Abstract: Divalent silicon species, the so-called silylenes, represent attractive organosilicon building blocks. Isolable stable silylenes remain scarce, and in most hitherto reported examples, the silicon center is stabilized by electron-donating substituents (e.g., heteroatoms such as nitrogen), which results in electronic perturbation. In order to avoid such electronic perturbation, we have been interested in the chemistry of reactive silylenes with carbon-based substituents such as ferrocenyl groups. Due to the presence of a divalent silicon center and the redox-active transition metal iron, ferrocenylsilylenes can be expected to exhibit interesting redox behavior. Herein, we report the design and synthesis of a bis(ferrocenyl)silirane as a precursor for a bis(ferrocenyl)silylene, which could potentially be used as a building block for redox-active organosilicon compounds. It was found that the isolated bis(ferrocenyl)siliranes could be a bottleable precursor for the bis(ferrocenyl)silylene under mild conditions.
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1. Introduction

Silylenes, i.e., divalent silicon analogues of carbenes, represent highly attractive reactive intermediates due to their considerable potential as building blocks for organosilicon compounds [1–7]. There are several examples of stable silylenes, which are usually stabilized thermodynamically by introduction of heteroatom-based substituents such as amino groups. For example, silicon analogues of N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs), N-heterocyclic silylenes (NHSis) [8–17], have been isolated as stable compounds (e.g., I [8,11] and II [9,13]) as well as the N-substituted cyclic silylene III [14] (Chart 1). However, such thermodynamically stabilized silylenes are too stable, i.e., they usually do not exhibit considerable reactivity as, e.g., building blocks for organosilicon compounds. Conversely, carbon-substituted silylenes, which do not contain a stabilizing electron-donating ligand, are highly reactive due to their considerable electrophilicity on account of their low-lying LUMOs [18–24]. Such highly reactive silylenes can be stabilized kinetically by sterically demanding substituents and subsequently being isolated under an inert atmosphere [25–28]. For example, dicoordinated, carbon-substituted silylenes [29–32] such as IV [29] and V [32] that bear sterically demanding substituents have been isolated and well characterized (Chart 1). However, it is very difficult to synthesize and isolate such silylenes as they usually require laborious and technically exigent synthetic procedures. In this context, easily accessible and bottleable precursors for carbon-substituted silylenes would be an attractive research target. In most cases, silylenes can be generated by reduction of a dihalosilane or photolysis of a trisilane [4,7,33]. When the substituents on the central silicon atom offer insufficient steric protection, the products from the aforementioned reactions usually afford complex...
mixtures of oligosilanes. Conversely, most cases of the reduction of sterically hindered dihalosilanes or the photolysis of trisilanes that bear bulky substituents result in the facile formation of a disilene, i.e., the formal dimer of a silylene [33–39]. To prepare a stable silylene precursor, it should thus be required to introduce very bulky substituents on the silicon atom to avoid facile dimerization of the generated silylenes [33]. On the other hand, too bulky substituents can be expected to negatively affect the inherent reactivity of the resulting silylene. In order to find the right balance in this trade-off relationship, transient silylenes with a combination of very bulky and less hindered groups have been designed. Our group has already reported the design and synthetic utility of the sterically demanding 2,5-bis(3,5-di-t-butylphenyl)-1-ferrocenyl (Fc*) group [40–42], and this group should be an appropriate substituent for bis(ferrocenyl)silylene 1 (Chart 1), which bears Fc* and ferrocenyl (Fc) groups on the silicon center [43]. Silylene 1 can be expected to (i) be very reactive due to its low-lying LUMO level, and (ii) show intriguing redox activity on account of its two ferrocenyl moieties.

![Chart 1. Examples of isolable dicoordinated silylenes.](image-url)

2. Results and Discussion

Reaction of ferrocenyl (Fc) lithium with SiCl₄ in toluene resulted in the formation of FcSiCl₃ (2) [44], which was used in the following reactions without further purification due to its lability toward moisture. Subsequently, 2 was treated in Et₂O at r.t. with Fc*Li (3) [40–42], which was prepared by the reaction of Fc*Br (Fc* = 2,5-bis(3,5-di(t-buthyl)phenyl)-1-ferrocenyl) with n-BuLi in Et₂O, to give the corresponding bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane (4) as a stable orange solid in 44% yield (Scheme 1). Although single crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis could not be obtained due to partial hydrolysis that furnished Fc*FcSi(OH)₂ [45], 4 was characterized by spectroscopic techniques.

![Scheme 1. Preparation of bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane 4 and its reduction with lithium naphthalenide.](image-url)

As the reduction of diorganodichlorosilanes represents an efficient method for the generation of silylenes [4,33], we speculated that the reduction of bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane 4 might furnish silylene 1. However, the generation of 1 by the reduction of 4 was not observed when common metal reductants such as KC₈, Na, or Li were employed, and only complex mixtures including Fc*H were obtained [41]. Conversely, when 4 was treated with lithium naphthalenide in THF at −78 °C, 2-naphthylsilane 5 was isolated in 30% yield, and its structure was unambiguously determined by spectroscopic and XRD analyses [46]. The formation of 5 should most likely be interpreted in terms of...
a C-H insertion of the reactive intermediate of silylene \( 1 \) with naphthalene. It should be noted here that it has previously been reported that isolable or transient silylenes react with aromatic compounds such as naphthalene and benzene to give the corresponding silepine or silirane derivatives via C-C insertion and \([1+2]\)cycloaddition reactions, respectively \([33,47–50]\). Thus, the formation of \( 5 \) suggests the unique reaction manner of a silylene with an aromatic compound. Moreover, an isolable zwitterionic silylene has been reported to cleave a C-H bond of benzophenone via a 1,3-hydrogen shift \([51,52]\).

At this point, we suspected that \( 5 \) was formed by the reaction of silylene \( 1 \) with naphthalene via the corresponding \([1+2]\)cycloadduct, silirane \( 6 \), which would be a conceivable intermediate in the reaction of a silylene with naphthalene. In order to clarify the reaction mechanism, the potential energy surfaces (PESs) of the reactions of silylene \( 1 \) with naphthalene were calculated at the B3PW91/6-311G(2d,p) level of theory (\( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} \): relative energies including zero-point energy corrections) \([53]\). The \([1+2]\)cycloaddition of \( 1 \) with naphthalene was found to be slightly exothermic (\( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} = 6.12 \) kcal/mol) to give silirane \( 6 \) with a barrier of \( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} = 21.6 \) kcal/mol. Unexpectedly, silirane \( 6 \) was not connected to \( 5 \) on the PES in these calculations. Instead, the highly exothermic (\( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} = 37.4 \) kcal/mol) direct C-H insertion pathway of the reaction of \( 1 \) with naphthalene to furnish \( 5 \) was found to have a higher barrier of \( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} = 29.5 \) kcal/mol. The PES also showed that silirane \( 6 \) could give \( 1 \) with concomitant elimination of naphthalene via the reverse reaction of the formation of \( 6 \) (\( \Delta E_{\text{ZPE}} = 22.3 \) kcal/mol). Thus, it can be concluded \( 5 \) and \( 6 \) should be the thermodynamic and kinetic products, respectively, in the reaction of silylene \( 1 \) with naphthalene. At this stage, however, other plausible pathways for the formation of \( 5 \), e.g., by the reaction of dichlorosilane \( 4 \) with lithium naphthalenide, which would not include the generation of silylene \( 1 \), cannot be excluded from consideration (Scheme 2). However, based on the results of the theoretical calculations, silirane derivatives with a Si-C-C three-membered ring skeleton could potentially be considered appropriate precursors for silylenes such as \( 1 \).

![Scheme 2](image)

Scheme 2. Plausible mechanisms for the formation of bis(ferrocenyl)(2-naphthyl)silane \( 5 \).

When a THF/Et\(_2\)O solution of dichlorosilane \( 4 \) was reduced with sodium in the presence of an excess of cyclohexene and a small amount of naphthalene, a mixture of siliranes \( 9a \) and \( 9b \) was obtained (86% yield, \( 9a:9b = 66:34\)). Even though it was difficult to purify each product by common separation techniques such as gel permeation chromatography (GPC), column chromatography, or recrystallization, a few crystals of \( 9a \) and \( 9b \) were obtained by recrystallization from hexane and pentane, respectively. Thus, siliranes \( 9a \) and \( 9b \) were fully characterized by spectroscopic analyses, while \( 9a \) was structurally characterized by XRD analysis \([46]\). The similar up-field shifted \(^{29}\text{Si} \) NMR chemical shifts of \( 9a \) (\( \delta_{\text{Si}} = -67.2 \)) and \( 9b \) (\( \delta_{\text{Si}} = -69.8 \)) also indicated a silirane structure for \( 9b \),...
suggesting 9b could be a stereoisomer of 9a (Scheme 3). At this stage, 9a and 9b could not be separated from each other due the close similarity of their chemical properties. Heating a cyclohexene solution of a mixture of 9a and 9b (94:6 ratio) shifted the compositional ratio in favor of 9b (9a:9b = 45:55), suggesting that 9a and 9b represent the kinetic and thermodynamic products in the reaction of 1 with cyclohexene, respectively. Both 9a and 9b are stable under ambient conditions in the solid state and in C6D6 solution. Interestingly, the conversion of 9a to 9b occurred in the solid state. After heating a mixture of solid 9a and 9b (ca. 74:26) at 120 °C for 30 min under reduced pressure, the 1H NMR spectrum of the solid dissolved in C6D6 showed a 9a:9b ratio of ca. 45:55, suggesting a transformation of 9a to 9b even in the solid state.

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of bis(ferrocenyl)siliranes 9a and 9b.](image)

The XRD structure of 9a (Figure 1) shows that the cyclohexane moiety of 9a is oriented toward the crowded space close to the Fc* group; 9b could thus potentially exhibit a more stable geometry wherein the cyclohexyl group is oriented toward the less bulky ferrocenyl moiety. Theoretical calculations at B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(3d) level of theory suggest that 9b is by 0.78 kcal/mol more stable than 9a.

![Figure 1. Molecular structures of (a) 5, (b) 9a, and (c) 14 with thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms other than that of the Si-H moiety are omitted for clarity.](image)

As described above, heating of the cyclohexene solution of siliranes 9a and 9b at 75 °C resulted in the conversion of 9a to 9b with concomitant formation of minor amounts of Fe*FeSiH(OH) (10) [46], suggesting the generation of silylene 1 in the equilibrium state. The formation of small amounts of 10 should most likely be interpreted in terms of a hydrolysis of silylene 1 generated by thermolysis of 9a and/or 9b due to the inevitable contamination with a small amount of moisture. Dissolving a mixture of 9a and 9b (66:34) in an excess amount of methanol at r.t. afforded 11 (28%), 12 (10%), and 13 (15%) as shown in Scheme 4 [6,46]. The formation of 11 suggests the generation of silylene 1 at r.t. However, siliranes 9a and/or 9b would probably undergo alcoholysis with MeOH to give 12 and 13 under these conditions. Thus, siliranes 9a and 9b could be appropriate thermal precursors for silylene 1 on heating, although they are sensitive toward protic solvents. Conversely, heating of a mixture of 9a and 9b (25:86)
at 60 °C for 41 h in the presence of an excess of 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene afforded silolene 14 as the corresponding [1+4]cycloadduct of silylene 1 with 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene in 44% isolated yield, suggesting that the thermolysis of both 9a and 9b affords silylene 1 at this temperature. Thus, it can be concluded that siliranes 9a and 9b could work as synthetic precursors for bis(ferrocenyl)silylene 1 in such pericyclic reactions.

Scheme 4. Thermolysis of bis(ferrocenyl)siliranes 9a and 9b as well as trapping reactions of the transient silylene 1.

Subsequently, we performed theoretical calculations on the dissociation energies of siliranes bearing several organic substituents at B3PW91-D3(BJ)/6-311G(3d) level of theory (Scheme 5). The dissociation energies of 9a and 9b are ca. 17 kcal/mol, which are smaller than those of phenyl- and methyl-substituted siliranes 17 (26.0 kcal/mol) and 19 (27.6 kcal/mol). Considering the small dissociation energy of less bulky bis(ferrocenyl)silirane 15 (14.0 kcal/mol), the facile generation of silylene 1 from 9a and 9b under mild conditions could be explained, not by the steric hindrance due to the bulky Fc* group, but the electronic effect of the ferrocenyl groups. Even though the electronic perturbation from the ferrocenyl groups toward the silirane moiety of 9a and 9b remains unclear at present, the electron-donating properties of the ferrocenyl groups can be expected to lower the dissociation energy of the silirane skeleton.

Scheme 5. Calculated dissociation energies of siliranes 9a and 9b, as well as 15, 17, and 19 to give the corresponding silylene and cyclohexene.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Information

All manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using either Schlenk line techniques or glove boxes. All solvents were purified by standard methods. Trace amounts of water and oxygen remaining in the solvents were thoroughly removed by bulb-to-bulb distillation from potassium mirror prior to use. $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{29}$Si-NMR spectra were measured on a JEOL ECZ-500R ($^1$H: 500 MHz, $^{13}$C: 126 MHz, $^{29}$Si: 99 MHz) or on a Bruker AVANCE-400 spectrometer ($^1$H: 400 MHz, $^{13}$C: 101 MHz, $^{29}$Si: 79 MHz). Signals arising from residual C$_6$D$_5$H (7.15 ppm) in C$_6$D$_6$ or CHCl$_3$ (7.26 ppm) in CDCl$_3$ were used as the internal standards for the $^1$H NMR spectra, while those of C$_6$D$_6$ (128.0 ppm) and CDCl$_3$ (77.0 ppm) were used for the $^{13}$C NMR spectra, and external SiMe$_4$ (0.0 ppm) for the $^{29}$Si NMR spectra. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from a JEOL JMS-T100LP (DART) or JMS-T100CS (APCI) mass spectrometer (DART). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed on an LC-6AD (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) equipped with JAIGEL-1H and 2H (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) columns using toluene as the eluent. All melting points were determined on a Büchi Melting Point Apparatus M-565 and are uncorrected. Fc*Br (Fc* = 2,5-[3,5-((tBu)$_2$C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$]-1-ferrocenyl) was prepared according to literature procedures [40–42]. 1-Bromo-3,5-di-tert-butylbenzene was gifted by MANAC Inc., Tokyo, Japan.

3.2. Syntheses and Reactions

3.2.1. Bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane 4

Ferrocene (1.00 g, 5.38 mmol) was dissolved in THF (4.0 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. During 30 min, a pentane solution of t-BuLi (3.6 mL, 1.6 M in pentane, 5.76 mmol) was added dropwise. Then, hexane (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the solution was kept at −78 °C for 15 min. The resulting orange precipitate including ferrocenyl lithium was filtered off, and then washed with small portions of hexane. The orange precipitate was then dissolved in toluene (4 mL), and the solution was added to SiCl$_4$ (884 mg, 5.27 mmol). After stirring the mixture for 3 h at room temperature, the resulting inorganic solids were removed and the solvent of the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give ferrocenyltrichlorosilane 2 as an orange solid that was used for the subsequent reactions without further purification. An ether solution (2 mL) of Fc*Br (635 mg, 994 µmol) was treated with n-BuLi (0.5 mL, 2.60 M in hexane, 1.3 mmol) at 0 °C. During 30 min, the solution was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The obtained orange solid was subjected to GPC (toluene) to give bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane 4 (366 mg, 434 µmol, 44%). 4: orange solid. Mp. 107−118 °C. $^1$H-NMR (500 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) δ 7.70 (d, $J$ = 1.7 Hz, 4H), 7.49 (t, $J$ = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 4.44 (s, 2H), 4.39 (s, 5H), 4.12 (s, 5H), 3.87 (s, 4H), 1.42 (s, 36H); $^{13}$C-NMR (126 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) δ 150.0, 137.2, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 126.1, 121.3, 99.7, 75.1, 74.6, 72.0, 71.2, 69.8, 69.2, 64.5, 35.1, 31.8; $^{29}$Si-NMR (79 MHz) or CHCl$_3$ (128.0 ppm) and CDCl$_3$ (99.7 ppm) were used for the $^{13}$C NMR spectra. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from a JEOL JMS-T100LP (DART) or JMS-T100CS (APCI) mass spectrometer (DART). Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) was performed on an LC-6AD (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) equipped with JAIGEL-1H and 2H (Japan Analytical Industry Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) columns using toluene as the eluent. All melting points were determined on a Büchi Melting Point Apparatus M-565 and are uncorrected. Fc*Br (Fc* = 2,5-[3,5-((tBu)$_2$C$_6$H$_5$)$_2$]-1-ferrocenyl) was prepared according to literature procedures [40–42]. 1-Bromo-3,5-di-tert-butylbenzene was gifted by MANAC Inc., Tokyo, Japan.

3.2.2. Bis(ferrocenyl)naphthylsilane 5

A THF solution of LiNaph (0.2 mL, 1.1 M THF solution, 0.22 mmol) was added dropwise to a THF solution (0.2 mL) of bis(ferrocenyl)dichlorosilane 4 (47.7 mg, 56.5 µmol) at −78 °C. After stirring for 24 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in benzene. The resulting inorganic salts were removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give 5 as an orange solid (quant. as judged by $^1$H-NMR spectrum). The orange solid of 5 was purified by GPC (toluene) to give 5 in pure form (15.1 mg, 16.7 µmol, 30%). 5: orange solid. Mp. 195−200 °C. $^1$H-NMR (500 MHz, benzene-$d_6$) δ 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J$ = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63−7.64 (m, 1H), 7.61 (d, $J$ = 1.7 Hz,
2H), 7.59 (q, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.24–7.27 (m, 2H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2H), 4.34 (s, 5H), 4.16 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s, 1H), 3.99 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 5H), 3.82 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (s, 18H), 1.20 (s, 18H).$
$
3.2.3. Siliranes 9a and 9b

A mixture of sodium (dispersion in mineral oil, 7.2 mg, 313 µmol), naphthalene (25.5 mg, 199 µmol), and cyclohexene (0.2 mL, 1.85 mmol) was added to a THF/Et2O (1:1, 0.4 mL) solution of 4 (92.3 mg, 109 µmol). After stirring for 12 h at room temperature, the solvent and residual cyclohexene were removed under reduced pressure, before the residue was dissolved in cyclohexane. After filtration, the solvent of the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The obtained orange solid was subjected to GPC (toluene) to give a mixture of 9a (68%) and 9b (32%) with a Mw/Mn of 1.2. After evaporating the solvent of the filtrate, the residue was heated at 120 °C for a few hours under the reduced pressure. The following recrystallization of the resulting solids from pentane afforded a few crystals of 9a, which could be isolated by the filtration. After evaporating the solvent of the filtrate, the residue was heated at 120 °C for a few hours under the reduced pressure. The following recrystallization of the resulting solids from pentane afforded a few crystals of 9b in pure form. 9a: orange solid. Mp. 174.1 °C (decomp.). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-d6): δ = 7.93 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.53 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.24 (br s, 2H), 4.11 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (s, 5H), 3.86 (br s, 5H), 1.99 (br s, 2H), 1.73 (br s, 2H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.26-1.27 (br, 6H); 13C-NMR (101 MHz, benzene-d6) δ = 150.16, 139.86, 125.32, 120.40, 96.84, 75.04, 73.10, 72.66, 70.79, 69.16, 68.96, 66.73, 35.21, 31.91, 24.95, 22.66, 11.96; 29Si-NMR (79 MHz, benzene-d6) δ = 67.6. HRMS (DART), m/z: Found: 856.38901 ([M⁺]); Calcd. for C₃₈H₆₆Fe₂Si ([M⁺]): 856.38826. 9b: orange solid. Mp. 151.2 °C (decomp.). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-d6): δ = 7.94 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 7.52 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.73 (s, 2H), 4.16 (s, 5H), 4.10 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 5H), 2.06 (br s, 2H), 1.73–1.79 (br m, 4H), 1.52 (br s, 4H), 1.43 (s, 3H); 13C-NMR (101 MHz, benzene-d6) δ = 150.25, 139.50, 125.27, 121.23, 97.72, 75.99, 73.13, 71.95, 71.12, 69.16, 68.23, 64.17, 35.13, 31.93, 23.92, 21.63, 14.47; 29Si-NMR (79 MHz, benzene-d6) δ = 69.8. Continuous recrystallizations of a mixture of 9a and 9b from hexanes afforded a very small amount of single crystals of 9a in pure form. Spectroscopic and XRD analyses of the single crystals thus obtained enabled us to identify 9a. Silirane 9b was identified based on the spectral data including 29Si NMR data, which was similar to those of 9a.

3.2.4. Thermolysis of Siliranes 9a and 9b in Cyclohexene

Cyclohexene (0.7 mL) was added to a mixture of 9a and 9b (94:6 ratio as judged by the 1H NMR spectrum). After heating at 75 °C for 30 min, the residual cyclohexene was removed under reduced pressure. The 1H NMR spectrum of the residue in C₆D₆ showed the signals for 9a and 9b (45:55 ratio).

3.2.5. Thermolysis of Siliranes 9a and 9b in the Solid State

A mixture of the orange solids of 9a and 9b (74:26 ratio as judged by the 1H-NMR spectrum) was heated at 120 °C for 30 min under evacuation in an NMR tube (5 mm diameter) equipped with a J-Young tap. The 1H-NMR spectrum of the residue in C₆D₆ showed the signals for 9a and 9b (45:55 ratio).

3.2.6. Reaction of Siliranes 9a and 9b with Methanol

MeOH (0.40 mL) was added to a mixture of siliranes 9a and 9b (66:34, 24.0 mg, 28.0 µmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 72 h at room temperature, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The obtained residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/benzene = 4:1) to give 11 (6.3 mg, 7.8 µmol, 28%), 12 (2.3 mg, 2.8 µmol, 10%) and 13 (3.8 mg, 4.3 µmol, 15%) 11: orange solid. Mp. 161–164 °C. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-d6): δ = 7.98 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (q, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 5.59 (s, 1H), 4.80 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.74 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (s, 5H), 4.00 (td, J = 2.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (td, J = 2.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.90–3.91 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 5H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.25 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 36H). HRMS (DART), m/z: Found: 806.32643 ([M⁺]); Calcd. for C₄₀H₇₂Fe₂O₂Si ([M⁺]): 806.32688. 12: orange solid. Mp. 67–71 °C. 1H-NMR
(400 MHz, benzene-δ6) δ 7.84 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 7.51 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.34 (s, 5H), 4.03 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (s, 5H), 3.82 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 3.38 (s, 6H), 1.46 (s, 36H). HRMS (DART), m/z: Found: 836.33713 ([M+]1), Calcd. for C63H64Fe2O2Si ([M+]1): 836.33744.

13: orange solid. Mp. 98–103 °C. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-δ6) δ 7.73 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.47–7.48 (m, 2H), 4.54 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (s, 5H), 4.08–4.10 (m, 3H), 4.01 (s, 5H), 3.94 (s, 1H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.27 (br d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (br d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.82 (br d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (br d, J = 13.8 Hz, 2H), 1.55–1.60 (br, 1H), 1.45 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 36H), 1.40–1.41 (br, 1H), 1.39–1.39 (br, 1H), 1.08–1.30 (br, 3H). HRMS (DART), m/z: Found: 888.40586 ([M+]1), Calcd. for C35H72Fe2O3Si ([M+]1): 888.40513.

3.2.7. Reaction of Siliranes 9a and 9b with 2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-butadiene

2,3-Dimethyl-1,3-butadiene (0.50 mL, 4.40 mmol) was added to a mixture of 9a and 9b (25:86 ratio, 5.7 mg, 6.7 μmol). After stirring for 41 h at 60 °C, the residual 2,3-dimethyl-1,3-butadiene was removed under reduced pressure to give 14 (quant. as judged by the 1H-NMR spectrum). The obtained orange solid of 14 was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/benzene = 4:1) to give 14 in pure form (2.5 mg, 2.9 μmol, 44%). 14: orange solid. Mp. 242 °C (decomp). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-δ6) δ 7.70 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 4H), 7.50 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 4.36 (s, 5H), 4.17 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (s, 5H), 2.02 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 2H), 1.77 (m, 8H), 1.40 (s, 36H) 7Si-NMR (79 MHz, benzene-δ6) δ 2.15. HRMS (DART), m/z: Found: 856.38994 ([M+]1), Calcd. for C34H68Fe2O2Si ([M+]1): 856.38826.

3.2.8. Characterization of Fe*(Fc)Si(OH)2

Orange solid. Mp. 144-151 °C. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, benzene-δ6) δ 7.84 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 4H), 7.50 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.34 (s, 5H), 3.99 (q, J = 1.9 Hz, 7H), 3.85 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 2H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 1.40 (s, 36H). HRMS (APCI), m/z: Found: 808.31153 ([M+]1), Calcd. for C48H60Fe2O2Si ([M+]1): 808.30614.

3.3. Computational Methods

The level of theory and the basis sets used for the structural optimization are given in the main text. Frequency calculations confirmed minimum energies for all optimized structures. All calculations were carried out on the Gaussian 16 (Revision C.01) program package [53]. Computational time was generously provided by the Supercomputer Laboratory in the Institute for Chemical Research of Kyoto University.

3.4. X-ray Crystallographic Analysis

Single crystals of 5, 9a, 10, 11, 13, 14, and Fe*(Fc)Si(OH)2 were obtained by recrystallization from Et2O (5, 9a, 10, 14, Fe*(Fc)Si(OH)2) at room temperature or from Et2O/MeOH (11, 13) at −30 °C. Intensity data for 9a were collected on a RIGAKU Saturn70 CCD(system) with VariMax Mo Optics using Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å), while those for others were collected at the BL02B1 beamline of SPring-8 (2018A1167, 2018B1668, 2018B1179, 2019A1057, 2019A1677, 2019B1129, 2019B1784, 2020A1056, 2020A1644, 2020A1650, 2020A0834) on a PILATUS3 X CdTe 1M camera using synchrotron radiation (λ = 0.4148 Å). The structures were solved using SHELXT-2014 and refined by a full-matrix least-squares method on F² for all reflections using the programs of SHELXL-2016 [54,55]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and the positions of all hydrogen atoms were calculated geometrically and refined as riding models. Supplementary crystallographic data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under deposition numbers CCDC-2044338-2044344 and can be obtained free of charge from via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request.cif.

Crystallographic data for 5 (CCDC-2044338): C68H64Fe2Si, FW 902.89, crystal size 0.01 × 0.01 × 0.01 mm³, temperature −180 °C, λ = 0.4148 Å, triclinic, space group P–1 (#2), a = 12.072(5) Å, b = 12.297(5) Å, c = 16.770(7) Å, α = 84.253(5)°, β = 80.861(5)°, γ = 89.400(5)°, V = 2445.4(18) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalc = 1.226 g cm⁻³, μ = 0.158 mm⁻¹, θmax = 15.729°, 57,864 reflections measured, 11,360 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0717), 692 parameters refined, GOF = 1.029,
Completeness = 99.4%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0651, wR2(all data) = 0.1685, largest diff. peak and hole 1.262 and -1.498 e Å⁻³. 9a (CCDC-2044339): CsH8Fe2Si, FW 856.87, crystal size 0.15 × 0.10 × 0.08 mm³, temperature -170 °C, λ = 0.71073 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 10.1310(3) Å, b = 15.7957(4) Å, c = 16.3047(4) Å, α = 67.199(2)°, β = 76.969(2)°, γ = 76.374(2)°, V = 2311.22(11) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.231 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.688 mm⁻¹, θmax = 30.835°, 4151 reflections measured, 9056 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0429), 551 parameters refined, GOF = 1.045, completeness = 99.6%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0540, wR2(all data) = 0.1443, largest diff. peak and hole 1.694 and -1.089 e Å⁻³. 10 (CCDC-2044340): CsH60Fe2O5Si, FW 792.75, crystal size 0.02 × 0.01 × 0.01 mm³, temperature -180 °C, λ = 0.4148 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 11.551(5) Å, b = 13.356(6) Å, c = 15.732(7) Å, α = 104.761(6)°, β = 108.360(6)°, γ = 100.704(6)°, V = 2131.9(17) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.235 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.177 mm⁻¹, θmax = 15.694°, 50,376 reflections measured, 9876 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0869), 491 parameters refined, GOF = 1.016, completeness = 99.6%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0449, wR2(all data) = 0.1211, largest diff. peak and hole 0.549 and -0.861 e Å⁻³. 11 (CCDC-2044341): CsH8Fe2O5Si, FW 806.78, crystal size 0.01 × 0.01 × 0.01 mm³, temperature -180 °C, λ = 0.4148 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 7.948(8) Å, b = 12.421(10) Å, c = 18.807(15) Å, α = 78.213(8)°, β = 78.790(11)°, γ = 85.260(9)°, V = 2185.3 Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.227 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.173 mm⁻¹, θmax = 15.765°, 52,665 reflections measured, 9471 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0912), 553 parameters refined, GOF = 1.040, completeness = 92.1%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0879, wR2(all data) = 0.2863, largest diff. peak and hole 1.659 and -1.131 e Å⁻³. 13 (CCDC-2044342): CsH8Fe2O5Si, FW 963.04, crystal size 0.01 × 0.01 × 0.01 mm³, temperature -180 °C, λ = 0.4148 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 10.295(5) Å, b = 15.097(7) Å, c = 16.60(8) Å, α = 104.05(4)°, β = 96.46(5)°, γ = 92.32(5)°, V = 2478(21) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.291 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.158 mm⁻¹, θmax = 9.863°, 14,683 reflections measured, 2889 independent reflections (Rint = 0.2584), 577 parameters refined, GOF = 1.049, completeness = 98.3%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.1088, wR2(all data) = 0.3010, largest diff. peak and hole 0.320 and -0.426 e Å⁻³. Only preliminary data have been obtained, since the single crystals of this compound have been obtained only in very poor quality despite several attempts of careful recrystallization probably due to the partial hydrolysis of the Si-OMe moiety. 14 (CCDC-2044343): CsH6Fe2Si, FW 856.87, crystal size 0.01 × 0.01 × 0.01 mm³, temperature -180 °C, λ = 0.4148 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 10.546(10) Å, b = 12.346(12) Å, c = 18.329(19) Å, α = 92.52(2)°, β = 97.949(12)°, γ = 99.949(16)°, V = 2322(4) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.225 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.164 mm⁻¹, θmax = 13.916°, 37,917 reflections measured, 7520 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0674), 529 parameters refined, GOF = 1.031, completeness = 99.3%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0437, wR2(all data) = 0.1260, largest diff. peak and hole 0.771 and -0.648 e Å⁻³. Fe2Si(OH)2 (CCDC-204444): CsH6Fe2O5Si, FW 808.75, crystal size 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.10 mm³, temperature -170 °C, λ = 0.71075 Å, triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 11.4879(2) Å, b = 13.2748(1) Å, c = 15.6366(2) Å, α = 104.3460(1)°, β = 108.2530(1)°, γ = 101.1560(1)°, V = 2096.58(5) Å³, Z = 2, Dcalcd = 1.281 g cm⁻³, µ = 0.758 mm⁻¹, θmax = 26.999°, 39,810 reflections measured, 9082 independent reflections (Rint = 0.0365), 718 parameters refined, GOF = 1.127, completeness = 99.2%, R1[I > 2σ(I)] = 0.0365, wR2(all data) = 0.0826, largest diff. peak and hole 0.395 and -0.437 e Å⁻³.

4. Conclusions

Bis(ferrocenyl)siliranes 9a and 9b were prepared by the reduction of the corresponding dichlorosilane with lithium naphthalenide in the presence of an excess of cyclohexene. Siliranes 9a and 9b are appropriate precursors for bis(ferrocenyl)silylenes upon heating under mild conditions, i.e., they can be considered as bottleable synthetic precursors for silylenes. Further investigations into the creation of redox-active organosilicon compounds that bear ferrocenyl moieties by using siliranes 9a and b as silylene precursors are currently in progress in our laboratory.
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