Laparoscopic Acetabular Fracture Fixation after Three-dimensional Modelling and Printing

Abstract

Current surgical treatment of acetabular fractures is open reduction and internal fixation and requires a large incision resulting in considerable blood loss and a potentially long duration of recovery. We report a case of an acetabular fracture that received laparoscopic internal fixation after three-dimensional (3D) modelling and printing of the acetabulum. A 43 year old male fell from a height of 3 m resulting in a right acetabulum anterior column fracture. Thin section computed tomography scanning with 0.6 mm increments and subsequent 3D reconstruction was performed, and a 3D model of the acetabulum and fracture was printed. The steel reconstruction plate was prebent in vivo and placed into the optimized position based on the 3D modelling and the optimized insert orientation and measured screw length were determined. The fracture was reduced and the plate placed laparoscopically without complications, and the patient had excellent functional recovery. Acetabular fractures are complex injuries, and while minimally invasive surgical techniques are used in many fields, they are not common for the treatment of acetabular fractures. 3D modelling is commonly used in medicine, and although 3D printing is used in some fields, it has not found widespread use in orthopedics.
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Introduction

Acetabular fracture accounts for 10–22% of all fractures and are associated with a variety of complications, with mortality ranging from 5% to 25%. Current surgical treatment of acetabular fractures is open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) and requires a large incision resulting in considerable blood loss and a potentially long duration of recovery.\(^2\) Endoscopy has shown promise for the reduction and fixation of pelvic fractures. Three-dimensional (3D) computer reconstruction and modelling are used in many medical fields, and 3D printing, based on 3D computer graphics, is used in surgical planning, the production of personalized prostheses, and bone engineering.\(^7\)

The purpose of this report is to describe the case of an acetabular fracture that was repaired by minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery after performing simulated surgery on a 3D-printed model of the pelvis and fracture, which allowed accurate preoperative fitting of the reconstruction plate and determination of screw size and placement.

Case Report

Informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication. A 43-year-old male fell from a height of 3 m resulting in a fracture of the right acetabulum and distal radius. The acetabular fracture was classified as a fracture of the anterior column based on the Letournel system.\(^3\) A complete preoperative examination was performed after hospital admission. Plain film pelvic radiography [Figure 1a and b] and thin-section computed tomography (CT) scanning with 0.6 mm increments [Figure 1c and d] were performed. The CT image showed a fracture displacement of \(>2\) mm, which had surgical indications and could not be treated with percutaneous screw fixation method.

The CT images were imported into Mimics software (Materialise, Belgium) for 3D editing and virtual fracture reduction. Based on the multiplanar 3D reconstructions, the best location for the internal fixation plate
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was identified; the plate was designed and optimized, and the optimal screw length, position and orientation were determined [Figure 2a]. The fracture model was then printed with a 3D printer; the steel reconstruction plate was prebent ex vivo and placed into the optimized position based on the 3D modelling [Figure 2b]. Based on the optimized insert orientation and measured screw length obtained from the modelling, a simulated surgery was performed on the 3D-printed model and the details were recorded for use in the actual surgery.

Laparoscopic surgery was performed after induction of general anesthesia with the patient in the supine position with feet higher than the head by 15°, and buttocks of the affected side lifted by 20°. Trocars were placed 1.0 cm below the umbilicus, in the midline 1/3 the distance superior to the pelvis from the umbilicus, at McBurney’s point and 1/3 of the distance from the pubic symphysis toward the anterior superior iliac spine of the affected side [Figure 3a]. Under laparoscopy, extraperitoneal incisions revealed the pubic tubercle, suprapubic region, and iliopubic tract, and the corona mortis was ligated. The arteriovenous vessels proximal to the ilium were protected using sterile gauze with appropriate traction. An ultrasonic scalpel was used for incision of the periosteum and the iliopectineal fascia. The exposed fracture was reduced using rod holders. The reconstruction plate was inserted through the abdominal puncture into the optimized position as determined by 3D modelling. Screws were placed as determined preoperatively [Figure 3b]. A drainage tube was placed prior to closure of the surgical incisions. The blood loss was 80 mL, and operation time was 180 min.

Figure 1: (a) X-ray pelvis with hip joint showing anterior column fracture (b) Postoperative x-ray pelvis with hip joint of same patient showing plate in situ (c) Preoperative computed tomography showing fracture line (arrow) (d) Postoperative computed tomography showing reduction and plate in situ

Figure 2: (a) Computed tomography three-dimensional reconstruction of the acetabulum and fracture. Arrows indicate the fracture line and the position for the screws placement. (b) Placement of a steel reconstruction plate on the three-dimensional printed model

Figure 3: (a) Laparoscopic view with the reconstruction plate in the optimized position based on the virtual planning (b) View showing the plate in situ after surgery.
Radiography confirmed the location of the plate and screws were identical to that determined with the 3D modelling [Figure 1a], and CT showed anatomical fracture reduction [Figure 1b]. The patient was able to roll over in bed the day of surgery, sit up the next day, and ambulate with crutches after 10 days.

Discussion
In this case, the 3D-printed model allowed precise prebending of the steel plate and determination of the exact length and position of the screws, thus simplifying the surgery and minimizing any chance of surgical errors.

Currently, pelvic fracture surgery includes the ilioinguinal approach,3 the improved Stoppa approach,2 and the lateral rectus approach.4 The method we described allowed for a clear anatomical view in the extraperitoneal pneumoperitoneum, accurate placement of a prebent plate and screws, minimal blood loss and a short recovery period and early restoration of function.

We have also used 3D printing ORIF via an extraperitoneal lateral rectus approach,10 which uses a 3D-printed model to determine the optimal surgical approach and design as in this report; however, the surgery is still performed open. After these experiences, we decided to perform this kind of surgery by a minimally invasive laparoscopic approach. A summary of the outcomes of the three methods is presented in Table 1. It can be seen that 3D printing and ORIF offers advantages over traditional ORIF via the ilioinguinal approach and the method described in this report offers further advantages over 3D printing and ORIF.

There are still some limitations in our case. We took 3 h for surgery, which is about the same for open surgery. This is the first time that the author operated with the general surgeons to perform laparoscopic acetabular fracture fixation and is still not skilled in dissection the superior pubic ramus, iliopectineal eminence to sacroiliac joint. So far, there is still no specialized device for laparoscopic acetabular fracture fixation. We are going to develop new specialized devices, which could further reduce the overall

| Variables                          | ORIF (ilioinguinal approach) (n=38) | 3D printing ORIF (extraperitoneal lateral rectus approach) (n=15) | 3D printing laparoscopic reduction and internal fixation (extraperitoneal approach) (n=1) |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Length of incision (cm)            | 14 (10-20)                         | 7 (5.5-8)                                      | 5.5 (total length)                                               |
| Surgery duration (min)             | 105 (68-22)                        | 100 (60-150)                                   | 180                                                             |
| Blood loss (mL)                    | 640 (410-1400)                     | 320 (150-900)                                  | 80                                                              |
| Postoperative complications        | 17 cases develop complications:    | None                                           | None                                                            |
|                                   | 7 cases of lateral femoral cutaneous nerve injury and 10 cases of hip adductor weakness |                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Hospital stay (days)               | 27 (15-87)                         | 12 (9-14)                                      | 9                                                               |
| Recovery period (weeks)            | 12                                 | 8                                              | 6                                                               |
| VAS pain rating 12 h after surgery | Severe                             | Mild to moderate                               | Mild to moderate                                                |
| Matta criteria rating (success rate) (%) | 94.7                               | 93.3                                           | Anatomical reduction                                            |
| Majeed grading (success rate) (%)  | 94.7                               | 100                                            | Excellent function                                              |

Data are reported as mean (range) or count. VAS for assessment of pain intensity.11 Matta criteria rating for assessing the quality of operative reduction of the fractures.12 A displacement <10 mm is regarded as success. Majeed grading system for functional assessment after pelvic fracture.13 A score >84 is regarded as success. 3D=Three-dimensional, ORIF=Open reduction internal fixation, VAS=Visual analog scale.
operation time. Other limitations included that 3D printing laparoscopic technique is only applicable to mild cases of anteromedial acetabular fracture displacement and it involves a steep learning curve for surgeons.

To conclude, we have shown that 3D reconstruction and printing can allow for highly accurate preoperative planning for the treatment of acetabular fractures such that reduction and fixation can be performed with a minimally invasive laparoscopic approach. Further refinements of the method may allow a larger percentage of acetabular fractures to be treated in a minimally invasive manner.
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