Harnessing the Endocannabinoid 2-Arachidonoylglycerol to Lower Intraocular Pressure in a Murine Model
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PURPOSE. Cannabinoids, such as Δ9-THC, act through an endogenous signaling system in the vertebrate eye that reduces IOP via CB1 receptors. Endogenous cannabinoid (eCB) ligand, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), likewise activates CB1 and is metabolized by monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL). We investigated ocular 2-AG and its regulation by MAGL and the therapeutic potential of harnessing eCBs to lower IOP.

METHODS. We tested the effect of topical application of 2-AG and MAGL blockers in normotensive mice and examined changes in eCB-related lipid species in the eyes and spinal cord of MAGL knockout (MAGL−/−) mice using high performance liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC/MS/MS). We also examined the protein distribution of MAGL in the mouse anterior chamber.

RESULTS. 2-Arachidonoylglycerol reliably lowered IOP in a CB1- and concentration-dependent manner. Monoacylglycerol lipase is expressed prominently in nonpigmented ciliary epithelium. The MAGL blocker KML29, but not JZL184, lowered IOP. The ability of CB1 to lower IOP is not desensitized in MAGL−/− mice. Ocular monoacylglycerols, including 2-AG, are elevated in MAGL−/− mice but, in contrast to the spinal cord, arachidonic acid and prostaglandins are not changed.

CONCLUSIONS. Our data confirm a central role for MAGL in metabolism of ocular 2-AG and related lipid species, and that endogenous 2-AG can be harnessed to reduce IOP. The MAGL blocker KML29 has promise as a therapeutic agent, while JZL184 may have difficulty crossing the cornea. These data, combined with the relative specificity of MAGL for ocular monoacylglycerols and the lack of desensitization in MAGL−/− mice, suggest that the development of an optimized MAGL blocker offers therapeutic potential for treatment of elevated IOP.
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Cannabinoids are best known as the psychoactive components of marijuana and hashish.1 However, these exogenous cannabinoids act on an endogenous signaling system that consists of receptors, ligands, and enzymes that produce and break down these ligands.2 This cannabinoid signaling system is distributed widely in the brain and elsewhere in the body.3 Though cannabinoids have a long history in the human pharmacopeia, one of the first modern uses for cannabinoids arose from the observation that the psychoactive component of marijuana (Δ9-THC) also reduces IOP.4 The prospect of cannabinoids as the basis of a therapy for glaucoma prompted a long series of studies that examined first the exogenous and then endogenous and synthetic cannabinoids (reviewed previously5).

Among the canonical cannabinoid receptors CB1 and CB2,6,7 abundant evidence has been presented for ocular CB1.8–10 Of the studies that have examined CB2 mRNA in the eye, only one has detected it.9,11–13 It is possible that the immune-related CB2 is upregulated under some pathologic conditions.14 Though there is evidence from a porcine culture model for a CB2 role in regulation of IOP, we have determined that CB1 receptors mediate most and perhaps the entire drop in murine IOP seen with synthetic cannabinoids.15 What is less well understood is the nature of the endogenous system in the anterior eye. Two arachidonic acid-based lipids, 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) and arachidonoyl ethanolamide (AEA; aka anandamide16,17), are favored as the chief endocannabinoids, but the weight of evidence leans somewhat toward 2-AG as the chief endocannabinoid in neuronal signaling,18 though this may depend greatly on the brain and body region. Complicating the picture is evidence for anandamide as an endogenous ligand for transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1),19 while 2-AG also is able to activate the receptor, albeit more poorly.20,21 Both 2-AG and AEA have been found to reduce IOP22–24 as has the AEA congener palmitoylethanolamide25 (PEA) though the effect of PEA presumably does not occur via cannabinoid receptors, since, contrary to initial evidence for CB2,26,27 PEA does not activate CB1 or CB2.28

Beyond the identity of the endocannabinoids, there is the question of how they are produced and broken down. 2-Arachidonoylglycerol is metabolized by any of several serine hydrolases (reviewed previously29). In brain homogenate, the bulk of hydrolysis occurs via monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) but α,β hydrolase domain 6 (ABHD6) and ABHD12 together...
accounted for approximately 20% of the hydrolysis of 2-AG in those samples. These experiments did not test fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) or cyclooxygenase 2, each of which has been found separately to metabolize 2-AG. In principle, therefore, any combination of five different enzymes may be responsible for 2-AG metabolisms and the question of which enzyme breaks down 2-AG in the anterior eye remains a matter of debate. Though MAGL accounts for the bulk of hydrolysis in brain lysates, the situation in the eye may prove very different. For instance, deleterious ABHD12 mutations have been noted in patients with polyneuropathy, hearing loss, ataxia, retinitis pigmentosa, and cataract (PHARC), a heritable disorder that includes retinitis pigmentosa and cataracts. This raises the possibility that ABHD12 may have a prominent role in the eye. Nor is this a purely academic question: targeting the enzymatic breakdown of endogenous cannabinoids (eCBs) has the potential to lower IOP with consequent therapeutic potential. One study purportedly confirmed the role of MAGL in IOP in a porcine culture model using LY2183240, a compound described by the authors as a MAGL blocker. Instead, LY2183240 is an eCB uptake inhibitor as well as a nonspecific serine hydrolase inhibitor that is especially effective at blocking FAAH. Cannabinoid uptake inhibitors have been shown previously to lower IOP as has anandamide, which is an endogenous metabolic substrate of FAAH. Therefore, the question of a MAGL role remains unresolved.

We tested two MAGL blockers, JZL184 and KML29 – a potent MAGL blocker described more recently. 2-Arachidonoyl glycerol has been shown to lower IOP in a rabbit model, doing so biphasically, with an initial increase followed by a decline, but curiously, the effects of 2-AG were not blocked by a CB1 antagonist.

Using normotensive mouse models, including knockouts (KOs) for several relevant genes and their protein products, we now present evidence for a MAGL role in the regulation of endocannabinoid metabolism in the eye and IOP.

METHODS

Animals

Experiments were conducted at the Indiana University campus. All mice used for IOP experiments were handled according to the guidelines of the Indiana University animal care committee, and in accordance with the ARVO Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research. Mice (age, 3–8 months) were kept on a 12-hour (6 AM–6 PM) light dark cycle, and fed ad libitum. Male C57BL/6J (C57) mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories International, Inc. (Wilmington, MA, USA) or were kindly provided by Ken Mackie (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA). Mice were allowed to acclimatize to the animal care facility for at least a week before their use in experiments. We used 64 animals in these experiments. CB1−/−, CB2−/−, and MAGL−/− mice were kindly provided by Ken Mackie. All KOs are global KOs. CB1−/− animals were received originally from Catherine Ledent (Catholic University, Leuven, Belgium) as heterozygotes. The CB2−/− mice originally were purchased from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). The MAGL−/− mice have been characterized previously in our laboratory and were developed in the laboratory of Ben Cravatt (Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Immunohistochemistry

Adult mice (CD1 strain or C57BL/6 strain, >5 weeks, of either sex, from breeding colony) were housed under a 12/12-hour day/night cycle then killed (during the light cycle) by rapid cervical dislocation. Eyes were removed, and the posterior eye section cut away, forming an eyecup, from which the lens was extracted. For immunocytochemistry, the anterior eyecup was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for an hour followed by a 30% sucrose chromation for 24 to 72 hours at 4°C. Tissue then was frozen in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) compound and sectioned (15–25 μm) using a Leica CM1850 cryostat. Tissue sections were mounted onto Fisher Superfrost-plus slides, washed, blocked with Sea Block blocking buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for 30 minutes, treated with a detergent (Triton X-100, 0.3% or saponin, 0.1%) and normal goat serum (0.5%), followed by primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies (Alexa488, Alexa 594 or Alexa647, 1:500; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) were subsequently applied at room temperature for 1.5 hours. CD1 sections were used to observe nonpigmented sections but were confirmed with C57 and KO controls. All primary antibodies, the immunogen used in their generation, their sources, and their working dilutions have been reported previously. The MAGL antibody was generously provided by Ken Mackie (Indiana University).

IOP Measurements

Intraocular pressure was measured in mice by rebound tonometry, using a Tonolab (Icare Finland Oy, Helsinki, Finland). This instrument uses a light plastic-tipped probe to briefly make contact with the cornea; after the probe encounters the eye, the instrument measures the speed at which the probe rebounds to calculate IOP.

To obtain reproducible IOP measurements, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (3% induction). The anesthetized mouse then was placed on a platform in a prone position, where anesthesia was maintained with 2% isoflurane. Baseline IOP measurements were taken in both eyes. A “measurement” consisted of the average value of six readings. One eye then was treated with drug (dissolved in Tocrisolve [Tocris Biosciences, Bristol, United Kingdom], a soya-based solvent) while the other eye was treated to vehicle-treated eyes. In one experiment, animals were injected with drug (JZL184, 4 mg/kg in saline, intraperitoneally). In this case, ocular pressures of animals were compared to those of vehicle-injected animals.

Lipid Extraction

Mice were killed via cervical dislocation and both eyes were removed immediately and placed in an Eppendorf tube on dry ice. The eyes then were stored at –80°C. To begin the lipid extraction, samples were shock frozen in liquid nitrogen, which allowed them to be easily removed from the Eppendorf tube and weighed before being transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube. The mass of the largest sample was multiplied by 50 to determine how many milliliters of high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)–grade methanol (Avantor Performance Materials, Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA) was to be
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added to the centrifuge tube. Then, 5 μL of vortexed 1 μM deuterium-labeled N-arachidonoyl glycine (δ8NAGly; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was added to each test tube to serve as an internal standard. The spiked tubes were covered with Parafilm and were allowed to sit in the covered ice bucket for 2 hours. The eyes then were briefly homogenized using a sonicator (VirTis, Gardiner, NY, USA). Then, samples were spun in a centrifuge at 19,000g for 20 minutes at 20°C.

After centrifugation, supernatant was poured from the centrifuge tubes into 15 mL polystyrene tubes. Enough HPLC H2O (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) to make a 75:25 water-to-organic solution was added to the supernatant. To partially purify the supernatant/water solution, solid phase extraction columns were used. One solid-phase 500 mg C18 extraction cartridge (Agilent Technologies, Lake Forest, CA, USA) for each tube of extract was inserted into a PrepY vacuum manifold apparatus located in a fume hood. To activate the hydrophobic carbon chains in the column, 5 mL of HPLC methanol was added to each column. When the methanol almost reached the bottom of the column, 5 mL of HPLC H2O was added to the columns to activate the polar silica in the columns. When the water had almost run through the column, the supernatant/water solution was added and allowed to drip slowly through the column. After the solution had eluted, another 2.5 mL of HPLC H2O was added to the columns to wash off more impurities. Then, 1.5 mL of 40% methanol was added to the column to wash off more impurities. The 40% methanol was allowed to elute completely and any eluate in the collector vials was discarded. The collector vials then were replaced with labeled autosampler vials (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) that corresponded to each sample. A series of 4 elutions with 1.5 mL of 60%, 75%, 85%, and 100% methanol as the eluting solvent was performed to partially purify the lipids being measured. More polar lipids, such as PGE2 or PGF2α, were present in the 60% and 75% elutions. N-arachidonoylglycine (NAGly) was present in the 85% elution, whereas the most nonpolar lipids, such as 2-AG and AEA, were present in the 100% elution. Vials of eluents were stored in the –80°C freezer until they were ready for analysis.

HPLC/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (HPLC/MS/MS)

Samples were analyzed using an Applied Biosystems API 3000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Applied Biosystems Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) with electrospray ionization. Levels of each compound were determined by running each sample using a multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) method tailored for each amide family of compounds. Samples were loaded with an autosampler (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), which injected 20 μL from each vial into the chromatography system for each method run. To chromatograph the samples, an XDB-C18 (Agilent Technologies) reversed phase HPLC analytical column was used, which was kept at 40°C by a column oven (HP, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Two different types of mobile phase were used. Mobile phase A consisted of 20%/80% (vol/vol) methanol/water and 1 mM ammonium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA). Mobile phase B instead contained 100% methanol with 1 mM ammonium acetate. Every method run began with 0% mobile phase B, reached a state of 100% mobile phase B flowing at 0.2 mL/minute, and gradually returned to 0% mobile phase B. Before running batches of samples, the ionization source was allowed to reach its operating temperature of 500°C and every vial warmed to room temperature and was vortexed for approximately 30 seconds.

Analyses of the HPLC/MS/MS peaks were performed using Analyst software. Chromatograms were generated by determining the retention time of analytes with a [M-1] or [M+1] parent peak and a fragmentation peak corresponding to the programmed values. The retention time then was compared to the retention time of a standard for the suspected compound. If the retention times matched, then the concentration of the compound was determined by calculating the area under the curve for the unknown and comparing it to the calibration curve obtained from the standards. Extraction efficiency was calculated with the δ8NAGly spiked recovery vial as a standard. Concentrations in moles per gram adjusted for percent recovery from the KO animals were compared to wild-type concentrations using a 1-way ANOVA. All statistical tests were done using SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was defined as P ≤ 0.05 and a trending effect was defined as 0.05 < P ≤ 0.10. Unless otherwise noted, values are shown ± SEM.

Drugs

We obtained 2-AG and JZL184 from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI, USA), while KML29 and Tocrisolve were obtained from Tocris (Ellisville, MO, USA), and WIN55212-2 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. Topically applied drugs were prepared by dilution in Tocrisolve.

RESULTS

2-AG Reduces IOP in a Concentration- and CB1-Dependent Manner

As noted above, 2-AG has been tested in a rabbit model and found to lower IOP, but the effect was not blocked by a CB1 antagonist.21 Using a mouse model, partly to take advantage of transgenic KO mice, we tested a range of concentrations of 2-AG (200 μM, 500 μM, 2 mM, and 5 mM, n = 8, 5, 8, 14), applied topically (Fig. 1A) and tested for their effect after 1 hour. One hour was chosen because 2-AG is broken down rapidly by several serine hydrolases.30,47 Although the maximal depression of IOP coincided with the highest concentration of applied drug, we found that even 500 μM reduced IOP. However, 200 μM did not produce a statistically significant drop in IOP and was therefore considered a subthreshold concentration of 2-AG for topical treatments.

We next tested whether the effect of 2-AG was maintained in the absence of CB1 or CB2 receptors. We found that the effect of 2-AG was absent in CB1KO mice (Fig. 1B; baseline, 19.5 ± 0.9 mm Hg; 5 mM 2-AG, 20.4 ± 0.9 mm Hg; n = 6; P < 0.05 t-test) but present in CB2KO mice (Fig. 1C; baseline, 19.3 ± 0.6 mm Hg; 5 mM 2-AG, 16.7 ± 0.6 mm Hg; n = 5; P < 0.05 t-test). This is consistent with our findings for the synthetic cannabinoid agonist WIN555212.15 though in that study we observed a potentiation with WIN55212 in CB1KO mice suggesting that the inhibition normally observed masks a separate potentiating action via a non-CB1-dependent mechanism. We did not observe a statistically significant potentiation by 2-AG in CB1KO indicating that 2-AG does not similarly increase IOP.

Topically Applied MAGL Blocker KML29 But Not JZL184 Lowers IOP

As noted above, the bulk of 2-AG metabolism in the CNS occurs via MAGL.36 We first tested whether cannabinoid modulation of signaling was intact in MAGLKO mice. We have reported previously that MAGL deletion can result in desensitization of CB1, presumably due to the lack of 2-AG clearance from the vicinity of CB1 receptors.49 Baseline IOP in MAGLKO mice was comparable to WT (MAGL, 19.7 ± 1.5 mm Hg, n = 5; WT, 21.0
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**Figure 1.** 2-Arachidonoylglycerol reduces IOP in a concentration- and CB1-dependent manner. (A) Shows changes in IOP (mm Hg) after 1 hour, with increasing concentrations of 2-AG lowering IOP in a concentration-dependent manner. (B) Responses to 5 mM 2-AG are absent in CB1−/− animals, but present in CB2−/− animals (C).

±0.9 mm Hg, n = 6). We found that the synthetic CB1 agonist WIN55212 (1%) continued to reduce IOP in MAGL−/− mice (Fig. 2A; baseline, 22.8 ± 1.1 mm Hg; WIN55212, 18.5 ± 0.9, n = 6, P < 0.05 by paired t-test). However, 2 mM 2-AG did not lower IOP in MAGL−/− eyes, though the effect trended toward statistical significance (Fig. 2B; baseline, 20.0 ± 0.7; 2 mM 2-AG, 17.3 ± 0.9, n = 11, P = 0.0502 by paired t-test).

Acute blockade of MAGL might potentiate endogenous 2-AG-mediated signaling. This was the case in autaptic hippocampal neurons. Therefore, we tested topical treatment with the selective MAGL blocker KML29 (1 mM) at 1 and 4 hours after treatment, finding that KML29 lowered IOP at 1 and 4 hours after treatment (Figs. 2C–D; control [1 hour], 18.1 ± 1.2; KML29 [1 mM, 1 hour], 14.2 ± 1.1; control [4 hours], 18.0 ± 1.9; KML29 [1 mM, 1 hour], 12.9 ± 0.9; n = 8, P < 0.05 by paired t-test). By 8 hours, we no longer observed an effect of KML029 (Fig. 2E; control [8 hours], 16.9 ± 1.2; KML29 [1 mM, 8 hours], 16.5 ± 0.8; n = NS by paired t-test). However, 300 μM KML29 reduced IOP at 1 hour but not at 4 hours (data not shown). The effect of 1 mM KML29 at 1 hour was absent in CB1−/− mice, indicating that the effect of KML29 is CB1-dependent (Fig. 2F; control CB1−/− [1 hour], 14.5 ± 0.9; KML29 CB1−/− [1 mM, 1 hour], 14.0 ± 1.1; n = 5, not significant [NS] by paired t-test). The drop at 4 hours is substantial at 28%, in a normotensive model, indicating an in vivo model that endogenous production of 2-AG can be harnessed for the purposes of lowering IOP. In separate experiments with MAGL blocker JZL184 we found that 1 mM of the MAGL blocker JZL184 did not lower IOP on its own, though it did lower IOP in combination when coapplied with a subthreshold concentration of 2-AG (data not shown) and when injected at 4 mg/kg (data not shown).

**Monoacylglycerols Are Elevated in Eyes of MAGL−/− Mice**

Lipidomics screens were performed in eyes of WT versus MAGL−/− mice. Though most attention has focused on the canonical endocannabinoids, 2-AG and AEA, the body produces a range of related lipids. What function these might have still is largely an open question. A panel of approximately 80 lipids, a full list of which is found in Supplementary Table S1, was screened in wild type (WT) and KO mice. Three 2-acyl-glycerol MAGL substrates, including 2-AG, 2-oleoyl-sn-glycerol (2-OG) and 2-linolenoyl-sn-glycerol (2-LG), were detected in all samples. A total of 24 members of this panel included the N-oleoyl-, arachidonoyl-, palmitoyl-, stearoyl-, linoleoyl-, docosahexaenoyl-based N-acyl ethanolamines, N-acyl GABAs, N-acyl glycines, and N-acyl serines. The list additionally included linoleic and arachidonic free fatty acids, N-arachidonoyl taurine, and the prostaglandin metabolites PGE2 and PGE4. As expected, by far the strongest increases were seen in the acyl-glycerols, all of which were substantially elevated. Most classes of lipids were unchanged, with a few exceptions that included anandamide, but the changes in levels of these lipids, though statistically significant, were modest. It has been shown previously that arachidonic acid metabolites, such as prostaglandins, are drastically altered when MAGL is blocked or eliminated.48 In mouse MAGL−/− eyes, the prostaglandins were unaffected and arachidonic acid was not statistically significant though it did trend toward a decline. This is in striking contrast to steep declines in arachidonic acid and prostaglandin levels in spinal cord tissue from the same mice (see Table).

**Monoacylglycerol Lipase (MGL) Is Expressed Prominently in Ciliary Epithelium and Iris But Not Cornea**

We used immunohistochemical tools to examine the protein distribution of MAGL in the anterior chamber. Monoacylglycerol lipase is broadly but selectively distributed in the WT mouse anterior eye (e.g., ciliary epithelium, iris; Fig. 3A), staining that was absent in the MAGL KO mouse (Fig. 3B). The MAGL protein was conspicuously absent from the mouse anterior eye (e.g., ciliary epithelium, iris; Fig. 3A), staining that was absent in the MAGL KO mouse (Fig. 3B). The MAGL staining in the ciliary epithelium appears to be membrane-associated but also intracellular (Fig. 3B, arrow). This is shown in greater detail in Figure 4B. The MAGL staining in the ciliary epithelium appears to be membrane-associated but also intracellular (Fig. 3B, arrow).

**DISCUSSION**

In 1971, Hepler and Frank4 first demonstrated that marijuana has a salutary effect on IOP. This effect in motion a long series of studies across the subsequent 40 years, studies that continue...
today. Because the physiologic target of marijuana remained unknown, initial work focused on the chief psychoactive ingredient THC and related phytocannabinoids. With the identification of the cannabinoid CB$_1$ and CB$_2$ receptors and candidate endocannabinoids 2-AG and anandamide, these receptors and ligands became the target of most subsequent studies. The current study represents a continuation of these inquiries, now examining eCBs in greater detail, with an emphasis on their degradation by the endogenous serine hydrolases most implicated in the breakdown of 2-AG, MAGL.$^{39,47}$ Our major findings are that 2-AG reliably lowers IOP in a concentration- and CB$_1$-dependent manner and that MAGL blockade can be harnessed to lower IOP.

FIGURE 2. Monoacylglycerol lipase inhibition can reduce IOP. (A) Topical WIN55212 (1%) reduces IOP in MAGL$^{-/-}$ eyes. (B) 2-Arachidonoylglycerol (2 mM) treatment in MAGL$^{-/-}$ eyes results in a trend toward IOP reduction ($P = 0.0502$). (C–E) Topical treatment with KML29 (1 mM) lowers IOP at 1, 4, and 8 hours after treatment. (F) However, KML29 is without effect in CB1$^{-/-}$ mice. *$P < 0.05$, $\phi P = 0.05$, paired $t$-test.

As noted above, our data strongly support 2-AG as the eCB acting via CB$_1$ to reduce IOP. Arachidonoyl ethanolamide was identified 3 years before 2-AG,$^{17}$ but it has some unusual properties. It generally is found at far lower concentrations than 2-AG in nervous tissue$^{32}$ and is a full agonist at TRPV1 receptors, members of an unrelated class of ion channels.$^{50}$ Monoacylglycerol lipase is closely linked with 2-AG, often colocalized with CB$_1$ including in retina.$^{43}$ Monoacylglycerol lipase determines the time course of depolarization-induced suppression of excitation/inhibition (DSE/DSI)$^{33,47}$ while 2-AG levels rise dramatically in the CNS of MAGL$^{-/-}$ animals.$^{51}$

One encouraging finding, in terms of therapeutic relevance, is that CB$_1$ IOP effects do not desensitize in MAGL$^{-/-}$ mice.
Desensitization of responses is a risk with any therapy and MAGL deletion had been found to result in diminished CB1 binding in some, but not all, brain regions of mice as well as desensitization in neurons. Also notable is the finding that MAGL enzyme activity appears to be relatively restricted to the monoacylglycerols; only these were dramatically increased in eyes of MAGL−/− mice. This is in contrast to the striking perturbation of arachidonic acid and prostaglandin levels that we see in the spinal cords of the same mice. As noted above, it has been reported previously that MAGL blockade or elimination substantially reduces arachidonic acid and prostaglandins. 2-Arachidonoyl glycerol is metabolized by MAGL into arachidonic acid and glycerol and it appears that in much of the CNS this 2-AG–derived arachidonic acid serves as a substrate for prostaglandin synthesis and that MAGL inhibition risks “locking up” arachidonic acid, making it unavailable for production of prostaglandins. This does not appear to be a major factor in the eye. The strong increases in not only 2-AG but also the related lipids 2-LG and 2-OG does raise the question of what role these lipid species might have in the eye. Compounds, such as 2-LG and 2-OG, have been proposed to act as entourage compounds, but 2-OG instead may be an agonist at GPR119.

Monoacylglycerol lipase protein was shown here to be selectively distributed in the eye, chiefly in pigmented ciliary epithelium and iris. Given its role in the metabolism of a larger number of acyl glycerol lipids, MAGL may have multiple roles in the eye, including those that are unrelated to CB1 signaling. We have shown previously that CB1 is widely distributed in several regions that are implicated in regulation of IOP, including ciliary epithelium and trabecular meshwork. Of the tissues most prominently expressing MAGL, the one most likely to have a role in regulating IOP is the ciliary epithelium. It is possible that MAGL blockade elevates 2-AG more broadly in the anterior chamber and that the site of action of this elevated 2-AG is elsewhere in the anterior eye.

### Table. Monoacylglycerols Are Elevated in Eyes of MAGL−/− Mice

| Lipid Species                  | MGL KO compared to WT |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| **Endogenous lipids**         |                        |
| N-acetylglycine               |                        |
| N-acetyl serine               |                        |
| N-acetyl alanine              |                        |
| N-acetyl ethanolmine          |                        |
| N-acetyl ethanolamine         |                        |
| **Spinal Cord**               |                        |
| Free Fatty Acids              |                        |
| 2-acyl-sn-glycerol            |                        |
| 2-arachidonyl-ar-glycerol     |                        |
| 2-linoleoyl-ar-glycerol       |                        |
| 2 oleoyl-ar-glycerol          |                        |
| 2-AG                          |                        |
| 2-LG                          |                        |
| 2-OG                          |                        |
| Prostaglandins                |                        |
| PGE2                          |                        |
| PGF2α                         |                        |
| **Eyes**                      |                        |

↑↑↑↑ 3-9.99 times increase
↑↑↑↑ 2.299 times increase
↑↑↑ 1.50-1.99 times increase
↑↑↑ 1.19 times increase
down↓↓↓↓ 1.19 times decrease
down↓↓↓↓ 2.299 times decrease
down↓↓↓↓ 3-9.99 times decrease

Significant increase p<.05
Trending increase 05<p<.1
Trending decrease
Significant decrease

Table shows lipid species (from a panel of 32) that changed in MAGL−/− eyes or spinal cord relative to wild type controls.
Our findings that 2-AG inhibits IOP in a CB₁-dependent manner are inconsistent with the findings of Laine et al. The CB₁ antagonist used by Laine et al., AM251, did block effects by other agonist in the same study and, therefore, was presumably effective. Therefore, the difference in findings may be due to species differences, an issue that will need to be explored in future studies. Our results contradict the findings of Njie et al., who reported a role for CB₂ in regulating aqueous humor outflow. There is some evidence for CB₂ in the anterior eye consisting of a series of studies from one research group using a porcine culture model for which KO controls are unavailable, but this is opposed by studies from several other groups that have sought but not detected CB₂ receptors or function. Though the use of CB₂ KOs by Hudson et al. and here are a strong argument against a measurable CB₂ role in regulation of IOP, it is possible that under certain conditions, such as in a culture model or due to species differences, CB₂ is upregulated and, therefore, has a role under limited conditions. It should be noted however, that some of the evidence for a CB₂ role (e.g., see the report of Zhong et
al.\textsuperscript{55} relies on the ostensible CB\textsubscript{2}-selectivity of JWH015, a compound that we have determined to be an efficacious CB\textsubscript{1} agonist.\textsuperscript{56} Similarly, as noted in the introduction, the same group made use of LY2183240 claiming that it was a MAGL blocker,\textsuperscript{57} whereas it had been described previously as a nonspecific blocker of serine hydrolases with activity at FAAH, in addition to being an eCB uptake inhibitor.\textsuperscript{58} The activity at FAAH may account for their observed effects. In contrast, the current study has made use of the extensively characterized JZL184,\textsuperscript{39} used in >100 published studies, and the more recently described KML2940,\textsuperscript{41} in addition to MAGL KO animals to resolve the question of a MAGL role.

The eye offers distinct advantages for therapeutic intervention. Because of its relative isolation from the rest of the body, an agent that can be applied topically offers an opportunity to intervene selectively in the eye. This reduces but does not eliminate the risk of deleterious side effects for a widely distributed receptor, such as CB\textsubscript{1}, and eye drops come with the advantage of the naturally occurring eCB. The possibility of a therapeutic approach to IOP that takes advantage of the naturally occurring eCB results expand our understanding of eCB metabolism and raise the possibility of a therapeutic approach to IOP that takes advantage of the naturally occurring eCB.
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