INTRODUCTION

The concept of arthroplasty with implants arose in 1960 as an alternative to trapeziectomy, in order to avoid shortening and instability of the thumb\(^{1,3}\). In 1970, Swanson introduced silicone implants, which were then rapidly abandoned because they caused bone erosion and marked synovitis. Today, this method is reserved for a few cases of rheumatoid arthritis. In 1979, Caffiniere published the first study on total prostheses of the trapezium-metacarpal, using a design similar to that of hip prostheses, with a polyethylene cup in the trapezium that articulates with the head of a metal component in the first metacarpal\(^{1,2}\).

This innovation in surgical treatment for rhizarthrosis led to great controversy, since there were few studies that would demonstrate the superiority of arthroplasty over trapeziectomy. The recovery time seems to be shorter in cases of prostheses, but the durability of the implants remains unknown\(^{4}\).

Through this study, the aim was to compare the clinical and radiographic results between trapeziectomy with or without ligamentoplasty and arthroplasty with an implant of ball-and-socket type, for treating idiopathic rhizarthrosis.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between January 1995 and October 2008, operations were performed on 74 patients with grades III and IV rhizarthrosis, according to the classification of Eaton and Litter. Forty-seven patients attended the review consultation. Of these, seven were excluded because they were cases of arthrodesis, silicone prostheses or resurfacing prostheses, while 12 underwent bilateral operations.

In total, 40 patients and 52 hands were evaluated. We divided the patients into two groups, depending on the type of surgical procedure. Thirty-two hands underwent trapeziectomy alone or in association with ligamentoplasty in accordance with the technique of Sigfuson-Lundborg\(^5\) (group A) and 20 hands underwent ball-and-socket arthroplasty on the trapezium-metacarpal joint (group B). The inclusion criteria for arthroplasty were: female patients, good bone stock, no systemic inflammatory disease and few manual labor demands. The patients with a prosthesis were immobilized using a plaster cast for approximately two weeks, and the patients with trapeziectomy with or without ligamentoplasty were in a plaster cast for around three weeks.

The mean length of the follow-up was 72 months in group A and 23 months in group B. In group A, four male patients and 18 female patients were operated. In group B, 18 female patients were operated. The mean age at the time of the surgery was 60 years in group A and 62 years in group B (Table 1). Two patients were operated bilaterally with two different techniques (Table 2 and Figure 1).

The result from the treatment was evaluated according to clinical and radiographic criteria. The Quick Dash functional scale was used. The clinical evaluation was based on four items: pain, function, mobility and strength. Radiographic evaluations were made before and after the operation, using anterior, lateral and stress views. The height difference in the scaphoid-metacarpal column (i.e. the line joining the most distal point on the scaphoid to the most distal point on the first metacarpal) between the two hands of the same patient was calculated (Figure 2). The imaging evaluation also made it possible to assess implant placement, presence of radiolucency lines and preservation of the height of the scaphoid-metacarpal column.

Pain was assessed on a visual pain scale going from 0 to 10 points, corresponding to absence of pain and maximum pain, respectively.

The functional assessment was based on the possibility of carrying out activities of daily living, such as: picking up coins, opening a bottle top, turning a key in a lock, fastening/unfastening the buttons on a piece of clothing and writing.

Table 1 – General characteristics of the patients.

|                      | Group A | Group B |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| No. of patients      | 22      | 18      |
| No. of hands         | 32      | 20      |
| Sex (male/female)    | 4 / 18  | 0 / 18  |
| Mean age (years)     | 60 (46-76) | 62 (46-80) |
| Side (right/left)    | 16/12   | 7/13    |
| Follow-up (months)   | 72 (180-15) | 23 (36-12) |

Table 2 – Patients operated bilaterally with two different techniques.

|                      | Arthroplasty | Trapeziectomy |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Radial abduction     | 72.5º        | 67.5º         |
| Palmar abduction     | 40º          | 37.5º         |
| Grip strength        | 20 kg        | 18 kg         |
| Pinch strength       | 3.8 kg       | 3.4 kg        |
| Scaphoid-metacarpal height | 50 mm         | 47 mm         |
The mobility of the trapezium-metacarpal joint was measured in two directions: radial abduction and palmar abduction.

For all the patients, grip and pinch strength were measured using the same dynamometer (Jamar ® Hand Dynamometer – 5030J1).

Regarding the recovery time, the patients in group A required an average of 10 weeks to return to their activities of daily living, and group B, 4.5 weeks.

Two patients were operated bilaterally with two different techniques. The hand that underwent arthroplasty with an implant presented better radial and palmar abduction, greater grip and pinch strength and greater scaphoid-metacarpal height (Table 2 and Figure 1).

The results relating to pain (Table 3), activities of daily living (Table 4) and thumb mobility and strength (Table 5) did not differ significantly between the two groups.

Regarding the height of the scaphoid-metacarpal column, we found that the difference between the operated and non-operated hands was an average of –0.269 cm in group A and 0.036 cm in group B. In other words, there was a decrease in the height of the scaphoid-metacarpal column in the trapeziectomy group and preservation of the height in the prosthesis group.

### Results

**Table 3 – Assessment of thumb pain.**

|                      | Group A | Group B |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
| Without pain         | 86 %    | 82 %    |
| Pain on mobilization | 10%     | 13%     |
| Pain when resting    | 4%      | 5%      |
| Pain at night        | -       | -       |
| Pain scale           | 1.3     | 2.1     |

**Table 4 – Activities of daily living.**

|                      | Without difficulty | With difficulty |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
|                      | Group A | Group B | Group A | Group B |
| Picking up coins     | 81%     | 89%     | 19%     | 11%     |
| Opening lids         | 48%     | 44%     | 52%     | 56%     |
| Turning keys         | 81%     | 72%     | 19%     | 28%     |
| Writing              | 95%     | 89%     | 5%      | 11%     |
| Using a knife        | 86%     | 72%     | 14%     | 18%     |

**Table 5 – Thumb mobility and strength.**

|                      | Group A | Group B |
|----------------------|---------|---------|
|                       | Operated hands | Non-operated hands | Operated hands | Non-operated hands |
| Radial abduction     | 67°     | 64°     | 68°     | 66°     |
| Palmar abduction     | 50°     | 47.5°   | 38°     | 36°     |
| Grip strength (kg)   | 17.4    | 14.8    | 17.1    | 16.1    |
| Pinch strength (kg)  | 4.3     | 3.5     | 4.1     | 3.9     |

Regarding the recovery time, the patients in group A required an average of 10 weeks to return to their activities of daily living, and group B, 4.5 weeks.

Two patients were operated bilaterally with two different techniques. The hand that underwent arthroplasty with an implant presented better radial and palmar abduction, greater grip and pinch strength and greater scaphoid-metacarpal height (Table 2 and Figure 1).

The radiographic evaluation of the prostheses showed heterotopic calcification and three cases of peri-trapezial radiolucent lines. Only one case presented pain on mobilization, while all the other patients were asymptomatic and satisfied with their treatment.

Four cases of complications were registered: one case of algoneurodystrophy in group A and two dislocations and one fracture of the trapezial
in group B. Only one patient required revision surgery. The algoneurodystrophy was resolved after one year of medical treatment. One dislocation was treated using closed reduction and other, using open reduction and removal of the volar osteophyte of the trapezium. The fracture of the trapezium was detected intraoperatively while inserting the implant and was treated postoperatively by means of immobilization, with good evolution.

The mean score on the Quick Dash scale was 45.6 in group A and 41.7 in group B.

The degree of subjective satisfaction was comparable between the two groups (Table 6).

### DISCUSSION

The two techniques (trapeziectomy with or without ligamentoplasty versus total prosthesis) did not differ significantly regarding pain, mobility, strength and dexterity in activities of daily living\(^4,6\). The small differences found between the two groups were perhaps related to the difference in follow-up between them. The patients who underwent trapeziectomy with or without ligamentoplasty had a longer follow-up, through which they may have had more time for adaptation.

Arthroplasty with placement of an implant enables maintenance of the scaphoid-metacarpal column, although it has not been possible to correlate this with better clinical results and greater stability\(^7\). It is more costly and has a greater risk of complications, namely dislocation and detachment\(^8\). It should be noted that we found a complication rate of 15% in the prosthesis group, of which 10% (two patients) were cases of dislocation, of which only one case required surgical revision.

The great advantage of arthroplasty with placement of an implant is the earlier return to activities of daily living\(^9,10\).

It was not within the scope of this study to compare trapeziectomy alone with trapeziectomy associated with ligamentoplasty, or to compare different types of implants.

### CONCLUSION

Arthroplasty with placement of an implant for treating rhizarthrosis is an attractive proposition because of the rapid recovery that it provides. However, it needs to be carefully applied, since its long-term results and the surgical revision rate remain unknown. In the authors’ opinion, it should be reserved for women aged over 60 years and should be avoided among young patients and among men with demanding daily activities.
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