Inosine: A broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory against SARS-CoV-2 infection-induced acute lung injury via suppressing TBK1 phosphorylation
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ABSTRACT

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-induced cytokine storms constitute the primary cause of coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) progression, severity, criticality, and death. Glucocorticoid and anti-cytokine therapies are frequently administered to treat COVID-19, but have limited clinical efficacy in severe and critical cases. Nevertheless, the weaknesses of these treatment modalities have prompted the development of anti-inflammatory therapy against this infection. We found that the broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent inosine downregulated proinflammatory interleukin (IL)-6, upregulated anti-inflammatory IL-10, and ameliorated acute inflammatory lung injury caused by multiple infectious agents. Inosine significantly improved survival in mice infected with SARS-CoV-2. It indirectly impeded TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) phosphorylation by binding stimulator of interferon genes (STING) and glycogen synthase kinase-3β (GSK3β), inhibited the activation and nuclear translocation of the downstream transcription factor interferon regulatory factor (IRF3) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB), and downregulated IL-6 in the sera and lung tissues of mice infected with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), H1N1, or SARS-CoV-2. Thus, inosine administration is feasible for clinical anti-inflammatory therapy against SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Xi'an Jiaotong University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19) pandemic is caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection and has rapidly led to a global health crisis [1]. By the end of 2021, over 250 million people worldwide had been diagnosed with COVID-19. Ten to fifteen percent of these cases progressed to severe or critical status and over five million people died [2,3]. Newly developed antiviral drugs have demonstrated a certain degree of efficacy against mild COVID-19 [4]. Cases that progressed to severe or critical status were characterized by immune cell hyperactivation and the release of cytokine storms, causing life-threatening systemic inflammation characterized by immune cell hyperactivation and the release of severe or critical status and over five million people died [2,3].
proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin-6 (IL-6), interferons (IFNs), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) [10]. Our previous study and several recent articles indicate that a sharp increase in pleiotropic cytokine IL-6 content is associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), sepsis, and even death in patients with COVID-19 [11,12]. IL-6 receptor antagonists have been widely administered in human clinical trials. However, IL-6 signaling blockade provides no broad-based survival benefit in COVID-19 therapy. A possible explanation is that IL-6 is essential for activating innate/adaptive immunity and facilitating efficient pathogen clearance [13,14]. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a transcriptional regulation strategy wherein the SARS-CoV-2-induced rise in IL-6 content is controlled and the anti-inflammatory response initiated by IL-6 is partially conserved.

Viral genes are detected by classical Toll-like receptors (TLRs) as well as other specific pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that initiate the host innate immune response. Viral RNA is detected by retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs) while viral DNA is sensed by cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP) synthase (cGAS) [15]. In turn, RIG-I and cGAS activate particular signaling cascades and induce IL-6 and other inflammatory cytokines via mitochondrial antiviral signaling (MAVS) protein and stimulator of interferon genes (STING), respectively [16,17]. The RNA virus SARS-CoV-2 can evade the MAVS downstream adaptor [18]. By contrast, host self-DNA in the cytoplasm of SARS-CoV-2-infected syncytiotrophoblasts triggers the antiviral innate immune response via the cGAS-STING pathway [15], activates interferon regulatory factor (IRF3) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), induces IFNs, and upregulates proinflammatory cytokines [19]. Glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta (GSK3β) is activated in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells and is required for viral replication [20]. Activated GSK3β phosphorylates NF-κB and provokes systemic inflammation [21]. Hence, multiple signaling pathway-induced NF-κB responses may control IL-6 transcription and release in patients with COVID-19. Recent evidence demonstrates that TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) is a vital factor in the foregoing innate immune signaling pathways and mediating upstream stimuli and downstream NF-κB or IRF3 signaling [22]. For these reasons, suppressing TBK1 activation can modulate the host innate immune response following SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Inosine, a type of purine nucleoside, is a deamination metabolite of adenosine and is also formed by binding hypoxanthine with ribose. Endogenous inosine is a key intermediate in purine biosynthesis and regulates RNA replication and translation [23]. Exogenous inosine supplementation protects against lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced acute lung injury and endotoxin-induced septic shock by suppressing the release of the proinflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-1β, TNF-α, and so on [24,25]. Inosine may also modulate Th1 cell differentiation and/or activation and improve immunotherapy efficacy via the T cell-specific adenosine A2A receptor (A2A2) and STING signaling pathways [26,27]. Inosine was detected in certain Chinese medicinal plants and herbal formulae such as Lumbiricus terrestres and Pinellia ternata (Thunb.) that are administered for the treatment of pneumonia and COVID-19 [28,29]. Hence, inosine might control SARS-CoV-2-induced cytokine storms.

Here, we found that inosine functioned as a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent. It attenuated acute lung inflammatory injury triggered by various stimuli and markedly improved survival in mice infected with SARS-CoV-2. Inosine demonstrated strong efficacy against cytokine storms as it inhibited innate immune signaling-mediated TBK1 phosphorylation by multiple routes. The findings of this work showed that inosine could serve as an adjuvant for the treatment of severe or critical COVID-19 and suggested that TBK1 is a potential therapeutic target.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell lines and viruses

All cell lines used in the present study were purchased from the National Infrastructure of Cell Line Resource (Beijing, China). Mouse leukemia monocyte/macrophages (RAW264.7) and human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were maintained in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO2) in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) containing 10% (V/V) fetal bovine serum (FBS; Tianhang Biotechnology, Hangzhou, China) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin) (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO, USA). Influenza A (H1N1) virus Beijing/501/2009 strain (BJ501) was isolated from a patient in Beijing confirmed to be infected in 2009. The genome sequence of this strain is deposited in the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/) under accession No. GQ223415. The mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 C57MA14 strain was isolated from a COVID-19 patient in Wuhan, China and obtained by continuous passage in C57BL/6N mice. The genome sequence of this strain is deposited in the GenBank database under accession No. OLS131041. All experiments involving live H1N1 virus and SARS-CoV-2 were performed in a biosafety level three (BSL-3) laboratory at Changchun Veterinary Research Institute of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences and in strict accordance with biosafety standard operating procedures.

2.2. Reagents

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS; purity ≥98%) and dexamethasone sodium phosphate (DXM; purity ≥99%) were obtained from Solarbio Science & Technology (Beijing, China). Poly(I:C) (purity ≥99%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA). High-quality specific agonists or antagonists of STING, TBK1, GSK3β, and A2AR were purchased from Topscience Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Primary anti-A2AR and anti-hemagglutinin (HA) antibodies were obtained from Proteintech Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). Anti-biotin antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA). Anti-phospho-GSK3β (p-GSK3β), anti-GSK3β, anti-phospho-STING (p-STING), anti-STING, anti-phospho-TBK1 (p-TBK1), anti-TBK1, anti-phospho-IRF3 (p-IRF3), anti-IRF3, anti-phospho-NF-κB (p-NF-κB), anti-NF-κB, anti-IL-6, anti-β-actin, anti-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA). Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies were purchased from Servicebio (Wuhan, China).
(SPF) conditions and 12 h light/12 h dark cycles. The SARS-CoV-2 challenge was performed on BALB/c mice. They were intranasally infected with 50 μL of a 10^3 median tissue culture infective dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>) SARS-CoV-2 strain C57MA14. The C57BL/6 N mice were exposed to H1N1 virus or LPS by intranasal inoculation or intratracheal instillation, respectively. Mice exposed to SARS-CoV-2, H1N1 virus, or LPS were randomly assigned either to an inosine treatment group or a vehicle group. The animals in the control group were administered equivalent volumes of sterile saline solution by intranasal inoculation or intratracheal instillation. Mouse survival and body weight changes were monitored daily. All experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of Animal Experiments of Beijing Institute of Radiation Medicine (No. IACUC-DWZX-2020-762).

2.5. Viral RNA extraction and reverse-transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

The mouse tissues were mixed with DMEM and homogenized (homogenizer; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) for 5 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 g and 4 °C for 10 min and the supernatant was conserved. The RNA viruses were extracted with a QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany). The viral RNA was quantified by RT-qPCR with Premix Ex Taq (Takara, Beijing, China) targeting the SARS-CoV-2 N gene. The primer and probe sequences were as follows: NF (5'-GGGAACTTCTCCTGC-TAGAT-3'); NR (5'-CAGACATTTCCTCAGCTG-3'); and NP (5'-FAM-TTGGCTGGCTTTGACAGATT-TAMRA-3').

2.6. SARS-CoV-2 load quantification by TCID<sub>50</sub>

The supernatants of the lung and turbinate bone tissue homogenates were diluted with DMEM and applied to Vero E6 cells cultured on 96-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). The Vero E6 cells were incubated for 1 h and the DMEM was replenished. After 72 h incubation, the TCID<sub>50</sub> was determined based on the cytopathic effect.

2.7. Serum cytokine/chemokine measurements

Mice were euthanized at 1 day or 3 day post-infection/treatment and their sera were collected. Serum cytokines were measured with a ProcartaPlex Mouse Cytokine Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). The assays were conducted in 96-well filter plates and in a flow-based instrument (Luminex<sup>®</sup> 200™; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Serum IL-6 levels were confirmed with a Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Meimian industrial Co., Ltd, Yancheng, China). All data were calculated by deducting the background value.

2.8. Histological analysis and immunofluorescence

Mice were sacrificed at 1 day or 3 day post-infection/treatment and their lungs were harvested and fixed in 10% (V/V) neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, cut into 3.5-μm sections (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), and subjected to hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining. For the lung tissue immunofluorescence assay, the sections were sealed with bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 25 °C for 30 min and incubated at 4 °C overnight with the indicated primary antibodies. The slides were then incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) anti-subunit secondary antibody and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) containing anti-fluorescence quenching agent. The lung sections were then visualized under a whole-slide imaging microscope fitted with Pannoramic Scanner software (Pannoramic DESK, Budapest, Hungary). RAW264.7 cells were fixed with immunostaining fixing solution (Beyotime Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) and blocked with immunostaining blocking buffer (Beyotime Biotechnology). The corresponding primary antibody was incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cells were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with fluorescently-coupled secondary antibodies and DAPI. Fluorescence images were visualized under a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM; Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Primary antibodies were used at the following dilutions: anti-p-GSK3β (1:300), anti-p-STING (1:400), anti-p-TBK1 (1:100), anti-p-IRF3 (1:200), anti-p-NF-κB (1:1000), and anti-IL-6 (1:200), and Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies were used at 1:1000 dilution.

2.9. Western blotting

Proteins were extracted from the cells or lung tissue with cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer and quantified by bicinechonic acid (BCA) assay. The proteins were separated on 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel (PAGE) (Epizyme Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The latter were sealed with 5% skim milk and successively incubated with the indicated primary (1:3000) and secondary (1:3000) antibodies. The bands were incubated with SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Detection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) and visualized with ImageQuant LAS 500 (GE Healthcare BioSciences AB, Chicago, IL, USA).

2.10. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay

The TBK1 cDNA sequence was cloned into a pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid and fused with HA-tag at the C-terminal. HEK293 cells were transfected with 2 μg TBK1-HA expression plasmid using Lipofectamine<sup>™</sup> 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cells were collected and resuspended in lysis buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors. The lysates were mixed with rabbit monoclonal anti-HA at 4 °C overnight to form the immune complex.rewashed Pierce<sup>™</sup> classic magnetic beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.) were added to the lysate samples and the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The beads were harvested on a magnetic rack, washed thrice with cold rinse buffer, and eluted with eluent. The proteins were eluted from the beads by boiling with loading buffers and then subjected to immunoblot analysis.

2.11. Biotin-tagged inosine synthesis

To identify binding between inosine and its targets, the former was labeled with biotin at its hydroxyl moiety and biotinylated inosine (bio-inosine) was synthesized. One equivalent biotin was dissolved in dry dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1.2 eq N,N',N'-tetramethyl-O-(N-succinimidyl)urionium tetrafluoroborate (TSTU) and 0.1 mL of triethylamine were added, and the reaction was conducted at room temperature for 4 h. Then diethyl ether was added to precipitate the biotin succinimidyl ester (SE). Then 1 eq biotin SE was dissolved in 2 mL of N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and dripped into DMF containing 1 eq inosine through a constant-pressure dropping funnel for 4 h. The reaction continued for another 4 h and the solvent was evaporated at low pressure to prepare the liquid phase for purification. Bio-inosine structure and purity were determined by nuclear magnetic resonance (Bruker AVANCE NEO, Karlruhe, Germany) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry-ion trap-time-of-flight (LC/MS-IT/TOF; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). (Fig. S1). The inosine successfully bound the biotin and the target compound was relatively pure.
2.12. Biotin pulldown assay

Streptavidin isolated from *Streptomyces avidinii* was covalently bound to agarose to perform pull-down experiments. Five hundred microliters of bio-inosine or biotin was added to 60 μL of streptavidin-agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and incubated at 4 °C overnight. The cell lysates were then added to the streptavidin-agarose beads containing bio-inosine or biotin. The mixture was placed in a blender, incubated at 4 °C overnight, and washed thrice with PBS. The bead-bound proteins were boiled in 2 × loading buffer and subjected to immunoblot analysis on SDS-PAGE.

2.13. Computational docking and molecular simulation

Docking was performed using the Glide module in Schrödinger 2020-2 software (https://www.schrodinger.com/releases/release-2020-2). The protein structures used in the docking studies included human GSK3β and STING. Their pdb codes were 1Q5K and 6UKZ, respectively. All crystal structures were prepared according to the recommended procedure in the Protein Preparation Wizard (https://www.schrodinger.com/science-articles/protein-preparation-wizard). The ligands and solvent molecules were removed. Polar hydrogen atoms were added for each protein structure and the atomic charges (reference pH 7.0 ± 2.0; OPLS3e force field). The native ligand was removed and docking was conducted in the standard docking mode with the receptor. The default input parameters were no scaling factor for the VdW radii of the nonpolar protein atoms, and 0.8 scaling factor for the nonpolar ligand atoms. Both were used in all computations. Inosine was docked and scored in Glide standard precision (SP) mode and the optimal inosine pose were used in all computations. Inosine was docked and scored in Glide standard precision (SP) mode and the optimal inosine pose were used in all computations. Inosine was docked and scored in Glide standard precision (SP) mode and the optimal inosine pose were used in all computations.

2.14. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The data were means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc test compared multiple treatment means. A log-rank ( Mantel-Cox) test was used to determine mouse survival rates. P < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Inosine alleviated LPS- and H1N1 virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury by regulating cytokine secretion

We used LPS and H1N1 virus to establish acute inflammatory lung injury models in mice and evaluate the anti-inflammatory effect of inosine. H&E staining revealed obvious inflammatory cell infiltration and patchy hemorrhage in the alveolar cavity and the pulmonary interstitium at 24 h post LPS treatment (Fig. 1A). These signs were accompanied by sharp increases in serum IL-6 and interferon (IFN)-γ levels and remarkable changes in body weight and wet-to-dry lung weight ratio (W/D) (Figs. 1B, S2A and S2B). Elevated IL-6 was detected in both the LPS-infected lung tissue and the RAW264.7 cells (Figs. 1C and D). Both DXM and the 300 mg/kg inosine treatment inhibited LPS-induced increases in serum IL-6 and IFN-γ (Fig. 1B), lowered the IL-6 content in LPS-infected mouse lung tissue (Fig. 1C) and mononuclear macrophages (Fig. 1D) and ameliorated LPS-induced lung tissue damage (Fig. 1A). Inosine significantly upregulated serum IL-10 content in the mouse model (Fig. 1B). The 900 mg/kg inosine treatment attenuated H1N1-induced serum IL-6 upregulation and acute lung injury in mice within the first 24 h post-infection (Figs. 1E and F). Inosine had a weaker antagonistic effect than DXM against H1N1-induced histopathology but exerted no adverse effect on mouse body weight (Fig. S2C). The preceding results suggest that inosine has broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory efficacy in COVID-19 therapy by controlling the cytokine storm.

3.2. Inosine improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice by alleviating inflammatory injury rather than through antiviral activity

We performed in vivo assays to determine whether inosine alleviates SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury. The mice were orally administered with single daily inosine doses at 24 h before C57MA14 infection and were sacrificed at 1 day, 3 day or 14 day post infection (dpi) (Fig. 2A). Inosine did not lower SARS-CoV-2 copies and titers in infected mouse lung and nasal turbinate tissues at 1 dpi and at 3 dpi (Figs. 2B and S3A). However, inosine treatment substantially lowered serum IL-6 and IFN-γ and raised serum IL-10 and IL-22 at 1 dpi or 3 dpi (Figs. 2C and S3B). Inosine ameliorated the pathological changes in the lungs and maintained normal pulmonary structure at the early stages of infection (Fig. 2D). After 14 days, all the mice in the SARS-CoV-2 group had died (Fig. 2E). However, daily oral inosine administration impeded weight loss starting at 9 dpi (Fig. 2F) and dramatically improved survival in mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2E). Inosine also prevented SARS-CoV-2 infection from inducing inflammatory lesions in the lungs of the mice still surviving at 14 dpi (Fig. S3C). Inosine also inhibited pulmonary IL-6 and mononuclear macrophage activation induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figs. 2G and H). Hence, inosine might have improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice by regulating cytokine release.

3.3. STING and GSK3β signaling participated in the acute inflammatory response induced by various infectious agents

We then sought to identify the innate immune signaling involved in the acute inflammatory response induced various infectious agents. The in vitro experiments showed that LPS and C57MA14 activated STING and GSK3β signaling. Both infectious agents increased STING and GSK3β phosphorylation at Ser365 and Ser9, respectively (Fig. 3A). By contrast, Poly (I:C) only induced GSK3β phosphorylation and had no apparent influence on the STING pathway (Fig. 3A). LPS, Poly (I:C), and SARS-CoV-2 activated the proinflammatory nuclear factors NF-κB and IRF3 (Fig. 3A). STING inhibitor (H151) or GSK3β inhibitor (TW5191) co-administration noticeably reduced NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation in RAW264.7 cells infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Figs. 3B and C). Lung tissues infected with LPS, BJ501, and C57MA14 presented with enhanced STING and GSK3β signaling (Fig. 3D). H151 inhibited STING activation, NF-κB phosphorylation at Ser536, IRF3 phosphorylation at Ser936, and IL-6 expression in LPS-infected lungs (Fig. 3E). It also lowered serum IL-6 and protected the lungs against inflammatory injury at 1 dpi (Figs. 3F and G). Thus, STING and GSK3β might play crucial roles in the acute inflammatory response triggered by LPS, Poly (I:C), and SARS-CoV-2.
3.4. The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation also attenuated IL-6 upregulation induced by LPS, Poly (I:C), and SARS-CoV-2

TBK1 is essential for activating NF-κB and releasing IL-6 downstream [22]. TBK1 phosphorylation at Ser172 was detected in lung tissue and cells infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Figs. 4A and B). After GSK8612 (TBK1 inhibitor) suppressed TBK1 phosphorylation, IRF3 and NF-κB phosphorylation and IL-6 expression also decreased in RAW264.7 cells infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 4C). In vivo experiments disclosed that GSK8612 suppressed IRF3 and NF-κB phosphorylation and IL-6 expression in RAW264.7 cells treated with LPS and inosine for 24 h (Fig. 4D). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation in RAW264.7 cells infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 4E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4F). Therefore, TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and IL-6 acute inflammatory lung injury in LPS- and H1N1-virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury (Fig. 4B). GSK8612 significantly inhibited LPS- and H1N10-induced TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation promoted by LPS, SARS-CoV-2, or Poly (I:C) infection (Figs. 4D and E and S4D). The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation by inosine drastically reduced the levels and nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF3 and NF-κB (Figs. 4F and S4E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4G). Therefore, TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and IL-6 acute inflammatory lung injury in LPS- and H1N1-virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury (Fig. 4B). GSK8612 significantly inhibited LPS- and H1N10-induced TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation promoted by LPS, SARS-CoV-2, or Poly (I:C) infection (Figs. 4D and E and S4D). The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation by inosine drastically reduced the levels and nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF3 and NF-κB (Figs. 4F and S4E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4G). Therefore, TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and IL-6 acute inflammatory lung injury in LPS- and H1N1-virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury (Fig. 4B). GSK8612 significantly inhibited LPS- and H1N10-induced TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation promoted by LPS, SARS-CoV-2, or Poly (I:C) infection (Figs. 4D and E and S4D). The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation by inosine drastically reduced the levels and nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF3 and NF-κB (Figs. 4F and S4E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4G). Therefore, TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and IL-6 acute inflammatory lung injury in LPS- and H1N1-virus-induced acute inflammatory lung injury (Fig. 4B). GSK8612 significantly inhibited LPS- and H1N10-induced TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation (Fig. 4C). Similarly, inosine suppressed TBK1, IRF3, and NF-κB phosphorylation promoted by LPS, SARS-CoV-2, or Poly (I:C) infection (Figs. 4D and E and S4D). The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation by inosine drastically reduced the levels and nuclear translocation of phosphorylated IRF3 and NF-κB (Figs. 4F and S4E). However, inosine did not hinder binding between TBK1 and IRF3 in HEK293 cells overexpressing the former (Fig. 4G). Therefore, TBK1 phosphorylation is strongly associated with the proinflammatory response and IL-6
Fig. 3. Infection activated stimulator of interferon genes (STING) and glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta (GSK3β) signaling. (A) After 10 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS), C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1), or 50 μg/mL Poly (I:C) infection for 24 h, RAW264.7 cells were collected and lysed with specified antibodies for Western blot (n = 3). (B, C) RAW264.7 cells were subjected to LPS or Poly (I:C) and treated with 10 μM H151 (B) or 10 μM TWS119 (C) for 24 h. NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation levels were measured by immunoblot (n = 3). (D) Mice were challenged with 5 mg/kg LPS, 0.1*LD50 Beijing/501/2009 strain (BJ501), or 0.1*LD50 C57MA14. Lung tissue sections were stained with p-STING (red) and p-GSK3β (green) antibodies. Nuclei were stained with 40,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (blue) (n = 5). (E) Mice were stimulated with LPS and treated with 7 mg/kg H151 for 24 h. Lung tissue sections were subjected to immunofluorescence assay with p-STING (red), IL-6 (green), p-IRF3 (green), and p-NF-κB (red) antibodies. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). (F) Mice were treated as described in (D). Serum IL-6 in serum was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (n = 5). (G) Mice were treated as described in (D). Lung tissue sections were subjected to hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining (n = 5). p-GSK3β: anti-phospho-GSK3β; p-STING: anti-phospho-STING; p-IRF3: anti-phospho-IRF3; p-NF-κB: anti-phospho-NF-κB; DAPDH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. *P < 0.01 vs. control. #P < 0.05 vs. LPS group.

Fig. 2. Inosine ameliorated severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)-induced acute lung injury. BALB/c mice were intranasally infected with 0.1*LD50 C57MA14 and administered with oral inosine for 1 day, 3 days, or 14 days. (A) Schematic of C57MA14 infection and oral inosine administration started at 24 h before infection. Samples were harvested on indicated days after inosine treatment. (B) Viral RNA loads and virus titers in lung tissue were detected by reverse-transcription quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) and median tissue culture infective dose (TCID50), respectively. (C) Cytokines in mouse sera were quantified by mouse cytokine panel (n = 8–12). (D) Pathological damage to lung tissue was examined by hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining (n = 6). (E) Survival and (F) daily weight change in mice infected with C57MA14 and treated with inosine for 14 days (n = 20). (G) IL-6 expression in mouse lung tissue evaluated by Western blotting. (H) C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1) exposure 1 h before 500 μM inosine administration for 24 h. IL-6 expression in RAW264.7 cells was measured by Western blotting (n = 3). dpi: day post infection; Ctrl: control group; C57MA14: SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury and no other treatment; C57MA14 + Inosine: SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury followed by inosine treatment; IL: interleukin; IFN: interferon. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001 vs. control. #P < 0.05, ####P < 0.0001 vs. C57MA14 group.
Fig. 4. Inosine inhibited TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1)-mediated interleukin (IL)-6 production. (A) RAW264.7 cells were infected with 10 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1) and treated with 1 μM H151 for 24 h. Western blotting was used to measure p-TBK1 and IL-6 expression in cell lysates (n = 3). (B) RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly (I:C) and treated with 10 μM of TWS119 for 24 h. Representative Western blot of p-TBK1 and IL-6 in cells (n = 3). (C) RAW264.7 cells were subjected to LPS or C57MA14 and treated with 500 μM of inosine for 24 h. Total proteins were isolated and p-STING and p-GSK3β levels were probed (n = 3). (D) RAW264.7 cells were treated with 5 mg/kg LPS or 0.1×LD50 C57MA14 infection and administered inosine for 24 h. Lung tissue sections were analyzed by immunofluorescent p-STING (red) and p-GSK3β (green) co-staining. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). (E) RAW264.7 cells were infected with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly (I:C), accompanying with regadenoson co-treatment for 24 h, and then subjected to Western blots using indicated antibodies (n = 3). p-TBK1: anti-phospho-TBK1; p-IRF3: anti-phospho-IRF3; p-NF-kB: anti-phospho-NF-kB; WCL: whole cell lysate; IP: immunoprecipitation; HA: hemagglutinin antigen; dpi: day post infection.

Fig. 5. Stimulator of interferon genes (STING) and glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta (GSK3β) promoted TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) phosphorylation and interleukin (IL)-6 expression. (A) RAW264.7 cells were infected with 10 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or C57MA14 (MOI = 0.1) and treated with 1 μM H151 for 24 h. Western blotting was used to measure p-TBK1 and IL-6 expression in cell lysates (n = 3). (B) RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly (I:C) and treated with 10 μM of TWS119 for 24 h. Representative Western blot of p-TBK1 and IL-6 in cells (n = 3). (C) RAW264.7 cells were subjected to LPS or C57MA14 and treated with 500 μM of inosine for 24 h. Total proteins were isolated and p-STING and p-GSK3β levels were probed (n = 3). (D) Mice were subjected to 5 mg/kg LPS or 0.1×LD50 C57MA14 infection and administered inosine for 24 h. Lung tissue sections were analyzed by immunofluorescent p-STING (red) and p-GSK3β (green) co-staining. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) (n = 5). (E) RAW264.7 cells were infected with LPS, C57MA14, or Poly (I:C), accompanying with regadenoson co-treatment for 24 h, and then subjected to Western blots using indicated antibodies (n = 3). p-NF-kB: anti-phospho-NF-kB; p-STING: anti-phospho-STING; p-GSK3β: anti-phospho-GSK3β; DAPI: 40,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Ctrl: control; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
upregulation promoted by the foregoing infectious agents and is a potential inosine target.

3.5. STING and GSK3β promoted TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 expression

To determine whether innate immune signaling is implicated in TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 upregulation, we inhibited STING or GSK3β in mononuclear macrophages infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2. H151 and TWS119 significantly inhibited LPS- and SARS-CoV-2-induced TBK1 phosphorylation as well as IL-6 expression in infected cells (Figs. 5A and B). TWS119 negated Poly (I:C)-induced increases in TBK1 phosphorylation and cytoplasmic IL-6 content (Fig. 5B). In vitro and in vivo experiments revealed that inosine inhibited STING and GSK3β phosphorylation induced by various infectious agents (Figs. 5C and D). A2AR mediated the anti-inflammatory action of inosine by downregulating IL-6. Nevertheless, the A2AR agonist regadenoson could not oppose IL-6 upregulation in infected RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 5E). STING, GSK3β, and TBK1 evoke acute inflammatory responses to LPS, Poly (I:C), or SARS-CoV-2 infection. The preceding results indicate that inosine may target STING and GSK3β. As both of these are upstream TBK1 regulators, inosine indirectly suppresses TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 upregulation induced by multiple stimuli.

Fig. 6. Inosine directly targeted stimulator of interferon genes (STING) and glycogen synthase kinase-3-beta (GSK3β). (A) Synthesis and structure of biotinylated inosine (bio-inosine). (B, C) Bio-inosine or biotin was added to streptavidin-agarose beads and incubated. Lysates prepared from RAW264.7 were added to the streptavidin-agarose beads with bio-inosine or biotin. Precipitates were resolved by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE). Gel was stained with silver (B) and proteins were detected by Western blotting as indicated (C). (D) STING and GSK3β plasmids were transfected into HER293 cells. After 24 h transfection, pulldown was performed using streptavidin-agarose beads and subjected to Western blotting. (E) Schematic diagram of binding between inosine and STING protein. Inosine binds STING in pocket between two chains. Protein is shown in gray cartoon. Ligand is represented by cyan stick. (F) Schematic diagram of binding between inosine and GSK3β protein. Inosine combined with GSK3β kinase binding domain. Protein is shown in gray cartoon. Ligand is represented by cyan stick. (G) Schematic diagram of mechanism by which inosine regulates cytokine release. Biotin, SE: Biotin-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester.
3.6. Inosine inhibited TBK1 phosphorylation by interfering with STING and GSK3β activation

We performed a biotin pulldown assay and molecular docking to clarify the mechanism by which inosine inhibits TBK1 phosphorylation. First, we introduced long-chain biotin via esterification of the hydroxyl group to construct a biotinylated inosine (Fig. 6A). It was confirmed that the latter also had anti-inflammatory efficacy as it suppressed proinflammatory signals (STING or GSK3β) and TBK1-mediated IL-6 expression in LPS- and Poly (I:C)-infected cells (Figs. S5A and B). Next, our pulldown analysis with silver staining disclosed that, relative to biotin, inosine significantly enhanced protein precipitation at 33–35 kDa and 40–55 kDa. However, unlabeled inosine at tenfold the bio-inosine concentration competitively reduced the precipitation of proteins targeted by bio-inosine (Fig. 6B). Western blotting demonstrated that the precipitated protein bands included STING and GSK3β (Fig. 6C). Hence, binding occurred directly between inosine and these proteins. Flag-tagged STING and GSK3β proteins were expressed in the HEK293 cells (Fig. S5C). Cell lysates were also tested in the biotin pulldown assays with and without bio-inosine. The latter precipitated flag-tagged STING and GSK3β. Tenfold excess unlabeled free inosine blocked binding between bio-inosine and STING or GSK3β (Fig. 6D). We performed a docking analysis to clarify the mode of inosine binding to STING or GSK3β (Figs. 6E and F). The docking scores of inosine with human STING and GSK3β were −6.77 kcal/mol and −8.16 kcal/mol, respectively. The foregoing discoveries suggest that inosine directly targets STING and GSK3β, inhibits their phosphorylation, and suppresses the TBK1-mediated proinflammatory response.

4. Discussion

Uncontrolled, hyperactivate inflammatory responses are believed to be the principal triggers of SARS-CoV-2-induced acute lung injury and are closely associated with COVID-19 severity and mortality. The present study identified inosine as a broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory that might effectively alleviate acute inflammatory lung injury induced by multiple infectious agents. Inosine substantially improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice mainly by suppressing the release of IL-6 and other proinflammatory cytokines. Inosine interacted with STING and GSK3β, inhibited their phosphorylation, significantly decreased TBK1 phosphorylation, suppressed the proinflammatory nuclear factors NF-κB and IRF3, and downregulated proinflammatory IL-6 in response to pathogen attack and invasion. This study demonstrated the critical role of TBK1 in acute inflammatory lung injury evoked by various infectious agents and indicated that inosine and other drugs targeting TBK1 are potential therapeutic strategies against COVID-19.

Mega-karyocytes and monocytes synthesize and secrete the proinflammatory cytokines, which are major clinical features of COVID-19. These cells and substances promote disease progression via acute inflammatory lung injury [30,31]. SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents indeed trigger severe pulmonary inflammatory responses including the pathological features of pneumonia and cytokine storms [32,33]. Effective cytokine storm management can improve outcomes and survival in patients with COVID-19. Standard clinical anti-cytokine therapies include glucocorticoid and cytokine antagonist administration. In contrast, inosine suppresses but does not abolish the release of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-6 induced by SARS-CoV-2. Nevertheless, it also upregulates the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. Hence, it can attenuate the cytokine response. For these reasons, inosine significantly improved survival in SARS-CoV-2-infected mice even without directly inhibiting viral replication. Inosine indistinguishably alleviated the lung tissue damage and lowered the serum levels of IL-6. This factor is thought to be a major component of the cytokine storm and is correlated with COVID-19 severity and mortality. In fact, inosine administration might prevent pulmonary injuries resulting from acute inflammation caused by multiple stimuli. Hence, inosine might deploy the same anti-cytokine storm mechanisms including IL-6 inhibition against SARS-CoV-2, other viruses, and bacterial pathogens.

Our study demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 activated the NF-κB transcription factor (TF) that induces cytokine genes in response to viral or bacterial infection. Early in infection, phosphorylated NF-κB and its cascade co-activators induce IL-6 and TNF-α. Phosphorylation of the TF IRF3 was also detected in SARS-CoV-2-infected macrophages and lungs. After IRF3 senses viral RNA and cytoplasmic DNA, it induces type 1 IFN secretion [24,35]. Nevertheless, neither the present nor previous studies detected any significant elevation in the type 1 IFN response in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. S2B). By contrast, SARS-CoV-2 infection remarkably upregulated serum IFN-γ at 3 dpi. Therefore, IRF3 activation might contribute to IFN-γ induction. A recent study reported that IL-6 is a non-canonical interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) responding to IFN signaling [36]. For this reason, IRF3 activation might be implicated in SARS-CoV-2-induced IL-6 expression. SARS-CoV-2-elicited cytokine release may be correlated with multiple cellular pathways or networks such as NF-κB and IRF3 signaling. LPS, Poly (I:C), and H1N1 induced NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation which, in turn, first upregulated IL-6 and TNF-α and then IFN-γ. In response to all infectious agents, inosine had excellent anti-cytokine efficacy as it suppressed the release of IL-6 mainly by inhibiting NF-κB and IRF3 phosphorylation.

Purinergic receptors are expressed by a wide range of immune cells and might have various anti-inflammatory effects [37]. A2AR mediates the anti-cytokine effects of inosine in LPS-induced acute lung injury [38,39]. However, selective adenosine A2AR agonists did not abrogate elevated inflammation associated with transcription signaling or IL-6 upregulation in response to multiple stimuli. Thus, the anti-inflammatory effects of inosine are not A2AR-dependent. TBK1 is the gatekeeper of bacterial and viral-induced inflammatory signaling crossroads [22,40] and is strongly phosphorylated in macrophages and lungs infected with LPS, Poly (I:C), H1N1, or SARS-CoV-2. TBK1 phosphorylation is essential for the recruitment and phosphorylation of the downstream TFs NF-κB and IRF3. TBK1 also promotes the nuclear translocation of phosphor-NF-κB and phosphor-IRF3 which, in turn, regulate proinflammatory cytokine expression [22]. The inhibition of TBK1 phosphorylation via inosine or the administration of a selective TBK1 inhibitor significantly attenuated the NF-κB and IRF3 responses and acute inflammatory pulmonary injury but allowed IL-6 upregulation. In a TBK-1-dependent manner, inosine downregulated IL-6 and improved the morphology and histology of lungs infected with SARS-CoV-2 and other agents.

The results of our results demonstrated that inosine did not interfere with TBK1 phosphorylation via direct binding. Rather, it suppressed STING and GSK3β phosphorylation. STING and GSK3β antagonists markedly reduced SARS-CoV-2- and LPS-induced increases in TBK1 phosphorylation and IL-6 expression. STING is a scaffold for the phosphorylation of TBK1 and itself [41]. Residues 1–341 formed dimers or orchestrated oligomers to trigger STING.
and TNK1 phosphorylation. The STING dimers had z-type loops in the cytosolic ligand-binding domain of STING and participated in the formation of tetramer interfaces for cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) binding. Cyclic GMP-AMP is an endogenous secondary messenger of cGAS signaling that participates in STING phosphorylation and IFN production [41,42]. Inosine may inhibit the phosphorylation of STING by interfering with its dimerization or its interaction with cGAMP. Our in silico docking and biotin pulldown assays confirmed that inosine directly interacts with GSK3β, Val70, and Val 135. The latter residues are implicated in GSK3β inhibition [43]. When viral infections are perceived, ubiquitinated tripartite motif 9 short isoforms (TRIM9s) bridge activated GSK3β and TBK1 [35] and the latter is then autophosphorylated. STING and GSK3β play critical roles in TBK1 phosphorylation while inosine indirectly suppresses TBK1 activation induced by SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents.

5. Conclusions

The present study empirically demonstrated that inosine administration improved survival in severe SARS-CoV-2 infection through its immunomodulatory but not immunosuppressive efficacy against acute inflammatory lung injury. As presented in Fig. 6G, inosine indirectly suppresses the phosphorylation of TBK1 at the crossroad of multiple innate immune responses. In this manner, it hinders overactivation of downstream cascade signaling and excessive proinflammatory cytokine release. Hence, inosine is potentially an excellent broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory agent. Future research should evaluate its clinical efficacy in the treatment of severe and critical COVID-19. Furthermore, TBK1 is a putative therapeutic target in the attenuation of cytokine storms and acute inflammatory lung injury induced by SARS-CoV-2 and other infectious agents.
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