Influence of time on primary stability of dental implants placed with osteotomes due to the elastic properties of peri-implant bone
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**Abstract**

**Background/purpose:** The use of osteotomes as a technique for densification and expansion of the residual ridge is one of the most widely used procedures to achieve adequate peri-implant bone quantity and density. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of time and the elastic deformation of peri-implant bone in the primary stability of implants placed using osteotomes.

**Materials and methods:** In each of 10 fresh fragments of cow rib, two implants were placed using osteotomes. The insertion torque and initial implant stability quotient (ISQ) values were measured. In the control implants, the immediate removal torque was measured, while in the test implants, after 15 min of placement, ISQ values were measured again, and the removal torque was measured.

**Results:** There were significant differences between the ISQ values and between the insertion torque and removal torque at 15 min. The ISQ values (perpendicular/parallel) increased between the initial moment (64.4 ± 9.7/60.3 ± 5.9) and 15 min (66/61.4 ± 6.4). The removal torque at 15 min (12.4 ± 5.8) was lower than the insertion torque (15.9 ± 5.9). Compression of the
Introduction

The use of dental implants for the rehabilitation of dental absences is currently the method most used by clinicians to restore patients who suffer from aesthetic and function loss.1

The prognosis of implant-supported rehabilitation depends on several factors, including having a sufficient quality and quantity of bone for the appropriate three-dimensional placement of the implant and for obtaining correct primary stability.2

Leckholm and Zarb established a classification of bone quality based on the density and distribution of cortical and trabecular bone. Types 3 and 4 describe a low-density bone with thin cortical density and poor trabecular bone compactness, in which the primary stability of the implant may be compromised. Additionally, they established the degrees of reabsorption of the alveolar process that follows the departure of the tooth and determines the amount of bone available. This availability may be insufficient for an ideal placement of the implant considering the optimal comfort zones.3

In cases where there is limited bone availability, both in quantity and quality, the execution of surgical and/or regenerative techniques may be necessary in addition to the placement of the implant.4

The use of osteotomes as a technique for densification and expansion of the residual ridge was first described by Summers and is one of the most widely used procedures to achieve adequate peri-implant bone quantity and density that guarantees the correct prognosis of the treatment.5,6

The achievement of correct primary stability plays an important role in the success of the treatment. An excess of micromovements of the implant in its bed during osseointegration can determine the failure of the process and achievement of fibrointegration.7

Throughout history, various methods have been used to quantify implant stability.8,9 Currently, one of the most valued noninvasive clinical methods is resonance frequency analysis (RFA), introduced by Meredith et al., in 1996.10 An RFA emits a sinusoidal signal to a transducer that is screwed into the implant until it achieves vibration and enters into resonance, obtaining its natural frequency of vibration, translated into a return signal that is captured by the device and interpreted in values of 0–100.11

Several studies show that there is an inverse and linear correlation between the implant stability quotient (ISQ) values of RFA and the micromotion of implants subjected to functional loading.12,13

There are several factors that determine the primary stability of implants, including peri-implant bone density. There are numerous studies that conclude that the greater the bone density, the greater the primary stability of the implant.14,15

Bone is a living tissue under constant remodeling that modifies its structure before the load application.16 As all materials, bone possesses mechanical characteristics that condition its biomechanical behavior; the elasticity or Young’s modulus of peri-implant bone is approximately 15 GPa in cortical and 1 GPa in spongy bone, and both have a Poisson’s coefficient of approximately 0.33.17 These mechanical characteristics give the bone a certain elasticity when tension is applied. When applying tension to a material, it suffers a deformation depending on the elastic modulus of the material; with the same applied tension, the greater the elastic modulus, the less the deformation, and the lower the elastic modulus, the greater the deformation. This deformation can be elastic up to a certain limit, when the material recovers its original dimensions after eliminating the applied tension, or plastic, if the material suffers a permanent deformation and does not recover its original dimensions after eliminating the tension.18

The technique of using osteotomes for carving the peri-implant bed involves a deformation of the bone based on the application of tension by the instrument, so that unlike drilling, bone is not lost during the instrumentation. According to the hypothesis of this test, this deformation is predominantly elastic and will tend to recover its original shape by eliminating tension.19 Therefore, and according to the hypothesis, the primary stability, measured in RFA and torque values, of implants placed in a bed carved with osteotomes will tend to increase with the passage of time due to the recovery of the elastic deformation suffered by the peri-implant bone.

Consequently, the objective of this trial is to evaluate the influence of the elastic behavior of peri-implant bone in the primary stability of dental implants placed using osteotomes.

Materials and methods

Samples and implants

The samples consisted of 10 cow ribs whose peristeum had been removed (measurements = 45 × 20 × 15 mm). Using a drill mounted on a handpiece, the upper cortical bone was removed while choosing locations of low-density trabecular bone, simulating bone type 3 or 4 according to the classification by Leckholm and Zarb.1 Samples were treated according to the method described by Tricio et al.,20 submerged for 5 days in a 50% ethanol saline solution at 15 min of placement.

Conclusion: There is an increase in ISQ values of dental implants placed using osteotomes after 15 min of placement.
room temperature; 12 h before testing, they were stored in saline under refrigeration.

The samples were fixed in plaster blocks to avoid their displacement during the performance of the test (Fig. 1).

Two Klockner Essential Cone implants (Soadco SL, Escaldes Engordany, Andorra), 4 mm in diameter and 10 mm in length with a 1.5 mm polished neck and internal connection with a 4.5 mm platform diameter, were placed in each sample with a minimum separation of 6 mm between the platforms of both implants.

The peri-implant bed was carved by combining drilling and the use of osteotomes, preparing the bed according to the protocol established by the manufacturer: using a 1.8 mm diameter starter drill and a 2.8 mm diameter pilot drill and continuing to carve using osteotomes of 3.3 and 3.6 mm in diameter (Fig. 2).

**Measurements and data collection**

All implants were placed in each sample using a calibrated BTG90CN torque wrench (Tohnichi, Tokyo, Japan), and the insertion and removal torque data were recorded in Ncm (Fig. 3). The implants were placed with the entire treated surface buried in the rib and leaving only the polished neck exposed.

The implant stability in terms of ISQ values was measured by a Penguin RFA device (Integration Diagnostic Sweden AB, Göteborg, Sweden) using a Multipeg (Integration Diagnostic Sweden AB, Göteborg, Sweden) that was screwed into the implant with a 4 to 6 Ncm torque (Fig. 4). The ISQ measurements were performed perpendicular and parallel to the rib and always perpendicular to the axial axis of the implant.

Two implants were placed in each rib: one test implant (n = 10) and one control (n = 10). In the control implants, the insertion torque and initial ISQ value were measured, and the removal torque was immediately measured. In the test implants, the insertion torque and initial ISQ value were measured, and after 15 min, the ISQ value was measured again, and the removal torque was measured.

To corroborate the existence of a predominant elastic or plastic deformation of the peri-implant bone, computerized microtomographs were performed (SkyScan1174 Bruker, Kontich, Belgium) of one implant sample inserted using conventional drilling and another inserted using osteotomes. The composition of the bone trabeculae in intimate relation with the implant was evaluated using visualization and measurement software (DataViewer SkyScan Bruker, Kontich, Belgium).

**Statistical analysis**

A descriptive statistical analysis of the data was conducted, obtaining the mean and standard deviation of each variable.

Using the statistical package SPSS 25.0, the differences between measurements (insertion and removal torque and ISQ values) at both time points were measured using Student’s t test. The statistical significance was set as p < 0.05.
Results

Figs. 5–7 and Table 1 show the descriptive statistics of the study variables according to the evaluation of the control and test groups.

The average insertion torque of the control implants was $15.4 \pm 5.2 \text{ Ncm}$, while that for the corresponding test implants was $15.9 \pm 5.9 \text{ Ncm}$.

The initial mean ISQ value of the control implants was $63.1 \pm 7.3$ for the perpendicular measurement and $69.4 \pm 5.6$ for the parallel measurement; for the test implants it was $64.4 \pm 9$ for the perpendicular measurement and $70.3 \pm 5.9$ for the parallel measurement.

The mean immediate removal torque of the control implants was $11.3 \pm 4.4 \text{ Ncm}$, while the mean removal torque at 15 min for the test implants was $12.4 \pm 5.8 \text{ Ncm}$.

The mean ISQ value at 15 min for the test implants was $66 \pm 2.5$ for the perpendicular measurement and $71.4 \pm 6.4$ for the parallel measurement.

Table 2 shows comparative statistics from Student’s t-test between the ISQ and torque values in both groups.

Statistically significant differences were observed ($p < 0.05$) in the test group between the perpendicular ISQ values, with a significant increase in these values at 15 min compared to the initial moment. No significant differences were found ($p > 0.05$) between the parallel ISQ values at both time points and groups.

There were also statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between the insertion torque and removal torque values at both the initial moment (control group) and at 15 min (test group), resulting in a significant decrease in the removal torque.

Discussion

As is the case for a fracture model, mechanical stabilization between the implant and its bone is critical from the point of view of achieving initial bone healing and subsequent osseointegration. In short, a lack of stabilization of the fracture edges seems to compromise the vascularization of the healing process.\(^{21}\) Primary stability can then be described as a type of inertia of the implant to remain in the same static state during the integration period. Indeed, several studies show that a lack of primary stability increases the risk of suffering from non-osseointegration of the implant and therefore treatment failure.\(^{22,23}\) Micro-movements greater than $150 \mu\text{m}$ due to a lack of implant stability can produce a fibrous encapsulation or fibrointegration of the implant.\(^{7}\)

There are some factors that compromise the achievement of correct primary stability; one is deficient bone availability, both in terms of quantity and quality. The use of osteotomes as a surgical technique for densification and bone expansion has been extensively tested and is currently one of the most used techniques for obtaining correct primary stability of implants placed in locations with low density or with a bone width deficit.\(^{24,25}\)

Numerous clinical trials demonstrate greater primary stability in implants placed in beds worked with osteotomes compared with those carved using conventional drilling techniques.\(^{26–28}\)
was much smaller than that in the parallel direction, and the compression of the sample in the perpendicular direction, which is reversible; therefore, when the applied tension is eliminated, the material tends to recover its original dimensions. 

The reason for the increase in primary stability when using osteotomes is because of the increase in peri-implant bone density when the bone is condensed instead of being removed by drilling. This type of behavior by the bone is a result of its elastic characteristics, since it is a material with a low Young’s modulus and, above all, dense type 3 and 4 bones according to the classification of Lekholm and Zarb with an approximately 1 GPa modulus. According to Hooke’s law, materials with a low elastic modulus will suffer a greater deformation when applying the same tension than will materials with a greater modulus. This deformation will be elastic, and therefore reversible, if the elastic limit of the material is not exceeded. If this were the case, the deformation would be plastic and therefore irreversible. Elastic deformation is defined as deformation that is reversible; therefore, when the applied tension is eliminated, the material tends to recover its original dimensions.

When performing the technique of condensation and/or expansion using osteotomes, tension is applied to the bone, producing the elastic deformation of the bone. According to the premise mentioned above, when the implant is placed in the peri-implant bone, this bone tend, with time, to recover its primary situation and therefore stabilize the implant even more.

According to the initial ISQ values (64.4 ± 9 and 70.3 ± 5.9) of the test implants and the ISQ values at 15 min (66 ± 2.5 and 71.4 ± 6.4), all the test implants experienced an increase in ISQ values after 15 min of carving of the bed with osteotomes. Additionally, statistically significant differences were found between the perpendicular ISQ values at both time points, but not between the parallel ISQ values. This difference may be due to the size of the section of the sample in the perpendicular direction, which was much smaller than that in the parallel direction, and therefore the bone compression in this sense was less influential.

Evaluation of the computerized microtomographs of the samples showed compaction and compression of the peri-implant bone trabeculae around the implants inserted using osteotomes (Fig. 8), while it showed a greater fracture of the bone trabeculae, in addition to a considerable gap between the bone and the implants inserted using conventional drilling (Fig. 9).

This compression of the trabeculae, which is produced by carving the bed with osteotomes, can be translated to a large extent into an elastic deformation of the bone with a tendency toward decompression and recovery of its original dimensions, which would validate the initial hypothesis of this test. However, these results do not clearly indicate that the use of osteotomes is preferable to drilling for osseointegration; to arrive at such a conclusion, it would be necessary to know if the condensation that is valued in the trabeculae can have a mechanical response only, or also by mechanisms of osteocitary mechano-perception, which is a catabolic biological response.

In our trial, we did not find statistically significant differences in the values of insertion torque or initial ISQ in either group, since all the implants were macro- and microscopically identical, and the peri-implant bed was carved according to the same protocol in all implants.

Inferior Superior

Related differences t gl Sig. (bilateral)

|     | Mean | Stand. Dev. | Mean tip. error | 95% difference confidence interval | Inferior | Superior |
|-----|------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Par 1 | ISQ_perpend_0 - ISQ_perpend_15 | -1,60000 | 1,77639 | ,56174 | -2,87075 | -3,2925 | -2848 | 9 | .019 |
| Par 2 | ISQ_parallel_0 - ISQ_parallel_15 | -1,10000 | 1,79196 | ,56667 | -2,38189 | -1,8189 | -1941 | 9 | .084 |
| Par 3 | TI - TD_0min | 3,70000 | 2,49666 | ,78951 | 1,91399 | 5,48601 | 4686 | 9 | .001 |

Table 1 Descriptive statistical analysis. Mean and standard deviation of variables and subgroups.

Table 2 Comparative statistics from Student’s t-test between the ISQ and torque values.
entrance of the implant in its bed is equivalent to the passage of a metal bar that produces the shape of the incoming thread, which justifies that the implant has a lower removal torque than insertion torque, both at the initial moment and at 15 min. It is necessary to take into consideration that it is not necessary to remove the implant for the RFA test, and thus the test is not only noninvasive but also does not change the environmental conditions during measurement.

The conflicting results obtained in the torque and ISQ values reflect, as several studies conclude, the use of RFA as a noninvasive method of evaluation of implant stability versus torque, since the latter only responds to the mechanical resistance of bone and it is possible to clinically evaluate primary stability using only the insertion torque.\textsuperscript{30,31} On the other hand, RFA can be used for the evaluation of primary stability, as well as to monitor the osseointegration process.\textsuperscript{10}

The choice of the waiting time of 15 min between measurements in the test implants was confirmed by carrying out a pilot test that used the same methodology as the one developed in this article and evaluated several waiting times of 0, 5, 10, 15 and 20 min, which showed an increase in ISQ values at 15 min, and after 20 min, a maintenance and stabilization of these values was observed in all the evaluated cases. Even so, we do not know if there would be a difference in a greater period of time, and this can be interpreted as a limitation of the study.

According to the results obtained and discussed in this in vitro test, and considering the limitations inherent to it, the following conclusions can be drawn.

There are statistically significant differences in the ISQ values and insertion and removal torque of implants placed using osteotomes after 15 min of their placement.

In this sense, there is an increase in ISQ values, both perpendicular and parallel, 15 min after implant placement, with a peri-implant bed carved using osteotomes.

However, there is a lower removal torque at 15 min compared with the insertion torque of implants placed using the osteotome technique.

Lastly, in vivo tests are required to obtain more accurate conclusions, since there are many factors that can influence implant stability.
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