Oral Deliverable Mucoadhesive Chitosan-Salmonella Subunit Nanovaccine for Layer Chickens
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Purpose: Salmonellosis in poultry is a serious economic burden. A major concern is the public health hazard caused by consumption of Salmonella-contaminated poultry products. Currently used Salmonella vaccines are ineffective in combating poultry Salmonellosis warranting the need of a potent vaccine, especially an oral vaccine that can elicit robust local intestinal immunity.

Materials and Methods: A Salmonella subunit chitosan nanoparticles (NPs)-based vaccine was prepared that contained immunogenic outer membrane proteins (OMPs) and -flagellin (F) protein (OMPs-F-CS NPs). OMPs-F-CS NPs were administered as an oral vaccine in layer chickens and the resultant humoral and cell-mediated immune responses and localization of NPs were examined using standard detection methods.

Results: We demonstrated targeting of surface F-protein coated chitosan NPs to immune cells when delivered orally to layer chickens, the particles were localized in ileal Peyer’s patches. The OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinated layer chickens had significantly higher OMPs-specific mucosal IgA production and lymphocyte proliferation response. The candidate vaccine increased the expression of toll-like receptor (TLR)-2, TLR-4, IFN-γ, TGF-β and IL-4 mRNA expression in chicken cecal tonsils.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated that the chitosan-based oral Salmonella nanovaccine targets immune cells of chickens and induced antigen-specific B and T cell responses. This candidate oral Salmonella nanovaccine has the potential to mitigate Salmonellosis in poultry.
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Introduction
Salmonellosis is a zoonotic disease caused by the Gram-negative enteric bacterium Salmonella. Salmonella enterica serovar enteritidis is a major food-borne pathogen. The World Health Organization has estimated that 1.3 billion cases of acute gastroenteritis and diarrhea and three million deaths are due to non-typhoidal Salmonellosis each year. Approximately 40,000 cases of Salmonellosis and 2,000 deaths are reported in the United States each year, although the real number may be 30-fold greater. Poultry and poultry-derived products are a major source of human Salmonella enteritidis (S. enteritidis) infections. Aside from human health concerns, S. enteritidis causes severe economic losses to the poultry industry. Thus, effective control of S. enteritidis infection in poultry is required to maintain healthy poultry flocks and prevent human Salmonellosis.

Vaccination is one of the approaches to control S. enteritidis infections and both live attenuated and killed Salmonella vaccines are used to accomplish this...
However, under field conditions, these vaccines only marginally decrease, rather than eliminate *Salmonella* colonization and shedding in the chicken intestine. Moreover, live *Salmonella* vaccines, though effective in inducing immunity, pose risk of reversion to virulence in vivo. Killed whole bacterial vaccines provide only partial protection, due to poor immunogenicity and inability to induce cell-mediated immune responses. Furthermore, all the available commercial killed *Salmonella* vaccines must be parenterally injected into each bird making it difficult for farmers and highly stressful to chickens. As an alternative, a potent killed or subunit oral *Salmonella* vaccine which induces robust mucosal IgA and cell-mediated immune responses is an effective control approach for Salmonellosis in poultry.

The outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of *Salmonella* are highly immunogenic in chickens. When compared to killed bacterial extracts, partially purified OMPs induce better immune response against virulent *Salmonella* infection. Enriched OMPs delivered with a potent adjuvant should elicit immune response and decrease *Salmonella* shedding in poultry. Vaccines designed with *Salmonella* OMPs also activate professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) thereby inducing adaptive immunity. *Salmonella* sp. flagellin is a globular surface protein and virulence factor responsible for bacterial movement. Flagellin is a toll-like receptor (TLR)-5 agonist recognized by host innate immune cells. *Salmonella* attach to mucus epithelial cells and colonize with the help of flagellin. It has been suggested that the host TLR-flagellin interactions are important for *Salmonella* to penetrate the gut epithelial barrier.

Oral vaccination is easy to deliver and a preferred route of vaccination in the poultry industry. It directly delivers vaccine antigens to gut-associated lymphoid tissues (GALT) and initiates mucosal IgA responses, something not possible by traditional parenteral route of vaccination. However, orally delivered unprotected vaccine protein antigens are degraded by acidic stomach pH and enteric proteolytic enzymes and frequently do not reach mucosal microfold (M) cells and APCs in the GALT. Therefore, a novel vaccine delivery and an adjuvant platform are essential to make the effective oral *Salmonella* vaccine in poultry.

Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles (NPs)-based vaccine delivery systems have recently gained increased attention for use in food animals because of the nanoscale size, ideal physicochemical properties, increased surface area, biocompatibility, biodistribution, protection of the cargo antigen from gastric and enteric degradation and abilities to target vaccine to immune cells. Moreover, orally delivered polymeric nanoparticles vaccines are stable, mucoadhesive and bioavailable for prolonged period at the mucosal surfaces of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract.

Chitosan is a natural cationic copolymer derived from partial deacetylation of chitin, a component of crustacean and insect shells. Chitosan is composed of randomly distributed N-acetyl glucosamine and D-glucosamine residues with a net-positive charge. Chitosan is biocompatible and mucoadhesive and thus increases membrane permeability. Chitosan has amino and carboxyl groups which form hydrogen bonds with mucus glycoproteins resulting in adhesion of chitosan to intestinal walls. Moreover, chitosan interacts directly with intestinal epithelial cell membranes, leads to decrease in trans-epithelial electrical resistance and increased paracellular permeability. For these reasons, chitosan nanoparticles (CS NPs) have been extensively investigated for mucosal delivery of drugs, peptides and proteins. CS NPs elicit robust immune response by activating macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs) and induce secretion of cytokines. Moreover, NPs surface-coated with bacterial flagellin, improves mucoadhesive properties in the GI tract. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that an orally delivered CS NPs vaccine containing entrapped *S. enteritidis* OMPs and flagellin (F) and surface coated with F-protein will efficiently reach chicken intestinal Peyer’s patches (PPs), and induce robust mucosal antibody and cell-mediated immune responses to *S. enteritidis*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Isolation of OMPs**

OMPs from *S. enteritidis* were isolated using Tris-sucrose-EDTA (TSE) buffer as described previously with few modifications. Briefly, a stationary phase bacterial culture was washed with 10 mM Tris buffer pH 7.5 and the sediment was suspended in TSE buffer pH 8 and incubated on ice for 90 min. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 16,000 ×g for 30 min and the collected supernatant was centrifuged at 100,000 ×g for 60 min. The pellet containing OMPs enriched extract was freeze-dried with 5% sucrose as a cryoprotectant. The protein concentration was estimated using micro BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, MA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions.
Isolation of F-Protein

*S. enteritidis* bacterial culture grown on Trypticase soy agar plates was inoculated into brain heart infusion broth and incubated for 48 h at 37°C without shaking. The cells were washed with PBS pH 7.4 and centrifuged at 7000 × g for 30 min. The cell pellet was treated with 3M potassium thiocyanate (Sigma, MO) in PBS for 2 h at room temperature under magnetic stirring. Subsequently, the cell suspension was centrifuged at 35,000 × g for 30 min and the supernatant containing F-protein-enriched extract was dialyzed once against PBS pH 7.4 followed by Milli-Q water and freeze-dried with 5% sucrose as a cryoprotectant. The protein concentration was estimated using micro BCA protein assay kit.

Preparation of OMPs-F-CS NPs

The OMPs-F-CS NPs were prepared by an ionic gelation method as described previously39 with some modifications. Briefly, 1.0% (w/v) low molecular weight chitosan (Sigma, MO) solution was prepared by slowly dissolving chitosan in an aqueous solution of 4.0% (v/v) acetic acid under magnetic stirring until the solution became transparent. The pH was adjusted to 4.3 and filtered through a 0.44 μm syringe filter. To prepare OMPs-F-CS NPs, 5 mL of 1% chitosan solution was added to 5 mL of deionized water and incubated with 2.5 mg each of both OMPs and F-protein in PBS pH 7.4. Subsequently, 2.5 mL of 1% (w/v) sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP) (Sigma, MO) in 2.5 mL deionized water was added into the solution and subjected to magnetic stirring, 22 °C. For surface coating, 2.5 mg of F-protein in PBS pH 7.4 was added to the particles and centrifuged at 10,500 × g for 10 min to collect OMPs-F-CS NPs.

For the ex vivo and in vivo particle tracking study in chicken ileum, empty or surface F-protein coated CS NPs (CS NPs-F) were prepared as above without the vaccine carrier proteins, fluorescently labelled by incubation with 1.25 mg Rhodamine B isothiocyanate (RITC) (Sigma, MO) for 5 min as described previously.22 The formulated NPs were separated by centrifugation at 10,500 × g for 10 min and freeze-dried with 5% sucrose as a cryoprotectant.

Characterization of OMPs-F-CS NPs

The morphological characteristics of the empty and OMPs-F-CS NPs formulations were visualized under the cold field emission Hitachi S-4700 scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM). The samples were prepared on aluminum stubs and coated with platinum prior to examination. Formulated empty and OMPs-F-CS NPs mean particle size distribution was analyzed by Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern Panalytical).

Encapsulation Efficiency

The protein encapsulation efficiency in CS NPs was estimated by an indirect method by determining difference between protein amount found in the vaccine formulation supernatant and initial amount used. The NPs surface-bonded protein was estimated similarly by subtracting the cargo loaded weight from the surface-coated protein. The amount of protein present in the supernatant was measured using the micro BCA protein assay kit.38

Hemolysis Assay to Identify the NPs

Toxicity

Fresh 2-mL chicken blood collected in EDTA in a sterile tube was centrifuged at 1000 × g for 10 min and red blood cells (RBCs) were harvested. The RBCs were washed three times using sterile PBS and suspended in 3-mL PBS, 100-μL RBCs were treated with 250–1000 μg of CS NPs, or as a positive and negative control with Triton x-100 and PBS, respectively. Treated RBCs were incubated at 37°C for 1 h, centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min and the supernatant containing released hemoglobin was measured at OD 575 nm using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader (Spectramax plus 384, Molecular Devices, CA). The percentage (%) of hemolysis was calculated by the formula: [(sample absorbance – negative control)/(positive control – negative control)] × 100%.40 Treated residual RBCs were suspended and morphological changes were observed under a microscope under 4x magnifications (Invitrogen™ EVOST™ FL Cell Imaging System, WA).

pH Stability Analysis

Stability of CS NPs was evaluated by measuring turbidity of NPs suspension kept at different acidic and alkaline pH conditions over a period of 3 hrs as described previously.38 Briefly, 6 mg of CS NPs was suspended in 4 mL of different pH solutions (3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.5, 6.5 and 7.4) and at stipulated time intervals 100-μL aliquots were taken to measure turbidity at OD 405 nm using a spectrophotometer. All measurements were performed in duplicate and the results were expressed in percent reduction in turbidity at different pH conditions over a period of time. The percent reduction was calculated by: initial OD – different time point OD(initial OD x100).
In vitro Splenocytes and Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell Uptake Study

On the necropsy day isolated uninfected control group peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and splenocytes were used to check-in vitro uptake of various NPs. PBMCs or splenocytes 5 million cells/well were plated in 24-well cell culture plate, incubated at 39°C in 5% CO₂ for attachment. Unattached cells were removed and attached cells treated with 1-mL medium, RITC dye tagged 150 μg/mL CS NPs or CS NPs-F for 4 hr in a 39°C incubator. PBS was used to wash the cells twice followed by RPMI medium containing without phenol red was added. RITC red color dye tagged particle(s) interacting with cells were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus IX70) and images were captured at 20× magnification.

Isolated PBMCs (1x10⁶ cells/well) from uninfected control chickens were seeded into a 96-well cell culture plate and incubated at 39°C, 5% CO₂ for 12 hrs to identify flagellin dependent CS NPs cell uptake. Attached cells were treated with either medium or 10 μg F-protein or same concentration of F-protein coated CS NPs (CS NPs-F) for 4 h. Incubated cells were fixed with 80% acetone, stained with primary rabbit anti-flagellin antibody (Abcam, MA) followed by secondary Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (Life Technologies, OR). The cells were washed with PBS and adherent stained cells were examined by fluorescent microscopy (Olympus IX70) and photographed as above.

Ex vivo and in vivo Bioadhesion Study

The GI tract mucosal adhesive properties of RITC-labelled CS NPs-F were analyzed in chicken ileal tissues by fluorescence microscopy. For in vivo analyses, healthy layer chickens were orally vaccinated using an oral gavage needle with soluble OMPs (50 µg) and F-protein (50 µg) in sterile PBS or equivalent amount of respective protein-loaded OMPs-F-CS NPs suspension in 1-mL sterile PBS. The vaccination procedure was repeated twice more at 3-week intervals. Booster vaccination was performed twice using similar dose and route.

Experimental Design, Vaccination Schedule, Bacterial Challenge and Interim and Final Sample Collections

Chickens

Specific pathogen-free 1-day-old layer chicks from Mayer Hatchery (OH, USA) were received at the OARDC animal house facility for use in this vaccination and challenge study. All chicks had ad libitum access to water and antibiotic-free food. At 6 weeks of age, chickens were randomly divided into four experimental groups in cages in separate isolation rooms (Table 1).

Vaccination(S)

Chickens at the age of 6 weeks were orally vaccinated using an oral gavage needle with soluble OMPs (50 µg) and F-protein (50 µg) in sterile PBS or equivalent amount of respective protein-loaded OMPs-F-CS NPs suspension in 1-mL sterile PBS. The vaccination procedure was repeated twice more at 3-week intervals. Booster vaccination was performed twice using similar dose and route.

Table 1 Experimental Design Showing Assignment of Layer Chicken Groups

| Group No | Experimental Groups | No of Birds | Vaccination 1st Dose (DPV 0) | Challenge (DPV 63/DPC 0) |
|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1        | Mock                | 9           | PBS                        | PBS                      |
| 2        | Mock + Ch.          | 10          | PBS                        | S. enteritidis           |
| 3        | OMPs and F-protein + Ch. | 10 | OMPs + F-protein | OMPs-F-CS NPs          |
| 4        | OMPs-F-CS NPs + Ch. | 10          | PBS                        | S. enteritidis           |

Abbreviations: DPV, Day Post-Vaccination; DPC, Day Post-Challenge; Ch, Challenge; OMPs, Outer Membrane Proteins; F, Flagellin Protein; CS NPs, Chitosan Nanoparticles; OMPs-F-CS NPs, OMPs and F-Protein Entrapped and Surface F-Protein Coated CS NPs.
Challenge Salmonella Inoculum
The nalidixic acid-resistant pure culture of virulent *S. enteritidis* (Phage type 13a) stored in glycerol stock was grown in 10-mL tryptic soy broth (TSB) at 37°C without shaking. After 8-h incubation, 100 µL of the bacterial suspension was transferred into 10-mL fresh TSB and incubated overnight at 37°C. One-milliliter bacterial suspension was transferred into 100-mL fresh TSB and incubated at 37°C until the culture OD reached 1.1. Bacteria were washed three times with PBS, serially diluted and plated on Xylose Lactose Tergitol™ 4 (XLT4) agar plate and colony-forming units (CFUs) were determined. Birds were challenged on day post-vaccination (DPV) 63 with 1-mL bacterial suspension containing 1x10^9 CFU in PBS after overnight fasting using an oral gavage needle. Chickens were euthanized 10 days after bacterial challenge. Birds were maintained, sample collection and euthanasia procedures were strictly followed as per the Standards of the Institutional Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee and Ethics for Animal Experiments. The present study and all experimental procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The Ohio State University protocol number: 2016A00000060. Animal experiments were performed as per the recommendations by the Public Health Service Policy, USDA Regulations, National Research Council’s Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Federation of Animal Science Societies’ Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching.

Interim Microbial Sampling
The cloacal swabs were collected on day post vaccination (DPV) 21, 42, 63 and 73 (DPC 10) in 0.5-mL PBS. Cloacal swabs were vortexed, centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min and aliquots were stored at −80°C.

Tissue Sampling at Termination
On the day of necropsy, 10 days after challenge, blood samples for serum were collected from each chicken. The 5-cm small intestine and trachea samples were collected in 2-mL PBS, cut into small pieces, vortexed and centrifuged at 3000 × g for 10 min; aliquots of supernatants were stored at −80°C. Bile samples were collected with an insulin syringe and aliquots were stored at −80°C. Terminal unclotted blood was collected in sterile EDTA tubes for isolation of PBMCs. Spleen was collected in 5-mL RPMI medium (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UT) enriched with 10% fetal bovine serum (Sigma), antibiotic-antimycotic (Gibco), sodium pyruvate, 1M HEPES, MEM NEAA and 2- mercaptoethanol (E-RPMI).

Analyses of Antibody Responses
The levels of pre and post-challenge IgA antibodies to *Salmonella* sp antigens in serum, bile, cloacal swabs, small intestinal and tracheal washes were determined by ELISA. Flat bottom 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-one, NC) were coated with OMPs (7.5 µg/mL) in 0.05 M carbonate-bicarbonate buffer pH 9.6 and incubated 12 hr, 4°C. Plates were washed three times and blocked with 5% (w/v) skim milk powder in PBS Tween-20 (0.05%) (PBST) for 1 hr, 22°C. Plates were washed three times in PBST and pre-diluted serum or bile samples in 2.5% (w/v) skim milk powder or undiluted cloacal swabs, tracheal and small intestinal wash samples were added in duplicate marked wells (50 µL/well) and incubated for 2 hr, 22°C. Plates were washed three times and 50 µL/well of pre-titrated goat anti-chicken IgA conjugated HRP (Gallus Immunotech, NC) (1: 3000 in 2.5% skim milk powder in PBST) secondary antibody was added and incubated for 2 hr, 22°C. Plates were washed three times and 50 µL/well of TMB peroxidase substrate (1:1 mixture of TMB peroxidase substrate and peroxidase substrate solution B) (KPL, MD) was added and the reaction was stopped after color development in 10 to 20 min by adding 1.0 M phosphoric acid. The OD values were measured at 450 nm using the ELISA plate reader. The corrected OD value was obtained by subtracting different treatment group OD from blank control OD. Interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) levels in serum samples collected at DPC 10 were determined using a commercial kit (Cytoset™ Chicken IFN-γ ELISA based kit, Invitrogen, USA).

Lymphocyte Proliferation Assay
PBMCs and splenocytes were isolated using Ficoll-paque plus (GE Healthcare, PA). Briefly, blood was diluted in PBS (1:1 ratio) and an equal volume of Ficoll-paque plus solution was added and the mixture was then centrifuged at 450xg for 25 min, 20°C. Lymphocytes at the interface were collected, washed twice in PBS and suspended in E-RPMI medium. Splenocytes were isolated by teasing splenic tissue through a cell strainer using PBS. Splenocytes were then mixed with an equal volume of Ficoll-paque plus solution and centrifuged at 450 xg for 30 min, 4°C. Splenocytes at the interface were collected, washed twice with PBS and resuspended in E-RPMI medium.
For the cell proliferation assay, PBMCs and splenocytes (1x10^6 cells/well in 100 µL) were suspended in E-RPMI medium and seeded in triplicate wells of 96 well flat-bottom plates (Greiner bio-one, NC). Cells were treated with OMPs (5 µg/mL in 100 µL) in E-RPMI medium and incubated for 72 h at 39°C, 5% CO₂. After incubation, 20 µL of MTS+PMS solution was added and incubated for 4 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. The OD value was taken at 490 nm by the ELISA plate reader. The stimulation index (SI) was calculated by dividing OD value of stimulated cells from OD value of unstimulated control cells of the same chicken. The average SI value of 9 to 10 chickens of each group was compared among vaccine groups.

Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase-PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis
Total RNA from the cecal tonsils was extracted by using the TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The isolated RNA was dissolved in Tris-EDTA (pH 7.5) buffer and concentration was determined by using NanoDrop™ 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cDNA synthesis was achieved with 1 µg of total RNA using the Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The mRNA expression of TLR-2, TLR-4, IFN-γ, TGF-β, IL-4 and the housekeeping gene β-actin (Table S1) were analyzed by real-time quantification (7500 Real-Time PCR System, Applied Biosystems, CA) using the iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, CA). Target gene expression levels were normalized to β-actin and the fold changes were determined by dividing results of the treated samples by the respective untreated control samples.

Statistical Analyses
Data are represented as the mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) of 9 or 10 chickens. Data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by p value difference between the groups were determined by Mann–Whitney test using the Graphpad Prism 5 (Graphpad software, CA). A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Characterization of Candidate Nanoparticle Vaccine
As shown in the schematic Figure 1, protonated cationic chitosan amine groups at pH 4.3 formed electrostatic complex with negative-charged acidic groups of OMPs and F-protein in PBS pH 7.4. In addition, negatively charged cross-linker TPP was used to entrap vaccine antigens in CS NPs. Surface attachment of F-protein on CS NPs was accomplished by electrostatic interaction. By FE-SEM, the CS NPs and OMPs-F-CS NPs were spherical in shape and distributed in the colloidal matrix with minimal aggregation (Figure 2A and B). The mean particle size distribution of CS NPs and OMPs-F-CS NPs were 380 nm and 517 nm, respectively (Figure 2C and D). The encapsulation efficiency of CS NPs for Salmonella antigens was 70% and the efficiency of surface conjugation of F-protein was 40%.

Hemolysis assay is one of the well-accepted methods of biocompatibility analysis for NPs. Biocompatibility of CS NPs was analyzed by quantifying the hemolysis of chicken RBCs treated with NPs. There was absence of any hemolysis upon treatment with CS NPs and comparable to PBS control (Figure S1A), while RBCs treated with Triton X-100 were completely lysed (Figure S1B).

NPs form turbidity when dispersed in biological buffer. Turbidity reduction is an indirect way of identifying stability of NPs in various physiological conditions over a period of time. Stability of CS NPs under different acidic and alkaline pH conditions was assessed by the turbidity reduction assay. At acidic pH 3.5 after 3 h incubation, CS NPs induced less than 10% turbidity reduction. Increasing the time of incubation from 1 to 3 h, slightly increased the reduction in turbidity at pH 3.5 to 6.5, but the data were not significant. At pH 7.4 the turbidity values were not different at any time point, indicating that CS NPs were stable even after 3 h of incubation at pH 7.4 (Figure S1C).

Uptake of CS NPs-F by Splenocytes and PBMCs in vitro
APCs in splenocytes and PBMCs were used to analyze the uptake of CS NPs-F. Cells treated with RITC fluorescent dye tagged both CS NPs and CS NPs-F showed red fluorescence compared to medium control in a fluorescence microscopy (Figure 3A and B). The CS NPs-F treated both splenocytes and PBMCs had much higher fluorescence signal and also in more cells compared to CS NPs treatment (Figure 3A and B). Further to confirm the vaccine antigens uptake by APCs, similarly treated PBMCs with F-protein or CS NPs-F were immunostained for flagellin. A high specific green fluorescence signal was observed in CS NPs-F treated cells compared to soluble F-protein (Figure 3C).
Analysis of Bioadhesion of CS NPs-F by in vivo and ex vivo Analyses

The mucoadhesive nature of CS NPs-F labeled with a fluorescent dye was analyzed in the ileum by fluorescence microscopy. CS NPs-F adhered to the mucosa and entered in the lamina propria and PPs sites of the ileum (Figure 4 and Figure S2). Only a few CS NPs without the surface-coated F-protein adhered to the mucosa and the epithelial cells (Figure 4). In an ex vivo experiment, control fluorescent dye RITC-treated ileum had the dye adhered to mucosal epithelial surfaces but did not penetrate the lamina propria whereas CS-NPs-F was taken into the lamina propria (Figure S2).

OMPs-F-CS NPs Induced OMPs-Specific Humoral Immune Response

OMPs-specific IgA antibody response was analyzed in serum, cloacal swabs, bile and small intestine and tracheal wash samples. OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates had increased OMPs-specific IgA antibody levels in cloacal swabs after the first, second and third inoculation compared to the unvaccinated control group (Figure 5A–C). Specifically, after the second booster a significantly (P < 0.05) increased IgA response was observed when values were compared to both soluble antigens and control groups (Figure 5C).
At DPC 10, birds in all the treatment groups had significantly higher (P < 0.05) OMPs-specific IgA antibody response compared to unvaccinated Salmonella-challenged and unchallenged control groups (Figure 6A–E). Specifically, in OMPs-F-CS NPs-vaccinated chickens, IgA response in serum, bile, cloacal swab, small intestinal and tracheal wash samples were higher when compared to soluble antigens-vaccinated group (Figure 6A–E). An increase in OMP-specific IgA level was significantly (P < 0.05) greater only in the small intestinal washes of OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates compared to both control and soluble antigens vaccinated groups (Figure 6D).

OMPs-F-CS NPs Enhanced OMPs-Specific Cell-Mediated Immune Response

The cell-mediated immune response induced by OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccine was measured by analyzing IFN-γ levels in serum and OMPs-specific lymphocyte proliferation in PBMCs and splenocytes. OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates had higher but not significantly increased IFN-γ levels in serum compared to soluble antigens vaccinates (Figure 7A). In PBMCs of OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinated chickens an increase in lymphocyte stimulation index values were not statistically significant compared to other treatment groups (Figure 7B). However, splenocytes from OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates had significantly higher stimulation index values (P < 0.05) compared to control and soluble antigens groups (Figure 7C).

OMPs-F-CS NPs Increased TLRs, Th1 and Th2 Cytokines Gene Expression

The ability of OMPs-F-CS NPs to induce the expression of important immune response-related genes in vaccinated chickens was analyzed by quantitating TLR-2 and TLR-4, and cytokines Th1 (IFN-γ), immunoregulatory (TGF-β) and Th2 (IL-4) mRNA levels in the cecal tonsils. We observed an increased TLR-2 mRNA in OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinated birds, whereas control-challenged and soluble antigens-vaccinated birds had relatively downregulated mRNA levels (Figure 8A). OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates had an increased, but not statistically significant TLR-4 mRNA levels compared to other treatment groups (Figure 8B). Interferon-gamma mRNA expression levels in OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccinates were significantly (P < 0.05) higher when compared to soluble antigens groups.
antigens group (Figure 8C). TGF-β expression was comparable in all the experimental groups (Figure 8D). OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccination had significantly ($P < 0.05$) increased the expression of IL-4 mRNAs compared to mock-challenge birds, while it was downregulated in soluble antigens group (Figure 8E).

**Discussion**

Virulent *Salmonella* spp. enters the human food chain primarily as a poultry contaminant. In the avian GI tract, M cells associated with the PPs sample and process the foreign antigens and trigger specific immune responses.\(^43\) The goal of oral vaccination is to target
antigens to mucosal M cells and activate immune cells in PPs and local draining lymph nodes, thereby mimicking the natural infection process. A chitosan particle-based vaccine containing immunogenic Salmonella antigens (OMPs and F-protein) and surface coated with F-protein was formulated to target M cells in chicken ileal PPs. The extracted antigens (OMPs and F-protein) analyzed by SDS-PAGE showed expected proteins corresponding to molecular weight were reported earlier.

Studies have established that the size and shape of NPs determine their biological properties. The formulated CS NPs and OMPs-F-CS NPs were roughly 500-

Figure 4 In vivo analysis of penetration of CS NPs-F in the ileum mucosa of chickens. Layer chickens were orally treated with PBS, RITC dye or RITC-labelled CS NPs or CS NPs-F. Birds were euthanized after 4 h and ileum was harvested, washed, fixed, sectioned, stained with DAPI and visualized under a fluorescent microscope. The pictures were taken using the 2x objective (scale bar: 2000 µm). Indicated arrow marks are: 1 – Lamina propria; 2 – Peyer’s patches site. Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; RITC, rhodamine B isothiocyanate; DAPI, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; F, flagellin; CS NPs, chitosan nanoparticles; CS NPs-F, surface F-protein coated CS NPs.
Figure 5 Pre-challenge OMPs-specific IgA antibody response in chickens vaccinated orally with OMPs-F-CS NPs. Layer chickens were inoculated orally three times with mock PBS (group 1), OMPs and F-protein in soluble form (group 2) or entrapped in nanoparticle (OMPs-F-CS NPs) (group 3). OMPs-specific IgA antibody response in cloacal swab (A-C) was analyzed by ELISA. Each bar is the mean ± SEM of 9 to 10 chickens, and the data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test followed by p value differences in between the groups were determined by Mann–Whitney test. Asterisk refers to statistical difference between the two indicated groups (*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01).

Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; OMPs, outer membrane proteins; F, flagellin; CS NPs, chitosan nanoparticles; OMPs-F-CS NPs, OMPs and F-protein entrapped and surface F-protein coated CS NPs; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; SEM, standard error of the mean.

Figure 6 Post-challenge OMPs-specific IgA antibody response in chickens vaccinated orally with OMPs-F-CS NPs. Layer chickens vaccinated orally three times with mock PBS (group 1 & 2), OMPs and F-protein in soluble form (group 3) or entrapped in nanoparticle (OMPs-F-CS NPs) (group 4). Groups 2 to 4 were challenged (Ch) orally with live S. enteritidis, euthanized at DPC 10 and analyzed for: (A) IgA in serum; (B) IgA in bile; (C) IgA in cloacal swabs; (D) IgA in small intestinal wash; and (E) IgA in tracheal wash. Each bar is the mean ± SEM of 9 to 10 chickens, and the data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test followed by p value differences in between the groups were determined by Mann–Whitney test. Asterisk refers to statistical difference between two indicated groups (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001).

Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; OMPs, outer membrane proteins; F, flagellin; CS NPs, chitosan nanoparticles; OMPs-F-CS NPs, OMPs and F-protein entrapped and surface F-protein coated CS NPs; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; DPC, day post-challenge; SEM, standard error of the mean; Ch, challenge.
nm, the particle size of 500 nm or more are likely taken up via clathrin-independent macropinocytosis and/or phagocytosis. In vitro studies established that spherical NPs are internalized by DCs and deliver loaded antigens. We determined that encapsulating the vaccine proteins in CS NPs increased their size and altered surface conformation as reported previously. The protein encapsulation efficiency of CS NPs is mainly dependent on charge of the immunogenic protein. Every protein has an isoelectric point (pI), a pH at which it carries zero net charge. Increasing the pH above the pI value confers a net negative protein charge. In this study, Salmonella antigenic OMPs (pI 4.2–5.8) and F-protein (pI 5.3) were dissolved in PBS pH 7.4 to make them negatively charged. Cationic chitosan polymer (pI 6.5) is highly protonated in lower acidic environments and forms electrostatic complexes with the negative charged protein antigens. As in previous reports, the formulated

Figure 7 Post-challenge cell-mediated response in chickens vaccinated orally with OMPs-F-CS NPs. Layer chickens were vaccinated, challenged with live S. enteritidis and euthanized at DPC 10 as described in the figure legend 6. (A) Serum was estimated for IFN-γ production by ELISA. On the day of necropsy PBMCs and splenocytes were isolated, restimulated with OMPs to measure antigen-specific cell proliferation stimulation index in (B) PBMCs and (C) Splenocytes by a calorimetric assay. The stimulation index value was calculated by the mean OD of OMPs stimulated proliferation/mean OD of non-stimulated proliferation. Each bar is the mean ± SEM of 9 to 10 chickens, and the data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test followed by p value differences in between the groups were determined by Mann–Whitney test. Abreviations: OMPs, outer membrane proteins; F, flagellin; CS NPs, chitosan nanoparticles; OMPs-F-CS NPs, OMPs and F-protein entrapped and surface F-protein coated CS NPs; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; IFN-γ, interferon gamma; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; DPC, day post challenge; SEM, standard error of the mean; Ch, challenge.
OMPs-F-CS NPs had high protein encapsulation efficiency.

The formulated CS NPs were biocompatible with chicken RBCs as shown by the hemolysis assay. The TPP crosslinked CS NPs are biocompatible in chicken embryos.\(^5^4\) The CS NPs remained stable in acidic pH conditions. Stable NPs protect entrapped vaccine proteins from enzymatic degradation at acidic pH in the GI tract.\(^5^5\) Chitosan particles are stable in acidic (pH 2) environment, protect antigens in the GI tract and serve as a suitable carrier for oral vaccine delivery.\(^5^6\) Since the CS NPs are stable, they protect attached proteins cargo during the freeze-drying process, reduces aggregation and keeps the particles dispersed in suspension.\(^5^4\)

Flagellin directly interacts with myeloid DCs through TLR-5 and activates other TLR-5- expressing cells by the bystander process and indirectly activate spleen DCs.\(^5^7,5^8\) A number of different immune cells including monocytes, macrophages, DCs and lymphocytes possess the TLR-5 receptor.\(^5^8,5^9\) The TLR-5 receptor is also expressed on epithelial cells and, for this reason, flagellin is considered as a potent mucosal adjuvant.\(^5^8,6^0\) Surface coating of NPs with specific ligand(s) enhances targeted delivery of antigens to gut receptors. *Salmonella* adheres to the epithelial mucosa and enter M cells of the follicle associated epithelium (PPs) in the small intestine. *S. enteritidis* flagellin has been previously shown to adhere M cells in PPs.\(^2^2\) When compared to the behavior of uncoated NPs, flagellin-coated NPs are readily uptaken by ileal PPs M cells by passing through mucus layer.\(^4^1,6^1\) Polyanhydride NPs surface-coated with flagellin mimic natural *Salmonella* colonization in the rat GI tract.\(^4^1\) In an in vitro study using an M cell line, Ovalbumin (OVA)-loaded CS NPs are successfully adsorbed and processed by M cells.\(^6^2\) In this study, CS NPs-F showed specific fluorescence signal in treated PBMCs and splenocytes. The ileum of chickens orally inoculated with CS NPs-F demonstrated uniform distribution in the lamina propria and PPs consistent with a previous study in rats.\(^4^1\) This data indicate that the formulated CS NPs-F were adsorbed by chicken immune cells, attached to the intestinal epithelial mucosa and passed through epithelial lining before found in the lamina propria and PPs. Positively charged amino groups of CS NPs interact with negatively charged sialic acid residues in

**Figure 8** TLRs and cytokines mRNA expression profiles in the cecal tonsils of chickens. Layer chickens were vaccinated, challenged with live *S. enteritidis* and euthanized at DPC 10 as described in the figure legend 6. The gene expression levels in the cecal tonsils- was analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR. The relative mRNA expression levels of (A) TLR-2; (B) TLR-4; (C) IFN-γ; (D) TGF-β; and (E) IL-4 were normalized to the expression of β-actin. Each bar is the mean ± SEM of 9 to 10 chickens, and the data were analyzed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by p value differences in between the groups were determined by Mann-Whitney test.

**Abbreviations:** OMPs, outer membrane proteins; F, flagellin; OMPs, outer membrane proteins; F, flagellin; CS NPs, chitosan nanoparticles; OMPs-F-CS NPs, OMPs and F-protein entrapped and surface F-protein coated CS NPs; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; TLRs, toll-like receptors; IFN-γ, interferon gamma; TGF-β, transforming growth factor beta; IL, interleukin; SEM, standard error of the mean; Ch, challenge.
the mucosal epithelial layer. This permits temporary relaxation of tight junction proteins thereby facilitating transport of NPs across the epithelial barrier.63

Protection against Salmonella colonization and shedding is a complex process involving efficient communication between innate and adaptive immune cells.64 In the experiments reported here, birds vaccinated and challenged with a high dose of virulent Salmonella (1x10^3 CFUs more than the infection dose),65 was designed to determine the mechanism(s) of vaccine efficacy in terms of boosting the Salmonella-specific memory T and B cell responses. As expected, OMPs-F-CS NPs induced higher levels of mucosal IgA response in birds compared to soluble antigens vaccination. Importantly, the levels of mucosal IgA responses were greater than CS NPs vaccine delivery system used in other studies.16,18 The OMPs contain the major immunodominant proteins of Salmonella and an adjuvant-based OMPs delivered parenterally in poultry significantly increases antibody responses to Salmonella.18 Around 500 nm size nanovaccine formulation promotes the humoral immune response.47 The β-galactosidase-loaded CS NPs significantly improve the antigen-specific serum antibody titers and splenocyte CD4^+ T cell proliferation in mice.66 Serum antibody titers in mice vaccinated with OVA are significantly increased by CS NPs.67 CS NPs improved the antigen-specific mucosal IgA response in rabbits vaccinated orally.58 Salmonella is both an intracellular and extracellular pathogen and induction of both intestinal IgA and T cell responses are critical for bacterial clearance.69

Our experiments demonstrate that the engineered OMPs-F-CS NPs induces cell-mediated immune response in chickens. Antigen-specific lymphocyte proliferation assay measures cell-mediated immune response against Salmonella in poultry70 and mice.71 Ompa-vaccinated murine splenocytes co-cultured with APCs enhanced T-cell proliferation, Th1 polarization and IFN-γ production.72 Nanosized spherical particles enhance strong Th1 and Th2 immune responses.48 Vaccine antigens encapsulated in CS NPs induce significantly higher lymphocyte proliferation in chickens.80,73 Mice vaccinated with CS NPs containing entrapped OVA significantly increased OVA-induced proliferation of splenocytes.67 Chitosan stimulates maturation of DCs by triggering type I-IFNs and resultant increased T cell responses.74 The TTP-crosslinked CS NPs increase the expression of maturation markers in treated DCs such as MHC-II and costimulatory molecules CD40 and CD86.75 Antigen-loaded CS NPs also enhances the expression of maturation markers (CD40, CD80, CD86 and MHC-II) in vitro in murine DCs.76 Antigen-loaded CS NPs also increases antigen-specific humoral and cell-mediated immune responses in mice.66

The TLRs agonists are potent vaccine adjuvants that act by triggering the production of Th1 and Th2 cytokines, maturation of DCs and inducing antibody and cell-mediated immune responses.22 In this study, OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccination upregulated TLR-4 mRNA expression, indicating the possible pathway of adaptive immune activation. CS NPs activate DCs and upregulate CD80 and CD86 expression through TLR-4 dependent pathway.77 The hydrophobic portion of water-insoluble polymers derived CS NPs is recognized by TLRs on APCs.22 OMPs-F-CS NPs vaccines had significantly upregulated IFN-γ (Th1 cytokine) mRNA expression with higher antigen-specific lymphocyte proliferation in the spleen. Moreover, in our study an increased expression of Th2 cytokines (IL-4 and TGF-β) gene expressions were associated with enhanced antibody titers in the intestine. However, soluble proteins reduced IL-4 gene expression. In mice, OVA-loaded CS NPs vaccination upregulated the levels of Th1 (IL-2 and IFN-γ) and Th2 (IL-10) cytokines mRNA expression.67 Chitosan enhances pinocytosis and IFN-γ mRNA expression in macrophage-origin RAW264.7 cells in vitro.78

In summary, an engineered, biocompatible, biologically stable, mucoadhesive and targeted oral Salmonella subunit candidate vaccine (OMPs-F-CS NPs) was developed for use in poultry. Our study also demonstrated the ability of OMPs-F-CS NPs to activate the intestinal mucosal immune system. Investigations are in progress to identify the ability of OMP-F-CS NPs to reduce Salmonella colonization and shedding in vaccines following dose-dependent challenge infection.

Conclusions

We designed and demonstrated the immune responses to oral CS NPs-based Salmonella subunit vaccine for use in poultry. The candidate vaccine targeted immune cells in the gut elicited Salmonella-specific mucosal IgA, T cell responses and induced the expression of Th1 and Th2 cytokines mRNA expression. This provides evidence that a chitosan-based nanoparticle system is suitable for oral delivery of subunit vaccine antigens to mitigate Salmonellosis and Salmonella shedding in poultry.
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