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ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the clinical, pathological and surgical features of primary epithelial ovarian cancer treated at our institution.

Methods: fifty-nine patients with primary epithelial ovarian cancer were included. Clinical data collected included patient’s age, presenting symptoms, laboratory and tumor markers results as well as preoperative imaging reports. Pathological and surgical findings included were: spread of the disease, histologic type, stage of the disease, type of surgical procedure and amount of residual disease.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 54.5 years. Lower abdominal pain was the most common presenting symptom, followed by abdominal distension. The commonest histopathological type was high grade serous carcinoma (72.9%). In our study, majority of patients were diagnosed with stage III disease, accounting for 69.5% of the total number of patients. Complete cytoreduction with no gross residual disease was achieved in 77.3% of patients with stage 3–4 disease.

Conclusion: clinical and pathological features of primary epithelial ovarian carcinoma in our populations are similar to what is reported worldwide. We have also documented that our surgical approach to the management of ovarian cancer is comparable to the international consensus.

1. Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) is the major cause of gynecological cancer-related mortality in developed countries, with annual incidence of more than 200,000 new cases and responsible of 150,000 deaths worldwide [1]. Due to its subtle symptomatology and the lack of specific screening methods, about 70% of EOCs are diagnosed in advanced stage, specifically International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) stage III and IV [2]. Advanced-stage ovarian cancer has a 5-year survival rate of 30–55%, while early stages have a 5-year survival of over 80% [3].

Serum CA-125, a tumor marker for ovarian cancer, has been observed to be elevated in 80% of women with epithelial ovarian cancer overall, but in only 50% of women with early disease [4].

There is little doubt that early stage ovarian cancer is significantly more curable than late stage disease. An early detection of ovarian cancer and timely reference to gynecologic oncologist is the milestone to reduce the mortality from ovarian cancer. Several diagnostic methods for pelvic mass have been reported such as pelvic examination, ultrasonography, CA-125 tumor marker level. However, none of these methods used individually has shown significantly better performance in detecting malignant ovarian tumor. Hence, this led to the development of a mathematical formula using a combination of these diagnostic modalities to predict whether an adnexal mass is benign or malignant - risk of malignancy index (RMI). RMI was originally developed in 1990, and it was termed RMI 1 [5]. This index was defined as the product of menopausal score (M), ultrasound score (U), and the absolute value of serum CA-125 level and reported a sensitivity of 85.4% and specificity of 96.9% at the cutoff value of 200. The best cutoff value of RMI for the distinction between benign and malignant masses has been proved to be...
strategy allows higher rate of no residual disease in comparison to prior therapeutic option [2,11]. Recent studies demonstrated that such molecular features of the tumor, its microenvironment and the skill of the clinical and pathological features of epithelial ovarian cancer in our community and to evaluate the surgical treatment of such patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Design and settings

Between 2010 and 2019, we retrospectively studied all cases of primary epithelial ovarian cancer operated at King Abdullah University Hospital.

2.2. Sample

We reviewed the patient’s individual records as well as the pathology reports. Clinical data collected included patient’s age, presenting symptoms, laboratory and tumor markers results as well as preoperative imaging reports. Risk of malignancy index was calculated according to Jacobs et al. equation [5].

Pathological and surgical findings include: spread of the disease, histologic type, stage of the disease, type of surgical procedure and amount of residual disease. Ovarian cancers were staged according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics system of classification [17]. Recurrent ovarian cancers, and cases considered as primary peritoneal carcinoma were excluded.

2.3. Ethical considerations

Institutional Review Board was obtained (Ref. Number: 170/132/2020) and according to Helsinki declaration the research is registered in research registry database (researchregistry5892).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 17.0 for Microsoft Windows. A STROCSS guidelines used to report our study [35].

3. Results

During the study period, a total of fifty-nine cases were included and were available for analysis.

The mean age of the patients was 54.5 (range 27–74 years). Thirty-nine patients (66.1%) were postmenopausal.

Ovarian cancer usually presents with nonspecific symptoms resulting in patients seeking medical help in advances stages. It has been very difficult to define the symptoms attributable to ovarian cancer. In our study, the majority of patients presented with lower abdominal pain (50.1%), followed by abdominal distension – reported by 19 (32.2%) patients. Around 16 patients (27%) reported nonspecific symptoms. In the study group, nine (15.3%) patients reported postmenopausal bleeding (exclusion of other causes was done). However, 23.7% of cases were diagnosed during work up for non-gynecological presentation.

Ca125 level was elevated (above 35 IU/ml) in 93.2% of cases. Risk of malignancy index (RMI) was above cutoff value of 200 in 84.7% of cases. In this study, the commonest histopathological type was high grade serous carcinoma (72.9%). Clear cell, mucinous and endometrioid types were found in 6.8%, 5.1% and 3.3% respectively, as shown in Table 1.

Pelvic organs and peritoneum was the most site of involvement by ovarian cancer (80.3%). Outside the pelvis, omentum was the most common site of gross involvement (59.3%). Ascites was found in 38 patients (64.4%) of cases. In our study, majority of patients were diagnosed with stage III disease, accounting for 69.5% of the total number of patients. Of the 59 cases, 5.1% were reported to be in the stage IV of the disease (Table 2).

In our study, patients were managed either by primary debulking surgery (PDS) followed by chemotherapy or by neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by interval debulking surgery (NACT and IDS). Among all patients, primary surgery was performed in 54.2% of cases. However, only 40.9% of patients with advanced disease (stage 3 and 4) underwent primary surgery, while the remaining 59.1% patients had NACT and IDS. Complete cytoreduction with no gross residual disease was achieved in 77.3% of patients with stage 3–4 disease, compared to 81.3% among all patients.

In patients with stage III-IV disease, the rate of optimal cytoreduction with no residual disease was significantly higher in the group managed by NACT and IDS than those treated by primary surgery. However, age, ca125 level and RMI were not statistically predictive of optimal cytoreduction (Table 3).

There was no significant difference in complications between the two different modality of treatment.

### Table 1.

| Risk malignancy index (RMI) | N (%) |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Ca125 level                |       |
| - Elevated level >35 iu/ml  | 55 (93.2%) |
| Risk malignancy index (RMI) |     |
| - RMI > 200                | 50 (84.7%) |

### Table 2.

Patient characteristics (59 patients).

| Symptom at diagnosis | N (%) |
|----------------------|-------|
| Non specific         | 16 (27%) |
| Distension           | 19 (32.2%) |
| Abdominal pain       | 30 (50.1%) |
| Post-menopausal bleeding | 9 (15.3%) |
| Work up for ascites or pleural effusion | 14 (23.7%) |

### Table 3.

Histopathology type

| Pathology type | N (%) |
|----------------|-------|
| High grade serous       | 43 (72.9%) |
| Low grade serous        | 5 (8.5%) |
| Clear cell             | 4 (6.8%) |
| Mucinous               | 3 (5.1%) |
| Endometrioid           | 2 (3.3%) |
| Transitional            | 1 (1.7%) |
| Carcinomatous           | 1 (1.7%) |
postmenopausal. This is in agreement with literature. Particularly in advanced stages. This is in concordance with reported in the literature [22]. This reflects the diversity and failure to recognize symptoms on onset of symptoms and diagnosis [25]. Several authors reported that 60–70% of cases were diagnosed in Stage 3 and 4 [2,26]. Our data showed similar results where about 74% of cases were diagnosed at advanced stage.

Several diagnostic methods for pelvic mass have been reported such as pelvic examination, ultrasonography, CA-125 tumor marker level. However, none of these methods used individually has shown significantly better performance in detecting malignant ovarian tumor. The risk of malignancy index (RMI) incorporating CA 125, menopausal status and ultrasound was consistently found to be effective method of discriminating between ovarian cancer and benign lesions preoperatively [5,6]. At cutoff value of 200, RMI has a sensitivity of 84.6% for predicting ovarian cancer in our study which is consistent with previous similar studies [5,6,27].

It is now accepted that the goal of cytoreductive surgery should be complete resection of macroscopically visible tumor [28,29]. However, in advanced stage EOC the rate of complete debulking is generally estimated lower than 50% [30–32]. The reasons for suboptimal debulking may be related to large intra-abdominal extension of the tumor, localization in critical anatomic site, medical comorbidities, advanced age and poor oncological experience of surgeons. All such variables are of crucial importance to understand the reasons that led the paradigm shift, in selected cases, from standard PDS approach to alternative therapeutic options like NACT + IDS treatment [33,34]. We have reported a high complete cytoreduction rate (77.3%). This is explained by the fact that we have a higher rate of NACT administration before surgery in our study. This is consistent with similar reports in the literature [33,34].

4. Discussion

Ovarian cancer accounts for more deaths than all other gynecologic malignancies combined [1]. However, there are no effective screening tests for ovarian cancer and few notable early symptoms.

According to the American Cancer Society, approximately 90% of ovarian cancers are epithelial ovarian carcinomas which are subdivided based on their microscopic features into serous, mucinous, endometrioid, clear cell, and undifferentiated [18]. Age has a strong correlation to ovarian cancer risk and 64% of cases are diagnosed after 50 years of age [19]. An epidemiological risk prediction model by Morice et al. reported a median age of EOC in various countries as 52.4 years [20]. In our study, the mean age of the patients was 54.5 years and 66.1% were postmenopausal. This is in agreement with literature.

In our study, the most common presenting symptom was abdominal pain, reported by 50% of patients. But in a study conducted by Kate E Brain [21] et al., in 2014, the most common presenting complaints were post menopausal bleeding, pelvic and abdominal pain which was around 87%. Other studies reported similar symptoms and presentations to our data [22]. This reflects the diversity and failure to recognize symptoms of early disease.

Different histologic subtypes of epithelial ovarian cancer have been described. In our study, serous carcinoma was the predominant type, particularly in advanced stages. This is in concordance with reported in the literature [23,24].

The disease is diagnosed in late stages as there is a delay between the onset of symptoms and diagnosis [25]. Several authors reported that 60–70% of cases were diagnosed in Stage 3 and 4 [2,26]. Our data showed similar results where about 74% of cases were diagnosed at advanced stage.

Despite the limitation of our study (being retrospective in nature and small sample size), we have shown that clinical and pathological features of primary epithelial ovarian carcinoma in our populations are similar to what is reported worldwide. Moreover, we have also documented that our surgical approach to the management of ovarian cancer is comparable to the international consensus. However, it would be of paramount importance to see how this is reflected on patient survival.

5. Conclusion
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