Dynamic metabolic interactions and trophic roles of human gut microbes identified using a minimal microbiome exhibiting ecological properties
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Microbe–microbe interactions in the human gut are influenced by host-derived glycans and diet. The high complexity of the gut microbiome poses a major challenge for unraveling the metabolic interactions and trophic roles of key microbes. Synthetic minimal microbiomes provide a pragmatic approach to investigate their ecology including metabolic interactions. Here, we rationally designed a synthetic microbiome termed Mucin and Diet based Minimal Microbiome (MDb-MM) by taking into account known physiological features of 16 key bacteria. We combined 16S rRNA gene-based composition analysis, metabolite measurements and metatranscriptomics to investigate community dynamics, stability, inter-species metabolic interactions and their trophic roles. The 16 species co-existed in the in vitro gut ecosystems containing a mixture of complex substrates representing dietary fibers and mucin. The triplicate MDb-MM’s followed the Taylor’s power law and exhibited strikingly similar ecological and metabolic patterns. The MDb-MM exhibited resistance and resilience to temporal perturbations as evidenced by the abundance and metabolic end products. Microbe-specific temporal dynamics in transcriptional niche overlap and trophic interaction network explained the observed co-existence in a competitive minimal microbiome. Overall, the present study provides crucial insights into the co-existence, metabolic niches and trophic roles of key intestinal microbes in a highly dynamic and competitive in vitro ecosystem.
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INTRODUCTION

The complexity of interactions within the human gut microbiome contributes to providing health benefits to its host. However, the same complexity presents a major challenge for deciphering metabolic and ecological interactions between the intestinal microbes. Understanding these complex interactions, at both community and individual taxa level, is crucial for the development of effective microbiome modulation strategies [1–3]. The human intestinal tract includes several hundred species mainly belonging to the phyla Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Verrucomicrobia, Proteobacteria and others [4]. Recently, synthetic microbial communities assembled from host-derived strains have received considerable attention for understanding ecological and metabolic features of the microbiome [5–7]. Synthetic microbial communities of the human intestine can be studied under controlled conditions in vitro [8–13]. In vitro intestinal models allow for stable and controllable conditions as well as frequent sampling of the microbial community that may not be possible with animal models for technical and ethical reasons [14, 15]. Combining in vitro intestinal models with defined microbial communities holds potential for understanding community assembly and structure, compositional and functional dynamics in time and plasticity of microbial interactions.

Studies employing in vitro intestinal models till date have applied either batch, continuous single or semi-continuous or multistage fermentation models [16–20]. An important aspect of the host-associated microbiome is the dietary intake of the host that often follows circadian rhythms and can give rise to stages of excess carbon and energy source and periodic carbon starvation. Both of these aspects may have a profound influence on the compositional and functional dynamics of the microbial community. In fact, previous in vitro studies have revealed that nutrient periodicities can affect microbial community dynamics and physiological functionality [21, 22]. Nutrient periodicity is an important factor that may lead to selection of well adapted taxa, affect microbe–microbe interactions and microbe–environment interactions as well as provide an opportunity for invading species to successfully establish in a community [21–24]. In the human intestinal tract, two major sources of carbon and energy are dietary and host-derived polysaccharides (mainly secreted mucin) that all have a strong deterministic effect on the microbiome [25–27]. The diet can be highly variable on sub-daily time scales posing a major selective pressure on the gut microbiome [28]. Dietary sources, especially complex fiber-derived polysaccharides that reach the colon in a virtually unmodified way, lead to the creation of diverse niches that can support a higher diversity of microbes [29].
In addition, the periodicity and variability in supply of dietary fibers can give rise to dynamic regimes of niche availability consequently affecting interactions between the diet responsive microbes. On the contrary, mucin is a stable source of carbon and energy within a host and is shown to promote stability of the gut microbiome [30]. Therefore, both diet and mucin play a major role in supporting diverse microbial communities and give rise to complex microbe–microbe interactions.

To understand microbe–microbe interactions within a complex community, it is important to create a community that exhibits ecophysiological properties similar to natural ecosystems [20]. Community-level ecological properties such as resistance and resilience to perturbations, presence of competitors for nutrients as well as mutualists that support metabolic co-operation can be designed in a synthetic minimal microbiome [7]. Here, we sought to investigate microbe–microbe interactions in a synthetic minimal gut microbiome over a period of 20 days under controlled conditions. To explore temporal ecophysiological interactions, the community was assembled in triplicate bioreactors with constant supply of mucin and pulse of the main dietary Diet origin Substrates (DoS) viz. pectin, resistant starch, inulin and xylan. The experiment was designed with various perturbations to test for aspects such as vacant niche occupation by introducing a noncore strain, Blautia hydrogenotrophica, increased dietary intake by doubling the concentration of DoS, loss of a key metabolite that is required for growth of specific bacteria by removal of exogenous acetate (coinciding with replenishing of feed medium), diet starvation by subjecting the community to periods of elongated fasting i.e., no addition of DoS for >24 h and increase in substrate feeding rate (Fig. 1). Over a 20-day operation of the artificial gut system, we sampled the three bioreactors at 61 time points each (~3 samples/day) and tested the impact of aforementioned events on the dynamics of MDb-MM composition, structure and function. The integrative analysis of temporal measurements of metabolites, 16S rRNA gene amplicons and metatranscriptomes allowed us to unravel community dynamics and metabolic interactions using a synthetic minimal microbiome.

### Design Principles

#### Multi-species assemblage
- Fifteen core gut bacteria, one non-core gut bacteria

#### Functional redundancy
- Multiple species with similar functional roles

#### Interactions driven by diet and host derived substrates:
- Competition and co-operation
- Energy flow via inter-species metabolic interactions

### Perturbation
- Species introduction
- Nutritional perturbations

### Investigations

#### Compositional analysis
- Community dynamics
- Vacant niche occupation
- Stability properties

#### Metabolite and transcriptional analysis
- **Community-level behavior**
  - Functional output (e.g. butyrate, propionate.)
  - Transcriptionally active metabolism
- **Species-specific behavior**
  - Niche overlap using species-specific gene expression
  - Trophic level metabolic interactions
  - Species-specific metabolism

### Experimental set-up

**Fig. 1** MDb-MM design principles, experiment setup and investigations. Key aspects that were considered when designing the MDb-MM included building a multi-species minimal microbiome with functional redundancy and trophic interactions and potential vacant niches to test niche occupation. The experimental setup included pulse feeding the bioreactors with Diet origin Substrates (DoS) and introducing perturbations like the addition of new species, increase dietary intake (2X DoS pulse), removal of key metabolite and nutrient starvation. Details about the sampling time points for composition, metabolites and metatranscriptomes are depicted in Supplementary Fig. S2.
RESULTS

Design of the synthetic Mucin and Diet based Minimal Microbiome (MDB-MM)

We sought to assemble a minimal microbiome that consists of bacterial strains relevant to the human colonic microbiome and mimics key ecological and metabolic properties (Fig. 1). Therefore, the selection of strains was rationally guided by ecophysiological aspects, such as high prevalence (>50%) and minimum abundances threshold of 0.001% in human colonic microbiota, ability to degrade mucin or common multiple dietary polysaccharides that reach the colon in a virtually unmodified form (pectin, xylan, starch and inulin) and their breakdown products. We screened 1155 human gut metagenomes from the curated Metagenomic database and obtained a list of 64 core species (Supplementary Table S1). Majority of these species belonged to Firmicutes (35 species) and Bacteroidetes (25 species). Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria and verrucomicrobia were represented by five, three and one species respectively. We chose representative strains from Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria and verrucomicrobia. Bilophila and Escherichia were the two most prevalent genera within Proteobacteria, and we excluded these in this study, because of their low contribution to the overall composition in the human gut metagenomes analysed in this study. Among the core microbiota phyla, Proteobacteria comprised the lowest fraction (1.04%) of the total counts, compared to Firmicutes (46.6%), Bacteroidetes (43.9%), Actinobacteria (6.8%), and Verrucomicrobia (1.4%).

During selection of the candidate strains, we considered competition for growth substrates, known metabolic cross feeding on lactate and 1,2-propanediol (1,2-PD) and the ability to produce (46.6%), Bacteroidetes (43.9%), Actinobacteria (6.8%), and Verrucomicrobia (1.4%).

Assembly, co-existence and ecological properties of MDB-MM

We assembled a MDB-MM consisting of 15 strains representing the core microbiota under batch conditions with both mucin and DoS (Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Fig. S2). At 24 h, continuous feed was introduced with mucin and acetate (since some of the strains require it for growth), while DoS were incorporated as pulsed feeding thrice daily for the majority of the experiment. In the first 148 h, before the introduction of disturbances, only propionate was produced in significantly higher concentrations in overnight samples (Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.001 \), Supplementary Fig. S6A). The propionate concentration was also significantly higher after addition of \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica (Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.001 \), Supplementary Fig. S6B). However, after the influx of exogenous acetate was stopped, the concentrations of acetate and butyrate were significantly lower in overnight samples (Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.0001 \)) compared to DoS samples, while propionate production was not significantly affected (Supplementary Fig. S6B). These results demonstrate that the successful assembly of the MDB-MM was achieved in the three bioreactors with presence of the 16 species. The major fermentation end products of MDB-MM were acetate, propionate and butyrate for a period of 460 h. Overall, based on optical densities and metabolite profiles, the MDB-MM was observed to be responsive to DoS pulse feeding as noticed by increase in total biomass (optical density; O. D\(_{600}\), and \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica was able to stably colonize the MDB-MM when introduced into the community after 152 h.

Temporal dynamics of MDB-MM community

The MDB-MM showed changes in community structure over time with similar compositions between triplicate bioreactors (Fig. 3A). Recent studies on longitudinal human microbiome data have revealed a linear relationship between log(variance) and log (mean), i.e., species with higher mean abundances tend to also exhibit higher variance in population densities [61–63]. This property is known as the Taylor's power law [64]. We evaluated whether the MDB-MM assembled in the three bioreactors showed similar time-dependent behavior observed in human gut microbiome [64, 65]. The MDB-MM in the three bioreactors exhibited a linear relationship between log variance and log mean abundance with a slope of 1.45, 1.37, and 1.36 for bioreactor A, B, and C, respectively (Fig. 3B–D). In all three bioreactors, the two most abundant species \( Bacteroides \) xylanisolvens and \( Akkermansia muciniphila \) exhibited highest variance while \( C. \) aerofaciens had lowest variance and was least abundant.

Evenness of species abundances can influence functional stability of microbial communities [66]. We used the Gini coefficient as a measure of evenness, which has values between 0 to 1. Here, 1 indicates a highly uneven community composition [67]. The mean Gini coefficient for the starting MDB-MM at 0 h was 0.62 (±0.01). At the end of the experiment at 460 h, the Gini coefficient for MDB-MM was 0.6, 0.63, 0.62 for bioreactor A, B, and C respectively. The overall mean (± standard deviation) for inequality in MDB-MM was 0.70 ± 0.05, 0.71 ± 0.04, and 0.71 ± 0.05 for bioreactor A, B, and C respectively during the entire experiment.

The long-term divergence of the MDB-MM in all the three bioreactors followed similar trends over time (Fig. 3F). The MDB-MM showed higher deviation from the starting community composition during the first phase of the experiment before feed change followed by relatively stable dissimilarities after feed change. Convergence of the three MDB-MM showed similar patterns (Supplementary Fig. S7A). The correlation between community distances and lagged time intervals further supported directional change which was similar in the three bioreactors (Supplementary Fig. S7B). Next, we carried out mean rank shift analysis to identify events when drastic changes occurred in the species ranks (order of relative abundance) within the community. During the initial phase (up to ~100 h) there was a progressive decline in mean rank shift (MRS), but introduction of \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica caused large fluctuations as did the change of feed with removal of acetate in all three bioreactors (Fig. 3G). The compositional dynamics was highly similar between the three bioreactors (Pearson's correlation; A and B, \( r = 0.93 \); A and C, \( r = 0.92 \); and B and C, \( r = 0.95 \)). These data support highly coherent community-level features of the MDB-MM between the three bioreactors.

Temporal stability properties of MDB-MM

The observations thus far indicated that the MDB-MM was responsive to the pulse feeding events and perturbation events i.e., addition of \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica and removal acetate.
Table 1. General metabolic features of species for which depicted strains were used for MDb-MM.

| Species                         | Strain used/source | Known substrates                                                                 | Metabolite production* | References |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Akkermansia muciniphila         | MucT/ATCC BAA-835  | Mucin, N-acetylglucosamine, N- acetylgalactosamine, fucose                       | A, P, L, 1,2-PD        | [12, 31]   |
| Bacteroides ovatus              | HMP strain 3_8_47F AA | Starch, xylan, inulin                                                           | A, P, L, 1,2-PD        | [32–35]    |
| Bacteroides xylanisolvens       | HMP strain 2_1_22   | Pectin, starch, xylan                                                           | A, P, L                | [36]       |
| Anaerobutyricum soehngenii      | L2-7/DSM 17630     | Sugars, DL-lactate, 1,2-PD                                                      | B, P, F, CO₂, H₂       | [37–39]    |
| Coprococcus catus               | ATCC 27761         | Fructose, mannitol, glucose, mannose, lactate                                   | B, P, A, S, H₂         | [40, 41]   |
| Flavonifractor plautii          | HMP strain 7_1_58F AA | Glucose, maltose, xylose, lysine                                               | L, B, P                | [42]       |
| Eubacterium siraeum             | DSM 15702          | Starch, glucose, maltose                                                        | A, E, L, B, S          | [43, 44]   |
| Agathobacter rectalis           | DSM 17629          | Starch, glucose, lactose, xylose, cellobiose, l-arabinose, trehalose, sorbitol, N-acetylglucosamine | B, A, H₂, L            | [45–47]    |
| Roseburia intestinalis          | DSM 14610          | Starch, glucose, xylose, xylan, arabinose                                        | B, F, L                | [48, 49]   |
| Faecalibacterium prausnitzii    | A2-165             | Pectin, inulin, fructose, glucose                                               | B, A, H₂, L            | [50, 51]   |
| Subdoligranulum variabile       | DSM 15176          | N-acetyl-glucosamine, N-acetyl-mannosamine, cellobiose, dextrin, fructose, fucose, galactose, galacturonic acid, α-glucose, α-lactose, maltose, maltotriose, Mannose, melibiose, rhamnose, salicin, sucrose | B, L, A, S            | [52]       |
| Ruminococcus bromii             | ATCC 27255         | Starch, glucose, fructose, galactose                                            | A, F, P, L, E          | [53, 54]   |
| Blautia obeum                   | DSM 25238          | Arabinose, cellobiose, lactose, mannose, maltose, raffinose, xylose, L-fucose | A, 1,2-PD, P           | [55, 56]   |
| Collinsella aerofaciens         | DSM 3979           | Starch, maltose, glucose, sucrose                                               | E, H₂, A, L, F         | [57]       |
| Bifidobacterium adolescentis    | L2-32              | Inulin, starch, lactose, glucose, xylose, sorbitol, cellobiose, maltose         | F, A, L                | [46, 58, 59]|
| Blautia hydrogenotrophica       | DSM 10507          | Cellobiose, lactose, mannose, raffinose, glucose, H₂/CO₂, H₂/formate           | A, L                   | [56, 60]   |

A Acetate, B Butyrate, P Propionate, L Lactate, F Formate, E Ethanol, 1,2-PD 1,2-Propanediol, S Succinate.

*SCFA production varies depending on growth substrates.
However, it was unclear if the MDb-MM possesses ecological stability i.e., does the MDb-MM exhibit resistance and resilience to perturbations. To investigate this, we tested the following stability properties of MDb-MM in the three bioreactors [68]: (a) resistance (RS) as the ability of MDb-MM to resist change after perturbations; (b) displacement speed (DS) as the pace at which MDb-MM is displaced upon perturbations; (c) resilience (RL) as the ability of MDb-MM to return to the reference state after a perturbation event, (d) elasticity (E) as the pace at which MDb-MM recovers after displacement due to a perturbation event. The MDb-MM in all three bioreactors exhibited resistance to the change of feed that no longer contained acetate, as for the majority of the time it was observed within the reference state boundary (Fig.4A, B). In instances where it crossed the reference state boundary, the MDb-MM in all three bioreactors returned to the reference state community (Fig. 4A).

Among the three bioreactors, MDb-MM in C had highest displacement (DS = 0.021) compared to A (DS = 0.004) and B (DS = 0.005), that is deviation from the reference boundary. MDb-MM in bioreactor C also showed highest resilience (RL = 0.282) compared to A (RL = 0.194) and B (RL = 0.154). The larger displacement and resilience values for MDb-MM in bioreactor C suggests the high resilience of MDb-MM and its ability to return to its reference state even after showing the highest deviation in composition [68]. Similar patterns were observed when subsequent perturbation events of elongated fasting and increasing substrate feeding rate from 10 to 20 ml/h were included in the stability analysis (Supplementary Fig. S8A, B). However, the recovery to the reference community state after doubling the substrate feeding rate was on/near the boundary (dashed line, Supplementary Fig. S8A, B) of the reference community state at the end of the experiment.

Community-level transcriptional activity
For a subset of the time points, we performed metatranscriptome sequencing. We analysed the transcriptional response at two levels, KEGG orthologs (KOs) as well as gut metabolic modules (GMMs), the latter of which take into account the combination of KOs that are part of specific metabolic modules relevant to the human gut microbiome [69]. The community-level functional divergence using relative abundances of taxa, GMMs and KOs showed similar divergence over time and was linked to changes in the community structure over time (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Fig. S9). Temporal variation in MDb-MM community composition correlated significantly with transcriptional response at both GMM (Mantel\textsubscript{Ampli}on vs. GMM $r = 0.40$, $p = 0.001$) and KO level (Mantel\textsubscript{Ampli}on vs. KEGG $r = 0.35$, $p = 0.001$) (Fig. 5A–C). The KEGG and GMM profiles showed good agreement in capturing the temporal variation in MDb-MM gene expression (Mantel\textsubscript{KEGG} vs. GMM $r = 0.87$, $p = 0.001$). Next, to identify community-level transcriptional response to nutrient periodicity, we compared GMM expression at specific time points (Fig. 5D–F).
In order to better understand the co-existence of 16 species in the Dynamic niche overlap among MDb-MM species accordance with HPLC data, we observed significantly higher overlap between species in MDb-MM and investigated if there was a significant upregulation of the GMM for formate production related module. By design, the MDb-MM had multiple species capable of carrying out similar functions—for example, B. ovatus, R. bromii, E. siraenum, and A. rectalis can degrade starch (Table 1). Moreover, none of the MDb-MM species were competitively excluded from the system suggesting potential niche partitioning because multiple substrates were available in our system. Therefore, we quantified niche overlap between species in MDb-MM and investigated if there is temporal changes in pairwise species behaviors. We started by calculating the pairwise niche overlap between each of the species at each of the time points for which we had obtained metatranscriptomes. Metabolic module expression was used as quantitative traits for calculating the niche-overlap indices. We used only those GMM traits which are involved in either degradation or consumption of substrates and end-product metabolites (Supplementary Table S2). In this case, a lower niche overlap between species would suggest higher niche segregation and vice versa.

All species demonstrated temporal variation in niche overlap with other species in MDb-MM, highlighting the dynamic nature of inter-species interactions in the MDb-MM (Fig. 6). Comparison of pairwise distributions of niche-overlap values revealed that the complex substrate degraders, B. xylanisolvens, A. muciniphila, A. rectalis, B. adolescentis, S. variabile, F. prausnitzii, and R. bromii showed comparatively higher niche overlap (>0.75) with each other (Supplementary Fig. S10). C. catus, A. soehngeni and E. siraenum often had the lowest niche overlap with the other strains in the community. For some of the time points, A. rectalis had low number of transcripts for several of the GMM traits and we were unable to measure pairwise niche overlaps. We then compared the overall expression of GMM traits for all species at different time points and observed niche segregation based on transcriptional responses of metabolic pathways consistently in the three bioreactors (Fig. 7A). The two Bacteroides species exhibited low niche segregation and C. aerofaciens and the two Blautia species were closely located on the two-dimensional ordination plot. C. catus, A. soehngeni and F. prausnitzii had distinct transcriptional patterns. These data suggest that the observed co-existence likely
resulted from each species occupying a specific metabolic niche and that inter-species cross-feeding supported non-complex substrate degraders forming a trophic interaction network.

**Trophic guilds and niches of MDb-MM species**
The metabolic flow and biomass distribution within the gut is largely driven by bacteria with specialized molecular machineries capable of degrading complex carbon sources [70]. The action of polysaccharide degraders (primary consumers) results in niche construction that may be dependent on the source substrate as well as their metabolic pathways. Consequently, this leads to formation of a hierarchical organization within the community into trophic levels [70]. Here, based on metatranscriptomic species-level assignment of transcriptional expression of GMMs, we broadly classified them into four trophic guilds similar to those reported previously from computational simulations [70] (see Fig. 7B and methods). Transcriptional contribution of species to each of the trophic guilds revealed the inter-species connectedness of resource utilization.

Ranking of MDb-MM strains based on the relative proportions of their GMM expression within each trophic guild revealed temporally changing trophic roles (Supplementary Fig. 11). This suggested that trophic roles are dynamic in MDb-MM. In addition, these observations also suggested that transcriptional expression of individual species for each of the trophic level can be variable. Furthermore, to investigate whether the trophic role is associated with abundance of species in the community we compared the relative abundance of species and its ranking within a trophic guild. We observed that bacteria that are dominant in trophic guild 1 had higher abundances while those dominating trophic guilds 3 or 4 had lower relative abundances in the MDb-MM (Supplementary Fig. 12). This suggests that the species dominating trophic guild 1 are usually present in higher abundances in microbiomes.

The two most abundant species in MDb-MM (Figs. 1D, 5A), *A. muciniphila* and *B. xylanisolvens*, contributed to two trophic guilds: degradation of complex substrates i.e., trophic guild 1 and degradation of simpler carbohydrates i.e., trophic guild 2 (Fig. 7). Known starch degraders, *R. bromii*, *B. ovatus*, *C. aerofaciens*, *E. siraeum*, and *A. rectalis* showed transcriptional segregation across the trophic guild 1 and 2 axis. Known starch degraders, *R. bromii*, *B. ovatus*, *C. aerofaciens*, *E. siraeum*, and *A. rectalis* showed transcriptional segregation across the trophic guild 1 and 2 axis. S. variabile, B. adolescentis and R. bromii dominated trophic guild 1 and showed metabolic activity for arabinoxylan, fructan and starch degradation, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S13).
Fig. 5  Correlation between compositional and functional succession and transcriptomics response of MDb-MM. Mantel test for correlation between compositional functional community similarity based on Canberra distance. A Comparison of community similarity based on 16S rRNA gene relative abundance versus gut metabolic module relative abundances. B Comparison of community similarity based on 16S rRNA gene relative abundance versus KEGG ortholog relative abundances. Each circle in these scatter plots represent pairwise Canberra distances between samples. C Comparison of gut metabolic module relative abundance versus KEGG ortholog relative abundances. D-F Differential expression of GMMs in DoS and overnight samples. Before the addition of $B. \text{hydrogenotrophic}$ with exogenous acetate (48 h vs. 52 h). With $B. \text{hydrogenotrophic}$ and exogenous acetate (240 h vs. 248 h). With $B. \text{hydrogenotrophic}$ and without exogenous acetate (248 h vs. 264 h). Modules with adjusted $p$ value $\geq 0.01$ and with fold change of absolute value $\geq 1.5$ are labeled.

The action of species occupying trophic guild 1 can give rise to extracellular mono- and di-saccharides that can be utilized by species that lack specialized molecular machineries for polysaccharide degradation. In our system, breakdown of mucin, pectin, inulin, starch and xylan could result in simple mono- and di-saccharides such as fucose, galactose, galacturonate, fructose, inulin, starch and xylan could result in simple mono- and di-saccharides such as fucose, galactose, galacturonate, fructose, maltose or xylose as major simple carbohydrates. Within trophic guild 2, fucose transport and degradation genes were identified to be transcribed in $A. \text{muciniphila}$ and $B. \text{obeum}$ (Supplementary Fig. S14). In addition, transcription of galactose metabolism genes was predominantly detected in $A. \text{muciniphila}$, $B. \text{ovatus}$ and $B. \text{xylanisolvens}$. Galacturonate is the main component in pectin, and $F. \text{prausnitzii}$ and to some extent $B. \text{ovatus}$ and $B. \text{xylanisolvens}$ were found to express genes involved in its degradation (Supplementary Fig. S14).

We classified consumption of fermentation end products such as acetate, lactate, 1,2-PD and fructose as trophic guild 3. These are mostly major end products of carbohydrate fermentation, while utilization of $H_2$ and $CO_2$, inorganic by-products of acidogenesis, are classified here as trophic guild 4. Specialist trophic guilds could be assigned to $A. \text{soehngenii}$, $B. \text{hydrogenotrophica}$, and $C. \text{catus}$ as their transcriptional activity was largely contributing to trophic guild 3 (Fig. 7 and Supplementary Fig. S15). $F. \text{plautii}$ showed variation across trophic guild 2 and 3. In our experimental setup, acetate was exogenously supplied until 248 h to the MDb-MM and then removed from the feed. Expression of modules for acetate to acetyl Co-A via I and II (acetate kinase pTKA) was observed in $A. \text{soehngenii}$, $F. \text{prausnitzii}$, $B. \text{obeum}$, $B. \text{hydrogenotrophica}$, and $F. \text{plautii}$ (Supplementary Fig. S15). $A. \text{soehngenii}$ and $F. \text{prausnitzii}$ are known to have improved growth in the presence of acetate, which would explain the activity for consuming acetate [50, 71]. Cross-feeding of lactate resulting from the metabolism of polysaccharide degraders such as Bilobobacterium and Lactobacillus by butyrate producers in the human gut is well known [71, 72]. Here, we detected very low amounts of lactate in the metabolite analysis which resembles the situation in fecal samples where lactate is hardly detected [71]. This can be explained by the significant transcriptional activity for lactate consumption primarily via the ictABCD pathway (Supplementary Fig. S14). $A. \text{soehngenii}$ showed high transcriptional activity for utilization of lactate plus acetate, which further confirms our previous observation of this being a specialized niche for this organism [20, 73]. $C. \text{catus}$ demonstrated activity for lactate consumption but is known only to consume the L-form of lactate, while $A. \text{soehngenii}$ can use both the D- and L-forms of lactate [74]. Fucose fermentation results in production of 1,2-PD, which is another well-known cross-feeding metabolite [37, 72]. While we did not detect any 1,2-PD, there was higher transcriptional activity...
for utilization of 1,2-PD in \( A. \) soehngenii compared to \( B. \) obeum, which also produces propionate (Supplementary Fig. S10) [16, 41]. Transcriptional activity for autotrophic growth on \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) using formate dehydrogenase and formate-tetrahydrofolate ligase was observed in \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica. Other than \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \), we observed active processes for dissimilatory nitrate and sulphate metabolism within guild 4. Among the two \( Bacteroides \) species, \( B. \) xylanisolvens was the dominant species in the MDB-MM and had higher contribution to trophic guild 4, which was observed to be linked to higher expression of the nitrate reduction module. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium may be an advantageous strategy for higher growth rate in competitive ecosystems. In summary, the 16 species in the MDB-MM co-existed by occupying and interacting at different trophic levels to form a complex web of inter-species interactions.

**DISCUSSION**

Due to technological and practical limitations, deciphering the community dynamics and microbe–microbe interactions is challenging using fecal or other intestinal samples derived from human. Here, we investigated microbe–microbe and microbe–environment interactions at species and community level within a highly controlled setting, using a defined micro-microbiome that we subjected to detailed compositional, transcriptional and metabolic analysis. The three most important aspects of this study are (i) assembly of a human minimal microbiome that exhibits ecologically relevant interactions, (ii) the experimental setup which included nutrient periodicity and (iii) a set of specific biotic and abiotic perturbations that allowed to address the resilience of the system. All of these aspects are crucial for better understanding the interactions dynamics within human intestinal microbial communities [5, 7]. Our rational selection was largely driven by understanding of the anaerobic physiology of key human gut microbes. Knowledge of microbial physiology was complemented by considering ecological aspects at the community-level such as assembly, co-existence, competition for resources and cross-feeding. This enabled us to first demonstrate the applicability of ecological concepts, e.g., Taylor’s law, community turnover, divergence, resistance and resilience, and then to investigate the species-level metabolic interactions using metatranscriptomics [2, 9, 75–79]. The MDB-MM exhibited significant correlation with respect to dynamics of composition, metabolic output and transcriptional response in replicate bioreactors. This supported previous observations in synthetic microbiomes that a common pool of species shows similar/reproducible assembly and community-level dynamics under similar growth condition and exposure to similar perturbation events [80–82]. This is equivalent to the classical enrichment experiments where the emergent community assembly can be driven by selecting for specific bacteria or consortia with specific substrates and/or environmental factors such as high salt, pH or temperature [83]. Future research is warranted to test whether a different combination of species than the one used here, would

---

**Fig. 6** Temporal niche overlap of individual species in MDB-MM. The pairwise niche overlap for each species is plotted as a heatmap with darker color intensity indicate high niche overlap. The abbreviations for species name used on the y-axis are given in brackets of panel headings. The missing values are represented by white color. These are time points when one of the species from the pair had less than 50 counts for GMM traits and hence niche overlap could not be calculated. These are prominent for \( A. \) rectalis and \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica. \( A. \) rectalis had one of the lowest 16S rRNA abundances at the initial time points of continuous operation of the bioreactors. \( B. \) hydrogenotrophica was added at 152 h but RNASeq sample was taken before its addition to the system.
result in similar community-level behaviors under identical perturbations [24, 81]. Additionally, modeling of synthetic microorganisms based on complementary wet lab experiments can further increase our understanding of interactions and dependencies in the intestine [80, 84, 85]. Nonetheless, we demonstrated how ecophysiology guided design of synthetic minimal microbiomes combined with metatranscriptomics is a promising avenue to investigate core concepts in ecology and unravel potential metabolic interactions.

At individual taxa level, we observed highly variable compositional and functional responses. This could be attributed to potential technical variation in measurements and/or deterministic chaos [86, 87]. At community-level the behavior can be rather deterministic as observed with similar divergence, mean rank shift and inequality in triplicate MDB-MMs when subjected to similar external perturbations [81, 88, 89]. It is, however, important to note that our system was highly controlled with only one event of immigration (addition of B. hydrogenotrophica) and stochastic processes such as dispersal limitation not being enforced in our experimental setup [90]. Nevertheless, our observation of deterministic assembly of MDB-MM has some implications for designing microbiome modulation strategies, where achieving community-level stability in both composition and function may be crucial. Examples are resistance to invasion or enhanced butyrate production, which can be achieved by targeting ecosystem level properties using appropriate prebiotics [1, 91, 92]. These prebiotics may not necessarily target a specific species but a group of species whose fundamental niche allows for “insurance” to absorb the impact of daily stochastic and destabilizing forces [79, 93].

Fig. 7 Transcriptional niche segregation and trophic guilds within the MDB-MM. A A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on GMM trait expression used in trophic guild analysis. The abundances were Hellinger transformed before calculating the Canberra distances. Multiple circles for each species are different time points. The species labels are positioned around the centroids for that particular species. B Schematic for organization of metabolic roles into trophic guilds. Trophic guild 1 is for polysaccharide and mucin degradation, trophic guild 2 consists of mono-di-saccharides trophic guild 3 consists of consumption of fermentation ends/by-products and trophic guild 4 consists of those consuming inorganic substrates for growth. C Ternary plot indicates the trophic status of the minimal microbiome strains at different time points. For every strain at a given time point, we summed its expression and calculated the relative expression for each trophic guild. The proximality of the symbols to the apex of the triangle is proportional to the averaged potential contribution of each strain to trophic guilds. The trophic guild 4 is not shown in this figure. The ranking of species within each trophic guild is provided in Supplementary Fig. S11.
The investigation of species-specific transcriptional responses revealed that the core gut microbes used in this study have highly evolved metabolic strategies which could explain their coexistence with other seemingly competitive core species. The coexistence is likely due to the ability of these core gut microbes to dynamically regulate the transcriptional response for utilizing specific carbon and energy sources that are vacant [29, 78]. This allows individual species to occupy the niches that become available over time either, due to external (inflow of diet) or changing metabolic behavior of competitor species. For instance, we observed, at transcriptional level, changing patterns of polysaccharide utilization among the species that are part of the first trophic guild where no single species dominated transcriptional contributions for the entire duration of the experiment. These observations provide support for the role of "functional insurance" as result of the presence of competitive species in maintaining community composition, structure and functional stability.

Another aspect of host-associated microbial communities is the immigration of new species which can have an impact on the overall community [94, 95]. By introducing B. hydrogenotrophica in the established minimal microbiome, we demonstrated a widely appreciated role of vacant niches in supporting survival of immigrating species [29, 96]. Despite its fundamental niche being diverse including the ability to utilize several simple carbohydrates that were available, B. hydrogenotrophica likely utilized H2/CO2 and/or formate with active expression of the formate conversion module [56]. When we removed exogenous acetate, butyrate production declined, and this can be attributed to the fact that acetate is one of the key metabolites for its production. Importantly, after removal of exogenous acetate, B. hydrogenotrophica showed high expression of modules linked to homoacetogenesis thus highlighting its contribution to acetate production. This could have aided in stabilizing the community because butyrogenic species such as A. soehngenii, F. prausnitzii and R. intestinalis require acetate for improved growth. This highlights the potential for cyclic interactions where end products of lower trophic guilds can help species occupying higher trophic guilds. Overall, these data provide support for a specialized niche of B. hydrogenotrophica that includes inorganic substrates and/or formate [8, 11, 78]. B. hydrogenotrophica can be considered a key species, which can potentially support production of butyrate. For instance, enhancing butyrate production via prebiotics can lead to significant amounts of gases and therefore recycling these into acetate by autotrophic acetogens such as B. hydrogenotrophica can further support butyrate production in a trophic network with butyrate producers [71].

The flow of energy in biological ecosystems is widely described via trophic structures where energy flows from one level to another [70, 97]. The so-called keystone species are usually defined for taxa at higher trophic levels [54, 98]. Our analysis highlights the difficulties in assigning strict hierarchy based on single and specific trophic roles for individual taxa, especially because the breakdown of complex substrates results in simpler substrates, which the primary degrader can also utilize. Furthermore, the temporal differences we observed in dominance of each bacterium within the trophic guilds indicates that functional roles of bacteria can vary over time within a community. We observed certain taxa with a prominent role within specific trophic guilds. For instance, A. soehngenii and C. catus were predominantly part of the trophic guild level 3 which involves consuming fermentation end products, lactate and 1,2-PD. This observation further supports our previous findings that A. soehngenii occupies an energetically challenging niche, i.e., the consumption of lactate and acetate [20]. In contrast, B. hydrogenotrophica occupied the lowest trophic guild consuming inorganic substrates. Thus, MDb-MM allowed us to unravel functional roles of each of the key gut species in presence of other core microbiota. In addition, we were able to identify potential metabolic interactions and cross-feeding occurring within the MDb-MM by investigating trophic guilds associations based on species-specific transcriptional profiles for GMMs related to degradation of complex substrates, production and consumption of fermentation products like formate and lactate.

Our experimental system did not take the host-aspect into account, which will influence the community composition and dynamics [99]. Hence, improvements can be envisaged by incorporating the MDb-MM in an in vitro model such as HUMix and organoid cell cultures [15, 100, 101], that comprise host features such as aspects of the immune system. The ability to track abundances of closely related species across time points in synthetic communities is crucial. Here, we used short amplicons of the V5-V6 (~280 bp) region of the 16S rRNA gene and noticed non-specific amplification of B.hydrogenotrophica at few time points prior to its addition. In such scenarios, using whole shotgun metagenomics might provide better resolution. One of the major challenges we faced during this study was the difficulty in predicting the metabolic functions based simply on automated annotation and analysis. For instance, the identification of an amylase gene (K01176, alpha-amylase [EC.3.2.1.1]) with high expression in A. muciniphila suggested its contribution to starch degradation. This gene is likely coding for a glycoside hydrodolase involved in breaking glycosidic linkages present in mucin and is not involved in starch degradation. These observations highlight the need for careful curation and interpretation of -omics based functional analysis of fecal samples where the majority of the species remain uncharacterized. With some manual curation of the published GMMs, we were able to capture >87% of the variation between samples that were identified at KO level annotation. This suggests that it is also valuable to investigate other key functions such as those involved in signaling and processing, virulence, vitamin and co-factor biosynthesis and their role in the species dynamics we observed in this study. We did not include bile salts in our media, and several key vitamins and co-factors such as vitamin B12 were provided exogenously. Therefore, impact of these key compounds on the community remains unknown. In addition, a bioreactor with similar setup but with constant supply of DoS could help in identifying if the pulse feeding played a role in co-existence of all species till the end of the experiment.

In this study, we created a minimal microbiome that exhibits ecological stability properties and intricate metabolic interactions that are observed in more diverse and complex natural ecosystems. We provide experimental evidence for temporally variable niche occupation as one of the important mechanisms by which species competing for similar resources can co-exist in a dynamic ecosystem. In addition, we demonstrate how metatranscriptomics can be used to assign quantitative traits for identifying niche overlap at transcriptional level. We foresee the use of data generated in this study to serve as a useful resource for ecologists, systems biologists and microbiome experts for developing predictive ecological and metabolic models and improving our understanding of the human gut microbiome.

**Materials and Methods**

**Species selection for the composition of the synthetic MDb-MM**

Taxonomic composition data from metagenomic studies was obtained from the curatedMetagenomicData data package (v1.18.2) [102]. To identify the taxa that are part of the core microbiota we analysed species-level data from 1155 "Western healthy" human gut metagenomes covering general populations from North America and Europe. A total of 64 metagenomic species, which were present in at least 50% of all samples were analysed with a minimum relative abundance of 0.00001 [103].
Bacterial strains used in this study

The following strains were obtained from the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) or the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, USA): Agathobacter rectalis (DSM 17629), Eubacterium straumei (DSM 15702), Roseburia intestinalis (DSM 14610), Subdoligranulum variabile (DSM 15176), Blautia obeum (DSM 16710), and Hydrogenomas (DSM 10907). Capricosoccus catus (ATCC 27761), Ruminococcus bromii (ATCC 27255), and Collinsella aerofaciens (DSM 3979/ATCC 25986). Anaerobutyricum soehnigenii (DSM 17630, L2-7) was kindly provided by Prof. Harry J. Flint’s group (University of Aberdeen, UK). The strains from the human microbiome project (HMP) catalog were Bacteroides sp. 3.8_47FAA (Bacteroides ovatus), Bacteroides sp. 2.9_1 (Bacteroides ovatus) and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (DSMZ 10507).

Medium composition for MDb-MM strains

All strains were grown in a medium with the following composition: KH2PO4 (1 g/L), Na2HPO4·2H2O (0.534 g/L), NH4Cl (0.3 g/L), NaCl (0.3 g/L), MgCl2·6H2O (4 g/L), yeast extract (2 g/L), beef extract (2 g/L), CH3COONa (2.46 g/L), casitone (2 g/L), peptone (2 g/L), cysteine-HCl (0.5 g/L), carbohydrates (1.1 g/L), resazurin (0.5 mg/L), 1 mL trace elements in acid (50 mM HCl, 1 mM H2BO3, 0.5 mM MnCl2·4H2O, 7.5 mM FeCl3·4H2O, 0.5 mM CoCl2, 0.1 mM NiCl2 and 0.5 mM ZnCl2, 0.1 mM CuCl2·2H2O), 1 mL trace elements in alkaline (10 mM NaOH, 0.1 mM Na2SeO3, 0.1 mM Na2WO4 and 0.1 mM Na2MoO4), 1 mL hemin solution (50 mg heme, 1 mL 1 N Na2HPO4, 0.2 mL vitamin K1 solution (0.1 mL vitamin K1, 20 mL 95% EtOH)). After autoclaving and before inoculation, 1% of vitamin solution was added (11 g/L CaCl2, 20 mg biotin, 200 mg nicotinamide, 100 mg p-amino benzoic acid, 200 mg thiamin (vitamin B1), 100 mg panthothenic acid, 500 mg pyridoxine, 100 mg cyano co cobalamin (vitamin B12), and 100 mg riboflavin).

The basal medium composition was used for both pre-cultures and the feed with differences in carbon source supplementation. The following strains were obtained from the culture collection of the Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University & Research, The Netherlands.

Akkermansia muciniphila (ATCC BAA-835), Bifidobacterium adolescentis (L2-32), and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (A2-165) were taken from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, USA): Subdoligranulum variabile (DSM 15176), Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (ATCC 27761), Ruminococcus bromii (ATCC 27255), and Collinsella aerofaciens (DSM 3979/ATCC 25986). Anaerobutyricum soehnigenii (DSM 17630, L2-7) was kindly provided by Prof. Harry J. Flint’s group (University of Aberdeen, UK). The strains from the human microbiome project (HMP) catalog were Bacteroides sp. 3.8_47FAA (Bacteroides ovatus), Bacteroides sp. 2.9_1 (Bacteroides ovatus) and Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron (DSMZ 10507). Additionally, Akkermansia muciniphila (ATCC BAA-835), Bifidobacterium adolescentis (L2-32), and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (A2-165) were taken from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, USA):

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

For fermentation product analysis, samples were obtained at different time points of the incubation period. Cotoxane was used as the internal standard, and the external standards were lactate, formate, acetate, propionate, butyrate, isobutyrate, 1,2-PD, and isovaleric acid. Standards were prepared in the following concentrations: 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 mM. Substrate conversion and product formation were measured with Shimadzu LC-2030C equipped with a refractive index detector and a Shodex SH1011 column. The oven temperature was set at 45 °C with a pump flow of 1.00 mL/min using 0.01N H2SO4 as eluent. All samples and standards (10 µL injection volume) ran for 20 min.

DNA isolation and library preparation

Genomic DNA was extracted using the FAST DNA Spin kit (MP Biomedicals, Fisher Scientific, The Netherlands) following the manufacturer’s instructions. We included positive controls, a mock community DNA with known composition [107] and reagent controls for DNA extraction and PCR. The concentration of genomic DNA was measured fluorometrically using Qubit dsDNA BR assay (Invitrogen). The hypervariable region V5-V6 (~280 bp) of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with Phusion Hot Start II DNA polymerase (2.5 µL) for 25 cycles using 0.05 µM of each primer (7F and 1492R) and 0.2 µL of enzyme. PCR products were purified using MagBio beads according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Purified products were quantified using Qubit dsDNA BR assay (Invitrogen) and equimolar amounts were pooled in equimolar amounts into one single library. After pooling, the mixed libraries were concentrated using MagBio beads to a concentration needed by the sequencing company. The samples were sequenced on a NovaSeq platform (Illumina) in 2 × 150 base paired-end mode at Novogene (U.K.).

qPCR

The abundance of all species in the synthetic community was determined by qPCR. The DNA concentrations were measured fluorometrically (Qubit dsDNA BR assay, Invitrogen) and adjusted to 1 ng/µL by diluting them in DNA/RNA-free water and prior to use as the template in qPCR. Universal primers targeting the 16S rRNA gene of all the species (1369F 5′-CCG TGA ATA CGT TCG CCG-3′ and 1492R 5′-GWTACCTTGGTATC- GACTT-3′; 123 bp) were used for quantification. A standard curve targeting the 16S rRNA gene of B. thetaiotaomicron was prepared with nine standard concentrations from 10^2 to 10^6 gene copies/µL. The qPCR was performed in triplicate with IQ SYBR green supermix (Bio-Rad, USA) in a total volume of 13 µL prepared with primers at 500 nM in 384-wells plates with the wells sealed with optical sealing tape. Amplification was performed with an iCycler (Bio-Rad): one cycle of 95 °C for 5 min; 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 20 s and 72 °C for 30 s each; one cycle of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 2 min; and a stepwise increase of temperature from 60 to 95 °C at (0.5 °C per s) to obtain melt curve data. Data were analysed using CFX Manager 3.0 (Bio-Rad).
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RNA isolation

The cells (10 mL) were centrifuged at 4816 × g for 15 min at 4 °C and the supernatant was discarded. Total RNA was isolated by combining enzymatic lysis, the Trizol reagent and the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Germany). A mixture of lysozyme (15 mg/mL), mutanolysin (10 U/mL) and Proteinase K (100 µg/mL) in 1X TE buffer was added to the pellet normalized to an RNA OD of 2.0 per 100 µL of this mixture. The samples were mixed by vortexing and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. After 5 min of incubation, the samples were vortexed again. Four microliters of p-mercaptoethanol mixed with 400 µL RLT buffer was added to the sample. Subsequently 1 mL of Trizol reagent was added to 100 µL of the sample. This mixture was transferred to a sterile tube containing 0.8 g of glass beads (diameter of 0.1 mm). The tubes were homogenized by bead beating three times for 1 min at 5.5 m/s, while cooling the samples on ice in between steps (bead beater, Brand). Then, 200 µL of ice-cold chloroform was added. The tubes were mixed gently and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. The RNA isolation was continued following the manufacturer’s instructions of the RNeasy mini kit, including an on-column DNase step using DNase I recombinant, RNase-free, (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) incubating at 37 °C for 30 min. RNA concentration was measured using Qubit and the quality was determined by the Qseq100 bioanalyzer (BioOptic inc, Taiwan). The RNA samples were stored at −80 °C until further processing. Further processing such as removal of rRNA, library preparation and sequencing was performed by Novogene using platform NovaSeq PE150 (illumina).

Bioinformatics

Amplicon data analysis. The 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data was analysed using the DADA2 R package [108]. Raw data (total 4,27,03,796 reads) was filtered to remove low quality reads and reads with more than 2 errors and those matching the PhiX (filterAndTrim function) resulting a total of 4,18,65,602 reads which were then subjected to removal of chimeric sequences (removeBimeraDenovo, consensus method), an average of 225083 ± 102107 reads per samples were obtained (Supplementary Table S4). We used a custom database consisting of 16S rRNA gene sequences fetched from the genomes of the 16 bacterial strains used in this study using barnap (available at https://github.com/mibwurrepo/Shetty_et_al_MDbMM16) [109]. On average 97 ± 1.9% of the reads were assigned to the MDb-MM strains (Supplementary Table S4). Taxonomic assignment was done using the RDP classifier [110]. The unique amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were merged at species-level using the tax_glom() function in phyloseq (v1.32) [111]. The species counts were normalized for the differences in 16S rRNA gene copy number (Supplementary Table S5) and absolute counts were calculated as described previously [112]. Further analysis of the community composition and structure was done using the microbiome R package (v.1.10.0) [113]. All necessary information to reproduce the analysis and production. A list of GMMs and classification of trophic levels in provided in the Supplementary Table S2.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All necessary information to reproduce the analysis and figures is available at the GitHub repository (https://github.com/mibwurrepo/Shetty_et_al_MDbMM16) and Supplementary Notes. Metabolites data are available here https://github.com/mibwurrepo/Shetty_et_al_MDbMM16/blob/master/data/metabolites_hplc_mdbmm.csv. Additional functions used for analysis and generating figures are available as a research compution R package, syncomR (https://github.com/microsud/syncomR). The raw 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and metatranscriptomics data are available at ENA under the study accession number PRJEB46578.
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