The cortical activation pattern by a rehabilitation robotic hand: a functional NIRS study
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Introduction: Clarification of the relationship between external stimuli and brain response has been an important topic in neuroscience and brain rehabilitation. In the current study, using functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), we attempted to investigate cortical activation patterns generated during execution of a rehabilitation robotic hand.

Methods: Ten normal subjects were recruited for this study. Passive movements of the right fingers were performed using a rehabilitation robotic hand at a frequency of 0.5 Hz. We measured values of oxy-hemoglobin (HbO), deoxy-hemoglobin (HbR) and total-hemoglobin (HbT) in five regions of interest: the primary sensory-motor cortex (SM1), hand somatotopy of the contralateral SM1, supplementary motor area (SMA), premotor cortex (PMC), and prefrontal cortex (PFC).

Results: HbO and HbT values indicated significant activation in the left SM1, left SMA, left PMC, and left PFC during execution of the rehabilitation robotic hand (uncorrected, p < 0.01). By contrast, HbR value indicated significant activation only in the hand somatotopic area of the left SM1 (uncorrected, p < 0.01).

Conclusions: Our results appear to indicate that execution of the rehabilitation robotic hand could induce cortical activation.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, many rehabilitation robots have been developed for patients with brain injury (Aisen et al., 1997; Krebs et al., 1998; Volpe et al., 2000; Lum et al., 2002, 2006; Kahn et al., 2006; Masiero et al., 2007; Kwakkel et al., 2008; Rabadi et al., 2008; Housman et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2010; Conroy et al., 2011; Lapitskaya et al., 2011). These rehabilitation robots have been designed to aid in improvement or to assist with functional activity of patients with brain injury. The basic principle of brain rehabilitation is based on manipulation of external stimuli, which can induce activation of the cerebral cortex (Kaplan, 1988). Therefore, clarification of the relationship between external stimuli and brain response has been an important topic in neuroscience and brain rehabilitation. Likewise, regarding use of rehabilitation robots for patients with brain injury, elucidation of brain response by execution of rehabilitation robots would be important, however, little is known about cortical activation patterns induced by proprioceptive inputs during execution of rehabilitation robots (Blücher and Nielsen, 2009; Kamibayashi et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012).

Several functional neuroimaging techniques, including functional MRI (fMRI), Positron Emission Tomography, and functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) are available for use in brain activation studies by external stimuli (Frahm et al., 1993; Miyai et al., 2001; Perrey, 2008; LaPointe et al., 2009; Mihara et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011; Leff et al., 2011; Gagnon et al., 2012). Among these techniques, fMRI, which can be employed repeatedly, produces no ionizing radiation, and shows high spatial resolution, has been used most frequently (Frahm et al., 1993). However, it is sensitive to artifact resulting from motion and metallic materials. Robots are usually made of metal, and rehabilitation robots can perform large movements. Therefore, fMRI might not be appropriate for use in brain activation study of rehabilitation robots although some studies have reported cortical effects by magnetic resonance-compatible rehabilitation robots (Tsekos et al., 2007; Astrakas et al., 2012). By contrast, less sensitivity of fNIRS to motion artifact and metal material has been demonstrated; therefore, fNIRS could be more appropriate for use in research on brain activation study of rehabilitation robots (Arents et al., 2007; Irani et al., 2007; Perrey, 2008; Mihara et al., 2010; Leff et al., 2011). In addition, compared with other functional neuroimaging techniques, the fNIRS has the advantage of applicability in more realistic day-to-day rehabilitation settings.

Many previous studies have reported on cortical activation by passive movements of a joint (Reddy et al., 2001; Radovanovic et al., 2002; Chang et al., 2009; Szameitat et al., 2012). According to these previous studies, passive movements induced cortical
Chang et al. The effect of rehabilitation robotic hand activation mainly in the primary sensorimotor cortex (SM1), and premotor cortex (PMC) and supplementary motor area (SMA) are also involved in processing of somatosensory input by passive movements (Reddy et al., 2001; Radovanovic et al., 2002; Chang et al., 2009). In addition, it has been shown that cortical activation patterns during passive movements were similar to that of active movements (Szameitat et al., 2012). In this study, we developed a rehabilitation robotic hand and hypothesized that performance of passive movements by our rehabilitation robotic hand can induce the proper amount of somatosensory stimulation to induce activation of the cerebral cortex, particularly the contralateral SM1, PMC and SMA.

In the current study, using fNIRS we attempted to investigate cortical activation patterns generated during execution of a rehabilitation robotic hand.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**SUBJECTS**

Ten healthy right-handed subjects (6 males, 4 females; mean age 27.8 ± 2.5 years, range 24–32) with no history of neurological, physical, or psychiatric illness were recruited for this study. All subjects were asked to complete a questionnaire in order to confirm that they had no history of neurological, physical, or psychiatric illness. They understood the purpose of the study and provided written, informed consent prior to participation. The study protocol was approved by our Institutional Review Board.

**THE REHABILITATION ROBOTIC HAND**

The rehabilitation robotic hand consists of two moving parts for four fingers (from second to fifth finger) and thumb (Figures 1A, B). The moving part for four fingers consists of a finger holder, four bar linkage, one actuator, and a force-torque sensor system, which is driven by a timing belt (Figure 1C). The finger holders are made with Velcro straps that allow the human’s hand to follow the end point trajectory of the robot (Figure 1D). The grasping motion of the human’s hand can be realized using four bar linkages, which are designed by imitating the trajectory of real grasping motion (Figure 1E). The moving part for the thumb consists of two wires, two pulleys, and a tension adjuster. It is directly connected to the moving part for four fingers by the cable driven system. It uses only 1 degree of freedom (DOF) for grasping motion. When the motor rotates positive 90°, it performs grasping motion, and rotation of negative 90° results in performance of extension motion. Continuous action of the motor results in realization of repetitive flexion-extension motion. For real time control, using the linux distros Fedora 10 with linux kernel ver. 2.6.24 and Realtime Hardware Abstraction Layer (RTAI) ver. 3.7.1 systems, using an encoder and a Sensoray s626 board, we realized real time sensing control. For more precise control, we used time delay control. As a result, the rehabilitation robotic hand showed a position error of 0.1 ~ 1°.

All subjects were asked to sit comfortably on a chair in an upright position during conduct of the experiment. They were instructed to relax their hands maximally and not to move.

---

**FIGURE 1 | Rehabilitation robotic hand.** (A) Superior view of the rehabilitation robotic hand, (B) lateral view of the rehabilitation robotic hand, (C) fixed state of the right hand to the rehabilitation robotic hand, (D) finger extension (second to fifth fingers) and thumb abduction state by the rehabilitation robotic hand, (E) finger flexion (second to fifth fingers) and thumb adduction state by the rehabilitation robotic hand.
the hand voluntarily or imagine the movements during performance of passive movements, which were executed by the robotic hand. The subject’s right hand was fixed at the rehabilitation robotic hand. Using a block paradigm design (three cycles; resting (20 s)-movement by the robot (20 s)-resting (20 s)-movement by the robot (20 s)-resting (20 s)-movement by the robot (20 s)) at a frequency of 0.5 Hz, flexion-extension movements of the right fingers (from second to fifth) and abduction-adduction of the right thumb were performed by the rehabilitation robotic hand. During performance of passive hand movements, one experimenter confirmed that there had been no movement of the shoulder, elbow and wrist, and another experimenter observed changes in cortical activities using the monitor screen of the fNIRS system on a real-time basis (Yu et al., 2011; Dinomais et al., 2013).

FUNCTIONAL NEAR INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY (fNIRS)
The fNIRS system (FOIRE-3000; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan), with continuous wave laser diodes with wavelengths of 780, 805, and 830 nm, was used for recording of cortical activity at a sampling rate of 10 Hz; we employed a 49-channel system with 30 optodes (15 light sources and 15 detectors). Based on the modified Beer–Lambert law, we acquired values for oxy-hemoglobin (HbO), deoxy-hemoglobin (HbR), and total-hemoglobin (HbT: mmol) following changes in levels of cortical concentration (Cope and Delpy, 1988). The international 10/20 system, with cranial vertex (Cz) located beneath the 18th channel, between the fourth light source and the seventh detector, was used for positioning of optodes; locations of the nasion, left ear, and right ear were identified in each subject. A stand-alone application was used for spatial registration of the 49 acquired channels on the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) brain based on locations of the nasion, left ear, and right ear, and the 18th channel on the Cz (Ye et al., 2009).

The software package NIRS-SPM1 implemented in the MATLAB environment (The Mathworks, USA) was used in analysis of fNIRS data. Gaussian smoothing with a full width at a half maximum (FWHM) of 2 s was applied to correction of noise from the fNIRS system (Ye et al., 2009). The wavelet minimum description length (MDL) based detrending algorithm was used for correction of signal distortion due to breathing or movement of the subject and general linear model (GLM) analysis with a
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FIGURE 2 | (A) Four regions of interest based on the Brodmann area (BA) and anatomical location of areas of the brain. The primary sensory-motor cortex (SM1): BA 1, 2, 3, and 4; premotor cortex (PMC): BA 6 (BA 6, except for the SMA); supplementary motor area (SMA) (anterior boundary: vertical line to the anterior commissure, posterior boundary: anterior margin of M1, medial boundary: midline between the right and left hemispheres, lateral boundary: the line 15 mm lateral from the midline between the right and left hemispheres); prefrontal cortex (PFC): BA 8, 9, 44, 45, and 46. (B) Group-average activation map of HbO, HbR, and HbT during performance of passive movements of the right fingers, which were executed by the rehabilitation robotic hand using NIRS-SPM (uncorrected, p < 0.01).
canonical hemodynamic response curve was then performed in order to model the hypothesized HbO response under the experimental condition (Ye et al., 2009). Statistical parametric mapping (SPM) t-statistic maps were computed for group analysis, and, for stricter analysis, HbO, HbR, and HbT were considered significant at an uncorrected threshold of $p < 0.01$ (Ye et al., 2009).

In order to investigate the cortical changing aspects of HbO, HbR and HbT during performance of finger movements, which were executed by the rehabilitation robotic hand, we selected five regions of interest (ROI) based on the Brodmann area (BA) and anatomical locations of brain areas: SM1 (BA 1, 2, 3, and 4), the hand somatotopic area of the SM1 (medial boundary: medial margin of the precentral knob, lateral boundary: lateral margin of the precentral knob), PMC (BA 6, except for the SMA), SMA (anterior boundary: vertical line to the anterior commissure, posterior boundary: anterior margin of primary motor cortex (M1), medial boundary: midline between the right and left hemispheres, lateral boundary: the line 15 mm lateral from the midline between the right and left hemispheres), and the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (BA 8, 9, 44, 46) (Figure 2A; Afifi and Bergman, 2005; Mayka et al., 2006).

RESULTS

During performance of passive movements of the right fingers, which were executed by the rehabilitation robotic hand, a significant increase of HbO and HbT values was observed for both the left SM1 and the left SMA (uncorrected, $p < 0.01$). In addition, a significant increase of HbR value was also observed for the left PMC and PFC during performance of passive movements of the right fingers. By contrast, in terms of HbR value, only the hand somatotopic area of the left SM1 showed a significant decrease, compared with other ROIs (uncorrected, $p < 0.01$) (Figure 2B). In the time-course of actual hemodynamic responses, increased HbO and decreased HbR values were observed in ROI on the SM1 during performance of passive movements of the right fingers, which were executed by the rehabilitation robotic hand, and decreased or increased during rest phases (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

HbO, HbR and HbT, which measure neural activity indirectly by detecting hemodynamic changes of the underlying cerebral cortex, are the most commonly used parameters of fNIRS (Irani et al., 2007; Perrey, 2008). The rationale for use of these parameters is based on the concept that neural activation in response to a stimulus results in increased energy demands in the activated area; consequently, an increase of HbO and comitant decrease of HbR in the activated area occurs during neural activation (Perrey, 2008; Leff et al., 2011). In the current study, we investigated change of HbO, HbR, and HbT in five ROIs (SM1, hand somatotopy of the SM1, PMC, SMA, and PFC) during performance of passive movements of the fingers, which were executed by the rehabilitation robotic hand. With regard to HbO and HbT values in each of the five ROIs, we observed cerebral activation in the hand somatotopy of the contralateral SM1 and total SM1, contralateral PMC and SMA and contralateral PFC. In terms of HbR value, we observed cerebral activation only in the hand somatotopy of the contralateral SM1. On the other hand, the hemodynamic responses in ROI on the SM1 showed irregular fluctuation during the rest phases, as shown in Figure 3. These results might be related to repetitive stimulation to the cortical area or effects of nonlinear neurovascular coupling (Hudetz et al., 1992; Mayhew et al., 1996; Toronov et al., 2000).

Our rehabilitation robotic hand appears to work for the brain mainly via proprioceptive input by passive movements of fingers. This proprioceptive input is the sense for the change of the relative position of the hand and strength of passive movements, and is generated from millions of sensory receptors in skin, muscles, joints, and ligaments. Since introduction of functional neuroimaging techniques, many studies have reported activation of both the primary motor cortex (M1) and primary somatosensory cortex (S1) as a result of passive movements (Mima et al., 1999; Radovanovic et al., 2002; Francis et al., 2009; Blatow et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). Recently, using fMRI, Szameitat et al. (2012) reported that passive movements of wrist joint induced cortical activation in the SM1 and SMA, and this cortical activation pattern was similar to that of active movements of wrist joint (Szameitat et al., 2012). However, training with active movements induces more significant improvements in motor performance with facilitation of cortical networks, compared with passive movements (Lotze et al., 2003; Perez et al., 2004).

Therefore, we believe that our results indicating activation of the SM1 by the rehabilitation robotic hand are consistent with those reported in previous studies. The pathway of M1 activation by somatosensory stimulation has not been clearly elucidated. Previously, it was thought that an afferent input arrives at the M1 through the S1 (Forss et al., 1994). However, there is general agreement that the M1 receives somatosensory input directly from the thalamus or dorsal column (Desmedt and Cheron, 1980; Dinner et al., 1987; Canedo, 1997; Jang et al., 2012). In addition, results of animal studies have demonstrated direct involvement of somatosensory input to the motor cortex in execution of voluntary movements (Asanuma and Arianian, 1984; Favorov et al., 1988), and branching axons to dorsal column nuclei were observed in the corticospinal tract (Bentivoglio and Rustioni, 1986; Martinez et al., 1995; Steward et al., 2004). However, in the current study, except for the contralateral SM1, the secondary motor area, including contralateral SMA and contralateral PMC, were activated by execution of our rehabilitation robotic hand. The secondary motor area is known to receive somatosensory input directly and this appears to be the basic mechanism of activation of the secondary motor area; likewise, activation of the SM1 (Hummelsheim et al., 1988; Rouiller et al., 1999; Chung et al., 2005; Kishi et al., 2009).

Although many rehabilitation robots have been developed for patients with brain injury, studies on cortical activation during execution of the robots are limited (Aisen et al., 1997; Krebs et al., 1998; Volpe et al., 2000; Lum et al., 2002, 2006; Kahn et al., 2006; Masiero et al., 2007; Kwakkel et al., 2008; Rabadi et al., 2008; Housman et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2010; Conroy et al., 2011; Lapitskaya et al., 2011). To the best of our knowledge, only a few studies using transcranial magnetic stimulation or fNIRS have demonstrated the cerebral effect by rehabilitation robots (Blicher and Nielsen, 2009; Kamibayashi et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012). In 2009, using transcranial magnetic stimulation
in 13 normal subjects, Blicher and Nielsen investigated the cortical effect of robotic gait training using a driven gait orthosis (Blicher and Nielsen, 2009). They found that the decrease in short-interval intracortical inhibition after passive training in this gait robot may reflect a decrease in intracortical GABA activity, which could aid in acquisition of new motor skills. During the same year, Kamibayashi et al. investigated change of corticospinal excitability to the lower limb muscles using transcranial magnetic stimulation and transcranial electrical stimulation of the motor cortex while 13 normal subjects stepped passively in a robotic driven-gait orthosis (Kamibayashi et al., 2009). According to their findings, corticospinal excitability to the lower limb muscle was facilitated by load-related afferent inputs. In a recent study, Li et al. (2012), who developed a motion-tracking training robot by elbow flexion-extension movements, demonstrated the effect of this robot using fNIRS in 14 normal subjects (Li et al., 2012). They
reported an increase in motion tracking precision and cortical activation in motor-control-related regions (SM1, SMA, PMC, and somatosensory areas) following motion-tracking training. In addition, they observed that, in terms of cortical activation, bimanual training was better than single-limb training.

In conclusion, we investigated cortical activation patterns during execution of our rehabilitation robotic hand; according to our results, the contralateral SM1, along with the contralateral PMC, contralateral SMA, and contralateral PFC were activated. Our results appear to suggest that execution of the rehabilitation robotic hand could induce cortical activation; therefore, we believe that our results would be helpful in research on development of rehabilitation robots. In addition, fNIRS could be a useful tool in research on the cortical effect of rehabilitation. Our results appear to suggest that execution of our rehabilitation robotic hand; according to our results, the contralateral SM1, along with the contralateral PMC, contralateral SMA, and contralateral PFC were activated. We believe that our results would be helpful in research on development of rehabilitation robots. In addition, fNIRS could be a useful tool in research on the cortical effect of rehabilitation robots. For the clinical application, conduct of further studies on the optimal conditions for cortical activation and robot-assisted rehabilitation therapy by decoding of movement intention will be necessary (Gomez-Rodriguez et al., 2011). In addition, further studies on the training effect of this robotic hand in normal subjects and the clinical effect for patients with brain injury are also encouraged. However, the limitation that we did not monitor passive movements using an electromyographic method should be considered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work was supported by the DGIST R&D Program of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology of Korea (14-BD-0401).

REFERENCES
Afifi, A. K., and Bergman, R. A. (2005). Functional Neuroanatomy: Text and Atlas. New York: Lange Medical Books/McGraw-Hill.
Aisen, M. L., Krebs, H. L., Hogan, N., Mcdowell, F., and Volpe, B. T. (1997). The effect of robot-assisted therapy and rehabilitative training on motor recovery following stroke. Arch. Neurol. 54, 443–446. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1997.00550160075019
Arent, P. M., Ricker, J. H., and Schultheis, M. T. (2007). Applications of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) to neurorehabilitation of cognitive disabilities. Clin. Neuropsychol. 21, 38–57. doi: 10.1080/13854040600877875
Asanuma, H., and Arissian, K. (1984). Experiments on functional role of peripheral sensory stimulation at 3 T esla. J. Magn. Reson. Imaging 23, 143–149. doi: 10.1007/bf00239597
Bentivoglio, M., and Rustioni, A. (1986). Corticospinal neurons with branching axons to the dorsal column nuclei in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 52, 212–227.
Astrakas, L. G., Naqvi, S. H., Kates, B., and Tzika, A. A. (2012). Functional MRI using robotic MRI compatible devices for monitoring rehabilitation from chronic stroke in the molecular medicine era (Review). J. Comp. Neurol. 29, 963–973. doi: 10.3892/jcnm.2012.942.
Bovi, M., and Rustioni, A. (1986). Corticospinal neurons with branching axons to the dorsal column nuclei in the monkey. J. Neurophysiol. 52, 212–227.
Chung, G. H., Han, Y. M., Jeong, S. H., and Jack, C. R. Jr. (2005). Functional heterogeneity of the supplementary motor area. AJNR Am. J. Neuroradiol. 26, 1819–1823.
Conroy, S. S., Whitall, J., Dipietro, L., Jones-Lush, L. M., Zhan, M., Finley, M. A., et al. (2011). Effect of gravity on robot-assisted motor training after chronic stroke: a randomized trial. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 92, 1754–1761. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2011.06.016
Cope, M., and Delpy, D. T. (1988). System for long-term measurement of cerebral blood and tissue oxygenation on newborn infants by near infra-red transillumination. Med. Biol. Eng. Comput. 26, 289–294. doi: 10.1007/bf02447083
Desmedt, J. E., and Cheron, G. (1988). Central somatosensory conduction in man: neural generators and interpeak latencies of the far-field components recorded from neck and right or left scalp and earlobes. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. 50, 382–403. doi: 10.1016/0013-4694(80)9006-1
Dinner, D. S., Luders, H., Lesser, R. P., and Morris, H. H. (1987). Cortical generators of somatosensory evoked potentials to median nerve stimulation. Neurology 37, 1141–1145. doi: 10.1212/wnl.37.7.1141
Dinomais, M., Chinner, E., Lignon, G., Richard, I., Ter Minassian, A., and Tich, S. N. (2013). The effect of video-guidance on passive movement in patients with cerebral palsy: MRI study. Res. Dev. Disabil. 34, 3478–3496. doi: 10.1016/j.ridd.2013.07.008
Favorov, O., Sakamoto, T., and Asanuma, H. (1988). Functional role of cortico-rhapheral loop circuits during voluntary movements in the monkey: a preferential bias theory. J. Neurosci. 8, 3266–3277.
Fors, N., Hari, R., Salmelin, R., Ahonen, A., Hamalainen, M., Kajola, M., et al. (1994). Activation of the human posterior parietal cortex by median nerve stimulation. Exp. Brain Res. 99, 309–315. doi: 10.1007/bf02033597
Frahm, J., Merboldt, K. D., and Hanicke, W. (1993). Functional MRI of human brain activation at high spatial resolution. Magn. Reson. Med. 29, 139–144. doi: 10.1002/mrm.1910290126
Francis, S., Lin, X., Aboushoush, S., White, T. P., Phillips, M., Bowtell, R., et al. (2009). fMRI analysis of active, passive and electrically stimulated ankle dorsiflexion. Neuroimage 44, 469–479. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.017
Gagnon, L., Yucel, M. A., Dehaes, M., Cooper, R. J., Perdue, K. L., Selb, J., et al. (2012). Quantification of the cortical contribution to the NIRS signal over the motor cortex using concurrent NIRS-fMRI measurements. Neuroimage 59, 3933–3940. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.054
Gomez-Rodriguez, M., Peters, J., Hohn, J., Scholkopf, B., Gharabaghi, A., and Grose-Wentrup, M. (2011). Closing the sensorimotor loop: haptic feedback facilitates decoding of motor imagery. J. Neural. Eng. 8, 536005. doi: 10.1088/1741-2560/8/3/036005
Hoviusman, S. J., Scott, K. M., and Reinkensmeyer, D. J. (2009). A randomized controlled trial of gravity-supported, computer-enhanced arm exercise for individuals with severe hemiparesis. Neurorehabil. Neural Repair 23, 505–514. doi: 10.1177/1545968308311148
Hudetz, A. G., Roman, R. J., and Harder, D. R. (1992). Spontaneous flow oscillations in the cerebral cortex during acute changes in mean arterial pressure. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 12, 491–499. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.1992.67
Hummelshaim, H., Bianchetti, M., Wiesendanger, M., and Wiesendanger, R. (1988). Sensory inputs to the agranular motor fields: a comparison between precentral, supplementary-motor and premotor areas in the monkey. Exp. Brain Res. 69, 289–298. doi: 10.1007/bf00247574
Iranii, F., Platek, S. M., Bunce, S., Ruooco, A. C., and Chute, D. (2007). Functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS): an emerging neuroimaging technology with important applications for the study of brain disorders. Clin. Neuropsychol. 21, 9–37. doi: 10.1080/13854040600910018
Jang, S. H., Kwon, Y. H., Lee, M. Y., Lee, D. Y., and Hong, J. H. (2012). Termination differences in the primary sensorimotor cortex between the medial lemniscus and spinthalamic pathways in the human brain. Neurosci. Lett. 516, 50–53. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.053
Kahn, L. E., Zygman, M. L., Rymer, W. Z., and Reinkensmeyer, D. J. (2006). Robot-assisted reaching exercise promotes arm movement recovery in chronic hemiparetic stroke: a randomized controlled pilot study. J. Neuroeng. Rehabil. 3:12. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-3-12
Kamibayashi, K., Nakajima, T., Takahashi, M., Akai, M., and Nakazawa, K. (2009). Facilitation of corticospinal excitability in the tibialis anterior muscle during robot-assisted passive stepping in humans. Eur. J. Neurosci. 30, 100–109. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06795.x
Kaplan, M. S. (1988). Plasticity after brain lesions: contemporary concepts. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 69, 984–991.

Kim, M. J., Hong, J. H., and Jang, S. H. (2011). The cortical effect of clapping in the human brain: a functional MRI study. NeuroRehabilitation 28, 75–79. doi: 10.3233/NRI-2011-0634

Kishi, M., Sakakibara, R., Nagao, T., Terada, H., and Ogawa, E. (2009). Thalamic infarction disrupts spinothalamiccortical projection to the mid-cingulate cortex and supplementary motor area. J. Neurol. Sci. 281, 104–107. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2009.03.012

Krebs, H. I., Hogan, N., Aisen, M. L., and Volpe, B. T. (1998). Robot-aided neurorehabilitation. IEEE Trans. Rehabil. Eng. 6, 75–87. doi: 10.1109/86.662623

Kwakkel, G., Kollen, B. L., and Krebs, H. I. (2008). Effects of robot-assisted therapy on upper limb recovery after stroke: a systematic review. Neurorehabil. Neural Repair 22, 111–121. doi: 10.1177/1545968306307045

Lapitskaya, N., Nielsen, J. F., and Fuglsang-Frederiksen, A. (2011). Robotic gait application of functional near-infrared spectroscopy in rehabilitation medicine. J. Neuro Rehabil. 28, 75–87. doi: 10.1016/j.jnr.2008.02.004

Miyai, I., Tanabe, H. C., Sase, I., Eda, H., Oda, I., Konishi, I., et al. (2001). Cortical mapping of gait in humans: a near-infrared spectroscopic topography study. Neuroimage 14, 1186–1192. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2001.0905

Perez, M. A., Luinholt, B. K., Nyborg, K., and Nielsen, J. B. (2004). Motor skill training induces changes in the excitability of the leg cortical area in healthy humans. Exp. Brain Res. 159, 197–205. doi: 10.1007/s00221-004-1947-5

Perrey, S. (2008). Non-invasive NIR spectroscopy of human brain function during exercise. Methods 45, 289–299. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2008.04.005

Rabadí, M., Galgano, M., Lynch, D., Akerman, M., Lesser, M., and Volpe, B. (2008). A pilot study of activity-based therapy in the arm motor recovery post stroke: a randomized controlled trial. Clin. Rehabil. 22, 1071–1082. doi: 10.1177/0269215508095338

Radovanovic, S., Korotkov, A., Ljubisavljevic, M., Lysak, E., Thurnberg, I., Kataeva, G., et al. (2002). Comparison of brain activity during different types of proprioceptive inputs: a positron emission tomography study. Exp. Brain Res. 143, 275–285. doi: 10.1007/s00221-001-0994-4

Reddy, H., Floyer, A., Donaghy, M., and Matthews, P. M. (2001). Altered cortical activation with finger movement after peripheral denervation: comparison of active and passive tasks. Exp. Brain Res. 138, 484–491. doi: 10.1007/s002210010732

Szameitat, A. J., Shen, S., Conforto, A., and Sterr, A. (2012). Cortical activation during executed, imagined, observed and passive wrist movements in healthy volunteers and stroke patients. Neuroimage 62, 266–280. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.009

Tsekos, N. V., Franceschini, M. A., Filiasi, M., Fantini, S., Wolf, M., Michalos, A., et al. (2000). Near-infrared study of fluctuations in cerebral hemodynamics during rest and motor stimulation: temporal analysis and spatial mapping. Med. Phys. 27, 801–815. doi: 10.1118/1.598943

Zheng, B., Conforto, A., and Sterr, A. (2012). Cortical activation during executed, imagined, observed and passive wrist movements in healthy volunteers and stroke patients. Neuroimage 62, 266–280. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.009

Volpe, B. T., Krebs, H. I., Hogan, N., Edelstein, O. L., Diels, C., and Aisen, M. (2000). A novel approach to stroke rehabilitation: robot-aided sensorimotor rehabilitation. Neurology 54, 1938–1945. doi: 10.1212/wnl.54.10.1938

Ye, J. C., Tak, S., Jang, K. E., Jung, J., and Jang, J. (2009). NIRS-SPM: statistical parametric mapping for near-infrared spectroscopy. Neuroimage 44, 428–447. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.08.036

Yu, N., Estevez, N., Hepp-Reymond, M. C., Kollias, S. S., and Rieder, R. (2011). fMRI assessment of upper extremity related brain activation with an MRI-compatible manipulandum. Int. J. Comput. Assist. Radiol. Surg. 6, 447–455. doi: 10.1007/s11548-010-0325-5

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 08 October 2013; accepted: 21 January 2014; published online: 06 February 2014.

Citation: Chang P-H, Lee S-H, Gu GM, Lee S-H, Jin S-H, Yeo SS, Seo J and Jang SH (2014) The cortical activation pattern by a rehabilitation robotic hand: a functional fMRI study. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8:49. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2014.00049

This article was submitted to the journal Frontiers in Human Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2014 Chang, Lee, Gu, Lee, Jin, Yeo, Seo and Jang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.