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Abstract

Pro-drop languages such as Arabic, Chinese, Italian or Japanese allow morphologically null but referential arguments in certain syntactic positions, called anaphoric zero-pronouns. Much NLP work on anaphoric zero-pronouns (AZP) is based on gold mentions, but models for their identification are a fundamental prerequisite for their resolution in real-life applications. Such identification requires complex language understanding and knowledge of real-world entities. Transfer learning models, such as BERT, have recently shown to learn surface, syntactic, and semantic information, which can be very useful in recognizing AZPs. We propose a BERT-based multilingual model for AZP identification from predicted zero pronoun positions, and evaluate it on the Arabic and Chinese portions of OntoNotes 5.0. As far as we know, this is the first neural network model of AZP identification for Arabic; and our approach outperforms the state-of-the-art for Chinese. Experiment results suggest that BERT implicitly encode information about AZPs through their surrounding context.

1 Introduction

Empty categories provide an important source of syntactic information about the phonetically null arguments in pro-drop languages such as Arabic (Eid, 1983), Chinese (Li and Thompson, 1979), Italian (Di Eugenio, 1990), Japanese (Kameyama, 1985), and others (Bever and Sanz, 1997; Kim, 2000). The use of empty categories started with Penn Treebanks (Marcus et al., 1993), followed by Arabic Treebank (Maamouri et al., 2004), Chinese Treebank (Xue et al., 2005) and other Penn-style series. Empty categories are used to represent traces, such as, movement operations in interrogative sentence, also to represent right node raising which is a shared argument in the rightmost constituent of a coordinate structure. Another usage of empty categories is zero-pronouns (ZP) which are omitted pronouns in places where they are expected to be, and function as overt pronouns. Anaphoric zero pronouns (AZP) are ZPs that corefer to one or more noun phrases in a preceding text. The following example of an AZP comes from the Arabic section of OntoNotes:

المفارقة الأخرى عن بوش هي عدم حماسته للمؤتمر الدولي، إذ أنه من البداية، يريد * اجتماعًا مختلفا ...

Ironically, Bush did not show any enthusiasm for the international conference, because since the beginning, (he) wanted to attend another conference ...

In the example, the ZP indicated with "*" refers to the gap position of an omitted pronoun (In OntoNotes 5.0, ZPs are denoted as * in Arabic text, and *pro* in Chinese). The omitted pronoun refers to a singular masculine person that has been mentioned previously, in the example "Bush/بوش". In Arabic, we deduce the reference information from the context, especially the verb that precedes the AZP, in the example the verb is "wanted/فريد". Since English is not a pro-drop language (White, 1985), the AZP gap position is translated into an overt pronoun (he). The AZP problem has inspired much research because it benefits many natural language processing tasks such as machine translation (Mitkov and Schmidt, 1998), and coreference resolution (Mitkov et al., 2000). Recently, there has been a great deal of research on AZPs for Chinese (Kong et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2018; Chang et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017; Yin et al., 2017), Arabic (Aloraini and Poesio, 2020), Japanese (Shimazu et al., 2020), Korean (Jung and Lee, 2018), and other languages (Grigorova, 2016; Gopal and Jha, 2017). A major drawback of many existing studies is the assumption that AZP locations are given; hence, they focus primarily on resolving AZPs to their correct antecedent. However, such assumption does not reflect real-life applications. Another drawback is that current AZP identification systems rely on language-dependent features and fail to detect many AZP locations. In addition, some languages do not have an AZP identification system, one of which is Arabic.
To alleviate the above-mentioned limitations, we investigate the AZP identification task and study if the recently achieved state-of-the-art transfer learning methods, such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), can work well on identifying AZPs. Typically, AZP identification task consists of two steps. The first is the extraction step where potential ZP locations are extracted. The extraction procedure is based on heuristics and depend on the target language structure. The second step is classification step which determines which of the extracted candidate are AZP. The classification step is more challenging because of the varieties and size of the extracted candidates. In this paper, we propose a multilingual approach to AZP identification based on BERT. We make three main contributions:

- We propose a BERT-based multilingual model and evaluate on languages that differ completely in their morphological structure: Arabic and Chinese. (Arabic is morphologically rich, whereas Chinese’s morphology is relatively simple (Pradhan et al., 2012))

- Ours is the first neural network-based AZP identification model for Arabic, and it substantially surpasses the current state-of-the-art on Chinese.

- Our experimental results suggest that BERT representations encode information about AZPs through their context.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We review Arabic and Chinese ZP-related literature, and other languages as well in Section 2. We explain our proposed model in Section 3. We discuss the evaluation settings in Section 4. We show the results and discuss them in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6.

Figure 1: Chinese ZPs appear before a VP node (left), and Arabic ZPs appear after the verb of a VP head (right). In OntoNotes 5.0, Chinese AZPs are annotated as *pro* and Arabic AZPs as *.

2 Related Work

AZP identification task has been considered independently, but also as a prerequisite step before AZP resolution task because the detection has a heavy impact on the resolution (Kong et al., 2019).

**Arabic:** There have been a few studies devoted to AZPs and empty categories in general. Green et al. (2009) proposed a conditional-random-field (CRF) sequence classifier to detect Arabic noun phrases, and captured ZPs implicitly. Bakr et al. (2009) applied a statistical approach to detect empty categories. Gabbard (2010) proposed a pipeline made of maximum entropy classifiers which jointly make a CRF to retrieve Arabic empty categories. Aloraini and Poesio (2020) proposed the first neural model for resolving Arabic AZP, but they did not consider the AZP identification step. As far as we know, no previous work has considered Arabic AZP identification.

**Chinese:** Converse (2006) studied AZP resolution and applied a rule-based approach that employed Hobbs algorithm (Hobbs, 1978) to resolve ZPs in the Chinese Treebank; however, did not attempt to automatically identify AZP. Yeh and Chen (2006) is another rule-based approach, for AZP resolution and also used a set of hand-engineered rules to identify AZPs. Zhao and Ng (2007), the first machine learning approach to Chinese AZPs identification and resolution, by applying decision trees incorporated with a set of syntactic and positional features. (Kong and Zhou, 2010) employed a tree kernel-based approach to AZP identification and resolution. Chen and Ng (2013) is an extension of (Zhao and Ng, 2007), they incorporated contextual features for AZP resolution and applied a combination of syntactic, lexical and other features for the identification. Chen and Ng (2014) proposed unsupervised techniques to resolve AZPs and applied a set of rules to identify AZP. Chen and Ng (2015) is another unsupervised approach on the AZP resolution. Recent approaches applying deep-learning neural networks include Chen and Ng (2016) trained a binary classifier to identify AZP and applied a feed-forward neural network to the AZP resolution; Yin et al. (2016) used (Chen and Ng, 2016)’s classifier to identify AZPs. For AZP resolution, they employed an LSTM to represent AZP and two subnetworks (general encoder and local encoder) to capture context-level and word-level information of the candidates; Yin et al. (2017) also applied (Chen and Ng, 2016)’s classifier.
to detect AZPs and proposed an improved deep memory network to resolve AZPs; and Liu et al. (2017), applied an
attention-based neural network to resolve AZPs and enhanced the performance by training on automatically gen-
erated large-scale training data. Chang et al. (2017) focused primarily on AZP identification and applied an LSTM
neural-network with text and part-of-speech information. Yin et al. (2018), also used an attention-based model, but
combined their network with (Chen and Ng, 2016) features to resolve AZPs. Yin et al. (2019) applied the same
heuristics in (Chen and Ng, 2015) to identify AZPs and applied a collaborative-filtering approach to resolve AZPs.
Kong et al. (2019) identified AZPs using a learning-based classifier with semantic, lexical and syntactic features,
and used coreferential chain information to improve AZP resolution.

**Other languages:** There has been also a great deal of research on identification and resolution of AZPs, partic-
icularly in Japanese (Yoshimoto, 1988; Kim and Ehrara., 1995; Aone and Bennett, 1995; Seki et al., 2002; Isozaki
and Hirao, 2003; Iida et al., 2006; Iida et al., 2007; Sasano et al., 2008; Sasano et al., 2009; Sasano and Kurohashi,
2011; Yoshikawa et al., 2011; Hangyo et al., 2013; Iida et al., 2015; Yoshino et al., 2013; Yamashiro et al., 2018),
but also in other languages, including Korean (Han, 2004; Byron et al., 2006), Spanish (Ferrández and Peral, 2000;
Rello and Ilisei, 2009), Portuguese (Rello et al., 2012), Romanian (Mihăilă et al., 2011), Bulgarian (Grigorova,
2013), and Sanskrit (Gopal and Jha, 2017). Iida and Poesio (2011) proposed the first multilingual approach for
AZP resolution.

Current approaches suffer from one (or more) of the following. First, they assume AZPs are available; so they
focus mainly on the resolution part. Second, they apply on a private or very small size corpus. Third, they rely on
an extensive set of features or language-dependent rules to identify AZP.

3 Model

To identify AZPs, context understanding and semantic knowledge of entities are essential in Chinese (Huang, 1984)
as well as in Arabic which requires, in addition, deep understanding of its complex morphology (Alnajadat, 2017).
Recently, it has been shown that BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) can capture structural properties of a language, such as
its surface, semantic, and syntactic aspects (Jawaher et al., 2019) which seems suitable for the AZP identification
task. Therefore, we use BERT to produce representations for ZP candidates. Our model is a binary classifier that
takes an automatically predicted ZP candidate as input, and classifies it as an AZP or not. In this section, we first
give an overview of BERT and its adaptation modes. We then describe how we generate AZP candidates, and how
we represent them. Finally, we present the training objective and hyperparameter tuning settings.

3.1 BERT

BERT is a language representation model consisting of multiple stacked Transformers (Vaswani et al., 2017).
BERT was pretrained on a large amount of unlabeled text, and produces distributional vectors for words and con-
texts. BERT was pretrained on different settings, we use BERT-base Multilingual which was pretrained on many
languages, including Chinese and Arabic, and is publicly available:\footnote{https://storage.googleapis.com/bert_models/2018_11_23/multi_cased_L-12_H-768_A-12.zip}. BERT has two modes of adaptation: feature
extraction and fine-tuning. Feature extraction (also called feature-based) is when BERT representations are used
as they were originally pretrained, without any further training. Fine-tuning is the process of slightly adjusting
BERT’s parameters for a target task. Feature extraction is computationally cheaper and might be more suitable
for a specific task (Peters et al., 2019). Fine-tuning is more convenient to utilize, but restricted to several general-
purpose tasks. AZP identification task was not pretrained as part of BERT tasks and not directly applicable to fine
tuning mode without any modifications to BERT’s architecture. We employ feature extraction mode to represent
AZP candidate in our classifier.

3.2 Candidate Generation

Although ZPs are annotated in OntoNotes, our model works off automatically predicted candidates. ZP locations
differ in Chinese and Arabic. In Chinese, ZPs appear before a VP node while in Arabic they appear after the head
of a VP node. An example of Chinese and Arabic ZP locations in Figure 1. We extract Chinese ZP locations
as in (Zhao and Ng, 2007)’s work. They consider every gap before a VP node as a candidate. The number of
candidates can be large. (Kong and Zhou, 2010) showed that if a VP node is in a coordinate structure or modified
by an adverbial node, only its parent VP node is considered, thus decreasing the number of necessary candidates.
For Arabic, we consider every gap after every head of a VP node as a candidate. A candidate is positive if it is an
AZP, negative otherwise. Both approaches result in extracting many negatives examples and a small number of
positive examples. The high imbalance between the two classes can make a model biased; we address the problem
in Section 5.

\footnote{There are two types of word order for Arabic: Subject-Verb-Object and Verb-Subject-Object. Both are used and acceptable. In the annotation process, Arabic Treebank sets the Verb-Subject-Object as the official order.}
3.3 Input Representation
We represent AZPs by their surrounding context, specifically, we represent each candidate by its VP headword and its context window of two words (left and right). Consider a sentence with a gap candidate $C$ at position $i$, so its surrounding context at positions $i-2$, $i-1$, $i+1$, $i+2$.

$$sentence = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, C_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, \ldots, w_n)$$

(1)

We feed $sentence$ into BERT feature extraction mode as input and it outputs embeddings of every word of $sentence$.

$$embeddings = BERT(sentence)$$

(2)

We extract the embeddings of the candidate position and its surrounding context. In our experiments, BERT Tokenizer, Wordpiece (Wu et al., 2016), segmented many Arabic words into multiple sub-tokens, each with its own embeddings. For example, the word *sleeping* might be segmented into two sub-tokens *sleep* and ##ing. One way to represent word sub-tokens is to compute their mean; therefore, we create the function $\mu$ which computes the mean of sub-token embeddings. We join the AZP context representations together into a value called $azp$.

$$a_1 = \mu(embeddings_{i-2})$$

(3)

$$a_2 = \mu(embeddings_{i-1})$$

(4)

$$a_3 = \mu(embeddings_{i})$$

(5)

$$a_4 = \mu(embeddings_{i+1})$$

(6)

$$a_5 = \mu(embeddings_{i+2})$$

(7)

$$azp = [a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5]$$

(8)

$azp$ encodes information about the candidate context and serves as input to our classifier. It is possible to extend the AZP window to more context but we empirically find context window of size 2 to be sufficiently effective.

$$layer_1 = f(W_1azp + b_1)$$

(9)

$$layer_2 = f(W_2layer_1 + b_2)$$

(10)

$$output = f(W_3layer_2 + b_3)$$

(11)

The binary classifier is a multi-layered perceptrons consisting of two layers and one output layer. $f$ is the ReLU activation function (Nair and Hinton, 2010). Each layer in the classifier has learning parameters $W$ and $b$. The input is then classified to be either an AZP or not.

3.4 Training Objective
The training objective of our classifier is binary cross-entropy loss:

$$J(\theta) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [y_i \log \hat{y}_i + (1 - y_i) \log (1 - \hat{y}_i)]$$

(12)

$\theta$ represents the set of learning parameters in the model. $N$ is the number of training data. $y_i$ is the true label of training $i$ and $\hat{y}_i$ its predicted label.

3.5 Hyperparameter Tuning
In the classifier, we employ two layers and initialize each one’s weights using Glorot and Bengio (2010)’s method. We also add a dropout regularization between the two layers and the output layer. We tune the hyperparameters based on the development sets. Table 1 shows the hyperparameter settings.

4 Evaluation
4.1 Datasets
We evaluate our model on the Arabic and Chinese subsets of OntoNotes 5.0, which were used in the the official CoNLL-2012 shared task (Pradhan et al., 2012).

**Chinese** training and development sets contain AZPs, but the test set does not. Therefore, we train the model using the training set and we use the development set as the test set, a practice followed in prior research (Chen and Ng, 2013; Chen and Ng, 2014; Chen and Ng, 2016; Kong et al., 2019). We reserve 20% of the training data as a
### Table 1: Hyperparameter settings.

| Parameter                        | Value   |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Number of units in the first layer | 800     |
| Number of units in the second layer | 600     |
| Number of training epochs        | 10      |
| Learning rate                    | 1e-5    |
| Dropout rate                     | 0.5     |
| Optimizer                        | Adam    |

### Table 2: Statistics on Chinese and Arabic datasets. Chinese test portion does not contain AZPs; therefore, the development portion is used for evaluation.

| Language | Category | Training | Dev | Test |
|----------|----------|----------|-----|------|
| Chinese  | Documents| 1,391    | 172 | N/A  |
|          | Sentences| 36,487   | 6,083|      |
|          | Words    | 756,063  | 100,034|      |
|          | AZPs     | 12,111   | 1,713|      |
| Arabic   | Documents| 359      | 44  | 44   |
|          | Sentences| 7,422    | 950 | 1,003|
|          | Words    | 264,589  | 30,942| 30,935|
|          | AZPs     | 3,495    | 474 | 412  |

Detailed statistics about Chinese and Arabic dataset can be found in Table 2.

### 4.2 Metrics

We evaluate the results in terms of recall, precision, and F-score, as defined in (Zhao and Ng, 2007):

\[
Recall = \frac{AZP \text{ hits}}{\text{Number of AZPs in Key}}
\]

\[
Precision = \frac{AZP \text{ hits}}{\text{Number of AZPs in Response}}
\]

*Key* represents the true set of AZP entities in the dataset, and *Response* represents the system output of the identified AZPs in the model. *AZP hits* are the reported AZP positions in *Response* which occur in the same position as in *Key*.

### 5 Results

AZP identification results for Arabic are in Table 3, and Chinese in Table 4. The training data is highly imbalanced because of the ratio of negatives examples to the positive examples. In Arabic there are 5.6 times of negative examples compared to the positive examples, and in Chinese the negative examples are 16.2 times compared to the positive ones. To address this problem, we follow (Zhao and Ng, 2007)’s approach by changing the ratio weight $r$ of sampling positive examples with respect to negative examples. The value $r$ affects precision and recall scores. If $r$ is high, precision increases but recall decreases. The effect of tuning $r$ on precision, recall and F1 scores on Arabic and Chinese are in Figures 2 and 3 respectively. F1 scores with different variations of $r$ are not very significant; however, we choose $r$ that balances between the precision and recall scores.

Prior works (Chen and Ng, 2013; Chen and Ng, 2014; Chen and Ng, 2016; Chang et al., 2017; Kong et al., 2019) evaluate AZP identification under two settings: gold and system parse because annotation quality can impact the number of recovering candidates in the *extraction* step. Gold annotations are available for both languages and we also automatically parse the data with syntactic trees using the Berkeley Parser (Kitaev et al., 2018) which is a pre-trained parser using neural networks and self-attention.

#### 5.1 Arabic

As far as we know, there has been no published proposal on Arabic AZP identification. Therefore, we implemented as a baseline (Chang et al., 2017)’s model, which employs sentence and Part-of-Speech information into a Bi-LSTM neural network to identify ZPs. We set its embedding layer to the Arabic version of Fasttext (Bojanowski et al.,
Figure 2: The effect of tuning the ratio $r$ on recall, precision and F1 scores on the Arabic test set.

Figure 3: The effect of tuning the ratio $r$ on recall, precision and F1 scores on the Chinese test set.
We can see in Table 3 that our approach outperforms the baseline in both gold and system settings with F1 scores of 68.2% and 47.0%. There is a big gap between gold and system parse because the automatic parser failed to recognize many VP nodes in the extraction step. Thus, many AZP samples were not recognized for training and evaluation which lead to a great decrease in performance. To gain additional insights into our model, we analyzed its outputs. The model correctly identifies many AZP cases, however, it struggles to recognize some patterns especially AZPs that are preceded by a verb in the first person. The errors can be attributed to the distribution of the training data. Most training AZP data are headed by verbs in the third person, and the number of verbs in the first and second persons is very small; thus, the model did not learn to classify many of these cases. A corpus that include a larger distribution of such cases can help a model to learn them.

| Settings 1: Gold Parse | Settings 2: System Parse |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| R  | P  | F1  | R  | P  | F1  |
| Baseline | 67.7 | 45.2 | 54.2 | 31.7 | 30.6 | 31.1 |
| Our model (r=2) | 60.0 | **78.9** | **68.2** | 38.6 | **60.1** | **47.0** |

Table 3: AZP identification results for Arabic. The highest score is in **bold**.

### 5.2 Chinese

We compare our approach with other proposals in Table 4. As we can see, our approach achieves the highest F1 scores of 69.1% and 68.7% with gold and system parse settings, outperforming all prior proposals. The F1-score difference between our approach and the state-of-the-art approach is 4.7% with gold parse settings and 11.3% with system parse. The F1-score difference of gold and system settings of our approach is relatively small (0.4%) because the Berkeley parser annotated many VP nodes correctly. We analyzed the errors, and noticed many unidentified AZPs are located at the beginning of their samples. These cases depend on previous sentences, and their information might have not been encoded in the AZP input; thus, our model failed to identify them.

| Settings 1: Gold Parse | Settings 2: System Parse |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| R  | P  | F1  | R  | P  | F1  |
| (Chen and Ng, 2013) | 50.6 | 55.1 | 52.8 | 30.8 | 34.4 | 32.5 |
| (Chen and Ng, 2014) | 72.4 | 42.3 | 53.4 | 42.3 | 26.8 | 32.8 |
| (Chen and Ng, 2016) | 75.1 | 50.1 | 60.1 | 43.7 | 30.7 | 36.1 |
| (Chang et al., 2017) | 63.5 | **65.3** | 64.4 | 57.2 | 55.7 | 56.4 |
| (Kong et al., 2019) | 70.1 | 59.4 | 64.3 | 60.2 | 40.2 | 48.2 |
| Our model (r=10) | **90.7** | 55.8 | **69.1** | **81.9** | **59.2** | **68.7** |

Table 4: AZP identification results for Chinese. The highest score is in **bold**.

### 5.3 Discussion

BERT representations work interestingly well on AZPs even though empty categories have not been considered during the BERT’s pretraining. Recent works (Jawahar et al., 2019; Kovaleva et al., 2019; Goldberg, 2019; Clark et al., 2019) have shown that BERT learns various linguistic information such as, syntactic roles, coreference resolution, semantic relations and others. Our experimental results suggest that these information might be encoded in AZP contexts which make them distinctive.

Current approaches for AZP identification evaluate under two settings: gold and system annotations because the task depend highly on the annotation quality of parse trees. In our experiments, gold settings for both Arabic and Chinese achieve outstanding results. In system parse, Chinese achieves results similar to its gold setting; however, Arabic does not. The reason is that Berkeley Parser (Kitaev et al., 2018) fails to parse correctly Arabic sentences which means many correct AZP locations are not detected in the extraction step. A sophisticated Arabic parser can improve the overall performance for system-parse settings.

### 6 Conclusion

We proposed a BERT-based model for AZP identification. Our approach is multilingual, and we evaluate on Arabic and Chinese portions of OntoNotes. The model is the first to deal with Arabic AZP identification and the experiments demonstrated that our method surpasses the state-of-the-art on Chinese AZPs. In addition, our experimental results show that BERT learn about anaphoric zero-pronouns through their surrounding context.
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