The RNA polymerase III repressor MAF1 is regulated by ubiquitin-dependent proteasome degradation and modulates cancer drug resistance and apoptosis

Xianlong Wang1, Aleksandra Rusin1, Christopher J. Walkey1, Justin J. Lin2 and Deborah L. Johnson1,*

From the 1Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030; 2Zymo Research, 17062 Murphy Ave, Irvine, CA 92614

Running title: MAF1 degradation links RNA polymerase III to drug resistance

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Deborah L. Johnson, 1Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030. E-mail: Deborah.Johnson@bcm.edu. Tel. (713)798-3560; Fax. (713)798-4032.

Keywords: RNA polymerase III, MAF1, Ubiquitination, Cullin 2, Proteasome, Drug resistance

Abstract
MAF1 homolog, negative regulator of RNA polymerase III (MAF1) is a key repressor of RNA polymerase (pol) III–dependent transcription and functions as a tumor suppressor. Its expression is frequently down-regulated in primary human hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs). However, this reduction in MAF1 protein levels does not correlate with its transcript levels, indicating that MAF1 is regulated posttranscriptionally. Here, we demonstrate that MAF1 is a labile protein whose levels are regulated through the ubiquitin-dependent proteasome pathway. We found that MAF1 ubiquitination is enhanced upon mTOR complex 1 (TORC1)-mediated phosphorylation at Ser-75. Moreover, we observed that the E3 ubiquitin ligase cullin-2 (CUL2) critically regulates MAF1 ubiquitination and controls its stability and subsequent RNA pol III–dependent transcription. Analysis of the phenotypic consequences of modulating either CUL2 or MAF1 protein expression revealed changes in actin cytoskeleton reorganization and altered sensitivity to doxorubicin-induced apoptosis. Repression of RNA pol III–dependent transcription by chemical inhibition or knockdown of BRF1 RNA pol III transcription initiation factor subunit (BRF1) enhanced HCC cell sensitivity to doxorubicin, suggesting that MAF1 regulates doxorubicin resistance in HCC by controlling RNA pol III–dependent transcription. Together, our results identify the ubiquitin proteasome pathway and CUL2 as important regulators of MAF1 levels. They suggest that decreases in MAF1 protein underlie chemoresistance in HCC and perhaps other cancers and point to an important role for MAF1 and RNA pol III–mediated transcription in chemosensitivity and apoptosis.

Introduction
RNA polymerase III (Pol III) generates a variety of small non-coding RNAs. The majority of products include tRNAs and 5S rRNAs, which comprise essential components of the protein synthesis machinery. Rapid repression of RNA pol III–mediated transcription ensures cell survival during stress (1). MAF1 was originally identified in yeast as a repressor of this transcription process during diverse cellular environment stresses such as nutrient deprivation, rapamycin treatment, secretory defects, or DNA damage (2–4). Mechanically, MAF1 represses transcription initiation via its interaction with RNA pol III, which impairs recruitment of RNA pol III to the transcription initiation complex (5–7).

In mammals, MAF1 is also recruited to select RNA pol II–dependent gene promoters and act as a versatile transcription factor to either positively or negatively regulate gene expression. MAF1 represses the expression of the central transcription initiation factor TATA-binding protein (TBP) to regulate cell proliferation and oncogenic transformation (8). MAF1 also negatively regulates intracellular lipid accumulation by repressing
transcription of the lipid biosynthesis genes FASN and ACC1 (9). Interestingly, MAF1 can also act as a transcription activator to enhance acetylation and activity of the PTEN promoter (10). Given that deregulation of MAF1-targeted genes are hallmarks of transformed cells and human cancers, it suggests MAF1 can function as a tumor suppressor through regulating a subset of both RNA pol II- and pol III-dependent genes. Indeed, studies reveal that MAF1 inhibits cellular transformation and tumorigenesis (8,10) and its expression is significantly down-regulated in primary human hepatocellular carcinomas (9,10). Initial analysis revealed that this may also be observed in prostate cancers that are deficient for PTEN (9). Accumulating evidence supports the idea that enhanced RNA pol III-dependent transcription is necessary to drive oncogenesis (11,12), and that alterations in the expression of specific tRNAs or tRNA derivatives are involved in proliferation, metastasis and invasiveness of cancer cells, as well as tumor growth and angiogenesis in several malignant human tumors (13-17). These collective results indicate that the observed decrease in MAF1 protein expression, and the resultant enhanced expression of MAF1 target genes provides an advantage to tumor cells beyond meeting the increased demand for overall protein synthesis.

MAF1 has been shown to be regulated by post-translational modifications (PTMs). Mammalian MAF1 is directly phosphorylated by mTORC1, primarily on serine 75, and this results in a 15% decrease in its ability to repress RNA pol III-dependent transcription (18-20). Mammalian MAF1 is predominantly nuclear and it is retained in the nucleus upon phosphorylation by mTORC1 (18). Covalent SUMOylation on residue K35 has been shown to impair MAF1 activity without changing MAF1 subcellular localization or expression (21), indicating that different residues and modifications can regulate MAF1 activity. While these studies on the impact of PTMs of MAF1 and its influence on activity are compelling and informative, initial studies have revealed that significant decreases in MAF1 protein expression are observed in human HCC. Given that the PTMs so far identified do not appreciably alter MAF1 protein expression, we sought to understand how MAF1 protein expression is reduced in HCC and other cancer cells compared with normal epithelium.

Prior studies revealed that PTEN positively regulates cellular MAF1 protein amounts, but not MAF1 mRNA (9), suggesting the abundance of MAF1 protein is tightly controlled in cancer cells. This is consistent with the lack of observed changes in MAF1 transcripts in human cancers, despite the loss of MAF1 protein in tumors such as HCC. Here we reveal that MAF1 has a short half-life and that it is rapidly degraded through the ubiquitin/26S proteasome pathway (UPS). UPS-mediated regulation of MAF1 is dependent, at least in part, by mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation at Serine 75. We also show that MAF1 is a substrate of the Cullin-2 based E3 ubiquitin ligase which determines the MAF1 ubiquitination and its stability. Our results further reveal that alterations in cellular MAF1 protein expression and RNA pol III-dependent transcription affect chemoresistance and the ability of cells to undergo apoptosis.

Results

**MAF1 is subjected to proteasome-mediated degradation**

Previously studies showed MAF1 protein was significantly down-regulated in primary human HCC tumor samples relative to normal liver epithelium (9,10). Interestingly, RNA sequencing analysis from the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA) (22), based on the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) dataset for transcriptomic analysis, revealed that MAF1 transcript levels in liver tumor tissues tend to be higher compared to normal tissues (Fig. 1A). Given that there is little correlation between MAF1 mRNA expression with its protein expression, we considered that the substantial decrease in MAF1 protein previously observed in HCC relative to normal tissue might be a result of changes in protein stability. Therefore, MAF1 protein half-life was first measured in a variety of cell lines. A time course of cycloheximide treatment in human hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) and colorectal adenocarcinoma (HT-29) cell lines revealed that MAF1 protein is highly unstable with a half-life of less than 30 minutes (Fig. 1B), suggesting that MAF1 might be subject to post-transcriptional regulation. A similar result was also found in non-transformed human fibroblast MCF10A cell, arguing that the short half-life of MAF1 protein is
not restricted to transformed cells (Fig. 1B). Since the major degradation pathway in eukaryotic cells is mediated through the proteasome system, we determined whether cells treated with proteasome inhibitors would accumulate MAF1 protein. Both HepG2 and HT29 cells displayed significant increases in MAF1 protein expression upon MG132 proteasome inhibitor treatment. MG132 treatment of A549 and H358 lung cancer cells showed similar results (Fig. S1A).

To better explore the mechanism contributing to the MAF1 protein stability, we engineered HepG2 cell using CRISPR/Cas9 system to produce an endogenously expressed HA-tagged MAF1 protein (Fig. S1B). The resulting HepG2MAF1-HA cell line also exhibited substantial increases in MAF1 protein level (Fig. S1C) with no effect on MAF1 mRNA levels (Fig. IC) upon inhibition of the proteasome by MG132 treatment. As expected, the accumulated MAF1 protein resulted in a decrease in expression of RNA pol III-dependent pre-tRNA^met and pre-tRNA^Leu transcripts (Fig. 1C). The rapid MAF1 turnover was significantly inhibited upon Bortezomib (BTZ) treatment (Fig. 1D), confirming that MAF1 is subjected to proteasome-mediated degradation. In addition to proteasome-mediated degradation of MAF1, we further determined whether lysosomal-mediated degradation pathway might contribute to cellular steady state levels of MAF1. Treatment of HepG2MAF1-HA cells with chloroquine to inhibit autophagy did not appreciably alter MAF1 protein expression (Fig. 1D). Together, these results reveal that MAF1 is rapidly turned over in cells via the proteasome pathway.

MAF1 degradation occurs in the nucleus in a ubiquitin-dependent manner

To determine if the degradation of MAF1 occurs within the nucleus, we examined the effect of Leptomycin B (LMB), a specific inhibitor of nuclear export, on MAF1 turnover. LMB inhibits the CRM-1-dependent nuclear export pathway through a covalent interaction at Cys-529 of CRM-1 (23) and has been used to determine if nuclear export is required in the degradation of nuclear proteins. As shown in Fig. S2A-B, the turnover rate of MAF1 was comparable in the presence and absence of LMB. As a control, LMB blocked nuclear export of p53, resulting in an increase in its stability and nuclear accumulation, as reported previously (24). These results indicate that MAF1 is degraded in nuclear and preclude export as the mechanism for turnover of MAF1 proteins in the nucleus.

The ubiquitin-26S proteasome pathway is the main system for the intracellular protein degradation and turnover. During this process, the targeted protein is marked by covalent attachment of multiple ubiquitin molecules which provide a recognition signal for the 26S proteasome (25). To investigate whether MAF1 is ubiquitinated, an HA-tagged MAF1 (MAF1-HA) construct was transiently expressed in 293T cells with or without a His-tagged ubiquitin construct. Cells were treated with or without MG132 and His-containing proteins were captured by nickel-nitrioltriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) beads under denaturing conditions. The ubiquitinated MAF1 species were detected by immunoblot analysis with anti-HA antibody. Higher molecular weight poly-ubiquitinated species were detected only when His-ubiquitin was expressed (Fig. 2A). These bands were more pronounced when cells were treated with MG132. Similar results were observed in HT-29 cells where MG132 enhanced the endogenous ubiquitination of ectopically expressed MAF1 (Fig. S2C). These results demonstrate that MAF1 is polyubiquitinated.

Ubiquitin conjugation of proteins may involve seven distinct ubiquitin lysine residues (Lys6, Lys11, Lys27, Lys29, Lys33, Lys48 and Lys63) (26). Lys48-linked chains are the predominant linkage type and mainly used for targeting modified substrates for the 26S proteasome-mediated degradation (26). Using a linkage-specific antibody that recognizes polyubiquitin chains joined through Lys48, we observed that Lys48-linkage specific ubiquitination chains are present on MAF1 (Fig. S2D). To further confirm that the ubiquitin-26S proteasome pathway is involved in MAF1 degradation, we blocked the activity of the 26S proteasome in HepG2MAF1-HA cells by knockdown of PSMD1, a key component of 19S regulator lid which recognizes the polyubiquitinated substrate and channels the substrate into the catalytic 20S core of the proteasome. Knockdown of PSMD1 has been shown to be an approach to inhibit the 26S proteasome activity by inhibiting 19S function. This was shown to produce accumulation of p53 protein
by inhibiting its degradation (27). Similar with p53, decreased PSMD1 expression increased MAF1 protein level and extended its half-life (Fig. 2B). These data indicate that MAF1 degradation requires the presence of a functional intact 26S proteasome complex.

In addition to the 19S lid, the proteasome activator REGγ can form an 11S regulatory cap to activate the 20S proteasome (28). Recent studies showed that REGγ can target intact proteins, such as SRC-3 and p21, for degradation in a ubiquitin- and ATP-independent manner (29-31). To explore whether REGγ is required for MAF1 degradation, its expression was inhibited. While decreased REGγ expression increased the steady state level of p21 protein, no effect on MAF1 expression or its turnover rate was observed (Fig. 2C). As has been reported for a growing number of proteins (32-34), the core 20S proteasome itself has been shown to degrade proteins in a ubiquitin-independent manner. To test this, in vitro-translated proteins were incubated with the 20S proteasome complex. FOXO1 protein, which has been shown to be degraded in vitro by the 20S proteasome (35), was used as a positive control. Similar with previously published reports, FOXO1 was efficiently degraded by purified 20S proteasomes. Under these conditions, however, MAF1 protein remained stable (Fig. 2D). Thus, neither the REGγ nor the 20S proteasome are capable of regulating MAF1 turnover either in vivo or in vitro. These results indicate that MAF1 degradation is mediated in a ubiquitin-dependent 26S proteasome manner.

Phosphorylation of MAF1 on Ser 75 affects its ubiquitination and stability

Previous studies have shown that phosphorylation of proteins may regulate ubiquitination and the subsequent degradation of proteins (36). As mTORC1 phosphorylates MAF1, primarily at S75, resulting in a decrease in its ability to repress transcription, we determined whether S75 phosphorylation affects MAF1 degradation. In agreement with previous studies (19), treatment with the mTORC1 inhibitor, rapamycin, resulted in enhanced dephosphorylation of MAF1 (lower band shift, Fig. 3A). A moderate increase in total MAF1 protein level was also observed together with an extended half-life (Fig. 3A). This was a direct result of mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation as the phospho-resistant MAF1 S75A also similarly extended the half-life of MAF1 protein (Fig. 3B). MAF1 is also phosphorylated by mTOR on S60 and S68 (19). However, S60/68/75A triple mutation did not further extend MAF1 half-life beyond that of the S75 single mutation (Fig. S3A), indicating that S75 phosphorylation status plays a critical role in mTORC1 regulation of MAF1 turnover. Furthermore, MAF1 S75A had a marked reduction in ubiquitination, while the phospho-mimic S75D (serine to aspartic acid) mutant displayed enhanced ubiquitination compared to wild-type MAF1 (Fig. 3C). Collectively, our results suggest that mTORC1-mediated phosphorylation is involved in MAF1 ubiquitination and turnover. Notably, mTOR kinase inhibition only delays MAF1 turnover. To confirm this observation, cells were treated with MG132 alone or in the presence of rapamycin. MG132 accumulated MAF1 protein along with inhibiting mTORC1 activity. However, it failed to abolish the phosphorylation states of MAF1. Importantly, MAF1 expression was still sensitive to MG132 treatment (Fig. S3B), suggesting the existence of additional regulatory mechanisms for its degradation.

Cullin2 RING E3 ligase ubiquitinates MAF1 to target it for proteasomal degradation

Cullin-RING ligases (CRLs), the largest of the E3 ubiquitin ligase family, promotes ubiquitination and degradation of various cellular key regulators involved in a broad array of physiological and pathological processes (37). MLN4924 selectively inhibits CRLs activity by blocking the conjugation of NEDD8 to the Cullin protein (38). As shown in Fig. S4A, MLN4924 treatment caused a marked accumulation of MAF1 protein, suggesting CRLs were involved in MAF1 regulation. To further identify the Cullin proteins that mediate MAF1 degradation, we knocked down the expression of the individual CUL1, 2, 3 and 4A proteins. Decreased expression of only CUL2, but not the other Cullins, caused a significant accumulation of MAF1 protein (Fig. 4A, Fig. S4B) without changing MAF1 mRNA expression, and produced subsequent decreases RNA pol III-dependent pre-tRNA^Met and pre-tRNA^Leu transcripts (Fig. 4B). In addition, decreased CUL2 expression resulted in a slight
decrease in MAF1 ubiquitination (Fig. 4C) and a significant extension of its half-life (Fig. 4D). Together, these results identify MAF1 as a CUL2 substrate and a mechanism by which cellular MAF1 protein amount is regulated.

We further tested a potential role for the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein (VHL), a specific adaptor protein for CUL2, in the recruitment of MAF1 to the CUL2 ligase complex. VHL participates in the degradation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α (HIF1α) mediated by CUL2 ligases (39). Previous results showed that RNA Pol III transcription is suppressed upon hypoxic stress (40). In agreement with previous studies, our results also confirmed that CUL2 knockdown resulted in the accumulation of HIF1α protein (Fig. 4A). However, unlike the HIF1α protein, hypoxic stress greatly downregulated MAF1 protein expression (Fig. S4C). Moreover, VHL knockdown did not affect MAF1 protein levels (Fig. S4D). These results suggest that MAF1 is degraded in a CUL2-dependent way that does not involve VHL.

**MAF1 regulates CUL2-mediated actin cytoskeleton remodeling and doxorubicin resistance**

We next sought to determine the phenotypic consequences of CUL2-mediated modulation of MAF1 expression. We first analyzed whether alterations in CUL2 would promote changes in the cell cycle. Consistent with previous studies (41), no changes in the distribution of cells within the cell cycle was observed (Fig. S5A-B). Previous work reported that changes in CUL2 expression are associated with actin cytoskeleton reorganization and cell motility (41). Our previous work also revealed striking morphological changes and enhanced stress fiber formation that accompanied decreases in MAF1 expression (8). Therefore, we considered the possibility that CUL2 regulates actin cytoskeleton remodeling through its ability to manipulate MAF1 protein levels. As expected, cells with reduced CUL2 expression exhibited remarkable decrease in the abundance of stress fiber formation, while MAF1 knockdown cells showed increased stress fiber indicated by phalloidin staining (Fig. 5A). Importantly, double knockdown of CUL2 and MAF1 suppressed the CUL2 knockdown phenotypes. Stress fiber abundance was partially restored in the cells treated with both CUL2 siRNA and MAF1 siRNA, to an extent comparable with control cells (Fig. 5A). Thus, our data supports the model that MAF1 acts as an important regulator in CUL2-mediated actin stress fiber integrity.

Targeting the actin cytoskeleton of cancer cells to reduce tolerance to chemotherapy offers a valuable strategy in cancer treatment (42). We therefore investigated whether CUL2 or/and MAF1 knockdown altered the sensitivity of cells to doxorubicin, a chemotherapeutic agent commonly used in metastatic hepatocellular carcinoma and other cancer treatments (43). Upon doxorubicin treatment, the relative viability cell population of CUL2 knockdown cell was remarkably decreased compared to control cells measured by MTS assays (Fig. 5B), suggesting CUL2 knockdown enhanced the sensitivity of cells to doxorubicin treatment. Interestingly, reduced MAF1 expression resulted in a moderately increased proportion of live cells than the control group treated with low doses of doxorubicin (Fig. 5B), indicating that decreased MAF1 expression protects against doxorubicin-induced cell death. Moreover, reduction in both CUL2 and MAF1 had similar sensitivities to doxorubicin as control cells suggesting that decreases in MAF1 could restore doxorubicin resistance in cells with reduced expression of CUL2 (Fig. 5B).

Since apoptosis is the major type of cell death triggered by chemotherapy drugs, we further evaluated whether the observed changes in sensitivity to doxorubicin was associated with apoptosis. Apoptosis was evaluated by flow cytometry with annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide labeling and immunoblotting for cleaved caspase-3. In untreated cells, decreased expression of CUL2, or MAF1 or both proteins simultaneously, did not reveal significant changes in apoptosis (Fig. S5C). However, cells with transient decreases in CUL2 were more susceptible to undergo apoptosis upon doxorubicin treatment. In contrast, MAF1 knockdown led to a significant decrease in the fraction of apoptotic cells. Furthermore, MAF1 decreases attenuated the observed increases in doxorubicin-induced apoptosis mediated by decreased CUL2 expression (Fig. 5C, S5C). These changes in apoptosis were confirmed by examining changes in cleaved caspase-3 from parallel experiment (Fig. 5D). In additional, our
results also show MAF1 knockdown has similar protective effects on the cleavage of caspase 3 induced by cisplatin (Fig. 5E), another chemotherapy drug used to treat various human cancers. It suggests that MAF1 plays a critical role in regulating apoptosis and chemoresistance.

**Repression of RNA pol III-mediated transcription results in enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity**

Given the role of MAF1 in repressing RNA polymerase III-dependent transcription, we hypothesized that the observed function of MAF1 in drug resistance was attributed to its ability to repress of RNA polymerase III-mediated transcription. To test this, we first used an RNA pol III-specific chemical inhibitor, ML-60218 (44). ML-60218 inhibitor treatment promoted doxorubicin-induced cell death and cleaved caspase-3 expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A-B). To verify these results, a second approach was used to repress RNA pol III-dependent transcription. Downregulation of BRF1, an RNA pol III-specific TFIIB transcription factor subunit (45), also produced a decrease in cell viability and an increase in cleaved caspase-3 expression in the presence of doxorubicin (Fig. 6C-D). We also confirmed our results using MAF1-deficient (Maf1−/−) mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs). Compared to wild type MEFs, Maf1−/− MEFs exhibited higher level of mature tRNAs abundance (Fig. 6E) and showed much more resistance to doxorubicin-induced cell death (Fig. 6F). Reconstitution of Maf1−/− MEFs with ectopic expression of constitutively active MAF1 mutant, MAF1 S75A (Fig. 6A), decreased the RNA pol III-dependent pre-tRNA and pre-tRNA transcripts (Fig. 6B) and enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity (Fig. 6C). These results indicate that MAF1-mediated regulation of drug resistance can be recapitulated by repressing RNA pol III-dependent transcription.

Recent evidence has shown that dysregulation in the expression and function of tRNAs and tRNA derivatives play important roles in cancer progression (13-17). Both mature tRNAs and tRNA-halves were reported to bind to cytochrome c and impair the association of cytochrome c with Apaf-1, which then blocks the formation of the apoptosome and the subsequent activation of caspases (46,47). To further determine whether the observed changes in drug resistance by RNA pol III-mediated transcription might be specifically mediated through changes in tRNAs, we examined whether the association of cytochrome c with Apaf-1 was impaired when RNA pol III-dependent transcription was induced by decreases in MAF1 expression. Interestingly, the expression of both cytochrome c and Apaf-1 basal levels were not altered upon reduced MAF1 expression (Fig. 6G). However, the amount of Apaf-1 that was associated with cytochrome c was drastically decreased upon MAF1 knockdown (Fig. 6G). These results indicate that decreases in MAF1 expression and concomitant increases in tRNA expression inhibits the formation of cytochrome c-Apaf-1 complexes, thereby blocking apoptosis.

Our collective results support the idea that at least one mechanism by which MAF1 functions to promote drug resistance and inhibit apoptosis is through its ability to repress RNA pol III-dependent tRNA gene transcription.

**Discussion**

Given the important functions of MAF1 in controlling lipid metabolism and oncogenesis, a better understanding of the mechanisms by which MAF1 is regulated and dysregulated in cancer will facilitate the development of new cancer therapeutic strategies. MAF1 serves as a central repressor for RNA pol III-dependent transcription. Induction of RNA pol III-mediated transcription is a hallmark of human cancers and evidence supports that this is necessary for oncogenic transformation (11). MAF1 functions as a tumor suppressor and its expression has been shown to be substantially decreased in HCC (9,10), contributing to the overall enhancement of RNA pol III-mediated transcription. We find that MAF1 is a labile protein with a short half-life in multiple different cell lines. Our results demonstrate that ubiquitin-dependent proteasome-mediated degradation is a key mechanism by which MAF1 protein expression is regulated. Bortezomib is a proteasome inhibitor that has shown impressive efficacy in the treatment of multiple human cancers (48). Previous studies showed that the anti-cancer activity of bortezomib is associated with its ability to down regulate various RNA pol II-dependent anti-apoptotic genes (49). Our results have discovered a previously unknown function for bortezomib that contributes
to its therapeutic effects. Enhancing the stability of MAF1, and its subsequent repression of RNA pol III-dependent transcription may also augment its ability to promote apoptosis and facilitate tumor cell death.

Ubiquitination is a very common posttranslational covalent modification that plays a role in diverse physiological functions, including transcription. Numerous studies indicate that ubiquitination plays critical role in regulating RNA pol II-dependent transcription (50-52), while the potential role of ubiquitination on RNA pol I- or pol III-dependent gene expression remains poorly understood. Recently, the largest catalytic RNA pol III subunit in yeast, C160, was found to be ubiquitinated and degraded by the proteasome upon stress (53). Alterations in C160 degradation controls RNA pol III activity and its association with chromatin (54). In our current study, we have identified a key molecular event by which ubiquitination exerts a positive effect on RNA pol III-dependent transcription by promoting MAF1 degradation in human cells. Although ubiquitination most often leads to proteasome-mediated degradation of the target protein, recent evidence suggests non-proteolytic functions for ubiquitination in the regulation of protein subcellular localization and activity. Wang et al., reported that a RING domain-containing ubiquitin E3 ligase RNF12 catalyzed Lys27- and Lys33-linked ubiquitination of the RNA pol III-specific TFIIIB subunit, BRF1. Independent of BRF1 degradation, this modification negatively regulates RNA pol III-dependent transcription by impeding the binding of BRF1 to target gene promoters (55). These results suggest that ubiquitination can play distinct roles in the regulation of RNA pol III-dependent transcription, depending on the protein that is targeted and which type of polyubiquitin chains are formed within the transcription components.

The interplay between ubiquitination and phosphorylation has emerged as a prominent posttranslational crosstalk and a key principle in regulating protein abundance, activity, and interactions. In some contexts, phosphorylation either generates phospho-degrons or induces conformational changes that are recognized by receptor proteins associated with the ubiquitin-proteasome degradation machinery (56). Consequently, phosphorylation can serve as an important regulatory switch that affects target protein ubiquitination and degradation. Since mTORC1 is an important kinase and regulator of MAF1, our studies also show mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation affects MAF1 protein ubiquitination and its turnover. Mutation of the major mTORC1 phosphorylation site, S75, inhibits MAF1 ubiquitination and its turnover rate. These studies support the idea that the control of MAF1 stability is an important regulatory mode in response to cellular nutritional or other metabolic stress. However, it is worth noting that neither mTORC1 inhibition nor mutation of S75 can completely block MAF1 turnover, suggesting the existence of other residues or motifs that are responsible for modulating its stability. Pradhan et al. showed that the Y166S167Y168 motif, particularly the S167 residue, in the C-box was also critical for MAF1 stability (57). Moreover, human MAF1 is phosphorylated on multiple residues, many of which are highly conserved in vertebrates (19). Thus, further detailed studies will be required to determine whether other phosphorylation sites induced by other kinases are also involved in regulating MAF1 stability.

Our results identify an essential role for Cullin-2 based E3 ubiquitin ligases in MAF1 ubiquitination and turnover. Decreased CUL2 expression inhibited MAF1 degradation, suggesting that an intact E3 ligase-mediated ubiquitination system is both necessary and sufficient to sustain efficient MAF1 degradation by the proteasome. The Cullin RING E3 ubiquitin ligases (CRLs) constitute the major subfamily of E3 ligases that catalyze the transfer of ubiquitin from the E2-conjugating enzyme to the target substrate. Unlike other Cullin family members whose substrates have been frequently identified, only a few substrates of CUL2 have been revealed (58). CUL2 was originally predicted to function as a tumor suppressor because of its association with von Hippel-Lindau tumor-suppressor protein (VHL), which recognizes and mediates the degradation of some oncogenic protein substrates, such as HIFα (59), PKC (60) and EGFR (61). However, pathogenic mutations in the CUL2 gene causing carcinoma has not been identified (62,63), indicating that CUL2 may not function as a tumor suppressor protein. In support of this idea, CUL2 functions as a positive cell cycle regulator
in C. elegans in a leucine-rich repeat protein-1 (LRR1) depended way (41). In human liver cancer, CUL2 can target tumor suppressor RhoB for degradation (64). Here, we also identified CUL2 as a major modulator of another tumor suppressor protein, MAF1. Although more studies are needed to identify which adaptor protein is responsible for recruiting MAF1 to the CUL2 complex, the present collective work suggests the idea that the function of CUL2 and its role in oncogenesis may dependent upon substrate recognition adaptor partners.

Recent studies suggested that dysregulation of tRNAs and tRNA derivatives play important roles in tumor development and progression. The regulation of both tRNAs and tRNA derivatives regulate the binding of Apaf-1 to Cytochrome c to modulate the formation of the apoptosome and the subsequent activation of caspases (46,47). Our present results support this notion, but it is likely that multiple mechanisms are involved in producing these changes. Vault RNAs (vtRNAs) are small, about 100 nt long, polymerase III transcripts contained in the vault particles of eukaryotic cells (65). Expression of the vault RNA, particularly the vtRNA 1-1, protects cells from undergoing apoptosis (66,67). In addition, both mature tRNAs and vtRNAs were reported to directly bind to chemotherapeutic compounds, like doxorubicin and mitoxantrone, to prevent the drug from reaching the site of action (68,69).

Collectively, our studies provide novel links between CUL2-mediated regulation of MAF1, subsequent alterations in RNA pol III-dependent transcription and the production of tRNAs to regulate apoptosis. Thus, loss of MAF1 in HCC and perhaps other cancer cells not only promotes oncogenesis but also mediates drug resistance by affecting the ability of these cells to undergo apoptosis.

**Experimental procedures**

**Cell Lines and Reagents**

All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, except MCF10A, which was cultured in DMEM/F12 Ham’s Mixture supplemented with 5% Equine Serum, EGF 20 ng/ml, insulin 10μg/ml, hydrocortisone 0.5 mg/ml, cholera toxin 100 ng/ml, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. MAF1-deficient (MAF1−/−) murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were obtained from Dr. Nouria Hernandez lab from University of Lausanne. HT29 cells engineered to express doxycycline-inducible MAF1-HA were generated as previously described (9). Plasmid DNAs and siRNAs (Table S1) were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 and Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen), respectively, according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The pcDNA3-MAF1-HA, pcDNA3-MAF1S75A_HA, pcDNA3-MAF1S75D_HA, and pcDNA-Flag-FoxO1 expression vector was previously described (9,21). pCW7 construct, which is cytomegalovirus (CMV)-driven expression vectors for 6xHis-Myc-ubiquitin, was obtained from Dr. D.M. Lonard (Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Baylor College of Medicine). The pcDNA3-MAF1S60V/80/75A-HA constructs were generated by mutating the indicated Lysine (K) to Arginine (R) using the Quick Change Lightning Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) as the manufacturer’s protocol with pcDNA3-MAF1-HA as the template. Primers for mutagenesis were designed using the Stratagene Quick Change primer design software. Mutagenesis was confirmed by sequencing at the Baylor College of Medicine/DNA Sequencing Core to ensure that only the intended mutation occurred.

**Generation of HepG2MAF1-HA cells endogenously expressing HA-tagged MAF1 protein**

The plasmid pSpCas9n(BB)-2A-GFP (PX461), Cas9n (D10A nickase mutant) from S. pyogenes with 2A-EGFP, and cloning backbone for sgRNA, was a gift from Dr. Feng Zhang lab (Addgene plasmid #48140) (70). sgRNAs were designed using the online tool CRISPR Design developed by Zhang’s laboratory (http://crispr.mit.edu/) and constructed as described previously (71). Target sequences of sgRNAs and PAGE-purified ultramer ssODNs used in this study are shown in Table S2. The CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids and ssODNs were co-transfected into HepG2 cells. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were subjected to FACS sorting based on the expression of EGFP fluorescence. Single cells were plated in each well of 96-well plates. Confluent cell colonies were
propagated and genotyped by RFLP assay and sequencing.

Reconstitution of Maf1−/− MEFs with ectopic expression of MAF1 S75A

Using the Gateway cloning method (Invitrogen), an amplicon corresponding to human Maf1 cDNA containing the S75A mutation was amplified and cloned into the pDONR223 donor vector. Following sequencing to confirm the fidelity of the insert, MAF1-HA S75A was re-cloned into the pInducer20 lentiviral backbone vector. Lentivirus was produced in 293T cells by co-transfection of pInducer20 plasmids (with and without MAF1 inserts) with pMD2.G (vesicular stomatitis virus envelope protein (VSV-G) expression vector) and psPAX2 (packaging vector). Forty-eight hours after transfection, viral supernatents collected, sterile-filtered at 0.45 microns, concentrated using the Lenti-X Concentrator reagent (Takara). Concentrated virus was resuspended in PBS.

Immortalized Maf1−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts were transduced with lentiviral particles for 24h in the presence of polybrene (8µg/mL). After a 24-hour recovery, transduced cells were selected by G418 for seven days. pINDUCER-20 transgene expression was induced with 1 µg/mL doxycycline.

RNA isolation and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cells using the Zymo Directzol RNA Kit. The RNAs were then reverse-transcribed into cDNA with the Superscript III first strand synthesis Kit (Invitrogen). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on the Lightcycler 480 (Roche) with SYBR fast qPCR kit (KAPA Biosystems). All reagents were validated by demonstrating a linear relationship between sample concentration and amplification kinetics over a three log range of nucleic acid concentrations, using cDNA made from total RNA from reference samples. Relative amounts of transcripts were quantified by comparative threshold cycle method (ΔΔCt) with GAPDH as the endogenous reference control. The primers for targets are listed in Table S2. For graphical representation, ΔΔCt values were normalized to controls and expressed as the difference of fold change.

Immunoblot analysis

Cells were washed with DPBS twice, then lysed in cell lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 150 mM sodium chloride, 1 mM EDTA, 1mM EGTA, 2.5 mM Sodium pyrophosphate, 1mM β-glycerolphosphate, 1mM sodium vanadate, 0.1% Triton X-100, supplemented with 0.1% protease inhibitor cocktail set III (EMD Millipore)) for 20 minutes on ice, and sonicated for 5 seconds. After sonication, the cells were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 10,000g, and the supernatant was collected. The protein concentration was determined by using the Bio-Rad Protein Dc assay. Cell lysates were equally subjected to immunoblot analysis and transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (GE-Healthcare). After blocking with 5% non-fat milk in Tris buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBST), the membranes were incubated at 4°C overnight with the primary antibodies. The antibodies used are listed in Table S3. After staining with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibodies, signal detection was performed using a Clarity™ Western ECL Substrate Kit (Bio-Rad). Densitometric analysis was performed using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD).

Immunoprecipitation

For biochemical analyses of cytochrome c and Apaf1 protein interactions, cells were rinsed twice with PBS and lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail. The immunoprecipitation was carried out at 4 °C overnight by incubation of 1 µg anti-cytochrome c antibody with cell extracts containing 2 mg proteins, followed by addition of Dynabeads™ magnetic beads with recombinant Protein G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for another 2 h. Immunoprecipitates were collected and washed five times with lysis buffer, resuspended in SDS sample buffer and boiled for 5 min at 95 °C. The bound proteins were detected for Apaf-1 protein by Western blotting.

Ubiquitination assay

Ubiquitination assays of ectopically expressed MAF1 were performed in vivo using either the NiNTA pull-down assays or immunoprecipitation of endogenous ubiquitin method. For Fig 2A, 3C
and 4C, cells were co-transfected with MAF1-HA and His-Ub. Six hours before collecting, cells were treated with 10 μM of MG132 where indicated. Cells were dissolved in lysis buffer (6 M guanidium-HCl, 0.1 M Na2HPO4/NaH2PO4, 0.01 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 5 mM imidazole, and 10 mM -mercaptoethanol). His-tagged proteins were captured using Ni2+ NTA resin (Thermo Scientific) and eluted with imidazole. The presence of MAF1 protein in the eluted fraction was immunoblot analyzed using anti-HA antibody. For Fig S2C and S2D, cells were treated with 10 μM of MG132 for 6 hours before collecting. Cells were washed with DPBS twice, then lysed in cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail. Non-covalent protein interactions were dissociated with 1% SDS and boiled for 10 min. Samples were diluted ten times with cell lysis buffer. Lysates were incubated with Anti-HA Magnetic Beads (Pierce) at 4°C for 4 hours. The beads were washed three times with lysis buffer and immunoprecipitates were separated from the beads by adding SDS loading sample buffer and were boiled and fractioned by SDS–PAGE. Immunoblot analysis was subsequently performed using the anti-ubiquitin or K48-linkage specific polyubiquitin antibody.

Immunofluorescence

Cells, cultured in 8-chamber culture slides (Nunc), were rinsed with ice-cold PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature followed by permeabilization with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min and blocked in 2% bovine serum albumin, 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 60 min. For visualizing filamentous actin (F-actin), the cells were washed and directly stained with Phalloidin-iFluor 488 at room temperature for 1 h. For immunolocalizing MAF1-HA or p53, the cells were washed and were subjected to immunofluorescence staining with indicated primary antibodies at 4°C overnight. The cells were then washed with cold PBS three times and incubated with Alexa 488- or Alexa 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-rat IgGs (1:500, Molecular Probes) at room temperature for 1 h. After 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining, slides were mounted in Fluoroshield histology mounting medium (Sigma-Aldrich) and sealed with nail polish, and images were acquired using an Olympus (IX71) microscope equipped with DPController Software (Olympus).

Cell cycle and apoptosis analysis

Cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS, and fixed in 70% ethanol overnight at -20°C. Fixed cells were washed twice with PBS, incubated with 1 ml of PBS containing 10 μg/ml DAPI, and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 30 min at 37°C. Stained cells were analyzed using a BD Biosciences LSR II flow cytometer at the Baylor College of Medicine/Cytometry and Cell Sorting Core.

Induction of apoptosis due to the cytotoxic effect of doxorubicin was evaluated by Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) apoptosis detection kit (Sigma). The numbers of cells undergoing necrosis (positive for propidium iodide), early apoptosis (positive for Annexin V), and late apoptosis (double-positive for Annexin V and propidium iodide) were quantified using flow cytometer as above.

MTS cell proliferation assay

Different siRNAs transfected HepG2 cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO2 for 48 h. Cell proliferation assay was performed using MTS Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Abcam) according to the manufacturer’s instruction at 24 hours after treatment with Doxorubicin at indicated concentrations. Experiments were repeated three times, and data represented as the mean of quadruplicate wells ± SEM.

In vitro translation and proteasome degradation assay

Human MAF1-HA tagged protein were synthesized by using the TnT® Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega) following the manufacturer’s protocol with pcDNA3-MAF1-HA as the template. Degradation of in vitro translated MAF1 by was based on assays previously described (33). Briefly, in vitro-translated protein was incubated with 20S proteasomes (1 μg, BioMol) in 20S assay buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.2], 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT) or with 26S proteasomes (1 μg, BioMol) in 26S assay buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.2], 25 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 4
mM ATP, 50 mM phosphocreatine, and 17.5 U/ml creatine phosphokinase), respectively, at 37°C for 2 h. The reaction was stopped by addition of 6× SDS loading buffer. Samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE for immunoblotting.
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Figure 1. MAF1 is subjected to proteasome-mediated degradation. A. Boxplot of the mRNA expression levels of MAF1 in liver hepatocellular carcinoma and normal control tissues. Images were taken from the GEPIA (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis) online database (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn). The red and black boxes represent tumor and normal tissues, respectively. The data were obtained from the TCGA database, tumor and control samples number was indicated. The y-axis indicates the MAF1 gene expression levels. * < 0.05. B. Left: HepG2, HT-29 and MCF10A cells were incubated with 100 μg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) for the indicated time intervals. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using MAF1 and β-actin antibodies. Right: Densitometric quantification of MAF1 protein levels (normalized to β-actin). Half-life values calculated by one phase decay curve fitting using Graphpad prism software and were denoted as $T_{1/2}$. C. HepG2 $^{MAF1}$-HA cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or MG132 (10 μM) for 4 h. Total RNA was isolated and qRT-PCR analysis was performed with primers specific for MAF1 and precursor $tRNA^iMet$ and $tRNA^{Leu}$. The amount of transcript was normalized to GAPDH. Values shown are the means ± S.E (n=3). The fold change was calculated relative to the amount of transcript expressed in vehicle group. * p < 0.05, student t-test. D. Left: HepG2-MAF1-HA cells were treated with vehicle or BTZ (500 nM) for 4 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using HA and α-Tubulin antibodies. Right: Densitometric quantification of MAF1-HA protein levels (normalized to α-Tubulin). The half-life values were denoted as $T_{1/2}$. 
Figure 2. MAF1 degradation is ubiquitin-dependent. A. MAF1 is ubiquitinated in vivo. 293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing His-tagged ubiquitin, HA-tagged MAF1 as indicated for 36 h. Cells were treated with vehicle or MG132 (10 μM) for 6 h before harvest. Ubiquitinated proteins were pulled down using Ni-NTA agarose, and these and whole-cell lysates analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies. B. Upper: HepG2MAF1-HA cells were transiently transfected with non-silencing scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting PSMD1 for 48 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using PSMD1, HA, p53 and α-Tubulin antibodies. Bottom: Densitometric quantification of MAF1 protein levels (normalized to α-Tubulin). The half-life values were denoted as T1/2. C. HepG2MAF1-HA cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting REGγ for 48 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using HA, REGγ, p21 and α-Tubulin antibodies. D. Indicated in vitro-transcribed and -translated (IVT) proteins (HA-tagged MAF1 or Flag-tagged Foxo1) were incubated with or without purified human proteasome 20S for 2 h. MG-132 (10 μM) was added where indicated to inhibit proteasome activity in the reaction. The MAF1 and FOXO1 protein degradation was analyzed by immunoblotting using HA and Flag antibodies, respectively.
Figure 3. Phosphorylation of MAF1 on Ser 75 affects its ubiquitination and stability. 
A. Upper: HepG2<sup>MAF1-HA</sup> cells were treated with vehicle or Rapamycin (20 nM) for 6 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using HA, phospho-p70 S6 Kinase (Thr389) and α-Tubulin antibodies. Bottom: Densitometric quantification of MAF1 protein levels (normalized to α-Tubulin). The half-life values were denoted as T<sub>1/2</sub>. 
B. Upper: HepG2 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing HA-tagged wildtype or S75A mutant MAF1 as indicated for 36 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using HA and α-Tubulin antibodies. Bottom: Densitometric quantification of MAF1 protein levels (normalized to α-Tubulin). The half-life values were denoted as T<sub>1/2</sub>. 
C. 293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing His-tagged ubiquitin, HA-tagged wildtype or S75A or S75D mutant MAF1 as indicated for 36 h. Cells were treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 6 h before harvest. Ubiquitinated proteins were pulled down using Ni-NTA agarose, and these and whole-cell lysates analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.
Figure 4. Cullin2 RING E3 ligase ubiquitinates MAF1 to target it for proteasomal degradation. A. HepG2^{MAF1-HA} cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting Cul2 for 48 h. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using CUL2, HA, HIF1α and α-Tubulin antibodies. B. HepG2^{MAF1-HA} cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting Cul2 for 48 h. Total RNA was isolated and qRT-PCR analysis was performed with primers specific for Cul2, Maf1 and precursor tRNA\textsubscript{Met} and tRNA\textsubscript{Leu}. The amount of transcript was normalized to GAPDH. Values shown are the means ± S.E (n=3). The fold change was calculated relative to the amount of transcripts expressed in vehicle group. ** p < 0.01, * p < 0.05, student t-test. C. 293T cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting Cul2 for 24 h, then cells were cotransfected with plasmids expressing HA-tagged MAF1 and His-tagged ubiquitin as indicated for another 24 h. Cells were treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 6 h before harvest. Ubiquitinated proteins were pulled down using Ni-NTA agarose, and these and whole-cell lysates analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies. D. Left: HepG2^{MAF1-HA} cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting Cul2 for 48 h, then cells were treated with 100 μg/mL CHX and harvested at the indicated time periods. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using CUL2, HA, and α-Tubulin antibodies. Right: Densitometric quantification of MAF1-HA protein levels (normalized to α-Tubulin). The half-life values were denoted as $T_{1/2}$. 
Figure 5. MAF1 regulates CUL2-mediated actin cytoskeleton remodeling and doxorubicin resistance. A. HepG2<sup>MAF1-HA</sup> cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA, siRNA targeting Cul2, Maf1 or a mix of siRNA (Cul2 and Maf1) for 48 h. The cells were fixed and stained for F-actin (Green, phalloidin-FITC) and cell nuclei (Blue, DAPI). Original magnification: 40×. B. HepG2<sup>MAF1-HA</sup> cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs as indicated for 48 h, then the cells were treated with 0.5 μM doxorubicin for another 24 h. Cell viability was assessed by MTS assay. C. Apoptosis was measured by flow cytometry analysis after the cells were double stained with annexin-V and propidium iodide (PI). Data from the bar chart represented the means ± S.D. of apoptosis (%) from
three independent experiments. *a–d* Means without a common superscript differed ($p < 0.05$). **D.** Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using CUL2, HA, cleaved caspase-3 and α-Tubulin antibodies. **E.** HepG2MAF1-HA cells were transiently transfected with siRNAs as indicated for 48 h, then the cells were treated with 25 μM cisplatin for another 24 h. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using HA, cleaved caspase-3 and α-Tubulin antibodies.
**Figure 6. RNA pol III repression results in enhanced doxorubicin sensitivity.** A. Dose-dependent cell growth inhibition curves of HepG2\(^{MAF1-HA}\) cells (pretreated with 20 or 40 μM ML-60218 for 16 h) treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin for 24 h. C. Dose-dependent cell growth inhibition curves of HepG2\(^{MAF1-HA}\) cells (transfected with siRNAs as indicated for 48 h) treated with different concentrations of doxorubicin for 24 h. Cell viability was assessed by MTS assay. Each dot and error bar on the curves represent mean ± standard deviation (s.d.). B. and D. HepG2\(^{MAF1-HA}\) cells were treated as A and C. Cell lysates were then prepared and analyzed by immunoblotting using cleaved caspase-3, BRF1 and α-Tubulin antibodies. E. Total RNAs from the wild type MEFs or \(Maf1^{+/−}\) MEFs were resolved on 8% urea-containing PAGE and visualized by gel red. 5.8S rRNA, 5S RNA, and tRNA are indicated by arrows or brackets. F. Wild type MEFs and \(Maf1^{−/−}\) MEFs were exposed to different concentration of doxorubicin for 24 h, and then cell viability was measured by MTS cell proliferation assay. Each dot and error bar on the curves represent mean ± standard deviation (s.d.). G. HepG2\(^{MAF1-HA}\) cells were transiently transfected with scrambled mismatch (mm) RNA or siRNA targeting Maf1 for 48 h, then cells were treated with 0.5 μM doxorubicin for another 24 h. Cell lysates were then prepared and were subjected to immunoprecipitation with anti-cytochrome c. The resulting immune complexes, as well as whole-cell lysates, were analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.
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