Exchange of Total Carbohydrate, Minerals, and Phenolics in Grape and Grape Products
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In this study, it was aimed to determine the total carbohydrate (CHO), minerals and phenolic (total phenolic content, total flavanols, total flavonols, and anthocyanins) of grape and grape products. For this reason, fresh grapes (Kalecik Karası, Öküzgözü, Emir, and Narince), raisins (Karadimrit and Sultani Çekirdeksiz), wines (Kalecik Karası, Öküzgözü, Emir, and Narince), commercial red grape juice, molasses, and vinegar were used as research materials. In conclusion, total CHO contents in the samples were ranged from 0.14 to 48.37 g 100 g⁻¹. In terms of the minerals, molasses was rich in K while the highest contents of P and Fe were found in vinegar. Raisin of Karadimrit contained the highest Ca content among all the samples tested. Not only total phenolic contents but also total flavanols, total flavonols, and anthocyanins were altered depending on the sample types and the varieties. As a result, it was determined that grape and grape products represent a potentially significant source of CHO, minerals, and phenolic.

Introduction

Plants and plant products always play a substantial role in human health by satisfying various essential needs ranging from food to medicines (Bhat et al., 2010; Sevindik et al., 2017). Grape mainly evaluated by raisin, table, and wine-making is also one of the most valuable fruit species in the world. In addition to these forms of evaluation, grapes are also used to obtain lots of different products such as molasses, grape juice, and vinegar. Grape and grape products are valuable foods because of their different tastes, nutritive values, and favorable effects on human health (Aras Aşcı, 2020).

Carbohydrate (CHO)’s and minerals play an important role in critical functions such as energy metabolism, neural transmission, muscular activity, bone formation, antioxidant activity; vascular constriction, maintaining normal acid-base balance, osmotic pressure, and normal water balance in human body, normal water balance, and osmotic pressure (Talwar and Srivastava, 2002; Srilakshmi, 2006). Recently, the attention on phenolics significantly increased due to their importance on human health as bioactive compounds having antimutagenic, chemopreventive, anticancerogenic antioxidant and antimicrobial activities (Nemzer et al., 2020; Mohammed et al., 2019; Mohammed et al., 2020). Apart from the medicinal and pharmaceutical potentials phenolics have also great potential to be used in food, agriculture, and cosmetic industries. Therefore, plants containing high levels of phenolics have a great importance as natural antioxidants and it is well known that grapes are rich in phenolics with a high oxygen radical scavenging capacity (Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011; Antoniolli et al., 2015; Sato et al., 2020; Mohammed et al., 2021).

However, the contents of CHO, minerals and phenolics in grapes vary depending on whether grapes are fresh or processed (Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011; Kelebek et al., 2013). Although grapes are also known to be rich in CHO, minerals and phenolics (Kavas, 1990; Kanner et al., 1994; Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011), there is no detailed
study investigated the contents of CHO, minerals and phenolics in different fresh grapes, raisins and grape products such as wine, molasses, vinegar and grape juice, together. Therefore determination of the chemical composition of grape and grape products plays an important role to create a conscious consumption habit on people's nutrition and health which allows them to make a choice according to their aim and needs. This study was performed with the aim of determining the potential of total CHO, minerals and phenolics of fresh grapes from 4 different wine-making grape varieties (Kalecik Karası, Öküzgüzü, Emir, and Narince), raisins from Karadimir and Sultani Çekirdeksiz, wines from Kalecik Karası, Öküzgüzü, Emir, and Narince, commercial red grape juice, molasses, and vinegar.

Materials and Methods

Materials
In this study, fresh grapes and wines of 4 wine-making grape varieties (Kalecik Karası (red), Öküzgüzü (red), Emir (white), and Narince (white)), raisins of Sultani Çekirdeksiz (white) and Karadimir (red), commercial red grape juice, molasses and vinegar without additive were used as materials. Fresh grapes were harvested from Tekirdağ Viticulture Research Institute vineyards, Tekirdağ-Turkey. Different brand names of wines (three brands of each), grape juice (three brands), molasses (two brands) and vinegars (four brands) were purchased from a market in Isparta, Turkey. Before analyses, different brands of all samples were combined in equal volumes.

Determination of Total CHO
Amounts of total CHO of grape and grape products were measured by the anthrone method of Przynik et al. (1999). The absorbance of the samples was measured at 540 nm. Also, total CHO amounts were stated as g 100 g⁻¹. The data obtained were given as the average of the three measurements.

Determination of Minerals
Determination of K, P, Ca, Mg and Fe in samples was carried out by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES). Milestone-Ethos plus 900 microwave system was used for the microwave assisted sample digestion of the samples. For the microwave digestion of the grape and grape products, 0.5 g of powdered (<1 mm) samples were put in the teflon beakers. A six mL volume of a fresh composed mixture of HNO₃-H₂O₂ (5:1, v/v) was added to each teflon beaker. The volume of digested samples was completed to 25 mL with distilled water. The ICP-OES measurements were performed by the Perkin-Elmer Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES instrument under the specification of the operating conditions given in Table 1. The spectral lines used for the different minerals were as follows: K; 766.490 nm; P; 213.617 nm; Ca; 317.933 nm; Mg; 285.213 nm and Fe; 238.204 nm. The detection limits were as follows; 0.3378 mg L⁻¹ for K; 0.0836 mg L⁻¹ for P; 0.0253 mg L⁻¹ for Ca; 0.003 mg L⁻¹ for Mg and 0.003 mg L⁻¹ for Fe.

Determination of Phenolics
For the phenolic determination, samples were prepared with different ways. Raisins and fresh grapes were extracted according to the method of Ojeda et al. (2002) while in liquid samples, phenolic analyses were performed without any particular treatment except dilution and filtration. For this reason, molasses was diluted in water in a ratio of 1:20; vinegar and grape juice in a ratio of 1:6. Wines were dealcoholized in rotary evaporated at 35°C in order to separate the alcohol-free fraction rich in phenolics from the ethanol and then diluted to the primary volume with distilled water. Spectrophotometric measurements were performed a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (double beam) of Perkin Elmer.

Table 1 Operating conditions of ICP-OES.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| RF generator (Plasma Ar-Ar) | 40 MHz |
| RF incident power | 1450 W |
| Viewing mode | Axial for Fe, Mg, Zn; radial for K, P, Ca |
| Auxiliary argon flow rate | 0.2 L min⁻¹ |
| Nebulizer argon flow rate | 0.55 L min⁻¹ |
| Plasma gas flow rate | 17 L min⁻¹ |
| Sample uptake flow rate | 1.5 mL min⁻¹ |

Results and Discussion

Total CHO Contents of Grape and Grape Products
CHOs supplying energy to the body are essential substances on human health. CHOs are needed for the proper functioning of the brain, central nervous system, kidneys, and muscles (Slirakshmi, 2006; Gluba-Brzózka et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2021). In this study, total CHO contents of the grape and grape products are given in Table 2. Sample type seems to be an important factor for the
amounts of CHO. Total CHO contents varied from 8.01 to 11.89 g 100 g⁻¹ for fresh grapes and from 35.52 to 37.89 g 100 g⁻¹ for raisins. Çetin et al. (2012) determined that total CHO contents of grapes changed from 4.30 g 100 g⁻¹ to 39.17 g 100 g⁻¹. In this study total CHO contents was varied depending on the grape varieties and the color of berries as reported before by Özden and Vardin (2009). The amounts of total CHO in the grapes of Alphonse Lavallée grape variety were found between 17.03 g 100 g⁻¹ and 15.27 g 100 g⁻¹ in different harvest-year (Babalik et al., 2020). Among solid samples, raisins clearly showed higher values of total CHO compared to the fresh grapes. It is an expected result because of the different water contents between fresh grapes and raisins. As regards the liquid samples, the highest total CHO content was detected in molasses with 48.37 g 100 g⁻¹ followed by grape juice. Similarly Üstün and Tosun (1997) determined that CHO contents of 11 different molasses ranged from 49.8 and 76.8 g 100 g⁻¹. In another study conducted on CHO contents of molasses (Elmacı and Altun, 1993) total CHO contents of different molasses were found between 47.2 and 66.3 g 100 g⁻¹ according to the maturity, agricultural applications, climatic conditions and processing techniques. Total CHO contents of wines varied from 0.14 to 0.36 mg 100 g⁻¹ and it was noted that white wines have more CHO than red wines. Rovio et al. (2011) reported that Pinot Noir red wine varied between 1.45 - 1.90 g L⁻¹ in their study on the total CHO amounts.

Mineral Contents of Grape and Grape Products

The plant materials rich in nutrient minerals play an effective role in human health when consumed in recommended amounts. Minerals generally comprise from 0.2% to 0.6% of the fresh weight of the fruits (Dharmadhikari, 2010). In this study, it was determined that grapes and grape products contained nutrient minerals such as K, P, Ca, Mg and Fe at different levels depending on the grape varieties and the sample types (Table 3). K is an extremely important mineral for health because it helps your cells to function properly, reduces blood pressure and risks for cardiovascular disease and stroke (Clegg et al., 2020). In addition, by increasing Ca intake, it reduces calcium loss and prevents osteoporosis (Dawson-Hughes et al., 2009). K also plays a substantial role in berry development and wine quality (Martins et al., 2012). As shown in Table 3, molasses had the highest levels of K (974.40 mg 100 g⁻¹) whereas grape juice had the lowest (76.70 mg 100 g⁻¹). K contents of the samples were following order: molasses > Kalecik Karası wine > Öküzgözü wine > Karadimir raisin > Sultani Çekirdeksiz raisin > Narince wine > Emir wine > vinegar > Emir fresh grape > Kalecik Karası fresh grape> Narince fresh grapes > Öküzgözü fresh grape > grape juice. In this study, K contents in fresh grapes were found between 244.10 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 317.90 mg 100 g⁻¹. Similarly K contents in Chardonnay and Merlot grape varieties were found as 246.9 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 203.2 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively (Pereira et al., 2006; Bertoldi et al., 2011). Kavas (1990) reported that K was found in fresh grape as 40-260 mg 100 g⁻¹; in molasses as 1470 mg 100 g⁻¹ and in raisins as 673-869 mg 100 g⁻¹. As previously reported (Keskin et al., 2019) that K contents in grapes have been showed big variances depending on the genotypes.

P can be found in nature and plant tissues as phosphates, which are phosphoric acid salt. When it was examined the data about P contents of the samples, P content in fresh grapes varied between 9.50 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 19.30 mg 100 g⁻¹. Contents of P in raisins were also determined between 40.20 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 50.50 mg 100 g⁻¹. Our findings are in agreement with Kavas (1990) stating that P was found in fresh grape as 10-42 mg 100 g⁻¹ and in raisins as 33-128 mg 100 g⁻¹. Similarly, Keskin et al. (2019) also reported that P content was found between 15.49 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 42.18 mg 100 g⁻¹ in 24 native grape varieties grown in Mid-Black Sea Zone. The highest P content was found in vinegar as 88.07 mg 100 g⁻¹ while the lowest P value was detected from red grape juice with 6.70 mg 100 g⁻¹ (Table 3). On the other hand, P content of molasses was found as 84.30 g 100 g⁻¹ in this study and this value was less than the result reported by Kavas (1990) determining P content of molasses to be 31 mg 100 g⁻¹. This might be due to the differences among grape varieties, maturity and production technique. Similarly, Üstün and Tosun (1997) also found that molasses contained P between 0 and 95.06 mg 100 g⁻¹.

Ca is the major component of the bones and helps regulate endo- and exo-enzymes. It also plays a significant role in regulating blood pressure and helps to neutralize acids and poisons (Yiğit and Yiğit, 2020). Therefore, it is an essential mineral for the human health. The results from the present study also showed that grape and grape products are rich in Ca. Ca concentrations in solid samples varied from 6.20 (Öküzgözü fresh grape) to 90.50 mg 100 g⁻¹ (Karadimir raisin) and in liquid samples ranging from 8.90 mg 100 g⁻¹ (red grape juice) to 87.64 (vinegar) mg 100 g⁻¹. Kavas (1990) detected Ca in grapes between 4 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 17 mg 100 g⁻¹, in raisins between 52 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 87.8 mg 100 g⁻¹ and in molasses between 400 mg 100 g⁻¹ and 500 mg 100 g⁻¹ while Üstün and Tosun (1997) found 50.9-206.1 mg 100 g⁻¹ of Ca in molasses, just like our results.

| Table 2. Total CHO contents of grape and grape products. |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Solid samples**                                      | **Total carbohydrate content (g 100 g⁻¹)** | **Liquid samples** | **Total carbohydrate content (g 100 g⁻¹)** |
| Fresh grapes                                           |                                           |                   |
| Emir                                                   | 10.44±0.52                               | Emir              | 0.33±0.02                        |
| Kalecik Karası                                        | 9.86±0.49                                | Kalecik Karası    | 0.14±0.01                        |
| Narince                                                | 11.89±0.60                               | Narince           | 0.36±0.02                        |
| Öküzgözü                                               | 8.01±0.40                                | Öküzgözü          | 0.24±0.01                        |
| Wines                                                  |                                           |                   |
| Raisins                                                |                                           |                   |
| Karadimir                                              | 37.89±1.89                               | Molasses          | 48.37±2.42                        |
| Sultani Çekirdeksiz raisin                             | 35.52±1.78                               | Vinegar           | 0.24±0.01                        |
| Wine                                                   |                                           | Grape juice       | 14.77±0.74                        |
Magnesium lowers blood pressure, decreases serum triglyceride level and cardiovascular diseases (Zhang et al., 2012; Chiuye et al., 2013). In this study, Mg concentrations ranged from 6.00 mg 100 g⁻¹ (grape juice) to 82.60 mg 100 g⁻¹ (Kalecik Karası wine). Raisins had more Mg contents than fresh grapes in the solid samples and wines were rich in Mg compared to the other liquid samples. It was determined that Mg contents were changed depending on the sample types and the varieties as reported before (Sousa et al., 2014; Cantürk et al., 2016). In fresh grapes contents of Mg varied from 6.30 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 13.30 mg 100 g⁻¹. Similar to these results Keskin et al. (2019) reported that Mg contents in grapes varied from 5.93 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 13.87 mg 100 g⁻¹ in native grape varieties grown in Mid-Black Sea Zone. Panceri et al. (2013) also detected Mg in Cabernet Sauvignon and Merlot grape varieties as 3.860 and 5.08 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively. Kavas (1990) studying on mineral composition of different grape samples reported that Mg was found in fresh grapes as 5-20 mg 100 g⁻¹ and in raisins as 35-42 mg 100 g⁻¹. While these results are similar to our findings, he determined lower Mg content in molasses as 14 mg 100 g⁻¹ compared to our results. This difference may be arisen from genotypes, fertilization, soil properties, maturity, and production procedure.

In this study, contents of Fe in grape and grape products were also examined because of the important effects of FE on human health. Fe-deficiency anemia is one of the most important public health problems of underdeveloped, developing countries. Fe deficiency anemia in a country; it is related to the cultural, socio-economic structure, and development of that country. This trace mineral is an essential of hemoglobin in red blood cells and myoglobin in muscles (Lopez et al., 2016). Fe is also recognized to be potential antioxidant (Talwar and Srivastasa, 2002). Kavas (1990) stated that Fe in raisin and molasses is absorbed easily by digestive system and these products can satisfy 37% of daily Fe requirement. In this study, it was determined that not only sample types but also genotypes seem to be important factors affecting the Fe content. In solid samples, fresh grapes had Fe between 0.30 and 0.70 mg 100 g⁻¹ as reported in previous studies (Bertoldi et al., 2011; Sousa et al., 2014; Cantürk et al., 2016) and Fe content changed depending on the grape varieties. Similarly, it was reported that Fe contents in 24 different grape varieties varied from 0.51 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 2.30 mg 100 g⁻¹ (Keskin et al., 2019). In this study, Fe was found in raisins between 1.00 and 4.40 mg 100 g⁻¹. Raisin of Karadimir showed 4-fold higher Fe than did raisin of Sultani Çekirdeksiz. These findings are in agreement with the results of Kavas (1990) determined that fresh grapes had Fe between 0.1 and 0.6 mg 100 g⁻¹ and raisins had between 1.6 and 3.3 mg 100 g⁻¹. The highest Fe-containing liquid samples were determined in Öküzgözü wine (6.32 g 100 g⁻¹) and Kalecik Karası wine (5.50 mg 100 g⁻¹). Some of the interesting results in this study, red grapes and wines had more Fe contents than white ones and wines were rich in compared to the fresh grapes. The amount of mineral substances in wine is very important in their toxic effects and changes in wine quality. Fe content in wines and musts is usually between 0.5 and 20 mg L⁻¹. Even the grapes grown in soils with high iron content are low in Fe levels. If Fe concentration in wine is above 10 mg L⁻¹, negative situations such as turbidity and color changes are encountered (Garcia Jahres et al., 1990). The cation profile of wine gives us information about the region and conditions in which grapes are grown. Similar to our results, Üstün and Tosun (1997) indicated that Fe contents of wines ranged from 2.62 to 16.30 mg 100 g⁻¹ depending on the genotypes.

According to the data obtained from the study, grape and grape products are rich in some of the essential minerals including K, P, Ca, Fe and Mg and their contents were changed depending on the sample types and the genotypes. Similarly Keskin et al. (2019) found significant variations in the contents of minerals among grape varieties. Since all minerals mentioned above are also effective in performing vital functions in the human body, it is important to include plants with such minerals in our diet. In this context, interest in traditionally consumed plant products due to growth and development, biochemical functions, and beneficial effects on people's basic enzyme systems, has increased (Bhat et al., 2010).

### Table 3. Mineral contents of grape and grape products.

|                  | Contents of minerals (mg 100 g⁻¹) |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
|                  | K        | P        | Ca       | Mg       | Fe       |
| **Solid samples**|          |          |          |          |          |
| Fresh grapes     |          |          |          |          |          |
| Kalecik Karası   | 317.90±1.20 | 19.30±0.10 | 28.70±5.40 | 13.30±0.10 | 0.40±0.00 |
| Narince          | 315.10±6.20 | 9.50±0.10  | 13.80±0.10 | 9.10±0.20  | 0.70±0.01 |
| Öküzgözü        | 277.00±1.06 | 16.60±0.10 | 20.00±0.10 | 10.30±0.10 | 0.30±0.00 |
| Raisins          | 244.10±2.48 | 10.70±0.10 | 6.20±0.10  | 6.30±0.01  | 0.40±0.01 |
| Sultani Çekirdeksiz | 781.60±4.20 | 50.50±4.30 | 90.50±1.20 | 37.00±8.30 | 4.40±0.05 |
| **Liquid samples**|          |          |          |          |          |
| Emir             | 758.50±11.50 | 40.20±0.50 | 45.70±2.30 | 29.80±2.20 | 1.00±0.01 |
| Kalecik Karası   |          |          |          |          |          |
| Narince          | 590.40±2.76  | 37.12±1.93  | 63.98±0.66  | 68.14±0.73  | 3.46±0.03 |
| Öküzgözü        | 899.60±2.20  | 44.54±0.27  | 82.22±0.40  | 82.60±0.38  | 5.20±0.09 |
| Raisins          | 675.40±1.82  | 48.38±0.65  | 65.32±1.07  | 69.58±0.94  | 2.50±0.03 |
| Sultani Çekirdeksiz | 874.20±9.46  | 56.24±0.44  | 68.22±0.81  | 80.26±0.97  | 6.39±0.05 |
| Molasses         | 974.40±7.97  | 84.30±9.19  | 71.10±0.67  | 66.70±2.20  | 2.30±0.01 |
| Vinegar          | 521.80±7.80  | 88.07±0.97  | 87.64±1.31  | 53.88±0.87  | 5.55±0.06 |
| Grape juice      | 76.70±0.82   | 6.70±0.10   | 8.90±0.05   | 6.00±0.03   | 0.10±0.00 |
**Phenolic Contents of Grape and Grape Products**

Phenolic compounds are of great importance because of the effects on the color, flavor, bitterness, and astringency in grape and grape products (Burin et al., 2010). Apart from the genetic structure, berry color, ripening stage, cultural practices, climatic conditions and water status are able to impact the levels of phenolics (Koundouras et al., 2006; Orduña, 2010). In this study, total phenolics, total flavanols, total flavonols and anthocyanins were measured as spectrophotometrically. For routine quality control, spectrophotometric methods such as the Folin-Ciocalteu are considered very beneficial because they are low cost, reproducible and quick methods (Vrhovsek et al., 2001). The concentrations of total phenolics obtained from grape and different grape products are illustrated in Table 4. Total phenolic contents in fresh grapes were ranged from 1.87 to 3.42 mg g⁻¹. As regard the fresh grapes, red grapes showed higher phenolic contents than white grapes. In previous studies, it was determined that the total phenolic contents varied significantly depending on the grape varieties and the berry color (Mazza, 1995; Göktürk Baydar, 2006; Yang et al., 2009; Ivanova et al., 2010). The differences among the varieties in terms of total phenolic contents can be affected by the factors such as water content and size of the berry. As a matter of fact, phenolic contents varied according to the ratio between grape skin surface and the berry volume (Champagnol, 1998). Similarly raisin of Karadimir had more phenolic content compared with the raisin of Sultani Çekirdeksiz, The results showing that red grapes and raisins had more phenolic contents than white ones are in agreement with previous reports from Katalinic et al. (2004; Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011). Babalık et al. (2020) also reported that total phenolic contents in grapes changed depending on the harvest year and the exogenous applications such as 24-epibrassinolide. In liquid samples, the highest total phenolic content was determined in molasses (9823.24 mg L⁻¹) followed by red wines. Karakaya et al. (2001) also determined that molasses contained the highest phenolic content among the eleven liquid samples including white wine, red wine, violet carrot juice, Turkish coffee, instant coffee, coke, black tea, linden flower, apricot nectar and sage. Phenolics are important compounds of wines because they contribute to their sensory characteristics including color, astringency, flavor, bitterness and hardness (Hufnagel and Hofmann, 2008; Challacombe et al., 2012). Although the effects of various classes of phenolics have been extensively studied on the quality of wines, only relatively recently these components gained increasing attention as nutritional antioxidants. Because there was a close correlation between antioxidant activities of wines and their phenolic contents (Katalinic et al., 2004; Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011). In this study, the total phenolic contents of wines were found as 1771.35 mg L⁻¹ for Kalecik Karası, 1606.76 mg L⁻¹ for Öküzgözü, 159.63 mg L⁻¹ for Narince and 139.50 mg L⁻¹ for Emir. The total concentrations of phenolics in red wines were higher than those in white wines; this coincides with the findings described previously (Karakaya et al., 2001; Göktürk Baydar et al., 2011). The importance of phenolic compounds, which have a complex structure, in flavor, color, and stability characteristic in wine and grape juices is well recognized. Phenolic compounds in grape juice and wines are also known to be affected by storage, variety, topographical characteristics, climate, soil. Soleas et al. (1997) found that total phenolic contents were found 1000-4000 mg L⁻¹ in red wines and between 50 and 2000 mg L⁻¹ in white wines. Li et al. (2009) also detected that the amount of total phenolics averaging 2068 mg L⁻¹, for the red wines and averaging 302 mg L⁻¹, for the white wines. These results are similar to the results of this study. Another result obtained in this research was that vinegar and grape juice had higher total phenolic contents than white wines. It is an anticipated result because vinegar and grape juice obtained from red grape varieties and red grape skin had more phenolic contents compared to the white grape skin (Göktürk Baydar, 2006). In this study, grape juice contained more total phenolic (295.82 mg L⁻¹) than vinegar (233.05 mg L⁻¹) similar to the findings of Davalos et al. (2005). Total phenolic contents of grape juices ranged from 254 to 2246 mg L⁻¹ (Bosanek et al., 1996) while Hallaç Türk et al. (2009) found total phenolic content in vinegar as 198.19 mg L⁻¹. Besides, Alonso et al. (2004) found that the total phenolic content of the vinegar varied between 200 mg L⁻¹ and 1000 mg L⁻¹ depending on the grape varieties and the producer companies. These results are in agreement with our findings.

**Table 4. Total phenolic contents of grape and grape products.**

|                  | Total phenolic content (mg GAE g⁻¹) | Total flavanol content (mg CE g⁻¹) | Total flavonol content (mg RE g⁻¹) | Anthocyanin content (mg g⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                  | Solid samples                      | Liquid samples                    |                                   |                             |
| Fresh grapes     |                                    |                                   |                                   |                             |
| Kalecik Karası   | 3.42±0.15                          | 2.63±0.26                         | 0.31±0.00                         | 0.25±0.01                   |
| Narince          | 2.22±0.16                          | 1.05±0.18                         | 0.31±0.02                         | -                           |
| Öküzgözü         | 3.12±0.19                          | 1.78±0.16                         | 0.31±0.01                         | 0.91±0.05                   |
| Raisins          |                                    |                                   |                                   |                             |
| Karadimir        | 3.55±0.49                          | 3.31±0.24                         | 0.18±0.00                         | 0.06±0.00                   |
| Sultani Çekirdeksiz | 1.45±0.10                     | 1.09±0.04                         | 0.15±0.01                         | -                           |
| Wines            |                                    |                                   |                                   |                             |
| Kalecik Karası   | 1771.35±35.11                      | 785.49±33.33                      | 197.55±7.47                       | 30.02±1.50                  |
| Narince          | 159.63±18.35                       | 33.12±0.00                        | 33.49±1.61                        | -                           |
| Öküzgözü         | 1606.76±38.06                      | 654.50±45.26                      | 190.79±5.32                       | 53.07±2.65                  |
| Molasses         |                                    |                                   |                                   |                             |
| Vinegar          | 9823.24±49.18                      | 667.63±23.12                      | 3115.51±10.03                     | -                           |
| Grape juice      | 233.05±16.52                       | 133.40±8.96                       | 5.58±0.00                         | 1.70±0.10                   |
|                  | 295.82±8.31                        | 134.01±7.59                       | 56.40±6.27                        | 0.15±0.00                   |

*Not analysed*
Flavanols, a subclass of a larger group of flavonoids, are formed by the replacement of the double-bonded oxygen atom in the C ring in the flavonol structure by the \(-\text{CH}_2\) group (Spiegel et al., 2020). While polymer forms of flavanols are responsible for the astringency of wine, their monomer forms such as catechin and epicatechin contribute to its bitterness (Ojeda et al., 2002). In this study, total flavanol contents were significantly changed depending on the samples (Table 4). Total flavanol contents in solid samples varied from 0.73 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Emir fresh grape) to 3.31 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Karadimrit raisin). In fresh grapes, total flavanols were between 0.73 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 2.63 mg g\(^{-1}\). Similarly, total flavanol contents in grapes was found to vary between 0.92 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 1.67 mg g\(^{-1}\) depending on the varieties (Göktürk Baydar et al., 2005).

The highest total flavanol contents in liquid samples were found in Kalecik Karası wine (785.49 mg L\(^{-1}\)) followed by molasses (667.63 mg L\(^{-1}\)), Öküzgözü wine (654.50 mg L\(^{-1}\)), grape juice (134.01 mg L\(^{-1}\)), vinegar (133.40 mg L\(^{-1}\)), Emir wine (43.84 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and Narince wine (33.12 mg L\(^{-1}\)), respectively. In a previous study, total flavanol contents of wines were found between 291.1 and 664.8 mg L\(^{-1}\) (Arnous et al., 2002).

Flavanols such as rutin and quercetin are also responsible for the bitter taste in wines (Ojeda et al., 2002) and known to support health by serving as antihistaminic, antiviral, and anti-inflammatory effects (Soleas et al., 1997). In this study, total flavonol amounts of the grape and grape products were given in Table 4. Fresh grapes of Kalecik Karası, Narince and Öküzgözü had equal amounts of total flavonol contents as 0.31 mg g\(^{-1}\) while the lowest value was determined from Emir fresh grape as 0.17 mg g\(^{-1}\). These results were in agreement with the results of Göktürk Baydar et al. (2005) founding total flavonol contents between 0.12 and 0.33 mg g\(^{-1}\) in some grape varieties. Total flavonol contents of liquid samples were ranged from 5.58 mg L\(^{-1}\) (vinegar) to 3115 mg L\(^{-1}\) (molasses). The data, estimated by analyzing total flavonols in wines, is presented in Table 4. The results show that red wines contain high concentrations of total flavonols as in total flavanols. Our analysis results show that the content of flavonoids was dependent on the color of the wine. Flavanoids are found mainly in grape skins. In the production of white wine, skin maceration is either absent or done for a very short time. Thus, as expected, white wines contain little or no flavonoids (Anli et al., 2008).

Anthocyanins found high in red grapes and red grape products, are used in natural food additives and pharmaceutical industries with their attractive red colors (Zhang and Furusaki, 1999). Anthocyanins, the most common group of water-soluble plant pigments (Khoo and Falk, 2014), are not found in white grapes (El-Mashharawi et al., 2020). Although they are mainly localized in the berry skin, they are also found in the flesh of some grape varieties called teinture. Anthocyanin contents of the grapes were affected by a number of factors such as variety, cultural practices, girding and viral infections (Downey et al., 2006; Katalinic et al., 2010). Anthocyanins have been used as natural food dyes in the food industry for many years, and have been reported to have preventive effects on many chronic diseases such as pyrexia, hypertension, liver disorders, diarrhea, urinary problems, and dysentery in terms of benefits to human health (Gil et al., 2000; Koncak and Zhang, 2004).

Anthocyanins contents of red fresh grapes, raisin vinegar and grape juice are illustrated in Table 4. Anthocyanin contents of fresh grapes were found as 0.25 mg L\(^{-1}\) in Kalecik Karası and as 0.91 mg g\(^{-1}\) in Öküzgözü. Toprak (2011) also reported that the total amount of anthocyanin in Kalecik Karası grapes was determined as 0.32 mg g\(^{-1}\). In previous studies conducted by different researchers, the amount of anthocyanin varied between 0.3 and 7.5 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Mazza, 1995); 0.16 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 1.85 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Katalinic et al., 2010); 0.50 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 4.99 mg g\(^{-1}\) (Balıık et al., 2013) depending on the genotypes. Not only genotypes, but also factors such as ecological conditions, maturation levels, cultural processes applied in the vineyard significantly change the amount of anthocyanin in grapes (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2000; De La Hera Orts et al., 2005). Similarly, anthocyanin contents in 2 consecutive years were found as 0.42 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 0.49 mg g\(^{-1}\) in Alphonse Lavallée berries (Balıık et al., 2020). It has also been determined by many previous studies that the amount of anthocyanin varies according to the variety. These results are in agreement with our findings. In this study it was also reported that fresh grapes contained more anthocyanins than raisins. This result does agree with the findings of Rababah et al. (2012) studying on the anthocyanin contents of fresh grapes, raisin and jam. In the liquid samples, wines contain anthocyanin between 30.02 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 53.07 mg L\(^{-1}\) while vinegar and grape juice had lower anthocyanins as 1.70 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 0.15 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Previously, total anthocyanin concentration was found to vary from 44.3 to 360.1 mg L\(^{-1}\) in wines (Arnous et al., 2002). Mazza et al. (1999) reported that variety, stages of fermentation and viticultural practices collection date seemed to be major factors influencing the relative concentrations of anthocyanins in wines.

**Conclusion**

As a conclusion, this paper shows that grape and grape products represent a potentially important source of the CHO, minerals and phenolics; and there are quantitative differences in their composition depending on the sample types. Our data also suggest that grape is one of the most important fruits presenting the nutrients and valuable antioxidant compounds to people with different consumption types. To know compounds of these products plays a big role for people to gain a right consumption habit in daily diet.
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