Importance of the Brain Angiotensin System in Parkinson’s Disease
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Parkinson’s disease (PD) has become a major health problem affecting 1.5% of the world’s population over 65 years of age. As life expectancy has increased so has the occurrence of PD. The primary direct consequence of this disease is the loss of dopaminergic (DA) neurons in the substantia nigra and striatum. As the intensity of motor dysfunction increases, the symptomatic triad of bradykinesia, tremors-at-rest, and rigidity occur. Progressive neurodegeneration may also impact non-DA neurotransmitter systems including cholinergic, noradrenergic, and serotonergic, often leading to the development of depression, sleep disturbances, dementia, and autonomic nervous system failure. L-DOPA is the most efficacious oral delivery treatment for controlling motor symptoms; however, this approach is ineffective regarding nonmotor symptoms. New treatment strategies are needed designed to provide neuroprotection and encourage neurogenesis and synaptogenesis to slow or reverse this disease process. The hepatocyte growth factor (HGF)/c-Met receptor system is a member of the growth factor family and has been shown to protect against degeneration of DA neurons in animal models. Recently, small angiotensin-based blood-brain barrier penetrant mimetics have been developed that activate this HGF/c-Met system. These compounds may offer a new and novel approach to the treatment of Parkinson’s disease.

1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) was first described by James Parkinson in 1867 and now affects approximately 1.5% of the world’s population over 65 years of age [1]. This disease is characterized by a progressive loss of dopaminergic (DA) neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta. The striatum is the primary projection field of these substantia nigra neurons, thus the loss of DA results in insufficient stimulation of dopaminergic $D_1$ and $D_2$ receptors throughout the striatum [2–4]. Decreased availability of DA triggers the symptomatic triad of bradykinesia, tremors-at-rest, and rigidity. The pathogenesis of PD is unclear with both genetic and environmental factors playing roles. There is evidence from animal models and PD patients that neuroinflammatory processes, triggered by reactive oxygen species, damage mitochondrial membrane permeability, enzymes, and mitochondrial genome resulting in DA cell death [5, 6]. Progressive neurodegeneration may also impact non-DA neurotransmitter systems such as cholinergic, noradrenergic, and serotonergic. This expanded neural damage adds nonmotor symptoms such as sleep disturbances, depression, dementia, and possibly autonomic nervous system failure. L-DOPA is efficacious at controlling motor symptoms in the majority of patients but is ineffective regarding nonmotor symptoms. Current treatment strategies to relieve these symptoms include DA replacement via levodopa (L-DOPA, the precursor of DA), DA receptor agonists, monoamine oxidase B inhibitors, and catechol-O-methyltransferase inhibitors (to protect the DA that is formed). As the disease progresses periods of decreased mobility, dyskinesia, and spontaneous involuntary movements complicate treatment [7]. These motor dysfunctions are currently treated with the DA receptor agonists, apomorphine and levodopa, and
surgical techniques including pallidectomy and deep brain electrical stimulation [8–10]. Progressive neurodegeneration may also involve additional nondopaminergic neurotransmitter systems including noradrenergic, cholinergic, and serotonergic [11]. As a result, nonmotor symptoms may develop including depression, sleep disturbances, dementia, and autonomic nervous system failure [12, 13].

L-DOPA continues to be the most efficacious oral delivery treatment for the control of motor symptoms [14]. Unfortunately, L-DOPA is reasonably ineffective at combating nonmotor symptoms [12]. Thus, current research efforts are directed at controlling these additional symptoms, as well as the development of new strategies designed to offer protection and overall disease reversal benefits. Attaining the goal of slowing or reversing the rate of DA neuron loss may also result in the protection of non-DA neurotransmitter systems.

This paper focuses on a new target for the treatment of this disease, specifically the brain renin-angiotensin system (RAS), and the recent discovery of its interaction with hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and its tyrosine kinase c-Met receptor [15, 16]. The HGF/c-Met receptor system functions as a critical survival system for motor and sensory neurons and a subset of root ganglion neurons [17–19]. This relationship offers interesting possibilities with respect to neurotransmitter systems crosstalk, suggesting that small angiotensin-based agonists and antagonists can be designed to act at the HGF/c-Met complex in place of large protein ligands. The next sections provide summaries of the RAS and HGF systems, consideration of reports describing their interaction, and the involvement of the RAS and HGF systems in PD. We conclude by presenting support for the notion that angiotensin agonists may be useful in activating the HGF/c-Met receptor system in order to provide cerebroprotection and encourage synaptogenesis in Parkinson's disease patients.

### 2. Brain Angiotensins and the AT<sub>1</sub>, AT<sub>2</sub>, and AT<sub>4</sub> Receptor Subtypes

The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is well known as a regulator of systemic blood pressure, body water balance, activation of sympathetic pathways, and control over vasopressin and oxytocin synthesis and release [20–22]. These functions are mediated, in part, by an independent brain RAS complete with the necessary components including angiotensinogen, renin, angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), angiotensin ligands, and receptor proteins [23–26]. Following the discovery of this independent brain RAS separate from the peripheral system, three brain angiotensin receptor subtypes were identified. The first two, AT<sub>1</sub> and AT<sub>2</sub>, are G-protein coupled and have been well described in previous review papers [15, 20, 22, 27] (Figure 1). Our laboratory discovered a third subtype, AT<sub>4</sub>, and its identity is currently a matter of controversy (see below).

The distribution of brain structures possessing AT<sub>1</sub> receptor sites is reasonably consistent among the mammalian species examined using quantitative autoradiography and radioreceptor binding homogenate tissue preparations.

These species include rat, mouse, hamster, dog, monkey, and human (reviewed in [28–30]). The AT<sub>1</sub> subtype is localized in high densities within the anterior pituitary, area postrema, lateral geniculate body, inferior olivary nucleus, median eminence, nucleus of the solitary tract, the anterior ventral third ventricle region, paraventricular, preoptic and supraoptic nuclei of the hypothalamus, subfornical organ, and ventral tegmental area. This receptor subtype is represented in the following motor related brain structures: caudate putamen, cerebellum, striatum, and substantia nigra (Table 1).

The highest densities of the AT<sub>2</sub> site are found in the amygdala, medial geniculate body, habenua, hypoglossal nucleus, inferior colliculus, inferior olivary nucleus, locus coeruleus, striatum, thalamus, and ventral tegmental area. This receptor subtype is present in the following motor related structures: caudate putamen, cerebellum, globus pallidus, and substantia nigra (Table 1).

The AT<sub>4</sub> receptor is distributed within a number of brain structures with notably high concentrations in the anterior pituitary, cerebral cortex, lateral geniculate body, habenua, hippocampus, inferior olivary nucleus, nucleus basalis of...
Table 1: Predominant distributions of the three angiotensin receptor subtypes and the HGF/c-Met receptor identified in mammalian brains.

| Structure               | AT₁ | AT₂ | AT₄ | c-Met |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Caudate putamen         | +   | ++  | ++  |       |
| Cerebellum              | +   | +   | ++  | ++    |
| Globus pallidus         |     |     |     |       |
| Nucleus accumbens       | ++  |     |     |       |
| Periaqueductal gray     | ++  |     |     |       |
| Red nucleus             |     |     |     |       |
| Striatum                |     | ++  | ++  | ++    |
| Substantia nigra        | ++  |     |     |       |
| Ventral tegmental area  | ++  | ++  |     |       |

Adapted from [15, 22, 30–33]; +: moderate levels of the receptor subtype; ++: high levels of the receptor subtype.

Meynert, periaqueductal gray, piriform cortex, superior colliculus, thalamus, and ventral tegmental area, and of particular interest caudate putamen, cerebellum, globus pallidus, nucleus accumbens, red nucleus, striatum, and substantia nigra (Table 1). Although the brain distribution of AngIV is not available, the locations of aminopeptidase A (AP-A, an aminopeptidase that converts the octapeptide AngII to the heptapeptide AngIII) and aminopeptidase N (AP-N, an aminopeptidase that converts AngIII to the hexapeptide AngIV) are suggestive given their likely co-localization with AngIV. Both AP-A and AP-N have been localized to the plasma membrane of pericytes suggesting that AngIV is found in the extracellular space surrounding microvessels in the brain [34, 35]. In support of this notion exogenous administration of AngIV has been shown to increase cerebral microcirculation [36–38]. Most relevant, Lanckmans and colleagues [39, 40] measured AngIV in the striatum using microdialysis coupled with a sensitive liquid chromatography mass spectrometry system. However, shortly following probe insertion the levels of AngIV often dropped below the detection limit of 50 pM. This was interpreted to suggest an intracellular presence for AngIV. This notion is supported by several reports indicating that within neurons AngII is converted to AngIV (80%), with smaller fractions of AngIII, Ang(1–7), and Ang(1–6) (reviewed in [41]).

Thus, of the three subtypes the AT₄ receptor, colocalized with AngIV, is prominently represented in brain structures associated with motor functioning; however, to date the greatest attention has been devoted to the AT₁ and AT₂ receptor subtypes. Other functions associated with each angiotensin receptor subtype are presented in Table 2.

3. Brain Hepatocyte Growth Factor/c-Met

Hepatocyte growth factor, also known as “scatter factor”, is a glycoprotein recognized as a potent mitogenic, morphogenic, and motogenic growth factor that acts via the type 1 tyrosine kinase receptor c-Met [42]. HGF was originally isolated from the liver and was shown to promote liver regeneration [43]. In 1991, Bottaro et al. [44] identified c-Met as a receptor for HGF. The c-Met receptor protein is made up of disulfide bond-linked alpha (45 kDA) and beta (145 kDA) subunits [45]. The alpha chain is extracellular while the beta chain is transmembrane. HGF dimerization precedes binding to the c-Met receptor which then undergoes phosphorylation. Once phosphorylated, the tyrosine residues of the beta subunit serve as docking sites for downstream signaling mediators including the extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (P13K) pathway [46, 47]. This HGF/c-Met signaling is regulated by the activator, hepatocyte growth factor A (HGFA), and its inhibitor, HGFAI. HGFA is a protease that acts on the precursor protein and produces active HGF. In contrast, HGFAI blocks the activation of HGFA [48]. c-Met has been shown to play a role in multiple types of cancer (reviewed in [49, 50]), blunt neurodegenerative changes [51], facilitate long-term potentiation (LTP [52]), contribute to learning and memory consolidation [52–56], and may play a role in Alzheimer’s disease [57, 58]. Also, inactivation of c-Met in the embryonic proliferative zones of mice results in an increase in parvalbumin-expressing cells in the dentate gyrus, a loss of these cells in the CA3 field, with an overall loss of calretinin-expressing cells throughout the hippocampus [59]. These results highlight the importance of c-Met with
regard to appropriate hippocampal development. Lan et al. [60] have shown that HGF regulates proliferation and migration of dopaminergic progenitor cells isolated from fetal striatum. These cells were capable of differentiating into functioning neurons with the ability to release DA. Schwartz and colleagues [61] have reported that human embryonic stem cell-derived dopaminergic neurons increased expression of tyrosine hydroxylase (a DA neuron marker) when any one of several growth factors were added to the cell culture including HGF, stromal cell-derived factor-1α, and vascular endothelial growth factor. The authors concluded that these growth factors may be of potential use to induce DA cellular differentiation of pluripotent human stem cells.

There have been reports of elevated levels of cerebrospinal fluid HGF in PD patients as compared with normal controls [62, 63]. Along these lines, several researchers have suggested the use of HGF as a therapeutic agent for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and neuroimmune diseases [19, 64], ischemia-stroke [53, 65], neurodegenerative diseases [66], and CNS neuron survival [67–69]. Recently, Koike and colleagues [70] utilized the 6-hydroxy dopamine (6-OHDA) rat model of PD to test the hypothesis that transfected human HGF injected into the striatum could protect DA neurons. 6-OHDA lesioned rats treated with lacZ plasmid lost more than 90% of their DA neurons. In contrast, 70% of the DA neurons survived in rats transfected with HGF. Thus, over expression of HGF protected DA neurons in these 6-OHDA lesioned rats. These results are important for two reasons: (1) a gene therapy approach designed to overexpress HGF may be efficacious when applied to PD patients and (2) these results indicate that a drug designed to facilitate HGF expression in PD patients may offer neuroprotection from ongoing DA neurodegeneration.

4. Interaction between Angiotensin IV and the HGF/c-Met System

Although the identity of the AT4 receptor remains controversial, this receptor protein has been partially sequenced as insulin-regulated aminopeptidase (IRAP) [71, 72]). The distribution of brain IRAP mRNA and its catalytic site. The catalytic site is composed of a GAMEN motif and includes the HEXXXH(X)18 Zn2+-binding motif [80–82].

In a recent investigation we reported that the AT4 receptor protein as indicated by [125I] AngIV-radioligand binding assay [71, 73]. IRAP is a member of type 2 transmembrane proteins of the gluzincin aminopeptidase family [74] including homologous aminopeptidases such as aminopeptidases A and N. IRAP is capable of cleaving the N-terminal amino acid from a number of peptides including met-enekephalin, dynorphin, oxytocin, arginine-vasopressin, lysine-bradykinin, neurokinin A, somatostatin, neuremined B, and cholecystokinin-8 [75–77]. Thus, IRAP has been variously identified as oxytocinase, cystinyl aminopeptidase, placental leucine aminopeptidase, gp 160, or vp 165 depending on its independent cloning (reviewed in [78]). The key substrates acted upon by this enzyme are thought to be arginine vasopressin and oxytocin [72, 79]. IRAP consists of 1025 amino acid residues with a 110 amino acid N-terminal hydrophilic intracellular domain that includes two dileucine motifs. The hydrophobic transmembrane domain consists of 22 amino acids that continues with an 893 amino acid C-terminal extracellular domain associated with its catalytic site. The catalytic site is associated with the HGF/c-Met system overlap with those mediated by the AngIV/AT4 system including facilitated memory consolidation, augmented neurite outgrowth, hippocampal LTP and calcium signaling, dendritic arborization, facilitation of cerebral blood flow and cerebroprotection, seizure protection, and facilitated wound healing (Table 3; reviewed in [15, 16]). This led to the hypothesis that AngIV analogues may exert their activity via the HGF/c-Met system. In a recent investigation we reported that the AT4 receptor antagonist, Norleual-AngIV, inhibited HGF binding to c-Met and HGF-dependent signaling, proliferation, invasion, and scattering [83]. The mechanism of action regarding Norleual-AngIV’s ability to act as a c-Met receptor antagonist is by inhibiting the dimerization of HGF which is a prerequisite for c-Met binding [84, 85]. These results strongly suggest that the biological effects of AngIV, and AngIV analogues, are mediated through the HGF/c-Met system.

Several observations and research findings are relevant to the hypothesis that the AT4 receptor subtype is HGF/c-Met. (1) As mentioned earlier, heavy brain distributions of the AT4 receptor subtype are located in neocortex, piriform cortex, hippocampus, nucleus basalis of Meynert, amygdala, cerebellum, caudate putamen, globus pallidus, striatum, and substantia nigra, consistent with expectations concerning brain locations for a receptor acting as a mediator of cognitive and motor processing [28, 31, 118, 119]. Partial determination of brain c-Met receptor distributions generally agree with this pattern [120, 121]. (2) The AT4 receptor subtype’s ability to facilitate LTP, separate from

| Function                          | AngIV/AT4 receptor subtype | HGF/c-Met receptor |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Memory facilitation              | [30, 86–92]               | [51, 53, 54, 56, 69] |
| Hippocampal LTP, Ca++ signaling  | [93–96]                   | [52]              |
| Dendritic arborization           | [97]                      | [98–102]          |
| Cerebral blood flow              | [36, 38, 103]             | [51, 53, 54, 65, 104–106] |
| Seizure protection               | [107–109]                 | [12]              |
| Parkinson’s disease              | [110]                     | [60–63, 70]       |
| Angiogenesis and PAI-1 expression| [22, 83, 111, 112]         | [65, 113, 114]    |
| Neurite outgrowth                | [115]                     | [114, 116, 117]   |
NMDA-dependent LTP, suggests a nonglutamatergic signaling pathway [93]. (3) The finding that facilitation of the AT1 receptor subtype results in increased internalization of calcium via at least three different calcium channels suggests a rapid and salient cell signaling event [93] and agrees with the observation that HGF-induced responses also depend upon the internalization of calcium [98]. (4) Conversion of AngII to AngIV appears to be necessary for AngII-induced DA release in the striatum [122], and acetylcholine release in the hippocampus [123]. (5) The coupling of increased neural intracellular calcium with matrix metalloproteinases released into the extracellular space suggests a neural plasticity function [124, 125]. (6) Recent neural imaging work completed in our laboratory (see below [97]) indicates that Nle1-AngIV stimulates dendritic spine numbers and size in the hippocampus, as well as overall dendritic arborization, suggesting a plausible synaptogenesis mechanism to explain the ability of these molecules to enhance synaptic plasticity and connectivity among neurons. In agreement, HGF has been shown to increase dendritic arborization in hippocampal neurons in culture [98].

Members of our research group have focused attention on understanding how AT1 receptor agonists and antagonists facilitate and interfere with, respectively, learning and memory. We determined that the metabolically resistant agonist Nle1-AngIV significantly facilitated LTP in the CA1 field of hippocampal slices [94], while both AngIV, and Nle1-AngIV, enhanced LTP in the dentate gyrus in vivo [95]. Pretreatment with the AT1 receptor antagonist Divalinal-AngIV prior to tetanization significantly disrupted the maintenance phase of LTP. The Nle1-AngIV facilitation of LTP was shown to be dependent on increased intracellular calcium via L- and T-type voltage-dependent calcium channels [93]. The ability of these agonists to promote Ca2+ entry, particularly via L-type channels, suggested the potential mechanism of altered dendritic arborization [126, 127]. We next examined the ability of AT1 agonists to facilitate dendritic arborization in dissociated rat hippocampal neurons labeled with mRFP-bacit to visualize the cytoskeleton, including the spines. Quantitative analysis from neurons exposed to Nle1-AngIV for 5 days indicated an increased number of dendritic spines per dendrite, accompanied by an expansion in dendritic arborization [97]. The above observations support the hypothesis that the primary mechanism underlying memory facilitation by AngIV and its analogues may be the ability to enhance synaptic communication and neural activity.

These Nle1-AngIV-induced increases in dendritic arborization are consistent with the hypothesis that AT1 receptor ligands alter HGF docking at the c-Met receptor. There are several reports indicating that HGF and c-Met are neuronally expressed in several brain structures including the neocortex and hippocampus [120] and appear in high densities at excitatory synapses within the hippocampus [121]. Activation of the c-Met receptor by HGF promotes neurite outgrowth [128] and dendritic branching by cortical neurons in sliced cultures [99]. The complexity of the dendritic branching could be attenuated with anti-HGF antibodies [99]. Recently, Tyndall and colleagues [98] reported that HGF increased the size and complexity of dendritic arborization in dissociated hippocampal neurons in culture. This facilitation could be blocked by pretreatment with the NMDA receptor antagonist, DL-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (APV). It was further determined that this HGF effect is dependent on elevations in intracellular calcium and accompanying increases in autophosphorylation of CaMKII. These results suggest that Ca2+-dependent processes underlie HGF’s ability to increase dendritic arborization and are consistent with our findings indicating increased hippocampal neuronal intracellular calcium with Nle1-AngIV treatment and facilitated hippocampal dendritic arborization. Pretreatment of cultured hippocampal neurons with an AT1 receptor antagonist inhibited this Nle1-AngIV-induced arborization. Recently our laboratory has used a tritiated small molecule HGF analogue to further identify the locations of brain HGF/c-Met receptors [129]. Reasonably high concentrations of HGF/c-Met were measured in the prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, cerebellum, thalamus, hypothalamus, striatum, and lower brain stem structures.

5. A Link between the Brain Angiotensin System and Parkinson’s Disease

The potential relationship between the brain RAS and PD was initially suggested by Allen and colleagues [130]. These investigators measured decreased angiotensin receptor binding in the substantia nigra and striatum in post mortem brains of PD patients. A number of studies support an important role for ACE in this disease. ACE is present in the nigra-striatal pathway and basal ganglia structures [131–133]. Parkinson’s disease patients treated with the ACE inhibitor perindopril revealed improved motor responses to the DA precursor 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine [134]. Relative to this treatment with perindopril, elevated striatal DA levels have been measured in mice [135]. In addition, ACE has been shown to metabolize bradykinin and thus modulate inflammation [136], a contributing factor in PD. Activation of the AT1 receptor subtype by AngII promotes nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)-dependent oxidases, a significant source of reactive oxygen species [137, 138]. Treatment with ACE inhibitors has been shown to offer protection against the loss of DA neurons in 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) animal models [139, 140], as well as the 6-OHDA rat model [141]. The likely mechanism underlying this ACE inhibitor-induced protection is a reduction in the synthesis of AngII acting at the AT1 receptor subtype (reviewed in [142]). It is known that AngII binding at the AT1 subtype activates the NADPH oxidase complex, thus providing a major source of reactive oxygen species [143, 144]. Further, activation of the AT1 receptor results in the stimulation of the NF-kB signal transduction pathway facilitating the synthesis of chemokine, cytokines, and adhesion molecules, all important in the migration of inflammatory cells into regions of tissue injury [145].

Given the above reports, it follows that if AngII activation of the AT1 receptor subtype results in facilitation of the NADPH oxidase complex, and thus formation of free
radicals, then blockade of the AT$_1$ receptor should serve a protective function. This appears to be the case. Treatment with AT$_1$ receptor antagonists, known as angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), protects DA neurons in both 6-OHDA [33, 146–148] and MPTP animal models [144, 149, 150]. ARBs have been shown to reduce the formation of NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen species following administration of 6-OHDA [33]. While the risk of developing PD is reduced with the use of calcium channel blockers to control hypertension, the influence of ACE inhibitors, $\beta$-blockers, and ARBs is not clear [151]. Ascherio and Tanner [152] have pointed out several shortcomings in the above study by Becker and colleagues and suggested that their analysis be redone to include a time frame of up to two years prior to the onset of PD symptoms. Of relevance to this issue, there is the occasional PD patient in which an ARB (Losartan) has been reported to exacerbate the motor dysfunctions [153]. While on Losartan, this patient experienced severe bradykinesia accompanied by frequent episodes of freezing.

The AT$_2$ receptor subtype is present in several fetal tissues including uterus, ovary, adrenal gland, heart, vascular endothelium, kidney, and brain (particularly neocortex and hippocampus) [20, 154–157]. As the animal matures, the expression of the AT$_2$ receptor decreases. It appears that adult mammalian brain levels of this receptor in the striatum and substantia nigra are reasonably low [22, 158]. The AT$_2$ receptor has been linked with cell proliferation, differentiation, and tissue regeneration [159–162]. The results from a study utilizing mesencephalic precursor cells indicated that AngII, acting at the AT$_2$ receptor, facilitated differentiation of precursor cells into DA neurons [163]. Along these lines, activation of the AT$_2$ receptor has been shown to inhibit NADPH oxidase activation [164]. However, Rodriguez-Pallares et al. [165] found that AngII treatment of the 6-OHDA lesioned rat increased DA cell death. This could be due to the much greater numbers of brain AT$_1$ receptors, as compared with AT$_2$ receptors, such that the beneficial effects of AT$_2$ receptor activation were overwhelmed by AT$_1$ activation. Finally, the expression of AT$_2$ receptors in PD patients appears to be decreased in the caudate nucleus but is unchanged in the substantia nigra and putamen [166].

Recent studies using several animal models indicate that basal ganglia structures possess a local RAS that evidences increased activity during dopaminergic degeneration [167–169]. For example, reserpine-induced decreases in DA resulted in a significant increase in the expression of AT$_1$ and AT$_2$ receptors [170]. A similar pattern was seen with 6-OHDA-induced DA denervation, with a decrease in receptor expression when L-dopa was given. These results are important in that a clear interaction between the RAS and the DA system appears to be present in basal ganglia structures. Related to this, Rodriguez-Perez and colleagues [171] produced dopaminergic degeneration via intrastratal 6-OHDA injection and noted a significant decrease in dopaminergic neurons in ovariectomized rats. This neuron loss was attenuated by treatment with the AT$_1$ receptor antagonist candesartan, or estrogen replacement. Estrogen replacement also resulted in a downregulation of AT$_1$ receptors and NADPH complex in the substantia nigra, accompanied by an upregulation of the AT$_2$ receptor subtype. These results indicate an important relationship among estrogen levels, brain DA receptors, and the RAS. An increase in the expression of AT$_1$ receptors and decreased expression of AT$_2$ receptors has been reported in aged rats [172]. This observation is of major importance given the potentially deleterious consequences of AT$_1$ receptor activation on basal ganglia structures.

Recently the Rodriguez-Perez research group [173] reported that chronic hypoperfusion in rats resulted in a reduction in striatal DA levels, accompanied by a large decline in dopaminergic neurons and striatal terminals. This DA neuron loss was countered by orally administered candesartan. In addition, AT$_1$ receptor expression was highest in the substantia nigra, while AT$_2$ expression was lower in rats that experienced chronic hypoperfusion as compared with controls. Again these effects could be attenuated by candesartan. Taken together, these findings argue that inhibition of AT$_1$ receptor activity should serve a neuroprotective role in PD.

The potential involvement of AngIV in Parkinson’s disease has been initially investigated [110]. A genetic in vitro PD model was used consisting of the $\alpha$-synuclein overexpression of the human neuroglioma H4 cell line. Results indicated a significant reduction in $\alpha$-synuclein-induced toxicity with Losartan treatment combined with the AT$_2$ receptor antagonist PD123319, in the presence of AngII. Under these same conditions, AngIV was only moderately effective. However, these researchers did not use a metabolically stable AngIV analogue, nor did they confirm effects with an AT$_4$ receptor antagonist in combination with AngII or AngIV.

Overall, experimental work suggests that treatment with an ARB may offer some protection against the risk of developing PD. However, much additional work must be completed to better understand the relationship among brain angiotensin receptors, ligands, inflammation, and reactive oxygen species as related to PD.

### 6. Relationship among Angiotensins, HGF, and Parkinson’s Disease

Aging is one of the major risk factors predisposing individuals to neurodegenerative diseases [174–177]. The neurodegeneration accompanying aging is dependent in part upon oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and microglial NADPH oxidase activity. Each is of significant importance regarding DA neuron loss [178, 179]. Activation of AT$_1$ receptors by AngII has been shown to facilitate DA neuron degeneration by activating microglial NADPH oxidase [147]. The activation of AT$_1$ receptors by AngII failed to cause DA neuron degeneration when microglial cells were absent [180]. Of related importance, Zawada and colleagues [181] recently reported that nigral dopaminergic neurons respond to neurotoxicity-induced superoxide in two waves. First, a spike in mitochondrial hydrogen peroxide was measured three hours following treatment with an MPTP metabolite (MPP$^+$. Second, by twenty-four hours following treatment, hydrogen peroxide levels were further elevated. Treatment
with Losartan suppressed this nigral superoxide production suggesting a potentially important role for ARBs in the treatment of PD. Further, AngII binding at the AT1 receptor increased DA neuron degeneration initiated by subthreshold doses of DA neurotoxins by stimulating intraneuronal levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and neuroinflammation by activation of microglial NADPH oxidase [37, 144, 182–184].

From the above observations, it follows that AT1 receptor blockade should have a neuroprotective effect on DA neurons in PD patients as demonstrated in animal models [149]. Less obvious is the likelihood that AT1 receptor blockade results in accumulating levels of AngII which is converted to AngIII and then to AngIV. This conversion cascade has been shown to occur intracellularly [41]. In fact, this conversion of AngII appears to be necessary for DA release to occur in the striatum [122]. Thus, an intriguing alternative explanation of these AT1 receptor antagonist results is that the increased endogenous levels of AngIV facilitate activation of the HGF/c-Met receptor system and neuroprotection of DA neurons. In this way, AngIV may act in combination with AT1 receptor blockade to protect DA neurons. Our laboratory has offered evidence that AngIV, and AngIV analogues, are capable of acting to facilitate HGF/c-Met activity [97]. Support for this claim is presented in several recent reports. First we found that the action of AT1 receptor antagonists depends on inhibiting the HGF/c-Met receptor system by binding to and blocking HGF dimerization [83, 84]. In contrast, AT4 receptor agonists facilitate cognitive processing and synaptogenesis by acting as mimics of the dimerization domain of HGF (hinge region) [85]. This work has culminated in the synthesis of a small molecule AT4 receptor agonist capable of penetrating the blood-brain barrier and facilitating cognitive processing presumably by increasing synaptogenesis. This small molecule (MM-201) has a Kd for HGF ≈ 13 picomolar [129]. This AngIV-HGF/c-Met interaction could explain earlier reports indicating that activation of the AT4 receptor facilitates cerebral blood flow and neuroprotection [36, 38, 103].

In agreement with the above findings, HGF has been shown to positively impact ischemic-induced injuries such as cardiac [185] and hind limb ischemia [104, 105]. HGF has also been shown to eliminate hippocampal neuronal cell loss in transient global cerebral ischemic gerbils [65], and transient focal ischemic rats [106]. Date and colleagues [54] have reported HGF-induced improvements in escape latencies by microsphere embolism-cerebral ischemic rats using a circular water maze task. These authors measured reduced damage to cerebral endothelial cells in ischemic animals treated with HGF. Shimamura et al. [51] have recently shown that over-expression of HGF following permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion resulted in significant recovery of performance in the Morris water maze and passive avoidance conditioning tasks. Treatment with HGF was also found to increase the number of arteries in the neocortex some 50 days following the onset of ischemia.

In sum, these results suggest a role for the HGF/c-Met receptor system in cerebroprotection and are consistent with the notion that AngIV increases blood flow by an NO-dependent mechanism [37]. In support of this hypothesis, a report by Faure et al. [113] indicated that increasing doses of AngIV via the internal carotid artery significantly decreased mortality and cerebral infarct size in rats twenty-four hours following embolic stroke due to the intracarotid injection of calibrated microspheres. Pretreatment with the AT1 receptor antagonist Divalinal-AngIV, or Nω-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), abolished this protective effect. Sequential cerebral autoradiography indicated that AngIV caused the redistribution of blood flow to ischemic areas within a few minutes. Thus, AngIV may yield its cerebral protective effect against acute cerebral ischemia via an intracerebral-hemodynamic c-Met receptor-mediated NO-dependent mechanism. Should these relationships hold, then a metabolically stable blood-brain barrier penetrant small molecule compound that activates the HGF/c-Met system could prove highly efficacious in the treatment of PD.

7. Conclusion

Parkinson’s disease is a major neurodegenerative disease that is increasing in patient numbers world wide as populations live longer. New treatment strategies are needed to slow or reverse this disease process. The HGF/c-Met receptor system may offer neuroprotection to dopaminergic neurotransmitter pathways. However, the direct use of HGF has at least two major problems: (1) HGF is a large heterodimeric protein that is very expensive to produce; (2) as a large protein, HGF does not penetrate the blood-brain barrier and thus cannot reach brain locations where neurodegeneration is occurring. We have discovered that the small peptide AngIV, and its analogues, cause HGF dimerization which is a prerequisite to binding and activation of the c-Met receptor [42]. HGF has been shown to be intimately involved in cell survival, proliferation, migration, and differentiation [186–188] and blunts neurodegenerative influences [51]. The availability of small molecule HGF mimetics represents a significant advantage over the use of large HGF analogues to accomplish the treatment goal of slowing or reversing PD-induced neurodegeneration. It remains to be seen whether long-term treatment of PD patient is possible and efficacious using small molecule HGF mimetics.

Acknowledgments

The preparation of this paper was supported by a Michael J. Fox Foundation grant to J. W. Harding, a grant from the Edward E. and Lucille I. Lainge Endowment for Alzheimer’s Research Fund to J. W. Wright, and funds provided for medical and biological research by the State of Washington Initiative Measure no. 171.

References

[1] C. W. Olanow, M. B. Stern, and K. Sethi, “The scientific and clinical basis for the treatment of Parkinson disease,” Neurology, vol. 72, no. 21, supplement 4, pp. S1–S136, 2009.
[2] H. Ehringer and O. Hornykiewicz, “Distribution of noradrenaline and dopamine (3-hydroxytyramine) in the human brain and their behavior in diseases of the extrapyramidal
system,” *Klinische Wochenschrift*, vol. 38, pp. 1236–1239, 1960.

[3] A. H. V. Schapira, “Etiology and pathogenesis of Parkinson disease,” *Neurologic Clinics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 583–603, 2009.

[4] R. M. Welchko, X. T. Leveque, and G. L. Dunbar, “Genetic rat models of Parkinson’s disease,” *Parkinson’s Disease*, vol. 2012, Article ID 128356, 6 pages, 2012.

[5] K. U. Tufekci, K. Genc, and S. Genc, “The endotoxin-induced neuroinflammation model of Parkinson’s disease,” *Parkinson’s Disease*, vol. 2011, Article ID 487450, 25 pages, 2011.

[6] M. E. Witte, J. J. G. Geurts, H. E. de Vries, P. van der Valk, and J. van Horsen, “Mitochondrial dysfunction: a potential link between neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration?” *Mitochondrion*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 411–418, 2010.

[7] C. D. Marsden, “Basal ganglia disease,” *The Lancet*, vol. 2, no. 8308, pp. 1141–1146, 1982.

[8] G. Deuschl, C. Schade-Brittinger, P. Krack, J. Valkmann, H. Schafer, and K. Botzel, “A randomized trial of deep-brain stimulation for Parkinson’s disease,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 355, no. 9, pp. 896–908, 2006.

[9] P. J. Garcia Ruiz, “Efficacy of long-term continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in advanced Parkinson’s disease with motor fluctuations: a multicenter study,” *Movement Disorders*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 1130–1136, 2008.

[10] D. Nyholm, A. I. M. Nilsson Remahl, N. Dizdar et al., “Duodenal levodopa infusion monotherapy vs oral polypharmacy in advanced Parkinson disease,” *Neurology*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 216–223, 2005.

[11] W. G. Meissner, M. Freasier, T. Gasser et al., “Priorities in Parkinson’s disease research,” *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 377–393, 2011.

[12] K. R. Chaudhuri and A. H. Schapira, “Non-motor symptoms of Parkinson’s disease: dopaminergic pathophysiology and treatment,” *The Lancet Neurology*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 464–474, 2009.

[13] K. R. Chaudhuri, P. Odin, A. Antonini, and P. Martinez-Martín, “Parkinson’s disease: the non-motor issues,” *Parkinsonism and Related Disorders*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 717–723, 2011.

[14] J. Lipski, R. Nisticò, N. Berretta, E. Guatteeo, G. Bernardi, and N. B. Mercuri, “L-DOPA: a scapegoat for accelerated neurodegeneration in Parkinson’s disease?” *Progress in Neurobiology*, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 389–407, 2011.

[15] J. W. Wright and J. W. Harding, “Brain renin–angiotensin—a new look at an old system,” *Progress in Neurobiology*, vol. 95, no. 1, pp. 49–67, 2011.

[16] J. W. Wright and J. W. Harding, “The brain renin–angiotensin system: a diversity of functions and implications for CNS diseases,” *Pflügers Archives*. In press.

[17] A. Ebens, K. Brose, E. D. Leonardo et al., “Hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor is an axonal chemoattractant and a neurotrophic factor for spinal motor neurons,” *Neuron*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 1157–1172, 1996.

[18] F. Maina and R. Klein, “Hepatocyte growth factor, a versatile signal for developing neurons,” *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 213–217, 1999.

[19] W. Sun, H. Funakoshi, and T. Nakamura, “Localization and functional role of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and its receptor c-met in the rat developing cerebral cortex,” *Molecular Brain Research*, vol. 103, no. 1-2, pp. 36–48, 2002.

[20] M. De Gasparo, K. J. Catt, T. Inagami, J. W. Wright, and T. Unger, “International union of pharmacology. XXIII. The angiotensin II receptors,” *Pharmacological Reviews*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 415–472, 2000.

[21] M. I. Phillips, “Functions of angiotensin in the central nervous system,” *Annual Review of Physiology*, vol. 49, pp. 413–435, 1987.

[22] J. W. Wright and J. W. Harding, “Important roles for angiotensin III and IV in the brain renin–angiotensin system,” *Brain Research Reviews*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 96–124, 1997.

[23] D. Ganten, R. Boucher, and J. Genest, “Renin activity in brain tissue of puppies and adult dogs,” *Brain Research*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 557–559, 1971.

[24] D. Ganten, A. Marquez-Julio, P. Granger et al., “Renin in dog brain,” *The American Journal of Physiology*, vol. 221, no. 6, pp. 1733–1737, 1971.

[25] A. Lippoldt, M. Paul, K. Fuxe, and D. Ganten, “The brain renin-angiotensin system: molecular mechanisms of cell to cell interaction,” *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension*, vol. 17, no. 1-2, pp. 251–266, 1995.

[26] M. I. Phillips, E. A. Speakman, and B. Kimura, “Levels of angiotensin and molecular biology of the tissue renin angiotensin systems,” *Regulatory Peptides*, vol. 43, no. 1-2, pp. 1–20, 1993.

[27] J. M. Saavedra, “Brain and pituitary angiotensin,” *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 329–380, 1992.

[28] S. Y. Chai, M. A. Bastias, E. F. Clune et al., “Distribution of angiotensin IV binding sites (AT1 receptor) in the human forebrain, midbrain and pons as visualised by in vitro receptor autoradiography,” *Journal of Chemical Neuroanatomy*, vol. 20, no. 3-4, pp. 339–348, 2000.

[29] O. Von Bohlen und Halbach, “Angiotensin IV in the central nervous system,” *Cell and Tissue Research*, vol. 311, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2003.

[30] J. W. Wright and J. W. Harding, “The brain angiotensin system and extracellular matrix molecules in neural plasticity, learning, and memory,” *Progress in Neurobiology*, vol. 72, no. 4, pp. 263–293, 2004.

[31] P. R. Gard, “The role of angiotensin II in cognition and behaviour,” *European Journal of Pharmacology*, vol. 438, no. 1-2, pp. 1–14, 2002.

[32] J. W. Wright, L. T. Krebs, J. W. Stobb, and J. W. Harding, “The angiotensin IV system: functional implications,” *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 23–52, 1995.

[33] P. Rey, A. Lopez-Real, S. Sanchez-Iglesias, A. Muñoz, R. Soto-Otero, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Angiotensin type-1 receptor antagonists reduce 6-hydroxydopamine toxicity for dopaminergic neurons,” *Neurobiology of Aging*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 555–567, 2007.

[34] D. P. Healy and S. Wilk, “Localization of immunoreactive glutamyl aminopeptidase in rat brain. II. Distribution and correlation with angiotensin II,” *Brain Research*, vol. 606, no. 2, pp. 295–303, 1993.

[35] J. Kunz, D. Krause, M. Kremer, and R. Dermietzel, “The 140-kDa protein of blood-brain barrier-associated pericytes is identical to aminopeptidase N,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 2375–2386, 1994.

[36] E. A. Krámár, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “Angiotensin II- and IV-induced changes in cerebral blood flow roles of AT1 AT2, and AT4 receptor subtypes,” *Regulatory Peptides*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 131–138, 1997.

[37] E. A. Krámár, R. Krishnan, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “Role of nitric oxide in angiotensin IV-induced increases in cerebral blood flow,” *Regulatory Peptides*, vol. 74, no. 2-3, pp. 185–192, 1998.
[38] L. Naveri, C. Stromberg, and J. M. Saavedra, “Angiotensin IV reverses the acute cerebral blood flow reduction after experimental subarachnoid hemorrhage in the rat,” *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1096–1099, 1994.

[39] K. Lanckmans, B. Stragier, S. Sarre, I. Smolders, and Y. Michotte, “Nano-IC-MS/MS for the monitoring of angiotensin IV in rat brain microdialysates: limitations and possibilities,” *Journal of Separation Science*, vol. 30, no. 14, pp. 2217–2224, 2007.

[40] K. Lanckmans, S. Sarre, I. Smolders, and Y. Michotte, “Use of a structural analogue versus a stable isotope labeled internal standard for the quantification of angiotensin IV in rat brain dialysates using nano-liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry,” *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1187–1195, 2007.

[41] B. Stragier, D. De Bundel, S. Sarre et al., “Involvement of insulin-regulated aminopeptidase in the effects of the renin-angiotensin fragment angiotensin IV: a review,” *Heart Failure Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 321–337, 2008.

[42] P. C. Ma, G. Maulik, J. Christensen, and R. Salgia, “c-Met: structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition,” *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 309–325, 2003.

[43] T. Nakamura and S. Mizuno, “The discovery of Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) and its significance for cell biology, life sciences and clinical medicine,” *Proceedings of the Japan Academy B*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 588–610, 2010.

[44] D. P. Bottaro, J. S. Rubin, D. L. Faletto et al., “Identification of the hepatocyte growth factor receptor as the c-met proto-oncogene product,” *Science*, vol. 251, no. 4995, pp. 802–804, 1991.

[45] N. Shinomiya and G. F. Vande Woude, “Suppression of met expression: a possible cancer treatment,” *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 9, no. 14, pp. 5085–5090, 2003.

[46] M. Rosario and W. Birchmeier, “How to make tubes: signaling by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase,” *Trends in Cell Biology*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 328–335, 2003.

[47] D. Tulasne and B. Foveau, “The shadow of death on the MET tyrosine kinase receptor,” *Cell Death and Differentiation*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 427–434, 2008.

[48] R. Zachow and M. Uzumcu, “The hepatocyte growth factor system as a regulator of female and male gonadal function,” *Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 195, no. 3, pp. 359–371, 2007.

[49] P. M. Comoglio, S. Giordano, and L. Trusolino, “Drug development of MET inhibitors: targeting oncogene addiction and clinical application of plasmid DNA in the field of central nervous disease,” *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 81–86, 2003.

[50] G. J. Martins, C. Plachez, and E. M. Powell, “Loss of embryonic MET signaling alters profiles of hippocampal interneurons,” *Developmental Neuroscience*, vol. 29, no. 1–2, pp. 143–158, 2007.

[51] B. Stragier, D. De Bundel, S. Sarre et al., “Involvement of insulin-regulated aminopeptidase in the effects of the renin-angiotensin fragment angiotensin IV: a review,” *Heart Failure Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 321–337, 2008.

[52] P. C. Ma, G. Maulik, J. Christensen, and R. Salgia, “c-Met: structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition,” *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 309–325, 2003.

[53] T. Nakamura and S. Mizuno, “The discovery of Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF) and its significance for cell biology, life sciences and clinical medicine,” *Proceedings of the Japan Academy B*, vol. 86, no. 6, pp. 588–610, 2010.

[54] D. P. Bottaro, J. S. Rubin, D. L. Faletto et al., “Identification of the hepatocyte growth factor receptor as the c-met proto-oncogene product,” *Science*, vol. 251, no. 4995, pp. 802–804, 1991.

[55] N. Shinomiya and G. F. Vande Woude, “Suppression of met expression: a possible cancer treatment,” *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 9, no. 14, pp. 5085–5090, 2003.

[56] M. Rosario and W. Birchmeier, “How to make tubes: signaling by the Met receptor tyrosine kinase,” *Trends in Cell Biology*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 328–335, 2003.

[57] D. Tulasne and B. Foveau, “The shadow of death on the MET tyrosine kinase receptor,” *Cell Death and Differentiation*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 427–434, 2008.

[58] R. Zachow and M. Uzumcu, “The hepatocyte growth factor system as a regulator of female and male gonadal function,” *Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 195, no. 3, pp. 359–371, 2007.

[59] P. M. Comoglio, S. Giordano, and L. Trusolino, “Drug development of MET inhibitors: targeting oncogene addiction and clinical application of plasmid DNA in the field of central nervous disease,” *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 81–86, 2003.

[60] G. J. Martins, C. Plachez, and E. M. Powell, “Loss of embryonic MET signaling alters profiles of hippocampal interneurons,” *Developmental Neuroscience*, vol. 29, no. 1–2, pp. 143–158, 2007.

[61] B. Stragier, D. De Bundel, S. Sarre et al., “Involvement of insulin-regulated aminopeptidase in the effects of the renin-angiotensin fragment angiotensin IV: a review,” *Heart Failure Reviews*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 321–337, 2008.

[62] P. C. Ma, G. Maulik, J. Christensen, and R. Salgia, “c-Met: structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition,” *Cancer and Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 309–325, 2003.
[70] H. Koike, A. Ishida, M. Shimamura et al., “Prevention of onset of Parkinson’s disease by in vivo gene transfer of human hepatocyte growth factor in rodent model: a model of gene therapy for Parkinson’s disease,” Gene Therapy, vol. 13, no. 23, pp. 1639–1644, 2006.

[71] A. L. Albiston, S. G. McDowall, D. Matsacos et al., “Evidence that the angiotensin IV (AT4) receptor is the enzyme insulin-regulated aminopeptidase,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 276, no. 52, pp. 48623–48626, 2001.

[72] M. G. Wallis, M. F. Lankford, and S. R. Keller, “Vasopressin is a physiological substrate for the insulin-regulated aminopeptidase IRAP,” American Journal of Physiology, vol. 293, no. 4, pp. E1092–E1102, 2007.

[73] R. N. Fernando, J. Larm, A. L. Albiston, and S. Y. Chai, “Distribution and cellular localization of insulin-regulated aminopeptidase in the rat central nervous system,” Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 487, no. 4, pp. 372–390, 2005.

[74] T. Rogi, M. Tsujimoto, H. Nakazato, S. Mizutani, and Y. Tomoda, “Human placental leucine aminopeptidase/oxytocinase: a new member of type II membrane-spanning zinc metallopeptidase family,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 271, no. 1, pp. 56–61, 1996.

[75] J. J. Herbst, S. A. Ross, H. M. Scott et al., “Insulin stimulates cell surface aminopeptidase activity toward vasopressin in adipocytes,” American Journal of Physiology, vol. 272, no. 4, pp. E600–E606, 1997.

[76] R. A. Lew, T. Mustafa, S. Ye, S. G. McDowall, S. Y. Chai, and A. L. Albiston, “Angiotensin AT4 ligands are potent, competitive inhibitors of insulin regulated aminopeptidase (IRAP),” Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 344–350, 2003.

[77] H. Matsumoto, T. Nagasaka, A. Hattori et al., “Expression of placental leucine aminopeptidase/oxytocinase in neuronal cells and its action on neuronal peptides,” European Journal of Biochemistry, vol. 268, no. 11, pp. 3259–3266, 2001.

[78] D. De Bundel, I. Smolders, P. Vanderheyden, and Y. Michotte, “Ang II and Ang IV: unraveling the mechanism of action on synaptic plasticity, memory, and epilepsy,” CNS Neuroscience and Therapeutics, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 315–339, 2008.

[79] A. L. Albiston, R. N. Fernando, H. R. Yeatman et al., “Gene knockout of insulin-regulated aminopeptidase: loss of the specific binding site for angiotensin IV and age-related deficit in spatial memory,” Neurobiology of Learning and Memory, vol. 93, no. 1, pp. 19–30, 2010.

[80] K. V. Kandror, L. Yu, and P. F. Pilch, “The major protein of GLUT4-containing vesicles, gp160, has aminopeptidase activity,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 269, no. 49, pp. 30777–30780, 1994.

[81] S. R. Keller, H. M. Scott, C. C. Mastick, R. Aebersold, and G. E. Lienhard, “Cloning and characterization of a novel insulin-regulated membrane aminopeptidase from GLUT4 vesicles,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 270, no. 40, pp. 23612–23618, 1995.

[82] S. A. Ross, H. M. Scott, N. J. Morris et al., “Characterization of the insulin-regulated membrane aminopeptidase in 3T3-L1 adipocytes,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 271, no. 6, pp. 3328–3332, 1996.

[83] B. J. Yamamoto, P. D. Elias, J. A. Masino et al., “The angiotensin IV analog Nle-Tyr-Leu-ψ-(CH2-NH2)3-4-His-Pro-Phe (Norleual) can act as a hepatocyte growth factor/c-met inhibitor,” Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 333, no. 1, pp. 161–173, 2010.

[84] L. H. Kawas, B. J. Yamamoto, J. W. Wright, and J. W. Harding, “Mimics of the dimerization domain of hepatocyte growth factor exhibit anti-met and anticancer activity,” Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 339, no. 2, pp. 509–518, 2011.

[85] L. H. Kawas, A. T. McCoy, B. J. Yamamoto, J. W. Wright, and J. W. Harding, “Development of angiotensin IV analogs as hepatocyte growth factor/c-met modifiers,” Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 340, no. 3, pp. 539–548, 2012.

[86] A. L. Albiston, T. Mustafa, S. G. McDowall, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, J. Lee, and S. Y. Chai, “AT4 receptor is insulin-regulated membrane aminopeptidase: potential mechanisms of memory enhancement,” Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 72–77, 2003.

[87] J. J. Braszko, “D2 dopamine receptor blockade prevents cognitive effects of Ang IV and des-Phe6 Ang IV,” Physiology and Behavior, vol. 88, no. 1–2, pp. 152–159, 2006.

[88] J. J. Braszko, G. Kupryszewski, B. Witzczuk, and K. Wisniewski, “Angiotensin II-(3-8)-hexapeptide affects motor activity, performance of passive avoidance and a conditioned avoidance response in rats,” Neuroscience, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 777–783, 1988.

[89] J. J. Braszko, A. Walesiuk, and P. Wielgat, “Cognitive effects attributed to angiotensin II may result form its conversion to angiotensin IV,” Journal of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 168–174, 2006.

[90] M. L. Olson, E. A. Olson, J. H. Qualls, J. J. Stratton, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “Norleucine1-Angiotensin IV alleviates mecamylamine-induced spatial memory deficits,” Peptides, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 233–241, 2004.

[91] E. S. Pederson, R. Krishnan, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “A role for the angiotensin AT4 receptor subtype in overcoming scopolamine-induced spatial memory deficits,” Regulatory Peptides, vol. 102, no. 2–3, pp. 147–156, 2001.

[92] J. W. Wright, L. Stubley, E. S. Pederson, E. A. Kramár, J. M. Hanesworth, and J. W. Harding, “Contributions of the brain angiotensin IV-AT4 receptor subtype system to spatial learning,” Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 3952–3961, 1999.

[93] C. J. Davis, E. A. Kramár, A. De et al., “AT4 receptor activation increases intracellular calcium influx and induces a non-N-methyl-D-aspartate dependent form of long-term potentiation,” Neuroscience, vol. 137, no. 4, pp. 1369–1379, 2006.

[94] E. A. Kramár, D. L. Armstrong, S. Ikeda, M. J. Wayner, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “The effects of angiotensin IV analogs on long-term potentiation within the CA1 region of the hippocampus in vitro,” Brain Research, vol. 897, no. 1–2, pp. 114–121, 2001.

[95] M. J. Wayner, D. L. Armstrong, C. F. Phelps, J. W. Wright, and J. W. Harding, “Angiotensin IV enhances LTP in rat dentate gyrus in vivo,” Peptides, vol. 22, no. 9, pp. 1403–1414, 2001.

[96] J. W. Wright, E. A. Kramár, E. D. T. Myers, C. J. Davis, and J. W. Harding, “Ethanol-induced suppression of LTP can be attenuated with an angiotensin IV analog,” Regulatory Peptides, vol. 113, no. 1–3, pp. 49–56, 2003.

[97] C. C. Benoist, J. W. Wright, G. A. Wayman, and J. W. Harding, “Facilitation of hippocampal synaptogenesis and spatial memory by C-terminal truncated Nle1-angiotensin IV analogues,” Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 339, no. 1, pp. 35–44, 2011.

[98] S. I. Tyndall, S. J. Patel, and R. S. Walikonis, “Hepatocyte growth factor-induced enhancement of dendritic branching is blocked by inhibitors of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and glutamatergic agonists,” Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 967–976, 2011.

[99] J. W. Wright, G. A. Wayman, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “Role of the angiotensin II receptor in the hippocampus,” Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 4349–4354, 2001.

[100] J. W. Wright, G. A. Wayman, J. W. Harding, and J. W. Wright, “Role of the angiotensin II receptor in the hippocampus,” Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 4349–4354, 2001.
and calcium/calmodulin-dependent kinases,” *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, vol. 85, no. 11, pp. 2343–2351, 2007.

[99] H. Gutierrez, X. Dolcet, M. Tolcos, and A. Davies, “HGF regulates the development of cortical pyramidal dendrites,” *Development*, vol. 131, no. 15, pp. 3717–3726, 2004.

[100] N. Hashimoto, H. Yamanaka, T. Fukuoka, O. Obata, T. Mashimo, and K. Noguchi, “Expression of hepatocyte growth factor in primary sensory neurons of adult rats,” *Molecular Brain Research*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 83–88, 2001.

[101] A. Matzke, V. Sargsyan, B. Holtmann et al., “Haploinsufficiency of c-Met in cd44−/− mice identifies a collaboration of CD44 and c-Met in vivo,” *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 27, no. 24, pp. 8797–8806, 2007.

[102] S. J. Tyndall and R. S. Walikonis, “Signaling by hepatocyte growth factor in neurons is induced by pharmacological stimulation of synaptic activity,” *Synapse*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 199–204, 2007.

[103] F. Dalmay, F. Pestell, J. Allard, S. Nisse-Durgeat, L. Fernandez, S. J. Tyndall and R. S. Walikonis, “Signaling of hepatocyte growth factor in neurons is induced by pharmacological stimulation of synaptic activity,” *Synapse*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 199–204, 2007.

[104] F. Dalmay, F. Pestell, J. Allard, S. Nisse-Durgeat, L. Fernandez, S. J. Tyndall and R. S. Walikonis, “Signaling of hepatocyte growth factor in neurons is induced by pharmacological stimulation of synaptic activity,” *Synapse*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 199–204, 2007.

[105] E. Van Belle, B. Witzenbichler, D. Chen et al., “Potentiated angiogenic effect of scatter factor/hepatocyte growth factor via induction of vascular endothelial growth factor: the case for paracrine amplification of angiogenesis,” *Circulation*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 381–390, 1998.

[106] N. Tsuzuki, T. Miyazawa, K. Matsumoto, T. Nakamura, and K. Shima, “Hepatocyte growth factor reduces the infarct volume after transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats,” *Neurological Research*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 417–424, 2001.

[107] B. Stragier, R. Clinkers, A. Meurs et al., “Involvement of the somatostatin-2 receptor in the anti-convulsant effect of angiotensin IV against pilocarpine-induced limbic seizures in rats,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 98, no. 4, pp. 1100–1113, 2006.

[108] J. Tchekalarova and V. Georgiev, “Angiotensin peptides modulatory system: how is it implicated in the control of seizure susceptibility?” *Life Sciences*, vol. 76, no. 9, pp. 955–970, 2005.

[109] J. Tchekalarova and V. Georgiev, “Ang II and Ang III modulate PTZ seizure threshold in non-stressed and stressed mice: possible involvement of noradrenergic mechanisms,” *Neuropeptides*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 339–348, 2006.

[110] T. N. Grammatopoulos, T. E. Outeiro, B. T. Hyman, and D. G. Standaert, “Angiotensin II protects against a-synuclein toxicity and reduces protein aggregation in vitro,” *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 363, no. 3, pp. 846–851, 2007.

[111] D. E. Vaughan, “Angiotensin and vascular fibrinolytic balance,” *American Journal of Hypertension*, vol. 15, no. 1, part 2, pp. 35–85, 2002.

[112] J. Shang, K. Deguchi, Y. Ohta et al., “Strong neurogenesis, angiogenesis, synaptogenesis, and antiﬁbrosis of hepatocyte growth factor in rats brain after transient middle cerebral artery occlusion,” *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, vol. 89, no. 1, pp. 86–95, 2011.

[113] S. Faure, R. Chapot, D. Tallet, J. Javellaud, J. M. Achard, and N. Oudart, “Cerebroprotective effect of angiotensin IV in experimental ischemic stroke in the rat mediated by AT4 receptors,” *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 329–342, 2006.

[114] F. Li, A. K. Shetty, and K. Sugahara, “Neurotogenic activity of chondroitin/dermatan sulfate hybrid chains of embryonic pig brain and their mimicry from shark liver: involvement of the pleiotrophin and hepatocyte growth factor signaling pathways,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 282, no. 5, pp. 2956–2966, 2007.

[115] I. Moeller, D. H. Small, G. Reed, J. W. Harding, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, and S. Y. Chai, “Angiotensin IV inhibits neurite outgrowth in cultured embryonic chicken sympathetic neurons,” *Brain Research*, vol. 725, no. 1, pp. 61–66, 1996.

[116] L. Korhonen, U. Sjöholm, N. Takei et al., “Expression of c-Met in developing rat hippocampus: evidence for HGF as a neurotrophic factor for calbindin-D-expressing neurons,” *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 3453–3461, 2000.

[117] E. M. Powell, S. Mühlfriedel, J. Bolz, and P. Levitt, “Differential regulation of thalamic and cortical axonal growth by hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor,” *Developmental Neurosciences*, vol. 25, no. 2–4, pp. 197–206, 2003.

[118] J. W. Harding, V. I. Cook, A. V. Miller-Wing et al., “Identification of an AT1 (3-8) [AlV] binding site in guinea pig hippocampus,” *Brain Research*, vol. 583, no. 1–2, pp. 340–343, 1992.

[119] J. W. Wright, B. J. Yamamoto, and J. W. Harding, “Angiotensin receptor subtype mediate physiologies and behaviors: new discoveries and clinical targets,” *Progress in Neurobiology*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 157–181, 2008.

[120] D. P. Thewke and N. W. Seeds, “The expression of mRNAs for hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor, its receptor c-met, and one of its activators tissue-type plasminogen activator show a systematic relationship in the developing and adult cerebral cortex and hippocampus,” *Brain Research*, vol. 821, no. 2, pp. 356–367, 1999.

[121] S. J. Tyndall and R. S. Walikonis, “The receptor tyrosine kinase met and its ligand hepatocyte growth factor are clustered at excitatory synapses and can enhance clustering of synaptic proteins,” *Cell Cycle*, vol. 5, no. 14, pp. 1560–1568, 2006.

[122] B. Stragier, S. Sarre, P. Vanderheyden et al., “Metabolism of angiotensin II is required for its in vivo effect on dopamine release in the striatum of the rat,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1251–1257, 2004.

[123] J. Lee, S. Y. Chai, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, M. J. Morris, and A. M. Allen, “Potentiation of cholinergic transmission in the rat hippocampus by angiotensin IV and LVV-hemorphin-7,” *Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 618–623, 2001.

[124] S. E. Meighan, P. C. Meighan, P. Choudhury et al., “Effects of extracellular matrix-degrading proteases matrix metalloproteinases 3 and 9 on spatial learning and synaptic plasticity,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 157–181, 2008.

[125] B. Stragier, S. Sarre, P. Vanderheyden et al., “Metabolism of angiotensin II is required for its in vivo effect on dopamine release in the striatum of the rat,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. 1251–1257, 2004.

[126] J. Lee, S. Y. Chai, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, M. J. Morris, and A. M. Allen, “Potentiation of cholinergic transmission in the rat hippocampus by angiotensin IV and LVV-hemorphin-7,” *Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 618–623, 2001.

[127] S. E. Meighan, P. C. Meighan, P. Choudhury et al., “Effects of extracellular matrix-degrading proteases matrix metalloproteinases 3 and 9 on spatial learning and synaptic plasticity,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 84, no. 2, pp. 157–181, 2008.

[128] P. C. Meighan, S. E. Meighan, C. J. Davis, J. W. Wright, and J. W. Harding, “Effects of matrix metalloproteinase inhibition on short- and long-term plasticity of schaffer collateral/CA1 synapses,” *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 2085–2096, 2007.

[129] T. Inoue, “Dynamics of calcium and its roles in the dendrite of the cerebellar Purkinje cell,” *Keio Journal of Medicine*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 244–249, 2003.
Y. Schmitz, J. Luccarelli, M. Kim, M. Wang, and D. Sulzer, "Glutamate controls growth rate and branching of dopaminergic axons," Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 29, no. 38, pp. 11973–11981, 2009.

E. M. Powell, S. Muhlfriedel, J. Bolz, and P. Levitt, "Differential regulation of thalamic and cortical axonal growth by heparocyte growth factor/scatter factor," Developmental Neuroscience, vol. 25, no. 2–4, pp. 197–206, 2003.

A. T. McCoy, C. B. Benoist, J. W. Wright et al., "Evaluation of metabolically stabilized angiotensin IV analogs as pro-cognitive/anti-dementia agents," Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics. In press.

A. M. Allen, D. P. MacGregor, S. Y. Chai et al., "Angiotensin II receptor binding associated with nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons in human basal ganglia," Annals of Neurology, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 339–344, 1992.

S. Y. Chai, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, and G. Paxinos, "Angiotensin converting enzyme in rat brain visualized by quantitative in vitro autoradiography," Neuroscience, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 615–627, 1987.

S. Y. Chai, J. S. McKenzie, M. I. McKinley, and F. A. O. Mendelsohn, "Angiotensin converting enzyme in the human basal forebrain and midbrain visualized by in vitro autoradiography," Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 291, no. 2, pp. 179–194, 1990.

S. M. Strittmatter, E. A. Thiele, M. S. Kaploff, and S. H. Snyder, "A rat brain isozyme of angiotensin-converting enzyme," Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 260, no. 17, pp. 9825–9832, 1985.

K. A. Reardon, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, S. Y. Chai, and M. K. Horne, "The angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor, perindopril, modifies the clinical features of Parkinson's disease," Australian and New Zealand Journal of Medicine, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 48–53, 2000.

T. A. Jenkins, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, and S. Y. Chai, "Angiotensin-converting enzyme modulates dopamine turnover in the striatum," Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1304–1311, 1997.

M. R. W. Ehlers and J. F. Riordan, "Angiotensin-converting enzyme: new concepts concerning its biological role," Biochemistry, vol. 28, no. 13, pp. 5311–5318, 1989.

T. Chabrashvili, C. Kitiyakara, J. Blau et al., "Effects of ANG II type 1 and 2 receptors on oxidative stress, renal NADPH oxidase, and SOD expression," American Journal of Physiology, vol. 285, no. 1, pp. R117–R124, 2003.

J. Rodriguez-Pallares, P. Rey, J. A. Parga, A. Muño, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Brain angiotensin enhances dopaminergic cell death via microglial activation and NADPH-derived ROS," Neurobiology of Disease, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2008.

T. A. Jenkins, J. Y. F. Wong, D. W. Howells, F. A. O. Mendelsohn, and S. Y. Chai, "Effect of chronic angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition on striatal dopamine content in the MPTP-treated mouse," Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 73, no. 1, pp. 214–219, 1999.

A. Muño, P. Rey, M. J. Guerra, E. Mendez-Alvarez, R. Soto-Otero, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Reduction of dopaminergic degeneration and oxidative stress by inhibition of angiotensin converting enzyme in a MPTP model of parkinsonism," Neuropsychopharmacology, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 112–120, 2006.

A. Lopez-Real, P. Rey, R. Soto-Otero, E. Mendez-Alvarez, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition reduces oxidative stress and protects dopaminergic neurons in a 6-hydroxydopamine rat model of Parkinsonism," Journal of Neuroscience Research, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 865–873, 2005.

B. Merten, P. Vanderheyden, Y. Michotte, and S. Sarre, "The role of the central renin-angiotensin system in Parkinson's disease," Journal of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 49–56, 2010.

B. M. Babior, "NADPH oxidase," Current Opinion in Immunology, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 42–47, 2004.

B. Joglar, J. Rodriguez-Pallares, A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, P. Rey, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "The inflammatory response in the MPTP model of Parkinson's disease is mediated by brain angiotensin: relevance to progression of the disease," Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 109, no. 2, pp. 656–669, 2009.

A. Okamura, H. Rakugi, M. Ohishi et al., "Upregulation of renin-angiotensin system during differentiation of monocytes to macrophages," Journal of Hypertension, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 537–545, 1999.

B. Merten, M. Varcin, Y. Michotte, and S. Sarre, "The neuroprotective action of candesartan is related to interference with the early stages of 6-hydroxydopamine-induced dopaminergic cell death," European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1141–1148, 2011.

A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, R. Valenzuela, B. Joglar, P. Garrido-Gil, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Renin angiotensin system and gender differences in dopaminergic degeneration," Molecular Neurodegeneration, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 58–70, 2011.

A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, R. Valenzuela, B. Villar-Cheda, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Dopaminergic neuroprotection of hormonal replacement therapy in young and aged menopausal rats: role of the brain angiotensin system," Brain, vol. 135, no. 1, pp. 124–138, 2012.

T. N. Grammatopoulos, S. M. Jones, F. A. Ahmadi et al., "Angiotensin type I receptor antagonist losartan, reduces MPTP-induced degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in substantia nigra," Molecular Neurodegeneration, vol. 2, no. 1, article 1, 17 pages, 2007.

P. Garrido-Gil, B. Joglar, A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, "Involvement of PPAR-gamma in the neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory effects of angiotensin type 1 receptor inhibition: effects of the receptor antagonist telmisartan and receptor deletion in a mouse MPTP model of Parkinson's disease," Journal of Neuroinflammation, vol. 9, no. 1, article 38, 16 pages, 2012.

C. Becker, S. S. Jick, and C. R. Meier, "Use of antihypertensives and the risk of Parkinson disease," Neurology, vol. 70, no. 16, pp. 1438–1444, 2008.

A. Ascherio and C. M. Tanner, "Use of antihypertensives and the risk of Parkinson disease," Neurology, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 578–579, 2009.

G. R. K. Sarma, V. Kamath, T. Matthew, and A. K. Roy, "A case of Parkinsonism worsened by losartan: a probable new adverse effect," Movement Disorders, vol. 23, no. 7, p. 1055, 2008.

E. F. Grady, L. A. Sechi, C. A. Gripp, M. Schambelan, and J. E. Kalinyak, "Expression of AT2 receptors in the developing rat fetus," Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 921–933, 1991.

L. Zanko, W. M. Palkovits, P. Corvol, and C. Llonek-Cortez, "Distribution of angiotensin II type-2 receptor (AT2) mRNA expression in the adult rat brain," Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 322–339, 1986.
[156] A. H. Nielsen, K. Schausser, H. Winther, V. Dantzer, and K. Poulsen, “Angiotensin II receptors and renin in the porcine uterus: myometrial AT2 and endometrial AT1 receptors are down-regulated during gestation," Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 309–314, 1997.

[157] K. Song, A. M. Allen, G. Paxinos, and F. A. O. Mendelsohn, “Mapping of angiotensin II receptor subtype heterogeneity in rat brain,” Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 316, no. 4, pp. 467–484, 1992.

[158] A. M. Nuyt, Z. Lenkei, M. Palkovits, P. Corvol, and C. Llorens-Cortes, “Ontogeny of angiotensin II type 2 receptor mRNA expression in fetal and neonatal rat brain,” Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 407, no. 2, pp. 193–206, 1999.

[159] F. Côté, T. H. Do, L. Laffamme, J. M. Gallo, and N. Gallo-Payet, “Activation of the AT2 receptor of angiotensin II induces neurite outgrowth and cell migration in microexplant cultures of the cerebellum,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 274, no. 44, pp. 31686–31692, 1999.

[160] S. Meffert, M. Stoll, U. M. Steckelings, S. P. Bottari, and T. Unger, “The angiotensin II AT1 receptor inhibits proliferation and promotes differentiation in PC12W cells,” Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 59–67, 1996.

[161] U. Stroth, S. Meffert, S. Gallinat, and T. Unger, “Angiotensin II and NGF differentially influence microtubule proteins in PC12W cells: role of the AT2 receptor,” Molecular Brain Research, vol. 53, no. 1-2, pp. 187–195, 1998.

[162] J. Li, J. Culman, H. Hörtnagl et al., “Angiotensin AT2 receptor protects against cerebral ischemia-induced neuronal injury,” The FASEB Journal, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 617–619, 2005.

[163] J. Rodriguez-Pallares, C. R. Quiroz, J. A. Parga, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Angiotensin II increases differentiation of dopaminergic neurons from mesencephalic precursors via angiotensin type 2 receptors,” European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1489–1498, 2004.

[164] H. Y. Sohn, U. Raff, A. Hoffmann et al., “Differential role of angiotensin II receptor subtypes on endothelial superoxide formation,” British Journal of Pharmacology, vol. 131, no. 4, pp. 667–672, 2000.

[165] J. Rodriguez-Pallares, P. Rey, J. A. Parga, A. Muñoz, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Brain angiotensin enhances dopaminergic cell death via microglial activation and NADPH-derived ROS,” Neurobiology of Disease, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2008.

[166] J. Ge and N. M. Barnes, “Alterations in angiotensin AT1 and AT2 receptor subtype levels in brain regions from patients with neurodegenerative disorders,” European Journal of Pharmacology, vol. 297, no. 3, pp. 299–306, 1996.

[167] P. Garrido-Gil, R. Valenzuela, B. Villar-Cheda, J. L. Lanciego, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Expression of angiotensinogen and receptors for angiotensin and prorenin in the monkey and human substantia nigra: an intracellular renin-angiotensin system in the nigra,” Brain Structure and Function. In press.

[168] J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, J. Rodriguez-Pallares, B. Villar-Cheda, A. I. Rodriguez-Perz, P. Garrido-Gil, and M. J. Guerra, “Aging, angiotensin system and dopaminergic degeneration in the substantia nigra,” Aging and Disease, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 257–274, 2011.

[169] A. I. Rodríguez-Perez, R. Valenzuela, B. Joglar, P. Garrido-Gil, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Renin angiotensin system and gender differences in dopaminergic degeneration,” Molecular Neurodegeneration, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 58–64, 2011.

[170] B. Villar-Cheda, J. Rodriguez-Pallares, R. Valenzuela et al., “Nigral and striatal regulation of angiotensin receptor expression by dopamine and angiotensin in rodents: implications for progression of Parkinson’s disease,” European Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1695–1706, 2010.

[171] A. I. Rodriguez-Perezm, R. Valenzuela, B. Villar-Cheda, M. J. Guerra, J. L. Lanciego, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Estrogen and angiotensin interaction in the substantia nigra. Relevance to postmenopausal Parkinson’s disease,” Experimental Neurology, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 517–526, 2010.

[172] B. Villar-Cheda, R. Valenzuela, A. I. Rodríguez-Perez, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Aging-related changes in the nigral angiotensin system enhances proinflammatory and pro-oxidative markers and 6-OHDA-induced dopaminergic degeneration,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. e1–e11, 2012.

[173] A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, A. Dominique-Meijide, J. L. Lanciego, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Dopaminergic degeneration is enhanced by chronic brain hypoperfusion and inhibited by angiotensin receptor blockade,” Age. In press.

[174] T. J. Collier, J. Lipton, B. F. Daley et al., “Aging-related changes in the nigrostriatal dopamine system and the response to MPTP in nonhuman primates: diminished compensatory mechanisms as a prelude to parkinsonism,” Neurobiology of Disease, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 56–65, 2007.

[175] I. Cruz-Muros, D. Afonso-Oramas, P. Abreu, M. M. Pérez-Delgado, M. Rodriguez, and T. González-Hernández, “Aging effects on the dopamine transporter expression and compensatory mechanisms,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 973–986, 2009.

[176] A. L. McCormack, D. A. Di Monte, K. Delfani et al., “Aging of the nigrostriatal system in the squirrel monkey,” Journal of Comparative Neurology, vol. 471, no. 4, pp. 387–395, 2004.

[177] B. Villar-Cheda, R. Valenzuela, A. I. Rodriguez-Perez, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Aging-related changes in the nigral angiotensin system enhances proinflammatory and pro-oxidative markers and 6-OHDA-induced dopaminergic degeneration,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 33, no. 1, p. 204, 2012.

[178] J. Rodriguez-Pallares, J. A. Parga, A. Muñoz, P. Rey, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Mechanism of 6-hydroxydopamine neurotoxicity: the role of NADPH oxidase and microglial activation in 6-hydroxypaminergic degeneration of dopaminergic neurons,” Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 145–156, 2007.

[179] D. C. Wu, V. Jackson-Lewis, M. Vila et al., “Blockade of microglial activation is neuroprotective in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine mouse model of Parkinson’s disease,” Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1763–1771, 2002.

[180] J. Rodriguez-Pallares, P. Rey, J. A. Parga, A. Muñoz, M. J. Guerra, and J. L. Labandeira-Garcia, “Brain angiotensin enhances dopaminergic cell death via microglial activation and NADPH-derived ROS,” Neurobiology of Disease, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 58–73, 2008.

[181] M. A. Zawada, B. P. Banninger, J. Thornton et al., “Generation of reactive oxygen species in 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP+) treated dopaminergic neurons occurs as an NADPH oxidase-dependent two-wave cascade,” Journal of Neuroinflammation, vol. 8, no. 1, article 129, 13 pages, 2011.
[182] L. Qin, Y. Liu, T. Wang et al., “NADPH oxidase mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced neurotoxicity and proinflammatory gene expression in activated microglia,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 2, pp. 1415–1421, 2004.

[183] P. N. Seshiah, D. S. Weber, P. Rocic, L. Valppu, Y. Taniyama, and K. K. Griendling, “Angiotensin II stimulation of NAD(P)H oxidase activity: upstream mediators,” *Circulation Research*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 406–413, 2002.

[184] R. M. Touyz, X. Chen, F. Tabet et al., “Expression of a functionally active gp91phox-containing neutrophil-type NAD(P)H oxidase in smooth muscle cells from human resistance arteries: regulation by angiotensin II,” *Circulation Research*, vol. 90, no. 11, pp. 1205–1213, 2002.

[185] T. Nakamura, S. Mizuno, K. Matsumoto, Y. Sawa, H. Matsuda, and T. Nakamura, “Myocardial protection from ischemia/reperfusion injury by endogenous and exogenous HGF,” *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 106, no. 12, pp. 1511–1519, 2000.

[186] M. L. Liu, W. M. Mars, R. Zarnegar, and G. K. Michalopoulos, “Uptake and distribution of hepatocyte growth factor in normal and regenerating adult rat liver,” *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 144, no. 1, pp. 129–140, 1994.

[187] Y. Liu, “Hepatocyte growth factor and the kidney,” *Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 23–30, 2002.

[188] J. Yang, C. Dai, and Y. Liu, “Hepatocyte growth factor gene therapy and angiotensin II blockade synergistically attenuate renal interstitial fibrosis in mice,” *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 2464–2477, 2002.