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1. Introduction

Since the discovery of quasicrystals in the early eighties \[1, 2, 3, 4\], considerable attention has been paid to the magnetic properties of these materials. While many quasicrystals contain atoms (such as Fe, Mn, or rare-earth elements) that carry local magnetic moments, these are usually screened very effectively, and consequently one finds a weak paramagnetic or diamagnetic behaviour, see e.g. \[5, 6\]. Recently, however, there has been ample experimental evidence for magnetic ordering in quasicrystals, including ferrimagnetic \[7\], ferromagnetic \[8\], anti-ferromagnetic \[9\], and spin-glass behaviour \[10, 11, 12, 13\], though some results are still discussed controversially, see e.g. \[14, 15\], in particular with regard to the importance of crystalline phases present in the samples.

Even before magnetic ordering in quasicrystals had been observed experimentally, theoretical investigations on the influence of quasiperiodic order on magnetic properties commenced. In most cases, the models considered were either one-dimensional quantum spin chains with aperiodic sequences of coupling constants or classical Ising models on two-dimensional quasiperiodic graphs; we refer the reader to the recent review \[16\] for a rather complete list of references. Recently, a symmetry classification scheme for magnetically ordered quasicrystals has been proposed \[17\].

In this context, it is one of the central questions whether quasiperiodic order influences the universal properties at the phase transition, such as the critical exponents, in comparison to the periodic case. There is a heuristic criterion due to Luck \[18\] on the relevance of aperiodicity. According to this criterion, the “topological disorder” encountered in two-dimensional quasicrystals, generated by the cut-and-project method, is irrelevant; and hence an Ising model on a quasiperiodic tiling should belong to the same universality class as the Ising model on the square lattice. Clearly, non-universal properties do in general depend on the particular system under consideration. For instance, the location of critical points of lattice models depends in a systematic way on the structure of the graph on which the model is defined, see \[19\] and references therein.

In this article, we consider high-temperature expansions of the free energy for zero-field Ising models on two planar quasiperiodic graphs, the decagonal Penrose \[20, 21\] and the octagonal Ammann-Beenker \[22, 23, 24\] tiling. The technique of high-temperature expansions is well known, see e.g. \[25\]; it was developed several decades ago and has since been applied to a variety of periodic lattices in both two and three dimensions. With regard to previous work on high-temperature expansions of quasiperiodic Ising models, we are only aware of two articles by Abe and Dotera \[26, 27\] who compute the expansion of the free energy up to the eighth order for the Penrose tiling and its dual, and of a few numerically calculated expansion coefficients for the susceptibility for the Penrose case \[28\]. Employing a systematic procedure, we are able to compute the exact values of the coefficients up to the 18th order for both the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. This requires much more effort than the calculation for periodic lattices, because the number of graphs that one has to take into account grows tremendously with the order. Although our expansions are still not yet sufficient to extract good
estimates for the critical temperatures or the critical exponents, we can show that our results are consistent with those obtained by different methods.

Presently, the most accurate data on the transition temperature and the critical exponents stem from Monte-Carlo simulations [29, 30, 31, 32]. Besides graphical expansions and Monte-Carlo simulations, further methods have been employed to gain information about the critical behaviour of quasiperiodic Ising models. First of all, exactly solvable cases can be constructed as, for instance, the Ising model on the so-called labyrinth tiling [33], see also [16, 34] for further examples. These models correspond to particular choices of coupling constants, restricted by the requirement of integrability, and thus might not be representative for the general situation. For the solvable models based on the idea of “Z-invariance”, see [16] and references therein, the critical behaviour necessarily is the same as for the periodic case, but one does not get a clue whether this extends to the general case, or whether it is at least the generic situation. Secondly, there is an interesting approach using Lee-Yang zeros [35], which are complex roots of the partition function in certain variables. Simon and Baake [36, 37] calculated the zeros of the partition function for a large patch of the Ammann-Beenker tiling numerically and drew conclusions about the critical temperature and the critical exponents. Furthermore, renormalization group techniques were applied to study the Ising model on two-dimensional quasiperiodic tilings [38]. In that case, one exploits the self-similarity of quasiperiodic tilings, which translates into a renormalization procedure that, however, can only be treated approximately in general. We note that for one-dimensional quantum Ising chains with aperiodically modulated coupling constants, corresponding to two-dimensional layered Ising models, renormalization techniques may yield exact results for the critical behaviour [32, 41]. In this case, the modulation is one-dimensional, and in accordance with Luck’s criterion [18] one finds that the critical behaviour depends on the fluctuations of the aperiodic sequence of coupling constants.

So far, all results appear to be in accordance with Luck’s criterion, including a recent Monte-Carlo study of the three-state Potts model on the Ammann-Beenker tiling [41]. Still, most approaches are based on numerical or approximative treatments. In contrast, it is our aim to obtain exact values of the coefficients for the high-temperature expansions in the present paper, which is organized as follows. In the subsequent section, we briefly recall the graphical high-temperature expansion of Ising models. In section 3, we discuss the generation of subgraphs of quasiperiodic tilings and the computation of their occurrence frequencies. Then, in section 4, we present our results for the coefficients of the high-temperature expansions for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. The corresponding implications for the critical behaviour are discussed in section 5. In section 6, we compare our results with exact calculations of the partition functions of periodic approximants. Finally, in section 7, we present our conclusions.
2. High-temperature expansion

We now give a brief account of the high-temperature expansion for the free energy of an Ising model on a graph without an external field [25]. Let us consider a finite graph \( G \) containing \( N \) sites (vertices) with \( M \) neighbour pairs of vertices connected by bonds. We emphasize that, throughout the paper, the notion of neighbouring vertices refers to vertices connected by a bond, and not to the geometric distance between the vertices. For instance, in the Penrose tiling discussed below, the short diagonal of the small rhomb corresponds to the smallest distance between vertices, but does not constitute a bond. At a vertex \( j \), we place an Ising spin \( \sigma_j \in \{\pm 1\} \); and two spins \( \sigma_j \) and \( \sigma_k \) located at neighbouring vertices \( j \) and \( k \) interact with a coupling constant \( J \) which we assume to be independent of the position. Hence the energy of a spin configuration \( \sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_N\} \) on \( G \) is given by

\[
E(\sigma) = -J \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} \sigma_j \sigma_k
\]

(2.1)

where we sum over all neighbour pairs \( \langle j, k \rangle \) connected by bonds as mentioned above. The logarithm of the partition function

\[
Z(G) = \sum_{\sigma} \exp[-\beta E(\sigma)]
\]

(2.2)

is, apart from a factor \(-1/\beta\), the free energy. It can be expanded as

\[
\ln Z(G) = N \ln 2 + M \ln \cosh(\beta J) + N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n w^n,
\]

(2.3)

where \( \beta = 1/k_B T \) with Boltzmann’s constant \( k_B \) and temperature \( T \). The expansion variable

\[
w = \tanh(\beta J),
\]

(2.4)

is small for high temperature, hence the notion high-temperature expansion. The expansion coefficients \( g_n \) are related to the number of subgraphs of \( G \) containing \( n \) bonds.

The terms in the expansion (2.3) can be rearranged in a different fashion which is more convenient for our needs (see page 382 in [25])

\[
\ln \tilde{Z}(G) = \ln Z(G) - N \ln 2 - M \ln \cosh(\beta J)
\]

\[
= N \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n w^n = \sum_r (c_r; G) k_r(w),
\]

(2.5)

where we now sum over all connected subgraphs \( c_r \) of \( G \). The quantity \( (c_r; G) \) denotes the so-called lattice constant of \( c_r \) in \( G \), counting the number of ways \( c_r \) can be embedded in \( G \). The weight functions \( k_r(w) \) depend only on \( c_r \), not on \( G \). In our case, without
external field, we can restrict the sum to so-called star graphs. These are graphs that cannot be dissected into two disjoint subgraphs by eliminating a single vertex.

The weight functions $k_r(w)$ in equation (2.6) can be calculated from the partition function $\tilde{Z}(c_r)$ of the subgraph $c_r$. For this aim, let us generate all star subgraphs and arrange them in a sequence $\{c_r\}_{r=1,2,...}$ such that $c_s$ cannot be embedded in $c_r$ for $r < s$. In other words, the lattice constant $(c_s; c_r)$ may be non-zero only if $s \leq r$, which, in general, does not determine the sequence uniquely. Having arranged the subgraphs in such a way, the expansion (2.5) for a subgraph $c_r$ gives

$$\ln \tilde{Z}(c_r) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} (c_s; c_r) k_s(w)$$

and, taking into account that $(c_r; c_r) = 1$, we obtain the corresponding weight $k_r(w)$

$$k_r(w) = \ln \tilde{Z}(c_r) - \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} (c_s; c_r) k_s(w)$$

expressed in terms of lattice constants $(c_s; c_r)$ and weights $k_s(w)$ with $s < r$. Therefore, we can compute the weights $k_r(w)$ successively provided we know the partition function $\tilde{Z}(c_r)$ and the lattice constants $(c_s; c_r)$ of all star graphs $c_s$ that are subgraphs of $c_r$.

We note that we can rearrange the sum in equation (2.6) as

$$\ln \tilde{Z}(G) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \sum_{r} \sum_{s} (c_r^{(n)}; G) k_{r,s}^{(n)}(w)$$

where $r$ labels closed loops $l_r^{(n)}$ consisting of $n$ bonds, and $c_r^{(n)}$ are all possible complete “fillings” of the loop $l_r^{(n)}$. By “fillings” of a loop we mean all proper subgraphs of $G$ which have the loop as their boundary. Here, the functions $k_{r,s}^{(n)}(w)$ have the form

$$k_{r,s}^{(n)}(w) = w^n + O(w^{n+1})$$

hence truncating the sum over $n$ in equation (2.8) yields all terms in the expansion up to nth order in $w$. The calculation of the weight functions $k_{r,s}^{(n)}(w)$ can be performed in analogy to that of the weight functions $k_r(w)$ (2.7).

In summary, in order to calculate the high-temperature expansion (2.8) of the Ising model to order $n_{\text{max}}$ we have to perform the following steps:

(i) generate all loops $l_r^{(n)}$ in the graph $G$ consisting of $n \leq n_{\text{max}}$ bonds;
(ii) construct all fillings $c_r^{(n)}$ of $l_r^{(n)}$;
(iii) calculate $\ln \tilde{Z}(c_r^{(n)})$, the logarithm of the partition function for the subgraphs $c_r^{(n)}$;
(iv) calculate the lattice constants $(c_r^{(n)}; G)$ and $(c_r^{(n)}; c_r^{(n)});$
(v) compute the weight functions $k_{r,s}^{(n)}(w)$ by successive use of the analogue of (2.7);
(vi) calculate the expansion (2.8).

We are now in the position to apply this scheme to the case of quasiperiodic graphs.
3. Frequencies of subgraphs of quasiperiodic tilings

In fact, we want to obtain the expansion (2.5) for the Ising model on an infinite quasiperiodic graph $G$. Therefore, we have to compute the corresponding “averaged lattice constants” per vertex

$$\langle c_r; G \rangle := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} (c_r; G_N)$$

(3.1)

where $G_N$ denotes finite patches with $N$ vertices approaching the infinite graph $G$. In other words, we need to calculate the occurrence frequency of a subgraph $c_r$ in the infinite graph $G$. The main challenge now is to compute these quantities for a given quasiperiodic graph and all of its subgraphs up to a certain size.

For quasiperiodic graphs generated by the cut-and-project method [42] the frequencies of subgraphs can be computed exactly. In the cut-and-project method, one starts from a higher-dimensional periodic lattice, and projects a certain part of it onto a lower-dimensional “physical” or “parallel” space $E_\parallel$. For the two cases of interest, the Penrose and the octagonal Ammann-Beenker tiling, the lattices have to be at least four-dimensional, the minimal choice being the root lattice $A_4$ for the Penrose case [43] and the hypercubic lattice $Z^4$ for the octagonal case [23]. The root lattice $A_4$ can be considered as a sublattice of $Z^5$, wherefore the latter, albeit not minimal, is frequently used to generate the Penrose tiling. The physical space $E_\parallel$ is determined as an invariant subspace with respect to the relevant subgroup (in our examples the dihedral groups $D_5$ and $D_8$, respectively) of the point group of the periodic lattice. Its orthogonal complement, the perpendicular space $E_\perp$, is then also an invariant subspace of this symmetry. The quasiperiodic tiling is now obtained by projecting all those lattice points onto $E_\parallel$ whose projection onto $E_\perp$ falls into a certain set called the “window” or “acceptance domain” $A$. In the minimal case, this acceptance domain has the same dimension as $E_\perp$; however, if we project the Penrose tiling from the hypercubic lattice $Z^5$, the perpendicular space is three-dimensional and the acceptance domain consists of four regular pentagons $P_m$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, 4$) situated on equidistant, parallel planes, and two isolated points ($P_0$ and $P_5$), see figure 1. For the Ammann-Beenker tiling, the situation is simpler; the acceptance domain, which is obtained as the projection of the four-dimensional hypercube to $E_\perp$, is a regular octagon $O$.

Now, considering an arbitrary motive $c$ consisting of a collection of $p$ points $c = \{r^{(i)}_\parallel; 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ in physical space, we can compute its occurrence frequency, i.e., how often translated copies of the point set occur in the infinite tiling. Associated to the set $c$ of points in physical space is a corresponding acceptance domain $A(c) \subset A$ in perpendicular space, obtained by intersecting $p$ copies of the acceptance domain $A$ shifted appropriately with respect to each other. This corresponds to the acceptance domain filled by choosing a reference point of the motive $c$, and, for all occurrences of the motive in an infinite tiling, lifting the positions of this reference point to the higher-dimensional lattice and projecting to $E_\perp$. Hence, the area of $A(c)$, divided by the area of $A$, is the occurrence frequency of our motive, as follows from the uniform
distribution on the acceptance domain, see [44] and references therein.

In the Penrose case, the acceptance domain $A(c)$ consists of four pieces $A_m(c) \subset P_m$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, 4$) which have to be taken into account. They are given by

$$A_m(\{r_i^{(i)}\}) = \bigcap_i \left\{ P_{m+t(i)} - r_i^{(i)} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

where $P_m = \emptyset$ if $m \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. The coordinates $r_i^{(i)} \in E_\parallel$ and $r_i^{(i)} \in E_\perp$ have the form

$$r_i^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{4} n_j^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi j}{5} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi j}{5} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r_i^{(i)} = \sum_{j=0}^{4} n_j^{(i)} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{4\pi j}{5} \\ \sin \frac{4\pi j}{5} \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

with integer coefficients $n_j^{(i)}$ which correspond to the coordinates of the lattice point in $\mathbb{Z}^5$ that projects to $r_i^{(i)}$. The first component of $r_i^{(i)}$,

$$t(i) = \sum_{j=0}^{4} n_j^{(i)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

denotes the so-called translation class of the point $r_i^{(i)}$, which just labels the part of the acceptance domain $P_{t(i)}$ where the corresponding perpendicular projection lies. In
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Figure 2. The “fattest” loop of length 8 in the Penrose lattice and its acceptance domain (black polygons) with respect to the reference point marked by the circle (○). The area fraction is \( \tau - 8/5 \approx 0.0180 \) where \( \tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2 \) is the golden ratio. The symmetry factors read \( R = 5 \) and \( S = 1 \), thus the occurrence frequency of this loop in the Penrose tiling, in an arbitrary orientation, is \( 5\tau - 8 \approx 0.0902 \).

Figure 3. The same as figure 2, now for the “fattest” loop of length 10. Here, the area fraction is \( (14\tau - 22)/5 \approx 0.1305 \), and the symmetry factors read \( R = S = 1 \).

In figures 2 and 3, we show two examples where the motives are the “fattest” loops, in terms of the enclosed area, of length 8 and 10 in the Penrose tiling that contribute to the high-temperature expansion.

For the eight-fold Ammann-Beenker case there is only one acceptance domain \( O \), hence

\[
A(\{r^{(i)}_\| \}) = \bigcap_i \left\{ O - r^{(i)}_\perp \right\},
\]

where the projections to \( E_\| \) and \( E_\perp \) are given by

\[
r^{(i)}_\| = \sum_{j=0}^{3} n_j^{(i)} \left( \cos \frac{\pi j}{4} \sin \frac{\pi j}{4} \right), \quad r^{(i)}_\perp = \sum_{j=0}^{3} n_j^{(i)} \left( \cos \frac{3\pi j}{4} \sin \frac{3\pi j}{4} \right). \tag{3.6}
\]

Here, \( n_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z} \) denote the coordinates of the lattice point in \( \mathbb{Z}^4 \) that projects to \( r^{(i)}_\| \).

The acceptance domains of a motive \( c \) are intersections of convex polygons and hence themselves polygonal, see figures 2 and 3. It is readily seen that the coordinates of the vertices of the acceptance domains belong to certain extensions of the field of rational numbers \( \mathbb{Q} \). For the Penrose tiling, one has to perform the calculation in the field

\[
\mathbb{Q}(\tau, \sqrt{2 + \tau}) = \left\{ a + b\sqrt{2 + \tau} + c\tau + d\tau\sqrt{2 + \tau} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}. \tag{3.7}
\]
where $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio, satisfying the quadratic equation $\tau^2 = \tau + 1$. For the Ammann-Beenker case, the corresponding number field is

$$\mathbb{Q}(\lambda) = \{a + b\lambda \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

(3.8)

where $\lambda = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ is the “silver mean” that is a solution of the quadratic equation $\lambda^2 = 2\lambda + 1$. Therefore, in order to compute the occurrence frequency of a given motive $c$ in the tiling $\mathcal{G}$, we have to determine the area of the acceptance domain carrying out the calculation in the appropriate number field. The averaged lattice constant $\langle c; \mathcal{G} \rangle$ is the occurrence frequency of $c$ summed over all possible orientations of the motive. In these quasiperiodic tilings, the frequencies of motives are independent of their orientation, hence we do not need to calculate them separately, but just have to count how many orientations of the motive occur in the tiling.

Let us focus on the Penrose tiling as an example. Rotating the motive $c$ by an angle $\pi k/5$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) essentially corresponds to a rotation of the acceptance domain by $2\pi k/5$. Furthermore, also the mirror image $\overline{c}$ of the motive $c$ occurs with the same frequency, since the corresponding acceptance domains $A_m(\overline{c})$ are just $-A_{5-m}(c)$. Therefore, in our
expansion \((2.3)\), it is advantageous to jointly consider graphs which are mirror images of each other because they give the same contribution. For this reason, we assign two symmetry factors \(R \in \{1, 2, 5, 10\}\) and \(S \in \{1, 2\}\) to each of these graphs, \(R\) counting the number of rotations by angles \(\pi k/5\) which do not map the graph onto itself, and \(S = 2\) if reflection does not map the graph onto itself or onto a rotated copy of itself, compare figures 2 and 3. The averaged lattice constant \(<c; \mathcal{G}>\), as defined above, is thus \(R\) times the area fraction obtained for a fixed orientation of the graph \(c\). Multiplying \(<c; \mathcal{G}>\) by the factor \(S\), we can restrict the sum in equation \((2.5)\) to graphs that are non-equivalent under reflection.

Eventually, we have to consider all star subgraphs of the quasiperiodic tiling, corresponding to all possible fillings of loops. In contrast to the case of simple planar (periodic) lattices, a loop in the quasiperiodic tilings can have several fillings, which may occur with different frequencies. In figures 4 and 5, the possible fillings, together with the corresponding frequencies, of two exemplary loops in the Ammann-Beenker tiling are shown. In orthogonal space, the different fillings correspond to a dissection of the acceptance domain of the loop into non-overlapping parts, see figures 4 and 5.

In order to avoid confusion, we would like to point out once more how our frequencies are normalized, i.e., what the numbers given in figures 4 and 5 really mean. We emphasize that the frequency we compute is not the frequency of a particular loop of length \(n\) among all loops of the same length. Instead, it gives the probability that a randomly chosen vertex belongs to the particular loop, in an arbitrary orientation.

4. Expansion coefficients for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling

The Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling are both bipartite graphs, which means that all closed loops have an even number of edges, and at least four. Therefore, for zero magnetic field, only even powers of \(w\) occur in the expansion \((2.3)\) that takes the form

\[
F(w) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{G}_N) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{2n} w^{2n}
\]

where \(\mathcal{G}_N\) denotes a finite patch of the quasiperiodic graph \(\mathcal{G}\) containing \(N\) vertices, and \(F(w)\) is, apart from a factor \(-1/\beta\), the free energy per vertex. We calculated the expansion coefficients \(g_{2n}\) up to 18th order in \(w\) for both the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. The results are presented in table 4.

As a by-product, we obtain information on another interesting physical model, namely the problem of self-avoiding polygons, or closed self-avoiding walks, on the quasiperiodic tiling. The quantities of interest are the sums \(S_{2n}\) of the occurrence frequencies of all order-2\(n\) loops which are presented in table 3. Here, \(S_{2n}\) is nothing but the mean number per vertex of closed self-avoiding walks with 2\(n\) steps, i.e., random walks with 2\(n\) steps that never return to a vertex visited before, except for the end point which equals their starting point. For regular and recently also for “semi-regular” lattices, there exist data for rather large values of \(n\) in the literature 15; the
Table 1. The expansion coefficients $g_{2n}$ of the free energy of the zero-field Ising model on the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. The values for the square lattice are included for comparison.

| 2n  | Penrose tiling | Ammann-Beenker tiling | Square lattice |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 4   | $1 = 1.00$     | $1 = 1.00$            | 1              |
| 6   | $9 - 4 \tau \simeq 2.53$ | $\lambda \simeq 2.41$ | 2              |
| 8   | $12\frac{1}{3} - 4 \tau \simeq 6.03$ | $47\frac{1}{2} - 17 \lambda \simeq 6.46$ | $4\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 10  | $251\frac{3}{5} - 144\frac{1}{5} \tau \simeq 18.28$ | $138 - 50 \lambda \simeq 17.29$ | 12             |
| 12  | $731\frac{1}{3} - 416 \tau \simeq 58.73$ | $803\frac{1}{2} - 310\frac{1}{2} \lambda \simeq 53.72$ | $37\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 14  | $1784 - 969 \tau \simeq 216.13$ | $-1220 + 586 \lambda \simeq 194.73$ | 130            |
| 16  | $-27821\frac{3}{7} + 17750 \tau \simeq 898.35$ | $96\frac{3}{7} + 295\frac{1}{7} \lambda \simeq 810.15$ | $490\frac{1}{7}$ |
| 18  | $-124027 + 79078\frac{2}{3} \tau \simeq 3924.97$ | $-108706 + 46566\frac{2}{3} \lambda \simeq 3715.07$ | $1958\frac{2}{3}$ |

Table 2. The mean number (per vertex) of self-avoiding $2n$-step polygons $S_{2n}$ on the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, and on the square lattice.

| 2n  | Penrose tiling | Ammann-Beenker tiling | Square lattice |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 4   | $1 = 1.00$     | $1 = 1.00$            | 1              |
| 6   | $9 - 4 \tau \simeq 2.53$ | $\lambda \simeq 2.41$ | 2              |
| 8   | $15 - 4 \tau \simeq 8.53$ | $50 - 17 \lambda \simeq 8.96$ | 7              |
| 10  | $309\frac{3}{5} - 168\frac{1}{5} \tau \simeq 37.45$ | $142 - 44 \lambda \simeq 35.77$ | 28             |
| 12  | $1066 - 552 \tau \simeq 172.85$ | $1173 - 416 \lambda \simeq 168.69$ | 124            |
| 14  | $6400 - 3405 \tau \simeq 890.59$ | $1704 - 353 \lambda \simeq 851.78$ | 588            |
| 16  | $5093 - 170 \tau \simeq 4817.93$ | $27175 - 9356 \lambda \simeq 4587.62$ | 2938           |
| 18  | $75115 - 29655 \tau \simeq 27132.20$ | $5992 + 8178 \lambda \simeq 25735.44$ | 15268          |

Square lattice numbers are series M1780 in [46]. A related problem, the enumeration of self-avoiding walks on quasiperiodic tilings, was already investigated by Briggs [47]. However, his results are based on counting walks emanating from a fixed starting point, whereas we compute the exact average over all possible starting points for the self-avoiding polygons. Note that the number of walks does depend on the initial vertex; however, the asymptotic behaviour should be independent of this choice.

The coefficients $g_{2n}$ and $S_{2n}$ listed in tables [1] and [2] belong to degree-2 extensions of the field of rational numbers, namely $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ for the Penrose and $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda)$ for the Ammann-Beenker tiling, respectively. We note that for the Penrose case the frequencies of subgraphs, and thus the coefficients $g_{2n}$ and $S_{2n}$, belong to the field $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$, whereas the areas of their acceptance domains in general are elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\tau, \sqrt{2 + \tau})$.

The limitation of our calculations was caused by a strong, exponential growth of the number of graphs which have to be taken into account. For the Penrose tiling, we have — even after identifying graphs that are equivalent by rotation or reflection — to deal with more than 300,000 different graphs contributing to the 18th order, see table [3] and their quantity grows approximately by a factor between 6 and 7 when increasing the order by 2. The corresponding number of graphs for the square lattice,
included in table 3, are much smaller; the sequence of these numbers is apparently not contained in [16]. We generated the order-2n loops as boundaries of patches that are constructed iteratively by successively attaching rhombi to their surface, terminating the process when attaching further rhombi does not lead to new order-2n loops. By this procedure, we make sure that all graphs are found. However, we have to pay the price that topologically identical graphs are obtained repeatedly and have to be rejected, thus slowing down the procedure substantially.

**Table 3.** The number of symmetry-inequivalent closed loops of order 2n contributing to the high-temperature expansion and the number of patches obtained by filling the loops.

| 2n | Penrose empty | Penrose filled | Ammann-Beenker empty | Ammann-Beenker filled | Square lattice empty/filled |
|----|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| 4  | 2             | 2              | 2                     | 2                     | 1                           |
| 6  | 6             | 6              | 4                     | 4                     | 1                           |
| 8  | 24            | 28             | 17                    | 20                    | 3                           |
| 10 | 143           | 174            | 77                    | 112                   | 6                           |
| 12 | 839           | 1034           | 479                   | 743                   | 25                          |
| 14 | 5634          | 6957           | 3007                  | 4981                  | 86                          |
| 16 | 37677         | 46712          | 20175                 | 35063                 | 414                         |
| 18 | 255658        | 317028         | 139146                | 244638                | 1975                        |

5. Critical behaviour

In many cases, high-temperature expansions yield good estimates of the critical temperature and the critical exponent of the free energy. The simplest approach, which is commonly used for this purpose, uses the ratio of two successive coefficients $g_{2n}/g_{2n-2}$ in the expansion [24]. Assuming that the free energy $F(w)$ behaves in the vicinity of the critical point $w_c$ as

$$F(w) \sim (1 - w^2/w_c^2)^\kappa,$$

one can easily see from (4.1) that

$$\frac{g_{2n}}{g_{2n-2}} = \frac{1}{w_c^2} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa + 1}{n}\right) + O(n^{-2}).$$

In other words, for sufficiently large values of $n$, the ratios $g_{2n}/g_{2n-2}$ should lie on a straight line when plotted as a function of $n^{-1}$. The slope of this line and its displacement from the origin determine the critical point $w_c$ and the exponent $\kappa$.

We may estimate the critical temperature from the sequence

$$\varrho(2n) = \left[ n \frac{g_{2n}}{g_{2n-2}} - (n - 1) \frac{g_{2n-2}}{g_{2n-4}} \right]^{-1}$$

that approaches $w_c^2$ in the limit $n \to \infty$. In table 4, we show the results for $\varrho(2n)$ for the two quasiperiodic tilings under consideration and compare these with the estimates of
the critical point from Monte-Carlo simulations \cite{29, 31, 32}. The corresponding values for the square lattice are included for comparison.

Table 4. Estimates of the critical point of the Ising model on the Penrose tiling and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, and on the square lattice.

| 2n | Penrose tiling | Ammann-Beenker tiling | Square lattice |
|----|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 8  | 0.5116         | 0.2892                | 0.3333         |
| 10 | 0.1778         | 0.3725                | 0.2308         |
| 12 | 0.2430         | 0.1902                | 0.1875         |
| 14 | 0.1543         | 0.1486                | 0.1752         |
| 16 | 0.1334         | 0.1264                | 0.1726         |
| 18 | 0.1648         | 0.1252                | 0.1728         |

\( w_c^2 \) \begin{align*}
&= 0.1563(5) \quad \text{Penrose tiling} \\
&= 0.1566(5) \quad \text{Ammann-Beenker tiling} \\
&= 0.1716 \quad \text{Square lattice}
\end{align*}

a After reference \cite{29},
b After reference \cite{31},
c After reference \cite{32},
d This corresponds to the exact value \( w_c = \sqrt{2} - 1 \) \cite{48, 49}.

As one can see, the convergence of \( \rho(2n) \) is rather poor for the quasiperiodic tilings. In general, the rate of convergence is determined by additional singularities \( w'_c \in \mathbb{C} \) of \( F(w) \) lying close to \( w_c \) in the complex plane. These give a correction to \( g_{2n}/g_{2n-2} \) which behaves like \( O[(w'_c/w_c)^{2n}] \) \cite{25}. The influence of these corrections must be substantial in our case rendering the method rather inapplicable for us. We will come back to this point in section 6 below when we discuss the corresponding quantities for periodic approximants, compare also figure 8 that contains a plot of the ratios \( g_{2n}/g_{2n-2} \) for the case of the Penrose tiling.

There is, however, another method which is more suitable for us to examine the critical behaviour. Let us consider a sequence of partial sums \( F_m \) of the expansion (4.1) at the critical point \( w_c \)

\[
F_m = \sum_{n=2}^{m} g_{2n} w_c^{2n}.
\] (5.4)

If the function \( F(w) \) behaves like (5.1), then the asymptotic behaviour of the coefficient \( \tilde{g}_{2n} = w_c^{2n} g_{2n} \) of its expansion in the variable \( w^2/w_c^2 \) is given by \( \tilde{g}_{2n} \sim n^{-\kappa-1} \) for \( n \to \infty \) \cite{50, 51}. Therefore, for large \( m \), we have

\[
F_m = F_{\infty} - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} g_{2n} w_c^{2n} = F_{\infty} - \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \tilde{g}_{2n}
\]

\[
\simeq F_{\infty} - \tilde{b} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} n^{-(\kappa+1)} \simeq F_{\infty} - b m^{-\kappa}
\] (5.5)
where $b$ is a parameter and the last relation is obtained by approximating the sum by an integral. Therefore, for sufficiently large $m$, the values $F_m$ should lie on a straight line when plotted versus $m^{-\kappa}$. In figure 6, we plot the partial sums $F_m$ for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, taking $\kappa = 2$ and $w_c$ equal to the Monte-Carlo estimates of [31, 32], see also table 4. For comparison, we also included corresponding data for the square lattice where the exact solution is known. Apparently, the data points lie close to a straight line for all three cases, and the fluctuations in the data for the quasiperiodic tilings are not visibly larger than those for the square lattice.

Concerning the numbers of self-avoiding polygons $S_{2n}$ shown in table 4, one considers their generating function

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} S_{2n} x^{2n} \quad (5.6)$$

which has a critical point $x_c$ that is characterized by a cusp-like singularity; i.e., in the vicinity of $x_c$ one has

$$G(x) \sim A(x) + B(x) \left(1 - x^2/x_c^2\right)^{2-\alpha} \quad (5.7)$$
with a critical exponent $\alpha$, and $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ are non-singular at $x = x_c$. We note that the only exact result for the related problem of self-avoiding walks in two dimensions is obtained by the Coulomb gas approach [53] and gives a critical point $x^2_c = 1/(2 + \sqrt{2})$ and critical exponents $\alpha = 1/2$, $\gamma = 43/32 = 1.34375$ and $\nu = 3/4$ for the hexagonal lattice. Frequently, the so-called connective constant $\mu = 1/x_c$ is given instead of $x_c$. In [47], estimates of the critical point $x_c$ for self-avoiding walks, which coincides with the value for self-avoiding polygons, are given based on enumerations of walks of at most 20 and 16 steps for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, respectively. The corresponding critical exponent in this case is $\gamma$, and all results support the conjecture that the self-avoiding walk problems on two-dimensional lattices and quasiperiodic tilings belong to the same universality class.

In figure 7, we show the ratios of successive numbers $S_{2n}/S_{2n-2}$ as a function of $1/n$, which, by the same arguments that led to equation (5.2), should lie on a straight line for large $n$. Clearly, this is true for the square lattice, whereas the data for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling still show sizable fluctuations. The straight lines in figure 7 are the functions $[1 - 5/(2n)]/x^2_c$, compare (5.2), where we used the critical exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ and the value $\mu = 2.61815853$ cited in [45] for the square lattice data for $2n \leq 56$ are taken from [52]. The straight lines are obtained from equation (5.7), in analogy to equation (5.2), using the critical exponent $\alpha = 1/2$ and the approximate values of the critical point $x_c$ given in [47] and [45].
lattice connective constant, and the estimates $x_c = 0.363$ and $x_c = 0.361$ \cite{17} for the critical points on the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, respectively. Given the rather short sequence at our disposal, and the uncertainty in the estimates \cite{47}, the agreement for the quasiperiodic cases is reasonable, thus supporting the conjecture that the critical point of self-avoiding polygons on such quasiperiodic tilings is described by the same critical exponents as for the hexagonal lattice \cite{53}.

6. Partition functions of periodic approximants

One may pose the question whether one can calculate the expansion coefficients $g_{2n}$ in equation (4.1) by a different method, thus verifying our results. Perhaps it might even be possible to calculate the partition function $Z(G)$ on certain quasiperiodic tilings $G$ exactly. Although this may seem hopeless, there exist methods to tackle this problem, which at least allow us to compute the partition function of general periodic lattices explicitly, thus also for periodic approximants of the quasiperiodic tilings. Let us briefly recall some exact results on partition functions on two-dimensional lattices.

The first solution of the two-dimensional zero-field Ising model for the square lattice had been found by Onsager and Kaufman \cite{19, 54} in 1944. Several years later, Kac and Ward \cite{55} developed a combinatorial approach in which the problem was reduced to the calculation of a determinant of a certain matrix $K$ (see below) which depends on the lattice and the coupling constants between the spins. Although this approach was not rigorous, it appeared extremely plausible and it initiated numerous attempts to generalize this result to other lattices \cite{56, 57, 58, 59}. Recently, Dolbilin et al \cite{60} proved the long-known formula

$$\tilde{Z}(G)^2 = \det(K) \quad (6.1)$$

for a zero-field Ising model on an arbitrary planar graph $G$ with arbitrary (in general site-dependent) spin coupling constants. The matrix elements $K(e_i, e_j)$ of the $2M \times 2M$ matrix $K$ are labeled by oriented edges $e_i$ and $e_j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2M$. They are defined as

$$K(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_i = e_j \\ -w_i \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} \langle \hat{e_i}, e_j \rangle \right] & \text{if } f(e_i) = b(e_j) \text{ and } f(e_j) \neq b(e_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

where $w_i = \tanh(\beta J_i)$ and $J_i$ is the spin coupling constant along the edge $e_i$, which is independent of the edge in our case, $J_i \equiv J$. Furthermore, $\langle \hat{e_i}, e_j \rangle$ denotes the angle between edges $e_i$ and $e_j$, and $b(e_i)$ and $f(e_i)$ are the starting point and the end point of the edge $e_i$, respectively. If $G$ is periodic, the matrix $K$ is cyclic and the determinant can be calculated exactly in the thermodynamic limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. We can therefore apply (6.2) and calculate $\tilde{Z}(G)$ exactly for periodic approximants of the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling.

Let us now briefly describe how to generate periodic approximants of quasiperiodic tilings in the framework of the cut-and-project method discussed in section 3.
the Ammann-Beenker tiling. Analogously, one defines rational approximants λ approximants in a way that corresponds to replacing the irrational numbers τ and λ by rational approximants τ_m and λ_m. Here, for the Penrose tiling we use τ_m = f_{m+1}/f_m where f_{m+1} = f_m + f_{m-1}, and f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1 are the Fibonacci numbers, and lim_{m→∞} τ_m = τ. Analogously, one defines rational approximants λ_m = g_{m+1}/g_m with the “octonacci numbers” g_{m+1} = 2g_m + g_{m-1} and g_0 = 0, g_1 = 1, and lim_{m→∞} λ_m = λ for the case of the Ammann-Beenker tiling.

In this way, one obtains periodic approximants of the Penrose tiling with unit cells containing N = 11, 29, 76, 199, 521, 1364 vertices for m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, respectively. The unit cells of the periodic approximants of the Ammann-Beenker tiling with m = 1, 2, 3, 4 contain N = 7, 41, 239, 1393 vertices. For both tilings, the number of oriented edges is 2M = 4N, because each vertex has exactly four neighbours. We note that the

| m | N  | 2M  | g_4   | g_6   | g_8   |
|---|----|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 11 | 44  | 1     | 25/11 ≈ 2.2727 | 127/22 ≈ 5.7727 |
| 2 | 29 | 116 | 1     | 73/29 ≈ 2.5172 | 349/58 ≈ 6.0172 |
| 3 | 76 | 304 | 1     | 5/2 ≈ 2.5000  | 227/38 ≈ 5.9737 |
| 4 | 199| 796 | 1     | 503/199 ≈ 2.5276 | 2399/398 ≈ 6.0276 |
| 5 | 521| 2084| 1     | 1315/521 ≈ 2.5240 | 6273/1042 ≈ 6.0202 |
| 6 | 1364| 5456| 1     | 862/341 ≈ 2.5279 | 4111/682 ≈ 6.0279 |

In Table 5. Expansion coefficients of the free energy for the Ising model on the Penrose tiling and its periodic approximants m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 with N vertices in a unit cell. | m | g_{10} | g_{12} | g_{14} |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 175/11 ≈ 15.909 | 3145/66 ≈ 47.652 | 1812/11 ≈ 164.73 |
| 2 | 504/29 ≈ 17.379 | 341/6 ≈ 56.833 | 601/-29 ≈ 207.27 |
| 3 | 679/38 ≈ 17.686 | 6629/114 ≈ 58.149 | 16123/76 ≈ 212.14 |
| 4 | 3624/199 ≈ 18.211 | 69833/1194 ≈ 58.487 | 42552/199 ≈ 213.83 |
| 5 | 9496/521 ≈ 18.226 | 610783/10420 ≈ 58.616 | 112451/521 ≈ 215.84 |
| 6 | 24921/1364 ≈ 18.271 | 160129/2728 ≈ 58.698 | 294347/1364 ≈ 215.80 |

Table 5. Expansion coefficients of the free energy for the Ising model on the Penrose tiling and its periodic approximants m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 with N vertices in a unit cell.

| m | g_{16} | g_{18} |
|---|--------|--------|
| 1 | 29439/44 ≈ 669.07 | 95119/33 ≈ 2882.4 |
| 2 | 100769/116 ≈ 868.70 | 342484/87 ≈ 3936.6 |
| 3 | 33325/38 ≈ 876.97 | 222817/57 ≈ 3909.1 |
| 4 | 799087/796 ≈ 980.81 | 2345981/597 ≈ 3929.6 |
| 5 | 1867989/2084 ≈ 896.35 | 6128605/1563 ≈ 3921.1 |
| 6 | 1223683/1364 ≈ 897.13 | 4015369/1023 ≈ 3925.1 |

| m  | g_{16} | g_{18} |
|----|--------|--------|
| 1  | -27821 4  + 17750τ ≈ 898.35 | -124027 + 79078 2  τ ≈ 3925.0 |

acceptance domain A and the projection onto perpendicular space E⊥ are altered in a way that corresponds to replacing the irrational numbers τ and λ by rational approximants τ_m and λ_m. Here, for the Penrose tiling we use τ_m = f_{m+1}/f_m where f_{m+1} = f_m + f_{m-1}, and f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1 are the Fibonacci numbers, and lim_{m→∞} τ_m = τ. Analogously, one defines rational approximants λ_m = g_{m+1}/g_m with the “octonacci numbers” g_{m+1} = 2g_m + g_{m-1} and g_0 = 0, g_1 = 1, and lim_{m→∞} λ_m = λ for the case of the Ammann-Beenker tiling.
approximant \( m + 1 \) contains about \( r^2 = r + 1 \approx 2.618 \) and \( \lambda^2 = 2\lambda + 1 \approx 5.828 \) as many vertices and bonds as the approximant \( m \) for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker case, respectively.

For each periodic approximant, we define a matrix \( \tilde{K} \) labeled by oriented edges \( e'_i \) and \( e'_j \) with starting point in the unit cell. It is related to the Kac-Ward matrix \( K \) as

\[
\tilde{K}(e'_i, e'_j) := K(e_i, e_j) \exp(-ik_1\Delta_1) \exp(-ik_2\Delta_2).
\]

Here, we can assume that \( e'_i = e_i \) starts in the unit cell, and \( e'_j \) equals \( e_j \) modulo the unit cell, i.e., if \( b(e_j) = \xi_j V + \eta_j W \), where \( V \) and \( W \) are the base vectors spanning the unit cell, then \( b(e'_j) = \text{frac}(\xi_j)V + \text{frac}(\eta_j)W \) where \( \text{frac}(x) \) denotes the fractional part of \( x \). The integers \( \Delta_1 \) and \( \Delta_2 \) are the integer parts \( \lfloor \xi_j \rfloor \) and \( \lfloor \eta_j \rfloor \), respectively, and \( \tilde{K} \) depends on the “wave vectors” \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) which are real numbers. Due to the fact that the Kac-Ward matrix \( K \) of the periodic approximant is cyclic, its determinant can be expressed as a product of the determinant of \( \tilde{K} \) over all values of \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \), corresponding to a reduction to the unit cell. Calculating the logarithm of \( \tilde{Z}(G) \), and

---

### Table 6

Same as table 5, but for approximants \( m = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) of the Ammann-Beenker tiling.

| \( m \) | \( N \) | \( 2M \) | \( g_4 \) | \( g_6 \) | \( g_8 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 7 | 28 | 1 | \( 17/7 \approx 2.4286 \) | \( 87/14 \approx 6.2143 \) |
| 2 | 41 | 164 | 1 | \( 99/41 \approx 2.4146 \) | \( 529/82 \approx 6.4512 \) |
| 3 | 239 | 956 | 1 | \( 577/239 \approx 2.4142 \) | \( 3087/478 \approx 6.4582 \) |
| 4 | 1393 | 5572 | 1 | \( 3363/1393 \approx 2.4142 \) | \( 17993/2786 \approx 6.4584 \) |
| \( \infty \) | \( \infty \) | \( \infty \) | 1 | \( \lambda \approx 2.4142 \) | \( 47\frac{1}{2} - 17\lambda \approx 6.4584 \) |

| \( m \) | \( g_{10} \) | \( g_{12} \) | \( g_{14} \) |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | \( 115/7 \approx 16.429 \) | \( 2189/42 \approx 52.119 \) | \( 1395/7 \approx 199.29 \) |
| 2 | \( 708/41 \approx 17.268 \) | \( 13183/246 \approx 53.589 \) | \( 7994/41 \approx 194.97 \) |
| 3 | \( 4132/239 \approx 17.289 \) | \( 77029/1434 \approx 53.716 \) | \( 46542/239 \approx 194.74 \) |
| 4 | \( 24084/1393 \approx 17.289 \) | \( 74832/1393 \approx 53.720 \) | \( 271258/1393 \approx 194.73 \) |
| \( \infty \) | \( 138 - 50\lambda \approx 17.289 \) | \( 803\frac{1}{2} - 310\frac{1}{2} \lambda \approx 53.720 \) | \( -1220 + 586\lambda \approx 194.73 \) |

| \( m \) | \( g_{16} \) | \( g_{18} \) |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | \( 22815/28 \approx 814.82 \) | \( 78479/21 \approx 3737.1 \) |
| 2 | \( 132885/164 \approx 810.27 \) | \( 153121/41 \approx 3734.7 \) |
| 3 | \( 774507/956 \approx 810.15 \) | \( 2664121/717 \approx 3715.6 \) |
| 4 | \( 4514157/5572 \approx 810.15 \) | \( 739303/199 \approx 3715.1 \) |
| \( \infty \) | \( 96\frac{3}{4} + 295\frac{1}{4} \lambda \approx 810.15 \) | \( -108706 + 46566\frac{1}{4} \lambda \approx 3715.1 \) |
Taking relation (6.1) into account, one obtains

\[
\ln Z(G) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \ln \det \tilde{K}(k_1, k_2) \, dk_1 dk_2.
\] (6.4)

Let us now expand this equation in a series with respect to \( w \) and compare it with the high-temperature expansion (4.1). For this purpose, we exploit the fact (6.2) that the (finite-dimensional) matrix \( \tilde{K}(k_1, k_2) \) has a form \( \tilde{K}(k_1, k_2) = 1 + w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2) \) where \( \tilde{L}(k_1, k_2) \) has zero trace. Therefore, using

\[
\det [1 + w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2)] = \det \exp \ln [1 + w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2)]
= \exp \text{tr} \ln [1 + w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2)]
\] (6.5)

one obtains, expanding the logarithm in powers of \( w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2) \),

\[
\ln \det K(k_1, k_2) = \text{tr} \ln [1 + w\tilde{L}(k_1, k_2)]
\]
High-temperature expansion for quasiperiodic Ising models

\[
= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p+1}}{p} \text{tr}[\tilde{L}^p(k_1, k_2)] w^p, \quad (6.6)
\]

where, again, only even values of \( p \) yield non-vanishing contributions to the sum. Comparing this result with equation (6.4), we derive an expression for the coefficient \( g_{2n} \) in the expansion (4.1)

\[
g_{2n} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^2 n} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \text{tr}[\tilde{L}^{2n}(k_1, k_2)] dk_1 dk_2 \quad (6.7)
\]

for the periodic approximants. We have calculated the coefficients from (6.7) for the leading orders in \( w \) for both the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. The limitation of the calculation was due to a rapidly growing dimension of the complex matrix \( \tilde{L}(k_1, k_2) \), which was equal to 5456 and 5572 for our largest approximants of the Penrose and Ammann-Beenker tiling, respectively. The results are presented in tables 5 and 6. Clearly, with increasing size of the approximant, the coefficients approach those of the quasiperiodic system, and the coefficients of the largest approximant are already quite close to those of the quasiperiodic case.

We now consider the ratios (5.2) for the periodic approximants of the Penrose tiling. The result is shown in figure 8. Although we included terms up to order \( 2n = 56 \), the data for the two periodic approximants do not lie on straight lines, in contrast to those of the square lattice. Instead, they show large fluctuations, and apparently the fluctuations for the smallest approximant with 11 vertices in the unit cell turn out to be much larger than those for the larger approximant which contains 29 vertices. It would be interesting to have a better understanding of this phenomenon, perhaps an investigation of the complex-temperature phase diagram of the periodic approximants can give an explanation of this observation. Again, figure 8 also shows that the data for the second approximant are already rather close to that of the quasiperiodic tiling, and one might conclude from figure 8 that the fluctuations in the ratios of expansion coefficients become less with increasing size of the approximant.

7. Conclusions

We considered the Ising model on two planar quasiperiodic graphs, the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling. We calculated the leading terms of the high-temperature expansion of the free energy exactly, using the embedding of the quasiperiodic tilings into higher-dimensional periodic lattices to compute the occurrence frequencies of patterns in the tiling. These frequencies are expressed in terms of characteristic quadratic irrationalities related to ten- and eightfold rotational symmetry, the golden mean \( \tau = (1+\sqrt{5})/2 \) and the silver mean \( \lambda = 1+\sqrt{2} \) for the Penrose and the Ammann-Beenker tiling, respectively.

The number of graphs that contribute to a given order in the expansion grows much faster with the order than for a simple periodic lattice, therefore we did not go beyond
the 18th order in the expansion variable \( w = \tanh (\beta J) \) in this work. From our expansion alone, it is difficult to extract information about the critical behaviour. However, using estimates of the critical temperature obtained by other methods to analyze our data, we find that our expansions are in accordance with the the conjecture that Ising models on such planar quasiperiodic graphs belong to the Onsager universality class.

In order to compute the expansion coefficients, we had to construct all polygons on the quasiperiodic graphs with up to \( 2n = 18 \) edges. Thus, we obtain the average number of such self-avoiding polygons as a by-product of our calculation. Comparison with earlier results on self-avoiding walks [17], based on enumerating walks that start from a chosen vertex in the tiling, indicates that the self-avoiding polygons on the examples we considered belong to the same universality class. In particular, this means that the corresponding critical point is described by the same exponents as for the hexagonal lattice which are known analytically [53].

Finally, we considered periodic approximants of the quasiperiodic tilings. For these it is, in principle, possible to compute the free energy of the infinite periodic system analytically. Here, we are only interested in the leading terms of the free energy, which we compare with those of the infinite quasiperiodic tiling. We find that, at least for the leading orders in \( w \), the rational coefficients of the approximants converge rapidly towards the irrational coefficients obtained for the quasiperiodic tiling.

In conclusion, it is doubtful whether the computational effort necessary to extend the expansions to higher order will result in a considerable improvement of the estimates of the critical properties. Instead, it might be more rewarding to consider periodic approximants and use methods as those outlined in section 3 and 61 to compute physical quantities, such as for instance the magnetization or correlation functions.
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