Experimental study for the effect of dust cleaning on the performance of grid-tied photovoltaic solar systems
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ABSTRACT
One of the challenges facing investment in photovoltaic (PV) energy is the accumulation of dust on the surface of the PV panels due to frequent dust storms in many countries, including Iraq. Surface dust particles reduce solar irradiance which declining the electrical performance of the PV solar systems. Therefore, this paper proposes an experimental study to analyze and evaluate the power efficiency of a PV system installed in Baghdad city, Iraq. The performance of dusty solar PV array is compared with that of the clean array of the same PV system. The clean solar array is equipped with an automatic-sprayer cleaning system that is powered by the PV system. The automatic cleaning system utilized in the test system reduces human effort by cleaning the PV array using closed-cycle water with low energy consumption (less than 10 Wh). The PV array under test is part of a 15 kW grid-tied PV system. The experimental results show significant improvement in the performance parameters of efficiency, performance ratio, and the energy gain compared to the clean array. Furthermore, the experimental study contributes to a reduction in CO₂ emission, which is substantial for the Iraqi environment that suffers from predominate fossil-fuel power plants.

1. INTRODUCTION
Recently, power generation from solar photovoltaic (PV) power plants has grown drastically in response to energy demand and the environmental concerns related to CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel consumption [1]. The sunny countries with large unpopulated areas such as countries in the Middle East, Northern Africa, the United States, India, and Australia are the favorite candidates to build vast solar PV systems [2, 3]. Nevertheless, the environment in the solar-rich countries has a considerable rate of dust in the ambient air due to the barren lands and arid weather which substantially reduces the energy output of the solar PV systems [4, 5]. In many cases, the refreshment of solar panels needs human efforts to remove the accumulated dust. Iraq is one of the most affected countries in the Middle East by the frequent sand storms which are clearly increased in the last decade due to desertification and climate change [1, 6, 7].
Many studies investigate the impact of soil and dust accumulation on the performance of PV systems in the Middle-East [3, 8, 9]. Atwan et al. [6] investigated the dust accumulation impact in Iraq environment conditions, the dust losses reached 66% in April 2008 which has led to huge power losses. The authors [6] recommend cleaning of PV panels in Iraq as an essential condition to enhance the harvest of solar energy. Kasim et al. [8] implemented an experiment procedure in Baghdad city to evaluate the performance of fixed-tilt PV panels under natural dust accumulation compared to PV panels with a solar tracker system. The study [8] found that solar trackers contribute to removing dust and avoiding dust accumulation due to changing inclination. Other studies, such as [7], implemented simulation assessment using MATLAB to the performance of photovoltaic systems in Iraq.

In similar conditions to the Iraqi climate, [10] analyzes the performance of a 5.28 kW off-grid PV system in Saudi Arabia in 2012. The test system has sensors to detect the effect of PV surface temperature and dust collected on the PV panels for the study period of two months (July and August). The experimental study found that the daily energy was decreasing with days of accumulated dust on the panels surface and vice versa for the days with no dust. However, the study [10] was implemented on the off-grid PV system for only two summer months (July and August), and the performance evaluation concerns only DC performance ratios. Al-Housani et al. [11] presented the cleaning effectiveness on the cadmium-telluride technology performance in Doha, Qatar. The authors [11] identify enhancement of 6% in output power due to cleaning PV panels and they recommend weekly cleaning as the most efficient cleaning frequency compared to the daily and monthly cleaning. However, Moharram et al. [12] studied the impact of cleaning by water and surfactants on the performance of PV panels by removing dust deposits using less amount of water and energy. In the study [12], the influence of cleaning PV panels is tested using a non-pressurized water system on PV panels of a 14 kW solar system at the German University in Cairo, Egypt.

On the other hand, global studies such as that of Jaszczerz et al. [13] studied the maximum dust deposition on PV systems in Poland, where the density observed for one week exceeds 300 mg/m² in rainless weather and efficiency loss were about 2.1%. The study found that efficiency loss depends on dust properties. Moreover, Jaszczerz et al. [13] developed a reliable model for efficiency degradation of the PV modules caused by dust deposition. Likewise, Kawamoto and Shibata [14] investigated cleaning efficiency for six types of sand from different deserts using an electrostatic cleaning system. The study [14] states that more than 90% of the adhering sand is repelled from the surface of the slightly inclined panel after the cleaning operation. However, the performance of the system was further improved by enhancing the electrode configuration and introducing natural wind on the surface of the panel.

As the capital of Iraq, Baghdad is one of the most populated cities. Hence, the existing studies recommend a schedule cleaning for PV systems in Baghdad to avoid the pollutant particles that could deteriorate the PV modules when combined with the dust and humidity [15, 16]. Therefore, this paper presented an experimental study for evaluating the effect of cleaning PV modules of 15 kW grid-tied PV system in Baghdad city. The study compares the DC and AC performance of two different PV arrays (clean and dusty) in the PV test system under various weather conditions for six months in 2019. The cleaning is implemented weekly using automatic Arduino-based water nozzles. The performance evaluation includes: energy outputs, modules yields, energy losses, PV system efficiency, and performance ratios.

2. DESCRIPTION OF THE PV TEST SYSTEM

The grid-tied PV system utilized in this study is installed in the Training and Energy Research Office subsidiary of the Iraqi Ministry of Electricity, Bagdad, Iraq. The geographical location of the test system is 44.4°E longitude, 33.3°N latitude, elevation 41 m above sea level (including the roof height), and the tilt angle is fixed at 30° facing south with zero azimuth angle as shown in Figure 1 (see in appendix). The PV system is connected to the feeder that continuously provides electricity to the main building via smart meters. The PV system made up of 72 PV modules (Model: HIT-205DNKHE1) covering a total area of 83.57 m² with a capacity of 15 kW as shown in Figure 2 (see in appendix). Each PV module provides a power of 205 Wp. The specifications of the PV modules are shown in Table 1 (see in appendix), further details can be found in [17, 18]. The inverter used in the system is SMA Sunny Tripower, 15000TL-10 type [19]. This inverter has a rated maximum efficiency of 97% with a size of 15 kW and provided by monitoring system connected to Sunny portal program which offers daily, monthly, and yearly information of the power production. The size of dust particles recorded in Baghdad city is ranged from (2-62 μm) for silica and (0.5-2 μm) of clay particulates [16].
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3. **ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE EVALUATION**

The parameters of performance evaluation of the grid-tied PV test system are energy output, efficiencies (array, system, and inverter efficiencies), yields (reference, array, and final yields), capacity factor, and the performance ratio [18, 20].
3.1. Energy output

The total output of energy is defined as the AC power generated by the system in a given period of time. If $ACE$ refers to the alternating-current energy, the total hourly, daily, and monthly energy produced are respectively calculated by:

$$AC_Eh = \sum_{t=1}^{60} AC_Et$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$AC_Ed = \sum_{h=1}^{24} AC_Eh$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$AC_Em = \sum_{d=1}^{N} AC_Ed$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $AC_Et$ is the production of energy (in a minute); $AC_Eh$ is the production of energy (in an hour); $AC_Ed$ is the production of energy in (day); $AC_Em$ is the production of energy (in a month), and $N$ represents days number of each month.

3.2. System yields

System yields include array yield ($YA$), final ($YF$) yield and reference yield ($YR$). The array yield ($YA$) is the DC energy product of PV array for an assumed duration per nominal PV power of the system. It refers to the time measured in ($kWh/kWp$) unit, and calculated as follows:

$$YA = \frac{DC_E}{P_{rated}} (kWh/kWp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $DC_E$ is the DC energy product of the PV array in (kWh) and $P_{rated}$ is the rated photovoltaic power.

The final yield ($YF$) is the rate of AC energy product of the PV system over the assumed period of per system nominal/rated power [11]. The $YF$ is calculated in kWh/kWp and it is given by:

$$YF = \frac{AC_E}{P_{rated}} (kWh/kWp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $AC_E$ is the AC energy output in (kWh). The reference yield ($YR$) is the global solar irradiation in collimated plane over the reference irradiance. The reference irradiance is calculated under standard conditions and its value of 1 kWp/m$^2$. The reference yield is given by:

$$YR = \frac{HR}{H_T} (kWh/kWp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $HR$ refers to the reference irradiance and $H_T$ is the in-collimated solar irradiation.

3.3. Energy losses of the array and system

The losses of the array ($LA$) denote the losses because of the array act that exhibits the incapacity to entirely convert the irradiance to electricity [21]. The array capture losses are formulated as follows:

$$LA = YR - YA (kWh/kWp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

System losses ($LS$) is due to the losses of DC to AC power conversion by the inverter of PV system.

$$LS = YA - YF (kWh/kWp)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

3.4. System efficiencies

The efficiency of PV solar system is divided into three types: system, array, and inverter efficiency. These efficiencies are calculated periodically (annually, monthly, daily, hourly, and instantaneous). The system efficiency depends on AC power product, while the array efficiency depends on DC power product [21]. Array efficiency is given by:

$$\eta_{PV} = \frac{100 + DC_E}{H_T \times A_m} \%$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $A_m$ is the array area (m$^2$), $H_T$ is the in-collimated plane solar irradiation.

The system efficiency of PV solar system given by:

---
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3.5. Performance ratio

Performance ratio \((P_R)\) is the ratio between final yields and the reference yield \([11]\). The \(P_R\) values of any system shows how the PV solar system approaches to perfect performance through the actual act and permits comparison of PV systems regardless of angle, location, tilt, orientation and their nominal power capacity as shown in \((12)\):

\[
P_R = \frac{Y_F}{Y_r} \%
\]

3.6. Capacity factor

The Capacity factor \((C_F)\) is a tool used to assess energy generated by an electric power generating system \([22, 23]\). The \(C_F\) is the ratio of AC energy generated by the PV solar system per a specified duration (usually one year) to the system energy if it works at whole capacity (rated value) for the same given period of time. The yearly \(C_F\) of the PV system is as follows:

\[
C_F = \frac{AC_E}{PPV_{rated} \times 8760}
\]

4. EXPERIMENTAL TESTS

4.1. Cleaning system components

The experimental setup is developed to investigate the impact of cleaning PV modules using sprayer water for power enhancement of the PV test system. The PV system contains 72 PV modules manufactured by Panasonic Company \([17]\). The automatic-cleaning system consists of the following main parts:

- Main Aluminum water tank (1 m\(^3\) capacity).
- Secondary water tank of 0.25 m\(^3\) capacity.
- Water pump of 1180 W (input power).
- Four water-nozzles for spraying water on the PV panels \([24]\).
- A drain pipe for collecting the water.
- Automated unit to control the time of spraying water using a programmable Arduino kit.

The water pump absorbs water from the water tank by a suction pipe for avoiding any combined dust or dirt. As shown in the diagram of Figure 3, the sucked water is sprayed over the PV modules by the nozzles that are installed at the upper side of the PV panels. Next, the cleaning water is collected by a drain pipe at the lower part of the PV modules to flow in a closed cycle to the secondary tank. Finally, the secondary tank collects and feed the water to the main tank. The system also contributes to cooling the PV panels in hot summer days and able to entirely remove the dust \([18, 25]\).

4.2. Experiment procedure

The automated sprayer-water cleaning system with Arduino was running weekly for 30 minutes over six months. The cleaning starts in morning one day a week, at early-morning hours for decreasing water evaporation. The cleaning system pumps a reasonable amount of water to clean an area of 14 m\(^2\) with automatic control which dramatically reduces human effort and able to flush the dust particles (according to the statistics of the Iraqi environment \([16]\)). Moreover, the low energy consumption, which is 9.8 Wh for water-spraying system is powered by the solar PV system. Though Baghdad has its giant river, the closed-water system assists to save water which make the cleaning system feasible to barren and outback areas in western Iraq. Figure 4 displays an image of the proposed spray-water cleaning system.

The experiment applied on 24 modules divided to two PV arrays (12 modules each). The first PV array, left naturally without cleaning for the whole experiment period (except rain). Under the same weather conditions, solar radiation rate, and ambient temperature, the second PV array had been cleaning periodically using pressurized water. The effect investigates the cleaning influence and compares the enhancement energy and performance parameter with reference PV array (the dusty array). The measurements have been collected for six-months and the energy calculations implemented to evaluate the effect of cleaning PV panels on energy performance of the tested PV system.
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Table 2. The weather status and the percentage energy gain of the test system

| Day-Month | Reference array energy (kW) | Clean array energy (kW) | Energy Gain (%) | Weather Status          |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 7 Jan.    | 7.614                       | 7.947                   | 4.363           | Dust accumulation       |
| 14 Jan.   | 10.392                      | 11.373                  | 9.435           | Dust storm              |
| 21 Jan.   | 7.529                       | 8.447                   | 12.19           | Dust accumulation       |
| 28 Jan.   | 9.763                       | 10.228                  | 4.76            | Heavy Rainfall          |
| 7 Feb.    | 10.801                      | 11.277                  | 5.99            | Dust accumulation       |
| 14 Feb.   | 9.975                       | 10.491                  | 8.71            | Dust accumulation       |
| 21 Feb.   | 8.061                       | 8.222                   | 4.098           | Rain dust              |
| 28 Feb.   | 11.803                      | 11.949                  | 2.83            | Rain shower             |
| 7 Mar.    | 8.457                       | 8.612                   | 3.45            | Dust accumulation       |
| 14 Mar.   | 8.230                       | 8.340                   | 2.82            | Rain shower             |
| 21 Mar.   | 7.710                       | 7.880                   | 1.86            | Heavy Rainfall          |
| 28 Mar.   | 1.753                       | 1.770                   | 2.61            | Rain shower             |
| 7 Apr.    | 8.601                       | 8.801                   | 2.33            | Rain showers            |
| 14 Apr.   | 7.347                       | 7.431                   | 2.10            | Rain showers            |
| 21 Apr.   | 10.901                      | 11.210                  | 3.89            | Dust accumulation       |
| 28 Apr.   | 10.885                      | 10.999                  | 2.28            | Rain full               |
| 7 May     | 8.268                       | 8.633                   | 3.57            | Dust accumulation       |
| 14 May    | 8.341                       | 8.816                   | 6.602           | Dust accumulation       |
| 21 May    | 7.954                       | 8.353                   | 5.868           | Wind (9-14) km/h        |
| 28 May    | 8.115                       | 8.523                   | 5.76            | Wind (5-14) km/h        |
| 6 Jun.    | 7.143                       | 7.447                   | 6.07            | Dust accumulation       |
| 13 Jun.   | 9.385                       | 9.792                   | 5.17            | Wind (6-15) km/h        |
| 20 Jun.   | 9.414                       | 9.791                   | 4.87            | Wind (4-11) km/h        |
| 27 Jun.   | 9.789                       | 10.622                  | 9.26            | Dust storm and wind     |

From Figure 5, the energy output ΔE increased from 0.25 to 2.75 kWh/day for one array. Thus, the average energy enhancement ranges from 2.68% to 7.69% of energy output of the reference array. The energy produced by the clean and reference arrays and the energy difference due to the cleaning process are shown in Figure 6. It can be noticed that winter months in Iraq (January and February) approximately yields the same energy gain of dry months (May and June). The evident reason is the accumulated dust due to arid weather and no rainfall. Frequent active wind and low humidity of summer months in Iraq had played a significant role in reducing dust accumulation on the surface of PV modules by decreasing adhesion force of dust particles on modules surface.

As the dust accumulation negatively affects the conversion efficiency in solar PV by blocking the solar radiation to reach the solar modules. Hence, the efficiency of the PV system increased due to the cleaning process. Figure 7 shows the average efficiency difference for six months of the experiment. It was found that the maximum array efficiency rises in January with a value of 1.31%. Whereas, minimum efficiency value obtained in months of spring season with values of 0.09% and 0.11% for March and April, respectively.

![Figure 5. Working average energy gain (in percentages) of six months](image-url)
The improvement in PV efficiency is accompanied with decreasing losses that positively influenced an important parameter, which is performance ratio ($P_R$). Performance ratio represents the percentage of energy produced from rated energy. The maximum average $P_R$ difference was recorded in January with value of 4.34%. Whereas, the obtained value in June was 3.39% as shown in Figure 8. Although arid weather in summer months, the performance values remained relatively high due to active wind and low humidity which led to easy removal of the accumulated dust. However, minimum values were obtained during spring season with values of 0.53% and 0.65% in March and April, respectively.

![Figure 8. Average performance ratio difference and the losses in the evaluated array](image_url)
The array yield and final yield indicate the hours number that the PV solar system can generate DC and AC power in its nominated capacity. Figure 9 demonstrates the array and final yields difference between two of clean and dirty PV arrays for six months. The measurements showed that maximum yields were in January due to the dust storm, and minimum yields happened in March, because of the rain showers that led to the natural cleaning for the two arrays.

![Figure 9. Average array and final yields difference in evaluated arrays](image)

On the other hand, the main reason beyond utilizing solar power plants is for producing clean energy and reducing carbon emission. Hence, increasing output energy is accompanied with reduction in pollution. Figure 10 shows reduction in CO₂ level for six months of the experimental study. The avoidable CO₂ is ranged between (1.88 - 0.24) kg/day and increased at the same level of energy output improvement.

![Figure 10. Reduction in CO₂ over the experiment period](image)

6. CONCLUSION

Cleaning solar power systems from dust and dirt deposition is necessary to maintain the power output of PV modules. The cleaning system proposed in this study enhances the parameters of efficiency, performance ratio, and the average energy gain by 4.34%, 1.31% and 7.69% respectively using an energy-efficient automatic the water-spray system. According to the standard of the Iraqi environment, the experimental study included months belongs to three different seasons (winter, spring, and summer) which covered rainy, dusty and dry weather conditions. The low energy of water-pump motor is provided by PV system itself. Results show promising improvements in performance parameters of array yield, final yield, efficiencies and performance ratio, as well as the cleaning, has an effect on boosting modules life by
preventing hot spot formation. Moreover, the results show a reduction in CO₂ emission by 1.8 Kg/day which is promising to decline the pollution in Baghdad city. The improvement in the energy performance in addition to the reduction in CO₂ emission should encourage utilities to adopt similar cleaning procedures.
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