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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of the study is to assess the development of scientific production and to map thematic coverage of research in physical education. The research process is focused on the following study questions: (1) how has the research productivity developed in the physical education research field?, (2) who are the main contributors (countries, universities, authors, source titles) to the amassing research output in the field?, (3) what are the leading thematic areas attracting the most attention of the academia?, (4) what are the emerging topics in the research field?

Material: The Scopus database was used as a source of bibliometric data for the research sampling process. The research sample (\(N=9,224\)) consisted of the publications including the phrase ‘physical education’ in their titles. In order to achieve the aim of the study, we employed bibliometric methods i.e. research profiling and keywords co-occurrence analysis. We conducted general publication profiling to assess the trends in scientific production and to recognize leading contributors to the research field. We applied keywords co-occurrence analysis in order to identify and explore major thematic areas as well as emerging topics within the research field. VOSviewer software was used to support the analysis process and visualize the findings.

Results: The study shows that physical education is a well-established research field with a long tradition. In the 2010s, it has received an increasing attention of academia which resulted in breakthrough growth in the number of publications indexed in Scopus. The amassing research output is distributed over 26 subject areas. Social Sciences, Medicine and Health Professions are the subject areas grouping the highest number of publications. The leading contributors to the research field are: the most productive country – the United States, the most productive research institution – Loughborough University, the United Kingdom, the most prolific author – David Kirk from the University of Strathclyde, the United Kingdom, the first choice source title – Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury. Within the physical education research field, there are identified five leading thematic clusters related to: (1) physical education didactics, (2) physical activity of school pupils, (3) physical education of adolescents, (4) human motor competence, (5) physical activity of adults. Emerging topics include the following issues: (1) physical education teachers and their training/education, (2) physical education in the tertiary education context, (3) physical education in the secondary education context.

Conclusions: The study contributes to better understanding of development patterns in research on physical education. It provides an added value for managing information on scientific productivity in the research field. Through discovering leading thematic areas and emerging topics within the research field, the study points out the issues important both for further research and development of theory as well as for educational and business practice.

Keywords: physical education, information management, bibliometrics, research profiling, keywords co-occurrence analysis.

Introduction

Due to its role for human development, physical education, defined as “that part of education which promotes learning through movement” [1] (p. 7), has been attracting a growing interest of academia, which resulted in an amassing scientific output. Physical education is found to be a multifaceted research field, combining the research work from various disciplines including primarily medicine, natural sciences, mainly biological sciences, and pedagogy [2]. Recently, the scope of the physical education research field has been expanded beyond the studies of physical activity to include as well health eating behaviors. In literature, physical training is more and more often considered in the context of physical literacy [3–6] i.e. “teaching and learning of the skills, knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors that enhance the responsibility for engagement in lifelong lifestyles” [7] (p. 123). The developments in physical education are a hot topic of the debate in the media [8]. Similarly, in recent years, the dynamic increase in research on various aspects of physical education has been observed in academia. Nevertheless, this amassing production has not been thoroughly mapped and profiled with bibliometric methods.

For instance, Fan and Gan [9] (p. 193) analyze “chronological distribution, journal distribution, supporting from the funds and the keywords” of journal articles on physical education published between 2005 and 2009. However, due to the dynamic development of the research field and limited scope of the analysis, this publication does not provide the comprehensive and up-to-date bibliometric profiling of the field. Hinojo-
Lucena and associates [10] explore scientific production published prior to 2017 and indexed in the Web of Science database. Nevertheless, this analysis is limited to chronological distribution and general publication profiling only, focusing on statistical analysis of impact of scientific journals and contributions made by research institutions and authors. In consequence, a gap within the body of knowledge may be indicated, to be filled by this study. Therefore, the aim of the paper is to assess the development of scientific production and to map thematic coverage of research in physical education. The research process is focused on the following study questions: (1) how has the research productivity changed in the physical education research field?, (2) who are the main contributors (countries, universities, authors, source titles) to the amassing research output in the field?, (3) what are the leading thematic areas attracting the most attention of the academia?, (4) what are the emerging topics in the research field?

Material and Methods

Sources

We used the Scopus database as a source of bibliometric data for the research sampling process. On 05 January 2020, we searched for the phrase ‘physical education’ in the titles of publications and retrieved 9,224 items. Journal articles are the majority of document types in the sample (84%). They are followed by: conference papers, reviews and book chapters. In regard to the language of publication, English has a dominant position – 79% of the items are written in English. Nevertheless, a visible representation of other languages should be noticed including Spanish, Portuguese, and Russian – each of them constituting more than 6% of the research output. The detailed parameters of the research sample are provided in Table 1.

Research Design

In order to validate the aforementioned observation, we searched for the following combination of phrases in the Scopus and Web of Science databases as of 05 January 2020: Title Search (‘physical education’) AND (‘bibliometric’ OR ‘bibliometrics’ OR ‘scientometrics’ OR ‘informetrics’). In result, we found 19 publications indexed in Scopus, and 19 of them indexed in Web of Science. After removing duplicates, there are found 22 publications fulfilling the search criteria, which may be grouped into five categories.

The first category covers the bibliometric studies of contributions to the physical education research field (or its sub-fields) made by academic institutions or scholars in Brazil [11, 12], Colombia [13], Poland [14–16] and Spain [11, 12, 17, 18]. The second category deals with the topics or research methods included in theses/dissertations of physical education students in Chile [19], Iran [20] and Poland [21]. The third category provides the assessment of research productivity in journals such as Citius, Altius, Fortius [22] and Movimento [23]. The fourth category focuses on some particular narrow topics such as teaching content in physical education [24], gamification in teaching physical education [25], virtual reality in physical education [26], school physical education in Brazil [27], assessment of physical education in the Brazilian context [28], women’s football and futsal [29], or human body [30]. The fifth category makes an attempt to conduct bibliometric analysis of the whole physical education research field.

In order to achieve the aim of the study, we employed bibliometric methods i.e. research profiling [31] and keywords co-occurrence analysis, which is a kind of co-word analysis [32]. We conducted general publication profiling in order to identify leading contributors to the research field (i.e. countries, research institutions, journals, authors). Instead of a traditional topic profiling [33–35], we followed the benchmarks of other bibliometric studies [36, 37] and applied keywords co-occurrence analysis to assess the trends in scientific production and to identify and explore major thematic areas as well as emerging topics within the research field. VOSviewer software, developed by the researchers of Leiden University [38, 39], was used to support the analysis process and visualize the findings.

Keywords Co-occurrence Analysis

The publications in the research sample provide 13,926 keywords. ‘Physical education’ with 4,364 occurrences is the most cited expression. The threshold of 1,000 occurrences is achieved by 4 publications, 500 occurrences – by 13 of them, 100 – by 66 publications. There are 9,668 keywords which occurred only once.

Table 1. Parameters of the research sample

| Category       | Items (N)                           |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| **Document type** | Article (7,767); Conference Paper (365); Review (349); Book Chapter (342); Note (153); Editorial (88); Book (60); Letter (41); Erratum (28); Short Survey (9); Retracted (1); Undefined (21) |
| **Language**   | English (7,313); Spanish (587); Portuguese (565); Russian (563); French (168); German (123); Croatian (46); Polish (43); Czech (40); Chinese (21); Turkish (21); Italian (15); Lithuanian (11); Korean (8); Japanese (7); Serbian (7); Norwegian (6); Romanian (6); Slovenian (6); Catalan (6); Slovak (4); Swedish (4); Dutch (3); Arabic (2); Bosnian (2) Hungarian (2); Moldavian (2); Moldovan (2); Hebrew (2); Ukrainian (1); Undefined (33) |

Source: Own study based on data retrieved from the Scopus (05 January 2020).
Therefore, the number of high-frequency keywords to be taken for co-occurrence analysis, calculated according to the formula provided by Donohue [40] as cited by Guo and associates [36], equals to 139, which corresponds to 48 occurrences. The parameters of VOSviewer application used for keywords co-occurrence are presented in Table 2.

### Results

**Physical education: Research productivity and general publication profiling**

The earliest publication in the research sample dates back as of 1886. Since that date, the number of publications added every year was raising from a few in 1890s-1920s, through several of them in 1930s-1950s to about 20-40 items in 1960s-1980s. In last 30 years, four periods in the development of the field may be observed. Firstly, a phase of a steady growth in yearly production (from 33 to 208 publications) was noticed between 1990 and 2009. Secondly, the breakthrough rise in the output (from 208 to 548 items per year) was in place between 2009 and 2012. Thirdly, the stabilization trend was noticed between 2012 and 2015 (at the level of circa 540 publications per year). Finally, since 2015 another period of intensive increase in the number of yearly production has been reported. The peak of this increase was in 2018, when 892 new publications were added to the research field. Summing up, it is worth noticing that physical education is a well-established research field with a long tradition. The amassing research output focused on the issues of physical education is distributed over 26 subject areas defined by the Scopus database. Social Sciences, followed by Medicine and Health Professions, are the subject areas grouping the highest number of publications. The United States is the unquestioned leader among the most productive countries. Other major contributors are: the United Kingdom, Spain, Brazil and China. The following universities are reported as the leading research institutions in the field: British Loughborough University, American Ohio State University, Australian University of Queensland as well as Spanish University of Murcia and University of Granada. The institutions from the United Kingdom and Spain are most often represented among top contributors. David Kirk from the University of Strathclyde, the United Kingdom is found to the most prolific researcher. However, scholars affiliated at the United States universities are the most often listed among the most productive authors.

**Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury** is usually the first choice among quality source titles to publish research findings from the field. Other the most prominent journals include: European Physical Education Review, Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, and Sport Education and Society. Top 10 items in such categories as: subject areas, the most productive countries and research institutions, the most prolific authors and leading source titles are listed in Table 3.

**Physical education: Thematic clustering**

The keywords of the highest number of occurrence within the research sample are such expressions as: ‘physical education’, ‘human’, ‘article’, ‘physical education and training’, ‘male’, ‘female’, ‘humans’,
**Figure 1.** Scientific productivity of research in physical education measured by the number of publications: Source: Own study based on data retrieved from the Scopus (05 January 2020).

**Table 3.** General publication profiling of the physical education research field

| Category          | Top 10 Items (number of publications)                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Subject area      | Social Sciences (5,406); Medicine (4,301); Health Professions (3,848); Psychology (755); Arts and      |
|                   | Humanities (391); Engineering (329); Computer Science (283); Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular       |
|                   | Biology (162); Agricultural and Biological Sciences (145); Environmental Science (136)                |
| Country           | United States (2,370); United Kingdom (932); Spain (784); Brazil (707); China (637); Australia (518);   |
|                   | Russian Federation (479); Canada (291); Turkey (220); France (213)                                     |
| Research Institute| Loughborough University, UK (141); Ohio State University; US (111); University of Murcia, Spain (110);  |
|                   | University of Queensland, Australia (109); University of Granada, Spain (107); Federal University of  |
|                   | Santa Catarina, Brazil (95); University of Limerick, Ireland (86); University of Edinburgh, UK (82);   |
|                   | University of Valencia, Spain (81); University of Birmingham, UK (80)                                 |
| Source Title      | Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury (470); European Physical Education Review (336); Journal of   |
|                   | Teaching in Physical Education (335); Sport Education and Society (334); Strategies (301); Movimento   |
|                   | (277); Quest (269); Research Quarterly of the American Association for Health and Physical Education   |
|                   | and Recreation (254); Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport (230); Physical Education and Sport    |
|                   | Pedagogy (191)                                                                                        |
| Author            | Kirk, D., University of Strathclyde, UK (69); Penney, D., Edith Cowan University, Perth, Australia (47);|
|                   | Chen, A., The University of North Carolina at Greensboro, US (41); Richards, K.A.R., University of     |
|                   | Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, US (40); McKenzie, T.L., San Diego State University, US (39); Silverman,  |
|                   | S., Columbia University in the City of New York, US (37); Granero-Gallegos, A., University of Almeria, |
|                   | Spain (36); Beana-Extremera, A., University of Granada, Spain (35); Kulina, P.H., Arizona State      |
|                   | University, US (35); Xiang, P. Texas A&M University, US (34)                                         |

Source: Own study based on data retrieved from the Scopus (05 January 2020).

‘child’, ‘students’ and ‘adolescent’. Top 20 high-frequency keywords and their bibliometric characteristics (including the number of occurrences and links, total links strength and the average publication year) are provided in Table 4. The item density visualization of high-frequency keywords is presented in Figure 2.

Co-occurrence analysis of high-frequency keywords unveils thematic clusters in the physical education research field. In the map (Figure 3), keywords are grouped due to their relatedness (i.e. the closer they are located to each other, the more related they are. The strongest links between the items are marked with lines. The size of the frames manifests the number of occurrence for a given item.

As visualized in Figure 3, within the physical education research field, there are identified five leading thematic clusters related to: (1) physical education didactics, (2) physical activity of school pupils, (3) physical education
Table 4. Top 20 high-frequency keywords in the physical education research field

| Keywords                                      | Occurrences | Links | Total link strength | Average publication year |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| physical education                            | 4,362       | 138   | 23,055              | 2007.34                  |
| human                                         | 1,683       | 135   | 19,474              | 2003.49                  |
| article                                       | 1,402       | 136   | 14,850              | 1995.51                  |
| physical education and training                | 1,321       | 131   | 14,821              | 1994.02                  |
| male                                          | 979         | 130   | 13,571              | 2006.25                  |
| female                                        | 972         | 130   | 13,476              | 2006.22                  |
| humans                                        | 777         | 130   | 11,513              | 2008.19                  |
| child                                         | 667         | 127   | 8,924               | 2002.40                  |
| students                                      | 632         | 135   | 5,505               | 2011.13                  |
| adolescent                                    | 612         | 125   | 8,367               | 2014.24                  |
| education                                     | 612         | 137   | 3,470               | 2008.82                  |
| physical activity                             | 608         | 133   | 5,808               | 2012.80                  |
| teaching                                      | 580         | 137   | 4,136               | 2010.51                  |
| student                                       | 486         | 128   | 6,390               | 2009.30                  |
| sport                                         | 468         | 134   | 3,842               | 2004.96                  |
| sports                                        | 429         | 134   | 3,079               | 2006.44                  |
| motivation                                    | 385         | 127   | 3,193               | 2012.11                  |
| exercise                                      | 375         | 128   | 5,096               | 2008.07                  |
| adult                                         | 369         | 126   | 4,721               | 2003.40                  |
| curriculum                                    | 364         | 130   | 2,913               | 2009.10                  |

Source: Own study based on data retrieved from Scopus and analyzed with the use of VOSiewer (05 January 2020).

of adolescents, (4) human motor competence, (5) physical activity of adults. The items categorized into the identified thematic clusters are enumerated in Table 4. The most prominent keywords, i.e. those with the highest number of occurrences (above 200), are bolded.

Physical education: Emerging topics

The overlay visualization function of VOSviewer enables researchers to assign scores to the items and sort them by the given score. We used this function in order to identify the emerging topics in the research field. We assigned the date of publication as a score to each of the items taken for analysis, then with the use of VOSviewer we generated the map visualizing the average publication year of high-frequency keywords (Figure 4). In the map, the colors range from blue (which corresponds to the lowest value of the score, i.e. the earliest average date of publication), through green to yellow (showing the keywords of the highest value, i.e. the most up-to-date average year of publication).

The overlay visualization shows that the majority of the keywords with the newest dates of publication (visualized in yellow) come from Cluster 1, and they are usually placed in the right flank of the map. Among these most-up-to-date keywords there are also some items from Clusters 2 and 3, while Clusters 4 and 5 are not represented (cf. Table 5). In general, Cluster 4 seems to group the keywords with earliest average publication dates (visualized in dark blue), while Cluster 1 is a collection of the most up-to-date issues. In order to complete the picture and to identify the emerging topics in the research field, we searched for the keywords with the newest dates of publication (2014.50 and beyond) and enumerated them in Table 5. Their bibliometric characteristics used for further analysis include: the average publication date, the number of occurrences, links and total links strength.

The analysis of the keywords with the newest publication dates indicates the following emerging topics in the physical education research field: (1) physical education teachers and their training/education (manifested by such keywords as: ‘teachers’, ‘physical education teacher’, ‘pedagogics’, ‘teacher training’, ‘physical education teacher education’, ‘professional development’), (2) physical education in the tertiary education context (‘higher education’, ‘colleges and universities’, ‘college physical educations’), and (3) physical education in the secondary education context (‘high school’). Moreover, the data show the attention paid by scholars to research rigor, which is manifested by the keywords referring to research procedures or methodology. The methods of study found to be the most often applied in the research field in recent years represent both quantitative approach (cf. ‘statistics and numerical data’) and qualitative ones (cf. ‘qualitative research’, ‘interviews’) as well as experiments (‘human experimentation’).

Discussion

The bibliometric review of literature on physical education contributes to mapping the research field and indicates key areas of scientific inquiry. The five thematic clusters in the field have been identified with the use of co-occurrence analysis methodology supported by VOSviewer software. The first cluster is focused around physical education didactics. Among the topics attracting research interest it is worth mentioning the study of teaching and learning in primary physical education through the use of photo-diaries [41] and the review of literature on physical education learning in accordance with the self-
Figure 2. Item density visualization of high-frequency keywords in the physical education research field: Source: Own study based on data retrieved from Scopus and analyzed with the use of VOSviewer (05 January 2020).
Figure 3. Co-occurrence network of high-frequency keywords in the physical education research field: Source: Own study based on data retrieved from Scopus and analyzed with the use of VOSviewer (05 January 2020).
Figure 4. Average date of publication of high-frequency keywords in the physical education research field
Table 4. Clusters of high-frequency keywords related to research on physical education

| Cluster number/label/color | Items (n) | Keywords (occurrences) |
|---------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Cluster 1 physical education didactics /red | 48 | assessment (59), attitudes (67), body (58), clinical article (72), college physical educations (65), colleges and universities (71), curricula (113), curriculum (364), decision making (59), disability (75), e-learning (56), education (612), education computing (70), engineering education (63), evaluation (57), gender (208), health (196), health education (100), higher education (90), history (100), human experiment (362), inclusion (102), interview (93), knowledge (57), learning (202), pedagogics (57), pedagogy (106), physical education (4362), physical education teacher (52), physical education teacher education (61), physical education teachers (65), physical education teachings (99), professional development (72), qualitative research (55), reliability (99), research (63), skill (51), societies and institutions (71), sport (468), sports (429), students (632), surveys (48), teacher (320), teacher education (105), teacher training (100), teachers (113), teaching (580), training (94) |
| Cluster 2 physical activity of school pupils /green | 42 | age (58), analysis of variance (50), body mass (92), body mass index (55), child (667), children (130), clinical trial (49), controlled clinical trial (53), controlled study (281), cross-sectional studies (65), cross-sectional study (72), exercise (375), fitness (278), health behavior (58), health promotion (140), hearth rate (58), humans (777), longitudinal study (48), motor activity (128), obesity (130), organization and management (80), physical activity (608), physical fitness (265), physiology (151), policy (56), primary school (139), priority journal (105), procedures (81), program evaluation (59), public health (78), randomized controlled trial (81), school (331), school health service (109), school health services (98), schools (281), sex difference (113), sex factors (84), statistics and numerical data (57), time (61), time factors (66), United States (212), youth (48) |
| Cluster 3 physical education of adolescents /blue | 21 | achievement (73), adolescence (64), adolescent (612), adolescents (122), attitude (166), behavior (55), body image (51), competence (51), female (972), high school (139), major clinical study (207), male (979), motivation (385), motivational climate (70), perception (122), psychological aspect (161), psychology (115), satisfaction (69), self concept (106), self determination theory (125), student (486) |
| Cluster 4 human motor competence /yellow | 16 | article (1402), child pre-school (52), disabled persons (58), education program (64), human (1693), methodology (191), motor performance (112), motor skills (93), normal human (144), physical education and training (1321), preschool child (67), recreation (57), review (97), school child (175), sports medicine (55), therapy (49) |
| Cluster 5 physical activity of adults /violet | 12 | adult (369), attitude to health (75), comparative study (106), faculty (122), lifestyle (64), questionnaire (293), questionnaires (142), standard (48), statistics (99), universities (61), university (178), young adult (82) |

Source: Own study based on data retrieved from Scopus and analyzed with the use of VOSviewer (05 January 2020).

determination theory [42]. The second cluster deals with physical education in the school period, focusing, besides school education, on the attitudes of children to physical activity [43] and the determinants of engaging in this activity such as ‘being with friends’, ‘variety in activity content’, ‘experiencing fun’, ‘time constraints’ and ‘opportunity to be outside’ [44]. The third cluster identified within the research field relates to physical education of adolescents. Under the umbrella of this theme, the studies e.g. test how keeping a physical activity record in free time motivates adolescents to do physical training [45] and explore how students’ motivation influences their performance and engagement in physical education [46]. The fourth cluster concentrates around the issues of motor competence (MC). For instance, Spessato and colleagues [47] investigated “the role of the body mass index (BMI) and motor competence (MC) in children’s physical (PA) levels during physical education (PE) classes” (p. 218). Graber et al. [48] tested whether adolescents meet the motor competence standards for a given age, which is not obvious “as roughly 80% of adolescents fail to meet World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations regarding physical activity” [49]. The fifth thematic cluster identified through keywords co-occurrence analysis relates to physical activity of adults. This area is very much affected by the shift from the industrial economy to the model with the dominating position of the service industry, which resulted in an increase of the number of people working in a sitting position. In the EU projects, physical activity of adults is often considered as a component of sport for all, which is not a very precise statement, understood as a promotion of health lifestyle, including both practicing sports and healthy eating [50]. One of the most interesting studies within this theme is
A ten-year longitudinal investigation of “the relationship between participation in organized sport and attitude to physical education (PE) during adolescence and physical activity in young adulthood” [51] (p. 139). The role of physical and sport education in developing a positive attitude to physical activity and health behaviors in adulthood was also examined by Bendíková and Dobay [52] and Haycock and Smith [53].

As presented in Figure 5, displaying relationships among the five aforementioned clusters, although Cluster 1 (‘physical education didactics’) is shifted from the central position to the right edge of the map, its connections with other clusters are the most intensive. An interesting but, when considered thoroughly, logical is a weak relationship between Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 (‘physical activity of school pupils’). Such a situation may result from the fact that the aspects of physical education didactics relate more to advanced levels of education, including train-the-trainers aspects. Thus, more connections between Cluster 1 and Cluster 3 (‘physical education of adolescents’) are observed.

Our study revealed also three ‘hot’ topics attracting the attention of researchers in recent years (which is manifested by the most up-to-date average date of publication assigned to high-frequency keywords). These topics relate to: (1) physical education teachers and their training/education, (2) physical education in the tertiary education context, and (3) physical education in the secondary education context. Firstly, attention is given to physical education teachers and their training/education. Lambert and Penney [54] emphasize the role of teacher educators in the implementation of new, future-oriented curricula. Cheon et al. [55] test whether becoming “more autonomy supportive and less controlling toward their students could promote students’ prosocial behaviors and diminish their antisocial behaviors” (p. 74). Secondly, in regard to physical education in the tertiary education context, the studies focusing on using new technologies in support of education process should be mentioned. Among them, such publications are worth noticing as: “Smart classroom and multimedia network teaching platform application in college physical education teaching”[56], “Research on the inquiry teaching model of men’s basketball teaching in college physical education based on network information technology” [57] or “Design and application of university physical education system based on computer aided system” [58]. Thirdly, physical education in the secondary education context is another ‘hot’ topic attracting attention of scholars recently. In this area, the works of Sparks et al. [59] and Liu and Chung [60] are found among the most cited publications. Sparks et al. [59] focus on researching self-determination theory and its influence on motivation and satisfaction from taking part in physical education classes. The study of Liu and Chung [60] „presents the development process and initial validation of a measure designed for assessing psychological needs satisfaction in a secondary school physical education context” (p. 101).
Conclusions

The study has aimed at assessing the development of scientific production and mapping thematic coverage of research in physical education. First of all, the development of the research field has been discussed with the particular focus given to research productivity in 1990-2019. The study shows that physical education is a well-established research field with a long tradition. It is worth noticing that, in the 2010s, the research field has attracted an increasing attention of academia which resulted in breakthrough growth in the number of publications indexed in Scopus. Secondly, the leading contributors to the research field have been recognized. They are: the most productive country – the United States, the most productive research institution – Loughborough University, the United Kingdom, the most prolific author – David Kirk from the University of Strathclyde, the United Kingdom, the first choice source title – Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury. Thirdly, the thematic areas attracting the most attention of the academia have been identified. The five leading thematic clusters are: (1) physical education didactics, (2) physical activity of school pupils, (3) physical education of adolescents, (4) human motor competence, (5) physical activity of adults. Finally, the emerging topics in the research field have been spotted. In recent years, the particular interest of researchers has been given to such issues as: (1) physical education teachers and their training/education, (2) physical education in the tertiary education context, and (3) physical education in the secondary education context.

The study contributes to better understanding of development patterns in research on physical education. It provides an added value for managing information on scientific productivity in the research field. Through discovering the most productive countries and research institutions, the study maps the research ecosystem and indicates benchmarks for managing research production. Through identifying leading contributors, the study enables researchers to find out potential collaborators among the most prolific authors and make the choice of leading quality journals and source titles for their publications. Through discovering leading thematic areas and emerging topics within the research field, the study points out the issues important both for further research and development of theory as well as for educational and business practice. Therefore, besides the obvious contribution to the research theory, it may be considered as having interesting implications for managing physical education in various contexts.

Discussing the findings of the study, its limitations should be taken into account, too. First of all, only one category of research methods (i.e. bibliometric methods) was employed, which may result in lack of triangulation. Therefore, in the future, the findings of our study should be compared and contrasted with the outcomes of theoretical exploration of the physical education research field, conducted with the use of other types of methods (e.g. qualitative, systematic literature reviews). Secondly, as the whole research field was the object of the study, the differences between the subject areas could have been neglected. Thus, in further research, it would be interesting to discover leading contributors as well as leading and emerging topics in particular subject areas, especially those represented by the highest number of publications i.e. Social Sciences, Medicine and Health Professions. Thirdly, using the Scopus database as the only one source of bibliometric data may lead to some biases (e.g. dominance of publications written in English). Therefore, it would be interesting to replicate the study with bibliometric data retrieved from other databases, including more records in national languages other than English.

Besides the aforementioned recommendations for further research resulting from identified weaknesses of the study, it is important to point out the avenues for researching physical education issues, discovered through exploration of the scientific production in the field. The topics attracting the attention of researchers in recent years discovered in our study seem to be interesting lines of future research. Therefore, we assume it would be reasonable to continue studies on physical education in the context of secondary schools and tertiary education institutions, as well as to explore the issue of education/training of future physical education teachers. Moreover, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of distance learning techniques for physical education, skills needed to conduct education in such a form and its effectiveness could become another ‘hot’ issues of scientific inquiry. Certainly, the studies of effectiveness of distance learning techniques have already been studied in the context of professional sport, where there were analyzed cases of players from professional clubs or national teams. Nevertheless, nowadays, because of social distancing requirements, the role of remote physical education at all levels and consequently its attractiveness for further research shows a great potential for growth.

Highlights

- Physical education is a well-established research field with a long tradition. In the 2010s, it has received an increasing attention of academia which resulted in breakthrough growth in the number of publications indexed in Scopus.
- The amassing research output is distributed over 26 subject areas. Social Sciences, Medicine and Health Professions are the subject areas grouping the highest number of publications.
- The leading contributors to the research field are: the most productive country – the United States, the most productive research institution – Loughborough University, the United Kingdom, the most prolific author – David Kirk from the University of Strathclyde, the United Kingdom, the first choice source title – Teoriya i Praktika Fizicheskoy Kultury.
- Within the physical education research field, there are identified five leading thematic clusters related to: (1) physical education didactics, (2) physical activity of school pupils, (3) physical education of adolescents, (4) human motor competence, (5) physical activity of adults.
of adolescents, (4) human motor competence, (5) physical activity of adults.

- Emerging topics in the physical education research field, attracting recently a lot of attention of academia, include the following issues: (1) physical education teachers and their training/education, (2) physical education in the tertiary education context, and (3) physical education in the secondary education context.
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