PM2.5 promotes NSCLC carcinogenesis through translationally and transcriptionally activating DLAT-mediated glycolysis reprogramming

Abstract
Background: Airborne fine particulate matter (PM2.5) has been associated with lung cancer development and progression in never smokers. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying PM2.5-induced lung cancer remain largely unknown. The aim of this study was to explore the mechanisms by which PM2.5 regulated the carcinogenesis of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Methods: Paralleled ribosome sequencing (Ribo-seq) and RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) were performed to identify PM2.5-associated genes for further study. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR), Western blot, and immunohistochemistry (IHC) were used to determine mRNA and protein expression levels in tissues and cells. The biological roles of PM2.5 and PM2.5-dysregulated gene were assessed by gain- and loss-of-function experiments, biochemical analyses, and Seahorse XF glycolysis stress assays. Human tissue microarray analysis and 18F-FDG PET/CT scans in patients with NSCLC were used to verify the experimental findings. Polysome fractionation experiments, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), and dual-luciferase reporter assay were implemented to explore the molecular mechanisms.

Results: We found that PM2.5 induced a translation shift towards glycolysis pathway genes and increased glycolysis metabolism, as evidenced by increased L-lactate and pyruvate concentrations or higher extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) in vitro and in vivo. Particularly, PM2.5 enhanced the expression of glycolytic gene DLAT, which promoted glycolysis but suppressed acetyl-CoA production and enhanced the malignancy of NSCLC cells. Clinically, high expression of DLAT was positively associated with tumor size, poorer prognosis, and SUVmax values of 18F-FDG PET/CT scans in patients with NSCLC. Mechanistically, PM2.5 activated eIF4E, consequently up-regulating the expression level of DLAT in polysomes. PM2.5 also stimulated transcription factor Sp1, which further augmented transcription activity of DLAT promoter.
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that PM2.5-activated overexpression of DLAT and enhancement in glycolysis metabolism contributed to the tumorigenesis of NSCLC, suggesting that DLAT-associated pathway may be a therapeutic target for NSCLC.
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Background
The incidence of lung cancer in never-smokers (LCNS) has been rapidly increasing in recent decades, accounting for approximately 25% of lung cancer cases worldwide [1, 2]. In fact, it has been recognized that lung cancer in smokers and never-smokers are two distinct entities, differing not only in epidemiology but also at the levels of molecular profiles and tumoral microenvironments [3, 4]. Among the risk factors that contributed to the development of LCNS, PM2.5, the major air pollutant worldwide, was considered as the major culprit [5, 6]. For instance, studies from the 17 Prospective European Cohort have concluded that a 5 μg/m³ increment in annual exposure to PM2.5 was associated with increased hazard ratio of lung cancer incidence by 1.18 [7]. Similarly, the incident rate ratio (IRR) per 10 μg/m³ increase in PM2.5 for lung cancer was 1.19 (95% CI: 1.09, 1.30) in the USA [8]. In consistent with these, a nationwide study in 295 Chinese counties has shown that an increase of 10 μg/m³ exposure to PM2.5 would result in higher incidence of lung cancer by 4.20% for males and 2.48% for females [9]. Furthermore, it has also been revealed that the increment in PM2.5 concentration was positively correlated with increase in mortality of lung cancer [10–12]. Indeed, outdoor PM2.5 pollution has been defined as a Group 1 human carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) in 2013 [13]. Nevertheless, the molecular mechanisms by which PM2.5 induces lung cancer remain poorly understood.

Cancer is considered a disease that originates from overproduction of oncogenes and reduced amount of tumor suppressors [14]. To investigate genetic variances underlying PM2.5-induced pathological conditions, prior studies have focused primarily on the identification of differentially expressed mRNAs, based on the assumption that mRNA changes must be accompanied by coordinated changes in the protein. However, as the whole transcriptome includes large pools of mRNAs not undergoing active translation, only 40% of the variation in the protein expression can be explained by mRNA levels [15]. In fact, it has been shown that the correlation between mRNA transcripts and protein levels was generally poor [15, 16]; and that translation efficiency, rather than mRNA abundance, contributed most to the final protein amount [17]. Emerging evidence has also demonstrated that in the flow of genetic information, translation initiation is the rate limiting step for protein synthesis, and critical for the activity of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes [18]. As ribosome sequencing (Ribo-seq) can directly quantify the transcripts of ribosome-protected mRNAs, it has been proposed that Ribo-seq may provide a more accurate and complete picture of gene expression in addition to conventional RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) [19]. Nevertheless, whether PM2.5 could contribute to the tumorigenesis of NSCLC through regulating the translational rate of ribosomes is unknown.

In this study, we present what to our knowledge is the first thorough analysis of transcriptional and translational landscapes in PM2.5-exposed cells. We found that PM2.5 enhanced the translation efficiency of glycolysis pathway genes, with DLAT as the most over-translated one. We showed that DLAT increased glycolysis, promoted proliferation, and suppressed apoptosis in NSCLC cells. Up-regulation of DLAT was associated with poorer outcome in NSCLC patients. We verified that PM2.5 promoted glycolysis metabolism and DLAT expression in vitro and in vivo. We demonstrated that PM2.5 activated transcription factor Sp1, which transcriptionally promoted the expression of DLAT. In addition, we revealed that PM2.5 enhanced the expression of ribosomal eIF4E, which selectively up-regulated DLAT translation in polysomes. Overall, this research revealed a previously unidentified mechanism of PM2.5 for promoting glycolysis metabolism and the malignancy of NSCLC, suggesting that DLAT-associated glycolysis pathway may be a promising target for NSCLC treatment.

Materials and methods
PM2.5 samples
Urban particulate matter samples SRM 1648a (encoded as PM2.5) were purchased from National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST, MD, USA). The PM2.5 was collected in an urban area and all constituents in SRM 1648a were naturally present in the material before processing (NIST Certificate of Analysis, 2020). SRM 1648a includes 25 metals, 21 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), and 7 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). As SRM 1648a has uniform constituents, it is usually used as a reference to estimate the impact of mixed PM2.5 on health damage and may also be used as the
reference material [20]. Before each test, PM2.5 was dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for infusion and the samples were sonicated for 20 min before use in each experiment.

We determined the PM2.5 dosage for in vitro experiments on the basis of previous reports in PM-2.5-induced cytotoxicity and on our pilot cell viability experiments. BEAS-2B cells (the normal human bronchial epithelial cell line) were exposed to different levels of PM2.5 for 24 h and the cell viability was tested by CCK-8 methods. No significant changes of cell viability were observed between cells exposed to PM2.5 (25, 50, 100, 200 μg/mL, respectively) and control cells. When the concentration of PM2.5 reached 400 μg/mL, the cell viability significantly (P < 0.001) decreased to approximately 50% (≈LC50) (Fig. S1). This effect dose was similar to reports [29] and embedded in paraffin before they were archived.

Clinical samples
This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shenzhen University Health Science Center (Approved no. 2016002). Written informed consents were obtained from all participants. NSCLC subjects were recruited from patients who underwent surgical resection in the Department of Thoracic Surgery at People’s Hospital of Shenzhen, China (Supplementary Table S9). NSCLC was diagnosed according to the criteria of Lung Cancer Stage Classification (The Eighth Edition) [29]. Tissue samples were collected in the operating room and the surgical specimens were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin before they were archived.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis
The paraffin-embedded tissue slides were deparaffinized and dehydrated in xylene and alcohol, and then incubated with the primary antibodies against DLAT (Sigma, R38114) at 4°C overnight and then with HPR-labelled secondary antibody (MaxVision TM HRP, 2109085407n) at 37°C for 1 h. The 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution was used for development of brown staining to identify the expression of DLAT. Images were observed and analyzed under microscope (Olympus) with Image J software.

Cell culture and transfection
BEAS-2B and human NSCLC cell lines (A549, and PC9) were ordered from Shanghai Institute for Biological Science (Shanghai, China). Each cell lines were authenticated by measuring the short-tandem repeat (STR) DNA profiles. No contamination of mycoplasma was found in these cell lines. A549, PC9, and BEAS-2B cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, NY, USA) in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2 at 37°C. For in vitro PM2.5 exposure experiments, cells were treated with different concentrations of PM2.5 (0, 200, 400 μg/ml) for 24–48 h.

Ribosome sequencing (Ribo-seq)
A total of 1×10⁷ BEAS-2B cells were lysed in polysome lysis buffer (20 mM Tris•Cl at pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 1% Triton X-100, Turbo DNase I 25 U/ml, 50 μg/mL cycloheximide). Then, cell lysate was treated with unspecific endoribonuclease RNase to digest the free RNAs other than ribosome-protected fragments (RPFs). Isolation of ribosome was performed by size-exclusion chromatography with MicroSpin S-400 HR columns (GE Healthcare, USA). Recovered RPFs were then subjected to rRNA depletion kit to remove rRNA contamination. Small RNA (<200bp) was resolved by electrophoresis on a 15% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel (PAGE) with 7 M urea. The RPFs bands (~30 nt) were cut for RNA extraction, phosphorylated and ligated with 5′ and 3′ adapters, reverse transcribed and PCR amplified, respectively. Libraries were then sequenced on Illumina Novaseq platform using the PE150 (paired-end 150nt) recipe. The sequencing reads were mapped to human genome database by TopHat2 software and then quantified by the HTSeq and DESeq2 R packages.

RNA-seq
BEAS-2B cells from the same cultures used in the Ribo-seq experiments were processed in parallel for RNA-seq. Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol reagent and
RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the NEBNext® UltraTM RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (NEB, USA) following manufacturer’s recommendations. Briefly, mRNA was purified from total RNA using the poly-T+ oligo-attached magnetic beads. RNA samples were fragmented and then used for first- and second-strand cDNA synthesis with random hexamer primers. The cDNA fragments were treated with DNA end repair kit and NEBNext adaptor was ligated at the 3’ end of the DNA fragments. The library fragments were purified with AMPure XP system (Beckman Coulter, Beverly, USA) and then subjected to PCR amplification. After cluster generation by the TruSeq PE Cluster Kit v3-cBot-HS (Illumia, USA), the library preparations were sequenced on an Illumina Novaseq platform (150bp paired-end). Sequencing reads from RNA-seq were aligned to the reference genome (GRCh38) using Hisat2 v2.0.5. Feature Counts v1.5.0-p3 was used to count the reads numbers and gene expression levels were calculated by the Reads per kilobase of transcript, per millions mapped reads (RPKM). Differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 R package (1.16.1).

**TE calculation**

The abundance of ribosome footprints of an mRNA depends on the translation rate and on the level of mRNA expression. Therefore, TE (translation efficiency) is commonly estimated as the ratio between RPF and expressed mRNA counts (mRNA) \( \text{TE} = \frac{\text{RPKM in Ribo-seq}}{\text{RPKM in RNA-seq}} \). Differences in TE between PM2.5-exposed and control samples were calculated using the Ribodiff software.

**Animals and PM2.5 intratracheal instillation**

4-week old male SD rats were purchased from Guangdong Experimental Animal Center (Foshan, China) and were housed in a pathogen-free environment with free access to food and water. After one-week adaption, rats were randomly divided into 4 groups \((n = 5\) per group): three experimental groups exposed to PM2.5 (1.8, 5.4, 16.2 mg/kg body weight) [27] by intratracheal instillation every 3 days for 24 days (in total 8 times), and one control group received equal volume of saline (Fig. S5A). At the end of experiments, the rats were euthanized, and the lung tissue were isolated and stored at -80°C for subsequent analyses. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the protocols approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of Shenzhen University.

**Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of rat lung tissues**

The lung tissue was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution. After paraffin embedding, 4μm sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin to reveal the pathology characteristics of lung tissue. The slides were treated with graded alcohols for rehydration, and with EDTA buffer for 10 min at 98°C for antigen retrieval. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide solution at room temperature for 10 minutes. Nonspecific binding was prevented by blocking with normal goat serum at room temperature for 30 minutes. Sections of lung tissue were incubated with primary antibodies against Ki-67 and Caspase3 (Protein-tech, Wuhan, China) at 4°C overnight. Tissue sections were then treated with secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L, HRP), stopped with freshly configured DAB solution, and counter-stained with hemotoxylin. The areas of positive staining were evaluated by ImageJ 1.53a (National Institutes of Health, USA).

**Quantification of lactate and pyruvate**

For in vitro measurement of L-lactate and pyruvate levels, 1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded into each well of the six-well plate. Cells were treated with PM2.5, PBS, DLAT-over-expression or NC vector, respectively. For tissue analysis, 100mg tissues were individually ground with liquid nitrogen, and the homogenate was resuspended with 0.4 ml 0.86% saline water and thoroughly vortexed. The samples were then centrifuged at 3500 rpm/min at 4°C for 15 min. Then the supernatants were collected for further measurements. L-lactate and pyruvate levels in the cell cultured medium or in the supernatants of lung tissue homogenates were quantified using the L-lactate assay kit (A019-2-1, Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) and the pyruvate assay kit (A081-1-1, Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The sample absorbance (530 nm for L-lactate and 505 nm for pyruvate) was detected using the Synergy HTX Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek, Guangzhou, China). All in vitro experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Measurement of ECAR and OCR**

The extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) and oxygen consumption rate (OCR) of cells were assessed using the Seahorse XF24 Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience, Agilent). The glycolytic stress test kit (Seahorse Cat. #103020–100) and mitochondrial stress test kit (Seahorse Cat. #103015–100) were used for ECAR and OCR detection, respectively. Briefly, 1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded in the 96-well XF Seahorse incubation plate as the protocol indicated. Cells were cultured at 37°C in XF base medium (pH 7.4), and glucose (10 mM), glutamine (1 mM), 2-DG (50 mM) and oligomycin (1 μM) were added sequentially into the plates at specific time points following the...
manufacturer’s guidelines. Data of OCR and ECAR were measured and plotted using the Seahorse XF24 software.

**Plasmid construction, siRNA, and cell transfection**

DLAT overexpression plasmid and the empty negative control (NC) were constructed using the pcDNA3.1-GFP vectors, respectively. siRNAs targeting DLAT, eIF4E, and Sp1, and corresponding NC sequences were designed and synthesized by RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China). Cells were transfected with plasmids, siRNAs, or NCs, respectively, using the Lipofectamine 2000 reagents (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All sequences of siRNAs are listed in Supplementary Table S10.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell proliferation rate was determined with Cell Counting Kit-8 kit (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Osaka, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were seeded into 96-well plates (3000 cells/well) and transfected with plasmid, siRNAs, or their corresponding NCs. After incubation for 48 h, 10 μl CCK-8 reagent was added to each well and then incubated for another 1 h. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured by a Synergy HTX Multi-Mode Microplate Reader (BioTek, Guangzhou, China). Each independent experiment was performed in triplicate.

**Transwell assay**

Cell invasion was evaluated by Matrigel-coated Transwell chambers (Corning-Costar, NY, USA). Briefly, cells were incubated in the upper chamber of a 24-well Transwell plate. After transfection for 48 h, 5 × 10^4 cells were seeded into the upper compartment, which contained RPMI1640 medium with 10% FBS. After 24 h incubation, non-invading cells were gently removed and the migrated cells were fixed with methanol followed by DAPI staining. The number of invaded cells was counted under light microscope (Nikon, ECLIPSE Ts2, Japan).

**Apoptosis assay**

Flow cytometric analysis was performed to analyze the percentage of apoptotic cells using the Annexin V-FITC cell apoptosis kits (BD Biosciences, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, cells were transfected with plasmids or NCs for 48 h, and then stained with Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and propidium iodide (PI). The apoptotic rates of cells were determined by flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Inc., IN, USA) equipped with CytExpert software (version 2.3).

**Western blotting**

Total proteins were extracted from cells or tissues using RIPA lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Proteins were separated on an 8% SDS-PAGE gel and then transferred to PVDF membranes. The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk at room temperature for 1 h and then incubated with primary antibodies (anti-DLAT, 1:1000 dilution, Abcam, ab51608; anti-Sp1, ab101562, 1:1000 dilution, Abcam) at 4 °C overnight, followed by incubating with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated β-actin secondary antibodies (1:5000) at room temperature for 90 min. The protein bands were scanned and visualized by the GS700 imaging densitometer (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and analyzed by Image Studio software.

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol reagents (Life Technologies, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 1 μg of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the Yeasen cDNA kit (11121ES60, Shanghai, China). The transcript level of specific gene was amplified using the Yeasen qPCR kit (11201ES08) and was normalized to ACTB. The primers were synthesized by Ribobio Co. (Guangzhou, China) and the sequences are listed in the Supplementary Table S10.

**Human lung tissue microarray**

A tissue microarray containing slides of 92 NSCLC tumor tissues and adjacent normal lung tissue was purchased from Outdo Biotech, (Shanghai, China; HLugA180Su04). The patients’ clinical records and histopathological diagnoses were fully documented. Survival times was calculated in months and defined as the time from operation time until death, or censored if no death was noted at follow-up date. The protein level of DLAT was determined by semi-quantitative IHC assay, using the anti-DLAT antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA040786). The results of IHC were independently scored by two independent observers. The positive stained samples were scored as follows: 1, ≤25% of positively stained cells; 2, >25%–≤50% of positively stained cells; 3, >50%–≤75% of positively stained cells; 4, ≥75% of positively stained cells. The intensity of staining was scored according to the following criteria: 0, negative staining; 1, weak staining; 2, moderate staining; and 3, strong staining. The final staining index (SI) was calculated by multiplying the percentage score by the staining intensity score, with SI values ≥3 being defined as high expression and SI <3 being considered as low expression.
Polysomal mRNA isolation and qRT-PCR
Isolation of ribosome-bound mRNA by polysome separation was performed as described previously with minor modifications. Briefly, A549 cells (1 × 10^7) were incubated in DMEM medium containing 100 μg/mL cycloheximide for 10 min at 37°C. All subsequent steps contained 100 μg/mL cycloheximide. After centrifugation, resuspended cells were treated with trypsin at 37°C for 3 min, then lysed by polysome extraction buffer (PEB; 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl; 10 mM MgCl2; 1 mM DTT; 100 μg/ml CHX; 200 μg/ml Heparin) containing 1% Triton-X100. After 30 min incubation on ice, lysates were centrifuged at 38000 rpm for 120 min in an Optima L-100XP rotor (Beckman Coulter) using equal OD260 units of samples. Thirteen fractions of equal volume were collected, and their absorbances were measured. For technical reasons, fractions 1–3, 4–6, 7–9, 10–11, and 12–13 were pooled together as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 combined fractions, respectively [30]. Messenger RNAs of 1 or 2 pooled fractions were light weight fraction (fractions 1–6) and mRNAs from 3, 4, 5 combined fractions, respectively [30]. Messenger RNAs of 1 or 2 pooled fractions were heavy weight fraction (fractions 7–13). RNA was extracted from equal volume of the five combined fractions using TRIzol reagents (Life Technologies, Shanghai, China), reversely transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (Takara, Beijing, China) and amplified by qTR-PCR analysis using the TB Green Premix Ex TaqTM II kit (Takara, Beijing, China).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay
The Sp1-binding sites surrounding DLAT promoter region were predicted using the JASPAR tools (http://jaspar.genereg.net/). Primers were designed to amplify the predicted binding sequences of DLAT promoter regions (Supplementary Table S10). In brief, the A549 cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde (final concentration) and lysed with ChIP Lysis Buffer at 37°C for 10 min. Chromatin was sonicated into 200 ~ 1000 bp fragments. The lysates were then incubated and precipitated with antibodies against the Sp1 antibody (mAb #9389) or control IgG (CST, 9389S), after which DNA-protein immunocomplexes were collected, using the PierceTM protein A/G-agarose beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Shanghai, China), and treated with RNase A (Sigma) and proteinase K (Sigma). The precipitated chromatin DNA was recovered and analyzed by qRT-PCR.

Dual luciferase reporter assay
To test the transcriptional influence of Sp1 on the DLAT promoter, wild-type and mutant DLAT promoter fragments containing the Sp1 binding site were cloned into the dual-luciferase reporter pGL3-basic, respectively. Sp1 was cloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector using the restriction enzymes EcoRI and XhoI. HEK293T cells were cultured at a density of 2 × 10^4 cells/well in 96-well culture plates and were incubated overnight. On the next day, the cells were co-transfected with pGL3-basic-DLAT-WT and Sp1-pcDNA3.1, pGL3-basic-DLAT-MUT and Sp1-pcDNA3.1, and corresponding control vectors, and the internal control vector pRL-TK (Promega, Madison, WI), respectively, using Lipofectamine™ 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) according to instruction of the manufacturer. After 48h post-transfection, luciferase activity was measured using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Gene Assay (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) on a Chemiluminescence analyzer (ZS/BK-L96C, Beijing, China). All vector constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. The primer sequences for the constructs are listed in Supplementary Table S10. At least three independent transfection experiments were carried out for each condition.

In silico analyses
The gene sequences of DLAT (NM_001372031.1), FOXM1 (NM_001243088.2), GAPDH (NM_001256799.3), β-actin (NM_001101.5), MYC (NM_001354870.1), Cyclin D1 (NM_053056.3) were retrieved from the NCBI database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/). The minimum free energies of 5′-UTRs of these genes and their corresponding secondary structures were predicted in silico using the online RNAfold software (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAfold.cgi). The associations of overall survival (OS) and relapse free survival (RFS) with the expression levels of eIF4E, Sp1, and DLAT mRNA in NSCLC patients were analyzed by the online tool KM Plotter (https://kmplot.com), using median as the cutoff values in the TCGA dataset. Gene and protein expression levels of eIF4E, Sp1, and DLAT in tumor and normal tissues were analyzed using the TCGA dataset with the online tool TCGA (https://kmplot.com), using median as the cutoff values in the TCGA dataset. Gene and protein expression levels of eIF4E, Sp1, and DLAT in tumor and normal tissues were analyzed using the TCGA dataset with the online analyzers (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/; http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/).

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as the means ± SD from at least three independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute, USA) and Graph Pad Prism 6 (Graph Pad, USA). Comparison between groups was conducted using Student’s t-test (for parametric data) or the Mann–Whitney test (for non-parametric data). The associations between DLAT
expression levels and overall survivals of NSCLC patients were estimated by Kaplan-Meier method and analyzed by the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were performed to identify the factors that had a significant effect on survival outcome. P-value (two-sided) < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
PM2.5 causes distinct translational and transcriptional changes
To identify the differentially expressed (DE) genes in transcription and translation in response to PM2.5 exposure, human normal bronchial epithelial cells (BEAS-2B) were treated with PM2.5 and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), respectively. Parallel RNA-seq and Ribo-seq were carried out to contrast genome-wide transcriptional and translational differences between cells with and without PM2.5 exposure (Fig. 1A). Overall, RNA-seq and Ribo-seq reads were highly reproducible across three biological replicates (Fig. S2A). Notably, the length distributions of Ribo-seq libraries in PM2.5-exposed cells were different from that of control cells (Fig. S2B), suggesting that PM2.5 induced significant translation alterations. Furthermore, Ribo-seq reads were presented the expected length and triplet periodicity (Fig. S2C), and were mostly mapped to annotated protein-coding genes (Fig. S2D). In particular, we identified 405 DE-genes in RNA-seq (Fig. 1B, Fig. S3A), 3501 DE-genes in Ribo-seq (Fig. 1C, Fig. S3B), and 3697 DE-genes with changes in translation efficiency (TE) (Fig. 1D, Fig. S3C), indicating that the molecular responses to PM2.5 were more governed by translation than by transcription. Besides, it is also striking to observe extensive reduction in transcript level across the genome following PM2.5 exposure, and, conversely, a more pronounced increase in ribosome-associated transcripts following exposure to PM2.5 (Fig. S3D-F). Nevertheless, there was very little correlation or overlap between the DE-genes of transcriptome and that of translome (Fig. 1E-F).

PM2.5 induces translation efficiency shift towards glycolysis pathway
We next examined the functional implications of DE-genes between PM2.5-exposed and control cells. Pathway analysis revealed that transcriptionally DE-genes in PM2.5-exposed cells were enriched in cancer- and metabolism-related pathways (Fig. 1G). While the enrichment of translationally DE-genes showed a shift towards glucose metabolism-related pathways (Fig. 1H). When analysis was confined in DE-genes with changes in TE, the glycolysis/glucogenesis was the only significantly enriched pathway (Fig. 1I). Among the top DE-glycolytic genes regulated by PM2.5, DLAT displayed the highest fold change in TE and smallest P-value between PM2.5-exposed and control cells (Fig. 1J-K). Similarly, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) showed that the glycolysis/glucogenesis and pyruvate metabolism pathway genes were significantly up-regulated in PM2.5-exposed cells, with DLAT ranking as the top gene in these pathways (Fig. 1L-N). Since molecular responses in PM2.5-exposed cells were more remarkable in translome than in transcriptome; and translation efficiency, rather than mRNA abundance, contributed most to the final protein amount [17], we hypothesized that translational alterations in glycolysis pathway may be critical for PM2.5-induced pathogenesis. To test this hypothesis, we examined the impacts of PM2.5 on glycolysis metabolism in vitro and in vivo.

PM2.5 promotes glycolysis, but not mitochondrial respiration, in vitro and in vivo
We stimulated BEAS-2B and NSCLC cells with PM2.5. We found that PM2.5 exposure induced glycolytic reprogramming in the cells, as evidenced by increased production of L-lactate and pyruvate, the end products of glycolysis metabolism, in a dose-dependent manner.
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Fig. 1 PM2.5 induces a translation efficiency (TE) shift towards up-regulation of glycolysis pathway genes. A Overview of experiments for RNA-seq and Ribo-seq in BEAS-2B cells following PM2.5 exposure. B Volcano map of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in RNA-seq. Red and blue dots represent the upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively (FDR<0.05; |log2(fold change)| >1). C Volcano plot of DEGs in Ribo-seq. D Volcano plot showing genes with significant changes in TE. E Genome-wide transcriptional and translational regulations showed very little correlation. F The four-way Venn diagram represented the different subsets of genes that are significantly upregulated or downregulated at the TE and transcription levels. G KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs in transcriptome (RNA-seq). H Pathway analyses on DEGs in translatome revealed a shift towards glycolysis-related pathways. I Glycolysis/glucogenesis pathway was the only significantly enriched pathway in genes with TE changes. J Heatmap of DEGs in TE in the “Glycolysis/glucogenesis pathway.” K Fold change of TE for glycolytic genes between PM2.5-exposed cells and control cells. L Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of Ribo-seq data showing the enrichment of glycolysis/glucogenesis pathway (upper) and the gene signature (lower) in PM2.5-exposed cells, with DLAT as the top up-regulated gene. M GSEA of Ribo-seq data revealing the enrichment of pyruvate metabolism pathway (upper) and the gene set in PM2.5-exposed cells, with DLAT ranking as the top gene. NES, normalized enrichment score.
Fig. 1 (See legend on previous page.)
When cells were co-incubated with PM2.5 and glycolysis inhibitor 2-DG (2-Deoxy-D-glucose), the generations of both L-lactate and pyruvate from these cells were significantly decreased (Fig. 2A, D). The ECAR assay revealed that PM2.5 significantly promoted cell ECAR levels, including glycolysis under basal conditions, glycolytic capacity, and compensatory glycolysis (Fig. 2G), confirming the impact of PM2.5 on glycolysis.
metabolism. To assess the in vivo effect of PM2.5 on glycolysis, SD rats were intratracheally instilled with PM2.5 for 4 weeks (Fig. S5A). It was found that PM2.5 induced higher expression of Ki67 and γH2AX, markers of cell proliferation and carcinogenesis, in lung tissue of rats in dose-response manners (Fig. S5B, S5D, S5F), confirming that PM2.5 samples in this study have oncogenic functions. PM2.5 also repressed the expression levels of caspase-3, marker of cell apoptosis (Fig. S5C, S5E). Furthermore, the concentrations of L-lactate and pyruvate in lung tissues of PM2.5-exposed rats were higher than those in control rats (Fig. 2H-I). These results establish that PM2.5 could drive glycolysis reprogramming in vitro and in vivo.

Acetyl-CoA is the central metabolic node connecting glycolysis and TCA cycle, deriving mainly from the oxidation of pyruvate and the β-oxidation of free fatty acids (FFA) and finally enters into TCA cycle [31]. To examine whether PM2.5 may influence TCA cycle, we quantified acetyl-CoA levels in PM2.5-exposed cells and lung tissues of rats and compared them with control samples. Unexpectedly, we found that PM2.5 exposure significantly reduced acetyl-CoA levels in BEAS-2B, NSCLC cells, and in lung tissues of rats (Fig. S6-A-D). Furthermore, OCR analyses showed that even though PM2.5 could inhibit acetyl-CoA production, OCR levels in PM2.5-exposed cells were not significantly different from that of control cells (Fig. S6E-G), suggesting that PM2.5 had no significant influence on TCA-cycle metabolism. Future experiments are needed to determine whether there is other compensatory pathway, under the condition of decreased acetyl-CoA, to maintain TCA cycle homeostasis following PM2.5 exposure.

PM2.5 augments the expression of glycolytic gene DLAT in vitro and in vivo
Since DLAT was the top DE-glycolytic gene in translation regulated by PM2.5, we further conducted in vitro and in vivo experiments to investigate the impact of PM2.5 on DLAT expression. Both qRT-PCR and western blot assays consistently showed that PM2.5 exposure
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could promote the expression levels of DLAT in a dose-response fashion in BEAS-2B cells (Fig. 3A, C). In agreement with these, in vivo analysis showed that inhalation of PM2.5 led to elevated expression of DLAT gene and protein in lung tissues of rats (Fig. 3B, D). Thus, our analyses point to DLAT as the primary target gene of PM2.5 exposure.

**DLAT increases glycolysis metabolism in NSCLC cells**

To determine whether alterations of DLAT expression may affect glycolysis metabolism, DLAT-overexpression plasmids and siRNA against DLAT were transfected into In NSCLC cells (A549, PC9), respectively. The results showed that DLAT overexpression increased the extracellular levels of L-lactate and pyruvate in the medium of cell cultures (Fig. 4A-D). Conversely, knockdown of DLAT reduced the release of L-lactate and pyruvate from both PC9 and A549 cells (Fig. 4E-H). Moreover, the glycolytic stress test indicated that up-regulation of DLAT increased the ECAR levels, such as glycolysis under basal conditions, glycolytic capacity, and glycolysis reservation (Fig. 4I-J). Interestingly, overexpression of DLAT
significantly repressed the production of acetyl-CoA from PC9 and A549 cells (Fig. S6C-D). But up-regulation of DLAT did not affect the OCR levels of PC9 and A549 cells (Fig. S6F-G). Taken together, these data suggest that PM2.5 accelerate the glycolysis metabolism of NSCLC cells through activating the expression of DLAT.

**DLAT promotes the malignancy of NSCLC cells**

We further explored the biological significance of DLAT in NSCLC. We transfected DLAT-overexpression plasmids or empty vectors into A549 and PC9 cells and the expression of DLAT was confirmed by RT-PCR assays (Fig. S4A-D). We then performed CCK8 assays to assess the effect of DLAT on NSCLC cell growth. We found that higher expression of DLAT significantly increased cell viability in both A549 and PC9 cells (Fig. 5A-B). While knockdown of DLAT with si-RNA led to decreased cell proliferation in A549 and PC9 cells (Fig. 5C-D). These observations suggested that DLAT may function as an oncogene in NSCLC.

To investigate whether DLAT may enhance NSCLC cell growth by influencing apoptosis, we conducted apoptosis assay by flow cytometry. As shown in Fig. 5E-F, both early and late apoptotic cell populations were significantly decreased in A549 and PC9 cells with over-expressing DLAT (all \( P < 0.001 \)) compared with control cells (Fig. 5E-F). In contrast, knockdown of DLAT significantly increased the rate of apoptotic cells (Fig. 5G-H). Together, these data indicate that up-regulation of DLAT inhibits NSCLC cell apoptosis.

**Up-regulation of DLAT is associated with SUVmax values of PET/CT scan and poorer prognosis in patients with NSCLC**

To assess the clinical relevance of DLAT expression in patients with NSCLC, we analyzed the relationship between DLAT protein expression levels in NSCLC tumor tissues and the SUVmax value, a marker of tumor metabolism detected by PET/CT (18F-FDG, tumor tissues). Our analyses showed that SUVmax values < 0.001) compared with control cells

The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC. The expression levels (%) of positive cells) of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with the SUVmax values in patients with NSCLC.

PM2.5 enhances the expression of eIF4E which increases the translation of DLAT in polysomes

It has been known that most mRNAs in eukaryotic cells employ a cap-dependent mechanism to initiate translation [32], during which the 5' end of mRNAs is modified with a m7Gppp cap structure that is recognized by the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 (eIF4E) [33].
Fig. 5 (See legend on previous page.)
eIF4E binds to the mRNA 5′ cap and stimulates the translation of a subset of mRNAs (“eIF4E-sensitive”) [34]. While mRNAs that have short and structured 5′UTRs are usually not eIF4E-sensitive and often encode for housekeeping genes such as GAPDH and β-actin [35]. To evaluate whether DLAT mRNA was eIF4E-sensitive, we analyzed the secondary structure and the 5′UTR length of DLAT, using the NCBI database and the RNAfold web server. It was found that the length of DLAT 5′UTR is 644 base pair (bp), which is much longer than that of GAPDH (102 bp) and β-actin (84 bp). Moreover, that the 5′UTR of DLAT was fold into a more complex and stable secondary structure featured by multiple hydrogen bonding between paired bases, compared with that of GAPDH.

**Fig. 6** PM2.5 activates the expression of eIF4E that subsequently increases the translation of DLAT in polysomes. A PM2.5 enhanced eIF4E gene expression in BEAS-2B cells in a dose-response manner. B PM2.5 increased eIF4E gene expression in lung tissues of rats. C PM2.5 up-regulated eIF4E protein expression in BEAS-2B cells. D PM2.5 increased eIF4E protein expression in lung tissues of rats. E Up-regulation of eIF4E increased the expression of DLAT protein and knockdown of eIF4E decreased DLAT protein expression. F The expression level of eIF4E was positively correlated with that of DLAT in LUAD tumor tissues (TCGA datasets). G Correlation between eIF4E and DLAT expression levels in LUSC (TCGA datasets). H Overexpression of eIF4E increased the polysome to monosome (P/M) ratio of DLAT mRNA expression, suggesting an increase of DLAT translation initiation. I Up-regulation of eIF4E increased the abundance of DLAT mRNA in polysome but reduced DLAT expression in monosome in A549 cells. J Knockdown of eIF4E decreased the polysome to monosome ratio of DLAT mRNA expression, indicating a reduction of DLAT translation initiation. K Downregulation of eIF4E decreased the abundance of DLAT mRNA in polysome but enhanced DLAT expression in monosome in A549 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01
and β-actin (Fig. S8E). In addition, the minimum free energy level of the 5'UTR of DLAT (−235.60 kcal/mol) was much higher than that of GAPDH and β-actin (−42.32 and −15.92 kcal/mol respectively), and similar to that of elf4E-sensitive mRNAs such as MYC and cyclin D1 (Fig. S8A-E). Thus, it is likely that the translation of DLAT was sensitive to elf4E expression levels in the cell.

To investigate whether PM2.5 promotes an elf4E-mediated DLAT translation, we firstly assessed the impact of PM2.5 exposure on elf4E expression. It turned out that PM2.5 significantly elevated the expression of both elf4E gene and protein (Fig. 6A-D). We next sought to determine whether DLAT translation was elf4E-dependent. We found that up-regulation of elf4E increased DLAT expression, while knockdown of elf4E decreased DLAT expression in A549 cells (Fig. 6E). Similarly, analyses of TCGA dataset also indicated that elf4E expression levels were positively correlated with that of DLAT in NSCLC tumor tissues (Fig. 6F-G). By comparing the expression levels of elf4E and DLAT in monosome and polysome fractions in elf4E-overexpression and elf4E-knockdown cells, we found that overexpression of elf4E increased the expression levels of DLAT in the heavy fraction of polysomes while knockdown of elf4E resulted in decreased DLAT signals in the heavy fraction of polysomes (Fig. 6I). Importantly, overexpression of elf4E induced an increased polysome to monosome expression ratio of DLAT, indicating an increase in translation initiation of DLAT (Fig. 6H). In contrast, down-regulation of elf4E significantly decreased translation initiation, as evidenced by reduced polysome to monosome expression ratio of DLAT (Fig. 6I), and increasing expression of DLAT in monosomes and decreasing expression of DLAT in polysomes (Fig. 6K). Thus, our data provided evidence that PM2.5-induced up-regulation of elf4E could account for the increased DLAT protein being translated.

**PM2.5 activates the expression of transcription factor Sp1**

As previous studies indicated that the expressions of several glycolytic genes were regulated by transcription factors (TF), we wondered whether DLAT expression could also be modified by transcription factor. Using the JASPAR database and its algorithms, we found that there were several putative binding sites in the promoter of DLAT for transcription factor Sp1 (Fig. 7A), suggesting that transcription of DLAT may be modified by Sp1. We then exposed BEAS-2B cells to PM2.5 and found that PM2.5 enhanced Sp1 expression in a dose-response manner (Fig. 7B). PM2.5 exposure also enhanced the expression of Sp1 mRNA and proteins in lung tissues of rats (Fig. 7C-D). Moreover, bioinformatics analysis showed that Sp1 expression levels were positively correlated with that of DLAT in tumor tissues in TCGA data set (Fig. 7E-F). We confirmed the binding of DLAT promoter with Sp1 by ChIP-PCR assay (Fig. 7G). We then constructed luciferase reporter vectors containing wild-type or mutated DLAT promoter sequences to assess the functional effect of Sp1 on DLAT transcription (Fig. 7H). Co-transfection of Sp1 vector with the wild-type DLAT promoter construct resulted in significantly higher luciferase activity than that of control vectors, while co-culture of mutated DLAT promoter vector with Sp1 plasmid did not influence the luciferase activity of DLAT promoter (Fig. 7I). These results indicated that DLAT was a direct transcriptional target of Sp1.

**Discussion**

Aerobic glycolysis, also known as Warburg effect, has been recognized as a hallmark of cancer progression and metastasis [36]. However, whether higher rate of glycolysis is a cause or consequence of carcinogenesis remains to be elucidated [37]. Most prior studies in cancer-associated glycolysis have focused on the contribution of Warburg effect on proliferation and metastasis [38], but little is known about its roles in carcinogen-induced tumorigenesis. Although a previous study demonstrated that carcinogen arsenite enhanced glycolytic gene expression and suppressed the expression of TCA cycle-related genes in liver cells [39], there is limited direct evidence existent to support primary causal role of glycolysis in carcinogen exposure-associated carcinogenesis. In this study, we showed that PM2.5 promoted the glycolysis phenotypes but inhibited TCA metabolism. In contrast, treatment of PM2.5 with glycolysis inhibitor 2-DG diminished the levels of PM2.5-induced glycolysis. Thus, our study provides evidence suggesting that PM2.5-enhanced glycolysis reprogramming may be causally involved in PM2.5-induced carcinogenesis.

Integration analysis of RNA-seq and Ribo-seq data revealed that PM2.5-induced transcriptome profile was poorly correlated with that of translome. This observation was in line with other reports in which gene expression profiles shared little similarity with translome patterns when cells encountered extracellular stimuli [40, 41]. However, when DE-genes were analyzed at translation levels, we found that PM2.5 preferentially induced a translation efficiency shift towards glucose metabolism pathways, especially glycolysis-related pathways. Our findings support the notion of translational reprogramming, in which cells selectively activate translation of certain mRNAs in response to stress [42]. Such “adaptive translation” allows a cell to orchestrate rapid changes in protein synthesis and tailor newly synthesized proteins
Fig. 7  PM2.5 activates the expression of transcription factor Sp1 which enhances the transcription of DLAT. A PM2.5 promoted Sp1 expression in a dose-response manner in BEAS-2B cells. B PM2.5 enhanced the expression of Sp1 in lung tissues of rats. C PM2.5 increased the expression of Sp1 protein in lung tissues of rats. D, E The expression level of Sp1 was positively correlated with that of DLAT in LUAD (lung adenocarcinoma) and LUSC (lung squamous carcinoma) in TCGA dataset. F In silico analysis identified Sp1 putative binding sites in the promoter region of DLAT. G ChIP assay showed the binding of Sp1 with DLAT promoter region. H Potential binding sequences for Sp1 were found in the DLAT promoter region. I Luciferase reporter assay verified that the binding of Sp1 with wild-type DLAT promoter significantly increased the luciferase activity. While binding of Sp1 with mutant DLAT promoter did not change the intensity of luciferase signals. J Schematic view for the mechanisms of action of DLAT-mediated glycolysis reprogramming in PM2.5-induced carcinogenesis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.
to ensure cell survival and to modify tumor cell behavior such as invasion and metastasis [43]. In general, selective translation modulates stress response and various human disease phenotypes [44]. In particular, selective translation of oncogenic mRNAs can promote cancer cell proliferation, metastasis and tumor expansion [18]. For example, the capacity of oncogenic signaling to enhance cell proliferation is directly associated with the induction of replicative stress and genomic instability during early stages of tumorigenesis [45]. How specific subsets of mRNAs are selectively translated in response to each stress is not well understood but may be associated with the secondary structure of a given mRNA [46]. eIF4E is the translation initiation factor and is rate limiting for transcription initiation. Prior studies have shown that over-expression of eIF4E facilitates the translation of mRNAs with long 5’UTR [47]. Up-regulation of the abundance and activity of eIF4E occurs widely in cancers and selectively up-regulates translation of certain mRNAs involved in survival, proliferation, and metastasis [48]. Indeed, 5’UTR structures in several oncogenic mRNAs have been associated with translation in an eIF4E dependent manner [18]. Importantly, these mRNAs typically display a greater requirement for eIF4E, often a result of increased secondary structure close to the cap [49]. Here, we discovered that PM2.5 enhanced the expression levels of eIF4E and DLAT. Bioinformatics analysis showed that the secondary structure of DLAT mRNA was eIF4E-sensitive. We also found that expression levels of ribosomal eIF4E was positively correlated with that of ribosomal DLAT. In particular, up-regulation of ribosomal eIF4E increased the polysome/monosome ratio of DLAT expression, indicating increased translation of DLAT. Our study therefore uncovers a novel mechanism that PM2.5 up-regulates glycolysis through eIF4E-mediated selective translation of DLAT.

Pyruvate, catalyzed by the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), is a key glycolytic metabolite that could either be reductively metabolized into lactate for glycolysis or be oxidatively converted into acetyl-CoA for TCA cycle. The human PDC is consisting of three catalytic subunits: pyruvate dehydrogenase (E1), dihydrolipoyl transacetylase (E2, DLAT), dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (E3), and one structural subunit (E3-binding protein, E3BP). E1 and E2 generate acetyl-coenzyme A, whereas the E3 performs redox recycling [50]. As the subunit E2 of PDC, DLAT was previously considered to be able to catalyze the breakdown of pyruvate into acetyl-coA, the start material for TCA cycle, thereby facilitating TCA cycle metabolism [51]. Thus, DLAT was intuitively supposed to be downregulated in cancer if the Warburg effect is assumed [52]. In this study, we showed that PM2.5 enhanced DLAT expression and reduced acetyl-CoA release. Moreover, we found that overexpression of DLAT suppressed acetyl-CoA production but had little impact on OCR levels. The reasons that DLAT did not enhance acetyl-CoA and had no significant effect on TCA cycle metabolisms were unclear, but may be due to the fact that PM2.5 exposure did not influence the expressions of E1 (PDHA1) and E3 (DLD) genes (Table S2). Because catalyzation of pyruvate requires the cooperation of E1, E2, and E3 of PDC, it is unlikely that DLAT alone could convert pyruvate into acetyl-CoA without the support of E1 and E3 components [53].

Our data showed that over-expression of DLAT significantly increased the production of L-lactate and pyruvate. In addition, we demonstrated that up-regulation of DLAT significantly enhanced the levels of ECAR. Lastly, we found that expression levels of DLAT in tumor tissues were positively correlated with SUVmax values, confirming that DLAT augmented glycolysis metabolism in NSCLC. Collectively, our data strongly suggested that the main function of DLAT was to promote glycolysis metabolism, rather than to facilitate TCA cycle. The molecular mechanism that how DLAT enhances glycolysis metabolism is unknown and beyond the scope of the present study. Further more detailed experiments are required to elucidate the regulatory mechanisms of DLAT on glycolysis. However, our findings on the oncogenic effects of DLAT in NSCLC were in agreement with the concept of Warburg effect in which cancer cells produced more lactate from pyruvate but converted less pyruvate into acetyl-CoA compared with their normal counterparts [54]. Future experiments are needed to determine whether there is other compensatory pathway, under the condition of decreased acetyl-CoA, to maintain TCA cycle homeostasis following PM2.5 exposure.

Transcription factors play a direct role in regulating the Warburg effect [55]. For instance, transcription factor SIX1 increased the expression of glycolytic genes and promoted tumor growth [56]. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) enhanced aerobic glycolysis by binding to hypoxia-responsive elements of glycolytic gene promoters in cancer cells [57]. However, the mechanism underlying the induction of transcription factors in glycolysis switch remains to be elucidated. Transcription factor Sp1 (specificity protein 1) belongs to the Sp transcription factor family containing zinc finger DNA binding domains, which bind to the GC-rich promoter element, and is involved in the regulation of gene expression [58]. Sp1 might contribute to tumorigenesis through regulating transcription of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes [58]. Many human cancer cells exhibited higher levels of Sp1 expression, and the levels of
Sp1 expression was associated with the state of a tumor and poor clinical prognosis [59]. Furthermore, down-regulation of Sp1 inhibited tumor formation, cancer cell growth, and cell metastasis [60]. In this study, we discovered that PM2.5 induced Sp1 up-regulation in vitro and in vivo. We found that Sp1 bound to the promoter of DLAT gene, leading to increased DLAT transcription, which further enhanced glycolysis and promoted malignant phenotypes of NSCLC cells. Altogether, our results reveal a novel mechanism by which PM2.5 promotes
glycolysis via Sp1-mediated transcription regulation of DLAT in NSCLC cells.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates for the first time that PM2.5-induced glycolysis may be causally involved in the carcinogenesis of NSCLC. In addition, we reveal that DLAT is not only a novel glycolytic gene but also a novel oncogene in NSCLC. We also uncover a dual regulatory mechanism of Sp1-DLAT and elF4E-DLAT axes by which PM2.5 promotes glycolysis and NSCLC tumorigenesis (Fig. 8). These findings expand our knowledge on the mechanisms of PM2.5-induced carcinogenesis and suggest that targeting DLAT-mediated glycolysis pathway may represent a potential strategy for NSCLC treatment.
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