The effect of age on the pathogenesis of a highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 virus in Pekin ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) infected experimentally
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Background
Highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 viruses have recently displayed increased virulence for wild waterfowl.

Objectives
To study the effect of host age on the shedding and tissue dissemination of a HPAI H5N1 virus in infected Pekin ducks.

Methods
Pekin ducks in two age-matched groups (n = 18), 8 and 12 weeks old (wo) were each infected with 10^6 EID₅₀/ml of HPAI A/turkey/Turkey/1/05 (H5N1, clade 2.2). Each day for 5 days, birds were monitored clinically, and cloacal and oropharyngeal swabs collected, before three birds from each group were selected randomly for post-mortem examination. Tissue samples were collected for examination by real-time RT-PCR, histopathology and immunohistochemistry (IHC).

Results
Severe clinical signs, including incoordination and torticollis were observed in the 8 wo group resulting in 100% mortality by 4 dpi. Mild clinical signs were observed in the 12 wo group with no mortality. Real-time RT-PCR and IHC results demonstrated the systemic spread of H5N1 virus in birds of both age groups. Higher levels of virus shedding were detected in oropharyngeal swabs than in cloacal swabs, with similar levels of shedding detected in both age groups. Variations in level and temporal dissemination of virus within tissues of older ducks, and the presence of the virus in brain and heart were observed, which coincided with the appearance of clinical signs preceding death in younger birds.

Conclusions
These results are consistent with reports of natural infections of wild waterfowl and poultry possibly indicating an age-related association with dissemination and clinical outcome in ducks following infection with H5N1 HPAI virus.
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Introduction
Wild aquatic birds, Anseriformes (ducks, swans, geese) and Charadriiformes (shorebirds, gulls, terns), are traditionally thought to act as reservoirs of avian influenza (AI) viruses covering all sixteen haemagglutinin (HA) and nine neuraminidase glycoprotein combinations. The transmission of AI to species of the order Galliformes (chickens and turkeys) results in two pathotypes – highly pathogenic AI (HPAI) and low pathogenicity AI (LPAI). Highly pathogenic AI, which to date has only been caused by viruses of H5 and H7 subtypes, is a severe, systemic disease that can result in up to 100% mortality in infected poultry populations. Prior to 2002, the only documented infection of significant numbers of wild birds infected with an HPAI virus occurred in common terns (Sterna hirundo) in South Africa, in 1961 – when large numbers died as a result of infection with A/tern/South Africa/1961 (H5N3). However, an H5N1 HPAI variant emerged in Penfold and Kowloon waterfowl parks, Hong Kong in December 2002 that, although genetically related to the progenitor isolates A/goose/Guangdong/1/1996 (H5N1) and A/Hong Kong/156/1997 (H5N1), showed increased lethality for various wild waterfowl, including geese, ducks and swans. Although sporadic outbreaks in wild-bird and poultry populations, with associated mortality because of this H5N1...
HPAI virus were reported between 2002 and 2005, a virus representing a distinct sub-clade of this Asian-lineage was identified on Qinghai Lake, China in 2005, which displayed higher lethality and transmissibility for a wide range of wild birds. The presence of a large population of migratory birds on Qinghai Lake is thought to have contributed to the spread of this highly lethal variant, now designated as a 'Eurasian-lineage’ clade 2.2 H5N1, throughout Asia and into Europe and Africa, causing significant deaths in wild birds and poultry populations. In addition, there have been over 400 human HPAI H5N1 infections with a mortality rate of approximately 60%.6,7

Viruses of the 2.2 clade have also been reported to cause severe disease in commercial ducks,8-10 which is unusual for HPAI viruses.11,12 Although the H5N1 viruses isolated in 1997 and subsequent 1997-like viruses isolated up to 2002 did not replicate efficiently in ducks,13,14 recent H5N1 viruses were found to replicate in multiple organs, particularly the brain, instead of ‘conventional’ gastrointestinal tract replication, and consequently caused death in geese, ducks and swans.15-21 However, there is some evidence that HPAI viruses may enter the brain in sub-clinical infections of ducks.22 Several recent infection studies involving Pekin ducks performed at the Veterinary Laboratories Agency (VLA), United Kingdom have resulted in observations that the age of the ducks at infection may affect the outcome of disease. Similar age-related effects on clinical response have also been observed recently in pre-Qinghai lake, clade 1 isolates of A1.23 To investigate whether a similar presentation is seen with infections of clade 2.2 AI, known to be lethal for wild waterfowl,16 Pekin ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) of eight and 12 weeks of age were infected with A/turkey/Turkey/1/05 H5N1 clade 2.2 HPAI virus to study effect of age on the pathogenesis, presentation of clinical signs and disease outcome.

Materials and methods

Infection of 8 and 12 weeks old Pekin ducks with A/turkey/Turkey/1/05 H5N1 HPAI virus

Commercial high-health status Pekin ducks were acquired from a single source - Cherry Valley Farms Ltd, United Kingdom. Before experimental infections were performed, oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs, and blood samples were taken to determine current infection with AI virus and/or previous exposure to H5 AI by matrix gene real-time RT-PCR (RRT-PCR) and haemagglutination inhibition (HI) tests respectively.24 Two ducks were also randomly selected, killed humanely and organs collected for negative controls for immunohistochemistry (IHC). All experiments were performed in Biosafety Level 3 + (BSL-3 + ) [UK Department of Environmental, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) Specific Animal Pathogen Order (SAPO) 4; Advisory Com-

...
of known $C_l$ value from inactivated, freeze-dried, egg-
grown material was included. Quantitative standards of five
10-fold dilutions of extracted RNA from infective allantoic
fluid of a $10^6$ EID$_{50}$ dose of A/turkey/Turkey/1/05 were
also included.

Histopathology and detection of influenza A
nucleoprotein (NP) by IHC

Samples for histology were routinely processed through
graded alcohols and chloroform and embedded in paraffin
wax (routine standard process). Four-micrometre thick sec-
tions, cut on a rotary microtome, were stained with hae-
matoxylin and eosin or used for immunohistochecmical
detection of influenza A NP as described. Briefly, sections
for IHC were dewaxed in xylene and passed through
graded alcohols to Tris buffered saline solution (TBS)
(0.005 m Tris, pH7.6, 0.85% w/v NaCl). Endogenous per-
oxidase activity was quenched with a methanol/hydrogen
peroxide block (BDH) for 15 minutes and treated with
Protease XXIV for 10 minutes at room temperature. Slides
were assembled into Shandon coverplates to facilitate IHC
using the Sequenza system (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Run-
corn, UK) and primary antibody cross-reactivity with tissue
constituents was prevented using a normal immune serum
block. Samples were subsequently incubated with an anti-
influenza A NP primary antibody (Statens Serum Institute,
Copenhagen, Denmark) for 1 hour, and Dako ENVI-
SION(TM) polymer for 30 minutes, at room temperature.
Sections were washed three times with TBS between incu-
bations. The immunohistochecmical signal was visualised
using 3,3-diaminobenzidine (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK),
and sections were counterstained in Mayer’s haematoxylin
(Surgipath, Peterborough, UK), dehydrated in absolute
alcohol, cleared in xylene and mounted using Dibutyl
Phthalate Xylene and glass coverslips.

Results

Clinical signs and gross pathology

Prior to infection, all ducks were shown to be free from cur-
rent infection with AI virus and/or previous exposure to H5
A1 by matrix gene RRT-PCR and HI tests respectively.
Severe clinical signs, including depression, reluctance to feed,
tremors, loss of balance and torticollis were observed in the
8 wo group resulting in 100% mortality by 4 dpi. However,
only mild clinical signs, mainly conjunctivitis, were observed
in the 12 wo group with no appearance of
nervous signs or mortality over an observation period of
7 dpi. Gross pathological observations of the 8 wo ducks,
included lesions and haemorrhages in the pancreas. At post-
mortem examination, inoculated 8 wo ducks showed mild
rhinitis from 1 dpi, with increased severity from 3 dpi. Mul-
tifocal necrotizing pancreatitis was observed from 2 dpi,
with severity and extension of lesions progressing with dis-
ease advancement, and occasional multifocal haemorrhages.
Air sacculitis was evident from 2 dpi onwards. Myocarditis
was observed at 3 dpi in one of three birds.

In 12 wo ducks, a mild rhinitis was observed from 1 dpi,
with a similar presentation through the course of the ex-
periment. Mild pancreatitis and airsacculitis was observed
from 3 dpi. The appearance of gross lesions occurred later
than in 8 wo ducks with the severity of the lesions milder,
more limited in extent, and with a slower progression.

Histological lesions

The presence of histopathological lesions was observed in
the 8 wo challenged ducks from 2 dpi. Lesions included
mild acute rhinitis, multifocal necrotising pancreatitis,
myocardial necrosis and heterophilic myocarditis, non-sup-
purative encephalitis and neuritis, air sacculitis and myosi-
tis. From 3 dpi lymphoid necrosis and depletion in
thymus, lymphoid depletion in the Bursa, and necrotising
adrenitis and thyroiditis were observed. The severity and
extent of the lesions progressed throughout the infection.

In the 12 wo group, acute rhinitis was observed from
2 dpi, mild air sacculitis and non-suppurative encephalitis
from 3 dpi. Necrotising pancreatitis and adrenitis, acute
myocarditis and myositis, lymphocytic necrosis in thymus
and lymphoid depletion in bursa were observed from 4 dpi.

Lesions were milder and less frequent in the 12 wo ducks
than in ducks in the 8 wo group.

Viral detection by IHC

Immunolabelling against influenza NP (Figures 1 and 2)
was detected in lung and spleen at 1 dpi in the 8 wo chal-
 lenged group. Multiple organs displayed viral antigen in
their parenchymal cells at 2 dpi including nasal turbinates,
lung, airsacs, spleen, thymus, bursa, liver, heart, brain, sci-
atic nerve, skin and feather follicles, pancreas, adrenal and
skeletal muscle. Thyroid follicle epithelium was immunola-
belled from 3 dpi. The highest number of immunolabelled
cells was observed in lung, heart, brain and pancreas, and
were numerous in most tissues, maintaining their number
or increasing throughout the infection. However, a decrease
in number of immunolabelled cells was observed in spleen
and lung tissues in the last days of the experiment.

Viral antigen detection in 12 wo infected ducks occurred
from 2 dpi in nasal cavity, lung, air sacs, spleen, thymus,
bursa, liver, heart, brain, skin and feather follicles and skele-
tal muscle in a small amount of cells, without a marked
increase in their numbers throughout infection, except in
the heart and brain where moderate increases were observed.
Immunolabelled cells were observed in the pancreas and
adrenal glands from 4 dpi and in the thyroid gland at 5 dpi.

The detection of viral antigen by IHC in 8 wo infected
ducks occurred in a higher number of cells than in 12 wo
Figure 1. Immunohistochemical detection of Influenza A nucleoprotein in 8- (A, C, E and G) and 12-week-old Pekin ducks (B, D, F and H) challenged with A/turkey/Turkey1/2005 HPAI H5N1. ENVISION. (A) Lung, 3 dpi, immunolabelling of air capillary epithelial cells, 20x. (B) Lung, 3 dpi, 20x. (C) Heart, 3 dpi, multifocal labelling of myocardiocytes, 10x. (D) Heart, 3 dpi, focal labelling of myocardiocytes, 10x. (E) Spleen, 2 dpi, small groups of macrophages expressing viral antigen in their cytoplasm, 10x. (F) Spleen, 2 dpi, 10x. (G) Brain, 3 dpi, abundant neuronal and glial labeling, 10x. (H) Brain, 3 dpi, focal area of viral antigen detection, 10x.
Figure 2. Immunohistochemical detection of Influenza A nucleoprotein in 8- (A, C, E and G) and 12-week-old Pekin ducks (B, D, F and H) challenged with A/turkey/Turkey1/2005 HPAI H5N1. ENVISION. (A) Thymus, 3 dpi, abundant thymic epithelium and lymphocyte immunolabelling, 10x. (B) Thymus, 3 dpi, scarce labelling in thymic epithelial cells, 10x. (C) Skeletal muscle, 3 dpi, abundant immunolabelled myocytes, 5x. (D) Skeletal muscle, 3 dpi, focal area of immunolabelling of few myocytes, 20x. (E) Pancreas, 4 dpi, multifocal labelling in pancreatic acinary cells, 10x. (F) Pancreas, 4 dpi, focal labeling, 10x. (G) Feather follicle, 4 dpi, multiple areas of immunolabelling in feather shaft and pulp, 5x. (H) Feather follicle, 4 dpi, substantially reduced immunolabelling in feather shaft and pulp, 5x.
ducks for almost all tissues examined (Figures 1 and 2). Organ distribution of viral antigen was similar in 8 and 12wo ducks at the end of the study but temporal differences in the detection of virus in certain tissues were observed in lung and spleen (1 dpi in 8 wo, 2 dpi in 12 wo) and the pancreas and adrenal glands (2 dpi in 8 wo, 4 dpi in 12 wo). The increase in the number of immunolabelled cells in conjunction with disease progression was more marked in 8 wo birds.

Detection of viral RNA by RRT-PCR in swabs and tissues

Viral RNA present in tissues and swabs was detected by RRT-PCR and measured as relative equivalent units of RNA against a 10-fold dilution series of RNA purified from infective allantoic fluid containing $10^6$ EID$_{50}$/0.1 ml A/turkey/Turkey/1/2005 (H5N1). Although these units measured the amount of viral RNA present and not infectivity, it may be inferred from the linear relationship with the dilution series that they are proportionate to the amount of infectious virus present. Higher levels of viral shedding were detected in oropharyngeal swabs than in cloacal swabs in both 8 and 12 wo age groups, with similar levels of shedding detected in both age groups (Figure 3). In keeping with clinical signs, virus detection in the various tissues tested, including trachea, caecal tonsil, thigh muscle, heart and brain, showed much higher levels in 8 wo ducks, compared with older ducks, in which virus detection was usually minimal (Figure 4). In contrast, substantially higher levels of virus were detected in the liver, kidney, intestine and spleen tissue of 12 wo ducks, compared with 8 wo ducks (Figure 4). Interestingly, the only organ to show similar dissemination of virus in both age groups was the lung. In the skeletal muscle tissues, similarly high levels of virus were detected in the breast muscle of 8 wo birds with minimal detection in tissues of 12 wo ducks. An age dependency for the temporal dissemination of virus within these skeletal muscle tissues was also observed with the delayed infiltration into tissues of older ducks (Figure 5). In the heart samples from birds in the 12 wo group, little virus was detected, but higher levels of virus were detected in 8 wo ducks peaking at 3 dpi (Figure 6). Generally, the highest levels of viral RNA was detected in the brain samples from 8 wo ducks on days 3 and 4 pi, with an increase in levels in the brain samples from 12 wo ducks only on day 5 dpi (Figure 6). Although the mean viral titres in the brain samples of 12 wo ducks increased sharply at 5 dpi, this was because of a single individual bird that showed substantial amounts of virus present without the presentation of clinical signs. A similar age dependency for temporal viral dissemination, as seen for skeletal muscles, was also observed in brain and heart tissues which coincided with the appearance of clinical signs preceding death in younger birds.

Discussion

Although ducks and some other aquatic birds had been demonstrated to be ‘resistant’ to most HPAI viruses, which caused only asymptomatic infections, they have recently been shown to be highly susceptible to certain HPAI H5N1 isolates.8–10,25,26 This susceptibility, although probably species and virus strain related, is also dependent upon the age of the bird at the time of infection. Age-related effects on clinical presentation in infected ducks have been observed recently for pre-Qinghai, clade 1 isolates of HPAI H5N1 virus.23 However, to our knowledge, there have been no reports on the age-related susceptibility of ducks to the more recent and more widely distributed clade 2.2 HPAI H5N1 viruses. In the present study, an age-related association with viral loads excreted and the tissue distribution of virus (as detected by IHC and RRT-PCR) over time post-infection was identified. This association also coincided with the appearance of clinical signs and mortality.
Recently reported experimental infections of six species of wild duck, including mallards, with A/turkey/Turkey/1/2005 (H5N1) virus, showed age-related observations consistent with those obtained in the present study. 26 In this wild bird study, mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) were 8–11 months old and showed ‘abundant virus excretion without clinical or pathologic evidence of debilitating disease’. 26 Similarly, no clinical or pathological evidence of debilitating disease was observed in 12 wo Pekin ducks in the present study, but ‘abundant excretion’ levels were not observed. The distribution and severity of the histological lesions and widespread immunohistochemical detection of virus antigen in tissues were similar to previous reports of ducks and waterfowl that succumbed to disease when infected naturally or experimentally with H5N1 viruses isolated after 2002, 10,16,23,25,27 with a similarly marked neuro- and cardiotropism representative of the increased pathogenicity for ducks. Although the nature and location
of histological lesions observed in both age groups of ducks were similar, the severity and prevalence of these lesions were lower in older ducks, which is consistent with the reduced clinical disease at 12 wo. Pantin-Jackwood et al. have reported no mortality in Pekin ducks as young as 5 wo. However, this is likely to be because they used pre-Qinghai Lake (2005) clade 1 isolates, and is evidence for the increased virulence for ducks of the clade 2.2 H5N1 variant that apparently emerged in the Qinghai Lake region of China in 2005. Interestingly, virus was detected in wing feathers of both age groups, which has been demonstrated previously, and is suggested as a possible sampling method for HPAI surveillance in wild bird populations. However, in older ducks in the present study, detection of virus was shown to be related to the level of calcification of the feather shaft and therefore the age of the bird sampled may affect the sensitivity of feather sampling as a method of surveillance. Also of interest was the higher viral loads detected in liver, spleen and intestine tissue in older ducks, the significance of which remains unknown.

Clinical signs, mortality and gross pathological observations of the 8 wo ducks, were found to be similar to those observed in a previous study using 4 wo ducks. Higher levels of viral shedding were detected in oropharyngeal swabs than in cloacal swabs in both age groups, which is consistent with the reported shift from the traditional enteric system of spread of AI viruses to respiratory spread. Similar levels of viral shedding were also detected in oropharyngeal and cloacal swabs from both age groups, which may have implications for the transmission and geographical spread of these H5N1 clade 2.2 viruses. As wild waterfowl (represented by Pekin ducks as a model in this study) of migratory age, infected with H5N1 virus, appear healthy without the presentation of clinical signs, they could act as long-distance vectors. Although in our study 12 wo Pekin ducks were able to shed virus without showing clinical signs or succumbing to disease, the viral load shed was low and therefore it is debatable whether this shedding dose would be sufficient to allow transmission to susceptible hosts. The ability of wild waterfowl to act as long-distance vectors may, therefore, depend on the species infected, with swans and geese as prime candidates, although Keawcharoen et al. suggest mallards also play a role. The results from the present study confirm the systemic spread and neurotropism of HPAI virus in Pekin ducks and demonstrate an age-related association with dissemination of virus effecting clinical outcome in infections with ‘Eurasian-lineage’ clade 2.2 H5N1 viruses. An age-related association with clinical disease and viral dissemination has also been identified in infections of ducks with Duck Hepatitis B Virus, where the presence of neutralising antibodies contributed to the clearance of virus consequently reducing clinical signs. Although a more mature immune system in older ducks is an obvious candidate for this phenomenon, the fact that viral loads in organs such as liver, spleen and intestine were higher in older ducks, does indicate that a more successful immune response may not be the sole contributing factor. Although the present and other studies have shown the age affect on disease outcome, the exact mechanism of this ‘protection’ remains unknown.
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