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Abstract. The problem of constructing twisted modules for a vertex operator
algebra and an automorphism has been solved in particular in two contexts.
One of these two constructions is that initiated by the third author in the
case of a lattice vertex operator algebra and an automorphism arising from an
arbitrary lattice isometry. This construction, from a physical point of view,
is related to the space-time geometry associated with the lattice in the sense
of string theory. The other construction is due to the first author, jointly
with C. Dong and G. Mason, in the case of a multi-fold tensor product of a
given vertex operator algebra with itself and a permutation automorphism of
the tensor factors. The latter construction is based on a certain change of
variables in the worldsheet geometry in the sense of string theory. In the case
of a lattice that is the orthogonal direct sum of copies of a given lattice, these
two very different constructions can both be carried out, and must produce
isomorphic twisted modules, by a theorem of the first author jointly with Dong
and Mason. In this paper, we explicitly construct an isomorphism, thereby
providing, from both mathematical and physical points of view, a direct link
between space-time geometry and worldsheet geometry in this setting.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Twisted modules for vertex operator algebras arose in the work of the third
author with I. Frenkel and A. Meurman [FLM1], [FLM2], [FLM3] for the case
of a lattice vertex operator algebra and the lattice isometry \(-1\), in the course of
the construction of the moonshine module vertex operator algebra. This structure
came to be understood as an “orbifold model” in the sense of conformal field
theory and string theory. Twisted modules are the mathematical counterpart of “twisted
sectors”, which are the basic building blocks of orbifold models in conformal field
theory and string theory (see [DHVW1], [DHVW2], [DFMS], [DVV], [DGM], as
well as [KS], [FKS], [Ba1], [Ba2], [BHS], [BHO], [HO], [GH], [B3] and [HH]).
The notion of twisted module for a vertex operator algebra is a generalization of the
notion of module in which the action of an automorphism of the vertex operator
algebra is incorporated. Given a vertex operator algebra and an automorphism,
it is an open problem as to how to construct a corresponding twisted module in
general. However, the problem of constructing twisted modules has been solved in
particular for two families of vertex operators and their automorphisms. One of
these constructions is that initiated by the third author [L1] in the case of a lattice
vertex operator algebra and an automorphism arising from an arbitrary lattice
isometry, generalizing the joint work of the third author mentioned above. This
construction is ultimately based on the lattice isometry, and thus, from a physical
point of view, is related to the space-time geometry associated with the lattice in
the sense of string theory. The other construction is due to the first author, jointly with C. Dong and G. Mason [BDM], in the case of a multi-fold tensor product of a given vertex operator algebra with itself and a permutation automorphism of the tensor factors. The latter construction is based on a change of variables in the worldsheet geometry in the sense of string theory. Now, in the case of a lattice which is the orthogonal direct sum of copies of a given lattice, these two very different constructions can both be carried out. By a theorem of the first author jointly with Dong and Mason [BDM], in this case these two constructions must produce isomorphic twisted modules. In this paper, we explicitly construct an isomorphism, thereby providing, from both mathematical and physical points of view, a direct link between space-time geometry and worldsheet geometry in this interesting setting.

The precise notion of vertex operator algebra was developed in [FLM3], following Borcherds’ introduction of the notion of vertex algebra in [Bo]. Twisted vertex operators were discovered and used in [LM]. The first orbifold conformal field theory (as it came to be understood) was introduced in [FLM1]. Formal calculus arising from twisted vertex operators associated to an even lattice was systematically developed in [L1], [FLM2], [FLM3] and [L2], and the twisted Jacobi identity was formulated and shown to hold for these operators (see also [DL]). These results led to the introduction of the notion of $g$-twisted $V$-module [FPR], [D2], for $V$ a vertex operator algebra and $g$ an automorphism of $V$. This notion records the properties of twisted operators obtained in [L1], [FLM1], [FLM2], [FLM3] and [L2], and provides an axiomatic definition of the notion of twisted sectors. In general, given a vertex operator algebra $V$ and an automorphism $g$ of $V$, it is an open problem as to how to construct a $g$-twisted $V$-module.

In [BDM], twisted modules for a permutation acting on a tensor product vertex operator algebra were constructed and classified. Let $V$ be a vertex operator algebra, and for a fixed positive integer $k$, consider the tensor product vertex operator algebra $V^\otimes k$ (cf. [FHL]). Any element of the symmetric group acts on $V^\otimes k$ in the obvious way, and this is the setting for permutation-twisted modules. From the physical point of view, this is the setting for permutation orbifold theory and has been studied, for example, in [KS], [FKS], [Ba1], [Ba2], [BHS] and [Ba3]. In the case of $V$ a lattice vertex operator algebra, the construction of [BDM] becomes a special case of the more general results of [L1], [FLM2], [L2], and [DL], and this overlap of constructions is the basis for this paper.

In this paper, we begin by giving some preliminary definitions, including the axiomatic definition of twisted module, and review the construction of a vertex operator algebra $V_L$ associated to a positive-definite even lattice $L$. In Section 2, we give the setting for permutation-twisted modules associated to a lattice that is the orthogonal direct sum of copies of a positive-definite even lattice. For $K$ a positive-definite even lattice, $k$ a positive integer, and $L = K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K$ the orthogonal direct sum of $k$ copies of $K$, we consider the lattice automorphism of $L$ given by permuting the direct sum factors $K$ by a cyclic permutation $\nu = (1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ k)$. The lattice automorphism $\nu$ lifts to the $V_L$-automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ given by cyclicly permuting the $k$ tensor copies of $V_K$ in $V_L = V_K^\otimes k$.

In Section 3.2, we give the construction of irreducible $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules in this setting, following [L1], [FLM2], [DL], and we calculate the graded dimensions of these modules. In Section 3.3, we present the construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules
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following [BDM] specialized to this setting. We also recall the results of [BDM] pertaining to the determination of the irreducible \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules. In particular, we note that in [BDM] it is shown that the category of irreducible \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules is isomorphic to the category of irreducible \( V_K \)-modules. In Section 4 we use this determination of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules to conclude that the \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module constructed via the method of [L1], [FLM2] must be isomorphic to some \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module constructed via the method of [BDM]. We then recall the classification of irreducible \( V_K \)-modules given in [D1] (see also [DLiM1]; cf. [LL]). We use this to prove that under the isomorphism of categories from [BDM] the irreducible \( V_K \)-module corresponding to the \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module following the [L1] construction must be \( V_K \) itself. We prove this using graded dimensions. This allows us to pick out which \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module under the [BDM] construction must be isomorphic to the \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module of the [L1] construction. In Section 5 using the existence of the isomorphism between the two constructions of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules proved in Section 4 we explicitly determine the isomorphism. We also show how to generalize these results to \( g \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules, where \( g \) is an arbitrary permutation on \( k \) letters, and in addition, to arbitrary irreducible modules and twisted modules, corresponding to cosets of the relevant lattices.

We would now like to comment on some motivations and expected implications of this work. As mentioned previously, the construction of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules following [L1], [FLM1], [FLM2], [FLM3] and [DL] is ultimately based on the lattice automorphism \( \nu \) and thus, from the physical point of view, is inherently based on the “orbifolding” of the space-time geometry in the sense of string theory. In fact, the lattice vertex operator algebra \( V_L \) and \( V_L \)-modules can be interpreted physically by quantizing the classical theory of strings propagating in the space-time torus \( \mathbb{R}^{\text{rank } L/L} \). In this picture, strings in the torus are studied as strings in the Euclidean space satisfying periodic boundary conditions. Twisted modules for \( V_L \) can be analogously interpreted physically by quantizing the classical theory of strings propagating in the orbifold obtained by taking the quotient of the torus by a group. Strings in this orbifold can be studied as strings in the Euclidean space satisfying “periodic boundary conditions up to actions of elements of the group.” However, the twisted modules are quite subtle to construct mathematically. The mathematical construction of twisted modules for \( V_L \) in [L1], [FLM1], [FLM2], [FLM3] and [DL] can in fact be physically interpreted using this space-time picture; indeed, see [DHVW1] and the related string-theoretic works on strings on orbifolds, and on orbifold models in conformal field theory.

On the other hand, the construction of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules following [BDM] is, in general, independent of the given lattice and instead relies on an operator derived from a change of coordinates related to the conformal geometry of propagating strings, and thus, from the physical point of view, is based on the the worldsheet geometry; see Remark 3.1. In fact, the “periodic boundary conditions up to actions of elements of the group” mentioned above shows that one needs to consider multivalued functions on the worldsheet of strings in an orbifold. Such multivalued functions are exactly the ones used in [BDM] to construct twisted modules in the setting of that work.

The completely different geometric foundations for the two constructions highlight just how different these two constructions are, and yet they give isomorphic \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules. Thus, from both mathematical and physical points of view,
the isomorphism between the two constructions gives a direct link between space-time geometry and worldsheet geometry in this interesting setting. From a purely mathematical viewpoint, one of the important applications and also one of the motivations for this work is that this isomorphism between the “space-time” construction ([L1], [FLM2], [DL]) and the “worldsheet” construction [BDM] provides a way of transporting interesting structures that have been developed following the “space-time” construction to the conformal geometry of the worldsheet. For instance, we expect to relate the present work to the work of the third author jointly with Doyon and Milas in [DLcM1] and [DLcM2].
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**Notation**
$\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the positive integers and $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the nonnegative integers.

1.1. **Vertex operator algebras, modules, automorphisms and twisted modules.** In this section, we review the definitions of vertex operator algebra and $g$-twisted $V$-module for a vertex operator algebra $V$ and an automorphism $g$ of $V$ of finite order.

We begin by recalling the notion of vertex operator algebra, following the notation and terminology of [FLM2] and [LL]. Let $x, x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots$ denote commuting independent formal variables. Let $\delta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^n$. We will use the binomial expansion convention, namely, that any expression such as $(x_1 - x_2)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{C}$ is to be expanded as a formal power series in nonnegative integral powers of the second variable, in this case $x_2$.

A **vertex operator algebra** is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space
\begin{equation}
V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n
\end{equation}
satisfying $\dim V < \infty$ and $V_n = 0$ for $n$ sufficiently negative and equipped with a linear map
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
V & \rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\
v & \mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}
and with two distinguished vectors $1 \in V_0$, (the **vacuum vector**) and $\omega \in V_2$ (the **conformal element**) satisfying the following conditions for $u, v \in V$:
\begin{align*}
(1.3) \quad & u_n v = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ sufficiently large;} \\
(1.4) \quad & Y(1, x) = 1; \\
(1.5) \quad & Y(v, x) 1 \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 0} Y(v, x) 1 = v; \\
(1.6) \quad & x_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0} \right) Y(v, x_2) Y(u, x_1) \\
& = x_2^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2} \right) Y(Y(u, x_0) v, x_2)
\end{align*}
(the Jacobi identity);

\[(1.7) \quad [L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}c\]

for \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), where

\[(1.8) \quad L(n) = \omega_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{i.e., } Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2}\]

and \(c \in \mathbb{C}\) (the central charge of \(V\));

\[(1.9) \quad L(0)v = nv = (\text{wt } v)v \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } v \in V_n;\]

\[(1.10) \quad \frac{d}{dx}Y(v, x) = Y(L(-1)v, x).\]

This completes the definition. We denote the vertex operator algebra just defined by \((V, Y, 1, \omega)\) (or briefly, by \(V\)).

The graded dimension of a vertex operator algebra \(V = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n\) is defined to be

\[(1.11) \quad \dim_* V = \text{tr}_{V} q^{L(0) - c/24} = q^{-c/24} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim V_n)q^n\]

where \(q\) is a formal variable and \(c\) is the central charge of \(V\).

An automorphism of a vertex operator algebra \(V\) is a linear automorphism \(g\) of \(V\) preserving \(1\) and \(\omega\) such that the actions of \(g\) and \(Y(v, x)\) on \(V\) are compatible in the sense that

\[(1.12) \quad gY(v, x)g^{-1} = Y(gv, x)\]

for \(v \in V\). Then \(gV_n \subset V_n\) for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). If \(g\) has finite order, \(V\) is a direct sum of the eigenspaces \(V^j\) of \(g\),

\[(1.13) \quad V = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} V^j,\]

where \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) is a period of \(g\) (i.e., \(g^k = 1\) but \(k\) is not necessarily the order of \(g\)) and

\[(1.14) \quad V^j = \{v \in V \mid gv = \eta^jv\},\]

for \(\eta\) a fixed primitive \(k\)-th root of unity.

We next recall the notion of \(g\)-twisted \(V\)-module, which records the properties of twisted vertex operators obtained in [L1], [FLM2] and [L2]. We follow the notation and terminology of [BDM]. Let \((V, Y, 1, \omega)\) be a vertex operator algebra and let \(g\) be an automorphism of \(V\) of period \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). A \(g\)-twisted \(V\)-module \(M\) is a \(\mathbb{C}\)-graded vector space

\[(1.15) \quad M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda\]

such that for each \(\lambda\), \(\dim M_\lambda < \infty\) and \(M_{n/k + \lambda} = 0\) for all sufficiently negative integers \(n\). In addition, \(M\) is equipped with a linear map

\[(1.16) \quad v \mapsto Y^g(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} v^g_n x^{-n-1}\]

for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\).
satisfying the following conditions for $u, v \in V$ and $w \in M$:

(1.17) $Y^g(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/k} v_n^g x^{-n-1}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in V^j$;

(1.18) $v_n^g w = 0$ for $n$ sufficiently large;

(1.19) $Y^g(1, x) = 1$;

(1.20) $x_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0} \right) Y^g(u, x_1) Y^g(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1} \delta \left( \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_0} \right) Y^g(v, x_2) Y^g(u, x_1)$

$= x_2^{-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/k \mathbb{Z}} \delta \left( \eta^j \frac{(x_1 - x_0)^{1/k}}{x_2^{1/k}} \right) Y^g(Y(g^j u, x_0) v, x_2)$

(the twisted Jacobi identity) where $\eta$ is a fixed primitive $k$-th root of unity;

(1.21) $[L^g(m), L^g(n)] = (m - n)L^g(m + n) + \frac{1}{12} (m^3 - m) \delta_{m+n,0} c$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $c$ is the central charge of $V$, and

(1.22) $L^g(n) = \omega_{n+1}^g$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $Y^g(\omega, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L^g(n) x^{-n-2}$;

(1.23) $L^g(0) w = \lambda w$ for $w \in M$;

(1.24) $\frac{d}{dx} Y^g(v, x) = Y^g(L(-1)v, x)$.

(Formula (1.17) can be expressed as follows: For $v \in V$,

(1.25) $Y^g(gv, x) = \lim_{x \to \eta^{-1} x^{1/k}} Y^g(v, x)$,

where the limit stands for formal substitution.) This completes the definition of $g$-twisted $V$-module. We denote such a module by $(M, Y^g)$ (or briefly, by $M$).

If we take $g = 1$, then we obtain the notion of (ordinary) $V$-module. We call a $g$-twisted $V$-module $M$ simple or irreducible if the only submodules are 0 and $M$.

A vertex operator algebra is simple if it is simple as a module for itself.

Note that the notion of graded dimension still makes sense for $g$-twisted $V$-modules (and thus for ordinary $V$-modules); that is, we have

$$\dim_* M = \text{tr}_M q^{L^g(0) - c/24}.$$

Let $(M^1, Y^g_1)$ and $(M^2, Y^g_2)$ be $g$-twisted $V$-modules. A homomorphism from $M^1$ to $M^2$ is a linear map $f : M^1 \to M^2$ such that

(1.26) $f(Y^g_1(v, x) w) = Y^g_2(v, x) f(w)$

for $v \in V$ and $w \in M^1$. 
1.2. **Lattice vertex operator algebras.** We next recall the construction of vertex operator algebras and related structures corresponding to a lattice equipped with an isometry, following the notation and terminology of [FLM3] and using the setting and results of [L1] and [FLM2].

Let $L$ be a positive-definite even lattice, with (nondegenerate symmetric) $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. (There should be no confusion between this use of the symbol $L$ and the operators $L(n)$. ) Let $\nu$ be an isometry of $L$, and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that the following hold:

\begin{equation}
(1.27) \quad \nu^k = 1;
\end{equation}

if $k$ is even, then

\begin{equation}
(1.28) \quad (\nu^{k/2} \alpha, \alpha) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L
\end{equation}

(which can be arranged by doubling $k$ if necessary). Observe that under these assumptions,

\begin{equation}
(1.29) \quad \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu^j \alpha, \alpha \right\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}
\end{equation}

for $\alpha \in L$. Let $\eta$ be a fixed primitive $k$-th root of unity. Define the functions

\begin{equation}
(1.30) \quad C_0 : L \times L \to \mathbb{C}^\times \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle},
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(1.31) \quad C : L \times L \to \mathbb{C}^\times \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (-1)^{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \langle \nu^j \alpha, \beta \rangle \eta^{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \langle j \nu^j \alpha, \beta \rangle}}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (-\eta^j)^{\langle \nu^j \alpha, \beta \rangle}.
\end{equation}

Note that $C_0$ and $C$ are bilinear into the abelian group $\mathbb{C}^\times$; i.e.,

\begin{align*}
C(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) &= C(\alpha, \gamma)C(\beta, \gamma) \\
C(\alpha, \beta + \gamma) &= C(\alpha, \beta)C(\alpha, \gamma)
\end{align*}

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$, and similarly for $C_0$. By the fact that $L$ is even, we have $C_0(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$, and by (1.29), we have $C(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$. We also note that both $C_0$ and $C$ are $\nu$-invariant, that is, $C(\nu \alpha, \nu \beta) = C(\alpha, \beta)$ and similarly for $C_0$. Moreover, $C(\beta, \alpha) = C(\alpha, \beta)^{-1}$. 

Set

\begin{equation}
(1.32) \quad \eta_0 = (-1)^k \eta.
\end{equation}

Then $\eta_0$ is a primitive $2k$-th root of unity if $k$ is odd, and $-1$ and $\eta$ are powers of $\eta_0$ for any $k$.

The maps $C_0$ and $C$ determine uniquely (up to equivalence) two central extensions of $L$ by the cyclic group $\langle \eta_0 \rangle$,

\begin{equation}
(1.33) \quad 1 \to \langle \eta_0 \rangle \to \hat{L} \to L \to 1,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(1.34) \quad 1 \to \langle \eta_0 \rangle \to \hat{L}_\nu \to L \to 1,
\end{equation}
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with commutator maps $C_0$ and $C$, respectively, i.e., such that
\begin{align}
(1.35) \quad aba^{-1}b^{-1} &= C_0(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \quad \text{for } a, b \in \hat{L}, \\
(1.36) \quad aba^{-1}b^{-1} &= C(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \quad \text{for } a, b \in \hat{L}_\nu.
\end{align}

There is a natural set-theoretic identification (which is not an isomorphism of groups unless $k = 1$ or $k = 2$) between the groups $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{L}_\nu$ such that the respective group multiplications $\times$ and $\times_\nu$ are related by
\begin{equation}
(1.37) \quad a \times b = \prod_{0 < j < k/2} (-\eta_j)^{(\nu - i\bar{a}, \bar{b})} a \times_\nu b \quad \text{for } a, b \in \hat{L}.
\end{equation}

Observe further that since $C_0$ is $\nu$-invariant, if we replace the map $\bar{\cdot}$ in (1.33) by $\nu \circ \bar{\cdot}$, we obtain another central extension of $L$ by $\langle \eta_0 \rangle$ with commutator map $C_0$. By uniqueness of the central extension of $L$, there is an automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of $\hat{L}$ such that $\hat{\nu}$ is a lifting of $\nu$, i.e., such that
\begin{equation}
(1.38) \quad (\hat{\nu}a)\bar{\cdot} = \nu \bar{\cdot} a \quad \text{for } a \in \hat{L}.
\end{equation}

The map $\hat{\nu}$ is also an automorphism of $\hat{L}_\nu$ satisfying
\begin{equation}
(1.39) \quad (\hat{\nu}a)\bar{\cdot} = \nu \bar{\cdot} a \quad \text{for } a \in \hat{L}_\nu.
\end{equation}

Moreover, we may choose the lifting $\hat{\nu}$ of $\nu$ so that
\begin{equation}
(1.40) \quad \hat{\nu}a = a \quad \text{if } \nu \bar{\cdot} a = \bar{a}
\end{equation}
(see (2.22) below), and we have
\begin{equation}
(1.41) \quad \hat{\nu}^k = 1,
\end{equation}
a nontrivial fact (see [L1]).

We now use the central extension $\hat{L}$ to construct a vertex operator algebra $V_L$ equipped with an automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of period $k$, induced from the automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of $\hat{L}$. In Section 2 we will specialize our setting, specifying $\nu$ and $\hat{\nu}$ in this setting. Then in Section 2.2 we will use the central extension $\hat{L}_\nu$ in the specialized setting to construct an irreducible $\hat{\nu}$-twisted module for the vertex operator algebra $V_L$, following [L1], [FLM2], [DL], implemented in our specialized setting. (In [L1], [FLM2], [DL], such $\hat{\nu}$-twisted modules are constructed in the general case.)

Embed $L$ canonically in the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_\mathbb{Z} L$, and extend the $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear form on $L$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{h}$. The corresponding affine Lie algebra is
\begin{equation}
(1.42) \quad \hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c,
\end{equation}
with brackets determined by
\begin{align}
(1.43) \quad [\alpha \otimes t^n, \beta \otimes t^m] &= \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle m\delta_{m+n,0}c \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
(1.44) \quad [c, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}] &= 0.
\end{align}
Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation, the weight gradation, given by
\begin{equation}
(1.45) \quad \text{wt } (\alpha \otimes t^n) = -n \quad \text{and } \text{wt } c = 0
\end{equation}
for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Set
\begin{equation}
(1.46) \quad \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^+ = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t] \quad \text{and } \hat{\mathfrak{h}}^- = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}].
\end{equation}
The subalgebra
\[ \hat{h}_Z = \hat{h}^+ \oplus \hat{h}^- \oplus \mathbb{C}c \]
of \( \hat{h} \) is a Heisenberg algebra, in the sense that its commutator subalgebra equals its center, which is one-dimensional. Consider the induced \( \hat{h} \)-module, irreducible even under \( \hat{h}_Z \), given by
\[ M(1) = U(\hat{h}) \otimes U(\hat{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathbb{C}c) \mathbb{C} \simeq S(\hat{h}^-) \] (linearly),
where \( \hat{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \) acts trivially on \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( c \) acts as 1, \( U(\cdot) \) denotes universal enveloping algebra and \( S(\cdot) \) denotes symmetric algebra. The \( \hat{h} \)-module \( M(1) \) is \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded so that \( \text{wt} \, 1 = 0 \) (we write 1 for \( 1 \otimes 1 \)):
\[ M(1) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M(1)_n, \]
where \( M(1)_n \) denotes the homogeneous subspace of weight \( n \).

Form the induced \( \hat{L} \)-module and \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} = \mathbb{C}[\hat{L}] \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\mathfrak{m}\} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}[L] \) (linearly),
where \( \mathbb{C}[\cdot] \) denotes group algebra. For \( a \in \hat{L} \), write \( \iota(a) \) for the image of \( a \) in \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \).

Then the action of \( \hat{L} \) on \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \) is given by
\[ a \cdot \iota(b) = \iota(a) \cdot \iota(b) = \iota(ab) \]
for \( a, b \in \hat{L} \). We give \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \) the \( \mathbb{C} \)-gradation determined by:
\[ \text{wt} \, \iota(a) = \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{a}, \tilde{a} \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \hat{L}. \]

Also define a grading-preserving action of \( \mathfrak{h} \) on \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \) by:
\[ h \cdot \iota(a) = \langle h, \tilde{a} \rangle \iota(a) \]
for \( h \in \mathfrak{h} \), and define
\[ x^h \cdot \iota(a) = x^{(h, \tilde{a})} \iota(a) \]
for \( h \in \mathfrak{h} \).

Set
\[ V_L = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{L\} \simeq S(\hat{h}^-) \otimes \mathbb{C}[L] \] (linearly)
and give \( V_L \) the tensor product \( \mathbb{C} \)-gradation:
\[ V_L = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{C}} (V_L)_n. \]
We have \( \text{wt} \, \iota(1) = 0 \), where we identify \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \) with \( 1 \otimes \mathbb{C}\{L\} \). Then \( \hat{L}, \hat{h}_Z, h, x^h \) (\( h \in \mathfrak{h} \)) act naturally on \( V_L \) by acting on either \( M(1) \) or \( \mathbb{C}\{L\} \) as indicated above.
In particular, \( c \) acts as 1.

For \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), we write \( \alpha(n) \) for the operator on \( V_L \) determined by \( \alpha \otimes t^n \).
For \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \), set
\[ \alpha(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(n)x^{-n-1}. \]
We use a normal ordering procedure, indicated by open colons, which signify that the enclosed expression is to be reordered if necessary so that all the operators \( \alpha(n) \) \((\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}, n < 0) \) and \( a \in \hat{L} \) are to be placed to the left of all the operators \( \alpha(n) \), and \( x^\alpha \) \((\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}, n \geq 0) \) before the expression is evaluated. For \( a \in \hat{L} \), set

\[
Y(a, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in \text{End} \mathcal{V}_L.
\]

This gives us a well-defined linear map

\[
V_L \to \langle \text{End} \mathcal{V}_L[[x, x^{-1}]] \rangle
\]

\[
v \mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in \text{End} \mathcal{V}_L.
\]

Set \( 1 = 1 \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{V}_L \) and

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}} h_i(-1)h_i(-1)1,
\]

where \( \{h_i\} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( \mathfrak{h} \). Then \( V_L = (V_L, Y, 1, \omega) \) is a simple vertex operator algebra of central charge

\[
c = \dim \mathfrak{h} = \text{rank } L.
\]

**Remark 1.1.** The construction of the vertex operator algebra \( V_L \) depends on the central extension \((1.33)\) subject to \((1.35)\), and hence on the choices of \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and the primitive root of unity \( \eta \). But it is a standard fact that \( V_L \) is independent of these choices, up to isomorphism of vertex operator algebras preserving the \( \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \)-module structure; see Proposition 6.5.5, and also Remarks 6.5.4 and 6.5.6, of \([\text{LL}]\). In particular, \( V_L \) as constructed above is essentially the same as \( V_L \) constructed from a central extension of the type \((1.33)\) subject to \((1.35)\) but with the kernel of the central extension replaced by the group \( \langle \pm 1 \rangle \). For the purpose of constructing twisted modules, it is valuable to have this flexibility. We will use these properties of lattice vertex operator algebras below.

Following \([L1]\) (and see also \([DL]\), we note that the automorphism \( \nu \) of \( L \) acts in a natural way on \( \mathfrak{h} \), on \( \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \) (fixing \( c \)) and on \( M(1) \), preserving the gradations, and for \( u \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \) and \( m \in M(1) \),

\[
\nu(u \cdot m) = \nu(u) \cdot \nu(m).
\]

The automorphism \( \nu \) of \( L \) lifted to the automorphism \( \hat{\nu} \) of \( \hat{L} \) satisfies

\[
\hat{\nu}(h \cdot \iota(a)) = \nu(h) \cdot \hat{\nu}(a),
\]
for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $a \in \hat{L}$, and we have
\begin{align}
\hat{\nu}(\iota(a)\iota(b)) &= \hat{\nu}(a \cdot \iota(b)) = \hat{\nu}(a) \cdot \hat{\nu}(b) = \hat{\nu}(a) \hat{\nu}(b), \\
\hat{\nu}(x^h \cdot \iota(a)) &= x^{\nu(h)} \cdot \hat{\nu}(a).
\end{align}
Thus we have a natural grading-preserving automorphism of $V_L$, which we also call $\hat{\nu}$, which acts via $\nu \otimes \hat{\nu}$, and this action is compatible with the other actions:
\begin{align}
\hat{\nu}(a \cdot v) &= \hat{\nu}(a) \cdot \hat{\nu}(v) \\
\hat{\nu}(u \cdot v) &= \nu(u) \cdot \hat{\nu}(v) \\
\hat{\nu}(x^h \cdot v) &= x^{\nu(h)} \cdot \hat{\nu}(v)
\end{align}
for $a \in \hat{L}$, $u \in \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, and $v \in V_L$, so that $\hat{\nu}$ is an automorphism of the vertex operator algebra $V_L$. In Section 2, we will specialize this general setting to a specific type of lattice $L$ and automorphism $\nu$ and use the automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of $V_L$ to construct a $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-module.

Finally, in the general setting, we consider the graded dimension of the vertex operator algebra $V_L$. Let $\Theta_L(q)$ be the theta function corresponding to $L$; that is,
\begin{equation}
\Theta_L(q) = \sum_{\alpha \in L} q^{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle / 2},
\end{equation}
and let $\eta(q)$ be the Dedekind eta function, given by
\begin{equation}
\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - q^n).
\end{equation}
Then we have
\begin{equation}
\dim_* V_L = \frac{\Theta_L(q)}{\eta(q)^d}.
\end{equation}

2. Specialization of the general setting and the "space-time" construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules

In [L1], twisted modules for the vertex operator algebra associated to a positive definite lattice and a lattice isometry are constructed. In [BDM], twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra which is the $k$-fold tensor product ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$) of a vertex operator algebra $V$ with itself, twisted by a permutation automorphism of $V^\otimes k$, are constructed. In this paper, we investigate these two constructions in a setting in which they overlap. We will now describe this setting, specializing the general notions above. In Section 2.2, we will carry out the construction of [L1] in this setting, and in Section 3, we will carry out the construction of [BDM] in this setting.

2.1. The setting. Let $K$ be a positive definite even lattice with symmetric bilinear form given by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let
\begin{equation}
L = K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K
\end{equation}
be the direct sum of $k$ copies of $K$. Then $L$ is a positive definite even lattice with symmetric bilinear form given by
\begin{equation}
\langle (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k), (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \alpha_j, \beta_j \rangle,
\end{equation}
for $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in K, j = 1, \ldots, k$. The vertex operator algebra associated to the lattice $L$ satisfies $V_L = V_K \otimes V_K \otimes \cdots \otimes V_K = V_K^\otimes_k$, where $V_K^\otimes_k$ denotes the $k$-fold tensor product of $V_K$ with itself.

Let $\nu \in \text{Aut} L$ be given by

$$
\nu : K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K \rightarrow K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K
$$

$$
(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) \mapsto (\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_k, \alpha_1).
$$

Then $\nu$ is an isometry of $L$, i.e., $\langle \nu \alpha, \nu \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in L$. As noted in Section 1.2, $\nu$ lifts canonically to an automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of $V_L = V_K^\otimes_k$, and in this setting, i.e., with $\nu$ given by (2.3), this automorphism is given by

$$
\hat{\nu} : V_K \otimes V_K \otimes \cdots \otimes V_K \rightarrow V_K \otimes V_K \otimes \cdots \otimes V_K
$$

$$
v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \mapsto v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k \otimes v_1.
$$

That is, the automorphism is a “permutation” of $V_K^\otimes_k$. Thus it is appropriate to consider both the construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted modules for the vertex operator algebra $V_L$ as developed in [L1] and [FLM2] and the construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules as developed in [BDM].

**Remark 2.1.** In [BDM], the construction of $g$-twisted $V_L$-modules for $g$ any permutation on $k$ letters first relies on the construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules for $\nu = (1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ k)$. Thus we first restrict ourselves to this particular permutation. At the end of Section 2 we discuss generalizations to arbitrary permutations.

2.2. The “space-time” construction of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules. Following the construction of twisted modules for a lattice and isometry as developed in [L1] and [FLM2] (and see also [DL]) specialized to the setting introduced above, observe that if $k$ is even, then for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in L$, we have

$$
\langle \nu^{k/2} \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \langle (\alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2}, \ldots, \alpha_k, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k/2}), (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle \alpha_{k+1}^2, \alpha_1 \rangle + \langle \alpha_{k+2}^2, \alpha_2 \rangle + \cdots + \langle \alpha_k^2, \alpha_1 \rangle
$$

$$
+ \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_{k+1}^2 \rangle + \langle \alpha_2, \alpha_{k+2}^2 \rangle + \cdots + \langle \alpha_{k/2}, \alpha_k \rangle
$$

$$
= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{k/2} \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_{j+k/2+j} \rangle.
$$

Thus $\langle \nu^{k/2} \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in L$, verifying Equation (1.28) in this setting. This implies that

$$
\left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu^j \alpha, \alpha \right\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z},
$$

verifying Equation (1.29) in this setting. Thus the commutator map $C$ given by (1.31) satisfies $C(\alpha, \alpha) = 1$ for $\alpha \in L$.

Recalling our fixed primitive $k$-th root of unity $\eta$ from Section 1.2 for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ set

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{(n)} = \{ h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \nu h = \eta^n h \} \subset \mathfrak{h},
$$

so that $\mathfrak{h} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h}_{(n)}$, where we identify $\mathfrak{h}_{(n \mod k)}$ with $\mathfrak{h}_{(n)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in general,

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{(n)} = \{ h + \eta^{-n} \nu h + \eta^{-2n} \nu^2 h + \cdots + \eta^{-(k-1)n} \nu^{k-1} h \mid h \in \mathfrak{h} \}. 
$$
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and thus in the present setting

\[(2.9) \quad h(n) = \text{span}_C \{ (\alpha, \eta^n\alpha, \eta^{2n}\alpha, \ldots, \eta^{(k-1)n}\alpha) \mid \alpha \in K \}, \]

since

\[(\alpha, \eta^n\alpha, \eta^{2n}\alpha, \ldots, \eta^{(k-1)n}\alpha) = (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0) + \eta^n(0, \alpha, 0, \ldots, 0) + \eta^{2n}(0, 0, \alpha, 0, \ldots, 0) + \cdots + \eta^{(k-1)n}(0, \ldots, 0, \alpha). \]

For \(n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\), denote by

\[(2.10) \quad P_n : h \rightarrow h(n) \]

the projection onto \(h(n)\), and for \(h \in h\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\), set \(h(n) = P_{(n \mod k)}h\). In general, we have that for \(h \in h\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[(2.11) \quad h(n) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta^{-nj} \nu^j h, \]

so that in the present setting, for \((\alpha, \eta_{\nu}) \in L\),

\[(2.12) \quad (\alpha, \eta_{\nu})_n = \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta^{n(1-j)} \alpha_j, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta^{n(2-j)} \alpha_j, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{k} \eta^{n(k-j)} \alpha_j \right). \]

Viewing \(h\) as an abelian Lie algebra, consider the \(\nu\)-twisted affine Lie algebra

\[(2.13) \quad \hat{h}[\nu] = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} h(n) \otimes t^n \oplus \mathbb{C}c \]

with brackets determined by

\[(2.14) \quad [\alpha \otimes t^m, \beta \otimes t^n] = (\alpha, \beta) m \delta_{m+n,0} c \]

for \(\alpha \in h_{(km)}\), \(\beta \in h_{(kn)}\), and \(m, n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\), and

\[(2.15) \quad [c, \hat{h}[\nu]] = 0. \]

Define the weight gradation on \(\hat{h}[\nu]\) by

\[(2.16) \quad \text{wt} (\alpha \otimes t^n) = -n, \quad \text{wt} c = 0 \]

for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}\), \(\alpha \in h_{(kn)}\). Set

\[(2.17) \quad \hat{h}[\nu]^+ = \bigoplus_{n>0} h_{(kn)} \otimes t^n, \quad \hat{h}[\nu]^-= \bigoplus_{n<0} h_{(kn)} \otimes t^n. \]

Now the subalgebra

\[(2.18) \quad \hat{h}[\nu]_{\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}} = \hat{h}[\nu]^+ \oplus \hat{h}[\nu]^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}c \]

of \(\hat{h}[\nu]\) is a Heisenberg algebra. Form the induced \(\hat{h}[\nu]\)-module

\[(2.19) \quad S[\nu] = U(\hat{h}[\nu]) \otimes_U (\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} h_{(kn)} \otimes t^n \oplus \mathbb{C}c) \simeq S(\hat{h}[\nu]^-) \quad \text{(linearly)}, \]

where \(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} h_{(kn)} \otimes t^n\) acts trivially on \(\mathbb{C}\) and \(c\) acts as 1. Then \(S[\nu]\) is irreducible under \(\hat{h}[\nu]_{\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}}\).
Following [DL], Section 6, we give the module $S[\nu]$ the natural $\mathbb{Q}$-grading (by weights) compatible with the action of $\hat{h}[\nu]$ and such that

\begin{equation}
\text{wt } 1 = \frac{1}{4k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k - j) \dim (h_{(j)})
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \frac{d}{4k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k - j),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
d = \text{rank } K.
\end{equation}

Now

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j(k - j) = \frac{k(k^2 - 1)}{6}
\end{equation}

since, for example, $\sum j(k - j) = k \sum j - \sum j^2$, and thus (2.20) simplifies to

\begin{equation}
\text{wt } 1 = \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24k}.
\end{equation}

Later we will justify (2.23) by determining the action of the operator $L^\hat{\nu}(0)$ obtained from the general twisted vertex operators introduced in [FLM2].

Following Sections 5 and 6 of [L1] implemented in this special case, we have that the automorphisms of $\hat{L}_\nu$ covering the identity automorphism of $L$ are precisely the maps $\rho^* : a \rightarrow a \rho(\bar{a})$ for a homomorphism $\rho : L \rightarrow \langle \eta_0 \rangle$. We have that

\begin{equation}
L \cap h_{(0)} = \{ (\alpha, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \mid \alpha \in K \},
\end{equation}

the “diagonal” lattice, and there is a homomorphism $\rho_0 : L \cap h_{(0)} \rightarrow \langle \eta_0 \rangle$ such that $\hat{\nu}a = a\rho_0(\bar{a})$ if $\nu\bar{a} = \bar{a}$. Now $\rho_0$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\rho : L \rightarrow \langle \eta_0 \rangle$ since the map $1 - P_0$ induces an isomorphism from $L/L \cap h_{(0)}$ to the free abelian group $(1 - P_0)L$. Multiplying $\hat{\nu}$ by the inverse of $\rho^*$ gives us an automorphism $\hat{\nu}$ of $\hat{L}_\nu$ satisfying (1.39) and

\begin{equation}
\hat{\nu}a = a \quad \text{if} \quad \nu\bar{a} = \bar{a},
\end{equation}

as in (1.40).

Let

\begin{equation}
N = (1 - P_0)h \cap L = \{ \alpha \in L \mid \langle \alpha, h_{(0)} \rangle = 0 \}.
\end{equation}

Then

\begin{equation}
N = \{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \cdots - \alpha_{k-1}) \mid \alpha_j \in K, j = 1, \ldots, k \}.
\end{equation}

Let

\begin{equation}
M = (1 - \nu)L \subset N.
\end{equation}

Then

\begin{equation}
M = \{ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) - (\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_k, \alpha_1) \mid \alpha_j \in K, j = 1, \ldots, k \}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \{ (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}) - (\alpha_k - \alpha_1) \mid \alpha_j \in K, j = 1, \ldots, k \}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= N.
\end{equation}
For \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \), we have \( \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \nu^j \alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \) and thus for \( \alpha, \beta \in N \), the commutator map \( C \), defined by (1.31), simplifies to

\[
(2.29) \quad C_N(\alpha, \beta) = \eta \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\nu^j \alpha, \beta).
\]

We further find that for \( \alpha_j, \beta_j \in K \ (j = 1, \ldots, k - 1) \), we have

\[
(2.30) \quad C_N(\alpha, \beta) = 1.
\]

Let

\[
(2.31) \quad R = \{ \alpha \in N \mid C_N(\alpha, N) = 1 \}.
\]
denote the radical of $C_N$, so that from (2.30), we have

$$R = N = M.$$  

(2.32)

Continuing to follow [L1], we denote by $\hat{Q}$ the subgroup of $\hat{L}_\nu$ obtained by pulling back any subgroup $Q$ of $L$. Then

$$\hat{N} = \hat{M} = \hat{R} \cong N \times \langle \eta_0 \rangle,$$

(2.33)

an abelian group. Observe that $a\hat{\nu}a^{-1} \in \hat{M} = \hat{N}$ for all $a \in \hat{L}_\nu$. By Proposition 6.1 of [L1], there exists a unique homomorphism $\tau : \hat{M} = \hat{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that

$$\tau(\eta_0) = \eta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(a\hat{\nu}a^{-1}) = \eta^{-\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}(\nu^j\bar{a} \bar{a})/2} = \eta^{-k(\bar{a}(0), \bar{a}(0))/2}$$

(2.34)

for $a \in \hat{L}_\nu$ (recall (2.6)). Denote by $C_\tau$ the one-dimensional $\hat{N}$-module $\mathbb{C}$ with character $\tau$ and write

$$T = C_\tau;$$

(2.35)

this is the (unique up to equivalence) irreducible $\hat{N}$-module given by Proposition 6.2 of [L1].

Form the induced $\hat{L}_\nu$-module

$$U_T = C[\hat{L}_\nu] \otimes_{C[\hat{N}]} T \simeq C[L/N].$$

(2.36)

Then $\hat{L}_\nu$ and $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ act on $U_T$ as follows:

$$a \cdot b \otimes r = ab \otimes r,$$

(2.37)

$$h \cdot b \otimes r = \langle h, \bar{b} \rangle b \otimes r$$

(2.38)

for $a, b \in \hat{L}_\nu$, $r \in T = C_\tau$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}(0)$. As operators on $U_T$,

$$ha = a(\langle h, \bar{a} \rangle + h)$$

(2.39)

for $a \in \hat{L}_\nu$ and $h \in \mathfrak{h}(0)$. Since the projection map $P_0$ (recall (2.10)) induces an isomorphism from $L/N$ onto $P_0L$, we have

$$U_T = C[P_0L],$$

(2.40)

and since

$$P_0L = \frac{1}{k}(L \cap \mathfrak{h}(0))$$

(2.41)

(recall (2.21)), we have

$$U_T \simeq C \left[ \frac{1}{k}(L \cap \mathfrak{h}(0)) \right].$$

(2.42)

**Remark 2.2.** Therefore we have that $C[P_0L]$ (and thus $U_T$) is isomorphic to $C[K]$ by extension of the isomorphism

$$f : P_0L \rightarrow K$$

$$\frac{1}{k}(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha,$$

for $\alpha \in K$. 

Note that we can write
\begin{equation}
U_T = \coprod_{\alpha \in P_0L} U_{\alpha},
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
U_{\alpha} = \{ u \in U_T \mid h \cdot u = \langle h, \alpha \rangle u \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \},
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
a \cdot U_{\alpha} \subset U_{\alpha + \bar{\alpha}_{(0)}}
\end{equation}
for \( a \in \hat{L}_\nu \) and \( \alpha \in P_0L \).

We define an \( \text{End} U_T \)-valued formal Laurent series \( x^h \) for \( h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \) as follows:
\begin{equation}
x^h \cdot u = x^{\langle h, \alpha \rangle} u \text{ for } \alpha \in P_0L \text{ and } u \in U_{\alpha}.
\end{equation}
Then from (2.39),
\begin{equation}
x^h a \cdot u = a x^{\langle h, \alpha \rangle} u \text{ for } a \in \hat{L}_\nu \text{ and } \alpha \in P_0L.
\end{equation}

Define a \( C \)-gradation on \( U_T \) by
\begin{equation}
\text{wt} u = \frac{1}{2} \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \text{ for } \alpha \in P_0L \text{ and } u \in U_{\alpha}.
\end{equation}

Form the space
\begin{equation}
V^T_L = S[\nu] \otimes U_T \approx (U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\nu]) \otimes U(1_{n \geq 0} \otimes \mathfrak{h}_+(\mathfrak{h}_n) \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}_+ \otimes \mathfrak{h}_- \otimes \hat{L}_\nu \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hat{N}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_0L])) \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_0L],
\end{equation}
which is naturally graded (by weights), using the weight gradations of \( S[\nu] \) and \( U_T \).

We let \( \hat{L}_\nu, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}[\nu] \otimes \mathbb{Z}, \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \) and \( x^h \) for \( h \in \mathfrak{h}_{(0)} \) act on \( V^T_L \) by acting on either \( S[\nu] \) or \( U_T \), as described above.

For \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{h} \text{ and } n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z} \), write \( \alpha^T(n) \) or \( \alpha_{(kn)}(n) \) for the operator on \( V^T_L \) associated with \( \alpha_{(kn)} \otimes t^n \), and set
\begin{equation}
\alpha^T(x) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \alpha^T(n)x^{-n-1} = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{(kn)}(n)x^{-n-1}.
\end{equation}
Note that for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in \mathfrak{h}$, from (2.12) we have
\[
\alpha^T(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha^T(n)x^{-n-1}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{k+1}(1-j) \alpha_j \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{k+1}(2-j) \alpha_j \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{k+1}(k-j) \alpha_j \right)(n)x^{-n-1}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{n+1}(1-j) \alpha_j \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{n+1}(2-j) \alpha_j \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sigma_{n+1}(k-j) \alpha_j \right) \left( \frac{n}{k} \right)x^{-n/k-1}.
\]

Following [L1] and [FLM2], for $\alpha \in L$, define
\[
\sigma(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
\prod_{0<j<k/2} (1 - \eta^{-j})^{(\nu', \alpha, \alpha)} 2^{(\nu' \alpha, \alpha)/2} & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{Z} \\
\prod_{0<j<k/2} (1 - \eta^{-j})^{(\nu', \alpha, \alpha)} & \text{if } k \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Then $\sigma(\nu \alpha) = \sigma(\alpha)$. Using the normal-ordering procedure described above, define the $\nu$-twisted vertex operator $Y^\nu(a, x)$ for $a \in \hat{L}$ acting on $V_L$ as follows:
\[
Y^\nu(a, x) = k^{-\bar{a} \bar{a}} / 2 \sigma(a) \circ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n_j - 1)!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) \cdots \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n_j - 1)!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) \cdots \right) Y^\nu(a, x) \circ.
\]

Note that on the right-hand side of (2.34), we view $a$ as an element of $\hat{L}$, using our set-theoretic identification between $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{L}_v$ given by (14.1). For $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n_1, \ldots, n_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $v = \alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_m(-n_m) \cdot v(a) \in V_L$, set
\[
W(v, x) = \circ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n_j - 1)!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) \cdots \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{(n_j - 1)!} \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) \cdots \right) Y^\nu(a, x) \circ,
\]
where the right-hand side is an operator on $V_L$. Extend to all $v \in V_L$ by linearity.

Define constants $c_{mnr} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r = 0, \ldots, k - 1$ by the formulas
\[
\sum_{m, n \geq 0} c_{mnr} x^m y^n = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \log \left( \frac{1 + x^{1/k} - \eta^{-j} (1 + y)^{1/k}}{1 - \eta^{-j}} \right),
\]
\[
\sum_{m, n \geq 0} c_{mnr} x^m y^n = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1 + x^{1/k} - \eta^{-r} (1 + y)^{1/k}}{1 - \eta^{-r}} \right) \quad \text{for } r \neq 0.
\]

(These are well-defined formal power series in $x$ and $y$.) Let $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\dim \mathfrak{h}}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, and set
\[
\Delta_x = \sum_{m, n \geq 0, r = 0} c_{mnr} (\nu^{-r} \beta_j)(m) \beta_j(n) x^{-m-n}.
\]

Then $e^{\Delta_x}$ is well defined on $V_L$ since $c_{00r} = 0$ for all $r$, and for $v \in V_L$, $e^{\Delta_x} v \in V_L[x^{-1}]$. Note that $\Delta_x$ is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. In our special case, recall that $d = \text{rank } K$, so that $\dim \mathfrak{h} = kd$. 
For $v \in V_L$, the $\hat{\nu}$-twisted vertex operator $Y^{\hat{\nu}}(v, x)$ is defined by:

\begin{equation}
Y^{\hat{\nu}}(v, x) = W(e^{\Delta_x} v, x).
\end{equation}

Then this yields a well-defined linear map

\begin{equation}
V_L \rightarrow (\text{End } V^T_L)[[x^{1/k}, x^{-1/k}]]
\end{equation}

where $v^\nu_n \in \text{End } V^T_L$. Recall from [14] that $\hat{\nu}$ has period (and hence order) $k$ on $\hat{L}$, and thus on the vertex operator algebra $V^\nu_L$ as well.

It has been established in [L1], [FLM2], [L2] and [DL] that $(V^T_L, Y^{\hat{\nu}})$ is an irreducible $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V^\nu_L$-module (recall Section 1.1 for the definition).

Now, following [DL] (but filling in some details), we will justify the weight gradation of $V^T_L$ given by (2.16), (2.20) (or equivalently, (2.23)), and (2.50) by showing that this grading is given by the eigenvalues of the operator $L^\nu_t(0)$ (recall (1.22) and (1.23)). This will then allow us to calculate the graded dimension of $V^T_L$, which is the main piece of data we will use to establish an isomorphism between the spacetime $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V^\nu_L$-module construction just established above and the worldsheet $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V^\nu_L$-module construction given in Section 3.

We first note that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $s, t = 0, \ldots, k - 1$, we have, by (2.7),

\begin{equation}
\langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle = \langle \nu \alpha(s), \nu \beta(t) \rangle = \eta^{s+t} \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle,
\end{equation}

so that

\begin{equation}
\langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle = 0 \text{ unless } s + t \equiv 0 \mod k.
\end{equation}

From (2.58) and (2.61), we have

\begin{align*}
\Delta_x \cdot \alpha(-1)\beta(-1)1 & = \sum_{m, n \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}} c_{mnr}(\nu^{-r} \beta_j)(m)\beta_j(n)x^{-m-n} \cdot \alpha(-1)\beta(-1)1 \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}} c_{11r}(\nu^{-r} \beta_j)(1)\beta_j(1)x^{-2} \cdot \alpha(-1)\beta(-1)1 \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}} c_{11r}(\langle \beta_j, \alpha \rangle \langle \beta_j, \nu^{r} \beta \rangle 1 + \langle \beta_j, \beta \rangle \langle \beta_j, \nu^{r} \alpha \rangle 1)x^{-2} \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c_{11r}(\beta_j, \nu^{r} \beta \rangle 1 + \langle \beta_j, \nu^{r} \alpha \rangle 1)x^{-2}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c_{11r} \sum_{s, t=0}^{k-1} \langle \alpha(s), \nu^{r} \beta(t) \rangle 1 + \langle \beta(t), \nu^{r} \alpha(s) \rangle 1)x^{-2} \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{s, t=0}^{k-1} (\eta^{-rt} + \eta^{rs}) \langle \alpha(s), \beta(t) \rangle 1x^{-2} \\
& = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (\eta^{-rs} + \eta^{rs}) \langle \alpha(s), \beta(-s) \rangle 1x^{-2}.
\end{align*}
Thus
\begin{equation}
(2.62) \quad e^{\Delta_s} \alpha(-1) \beta(-1) 1 = \alpha(-1) \beta(-1) 1 + \left(2c_{110}(\alpha, \beta) + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} c_{11r}(\eta^{rs} + \eta^{-rs}) \langle \alpha(s), \beta(-s) \rangle \right) 1x^{-2}.
\end{equation}

For \( s = 0, \ldots, k - 1 \), let \( \{ \beta_1^{(s)}, \ldots, \beta_{\dim \mathfrak{h}(s)}^{(s)} \} \) be a basis of \( \mathfrak{h}(s) \), and let
\begin{equation}
(2.63) \quad \{ (\beta_j^{(s)})^* \mid j_s = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathfrak{h}(s), \ s = 0, \ldots, k - 1 \}
\end{equation}
be a dual basis for \( \mathfrak{h} \) with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \). Then \( \langle (\beta_j^{(s)})^*(s), (\beta_j^{(s)})^*(-s) \rangle = \langle \beta_j^{(s)}, (\beta_j^{(s)})^* \rangle = 1 \). Recalling (1.62), we have (cf. \[FLM3\])
\begin{equation}
(2.64) \quad \omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j_s=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}(s)} \beta_j^{(s)}(-1)(\beta_j^{(s)})^*(-1) 1
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
(2.65) \quad e^{\Delta_s} \omega = \omega + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j_s=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{h}(s)} \left(2c_{110} \langle \beta_j^{(s)}, (\beta_j^{(s)})^* \rangle + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} c_{11r}(\eta^{rs} + \eta^{-rs}) \langle \beta_j^{(s)}, (\beta_j^{(s)})^* \rangle \right) 1x^{-2}.
\end{equation}

If \( \dim \mathfrak{h}(s) = \dim \mathfrak{h}(t) \) for all \( s, t = 0, \ldots, k - 1 \) as in our specialized setting, then (2.66) further simplifies to
\begin{equation}
(2.66) \quad e^{\Delta_s} \omega = \omega + \left(c_{110} \dim \mathfrak{h} + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{h}}{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} c_{11r}(\eta^{rs} + \eta^{-rs}) \right) 1x^{-2}.
\end{equation}

The number \( c_{110} \) is defined by (2.56) and can be expressed as
\begin{equation}
(2.67) \quad c_{110} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \log \left( \frac{(1+x)^{1/k} - \eta^{-j}(1+y)^{1/k}}{1 - \eta^{-j}} \right) \bigg|_{x=y=0}.
\end{equation}

The next lemma follows from Equations (6.21) and (6.22) in [DL]. Since the proof of this fact was not included in [DL], we supply it here for completeness.
Lemma 2.3. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\eta_m$ a primitive $m$-th root of unity

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\eta_m^{-j}}{(1 - \eta_m^{-j})^2} = \frac{m^2 - 1}{12}
\end{equation}

Proof. By direct expansion, we observe that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \delta(\eta_m^{-j} x) = \delta(x^m).
\end{equation}

Considering only the nonnegative powers of $x$ in (2.69), we have the equality

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_m^{-j} x}{1 - \eta_m^{-j} x} = \frac{1}{1 - x^m}
\end{equation}

of formal rational functions, and applying $x \frac{d}{dx}$ to both sides of (2.70) gives

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta_m^{-j} x}{(1 - \eta_m^{-j} x)^2} = \frac{mx^m}{(1 - x^m)^2}.
\end{equation}

Therefore

\begin{equation}
\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{\eta_m^{-j} x}{(1 - \eta_m^{-j} x)^2} = \frac{m^2 x^m}{(1 - x^m)^2} - \frac{x}{(1 - x)^2}.
\end{equation}

The left-hand side of (2.68) is obtained by setting $x = 1$ in the left-hand side of (2.72). To prove (2.68), we take the limit as $x$ approaches 1 of the right-hand side of (2.72). An efficient method for computing this limit is to replace $x$ by $x + 1$ in the right-hand side of (2.72) and then take the limit as $x$ approaches 0. Replacing $x$ by $x + 1$ on the right-hand side of (2.72) and then dividing by $x + 1$ (which approaches 1 as $x$ approaches 0) gives

\[
\frac{m^2(x+1)^{m-1}}{(1-(x+1)^m)^2} - \frac{1}{x^2} = \frac{m^2(x+1)^{m-1} - \left(\frac{1-(x+1)^m}{x}\right)^2}{(1-(x+1)^m)^2} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} m^2 \binom{m-1}{n} x^n - \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{m}{n} x^{n-1}\right)^2 = \frac{m^2 + m^2(m-1)x + m^2 \binom{m-1}{2} x^2 + O(x^3) - \left(m + \binom{m}{2} x + \binom{m}{3} x^2 + O(x^3)\right)^2}{(mx + O(x^2))^2} = \frac{m^2 \binom{m-1}{2} x^2 - \binom{m}{2} x^2 - 2m \binom{m}{3} x^2 + O(x^3)}{m^2 x^2 + O(x^3)} = \frac{1}{2} (m-1)(m-2) - \frac{1}{4} (m-1)^2 - \frac{1}{3} (m-1)(m-2) + O(x).
\]

Thus the limit as $x$ approaches 0 is

\[
(m-1) \left(\frac{6(m-2) - 3(m-1) - 4(m-2)}{12}\right) = \frac{m^2 - 1}{12},
\]
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proving (2.68).

Thus we have that
\[ c_{110} = \frac{k^2 - 1}{24 k^2} \]
and
\[ c_{\Delta x} \omega = \omega \cdot k^2 - \frac{1}{24 k^2} \dim h \cdot x^{-2}. \]

Note that of course this is independent of the choice of basis for \( h \). Thus for any orthonormal basis for \( h \), \( \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\dim h}\} \), and recalling (1.62) and (2.59), we have
\[ Y(\omega, x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\dim h} \beta_j^T(x) \beta_j^T(x) + \frac{k^2 - 1}{24 k^2} \dim h \cdot x^{-2}. \]

By the theorem quoted above that \( V_T \) is a \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module, the operators \( L^\hat{\nu}(n) \) satisfy the Virasoro algebra relations (1.21). As we now show, the grading on \( V_T \) described above is given by \( L^\hat{\nu}(0) \)-eigenvalues.

Since in our case \( \dim h = kd \), we have
\[ L^\hat{\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kd} \beta_j^T(-|n|) \beta_j^T(|n|) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24 k} n. \]

Thus
\[ L^\hat{\nu}(0)1 = \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24 k}, \]
as in (2.23). Similarly, for \( u = 1 \otimes u \in V_T \) with \( u \in U_\alpha \subset U_T \) \( (\alpha \in P_h L) \), we have
\[ L^\hat{\nu}(0)u = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kd} \beta_j^T(\alpha) \beta_j^T(\alpha) u + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24 k} u \]
and for \( m \in \frac{1}{k} \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \alpha \in h_{(km)} \)
\[ [L^\hat{\nu}(0), \alpha^T(m)] = -k \sum_{j=1}^{kd} \beta_j^T(m) \langle \beta_j(-km), \alpha_{(km)} \rangle m \]
\[ = -k \sum_{j=1}^{kd} \beta_j^T(m) \beta_j(\alpha_{(km)}) \]
\[ = -k \alpha^T(m). \]

Thus \( L^\hat{\nu}(0)v = (\text{wt } v + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24 k})v \) for \( v \in V_T \) using the weight gradation defined by (2.16) and (2.50) and incorporating the grading shift given by (2.23).
Using this, we find that the graded dimension of the $\tilde{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-module $V_L^T$ is
\[
\dim V_L^T = \text{tr}_{V_L^T} q^{L^{(0)}} = q^{kd/24} \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} q^{d/24} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in K} q^{2\alpha} \right) \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left( 1 - q^{n/k} \right)^{-d} \right)
\]
(3.80)

3. The “worldsheet” construction and classification of $\tilde{\nu}$-twisted
$V_L$-modules

Following [BDM] we give the construction of $\tilde{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules for the case when $V_L = V_{2k}^{\mathbb{R}}$ for $K$ a positive definite even lattice and for $\tilde{\nu}$ given by (2.4).

Define $\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k}) \in (\text{End } V_K)[[x^{1/k}, x^{-1/k}]]$ by
\[
\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k}) = \exp \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{-j/k} L(j) \right) k^{L(0)} x^{(1/k-1) L(0)}
\]
(3.1)

where the $L(j) \in \text{End } V_K$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are the elements given by the vertex operator algebra structure on $V_K$ and where the $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are given uniquely by
\[
\exp \left( - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \cdot x = \frac{1}{k} (1 + x)^k - \frac{1}{k}
\]
(3.2)

For example, $a_1 = (1 - k)/2$ and $a_2 = (k^2 - 1)/12$.

**Remark 3.1.** We use the symbol $f$ in the operator $\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})$ and the term “worldsheet” to describe the twisted construction we will recall from [BDM] for the following reason: Let $w, y$ and $z$ be formal variables and consider the (formal) function
\[
f(y) = \exp \left( - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j z^{-j/k} y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) k^{y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}} z^{(1-k) y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \cdot y
\]
(3.3)

Then
\[
f(y) = \begin{cases} 
\quad (1-k) (1 + z^{-1/k}) y k z (1 + z^{-1/k}) y k z
\end{cases}
\]
(3.4)

and $f(y)$ has inverse $f^{-1}(y) = (y + z^{1/k} - z^{1/k})$, which when evaluated at $y = w - z$ gives $w^{1/k} - z^{1/k}$. Now let $w$ and $z$ be complex variables on the Riemann sphere and consider the Riemann sphere with three punctures: at infinity, $z$ and zero. Let the local coordinate at $z$ be $w - z$. Under the correspondence between the geometry of propagating strings and the algebra of vertex operators developed in [H], this
sphere with punctures corresponds to a certain “worldsheet” — a Riemann surface swept out by propagating strings. Choosing a branch cut for the logarithm, we see that $f^{-1}(y)|_{y = w - z} = w^{1/k} - z^{1/k}$ gives the local coordinate vanishing at $z^{1/k}$ on this three punctured sphere under the “orbifolding” transformation $w \mapsto w^{1/k}$. The geometric interpretation of vertex operator algebras developed in [H] shows that the operator corresponding to the change of variables $f$ in a vertex operator algebra is $\mathcal{E}_f(z^{1/k})$.

**Remark 3.2.** In [BDM] the operator $\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})$ is denoted $\Delta_k(x)$. We are changing notation to avoid confusion with the notation $\Delta_x$ for the operator used to construct twisted modules in Section 2.

For $v \in V_K$, define
\begin{equation}
(3.5) \quad v^1 = v \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \in V_K^\otimes k
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
(3.6) \quad v^{j+1} = \nu^{-j}(v^1)
\end{equation}
for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $v^j$ is the element of $V_K^\otimes k$ that has $v$ as the $(j \mod k)$-th tensor factor and 1's as the other tensor factors.

Let $(M, Y_K)$ be a $V_K$-module. We will denote by $Y_\nu$ the twisted operators on $M$ defined via the construction given in [BDM], and they are defined as follows:
\begin{equation}
(3.7) \quad Y_\nu(u^1, x) = Y_K(\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})u, x^{1/k})
\end{equation}
and
\begin{equation}
(3.8) \quad Y_\nu(u^{j+1}, x) = Y_\nu(\nu^{-j}(u^1), x) = \lim_{x^{1/k} \rightarrow \eta^j x^{1/k}} Y_\nu(u^1, x)
\end{equation}
for $u \in V_K$ and $\eta$ a fixed primitive $k$-th root of unity. Since $V_K^\otimes k$ is generated by $u^j$ for $u \in V_K$ and $j = 1, \ldots, k$, the twisted vertex operators given in (3.7) and (3.8) determine all the twisted vertex operators $Y_\nu(v, x)$ for $v \in V_L = V_K^\otimes k$. In [BDM], it is proved in particular that $(M, Y_\nu)$ is a $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_K^\otimes k$-module and that $(M, Y_\nu)$ is irreducible if and only if $(M, Y_K)$ is irreducible.

**Remark 3.3.** In [BDM], the primitive $k$-th root of unity corresponding to $\eta$ is fixed to be $e^{2\pi i/k}$. However, the results of [BDM] hold if $\eta$ is chosen to be any fixed primitive $k$-th root of unity.

On the other hand, letting $(M, Y_K^\nu)$ be a $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_K^\otimes k$-module, we can define
\begin{equation}
(3.9) \quad Y_K^\nu(u, x) = Y_\nu((\mathcal{E}_f(x)^{-1}u)^1, x^k),
\end{equation}
where
\begin{equation}
(3.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})^{-1} = x^{(1-1/k)L(0) + (1/k)L(0)} \exp \left( - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{-j/k} L(j) \right),
\end{equation}
and where we assume that if one replaces $x$ by a complex variable $z$, then $z$ is restricted to complex values such that $(z^k)^{1/k} = z$ for the standard branch cut of log. In [BDM], it is proved in particular that $(M, Y_K^\nu)$ is a $V_K$-module and that $(M, Y_K^\nu)$ is irreducible if and only if $(M, Y_K)$ is irreducible.
Denote the category of $V_K$-modules by $\mathcal{C}(V_K)$ and denote the category of $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-modules by $\mathcal{C}^{\hat{\nu}}(V_L)$. Define functors $F_{\hat{\nu}}$ and $G_{\hat{\nu}}$ by
\[
F_{\hat{\nu}} : \mathcal{C}(V_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\hat{\nu}}(V_L)
\]
\[
(M, Y_K) \mapsto (M, Y_{\hat{\nu}})
\]
and
\[
G_{\hat{\nu}} : \mathcal{C}^{\hat{\nu}}(V_L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(V_K)
\]
\[
(M, Y_{\hat{\nu}}) \mapsto (M, Y_K)
\]
with the obvious definitions on morphisms.

The following theorem is a special case of the main results proved in [BDM]:

**Theorem 3.4 ([BDM]).** The functors $F_{\hat{\nu}}$ and $G_{\hat{\nu}}$ have the properties mentioned above. Furthermore, $F_{\hat{\nu}} \circ G_{\hat{\nu}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^{\hat{\nu}}(V_L)}$ and $G_{\hat{\nu}} \circ F_{\hat{\nu}} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}(V_K)}$. In particular, the categories $\mathcal{C}(V_K)$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\hat{\nu}}(V_L)$ are isomorphic, as are the subcategories of irreducible objects.

4. **Realizing the “space-time” construction of a $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-module as a $V_K$-module**

Let $(V_L^T, Y_{\hat{\nu}})$ be the $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-module constructed in Section 3.2 following [LL], [FLM2], and [DL]. Then by Theorem 3.4, $(V_L^T, Y_{\hat{\nu}})$ is isomorphic to some $\hat{\nu}$-twisted $V_L$-module $(M, Y_{\hat{\nu}})$ constructed via the method of Section 3.1 so that $M$ is a $V_K$-module and $Y_{\hat{\nu}}$ is the twisted vertex operator map defined by (3.7) and (3.8).

That is, $G_{\hat{\nu}}(V_L^T)$ must be a $V_K$-module, and since $V_L^T$ is irreducible as a $\hat{\nu}$-twisted module, $G_{\hat{\nu}}(V_L^T)$ must be an irreducible $V_K$-module. We shall write the conformal element of the vertex operator algebra $V_K$ as $\omega_K$ and the corresponding Virasoro algebra operators simply as $L(n)$, and we shall keep the same notation $Y$ and $1$ for the vertex operator map and the vacuum vector of $V_K$; that is, $V_K = (V_K, Y, 1, \omega_K)$. The central charge of $V_K$ is $d$.

Irreducible modules for lattice vertex operator algebras are classified as follows ([DL], [DLMI], cf. [LL]): Let $L$ be a positive definite even lattice and let $L^*$ be the dual lattice to $L$. The irreducible $V_L$-modules are parametrized up to equivalence by $L^*/L$, and in fact, each is isomorphic to a “coset module” $V_{\beta+L}$ for some $\beta \in L^*$.

Thus $G_{\hat{\nu}}(V_L^T)$ is isomorphic to $V_{\beta+K}$ for some coset $\beta + K \subset K^*/K$. Writing $\mathfrak{h}_K = K \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{C}$ to distinguish from $\mathfrak{h} = L \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{C}$, we have that $V_{\beta+K} \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}_K) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\beta + K]$ linearly. The grading of $V_{\beta+K}$ is given by weights with wt $\alpha(-n) = n$ for $\alpha \in K$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and wt $e^{\beta + \alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha, \beta + \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in K$.

**Theorem 4.1.** As a $V_K$-module, $G_{\hat{\nu}}(V_L^T)$ is isomorphic to $V_K$.

**Proof.** As a $V_K$-module, $G_{\hat{\nu}}(V_L^T)$ is given by the space $V_L^T = S[\nu] \otimes U_T \simeq S(\mathfrak{h}[\nu]^\perp) \otimes \mathbb{C}[P_\nu L]$ and the vertex operators
\[
Y_K^{\hat{\nu}}(u, x) = Y(\nu(\mathcal{E}_f(x)^{-1}u)^1, x^k)
\]
for $u \in V_K$. 

To determine the graded dimension of the $V_K$-module $G_{\hat{e}}(V_L^T)$, we first observe that
\[
Y_{\hat{e}}(\omega_K, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{\hat{e}}^r(n)x^{-n-2} = Y^{(e)}(\mathcal{E}_f(x)^{-1}\omega_K, x^k).
\]

We calculate $\mathcal{E}_f(x)^{-1}\omega_K$ by noticing that since $L(j)1 = 0$ for $j \geq -1$ and $\omega_K = L(-2)1$, we have that if $j \geq 1$, then $L(j)\omega_K = \frac{1}{12} \delta_{j-2,0} c_i 1$. Thus recalling that $a_2 = (k^2 - 1)/12$, we have
\[
\mathcal{E}_f(x)^{-1}\omega_K = x^{(k-1)L(0)}k L(0) \exp \left( - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{-j} L(j) \right) \cdot \omega_K
\]
\[
= x^{(k-1)L(0)}k L(0) \left( \omega_K - a_2 x^{-2} \frac{1}{2} d 1 \right)
\]
\[
= x^{2k-2}k^2 \omega_K - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24x^2} 1.
\]

Thus
\[
Y_{\hat{e}}(\omega_K, x) = Y^{(e)} \left( (x^{2k-2}k^2 \omega_K - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24x^2} 1), x^k \right)
\]
\[
= x^{2k-2}k^2Y^{(e)}(\omega_K, x^k) - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24x^2}.
\]

Next we calculate $\exp(\Delta x \cdot \omega_K)$ by recalling that $\omega_K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d h_j(-1)h_j(-1)1$ where \(\{h_1, \ldots, h_d\}\) is an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{h}_K = K \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Note that since \(\{h_1, \ldots, h_d\}\) is an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{h}_K$, then
\[
\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{kd}\} = \{(h_1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (h_d, 0, 0, \ldots, 0), (0, h_1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, h_d, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)\}
\]
\[
(4.1) = \{h_j^p | j = 1, \ldots, d \text{ and } p = 1, \ldots, k\}
\]
is an orthonormal basis for $\mathfrak{h} = L \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Thus using (2.28) we have
\[
\Delta x \cdot \omega_K^1
\]
\[
= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{s=1}^{kd} c_{mn}r^{(\nu^r \beta_j)}(m) \beta_j(n)x^{-m-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{d} (h_s(-1)h_s(-1)1)^1
\]
\[
= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{s=1}^{d} c_{mn}h_j^r(n)x^{-m-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{d} (h_s(-1)h_s(-1)1)^1
\]
\[
= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \sum_{s=1}^{d} c_{mn}h_j^{r+}(n)x^{-m-n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{d} (h_s(-1)h_s(-1)1)^1
\]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_{10} \left( h_j^1(1) h_j^1(1) \right) x^{-2} \cdot (h_j(-1) h_j(-1) 1) \]
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{k^2 - 1}{24k^2} \left( h_j, h_j \right) i^1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 x^{-2} \]
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{k^2 - 1}{24k^2} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 x^{-2} \]
\[ \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24k^2} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 x^{-2}. \]

and

\[ e^{\Delta^+ \omega_K^1} = \omega_K^1 + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24k^2} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 x^{-2}. \]

Therefore,

\[ Y_K^p(\omega_K, x) \]
\[ = x^{2k - 2} k^2 Y_K^p(\omega_K^1, x) \]
\[ = x^{2k - 2} k^2 W(e^{\Delta^+ \omega_K^1}, x) - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24x^2} \]
\[ = x^{2k - 2} k^2 W(\omega_K^1, x^k) + x^{2k - 2} k^2 \frac{(k^2 - 1) d}{24k^2} x^{-2k} \quad - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24x^2} \]
\[ = \frac{x^{2k - 2} k^2}{2} W \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} (h_j(-1) h_j(-1) 1)^1, x^k \right) \]
\[ = \frac{x^{2k - 2} k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} o(h_j)^T(x^k)(h_j^1)^T(x^k)^o \]
\[ = \frac{x^{2k - 2} k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{m, n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} o(h_j)^T(m)(h_j^1)^T(n)^o x^{-km - kn - 2k} \]
\[ = \frac{k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{m, n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} o(h_j)^T(m)(h_j^1)^T(n)^o x^{-km - kn - 2} \]

Thus

\[ L_K^p(0) = \text{Res}_x \left( \frac{k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{m, n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} o(h_j)^T(m)(h_j^1)^T(n)^o x^{-km - kn - 2} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} o(h_j)^T(-n)(h_j^1)^T(n)^o \]
\[ = \frac{k^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} (h_j(-k|n|)(-n))(h_j^1)(k|n|)(|n|). \]
We want to compare $L^\nu_K(0)$ to $L^\nu(0)$ given by (2.70). To do this, we note that for $n, p = 0, \ldots, k - 1$ and $h \in h$, we have

$$\nu^p h_{(n)} = \eta^n p h_{(n)}.$$  

Thus recalling (2.76), we have

$$L^\nu(0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{kd} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \beta_j^T(-|n|)\beta_j^T(|n|) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{k} (h_j^p(-k|n|)(-|n|)(h_j^p(k|n|)(|n|) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{k} (\hat{\nu}^{-p+1}h_j^1(-k|n|)(-|n|)(\hat{\nu}^{-p+1}h_j^1)(k|n|)(|n|) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^{k} \eta^{-k|n|(-p+1)}(h_j^1(-k|n|)(-|n|)\eta^k|n|(-p+1)(h_j^1)(k|n|)(|n|)$$

$$+ \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}$$

$$= \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}} (h_j^1(-k|n|)(-|n|)(h_j^1)(k|n|)(|n|) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} L^\nu_K(0) + \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24}.$$  

In other words,

$$L^\nu_K(0) = kL^\nu(0) - \frac{(k^2 - 1)d}{24},$$

which gives the natural grading on the space $V^T_L$ viewed as the $V_K$-module $G_\nu(V^T_L)$. Thus by (2.80) we have

$$\dim G_\nu(V^T_L) = \text{tr}_{G_\nu(V^T_L)} q^{L^\nu_K(0)-d/24}$$

$$= \text{tr}_{V^T_L} q^{kL^\nu(0)-(k^2-1)d/24-d/24}$$

$$= \text{tr}_{V^T_L} q^{(L^\nu(0)-kd/24)}$$

$$= \dim_{q=q^k} V^T_L$$

$$= q^{-d/24}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in K} q^{(\alpha,\alpha)/2}\right) \left(\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - q^n)^{-d}\right).$$

But the graded dimension of $V_K$ is given by

$$\dim_{q=q^k} V_K = \frac{\Theta_K(q)}{\eta(q)^d}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\alpha \in K} q^{(\alpha,\alpha)/2}\right) q^{-d/24} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - q^n)^{-d},$$
so that
\[(4.7) \dim \hat{G}_\nu(V^T_L) = \dim V_K.\]

On the other hand, the graded dimension of the coset module \(V_{\beta+K}\) with \(\beta \notin K\) is given by
\[
\dim V_{\beta+K} = \left( \sum_{\alpha \in K} q^{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle/2 + \langle \beta, \beta \rangle/2 + \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \right) q^{-d/24} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (1 - q^n)^{-d},
\]
which is of the form
\[
\dim V_{\beta+K} = q^{-d/24}(q^m + \cdots)
\]
for some \(m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0\). Since \(\dim V_K = q^{-d/24}(1 + \cdots)\) we have that \(\dim V_K \neq \dim V_{\beta+K}\) for \(K \neq \beta + K \in \mathbb{C}_/K\), so that \(V_K\) is the unique irreducible \(V_K\)-module with graded dimension given by (4.6). Therefore, \(\hat{G}_\nu(V^T_L)\) and \(V_K\) are isomorphic as \(V_K\)-modules. \(\square\)

By Theorems 3.4 and 4.1 we have the following main result:

**Theorem 4.2.** The \(\hat{\nu}\)-twisted \(V_K^\otimes_k\)-modules \((V^T_L, Y^\hat{\nu})\) and \((V_K, Y^\hat{\nu})\) are isomorphic.

In other words, the \(\hat{\nu}\)-twisted \(V_L\)-module constructed via the “space-time” construction of Section 2.2 is isomorphic to the \(\hat{\nu}\)-twisted \(V_L\)-module obtained from the \(V_K\)-module \(V_K\) using the “worldsheet” construction of Section 3.

5. **AN EXPLICIT DETERMINATION OF THE ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN THE TWISTED MODULES ARISING FROM THE “SPACE-TIME” AND THE “WORLDSHEET” CONSTRUCTIONS**

We explicitly construct an isomorphism (necessarily unique up to nonzero scalar multiple) given by Theorem 4.2. This illuminates the correspondence between the two very different twisted vertex operator maps.

Theorem 4.2 gives the existence of a linear isomorphism \(F : V^T_L \to V_K\) satisfying
\[(5.1) F(u, x) = F(Y^\hat{\nu}(u, x)v)
\]
satisfying
\[(5.2) Y^\nu(u, x)F(v) = F(Y^\hat{\nu}(u, x)v)
\]
for \(u \in V_L \simeq V_K^{\otimes_k}\) and \(v \in V^T_L\). In the next theorem, we give the construction of this isomorphism \(F\) on the \(\mathbb{C}_/\mathbb{C}\) component of \(V^\otimes_k\)-twisted modules, normalized so that \(F(1) = 1\).

**Theorem 5.1.** The normalized isomorphism \(F : V^T_L \to V_K\) is the unique linear map from \(V^T_L\) to \(V_K\) such that
\[(5.3) F \circ (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)^T(x) \circ F^{-1} = \frac{1}{k}x^{1/k-1}\alpha(x^{1/k})
\]
for \(\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\) and such that \(F\) on the group algebra component of \(V^T_L\) is the isomorphism \(\mathbb{C}[P_0] \simeq \mathbb{C}[K]\) given by extension of the isomorphism of \(P_0 \simeq K, \frac{1}{k}(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \mapsto \alpha\), as in Remark 2.2. Furthermore, for \((\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{C}\), we have
\[(5.4) F \circ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)^T(x) \circ F^{-1} = \frac{1}{k}x^{1/k-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j^{j-1}\alpha_j(x^{1/k}).
\]
Proof. Let $\alpha \in K$. Then
\[
\Delta_x \cdot (\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1
\]
\[
= \Delta_x \cdot (\alpha(-1)\iota(1) \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1)
\]
\[
= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} d \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{mnr} h^r_j(m) h^p_j(n) x^{-m-n} \cdot (\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1
\]
\[
= 0,
\]
and thus
\[
Y^\varphi((\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1, x) = W(e^{\Delta_x \cdot (\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1}, x)
\]
\[
= W((\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1, x)
\]
\[
= (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)^T(x).
\]
We also have
\[
\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})\alpha(-1)\iota(1) = \exp \left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{-j/k} L(j) \right) k^{-L(0)} x^{(1/k-1)L(0)} \alpha(-1)\iota(1)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k-1} \alpha(-1)\iota(1),
\]
and thus
\[
Y_\varphi((\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1, x) = Y(\mathcal{E}_f(x^{1/k})\alpha(-1)\iota(1), x^{1/k})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k-1} Y(\alpha(-1)\iota(1), x^{1/k})
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k-1} \alpha(x^{1/k}).
\]
Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism of $\bar{\iota}$-twisted $V^\otimes_k$-modules from $V^T_L$ to $V_K$; by Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{F}$ exists. Then since $\mathcal{F}$ must satisfy (5.2), we have
\[
\mathcal{F} \circ (\alpha, 0, \ldots, 0)^T(x) \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{F} \circ Y^\varphi((\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1, x) \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}
\]
\[
= Y_\varphi(\alpha(-1)\iota(1))^1, x)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k-1} \alpha(x^{1/k}),
\]
proving (5.3).

Let
\[
e : L = K \oplus K \oplus \cdots \oplus K \rightarrow \hat{L}
\]
\[
(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \mapsto e(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)
\]
be a section of $\hat{L}$. This choice of section allows us to identify $\mathbb{C}\{L\}$ with the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L]$ by the linear isomorphism
\[
\mathbb{C}[L] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{L\}
\]
\[
e(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \mapsto \iota(e(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k))
\]
for $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in K$. Without confusion, we use the same notation for a section of $K$. Then using the identification of $V_L$ and $V_K^{\otimes k}$, we have

\[
Y^\rho((e_\alpha)^1, x)1
= Y^\rho(e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}, x)1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2 \sigma((\alpha,0,\ldots,0) \sigma e^f((\alpha,0,\ldots,0)^T(x-\alpha,0,\ldots,0)^T(0)x^{-1})
\cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(0)+((\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(0))}/2-((\alpha,0,\ldots,0),(\alpha,0,\ldots,0))/2 \circ 1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}/x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)} \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0)
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)} \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0)
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)} \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0) \cdot e_{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}(0)
\]

whereas

\[
Y^\rho((e_\alpha)^1, x)1
= Y^\rho(F(x^{1/k}), t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
= Y\left(\exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^+} a_j x^{-j/k} L(j)\right) k^{-L(0)} x^{(1/k-1)L(0)} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k}\right)1
= Y(k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(1/k-1)(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(1/k-1)(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(1-k)(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(1-k)(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
= k^{-(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)}x^{(1-k)(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)/2} t(e_\alpha), x^{1/k})1
\]

By (5.5), we have

\[
F_\circ (\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(-n) = \frac{1}{k} \alpha(-kn) \circ F,
\]
for $n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+$, and thus

$$
\begin{align*}
&k^{-\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/2}x^{(1-k)\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/(2k)} \exp \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\alpha(-n)}{n} x^{n/k} \right) F(\iota(e_{\langle\alpha,0,\ldots,0\rangle})(0)) \\
= &F \left( k^{-\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/2}x^{(1-k)\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/(2k)} \exp \left( \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{k(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(-n)(-n/k)}{n} x^n \right) \right) \iota(e_{\langle\alpha,0,\ldots,0\rangle})(0) \\
= &F \left( k^{-\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/2}x^{(1-k)\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/(2k)} \exp \left( \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(-kn)(-n/k)}{n} x^n \right) \right) \iota(e_{\langle\alpha,0,\ldots,0\rangle})(0) \\
= &F \left( \frac{1}{k} F(\iota(e_{\alpha})(1)) \right) \\
= &Y^\nu((e_\alpha)^1,x)1 \\
= &Y^\nu((e_\alpha)^1,x)F(1) \\
= &Y^\nu((e_\alpha)^1,x)1 \\
= &k^{-\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/2}x^{(1-k)\langle \alpha,\alpha \rangle/(2k)} \exp \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{\alpha(-n)}{n} x^{n/k} \right) \iota(e_{\alpha}),
\end{align*}
$$

implying that

$$
(5.8) \quad F(\iota(e_{\langle\alpha,0,\ldots,0\rangle})(0)) = F(\iota(e_{\frac{1}{k}\langle\alpha,\alpha,\ldots,\alpha\rangle})) = \iota(e_{\alpha}).
$$

The isomorphism $F$ is uniquely defined by (5.5) and (5.8).

For $j = 0, \ldots, k-1$, from (5.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&F \circ (\iota^j(\alpha,0,\ldots,0))^T(x) \circ F^{-1} \\
= &\sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}} F \circ (\iota^j(\alpha,0,\ldots,0))(kn)(n) \circ F^{-1}x^{-n-1} \\
= &\sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}} \eta^{-knj} F \circ (\alpha,0,\ldots,0)(kn)(n) \circ F^{-1}x^{-n-1} \\
= &\sum_{n \in \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta^{-knj}}{k} \alpha(kn)x^{-n-1} \\
= &\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\eta^{-nj}}{k} \alpha(n)x^{-n/k-1} \\
= &\frac{n!}{k} x^{1/k-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(n)(\eta^j x^{1/k})^{-n-1} \\
= &\frac{n!}{k} x^{1/k-1} \alpha(\eta^j x^{1/k}).
\end{align*}
$$
Thus in general, for \( (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \in L \), we have
\[
F \circ (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)^T(x) \circ F^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^k F \circ \nu^{-j+1}(\alpha_j, 0, \ldots, 0)^T(x) \circ F^{-1} 
= \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\eta_j^{-1}}{k} x^{1/k - 1} \alpha_j (\eta_j^{-1} x^{1/k}) 
= \frac{1}{k} x^{1/k - 1} \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j^{-1} \alpha_j (\eta_j^{-1} x^{1/k}).
\]
\[
\square
\]

We also note that in our construction of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules we have restricted \( \nu \) to be the particular permutation automorphism of \( L \) which cyclically permutes the direct sum components \( K \) of \( L \) by the \( k \)-cycle \((1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ k) \). However, the setting of \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules makes sense for \( g \) an arbitrary permutation on \( k \) letters acting on \( L \). It is easy to extend these results to an arbitrary \( k \)-cycle permutation, since any \( k \)-cycle is equal to \( \mu \nu \mu^{-1} \) for some permutation \( \mu \) and \( \nu = (1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ k) \). The category of \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules \( C^\hat{\nu}(V_L) \) is isomorphic to the category of \( \hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-1} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules \( C^\hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-1}(V_L) \) with the isomorphism given by
\[
H_{\hat{\mu}} : C^\hat{\nu}(V_L) \longrightarrow C^\hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-1}(V_L) 
(M, Y_{\hat{\nu}}) \mapsto (M, Y_{\hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-1}})
\]
where
\[
Y_{\hat{\mu} \hat{\nu} \hat{\mu}^{-1}}(v, x) = Y_{\hat{\nu}}(\hat{\mu} v, x)
\]
for \( v \in V_L \) (cf. [DLiM2], [BDM]). Thus our isomorphism between \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules extends to \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-modules for \( g \) an arbitrary \( k \)-cycle.

For an arbitrary permutation on \( k \) letters, \( g \), we note that \( g \) can be written as a product of disjoint cycles \( g = g_1 \cdots g_p \) where the order of \( g_i \) is \( k_i \) such that \( \sum_i k_i = k \). (Note that we are including 1-cycles.) Following [BDM], we further note that there exists a permutation \( \mu \) on \( k \) letters satisfying \( g = \mu g'_1 \cdots g'_p \mu^{-1} \) such that \( g'_i \) is a \( k_i \)-cycle which permutes the numbers
\[
(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 1, (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} k_j) + 2, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^i k_j.
\]
We have already determined how to construct the \( g'_i \)-twisted \( V^{\otimes k_i} \)-module \( V^T_{L_i} \) (where \( L_i \) is the orthogonal direct sum of \( K_i \) copies of \( K \)) using the method of [L1], [FLM2], [DL] and the \( g'_i \)-twisted \( V^{\otimes k_i} \)-module \( V_K \) using the method of [BDM]. We have also determined the isomorphisms \( F_i \) between these two constructions \( V^T_{L_i} \) and \( V_K \). From [BDM], we then have the construction of the \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module \( V^{\otimes p}_K \), and putting the isomorphisms \( F_i \) together with the isomorphism related to the conjugation \( \mu \), we have an isomorphism between the \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V_L \)-module \( V^T_{L_i} \) of [L1], [FLM2], [DL], and the \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V^{\otimes k} \)-module \( V^{\otimes p}_K \) of [BDM].

Note that in the discussion above, we have not specified a unique decomposition \( g = \mu g'_1 \cdots g'_p \mu^{-1} \) but rather have shown how to construct the isomorphism of \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V^{\otimes k} \)-modules for a given such (non-unique) decomposition \( g = \)
\( \mu g_1^1 \cdots g_p^1 \mu^{-1} \). However, for any decomposition \( g = \mu g_1^1 \cdots g_p^1 \mu^{-1} \), the resulting \( \hat{g} \)-twisted \( V^\otimes k \)-module is isomorphic to that obtained from any other decomposition.

**Remark 5.2.** Finally, we comment that our isomorphisms between twisted modules also carry over to the still more general case of lattice-cosets. In Section 10 (“Shifted vertex operators and their commutators”) of [L1], the construction of the spaces \( V_L^T \) recalled in Section 2.2 above was in fact generalized to the following setting: an arbitrary positive-definite even lattice \( L \), an arbitrary isometry \( \nu \), and an arbitrary coset \( L + \gamma \) of \( L \), where \( \gamma \) is any element of the \( \nu \)-fixed vector subspace of the ambient vector space spanned by \( L \); this was a matter of “shifting” the original even-lattice construction in [L1]. For the situation in which \( \gamma \) is taken to be an element of the rational span of \( L \), this “lattice-coset” twisted-module construction, including the enhancement of the structure given in [FLM2], [L2] and [DL], is a special case of the theory carried out in [DL]. In our setting in this paper, we may take \( \gamma \) to be any element of the dual lattice of the fixed-sublattice \( K \) of \( L \), and we find that our isomorphism given in Theorem 4.2 generalizes to all the irreducible \( \hat{\nu} \)-twisted \( V_K^\otimes k \)-modules and all the irreducible \( V_K \)-modules. In addition, this more general result of course extends still further to arbitrary permutations, as described above.

**References**

[Ba1] P. Bantay, Algebraic aspects of orbifold models, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 9 (1994), 1443 – 1456.

[Ba2] P. Bantay, Characters and modular properties of permutation orbifolds, *Phys. Lett. B* 419 (1998), 175 – 178.

[Ba3] P. Bantay, Permutation orbifolds and their applications, in: *Vertex Operator Algebras in Mathematics and Physics*, Proc. workshop, Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, 2000, ed. by S. Berman, Y. Billig, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Fields Institute Communications, Vol. 39, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003, 13 – 23.

[BDM] K. Barron, C. Dong and G. Mason, Twisted sectors for tensor products vertex operator algebras associated to permutation groups, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 227 (2002), 349 – 384.

[BPZ] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov and A. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetries in two-dimensional quantum field theory, *Nucl. Phys. B* 241 (1984), 333 – 380.

[Bo] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83 (1986), 3068 – 3071.

[BHS] L. Borisov, M. Halpern and C. Schweigert, Systematic approach to cyclic orbifolds, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* 13 (1998), no. 1, 125 – 168.

[dBHO] J. de Boer, M. Halpern and N. Obers, The operator algebra and twisted KZ equations of WZW orbifolds, *J. High Energy Phys.* 10 (2001), no. 11.

[DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 123 (1989), 485 – 526.

[DFMS] L. Dixon, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, The conformal field theory of orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys. B* 282 (1987), 13 – 73.

[DHVW1] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys. B* 261 (1985), 678 – 686.

[DHVW2] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, II, *Nucl. Phys. B* 274 (1986), 285 – 314.

[DGM] L. Dolan, P. Goddard and P. Montague, Conformal field theory of twisted vertex operators, *Nucl. Phys. B* 338 (1990), 529 – 601.

[D1] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattice, *J. of Algebra* 161 (1993), 245 – 265.

[D2] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattice, *J. of Algebra* 165 (1994), 91 – 112.
AN EQUIVALENCE OF TWISTED MODULES

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, The algebraic structure of relative twisted vertex operators, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 259 – 295.

[DLiM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances in Math.* **132** (1997), 148 – 166.

[DLiM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **214** (2000), 1 – 56.

[DMZ] C. Dong, G. Mason and Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, *Proc. Sympos. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.* **56**, No. 2 (1994), 295 – 316.

[DeLeM1] B. Doyon, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Twisted modules for vertex operator algebras and Bernoulli polynomials, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **44** (2003), 2391 – 2408.

[DeLeM2] B. Doyon, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Twisted vertex operators and Bernoulli polynomials, *Commun. in Contemporary Math.* **8** (2006), 247 – 307.

[FFR] A. Feingold, I. Frenkel and J. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, *Contemporary Math.* **121**, 1991.

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FLM1] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function $J$ as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984), 3256 – 3260.

[FLM2] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator calculus, in: *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory, Proc. 1986 Conference, San Diego*, ed. by S.-T. Yau, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987, 150 – 188.

[FLM3] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.*, Vol. 134, Academic Press, 1988.

[FKS] J. Fuchs, A. Klemm and M. Schmidt, Orbifolds by cyclic permutations in Gepner type superstrings and in the corresponding Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Ann. Phys.* **214** (1992), 221 – 257.

[GHHO] O. Ganor, M. Halpern, C. Helfgott and N. Obers, The outer-automorphic WZW orbifolds on $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$, including five trirality orbifolds on $\mathfrak{so}(8)$, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2002), no. 19.

[HH] M. Halpern and C. Helfgott, The general twisted open WZW string, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.* **A20** (2005), 923 – 992.

[HO] M. Halpern and N. Obers, Two large examples in orbifold theory: abelian orbifolds and the charge conjugation orbifold on $\mathfrak{su}(n)$, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.* **A17** (2002), 3897 – 3961.

[H] Y.-Z. Huang, *Two-Dimensional Conformal Geometry and Vertex Operator Algebras*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 148, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.

[KS] A. Klemm and M.G. Schmidt, Orbifolds by cyclic permutations of tensor product conformal field theories, *Phys. Lett.* **B245** (1990), 53 – 58.

[L1] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985), 8295 – 8299.

[L2] J. Lepowsky, Perspectives on vertex operators and the Monster, in: *Proc. 1987 Symposium on the Mathematical Heritage of Hermann Weyl*, Duke Univ., *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math., American Math. Soc.* **48** (1988), 181 – 197.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[LW] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, Construction of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **62** (1978), 43 – 53.