Autologous Transplantation of Adipose-Derived Stem Cells to Treat Acute Spinal Cord Injury: Evaluation of Clinical Signs, Mental Signs, and Quality of Life
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Spinal cord injury (SCI) is damage that can cause a temporary or permanent change in spinal cord functions.

AIM: This work evaluates clinical signs, mental signs, and quality of life (QoL) after autologous adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs) transplantation to treat acute spinal cord injury (SCI).

METHODS: In this study, 47 SCI patients were recruited and divided into two groups: intervention and control. ADSCs were isolated and cultured under the cell culture quality control procedure. All patients in both groups underwent neurosurgery with or without ADSC transplantation. The recovery regarding neurological muscle, QoL, neurogenic bladder, and mental improvement was assessed after transplantation.

RESULTS: All patients had improved in terms of motor function, bladder function, and daily living. No patients reported any side effect. MRI imaging showed significant changes in the lesion length of the spinal canal and the thickening of the spinal cord. Mental improvement was highest at six months after transplantation and lowest at one month after transplantation. The proportion of patients whose quality of life improved after treatment was 100%, while 80% of patients were satisfied with treatment outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS: Thus, our data suggested that ADSCs transplantation was safe and effective for the treatment of SCI patients. Neurological muscle and neurogenic bladder were improved significantly after transplantation.

Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is damage that can cause a temporary or permanent change in spinal cord functions. SCI causes a variety of severe issues such as loss of bowel facilities, sensory and motor function impairment, and intractable pain. It can be categorized as primary and secondary injuries — both share a common mechanism that leads to the death of glial and neuronal cells, demyelination, axonal degeneration, and consequently manifests as severe impairment in neurological functions [1]. SCI can be treated by several methods such as surgical decompression and fixation, and physical rehabilitation; however, these treatment methods still have some limitations [2].

Stem cell therapy has emerged and been applied successfully in the treatment of many diseases. It has also shown potential as a treatment method for disorders in the refractory nervous system, including SCI. In the most recent cell therapy clinical trials for SCI, mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) were specifically studied for their therapeutic potential in various treatment modalities such as modulation at the injury site, cell rescue, promotion of directed progenitor precursor cell migration, differentiation and re-myelination, angiogenesis, and neovascularization [3]. There are a number of MSC types applied in SCI treatment in which the stem cells are isolated from...
bone marrow (bone marrow stem cells – BMSCs), umbilical cord blood (umbilical cord blood cells – UCBCs), adipose tissues (adipose-derived stem cells – ADSCs) and placental MPCs [4].

It is also well-known that subcutaneous adipose depots are ubiquitous, thereby, providing a higher number of MSCs compared to bone marrow [5]. Adipose tissue contains multipotent cells that are suitable to develop stem cell therapies. It is evident that the number of stem and progenitor cells in stromal vascular fraction (SVF) from fat tissue can be 3%, which is 2500-fold higher compared to the population isolated from bone marrow [6].

Additionally, ADSCs are easily isolated, cultured, and widely used in basic and clinical research, and the stem cell extraction technique for ADSCs (e.g., liposuction aspiration) is less invasive compared to bone marrow aspiration. Liposuction surgery is safer, less invasive, and cheaper in stem cell isolation [7], [8]. Stem cell therapy based on ADSCs can take advantage of lipoaspirate, which is considered as medical waste, as a good source for stem cells.

The application of ADSCs therapy has been explored in various treatments for conditions such as myocardial infarction, heart failure, diabetes, and obesity [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis indicated that treatment for SCI using stem cell transplantation is efficient and safe, with improved sensory and bladder functions [16]. Besides, hADSCs could be converted into electrophysiologically active motoneuron-like cells (hADSC-MNs). After transplantation, they survived, migrated, and integrated into the injured site and this led to partial functional recovery in SCI mice. These results suggest the use of ADSC for cell replacement therapy for spinal cord injury [17]. The safety and feasibility of autologous ADSCs in SCI treatment on humans have not been fully explored. Thus, this study evaluates the safety and feasibility of autologous ADSCs transplantation for treating acute SCI, in terms of clinical signs, mental signs, and quality of life.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Patients were eligible if they A) were aged from 18 to 60 years and B) had an acute complete SCI (e.g., ASIA-A, between T1 and T12 of traumatic etiology within 2 weeks). They were excluded if they A) were under mechanical ventilation; B) had diseases other than SCI (e.g., melanoma within 5 years); C) had an infectious disease (i.e., HIV); body temperature higher than 38°C; D) had a predisposing disease (e.g., thrombocytopenia); E) received cytotoxic drug treatment; F) were participating in a clinical trial within 3 months; G) had current psychiatric illness; H) had traumatic brain injury related to SCI, or I) did not provide fully informed consent.

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 100 participants were screened. Then, the participants were randomly allocated into treatment and control group with the ratio 2: 1, respectively. Of the patients screened, 48 met the inclusion criteria and were allocated a group, with 32 in the treated group and 16 in the control group, which consisted of 18 males and 2 females aged 18 – 59 years old. One patient in the treatment group withdrew from the study for personal reasons. Finally, 47 patients were included in the analysis, with 31 in the treated group and 16 in the control group (Figure 1) (Table 1 and Table 2).

![Flow chart of patients from recruitment to the end of 6-month randomized control trial](https://www.id-press.eu/mjms/index)
only surgical decompression and spinal fix using the classic method. The assumption was that the real recovery rate was applied to AIS A after 7 days: 3% in control candidates (based on the data above) after one year for AIS C, and a 23% recovery effect for actually-treated candidates. At baseline, there are no differences between intervention and control group regarding basic characteristics such as mean age, proportion of male, and level of injury as well as AIS-A (Table 1).

| Patients number (n) | Motor change | EMG/SSSEP change | Bladder function change | Improve in daily living | Side effect | Time observation |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------------|
| N = 31             | 2            | 3                | 31                      | 31                      | 0          | 3 months         |
| N = 31             | 5            | 3                | 31                      | 9                       | 31         | 6 months         |

The sample size was based on several factors such as important clinical differences and setting the sensory/motor score on the AIS scale. In addition, clinical experts in the field were asked for clinical outcomes that were needed to determine significant improvement in both AIS and success rates in-patient rehabilitation. In this study, the treatment was clinically significant if 20% or more cases appeared to improve AIS scores from A to B (Table 2).

| AIS | 12 months after injection | Control group | Treatment group | Total |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|
|     | A | B | C | D | E | Total |
| Control group | A | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Treatment group | A | 16 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 31 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 47 |

AIS: American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale.

**Adipose-Derived Stem Cells Culture**

Participants in the transplant group underwent stomach anesthesia for liposuction. Fat tissues were injected with 100 mL 0.9% sodium chloride mixed with 5 mL 1% lidocaine, 1 ml adrenaline (1mg/mL), and then 80 mL fat tissue was aspirated into the syringe. The fat syringes were placed immediately into a sterile cold container. The collected fat tissues were transported from the operation room (Viet Duc Hospital) to the isolation facility (Tri Phuoc Company).

Fat tissues were totally manipulated in sterile lamina to isolate ADSCs. The tissues were washed briefly in PBS plus antibiotics, digested by DMEM containing collagenase type I at 37°C in a water-bath shaker for 40 – 60 minutes, followed by centrifugation to remove undigested fat tissues. After centrifugation, the supernatant was then discarded, the stromal vascular fraction (SVF) was resuspended in a stromal medium. ADSCs were counted under a microscope, identified by surface marker expression of MSCs, and tested for sterility. Then, half of the SVF were diluted in 8 mL sodium chloride 0.9% and transferred to a syringe for the first injection. The cell-storing syringe was placed in a sterile cold container and was transferred back to Viet Duc Hospital.

The rest of the cells were seeded in a flask and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2; the medium was changed every 48 hours [18]. Those cells were passaged when they were 80% confluent. After the second passage, ADSCs were divided into 3 parts, each corresponding to an injection. Each part was mixed with freezing protective agents at 80°C for 24 hours and stored in liquid nitrogen. Ten days prior to transplantation, the cells were quickly thawed at 37°C and sub-cultured for 1 – 2 next passage times. On transplantation day, the cells were harvested using trypsinization, then transferred into a sterile syringe at a concentration of 30 x 10^6 cells/8 mL 0.9% NaCl for the 2nd and 3rd injection, and a concentration of 100 x 10^6 cells/10 mL 0.9% NaCl for the 4th injection, and delivered to the operating hospital.

**Cell Quality Control**

Immunophenotyping characterization of the cell population was done by flow cytometric analysis. The freshly-isolated SVF and ADSCs were immunophenotyped before any transplantation. To identify the surface markers of MSCs, such as CD73, CD105, CD90, CD166, 1 x 10^6 cells dissolved in 1 mL PBS were used. The morphology of the cells was observed using a microscope. All materials and media in the procedure adhered to WHO-GMP, ISO 9001-2008, and ISO 15189 standards to ensure sterility.

**First Transplantation**

In the same day, the participants in each group underwent surgery in which the spinal cord received fix and decompression, liposuction, and first transplantation.

We adapted the procedure of transplantation by Féron et al., (2005) using adipose tissue instead of olfactory ensheathing cells [19]. All patients received general endotracheal anesthesia before starting transplantation. The incision line was infiltrated with a mixture of adrenaline: lidocaine with rate 1: 100000. Before performing the surgery, an x-ray was done to locate the lesion site and stem cell infusion site. Five main surgical steps were performed to deliver the stem cells to the injury site: 1) Midline incision at the vertebral column rostral and caudal to the site of the injury; 2) Dura bare at the site of injury through rostral and caudal multiple level laminectomies. Extreme caution should be taken so facet joints would not interfere; 3) Midline durotomy away from the injury site. To complete the opening of the durotomy, normal dura and sharp dissection was done after removing adhesions through the injury; 4) Dorsal adhesiolyis under the magnification of an operating microscope through sharp and blunt dissections in the injury site. This was done to restore the structure of the cord to as normal as possible; 5) Two mL SVF solutions were
delivered to the subarachnoid space of the injury at above, center, and below of injury site. The remaining cell solution was injected into subarachnoid space before closing duration [16], [17], [18], [19].

**Second and third ADSCs Transplantation**

Second and third ADSCs transplantation took place on day 30, and day 45 respectively. At which point, $30 \times 10^6$ cells/8 mL 0.9% NaCl was delivered into the lumbar spine.

**Fourth ADSCs Transplantation**

Fourth ADSCs transplantation took place on day 75, when $100 \times 10^6$ cells/8 mL 0.9% NaCl was intravenously injected into the lumbar spine.

**Recovery measurements**

The patients were observed and assessed for neurological muscle recovery at 3 months and 6 months after the first injection through (1) somatosensory evoked potentials (SSEPs); (2) cord recovery at injury site using MRI; and (3) neurogenic bladder improvement using Exploration Urinary Kinetics (EUK). Mental improvements were also evaluated using (1) the Short Form 36 items (SF-36) index; (2) Oswestry; and (3) Barthel Index of Activities of Daily Living (BIADL). The quality of life (QoL) was evaluated by short-form 36 items (SF-36), and patient satisfaction with treatment results was measured.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill, v.20 for Windows) was used data analysis, and statistical analysis was done by t-Test. P-value of < 0.05 was employed to identify statistical significance unless otherwise indicated.

**Results**

**Adipose-Derived Stem Cell Culture**

The cultured cell presented characteristics of ADSC/MSC, which followed the criteria of the IFATS/ISCT [20]. The percentages of MSCs ranged from 86–99% (Figure 2). No cell was positive for CD14 (a marker for hematopoietic stem cells) (Figure 3). For fresh SVF, the percentages of CD73, CD90, CD105, and CD166-positive ranged from 61 to 68%; CD14-positive cells were at 8% of the total cell population.

**Electrophysiological parameters**

Changes in motor function were recorded based on complete paraplegia or quadriplegia. In the study, three out of five patients recovered motor functions (Table 1); they could stand and walk on their own legs without support or with a little assistance. Three patients had SSEP changes with the cortical response on tibia nerves and positive P37. Changes in electromyography (EMG) were recorded in three patients showing some motor units on the muscle electromechanical table (Figure 4).
Radiology

Changes in the lesion length of the spinal canal, thickening of the spinal cord, and MCC and MSCC were statistically significant (p < 0.05) at time points of 3 months and 6 months compared to before treatment.

Table 3: MRI results of 31 patients, 3 months after the first injection

|                     | Before treatment | 3 months after first treatment | P-value |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                     | (n = 31)         | (n =31)                       |         |
| L (mm)              | 60.97 ± 3.934    | 48.548 ± 3.968               | P = 0.017 |
| H (mm)              | 6.18 ± 0.486     | 7.76 ± 0.320                 | P = 0.0122 |
| MCC (%)             | 32.73 ± 2.950    | 20.38 ± 2.165                | P = 0.0013 |
| MSCC (%)            | 36.95 ± 3.193    | 19.23 ± 1.934                | P < 0.01 |

These changes were recorded using MRI (Table 3) (Figure 5).

![MRI results of 31 patients, 3 months after the first injection](image)

Figure 5: Comparison of a lesion in MRI: A) Before treatment; B) 3 months after the first treatment; and C) 6 months after the first treatment; The MRI image of the lesion region was taken before the treatment A); 3 months after the first treatment B); and 6 months after the first treatment C); B) and C) present the recovery of the injured spinal cord.

Neurogenic bladder measurement

There were changes in neurogenic bladder recorded based on significant changes in the involuntary bladder contractions, maximum bladder pressure (Pdetmax), and maximum bladder volume (VH2Omax) (Table 4).

Table 4: MRI results of 31 patients, 6 months after the first injection

|                     | Before treatment | 6 months after first treatment | P-value |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|                     | (n = 31)         | (n = 31)                       |         |
| L (mm)              | 51.34 ± 5.052    | 36.94 ± 4.083                 | P = 0.00343 |
| H (mm)              | 5.75 ± 0.559     | 8.59 ± 0.448                  | P = 0.0004 |
| MCC (%)             | 27.135 ± 3.968   | 13.59 ± 1.67                  | P = 0.0037 |
| MSCC (%)            | 29.43 ± 3.674    | 11.14 ± 1.599                 | P = 0.0001 |

These changes were recorded using MRI (Table 3) (Figure 5).

![MRI results of 31 patients, 6 months after the first injection](image)

Figure 5: Comparison of a lesion in MRI: A) Before treatment; B) 3 months after the first treatment; and C) 6 months after the first treatment; The MRI image of the lesion region was taken before the treatment A); 3 months after the first treatment B); and 6 months after the first treatment C); B) and C) present the recovery of the injured spinal cord.

Mental improvement

SF-36 results showed that the general QoL of the patients improved from poor to good, while the back-pain rate, which affects patients’ daily activities, measured through the Oswestry questionnaire, changed from serious to mild. Barthel ADL increased to 3 points at 6 months after the first treatment (Table 6). No side effects were reported during 6 months after treatment.

Quality of life

All patients rated their quality of life improved after treatment. Moreover, 80% of patients reported that they were satisfied and happy with the results of the treatment.

Table 6: Mental improvement of patients in different time points

| Index                     | Before treatment | 1 month after first treatment | 3 months after first treatment | 6 months after first treatment |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| SF-36 score              | 23.90 ± 7.89     | 31.90 ± 11.18                | 41.25 ± 13.35                | 53.16 ± 14.47                  |
| General quality of life  | Bad              | Bad                           | Average                       | Excellent                      |
| Oswestry                 | 79.48 ± 7.33     | 73.38 ± 8.07                 | 65.41 ± 7.55                 | 56.82 ± 7.66                   |
| Back pain level          | Serious          | Serious                       | Mild                          | Mild                           |
| Barthel ADL              | 3.35 ± 1.35      | 4.41 ± 1.78                  | 5.51 ± 2.06                  | 6.48 ± 2.14                    |

Discussion

SCI patients have shown significant improvement after autologous ADSCs therapy. Of the patients, 48.1% exhibited improved functions based on the AIS scale (A-B) after 6 months. Further follow-up with patients for the next 9 months showed 7.4% of patients at the B-C level. Clinical evaluation according to the AIS scale reflected the extent of anatomical lesions. In MRI imaging, hematoma and compression support clinicians regarding prognosis, recovery, and post-transplant evaluation through MCCs and MSCCs. We found that there were statistically significant differences between the study groups. Although MRI has limited discrepancies between white matter and gray matter, the use of MCCs and MSCCs combination with the AIS scale is a good way to predict marrow recovery.

Further, the result of our study was congruent with other published data. Cases in point, a study by Park et al., (2005) reported that the application of
MSCs in 18-month spine trauma patients resulted in improvement of 6/20 (20%) patients with A – B changes [21]. Another US multi-center study of 1816 patients with 50 randomly selected stem cell transplant recipients scored 3/13 patients who improved on the AIS (AC) scale [16]. A study by Yoon et al., (2007) demonstrated that after one year of follow-up, 29.5% of patients who received stem cell transplant had neurological recovery (A – B) [22]. Ramesh Kumar et al., (2011), in a study of 24 patients, reported a 30% increase in the MCC and MSCC scores to assess changes in the structure of the spinal cord [17]. This was similar to our finding in this study, in which the index was 33.5%.

We used both direct and indirect injection at different times, and cells were cultured to obtain enough numbers per time point. The clinical efficacy of the transplant was evaluated. The combination of stem cells transplanted into the lumbar at L2 and the intravenous infusion was also a highlight in this study. Elevated efficacy through cerebrovascular drainage and acute myeloid lesions provide anti-inflammatory, directed, and attractive cytokines by the homing mechanism to the lesion site. In this study, we have not applied grafting techniques and tissue fixators.

There are some limitations to this study. The sample size was limited and we only conducted the study in one hospital. The follow-up duration was 6 months. Additionally, preoperative and postoperative imaging methods such as MEP and MRI were insufficient. Regarding cell quality, the survival rate and the number of cells differentiated were not investigated.

The study is still on-going in several centers that have a follow-up period of five years. We will conduct a further subgroup study in chronic patients or in patients’ ages possibly with many different cell sources such as bone marrow stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells, and neural stem cells. Grafting can be used by utilizing robots to calculate specific doses and tissue fixation agents in combination with neuronal differentiation-oriented proteins.

In conclusion, all treated patients with motor and sensory deficits have shown improvement. Neurological muscle recovery and neurogenic bladder contraction improved significantly. The recovery rate was not high, although most of the treated patients had an improved quality of life and reported high satisfaction with the results of the treatment.
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