Diabetic cornea wounds produce significantly weaker electric signals that may contribute to impaired healing
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Wounds naturally produce electric signals which serve as powerful cues that stimulate and guide cell migration during wound healing. In diabetic patients, impaired wound healing is one of the most challenging complications in diabetes management. A fundamental gap in knowledge is whether diabetic wounds have abnormal electric signaling. Here we used a vibrating probe to demonstrate that diabetic corneas produced significantly weaker wound electric signals than the normal cornea. This was confirmed in three independent animal models of diabetes: db/db, streptozotocin-induced and mice fed a high-fat diet. Spatial measurements illustrated that diabetic cornea wound currents at the wound edge but not wound center were significantly weaker than normal. Time lapse measurements revealed that the electric currents at diabetic corneas lost the normal rising and plateau phases. The abnormal electric signals correlated significantly with impaired wound healing. Immunostaining suggested lower expression of chloride channel 2 and cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator in diabetic corneal epithelium. Acute high glucose exposure significantly (albeit moderately) reduced electrotaxis of human corneal epithelial cells in vitro, but did not affect the electric currents at cornea wounds. These data suggest that weaker wound electric signals and impaired electrotaxis may contribute to the impaired wound healing in diabetes.

Delayed or non-healing wounds pose an immense health and economic problem, affecting the quality of life of millions of patients globally. The World Health Organization estimated that the global prevalence of diabetes in 2014 was 9% and that it will be the 7th leading cause of death in 20301. Impaired wound healing in diabetic patients results in adverse pathological changes such as chronic foot ulceration which affects approximately 15% of diabetic patients2. This burden is growing rapidly due to increasing health care costs, an aging population, and a sharp rise in the incidence of diabetes and obesity worldwide3,4. Diabetic patients may also suffer from corneal recurrent erosions/ulcerations3 which are difficult to treat and may result in significant ocular morbidity and visual impairment5,6. The mechanisms underlying wound healing defects in diabetic patients are not fully understood, but may include deregulation of the biochemical milieu such as microcirculatory changes, altered growth factors, abnormal cytokine production, genetic or epigenetic changes and inflammatory state7,8.

Electric fields (EFs) occur naturally at wounds9. The corneal epithelium actively generates and maintains an electrical trans epithelial potential (TEP)10 by active directional pumping of ions between the stroma and tear side11. Wounding collapses the local TEP resulting in significant electric potentials and currents between the wound and the surrounding intact epithelium, establishing the cathode at the wound12. The existence of these endogenous wound electric currents has been confirmed using different modern techniques13–15. We use “electric signals” to denote steady, direct-current EFs that are intrinsically associated with steady fluxes of ions.
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Wound repair is a precise and complex process necessary to recover tissue function after injury. Effective wound healing requires tightly controlled cell movement and tissue growth. Epithelial cells, including corneal epithelial cells (CECs) and skin keratinocytes, respond robustly by directional migration in external fields (EFs) of strengths that have been measured at wounds in vivo. The EFs override co-existing directional signals such as free edge and contact inhibition release. Migration of CECs is guided by EFs of physiological strength when applied in a direction opposite to other cues. Applied EFs as low as 12.5 mV/mm guide migration of CECs to the cathode, the same direction of the wound EFs. Electrical stimulation has been approved in the U.S. for treatment of refractory chronic wounds in patients (chronic Stage III or Stage IV pressure ulcers, arterial ulcers, diabetic ulcers and venous stasis ulcers), because of apparent clinical benefits.

It is, however, not known whether diabetic wounds have abnormal electric signals. Here we tested the hypothesis that diabetic corneas produce weaker electrical signals compared to normal corneas and that the weaker signals may contribute to the impaired wound healing. We also tested whether high glucose affects directional cell migration guided by small-applied electric fields.

Results
Diabetic cornea wounds lost the large electric signal. We used a vibrating probe to measure the intact and wounded cornea electric current (Fig. 1a). To profile the cornea wound current, five different positions inside the wound were measured with the probe positioned at the unwounded center and wound edge. Photographs on the right show the probe in measuring position at the right wound edge. The close-ups below show the probe without vibration for clarity (left) and the probe vibrating (blurred double image) on the right. Scale bar: 250 μm. (b) Positions of the vibrating probe when measuring electric current at different places across the wounded cornea: left unwounded position (L0), left wound edge (L), wound center (C), right wound edge (R) and right unwounded position (R0). (c) Electric current profile of wounded control and db/db diabetic corneas. Positive values are outward current (flow of positive charge), negative values are inward. Currents were significantly greater at the wound edges than at the wound center (*p < 0.01; Student t-test). Currents at the wound edge of diabetic cornea were significantly weaker than in the control group (**p < 0.01). Currents at the wound center were not significantly different (NS). Interestingly, currents at the unwounded cornea outside the wound were on average slightly inward. Data are mean ± S.E.M. from 4 independent wounds.

Figure 1. Diabetic corneas generated significantly weaker wound electric signal. (a) Schematic diagram of the wound current measurement with the probe positioned at the unwounded center and wound edge. Photographs on the right show the probe in measuring position at the right wound edge. The close-ups below show the probe without vibration for clarity (left) and the probe vibrating (blurred double image) on the right. Scale bar: 250 μm. (b) Positions of the vibrating probe when measuring electric current at different places across the wounded cornea: left unwounded position (L0), left wound edge (L), wound center (C), right wound edge (R) and right unwounded position (R0). (c) Electric current profile of wounded control and db/db diabetic corneas. Positive values are outward current (flow of positive charge), negative values are inward. Currents were significantly greater at the wound edges than at the wound center (*p < 0.01; Student t-test). Currents at the wound edge of diabetic cornea were significantly weaker than in the control group (**p < 0.01). Currents at the wound center were not significantly different (NS). Interestingly, currents at the unwounded cornea outside the wound were on average slightly inward. Data are mean ± S.E.M. from 4 independent wounds.
and outside the wound were measured with the vibrating probe 40 min post-wounding, as this is the approximate time the current reaches maximum (Fig. 1b). In control corneas, currents were maximal at the wound edges and smaller at the wound center (Fig. 1c). Diabetic db/db cornea wounds showed a similar profile: the wound current at the edges were three times larger than that at the wound center (n = 4, p < 0.01). Currents at the wound center were not significantly different (n = 4, p > 0.05). However, diabetic cornea wound currents at both the right and left edges displayed significantly weaker electric signals than the control group (n = 4, p < 0.01). We confirmed this result in a different diabetes model, streptozotocin (STZ)-injected. STZ-induced diabetic cornea wound edges also showed significantly weaker electric signals (Fig. 2a) (n = 6, p < 0.05).

These wound profile data support our previous hypothesis that the wound edge current depends more on active transport whereas the smaller current at the wound center may be more due to ion leakage. These spatial maps also suggest that the stroma at the wound center does not generate significant ion flux and that diabetic corneas have less active (translocator-mediated) ion flux than control ones. Interestingly, currents outside the wounds were slightly inward, suggesting a circuit of current flowing outward at the wound and inward in the surrounding intact corneal epithelium.

**Diabetic cornea wound current lost the rising and plateau phases in the timelapse measurements.** We next recorded the time course of the wound electrical signals in control and STZ-induced diabetes. In normal age-matched saline-injected control mice, cornea electric currents showed characteristic dynamic changes after wounding: leakage phase (L), rising phase (R) and plateau phase (P) (Fig. 2b). Electric currents at cornea wounds from STZ-induced diabetic mice lacked these characteristic rising and plateau phases (Fig. 2c). This suggests that ion channels and pumps which generate the cornea electric signals (e.g., TEP and associated wound fields and currents) might be downregulated in diabetes.

We also used the vibrating probe to measure electric current time-course in different diabetes models: db/db and high-fat diet (HFD) diabetic mice. Blood glucose levels were measured before the vibrating probe...
measurement to confirm the diabetic status (Table S1). After wounding, db/db corneas generated weaker currents compared to the age-matched control group (n = 6, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3a). Three hours after wounding, the currents at cornea wounds of control mice remained significantly larger (4.01 ± 0.86 μA/cm²), more than five times larger than in the db/db mice (0.77 ± 0.44 μA/cm²) (n = 6, p < 0.01). Consistently, electric currents at cornea wounds in HFD mice were also significantly smaller than the controls. Electric signal decreased significantly at cornea wounds in HFD-induced mice at 20 min post-wounding and thereafter for up to 3 h (n = 4, p < 0.01) (Fig. 3b).

Interestingly, 1 out of 6 corneas of db/db diabetes, 2 out of 6 corneas of STZ-induced diabetes and 1 out 4 corneas of HFD mice had inward wound currents. This was unusual and was never seen in any cornea wound in healthy wild-type mouse, rat or human25,26, which always show outward cornea wound currents. Diabetic patients can often have abnormally high levels of glucose in their tears 27. We tested whether high glucose in the bathing solution altered the cornea wound electric signal. Corneas pre-incubated in high glucose (30 mM) for 3 h prior to wounding did not show significantly different electric currents (unwounded or wounded) when compared with control eyes incubated in normal glucose (5.6 mM) for the same time (Fig. S1).

Therefore, diverse diabetes models consistently have weaker cornea wound electric signals compared to matched controls, and it cannot be mimicked by acute exposure to high glucose.

Diabetic cornea wound healing rate correlated with the wound currents. To quantify cornea wound healing, we made similar-sized epithelial wounds in control and diabetic mice (Φ ~1.4 mm) and visualized the wound with fluorescein dye. Wound areas were not significantly different at time zero (p > 0.5). Cornea wounds in control mice healed quickly; at 24 h they were more than 50% healed (< ½ original area), and by 48 h were almost completely healed (Fig. 4a,b). In contrast, STZ-treated mice wounds healed slowly. There was no healing in the first 24 h and by 48 h they had healed an average of only 42.6% (p < 0.05) (Fig. 4a,b). HFD and db/db mice corneas also healed much slower than age-matched control corneas (p < 0.01) (Fig. 4a,b).

We used the Pearson correlation analysis to determine the relationship between impaired wound healing and the wound electric currents. The analysis showed that the wound healing rate correlated significantly with the changes in wound electric current (Fig. 4c). Results from two different diabetic mouse models showed a positive correlation between wound current and wound healing. Thus, eyes with small (or even inward) currents showed poor wound healing whereas eyes with larger currents showed better wound healing. Paired analyses of db/db mice (Pearson correlation coefficient, R = 0.990) and HFD mice (Pearson correlation coefficient, R = 0.987) showed significant correlation (n = 4, p = 0.010 and n = 4, p = 0.013, respectively) (Fig. 4c).

Decreased expression of CFTR and CLC2 in diabetic corneal epithelium. To test possible changes in ion translocators in diabetic corneas we labeled chloride channel 2 (CLC2) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) because these channels are expressed in corneal epithelium and Cl⁻ fluxes were

---

**Figure 3.** A genetic knockout model of diabetes in mice (db/db) and high-fat diet (HFD) diabetic mice also showed a weaker electric signal at the cornea wound. (a) Diabetic db/db cornea wounds generated weaker electric signals. Black bars are the age-matched heterozygous genetic control group and white bars are the diabetic db/db group, n = 6. (b) Weaker electric current was also observed in high-fat diet induced diabetic mouse cornea wounds. Black bars are age-matched low fat diet control group (LFD) and white bars are high-fat diet induced diabetic group (HFD), n = 4. Data are mean ± S.E.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, when compared with the matched control value, Student t-test.
Figure 4. Impaired wound healing in diabetic corneas was correlated significantly with weak wound electric currents. (a) Diabetic cornea wounds in three different mouse diabetes models showed significantly slower wound healing than control wounds. Wound areas were not significantly different at time zero ($p > 0.5$). Scale bar: 500 μm. (b) Healing rate of cornea wounds in control and diabetic mice. Age-matched control and low fat diet (LFD) wounds (solid lines) healed almost 100% in 48 h. All three diabetic models (dashed lines) healed significantly slower. Values are mean ± S.E.M. from 4 or more independent experiments, *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, when compared with the control value, ***$p < 0.01$, when compared with low fat diet (LFD) group, Student t-test. (c) Data from each eye were plotted as wound current vs wound healing and the Pearson correlation coefficient calculated. Significant correlation was seen between wound current and wound healing (in paired data) from db/db and high-fat diet (HFD) diabetic mice. Each set of data (HFD and db/db) were normalized so that their maximum wound current was 1 μA/cm², so they could be plotted on the same chart. Pearson correlation coefficient (R) and significance value ($p$) value are as shown. db/db, $R = 0.990, p = 0.010$; HFD, $R = 0.987, p = 0.013$. 
proposed to be the major component of the wound electric currents in normal cornea wounds.\textsuperscript{28,29} Both CLC2 and CFTR were expressed in the epithelial layers (Fig. 5). CLC2 showed weaker expression in the diabetic cornea wound than in the control cornea wound (Fig. 5a). Three hours after wounding, apical and basal expression of CFTR appeared to increase in the control cornea at the wound edge (Fig. 5b, 3 h post-wounding). These changes seemed to be weaker in the diabetic cornea at the same time. Therefore, fewer of these channels, and concomitantly less flux of Cl\textsuperscript{−} ions, can in part account for the decreased electric signal in diabetic corneas.

**Human corneal epithelial cells in high glucose showed impaired electrotaxis.** To determine whether high glucose impairs cell migration, we tested electrotaxis of CECs in EpiLife medium supplemented with 6 mM of D-glucose (total glucose (HG): 12 mM), and EpiLife medium supplemented with 6 mM mannitol to balance the osmolarity (mannitol control is 6 mM glucose plus 6 mM mannitol). In both conditions, cells responded to the applied EF of 100 mV/mm by migrating to the cathode (Fig. 6a,b). Compared to normal...
glucose, cells in high glucose migrated slower and with decreased directedness values ($n = 6$, $p < 0.01$; Fig. 6c,d; Movie S1).

Discussion
In this study, we sought to determine whether diabetic wounds generate weaker electric signals relative to normal wounds, and if this in turn correlates with impaired wound healing in diabetes. We hypothesized that cell migration can be deregulated by the abnormal electric signals, leading to impaired healing. In three independent diabetes mouse models, vibrating probe measurements demonstrated that electric signals were significantly impaired at diabetic cornea wounds. Time lapse measurements revealed that the electric currents at diabetic corneas lost the normal rising and plateau phases which are associated with active (translocator-mediated) transport and pumping of ions via the cornea trans epithelial electric potential (TEP). The decreased diabetic electric signals correlated significantly with impaired wound healing. Expression of $\text{Cl}^{-}$ channels CLC2 and CFTR appeared to be lower in diabetic corneas. Short-term high glucose exposure reduced electrotaxis of CECs, but not the electric current at cornea wounds.

Injury induces diverse biochemical and mechanical cues to instigate healing response$^{30,31}$. EFs at wounds may provide a powerful injury signal to mobilize and guide cells to heal wounds. Electric currents are present at wounds immediately after wounding and persist for hours, days and even weeks$^{26,32–36}$. The negative pole (cathode) is located at the wound center relative to the surrounding intact tissues$^{32,37}$. When stimulated with EFs of the strength that are measured in vivo, cells in culture show remarkable enhanced and directional migration towards the cathode$^{39}$. EFs have therefore been proposed as a signal playing an important role in wound healing$^{20,38}$. Neuropathy, micro-circulatory changes, altered growth factors and abnormal cytokine production play important roles in impaired wound healing in diabetes$^{9,39–41}$. With data from three independent diabetic models, we provide the first set of experimental evidence that cornea wounds from diabetic animals had significantly impaired

---

**Figure 6. High glucose impaired electrotaxis of human corneal epithelial cells (hCECs).** Cell tracks are shown with the position of each cell at the start of the experiment normalized to the origin. The cathode is at the bottom. (a) Trajectories of cells in mannitol control group (+6 mM mannitol). (b) Trajectories of cells in high glucose group (+6 mM D-glucose). (c) Velocity and (d) directedness of cells of control and high glucose groups respectively. Cells exposed to high glucose medium for 7 days showed significantly reduced speed and directedness. Data are mean ± S.E.M. from 6 independent experiments. **$p < 0.01$, compared with control, Student t-test.
In order to elucidate the mechanisms of Cl− currents in generating the cornea wound current46. In order to elucidate the mechanisms of Cl− currents for diabetic wounds.

Strategies targeting electric signals in combination with other well-established treatments may offer better outcomes for diabetic wounds. Management of diabetic wounds is not simply due to high glucose in the tear solution for a short period of time, and is likely due to one or more long-term and systemic effects. These may include altered transportation of ions in diabetic corneas, compromise in epithelial junctions, neuropathy, and abnormal metabolism in cells due to direct and/or indirect effects of long-term high glucose exposure. High glucose in vitro inhibited electrotransport of CECs in a small applied EF (100 mV/mm), reducing both migration speed and directedness. Migration speed was down about 25%, but the directedness was reduced to a lesser extent (~10%) (Fig. 6). High glucose in diabetic tears thus may compromise cell migration and contribute to impaired wound healing. The impaired wound healing (Fig. 4) therefore is likely due to a combination of defective electrotransport of cells and impaired electric signaling. Their respective contributions warrant further investigation.

Our recent study suggested that the largest ion flux in cornea wounds is Cl− flux and this ion flux plays a dominant role in generating the cornea wound current46. In order to elucidate the mechanisms of Cl− transport, we studied CLC2 which is expressed abundantly and specifically in corneal epithelium49, and CFTR which is an anion transporter involved in airway epithelial wound repair50. Lower expression of CFTR and CLC2 seen in the epithelia of diabetic corneal wounds may contribute to the weaker diabetic cornea wound current. This data is consistent with the role of CFTR in the initial stages of wound healing in vitro51, and also suggests that Cl− flux may regulate the impaired wound healing in diabetic patients. Based on the spatial profile of the wounded cornea (Fig. 1c), we postulate a possible simplified two-dimensional circuit of the electric current flow at the wound (Fig. 7). Thus, currents at the wound, especially at the wound edges but also inside the wound, flow outward and through the external solution. The ‘circuit’ is completed by inward current flow in the intact cornea around the wound.

In conclusion, cornea wounds in diabetes have abnormal electric signals which may contribute to impaired wound healing, possibly via cell electrotransport deregulation. Our data suggest a new player – electric signals – which are a potential therapeutic target in management of chronic and non-healing wounds. Management strategies targeting electric signals in combination with other well-established treatments may offer better outcomes for diabetic wounds.

Methods

Animals. This study was carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All procedures were approved by the University of California, Davis, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocols 16766 and 17876).

Diabetic eyes. Eight week old male BKS.Cg-Dock7m +/+ Lepr db/J heterozygous (db/+), homozygous (db/db) mice and streptozotocin (STZ; 50 mg)-induced diabetic mice48 and saline-injected control mice were used.
obtained from Jackson Laboratory: C57Bl/6J mice were placed on either a low fat (10% kcal; control) or high-fat (60% kcal) diet (Research Diets Inc., USA) at 5 weeks of age and were sustained for 24–26 weeks. The composition of these diets and the propensity of mice maintained on this high-fat diet to develop type 2 diabetes has been well described previously. The blood glucose levels of the diabetic animals were measured before the ophthalmectomy using an Accu-Chek Aviva Plus blood glucose meter (Roche Diagnostics) (Table S1).

Experiments were performed on isolated whole eyes from male mice or male Sprague-Dawley rats. Animals were euthanized by inhalation of CO2 and cervical dislocation. Eyes were removed using fine spring scissors (Fine Science Tools, USA) and placed in room temperature (RT) artificial tear solution (BSS+ intraocular irrigating solution; Alcon Laboratories, Inc., USA). Cornea wounds were made by scraping off approximately 1.5–2 mm² of epithelium with a 15° ophthalmologic scalpel (Beaver-Visitec, USA). Electric current density was measured with the vibrating probe (see next section Vibrating probe) starting at 5 min after wounding at the wound edge, as this is where the maximum wound electric currents are seen. We also did time lapse measurements where we measured the wound edge current for several hours after wounding to establish a dynamic time-course of wound signal generation. To characterize the current density at different positions on the wounded cornea, we waited until 40 min after wounding, because the time lapse data showed that the electric signal reached maximum level 40–50 min after wounding (see Fig. 2a). For high glucose measurements, eyes were pre-incubated for 3 h in Medium 199 (M199) culture medium (Life Technologies, USA) supplemented with 24.4 mM glucose to give a final glucose concentration of 30 mM. Control eyes were pre-incubated in normal M199 (5.6 mM glucose) supplemented with 24.4 mM mannitol, to compensate for osmolality.

Vibrating probe. The vibrating probe technique for non-invasive measurement of endogenous electric current densities has been previously described in detail. Briefly, the probe is an insulated stainless-steel microelectrode (World Precision Instruments, USA) with a platinum ball electrodeplated to the tip. The probe, mounted on a 3-dimensional micromanipulator (Line Tool Co., USA), is vibrated at high frequency (~200 Hz) in solution approximately 1 tip ball distance from the cornea surface by a piezoelectric bender. If an electric current is present due to ion flux, the charge on the platinum balls fluctuates in proportion to the size of the current. The probe is connected to a lock-in amplifier (SR530; Stanford Research Systems, USA) that locks on to the probe’s specific frequency. The probe is calibrated with a current density of 1.5 μA/cm² at the start and end of experiments. The probe is vibrated in solution far from the cornea (>1 cm), where there is no electric current, to establish a baseline. The probe is then moved into measurement position close to the cornea (either intact unwounded cornea or wound center / wound edge; see Fig. 1a).

Wound healing. Wounds were made as in the previous section Diabetic eyes. Each eye was placed with the wound facing up in a 35 mm plastic dish containing an 800 μm nylon mesh (nitex mesh). Fluorescein dye was applied to the wound by placing one drop of artificial tear solution onto a Ful-Glo fluorescein sodium ophthalmic strip (Akron, Inc., USA) and then placing the drop onto the eye. Images were taken at 30 μm magnification using a Zeiss Lumar V12 microscope with Axiocam MRm camera and an EXFO X-cite 120 fluorescent illumination system. Eyes were incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO2 in 6-well tissue culture plates with 6 ml of culture medium M199 (supplemented with 100 units of penicillin/streptomycin) in each well. Photographs were taken in fresh wounds and at 24, 30 and 48 h. Wound healing was assessed by measuring wound areas using Image J (imagej.nih.gov/ij/). Wound areas were normalized against the original fresh wound area and presented as percentage (%) of wound healing using the formula: ((original wound area − new wound area) ÷ (original wound area)) × 100.

For correlation of wound electric signal and wound healing, individual eyes (n = 4 for db/db and high-fat diet) were wounded and the wound edge electric current measured (for each eye, average of left and right wound edge currents). Then in the same eyes, wound healing was assessed. Data from each eye was plotted as normalized wound current vs wound healing (see Fig. 4c) and the Pearson correlation coefficient calculated (see section Statistics below). Each set of data (HFD and db/db) were normalized so that their maximum wound current was 1 μA/cm², so they could be plotted on the same chart.

Cells. Human telomerase-immortalized CECs were a gift from the Christopher J. Murphy/Paul Russel laboratory, Departments of Ophthalmology and Surgical and Radiological Sciences, UC Davis. Cells were cultured in EpiLife medium (Life Technologies, USA) containing 6 mM D-glucose as normal control supplemented with EpiLife defined growth supplement (EDGS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Life Technologies, USA). For high glucose experiments the medium was supplemented with additional 6 mM D-glucose (high glucose) or 6 mM D-mannitol (normal glucose balanced for osmolality) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for seven days.

Electrotaxis. Methods to study the migration of cells in applied EFs have been described in detail previously. Briefly, a 22 × 10 mm electrotaxis chamber was coated with fibronectin-collagen mix (Athena Environmental Sciences, Inc., USA) for 5 min. Cells were seeded into the chamber for 30 min before the electrotaxis study began. An EF of 100 mV/mm was applied for 1 h via silver/silver chloride electrodes and agar bridges to prevent artefacts. Cell migration was recorded by time lapse phase contrast on an inverted microscope using Metamorph software. Cell directedness and speed were analyzed by Image J. Cosine θ, defining cell directedness, was calculated using the formula: cos θ = (vₑ - vₑ başka) / (vₑ + vₑ başka). Then in the same eyes, wound healing was assessed. Data from each eye was plotted as normalized wound current vs wound healing (see Fig. 4c) and the Pearson correlation coefficient calculated (see section Statistics below). Each set of data (HFD and db/db) were normalized so that their maximum wound current was 1 μA/cm², so they could be plotted on the same chart.

Immunofluorescence. Control and db/db mouse corneas with wounds were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 2 h and then immersed in 10% and 30% sucrose solution, successively, for dehydration. Cryosections (8 μm thick) were fixed in cold acetone and permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X-100. After blocking with buffer containing 5%
donkey serum (Sigma, USA) with 1% BSA in 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS for 1 h, sections were incubated overnight with primary antibodies against chloride channel 2 (CLC2) (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) or cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) at 4 °C. Sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 594 conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) secondary antibody (1:200; Life Technologies, USA). Negative controls included no primary antibody or isotype-specific control antibodies along with secondary antibodies. Nuclei and cytoskeleton were labeled with DAPI (Life Technologies, USA) and fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugated phalloidin (Sigma, USA), respectively. Images were obtained using an Olympus FV1000 confocal microscope with a 40x oil objective from comparative experiments.

**Statistics.** Data analyses, graphs, and statistical calculations were made using Excel (Microsoft) and SPSS V16.0 (SPSS Inc.). Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) or mean ± standard deviation (S.D.). Differences between mean values were compared using Student t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant if p-value < 0.05. The correlation study was performed by Pearson correlation test. A Pearson correlation coefficient (R) close to one shows a good correlation. The p value gives the significance of the correlation, p < 0.05 showing a significant correlation.
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