Superconducting properties of the In substituted Topological Crystalline Insulator, SnTe
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We report detailed investigations of the properties of a superconductor obtained by substituting In at the Sn site in the topological crystalline insulator (TCI), SnTe. Transport, magnetization and heat capacity measurements have been performed on crystals of Sn0.4In0.4Te, which is shown to be a bulk superconductor with $T_c^{\text{onset}}$ at $\sim 4.70(5)$ K and $T_c^{\text{zero}}$ at $\sim 3.50(5)$ K. The upper and lower critical fields are estimated to be $\mu_0H_{c2}(0) = 1.42(3)$ T and $\mu_0H_{c1}(0) = 0.90(3)$ mT respectively, while $\kappa = 56.4(8)$ indicates this material is a strongly type II superconductor.

Topological insulators (TIs) have been extensively investigated in the recent past [1, 2] leading to the rapid discovery of three dimensional TIs (such as Bi$_2$Se$_3$, Bi$_2$Te$_3$), two dimensional TIs (such as HgTe, Hg-CdTe) and TIs that can be made superconducting, such as Cu$_x$Bi$_2$Se$_3$. More recently, a new class of materials called topological crystalline insulators (TCI) has been proposed theoretically by Fu [3] and subsequently, SnTe has been discovered to exhibit all the required characteristics of this state [4, 5]. In a topological insulator the surface exhibits a gapless metallic state that is protected by time reversal symmetry. The surface states when examined by angle resolved photo emission spectroscopy (ARPES) studies, reveal the presence of an odd number of Dirac cones. In the SnTe TCI, the topologically protected metallic surface states are protected by the mirror symmetry of the crystal, which replaces the role played by time reversal symmetry in protecting the surface states in a TI. The presence of band inversion in SnTe is also responsible for the observed surface states and differentiates this material from the analogous PbTe, which is not a TCI [4]. In the Pb$_{1-x}$Sn$_x$Se, the system is a TCI for $x = 0.23$ and the material undergoes a transition from a trivial insulator to a TCI as a function of temperature [6].

The study of TIs as superconductors has been less straightforward. Both surface as well bulk studies on the copper intercalated Bi$_2$Se$_3$, Cu$_x$Bi$_2$Se$_3$ [7], have produced results that are far from being consistent due to the intrinsic inhomogeneity of the samples. The half Heusler alloys YPtBi, LaPtBi and LuPtBi are classified as TIs and are also known to be superconducting [8, 9]. Recently, these materials have also been identified as possible candidates for 3D topological superconductors based on the band inversion that they exhibit [8, 10].

SnTe crystallizes in the rock salt structure and is classified a TCI because it satisfies the conditions required for the mirror symmetry. It is a narrow band semiconductor and in the as-grown state, it usually forms with a number of Sn vacancies. SnTe can be made superconducting when doped with about $10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ Sn vacancies ($T_c < 0.3$ K). It has been shown previously that SnTe when doped with small levels of In at the Sn site exhibits superconductivity [11]. Previous work by Erickson et al. [12] has shown that a superconductor with a maximum $T_c$ of 2 K is obtained for In substitution levels of around 6% in Sn$_{0.988-}In_x$Te, and detailed bulk property measurements have been reported on In substituted SnTe crystals, for low levels of substitution. Point contact spectroscopy, ARPES and bulk property measurements have been reported on Sn$_{1-x}In_x$Te for levels of In substitution giving superconducting transition temperatures between 1 and 2 K. [13, 14]. More recently, it has been demonstrated that higher levels of In substitution ($x \sim 0.4$) in SnTe results in a superconductor with a much higher transition temperature of $\sim 4.5$ K [15]. These superconductors are particularly interesting as they emerge from a parent TCI material. The investigation of both the bulk and surface characteristics of these materials is essential in order to understand the electronic properties and their implications to the emergence of the superconducting state.

In this paper, we report the synthesis and properties of Sn$_{1-x}In_x$Te, for $x = 0$, and 0.4 where $x = 0.4$ sample is the superconductor and the $x = 0$ sample is the parent non superconducting TCI for comparison. The In substitution level chosen for study here, appears to give close to the optimum $T_c$ for this system. The investigation of the superconducting properties of the Sn$_{0.4}In_{0.4}$Te superconductor through resistivity, dc magnetization, ac susceptibility and heat capacity measurements are presented. The results point to the existence of bulk superconducting states in this important class of materials.

Crystals of Sn$_{1-x}In_x$Te for $x = 0$ and 0.4, were grown by the modified Bridgman method adopting a similar procedure to that described by Tanaka et al. [4] for SnTe. Stoichiometric ratios of the starting materials, 99.99% Sn,(shots) In (shots) and Te (powder), were taken in evacuated and sealed quartz ampoules. The quartz tubes were heated to around 900 °C and slow cooled (2 °C/h) to 770 °C, followed by a fast cool to room temperature. Powder x-ray diffraction on powdered portions of the as grown boules were carried out using a Panalytical X’ Pert Pro system with a monochromatic Cu$K_{\alpha1}$ radiation.
Rectangular bars (≈ 4 to 6 mm in length and ≈ 3 × 1 mm² in cross section) were cut for resistivity measurements. Resistivity ρ, was measured as a function of temperature (300 to 1.8 K) and applied magnetic field (0 to 2 T) using a standard 4 probe method in a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS). Measurements of the sample heat capacity C, were carried out using a relaxation method in a Quantum Design PPMS. Measurements of ac susceptibility χ², and dc magnetization M, were made as a function of temperature T, and applied magnetic field H, using a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS).

The observed x-ray powder diffraction pattern for the Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te is consistent with the pattern for the SnTe (x = 0) parent phase. Both materials have a cubic Fm3m structure. The lattice parameter calculated from a fit to the data is a = 0.6280(1) nm for Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te, while the parent compound SnTe has a lattice parameter of a = 0.6321(1) nm. Our results agree well with the published data for both the SnTe and SnTe in zero field. Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te shows a superconducting transition with a Tc onset at ≈ 3.50(5) K and zero resistance, Tc zero at 3.50(5) K. (b) The resistivity of Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te at temperatures around Tc in increasing applied magnetic fields. The onset of the transition shifts to lower temperatures with increasing field without any broadening of the transition.

The resistivity of the x = 0.4 sample at temperatures around Tc, in different applied fields is shown in Fig. 1b. While there is a gradual shift in Tc onset with applied field, there is no broadening of the superconducting transition.

A superconducting transition in Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te was also observed in dc magnetic susceptibility (χdc = M/H) measurements, which were carried out as a function of temperature in applied fields from 3 mT to 0.8 T. Fig. 2a shows the zero-field-cooled warming data for applied magnetic fields. The magnetization observed in the superconducting state does not saturate at the lowest temperature measured. The lower critical field Hc₁ is difficult to determine. We estimate Hc₁(T) of this superconductor from the first deviation from linearity in the low-field regions in our M(H) scans (not shown). Assuming a simple parabolic T dependence for Hc₁(T) = Hc₁(0) (1 − t²) where t = T/Tc we estimate Hc₁(0) to be of the order of 0.90(3) mT (see inset of Fig. 3). The temperature dependence of the ac susceptibility χac measured on the same Sn₀.₆In₀.₄Te sample is shown in Fig. 2b. For both the ac and dc measurements, Tc onset is 4.75(5) K. Here again, the superconducting transition appears relatively broad (ΔTc ≈ 1 K). The in-phase component of the ac susceptibility χac starts to flatten when the resistive transition is complete. The transition is accompanied by a small dissipative peak in the out-of-phase signal χ''ac. At the lowest T, the diamagnetic screening reaches a value of 98% of the ideal value.

The temperature dependence of the upper critical field Hc₂ obtained from the resistivity measurements is plotted in Fig. 3. Using the values of Hc₂ for Tc zero we evaluate the zero temperature limit of the upper critical field to be μ₀Hc₂(0) = 1.42(3) T by fitting our data to the general-ized Ginzburg-Landau model: Hc₂(T) = Hc₂(0) \left( \frac{1−t²}{1+t²} \right). The value of Hc₂(0) is far below the BCS Pauli paramagnetic limit (Bc²Pauli = 1.83Tc ≈ 6.85 T). Using the zero-temperature relation μ₀Hc₂(0) = Φ₀/2πξ²(0), we estimate the coherence length ξ(0) to be 15.2(2) nm and then using Bc²(0)/Bc₁(0) = 2κ²/lnκ we estimate the Ginzburg-Landau parameter, κ = 5.6(8) with the penetration depth, λ(0) = 860(40) nm [18]. The agreement...
of the transition temperatures measured by both ac and dc susceptibility as well as resistivity is clear evidence of bulk superconductivity in Sn_{1-x}In_xTe.

Further evidence for the bulk superconductivity is given by the specific-heat $C$ of the ac susceptibility of Sn_0.6In_0.4Te measured by zero-field-cooled warming (ZFCW). (b) Temperature dependence of the ac susceptibility of Sn_0.6In_0.4Te showing $\chi''$ and $\chi'$ through the superconducting transition. A demagnetization factor has been applied to account for the plate-like shape the sample [17].

The magnitude of the normal state resistivity of SnTe (with no In) and that of Sn_0.6In_0.4Te samples are comparable. The resistance of the brittle Sn_0.6In_0.4Te samples increases with thermal cycling, but the form of the $\rho(T)$ curves remains the same. The temperature dependence of the resistivity in SnTe, the parent TCI material, and the resistance ratio $R_{300\,K}/R_{10\,K}$ of $\sim 17$, indicate that SnTe forms with reasonable number of carriers. The resistance ratio, $R_{300\,K}/R_{10\,K}$, of the Sn_0.6In_0.4Te sample is only $\sim 1.3$. The resistivity behavior for the $x = 0.4$ sample is similar to that observed by Sasaki et al. [13] for a sample with $x = 0.045$ indicating that the behavior remains unchanged when the In substitution levels are increased tenfold. The superconducting behavior seen in the In doped samples (from low levels of around 2% to levels as high as 40%, as in the present study) therefore arises out of a poor conductor rather than from a true semiconducting phase. We have also performed resistivity measurements on a range of Sn_{1-x}Te_{1+x} samples for $0 \leq x \leq 0.06$ and found that these variations in the relative Sn/Te content do not result in large changes in the absolute value or temperature dependence of the measured resistivity between 2 and 300 K.

We briefly address the implications of our results on the search for the occurrence of topological superconductivity in the Sn-In-Te system. Previous studies of the Fermi surface of the $x = 0.045$ crystals by Sato et al. [14] have provided evidence to show that the band inversion...
that is observed in the parent TCI material SnTe, is still present in the Sn-In-Te. The observation of topological surface states in the In substituted SnTe system is promising for the study of topological superconductivity in semiconducting materials with similar characteristics to SnTe. Our results definitely point to the Sn-In-Te superconductors, however, form in a centro-symmetric crystal structure, and the only evidence for odd parity pairing in the Sn-In-Te comes from the point contact spectroscopy studies by Sasaki et al. \[13\]. Our results probe the characteristics of Sn$_{0.6}$In$_{0.4}$Te and confirm that this material is a bulk superconductor and hence a good candidate in the search for 3D topological crystalline superconductivity. Further investigations of the surface states of this superconductor will be required to search for the presence of exotic surface states. The dependence of the superconducting properties on the level of In substitution also needs careful investigation in order to optimize the superconducting characteristics of these materials. These studies are currently underway.
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