Identification of Bacteria Contaminating *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) Collected from Animal Husbandries
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Abstract

**Background:** House flies (*Musca domestica* L.) may mechanically transmit many microorganisms to humans.

**Objectives:** To identify bacteria contaminating *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) collected from animal husbandries in province of Qom, Iran in the year of 2019.

**Methods:** In the cross-sectional study, house flies were captured by plastic water bottle fly traps and insect nets from four districts of the Qom Province and were immediately transferred to the Department of Medical Entomology, School of Public Health in sterile glass containers, individually. The bacterial isolation of the surface and gut contents of the flies were separately performed by biochemical methods. To confirm *Salmonella* spp. and *Shigella* spp., antigen-antibody agglutination reactions were carried out by specific antiseras.

**Results:** A total of 23 bacterial species were identified from 160 house fly external surfaces and/or digestive tract were isolated. The most frequently isolated bacteria from the external body and the digestive tract of 160 flies were *E. coli* (73.8%) and *P. aeruginosa* (100%), respectively. The most frequently isolated bacteria were *P. aeruginosa*, *Salmonella* serogroup D, *S. dysenteriae*, *E. coli*, *C. freundii*, *S. aureus*, and *S. epidermidis*.

**Conclusions:** House flies are important in the mechanical transmission of a different range of the pathogens. In addition, because of the geographical features of Qom and isolated pathogens, it seems that the possibility of mechanical disease transmission by the flies can be increased.
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1. Background

Flies are a large group of insects that are classified in the order Diptera and sub-order Cyclorrhapha or Muscomorpha (1). These insects have a high species diversity and about 150000 of their species have been identified and described in 158 families. Of these species, 285, 1500, 2500, and 5000 species belong to Fanidae, Calliphoridae, Sarcophagidae, and Muscidae families, respectively (2, 3). The medically important flies, in terms of close coexistence with humans and their dependence on human habitats, are known as domestic or synanthropic flies (4). They are also often called “Filth flies”; they include four species of Muscidae, Fanidae, Calliphoridae, Sarcophagidae families, and some similar flies (5). Flies are diurnal insects, which often live in and around the human environment. Although they are not major biologic vectors in the transmission of pathogens to humans, they can transmit several pathogens to their food and environment because of their behavior, nutrition, and the power of flight and rapid displacement (6, 7). These insects contribute to the mechanical transmission of many pathogens to humans, especially in warm seasons. They are capable of transmitting more than 100 microorganisms of viral, bacterial, and parasitic pathogens, such as poliovirus, hepatitis A virus (HAV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Coxiella burnetti*, *Cholera* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Listeria* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Entamoeba*, *Giardia*, nematodes, and eggs of some tapeworms through the hair, mouthparts, legs, vomitus, and feces on foods. In addition, they can transmit some food-borne diseases to humans (8). On the other hand, the larvae of some flies can act as obligatory and facultative parasites of the
vertebrate body tissues and cause myiasis in humans and animals (9). Previous studies have shown that the digestive tracts and the external body surfaces of the house flies (hairs, mouthparts, legs, vomitus, and feces) are contaminated with many human pathogens. Musca domestica is more important due to the fact that it coexists with humans (8). Animal husbandry in the rural areas of the Qom Province is a major occupation, and nearly 20000 households are involved in livestock breeding. On the other hand, the province has a plain and desert condition in terms of geologic pathology and has a warm and dry climate. The production of animal wastes in hot weather conditions provides good breeding places for flies and the early completion of their life cycle that can result in the generation of the flies.

2. Objectives

Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the bacteria contaminating Musca domestica (Diptera: Mullidae) collected from animal husbandries in the province of Qom, Iran, for the year 2019.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Sites

The Qom province is geographically located in the center of Iran (between 50 to 52 degrees east and 34 to 35 degrees north) (Figure 1). It has one urban area and five rural areas. Due to low altitude, small rainfall, inappropriate climate and saline lands, it is a part of the central desert of Iran. The Qom Province has a semi-desert climate and its average annual rainfall is less than 100 mm, which is mainly in autumn and winter. The rainfall in the warm seasons, especially in summer, is less than this amount (10). Therefore, it seems that the climatic and environmental factors are very suitable for the development of flies, especially house flies (11).

3.2. Flies Collection

To collect flies, one village and one urban texture were selected from each district; then, two animal husbandries were randomly chosen. They were sampled one time and a total of 160 flies were obtained. Capturing was done using a plastic water bottle fly trap (Figure 2) and insect net-hashing (Figure 3). The captured flies were then put into sterile glass containers. Finally, the samples were sent to the Department of Medical Entomology and Vector Control, School of Public Health, the University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

3.3. Ethical Considerations

This study received financial support from the Deputy of Research, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Ethical clearance was earned from the Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran (project no.: 95793, code of ethics: MUQ.REC.1395.156).

3.4. Bacterial Cultures of Surfaces and Gut Contents of the House Flies

From each region, 20 house flies were individually evaluated. First, for the isolation of the bacteria from the external body of the flies, each one was poured in two tryptic soy broths (Merck, Germany). The media were mixed gently for five minutes, which resulted in the bound bacteria on the external surface of the insect to be released into the medium. Next, the sampled flies were transferred to new tubes to be disinfected by 70% alcohol for two minutes, followed by being washed by sterile normal saline. Then, they were dissected under the stereomicroscope by an entomologist and their digestive tracts were completely inoculated in two tryptic soy broths. Media were incubated at 37°C for 24 h (each of the media was incubated separately in ~5% CO₂ for fastidious bacteria).

3.5. Isolation and Detection of the Bacteria

For isolating both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, subculture from tryptic soy broth was done separately on blood agar, chocolate, eosin methylene blue agar (EMB), hektoen enteric agar (HEA), xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD), lysine iron agar (LIA), salmonella-shigella agar (SSA), and mannitol salt agar (Merck, Germany). The media were incubated at 37°C for 24 h in ~5% CO₂. First, suspected colonies were evaluated by Gram staining. Then, a collection of the biochemical test was carried out for Gram-negative bacteria, such as catalase, oxidase, ortho-nitrophenyl-β-galactoside (ONPG), sulfide indole motility (SIM), methyl red (MR)/voges-proskauer (VP), citrate, urease, nitrate, oxidation/fermentation (OF), and fermentation of carbohydrate tests e.g. glucose, lactose, mannitol, sucrose, sorbitol, etc. The final confirmation of the Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp. was also done using specific antisera (Difco, USA). In positive cases of Shigella spp. and Salmonella spp., an agglutination reaction was observed between the antigen and antibody (12-14). Other biochemical methods (e.g., esculin hydrolysis, DNase, coagulase, catalase, hemolysis, growth on 6.5% NaCl, and susceptibility to optochin) were also used for the detection of the Gram-positive bacteria (15, 16).
4. Results

In total, 23 species from external surfaces (legs, hairs, and mouthparts) and from the digestive tracts of house flies, *M. domestica*, were isolated (Tables 1 and 2).

Isolated Gram-negative bacteria from both external surface and the digestive tract of house flies were *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Proteus vulgaris*, *Serratia marcescens*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Providencia*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Shigella sonnei*, *Salmonella serogroup D*, *Salmonella paratyphi A*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, and *Citrobacter freundii*. However, Gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Enterococcus* were also detected (Figures 4 and 5). In all captures, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, and *Serratia marcescens* were isolated from the external surfaces of the body (Figure 5).

*Providencia*, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Shigella sonnei*, *Salmonella serogroup D*, *Salmonella paratyphi A*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, and *Citrobacter freundii* were only detected from the captured house flies’ digestive tract around the animal husbandry units (Table 1 and Figure 4). In addition, all isolated strains from external parts of these flies were also isolated from their digestive tracts.

Overall, the highest contamination rates of both the external surface and the digestive tracts of house flies were related to the animal husbandry units of the Markazi District of Qom. The animal husbandry units of the other area (e.g., Salafchegan, Khalajestan, and Kahak) were at a later level of importance (Tables 1 and 2).

The most common pathogens isolated from the house
flies’ digestive tracts were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (100%) and *E. coli* (92.5%), and from exterior surfaces of the body were *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *E. coli* (73.8%) (Figure 4).

Based on the location of the captured house flies and their digestive tracts, the most isolated bacteria were *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Markazi District, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella* serogroup A, *Shigella dysenteriae*, and *Citrobacter freundii* in the Kahak District, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Serratia marcescens* in the Khalajestan District, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *E. coli* and in the Salafchegan District were (Table 1). Based on the captured region and external surfaces, the most isolated bacteria from the Markazi, Kahak, Khalajestan, and Salafchegan districts were *Serratia marcescens*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli*, and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (Figure 5).

5. Discussion

House flies belong to a species of the insects found throughout the world and because of their great importance in the mechanical transmission of the pathogens, they have been considered as high-risk vectors in many countries (17). Hence, in most studies related to the phonological and biological fields of the important medical flies in Iran, house flies have been reported to exist (18-20). Previously, some studies regarding the transmission of the bacteria and other microorganisms by *M. domestica* in Iran were published (21, 22), however, our study is the first in the Qom Province, Central Iran. According to previous studies
Table 1. Bacteria Isolated from the Digestive Tract of 160 Musca domestica Collected From Animal Husbandriesa

| Bacterial Species       | Animal Husbandry | Total   |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------|
|                         | Salafchegan      | Khalajestan | Markazi | Kahak |         |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis | 16 (80)          | 10 (50)   | 4 (20)  | 9 (45) | 39 (48.8) |
| Streptococcus pneumonia  | 17 (85)          | 8 (40)    | 18 (90) | 17 (85) | 60 (75)   |
| Staphylococcus aureus    | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 16 (80) | 14 (70) | 30 (37.5) |
| Enterococcus spp.        | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)   | 18 (90) | 18 (22.5) |
| Klebsiella oxytoca       | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 11 (55) | 0 (0)   | 11 (13.8) |
| Proteus mirabilis        | 0 (0)            | 11 (55)   | 17 (85) | 0 (0)   | 28 (35)   |
| Proteus vulgaris         | 0 (0)            | 12 (60)   | 18 (90) | 0 (0)   | 30 (37.5) |
| Serratia marcescens      | 14 (70)          | 20 (100)  | 6 (30)  | 16 (80) | 56 (70)   |
| Escherichia coli         | 20 (100)         | 17 (85)   | 18 (90) | 19 (95) | 74 (92.5) |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae    | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 14 (70) | 0 (0)   | 14 (17.5) |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa   | 20 (100)         | 20 (100)  | 20 (100)| 20 (100)| 80 (100)  |
| Providencia spp.         | 11 (55)          | 7 (35)    | 8 (40)  | 0 (0)   | 26 (32.5) |
| Enterobacter aerogenes   | 14 (70)          | 13 (65)   | 6 (30)  | 11 (55) | 44 (55)   |
| Shigella sonnei          | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 12 (60) | 19 (95) | 31 (38.8) |
| Salmonella typhimurium D | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 17 (70) | 20 (100)| 37 (46.2) |
| Salmonella paratyphi A   | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 6 (30)  | 0 (0)   | 6 (7.5)   |
| Shigella dysenteriae     | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 13 (65) | 20 (100)| 33 (41.3) |
| Citrobacter freundii     | 0 (0)            | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)   | 20 (100)| 20 (25)   |

aValues are expressed as No. (%).

Table 2. Bacteria Isolated From External Body of 160 Musca domestica collected From Animal Husbandriesa

| Bacterial Species       | Animal Husbandry | Total   |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------|
|                         | Salafchegan      | Khalajestan | Markazi | Kahak |         |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis | 20 (100)         | 18 (90)   | 10 (50) | 11 (55) | 59 (73.8) |
| Streptococcus pneumonia  | 10 (50)          | 16 (80)   | 9 (45)  | 13 (65) | 48 (60)   |
| Staphylococcus aureus    | 11 (55)          | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)   | 16 (80) | 27 (33)   |
| Enterococcus spp.        | 0 (0)            | 11 (55)   | 0 (0)   | 0 (0)   | 11 (13.8) |
| Klebsiella oxytoca       | 8 (40)           | 0 (0)     | 8 (40)  | 0 (0)   | 16 (20)   |
| Proteus mirabilis        | 7 (35)           | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)   | 0 (0)   | 7 (8.8)   |
| Proteus vulgaris         | 12 (60)          | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)   | 0 (0)   | 12 (15)   |
| Serratia marcescens      | 15 (75)          | 14 (70)   | 13 (65) | 10 (50) | 52 (65)   |
| Escherichia coli         | 20 (100)         | 20 (100)  | 9 (45)  | 10 (50) | 59 (73.8) |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae    | 13 (65)          | 0 (0)     | 12 (60) | 0 (0)   | 25 (31.3) |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa   | 0 (0)            | 12 (60)   | 7 (35)  | 14 (70) | 33 (41.3) |

aValues are expressed as No. (%).

In Iran, E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, and Staphylococcus aureus have been reported from the external surfaces of the house flies (22, 23). In a similar study conducted in southwest Iran, Kas-siri et al. (21), reported some of the isolated bacteria in this present study from house flies at the genus level. The bacterial species that were isolated from house flies in this study were more than the number reported in other stud-
ies. *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella oxytoca*, *Enterococcus* spp., and *Proteus vulgaris* are reported for the first time from the surfaces of house flies in Iran. However, some of these species have been reported from other areas around the world (8). The evaluation of the digestive tracts of house flies in this study is the first in Iran. The consideration of the isolated bacteria in the digestive tract of house flies is one of the most controversial...
issues among researchers (8). The significance of the findings of this study is that some scientists believe that the bacteria in their digestive tracts are more than the external surfaces of their bodies (24). The findings of this study also demonstrate that the isolated bacteria of house flies’ digestive tracts were higher than the external surface of their bodies. Although most of these species have been reported elsewhere in the world, all identified bacteria from the digestive tracks of house flies in this research are reported for the first time in Iran (8). The presence of antimicrobial peptides such as defensin, dipterin and cercopin in the digestive tracks of house flies has been proven (25). It should be noted that considering the presence or absence of pathogenic bacteria in the entire house flies’ digestive tracts (foregut, mid gut, and hindgut) in this study, we cannot correctly declare if bacteria are killed during the house fly’s digestive tracts or not. In terms of epidemiology, this is a very important point. In some studies, the detection of the bacteria from flies has been performed by molecular methods. These assays for detecting bacteria may eclipse the results and interpretations of the tests (8). If the identification method of the flies’ bacterial contamination is solely done based on molecular methods, the presence of the DNA fragments indicates that there are bacteria; however, the differentiation of the live and dead cells is not clear. Nonetheless, in the present study the identification of the bacteria from digestive tracts and external surfaces of house fly’s bodies were done using biochemical profiles that indicated the isolated bacteria were alive and confirmed the gut tract of the house flies can be a source of pathogenic bacteria such as Salmonella, Shigella, etc. Thus, it seems that during the passage of the bacteria through the gut of the flies, a few bacteria can be transmitted via feces to humans and animals (fecal-oral route). In summary, due to the following high-risk factors in the Qom Province, the possibility of mechanical disease transmission and outbreak of communicable disease by flies is increased: (A) a high load of people travel to Qom as a religious city, especially in the summer; (B) most of these pilgrims stay for several days; and (C) this huge population makes places tightly crowded in a high temperature.

5.1. Conclusions

The results of the present study prove that the evaluated insects are potential vectors of the pathogenic strains of the bacterial diseases that can be frequently transmitted to humans and animals. Therefore, controlling the flies is recommended to prevent fly-borne diseases. Finally, the contamination of the house flies cannot be limited to bacteria. Nevertheless, other microorganisms such as parasites, viruses, and fungi may be transmitted by them in the province; thus, further studies are required to detect other pathogens.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Research Deputy of Qom University of Medical Sciences.

Footnotes

Authors’ Contribution: Majid Kababian and Abedin Saghafiipour co-designed the study. Abedin Saghafiipour, Saeed Shams, Ehsan Mozaffari, and Kamran Akbarzadeh were the project designers of the research and wrote the manuscript. Abedin Saghafiipour, Majid Kababian, and Razieh Shabani Kordshouli participated in collecting the data and Saeed Shams analyzed the results. All authors read, modified, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: There are no financial conflicts of interest to disclose.

Ethical Approval: Ethical consideration was earned from the Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran (project No.: 37355, code of ethics: IR.TUMS.VCR.REC.1397.089).

Funding/Support: This study received financial support from Students’ Scientific Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

References

1. Ward RD. Service MW: Medical entomology for students. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2008.
2. De Carvalho CJB, Pont AC, Couri MS, Pamplona D. A catalogue of the Fanniidae (Diptera) of the Neotropical Region. Zootaxa. 2003;219(1). doi: 10.1664/zootaxa.219.11.
3. Carvalho CJB, Mello-Partiu CA. Key to the adults of the most common forensic species of Diptera in South America. Rev Bras Entomol. 2008;52(3):390–406. doi: 10.1590/S0085-56262008000300012.
4. Monteiro MR, do Prado AD. [Synanthropic flies (Diptera: Cyclorrhapha) and their microhymenoptera parasitoids (Insecta: Hymenoptera) at Monte Mor poultry production system, Sao Paulo, Brazil], Rev Bras Parasitol Vet. 2006;15(2):49–57. Portuguese. [PubMed: 16834896].
5. Goddard J, Zhou L. Physician’s guide to arthropods of medical importance, 5th edition. Emerging Infect Dis. 2007;13(9):1442. doi: 10.3201/eid1309.070706.
6. Barreiro C, Albano H, Silva J, Teixeira P. Role of flies as vectors of foodborne pathogens in rural areas. ISRN Microbiol. 2013;2013:708780. doi: 10.1155/2013/708780. [PubMed: 23984481]. [PubMed Central: PMC3747605].
7. Graczyk TK, Knight R, Tamang L. Mechanical transmission of human protozoan parasites by insects. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2005;18(1):28–32. doi: 10.1128/CMR.18.1.128-132.2005. [PubMed: 15653822]. [PubMed Central: PMC544177].
8. Khamesipour F, Lankarani KB, Honarvar B, Kwenzi TE. A systematic review of human pathogens carried by the housefly (Musca domestica L.). BMC Public Health. 2013;13(1):849. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-849. [PubMed: 30134910]. [PubMed Central: PMC6004014].
9. Francesconi F, Lupi O. Myiasis. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25(1):79-105.
10. Abai MR, Saghafipour A, Ladonni H, Jesri N, Omidi S, Azari-Hamidian S. Physicochemical characteristics of larval habitat waters of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) isolated from Qom Province, Central Iran. J Arthropod Borne Dis. 2016;10(1):65-77. [PubMed: 27047973]. [PubMed Central: PMC4883402].
11. Firoozfar F, Moosa-Kazemi SH, Rafinejad J, Saghafipour A, Baniardalani M, Yusuf MA, et al. Environmental factors influencing the growth of Lucilia Sericata larvae used for maggot therapy under laboratory condition. J Kerman Univ Med Sci. 2018;25(2):18-27.
12. Farmer J3, Davis BR, Hickman-Brenner FW, McWhorter A, Huntley-Carter GP, Asbury MA, et al. Biochemical identification of new species and biogroups of Enterobacteriaceae isolated from clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol. 1985;21(1):46–76. [PubMed: 3881471]. [PubMed Central: PMC271578].
13. Shariati A, Azimi T, Ardebili A, Chirani AS, Bahramian A, Pormohammad A, et al. Insertional inactivation of oprD in carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains isolated from burn patients in Tehran, Iran. New Microbes New Infect. 2018;21(1):65–77. doi: 10.1016/j.nmni.2017.10.013. [PubMed: 29234497]. [PubMed Central: PMC5722278].
14. Shams S, Hashemi A, Esmkhani M, Kermani S, Shams E, Piccirillo A. Imipenem resistance in clinical Escherichia coli from Qom, Iran. BMC Res Notes. 2018;21(1):314. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3406-6. [PubMed: 29776448]. [PubMed Central: PMC5960099].
15. Manero A, Blanch AR. Identification of Enterococcus spp. with a biochemical key. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1999;65(10):4425–30. [PubMed: 10508070]. [PubMed Central: PMC95988].
16. Kateete DP, Kimani CN, Katafazi FA, Okeng A, Okey MS, Nanteza A, et al. Identification of Staphylococcus aureus: DNase and Mannitol salt agar improve the efficiency of the tube coagulase test. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2010;9(2):1-3. doi: 10.1186/1476-0711-9-21. [PubMed: 20707914]. [PubMed Central: PMC2927478].
17. Sukontason KI, Bunchoo M, Khantawa B, Piangjai S, Rongsiyram Y, Sukontason K. Comparison between Musca domestica and Chrysomya megacephala as carriers of bacteria in northern Thailand. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health. 2007;38(1):38-44. [PubMed: 17539244].
18. Akbarzadeh K. Estimation of geographical distribution, biodiversity and species richness of myiasis inducing flies in Fars Province. Tehran, Iran: Tehran University of Medical Sciences; 2012.
19. Khoobdel M, Akbarzadeh K, Jafari H, Mehrabi Tavana A, Izadi M, Moosavi Jazayeri A, et al. Diversity and abundance of medically-important flies in the Iranian Triple Islands the Greater Tunb, Lesser Tunb and Abu-Musa. J Mol Med. 2013;44(1):26-70.
20. Akbarzadeh K, Saghafipour A, Jesri N, Karami-Jooshin M, Arzamani K, Hazratian T, et al. Spatial Distribution of Necrophagous flies of Infraorder Muscomorpha in Iran Using Geographical Information system. J Med Entomol. 2018;55(5):1071-85. doi: 10.1093/jme/jty098. [PubMed: 29982597].
21. Kassiri H, Akbarzadeh K, Ghaderi A. Isolation of pathogenic bacteria on the house fly Musca domestica L. (Diptera: Muscidae), body surface in Ahwaz Hospitals, Southwestern Iran. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2012;2(2):316-9. doi: 10.1016/S2221-1691(12)60370-0.
22. Davari B, Kalantar E, Zahirinia E, Moosa-Kazemi S. Frequency of resistance and susceptible bacteria isolated from houseflies. Iran J Arthropod Borne Dis. 2010;4(2):50-5. [PubMed: 22808400]. [PubMed Central: PMC3385550].
23. Vazirianzadeh B, Shams Solary S, Rahdar M, Hajhossien R, Mehidinejad M. Identification of bacteria which possible transmitted by Musca domestica (Diptera: Muscidae) in the region of Ahvaz, SW Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2008;1(1):28.
24. Pava-Ripoll M, Pearson RE, Miller AK, Ziobro GC. Prevalence and relative risk of Cronobacter spp., Salmonella spp., and Listeria monocytogenes associated with the body surfaces and guts of individual filth flies. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2012;78(22):7899-7902. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02955-12. [PubMed: 22940107]. [PubMed Central: PMC3485945].
25. Joyner C, Mills MK, Naydutch D. Pseudomonas aeruginosa in Musca domestica L: Temporospatial examination of bacteria population dynamics and house fly antimicrobial responses. PLoS One. 2013;8(11). e79224. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079224. [PubMed: 24260174]. [PubMed Central: PMC3832466].