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Abstract

Background

Deep neuromuscular blockade may be beneficial on surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery. The effects of moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with transverse abdominal plane block (TAPB) on the surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery has not been described. We investigated if moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB would be associated with similar surgical space conditions compared with deep neuromuscular blockade.

Methods

Eighty patients undergoing elective laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer were randomly divided into two groups. The intervention group was treated with moderate neuromuscular blockade (train-of-four (TOF) count between 1 and 3) combined with TAPB (M group), while the control group was treated with deep neuromuscular blockade (D group), with a TOF count of 0 and a post-tetanic count (PTC) ≥ 1. Both groups received the same anesthesia management. The distance between the sacral promontory and the umbilical skin during the operation was compared between the two groups. The surgeon scored the surgical space conditions according to a five-point ordinal scale. Patients’ pain scores were evaluated eight hours after the operation.

Results

The 95% confidence intervals of the difference in the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin between the groups were − 1.45–0.77cm. According to the preset non-inferior standard of 1.5cm, (-1.45, ∞) completely fell within (-1.50, ∞), and the non-inferior effect test was qualified. There was no significant difference in the surgical rating score between the two groups. The dosage of rocuronium in group D was significantly higher than that in group M (P< 0.01). The M group had significantly lower pain scores than the D group eight hours after the operation (P< 0.05).

Conclusions

In laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery, moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB can provide surgical space conditions similar to those of deep neuromuscular blockade, and at the same time, reduces the use of muscle relaxants, relieves postoperative pain within 4 hours after operation, shorten the time to extubation and stay in PACU.

Trial registration:
Background

During earlier years of laparoscopic surgery development, most anesthesiologists usually adopted a moderate neuromuscular blockade due to the minimally invasive incision.[1, 2] However, pneumoperitoneum can develop when using a moderate neuromuscular blockade, leading to reduced lung compliance, decreased functional residual capacity, and atelectasis. In terms of long-term pneumoperitoneum, carbon dioxide accumulation can cause hypercapnia and acidosis, resulting in insufficient perfusion of the abdominal organs and hemodynamic fluctuations.[3, 4]

In recent years, anesthesiologists have applied deep neuromuscular blockade in laparoscopic surgery to improve surgical space conditions.[5–7] So as to reduce the postoperative pain and shorten the recovery time.[8–10] However, deep neuromuscular blockade may increase the risk of residual neuromuscular blockade after the operation, leading to respiratory complications.[11–13]

Local anesthetics could affect neuromuscular junction conduction through complex presynaptic and postsynaptic effects, thus enhancing the effect of non depolarizing muscle relaxants.[14, 15] To the authors’ knowledge, no published studies have investigated the impact of moderate neuromuscular blockade with transverse abdominal plane block (TAPB) on surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery. During our pilot study, moderate neuromuscular blockade with TAPB could provide better surgical space conditions than moderate neuromuscular blockade alone.

We designed this study to assess the effects of moderate neuromuscular blockade with TAPB to deep neuromuscular blockade on surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. We hypothesized that moderate neuromuscular blockade with TAPB was non-inferior to deep blockade in providing “optimal” surgical space conditions as assessed by the surgeon.

Methods

This trial was approved by the ethics committee of the Ruijin Hospital affiliated to the Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine (No. 2020-007-1) and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects participating in the trial. The trial was registered prior to patient enrollment at chictr.org.cn (ChiCTR2000034621, Principal investigator: Fang Ke, Date of registration: 12, July, 2020).

In this study, patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer were enrolled on an elective date from August 2020 to February 2021. Patients had an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade I–III physical status classification, were between 18–80 years of age, and had a body mass index (BMI) between 18–26kg/m². Patients suffering from neuromuscular junction diseases, severe heart, lung, liver, or kidney insufficiency, severe blood system disease, coagulation dysfunction, thrombocytopenia, or hemophilia were excluded from the study.
Participants were randomly divided into two groups: a deep neuromuscular blockade group (D Group) or a moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB group (M Group). A random number table method was adopted using Excel to allocate participants to the groups, and the grouping results were only known by the anesthesiologist. Throughout the operation, the patient’s blood pressure, electrocardiogram, pulse oxygen saturation, and Narcotrend (Monitor Technik, Bad Bramstedt, Germany) were routinely monitored. Oxygen was inhaled through a mask, and peripheral veins were catheterized for fluid administration.

A neuromuscular blockade monitor (E-NMT, GE Company, Finland) was used to stimulate the ulnar nerve and observe the contraction reaction of the adductor pollicis muscle. TOF counting with a frequency of 2Hz, current of 60mA, at 20-s intervals was adopted. Every 10min, PTC was monitored in the D Group.

Propofol (2mg/kg) and sufentanil (0.3µg/kg) were given for anesthesia induction. After the patient lost consciousness, TOF count monitoring was performed, ensuring the basic values were 90–110% for three consecutive times. The D Group was given rocuronium (0.6mg/kg, Esmeron®, N.V. Organon, Netherlands) through intravenous injection, while the M Group was given rocuronium (0.4mg/kg) intravenously. Continuous monitoring of neuromuscular blockade was conducted, and endotracheal intubation was performed when the TOF count was 0.

After endotracheal intubation, the researchers implemented TAPB according to the patient's group. The M Group received ultrasound-guided bilateral TAPB using ultrasound (Edge, Sonosite®, Fuji Film, Japan) and in-plane techniques. The patients lay supine, exposing the abdominal area between the costal margin and iliac crest. The ultrasound probe was placed transversely between the costal margin and the iliac crest, near the front or middle axillary line. Three layers of abdominal muscles were identified, including the external oblique muscle, the internal oblique muscle, and the transverse abdominal muscle. After the needle (Stimuplex® D, 0.71*120mm 22G*, B Braun Melsungen AG, Germany) was inserted between the internal oblique and transverse abdominal muscles, it was withdrawn to ensure that the needle tip was not in a blood vessel. Then local anesthetic (20ml of 0.375% ropivacaine, Naropin®, AstraZeneca) was injected into each side.

Within 10 min of TAPB administration, the surgeon entered the room and prepped and draped the patient. Pneumoperitoneum was established five minutes after the operation commenced. Then, the surgeon (observer) measured the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin, while pneumoperitoneum pressure was controlled at 12 mmHg.[5, 16] During the operation, the surgeon scored the surgical space conditions according to a five-point ordinal scale ranging from 1 (extremely poor conditions) to 5 (optimal conditions) (Table 1). [17] The data were recorded by the anesthesiologist. The surgeon didn't know the group allocation.
Table 1
The surgical rating score

1 Extremely poor conditions: the surgeon is unable to work because of coughing or because of the inability to obtain a visible laparoscopic field because of inadequate muscle relaxation. Additional neuromuscular blocking agents must be given.

2 Poor conditions: there is a visible laparoscopic field, but the surgeon is severely hampered by inadequate muscle relaxation with continuous muscle contractions, movements, or both with the hazard of tissue damage. Additional neuromuscular blocking agents must be given.

3 Acceptable conditions: there is a wide visible laparoscopic field but muscle contractions, movements, or both occur regularly causing some interference with the surgeon's work. There is the need for additional neuromuscular blocking agents to prevent deterioration.

4 Good conditions: there is a wide laparoscopic working field with sporadic muscle contractions, movements, or both. There is no immediate need for additional neuromuscular blocking agents unless there is the fear of deterioration.

5 Optimal conditions: there is a wide visible laparoscopic working field without any movement or contractions. There is no need for additional neuromuscular blocking agents.

Anesthesia was maintained by target control infusion (TCI) and desflurane. The TCI(Perfusor® Space Infusion Pump, B.Braun Melsungen AG.) effect compartment concentration(Marsh model) of propofol was 1 µg/ml, and remifentanil was sustainedly infused at 0.1µg/kg/min. Desflurane (6%) was inhaled continuously, and the minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) was maintained between 0.7 and 1.0. The depth of anesthesia was maintained in the Narcotrend range of 30–50. A single dose of sufentanil (0.2µg/kg) was given before incision. Neuromuscular blockade was monitored and maintained by continuously infusing rocuronium (initial rate of 3µg/kg/min). The TOF count in the D Group was maintained at 0 with PTC ≥ 1.[18] The PTC was measured every 10 min during the operation. When PTC was > 15, the infusing rate of rocuronium was increased, and when PTC was < 1, infusing rate was decreased. If TOF count occurred during the period, a single dose of rocuronium (5mg) was administered. The TOF count in the M Group was maintained within 1–3.[18] The rocuronium infusing rate was increased when TOF count was 3 and decreased when TOF count was 1 (Fig. 1). When systolic blood pressure decreased by > 30% or mean arterial pressure (MAP) < 65mmHg for more than three minutes, phenylephrine (20µg) or ephedrine (5mg) was injected intravenously once.

The infusion of muscle relaxants ceased and a single dose of sufentanil (0.3µg/kg) were given10 min before the end of the operation. Then the patients were transferred to the post-anesthesia care unit as the standard procedure at author's institution. In this study, all patients were routinely antagonized. When the TOF count recovered to 0.1, neostigmine (40µg/kg, Xinyi, China) and atropine (20µg/kg, Xinyi, China) were given to antagonize the residual effects of the muscle relaxants. Coughing and swallowing reflexes were recovered when the patient regained consciousness. The endotracheal tube was removed after TOF ratio ≥0.9, establishing a steady breathing frequency of 10–20 breathes per minute and PetCO₂ was ≤ 45mmHg. Time to extubation, total time in PACU and other adverse events (apnea, desaturation) were recorded.
Oxycodone was used for patient-controlled-analgesia (PCA), the background dose was 1 mg/h, bolus dose was 1 mg/time, locked for 30 minutes. The postoperative visual analogue scale (VAS) and bolus time follow-up were performed by a specialized anesthetic nurse. The specialized anesthetic nurse didn’t know the group allocation.

**Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome measure was the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin and the surgeon’s subjective score of the surgical space conditions. Secondary outcome measures included the dosage of various narcotic drugs, the patient’s hemodynamic parameters during different periods of the operation, and patient pain scores (VAS) after the operation.

**Statistical analysis**

The purpose of this clinical trial was to verify that the surgical space conditions of the M Group were not inferior to that of the D Group. Therefore, the sample size estimation formula for a non-inferior, parallel (1:1) clinical trial was: 

$$n_c = (Z_{1-\alpha} + Z_{1-\beta})^2 (1 + 1/K) / (\mu_T - \mu_C + \Delta)^2$$

According to the results of our pilot study, the mean $\mu_T$ value of the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin in the M Group was 16.03 cm, and the mean $\mu_C$ value in the D Group was 15.66 cm. The non-inferiority limit was set as $\Delta = 1.5$ cm. Assuming that the standard deviation ($\sigma$) was the same for both groups at 2.4, and $\alpha = 0.025$, $\beta = 0.10$, and $K = 1$, then according to the formula, $n_c = 35$. Considering a drop-out rate of 10% in each group, the number of cases in each group should be no less than 39. Thus, 40 cases were included in each of the two groups in this study, which fulfills the requirements of the statistical tests.

Measurement data were summarized as mean ± standard deviation ($\bar{x} \pm s$). Categorical data were summarized as numbers and percentages(%). Comparisons between the two groups were analyzed by student t-test for measurement data, Chi-square test was used for categorical data (or Fisher's exact test if expected count less than five), and Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used for ranked data. Bilateral 95% confidence intervals were calculated of the difference in surgical space measurements between the groups. Whether the effect of moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB on surgical space measurements was not inferior to that of deep neuromuscular blockade was judged according to the preset non-inferior effect limit of 1.5 cm. If the lower boundary of the 95% confidence interval for $(\mu_T - \mu_C)$ did not cross $-1.5$ cm, noninferiority of the M group to the D group would be established. A $P$ value of $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS20.0 software.

**Results**

Among the 155 patients screened for inclusion in the study, 73 refused to sign informed consent and 82 participated in the study, of which 2 patients were excluded due to signal disturbance during neuromuscular blockade monitoring. 80 patients were finally included in the statistical analysis. Patients
were randomly divided into the D (n = 40) and M (n = 40) Groups according to the different neuromuscular blockade management schemes (Fig. 2). There were no significant differences in sex, age, body mass, surgical category, and preoperative examination between the two groups (P < 0.05, Table 2).

| Table 2 | Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | **D Group** (n = 40) | **M Group** (n = 40) | **P** |
| ASA(I/II/III) | 8/28/4 | 11/27/2 | 0.309 |
| Gender (male/female) | 24/16 | 24/16 | 1.000 |
| Age (years) | 60 ± 1 | 60 ± 2 | 0.972 |
| Height (cm) | 163 ± 1.3 | 166 ± 1.1 | 0.049 |
| Weight (kg) | 63 ± 1.5 | 64 ± 1.5 | 0.490 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 23.6 ± 0.47 | 23.4 ± 0.42 | 0.728 |
| Total bilirubin (umol/L) | 11.73 ± 0.68 | 13.75 ± 0.74 | 0.049 |
| Direct bilirubin (umol/L) | 2.28 ± 0.14 | 2.19 ± 0.13 | 0.665 |
| Total protein (g/L) | 67.85 ± 0.84 | 67.68 ± 0.74 | 0.876 |
| Albumin (g/L) | 39.2 ± 0.5 | 39 ± 0.5 | 0.786 |
| Creatinine (umol/L) | 78.1 ± 2.2 | 79.8 ± 2.3 | 0.586 |
| Urea nitrogen (mmol/L) | 5.23 ± 0.23 | 4.88 ± 0.2 | 0.263 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | 123 ± 3.4 | 129 ± 2.7 | 0.199 |
| Hematocrit | 0.43 ± 0.03 | 0.37 ± 0.01 | 0.390 |

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI = body mass index.

The distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin after pneumoperitoneum was 16.03 ± 2.17cm in D group and 16.37 ± 2.78cm in M group. The 95% confidence interval of the difference in the distance between the M and D groups was −1.45 ~ 0.77cm. The lower boundary of this 95% CI did not cross −1.5 cm, and as such, the noninferiority of the M group to the D group was established.

There was no difference in the surgical rating score of the surgical space conditions after the operation between the two groups. In the D Group, there were 34 patients with a score of 5 points, five patients with a score of 4 points, and one patient with a score of 3 points. In the M group, 35 patients scored 5 points, and five patients had a score of 4 points.
With the exception of the different schemes for neuromuscular blockade management, other drugs for maintaining anesthesia were the same in both groups. The rocuronium dosage in the D Group was significantly higher than that of the M Group (83.6 ± 3.6 mg vs 69.2 ± 3.1 mg, \( P < 0.01 \)). There were no significant differences in the fluid balance, the duration of anesthesia, operative time, and pneumoperitoneum time between the two groups (\( P > 0.05 \), Table 3).
Table 3
Comparison of primary and secondary outcomes between the two groups

|                          | D Group (n = 40) | M Group (n = 40) | P   |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----|
| **Primary outcome measures** |                 |                 |     |
| Surgical space measurement (cm) | 16.03 ± 2.17 | 16.37 ± 2.78 |     |
| Surgical rating score (1/2/3/4/5 points) | 0/0/1/5/34 | 0/0/0/5/35 | 0.717 |
| **Secondary outcome measures** |                 |                 |     |
| Rocuronium bromide (mg) | 83.6 ± 3.6      | 69.2 ± 3.1      | 0.003 |
| Remifentanil (mg)       | 0.99 ± 0.08     | 1.01 ± 0.08     | 0.435 |
| Propofol (mg)           | 510 ± 35.7      | 524 ± 32.8      | 0.770 |
| Sufentanil (µg)         | 46 ± 1.2        | 43 ± 1.9        | 0.202 |
| Colloid (ml)            | 1081 ± 53.3     | 906 ± 86.6      | 0.089 |
| Crystal (ml)            | 1791 ± 63.5     | 1605 ± 76.3     | 0.065 |
| Urine volume (ml)       | 566 ± 75        | 535 ± 55        | 0.737 |
| Blood loss (ml)         | 150 ± 20        | 145 ± 18        | 0.853 |
| Operation time (min)    | 146.7 ± 7.7     | 141.9 ± 8.6     | 0.676 |
| Anesthesia time (min)   | 171.3 ± 7.8     | 170.4 ± 9.2     | 0.939 |
| Pneumoperitoneum time (min) | 106.3 ± 6     | 103.2 ± 7       | 0.747 |
| Time to extubation(min) | 16.83 ± 4.97    | 11.06 ± 4.33    | 0.001 |
| Total time in PACU(min) | 34.63 ± 5.42    | 26.48 ± 5.21    | 0.001 |
| Apnea immediately after extubation(n) | 2 | 1 | 1.000 |
| SpO2 < 93% immediately after extubation | 4 | 5 | 1.000 |
| Apnea within 30 min of extubation | 0 | 0 |     |
| SpO2 < 93% within 30 min of extubation | 0 | 0 |     |
| **VAS**                 |                 |                 |     |
| 1 hours post            | 2.73 ± 0.93     | 1.67 ± 0.94     | 0.001 |
| 4 hours post            | 3.58 ± 0.71     | 2.73 ± 0.60     | 0.001 |
| 8 hours post            | 4.10 ± 0.78     | 3.88 ± 0.88     | 0.230 |

VAS = visual analogue scale
|                          | D Group          | M Group          | P   |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----|
| (n = 40)                 | (n = 40)         |                  |     |
| Total bolus times within 24h | 10.40 ± 1.92    | 8.28 ± 1.72      | 0.001 |

VAS = visual analogue scale

The VAS of the M Group was significantly lower at 1 (2.73±0.93 vs 1.67±0.94, \( P < 0.01 \)) and 4 (3.58±0.71 vs 2.73±0.60, \( P < 0.01 \)) hours after the operation. Total bolus times within 24h (10.40±1.92 vs 8.28±1.72, \( P < 0.01 \)) were less in M Group. There was no significant difference in VAS between the two groups at 8 hours after operation. The time to extubation (16.83±4.97 min vs 11.06±4.33 min, \( P < 0.01 \)) and the total time in PACU (34.63±5.42 min vs 26.48±5.21 min, \( P < 0.01 \)) was significantly less in M Group.

Adverse events, including apnoea and desaturation (SpO2 < 93%) immediately after extubation and within 30 min of extubation are summarised in Table 3. The differences were of no statistical significance. All events of desaturation were resolved using the jaw-thrust manoeuvre and supplementary oxygen.

There were no significant differences in systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate between the two groups before the operation, during the induction of anesthesia, one or two hours into the operation, and after the operation (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Our study has shown that in laparoscopic colorectal surgery, moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB provides surgical space conditions similar to deep neuromuscular blockade.

In recent years, a growing number of anesthesiologists have applied deep neuromuscular blockade technology in laparoscopic surgery to provide surgeons with better surgical space conditions so as to improve abdominal organ perfusion and alleviate postoperative pain for laparoscopic surgery.[19–22] The results of a meta-analysis by Bruintjes et al. demonstrate that deep neuromuscular blockade improves surgical space conditions during laparoscopic surgery compared with moderate neuromuscular blockade. This study quantified surgical space conditions by measuring the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin. The results indicate that deep neuromuscular blockade increased the distance from the sacral promontory to the umbilical skin.[5] Author's study used this method to evaluate the surgical conditions during laparoscopic surgery. There was no significant difference between M Group and G Group in surgical space measurements.

A study on bariatric surgery published in PLOS One in 2016 included 100 obese patients. The researchers randomly divided all patients into moderate and deep neuromuscular blockade groups. Surgeons evaluated the surgical space conditions according to the operation evaluation scale. The results showed
that in the deep neuromuscular blockade group, the surgeon's operation evaluation results were maintained five points, and the patients suffered from less pain in the post-anesthesia care unit ($P<0.05$), including less shoulder pain ($P<0.05$).[16] Our results show that both moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB and deep neuromuscular blockade can provide a excellent surgical space conditions.

Furthermore, studies have exhibited reduced muscle injury after deep neuromuscular blockade during total hip replacement and that the levels of IL-6, CPK, and LDH were lower after the operation.[23, 24] Deep neuromuscular blockade can help to reduce muscle injury and reduce the stress of an operation and perioperative inflammation to a certain extent through improving surgical space conditions and making the operation easier to perform for the surgeon.[25–27] But it is not so easy to popularize deep neuromuscular blockade because of the prolonged recovery time and increased incidence of residual neuromuscular blockade after the operation.[11] Another possible reason can be that Sugammadex, the rocuronium specific antagonist, is quite expensive in China and out of the medical insurance list. Our study showed a prolonged extubation time and the PACU residence time in the D Group. Kopman et al. proposed that the antagonism of neostigmine was slow and incomplete when using deep neuromuscular blockade, leading to an increase in the incidence of postoperative residual neuromuscular blockade.[28]

Research showed that local anesthetics can enhance the effect of muscle relaxants caused by rocuronium.[15] Wang et al. found that the increased adult muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptor inhibition produced when local anesthetics are combined with nondepolarizing muscle relaxants may contribute to the clinical enhancement of neuromuscular blockade by local anesthetics.[14] These studies may explain that moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB can achieve similar effect of deep muscle relaxation in our study.

The application of deep neuromuscular blockade in laparoscopic surgery is recognized as the optimal choice (keeping the TOF count at 0 and PTC ≥ 1).5 Compared with simple deep neuromuscular blockade, deep neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB can provide good surgical space conditions and exert an appropriate analgesic effect,31 such that deep neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB group was not included in this study. During our pilot study, three comparison groups were investigated, including deep neuromuscular blockade, moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB, and moderate neuromuscular blockade alone. Surgeons complained of a poor neuromuscular blockage effect for five patients in the moderate neuromuscular blockade group, so additional neuromuscular blockade was required to complete the operation. Consequently, this study eliminated the moderate neuromuscular blockade group.

In this study, the TAPB technique was guided by ultrasound, for which the accurate and safe operation has been mastered by most anesthesiologists. Similar to previous researchs,[29, 30] TAPB alleviated the pain within 4 hours after operation slightly in author’s study.

There were several limitations to this study. First, the sample size of this study is relatively small, and it is likely underpowered to detect a difference in some adverse events, like apnea and desaturation. The
major side effects of neuromuscular blockade focus on postoperative respiratory function, including postoperative hypoxemia, airway obstruction, and muscle weakness, resulting in an increased risk of postoperative respiratory complications.[12] Second, we did not compare the lengths of the hospital stay and ICU stay and we did not collect long-term follow-up data from the patients.

**Conclusion**

In laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery, moderate neuromuscular blockade combined with TAPB can provide surgical space conditions similar to those of deep neuromuscular blockade, and at the same time, reduces the use of muscle relaxants, relieves postoperative pain within 4 hours after operation, shorten the time to extubation and stay in PACU.
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Figures
Figure 1

Flow chart of rocuronium management during surgery. TOF = train-of-four; PTC = post-tetanic count.
Figure 2
Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials flow diagram
Figure 3

Comparison of intraoperative systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate between the two groups