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ABSTRACT

With the help of newly developed X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) sources, creating double core holes (DCHs) simultaneously at the same or different atomic sites in a molecule has now become possible. DCH X-ray emission is a new form of X-ray nonlinear spectroscopy that can be studied with a XFEL. Here, we computationally explore the metal K-edge valence-to-core (VtC) X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) of metal/metal and metal/ligand DCH states in a series of transition metal complexes with time-dependent density functional theory. The simulated DCH VtC-XES signals are compared with conventional single core hole (SCH) XES signals. The energy shifts and intensity changes of the DCH emission lines with respect to the corresponding SCH-XES features are fingerprints of the coupling between the second core hole and the occupied orbitals around the DCHs that contain important chemical bonding information of the complex. The difference between delocalized/localized core hole models on DCH VtC-XES is also briefly discussed. We theoretically demonstrate that DCH XES provides subtle information on the local electronic structure around metal centers in transition metal complexes beyond conventional linear XES. Our predicted changes from calculations between SCH-XES and DCH-XES features should be detectable with modern XFEL sources.

https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5111141.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the striking effects of intense X-ray-matter interaction is the creation of multiple core holes. Theoretical insight into this phenomenon was provided long before any realistic experiments on double core hole (DCH) states were performed. More than three decades ago, Cederbaum et al. studied DCHs in small molecules theoretically and predicted that the electron binding energies associated with DCHs at different atomic sites could sensitively probe the chemical environment of the ionized atoms. Since then, there have been several theoretical DCH studies and related spectroscopic signals with various methods including many-body Green’s function,2–15 multiple configuration self-consistent field (MCSCF),2–15 density functional theory (DFT),8,9,11,14–18 time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT),19 Möller–Plesset perturbation theory,20 and the \( Z + 1 \) approximation,21,22 respectively.

DCH spectroscopy was originally studied with synchrotron radiation,23–27 where the absorption of one photon is accompanied by the ejection of two core electrons. In this process, the correlation between the two ejected core electrons plays an
important role. While multiphoton processes with synchrotron radiation are unlikely, they become possible with more intense X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) pulses. Thanks to the rapid development of XFEL sources, two-photon photoelectron spectroscopy or double core hole (DCH) spectroscopy has been shown to be a powerful tool to probe the chemical environment of specific atomic sites in molecules.  

Recently, DCH states have been created using XFEL pulses at the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) facility in neon, nitrogen gas, \( \text{N}_2 \), \( \text{O}_2 \), \( \text{CO}_2 \), and the aminophenol molecule. Differences between the photoelectron spectral data taken with focused and unfocused laser beams were studied in order to extract the DCH contribution to the signal. It is believed that two-site DCH (ts-DCH) spectroscopy provides a more sensitive probe for the local chemical environment of the excited atoms than does single core hole (SCH) spectroscopy. Mukamel et al. theoretically studied X-ray four-wave mixing spectroscopy involving DCHs. The corresponding experiments require well-controlled intense X-ray pulses that are not currently available. In this study, we focus on ts-DCH spectroscopy because it is more sensitive for chemical analysis than single-site (ss) DCH spectroscopy. Previous DCH spectroscopy experiments measured photoelectrons \([11,15,28–31]\) and Auger electrons, \([11,12,15,20]\) which are not suitable for solution samples common in chemistry. We propose the use of intense XFEL pulses to create DCHs at different sites in the system and to study the corresponding X-ray emissions. We envision that DCH-X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) signals can provide information beyond what single core hole X-ray emission spectroscopy (SCH-XES) signals can tell us. Even though this spectroscopy has not been realized in transition metal complexes, we hope our theoretical work in this manuscript can serve as a guide for future experiments. Our findings suggest how the additional core hole affects the local electronic structure of both core holes and allows one to probe the electronic coupling between the two atomic sites. In other words, the additional core hole offers more “control knobs” to detect different occupied orbitals of the system and further complement SCH-XES.

In this paper, we study ts-DCH spectroscopy from a theoretical standpoint using TDDFT. We calculate the ViC-XES \([31,42]\) signals resulting from DCHs of a series of mono- and binuclear transition metal model complexes. ViC-XES signals carry more chemical information of the system than Ka and Kβ mainline emissions because they directly probe the valence orbitals. For the binuclear complexes with metal-metal direct bonds, the ViC-XES signals of the metal 1s DCHs at different sites were studied. Mononuclear complexes with different Mn oxidation states (II, III) have been used to investigate the emissions of the metal-1s/ligand-1s DCH states, from which the information on the chemical bonds between the metal center and the coordinating atoms are revealed.

Here, we have focused on only two-site DCH XES signals and not single-site DCH-XES, which could also be interesting. For deep ss-DCHs (e.g., 1s/1s single-site DCHs), the overall effect of the second core hole on the XES spectrum is mainly a constant shift of all emission lines and thus less interesting. However, 1s/2p single-site DCH-XES is more informative because the 2p core hole unlike the spherical 1s core hole couples with the 3d and other valence orbital in different ways. In collaboration with Fuller et al., recently, we observed Fe1s/Fe2p single-site DCH Ka XES in Fe systems at the SPring-8 Angstrom Compact free electron LASER (SACLA) facility. In previous DCH photoelectron spectroscopy experiments, \(^{26}\) XFEL pulses with a duration of ~10 fs and an intensity over \(10^{16} \text{ W/cm}^2\) were used. Since hard X-ray core electron photoionization cross sections are generally one order of magnitude smaller than soft X-ray core electron photoionization cross sections, \(^{44}\) we believe that even shorter and more intense XFEL pulses are needed for metal-metal DCH spectroscopy experiments. The experiments of metal/ligand DCH-XES simulated in this study are still not currently available because of the difficulty of combining hard and soft XFEL pulses, but this technique could be possible with the planned Tender X-ray Instrument (TXI) in the under-constructing LCLS-II facility. Moreover, for metal/metal DCH-XES, the ultrashort lifetime of DCH states not only requires extremely short and intense XFEL pulses but also broadens the emission lines, which makes the observation of the fine features in experiment very challenging. This line broadening issue could be remedied by using the recently developed stimulated XES technique \(^{27}\) with which specific emission lines could be selectively enhanced and narrowed.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

All calculations were performed at the DFT and TDDFT [within the Tamm-Dancoff approximation (TDA)] levels of theory with the NWChem quantum chemistry package. \(^{49}\) No symmetry restrictions have been applied. The PBE0 basis functions were used for all calculations. All geometries were optimized at the PBE0/Def2-TZVP level of theory. The X-ray emission calculations have been performed using the FCH (full core hole)/TDDFT approach described in our previous publication. \(^{51}\) Here, this approach has been extended to explore double core hole states. In our SCH and DCH signal simulations, both \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) ionization channels and all of their possible combinations were considered with equal weights. As an illustration, a sample NWChem input file with notes is provided in the supplementary material. For the binuclear complexes, all calculations have been performed in the gas phase, while for the Mn mononuclear complexes with available ViC-XES experimental results, all calculations were performed in the \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) solution phase (\(\epsilon = 9.08\)), which is described by the conductorlike screening model (COSMO). \(^{17}\) For the TDDFT calculations of the core hole states, the Sapporo-TZP-2012/Def2-TZVP basis sets have been used for the metal and nonmetal atoms, respectively. In the localized Ni1s core hole calculations, in order to fix a 1s core hole at an individual N atom, we use an all-electron basis set representation only on one N atom and use effective core potentials (ECPs) and the corresponding basis sets to describe the other N atoms. Specifically in this study, the Def2-TZVP basis set has been used for the N atom with a 1s core hole, and the Stevens-Basch-Krauss-Jasien-Cundari ECP \(^{18}\) together with the corresponding polarized basis set \(^{21}\) has been used for the other N atoms without 1s core holes. In the SCH and DCH calculations of the studied binuclear complexes, transitions to core holes at both metal atoms are included.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Binuclear transition metal complexes

We have studied the ViC-XES signals of metal 1s/metal 1s ts-DCH states of binuclear transition metal complexes with strong
metal-metal bonds. Polynuclear transition metal complexes with direct metal-metal bonds have attracted the attention of chemists for a long time, not only for their high bond orders (>3) but also for their important applications in making metal-organic frameworks, molecular conductors, photosensitizers, and catalysts. Results from theoretical calculations on binuclear metal complexes with metal-metal bonds have been reviewed recently. Here, we chose one Fe complex \( \text{Fe}_2\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}(\mu-\text{CO})_2 \) (Fe-cplx, \( \text{Cp} = \text{cyclopentadienyl} \)) and one Co complex \( \text{Co}_2\text{Cp}_2\text{Co}(\mu-\text{CO}) \) (Co-cplx) as candidate systems. The structures of the two complexes can be found in Figs. 1 and 2. The optimized Fe–Fe and Co–Co bond lengths are 2.130 and 2.110 Å, respectively. These bond lengths are close to the previously reported theoretical values (2.120 Å for Fe–Fe and 2.142 Å for Co–Co).

Bond valence analysis shows that Fe-cplx has a formal bond order of 3 and Co-cplx has a formal bond order of 4.

In general, compared with SCH states, the second core hole in ts-DCH states has two types of effects on XES: (1) emission energy shifts due to the additional attractive potential of the second core hole; (2) emission intensity changes due to the additional perturbations on the molecular orbital (MO) shapes caused by the second core hole. An electron in a localized orbital near the second 1s core hole will feel a very strong attraction, resulting in a blue shift of the corresponding emission energies. For other orbitals not localized near the second core hole, the attraction and screening of the core hole can have an overall effect on the orbital shape. On the other hand, if the two core holes are uncorrelated or independent, both emission energy and intensity changes will be negligible and should result in a spectrum almost identical to the corresponding SCH-XES spectrum. Comparing the emission energies and intensities (Figs. 1 and 2) allows one to shed light on the strength of the interaction between the two core holes.

1. **Fe-cplx**

In Fig. 1(b), we show the calculated electron density difference between the DCH and the SCH states of Fe-cplx \( (\rho_{\text{DCH}} - \rho_{\text{SCH}}) \).

One can clearly see that the hole on the right Fe atom induces a significant electron density redistribution (blue means hole and red means particle density). There are also some p-type electron density changes on the Cp ring and O atom of the CO ligand, which suggests that emission involving orbitals with similar character could be significantly affected by the second core hole. For Fe-cplx, both the SCH and DCH spectra have a shoulder feature above 7123 eV [labeled S1 in Fig. 1(a) and D1 in panel (b)], but the DCH-XES peak is red-shifted by ∼0.5 eV and much weaker (relative to the strongest peak) compared with the corresponding SCH-XES feature.

Molecular orbital (MO) analysis of the representative transitions of the two peaks shows that the largest contribution of each comes from the Fe–Fe d π orbitals (see Table S1 in the supplementary material for the plots of the MOs discussed for Fe-cplx). However, for the SCH-XES transition, the dominant MOs are at the Fe atoms, while for the DCH-XES transition, MOs on the Cp ring are also involved because of the second core hole. The involvement of the Cp ring orbitals may explain the reduced intensity of the corresponding transition in the DCH-XES spectrum. For the strongest SCH-XES features around 7120.4 eV (S2 and D2 in Fig. 1), the DCH-XES peak D2 is blue-shifted by ∼0.7 eV compared to S2. Local Fe d orbitals and Fe–Fe d π orbitals contribute significantly to such transitions, and the influence of the second core hole on these transitions is manifest in the energy shift.

The DCH-XES spectrum has relatively stronger shoulder features between 7118 and 7120 eV [D3 and D4 on the high platform in Fig. 1(b)], respectively. These transitions involve local Fe d orbitals and Fe–Fe d π bonding orbitals, which are also heavily affected by the second core hole. These emission lines contain information about the Fe–Fe direct bonding, but cannot be clearly resolved in the SCH-XES spectrum as it is suppressed by the strongest peak S2 nearby. The S3 peak mainly represents transitions from orbitals on the CO ligands, while the D5 peak has many transition components from the Cp ring C p orbitals, which again is the effect of the second core hole.

---

**FIG. 1.** Calculated SCH and DCH Fe 1s VtC-XES signals of \( \text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}(\mu-\text{CO})_2 \) (Fe-cplx, \( \text{Cp} = \text{cyclopentadienyl} \)). All calculated spectra have not been shifted and have been Lorentzian broadened by 1.3 eV. SCH and DCH spectra are scaled differently for the convenience of plotting. Stick heights in different panels are not calibrated. (a) Calculated SCH Fe 1s VtC-XES signals. Important features are labeled S1–7. The molecular structure is also shown. Color code: brown, Fe; red, O; dark gray, C; light gray, H. (b) Calculated two-site Fe1s/Fe1s DCH Fe 1s VtC-XES signals. Important features are labeled D1–9. The calculated electron density difference between the DCH and the SCH state is also shown \( (\rho_{\text{DCH}} - \rho_{\text{SCH}}) \). Surface isovalue = 0.005. Red and blue denote positive and negative values, respectively.
The calculated electron density difference between the DCH and the SCH state is also shown in Fig. 2(b). The calculated electron density differences are scaled for the convenience of plotting. Stick heights in different panels are not calibrated.

(2) Co-cplx

In Fig. 2(b), we also show the calculated electron density difference between the DCH and the SCH state of Co-cplx (\(\rho_{DCH} - \rho_{SCH}\)). Similar to the case of Fe-cplx, one can see that the hole on the right Co atom induces electron density changes around it and some p-type electron density deficiency on the C-H ligand and O atom of the CO ligand. Peak S1 is much stronger (relative to the strongest peak) than D1 (see Fig. 2 for labeling). An inspection of the contributing MOs involved in the transitions (see Table S2 in the supplementary material) suggests that S1 involves localized Co d orbitals bonded with p orbitals on the CO ligand only around the SCH, while peak D1 involves localized Co d orbitals of both Co atoms, which reduces its emission intensity. The strongest DCH-XES peak D2 is blue-shifted by about 0.8 eV compared to the strongest SCH-XES peak S2. This shift is even larger than that of Fe-cplx (~0.6 eV) and should be detectable at the current levels of instrumentation. Both transitions involve d orbitals at two Co sites interacting (bonding or antibonding) with the C p orbitals on the CO ligand. D3–5 form a rising shoulder beside the strongest peak D2. Similar to the case of Fe-cplx, D4 contains the information of direct Co–Co bonding and the corresponding peak cannot be resolved in the SCH-XES spectrum. D6 is blue-shifted by about 0.5 eV compared to S5. Their transitions have significant contributions from both CO p \(\pi\) orbitals and \(\sigma\) bonding orbitals on the C6H6 ligands. Both S6 and D7 mainly represent emissions from C and O 2s orbitals on the CO ligand. D7 is blue-shifted by about 0.4 eV compared to S6. Going further to the lower energy range, D8 is shifted by ~0.7 eV compared to S7 and D9 is shifted by ~0.6 eV compared to S8. All of these can be considered as transitions from the C 2s orbitals from the C6H6 ligands. Unlike the Fe-cplx, for the Co-cplx, it seems that both K\(\beta''\) and K\(\beta''\prime\) emission lines are shifted in the DCH-XES spectrum compared to the corresponding SCH-XES. As K\(\beta''\) emissions mainly come from ligand orbitals, the shifting of these lines tells us in Co-cplx the metal-ligand interaction is stronger than that in Fe-cplx, and DCH-XES may contain coordination chemical information beyond SCH-XES.

B. Mn mononuclear complexes

In Sec. III A, we studied the case of the VtC-XES of two-site metal 1s/metal 1s DCH states, which, in principle, can be created by a single intense hard X-ray pulse with enough brilliance. Here, we propose creating metal 1s/ligand 1s DCH states in transition metal complexes, which may be achieved by combining hard and soft X-ray pulses. Using typical Mn mononuclear complexes as candidate systems, we investigate the VtC-XES of Mn1s/ligand 1s DCH states. Compared with metal core holes, core holes on ligands might have greater impact on the valence orbitals around the metal center, and therefore, the corresponding VtC-XES spectra could be more informative about the coordination bonds.

The calculated Mn1s SCH and Mn1s/N1s and Mn1s/Cl1s DCH VtC-XES signals of a representative high-spin Mn(n) mononuclear complex \([\text{Mn(n)}(\text{terpyridine})\text{Cl}])\) (\(\text{Mn}_{\text{II}-}\text{cplx}, \text{terpy} = 2,2′,6′,2″-\text{terpyridine}, \text{sextet}\)) at the Mn K-edge are presented in Fig. 3. We note that in all the Mn1s/Cl1s DCH calculations, the Cl1s core hole is localized on one of the Cl atoms, while for the Mn1s/N1s DCH...
The issue of localized/delocalized core hole in DCH calculations will be addressed in Sec. III C. We focus on the important features labeled in Fig. 3. S1 around 6535.4 eV is the strongest peak in the conventional SCH VtC-XES spectrum, of which the major contributing occupied MO has significant components as the Mn–N coordination bond and sigma bonds on the pyridine rings (see Table S3 in the supplementary material). S2 at 6532.0 eV also represents transitions from orbitals on the pyridine rings. For the Mn1s/N1s DCH VtC-XES signals, the strong peak S1 mainly involves MOs on the two middle pyridine rings, while strong transitions in D1 and D2 have significant contributions from the Cl 3p orbitals and the MOs on the middle pyridine ring (see Table S4 in the supplementary material).

This D1 peak resembles the D1 peak in Fig. 3 in MO character. The huge shift of D1 indicates that the N1s core hole drastically changes the local electronic structure around the Mn metal center and there is much stronger strong hybridization between the N 2p and Mn 3d orbitals in Mn_III-cplx compared to Mn_II-cplx. Peak D4 is similar to S2, and both represent transitions from Cl 3s orbitals. D3 features with energies more than 2 eV higher than those of S2 also represent transitions from Cl 3s orbitals, but it is not seen in the SCH spectrum and should be considered as the effect of the N1s core hole. Because there are two chemically nonequivalent Cl atoms in this complex, we chose to put the 1s core hole at one of the Cl atoms perpendicular to the terpy plane, as labeled with an asterisk symbol in panel (b) of Fig. 4. The Mn1s/Cl1s DCH VtC-XES spectrum has a very broad shoulder on the lower energy side of the strongest peak D5, lacking characteristic features. The strong transitions above 6534 eV mainly involve Cl 3p orbitals, Mn–Cl and Mn–N coordination bonding orbitals. The weak peak D7 is similar to D4 and S2. D6 resembles D3 in MO character but is red-shifted by ∼0.8 eV because its transition orbital is on the Cl atom with a core hole.

From this analysis, we see that metal-ligand DCH VtC-XES can have shifted or new features compared to conventional SCH VtC-XES. These shifted or new features potentially contain additional chemical information of the coordination bonds between the metal center and ligands.

C. Localized and delocalized core hole models

When studying core holes on multiple chemically equivalent atoms in a molecule, a question arises whether the core hole should be considered as localized on one atom or delocalized to all equivalent atoms. Chemically equivalent atoms are symmetric and a localized core hole on one of them breaks the symmetry, leading to the
A delocalized core hole model, relaxation and correlation effects are both important. This can be used to explain why the localized core hole model works very well for calculating core ionization potentials with independent particle theories such as Hartree-Fock. Since these two seminal studies, more evidence favoring localized models in determining core hole properties has emerged. The symmetry breaking issue can be remedied by employing high-level electron correlation methods such as MCSCF methods. A specific double excitation configuration describing a core-core excitation coupled to a valence-valence excitation under the symmetry restriction helps one to reduce the symmetry breaking relaxation error. In experiment, both localized and delocalized core holes can be selectively detected.

A complete comparison of localized/delocalized core hole models in DCH spectroscopy goes beyond the scope of this paper. Here, we present only a special case of localized/delocalized ligand core hole models in metal 1s/ligand 1s DCH VtC-XES. In our calculations reported in Sec. III B, although no symmetry was enforced, we found that for the 1s core holes on light atoms such as N, self-consistent field (SCF) calculations often converge to a delocalized core hole state in which the core hole is almost equally distributed over all N atoms in the molecular complex. We note that in our complexes the N atoms are not chemically equivalent and this is an example of hole delocalization without strict symmetry. However, for deeper Cl1s and metal 1s core holes, we did not see core hole delocalization in the SCF calculations without symmetry constraints. In order to steer the SCF calculation to our target localized core hole state, we must freeze all the N1s electrons but one. Our strategy is to apply pseudopotentials to represent all N 1s electrons but the target one. See Sec. II for computational details.

The calculated Mn1s/N1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals of \([\text{Mn}(\text{tpa})(\text{NCS})_2]\) (Mn_tpa-cplx, sextet) using the delocalized and localized core hole models are shown in Fig. 5. We chose this complex for our study because it has only N atoms in its coordination sphere. We note that we did not impose any symmetry in our calculations, so all the N atoms (including N6 and N7 in panel (b)) are not equivalent in our optimized geometry. As we described above, the signals in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 5 were calculated with all-electron basis sets and the signals in panels (c)–(h) of Fig. 5 were calculated with pseudopotential basis sets, and a direct quantitative comparison on the signals from the delocalized/localized core hole models may be misleading. Therefore, we focus on the spectral profiles in this section. From Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), we can see despite the intensity difference between the shoulder peaks A and A’, the Mn1s/delocalized N1s DCH VtC-XES signal is very similar to the conventional Mn1s VtC-XES signal. However, the spectral profiles of Mn1s/localized N1s DCH VtC-XES signals [panels (c)–(h)] are different from those in panels (a) and (b). This is understandable since a 1s core hole localized to individual N atoms would induce a very different electron density redistribution from that caused by a more spherical delocalized N1s core hole. We also notice that in the localized core hole model different N1s core holes lead to different DCH VtC-XES signals, which may be used to probe the physical occurrence of localized DCH ionizations. An easy inspection of the curves in panels (c)–(h) groups the N atoms into 3 categories: (d) and (f) both have a flat shoulder in the low energy range; (e), (g), and (h) all have mainly two broad strong peaks; and (c) stands on its own because it has 3 major features. This

---

**Figure 4.** Calculated SCH and DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals of \([\text{Mn}^{3+}((\text{terpy})\text{Cl})_2]\). All calculated spectra have been red-shifted by 12.04 eV to be compared with the conventional SCH VtC-XES experiment and have been Lorentzian broadened by 1.2 eV. SCH and DCH spectra are scaled differently for the convenience of plotting. Stick heights in different panels are not calibrated. (a) Experimental and calculated SCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals. Important features are labeled S1 and S2. (b) Calculated Mn1s/N1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals. Important features are labeled D1–4. The molecular structure of Mn_III-cplx is also shown. The asterisk symbol on the Cl atom denotes the Cl1s core hole site. (c) Calculated Mn1s/Cl1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals. Important features are labeled D5–7.
FIG. 5. Calculated Mn1s/N1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals of \([\text{Mn}^{II}(\text{tpa})(\text{NCS})_2]\) \([\text{Mn}_{\text{tpa-cplx}}, \text{tpa} = \text{Tris}(2\text{-pyridylmethyl})\text{amine}]\) from the delocalized and localized core hole models. All calculated spectra have been red-shifted by 14.55 eV to be compared with experiment and have been Lorentzian broadened by 1.2 eV. SCH and DCH spectra are scaled differently for the convenience of plotting. Stick heights in different panels are not calibrated. (a) Experimental and calculated SCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals. (b) Calculated Mn1s/N1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals with N1s core hole delocalized to all N atoms. The molecular structure and N atom labeling is also shown. Color code: purple, Mn; blue, N; yellow, S; dark gray, C; light gray, H. (c)–(h) Calculated Mn1s/N1s DCH Mn1s VtC-XES signals with the N1s core hole localized at the specific N atoms as labeled on the molecular structure in panel (b). The dotted curve in panel (h) represents the average of all the spectra in panels (c)–(h).

3-group classification is chemically intuitive: N3 and N5 [see panel (b) for labeling] belong to the \(-\text{NCS}\) group; N4, N6, N7 are pyridine nitrogens and N2 is the only amine nitrogen atom. Without experimental support, one has difficulty to judge which core hole model gives more reasonable DCH VtC-XES signals, but they differ qualitatively in the spectral profile: in all curves calculated with the delocalized core hole model and the conventional SCH VtC-XES experiment, the higher energy peak is stronger than the lower energy peak \((C_0 > B_0, C > B, \ldots)\), while for the curves calculated with the localized core hole model, there are more cases of the lower energy peak stronger than the higher energy peak; thus, the average spectrum has a stronger lower energy peak [see the dotted curve in panel (h), suppose all N atoms have equal chances for ionization]. Checking the relative intensities of the major peaks in the higher and lower energy ranges in the experimental DCH XES spectra would give an easy test of both the delocalized and localized core hole models.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND BRIEF OUTLOOK

In this paper, we theoretically explored ts-DCH metal 1s/metal 1s and metal 1s/ligand 1s DCH VtC-XES of representative transition metal model complexes. DCH VtC-XES is a new form of X-ray nonlinear spectroscopy enabled by the rapid development of XFELs. Our simulations show that through the perturbation introduced by a second core hole near the studied core hole, DCH VtC-XES can go beyond the conventional SCH VtC-XES techniques and provide further information on the local electronic structure of the core holes and especially the interaction between the two atoms with core holes. In the near future, DCH VtC-XES has the potential to become a new research tool in transition metal complex chemistry and ultrafast science studies.

Generally multiple ionization can happen either at the same atom or at different atoms. It is difficult to selectively ionize two specific atoms if there are multiple atoms of the same element in a molecule. Except for the case of adjacent two-site DCHs, which is the main topic of this study, double core holes can be created either at the same atomic site or at different atomic sites which are not necessarily adjacent. XES signals from different types of DCHs can be selectively detected. This is because two-site DCH emission lines are significantly different from single-site DCH emission lines in energy (the two types of lines could be tens or even hundreds of electronvolts apart, depending on how deep the core holes are). In addition, the energy shifts and intensity changes of DCH XES signals compared to their corresponding SCH XES signals disappear if the created two core holes are not close; thus, those non-neighboring DCHs produce almost identical XES spectra to those of SCHs and are eliminated as the SCH signal background. In other words, the single-site DCH signal is outside the energy window calculated and/or detected, and only those adjacent DCHs contribute to the studied DCH XES signals. In summary, DCHs might be created at different sites in systems but one can selectively detect the XES signals from specific types of DCHs (single-site or adjacent two-site).

Finally, VtC-XES is only the starting point of theoretical DCH spectroscopy. Fast and reliable relativistic quantum chemistry methods describing 2p core holes with spin-orbit coupling and real-time simulations on ultrafast core hole dynamics are needed for a comprehensive understanding of other DCH spectroscopy techniques.
SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for the plots of the molecular orbitals with dominant contributions to the representative emission transitions of the different features discussed in the main text and an example NWChem input file with notes for DCH VtC-XES calculations.
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