The protein regulator ArgR and the sRNA derived from the 3′-UTR region of its gene, ArgX, both regulate the arginine deiminase pathway in *Lactococcus lactis*
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Abstract

Small regulatory RNAs (sRNAs) and their enormous potential and versatility have provided us with an astounding insight in the complexity of bacterial transcriptomes. sRNAs have been shown to be involved in a variety of cellular processes that range from stress to general metabolism. Here we report that the gene encoding the transcriptional regulator ArgR is immediately followed by the gene of the small regulatory RNA ArgX. The latter is transcribed from its own promoter. The production of ArgX is induced by increasing arginine concentrations and repressed by CcpA. Previously, ArgR was shown to act as a transcriptional repressor of the catabolic arginine deiminase pathway (*arc* operon) by binding in the promoter region of *arcA*. Here we demonstrate that ArgX downregulates *arc* mRNA levels. Furthermore, ArgX putatively blocks the translation of one of the genes in the operon, *arcC1*, a process that would redirect an intermediate in arginine degradation, carbamoyl phosphate, towards pyrimidine synthesis. Our findings exemplify, for the first time, the combinatorial power of a transcription factor and a small regulatory RNA derived from the 3′-UTR region. The regulators ArgR and ArgX share a common target, but act on transcription and on RNA level, respectively.

Introduction

Post-transcriptional regulation by regulatory RNAs has added huge complexity to gene regulatory networks, which contained until recently mainly information of protein regulators such as transcription factors (TFs). Regulatory RNAs influence gene expression by base pairing to target mRNAs and thereby affect the stability of the transcript or its translation [1]. This process can result in gene activation or repression [2–4]. Some regulatory RNAs are transcribed from the DNA strand opposite to the coding strand of the gene they regulate and, thus, (partially) overlap with the gene transcript. These so-called antisense RNAs (asRNAs) have the potential to base-pair with the mRNA by means of a perfect match [5,6]. In contrast, small regulatory RNAs (sRNAs) from intergenic regions usually form a duplex with, often, different
mRNAs by means of imperfect base pairing. In many bacteria with GC-rich genomes, the RNA chaperone Hfq acts as a mediator to facilitate the binding between sRNA and its target mRNA [7,8]. A study that involved sequencing of Hfq-bound RNAs in *Salmonella typhimurium*, has shown that the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of mRNAs can harbor functional sRNAs. Such an sRNA can either derive from the transcript via an RNase-mediated processing event or result from transcription from its own promoter. Both the sRNA and the mRNA share a common terminator structure [9]. Only a small number of sRNAs derived from 3'-UTRs have been functionally characterized, such as DapZ from *Salmonella*, which is transcribed from a promoter located in the very end of the open reading frame of *dapB*, a gene involved in lysine biosynthesis. It has been shown that DapZ represses the synthesis of ABC peptide uptake systems such as Opp and Dpp [9]. The *Streptomyces coelicolor* regulatory sRNA s-SodF is derived from processing of the 3'-UTR of the *sodF* gene, encoding an Fe-containing superoxide dismutase (SOD). s-SodF negatively regulates the Ni-containing SOD, SodN, by blocking translation of *sodN* mRNA and also destabilizing the transcript [10]. CpxQ is another example of an sRNA that is formed by transcript processing, in this case of *cpxP* mRNA cleaved by RNase E. The Cpx pathway monitors misfolded inner membrane (IM) proteins. While the protein chaperone CpxP directs misfolded proteins in the IM towards degradation, the sRNA CpxQ downregulates mRNAs of proteins located in the cell envelope [11]. In *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*, the singlet oxygen-induced SorX sRNA is also generated by RNase E cleavage from the 3'-UTR of an mRNA encoding, in this case, an OmpR-type transcriptional regulator. A 116-nt pre-SorX transcript is further processed into the more abundant 75-nt SorX. SorX targets *potA* mRNA which encodes part of a spermidine uptake system. SorX counteracts oxidative stress by down-regulating *potA*, which results in reduced spermidine uptake, thus lowering the sensitivity of the cells to organic hydroperoxides [12]. It was noted that the limited number of characterized sRNAs derived from 3'-UTRs target functions similar to those of their parental mRNAs [13].

In this study, we strengthen this notion by the characterization of an sRNA, ArgX, located in the 3'-UTR of the *Lactococcus lactis* gene *argR*, a regulator in arginine metabolism in this lactic acid bacterium. From a collection of 186 sRNAs we recently identified in the intergenic regions of *L. lactis*, ten were located in the 3'-UTRs of mRNAs [14]. These 3'-UTR-derived sRNAs are formed via transcription from their own promoters, as a TEX-treated pool of sequenced RNAs was used in this study, resulting in an enrichment of primary transcripts [15]. It can, however, not be excluded that these sRNAs undergo additional processing.

Arginine is a non-essential amino acid in *L. lactis* that can use it as a nitrogen, carbon and energy source. The synthesis in *L. lactis* of arginine from glutamate is encoded by the operons *argCJDBF, argGH* and *gltS-argE* while arginine catabolism is mediated by a large *arc* operon, *arcABD1C1C2TD2*. The arginine/ornithine antiporter ArcD facilitates arginine uptake. In *L. lactis* MG1363 ArcD1 seems to be the main arginine/ornithine exchanger in the arginine deiminase (ADI) pathway, while ArcD2 functions together with ArcT as an arginine/alanine exchanger in another pathway [16]. Arginine is converted via citrulline into carbamoylphosphat,e which is further degraded into ammonia and carbon dioxide with production of one molecule of ATP per arginine. Carbamoylphosphate can also be used for the *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidines. The *arc* operon is highly regulated by the transcription factors CcpA, CodY and ArgR/AhrC [17–19]. CcpA represses *arc* and a catabolite responsive element (*cre* site) is present in the promoter region of *arcA*, *ParcA* [19]. In addition, *ParcA* contains six ARC boxes, which represent ARG box half sites that are found in the promoters of genes of the arginine biosynthetic pathway. In the absence of or during arginine limitation, the regulator AhrC facilitates the binding of the repressor ArgR to the ARC boxes, which leads to repression of arginine degradation and simultaneous activation of arginine biosynthesis. This mechanism is
reversed in the presence of arginine, which acts as a co-repressor and binds to AhrC. ArgR in a complex with arginine-bound AhrC shifts its preference to ARG boxes. In this model, ArgR acts as a DNA binding protein, while AhrC senses and binds arginine [20]. The argR gene is located upstream of the arc operon, with only the argS gene intervening.

Here we show by transcriptome and proteome studies that ArgX affects arc/Arc expression, and verified these results using an arc-sfgfp fusion in various genetic backgrounds. Furthermore, we examined the promoter of ArgX and show that it behaves strikingly similar to P_{arcA}; it responds to arginine and is controlled by the transcription factors CcpA and ArgR.

Materials and methods

Bacterial growth, plate reader assays and microscopy

Table 1 presents an overview of strains used in this study. L. lactis was routinely grown as standing cultures at 30˚C in CDMPC [21] or M17 broth (Difco, Becton Dickinson, Le Pont de

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant phenotype or genotype | Reference |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Strains           |                               |           |
| MG1363            | L. lactis subsp. cremoris, plasmid-free derivative of NCDO712 | [22]      |
| NZ9000            | MG1363, pepN::nisRK            | [23]      |
| MG1363 ΔccpA      | MG1363, ccpA deletion mutant   | [19]      |
| MG1363 ΔcodY      | MG1363, codY deletion mutant   | [24]      |
| MGArgR            | MG1363, argR deletion mutant   | [25]      |
| SVDM2004          | NZ9000, ArgX deletion mutant   | This work |
| SVDM2005          | SVDM2004, ArgX gene integrated in pSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2006          | MG1363, P_{ArgX}-sfgfp fusion integrated in pSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2007          | NZ9000, P_{ArgX} with mutated -10 promoter sequence (-10 mut) | This work |
| SVDM2008          | MG1363, P_{ArgX}^{-10} mut-sfgfp fusion integrated in pSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2009          | MG1363, ΔccpA, P_{ArgX}-sfgfp fusion integrated in pSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2010          | MG1363, ΔArgR, P_{ArgX}-sfgfp fusion integrated in PSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2011          | MG1363, ΔcodY, P_{ArgX}-sfgfp fusion integrated in PSEUDO_10 | This work |
| SVDM2012          | Cm', Em', NZ9000 (pSVDM5004; pNZ8048) | This work |
| SVDM2013          | Cm', Em', NZ9000 (pSVDM5004; pSVDM5005) | This work |
| SVDM2014          | Cm', Em', NZ9000 (pSVDM5004; pSVDM5006) | This work |
| SVDM2015          | Cm', Em', NZ9000 (pSVDM5004; pSVDM5007) | This work |
| Plasmids          |                               |           |
| pNZ8048           | Cm', high copy number cloning vector | [23]      |
| pIL253            | Em', medium copy number cloning vector | [26]      |
| pCS1966           | Em', oroP, integration vector | [27]      |
| pSEUDO            | Em', vector for integration in the pseudo_10 locus | [28]      |
| pSEUDO-GFP        | Em', vector for integration of gfp fusion constructs in pseudo_10 | [28]      |
| pVE6007           | Cm', plasmid with thermo-sensitive replication | [29]      |
| pfp005            | Cm', pNZ8048, with recT under control of P_{nisA} | [30]      |
| pSVDM5003         | Cm', pGho containing P_{nisA}+recT | This work |
| pSVDM5004         | Em', pIL253 containing P_{nisA}-arcAD1-RBS_{arcC}-sfgfp | This work |
| pSVDM5005         | Cm', pNZ8048 carrying P_{nisA}-ArgX | This work |
| pSVDM5006         | Cm', pNZ8048 carrying P_{nisA}-argRX | This work |
| pSVDM5007         | Cm', pNZ8048 carrying P_{nisA}-argR(Δstart)X | This work |

Cm': Chloramphenicol resistance marker, Em': Erythromycin resistance marker.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218508.t001
Claix, France) containing 0.5% (w/v) glucose (GM17), and on GM17 agar plates. Chloramphenicol (5 μg ml⁻¹) and erythromycin (5 μg ml⁻¹) were added when required. Plate reader assays were performed by loading 200 μl of a mixture of CDM medium and cell culture on a 96-wells microtiter plate. Measurements of optical density of the cultures at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) and GFP fluorescence (excitation wavelength of 485 nm and emission wavelength of 535 nm) were performed in a Tecan F200 (Tecan Group, Männedorf, Switzerland). A Delta Vision Elite microscope (GE Healthcare Europe GmbH, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) and an Olympus MVX10 macroscope (Olympus B.V., Zoeterwoude, the Netherlands) were used for fluorescence microscopy.

**General DNA techniques and *L. lactis* strain construction**

Plasmid DNA and PCR fragments were purified with the NucleoSpin Plasmid kit and NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up kit (Machery-Nagel GmbH, Düren, Germany). The enzymes that were used were produced by Fermentas/Thermo Scientific, Vilnius, Lithuania, unless stated otherwise.

The strain with a mutation in the -10 box of the promoter of ArgX was made by applying the recombineering technique [30,31]. To enable recombineering, *recT* was expressed from pSVDM5003. This plasmid was constructed by amplification of the *recT* gene from pJP005 [30], introducing a BamHI and an XhoI site. The BamHI and XhoI digested *recT* gene was then inserted by restricting pVE6007 [29] with the same enzymes, followed by ligation by T4 DNA ligase. For recombineering transformation, 100 μg of phosphorothioate-modified single-stranded DNA oligonucleotide (Biolegio, Nijmegen, The Netherlands) was used, which was introduced via electroporation using a Bio-Rad Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, CA) at 2.5 kV, 25 μF and 200 Ohm. Cells with the anticipated mutation were cured from the pVE6007_ recT (pSVDM5003) plasmid by growing them overnight in non-selective medium (GM17) at 37°C.

*L. lactis*ΔArgX was made by double crossover recombination (DCO). To facilitate DCO, the flanking regions of ArgX were amplified; the restriction sites XbaI and PstI were introduced for the upstream region, and PstI and XhoI site for the downstream region. These fragments were then cloned in plasmid pCS1966 [27] by XbaI and XhoI restriction, followed by ligation and used to transform *E. coli*. The plasmids were isolated from *E. coli* and integrated in the *L. lactis* chromosome using erythromycin selection for integration and 5-fluoroorotate counter selection for the excision step, respectively.

The *L. lactis* ΔArgX complementation strain was constructed by amplifying a 388-bp fragment containing ArgX and its promoter and inserting it in pSEUDO [28] using the restriction enzymes BamHI and EcoRI. This plasmid was constructed in *E. coli*, isolated and integrated into *L. lactis* cells using a double cross-over method [28].

The remaining *L. lactis* mutants were made using restriction-and-ligation-independent cloning [32], by separately amplifying the vector backbone and the required insert(s) with the polymerase pfuX7 [33], which were subsequently treated with the USER enzyme mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Ligation mixtures were used to transform *L. lactis* by electroporation. A transcriptional fusion was made between *P*<sub>ArgX</sub> and the gene for superfolder GFP (*sfgfp*) [34] and integrated in the transcriptionally silent pseudo_10 locus [28] in order to examine the activity of *P*<sub>ArgX</sub>. Overexpression of ArgX, argRX and argRX with a mutated start codon (pSVDM5005, pSVDM5006 and pSVDM5007) was done by introducing these genes under the nisin inducible promoter *P*<sub>nica</sub> on the high copy plasmid pNZ8048 [23]. To test the effect of the overproduction of these three variations of ArgX on *arc-sfgfp* expression, the region of *P*<sub>arcA</sub> until the start codon of *arcC1* was fused to the *sfgfp* gene and inserted in pIL253.
[26], resulting in pSVDM5004. Table 1 and S1 Table provide an overview of strains and oligonucleotides used in this study, respectively.

Proteome analysis and mass spectrometry

*L. lactis* strains NZ9000 and NZ9000ΔArgX were grown in four biological replicates overnight in GM17, diluted 1:100 in 100 ml fresh GM17 and grown to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.5, after which the cells of 50 ml of culture were harvested by centrifugation for 10 min at 7,200 g and 20˚C. Cells were washed twice with 5 ml 20 mM Tris-HCl and re-suspended in 0.5 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.3% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 200 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 50 mM MgCl$_2$, supplemented with DNase I (1 mg/ml), RNase (0.25 mg/ml) and mutanolysin (150 U/ml)), and disrupted with glass beads (75–150 μm, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Rockford, IL) in a Biospec Mini-BeadBeater (Biospec Products, Bartlesville, OK). After a first centrifugation step at 10,000 g for 5 min at 4˚C, the resulting supernatant fraction was centrifuged once more (20,000 g 8 min at 4˚C). Protein samples were prepared by adding 35 mg/ml urea, 2.5 μl tributylphosphine (Bio-Rad), 5 μl ampholytes (Bio-lyte, Bio-Rad) and 5 mg CHAPS (3-[(cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) to 140 μl of the cell free extract, resulting in a total sample volume of 250 μl.

First dimension electrophoresis (iso-electric focusing) and second dimension sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) were performed as described before [35]. Differentially expressed protein spots were identified and statistically analyzed using the Delta2D Image analysis program (Decodon GmbH, Greifswald, Germany), excised, de-stained with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate in 50% acetonitrile/water and dried prior to overnight digestion with 10 μl of 10 ng/μl trypsin. The obtained peptide mixture was purified with ZipTips (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) and spotted on a MALDI plate. MALDI-TOF analysis was performed on a Voyager DE Pro (AB Science, Paris, France) and protein identification was done using the Mascot database search on www.matrixscience.com.

RNA isolation and quality control

RNA was isolated as described before [14]. In short, frozen cell pellets were re-suspended in 400 μl TE-buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA; pH 8.0), and added with 50 μl of 10% SDS, 500 μl phenol/chloroform and 0.5 g glass beads (75–150 μm, Fischer Scientific). The cells were disrupted in a Biospec Mini-BeadBeater using 2 cycles of 45 sec with a 1-min interval on ice. Nucleic acids were recovered by chloroform extraction and treated with DNase I supplemented with Ribolock RNase inhibitor (Fermentas/Thermo Scientific)) for 30 min at 37˚C. RNA was retrieved using standard phenol/chloroform extraction and sodium acetate/ethanol precipitation. RNA pellets were dissolved in elution buffer from the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, the Netherlands) and subsequently stored at -80˚C. RNA concentration was measured using a Nanodrop ND-1000 (Thermo Fischer Scientific). RNA quality was assessed by checking the integrity of the 16S/23S rRNA and the presence of any DNA contamination on a 1% agarose/1% bleach gel [36].

Northern hybridization

Separation of total RNA (10 μg) was performed on 8% or 12% polyacrylamide gels in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, and 1 mM EDTA). As a denaturing agent, 1% bleach was used to replace 7 M urea. The separated RNAs were then transferred to a positively charged Zeta-Probe nylon membrane (Bio-Rad), using semi-dry electroblocting (Bio-Rad). RNAs were covalently cross-linked to the nylon membranes at 1200 mJ in a UVC-508 Ultraviolet Crosslinker (Ultra-Lum Inc., Carson, CA). ssDNA oligonucleotides (See S1 Table) were
labeled with $^{32}$P-γ-ATP using Polynucleotide kinase (Fermentas/Thermo Scientific), according to instructions of the manufacturer. The membranes were incubated overnight for hybridization at 42˚C in PerfectHyb Plus Hybridization buffer (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie Gmbh, Munich, Germany) with 9 μl 0.16 pmol/μl of the labeled probe. Membranes were washed twice in 2x saline sodium citrate (SSC) buffer with 0.1% SDS, after which they were exposed to a Phosphor Screen overnight. A Cyclone Plus Phosphor Imager and OptiQuant software (PerkinElmer, Groningen, NL) was used for imaging.

RNA deep sequencing and data analysis

RNA samples were sequenced at the Primbio Research Institute (Exton, PA), who performed Ribo-Zero rRNA removal and library preparation using the AmpliSeq kit (ThermoFischer Scientific). The cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Ion Proton sequencer (ThermoFischer Scientific). Raw sequence reads were analyzed for quality, trimmed with a PHRED score >28 and aligned to the genome *L. lactis* NZ9000 using Bowtie 2 [37]. RKPM values were used as an input for the T-REx analysis pipeline for statistical analysis to determine differentially expressed genes [38]. For the T-REx analysis, a text file describing the factors, contrasts and classes specifying genes from the *arc* (red) and *arg* (blue) operons were written. These text files, together with the RKPM values are available in S2 Table. The RNA-seq data have been uploaded under GEO accession number GSE104515.

Results

ArgX biogenesis and homology in other *L. lactis* species

Previously, we have identified the putative small regulatory RNA LLMGnc_172 by differential RNA sequencing [14]. This sRNA of ~66-nt, which we rename here as ArgX, is expressed from the same strand as the immediate upstream gene, *argR*, and overlaps with the *argR* 3’-UTR, thus sharing a common terminator sequence (Fig 1A and 1B). Northern analysis using a probe for ArgX shows that an additional larger band exists, which likely represents the *argR* transcript including ArgX. To provide evidence that both ArgX and *argR* overlap, we designed a probe that would anneal to the coding region of *argR*. Since we observed identical sizes on both blots, we conclude that ArgX indeed overlaps with *argR* (Fig 1C).

ArgX was identified in a 5′-enriched fraction of total RNA of *L. lactis* that resulted in primary transcript reads due to a treatment with Terminator 5’-Phosphate-Dependent Exonuclease (TEX). A nearly perfect -10 RNA polymerase recognition sequence (TATACT) was present upstream of the ArgX transcription start site [14], indicating that ArgX is transcribed from its own promoter. We mutated the putative -10 promoter sequence to investigate the possibility that ArgX might also be derived from a processing event, as has been described for CpxQ [11]. Northern analysis revealed that ArgX is not produced in the strain with the -10 box mutation and that, thus, ArgX is derived only by activity from its own promoter, not by processing from the larger *argX* transcript (Fig 1D). In an *L. lactis* ΔArgX complementation mutant, carrying ArgX including a 246-bp region upstream region, a band of the size of ArgX reappeared in the Northern blot (Fig 1D). We also observe an increase of ArgX expression under high arginine conditions, a result that is further examined below.

A GLASSgo search [39] revealed that ArgX is highly conserved in other strains of *L. lactis*, especially when comparing the *argR* coding region immediately upstream of the ArgX gene (Fig 1E). Notably, *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* strains differ from *L. lactis* subsp. *cremoris* strains by an A to G mutation in the stem of the terminator (Fig 1E), although this change does not alter its structure or stability, as predicted by Mfold [40]. We could not identify homologs in other, more distant bacteria, using BLAST search and the GLASSgo output.
Fig 1. Biogenesis and sequence analysis of ArgX. (A) Schematic overview of the genomic locus in *L. lactis* of argR and ArgX. Black bent arrows indicate promoters. (B) Secondary structure of ArgX as predicted by Mfold [40]. (C) Northern analysis on an 8% polyacrylamide gel with a probe for ArgX (left) or for ArgR (right). Total RNA was used from exponential (OD$_{600}$ of 1.0) and stationary phase (2h after an OD$_{600}$ of 2.0 was reached) cultures, that were pooled in a 1:1 ratio after RNA isolation. The Northern analysis was repeated twice with identical results. (D) Northern hybridization analysis on an 12% polyacrylamide gel of ArgX using various growth phases/conditions and mutants of ArgX, showing that the sRNA ArgX regulated the arc operon in *Lactococcus lactis*. 

| Probe: | ArgX$^+$ | argR$^-$ |
|-------|---------|---------|
| 111403 | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| CV56   | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| KF147  | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| TO-1   | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| S0     | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| A106   | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| DK11   | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| A76    | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| MG1363 | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |
| EM2    | TCTTTACTGAGAATAATAGTATTTATTAGACTATTATTTT...TTAAACCAATTAGGTTCTTTACTTTT |

The sRNA ArgX regulated the arc operon in *Lactococcus lactis*
ArgX is derived solely from its own promoter and not from processing. A specific probe for ArgX was used. As a control for RNA quantity and quality, the 5S RNA was used as a control. (E) Nucleotide sequence of ArgX (black box) and its promoter region compared to ten L. lactis species. The black arrow indicates the transcription start of ArgX as determined in L. lactis MG1363. Asterisks: conserved nucleotides (in red), alternative nucleotides in blue or black, the promoter -10 box is indicated.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218508.g001

The promoter of ArgX is highly regulated and responds to arginine

Various sRNAs are controlled by transcriptional regulators, as well as the other way around [41]. For instance, the sRNA RyhB, which is involved in iron homeostasis in E. coli, is repressed by the ferric uptake regulator Fur [42], of which the translation is negatively influenced by RyhB [43]. Other sRNAs are induced by a sigma factor, such as MicA and RybB, which are regulated by RpoE involved in extracytoplasmic stress [44–46]. In our previous work we predicted the presence of a Catabolite Repressive Element (CRE-box) in the ArgX promoter, slightly upstream of the -10 sequence [14], suggesting that the carbon catabolite protein, CcpA, controls ArgX expression. CcpA is a pleiotropic transcription factor that acts as a repressor when glucose is available as a carbon source [19,47]. The chromosomal P<sub>ArgX</sub>-<sub>sfgfp</sub> fusion was used to examine the activity of P<sub>ArgX</sub>. A heterogeneous gene expression pattern was apparent in cells growing in the presence of moderate concentrations (2 mM) of arginine. This heterogeneity in P<sub>ArgX</sub> activity was not observed at high levels (25 mM) of arginine (Fig 2A). This behavior relays to the macroscopic level as very bright patches of GFP-producing cells in otherwise non-fluorescent colonies (Fig 2B). The strain containing P<sub>ArgX</sub>-<sub>sfgfp</sub> was grown in CDMPC with different concentrations of arginine and with glucose as a carbon source. These studies revealed that P<sub>ArgX</sub> is induced by arginine, in a concentration dependent response (Fig 2C). This effect was only seen in stationary phase, which suggests that CcpA might have a repressive effect during the exponential growth phase.

Subsequently, we performed transcriptome analyses on L. lactis mutants in which the gene of one of three transcriptions factors, CcpA, CodY or ArgR was deleted from the chromosome. In the latter mutant, ArgX was still intact [25]. The deletion of ccpA (+ 3.9-fold) or codY (-3.2-fold) had a significant effect on ArgX expression, whereas ArgR deletion did not (see S3 Table). An arginine-dependent response of P<sub>ArgX</sub> does suggest involvement of ArgR in regulating ArgX. Using the ARC box sequences (half ARG box sites) of P<sub>arcA</sub> [20] we identified a consensus sequence, WGHATADW, that was used to scan ArgX promoter region. This consensus sequence largely overlaps with the -10 sequence of P<sub>ArgX</sub>. We therefore integrated P<sub>ArgX</sub>-<sub>sfgfp</sub> in the pseudo_10 locus in the ccpA, argR or codY deletion backgrounds and measured GFP activity in the various strains in the stationary phase in the presence of high (25 mM) or low (1 mM) concentrations of arginine. All mutations have an influence on the expression of P<sub>ArgX</sub> under both conditions, albeit that removal of argR or codY has a minimal effect while deletion of ccpA has by far the highest impact (Fig 2D). It furthermore appears that the effect of CodY as measured with the transcriptional fusion is not in line with the decreased expression of ArgX in a ΔcodY mutant that we observed in the transcriptome data. We note here that the difference in media, rich GM17 media for the transcriptome study and CDMPC for the plate reader assay, could be at the basis of the observed difference. Also, it is possible that this discrepancy could be due to potential indirect effects caused by CodY that influence the promoter activity of ArgX.

Expression of arc/Arc is elevated after deletion of the ArgX gene

To examine the potential targets of ArgX, an ArgX mutant strain was constructed that lacks the -10 promoter sequence and the first 32 nucleotides of ArgX (L. lactisΔArgX). The mutation
was made such that it did not touch the terminator structure in order not to affect transcription termination of the argR transcript. The transcriptome and proteome of *L. lactis* ΔArgX were compared to those of the wildtype strain *L. lactis* NZ9000 using RNA-Seq and 2D gel electrophoresis, respectively. For the analysis, the strains were grown in rich GM17 medium. It is important to mention that no effects were seen at the level of argR mRNA or on the ArgR protein level. The arcABD1C1C2 (6.5 ± 0.7), argGH (9.3 ± 2.1), gltS/argE (8.5 ± 0.6), argFBDJC (22.7 ± 12.5) and gltQP (8.2 ± 2.0) gene clusters were upregulated in the exponential growth phase in the mutant strain relative to the wildtype (see S3 Table). The transcripts that encode genes for arginine biosynthesis are possibly induced, since the level of arginine has most probably decreased due to the high expression of arc in *L. lactis*ΔArgX. One of the most affected...
genes, with an almost 1500-fold upregulation, was \textit{llmg\_1128}. This hypothetical gene has been previously correlated with strain robustness [48], and is possibly expressed by the stress caused by disrupted arginine regulation. Overall, the changes are strikingly similar to those observed in the \textit{L. lactis ccpA} and \textit{argR} mutants. In the stationary phase, pleiotropic effects were observed in the strain lacking ArgX, including elevated \textit{arc} expression (3.8 ± 0.9) (Fig 3A).

We also studied the proteome to uncover possible differences as a consequence of the absence of ArgX in the exponential growth phase. Eleven protein spots had changed significantly; these were analyzed by MALDI-TOF and identified by using the Mascot server. For three spots, no protein could be identified. Four spots were identified as representing ArcA and another four as ArcB, with an average increase of 4.4 (± 1.5) and 4.9 (± 1.5) fold, respectively, in the ArgX deletion mutant relative to the wildtype strain (Fig 3B). The increase in the amount of ArcA/B proteins reflects the changes of their transcripts but other significant protein changes were not detected.

**Fig 3. Transcriptome and proteome analysis of the \textit{L. lactis} ArgX deletion mutant SVDM2004.** (A) Volcano plots generated by T-REX [38], showing the RNA-seq results of the effect of ArgX deletion. Genes present outside the grey areas indicate a p-value of ≥ 0.05 and a fold change of ≥ 2. Genes outside dashed lines; p-value of ≥ 0.01 with fold change ≥ 5. Left: exponential phase, right: stationary phase. Yellow dots represent genes from the arginine catabolism (\textit{arc}), blue dots those involved in arginine anabolism (\textit{arg}). The shaded circles surrounding the genes provides a measure for the expression level. Two biological replicates were used for each strain. (B) Analysis by 2D gel electrophoresis of the proteomes of \textit{L. lactis} SVDM2004 (left) compared and that of the wildtype strain, NZ9000 (right), grown in GM17 media in four biological replicates. Blue circles represent spots of arginine deaminase (ArcA), red circles represent the ornithine carbamyltransferase (ArcB) enzyme, as determined by MALDI-TOF analysis.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218508.g003
ArgX affects arc expression directly and decreases growth rate when arginine is absent

ArgX is predicted by TargetRNA 2 [49] to interact with the RBS of arcC1, which is the fourth gene encoded on the arc transcript, downstream of arcA, arcB and arcD1 (Fig 4A). To study the direct effect of overexpression of ArgX RNA on the expression of arc, a plasmid was constructed containing a DNA fragment with the arc promoter region and arc genes until the start codon of arcC1 fused to the sfgfp gene (pIL253::ParcA-arcABD1-RBSarcC1-sfgfp). We decided to construct this fusion on a plasmid because it would otherwise destroy the native arc operon. In addition, plasmids were made that contained the ArgX gene or the argR gene including the ArgX sequence, the latter with or without a disrupted start codon AAG (argR(Δstart)X). These genes were each placed under control of the nisin-inducible promoter PnisA. As a control, the empty expression vector pNZ8048 was used [23] (Fig 4B). The results (Fig 4C) show that induction with nisin of ArgX expression leads to a decrease of arc expression. Lower GFP fluorescence was measured under uninduced and induced conditions in strains SVDM2014 and SVDM2015 containing the mutated and the intact argR gene, probably because ArgX sRNA is produced from its own promoter in these constructs. Since the media contained 25 mM of arginine, this would hold the native operon in an inactive state.

Fig 4. Influence of ArgX/ArgR overexpression on arc-sfgfp expression and the effect of ArgX on the growth of L. lactis. (A) RNA duplex between ArgX and the arcC1 region containing the gene’s RBS, as predicted by TargetRNA2 [49]. The red box in the structure of ArgX shows the region involved in the postulated base pairing between ArgX and arcC1. Numbering in arcC1 counts from the start codon, numbering of ArgX from its TSS. (B) Schematic overview of a cell of the L. lactis strain designed to measure the effect of ArgX/ArgR overexpression on arc-sfgfp expression, measured by the development of GFP fluorescence. Lollipops: terminator structure; scissors: RNases; green cages: GFP. (C) Results of the experiment described. Blue bars: GFP fluorescence in un-induced cells; Red bars: GFP fluorescence in a culture of cells that were induced with 5 ng/ml of nisin to overexpress ArgX (SVDM2013), ArgR (SVDM2014) and ArgRΔstart (SVDM2015). L. lactis SVDM2012 is the empty vector control strain. Data derived from cells cultured in CDMPC containing 25 mM arginine, grown in the stationary phase, measured in a plate reader. The experiments were executed in quintuplets and standard deviations are indicated in the error bars. (D) Growth effect of ArgX deletion mutant in CDMPC medium supplemented by 0.5% glucose and 0, 10 and 50 mM arginine. The red lines represent the deletion mutants of ArgX, blue lines represent the wildtype. Growth curves are the average of five cultures and were executed in a plate reader. The experiment is performed three times with consistent results and the standard error is indicated in the error bars.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218508.g004
arginine, ArgX expression is high. Lowest fluorescence was observed when expression of argR was induced. Overexpression of argR(Δstart)X did not result in a drop of the fluorescent signal in comparison with the un-induced sample.

The expression behavior of arc measured by the readout of the GFP in the same plasmid used above, did not show any significant and reproducible differences in the deletion mutant compared to the wildtype strain.

To obtain insight in the biological relevance of ArgX, we grew L. lactis and mutants of ArgX under different arginine regimes. In the absence of arginine, the ArgX deletion strain grew significantly faster during the exponential phase and reached a higher final OD. By contrast, the same strain grew slightly slower at high arginine concentrations of 10 or 50 mM, while ultimately reaching comparable end ODs (Fig 4D).

Discussion

Biogenesis and processing of ArgX

Most trans-encoded regulatory sRNA genes are located in intergenic regions in the chromosome. Recently, a few examples of regulatory RNAs were reported that overlap with the 3’-UTRs of coding transcripts [13]. We have previously identified ten 3’-UTR-overlapping sRNAs in L. lactis by dRNA-seq. Since the RNA used in this study was enriched for primary transcripts, sRNAs derived from processed 3’-UTRs could not be detected and, if they exist in this organism, remain to be identified [14]. Here we characterized LLMGnc_172 (ArgX), an sRNA that overlaps with the 3’-UTR of the argR transcript. ArgX and argR use the same terminator sequence. ArgX is expressed from its own promoter as a 66-nt transcript and is not formed via processing of the longer argR transcript, as we did not observe any transcripts by Northern analysis after disrupting the -10 sequence in the ArgX promoter. As we observe two distinct ArgX bands on a (12%) polyacrylamide gel it could be that processing takes place at the 5’-end of the ArgX transcript, cleaving off one or only a few nucleotides. Such a processed form of ArgX would carry a monophosphate (5’-P) at its 5’-end while the primary product carries a tri-phosphate (5’-PPP) group. This difference could be biologically relevant as it has been shown in E. coli that RNase E degrades target mRNAs in response to the 5’-P of the involved sRNA. Moreover, in the absence of its target mRNA, an sRNA that carries a 5’-P is more prone to degradation by RNase E [50]. It is possible that 5’-processing of ArgX would change its molecular function. We did not observe a fixed ratio between the two forms of ArgX by Northern analysis, albeit that the upper band is higher under high arginine availability. Possibly, the ArgX processing itself is regulated and depending on the requirement by the cells for e.g., arginine or one of its derivatives. Adding to the complexity of the system, both arc and ArgX are heterogeneously expressed, at least under “normal” arginine concentrations (1-2mM). This could mean that some cells in a population produce more ammonia by catabolizing the carbamoylphosphate, e.g. to neutralize the self-produced acid in the environment, while others may invest in the production of proteins and nucleotides using arginine as the precursor. Whether or not such a strategy would provide a benefit for the whole population is interesting to further investigate.

Regulation of arginine metabolism and the effect of the sRNA ArgX

Arginine metabolism in L. lactis is regulated by the carbon catabolite repressor CcpA [19], the transcriptional repressor CodY [17] and by the arginine repressor ArgR and its protein partner, AhrC [20]. We show here that ArgX expression is mostly affected by CcpA. The ArgX promoter responds to arginine availability in a strikingly similar fashion as the promoter of the arginine catabolic arc operon. This is remarkable as our results show that arc is also regulated
by ArgX. We hypothesize that the aligned expression could function in arginine homeostasis. An in silico prediction using TargetRNA2 hinted at a possible base pairing between ArgX and the RBS of arcC1, the fourth gene in the arc operon. Deletion of ArgX resulted in an increase in the number of arc transcripts as well as a rise in the proteins ArcA and ArcB. Overexpression of ArgX, the argR transcript with an intact gene or one in which the argR start codon (argR(Δstart)X) had been mutated all led to a lower expression of arc, indicating that ArgX regulates arc directly. The possibility that the parental argR mRNA is also able to regulate arc by a base pairing reaction, was ruled out since we did not observe any decrease in arc expression upon overexpression of argR(Δstart)X in comparison with the un-induced mutant. Overexpression of argR(Δstart)X leads to a stronger repression of arc than when ArgX was overexpressed. This can be explained by the fact that the ArgX promoter is still present in this construct, probably resulting in a very high amount of ArgX transcripts also because of the high level of arginine (25 mM), a condition that was shown to boost ArgX expression. Nevertheless, the repressing effect on arc transcription by the protein regulator ArgR appears to be stronger than that of the sRNA ArgX at least under the conditions tested here.

Arginine, a versatile molecule in carbon and nitrogen metabolism

Arginine has previously been shown to play a role in acid stress tolerance in L. lactis [51]: The ammonium produced as one of the end products of arginine catabolism can be used to counteract acidification. Ammonium can also be produced for example through conversion of glutamine to glutamate. CcpA represses transcription of the arc operon in the presence of abundant glucose during the exponential growth phase [52], while pH neutralization might already be helpful at this stage of growth. Whenever the glucose level drops, and arginine is present, ArgR repression is relieved and arc is expressed [20]. The ArgX and arc promoters both become activated by increasing amounts of arginine in the stationary phase. The control of arc by CcpA suggests that arginine is mainly used as a carbon and/or energy source, at least during the stationary phase. The arginine deiminase pathway imports and catabolizes arginine. However, levels of arginine and its metabolites could rise to undesirable heights, especially if sufficient arginine is available for protein production. The role of ArgX could be to stop the uptake and catabolism of arginine by inducing the degradation of arc transcripts. In a less critical situation or perhaps under conditions with high arginine in combination with low glucose, ArgX could redirect the carbamoyl phosphate towards pyrimidine metabolism by blocking translation of arcC1, the carbamate kinase that converts carbamoylphosphate into ammonia, ATP and CO$_2$. It has been shown that disruption of arginine regulation in argR and ahrC mutants of L. lactis increases the activity and gene expression of the de novo pyrimidine enzymes PyrE and PyrF [18]. ArgX could function to control the way arginine is utilized by the cell: as a precursor for pyrimidine synthesis or for ATP and ammonia production.

sRNAs that regulate arginine metabolism in other organisms

Homologs of ArgX were not detected in genomes of other bacterial species. As this search was performed at the level of nucleotide sequence identity we cannot rule out the possibility that ArgX genes are present in other, more distant species. An sRNA was found to be involved in arginine metabolism in Bacillus subtilis. In this soil bacterium, the sRNA SR1 blocks the translation of the transcriptional activator ahrC, resulting in a decrease of the arginine catabolite gene clusters rocABC and rocDEF [53,54]. Repression by SR1 on ahrC has similar consequences on arginine catabolism in B. subtilis as does ArgX in L. lactis, although it takes place at an earlier stage of growth and without the possibility to redirect arginine side-products. Interestingly, SR1, like ArgX, is also repressed by CcpA. Whether the L. lactis genome harbors an
SR1-homolog, whether the rocR transcript from B. subtilis contains an ArgX-homolog or whether ArgX and SR1 are functional homologs are questions still to be answered. In E. coli, an antisense RNA against the argR transcript was identified using dRNA-seq [55]. This transcript, as-argR, was specifically expressed at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.4, while it was not detected in cells of a culture at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 2.0. Although no functional analyses were performed, it is expected that as-argR targets and helps degrading the argR mRNA.

Conclusions

The 3′-UTR region of the L. lactis argR gene, coding the arginine repressor ArgR, harbors a non-coding small RNA regulator gene called ArgX. This sRNA downregulates mRNA levels of arc, the operon that specifies the arginine deiminase pathway. ArgX adds another layer to the complex regulation of arginine metabolism. In Fig 5, we present an overview of the current model of arginine metabolism regulation in L. lactis. Besides regulation of arc by various protein transcription factors [17–19], ArgX allows for post-transcriptional regulation by acting on arc transcript stability and/or translation of arcC1. By blocking arcC1 translation, carbamoyl...
phosphate can be directed towards pyrimidine metabolism. We propose that ArgX ensures arginine homeostasis by interfering with the breakdown of intracellular arginine into ammonia, CO$_2$ and ATP. The expression of ArgX (and arc) depends on relief of carbon catabolite repression by the preferred carbon source via CcpA, and on arginine availability to release repression by ArgR/AhrC. Under low arginine conditions, expression of arc is low such that the amino acid can be used for protein synthesis. When arginine is abundant, arc is highly expressed. However complete breakdown of arginine might not always be preferred. Re-direction of carbamoyl phosphate towards pyrimidine metabolism allows saving energy. While the conversion of carbamoyl phosphate by ArcC yields 1 molecule of ATP, the production of carbamoyl phosphate for the synthesis of pyrimidines from glutamine consumes 2 ATP molecules. Also, if all arginine would be fully converted, protein synthesis might fall short. We hypothesize that ArgX, of which expression is based on carbon source and arginine availability, can steer arginine towards ATP and ammonia, to pyrimidine metabolism or to protein synthesis.

Altogether, ArgX is a fascinating example of how an RNA from a 3'–UTR region can function as an RNA regulator molecule that is regulated by and intertwined with the function of the gene product of the parental mRNA, in this case argR.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Oligonucleotides used in this study.
(XLSX)

S2 Table. T-REx files for the analysis of L. lactis delta ArgX.
(XLSX)

S3 Table. Transcriptome data obtained for L. lactis strains delta ArgX, delta ccpA, delta codY and delta argR.
(XLSX)

Acknowledgments

We kindly thank the members of the TI Food and Nutrition team FF001 for their inspiring discussions throughout the project. We acknowledge the cloning of recT into pGhost by the Bachelor student Blanca Vallejo. We also would like to thank Mikkel Jorgensen for discussing RNA-related experimental data and Ana Solopova for valuable insights in arginine metabolism and regulation.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Jan Kok.

Formal analysis: Sjoerd Bouwe van der Meulen, Anne de Jong.

Investigation: Sjoerd Bouwe van der Meulen, Anne Hesseling-Meinders.

Methodology: Sjoerd Bouwe van der Meulen.

Supervision: Jan Kok.

Writing – original draft: Sjoerd Bouwe van der Meulen.

Writing – review & editing: Anne de Jong, Jan Kok.
The sRNA ArgX regulated the arc operon in Lactococcus lactis

References

1. Waters LS, Storz G. Regulator y RNAs in bacteria. Cell. 2009; 136: 615–628. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cell.2009.01.043 PMID: 19239884

2. Papenfort K, Vanderpool CK. Target activation by regulatory RNAs in bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2015; 39: 362–378. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuv016 PMID: 25934124

3. Prevost K, Desnoyers G, Jacques JF, Lavoie F, Masse E. Small RNA-induced mRNA degradation achieved through both translation block and activated cleavage. Genes Dev. 2011; 25: 385–396. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.2001711 PMID: 21289064

4. Desnoyers G, Bouchard M, Massé E. New insights into small RNA-dependent translational regulation in prokaryotes. TRENDS in Genetics. 2013; 29: 92–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.10.004 PMID: 23141721

5. Thomason MK, Storz G. Bacterial antisense RNAs: how many are there, and what are they doing? Annu Rev Genet. 2010; 44: 167–188. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-102209-163523 PMID: 20707673

6. Georg J, Hess WR. cis-antisense RNA, another level of gene regulation in bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2011; 75: 286–300. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00032-10 PMID: 21646430

7. Jousselin A, Metzinger L, Felden B. On the facultative requirement of the bacterial RNA chaperone, Hfq. Trends Microbiol. 2009; 17: 399–405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.06.003 PMID: 19733080

8. Vogel J, Luisi BF. Hfq and its constellation of RNA. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2011; 9: 578–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2615 PMID: 21760622

9. Chao Y, Papenfort K, Reinhardt R, Sharma CM, Vogel J. An atlas of Hfq-bound transcripts reveals 3’ UTRs as a genomic reservoir of regulatory small RNAs. EMBO J. 2012; 31: 4005–4019. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2012.229 PMID: 22922465

10. Kim HM, Shin JH, Cho YB, Roe JH. Inverse regulation of Fe- and Ni-containing SOD genes by a Fur family regulator Nur through small RNA processed from 3’UTR of the sodF mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42: 2003–2014. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1071 PMID: 24234448

11. Chao Y, Vogel J. A 3’ UTR-Derived Small RNA Provides the Regulatory Noncoding Arm of the Inner Membrane Stress Response. Mol Cell. 2016.

12. Peng T, Berghoff BA, Oh J, Weber L, Schirmer J, Schwarz J, et al. Regulation of a polyamine transporter by the conserved 3’ UTR-derived sRNA SorX confers resistance to singlet oxygen and organic hydroperoxides in Rhodobacter sphaeroides. RNA biology. 2016; 13: 988–999. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2016.1212152 PMID: 27420112

13. Miyakoshi M, Chao Y, Vogel J. Regulatory small RNAs from the 3’ regions of bacterial mRNAs. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2015; 24: 132–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.01.013 PMID: 25677420

14. van der Meulen SB, de Jong A, Kok J. Transcriptome landscape of Lactococcus lactis reveals many novel RNAs including a small regulatory RNA involved in carbon uptake and metabolism. RNA Biol. 2016; 13: 353–366. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2016.1146855 PMID: 26950529

15. Sharma CM, Vogel J. Differential RNA-seq: the approach behind and the biological insight gained. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2014; 19: 97–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2014.06.010 PMID: 25024085

16. Noens EE, Kaczmarek MB, Zygo M, Lolkema JS. ArcD1 and ArcD2 Arginine/O rnithine Exchangers Encoded in the Arginine Deiminas e Pathway Gene Cluster of Lactococcus lactis. J Bacteri ol. 2015; 197: 3545–3553. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00526-15 PMID: 26324452

17. den Hengst CD, van Hijum SA, Geurts JM, Nauta A, Kok J, Kuipers OP. The Lactococcus lactis CodY regulon: identification of a conserved cis-regulatory element. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280: 34332–34342. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M501398200 PMID: 16040604

18. Larsen R, van Hijum SA, Martinussen J, Kuipers OP, Kok J. Transcriptome analysis of the Lactococcus lactis ArcR and AhrC regulons. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008; 74: 4768–4771. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00117-08 PMID: 18539789

19. Zomer AL, Buist G, Larsen R, Kok J, Kuipers OP. Time-resolved determination of the CcpA regulon of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris MG1363. J Bacteriol. 2007; 189: 1366–1381. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01013-06 PMID: 17028270

20. Larsen R, Kok J, Kuipers OP. Interaction between ArgR and AhrC controls regulation of arginine metabolism in Lactococcus lactis. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280: 19319–19330. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M413983200 PMID: 15749710

21. Goel A, Santos F, Vos WM, Teusink B, Molenaar D. Standardized assay medium to measure Lactococcus lactis enzyme activities while mimicking intracellular conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012; 78: 134–143. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05276-11 PMID: 22020503
22. Gasson MJ. Plasmid complements of Streptococcus lactis NCDO 712 and other lactic streptococci after protoplast-induced curing. J Bacteriol. 1983; 154: 1–9. PMID: 6403500
23. Kuipers OP, de Ruyter PG, Kleerebezem M, de Vos WM. Quorum sensing-controlled gene expression in lactic acid bacteria. J Biotechnol. 1998; 64: 15–21.
24. Gajić O. Relationships between MDR proteins, bacteriocin production and proteolysis in Lactococcus lactis. 2003.
25. Larsen R, Buist G, Kuipers OP, Kok J. ArgR and AhrC are both required for regulation of arginine metabolism in Lactococcus lactis. J Bacteriol. 2004; 186: 1147–1157. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JB.186.4.1147-1157.2004 PMID: 14762010
26. Simon D, Chopin A. Construction of a vector plasmid family and its use for molecular cloning in Streptococcus lactis. Biochimie. 1988; 70: 559–566. PMID: 2844302
27. Solem C, Defoer E, Jensen PR, Martinussen J. Plasmid pCS1966, a new selection/counterselection tool for lactic acid bacterium strain construction based on the oroP gene, encoding an orotate transporter from Lactococcus lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2008; 74: 4772–4775. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00134-08 PMID: 18539798
28. Pinto JP, Zeyniyev A, Karsens H, Trip H, Lokkema JS, Kuipers OP, et al. pSEUDO, a genetic integration standard for Lactococcus lactis. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011; 77: 667–669. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05196-11 PMID: 21764949
29. Maguin E, Duwat P, Hege T, Ehrlich D, Gruss A. New thermosensitive plasmid for gram-positive bacteria. J Bacteriol. 1992; 174: 5633–5638. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.174.17.5633-5638.1992 PMID: 1324906
30. van Pijkeren JP, Britton RA. High efficiency recombineering in lactic acid bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: e76. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks147 PMID: 22328729
31. van Pijkeren J, Neoh KM, Sirias D, Findley AS, Britton RA. Exploring optimization parameters to increase ssDNA recombineering in Lactococcus lactis and Lactobacillus reuteri. Bioengineered. 2012; 3: 209–217. https://doi.org/10.4161/bioe.21049 PMID: 22705793
32. Geu-Flores F, Nour-Eldin HH, Nielsen MT, Halkier BA. USER fusion: a rapid and efficient method for simultaneous fusion and cloning of multiple PCR products. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35: e55. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm106 PMID: 17389646
33. Narholm MH. A mutant Pfu DNA polymerase designed for advanced uracil-excision DNA engineering. BMC biotechnology. 2010; 10: 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-10-1
34. Overkamp W, Beilharz K, Detert Oude Weme R, Solopova A, Karsens H, Kovacs A, et al. Benchmarking various green fluorescent protein variants in Bacillus subtilis, Streptococcus pneumoniae, and Lactococcus lactis for live cell imaging. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013; 79: 6481–6490. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02033-13 PMID: 23956387
35. Visweswaran GRR, Steen A, Leenhouts K, Szeliga M, Ruban B, Hesseling-Meinders A, et al. AcmD, a homolog of the major autolysin AcmA of Lactococcus lactis, binds to the cell wall and contributes to cell separation and autolysis. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e72167. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072167 PMID: 23951292
36. Aranda PS, LaJoie DM, Jorcyk CL. Bleach gel: a simple agarose gel for analyzing RNA quality. Electrophoresis. 2012; 33: 366–369. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201100335 PMID: 22229880
37. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods. 2012; 9: 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923 PMID: 22382886
38. Overkamp W, Beilharz K, Detert Oude Weme R, Solopova A, Karsens H, Kovacs A, et al. Benchmarking various green fluorescent protein variants in Bacillus subtilis, Streptococcus pneumoniae, and Lactococcus lactis for live cell imaging. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013; 79: 6481–6490. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02033-13 PMID: 23956387
39. Visweswaran GRR, Steen A, Leenhouts K, Szeliga M, Ruban B, Hesseling-Meinders A, et al. AcmD, a homolog of the major autolysin AcmA of Lactococcus lactis, binds to the cell wall and contributes to cell separation and autolysis. PLoS One. 2013; 8: e72167. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072167 PMID: 23951292
36. Aranda PS, LaJoie DM, Jorcyk CL. Bleach gel: a simple agarose gel for analyzing RNA quality. Electrophoresis. 2012; 33: 366–369. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201100335 PMID: 22229880
37. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods. 2012; 9: 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923 PMID: 22382886
38. de Jong A, van der Meulen S, Kuipers OP, Kok J. T-REx: Transcriptome analysis webserver for RNA-seq Expression data. BMC Genomics. 2015; 16: 663. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1834-4 PMID: 26335208
39. Georg J, Lott SC, Schafer RA, Mann M, Backofen R, Hess WR, et al. GLASSgo-Automated and reliable detection of sRNA homologs from a single input sequence. Frontiers in genetics. 2018; 9: 124. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgen.2018.00124 PMID: 29719549
40. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003; 31: 3406–3415. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg595 PMID: 12852437
41. Mandin P, Guiller M. Expanding control in bacteria: interplay between small RNAs and transcriptional regulators to control gene expression. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2013; 16: 125–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2012.12.005 PMID: 23415757
42. Massé E, Gottesman S. A small RNA regulates the expression of genes involved in iron metabolism in Escherichia coli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99: 4620–4625. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.032066599 PMID: 11917098
43. Vecerek B, Moll I, Blasi U. Control of Fur synthesis by the non-coding RNA RyhB and iron-responsive decoding. EMBO J. 2007; 26: 965–975. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601553 PMID: 17268550
44. Johansen J, Rasmussen AA, Overgaard M, Valentin-Hansen P. Conserved small non-coding RNAs that belong to the σE regulon: role in down-regulation of outer membrane proteins. J Mol Biol. 2006; 364: 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.09.004 PMID: 17007876

45. Papenfort K, Pfeiffer V, Mika F, Lucchini S, Hinton JC, Vogel J. σE-dependent small RNAs of Salmonella respond to membrane stress by accelerating global omp mRNA decay. Mol Microbiol. 2006; 62: 1674–1688. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05524.x PMID: 17427289

46. Udekwu KI, Wagner EG. Sigma E controls biogenesis of the antisense RNA MicA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35: 1279–1288. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl1154 PMID: 17267407

47. Görke B, Stülke J. Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: many ways to make the most out of nutrients. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2008; 6: 613–624. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1932 PMID: 18628769

48. Dijkstra AR, Setyawati MC, Bayjanov JR, Alkema W, van Hijum SA, Bron PA, et al. Diversity in robustness of Lactococcus lactis strains during heat stress, oxidative stress, and spray drying stress. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014; 80: 603–611. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03434-13 PMID: 24212574

49. Kery MB, Feldman M, Livny J, Tjaden B. TargetRNA2: identifying targets of small regulatory RNAs in bacteria. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014.

50. Bandyra KJ, Said N, Pfeiffer V, Görna MW, Vogel J, Luisi BF. The seed region of a small RNA drives the controlled destruction of the target mRNA by the endoribonuclease RNase E. Mol Cell. 2012; 47: 943–953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.07.015 PMID: 22902561

51. Budin-Verneuil A, Maguin E, Auffray Y, Ehrlich SD, Pichereau V. An essential role for arginine catabolism in the acid tolerance of Lactococcus lactis MG1363. Le Lait. 2004; 84: 61–68.

52. Zomer AL, Buist G, Larsen R, Kok J, Kuipers OP. Time-resolved determination of the CcpA regulon of Lactococcus lactis subsp. cremoris MG1363. J Bacteriol. 2007; 189: 1366–1381. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01013-06 PMID: 17028270

53. Heidrich N, Chinali A, Gerth U, Brantl S. The small untranslated RNA SR1 from the Bacillus subtilis genome is involved in the regulation of arginine catabolism. Mol Microbiol. 2006; 62: 520–536. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2006.05384.x PMID: 17020585

54. Heidrich N, Moll I, Brantl S. In vitro analysis of the interaction between the small RNA SR1 and its primary target ahrC mRNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35: 4331–4346. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm439 PMID: 17576690

55. Thomason MK, Bischler T, Eisenbart SK, Forstner KU, Zhang A, Herbig A, et al. Global Transcriptional Start Site Mapping Using Differential RNA Sequencing Reveals Novel Antisense RNAs in Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2015; 197: 18–28. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02096-14 PMID: 25266388