Effect of resveratrol and in combination with 5-FU on murine liver cancer
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Abstract
AIM: To study the anti-tumor effect of resveratrol and in combination with 5-FU on murine liver cancer.

METHODS: Transplantable murine hepatoma H22 model was used to evaluate the anti-tumor activity of resveratrol (RES) alone or in combination with 5-FU in vivo. H22 cell cycles were analyzed with flow cytometry.

RESULTS: Resveratrol could inhibit the growth of murine hepatoma H22, after the mice bearing H22 tumor were treated with 10 mg/kg or 15 mg/kg resveratrol for ten days, and the inhibition rates were 36.3% (n = 10) and 49.3% (n = 9), respectively, which increased obviously compared with that in control group (85±22 vs 68±17, P<0.01). RES could induce the S phase arrest of H22 cells, and increase the percentage of cells in S phase from 59.1% (n = 9) to 73.5% (n = 9) in a dose-dependent manner (P<0.05). The enhanced inhibition of tumor growth by 5-FU was also observed in hepatoma H22 bearing mice when 5-FU was administered in combination with 10 mg/kg resveratrol. The inhibition rates for 20 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg 5-FU in combination with 10 mg/kg resveratrol were 77.4% and 72.4%, respectively, compared with the group of 20 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg 5-FU alone, in which the inhibition rates were 53.4% and 43.8%, respectively (n = 8). There was a statistical significance between the combination group and 5-FU group.

CONCLUSION: RES could induce the S phase arrest of H22 cells and enhance the anti-tumor effect of 5-FU on murine hepatoma H22, and antagonist its toxicity markedly. These results suggest that resveratrol, as a biochemical modulator to enhance the therapeutic effects of 5-FU, may be potentially useful in cancer chemotherapy.
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INTRODUCTION
Liver cancer is common in the world, especially in China[1-10]. Since the introduction of 5-FU for the treatment of liver cancer, the prognosis of liver cancer patients has been greatly improved. However, the serious side effects of 5-FU restrict its extensive clinical application. Searching for some new types of drugs to substitute or combine with 5-FU is necessary. Recently, scientists have found that resveratrol (3,4,5-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene, RES), a kind of phytoalexin found in root extract of the weed Polygonum cuspidatum and in grape skins as well as red wine, has comprehensive pharmacological effects. Studies demonstrated that RES could alter the synthesis and secretion of lipids and lipoproteins by liver cells, block human platelet aggregation and inhibit the synthesis of proaggregatory and proinflammtory eicosanoids by platelets and neutrophils[11-15]. Some reports indicate that RES could prevent tumor growth and metastasis in human lung carcinoma, pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer, bronchial epithelium cancer and breast cancer models[16-20]. The present investigation evaluated the potency of RES and in combination with 5-FU on tumor cell growth and proliferation and on cell cycle distribution in a transplantable murine hepatoma H22 model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Materials
Resveratrol was purchased from Sigma Co (USA), dissolved and sterilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) first and then diluted to the required working concentrations in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, USA) containing 100 mL/L calf serum (Sijiqing Co, Hangzhou, China). Mouse hepatocellular carcinoma cell line H22 was purchased from the Department of Pathology, Fourth Military Medical University. Male BALB/c mice, 6-8 wk old, weighing 20±2 g, were purchased from the Animal Center of Xi’an Jiaotong University.

Suppressive effect of RES on transplanted liver cancer
H22 cells were first subcultured in RPMI 1640 containing 100 mL/L fetal bovine serum, and then washed twice and resuspended in RPMI1640culture medium (1×10^7/L). About 0.2 mL cell solution (including 2×10^7 cells) was taken and injected into the right groin of 5 Balb/c mice. After 14 d, when the tumors of 3-5 mm in diameter formed in the right groin of these mice, they were taken out and cut into small pieces of 1 mm under sterile condition. Fifty Balb/c mice were anesthetized using coelio-injection of pentobarbitone (70 mg/kg) and laparotomy was performed. Under sterile condition their middle lobes of liver were punctured to form a 3 mm-long sinus tract and a small piece of tumor tissue was put into each sinus tract. Then these mice were randomly divided into 5 groups: control group, 5-FU group and 3 experimental groups. The experimental groups were injected with RES (dissolved in DMSO and diluted to the working concentration of 25 mmol/L in RPMI 1640 containing 100 mL/L calf serum) at 5, 10 or 15 mg/kg body mass, respectively, while the control group was given the same volume of the solution as for the experimental group without RES and the 5-FU group was injected with 5-FU at 20 mg/kg body mass. Twenty-four hours following liver tumor transplantation, each mouse was injected a corresponding dosage of RES into its abdominal cavity once a day for 10 d. These mice were then
sacrificed on the following day after the last injection. After the maximum diameter and transverse length of tumor were measured, hepatocellular carcinoma tissues were sampled. The tumor volume was calculated by using the formula \( V = \frac{1}{2} \) (maximum diameter x transverse length)\(^2\). The suppressive rate of tumor growth was calculated as [(mean \( V \) of tumor in control group - mean \( V \) of tumor in experimental group)/mean \( V \) of tumor in control group] x 100%.

**H\(_2\)** cell cycle in transplanted liver cancer

Fresh hepatocellular carcinoma tissues with a size of 0.5-0.7 cm\(^3\) were washed twice in saline and then single cells were isolated from sampled tissues using 21 g/L citric acid 5 g/L Tween 20 according to the method of Otto\(^{211}\). After this, cells were first washed with PBS (pH 7.4) three times, then adjusted to the density of 1x10\(^7\)/L in RPMI 1640, and 0.2 mL cell suspension was taken and stained with 0.2 mL PI compound dye for 20 min at room temperature. Then, cell suspension was centrifuged at 800 r/min for 10 min and washed twice in PBS at pH 7.4. Finally, the cells were added to 1 mL PBS followed by slight shaking at room temperature and cell cycle analysis was performed using flow cytometer (Coulter, Epice Elite, ESP, USA). By using the multicycle software program it was possible to calculate the proportion of H\(_2\) cells in S and G\(_{2}/\)M phases in tumor.

**Synergistic anti-tumor effects of RES and 5-FU**

A total of 128 tumor-bearing BALB/c mice were randomly divided into 8 groups: control group, RES group, three 5-FU groups and three experimental groups. The RES group was injected with RES at 10 mg/kg body mass (this dosage was proven to have obvious anti-tumor effect in our preliminary study.) and the 5-FU groups were injected with 5-FU at 5, 10, or 20 mg/kg body mass, respectively, and 3 experimental groups were injected with RES at 10 mg/kg body mass+5-FU at 5, 10, or 20 mg/kg body mass, respectively. The control group was given the same volume of the solution as for the experimental group without RES and 5-FU. Twenty-four hours after liver tumor transplantation, each mouse was injected with a corresponding drug at respective dosage into its abdominal cavity once a day for 10 d. Half of the mice in each group were then sacrificed on the following day after the last injection and the maximum diameter and transverse length of tumor were measured. The tumor tissues of mice treated with various dosages of RES (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg body mass). The results showed that the number of H\(_2\) cells in S phase increased from 0.60 in control group to 0.75 in 15.0 mg/kg RES group, while the number of H\(_2\) cells in G\(_2\)/M phase decreased from 0.11 to 0.00 and the effect was dose-dependent (Table 1).

The cell cycles were analysed using flow cytometer to calculate the number of H\(_2\) cells in each phase in tumor under the action of various dosages of RES (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg body mass). The inhibitory effect on the latter 2 therapeutic groups was significant higher than that on control group (\( P < 0.01 \)). Though the inhibition rate of tumour growth of 5-FU was rather high (53.0%), its toxicity was serious and the concrete manifestations included poor ingestion, diarrhea, and decrease in body mass, while the mice in RES groups showed no evident toxicity and were alive at the end of treatment (Table 1).

The cell cycles were analysed using flow cytometer to calculate the number of H\(_2\) cells in each phase in tumor under the action of various dosages of RES (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg body mass). The results showed that the number of H\(_2\) cells in S phase increased from 0.60 in control group to 0.75 in 15.0 mg/kg RES group, while the number of H\(_2\) cells in G\(_2\)/M phase decreased from 0.11 to 0.00 and the effect was dose-dependent (Table 2, Figure 1).

**RESULTS**

**Suppressive effect of RES on transplanted liver cancer and distribution of H\(_2\) cell cycles**

Except 3 Balb/c mice (each in control group, 15 mg/kg RES group and 20.0 mg/kg 5-FU group), all the mice inoculated with hepatocarcinoma cell line H\(_2\) were successively transplanted with liver cancer. After treatment of the tumour bearing mice with 5, 10 or 15 mg/kg RES for 10 d, the tumour size was reduced from 134±40 mm\(^3\) in control group to 105±14 mm\(^3\), 85±22 mm\(^3\) and 68±17 mm\(^3\) in three experimental groups, the inhibition rate of tumour growth was 21.6 %, 36.3 % and 49.3 %, respectively.

The inhibitory effect on the latter 2 therapeutic groups was significant higher than that on control group (\( P < 0.01 \)). Though the inhibition rate of tumour growth of 5-FU was rather high (53.0%), its toxicity was serious and the concrete manifestations included poor ingestion, diarrhea, and decrease in body mass, while the mice in RES groups showed no evident toxicity and were alive at the end of treatment (Table 1).

The cell cycles were analysed using flow cytometer to calculate the number of H\(_2\) cells in each phase in tumor under the action of various dosages of RES (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg body mass). The results showed that the number of H\(_2\) cells in S phase increased from 0.60 in control group to 0.75 in 15.0 mg/kg RES group, while the number of H\(_2\) cells in G\(_2\)/M phase decreased from 0.11 to 0.00 and the effect was dose-dependent (Table 2, Figure 1).

**Figure 1** Number of H\(_2\) cell cycles in transplanted liver cancer of mouse treated with RPMI-1640 (A) or 15.0 mg/kg (B).

**Table 1** Suppressive effect of resveratrol on murine transplanted liver cancer (mean±SD)

| Group          | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Tumor size (mm\(^3\)) | Growth inhibitory rate (%) | t value |
|----------------|--------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Control        | 0.0          | 9 | 134±40                | -                         | -       |
| 5-FU           | 20.0         | 9 | 63±29                 | 53.0                      | 4.36    |
| RES            | 5.0          | 10| 105±14                | 21.6                      | 2.16    |
|                | 10.0         | 10| 85±22                 | 36.6                      | 3.36    |
|                | 15.0         | 9 | 68±17                 | 49.3                      | 4.33    |

a \( P < 0.05 \), b \( P < 0.01 \), vs control.

**Table 2** Effect of RES on the number of H\(_2\) cell cycles in transplanted liver cancer of mouse

| Group | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Number of H\(_2\) cell cycles (%) |
|-------|--------------|---|----------------------------------|
|       |              |   | G0/G1                           | S              | G2/M    |
| Control| 0            | 9 | 0.29                            | 0.60           | 0.11    |
| RES   | 5.0          | 10| 0.30                            | 0.60           | 0.09    |
|       | 10.0         | 10| 0.30                            | 0.68*          | 0.02*   |
|       | 15.0         | 9 | 0.25                            | 0.75*          | 0.00*   |

a \( P < 0.05 \), vs control.
Suppressive effect of RES in combination with 5-FU on transplanted liver cancer

RES in combination with 5-FU had synergistic suppressive effects on transplanted liver cancer of mouse (Figure 2). When 10 mg/kg RES in combination with 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg 5-FU, the inhibition rate was 50.0%, 72.4%, and 77.4%, respectively. When the group administered 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg 5-FU alone, the inhibition rate was 28.4%, 43.8%, and 53.4%, respectively. There was a statistical significance between the combination group and the 5-FU alone group (Table 3). Morphologic observation showed that more cellular necrosis was found in the combination group than in control group (Figure 3).

Effect of RES in combination with 5-FU on survival time and tumor metastasis

When the mice were administered 10 mg/kg RES in combination with 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg 5-FU, the survival time of tumor bearing mouse was 30.6±8.0 d, 36.3±9.4 d, and 44.6±11.6 d, respectively. When group administered 5, 10 or 20 mg/kg 5-FU alone, the survival time was 19.4±4.4 d, 23.9±5.4 d, and 32.1±9.7 d, respectively (Figure 6). There was a statistical significance between the combination group and the 5-FU alone group. In the beginning of the study, there was no statistical difference in the body mass of mouse among various groups. However, at the end of the investigation, the body mass of mouse in combination group was significantly heavier than that in sole drug group (P<0.01), showing that RES might antagonize the toxicity of 5-FU markedly (Table 4). Two Balb/c mice in control group had lung and celiac lymph node metastases, one in 5 mg/kg 5-FU therapeutic group had celiac lymph node metastasis. Except these 3 groups, all the mice inoculated with hepatocarcinoma cell line H22 showed no signs of tumor metastasis.

### Table 3
Suppressive effect of RES in combination with 5-FU on murine with transplanted liver cancer (mean±SD)

| Group  | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Tumor size (mm³) | t value | Growth inhibitory rate (%) |
|--------|--------------|---|------------------|---------|----------------------------|
|        |              |   | Alone            | With 5-FU | Alone | With 5-FU |
| Control| 0            | 8 | 128±33           |          | 31.5          |
| RES    | 10.0         | 8 | 88±21            |          | 31.5          |
| 5-FU   | 20.0         | 8 | 60±12            | 29±18    | 4.05b       | 53.4 | 77.4 |
|        | 10.0         | 8 | 72±17            | 35±13    | 4.89b       | 43.8 | 72.4 |
|        | 5.0          | 8 | 92±19            | 64±22    | 2.72a       | 28.4 | 50.0 |

### Table 4
Effect of RES in combination with 5-FU on survival time and body mass of tumor bearing mouse (mean±SD)

| Group  | Dose (mg/kg) | n | Last body mass (g) | t value | Survival time (d) | t value |
|--------|--------------|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|        |              |   | Alone              | With 5-FU | Alone | With 5-FU |
| Control| 0            | 8 | 23.6±2.0           |          | 17.3±3.3       |
| RES    | 10.0         | 8 | 23.8±1.2           |          | 21.5±5.6       |
| 5-FU   | 20.0         | 8 | 16.5±1.8           | 20.3±1.3 | 4.79b       | 32.0±9.7 | 44.6±11.6 | 2.34b |
|        | 10.0         | 8 | 19.6±1.8           | 23.2±2.5 | 3.25b       | 23.6±5.4 | 36.3±9.4 | 3.22b |
|        | 5.0          | 8 | 21.3±1.7           | 24.5±1.5 | 3.80b       | 19.5±4.4 | 30.6±8.0 | 3.48b |

*P<0.05,  **P<0.01, vs control.*

### Figure 2
Tumor size of mice treated with 10.0 mg/kg RES+20.0 mg/kg 5-FU (tumor A: 3.5×3.1×2.6 mm) and RPMI-1640 (tumor B: 4.8×4.7×4.2 mm; tumor C: 5.3×5.2×4.8 mm).

### Figure 3
Morphologic observation of tumor tissues of mice treated with RPMI-1640 (A) or 10.0 mg/kg RES+20.0 mg/kg 5-FU (B).
H22-inoculated tumors and its synergism with 5-FU were evaluated in murine abdomen, its potency on growth and proliferation of H22 cells. RES could arrest the distributions of H22 cell cycles and observing the survival time of mice. The tumor size was reduced by each dosage of 5-FU, and 5-FU (20.0 mg/kg)+RES (10.0 mg/kg).

**DISCUSSION**

Great attention has been paid to the chemopreventive activities and low toxicities of dietary polyphenolic compounds like RES. The function of RES might be mediated via different mechanisms in different cells, and the ability of RES to inhibit cellular events associated with tumor initiation, promotion, and progression might be attributed to its anticyclooxygenase activity, inducing apoptosis of tumor cells, antagonism to mutation, antioxidation and anti-free radical activity and effect on cell cycles.

Ahmad et al. proved that resveratrol treatment of human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells caused an induction of WAF1/p21 inhibiting cyclin D1/D2-cdk6, cyclin D1/D2-cdk4, and cyclin E-cdk2 complexes, thereby imposing an artificial checkpoint at the G1-S transition of the cell cycle, which resulted in a G1 phase arrest of the cell cycle and subsequent apoptotic death of cancer cells. Our previous studies demonstrated that RES could suppress the growth of murine transplanted liver tumor H22 and the anti-tumor mechanism of RES might prevent mitosis of tumor cells by suppressing the protein expression of cyclin B1 and p34cdc2, thus interfering with the process of tumor cells from S stage to G2/M stage.

One main role of 5-fluorouracil is to affect the biosynthesis of nucleic acids. Inside cells, 5-FU is converted to 5-fluorouracil deoxynucleotide (5F-dUMP) and inhibits the function of deoxynucleotide deoxythymidylic acid synthetase, blocks the methylation of deoxythymidylic acid, thus affecting the synthesis of DNA. As a result, 5-FU can prevent the tumor cells from splitting and proliferating and its cardinal acting period is S phase. Besides that, after the conversion of 5-FU into 5-fluorouracil uridine (5-FUR) in vivo, it also can be added into RNA to interfere with the synthesis of proteins, so it can affect the cells in other phases. Therefore, RES can enhance the anti-tumor effect of 5-FU by inducing the S phase arrest of H22 cells, a stage in which 5-FU can exert its max tumor cell killing function, and this synergism was proved in our in vitro experiments.

In the present investigation, RES was administered into murine abdomen, its potency on growth and proliferation of H22-inoculated tumors and its synergism with 5-FU were evaluated by measuring the size of hepatoma and examining the distributions of H22 cell cycles and observing the survival time of mice. The tumor size was reduced by each dosage of 5-FU, and 5-FU (20.0 mg/kg)+RES (10.0 mg/kg).
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