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Abstract

AIM: To evaluate late effects of chemoradiation on gastrointestinal mucosa with an endoscopic scoring system and compare it to a clinical scoring system.

METHODS: Twenty-four patients going to receive chemoradiation after gastric surgery underwent endoscopy four wk after surgery and one year after the chemoradiation finished. Upper gastrointestinal findings were recorded according to a system proposed by World Organisation for Digestive Endoscopy (OMED) and clinical scoring was done with RTOG-EORTC radiation morbidity scoring systems.

RESULTS: There was no significant endoscopic difference in gastric and intestinal mucosa after chemoradiation (P > 0.05) and there was no association between endoscopic scores and clinical scores. Endoscopic changes were minimal.

CONCLUSION: Late effects after chemoradiation in operated patients with gastric cancers can be evaluated with an endoscopic scoring system objectively and this system is superior to clinical scoring systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Irradiation of the gastric region has become popular in the setting of adjuvant treatment of resected high risk gastric cancer patients in recent years. It has been shown that adjuvant radiochemotherapy leads to a survival advantage in operated patients with gastric cancers[1]. Gastrointestinal mucositis after radiation has been well studied especially in the oral cavity and oesophagus but chemotherapy induced gastrointestinal mucositis has been focused mainly on the small intestine[2].

There is a lack of data about the mucositis of the stomach in the literature. Sartori et al[3] reported gastric erosions after different chemotherapeutics but there is no study evaluating the effects of irradiation and chemotherapy on gastric and small intestinal mucosa and there is no standardised endoscopic scoring system to evaluate these effects. Ideally a mucositis scoring system should be objective, validated and reproducible across all clinical situations and applications. Because of the need of such a measurement instrument a number of different clinical scoring systems have been developed, most of them measuring oral mucositis (NCI-CTC, WHO, RTOG)[4-6].

Endoscopy is considered to give the best estimation of gastrointestinal mucosal damage and is used extensively in the follow-up of operated gastrointestinal cancer patients. But there is no endoscopic evaluation or scoring system for chemoradiation induced gastrointestinal mucosal damage. Wachter et al[7] proposed a scoring system for radiation induced proctitis based on the endoscopic terminology of the World Organisation for Digestive Endoscopy (OMED)[8] and found it highly useful to show mucosal damage especially in asymptomatic patients (Table 1). Based on the study by Wachter et al we conducted the current research to evaluate the late effects of chemoradiation on gastrointestinal mucosa by using the terminology proposed by OMED.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Between Jan 2001 and Jan 2005, a total of 102 patients received adjuvant chemoradiation for locally advanced
gastric adenocarcinoma (T3, T4 or nodal involvement) after operation at the Department of Radiation Oncology at Kartal State Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. All these patients were invited to take part in the present study. Thirty-four of them accepted and gave informed consent.

Endoscopy was performed by the same experienced endoscopist at the Department of Gastroenterology in the presence of a resident who collected the data. It was done under standard conditions with a videogastroscope (Pentax 2980 type) 4 wk after the operation. Ten of 34 patients had total gastrectomy and esophagectomy and were therefore excluded from the study. The remaining 24 comprised the study group and had a control endoscopy 1 year after the last day of radiation treatment.

In order to make a systematic description in patients who had a subtotal gastrectomy and any type of gastroenterostomy, the remaining stomach, stoma and 10-12 cm of intestine were examined endoscopically. The remaining stomach and the stoma was defined arbitrarily as part I representing the gastric mucosa and the intestinal tissue distal to the stoma as part II representing the intestinal mucosa.

Endoscopic findings were evaluated based on the terminology of OMED in both arbitrarily defined parts of examination separately.

Terminology of OMED as following:

-Telangiectasia:
Grade 0: none
Grade 1: single telangiectasia
Grade 2: multiple non-confluent telangiectasia
Grade 3: multiple confluent telangiectasia

-Congested mucosa:
Grade 0: none
Grade 1: focal reddening of the mucosa combined with an edematous mucosa
Grade 2: diffuse not confluent reddening of the mucosa combined with an edematous mucosa
Grade 3: diffuse confluent reddening of the mucosa combined with an edematous mucosa.

-Ulceration:
Grade 0: none
Grade 1: microulceration –superficial, < 1 cm²
Grade 2: superficial > 1 cm²
Grade 3: deep ulceration
Grade 4: fistula, perforation

-Stricture:
Grade 0: none
Grade 1: > 2/3 of regular diameter
Grade 2: 1/3 – 2/3 of regular diameter
Grade 3: < 1/3 regular diameter
Grade 4: complete obstruction

-Necrosis:
Grade 0: none
Grade 1: necrosis

The highest grade of any one parameter qualified for the attribution to one of the given score levels regardless of the grade achieved in any other parameter. Pre and post treatment scores of the gastric and intestinal mucosa were compared.

Adjuvant treatment plan was similar to the intergroup study (INT- 0116) by MacDonald et al11. Chemotherapy (CT) either with bolus fluorouracil and leucovorin or with infusional fluorouracil was administered before, together and after radiation. CT (bolus fluorouracil 425 mg/m² and leucovorin 20 mg/m² for 5 d or infusional fluorouracil 1 g/m² for 5 d) was initiated on day 1 and followed by radiochemotherapy (RT) beginning 28 days after the start of the initial cycle of CT. Radiochemotherapy consisted of 46 Gy at 2 Gy per d, 5 d per wk, for five wk either with bolus fluorouracil (400 mg/m²) and leucovorin (20 mg/m²) or infusional fluorouracil (1 g/m²) on the first 4 d and last 3 d of radiation. The radiation field included the tumor bed, and regional lymphatics with the technic described by Smalley et al. Tumor bed was defined by preoperative computed tomography or barium roentgenography. Perigastric, celiac, hepatoduodenal or hepatic portal and pancreaticoduodenal lymph nodes were included in the radiation field. Treatment was delivered either with 6 or with 15 MV photons by anterior and posterior parallel opposed fields. Kidneys were spared with personalised blocks. The equivalent of at least two thirds of one kidney was spared from the radiation field. One month after the completion of radiotherapy, two cycles of CT either with bolus fluorouracil (425 mg/m²) and leucovorin (20 mg/m²) or infusional fluorouracil (1 g/m²) for five days were given one month apart.

For each patient side effects of radiation were documented by use of EORTC/RTOG score at the end of one year and endoscopic findings were compared with small/large intestine items of the morbidity scale (Table 2).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Pearson Chi-square test. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

| Table 1 | Endoscopy score system based on the system proposed by Wachter et al[1] |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Grade 0 | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
| Score 0 | None | None | None | None |
| Score 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 1 | None | None |
| Score 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 2 | None | None |
| Score 3 | Any | Grade 3 | Grade 1 | None |
| Score 4 | Any | Any | Grade 2 | Grade 1 |
| Score 5 | Any | Any | Grade > 3 | Grade > 2 |

| Table 2 | RTOG-EORTC late radiation morbidity scoring system (part for small/large intestine) |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Grade 0 | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 |
| Small/ large intestine | Mild diarrhea, mild ramping, bowel movement 5 times daily, slight rectal discharge or bleeding | Moderate diarrhea and colic, bowel movement > 5 times daily, excessive rectal mucous intermittent bleeding | Obstruction, or bleeding requiring surgery | Necrosis, perforation, fistula |
RESULTS
All of 24 patients (7 females and 17 males) completed the study and there were no dropouts due to deaths, or interruption of CT or RT. Mean age of the patients was 50.79 ± 11.36 years (range 34-73 years).

There was no significant difference in total scores between pre and post treatment endoscopic findings in gastric and intestinal mucosa (P > 0.05). In a subgroup analysis there was only in congestion group a significant difference between pre and post treatment endoscopic findings for gastric mucosa (P = 0.006) and intestinal mucosa (P = 0.02) but not for other subgroups.

Post treatment endoscopic scores for gastric mucosa and their correlation with RTOG-EORTC scores are shown in Table 3. Overall 13 patients (54.2%) had no endoscopic finding at all but 3 (23 %) of them were scored as RTOG-EORTC grade 1 and 5 (38.5%) as grade 2. In addition, 9 patients had an endoscopy score of 1, but 5 were scored as RTOG-EORTC grade 0 and 4 as grade 2. Even in patients with an endoscopy score of 4 the RTOG-EORTC score was 1 or 2. These results showed that there was no correlation between endoscopic and clinical scorings for gastric mucosa.

Post treatment endoscopic scores for intestinal mucosa and their correlation with RTOG-EORTC scores are shown in Table 4. Overall 3 patients (15.8%) had an endoscopic score of 0, another one had a score of 1 and 2 had a score of 2. In patients with an endoscopy score of 4 the RTOG-EORTC score was 1 or 2. These results showed that there was no correlation between endoscopic and clinical scorings for intestinal mucosa.

DISCUSSION
The role of adjuvant treatment has been controversial until now in resected gastric cancer[9-11]. Most of the adjuvant treatments consisted of chemotherapy with a number of phase III trials and three meta-analyses on this subject[12,13]. Survival advantage with adjuvant chemotherapy is very minor according to these meta-analyses. A randomised trial from the British Stomach Cancer Group[14] concerning adjuvant radiation alone failed to demonstrate a survival benefit although locoregional failures were decreased from 27% to 10.6%. The recent MacDonald[15] trial combining adjuvant chemotherapy with radiation showed a major survival advantage in comparison to only chemotherapy or radiation. In a recent trial by Ajani et al[16] preoperative chemoradiotherapy increased curative resection rate, overall survival duration and disease-free survival duration. After these publications many centers accepted this chemoradiation treatment protocol as their standard therapy after surgical resection of gastric cancer. But the long-term side effects of these therapies on gastrointestinal mucosa have not been well studied. If we take the 5-year survival rate of 36% and the 3-year survival rate of 50% into consideration, the early detection of side effects becomes even more important.

Gastrointestinal mucositis representing the injury to the gastrointestinal tract is becoming recognised increasingly as a toxicity with many chemoradiotherapy regimens and radiation[5]. It can be identified all over the alimentary tract. But there is little data in the literature concerning gastrointestinal mucositis especially gastric and intestinal mucositis[10], whereas esophageal mucositis is the best studied one[17-19]. There is one study by Sartori et al[5] describing gastric erosions after chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and 5-fluorouracil.

In order to assess gastrointestinal mucositis a scoring system is needed which should be objective, validated and reproducible across all clinical conditions. Because of these a number of different clinical scoring systems have been developed, the majority of them measuring oral mucositis like NCI-CTC, WHO, RTOG[20]. There is no clinical scoring system specially designed for gastric or intestinal damage. The RTOG-EORTC scale measures the late radiation morbidity[21]. Most of the clinical systems measure acute toxicity and not the permanent damage to the gastrointestinal mucosa. But Yeoh et al have shown that permanent damage occurs in 70%-90% of patients undergoing radiotherapy and because the patients treated for gastric or pelvic cancer constitute the majority of long-term cancer survivors the prevalence of chronic toxicity becomes more important[22,23]. Moreover some patients may be asymptomatic but still have GI mucositis[24].

Endoscopy is the gold standard of evaluating the gastrointestinal mucosa. Endoscopic scoring systems are very rare in the literature regarding the chemotherapy or radiotherapy induced injury to the alimentary tract. Sartori et al[5] have conducted a randomized and placebo controlled study evaluating the misoprostol and omeprazole in the prevention of chemotheraphy-induced acute gastrointestinal mucosal injury and used an arbitrary endoscopic
score system comprising erosions or ulcers in gastric or duodenal mucosa. They repeated the same study design later with omeprazole and ranitidine and found the score system a useful tool for the detection of gastro-duodenal injury after CT. But both of these studies measured only acute effects (namely 7 d) of CT and did not measure the effects of radiation.

Wachter et al. conducted a study on late rectal mucosal damage after conformal radiotherapy for prostatic carcinoma and used a six-scaled rectoscopy score for the evaluation of radiation induced proctitis. They concluded that for a valid and reliable correlation and comparison of radiation side effects an accurate objective tool like rectoscopy should be used. Chi et al. have developed an endoscopic classification system of chronic radiation induced -proctopathy based on telangiectasia density and vascular coalescence. They found a good reproducibility rate among experienced endoscopists as well as gastroenterology fellows.

Based on these existing endoscopic scoring systems we planned to evaluate the late effects of chemoradiation on gastric and intestinal mucosa. Our study was unique because it evaluated the long-term (1 year) effects of both chemotherapy and radiation and it was not done on intact mucosa as the previous studies but after radical surgery.

The endoscopic score systems proposed by Sartori[7] was not used because there were no items like stricture or necrosis representing long-term effects in their system. The system proposed by Wachter[8] took all these parameters into consideration and was based on a terminology prepared by the OMED (World Gastroenterology Association). These terminologies are developed as the Minimal Standard Terminology for data processing in gastrointestinal endoscopy and used widely all over the world by gastroenterologists. Therefore we chose the system of Wachter which is proposed for radiation proctitis and evaluated this endoscopic scoring system on gastric and duodenal mucosa after chemoradiation for locally advanced gastric carcinomas with a resection before. The system was easy to use during routine endoscopy and easy for objective documentation. We could not find any significant difference in total scores between pre and post treatment endoscopic findings in gastric and intestinal mucosa. In a subgroup analysis there was only in congestion group a significant difference between pre and post treatment endoscopic findings for gastric and intestinal mucosa but not for other subgroups. Congestion is the first step in the mucosal damage and is mostly reversible. Therefore we can say that there was no significant chronic mucosal damage after chemoradiation and our endoscopic scoring system is a useful objective method to measure chronic damage. Our study also demonstrated that clinical scoring systems like RTOG-EORTC were not suitable to show gastrointestinal mucositis and there was no correlation between endoscopic and clinical scorings for gastric mucosa because the symptoms used in RTOG-EORTC might be caused by other physiological and individual factors. This observation was confirmed also by Wachter and Koc. Based on this dis correlation between clinical and objective endoscopic scoring systems we propose to evaluate the chronic toxicity of chemoradiation with endoscopy and to decide discontinuation of therapy only after objective findings.

Endoscopic scoring systems are reliable and reproducible tools for the evaluation of gastric and duodenal mucosa after chemoradiation and might be of benefit because they show the gastrointestinal injury much more before it becomes clinically evident and give the best estimation of gastro-duodenal mucositis in comparison to widely used clinical scoring systems where symptoms seem to be exaggerated due to multiple confounding variables.

In conclusion, there is no late gastro-duodenal damage due to adjuvant chemoradiation in locally advanced gastric adenocarcinomas after operation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Semra Aktaş Kalaycı, MD, Can Dolapçioğlu, MD, Aygün Işik, RN, and Elvan Ermiş, RN for their support at the Endoscopy Department.

REFERENCES

1. Macdonald JS, Smalley SR, Benedetti J, Hundahl SA, Estes NC, Steenbermann GN, Haller DG, Ajaní JA, Gunderson LL, Jessup JM, Martenson JA. Chemoradiation therapy after surgery compared with surgery alone for adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction. N Engl J Med 2001; 345: 725-730

2. Cancer supp. Mucositis: Perspectives and clinical practice guidelines 2004; 100: 1959-2046

3. Sartori S, Trevisani L, Nielsen I, Tassinari D, Abbasciano V. Misoprostol and omeprazole in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced acute gastro-duodenal mucosal injury. A randomized, placebo-controlled pilot study. Cancer 1996; 78: 1477-1482

4. Trott A, Byhardt R, Stetz J, Gwede C, Corn B, Fu K, Gunderson L, McCormick B, Morrisintegral M, Rich T, Shipley W, Curran W. Common toxicity criteria: version 2.0. an improved reference for grading the acute effects of cancer treatment: impact on radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000; 47: 13-47

5. Schubert MM, Williams BE, Lloid ME, Donaldson G, Chapko MK. Clinical assessment scale for the rating of oral mucosal changes associated with bone marrow transplantation. Development of an oral mucositis index. Cancer 1992; 69: 2469-2477

6. McGuire DB, Peterson DE, Muller S, Owen DC, Sleemons MF, Schubert MM. The 20 item oral mucositis index: reliability and validity in bone marrow and stem cell transplant patients. Cancer Invest 2002; 20: 893-903

7. Wachter S, Gerstner N, Goldner G, Pütz R, Wambersie A, Pütter R. Endoscopic scoring of late rectal mucosal damage after conformal radiotherapy for prostatic carcinoma. Radiother Oncol 2000; 54: 11-19

8. Crespi M, Delvaux M, Schaprio M, Venables C, Zwiebel F. Working Party Report by the Committee for Minimal Standards of Terminology and Documentation in Digestive Endoscopy of the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Minimal standard terminology for a computerized endoscopic database. Ad hoc Task Force of the Committee. Am J Gastroen terol 1996; 91: 191-216

9. Brennan MF, Karpeh MS Jr. Surgery for gastric cancer: the American view. Semint Oncol 1996; 23: 352-359

10. Gunderson LL, Sosin H. Adenocarcinoma of the stomach: areas of failure in a re-operation series (second or symptomatic look) clinicopathologic correlation and implications for adjuvant therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1982; 8: 1-11

11. Herrmans J, Bonenkamp JJ, Boom MC, Bunt AM, Ohyama S, Sasaki M, Van de Velde CJ. Adjuvant therapy after curative resection for gastric cancer: meta-analysis of randomized tri als. J Clin Oncol 1993; 11: 1441-1447

12. Earle CC, Maroun JA. Adjuvant chemotherapy after curative
resection for gastric cancer in non-Asian patients: revisiting a meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Eur J Cancer* 1999; 35: 1059-1064

13 Mari E, Floriani I, Tinazzi A, Buda A, Belfiglio M, Valentini M, Cascini S, Barni S, Labianca R, Torri V. Efficacy of adjuvant chemotherapy after curative resection for gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of published randomised trials. A study of the GISCAD (Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio dei Carcinomi dell’Apparato Digerente). *Ann Oncol* 2000; 11: 837-843

14 Hallissey MT, Dunn JA, Ward LC, Allum WH. The second British Stomach Cancer Group trial of adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy in resectable gastric cancer: five-year follow-up. *Lancet* 1994; 343: 1309-1312

15 Ajani JA, Mansfield PF, Crane CH, Wu TT, Lunagomez S, Lynch PM, Janjan N, Feig B, Faust J, Yao JC, Nivers R, Morris J, Pisters PW. Paclitaxel-based chemoradiotherapy in localized gastric carcinoma: degree of pathologic response and not clinical parameters dictated patient outcome. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 1237-1244

16 Keefe DM, Cummins AG, Dale BM, Kotasek D, Robb TA, Sage RE. Effect of high-dose chemotherapy on intestinal permeability in humans. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 1997; 92: 385-389

17 Koukourakis MI, Fiordellis CS, Giatromanolaki A, Koukouraki S, Kapsoritakis A, Potamianos S, Retalis G, Sivridis E, Salsaa B, Harris AL, Maragoudakis MI. Oral administration of recombinant human granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor in the management of radiotherapy-induced esophagitis. *Clin Cancer Res* 1999; 5: 3970-3976

18 Maguire PD, Sibley GS, Zhou SM, Jamieson TA, Light KL, Antoine PA, Herndon JE 2nd, Anscher MS, Marks LB. Clinical and dosimetric predictors of radiation-induced esophageal toxicity. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1999; 45: 97-103

19 Koc M, Onuk MD. No correlation between endoscopic and symptomatic scoring systems after the treatment of radiation esophagitis. *Radiother Oncol* 2002; 62: 243-244

20 Sonis ST, Elting LS, Keefe D, Peterson DE, Schubert M, Hauer-Jensen M, Bekele BN, Raper-Durlacher J, Donnelly JP, Rubenstein EB. Perspectives on cancer therapy-induced mucosal injury: pathogenesis, measurement, epidemiology, and consequences for patients. *Cancer* 2004; 100: 1995-2025

21 Yeoh E, Horowitz M, Russo A, Muecke T, Robb T, Maddox A, Chatterton B. Effect of pelvic irradiation on gastrointestinal function: a prospective longitudinal study. *Am J Med* 1993; 95: 397-406

22 Yeoh E, Sun WM, Russo A, Ibanez L, Horowitz M. A retrospective study of the effects of pelvic irradiation for gynecological cancer on anorectal function. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1996; 35: 1003-1010

23 Husebye E, Skar V, Høverstad T, Iversen T, Melby K. Abnormal intestinal motor patterns explain enteric colonization with gram-negative bacilli in late radiation enteropathy. *Gastroenterology* 1995; 109: 1078-1089

24 Husebye E, Hauer-Jensen M, Kjørstad K, Skar V. Severe late radiation enteropathy is characterized by impaired motility of proximal small intestine. *Dig Dis Sci* 1994; 39: 2341-2349

25 Sartori S, Trevisani L, Nielsen I, Tassinari D, Panzini I, Abbasciano V. Randomized trial of omeprazole or ranitidine versus placebo in the prevention of chemotherapy-induced gastroduodenal injury. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; 18: 463-467

26 Chi KD, Ehrenpreis ED, Jani AB. Accuracy and reliability of the endoscopic classification of chronic radiation-induced proctopathy using a novel grading method. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2005; 39: 42-46