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Effects on Ash Chemistry when Co-firing Municipal Sewage Sludge and Wheat Straw in a Fluidized Bed: Influence on the Ash Chemistry by Fuel Mixing
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ABSTRACT: Municipal sewage sludge (MSS) is of interest for co-combustion with problematic fuels, such as agricultural residues, because of its high content of inorganic elements, which may improve combustion properties of such problematic fuels. Ash transformation when co-combusting MSS with the agricultural residue wheat straw was examined using a bench-scale bubbling fluidized bed (5 kW). Wheat straw pellets were combusted with MSS in both a co-pelletized form and co-firing of separate fuel particles. This was performed to examine whether there is any advantage to either approach of introducing MSS together with a problematic fuel. Co-combusting wheat straw with MSS changed the bed agglomeration characteristics from being caused by the formation of low-temperature melting potassium silicates in the fuel ash to being caused by a higher temperature melting bed ash. This shift in ash chemistry had a significant positive effect on the initial defluidization temperature. The cyclone ash and fine particulate matter changed from being dominated by alkali in general and alkali chlorides in specific to an increased phosphate and sulfate formation, which reduces the risk of alkali-related fouling and corrosion. The influence of aluminosilicates may also play a role in the improvement of fuel ash behavior.

INTRODUCTION

The discussion whether cultivable areas should be used for food production or production of biomass suitable for green energy conversion has today faded in intensity, and food production is considered more important when only one type of land use is discussed. With the increase of the use of agricultural residues from food production in energy conversion, land use may become more efficient. These agricultural residues typically contain relatively large amounts of alkali compared to woody biomass,1,2 which has been shown to be a source of boiler operational problems, such as deposit formation, bed agglomeration, and slagging during thermal energy conversion.3–10 Such problems may be remedied to some extent by changing the fuel composition with respect to its inorganic content.3,6,8–16 This change in fuel composition can be made using other types of biomass,4,6,8,10,17 chemical additives,8,9,11,14,15 or other waste stream resources together with the agricultural residues in a thermal energy conversion process.

Municipal sewage sludge (MSS) is an example of such a waste stream resource that may be of interest in combustion applications, together with agricultural residues.18,19 A summary of analyses made by 47 different sewage sludges from Sweden shows that MSS typically contains large amounts of P, S, and Si, elements that have been shown to improve combustion properties of problematic fuels.8,16 Co-firing MSS with biofuels originating from agriculture may improve the combustion behavior of such biomasses, effectively decreasing operational problems.18,21 The alkali content is typically low in MSS. Its content of potentially harmful elements is relatively high, which may be remedied by co-combustion with biomass that contains low amounts of, e.g., cadmium, copper, and lead. MSS has a high ash content and a fairly high heating value, which mostly varies with its water content.

Introducing a small share of sewage sludge together with a problematic fuel may provide several positive effects.18,19 The most interesting aspects are improving combustion properties without making large sacrifices in energy content while effectively diluting potentially harmful elements present in the sewage sludge. The ashes produced by such co-combustion may assist in improving phosphorus recycling within food production because some of the phosphorus present in MSS comes from food.22–24 Phosphorus is an important nutrient that is a major component in fertilizers; therefore, it would be beneficial to capture some of the phosphorus introduced to cultivated areas in ash fractions that could be used again in the same or other areas as fertilizing agents.

Wheat straw (WS) is an agricultural waste product that is considered a problematic biofuel in combustion applications.8,9,13,25 The high alkali content in WS leads to the formation of low-melting compounds, typically silicates, which cause operational problems.12,25 The formation of particles containing alkali chloride is also common during combustion of WS, which increases the risk of fouling and corrosion. It is possible that these ash-related issues could be resolved by co-combustion with MSS.

Fluidized bed technology is a suitable method to examine effects of such co-combustion.25 The relatively long residence
time and turbulence in the bed promote reactions in the inorganic fuel content. Another factor that may impact the reaction rates is how the fuels are mixed. For this reason, it is interesting to compare co-pelletized fuel particles and separate fuel particles. The process temperature is also lower and more uniform in a fluidized bed than in grate-fired systems, which is advantageous when studying problematic fuels.

The aim of this study is to determine bed agglomeration characteristics and fine particle formation during co-combustion of WS and MSS introduced by co-pelletizing and separate fuel particles. These phenomena will be discussed from the perspective with ash transformation processes in mind.

## Materials and Methods

### Bed Material and Fuels

The bed material used in fluidized-bed experiments was quartz sand (>98% SiO₂) sieved to a grain size fraction of 200–250 μm. The two fuels used in this study were MSS, which had been precipitated with iron(II) sulfate, and WS, representing a typical problematic fuel. They were mixed as 90:10 (w/w, %) WS and MSS in the co-pelletized (co-p) mixture and co-fired as separate fuel particles (sp), with all fuels and mixtures fired as 6 mm pellets. This mixing ratio was chosen on the basis of the molar ratio of potassium over phosphorus in the fuels. XRD analysis of these ash particles for the co-pelletized fuel mix shows that hematite is responsible for the red color and alkali is found in phosphates, sulfates, and silicates (see Table 3). The quartz content is mainly bed material included in these large ash particles.

The observed initial bed defluidification temperatures are shown in Table 2. To determine the degree of interaction between fuel ash and bed particles, as well as bed agglomeration mechanisms, SEM—EDS images, such as those shown in Figure 6, were used. For all fuels containing MSS, there was a large amount of large (1 mm) red fuel ash particles in the bed material that had not interacted with bed grains to any large extent. Such large ash particles constituted the greater part of bed ash for both mixed fuels. XRD analysis of these ash particles for the co-pelletized fuel mix shows that hematite is responsible for the red color and alkali is found in phosphates, sulfates, and silicates (see Table 3). The quartz content is mainly bed material included in these large ash particles.

For WS, bed grains were found to only have discontinuous outer coating layers in general. Even though the bed grains displayed discontinuous outer coating layers in general, bed grains in agglomerates showed both inner and outer layer reaction layers, with a fuel ash particle acting as an adhesive. Fuel ash particles adhering to bed grains dominated agglomerate necks. In the co-combustion cases, separate fuel particles formed agglomerates similar to those found for WS but to a lesser extent. The co-pelletized fuel mixture did not show the same agglomeration interaction; the outer coating layers on bed grains were scarce; and there were no agglomerate necks found, suggesting that the bed ash is responsible for defluidization. Bed grains from MSS combustion were similar to those found for the co-pelletized fuel with almost no outer layer formation.

Ash particles forming necks (WS) and ash particles found in the bed (fuel mixtures and MSS) were analyzed by SEM—EDS (see Figure 2). The values shown are averages of 10 different spot and area analyses of bed agglomerates and particles. Silicon has been omitted because of some inclusion of bed material. WS ash was dominated by potassium silicates, while the fuel mixtures and MSS displayed a more complex composition. Spot analysis of bed ash from fuel mixture combustion showed that the phosphorus...
content was related to a higher content of calcium and that some sulfur had stayed in the bed. Alkali levels were usually higher when the silicon content also reached higher levels in the ash particle, especially for WS and the mixture with separate fuel particles.

Cyclone ash and deposition probe rings (wind side and lee side, respectively) were analyzed with SEM−EDS to determine elemental composition (see Figures 3−6). The deposition growth rate on the cooled probe rings is shown in Table 4. XRD analysis was made to determine which phases were present, and Rietveld calculations were used to provide a semi-quantitative analysis of the phases identified (see Table 5). The deposits on probe rings from WS experiments (wind side) and MSS experiments (lee side) contained too little material to admit analysis. The shift from lee-side deposits to a more differentiated deposit formation may indicate that MSS addition to WS could facilitate soot blowing.

Figure 1. Schematic image of the bench-scale bubbling fluidized-bed reactor. Fuel feed and sampling points are indicated in the image.

Table 2. Initial Defluidization Temperatures (IDFs) for Fuels and Mixtures

| fuels        | IDF (°C) |
|--------------|----------|
| WS           | 750      |
| WS + MSS (co-p) | 960      |
| WS + MSS (sfp) | 970      |
| MSS          | >1050    |

“Fuel mixtures are abbreviated as co-p (co-pelletized) and sfp (separate fuel particles).

Table 3. Compounds Identified in Large Bed Ash Particles

| compound                                                | w/w, % |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Ca₉K₂Mg(PO₄)₇                                          | 18     |
| K₂SO₄                                                  | 6      |
| KAl₂Si₂O₆                                              | 20     |
| KAl₅Si₃O₁₄                                             | 3      |
| Ca₈₂Na₂₇Al₅Si₇O₂₈                                        | 7      |
| SiO₂                                                   | 28     |
| Fe₂O₃                                                   | 18     |

“Compounds formed in large bed ash particles (>1 mm) during combustion of co-pelletized WS and MSS. Results from the semi-quantitative Rietveld analysis are provided as w/w, %.

Figure 2. Elemental composition of bed agglomerate necks (WS) and bed ash particles (all fuels). Si was omitted because of the inclusion of bed material.
The coarse (>1 μm) and fine (<1 μm) mode fractions of particulate matter were submitted to subsequent analyses in SEM–EDS and XRD. Results are shown in Figures 8 and 9 and Table 6. In some cases, the amount of sample was too small to admit XRD analysis, which is marked as NA in Table 6, and it is the reason why the MSS fine mode fraction was not analyzed using SEM–EDS.

■ DISCUSSION

The agglomeration tendencies of WS are greatly reduced by co-combustion with MSS (see Table 2). This suggests that even if MSS has a lower heating value than that of WS, it may be beneficial to use it for co-combustion with WS or other problematic fuels in fluidized-bed boilers because a higher system temperature could be employed. The distribution of ash-forming elements on a macro- and microscale influences ash chemistry in ways that can be considered decisive for the reactivity because this distribution affects reaction rates and, thereby, the possibility of reaching chemical equilibrium. When the different approaches are compared to co-combustion, i.e., co-pelletizing or co-firing of separate fuel particles, it can be seen that there is no significant difference in the initial defluidization temperature.

The classification system for bed agglomeration mechanisms suggested29,30 and further developed in the literature8,31 can be applied in this study using results from SEM–EDS images of bed material. Bed agglomeration during WS combustion occurs through potassium silicate formation by reaction of potassium in a gaseous or aerosol phase with silicon introduced with the fuel. This reaction yields low-temperature melting potassium silicates that act as an adhesive between bed grains, which promotes agglomeration. The interaction between bed grains and alkali introduced is limited in this case, in agreement with the literature8,25 therefore, the agglomeration mechanisms can be classified as direct adhesion of bed particles by partly molten-ash-derived potassium silicate particles/droplets.8 For MSS, there were no agglomerates formed during combustion and the quartz bed grains exhibited almost no interaction with the fuel ash even after the agglomeration test. For the two fuel mixtures, there was a slight difference: the co-pelletized fuel was more similar to MSS (scarce outer layer coatings dominated and agglomerate necks), while the separate fuel particles formed agglomerate necks similar to those found in WS with both an inner and outer reaction layer on bed grains at the formed agglomerates. This difference is likely due to physical restraints affecting reaction rates, where ash-forming elements in the co-pelletized fuel have a short distance to travel before they can interact. Bed ash particles sticking together when their melting temperature is reached is therefore responsible for bed agglomeration. In the case of separate fuel particles, the ash-forming elements from WS pellets may have time to interact with bed grains before ash from a MSS pellet is close enough to mitigate this interaction. Once an inner reaction layer has formed on quartz bed grains, it is likely that an outer reaction layer containing Ca will form.

The large variation in elemental analysis data for bed agglomerates and bed material in Figure 2 shows that the bed ash particles were heterogeneous, where some of the ash formed when co-firing as separate fuel particles behaved similarly to WS, something that was not observed for the co-pelletized fuel. The bed ash formed for co-pelletized fuel seems to have a slightly higher content of phosphorus, calcium, and iron than for the co-fired mixture. This difference is not large when including area analysis in the data; using spot analysis, this correlation seemed to exist.
This suggests that the method of fuel mixing does affect the reactivity of the fuel ash regarding the ash chemistry. Even though bed ash particles did eventually melt and act as an adhesive for bed grains to form agglomerates that defluidized the bed, this occurred at a considerably higher bed temperature than for WS. This may be related to several components in the fuel ash, where phosphorus, sulfur, and aluminosilicates specifically have been suggested to improve bed performance.\textsuperscript{8,16,32} XRD analysis of large bed ash particles from the co-pelletized fuel suggests that alkali does bond in phosphates, sulfates, and aluminosilicates, while SiO\textsubscript{2}, found as quartz, does not react with alkali to any large extent when more reactive anions are present (see Table 3). The phosphate Ca\textsubscript{9}KMg(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{7} identified in the large ash particles has a structure that allows it to substitute both Ca, K, and Mg for other elements, including iron and sodium. This compound likely contributes to the increased defluidization temperatures for the two fuel mixtures. The presence of K\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} in bed ash particles shows that the large amount of sulfur introduced with MSS also contributes significantly to improving the ash characteristics. Additionally, the feldspars found are likely to also contribute to a higher defluidization temperature in the fuel mixtures.

Deposit formation rates are significantly lower for MSS compared to WS, and MSS has almost no deposits forming on the lee side of the deposit probe. This is most likely connected to the small amount of fine particulate matter produced, as seen in the impactor curve (see Figure 7), which, in turn, may be related to the low alkali content in MSS. For the fuel mixtures, the co-pelletized fuel creates a noticeably smaller amount of deposits as well as total particulate matter compared to the separate fuel particles. This difference could be due to a higher degree of entrainment of coarse ash in the case of separate fuel particles, a notion that is supported by the similar levels of sub-micrometer particles for both co-pelletized and separate fuel particle

Table 4. Deposition Growth Rate and Total Particulate Matter Emissions\textsuperscript{a}

| fuels       | deposition growth (mg cm\textsuperscript{2} h\textsuperscript{-1}) | total particulate matter (mg/Nm\textsuperscript{3} at 10% O\textsubscript{2} dry) |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| WS          | 0.34                                                          | 323                                                                              |
| WS + MSS (co-p) | 0.17                                                       | 227                                                                              |
| WS + MSS (sfp) | 0.28                                                       | 334                                                                              |
| MSS         | 0.11                                                          | 285                                                                              |

\textsuperscript{a}Particulate matter emissions were measured after the flue gas passed through a cyclone with a 10 \mu m cut-off.
Table 5. Phases Identified with XRD from Cyclone Ash (Cycl), Deposit Probe Ring Wind Side (DPW), and Deposit Probe Ring Lee Side (DPL)\textsuperscript{a}

|                | WS          |            | WS + MSS (co-p) |            | WS + MSS (sfp) |            | MSS          |
|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
|                | cycl        | DPW        | cycl           | DPW        | cycl           | DPW        | cycl         | DPW         | DPL         | cycl         | DPW         | DPL         |
| Ca\(_5\)(PO\(_4\))\(_3\)OH | 19          | NA         | 15             |            | 14             |            | NA           |             |             |             |             |             |
| Ca\(_3\)(PO\(_4\))\(_2\)       | 19          | 11         | 9              |            | 9              |            | 9            |             |             |             |             |
| CaK\(_2\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\)        | 7           |            | 7              |            | 7              |            | 7            |             |             |             |             |
| CaSO\(_4\)                  | 11          | 15         | 5              |            | 4              |            | 3            |             |             |             |             |
| CaCO\(_3\)                  | 11          | 15         | 5              |            | 4              |            | 3            |             |             |             |             |
| K\(_2\)SO\(_4\)              | 45          | 23         | 45             | 11         | 35             | 52         | 60           | 39          | 61          | 50          | 8           |
| K\(_2\)Na(SO\(_4\))\(_2\)     | 11          |            | 11             |            | 11             |            | 11           |             |             |             |             |
| KCl                        | 35          | 65         | 35             | 11         | 28             | 40         | 28           | 17          | 30          | 50          | 7           |
| SiO\(_2\) (quartz)          | 1           | 3          | 3              |            | 3              |            | 3            |             |             |             |             |
| SiO\(_2\) (cristobalite)    | 1           | 3          | 3              |            | 3              |            | 3            |             |             |             |             |
| Ca\(_3\)Mg(SiO\(_4\))\(_2\)  | 4           |            | 4              |            | 4              |            | 4            |             |             |             |             |
| KAlSiO\(_4\)                | 11          |            | 11             |            | 11             |            | 11           |             |             |             |             |
| NaAlSiO\(_4\)               | 9           |            | 9              |            | 9              |            | 9            |             |             |             |             |
| K\(_2\)Al\(_2\)Si\(_2\)O\(_8\)\(_2\)H\(_2\)O | 23          |            | 23             |            | 23             |            | 23           |             |             |             |             |
| Na\(_2\)Al\(_2\)Si\(_4\)O\(_10\)\(_2\)H\(_2\)O | 5           |            | 5              |            | 5              |            | 5            |             |             |             |             |
| Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)              | 6           | 5          | 5              |            | 5              |            | 5            |             |             |             |             |

\textsuperscript{a}The probe ring wind side from WS experiments and the probe ring lee side from MSS experiments had too little material to admit analysis. Results from the semi-quantitative analysis are provided as w/w, %. Abbreviations used are co-p for the co-pelletized mixture and sfp for the separate fuel particles.

Figure 7. Particle mass size distribution in flue gas during combustion of the fuels and fuel mixtures normalized to 10% O\(_2\).

Figure 8. Elemental composition of the coarse mode (1−10 μm) fraction from impactor sampling shown as atomic % on a carbon- and oxygen-free basis.

Figure 9. Elemental composition of the fine mode fraction (<1 μm) from impactor sampling shown as atomic % on a carbon- and oxygen-free basis. MSS was omitted because the small amount of sample was insufficient for analysis.
of the fuel mixtures in this study, it is evident that sulfur fouling. While there is still some KCl formed during combustion, the amount of alkali chlorides is important to reduce alkali-related sulfate formation during co-combustion with MSS. Reducing the relative amount of alkali and chlorine decreases. Particulate matter formed during WS combustion mainly consists of KCl and some K2SO4 in the deposits. When co-combusting WS and MSS because of a shift in bed agglomeration temperature, but the effect that was not seen for the separate fuel particles.

### Table 6. Phases Identified with XRD in Impactor Coarse Mode (ICM) and Fine Mode (IFM)44

| Phase                     | WS | WS + MSS (co-p) | WS + MSS (sfp) | MSS |
|---------------------------|----|----------------|----------------|-----|
|                           | ICM | IFM            | ICM            | IFM | ICM | IFM |
| Ca3(PO4)2(OH)             | 17  | NA             | NA             |     |
| Ca3(PO4)2                 | 12  |                |                |     |
| CaSO4                     | 83  | 88             | 75             | 6   |
| K2SO4                     | 2   |                |                |     |
| K2Na(SO4)2                | 22  | 17             | 12             | 25  |
| KCl                       | 52  | 23             |                | 7   |
| SiO2 (quartz)             | 7   |                |                |     |
| SiO2 (cristobalite)       | 2   |                |                |     |
| Ca2Mg(SiO4)2              | 7   |                |                |     |
| KAlSi4O8                  | 4   |                |                |     |
| NaAlSi4O6                 | 12  |                |                |     |
| K2Al2Si3O12·6H2O          | 12  |                |                |     |
| Na3Al2Si3O10·2H2O         | 14  |                |                |     |
| Fe2O3                     | 32  |                |                |     |

"Fine mode from MSS experiments contained too little material to admit analysis. Results from the semi-quantitative analysis are provided as w/w, %. Abbreviations used are co-p for the co-pelletized mixture and (sfp for the separate fuel particles.

**CONCLUSION**

The results show that co-combustion of problematic straw fuels with MSS may improve the performance of such problematic fuels in fluidized beds. In particular, the following effects were observed: (1) Bed defluidization tendencies were greatly reduced when co-combusting WS and MSS because of a shift in bed agglomeration characteristics from the formation of potassium silicates in the fuel ash with low melting points to the formation of bed ash with a higher melting point. (2) Deposition buildup rates were improved during combustion of the co-pelletized fuel mixture, an effect that was not seen for the separate fuel particles. The amount of KCl on the lee side of deposit probe rings was reduced slightly by the introduction of MSS together with WS. (3) The amount of particulate matter emissions by WS was not reduced to any great extent by co-combustion; however, there was a strong shift from alkali chloride formation for WS toward alkali sulfate formation in the co-combustion experiments, which may decrease the risk of alkali-chloride-related corrosion. (4) Co-pelletizing and co-firing fuels may provide similar benefits for the bed agglomeration temperature, but the effects on ash chemistry are not quite the same, which can be seen in the presence or absence of agglomerate necks and bed-grain-coating layers, as well as changes in particulate matter amount and composition.
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