1. Introduction
The consumption of drinking water that are using one of the most common membrane processes, which is Reverse Osmosis (RO) that remove any contaminants or impurities to produce a clean drinking water [1]. Besides that, in the manufacturing industries frequently apply the separation process using membrane as a part of their systems structure [2]. The same author also stated that one of the common usages are including the elimination of bacteria and microorganism in producing lactose-free diary product. Furthermore, membrane separation process also widely used in medical and pharmaceutical field, such as dialysis where excess fluid, salt and waste will be removed from the patient bloodstream. These are just some of the usage and applications of membrane in our daily life which could be improved by further improvisation in the fabrication and production [3, 4].

The fabrication of membrane has a similar purpose, which is to build a separation mechanism process to obtain the desired results. Besides that, the structure of membrane is essentially made of permeable layer that act as the separation barrier system [5]. These barriers play important rule to allows certain molecules or particles to enter and exits the permeable layer. Furthermore, membrane classifications are depending on the size of the pore structure. The smaller and denser the morphology of the membrane, the harder for any particle to pass through it [5].
In order to create the movement of the feed through the membrane layer, it will be consistently driven either by applying pressure, concentration, temperature or even using electrical potential components in order to ensure a smooth constant flow passing through the membrane layer. While the filtered substance that failed to pass through the membrane will be retained at the surface of the membrane. The feed that has successfully pass through were usually known as permeate or flux [6].

While most of the common process of membrane separation process were mainly to remove unenviable chemicals such as metallic chemical elements, or physical substances such as suspended solid. Some other benefits of using membrane are as a separation medium is to effectively remove ions, dissolved minerals and gasses existed in the feed [7]. With the proper productions of membrane process, the filtration process of these impurities could be done effectively and produce treated potable water. This research is mainly focused in identifying the optimum formulation of hybrid polymer membrane fabrications and to investigate the characteristic, morphology and performance of the optimum hybrid polymer membrane. Some of the limitations of this research are the availability of the materials which is Polysulfone (PSF), Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) that were used in the hybrid polymer membrane formulation. The availability of Ultra-Pure Water in order to test the Flux and Salt Rejection also one of the main highlights in this study. Lastly, the wastewater that has been collected will be determined the efficiency and functionality of the hybrid polymer membrane.

2. Literature review
A. Membrane technology in our daily lives
Membrane separation process is one of the technologies that has been widely used in our daily life [8]. This advanced technology that has been integrated into our portable equipment which helps to simplify our daily live activities. As an example, in residential and commercial area, most of the residence nowadays has acquired a water purifier system in their houses. This is one of the major usages of membrane separation process where it will soften and filter the water from impurities such as suspended solid, dissolved chemical, ions and other impurities and makes the filtered water suitable to be drink directly. In addition, Jiang et al stated that one of the most common usage of membrane separation process today are the integration of membrane filter in air conditioning equipment. Membrane separation process also has become one of the important parts in air conditioning nowadays. For instance, in Malaysia where we are having a tropical weather with average of 29°C all year around where air conditioner is required to chill and reduce the indoor air temperature for thermal comfort. And besides cooling the surrounding air, most of air conditioning system has been installed with membrane filter that able to filter out and capture dust, pollen, mites, smokes and other foreign particle in the air that might be harmful to people with sensitive health conditions [5]. By having these machines installed, the indoor air quality has been improved and provide a better air ventilation and circulation for the residence.

B. Introduction to synthetic hybrid membrane
Ariono et al. [9] According to isopropanol and ethanol (IBE) (2017), membrane is typically known as a sheet with a specific thickness that acts as semipermeable layer that acts as a selective barrier. This fabricated layer will only allow some molecules or particles to pass through and retain any other impurities which helps to regulates the movement from different phases in a controlled enclosed mechanism. Initially, there are two (2) types of membrane, which is Biological Membrane and Synthetic Membrane [10, 11]. Biological Membrane or usually known as Biomembrane are a naturally formed membrane that is become an essential part in living things. These Biomembrane structure were made of living cells that will acts as the separation layer. In comparison to Synthetic Membrane, an artificial membrane where it is fabricated through a series of research and innovation which specifically made for the purpose of industrial or laboratory activity.

In the recent time, Synthetic Hybrid Membrane is well known and studied by various researcher to improve and innovate from the previous research due to its useful beneficial features [9]. Synthetic Hybrid Membrane are one of the types of membrane that uses the combination of several materials in a specific formulation in order to create a new kind of membrane morphology and function [12]. Some of the common materials used from organic or inorganic materials such as metal or ceramic, homogeneous films (polymers), heterogeneous solids. Due to the manual fabrications, it is easy to do modifications and adjustments to the membrane structure and produce it according to a diverse different usage and applications. Harun et al. [3] also agreed that the changes that can be made to the fabrication of the synthetic hybrid membrane will creates a better
morphology and simultaneously could enhance the efficiency of the membrane itself rather than just a semipermeable layer that could filter particle in and out in a system [3].

C. Fabrication of synthetic hybrid membrane
In this research, three (3) main materials used in the fabrication of synthetic hybrid membrane which consist of two (2) types of polymer solvent which is Polysulfone (PSF) and Polyethylene Glycol (PEG). While for the solvent 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) were used.

Hybrid Polymer
Polymer were mostly used due to the toughness and strength properties against corrosion and pressure. Different polymer has different features and advantages, thus by combining them into a new hybrid polymer will subsequently improve their morphology and strength during the membrane separation process [13].

a) Polysulphone (PSF)
Polysulphone or usually known as PSF are a part of thermoplastic polymers where they possess a high stability and toughness against temperature. They contain the subunit aryl-SO2-aryl, the defining feature of which is the sulfone group and usually used for the replacements in polycarbonates. PSF could retains their rigid and strong properties between 100 °C and 150 °C which also can be use under high pressure due to their high compaction resistance. The ease in manufacturing is one of the reason where PSF were widely used in the industry such as wastewater recovery, food production and gas separation [14].

b) Polyethylene Glycol (PEG)
Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a polyether compound which also known as polyethylene oxide (PEO) or polyoxyethylene (POE) depending on its molecular weight. PEG is widely used in the industry such as manufacturing or medical industry. PEG are prepared by polymerization of ethylene oxide and are commercially available over a wide range of molecular weights from 300 g/mol to 10,000,000 g/mol [15].

c) 1-Methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP)
1-Methyl-2-Pyrrolidone or known as NPM is an organic compound and a type of solvent where usually added in the membrane formulation process. Due to the excellent solvency properties, NMP is widely used along with the fabrications of polymeric membranes. It is also widely used in textile industry as an addition in surface treatment and pharmaceutical field [16]. Table 1 is Below comparison between previous studies.

| No. | Researchers          | Membranes | Findings                                                                                                                               | Applications       |
|-----|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1.  | Bailon and Berthold  | Nano      | Polyethylene glycol (PEG)-conjugated proteins belong to a new class of biomolecules that are neither proteins nor polymers, but which are hybrids of the two | Protein filtration |
| 2.  | Rikabi et al. [16]   | Nano      | Polysulphone has high thermal resistance (150-170°C)                                                                                   | Filtration         |
| 3.  | Souza and Quadri [17]| Nano      | Addition of nanoparticles may increase the cross-linking center for the polymer and reduce the tendency of the polymer for self-organization of polymer-particle adhesion | -                  |
| 4.  | Mohamad et al. [18]  | Micro     | Main disadvantage of polysulphone and polyethersulfone is their hydrophobicity, which leads to an apparent tendency to interact strongly with a variety of solutes and thus makes them prone to fouling | Filtration         |
| 5.  | Yunos et al.[19]     | Nano      | Modification of membrane via improvement of membrane structure, mechanical properties and performance                               | Filtration         |
| 6.  | Rodríguez [13]       | Nano      | Membranes conductivity with water content capacity                                                                                 | Fuel Cell technologies |

3. Methodology

1st Phase
A. Fabrications Polysulphone-Poly Ethylene Glycol Synthetic Hybrid Membrane

By using Polysulphone (PSF 35000 MW) as the base polymer, Polyethylene Glycol (PEG 40000 MW) as the secondary polymer and 1-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMD) as the solvent, five (5) formulations of Polysulphone-Poly Ethylene Glycol Synthetic Hybrid Membrane were fabricated.
Membrane have been conducted. The formulations were as shown as Table 2 below.

**Table 2 Five (5) formulations of synthetic hybrid membrane**

| Membrane | PSF(%) | PEG(%) | NMD(%) |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| M1       | 9.48   | 9.48   | 81.05  |
| M2       | 11.37  | 7.58   | 81.05  |
| M3       | 13.27  | 5.68   | 81.05  |
| M4       | 15.16  | 3.79   | 81.05  |
| M5       | 17.06  | 1.90   | 81.05  |

B. Dope preparation
The dope preparation methodology was conducted as follows. All the materials were weighted according to Table 2, placed in a covered beaker for 24 hours. Afterwards, the mixing process was conducted with 400 rpm, 55°C for six (6) hours. Next, it was poured into the capped bottles and kept in a ultrasonic bath for 48 hours to completely remove excess air bubbles. Then it was kept for another 24 hours. Figure 1 shows the mixing process of the materials and Figure 2 shows the solution that has been mixed according to the formulation in Table 2.

![Figure 1 Materials mixing process](image1)

![Figure 2 Completely mixed solution in bottles](image2)

C. Synthetic hybrid membrane casting
The glass plate with the dope sheet layer were immersed directly into the water bath. The water bath which is in the room temperature at around 28 °C will caused the solution turned into a flat sheet membrane immediately. Next, it will be removed and the glass plate was cleaned for the next usage. This step were repeated for three (3) times to obtained three (3) sample of each formulation. The pneumatically controlled flat sheet membrane machine was used with a thickness of 250 µm. After the dope undergoes inversion phase with the water bath, it was left hang to dry for another 24 hours.

![Figure 3 Dope layer phase immersion process](image3)
2nd Phase
A. Characteristic analysis and testing
In this phase, there were four (4) testing conducted to determine and analyzed the characteristic of the synthetic hybrid membrane.

Permeate Flux
The membrane sheet was cut in a diameter of 7.5 cm and placed in the pump filtering valve. Pure Water was used along with the equipment. The volume and time were recorded. Equation 1 below was used to obtain the value of the permeate flux for each of the membrane sheet.

\[ J_v = \frac{Q_p(L)}{A(m^2) \times T(hr)} \]  

NaCl Rejection
Sodium Chloride (NaCl) of 2000mg/L and 5 Liter of Pure Water were used in this testing. The process was the same for Permeate Flux. The duration and volume were recorded. The solution before and after the testing was analyzed for Salinity, Conductivity, and Total Dissolved Solids.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
The FTIR method uses infrared light to scan samples and observe the chemical properties. All the membrane sheet has undergone the FTIR analysis, which was conducted at the Laboratory of Applied Science, UiTM.

Tensile strength test
Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer (DMA) from Mettler Toledo (DMA 1) was used in this analysis. First the membrane samples were immersed in a water bath at room temperature for about 24 hours and were cut into a rectangular shape of 6mm by 25mm. The test was performed at room temperature at a rate of 2 N/min. The results were obtained from the TOLEDO Software in the desktop

3rd Phase
A. Morphology and performance analysis
In this phase, the morphology and performance of the membrane out of the five (5) formulations selected.

SEM
SEM Analysis was done at The Faculty of Dentistry at UiTM Sungai Buloh, Selangor. The selected membrane sheet was cut into rectangular shape and was coated with gold with SC7620 Mini Sputter Coater/Glow Discharge System from Quorum Technologies. After coating, the membrane was placed in the TM3000 Tabletop Scanning Electron Microscope and undergoes scanning process. The surface morphology images were shown on the computer by using the Hitachi TM3000 software.

Wastewater Testing
A water from the selected location has been obtained and the sampling process was following the standard MS ISO 5667-6:2014, “Guidance on sampling of rivers & streams”. Since the water depth of the lake is too great for wading, the water body is accessed from a bridge. By using a rope and bucket, the water sample of 500 ml was retrieved. Six (6) water quality analysis was conducted, which is consist of:
- a. pH
- b. Turbidity
- c. Color
- d. Total Suspended Solids
- e. Chemical Oxygen Demand
- f. Escherichia Coli

4. Results and discussion
A. Tensile strength test
From the Figure 4, M1 has the lowest value among all the membrane sheet with the stress value of 34.5 kpa at the 3.4 strain axis. It also has the lowest strain value which is 4.67 which shows it has the lowest tensile strength among all the membrane sheet which causing it to break during the permeate flux testing.

M3 has the highest strain value among all of the membrane sheets, which is 59.5 kPa and the strain point of 4.7, which is slightly lower than [3]. It also exerts the same maximum strains at 6.15. Among all of the membrane sheets, M3 definitely has the best stress strength. Comparing to M5 shows a slightly lower stress reading, which is at 57 kPa at the strain reading of 4. It is obvious that M5 has the highest durability among all the membrane sheet which is also shown the increase in amount of PEG percentage will greatly affect the strength of the synthetic hybrid membrane [6]. The results obtained is exactly similar to the pervious study. As mentioned in previous research, the higher the PEG dosage will weaken the mechanical properties of the membrane itself due to the high number of porosities.

To conclude, M3 and M5 have the best tensile stress. The different advantages M3 has the best stress loading capacity while M5 has the best durability. Both membranes also have the highest stress value comparing to others.
Figure 4 Tensile strength stress-strain graph

B. Permeate flux

Based on the results obtained in Figure 5, M1 could not withstand the constant pressure from the pump, which causing it to burst and no results were obtained. M3 shown a better flux performance comparing to all the membrane sheet. With permeate flux value of 34.53 L/m2.hr, M3 is comparatively 28% much better than the second-best membrane, which is M5.

M3 shown the highest permeate flux value which is 34.53 L/m2.hr which has the addition of 5.68% PEG polymer to the formulation. This shows that the addition of 1%-8% of secondary polymer to the membrane formulation as suggested by [8] and [9] does give an optimum impact toward the permeate flux. Based on previous research, [8] and [5] have obtained 27 L/m2 and 80.80 L/m2 respectively. There is slightly higher amount of permeate flux in [5] due to the PEG used were 400 in molecular weight. These studies underlined that the molecular weight plays an important role in determining the number of pores that will affect the output of the volume of permeate flux. The higher the molecular weight, the stronger the strength of the polymer and higher number of pores will be formed. For the permeate flux, most of the previous researcher such as [5, 6, 10] have obtained a higher range of permeate flux up to 60-80 L/m2.hr.

Figure 5 Permeate flux of synthetic hybrid membrane
C. NaCl Rejection

**Salinity**

Based on Figure 6, it shows that M3, M4, and M5 have the lowest salinity level, which is 1.5 PSU. According to [9], by having an additional of 2%-8% PEG will be causing the pore to be increased and hence, the filtration of salt water will increase. While the salinity level in M2 is slightly increase from the other membrane sheet. This is because the higher addition of PEG could negatively affect the performance of the membrane filtration as mentioned by [10].

As shown in Figure 6, it M3, M4 and M5 have a similar NaCl removal percentage, which is 11.8%. While the lowest was M2, which is 5.9%.

**Figure 6** NaCl removal percentage

**Conductivity**

*Figure 7* shows the electricity conductivity ability of each membranes. Based on the data obtained, the membranes show a reduction of salinity level from the unfiltered 3.3 S/m NaCl solution. M3 has the lowest conductivity which is 3.08 S/m. This shows that the M3 has the highest filtration of ions of salt content that affect the conductivity of the solution. The higher the addition of PEG, the lower the conductivity level of the filtered feed [11]. This is generally due to the filtration uptake that cause by the increase in the size and number of pore size in the membrane sheet morphology. Higher addition will affect the permeability of the membrane. By having good permeability, the filtration rate could be slower.

**Figure 7** The conductivity level of the membrane
**Total dissolved solids**

Based on *Figure 8*, the membranes show a reduction in the number of TDS. It is shown that M3 has the lowest TDS value, which is 1444 ppm. Comparing to the other 3 membranes, it shows that M3 is 6.4% better at TDS filtration. It is shown that the 5.68% of the PEG added to the membrane formulation can produce excellent number of pore sizes and improve permeability with a good filtration ability. A proper addition of PEG amount will cause an optimum filtration where the process will run smoothly according to the pore size produced [5].

**Overview of NaCl rejection**

As shown in *Figure 9*, the trend line shows that the Salinity and Conductivity were similar. This is because one of the parameters that affect the electrical conductivity level in a aqueous solution are due to the existence of salt [12]. The higher the salinity, the higher the conductivity level.

While for the Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), the trend lines show a slight declined pattern at M3 and continuously increase to M4 and slightly increased at M5. This shows that the filtration of TDS is the highest in M3, which can be related to the addition of secondary polymer PEG to a membrane formulation does greatly affect the filtration effect, but adding too much of it also could lower down the performance [3, 20].

The amount of PEG added to the formulation of synthetic hybrid membrane, the hydrophilicity will be improved simultaneously which causing an increase in water absorption for the stated membrane [11]. When the water absorption ability has been increased, this will be causing the permeability inside the membrane structure to be increased.

![Figure 8 Tensile strength stress-strain graph](image)

![Figure 9 Tensile strength stress-strain graph](image)
Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)
FTIR analysis was conducted in order to determine the composition of all the synthetic hybrid membrane sheet. The results are shown in Figure 10. The membranes show C-N stretch at around 1020-1250 cm⁻¹ indicating a strong aliphatic amine absorption, in which membrane 3 (M3) has the highest wavelength (1237.11 cm⁻¹) and membrane 4 has the lowest (1102.73 cm⁻¹), this shift is caused by the presence of amide group from the NMP solvent [21, 22]. It can also be seen that these membranes are secondary aromatic with three C-H “oop” bonds and about 2 C-C stretch rings.

Based on all the result obtained, M3 are the best optimum synthetic hybrid membrane. M3 has the highest strength, permeate flux and better salt rejection among all the five (5) synthetic hybrid membrane formulation. M3 with the formulation of that consist of 13.27% PSF, 5.68% PEG and 81.05% NMD will be selected for the Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) analysis and wastewater filtration.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM)
As shown in the Figure 11, the cross section shows a homogenous and uniform structure. At magnification of x250, the top section of the membrane showed a dense layer and the below the dense layer, there was intermediate porous sub-layer and at the bottom, porous spongy support layers were identified.

The pore sizes in M3 (Figure 12) are 3.5 μm – 3.7 μm and this membrane can be classified as Microporous Membrane. According to [3, 15, 16, 17], the surface pores with the sub-cavities are the main mechanism membrane layer in accommodating water. According to the previous researchers, due to the hydrophilicity characteristic of PEG the microporous surface formed also provide an excellent permeation, besides it is good in mechanical strength against corrosion and weathering against chemical.

To compare with the previous researchers such as [5, 16, 17], the structure of membrane that had in the studies has shown more pores, shorter finger like structure but larger voids in it. This is because most of membrane used were using PEG400, which has a molecular weight of 400 MW. This type of PEG has less resistant to chemical and low viscosity [6]. These features could accommodate higher amount of water in the structure that could produce a better permeate flux.
Wastewater filtration
Based on Table 3, it is shown that the water quality parameter was decreasing from the Unfiltered sample to Filtered wastewater sample. The highest performance can be seen on the turbidity level which is 42.82%. The second highest performance is the removal of TSS with 20.88%. Both of this percentage shows that this microporous synthetic hybrid membrane area able to efficiently filter the particles that existed in the wastewater. The membrane also can remove color about 11.5 %.

While for TDS and salinity, there are only slight decrease in the filtration changes. This is because these parameters require Nano sized membrane to efficiently altered their existence in an aqueous body [8, 2]. For the Chemical parameter, there are also slight change in COD that the micro porous membrane able to filter. While for the E-Coli need further analysis due to the value is out of range complications. Comparing to the Malaysia National Water Quality Standards (MNWQS), the filtered water can be classified as Class II(A).

| Characteristic  | Unfiltered wastewater | Filtered wastewater | Performance (%) | NWQSM class II (A) |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Turbidity (NTU) | 131                   | 74.9                | 42.82          | 50                |
| Color (Pt/Co)   | 529                   | 468                 | 11.53          | 150               |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 160.8               | 157.2               | 2.24           | 1000              |
| TSS (ppm)       | 91                    | 72                  | 20.88          | 50                |
| TDS (ppm)       | 80.4                  | 78.6                | 2.4            | 1000              |
| COD (mg/L)      | 21                    | 18                  | 14.29          | <25               |
| Salinity (PSU)  | 0.1                   | 0.1                 | 0.00           | 1                 |
| pH              | 7.14                  | 7.42                | -3.92          | 6-9               |
| E-coli (cfu/100mL) | >2419.6            | >2419.6             | -              | 3                 |

5. Conclusion
In this research, five formulations of synthetic hybrid membranes have been conducted by using Polysulphone as the base polymer with the addition of Polyethylene Glycol as the secondary polymer. Among those five (5) membranes formulations, the best optimum synthetic hybrid membrane formulation for both of the polymer is made of 13.27% PSf, 5.68% PEG and 81.05% of NMD as the solvent.

The optimum synthetic hybrid membrane M3 was able to withstand 59.5 kpa stress with strain of 4.7. M3 shown a better flux performance comparing to other the membranes sheet with permeate flux value of 34.53 L/m2.hr. The produced formulation M3 has created a Microporous membrane with 0.35 – 0.37 μm pore size which was suitable to filter out particles in the wastewater. The formulation of membrane M3 can be further studied so that the permeate flux can be increased to improve the synthetic hybrid membrane performance. It is suggested for the future research that the usage of additives in the formulation...
could be considered in order to increase the performance and characteristic of the membrane sheet. Some of the characteristic that could be improve are Permeate Flux and lower the susceptibility towards membrane fouling.
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