Surviving marginalized reefs: assessing the implications of the microbiome on coral physiology and survivorship
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Abstract Coral reefs are undergoing degradation due to overexploitation, pollution, and climate change. Management and restoration efforts require that we gain a better understanding of the complex interactions between corals, their microbiomes, and their environment. For this purpose, Varadero Reef near Cartagena, Colombia, serves as an informative study system located at the entrance of the Bay of Cartagena adjacent to the Canal del Dique, which carries turbid and polluted water into the bay. Varadero’s survival under poor environmental conditions makes it a great study site for investigating the relationship between the microbiome and coral resistance to environmental stressors. To determine whether the microbiomes of Varadero corals differ from those in less impacted sites, we conducted a reciprocal transplant experiment by relocating coral fragments from Varadero as well as a geographically proximate reef that is less affected by plume dynamics (Rosario) across a gradient of turbidity (low, medium, and high). After 6 months of acclimatization, transplanted corals developed site-specific microbiomes that differed significantly from pre-transplant microbiomes, and corals transplanted to the highly impacted site from both Varadero and Rosario site saw higher mortality and an increase in overall microbial diversity. In combination with physiology and survivorship outcomes pointing to a limit in the corals’ photoacclimative capacity, our results indicate that, rather than surviving, Varadero Reef is experiencing a slow decline, and its corals are likely on the brink of dysbiosis.

With continued anthropogenic interference in marine environments, sites such as Varadero will become increasingly common, and it is imperative that we understand how corals and their microbial symbionts are changing in response to these new environmental conditions.
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Introduction

Coral reefs worldwide are currently undergoing severe degradation, and anthropogenic disturbances have been the primary cause (Hoegh-Guldberg 2011; Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007). This is particularly true in the Caribbean, where a combination of overfishing, coastal pollution, and climate change have led to a decrease in coral cover of over 50% (Gardner et al. 2003; Hughes et al. 2003; Jackson et al. 2014; Mumby et al. 2007; Pandolfi et al. 2003). The
degraded water quality conditions that result from human-derived disturbances can have severe effects on coral health, often increasing coral susceptibility to bleaching and disease (Kaczmarsky et al. 2005; Sutherland et al. 2010; Wagner et al. 2010; Wenger et al. 2016; Wooldridge 2009). While it is believed that most corals are unable to survive these changes, examples of reef “oases” that manage to escape severe declines in coral cover in otherwise degraded areas have begun to emerge across the globe (Guest et al. 2018). These include reefs surviving in sites with high sediment loads, believed to be unsuitable for coral growth due to lower light availability as well as increased fluctuations in temperature (Anthony and Larcombe 2000; Morgan et al. 2017; Van Woesik et al. 2012). Turbid, estuary-like reef environments have been created in other parts of the world (Browne et al. 2013; Fisher et al. 2019; Ziegler et al. 2019) due to human interference by way of shoreline pollution and development, such as dredging, that increase suspended sediment loads and subsequently reduce light availability, smothering corals in the process as well as reducing reproductive success and larval settlement (Anthony and Larcombe 2000; Bessell-Browne et al. 2017; Erftemeijer et al. 2012a, b; Shlesinger and Loya 2019). Reefs such as these have invited scrutiny by scientists aiming to better understand how and why some corals are able to survive under conditions so far removed from their natural habitats, and how these conditions affect susceptibility to disease and thermal stress.

Research on reef stress resistance requires a multifaceted approach that examines the coral holobiont as a whole (i.e., host, algal, viral, and microbial symbionts) and how each of its members responds to their environmental stressors (Bourne et al. 2016; Haas et al. 2016; Peixoto et al. 2017). We now know that the development and improvement of management and restoration efforts require that we gain a better understanding of the complex interactions between corals, their microbiomes, and their environment (Ainsworth et al. 2010; van Oppen and Blackall 2019; Peixoto et al. 2017; Sweet and Brown 2016).

A recent discovery by way of uniquely located reefs has been Varadero Reef, located at the entrance of Cartagena Bay, Colombian Caribbean. Varadero Reef extends over 1 km² and is commonly thought to be a relic of what was once a dominant reef system in Cartagena Bay and nearby areas (López-Victoria et al. 2015; Pizarro et al. 2017). Currently, the reef maintains high coral cover and species diversity despite the high water column turbidity caused by freshwater discharges from the Magdalena River via the man-made distributary channel Canal del Dique (Pizarro et al. 2017; Restrepo et al. 2016, 2018). The major channeling works that occurred along the canal between 1951 and 1984 gradually transformed Cartagena Bay into a stratified estuarine system characterized by a surface layer of water with low salinity and high turbidity (Pizarro et al. 2017; Restrepo et al. 2017). The plume of salinity and turbidity extends out from the mouth of the channel and over Varadero Reef, exposing it to these conditions. Due to its sustained survival under poor environmental conditions for over a century (Vega et al. 2013), Varadero Reef has emerged as a promising study site for investigating the relationship between the coral microbiome and corals’ resistance to environmental stress, as well as microbial shifts in response to changes in water quality. To better understand the potential role of microbial members on the condition and survivorship of coral holobionts, we compared the microbial communities of corals from different sites with contrasting exposure to the perturbations caused by the Canal del Dique freshwater discharges and examined how these communities changed when corals were transplanted to new environments. We found that transplanted corals developed site-specific microbiomes that differed significantly from pre-transplant microbiomes, and corals transplanted to the highly impacted site (located at the mouth of Cartagena Bay) from both Varadero and Rosario sites saw higher mortality and an increase in overall microbial diversity.

Methods

Sample collection and experimental design

We conducted a reciprocal transplant experiment of corals between sites along a gradient of varying exposure to perturbations caused by the freshwater discharges from the Magdalena River via the Dique distributary channel. The Varadero site is located southwest of Cartagena Bay, nearly 6 km to the west of the Dique outlet (10° 18’ 23.3” N, 75° 35’ 08.0” W) (Fig. 1). The contrasting site used for this study, here referred to as Rosario Reef, has been less exposed to the canal-caused turbidity as it is located 21 km southwest of Varadero within the marine park, Parque Nacional Natural Corales de Rosario y San Bernardo (10° 11’ 12.1” N, 75° 44’ 43.0” W) and extends over 150 km² (Díaz et al. 2000) (Fig. 1). A third site, here called Cartagena Bay, was used as a reference point for a more extreme version of the environmental perturbation that Varadero corals are experiencing (10° 18’ 04.5° N, 75° 34’ 38.5° W). This site is located at the inner side of the mouth of the Bay and contains no corals likely due to the increased turbidity and low-light conditions (though scattered and severely eroded coral exoskeletons are present). Preliminary analyses of the diffuse attenuation coefficient for downwelling irradiance (Kd) were obtained by measuring light intensities at different depths using the cosine-corrected PAR
sensor of a Diving PAM (Walz, Germany). The $K_d$'s corroborated the contrasting optical properties of water column at each site (Table 1) and indicated that the diurnal light exposure at the chosen depths on each site (3, 3.5 and 12 m, respectively) was expected to be similar due to the optical properties of the water column resulting from the Dique plume influence. Only Rosario and Varadero served as donor sites.

![Study area showing the three transplant sites with contrasting exposure to the canal-caused turbidity. Cartagena Bay was the closest site to the mouth of Canal del Dique exposed to the highest turbidity, followed by Varadero, and lastly Rosario. The diurnal light exposure at the chosen depths on each site (3, 3.5 and 12 m, respectively) was expected to be similar due to the optical properties of the water column resulting from the Dique plume influence. Only Rosario and Varadero served as donor sites.]

**Table 1** Environmental parameters measured at each site, ± 1 SEM

| Parameter               | Units          | Varadero 3.5 m | C. Bay 3 m | Rosario 12 m |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| $K_d$                   | m$^{-2}$       | 0.35 ± 0.08    | 0.48 ± 0.05 | 0.16 ± 0.02  |
| Daily integrated irradiance | mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ | 1.79 ± 1.04 | 1.54 ± 1.09 | 2.90 ± 0.82 |
| Temperature             | °C             | 28.76 ± 1.06   | 29.04 ± 0.69 | 28.62 ± 0.79 |
and ~ 12 m in Varadero and Rosario, respectively. Fragments were fixed with non-toxic epoxy (Z-Spar A-788 epoxy) to PVC panels placed at the same depth as donor colonies from each site. After acclimation to the local environment (2 weeks), corals were transplanted from their naturally turbid (Varadero) and clear (Rosario) environment in equal proportions (n = 15) to each of the three contrasting sites. Before and 7 months after transplantation (May of 2017), a series of physiological and genetic analyses were performed on corals.

Fragment sample collection was performed using hammers and chisels to remove small fragments from targeted corals. Divers wore gloves at all times to prevent contamination. Fragments were placed in Whirlpack bags and immediately flash frozen once brought to the surface. Tissue was separated from the coral skeleton using pressurized air, and the resulting tissue slurry was stored at −80 °C until DNA extraction. Water microbiome sample collection was performed by passing 1 L of water through a 0.2 micron Sterivex™ filter. Filters were wrapped in sterile aluminum foil and flash frozen, then stored at −80 °C until DNA extraction.

DNA extraction and 16S sequencing

Fifty μL of DNA was extracted from all pre-transplant, mother colony, and surviving post-transplant samples using the MoBio Powersoil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, California). We performed two-stage amplicon PCR on the V4 region of the 16S small subunit prokaryotic rRNA marker gene, a region that is commonly used to target bacterial and archaeal communities. We used modified versions of the 515F (5'-ACA CTG ACA TCG TTC TAC AGT GCC AGC MGC CGC GGT AA-3') and 806R (5'-TAC GG CAC AGA GAC TGG GTG TCT TGG ACT ACH VGG GTG TCT AAT-3') primers that include common sequence 1 (CS1) and common sequence 2 (CS2) linkers at the 5' end, the universal primer sequences that are required for Illumina MiSeq amplicon tagging and indexing (Apprill et al. 2015; Caporaso et al. 2012). PCR reactions were prepared with 9 μL 5Prime HotMaster Mix (VWR International), 1 μL forward primer (10 μM), 1 μL reverse primer (10 μM), 1 μL of template DNA, and 8 μL of PCR-grade water. The PCR amplification was structured as follows: 3 min of denaturation at 94 °C; 30 cycles of 45 s at 94 °C, 60 s at 50 °C, and 90 s at 72 °C; then finally 10 min at 72 °C. Once the PCR was finished, samples were held at 4 °C before sequencing.

Amplicons were barcoded with Fluidigm Illumina primers and pooled for sequencing. The amplicon pool was then purified with AMPure XP beads and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform at the DNA Services Facility at the University of Illinois at Chicago. Initial processing of 16S sequences was performed using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology package (QIIME; v1.9) (Caporaso et al. 2010). Paired end reads were joined and then demultiplexed. Chimeric sequences were identified and removed using USEARCH 6.1 as well as the QIIME default reference file. OTUs were picked at 97% similarity using USEARCH 6.1 and the pick_open_reference_otus.py command. The maximum amount of accepts and rejects were set to 16 and 64, respectively, and sized-based preference in clustering was enabled. Taxonomy was assigned using UCLUST. The resulting BIOM file was imported into R (v. 3.6.0) for downstream analysis and figure generation using the phylloseq, vegan, microbiome, DESeq2, and ggplot2 packages (Anders and Huber 2010; Ginestet 2011; Lahti et al. 2017; McMurdie and Holmes 2013; Oksanen et al. 2007).

16S analysis

Bray–Curtis, weighted UniFrac, and unweighted UniFrac dissimilarity matrices were constructed using OTU-level abundances. Significant differences in bacterial communities between sample types were assessed by PERMANOVA with Bray–Curtis and UniFrac distances and explanatory variables including transplantation status, site of origin, season, and depth using the adonis function from the vegan package. Models that encompassed both species were tested, as well as species-specific models. PCoA ordination was conducted to visualize the gradients of variation in the microbial communities across different environmental and physical variables. Alpha diversity values (Chao1) were determined for surviving post-transplant fragments to determine differences in richness between sites. A LefSe analysis was also conducted to identify environmentally explicit taxa (Segata et al. 2011).

Coral photo-physiology

Photosynthetic parameters of corals were obtained from PE (photosynthesis vs. irradiance) curves conducted under laboratory conditions. A custom-made water-jacket acrylic chamber with four independent hermetic compartments (~ 650 mL each) was used to run the PE curves, maintaining a constant temperature of 28 °C, close to natural conditions, with an external circulating water bath (Isotemp, Fisher Scientific). During each incubation, corals were submerged in filtered seawater (0.45 μm) under constant agitation by magnetic stirrers. Ten levels of irradiance between 0 and ~ 1400 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹ were supplied at 10-min intervals with four 26 W LED bulbs (UL PAR38, LED Wholesalers Inc, USA). The light intensity was controlled with a custom-made software. The
LEDs were operated in continuous mode with a multifunction I/O card (USB-6001, National Instruments Corp., USA) to avoid potential artefacts related to the effect of different pulsating frequencies on photosynthesis (Iluz et al. 2012). Oxygen concentrations inside the compartments within the chamber were measured with a 4-channel fiber optical oxygen meter system (FireSting, Pyroscience, Germany). The photosynthetic efficiency (\(\alpha\)), compensating irradiance (\(E_c\)), saturating irradiance (\(E_k\)), respiration rates (\(R_d\)), and maximum photosynthetic rates (\(P_{max}\)), were calculated following Iglesias-Prieto and Trench (1994) and Ozinga et al. (2012).

Areal chlorophyll \(a\) (Chl \(a\)) content per unit of coral surface area was determined after obtaining coral tissue slurries with the help of an air gun connected to a scuba tank. Pigment extraction was performed in acetone/dimethyl sulfoxide (95:5 vol/vol) after homogenizing the slurries with a Tissue-Tearor Homogenizer (BioSpec Inc, USA). Chl \(a\) density was estimated spectrophotometrically with a modular spectrometer (Flame-T-UV–VIS, Ocean Optics Inc., USA) following the equations of Jeffrey and Humphrey (1975).

**Results**

**Transplant survivorship**

Fragment survivorship was determined in May of 2017, 6 months from the original transplant date in October of 2016 (Supplementary Fig. 1). All fragments that were transplanted from Rosario to Varadero (\(n = 15\)) and from Varadero to Varadero (self-transplanted controls, \(n = 20\)) survived. Survivorship varied both in Rosario and in Cartagena. In Rosario, Varadero fragments suffered 40% mortality (\(n = 15\) transplanted) and self-transplanted Rosario controls suffered 20% mortality (\(n = 15\)) (Supplementary Fig. 1). In Cartagena however, fragments that originated from Varadero (\(n = 15\)) had higher survivorship than fragments from Rosario (\(n = 15\)), with only 27% mortality vs. 53%, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 1). No significant differences in mortality were found between fragments based on origin site or transplant site (\(p = 0.148\); Kruskal–Wallis rank-sum test).

**Pre-transplant and post-transplant microbial communities**

Post-processing and after removing samples with less than 1000 reads as well as removing singletons, the complete data set included 5,373,432 reads corresponding to 39,399 OTUs and 183 samples, including 43 Rosario pre-transplant fragments, 45 Varadero pre-transplant fragments, 14 and 13 Rosario and Varadero mother colonies, respectively, and 68 post-transplant fragments. Only surviving post-transplant fragments were sampled for 16S sequencing. The average number of OTUs per sample was 20,206; however, both fragments that were transplanted to Varadero and Cartagena Bay had higher average OTU numbers (11,043 and 11,230, respectively) than fragments transplanted to Rosario (7737). Furthermore, average OTU counts for pre-transplant fragments and mother colony samples were higher than those of post-transplant samples (ranging from 21,635 to 30,630). Seven water samples (\(n = 3\) Rosario, \(n = 3\) Varadero, and \(n = 1\) Cartagena Bay) were collected during the second time point and were included in the supplementary material for comparison (Supplementary Fig. 2) but not in the main analysis given the low sample size. Overall, water microbiomes across all sites were distinct from all coral tissue samples, regardless of site and time point (Supplementary Fig. 2). Microbial communities across all samples (pre-transplant, post-transplant, and mother colonies) were found to be significantly structured by transplantation status, site of origin, status (hereby referring to the combination of origin site and transplantation site, i.e., specific transplantation treatment), and depth (\(p = 0.001\), \(p = 0.001\), \(p = 0.001\), and \(p = 0.049\), respectively; PERMANOVA on Bray–Curtis; Supplementary Table 1). Varadero mother colonies and Rosario mother colonies differed significantly in their microbial communities, as did Varadero pre-transplant fragments and Rosario pre-transplant fragments (\(p = 0.012\) and \(p = 0.003\), respectively; pairwise PERMANOVA on Bray–Curtis). A PCoA revealed strong clustering patterns by time point, with post-transplantation samples clustering significantly tighter than pre-transplant and mother colony samples (Fig. 2).

While the clustering in Fig. 2a suggests little to no significant differences between all post-transplantation samples, post hoc analyses indicated that all post-transplantation sample types were significantly different from each other, with the exception of Varadero to Varadero fragments vs. Rosario to Varadero fragments as well as Varadero to Cartagena Bay fragments vs. Rosario to Cartagena Bay fragments (\(p = 0.1828\) and \(p = 0.1338\), respectively; pairwise PERMANOVA on Bray–Curtis; Supplementary Table 2). Therefore, while the PCoA plot suggests a convergence toward similar microbiomes regardless of origin and final transplant site, the statistical analyses point toward the existence of fine-scale yet significant differences between groups mainly influenced by transplant location rather than origin of fragment (except in the case of fragments moved to Rosario). This is reflected in Fig. 2b, as post-transplant fragments cluster based on transplant location (Fig. 2).
Family level abundances corroborate clustering patterns, as sample microbiomes have similarly abundant members depending on the site to which they were transplanted, regardless of origin site (Fig. 2c). Rosario fragments were found to have higher abundances of OTUs belonging to the Alteromonadaceae family compared to Varadero and Cartagena Bay fragments. In turn, Varadero and Cartagena Bay fragments had higher abundances of OTUs belonging to the Saprospiraceae family and the class Phycisphaerae (order CM11a). Cartagena Bay samples in particular had a higher abundance of Verrucomicrobiaceae and Phycisphaerae than both Varadero and Rosario samples. Clustering patterns of between post-transplant fragments and pre-transplant fragments were also reflected in OTU abundances, as pre-transplant fragments from both Rosario and Varadero contained more OTUs from the families Alphaproteobacteria and Pirellulaceae (Supplementary Fig. 3). Pre-transplant fragments also had a greater number of OTUs belonging to the Planctomycetes phylum (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Fig. 2 Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of Bray–Curtis matrices and family level bar plots of the microbial communities associated with samples from different transplant time points and treatments. a Clustering patterns across all sample groups, pre- and post-transplantation; b only post-transplant fragments, clustering by transplantation site regardless of origin; c family level bar plot of the top 12 most abundant OTUs in post-transplant fragments, calculated by site to which fragments were transplanted. “Low abundance” category contains all OTUs not in the top 12

Differentially abundant taxa across post-transplant sites

To identify environmentally explicit taxa across transplant sites, we compared the microbiomes of all post-transplant
fragments across sites using LEfSe (Fig. 3). LDA scores indicate that Cartagena Bay samples are enriched for Actinobacteria, taxa in the order Vibrionales, and Pseudomonadales. In turn, Varadero fragments were characterized by an enrichment of Proteobacteria and Rhizobiales, and Rosario fragments were enriched for groups including Alteromonadales and Idiomarina. The most differentially abundant taxon across all sample groups was Proteobacteria in Varadero fragments (LDA score (log 10) > 5). Overall, Cartagena Bay fragments were significantly enriched for 56 OTUs (compared to 7 in Varadero and 20 in Rosario), indicating greater bacterial diversity in these fragments. Alpha diversity analyses using Chao1 values confirmed these results, with Cartagena Bay

Fig. 3 LEfSe analysis along with LDA scores of coral microbiota (relative abundance) in post-transplant fragments from Cartagena Bay, Rosario, and Varadero, respectively (p < 0.001, Kruskal–Wallis test). OTUs belonging to the same taxonomical group and with the same LDA score have been consolidated under one bar, with numbers added to the right of the bar indicating the number of OTUs being represented by that group. Candidate bacteria with uninformative taxonomy information (i.e., class A712011) were consolidated under a higher-level taxonomy for legibility and ease of identification.
samples having significantly higher OTU richness than Varadero or Rosario fragments (Supplementary Fig. 4, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, \( p < 0.0001 \)). No significant difference in richness was found between Varadero fragments and Rosario fragments.

**Coral photo-physiology**

Most physiological parameters did not show a significant difference between the two source sites (Rosario at 12 m and Varadero at 3.5 m) before the transplant experiment, indicating the occurrence of similar phenotypes as can be expected due to the similar diurnal light exposure inferred from the \( K_d \) analysis prior to the sites’ selection. Only the specific absorption coefficient of Chl \( a \) (\( \alpha_{Chl,a} \)) was significantly lower in corals from Varadero (0.020 ± 0.002 m\(^2\) mg Chl \( a^{-1} \)) relative to Rosario (0.041 ± 0.016 m\(^2\) mg Chl \( a^{-1} \)) (\( t_{(7)} = 3.83, p = 0.006 \)). Chlorophyll \( a \) content per surface area was higher in corals from Varadero (109.33 ± 26.96 mg Chl \( a \) m\(^{-2}\)) compared to Rosario (91.36 ± 44.15 mg Chl \( a \) m\(^{-2}\)) but not significantly (supplementary material).

Seven months after transplantation, no significant differences were found in any physiological parameters between corals from Rosario and Varadero at each transplant site, suggesting similar photoacclimation capacity to local conditions (supplementary material). Significant differences in photosynthetic parameters, particularly in \( E_c \) (compensation point) and \( R_d \) (dark respiration rate) (Fig. 4), suggest the occurrence of photoacclimative responses of corals to lower irradiances in Cartagena Bay among the three sites. The occurrence of these photoacclimation responses is consistent with the lowest values of daily integrated irradiance recorded at this site (Table 1). The chlorophyll \( a \) content per surface area and the specific absorption coefficient of Chl \( a \) (\( \alpha_{Chl,a} \)), which covaries as a function of changes in chlorophyll \( a \) concentration, were similar between corals from Varadero and Cartagena Bay, but significantly different to corals from Rosario (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

The steep decline of coral reefs today is due in no little part to degraded water quality conditions caused by human activities (De’ath and Fabricius 2010; Kline et al. 2006; Vega Thurber et al. 2014; Wenger et al. 2016). As a result, it is becoming increasingly important to study the coral holobiont’s response to impacted conditions. The coral microbiome in particular has been shown to be highly sensitive to environmental stressors as well as to play a key role in coral health and survivorship (van Oppen and Blackall 2019; Zaneveld et al. 2016; Ziegler et al. 2017, 2019). Studies have shown that coral hosts, and subsequently their microbiomes, can have differential responses to environmental stressors depending on a number of different factors, including host species and location (Klaus et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2015; Röthig et al. 2016; Zaneveld et al. 2017). To help untangle the relationship between the microbiome and coral host survivorship and physiological responses to anthropogenically derived stressors, we conducted a reciprocal transplantation experiment with \( O. faveolata \) corals between three sites representing a gradient of turbidity impact off the coast of Cartagena, Colombia. Coral fragments from the low-impact site Rosario and the medium-impact site Varadero were assessed for both their physiological and microbial community level responses to different levels of turbidity.

Varadero and Rosario fragments, alongside mother colonies, differed significantly in their microbial communities before transplant. After 6 months of transplantation, we saw a convergence of fragment microbial communities toward microbiome states/“footprints” unique to each transplant site. Here, we use the term footprint to refer to microbial assemblages that are unique to each site and characteristic of fragments transplanted to those sites. Moreover, fragments moved to Rosario harbored significantly different microbial communities based on origin site, though these communities still differed from those of fragments moved to Cartagena Bay and Varadero. Studies
that have conducted similar transplant experiments across gradients of stress (such as turbidity and temperature) have observed similar site-specific shifts in certain coral species, including *Acropora hyacinthus* and *Acropora hemprichii* in the Indo-Pacific (Ziegler et al. 2017, 2019). While other reciprocal transplant studies have observed sample microbiomes returning to normal pre-transplant states when moved back to their native site (Ziegler et al. 2017, 2019), our self-transplanted controls did not return to pre-transplant microbiome states and did not resemble either the pre-transplant fragment microbiomes or the mother colony microbiomes. This could be due to a dramatic change in size, from colony-sized microbial community structures and assemblages to fragment-size dynamics, as a study by Pollock et al. (2018) has shown that microbial community composition can be affected significantly by colony size (Pollock et al. 2018). Similar results were also found by Williams et al. though their study also included age as a determining factor for the coral microbiome (Williams et al. 2015). Our study did not take mother colony size into account when selecting colonies for the transplant, which may account for the comparatively higher variability in the mother colony sample group. However, the influence of age on microbiome composition would seem to be trumped by the influence of colony size given our results, as all post-transplant samples showed low variability regardless of the original age/size of their mother colony. Studies have also shown that *Orcibella* spp. can be particularly sensitive to stress events, with immune system suppression having been shown to last up to a year after a bleaching event (Pinzón et al. 2015). While some species of coral have been shown to recover their bacterial communities in a matter of days following a disturbance, as found by Sweet et al. after treating *Acropora muricata* fragments with antibiotics, research shows that in the face of environmental disturbances microbiomes will be more plastic or less likely to recover depending on the species of their host as well as on the type of disturbance itself (Sweet et al. 2012). It is therefore possible that the act of transplantation itself may have had long-term effects on the coral fragments’ microbiomes, from which the fragments had yet to recover after 6 months.

Dramatic shifts toward different microbial states across sites indicate high microbiome flexibility and sensitivity to changes in turbidity levels in *O. faveolata* corals of this region. Interestingly, *Orcibella* corals have also been known to be promiscuous with their algal partners (Sym-biodiniaceae), often harboring multiple genera at a time (DeSalvo et al. 2010; Kemp et al. 2014; Lajeunesse 2002; Lajeunesse and Trench 2000; Lewis et al. 2019) as well as exhibiting high immune plasticity and long-term stress effects (Pinzón et al. 2015). The observed shifts in the fragments’ microbial communities may either be the result of adaptive responses to their environment, or be directly caused by environmental factors such as poor water quality/excess opportunistic bacteria in the more turbid environments. Our data provide evidence toward the latter for a number of reasons.

First, there is the significantly increased richness/diversity in Cartagena Bay samples, as well as the greater number of differentially abundant taxa in those samples. Increases in microbial community diversity are common in stressed corals (Jessen et al. 2013; Morrow et al. 2012; Zaneveld et al. 2016), and could potentially be due to the coral host’s inability to regulate its microbiome when stressed, a theory outlined by Zaneveld et al. (2017) in their application of the Anna Karenina principle to animal microbiomes (Zaneveld et al. 2017). Further, physiology results indicate that while corals in our study exhibited photoacclimative responses to the lower light conditions of Cartagena Bay, the higher mortality of transplant fragments at the Cartagena site in conjunction with increasing mortality of coral colonies at depths lower than 10 m at the Varadero site point to a limit to the corals’ photoacclimatisation capacity. The Cartagena Bay site is also more directly exposed to the turbid plume as well as high sedimentation, increasing the number of stressors and terrestrial bacterial sources acting on the fragments together with a potential energetic imbalance derived from acute light-limiting conditions. For example although Actinobacteria and Actinomycetaceae can be aquatic, these groups are representative of bacteria that are important in soil systems and have been found in increased abundance in Caribbean Acroporid corals due to nutrient runoff (Shaver et al. 2017). A particular species of soil bacterium known to be pathogenic to plants, *Pseudomonas viridiflava*, was also found to be significantly associated with Cartagena Bay samples, further evidence the terrestrial sources affecting this particular site (Sarris et al. 2012). An investigation of potential aquatic and coral-specific pathogens led us to the phylum SBR1093, commonly found in contaminated water and soil, which was also found to be significantly associated with Cartagena Bay samples (Wang et al. 2014). Also in Cartagena Bay samples were OTUs belonging to Pseudomonadales, Vibrionales, and Helicobacteraceae, which have been found in association with coral diseases including White Plague, Black Band, and White Syndrome (Ben-Haim et al. 2003; Kushmaro et al. 2001; Sunagawa et al. 2009; Sweet and Bythell 2015). The bacteria have also been found in unprotected reef sites with high human activity (Bruce et al. 2012). The microbial composition of the Cartagena Bay samples appears to be representative of their environment and suggestive of their overall health, explaining the higher mortality at this site.

In contrast to Cartagena Bay, the Varadero site exhibits an attenuation effect due to the more indirect exposure,
leading to increased light availability, less sediment-induced stress, and occasional shading by the plume. Studies have shown that increased turbidity can occasionally act in ways that are beneficial to corals by protecting the affected reefs from increased temperatures as well as providing the opportunity for heterotrophy, both of which may explain the distinct lack of fragment mortality at Varadero (Morgan et al. 2017; Van Woesik et al. 2012). Microbes associated with Varadero corals included Bradyrhizobiaceae, a group of bacteria known to be involved in nitrogen cycling in terrestrial environments (Damjanovic et al. 2020), as well as Actinobacteria, which may engage in antimicrobial activity (Mahmoud and Kalendar 2016). Alphaproteobacteria are known to be widely associated with coral reefs and healthy coral tissue but may be replaced with other bacterial types when conditions become adversary (Lima et al. 2020). Interestingly, members of the alphaproteobacteria are also known to contain viral-like particles called gene transfer agents (GTAs) (McDaniel et al. 2010). GTAs are thought to randomly transfer genomic fragments widely among different bacterial genera, potentially providing a mechanism for rapid acquisition of beneficial traits on coral reefs (McDaniel et al. 2010).

Further analysis is required to be able to determine how shifts in the microbiome are affecting coral holobiont health and function. This would involve an in-depth categorization of the bacterial taxa found to increase/decrease significantly in response to these different turbidity gradients, as well as a functional analysis of these communities to determine whether they are acting in a way that is harmful (i.e., potentially pathogenic, opportunistic, or in other ways stressing) or beneficial to the coral host. A functional analysis is particularly important given the possibility that certain bacteria/archaea normally living in healthy symbiosis with the coral can carry pathogenic genes that may be activated by changes in their environment (Lesser et al. 2007; Rutherford and Bassler 2012). While it is likely that the shifts in these taxa are affecting holobiont metabolic pathways and cycling, not enough is known about coral-associated bacteria and their role in these processes to be able to determine how shifts in the groups we have identified are affecting coral health.

As climate change continues to devastate marine environments, it is becoming increasingly important to determine whether corals such as those found in Varadero are beginning to adapt to these changes in a way that is positive and can confer resistance and increased survivorship in the face of other stressors. While Varadero Reef’s history of survival under the stress of turbidity suggested a level of resistance, both physiological and microbiome data point to Varadero Reef’s slow albeit steady decline. Given the noted reduction in coral cover starting at a depth of ~10 m and continuing until 12–14 m at which point the low-light conditions no longer permit coral growth, there seems to be a gradual confinement of Varadero corals to shallow areas. As a result, it is likely that Varadero corals are being pushed toward the edge of dysbiosis, and we are now observing them on that precipice. The addition of further stress events, such as the spikes in temperature that have become increasingly common in the past couple of years, could therefore be the catalysts to the disappearance of this reef. With continued anthropogenic interference in marine environments, sites such as Varadero will become increasingly common, and it is imperative that we understand how corals and their microbial symbionts are changing in response to these new environmental conditions.
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