Effect of vitamin D3-supplementation on bone markers (serum P1NP and CTX): A randomized, double blinded, placebo controlled trial among healthy immigrants living in Norway
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A B S T R A C T
Objective: Vitamin D is essential for the maintenance of calcium homeostasis and bone mineralization; and low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (s-25-(OH)D) concentrations are associated with increased bone turnover. However, there is a lack of randomized controlled trials that have investigated the effect of vitamin D treatment on bone turnover in immigrant populations. We aimed to investigate the effect of 16-week daily vitamin D3 supplementation on bone formation marker serum procollagen type 1 amino-terminal propeptide (P1NP) and bone resorption marker C-terminal crosslinked telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX).

Design: Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial.

Setting: Immigrant community centers in Oslo, Norway.

Participants: 251 healthy adults aged 18–50 years with a non-Western immigrant background were recruited.

Intervention: 16 weeks of daily oral supplementation with either 10 μg vitamin D3, 25 μg vitamin D3 or placebo.

Main outcome measures: Difference in change during the 16-week intervention between the intervention groups combined (10 or 25 μg of vitamin D3/day) and placebo, in serum P1NP and serum CTX.

Results: A total of 214 (85%) participants completed the study. S-25-(OH)D increased from 29 nmol/L at baseline to 49 nmol/L in the intervention group with no significant change in the placebo group. However, there was no difference in change of serum P1NP (mean difference: –1.2 μg/L (95% CI: –5.4, 2.9, P = 0.61)) and serum CTX (mean difference: –0.0065 μg/L (95% CI: –0.03, 0.02, P = 0.7)) between those receiving vitamin D3 supplementation compared with placebo. The plasma PTH had decreased by a mean of –1.97 pmol/L (95% CI: –2.7, –1.3, P < 0.0001) in the vitamin D3 group compared to placebo.

Conclusions: Supplementation with 10 or 25 μg oral vitamin D3 during winter and spring for 16 weeks did not significantly affect serum P1NP and serum CTX, despite increasing s-25(OH)D and decreasing PTH in a healthy immigrant population with low baseline vitamin D status.

Trial registration number: NCT01263288.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Vitamin D is essential for the maintenance of calcium homeostasis and bone mineralization, and low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (serum 25(OH)D) concentrations might lead to secondary hyperparathyroidism with increased bone resorption and fracture risk (Brot et al., 2001; Holick and Chen, 2008; Lips, 2001). Bone is metabolically active and is constantly being repaired and remodeled throughout an individual’s lifetime (Wheater et al., 2013). A reduced rate of bone turnover may lower risk of fracture by maximizing development of peak bone mass in young adults (Slemenda et al., 1997) and slowing the rate of bone loss in later life (Riggs et al., 1998). Bone turnover markers have a potential in the prediction of osteoporosis and fracture risk (Vasikaran et al., 2011). Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent worldwide and high prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency and secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) among non-Western immigrant populations living in Western countries has been reported (Islam et al., 2012; Meyer et al., 2004; Lowe et al., 2010). Recent studies in Norway have shown that in immigrants with background from Sub-Saharan Africa, Middle East and South Asia, nearly 80% had 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L and approximately one-third had 25(OH)D < 25 nmol/L regardless of season (Eggemoen et al., 2013;
Madar et al., 2009; Holvik et al., 2005]. These studies suggest that the impact of vitamin D deficiency on the skeletal homeostasis of immigrants is of concern (Roy et al., 2007). High bone turnover in vitamin D deficient persons was seen in observational studies (Erkal et al., 2006; Islam et al., 2008; Macdonald et al., 2008). However, the few intervention studies examining the effect of vitamin D supplementation on bone turnover in population such as Caucasian postmenopausal women, young adults and immigrants with low vitamin status have produced mixed results (Grinnnes et al., 2012; Macdonald et al., 2013; Andersen et al., 2008). A one year randomized double-blinded placebo-controlled intervention with vitamin D3 (10 and 20 μg/d) in young women and men of Pakistani origin living in Denmark showed that supplementation increased serum 25(OH)D concentrations and decreased serum parathyroid hormone (PTH) concentrations but there was no significant effect of the intervention on bone turnover markers (Andersen et al., 2008). In another randomized trial, four weeks of daily supplementation with 10 μg vitamin D3 decreased mean PTH but surprisingly increased tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (s-TRACP, which is a bone resorption marker) concentration in healthy adults 19–48 years of ethnic Norwegian and Tamil background (Holvik et al., 2012). Apart from these two RCT’s, where one included only persons with Pakistani background in Denmark and in the other one the time of supplementation was only 4 weeks, we were unable to identify any other RCT’s that have investigated the effect of vitamin D treatment on bone turnover in immigrant populations living in developed countries.

Various bone formation and bone resorption markers have been suggested for clinical use in the diagnosis and management of a range of metabolic bone diseases (Wheater et al., 2013). Among bone formation markers is procollagen type 1 amino-terminal propeptide (P1NP) which is released into the circulation during bone formation. While among bone resorption markers include carboxy-terminal cross-linked telopeptide of type 1 collagen (CTX). CTX is a degradation product of type 1 collagen and is released into the circulation during bone resorption (Vasikaran et al., 2011). The Bone Marker Standards Working Group recommends P1NP and CTX as reference standard markers of bone formation and resorption (Vasikaran et al., 2011; Stokes et al., 2011).

We therefore wanted to test the effect of vitamin D supplementation on bone markers in winter time, because bone turnover markers have a potential in the prediction of osteoporosis and fracture risk. We hypothesized that the improvement of low vitamin D status in immigrant population will affect their bone markers. The aim of the present study was, whether 16 weeks of daily vitamin D3 supplementation (10 or 25 μg/d vs placebo) would affect biochemical markers of bone formation (serum P1NP) and resorption (serum CTX) in a multi-ethnic immigrant population in late wintertime.

2. Research design and methods

2.1. Study design and participants

The present work describes predefined additional endpoints of a 16-week intervention trial with vitamin D supplementation versus placebo and was performed as previously described in detail (Knutsen et al., 2014). In brief, healthy men and women, aged 18–50 years, who were born or had parents born in Middle East, Africa and South Asia, were recruited through 11 different community centers in Oslo and surrounding areas (at latitude 60°N). The exclusion criteria were; regular use of vitamin D-containing supplements, on-going treatment for vitamin D deficiency, pregnancy, breastfeeding, mal-absorption, use of medication that interfered with vitamin D metabolism (thiazides, anti-epileptics, prednisolone or hormone replacement therapy), kidney diseases, cancer, tuberculosis, sarcoidosis, osteoporosis or recent fracture. All the female participants were younger than 50 years old (when menopause normally starts), but they were not asked about menopausal status or current use of oral contraceptions. The same data collection team visited all the centers and performed the baseline and follow-up data collection. Interpreters were used when necessary, but the majority of the study participants were able to communicate in Norwegian language.

2.2. Randomization and intervention

Those who fulfilled the eligibility criteria were randomly assigned to one of three equally sized intervention groups receiving one tablet per day containing 25 μg vitamin D3, 10 μg vitamin D3 or placebo. The tablets were similar in color, size and packing. Each participant was given a box containing 120 tablets (16 week use corresponds to 112 tablets) at baseline. The tablets were manufactured by Bio Plus Life sciences PVT LTD, DMA (Bangalore, India), certified for Good Manufacturing Practice and the ingredients met the requirements of British Pharmacopé. If the study subjects had forgotten to take one tablet one day, they were asked to take two tablets the following day. Participants were followed up with a short text message twice a week to remind them to take the tablets. Subjects were advised to maintain their usual dietary pattern during the 16 week trial period and advised to contact the study staff by telephone if they had any queries.

2.3. Main outcome variables

The study outcomes were difference in absolute change during the 16 week intervention between the pooled intervention groups (10 or 25 μg of vitamin D3/d) and placebo for bone markers: serum P1NP (s-P1NP) and serum CTX (s-CTX).

2.4. Random allocation

We chose a computer-generated block randomization to ensure a good balance of the number in each group during the trial and randomly varying the block size between 3 and 6.

2.5. Blinding

Group allocation was unknown to participants, research staff, investigators, and data collectors. The tablet boxes were numbered according to the randomization list by an external pharmacy (the Hospital Pharmacy at Oslo University Hospital). The group allocation list was stored at this pharmacy with a copy in a sealed envelope. Each participant was consecutively numbered and received a pre-packaged tablet box with the corresponding number.

2.6. Registration

The study was authorized as a clinical trial by the Norwegian Medicine Agency and approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (study code: 2010/1982). All participants gave written informed consent.

The study has been registered at EudraCT (2010-021114-36). The clinical trial was conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with national laws. ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01263288.

2.7. Blood sampling and laboratory assays

Non-fasted venous blood samples were collected after 9 am (and before 16.00 for the majority of the samples) and at roughly the same time of day for each participant’s visit at baseline and at follow-up. Blood for serum was collected in serum-separator gel tubes and centrifuged after 30 min to 2 h, and blood for plasma was collected in EDTA-tubes and centrifuged within 30 min at room temperature at the study site. Serum and plasma were separated and frozen in several aliquots (separate tubes for bone markers) at −20 °C for some days and transported...
on dry ice less than 1 h and stored at −80 °C at Fürst Medical Laboratory in Oslo (www.furst.no) until they were analyzed. After the completion of the study all samples from baseline and follow-up were analyzed in one batch at Fürst Medical Laboratory (www.furst.no), which is accredited by the International Organization for Standardization and is part of vitamin D quality assessment scheme, DEQAS.

Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) was measured using high-pressure liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC–MS–MS), with Waters Acquity UPLC and Waters triple quadrupole MS instruments. In house standards at four levels ranging from 25 to 200 nmol/L were calibrated against external MS-standards from Recipe (Germany), product no. MS7013, traceable to NIST. Deuterized internal standard 26,27 hexadeuterium labeled 25(OH)D3, purchased from Synthetica (Norway), was used in the calculation of both 25(OH)D2 and 25(OH)D3. The CV (reproducibility within the laboratory, 4 instruments) for serum 25(OH)D3 was 8% at concentrations of 55.2 nmol/L and 6% at concentrations of 195.1 nmol/L. The CV for serum 25(OH)D2 was 11% at concentrations of 43.8 nmol/L and 9% at concentrations of 158.5 nmol/L. In the analysis the term 25(OH)D) is used for the sum of 25(OH)D2 and -D3, but note that the contribution of 25(OH)D2 was negligible.

Plasma PTH was analyzed with Advia Centaur XP (Siemens HMSD) with analytical coefficient of variation of 8.2% and reference range of 1.2–8.4 pmol/L. Serum concentrations of calcium (mmol/L), albumin (g/L), and phosphate were measured using Advia 2400 (Siemens) and corrected calcium (mmol/L) was calculated as s-calcium − 0.02 × (s-albumin − 41.3).

The serum planned for the analyses of bone markers which were stored in a separate sample series (tubes 1 mL) was transferred on dry ice (not defrosted allowed to thaw) and stored at −80 °C at Hormone Laboratory, Oslo University Hospital. CTX and P1NP were analyzed in a single batch. The bone resorption marker CTX and the bone formation marker P1NP in serum were measured by electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) (Roche MODULAR Analytics E170 from Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The reference range for women in childbearing age and men is <0.57 μg/L and total analytical CV was ≤3% for CTX. The reference range for women in childbearing age is (11–94 μg/L) and for men is (20–91 μg/L) for P1NP and total CV was ≤4%. The Hormone Laboratory participates in Labquality and UK NEQAS. CTX has a diurnal variation with a maximum peak early in the morning (05:00–08:00); and declining late in the afternoon.

### 2.8. Statistical analysis

Sample size calculations based on the expected effect on the primary end point of the study, muscle strength (Knutsen et al., 2014), indicated that 210 subjects were required (70 in each group), with recruitment of 250 subjects to account for expected withdrawals (Fig. 1). Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the IBM SPSS statistical software (version 19.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). For each of the outcome variables, we calculated the difference in change from baseline to follow-up between intervention groups and the placebo group using linear regression analysis. Also the effect on each outcome variable was adjusted for the respective baseline concentration. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Subgroup analyses by baseline values of endpoint measures, geographic background, and gender and intervention dose were also performed.

### 3. Results

Table 1 summarizes the baseline characteristics of the 214 study participants who completed the study. There was no major difference in subject characteristics between the three groups. The kidney and liver function tests were normal in the study participants. Also, there was no significant difference in the characteristics of the 37 participants who did not complete the study compared to those who completed

![Fig. 1. Flow chart of recruitment, randomization and follow-up.](image-url)
The mean of s-25(OH)D was 31.3 and 44 nmol/L for males and females respectively.

**Effect of intervention with vitamin D supplementation**

Table 2 above the upper limit of the reference value (1.2 g group due to 5 missing data.

We also analyzed the effect of the two interventions (10 and 25 g) separately, and none of them had a significant effect on serum CTX or serum P1NP (Table 3).

**3.3. Additional analyses**

There was no difference in the effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum CTX or serum P1NP when adjusted for geographical background. Furthermore, there were no significant differences between the combined intervention groups and placebo in any of the end points after stratification by baseline concentration of serum 25(OH)D higher

Table 1 Baseline characteristics for the 214 study subjects who completed the study. a

| Characteristics       | Placebo (n = 71) | Vitamin D (10 μg) (n = 69) | Vitamin D (25 μg) (n = 74) |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Age (years)            | 39 (7.4)        | 37 (7.4)                   | 37 (8.2)                  |
| Sex, n (%)             |                 |                            |                           |
| Male                   | 15 (21%)        | 18 (26%)                   | 23 (31%)                  |
| Female                 | 56 (79%)        | 51 (74%)                   | 51 (69%)                  |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 29.1 (5.2)      | 27.2 (4.9)                 | 26.6 (5.1)                |
| Ethnic origin, n (%)   |                 |                            |                           |
| South Asia             | 27 (38%)        | 25 (36%)                   | 29 (39%)                  |
| Middle East & North Africa | 12 (17%)    | 7 (10%)                    | 12 (16%)                  |
| Sub-Saharan Africa     | 32 (45%)        | 37 (54%)                   | 33 (45%)                  |
| Time lived in Norway (years) | 13.6 (2–33)   | 13.2 (1–35)                | 13.3 (1–29)               |
| Level of education, n (%) |               |                            |                           |
| ≤10 years              | 30 (42%)        | 29 (42%)                   | 29 (39%)                  |
| 11–13 years            | 26 (37%)        | 26 (38%)                   | 26 (35%)                  |
| ≥14 years              | 15 (21%)        | 14 (20%)                   | 19 (26%)                  |
| Serum creatinine (μmol/L)b | 56.1 (11.6) | 56.4 (14.8)                | 56.6 (12.5)               |
| Serum alanine transaminase (μL)c | 22.3 (12.3) | 22.9 (13.9)                | 21.7 (12.1)               |
| Serum aspartate aminotransferase (μL)c | 20.1 (6.6) | 21.0 (6.6)                 | 19.9 (6.7)                |
| Total s-25(OH)D (nmol/L) | 29.2 (15.6) | 30.7 (20.1)                | 26.8 (17.1)               |
| Serum 25(OH)D3 (nmol/L) | 2.3 (8.6)      | 3.5 (13.5)                 | 0                         |
| Serum calcium (mmol/L)  | 2.3 (0.10)      | 2.37 (0.08)                | 2.37 (0.09)               |
| Serum phosphate (mmol/L)e | 1.15 (0.15) | 1.16 (0.16)                | 1.13 (0.13)               |
| Plasma PTH (pmol/L)f   | 8.1 (4.0)       | 7.1 (3.5)                  | 7.6 (3.8)                 |
| Serum P1NP (μg/L)      | 44.8 (16.0)     | 47.1 (17.4)                | 49.0 (26.0)               |
| Serum CTX-1 (μg/L)     | 0.19 (0.15)     | 0.20 (0.10)                | 0.19 (0.13)               |

The mean of s-25(OH)D was 31.3 and 44 nmol/L for males and females respectively.

- Data are mean (standard deviation) unless specified otherwise.
- None were above the reference range (males 60–65, females 55–65 U/L).
- Reference range for s-calcium (2.15–2.51 mmol/L) and for s-phosphate (women ≥11, ≤49 years: 0.75–1.65 mmol/L).
- N = 69 for placebo, 66 for 10 and 74 for 25 μg group due to missing data.

| Table 2 Effect of intervention with vitamin D supplementation on bone turnover markers and plasma PTH. |

| | Baselineb | After 16 weeksb | Difference in change (95% CI)b compared to placebo | P values |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|
| Serum 25(OH)D (nmol/L) |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 143) | 28.7 (18.6)     | 48.8 (19.6)     | 21.3 (16.7, 26.0)                              | <0.0001  |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 29.2 (15.6)     | 27.5 (13.7)     |                                               |          |
| Serum calcium (mmol/L) |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 143) | 2.4 (0.10)      | 2.37 (0.1)      | -0.005 (−0.03, 0.02)                           | 0.7      |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 2.4 (0.09)      | 2.36 (0.1)      |                                               |          |
| Serum corrected calcium (mmol/L)c | 2.36 (0.08) | 2.34 (0.1)      | -0.007 (−0.03, 0.02)                           | 0.5      |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 2.35 (0.1)      | 2.34 (0.09)     |                                               |          |
| Serum phosphate (mmol/L) |                |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 143) | 1.14 (0.15)     | 1.15 (0.16)     | 0.01 (−0.03, 0.05)                             | 0.5      |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 1.15 (0.14)     | 1.14 (0.16)     |                                               |          |
| Plasma PTH (pmol/L)    |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 140) | 7.4 (3.7)       | 6.1 (2.3)       | -1.97 (−2.7, −1.3)                             | <0.0001  |
| Placebo (n = 69)       | 8.1 (4.0)       | 8.2 (3.9)       |                                               |          |
| Bone turnover markers  |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Serum P1NP (μg/L)      |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 143) | 47.9 (22)       | 46.9 (23)       | -1.2 (−5.4, 2.9)                              | 0.6      |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 44.8 (16)       | 45.3 (25)       |                                               |          |
| Serum CTX-1 (μg/L)     |                 |                 |                                               |          |
| Intervention (n = 143) | 0.19 (0.11)     | 0.20 (0.1)      | -0.005 (−0.03, 0.02)                           | 0.7      |
| Placebo (n = 71)       | 0.19 (0.1)      | 0.20 (0.1)      |                                               |          |

- Combined 10 and 25 μg doses.
- Adjusted for baseline values.
- Corrected for serum albumin.

3.1. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on serum 25(OH)D, calcium, albumin-corrected-calcium and plasma phosphate

As previously reported (Knutsen et al., 2014), following the intervention mean serum 25(OH)D had increased by a mean of 17 and 26 nmol/L respectively for the 10 and 25 g vitamin D groups, compared to placebo (Table 2). There was no change in calcium, albumin-corrected calcium or phosphate as a result of vitamin D supplementation (Table 2).

3.2. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on bone turnover markers and plasma PTH

A 16-week supplementation with vitamin D3 (10 or 25 μg combined) compared to placebo had no significant effect on serum CTX and serum P1NP (Table 2), and the difference in percentage change was −0.7% (95% CI: −7.8 to 6.5, P = 0.8) for P1NP and −6.1% (95% CI: −25.8 to 13.4, P = 0.5) for s-CTX. As reported earlier (Knutsen et al., 2014), plasma PTH decreased by a mean of −1.97 pmol/L (95% CI: −2.7, −1.3, P < 0.0001) with vitamin D3 (10 or 25 μg combined) compared to placebo.

We also analyzed the effect of the two interventions (10 and 25 μg) separately, and none of them had a significant effect on serum CTX or serum P1NP (Table 3).

3.3. Additional analyses

There was no difference in the effect of vitamin D supplementation on serum CTX or serum P1NP when adjusted for geographical background. Furthermore, there were no significant differences between the combined intervention groups and placebo in any of the end points after stratification by baseline concentration of serum 25(OH)D higher.
or lower than 25 nmol/L, or after stratification by gender, BMI and age (Table 4).

3.4. Compliance

Compliance with supplementation was confirmed by counting the number of tablets in the returned tablet boxes, where 80% had consumed more than 80% of the tablets and 69% had consumed more than 90% of the tablets.

4. Discussion

In this double blinded randomized controlled trial in a healthy immigrant population, daily supplementation with either 10 μg or 25 μg vitamin D₃ over 16 weeks had no effect on the bone turnover markers P1NP and CTX, despite increased serum 25(OH)D and PTH suppression.

Few studies have studied the effect of vitamin D supplementation on bone markers in immigrant background. Our findings are in agreement with the results from a randomized controlled study of Pakistani immigrants in Denmark (n = 199, 13–53 years) who were supplemented with 10 μg and 20 μg vitamin D₃ per day (Andersen et al., 2008). Despite the observed increased serum 25(OH)D and decreased PTH concentrations, the treatment had no effect on any of the bone turnover markers (serum osteocalcin, urinary pyridinoline and urinary deoxypyridinoline). In another study in which Tamils and native Norwegian subjects (n = 55, 18–48 years) were given daily supplementation with 10 μg vitamin D₃ in four weeks, there was a decrease in mean PTH, and an increase in the bone resorption s-TRACP in both groups. It was suggested that the increase in bone resorption activity, in spite of the improvement in vitamin D status and corresponding PTH suppression, was explained partly by the young age of the participants and by the fact that bone resorption and formation are coupled processes, so that increased bone formation would be likely to follow the increase in resorption (Holvik et al., 2012). The age range of our participants (18–50 years) and the baseline vitamin D status were similar to those in the above study but our study had a longer intervention period.

In studies involving young Caucasian adults with marginal vitamin D deficiency, no beneficial effects of vitamin D on bone markers have been observed (Barnes et al., 2006; Seams et al., 2010; Aloi et al., 2010; Trautvetter et al., 2014).

Observational studies investigating the relationship between vitamin D status and bone turnover in South Asian populations residing in Norway are consistent with our findings. For example, a comparative study of Pakistani and Norwegian women living in Oslo (n = 720) reported that hyperparathyroidism coupled with low vitamin D status in the Pakistani women was not associated with increased bone turnover and that they had similar bone mineral density (BMD) to the ethnic Norwegians (Holvik et al., 2006). Skeletal resistance to PTH-stimulated bone resorption has been described in non-white populations (Cosman et al., 1997) and may provide a mechanism by which non-white populations with suboptimal serum 25(OH)D levels retain BMD. It has been suggested that PTH has a limited role in defining vitamin D status in individual patients and in guiding vitamin D therapy in clinical practice (Shibli-Rahhal and Paturi, 2014). However, the clinical importance of persistent vitamin D deprivation and PTH elevation in immigrant men and women should be further explored.

The strengths of this study were that it was a strictly performed double blinded randomized placebo controlled trial, it had good compliance and relatively high retention. Assessments were performed during winter and spring, a time when sun exposure has little impact on vitamin D synthesis, and all blood samples were assayed in a single batch. The ethnic minorities targeted in our study are known to have generally poor vitamin D status. The doses used were sufficient to increase the serum 25(OH)D levels, however 50 nmol/L was not reached in 43% (25 μg supplementation group) and 62% (10 μg supplementation group). The study also had some limitations. For instance, although the analyses of bone markers were pre-planned the study was designed primarily for muscular strength outcomes. Assuming an SD 17.4 μg/L for P1NP and 0.10 μg/L for CTX, 70 participants per group would have been sufficient
to detect differences of 8.3 μg/L in P1NP (equivalent to 17% change); and 0.048 μg/L in CTX (equivalent to 24% change), respectively. Although larger sample sizes might have provided more power to detect smaller differences, the non-significant changes we observed in this study were very small (−0.7% for P1NP and −6% for CTX) and of doubtful clinical relevance.

We did not calculate the dietary intake of calcium of the study subjects and it is possible that this was sufficient to suppress bone turnover. In addition, the duration of the study might not have been long enough for participants to achieve sufficiently high serum 25(OH)D levels for long enough to allow detection of significant changes in the endpoints. A longer intervention period would have been desirable, but continuing the trial into summer could have influenced the results through cutaneous synthesis of vitamin D with sun exposure, and might have resulted in loss to follow-up. Another limitation is that the levels of bone markers in our study do not reflect absorption of daily supplements of calcium, with the exception of the group assigned to the 25(OH)D intervention. Furthermore, the serum CTX exhibit a circadian rhythm and are inbalance with sun exposure, and might have resulted in loss to follow-up.

5. Conclusions

Supplementation with daily 10 or 25 μg oral vitamin D3 per day during winter for 16 weeks did not affect bone turnover markers in a healthy immigrant population living in Norway, with low baseline vitamin D status, despite significantly decreased PTH levels in both intervention groups.
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