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The p53 tumor suppressor plays a pivotal role in cancer and infectious disease. Many oncology treatments are now calling on immunotherapy approaches, and scores of studies have investigated the role of p53 antibodies in cancer diagnosis and therapy. This review summarizes the current knowledge from the preliminary evidence that suggests a potential role of p53 as an antigen in the adaptive immune response and a monitoring key role of the innate immune system, thereby speculating on the idea that mutant p53 antibodies serve as a druggable target in immunotherapy. Except in a few cases, the vast majority of published work on p53 antibodies in cancer patients use wild-type p53 as the antigen to detect these antibodies and it is unclear that they can recognize p53 mutants carried by cancer patients at all. We envision that an antibody targeting a specific mutant p53 will be effective therapeutically against the cancer carrying the exact mutant p53. Thus, novel antibodies targeting mutant p53, but not the wild-type isoform, should be pursued in preclinical and clinical studies.

Discovery of p53

Approximately 70 years ago, several DNA viruses such as adenovirus, human Epstein–Barr virus, polyoma and SV40 were found to be able to cause tumors in humans and rodents [1]. In all of these cases, the viral proteins which stem from the viral genome—either integrated into a chromosome or as plasmid—were observed to be involved in tumor promotion, formation and maintenance [2,3,4]. These viral proteins—subsequently named tumor antigens (TA)—were recognized by the immune system and the different antibodies were found to target them specifically [5]. Given this scenario, in 1979, four different groups in England, the United States, and France nearly simultaneously discovered the p53 protein in normal and cancerous cells, testing the serum from animals with spontaneously derived or SV40 virus-induced tumors [6,7,8,9]. Among these four studies, the same 53kD protein (called p53) was detected—and confirmed with peptide maps—in SV40-transformed cells and malignant cells that are not transformed by a virus, whereas decreased p53 levels were observed in uninfected normal cells. Malignant cells that were not transformed by any virus also had increased levels of p53, suggesting that the SV40 tumor antigen, a well-known factor for the tumor initiation and progression, binds to p53 and raises its concentration above its normal levels in control healthy cells [10]. These preliminary results paved the way for a vast number of studies on the role of cellular protein p53 in the cancer biology field, leading to the discovery that p53 mutations are the most common genetic alteration in human cancers.

Tumor Suppression Role

p53 has a unique and unequivocal tumor suppression role, which has been confirmed by the cancer susceptibility of individuals affected by Li–Fraumeni syndrome, the p53 inactivation in most sporadic human cancers, and the spontaneous tumorigenesis in mice with the p53 gene knocked out [11]. During tumor development, inherited and/or sporadic TP53 genetic missense mutations are normally followed by a loss of heterozygosity (LOH), turning into an entire p53 deficiency. It seems there is a selective advantage towards the loss of the remaining allele of the wild-type p53 (p53-wt) gene [12,13,14]. The loss of p53 gives way to the initiation and progression of malignancies, which are generally characterised by more malignant features and metastatic behavior [15,16,17,18]. In all likelihood, these outcomes are given not only by the loss-of-function (LOF) of wild-type p53 (p53-wt) but also by the tumorigenic gain-of-function (GOF) features of some p53 mutants (p53-mut) described later.

p53 is known as the “guardian of the genome” thanks to its capacity to respond to outside stresses, which promotes transient or permanent cycle arrest and apoptosis, following different stress factors including hypoxia, DNA impairment, oxidative stress, hyperproliferative signals, nutrient shortage [19,20,21]. p53 supports tumor suppression through its roles as transcription factor and mitochondrial membrane permeabilization (to trigger apoptosis) and, indeed, the most investigated biological activity of p53 is its transcriptional activator role [17].

Transcriptional Role of p53, Relevant Mutations and the Mutant p53 GOF

In common with other transcription factors, p53 is composed of three distinct domains, which are responsible for oligomerization, transcriptional activation and sequence-specific DNA-binding [22,23]. Although the carboxy-terminal domain has been shown to play a pivotal role for the tetramerization of p53 monomers—which, in turn, triggers transcriptional activation—approximately 30% of TP53 mutations in human malignancies occur in six “hotspot” amino acid residues within the DNA-binding domain (R175, G245, R248, R249, R273 and R282). Such alterations in malignancies highlight the crucial role of p53 as a transcription factor in tumor suppression [24,25]. Together with the well-established tumorigenic promotion via loss of p53-wt function, the retained p53-mut is also thought to promote tumorogenesis via GOF properties and a dominant negative effect on the p53-wt protein [19,26]. After showing malignant characteristics such as increased survival and invasiveness, a poor differentiation rate and proliferation in preliminary cell culture studies, the GOF of tumor-derived p53-mut was corroborated by knock-in mice studies. In these studies, different mice strains harboring equivalent human p53-mut (e.g., p53R273H, p53R248Q, p53R248W, p53G245S and p53G245R) reported a shorter tumor latency, a wider tumor spectrum and a higher rate of metastasis, supporting the concept that p53-mut actively sustains cancer development and progression [13,17,27,28,29,30]. p53 GOF could be attributable to an increase in the interaction with other transcriptional factors such as the vitamin D receptor (VDR), the nuclear factor Y (NYF) and p63 and p73 [31,32], resulting in the alteration or inhibition of the DNA-binding capacity of these transcription factors [33,34]. Lastly, p53-mut has been observed to interact with the Nijmegen breakage syndrome protein 1 (NBS1), Meiotic Recombination 11 (MRE11) and DNA repair protein RAD50 (RAD50), to make a complex (NBS1-MRE11-RAD50) and impair the DNA damage signalling [35,36].
p53 Degradation and Accumulation

The p53 transcription factor is part of an autoregulatory loop where p53 protein promotes the expression of Mouse double minute 2 homolog (MDM2) protein, which, in turn, polyubiquitates the p53 protein and supports its degradation [10, 37]. Yue et al. showed that BAG family molecular chaperon regulator 2 (BAG2) binds to p53-mut and translocates it into the nucleus to inhibit the interaction between MDM2 and p53, thus blocking the MDM2-mediated ubiquitination and degradation of p53. They further reported that the DBD of the p53-mut and the BAG domain of the BAG2 are essential for the BAG2-BAG3-mut interaction. Note worthyly, different models expressing p53-mut, that lacked the main sites for p53 ubiquitination by MDM2, still showed a normal half-life and stabilization for p53 under stress conditions [38, 39]. In fact, there are alternative pathways involved in the degradation of p53. Benchimol et al. showed, for the first time, that MDM2 is not the only E3 ligase capable of controlling p53 ubiquitination [40]. There are many different E3 ligases targeting p53 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation and their description is out of the scope of this review. For a more thorough description of such molecules involved in p53 degradation we refer to tailored reviews [41, 42]. Briefly, the following are E3 ligases involved in p53 ubiquitin-mediated degradation that mediate the K48-linked polyubiquitination of p53, marking the protein for proteosomal degradation: MDM2, Fogo Caspase Recruitment Domain-Containing protein 16 (COP1) and Ring Finger And CHY Zinc Finger Domain Containing 1 (Pirh2), as well as Tripartite Motif Containing 24 (TRIM24) [43], TOP1 Binding Arginine/Serine Rich Protein (TOPORS) [44], WW Domain Containing E3 Ubiquitin Protein Ligase 1 (WWP1) [45], ADP ribosylation factor–Protein oikybro-1 (ARF-SP1) [46], Ubiquitin-conjugation enzyme E2 13 (Ubc13) [47], Human Herpes Virus Infected Cell Polypeptide 0 (ICP0) [48], Cell Division Cycle And Apoptosis Regulator 1 (CARP1/2) [49], Cullin 7 (CUL7) [50], Synoviolin (SYVN) [51], E4F Transcription Factor 1 (E4F1) [52], STIP1 Homology And U-Box Containing Protein 1 (CHIP) [53], E4orf6 and E1855K [54], MSL Complex Subunit 2 (MSL2) [55], F-Box Protein 42 (JFK) [56] and Makorin Ring Finger Protein 1 (MKRN1) [57]. Notably, mono- or K63-linked polyubiquitination is linked to other functions besides ubiquitination, such as nuclear and cytosolic localization, alterations of p53 transcriptional levels. Nuclear export is induced by conjugating with ubiquitin by MDM2, MSL2 and WWP1; ICP0 was shown to accumulate ubiquitin p53 at nuclear foci; E4F1, was shown to active cell-cycle arrest at G0/G1 after mono-di or tri- ubiquitinating p53; CUL7 was shown to mono- or deubiquitinate p53 to repress p53 transcriptional activity by unknown mechanisms [45, 48, 50, 52, 55]. Therefore, there are different mechanisms by E3 ligases to fine-tune the levels of p53 in cells. However, none of these E3 ligases has been studied in detail as much as MDM2. p53 mutated proteins do not activate the expression of MDM2. In consequence, such mechanisms of degradation do not occur in p53-mut where MDM2 levels are very low [57]. Therefore, this has been postulated as an explanation of why only p53-mut bearing patients result in the formation of p53 antibodies (p53-Abs).

The accumulation of p53 can be triggered by many mechanisms, such as stress signals, DNA damage, nucleotide deprivation, DNA damage, mitogenic or oncogenic activation viral infection, heat shock proteins like HSP70/HSP40/HSP90, which, in cancer cells, form a multi-chaperone complex around p53-mut facilitating the unfolding of the p53-mut and its spontaneous folding to another conformation with different energy minimum [58, 59, 60] (Figure 1). In addition, the activity of p53 can be further enhanced by post-translational modifications working as positive or negative regulators [6, 58, 61, 62].

4) p53-Abs measurements and specificity

There are several methods for the measurement of p53-Abs from specimens, such as ELISA [84, 85], Western blot or Immunoprecipitation[86, 87], possibly explaining why results are different in the literature regarding the frequency of p53-Abs. Additionally, there are different types of epitopes recognized by these assays. The p53-Abs usually recognizes immunodominant epitopes found in the COOH and NH2 termini of p53. Therefore, it is crucial to have valid systems recognizing the entire p53 protein, looking at both sides [88].

The structure of p53 has been already been established by X-ray crystallography. The protein has a central region made of 102-292 amino acids [89]. This includes two β-sheets antiparallel motifs made of 4 and 5 β-strands, respectively. Moreover, these strands form a structure that keeps together the protein: 1) LSH with an α-helix, three β-strands and...
the L1 loop; 2) L2 loop with smaller helix; 3) L3 loop made of turns. The region involved in the DNA interaction is the LSH motif and L3 helix. According to Suossi et al, these two loops stabilize the protein structure by binding with each other by a zinc atom connected to Cys176 and His179 on the L2 loop and Cys278 and Cys242 on the L3 loop [90,91]. Additionally the central region of p53-wt is held in a compact conformation by two antiparallel β-sheets. This specific conformation is hydrophobic and poorly immunogenic[90]. Some key epitope residues used by anti-p53 Abs correspond to those needed for the MDM2 interaction with NH2 terminus of p53 [7].

p53 antibodies could be specific to at least one conformation of p53-mut. Interestingly, there are some publications showing that p53-Abs are able to recognize not only the p53-mut but also the p53-wt[92–94] . The epitopes of human p53 were precisely mapped [95]. From the analysis of more than 200 sera it was possible to evince that the immune-dominant epitopes were on the COOH terminus of human p53 and a much less extent very few antibodies recognized the human p53 central region[93,95–97].

5) p53-Abs in Cancer Patients

The first population study looking at s-p53-Abs produced by human cancer patients relates back to 1982, where it was demonstrated that p53-Abs were found in 10% of the sera of breast cancer women[98]. More studies on various cancers came afterwards proving that in about 30% of different types of cancers p53-Abs were detected[91].

The s-p53-Abs has been found in patients with head and neck cancer, ovarian cancer ascites’ fluids, pancreatic, colon and lung tumors pleural effusions, and saliva from oral cancer patients[91,99–102]. As expected, there is a high correlation between the s-p53-Ab and the frequency of p53 gene alterations in the tumors[84,92,100,101,103,104]. In mesothelioma and prostate cases where p53-mut were low, the presence of s-p53-Abs was low[105]. Tavassoli et al. showed that p53 was detected in both saliva and sera of 29 oral SCC patients [101]. Angelopoulou et alanalysed 96 ascites fluids from women with primary ovarian cancer for s-p53-Abs. They showed that autoantibodies were present in both serum and ascites of 6/30 patients; of them 22 were negative for auto-antibodies in either serum or ascites, and 2 had them only in the serum[100].

Suoussi et al. made a meta-analysis of 18 clinical studies, pulling down 9,489 patients with cancer and discovered that the s-p53-Abs were a marker for patients with neoplasia (p< 10^{-5}) [91]. There was a significant correlation between s-p53-Abs and p53 mutations and various types of cancer. Interestingly, cancers that did not have p53-mut, specifically testicular carcinoma[106,107], hepatoma [108] and melanoma [109,110] were also negative for p53-Abs. On the other hand, most cancers with high rate of p53-mut have also a high frequency of s-p53-Abs, except for glioma with high p53-mut[111] and low rate of s-p53-Abs[111,112]. In a glioblastoma cohort of 60 patients, 24 of them had p53-mut, but none of them had s-p53-Abs. This could be due to the lack of the p53 immune response in the brain (an immunoprivileged organ), preventing the production of s-p53-Abs.

Roughly, about 20-40% of p53-mut patients had the s-p53-Abs in the sera. It is worthy to mention that despite similar tumors, p53-mut and its accumulation, it is not a rule that all these patients are positive for s-p53-Abs [113–116]. There must be other factors that come into play in the production of s-p53-Abs that future research could unravel.

6) Prognostic Value of p53-Abs in Cancer Patients

Many studies have been conducted to evaluate the clinical utility of s-p53-Abs. In Breast Cancer (BC), there are several studies showing that s-p53-Abs correlated with high grades of the tumor and in hormone negative steroid hormones they were not expressed[87,93,117–119]. Lenner et al. showed in 353 BC patients that the presence of a high concentration of s-p53-Abs correlated significantly with worse survival (p=0.003)[120]. Likewise Peyrat et al. showed in 165 BC patients that p53-Abs were present in BC patients with a good prognosis [122]. On the contrary, Generali et al. showed the association with the absence of ER expression and p53 expression, supporting its negative predictive role. Moreover, hypoxia inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) is directly linked to the p53-mut in BC (noteworthy, the routine immunohistochemical procedures usually detect the mutated form); it leads to the inhibition of tumor apoptosis with a negative impact on
In colorectal cancer (CRC), few initial studies demonstrated that s-p53-Abs correlated with worse survival [103,104,124]. In fact Kressner et al showed in 184 CRC patients that s-p53-Abs correlated with shorter survival. However, the latter data did not reach the same statistical significance when Duke’s stage was taken into consideration in the analysis model[124]. Houbiers et al conducted a post-operative study in 255 CRC patients using ELISA. The group showed that 25.5% of the patients were s-p53-Abs positive. The presence of such antibodies significantly correlated with prognostic factors, like tumor shape, histological grade, angiogenesis and Quetelet Index (p=0.02, p=0.04, p=0.02 and p=0.01, respectively). Moreover, in 64 of the CRC patients, who were at stage A or B1, the positivity to s-p53-Abs significantly correlated with decreased overall survival and disease-free survival (p=0.04 for both) [104]. On the contrary more recently, Kunizaki et al in 170 CRC observed that serum s-p53-Abs did not correlate with overall survival[125]. Angelopoulou et al study made of 229 CRC patients that 53 (23%) of the patients were s-p53-Abs positive and that the concentration of s-p53-Abs changed during disease progression or regression reflecting the disease stage. Although their data failed to reach statistical significance, they postulated an interesting hypothesis that s-p53Abs could be used to monitor CRC disease progression [103]. However, Tokunaga et al with 244 CRC patients more recently observed that serum s-p53-Abs were not able to predict patients prognosis (p=0.788)[126].

Also in lung cancer, there are controversies regarding the clinical utility of s-p53-Abs. In fact while in Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma (NSCLC) the antibodies associated with worse survival [127–129], in Small Cell Lung Carcinoma (SCLC) Zalcman et al observed in 97 SCLC patients that patients with s-p53-Abs had a worse survival compared to patients without the s-p53-Abs (p=0.014) [130]. Other groups corroborated such findings. On the contrary, Murray et al showed in 231 SCLC that in those patients having elevated s-p53-abs levels had a better survival compared to patients with lower levels of the antibody. In fact, by setting through ELISA a strong level of p53 positivity to a score up to 5, the authors observed that p53-Abs positive patients had a median survival of 11 months vs. 8 months of the patients that did not have the antibody. p53Abs appeared to be an independent prognostic factor in this study (p=0.02)[131]. Moreover, Mack et al detected in 180 lung cancer patients that there was a significant correlation between p53-Abs positivity and a shorter survival of NSCLC patients (p=0.01)[132]. More recently, Mattioni et al conducted a study made of 201 lung cancer patients corroborating what is the knowledge about NSCLC. In fact the authors demonstrated that in NSCLC patients with lower levels of s-p53-Abs survived significantly longer than patients with higher levels of s-p53-Abs (p=0.049). On the same line, the same publication additionally showed that patients with squamous cell carcinoma (excluding adenocarcinoma) with lower levels of s-p53-Abs survived significantly longer compared to patients with higher levels of s-p53Abs (p=0.044)[102].

Another series of surprising data come from oral cancer. There were two initial studies proving that s-p53-Abs expression correlated with worse survival of patients [103,104]. Bourhis et al in 80 of oral cancer patients who were s-p53-Abs positive evinced that the s-p53-Abs expression correlated with a higher risk of tumor relapse and death (p=0.003 and p=0.03, respectively)[133]. Werner et al in 149 conducted a clinical study made of head and neck cancer patients who received surgery and radiation therapy. In line with what observed by the former group, the latter group detected in the 17 seropositive for s-p53-Abs patients (44.7%) that they failed to respond to the therapy; whereas only 8 of the seronegative patients for the s-p53-Abs failed to respond to therapy (21.1%) [134]. Later on, on the same line as the previous two groups, Gottschlich et al with 109 head and neck cancer patients observed that s-p53-abs correlated with worse outcomes, although the authors did not show any statistical significance in their data[135]. Successively, Shimada et al detected in 258 oesophageal cancer patients that s-p53-Abs (p < 0.001; HR: 10.6 2; 95%CI:.76-40.00) and S-CRP were independent prognostic factors[136]. To corroborate these findings, later on Sainger et al observed that the s-p53-Abs positivity correlated with lymph node metastasis, advanced disease and well-differentiated tumors [137]. Most recently, Kunizaki et al attested in 133 patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma the high levels of both S-p53Ab and SCC-Ag in patients correlated with significantly lower survival compared to patients with elevated and patients with elevated levels of only one or neither of these factors (p=0.009)[138]. Furthermore, s-p53-Abs positivity strongly correlated with poor outcome from treatment in in the 60 oral precancerous treated patients.

The updated list of all the clinical studies evincing the prognostic value of s-p53-Abs in all the cancer types is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Clinical studies investigating the prognostic value of s-p53-Abs in cancer.
| Study                        | Methods and patients | Prognostic or Predictive Outcomes                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Reference |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Kunizaki et al. 2018        | S-p53Ab, SCC-Ag, CEA | The presence of both S-p53Ab and SCC-Ag in patients correlated with significantly lower survival compared to patients with elevated and patients with elevated levels of only one or neither of these factors (p=0.009).                              | [138]     |
|                             | Antibody for p53-wt  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |           |
|                             | 133 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |           |
|                             | S-p53Ab              | Did not observe any significant correlation between S-p53Ab in GC and overall survival (hazard ratio(HR)=2.052; 95% confidence interval(CI)=0.891-4.726; p=0.091).                                                                     | [139]     |
|                             | Detected with anti-p53 detection kit MESACUP anti-p53 Test |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |           |
| Kunizaki et al. 2017        | Antibody for p53-wt  | Conversely, Cox regression analysis revealed that a high level of CA19-9 was an independent prognostic factor for GC (hazard ratio(HR)=3.864; 95% confidence interval(CI)=1.248-11.959; p=0.019).                                   |           |
|                             | 208 GC patients      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |           |
| Tokunaga et al. 2017        | CEA, CA19-9, S-P53Ab | S-P53Ab had no power to predict the prognosis (P = 0.786).                                                                                                                                                                     | [140]     |
|                             | Antibody for p53-wt  | Combined CEA and CA19-9 positivity was an exclusive independent prognostic factor (P = 0.034).                                                                                                                                 |           |
|                             | 244 CRC patients     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |           |
| Study                        | Methodology                        | Key Findings                                                                 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Kunizaki et al 2016          | ELISA. Antibody for p53-wt         | Positivity for s-p53Ab in CRC did not correlate with overall survival.       |
|                              | 170 CRC patients                   | Positivity for s-p53Ab in CRC did not correlate with overall survival.       |
|                              |                                    | Kaplan-Meier analysis revealed significant differences between patients      |
|                              |                                    | with elevated s-p53Ab and CEA and those with elevated levels of either one   |
|                              |                                    | or neither of these factors (p<0.001).                                       |
| Mattioni et al 2015          | ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt Direct| Patients with lower levels of p53Abs survived significantly longer than      |
|                              | Sequencing used to detect mutations: Mutant and Wild type antibodies: 9      | patients with higher levels of p53Abs (p=0.049).                             |
|                              | patients with p53-wt and 3 patients with p53 deletions                       |                                                                                |
|                              | 201 NSCLC patients                 |                                                                                |
| Anderson et al 2010          | ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt       | p53-Ab did not significantly improve the detection of cases [area under the  |
|                              |                                    | cases [area under the curve (AUC), 0.69] or the discrimination of benign    |
|                              |                                    | versus malignant disease (AUC, 0.64) compared with CA 125 (AUC, 0.99) or    |
|                              |                                    | HE4 (AUC, 0.98). In multivariate analysis among cases, p53-AAb correlated    |
|                              |                                    | only with a family history of breast cancer (P = 0.01).                       |
Our results revealed that anti-p53 has a positive significant correlation with AFP (p=0.002), severity of liver disease [Child Pugh score (p=0.02) and MELD score (p=0.0003)], tumor size (p<0.0001), tumor number (p=0.003) and tumor staging systems [Okuda (p=0.04), CLIP (p=0.006) and Tokyo (p<0.0001)]. Also, our results revealed that s-p53-Abs had a significant association with overall survival of patients with HCC (p=0.019) with a shorter survival time in anti-p53 positive status patients and with higher s-p53-Abs levels within 19 months follow up.

S-p53-Abs Levels of p53-mut were determined with a selective, quantitative ELISA kit (Cambridge, Oncogene, USA). The survival time of serum-positive patients was significantly longer than that of patients with low/negative serum levels, with a survival rate of 41.2% and 14.9%, respectively, over 48 months (p<0.05).
| Study                  | Patients/Controls                                                                 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lawniczak et al 2007  | 71 GC patients                                                                   |
| Akere et al 2007      | 41 HCC patients, 45 controls                                                     |
| Sainger et al 2006    | 60 oral precancerous patients, 75 untreated oral cancer patients, 86 follow-up   |
|                       | blood samples of the oral cancer patients, 55 healthy controls                   |

The presence of p53-Abs was connected with intestinal tumor type ($p < 0.05$) and older age ($p = 0.0035$). There is a low prevalence of serum anti-p53 in our study population, and this is commoner in men. It is also present in the control group and therefore may not be useful as a diagnostic tool in this study population. The s-p53-Abs positivity correlated with lymph node metastasis, advanced disease and well-differentiated tumors. Furthermore, p53-Abs positivity strongly correlated with poor outcome from treatment in in the 60 oral precancerous treated patients.
| Study Authors          | Study Year | Antibody Type | ELISA Type | Patient Count | Result Description                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Goodell et al 2006    |            | S-p53-Abs     | ELISA      | 104 ovarian   | Patients with s-p53Abs recognizing the mutated protein showed a significantly higher survival compared to patients without antibody (p=0.01)          |
|                       |            |               |            | cancer patients|                                                                                                                                                  |
| Gumus et al 2004      |            | S-p53-Abs     | ELISA      | 76 urinary    | There was an association between the presence of s-p53-Abs and tumor p53 gene overexpression (P = 0.001)                                             |
|                       |            |               |            | bladder cancer patients|                                                                                                                                               |
| Shimada H et al 2003  |            | S-p53-Abs, C-reactive | ELISA      | 258 oesophageal cancer patients| s-p53-Abs (p < 0.001; HR: 10.6 2; 95%CI:.76-40.00) and S-CRP were independent prognostic factors.                                           |
| Study                  | Group                                | ELISA               | Findings                                                                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hedgall et al 2002    | S-p53-Abs                            | ELISA              | No significant associations were found between p53 AAb and clinical stage, age, histological subtype and radicality after primary surgery. |
|                       | OC patients                          | 193                 |                                                                          |
|                       | borderline OC                        | 34                  |                                                                          |
|                       | healthy controls                     | 86                  |                                                                          |
| Parasole et al 2001   | S-p53-Abs                            | ELISA              | anti-p53 was not useful as prognostic factors                            |
|                       | HCC patients                         | 80                  |                                                                          |
| Tangkijvanich et al 2000 | S-p53-Abs                          | ELISA              | There were no differences between groups with regard to age, sex, viral markers (HBsAg or anti-HCV), severity of liver disease and tumor advancement. The median survival rates for patients positive and negative for s-p53-Abs were 4.0 and 3.0 months, respectively (p = 0.443, by log-rank test). |
|                       | HCC patients                         | 121                 |                                                                          |
| Sitruk et al 2000     | S-p53-Abs                            | ELISA              | Detection of s-p53-Abs was significantly correlated with the presence of a multinodular or infiltrative tumor (P<0.03)     |
|                       | HCC patients                         | 159                 |                                                                          |
| Study                     | Methodology | Antibodies for | Sample Size | Result                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Zalcman et al 2000       | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt | 97 SCLC patients | Patients with limited-stage SCLC and p53-Ab had a median survival time of 10 months, whereas limited-stage SCLC patients without p53-Ab had a 17-month median survival time (p = 0.014). |
| Murray et al 2000        | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt | 231 SCLC patients | High levels of p53-Abs correlated with worse survival compared to patients with lower levels of the antibodies (p = 0.02). |
| Gottschlich et al 2000   | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt | 109 head and neck cancer patients | p53-seropositive for the p53-Abs patients showed a correlation with clinical outcome. |
| Mack et al 2000          | S-p53-Abs Immunofluorescence. Antibodies against p53 R273H (c.818G>A). | 35 SCLC patients 99 NSCLC patients | There was no correlation between p53-Abs status in SCLC, but the presence of these antibodies and a significant correlation with shorter survival in NSCLC (p=0.01). |
| Study                        | Methodology                                      | Findings                                                                                       | References |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Lenner et al 1999           | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt           | There was a significant negative correlation between presence of s-p53-Abs and survival ($P=0.003$) | [120]      |
|                             |                                                  | 353 BC patients                                                                              |            |
| Kressner et al 1998         | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt           | p53-Abs correlated with shorter survival ($p=0.02$)                                          | [124]      |
|                             |                                                  | 184 CRC patients                                                                             |            |
| Werner et al 1997           | S-p53-Abs ELISA. Antibodies for p53-wt           | The presence of the p53-Ab significantly correlated with more local tumor recurrences and deaths tumor compared to the other group of p53-Ab negative patients ($p<0.05$) | [134]      |
|                             |                                                  | 143 oral cancer patients                                                                     |            |
| Angelopoulou et al 1997     | anti-p53 antibodies ELISA. c.742C>T p.R248W       | p53Abs did not significantly correlate with survival                                         | [103]      |
|                             |                                                  | 229 CRC patients                                                                             |            |
| Study                  | ELISA Antibodies | Results                                                                 |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bourhis *et al* 1996   | S-p53-Abs        | p53-Abs expression correlated with a higher risk of tumor relapse and death (p=0.003 and p=0.03, respectively) |
|                        |                  | 90 oral cancer patients                                                 |
| Willsher *et al* 1996  | S-p53-Abs        | Did not find any correlation                                            |
|                        |                  | 82 BC patients                                                          |
| Peyrat *et al* 1995    | S-p53-Abs        | Overall survival was significantly worse in patients with s-p53-Abs compared to patients without the s-p53-Abs (p<0.0005). |
|                        |                  | 165 BC patients                                                         |
| Houbiers *et al* 1995  | S-p53-Abs        | Overall survival and Disease Free Survival were significantly worse in patients with s-p53-Abs compared to patients without the s-p53-Abs (p=0.04 for both). |
|                        |                  | 255 CRC patients                                                        |
Abbreviations: breast cancer, BC; hepatocellular carcinoma, HCC; gastric cancer, GC; serum p53 antibodies, s-p53-Abs; small cell lung carcinoma, SCLC; p53 wild-type, p53-wt; p53 mutant, p53-mut; Non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC).

7) Discussions and Future Directions

The role of p53-Abs is becoming increasingly important in an era where immune-oncology is advancing at a great speed. Firstly, it is known that infection by SV40 and any other virus stresses the cell and that the infecting viruses avoid cell death by elaborating the following mechanisms: they inhibit p53-wt protein and they inhibit the Rb protein, which is needed to block apoptosis and cell cycle arrest. Secondly, in many cancer cells, from viral and no-viral origin, p53-mut is expressed at very high levels. The p53-mut is unable to bind to DNA to promote the transcription of MDM2 and other p53 transactivational targets and it is therefore not regulated by the same autoregulatory loop of p53-wt. As a direct consequence this leads to its expressed at very high levels in cancer cells, where they work as dominant negative proteins to p53-wt. As an indirect consequence, the accumulated p53-mut serves as an antigen. Finally these p53-mut antigens drive the adaptive immune system to recognize such antigens and produce antibodies that may kill the cancer cells with p53-mut or normal cells with p53-wt. Worth to say that the studies investigating the prognostic role of p53-Abs still remain controversial; and it appears to be tissue-specific. Most interestingly, we have reported here a full updated list of studies on the prognostic value of s-p53-Abs in cancer from published literature (Table 1). Except in a few cases, the vast majority of these studies use p53-wt as the antigen to detect s-p53-Abs in cancer patients and it is unclear that these s-p53-Abs can recognize p53-mut at all. In an historic epoch that has been contemplating the advancement of targeted therapies to meet specific therapeutic needs of cancer patients, it would be of paramount importance to know whether the ELISA assays, designed for recognition of s-p53-Abs, can recognize p53-mut. This review tries to summarize to the best of our knowledge such notion in Table 1. Having methods to detect specific p53-Abs recognizing specific p53-mut could become pivotal in future studies trying to better understand their prognostic value. Moreover, antibodies against specific p53-mut could be directly used for future therapies, which is something p53 biologists would like to further investigate. Sabapathy and colleagues have put this idea into practice by developing mouse monoclonal antibodies against p53 hotspot mutants, R175H, R248Q, and R273H[154], yet the therapeutic efficacy has not been reported.
Of note, expressions of PD-L1 and p53 correlated in various types of cancers[155–159]. Additionally p53-mut downmodulates the expression of PD-L1 through microRNA-34[155]. For such reasons, it would be also interesting in future experiments to investigate the efficacy of p53-Abs recognizing p53-mut in combination with checkpoint inhibitors against PD-L1.

In conclusion, there are s-p53-Abs in a significant portion of cancer patients (mostly observed by ELISA) and only in a small fraction of these studies, the presence of s-p53-Abs predicts better survival. Virtually all s-p53-Abs are detected using p53-wt as an antigen. One thing we do not currently know, but hope that an antibody targeting a specific p53-mut will be effective in therapeutics against the cancer carrying the exact p53-mut. In fact, s-p53-Abs from cancer patients may target both p53-wt and p53-mut. Novel antibodies targeting p53-mut, but not p53-wt, should be pursued in pre- and clinical trials.
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