Interferon-Stimulated Gene (ISG)-Expression Screening Reveals the Specific Antibunyaviral Activity of ISG20
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ABSTRACT Bunyaviruses pose a significant threat to human health, prosperity, and food security. In response to viral infections, interferons (IFNs) upregulate the expression of hundreds of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), whose cumulative action can potently inhibit the replication of bunyaviruses. We used a flow cytometry-based method to screen the ability of ~500 unique ISGs from humans and rhesus macaques to inhibit the replication of Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus (BUNV), the prototype of both the Peribunyaviridae family and the Bunyavirales order. Candidates possessing antibunyaviral activity were further examined using a panel of divergent bunyaviruses. Interestingly, one candidate, ISG20, exhibited potent antibunyaviral activity against most viruses examined from the Peribunyaviridae, Hantaviridae, and Nairoviridae families, whereas phleboviruses (Phenuiviridae) largely escaped inhibition. Similar to the case against other viruses known to be targeted by ISG20, the antibunyaviral activity of ISG20 is dependent upon its functional RNase activity. Through use of an infectious virus-like particle (VLP) assay (based on the BUNV minigenome system), we confirmed that gene expression from all 3 viral segments is strongly inhibited by ISG20. Using in vitro evolution, we generated a substantially ISG20-resistant BUNV and mapped the determinants of ISG20 sensitivity/resistance. Taking all the data together, we report that ISG20 is a broad and potent antibunyaviral factor but that some bunyaviruses are remarkably ISG20 resistant. Thus, ISG20 sensitivity/resistance may influence the pathogenesis of bunyaviruses, many of which are emerging viruses of clinical or veterinary significance.

IMPORCE There are hundreds of bunyaviruses, many of which cause life-threatening acute diseases in humans and livestock. The interferon (IFN) system is a key component of innate immunity, and type I IFNs limit bunyaviral propagation both in vitro and in vivo. Type I IFN signaling results in the upregulation of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), whose concerted action generates an “antiviral state.” Although IFNs are critical in limiting bunyaviral replication and pathogenesis, much is still unknown about which ISGs inhibit bunyaviruses. Using ISG-expression screening, we examined the ability of ~500 unique ISGs to inhibit Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus (BUNV), the prototypical bunyavirus. Using this approach, we identified ISG20, an interferon-stimulated exonuclease, as a potent inhibitor of BUNV. Interestingly, ISG20 possesses highly selective antibunyaviral activity, with multiple bunyaviruses being potently inhibited while some largely escape inhibition. We speculate that the ability of some bunyaviruses to escape ISG20 may influence their pathogenesis.
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There are over 350 known viruses in the *Bunyavirales* order (collectively known as bunyaviruses) that were recently reclassified into nine families: *Feraviridae*, *Fimoviridae*, *Hantaviridae*, *Jonviridae*, *Nairoviridae*, *Peribunyaviridae*, *Phasmaviridae*, *Phenuiviridae*, and *Tospoviridae* (1). Most bunyaviruses are carried and transmitted by arthropods, such as mosquitoes, ticks, sand flies, or thrips, except the hantaviruses (*Hantavirusidae*), which are typically transmitted via infectious aerosols usually originating from rodent excreta (2). Several bunyaviruses can cause severe human diseases, such as La Crosse (LACV) and Oropouche (OROV) orthobunyaviruses (*Peribunyaviridae* family), Hantaan (HTNV) and Sin Nombre orthohantaviruses (*Hantavirusidae* family), severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTSV) and Rift Valley fever (RVFV) phleboviruses (*Phenuiviridae* family), and Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever orthonairovirus (CCHFV) (*Nairoviridae* family) (2). Importantly, bunyaviral emergence and reemergence represent continuous threats to global health and prosperity, and bunyaviruses might have higher zoonotic potential than that of many other viruses (3). Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus (BUNV), the prototype virus of the *Peribunyaviridae* family and the *Bunyavirales* order, remains an important research model for many significant bunyaviral pathogens.

Like most viruses in the *Peribunyaviridae* family, BUNV possesses a tripartite negative-sense RNA genome comprised of large (L), medium (M), and small (S) genome segments. The S segment encodes the nucleocapsid (N) protein and the nonstructural protein NSs in overlapping reading frames. The M segment encodes a viral glycoprotein precursor (in the order Gn-NSm-Gc), and the L segment encodes the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (2). The glycoprotein precursor is proteolytically cleaved into two mature viral membrane glycoproteins (Gn and Gc) and a nonstructural protein (NSm) by the host signal peptidase and signal peptide peptidase (4). Bunyaviruses replicate in the cytosol and assemble and bud at membranes of the Golgi complex (2). During genome replication, each genome segment serves as an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase template for the generation of positive-sense mRNA and antigenomic RNA (cRNA). The cRNA subsequently acts as a template for the generation of nascent genomic RNA (gRNA). Thus, bunyavirus replication involves at least nine distinct RNA species (2).

Following infection, bunyaviruses are sensed by the host. For example, the RNA genomes of orthobunyaviruses and phleboviruses carry uncapped 5′ triphosphate (5′-pppRNA) ends and short double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) structures, which can be sensed by the cytoplasmic RNA helicase, RIG-I (retinoic acid-inducible gene I) (5–7). Pattern recognition frequently results in the secretion of type I interferons (IFNs), which modulate multiple immune processes and place cells in an “antiviral state,” impeding the infection and replication of viruses (8). IFNs are known to inhibit bunyaviruses both in vitro and in vivo (9–16). Indeed, IFNs likely play a key role in constraining bunyavirus replication and pathogenesis, as many short-lived asymptomatic infections can become severely pathogenic when the IFN defenses of the host are compromised (17–22). Moreover, the importance of host IFN responses in combatting bunyaviral infection is underscored by the multitude of strategies that bunyaviruses employ to counteract host IFN responses. The NSs proteins of many bunyaviruses can be major virulence factors and act as potent IFN antagonists (23–25). These divergent NSs proteins utilize multiple strategies to inhibit host IFN responses (25, 26), including suppressing host mRNA transcription (25, 27, 28), blocking pattern recognition (11, 29, 30), or even disrupting type I IFN signaling by sequestering STAT1 and STAT2 into inclusion bodies (31).

Although bunyaviruses are potently inhibited by IFNs in vitro and this inhibition likely helps to define bunyaviral pathogenesis, only a few IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) have been ascribed antibunyaviral activity so far (32–36). In this study, using a flow cytometry-based gain-of-function screening assay, we considered the ability of 488 unique human and macaque ISGs to inhibit the prototypical bunyavirus (BUNV). Here we show that the antiviral exonuclease ISG20 (37) has broad-spectrum antiviral activity against multiple bunyaviruses. Similar to that against known targets of ISG20, the antiviral effect is dependent upon functional exonuclease activity (37–41). Using in vitro
evolution, we selected an ISG20-resistant BUNV and showed that viral resistance maps to multiple genome segments. Importantly, even low levels of endogenous ISG20 expression potently inhibited BUNV, whereas some bunyaviruses effectively escaped inhibition by ISG20. These data suggest that ISG20 may play a key role in the host response to bunyaviral infection and that sensitivity/resistance to this factor might therefore influence bunyaviral pathogenesis.

RESULTS

**BUNV-EGFP completes a single round of infection in MT4 cells.** We previously reported a “multicycle” screen searching for host factors capable of attenuating BUNV replication. Under these conditions, IFITM3 and IRF1 were identified as modest inhibitors of BUNV replication (42). Because BUNV is highly sensitive to inhibition by type I IFN in *vitro*, we hypothesized that additional ISGs with anti-BUNV activity likely exist. We recently expanded our ISG library to include ~350 additional ISGs from rhesus macaques (43), increasing our total library size to 488 unique ISGs (~25% more genes). This encouraged us to search again for interferon-induced effectors that inhibit BUNV. In order to use arrayed ISG-expression screening (42–45) to identify ISGs with anti-BUNV activity, we needed to select a cell line that could easily be transduced with ISG-encoding lentiviral vectors and be susceptible to subsequent infection with BUNV. We previously used human MT4 T cells for this screening approach (43, 44), so we examined whether these cells were susceptible to BUNV infection. We utilized recombinant BUNV-EGFP, in which enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) is fused with the N terminus of a truncated Gc glycoprotein (46), as this reporter virus can easily be used for flow cytometry-based screening assays. Interestingly, although MT4 cells could readily be infected with BUNV-EGFP (Fig. 1A and B), this virus appeared to be unable to spread throughout the culture, and the percentage of infected cells decreased over time (Fig. 1C). The lack of propagation in MT4 cells appeared to be specific to the recombinant GFP-tagged virus, as wild-type (wt) BUNV replicated efficiently (reaching titers of over 10^6 PFU/ml at 48 h postinfection [hpi]), whereas no PFU were produced
with BUNV-EGFP at an equivalent multiplicity of infection (MOI) (Fig. 1D and E). The exact mechanism that prevented BUNV-EGFP propagation in MT4 cells is unknown, but the formation of abundant virus-like GFP puncta (Fig. 1A) suggested that BUNV-EGFP may efficiently express multiple viral genes and complete much of the viral life cycle in these cells. Construction of BUNV-EGFP required deleting 350 residues from the N terminus of Gc to accommodate the GFP moiety. It is therefore possible that the N terminus of Gc is essential for the efficient generation of infectious BUNV progeny in MT4 cells but is dispensable in other contexts (46, 47).

**ISG-expression screening reveals candidate genes with anti-BUNV activity.** The unanticipated single round of infection of BUNV-EGFP in MT4 cells enabled us to conduct a screen searching for ISGs that inhibit the early stages of the BUNV life cycle (after viral gene expression but before the genesis of infectious progeny). Arrayed ISG-expression screening involves exogenously expressing ISGs within target cells that are susceptible to infection by the virus of interest (43–45). Under screening conditions, not all the cells in a well will express the ISG. Viruses can therefore replicate efficiently in the ISG-negative cells, and abundant progeny virus can overwhelm a protective ISG in adjacent cells. Thus, “multicycle” ISG-expression screens can potentially underestimate the protective effect of some ISGs, and limiting the virus to a single round of infection may improve the identification of candidate antiviral factors. Thus, we took advantage of the late defect in BUNV-EGFP replication in MT4 cells to examine the ability of 488 different ISGs to inhibit a single round of BUNV infection (Fig. 2A to D). We considered ISGs from *Homo sapiens* and *Macaca mulatta* (43), as both primate species likely support BUNV infection (48, 49). The protective effect of each ISG was quantified using two-color flow cytometry (Fig. 2C), and the level of infection in the presence of each ISG was plotted as a percentage of the screen average (Fig. 2D).

Multiple ISGs conferred considerable protection from BUNV infection in the initial screen (Fig. 2D). Many of the candidate anti-BUNV ISGs were known restriction factors (such as ISG20, IFITM3, and Mx1). In addition, multiple ISGs (such as IRF1 and IRF7) likely conferred protection by engendering a polygenic antiviral state by stimulating IFN expression or interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE)-driven transcription (43).

To validate the screening results, independent lentiviral vector preparations were used to consider the ability of the 20 most protective human and macaque candidate antiviral ISGs to protect MT4 cells from titrated BUNV-EGFP challenge (Fig. 2E). This confirmed the ability of the exogenously expressed ISGs to confer protection from BUNV infection. Nine human ISGs and 5 macaque ISGs which conferred ≥5-fold protection were selected for further investigation (Fig. 2E; Tables 1 and 2).

**ISG20 has specific antibunyaviral activity.** Many divergent viruses are classed as bunyaviruses (1, 2). To further investigate the antiviral spectra of the selected ISGs against bunyaviruses, we screened the ability of each ISG which conferred ≥5-fold protection from BUNV to inhibit a panel of 15 divergent bunyaviruses (including members of four distinct families). Our panel included viruses that can cause serious clinical infections, such as heartland phlebovirus (HRTV) and SFTSV, as well as agricultural pathogens, such as Schmallenberg orthobunyavirus (SBV). To compare these divergent viruses, we used Vero cells, which support the efficient propagation of many divergent viruses due in part to their defective IFNB1 gene (50). To ensure stable phenotypes, we established Vero cell clones that were transduced with vectors encoding human EHD4, HK2, IFITM3, IRF1, ISG20, NOS2A, or SCO2 in addition to clones modified to express macaque ISG20, LGALS9, or RHOB. Single-cell clones were selected that had BUNV-inhibitory profiles similar to those of the parental “bulk” population from which they were derived. We were unable to establish stable cell lines expressing IDO1, BCL3, or PFKFB3. The broad-spectrum antiviral activity of IDO1, through tryptophan depletion, is well documented (43), and persistent tryptophan depletion likely inhibited the generation of single-cell clones. We reasoned that the ability of BCL3 and PFKFB3 to perturb normal Vero cell physiology (preventing the generation of stable cell lines) made it less likely that these ISGs possessed direct anti-BUNV activity and more...
likely that the global cellular effect of overexpressing these genes reduced BUNV infectivity. Thus, we did not consider IDO1, BCL3, or PFKFB3 further. We confirmed the expression of eight ISGs by Western blotting (Fig. 3A). However, we were unable to establish Western blotting conditions that detected NOS2A or RHOB. We also assessed the transduction and clonality of each cell line by monitoring red fluorescent protein (RFP) expression from the lentiviral vector (Fig. 3B). We then conducted a miniscreen of the 10 remaining ISGs (7 human and 3 macaque ISGs) and assessed the virus yields for our panel of bunyaviruses in the presence of each ISG (Fig. 3C). Because one clone of each ISG was investigated, we used this miniscreen approach to select candidates for subsequent examination, and the miniscreen does not constitute a precise survey of ISGs that inhibit representative bunyaviruses. Moreover, NOS2A caused little inhibition of any of our panel of viruses. Without further investigation, it is impossible to discern whether NOS2A was poorly expressed or has limited antibunyaviral activity. Nonetheless, some patterns emerged, and the inhibitory effects of each ISG on our panel of bunyaviruses are summarized in the heat map in Fig. 3D.

As observed in previous studies, exogenous IRF1 expression initiated a gene expression program (43) that led to the inhibition of most bunyaviruses. IFITM3, a
TABLE 1 Results of confirmatory assays for H. sapiens ISGs

| Rank | Gene symbol | Product name | Fold inhibition (ISGs/empty SCRPSY) |
|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1    | IRF1        | Interferon regulatory factor 1 | 13.94188652 |
| 2    | IFITM3      | Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 | 10.81898208 |
| 3    | ISG20       | Interferon-stimulated exonuclease gene, 20 kDa | 10.51226219 |
| 4    | EHD4        | EH domain-containing protein 4 | 8.337769295 |
| 5    | NOS2A       | Nitric oxide synthase 2a | 8.14211405 |
| 6    | INDO/IDO    | Indoleamine-pyrorle 2,3-dioxygenase | 7.431693179 |
| 7    | SCO2        | SCO cytochrome oxidase-deficient homolog 2 | 6.682186081 |
| 8    | HK2         | Hexokinase 2 | 6.60085655 |
| 9    | BCL3        | B-cell CLL/lymphoma 3 | 5.560601225 |
| 10   | IRF7        | Interferon regulatory factor 7 | 4.996490044 |
| 11   | CSORF39     | Annexin A2 receptor | 4.532151199 |
| 12   | NUP50       | Nucleoparin, 50 kDa | 4.502720506 |
| 13   | OAS2        | 2′,5′-Oligoadenylate synthetase 2 | 3.857183543 |
| 14   | TLK2        | Tousled-like kinase 2 | 3.560273013 |
| 15   | PXK         | PX domain-containing serine/threonine kinase-like protein | 3.333094361 |
| 16   | MX1         | Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1 | 3.019964468 |
| 17   | IFIT5       | Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 5 | 2.697749553 |
| 18   | LGALS9      | Galectin 9, beta-galactoside-binding protein | 2.222739332 |
| 19   | CCNA1       | Cyclin A1 | 2.017553608 |
| 20   | TNFRSF10A   | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 10a | 1.181220011 |

TABLE 2 Results of confirmatory assays for M. mulatta ISGs

| Rank | Gene symbol | Product name | Fold inhibition (ISGs/empty SCRPSY) |
|------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1    | IFNβ1       | Beta interferon 1 | 25.68764253 |
| 2    | ISG20       | Interferon-stimulated exonuclease gene, 20 kDa | 16.51376275 |
| 3    | INDO/IDO    | Indoleamine-pyrorle 2,3-dioxygenase | 11.89015349 |
| 4    | LGALS9      | Galectin 9, beta-galactoside-binding protein | 6.99430233 |
| 5    | PFKFB3      | 6-Phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-biphosphatase 3 | 5.758834317 |
| 6    | RHOB        | Ras homolog family member B | 5.19504758 |
| 7    | BCL3        | B-cell CLL/lymphoma 3 | 4.754072894 |
| 8    | TLK2        | Tousled-like kinase 2 | 4.709891813 |
| 9    | ARHGEF3     | Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) 3, isotype | 4.61104388 |
| 10   | IRF7        | Interferon regulatory factor 7 | 4.174521863 |
| 11   | CCNA1       | Cyclin A1 | 3.389544757 |
| 12   | IFIT1       | Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 | 3.301078848 |
| 13   | HK2         | Hexokinase 2 | 3.060345699 |
| 14   | PXK         | PX domain-containing serine/threonine kinase-like protein | 2.655499432 |
| 15   | IRF1iso3    | Interferon regulatory factor 1, isotype | 2.770775682 |
| 16   | IFIT1m      | Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 | 2.64956607 |
| 17   | IFIT2       | Interferon-induced transmembrane protein 2 | 2.495300874 |
| 18   | IFIT5       | Interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 5 | 1.868064022 |
| 19   | ZNF385B     | Zinc finger protein 385B | 1.802174105 |
| 20   | TNFRSF10A   | Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, member 10a | 1.363085906 |
FIG 3 Antibunyaviral activities of candidate ISGs against a panel of divergent bunyaviruses. (A) ISG expression in 10 Vero-ISG-expressing cell lines in addition to “empty vector” and naive Vero cell controls was assessed using Western blotting (assays were not established for NOS2A and RHOB).

(Continued on next page)
In contrast to the other ISGs, human and macaque ISG20 potently inhibited the majority of our panel of bunyaviruses (Fig. 3E), in some cases reducing the virus yield >1,000-fold (PUUV and KRIV). Intriguingly, while most bunyaviruses were susceptible to ISG20, Anopheles A orthobunyavirus (ANAV), Capim orthobunyavirus (CAPV), and the three tested phleboviruses (Phenuiviridae family) were largely resistant. ISG20 is an important antiviral exonuclease (37) that has maintained robust interferon stimulation for hundreds of millions of years (54) and has possibly played a key antiviral role throughout this time, with antiviral activity against multiple viruses being documented (37–41). Hitherto, ISG20 has not been ascribed antibunyaviral activity, and the observation that the potent antiviral activity is evaded by multiple viruses suggests that ISG20 directly inhibits specific bunyaviruses (as opposed to inducing global cellular effects). We therefore focused our attention on this ISG.

Exonuclease activity underpins ISG20-mediated antibunyaviral activity. Multiple viruses have previously been shown to be sensitive to ISG20, with the majority of inhibition being dependent on ISG20’s 3’-to-5’ exonuclease activity (37–41). A single substitution (D94G), part of the DEDDh motif in ISG20’s active site (55), can abrogate exonuclease activity and ablate the inhibition of a number of viruses (37–41, 56). To investigate whether exonuclease activity is essential for anti-BUNV activity, we generated cell lines that expressed catalytically inactive forms of human and macaque ISG20 (D94G mutants). While the expression of human and macaque orthologs both reduced the infectious yield of BUNV (by ~100-fold and ~10-fold, respectively) and substantially reduced the area of individual BUNV plaques, the catalytically defective mutants had no detectable antiviral activity even though they were expressed at similar levels (Fig. 4B to E).

Our screen of a panel of bunyaviruses indicated that human ISG20 might generally possess more potent antibunyaviral activity than that of the macaque ortholog (Fig. 3 and 4A to E), even though the sequences are 98% identical (at the amino acid level), differing at just 4 amino acid positions (Fig. 4A). Intriguingly, macaque ISG20 also appeared to be more active against CAPV (Fig. 3C), suggesting that ISG20 orthologs might possess divergent antibunyaviral specificities. As Western blot analysis revealed greater expression levels of ISG20 in our human clone (Fig. 3A and 4E), we examined “bulk populations” of Vero cells transduced in parallel with human and macaque ISG20 and found that these bulk populations appeared to express equivalent levels of the ISG20 proteins (Fig. 4F). Importantly, in this context, human and macaque ISG20 inhibited BUNV to similar degrees (Fig. 4G). Crucially, the highly specific antibunyaviral activity of ISG20 was also evident in these bulk populations, as parallel infections with single-cycle RVFV (strain 35/74) (57) were completely unaffected by ISG20 (Fig. 4G). We therefore speculate that any observed species-specific variation in ISG20 activity (Fig. 3C) is perhaps due to different ISG20 expression levels and clonal variation. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that primate ISG20 orthologs may possess divergent antibunyaviral specificities.

Gene expression from all BUNV genome segments is inhibited by ISG20. BUNV possesses a tripartite (L, M, and S segments), single-stranded, negative-sense RNA genome. To investigate whether ISG20 targets a specific genome segment or all three segments, we assessed the inhibitory effect of ISG20 on virus-like particle (VLP)-based reporter gene expression. In this assay, infectious VLPs (iVLPs) were utilized that contained a single BUNV minigenome derived from just one of the three segments. The iVLPs were assembled in BSR77/5 cells by supplying all the essential viral proteins (L

FIG 3 Legend (Continued)
(B) For the ISGs in panel A, lentiviral vector-encoded TagRFP expression was monitored by flow cytometry. (C) Infectious virus yields from 10 Vero-ISG-expressing cell lines. Cells were infected with 15 wild-type bunyaviruses representing four families. Supernatants were collected, and virus yields were determined on Vero or BHK-21 cells. The MOIs and time points of supernatant harvest were as follows: for BUNV, CVV, KRIV, SBV, DUGV, RVFV, and SFTSV, MOI of 0.001 and 72 hpi; for OROV, MOI of 0.001 and 48 hpi; for ANAV, BMNV, BORV, CAPV, and TCMV, MOI of 0.01 and 48 hpi; for PUUV, MOI of 0.1 and 48 hpi; and for HRTV, MOI of 0.01 and 72 hpi. EMPTY, Vero cells transduced with empty SCRPSY lentiviral stocks. (D) Heat map analysis (generated by GraphPad Prism 7) of the effects of 10 ISGs on the yields of 15 bunyaviruses. (E) Summary of human ISG20’s antiviral effects on 15 bunyaviruses.
A single point mutation in the active site (ISG20D94G) abolishes the antibunyaviral activity of ISG20. (A) Schematic representation of the three exonuclease active motifs (Exo I, II, and III) of ISG20 (shown in gray boxes). The conserved amino acid residues (from the DEDDh family) are highlighted in green. The Asp94 residue (shown in green) of wild-type ISG20 was replaced with Gly to generate the catalytically inactive mutant ISG20D94G. The four amino acid differences between the human (H.s) and macaque (M.m) ISG20 proteins are highlighted with yellow boxes and red letters. (B) Plaque phenotypes of wt BUNV on Vero-EMPTY and Vero-ISG20/ISG20D94G cells. (C and D) Quantification of plaque areas (C) and virus titers (D) of BUNV on Vero-EMPTY and Vero-ISG20/ISG20D94G cells. (E) Western blot showing ISG20/ISG20D94G protein expression. Cell lysates were probed with an anti-ISG20 polyclonal antibody. Tubulin served as a sample loading control. (F) Same as panel E, but using bulk populations of Vero cells expressing human and macaque ISG20. (G) Titration curves for the bulk populations from panel F infected with BUNV-EGFP (46) or single-cycle RVFV (57). Means and standard deviations (SD) for triplicate titrations are shown.

We next considered which RNA species might be targeted by ISG20, as multiple RNA forms derived from each genome segment are required for the bunyavirus life cycle. Thus, viral genomic RNA (gRNA), antigenic RNA (cRNA), and mRNA species could all
Gene expression from all BUNV genome segments is inhibited by ISG20. (A)Serially diluted single-segment VLPs were used to infect Vero-EMPTY, Vero-ISG20, and Vero-ISG20D94G cells. At 24 hpi, cells were lysed for testing of Renilla luciferase activity (mean ± SD; n = 3), and luciferase activity at the indicated VLP inoculum (in microliters) is shown. (B) Antiviral effects of interferon on single-segment VLPs. Unmodified naive Vero cells were treated or mock treated with 1,000 U/ml universal interferon for 24 h. The cells were subsequently infected with 200 μl of serially diluted VLPs. After 24 h, cells were lysed for testing of Renilla luciferase activity (mean ± SD; n = 3). (C) RT-qPCR strategies for detection of BUNV S-segment RNAs. Strand-specific tagged reverse transcription primers were used to generate cDNAs for S-segment genomic RNA (gRNA), antigenomic RNA (cRNA), and mRNA, which were subsequently analyzed by qPCR. The mRNA qPCR primers amplify all positive-sense viral RNAs. Using cRNA-specific qPCR primers, mRNA was quantified by subtracting the cRNA abundance from the abundance of all positive-sense viral RNAs. Arrows show qPCR primers, red bars show the TaqMan probe sequence, yellow balls show the 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) fluorescent dye, and black balls show Black Hole Quencher 1. (D) Validation of primer specificity. gRNA, cRNA, and mRNA (in vitro-transcribed standards) (5 × 10^6 (Continued on next page)
conceivably be targets of ISG20. We were not aware of existing bunyaviral strand-specific quantitative PCR (qPCR) assays, so based on published norovirus and influenza A virus strategies (58, 59), we developed a tagged TaqMan quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) assay that efficiently detects the desired S-segment RNA species but poorly amplifies undesired/nonspecific targets. The exception was mRNA, whose entire sequence is also present in the cRNA species, except for the “cap-snatched,” host cell-derived 5’ end (Fig. 5C). In this instance, we estimated the mRNA copy number by subtracting the cRNA copy number from the value obtained from the mRNA assay (which detects all positive-sense viral RNAs). Validation of the assay specificity is shown in Fig. 5D. Since a single cycle of BUNV infection in Vero cells is approximately 6 h (46), we examined the S segment at 0, 2, 4, and 6 h postinfection. Using this approach, all three S-segment RNAs appeared to be diminished substantially (~10-fold) by ISG20 following infection of Vero cells (Fig. 5E). However, we were unable to discern whether this reduction was due to the S-segment RNAs being degraded by ISG20 or was an indirect consequence of ISG20 inhibiting some other facet(s) of the BUNV life cycle.

Mapping the viral determinants of ISG20 antiviral activity. In vitro evolution/serial passaging has often been employed to study the antiviral mechanisms of many antiviral factors and frequently reveals the sensitivity determinants that represent a molecular interface between host and pathogen (60–64). To investigate whether BUNV can access sequence space that confers resistance to ISG20, we passaged BUNV in Vero cells expressing human ISG20 (or modified using empty vector). We periodically monitored the ability of the passaged swarm to plaque/replicate in the presence of ISG20. Following 20 passages, partial ISG20 resistance was observed, and after 40 passages in the presence of ISG20, we were able to isolate a viral swarm that replicated far more efficiently in the presence of ISG20, forming larger plaques and yielding more infectious particles (Fig. 6A to C). This P40 virus replicated substantially faster than the parental virus in the presence of ISG20, yielding ~100-fold more virus at 48 hpi (Fig. 6C). Crucially, the P40 virus was weakly attenuated on naive Vero cells, suggesting that the ISG20 resistance was not merely due to adaptation to Vero cells during the passage series (Fig. 6C).

To investigate the viral determinants that rendered BUNV resistant to ISG20, we sequenced the L, M, and S segments of the P20 and P40 swarms by using direct sequencing of RT-PCR products. The sequences of the termini were also simultaneously determined using 3’ rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE). Sequence analysis revealed mutations in all three segments at P20 and P40, some of which were transient polymorphisms. By P20, apart from a reversion to the GenBank sequence (A3568G; M segment), only a single mutation was selected to near uniformity in the presence of ISG20 (Table 3). This S-segment mutation (A330C) encodes changes in the N (N82T) and NSs proteins (T76P) and is possibly the major determinant of the ISG20 resistance observed at P20. Sequencing of the P40 swarm revealed multiple mutations in all genome segments that had been selected to near uniformity (Table 3). Importantly, none of these mutations were found in the P40 virus passaged on Vero-EMPTY cells (Table 4).

To assess the significance of these mutations, we generated reassortant viruses that contained parental and P40 mutant genome segments [the “*” symbol denotes the mutant segment(s) used] by using reverse genetics. Importantly, a virus rescued with all three P40 genome segments (designated rBUN-L*M*S*) recapitulated the phenotype of the P40 swarm and replicated more efficiently than the wt virus in the presence of ISG20 (Fig. 6D). In accordance with the observation that ISG20 inhibits gene expression

**FIG 5** Legend (Continued) copies) were reverse transcribed using gRNA, cRNA, and mRNA RT primers, respectively. cDNA was then quantified using strand-specific qPCR primers. (E) Vero-EMPTY or Vero-ISG20/ISG20D94G cells were infected with wt BUNV at an MOI of 10. At 0, 2, 4, and 6 hpi, cells were lysed with TRIzol and total cellular RNA was extracted for RT-qPCR analysis of S-segment RNAs (data are means ± SD; n = 3).
FIG 6 Generation of ISG20-resistant BUNV and demonstration that endogenous ISG20 possesses antibunyaviral activity. (A and B) Plaque phenotypes (on Vero and Vero-ISG20 cells) (A) and fold inhibition (B) of the parental virus and the P20 and P40 ISG20-resistant viruses by ISG20 (fold reductions in PFU per milliliter for comparisons of naive and Vero-ISG20 cells). (C) Comparison of growth curves for the parental virus and the P40 virus on Vero-ISG20 and naive Vero cells. Cells were infected at an MOI of 0.01 (data are means ± SD; n = 3). (D) Infectious yields (36 h after infection at an MOI of 0.01) of wild-type (wt) BUNV and reassortant mutants (mutant segments are denoted by “’”) from Vero-ISG20 or Vero-D94G cells (data are means and ranges; n = 2). (E) Biological IFN assays to assess the ability of supernatants from HeLa cells infected with the indicated viruses to inhibit the replication of EMCV in A549-Npro cells. (F) Western blot analysis of basal and inducible expression in naive HeLa cells, HeLa-shGFP cells, and HeLa-shISG20 cells. Basal expression or universal interferon (500 U/ml)-induced ISG20 expression was stably detected in naive HeLa cells and HeLa-shGFP cells, whereas both basal and inducible ISG20 expression was undetectable in HeLa-shISG20 cells. (G) Growth curves for parental BUNV, P40 Vero-EMPTY-passaged BUNV, and P40 ISG20-resistant BUNV on HeLa cells (MOI = 0.01) (data are means ± SD; n = 3). (H) Growth curves for parental BUNV and P40 ISG20-resistant BUNV on HeLa-shGFP (control) and HeLa-shISG20 cells.
The following differences were observed in the P0 virus relative to the sequence in GenBank: for the L segment (accession number NC_001925.1), T5501C (silent) mutation and 6,809-nucleotide C insertion in the 5' UTR; for the M segment (accession number NC_001926.1), G415A (R120K), A417G (K121E), G1423A (R456K), A1941G (S629G), C2638T (P861L), G2829A (E925K), T3359C (silent), G3568A (S1171N), A4008G (R1381G), and G4317A (A1421T); and for the S segment (accession number NC_001927.1), not available.

Polymorphism.

Reversion to the GenBank sequence.
by removing a single ISG (because multiple inhibitory effectors might still be fully active). Thus, we searched for cell lines that expressed readily detectable ISG20 without the need for prior stimulation. HeLa cells are known to express ISG20 in the absence of IFN treatment (37, 38) (Fig. 6F). Accordingly, the ISG20-resistant swarm grew far more efficiently than the parental virus in unmodified HeLa cells, with the P40 virus yielding ~100-fold more virus at 24 h postinfection (Fig. 6G). However, the control P40 virus (Vero-EMPTY) did not exhibit any growth advantage on unmodified HeLa cells (Fig. 6G, green line). Crucially, this difference between the P40 ISG20-resistant virus and the parental virus was largely due to endogenous ISG20, as short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown of ISG20 increased the replication of the parental virus so that it replicated with kinetics similar to those of the P40 virus (Fig. 6H). Thus, even low levels of endogenous ISG20 can cause substantial inhibition of BUNV, suggesting that IFN-induced upregulation of ISG20 (Fig. 6F) likely represents a formidable block to the replication of BUNV and other sensitive bunyaviruses.

**DISCUSSION**

Interferons rapidly engender an “antiviral state” in host cells through the induction and expression of hundreds of ISGs, many of which have antiviral functions. It is well documented that interferons can suppress the replication of divergent bunyaviruses both in vitro and in vivo (9–15). In this study, we screened 488 ISGs and identified multiple ISGs that inhibited the prototypical bunyavirus (BUNV). We revealed that ISG20 exhibits potent and highly specific antibunyaviral activity. ISG20 is robustly upregulated in response to interferons, and the ISG20 promoter contains ISRE and GAS elements that respond to type I, type II, and type III interferons (38, 65). Multiple studies have highlighted the importance of ISG20 as an antiviral effector that targets multiple viruses (37–41), and several bunyaviruses can be added to the growing list of ISG20 targets. Thus, ISG20-mediated inhibition may constrain bunyaviral replication as part of an interferon response, and this targeted activity of ISG20 may limit the pathogenesis of specific bunyaviruses.

We previously screened the ability of over 350 human ISGs to inhibit BUNV in Huh7 cells and did not identify any candidates that inhibited BUNV >2-fold (42). Nonetheless, the most inhibitory ISGs (IFITM3 and IRF1) were reidentified in this study. Crucially, ISG20 has been reported to lack specific antiviral activity in Huh7 cells (41), potentially explaining why ISG20 was not ascribed antibunyaviral activity in previous screens. Moreover, the unanticipated single round of BUNV-EGFP infection in MT4 cells likely increased the fidelity of the primary screen, facilitating the identification of multiple candidate effectors in this study.

A few ISGs previously reported to inhibit BUNV (PKR, IFI44, and Viperin) (32) were not reidentified in our primary screen. Notably, we were unable to achieve efficient transduction with PKR-encoding SCRPSY vectors (43), possibly explaining the lack of antiviral activity in this primary screen. Akin to ISG20 in Huh7 cells, multiple ISGs possess cell-type-dependent activity. Accordingly, parallel screens with different cell lines can identify different antiviral candidates (43). Thus, the anti-BUNV activity of IFI44 and Viperin may be context dependent or might simply block the virus life cycle after efficient GFP expression is achieved (further work would be necessary to explore either possibility).

The antiviral activity of ISG20 appeared to rely on its 3’-to-5’ exoribonuclease function, as a single amino acid substitution within the active site (D94G) abrogated antiviral activity. However, it is possible that disrupting this coordinating residue grossly alters the structure of ISG20, placing a slight caveat on this interpretation. In contrast to RNase L, an interferon-stimulated RNase that indiscriminately cleaves single-stranded RNA following UU and UA motifs, ISG20 is able to discriminate between self RNAs (rRNAs [38, 39] and β-actin mRNA [40]) and nonself (viral) RNAs. Similarly, we observed that Vero cells expressing exogenous ISG20 appeared to be healthy (38) and that plasmid-based expression was equally efficient in Vero-ISG20 and control cell lines (our unpublished observations). Nonetheless, it seems likely that host RNAs do not com-
pletely escape ISG20 because, in accordance with previous observations (56), Vero cells modified to express ISG20 proliferated more slowly than unmodified cells (unpublished observations). Importantly, the fact that all the phleboviruses we tested (RVFV strains MP12 and 35/74, SFTSV, and, to a lesser extent, HRTV) and two orthobunyaviruses (CAPV and, to a lesser extent, ANAV) largely escaped ISG20-mediated inhibition underscores the high level of target specificity exhibited by ISG20. Whether these resistant viruses actively antagonize ISG20 function (by deploying viral countermeasures) or evade inhibition through minimizing sequence/structural motifs targeted by ISG20 is worthy of future investigation. We currently have no information on whether bunyaviral protein or RNA is predominantly involved, and the precise viral sensitivity/resistance determinants that underlie ISG20’s selective antibunyaviral activity are completely unknown (and may influence the pathogenicity of bunyaviruses).

Interestingly, although ISG20 is highly specific, all three luciferase-encoding minigenomes (reminiscent of each BUNV genome segment) were targeted by ISG20. The VLP system we used can complete only a single round of infection yet was potently inhibited by ISG20. Thus, ISG20 may represent a rapid and effective block to the bunyavirus life cycle. Crucially, viral replication and transcription in the VLP-infected cells were carried out by ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) packaged into the VLPs, in which only one minigenome species (representing a specific genome segment) was present. Therefore, efficient reporter gene expression was not dependent upon gene expression from other genome segments, and under these conditions, ISG20 could not mediate indirect inhibition by targeting another genome segment. Thus, it is likely that following VLP infection, ISG20 directly targeted the L, M, and S minigenome segments. One possible caveat is that the minigenome segments are considerably shorter than the wt segments and therefore might not fully recapitulate the ISG20 sensitivity/resistance of the complete segments. Moreover, internal RNA structures can govern sensitivity/resistance to ISG20 inhibition (38), and we cannot rule out the possibility that the luciferase ORF constitutes a particularly attractive target for ISG20.

Because BUNV genome replication and gene expression are tightly coupled, it is unclear whether minigenome gRNA, cRNA, mRNA, or a combination of these species is targeted by ISG20. Using qRT-PCR, we further examined the S-segment RNA in the context of replication-competent unmodified BUNV. The quantification of three S-segment RNAs (gRNA, cRNA, and mRNA) indicated that the abundances of all three were decreased by ISG20. Considering the VLP data and these qPCR data together, it seems likely that S-segment RNAs are directly targeted by ISG20. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the observed reduction in S-segment RNAs was an indirect consequence of reduced viral polymerase activity caused by ISG20 inhibiting another aspect of the BUNV life cycle.

Development of an ISG20-resistant BUNV through in vitro evolution revealed multiple viral determinants of ISG20 antiviral sensitivity/resistance. The mutations required for ISG20 resistance did not map to a single genome segment, and instead, changes were observed in all three segments. Although individual mutant segments could increase virus growth in the face of ISG20, no single mutant segment could recapitulate the considerable resistance observed using all three mutant segments. This polygenic resistance profile considered with the sensitivity of all three minigenomes to ISG20-mediated inhibition suggests that multiple BUNV RNA segments are likely targets of ISG20. We speculate that if all genome segments are targeted by ISG20, then mutations of each segment may plausibly make these viral RNAs less attractive substrates for ISG20. Notably, the only M-segment mutation selected in the presence of ISG20 was in a noncoding region (A4388G), excluding reversion to the GenBank sequence. This observation suggests that BUNV RNA sequence or structural elements might define sensitivity/resistance to ISG20. However, we cannot exclude a role for viral proteins in conferring resistance to ISG20. Notably, the S’ segment conferred the most substantial resistance to ISG20, and by P20, a substitution in the N protein (N82T) had been selected to near uniformity. Because the N protein forms a complex with RNAs from all segments, it is possible that the N protein influences ISG20 sensitivity. However, in the
only instance where we assessed protein function (derived from the ISG20-resistant virus), the mutant N5s protein appeared to be less potent than the parental protein as an interferon antagonist. Although this is not evidence for RNA-based ISG20 resistance, it is concordant with this possibility. Put simply, the mechanistic basis for how the P40 virus (or any bunyavirus) resists ISG20 is unknown. Moreover, while progress has been made (38, 56), the molecular features that define RNAs as sensitive or resistant to ISG20-mediated inhibition (underlying self-nonself discrimination by ISG20) have yet to be elucidated fully.

In summary, we have revealed that ISG20 inhibits specific bunyaviruses and have demonstrated that endogenous ISG20 can potently inhibit BUNV replication. Our study reinforces the notion that ISG screening is a powerful method for identifying antiviral effectors. Moreover, the molecular basis of how interferons constrain the replication of an important group of viral pathogens and how some viruses escape this inhibition may have impacts on the pathogenesis of bunyaviruses of clinical significance, many of which cause life-threatening acute febrile illnesses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and viruses. MT4 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 9% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 100 μg/ml gentamicin. BHK-21 cells and BSR-T7/5 cells (modified to stably express T7 RNA polymerase) (66) were grown in Glasgow minimum essential medium (GMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 9% newborn bovine serum (NBS) and FBS, respectively, in addition to 10% tryptose phosphate broth. BSR-T7/5 cell medium was additionally supplemented with 1 mg/ml bacitracin (Calbiochem). Vero-E6 (referred to as Vero cells throughout), HeLa, HEK 293T, and A549-Npro cells (expressing the bovine viral diarrhea virus Npro protein) (67) were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 9% FBS. HEK 293T cell medium was also supplemented with 100 μg/ml gentamicin.

Fifteen bunyaviruses were used in the study, including BUNV, Cache Valley virus (CVV), Kairi virus (KRIV), Anopheles A virus (ANAV), Tacaimia virus (TCMV), Boraceia virus (BORV), Capim virus (CAPV), Oropouche virus (OROV), Schmallenberg virus (SBV), Batama virus (BMAV), Puumala virus (PUUV), RFV (MP12), SFTSV, and heartland virus (HRTV). rBUN-Gc-EGFP (called BUNV-EGFP here) is a recombinant BUNV in which truncated Gc is tagged with EGFP (29). In summary, we have revealed that ISG20 inhibits specific bunyaviruses and have demonstrated that endogenous ISG20 can potently inhibit BUNV replication. Our study reinforces the notion that ISG screening is a powerful method for identifying antiviral effectors. Moreover, the molecular basis of how interferons constrain the replication of an important group of viral pathogens and how some viruses escape this inhibition may have impacts on the pathogenesis of bunyaviruses of clinical significance, many of which cause life-threatening acute febrile illnesses.

Plasmids. The SCRPSY lentiviral vector (GenBank accession number KT368137) and the human and macaque ISG libraries have been described previously (43–45). An shRNA-encoding lentiviral vector targeting ISG20 was generated by PCR, using the human U6 promoter as a template and the following oligonucleotides: 5′-CTCCTGAAATCCAGCAGAAGGGCAGAAAGAGGGCCTA-3′ and 5′-TCTCTGGAATCTACAAAAGTGTCTCGTACGACAAGAAGTCTGCTTTCAAGAGATATAGAATAC-3′. The PCR product was inserted into CSPW by use of EcoRI (68). The GFP shRNA has been described previously (68). Plasmids pTM1BUNL, pTM1BUNN, and pTM1BUNM, encoding BUNV proteins L, M, and N, respectively, were constructed previously (68). Full-length cDNA sequences of the L, M, and S segments were subcloned into plasmid pT7VTR (0.0) (70) to generate three rescue plasmids: pT7VTR BUNL(+), pT7VTR BUNM(+), and pT7VTR BUNN(−).

FACS-based screening. The fluorescence-activated cell sorter (FACS)-based screening assay was performed in a fashion similar to that for previously described screens (43–45). Briefly, two lentiviral vector-encoded ISG libraries, consisting of 488 unique ISGs, including 394 human ISGs and 344 macaque ISGs (43), were used to transduce MT4 cells. Each ISG was expressed from the completely spliced “early” HIV-1 mRNA, while TagRFP (a surrogate marker for transduction) was expressed from an unspliced late HIV-1 mRNA (43). This vector format was previously validated, ensuring that RFP-expressing cells were also ISG positive (using EGFP inserted in place of the ISG) (unpublished observations).

Target MT4 cells were transduced with lentiviral vectors encoding the human and macaque ISGs and incubated for 48 h (to allow ISG expression) prior to challenge with BUNV-EGFP at an input equivalent to a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 (Fig. 1C, 1D), determined using Vero cells. This dose resulted in an average of ~35% infection. At 48 hpi, cells were collected and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. The percentage of transduced cells that were infected with BUNV-EGFP was determined by flow cytometry using a Guava EasyCyte flow cytometer (Millipore).

Lentiviral vector production and transduction assays. Lentiviral stocks were generated in 293T cells by cotransfection of the SCRAMPSY lentiviral plasmid, a plasmid expressing HIV-1 NL4-3 gag-pol (pNLGP), and a plasmid expressing the vesicular stomatitis virus glycoprotein (pSVV-G) at a ratio of 250 ng:25 ng:5 ng in a 96-well plate (for ISG library production) or 5 μg:5 μg:1 μg in a 10-cm dish (for confirmatory assays). The SCRAMPSY lentiviral plasmid carries a puromycin resistance gene in a single ORF together with TagRFP, separated by a foot-and-mouth disease virus (FDMV) 2A “ribosomal skipping” peptide (PAc2ATagRFP; GenBank accession number ANN89731.1) that facilitated puromycin selection of transduced cells. Supernatants from 10-cm dishes were collected at 48, 72, and 96 h posttransfection, clarified by filtration through a 0.22-μm filter, and stored at −80°C. For transduction assays, MT4 or Vero
cells were seeded in either 96-well (40,000 cells/well) or 24-well (120,000 cells/well) plates. Vero cells were transduced with lentiviral vectors by spinoculation at 1,600 rpm for 1 h. For confirmatory experiments, new lentiviral stocks were generated for selected ISGs, and the inhibitory effect was measured through triplicate titrated challenges fixed at 48 hpi (BUNV-EGFP) or 24 hpi (RVFV-EGFP) (57). The ISG-expressing Vero cells were selected and maintained in medium containing 10 μg/ml puromycin.

**Virus infection and titration.** For assays of infectious yields, cells were seeded in either 12-well or 6-well plates and infected using MOIs of 0.1 to 0.001 (see the figure legends for specific values). The infected cell culture supernatants were harvested after incubation for 48 or 72 h. Virus titers were determined by plaque assay. Briefly, cell monolayers were infected with serially diluted virus, incubated for 1 h at 37°C, and then covered with a 0.6% Avicel (FMC Biopolymer)-minimum essential medium (MEM) overlay medium supplemented with 2% NBS or FBS. After incubation for 3 to 11 days, depending on the respective virus, cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde–phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stained with 0.5% (wt/vol) methyl violet to visualize the plaques. Virus titers were calculated and presented as numbers of PFU per milliliter. For PUUV only, the foci were visualized using an immunofocus assay as described previously (4).

**Infectious VLP assays.** VLP assays were performed as described previously (71), with minor modifications. In brief, BSRT7/5 cells grown in 6-well plates were transfected with plasmids pTM1-BUNVN (0.2 μg), pTM1-BUNL (0.3 μg), and pTM1-BUNM (0.2 μg) and a BUNV-derived minigenome plasmid (0.4 μg) (pT7riboBUNLRen−, pT7riboBUNMRen−, or pT7riboBUNSRen−) by use of TransIT-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus). At 24 h posttransfection, supernatants were harvested and clarified at 4,000 rpm for 3 min. Each VLP-containing supernatant was serially diluted and used to infect Vero-ISG cells seeded in 96-well plates. Renilla luciferase activity was measured after a further 24 h of incubation by using a dual-luciferase assay kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western blotting.** Cells lysates were prepared by the addition of SDS sample buffer (20% glycerol, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 6.8], 4% SDS, 200 mM diethiothreitol [DTT], 0.2% bromophenol blue). Proteins were then separated in NuPage 4 to 12% Bis-Tris gradient polyacrylamide gels (Nu-PAGE; Life Technologies) at room temperature for 2 h. After washing with PBS-Tween 20, the membrane was subsequently probed with fluorescent secondary antibodies, i.e., IRDye 680RD-labeled goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Scientific) and IRDye 800CW-labeled goat anti-mouse antibody (LI-Cor Biosciences). The blots were scanned using a quantitative Li-Cor Odyssey scanner. The blot shown in Fig. 3A, was described previously (4), using antibodies raised against EHD4 (ab153892), SCO2 (ab169042), and LGALS9 (ab153673) from Abcam in addition to antibodies against HK (ab153992), NOS2A (ab153992), IFTM3 (ab100768), IRF1 (Sc-135952), NOS2A (Hs sc-7271), and RHOB (sc-8048) from Santa Cruz. We were unable to establish a Western blot assay for NOS2A or RHOB.

**Virus in vitro evolution.** Vero-ISG20 cells in T25 flasks were initially infected with the parental BUNV strain at an MOI of 0.01. After 48 h, the supernatant (passage 1) was used to infect a fresh batch of Vero-ISG20 cells. Subsequent passages were to passage 40, using an MOI of ~0.01. A parallel passage series was completed using Vero-EMPTY cells (transduced with an “empty” SCRPSY vector) as the substrate, also for 40 passages to monitor adaptation to transduced Vero cells. The supernatants were collected and stored at −80°C, and virus titers were determined by plaque assay on Vero cells.

**Virus genome sequencing and 3'-RACE.** To determine the genomic sequences of the parental BUNV and ISG20-resistant BUNV strains, Vero and Vero-ISG20 cells were infected with parental BUNV and ISG20-resistant BUNV, respectively, at an MOI of 0.1. Cells were lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen) at 24 hpi, and total cellular RNA was extracted in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The viral RNA was subsequently amplified by RT-PCR, using GoScript reverse transcriptase (Promega) and Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs), and the sequence was determined by direct sequencing of the PCR products (Eurofins Genomics).

The sequences of the termini of the viral segments were determined by 3'-RACE. Extracted RNA was polyadenylated using a poly(A) tailing kit (Ambion) to generate 3'-poly(A)-tailed genomic and antigenomic RNAs. cDNA was prepared using oligo(dT) vector as the substrate, also for 40 passages to monitor adaptation to transduced Vero cells. The supernatants were collected and stored at −80°C, and virus titers were determined by plaque assay on Vero cells.

**Virus genome sequencing and 3’-RACE.** To determine the genomic sequences of the parental BUNV and ISG20-resistant BUNV strains, Vero and Vero-ISG20 cells were infected with parental BUNV and ISG20-resistant BUNV, respectively, at an MOI of 0.1. Cells were lysed in TRIzol (Invitrogen) at 24 hpi, and total cellular RNA was extracted in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The viral RNA was subsequently amplified by RT-PCR, using GoScript reverse transcriptase (Promega) and Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England BioLabs), and the sequence was determined by direct sequencing of the PCR products (Eurofins Genomics).

The sequences of the termini of the viral segments were determined by 3’-RACE. Extracted RNA was polyadenylated using a poly(A) tailing kit (Ambion) to generate 3'-poly(A)-tailed genomic and antigenomic RNAs. cDNA was prepared using oligo(dT) vector as the substrate, also for 40 passages to monitor adaptation to transduced Vero cells. The supernatants were collected and stored at −80°C, and virus titers were determined by plaque assay on Vero cells.

**BUNV S-segment qRT-PCR.** Vero-EMPTY, Vero-ISG20, and Vero-ISG20D94G cells were infected with BUNV at an MOI of 10. At 0, 2, 4, and 6 hpi, cells were lysed in TRIzol and total cellular RNA was extracted as described above. Following quantification using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific), RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNAs by use of strand-specific tagged RT primers and a SuperScript III first-strand synthesis system. RNA standards generated from in vitro-transcribed viral genomic RNA (gRNA), antigenic RNA (cRNA), and mRNA were used as quantification standards. Quantitative PCR was performed using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast QPCR master mix from Agilent Technologies and a Stratagene Mx3005P platform from Agilent Technologies. Each qPCR was performed with a 20-μl reaction volume (6.2 μl distilled water [dH2O], 10 μl 2× master mix, 0.5 μl forward oligonucleotide [10 μM], 0.5 μl reverse oligonucleotide [10 μM], 0.5 μl probe [5 μM], 0.3 μl ROX [1:500 dilution], and 2 μl cDNA template). The qPCR cycling parameters were 95°C for 3 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s and 60°C for 22 s. Each reaction was performed in triplicate. Details of the RT-qPCR primers are listed in Table 5.
**Table 5** Primers used for BUNV S-segment qRT-PCRs

| Reaction    | Target and primer or probe | Oligonucleotide sequence (5′ → 3′) | Position on RNA segment |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| RT          | gRNA                       | GGCCGCTAGG67GCGGAACTGGTATTTGGGAAATCGCTGCTA | 911–938               |
|             | cRNA                       | GCTAGTTCACTAGGACAAATTTAGTGTACCTAGGCCC | 903–924               |
|             | mRNA                       | CCAAGATCGTTCGAGTGTCTATCCCTTCCTGCTTACATTTGATTC | 774–796               |
| qPCR        | gRNA forward primer        | CGACATCATGAAATTCCAA               | 849–867               |
|             | gRNA reverse primer        | GGCCGCTAGG67GCGGAACTGGTATTTGGAATC  | 816–906               |
|             | cRNA forward primer        | ACACCAAACTACGTCGGCTGTA            | 856–875               |
|             | cRNA reverse primer        | FAM- TTAGCCCGCTGGTCTTCTGTCCTCC-BHQ1 | 887–910               |
|             | mRNA forward primer        | CAGTTGTCTCTAGGTTAGGTG             | 702–724               |
|             | mRNA reverse primer        | FAM- TACCCTGGACAGCTGACTAACATT-BHQ1 | 734–756               |
|             | mRNA reverse primer        | CCAGATCGTTCGAGTGTCTATCCCTTCCTGCTTACATTTGATTC | 774–796               |

*Based on the S-segment sequence under GenBank accession number NC_001927.1. Italic sequences show the nonviral tags.

**Virus rescue using reverse genetics.** Virus rescues were performed as described previously (72), with minor modifications. In brief, subconfluent BSRT7/5 cells were transfected with a mixture of plasmids comprising 1.0 µg each of either wild-type or mutant (specified in the relevant figure legends) pTVT7 BUNL (+) and pTVT7 BUNM (-) and 9 µl of TransIT-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus Bio LLC) in 0.7 ml of Opti-MEM medium (Life Technologies). After 4 h of transfection, 4 ml of growth medium was added, and incubation was continued for 4 days or until cytopathic effect (CPE) was evident. Supernatant was harvested and stored at −80°C.

**Biological interferon assay.** The biological assay for IFN production was performed as described previously (73). Briefly, “IFN-competent” HeLa cells were infected with different BUNV mutants (MOI = 3) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The supernatant was clarified by a brief centrifugation and inactivated by UV irradiation. The UV-inactivated supernatant was 2-fold serially diluted and used to treat “IFN-incompetent” A549-Npro cells (expressing the bovine viral diarrhea virus NPro protein). Cells were infected with encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) at an MOI of 0.01, fixed with 8% formaldehyde, and stained with a methyl violet staining solution (5% [wt/vol]).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

J.F. dedicates this paper to the memory of his late supervisor Richard M. Elliott, who passed away on 5 June 2015 and will forever be missed as a friend and mentor.

We thank Jeroen Kortekaas for sharing the nonspreading RVFV system as well as Alain Kohl, Sophie Jegouic, Idoia Busnadiego, Ingeborg van Knippenberg, Ping Li, Angela Elliott, and Edward Dornan for their helpful discussions, technical advice, and support.

This study was funded by Wellcome Trust grant 099220/Z/12/Z (to R.M.E.), NIH NIAID grant R01-AI091707 (to C.M.R.), and Medical Research Council (MRC) grants MR/K024752/1 and MC_UU_12014/10 (to S.J.W.). J.F. was supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) and the University of Glasgow.

**REFERENCES**

1. Adams MJ, Lefkowitz EJ, King AMQ, Harrach B, Harrison RL, Knowles NJ, Kropinski AM, Kruppovic M, Kuhn JH, Mushegian AR, Nibert M, Sabanadzovic S, Sanfacon H, Siddell SG, Simmonds P, Varman A, Zerbini FM, Gorbalenya AE, Davison AJ. 2017. Changes to taxonomy and the International Code of Virus Classification and Nomenclature ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (2017). Arch Virol 162:2505–2538. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-017-3358-5.

2. Elliott R, Schmaljohn C. 2013. Bunyaviridae, p 1244–1282. In Knipe DM, Howley PM, Cohen JI, Griffin DE, Lamb RA, Martin MA, Racaniello VR, Roizman B (ed), Fields virology, 6th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.

3. Olival KJ, Hosseini PR, Zambrana-Torrelio C, Ross N, Bogich TL, Daszak P. 2013. Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. Nature 546:646–650. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22975.

4. Shi X, Botting CH, Li P, Niglas M, Brennan B, Shirani SL, Szemiel AM, Elliott RM. 2016. Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus glycoprotein precursor is processed by cellular signal peptidase and signal peptide peptidase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:8825–8830. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1603364113.

5. Schlee M, Roth A, Hornung V, Hagmann CA, Wimmenauer V, Barchet W, Coch C, Janke M, Mihaliovic A, Wardle G, Juranek S, Kato H, Kawai T, Poech H, Fitzgerald KA, Takeuchi O, Akira S, Tsuschl T, Latz E, Ludwig J, Hartmann G. 2009. Recognition of 5′ triphosphate by RIG-I helicase requires short blunt double-stranded RNA as contained in panhandle of negative-strand virus. Immunity 31:25–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.05.008.

6. Schmidt A, Schwerd T, Hamm W, Hellmuth JC, Cui S, Wenzel M, Hoffmann FS, Michallet MC, Besch R, Hopfner KP, Endres S, Rothenfusser S. 2009. 5′-Triphosphate RNA requires base-paired structures to activate antiviral signaling via RIG-I. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:12067–12072. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900971106.

7. Weber M, Weber F. 2014. RIG-I-like receptors and negative-strand RNA viruses: RLRly bird catches some worms. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 25:621–628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.05.004.

8. Schneider WM, Chevillotte MD, Rice CM. 2014. Interferon-stimulated
genes: a complex web of host defenses. Annu Rev Immunol 32:513–545. 
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120311.

9. Morrill JC, Jennings GB, Cosgriff TM, Gibbs PH, Peters CJ. 1989. Prevention of Rift Valley fever in rhesus monkeys with interferon- 
alpha. Rev Infect Dis 11(Suppl 4):S815–S825. https://doi.org/10.1093/ 
clinids/11.Supplement_4.5851.

10. Andersson I, Lundkvist A, Haller O, Mirazimi A. 2006. Type I interferon 
inhibits Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in human target cells. J 
Med Virol 78:216–222. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.20530.

11. Habjan M, Pichlmair A, Elliott RM, Overby AK, Glatter T, Gstaiger M, 
Tesh RB, Yu XJ. 2014. The pathogen 
yavirus nonstructural protein NSs inhibits the induction of type I interferon by interacting with RIG-I. J Virol 
78:6660–6667. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01219-14.

12. Livonesi MC, de Sousa RL, Badra SJ, Figueiredo LT. 2007. In vitro and in 
intracellular degradation of the double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase. J Virol 83:4365–4375. https://doi.org/10. 
1128/JVI.02148-08.

13. Wernike K, Breithaupt A, Keller M, Hoffmann B, Beer M, Eschbaumer M, 
Scott D, Robertson S, Ebihara H, Feldmann H. 2007. In vitro and in 
mouth macrophages. Virology 206:8–15. https://doi.org/10.1006/ 
viro.2004.6229:80014-X.

14. Zivcec M, Safronetz D, Ebihara H, Feldmann H. 2007. In vitro and in 
adult type I interferon receptor-knockout mice. J Gen Virol 91: 1473–1477. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.019034-0.

15. Bente DA, Alimonti JB, Shieh WJ, Camus G, Stroher U, Zaki S, Jones SM, 
yavirus nonstructural protein NSs is a nonessential gene product that 
T cells. Hum Gene Ther 10:1649–1658. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 
ppat.1000287.

16. Schuh T, Schultz J, Moelling K, Pavlovic J. 1999. DNA-based vaccine 
ye. Rev Infect Dis 11(Suppl 4):S815–S825. https://doi.org/10.1093/ 
clinids/11.Supplement_4.5851.

17. Tamura M, Asada H, Kondo K, Takahashi M, Yamanishi K. 1987. Effects of 
interferon-alpha/beta and cell differentiation on Puumala virus 
replication of HBV in vitro and in vivo. Oncotarget 7:68179–68193. 
https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11907.

18. Leong CR, Funami K, Oshiumi H, Mengao D, Takaki H, Matsumoto M, Aly 
and the induction of alpha/beta interferon in human monocyte/ 
2004. TFIIH transcription factor, a target for the Rift Valley hemorrhagic fever 
Bunyamwera bunyavirus nonstructural protein NSs counteracts the induction of alpha/beta interferon. J Virol 76: 7949–7955. 
https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.76.16.7949-7955.2002.

20. Elliott RM, Weber F, Block TM, Guo JT, Moghaddam A, Guo H, Guo 
H. 2017. Interferon-inducible ribonuclease ISG20 inhibits hepatitis B virus 
replication through direct degradation of viral RNA. Virology 542:1006296. 
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.06.017.

21. Liu Y, Nie H, Mao R, Mitra B, Cai D, Yan R, Guo JT, Block TM, Nechti A, Guo 
H. 2017. Interferon-inducible ribonuclease ISG20 inhibits hepatitis B virus 
replication through direct degradation of viral RNA. Virology 542:1006296. 
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2017.06.017.
Wilson SJ, Crotto S, Yu Y, Flatley B, Xiao JW, Casanova JL, Wack A, Bieniasz PD, Rice CM. 2015. A serpin shape the extracellular environment to prevent influenza A virus maturation. Cell 160:631–643. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.040.

46. Shi X, van Mierlo JT, French A, Elliott RM. 2010. Visualizing the replication cycle of Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus expressing fluorescent protein-tagged Gc glycoprotein. J Virol 84:8460–8469. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00902-10.

47. Shi X, van Mierlo JT, French A, Elliott RM. 2010. Visualizing the replication cycle of Bunyamwera orthobunyavirus expressing fluorescent protein-tagged Gc glycoprotein. J Gen Virol 90: 2483–2492. https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.013540-0.

48. Kokernot RH, Smithburn KC, De Meillon B, Paterson HE. 1958. Isolation of Bunyamwera virus from a naturally infected human being and further isolations from Aedes (Banksinella) circumluteolus theo. Am J Trop Med Hyg 7:579–584. https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.1958.7.579.

49. Schwartz A, Allen WP. 1970. Experimental infection of monkeys with Bunyamwera and Germsin viruses. Infect Immun 2:762–766.

50. Emeny JM, Morgan MJ. 1979. Regulation of the interferon system: evidence that Vero cells have a genetic defect in interferon production. J Gen Virol 43:247–252. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-43-1-247.

51. Diamond MS, Farzan M. 2013. The broad-spectrum antiviral functions of the mammalian innate immune system revealed by multispecie comparison of type I interferon responses. PLoS Biol 15:e2004086. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal pbio.1006104.

52. Petryszak R, Keays M, Tang YA, Fonseca NA, Barrera E, Burdett T, Full- grabe A, Fuentes AM, Jupp S, Koskinen S, Mannion O, Huerta L, Megy K, Snow C, Williams E, Barzine M, Hastings E, Weissier H, Wright J, Jaiswal P, Huber W, Choudhary J, Parkinson HE, Brazma A. 2016. Expression Atlas update—an integrated database of gene and protein expression in humans, animals and plants. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D746–D752. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1045.

53. Shaw AE, Hughes J, Gu Q, Behdenna A, Singer JB, Dennis T, Orton RJ, Varela M, Gifford RJ, Wilson SJ, Palmarini M. 2017. Fundamental properties of the mammalian innate immune system revealed by multispecie comparison of type I interferon responses. PLoS Biol 15:e2004086. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1006104.

54. Horio T, Murali M, Inoue T, Hamasaki T, Tanaka T, Ogih T. 2004. Crystal structure of human ISG20, an interferon-induced antiviral ribonuclease. FEBS Lett 577:111–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.09.074.

55. Nguyen LH, Espert L, Metchi N, Wilson DM, Illi. 2001. The human interferon- and estrogen- regulated ISG20/HEM45 gene product degrades single-stranded RNA and DNA in vitro. Biochemistry 40:7174–7179. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi010141t.

56. Kortekaas J, Oreshkova N, Cobos-Jimez V, Vloet RP, Arnaud F, Baize S, Dreux M, Gerlier D, Paranhos-Baccala G, Volchkov V, Rungard P, Cimarelli A. 2017. Interference with the production of infectious viral particles and bimodal inhibition of replication are broadly conserved antiviral properties of IFITMs. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006367. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006367.

57. Gongora C, Degols G, Espert L, Hua TD, Metchi N. 2000. A unique ISRE, in the TATA-less human ISG20 promoter, confers IRF-1-mediated responsiveness to both interferon type I and type II. Nucleic Acids Res 28:2333–2341. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.12.2333.

58. Borcholz UJ, Finke S, Conzelmann KK. 1999. Generation of bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) from cDNA: BRSV NS2 is not essential for virus replication in tissue culture, and the human RSV leader region acts as a functional BRSV genome promoter. J Virol 73:251–259.

59. Hilton L, Moganaraj K, Zhang G, Chen YH, Randall RE, McCaulley JW, Goodburn S. 2006. The NPr product of bovine viral diarrhea virus inhibits DNA binding by interferon regulatory factor 3 and targets it for proteasomal degradation. J Virol 80:11723–11732. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01145-06.

60. Wilson SJ, Taso EH, Webb BL, Ye H, Dalton-Griffin L, Tsantoulas C, Gale CV, Du MQ, Whitehouse A, Kellam P. 2007. X box binding protein XBPs-1 antagonizes Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) ORF50 promoter, linking plasma cell differentiation to KSHV reactivation from latency. J Virol 81:13578–13586. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01163-07.

61. Weber F, Dunn EF, Bridgen A, Elliott RM. 2001. The Bunyamwera virus nonstructural protein NS5 inhibits viral RNA synthesis in a minireplicon system. Virology 281:67–74. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.2000.0774.

62. Johnson KN, Zeddam JL, Ball LA. 2000. Characterization and construction of functional cDNA clones of Pariacoto virus, the first alphanodavirus isolated outside Australasia. J Virol 74:5123–5132. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.11.5123-5132.2000.

63. Shi X, Kahl A, Leonard VH, Li P, McLees A, Elliott RM. 2006. Requirement for virus assembly and morphogenesis. J Virol 80:8089–8099. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00579-06.

64. Lowen AC, Noonan C, McLees A, Elliott RM. 2004. Efficient bunyavirus rescue from cloned cDNA. Virology 330:493–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2004.10.009.

65. Mohamed M, McLees A, Elliott RM. 2009. Viruses in the Anopheles A, Anopheles B, and Tete serogroups in the Orthobunyavirus genus (family Bunyaviridae) do not encode an Ns protein. J Virol 83:7612–7618. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02808-08.