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Abstract: This study presents the potential of silica fume (SF) in the stabilization of cement and dune sand for road bases and sub-bases. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) was used as a stabilizer and SF as an additive. Employed percentages of cement were 0, 6, 9 and 12, and silica fume/cement (SF/C) ratios were 0, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6. Various geotechnical properties such as grain size distribution, maximum dry density (MDD), optimum moisture content (OMC), unconfined compressive strength (UCS), California bearing ratio (CBR), swell and wet-dry durability were studied. Test results showed that all these geotechnical properties were improved with the addition of both cement and SF. From the point of view of efficiency and economy, SF/C ratio of 0.20 would be called as “optimum ratio”. On the basis of UCS, CBR value, swell, soil-cement loss and mix proportion, this investigation recommends mix proportions (% SF + % cement + % sand) of 1.8 + 9.0 + 100 and 0 + 12 + 100 for base materials and 1.2 + 6 + 100 for sub-base materials.
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1. Introduction

1.1. General

Most of the developing countries of the world have been suffering from acute shortage of conventional construction materials due to the ever increased constructions of roads, highways, bridges, buildings etc. for the continual increase of the growth of population. With this fastest growth of suburban areas, it has been incrementally necessitated searching for new construction materials, which can be used as an alternative or partial replacement of conventional materials such as cement, well graded aggregates etc. If the industrial waste and/or locally available abundant materials could be utilized in construction work, the construction industry would be benefited and the environment could be protected from pollution. In this research work, two of such materials SF and dune sand have been chosen to serve the purposes.

The improvement of the geotechnical properties of a soil by the addition of admixtures is generally called as soil stabilization, particularly in base and sub-bases of highway construction. This process of improving properties of soils has been practicing for centuries. In many parts of the world, pozzolanic materials such as lime, fly ash, ground bottom ash, volcanic ash, rice husk ash, scoria etc. are being used in soils in order to improve the properties of the less stable soils. In recent decades, there has been considerable interest in improving the properties of cement products by incorporating SF as a potentially beneficial material. Since the early part of 1980s, investigation about and use of SF-additives in concrete and cementitious materials had begun in many parts of the world, including North and South America, Europe, South Africa, East Asia like Japan and the Middle East.

1.2. Background of the Study

The high surface area of SF could accelerate hydration reaction in concrete [1]. The effect of SF on the strength development in concrete was carried out by replacing cement up to 20% [2]. SF is a reactive pozzolan and very effective filler. Because of these qualities, it has prominent effects on the properties of cement-based products [3-6]. The UCS of concrete mixes containing SF (by replacing 0 to 20% cement) are almost the same up to 7 days, but after 7 days of curing, the pozzolanic effect of the SF dominates the strength...
SF has a distinct property to bind the alkali (K₂O and Na₂O) present in OPC and it also reduces the detrimental alkali-aggregate reaction [8].

In the coastal area of the Arabian Peninsula, concrete structures are being deteriorated rapidly due to high concentrations of chlorides and sulphates combined with high temperatures. Chloride from sea water can contaminate concrete, structures, roads and highways constructed along the sea coast even several hundred meters away from the sea. Many researchers [9, 10] utilized the SF in concrete and found the dramatic reduction in rate of chloride diffusion in their investigations. OPC with SF additive protects better than sulphate resistance cements against chloride initiated corrosion and it also provides protection against sulphates like sulphate resistant cement does [11]. Most forms of chemical attack on concrete are typified either by leaching of calcium hydroxide or ingress of harmful and disruptive substances such as sulphates or nitrates. SF reduces the amount of soluble calcium hydroxide and thus reduces the risk of leaching. SF also reduces the permeability of concrete [12] and it retards penetrating deleterious substances into the concrete. Consequently, concrete with SF poses superior resistance to chemical attack.

Although dune sand is abundantly available in Saudi Arabia as well as in other Middle East countries, a few efforts have been made on the stabilization of dune sand for construction work. Dune sand has been stabilized with asphalt-cement kiln dust (CKD) mixes and promising results have been reported by few researchers [13]. It has been found that CKD-stabilized dune sand can be utilized for base materials in highway construction work [14].

The effect of SF as partial replacement of cement on the strength characteristics of concrete was studied [15] and the optimum percentage of SF was determined as 10%. The addition of SF had greatly improved the water impermeability, the carbonation resistance and the freeze-thaw resistance of concrete composites [16]. Combined use of lime-water and SF enhances the pozzolanic reaction and it was identified by the strength development at both early and later ages [17]. SF inclusion increased the workability and strength of concrete considerably and the optimum dose of SF was found as 5% (by weight) replacement of OPC [18]. It was reported that silica fume is very effective in the design and development of high strength performance concrete [19]. Shanmugapriya and others reported that the replacement of cement by SF up to 7.5% showed gradual increase in the strength of concrete [20]. The incorporation of dune sand powder form substitution to Portland cement yields a new variety of cement compound with physical-mechanical properties superior to those of Portland cement [21]. Guettala and Mezghiche have studied on cement paste containing dune sand powder and identified the occurrence of pozzolanic reaction [22]. Alhozaimy and others found that autoclaved curing can promote the pozzolanic reactivity of dune sand and therefore, greatly increases the concrete strength [23]. It was showed that the fine particles improved the workability and strength of concrete made with dune sand [24]. Guettala and Mezghiche observed the development of compressive strength and hydration of cement pastes due to addition of dune sand powder [25]. It was found that lime-silica fume caused an increase in the angle of internal friction of dune sand [26]. The replacement of cement by 10% of SF improved both mechanical and durability performances of recycled aggregate concrete [27]. The strength of mortar cube lost its strength due to the addition of cenosphere, but the strength loss could be compensated by adding SF [28]. Unsoaked CBR on compacted dune sands treated with lime-silica fume exhibited higher CBR values than compacted untreated dune sands [29]. When the dune sand underneath and around a footing is injected by slurry of lime-silica fume, there will be an increase in the ultimate bearing capacity of footing by nineteen times [30].

1.3. Objective of the Study

Statistical study shows that hundreds of thousands of tonnes of SF produces every year during the production of silicon and ferro-silicon alloys. As SF has pertinent qualities of replacing cement, enhancing material property and durability, it can be utilized in other civil engineering work such as in soil stabilization besides application in concrete. The construction industry would be significantly benefited if SF could be used as partial replacement of cement and dune sand as an alternative to depleting well graded materials. The main objective of this study is to examine the potential of SF on UCS, CBR and durability characteristics of cement-stabilized dune sand and thus, to find the optimum mix proportions which can produce suitable base and sub-base materials for highway construction.

2. Materials

2.1. Dune Sand

The soil used in this investigation was collected from Makkah Road (thirteen kilometres from) Jeddah in Saudi Arabia. These are wind or aeolian deposits called dune sands as these are deposited like a dune or mound [31]. Winds often demolish the dune and redeposit it at a new location. Dune sands are problematic soils having poor grading, but abundantly available in Saudi Arabia.

2.2. Silica Fume

The SF used in this work was supplied by Elkem materials Co., Kristians and S., Norway. Elkem SF is called as microsilica. It was densified (920-D) and beneficiated condensed silica fume (CSF). CSF is a byproduct of the smelting production of silicon metal and ferrosilicon alloys. CSF is called microsilica when it is beneficiated. Beneficiation includes quality control involving classification and other required action in order to maintain the contents of possible deleterious materials below acceptable levels. Chemical compositions and physical properties of Elkem SF are given in Table 1. Its main constituent is silica and it contains minor oxides of other elements such as potassium, magnesium, iron, aluminium, calcium, sodium etc. It has a very high specific surface area of 18-28 m²/g, a sign of highly active material. It can be noted that the range of specific surface area of cement and fly ash are 0.2 - 0.5 m²/g and 0.2 - 0.6 m²/g, respectively.
2.3. Cement

Cement was supplied by Arabian Cement Co., Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. It was OPC, ASTM Type I C-150.

3. Experimental Procedure

A number of laboratory tests were performed on original and stabilized dune sands. These tests were grain size analysis, standard Proctor compaction, unconfined compression, CBR and cyclic wetting and drying durability tests. These tests were carried out in accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) specifications for soils. Some additional cares have been taken in mixing and preparation of specimens.

3.1. Grain Size Analysis

The particle size distribution of dune sand was determined by dry sieving method as wet sieving and hydrometer methods were not needed for this type of granular soils.

3.2. Standard Proctor Compaction Test

Five series of standard Proctor compaction tests (ASTM D558 - 82) were performed to determine the MDD and OMC for different mix proportions of cement and sand. The percentages of cement (by weight of sand) were 0, 3, 6, 9 and 12. The standard Proctor compactive energy was followed, because this can easily be achieved in the field.

3.3. Unconfined Compression Test

Several series of unconfined compression tests were performed for different mix proportions of SF, OPC and dune sand. Mix proportions (% SF + % cement + % sand) were 0 + 6 + 100, 1.2 + 6 + 100, 2.4 + 6 + 100, 3.6 + 6 + 100, 0 + 9 + 100, 1.8 + 9 + 100, 3.6 + 9 + 100, 5.4 + 9 + 100, 0 + 12 + 100, 2.4 + 12 + 100, 4.8 + 12 + 100 and 7.2 + 12 + 100 in percentages by weight of dry sand. These are shown in Table 3. Cylindrical specimens of 50 mm diameter and 100 mm height were prepared, cured and sheared for unconfined compression test. All these specimens were sheared under strain-controlled test at a rate of 1.25 mm min$^{-1}$.

3.4. California Bearing Ratio Test

Several soaked CBR tests were carried out on stabilized soil in accordance with British Standard 1377 [32]. Samples containing OMC were compacted in CBR mould with the same compactive energy per volume as in the standard Proctor compaction test. Specimens were water cured for 96 hours after 7 days moist cured and percentages of swelling were recorded at the end of water curing. Penetration testing was carried out with the help of a 30 tonne Versa tester machine and a plunger of cross-sectional area of 19.35 cm$^2$. The rate of penetration was 1.27 mm min$^{-1}$ and CBR value was calculated corresponding to 2.54 mm penetration.

### Table 1. Chemical composition of silica fume.

| Chemical composition       | Results [%] | Physical properties | Results       |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$)  | 86-96       | Particle density    | 2.2 Mg/m$^3$  |
| Aluminium oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$) | 0.4-1.0 | Bulk density        | 0.5-0.7 Mg/m$^3$ |
| Ferric oxide (Fe$_2$O$_3$) | 0.1-1.5     | Specif. surf. area  | 18-28 $m^2/g$ |
| Calcium oxide (CaO)       | 0.1-0.5     | £CP > 44µm          | 1.0%          |
| Sodium oxide (Na$_2$O)    | 0.4-0.5     | -                   | -             |
| Potassium oxide (K$_2$O)  | 0.3-3.0     | -                   | -             |
| Magnesium oxide (MgO)     | 0.3-2.0     | -                   | -             |
| Sulphur (S)               | 0.1-0.4     | -                   | -             |
| Carbon (C)                | 0.5-2.5     | -                   | -             |

*CP - Coarse particles
3.5. *Wetting and Drying Durability Test*

Cyclic wetting and drying tests (ASTM D559-82) were carried out to determine the durability of the stabilized soils instead of freezing and thawing test as the earlier one is more justified in arid and semi-arid regions. Samples were compacted in standard Proctor mould at the same MDD and OMC as found in the standard Proctor compaction test. Soil-cement loss was recorded at the end of 12 cycles.

3.6. *Mechanism of Silica Fume Reaction*

In order to understand the results of the testing program, it is necessary to refer a brief background on the mechanism of SF reaction with OPC. In the hydration process of OPC, both tricalcium and dicalcium silicates (C\textsubscript{3}S and C\textsubscript{2}S) liberate calcium hydroxide, i.e.,

\[ 2(3\text{CaO}, \text{SiO}_2) + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{CaO}, 2\text{SiO}_2, 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \]
\[ 2(2\text{CaO}, \text{SiO}_2) + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{CaO}, 2\text{SiO}_2, 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \]

In concrete or any cement-based products, the weak and easily soluble calcium hydroxide can be as much as 20% to 25% by weight of cement paste, while the rest of the paste mainly consists of calcium silicate hydrate i.e., C-S-H \([C = \text{CaO}, S = \text{SiO}_2 \text{and } H = \text{H}_2\text{O}]\), which forms the binding agent. When pozzolanic materials are added, the weak and easily soluble Ca(OH)\textsubscript{2} is transformed to C-S-H, i.e.,

\[ 3\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + 2\text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow 3\text{CaO}, 2\text{SiO}_2, 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

This forms a basis for further improvement of both strength and durability of any cement-based products due to the addition of pozzolanic materials.

SF has excellent pozzolanic properties due to its high amorphous silica contents and high specific surface area. During the course of hydration of OPC, the high degree of pozzolanic reactivity of SF converts some of the less useful calcium hydroxide crystals into beneficial C-S-H gel binder. The main contribution of the pozzolanic reaction of SF to strength development takes place in the time from 3 to 28 days at 20°C [33].

SF also acts as effective filler. This filler is responsible for the distribution of hydration products in a more homogenous fashion in the space available. In other words, SF, a filler, acts as a nucleating agent and accelerates hydration of OPC. The combined pozzolanic and filler effects manifest themselves in a refined porosity and thus, turn the cement based mix in a much more dense microstructure [6]. The refinement of pore structure directly enhances the mechanical properties of cement based products.

4. Evaluation Criteria for Road Bases and Sub-bases

Soil-cement materials are usually accepted on the basis of satisfying strength and durability requirements. Strength is generally assessed from UCS or CBR test results. Some Standards also use the triaxial compression test results. The durability of soil-cement in terms of percentage of soil-cement loss is assessed from cyclic wetting and drying tests and/or freezing and thawing tests, and the percent of swell from CBR test. Usual and conventional standard specifications for road bases and sub-bases are shown in Table 2.

| Purpose       | After Ingles and Metcalf, 1972 | \(^\text{UCSC}\) | Swell [%] | Soil-cement loss [%] | UCS [MPa] |
|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| UCS [MPa]     | CBR [%]                       |                |           |                     |           |
| Road sub-base | 0.69-1.37                     | 50-150         | 2         | 10                  | -         |
| Road base     | 1.37-5.49                     | 200-600        | 2         | 14                  | 1.73      |

\(^\text{UCSC}\) - Usual and conventional strength criteria

5. Test Results and Discussion

5.1. *Grain Size Analysis of Dune Sand*

The particle size distribution curve of dune sand is presented in Figure 1. The values of co-efficient of uniformity (C\textsubscript{u}) and co-efficient of curvature (C\textsubscript{c}) are found 2.33 and 1.53, respectively. The dune sand is classified into A-3 group soil in accordance with American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) method of classification. This sand is poorly graded and not standard mortar sand (ASTM C144).
5.2. Compaction Characteristics of Cement-Dune Sand Mixes

The results of the standard Proctor compaction tests on different cement-sand mixes are presented in Table 3, while Figure 2 shows the nature of changes of MDD and OMC with the addition of cement contents. This figure clearly shows that the MDD increases almost linearly with increase in cement contents. At 12% of cement, the MDD has increased by about 7%. On the other hand, the OMC of sand decreases with increase in cement contents. The rate of decrease is not linear. At 12% of cement, the OMC has decreased by about 15%.

The above mentioned compaction characteristics are attained due to the facts that the voids of the poorly graded sands are being filled up by the fine-grained cement and it co-operates to increase the MDD. In addition, the water-cement paste acts as a lubricating agent to ease the compaction process. Consequently, it reduces the OMC and increases the MDD.

5.3. UCS of Stabilized Dune Sand

5.3.1. Effect of SF/C Ratio on UCS
UCS of different mix proportions of SF, cement and dune sand at different ages are presented in Table 4. Figure 3 shows the trends of changes of UCS with SF/C ratio for different cement contents and ages. The UCS increases with increase in SF/C ratio. The rate of increase in UCS is linear and steeper up to SF/C ratio of 0.4 for 6 and 9% cement. In the case of 12% cement, it is steeper only up to SF/C ratio of 0.2. Then, the rate of increase in UCS decreases. The amount of SF up to SF/C ratio of 0.2 is more efficient and prominent considering for all cement contents (6, 9, and 12%). From the points of view of both efficiency and economy, a SF/C ratio of 0.2 could be called as “optimum ratio”.

5.3.2. UCS Development with Age
Figure 4 shows the changes of UCS with respect to ages for different cement contents and SF/C ratios. There exists strength development in the stabilized soil for all cement contents and SF/C ratios. These developments in UCS are non-linear. The nature of the shape of the curves is almost the same for all cement contents.

Table 3. Mix Proportions and compaction characteristics of dune sand-cement-silica fume.

| Mix ID | Mix proportions of materials | Compaction Characteristics |
|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
|        | Sand [%] Cement [% sand] SF [% sand] SF [% solid] SF/C ratio MDD [Mg/m³] OMC [%] |
| M0-0   | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.66 | 14.80 |
| M3-0   | 100 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.68 | 14.50 |
| M6-0   | 100 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.71 | 14.10 |
| M6-I   | 100 | 6 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | - | - |
| M6-II  | 100 | 6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 0.4 | - | - |
| M6-III | 100 | 6 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.6 | - | - |
| M9-0   | 100 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.74 | 13.45 |
| M9-I   | 100 | 9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 | - | - |
| M9-II  | 100 | 9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | - | - |
| M9-III | 100 | 9 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 0.6 | - | - |
| M12-0  | 100 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.77 | 12.60 |
| M12-I  | 100 | 12 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.2 | - | - |
| M12-II | 100 | 12 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 | - | - |
| M12-III| 100 | 12 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 0.6 | - | - |
Table 4. Unconfined compressive strength and strength ratio of stabilized dune sand at different ages.

| Mix ID | Unconfined compressive strength [MPa] | Strength ratio |  |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|
|        | 7-day | 28-day | 56-day | S_{28}/S_{7} | S_{56}/S_{7} | S_{56}/S_{28} |  |
| M6-0   | 0.63  | 0.89   | 0.99   | 1.41         | 1.57         | 1.11         |  |
| M6-I   | 0.68  | 1.37   | 1.84   | 2.01         | 2.71         | 1.34         |  |
| M6-II  | 0.69  | 1.96   | 2.72   | 2.84         | 3.94         | 1.39         |  |
| M6-III | 0.74  | 2.15   | 2.92   | 2.91         | 3.95         | 1.36         |  |
| M9-0   | 1.45  | 2.05   | 2.27   | 1.41         | 1.57         | 1.11         |  |
| M9-I   | 1.67  | 2.79   | 3.30   | 1.67         | 1.98         | 1.18         |  |
| M9-II  | 1.76  | 3.58   | 4.33   | 2.03         | 2.46         | 1.21         |  |
| M9-III | 1.87  | 3.83   | 4.67   | 2.05         | 2.50         | 1.22         |  |
| M12-0  | 2.20  | 3.07   | 3.70   | 1.40         | 1.68         | 1.21         |  |
| M12-I  | 2.56  | 4.48   | 5.40   | 1.75         | 2.11         | 1.21         |  |
| M12-II | 3.07  | 5.13   | 6.15   | 1.67         | 2.00         | 1.20         |  |
| M12-III| 3.20  | 5.39   | 6.53   | 1.68         | 2.04         | 1.21         |  |

Note: S_{7} = 7-day strength, S_{28} = 28-day strength, S_{56} = 56-day strength

The 7, 28 and 56 days compressive strengths of 6, 9 and 12% of cement mixtures for SF/C ratio of 0.2 are 7.9, 53.9 and 85.9%, 15.2, 36.1 and 45.4%, and 16.4, 45.9 and 45.9%, respectively higher than those of cement without SF (6, 9 and 12% of cement alone). It indicates that strength development at higher ages (28 days or more) is much more significant than that at early ages (7 days or less).

Figure 3. Effect of SF/C ratio on UCS of stabilized dune sand.

Figure 4 also shows that the rate of strength development of specimens containing SF is higher (steeper) from 7 to 28 days compared to specimens without SF. After 28 days, the rate of strength development of all specimens with or without SF is almost the same. These observations indicate that SF is highly pozzolanic and it reacts much more rapidly than other pozzolans. In the case of other pozzolans, long-term strength effects are more significant than the short-term effects. The major contribution of pozzolanic reaction of SF to strength development takes place in the period from 3 to 28 days at about 20°C [33].

5.3.3. Effect of SF on Strength Ratios

Strength ratios of S_{28}/S_{7}, S_{56}/S_{7}, and S_{56}/S_{28} for various mix proportions of SF, cement and sand are shown in Table 4. The nature of changes of strength ratios with SF/C ratios are also presented in Figure 5. It could be seen from the Table 4 that strength ratios of S_{56}/S_{7} and S_{28}/S_{7} for specimens containing SF are higher than those of specimens containing cement alone, i.e., the strength ratios of S_{56}/S_{7} and S_{28}/S_{7} increase with increase in SF/C ratio. This is due to the pozzolanic effects of SF which becomes more prominent after 7 days. The strength ratios of S_{56}/S_{28} for all specimens with or without SF are nearly same, unlike the strength ratios of
The reason behind this is that the major contribution of the pozzolanic reaction of SF to strength development already took place earlier than 56 days. The strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) would be lower and prolonger. Consequently, the strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) would be higher at lower percentages of cement contents. On the other hand, the particles of cement, SF and sand would be more closely contacted in the case of higher percentages of cement contents and SF. So, the pozzolanic reaction would take place faster and the rate of strength development would be higher. Thus, the strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) would be lower in the case of higher percentages of cement contents.

Table 4 shows that the strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) are higher at lower percentages of cement contents for the same SF/C ratio. Because the particles of SF, cement and sand would be relatively less closely contacted at lower percentages of cement and SF. So, the pozzolanic reaction would be less rapid, i.e., the rate of strength development would be lower and prolonger. Consequently, the strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) would be higher at lower percentages of cement contents. On the other hand, the particles of cement, SF and sand would be more closely contacted in the case of higher percentages of cement contents and SF. So, the pozzolanic reaction would take place faster and the rate of strength development would be higher. Thus, the strength ratios of \( S_{56}/S_7 \) and \( S_{28}/S_7 \) would be lower in the case of higher percentages of cement contents.

Figure 4. Strength development of stabilized dune sand with different ages for different SF/C ratios.

Figure 5. Effect of SF/C ratio on the strength ratio (at different ages) of stabilized dune sand.
5.4. CBR of Stabilized Dune Sand

5.4.1. CBR Value and Swell of SF-Cement-Sand Mixes

Results of soaked CBR tests on various mix proportions of SF, cement, and sand are presented in Table 5. The soaked CBR value and swell of pure dune sand were found 24.8% and 0%, respectively. The CBR value increased prominently with increase in both SF and cement contents. No swelling was observed for all mix proportions. There was a substantial increment in CBR value at the addition of 20% SF, i.e., at SF/C ratio of 0.2. It is also justified and expected because of the combined pozzolanic and filler effects of SF.

Table 5. California bearing ratioand soil-cement loss of SF-cement-dune sand mixes.

| Mix ID | Soaked CBR value | Wet-dry durability |
|--------|------------------|--------------------|
|        | CBR [%] | Swell [%] | Soil-cement loss [%] |
| M0-0   | 24.8    | 0       | -                   |
| M6-0   | 143.0   | 0       | 6.80                |
| M6-I   | 193.0   | 0       | 6.20                |
| M6-II  | 240.0   | 0       | 5.95                |
| M6-III | 286.0   | 0       | 5.90                |
| M9-0   | 316.0   | 0       | 4.70                |
| M9-I   | 418.0   | 0       | 3.80                |
| M9-II  | 513.0   | 0       | 3.41                |
| M9-III | 591.0   | 0       | 3.35                |
| M12-0  | 511.0   | 0       | 3.70                |
| M12-I  | -       | -       | 3.30                |
| M12-II | -       | -       | 3.15                |
| M12-III| -       | -       | 3.11                |

5.4.2. Soil-Cement Loss of SF-Cement-Dune Sand Mixes

Results of cyclic wet-dry durability tests on SF-cement-dune sand mixes are presented in Table 5. Soil-cement losses of all mix proportions were less than 7%. Soil-cement loss decreases with the presence of SF. The combinations of 9% cement and 1.8% sand were found to be the most effective, with the addition of 12% cement and 6% sand being the next most effective. As SF was added, the soil-cement loss decreased with the addition of SF and cement. It is rightly expected because the presence of SF refines and makes denser the pore structure of cement-products, and thus, the permeability of cement-products decreases. Consequently, the soil-cement loss decreases with the presence of SF.

5.5. Evaluation of Stabilized Dune Sand for Base and Sub-base Materials

In the evaluation, specimens containing SF more than 20% (SF/C = 0.2) are not considered because mixes containing SF more than 20% are less efficient and not economical as stated earlier. It could be noticed from the Tables 2, 4 and 5 that the stabilized sand of mix proportion of 1.2 + 6 + 100 (% SF + % cement + % sand) satisfies all the requirements of UCS, CBR value, swell and soil-cement loss for road sub-base materials. A mix proportion of 0 + 9 + 100 satisfies the requirements (Table 2) of UCS, CBR, swell and soil-cement loss [34] for road base materials. But, it does not satisfy usual and conventional UCS requirement of 1.73 MPa. It is observed that the mix proportions of 1.8 + 9 + 100 and 0 + 12 + 100 satisfy all requirements (Table 2) for base materials. Hence, this study recommends a mix proportion of 1.2 + 6 + 100 for sub-base, and mix proportions of 1.8 + 9 + 100 and 0 + 12 + 100 for base materials.

In practice, SF-cement-sand mixes could not be sealed by wax and cured in a humid room as in the laboratory. It is suggested that SF-cement-sand mixes need to be cured by the help of wet gunny bags or jute bags in the field to avoid moisture loss. Alternatively, water sprinkling method can also be used for curing. It was found that the sprinkling water curing produced almost the same strength of blocks at 56 days as the humid curing [35].

6. Conclusions

On the basis of the test results of the investigated dune sand, this study found that geotechnical properties of dune sand are improved with the addition of cement and SF; with the increase in cement content, MDD of sand increases, but OMC decreases. Moreover, the investigation about the use of cement and silica fume on stabilization of base and sub-base materials showed that UCS increases with the addition of both cement and SF; the rate of increment in strength is higher and steeper up to the addition of 20% SF. As SF was more efficient at SF/C ratio of 0.2, this ratio could be called as “optimum ratio”; with the addition of cement and SF, CBR value is substantially increased and soil cement loss is also improved; the strength ratios of S50/S1 and S28/S1 are higher for higher SF/C ratios. But the strength ratio of S50/S28 is almost the same for all specimens irrespective of SF/C ratio. At the same SF/C ratio, the strength ratios of S50/S1 and S28/S1 are higher at the lower percentages of cement and SF. 6% cement and 1.2% SF combination (i.e., SF/C ratio of 0.2) satisfies all requirements for road sub-base materials. The combinations of 9% cement and 1.8% SF, and 12% cement without SF satisfy all requirements for base materials.
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