An ultrasensitive electrochemical immunosensor for hepatitis C antibodies based on one-step-eletrosynthetized polypyrrole–graphene nanocomposite
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ABSTRACT

An ultrasensitive label-free electrochemical immunosensor was developed for hepatitis C antibodies (anti-HCV). Worldwide, it is estimated 71 million people have HCV infection in a chronic stage that may lead to cirrose and cancer. To achieve HCV elimination, health programs should include screening testing based on anti-HCV detection allowing the early-stage treatment. The immunosensor was based on a graphene oxide-polypyrrole (PPy–GO) film one-step electropolymerized on the electrode surface. Ultrasensitive anti-HCV detection was ensured by HCV antigen conjugated to biotin that was immobilized in a great amount on streptavidin-coated nanostructured surface. Analytical responses were obtained by anodic peaks from the square wave voltammetry in the presence of ferrocyanide/ferricyanide as a redox probe. This immunosensor exhibited a linear range from 2 to 14 ng mL⁻¹ of anti-HCV and a limit of detection in the clinical range (1.63 ng mL⁻¹). Furthermore, the immunosensor presented an efficient performance for the determination of anti-HCV in spiked serum samples, becoming this developed nanosensor as potential tools for early HCV diagnosis and screening.

Introduction

Hepatitis C is a silent viral infection that can result in significant liver damage leading to, in most cases, liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [1].

Many of the individuals carrying the virus are unaware that they are, therefore they are immediately potential virus transmitters. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 3% worldwide are infected by hepatitis C virus (HCV), and it is annually estimated 3–4 million new
infections and at least 150 million chronic carriers. Recently, the COVID-19 outbreak has increased even more mortality by HCV complications [2], even though the discovery of potent antivirals has considerably increased the chances of cure [3]. WHO plans to eradicate HCV by 2030 [4]. To achieve this goal requires the creation of more treatment programs and efficient screening tests for a rapid and accurate diagnostic. The first choice for HCV diagnostic is the detection of anti-HCV antibodies and sequentially research of the viral genome in serum or plasma samples by PCR testing, in order to confirm the HCV infection [5, 6]. Nowadays, enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) and electrochemiluminescence assays have been employed for anti-HCV detection in hospitals [7, 8]. Otherwise, lateral-flow immunochromatographic tests have been used as point-of-care for HCV with detection in blood or oral fluids, however, these methods are restricted to positive or negative results and have shown a low sensitivity [9]. Recently, new possibilities for the development of point-of-care immunosensors have been successfully described, with the advantage of being a quantitative method [10]. A remarkable advance in the sensitivity of electrochemical immunosensors has been achieved with carbon nanomaterials due to the increase in electron transfer rate and higher amount of immobilized biomolecules [11–13]. Graphene has been shown as an attractive nanomaterial for electrochemical immunosensors due to its facile synthesis, high surface area, and excellent biocompatibility.

Graphene oxide (GO) is usually derived from natural graphite by different processes, including exfoliation and chemical synthesis. In this oxidative state, sheets of GO have a good dispersion in water as a consequence of a highly oxidized structure with a large number of oxygen containing functional groups, such as alkoxy, epoxy, carbonyl, and carboxyl groups, serving as attractive for immobilization of biomolecules [14, 15]. However, this oxidative state implies a moderate conductivity attributed to the disruption of the sp² bonding by functional groups [16]. An alternative to improve the GO electrical conduction is its incorporation in conductive polymers, resulting in highly conductive nanocomposites [17, 18].

The use of GO associated with conductive polymers in a supramolecular assembly has shown a significant increase in electrical conductivity and chemical stability [19, 20]. Polypyrrole (PPy) is one of the most widely used conductive polymer films in electronic devices due to its high charge storage capacity, besides good dispersion and easy synthesis [21, 22]. PPy–GO presents attractive electrochemical properties and cycling performance becoming promising in the manufacturing of supercapacitors and high-performance electrochemical sensors [23, 24]. Synergism between PPy and GO can be assigned to the π bond of the pyrrole ring attaching to the GO surface by π–π interaction. In brief, the PPy acts as a spacer connecting graphene sheets and conductive bridges to avoid re-stacking of graphene sheets [25].

PPy–GO nanocomposite can be obtained by traditional bulk polymerization; nevertheless, electrochemical synthesis is a more attractive method mainly due to its ability to control the thickness, chain size, and stability of nanocomposite formed [22, 26]. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is an electrochemical technique for in situ electrosynthesis PPy–GO that allows easier adherence to the electrode surface. Conducting proprieties can be controlled by changing the potential applied, current density, and the number of cycles of the CV [25, 27].

In this study, a conductive nanocomposite film PPy–GO was assembled by one-step electrosynthesis in a glassy-carbon electrode. The strong affinity of the avidin–biotin guaranteed the immobilization of the biotinylated HCV antigens on the PPy–GO modified electrode, and 4:1 favorable stoichiometric ratio of biotin-streptavidin [28], permitting a more significant amount of the HCV antigen biotinylated immobilized. This combination of an efficient immobilization yield and the synergism achieved due to a highly conductive thin film resulted in a successful immunosensor for the ultrasensitive detection of anti-HCV antibodies in spiked samples. The detection of the anti-HCV antibodies is very essential in the transfusion services, since once infected with HCV virus, the individual presents the biomarker for all life. The developed immunosensor was able for detecting in clinical range of anti-HCV, being comparable to conventional methods.
Materials and methods

Reagents

Py monomers (98%, v/v), GO in aqueous solution (0.2 µg mL⁻¹), Streptavidin (STV), glycine, potassium ferrocyanide (K₃Fe(CN)₆), potassium ferricyanide (K₄Fe(CN)₆), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), and N-ethyl-N-0-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) were obtained from Merck (St. Louis, USTV). The biotinylated HCV core antigen (HCVcAg) and monoclonal human anti-HCV were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). All chemicals used in the study were of analytical reagent grade purity. The ultrapure water was obtained from a water purification system Milli-Q (Billerica, USA) (18 MΩ) was used to prepare all solutions.

Anti-HCV spiked, and non-spiked serum samples were diluted in 0.01 mmol L⁻¹ phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4). Serum samples were collected from healthy individuals, asymptomatics that were certificated non-Anti-HCV by the automatic Elecsys 2010 Immunoassay Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). Venous blood was collected from twelve volunteers, according to the ethic committee recommendations and informed consent, protocol number 63441516.6.0000.5190 approved by the Aggeu Magalhes Human Research committee, Brazil.

Experimental apparatus and measurements

The electrochemical measurements were carried out in an Autolab PGSTAT12 potentiostat (Eco Chemie, The Netherlands) interfaced to PC system and controlled by Autolab software NOVA (2.1.2). It was used a three-electrode system, consisting of a glassy-carbon electrode (GCE) (Ø = 3 mm) as a working electrode, a helical platinum wire as a counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl₅(saturated KCl) as a reference electrode. The measurements were taken at room temperature (~ 23 °C).

Cyclic voltammetry measurements were taken for electrode characterization, with a potential ranging from −0.2 to +0.6 V with 80 mV s⁻¹ of scan rate. For analytical curves and stepwise preparation of the immunoelectrode, the square wave voltammetry (SWV) technique was performed varying the potential window from 0.0 to +0.5 V, frequency of 10 Hz, amplitude of 100 mV, and step potential of 2.5 mV. All electrochemical measurements were recorded in presence of the 0.005 mol L⁻¹ of K₃Fe(CN)₆/K₄Fe(CN)₆ prepared in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ KCl as a redox probe. Current variation (ΔIp) were measured after the sample incubations from the height of the anodic current peaks of SWV curves subtracting from blank (before the sample incubation).

The structural characterization was accomplished with Fourier Transform Infrared in the Attenuated Total Reflectance mode (FTIR-ATR) by using the Bruker IFS 66 model FTIR spectrometer (Billerica, USA). Spectra were acquired at 4000–500 cm⁻¹.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) assays were performed in the Scanning Electron Microscope JEOL—JSM 5600LV. A glassy-carbon disk (~ 0.5 cm diameter) was adapted in an electrochemical cell home-made with a reduced volume (0.8 mL) and the nanocomposite PPy–GO was electrosynthesized.

Preparation of the PPy–GO nanoelectrode

Before modification, the GCE was polished with alumina powder (1 and 0.5 mm) for 3 min with a polishing cloth until obtaining a mirror-like surface. The electrode was carefully rinsed with anhydrous ethanol and ultrapure water sequentially to remove any residual impurities. Then, the GCE was immersed in a mixed solution of the Py monomers (0.3 mmol L⁻¹) and GO (0.2 mg mL⁻¹) and subjected to an electropolymerization procedure in the presence of 0.3 mmol L⁻¹ sulfuric acid [22]. It was performed in a single one-step of synthesis by using the cyclic voltammetry technique, a potential window between −0.8 and +0.8 V, 20 cycles at 20 mV s⁻¹ of scan rate.

HCV antigen immobilization

The carboxyl groups derived from GO nanocomposite on the modified GCE were activated using a mixture of 0.02 mol L⁻¹ EDC and 0.05 mol L⁻¹ NHS aqueous solution, incubating for 1 h at room temperature. Afterward, an aliquot of 5 µL of the STV PBS diluted (10 µg mL⁻¹) was pipetted on the electrode surface and left to react for 1 h in a moist chamber, followed by two washes with PBS. Then, the biotinylated antigen (HCVcAg) was immobilized based on the biotin-streptavidin affinity by incubating an aliquot (5 µL) of the HCVcAg (100 µg mL⁻¹) at pH 7.4 for 1 h at room temperature. Next, non-specific bindings were blocked with a glycine.
solution (0.05 mol L\(^{-1}\)) incubating for 40 min at room temperature. When not in use, the HCVcAg electrodes were stored at 8 °C in a moist chamber.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of the PPY–GO film on the GCE**

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is a powerful electrochemical technique to study electron transfer kinetics of an electroactive species in solution and mechanisms of redox reactions in immunosensor development. It is possible to analyze PPY–GO nanocomposite and the role of each component regarding the conductivity and electron transfer. According to Fig. 1a, after GO layer assembling by dropcasting (curve II), an increase in current was observed compared to the bare electrode (curve I). This discrete increase can be attributed to the disruption of sp\(^2\) bonds derived from functional groups like carboxyl and epoxy that impact the electron transfer of the GO [16, 29]. After the Py monomers were electropolymerized on the electrode surface (curve III), a significant increase in the electroactive area was observed compared to the bare electrode (curve I). Several factors can result in changes in the conductivity of PPY film, which primarily depend on the anion charge introduced in synthesis. Herein, the PPY was synthesized in sulfuric acid, resulting in highly porous film, which contributed to the electron transfer, also derived from the high number of electrons forming a long chain of the PPY [30].

Additionally, the PPY synthesis occurred below 0.8 V, avoiding over-oxidation and preserving the doping and de-doping process in the PPY explained by the redox peaks’ excellent reversibility. This study allowed the choice of parameters for PPY–GO, obtaining a good performance as shown in Curve IV. An increase in area to the CV compared to the PPY/GCE (curve III) was observed, suggesting that the formation of the nanocomposite occurred with capacitive characteristics. This increase can be attributed to the capacitance that originates from the double-layer capacitance of graphene and the pseudocapacitance of PPY derived from over-oxidation. Given the large aspect ratio and surface area of the GO sheets, they may serve as effective percolate conducting bridges, thereby increasing the conductivity of the composite. In this process, the relatively large anionic GO acts as a weak electrolyte and is entrapped in the nanocomposite during the electropolymerization of pyrrole, serving as an effective charge-balancing dopant within the PPY film [31].

Using the CV is also possible to determine the electroactive surface area that contributes to the diffusion process [32]. Calculation of the active surface area of the electrode is carried out through the equation of Randles–Sevcik (Eq. 1):

\[
I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 n^{3/2} A D_{o}^{1/2}[Ox]^{1/2} \]

where \(I_p\) is the current peak; \(n\) is the number of electrons involved; \(A\) is the electroactive area/cm\(^2\);
$D_o$ is diffusion coefficient of the $[K_3Fe(CN)_6]$ ($7.70 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$); $[Ox]$ is the concentration of the $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]$ (0.005 mol L$^{-1}$); and $v$ is the scan rate in V s$^{-1}$. In Fig. 1b is shown the bar graph of the electroactive surface area of each compound employed in the PPy–GO synthesis.

Comparing the obtained area by the GO and PPy, the nanocomposite of the PPy–GO obtained an increase of 88.2% and 35.3%, respectively, in the electroactive surface area, indicating the ease of electronic transfer and porous nanomaterial.

The SEM micrographs were employed to evaluate the morphology of the nanocomposite PPy–GO on the electrode surface. In Fig. 2a, d, the micrograph of the PPy showed granular structures characteristic of the PPy. In Fig. 2b, e, the SEM of the GO shows the superposition of the graphene sheets. The alignment of the GO is probably due to the van der Waals interaction between GO sheets and electrode surface [33]. In Fig. 2c, f the micrograph formed by the PPy–GO showed that the nanocomposite was successfully obtained, since the PPy and GO structures can not be observed individually probably due to the forming of the nanocomposite [34]. According to the micrography, PPy and GO were integrated by forming a porous surface, probably due to the simultaneous co-electrodeposition of these two structures, by applying the cyclic voltammetry procedure. It is believed that two-dimensional GO nanosheets were interconnected through the PPy, which own a high charge storage capacity. Hence, the GO improves the electron transfer resulting in the synergic effect of electrochemical proprieties.

The electron diffusion study of the PPy–GO/GCE was investigated, subjecting the electrode to different scan rates. As observed in Fig. S1, CVs were registered in presence of redox probe solution of $K_3[Fe(CN)_6]/K_4[Fe(CN)_6]$ (0.005 mol L$^{-1}$), exhibiting a proportional and linear increase in both cathodic and anodic current peaks ($I_{pa}$ and $I_{pc}$ respectively), according to the scan rate (10–150 mV s$^{-1}$). $I_{pa}$ and $I_{pc}$ peaks were directly proportional to the square root of scan rates (Fig. S1, inset) with the following linear regression equations: $I_{pa}$ (μA) = 29,823 $v$ (V s$^{-1}$)–37,537 ($r = 0.99$) and $I_{pc}$ (μA) = –26,567 $v$ (V s$^{-1}$)–22,477 ($r = 0.99$), suggesting a controlled diffusion process [32].

The film stability of the PPy–GO/GCE film was evaluated through 20 successive CVs performed in a potential window varying from −0.2 to 0.6 V, at 50 mVs$^{-1}$ of scan rate. According to Fig. S2, the amplitude of redox peaks was practically constant during all scanning. The coefficient of variation was 0.75% for the anodic and cathodic peaks, indicating high stability of the PPy–GO/GCE film. It can be attributed to the π–π interactions between pyrrole and GO [35].

![Figure 2](image_url)  
**Figure 2** SEM images of the PPy (a, d); GO (b, e); PPy–GO (c, f).
**Structural characterization of the PPy–GO by FTIR–ATR**

FTIR–ATR is a good technique for studying the surface properties since it allows an infrared beam penetration in the depth around 0.5–3 μm, depending on the ATR crystal material. Here, it was used the Ge crystal, in which the evanescent wave penetrates \( \sim 0.65 \) μm at the surface. Analyses of the FTIR–ATR spectrum confirmed the presence of PPy and GO as a nanocomposite, according to Fig. 3. In curves I and II, the peaks at 1159 cm\(^{-1}\) and 847 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to C–H wagging, respectively [36, 37]. It was also observed, in curve I, the characteristic peak at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\), representing the C=N bonds of PPy [19]. Additionally, small peaks at 3400 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the N–H stretching vibrations of PPy, these peaks were also previously described for PPy [25, 26]. In curves II and III, peaks at 3466 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3418 cm\(^{-1}\) were, respectively, attributed to O–H stretching vibrations of GO [36, 37]. The peak at 1652 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1151 cm\(^{-1}\) were attributed to C=O and C–O stretching, respectively, also indicating the GO presence. It was assigned to C–H and C–C backbone stretching of PPy, the peak at 626 cm\(^{-1}\) (curve III), as probable indicative that the PPy was successfully polymerized on the GO.

**Immobilization of the HCVc antigen and immunoassay**

The immobilization of the biotinylated HCV core antigen was obtained by the STV layer on the PPy–GO film. First, STV was covalently linked to the GO sheets present in the nanocomposite through activation by EDC/NHS chemical forming amide bonds [38]. EDC interacts with the carboxyl groups for the formation of reactive esters. However, the attack of the amine on this intermediate complex may become slow and hydrolyze in aqueous solutions. In this way, it is necessary the assistance of the NHS for the formation of more reactive esters and prone to the formation of stable amide bonds [39]. After chemical activation with EDC/NHS, the STV was immobilized varying the concentration from 10 to 50 μg mL\(^{-1}\). According to Fig. S3(a), a proportional increase in the peak currents of the CV with an increase in the STV concentration was observed, reaching a plateau at 50 μg L\(^{-1}\). Since the highest concentration of STV evaluated presented the most intense current response without any interference in the immunosensor performance, the concentration of 50 μg L\(^{-1}\) of STV was used in the further studies.

Streptavidin–biotin strategy to conjugate biomolecules has been used in several immunosensors [28, 40]. These proteins provide a high affinity constant, being the strongest noncovalent biological interaction known with a dissociation constant (Kd) in femtomolar order [41]. Besides, the stoichiometry of streptavidin–biotin is favorable; only using one molecule of avidin, four biotinylated molecules can be strongly attached to the sensor surface, increasing its sensitivity. Taking into account that the biomolecule immobilization step is crucial for immunosensor performance, the maximal concentration of STV was investigated in this study, in attempting to reach the more amount of the HCVcAg immobilized. The maximal concentration of STV was reached at 100 μg mL\(^{-1}\), according to the plateau of the curve shown in Fig. S3(b).

Figure 4 exhibits the electrochemical characterization of the immunosensor in each step of preparation through the square wave voltammetry (SWV) technique. An increase in anodic peak in curve II was observed compared with curve I (bare GCE); this increase means that the conductive PPy–GO film was successfully electropolymerized on the electrode surface. The insulating nature of biomolecules

![Figure 3 ATR FTIR spectra of the (I) GO; (II) PPy, (III) PPy–GO.](image-url)
induces a hindering on the electron transfer to the electrolyte from the electrode surface [42]. Herein, it is observed in Curve III a decrease in the anodic peak by the STV linkage to the PPy–GO/GCE. Likewise, biotinylated HCVcAg was effectively bound to the STV due to decreased anodic peak (Curve IV). It was observed that the decrease in anodic peak by HCVcAg is too much less than due to the STV, probably indicating more amount of immobilized protein in this step as a resulting 1:4 stoichiometric relation between STV-biotinylated HCVcAg. In the immunoassay tests is critical to block the non-specific binding since they can produce false-positive results, reducing the specificity. Glycine is the minor amino acid found; it has been widely used in immunosensors [43–45]; primarily due to the reduced molecular weight with advantaging of does not perturb the electron transfer on the sensing surface and reducing the sensitivity by steric hindering. Hence, the decrease in the anodic peak indicated the glycine present as a blocking agent (Curve V).

Analytical response to the immunosensor

Analytical curves were obtained by incubating the sensor electrode with an aliquot (5 μL) of the anti-HCV for 30 min at room temperature, in a moist chamber to avoid evaporation before immunocomplex formation. This incubation time was optimal for maximal antigen–antibody interactions and was agreed with previous studies [46]. SWV curves in Fig. 5 showed a decrease in the height of anodic peaks with an increase in anti-HCV concentrations. According to inset, a linear straight line of the relative current responses (Δ%) was obtained varying anti-HCV concentrations from 2 to 14 ng mL⁻¹. Data were processed in Origin software adjusted by linear regression equation I(μA) = 5.17 [anti-HCV](IU) + 19.89, showed a correlation coefficient of 0.981 (p < 0.01). The limit of detection was 1.63 ng mL⁻¹ obtained according to the IUPAC (LOD = 3 sd/slope). Herein, the LOD obtained allowed measurements in the clinical range of the HCV diagnoses.

Repeatibility and reproducibility and real samples analysis

Reproducibility and repeatability studies were performed to evaluate the robustness and precision of the immunosensor. Repeatability was obtained by analyzing five successive measurements after incubating the electrode with the same sample serum spiked with anti-HCV (5 ng mL⁻¹), running an interval of 10 min for each one, which the coefficient of variation (CV) was found at 5.5% for anodic peaks. Reproducibility was assessed by measuring the immunosensor responses to the anti-HCV spiked serum samples in five different electrodes, resulting in a CV < 5%. These results indicated a good
performance of immunosensor in measurements of intra- and inter-assay, respectively.

Selectivity studies were performed subjecting this immunosensor to the Anti-HCV spiked serum samples that contain several interferents. The sensor surface was incubated with an aliquot of 5 µL of serum for 30 min at room temperature, in a moist chamber to preserve the sample against evaporation due to the low volume. Anodic peaks of SWV measurements were obtained in the presence of the redox probe of 5 mM K₃[Fe(CN)₆]/K₄[Fe(CN)₆] prepared in 0.1 KCl. According to Fig. 6, observed that the difference of peak currents from the blank gradually increased with the anti-HCV concentration of spiked serum, contrary to the unspiked serum that has been kept practically constant the current. Under optimal conditions, the cutoff value was established at 2.4 ng mL⁻¹, showing a limit of reaction acceptable for real samples. It means that the blocking agent was effective and contributed to distinguishing the anti-HCV in a complex medium/matrix, containing several proteins, lipids, cells, debris, and other contaminants.

There are many immunosensors for detecting the antigens in an attempt to diagnose HCV acute infection. So far, few works are dedicated to anti-HCV, although antibody detection is considered the first-choice biomarker for HCV screening since it indicates that individuals were infected once by the virus in their life [6]. Here, the LOD found was similar to the Electrochemiluminescence method-ECLIA (1.0 ng mL⁻¹), being lower than before described for immunosensors (Table S1), besides significant improvements with advantages of being a label-free immunosensor. Considering the limit of reaction to interferents, the cutoff value found showed that the immunosensor developed is superior in terms of reported immunosensors, as well as in terms of linear response range (Table S1). Furthermore, the immunosensor allows the detection of antibodies anti-HCV in serum compatible with the clinical range of response.

Conclusions

A label-free immunosensor was successfully developed to detect HCV antibodies in clinical range levels. The high performance seems probable due to the PPy–GO synergism that enabled a high conductivity of nanocomposite. Moreover, the avidin–biotin strategy to immobilize HCVc, leading to many immobilized biomolecules antigens, resulted in a stable and sensitive platform for the HCV diagnostic. Anti-HCV is a valuable marker the HCV screening since it indicates prior contact with the virus at any time in life.
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