Discovery of a [WO] central star in the planetary nebula Th 2-A*
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ABSTRACT

Context. About 2500 planetary nebulae are known in our Galaxy but only 224 have central stars with reported spectral types in the Strasbourg-ESO Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae (Acker et al. 1992; Acker et al. 1996).

Aims. We have started an observational program aiming to increase the number of PN central stars with spectral classification.

Methods. By means of spectroscopy and high resolution imaging, we identify the position and true nature of the central star.

Results. As a first outcome of this survey, we present for the first time the spectra of the central star of the PN Th 2-A. These spectra show emission lines of ionized C and O, typical in Wolf-Rayet stars.

Conclusions. We identify the position of that central star, which is not the brightest one of the visual central pair. We classify it as of type [WO 3]pec, which is consistent with the high excitation and dynamical age of the nebula.
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1. Introduction

The central stars of planetary nebulae (CSPN) play an important role in the understanding of post-AGB stellar evolution. Planetary Nebulae (PNs) are the relics of intermediate-mass stars, and they are important probes of stellar evolution, stellar populations, and cosmic recycling. Understanding the evolutionary status of particular classes of CSs is relevant in a broader astrophysical sense.

About 60% of the PNe listed in the Strasbourg – ESO Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae (Acker et al. 1992; Acker et al. 1996) include data concerning the CSPN, but only 30% of these actually have spectral classification. Among the 224 spectral types reported in that catalog, there are 75 stars presenting emission lines typical of Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars (Acker & Neiner 2003, hereafter AN03).

The [WO] type (Oxygen sequence) was introduced by Smith & Aller (1969) to classify a group of 7 CSPN showing an optical WR-like spectrum with strong O VI emission lines. Subsequently, Barlow & Hummer (1982) defined WO stars as a new WR subtype. The most prominent feature of these objects is the strong emission of the O VI λ3811–34 Å doublet, other strong emission lines in the spectra of [WO] stars are the C IV + He II λλ4658–86 Å blend and C IV λλ5801–12 Å doublet, features which are also exhibited strongly by early WC stars. At the moment there are only four WO stars known in our Galaxy (van der Hucht 2001; Drew et al. 2004) and about twenty [WO] stars (AN03; Gesicki et al. 2006).

We have started an observational program at CASLEO with the goal of studying the spectra of hitherto unknown CSPNe. In this paper we present one of our first results, i.e. the discovery of a new [WO] star.

2. Observations

The initial observations were carried out on March 21, 2006, using the REOSC spectrograph attached to the 2.15 m telescope at CASLEO, Argentina. These spectra were taken with a 300 line mm−1 grating, which provides a dispersion of 3.4 Å px−1, and a wavelength range of 3500–7000 Å on a Tek 1024 × 1024 pixels CCD.

As will be noted in Sect. 3, the CS of the PN Th 2-A has a visual companion separated by 1"4 (See Fig. 1), which certainly is marginally resolved from CASLEO. Then, the slit was centered on the stars labeled A and B in the Fig. 1, in the E–W direction with a slit width of 3′′. To be sure of the sources identifications in the (A+B) CASLEO spectrum, we observed the A and B stars with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) at Gemini South, Chile (Proposal GS-2007B-Q-251). A long-slit of 0.5 arcsec was placed with the orientation of the line joining the A and B stars. A grating of 600 line mm−1 was used which provided...
with the CSPN of Th 2-A. We did not find any spectroscopic study reported in the bibliography, related to being a chance superposition. On the other hand, we did not survey for resolved companions, because they noted a nearby 

The central star of Th 2-A (object A in Fig. 1) was described by Kerber et al. (2003) as being the “well defined photometric center” of the nebula (PHOT in their identification class). Ciardullo et al. (1999) determined its Johnson V-band magnitude \( V = 17.08 \) using HST images. They included this PN in their survey for resolved companions, because they noted a nearby companion (star B), which resulted to have a \( \sim 50\% \) probability of being a chance superposition. On the other hand, we did not find any spectroscopic study reported in the bibliography, related to the CSPN of Th 2-A.

The inspection of our (A+B) CASLEO spectrum revealed that the CSPN Th 2-A was one of the rare [WO] stars, thus claiming our attention. Searching for published information about this star, we found the above cited Ciardullo et al.’s work and retrieved higher spatial resolution HST-WFPC2 images from the MAST archive. We noted that certainly the B star seems more centered in the nebula than A. Moreover, we performed a preliminary aperture-photometry, and estimated that the B star is bluer than A. But, in order to get confidence about the true identification of the central star of the PN, we obtained a high spatial resolution spectrum along the A and B stars (see Fig. 1). Then, the GMOS-S spectrum (see observation description) confirmed that the B star is in fact the CSPN and A star is a late type one. These high spatial resolution images and spectra will be presented and discussed elsewhere in a thorough analysis of the whole planetary nebula system.

In our optical spectra of star B, we identified broad and intense \( \text{C} \ IV \) and \( \text{OVI} \) emission lines, that are typical of WR stars, thus confirming that this spectrum was originated in the nucleus of the PN. We identified other faint emission lines of \( \text{HeII}, \text{OVI} \), and \( \text{O VII} \) (see Table 1 for a list of all the identified features), but some of the faintest ones remained unidentified. We specially noted three emission lines which we identify as \( \text{OVI} \lambda 5590, \text{OVI} \lambda 5290, \) and \( \text{CIV} \lambda 5440 \), which are usually observed in WO-type objects. In Fig. 2, we show the LCO spectrum of Th 2-A and identify the emission lines detected in it. We show this spectrum because it covers the largest spectral range.

We performed a quantitative classification of the spectrum of the CSPN of Th 2-A using the criteria described by AN03, based on dereddened line intensities ratios and FWHM of emission lines, we obtained that most of the emission lines strongly indicate a [WO3]-type classification, but the FWHM of \( \text{CIV} \lambda 5806 \) is similar to the one measured in [WO4]pec-type stars. Then, we suggest a [WO3]pec spectral classification for the CSPN of Th 2-A. A note of caution has to be added, the line ratios indicate a type between [WO2] and [WO4] and the intrinsic scatter in the classification scheme is also non-negligible as pointed out by AN03.

Th 2-A is an old PN with a dynamical age of 7 kyr estimated in a very simplified way, i.e. ignoring the effects of the velocity gradient and the acceleration over time, and assuming a distance of 2.07 Kpc (Phillips 2004), an angular size of 27′3 (Tylenda et al. 2003) and an expansion velocity \( V_{\exp} = 18 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Meatheringham et al. 1988). The estimations of kinematical ages in PN are still matter of debate (Schonberner et al. 2005), but the classification of the CSPN fits well in the evolution sequence i.e. post-AGB \( \rightarrow [\text{WC11}] \rightarrow [\text{WC4}] \rightarrow [\text{WO4}] \rightarrow [\text{WO1}] \). Moreover, the hot spectral-type estimated for the CSPN Th 2-A is consistent with the high excitation state of the nebula (Kingsburgh & Barlow 1994).

### Table 1. Emission lines identified in the LCO spectrum of the central star of the PN Th 2-A over the 3520–9430 Å wavelength range. First column shows the central wavelength measured in our spectrum, when a deblend was not possible the centroid of the blend is indicated. The marks “:**” and “n.m.” refers to uncertain values and values no measured respectively.

| Line [Å] | Ion   | FWHM [Å] | \( W_1 \) [Å] |
|----------|-------|----------|--------------|
| 3822     | O\,\text{VI}  | 80       | 580          |
| 4118     | O\,\text{V}   | 31       | 67           |
| 4222     | C\,\text{IV}  | ?        | 22           |
| 4342     | \text{HeII}, C\,\text{IV} | 40       | 20           |
| 4515     | O\,\text{VI},O\,\text{V} | 101      | 44           |
| 4674     | C\,\text{IV},\text{HeII} | 66       | 385          |
| 4856     | C\,\text{IV}, C\,\text{IV} | 50       | 9            |
| 5101     | O\,\text{V}   | ?        | 71           |
| 5287     | O\,\text{VI}  | 54       | 33           |
| 5413     | C\,\text{IV}, \text{HeII} | 88       | 59           |
| 5468     | C\,\text{IV}  | 66       | 19           |
| 5590     | O\,\text{V}   | 92       | 82           |
| 5803     | C\,\text{IV}  | 86       | 190          |
| 6067     | O\,\text{VII}, O\,\text{VII} | 70       | 14           |
| 6197     | O\,\text{VI}  | 71       | 12           |
| 6383:    | \text{OIII}? | n.m.     | n.m.         |
| 6436:    | \text{HeII}, O\,\text{V} | n.m.     | n.m.         |
| 6555     | He\,\text{II}, C\,\text{IV} | 79       | 63           |
| 7060     | C\,\text{IV}  | 88       | 44           |
| 7567:    | ?        | n.m.     | n.m.         |
| 7725     | C\,\text{IV}, O\,\text{VI} | 95       | 158          |
| 8844:    | ?        | n.m.     | n.m.         |
| 9111:    | \text{OIII}? | n.m.     | n.m.         |
Finally, we want to remark that it is important to perform multi-epoch observations that will help to determine its binary nature (if any). Certainly, there is a surprising lack of surveys that can detect binaries, letting the actual PN binary fraction as unknown, thus some theories that propose that binary interactions have an important role can not be tested (e.g. Moe & De Marco 2006). Moreover, a full quantitative analysis using stellar atmospheric modeling will be worthwhile.
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