Effect of feeding probiotic and vitamin U on poultry egg production
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Abstract

The aim of the research was to find out the effect of the addition of Cellobacterin-T and vitamin U in the formulation of compound feed for laying hens on their egg productivity. The objects of research were laying hens of the cross «KOBB-500». The duration of this experiment was 10 months. It was found that a more favorable effect on the indicators of egg productivity in the experimental poultry was exerted by joint additions to the mixed feed of the enzyme probiotic and vitamin U. Taking this into account, the layers of the 3 experimental group versus the analogs in the control group had higher egg production values per average layer, the egg-laying rate, the weight of one egg, the yield of egg mass with a decrease in the consumption of compound feed for the production of 10 pieces. eggs. With the combined introduction of an enzymatic probiotic and vitamin U into the compound feed formulation, an improvement in the biochemical composition of the laid eggs was noted in the 3rd experimental group of layers. In them, relative to the control analogs, this superiority was manifested in an increase in the egg yolk of the dry matter concentration, protein; in egg white – the concentration of dry matte and the actual protein. The layers of the 3 experimental group versus the analogs in the control group had higher indices of the shape index by 1.67%, the number of incubated eggs – by 26.40 pcs., fertilized eggs – by 2562 pcs. and hatched chickens – by 25.1 heads.
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Introduction

Egg production serves as an important indicator of the influence of feeding factors on the productive characteristics of a bird, its physiological state and the functional activity of the reproductive organs. The intensity of laying hens’ egg production is due to the time period required for egg formation in the ovaries of female poultry. The longer the period of time it takes for the hen to form an egg, the lower the number of eggs will be laid in that particular period. This process is significantly influenced by the nutritional value of the parent flock, including the rational use of biologically active drugs in the diet of laying hens (Kokaeva et al., 2008; Temiraev et al., 2012; Tedtova et al., 2017; Baeva et al., 2008).

To improve metabolism and optimize the conversion of nutrients into egg products when organizing full-fledged feeding for parental flocks of poultry, feed additives from biologically active compounds have been effectively used in recent decades. New generation drugs have appeared, which in their composition have a combination of the properties of two biologically active additives. For example, there are preparations that rationally combine multi-enzyme complexes and probiotics, including living representatives of beneficial microorganisms. Due to the complementary action, these components of the enzyme probiotics enrich the composition of the beneficial microflora of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), increasing the egg productivity of layers. The specified list of enzymatic probiotics includes the drug Cellobacterin -T, which has unique nutritional properties and synergistic action with other biologically active additives (BAA) (Temiraev et al., 2015; Tsalieva et al., 2017; Temiraev et al., 2017; Yuldashbaev et al., 2020; Stolbovskaya et al., 2013).

It is effective to combine vitamin U (S-methylmethionine) with enzyme preparations in poultry diets. It is necessary for the bird's body to prevent peptic ulcer disease in various parts of the gastrointestinal tract. Vitamin U contributes to the restoration of gastric acidity and the healing of the mucous surface of the glandular stomach. In addition, this drug is able to neutralize various toxic substances in the body. With this in mind, vitamin U is used as a powerful antihistamine (Temiraev et al., 2012; Baeva et al., 2009; Temiraev et al., 2017; Kokaeva et al., 2017; Tedtova et al., 2020; Temiraev et al., 2009).

Taking into account the above, the aim of the research was to find out the effect of the addition of Cellobacterin-T and vitamin U in the formulation of compound feed for laying hens on their egg productivity.

Material and methods

The research and production experiment was carried out in the conditions of the poultry farm of OOO Iraf-Agro, North Ossetia-Alania (Longitude: 44° 40’04 " East, Latitude: 43° 02’12 "North. Altitude: 671 m). The objects of research were laying hens of the cross "KOBB-500". The duration of this experiment was 10 months. At the same time, 4 groups of laying hens, 90 heads in each, were formed from replacement young animals aged 22-23 weeks, according to the principle of analogous groups, which were fed with complete feed according to the «Recommendations for feeding poultry» (2003), in accordance with the scheme presented in table 1.

The company "BIOTROF" (Russia) produces the drug Cellobacterin -T, which is a complex of cellulolytic bacteria and combines the functions of two feed additives - a feed enzyme and a probiotic. The drug allows you to increase the digestibility of cereals, sunflower meal and bran, optimizes the gastrointestinal microflora by suppressing opportunistic and stimulating the growth of beneficial microorganisms, and significantly reduces the cost of medicines (including antibiotics). It contains a live culture of Bacillus subtilis bacteria and filler (sunflower meal or wheat bran).

During the experiment, poultry egg production was recorded by daily collection of laid eggs in the morning and evening. Changes in the weight of eggs were monitored by weighing 30 eggs from each group of hens. The study involved the physico-biochemical characteristics of the laid eggs with the establishment of the level of dry matter, protein and fat in them. The incubation properties were studied by carrying out three sets of 100 eggs from chickens of each group with a preliminary ovoscopy.

In the compound feed (Table 2) of repair young stocks according to the PK-6 recipe. Cellobacterin-T and vitamin U were introduced into the composition of dry full-feed compound feeds of the experimental bird in a three-stage way using standard dispensers, due to which they were more evenly mixed with other ingredients.

The obtained research data were subjected to mathematical processing with the calculation of the Student’s criterion.

Table 1. Scheme of scientific and economic experience

| Group | Birds, heads | Features of birds feeding in the compared groups |
|-------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Control | 90 | Basic ration (BR) - standard compound feed |
| I | 90 | BR + Cellobacterin-T preparation at the rate of 1 kg / t of feed |
| II | 90 | BR + preparation of vitamin U at the rate of 150 g / t feed. |
| III | 90 | BR + Cellobacterin-T preparation at the rate of 1 kg / t feed + vitamin U preparation at the rate of 150 g / t feed |
Table 2 - The Composition and nutritional value of full feed poultry

| Indicators | Repair young | Laying hens |
|------------|--------------|-------------|
|            | Age, weeks   | Age, weeks  |
|            | 1-23         | 23-52       |
| Composition, %: | -           | -           |
| Corn       | 31.5         | 28.7        |
| Barley     | 25.7         | 25.0        |
| Soybean meal | 20.0       | 18.3        |
| Dry corn gluten | 4.2       | 3.9         |
| Hydrolysis yeast | 4.5     | 4.3         |
| Fish flour | 5.3          | 4.4         |
| Herbal flour | 4.1        | 5.1         |
| Feather flour | 2.5        | 2.0         |
| Chalk feed | 1.0          | 6.8         |
| Common salt | 0.4         | 0.5         |
| Premix P - 1 - 89 | 1.0       | 1.0         |

100 g of feed contained:

|                          | Repair young | Laying hens |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| exchange energy, MJ       | 1.093        | 1.115       |
| crude protein, g          | 15.29        | 14.12       |
| crude fat, g              | 2.58         | 2.88        |
| crude fiber, g            | 5.07         | 4.46        |
| calcium, g                | 1.15         | 2.70        |
| phosphorus, g             | 0.75         | 0.76        |
| sodium, g                 | 0.24         | 0.30        |
| lysine, g                 | 0.65         | 0.75        |
| methionine + cystine, g   | 0.47         | 0.45        |
| linoleic acid, g          | 1.14         | 1.23        |
| aflatoxin B1, mg / kg     | 0.24         | 0.24        |

For 1 t of feed is added:

|                          | Repair young | Laying hens |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| lysine, r                | 680          | 430         |
| methionine r             | 440          | 310         |

Results and discussion

In the course of the experiment, we studied the effect of the used feed preparations on the indicators of egg productivity (per average laying hen) and payment for feed with products in the experimental bird (Table 3).

It was found that a more favorable effect on the indicators of egg productivity in the experimental poultry was exerted by joint additions to the mixed feed of the enzyme probiotic and vitamin U. Taking this into account, the layers of the 3 experimental group versus the analogs in the control group had higher egg production values per average layer by 23.7 pcs. or by 11.3% (P>0.95), the egg-laying rate (for 10 months of the experiment) – by 7.87%, the weight of one egg – by 1.46 g or 2.42% (P>0.95), the yield of egg mass – by 1.77 kg or by 13.96% with a decrease in the consumption of compound feed for the production of 10 pieces, eggs – by 10.14%.

In the course of the experiment, the best indicators of egg productivity were provided by joint additions to the mixed feed probiotic bifidumbacterin and a mixture of enzyme preparations celloviridin G20x and protosubtilin GZxs in chickens of the 4th experimental group, which outstripped in egg production by 11.7 pieces of eggs (P <0.05) and intensity by 3. 83% of control analogues (Baeva, et al., 2008; Kokaeva et al., 2017).

The influence of biologically active drugs on the indicators of the chemical composition of chicken eggs during the experiment is presented in table 4.

As can be seen from the data in Table 4, with the combined introduction of an enzymatic probiotic and vitamin U into the compound feed formulation, an improvement in the biochemical composition of the laid eggs was noted in the 3rd experimental group of layers. In them, relative to the control analogs, this superiority was manifested in an increase in the egg yolk of the dry matter concentration by 1.32% (P>0.95), protein – by 1.32% (P>0.95); in egg white – the concentration of dry matter – by 1.53% (P>0.95) and the actual protein – by 1.13% (P>0.95). In addition, the shells of eggs from hens of the 3rd experimental group contained more calcium by 0.57% (P>0.95) than in the control. This gives them higher indicators of the strength of the eggshell from layers of the 3rd experimental group.

Joint supplements of vitamin C and the probiotic bifidumbacterin contributed to an increase in the content of actual protein in the yolk and white of eggs of hens from the 5th experimental group during all periods of laying, which had the most noticeable advantage over the control group in these parameters at the age of 250 days – by 8.8 and 13.0 %, at the age of 350 – days by 6.3 and 12.9%, and at the age of 450 days – by 8.4 and 17.6% (Temiraev et al., 2012; Stolbovskaya et al., 2013).
A more favorable effect on the nutritional value of the eggs laid by the experimental poultry was exerted by joint additions of the enzyme probiotic and vitamin U to the compound feed. Taking this into account, the yolks of the eggs laid by hens of the 3rd experimental group versus the analogs in the control group were richer in the presence of carotenoids - by 17.90% (P>0.95), vitamin A – by 16.99% (P>0.95) and vitamin E – by 11.80% (P>0.95).

Subsequently, we assessed the effect of the used BAA preparations on the morphological and incubation qualities of the eggs laid by the experimental bird (Table 5).

It was found that a more favorable effect on the morphological and incubation properties of the eggs of the experimental poultry was exerted by joint additives of the enzyme probiotic and vitamin U in the compound feed. Taking this into account, the layers of the 3 experimental group versus the analogs in the control group had higher indices of the shape index by 1.67% (P>0.95), the number of incubated eggs - by 26.40 pcs. (P>0.95), fertilized eggs - by 25.2 pcs. (P>0.95) and hatched chickens - by 25.1 heads (P>0.95).

The use of antioxidants in conjunction with a probiotic activated the function of egg formation, which, against the control analogs in layers of the 4th experimental group, was expressed in improving the incubation qualities of eggs by increasing the yield of fertilized eggs by 4.7% and increasing the hatching of chickens from laid eggs by 7.6% (Tedtova et al., 2020; Temiraev et al., 2017).

**Conclusion** Therefore, in order to increase egg productivity, physicochemical and incubation characteristics of the eggs produced, the diets of laying hens of corn-sorghum-sunflower type should include the enzymatic probiotic Cellobacterin-T at the rate of 1 kg / ton of feed and vitamin U at the rate of 150 g / ton. stern.

### Table 3 – Egg productivity of chickens on average per group per 1 head

| Group   | Number of eggs per medium hen, pcs. | Egg-laying rate,% | Egg Weight g | Egg mass received, kg | Feed consumption per 10 pcs. eggs, kg |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Control | 210.2±1.34                         | 70.10             | 60.34±0.22   | 12.68                 | 100.00                               |
| I       | 224.6±1.45*                        | 74.87             | 61.56±0.19*  | 13.83                 | 109.07                               |
| II      | 225.4±1.33*                        | 75.13             | 61.62±0.25*  | 13.89                 | 109.54                               |
| III     | 233.9±1.29*                        | 77.97             | 61.80±0.31*  | 14.45                 | 113.96                               |

*P>0.95

### Table 4 — Biochemical composition of chicken eggs on average for the group of layers, %

| Group   | Index                           | control | 1 experienced | 2 experienced | 3 experienced |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|         | Content in the yolk: dry matter | 51.05±0.20 | 52.06±0.23*   | 52.11±0.30*   | 52.37±0.19*   |
|         |                                  | 17.46±0.17 | 18.37±0.14*   | 18.40±0.09*   | 18.78±0.10*   |
|         | Fat                             | 32.53±0.14 | 32.51±0.15    | 32.60±0.20    | 32.54±0.13    |
|         | Carotenoids, µg / g             | 12.14±0.18  | 14.00±0.22*   | 14.07±0.27*   | 14.32±0.32*   |
|         | Vitamin A, µg / g               | 58.26±0.40  | 65.76±0.45*   | 65.82±0.48*   | 68.16±0.41*   |
|         | Vitamin E, mg / 100 g           | 18.22±0.13  | 19.77±0.27*   | 19.81±0.30*   | 20.37±0.33*   |
|         | Protein content: dry matter      | 10.45±0.03  | 11.52±0.05*   | 11.60±0.07*   | 11.98±0.05*   |
|         | Inshell content: ash            | 8.84±0.05   | 9.56±0.05*    | 9.61±0.05*    | 9.97±0.06*    |
|         | the squirrel itself             | 10.45±0.03  | 11.52±0.05*   | 11.60±0.07*   | 11.98±0.05*   |
|         | calcium                         | 94.08±0.20  | 95.04±0.10*   | 95.08±0.20*   | 95.35±0.15*   |
|         | phosphorus                      | 94.08±0.20  | 95.04±0.10*   | 95.08±0.20*   | 95.35±0.15*   |

*P>0.95

### Table 5 – Morphological and incubation properties of laying hens eggs during the experiment

| Group | Index                          | control | I          | II         | III        |
|-------|-------------------------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
|       | Eggs laid, pcs.                | 210.2±1.34 | 224.6±1.45* | 225.4±1.33* | 233.9±1.29* |
|       | Weight of 1 egg, g             | 60.34±0.22 | 61.56±0.19* | 61.62±0.25* | 61.80±0.31* |
|       | Shape index, %                 | 72.85±0.31 | 73.96±0.19* | 74.05±0.30  | 74.52±0.26  |
|       | % of hatching eggs             | 95.11±0.22 | 96.02±0.17* | 96.05±0.23* | 96.75±0.29* |
|       | Fertilized eggs, pcs.          | 185.9±0.30 | 201.04±0.37*| 201.84±0.33*| 211.4±0.45* |
|       | % fertilized                   | 88.44±0.15 | 89.50±0.32* | 89.55±0.22* | 90.24±0.30* |
|       | % of pledged                   | 78.18±0.20 | 80.45±0.23* | 80.50±0.28* | 80.99±0.30* |

*P>0.95
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