Epigenetic dysregulation contributes to bladder cancer tumorigenesis. H3K36me2 demethylase KDM2A functions as an important epigenetic regulator of cell fate in many types of tumors. However, its role in bladder cancer remains unknown. Here, we revealed a positive correlation between KDM2A gene copy number gain and upregulation of KDM2A mRNA expression in bladder cancer. Moreover, a super-enhancer (SE) driving KDM2A transcription was found in high-grade bladder cancer, resulting in a significantly higher expression of KDM2A mRNA compared to that in low-grade bladder tumors. KDM2A knockdown (KD) decreased the proliferation, invasion, and spheroid formation of high-grade bladder cancer cells and inhibited tumor growth in mouse xenograft models. Furthermore, we identified RARRES3 as a key KDM2A target gene. KDM2A suppresses RARRES3 expression via demethylation of H3K36me2 in the RARRES3 promoter. Intriguingly, RARRES3 KD attenuated the inhibitory effects of KDM2A depletion on the malignant phenotypes of high-grade bladder cancer cells. The combination of the KDM2A inhibitor IOX1 and the RARRES3 agonist all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) synergistically inhibited the proliferation of high-grade bladder cancer cells, suggesting that the KDM2A/RARRES3 axis may be a promising therapeutic target for the treatment of high-grade bladder cancer.

**INTRODUCTION**

Until recently, little progress had been made in the treatment of bladder cancer. Unfortunately, the five year survival rate has remained unchanged for 30 years [1]. Approximately 75% of newly diagnosed bladder cancer patients have non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC), including stage Ta, stage T1, and carcinoma in situ (CIS) [2]. High-grade bladder cancer is an extremely aggressive malignancy that is associated with high morbidity and mortality rates [3]. Approximately 69–80% of high-grade patients relapse and have a 33–48% chance of progression to muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) [4, 5]. Understanding the molecular features of high-grade bladder cancer may improve biomarkers for risk stratification and treatment effectiveness.

The deregulation of histone modifications has emerged as an important mechanism in bladder carcinogenesis. Whole-exome sequencing demonstrated that the mutation frequency of chromatin remodeling genes in bladder cancer was more than 10%, including KDM6A, MLL2 (also known as KMT2D), CREBBP, EP300, and ARID1A [6]. KDM6A acts as a tumor suppressor by demethylating histone H3 lysine 27 (H3K27) and opening chromatin configuration [7]. Mutation of KDM6A confers bladder tumors with certain competitive advantages that drive cancer cells to colonize larger regions of the urothelium [8]. A recent study showed that MLL2, which encodes a histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase, was amplified at a rate of 27% in bladder cancer [6]. Moreover, mutations in MLL2 and KDM6A were mutually exclusive, indicating that mutations in the two genes have redundant downstream effects on carcinogenesis, or that the combined loss is synthetically lethal [9]. Nonetheless, predicting the progression of high-grade bladder cancer via histone modifier mutations remains a challenge.

Lysine demethylase 2 A (KDM2A), also known as FBXL11 and JHDM1A, is highly expressed in ovarian [10], pancreatic [11], colorectal [12], breast [13], and gastric cancer [14]. As a Jumonji-C domain-containing histone demethylase, KDM2A is associated with inactively transcribed genes by demethylating the dimethylated H3K36 (H3K36me2) residue; however, it exerts little or no activity on monomethylated and trimethylated H3K36 residues [15]. H3K36me2 is enriched in both intergenic regions and gene bodies and plays an intriguing epigenetic regulatory role in cell proliferation [16], differentiation [17, 18], and apoptosis [19]. Abnormal KDM2A expression is associated with tumorigenesis [20], metastasis [21], chemotherapy resistance [22], and poor prognosis [20]. Studies have demonstrated that mild glucose starvation promotes KDM2A-induced demethylation of H3K36me2, which decreases rRNA transcription and proliferation via the AMPK signaling pathway in breast cancer [13]. KDM2A reverses epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) by regulating the PI3K signaling pathway to promote ovarian cancer progression [10]. However, the function of KDM2A in bladder cancer remains largely unknown.

Here, we report a positive correlation between the increase in KDM2A expression and gain in gene copy number in bladder cancer. H3K27ac ChIP-seq analysis revealed a specific super-
enhancer (SE) at the KDM2A locus in high-grade bladder cancer. Moreover, we included the data of KDM2A knockdown (KD) on proliferation, invasion, spheroid formation, tumorigenesis, and mRNA expression to characterize the functions of KDM2A in high-grade bladder cancer. This study identified several key targets of KDM2A, including RARES3, which has not been previously reported in high-grade bladder cancer. The study also identified the KDM2A/RARES3 axis as a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of high-grade bladder cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

Human bladder cancer line UMUC3 was cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Gibco, Cat. No.12430104), S37, RT4 and MGHU were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco, Cat. No.22400105). All media were supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biological Industries) and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Cat. No.15140-122). Cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO2. Patient specimens were collected from the Department of Urological Surgery at the Affiliated Luohu Hospital of Shenzhen University. Specimens were examined by a pathologist to verify tumor types and grades. All procedures in the patients were approved by the ethics committee of the Affiliated Luohu Hospital of Shenzhen University.

Constructs of shRNA and cell transfection

The shRNA sequences against target genes were designed and cloned into the pLKO-puro lentiviral vector. The sequence of KDM2A shRNA (shKDM2A-1: 5′-ATGCCAGCGTTCGCTTGCCTCATAA-3′; shKDM2A-2: 5′-GCTTACTCCACCCGCTGATAA-3′) and sequence of RARES3 shRNA (5′-CACCGGAACAGACTCTTGAAT-3′) were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) and cloned into the lentiviral vector pLKO-puro (Addgene, 8453). To generate lentiviral particles, the constructed shRNA expression plasmid was co-transfected with packaging plasmids pVSVG and psPAX2 into human embryonic kidney 293 T cells using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Cat. No.11668019). After 48 h, the viral supernatant was collected. UMUC3 and S637 cells were infected with the obtained lentiviruses. Then, the infected cells were treated with the medium containing 2 mg/L puromycin (Solarbio, Cat. No. P8230) for 3 days.

Animal studies

Male BALB/c nude mice (18 ± 2 g, 4–6 weeks) were purchased from the Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University (China). All animal experiments were conducted under the guidance of the Ethical Committee of The Affiliated Luohu Hospital of Shenzhen University. The mice were randomly divided into 3 groups and subcutaneously injected with ShC, shKDM2A-1 and shKDM2A-2. UMUC3 cells (1 × 106 cells per mouse, and 6 mice per group). As for metastasis model, cells were injected into the nude mice via tail vein (2 × 106 cells per mouse, and 6 mice per group). Tumor growth was monitored every 3 days by measuring the width (W) and length (L) with calipers. The volume (V) of the tumor was calculated using the formula V = (W2 × L) / 2.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation ability was evaluated using the CellTiter-Glo+ luminescent cell Viability Assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In short, the transfected bladder cancer cells were seeded in 96-well plates (Fisher Scientific) at 3000 cells/well densities. The cell numbers were measured at 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h, respectively.

Assessing drug synergism

IOX1 (Cat. No. HY-12304) and all-trans-Retinoic acid (ATRA, Cat. No. HY-14649) were purchased from MedChemExpress (MCE). To test the combinatorial effects of IOX1 and ATRA, the cells were seeded into 96-well plates and then subjected to the drug at indicated concentration for 48 h. Combination index (CI) was calculated by CalcuSyn software (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK). CI less than 1.0 is considered as synergistic effect.

Transwell assay

Cell invasion assays were performed in 24-well Transwell cell culture chambers pre-coated with matrigel basement membrane gel (Corning, Cat. No. 354234). DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) were added to the lower chambers. Meanwhile, 50,000 cells were seeded into the upper chamber with 300 μl culture medium without serum. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, the cells in upper chamber was fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and stained with 0.1% crystal violet for another 20 min at room temperature. The invading cells were observed and counted under an optical microscope (magnification, ×100).

Total RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated from cells using TRIzol reagent (Cat. No. 15956026; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and quantified using NanoDrop 2000 according to the manufacturer’s protocol. HiScript II Q RT SuperMix kits (Cat. No. R223-01, Vazyme) were used for the reverse-transcription reactions. Primers for qPCR were as follows: KDM2A, forward primer (5′-CAACACGCTACTCAAGGCT-3′) and reverse primer (5′-TGCGGAGGGAATTTAAAG-3′); RARES3, forward primer (5′-GAGCAAGACGTGAGCCT-3′) and reverse primer (5′-TGGCTGCTTCTACTGTT-3′) and GAPDH, forward primer (5′-ACCAAGCTCATGCTAC-3′) and reverse primer (5′-CAACACCCCTTGTCGTT-3′). qPCR was carried out using ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Cat. No. Q711-02, Vazyme) on a Life Quant Studio 6 Real-time PCR system.

Apoptosis and cell cycle assay

For cell cycle analysis, UMUC3 and S637 cells were collected and fixed with 3 mL 70% ethanol at −20°C. The cells were stained with 1 mL cell cycle staining solution (MULTI SCIENCES, Cat. No.70-CCS012) for 30 min on the ice in the dark. The fluorescence intensities of propidium iodide (PI) were recorded using a flow cytometer. For cell apoptosis analysis, cells were incubated with annexin V-APC and 7-AAD (Annexin V-APC/7-AAD apoptosis kit, MULTI SCIENCES, Cat. No.70-AP105-100). Flow cytometry assay was performed using BD LSFortessa (BD Biosciences, CA, USA).

Spheroid formation assay

The cells were digested into single-cell suspensions. 1000 cells were plated into ultra-low attachment 96-well plates (Corning) in DMEM/F12 medium with 10 ng/mL Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF, PeproTech, Cat. No. AF-100-15-500), 10 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, PeproTech, Cat. No. AF-100-188-100) and B27 supplement (Invitrogen, Cat. No.17504-044) for 7 days.

RNA-seq and data analysis

RNA-seq was performed as previously described. Trim_galore and Fastqc were used for filtering raw sequencing reads [23]. Sequencing reads were aligned to the UCSC hg19 human genome reference via hisat2. High quality and uniquely aligned reads were counted at gene regions using the package Gtfold based on Gencode Human GRCh37 annotations. Differential gene expression analysis between different groups was performed using the R/Bioconductor package DESeq with contrast adjustment for multiple groups comparison. Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were done using R/Bioconductor package clusterProfiler and cytoscape. Heatmaps were drawn using the R package Pheatmap and ggplot2.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP)-seq and ChiP-qPCR

ChiP using 2 × 106 to 10 × 106 cross-linked cells were performed as previously described [24]. The following antibodies were used for ChiP: rabbit anti-KDM2A (Novus Biologicals, Cat. No. NB100-74602), rabbit anti-H3K36me2 (Abcam, Cat. No. ab176921) and Rabbit IgG (Abcam/Cat. No. ab172730). The primers used for ChiP-qPCR are as follow: RARES3-1, forward primer (5′-GCGGCGCGCTTTAAGAGTT-3′) and reverse primer (5′-TCACTTGCGGCT-GAGTAC-3′), RARES3-2, forward primer (5′-CAGCGGAAGACCTCTTGTA-3′) and reverse primer (5′-GATGGTCGTTGGTGTTGGCA-3′), RARES3-3, forward primer (5′-AAAATGGGCACTCAAGGCT-3′) and reverse primer (5′-TGCTTCTGACGGACTCT-3′).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated at least three times and the data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used for comparisons between the two groups. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni’s test was used for multiple comparisons. All the charts were drawn by GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.). Differences were considered statistically significant at two-tailed P < 0.05 (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
RESULTS

KDM2A gene copy number gain contributes to KDM2A mRNA up-regulation in bladder cancer

We analyzed genetic variations in KDM2A in 1916 bladder cancer cases retrieved from six studies (476 cases from Memorial Sloan Kettering [MSK]/The Cancer Gene Atlas [TCGA], 2020; 413 cases from TCGA, Cell 2017; 131 cases from TCGA, Nature 2014; 413 cases from TCGA, Firehose Legacy; 411 cases from TCGA, PanCancer Atlas; and 72 cases from Cornell/Trento, Nat Gen 2016). A total of 5% of bladder cancer patients have KDM2A mutations which are frequently amplified (Fig. 1A, B). In addition, there was a positive correlation between KDM2A mRNA expression and KDM2A gene copy number gain in patients with bladder cancer (Fig. 1C). Notably, the expression level of KDM2A in patients with amplification and gain was significantly higher than that in patients with shallow deletion and diploid (Fig. 1D). Additionally, we analyzed TCGA bladder cancer datasets and found that the proportion of KDM2A amplification and gain alteration in high-grade bladder cancer was significantly higher than that in low-grade bladder cancer (Fig. 1E).

High expression level of KDM2A in high-grade bladder cancer is due to SE formation

Next, we found that the expression of KDM2A in primary and recurrent bladder cancer was significantly higher than that in parancancerous tissues (Fig. 2A). Intriguingly, high-grade bladder cancer showed higher KDM2A expression than low-grade bladder cancer (Fig. 2B, C).

Emerging evidence indicates that cancer cells hijack SEs to drive oncogenic expression [25]. To identify SEs in bladder cancer, we performed H3K27ac ChIP-seq assay and ranked all putative SEs by H3K27ac enrichment in low-grade (MGHU3 and RT4) and high-grade bladder cancer cell lines (UMUC3 and 5637) (Fig. 2D). Although SEs were heterogeneous among bladder cancer cell lines, we identified 105 high-grade and 164 low-grade bladder cancer-specific SEs. Importantly, the KDM2A locus is found driven the SE in both high-grade bladder cancer cell lines (Fig. 2E). Moreover, we confirmed the formation of SE at the KDM2A locus in high-grade bladder cancer in surgical specimens from patients with bladder cancer (Fig. 2F). Furthermore, the expression of 105 high-grade specific SE-driven genes was ranked, and KDM2A was found to be the most significantly upregulated (Fig. 2G). These findings indicate that DNA mutations may mediate oncogenic SE formation, triggering KDM2A expression and bladder cancer progression.

KDM2A is essential for malignant phenotypes of high-grade bladder cancer cells

To investigate the effects of KDM2A depletion in high-grade bladder cancer cells, we stably silenced KDM2A in high-grade bladder cancer cell lines (UMUC3 and 5637) using two specific shRNAs (Supplementary Fig. 1A, B). KDM2A KD significantly inhibited the proliferation of both UMUC3 and 5637 cells (Fig. 3A). It also resulted in an increase in early apoptotic cells and G0/G1 phase cell cycle arrest in these cell types (Fig. 3B, C).

To test the effects of KDM2A depletion on the malignant phenotypes of high-grade bladder cancer cells, spheroid formation...
and invasion assays were performed in KDM2A KD and control UMUC3 and 5637 cells. Cells expressing shKDM2A showed a significantly reduced sphere-forming propensity (Fig. 3D) and invasive activity (Fig. 3E). Moreover, we demonstrated that depletion of KDM2A resulted in a significant decrease in tumor size compared to that in the vehicle control (Fig. 3F). We also constructed KDM2A knockout (KO) UMUC3 cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 1C), and examined the metastatic capacity of KDM2A KO UMUC3 cells in the mice model. We found that KO of KDM2A significantly suppressed the metastasis of UMUC3 cells in vivo compared with sgControl (Fig. 3G). In addition, KO of KDM2A increased the expression of MET markers, including CDH1 and SIM2, but decreased the expression of EMT marker genes (Supplementary Fig. 1D). Taken together, these results suggested that KDM2A KD significantly reduced high-grade bladder cancer cell proliferation and metastasis.

**KDM2A binds and modulates H3K36me2 at the RARRES3 locus**

To identify the genes directly controlled by KDM2A, we performed KDM2A ChIP-seq experiments and sought to characterize the genome-wide occupancy of KDM2A in TCGA. Enhancers were ranked by increasing H3K27ac signal in high-grade (UMUC3, 5637) and low-grade (MGHU3, RT4) bladder cancer cells. The population above the inflection point of the curve are defined as SEs. The number of SEs and examples of genes associated with SEs are shown for each sample. Venn diagrams depicting the integrative analysis of SE-associated genes between the high-grade and low-grade bladder cancer cells. F H3K27ac ChIP-seq peaks at the KDM2A loci in bladder cancer cells and samples. G Heatmap depicts the expression of 105 SE-associated genes specific to high-grade in bladder cancer samples (GSE59483). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 is based on the Student’s t test. All results are from more than three independent experiments. Values are mean ± SD.

**Fig. 2** High-expression level of KDM2A was related with H3K27ac enrichment in high-grade bladder cancer. A Analysis of KDM2A expression level in bladder cancer tissues and normal bladder tissues in GEO database (GSE13507). B Analysis of KDM2A expression level in high-grade and low-grade bladder cancer in GEO database (GSE59483). C Compared with low-grade bladder cancer, 71% of patients with high-grade bladder cancer had upregulated expression of KDM2A in TCGA. D Enhancers were ranked by increasing H3K27ac signal in high-grade (UMUC3, 5637) and low-grade (MGHU3, RT4) bladder cancer cells. The population above the inflection point of the curve are defined as SEs. The number of SEs and examples of genes associated with SEs are shown for each sample. E Venn diagrams depicting the integrative analysis of SE-associated genes between the high-grade and low-grade bladder cancer cells. F H3K27ac ChIP-seq peaks at the KDM2A loci in bladder cancer cells and samples. G Heatmap depicts the expression of 105 SE-associated genes specific to high-grade in bladder cancer samples (GSE59483). *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 is based on the Student’s t test. All results are from more than three independent experiments. Values are mean ± SD.
**Fig. 3**  KDM2A KD suppressed bladder cancer cell proliferation and migration. **A** Cell viability assays to investigate the proliferation ability of UMUC3 and 5637 cells that stably transduced with non-targeting scrambled control shRNA (shCtrl) or two KDM2A shRNAs (shKDM2A-1 and shKDM2A-2). **B, C** Flow cytometry analysis of early apoptosis staining by annexin V and PI and cell cycle staining by PI in UMUC3 and 5637 cells upon KDM2A KD. **D** Sphere-forming assay was performed on UMUC3 cells following KDM2A KD. The sphere size was measured on day seven. **E** Invasion assay was performed to assess UMUC3 and 5637 cells upon KDM2A KD with two different shRNA targets. Cell invasion was assessed by counting the number of migrating cells after 24 h. **F** KDM2A KD in UMUC3 cells were subcutaneously injected into BALB/c nude mice (n = 6 per group). Left: images of tumor tissue in the control and KDM2A KD groups (day 21). Right: the volumes of xenograft tumors of nude mice derived from subcutaneous implantation of UMUC3 cell lines. **G** Bioluminescence in vivo imaging showing the metastases of nude mice (n = 6 per group) injected (i.v.) with KDM2A KO or control UMUC3 cells. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 is based on the Student’s t test. All results are from more than three independent experiments. Values are mean ± SD.
be enriched in wound healing and DNA replication (Supplementary Fig. 2C).

To characterize the regulatory potential imposed by KDM2A, we sought to integrate KDM2A binding loci and RNA-seq data of KDM2A KD and control UMUC3 cells. From the KDM2A activating/repressive function prediction results, the upregulated KDM2A binding genes had a higher regulatory potential score than the downregulated and unregulated genes (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, depletion of KDM2A affected the expression of KDM2A binding genes associated with GO terms linked to cytokine activity (Fig. 4D) and extracellular matrix structural constituents (Supplementary Fig. 2D). Ranking the change multiples of the significantly upregulated cytokine activity genes (Fig. 4E), we identified the tumor suppressor retinoic acid receptor responder 3 (RARRES3) as...
one of the critical targets of KDM2A (Fig. 4F). Importantly, RARRES3 expression negatively correlated with the mRNA expression of KDM2A in bladder cancer (Supplementary Fig. 2E). In addition, we collected the tumor tissues from 4 cases of high-grade and 4 cases of low-grade bladder cancer patients (Supplementary Fig. 2F). The protein level of KDM2A in high-grade bladder cancer was higher than that in low-grade bladder cancer. Contrastingly, the protein level of RARRES3 in high-grade bladder cancer was significantly lower than that in low-grade bladder cancer (Fig. 4G).

KDM2A plays an intriguing epigenetic regulatory role and catalyzes the demethylation of H3K36me2 [15]. Thus, we performed H3K36me2 ChIP-qPCR to characterize H3K36me2
modification at the RARRES3 promoter in KDM2A KD and control UMUC3 cells. KDM2A silencing significantly increased H3K36me2 modification at the RARRES3 locus in high-grade bladder cancer cells (Fig. 4H). The KDM2A ChIP-qPCR assays results showed the enrichment of KDM2A at promoters of the target genes, suggesting the recruitment of KDM2A on these genomic sites (Supplementary Fig. 2G). Moreover, four transcriptional factors (EP300, FOS, FOSL1, and JUN) were predicated as RARRES3 transcriptional regulator (https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/fimo). ChIP-qPCR assays showed that KD of KDM2A increased
Fig. 5D), and KD (Fig. 5E). We detected the expression of EMT related genes ability that was restored to the normal control by further KDM2A KD cells dramatically recovered after silencing activator) can reverse this regulatory process. The tumor suppressor RARRES3, thus downregulating mRNA levels. The SEs formation in high-grade bladder cancer. Frequent upregulation of KDM2A was frequently ampliﬁed and implicated in oncogenic driver genes via ampliﬁcation most likely contributes to copy number gain [26, 27]. Consistently, ATRA increased RARRES3 expression in bladder cancer cells (Supplementary Fig. 4A). However, the efﬁcacy of the KDM2A inhibitors IOX1 and ATRA in the treatment of high-grade bladder cancer cells is limited (Supplementary Fig. 4B). As a result, we investigated whether cell proliferation could be disrupted by the combination of IOX1 and ATRA. Surprisingly, KDM2A inhibition, together with ATRA, synergistically reduced the viability of high-grade bladder cancer cells (Fig. 6A, B). Moreover, combination signiﬁcantly promoted the cell early apoptosis (Fig. 6C) and suppressed sphere formation (Fig. 6D) in UMUC3 cells compared with IOX or ATRA treatment alone. The combination treatment signiﬁcantly decreased the migration (Supplementary Fig. 4C) and invasion (Fig. 6E) of UMUC3 cells in vitro, compared with IOX or ATRA treatment alone. In addition, among all treatment groups, the combined treatment group is the most effective in inhibiting UMUC3 cell growth and metastasis in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 4D, Fig. 6F). Our ﬁndings support the notion that KDM2A blockade combined with ATRA treatment may be a promising therapeutic strategy for high-grade bladder cancer.

Suppression of RARRES3 attenuates the inhibitory effects of KDM2A depletion on malignant phenotypes of high-grade bladder cancer cells

By analyzing the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset, we found that the expression of RARRES3 in primary and recurrent bladder cancer was lower than that in the normal bladder mucosa and paracancerous tissues (Fig. 5A). To investigate the role of RARRES3 in the phenotypes of bladder cancer cells regulated by KDM2A, we subcutaneously injected the shCtrl and shRARRES3 UMUC3 cells into nude mice. There was no signiﬁcant difference in tumor growth between shCtrl and shRARRES3 groups (Supplementary Fig. 3A, B). Then, we generated KDM2A/RARRES3 double-KD UMUC3 and 5637 cells (Supplementary Fig. 3C). KDM2A silencing-induced cell growth inhibition was partially abrogated in the absence of RARRES3 (Fig. 5B). In addition, a decrease in the proportion of early apoptotic cells was associated with RARRES3 deﬁciency (Fig. 5C). Moreover, the sphere formation ability of KDM2A KD cells dramatically recovered after silencing RARRES3 (Fig. 5D), and KDM2A depletion induced a decrease in invasive ability that was restored to the normal control by further RARRES3 KD (Fig. 5E). We detected the expression of EMT related genes (Supplementary Fig. 3D) and KDM2A targeted genes (related to revised Supplementary Fig. 3E) in KDM2A/RARRES3 double mutants. The results indicate that the change of gene expression caused by KD of KDM2A can be partially restored by KD RARRES3. These ﬁndings suggested that KDM2A facilitates bladder cancer cell proliferation and invasion by inhibiting RARRES3 expression.

DISCUSSION

In summary, we provide novel evidence for the role of SE-driven KDM2A in modulating high-grade bladder cancer malignant phenotypes. In addition, we found that KDM2A inhibits RARRES3 expression by demethylating H3K36me2 at the RARRES3 promoter. Targeting the KDM2A/RARRES3 axis may be a promising therapeutic strategy for high-grade bladder cancer (Fig. 7).

SEs are large enrichments of enhancers that bind high densities of transcriptional components, such as CBP/P300 and MED1, and histone modiﬁcations, such as H3K27ac and H3K4me1, to control prominent gene expression in cell identity determination. Tumor cells can form oncogenic SEs to create active binding sites for oncogenic driver genes via ampliﬁcation [28, 29], DNA translocation [30, 31], and nucleation by small INDELs [32]. Our analysis showed that KDM2A copy number gain most likely contributes to the formation of SE at KDM2A, which leads to a high expression level of KDM2A in high-grade bladder cancer. Subsequently, KDM2A KD impaired bladder cancer cell proliferation, sphere formation, and migration. These results demonstrate that the SE-mediated increase in KDM2A expression resulted in the aggressive propensity of high-grade bladder cancer cells. Thus, suppression of SE may impair the aggressiveness of high-grade bladder cancer, which requires further investigation.

Our study also uncovered an important role of H3K36me2 in mediating high-grade bladder cancer phenotypes. The deposition, recognition, or removal of H3K36me2 is regulated by various epigenetic modiﬁers, such as NSD1 [33], NSD2 [34], NSD3 [35], ASH1L [36], SETMAR [37], and KDM2A [38]. As a matter of fact, KDM2A has been identiﬁed as a demethylase to demethylating H3K36me2, the prevalent histone modiﬁcation that involved in regulating a diverse range of biological processes including heterochromatin formation.

Combination therapy of IOX1 and ATRA synergistically suppresses proliferation of high-grade bladder cancer cells

Previous work has shown that the expression of RARRES3 could be upregulated by all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) in several cancers [36, 37]. Consistently, ATRA increased RARRES3 expression in bladder cancer cells (Supplementary Fig. 4A). However, the efﬁcacy of the KDM2A inhibitors IOX1 and ATRA in the treatment of high-grade bladder cancer cells is limited (Supplementary Fig. 4B). As a result, we investigated whether cell proliferation could be disrupted by the combination of IOX1 and ATRA. Surprisingly, KDM2A inhibition, together with ATRA, synergistically reduced the viability of high-grade bladder cancer cells (Fig. 6A, B). Moreover, combination signiﬁcantly promoted the cell early apoptosis (Fig. 6C) and suppressed sphere formation (Fig. 6D) in UMUC3 cells compared with IOX or ATRA treatment alone. The combination treatment signiﬁcantly decreased the migration (Supplementary Fig. 4C) and invasion (Fig. 6E) of UMUC3 cells in vitro, compared with IOX or ATRA treatment alone. In addition, among all treatment groups, the combined treatment group is the most effective in inhibiting UMUC3 cell growth and metastasis in vivo (Supplementary Fig. 4D, Fig. 6F). Our ﬁndings support the notion that KDM2A blockade combined with ATRA treatment may be a promising therapeutic strategy for high-grade bladder cancer.
Interestingly, our data indicates that KDM2A inhibition combined with suppression of cellular growth, sphere formation, and invasion induced by KDM2A silencing was partially abrogated in the absence of RARRES3 by an increase in H3K36me2 signal at the promoter of RARRES3. Our findings revealed that targeted restoration of H3K36me2 of RARRES3 via KDM2A inhibition could restrain malignant progression in high-grade bladder cancer.

A series of studies have shown that RARRES3 is a tumor suppressor involved in cell growth and differentiation [43]. Loss of RARRES3 is considered a key driver of lung metastasis in estrogen receptor-negative ER breast cancer, which disables cellular differentiation and engages in metastasis-initiating capabilities by facilitating adhesion of the tumor cells to the lung parenchyma [44]. In bladder cancer, RARRES3 expression was negatively correlated with KDM2A and was significantly downregulated. Also, RARRES3 was found to be abundantly expressed in well differentiated foci close to the bladder lumen, which represents the intermediate and umbrella cells of normal bladder urothelium. RARRES3 was identified as a retinoic acid responder, which is a regulator of gene transcription and an inducer of cellular differentiation that has long been associated with differentiation patterns in both normal and cancerous cells [27, 44]. We also found that ATRA can activate the expression of RARRES3 in bladder cancer but has little effect on bladder cancer cell growth. Interestingly, our data indicates that KDM2A inhibition combined with RARRES3 activation can be a useful therapeutic strategy for high-grade bladder cancer. Future work is warranted to elucidate the mechanism of the synergistic effect in the control of cellular growth and metastasis in bladder cancer. Thus, we conducted the current research with the hypothesis that KDM2A-mediated H3K36me2 demethylation of RARRES3 stimulates the progression of bladder cancer with its role in cell growth and metastasis.
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