO2 produced by the systems oxidized with percarbonate with toluene addition was almost three times higher than pre-oxidation. This high increase raised the question of carbonate species

![Figure 2. Biometer CO2 production during post permanganate (KMnO4) oxidation (a) without toluene addition and (b) with toluene addition.](image-url)
being transformed into CO₂ with the pH buffer’s addition. The post-oxidized solution’s pH ranged from ~9.5 to 10.5, and it went ~7 and 7.5 after pH buffer addition. This decrease in pH was likely responsible for some of the increased CO₂ production observed. For the soils oxidized with percarbonate, another test was conducted with no microbial addition to the biometer flask to determine the CO₂ output from only the microbes.

The biometer experiments without microbial addition indicated high amounts of CO₂ production. This increased production is most likely due to dropping the pH and causing some carbonate species to transform into CO₂. When CO₂ produced from the percarbonate oxidized soils without microbial inoculation is ignored, the systems produced far less CO₂ solely from microbial activity. There is very little difference between the post-oxidized systems without toluene addition both with and without the microbes’ addition. Both higher oxidized samples (6.25 g/L and 3.13 g/L) showed the same trend, with the highest initial concentration (6.25 g/L) producing less CO₂ early. At roughly five days for both conditions, the more oxidized sample begins to make more CO₂. This trend reinforces just how little of an effect the addition of the microbes had on the production of CO₂. These percarbonate-oxidized soils altered the soil, thus adversely affecting the microbial activity, showing a high decrease in CO₂ output from microbial activity. It could be due to the carbon not being transformed into a more bioavailable form.

Table 5. CO₂ production during pre and post hydrogen peroxide oxidation.

| Sample                        | CO₂ production without toluene (μmol) | CO₂ production with toluene (μmol) | Available CO₂ from toluene addition (μmol) | Actual CO₂ production from toluene addition (μmol) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Pre-oxidation control         | 260.83                                | 303.83                            | 63.00                                       | 43.00                                         |
| Hydrogen peroxide 0.46 g/L    | 193.33                                | 343.33                            | 63.00                                       | 150.00                                        |
| Hydrogen peroxide 0.93 g/L    | 196.67                                | 350.83                            | 63.00                                       | 154.17                                        |
| Hydrogen peroxide 1.87 g/L    | 185.00                                | 350.83                            | 63.00                                       | 165.83                                        |

Table 6. CO₂ production during pre and post sodium persulfate oxidation.

| Sample                        | CO₂ production without toluene (μmol) | CO₂ production with toluene (μmol) | Available CO₂ from toluene addition (μmol) | Actual CO₂ production from toluene addition (μmol) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Pre-oxidation control         | 260.83                                | 303.83                            | 63.00                                       | 43.00                                         |
| Sodium persulfate 0.41 g/L    | 234.17                                | 375.83                            | 63.00                                       | 141.67                                        |
| Sodium persulfate 0.83 g/L    | 260.83                                | 375.83                            | 63.00                                       | 115.00                                        |
| Sodium persulfate 1.66 g/L    | 278.33                                | 360.00                            | 63.00                                       | 81.67                                         |

Figure 3. Biometer CO₂ production during post hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) oxidation (a) without toluene addition and (b) with toluene addition.

Figure 4. Biometer CO₂ production during post sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) oxidation (a) without toluene addition and (b) with toluene addition.
microbes in the system was almost non-existent. The sample with toluene addition did show some CO2 production generated from the microbial population. When the CO2 produced from the system without microbes is removed from the sample, CO2 production remaining from the microbes is still far below that of observed pre-oxidation. The carbonate species transforming to CO2 controls the CO2 production in these samples.

The samples oxidized with percarbonate did not have an overall increase in microbial activity. However, the amount of CO2 produced from the addition of toluene was more significant than that found in the pre-oxidation soil samples. As shown in Table 7 above, the CO2 increase from the addition of toluene is quite substantial even though the total amount of CO2 production is lower than in the pre-oxidation case. Adding toluene to the system resulted in almost twice the amount of CO2 output than in the pre-oxidation system from toluene’s addition. Again, the amount of CO2 produced is higher than what can be accounted for from the addition of toluene.

Figure 5. Biometer CO2 production during post sodium percarbonate (2Na2CO3.3 H2O2) oxidation (a) without toluene addition and (b) with toluene addition.

Table 7. CO2 production during pre and post percarbonate oxidation.

| Sample       | CO2 production without toluene (μmol) | CO2 production with toluene (μmol) | Available CO2 from toluene addition (μmol) | Actual CO2 production from toluene addition (μmol) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Pre-Oxidation Control | 260.83                              | 303.83                            | 63.00                                     | 43.00                                             |
| Percarbonate 1.56 g/L | 79.17                                | 18.33                             | 63.00                                     | 60.83                                             |
| Percarbonate 3.13 g/L | 114.17                               | 35.00                             | 63.00                                     | 79.17                                             |
| Percarbonate 6.25 g/L | 104.17                               | 38.33                             | 63.00                                     | 65.83                                             |

Figure 6 shows the various oxidants plotted together with the pre-oxidation case for each of the three-oxidant equivalents: low, medium, and high. Permanganate oxidation had the greatest effect on microbial activity and the effect increased with concentration. As the concentration of permanganate increased, the difference in CO2 production between the pre-and post-oxidized soils also increased, showing a direct correlation. These results suggest that the biodegradability of the natural organic matter in soil increased as the permanganate concentration increased. Each oxidant treatment also showed statistically different levels of CO2 production. Hydrogen peroxide and sodium persulfate had very similar impacts on CO2 production. These two oxidants showed a slight increase in CO2 production over the control samples but the concentration of the oxidant had little impact on CO2 production. Sodium percarbonate was the only oxidant to show a deleterious effect. Tables 8 and 9 represent the statistical observation for different oxidant treatments during CO2 production with and without toluene.

The amount of CO2 produced from the addition of toluene was frequently higher than the stoichiometric equivalent indicating that the microbes grew better and were more active in the presence of toluene. In each case, the chemical oxidation did not harm the microbe’s ability to degrade toluene. In soils oxidized with hydrogen peroxide, the addition of toluene resulted in the most significant increase in CO2 production from toluene addition. The amount of CO2 produced from toluene was as much as double the amount available from toluene. It suggests that in each post-oxidation case, the microbes also better utilized the toluene than in the pre-oxidation control. The oxidation of NOM likely forced the microbes to use toluene as some of the available carbon was not bioavailable. It also may indicate that a contaminant would be better-degraded post-oxidation even if the overall microbial activity

4.6. Comparison of the effects of each oxidant and oxidant concentration

Figure 6. Biometer CO2 production for (a) low, (b) medium, and (c) high oxidant concentration with toluene addition.
decreased. A comparison of the CO₂ produced from the toluene added is shown as the amount higher and lower than 63 μmol of CO₂, which is the amount of CO₂ available from toluene’s addition.

5. Conclusions

Oxidation with permanganate revealed the highest increase in microbial activity post oxidation based on CO₂ production, both with and without the toluene’s addition. The permanganate oxidized soils also indicated the strongest direct correlation between increasing oxidant concentration and increased CO₂ production. Permanganate had the most significant effect on reducing NOD and exhibited the greatest increase in CO₂ production. It is likely that the soil oxidized by permanganate was more suitable for overall microbial activity as a result of previously unavailable organic carbon being oxidized into more biodegradable forms. Unlike permanganate, oxidation with hydrogen peroxide did not show a strong direct correlation between increasing oxidant concentration and increased CO₂ production. Although hydrogen peroxide oxidation did show an increase in microbial activity following toluene addition it decreased without toluene from the pre-oxidation control. The addition of toluene likely stimulated increased microbial growth and the microbes utilized the carbon in the soil at a faster rate.

Sodium persulfate oxidation showed increased microbial activity post oxidation after toluene’s addition and decreased without toluene. There was no correlation between initial oxidant concentration and CO₂ production increase. The addition of toluene stimulated CO₂ production and showed more CO₂ than what is available from toluene. The post-oxidized system using persulfate was more suitable for microbial activity with toluene and less convenient without toluene. The post-oxidation environment was better for natural attenuation. The oxidant sodium percarbonate showed the only adverse effects post-oxidation. The addition of toluene stimulated microbial growth in the system, allowing microbes to utilize the bioavailable carbon in the soil more quickly. It likely increased CO₂ production from toluene compared to the available CO₂ from the toluene addition. The system, however, was overall less suited for microbial activity.

The oxidation with potassium permanganate, hydrogen peroxide, and sodium persulfate resulted in an increased or statistically unchanged microbial activity. The oxidation with sodium percarbonate showed the only harmful effects on microbial activity. Regardless of the oxidant, oxidation resulted in more CO₂ production from the bacteria Pseudomonas putida F1 than can be accounted for by the addition of toluene alone. It also indicates that microbes more completely degraded the toluene during post-oxidation. The microbes used toluene and bioavailable CO₂ more quickly during post oxidation. It suggests that soil’s carbon

Table 8. Statistical analysis for the CO₂ production for each oxidant treatment with toluene addition.

| Oxidant Doses       | Concentration (g/L) | Toluene condition | Confidence interval | Proportion of variability (R²) |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) High | 2.00 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Medium | 1.00 | Present | 95% | 0.89 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Low | 0.50 | Present | 95% | 0.79 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) High | 1.87 | Present | 95% | 0.88 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Medium | 0.93 | Present | 95% | 0.86 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Low | 0.46 | Present | 95% | 0.87 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) High | 1.66 | Present | 95% | 0.88 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Medium | 0.83 | Present | 95% | 0.83 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Low | 0.41 | Present | 95% | 0.87 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) High | 6.25 | Present | 95% | 0.91 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Medium | 3.13 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Low | 1.56 | Present | 95% | 0.78 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Present | 95% | 0.90 |

Table 9. Statistical analysis for the CO₂ production for each oxidant treatment without toluene addition.

| Oxidant Doses       | Concentration (g/L) | Toluene condition | Confidence interval | Proportion of variability (R²) |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) High | 2.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.87 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Medium | 1.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.81 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Low | 0.50 | Absent | 95% | 0.75 |
| Potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.89 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) High | 1.87 | Absent | 95% | 0.61 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Medium | 0.93 | Absent | 95% | 0.66 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Low | 0.46 | Absent | 95% | 0.87 |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.89 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) High | 1.66 | Absent | 95% | 0.64 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Medium | 0.83 | Absent | 95% | 0.64 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Low | 0.41 | Absent | 95% | 0.65 |
| Sodium persulfate (Na₂S₂O₈) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.89 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) High | 6.25 | Absent | 95% | 0.92 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Medium | 3.13 | Absent | 95% | 0.87 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Low | 1.56 | Absent | 95% | 0.71 |
| Sodium percarbonate (2 Na₂CO₃·3 H₂O₂) Pre-oxidation control | 0.00 | Absent | 95% | 0.89 |
was more bioavailable in the post-oxidized soil. It indicates that the microbes used thetoluene and efficiently consumed the bioavailable carbon in the oxidized soil. Although there was a direct correlation between oxidant concentration and PCOD removal in soil, permanganate was the only oxidant that showed a correlation between PCOD removal and microbial activity. It would appear that permanganate oxidation of the natural organic matter in soil may actually result in greater bioavailability thus stimulating microbial growth.
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