External validation of Pentafecta in patients undergoing laparoscopic radical cystectomy: results from a high-volume center
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Abstract

Background: To investigate whether Pentafecta is suitable for bladder cancer patients receiving laparoscopic radical cystectomy (LRC).

Methods: From November 2013 to December 2020, muscle invasive Bladder Cancer (MIBC) and non-muscle invasive Bladder Cancer (NMIBC) patients who received LRC and urinary diversion were retrospectively analyzed. Pentafecta was defined as meeting five criteria: negative soft margin, ≥ 16 lymph nodes (LNs) removed, major complications free, urinary diversion related sequelae free and clinical recurrence free within 1 year. Analyze the achievement of five criteria and compare the overall survival (OS) of Pentafecta group with non-attainment group. Multivariable Cox's regression was performed to evaluate the impact of Pentafecta on OS. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to explore the effect of surgical experience on Pentafecta attainment.

Results: A total of 340 patients were included, negative soft margin, ≥ 16 lymph nodes (LNs) removed, major complications free, urinary diversion related sequelae free and clinical recurrence free within 1 year were observed in 95.3%, 30.3%, 83.8%, 75.0% and 85.6% of patients, respectively. Pentafecta group had a significantly longer OS than the non-attainment group (P = 0.027). The group with 10–15 LNs removed and meeting the other four criteria had a similar OS to group with ≥ 16 LNs removed (Pentafecta group) (5-year OS: 67.3% vs 72.7%, P = 0.861). Pentafecta (HR = 0.33, P = 0.011), positive lymph nodes (HR = 2.08, P = 0.028) and MIBC (HR = 3.70, P < 0.001) were all significant predictors of OS in multivariable Cox's regression. Surgical experience (OR = 1.05, P < 0.001), conduit (OR = 2.09, P = 0.047) and neobladder (OR = 2.47, P = 0.048) were all independent predictors of Pentafecta attainment in multivariable logistic regression.

Conclusions: Pentafecta is suitable for bladder cancer patients receiving LRC and has the potential to be a valuable tool for evaluating the quality of LRC. Based on Pentafecta analysis, removing 10 LNs instead of 16 LNs as one of the five criteria may be more appropriate for bladder cancer patients.
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Background

Bladder Cancer is the eleventh most common cancer globally [1]. Bladder cancers included NMIBC and MIBC. The 5-year OS rate for patients with MIBC is roughly 60% to 70% [2], while the 5-year survival rate of MIBC patients with distant metastasis is approximately 15% [3].
RC is the gold standard for the treatment of MIBC and high-risk NMIBC [1, 4]. However, RC is a demanding operation and that may result in many serious complications. The rate of postoperative complications following RC has been reported to range from 30 to 70% [5–9]. According to a recent prospective randomized trial, the incidence of postoperative complications was close to 70% regardless of whether open or robotic cystectomy was used [10]. According to the PURE-01 Trial, the rate of serious complications (Clavien–Dindo III–V) was 34% [11]. Additionally, the quality of surgery had a substantial effect on the oncological outcomes and overall survival of bladder cancer patients [12]. Although certain molecular markers have been investigated, early predictors for prognosis following RC remain lacking. Urologists have introduced some new treatment strategies, including laparoscopic or robot-assisted laparoscopic procedure and urinary diversion, necessitating the development of a systematic evaluation system for perioperative morbidity and oncology outcomes. Aziz et al. [13] initially introduced a concept of Pentafecta, and Cacciamani et al. [12] generated an updated version about RC that we used in this article.

The number of LNs is a critical metric for the Pentafecta. The number of LNs removed depends on the extent of pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND). PLND templates included limited, standard, extended and super-extended templates [14]. During RC for bladder cancer, PLND was superior than no PLND [1]. However, the threshold of PLND is controversial. In the definition of Pentafecta, a PLND threshold of 16 LNs was used. Herr et al. [15] reported, however, that at least 10 to 14 LNs should be retrieved in RC.

We examined the Pentafecta outcomes in the patients who received LRC with urinary diversion. By comparing the results of other studies, it was determined which criteria are more appropriate for bladder cancer patients.

**Methods**

**Study population**

From November 2013 to December 2020, MIBC and NMIBC patients who received LRC and urinary diversion at our center were retrospectively analyzed. The patients were followed for a minimum of 12 months.

**Pentafecta analysis**

Patients who met all five criteria, including negative soft margin, the removal of ≥ 16 LNs, the absence of major complications (Clavien-Dindo grade III–V), the absence of urinary diversion related sequelae and clinical recurrence free within 1 year, were considered as having achieved the Pentafecta [12].

**Subgroup analysis**

To explore whether the removal of 10 LNs could be the PLND threshold for Pentafecta, we compared patients with 10 to 15 LNs were removed who met the other four Pentafecta criteria to those with ≥ 16 LNs removed group (Pentafecta group) in terms of OS. Multivariable Cox’s regression was performed to evaluate the impact of Pentafecta (10 LNs as the threshold of Pentafecta) on OS. Then we conducted a subgroup analysis on between PLND in NMIBC and MIBC.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were statistically analyzed by SPSS 26.0. Measurement data were expressed as mean and standard deviation, categorical data were expressed as number and percentage. All tests were two-tailed tests, P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Univariate analysis was performed using Kruskal–Wallis, chi-squared and Fisher’s exact tests to compare measurement and categorical data, as appropriate. Overall survival was analyzed by Kaplan–Meier analyses with the log-rank test. Multivariable Cox’s regression was performed to evaluate the impact of Pentafecta on OS. Surgical experience was coded as the number of prior LRC performed by each surgeon at the time of each patient’s surgery [16]. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to explore the effect of surgical experience on Pentafecta attainment. Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis was used to assess the factors leading to no PLND.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Total 340 patients were enrolled. 340 patients underwent LRC. The 340 patients received follow up at least 12 months. Median follow-up was 23.0 months, with an interquartile range (IQR) 14.0–38.5 months.

According to Table 1, the average age of the patients was 66.41 yr, of which 84.7% were male. 38.5% patients (131/340) had a smoking history, and 11.2% patients (38/340) received neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC). Pentafecta attained group was younger than Pentafecta not attained group (62.64 ± 10.36 vs. 67.07 ± 11.31, P = 0.01). In terms of urinary diversion, 173 (50.9%) received a cutaneous ureterostomy, 107 (31.5%) received ileum conduit and 60 (17.6%) received ileum orthotopic neobladder. Among the 340 included patients, 190 (55.9%) had ≥ pathological T2 and 28 (8.2%) had pathologically positive LN. In our center, the average number of LNs dissected per patients was 10.46 (Additional file 1).
Among the 340 included patients, 50 (14.7%) attained Pentafecta while 290 (85.3%) did not. Over the follow-up, each criterion of Pentafecta was observed in 95.3%, 30.3%, 83.8%, 75.0% and 85.6% of patients, respectively (Fig. 1). The median follow-up time was 23.0 months, and 88 (25.9%) of all patients died. The 5-year OS rate was 59.5 percent among 340 patients. Patients who achieved Pentafecta had a much better prognosis than those who did not (5-year OS: 72.7% vs. 63.8% \(P = 0.027\)) (Fig. 2).

To rule out the effect of NAC on the prognosis, we also analyzed the impact of Pentafecta on survival in patients receiving NAC or not. In NAC patients, the Pentafecta attained group had similar OS compared with the Pentafecta not attained group (5-year OS: 100.0% vs. 86.7% \(P = 0.289\)) (Additional file 2: Figure S1A). In non-NAC patients, the Pentafecta attained group had significantly higher 5-year OS than the Pentafecta not attained group (5-year OS: 70.6% vs. 61.8%, \(P = 0.034\)) (Additional file 2: Figure S1B). At multivariable Cox’s regression, Pentafecta (HR = 0.33, \(P = 0.011\)), positive lymph nodes (HR = 2.08, \(P = 0.028\)) and MIBC (HR = 3.70, \(P < 0.001\)) were significant predictors of OS (Table 2).

### Subgroup analysis

The group with 10–15 LNs removed and meeting the other four Pentafecta criteria had a similar OS to the group with \(\geq 16\) LNs (Pentafecta group) (5-year OS: 67.3% vs. 72.7%, \(P = 0.861\)) (Fig. 3A). At multivariable Cox’s regression, Pentafecta (10 LNs) (HR = 0.31, \(P = 0.029\)), positive lymph nodes (HR = 2.86, \(P = 0.008\)) and MIBC (HR = 4.96, \(P < 0.001\)) were significant predictors of OS (Table 3).

In terms of pathological stage, individuals with \(\geq 10\) LNs had a similar OS to those with <10 LNs removed in NMIBC patients (5-year OS: 79.7% vs. 64.1%, \(P = 0.686\)) (Fig. 3B). However, the group with \(\geq 10\) LNs removed had a much longer OS than the group with <10 LNs removed in MIBC patients (5-year OS: 63.9% vs. 53.7%, \(P = 0.033\)) (Fig. 3C).

In NMIBC, 124 (82.7%) patients received PLND, while 26 (17.3%) patients didn’t. In MIBC, 149 (78.4%) patients received PLND, while 41 (21.6%) patients didn’t (Fig. 4A). In both NMIBC and MIBC, the average age of the patients with no PLND was higher than that of patients with PLND. Additionally, the average

| Table 1: The clinicopathological characteristics among BCa patients |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Characteristics         | Total (n, %)             | Pentafecta attained     | Pentafecta not attained | \(P\) value |
| Patients (n, %)         | 340 (100)                | 50 (14.7)               | 290 (85.4)              |            |
| Mean age, years ± SD    | 66.41 ± 11.27            | 62.64 ± 10.36           | 67.07 ± 11.31           | 0.01       |
| Gender (n, %)           |                         |                         |                         | 0.317      |
| Male                    | 288 (84.7)               | 40 (80.0)               | 248 (85.5)              |            |
| Female                  | 52 (15.3)                | 10 (20.0)               | 42 (14.5)               |            |
| Smoking history (yes, %)| 131 (38.5)               | 14 (28.0)               | 117 (40.3)              | 0.136      |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (n, %) | 38 (11.2)   | 16 (32.0)               | 157 (54.1)              |            |
| Type of urinary diversion (n, %) | 107 (31.5)   | 21 (42.0)               | 86 (29.7)               |            |
| Cutaneous ureterostomy   | 173 (50.9)               | 16 (32.0)               | 157 (54.1)              | 0.014      |
| Conduit                 | 60 (17.6)                | 13 (26.0)               | 47 (16.2)               |            |
| Pathological T-stage (%)|                         |                         |                         | 0.488      |
| pT0                     | 29 (8.5)                 | 1 (2.0)                 | 28 (9.7)                |            |
| pTa                     | 25 (7.4)                 | 3 (6.0)                 | 22 (7.6)                |            |
| pT1                     | 96 (28.2)                | 17 (34.0)               | 79 (27.2)               |            |
| pT2                     | 83 (24.4)                | 12 (24.0)               | 71 (24.5)               |            |
| pT3                     | 65 (19.1)                | 9 (18.0)                | 56 (19.3)               |            |
| pT4                     | 42 (12.4)                | 8 (16.0)                | 34 (11.7)               |            |
| Pathological N-stage (%)|                         |                         |                         | 0.408      |
| N0                      | 312 (91.8)               | 44 (88.0)               | 268 (92.4)              |            |
| N1                      | 15 (4.4)                 | 4 (8.0)                 | 11 (3.8)                |            |
| N2                      | 9 (2.6)                  | 2 (4.0)                 | 7 (2.4)                 |            |
| N3                      | 4 (1.2)                  | 0 (0)                   | 4 (1.4)                 |            |
| No. lymph node removed (mean, ± SD) | 10.46 ± 8.60 | 20.34 ± 4.23           | 8.76 ± 8.0              | \(<0.001\) |

BCa = bladder cancer; SD = standard deviation
The age of MIBC patients receiving PLND was higher than that of NMIBC patients (Fig. 4B). In NMIBC, the PLND group had a similar OS with no PLND group (5-year OS: 80.3% vs. 64.9%, \( P = 0.949 \)) (Fig. 4C). In MIBC, the PLND group had a significantly longer OS than no PLND group (5-year OS: 60.9% vs. 26.9%, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 4D). Another multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that PLND execution was associated with younger age (\( \leq 65 \) years) (OR 3.33, \( P < 0.001 \)) and NAC (OR 8.36, \( P = 0.041 \)) (Table 4).

### Predictors of Pentafecta attainment

At multivariable logistic regression, conduit (OR = 2.09, \( P = 0.047 \)), neobladder (OR = 2.47, \( P = 0.048 \)) and surgical experience (OR = 1.05, \( P < 0.001 \)) were independent predictors of Pentafecta attainment (Table 5).

### Discussion

RC with Urinary Diversion is a complicated operation, where the technical quality of operation has a substantial impact on perioperative morbidity and oncological outcomes. Pentafecta can be used to evaluate the quality of surgery and predict survival prognosis, covering oncological outcomes, short and long-term complications.

In our study, a total of 340 patients were included, negative soft margin, \( \geq 16 \) LNs removed, major complications free, urinary diversion related sequelae free and clinical recurrence free within 1 year were observed in 95.3%, 30.3%, 83.8%, 75.0% and 85.6% of patients, respectively. And 14.7% of patients achieved the Pentafecta.
Cacciamani et al. [12] and Piazza et al. [17] reported a 53.3% and 52.2% Pentafecta rate, respectively. Two multicenter studies by Oh JJ et al. [18] and Baron et al. [19] reported the Pentafecta rate of 28.5% and 39.4%, respectively. Oh JJ et al. and Baron et al. reported a lower Pentafecta rate than Cacciamani et al. and Piazza P et al. which could be attributed to their relatively low rate of ≥ 16 LNs removed. Their findings could be less biased as a result of their multicenter research. In comparison to previous research, our center had a relatively reduced rate of Pentafecta achievement. However, RARC and LRC had comparable complications, pathological and oncological outcomes, according to a meta-analysis [20]. We further explore the factors that contribute to our relatively low Pentafecta rate.

The primary causes for our center’s reduced Pentafecta rate were a relatively low urinary diversion related sequelae free rate (75.0%) and low rate of ≥ 16 LNs removed.
Fig. 4 Overview of PLND. A The status of PLND both in NMIBC and MIBC. B In both NMIBC and MIBC, compare the age of patients in the PLND and no PLND group. C In NMIBC patients, compare the PLND group with no PLND group in OS. D In MIBC patients, compare the PLND group with no PLND group in OS.

Table 4 Multivariable binary logistic regression analysis of the factors leading to PLND

| Variable                                      | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Sex (male vs. female)                         | 1.29 (0.58–2.87) | 0.533   |
| Age (≤65 vs. >65)                             | 3.33 (1.68–6.59) | 0.001   |
| Diversion type (conduit vs. cutaneous ureterostomy) | 1.40 (0.74–2.65) | 0.30    |
| Diversion type (neobladder vs. cutaneous ureterostomy) | 2.53 (0.81–9.10) | 0.093   |
| Pathological T-stage (MIBC vs. NMIBC)         | 0.73 (0.40–1.31) | 0.286   |
| Smoking history (yes vs. no)                  | 1.36 (0.72–2.59) | 0.345   |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (yes vs. no)         | 8.36 (1.09–64.12) | 0.041   |

Table 5 Multivariable logistic regression predictive model of Pentafecta achievement

| Variable                                      | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Sex (male vs. female)                         | 0.72 (0.31–1.67) | 0.445   |
| Age (≤65 vs. >65)                             | 1.51 (0.79–2.91) | 0.214   |
| Diversion type (conduit vs. cutaneous ureterostomy) | 2.09 (1.01–4.31) | 0.047   |
| Diversion type (neobladder vs. cutaneous ureterostomy) | 2.47 (1.01–6.05) | 0.048   |
| Pathological T-stage (MIBC vs. NMIBC)         | 1.10 (0.58–2.07) | 0.780   |
| Pathological N-stage (N+ vs. N−)              | 1.68 (0.58–4.85) | 0.339   |
| Smoking history (yes vs. no)                  | 1.36 (0.72–2.59) | 0.345   |
| Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (yes vs. no)         | 1.48 (0.60–3.67) | 0.397   |
| Surgical experience (continuous)             | 1.05 (1.03–1.07) | <0.001  |
(30.3%). In our study, 50.9% patients received cutaneous ureterostomy, whereas previous Pentafecta studies involved only patients who received ileal conduit and neobladder [12, 17–19]. The cutaneous ureterostomy might improve surgical tolerance in the elderly patients, which cloud result in a reduced rate of perioperative and postoperative complications [21]. However, the remarkable long-term complication related to cutaneous ureterostomy was ureteral strictures, which occurred at a higher rate than those associated ileal conduit and neobladder [22], contributing to the high risk of urine diversion-related sequelae.

Additionally, we examined the status of LNs removed in our center to explore the effect on Pentafecta attainment, and tried to determine the optimal amount of LNs removed.

The number of LNs was determined by the range of PLND. PLND included templates that were limited, standard, extended and super-extended [14]. PLND was superior to no PLND during RC of bladder cancer [1]. Regardless of pathological nodal status, research data indicated a considerable oncological advantage in PLND cohorts than non-PLND cohorts [15]. Along with the benefits of PLND, it also brings related complications. Symptomatic pelvic lymphocele, development of lymphoedema, ileus, deep venous thrombosis are the most common postoperative complications of PLND [23]. Since RC could already bring many complications, it might be difficult for elderly individuals to undergo RC with PLND [24, 25].

According to the Bladder Cancer Collaborative, the average number of LNs removed for bladder cancer should exceed 12.5 [26]. The average number of dissected LNs among all patients at our center was 10.46, which was less than the recommended. The main reason was that some elderly patients didn’t receive PLND. The average age of the patients who didn’t receive PLND was higher than that of dissected patients (75.0 vs. 64.3, \( P < 0.001 \)).

In some reports, the extended and super-extended PLND could bring a superior OS than standard PLND [15]. In a prospective phase III RCT, however, extended PLND failed to demonstrate better benefit to standard PLND [27]. It remains unclear whether the number of removed LNs is the most critical prognosticator. Besides, Herr et al. [26] reported that a minimum of 10 to 14 LNs should be dissected in RC. Thus, we chose patients who had 10 to 15 LNs removed and met all the other four criteria of Pentafecta as the subgroup. The subgroup (10–15 LNs) had a similar OS to the Pentafecta attained group (≥ 16 LNs). This result suggested that it might be unnecessary to remove as many as LNs possible, dissecting fewer LNs (10 LNs) could also bring the same prognostic benefits to patients.

In our center, PLND was associated with a favorable OS for MIBC patients (Fig. 4D). Interestingly, our findings indicated that PLND execution failed to improve the OS of NMIBC (Fig. 4C), whereas no PLND had a greater benefit in lowering complications in patients with negative LNs. With large sample sizes, a significant positive correlation between age and the perioperative complication rate was observed in RC for bladder cancer [4, 28]. In the real-world, high-risk NMIBC patients who received BCG instillations [29] or MIBC patients who received trimodality therapy [30] did not have any lymph node dissection. For patients who are capable of receiving BCG instillations but choose on RC, PLND will result in additional complications. Thus, whether to perform PLND or not in these patients, especially those with high-risk NMIBC, was still needed to be validated in future. This could also be the reason leading to low ≥ 16 LNs removed rate (30.3%) in our center.

According to the American National Cancer Database, 12.7% of NMIBC patients who underwent RC between 2004 and 2013 had positive LNs [31]. Remarkably, because they used the clinical stage, they misdiagnosed a portion of MIBC patients as NMIBC, resulting in a high positive rate. However, even in NMIBC patients at our center, positive LNs are also detected in 3.2% of patients (Additional file 2: Table S1). Thus, even in patients with NMIBC, the careful evaluation of the risk of LN metastases prior to surgery was still necessary, especially when PLDN was not intended to perform. In summary, Pentafecta has the potential to be a useful tool for evaluating the quality of radical cystectomy. 10 LNs removed may be more beneficial for bladder cancer patients requiring RC and PLND.

**Conclusions**

Pentafecta is suitable for bladder cancer patients receiving LRC and has the potential to be a useful tool for evaluating the quality of LRC. Based on Pentafecta analysis, removing 10 LNs instead of 16 LNs as the one of the five criteria may be more appropriate for bladder cancer patients.

**Abbreviations**

- MIBC: Muscle invasive Bladder Cancer; NMIBC: Non-muscle invasive Bladder Cancer; RC: Radical cystectomy; PLND: Pelvic lymph node dissection; LN: Lymph node; OS: Overall survival; CI: Confidence interval; TMT: Trimodality therapy; BCG: Bacillus Calmette-Guérin.
Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12894-022-00987-9.

Additional file 1. Raw data.
Additional file 2. Supplementary figure and table.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
Conception and Design: QL QC Data analysis: KL XY JZ Manuscript writing: All authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 82072832 and 81772711).

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in supplementary information files.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. This was a retrospective study that received approval by the Hospital Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2021-SR-291). A written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 4 December 2021 Accepted: 7 March 2022
Published online: 21 March 2022

References
1. Witjes JA, Bruins HM, Cathomas R, Compérat EM, Cowan NC, Gakis G, Hernández V, Linnees E, Lorch A, Neuzillet Y, et al. European Association of Urology guidelines on muscle-invasive and metastatic bladder cancer: summary of the 2020 guidelines. Eur Urol 2020.
2. Patel VG, Oh WK, Galsky MD. Treatment of muscle-invasive and advanced bladder cancer in 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. 2020;70(5):404–23.
3. Moschini M, Xylinas E, Zamboni S, Mattei A, Niegisch G, Yu EY, Bamias A, Agarwal N, Sridhar SS, Sternberg CN, et al. Efficacy of surgery in the primary tumor site for metastatic urothelial cancer: analysis of an international, multicenter multidisciplinary database. Eur Urol Oncol. 2020;3(1):94–101.
4. Babjuk M, Burger M, Compérat EM, Gontero P, Mostafid AH, Palou J, van Rhijn B, Roupéret M, Shariat SF, Sylvester R, et al. European Association of Urology Guidelines on non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (TaT1 and carcinoma in situ)—2020 update. Eur Urol. 2019;76(5):639–57.
5. Hautmann RE, de Petricci RC, Volkmann BG. Lessons learned from 1,000 nerve-sparing radical prostatectomies. This was a retrospective study that received approval by the Hospital Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2021-SR-291). A written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Appendix A. Additional Information
6. Isbarn H, Jeldres C, Zini L, Perrotte P, Baillargeon-Gagne S, Capitanio U, Aerts V, Slawson KD, Cabanis E, et al. Prediction of perioperative mortality after radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. BJU INT. 2012;110(6 Pt B):E222–7.
7. Novotny V, Hakenberg OW, Wiessner D, Heberling U, Litz RJ, Dehlischlager S, Winth MP. Perioperative complications of radical cystectomy in a contemporary series. Eur Urol. 2007;51(2):397–402.
8. Aziz A, Gerth M, Rinck M, Schmid M, Chun FK, Dahrel M, Roghmann F, Palisaar RJ, Noldus J, Ellinger J, et al. Optimizing outcome reporting after radical cystectomy for organ-confined urothelial carcinoma of the bladder using oncological trifecta and pentafecta. World J Urol. 2015;33(2):1945–50.
9. Parekhi DJ, Reis IM, Castle EP, Gonzalgo ML, Woods ME, Svatsek RS, Weizer AZ, Konety BR, Tolleson M, Krupski TL, et al. Robot-assisted radical cystectomy versus open radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer (RAZOR): an open-label, randomised, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. Lancet. 2018;391(10139):2525–36.
10. Briganti A, Gandaglia G, Scuderi S, Gallina A, Colombo R, Fossati N, Barletta F, Pellegrino A, Nocera L, Montorsi F, et al. Surgical safety of radical cystectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection following neoadjuvant pembrolizumab in patients with bladder cancer: prospective assessment of perioperative outcomes from the PURE-01 Trial. Eur Urol. 2020;77(5):576–80.
11. Cacciamani GE, Winter M, Medina LG, Ashrafi AN, Miranda G, Tafuri A, Landisberger H, Lin-Brandie M, Rajarubendra N, De Castro AA, et al. Radical cystectomy pentafecta: a proposal for standardisation of outcomes reporting following robot-assisted radical cystectomy. BJU Int. 2020;125(1):64–72.
12. Aziz A, May M, Burger M, Palisaar RJ, Trinh QD, Fritsche HM, Rinck M, Chun F, Martini T, Bolenz C, et al. Prediction of 90-day mortality after radical cystectomy for bladder cancer in a prospective European multicenter cohort. Eur Urol. 2014;66(1):156–63.
13. Bi L, Huang H, Fan X, Li K, Xu K, Jiang C, Liu H, Dong W, Zhang S, Yang X, et al. Extended vs non-extended pelvic lymph node dissection and their influence on recurrence-free survival in patients undergoing radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. BJU Int. 2014;113(5b):E39–48.
14. Perera M, McGrath S, Sengupta S, Crozer J, Bolton D, Lawrentschuk N. Pelvic lymph node dissection during radical cystectomy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Nat Rev Urol. 2018;15(11):686–92.
15. Hayn MH, Hussain A, Mansour AM, Andrews PE, Carpentier P, Castle E, Daspaguta P, Rimington P, Thomas R, Khan S, et al. The learning curve of robot-assisted radical cystectomy: results from the International Robotic Cystectomy Consortium. Eur Urol. 2010;58(2):197–202.
16. Piazza P, Bravi CA, Pulliati S, Cacciamani GE, Knipper S, Amato M, Dell’Oglio P, Mazzone E, Roselli G, Farinha R, et al. Assessing pentafecta achievement after robot-assisted radical cystectomy and its association with surgical experience: results from a high-volume institution. Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig. 2022.
17. Oh JJ, Lee S, Ku JH, Kwon TG, Kim TH, Jeon SH, Lee SH, Nam JK, Kim WS, Lee SH, et al. Oncological outcome according to attainment of pentafecta after robot-assisted radical cystectomy in patients with bladder cancer included in the multicentre KORARC database. BJU Int. 2020;125(1):E39–48.
18. Baron P, Khene Z, Lannes F, Pignot G, Bajeot AS, Ploussard G, Verhoest G, Bolenz C, et al. Prediction of 90-day mortality after radical cystectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection following neoadjuvant pembrolizumab in patients with bladder cancer: prospective assessment of perioperative outcomes from the PURE-01 Trial. Eur Urol. 2020;77(5):576–80.
19. Piazza P, Bravi CA, Pulliati S, Cacciamani GE, Knipper S, Amato M, Dell’Oglio P, Mazzone E, Roselli G, Farinha R, et al. Assessing pentafecta achievement after robot-assisted radical cystectomy and its association with surgical experience: results from a high-volume institution. Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig. 2022.
20. Fuschi A, Al Salhi Y, Sequi MB, Velotti G, Martoccia A, Suraci PP, Scalzo M, Asimakopulos A, Bozzini G, Zucchi A, et al. Evaluation of functional outcomes and quality of life in elderly patients (>75 yo) undergoing minimally invasive radical cystectomy with intracorporeal urinary diversion for bladder cancer. World J Urol. 2021.
21. Feng D, Li A, Hu X, Lin T, Tang Y, Han P. Comparative effectiveness of open, laparoscopic and robot-assisted radical cystectomy for bladder cancer: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Minerva Urol Nefrol. 2020;72(3):251–64.
22. Novotny V, Hakenberg OW, Wiessner D, Heberling U, Litz RJ, Dehlischlager S, Winth MP. Perioperative complications of radical cystectomy in a contemporary series. Eur Urol. 2007;51(2):397–402.
23. Fisocchi A, Al Salhi Y, Sequi MB, Velotti G, Martoccia A, Suraci PP, Scalzo M, Asimakopulos A, Bozzini G, Zucchi A, et al. Evaluation of functional outcomes and quality of life in elderly patients (>75 yo) undergoing minimally invasive radical cystectomy with single stoma ureterocutaneous ostomy vs. Bricker intracorporeal ileal conduit urinary diversion. J Clin Med. 2022;11(1):136.
24. Deliveliotis C, Papatsoris A, Chrysosof M, Della A, Liakouras C, Skolankos A. Urinary diversion in high-risk elderly patients: modified cutaneous ureterostomy or ileal conduit? Urology. 2005;66(2):299–304.
25. Ploussard G, Briganti A, de la Taille A, Haese A, Heidenreich A, Menon M, Sulser T, Tewari AK, Eastham JA. Pelvic lymph node dissection during indices estimating perioperative mortality after radical cystectomy for urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. BJU INT. 2012;110(6 Pt B):E222–7.

Additional file 1. Raw data.
Additional file 2. Supplementary figure and table.
robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: efficacy, limitations, and complications—a systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol. 2014;65(1):7–16.

24. Johar RS, Hayn MH, Stegemann AP, Ahmed K, Agarwal P, Balbay MD, Hemal A, Kibel AS, Muhletaler F, Nepple K, et al. Complications after robot-assisted radical cystectomy: results from the International Robotic Cystectomy Consortium. Eur Urol. 2013;64(1):52–7.

25. Hautmann RE, Abd-El-Enein H, Davidson S, Guðjónsson S, Hautmann SH, Holm HV, Lee CT, Liedberg F, Madersbacher S, Manoharan M, et al. ICUD-EAU International Consultation on Bladder Cancer 2012: urinary diversion. Eur Urol. 2013;63(1):67–80.

26. Herr H, Lee C, Chang S, Lerner S. Standardization of radical cystectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection for bladder cancer: a collaborative group report. J Urol. 2004;171(5):1823–8.

27. Gschwend JE, Heck MM, Lehmann J, Rubben H, Albers P, Wolff JM, Frohneberg D, de Geeter P, Heidenreich A, Kälble T, et al. Extended versus limited lymph node dissection in bladder cancer patients undergoing radical cystectomy: survival results from a prospective, randomized trial. EUR UROL. 2019;75(4):604–11.

28. Froehner M, Brausi MA, Herr HW, Muto G, Studer UE. Complications following radical cystectomy for bladder cancer in the elderly. Eur Urol. 2009;56(3):443–54.

29. Lenis AT, Lee P, Michel J, Brisbane W, Golla V, Sharma V, Gollapudi K, Blumberg J, Chami K. Predictors of adequate lymph node dissection in patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy and effect on survival. Urol Oncol. 2020;38(10):796–7.

30. Francolini G, Boghesi S, Fersino S, Magli A, Jereczek-Fossa BA, Cristinelli L, Rizzo M, Corvò R, Pappagallo GL, Arcangeli S, et al. Treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer in patients without comorbidities and fit for surgery: trimodality therapy vs radical cystectomy. Development of GRADE (Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation) recommendation by the Italian Association of Radiotherapy and Clinical Oncology (AIRO). Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2021;159:103235.

31. Wu S, Zheng J, Li Y, Wu Z, Shi S, Huang M, Yu H, Dong W, Huang J, Lin T. Development and validation of an MRI-based radiomics signature for the preoperative prediction of lymph node metastasis in bladder cancer. EBioMedicine. 2018;34:76–84.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.