Fabrication and Properties of Hybrid Membranes Based on Poly (Vinyl Alcohol), Sulfosuccinic Acid and Salts of Heteropolyacid with or without Silica for Fuel Cells Applications
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ABSTRACT
Novel ionic polymers were synthesized by crosslinking of poly (vinylalcohol) (PVA) with sulfosuccinic acid (SSA) and silicotungstic acid (SiWA) with or without silica. The polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) was developed using solution casting method. Infrared (IR) spectra revealed that the Keggin structure was insered in the PVA films. The thermal decomposition of the PVA/SSA/SiWA/SiO2 membranes showed good thermal stability up to 200°C. Water uptake ranged between 31% and 88%. The maximum conductivity has been found to be 6,72.10-3 S.cm-1 at room temperature for PVA/SSA/SiWA containing 10% of silica weight. The ion exchange capacity of this membrane was 1,75 mmol.g-1. The results showed that these membranes presented very promising performances for use in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells.

1. Introduction

Exhaustion of non-renewable fossil fuel invokes the development of environmentally friendly alternative energy sources. Fuel cells are being considered as interesting alternatives power sources for a wide range of applications, ranging from portable devices to electric vehicles [1, 2]. Fuel cells are of great interest in the recent research due to their attractive properties. They offer many advantages, such as environmentally benign, high energy efficiency and lower emission of pollutants [3, 4]. The principal component in PEMFCs is the proton exchange membrane (PEM) which is used as proton (or charge carriers) provider from anode to cathode. The membrane must be proton conductor with mechanical strength and chemical stability. The perfluorosulfonated membrane, Nafion produced by Dupont is the most used as a polymer in PEMFCs because of its combined chemical, electrochemical, and mechanical stabilities with high proton conductivity (~0.1 S.cm−1) at ambient temperature [5]. However, it possesses some drawbacks, and especially its high cost; presents a high water swelling characteristic which reduces the lifespan of the membrane and decrease in conductivity at elevated temperature [6]. So, the utilization of Nafion membrane in fuel cell is limited. Therefore, “Hydrocarbon” proton conducting membranes have been designed as an alternative to perfluorinated membranes [7,8]. High performance polyyarylenes are resistant to oxidation, thermo-stable and are mechanically strong with a relatively high glass transition temperature (Tg), sulfonated poly (ether ether) ketone [9], sulfonated polymimide [10], polybenzimidazole [11], sulfonated polyphosphazene [12] and sulfonated poly(vinylalcohol) (PVA) [1,13], have been synthesized. However, to enhance the proton conductivity of the polymers, a high acid content is needed. Unfortunately, this can decrease the mechanical strength of the composite membranes, or, even worse, degrade it, especially at higher temperatures [14]. Among the materials investigated, Poly (vinylalcohol) (PVA) has attracted great attention due to its good mechanical properties, chemical stability, low cost, film forming ability, and high hydphilic behavior [15,16]. The PVA membranes are poor proton conductors and dissolve in water. Hence, to impart protonic conductivity as well as mechanical stability to PVA membranes, a crosslinking agent containing sulfonic acid group
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can be used, such as concentrated sulfuric acid, sulfosuccinic acid (SSA), acid sulfophthalic [13,17]. Many researchers have studied the properties of cross-linked PVA/SSA membranes as a function of SSA content. Recently, C. González, and A. Greus studied the proton-conducting membrane based on PVA/SSA/GO. Their experimental results showed the proton conductivity of the order of 2.06.10\(^{-3}\) S.cm\(^{-1}\) for the PVA/SSA humidified membrane [18]. Furthermore, Kim and al. demonstrated that the PVA/SSA/silica hybrid membranes prepared via a sol-gel process presents excellent proton conductivity [1].

In general, heteropolyacids (HPAs), and SiO\(_2\) have served as an essential inorganic proton conductor for organic-inorganic hybrid membranes [19–22]. HPAs, a class of superionic conductor in their fully hydrated condition and thus it provides high proton conducting pathway [23,24]. For example, hydrated silicotungstic acid (H\(_4\)SiW\(_{12}\)O\(_{40}\)•28H\(_2\)O) has an ionic conductivity of 2.10\(^{-2}\) S.cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature [25–27]. However, HPAs are generally water-soluble. Consequently, a major research objective is to fix the HPAs in stable structure by forming composites which can maintain or increase the proton conductivities of the membranes [22,28,29].

In our previous studies [30,31], we have synthesized the HPA and SiO\(_2\) based hybrid membranes (PVA/PVP/SSA/HPAs/SiO\(_2\)). These membranes showed that proton conductivity increase significantly with the HPAs content. Silica was also added in the membranes preparation solution in order to improve thermal and mechanical stabilities of the PVA/PWA membranes. The aim of this study was to prepare membranes for possible PEMFC. To do this, PVA/SiWA/SiO\(_2\) hybrid membranes containing sulfonic acid groups were synthesized using solution casting method. Sulfonic acid groups were introduced into the PVA matrix by modifications of the chemical structure of the PVA through esterification with sulfosuccinic acid (SSA), which has sulfonic acid groups. In addition heteropolyacid and silica particles in the polymer matrix at varying concentrations, which were expected to achieve high proton conductivity, thermal stability and high water uptake. The effect of membrane thicknesses has also been studied. Ion exchange capacities have been studied for PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA/SiO\(_2\) membranes. The prepared composite polymer electrolyte membranes have been characterized by various techniques TGA, and FTIR.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Poly(vinylalcohol) with a molecular weight of 70.000 g.mol\(^{-1}\) was received from Sigma Aldrich. Sulfosuccinic acid 70wt.% from sigma Aldrich, Silicotungstic acid from Panreac, Silica 60A\(^{3}\), from sigma Aldrich.

2.2. Membrane preparation

10 wt% PVA solution was prepared by dissolving the preweighed amount of PVA in deionized water at 80°C for at least 4 h. The solution of PVA was mixed with SSA in mass ratio of 0.10g at 80°C for 3 hours. Then, Silicotungstic acid (0% - 40%) was added to the above solution and stirred for 2 hours at 80°C to produce PVA/SSA/SiWA membrane. Silica (1% - 15%) was subsequently added to the above solution and stirred at 70°C for about 4 hours to produce PVA/SSA/SiWA/SiO\(_2\) membrane. The solvent was removed by evaporation at room temperature for 16 h, then the cast membranes were allowed to dry at 60°C for 24 h. and then in the oven at 140°C for 1 hour. Membranes thicknesses in the dry state are about 50 to 650 µm.

2.3. Water Uptake

Membrane samples were dried at 60 °C for 24 h and weighed after (Wdry). Then immersed in boiling water during 24 h. The membranes were then removed, and the samples were finally weighed (Wwet). The water uptake of the membrane is deduced using the following equation:

\[
WU = \frac{W_{wet} - W_{dry}}{W_{dry}} \times 100
\]

2.4. Proton conductivity

Resistances were measured using a cell coupled with potentiostat-galvanostat–Amel instrument (70-50), the measurement cell is show in Figure 3 and has been described elsewhere (Table.1). It composed of two identical compartments linked with two platinum electrodes with the same surface as the membrane. In addition, in each compartment, Luggin capillaries are connected to two saturated calomel electrodes. The membrane is placed between the two compartments during measurements. The ionic conductivity of the membranes was determined by polarization. In 1M NaCl solution, the potential drop between the two references electrodes was measured, following application of a constant direct current (0.5 - 5 mA). The proton conductivity (\(\sigma\)) was obtained using the following equation:

\[
\sigma = \frac{e}{RS}
\]

where \(\sigma\) is the ionic conductivity in S.cm\(^{-1}\), R is the Resistance of the membrane in \(\Omega\), e is the thickness of the membrane in cm, and S is the membrane surface in cm\(^2\).
2.5. FTIR spectroscopy

The spectrum of the membranes was recorded with a MVP 2 STAR ART DIAMANT (600-4000 cm$^{-1}$, resolution 4 cm$^{-1}$).

2.6. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)

TGA was accomplished by thermogravimetric analyzer, TA 60 SHIMADZU TG-DTA. The samples were then heated from 25 °C to 600 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C.min$^{-1}$.

2.7. Ion exchange capacity (IEC) and fixed ion concentration

IEC has been determined by titration method. A sample membrane (0.375g to 0.765g) was immersed in a 250 ml of 1M HCl solution and stirring for 1h to change them into the H$^+$ form. The samples were then washed with deionized water to remove excess HCl, and then equilibrated with 230 ml of NaCl (0.1M) + 20 ml of NaOH (0.1M) solution for 24h at room temperature to permit the exchange between protons and sodium ions. Thereafter, 25 ml of the solution was titrated with HCl (0.1M) to evaluate the amount of H$^+$ generated from the exchange process. From the titration, the ion exchange capacity was determined from the following relation:

$$I EC = \frac{n(H^+)}{(W_{dry})}$$ (3)

where $n(H^+)$ is the number of moles of proton sites present in the membrane and $W_{dry}$ is the weight of the dry membrane. Fixed ion concentration (FIC) can be determined from the following equation:

$$FIC = \frac{IEC}{WU}$$ (4)

where (WU) is the water uptake of the membrane sample.

3. Results & Discussions

3.1. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA)

The thermal degradation behavior of PVA/SSA, PVA/SSA/SiWA, and PVA/SSA/SiWA/SiO$_2$ composite membranes was illustrated in figure 4. The characteristic ester absorption band (–COO) appeared around 1710 cm$^{-1}$ [32], and the band at 3300 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to (–SO$_3$H) group [32]. These spectral data confirm the esterification between –OH in PVA and –COOH in SSA [1]. The peaks at 3300 cm$^{-1}$ (OH stretching), 2921 cm$^{-1}$ (symmetric CH$_2$–), and the band at 1420 cm$^{-1}$ is for (-CH$_3$) bending which are characteristic of PVA. The presence of these peaks in the spectra FTIR confirms that silicotungstic acid is inserted into the blend membranes PVA/SSA/SiWA, the characteristic bands (Si–O–Si) appeared around 1082.14 and 1219.31 cm$^{-1}$ were observed in the spectra of the PVA/SSA/SiWA/SiO$_2$ hybrid membrane. This demonstrates that the esterification reaction was complete between the Poly (vinylalcohol) chains and the sulfosuccinic acid.

3.2. Water uptake and proton conductivity of the membrane PVA/SSA/SiWA

Figure 6 shows the water uptake and proton conductivity of the membranes PVA/SSA/x wt.%SiWA as function of the silicotungstic acid content. The water uptake varies from 47% to decomposition, which occurs between 25 and 200 °C, is the loss of absorbed water molecules from the esterification reaction of the membranes. Most of the absorbed water molecules in the membranes are supposed to exist in a bound state rather than in free molecules state. The water molecules seem to have been bound directly to the polymer chains and/or the –SO$_3$H groups via hydrogen bonds [1]. The second decomposition stage between 200 and 400°C, is the degradation of the sulfonic acid groups (–SO$_3$H). The final major decomposition step comes about between 400 and 500 °C, which is ascribed to decomposition of salt of silicotungstic acid combined with silica. It is seen from TGA curves, that the thermal stability of the hybrid membranes is improved probably due to the additive effect of SiWA and SiO$_2$ fillers and the chemical cross-linked reaction between the PVA and SSA.
As shown in Figure 6, the water uptake increases with the increase of silicotungstic acid, and the maximum is obtained for 10 wt.% of SiWA. After that, the addition of silicotungstic acid leads to a decrease in water uptake of the membrane. Inverse behavior can be explained by the chemical structure of the PVA/SSA network. An increase in water uptake at low SiWA content maybe is due to the restricted degree of their swelling as also of the hydrophilicity of silicotungstic acid due to the presence of keggin cage in PVA/SSA/HPA bridged-matrix [33,34].

The proton conductivity has been determined, as shown in this figure; the ionic conductivity of PVA/SSA/xwt.%SiWA membranes varies from 1,100.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} to 3,015.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1}. The higher value is obtained for the composition PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA, which is much higher than that of the pure PVA films and pure 12-tungstogermanic heteropolyacid [35]. The results indicated that PVA film, compositon with sulfosuccinic acid and silicotungstic acid is a new kind of excellent high proton conductor.

The water absorption increases with the thickness of the membrane in the range 100 - 600 µm. It therefore goes from 28% for the PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA membrane of 110 µm thickness to 64% for the PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA membrane of 550 µm thickness.

Figure 5 shows a plot of water uptake of the membranes PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA as a function of the membrane thickness. It therefore goes from 28% for the PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA membrane of 110 µm thickness to 64% for the PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA membrane of 550 µm thickness.

Figure 8 represents the ionic conductivity of the membrane PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA at saline media (NaCl 1M) as a function of the membranes thickness at room temperature. Ionic conductivity values increase from 0,567.10^{-3} to 3,668.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} in line with thickness values from 100 to 650µm thick. This agrees well with the published results of B. Tazi and al. [22].

Figure 7 shows a plot of water uptake of the membranes PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA as a function of the membrane thickness.

The water uptake of the membranes PVA/SSA/SiWA/ywt.%SiO₂ as function of SiO₂ content (0wt.% ≤ y ≤ 16wt.%) varies from 60% to 88%. As shown in Figure 9. We notice that the water uptake varies with the silica percentage. Hence, the maximum which is equal to 88% was obtained for the
membrane PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2. Thus, over 10 wt.% of silica, the water uptake of this membrane starts decreasing.

This figure shows that the ionic conductivities of PVA/SSA/SiWA/ywt.%SiO_2 membranes increase with the increase weight of SiO_2 percentage. The ionic conductivities go from 3,105.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} for the membrane without SiO_2 to 6,720.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} for the membrane containing SiO_2 (Table 2). The proton conductivity of the membrane based on PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2 reaches a maximum of 6,720.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1}, and decreases when the SiO_2 content exceeds 10 wt.%. The obtained value is higher than that of the Nafion®112 membrane (5,9.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1}) [36]. This is in agreement with the results which show that the latter membrane also has the best hydration rate. These membranes then experience a decrease in proton conductivity when the SiO_2 content exceeds 10 %. The inverse behaviors of the water uptake and ion exchange capacity (IEC) explain this behavior. As figure 12 shows. These results indicate that an inverse in water content can lead to higher proton conductivity at a low SiO_2 content. However, large adsorption of water in membranes does not simply improve proton conductivity, but also dilutes the charges carries [5], which causes a decrease in proton conductivity at high SiO_2 content in the membranes.

Figure 9: water uptake and proton conductivity of the membranes PVA/SSA/SiWA/ywt.%SiO_2 with different amounts of SiO_2

Table 2: Ionic conductivities (S.cm^{-1}) for Nafion®112, PVA-SSA-10wt.%SiWA and PVA-SSA-SiWA-10wt.%SiO_2 membranes

| Membranes          | Nafion®112 | PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA | PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2 |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| Ionic conductivity | 5,900      | 3,105               | 6,720                    |

Figure 10 shows the ionic conductivity of PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2 composite membrane as function thicknesses. The ionic conductivity of the composite membranes are in the order of 10^{-3} S.cm^{-1}. This figure shows that the ionic conductivity of the membrane increases when the membrane thickness increases. It goes from 0,672.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} for the PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2 membrane 110 µm thick to 6,720.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1} for PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO_2 membrane 450 µm thick, for thicknesses greater than 450 µm the ionic conductivity remains close to 6,72.10^{-3} S.cm^{-1}.

3.5. Ion exchange capacity and fixed ion concentration

Figure 11(a,b) shows a plot of the ion exchange capacity (IEC) and fixed ion concentration as function of SiWA content. As shown in figure 11(a), the IEC of PVA/SSA/SiWA hybrid membrane varies from 0,90 to 2,20 mmol.g^{-1}, and increases with SiWA content up to a maximum value of 2,20 mmol.g^{-1} obtained with PVA/SSA/35wt.%SiWA hybrid membrane, the value obtained is higher than Nafion 115 (0,90 mmol.g^{-1}) [36]. This result indicates that an increase in silicotungstic acid content can lead to higher ion exchange capacity. At low HPA concentrations, the looser interaction between PVA and SiWA would provide less compact space for protons to be transported rather easily, resulting in higher ion exchange capacity. However, as the HPA concentration increased further, the interaction between PVA and SiWA would became stronger. The stronger interactions between not only PVA and SiWA but also PVA and SSA seem to inhibit the proton transport through the composite membranes, leading to lower ion exchange capacity.

Figure 11(a): Effect of SiWA content on ion exchange capacity of PVA/SSA/SiWA membranes.
As shown in figure 11(b), the fixed ion concentration (FIC) of the PVA/SSA/SiWA hybrid membrane. The (FIC) values were observed to increase greatly from 5 to 35wt.% SiWA. The ion concentration sites increased with increasing the weight percent of silicotungstic acid. The ion concentration sites increased with the add of silicotungstic acid.

In the other side, the water uptake of the PVA/SSA/SiWA/wt.%SiO2 membranes increases with the increase of SiO2 content and reaches a maximum value of 88% for 10wt.% SiO2 content membrane.

4. Conclusion

In the present work, we synthesized a new proton-conducting polymer membrane based on PVA/SSA/SiWA/SiO2. The composite membranes were characterized by various techniques. The crosslinked networks and the formation of the intermolecular interactions between the hydroxyl groups on PVA and sulfosuccinic acid in membranes were proved with FTIR study. TGA showed that the membranes are thermally stable up to 200 °C. Moreover, high proton conductivity was obtained at room temperature for the membranes PVA/SSA/10wt.%SiWA and PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO2, are about 3.105.10-3 and 6.72.10-3 S.cm-1 respectively. The obtained ion exchange capacities values decreases as the SiO2 content increases and reaches a maximum value of 1.75 mmol.g-1 for the membrane PVA/SSA/SiO2/10wt.%SiO2. This value is higher than that of the standard values of Nafion® 112 and Nafion® 115 commercial membranes (use as reference membranes), which do not exceed 1 mmol.g-1. Therefore, it can be concluded that the new composite polymer electrolyte membrane PVA/SSA/SiWA/10wt.%SiO2 shows potential applications in PEMFC.
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