Combination of galectin-3, CK19 and HBME-1 immunostaining improves the diagnosis of thyroid cancer

VANESSA ARCOLIA¹, FABRICE JOURNE¹,², FLORENCE RENAUD³, EMMANUELLE LETEURTRE³, HANS-JOACHIM GABIUS⁴, MYRIAM REMMELINK⁵ and SVEN SAUSSEZ¹,⁶

¹Laboratory of Human Anatomy and Experimental Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, University of Mons, B-7000 Mons; ²Laboratory of Oncology and Experimental Surgery, Jules Bordet Institute, Free University of Brussels, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium; ³Lille University, UMR-S 1172, JPARC, Jean-Pierre Aubert Research Center, F-59000 Lille, France; ⁴Institute of Physiological Chemistry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, D-80539 Munich, Germany; ⁵Department of Pathology, Erasme Hospital, Free University of Brussels, B-1070 Brussels; ⁶Department of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, CHU Saint-Pierre, Free University of Brussels, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium

Received January 17, 2017; Accepted June 26, 2017

DOI: 10.3892/ol.2017.6719

Abstract. Currently, fine-needle aspiration is the most frequently used pre-operative technique for diagnosis of malignant thyroid tumors, however, pathologists are unable to reach efficient and accurate differential diagnoses between benign and malignant thyroid nodules. To aid in resolving this issue, immunohistochemistry for galectins (gal)-1, -3, -7, -8, cytokeratin 19 (CK19), Hector Battifora Mesothelial Epitope-1 (HBME-1) and thyroid peroxidase (TPO) was performed on two tissue microarrays composed of 66 follicular adenomas (FA) and 66 papillary carcinomas (PC). The identification of optimal cut-off levels and the diagnostic value of single immunomarkers or combinations were evaluated using the receiver operating characteristic curve analysis. Signal intensities for gal-1, gal-3, CK19 and HBME-1 were significantly greater in PC compared with FA (P<0.001). Conversely, expression levels of TPO were significantly increased in FA compared with PC (P<0.001). Gal-3 and CK19 appeared to be the most sensitive markers (97 and 98%, respectively), whereas galectin-1 was the most specific (97%). The combination of gal-3, CK19 and HBME-1 acted as the most efficient and informative marker panel reaching the greatest specificity (97%) and sensitivity (95%) for the diagnosis of PCs. The findings suggest that this combination of markers may improve the reliability of diagnosis of thyroid cancer.

Introduction

The estimated occurrence of palpable thyroid nodules in the general population ranges from 4 to 7% (1). While thyroid nodules are therefore rather common, thyroid cancers are rare. Thyroid ultrasonography and thyroid fine-needle aspiration (FNA) are frequently used preoperative techniques to diagnose malignant thyroid tumors. However, FNA leads to indeterminate biopsy results in 10-20% of all cases, when solely based on cytopathological evaluation (2,3).

Nowadays, the preoperative characterization of thyroid nodules remains a challenge for clinicians. To address this issue ever increasing numbers of studies had evaluated the value of immunohistochemical markers such as galectin (gal)-3, cytokeratin 19 (CK19), Hector Battifora Mesothelial-1 (HBME-1) and thyroid peroxidase (TPO) (4-14). Although some are promising markers to distinguish benign from malignant thyroid lesions, none is individually reliable for differential diagnosis. Actually, each marker has its limitations because of significant expression in benign thyroid nodules to a notable extent (15-20). Therefore, novel immunohistochemical markers or combinations are required to define criteria for distinction between benign and malignant thyroid lesions, especially regarding the classification of thyroid follicular lesions.

Homodimeric gal-1 is a potential new candidate because its expression has been shown to be upregulated in cancers (21-24), including thyroid carcinoma (25-27). Proteomic profiling has also suggested gal-1 to be a potential biomarker of thyroid cancer (28,29). Furthermore, our team has previously reported for the first time a high serum level of both gal-1 and gal-3 in patients diagnosed with benign thyroid lesions and well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma compared to healthy individuals (30). In addition, having revealed functional additivity and antagonism between members of the galectin family (31,32), we take steps to network analysis by co-monitoring expression of gal-7 and gal-8.

In the present study, we compared the diagnostic value of gal-1, gal-3, CK19, HBME-1 and TPO, alone and in combination,
in benign and malignant thyroid lesions in order to determine
the usefulness of each marker or a combination of markers,
allowing the most accurate diagnosis of thyroid cancer through
preoperative assessment of nodular thyroid lesions.

Materials and methods

Clinical data. The immunohistochemical detection of gal-1,
gal-3, gal-7, and gal-8, CK19, HBME-1 and TPO was studied in
two tissue microarrays (TMA) composed of 66 follicular
adenomas (FA) and 66 papillary carcinomas (PC). The
available population data were gender, age and histopatho-
logical features. This clinical series included 100 women and
32 men. The mean age of patients with FA was 44 years
(range, 13-76 years) and 41 years for patients with PC (range,
9-73 years) (33). The patient samples and clinical data were
retrieved from the records of the Lille University Hospital
(Lille, France) between August 2000 and September 2001,
selected and analysed by two pathologists (Professor E.
Leteurte and Dr F. Renaud). No inclusion and exclusion
criteria of the selected patients were used in the current study.
Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients to
use the surgical specimens for scientific research.

Immunohistochemistry and histopathologic examina-
tion. Specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and
paraffin-embedded. Five-micrometer sections were stained
with hematoxylin and eosin for examination by light micro-
scopy. The entire paraffin-embedded blocks were selected
and arrayed in triplicate 0.6 mm tissue cores for TMA
construction (Beecher Instruments, Silver Springs, MD,
USA). The 5 µm-thick tissue sections were deparaffinized
and heat pretreated (citrate buffer or EDTA; Ventana Medical
Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) before incubation with i) specific
primary antibody against gal-1 (polyclonal rabbit anti-human
galectin-1, 1:100) and gal-3 (polyclonal rabbit anti-human
galectin-3, 1:200) (34,35), gal-7 (polyclonal rabbit anti-human
galectin-7, 1:50) and gal-8 (polyclonal rabbit anti-human
galectin-8, 1:20) (36,37), all anti-galectin antibodies rigorously
controlled against occurrence of cross-reactivity to human
galectins and depleted by respective cycles of affinity chroma-
tography if positive, and CK19 (monoclonal mouse anti-human
cytokeratin-19, 1:50; M0772; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark),
HBME-1 (monoclonal mouse anti-human HBME-1, 1:100;
M3505; Dako), TPO (monoclonal mouse anti-human TPO,
1:50; TPO47; Biocytex, Marseille, France); then ii) corre-
sponding biotinylated secondary antibody (760-500, ultraView
Universal DAB Detection kit; Ventana Medical Systems);
and finally iii) avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC kit;
Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). The slides were
thoroughly washed with PBS between each incubation step.
Immunocomplexes were finally visualized by exposure to the
diaminobenzidine chromogen (DAB; BioGenex, Fremont,
CA, USA) in the presence of H2O2. After rinsing, the sections
were counterstained with luxol fast blue and mounted with
a synthetic medium. To exclude antigen-independent staining,
the incubation step with primary antibodies was omitted from
the protocol as negative controls. In all cases, these controls
were negative (data not shown). The specificity of gal-1 and
gal-3 antibodies was validated by western blotting in different
thyroid cancer cell lines (B-CPAP, FTC133C and 8505C
cell lines derived from papillary, follicular and anaplastic
thyroid carcinoma respectively) reporting immunoreactive
band for gal-1 at 14 kDa and for gal-3 at 26 kDa (data not
shown). The FTC133C and 8505C cell lines were analyzed to
confirm the absence of mycoplasma contamination and the
presence of characteristic markers of follicular thyroid
carcinoma and anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, respectively.
Both cell lines have been characterized at the IRIBHM labora-
tory (Professor C. Maenhaut, ULB, Brussels, Belgium) (38).
A blind semi-quantitative analysis of immunostainings was
independently performed by three pathologists (Professor E.
Leteurte, Dr F. Renaud, Professor M. Remmelink) using a
light microscope (Axiocam MRc5; Carl Zeiss, Hallbergmoos,
Germany). Each tissue specimen was scored (0-6) by adding
the percent of immunopositive cells (range, 0-3: 0=0; 1=1-33;
2=34-66 and 3=67-100%) to the staining intensity (range, 0-3:
none; 1=low; 2=moderate and 3=high). The overall score
used for subsequent statistical analysis was the mean of three
spots of the same tumor.

Statistical analysis. Groups of data were compared using
the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. The diagnostic
performances of single or combined immunomarkers and the
identification of the optimal cut-off points for the diagnosis
of malignancy were evaluated using the receiver operating char-
acteristic (ROC) curves and the assessment of the area under
the ROC curve (AUC). The specificity, sensitivity, positive
predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV)
of markers, alone or combined, were evaluated from crosstabs
based on cut-off points and significance were calculated using
the Fisher's exact test. P-value ≤0.05 was considered as signifi-
cant. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS
Statistics 23 (IBM, Ehningen, Germany).

Results

Gal-1/13/7/8, CK19, HBME-1 and TPO immunostaining
profiles in benign and malignant thyroid lesions. The first
aim of our study was to assess the expression levels of seven
markers (gal-1, gal-3, gal-7, gal-8, TPO, CK19 and HBME-1)
by immunohistochemistry in two series of TMAs composed
of 66 cases of FA and 66 cases of PC.

In sections of PCs, CK19 and HBME-1 staining was either
cytoplasmic or both cytoplasmic and apical, with intensity
increase at the apical membrane (Fig. 1B and C). Signal pre-
ence for gal-1 and gal-3 was ubiquitous, both in tumor cell
(cytoplasmic and nuclear staining) and the stroma associated
to cancers and adenomas (Fig. 1A and D). The expression
pattern of TPO showed that staining was cytoplasmic, rela-
tively intense at the apical membrane of benign cells (Fig. 1E).

The statistical analysis of the results of the cytoplasmic
immunostaining revealed that the level expression of the four
markers (gal-1, gal-3, CK19 and HBME-1) was significantly
higher in cancer cells of PC compared to epithelial cells in FA
(P<0.001, Mann-Whitney test) (Fig. 1A-D). By contrast, the
cytoplasmic expression of TPO is higher in adenomas than in
cancers (P<0.001, Mann-Whitney test) (Fig. 1E).

Of note, staining levels of gal-7 and gal-8 were nuclear
and cytoplasmic both in FA and PC without any statistical
difference between cytoplasmic immunostaining in each group (P=0.12 and P=0.47, Mann-Whitney test, respectively) (Fig. 2).

Gal-1 was completely absent in the epithelial compartment on almost all benign thyroid neoplasms (Fig. ID), whereas a weak to moderate signal was found in benign samples for gal-3, CK19 and HBME-1 (Fig. 1A, B and C, respectively), suggesting that monitoring gal-1 could be more reliable to distinguish malignant from benign lesions.

Diagnostic performances of individual or combined immunomarkers. For each individual marker, the cut-off has been defined from the ROC curve (Fig. 3A). The cut-offs allowing to separate negative/low vs. positive immunostaining were >0 for gal-1, gal-3 and HBME-1, and >2 for CK19 and TPO (Table I and Fig. 1). The diagnostic performance of the markers was individually evaluated by comparing areas under the ROC. As described in Table 1, the area under the ROC curve of gal-3 (AUC=0.957) is greater than the area under the ROC curves of the CK19 (AUC=0.947), HBME-1 (AUC=0.910), gal-1 (AUC=0.883) and TPO (AUC=0.879). From crosstab analyses, gal-3 and CK19 appear to be the most sensitive markers (97 and 98%, respectively), while gal-1 is the most specific one (97%) (P<0.001, Fisher’s exact test). HBME-1 and TPO

Figure 1. Evaluation of gal-3, CK19, HBME-1, gal-1 and TPO expression in benign and malignant thyroid lesions. Immunohistochemical evaluation of expression and statistical analysis of (A) gal-3, (B) CK19, (C) HBME-1, (D) gal-1 and (E) TPO in tissue microarray composed by FA and PC (P<0.001, Mann-Whitney test). Magnification, x400. The immunostaining was semi-quantitatively assessed in the cytoplasmic compartment and scored from 0 to 6 by summing staining intensity (0-3) and percentage of positivity (0-3). Data are presented as box plots indicating the 1st and the 3rd quartiles centered on medians (thick lines) with whiskers for the minimum and maximum non-outlier values, the ‘o’ symbols are outliers and the ‘*’ symbols show the extreme values. Gal-3, galectin-3; CK19, cytokeratin 19; HBME-1, Hector Battifora Mesothelial Epitope-1; TPO, thyroid peroxidase; FA, follicular adenoma; PC, papillary carcinoma.
exhibited good sensitivities (88 and 83%, respectively) and good specificities (79 and 80%, respectively) (Table I).

Statistical analysis for all possible permutations using the five markers to discriminate between FA and PC was shown in Table II. Of note, as TPO is a negative diagnostic marker for cancer, we used its inverse score (6-score) to combine it to others, and mean scores (0-6) were calculated for all combinations. The diagnostic performance of the combination of markers was evaluated by comparing areas under the ROC curves. The data revealed that the combination of gal-3/CK19/HBME-1 exhibits the highest performance to assess the diagnosis of malignancy (AUC=0.994, cut-off >2.5) (Table II and Fig. 3B). The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV were also calculated for the panel of combined markers. Several combinations of markers such as gal-3/CK19, gal-3/CK19/HBME-1/gal-1, gal-3/CK19/gal-1/HBME-1/gal-1/TPO or gal-3/CK19/HBME-1/gal-1/TPO improves specificity (>95%) and sensitivity (>90%). However, based on these calculations, the combination of gal-3/CK19/HBME-1 is the best one, associating high sensitivity (95%) and high specificity (97%) for the diagnosis of PC (P<0.001, Fisher's exact test) (Table II).

Discussion

Although conventional histology and FNA are considered as gold standards, the pathologists are confronted with difficulties...
in reaching an accurate differential diagnosis between benign and malignant thyroid nodules. To improve disease identification, immunohistochemical markers, such as gal-3, CK19, HBME-1 and TPO, have been proposed and their efficiencies for thyroid cancer diagnosis have been evaluated. CK19 is the smallest member of cytokeratin family responsible for the structural integrity of epithelial cells. Several studies reported that CK19 expression is intense and diffuse in PC and absent or low in benign thyroid lesions (5,6,12-14). Gal-3, a structurally unique member of galectin family with an N-terminal tail composed of nine collagen-like repeats (39,40), is associated with the pathogenesis of well-differentiated thyroid carcinoma (4,7-11). HBME-1 is a surface antigen localized in the microvilli of the mesothelial cells (41). It had a wider expression in PC compared to follicular carcinomas and FA (42,43). TPO is a membrane enzyme involved in the synthesis of thyroid

Table I. Diagnostic value of individual markers to distinguish malignant from benign thyroid tumors.

| Markers          | AUC   | Cut-off | Spe (%) | Sens (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Fisher's exact test (10^{-15}) |
|------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Galectin-3       | 0.957 | >0      | 83      | 97       | 85      | 96      | P=1.07                        |
| CK19             | 0.947 | >2      | 76      | 98       | 80      | 98      | P=1.02                        |
| HBME-1           | 0.910 | >0      | 79      | 88       | 81      | 87      | P=4.20                        |
| Galectin-1       | 0.883 | >0      | 97      | 80       | 96      | 83      | P=5.16                        |
| TPO              | 0.879 | >2      | 80      | 83       | 80      | 83      | P=345.47                      |

AUC, area under the curve; Spe, specificity; Sens, sensitivity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; CK19, cytokeratin 19; HBME-1, Hector Battifora Mesothelial Epitope-1; TPO, thyroid peroxidase.

Table II. Diagnostic value of combined markers in discrimination of malignant from benign thyroid tumors.

| Markers                | AUC   | Cut-off | Spe (%) | Sens (%) | PPV (%) | NPV (%) | Fisher's exact test (10^{-15}) |
|------------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Gal-3/CK19/HBME-1      | 0.994 | ≥2.5    | 97      | 95       | 97      | 96      | P=1.57                        |
| Gal-3/CK19/HBME-1/gal-1| 0.991 | ≥2      | 95      | 95       | 95      | 95      | P=1.57                        |
| Gal-3/CK19/HBME-1/TPO  | 0.991 | ≥2.5    | 97      | 90       | 97      | 91      | P=16.73                       |
| Gal-3/CK19/HBME-1/gal-1/TPO | 0.989 | ≥2.5    | 97      | 91       | 96      | 91      | P=4.63                        |
| Gal-3/CK19             | 0.988 | >3      | 99      | 92       | 98      | 93      | P=5.48                        |
| Gal-3/HBME-1/TPO       | 0.986 | ≥2.5    | 94      | 87       | 93      | 88      | P=16.73                       |
| Gal-3/CK19/gal-1       | 0.985 | ≥2      | 97      | 92       | 97      | 93      | P=8.91                        |
| Gal-3/HBME-1           | 0.985 | ≥2      | 95      | 95       | 95      | 95      | P=8.29                        |
| Gal-3/CK19/TPO         | 0.985 | ≥2.5    | 92      | 94       | 92      | 91      | P=4.71                        |
| Gal-3/HBME-1/gal-1/TPO | 0.985 | ≥2      | 97      | 91       | 95      | 91      | P=1.01                        |
| Gal-3/HBME-1/gal-1     | 0.984 | ≥2      | 95      | 87       | 95      | 89      | P=6.18                        |
| Gal-3/CK19/gal-1/TPO   | 0.984 | ≥2      | 94      | 95       | 94      | 95      | P=1.50                        |
| CK19/HBME-1/gal-1     | 0.982 | ≥2      | 94      | 91       | 94      | 91      | P=7.06                        |
| Gal-3/TPO             | 0.979 | ≥2.5    | 92      | 90       | 92      | 91      | P=4.42                        |
| Gal-3/gal-1/TPO       | 0.979 | ≥2      | 94      | 82       | 93      | 84      | P=12.86                       |
| CK19/HBME-1/gal-1/TPO | 0.979 | ≥2      | 91      | 90       | 90      | 91      | P=22.82                       |
| CK19/HBME-1           | 0.972 | ≥2      | 89      | 91       | 90      | 91      | P=14.85                       |
| CK19/gal-1            | 0.972 | ≥2      | 95      | 92       | 95      | 93      | P=7.06                        |
| CK19/gal-1/TPO        | 0.969 | ≥2.5    | 94      | 90       | 93      | 91      | P=20.51                       |
| HBME-1/gal-1/TPO      | 0.966 | ≥2      | 95      | 85       | 95      | 87      | P=12.30                       |
| CK19/HBME-1/TPO       | 0.966 | ≥3      | 95      | 87       | 95      | 86      | P=7.68                        |
| HBME-1/gal-1/TPO      | 0.965 | ≥2      | 97      | 80       | 96      | 83      | P=5.16                        |
| Gal-3/gal-1           | 0.957 | >0      | 83      | 97       | 85      | 96      | P=11.13                       |
| CK19/TPO             | 0.949 | ≥3      | 84      | 86       | 84      | 85      | P=14.29                       |
| Gal-1/TPO            | 0.942 | ≥2      | 95      | 84       | 95      | 86      | P=1.91                        |
| HBME-1/TPO           | 0.936 | ≥2.5    | 92      | 86       | 92      | 97      | P=1.47                        |

AUC, area under the curve; Spe, specificity; Sens, sensitivity; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; gal-3, galectin-3; CK19, cytokeratin 19; HBME-1, Hector Battifora Mesothelial Epitope-1; TPO, thyroid peroxidase.
Significance of CK19, TPO, and HBME-1

et al showed that gal-3 was an immunohistochemical marker to discriminate malignant from benign cases (13-20,41,42). Mehrotra et al showed that gal-3 was expressed in a large proportion of FAs, multinodular goiters and Hashimoto's thyroiditis (16). In the study of Mataraci et al, CK19 expression was found in adenomatous nodular hyperplasia and FA (13). Furthermore, a focal positive labeling may exist in FAs and goiter for HBME-1 (41,42). In addition, a study by Weber et al reported to TPO a 50% sensitivity for diagnosis of PC, suggesting that TPO should be combined with other markers such as gal-3 (47).

Thus, the current challenge is to find new immunohistochemical markers that might be more helpful to refine differential diagnosis between benign and malignant. Widely studied in other types of cancers, gal-1 remained poorly documented in the thyroid pathologies (26-28). Because of that, one of the main contribution of this study to advance the status of the field was to determine whether gal-1 may be viewed as a complementary biomarker for diagnosis of thyroid cancer assessing nodular lesions. In this context, we studied the diagnostic performance of galectin-1 individually and in combination with four other thyroid tumor markers used in clinical practice. Our data showed that the expression of this galectin is significantly higher in PC than in FA. As a single marker, gal-1 displayed a higher specificity (97%) than gal-3 and CK19 which showed higher sensitivity (97 and 98%, respectively). Of note, comparing to the serum levels of both gal-1 and gal-3 (30), our current study on tissues revealed higher values for the sensitivity and specificity. Gal-1, Gal-3 and CK19 can be used in association to improve discrimination between malignant and benign thyroid neoplasms. So, when we combined two to five markers, we significantly improved the specificity, the sensitivity as well as the PPVs/ NPVs for malignancy. The association of positivity for gal-3, CK19 and HBME-1 proved to be the most relevant combination in the distinction between PCs and FAs. Hence, our data advocate the concept of the use of combinations of immunomarkers in clinical practice to diagnose thyroid carcinomas. Evidently, a panel of markers might be more helpful than the use of a single one to improve diagnostic accuracy (20,48-51). Of relevance for the galectin network (52), our data indicate that different members of this family have non-redundant distribution profiles, indicating non-overlapping functional spectra. Galectins are widely distributed in a tissue- and cell-specific manner. This is particularly emphasized for gal-7 and gal-8 which are not differentially expressed between FA and PC, suggesting that these galectins are not involved in thyroid carcinogenesis or that transformation to tumor cells did not impact their expressions.

In summary, the diagnostic problems in thyroid pathology are still present in many laboratories and this paper can be potentially useful for improving information. In this study, we used TMAs to test a panel of markers that also include gal-1, gal-7 and gal-8. We demonstrated that gal-1 is a useful immunohistochemical marker to discriminate malignant tumors from benign thyroid nodules. Our observations further validate that gal-3 is a sensitive marker for the diagnosis of thyroid malignancy, and we add support for its combination with CK19 and HBME-1 with the highest performance for the diagnosis of well-differentiated thyroid cancer. Such combination of markers should be validated in a larger series of tissues including various subtypes of thyroid lesions.
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