Direct in vitro organogenesis from leaf and internode of Coccinia cordifolia (L.) Cogn.
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ABSTRACT

The current study was carried out to elucidate a reproducible protocol to develop plants directly from leaf and internodes to facilitate the genetic transformation in Coccinia cordifolia (L.) Cogn., a medicinal plant of the cucurbitaceae family. In vitro grown leaf and internodes were used, which were collected for regenerated shoots from field-grown nodal segments that were sterilized by 0.1% HgCl2 treatment for 6 minutes. The nodal segment cultured on BAP, KN and BAP, NAA combination where 1.5 mg/L BAP solitary supplement and augmented supplement with 0.1 mg/L NAA was most effective as 80% shoots regenerated with 4.0 ± 0.37 and 2.7 ± 0.45 shoots per culture, respectively. Collected leaf and internode responded 90% at 1.5 mg/L BAP + 0.1 mg/L NAA fortified full-strength MS medium. The highest number of shoots also regenerated in the same combination which were 8.1 ± 0.30 and 10.2 ± 0.40, respectively and found internode as the best explant for direct organogenesis. For root induction, half strength of MS medium supplemented with 0.1 mg/L IBA was found most effective. The highest number of roots regenerated per shoot (6.8 ± 0.10) and root length (2.8 ± 0.20). The successful acclimatization of the in vitro (80%) grown plantlets proved the validity of the developed protocol of using biotechnological techniques for improving the plant.

INTRODUCTION

Organogenesis is the formation of adventitious shoots (calllogenesis), roots (rhizogenensis) from the cells in a rigidly controlled culture medium with exogenous hormone. This technique is generally achieved by developing meristemoids (a group of meristematic cells) that initiate primordium formation. Organogenesis might be indirectly where explant is used to form callus prior to adventitious shoot and root formation and directly where adventitious shoots or roots induce directly from explants like leaf, petiole, internode, cotyledon, hypocotyl, etc. (Brown and Thorpe, 1986). Organogenesis adapted to develop a new variety with new characteristics of medicinal or agronomical value through the genetic transformation which impede during axillary shoot proliferation (Pal et al., 2007; Corredera et al., 2008; Alam et al., 2010a). However, shoot regeneration from callus is not preferable as it causes the loss of plantlets identity in an uncontrolled manner from mother plants (Alam et al., 2019). Therefore, direct organogenesis is most preferable to produce mass propagation with true-to-type strains and also facilitates genetic transformation with minimal possibilities of somaclonal variation or alteration of the target plant genome in the propagated plantlets (Shibli & Smith, 1996; Verma et al., 2021). Direct organogenesis also diversifies the plants’ genetic constituents in contrast to micropropagation which declines the genetic diversity (Yang et al., 2012).

The medicinal plant, Coccinia cordifolia (L.) Cogn., has been traditionally utilized against joint pain, arthritis, skin disease, ulcer, acidity, gastric, asthma, urinary tract infection, diabetes (Zakaria et al., 2011; Girish et al., 2011; Pekamwar et al., 2013). The plant, therefore, gained a lot of attention in the pharmaceutical industry for its phytochemical contents e.g., phenols, tannins, saponins, terpenoids, flavonoids, arabinose, xylose, mannose, galactose, glucose, and rhamnose, which showed activity as antidiabetic, antioxidants, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anti-pyretic, anticancer, autoimmune treatment, anti-hepatotoxicity (Ramachandran et al., 2014). Because of its high medicinal potency against diabetes, the plant is reckoned as a “substitute for insulin” (Borah et al., 2019).

This highly pharmacological valuable plant belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family with having tuberous root, soft stem with heart or pentagon-shaped leaves of alternative phyllotaxy, and white coloured flower that form fruits with seed (Hussain et al., 2013). The plant, therefore, gained a lot of attention in the pharmaceutical industry for its phytochemical contents e.g., phenols, tannins, saponins, terpenoids, flavonoids, arabinose, xylose, mannose, galactose, glucose, and rhamnose, which showed activity as antidiabetic, antioxidants, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anti-pyretic, anticancer, autoimmune treatment, anti-hepatotoxicity (Ramachandran et al., 2014). Because of its high medicinal potency against diabetes, the plant is reckoned as a “substitute for insulin” (Borah et al., 2019).

This highly pharmacological valuable plant belongs to the Cucurbitaceae family with having tuberous root, soft stem with heart or pentagon-shaped leaves of alternative phyllotaxy, and white coloured flower that form fruits with seed (Hussain et al., 2013).
is generally a perennial climbing herb that naturally a seed germinating plants despite of having a slow seed setting and germination rate because of a thin nuclear membrane in seed that cause of impermeability to water and gaseous substance (Sundari et al., 2011). This reason is responsible for the plant to cope with high commercial demand for the pharmaceutical industry as “sugar and fat balance”, a nutritional supplements produce from the plant (Kashem & Rahman, 2018). Various in vitro regeneration techniques are practiced for the improvement of medicinal plants to produce uniform plants as well as variant for breeding purposes (Alam et al., 2010b; Sharmin et al., 2013). Therefore, C. cordifolia (L.) Cogn., like many other Cucurbitaceae species, has been multiplied by axillary shoot regeneration and callus derived adventitious shoot proliferation from node, internode, and leaf (Sarker et al., 2009; Sundari et al., 2011; Patel & Ishnava, 2015; Kashem & Rahman, 2018; Borah et al., 2019). However, there is no report of direct organogenesis for the plant leaves and internodes. Hence, the present study was undertaken to develop an efficient reproducible shoot initiation method through direct organogenesis from leaf and internode to subsequently facilitate the genetic transformation and strengthen the biodiversity conservation and utilization of the plant resources through germplasm preservation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Explants Collection

Mature plants were collected from a fallow land of University of Rajshahi and immature nodal segments were inoculated for axillary shoot regeneration. Then from in vitro grown leaf and internode of 0.5-1 cm were excised for direct adventitious shoot proliferation.

Medium Preparation and Sterilization

Stock solutions of micro and minor elements, vitamins, plant growth regulators were prepared in a distinct conical flask with required quantities and stored at 4°C refrigeration. An adequate amounts of all the nutrients, vitamins, plant growth regulators were poured into a beaker to prepare 1000 ml of MS (Murashige and Skoog) medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962). Additional distilled water was added to mark up the volume of 1L after dissolving 3% (w/v) sugar as carbohydrate for a carbon source. The pH was then adjusted at 5.7±0.1 with a digital pH meter by adding 0.1N NaOH or 0.1N HCl. After that, 0.75% (w/v) agar was added to solidify the medium and heated in a microwave for 5 minutes, and finally, the medium was sterilized by autoclaving for 20 minutes at 121°C temperature and 1 atmospheric pressure.

Field collected samples were sterilized with savlon and tween20 for 2-3 times with distilled water in a conical flask after rinsing under running tap water to eliminate surface dust and contaminants. Then 0.1% HgCl2 treatment for 5-8 minutes before washing with sterile distilled water for 3-5 times were applied for the surface sterilization of nodal segments in a laminar air-flow cabinet.

Direct Shoot Regeneration

Nodal segments were cultured in semisolid MS medium supplemented with 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 of BAP or Kn or 0.5 and 1.5 mg/L BAP combine with 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mg/L NAA for axillary shoot development. In vitro grown shoots were then collected and leaf parts and internodes were cut in length of 0.5-1 cm in a laminar air flow cabinet and transferred into MS medium containing 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 mg/L BAP individually or in combine with 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mg/L NAA, IBA, IAA, and 2,4-D for adventitious shoot regeneration.

Rooting & Acclimatization

Leaf and internode generated shoots were detruncated in a single shoot and cultured in half-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.1 and 0.5 mg/L either of NAA, IBA, or IAA. All the in vitro experiments were kept in a growth chamber established with a light intensity of 2000-3000 lux to maintain a 16 hours photoperiod and the temperature was controlled at 25±2°C. The rooted plantlets were then transplanted in a thumb pot containing sun sterilized sand and soil mixed with humus in the ratio of 1:2:1. The potted plants were then acclimatized regularly in an ex vitro environment after being kept for 15 days in the growth chamber.

Data Analysis

Data were recorded after 5 weeks of cultivation for 7 replications in each experiment with 3 repetitions. Microsoft Excel was used to calculate the average value and standard error of the obtained data.

RESULTS

Axillary Shoot Regeneration for Explant Selection

For direct organogenesis in vitro grown leaf and internode was used from axillary shoots, regenerated through nodal segments of field collected plants. The collected plants were washed with antiseptic and cut into pieces with 4-6 cm before sterilization in a laminar airflow chamber with 0.1% HgCl2 treatment for 5-8 minutes. Surface sterilant treatment for 6 minutes was found effective as almost 90% of explants survive and develop axillary shoots.

Axillary shoots were proliferated in full strength MS medium supplemented with either BAP, Kn, or NAA combination with BAP (Table 1). Among all the concentrations and combinations, 1.5 mg/L BAP found most profound medium as 80% shoots regenerated with 4.0±0.37 shoots per culture, albeit the length of shoot (4.2±0.22 cm) was surpassed by 5.4±0.32 cm and 4.7±0.31 cm obtained at MS with 1.5 mg/L BAP combine with 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 of BAP or Kn or 0.5 and 1.5 mg/L NAA, IBA, IAA, respectively. However, the shoot number is relatively low in that two combinations. The lowest BAP and Kn concentration (0.5 mg/L) respectively showed minimum response rate (50% and 40%) with minimal shoots number (2.3±0.16 and 1.8±0.55) and length...
Adventitious regeneration was established using sole BAP in MS medium and coupled BAP with 4 types of auxin through direct organogenesis from leaf and internode. Inoculated explant in only BAP contain medium despite producing any shoots, little callus formed at the cut margin region while 2.0 mg/L BAP coupled with 0.2 mg/L and 0.5 mg/L IBA, IAA, and 2,4-D in culture medium formed callus almost three times higher than the previous mentioned PGR (Table 2). Between the two in vitro grown explants, the internode segment possessed the highest regeneration rate with the highest shoot number and for hormonal combination, 1.5 mg/L BAP with 0.1 mg/L NAA produced respectively, 8.1±0.30 and 10.20±0.40 no. of shoots for both leaf and internode explant with with 90% response rate (Figure 1a-d). Among BAP - IBA hormone combinations, the maximum frequency of 75% and 70%, respectively, leaf and internode explants showed shoot buds formation at 1.5 mg/L BAP with 0.1 mg/L IBA. This combination also produced 6.1±0.50 and 4.3±0.10 shoots per culture, respectively. On the other hand, BAP-IAA, a maximum frequency of 60% and of 50% explants showed shoot buds differentiation at 1.5 mg/L BAP with 0.1mg/L IAA having a maximum number of shoots (4.6±0.40 and 3.6±0.17) per culture, respectively for leaf and internode. And 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L 2, 4-D showed maximum 50% and 45% bud formation from leaf and internode explants, respectively, which are much lower than other hormonal combination (Figure 1).

**In vitro** Rooting and Hardening

Adventitious shoots were excised into 2.5-3 cm and cultured into hormone omitted nutrient medium and 0.1, 0.2 mg/L either of IBA, IAA or NAA mediated full and half strength MS medium (Table 3). Half strength MS medium regenerated the highest percentage of roots in almost every PGR concentration while 0.1 mg/L IBA possessed the maximum number of roots 6.2±0.37 and 6.8±0.10 in full-strength and half-strength nutrients, respectively. Highest response rate of 95% was also observed at the lowest IBA concentration (Figure 1e). For the other two auxins, similar observation was also recorded, although the root number and root length were lower than IBA and 0.2 mg/L concentrated medium for every auxin showed lower response rate and lower morphological attributes with moderate callus at the root initiated region. However, 60% and 70% shoots develop roots without any callus in control full-strength and half-strength MS medium by producing 4.2±0.70 and 5.2±0.50 number of roots with 2.3±0.40 cm and 2.5±0.10 cm root length, respectively.

Well-developed rooted plantlets were transferred into a thumb pot containing sand: garden soil: organic fertilizer (1:2:1) for hardening. The hardening process was applied for 3 weeks in growth room with a plastic cover over the pots to create a greenhouse condition. The plants acclimatized to the ex vitro environment with 80% survival rate after a month.

**DISCUSSION**

Direct organogenesis regenerates plantlets from leaf or internode, bypassing the callus formation. This organogenic technique is highly applicable for the large scale of plantlet production as proliferated shoot number is higher than axillary shoot, and also somaclonal variation can be avoided, which can be possible in case of dedifferentiation (callus formation) and redifferentiation (shoot from callus) (kone et al., 2013). However, genotype determines the organogenic response in Cucurbitaceae species, phytohormone also responsible for the shoot morphogenesis (Shasthree et al., 2014).

**Table 1: Effect of different concentration of cytokinin in MS medium on shoot proliferation from the nodal segments of field grown plants**

| Types of cytokinin | Different nutrient media | Response of explants (%) | No. of total shoots per culture (X±S.E.) | Length of the shoot (cm) (X±S.E.) | Basal callus formation |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| BAP                | 0.5                      | 50                       | 2.3±0.16                               | 3.5±0.33                          | -                      |
|                    | 1.5                      | 80                       | 4.0±0.37                               | 4.2±0.22                          | ++                     |
|                    | 2.5                      | 70                       | 3.9±0.51                               | 4.2±0.10                          | ++                     |
| Kn                 | 0.5                      | 40                       | 1.8±0.55                               | 3.1±0.35                          | -                      |
|                    | 1.5                      | 75                       | 3.5±0.10                               | 4.0±0.35                          | ++                     |
|                    | 2.5                      | 65                       | 3.5±0.19                               | 4.2±0.52                          | ++                     |
| BAP + NAA          | 0.5+0.1                  | 60                       | 1.7±0.16                               | 4.2±0.22                          | -                      |
|                    | 0.5+0.2                  | 40                       | 1.2±0.17                               | 3.4±0.25                          | +                      |
|                    | 0.5+0.5                  | -                        | -                                      | -                                 | +                      |
|                    | 1.5+0.1                  | 80                       | 2.6±0.45                               | 5.4±0.32                          | +                      |
|                    | 1.5+0.2                  | 65                       | 2.0±0.55                               | 4.7±0.31                          | ++                     |
|                    | 1.5+0.5                  | 40                       | 1.8±0.37                               | 3.2±0.10                          | ++                     |

(3.5±0.33 cm and 3.1±0.35 cm), but no callus formation was observed, which was recorded with additional 0.1 mg/L NAA. Additional NAA with BAP provided callus formation at the bottom of the nodal segment.
Table 2: Effect of different concentrations and combinations of plant growth regulators in MS medium on direct shoot organogenesis from leaf explants of *in vitro* shoots

| Growth regulators (mg/L) | Explant types | Frequency of Regeneration (%) | No. of total shoots per culture (X±S.E.) | Basal callus formation |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| BAP                     |               |                               |                                          |                        |
| 1.0                     | LF            | -                             | 6.5±0.60                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 70                            | 6.2±0.37                                  |                        |
| 1.5                     | LF            | 45                            | 4.5±0.40                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 45                            | 3.8±0.20                                  | +                      |
| 2.0                     | LF            | -                             |                                          |                        |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          |                        |
| BAP + NAA               |               |                               |                                          |                        |
| 1.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 70                            | 1.6±0.10                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 90                            | 8.1±0.30                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.2              | LF            | 70                            | 10.2±0.40                                 | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 75                            | 5.1±0.09                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.5              | LF            | 40                            | 4.0±0.50                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 45                            | 2.3±0.50                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 35                            | 4.7±0.30                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 60                            | 3.7±0.33                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.2              | LF            | -                             | 1.5±0.51                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 20                            |                                          | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.5              | LF            | -                             |                                          |                         |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          |                         |
| BAP + IBA               |               |                               |                                          |                        |
| 1.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 50                            | 5.1±0.50                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 50                            | 3.7±0.10                                  | +                      |
| 1.0 + 0.2              | LF            | 35                            | 3.4±0.20                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 40                            | 2.4±0.20                                  | +                      |
| 1.0 + 0.5              | LF            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.1              | LF            | 70                            | 6.1±0.70                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 70                            | 4.3±0.10                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.2              | LF            | 55                            | 5.0±0.15                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 50                            | 3.0±0.15                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.5              | LF            | 30                            | 4.0±0.50                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 30                            | 2.3±0.30                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 25                            | 2.1±0.10                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 40                            | 2.1±0.16                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.2              | LF            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.5              | LF            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
| BAP + IAA               |               |                               |                                          |                        |
| 1.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 45                            | 4.3±0.40                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 40                            | 2.7±0.30                                  | +                      |
| 1.0 + 0.2              | LF            | 25                            | 2.5±0.20                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 20                            | 1.7±0.20                                  | +                      |
| 1.0 + 0.5              | LF            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.1              | LF            | 60                            | 4.6±0.40                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 50                            | 3.6±0.17                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.2              | LF            | 40                            | 3.1±0.20                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 40                            | 2.3±0.10                                  | +                      |
| 1.5 + 0.5              | LF            | 25                            | 2.3±0.30                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 25                            | 1.6±0.50                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.1              | LF            | 15                            | 1.4±0.10                                  | +                      |
|                        | IN            | 40                            | 1.9±0.10                                  | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.2              | LF            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          | +                      |
| 2.0 + 0.5              | LF            | -                             |                                          |                         |
|                        | IN            | -                             |                                          |                         |

(Contd...)
explants without any contamination risk and any negative effect on bioresources, along with the potentiality for genetic transformation (Chaudhuri et al., 2008).

Hence, for the in vitro grown explant, the nodal segment was excised from immature field plants which sterilized with 0.1% HgCl₂ for 6 minutes provide nearly 90% survived explants whereas 7 and 8 minutes cause the decease of cells 5 minutes showed contamination rate of 55% and develop axillary shoots. The nodal segment produces the highest rate (80%) and number (4.0±0.37) of shoots per culture at 1.5 mg/L BAP. KNa possessed a lower response rate than BAP and BAP, NAA combination. A Similar observation was reported by Shekhawat et al., (2014). Although, 0.5 mg/L BAP and 0.5 mg/L NAA had not produced any shoots rather than profound callus. Despite this, 1.5 mg/L BAP combine with 0.1 mg/L NAA was found effective as 80% explants regenerated shoots with a length of 5.4±0.32 cm. Sarkar et al. (2009) reported that 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.5 mg/L NAA as the most effective combination for shoot development which is in disagreement with the current study as the combination form noticeable callus. Thiripurasundari and Rao (2012) also reported of callus formation at MS medium with 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA. So, MS fortified with 1.5 mg/L BAP was efficacious to produce higher shoots since BAP might be easily metabolized by plant tissues. Conversely, 1.5 mg/L BAP combine with 0.1 mg/L NAA was potent for higher shoot length. Kashem and Rahman (2018) found a 100% regeneration rate in Cocconia grandis, whereas Patel and Ishnava (2015) reported the length of shoot was 5.9±1.0 cm in the combine BAP and NAA PGRs supplement.

### Table 2: (Continued)

| Growth regulators (mg/L) | Explant types | Frequency of Regeneration (%) | No. of total shoots per culture (X± S.E.) | Basal callus formation |
|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| BAP + 2,4-D             |               |                               |                                          |                       |
| 1.0+0.1                 | LF            | 40                            | 2.7±0.20                                 | +                     |
|                         | IN            | 40                            | 2.3±0.10                                 | +                     |
| 1.0+0.2                 | LF            | 20                            | 2.1±0.30                                 | ++                    |
|                         | IN            | 15                            | 1.3±0.30                                 | ++                    |
| 1.0+0.5                 | LF            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |
|                         | IN            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |
| 1.5+0.1                 | LF            | 50                            | 3.1±0.20                                 | +                     |
|                         | IN            | 45                            | 3.3±0.10                                 | +                     |
| 1.5+0.2                 | LF            | 30                            | 2.3±0.20                                 | +                     |
|                         | IN            | 25                            | 2.0±0.20                                 | +                     |
| 1.5+0.5                 | LF            | 15                            | 1.4±0.39                                 | +                     |
|                         | IN            | 15                            | 1.1±0.39                                 | +                     |
| 2.0+0.1                 | LF            | 25                            | 1.1±0.10                                 | +                     |
|                         | IN            | 25                            | 1.6±0.10                                 | +                     |
| 2.0+0.2                 | LF            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |
|                         | IN            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |
| 2.0+0.5                 | LF            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |
|                         | IN            | -                             | -                                        | +++                   |

LF = Leaf; IN = Internode; (-) represents no response; (+) indicates minor callusing; (++) represents noticeable callusing; (+++) represents abundant callusing

### Table 3: Effect of different concentration of auxins on adventitious root formation from the in vitro grown micro-shoots cultured on full strength (MS) and half strength (MSS₁) of MS medium

| Types of auxin | Medium strength | Response of micro shoots rooted (%) | Number of root per micro shoots (X± S.E.) | Average length of the root (cm) (X± S.E.) | Callus formation at the cutting base |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Control        | MS             | 60                                  | 4.2 ± 0.70                                | 2.3 ± 0.40                               | -                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 70                                  | 5.2 ± 0.50                                | 2.5 ± 0.10                               | -                                   |
| IBA 0.1        | MS             | 90                                  | 6.2 ± 0.37                                | 2.3 ± 0.10                               | -                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 95                                  | 6.8 ± 0.10                                | 2.8 ± 0.20                               | -                                   |
| IBA 0.2        | MS             | 75                                  | 4.5 ± 0.20                                | 1.8 ± 0.20                               | +                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 80                                  | 4.9 ± 0.20                                | 2.1 ± 0.10                               | +                                   |
| NAA 0.1        | MS             | 85                                  | 5.1 ± 0.30                                | 2.0 ± 0.10                               | -                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 90                                  | 5.5 ± 0.40                                | 2.4 ± 0.25                               | -                                   |
| NAA 0.2        | MS             | 70                                  | 3.8 ± 0.10                                | 1.6 ± 0.51                               | +                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 70                                  | 4.1 ± 0.10                                | 1.8 ± 0.51                               | +                                   |
| IAA 0.1        | MS             | 65                                  | 4.0 ± 0.30                                | 1.8 ± 0.40                               | -                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 70                                  | 5.1 ± 0.30                                | 2.1 ± 0.50                               | -                                   |
| IAA 0.2        | MS             | 55                                  | 2.3 ± 0.10                                | 1.3 ± 0.10                               | -                                   |
|                | MSS₁           | 60                                  | 3.7 ± 0.10                                | 1.6 ± 0.10                               | -                                   |

(-) represents no response; (+) indicates minor callusing
Generally, every single cell can regenerate a whole eukaryotic species known as totipotency (Condic, 2014). This totipotency of a cell activates with cytokinin that works as a signal molecule, but for direct organogenesis, cytokinin activates the preexisting machinery of a somatic (leaf, internode, cotyledon) cells (Agarwal, 2015). Shoot proliferation from explant is also triggered by cytokinin, which stimulates the meristematic cells at the tip of the explants. However, C. cordifolia (L.) Cogn. couldn’t regenerate any buds at the only BAP treatment in the current study (Table 2). A different outcome was found and was reported by Borah et al. (2019), as 90% internode explant responded at 2.5 mg/L BAP and 1.0 mg/L Kn fortified MS medium and maximal bud regeneration was reported for MS medium with 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.5 mg/L Kn combination by Ghanthikumar et al. (2013).

Internode explant although having same regeneration rate, produced the most number (10.20±0.40) of shoots in a single culture. Relatively mature and more vascular internodes’ tissue than leaf might be responsible for the higher shoots regeneration (Dhital et al., 2011). Moreover, internodes might hold ample cytokinin during the incision to develop adventitious shoot at the time of explant inoculation in medium (Lakshmi et al., 2013).

Rooting is essential for micro-shoots for the ultimate development as plant, where the root system uphold the water and balance the water loss through poorly functioning stomata of leaves (Ehsandar et al., 2013). Therefore, maximum root induction efficacy was found at 0.1 mg/L IBA amended half-strength MS medium as it almost 95% micro-shoot regenerates roots (Table 3). The use of low salt MS medium for rooting in vitro induced shoots is a very common practice for C. cordifolia (L.) Cogn. as Roy et al. (2012) evaluated strong root induction of 82.4% at 0.5 mg/L IBA containing half-strength MS medium. Sekhawat et al. (2014) also reported the potentiality of half salt strength in root formation and observed the best result in 2.0 mg/L IBA. Sundari et al. (2011) also reported IBA as profound auxin for root formation as 100% formed root in 0.1 mg/L IBA contained MS medium. IBA supremacy for root induction has also been reported in many others species of cucurbitaceae (Khalekuzzaman et al., 2012; Arciniega-Carreon et al., 2017). IAA found lowest responsive for root introduction due to might be imbalance of exogenous and endogenous auxins (Ghanthikumar et al., 2013). 70% and 60% Microcutting also produce root in control (hormone omitted) half and full strength MS medium, respectively. These phenomena proved that micro-shoots might not need the exogenous auxins source to obtain rooting, however lower root number (4.2±0.70 and 5.2±0.50) and length (2.3±0.40 cm and 2.5±0.10 cm) in full and half strength control MS medium than exogenous auxin supplemented mediums indicates the auxin treatment increased the root number and roots length along with rooting percentage and the synchronicity of rooting (de Klerk, 2002).

The well-developed rooted plantlets are transferred into the sand, soil, and compost (1:2:1) mixing cultivated medium to adapt the plantlets in an outside environment. For plant acclimatization to ex vitro condition root length and number is

Among all the combinations, 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.1 mg/L NAA supplemented in MS medium showed organogenic potentiality for both the explant and BAP with 2,4-D supplemented medium showed the lowest response rate. While higher concentrated BAP and auxin amended medium have an inhibitory impact on shoot induction as those combinations triggered the dedifferentiation of cells and form callus (Table 2). Notwithstanding, Josekutty et al. (1993) examined direct organogenesis from leaf and nodal segment of Coccinia indica at the PGR combination of 2.5 mg/L BAP, 0.5 mg/L Kn and 0.1 mg/L IBA. A different outcome was found and was reported by Borah et al. (2019), as 90% internode explant responded at 2.5 mg/L BAP and 1.0 mg/L Kn fortified MS medium and maximal bud regeneration was reported for MS medium with 1.5 mg/L BAP and 0.5 mg/L Kn combination by Ghanthikumar et al. (2013).
very important to uptake the nutrients and water in C. cordifolia (L.) Cogn. plantlets (Sanavy & Moeini, 2003). The planted plants acclimatized to ex vitro environment gradually after 15 days greenhouse condition and 80% of the plants survived in the condition after a month-long observation (Figure 1f).

CONCLUSION

The findings of the study for in vitro establishment of C. cordifolia (L.) Cogn. have been standardized with shoots regeneration, multiplication, rooting formation, and acclimatization. With full-strength MS medium supplemented with 1.5 mg/L BAP alone and combined with 0.1 mg/L NAA were found productive as regeneration rate and shoot number and length were maximum. Internode segments was found as paramount for in vitro direct organogenesis as 90% of explants regenerated, having 10.2±0.40 shoots per culture at the MS medium supplemented with 1.5 BAP and 0.1 NAA. Further, the plant had an 80% survival rate at ex vitro condition after getting maximum roots at 0.1 mg/L IBA in a half-strength MS medium. The findings obtained from the study provide a basis for initiation of any biotechnological applications and transgenic plant development by in vitro manipulation of Coccinia cordifolia (L.) Cogn. and to serve as a convenient and useful technique for the mass propagation of this medicinal plant.
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