INTRODUCTION

Mobile phones increase the speed of communication within a hospital and are universally used for efficient health care delivery [1-3]. However, as mobile phones often come in contact with the hands of medical staff in hospitals, they can be contaminated by bacteria. Therefore, there is a possibility of cross contamination. Despite their frequent use, mobile phones are not managed cleanly, and there are no guidelines for controlling bacterial contamination on mobile phones.

Since bacteria can be transferred from the contaminated hands of medical staff to patients, it is believed that nosocomial infections can be caused by extrinsic factors, such...
as contaminated medical equipment and the hands of medical staff [4]. In previous studies, the potentially pathogenic microorganisms present on various objects, such as the hands of medical staff and their mobile phones, have been reported [5-8]. According to one study, 9% to 25% of the mobile phones used by medical staff were contaminated with pathogens [1]. To prevent the contamination of these mobile phones, it is necessary to set guidelines for hand hygiene and the disinfection of mobile phones. In addition, the use of mobile phones should be reconsidered in locations where the risk of infection is high, such as operating rooms and intensive care units.

Infectious microorganisms attached to a surface can survive for long periods of time unless they are removed by processes such as disinfection or sterilization [9], and contaminated surfaces are a potential repository for infectious bacteria [10]. Most studies investigating the contamination of mobile phones medical staff have examined medical doctors and nurses, and few studies have examined the contamination on mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists. Singh et al. [11] investigated the bacterial contamination on mobile phones used by the medical staff of a dental college hospital in India. Potentially pathogenic microorganisms were detected on 34% of all mobile phones, and the bacterial contamination could be reduced by 87% after wiping the surface of the cell phone with alcohol. However, these previous studies detected microorganisms using traditional bacterial culture methods and identified the detected organisms using Gram staining and biochemical characteristics. These microbial identification methods have a disadvantage in that they cannot be used to analyze bacterial species that cannot be cultured. Therefore, here we investigated the bacterial diversity on mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists through analysis of Illumina 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling

This study was carried out on 10 mobile phones that were randomly selected from five dentists and five dental hygienists working at Gangneung-Wonju National University Dental Hospital. A sterilized cotton swab was soaked in sterile distilled water, and the entire surface of the mobile phone was rubbed to obtain bacteria. The swabs were then vortexed in 1 mL of sterile distilled water. A new sterile latex glove was used when sampling each mobile phone.

Genomic DNA extraction

To extract the DNA from the mobile phone swab samples, the PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA, USA) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For Illumina sequencing analysis, the samples were divided into two groups: dentists (group A) and dental hygienists (group B), and the individual DNA samples from each group were mixed at the same ratio and analyzed together.

Polymerase chain reaction amplification and Illumina sequencing

The extracted DNA samples were analyzed using previously reported experimental methods [12,13]. The V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified by polymerase chain reaction using the bacterial universal primer pair (341F-5′-TCGTCGAGCAGGTCAAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG-CCTACGGGNGGCWGGCAG-TACTACGGGNGGCWGGCAG-3′, 805R-5′-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG-GCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGACAG-3′). Next, a limited cycle amplification step was performed to add multiplexing indices and Illumina sequencing adapters. Then, the library was sequenced on the MiSeq platform. All subsequent analyses were performed with the BIOiPLUG pipeline, a commercially available ChunLab bioinformatics cloud platform for microbiome research.

Pathogenic bacteria analysis

The bacteria identified by the Illumina MiSeq analysis were used as queries in a search of the PubMed database for case reports related to pathogenicity over the last 10 years [14]. If one of species belonging to the identified genus was reported to be pathogenic, the genus was considered to be pathogenic.
RESULTS

Bacterial diversity in the mobile phone samples

To determine how many types of microorganisms are present on mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists in dental hospitals, the five DNA samples belonging to each of the two groups were mixed and subjected to Illumina sequencing. On the group A, a total of 54,710 sequence reads were obtained, and 84 genera were detected. On the group B, a total of 48,810 sequence read were obtained, and 101 genera were detected (Supplementary Table 1). Fig. 1 shows the species richness of each group. Fig. 2 and 3 show the bacterial diversity on the dentists’ and dental hygienists’ mobile phones. In group A, Pseudomonas spp. (52.52%), Janthinobacterium spp. (14.21%), Enterococcus spp. (9.66%), Stenotrophomonas spp. (5.68%), Streptococcus spp. (4.29%), and Acinetobacter spp. (3.15%) were detected. In group B, Enterococcus spp. (32.02%), Pseudomonas spp. (23.76%), Streptococcus spp. (22.44%), Lactobacillus spp. (8.77%), Janthinobacterium spp. (3.54%), Acinetobacter spp. (1.7%), and Stenotrophomonas spp. (1.22%) were detected (Fig. 4).

Pathogenic bacteria

In group A, 32 of the 84 detected genera (38.09%) include pathogenic species. Pseudomonas were frequently detected, and other pathogenic genera, such as Enterococcus spp., Stenotrophomonas spp., Acinetobacter spp., Morganella spp., Corynebacterium spp., Propionibacterium spp., Neisseria spp., Staphylococcus spp., Haemophilus spp., and Escherichia spp., were detected. Moreover, genera associated with oral diseases, such as Streptococcus spp., Prevotella spp., Actinomyces spp., Porphyromonas spp., and Fusobacterium spp., were also detected.

DISCUSSION

The possibility of infectious pathogens spreading through mobile phone use in hospitals was previously studied by Ulger et al. [8]. In the study, several of the identified bacterial species were important antibiotic-resistant pathogens. Most of the studies on the bacterial contamination of mobile phones of medical staff have been limited to doctors and nurses, and there are few studies on the contamination of mobile phones used by dentists. In addition, since previous studies used bacterial culture methods, there is a possibility that the contamination risk associated with mobile phone use in medical institutions may have been underestimated. In this study, Illumina sequencing was performed to overcome this limitation, as this method can detect all the bacteria present, including those that cannot be cultured in the laboratory.

In this study, most of the bacteria isolated from mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists were microorganisms that live on the skin or in the environment, and although many were potentially pathogenic, most were of low toxicity. Therefore, it is difficult to conclude that these bacteria can directly cause infections in patients. However, contaminated mobile phones are likely to be a reservoir for the transfer of pathogenic bacteria, as some of the bacteria detected on the cell phones were oral microorganisms originating from patients and pathogenic bacteria that can cause opportunistic infections.

Singh et al. [11] investigated the bacterial contamination on mobile phones of dentists at a dental college hospital in India. The study showed that coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp. and Staphylococcus aureus were the most commonly found bacteria on mobile phones, and pathogens such as Bacillus spp., Acinetobacter spp., Pseudomonas spp., and Micrococcaceae spp., and that Staphylococcus citreus and Diphtheroids as well as non-methicillin-resistant S. aureus and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus spp. were also detected. However, their study differed from ours as the bacteria were identified using general culture methods. In our study, more opportunistic pathogens,
such as *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Janthinobacterium* spp., were isolated from the dentists’ mobile phones than from the hygienists’ phones. In addition, *Enterococcus* spp. and *Stenotrophomonas* spp., which are known to cause serious nosocomial infections, and *Streptococcus* spp., which is associated with oral diseases, were also detected. Furthermore, *Acinetobacter*, a genus of opportunistic pathogens that are known to be multidrug resistant, was also detected. The types of microorganisms isolated from the dental hygienists’ mobile phones were similar to those isolated from the dentists’ mobile phones.

In our study, various opportunistic pathogens were detected. In particular, in both groups, *Corynebacterium* spp., which includes the causative agent of the respiratory infection diphtheria, *Enterococcus* spp., which are known to be multidrug resistant, and *Escherichia* spp., a genus of enteric bacteria, were detected. On the dentists’ mobile phones, *Haemophilus* spp., which can cause a deadly respiratory infection, and *Neisseria* spp., which can cause septicemia and gonorrhea, were detected. In addition, *Streptococcus* spp., *Actinomyces* spp., *Porphyromonas* spp., were isolated from the dentists’ mobile phones than from the hygienists’ phones. In addition, *Enterococcus* spp. and *Stenotrophomonas* spp., which are known to cause serious nosocomial infections, and *Streptococcus* spp., which is associated with oral diseases, were also detected. Furthermore, *Acinetobacter*, a genus of opportunistic pathogens that are known to be multidrug resistant, was also detected. The types of microorganisms isolated from the dental hygienists’ mobile phones were similar to those isolated from the dentists’ mobile phones.
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Bacterial contamination of mobile phones

spp., and *Fusobacterium* spp., which are known to be associated with various oral diseases, were detected in both groups. The intestinal enteric microorganism, *Escherichia* spp., is believed to have been transferred to mobile phones by dentists and dental hygienists who did not wash their hands properly after using the bathroom. In addition, the oral bacteria are thought to have been transferred to the phones by the hands of the dentists and dental hygienists after patient treatment. In some studies, it was reported that a doctor’s mobile phones are more likely to be infected with pathogenic bacteria than a nurse’s phone [1,6,15]. In our study, the percentages of pathogenic bacteria detected on the dentists’ and dental hygienists’ phones were similar. However, some bacteria, which are known to be pathogenic in humans, such as *Haemophilus* spp. and *Neisseria* spp., were only detected on the dentists’ mobile phones.

This study shows that mobile phones used by dentists and dental hygienists can be contaminated by infectious pathogens. Our study was the first to analyze the contamination on mobile phones used by dentists and dental hygienists by Illumina sequencing. The clinical significance and propagation patterns of bacteria detected on mobile phones.

*Fig. 3.* Diversity of the bacterial communities present in mobile phone samples from dental hygienists (group B).

*Fig. 4.* Relative abundances of the bacterial genera detected in samples from mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists.
phones require further research and discussion. Our data demonstrate the need to set hygiene standards and pay careful attention to the possibility of pathogen dispersal by the dental staff in close contact with patients.
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**Supplementary Table 1.** List of all the genera identified in the samples from mobile phones of dentists and dental hygienists using Illumina MiSeq

| Phylum                  | Class                  | Genus               | Count Dentist | Count Dental hygienist |
|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Actinobacteria          | Actinobacteria_c       | Actinomyces         | 12            | 2                      |
|                         |                        | Arcanobacterium     | 1             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Bifidobacterium     | 159           | 486                    |
|                         |                        | Brevibacterium      | -             | 4                      |
|                         |                        | Caldifontibacter    | 4             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Cellulomonas        | -             | 2                      |
|                         |                        | Corynebacterium     | 112           | 13                     |
|                         |                        | Gardnerella         | 12            | -                      |
|                         |                        | Micrococcus         | 14            | -                      |
|                         |                        | Nocardoides         | 4             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Phyllicoccus        | 36            | -                      |
|                         |                        | Propionibacterium   | 103           | 14                     |
|                         |                        | Rothia              | 3             | -                      |
| Bacteroidetes           | Bacteroidia            | Alistipes           | 21            | 69                     |
|                         |                        | Alloprevotella      | 31            | 14                     |
|                         |                        | Bacteroides         | -             | 14                     |
|                         |                        | Parabacteroides     | -             | 7                      |
|                         |                        | Porphyromonas       | 68            | 2                      |
|                         |                        | Prevotella          | 58            | 63                     |
|                         | Flavobacteria          | Capnocytophaga      | 6             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Flavobacterium      | 1             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Litoribaculum       | 1             | -                      |
|                         |                        | Tenacibaculum       | 1             | -                      |
|                         | Sphingobacteriia       | Ferruginibacter     | -             | 3                      |
|                         |                        | Sphingobacterium    | 8             | -                      |
| Deferribacteres         | Deferribacteres_c      | Mucispirillum       | -             | 9                      |
| Deinococcus-Thermus     | Deinococci             | Meiothermus         | 3             | -                      |
| Firmicutes              | Bacilli                | Alkalibacterium     | -             | 1                      |
|                         |                        | Bacillus            | -             | 41                     |
|                         |                        | Enterococcus        | 5,284         | 15,687                 |
|                         |                        | Gemella             | 20            | 2                      |
|                         |                        | Granulicatella      | 6             | 4                      |
|                         |                        | Lactobacillus       | 429           | 4,295                  |
|                         |                        | Lactococcus         | 1             | 112                    |
|                         |                        | Paenibacillus       | 12            | -                      |
|                         |                        | Staphylococcus      | 55            | 3                      |
| Clostridia              | Acidaminobacter        | 19                 | 181           |
|                         | Alkalibacter           | -                  | 25            |
|                         | Alkaliphilus           | -                  | 39            |
|                         | Anaerofilum            | -                  | 8             |
|                         | Anaerotrichus          | -                  | 3             |
|                         | Anaerotruncus          | -                  | 7             |
|                         | Anaerobacterum         | -                  | 8             |
|                         | Clostridium            | 15                 | 5             |
|                         | Dehalobacter           | -                  | 5             |
|                         | Dorea                  | -                  | 3             |
|                         | Eisenbergiella         | 2                  | 26            |
|                         | Ercella                | -                  | 1             |
### Supplementary Table 1. Continued

| Phylum                     | Class              | Genus             | Count | Dentist | Dental hygienist |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|------------------|
| **Eubacterium**           |                    |                   | 7     | 9       |                  |
| **Faecalibacterium**      |                    |                   | -     | 11      |                  |
| **Lachnospira**           |                    |                   | -     | 2       |                  |
| **Moryella**              |                    |                   | 10    | -       |                  |
| **Oscillibacter**         |                    |                   | -     | 6       |                  |
| **Pseudoflavonifractor**  |                    |                   | -     | 4       |                  |
| **Ruminococcus**          |                    |                   | -     | 1       |                  |
| **Ruminococcus_g4**       |                    |                   | -     | 2       |                  |
| **Subdoligranulum**       |                    |                   | -     | 2       |                  |
| **Tindallia**             |                    |                   | -     | 8       |                  |
| **Erysipelotrichi**       |                    | Bulleidia         | 11    | -       |                  |
| **Negativicutes**         |                    | Pelosinus         | 26    | 10      |                  |
| **Phascolarctobacterium** |                    |                   | -     | 5       |                  |
| **Selenomonas**           |                    |                   | 2     | -       |                  |
| **Veillonella**           |                    |                   | -     | 11      |                  |
| **Tissierella**           |                    | Anaerococcus      | 7     | -       |                  |
| **Dethiosulfatibacter**   |                    |                   | -     | 118     |                  |
| **Tissierella**           |                    |                   | -     | 181     |                  |
| **Fusobacteria**          | Fusobacteria_c     | Fusobacterium     | 32    | 1       |                  |
| **Leptotrichia**          |                    |                   | 1     | -       |                  |
| **Proteobacteria**        | Alphaproteobacteria| Acetobacter       | -     | 2       |                  |
|                           |                    | Altererythrobacter| 16    | 3       |                  |
|                           |                    | Bartonella        | -     | 12      |                  |
|                           |                    | Bradyrhizobium    | -     | 3       |                  |
|                           |                    | Brevundimonas     | 12    | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Caulobacter       | 4     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Erythrobacter     | -     | 1       |                  |
|                           |                    | Methylobacterium  | 12    | 18      |                  |
|                           |                    | Methyloceaniibacter| -  | 1       |                  |
|                           |                    | Novosphingobium   | -     | 5       |                  |
|                           |                    | Shinella          | 3     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Sphingobium       | 13    | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Sphingomonas      | 30    | 14      |                  |
| **Betaproteobacteria**    |                    | Acidovorax        | 49    | 4       |                  |
|                           |                    | Aquabacterium     | -     | 2       |                  |
|                           |                    | Comamonas         | 26    | 5       |                  |
|                           |                    | Curvibacter       | 9     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Dechloromonas     | 134   | 28      |                  |
|                           |                    | Delfia            | 197   | 23      |                  |
|                           |                    | Herbaspirillum    | 8     | 3       |                  |
|                           |                    | Janthinobacterium | 7,774 | 1,736   |                  |
|                           |                    | Kinneretia        | 8     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Lautropia         | 5     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Massilia          | 13    | 1       |                  |
|                           |                    | Neisseria         | 66    | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Parasutterella    | -     | 3       |                  |
|                           |                    | Paucibacter       | 145   | 23      |                  |
|                           |                    | Rhizobacter       | -     | 4       |                  |
|                           |                    | Schlegelella      | 1     | -       |                  |
|                           |                    | Schlegelella      | -     | 16      |                  |
|                           |                    | Undibacterium     | 87    | 16      |                  |
Continued

| Phylum            | Class       | Genus              | Dentist | Dental hygienist |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|
| Deltaproteobacteria | Desulfonatronum | 8                  |         | 230              |
| Epsilonproteobacteria | Campylobacter | -                  |         | 1                |
|                   | Salturimonas | -                  |         | 1                |
|                   | Salturovum   | 1                  |         | 1                |
| Gammaproteobacteria | Acinetobacter | 1,723              | 834     |                  |
|                   | Aeromonas    | 891                |         | 246              |
|                   | Aggregatibacter | -                  |         | 5                |
|                   | Butiauxella  | 18                 |         | 11               |
|                   | Cedecea      | 111                |         | 19               |
|                   | Citrobacter  | 51                 |         | 34               |
|                   | Enhydrobacter | 16                 |         | 13               |
|                   | Enterobacter | 6                  |         | 1                |
|                   | Escherichia  | 47                 |         | 65               |
|                   | Haemophilus  | 12                 |         |                  |
|                   | Halomonas    | 86                 |         | 12               |
|                   | Lelliottia   | 6                  |         |                  |
|                   | Morganella   | 372                |         | 61               |
|                   | Pantoea      | 1                  |         |                  |
|                   | Providencia  | -                  |         | 2                |
|                   | Pseudomonas  | 28,736             |         | 11,641           |
|                   | Rahnella     | 2                  |         | 7                |
|                   | Serratia     | 6                  |         | 10               |
|                   | Siccibacter  | 14                 |         |                  |
|                   | Stenotrophomonas | 3,106             |         | 599              |
|                   | Vibrio       | -                  |         | 6                |
|                   | Xanthomonas  | 12                 |         |                  |
|                   | Yersinia     | 71                 |         | 3                |
| Synergistetes     | Synergistia  | Aminivibrio        | -       | 12               |
| Tenericutes       | Mollicutes   | Acholeplasma_g2    | -       | 3                |
| Verrucomicrobia   | Verrucomicrobiae | Akkermansia       | -       | 8                |
| Unknown           |             |                    | 1,818   | 552              |
| Total             |             |                    | 54,710  | 48,810           |