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Abstract: The purpose of this study is to analyze the problems of quality training of personnel for the digital economy of Russia during the pandemic within the framework of STEAM education and to identify their solutions with the help of the most effective distance learning tools. The research is based on a survey of 138 respondents (students of the Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences of the Yelabuga Institute of the Kazan Federal University), who have mastered professional competencies in a distance learning format during the pandemic. The results of the survey confirmed the conclusion that distance learning tools such as Moodle, Google Classroom, and Microsoft Teams have shown their effectiveness and can be successfully used not only in the context of extreme situations, for example, a pandemic, but also as elements of blended learning while bringing variety to the forms of learning, and thereby strengthening the process of training STEAM education specialists. The scientific novelty of the study lies in a deep analysis of the distance learning tools used for training specialists for the digital economy of Russia, namely STEAM education specialists, based on the collection of information from students during the period of transformational changes in the pedagogical education system.
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1. Introduction

The digitalization of all spheres of life in modern post-industrial society seems to be progressive, inevitable, and contradictory [1–4]. For Russia, digitalization is important not only due to its geopolitical interests and military claims to membership in the club of world powers, but also due to the ample opportunities for increasing labor productivity, the transition from a commodity exporter model to an innovative development model, as well as in connection with negative demographic trends [5].

Russia’s transition to a new technological order, i.e., to the digital economy model, requires competent personnel training. One of the fundamental factors in solving the problem of training personnel for the digital economy is the availability of highly qualified specialists with a set of professional competencies in field-specific areas, who are capable of applying interdisciplinary knowledge at the intersection of technical, economic, managerial, and humanitarian training. The preparation of such specialists requires revision of existing educational programs and approaches to their implementation, including the introduction of distance learning technologies, modernization of laboratory facilities, and strengthening the practical orientation of the educational process [6].
In this regard, changes in the requirements for the conduct of professional specialists’ preparation in the context of digitalization are quite natural [7]. The formation of a personnel infrastructure for digital modernization of the economy requires standardization of the digital personnel training process [8], which can be built on the basis of available master’s degree programs and the classification of personnel according to the criteria of functions performed for the use of digital technologies, such as technical specialists and single-subject specialists [9].

In contrast, it is impossible to solve the problem of competent personnel training without changing the approach to teaching, which implies modernization of the whole educational system [10]. The creation of a digital learning environment requires the widespread implementation and effective application of digital tools for educational activities, their inclusion in the information environment, as well as the provision of life-long learning opportunities based on an individual curriculum, which can be studied regardless of time and place. In digital-intensive industries, competency sets are of particular importance. Employees must have not only basic skills to perform specific tasks, but also complex problem solving, critical thinking, and creative skills.

One of the main problems associated with training personnel for the digital economy is improving the quality of education based on maintaining its fundamentality and meeting the current and future needs of individuals, society, and the state. Today, high-quality education determines the competitiveness of both individual institutions and the national education system as a whole [11]. Education must quickly respond to the challenges of the time in order to improve its quality. The solution to the problem of quality education depends on the timely and adequate response of the education system to the needs of society, changes in the external environment, and social order [12]. It is necessary to choose effective methods and technologies corresponding to these changes and needs.

Studies considering the potential of the education system in Russia and the ways to update it in order to improve the quality of education in the digital economy environment [13,14] showed that the education system in Russia generally takes into account the trends of economy digitalization when creating material and technical conditions for the implementation of educational programs. However, there are personnel risks and risks of low applicability of material and technical support in educational activities, which considerably limits the potential of the Russian education system in training personnel for the digital economy. Therefore, a new standard of education should be discussed; the quality of this education can be improved through the use of distance technologies, which significantly transform the educational environment.

Distance learning is becoming increasingly popular in Russia and around the world. Its foremost advantage, which attracts more and more attention, is the possibility for students to gain knowledge from anywhere according to their individual schedules while having a set of training resources and the possibility of contacting the teacher [15]. This ensures a flexible learning format, which was one of the educational trends in 2021 [16].

To identify ways to improve the distance learning system in higher education as an important element of future specialists’ training—which takes into account temporary and long-term tasks of the country’s economic development—two main approaches to the digital economy can be distinguished: the classical approach, based on digital technologies, and the extended approach, based on economic production with the use of digital technologies [17]. To improve the quality of the educational process, it is necessary to use a whole range of resources, which are expected to meet relevant requirements of the modern education system. These resources include educational, personnel, functional, and technical ones.

Distance learning has a number of advantages, the main ones being accessibility, efficiency, a variety of learning forms, saving time and money, development of communication skills, learning efficiency, etc. The current disadvantages of distance learning include the inaccessibility of educational programs developed by some universities in the educational environment; a lack of uniform criteria (in terms of price and quality of training) for the
assessment of educational programs; a lack of uniform approaches to terminology and definitions; a lack of qualified analysis and review of distance learning experience in the Russian Federation, as well as its regulatory framework, etc.

The implementation of STEAM education is considered to be one of the ways of improving the quality of preparation of future digital economy specialists. STEAM is based on the STEM approach, which involves science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and art, and means anything that is associated with creative activities and a creative approach to the solution of educational problems [18]. The process of training specialists within the framework of STEAM education is based on project and creative activities, which should be implemented mainly through contact learning tasks aimed at the development of creative skills [19]. Let us consider how distance learning can improve the quality of training personnel for the digital economy of Russia within the framework of STEAM education, through the example of the Yelabuga Institute of the Kazan Federal University (EI KFU).

In the EI KFU, within the framework of master’s degree programs in the field of study “44.04.01 pedagogical education (profiles: educational robotics, digital education, engineering pedagogy)”, rising STEAM teachers are trained [20,21]. The master’s degree program profiles above confirm the opinion that the rapid evolution of technologies leads to the fact that soon the most popular and progressive specialists will be programmers, IT specialists, engineers, high-tech professionals, etc., [22].

Let us consider what educational platforms and services for studying individual disciplines of the master’s degree programs within STEAM education are the most effective in the context of distance learning.

One of the most important disciplines that contributes to the development of important competencies in STEAM teachers is digital literacy; this discipline is focused on the formation of students’ competencies in the field of digital consumption, the use of digital technologies, and digital security. To teach graduate students this discipline, we propose the use of the Google Classroom and Microsoft Teams tools. The pandemic has increased the popularity of online learning and Google Classroom is widely used by educational institutions as a platform for distance learning [23]. In our case, it can be used as a means of the theoretical preparation of undergraduates for the implementation of digital education for schoolchildren. The solution to this problem will be facilitated by the completion of various tasks by students; the performance of students will make it possible to assess the level of their digital competencies.

The second tool is the Microsoft Teams platform, which is an easy-to-use reference platform [24] and contributes to an increase in learning efficiency [25]. This platform can be used as a platform for discussion and collective analysis of various ways of developing digital literacy among students, as well as their parents and teachers. The options offered by students for the interaction of all educational process participants with the help of this digital resource to solve the problems of digital education and the formation of digital literacy can be analyzed collectively.

It is also possible to organize teamwork using the Moodle or Microsoft Teams platforms when studying the discipline “fundamentals of project activities”, which plays an important role in the preparation of STEAM teachers. Research indicates that Moodle is often used to deliver course content and plan, assign grades, create assignments, collect course feedback, and communicate with course participants. Only a few of several functions, such as the assignment, feedback, quiz, and seminar modules, are considered very important and are actively used. The Moodle platform can increase the motivation to learn and improve the quality of learning while offering new opportunities for teaching, learning, and assessment [26,27]. For example, material on the history and theory of design, project classification, and design technology is supposed to be placed on the Moodle platform, where questions and tasks are also formulated. Group discussion of the stages of project activity and its implementation can be conducted on Microsoft Teams, as this platform allows users to directly communicate and jointly solve problems. The positive
aspects of the platform include a user-friendly interface and the availability of its mobile application version.

The purpose of this study is to analyze the problem of high-quality training of personnel for the digital economy of Russia during the pandemic within the framework of STEAM education. The objectives of the study are explained by the need to identify the features of STEAM education and determine ways to solve the problem of high-quality training of personnel for the digital economy of Russia with the help of the most effective distance learning tools.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Research Design and Sample

A survey was conducted in 2021. The research involved 138 respondents (students of the Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences of the Yelabuga Institute of the Kazan Federal University), who have mastered professional competencies in a distance learning format during the pandemic. Thirteen school teachers with 15–20 years of experience who took part in the International School Teachers’ Festival were interviewed. Thus, the teacher selection criteria were work experience and participation in the festival.

2.2. Survey

The study consisted of two stages. In the first stage, the students were surveyed. The survey consisted of the following questions: “What classes did you have with the use of distance learning technologies and how often?”; “Assess the quality of digital resources”; “How, in your opinion, can the activity and interest of students be increased in the process of distance learning?”; “Assess the practical significance of digital resources”; “Which of the statements about distance learning do you agree the most?”. The survey questionnaire was developed and formatted in Google Forms. Each experiment participant was sent a questionnaire by email with instructions on how to fill it in.

2.3. Statistical Processing

The students’ responses were processed in Statistica and presented in the form of tables.

2.4. Research Limitations

The limitations of the study were associated with the small sample size, as the experiment involved one university, which was attended by a small number of students. Universities located in other countries have not been studied.

2.5. Ethical Issues

The experiment was carried out in compliance with all ethical standards and anonymity requirements; the respondents were not required to provide confidential information (name, surname, age, place of residence, etc.). Moreover, the research participants gave their written consent to conduct and process the data. At the same time, the students were asked to indicate the faculty in which they studied at the time of the survey.

3. Results

The responses to the question “What classes did you have with the use of distance learning technologies and how often?” were grouped and presented in Table 1.
Table 1. Use of digital resources during the pandemic.

| No. | Types of Activities Using Distance Learning Technology | Number of Respondents, % |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1   | Online classes in Microsoft Teams, Zoom, Skype, etc. | often—69.6 from time to time—30.4 never—0 |
| 2   | Digital educational resources (DER) on the platform of the Kazan Federal University | from time to time—30.4 never—7.2 |
| 3   | Online courses provided by other Russian universities (on open educational platforms/university platforms) | from time to time—47.8 never—31.9 |

One of the key competencies that any teacher should develop is the ability to assess educational resources, as well as modify, create, and share digital resources and materials that are consistent with the learning objectives, the characteristics of the student group, and the teaching style [28].

The selection of digital resources by university teachers occurs according to the following criteria: reliability, quality, compliance, design, and interactivity. School teachers and university professors (41% and 44%, respectively) compare resources on the Internet and are ready to give their colleagues advice on relevant resources and strategies for their search. Teachers aged 35–45 (51%) and university professors under the age of 45 (50%) are the most proficient with this issue [28].

The study used the same criteria to assess the quality of specific digital resources. Each criterion was assessed on a 4-point scale:

1. 0—the criterion has not been implemented (unsatisfactory).
2. 1—there have been isolated cases of the criterion implementation (satisfactory).
3. 2—the criterion has been partially implemented (good).
4. 3—the criterion has been fully implemented (excellent).

Thus, the results presented in Table 2 were obtained.

Table 2. The opinion of respondents about the quality of digital resources.

| No. | Type of Digital Resources | % of Respondents |
|-----|---------------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Online classes in Microsoft Teams, Zoom, Skype, etc. | excellent—73.9 good—24.6 |
| 2   | Digital educational resources (DER) on the platform of the Kazan Federal University | good—34.8 satisfactory—4.3 unsatisfactory—2.9 |
| 3   | Online courses provided by other Russian universities (on open educational platforms/university platforms) | excellent—40.6 good—40.6 satisfactory—7.2 unsatisfactory—13.0 |

The responses to the question “How, in your opinion, can the activity and interest of students be increased in the process of distance learning?” are described in Table 3.
Table 3. Ways to increase the activity and interest of students in the process of distance learning.

| No. | Ways to Increase the Activity and Interest of Students | % of Respondents |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Give more varied and interesting tasks to consolidate and evaluate theoretical material | 60.9             |
| 2   | Organize group work                                  | 40.6             |
| 3   | Introduce more video lectures                        | 37.7             |
| 4   | Use tasks that take into account different levels of training of students | 31.9             |
| 5   | Strengthen teacher control over the quality and timing of assignments | 15.9             |

The respondents were asked to assess the practical significance of digital tools used by teachers in the educational process. The results are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Respondents’ assessment of the practical significance of the digital resources used by teachers.

| No. | Digital Tools Used by Educators | % of Respondents |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|
| 1   | Lecture tools (Google Meet, Microsoft Teams, Zoom, etc.) | I constantly use them—76.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—20.4 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—1.4 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—1.4 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—0 |
|     |                                  | I constantly use them—66.7 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—24.6 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—5.8 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—2.9 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—0 |
|     |                                  | I constantly use them—50.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—33.3 |
| 2   | Shared documents (Google Docs, Microsoft Office 365 Education, Perusall, etc.) | I constantly use them—66.7 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—24.6 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—5.8 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—2.9 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—0 |
|     |                                  | I constantly use them—50.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—33.3 |
| 3   | Video services (YouTube, etc.) | I constantly use them—50.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—34.9 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—7.2 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—5.8 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—1.4 |
| 4   | Text processors (Microsoft Word, Word Perfect, Open Office, etc.) | I constantly use them—50.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—34.9 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—7.2 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—5.8 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—1.4 |
| 5   | Programs for preparing electronic presentations (MS PowerPoint, Prezi, SlideRocket, VoiceThread, etc.) | I constantly use them—66.7 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—29.0 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—2.9 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—1.4 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—0 |
|     |                                  | I constantly use them—50.7 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—34.9 |
| 6   | Table processors (Microsoft Excel, Lotus, Quattro Pro, etc.) | I constantly use them—50.8 |
|     |                                  | I sometimes use them—34.9 |
|     |                                  | I rarely use them—7.2 |
|     |                                  | I know them but never use—5.8 |
|     |                                  | I do not know them—1.4 |

The questionnaire contained a question determining the attitude of the respondents to the distance learning format: “Which of the statements about distance learning do you agree the most?”. The responses obtained are presented in Table 5.
Table 5. Attitude of respondents to the distance learning format.

| No. | Opinion of Respondents                                                                 | % of Respondents |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1   | I think that over time online (distance) learning will completely replace face-to-face training | 36.2             |
| 2   | I think that online (distance) learning cannot replace live communication with the teacher; it is impossible to assimilate educational material without additional explanation | 21.7             |
| 3   | I think that the blended learning format is acceptable; some material can be mastered independently using online technologies and some information should be studied by directly communicating with the teacher | 37.7             |
| 4   | I find it difficult to answer this question.                                             | 4.3              |

The results of the student survey indicate a high level of student involvement in distance learning, the use of digital resources, and a generally positive attitude towards the distance learning format.

Despite the high indicators, an educational course consisting of the thematic module “distance learning and modern technologies” was proposed (Figure 1). The course aimed to improve the quality and efficiency of distance learning and familiarize students with modern technologies for its implementation.

![Figure 1. Course structure aimed at the improvement of the effectiveness of distance learning.](image.png)

The developed course to improve the effectiveness of distance learning with the use of modern technologies consists of one thematic module—“distance learning and modern technologies” (Table 6).

The thematic module was divided into separate classes. Online content included e-books, articles, video and audio files, links to online courses on educational platforms, or other resources to explore distance learning opportunities. Thus, the developed course required the students and teachers to have a computer with access to the Internet and online platforms, headphones for watching videos, and an interactive board for visualizing theoretical materials. The teachers also familiarized the students with other educational platforms such as Coursera, EdX, and Khan Academy; the advantage of these platforms is the presentation of video content in English, which contributes to increasing language competence.
Table 6. Content of the course aimed at the improvement of the effectiveness of distance learning.

| Thematic Module                        | Tasks                                                                 | Resources Used                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Distance learning and modern technologies | – Formation and development of skills in the use of modern technologies | – Computers with Internet access, head phones, interactive whiteboards;         |
|                                        | – Increasing the effectiveness of distance learning                  | – Teaching and Learning at a Distance [29];                                     |
|                                        |                                                                       | – Virtual Reality course on the Coursera platform [30];                        |
|                                        |                                                                       | – E-Learning Ecologies: Innovative Approaches to Teaching and Learning for the Digital Age course on the Coursera platform [31]; |
|                                        |                                                                       | – Handbook of Research on Barriers for Teaching 21st-Century Competencies and the Impact of Digitalization [32]; |
|                                        |                                                                       | – Artificial Intelligence for all courses on the Coursera platform [33].       |

4. Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to serious disruptions in education systems around the world [34–36]. In contrast to the transition from face-to-face learning to blended learning, online learning, or a flipped classroom under normal circumstances, the emergency transition to distance learning due to the crisis was sudden and unplanned [37,38].

These problems and the ways to solve them were considered by many Russian and foreign researchers. Some of them [39] concentrated on the performance of higher education students during distance learning. Others noted that with a large number of guide books and teacher training sessions devoted to the organization of online learning and the use of digital tools, there was a decrease in the quality of teaching and an unwillingness of a significant proportion of teachers to work with continuously evolving technological tools [40,41]. The quality and quantity of educational resources required for the study of the discipline turned out to be either insufficient, if the university or the teacher had not been involved in this area of work before, or excessive, if students were asked to use all materials related to the relevant field of study that were available on recognized educational platforms, but without proper navigation [42].

In addition to the undoubted advantages, including the expansion of educational information, the ability to use educational content at a convenient time and in a convenient form, the ability to build individual educational trajectories, the improvement of educational system management tools, etc., the use of digital technologies in education can also lead to an education effectiveness drop as novel digital solutions are likely to simplify information perception mechanisms. They are not forcing a person to think creatively and reduce communication skills [43]. In relation to the digitalization of the Russian education system, it is constrained by technical and legal problems. While the first lie in the lack of digital skills among the majority of educators, the latter result from no clear delineation of “e-learning”, “information technology”, “distance learning technologies”, and “information and educational environment” in the Russian legislation. Nevertheless, the experience of training during the pandemic, the results of the questionnaires, and teacher interviews showed that distance technologies could be a worthy alternative to conduct activities when training STEAM teachers.

5. Conclusions

The results of the study indicate that the current changes observed around the world require the education system to train specialists capable of solving the problems of the digital economy and possessing the appropriate competencies, including in the field of STEAM. In these conditions, the issue of the quality of education becomes relevant; one of
the ways to improve the quality of training is to use distance learning technologies, which significantly transform the educational environment.

The COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated that educational organizations should adapt to the new scenarios and formats of learning at all stages of education. In Russia, the most popular distance learning platforms are Google Meet, Microsoft Teams, and Zoom. Many universities have developed their own digital educational resources for online learning; these can be successfully used to train specialists in the field of STEAM education.

The practical significance and prospects for further research reside in the fact that the outlined advantages and disadvantages of distance learning in the context of STEAM education can be used to improve the quality of learning.
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