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The structural, electronic and magnetic properties of the \((\text{FeC})_n (n = 1\text{–}8) \) clusters are studied using the unbiased CALYPSO structure search method and density functional theory. A combination of the PBE functional and 6–311+\(G^*\) basis set is used for determining global minima on potential energy surfaces of \((\text{FeC})_n \) clusters. Relatively stabilities are analyzed via computing their binding energies, second order difference and HOMO-LUMO gaps. In addition, the origin of magnetic properties, spin density and density of states are discussed in detail, respectively. At last, based on the same computational method, the structures, magnetic properties and density of states are systemically investigated for the 3\(d\) (\(\text{V, Cr, Mn and Co} \)) atom doped \((\text{FeC})_n \) cluster.

Magnetic clusters are aggregates of a few to thousands of atoms or molecular that exhibit magnetism. Since the foundation of quantum mechanics, the magnetism has been extensively investigated and well understood. Many workers have witnessed increasing interest in the magnetic properties of clusters from both basic science and technological applications\(^1\). The magnetic behaviors of a cluster can be measured by the Stern-Gerlach deflection and XMCD (X-ray magnetic circular dichroism spectroscopy) in a molecular beam. With the rapid progress in computational physics, now it is possible to study magnetic properties of cluster from first-principles calculations, and get more and accurate information. Due to partly filled \(d\) shells and an accompanying complicated energy landscape, the transition-metal (TM) clusters show a different magnetic behavior from that of the corresponding bulk, and have attracted resurgent interests in new magnetic materials\(^2\)–\(^4\). For example, enhanced magnetic moments have been observed in small clusters of iron and cobalt\(^5\)–\(^7\), and ferromagnetic or ferromagnetic ordering has been identified in chromium (\(\text{Cr}_n (n = \text{5–8})\)) and manganese (\(\text{Mn}_n (n = \text{5–9})\)) cluster, even though Cr and Mn are both antiferromagnetic in the bulk phase\(^8\). Besides, many experimental investigations have been employed to study the magnetic properties of \(\text{Ni}_n, \text{Co}_n \) and \(\text{Fe}_n \) clusters\(^9\)–\(^14\). For example, Cox \textit{et al}.\(^9\) performed the first measurement of Stern-Gerlach deflection of individual mass-selected \(\text{Fe}_n \) clusters, and found that these clusters are paramagnetic, possessing magnetic moments which increase linearly with cluster size. Bloomfield’s group\(^9\)–\(^12\) carried out a series of Stern-Gerlach measurements on the magnetic properties of Co and Ni clusters. Peredkov \textit{et al}.\(^13\) measured temperature dependent XMCD of \(\text{Co}_n \) clusters, from which the intrinsic spin and orbital magnetic moments are deduced. In theoretical factor, Bhunia \textit{et al}.\(^15\) performed a comprehensive DFT (density functional theory) calculation of the magnetic properties of \(\text{Sc}_n (n = 2\text{–}14) \) clusters. The magnetic moments of \(\text{Cr}_n, \text{Mn}_n \) and \(\text{Fe}_n \) clusters are calculated by the discrete variational non-collinear spin-density functional method\(^16\). In addition, several \textit{ab initio} calculations are carried out to understand the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of \(\text{Mn}_2, \text{Cr}_2 \) and \(\text{Co}_2 \) clusters\(^17\)–\(^18\). To date, the rich and varied magnetic behavior displayed in bulk binary alloys suggests that bimetalltic TM clusters may display interesting and potentially useful magnetic properties. Among the 30 kinds of TM clusters, due to the position of iron between the early and late TM, carbon-iron clusters have obtained comprehensive understanding of the geometrical properties, electron structures and magnetism regardless of the experimental measurements or theoretical calculations\(^19\)–\(^27\). For example, FeC molecules are generated in a laser vaporization molecular beam source and detected by laser induced fluorescence\(^25\). Based on the photoelectron spectroscopy, the electronic structure and chemical bonding are studied for FeC\(^{2+}\) clusters.
by Li et al. Fan and co-workers measured the photoelectron spectra of FeC\textsubscript{3} and FeC\textsubscript{4} clusters at 3.49 eV photon energy. Later, several data such as the bond lengths, ionization and dissociation energies, vibrational frequencies and dipole moment are also determined by experimental measurements. A large number of theoretical reports are available for describing the effects of size and structure to change the electronic and other properties of clusters. The geometric conformations and electronic states of FeC\textsubscript{2} cluster are studied by Arbuszov et al. using the DFT (B3LYP) and CASSCF/CASPT2 methods. On the basis of the DMol3 package, Ryzhkov et al. carried out an extensive study for the geometric structures, effective charges and total spin densities of FeC\textsubscript{2}, FeC\textsubscript{3}, FeC\textsubscript{4}, FeC\textsubscript{5} and FeC\textsubscript{6} clusters. From this study it reflects that the triangular configurations of FeC\textsubscript{2} and FeC\textsubscript{5} contain the lowest binding energy. The most stable planar structures for FeC\textsubscript{3} and FeC\textsubscript{4} are favored over the three-dimensional isomers. Recently, Wang et al. reported photoelectron spectra of FeC\textsubscript{3}− cluster, and found that the ground states of FeC\textsubscript{2} and FeC\textsubscript{5}− clusters have C\textsubscript{2v} ring structures. Using gas phase ion chromatography, Helden et al. studied the structures of Fe\textsubscript{n}C\textsubscript{m} (n = 1–3 and m = 2–8) clusters, and observed different chemical compositions possess the different structure. Practically speaking, clusters with one iron atom have linear structures for m ≤ 4, while larger clusters exhibit cyclic structures. Clusters with two iron atoms are pure monocyclic rings. The three iron species possess three-dimensional structures. Very recently, Ma's group investigated the structures and magnetic moments of FeC\textsubscript{n} (n = 1–8) and FeC\textsubscript{n} (n = 1–6) clusters by all-electron density functional theory. Results showed that the ground states of FeC\textsubscript{n} (n = 1–8) clusters have the linear structures with the Fe atom bonded at one end, except for FeC\textsubscript{2}. Fe\textsubscript{n}C\textsubscript{m} clusters with even n, plus FeC\textsubscript{2}, have cyclic planar structures with transannular bonds. FeC\textsubscript{n} clusters with odd n (n = 3, 5) prefer linear geometries with the two Fe atoms at the two ends. Furthermore, analysis of the Mulliken population showed charge transfers from the Fe atoms to the C atoms with the magnetic moment lying primarily on the Fe atoms. Although a number of experimental and theoretical studies have been done on the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of FeC\textsubscript{n} clusters, a theoretical analysis of the structures, electronic and magnetic properties is still unexplored for FeC\textsubscript{n} (n = 1–8) clusters. Since, the study of the cluster size structure is a prerequisite for understanding their electronic and magnetic properties. The determination of lowest energy structure plays a crucial role. However, because of much increased complexity of the potential surface as well as the exponential increase of structures with increasing number of atoms, the determination of the true global minimum structure is a challenging problem.

In the present work, we carried out an extensive structure search for (FeC)n (n = 1–8) clusters by combining the Crystal structure Analysis by Particle Swarm Optimization (CALYPSO) searching method and density functional theory calculations. This combined CALYPSO/DFT computational approach has been previously used to search for low-lying palladium clusters, copper clusters, ruthenium doped germanium clusters, etc. Based on the lowest energy structure, the electronic and magnetic properties are investigated in detail as the cluster size increase. At last, the structures, magnetic properties and density of states are systemically investigated with the same computational method for the 3d (V, Cr, Mn and Co) atom doped (FeC)n cluster.

The paper is organized as follows: we present and discuss the findings pertaining to geometrical structures, relative stability, magnetic properties and density of states of the ground state structures in the following. Subsequently, the structures, magnetic properties and density of states are systemically investigated for (FeC)nTM (TM = V, Cr, Mn and Co) clusters. Then, our conclusions are summarized. Finally, details of the computational method are concisely described.

**Results and Discussions**

**Structures of (FeC)n (n = 1–8) clusters.** The global minimum structures of the (FeC)n (n = 1–8) clusters are shown in Fig. 1 along with their three low-lying isomers for discussion, in which relative energies, point group symmetry and total spin magnetic moments are also presented in Fig. 1. The corresponding Cartesian coordinates and the local and total spin magnetic moments are calculated and listed in Table S1 in the Supporting Information (SI).

The lowest energy structure of FeC\textsubscript{1} (C\textsubscript{\text{v}}) with bond length 1.56 Å, which is smaller than the Fe-Fe bond (2.01 Å) and bigger than the C-C bond (1.32 Å), possesses ferrimagnetic ordering and a total spin magnetic moment of 1\(\mu_B\) (considering spin orientation).

For (FeC)n clusters, a distorted parallelogram (C\textsubscript{\text{1}}) is found to be the ground state, of which the four atoms have ferromagnetic ordering corresponding to a total spin magnetic moment of 6\(\mu_B\) and an average spin moment per Fe atom of 2.84\(\mu_B\). The second isomer (C\textsubscript{\text{2}}) with a ferrimagnetic C-C coupling and ferromagnetic Fe-Fe coupling is 0.46 eV higher in energy than the ground state. The third isomer (C\textsubscript{\text{3}}) with the same spin orientation has a total spin 8\(\mu_B\) and is 0.48 eV higher than the ground state. The rhombic structure (D\textsubscript{3h}) is 0.79 eV higher in energy than the ground state with Fe and C atoms located at opposite vertices. The structure has ferromagnetic ordering and a total spin magnetic moment of 6\(\mu_B\). In conclusion, all of atoms of 1st, 3rd and 4th structures have ferromagnetic ordering; while the C-C coupling in the second structure possesses ferromagnetic ordering. So, the second structure possesses the smallest total spin moment of 4\(\mu_B\).

From n = 3 on, the ground state (C\textsubscript{\text{1}}) is found to be three-dimensional structure with a total spin magnetic moment 8\(\mu_B\) and a mean spin moment per Fe atom of 2.7\(\mu_B\). Two other low-lying isomers (C\textsubscript{\text{2}}, C\textsubscript{\text{3}}) are 0.05 eV and 0.11 eV higher in energy than the ground state. The plan pentagonal structure with total spin moment 8\(\mu_B\) lies only 0.37 eV higher in energy. It is worth mentioning that all of Fe-Fe coupling of the structures is ferromagnetic ordering.

For (FeC)n, the C\textsubscript{\text{1}} structure is obtained as the lowest energy structure, having a total spin magnetic moment of 8\(\mu_B\) and the mean spin moment per Fe atom of 2.08\(\mu_B\). The C\textsubscript{\text{3}} structure has energy 0.30 eV higher than that of the ground state and is found to be the second isomer. Two other low-lying isomers have C\textsubscript{\text{3}} structure and lie 0.32 eV, 1.02 eV, respectively, higher in energy.
In the case of (FeC)\(_5\), the total spin magnetic moment is 8\(\mu_B\) for the ground state (\(C_1\)). The 2nd and 3rd have the same symmetry structure as like of the ground state. And, total spin magnetic moments are 2\(\mu_B\) and 4\(\mu_B\), respectively. The fourth structure (\(C_4\)) with total spin magnetic moments are 8\(\mu_B\) is 1.24 eV higher in energy than the ground state.

At \(n = 6\), the cage type (\(C_2\)) structure is found to be the ground state structure. The corresponding total spin magnetic moment and mean spin moment per Fe atom are 10\(\mu_B\) and 1.76\(\mu_B\), respectively. This is followed by
The variation of binding energy and HOMO-LUMO corresponding to the most stable geometry; the chart in the inset is the second order difference (all units in eV).

Figure 2. The variation of binding energy and HOMO-LUMO corresponding to the most stable geometry; the chart in the inset is the second order difference (all units in eV).

Relatively stabilities and electronic properties. Stability of a cluster could be judged through its binding energy $E_b(n)$ per atom, which can be defined as:

$$E_b(n) = \frac{nE(Fe) + nE(C) - E(FeC)_n}{2n}$$

where $E(Fe)$, $E(C)$, $E(FeC)_n$ are an isolated Fe atom, an isolated C atom and the total energies of $(FeC)_n$ clusters, respectively. The $E_b(n)$ quantities as a function of cluster size are given in Fig. 2a. Significantly, we can see that the $E_b(n)$ values increase monotonically with cluster size, revealing that the stabilities are relatively enhanced. Moreover, the values of $E_b(n)$ increases rapidly in the size range from 1 to 2.

The second order difference of energy $\Delta_2E(n)$ is a sensitive quality, reflecting the relatively stability of clusters. In cluster physics, $\Delta_2E(n)$ is defined as:

$$\Delta_2E(n) = E(FeC)_{n-1} + E(FeC)_{n+1} - 2E(FeC)_n$$

$E(FeC)_n$, $E(FeC)_{n-1}$ and $E(FeC)_{n+1}$ represent the total energies of the corresponding clusters, respectively. The plot between second order energy difference and cluster size is plotted in the inset in Fig. 2a. Quite clearly, three distinct peaks at $n = 2$, 4 and 6 are observed, which indicate that $(FeC)_2$, $(FeC)_4$ and $(FeC)_6$ are relatively more stable than its neighboring clusters. Remarkably, $n = 2$ correspond to the largest second order difference of energies.

The energy difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is considered to be an important symbol in terms of the electronic stability. A large HOMO-LUMO energy gap is characteristic of chemical stability. The evolution of HOMO-LUMO energy gap (HLEG) for the ground state $(FeC)_n (n = 1–8)$ clusters is shown in Fig. 2b. Clearly, two local maxima are found at $n = 1$ and 2, suggesting that FeC and $(FeC)_2$ clusters are more stable than their neighbors. For further to determine the stability of clusters, the Mayer bond order are included. Generally, the value of Mayer bond order is in agreement with empirical bond order, for single, double and triple bond the value is close to 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 respectively. For $(FeC)_2$ cluster, the bond order of carbon-carbon bond (1.989) is close to 2.0, implying there exists a double-bond between carbon-carbon bonds. Moreover, the bond order of Fe-Fe bond has a relatively larger value (1.359). So, the stability of $(FeC)_2$ is mainly due to the formation of the strong C=C bond and the formation of the Fe-Fe bond. In addition, the calculated HOMO and LUMO isosurface is lucidly plotted in the Fig. 3. In Fig. 3, the HOMOs are mostly localized at Fe atoms, a small part of the orbital of C atoms are surrounded by three low-lying isomers (s$^1$, s$^3$, s$^4$). And, the relative energies compared with the ground state are 0.16 eV, 0.26 eV and 0.30 eV, respectively.

With regard to $(FeC)_7$, the s$^1$ structure is obtained as the ground state structure having a total spin magnetic moment of 4 $\mu_B$. The s$^1$ structure has energy 0.56 eV higher than that of the ground state and is found to be the second isomer. The s$^3$ and s$^4$ structures have total spin magnetic moments 6 $\mu_B$ and 6 $\mu_B$, respectively, and they lie 0.58 eV and 1.88 eV higher than the ground state.

For $(FeC)_8$, the total spin magnetic moment and mean spin moment per Fe atom of the lowest energy structure $(D_{2h})$ are 10 $\mu_B$ and 1.34 $\mu_B$, respectively. The structure with $C_{3v}$, C$^1$, C$^3$, C$^{2H}$, respectively, are all with Fe and C atoms locating at the surface sites and is 0.15 eV, 0.23 eV and 0.82 eV higher in energy than the ground state. The corresponding total spin magnetic moments are 6 $\mu_B$, 2 $\mu_B$ and 6 $\mu_B$, respectively.

To validate the effectiveness of functional and basis set, a comparative analysis is made between experimental spin magnetic moments, bond length and our computed data of the species namely C$^2$, Fe$^2$, FeC and $(FeC)_2$. For example, the calculated total spin magnetic moments (2 $\mu_B$, 6 $\mu_B$ and 2 $\mu_B$) for C$^2$, Fe$^2$ and FeC clusters are in good agreement with the theoretical values (2 $\mu_B$, 6 $\mu_B$ and 2 $\mu_B$) for FeC clusters, the present bond length (1.563 Å) is consistent with those previous values (1.61 Å and 1.575 Å) obtained by Ma and Noya et al. using DFT and DMOL package. For FeC$^2$, the calculated total spin magnetic moment (6 $\mu_B$) is consistent with empirical bond order, for single, double and triple bond the value is close to 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 respectively. Furthermore, the overall good agreement between the experiment and theory lends good confidence for the selected levels of theory used for other more complicated iron-carbon species in the current study.
Comparing the HOMO and LUMO isosurface of different (FeC)ₙ clusters, we can infer that the chemical stability is dependent on the Fe atom in the clusters.

The shell model of metal clusters is based on fully delocalized molecular orbitals (MOs) for the cluster electrons, which the valence electrons of the cluster atoms are placed in shells of s, p, and d character of the overall system. Here, for an in-depth understanding the shell model of clusters, we analyzed their MOs based on the Multiwfn program package. As evidenced by the diagram, the HOMO and LUMO of FeC cluster possess Fe-3d atomic orbital (99%) and very small C-2p orbital. However, for (FeC)₈ cluster, the LUMO contains Fe-3d (60.29%) orbital with admixtures of Fe-4s (11.00%) and C-2p (19.66%) character; For HOMO, the Fe-3d orbital decreased to 57.16% with admixtures of Fe-3s (3.68%), Fe-4p (4.96%), C-2p (26.84%) and C 2s (4.1%) orbital.

To further elucidate the magnetic behavior of clusters, the spin density is also shown in Fig. 3. Blue and red represent the excess of spin up and spin down electrons, respectively, which indicates the positive and negative magnetic moments. For FeC cluster, the Fe atom is surrounded completely by the blue region, it implies that Fe atom has larger positive magnetic moments. For C atom, it is encircled by red and blue region. Because of the regions with red area is larger than that of blue area, C atom possesses negative magnetic moments. For (FeC)₈ cluster, the blue region mainly localizes around the Fe atoms, while there are red region around eight C atoms. It indicates that C atoms possess the contrary magnetic ordering to Fe atoms. Furthermore, the spin density distribution is larger in the Fe atoms than that of C atoms, which indicates that the behavior of Fe atoms will dominate the magnetic behavior. Present analyses agree satisfactorily with those previously discussion based on the local and total spin moment and coupling ordering in Table S1 (see SI).

The magnetic properties of TM-doped clusters have been a subject of intense research owing to changed magnetic properties for the small size cluster compared to its bulk counterparts. So, detailed analysis of the on-site local and total spin magnetic moments and electron transfer are performed in current work. The local magnetic moment (μₛ), total spin magnetic moment (Σμₛ) and natural populations (in unit of e) of the Fe and C atoms are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 4. From Fig. 4, the local magnetic moment of Fe atom and total spin magnetic moment increase dramatically as the size changes from 1 to 3; when n = 3–5, the values almost keep constant. Starting from n > 5, the local magnetic moment of Fe atom and total spin magnetic moments exhibit distinct odd-even oscillatory. However, the local magnetic moments of C atoms keep small fluctuation. In conclusion, the total spin magnetic moments are mainly located on the iron atoms site. Carbon atoms have little influence on the total spin magnetic moments. From Table 1, it can be easily inferred that the local magnetic moments mainly come from Fe-3d states, Fe-s and Fe-p states only provide weak contribution; while the 2p state bring the biggest effect for C atoms. Additionally, the atomic chargers of the Fe atom possess positive charges from 0.032 to 2.243 e. It means that Fe acts as electron donor in all (FeC)ₙ clusters. This result may be caused by the electronegativity of Fe (1.83) is much bigger than C (2.55), which results in Fe has a stronger ability to lose electron. It is well known that the configuration of valence electrons is 2s²2p⁶ for free C atom. The introduction of a carbon atom in iron clusters can undoubtedly change configuration of valence electrons. From Table 1, we find that the 2p state gains some amount of electrons. However, the 2s state always loses some amount of electrons. This implies that there is the internal electron transfer in C atom. In general, the internal electron transfer in C atom and the charge transfer between C and Fe atoms should be major reasons for the changes of the magnetic moments of (FeC)ₙ (n = 1–8) clusters.
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Table 1. The local magnetic moment (μs), total magnetic moment (|Σμ|), and natural populations (in unit of e)
of the Fe and C atoms of (FeC)n (n = 1–8) clusters for the lowest energy structures.

| Clusters | Fe | C |
|----------|----|----|
| d        | s  | p  | μs | Qv | s | charge | p | charge | μs | Qv | Σμs |
| FeC      | 1.66 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 2.33 | 0.032 | 0.01 | 1.87 | −0.34 | 2.14 | −0.33 | −0.032 | 2 |
| (FeC)2   | 5.75 | −0.05 | −0.03 | 5.69 | 0.879 | 0.03 | 1.37 | 0.29 | 3.04 | 0.32 | −0.879 | 6 |
| (FeC)3   | 7.70 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 8.07 | 1.057 | 0.02 | 1.44 | −0.34 | 2.88 | −0.32 | −1.057 | 8 |
| (FeC)4   | 7.99 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 8.40 | 1.017 | 0.04 | 1.39 | −0.39 | 2.85 | −0.35 | −1.017 | 8 |
| (FeC)5   | 4.16 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 4.32 | 1.428 | 0.03 | 1.17 | −0.37 | 3.10 | −0.34 | −1.428 | 8 |
| (FeC)6   | 9.88 | 0.32 | 0.28 | 10.48 | 1.674 | 0.02 | 1.32 | −0.62 | 2.78 | −0.60 | −0.674 | 10 |
| (FeC)7   | 7.84 | 0.05 | −0.06 | 7.86 | 2.243 | 0.05 | 1.24 | 0.08 | 2.80 | 0.17 | −2.243 | 4 |
| (FeC)8   | 10.32 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 10.72 | 0.823 | 0.04 | 1.24 | −0.76 | 2.86 | −0.72 | −0.823 | 10 |

For gaining further insight for magnetic properties, we also calculated the total density of states (TDOS) and
partial density of states (PDOS) of FeC and (FeC)n clusters as shown in Fig. 5. The cluster Fermi level is presented
as a dashed vertical line and shifted to zero. Spin-up (alpha) and spin-down (beta) densities are given in each
case. The relative shift between the spin-up and spin-down bands indicate the degree of spin exchange splitting.
Generally, the larger the spin exchange splitting of DOS, the larger the magnetic moment of cluster. The present
drawing are good agreement with magnetic moments of 2μB and 10μB for FeC and (FeC)n clusters, respectively.

We can see from this figure that the total spin magnetic moments mainly dominated by Fe-d states, while C-s and
C-p states make a small contribution. This result is in agreement with the analysis of local and total spin magnetic
magnitudes in Table S1 (see SI). Ultimately, around the Fermi level the Fe-d is intensively overlapping not only with
C-s electrons, but also with C-p, indicating that strong sp-d hybridization occurs. For FeC and (FeC)n clusters,
the C-s a slight bump stays around the Fermi level in the PDOS, but a peak is far from the Fermi level standing at
−18 eV and −12 eV, respectively. Analyses indicate that the C-s electrons do not participate in forming the bonds
and can be treated as core electrons.

**Magnetic property of (FeC)nTM (TM = V, Cr, Mn and Co).** As mentioned above, the lowest energy
structure of (FeC)n cluster has the icosioctahedron structure with a total spin magnetic moment of 10μB and a
mean spin moment per Fe atom of 1.34 μB. So, this cluster can be chose as high-performance magnetic materials
to explore a great variety. As we know that doping is a most efficient method to modify the electronic configura-
tions and properties of a cluster. So, the introduction of a doped atom in (FeC)n clusters, such as a TM (TM = V, Cr,
Mn and Co) atom in our work, can undoubtedly change the clusters’ magnetic properties. In order to understand
the magnetic behavior, the ground state should be determined firstly. Here, four different methods are investigat-
gated and summarized as follows: (1) a TM atom substitutes one Fe or C atom, (2) a TM atom is doped into the
center of the cage. (3) a TM atom bridges over a Fe-C bond. (4) the structure prediction method—CALYPSO.

Compared with the different methods, the lowest energy structure of (FeC)nTM (TM = C, Cr, Mn and V) can
be determined based on the CALYPSO structure prediction method combined with density functional theory.
The obtained lowest energy structures are shown in Fig. 6. Optimized structures show that (FeC)nMn cluster with the same D2h point symmetry structure retain the overall shape of the corresponding initial (FeC)n cluster.

Nevertheless, Cn, C2 and C1 is found to be the most stable structure for (FeC)nV, (FeC)nCr and (FeC)nCo cluster,
respectively. Importantly, the V and Co atoms prefer to locate on the surface positions, while the Mn and Cr atoms
fall into the center of the doped clusters. To further understand the magnetic behavior of doped systems, the local
magnetic moment (μs) and natural populations (in unit of e) of the Fe, C and TM (TM = V, Cr, Mn and Co)
atoms are also calculated and presented in Table S2 (see SI). Comparing the spin orientation of different systems, in (FeC)_8Co cluster, the moment of the Co atom align in parallel to those of the surface Fe atoms of (FeC)_8 cluster. The other (FeC)_8TM (TM = V, Cr and Mn) clusters are ferromagnetic ordering, where the moments of the V, Cr and Mn atom align in opposite directions. Following the order of 3d atom in the Periodic Table, the local magnetic moments decreases sharply and has a minimum (0.08 \( \mu_B \)) for Mn cluster. After this minimum, it raises sharply with a maximum (1.34 \( \mu_B \)) for Co cluster. Secondly, under considering spin orientation, the mean spin moment per Fe atoms decrease from 1.34 \( \mu_B \) to 0.15 \( \mu_B \) in (FeC)_8Mn cluster; however, the average spin moment per Fe atom (1.26 \( \mu_B \)) of (FeC)_8Co cluster is close to the origin values (1.34 \( \mu_B \)) in initial (FeC)_8 cluster. So, several kinds of different magnetic behaviors result in the total spin magnetic moment enhancement with doped Co and reduction with doped V, Cr and Mn as compared to (FeC)_8 cluster. At last, as seen from Table S2, the values of populations for the Fe atom are positive, indicating that charges are transferred from Fe atom to the C atom. Compared the values of populations of V, Cr, Mn and Co atoms, about 0.013e electron transferring from Co to C

Figure 5. Calculated TDOS and PDOS of FeC [(a) and (b)], (FeC)_8 [(c) and (d)] clusters.

Figure 6. The lowest energy structures and spin density for (FeC)_8V, (FeC)_8Cr, (FeC)_8Mn and (FeC)_8Co cluster, respectively. Values in parentheses indicate the total spin magnetic moment.
atom is observed in (FeC)Co cluster; nevertheless, in the other three doped systems, the charges are transferred from Fe to V, Cr and Mn atoms, respectively.

In order to explore the electronic properties of the doped systems, the spin density, TDOS and PDOS are calculated and present in Fig. 6 and Figure S1 (see SI). For the spin density, there exist almost same area between blue and red in (FeC)Mn cluster. It results in a very low total spin magnetic moment (1 μB). For the DOS and PDOS, the primary features are concluded: (i) as for (FeC)Mn with a total spin magnetic moment of 1 μB, the PDOS of two spin tunnels are almost equal to each other, but for (FeC)2V, (FeC)Cr and (FeC)Co with total spin magnetic moment of 7 μB, 4 μB and 11 μB, the imbalance between two spin tunnels appear again. The larger the spin exchange splitting of TDOS corresponds to the larger total spin magnetic moment. (ii) The TDOS and Fe-d PDOS are almost overlapping each other, implying that the cluster properties are mostly dominated by the Fe-d electrons. (iii) For the PDOS of (FeC)2V and (FeC)Cr clusters, a peak of V-p or Cr-p component are found far from the Fermi level, respectively. This implies that V-p or Cr-p electrons do not participate in forming the bonds and can be treated as core electrons.

Conclusions
To summarize, we have performed a systematic, in–depth study of the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of (FeC)n (n = 1–8) and (FeC)TM (TM = C, Cr, Mn and V) clusters using CALYPSO search method combined with density functional theory. The results are summarized as follows:

(i) The planar cluster is the most stable geometries of (FeC)n (n = 1–2) clusters. From n = 3, the most stable structures are all the distorted cage structures with the Fe and C atom at the vertex, except for (FeC)3 cluster.

(ii) Maximum peaks were observed for (FeC)n clusters at n = 2, 4 and 6 on the size-dependence of second-order energy difference, implying that these clusters possess relatively higher stability than other-sized (FeC)2,3,5,7,8 clusters. Due to the larger HOMO-LUMO energy gaps and the characteristics of double carbon–carbon bond, (FeC)2 cluster has relative higher stability than other-sized (FeC)n clusters.

(iii) The total spin magnetic moments are mainly contributed by the Fe atoms and partly arise from the C component. The local magnetic moments mainly come from Fe-d and C-2p states. The charges transfer from Fe atom to C atom.

(iv) For (FeC)2TM (TM = V, Cr, Mn and Co) clusters, the V and Co atoms prefer to locate on the surface positions, while the Mn and Cr atoms fall into the center of the doped clusters. Different magnetic behaviors result in the total spin magnetic moment enhancement with doped Co and reduction with doped V, Cr and Mn atoms. Charges tend to transfer from Fe and TM (TM = V, Cr and Mn) to C atoms. However, in (FeC)Co clusters, the charges transfer from Fe to C and Co atom. At last, the properties of doped cluster are mostly dominated by the Fe-d electrons. And, V-p or Cr-p electrons do not participate in forming the bonds and can be treated as core electrons.

Methods
Based on globally minimizing potential energy surfaces, the structure search is evaluated by DFT calculations through a generalized version of a particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm specific for cluster structure prediction, as implemented in the CALYPSO package. PSO algorithm is a global optimization algorithm based on the group search, which was proposed by Eberhart and Kennedy at 199550,51. As a high efficient multiple target algorithm, it has been applied to system identification and training of neural network. Recently, Ma et al. have developed a CALYPSO methodology, which is the first application of PSO algorithm into extended systems. The CALYPSO method can efficiently explore the multidimensional potential energy surfaces at given external conditions (e.g., pressure) and requires only known information of chemical compositions to predict the stable structure. In the process of search, a sequence of 50 generations of structural candidates is followed to achieve convergence of the search. Each generation contain 20 structures, 70% of which are generated by PSO. The others are new and will be generated randomly. So, we can achieve 1000 structurally different low-lying isomers. Subsequently, the top 50 low-lying isomers are considered as candidates for the lowest-lying structures. These candidates are further re-optimized using the PBE functional with the 6–311+G basis set, implemented in the Gaussian09 package52–55. During the re-optimization for each cluster, the effect of the spin multiplicity is taken into account; and vibrational frequency calculations are used to verify the nature of real local minima.
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