Threatened, host-specific affiliates of a red-listed host: Three new species of Acanthobothrium van Beneden, 1849 (Cestoda: Onchoproteocephalidea) from the endangered white skate, Rostroraja alba (Lacépède)
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ABSTRACT

The white skate, Rostroraja alba (Lacépède), is listed as an endangered species, the second-highest category before being declared extinct in the wild, in the International Union for Conservation of Nature’s (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species. This species is heavily affected by anthropogenic impacts such as capture induced stress by overfishing and by-catch, habitat degradation and pollution that caused a drastic decline in populations in recent years. As part of a larger study on elasmobranch affiliates in southern Africa, two specimens of R. alba were screened. Three species of the tapeworm genus Acanthobothrium van Beneden, 1849 (Cestoda: Onchoproteocephalidea) were discovered. Application of Ghoshroy and Caira’s classification system facilitated the differentiation of congeners through a combination of specific morphological characteristics. As a consequence, three species new to science are described herein, namely Acanthobothrium umbungus n. sp., Acanthobothrium usengainius n. sp., and Acanthobothrium ulondolozus n. sp. In light of these new discoveries from an endangered host, it is apparent to address the conservation status of its affiliate species, that co-evolved with their elasmobranch hosts for millions of years, thereby creating unique and intimate host-parasite interrelationships.

1. Introduction

Elasmobranchs are currently facing alarming global population declines with 37% of species threatened with a higher risk of extinction, making them the most threatened group of vertebrates in the marine environment (IUCN, 2021). These apex marine predators are heavily affected by anthropogenic impacts, most notably through overfishing and by-catch, and habitat degradation (amongst others) that caused a drastic decline in populations in recent years (Siskey et al., 2019; Sousa et al., 2019). What makes it even more alarming is that elasmobranchs host a variety of affiliate species within and on their bodies, that make up a large proportion of the marine biodiversity (Caira and Healy, 2004; Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a).

Helminths such as cestodes have co-evolved with their elasmobranch hosts for millions of years (see for instance Dentzien-Dias et al., 2013), thereby creating unique and very intimate host-parasite interrelationships (Caira and Jensen, 2001, 2014). Acanthobothrium van Beneden, 1850 (Cestoda: Onchoproteocephalidea II, sensu Caira and Jensen, 2017) is reported to be the most species-rich tapeworm genus known to infect elasmobranchs (Maleki et al., 2015; Caira and Jensen, 2017), currently consisting of 207 valid species (Caira and Jensen, 2017; Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2019, 2020; Van Der Spuy et al., 2020). Albeit already being an extremely diverse genus, Caira and Jensen (2017) state that an estimated 800 additional species of Acanthobothrium await future
discovery. Species of *Acanthobothrium* are also known as syncytial taxa, exhibiting oxiocenous host specificity (Fyler, 2009; Caira and Jensen, 2017). This means that multiple species of *Acanthobothrium* infect a single definitive host species (Caira and Jensen, 2017). This trait could represent a beneficial attribute in providing vital information on the host’s biology, life conditions and environmental requirements (Nhi et al., 2013). In recent times, these organisms were also implemented as indicators for ecosystem health assessments (Jankovska et al., 2013). In recent times, these organisms were also implemented as indicators for ecosystem health assessments (Jankovska et al., 2013). In recent times, these organisms were also implemented as indicators for ecosystem health assessments (Jankovska et al., 2013).

Unfortunately, concurrent with their elasmobranch hosts, research on cestodes and their unique interrelationships with their definitive hosts are ignored and not taken into consideration by conservation agencies. This leaves most of these taxa and their unique ecological services they may provide unknown to science, and render them even more vulnerable to extinction (Poulin and Presswell, 2016; Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a). Acknowledging this research necessity, two specimens of the endangered white skate, *Rostroraja alba* (Lacepède), were screened for cestodes as part of a larger study on elasmobranch affinities in the understudied ocean basins surrounding southern Africa. By use of light and scanning electron microscopy, we provide taxonomic information on species of *Acanthobothrium*, and describe three species new to science.

### 2. Materials and methods

Specimens for research purposes were obtained with the help of the South African Shark Conservancy (SASC). Ethical approval for this project was received from the North-West University Animal Care, Health and Safety, Research Ethics Committee (NWU-AnimCareREC) with ethics number NWU-00065-19-A5. Permits for the collection and possession of batoid specimens for the purpose of research were issued by the South African Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (permit nos. RES2019/58 and RES2019/61 issued to the South African Shark Conservancy).

Two specimens of *Rostroraja alba* [specimen 1: female, mature, 1.85 m in length (tail missing), 1.70 m in disc width, approx. 70 kg in weight, sampling code HE-19-03, fin-clip NB714; specimen 2: female, mature, 2.11 m in length, 1.63 m in disc width, approx. 60 kg, sampling code HE-19-04, fin-clip EC426] were collected by longline in February 2019 from Danger Point, Gansbaai, South Africa [34° 28’ 50” S, 19° 19’ 55” E]. Both skates were euthanised by use of an adjunctive procedure, inducing narcosis of a Nikon Y-TV55 video camera mounted on a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni light microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). These images were used to obtain measurements for descriptive analyses by use of image analyses software Image Pro Express (Nikon, Japan). Measurements of internal organs, body structures and hooks followed specifications given by of a Nikon Y-TV55 video camera mounted on a Nikon ECLIPSE Ni light microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). These images were used to obtain measurements for descriptive analyses by use of image analyses software Image Pro Express (Nikon, Japan). Measurements of internal organs, body structures and hooks followed specifications given by

#### Table 1

| Character | A. umbungus n. sp. | A. asengoinius n. sp. | A. alonidolus n. sp. |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Total length (mm) | 4.23 ± 1.89; 17 | 7.80 ± 0.92; 7 | 11.25 ± 1.40; 7 |
| Scolex L | 465 ± 30; 17 | 569 ± 34; 7 | 561 ± 45; 7 |
| Scolex W | 288 ± 49; 17 | 484 ± 61; 7 | 409 ± 68; 6 |
| Bothridium W | 136 ± 13; 17 | 233 ± 12; 7 | 181 ± 10; 6 |
| Anterior (A) loculus L | 149 ± 15; 14 | 247 ± 8; 7 | 205 ± 6; 6 |
| Middle (M) loculus L | 84 ± 10; 14 | 134 ± 6; 7 | 109 ± 18; 6 |
| Posterior (P) loculus L | 78 ± 13; 14 | 102 ± 5; 7 | 107 ± 7; 5 |
| Loculus L ratio (A : M : P) | 0.52 ± 0.3; 14 | 0.41 ± 0.1; 7 | 0.52 ± 0.1; 5 |
| Muscular pap L | 80 ± 9; 14 | 94 ± 14; 7 | 136 ± 10; 5 |
| Muscular pap W | 106 ± 9; 14 | 146 ± 10; 7 | 153 ± 8; 5 |
| Accessory sucker | 24 ± 4; 12 | 25 ± 2; 7 | 32 ± 4; 5 |
| Accessory sucker W | 38 ± 7; 12 | 48 ± 5; 7 | 42 ± 5; 5 |
| Lateral hook A | 58 ± 6; 16 | 68 ± 4; 7 | 69 ± 6; 6 |
| Lateral hook B | 143 ± 9; 16 | 144 ± 7; 7 | 157 ± 3; 6 |
| Lateral hook C | 115 ± 6; 16 | 133 ± 5; 7 | 138 ± 5; 5 |
| Lateral hook D | 196 ± 7; 16 | 203 ± 10; 7 | 215 ± 3; 6 |
| Medial hook A’ | 60 ± 5; 17 | 69 ± 3; 7 | 68 ± 5; 5 |
| Medial hook B’ | 143 ± 10; 17 | 149 ± 10; 7 | 153 ± 10; 5 |
| Medial hook C’ | 111 ± 6; 17 | 132 ± 7; 7 | 133 ± 9; 5 |
| Medial hook D’ | 196 ± 11; 17 | 204 ± 15; 7 | 207 ± 4; 5 |
| Cephalic peduncle L | 457 ± 124; 15 | 749 ± 211; 7 | 1244 ± 150; 7 |
| Cephalic peduncle W | 82 ± 10; 15 | 121 ± 2; 7 | 82 ± 8; 7 |
| Proglottid N | 21 ± 4; 17 | 38 ± 5; 7 | 40 ± 7; 7 |
| Immature proglottid N | 20 ± 4; 17 | 37 ± 5; 7 | 37 ± 7; 7 |
| Mature proglottid N | 1 ± 1; 17 | 1 ± 1; 7 | 3 ± 1; 7 |
| Genital pore position (%) | 54 ± 4; 11 | 51 ± 5; 7 | 54 ± 4; 7 |
| Terminal proglottid L | 907 ± 520; 11 | 1147 ± 310; 7 | 1565 ± 389; 7 |
| Terminal proglottid W | 273 ± 56; 12 | 333 ± 39; 7 | 320 ± 27; 7 |
| Terminal proglottid ratio (L : W) | 3 ± 1; 11 | 3 ± 1; 7 | 5 ± 1; 7 |
| Cirrus-sac L | 138 ± 19; 12 | 176 ± 33; 6 | 192 ± 20; 7 |
| Testis N | 148 ± 12; 17 | 50 ± 5; 6 | 66 ± 6; 6 |
| Testis W | 33 ± 3; 17 | 52 ± 8; 6 | 54 ± 4; 7 |
| Post-portal testis N | 5 ± 1; 17 | 5 ± 1; 7 | 8 ± 1; 7 |
| Postovarian testis N | 0; 17 | 0; 7 | 1; 7 |
| Testis L | 43 ± 8; 12 | 54 ± 6; 6 | 55 ± 5; 7 |
| Testis W | 34 ± 3; 12 | 47 ± 4; 6 | 44 ± 5; 7 |
| Poral ovarian arm L | 386 ± 143; 12 | 624 ± 56; 6 | 683 ± 198; 7 |
| Aporal ovarian arm L | 434 ± 150; 12 | 685 ± 58; 6 | 773 ± 224; 7 |
| Ovary W | 95 ± 19; 12 | 157 ± 14; 6 | 126 ± 16; 6 |
| Vitelline follicle L | 11 ± 3; 17 | 30 ± 3; 7 | 17 ± 3; 7 |
| Vitelline follicle W | 22 ± 7; 17 | 19 ± 1; 7 | 34 ± 8; 7 |
obtained from the second of a tentaculariid species belonging to the order Trypanorhyncha were examined for cestode infections. Three, morphologically-distinct parasite collection of the Water Research Group, North-West University, been examined. Besides the total length measured in millimetres, all other measurements are presented in micrometres. Line drawings of individual specimens were acquired by use of a drawing attachment tube.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed on selected specimens in order to characterise microthrix patterns. Two specimens of each species were cleaned in 70% ethanol from host mucus, and dried by critical point drying. Specimens were then mounted onto carbon tape on aluminum stubs and sputter-coated with carbon (Emscope TB500, Quorum Technologies, Puslinch, Ontario, Canada), followed by 20–30 nm gold/palladium (Eiko IB2 ion coater, Eiko, Japan). Each specimen was observed by use of a FEI NovaNanoSEM 450 scanning electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, Oregon, USA). Terminology on the microthrix morphology of different scolex regions and strobila follows Chevry (2009). Micrographs were also taken of both immature proglottids (directly posterior to the cephalic peduncle) and mature proglottids (the most anterior region of the terminal proglottid).

The most recent species descriptions of *Acanthobothrium*, species determination followed Ghoshroy and Caira’s (2001) category classification system to facilitate species characterisations. Species were grouped and assessed based on the following four morphological features: total length of the cestode (<0.25 mm), number of proglottids (<250 proglottids), number of testes (<10 proglottids per proglottid, and the symmetry or asymmetry of aporal and oral ovarian lobes (see Ghoshroy and Caira, 2001). Congener are distinguished only between members within the same category, as different categories already confirm their dissimilarity in various morphological features (Fyler and Caira, 2006).

All type material has been deposited in the following three helminthological collections: the National Museum, Bloemfontein, South Africa (NMB); the Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Ceské Budejovice, Czech Republic (IPCAS); and the Natural History Museum, Geneva, Switzerland (MHNG-PLAT). Stubs containing specimens of each species used for SEM were retained in the parasite collection of the Water Research Group, North-West University, South Africa.

3. Results

Two, female specimens of the endangered white skate, *R. alba* have been examined for cestode infections. Three, morphologically-distinct species of *Acanthobothrium* were discovered; the first species was found to parasitise the first skate, while the other two species were found in the spiral intestines of the second skate. In addition, few larval stages of a tentaculariid species belonging to the order Trypanorhyncha were obtained from the second *R. alba* (data not presented herein).

3.1. *Acanthobothrium umbungus* n. sp. (Figs. 1 and 2)

**Description** (based on whole mounts of 12 mature and five immature worms; two mature worms examined with SEM): Worms 2.4–8.9 mm long, greatest width at level of scolex, 15–32 proglottids per worm, euapolytic. Scolex consisting of scolex proper and cephalic peduncle. Scolex proper with four bothridia, 415–524 mm long by 225–385 wide. Bothridia free posteriorly, 118–154 wide; each bothridium with three loculi and specialised anterior region in form of muscular pad. Muscular pad 70–96 long by 93–116 wide, falciform in shape, with pronounced posterior margin, bearing accessory sucker and one pair of hooks at posterior margin; accessory sucker 20–30 long by 31–45 wide. Anterior loculus (A) 125–172 long; middle loculus (M) 65–110 long; posterior loculus (P) 60–105 long; loculus length ratio (A: M: P) 1.00 : 0.56 : 0.52; maximum width of scolex at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.

Hooks bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of axial prongs; internal channels of axial and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; axial prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Lateral hook measurements: A 49–69, B 127–158, C 102–125, D 183–208. Medial hook measurements: A’ 48–71, B’ 131–162, C’ 101–121, D’ 180–216. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hook slightly overlapping base of medial hook along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 1D); lateral hook base slightly wider than medial hook base. Tissue covering almost entire length of each proglom of hooks. Short cephalic peduncle 291–834 long by 62–97 wide.

Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate spintriches, filtriches not observed (Fig. 2C). Apical pad and distal bothridial surface covered with acicular filtriches and sparsely interspersed gladiate spintriches (Fig. 2D). Proximal bothridial surface and bothridial rings covered with gladiate spintriches, interspersed with acicular filtriches (Fig. 2E). Entire strobila covered in acicular filtriches (Fig. 2F and G).

Proglottids acraspedote. Immature proglottids 16–30 in number; 1–2 mature proglottids; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 426–1460 long by 165–345 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 2.3–5.4 : 1.0. Proglottids proglottidus; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating (Fig. 1A), 49–65% of proglottid length from posterior margin.

Testes conspicuous in mature proglottids, oval in dorsoventral view, 28–59 long by 27–40 wide, arranged in two to three irregular columns anterior to ovarian isthmus (Fig. 1C), one layer deep, 29–36 in total number, 5–6 in post-portal field. Cirrus-sac pyriform (Fig. 1C), 107–164 long by 35–71 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and straight proximally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally at anterior margin of cirrus-sac to common genital atrium. Vaginal spincter prominent (Fig. 1C). Ovary occupying half of proglottid, almost reaching posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated (Fig. 1C), asymmetrical, 60–124 wide at level of ovarian isthmus; poral lobe 139–594 in length; aporal lobe 198–667 in length; ovarian lobes not reaching level of genital opening anteriorly; ovarian isthmus located posterior to mid-level of ovary. Melhis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

Vitellarium follicular; follicles in two lateral bands, 6–16 long by 10–32 wide, length relative to testis length 0.2–0.4 : 1.0; each band consisting of two columns, extending from posterior margin of anteriormost testes to posterior margin of ovary (Fig. 1C). Uterus thin-walled, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid (Fig. 1C). Eggs not observed.

- Type host: White skate, *Rostroraja alba* (Lacépède) (Rajiformes: Rajidae).
- Type locality: Danger Point, Gansbaai, South Africa (34°28′50″S, 19°19′55″E).
- Site of infection: Spiral intestine.
- Prevalence of infection: 50% (one of two skates examined).
- Type material: Holotype deposited at NMB (Accession number: XXX), paratypes in NMB (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX), IPCAS (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX) and MHNG (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX).
- ZooBank number for species: XXXXXX.
- Etymology: The species name “umbungus” is derived from “umbungu” [Xhosa; an indigenous language to the Eastern and Western Cape of South Africa] meaning “worm”, referring to the species of tapeworm.

**Remarks**

Following the description of four new species of *Acanthobothrium* by Van Der Spuy et al. (2020), a total of 207 valid species of *Acanthobothrium* are currently recognised worldwide. Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) developed a category classification system that facilitates the differentiation between congeners. *Acanthobothrium umbungus* n. sp. is a
category 2 species (sensu Ghoshroy and Caira, 2001), with a relatively small body (<15 mm), few segments (<50 in number), few testes (<80 in number) and asymmetrical ovarian lobes. It was therefore compared to 51 congeners with the same category assignation. The most distinguishable feature of *A. umbungus* n. sp. is its hooks, as the hooks of most congeners within this category are much smaller in size (lateral hooks: $B < 117 \mu m$ vs $127–158 \mu m$, $C < 102 \mu m$ vs $102–125 \mu m$, $D < 170 \mu m$ vs $183–208 \mu m$; medial hooks: $B' < 125 \mu m$ vs $131–162 \mu m$, $C' < 101 \mu m$ vs $101–121 \mu m$, $D' < 159 \mu m$ vs $180–216 \mu m$, respectively). Based on the hook measurements, *A. umbungus* n. sp. already differs from all but nine species, namely *A. annapienkensis* Carvajal et Goldstein (1971), *A. brayi* Campbell et Beveridge (2002), *A. domingae* Franzese et Ivanov, 2020, *A. gloveri* Campbell et Beveridge (2002), *A. guanghiaensis* Yang, Sun, Zhi, Iwaki, Reyda et Yang (2016), *A. popi* Fyler, Caira et Jensen (2009), *A. ppdeleoni* Zaragoza-Tapia, Pulido-Flores et Monks, 2020, *A. tasajerasi* Brooks (1977), and *A. thomasae* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002. The hooks of both *A. annapienkensis* and *A. domingae* exceed that of *A. umbungus* n. sp. (lateral hooks: $B > 180 \mu m$ vs $127–158 \mu m$, $C > 125 \mu m$ vs $102–125 \mu m$, $D > 240 \mu m$ vs $183–208 \mu m$, respectively). Besides the differences in hook measurements, *A. brevissime* Linton (1908), *A. campbelli* Marques, Brooks et Monks, 1995, *A. edwardsi* Williams (1969), *A. lasti* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, *A. minus* Tazerouti et al., 2009, *A. mooreae* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, *A. quadripartitum* Williams (1968), *A. sphaera* Maleki, Malek et Palm, 2013, *A. stevensi* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, *A. thomasae*, *A. tripartitum* Williams (1968), and *A. zapterycum* Ostrowski de Nunez, 1971, can also be differentiated from *A. umbungus* n. sp. in the following features: a shorter body (<2.4 mm vs 2.4–8.9 mm, respectively), fewer proglottids (<13 vs 15–32, respectively), and fewer testes (<25 vs 29–59, respectively). By comparing the scolex length, *A. brevissime*, *A. campbelli*, *A. chisholmae* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, *A. d’ajardini van Beneden* (1850), *A. edwardsi*, *A. hypanus* Zaragoza-Tapia, Pulido-Flores et Monks, 2020, *A. lasti*, *A. lilium Baer et Euzet*, 1962, *A. mashni van Beneden* (1850), *A. microhabentes* Van Der Spuy, Smit et Schaeffner (2020), *A. microtenuis* Van Der Spuy, Smit et Schaeffner (2020), *A. minus*, *A. mooreae*, *A. ppdeleoni*, *A. puntaresens* Marques, Brooks et Monks, 1995, *A. sinaloensis* Zaragoza-Tapia, Pulido-Flores et Monks, 2020, *A. sphaera*, *A. tasajerasi*, *A. thomasae*, *A. urotrygoni* Brooks et Mayes, 1980, *A. vagasi* Marques, Brooks et Monks, 1995, *A. walkeri* Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, and *A. zapterycum*, all have a shorter scolex compared to *A. umbungus* n. sp. (<400 $\mu m$ vs 415–542 $\mu m$, respectively). Furthermore, *A. lasti*, *A. microhabentes*, *A. mooreae*, *A. puntaresens*, and *A. rajivi* Ghoshroy et Caira, 2001, *A. sinaloensis*, *A. sphaera*, and *A. urotrygoni* also differ from *A. umbungus* n. sp. in the following features: bothridium width (<112 $\mu m$...
vs 118–154 μm, respectively), middle loculus length (<62 μm vs 65–110 μm, respectively) and posterior loculus length (<56 μm vs 60–105 μm, respectively). Acanthobothrium umbungus n. sp. can further be distinguished from A. minus, A. mooreae, A. sphaera, A. tasajerasi, and A. thomasae as they all have a shorter cephalic peduncle (<274 μm vs 291–834 μm, respectively), whereas A. chisholmae, A. cimari Marques, Brooks et Monks, 1995, A. crassus Van Der Spuy, Smit et Schaeffner, 2020, A. dolichocollum Van Der Spuy, Smit et Schaeffner, 2020, and A. dujardini all have a longer cephalic peduncle (>1000 μm vs 291–834 μm, respectively). Furthermore, Acanthobothrium Francozese et Ivanov, 2020, A. costarricense Marques, Brooks et Monks, 1995, A. guanghaïense and A. puntarenesense all have a wider cephalic peduncle than that of A. umbungus n. sp. (>103 μm vs 62–97 μm, respectively). Regarding the cirrus-sac and ovary, the length of the cirrus-sac of A. campbelli, A. chisholmae, A. mashniæ, A. micrhabentes, A. minus, A. soniae Zaragoza-Tapia, Pulido-Flores, Viviolent-Gonzalez et Monks, 2019, A. tetabuanense Reyna et Cairia, 2006, and A. tripæritum is <100 μm while that of A. umbungus n. sp. measures 107–164 μm; the width of the cirrus-sac of A. brachyacanthum Riser (1955), A. costarricense and A. olsenæ Dailey et Mudrý, 1968 is >84 μm versus that of A. umbungus n. sp. with 35–71 μm; and the ovary width of A. campbelli, A. costarricense, A. gloveri, A. semenowskii Verma (1928), and A. thomasae are all <53 μm while that of A. umbungus n. sp. ranges between 60 and 124 μm. Acanthobothrium umbungus n. sp. can also be distinguished from A. bobconniorum Fyler et Cairia, 2010, A. crassus, A. dolichocollum, A. microhabentes, A. microtenus, and A. popi by its lack in testes posterior to the ovarian isthmus. Only A. urotrygoni and A. woodsholei Baer (1948) have a larger body size than A. umbungus n. sp. with >12 mm versus 2.4–8.9 mm (respectively). Additionally, A. annaplenkensis, A. brayi, A. bullardi Ghoshroy et Cairia, 2001, A. domingae and A. woodsholei differ from A. umbungus n. sp. in the following features: scolex length (>560 μm vs 415–542 μm, respectively), bothridium width (>176 μm vs 118–154 μm, respectively) and cirrus-sac width (>85 μm vs 35–71 μm, respectively). More species containing a wider bothridium than A. umbungus n. sp. are A. carolinae, A. chisholmae, and A. costarricense (>161 μm vs 118–154 μm, respectively). Acanthobothrium bobconniorum, A. cimari, A. costarricense, A. crassus, A. dujardini, A. hypanus, A. popi, A. puntarenesense and A. semenowskii all have more testes than A. umbungus n. sp. (>36 vs 29–36, respectively).

Acanthobothrium umbungus n. sp. represents the third species of Acanthobothrium and the seventh cestode record from this host. In southern Africa, only four species of Acanthobothrium are currently known (Van Der Spuy et al., 2020). Including A. umbungus n. sp., it not only increases the number of species of this genus in the Eastern South Atlantic Ocean but also marks southern Africa as an understudied biogeographical region with the potential of an immense hidden parasite diversity.

3.2. Acanthobothrium usengozainius n. sp. (Figs. 3 and 4)

Description (based on whole mounts of five mature and two immature worms; two mature worms examined with SEM): Worms 6.3–8.8 mm long, greatest width at level of scolex, 32–45 proglottids per worm, euapolytic. Scolex consisting of scolex proper and cephalic peduncle. Scolex proper with four bothridia, 540–631 long by 372–508 wide. Bothridia free posteriorly, 215–245 wide; each bothridium with three loculi and specialised anterior region in form of muscular pad. Muscular pad 78–109 long by 139–163 wide, falciform in shape, with
pronounced posterior margin, bearing accessory sucker and one pair of hooks at posterior margin; accessory sucker 23–26 long by 43–54 wide. Anterior loculus (A) 235–257 long; middle loculus (M) 126–143 long; posterior loculus (P) 94–106 long; loculus length ratio (A: M: P) 1.00 : 0.54: 0.41; maximum width of scolex at level of middle loculus. Velum absent.

Hooks bi-pronged, hollow, with tubercle on proximal surface of axial prongs; internal channels of axial and abaxial prongs continuous, smooth; axial prongs slightly longer than abaxial prongs; lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in size. Lateral hook measurements: A 62–73, B 135–151, C 129–141, D 190–216. Medial hook measurements: A’ 64–74, B’ 139–162, C’ 125–142, D’ 193–228. Bases of lateral and medial hooks approximately equal in length; base of lateral hook slightly overlapping base of medial hook along medial axis of bothridium (Fig. 3D); medial hook base slightly wider than lateral hook base. Tissue covering almost entire length of each prong of hooks. Short cephalic peduncle 572–1080 long by 119–124 wide.

Cephalic peduncle densely covered with gladiate spinitriches, filitriches not observed (Fig. 4D). Apical pad and distal bothridial surface covered with acicular filitriches and very sparsely interspersed gladiate spinitriches (Fig. 4E). Proximal bothridial surface and bothridial rims covered with gladiate spinitriches, interspersed with acicular filitriches (Fig. 4F). Anterior region of strobila covered in acicular filitriches (Fig. 4G). Anterior region of terminal proglottid covered in capilliform filitriches (Fig. 4H).

Proglottids acraspedote. Immature proglottids 29–44 in number; 1–3 mature proglottids; gravid proglottids absent; terminal proglottid 795–1538 long by 275–394 wide; terminal proglottid length to width ratio 2.5–4.6 : 1.0. Proglottids protandrous; genital pores marginal, irregularly alternating (Fig. 3A), 42–58% of proglottid length from posterior margin.

Testes conspicuous in mature proglottids, oval in dorsoventral view, 42–63 long by 42–51 wide, arranged in one to two layers in intervascular field (Fig. 3C), 42–61 in total number, 0 in post-poral field of mature proglottids, 4–5 in post-poral field of immature proglottids. Cirrus-sac obpyriform (Fig. 3C), 151–234 long by 43–57 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base.

Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and straight proximally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally to common genital atrium. Vaginal sphincter

![Fig. 3. Line drawings of Acanthobothrium usengozinis n. sp. A – entire specimen (holotype; accession no. XXX); B – scolex; C – mature proglottid (VS, vaginal sphincter; T, testis; V, vitelline follicle; OV, ovary); D – hooks.](image-url)
prominent (Fig. 3C). Ovary occupying about half of proglottid length, almost reaching posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated (Fig. 3C), asymmetrical, 143–180 wide at level of ovarian isthmus; poral lobe 548–679 in length; aporal lobe 616–757 in length; ovarian lobes not reaching cirrus-sac anteriorly; ovarian isthmus located posterior to mid-level of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus.

Vitellaria follicular to lobulated; follicles in two lateral bands, 27–35 long by 17–20 wide, length relative to testis length 0.4:1; each band consisting of two columns, extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary (Fig. 3C).

Uterus thin-walled, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed.

Type host: White skate, Rostroraja alba (Lacépède) (Rajiformes: Rajidae).

Type locality: Danger Point, Gansbaai, South Africa [34°28′50″S, 19°19′55″E].

Site of infection: Spiral intestine.

Prevalence of infection: 50% (one of two skates examined).

Type material: Holotype deposited at NMB (Accession number: XXX), paratypes in NMB (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX), IPCAS (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX) and MHNG (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX).

ZooBank number for species: XXXXXX.

Etymology: The species name “usengozinius” is derived from “usen-gozini” [Xhosa; an indigenous language to the Eastern and Western Cape of South Africa] meaning “endangered”, referring to the threatened status of both the definitive host Rostroraja alba, as well as its host-specific parasite.

Remarks

Acanthobothrium usengozinius n. sp. is a category 2 species (sensu Ghoshroy and Caira, 2001). Similar to the description of A. umbungus n. sp., hooks of A. usengozinius n. sp. are the most prominent feature, instantly distinguishing it from all but five (i.e. A. annapienkensis, A. brayi, A. domingae, A. guanghaïense and A. umbungus n. sp.) of the 52 representatives within category 2. The remaining category 2 species present much smaller hook measurements than those of the new species, as follows: lateral hooks: $A < 62 \mu m$ vs $62–73 \mu m$, $B < 130 \mu m$ vs $135–151 \mu m$, $C < 124 \mu m$ vs $129–141 \mu m$, $D < 178 \mu m$ vs $190–216 \mu m$, respectively; medial hooks: $A' < 64 \mu m$ vs $64–74 \mu m$, $B' < 136 \mu m$ vs $139–162 \mu m$, $C' < 122 \mu m$ vs $125–142 \mu m$, $D' < 193 \mu m$ vs $193–228 \mu m$, respectively.

The only species with larger hooks than A. usengozinius n. sp. is A. annapienkensis (lateral hooks $A > 73 \mu m$ vs $62–73 \mu m$, $B > 180 \mu m$ vs $139–162 \mu m$, $C > 160 \mu m$ vs $125–142 \mu m$, $D > 240 \mu m$ vs $193–228 \mu m$, respectively). Apart from hook measurements, A. benedenii Lömberg, 1889, A. bobconniorum, A. brachycanthum, A. brayi, A. brevissime, A. campbelli, A. caroliniae, A. chisholmiae, A. chisholmiae, A. dasi Ghoshroy et Caira, 2001, A. domingae, A. dujardini, A. edwardsi, A. gloveri, A. fasti, A. ilium, A. mashnihae, A. michrohabentes, A. microtenuis, A. minus, A. moooreae, A. ocallaghani Campbell et Beveridge, 2002, A. owensi, A. ppdeleonii, A. rajivi, A. sinaloaensis, A. sphaera, A. stevensi, A. tasajerai, A. tetabuense, A. thomases, A. tripartitum, A. quadrtripartitum, A. vargasi, A. walkeri and A. zapterycum can all be distinguished from A. usengozinius n. sp. by a much smaller body size (<6 mm vs 6.3–8.8 mm, respectively).
whereas *A. urotgyoni* and *A. woodholei* are significantly larger (>12 mm vs 6.3–8.8 mm, respectively). Additionally, *A. benedenii*, *A. bobconniorum*, *A. brachyacanthum*, *A. brevissimae*, *A. campbelli*, *A. cirri*, *A. costaricensis*, *A. crassus*, *A. dolichocollum*, *A. dujardini*, *A. edwardsi*, *A. hypanus*, *A. lasti*, *A. lilium*, *A. maseni*, *A. microrhobantes*, *A. microtenuis*, *A. minus*, *A. mooreae*, *A. ocallaghani*, *A. olseni*, *A. pdeleoni*, *A. quadrripartitum*, *A. sanoavis*, *A. soniae*, *A. sphaera*, *A. stevensi*, *A. tsajaerusi*, *A. tetabuanaeensis*, *A. thomasea*, *A. umbungus* n. sp., *A. urotgyoni*, *A. vargasi*, *A. walkeri*, and *A. zapterycum* show differences in the following features: scolex length (<540 µm vs 540–631 µm, respectively), bothridium width (<214 µm vs 215–245 µm, respectively), cestodal peduncle width (<115 µm vs 119–124 µm, respectively), and ovary width (<116 µm vs 143–180 µm, respectively). In comparison *A. annapikenensis*, *A. bullardi* and *A. woodholei* all have a longer scolex (>633 µm vs 540–631 µm, respectively). The poral ovarian lobe of *A. bobconniorum*, *A. brachyacanthum*, *A. brayi*, *A. campbelli*, *A. carolinæ*, *A. chisholmæ*, *A. dasi*, *A. dominæ*, *A. groveri*, *A. guanghaïense*, *A. hypanus*, *A. lasti*, *A. maseni*, *A. microrhobantes*, *A. microtenuis*, *A. mooreae*, *A. ocallaghani*, *A. pdeleoni*, *A. rajivi*, *A. sanoavis*, *A. sphaera*, *A. stevensi*, *A. tsajaerusi*, *A. tetabuanaeensis*, *A. thomasea*, *A. urotgyoni*, *A. vargasi*, and *A. walkeri* are shorter than that of *A. usengozinius* n. sp. (<525 µm vs 548–679 µm, respectively). The same applies for the aporal lobe (<565 µm vs 616–757 µm, respectively). The following species all have a wider cirrus-sac than that of *A. usengozinius* n. sp. (>60 µm vs 43–57 µm): *A. annapikenensis*, *A. bobconniorum*, *A. brachyacanthum*, *A. brayi*, *A. bullardi*, *A. costaricense*, *A. dolichocollum*, *A. dominæ*, *A. mooreae*, *A. olseni*, *A. popi*, *A. pdeleoni*, *A. rajivi*, *A. sanoavis*, *A. soniae*, *A. thomasea* and *A. urotgyoni*. *Acanthobothrium cirri*, *A. costarizzarensis*, *A. crassus*, *A. dolichocollum*, *A. guanghaïense*, *A. hypanus*, *A. sanoavis*, *A. telline follicle length relative to testis length 0.257–0.3 in length; aporal lobe 503–518 in number; 2 gland posterior to posterior lobe (Fig. 5C), 50–62 in total number, 8–9 in post-oral field; some segments with single testis posterior to ovarian isthmus. Cirrus-sac J-shaped, tilted posteriorly (Fig. 5C), 171–232 long by 60–73 wide, containing armed cirrus; cirrus greatly expanded at base. Vagina narrow, relatively thin-walled and straight proximally, extending from ootype along medial line of proglottid to anterior margin of cirrus-sac, then laterally to common genital atrium. Vaginal spincter prominent (Fig. 5C). Ovary occupying about half of proglottid length, almost reaching posterior margin of proglottid, H-shaped in dorsoventral view, lobulated (Fig. 5C), asymmetrical, 107–149 wide at level of ovarian isthmus; poral lobe 431–981 in length; aporal lobe 503–1133 in length; ovarian lobes not reaching cirrus-sac anteriorly; ovarian isthmus located posterior to mid-level of ovary. Mehlis’ gland posterior to ovarian isthmus. Vitellarium follicular to lobulated; follicles in two lateral bands, 13–21 wide by 23–43 wide, length relative to testis length 0.2–0.4: 1.0; each band consisting of two columns, extending from posterior margin of anterior-most testes to near posterior margin of ovary (Fig. 5C). Vitelline follicle length relative to testis length 0.2–0.4: 1.0. Uterus thin-walled, extending from ovarian isthmus to near anterior margin of proglottid. Eggs not observed. Type host: White skate, *Rajas alba* (Lacépède) (Rajiformes: Rajidae). Type locality: Danger Point, Gansbaai, South Africa [34°28′50″S, 19°19′55″E]. Site of infection: Spiral intestine. Prevalence of infection: 50% (one of two skates examined). Type material: Holotype deposited at NMB (Accession number: XXX), paratypes in NMB (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX), IPCAS (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX) and MHNG (Accession numbers: XXX-XXX). ZooBank number for species: XXXXXX. Ectymology: The species name “ulondolozus” is derived from “ulondolozo” [Xhosa; an indigenous language to the Eastern and Western Cape of South Africa] meaning “conservation”, referring to the need for having better conservation plans for threatened elasmobranch species, which would also protect a wide variety of host-specific affiliate species facing an increased risk of co-extinction.

**Remarks**

All of the new species described in the present study seem most distinguishable by their hosts. Just as *Acanthobothrium umbungus* n. sp. and *A. usengozinius* n. sp., *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. is identified as a category 2 species. However, unlike the two new congeners, *A. ulondolozus* n. sp.
is the only species in the present study with occasional testes posterior to the ovarian isthmus. This is still regarded as an exceptional feature among species of *Acanthobothrium*, being present in less than 10% of all species recognised within this genus worldwide. Hence, *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. can easily be distinguished from all but 16 congeners (across all categories). From the remaining 16 species of *Acanthobothrium* known to bear this feature, only six are identified as category 2 species, namely *A. bobconniorum*, *A. crassus*, *A. dolichocollum*, *A. microhabentes*, *A. popi*, *Acanthobothrium microhabentes* and *A. microtenuis* were only recently described from the same biogeographical region by *Van Der Spuy et al.* (2020). However, both species differ from *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. in smaller metrical features (i.e. total length, scolex length, scolex width, bothridium width, lateral hooks, medial hooks, cephalic peduncle width, cirrus-sac length, poral and aporal ovarian length, ovarian width; see *Van Der Spuy et al.*, 2020), while *A. bobconniorum*, *A. crassus*, *A. dolichocollum* and *A. popi* differ from *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. in the following features: smaller lateral hooks (*A* < 60 μm vs 60–75 μm, *B* < 120 μm vs 154–160 μm, *C* < 100 μm vs 131–144 μm, *D* < 175 μm vs 212–220 μm, respectively), smaller medial hooks (*A*’ < 60 μm vs 62–73 μm, *B*’ < 119 μm vs 144–165 μm, *C*’ < 108 μm vs 121–144 μm, *D*’ < 175 μm vs 203–211 μm, respectively), a shorter terminal proglottid (<1320 μm vs 1663–2134 μm, respectively), a narrower terminal proglottid (<260 μm vs 284–356 μm, respectively), a shorter cirrus-sac (<152 μm vs 171–232 μm, respectively) and smaller testes (<50 μm vs 50–61 μm, respectively). Furthermore, *A. bobconniorum* and *A. popi* differ from *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. in the following features: total length (<7.1 mm vs 9.3–13.5 mm, respectively), cephalic peduncle length (<650 μm vs 1044–1430 μm, respectively), and testes length (<50 μm vs 50–61 μm, respectively). Both *A. crassus* and *A. dolichocollum* have a shorter scolex than *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. (<450 μm vs 486–599 μm, respectively). Additionally, the width of both the bothridium and cephalic peduncle can also be used to distinguish *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. from *A. dolichocollum*, as the latter species has a narrower bothridium (<134 μm vs. 168–190 μm, respectively), and a narrower cephalic peduncle (<73 μm vs. 76–98 μm, respectively).

Species of *Acanthobothrium* possessing testes posterior to the ovarian isthmus seem to be restricted to the families Dasyatidae (i.e. *Himantura* Müller et Henle), Rhinidae (i.e. *Rynchobatus* Müller et Henle), Rhinobatidae (i.e. *Rhinobatus* Linck) and Rajidae (i.e. *Raja* Linnaeus), with the latter family including the host observed in the present study. The addition of yet another South African congener, *A. ulondolozus* n. sp., not only brings the total of species known to possess this remarkable feature to 17 species (across all categories), but also subsequently confirms *Van Der Spuy et al.*’s (2020) statement that this feature is not limited to the Indo-Pacific Ocean. Moreover, the plausibility regarding the relatedness of *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. with other congeners only invites further

---

**Fig. 5.** Line drawings of *Acanthobothrium ulondolozus* n. sp. **A** – entire specimen (holotype; accession no. XXX); **B** – scolex; **C** – mature proglottid (VS, vaginal sphincter; T, testis; V, vitelline follicle; OV, ovary); **D** – hooks.
research engagement. The fact that *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. is synhospitalic with two other congeners and sharing a rare morphological feature with only 16 other species of *Acanthobothrium* worldwide (i.e. four from South Africa), further supports the need for future research into a more comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of these cestodes. Gathering information on the molecular phylogeny could prove if species presenting testes located in this region might in fact comprise their own monophyletic clade (Fyler and Caira, 2010), along with possible effects caused by regional geographical influences (i.e. the Eastern South Atlantic Ocean vs. the Indo-Pacific Ocean), given that adult species of *Acanthobothrium* are restricted to the same geographical limits portrayed by their hosts (Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a).

*Acanthobothrium ulondolozus* n. sp. is the fifth cestode species known to parasitise the endangered white skate, *R. alba*, and the first cestode species infecting this host bearing testes posterior to the ovarian isthmus. This adds *R. alba* to the list of elasmobranch species known to host species of *Acanthobothrium* with this particularly rare morphological feature. Furthermore, *A. ulondolozus* n. sp. represents the seventh species known from the southeastern Atlantic Ocean off the coast of southern Africa, and the fifth species from this region with testes posterior to the ovarian isthmus, a feature previously thought to be restricted to the Indo-Pacific Ocean (Fyler et al., 2009; Fyler and Caira, 2010; Maleki et al., 2015).

4. Discussion

Cestodes constitute the most biodiverse metazoan parasite group infecting elasmobranchs worldwide (Caira and Healy, 2004). They fulfill vital roles in trophic systems and the health of a marine ecosystem, subsequently rendering them indispensable in ensuring healthy and more resilient marine ecosystems (Beer et al., 2019). However, researchers only dedicate limited attention to this group, leaving large gaps in taxonomic, biological, and ecological research as well as species conservation efforts (Caira and Healy, 2004; Poulin and Presswell, 2016; Randhawa and Poulin, 2020). With merely 40% of all known elasmobranch species examined for cestode infections (Caira and Jensen, 2017), parasitological research efforts have been extremely sparse in many hosts and regions of the world. This is grounded upon the fact that many research initiatives are greatly biased towards individual, more acknowledged elasmobranch host groups (Poulin and Presswell, 2016), and exacerbated by limited financial means and research expertise in specific regions, which are occupied by many endemic organisms with narrow geographical ranges (Randhawa et al., 2015; Randhawa and Poulin, 2020). This is especially true for biogeographical regions surrounding the South-eastern Atlantic Ocean.

The ocean basins surrounding Southern Africa present a high diversity of elasmobranch species (Ebert and van Hees, 2015). However, only 19 out of 204 elasmobranch species (i.e. 9 % of the species diversity) reported from Southern Africa have been observed for parasites (Schaeffner and Smit, 2019; Van Der Spuy et al., 2020). Given that each elasmobranch species hosts several unique cestode species (Caira and Healy, 2004; Schaeffner and Smit, 2019), there is little doubt that future parasitological studies will reveal an immense hidden diversity of parasitic organisms, particularly cestodes, in this host group. This, in turn, might strengthen the conservation of large, apex-predator species and their numerous affiliated species, and ultimately the preservation of threatened host-parasite systems.

The present study describes three, host-specific species of...
onchoproteocephalidean cestodes of the genus Acanthobothrium. Merely 7% (16 of 207) of all species of this genus have been recorded from this region (see Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a). The new species from South Africa further increase the diversity of this already very diverse genus, with a new total of 210 valid species worldwide (Caira and Jensen, 2017; Franzese and Ivanov, 2018, 2020; Maleki et al., 2018, 2019; Rodríguez-Ibarra et al., 2018; Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2019, 2020b; Van Der Spuy et al., 2020). Unfortunately, as a result of observing a limited number of specimens from an endangered host species, no specimens were recovered from ethanol-fixed material in order to conduct a proper molecular analysis. For this reason, the phylogenetic relationships of these congeners are presently unknown. Globally, there is still a great shortfall in molecular data regarding species belonging to this genus, supported by the fact that the GenBank database contains molecular sequences and records of merely 7.6% (16 of 210) of species of Acanthobothrium (Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a). The information gained through phylogenetic analyses will not only address phylogenetic placement of parasite lineages, but further advance our knowledge on evolutionary host-parasite interrelationships, along with host-parasite co-speciation (Beer et al., 2019), and relevant niche expertise (Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a).

The species described herein were recovered from an endangered host species, the white skate, R. alba. Apart from the alarming fact that this species is listed in the second-highest category in the International Union for Conservation of Nature’s (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species, with major implications on the conservation status and future conservation efforts of its affiliated (and host-specific parasite) species, it is a prime example that demands further research, contributing essential, valuable insights regarding deficient ecological data (Souza et al., 2019). This study marks the first parasitological observation of this species in a different biogeographic region along its distributional range and the first from Southern Africa. Prior to this study, this endangered species has been sparsely screened for cestode parasites, exclusively under its former name Raja margarita Lacepède, 1803 and material collected in the Mediterranean Sea (see Baer, 1948; Euzet et al., 1959; Goldstein, 1967; Williams, 1969; Zaragoza-Tapia et al., 2020a). At present, only six, valid species of cestodes were reported from this host along its entire distributional range, including one diphylidiean species, Echinobothrium affine Diesing, 1863 (see Tyler, 2006), and three rhinobothrideans, namely Echeneobothrium demeusiae Euzet et al., 1959, E. dubium van Beneden, 1850, and E. variabile van Beneden (1850) (see Euzet et al., 1959). Only two additional species of Acanthobothrium were recorded from R. alba, Acanthobothrium filicolle (Zschokke, 1888) Yamaguti, 1959 and A. rajaebatis (Rudolph, 1810) Euzet et al., 1959, both from R. alba (as R. marginata) from the Mediterranean Sea off France (Baer, 1948; Euzet et al., 1959). Although these species have been recorded from the same host species as A. umbungus n. sp., they originate from a different biogeographical region than the present specimens and furthermore fall into different categories of Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) classification system. Following Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) system, A. filicolle represents a category 1 species, with a body size <15 mm (i.e. 6–8 mm in Baer, 1948), <50 segments (i.e. 17 to 30 in Baer, 1948), <80 testes (i.e. 30 to 40 in Baer, 1948; 24 to 56 in Euzet et al., 1959) and a symmetrical ovary (see illustrations in Baer, 1948 and Euzet et al., 1959). Acanthobothrium rajaebatis most likely represents a category 5 species, with a total length of 50–60 mm (see Euzet et al., 1959), >80 segments (i.e. 80 to 120 in Goldstein, 1967; more than 100 in Williams, 1969), between 58 and 85 testes (i.e. with a mean of 72 in Euzet et al., 1959), and a symmetrical ovary (see Goldstein, 1967 and illustration in Euzet et al., 1959). Yet, values for the number of testes superimpose the boundary of 80 testes of Ghoshroy and Caira (2001) system, which could also place this species into category 4. The placement of both congeners in different categories automatically excluded them from the species differentiations (above).

Species of Acanthobothrium are synhospital, possibly as a result of host-substitution events due to geographical and external environmental conditions (Fyler, 2009), causing lineage sorting, providing evidence of co-speciation (Beer et al., 2019), and therefore exhibiting an extraordinary specificity towards both their elasmobranch hosts as well as their environmental requirements (Nhi et al., 2013). By understanding the ecological importance of co-speciation events along with ecological factors, such as the hosts’ specific diet, size, geographical location and depth, which ultimately shapes host-parasite systems, researchers might have a better chance of mitigating co-extinction events in the future (Beer et al., 2019). However, given the decline in host populations and steady increase in the number of threatened species, co-extinction events grow more and more likely, although unnoticed, leading to the loss of many parasites, or better affiliate species, including a vast number of yet undescribed species (Davidson and Dulvy, 2017). These co-extinctions might trigger a series of long-term and indirect negative effects, leaving detrimental repercussions, which are currently not fully understood.

The discovery of additional species of Acanthobothrium will, without a doubt, be beneficial in this regard, as genera with a higher parasite species diversity can be more sustainably used as biological and ecological indicators of their elasmobranch hosts’ life conditions, aiding the conservation of specific host populations (Marcogliese, 2005; Nhi et al., 2013). These cestodes could play a vital role in ecosystem health assessments, when considered suitable indicators of pollution or other environmental changes on host species. Certain cestode species are able to accumulate pollutants such as heavy metals from their specific host tissues at high concentrations, possibly lowering the effects of these pollutants for vulnerable host species (Jankovská et al., 2011; Nhi et al., 2013). These parasites will therefore provide valuable insights to the vulnerability of specific host species, whereas understanding the host-parasite system may be just as vital providing insights to the vulnerability of the parasites to co-extinction with their host species (Beer et al., 2019).

Extensive studies on elasmobranch parasites and their hosts implementing multisource approaches (e.g., taxonomy, molecular systematics, biogeography, ecology, ecotoxicology) are needed, in order to provide a better understanding on the intimate nature of this particular and ancient host-parasite system. This may ultimately lead to new prospects in conservation science and the preservation of threatened host species, such as R. alba, together with their unique parasite fauna. Furthermore, the conservation of endangered species, such as R. alba, harbouring cestode parasites is crucial to mitigate host-parasite co-extinction events, whereby conservation of the parasite species along with its host, contributes to the conservation of marine ecosystem, rather than merely a single species. Since these affiliate species provide numerous positive attributes to hosts and environment, which rely on their elasmobranch hosts as macroenvironments, they deserve consideration in modern conservation schemes. The incorporation of such affiliates in future conservation agendas is crucial and greatly encouraged, as the absence of these species in the “ecological theatre” (sensu Marcogliese, 2004) as well as on-going evolutionary processes, will be profoundly altered once extinction and co-extinction events occur.
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