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Similarity requirements for three dimensional combined forced and free convective laminar boundary layer flows over the porous inclined vertical curvilinear surfaces with buoyancy effects and heat absorption/generation effects are investigated theoretically. The potential flow in the mainstream and Gabriel lame coefficients outside of the boundary layer are the function of \((\xi, \eta)\). Hence, the external velocity components \((U_e, V_e)\) and Gabriel lame coefficients \((h_1, h_2, h_3)\) are independent of \(\zeta\). Here, \(h_3(\xi, \eta) = 1\) has been set such that \(\zeta\) represents actual distance measured normal to the surface. Similarity requirements for an incompressible fluid are sought on the basis of detailed analyses in order to reduce the governing partial differential equations into a set of ordinary differential equations. Finally, different possible cases are exhibited in a tabular form with the inclusion of \(\Delta T\) variations for onward flow study that are helpful to the future researchers for the flow over the orthogonal curvilinear surfaces.

1. Introduction

When a viscous fluid flows over a heated (or cooled) vertical orthogonal curved surface in inclined position, then velocity and thermal boundary layers are formed over the surface. The similarity equations exist only for a very special type of the component of main stream flow \((U_e(\xi, \eta), V_e(\xi, \eta), 0)\) and temperature difference \(\Delta T(\xi, \eta)\) between the wall and ambient fluid. Howarth [1] investigated the equations of boundary layer flow in the vicinity of a separation point on a general three-dimensional case. He showed that the equations were reducible to a pair of simultaneous ordinary differential equations. Devy and Schofield [2] studied the same case and presented numerical results in a tabular form. Hansen [3, 4] presented a table showing the nature of the variations in the mainstream components for which the governing equations were reducible to a set of ordinary differential equations. Restrictions on main flow velocity components and coordinate system which leads to similarity solutions were given in a tabular form. Wang [5] discussed general methods for calculating three-dimensional laminar boundary layers over inclined blunt bodies (not necessarily bodies of revolution). He used the traditional integral method and presented complete incompressible boundary layer results for a prolate spheroid at 30° incidence. Cheng [6] investigated the combined free and forced convection boundary layer flow along inclined surfaces embedded in porous media. It was found that similarity solutions exit when both the wall temperature distribution of the plate outside of the boundary layer vary according to some power function of distance. Langston et al. [7] studied the detailed measurements of the subsonic flow in a large scale; plane turbine cascade was made to evaluate the three-dimensional nature of the flow field. Raju et al. [8] considered the boundary layer flow over a semi-infinite vertical and horizontal flat plate, heated to a constant temperature in a uniform free stream. They discussed when the buoyancy forces either aid or oppos the development of the boundary layer. Different mixed convection parameters were introduced in the formation of the respective problems involving horizontal and vertical surfaces such that smooth transition from one convection limit to the other was possible; the governing equations for the purely forced and free convection cases were, respectively, recovered from the zero values of Grashof and Reynolds...
numbers. A quite good number of theoretical studies of such a flow under free convection are found in literature. Merkin and Mahmood [9] introduced the logarithmic terms in their perturbation technique, the leading order solution being of $O((\log)^{-1})$. A general classification is made by the three-dimensional boundary layer flow for both compressible and incompressible fluids by Chong and Perry [10]. Chen and Chang [11] presented an analysis to predict the heat transfer rate between two natural convection systems at different temperature separated by a vertical plate. They opined that their predicted results matched well with the reliable experimental data. The effects of temperature difference in order to predict the frictional resistance and heat transfer rate by introducing the components of the fluid velocity generated by buoyancy effects in the direction parallel to the edges of the surface were discussed by Zakerullah and Maleque [12]. In their another paper [13], they also investigated the nature of free stream velocities and temperature difference variations between the ambient fluid and the three-dimensional rectangular surfaces theoretically and numerically. Numerical solution of MHD three-dimensional free convection and mass transfer flow with Hall current, viscous dissipation, and Joule heating was discussed by Sattar and Maleque [14]. The effects of magnetic field and heat absorption on three-dimensional transient convective boundary layer flow were studied by Maleque and Sattar [15]. They also studied the combined convective boundary layer flow due to a rotating disk with a Hall effect [16]. Steady three-dimensional forced convective compressible fluid flow with variable properties and Hall current was discussed by Maleque and Sattar [17, 18]. Effects of combined temperature-and depth-dependent viscosity and Hall current on unsteady fully three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic boundary layer flow were studied by Maleque [19]. Receptivity of localized surface roughness and free-stream vorticity in three-dimensional boundary layer flow was considered by Schrader et al. [20]. Maleque [21] investigated the effect of thermal diffusion on MHD convective heat and mass transfer flow due to a rotating disk. Steady hydrodynamic flow of a power-law non-Newtonian fluid from a rotating disk to a saturated non-Darcian porous medium was studied by Beg et al. [22]. Nadeem et al. [23] studied three-dimensional Casson fluid flow past a porous linearly stretching sheet. In their another paper [24], they also investigated the MHD effect with the fluid and convective condition along the three-dimensional surface. The three-dimensional boundary layer flow and heat transfer of a Cu-water on a permeable shrinking flat surface were studied by Mohd Noor and Roslinda [25]. MHD three-dimensional incompressible boundary layer flow that is generated by a bidirectional stretching surface was recently investigated by Hayat et al. [26]. Maleque [27, 28] investigated the effect of a uniform electric field and Hall current on unsteady MHD three-dimensional non-Newtonian Casson fluid flow due to a porous rotating disk. Recently, Hayat et al. [29] studied the effect of chemical reaction on three-dimensional combined convective boundary layer flow of Williamson nanofluid. More recently, Rashid et al. [30] considered three-dimensional nanomaterial flow of Maxwell material over a stretchable moving rotating frame that was generated by a linear stretched sheet.

The present paper concerned with the systematic analyses in reducing the governing partial differential equations for a combined convective laminar incompressible flow over the inclined orthogonal curvilinear surfaces into a set of ordinary differential equations. Finally, a tabular form of similarity requirements is made for $\Delta T$, $h_1$, $h_2$, $U_F$, and $V_F$ variations. Two parameters $U_F^2/U_F^2$ and $V_F^2/V_F^2$ are introduced to show the dominancy of buoyancy effect over the forced flow effect, where $(U_F(\xi, \eta), V_F(\xi, \eta), 0)$ are the local fluid velocity components generated by buoyancy effect only and $(U_e(\xi, \eta), V_e(\xi, \eta), 0)$ are the components of the main stream velocity.

**2. Geometry of the Problem and the Governing Equations**

An orthogonal curvilinear heated surface inclined in its own position is held vertically in the free-stream velocity $(U_e(\xi, \eta), V_e(\xi, \eta), 0)$ perpendicular to $\xi$ axis shown in Figure 1.

\[
\vec{g}(-g_1(\xi, \eta), -g_0(\xi, \eta), 0)
\]

is the acceleration vector of the fluid particle due to buoyancy effect of the fluid along $\xi$ and $\eta$ increasing owing to heated surface. With the introduction of Prandtl boundary layer concept, the equations governing the flow field in general orthogonal curvilinear coordinates are

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (h_2 u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (h_1 v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (h_1 h_2 w) = 0, \] (1)

\[ \rho \left( \frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{uv}{h_1 h_2} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \eta} - \frac{v^2}{h_1 h_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi} \right) = \frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi} + \rho g_1 + \frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2}. \] (2)
\[
\rho \left( \frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} + \frac{w}{h_2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} + \frac{uv}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi} - \frac{u^2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \eta} \right) \\
= -\frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} + \rho g_\xi + \mu \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \xi^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial \xi} = 0,
\]

\[
\rho \left( \frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} + \frac{w}{h_2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \xi} \right) = \frac{\mu}{h_1} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{Q_0}{C_p} (T - T_e).
\]

Here, \( h_1(\xi, \eta) = 1 \) has been set such that \( \xi \) represents actual distance measured normal to the surface. The body force terms are retained in equations (2) and (3) in addition to the equations used by Davy and Schofield [2]. The potential flow in the mainstream outside of the boundary layer is the function of \((\xi, \eta)\). Hence, the external velocity components \( U_e \) and \( V_e \) independent of \( \zeta \), \((u, v, w)\) are the components of fluid velocity, and \( T \) is the temperature in the boundary layer. \( \mu \) and \( \rho \) are the viscosity and the density of the fluid, respectively, \( P_r \) is the Prandtl number, \( C_p \) is the specific heat with constant pressure, and \( Q_0 \) is the heat generation \( (>0) \) or absorption \( (<0) \) coefficient.

The appropriate boundary conditions for the velocities and temperature fields are
\[
\begin{align*}
&u = 0, \quad v = 0, \quad w = w_0(\xi, \eta), \quad T = T_w(\xi, \eta), \quad \text{at} \; \zeta = 0, \\
&u \longrightarrow U_e(\xi, \eta), \quad v \longrightarrow V_e(\xi, \eta), \quad T \longrightarrow T_e \; \text{as} \; \zeta \longrightarrow \infty. \\
\end{align*}
\]

\( w_0 \) is considered here to be the surface suction \((w_0 > 0)\) or injection \((w_0 < 0)\) velocity for the developable surface. \( T_w \) and \( T_e \) are the wall temperature and wall temperature, respectively.

To eliminate pressure terms in equations (2) and (3), the conditions outside the boundary layer are imposed. External conditions \( u \longrightarrow U_e, \quad v \longrightarrow V_e, \quad \rho \longrightarrow \rho_e, \quad T \longrightarrow T_e \; (=\text{constant}), \quad \partial \xi \longrightarrow 0, \quad \) and the negligible pressure variation yield the following equations.
\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_e \left( \frac{U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial U_e}{\partial \xi} + \frac{V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial U_e}{\partial \eta} + \frac{U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \xi} - \frac{V_e^2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \eta} \right) &= \frac{1}{h_1} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta}, \\
\rho_e \left( \frac{U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \xi} + \frac{V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \eta} + \frac{U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial \eta} - \frac{U_e^2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \eta} \right) &= \frac{1}{h_2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta}, \\
\rho_e \left( \frac{U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \xi} + \frac{V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \eta} \right) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

\( T_e = T_0 \; (=\text{constant}) \) is also a solution of equation (9).

In view of equations (7) to (9), \( T = T_0 = (T_w - T_0) \theta (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \) and \( T_w - T_0 = \Delta T \), the boundary layer and energy equations with the elimination of pressure terms are
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (h_2 u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (h_1 v) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (h_1 h_2 w) &= 0, \\
\frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} + \frac{w}{h_2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi} + \frac{uv}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \xi} - \frac{u^2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial \eta} &= -g_\xi \beta_\xi \Delta T \theta + v \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{U_e \partial U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_1} + \frac{V_e \partial V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial h_1}{h_2}, \\
\frac{U_e \partial V_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_1} - \frac{V_e^2 \partial h_2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_2} &= \frac{U_e \partial V_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1}{h_1} + \frac{V_e \partial V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_2}, \\
\frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} + \frac{w}{h_2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \xi} &= -g_\xi \beta_\xi \Delta T \theta + v \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{U_e \partial U_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_1} + \frac{V_e \partial V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_2}, \\
\frac{U_e \partial V_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_1} - \frac{V_e^2 \partial h_2}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_2} &= \frac{U_e \partial V_e}{h_1} \frac{\partial h_1}{h_1} + \frac{V_e \partial V_e}{h_2} \frac{\partial h_2}{h_2}, \\
\frac{u}{h_1} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \eta} + \frac{w}{h_2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} &= \frac{u}{h_1} \left[ (\ln \Delta T)_{\xi} + \frac{v}{h_2} (\ln \Delta T)_{\eta} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \frac{u}{h_1} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ \frac{v}{h_2} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[ \frac{w}{h_2} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ \frac{w}{h_2} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Considering \( \rho = \rho(P, T) \), For Boussinesq fluid approximations, \( \rho - \rho_e = -\rho \beta_\xi \Delta T \theta \) is substituted in the above equations where \( \beta_\xi = (-1/\rho) (\partial \rho/\partial T)_p \) is the coefficient of thermal expansion.

### 3. Similarity Transformations

Equations (10)–(13) are nonlinear, simultaneous partial differential equations, and the solutions of these equations are extremely difficult to obtain. Hence, our aim is to reduce equations (11)–(13) into ordinary differential equations with the help of equation (10). Let the set of variables \((\xi, \eta, \zeta)\) be changed to a new set of variables \((X, Y, \tilde{\zeta})\) where relations between two sets of variables are
\[
\begin{align*}
X &= \xi, \\
Y &= \eta, \\
\tilde{\zeta} &= \gamma(X, Y).
\end{align*}
\]

\( \gamma(X, Y) \) is considered here to be proportional to the square root of the local boundary layer thickness.

Let two stream functions \( \psi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \) and \( \chi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \) be defined as the mass flow components within the boundary layer for the case of incompressible flow. The following substitutions may be chosen.
\[ \psi_c = h_2 u, \]
\[ x_c = h_1 v, \]
\[ -\left( \psi + x_n \right) = h_1 h_2 \omega, \]

(15)

to satisfy the equation of continuity (10).

Guided by the idea of similarity, it can be allowed to write

\[ \int_0^\varphi \frac{u}{U(X, Y)}\, d\varphi = F(X, Y, \varphi), \]
\[ \int_0^\varphi \frac{v}{V(X, Y)}\, d\varphi = S(X, Y, \varphi), \]

(16)

In attempting separation of variables of \( F(X, Y, \varphi), S(X, Y, \varphi), \) and \( \theta(X, Y, \varphi) \), let it be assumed that

\[ F(X, Y, \varphi) = L(X, Y) \bar{F}(\varphi), \]
\[ S(X, Y, \varphi) = M(X, Y) \bar{S}(\varphi), \]
\[ \theta(X, Y, \varphi) = N(X, Y) \bar{\theta}(\varphi), \]

(17)

where \( \bar{F}, \bar{S}, \) and \( \bar{\theta} \) are the functions of the single variable \( \varphi \).

Then, it can be found that

\[ u = U \bar{F} \varphi, \]
\[ v = V \bar{S} \varphi, \]
\[ w = -\frac{1}{h_1 h_2} \left[ (h_2 y U L)_x \bar{F} - \bar{F} y h_2 U L \bar{F} \right] \]
\[ + (h_1 y V M)_x \bar{S} - \bar{S} y h_1 V M \bar{S}, \]
\[ + h_1 h_2 w_0(X, Y, 0) \],

\[ \psi(X, Y, \varphi) = h_2 y U L \bar{F}(\varphi) + \psi(X, Y, 0), \]
\[ \chi(X, Y, \varphi) = h_1 y U L S(\varphi) + \chi(X, Y, 0), \]

(18)

where \( w_0(X, Y, 0) = -(1/h_1 h_2) [\psi_X(X, Y, 0) + \chi_Y(X, Y, 0)] \).

When the surface is porous, \( w_0(X, Y, 0) \) represents the suction \( (w_0 > 0) \) or injection \( (w_0 < 0) \) velocity normal to the surface, since \( U, V \) are independent of \( \zeta \), then \( (U_x) = (V_x) = 0 \).

Equations (18), (19), (20), and (21) take the following forms:

\[ u \rightarrow \] momentum equation:

\[ v \rightarrow \] momentum equation:

\[ \bar{F} \ddot{\bar{F}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_0 + a_1 - a_2 \right) \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_3 + a_4 - a_5 \right) \bar{S} \bar{S}, \]
\[ - a_6 \bar{F} \bar{F} + a_7 \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \right) + (a_8 + a_9) \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \bar{S} \right), \]
\[ a_{10} \left( 1 - \bar{S}^2 \bar{F} \right) + a_{11} \bar{F} \bar{S} = 0, \]

(23)

\[ \bar{S} \ddot{\bar{S}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_0 + a_1 - a_2 \right) \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_3 + a_4 - a_5 \right) \bar{S} \bar{S}, \]
\[ - a_6 \bar{F} \bar{F} + a_7 \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \right) + (a_8 + a_9) \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \bar{S} \right), \]
\[ a_{10} \left( 1 - \bar{S}^2 \bar{F} \right) + a_{11} \bar{F} \bar{S} = 0, \]

(24)

\[ \text{Energy equation:} \]

\[ \bar{F} \ddot{\bar{F}} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_0 + a_1 - a_2 \right) \bar{F} \bar{F} + \frac{1}{2} \left( a_3 + a_4 - a_5 \right) \bar{S} \bar{S}, \]
\[ - a_6 \bar{F} \bar{F} + a_7 \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \right) + (a_8 + a_9) \left( 1 - \bar{F} \bar{F} \bar{S} \right), \]
\[ a_{10} \left( 1 - \bar{S}^2 \bar{F} \right) + a_{11} \bar{F} \bar{S} = 0, \]

(25)
where \(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{19}\) are the contractions in X and Y given by

\[
\begin{align*}
(i)\ a_0 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 U_c}{h_1}\right)_X, \\
(ii)\ a_1 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 (h_2 U_c)}{h_1 h_2}\right)_X, \\
(iii)\ a_2 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 (h_2 U_c)}{h_1 h_2}\right)_Y, \\
(iv)\ a_3 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V_c}{h_2}\right)_Y, \\
(v)\ a_4 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 (h_1 V_c)}{h_1 h_2}\right)_Y, \\
(vi)\ a_5 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 (h_1 V_c)}{h_1 h_2}\right)_Y, \\
(vii)\ a_6 & = -\gamma w_0, \\
(viii)\ a_7 & = \frac{\gamma^2 U c}{h_1}, \\
(ix)\ a_8 & = \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V_c U e}{h_2 U_c}\right), \\
(x)\ a_9 & = \frac{\gamma^2 V_c h_1 Y}{h_1 h_2}, \\
(xi)\ a_10 & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V_c h_2 X}{h_1 h_2 U_c}\right), \\
(xii)\ a_{11} & = -\frac{\gamma^2 U e}{U_c} g_3 \beta_f \Delta T, \\
(xiii)\ a_{12} & = \gamma \frac{V c Y}{h_2}, \\
(xiv)\ a_{13} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 U c V e}{h_1 V c}\right), \\
(xv)\ a_{14} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V c h_2 X}{h_1 V c}\right), \\
(xvi)\ a_{15} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V c h_1 Y}{h_1 V c}\right), \\
(xvii)\ a_{16} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V c}{V c}\right) g_3 \beta_f \Delta T, \\
(xviii)\ a_{17} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 U c}{h_1}\right) (\ln \Delta T)_X, \\
(xix)\ a_{18} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 V c}{h_2}\right) (\ln \Delta T)_Y, \\
(xx)\ a_{19} & = \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma^2 Q_0}{\rho C_p}\right). \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma^2 U_c &= a_0 X + A(Y), \\
\gamma^2 V_c &= a_1 Y + B(X),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(A(Y)\) is the function of \(Y\) alone and \(B(X)\) is the function of \(X\) alone. Using the equations in (26), \(A(Y)\) and \(B(X)\) are determined by the following pair of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
dA &= \frac{h_2 U_c}{h_1 V_c} (a_3 - a_4 - a_5 + a_6 - a_9), \\
 dB &= \frac{h_1 V_c}{h_2 U_c} (a_0 - a_1 - a_2 + a_13 - a_{14}).
\end{align*}
\]

Equations (28) and (29) furnish us the possibilities of similarity cases for which we can find the nature of \(U_c, V_c, h_1, h_2,\) and finally \(\Delta T\) in terms of \(X\) and \(Y\).

\(\text{Case 1.}\) Let \(dA/dY = \text{constant}\) and \(dB/dX = \text{constant}\).

This assumption yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{V_c}{U_c} &= k_1 (\text{constant}), \\
h_2 &= k_2 (\text{constant}).
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
dA &= \frac{k_2}{k_1} l_1, \\
 dB &= \frac{k_1}{k_2} l_1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(l_1 = (a_3 - a_4 - a_5 + a_6 - a_9)\) and \(l_2 = (a_0 - a_1 - a_2 + a_{13} - a_{14})\).

From equation (31), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
A(Y) &= \frac{k_2}{k_1} l_1 Y + A_0, \\
B(X) &= \frac{k_1}{k_2} l_1 X + B_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Then, equation (27) becomes

\[
\gamma^2 U_c = a_0 X + \frac{k_2}{k_1} l_1 Y + A_0,
\]
\[
\frac{y^2 V_c}{h_2} = a_3 Y + k_1 k_2 x + B_0,
\]
\[
\frac{y^2 U_c}{h_1} = l_2 X + k_1 k_2 Y + B_0'.
\]

Comparing equation (33) and equation (34), we have

\[
l_2 = a_0,
\]
\[
l_1 = a_3 \text{ imply } a_4 + a_5 = a_6 - a_9 \text{ and } a_1 + a_2 = a_{13} - a_{14},
\]

therefore

\[
\frac{y^2 U_c}{h_1} = a_0 X + k_2 k_1 a_3 Y + A_0.
\]

In view of requirements (x) and (xi) of equation (26) and equation (36), one may derive

\[
h_1(x, y) = (ax + by)^n,
\]

where

\[
a_{10} = \frac{n}{a_0 k_1^2}, \quad a_0 = a,
\]
\[
a_3 = \frac{k_1}{k_2} b,
\]
\[
A_0 = aX_0 + bY_0,
\]
\[
X + X_0 = x,
\]
\[
Y + Y_0 = y.
\]

Using equation (36) and similarity requirement (viii) of equation (26), one may obtain

\[
U_c(x, y) = U_0(ax + by)^m,
\]

where \( m = a_2/a_0 \). From other requirements, \( y^2(x, y) \) and \( \Delta T(x, y) \) are found to be in this situation

\[
\frac{y^2}{h_2} = (ax + by)^{n+1-m},
\]
\[
\Delta T(x, y) = (\Delta T)_0(ax + by)^{3m-n-1},
\]

here, \( U_0 \) and \( (\Delta T)_0 \) are integrating constants that represent velocity and temperature difference, respectively.

Substituting equations (37), (38), (39), and (40) in the similarity requirements, the constants in terms of \( a \) and \( b \) associated with \( m, n, k_1 \) are

\[
a_0 = a,
\]
\[
a_1 = (m + n)a,
\]
\[
a_2 = -2na,
\]
\[
a_3 = \frac{k_1}{k_2} a,
\]
\[
a_4 = (m + n) \frac{k_1}{k_2} b,
\]
\[
a_5 = -2na,
\]
\[
a_7 = ma,
\]
\[
a_8 = m \frac{k_1}{k_2} b,
\]
\[
a_9 = n \frac{k_1}{k_2} b,
\]
\[
a_{10} = k_1 n a,
\]
\[
a_{12} = m \frac{k_1}{k_2} b,
\]
\[
a_{13} = ma,
\]
\[
a_{14} = na,
\]
\[
a_{15} = \frac{na}{k_1},
\]
\[
a_{17} = (2m - n - 1)a,
\]
\[
a_{18} = (2m - n - 1) b \frac{k_1}{k_2}.
\]

Hence, transform equations (23)–(25) become

\[
v \frac{\bar{F}}{\bar{S}_{\bar{q}}} + \frac{1}{2} (3n + m + 1) \frac{k_1}{k_2} b \bar{S} \bar{F}_{\bar{q}}
\]
\[
+ ma \left( 1 - \bar{F}_{\bar{q}} \right) + (m + n) \frac{k_1}{k_2} \left( 1 - \bar{F}_{\bar{q}} \bar{S}_{\bar{q}} \right)
\]
\[
- a_6 \bar{F}_{\bar{q}} - k_1^2 na \left( 1 - S_{\bar{q}} \right) + a_{15} \bar{q} = 0,
\]

\[
v \frac{\bar{S}}{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2} (3n + m + 1) \bar{F} \bar{S}_{\bar{q}} + \frac{bk_1}{2k_2} (3n + m + 1) \bar{S} \bar{S}_{\bar{q}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{bmk}{2k_2} \left( 1 - S_{\bar{q}}^2 \right) + a(m + n) \left( 1 - \bar{F}_{\bar{q}} \bar{S}_{\bar{q}} \right) - a_6 \bar{S}_{\bar{q}}
\]
\[
- \frac{mb}{k_1 k_2} \left( 1 - \bar{F}_{\bar{q}} \right) + a_{18} \bar{q} = 0,
\]

\[
v \bar{P} \frac{\bar{T}_{\bar{q}}}{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2} (3n + m + 1) \left[ a \bar{F} + \frac{k_1}{k_2} b \bar{S} \right] \bar{T}_{\bar{q}} - a_6 \bar{T}_{\bar{q}}
\]
\[
- (2m - n - 1) \left[ a \bar{F} + \frac{k_1}{k_2} b \bar{S} \right] \bar{q} + a_{19} \bar{q} = 0.
\]

In order to simplify the above type of equations, we substitute \( \bar{F} = a \bar{f}, \bar{S} = a \bar{g}, \bar{q} = \bar{\theta}, \) and \( \bar{\phi} = a \phi. \) Choosing \( a(3n + \)
Table 1: Similarity requirements in curvilinear coordinates.

| $h_1$  | $h_2$  | $U_e$  | $V_e$  | $\Delta T$ |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| $(ax + by)^n$ | $k_1h_1$ | $(ax + by)^m$ | $k_1U_e$ | $(ax + by)^{2m-n-1}$ |
| $(ax + by)^n$ | $k_2h_1$ | $\exp \{ n(ax + by) \}$ | $k_1U_e$ | $\exp \{(ax + by)^n\}$ |
| $\exp (ax + by)$ | $k_2h_1$ | $\exp \{(ax + by)\}$ | $k_1U_e$ | $\exp \{(ax + by)\}$ |
| $\exp (ny)$ | $x^n$ | $\exp (ny)$ | $k_1U_e$ | $\exp (2ny)$ |

$m + 1)a^2) / 2v = 1$, $k_1b/k_2a = c$, $2a_d/(a(3n + m + 1)a) = -P_w$, and $\beta = 2d_{10}/(3n + m + 1)a)$, the final form of the similarity solution stands as

$$f_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg)f_{\phi\phi} + \left( \frac{2m}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f^2)$$

+ $2c \left( \frac{m + n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f\phi g_{\phi\phi}) + P_w f_{\phi\phi}$

= $\left( \frac{2m}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f^2) + \frac{U_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$,

$$g_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg)g_{\phi\phi} + \left( \frac{2mc}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - g^2)$$

+ $2 \left( \frac{m + n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f\phi g_{\phi\phi}) + P_w g_{\phi\phi}$

- $2c \left( \frac{n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f^2) + \frac{V_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$,

$$P_r f_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg) f_{\phi\phi} + P_w f_{\phi\phi} - 2 \left( \frac{2m - n - 1}{3n + m + 1} \right)$$

- $\left( \frac{2mc}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - g^2) + \frac{U_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$.

In this case, the velocity component $w$ perpendicular to the surface and the similarity variable $\phi$ are found to be

$$w = \frac{\nu a}{2} \left( \frac{3n + m + 1}{2} \right) (ax + by)^{(m-n-1)/2}$$

$$\phi = z \left[ \frac{a(3n + m + 1)}{2v} \right] (ax + by)^{(m-n-1)/2}.$$  

For a real situation, the condition of irrotationality in the main stream $(h_2, V_e) - (h_1, U_e) = 0$, yields $m = -n$ or $c = k_1^2$. If $m 
-e$, equations (45), (46), and (47) with boundary condition (49) are

$$f_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg)f_{\phi\phi} + \left( \frac{2m}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f^2)$$

+ $2c \left( \frac{m + n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f\phi g_{\phi\phi}) + P_w f_{\phi\phi}$

- $\left( \frac{2mc}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - g^2) + \frac{U_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$,

$$g_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg)g_{\phi\phi} + \left( \frac{2mc}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - g^2)$$

+ $2 \left( \frac{m + n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f\phi g_{\phi\phi}) + P_w g_{\phi\phi}$

- $2 \left( \frac{n}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - f^2) + \frac{V_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$,

$$P_r f_{\phi\phi} + (f + cg) f_{\phi\phi} + P_w f_{\phi\phi} - 2 \left( \frac{2m - n - 1}{3n + m + 1} \right)$$

- $\left( \frac{2mc}{3n + m + 1} \right) (1 - g^2) + \frac{U_e^2}{V_e} \theta = 0$.

Equations (37), (38), (39), and (40) are the requirement for the existence of one of the possible similarity solutions. Equations (51), (52), and (53) with the boundary conditions (49) are the similarity solutions in which the mainstream has the velocity components $(U_e, V_e, 0)$ satisfying the condition of irrotationality. In similar way, other possible cases in
curvilinear coordinates like equations (37), (38), (39), and (40) are given a tabular form.

4. Conclusions

General discussion for similarity requirements, detail similarity analyses have been done presented in Table 1 to reduce the governing partial differential equations into the set of ordinary differential equations for an incompressible fluid flow over the curvilinear surfaces. Detail discussion of one case has been presented in this paper, and different possible cases are exhibited in a tabular form with the inclusion of $\Delta T, h_1, h_2, U_\infty$, and $V_\infty$ variations for onward flow study that are helpful to the future researchers for the flow over the orthogonal curvilinear surfaces. If we put $c = 0$, $n = 0$, and $P_w = 0$, the above equations (51)–(52) become well-known Falkner–Skan equation in the absence of $U_\infty = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, i.e., buoyancy and heat absorption effects. If $c = 0$, $n = 0$, $k_i = 0$, $U_\infty = 0$, $\beta = 0$, and $P_w = 0$, the equations coincide with those dealt with by Sparrow et al. [31].
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