Environmental assessment of the integrated bio-combustion process: A life cycle energy balance

Avaliação ambiental do processo integrado de bio-combustão: balanço energético do ciclo de vida

DOI:10.34117/bjdv5n10-078

Recebimento dos originais: 12/09/2019
Aceitação para publicação: 07/10/2019

Ihana Aguiar Severo
Mestre em Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
*E-mail para contato: ihana.aguiar@gmail.com

Mariany Costa Deprá
Mestre em Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
E-mail: marianydepra@gmail.com

Rafaela Basso Sartori
Mestre em Ciência e Tecnologia de Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
E-mail: rafaa.sartori@hotmail.com

Rosangela Rodrigues Dias
Graduada em Tecnologia em Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
E-mail: ro.rosangleard@gmail.com

Patrícia Arrojo Silva
Graduada em Tecnologia em Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
E-mail: pati.arrojo@hotmail.com

Paola Lasta
Graduada em Tecnologia em Alimentos pela Universidade Federal de Santa Maria
Instituição: Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM
Endereço: Avenida Roraima, 1000, 97105-900 – Camobi, Santa Maria – RS, Brasil
E-mail: paolalasta@outlook.com

Leila Queiroz Zepka
Doutora em Ciência de Alimentos pela Faculdade de Engenharia de Alimentos da Universidade Estadual de Campinas
ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the life cycle energy balance of the integrated bio-combustion process. The life cycle analysis tool was applied to assess the environmental performance of the system. The experiments were performed in a bubble column photobioreactor with a volume of 2L, photon flux density of 150 µmol/m²/s, continuous aeration of 1VVM, and injection air-enriched with 15% of CO₂. The photobioreactor exhaust gases were integrated into the bio-combustion furnace, which was designed on a lab-scale. The operational conditions were: initial coke mass of 1g, total combustion reaction time of 20 min, and airflow rate of 1 L/min. The energy balance was determined by equating of the energy produced by the required energy, followed by the net energy ratio. The results obtained showed a net energy ratio for the integrated process of 0.69, indicating an energy efficiency improvement close to 70%. Thus, the system has the potential to boost the sustainability of industrial facilities.
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RESUMO

O objetivo do presente estudo foi avaliar o balanço energético do ciclo de vida do processo integrado de bio-combustão. A ferramenta de análise de ciclo de vida foi aplicada para avaliar o desempenho ambiental do sistema. Os experimentos foram realizados em um fotobiorreator de coluna de bolhas com volume de 2L, intensidade luminosa de 150 µmol/m²/s, aeração contínua de 1VVM e injeção de ar enriquecido com 15% de CO₂. Os gases de exaustão do fotobiorreator foram integrados no forno de bio-combustão, projetado em escala laboratorial. As condições operacionais foram: massa inicial de coque de 1g, tempo total da reação de combustão de 20 min e fluxo de ar de 1 L/min. O balanço energético foi determinado pelo equacionamento da energia produzida pela energia requerida, seguido da razão de energia líquida. Os resultados obtidos mostraram uma relação de energia para o processo integrado de 0,69, indicando melhoria na eficiência energética próxima a 70%. Assim, o sistema tem potencial para promover a sustentabilidade das instalações industriais.

Palavras-Chave: microalga, fotobiorreator, combustão, integração de processo, análise de ciclo de vida.
1. INTRODUCTION

The use of fossil inputs is a vicious cycle. Since many decades ago, emissions related to non-renewable energy use have grown exponentially, and the trend is that this phenomenon will continue at the same pace (Jiang et al., 2019). According to the International Energy Agency, in the year 2014, carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) emissions were approximately 32 Gt/yr, and the forecast to minimize them is 8 Gt in the coming years (IEA, 2019). However, the race towards to resolve this critical issue seems endless.

Therefore, looming and currently environmental crises – including mainly climate change and resource scarcity – are increasingly inspiring sustainability ideas, led by innovation alliances between research and development (R&D) sectors. Current engineering approaches suggest carbon capture and storage (CCS) or utilization (CCU) technologies in combustion systems (Yan and Zhang, 2019). Nevertheless, they have many technical, economic, and environmental bottlenecks that an industrial process requires (Cuéllar-Franca and Azapagic, 2015).

Recently, carbon capture and biological utilization (BCCU) has been developed and has been considered as a promising technological route to improve energy-intense industrial processes (Jacob-Lopes et al., 2017; Severo et al., 2018a). This bioprocess is mediated by microalgae-based systems, which are cultivated in photobioreactors, considered the centerpiece for CO$_2$ capture and conversion into commercial interest products (Severo et al., 2019). Photobioreactors must be designed to withstand high workloads, precisely to meet the demands of large-scale production (Verma and Srivastava, 2018).

In this way, in the study conducted by Severo et al. (2018b), the BCCU technique applied to an integrated bio-combustion process was demonstrated. The technology integrates photobioreactor exhaust gases containing O$_2$, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and unconverted CO$_2$ into a combustion furnace, which are reused as oxidizer, biofuels and nitrogen diluent, respectively. This enabled a potential increase in thermal efficiency by approximately 30%. Nevertheless, it is crucial to evaluate the system from an environmental performance perspective.

Thus, the need arises to address energy issues to improve the sustainability of industrial production facilities. For this, life cycle assessment (LCA), a powerful tool that helps to verify the environmental impacts of a "cradle-to-grave" product or process can be adopted. It defines energy flows, mass, emissions, water, among other aspects, making it possible to evaluate resource use and provide opportunities for upgrading (Xu et al., 2019). In this sense, the
objective of this work was to establish the life cycle energy balance of the integrated bio-combustion process through the LCA.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study applies the LCA tool to evaluate the environmental performance of the integrated bio-combustion process. The LCA identifies and quantifies the potential environmental impacts of a system considering its entire life cycle following the guidelines established by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 14000 series. For this, our sequential steps are considered: (i) goal and scope definition, (ii) inventory analysis, (iii) impact assessment, and (iv) interpretation of results (ISO, 2006).

2.1 GOAL AND SCOPE DEFINITION

The goal of the LCA here was to investigate the integrated process, whose laboratory scale experimental data were previously obtained from Severo et al. (2018b). Assuming that microalgae-based processes all data were standardized for a functional unit of 1 kg of mass and 1 MJ of energy for comparison with a future industrial scale. Figure 1 demonstrates the scope of the process.

![Figure 1. Scope of the integrated bio-combustion process.](image)
2.2 PROCESS DESCRIPTION

The integrated bio-combustion process developed was based on the biological CO₂ capture and utilization (BCCU) technique, which was performed in a bubble column photobioreactor, considered the core of the process. The photobioreactor served as cultivation system for the *Scenedesmus obliquus* microalgae, obtained from the Canadian Phycological Culture Centre. Stock cultures were propagated and maintained in synthetic BG-11 medium (Rippka et al., 1979) and pH 7.6. The incubation conditions are as follows: temperature of 30°C, the photon flux density of 15 µmol/m²/s and a photoperiod of 12h. The experiments were conducted in the photobioreactor operating under intermittent regime, fed with 2L of culture medium, initial cell concentration of 100 mg/L, temperature of 26°C, the photon flux density of 150 µmol/m²/s, continuous aeration of 1VVM (volume of air per volume of culture per minute), and injection air-enriched with 15% of CO₂. The microalgae photosynthetic metabolism reactions enable the CO₂ bioconversion in gaseous products such as O₂, VOCs, and CO₂ (corresponding to the injected percentage that is not converted). These bioproducts were then recovered and integrated into the bio-combustion furnace as the oxidizer, fuels, and nitrogen diluent, respectively.

The furnace was manufactured in lab-scale, consisting of a thermal energy generation system, composed of refractory material, equipped with electrical resistors (600W), and with a central combustion chamber of the primary fuel, the petroleum coke. Simultaneously, the furnace was fed with gaseous products from the photobioreactor, which were considered as improvers of combustion performance. The experimental conditions were as follows: initial coke mass of 1g, a total combustion reaction time of 20 min, and airflow rate of 1 L/min. Further specifications of the integrated process are described in patent WO2017/112984A1 (Jacob-Lopes et al., 2017) and Severo et al. (2018b).

2.3 INVENTORY ANALYSIS

Table 1 shows the main inventory data used, which were based on the input and output flows of the integrated process.

Table 1. Input and output flows of the integrated bio-combustion process.

| Process step       | Flow  | Consumable | Amount   |
|--------------------|-------|------------|----------|
| Photobioreactor    | Input | Power      | 15,840 kWh |
|                    |       | Light      | 82 kWh   |
|                    |       | Aeration   | 103 kWh  |
2.4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Impact assessment aims to understand and assess the potential environmental impacts caused by the resources identified during the life cycle inventory. Thus, the category considered refers to the Net Energy Balance (NEB) and Net Energy Ratio (NER).

2.5 NET ENERGY BALANCE AND NET ENERGY RATIO

The NEB was calculated from the difference of total input energy and total output energy, through Eq. 1 (Jorquera et al., 2010):

\[ \text{NEB} = \sum_{\text{out}} E_{\text{out}} - \sum_{\text{in}} E_{\text{in}} \]  

where \( E_{\text{out}} \) is the output energy and \( E_{\text{in}} \) is the input energy, expressed in terms of mega joules (MJ).

Already the NEB of the bio-combustion furnace was defined by the Second Law of Thermodynamics, calculated from Eq. 2:

\[ Q = m_F C_P (T_{\text{out}} - T_{\text{in}}) \]  

where \( Q \) is the amount of heat lost in the exhaust gases (MJ), \( m_F \) is the fuel mass, \( C_P \) is the average specific heat of fuel, and \( T_{\text{out}} \) and \( T_{\text{in}} \) are the exhaust gases and pre-combustion temperatures, respectively.

The NER, which is defined as the ratio of total energy produced and required for operations was calculated according to Eq. 3:

\[ \text{NER} = \frac{\sum_{\text{out}}}{\sum_{\text{in}}} \]  

where \( E_{\text{out}} \) is the sum of the total energy produced and \( E_{\text{in}} \) is the sum of the total energy required (dimensionless).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 lists the net energy balance and net energy ratio values according to the input and output operations flows for the photobioreactor, furnace, followed by process integration. Values were based on inventory and assumptions described in Section 2.3.
Table 2. Net energy balance and net energy ratio of the process life cycle steps.

| Step                | Input energy (MJ) | Output energy (MJ) | NEB (MJ) | NER   |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|
| Photobioreactor     | 57,690.00         | 59,795.00          | 2,228.00 | 1.00  |
| Furnace             | 26,567.00         | 0.65               | -26,566.00 | 0.000024 |
| Process integration | 84,257.00         | 59,795.65          | -24,461.35 | 0.69  |

According to Table 2, it is first observed that when considering the processes independently, a positive balance was obtained for the photobioreactor (NEB = 2,228.00 MJ), resulting in a NER of 1.00. This means that the amount of output energy, represented by biomass and VOCs, can be considered as a renewable energy source since it is higher than the input energy. This, in turn, is related to the fossil energy consumed throughout the process. Studies show that energetics assessments should have NER > 1, which justifies an environmental performance favorable to the implementation of microalgae-based processes (Jorquera et al., 2010; Slade and Bauen 2013; Tredici et al., 2015).

On the other hand, when considering the bio-combustion furnace, the energy balance was extremely negative, resulting in a value of NEB -26,566.00 MJ and NER 0.000024. These data support the fact that combustion systems are very inefficient in terms of energy efficiency, generally characterized by exothermic reactions, where combustion products accumulate less amount of heat than the reactants (Glassman et al., 2015).

In order to improve combustion systems, the photobioreactor integration into the furnace was evaluated, which even with a negative NEB (-24,461.35 MJ) and NER of 0.69, an energy efficiency improvement of approximately 70% was achieved. This is due to the integration of the photobioreactor exhaust gases, which have considerable energy content (Severo et al., 2018b). The process integration strategy has been widely claimed in patents and pilot-scale plants (Ahrens et al., 2012; Bliss, 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2014; Tredici et al., 2015; Nurdiawati et al., 2019). This limitation persists in the high cost and energy expenditures in other steps of the process.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained, the microalgal photobioreactor has the potential to be integrated as retrofit equipment in industrial combustion facilities to increase thermal
efficiency. The overall energy balance of the integrated process can, therefore, achieve even better environmental performance if other generated surpluses are adequately exploited. Finally, further investigations should be conducted in an attempt to optimize microalgae-based processes toward commercial viability.
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