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Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

- n/a
- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
- Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F, t, r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted. Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection
Proteome Discover 1.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for protein identification using Sequest algorithms. From public datasets (TCGA, GSE17856, GSE27150) of liver cancer patient showing gene expression and matched clinical data, a subset of data showing gene expression corresponding to various stages of liver cancer including metastasis was generated.

Data analysis
Graph analyses were performed using Graph Pad Prism 8. NIH ImageJ were used for image analysis. Adobe Photoshop 2020 was used for image brightness and contrast adjustment.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:
- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All raw and processed data will be made available upon request.
Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

- [X] Life sciences
- [] Behavioural & social sciences
- [] Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

| Sample size                  | No sample size calculation was performed. The sample size was determined to be appropriate based on the magnitude and consistency of the measurable differences between the groups. |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Data exclusions              | The data were excluded only for failed experiments, because of the pre-established method and microbial contamination.                                                                                   |
| Replication                  | Three replication experiments were successful.                                                                                                                                                     |
| Randomization                | Animals were assigned randomly to experimental and control groups, and within animal controls were performed wherever possible.                                                              |
| Blinding                     | The investigators were not blinded during data collection. Data reported for mouse experiments are not subjective but based on quantitative and expert analysis.                                      |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

| Materials & experimental systems | Methods |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| n/a                             | n/a     |
| [X] Antibodies                  | [X] ChIP-seq |
| [X] Eukaryotic cell lines       | [X] Flow cytometry |
| [X] Palaeontology               | [ ] MRI-based neuroimaging |
| [X] Animals and other organisms |         |
| [ ] Human research participants |         |
| [ ] Clinical data               |         |

Antibodies

| Antibodies used | Supplementary Table 1 provided with manuscript contains information on all antibodies used in the study |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Validation      | Primary and secondary antibodies required of the proposed research were purchased from commercial sources (Abcam, Santa cruz biotechnology, Cell signaling, Sigma-Aldrich). Antibodies were profiled for use according to the published evidence in literatures, citation history, the quality validation data provided by vendors, user reviews and community ratings and antibody validation profile provided by Antibodypedia. We verified antibodies in our lab application using immunoblot and microscopy analyses based on standard validation criteria. Antibody effectiveness was determined by gene knockdown Eukaryotic cell lines experiments with positive and negative controls. |

Eukaryotic cell lines

| Policy information about cell lines | Cell line source(s) | Authentication |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
|                                     | All cell lines (Huh7, HepG2, Human or Mouse Primary Hepatocytes) used in the proposed study are not listed in the Database of Cross-contaminated or Mis-identified cell lines (ICLAC). Huh7 and HepG2 is a well differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma cell line (immortal cell line). | The cell lines for the proposed study are directly obtained from ATCC with authentication, and cultured following the provider’s instructions and maintained following the JCR’s cell culture protocol. To minimize potential genetic drift with cell passage, we will strictly follow good cell culture protocol and practice, such as returning to early passage frozen stocks rather than passaging a cell line for extended periods. However, genetic drift can occur in some cell lines even with good protocol and preparation. |
Mycoplasma contamination

The cell lines tested to rule out mycoplasma contamination in our laboratory using the MycoAlert Kit (Lonza) and at the USC/ Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center Bioreagent & Cell culture core (http://uscnorriscancer.usc.edu/Core/Bioreagent/Infor/aspx). To test if any contaminations have grown to be more evident when new cell stocks are prepared or checked during passages periodically, the identity of the cell lines be authenticated by short tandem repeat DNA profiling analysis based on the ATCC's Cell line Authentication standards (ASN-0002).

Commonly misidentified lines

(See ICLAC register)

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used.

Palaeontology

Specimen provenance

Provide provenance information for specimens and describe permits that were obtained for the work (including the name of the issuing authority, the date of issue, and any identifying information).

Specimen deposition

Indicate where the specimens have been deposited to permit free access by other researchers.

Dating methods

If new dates are provided, describe how they were obtained (e.g. collection, storage, sample pretreatment and measurement), where they were obtained (i.e. lab name), the calibration program and the protocol for quality assurance OR state that no new dates are provided.

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals

Mouse strains are obtained from the Jackson Laboratory and Dr. Ratna Ray of Saint Louis University (NSSA transgenic mice; Genotyping be performed for preliminary studies)

Wild animals

No wild animals were used in this study.

Field-collected samples

No field-collected samples were used in this study.

Ethics oversight

The mouse work was performed under the study protocol, as approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, gender, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write “See above.”

Recruitment

Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.

Ethics oversight

Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Clinical data

Policy information about clinical studies

All manuscripts should comply with the ICMJE guidelines for publication of clinical research and a completed CONSORT checklist must be included with all submissions.

Clinical trial registration

Provide the trial registration number from ClinicalTrials.gov or an equivalent agency.

Study protocol

Note where the full trial protocol can be accessed OR if not available, explain why.

Data collection

Describe the settings and locales of data collection, noting the time periods of recruitment and data collection.

Outcomes

Describe how you pre-defined primary and secondary outcome measures and how you assessed these measures.
ChIP-seq

Data deposition

☐ Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

☐ Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links
May remain private before publication.
For “Initial submission” or “Revised version” documents, provide reviewer access links. For your “Final submission” document, provide a link to the deposited data.

Files in database submission
Provide a list of all files available in the database submission.

Genome browser session
Provide a link to an anonymized genome browser session for “Initial submission” and “Revised version” documents only, to enable peer review. Write “no longer applicable” for “Final submission” documents.

Methodology

Replicates
Describe the experimental replicates, specifying number, type and replicate agreement.

Sequencing depth
Describe the sequencing depth for each experiment, providing the total number of reads, uniquely mapped reads, length of reads and whether they were paired- or single-end.

Antibodies
Describe the antibodies used for the ChIP-seq experiments; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.

Peak calling parameters
Specify the command line program and parameters used for read mapping and peak calling, including the ChIP, control and index files used.

Data quality
Describe the methods used to ensure data quality in full detail, including how many peaks are at FDR 5% and above 5-fold enrichment.

Software
Describe the software used to collect and analyze the ChIP-seq data. For custom code that has been deposited into a community repository, provide accession details.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:
☒ The axis labels state the marker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).
☒ The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a ‘group’ is an analysis of identical markers).
☒ All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.
☒ A numerical value for number of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.

Methodology

Sample preparation
Main manuscript method section and supplementary detail method section contains information on all protocol used in the study. After 48 hrs post-transfection, cells were harvested with cold PBS.

Instrument
FACS Aria (BD Bioscience) equipped with 405 nm, 488 nm and 633 nm lasers.

Software
Flow cytometry data analyzed using FlowJo(v10).

Cell population abundance
0.5 million transfected cells were sorted per each sample. Purity was assessed by fluorescence protein expression for CFP (Cyan Fluorescent Protein) and YFP (Yellow Fluorescent Protein).

Gating strategy
We gated on living cells according to forward and sideward scatter (FSC/SSC) and compensation for CFP and YFP to specifically assess FRET in double positive cells. When excited at 405nm, YFP exhibited some emission in the FRET-channel. So, we introduced additional gate to exclude cells from a false-positive signal due to YFP only being excited at 405nm. Also, we plotted FRET vs CFP and introduced gate to determine the amount of FRET-positive cell. This gating strategy directly visualizes the sensitized acceptor emission arising from excitation of the CFP donor at 405nm.

☒ Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.
# Magnetic resonance imaging

## Experimental design

### Design type

> Indicate task or resting state; event-related or block design.

### Design specifications

> Specify the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subject, and specify the length of each trial or block (if trials are blocked) and interval between trials.

### Behavioral performance measures

> State number and/or type of variables recorded (e.g. correct button press, response time) and what statistics were used to establish that the subjects were performing the task as expected (e.g. mean, range, and/or standard deviation across subjects).

## Acquisition

### Imaging type(s)

> Specify: functional, structural, diffusion, perfusion.

### Field strength

> Specify in Tesla

### Sequence & imaging parameters

> Specify the pulse sequence type (gradient echo, spin echo, etc.), imaging type (EPI, spiral, etc.), field of view, matrix size, slice thickness, orientation and TE/TR/flip angle.

### Area of acquisition

> State whether a whole brain scan was used OR define the area of acquisition, describing how the region was determined.

| Diffusion MRI | Used | Not used |
|---------------|------|----------|
|               |      |          |

## Preprocessing

### Preprocessing software

> Provide detail on software version and revision number and on specific parameters (model/functions, brain extraction, segmentation, smoothing kernel size, etc.).

### Normalization

> If data were normalized/standardized, describe the approach(es): specify linear or non-linear and define image types used for transformation OR indicate that data were not normalized and explain rationale for lack of normalization.

### Normalization template

> Describe the template used for normalization/ transformation, specifying subject space or group standardized space (e.g. original Talairach, MNI305, ICBM152) OR indicate that the data were not normalized.

### Noise and artifact removal

> Describe your procedure(s) for artifact and structured noise removal, specifying motion parameters, tissue signals and physiological signals (heart rate, respiration).

### Volume censoring

> Define your software and/or method and criteria for volume censoring, and state the extent of such censoring.

## Statistical modeling & inference

### Model type and settings

> Specify type (mass univariate, multivariate, RSA, predictive, etc.) and describe essential details of the model at the first and second levels (e.g. fixed, random or mixed effects; drift or auto-correlation).

### Effect(s) tested

> Define precise effect in terms of the task or stimulus conditions instead of psychological concepts and indicate whether ANOVA or factorial designs were used.

### Specify type of analysis:

| Whole brain | ROI-based | Both |
|-------------|-----------|------|
|             |           |      |

### Statistic type for inference

(See Eklund et al. 2016)

> Specify voxel-wise or cluster-wise and report all relevant parameters for cluster-wise methods.

### Correction

> Describe the type of correction and how it is obtained for multiple comparisons (e.g. FWE, FDR, permutation or Monte Carlo).

## Models & analysis

### n/a

| Involved in the study |
|-----------------------|
| Functional and/or effective connectivity |
| Graph analysis |
| Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis |

### Functional and/or effective connectivity

> Report the measures of dependence used and the model details (e.g. Pearson correlation, partial correlation, mutual information).

### Graph analysis

> Report the dependent variable and connectivity measure, specifying weighted graph or binarized graph, subject- or group-level, and the global and/or node summaries used (e.g. clustering coefficient, efficiency, etc.).
Multivariate modeling and predictive analysis

Specify independent variables, features extraction and dimension reduction, model, training and evaluation metrics.