INTRODUCTION

Breast reconstruction with the latissimus dorsi musculocutaneous flap (LDMCF) was introduced in 1970, and is now one of the most commonly performed breast reconstruction procedures [1]. The advantages of this method include excellent aesthetic outcomes, a relatively low complication rate, and the ability to secure oncological safety. Seroma at the flap donor site is the most common complication, with a reported incidence of up to 79%, and no single solution exists to dramatically reduce its incidence [2,3]. Donor-site seromas can cause several problems, such as discomfort and delays in postoperative chemotherapy [2,4]. Multiple studies have investigated seroma formation at the donor site after immediate breast reconstruction us-
ing LDMCF [3,5,6]. However, relatively few studies have explored late seroma formation at the LDMCF donor site, and case reports presenting histological findings of seroma are likewise rare. The authors experienced a very rare case of recurrent late seroma at 10 years after breast reconstruction using LDMCF. Curative surgical treatment was applied, and histological results were obtained. The authors describe this case, along with a review of the literature.

CASE

A 66-year-old female patient (height, 155 cm; weight, 53 kg) with breast cancer (left breast; pT1N2M0, stage IIIA) underwent modified radical mastectomy and breast reconstruction using LDMCF in March 2008. Drainage was removed on the 12th day after surgery and the first round of chemotherapy was administered on the 21st day postoperatively. During hospitalization, there were no complications at the donor site or any other issues, and she was discharged on the 36th day after the operation. She received three more rounds of chemotherapy after discharge, and outpatient follow-up for the next 7 years was uneventful. In July 2015, 7 years after surgery, the patient complained of a palpable mass on her back, at the donor site, at the outpatient clinic of the Department of General Surgery. Seroma was diagnosed, aspiration and drainage were performed, and the symptoms were relieved. In March 2018, 10 years after surgery, symptoms of a palpable mass recurred at the donor site. Incision, drainage, and bacterial culture were performed at the Department of General Surgery. The drained discharge from the donor site revealed a serous fluid pattern, including blood clot–like material, and no bacteria were identified in the culture. After repeated drainage of the serous fluid, the symptoms did not improve. Therefore, the patient was referred to the Department of Plastic Surgery, where she underwent irrigation and betadine gauze dressing (Fig. 1). In April 2018, the patient underwent surgery for the seroma under general anesthesia since serous discharge continued and the seroma remained unchanged. A serous capsule pouch located in the subcutaneous tissue layer was identified intraoperatively. The capsule pouch was completely excised by meticulous dissection and the inside of the capsule was observed. Within the capsule, serous fluid was found containing a brown-colored material with the appearance of a blood clot (Figs. 2-4). A permanent biopsy was performed of the completely excised serous capsule pouch. The histological findings showed that the brown-colored substance that had
accumulated in the cyst was eosinophilic proteinaceous material, not a blood component. Multinucleated giant cells and epithelioid histocytes were irregularly distributed in the fibrous cystic wall that had formed around the brown-colored material. Therefore, the cyst was suggestive of chronic granulomatous inflammation (Fig. 5). Two weeks after the operation, the drainage volume was less than 30 mL and the drain was removed. The patient was then discharged. There was no evidence of seroma recurrence or other complications during 8 months of follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

Donor-site seromas remain a noteworthy complication following LDMCF breast reconstruction. Many different methods have been tried to reduce the incidence of seroma formation. However, there is no known way to reliably reduce the risk of seroma formation, and systemic research is still lacking.

Various studies have described the following potential etiologies or factors contributing to seroma formation: advanced age, overweight, duration of keeping the drain in place, invasive breast surgery [5], nodal dissection [6], the extent of the mastectomy [7], and early shoulder mobilization [8]. Some researchers have suggested that seroma has an inflammatory origin, while others have proposed that seroma is likely to be derived from lymph [9,10]. In this case, most of the above-mentioned factors were not applicable or were difficult to identify. In addition, the histological findings included giant cells and histiocytes, suggesting the possibility of a chronic inflammatory origin, as well as being consistent with lymph [11,12].

In our literature review, we found two previous studies on late seroma after breast cancer surgery. In 1998, Matsui et al. [13] reported the results of surgical resection and histological findings for a fibrous seroma capsule in the breast that occurred after mastectomy. In that study, the histological findings suggested that the capsule consisted of a seroma wall composed of collagen fibers with hyaline degeneration and a fibrous matrix containing lymphocytes and neutrophils. In 2007, Stanczyk et al. [14] reported a similar case, which ultimately required surgical resection of a fibrous encapsulated seroma after mastectomy.
since conservative treatment was not effective. The authors of that study reported that the seroma capsule was mainly composed of lymphocyte-infiltrated fibrous tissue, with eosinophilic hyaline degeneration of collagen. A point of commonality between the case described in this report and the previous two studies is that surgical resection was performed for late seroma after mastectomy in a patient with breast cancer. In addition, the capsule pouch of the fibrous tissue wall was identified, and a histological examination was performed in all three cases. However, a comparison of the histological findings between this case and the previous ones indicates that there were some differences in the fiber and cell types constituting the seroma wall. The most significant difference is the location of the seroma. In the previous studies, the seroma occurred in the breast, whereas in this case, the seroma occurred at the donor site.

There are several limitations of this study that should be considered. One is that the components of the eosinophilic proteinaceous material that had accumulated in the cyst could not be identified more specifically based on the histological findings. Another limitation is that longer-term follow-up is needed due to the possibility of seroma recurrence after surgical resection of the seroma pouch. Meanwhile, a review of the medical history of the patient discussed in this study confirmed that a very small seroma was present at the donor site in chest computed tomography exams performed in 2012 and 2013. At that time, however, the radiologists and surgeons were unaware of the presence of seroma. Although the seroma was very small, early detection and proper treatment would have helped to reduce the long-term risk of recurrence.

In conclusion, the authors successfully performed surgical excision to treat a recurrent late seroma at the donor site 10 years after mastectomy and breast reconstruction using LDMCF. A histological analysis was also conducted.

No other case studies have reported histological findings for a late serous capsule pouch that recurred repeatedly over a period of 10 years, although some cases have been reported of seroma at the donor site after mastectomy and breast reconstruction using LDMCF. Therefore, this study will help clinicians to recognize and manage such patients in the future.
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