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Abstract

Background: Vibrio parahaemolyticus is a Gram-negative halophilic bacterium which is found largely in estuarine and coastal waters. The bacteria has been a main focus in gastro-intestinal infections caused primarily due to the consumption of contaminated seafood. It was shown to survive in magnesium concentrations as high as 300 mM which are toxic to various other micro-organisms. Several genes of V. parahaemolyticus were studied, among which gbpA (N-acetyl glucosamine binding protein) was reported in Vibrio cholerae.

Methods: The current study investigates the V. parahaemolyticus gbpA gene expression at different concentrations of magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO₄·7H₂O, chosen as the magnesium environment), in the presence of the host’s (prawn) carapace and the mimicked carapace [commercial chitin flakes (Sigma)]. The concentrations of MgSO₄·7H₂O utilized were approximately 0, 1, 75, 137, 225 and 300 mM. These were selected based upon the survival conditions required by prawn and bacteria, respectively, 0.05 gm/3 ml of carapace (by dry weight) and commercial chitin flakes were used in the experiments. Bacterial count was performed for the biological triplicates for the 3 experimental setups. The genome of Vibrio parahaemolyticus PCV08-7 (VPPCV08-7) was used as a reference, based on whose translated gbpA gene the probable protein-chemical interactions were determined on the STITCH database.

Results: The GbpA protein was shown to interact with chitin on the STITCH database. In our experiments, the gbpA showed lower gene expression levels at different MgSO₄·7H₂O concentrations in the presence of chitin and carapace, than with the presence of only MgSO₄·7H₂O. In addition, the bacterial count for various concentrations of magnesium used, revealed a distinct decrease in bacterial count within and among each of the three experimental setups.

Conclusion: In the presence of only magnesium, an increase in the gbpA expression with neither chitin nor carapace and vice versa supported by the results from the bacterial counts could help further studies to prove that the moult phase of prawns may trigger increased expression of the V. parahaemolyticus gbpA gene.

Keywords: Vibrio parahaemolyticus, Macrobrachium rosenbergii, MgSO₄·7H₂O, Carapace, Commercial chitin flakes

Background

Vibrio parahaemolyticus is a curved, rod-shaped Gram-negative bacterium. It is non-spore forming and has a high motility rate due to its polar flagellum. Through a mechanism known as swarming, these microorganisms migrate across semi-solid surfaces [1] with the help of their lateral flagella. Across the world, inshore marine waters are densely populated with V. parahaemolyticus which is particularly common in estuarine marine water. Research has shown that V. parahaemolyticus is seasonal
and survives well in warmer conditions. For example, the bacteria could not be detected during the winter (November–March) in Chesapeake Bay seawater [2]. On the other hand, *V. parahaemolyticus* begins to multiply when there is an increase in temperature [2]. This could be a result of the microorganism somehow being reintroduced into the sea water or its emergence from marine sediments in which it could have survived throughout the winter.

Temperatures ranging from 35 to 39 °C [3] are the optimal conditions for the growth of *V. parahaemolyticus*. It has a generation time of less than 20 min, although it can double in as little as 5 min [4] under certain conditions. As a result, *V. parahaemolyticus* is most commonly observed in the warm season as a mesophilic bacterium causing food-borne outbreaks which peak in summer [5, 6], the levels of *V. parahaemolyticus* found in freshly harvested seafood tend to be rather lower than the predicted infection doses [7]. However, the ability of the bacterium to multiply very rapidly at suitable temperatures means that its presence in food is often enough to cause disease.

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* has one very important requirement to live and multiply that is salinity. *V. parahaemolyticus* typically encounters salinity concentrations in the marine environment ranging between 0.8 and 3 % [8]. It requires optimal salinity levels between 1 and 3 %, but laboratory studies have shown that *V. parahaemolyticus* can thrive in between 0.5 and 10 % sodium chloride concentrations.

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* isolates were found to survive even in 300 mM magnesium (e.g. in severely polluted coastal waters in some parts of India)—a level considered toxic to many other microorganisms [9]. It’s survival under such wide-ranging conditions may be due to its ability to utilize magnesium. A 5.5 kb plasmid is said to carry the genes responsible for the bacterium’s high resistance to high magnesium concentrations [9]. Injured or thermally treated *V. parahaemolyticus* cells display an increased uptake of magnesium suggesting a possible increased requirement for magnesium not only for the stability and repair [10] of the ribosome, but also of the cell membrane. To sum up, *V. parahaemolyticus*’s ability to survive in high concentrations of magnesium or other metal ions allows it to out-compete other basic seawater flora in terms of survival and growth in such drastic environmental conditions.

The giant freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* is a freshwater aquatic organism. The optimal temperature range for *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* larvae to survive is 28 to 31 ºC. Observations have shown that a salinity of <10 parts per thousand (ppt) is ideal for freshwater prawn hatcheries (http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/y4100e/y4100e04.htm#P193_35649). While calcium is important for the formation of the prawn exoskeleton (http://www.thefishsite.com/articles/464/moulting-and-behaviour-changes-in-freshwater-prawn), the crucial element for this species is a favourable condition for the survival of its larvae.

Various reports have suggested that magnesium is an important component of the environment for prawn survival particularly for juvenile prawns [11]. A recent article [12] describing the effects of salinity through the use of artificial sea water clearly explains the importance of magnesium in the survival amounts of post larvae. Taking an example, the effect of an environment that is acidic due to the presence of aluminium could not hinder the survival stages of post larvae due to the presence of increased levels of magnesium ions (Mg²⁺) [13]. The characteristics of water which are good for prawn hatcheries are said to be 10–27 parts per million (ppm) of magnesium in fresh water, 1250–1345 ppm Mg in seawater and 460–540 ppm Mg in brackish water (http://www.fao.org/docrep/005/y4100e/y4100e04.htm#P193_35649). These features and conditions show how important magnesium ion is for the survival of larvae which undergo a very critical moultin stage before reaching the post-larval stage.

Most *Vibrio* species have adapted to aquatic organisms and caused severe infections on consumption by humans. *V. parahaemolyticus* has several virulence, pathogenicity and antibiotic resistance factors which show that it can survive well in aquatic organisms, especially the giant freshwater prawn, *M. rosenbergii* [14].

Detailed studies of the growth conditions of *M. rosenbergii* in the environment can help us to correlate the respective levels of adaptability of *V. parahaemolyticus* to *M. rosenbergii*. Studies have shown that *M. rosenbergii* can survive in a range of different media compositions with varying proportions of NaCl, KCl and MgCl₂ + MgSO₄ [5]. However, the fertilization envelope of shrimp eggs was observed to grow thin when there is a depletion of calcium and magnesium [15]. Early-stage embryos were shown to require optimal levels of medium containing MgCl₂ + MgSO₄ for their proper development [16].

The role of magnesium ion in the normal hatching rate of larvae has not been shown to be significant [16]. However, the importance of magnesium in survival mechanisms was observed [12] as explained earlier. Perhaps the most interesting similarity of *V. parahaemolyticus* to prawn is its unusually good tolerance levels to high concentrations of magnesium and its growth capability under iron-limiting conditions—both of which are quite a match to the conditions of prawn larval survival.

In addition, another important factor is the N-acetyl glucosamine binding protein (GbpA) reported in *Vibrio*
vibrio cholerae [17, 18] to have the property to bind to epithelial cell surfaces and chitin of the host surface. An in vitro study in 1996 presented how cell associated N-acetyl D-glucosamine specific haemagglutinin of vibrio cholerae O1 showed adhesive characteristics to the rabbit intestinal epithelial cells [19]. In 2008, gbpA gene of V. cholerae was studied in specific with mucus for its cooperative levels of gene expression ultimately giving way to intestinal colonization and infection by the bacterium [20]. In infant mouse models it was observed that a deletion in the adhesion gbpA portrayed a deficit in the intestinal colonization [21, 22]. The importance of gbpA in the intestinal colonization of V. cholerae was reported by a study along with several other colonizing factors [23]. Our study aims at checking the levels of bacterial gbpA gene expression in the presence of the host carapace and commercial chitin at different magnesium environment concentrations. This study could help researchers to consider environment as an indispensable factor in host-pathogen studies, not only in seafood industries, but even in omics studies.

Methods

Protein-chemical interactions

The STITCH v1.9 [24] database for protein-chemical interactions was used to check the interactions of the gbpA gene of the VPPCV08-7 [25] with other proteins molecules on the database.

Vibrio parahaemolyticus PCV08-7 culture conditions

The inocula were first prepared by using the VPPCV08-7 glycerol stocks [25]. The glycerol stock was used to revive the bacteria in 5 ml Luria-bertani broth (LB broth) with 2 % NaCl as a primary culture incubated overnight at 37 °C in a shaking incubator at 220 rpm. 30 ml LB broth with 2 % NaCl was then inoculated with 5 % primary culture containing cells at the mid-exponential phase. This inocula was further used for all the 3 experimental setups. Eighteen, 10 ml falcon tubes (Greiner bio-one, North America) were used under aseptic conditions to pour LB broth with 2 % NaCl in each tube and then inoculated with 5 % of the prepared inocula to make up to 6 ml of the inoculated culture. These 18 culture tubes contained three sets of experiments as follows with six concentrations of magnesium sulphate hepta hydrate (MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O) (chosen as the magnesium environment in the study) used (stock prepared was 500 mM). These concentrations of MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O correspond to 0 ppm (0 mM), 300 ppm (1 mM), 18,500 ppm (75 mM), 34,000 ppm (137 mM), 55,500 ppm (225 mM) and 73,941 ppm (300 mM), respectively per set. The first set consisted of these six concentrations of MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O. 0.05 g/3 ml by dry weight of carapace of the prawn was added per tube to all six concentrations of the second set, while 0.05 g/3 ml of commercial chitin flakes (chitin from shrimp shells, SIGMA) was added to the third set. All the 18 experiments were carried out in triplicates at 37 °C in a shaking incubator at 220 rpm. For the isolation of total RNA all the 18 bacterial cultures (3 ml) were harvested at the 16th hour (for maximal turbidity/growth).

VPPCV08-7 bacterial plate count

One milliliter each of all the 18 experiments in triplicates was centrifuged at 8000 rpm at 4 °C for 2 min and the pellet was dissolved in 750 μl of fresh LB broth (2 % NaCl) and 5 μl of each was spread plated on thiosulfate-citrate-bile-sucrose (Difco, France) agar plates. After incubation at 37 °C for 24 h, the bacterial plate count was performed (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription (RT) PCR

Total RNA was isolated from the triplicates of the three experimental setups above using a Promega Total RNA isolation kit and converted to cDNA using a reverse transcription PCR protocol (Additional file 1: Table S1). 4 μl of each isolated RNA sample from each of the triplicates was added to 1 μl Random primer to make a reaction mixture of 5 μl for an initial incubation at 70 °C/5 min, followed by 4 °C/5 min in a Biorad PCR machine. A reverse transcription mix of 15 μl each (6.1 μl Nuclease free water, 4 μl of 5× reaction buffer, 2.4 μl MgCl$_2$, 1 μl dNTPs, 0.5 μl ribonuclease inhibitor and 1 μl reverse transcriptase) was added to the former mixture for annealing at 25 °C/5 min, extension at 42 °C/60 min, and heat inactivation of reverse transcriptase at 70 °C/15 min.

Quantitative Real Time (qRT)—PCR

We selected the gbpA gene as identified from the STITCH v1.9 protein-chemical interactions for qRT-PCR to check the effects of MgSO$_4$. 7H$_2$O as the environment in the absence and presence of commercial chitin and carapace. The bacterial house-keeping gene RNA helicase (deaD) was selected as the internal control gene for qRT-PCR to later normalize the amounts of RNA. The tools used for primer designing were AmliFX, DNA star [26], Primer3 (http://www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi/) and NCBI primer Blast (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). The primers were specifically designed considering the domain regions of deaD (product size 181 bp corresponds to DeaD-box helicases) and gbpA (product size 190 bp corresponds to chitin binding domain) as follows: deaD forward primer 5′-GTGCAACACGTGTGTTGTTGTTGTT-3′, reverse primer 5′-AGAACGCGTTGTGTTGTTGTTGTTGTT-3′, gbpA forward primer 5′-CTCGTTGCTCTCATTACCT-3′, reverse primer 5′-CACAGGGTCTCAACCATCA-3′.
The qRT-PCR reaction (20 μl) consisted of 10 μl Power SYBR green PCR master mix, 0.6 μl forward primer, 0.6 μl reverse primer, 1 μl DNA template and 7.8 μl ultrapure water. The default thermal cycling conditions were used for the run with stage 1 at 50 °C/2 min, stage 2 at 95 °C/10 min for one cycle, stage 3 with 40 repetitions at 95 °C/15 s, followed by 60 °C/1 min, carried out by using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR system. Similar qRT-PCR profile was applied to the internal control gene, deaD. The gene expression levels of the 3 experimental setups were analysed by using the comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [2] (Δ-Delta Delta C(T)) Method [27] known as Livak method.

Results and discussion
Bioinformatic analysis of host-pathogen genes
Previous studies have shown that the gene gbpA of V. cholerae interacts with the intestinal epithelial chitinous membrane or host surfaces [18, 20]. As Macrobrachium rosenbergii is known to be infected by Vibrio parahaemolyticus, we selected this gene to check for interactions with other proteins or chemicals as bacterial chitin-binding proteins were previously shown to be virulent [28]. The protein-chemical interactions of Vibrio parahaemolyticus gbpA revealed relationships with a multi-drug resistance protein D (VPA1016), AraC/XylS family transcriptional regulator (VPA1017), chitinase (VP2338), putative chitinase A (VPA1177), spindolin-related protein (VPA0092), chitodextrinase (VPA0832), putative collagenase (VPA0714), prt collagenase, Chi1-chitinase, and chitin. Fig. 1 obtained from STITCH 3, shows the predicted interaction with chitin, an epithelial cell surface component and a major component of the prawn shell. This result helped us select chitin for our study in accordance with the earlier explained gbpA gene interaction with the epithelial chitinous membrane [20].

Vibrio parahaemolyticus gbpA gene expression study in response to magnesium and carapace/commercial chitin
The various concentrations of MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ in the presence of commercial chitin, the level of gbpA gene expression was high at 0 mM MgSO$_4·7H_2O$, but then a gradual increase in gene expression was observed with increase in concentration of MgSO$_4·7H_2O$. Lastly, in the presence of carapace the levels of gbpA gene expression increased at 0 and 1 mM MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ after which the gene expression levels dropped greatly at the 75 mM MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ followed by a steady increase and a final drop at the 300 mM MgSO$_4·7H_2O$. We therefore infer that in all three experimental setups similar levels of gbpA gene expression were observed at 0 ppm MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ with a comparatively slightly lower gene expression in the presence of chitin. This explains that the bacterial gbpA expression could have a miniature dependency on the presence of carapace or chitin in the environment, but this is subject to further validation with fluctuating the amount of carapace/chitin used in future studies. As our research is primarily to check the effect of an external environment, we were successfully able to identify that the increase in gbpA gene expression directly depends on the increasing concentrations of MgSO$_4·7H_2O$. Our result backed by further research could support the V. parahaemolyticus survival in high magnesium concentrations as well as its affinity to 0.8 to 3 % salinity range [8, 9].

The results of the three experiments were quite significant in the V. parahaemolyticus culture treated with only MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ with a P value of 0.04, with both MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ and chitin present with a P-value of 0.000441 and with MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ and carapace present with a P-value of 0.0152 (Additional file 1: Table S2–S4). Concentrations of 300 mM (P-value = 0.02744) and 75 mM (P-value = 0.00132) produced highly significant values in the samples treated with MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ and MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ with chitin, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S5).

Vibrio parahaemolyticus bacterial count in response to magnesium & carapace/commercial chitin
The colony forming units (CFU) per millilitre (ml) of VPPCV08-7 in the presence of MgSO$_4·7H_2O$, MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ with chitin, and MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ with carapace, showed a significant decrease in all three experimental setups with increase in concentrations of MgSO$_4·7H_2O$ (Table 1). This data supports that though the V. parahaemolyticus growth is affected due to the presence of magnesium and chitin/carapace. The increase in gbpA gene expression is not hindered with increasing concentrations of magnesium irrespective of less number of bacterial colonies. Figure 3 shows a decline in the number of CFU/ml in all the three experimental setups supporting the literature regarding V. parahaemolyticus survival with increase in magnesium concentrations.
Meanwhile the presence of carapace/commercial chitin also does effect the growth of the bacterium as observed clearly from the heat map too (Fig. 4).

**Conclusion**

Our bioinformatics analysis carried out on the gbpA gene indicated likely interactions with chitin, an important component of the outer carapace of the prawn *M. rosenbergii*. The in vitro experiment on the gbpA expression of *V. parahaemolyticus*, with the *M. rosenbergii* components (mimicked commercial chitin and original prawn carapace) present in an external environment containing magnesium, meanwhile showed that gbpA gene expression was regulated. The results revealed comparatively equalized levels of gbpA gene expression in the absence of magnesium (0 mM) in the three experimental setups. On the other hand, significant changes in the gbpA gene expression were observed in the three experimental setups as explained under the results and discussion. All this points up to the importance of the environment containing magnesium in regulating the gene expression of bacterial gbpA.

The patterns of the gene expression of gbpA we observed could help increase our understanding of both the role of magnesium as the environment and of the host component chitin as a trigger for the pathogenic gene to respond. This work further provides pioneer information that the gbpA gene expression of *V. parahaemolyticus* pathogen may increase during
the moulting phase of the prawn, when the mature prawn carapace is shed (with higher availability of epithelial chitin in the environment) and a new carapace emerges, initially as a thin chitinous layer. Due to higher gbpA expression at this stage the chances of the binding ability of gbpA to chitin could be higher. This hypothesis generated from our study can only be proved with further research targeting the moulting phase of prawn [29].

Our results give a new perspective to the importance of host-pathogen-environment experiments both for the aquatic industries and for microbiologists dealing

Table 1 *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* mean colony forming units (CFU) per millilitre (ml) from the three experimental bacterial culture setups MgSO₄·7H₂O (NONE), MgSO₄·7H₂O with commercial chitin (CHITIN (0.05gm/3 ml)), and MgSO₄·7H₂O with carapace (CARAPACE (0.05gm/3 ml))

| Concentrations of MgSO₄·7H₂O | NONE (CFU/ml) | CHITIN (0.05gm/3 ml) (CFU/ml) | CARAPACE (0.05gm/3 ml) (CFU/ml) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0 ppm (0 mM)                | 148.850 × 10³ | 74.033 × 10³                    | 137.95 × 10³                    |
| 300 ppm (1 mM)              | 117.6 × 10³   | 43.33 × 10³                     | 103.9 × 10³                     |
| 18,500 ppm (75 mM)          | 87.8 × 10³    | 17.93 × 10³                     | 90.7 × 10³                      |
| 34,000 ppm (137 mM)         | 60 × 10³      | 15.96 × 10³                     | 79.05 × 10³                     |
| 55,500 ppm (225 mM)         | 57.9 × 10³    | 5.63 × 10³                      | 24 × 10³                        |
| 73,941 ppm (300 mM)         | 56.55 × 10³   | 3.7 × 10³                       | 14.95 × 10³                     |

The table shows colony-forming units at different MgSO₄·7H₂O concentrations (in ppm) of 0, 300, 18,500, 34,000, 55,000 and 73,941 ppm.

Fig. 2 Graph representing relative gbpA gene expression in terms of $2^{-ΔΔCT}$ values in the presence of different MgSO₄·7H₂O concentrations, MgSO₄·7H₂O with commercial chitin and MgSO₄·7H₂O with carapace.
with host-pathogen research. Further, our principle findings could provide a base for future research to use several other pathogen-related genes to investigate the interactions between gbpA and chitin (pathogen and host) with magnesium as an important component in the environment through proteomics research.
Additional file

Additional file 1.  Vibrio parahaemolyticus PCV08-7 details for the three experimental setups MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and Chitin, with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and carapace. Figure S1 Vibrio parahaemolyticus PCV08-7 culture plates for the three experimental setups MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O (AppFigure1), with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and Chitin (AppFigure2), with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and carapace (AppFigure3). Table S1 RNA and cDNA concentrations for the three experimental setups MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O (AppTable1), with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and Chitin (AppTable2), with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and carapace (AppTable3). Table S2 Analysis of variance for the significant values of the experimental setup MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and Chitin. Table S3 Analysis of variance for the significant values of the experimental setup MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and carapace. Table S5 Student two tail paired t-test of equal variance across the three experimental setups MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and Chitin, with MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and carapace.
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