Templation and Concentration Drive Conversion Between a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} Pseudoicosahedron, a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{4}L\textsubscript{4} Tetrahedron, and a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{3} Helicate
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**ABSTRACT:** We report the construction of three structurally distinct self-assembled architectures: Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} pseudoicosahedron 1, Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{3}L\textsubscript{3} helicate 2, and Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{4}L\textsubscript{4} tetrahedron 3, formed from a single triazaatriangulenium subcomponent A under different reaction conditions. Pseudoicosahedral capsule 1 is the largest formed through subcomponent self-assembly to date, with an outer-sphere diameter of 5.4 nm and a cavity volume of 15 nm\textsuperscript{3}. The outcome of self-assembly depended upon concentration, where the formation of pseudoicosahedral 1 was favored at higher concentrations, while helicate 2 exclusively formed at lower concentrations. The conversion of pseudoicosahedral 1 or helicate 2 into tetrahedron 3 occurred following the addition of a CB\textsubscript{11}H\textsubscript{12}\textsuperscript{−} or B\textsubscript{12}F\textsubscript{12}\textsuperscript{2−} template.

Coordination-driven self-assembly is an efficient tool for the construction of polyhedral metal–organic complexes,\textsuperscript{1} the cavities of which have proven useful in a range of applications, including molecular recognition,\textsuperscript{2} stereochemical sensing,\textsuperscript{3} chemical separation,\textsuperscript{4} stabilization of reactive species,\textsuperscript{5} and catalysis.\textsuperscript{6} The strategy of subcomponent self-assembly,\textsuperscript{7} involving the formation of structures containing multiple N-metal and C-N linkages during the same overall process, allows the preparation of a variety of capsules with different shapes and cavity sizes, which bind many different guests.\textsuperscript{7a}

An attractive goal is the construction of large self-assembled architectures\textsuperscript{8} that resemble the icosa/hedral structures adopted by some protein cages.\textsuperscript{9} As in the cases of icosa/hedral viral capsids assembled from multiple copies of a single protein subunit, self-assembly can allow the construction of larger architectures from much smaller components. The large internal voids of capsules with a sufficient degree of cavity enclosure may be suitable for binding large substrates,\textsuperscript{10} enabling synthetic encapsulants to approach the complex functions exhibited by biological systems.

In analogy to the structural changes of biological molecules,\textsuperscript{11} designing stimuli-responsive transformations within systems of discrete self-assembled container molecules is an important challenge in supramolecular chemistry.\textsuperscript{12} Such transformations may lead to functions that include guest uptake and release,\textsuperscript{13} chemical purification,\textsuperscript{14} reagent storage,\textsuperscript{15} and drug delivery.\textsuperscript{16} Various stimuli, such as light,\textsuperscript{17} pH,\textsuperscript{18} temperature,\textsuperscript{19} solvent,\textsuperscript{20} concentration,\textsuperscript{21} or additional chemical signals,\textsuperscript{22} have been employed to trigger transformation processes that lead to structural conversions. Supramolecular transformations involving multiple different structure types based upon a single ligand and metal ion remain rare,\textsuperscript{23} however.

Here, we report the preparation of three different architectures, a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} pseudoicosahedral, a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{3} helicate, and a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{4}L\textsubscript{4} tetrahedral, assembled from the same triazaatriangulenium (TATA) subcomponent under different reaction conditions. Changes in ligand concentration or the addition of template anions triggered complete conversions between these assemblies.

Subcomponent A (Figure 1) was prepared following our previously reported procedure.\textsuperscript{24} We first explored its self-assembly at a concentration of 4.4 mM in acetonitrile. The reaction of subcomponents A (1 equiv) and p-anisidine (3 equiv) with Fe(BF\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} (1 equiv) in acetonitrile at 70 °C resulted in the formation of the very large architecture I. ESI-MS showed a series of sharp peaks (Figure 2b), corresponding to charge states from 18+ to 11+, all of which were consistent with a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} composition.

The 1H NMR spectrum of Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} I displayed a complex pattern of signals, consistent with desymmetrization of the ligand (Figures 2a and S4). Three magnetically distinct chemical environments for the protons on the initially C\textsubscript{3v} symmetric ligand were observed, with the imine and methoxy signals each exhibiting three sharp peaks with a 1:1:1 integration ratio. The 1H DOSY spectrum confirmed that all \textsuperscript{1}H signals belonged to a single species in solution (Figures 2a and S10).

These NMR and MS data were consistent with the formation of a Fe\textsuperscript{II} \textsubscript{12}L\textsubscript{12} pseudoicosahedral capsule with meridional (mer) coordination geometry at all iron(II) vertices (Figure 1a). The 1H NMR spectrum of I was fully assigned through 2D NMR (Figures S7–S9). This type of assembly has
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been observed for a smaller C₃-symmetric triamine subcomponent, where a mixture of pseudoicosahedron and tetrahedron was obtained.²⁵ We infer the formation of the larger capsule, rather than a FeII₄L₄ tetrahedral cage, to result from the Coulombic repulsions between the cationic triazatriangulenium panels, which would be stronger in a tetrahedron where these panels are spatially closer together.

After many unsuccessful attempts at growing crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray diffraction, energy minimization of a pseudoicosahedral structure for 1 at the PM7 level of theory was carried out (Figure 1b and Table S2). The 12 iron(II) centers describe the vertices of an icosahedron, with the tris(bidentate) ligands capping 12 of the 20 icosahedral faces. All iron(II) centers in 1 display mer coordination around the metal centers, where two ligand arms extend above a triangular face of the “icosahedron” (Figure 1b, cyan and orange) and the third extends from below (Figure 1b, green). The longest Fe···Fe distance between antipodal vertices within this model of 1 is 4.1 nm, and the longest distance between the outermost methoxy groups is approximately 5.4 nm.

The PM7 model also indicates the capsule to be porous, with C₃-symmetric openings, having diameters of as large as 17 Å, each surrounded by three TATA ligands (one type of opening is shown in Figure 1c). Pseudoicosahedron 1 encloses a cavity volume of 15095 Å³, as determined by VOIDOO calculations (Table S1).²⁶ Capsule 1 thus represents the largest architecture prepared to date, to the best of our knowledge, using subcomponent self-assembly.⁷⁻²⁵,²⁷

As the cavity of pseudoicosahedron 1 is large and positively charged, we tested the binding of a series of large anionic and neutral prospective guests. None of these prospective guests, listed in Scheme S3, gave any evidence of guest encapsulation. We infer that they are not large enough to provide a good fit

Figure 1. (a) Subcomponent self-assembly of Fe₁²,L₁² pseudoicosahedron 1, with cutout showing the meridional metal coordination geometry. (b) PM7-optimized molecular model of pseudoicosahedron 1. Carbon atoms for the three distinct ligand arms about one of the mer-vertices have been colored cyan, green, and orange, respectively. (c) Model of 1 in space-filling mode, to show the porosity. Carbon atoms of the three triazatriangulenium ligands surrounding a large pore of 1 have been colored cyan, green, and orange, respectively.
for the cation, as most have been reported to be encapsulated within smaller capsules.28

In contrast, when A (1 equiv) reacted with p-aminidine (3 equiv) and Fe(BF4)2 (either 0.67 or 1 equiv gave the same result) in acetonitrile at the lower A concentration of 2.2 mM, helicate 2 was formed instead of 1 (Scheme S4 and Figures S11–S16). An overall 7+ charge for 2 was confirmed by ESI-MS (Figure S17). The 1H NMR spectrum of 2 displayed signals corresponding to a C3-symmetric bis-bidentate ligand, with one pyridyl-imine arm remaining uncoordinated (Figure S11). Both the imine and methoxy 1H NMR signals of 2 exhibited a 2:1 integral ratio, consistent with the formation of a helicate with one pyridyl-imine arm remaining uncoordinated (Figure 1a). (b) ESI-mass spectrum of 2.

The formation of smaller assembly 2 at a lower concentration is expected on the basis of Le Chatelier’s principle.30 The electrostatic interactions between the small BF4− anion and the small cavities of the cationic assembly may also render BF4− a suitable template for helicate formation.

The anion binding ability of helicate 2 was confirmed by carrying out 1H NMR titrations. The progressive addition of tetrabutylammonium perchlorate to a solution of 2 in CD3CN resulted in displacement of BF4− by ClO4−, as indicated by shifts in the helicate 1H signals, consistent with binding in fast exchange on the NMR chemical shift time scale (Figure S18). The addition of excess ReO4−, PF6−, or I− led to similar NMR observations, but Tf2N− did not (Figure S19). The lack of shifts in the 1H signals of 2 (<0.02 ppm at most) after adding excess Tf2N− indicated negligible interactions of this anion with helicate 2 relative to BF4−.

Although numerous attempts to obtain the crystal structure of a host–guest complex of 2 were unsuccessful, we were able to obtain the crystal structure of a host–guest complex of its structural analogue 2′, which assembled from a similar subcomponent (B) bearing only two pyridine-aldehyde functionalities (Figures 3a and S20–S26). Helicate 2′ bound anions in solution in similar fashion to 2 (Figure S27).

Slow vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 2′ in the presence of ReO4− yielded crystals of (ReO4−)2C2′ suitable for X-ray diffraction. Two ReO4− anions were found within two distinct cavities of helicate 2′, separated by the three converging TATA moieties (Figure 3b). Each of the two cavities is surrounded by three phenyl rings, giving cavity volumes of 76 and 77 Å3 (Table S1). The two iron(II) centers of 2′, separated by 20.0 Å, have the same handedness, generating a structure with D3 symmetry, consistent with solution NMR spectra.

When larger anions were added to helicate 2 in solution, such as carba-closo-dodecaborate (CB11H12)− or dodecafluoro-closo-dodecaborate (B12F12−), full conversion into tetrahedron 3 was observed after 12 h, resulting in a set of ligand 1H NMR signals consistent with a T-symmetric tetrahedral cage (Figures 4 and S28–S37). The Fe11I4 composition of 3 was confirmed by ESI-MS (Figures S30 and S35). These results suggested that CB11H12− and B12F12− could serve as templates to bring four cationic ligands together into the tetrahedral framework of 3, overcoming interligand Columbic repulsions.31 A cavity volume of 371 Å3 was calculated based on a PM7 model of 3 (Table S4), substantially smaller than that of pseudoicosahedron 1 (15095 Å3) and larger than the twin cavities of 2 (55 Å3 each when calculated from a PM7 model of 2 in the absence of bound anions, see Table S3) (Table S1). Conversion of pseudoicosahedron 1 into tetrahedron 3 also occurred following the addition of either of the template anions CB11H12− or B12F12− (Figure 4).

In summary, we have demonstrated the construction of a series of distinct capsules under different reaction conditions from TATA-containing subcomponent A, from large pseudoicosahedron 1, to medium-sized tetrahedron 3 and smaller helicate 2. These structures have drastically different cavity volumes, shapes, and sizes. Pseudoicosahedron 1 encloses a cavity volume that may allow the encapsulation of guests with diameters in the range of 3–4 nm.32 Prior studies of smaller TATA-based metal–organic assemblies have shown binding to...
small biological anions in water,\textsuperscript{24} suggesting that water-soluble versions\textsuperscript{32} of pseudoicosahedron 1 may prove useful for binding larger and more complex biomolecules, such as proteins and nucleic acids.
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\textbf{Figure 4.} Interconversion between pseudoicosahedron 1, helicate 2, and tetrahedron 3, showing PM7-optimized molecular models of each with the cavity volumes outlined in green mesh. Pseudoicosahedron 1 and helicate 2 interconverted following a change in ligand concentration. Addition of CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$ or B$_{12}$F$_{12}$ to assembly 1 or 2 drove the formation of tetrahedron 3, with the template anion bound inside the cavity.
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