A pilot study of an anti-endotoxin Ig-enriched bovine colostrum to prevent experimental sepsis
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Abstract
Despite the dramatic increase in antimicrobial resistance, there is a dearth of antibiotics in development and few pharmaceutical companies working in the field. Further, any new antibiotics are likely to have a short shelf life. Ab-based interventions offer alternatives that are not likely to be circumvented by the widely prevalent antibiotic resistance genes. Bovine colostrum (BC)—the first milk after parturition, rich in nutrients and immune components—promotes gut integrity and modulates the gut microbiome. We developed a hyperimmune BC (HBC) enriched in Abs to a highly conserved LOS core region of Gram-negative bacteria by immunizing pregnant cows with a vaccine comprised of detoxified LOS from Escherichia coli O111 Rc (J5) mutant non-covalently complexed to group B meningococcal outer membrane protein (J5dLOS/OMP). This vaccine generated robust levels of anti-J5 LOS Ab in the colostrum. When given orally to neutropenic rats challenged orally with Pseudomonas aeruginosa, administration of HBC improved survival compared to non-immune rats, while both BC preparations improved survival compared to PBS controls. Elevated circulating endotoxin levels correlated with mortality. HBC and to a lesser extent non-immune BC reduced bacterial burden from the liver, lung, and spleen. We conclude that HBC and to a lesser extent BC may be effective supplements that improve outcome from lethal gut-derived disseminated infection and may reduce transmission of Gram-negative bacilli from the gastrointestinal tract.
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Introduction
The emergence and increasing incidence of antibiotic resistance genes among bacterial pathogens is recognized to be a major public health threat in food, farm animals, and human populations alike.2 Excessive use of antibiotics for medicinal purposes in human and veterinary medicine and in animal feed lots likely contributes selection pressures on pathogens, which promotes the acquisition, expression, and dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes.2–5 The recent spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria with transferable resistance genes against “last resort” antibiotics such colistin, fluoroquinolones, and carbapenems has raised the specter of a future post-antibiotic era.5,6

The World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention each observed that if the current trend in antimicrobial resistance continues, 10 million people worldwide would die of infections, potentially affecting...
$100 trillion of economic output by 2050. Governments and public–private partnerships (e.g., CARB-X) have responded by providing incentives to develop new antibiotics, as large pharmaceutical companies have ceased antibiotic development programs and small companies focusing on antibiotics have gone out of business even following regulatory approval of their drugs. Clearly, additional approaches are urgently required.

Possible approaches to regain an upper hand against MDR bacterial pathogens include: (a) developing entirely new classes of chemotherapeutic agents to keep pace with the rapid evolution and dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes, while (b) developing non-antibiotic alternative strategies to complement or even replace antibiotic therapy for MDR pathogens. Finding novel antibiotics to defend against MDR pathogens will be challenging. Despite years of investigation in microbial genomics and advances in combinatorial chemistry, new discoveries have been successful in improving already existing classes of antibiotics. However, finding entirely new broad-spectrum microbial targets for the next generation of antibiotics has proven elusive thus far. With thousands of antimicrobial resistance genes widely prevalent, any new antibiotic is likely to have a relatively short shelf life. The development of vaccines directed against MDR Gram-negative pathogens may prevent the acquisition and transmission of these bacteria and not be subject to antimicrobial resistance mechanisms. Vaccines against healthcare-associated Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) such as Klebsiella, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas infections and the Gram-positive anaerobic organism Clostridioides difficile are currently under development. The active induction or passive administration of vaccine-induced Abs may prevent the colonization and block subsequent mucosal invasion by GNB. One innovative approach to reduce bacterial colonization and infection via the gut mucosa is to administer bovine colostrum (BC) enriched in Abs to bacterial pathogens orally. Using pathogen-specific vaccines, hyperimmune BC (HBC) has been produced for the prevention and/or treatment of infections with Shigella flexneri, enterotoxigenic E. coli (travelers’ diarrhea), cryptosporidium, and C. difficile, among many infections. BC also contains high concentrations of oligosaccharides, which limit attachment of bacteria in the gut, thereby lowering colonization rates of potential pathogens.

Since each GNB species has multiple clinically relevant serotypes, however, such a strategy will require multivalent vaccines, and many are in development. In contrast, a vaccine directed against highly conserved epitopes in the LPS in the cell envelope of most Gram-negative bacteria may provide broad coverage. Braude et al. were first to develop a bacterial antiserum designed to prevent endotoxic shock in humans. The initial vaccine preparation was a mutant strain of E. coli O111, which did not express the complete LPS outer core structure owing to a mutation at the Rc position within the core glycolipid of LPS. This strain was designated as E. coli J5. The Abs raised in response to the heat-killed bacterial challenge were then collected from healthy human volunteers. The resulting human plasma was used to produce an immune serum (J5 antisera) for use in septic patients with GNB sepsis. The whole cell J5 bacterium vaccine has been formulated and used for the prevention of bovine mastitis for decades in veterinary medicine.

Initial clinical trials with J5 antisera or similarly anti-LPS-enriched plasma preparations given as passive immunotherapy for septic patients caused by Gram-negative pathogens significantly improved outcomes. Subsequent clinical trials failed to show that passive administration of anti-core glycolipid Abs improved survival, but these studies did not ensure adequate levels of circulating Abs. In contrast, active immunization with a core glycolipid vaccine is likely to elicit longer-lasting Abs. The vaccine formulation now under investigation is a unique therapeutic consisting of the LPS from the original E. coli J5 bacterium detoxified by alkali treatment and non-covalently linked with the outer membrane protein of group B Neisseria meningitidis to optimize conformational epitopes and provide adjuvant immunogenicity. This vaccine is known as E. coli J5 detoxified LPS/Neisseria meningitidis group B outer membrane protein and will subsequently be referred to as J5dLOS/OMP. In two separate Phase I studies, it has been shown to be safe, well tolerated, and immunogenic.

We have previously demonstrated that immunizing pregnant dairy cows with this novel core glycolipid anti-LPS vaccine could generate a robust Ab response in the plasma and colostrum following parturition, but we did not examine its functional activity. The current studies were undertaken to determine if orally administered anti-endotoxin HBC can be protective from intestinal colonization and infection with the common, opportunistic, Gram-negative bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In the presence of chemotherapy-induced severe neutropenia, rats become acutely susceptible to Pseudomonas bacteremia and mortality during or shortly after the duration of severe neutropenia.

Methods
Reagents
All chemicals and reagents used in these experiments were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise specifically stated.
Animal care and the neutropenic rat model of infection

Female albino specific-pathogen-free Sprague Dawley rats (125–150 g) were used in these experiments and were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA). The animals were housed in an IACUC-approved university-affiliated facility under BSL-2 conditions. The experimental protocol was approved by the Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University Institutional Animal Care Committee before any experiments were undertaken. Rats were allowed to adjust to the laboratory conditions for at least 7 d before beginning any experiments. Animals were housed in environmentally isolated cages and maintained at a constant ambient temperature and humidity on a 12 h day/night cycle. Animals were provided with an ad libitum supply of commercial rodent chow and distilled water. The details of the neutropenic rat model are described further in our earlier work.

Three groups of rats were rendered neutropenic with cyclophosphamide given intraperitoneally at 100 mg/kg at time 0 and a second dose at 50 mg/kg 72 h later. A single dose of moxifloxacin 10 mg/kg was given intramuscularly to overcome colonization resistance before oral challenge with P. aeruginosa 12:4:4 (Fisher-Devlin Immuno-type 6). The bacterial challenge was given at a dose of 10^7 CFU in 2 ml by gastric lavage on d 0, 2, and 4. The investigators were blinded to the treatment assignment until the end of the experiments. One group of rats received the Ab-enriched BC (n = 13). A second group received non-immune colostrum (n = 13), while a third group served as a control group and was given PBS (n = 4). Orogastric feeding of the colostrum or PBS at a volume of 2 ml/d began on d 3 and continued for the next 6 d (last dose on d 8). The study objective was to determine if the HBC was effective in limiting endotoxin release into the circulation and in improving survival in these septic animals. The endpoints were the level and frequency of P. aeruginosa bacteremia, systemic LPS levels, clinical signs of sepsis (fever, lethargy, mass loss), and survival. LPS was measured in heat-treated plasma using the quantitative limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) assays using standard methods. Quantitative bacteriology of liver, lung, and spleen homogenates were performed by plating serial 1:10 dilutions on Pseudomonas-specific agar.

Bovine immunization

Four Holstein cows selected based on their expectation to give birth within 3 mo were immunized as previously described. Cows were immunized subcutaneously on d 0, 16, 41, and 58 with J5dLOS/OMP vaccine given with the adjuvant Emulsigen–DR (MVP Adjuvants). None of the cows had previously received any coliform-containing vaccine. The cows developed high titers of systemic Ab against this conserved core region of LPS, which was then secreted into the colostrum that was obtained within the first d of delivery. The BC from one cow that contained a high titer of anti-J5dLOS polyclonal Abs (70,320 ELISA units/ml) directed against core glycolipid structure of LPS following immunization with the J5dLOS/OMP vaccine was selected for further study.

Recognition of P. aeruginosa by J5 antisera

J5 antisera. A New Zealand white rabbit was immunized with the same lot of J5dLOS/OMP vaccine used to immunize the cows. The initial inoculation was with 20 µg of the J5dLOS/OMP vaccine with 0.125 ml Hiltonol (polyI/polyC) adjuvant (Oncovir), a TLR3 agonist, with boosts at 14 and 28 d. The rabbit was bled at d 42.

Bacterial preparations. The LPS was prepared from the following PA strains: PAO1, a laboratory-adapted strain having a O5 serotype, PA14 and PA BE-2, both clinical isolates from the collection of one of us (R.E.), and PA IATS serotypes O1, O6, and O11, originally obtained from Dr. Joseph Lam (Guelph, Ontario, Canada). They were grown in lysogenic broth (LB) supplemented with 1 mM MgCl2 at 37°C and rotated at 250 rpm. Briefly, following hot/phenol water extraction, contaminating nucleic acids and proteins were removed by digestion with RNase A, DNase I, and proteinase K followed by dialysis. LPS samples were then subjected to extractions to remove residual phospholipids and lipoproteins.

Lysates were prepared from these latter three strains as well as from Staphylococcus aureus USA300 (negative control) and E. coli O111, J5 mutant from overnight cultures. Bacterial pellets were re-suspended in LPS lysis buffer (0.1 M Tris HCl, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, and 4% 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 8), boiled at 100°C, and treated with proteinase K, as previously described.

Western blots. Bacterial lysates and LPS from multiple PA strains and E. coli O111 J5 mutant were run on Novex precast Tricine polyacrylamide gels (10–20%), as previously described, using a discontinuous buffer system with a cathode buffer (0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M Tricine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.25) in the upper chamber and anode buffer (0.2 M Tris, pH 8.9) in the lower chamber. The gel was run at approximately 100–150 V until the loading dye reached the bottom of the gel. The gel was transferred onto a mini PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA; cat. # 170-4275) in transfer buffer and run at 1.3 A, 25 V, for 13 min.
A S. aureus lysate was used as an irrelevant Ag control. The J5 rabbit antiserum was added at a dilution of 1:5000, followed by goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP at 1:20,000 (Figure 4a and b). Pre-immune sera from the same rabbit were run against J5 and PAO1 LPS and lysates (Figure 4c) and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP at 1:20,000 in the absence of primary Ab (Figure 4d).

**Statistical analysis**

Survival outcomes were compared by Kaplan–Meier survival plots using the log rank test. Differences between two groups were analyzed by a Mann–Whitney U-test for quantitative microbiology of microbiology measures in tissue samples and endotoxin determinations. Numeric data are presented as median values with 25–75th percentile values, and P values with a two-sided value of < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Effects of immune and non-immune BC on survival**

Rats randomized to receive BC and non-immune BC had greater survival (17/30) compared to those that received PBS (0/4; P < 0.001). Rats that were treated with the J5 HBC had a greater survival after *P. aeruginosa* challenge than did the rats randomized to the non-immune BC (11/13 vs. 6/13; P < 0.0459). The Kaplan–Meier survival plots are depicted in Figure 1.

**Effects on bacterial burdens from the *P. aeruginosa* challenge strain within organs**

All lethally infected and surviving animals underwent necropsy at the end of the 10 d experiment and quantitative assessment of colony counts (CFU/mg tissue) in liver, lung, and splenic tissues. The microbial burden in the liver, lung, and spleen (expressed in median CFU *Pseudomonas*/mg tissue) was several orders of magnitude higher in the PBS control group (4200 CFU/mg liver; 39,677 CFU/mg lung; 32,000 CFU/mg spleen) versus the non-immune BC-treated group (159 CFU/mg liver; 0 CFU/mg lung; 719 CFU/mg spleen) and HBC-treated group (median level of 0 CFU/mg in each of the organs; P < 0.001; Figure 2). No difference in bacterial burden was observed between the immune and non-immune colostrum-treated groups.

**Plasma endotoxin levels**

Circulating endotoxin levels in the plasma of each rat were measured at d 6, 2 d after the last orogastric dose of *P. aeruginosa*, when animals first appeared ill. We observed elevated LAL levels in animals in the HBC and BC groups who eventually succumbed versus those that survived (median of non-survivors = 2.68 ng/ml vs. 0.17 ng/ml for survivors; P = 0.0051 two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test). All animals (two in the HBC and two in the BC groups) with LAL values of 2.5 ng/ml died, while two animals in the BC group died despite LAL levels < 0.5 ng/ml (Figure 3).

![Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier survival plot comparing outcomes of the three groups of animals used in these experiments. Animals receiving either hyperimmune bovine colostrum (HBC) or non-immune colostrum had improved survival compared to those receiving PBS (17/30 vs. 0/4; *P < 0.001). Survival was significantly greater in the HBC-treated group compared to the non-immune colostrum groups (11/13 vs. 6/13; **P < 0.0459).](https://example.com/figure1.png)
Recognition of *P. aeruginosa* by J5 antisera

Western blots. The J5 antisera bound to low molecular mass moieties in the lysates and their respective LPS preparations of three different *P. aeruginosa* IATS O serotype strains (PA IATS O1, PA IATS O6, and PA IATS O11) at similar mobilities as the lysate from *E. coli* J5 (Figure 4a). The J5 sera also bound to the LPS derived from the laboratory strain PAO1 (O5 serotype) as well as to the two clinical isolates, PA14 and PA BE-2, also at a gel mobility similar to that of the *E. coli* J5 LPS (Figure 4b). The J5 antisera did not bind to *S. aureus* USA300 lysate (negative control; Figure 4b, lane 12). The pre-immune rabbit antisera did not bind to either the J5 LOS or lysate (Figure 4c), and there was no binding in the absence of primary (anti-J5) Ab (Figure 4d). Of potential interest, while the J5 antisera appeared to recognize a shared band in each of the preparations, there also seemed to be heterogenous binding as well.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we report that administration of both non-immune BC and J5 HBC improved survival in neutropenic rats lethally challenged with a heterologous pathogen, *P. aeruginosa*. However, animals that received the J5 HBC had a better survival than those that were administered the non-immune BC. Since we did not include a hyperimmune colostrum raised against an irrelevant Ag, however, we cannot dismiss the possibility that the protection observed with the J5 HBC might have been attributable to the effect of non-specific Ag stimulation. The survival results were correlated with the bacterial organ burden. At their moribund state (72 h), control rats had >10⁴ CFU/g tissue in the lung and spleen, while those treated with non-immune BC had ~30-fold fewer CFU/g tissue. Rats that received the HBC had no detectable bacteria when they were euthanized at 14 d. Thus, the J5 HBC promoted the clearance of *P. aeruginosa*. Elevated endotoxin levels at d 6 correlated with overall survival. The median LAL level in the HBC group was lower...
than that of the BC group (0.26 vs. 0.41 ng/ml), but this was not significant.

BC, the first milk after parturition in lactating mammals, provides essential nutrients and nonspecific immune factors, including immunoglobulins, which provide passive immunity until newborn immunity is established. In contrast to humans, maternal immunoglobulin in cattle does not cross the placenta, and therefore calves are entirely dependent on colostrum for Abs. Bovine IgG1, the main milk Ab, survives transit through the gut, remains active in the intestinal tract, and may replace secretory IgA. Immunoglobulin concentrations are nearly 100-fold higher in colostrum than mature milk. BC also strengthens host defenses.

Figure 4. Western blot binding of anti-J5dLOS/OMP rabbit sera to *P. aeruginosa* LPS and bacterial lysates. (a) J5-immune sera was added onto *P. aeruginosa* IATS O1 lysate (lane 1); *P. aeruginosa* IATS O6 lysate (lane 3); *P. aeruginosa* IATS O11 (lane 5); *P. aeruginosa* IATS O1 LPS (lane 7); *P. aeruginosa* IATS O6 LPS (lane 9); *P. aeruginosa* IATS O11 LPS (lane 11); *E. coli* J5 lysate (lane 13). (b) J5-immune sera was added onto *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (IATS O5) LPS (lane 2); *P. aeruginosa* O14 LPS (lane 4); *P. aeruginosa* BE-2 LPS (lane 6); *E. coli* J5 LPS (lane 8); *E. coli* J5 lysate (lane 10); and *S. aureus* USA 300 lysate (lane 12). (c) Pre-immune sera was blotted onto *E. coli* J5 LPS (lane 2); *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (IATS O5) LPS (lane 4); *E. coli* J5 lysate (lane 6); and *P. aeruginosa* IATS O1 lysate (lane 8). (d) Anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (i.e., secondary Ab only) was added onto *E. coli* J5 LPS (lane 1); *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (IATS O5) LPS (lane 3); *E. coli* J5 lysate (lane 5); and *P. aeruginosa* IATS O1 lysate (lane 7).

BC, the first milk after parturition in lactating mammals, provides essential nutrients and nonspecific immune factors, including immunoglobulins, which provide passive immunity until newborn immunity is established. In contrast to humans, maternal immunoglobulin in cattle does not cross the placenta, and therefore calves are entirely dependent on colostrum for Abs. Bovine IgG1, the main milk Ab, survives transit through the gut, remains active in the intestinal tract, and may replace secretory IgA. Immunoglobulin concentrations are nearly 100-fold higher in colostrum than mature milk. BC also strengthens host defenses.
with antimicrobial peptides, lactoferrin, and cytokines, including IL-17. It also contains high amounts of TGF-β, which has anti-inflammatory properties, regulates tissue repair, and is essential in the induction of regulatory T cells. BC has recently been found to contain microRNAs that also have immune regulation potential. With high amounts of growth factors, BC promotes the maturation and integrity of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and helps establish the microbial composition of the GI tract by promoting the growth of beneficial microflora and protecting against the adherence of pathogens. Thus, BC provides immune and nutritional support, promotes gut integrity, and enhances gut microbiome development. These components of BC diminish on succeeding days after delivery and are not present in mature milk.

Animals that received the hyperimmune BC showed decreased organ bacterial burden, suggesting that the J5 IgG promoted the uptake and clearance of the \( P. \ aeruginosa \). Published studies have reported that the core structures of \( P. \ aeruginosa \) and \( Enterobacteriaceae \) are chemically different. Yet, we were unable to find studies that examined whether they were immunologically different. In the current report, we find that J5 antisera does bind to a low molecular mass moiety in multiple serotypes and clinical isolates of \( P. \ aeruginosa \) that migrate similarly to J5 LPS (Figure 4). The basis for this cross-reactivity needs to be more clearly defined using defined core mutants of \( P. \ aeruginosa \).

Our initial studies with a J5-affinity-purified IgG that lacked any anti-\( Pseudomonas \) LPS IgG showed highly significant protection against lethal infection caused by this same challenge strain of PA. The ability of passively administered J5-specific IgG Ab to protect against PA infection strongly suggests that the protection was both Ab mediated and J5 Ag specific. Since then, we have reported that in preclinical studies, J5 Ab protects against a wide range of GNB, including \( Pseudomonas \), \( Klebsiella \), and \( E. \ coli \), and in multiple animal models of infection when administered passively or elicited actively. Further, in a large blinded randomly controlled clinical study, Ziegler et al. demonstrated a significant protective effect of J5 immune sera, where PA was the second leading cause of GNB bacteremia (44 total infections). In summary, there are considerable data suggesting that J5 Ab can recognize both \( P. \ aeruginosa \) and \( Enterobacteriaceae \) core oligosaccharides, despite their structural differences.

BC has achieved GRAS (“generally regarded as safe”) status. There is a growing literature on the use of HBC generated by the immunization of pregnant dairy cows with various vaccines before delivery for the treatment of infections in humans. Ben Ya’acov et al. immunized cows with a heat-killed vaccine comprised of a mixture of enteropathogenic \( E. \ coli \) (Imm124ER) and showed that multiple oral daily doses of the HBC that contained IgG and IgA Abs specific for multiple ETEC Ags ameliorated the loss of weight in a murine model of chemically induced (trinitrobenzene sulfonate) colitis. In this study, however, the titer of LPS-specific Abs within the colostrum was not determined, and functional activity beyond reducing weight loss in the colitis model was not assessed. Consequently, it is not clear if the protective capacity of Imm124ER colostrum is limited to ETEC strains or if it could be more broadly cross-react with invasive strains of \( E. \ coli \) or heterologous GNB.

In contrast to these studies, we generated Abs to a broad spectrum of GNB and showed that while the non-immune BC provided protection compared to controls, the J5 HBC conferred greater protection against infection with a heterologous pathogen and was accompanied by a reduction in bacterial organ burden. HBC with Abs directed at a highly conserved epitope(s) in the LPS of GNB could be an important component of treatment of those conditions characterized by a “leaky” gut and/or translocation of endotoxin into the circulation, which may lead to systemic inflammation. For example, endotoxemia during coronary artery bypass surgery is associated with increased morbidity, and this is less likely if the patient has preexisting Abs against endotoxin. In our study, we demonstrate that treatment with J5 HBC reduces endotoxemia and mortality. The fact that the J5 HBC further improved survival and reduced organ bacterial load compared to non-immune BC also suggests that the anti-LPS Abs contributed by facilitating bacterial clearance. Since the non-immune BC also reduced mortality, it is likely that the BC promoted reduced gut permeability, but we did not assess that mechanism directly. A broadly cross-reactive HBC also might also be useful to prevent the transmission of GNB pathogens that colonize the GI tract of patients at risk of infection, including MDR GNB, such as those in a nursing home or in long-term care facilities. Given the prevalence of MDR bacteria, BC enriched in Abs to bacteria associated with healthcare-associated infections merits further investigation.
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