Ocean Acidification, but Not Environmental Contaminants, Affects Fertilization Success and Sperm Motility in the Sea Urchin Paracentrotus lividus
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Abstract: Ocean acidification poses an increasing concern for broadcast spawning species that release gametes in the water column where fertilization occurs. Indeed, the functionality of gametes and their interactions may be negatively affected by reduced pH. Susceptibility to other environmental stressors, such as pollutants, may be also altered under acidified conditions, resulting in more detrimental effects. To verify this hypothesis, combined exposures to CO2-driven acidification and environmentally relevant concentrations (0.5 µg/L) of three contaminants (caffeine, diclofenac, and PFOS, all singularly or in mixture) were carried out to highlight potential negative effects on fertilization success and motility of sperm in the sea urchin Paracentrotus lividus. Our results showed a significant reduction in the percentage of fertilized eggs when sperm were pre-exposed to reduced pH (ambient pH minus 0.4 units) compared to that of controls (ambient, pH = 8.1). Sperm speed and motility also decreased when sperm were activated and then exposed at reduced pH. Conversely, at both pH values tested, no significant effect due to the contaminants, nor of their interaction with pH, was found on any of the biological endpoints considered.
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1. Introduction

The increasing atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide (CO2), related to the consumption of fossil fuels, is considered the main cause for the ongoing climate changes. It has been increasing from the beginning of the Industrial Revolution at rates that have never occurred in the past millions of years [1,2]. Up to 50% of the emitted CO2 was uptaken by seawater worldwide [3,4] resulting in ocean acidification (OA) and changes in the seawater carbonate system (SCS) [2]. Compared to that of preindustrial levels, surface pH values of oceans and seas already decreased by approximately 0.1 pH units, and a further reduction of around 0.3–0.5 pH units is expected before the end of the 21st century [5,6], with an increase in seawater acidity of 4.12% every 10 years [7]. OA, and the related changes in SCS, can induce dramatic changes in marine benthic ecosystems, as already demonstrated in natural acidified sites, leading to a significant reduction in biodiversity with a higher presence of photosynthetic organisms and a lower representation of calcareous species [8–11]. Furthermore, the OA-related stress is expected to combine with pollution, posing an even major threat to marine ecosystems [12–15].
especially in coastal areas. Here, organisms can be exposed to a wide range of anthropogenic substances, including emerging contaminants with unknown environmental impacts, an aspect that raises increasing concern [16,17]. Laboratory and mesocosms experiments were carried out to test if OA can exacerbate the negative effects of different pollutants in several marine calcifying species including bivalves [18–24], echinoderms [25–27], and polychaetes [28–30] at both adult and larval stage. On the contrary, the effects of OA in combination with aquatic pollutants on gametes are still poorly studied. Nonetheless, it was observed that significant and persistent changes in environmental variables, such as pH, might affect the normal reproductive success of different marine species including bivalves [31–33], sea urchins [34–38], corals [39–41], polychaetes [28,42], and also fish [43]. Marine broadcast spawners, such as echinoderms, might be particularly susceptible to OA and pollutants because of their reproductive strategy. Reproduction is a fundamental aspect of the life cycle of the organisms and any disruption on this key event may be highly deleterious for the sustainability of natural populations. Most marine invertebrates, including echinoderms, spawn their gametes directly into the water column [44], where sperm must secure male reproductive success. To accomplish this task, sperm have to swim toward the eggs, but during the journey they might be negatively affected by sea-water chemical–physical characteristics [45–48]. The few data about the effects of environmental contaminants on sperm motility in marine invertebrates include the effects of heavy metals. Fitzpatrick et al. [49] demonstrated that the exposure to 100 µg/L of copper significantly reduced the percentage of mobile sperm as well as their velocity in the bivalve Mytilus trossulus. Our knowledge, however, completely lacks information about the functionality of gametes in conditions simulating possible climate change scenarios (sea-water acidification, global warming, changes in salinity) in the presence of pollutants. In this study, we focused on the purple sea urchin Paracentrotus lividus (Lamarck, 1816) being widely used as a model species in several ecophysiological and ecotoxicological studies [50–53]. Furthermore, P. lividus has a key role in shaping benthic marine communities in both the Mediterranean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean [54–56], where it also represents an important commercial resource [57]. P. lividus is a gonochoric species [58] and its reproductive cycle is annual with three main phases: the growing phase (late autumn and winter), when reserve material is accumulated into the gonads; the maturation phase (spring and early summer), during which gametogenesis and spawning take place; and the spent/regenerating phase, during which phagocytes absorb relict gametes [59]. As previously said, climatic changes, such as OA, are not the only stressors marine organisms have to deal with. In this study we selected three environmental contaminants that are present worldwide: diclofenac (named as DFC, a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory pharmaceutical, NSAID), caffeine (named as CAF, a widely used natural alkaloid in many formulations), and perfluorooctane sulfonate (named as PFOS, a perfluoroalkyl substance, PFAS). DFC, a cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and -2 inhibitor, is an anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) commonly used in both human and veterinary medicine [16], and it can be found in different aquatic environments at a range of concentrations from ng/L to µg/L [60–65]. In the island of Mallorca, DFC concentrations up to 0.3 µg/L were found in surface waters close to the wastewater treatment plants [66]. Caffeine is considered as the most common stimulant consumed by humans [67,68] and it was found in concentrations ranging from 0.14 to 1.6 µg/L in Boston Harbor seawater by Siegener and Chen [69]. PFOS is a perfluoroalkyl substance (PFAS) that was widely used in the recent past mostly as polymerization aids, in textile treatment, fire-fighting foams, semiconductors, and medical devices. It is found in marine environments and marine organisms sometimes far from contamination sources [70–72], and its effects are similar to persistent organic pollutants (POPs). For these reasons its usage in Europe was restricted since 2007 [73] and it was included in the Stockholm Convention list of POPs in 2009 [74]. In the UK, PFOS was found in surface water, sediment, drinking water, wastewater treatment plant effluent at concentrations of 0.138 µg/L, 53.1 µg/L, 0.063 µg/L, and 5.29 µg/L, respectively
Despite the high consumption, the environmental occurrence, and in the case of PFOS also the persistency of these contaminants being well documented, their effects on aquatic organisms need to be investigated more deeply also in relation to the ongoing climate change. Regarding DFC and CAF, it was already observed in other marine invertebrates that their presence at environmentally relevant concentrations can exacerbate OA effects on several physiological parameters at different life stages [20–24] but specifically not on sea urchins’ sperm performances. Regarding PFOS instead, it is completely unknown the combined effects that PFOS and reduced pH can have in any marine invertebrate species. Furthermore, these widely present environmental contaminants were never tested together before, nor in ambient pH or acidified conditions. The present study aimed to fill this gap by evaluating changes in sperm quality parameters (sperm swimming velocity and sperm motility) and fertilization ability in the sea urchin *P. lividus* during exposure to reduced pH and a set of these environmental contaminants, alone or in a mixture.

2. Materials and Methods

In this study, two sets of experiments were conducted: (i) the first set of three experiments aimed at highlighting spermotoxic effects through the evaluation of fertilization success; (ii) the second set of nine experiments focused on determining spermotoxic effects in terms of impaired sperm motility. Being the study focused on the direct effects on sperm, only they, and not eggs, were pre-exposed to the experimental conditions to exclude any possible confounding factor induced by the contaminants on the eggs. The experimental conditions tested refer to exposure to two different pH values, 8.1 (which corresponds to the current ambient average value, from now named as pH*Amb*) and 7.7 (refers to the acidification conditions expected by the end of this century, from now named as pH*−0.4*), in the presence or absence of CAF, DFC and PFOS (as single contaminants or in a mixture) always at a concentration of 0.5 µg/L. Contaminants concentrations were chosen based on data from literature as reported in the introduction. In particular, as regards the contaminants, in the first group of experiments, they were used only individually, in the second both individually and in a mixture. Filtered seawater (FSW, 0.45 µm) and stock solutions of the three contaminants in deionized water were always used for the preparation of the experimental solutions. The pH value was adjusted by the insufflation of gaseous CO$_2$. All experiments were conducted at 22 °C. Samples of FSW at both pH, in the presence or the absence of the contaminants and their mixture, were collected to measure seawater carbonate chemistry variables (i.e., pH$_T$, total alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon, pCO$_2$, and calcite and aragonite saturation state). The methods in detail are reported in Munari et al. [19], and results are available in Table 1.

Table 1. Seawater carbonate chemistry variables (mean values ± SE) throughout experiment. TA = total alkalinity; DIC = total dissolved inorganic carbon; pCO$_2$ = CO$_2$ partial pressure; Ω$_{calc}$ = calcite saturation state; Ω$_{arg}$ = aragonite saturation state, pH*Amb* = pH 8.1, pH*−0.4* = pH 7.7, CAF = caffeine, DFC = diclofenac, PFOS = perfluorooctane sulfonate, MIX = mixture.

| Condition | pH$_T$ | TA      | DIC     | pCO$_2$ | Ω$_{calc}$ | Ω$_{arg}$ |
|-----------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------------|----------|
| Control   | 8.05 ± 0.04 | 2861.46 ± 46.24 | 2601.08 ± 53.27 | 512.91 ± 58.24 | 6.68 ± 0.56 | 4.39 ± 0.38 |
| CAF       | 8.11 ± 0.04 | 2832.90 ± 7.91 | 2543.37 ± 24.11 | 620.18 ± 48.27 | 6.29 ± 0.56 | 4.13 ± 0.38 |
| pH*Amb*   | 8.10 ± 0.03 | 2856.98 ± 6.34 | 2581.88 ± 25.74 | 452.42 ± 34.46 | 7.01 ± 0.43 | 4.60 ± 0.29 |
| DFC       | 8.12 ± 0.03 | 2834.08 ± 19.01 | 2549.24 ± 31.18 | 420.90 ± 35.60 | 7.35 ± 0.41 | 4.82 ± 0.27 |
| PFOS      | 8.09 ± 0.03 | 2859.66 ± 10.80 | 2590.87 ± 27.89 | 462.73 ± 34.16 | 6.84 ± 0.40 | 4.48 ± 0.27 |
| MIX       | 8.09 ± 0.03 | 2859.66 ± 10.80 | 2590.87 ± 27.89 | 462.73 ± 34.16 | 6.84 ± 0.40 | 4.48 ± 0.27 |
2.1. Animal Collection and Spawning

*P. lividus* adults were collected manually by SCUBA divers at approximately 2 m depth inside the Venice Lagoon, nearby the city of Chioggia (geographical coordinates: 45°13′65″ North, 12°16′29″ East) between April and June 2015. As soon as possible, the sampled specimens were transported in cooling boxes to the Hydrobiological Station ‘Umberto D’Ancona’ (University of Padova) in Chioggia (Venice), where the experiments were performed. Organisms were kept in a flow-through system and feed with *Ulva* sp. *P. lividus* is not a protected nor endangered species and all experimental procedures described by the guidelines of the European Union (Directive 609/86) were followed. Within five days of collection, *P. lividus* were induced to spawn by injection of 1–2 mL of 0.5 M KCl. Eggs were collected into beakers (500 mL) of FSW at ambient pH. Sperm was collected dry and kept on ice until use.

2.2. Quality and Concentration of Gametes

Gamete quality and concentration were assessed according to the following protocol. Sperm: for each male 4 µL of seminal fluid were diluted in 10,000 µL of FSW and viability was checked under a microscope. If sperm were found to be inactive, the male was discarded. Four µL of 7% neutralized formalin in FSW was then added to each sample and the sperm count was carried out using a Buerker chamber. The number of sperm present in one µL of sperm collected was thus defined for each male. Eggs: the eggs of each female were filtered with a 100 µm nylon mesh filter to retain impurities (algal fragments or pedicels), refiltered with a 20 µm nylon mesh filter, and then resuspended in 400 mL of FSW. The quality (shape and size) of the eggs was checked under the microscope to exclude females with abnormal eggs thus reducing any maternal effect due to the egg quality. A 500 µL aliquot of egg suspension was taken from each female and counted. All females that provided less than 100,000 eggs in 15 min of spawning were discarded.

2.3. Spermotoxicity: Effects on Fertilization

Spermotoxicity experiments were performed maintaining a constant sperm:egg ratio (1250:1) that allowed to obtain a percentage of fertilization, around 85% under control conditions [77]. A single pool of sperm and a single pool of eggs were set up with the gametes obtained from five males and five females. The same number of gametes from each individual was used so that the contribution of each parent was the same. To prepare the sperm pool, the gametes of each male were diluted in 10 mL of FSW to have a concentration of 108 sperm/mL. Multiwell plates (6 wells per plate of 6 mL each) were used for the exposure of sperm. A total of 3.99 mL of seawater (previously adjusted to the different experimental conditions) were poured into each well, and an aliquot of 10 µL of sperm suspension was then added to have a final concentration of 250,000 sperm/mL. Sperm were then exposed for 30 min, both in the absence and in the presence of the three chosen contaminants (0.5 µg/L), at two pH levels (pHₐmb and pH⁻⁰.₄) for a total of eight experimental conditions. Three experimental replicates were set up for each condition. After 30
min, 100 µL aliquots of the egg pool were added to have a final quantity of 800 eggs in each well. Five minutes and 35 min after adding the eggs, the samples were fixed with the neutralized formalin solution. The success of fertilization was determined by verifying the presence or absence of the fertilization membrane in a subsample of 100 eggs per experimental replicate.

2.4. Spermotoxicity: Effects on the Motility Parameters of Sperm

Sperm from nine males were used to evaluate the effects of seawater pH and contaminants on sperm motility (percentage of motile sperm in the sample) and sperm velocity. During each test, sperm from a single male was exposed for a total of 30 min to two pH levels (pH\text{Amb} and pH\text{−0.4}), both in the absence and in the presence of the three contaminants (0.5 µg/L), used both individually and in the mixture. For the exposure of sperm, the same plates described above for the fertilization tests and the same sperm concentration (250,000 sperm/mL, 4 mL per well) were used. At 5, 15, and 30 min from the addition of the sperm to each well, an aliquot of sperm suspension was taken from each experimental condition and placed on a multiwell slide (MP Biomedicals, Aurora, OH, USA) pretreated with polyvinyl alcohol 1% (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) to prevent sperm from sticking to the slide, and was then covered with a coverslip. Sperm cells were then filmed under an optical microscope (Leica DM 750) provided with a Sony CCD camera (XC-ST50) and connected to a computer equipped with the Pinnacle Studio 15 program (Corel Corporation). The videos obtained were then analyzed with the CEROS sperm tracker (Hamilton Thorne Research, Beverly, MA, USA). The parameters calculated with the CASA system (Computer Assisted Sperm Analysis) were: (i) VCL (Curvilinear Velocity): it is based on the actual path taken by the sperm head and is measured in µm/s and (ii) Motility: percentage of motile sperm in the sample. The above-mentioned observation times (5, 15, and 30 min) were chosen following observations made during previous trials.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

The Permutational Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) with 9999 permutations, through the PERMANOVA+ program (PRIMER-E Ltd., Luton, UK) was used for the statistical analysis of the fertilization percentages. Sperm velocity and motility were analyzed using the statistical software PASW v.18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Before proceeding with the analysis, the normality of the data was verified (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, \(p > 0.05\)). Linear Mixed Models (LMM) were used to test the combined effects of pH, time, and contaminants. To this end, pH, contaminants, time, and their interactions were indicated as fixed factors in the models, while the sea urchin identity was entered as a random factor. The null hypotheses tested for fertilization success, as well as sperm VCL and motility, were: toward time (i) for each pH tested, no significant differences exist among treatments (Control, CAF, DCF, and PFOS, plus their mixture for sperm VCL and motility); and (ii) for each treatment tested, no significant differences exist among pH levels (Amb and −0.4). Statistical significance was set at \(p < 0.05\). In PERMANOVA, if the number of unique values from permutations was too low, \(p\)-values were calculated using the Monte Carlo procedure.

3. Results

Results of the PERMANOVA analysis and the LMM analysis are reported in Table 2. Significant effects of pH on the overall performance of the sperm of \(P.\ lividus\) were detected during both experiments. A significant effect of time of exposure and its interaction with pH was observed for sperm velocity (VCL).
Table 2. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and linear mixed model (LMM) results. For PERMANOVA, Pseudo-F values (indicated as F) and Monte Carlo p-values for percentage of fertilized eggs of *P. lividus* detected 5 and 35 min postfertilization at two pH levels (pH<sub>Amb</sub> and pH<sub>-0.4</sub>), in absence or presence of 0.50 µg/L of caffeine, diclofenac, or PFOS. For LMM, F values and p-values for sperm velocity (VCL) and sperm motility (percentage of motile sperm in sample) of *P. lividus*, detected 5, 15, and 30 min post-sperm-activation at two pH levels (pH<sub>Amb</sub> and pH<sub>-0.4</sub>), in absence or in presence of 0.50 µg/L of caffeine, diclofenac, PFOS or their mixture. Significant results are in bold.

| Factors                     | % of fertilized eggs (PERMANOVA) | VCL (LMM) | % motile sperms (LMM) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| pH                          | F<sub>(1,95)</sub>=789.890      | F<sub>(1,204.0)</sub>=4.288 | F<sub>(1,203.8)</sub>=7.232 |
|                             | p(MC)<0.001                      | p=0.040   | p=0.008               |
| Contaminant (Cont)          | F<sub>(3,95)</sub>=0.731        | F<sub>(4,204.4)</sub>=0.587 | F<sub>(4,205.3)</sub>=0.699 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.531                      | p=0.673   | p=0.593               |
| Time                        | F<sub>(1,95)</sub>=0.558        | F<sub>(2,204.5)</sub>=32.982 | F<sub>(2,203.3)</sub>=2.690 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.073                      | p<0.001   | p=0.070               |
| pH x Cont                   | F<sub>(3,95)</sub>=0.394        | F<sub>(4,204.0)</sub>=0.518 | F<sub>(4,205.3)</sub>=0.933 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.755                      | p=0.722   | p=0.446               |
| pH x Time                   | F<sub>(1,95)</sub>=0.483        | F<sub>(2,204.5)</sub>=4.689 | F<sub>(2,205.3)</sub>=0.949 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.127                      | p=0.010   | p=0.389               |
| Cont x Time                 | F<sub>(3,95)</sub>=0.251        | F<sub>(8,204.3)</sub>=0.962 | F<sub>(8,205.0)</sub>=0.887 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.860                      | p=0.467   | p=0.528               |
| pH x Cont x Time            | F<sub>(3,95)</sub>=0.669        | F<sub>(8,204.0)</sub>=0.922 | F<sub>(8,205.0)</sub>=1.384 |
|                             | p(MC)=0.573                      | p=0.499   | p=0.205               |

3.1. Effects on Fertilization

The obtained results showed a significant effect of pH on the percentage of fertilized eggs, while no effect of time, contaminants, or the interaction of the tested factors was found (Table 2). The average percentage of fertilized eggs was always lower than 50% when sperm were previously exposed to pH<sub>-0.4</sub>, whereas it was always higher than 70% when sperm were kept at pH<sub>Amb</sub> (Figure 1). Both in the absence and the presence of the tested contaminants, as confirmed by the PERMANOVA, there were no differences among treatments at both pH levels. Furthermore, the same trend of response was found at both 5 min and 35 min after fertilization at all the experimental conditions (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  
*Figure 1. Percentage of fertilized eggs at 5 and 30 min postfertilization. On the x axis, exposure conditions are shown. pH<sub>Amb</sub> = pH 8.1, = pH 7.7, CAF = caffeine, DFC = diclofenac, PFOS = perfluorooctane sulfonate, MIX = mixture.*
3.2. Effects on Sperm Velocity and Motility

The sperm VCL was negatively influenced by the reduction in pH, while no significant effect of the contaminants was observed, either singularly or in mixture. Furthermore, no significant interaction was found between the contaminants and the other tested factors (Table 2). The results obtained also highlighted a significant effect of the exposure time (Table 2), with significant reductions in speed between 5 min and 30 min from sperm activation at both pH\textsuperscript{Amb} and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4}. A significant interaction emerged between pH and exposure time (Table 2, Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image-url)

Figure 2. Sperm velocity (VCL) measured after 5, 15, and 30 min from activation at both pH\textsuperscript{Amb} (pH 8.1) and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} (pH 7.7) in absence or presence of contaminants. Values are means ± SE. Horizontal line represents sample average.

Removing the effect of the contaminants from the analysis, a significant difference between pH\textsuperscript{Amb} and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} was highlighted by LMM after 5 min postactivation, while after 15 and 30 min no difference in speed between the two pH levels was observed (Figure 3). In particular, compared to that of sperm activated at pH\textsuperscript{Amb}, in those exposed to pH\textsuperscript{−0.4}, there was a reduction in speed of 6% (equal to 20 µm/s).

![Figure 3](image-url)

Figure 3. Sperm velocity (VCL) after 5, 15, and 30 min from activation at both pH\textsuperscript{Amb} (pH 8.1) and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} (pH 7.7). Mean values (± SE) from all treatments at same pH are reported. Horizontal line represents sample average. Asterisk denotes a significant difference between pH treatments at same time point (p < 0.05).
Sperm motility was significantly affected by pH (Table 2) with sperm being on average less motile in the pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} condition (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image1)

**Figure 4.** Sperm motility measured after 5, 15, and 30 min from activation at both pH\textsuperscript{Amb} (pH 8.1) and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} (pH 7.7) in absence or presence of contaminants. Values are means ± SE. Horizontal line represents sample average.

When considering only the effects of time and pH, the LMM showed a significant difference among sperm kept at different pH for 5 min after activation (Figure 5). Also in this case, after 15 and 30 min the difference in the percentage of motile sperm exposed at the two pH levels tended to disappear (Figure 5). In particular, compared to that of sperm activated at pH\textsuperscript{Amb}, in those exposed to pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} a 9% reduction in the number of motile sperm was observed.

![Figure 5](image2)

**Figure 5.** Sperm motility (percentage of motile sperm in sample) after 5, 15, and 30 min from activation at both pH\textsuperscript{Amb} (pH 8.1) and pH\textsuperscript{−0.4} (pH 7.7). Mean values (±SE) from all treatments at same pH are reported. Horizontal line represents sample average. Asterisk denotes a significant difference between pH treatments at same time point (p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

In our experiment, we demonstrated that water acidification strongly affects the key aspects of reproduction in *P. lividus*. Both sperm quality variables (velocity and motility) and fertilization success decreased after a short period of incubation in a low pH medium. Interestingly, none of the contaminants had a comparable effect, pointing to OA as the main threat to sea urchins, at least in our laboratory conditions. The growing interest in
understanding the influence that OA could exert on marine organisms led to the development of an ever-expanding research sector [78]. Numerous studies demonstrated that the early stages of the life cycle of many marine organisms are the most susceptible to significant and persistent alterations of environmental parameters such as pH, temperature, or salinity [48]. Particularly in coastal environments, organisms can also be exposed to a wide range of anthropogenic compounds that reach rivers and marine systems when they are not adequately removed during wastewater depuration processes [79]. The combined action of these stressors could especially affect those organisms that release their gametes into the water column, where fertilization takes place, and that develop calcareous structures already in the first larval stages, both characteristics that make them particularly susceptible to OA [2,48]. To understand the effects of the natural conditions in which many marine species live or could live in possible scenarios of climate change, it is useful to investigate not only the consequences of pH variations, as indicated by predictive models, but also how these environmental changes can act on marine organisms when combined with the presence of emerging contaminants. Thus, in this study, we evaluated the combined effects of reduced pH and three contaminants (caffeine, diclofenac, PFOS), individually and in a mixture, on the first life stages of the sea urchin *P. lividus*. The results obtained showed that a 30’ pre-exposure of the sperm to a variation of 0.4 pH units (from pH 8.1 to pH 7.7) caused a significant decrease in the percentage of fertilized eggs in *P. lividus*. At both observation times (after 5 and 35 min from the contact between sperm and eggs), with all contaminants, at pH\(^{+0.4}\) the fertilization percentage was higher than 70%, while at pH\(^{−0.4}\) the average percentage was reduced by about 38%. Previous studies showed that fertilization in echinoderms is, in general, negatively affected by OA but usually the adverse effects were only seen below pH 7.7 [80–85]. In a recent study, Smith et al. [86] found no difference in the % of fertilization success when sperm were activated at reduced pH levels (7.90 and 7.77) and were compared with the controls (8.07) in two sea urchin species, *Lytechinus pictus* and *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. In our study, the reduction in the percentage of fertilized eggs between the pH\(^{+0.4}\) conditions and those at pH\(^{−0.4}\) was almost 40%. When compared with the few data available for other species, our findings suggested a greater sensitivity of *P. lividus* to OA concerning fertilization. We note, however, that in our study *P. lividus* sperm were pre-exposed to the experimental conditions for 30 min before fertilization, whereas in other studies from the literature the gametes of both sexes were subjected to the various experimental conditions at the same time. This suggested that male gametes could be particularly prone to the negative effects of acidification. Our results did not highlight significant effects of the three contaminants at the investigated concentrations, nor of their interaction with reduced pH. Similarly, in another study conducted on sperm of *P. lividus*, 1 h of exposure to caffeine concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 15 µg/L led to no significant change in fertilization rate. Conversely, at concentrations between 10\(^{6}\) and 50\(^{6}\) µg/L the fertilization rate was 80 to 100% lower than that observed in controls [87]. Although there are no data in the literature on the effects of diclofenac and PFOS on the sea urchin fertilization process, a good amount of information is available regarding the effects of many environmental contaminants in different species of echinoids. For example, in *Arbacia punctulata* fertilization in the presence of a mixture of lindane (a pesticide) and phenanthrene (a polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) was reduced by 30% at a concentration of 4.76 µmol/L and by 100% at 19.06 µmol/L [88]. In another study on *P. lividus*, one hour of sperm pre-exposure to three metal compounds in environmentally relevant concentrations (CdCl:\(0.18\) µg/L, CuSO\(_4\) 0.16 µg/L and TBTCl 0.32 µg/L) did not cause significant reductions in the fertilization ability, while at concentrations a thousand times higher the average fertilization percentage was about 50% compared to controls [89]. The authors suggested that the reduced percentage of fertilized eggs observed was due to a toxic effect of heavy metals on sperm, which might have involved an alteration in their motility. About the negative effects of pH reduction on fertilization, some authors [84,90] suggested that the increase in pCO\(_2\) of seawater had a narcoleptic effect on sperm, decreasing their respiration and thus their flagellar motility. In
In this study, we tested this hypothesis by exposing sperm of nine *P. lividus* males to two pH levels (8.1 and 7.7) for half an hour postactivation in the absence and the presence of the three selected contaminants, both individually and in a full mixture. The results obtained showed that a moderate reduction in pH had a significant effect on both the sperm swimming speed and the percentage of motile sperm. These differences were particularly pronounced after 5′ from activation. In particular, compared to sperm activated at pH 8.1, in those exposed to acidification, a 6% reduction in the speed (equal to 20 μm/s) and 9% in the number of motile sperm were observed. The 0–5′ time interval is important considering that in other species, under laboratory conditions, the majority of fertilization episodes happen within this time range [91], and despite optimal conditions, fertilization ability in *P. lividus* is maintained for longer [92]. In another marine invertebrate, the madrepora *Acropora digitifera*, Nakamura, and Morita [40] found that the percentage of motile spermatozoa decreased by 36.5% when comparing preindustrial levels of pCO₂ (300 ppm = pH 8.1) with those expected for the end of this century (1000 ppm = pH 7.7). In contrast to our results, Smith et al. [86] found a significant increase in sperm motility at pH 7.7 when compared to pH 8.07 in the two sea urchin species *Lytechinus pictus* and *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Esposito et al. [33] in the two marine invertebrates *Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Ciona robusta*, observed a reduction in sperm motility of 12.5% and 15.4%, respectively, when comparing the controls exposed at pH 8.11 and the treatments at pH 7.80. The performance of sperm, and therefore fertilization success, can be influenced also by the recognition of peptides present on the surface of the eggs or by other chemicals released into the water together with the eggs themselves [93–95]. In our experiments aimed at evaluating the ability of sperm to fertilize eggs, OA could have somehow altered the molecules present on the surface of the eggs or, given the pre-exposure, the ability of sperm to recognize the eggs in the media; thus, further affecting the success of fertilization. Similar to variations in environmental parameters, the presence of toxic substances in the medium in which sperm are released can cause damage to sperm cells, reducing their ability to move in the water column and to fertilize eggs, or increasing the possibility of transmission of genetic damage to the next generation [96]. For example, exposure of *Mytilus edulis* sperm to high copper levels (6300–208,000 mg/L) caused mitochondrial damage [97], while in the sea urchin *Anthocidaris crassispina*, cadmium concentrations greater than 5 mg/L produced cytoplasmic membrane alterations, mitochondrial damage, and flagellum rupture with dramatic effects on sperm swimming ability [98,99]. In our study, no negative effects on sperm motility following exposure to contaminants or a significant interaction between the lowering of pH and contaminants were highlighted. Overall, our results suggested that pH levels predicted to occur in the next future may impact the performance of sperm in the sea urchin *P. lividus*, thus posing a further threat to natural populations of this species that a relevant ecological role in coastal environments. However, further studies are needed to better understand the effect of OA in combination with emerging contaminants on marine species from different locations since it was recently demonstrated that not all the populations of *P. lividus* respond in the same way to future climate change scenarios [100].
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